Protein phosphatase-1 (PP1) plays key roles in signaling pathways and its deregulation is implicated in severe diseases such as cancer and diabetes [1]. In particular, attempting to target PP1 in proteostasis diseases [2,3] has motivated in recent years numerous studies on PP1 function and on the action mechanisms of drugs targeting PP1 [4–6]. For its catalytic activity, PP1 relies on two metal ions bound in its active site. A recent study described a new redox-regulatory mechanism for PP1 involving the metal ions [7]. The authors demonstrated that the enzyme NADPH oxidase-4 (Nox4) binds the PP1-targeting subunit GADD34, generates reactive oxygen species, and locally inhibits PP1. The applied recombinant PP1 contained largely Mn²⁺ ions at both metal coordination sites of PP1’s active site, and in the crystal structure the nature of the metal ions was unclear. Nevertheless, evidence by EPR measurements suggested that oxidation of Fe²⁺ to Fe³⁺ could be involved in the inactivation of PP1 [7].

The catalytic subunit of native PP1 contains iron and zinc, and the presence of Mg²⁺ cannot be ruled out, whereas Mn²⁺ content is almost negligible [8]. Similar to the data on PP1 oxidation [7], all structural and most biochemical data so far have been obtained using recombinant PP1 containing manganese ions (Mn-PP1) in the active site [8]. This is due to difficulties in purifying and producing a stable preparation of Mn²⁺-containing PP1.
iron-bound PP1 (Fe-PP1), especially in amounts required for crystallography, which has prevented its use in studies so far [9]. Nevertheless, recombinant Mn-PP1α displayed some important differences from native PP1, isolated from rabbit skeletal muscle, such as altered substrate specificity, which are thus not reflected in in vitro studies using recombinant Mn-PP1 [8]. An earlier study suggested that conversion of native PP1 (PP1α) to a Mn<sup>2+</sup>-dependent state alters multiple structural elements in PP1 catalytic subunit, but so far this hypothesis could not be addressed in structural studies [10]. Thus, lack of biochemical and structural data on active PP1 bound to physiological metals currently hampers understanding its dephosphorylation chemistry and regulation. Addressing this need, we present here a protocol to obtain stable Fe-PP1α, which we applied to obtain insights into the redox regulation of PP1 activity. We show that only the oxidation state of iron, not a conformational change, determines if PP1 is inactive or active.

**Materials and methods**

**Protein expression and purification**

The full-length gene (residues 1–330) coding for the α-isof orm of the catalytic subunit of human protein phosphatase 1 (PP1α) was subcloned into pTXB1 expression vector fused at the C terminus with the intein tag. The gene was also fused with a cleavable N-terminal histidine tag. This construct was expressed in BL21Start(DE3)pRARE Escherichia coli strain, in Luria–Bertani broth supplemented with FeSO₄ (for Fe-PP1α, 0.1 g/1 L broth: 0.65 mM) or MnCl<sub>2</sub> (for Mn-PP1α, 1 mM [11,12]). FeSO₄ was chosen because it was found frequently reported in protocols for expression and purification in *E. coli* of iron-containing recombinant proteins. The expression was conducted at 16 °C overnight after induction with 50 μM isopropyl β-D-thiogalactoside. The cell paste collected by centrifugation was subjected to different passages through an Emulsiflex homogenizer in lysis buffer [25 mM TRIS-Cl, pH 7.5 at room temperature (RT), 300 mM NaCl, 10% v/v glycerol, 30 mM imidazole, 0.2% v/v tween-20, 0.1 mM DTT]. After an extensive wash with this buffer, and a stringent wash at 15% buffer B, the fused precursor was eluted with 100% buffer B (buffer A with 250 mM imidazole). The elution sample was extensively diluted to a final volume of 300 mL in buffer 25 mM TRIS-HCl, pH 7.5 at RT, 200 mM NaCl, 10% v/v glycerol, 0.1% v/v tween-20, and incubated overnight at 4 °C with 50 mM β-mercaptoethanol (β-ME) and 1 mg of TEV protease to cleave both tags. This dilution step is critical for the cleavage efficiency and for solubility of PP1α. After incubation with chitin resin from New England Biolabs (NEB, Frankfurt am Main, Germany) to remove the cleaved intein tag, the protein sample was diluted to decrease NaCl concentration to 100 mM and loaded on a 5-mL Heparin HP column equilibrated in buffer Hep-A (20 mM TRIS-Cl, pH 7.5 RT, 100 mM NaCl, 5 mM β-ME) and subjected to an extensive wash step. PP1α was further purified with a gradient from 0% to 40% buffer Hep-B (buffer Hep-A with 1 M NaCl) in 30 min. The purest fractions were combined based on SDS/PAGE and dialyzed against the storage buffer (50 mM TRIS-Cl, pH 7.5 RT, 200 mM NaCl, 10% v/v glycerol, 5 mM β-ME). In case of Mn-PP1α, 1 mM MnCl<sub>2</sub> was also added throughout purification and in the storage buffer [11,12].

Metal content was determined by inductively coupled plasma optical emission spectrometry (ICP-OES) with an Agilent ICP-OES 720 at the Institut für Geowissenschaften der Universität Heidelberg. The purified protein was passed through a PD10 column extensively washed and equilibrated with metal-clean buffer prepared by incubation with Chelex resin. The metal-clean buffer used for the buffer exchange was analyzed by ICP-OES for background subtraction.

**Enzymatic assays**

The native PP1<sup>α</sup> (mixture of PP1α, β, γ isoforms) was a kind gift of M. Bollen from the Department of Cellular and Molecular Medicine in Leuven, Belgium. The protein was purified from rabbit muscle and analyzed by mass spectrometry and western blot, which showed contamination with tropomyosin [6]. In most cases, we therefore used the enzyme in an approximate 10-fold higher concentration than the recombinant pure ones (see below). The storage buffer contained 60% glycerol, 50 mM TRIS-Cl pH 7.5 and 1 mM DTT.

In vitro activity assays were performed in 96 well plates with a Tecan Infinite M1000 PRO (Tecan Deutschland GmbH, Crailsheim, Germany). All assays were conducted at 25 °C in a final volume of 100 μL with three independent experiments. Each experiment including Fe-PP1α was designed in duplicate or triplicate, and Mn-PP1α was analyzed in parallel in the same plate. The activity buffer was 20 mM TRIS-Cl, pH 7.0 RT, 100 mM NaCl, 5 mM β-ME. No metals were included in the assay buffer, and 0.05% v/v tween-20 was present only with DiFMUP (6,8-difluoro-4-methylumbelliferyl phosphate) as substrate. Initial test of enzymatic activity of Fe-PP1α was carried out with high
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The reaction product at 405 nm. The buffer used for the assay contained 50 mM TRIS-Cl, pH 7.5, RT, 200 mM NaCl, 0.1 mM EDTA, 2 mM DTT, and 0.1 mg·mL⁻¹ BSA. The substrate range was from 40 to 1.25 mM. The final concentration of Mn-PP1α and Fe-PP1α was 50 nM. Because the amount of the native PP1N was limited and previous studies [6,13] showed already that it has very low to no activity toward pNPP, 50 nM (approximate, contains tropomyosin [6]) of the enzyme was used for the assay (not the 10-fold excess compared to the recombinant pure proteins as for the other previously untested substrates).

The kinetic parameters derived from these data were obtained with the GRAPHPAD PRISM 6 software (La Jolla, CA, USA). The kinetic data from Mn-PP1α were fitted to the Michaelis–Menten equation for DiFMUP and pNPP substrates, allowing the determination of the K_m and k_cat values. The kinetic data from Mn-PP1α with pNPP and H3pT3 peptide substrate, and from Fe-PP1α (for DiFMUP, pNPP, and H3pT3 substrates) displayed lack of saturation. In these cases, the data were fitted to a linear plot, where the resulting slope corresponded to the ratio V/K, which was further converted to k_cat/K_m after normalization with the product titration and with the concentration of the enzyme. A further comparison using only the two lower substrate concentrations (linear range for Mn-PP1α) and applying a linear fitting was done for Mn-PP1α and Fe-PP1α with the DiFMUP and H3pT3 peptide substrates. In this way we confirmed that the comparison between the differently calculated data qualitatively holds true when the parameters were calculated in the same way (data not shown).

To test the effect of Inhibitor-2 on Fe- and Mn-PP1α, an inhibition assay using DiFMUP as a phosphomimetic substrate was carried out. To this end, Inhibitor-2 was titrated five times 1:2 starting from 2 nM final concentration. Assay buffer composition was 20 mM Tris-Cl, 100 mM NaCl, 5 mM β-ME, 0.05% Tween, pH 7.0 substituted with 50 μM MnCl₂ or FeCl₂ for Mn-PP1α and Fe-PP1α, respectively, and ±250 μM ascorbate as indicated. Final protein concentrations in our assay setup were 0.5 nM for Mn- and Fe-PP1α with 100 μM DiFMUP. Assay measurement was carried out in a black 96-well plate at 25 °C. Relative Fluorescent Units were detected at 450 nm using a Synergy H1 microplate reader (Bio-Tek Instruments GmbH, Bad Friedrichshall, Germany).

Structure determination

Fe-PP1α was subjected to gel filtration prior to crystallization. Activity tests in gel filtration buffer of Fe-PP1α not incubated with ascorbate confirmed that the protein was in the inactive state. Fe-PP1α was loaded on a Superdex 200 equilibrated in 50 mM TRIS-Cl, pH 7.5, RT, 500 mM NaCl, 5 mM β-ME and concentrated to 5 mg·mL⁻¹. Crystals were grown by the vapor diffusion method in sitting
drops at 18°C, by mixing 2 mL of protein solution with 2 mL of the precipitant solution composed of 28% w/v PEG3350, 0.1 M TRIS-Cl, pH 8.0 RT, 1 M LiCl. Drops were equilibrated against 1 mL precipitant solution for 2 weeks at 18°C. The crystals were flash cooled in liquid nitrogen using the precipitant solution as cryoprotectant.

To obtain the structure of reduced Fe-PP1\(\alpha\), one crystal of Fe-PP1\(\alpha\) was soaked in 18 mM ascorbate for 15 min in the drop/reservoir solution, and flash cooled in liquid nitrogen adding 25% v/v glycerol to the precipitant solution as cryoprotectant. Data collection was carried out at beamline ID30A-3 at the European Synchrotron Radiation Facility (ESRF). Data processing was carried out with XDS [14] and AIMLESS [15] in the CCP4 suite [16]. The structure was solved by molecular replacement using PHASER [17] in the CCP4 suite [16] and the crystal structure of apo Mn-PP1\(\alpha\) as search model (PDB code 4MOV) [18]. The structure was refined by alternating manual adjustment and model building in COOT [19] and restrained positional and B-factor refinement with REFMAC5 [20]. Structure figures were created in PYMOL (http://www.pymol.org) and CCP4MG [21]. Table 1 presents the data processing and refinement statistics. Fe-PP1\(\alpha\) crystallized as monomer and similar to the other Mn-PP1 structures available, the first seven amino acids and the C-terminal tail are not visible. In this case, also the residues 20–24 do not have clear electron density and were therefore not modeled.

### Accession codes

Coordinates and structure factors have been deposited in the Protein Data Bank under accession codes PDB 6G0J (Fe(III)-PP1\(\alpha\)) and PDB 6G0I (Fe(II)-PP1\(\alpha\)).

### Results and Discussion

We report here that expressing PP1\(\alpha\) C-terminally fused to intein from the pTXB1 vector in MnCl\(_2\)-supplemented broth gives higher yields than other protocols, suggesting a stable expression of the construct. This finding led us to hypothesize that this strategy would enable the incorporation of iron into the active site of PP1 during expression using FeSO\(_4\)-supplemented broth. Indeed, this strategy allowed us to develop an efficient protocol for recombinant expression and purification of PP1\(\alpha\) with stoichiometric iron. We obtained 17 mg of highly pure protein from 4 L of E. coli culture (Fig. S1A), which represents a good yield suitable for biochemical and structural studies of iron-containing PP1. Metal content analysis by inductively coupled plasma optical emission spectrometry (ICP-OES) on two different preparations of the enzyme assessed that the ratio of iron concentration versus PP1\(\alpha\) concentration (=1) was 0.85 ± 0.04 (two measurements, the error is the standard deviation SD).

Table 1. X-ray diffraction data collection and model refinement statistics.

|                  | Inactive Fe-PP1\(\alpha\) | Active Fe-PP1\(\alpha\) |
|------------------|---------------------------|------------------------|
| **Data collection** |                           |                        |
| Space group      | P 2 2 1 2\(\ddagger\)     | P 2 2 1 2\(\ddagger\)  |
| Cell constants   | 38.62 Å, 68.72 Å, 127.90 Å | 38.62 Å, 68.72 Å, 127.90 Å |
| a, b, c, α, β, γ | 90.00°, 90.00°, 90.00°     | 90.00°, 90.00°, 90.00°   |
| Wavelength (Å)   | 0.9677                     | 0.9677                 |
| Resolution (Å)   | 46.81-2.1 (2.16-2.10)      | 38.37-2.0 (2.05-2.00)   |
| Total observations | 82,293                     | 100,006                |
| Unique reflections | 19,896                     | 22,907                |
| CC1/2            | 0.998 (0.806)              | 0.998 (0.547)          |
| Rmerge (%)       | 6.0 (46.7)                 | 7.9 (87.7)             |
| Completeness (%) | 97.3 (98.9)                | 98.5 (99.1)            |
| Redundancy       | 4.1 (4.2)                  | 4.4 (4.5)              |
| **Refinement**   |                           |                        |
| Rwork/Rfree      | 0.201/0.236                | 0.188/0.233            |
| Number of protein atoms | 2261                      | 2253                   |
| Number of solvent molecules | 114                      | 119                    |
| Isotropic B factors (Å\(^2\)) | 36.46                  | 37.57                  |
| r.m.s. deviation |                           |                        |
| Bonds length (Å) | 0.015                      | 0.013                  |
| Angle (°)        | 1.649                      | 1.510                  |
We did not detect any significant amount of zinc, rather PP1 contained manganese (0.36 ± 0.004) and magnesium (0.29 ± 0.006). The successful incorporation of iron could be explained by a C-terminal stabilization through the intein fusion. The C-terminal tail of PP1α is not visible in all the structures deposited in the PDB database and often a truncated version PP1α300 instead of PP1α330 is used to achieve crystallization. It is therefore possible that the fusion at the C terminus of the protein with a tag of 27 kDa helps the folding of PP1α by stabilization of the C-terminal region. The induction was carried out at low temperature and with very low concentration of inducer with the aim of slowing down protein synthesis to improve cofactor incorporation and protein folding. An important difference with previous protocols is the absence of addition of MnCl₂ to the growth medium and to the purification buffers, which usually most likely shifts the metal incorporation to the manganese form. Iron ions, as well as manganese ions, are already present in the normal growth media to a certain extent. However, additional FeSO₄ was added to the growth medium in order to favor the incorporation of iron over manganese.

Subsequently, we attempted the incorporation of zinc together with iron to mimic the situation in the native enzyme. We added zinc (a) together with iron during expression, which was unsuccessful; (b) after the Ni²⁺-column purification of Fe-PP1α during cleavage of the tags, which led to incorporation of only about 10% as measured by ICP-OES, and (c) in a metal exchange of the purified Fe-PP1α protein, which led to unspecific binding of Zn²⁺ to the protein as monitored after buffer exchange by ICP-OES. These attempts suggest that the incorporation of Zn²⁺ during recombinant protein expression in E. coli is more challenging than that of iron and manganese. This is contrary to the situation in mammalian cells, where purification of native PP1 from animal tissue resulted in a high incorporation of zinc of 74% (1.48 μM zinc for a protein concentration of 2 μM) with no detectable manganese, and only 8% iron (0.16 μM iron for a protein concentration of 2 μM), which was interpreted as loss of iron during purification and/or storage [8]. When PP1 is expressed in E. coli, incorporation of zinc at a later stage during purification could be more difficult as the enzyme is already folded and the residual divalent cations already bound to the enzyme possibly disturb further zinc incorporation. Also, differences in cation availability and tolerance in bacteria and mammalian cells [22] could be a reason for the different preferential incorporation of metals into PP1.

Here, we then focused on the question of what effect the incorporation of iron has on structure and activity of PP1α compared to the presence of Mn²⁺.

We tested the enzymatic activity of our Fe-PP1α sample with the fluorogenic substrate DiFMUP [11], with para-nitrophenyl phosphate (pNPP) [6,13], and with a phosphopeptide carrying the N-terminal sequence of histone 3, a known PP1 substrate (H3pT3 peptide) [12]. We did not detect dephosphorylation activity with an enzyme concentration as high as 100 nm with H3pT3 peptide (Fig. S1B), and 1 nm with DiFMUP. As Fe-PP1α was purified in the presence of β-ME, a reducing agent that reduces cysteine residues but not iron (III), the freshly purified enzyme was likely in the oxidized Fe(III) state. In turn, incubation of the sample with 1 mM FeCl₂ and 5 mM ascorbate (Asc) for 15 min at RT [23] rescued enzymatic activity, and induced Fe(II)-PP1α-catalyzed H3pT3 peptide and DiFMUP dephosphorylation efficiently (Fig. 1A,C;
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Fig. S1C,D). However, with pNPP still no activity was observed (Fig. 1B), which could reflect that the Fe-containing enzyme appears to be selective toward pSer as reported for the native PP1N [6,13]. Asc addition did not make a difference to the activity of Mn-PP1α (Fig. 1A,B,D). Only at high DiFMUP concentration (400 μM) Asc-treated Mn-PP1α was somewhat more active than the untreated (Fig. S1C), which could be due to residual Fe being present in Mn-PP1α [7]. These results support that oxidation of the iron renders the enzyme inactive and is consistent with the findings of Santos et al. [7] that ascorbate reactivates the metal center of PP1γ during oxidative stress. We then compared the catalytic efficiency of reactivated Fe(II)-PP1α with that of Mn-PP1α using DiFMUP, pNPP and the more physiological H3pT3 peptide substrate (Fig. 1D; Table 2). Probably due to electrochemical properties resulting in a different nucleophilicity of Fe²⁺ compared to Mn²⁺, Fe(II)-PP1α shows in general a lower activity than Mn-PP1α. However, the native enzyme showed a higher activity than Mn-PP1α [8], and one explanation could be that this results from the combination of iron and zinc in the active site of native PP1 exerting an increase in the nucleophilicity of a water molecule and/or electrophilicity of the phosphate moiety in the substrate, or effecting the orientation of the substrate in the cavity [24]. We also found that the relative V/Kₐ values were higher with the H3pT3 peptide as a substrate for Fe(II)-PP1α, which together with the inactivity toward pNPP could reflect differences in substrate specificity compared to Mn-PP1α, as observed previously with purified native PP1N [8]. We furthermore asked whether Fe(II)-PP1α and Mn-PP1α were both sensitive to Inhibitor2 (I2) inhibition, as the native PP1N was found to be highly sensitive to this inhibition [25], and also Mn-PP1α was previously shown to be sensitive to I2 [12]. We observed that both, Fe(II)-PP1α and Mn-PP1α, were sensitive to I2 inhibition (Fig. 1E; Fig. S1D–F). Addition of Asc did not change the degree of sensitivity of Mn-PP1α. Finally, we wondered how native PP1N would behave toward the here tested substrates. Since the PP1N used here contained a contamination (see the materials and methods), a quantitative comparison was not possible, and a titration with I2 was not feasible. Nevertheless, we observed that PP1N dephosphorylated both DiFMUP and the H3pT3 peptide, whereas in agreement with previous studies [6,13], pNPP was not recognized (Fig. 2). Under these conditions, the addition of Asc did not change the activity of the enzyme.

To investigate the structural effects of iron incorporation, we determined the 2.1 Å crystal structure of Fe(III)-PP1α purified in the inactive state (see Table 1 for crystallization statistics). We also soaked crystals in 18 mM ascobate to solve the structure of Fe(II)-PP1α in the active state. Inactive Fe(III)-PP1α forms an αβ fold highly similar to previous Mn-PP1 structures [18,26–28] (Fig. 3A: rmsd 0.350 on superposition of Cα atoms [29] with PDB 4MOV), showing that inactivity of oxidized Fe(III)-PP1α is not due to major structural changes. The side chains overlay well with the structure of apo Mn-PP1α [18] (Fig. 3B), without significant displacements of the active site residues, which gives validity to using Mn²⁺ ions instead of iron in structural studies. As in Mn-PP1α, the two metal ions are bridged by the carboxylic group of D92 and position the catalytic water for the nucleophilic attack (see Fig. 3D for the electron density). The metal ion at site 1 is coordinated by the side chains of N124, H173, and H248, while the second metal binding site is formed by D64 and H66. Fe(III)-PP1α binds inorganic phosphate in the active site that mimics the substrate phosphate as in apo Mn-PP1α, with the side chain of R96 coordinating the phosphate group. Near the entrance to the active site, Y134 in Fe(III)-PP1α shows a different orientation than in Mn-PP1α, with a 1.3 Å displacement.

| Substrate     | Enzyme       | Kᵢ (µM)    | k_cat (s⁻¹)       | k_cat/Kᵢ (µs⁻¹.µM⁻¹) |
|---------------|--------------|------------|-------------------|-----------------------|
| DiFMUP        | Mn-PP1α – Asc | 53.3 ± 12.9 | 5.94 ± 0.45       | 0.11 ± 3.64 e⁻²      |
|               | Mn-PP1α + Asc | 101.1 ± 16.1| 11.3 ± 0.68       | 0.11 ± 2.77 e⁻²      |
|               | Fe-PP1α + Asc | n.a.       | n.a.             | 1.59 ± 0.3 ± 0.6 ± 4  |
| H3pT3 peptide | Mn-PP1α – Asc | n.a.       | n.a.             | 6.03 ± 0.2 ± 3.53 e⁻³ |
|               | Fe-PP1α + Asc | n.a.       | n.a.             | 2.32 ± 0.2 ± 0.38 e⁻³ |

*The k_cat/Kᵢ values with H3pT3 peptide and DiFMUP as substrates have been determined with two different enzymatic assays (see Materials and methods). The enzymatic activity with DiFMUP was monitored with a direct fluorescence assay, while the enzymatic activity with H3pT3 peptide was measured with a coupled assay based on absorbance. Therefore, the comparison in Fig. 1D is carried out with the relative V/Kᵢ taking as reference Mn-PP1α – Asc. *Because the kinetic data did not reach saturation, the k_cat/Kᵢ value was obtained through linear plotting, and the single values could not be determined (see Materials and methods).
of the hydroxyl group. The overlay with other Mn-PP1 structures confirms that although the hydroxyl group of Y134 is similarly pointed outwards in these, it is displaced in Fe-PP1 by 1.0–1.4 Å [12,30,31]. The different orientation of Y134 is stabilized by one bridging water between Y134 and R96, which is not present in Mn-PP1, and this closer orientation toward the active site might account for differences in substrate accommodation. This finding is in agreement with the previous suggestion that incorporation of Mn$^{2+}$ might generate a more open active site [8]. Nevertheless, the presence of zinc in native PP1 could also contribute to substrate specificity, which due to the unaccomplished challenge of generating Fe-Zn-PP1 could not be addressed here. The structure of active Fe(II)-PP1 treated with ascorbate is essentially identical to Fe(III)-PP1 (rmsd 0.127 for superposition of C$\alpha$ atoms [29]) and closer inspection does not identify significant differences in the arrangement of the active site (Fig. 3C). This result shows that the inhibition and rescue of phosphatase activity in Fe-PP1 do not rely on structural changes and are only related to the electronic properties of the iron cofactor.

In conclusion, we developed a protocol for expression and purification of PP1, which provides good yields of highly pure and stable iron-containing enzyme. The protocol does not require expensive or time-consuming procedures such as the use of insect cell lines. The purified enzyme can be easily reactivated and used for biochemical studies. The incorporation of zinc together with iron will have to be accomplished in the future to answer questions on the role of zinc in the catalytic activity of PP1. Incorporation of iron into recombinant PP1 cannot account for all differences between PP1 and bacterially expressed PP1. For example, Fe(II)-PP1 is less active than Mn-PP1, which in turn is less active than native PP1 [8]. Other factors, for example zinc as second metal, will account for that. Nevertheless, Fe(II)-PP1 behaves similar to PP1 in terms of substrate preference, thus reflecting a characteristic of PP1. Furthermore, Fe-PP1 requires activation by oxidation, whereas native PP1 does not, which could be due to the different expression environments including the presence/absence of cofactors and chaperones [13] to protect the iron in PP1 against oxidation. While reversible oxidation of a catalytic cysteine is a well-established mechanism for regulation of protein tyrosine phosphatases [7], the physiological regulation of PP1 by reversible metal oxidation is only beginning to unveil. Our findings show that the oxidation state of the iron, not structural rearrangements within PP1 or of another metal, decides on the activity of PP1, offering a fast redox switch to control PP1 activity. As the most common reducing agent for 1-electron reductions in the cell, the role of ascorbate in reverting oxidative inhibition of the iron cofactor in PP1 is physiologically highly relevant.

![Fig. 2. Activity of native PP1 toward DiFMUP, pNPP and H3pT3 peptide. (A) PP1–DiFMUP dephosphorylation assay. Traces of fluorescence signal with 400 μM DiFMUP after blank subtraction with or without Asc using 5 nM of PP1. Mean ± SEM from two independent experiments are represented. (B) PP1–pNPP dephosphorylation assay. Absorbance signal with 40 μM pNPP after blank subtraction with or without using 50 nM of PP1. The result is the mean ± SEM of two independent experiments. (C) PP1–H3pT3 peptide dephosphorylation in the Enzchek phosphate assay. Absorbance signal after conversion of the substrate MESG by the enzyme PNP in a coupled assay containing 200 nM of PP1 and 100 μM of the H3pT3 peptide. The mean ± SD of three replicates from a single experiment is shown. Concentrations of PP1 are approximate (see the Materials and methods).](image-url)
also depend on metal ions for their catalytic mechanism and are redox regulated [32–34]. Furthermore, the recombinant inactive enzyme could potentially be used as a tool to trap PP1 substrates, altogether providing a resource for future studies of this important enzyme.
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