Survivals of Angiography-Guided Percutaneous Coronary Intervention and Proportion of Intracoronary Imaging at Population Level: The Imaging Paradox
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Background: There is a significant disparity between randomized controlled trials and observational studies with respect to any mortality benefit with intracoronary imaging during the percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI). This raises a suspicion that the imaging paradox, in which some operators may become over reliant on imaging and less proficient with angiography-guided PCI, might exist.

Method: This was a retrospective cohort study from 14 hospitals under the Hospital Authority of Hong Kong between January 1, 2010 and December 31, 2017. Participants were patients who underwent first-ever PCI. The association between mortality risks of patients undergoing angiography-guided PCI and three tertiles (low, medium, and high) of the proportion of PCI done under intracoronary imaging guidance at a population level (background imaging rate), were evaluated after confounder adjustment by multivariable logistic regression.

Results: In an adjusted analysis of 11,816 patients undergoing angiography-guided PCI, the risks of all-cause mortality for those were higher in the high-tertile group compared with the low-tertile group (OR, 1.45, 95% CI, 1.10–1.92, \( P = 0.008 \)), the risks of cardiovascular mortality were higher in the high-tertile group compared with the low-tertile group (OR, 1.51, 95% CI, 1.08–2.13, \( P = 0.017 \)). The results were consistent with multiple sensitivity analyses. Threshold analysis suggested that the mortality risks of angiography-guided PCI were increased when the proportion of imaging-guided PCI exceeded approximately 50%.

Conclusions: The risks of the all-cause mortality and cardiovascular mortality were higher for patients undergoing angiography-guided PCI in practices with a higher background imaging rate.
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BACKGROUND

Intracoronary imaging techniques (referred to as imaging hereafter), such as intravascular ultrasound (IVUS) and optical coherence tomography (OCT), can provide superior visualization than angiography alone in the assessment of lesion characteristics and poststenting results in percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI) (1–3). Randomized controlled trials (RCTs) have shown that imaging can reduce the rate of target vessel revascularization and myocardial infarction in selected patients, but the mortality outcomes are consistently neutral (4–8). In the contrary, many observational studies showed a mortality benefit with IVUS-guided PCI after confounder adjustment (9–11). In a meta-analysis of 31 RCTs and adjusted observational studies, IVUS was associated with lower mortality, but such association was neutralized if the analysis was restricted to RCTs (12). Although this disparity can be because of the unmeasured bias inherent to observational studies, it can also be contributed by operators' differential competency in performing angiography- and imaging-guided PCI. In practices with a high proportion of imaging-guided PCI, operators may become reliant on imaging and become less familiar with performing PCI with angiography alone. It is possible that the mortality benefit seen in observation studies is a reflection of worse survivals with angiography-guided PCI in operators who heavily rely on imaging guidance, and therefore not reproduced in RCTs which operators, by design, perform half of the interventions with angiography guidance.

The hypothesis that imaging may improve outcomes at an individual level, but paradoxically worsen outcomes for the patients receiving angiography-guided PCI with a high proportion of imaging use at a population level can be alarming. Thus, we aimed to determine the association between the utilization rate of imaging at a population level (referred to as “background imaging rate” hereafter) and mortality in patients receiving angiography-guided PCI.

METHODS

Study Population and Design

Data from all patients who underwent first-ever PCI between January 1, 2010 and December 31, 2017 from all 14 public hospitals that performed PCI and recorded in a territorial-wide PCI registry were reviewed. Patients' baseline characteristics, exposures, and outcomes were retrieved from the PCI Registry and Clinical Data and Analysis Reporting System. The study was approved by the Institutional Review Board of the University of Hong Kong/Hospital Authority.

We included all adult patients (18 years of age or older) who underwent first-ever PCI and entered in the registry. Patients with prior PCI were excluded since both American and European guidelines have a class IIa recommendation for IVUS in the assessment of stent failure (13, 14).

Definitions of Exposure and Outcome Variables

Imaging-guided PCI was defined as any utilization of IVUS or OCT throughout the procedure, and the remainder was defined as angiography-guided PCI. The proportion of imaging-guided PCI was calculated by dividing the number of imaging-guided PCI by the total number of PCI for each institution over each 2-year period (2010–2011, 2012–2013, 2014–2015, and 2016–2017). This proportion was considered as the background imaging rate, and was used to stratify into three tertiles (low, medium, and high) of imaging proportion groups. The primary outcome was all-cause mortality at 1 year after PCI. The secondary outcome was cardiovascular mortality 1 year after PCI.

Statistical Analysis

All the analyses were performed with the prespecified outcome and statistical methods. Unadjusted analyses were made using chi-square tests for categorical variables and Student's t-test or ANOVA for continuous variables. First, we analyzed the effects of imaging guidance on mortality at an individual level. Multivariable logistic regression was performed to control for potential confounders selected a priori based on data in the published literature and biological plausibility. Confounder adjusted in the model were sex, age, tobacco use, diabetes mellitus, hypertension, dyslipidemia, cerebrovascular disease, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, peripheral vascular disease, history of malignancy, previous myocardial infarction, previous coronary artery bypass surgery, previous heart failure, atrial fibrillation or flutter, anemia (hemoglobin < 13 g/dl for men, < 12 g/dl for women), estimated glomerular filtration rate < 30 ml/min/m$^2$, indication for PCI [stable CAD, unstable angina, non-ST elevation myocardial infarction (NSTEMI), ST elevation myocardial infarction (STEMI)], PCI urgency (elective, urgent, emergency), number of affected epicardial artery, worst lesion characteristic (types A, B, C) (15), exclusive radial arterial access (16), hemodynamic instability (defined as acute pulmonary edema, or cardiogenic shock, or need for mechanical circulatory support, or ventricular tachycardia/fibrillation within 48 h before PCI), angiographic success, PCI period (2010–2011, 2012–2013, 2014–2015, and 2016–2017). Second, we analyzed the effects of background imaging rate on mortality restricted to patients receiving angiography-guided PCI, using the same multivariable logistic regression model.

Sensitivity Analyses

In the first sensitivity analysis, we reclassified the background imaging rate into three groups according to an absolute percentage (<33%, 33–66%, and >66%) and repeated the analysis using the same regression model. Second, we reclassified the background imaging rate by hospital alone without dividing it into time periods, and repeat the analysis. Third, to exclude any selection bias such that patients with ultra-high risks or perceived poor survival were omitted from imaging, we repeated the analysis after the exclusion of patients surviving <30 days after...
PCI. Forth, we selected patients who underwent angiography-guided PCI in the low and high-tertile group, and constructed a propensity score model using the same variable used in the primary model. The analysis was repeated using inverse probability weighting based on the propensity score was used to balance for confounders.

The complete case method was adopted to address missing data in the primary statistical analysis. To test the robustness of our results, the multivariable logistic regression analysis was repeated with the entire cohort using the technique of multiple imputations by chained equations.

**Exploratory Analysis**

We treated the background imaging rate as a continuous variable, and its association with mortality was evaluated using the same regression model. Furthermore, to identify a threshold which the background imaging rate was associated with mortality, we plotted the predicted risk of death at 1 year derived from the same model against the background imaging rate, fitting an M-spline curve with four interior knots.

Furthermore, we studied the effect modification on the relationship between background imaging rate and mortality by sex, PCI urgency, and PCI period (2010–2013 vs. 2014–2017), and introduced interaction terms to the logistic regression model.
Data management and statistical analyses were performed in Stata software, version 16 (StataCorp LP). A two-tailed P value of <0.05 was considered statistically significant.

RESULTS

Patients and Characteristics
Between January 2010 and December 2017, a total of 26,022 patients were considered for inclusion: 2 (0.01%) were excluded due to age < 18. Of the remaining 26,020 patients analyzed, a total of 2,552 (9.8%) were excluded from the complete case analysis due to missing values in any of the variables used in the multivariable logistic regression model (Figure 1). Table 1 shows the baseline characteristics of the study population stratified by imaging guidance at an individual level. Table 2 shows the baseline characteristics of the patients undergoing angiography-guided PCI stratified by the background imaging rate. Table 3 shows the medication prescription on hospital discharge.

Outcomes With Imaging Use at an Individual Level
At an individual level, the primary outcome of all-cause mortality at 1 year, developed in 608 (4.70%) patients in the imaging-guided group and 872 (6.66%) patients in the angiography-guided group (Table 4; Supplementary Figure 1). In adjusted analysis, this risk was not significantly different (odds ratio [OR], 0.93, 95% CI, 0.81–1.07, P = 0.31). The secondary outcome, cardiovascular mortality at 1 year, developed in 328 (2.54%) patients in the imaging-guided group and 543 (4.15%) patients in the angiography-guided group. In the adjusted analysis, this risk was not significantly different (OR, 0.89, 95% CI, 0.75–1.06, P = 0.19).

Outcomes of Angiography-Guided PCI With Different Background Imaging Rates
For patients undergoing angiography-guided PCI, the primary outcome developed in 414 (5.76%), 329 (7.57%), and 129 (8.30%) patients in the low, medium, and high tertile of background imaging rate, respectively (Figure 2; Table 5). In adjusted analysis, the risk was higher in the high-tertile group compared with the low-tertile group (OR, 1.45, 95% CI, 1.10–1.92, P = 0.008). The secondary outcome developed in 258 (3.59%), 202 (4.65%), and 83 (5.34%) patients in the low, medium, and high tertile, respectively (Figure 3; Table 5). In adjusted analysis, this risk was higher in the high-tertile group compared with the low-tertile group (OR, 1.51, 95% CI, 1.08–2.13, P = 0.017).

Sensitivity Analyses
After reclassification of background imaging rate according to an absolute percentage (low for <33%, medium for 33–66%, and high for >66%), the findings were consistent with the primary analysis: the risk of all-cause mortality was significantly higher in patients undergoing angiography-guided PCI in the high-proportion group (OR, 1.52; 95% CI, 1.11–2.10, P = 0.009) but not for those in the medium proportion group (OR, 1.16; 95% CI, 0.94–1.43, P = 0.15). Similarly, the risk of cardiovascular mortality was significantly higher in the high proportion (Supplementary Table 1 in the Supplementary Appendix). After reclassification of background-imaging rate according to hospital alone, the risks of all-cause mortality and cardiovascular mortality were significantly higher in both the high and medium proportion group (Supplementary Table 1 in the Supplementary Appendix).

After exclusion of patients surviving less than 30 days, the findings were consistent with the primary analysis: patients undergoing angiography-guided PCI in the high-tertile group had higher risks of all-cause mortality and cardiovascular mortality (Supplementary Table 1 in the Supplementary Appendix). After inverse probability-weighting based on the propensity score, the findings were also consistent with the primary analysis (Supplementary Table 1 in the Supplementary Appendix).

A total of 11 variables in the Cox regression model had missing data. After filling missing values with multiple imputations, patients undergoing angiography-guided PCI in the high-tertile group remained at higher risks of all-cause mortality (OR, 1.44; 95% CI, 1.12–1.85, P = 0.004) and cardiovascular mortality (OR, 1.46; 95% CI, 1.07–1.98, P = 0.016) compared with the low tertile, consistent with the primary analysis.

Exploratory Analysis
Background imaging rate was treated as a continuous variable, and for each 10% absolute increase in the proportion, there was a significant increase risk of all-cause mortality (OR, 1.06; 95% CI, 1.01–1.11, P = 0.015) and an insignificant trend toward higher cardiovascular mortality (OR, 1.05; 95% CI, 0.99–1.12, P = 0.08) for patients undergoing angiography-guided PCI. The predicted risk of all-cause mortality for different levels of background imaging rate was shown in Figure 4. The threshold for increased mortality with background imaging rate was ~50%.

The background imaging rate-mortality relationship was not modified by sex (P-interaction [P-int] = 0.93 for medium tertile, P-int = 0.46 for high tertile), PCI urgency (P-int = 0.14 for medium tertile, P-int = 0.89 for high tertile) or PCI period (P-int = 0.77 for medium tertile, P-int = 0.88 for high tertile).

DISCUSSION
In this study, imaging-guided PCI was associated with a similar mortality rate at 1 year compared with angiography-guided PCI at an individual level. However, higher background imaging rate was associated with a paradoxically increase in all-cause mortality and cardiovascular mortality rates at 1 year for patients undergoing angiography-guided PCI. To our best knowledge, this relationship has not been previously described and we refer to it as the imaging paradox.

Many RCTs have shown that imaging could reduce major adverse cardiovascular events (MACEs), driven by a lower rate of target vessel revascularization but not mortality (4, 5, 7, 8). In the IVUS-XPL trial (n = 1,400), IVUS-guided PCI resulted in a lower risk of target lesion revascularization than angiography-guided PCI (4, 17). In the ULTIMATE trial (n = 1,448), IVUS reduced target lesion failure based on lesion-level analysis but not patient-level analysis (5). These findings
### TABLE 1 | Baseline characteristics of the study population were stratified by imaging guidance at an individual level.

| Characteristics                                      | Imaging guided | Angiography guided | P value |
|-------------------------------------------------------|---------------|--------------------|---------|
| N                                                     | 12,932        | 13,088             | 0.82    |
| Female sex                                            | 2,953 (22.8%) | 3,004 (23.0%)      | <0.001  |
| Age, mean (SD)                                        | 64.5 (11.2)   | 65.1 (11.7)        | <0.005  |
| Tobacco use                                           | 5,554/12,253 (45.3%) | 5,711/12,125 (47.1%) | 0.005 |
| Diabetes mellitus                                     | 4,514 (34.9%) | 4,566 (34.9%)      | 0.97    |
| Hypertension                                          | 8,194 (63.4%) | 8,399 (64.2%)      | 0.17    |
| Dyslipidemia                                          | 8,296 (64.2%) | 8,203 (62.7%)      | 0.014   |
| Cerebrovascular disease                               | 1,198 (9.3%)  | 1,313 (10.0%)      | 0.036   |
| Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease                 | 313 (2.4%)    | 307 (2.3%)         | 0.097   |
| Peripheral vascular disease                           | 174/12,900 (1.3%) | 208/13,016 (1.6%)  | 0.096   |
| History of malignancy                                 | 680 (5.3%)    | 676 (5.2%)         | 0.74    |
| Previous myocardial infarction                        | 1,552 (12.0%) | 1,684 (12.9%)      | 0.034   |
| Previous coronary artery bypass surgery               | 251 (1.9%)    | 193 (1.5%)         | 0.004   |
| Previous heart failure                                | 1,012 (7.8%)  | 1,034 (7.9%)       | 0.82    |
| Atrial fibrillation or flutter                        | 689 (5.3%)    | 687 (5.2%)         | 0.78    |
| Anemia                                                | 4,044 (31.3%) | 4,288/13,086 (32.8%) | 0.010 |
| eGFR <30 ml/min/m²                                     | 547 (4.2%)    | 603/13,082 (4.6%)  | 0.14    |
| Indication for PCI                                    |               |                    | <0.001  |
| Stable CAD                                            | 2,706/12,931 (20.9%) | 2,198/13,082 (16.8%) |      |
| Unstable angina                                       | 3,035/12,931 (23.5%) | 2,368/13,082 (18.1%) |      |
| NSTEMI                                                | 5,970/12,931 (46.2%) | 5,910/13,082 (45.2%) |      |
| STEMI                                                 | 1,220/12,931 (9.4%) | 2,606/13,082 (19.9%) |      |
| PCI urgency                                            |               |                    | <0.001  |
| Elective                                              | 7,598 (58.8%) | 7,454/13,084 (57.0%) |      |
| Urgent                                                | 4,119 (31.9%) | 2,932/13,084 (22.4%) |      |
| Emergency                                              | 1,215 (9.4%)  | 2,698/13,084 (20.6%) |      |
| Number of affected epicardial artery                  |               |                    | <0.001  |
| One vessel disease                                    | 5,547/12,798 (43.3%) | 5,998/13,017 (48.1%) |      |
| Two vessel disease                                    | 4,438/12,798 (34.7%) | 4,214/13,017 (32.4%) |      |
| Three vessel disease                                  | 2,813/12,798 (22.0%) | 2,805/13,017 (21.5%) |      |
| Left main disease (Unprotected)                       | 1,264 (9.8%)  | 561 (4.3%)         |        |
| Worst lesion characteristics                          |               |                    | <0.001  |
| Type A                                                | 1,102/12,907 (8.5%) | 1,483/13,041 (11.4%) |      |
| Type B                                                | 8,519/12,907 (66.0%) | 8,556/13,041 (65.6%) |      |
| Type C                                                | 3,286/12,907 (25.5%) | 3,002/13,041 (23.0%) |      |
| Exclusive radial access                               | 7,593/12,375 (61.4%) | 5,931/12,887 (46.0%) | <0.001 |
| Hemodynamic instability                               | 1,048 (8.1%)  | 1,554 (11.9%)      | <0.001  |
| Acute pulmonary edema                                 | 454 (3.5%)    | 664 (5.1%)         | <0.001  |
| Cardiogenic shock                                     | 287 (2.2%)    | 512 (3.9%)         | <0.001  |
| Mechanical circulatory support                         | 210 (1.6%)    | 298 (2.3%)         | <0.001  |
| Ventricular tachycardia/fibrillation                   | 306 (2.4%)    | 508 (3.9%)         | <0.001  |
| Angiographic success                                  | 12,745/12,923 (98.6%) | 12,547/13,072 (96.0%) | <0.001 |
| Intravascular imaging                                 | 12,932 (100%) | 0 (0%)             | <0.001  |
| Intravascular ultrasound                              | 9,543 (73.8%) | 0 (0%)             | <0.001  |
| Optic coherence tomography                            | 3,539 (27.4%) | 0 (0%)             | <0.001  |
| PCI period                                            |               |                    | <0.001  |
| 2010–2011                                             | 1,521 (11.8%) | 3,712 (28.4%)      |        |
| 2012–2013                                             | 2,320 (17.9%) | 2,905 (22.2%)      |        |
| 2014–2015                                             | 3,545 (27.4%) | 3,093 (23.6%)      |        |
| 2016–2017                                             | 5,546 (42.9%) | 3,378 (25.8%)      |        |

PCI, percutaneous coronary intervention; SD, standard deviation; eGFR, estimated glomerular filtration rate; CAD, coronary artery disease; NSTEMI, non-ST elevation myocardial infarction; STEMI, ST elevation myocardial infarction.
TABLE 2 | Baseline characteristics of patients undergoing angiography-guided PCI stratified by background imaging rate.

| Background imaging rate | Low tertile | Medium tertile | High tertile | P value |
|-------------------------|-------------|----------------|--------------|---------|
| N                       | 7,190       | 4,344          | 1,554        |         |
| Female sex              | 1,593 (22.2%) | 1,067 (24.6%) | 344 (22.1%) | 0.009   |
| Age, mean (SD)          | 64.9 (11.5) | 65.7 (12.0)    | 64.6 (12.0)  | <0.001  |
| Tobacco use             | 3,221/6,754 (47.7%) | 1,770/3,903 (45.3%) | 720/1,468 (49.0%) | 0.019   |
| Diabetes mellitus       | 2,631 (36.6%) | 1,421 (32.7%) | 514 (33.1%)  | <0.001  |
| Hypertension            | 4,728 (65.8%) | 2,747 (63.2%) | 924 (59.5%)  | <0.001  |
| Dyslipidemia            | 4,734 (65.8%) | 2,628 (60.5%) | 841 (54.1%)  | <0.001  |
| Cerebrovascular disease | 726 (10.1%)  | 436 (10.0%)    | 152 (9.8%)   | 0.93    |
| Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease | 171 (2.4%) | 97 (2.2%) | 39 (2.5%) | 0.80 |
| Peripheral vascular disease | 133/7,155 (1.9%) | 60/4,319 (1.4%) | 15/1,542 (1.0%) | 0.017 |
| History of malignancy   | 352 (4.9%)  | 236 (5.4%)     | 88 (5.7%)    | 0.29    |
| Previous myocardial infarction | 1,052 (14.6%) | 487 (11.2%) | 145 (9.3%) | <0.001 |
| Previous coronary artery bypass surgery | 88 (1.2%) | 64 (1.5%) | 41 (2.6%) | <0.001 |
| Previous heart failure  | 605 (8.4%)  | 319 (7.3%)     | 110 (7.1%)   | 0.052   |
| Atrial fibrillation or flutter | 369 (5.1%) | 257 (5.9%) | 61 (3.9%) | 0.008 |
| Anemia                  | 2,457/7,198 (34.2%) | 1,313 (30.2%) | 518/1,553 (33.4%) | <0.001 |
| eGFR <30 ml/min/m²      | 327/7,187 (4.5%) | 219/4,341 (5.0%) | 57 (3.7%) | 0.080 |
| Indication for PCI      |             |                |              | <0.001  |
| Stable CAD              | 1,203/1,784 (16.7%) | 770 (17.7%) | 225 (14.5%) | 225 (14.5%) |
| Unstable angina         | 1,291/1,784 (18.0%) | 783 (18.0%) | 294 (19.9%) | 225 (14.5%) |
| NSTEMI                  | 3,540/1,784 (49.3%) | 1,653 (38.1%) | 717 (46.1%) | 225 (14.5%) |
| STEMI                   | 1,150/1,784 (16.0%) | 1,138 (26.2%) | 318 (20.5%) | 225 (14.5%) |
| PCI urgency             |             |                |              | <0.001  |
| Elective                | 4,510/7,186 (62.8%) | 2,221 (51.1%) | 723 (46.5%) | 225 (14.5%) |
| Urgent                  | 1,475/7,186 (20.5%) | 954 (22.0%) | 503 (32.4%) | 225 (14.5%) |
| Emergency               | 1,201/7,186 (16.7%) | 1,169 (26.9%) | 328 (21.1%) | 225 (14.5%) |
| Number of affected epicardial artery | 46.0% | 33.3% | 20.7% | 0.007 |
| One vessel disease      | 3,282/7,141 (46.0%) | 2,021/4,343 (46.5%) | 695/1,533 (45.3%) | 695/1,533 (45.3%) |
| Two vessel disease      | 2,380/7,141 (33.3%) | 1,324/4,343 (30.5%) | 510/1,533 (33.3%) | 510/1,533 (33.3%) |
| Three vessel disease    | 1,479/7,141 (20.7%) | 998/4,343 (23.0%) | 328/1,533 (21.4%) | 328/1,533 (21.4%) |
| Left main disease (unprotected) | 278 (3.9%) | 191 (4.4%) | 92 (5.9%) | 278 (3.9%) |
| Worst lesion characteristics | 755/7,149 (10.8%) | 587/4,340 (13.5%) | 121/1,552 (7.8%) | 755/7,149 (10.8%) |
| Type A                  | 4,934/7,149 (69.0%) | 2,661/4,340 (61.3%) | 961/1,552 (61.9%) | 961/1,552 (61.9%) |
| Type B                  | 1,140/7,149 (20.1%) | 1,092/4,340 (25.2%) | 470/1,552 (30.3%) | 470/1,552 (30.3%) |
| Exclusive radial access | 3,393/7,141 (47.5%) | 1,759/4,289 (41.0%) | 771/1,457 (53.5%) | 771/1,457 (53.5%) |
| Hemodynamic instability | 715 (9.9%) | 649 (14.9%) | 190 (12.2%) | <0.001 |
| Acute pulmonary edema   | 347 (4.8%) | 252 (5.8%) | 65 (4.2%) | 0.016 |
| Cardiogenic shock       | 172 (2.4%) | 272 (6.3%) | 68 (4.4%) | <0.001 |
| Mechanical circulatory support | 127 (1.8%) | 120 (2.8%) | 51 (3.3%) | <0.001 |
| Ventricular tachycardia/fibrillation | 227 (3.2%) | 212 (4.9%) | 69 (4.4%) | <0.001 |
| Angiographic success    | 6,960/7,174 (97.0%) | 4,169 (96.0%) | 1,418 (91.2%) | <0.001 |
| PCI period              |             |                |              | <0.001  |
| 2010–2011               | 2,966 (41.3%) | 746 (17.2%) | 0 (0.0%) | 2,966 (41.3%) |
| 2012–2013               | 1,395 (19.4%) | 1,120 (25.8%) | 390 (25.1%) | 1,395 (19.4%) |
| 2014–2015               | 1,653 (23.0%) | 820 (18.9%) | 620 (39.9%) | 1,653 (23.0%) |
| 2016–2017               | 1,176 (16.4%) | 1,658 (38.2%) | 544 (35.0%) | 1,176 (16.4%) |

PCI, percutaneous coronary intervention; SD, standard deviation; eGFR, estimated glomerular filtration rate; CAD, coronary artery disease; NSTEMI, non-ST elevation myocardial infarction; STEMI, ST elevation myocardial infarction.
TABLE 3 | Medication prescription on hospital discharge.

| Medication               | All patients | Angiography guided PCI only |
|--------------------------|--------------|-----------------------------|
|                          | Imaging guided | Angiography guided | *P* value  |
| N                        | 12,932       | 13,088                     | <0.001   |
| Aspirin                  | 12,885 (98.1%) | 12,572 (96.1%)             | 6,851 (95.3%) | 4,191 (96.5%) | 1,530 (98.5%) | <0.001 |
| P2Y12 inhibitor          | 12,793 (99.9%) | 12,797 (97.8%)             | 7,116 (99.0%) | 4,169 (96.0%) | 1,512 (97.3%) | <0.001 |
| Proton pump inhibitor    | 7,984 (61.7%) | 7,917 (60.5%)              | 4,406 (61.3%) | 2,810 (64.7%) | 701 (45.1%)   | <0.001 |
| Statin                   | 12,431 (96.1%) | 12,307 (94.0%)             | 6,736 (93.7%) | 4,113 (94.7%) | 1,458 (93.8%) | 0.085 |
| Angiotensin blockade     | 8,817 (68.2%) | 8,945 (68.3%)              | 4,710 (65.5%) | 3,106 (71.5%) | 1,129 (72.7%) | <0.001 |
| Beta-blocker             | 9,423 (72.9%) | 9,627 (73.6%)              | 5,248 (73.0%) | 3,187 (73.4%) | 1,192 (76.7%) | 0.010 |
| Anti-coagulant           | 545 (4.2%)   | 435 (3.3%)                 | 176 (2.4%) | 199 (4.6%)   | 60 (3.9%)    | <0.001 |

TABLE 4 | Primary and secondary outcomes stratified by imaging guidance.

| Imaging guidance | Unadjusted absolute risk (95% CI) | Adjusted odds ratio (95% CI) | *P* value |
|------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------|
| Primary outcome—all-cause mortality |
| Angiography guided PCI | 6.66% (6.62–7.09%) | Reference |
| Imaging guided PCI | 4.70% (4.33–5.07%) | 0.93 (0.81–1.07) | 0.31 |
| Secondary outcome—cardiovascular mortality |
| Angiography guided PCI | 4.15% (3.81–4.50%) | Reference |
| Imaging guided PCI | 2.54% (2.27–2.81%) | 0.89 (0.751.06) | 0.19 |

FIGURE 2 | The unadjusted risks of all-cause mortality for those undergoing angiography-guided PCI were significantly different across tertiles of background imaging rate.

are consistently discrepant with observational studies, where IVUS-guided PCI was associated with a reduction in MACEs including mortality (9–11). In a meta-analysis of 31 RCTs and adjusted observational studies, IVUS was associated with lower mortality, but such association was neutralized if the analysis was restricted to RCTs (12). This disparity may be contributed by operators’ differential competency of performing angiography- and imaging-guided PCI. Since RCT are ethically bounded to be performed by operators competent in both angiography- and imaging-guided PCI, and by the process of randomization half of the PCI are done under angiography guidance, they may not reflect the real-world scenario in which operators are at
The imaging paradox may originate from certain operators becoming over reliant on imaging guidance and less familiar with angiography-guided PCI. It is known that PCI outcomes are volume-dependent (18, 19). Operators who utilize imaging guidance routinely, will consequently have a lower volume of angiography-guided PCI. These operators may lose the ability to detect and interpret subtle details from angiograms. For example, it was shown that a careful read on angiography is adequate to guide stent optimization in calcified lesions (20, 21), nevertheless IVUS can provide superior sensitivity for detection of coronary calcification (22). Similarly, many other elements of PCI optimization, including landing zone, stent sizing, stent expansion, and apposition can be readily detectable by imaging (23–26). Operators who become overly reliant on imaging may become less sensitive to important angiographic manifestations, and resulting in suboptimal outcomes when performing angiography-guided PCI.

The imaging paradox has a resemblance to the radial paradox (27). It was noted in an RCT that clinical benefits of radial access were entirely confined to centers where the proportion of radial PCI was high (i.e., 80–98%) (28, 29), raising suspicion that results were merely a reflection of suboptimal outcomes with femoral access performed by operators who used radial access almost exclusively in pretrial procedures (30). We suspect that the imaging paradox is even more relevant than the radial paradox because (1) the visual superiority of imaging is far too superior to angiography-making operators easily become reliant (31), (2) there is no quick or easy way to improve angiography reading (32, 33), (3) the difference is in mortality.

### TABLE 5 | Association between background imaging rate and outcomes restricted to the patient undergoing angiography-guided PCI.

| Background imaging rate | Unadjusted absolute risk (95% CI) | Adjusted odds ratio (95% CI) | P value |
|-------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------|--------|
| **Primary outcome—all-cause mortality** | | | |
| Low tertile             | 5.76% (5.21–6.30%)               | Reference                    |        |
| Medium tertile          | 7.57% (6.79–8.36%)               | 1.03 (0.84–1.25)             | 0.79   |
| High tertile            | 8.30% (6.92–9.67%)               | 1.45 (1.10–1.92)             | 0.008  |
| **Secondary outcome—cardiovascular mortality** | | | |
| Low tertile             | 3.59% (3.16–4.02%)               | Reference                    |        |
| Medium tertile          | 4.65% (4.02–5.28%)               | 0.89 (0.69–1.14)             | 0.35   |
| High tertile            | 5.34% (4.22–6.46%)               | 1.51 (1.08–2.13)             | 0.017  |

**FIGURE 3 |** The unadjusted risks of cardiovascular mortality for those undergoing angiography-guided PCI were significantly different across tertiles of background imaging rate.
Currently, imaging for PCI is considered adjunctive, and major American or European guidelines do not recommend for routine use (13, 14). Imaging is sometimes unsuitable for certain patients, and also requires additional procedural time, equipment, and cost (31, 34). Therefore, the ability to proficiently perform PCI under angiography guidance is still the backbone. While we recognize that the uptake of imaging is highly variable, with utilization rate of 30 to 80% in certain regions in Asia (35, 36), but only 5% or less in Europe and the USA (11, 37, 38), we believe that training programs should include solid and comprehensive training in angiography-guided PCI. Operators should perform a certain minimum volume of angiography-guided PCI to maintain proficiency and minimize complications. From our threshold analysis, we suspect that hospitals should maintain ∼50% of the PCI performed under angiography guidance.

The imaging paradox has important implications in future design of RCT for imaging. The design should ensure that any benefit detected is truly attributable to imaging guidance. One method is to select centers and operators who have a good balance of angiography- and imaging-guided PCI during the prettrial period. Future studies should also not only focus on the effects of imaging on the individual level, but also on a population level. Our data can help generate hypothesis and aid sample size calculation for future RCTs.

This study had some limitations. First, the observational nature of the study conferred risks of unmeasured confounding and bias. It was possible that, for instance, general frailty or perceivable limited life expectancy could possibly incline operators to forgo imaging. However, we used multivariate analysis to minimize the effects of confounders, and the results were consistent in multiple sensitivity analysis including the exclusion of early non-survivors. More importantly, the existence of the imaging paradox cannot be studied in RCTs by nature. Second, analysis was not performed at the operator level, as the information available to us was incomplete, and PCI are commonly performed by multiple operators in this locality. However, institutional experience correlates more strongly with survivals than operator experience (39–41). Third, this study is not designed to detect a deskilling process. It is uncertain that whether operators highly skilled in angiography-guided PCI who transitioned to imaging-guided PCI might have been able to skilfully revert back to angiography-guided PCI when necessary, or, the imaging paradox is contributed by newly trained operators who predominantly perform imaging-guided PCI and struggle with angiography-guided PCI because of suboptimal training.

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, we observed an increased mortality risk in the patients undergoing angiography-guided PCI in practices with a higher proportion of PCI done under intracoronary imaging guidance at a population level. The existence of the imaging paradox should call for appropriate training and maintenance of competency to improve outcomes for patients receiving angiography-guided PCI.
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