A GENERALISATION OF THE HOPF CONSTRUCTION
AND HARMONIC MORPHISMS INTO $S^2$
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Abstract. In this paper we construct a new family of harmonic morphisms $\varphi : V^5 \to S^2$, where $V^5$ is a 5-dimensional open manifold contained in an ellipsoidal hypersurface of $\mathbb{C}^4 = \mathbb{R}^8$. These harmonic morphisms admit a continuous extension to the completion $V^*^5$, which turns out to be an explicit real algebraic variety. We work in the context of a generalization of the Hopf construction and equivariant theory.

1. Introduction

Harmonic maps are critical points of the energy functional

$$E(\varphi) = \frac{1}{2} \int_M |d\varphi|^2 dv_g,$$

where $\varphi : (M, g) \to (N, h)$ is a smooth map between two Riemannian manifolds $M$ and $N$. This is a very wide area of research, involving a rich interplay of geometry, analysis and topology. We refer to [3, 4] for notation and background on harmonic maps and to [2] for a more recent bibliography.

A geometrically significant sub-family of harmonic maps is represented by the so-called harmonic morphisms. An exhaustive reference for this topic is the book of P. Baird and J.C. Wood [1], where characterizing properties and existence of harmonic morphisms are presented in connection with central themes such as harmonic functions and potential theory, together with conformal mappings in the plane and holomorphic maps into a Riemann surface.

For an operational point of view the simplest way to characterize harmonic morphisms is to say that they are just harmonic maps with the additional property that the differential $d\varphi$ is a horizontally weakly conformal map: this means that, at any point $x \in M$, either $d\varphi_x$ vanishes or

$$d\varphi_x : (T_x M)_H \to T_{\varphi(x)} N$$

is surjective and conformal. More precisely, in (1.1), $(T_x M)_H$ denotes the horizontal space $(\ker(d\varphi_x))^\perp$ and it is required that there exists a number $\Lambda(x) > 0$ such that

$$\Lambda(x)g(X, Y) = h(d\varphi(x)(X), d\varphi(x)(Y)), \quad \forall X, Y \in (T_x M)_H$$
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The function $\lambda(x) = \sqrt{\Lambda(x)}$ is called the dilation (of $\varphi$ at $x$). In particular, if $\varphi$ is a non constant harmonic morphism, then $m \geq n$, where $m = \dim(M)$ and $n = \dim(N)$. Moreover, the set of singular points (i.e., those points where $d\varphi_x = 0$) is a closed polar set, i.e., it has zero capacity (see [1] for details).

In this paper we work in the context of equivariant theory: roughly speaking, that means that we restrict our attention to a class of mappings having enough symmetries to guarantee that harmonicity reduces to the study of a second order ordinary differential equation. We refer to [5] for notation, background and examples. More specifically, we shall propose a generalisation of the Hopf construction which gives rise to a new family of harmonic morphisms from a 5-dimensional manifold with singularities onto the Euclidean 2-sphere $S^2$.

2. Statement of the main results and related comments

In order to illustrate our framework, we first consider the 3-dimensional ellipsoid

$$Q^*3(a, b) = \left\{ [x, y] \in \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C} : \frac{|x|^2}{a^2} + \frac{|y|^2}{b^2} = 1 \right\}, \quad (a, b > 0)$$

In [5, chapter X] the authors study in detail a family of maps

$$\varphi_{k,\ell} : Q^*3(a, b) \to \mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}, \quad k, \ell \in \mathbb{Z}$$

of the following form (Hopf’s construction)

$$[a \sin s e^{i\theta_1}, b \cos s e^{i\theta_2}] \mapsto [\sin \alpha(s) e^{i(k\theta_1 + \ell\theta_2)}, \cos \alpha(s)]$$

where the function $\alpha : (0, \pi/2) \to (0, \pi)$ satisfies the boundary conditions

$$(i) \quad \lim_{s \to 0^+} \alpha(s) = 0, \quad (ii) \quad \lim_{s \to \pi/2^-} \alpha(s) = \pi.$$

In particular, it turns out that the map $\varphi_{k,\ell}$ is harmonic if and only if $\alpha$ is a solution of the ODE

$$\alpha'' + \left( \frac{\cot s - \tan s}{h(s)} \right) \alpha' - \frac{h'(s)}{h(s)} \alpha' - h^2(s) \left( \frac{k^2}{a^2 \sin^2 s} + \frac{\ell^2}{b^2 \cos^2 s} \right) \sin \alpha \cos \alpha = 0$$

where $h^2(s) = a^2 \cos^2 s + b^2 \sin^2 s$. Note, for future comparison, that the left member of (2.3) represents a parametrisation of $Q^*3(a, b)$ ($0 \leq s \leq \pi/2$, $0 \leq \theta_1, \theta_2 < 2\pi$). The boundary conditions (2.4) ensure that the solutions have a regular extension through the loci $s = 0$ and $s = \pi/2$, where the coefficients of the ODE become singular. The map $\varphi_{k,\ell}$ has topological significance because it has Hopf invariant $k\ell$, i.e., it represents $k\ell \in \mathbb{Z} = \pi_3(S^2)$. In [5] it is proved that, under the additional restriction

$$\frac{a}{b} = \frac{\ell}{k},$$

there exist harmonic morphisms of the type (2.3).
We are now in the right position to proceed to our generalisation of the previous examples.

We shall consider \( Q^5 = Q^5(a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4) \subset \mathbb{C}^4, \) \( a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4 > 0, \) where \( Q^5 \) is the subset of \( \mathbb{C}^4 \) parametrised by

\[
(2.6) \quad [a_1 \sin s e^{i\theta_1}, a_2 \sin(s + \pi), a_3 \cos s e^{i\theta_2}, a_4 \cos(s + \pi), e^{i\theta_4}]
\]

with \( 0 \leq \theta_1, \theta_2, \theta_3, \theta_4 < 2\pi, \) \( 0 \leq s \leq \pi/4. \) Away from the loci \( s = 0 \) and \( s = \pi/4, \) \( (2.6) \) represents a Riemannian manifold

\[
(2.7) \quad (Q^5, g),
\]

where \( Q^5 = S^1 \times S^1 \times S^1 \times S^1 \times (0, \pi/4), \) and the Riemannian metric \( g \) induced by the Euclidean structure of \( \mathbb{C}^4(=\mathbb{R}^8) \) is given by

\[
(2.8) \quad g = [a_1^2 \sin^2 s] \, d\theta_1^2 + [a_2^2 \sin^2(s + \pi)] \, d\theta_2^2 + [a_3^2 \cos^2 s] \, d\theta_3^2 + [a_4^2 \cos^2(s + \pi)] \, d\theta_4^2 + h(s) ds^2,
\]

with

\[
(2.9) \quad h(s) = \sqrt{a_1^2 \cos^2 s + a_2^2 \cos^2(s + \pi) + a_3^2 \sin^2 s + a_4^2 \sin^2(s + \pi)}
\]

Remark 2.1. The locus \( s = 0 \) is not a topological singularity, since across it \( Q^5 \) is locally homeomorphic to \( U^2 \times S^1 \times S^1 \times S^1, \) where \( U^2 \) is an open subset of \( \mathbb{R}^2. \) However, performing the change of local coordinates

\[
x_1 = a_1 \sin s \cos \theta_1, \quad x_2 = a_1 \sin s \sin \theta_1, \quad \theta_2 = \theta_2, \quad \theta_3 = \theta_3, \quad \theta_4 = \theta_4
\]

it is not difficult to check that the coefficients of the metric tensor \( g \) are continuous, but not differentiable across \( s = 0. \) The same happens across \( s = \pi/4. \)

Bearing in mind the family of maps \( \varphi_{k, \ell} \) of \( (2.2), \) we now define the class of equivariant mappings we are interested in (\( k_i \in \mathbb{Z}, \) \( i = 1, \ldots, 4): \)

\[
\varphi = \varphi_{k_1, k_2, k_3, k_4} : Q^5(a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4) \to \mathbb{S}^2 \subset \mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}
\]

where, to simplify notation, we write \( w \) in place of the generic point \( (2.6) \) of \( Q^5 \) and require

\[
(2.10) \quad \varphi(w) = [\sin \alpha(s) \, e^{i(k_1\theta_1 + k_2\theta_2 + k_3\theta_3 + k_4\theta_4)}, \cos \alpha(s)].
\]

Here the function \( \alpha : (0, \pi/4) \to (0, \pi) \) must satisfy the boundary conditions (compare with \( (2.21)): \)

\[
(2.11) \quad (i) \lim_{s \to 0^+} \alpha(s) = 0, \quad (ii) \lim_{s \to \pi/4^-} \alpha(s) = \pi.
\]

Such boundary conditions ensure that the map \( \varphi \) as in \( (2.10) \) extends continuously across the loci \( s = 0 \) and \( s = \pi/4 \) in \( Q^5 \). Harmonicity of such \( \varphi \) depends on an ODE for \( \alpha \): we shall prove that this ordinary differential equation, under suitable restrictions of the type \( (2.5) \), admits a prime
integral which turns out to be essentially equivalent to the horizontal con-
formality of the map. A qualitative study of this prime integral will lead
us to the existence of strictly increasing solutions satisfying (2.11). More
precisely, our result is

**Theorem 2.2.** Assume that \( a_i = |k_i|, i = 1, \ldots, 4 \). Then there exist har-
monic morphisms \( \varphi : Q^5 \to S^2 \) of the type (2.10) which verify the boundary
conditions (2.11), so admitting a continuous extension to the whole \( Q^*5 \).

In view of the previous theorem, it is natural to study the manifold \( Q^*5 \) a
bit more accurately. First of all, we observe (routine verification using (2.6))
that all the points of \( Q^*5 \) satisfy the following set of polynomial equations

\[
\begin{align*}
|z_1|^2 + |z_3|^2 &= 1 \quad (i) \\
|z_2|^2 + |z_4|^2 &= 1 \quad (ii) \\
\left[ \frac{|z_3|^2}{a_3^2} - \frac{|z_1|^2}{a_1^2} \right]^2 + \left[ \frac{|z_4|^2}{a_4^2} - \frac{|z_2|^2}{a_2^2} \right]^2 &= 1 \quad (iii)
\end{align*}
\]

where \( z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4 \) denote complex coordinates on \( \mathbb{C}^4 \). Next, let us call
\( V^*5 = V^*5(a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4) \) the real algebraic variety defined by the set of
equations (2.12).

We have just remarked that \( Q^*5 \subset V^*5 \). On the other hand, a combined
inspection of (2.6) and (2.12) shows that a parametrisation of the whole \( V^*5 \)
requires, in (2.6), to let \( s \) vary in the interval \([0, \pi]\). Now the singular loci are
\( s = 0, s = \pi/4, s = \pi/2 \) and \( s = 3\pi/4 \) (\( s = \pi \) coincide with \( s = 0 \)). Away
from these loci, we have a Riemannian manifold \((V^5, g)\) as follows (compare
with (2.7)):

\[
V^5 = S^1 \times S^1 \times S^1 \times S^1 \times I
\]

where

\[
I = (0, \frac{\pi}{4}) \cup (\frac{\pi}{4}, \frac{\pi}{2}) \cup (\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{3\pi}{4}) \cup (\frac{3\pi}{4}, \pi)
\]

and the metric \( g \) is as in (2.8), with \( s \in I \).

Next, it becomes natural to study maps

\[
\varphi = \varphi_{k_1, k_2, k_3, k_4} : V^5(a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4) \to S^2 \subset \mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}
\]

defined again by (2.10), with the only difference that this time the function
\( \alpha : I \to (0, 4\pi) \) satisfies the following set of boundary conditions
\begin{align}
(i) \quad \lim_{s \to 0^+} \alpha(s) &= 0, \quad \lim_{s \to \frac{\pi}{4}^+} \alpha(s) = \pi \\
(ii) \quad \lim_{s \to \frac{\pi}{4}^+} \alpha(s) &= \pi, \quad \lim_{s \to \frac{\pi}{2}^-} \alpha(s) = 2\pi \\
(iii) \quad \lim_{s \to \frac{\pi}{2}^+} \alpha(s) &= 2\pi, \quad \lim_{s \to \frac{3\pi}{4}^-} \alpha(s) = 3\pi \\
(iv) \quad \lim_{s \to \frac{3\pi}{4}^+} \alpha(s) &= 3\pi, \quad \lim_{s \to \pi^-} \alpha(s) = 4\pi.
\end{align}

Remark 2.3. The boundary conditions (2.15) ensure that the maps \( \varphi \) in (2.14) extend continuously to the whole \( V^5 \). In particular, such extension of the \( \varphi \)'s cover \( 4 \) times the 2-sphere \( S^2 \); the singular loci \( s = 0 \) and \( s = \frac{\pi}{2} \) are sent to the North pole, while \( s = \frac{\pi}{4} \) and \( s = \frac{3\pi}{4} \) go into the South pole.

An adaptation of the proof of Theorem 2.2 enables us to obtain the following

Corollary 2.4. Assume that \( a_i = |k_i|, \ i = 1, \ldots, 4 \). Then there exist harmonic morphisms \( \varphi : V^5 \to S^2 \) of the type (2.14) which satisfy the boundary conditions (2.15), so admitting a continuous extension to the whole \( V^5 \).

3. Proof of the results and final remarks

Proof of Theorem 2.2. We have to show that, under the assumption \( a_i = |k_i|, \ i = 1, \ldots, 4 \), there exist a function \( \alpha : (0, \frac{\pi}{4}) \to (0, \pi) \) which satisfies the boundary conditions (2.11) and such that the associated \( \varphi \) of (2.10) is both harmonic and horizontally conformal. To this we need to express, explicitly, conditions which are equivalent to harmonicity and horizontal conformity.

By applying, for instance, the Reduction Theorem (4.13) of [5], it is easy to verify that the harmonicity equation for maps \( \varphi \) as in (2.10) is given by

\[
\alpha''(s) + D(s)\alpha'(s) - G(s, \alpha, \alpha') = 0,
\]

where the functions \( D \) and \( G \) can be calculated as in [5] p. 153. One finds

\[
D(s) = \frac{\cos s}{\sin s} + \frac{\cos(s + \frac{\pi}{4})}{\sin(s + \frac{\pi}{4})} - \frac{\sin s}{\cos s} - \frac{\sin(s + \frac{\pi}{4})}{\cos(s + \frac{\pi}{4})} - \frac{h'(s)}{h(s)}
\]

where \( h(s) \) is the function defined in (2.9). And also

\[
G(s, \alpha, \alpha') = h^2(s) \left[ \frac{k_1^2}{a_1^2 \sin^2 s} + \frac{k_2^2}{a_2^2 \sin^2(s + \frac{\pi}{4})} + \frac{k_3^2}{a_3^2 \cos^2 s} + \frac{k_4^2}{a_4^2 \cos^2(s + \frac{\pi}{4})} \right] \sin \alpha(s) \cos \alpha(s).
\]
Now we simplify (3.2) and (3.3). Indeed, using three times the trigonometrical identity

\begin{equation}
\cot x - \tan x = 2 \cot 2x
\end{equation}

we find that (3.2) can be rewritten as

\begin{equation}
D(s) = 4 \cot(4s) - \frac{h'(s)}{h(s)}.
\end{equation}

Next, we use the hypothesis \( a_i = |k_i|, i = 1, \ldots, 4 \), in (3.3). A routine computation then leads us to

\begin{equation}
G(s, \alpha, \alpha') = h_2^2(s) \frac{16}{\sin^2(4s)} \sin \alpha(s) \cos \alpha(s).
\end{equation}

By way of summary, replacing (3.5) and (3.6) in (3.1), we have proved that

\begin{equation}
\alpha''(s) + \left[ 4 \cot(4s) - \frac{h'(s)}{h(s)} \right] \alpha'(s) - \frac{16h_2^2(s)}{\sin^2(4s)} \sin \alpha(s) \cos \alpha(s) = 0.
\end{equation}

The next step is to work out the condition for horizontal conformality. An orthonormal base of the tangent space \( T_wQ^5 \) is

\[ \mathcal{B} = \{e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4, e_5\} \]

where

\begin{align*}
e_1 &= \frac{1}{a_1 \sin s} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_1} \quad & e_2 &= \frac{1}{a_2 \sin(s + \frac{\pi}{4})} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_2} \quad & e_3 &= \frac{1}{a_3 \cos s} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_3} \\
e_4 &= \frac{1}{a_4 \cos(s + \frac{\pi}{4})} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_4} \quad & e_5 &= \frac{1}{h(s)} \frac{\partial}{\partial s}.
\end{align*}

We write the Riemannian metric on the range \( \mathbb{S}^2 \) as

\begin{equation}
h = \sin^2 t \, d\gamma^2 + dt^2
\end{equation}

since in (2.10) we are parametrising \( \mathbb{S}^2 \), as a subset of \( \mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R} \), by means of

\begin{equation}
[\sin t \, e^{i\gamma}, \cos t] \quad t \in [0, \pi], \ \gamma \in [0, 2\pi).
\end{equation}
Now we can compute the differential for maps $\varphi$ as in (2.10). We find

\begin{align*}
d\varphi(e_1) & = \frac{k_1}{a_1 \sin s} \frac{\partial}{\partial \gamma} = \frac{1}{\sin s} \frac{\partial}{\partial \gamma} \\
d\varphi(e_2) & = \frac{k_2}{a_2 \sin(s + \frac{\pi}{4})} \frac{\partial}{\partial \gamma} = \frac{1}{\sin(s + \frac{\pi}{4})} \frac{\partial}{\partial \gamma} \\
d\varphi(e_3) & = \frac{k_3}{a_3 \cos s} \frac{\partial}{\partial \gamma} = \frac{1}{\cos s} \frac{\partial}{\partial \gamma} \\
d\varphi(e_4) & = \frac{k_4}{a_4 \cos(s + \frac{\pi}{4})} \frac{\partial}{\partial \gamma} = \frac{1}{\cos(s + \frac{\pi}{4})} \frac{\partial}{\partial \gamma} \\
d\varphi(e_5) & = \frac{a'(s)}{h(s)} \frac{\partial}{\partial t}.
\end{align*}

(3.11)

where we have used $a_i = k_i$, $i = 1, \ldots, 4$ (the case $a_i = -k_i$ can be handled similarly and it is left to the interested reader). Now, let $v = \sum_{i=1}^5 v_i e_i$ be a generic vector in $T_wQ^5$. By using (3.11) and the linearity of $d\varphi$ we find that

\begin{align*}
\left\{ \begin{array}{l}
v_5 = 0 \\
v_1 = 0 \\
v_2 = \frac{v_2}{\sin s} + \frac{v_3}{\sin(s + \frac{\pi}{4})} + \frac{v_4}{\cos(s + \frac{\pi}{4})} = 0
\end{array} \right.
\end{align*}

(3.12)

Therefore, we deduce that a base for the horizontal part is

\begin{align*}
\{y, e_5\} \quad \text{with} \quad y = \frac{e_1}{\sin s} + \frac{e_2}{\sin(s + \frac{\pi}{4})} + \frac{e_3}{\cos s} + \frac{e_4}{
\cos(s + \frac{\pi}{4})}.
\end{align*}

(3.13)

Since

\begin{align*}
\|y\|^2 = \frac{1}{\sin^2 s} + \frac{1}{\sin^2(s + \frac{\pi}{4})} + \frac{1}{\cos^2 s} + \frac{1}{\cos^2(s + \frac{\pi}{4})} = \frac{16}{\sin^2(4s)}
\end{align*}

we conclude that an orthonormal base for $(T_wQ^5)_H$ is

\begin{align*}
\mathcal{B}_H = \{y^*, e_5\}
\end{align*}

where

\begin{align*}
y^* = \frac{y}{\|y\|} = \frac{\sin(4s)}{4} y.
\end{align*}

(3.14)

Because $d\varphi$ preserves orthogonality between $y^*$ and $e_5$, horizontal conformality reduces to the condition

\begin{align*}
\|d\varphi(y^*)\|^2 = \|d\varphi(e_5)\|^2.
\end{align*}

(3.14)
Now, using (3.8) and (3.11), we easily find
\[
d\varphi(y^*) = \left[ \frac{1}{\sin^2 s} + \frac{1}{\sin^2(s + \frac{\pi}{4})} + \frac{1}{\cos^2 s} + \frac{1}{\cos^2(s + \frac{\pi}{4})} \right] \frac{\sin(4s)}{4} \frac{\partial}{\partial \gamma}
\]
(3.15)

Consequently, we have
\[
\|d\varphi(y^*)\|^2 = \frac{16}{\sin^2(4s)} \left\| \frac{\partial}{\partial \gamma} \right\|^2 = \frac{16}{\sin^2(4s)} \sin^2 \alpha(s).
\]
(3.16)

Moreover, using (3.11)
\[
\|d\varphi(e_5)\|^2 = \frac{\alpha'(s)}{h^2(s)} \left\| \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right\|^2 = \frac{\alpha'(s)}{h^2(s)}.
\]
(3.17)

By way of summary, putting together (3.14), (3.16) and (3.17) we obtain that horizontal conformality is equivalent to
\[
\frac{16 \sin^2 \alpha(s)}{\sin^2(4s)} = \frac{\alpha'(s)}{h^2(s)}.
\]
(3.18)

We are now in the right position to end the proof of Theorem 2.2. First, taking square roots and re-arranging the terms, we rewrite (3.18) in a more convenient form
\[
\frac{\alpha'(s)}{\sin \alpha(s)} = \frac{4h(s)}{\sin(4s)}.
\]
(3.19)

Now, applying $\frac{d}{ds}$ to both members of (3.19) and computing, one finds that (3.19) implies (3.7), or, to say it in words, the condition (3.19) of horizontal conformality is a prime integral for the harmonicity equation (3.7). Thus it is enough to show that the first order ODE (3.19) admits a solution $\alpha : (0, \frac{\pi}{4}) \rightarrow (0, \pi)$ which satisfies the prescribed boundary conditions (2.11). Indeed, (3.19) can be integrated by separation of variables and that yields a family of solutions
\[
\alpha(s) = 2 \tan^{-1} \left[ c \exp \left( \int_{\frac{s}{2}}^{s} 4 \frac{h(u)}{\sin(4u)} du \right) \right], \quad s \in (0, \frac{\pi}{4}), \quad c > 0.
\]
(3.20)

Since the positive function $h(u)$ is bounded and bounded away from zero, mere inspection of the solutions (3.20) enables us to conclude that the boundary conditions (2.11) are fulfilled, so ending the proof of the theorem.

**Remark 3.1.** The explicit meaning of the integration constant $c$ in (3.20) is
\[
c = \left| \tan \left( \frac{\alpha\left(\frac{\pi}{8}\right)}{2} \right) \right|.
\]

In particular, the most symmetric solution, i.e. the one with $\alpha\left(\frac{\pi}{8}\right) = \frac{\pi}{2}$, corresponds to $c = 1$. 


Proof of Corollary 2.4. Proceeding precisely as in the proof of Theorem 2.2 we have again that the horizontal conformality (3.19) is a prime integral for the harmonicity equation (3.7). Now one needs to show the existence of a solution \( \alpha : I \to (0, 4\pi) \) which satisfies the boundary conditions (2.15). The construction of such \( \alpha \) is divided into 4 Steps: in Step 1, one obtains \( \alpha : (0, \pi/4) \to (0, \pi) \) which satisfies (2.15) (i). Of course, this was done during the proof of Theorem 2.2. Step 2 is the construction of a solution \( \alpha : (\pi/4, \pi/2) \to (\pi, 2\pi) \) satisfying (2.15) (ii). That is achieved again by explicit integration of (3.19) which gives \( c > 0 \)

\[
(3.21) \quad \alpha(s) = 2\pi + 2\tan^{-1}\left[-c \exp\left(\int_{s_0}^s \frac{h(u)}{\sin(4u)} du\right)\right], \quad s \in \left(\frac{\pi}{4}, \frac{\pi}{2}\right).
\]

Once more, direct inspection of (3.21) confirms that the boundary conditions (2.15) (ii) are verified. In a similar fashion, one constructs solutions \( \alpha : (\pi/2, 3\pi/4) \to (2\pi, 3\pi) \) satisfying (2.15) (iii), and finally \( \alpha : (3\pi/4, \pi) \to (3\pi, 4\pi) \) which verify (2.15) (iv), so completing the proof of the corollary.

Remark 3.2. It follows easily from (2.12) (i) and (ii) that \( V^*5 \) is contained into the ellipsoidal hypersurface of equation

\[
(3.22) \quad \frac{|z_1|^2}{a_1^2} + \frac{|z_2|^2}{a_2^2} + \frac{|z_3|^2}{a_3^2} + \frac{|z_4|^2}{a_4^2} = 2.
\]

Under the additional restriction

\[
(3.23) \quad a_1 = a_3 \quad \text{and} \quad a_2 = a_4
\]

the function \( h(s) \) of (2.9) is constant, i.e.,

\[
(3.24) \quad h(s) \equiv \sqrt{a_1^2 + a_2^2} = A.
\]

In this case the solutions (3.20) of Theorem 2.2 (and, similarly, the solutions of Corollary 2.4) can be made more explicit. For instance, performing an integration leads us to express (3.20), under the hypothesis (3.23), as

\[
(3.25) \quad \alpha(s) = 2\tan^{-1}\left[c (\tan 2s)^4\right], \quad s \in (0, \frac{\pi}{4}).
\]

Remark 3.3. The integration constant \( c > 0 \) in (3.20) reflects the fact that our construction provides an infinite family of solutions. A similar situation had been observed in [5, p. 186], and [11, Chapter 13], where prime integrals occur and produce variations through equivariant harmonic morphisms. It should also be observed that all the solutions in Theorem 2.2 and Corollary 2.4 have \( \alpha'(s) > 0 \): the simplest way to verify this claim is direct inspection of (3.19). In particular, only the singular loci are sent into the poles of \( S^2 \).

Remark 3.4. For the purpose of comparison, we also point out that some other examples of harmonic morphisms defined on open subsets of compact manifolds can be found in [11 p. 410].
Remark 3.5. Since the range of our harmonic morphisms is 2-dimensional, their fibres provide a foliation of $V^5$ made of 3-dimensional minimal submanifolds diffeomorphic to $S^1 \times S^1 \times S^1$.
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