Integrated Genotypic Analysis of Hedgehog-Related Genes Identifies Subgroups of Keratocystic Odontogenic Tumor with Distinct Clinicopathological Features
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Abstract
Keratocystic odontogenic tumor (KCOT) arises as part of Gorlin syndrome (GS) or as a sporadic lesion. Gene mutations and loss of heterozygosity (LOH) of the hedgehog receptor PTCH1 plays an essential role in the pathogenesis of KCOT. However, some KCOT cases lack evidence for gene alteration of PTCH1, suggesting that other genes in the hedgehog pathway may be affected. PTCH2 and SUFU participate in the occurrence of GS-associated tumors, but their roles in KCOT development are unknown. To elucidate the roles of these genes, we enrolled 36 KCOT patients in a study to sequence their entire coding regions of PTCH1, PTCH2 and SUFU. LOH and immunohistochemical expression of these genes, as well as the downstream targets of hedgehog signaling, were examined using surgically-excised KCOT tissues. PTCH1 mutations, including four novel ones, were found in 9 hereditary KCOT patients, but not in sporadic KCOT patients. A pathogenic mutation of PTCH2 or SUFU was not found in any patients. LOH at PTCH1 and SUFU loci correlated with the presence of epithelial budding. KCOT harboring a germline mutation (Type 1) showed nuclear localization of GLI2 and frequent histological findings such as budding and epithelial islands, as well as the highest recurrence rate. KCOT with LOH but without a germline mutation (Type 2) less frequently showed these histological features, and the recurrence rate was lower. KCOT with neither germline mutation nor LOH (Type 3) consisted of two subgroups, Type 3A and 3B, which were characterized by nuclear and cytoplasmic GLI2 localization, respectively. Type 3B rarely exhibited budding and recurrence, behaving as the most amicable entity. The expression patterns of CCND1 and BCL2 tended to correlate with these subgroups. Our data indicates a significant role of PTCH1 and SUFU in the pathogenesis of KCOT, and the genotypic-oriented subgroups constitute entities with different potential aggressiveness.

Introduction
Gorlin syndrome (GS) is a rare autosomal-dominant genetic disease, characterized by developmental disorders, such as falk cerebri calcification, palmoplantar pits and rib deformities. Patients are also predisposed to several neoplasms, including basal cell carcinoma (BCC), medulloblastoma and fibroma of ovary or heart [1,2]. Mutations in PTCH1, the homologue of drosophila segment polarity gene patched, have been found in GS patients, and this spectrum of neoplasms is thought to arise due to a malfunction of PTCH1 [3,4]. The Patched protein is a 12-pass transmembrane receptor of Hedgehog, and it behaves as a tumor suppressor [5]. Binding with Hedgehog relieves the inhibitory effect of Patched on the latent activity of Smoothened. This results in activation of the transcription factor cubitus interruptus (Ci), whose mammalian homologue is Gli, which mediates the hedgehog target gene expression [6]. Gli regulates a broad range of downstream gene targets such as PTCH1, Cyclin D (CCND), BCL2 and FOXM1 [7,8,9].

Jaw cysts are another major manifestation that frequently arises in GS patients [10–13]. Theses cysts exhibit specific microscopic features such as parakeratinized squamous epithelial lining and a palisaded basal layer [14]. The lesion with these histological features develops also sporadically in non-GS patients and shows potential for a locally destructive behavior and a higher recurrence rate than the other jaw cysts. Accordingly, the WHO working group recognized this cystic lesion as a tumor and recommended the use of the term keratocystic odontogenic tumor (KCOT) [14].

In Knudson’s two-hit theory of tumorigenesis, which was developed to understand the pathogenesis of retinoblastoma, the
tumor is thought to arise due to loss of function at both loci of the tumor suppressor gene, RBl [13]. In a familial retinoblastoma patient, the mutation of RBl (the first hit) has been germinally transmitted, and any postnatal insult on the unaffected allele (the second hit) would trigger tumor formation, explaining the high incidence and the early onset of retinoblastoma and predisposition to other tumors such as osteosarcoma [16]. Loss of heterozygosity (LOH) at the tumor suppressor gene locus is a common hallmark of tumors [17] and is suggested as a key mechanism for malfunction of the gene as well as a point mutation in tumor development [18]. The pathogenesis of GS-associated tumors can also be understood according to the two-hit concept of PTCH1 [19–20]. More than 90% of GS-associated BCC [20,21] and 50–90% of sporadic BCC [22–24] have LOH at the PTCH1 locus, suggesting that allelic loss is a key event that underlies the development of both GS-associated and sporadic BCC. Similarly, 50–80% of GS-associated KCOT carries LOH at the PTCH1 locus, and LOH is also detected in about 30% of sporadic KCOT [18,19]. Although these results have demonstrated the essential role of PTCH1 in the occurrence of BCC and KCOT, there are cases in which no alteration of PTCH1 is detected. 10–20% GS patients have no PTCH1 mutations, and PTCH1 LOH is not always detected in KCOT. This suggests that other genes in the hedgehog signaling pathway may be affected in these diseases.

PTCH2 is another homologue of drosophila patched, which shares a 56% overall amino acid sequence identity to PTCH1. A germline mutation of PTCH2 was identified in a familial GS pedigree that had no mutation in PTCH1 [25]. Somatic mutations of PTCH2 were found in sporadic BCC and medulloblastoma [26]. These results suggest that PTCH2 can act in a similar way as PTCH1 in the development of these tumors.

Suppressor of fused is a negative regulator of the hedgehog pathway. Its mammalian homologue, Sufu, binds to Gli and antagonizes its activity by sequestering Gli proteins in the cytoplasm or inhibiting Gli transcriptional activity in the nucleus [27,20]. Missense or nonsense mutations of SUFU have been noted in GS patients [29,30], and a high incidence of KCOT-like jaw lesions and BCC-like skin tumors have been observed in Sufu−/− mice [31]. These results suggest that malfunction of PTCH2 and SUFU may cause the symptoms of GS, but their contribution to KCOT development in humans remains unclear.

We have hypothesized the following: 1) PTCH2 and SUFU may be affected in GS patients who have no PTCH1 mutation; 2) sporadic KCOT patients may be predisposed to KCOT because of heterozygous germline mutations of PTCH1, PTCH2 or SUFU, whose phenotypes are too weak to present with any other symptoms; and 3) sporadic KCOT that has no alteration in PTCH1 locus may develop as a result of alterations in PTCH2 or SUFU locus.

The present study was initiated in order to clarify the contribution of PTCH2 and SUFU to KCOT development. Mutational analysis of PTCH1, PTCH2 and SUFU was conducted on patients with KCOT, and LOH analysis and immunohistochemical analysis were performed on the surgically-excised KCOT specimens (Figure 1A). The genotypes were correlated to the phenotypes, with reference to clinical, histological and immunohistological parameters. Our results indicated a significant role of SUFU as well as PTCH1, in contrast to a minor role of PTCH2, in the pathogenesis of KCOT. Furthermore, comparison across the genotype-oriented subgroups revealed that each has distinct clinicopathological features.

Materials and Methods

Patients

Thirty-six Japanese patients with KCOT who visited the Tokyo Medical and Dental University Hospital were enrolled in this study. They were 20 males and 16 females whose ages at first visit to our clinic ranged from 10 to 81 years, with a median of 32.0 years. Sixteen patients fulfilled the diagnostic criteria of GS [12], and they are referred to as hereditary KCOT patients hereafter. The remaining 20 patients, who had none of the symptoms of GS other than KCOT, are referred to as sporadic KCOT patients hereafter. All of the sporadic KCOT patients had a solitary lesion. The hereditary KCOT patients had 2.8 lesions on average (range, 1–7 lesions). The age of hereditary KCOT patients (median, 17.0 years) was significantly lower than that of sporadic KCOT patients (median, 57.5 years).

All experiments were performed according to the protocols that were reviewed and approved by the ethical committee of Tokyo Medical and Dental University. For those agreeing to participate in this study, written consent was obtained from patients 20 years of age or older, and from the parent of minor children.

DNA Extraction

Buccal swab or peripheral blood samples were collected from the patients and from 10 healthy volunteers. DNA extraction from buccal swab samples was carried out using a QIAamp DNA FFPE Tissue Kit (Qiagen, CA, USA). DNA extraction from blood was performed using a Wizard Genomic DNA Purification Kit (Promega, WI, USA). Formalin-fixed, paraffin-embedded surgical specimens were cut into 12 μm thick sections and deparaffinized, and the lining epithelium (tumor cells) and the fibrous connective tissue (normal cells) were separately microdissected using a 29-gauge needle. Tissues with inflammatory infiltrate were excluded to avoid contamination of lymphocytes. DNA was extracted using a QIAamp DNA FFPE Tissue Kit (Qiagen).

PCR and DNA Sequencing

Genomic fragments containing coding exons and flanking introns of PTCH1 (exon 1–23), PTCH2 (exon 1–22) and SUFU (exon 1–12) were obtained by PCR using primers listed in Table S1. PCR was performed using a total volume of 30 μL containing about 1 ng of template DNA, 6.25 μmol dNTPs, 20 pmol of each primer, 0.25 U of PrimeSTAR GXL DNA polymerase (TaKaRa Bio, Shiga, Japan). All PCR amplifications were done at 95°C for 2 min, followed by 32 cycles of 94°C for 30 sec, 60°C for 30 sec and 72°C for 30 sec. PCR products were purified using a MonoFas DNA purification Kit (GL Sciences, Tokyo, Japan). DNA sequencing was performed using BigDye Terminator v1.1 Cycle Sequencing Kit and ABI PRISM 3130×l Genetic Analyzer (Life Technologies, CA, USA). Identified mutations were compared to the registered sequences on the Human Gene Mutation Database (http://www.hgmd.org/).

LOH Analysis

Primer sequences of the microsatellite markers are listed in Table S1. One of each primer pair was 5’-labeled with FAM. PCR was performed separately on DNA samples obtained from tumor cells and normal cells. After confirming correct amplification by agarose gel electrophoresis, the PCR products were analyzed using ABI PRISM 3130xl Genetic Analyzer (Life Technologies). The ratios of short allele-normal (Sn) versus short allele-tumor (St) and long allele-normal (Ln) versus long allele-tumor (Lt) were calculated, and more than 50% difference between St/Sn and Lt/Ln was regarded as LOH. When all the microsatellite markers were...
Figure 1. Analyses of gene mutation and LOH. A) Experimental scheme. Mutational analysis was conducted on patients with KCOT. LOH analysis and immunohistochemical analysis were performed using surgically excised KCOT specimens. B) Predicted secondary structure of PTCH1, showing the location of mutations. The black circles designate previously-reported mutations, and the red circles designate novel mutations. EC, Extracellular; IC, Intracellular; TM, Transmembrane domain. The thick black line represents a sterol-sensing domain. C) A family tree of Case 25, 26, and Case 27 and the electropherograms showing the PTCH1 mutations. Two missense mutations (2223C>T, 3953C>T) were found in the PTCH1 coding region in this pedigree. D) A family tree of Case 36 and the electropherogram showing missense mutations of PTCH1 (3395T>C) and PTCH2 (524G>T). E) Electropherograms showing LOH of PTCH1 (D9S287, Case 12), PTCH2 (D1S2713, Case 13) and SUFU (D10S1267, Case 18) (arrows). Normal, normal cells; Tumor, KCOT cells.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0070995.g001
were homozygous in the normal cells, the case was considered non-informative. LOH of at least one microsatellite marker was regarded as LOH at the corresponding allele.

**Histological and Immunohistological Analyses**

All the specimens were reevaluated and the diagnoses of KCOT were confirmed. Epithelial budding, epithelial islands and daughter cysts were checked according to the criteria described in the literature [32]. Epithelial budding is distinct mural invagination from the basal layer into the underlying fibrous connective tissue. An epithelial island is a detached lump of epithelial cells. A daughter cyst is a small cyst full of keratin squames, which locates far from the main cystic cavity. Two examiners independently assessed the microscopic findings and confirmed each evaluation. Immunohistochemical staining was performed as previously described [33] using anti-GLI1 (H-300, Santa Cruz Biotechnology, CA, USA), GLI2 (H-300, Santa Cruz Biotechnology), PTCH1 (C55A3, Cell Signaling Technology, MA, USA), PTCH2 (N-19, Santa Cruz Biotechnology), SUFU (C81H7 and C54G2, Cell Signaling Technology), Cyclin-D1 (SP4, Nichirei Biosciences, Tokyo, Japan), BCL2 (124, Dako, Glostrup, Denmark) and FOXM1 (D12D5, Cell Signaling Technology) antibodies.

**Statistical Analysis**

Statistical analysis was performed using the chi-square test or Fisher’s exact test where appropriate. Probability values less than 0.05 were considered statistically significant.

**Results**

**Mutational Analysis of PTCH1, PTCH2 and SUFU in KCOT Patients**

We sequenced all the coding exons of PTCH1, PTCH2 and SUFU and found 12 heterozygous mutations of PTCH1 in 9 patients. All 9 were hereditary KCOT patients. Cases 25, 26 and 27, which were in the same family, had two mutations. Cases 35 and 36 were not relatives as far as we could determine, but they had the same mutation (Table 1). Thus, 9 of 16 (56%) hereditary KCOT patients or 7 of 12 (58%) hereditary KCOT pedigrees carried the PTCH1 mutations. There were 5 missense mutations in 5 pedigrees, 2 frameshift/deletion mutations in 2 patients, and a nonsense mutation in one patient (Table 1). To the best of our knowledge, 4 PTCH1 mutations (2223C>T, 3953C>T, 1590_1600del, 2180delT) were novel ones (Figure 1B). One patient (Case 36) had a novel PTCH2 nonsense mutation (524G>T), but the significance of this mutation was indefinite because the patient also had a PTCH1 missense mutation that was identical to another unrelated patient (Case 35). The pedigrees of GS families and the representative electropherograms are shown in Figures 1C and 1D. The mutations were more frequent in the intracellular region (Figure 1B). One was in the putative sterol-sensing domain, but a mutational hot spot was not evident. We also checked genotype-phenotype correlations and we observed that, in comparison with Case 33, Case 31 had a larger deletion including the sterol-sensing domain, and it had one more major symptom—calcification of falx cerebri, implying that amino acid 622–745 may associate with calcification of falx cerebri in the deletion mutant. Correlations between the gene mutation and the clinical phenotype were not evident, and no mutation was found in the SUFU gene in any patients.

In summary, our patients harbored only PTCH1 mutations, except for one who also had a PTCH2 mutation, and there were no cases indicating that a germline mutation of PTCH2 or SUFU has a role in KCOT development. Sporadic KCOT patients had none of the gene mutations, which suggest that they are not genetically predisposed to KCOT or any other tumors.

**LOHs at the PTCH1, PTCH2, and SUFU Loci in KCOT**

To examine the contribution of the allelic loss of PTCH1, PTCH2, and SUFU to KCOT development, tumor cells and normal cells were separately collected from surgical specimens, and LOH analysis was conducted using the short tandem repeat polymorphic markers flanking each gene locus. PCR was successfully done from DNA extracted from formalin-fixed paraffin-embedded tissue in 33 out of 36 specimens. The representative electrophoreograms displaying LOH are shown in Figure 1E. LOH of at least one gene locus was observed in 11 cases of hereditary KCOT (79%) and 8 of sporadic KCOT (42%) (Table 1). The most frequently affected locus was 9q22-q31 (PTCH1) (42%), followed by 10q24 (SUFU) (24%) and 1p34 (PTCH2) (21%). LOHs at PTCH1 and SUFU were more frequently observed in hereditary KCOT than in sporadic KCOT (P = 0.0005 and P = 0.04, respectively). The occurrence of LOH at PTCH2 showed no significant difference between hereditary and sporadic KCOT (P = 0.68).

In summary, LOH was most frequent in the PTCH1 locus, followed by SUFU and then PTCH2. Compared to sporadic KCOT, hereditary KCOT exhibited more LOH at the PTCH1 and SUFU loci.

**Constant Nuclear Localization of GLI2 in KCOT with Genetic Alterations**

To explore the correlation between the genetic alteration and the protein expression, we examined immunohistological expression of PTCH1, PTCH2 and SUFU in KCOT. To assess the activity of hedgehog signaling pathway, we also examined GLI1, GLI2, and their putative downstream targets, CCND1, FOXM1 and BCL2. GLI2 was expressed in all KCOT samples, and there was no apparent correlation between the level of expression and the presence of gene mutation or LOH. However, we found a correlation between the localization of GLI2 protein and the presence of LOH. The intracellular localization of GLI2 was evaluated in each case and scored either as nuclear (N) or cytoplasmic (C) (Figure 2A, Table 1). In all 8 sporadic KCOT with at least one LOH, GLI2 was observed predominantly in the nucleus (8/8, 100%, Figure 2B, Table 1). In contrast, in 6 out of 12 sporadic KCOT without LOH, GLI2 was observed mainly in the cytoplasm (6/12, 50%). The remaining 6 sporadic KCOT without LOH showed nuclear localization of GLI2. All hereditary KCOT showed nuclear localization of GLI2 (Figure 2B, Table 1). CCND1 and BCL2 were expressed in all KCOT. Since the expression patterns were different across cases, we evaluated the expression patterns of CCND1 and BCL2 in each case. The presence of positive cells was examined separately in the basal (B) and the suprabasal (S) layers, and the dominant pattern was scored either as B (basal-dominant), S (suprabasal-dominant) or BS (basal and suprabasal) (Figure 3A, 3B, Table 1). As for CCND1, sporadic KCOT without LOH tended to show the S pattern, while the other cases of KCOT mostly showed the BS pattern, indicating that CCND1 expression in the basal layer was weak in sporadic KCOT without LOH (Figure 3C). Furthermore, all the cases with cytoplasmic GLI2 showed the S pattern of CCND1 (Figure 3C). BCL2 was expressed ubiquitously in the basal layer (Figure 3B). Most cases with cytoplasmic GLI2 showed the B pattern of BCL2, while the other types of KCOT were often accompanied with the BS pattern (Figure 3C). FOXM1 was expressed in the parabasal layer and less frequently in the basal layer (Figure S1). There was
Table 1. Summary of genetic alterations and clinicopathological features of KCOT patients.

| Case | Age | Sex | Mutation (Coding exon) | LOH | Phenotype |
|------|-----|-----|-------------------------|-----|-----------|
|      |     |     | SUFU | PTCH1 | 10q24 (SUFU) | 9q22-q31 (PTCH1) | 1p34 (PTCH2) | GLI2 | CCND1 | BCL2 | Epithelial budding | Epithelial island | Daughter cyst | Recurrence |
| 1    | 16  | M   | NI   | NI    | NI          | –            | +          | –   | N     | S     | BS   | –          | –          | –     | + (1)   |
| 2    | 23  | F   | –    | –     | –          | –            | –          | –   | N     | BS    | B    | –          | –          | –     | –       |
| 3    | 23  | M   | –    | –     | –          | –            | –          | –   | N     | BS    | BS   | –          | –          | –     | –       |
| 4    | 25  | M   | –    | –     | –          | +            | –          | –   | N     | BS    | Ni   | –          | –          | –     | –       |
| 5    | 29  | M   | –    | –     | –          | –            | –          | –   | C     | S     | B    | –          | –          | –     | –       |
| 6    | 29  | F   | –    | –     | –          | –            | –          | –   | N     | BS    | –    | –          | –          | –     | –       |
| 7    | 32  | M   | –    | –     | –          | –            | –          | –   | C     | S     | B    | –          | –          | –     | –       |
| 8    | 47  | M   | –    | –     | –          | –            | –          | –   | C     | S     | B    | –          | –          | –     | –       |
| 9    | 50  | F   | –    | –     | –          | +            | –          | +   | N     | S     | BS   | –          | –          | –     | –       |
| 10   | 57  | F   | –    | –     | –          | –            | –          | –   | N     | BS    | –    | –          | –          | –     | –       |
| 11   | 58  | F   | –    | –     | –          | N            | –          | +   | N     | BS    | –    | –          | –          | –     | –       |
| 12   | 59  | F   | –    | –     | N           | –            | –          | +   | N     | BS    | BS   | –          | –          | –     | –       |
| 13   | 61  | M   | –    | –     | –          | –            | +          | –   | N     | BS    | BS   | +          | –          | +     | –       |
| 14   | 65  | M   | –    | –     | –          | –            | –          | –   | N     | BS    | –    | –          | +          | –     | –       |
| 15   | 66  | F   | –    | –     | –          | –            | +          | +   | N     | BS    | BS   | +          | –          | F     | –       |
| 16   | 68  | M   | –    | –     | N           | –            | +          | –   | N     | Ni    | Ni   | –          | –          | –     | –       |
| 17   | 69  | M   | Ni   | Ni    | Ni          | –            | –          | +   | C     | S     | B    | –          | –          | –     | –       |
| 18   | 75  | M   | –    | –     | –          | +            | –          | –   | N     | BS    | BS   | +          | –          | –     | –       |
| 19   | 79  | M   | Ni   | Ni    | Ni          | –            | –          | –   | N     | BS    | –    | –          | –          | –     | –       |
| 20   | 81  | M   | –    | –     | –          | –            | –          | –   | C     | S     | BS   | –          | –          | –     | –       |
| 21a  | 20  | M   | –    | –     | –          | +            | +          | +   | N     | BS    | BS   | +          | +          | +     | –       |
| 22a  | 45  | F   | –    | –     | –          | NI           | NI          | Ni  | N     | Ni    | Ni   | –          | –          | –     | +       |
| 23a  | 10  | F   | –    | –     | –          | –            | –          | –   | N     | BS    | BS   | +          | +          | +     | +       |
| 24a  | 13  | F   | –    | –     | +          | +            | +          | +   | N     | BS    | Ni   | +          | +          | +     | +       |
| 25a  | 10  | F   | 2223C>T (A741V) | –      | –          | –            | –          | +   | N     | BS    | –    | –          | –          | –     | +       |

LoH and Phenotype:

- **LoH:** 1q (SUFU), 9q22-q31 (PTCH1), 1p34 (PTCH2)
- **Phenotype:** KCOT, BCC, Skin pit, Rib anomaly, Calcification of falx cerebri
- **Family with GS:**
| Case | Age | Sex | Mutation (Coding exon) | LOH | Phenotype |
|------|-----|-----|------------------------|-----|-----------|
|      |     |     |                        |     |           |
|      |     |     | 10q24 (SUFU)           |     |           |
|      |     |     | 9q22-q31 (PTCH1)       |     |           |
|      |     |     | 1p34 (PTCH2)           |     |           |
|      |     |     | GU2                    |     |           |
|      |     |     | CON1                   |     |           |
|      |     |     | BCL2                   |     |           |
|      |     |     | Epithelial budding      |     |           |
|      |     |     | Epithelial island       |     |           |
|      |     |     | Daughter cyst           |     |           |
|      |     |     | Recurrence             |     |           |
|      |     |     | BCC                    |     |           |
|      |     |     | Skin pit               |     |           |
|      |     |     | Rib anomaly             |     |           |
|      |     |     | Calcification of falx cerebri | |           |
|      |     |     | Family with GS         |     |           |
|      |     |     |                        |     |           |
| 26 *3| 13  | F   | 223C>T (A741V)         | +   | +         |
|      |     |     | 395T>C>T (P1318L)      |     |           |
| 27 *3| 35  | F   | 223C>T (A741V)         | +   | +         |
|      |     |     | 395T>C>T (P1318L)      |     |           |
| 28   | 10  | M   | 387G>A (W129X)         | +   | +         |
| 29   | 10  | F   | -                      | +   |            |
| 30   | 14  | M   | 3583A>T (T1195S)       | -   |            |
| 31   | 15  | M   | 1590_1600del11 (P53fsX622) | -   | +         |
| 32   | 19  | M   | -                      | -   |            |
| 33   | 19  | F   | 2180delT (C7276X745)   | -   |            |
| 34   | 34  | F   | -                      | -   |            |
| 35   | 38  | M   | 3395T>C (S1132P)       | +   | +         |
| 36   | 65  | M   | 3395T>C (S1132P)       | +   | +         |

Table footnotes are as follows: *Number; Pedigree number; **, Previously-reported mutation; +, Positive; -, Negative; NI, Non-informative; N, Nuclear; C, Cytoplasmic; B, Basal; S, Suprabasal; BS, Basal and suprabasal; (Number), Number of daughter cyst; F, Treated by fenestration, not enucleation.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0070995.t001
no significant difference across the cases in the expression patterns of FOXM1. Immunohistochemical expression of PTCH1, PTCH2 and SUFU was ubiquitously observed in KCOT, and the staining intensities and localizations did not significantly differ and did not correlate with the presence of a mutation or LOH (Figure S2). Immunohistological examination using the GLI1 antibody yielded either no staining or insignificant staining even in control tissues, which we concluded was a weak non-specific reaction (our unpublished observation).

Table 2. Correlation between the presence of each LOH and the histological parameters.

| LOH       | Epithelial budding |  |  |  | Daughters cyst |  |
|-----------|-------------------|  |  |  |                |  |
|           | present | absent | P value | present | absent | P value | present | absent | P value |
| 9q22-q31 (PTCH1) | present | 10 | 4 | 0.013 | 4 | 10 | 0.46 | 5 | 9 | 0.18 |
|           | absent | 5 | 14 | 0.39 | 4 | 15 | 0.47 | 2 | 5 | 0.56 |
| 1p34 (PTCH2) | present | 4 | 3 | 0.0088 | 2 | 6 | 0.56 | 4 | 4 | 0.074 |
|           | absent | 11 | 15 | 0.56 | 5 | 20 | 0.002 | 10 | 10 | 0.002 |

LOHs at the PTCH1 and SUFU loci were significantly associated with the presence of epithelial budding, while PTCH2 LOH was not. No correlation was observed between the other histological features and LOH at any loci.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0070995.t002
LOH at \(\text{PTCH1}\) and \(\text{SUFU}\) Loci Pertains to Epithelial Budding, which Predisposes for Recurrence

LOHs at chromosome 1p and 9q are correlated with histological subtypes in glioma and medulloblastoma, respectively, and they can mold a histological and clinical phenotype [34,35]. We speculated that the LOHs were correlated to the histological and clinical features of KCOT, and we checked this hypothesis. Although all KCOT shares common histological features, variations in histological patterns, such as daughter cysts, epithelial islands and epithelial budding, are occasionally observed (Figure S3). We assessed these variables and examined the correlation with LOH. LOHs at \(\text{PTCH1}\) and \(\text{SUFU}\) loci were significantly associated with the presence of epithelial budding (Table 2), while \(\text{PTCH2}\) LOH was not. No correlation was observed between the presence of epithelial islands or daughter cysts and LOH at any loci (all \(P > 0.05\)).

Average ages of patients with and without \(\text{PTCH1}\) LOH were 25 and 48 years, respectively, suggesting that \(\text{PTCH1}\) LOH was significantly correlated with early onset of the lesion (\(P = 0.005\)), while \(\text{PTCH2}\) (\(P = 1.00\)) and \(\text{SUFU}\) (\(P = 0.72\)) were not. No correlation was observed between the presence of any LOH and the size of tumor. Post surgery recurrence was observed in seven of the total 33 KCOT that were treated by enucleation and followed for more than a year. Although the recurrence was not correlated with any LOH, it was significantly correlated with the presence of epithelial budding (\(P = 0.014\)), but not with the presence of daughter cysts (\(P = 0.53\)) or epithelial islands (\(P = 0.36\)). Finally, epithelial budding (\(P = 0.001\)) and epithelial islands (\(P = 0.001\)) were more frequently observed in hereditary lesions.

In summary, LOHs at \(\text{PTCH1}\) and \(\text{SUFU}\) loci pertain to epithelial budding, which predisposes for recurrence.

**Discussion**

We engaged in mutational analysis of sporadic KCOT patients to check the hypothesis that they may be predisposed to KCOT because of germline mutations of \(\text{PTCH1}\), \(\text{PTCH2}\) or \(\text{SUFU}\), whose phenotypes are too weak to present with any other symptoms. Against our expectations, none of the sporadic KCOT patients harbored a gene mutation. This indicates that normal follow-up for local recurrence is sufficient as clinical management of sporadic KCOT patients.

We saw a high incidence of \(\text{PTCH1}\) mutations in our hereditary KCOT patients, all of whom met the diagnostic criteria for GS, and we could demonstrate four novel mutations, while no mutation was found in the \(\text{PTCH2}\) or \(\text{SUFU}\) gene. These results

---

**Figure 3. Immunohistochemical expression of \text{CCND1} and \text{BCL2} in KCOT.**

A) \text{CCND1}. Each case was classified either as the basal and suprabasal (BS) pattern or the suprabasal-dominant (S) pattern. The basal-dominant (B) pattern was not observed. The dotted line indicates a basement membrane. Scale bars = 30 μm. B) \text{BCL2}. Each case was classified either as the basal and suprabasal (BS) pattern or the basal-dominant (B) pattern. The suprabasal-dominant (S) pattern was not observed. Scale bars = 30 μm. C) Schematic table of the \text{CCND1} and \text{BCL2} expression patterns in each subgroup. Number of cases with the designated expression patterns are depicted (see also Table 1). The cases in which the examiners’ evaluation split were excluded.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0070995.g003
confirm the predominance of \textit{PTCH1}-associated GS families in the current human population. A germline mutation of \textit{SUFU} has been reported only in 6 patients, 5 of whom developed medulloblastoma [30]. Although the incidence of medulloblastoma appears higher in \textit{Ptch1}^{+/−} mice than \textit{Sufu}^{+/−} mice [36,37], haploinsufficiency of \textit{SUFU} in humans seemingly leads to a stronger predisposition to medulloblastoma [38] compared to haploinsufficiency of \textit{PTCH1}, in which the patients develop medulloblastoma at less than 10% frequency [39]. This malignant brain tumor mainly arises in children. Unlike people affected by BCC or KCOT, most untreated medulloblastoma patients die before reaching reproductive age. Natural selection due to the high incidence of lethal medulloblastoma might have decreased the \textit{SUFU}-mutant pedigrees more than the \textit{Ptch1}-mutant pedigrees.

\textbf{KCOT exhibited a variety of genetic alterations, and we propose to divide them into three groups:} 1) KCOT with a germline mutation in the hedgehog-related gene; 2) KCOT with LOH but without a germline mutation; and 3) KCOT with neither a germline mutation nor LOH. We have designated these as Types 1, 2 and 3. Our data clearly demonstrated that all Type 1 KCOT developed with systemic abnormalities and were diagnosed with GS. Gene mutations were not detected in six GS patients. Among these, five were familial cases, indicating that they must have a pathogenic gene alteration in the germline, and implying that these can be regarded as putative Type 1 cases, although the mutation was not specified in the scope of the present investigation. Only one case lacked evidence of a germline gene alteration since it was a non-familial case, and our effort to find a gene mutation failed.

Besides the classic two-hit mechanism, a tumor can arise when only one allele of the tumor suppressor gene is affected [18,40]. For example, medulloblastoma and BCC-like skin tumors in \textit{Ptch1}^{+/−} or \textit{Sufu}^{+/−} mice can retain the wild-type allele of the genes [31,36,41,42]. This gene-dosage effect, namely haploinsufficiency, is thought to be an important mechanism for tumor development [40]. In fact, the cases that met the two-hit model, in which \textit{PTCH1} LOH and mutation coexisted, constituted only half of the Type 1 lesions.

In Type 1 and Type 2 lesions, genetic insult in the hedgehog signaling pathway is highly suspected as the etiology. This notion is supported by the consistent observation of GLI2 nuclear localization in these lesions. Gli is a dual-functional transcription factor. In the absence of hedgehog signal input, Gli is proteolytically processed into a transcriptional repressor. When the hedgehog signaling pathway is activated, Gli is converted into a transcriptional activator and it translocates to the nucleus [6]. \textit{Gli2} transgenic mice have developed BCC-like skin tumors and
keratinizing jaw cysts, indicating that GlI2 overexpression is sufficient for jaw cyst formation [43,44]. GlI1 is another well-defined hedgehog signaling effector, but there is no report on occurrence of jaw cysts in GlI1 transgenic mice, suggesting that GlI2 is more essential than GlI1 in KCOT development. In addition, we found substantial expression of several GlI2 downstream targets, such as CCND1, BCL2 and FOXM1, in KCOT. Collectively, Type 1 and Type 2 are characterized by evident indication of both the genetic alteration and the activation of hedgehog signaling pathway.

In contrast, Type 3 is a lesion in which evidence of genetic insult on the hedgehog pathway is lacking. Type 3 may consist of two different entities, namely Type 3A and Type 3B. Type 3A exhibited the nuclear accumulation of GlI2, suggesting that the hedgehog pathway was activated like Type 1 and Type 2, probably by a tumor cell-specific somatic mutation. This can be checked by DNA sequencing of KCOT cells. However, the quality of DNA obtained from formalin-fixed paraffin embedded specimens was not sufficient to obtain all the necessary PCR products for comprehensive sequencing (data not shown). Microdissection of KCOT cells from a fresh tissue sample is necessary to investigate the somatic mutation. Type 3B presented the cytoplasmic localization of GlI2, the reduced expression of CCND1 in the basal layer and the reduced expression of BCL2 in the suprabasal layer, suggesting a low activity of the hedgehog signaling pathway (Figure 3). It should be noted that FOXM1 was expressed in Type 3B in a similar fashion to the other types. This may suggest that the low activity of the hedgehog signaling pathway is enough for the upregulation of FOXM1.

Formation of epithelial budding, epithelial islands and daughter cysts appears to involve different cell behaviors from those required for cyst formation. Cyst would be formed solely by proliferation of the basal cells with accumulation of cyst contents pushing the wall outward. In contrast, formation of epithelial islands and daughter cysts would need invasive protrusion of KCOT nests into the surrounding connective tissue. This tendency of protrusion into the stromal tissue is reminiscent of early stages of tooth germ formation that is initiated with invagination of primitive oral epithelium. Epithelial budding in KCOT may also recapitulate this initial stage of anlage development. We assume that these histological features may represent the invasive potential of KCOT. Interestingly, the incidences of these histological features tended to correlate with the types of KCOT. Epithelial budding was observed at a significantly higher frequency in Type 1 compared with Type 2 and Type 3, and it was more frequent in Type 2 than in Type 3. Also, epithelial islands and daughter cysts were more frequent in Type 1. Notably, we did not find any of these histological features in Type 3B, which is in line with the fact that no recurrence was detected in the Type 3B cases.

The presence of LOH at the PTCH1 and SUFU loci was correlated with a tendency toward epithelial budding, whereas PTCH2 was not. Sfu/−/− [31,45,46] and Pkh1/−/− [45,47] mice had a unique histological phenotype of the skin, showing basal cell hyperplasia and elongated rete-ridge-like protrusions that are reminiscent of epithelial budding. This skin phenotype was observed in the Sfu/−/− mice with 100% penetrance [31,46], suggesting that haploinsufficiency of these genes leads to aberrant regulation of rete ridge morphogenesis. On the contrary, PTCH2 knockout mice did not have any significant phenotypes [48]. We speculate that the contribution of PTCH2 to KCOT development may not be large compared to PTCH1 and SUFU.

Figure 4 summarizes the geno-phenotype correlation in KCOT. KCOT with a germline mutation (Type 1) showed nuclear GLI2 accumulation and frequent findings of epithelial budding, epithelial islands and daughter cysts, and the highest recurrence rate. KCOT with LOH but without a germline mutation (Type 2) also presented nuclear GLI2 accumulation, a less frequent occurrence of the abovementioned findings, and a lower recurrent rate compared to Type 1. KCOT with neither a germline mutation nor LOH (Type 3) seemingly consists of two entities. Type 3B lesions exhibited cytoplasmic localization of GLI2, and epithelial budding, epithelial islands, daughter cysts and recurrence were rare, constituting the most amicable entity. The term ‘tumor’ might not be appropriate for Type 3B lesions.

In conclusion, our data demonstrated that KCOT consists of genetically heterogenous entities. Alteration of SUFU as well as PTCH1 is associated with distinct clinicopathological features. The subgroups on the basis of the presence of gene mutation and LOH constitute entities with different potential aggressiveness, suggesting that the biological behavior of KCOT may be predicted more accurately by dividing it into genotype-oriented subtypes.

Supporting Information

Figure S1 Immunohistochemical expression of FOXM1 in KCOT. There was no significant difference in the FOXM1 expression pattern across the cases. Scale bars = 30 μm. (TIF)

Figure S2 Immunohistochemical expression of PTCH1, PTCH2 and SUFU in KCOT. The staining intensities and localizations did not significantly differ and did not correlate with the presence of mutations or LOH. Scale bars = 30 μm. (TIF)

Figure S3 Histology of KCOT. A) A neoplastic parakeratized squamous epithelium has a flat interface with the connective tissue. Scale bar = 30 μm. B) Epithelial budding. The neoplastic epithelium extends toward the fibrous connective tissue. Scale bar = 30 μm. C) Epithelial island. Detached lumps of epithelial cells in the fibrous connective tissue (arrows). Scale bar = 60 μm. D) Daughter cyst. A small cyst that is separated from the main cyst cavity. Scale bar = 200 μm. (TIF)

Table S1 List of PCR primers. (DOC)
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