Effect of Precision Health Education on Compliance Behavior after Extracorporeal Shock Wave Lithotripsy
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Objective. The aim of this study was to investigate the effects of precision health education on patients’ compliance behavior and lithotripsy efficacy after extracorporeal shock wave lithotripsy (ESWL). Methods. From June 2018 to September 2018, 104 patients with upper urinary tract calculi who underwent ESWL at Anhui No.2 Provincial People’s Hospital were randomly divided into two groups. The observation group (n = 53) was given precision health education guidance after ESWL treatment, while the control group (n = 51) was only informed of precautions after lithotripsy. Postoperative compliance behavior, stone expulsion time, and complications were recorded in both groups. Results. The clinical baseline data of the two groups were similar in age, gender, number of stones, size of stones, location of stones, complications, number of lithotripsies, and voltage of lithotripsy between the two groups (P > 0.05). However, compared with the control group, the observation group had better compliance behavior of patients. Furthermore, we divided the patients into three groups based on whether they complied with medical advice. Compared with the noncompliance group (n = 17) and the partial compliance group (n = 23), the stone expulsion time in the complete compliance group (n = 64) was significantly shorter. Also, the number of patients with nausea and vomiting, lacrimation, and cold sweat in the complete compliance group was significantly lower than that in the other groups. Conclusion. Precision health education for patients treated with ESWL can significantly enhance the compliance behavior of patients, thereby accelerating the expulsion of stones, improving the efficacy and relieving pain. Precision health education and good compliance behavior complement and reinforce each other.

1. Introduction

Urolithiasis is an important source of morbidity worldwide and is a common urinary system disorder [1]. Due to changes in diet and lifestyle, its prevalence has increased by 10.6% and 7.1% in male and female patients, respectively [2]. Patients with urolithiasis often experience clinical symptoms such as abdominal pain and dysuria, which seriously impact the patient’s life [3]. Despite the numerous treatments available for urolithiasis, the disease tends to recur after treatment and has a 10-year recurrence rate as high as 50% [4].

Extracorporeal shock wave lithotripsy (ESWL) is a non-invasive technique emerging in the 1980s for treating most stones, with the advantages of high safety, high efficacy, low pain, and rapid recovery. In addition, ESWL is easily accepted by patients and can be performed in the outpatient clinic. However, the stone-free rate after lithotripsy still needs to be improved, and a lack of appropriate postoperative management may result in serious complications such as hydronephrosis and infection, increasing the patient’s pain to varying degrees [5, 6]. As an attempt to solve these issues, Zhang and Lu [7] recommended precision health education after ESWL, a personalized diagnosis and treatment model for stone expulsion, which was proven to significantly improve the stone-free rate after ESWL for urinary calculi and reduce the risk of postoperative complications.

However, the impact of precision health education on patients’ compliance has not yet been studied. Thus, this paper collected the data of 104 patients with upper urinary tract calculi (UUTC) who underwent ESWL from June 2018 to September 2018 at the Anhui No.2 Provincial People’s Hospital. They were divided into two groups (with or
without precise health education after ESWL), and the patients’ compliance, therapeutic effects, and complications were compared. This study was designed with the aim that the obtained results would provide a reference for the application of precise health education after ESWL for urolithiasis to improve patients’ outcomes.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Study Subjects. Information on UUTC patients treated in the Department of Urology at the Anhui No.2 Provincial People’s Hospital from June 2018 to September 2018 was retrieved and analyzed. The study inclusion criteria were as follows: (1) diagnosed with UUTC by X-ray, kidney, ureter, and bladder (KUB) X-ray/intravenous urography (IVU) or B ultrasound examination; (2) the clinical symptoms were characterized by lumbar and abdominal pain, hematuria, urinary urgency, and frequency; (3) absence of urinary tract infection and had a normal renal function; and (4) voluntarily signed the informed consent. Exclusion criteria were as follows: (1) age < 18 years; (2) combined urinary tract infection and renal insufficiency; and (3) had a previous history of ESWL or surgery for UUTC on the affected side. Eligible patients were then randomly divided into a control group (n = 51) and an observation group (n = 53). This study was approved by the Ethics Committee of Anhui No.2 Provincial People’s Hospital (2022-0112).

2.2. Clinical Baseline Data of Patients. We collected the following clinical baseline data of patients: age, gender, education level, occupation, number of stones, size of stones, location of stones, comorbidities, number of lithotripsy, voltage of lithotripsy, and number of shocks.

2.3. Procedures of Extracorporeal Shock Wave Lithotripsy (ESWL). The medical staff inquired about the detailed past medical history of the patients. In particular, elderly patients received careful measurement of blood pressure, pulse, and electrocardiogram before treatment to identify possible preventable anomalies during treatment. Then, the medical staff patiently explained the relevant knowledge of lithotripsy treatment and precautions to the patients and relatives and tried to alleviate negative psychological reactions such as anxiety, which helped the patients to cooperate with the treatment procedures. An imported dual-positioning Dornier lithotripter was used to complete the operation at a voltage of 12–14.5 kV. The procedure was started with 12 kV low energy for 100–500 shocks, and the power was gradually increased. In total, no more than 4000 shock waves were delivered. The whole process of ESWL was operated by one person and monitored. ESWL time was recorded, with an interval between two treatments of no less than 7 days. Patients or their family members gave informed consent and cooperated with the treatment.

2.4. Precision Health Education after Treatment. Precision health education [7] was given to the observation group immediately after ESWL, and the specific guidance is shown in Table 1. In contrast, for patients in the control group, only general precautions were introduced after lithotripsy, with no specific requirements and conventional health education. All patients were followed up for 3 months and reexamined by B ultrasound or KUB every 1 to 2 weeks to assess the compliance behavior and lithotripsy effects.

2.5. Observation Measures. Time of stone expulsion after the operation was observed. The criteria for successful stone expulsion were as follows [8]: After the patient felt that the stone was discharged, B ultrasound and KUB detected no stone in the patients or only detected shatters of diameter ≤3 mm in patients without clinical symptoms. Failure to expel stones was described as follows: after 1 month of ESWL, stone shadows could still be observed by B ultrasound or X-ray, and residual stones > 3 mm or require other surgical adjuvant therapy.

The compliance behavior of patients was graded as complete, partial, or nil based on the following: (1) complete compliance: patients completely followed the health education provided by doctors after ESWL, and all the items were implemented; (2) partial compliance: patients partially followed the health education after ESWL and performed items they considered important but ignored items they believed unimportant; (3) noncompliance: patients refused to receive the health education after ESWL and ignored all the treatment items.

Postoperative complications, such as hematuria, infection, lumbar soreness, nausea and vomiting, frowning, lacrimation, and cold sweat, were recorded and analyzed.

2.6. Statistical Analysis. The experimental data were statistically analyzed using the SPSS 17.0 software. Enumeration data were expressed as n (%), and chi-square (χ²) test or Fisher’s exact test was used for comparison between groups. Measurement data were expressed as mean ± standard deviation (SD), and t-test was used for comparison between two groups. Univariate analysis was performed for comparison between multiple groups. P < 0.05 was used as the criterion for judging statistically significant differences.

3. Results

3.1. Patients’ Baseline Clinical Data. In this study, 104 patients with UUTC aged 20-70 (median age: 41) years old were selected as the study subjects, including 73 males and 31 females. There were 8 cases of renal calculi, 64 cases of upper ureteral calculi, 13 cases of middle ureteral calculi, 19 cases of lower ureteral calculi, and 2 cases of distal ureteral calculi. No significant difference was observed between the control and observation groups in age, gender, number of stones, size of stones, location of stones, comorbidity, number of lithotripsy, and voltage of lithotripsy (P > 0.05), except for education level (P = 0.044), occupation (P = 0.002), and number of shocks (P = 0.025) (Table 2). Among the initially collected 104 cases, 2 patients in the control group lost contact because of going abroad.

3.2. Comparison of Compliance Behavior between the Two Groups. In this study, the compliance of patients in the two groups was analyzed (Table 3). The results showed that the compliance behavior of patients in the observation group...
was significantly better than those in the control group \((P < 0.01)\), suggesting that precision health education guidance helped improve patients’ postoperative compliance behavior.

### 3.3. Comparison of Stone Expulsion Time

We divided the patients into 3 groups based on whether they complied with medical advice. The results showed that the stone expulsion time in the complete compliance group was significantly shorter than that in the other two groups, and the time was longest in the noncompliance group \((P < 0.05)\) (Table 4).

### 3.4. Comparison of Postoperative Pain Behavior

We compared the postoperative pain behavior of patients in the three groups. The results of Table 5 show that the number of patients who developed nausea and vomiting, lacrimation, and cold sweat postoperatively was significantly lower in the complete compliance group than in the other two groups \((P < 0.05)\). However, there was no significant difference in the number of patients with lumbar soreness and frowning between the three groups \((P > 0.05)\).

### 4. Discussion

Patient compliance represents patients’ behavior in the prevention, treatment, and rehabilitation of diseases consistent with medical advice [9]. During medical treatment, the degree of compliance behavior of patients might be directly related to the stone expulsion rate after ESWL and the prevention of complications. In turn, a reduction in ESWL efficacy affects the confidence and satisfaction of patients with treatment, thereby reducing their compliance behavior. Collectively, compliance behavior and treatment outcomes show a close relationship.

Disease cognition is a prerequisite and guarantee for reducing symptoms, controlling the condition, and improving quality of life [10]. Active participation is vital to increasing disease cognition, but before participation, a good and specific self-management model for patients during follow-up after ESWL must be established. Some patients have little knowledge of ESWL or are unwilling to abide by recommendations, leading to low compliance toward medical orders after ESWL, failure to follow medical guidance, and interruption of postoperative follow-up, which consequently
Table 3: Comparison of clinical baseline data between the two groups.

| Variables                              | Total (n = 104) | Control group (n = 51) | Observation group (n = 53) | p     |
|----------------------------------------|-----------------|------------------------|---------------------------|-------|
| Age, year, mean ± SD                   | 39.7 ± 10.5     | 41.0 ± 11.0            | 38.4 ± 9.9                | 0.215 |
| Gender, n (%)                          |                 |                        |                           | 0.931 |
| Male                                   | 73 (70.2)       | 36 (70.6)              | 37 (69.8)                 |       |
| Female                                 | 31 (29.8)       | 15 (29.4)              | 16 (30.2)                 |       |
| Size of stones, mean ± SD              | 49.2 ± 34.9     | 52.9 ± 38.8            | 45.7 ± 30.6               | 0.295 |
| Number of stones, n (%)                |                 |                        |                           |       |
| 1                                      | 100 (96.2)      | 50 (98)                | 50 (94.3)                 | 0.222 |
| 2                                      | 3 (2.9)         | 0 (0)                  | 3 (5.7)                   |       |
| 3                                      | 1 (1.0)         | 1 (2)                  | 0 (0)                     |       |
| Location of stones, n (%)              |                 |                        |                           | 0.456 |
| Renal calculi                          | 8 (7.7)         | 4 (7.8)                | 4 (7.5)                   |       |
| Distal ureteral calculi                | 2 (1.9)         | 0 (0)                  | 2 (3.8)                   |       |
| Upper ureteral calculi                 | 62 (59.6)       | 30 (58.8)              | 32 (60.4)                 |       |
| Lower ureteral calculi                 | 19 (18.3)       | 12 (23.5)              | 7 (13.2)                  |       |
| Middle ureteral calculi                | 13 (12.5)       | 5 (9.8)                | 8 (15.1)                  |       |
| Education level, n (%)                 |                 |                        |                           | 0.044 |
| Junior and senior high school          | 70 (67.3)       | 32 (62.7)              | 38 (71.7)                 |       |
| Technical secondary school and junior college | 21 (20.2) | 15 (29.4)              | 6 (11.3)                  |       |
| Undergraduate and above                | 13 (12.5)       | 4 (7.8)                | 9 (17)                    |       |
| Occupation, n (%)                      |                 |                        |                           | 0.002 |
| Students                               | 4 (3.8)         | 3 (5.9)                | 1 (1.9)                   |       |
| Officials                              | 1 (1.0)         | 1 (2)                  | 0 (0)                     |       |
| Self-employed people                   | 9 (8.7)         | 9 (17.6)               | 0 (0)                     |       |
| Workers                                | 29 (27.9)       | 11 (21.6)              | 18 (34)                   |       |
| Others                                 | 61 (58.7)       | 27 (52.9)              | 34 (64.2)                 |       |
| Number of lithotripsy, n (%)           |                 |                        |                           | 0.258 |
| 1                                      | 91 (87.5)       | 47 (92.2)              | 44 (83)                   |       |
| 2                                      | 9 (8.7)         | 2 (3.9)                | 7 (13.2)                  |       |
| 3                                      | 4 (3.8)         | 2 (3.9)                | 2 (3.8)                   |       |
| Voltage 1, mean ± SD                   | 81.6 ± 7.2      | 81.8 ± 6.9             | 81.5 ± 7.6                | 0.837 |
| Voltage 2, mean ± SD                   | 90.4 ± 10.0     | 91.1 ± 10.4            | 89.8 ± 9.8                | 0.516 |
| Average of voltage, mean ± SD          | 86.0 ± 8.4      | 86.4 ± 8.4             | 85.6 ± 8.4                | 0.632 |
| Number of shocks, mean ± SD            | 2250.1 ± 477.7  | 2356.3 ± 426.9         | 2147.9 ± 505.0            | 0.025 |
| Time of stone expulsion, mean ± SD     | 26.5 ± 16.6     | 25.8 ± 17.2            | 27.1 ± 16.1               | 0.689 |
| Comorbidity, n (%)                     |                 |                        |                           | 0.924 |
| 0                                      | 84 (80.8)       | 41 (80.4)              | 43 (81.1)                 |       |
| 1                                      | 20 (19.2)       | 10 (19.6)              | 10 (18.9)                 |       |

Enumeration data were expressed as n (%), and chi-square (χ²) test or Fisher’s exact test was used for comparison between groups. Measurement data were expressed as mean ± standard deviation (SD), and t-test was used for comparison between two groups.

Table 3: Comparison of compliance behavior between the two groups.

| Variables          | Observation group (n = 53) | Control group (n = 51) | χ²  | P    |
|--------------------|----------------------------|------------------------|-----|------|
| Complete compliance| 41 (77.4)                  | 23 (45.1)              | 18.310 | <0.01 |
| Partial compliance | 11 (20.6)                  | 12 (23.5)              |      |      |
| Noncompliance      | 1 (1.9)                    | 16 (31.4)              |      |      |

Chi-square (χ²) test was used for the comparison between groups.
Studies have shown that precision health education after ESWL provides no comprehensive understanding of patients’ postoperative management, and therefore, precise health education is essential for patients with stones.

Importantly, this guidance takes into account the characteristics of patients, the urinary tract environment where stones are located, and the patients’ general condition. Several studies have shown that precision health education after ESWL can effectively improve SFR after ESWL and reduce the risk of postoperative complications, which is worthy of clinical application and promotion [7].

The primary need of patients after ESWL is stone expulsion. Precision health education can make ESWL achieve its expected effect of postoperative stone expulsion and reduce the risk of postoperative complications, which is worthy of clinical application and promotion [7].

In addition, considering that conventional health education provides no comprehensive understanding of patients’ psychological needs, changes in their condition, and individualized measures conducive to the effect of postoperative stone expulsion [7], thus, timely and effective “personalized” adjustment to post-ESWL management cannot be achieved [7]. Comparatively, precision health education after ESWL can provide personalized and optimal guidance for stone expulsion treatment. Specifically, based on the full understanding of the psychological needs of patients after ESWL, medical staff can establish personalized guidance for postural movement, self-management of stone expulsion, and adjunct medication and other measures for each individual. Importantly, this guidance takes into account the characteristics of stones, the urinary tract environment where stones are located, and the patients’ general condition. Several studies have shown that precision health education after ESWL can effectively improve SFR after ESWL and reduce the risk of postoperative complications, which is worthy of clinical application and promotion [7].

The primary need of patients after ESWL is stone expulsion. Precision health education can make ESWL achieve its best therapeutic effect and enable the tangible and invisible, immediate, and whole-course expression of feelings between doctors and patients, thus improving patient compliance. Residual stones are relatively common after ESWL, and although the ureter has peristaltic functions that promotes the passing of small stone pieces, more attention should be paid to residual fragments < 5 mm. It has been reported that residual stones < 5 mm can grow in 21% to 59% of patients after ESWL [12]. With the implementation of stone expulsion management guided by precision health education after ESWL, the recurrence of stones after surgery can be reduced.

For stones that cannot be successfully expelled by conventional health education guidance after ESWL, the expected lithotripsy effect can be achieved through a personalized model of stone expulsion diagnosis and treatment if patients have high compliance with medical orders. According to our results, the observation group receiving precision health education was superior to the control group in patient compliance. Furthermore, we divided the patients into 3 groups (complete compliance, partial compliance, and noncompliance groups) according to whether they complied with medical advice or not. Compared with the noncompliance group and the partial compliance group, the stone expulsion time in the complete compliance group was significantly shorter. Also, the number of patients with nausea and vomiting, lacrimation, and cold sweat in the complete compliance group was significantly lower. These findings confirm that precision health education can improve patients’ compliance after ESWL, which in turn can lead to effective lithotripsy and reduce patients’ postoperative pain behavior.

### Table 4: Comparison of stone expulsion time.

| Group               | Complete compliance (n = 64) | Partial compliance (n = 23) | Non-compliance (n = 17) |
|---------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------|------------------------|
| Stone expulsion time (day) | 18.5 ± 13.5                 | 26.7 ± 12.7*               | 30.7 ± 14.9**          |

*P < 0.05 and **P < 0.01 vs. complete compliance.

### Table 5: Comparison of postoperative pain behavior between the two groups.

| Variables                  | Complete compliance (n=64) | Partial compliance (n=23) | Non-compliance (n=17) | P  |
|---------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------|----|
| Lumbar soreness           | 31 (48.4%)                 | 10 (43.5%)                | 11 (64.7%)            | 0.382 |
| Nausea and vomiting       | 13 (20.3%)                 | 11 (47.8%)                | 5 (29.4%)             | 0.048 |
| Frowning                  | 23 (35.9%)                 | 6 (26.1%)                 | 3 (17.6%)             | 0.299 |
| Lacrimation               | 7 (10.9%)                  | 6 (26.1%)                 | 8 (47.1%)             | 0.005 |
| Cold sweat                | 6 (9.4%)                   | 3 (13.0%)                 | 6 (35.3%)             | 0.047 |

Variables: Complete compliance (n = 64), Partial compliance (n = 23), Non-compliance (n = 17).
patients improve continuous stone expulsion treatment in patients after ESWL and reduce the risk of postoperative complications.

Further, we found that the two groups of patients had no severe hematuria and steinstrasse (or stone street) after surgery, possibly due to the small size of most stones and adequate preoperative preparation. For instance, anti-infection therapy was performed first if patients had an infection before lithotripsy so that no severe infection would occur after surgery in the two groups. Low contributor severity improves patients' compliance, thereby enhancing treatment efficacy.

In conclusion, precision health education after ESWL represents an individualized optimal management program for the treatment of stone expulsion and follow-up according to the location and size of stones, lithotripsy effect, and postoperative symptoms of patients. It has been shown to significantly improve the compliance behavior of patients, thereby accelerating stone expulsion, improving the efficacy of treatment, and relieving pain. Thus, these findings indicate that precision health education and good compliance behavior complement and reinforce each other.
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