Effects of Friedreich’s ataxia (GAA)\textsubscript{n}·(TTC)\textsubscript{n} repeats on RNA synthesis and stability
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ABSTRACT

Expansions of (GAA)\textsubscript{n} repeats within the first intron of the frataxin gene reduce its expression, resulting in a hereditary neurodegenerative disorder, Friedreich’s ataxia. While it is generally believed that expanded (GAA)\textsubscript{n} repeats block transcription elongation, fine mechanisms responsible for gene repression are not fully understood. To follow the effects of (GAA)\textsubscript{n}·(TTC)\textsubscript{n} repeats on gene expression, we have chosen \textit{E. coli} as a convenient model system. (GAA)\textsubscript{n}·(TTC)\textsubscript{n} repeats were cloned into bacterial plasmids in both orientations relative to a promoter, and their effects on transcription and RNA stability were evaluated both \textit{in vitro} and \textit{in vivo}. Expanded (GAA)\textsubscript{n} repeats in the sense strand for transcription caused a significant decrease in the mRNA levels \textit{in vitro} and \textit{in vivo}. This decrease was likely due to the tardiness of the RNA polymerase within expanded (GAA)\textsubscript{n} runs but was not accompanied by the enzyme’s dissociation and premature transcription termination. Unexpectedly, positioning of normal- and carrier-size (TTC)\textsubscript{n} repeats into the sense strand for transcription led to the appearance of RNA transcripts that were truncated within those repetitive runs \textit{in vivo}. We have determined that these RNA truncations are consistent with cleavage of the full-sized mRNAs at (UUC)\textsubscript{n} runs by the \textit{E. coli} degradosome.

INTRODUCTION

Friedreich’s ataxia (FRDA) is the most commonly inherited ataxia, occurring in 1–2 of every 50 000 people (1,2). It is characterized by muscle weakness along with progressive gait and limb ataxia and is often accompanied by vision impairment, skeletal abnormalities, heart disease and diabetes (3). FRDA is a recessive disease that is predominantly caused by expansions of (GAA)\textsubscript{n} repeats within the first intron of the human frataxin gene \textit{X25} (4). These expansions lead to the lowered level of the frataxin protein, which is responsible for iron metabolism in mitochondria, resulting in disease (5). Short (GAA)\textsubscript{n} repeats, ranging from 5 to 34 units, are always present in normal frataxin alleles and were apparently originated by the primordial insertion of an Alu element (6). In FRDA alleles, (GAA)\textsubscript{n} stretches range from 66 to 1700 units (7). It is believed that these expanded stretches affect transcription of the \textit{X25} gene since FRDA patients have low levels of the frataxin mRNA (8,9). Further support for transcription inhibition by expanded (GAA)\textsubscript{n} stretches was obtained in transient transfection experiments with cultured mammalian cells (10).

The exact mechanisms responsible for transcription inhibition by long (GAA)\textsubscript{n} repeats are not entirely clear. Several groups have demonstrated that transcription elongation \textit{in vitro} was disrupted by (GAA)\textsubscript{n} runs (9,11,12). On linear templates, (GAA)\textsubscript{n} repeats efficiently trapped T7 RNA polymerase leading to the reduced amounts of the full-length transcripts, but premature termination was not detected (11). In contrast, on supercoiled templates, transcripts prematurely terminated at the 3′ ends of repeats (11). Transcription inhibition \textit{in vitro} was linked to the repeat’s ability to form triple-helical H-DNA or a composite triplex structure, called sticky DNA (11,12). Intramolecular triplexes formed by (GAA)\textsubscript{n}·(TTC)\textsubscript{n} can exist in either H-\textit{y} or H-\textit{r} conformations depending on the nature of the third DNA strand (13,14). The H-\textit{r} conformation is more likely to form during transcription \textit{in vitro}, as it is stabilized by the presence of magnesium cations presented in transcription mixtures. The H-\textit{r} triplex is more favorable in superhelical DNA than in linear DNA and could be additionally
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stabilized by the homopurine RNA tail, formed after RNA polymerase has passed the repeat (15).

The interpretation of the data on transcriptional repression in vivo is somewhat less obvious. Formation of triplex-like structures was suggested to cause transcription inhibition at expanded repeats in transient transfection experiments (10). An alternative explanation could be a manifold binding of nuclear protein(s) to the expanded repeat. (GAA)ₙ-binding proteins were indeed identified in HeLa nuclear extracts (16). Another possibility could be an alteration in RNA splicing, processing or stability caused by the expanded repeat. Finally, expansions of (GAA)ₙ repeats could lead to the formation of heterochromatin, thus silencing the frataxin gene. Supporting this, long (GAA) repeats led to the expression variegation of an adjacent reporter in mice (17). The reversal of the frataxin gene silencing in FRDA lymphocytes by the synthetic histone deacetylase inhibitors further supports the role of heterochromatin formation in the disease (18).

In this study, we looked at the effects of (GAA)ₙ(TTC)ₙ repeats on RNA synthesis by E. coli RNA polymerase in vitro and in vivo. This system, where formation of heterochromatin or RNA splicing are out of the question, allowed us to concentrate on the effects of FRDA repeats on transcription and RNA stability. We have found that expanded (GAA)ₙ repeats, when in the sense strand for transcription, impair RNA polymerase progression. While elongating RNA polymerase is severely slowed by the repeat, it does not dissociate from the template. Surprisingly, in an opposite orientation of the repeat, i.e. when the (TTC)ₙ run is in the sense strand for transcription, truncated RNA species accumulate even for normal-size repeats. We found that cleavage of full-length mRNAs within (UUC)ₙ runs by the E. coli degradosome is responsible for these truncations.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Bacteria**

E. coli JM109 strain (Promega) was used for maintaining the p185ΔCTT10 and p185ΔCTT20 plasmids. Strains carrying these plasmids were grown at 37°C in LB media containing 10 µg/ml tetracycline. Longer (TTC)ₙ repeats were maintained in a JM109 derivative carrying an extra pTrc99A plasmid to prevent leakage of the trc-promoter in repeat-containing plasmids. Expanded GAA repeats were maintained in an N3433 (lacZ43(Fs), relA1, spoT1, thi-1)-derived strain also carrying an extra pTrc99A plasmid. Plasmid-bearing strains were grown at 37°C in minimal M9 media supplemented with 1 µg/ml vitamin B₁, 40 µg/ml amino acids mix, 10 µg/ml tetracycline and 50 µg/ml ampicillin. For RNA isolation, they were grown until A₆₀₀ ~ 0.2, then IPTG (Sigma) was added to 2 mM and cells were incubated for another 1h.

Strain N3431 (lacZ43(Fs), λ --, rne-3071(ts), relA1, spoT1, thi-1), carrying the temperature-sensitive mutation in the RNase E gene, was obtained courtesy of the E. coli Genetic Stock Center. For RNA isolation, this strain was grown at 30°C up to A₆₀₀ ~ 0.4, then transferred to 43°C for 1h. Transcription was induced by the addition of 2 mM IPTG for 40 min prior to cell harvesting. For the experiments with protein synthesis inhibition, N3431 cells were grown at 30°C until the early logarithmic stage (A₆₀₀ = 0.2), followed by the addition of chloramphenicol (170 µg/ml) and subsequent incubation for 2 h. Cultures were then transferred to 43°C for 1h. Transcription was induced by the addition of 2 mM IPTG for 40 min prior to cell harvesting.

**Yeast**

Yeast transcription experiments were performed in Saccharomyces cerevisiae CH1585 (MATa leu2Δ1 trpΔ63 ura3-52 his3-200) strain (ATCC 96098) transformed with pYES2-derived plasmids carrying (GAA)ₙ(TTC)ₙ repeats, as described earlier (19).

**Plasmids for transcription studies in vivo**

The p185Δ vector for cloning of various (GAA)ₙ(TTC)ₙ repeats was obtained in two steps. First, the p185 plasmid was made by ligating the PstI–BspHI fragment, carrying the cat gene from the pTrcCAT/Pst plasmid (20), with the XmnI–BsaAI fragment of the pACYC184 plasmid (New England Biolabs), carrying the p15A replication origin and tet gene. To improve stability of the cat mRNAs, a BsrDI–XmnI fragment from the cat gene was deleted from the resultant plasmid.

To obtain the p185ΔGA57 and p185ΔCTT57 plasmids, an EcoRI–HindIII fragment of the pBluescript-GA57 (19), carrying the (GAA)₅₇(TTC)₅₇ repeat, was cloned into the Kpn2I site of the p185Δ in both orientations. To obtain the p185ΔGA114 plasmid, a (GAA)₁₁₄(TTC)₁₁₄ repeat was excised upon the BsgI digestion from the pYES–GA114 (19) and re-cloned into the Kpn2I site of the p185Δ. Other repeat lengths were generated as a result of spontaneous deletions of the (GAA)₁₁₄(TTC)₁₁₄ repeat during the cultivation of the p185ΔGA114 plasmid.

Plasmids with the (TTC)₁₁₅ repeat and its mutative derivatives were obtained by cloning pre-annealed pairs of oligonucleotides into the Kpn2I site of the p185Δ, 5'-CCGG(TTC)₁₁₅GT-3' and 5'-CCGGAC(AAG)₁₁₅A-3' were used to generate the p185CTT₁₅₅ plasmid; 5'-CCGG(TTC)₂₂₂CTT(TTT)₂₂₂GT-3' and 5'-CCGGAC(AAG)₂₂₂AAGAGA(GAA)₂₂₂AGA(GAA)₂₂₂-3' were used to create the p185CTT₁₅₅-M1 plasmid; 5'-CCGG(TTC)₂₂₂TTTCT(CCT)₂₂₂TCT(CTT)₂₂₂GT-3' and 5'-CCGGAC(AAG)₂₂₂AGA(AAG)₂₂₂AGA(GAA)₂₂₂-3' were used for obtaining the p185CTT₁₅₅-M2 plasmid; and 5'-CCGG(TTC)₂₂₂TTTCT(CCT)₂₂₂TCTTCTCT(CTT)₂₂₂TCTCT(TTT)₂₂₂GT-3' and 5'-CCGGAC(AAG)₂₂₂AGA(AAG)₂₂₂AGA(GAA)₂₂₂AGAGAGAGAGA(AAG)₂₂₂AGA(GAA)₂₂₂-3' were used for generating the p185CTT₁₅₅-M12 plasmid.

Yeast plasmids pYES-Control and pYES–TTC57 for yeast transcription studies were described earlier (19). Plasmids pYES–TTC35 and pYES–TTC20 were obtained by cloning the EcoRI–Kpn2I repeat-containing fragments from the p185ΔTTC20 and p185ΔTTC35 plasmids, respectively, into the XhoI site of the pYES+ plasmid (19).
Plasmids for transcription studies in vitro

The plasmid pYES–GAA57TspR1 was obtained as follows. A 6608-bp fragment was generated upon partial digestion of the pYES–GAA57 plasmid (19) with BsgI. This fragment was then digested with Kpn1 and ligated to the pre-annealed oligonucleotides 5′-GGCGACTGGGC GGCCGCTGGAAAGTTGATGA-3′ and 5′-ATCAAGC TTCAAAGCCGCCCAGTGCGTAC-3′. The plasmid pYES–TTC57TspR1 was obtained by ligating pre-annealed oligonucleotides 5′-CATCGATGG CACTGGGC-3′ and 5′-GGGCGCCGAGTGCATCGAT GGTAC-3′ to the pYES–TTC57 plasmid (19) digested with the NotI and Kpn1 endonucleases.

The plasmid YEp24TspR1 was obtained by ligating pre-annealed oligonucleotides 5′-CATCGATGG CACTGGGC-3′ and 5′-GGGCGCCGAGTGCATCGAT GGTAC-3′ to the YEp24 vector (New England Biolabs) digested with SpI1 and Kpn21 endonucleases.

For transcription studies in vitro, preformed initiation complexes were ligated to one of the following fragments: (i) a 208-bp TspR1–AlwN1 fragment of the pYES–GAA57TspR1 plasmid; (ii) a 214-bp TspR1–EcoRI fragment of the pYES–TTC57TspR1 plasmid; or (iii) a 220-bp TspR1–BamH1 fragment of the Yep24TspR1 plasmid.

Analysis of RNA synthesis and stability in vivo

RNA from *E. coli* cells was isolated by a modified method of Dennis and Nomura as described earlier (21). Northern blot hybridization was performed according to standard protocol (22). RNA samples were denatured in 2.2 M formaldehyde, 1 × MOPS, 50% (v/v) deionized formamide and separated in the 1.5% agarose gel. To study transcription through (GAA)n repeats on transcription in vitro

To study transcription through (GAA)n repeats, repeats of increasing lengths, they were cloned into a pACYC184-derived plasmid p185Δ downstream from an artificial trc promoter inside the cat gene (Figure 1A). This promoter is a hybrid between the 5′ part of the trp promoter and the 3′ part of the lacUV5 promoter, i.e. it is inducible by IPTG but is not a subject for catabolic repression (24). A strong terminator from the *E. coli* rrnB gene contributes to the stability of the cat mRNA. For the purpose of this study, we have deleted a 3′ portion of the cat gene, making the transcript approximately 500 nt shorter. To clone the longest repeats, it was crucial to achieve complete repression of the trc promoter, as the stability of the repeats appeared to decrease in transcribed areas (25). For this purpose, repeat-containing plasmids were co-transformed with the compatible pTrc99A plasmid (24) carrying lacIq repressor gene.

Total RNA from cells containing plasmids with different (GAA)n repeats in the sense strand for transcription were separated in the denaturing agarose gel, followed by the detection of repeat-containing transcripts via northern hybridization with the probe to the 5′ part of the cat gene (Figure 1A). Since long (GAA)n repeats are known to affect plasmid replication (26), normalizing repeat-containing transcripts to the plasmid copy number was necessary. For this purpose, the same gel was hybridized with the probe specific to the repressor RNAI for the pACYC184 replication origin, the amount of which directly reflects the plasmid copy number. Our primary results are presented in Figure 1B and their quantitative analysis is shown in Figure 1C.
Rather unexpectedly, lengthening of (GAA)_n repeats first leads to an increase in the amount of the full-sized transcript, reaching 3-fold at 30 repeats, followed by its gradual decrease down to the control level at 100 repeats.

On the one hand, these results are consistent with the earlier observations (8,9) that an increase in the repeat's length from 30 to 100 units results in a 3-fold decrease in the amount of transcript. On the other hand, this inhibitory effect is masked in our experiment by the fact that short repeats amplify the amount of transcript. The latter could be due to RNA stabilization or transcription stimulation somehow mediated by short repeats. Both processes are likely to depend on protein binding to the repeat in either RNA or DNA form. We thus decided to evaluate transcription of repeat-containing plasmids in cells that were extensively incubated with the protein synthesis inhibitor chloramphenicol.

Protein synthesis de novo is not required for the replication of our plasmids. Consequently, they rapidly amplify in the presence of chloramphenicol while the cellular protein content remains stagnant. Thus, if a protein binding to (GAA)_n repeats is responsible for their stimulatory effects on transcription, one would expect this effect to diminish or disappear altogether upon chloramphenicol treatment. Our experimental data (Figure 1D) show that transcriptional stimulation, caused by short (GAA)_n repeats, disappears in the presence of chloramphenicol. At the same time, transcription inhibition caused by longer repeats becomes profound, resulting in a roughly 5-fold inhibition of the RNA synthesis by the 100-repeat stretch. No truncated products were observed (data not shown), indicating that either RNA synthesis at long (GAA)_n repeats was arrested rather than terminated, or was in fact terminated but the truncated products rapidly degraded.

Two groups of data indicate that the elevated level of RNAs carrying short (GAA)_n repeats is due to their increased stability. First, stabilities of repeat-containing and control RNAs were directly compared in conditions where transcription was arrested by rifampicin by measuring the levels of full-length messages as a function of time upon antibiotic addition. Figure 2A shows the primary experimental data and Figure 2B presents their quantitative analysis. One can clearly see that repeat-free RNA rapidly degrades, decaying by an order of magnitude within the first 7 min and as much as 50-fold after 17 min post transcription blockage. At the same time, RNA containing 18, 44, or 60 (GAA)_n repeats are significantly more stable: there is only a 4- to 6-fold decay after 17 min in the presence of rifampicin. Interestingly, this stabilization does not differ significantly between 18 and 60 repeats, indicating that normal-size (GAA)_n repeats are already sufficient to stabilize RNA in E. coli cells.

Second, we compared control and repeat-containing transcripts in the RNase E mutant. RNase E is the key component of degradosome, which is the major player in the endonucleolytic degradation of bacterial RNA. Figure 1E shows the results of transcription experiments performed in the N3431 strain carrying a temperature-sensitive rne-3071 mutation. At the non-permissive temperature, short (GAA)_n repeats lead to only a marginal increase in the amount of transcripts, indicating that amplification of the corresponding transcripts in the wild-type cells was due to the RNA stabilization. Long repeats, such as (GAA)_{114}, cause a significant (3-fold) decrease in transcription relative to the control, apparently due to unmasking RNA stabilization in the RNase E mutant.

Altogether, our data indicate that short (GAA)_n repeats stabilize RNA transcripts in E. coli whereas long repeats lead to fairly strong, albeit incomplete, transcription inhibition. Since this inhibition is particularly evident in chloramphenicol-treated cells, it is...
likely caused by the repeat structure rather than by protein binding to it.

**Transcription through (GAA)$_n$,(TTC)$_n$ repeats in vitro**

If the interpretation of transcription data *in vivo* is correct, one would expect a strong inhibitory effect of (GAA)$_n$ runs on *E. coli* RNA polymerase *in vitro*. Note that the only data on the effects of (GAA)$_n$ repeats on the activity of purified transcription complexes were obtained for the T7 RNA polymerase (11,12). Since *E. coli* RNA polymerase belongs to the same family as mammalian RNA polymerase II, analysis of its progression through (GAA)$_n$,(TTC)$_n$ repeats seems more relevant to human disease than that of a phage polymerase.

For these studies, we used the promoter-independent initiation with the immobilized transcription system (23). Briefly, the elongation complexes assembled on a synthetic DNA template with a 9-nt RNA primer, labeled at its 5' end, were ligated *in vitro* to the DNA fragments containing (GAA)$_n$,(TTC)$_n$ or a control sequence of a comparable length. The RNA polymerase was then ‘walked’ to the first UTP in position +46 in the presence of ATP, GTP and CTP. The resulting elongation complex was stalled just a few bases upstream from the repeat. Addition of all four NTPs allowed transcription run-off with three possible outcomes: (i) productive transcription through the repeat; (ii) transcription arrest within the repeat without RNA polymerase dissociation; or (iii) premature transcription termination inside the repeat (Figure 3). To access the transcription efficiency though the repeat, the amount of the 45-nt RNA (before chase) was compared with the amount of the run-off RNA from the complexes that successfully transcribed through the repeat.

Figure 4A shows that the (GAA)$_{57}$ repeat in the sense strand severely inhibited transcription under these experimental conditions. While roughly 40% of starting EC45s formed run-off products upon chase with all four NTPs on the control and (TTC)$_n$-encoding templates (Figure 4A (lanes 2 and 6) and B), only 7% of those complexes were able to complete transcription through the repetitive stretch on (GAA)$_n$-encoding templates (Figure 4A (lane 4) and B). Overexposure of the gel revealed an array of shorter RNAs spanning the entire repetitive run (Figure 4A, lane 7). Therefore, the repeats caused either transcription arrest or termination.

It was possible to distinguish between the two alternatives because transcription was performed with the immobilized elongation complexes. In this system, transcription termination results in the release of the RNA in the supernatant. In Figure 4C, the products released in the supernatant (lanes 1, 3 and 5) were analyzed side-by-side with the unfractionated reaction mixtures (lanes 2, 4 and 6). One can see that the transcripts shorter than the run-off product, which evidently belong to the elongation complexes stopped within the (GAA)$_n$ repeat (a smear indicated by a vertical bar in Figure 4A, lane C), have not been released to the supernatant (lane 1) but remained associated with the polymerase (lane 2), thus suggesting that the (GAA)$_n$ repeat presents a block for the transcription elongation, but does not cause its premature termination. In contrast, the run-off product was completely released in supernatant, indicating that the disruption of the elongation complex results in dissociation of the transcript from the immobilized RNA polymerase.

Altogether, our *in vitro* data show RNA polymerase stalling by (GAA)$_n$ repeats in the sense strand for transcription and the lack of such stalling by (TTC)$_n$ repeats.

**Effect of normal-size (TTC)$_n$ repeats on RNA stability in vivo**

Based on the above *in vitro* data, one should not expect transcription inhibition by (TTC)$_n$ repeats in the sense strand for transcription. Strikingly, however, we observed that transcription of plasmids carrying normal-size (TTC)$_n$ repeats in the sense strand resulted in the appearance of specifically truncated mRNAs (Figure 5). The lengths of the shortened RNA species indicated that truncations occurred around (UUC)$_n$ repeats. Furthermore, the fraction of truncated products rapidly
increased with an increase in the repeat’s length whereas the fraction of the full-size transcripts concurrently decreased. This length dependence strongly suggested that (TTC)\textsubscript{n} repeats in the sense strand were indeed responsible for the RNA truncation. Hybridization of the same blots with the probe corresponding to the 3' end of the cat mRNA (Figure 5B) revealed only full-size RNA transcripts but not the 3' truncations. This could either be due to the lack of transcription beyond short (TTC)\textsubscript{n} runs or an endonucleolytic cleavage of the repeat-containing transcripts followed by the rapid degradation of their 3' halves.

Since (GAA)\textsubscript{n}(TTC)\textsubscript{n} repeats are capable of forming triplex DNA structures, we first analyzed whether transcript truncations in the (TTC)\textsubscript{n} orientation were due to the formation of intramolecular triplexes (H-DNA). To check this hypothesis, we used an approach based on the fact that intramolecular triplexes require the mirror symmetry from a homopurine–homopyrimidine repeat. We generated mutants M1 and M2 that carried two C-to-T transitions in either the 5' or 3' half of the (TTC)\textsubscript{15} repeat, respectively, thus destroying its mirror symmetry without changing the CT content. We have also generated a mutant M12, which combined substitutions from the M1 and M2 mutants together, restoring the mirror symmetry of the repeat. Northern blot analysis of transcripts from the resultant plasmids revealed that RNA truncations at TC-rich stretches remained the same for all three mutants and was indistinguishable from that in the non-interrupted (TTC)\textsubscript{15} stretch (Figure 6). We therefore concluded that RNA truncations did not depend on the repeat’s ability to form triplex structures. Since the RNA pattern did not change even in the M12 mutant where the (TTC)\textsubscript{n} repeat was significantly disturbed, we concluded that the perfect repetitive nature of the sequence is not required for transcript truncations.

Since we did not observe transcription inhibition in vitro for the (TTC)\textsubscript{n}-encoding template but saw a potent truncation of the UUC-containing transcripts in vivo, we strongly suspected that these truncations could be due to RNA cleavage at or within (UUC)\textsubscript{n} repeats. To study whether (UUC)\textsubscript{n} repeats within mRNAs could lead to their degradation, we have chosen a genetic approach based on the use of various RNase mutants in E. coli. Specifically, we analyzed (UUC)\textsubscript{n}-containing mRNA synthesis in strains that carried mutations in the genes encoding RNase I, RNase III, RNase H, RNase P and RNase E. Mutant and isogenic control strains were transformed with the plasmids carrying (TTC)\textsubscript{20} repeats in the sense strand for transcription, and RNA products were analyzed by northern blot hybridization. Mutations in RNase I, RNase III, RNase H and RNase P did not have any effect on the synthesis of repeat-containing mRNA and/or accumulation of truncated RNA fragments (data not shown).

RNase E, to the contrary, appeared to be crucial for the repeat-caused RNA cleavage. Since RNase E is an essential protein, we used a temperature-sensitive rne-3071(ts) mutant and followed the fate of (UUC)\textsubscript{n}-containing RNAs upon shifting the logarithmic cells to different temperatures. Figure 7 shows a clear-cut decrease in the amount of truncated RNA fragments at the non-permissive compared to permissive temperatures. RNase E is the key component of the so-called degradosome, which is involved in the endonucleolytic degradation of bacterial mRNA. We believe, therefore, that RNA cleavage within (UUC)\textsubscript{n} repeats by the bacterial degradosome is responsible for the accumulation of truncated RNAs, which could be mistaken for the products of premature transcription attenuation.

**DISCUSSION**

The reduced amount of transcripts carrying expanded (GAA)\textsubscript{n} repeats in the sense strand for transcription was detected in FRDA patients (8,9) and in transient
transfection experiments with cultured mammalian cells (10). At the same time, expanded (GAA)$_n$ runs did not strongly affect transcription in yeast plasmids (19) or in the FRDA knock-in mice (27). The reasons for these discrepancies are unclear. Furthermore, while it is generally believed that expanded repeats block transcription elongation (9,10), other mechanisms such as changes in the chromatin structure (17) or RNA splicing can be considered.

To gain a better insight into the mechanisms of gene repression caused by FRDA repeats, we analyzed the effects of (GAA)$_n$/(TTC)$_n$ repeats of varying lengths and

**Figure 4.** RNA polymerase progression is blocked by the (GAA)$_n$ repeats *in vitro*. (A) The immobilized elongation complexes were ligated to the linear fragment containing the repeat (GAA or TTC in the sense strand) or the control sequence. The 45-nt RNA in the starting EC and the 300-nt run-off (RO) product are indicated by arrows. (B) Quantitative analyses of data shown in (A); the fraction of the starting elongation complexes that reached the end of the template reflects the transcription efficiency. (C) The ECs obtained as in (A) were chased for 5 min with 1 mM NTPs, the KCl concentration was adjusted to 1 M, and the complexes were incubated in high salt for an additional 5 min. Then, the supernatant was removed (S, odd lanes) and loaded side-by-side with the unfractionated reaction mix (T, even lanes). The run-off products are indicated by arrows; the range of the transcripts from the TECs blocked by the repeat is shown by a red line.
orientations within an E. coli plasmid on transcription. The advantage of this system is that in the lack of chromatin structure and RNA splicing, the results are easier to interpret in terms of transcription and RNA stability.

Rather unexpectedly, we have found that progressive lengthening of (GAA)<sub>n</sub> runs in the sense strand for transcription first leads to an increase followed by a decrease in the amount of the repeat-containing transcript (Figure 1C). The initial increase was completely eliminated upon chloramphenicol treatment of E. coli cells (Figure 1D), indicating that it was protein mediated. Furthermore, a much more modest increase was observed in the RNase E mutant (Figure 1E). These data suggested that short (18 < n < 50) (GAA)<sub>n</sub> repeats stabilize RNA transcripts in E. coli cells. We have proven this suggestion by measuring stabilities of control and repeat-containing RNA in cells treated with the transcription inhibitor rifampicin (Figure 2). While the reasons of this stabilization remain to be unraveled, an increase in the mRNA levels at carrier-size repeat lengths was also reported for other expansion diseases (28–30).

Expanded (n > 50) (GAA)<sub>n</sub> repeats in the sense strand for transcription significantly decreased transcription efficiency. This effect was particularly pronounced in cells treated with chloramphenicol or in the RNase E mutant (Figure 1D and E). Furthermore, the strength of transcription inhibition increased proportionally to the repeat’s length. These results are highly indicative that the repeat’s secondary structure, rather than protein binding to it, is responsible for the transcription inhibition.

This assumption is further supported by our in vitro studies of the E. coli RNA polymerase. Transcription was notably delayed within (GAA)<sub>n</sub> runs in linear templates. At the same time, premature termination within a repetitive run did not occur in vitro or in vivo, suggesting that the polymerase’s progression was arrested within the repeat but not aborted altogether. Note that transcription inhibition in vitro was significantly stronger than that observed in vivo: the same (GAA)<sub>57</sub> repeat inhibits transcription 6-fold in vitro but only 2-fold in chloramphenicol-treated cells. While the reasons for this discrepancy are unknown, we believe that accessory transcription factors facilitate the RNA polymerase progression through repetitive DNA.

By-and-large, our data on the effects of (GAA)<sub>n</sub> runs on transcription are in line with the previous hypotheses implicating unusual secondary structures of FRDA repeats in transcription attenuation (9–12,31). The exact structure responsible for this transcription slowing remains to be elucidated. (GAA)<sub>n</sub>(TTC)<sub>n</sub> runs belong to the class of homopurine–homopyrimidine mirror repeats that are capable of forming intramolecular DNA triplexes, such as H-DNA (32) or ‘sticky’ DNA (33,34). Formation of intramolecular triplexes requires a local unwinding of the DNA, which appears naturally during the course of transcription. If the non-template single-stranded DNA in the transcription bubble contains (GAA)<sub>n</sub> repeats, it can fold back to form the triplex-like structure, trapping elongating RNA polymerase (11). Another structure that could contribute to transcription stalling is a quasi hairpin built of (GAA)<sub>n</sub> repeats (35). It can form a roadblock in DNA in front of the elongating RNA polymerase or attenuate the RNA polymerase progression by forming in the newly synthesized RNA. The structural model is also consistent with our observations that chloramphenicol treatment amplifies repeat-caused transcription attenuation in vivo. Chloramphenicol treatment is known to increase plasmid DNA supercoiling.

**Figure 5.** Effect of normal-size (TTC)<sub>n</sub> repeats on RNA synthesis in E. coli cells. Northern blot analysis of UUC-containing mRNAs from the wild-type E. coli cells. Hybridization was carried out with either the 5' or 3' part (Figure 1A) of the repeat-containing transcript.

**Figure 6.** RNA truncations caused by (TTC)<sub>n</sub> repeats are not due to the H-DNA formation. RNA from a plasmid with the (TTC)<sub>15</sub> repeat and three mutant derivatives of this repeat, M1, M2 and M12, was analyzed by northern blot hybridization with the 5' probe shown in Figure 1A.
in *E. coli* (36) that in turn facilitates formation of DNA triplexes (37).

A totally unexpected phenomenon observed in the course of our studies is that positioning normal-size (TTC)$_n$ runs into the sense strand for transcription results in the degradation of (UUC)$_n$-containing mRNAs in *E. coli*. This degradation was significantly reduced in the conditionally lethal RNase E mutant at the non-permissive temperature (Figure 6). RNase E is an integral component of the bacterial degradosome, which is crucial for the initial stages of the decay of the majority of mRNAs in *E. coli* (38). We therefore conclude that (UUC)$_n$ stretches serve as targets for the bacterial degradosome. The sequence specificity of RNase E is still unclear. Early efforts to determine the substrate specificity resulted in the following consensus sequence: RAUUU/A (39). Subsequent analysis revealed that RNase E prefers single-stranded AU-rich RNA regions (40). This study points to another motif, (UUC)$_n$: 10 repeats were enough to trigger mRNA decay, and it became highly significant at 20 repeats. These data point to a potential mechanism for gene repression by expandable repeats via accelerated RNA degradation.

Is this observation relevant for eukaryotic systems? To address this question, we analyzed the effect of (TTC)$_n$ repeats on RNA degradation in yeast cells. For this purpose, (GAA)$_n$(TTC)$_n$ repeats of different lengths or a control 188-bp non-repetitive sequence were cloned downstream of the GAL1 promoter such that (TTC)$_n$ runs appeared in the sense strand for transcription (Figure 8A). Upon transcription induction by the galactose, total RNA was isolated and analyzed by northern hybridization. Hybridization with the probe, corresponding to the 5’ part of the transcript, revealed the accumulation of short transcription products evidently truncated at the position of the (UUC)$_n$ repeat (Figure 8B). The accumulation of these truncations was clearly dependent on the repeat’s length; they were non-existent at 20 repeats, evident at 35 repeats and became the main RNA species at 60 repeats. Hybridization with the 3’ probe revealed traces of RNAs, whose lengths corresponded to the distance from the (UUC)$_n$ run to the transcription terminator. We therefore believe that RNA truncations are likely caused by the UUC-mediated RNA degradation in yeast, similar to what was observed in bacteria. Since there is no known homolog of RNase E in yeast, it would be of prime interest to identify yeast RNase(s) responsible for this degradation. While UUC-mediated RNA degradation has not yet been observed in cultured mammalian cells (10), our data warrant revisiting this issue. It would also be interesting to study whether other pyrimidine-rich expandable repeats cause RNA degradation *in vivo*.
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