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Effects of Bitter Melon Saponin on the Glucose and Lipid Metabolism in HepG2 Cell and C. elegans
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1.Introduction

In the last few decades, obesity and its related metabolic disorders have emerged as public health issues worldwide [1]. Obesity is developed with the evidence of body weight gain, insulin resistance, hyperlipaemia, and so on, increasing the risk of II type diabetes, nonalcoholic fatty liver disease, and some other metabolic syndromes [2]. Nowadays, much attention has been paid to some natural nutrients to early intervene the obesity. Bitter melon (Momordica charantia L.) is commonly used as a medicinal and edible plant for disease prevention, particularly obesity and diabetes [3]. Saponin is one of the main active components in bitter melon, whose structure consists of triterpenes and steroids [4]. Large amounts of mechanism studies have shown that the saponins of bitter gourd have physiological activities such as lowering blood glucose and improving insulin resistance, which are recognized as insulin-like [5, 6]. What is more, the fat-lowering effect of BMS is another bioactivity, which interacts with the glucose metabolism to improve the insulin resistance. In vitro study suggested bitter melon triterpenoid reduced preadipocyte viability and lipid accumulation by downregulating PPARγ in 3T3-L1 cells [7]; in vivo study reported that saponin extract from bitter melon significantly suppressed body weight gain and visceral fat accumulation in the PPARα- and PPARγ-mediated pathways in obese mice [8].

Caenorhabditis elegans (C. elegans, also referred to as ‘nematode’ hereinafter), is a whole-system organism for the evaluation and mechanism study of toxicity or bioactivity. Recently, C. elegans has become an excellent model for studying the mechanism of lipid metabolism due to its high homology to mammals and rich genes-deficient mutants [9]. Lipid metabolism includes fatty acid synthesis, oxidation, unsaturation, and elongation, which are deeply evolutionarily conserved in C. elegans to the mammals. Additionally, in the obese, liver is one of the important insulin-sensitive tissues and HepG2 cell line is commonly applied to study the lipid metabolism in hepatic function by stimulation with fatty acids.

Therefore, this study aimed to figure out the impacts of BMS on ameliorating insulin sensitivity and fat deposition in hepatic cell. Based on this, we further confirm the fat-lowering effects of BMS in C. elegans and preliminarily
reveal the potential mechanism in the models we used in this current study.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Materials and Chemicals. Fresh bitter melons were collected from Lyjian Agricultural Station (Yangzhou City, China) and authenticated by Jiangsu Academy of Agricultural Science. HepG2 cell was purchased from Shanghai institute of biochemistry and cell biology. *Escherichia coli* OP50 and N2 strain of *C. elegans* was obtained from Caenorhabditis Genetics Center, University of Minnesota, USA. Fetal bovine serum and Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium (DMEM) were purchased from GIBCO Company, USA. Metformin and palmitic acid were bought from Sigma, USA. MTT assay, Oil Red O staining assay, glucose and glycogen contents determination, ATP and TG contents determination, and protein assay kits were purchased from Nanjing Jiancheng Bioengineering Institute, China. TaKaRa MiniBEST Universal RNA extraction kit, PrimeScript™ RT Master Mix kit, and SYBR Premix Ex Taq™ kit were purchased from Takara Bio Company, Japan. All of the other reagents and solvents were purchased from Sinopharm Chemical Reagent Co. Ltd., China and were of either analytical or chromatographic grade.

2.2. BMS Preparation. Unripe bitter melons were washed thoroughly in water and the seeds were removed. Then, the pulp was thinly sliced, freeze-dried, and milled (diameter < 100 μm). Bitter melon powder was added with 75% ethanol for reflux extraction twice at 80°C, and the filtrate was collected. Then, n-butanol saturated with water was applied to extract from the filtrate for 3 times until the concentrated n-butanol phase was brown and sticky. Methanol and acetone were added to produce precipitation, which then was frozen as the BMS.

2.3. HepG2 Cell Culture and HepG2-IR Cell Model. The cells were cultured in monolayers up to 80% confluence in DMEM supplemented with 10% heat-inactivated fetal calf serum and 1% penicillin/streptomycin at 37°C in a humidified incubator supplied with 5% CO2. Cells in the logarithmic growth phase were used for all the studies described below.

For model of insulin resistance (IR), the supernatant of HepG2 cells inoculated in 96 plates was discarded and replaced with serum-free DMEM medium for 12 hours to keep fasting. Then, the supernatant was discarded, washed twice with PBS, and added with serum-free culture medium containing palmitic acid (the final concentration was 0.25 mM) for 12 hours to induce HepG2-IR cell model.

2.4. Cell Viability and Glucose Consumption Determination. Based on the establishment of HepG2-IR cell model, BMS at different concentrations of 50, 100, 250, 500, 750, 1000, and 2000 μg/L were incubated together with palmitic acid after 12 h fasting. The cell viability was detected by MTT method [10].

For glucose consumption determination, groups were divided into blank group (without cell), normal group, IR model group, and IR model groups with BMS or 2 mM metformin. After 12 h treatment together with palmitic acid, the kits for glycogen, TG, ATP, and protein contents determination were applied. Formulas were as the following:

\[
\text{relative glucose consumption} (%) = \frac{(\text{glucose contents of blank group − IR model groups})/\text{cell viability of IR model groups}}{(\text{glucose contents of blank group − normal group})/\text{cell viability of normal group}} \times 100%.
\]

\[
\text{relative glycogen content} (%) = \frac{(\text{glycogen content of IR model groups})/\text{protein content of IR model groups}}{(\text{glycogen content of normal group})/\text{protein content of normal group}} \times 100%,
\]

\[
\text{relative TG content} (%) = \frac{(\text{TG content of IR model groups})/\text{protein content of IR model groups}}{(\text{TG content of normal group})/\text{protein content of normal group}} \times 100%,
\]

\[
\text{relative ATP content} (%) = \frac{(\text{ATP content of IR model groups})/\text{protein content of IR model groups}}{(\text{ATP content of normal group})/\text{protein content of normal group}} \times 100%.
\]
2.6. C. elegans Culture. M9 buffer, S-complete, and nematode growth medium (NGM) used for C. elegans culture were prepared as previously described [11]. Glucose plates were prepared by adding glucose (1M, sterile filtered) into the autoclaved NGM after cooling. Worms were synchronized according to standard protocols [12]. For maintenance, worms were grown on NGM plates and E. coli OP50 was freshly provided as the food source. For assays, C. elegans were treated by ddH2O or BMS for 48 h from L1 to L4 in the NGM with or without glucose (1 mM final concentration). Groups were divided to normal, model, and model with BMS 100 and 250 μg/L.

2.7. Oil Red O Staining for C. elegans. Synchronized L1 worms were treated with BMS (100 or 250 μg/mL) for 48 h to late L4 stage followed by Oil Red O staining. Oil Red O staining (~1000 worms per each group) was conducted by washing animals with 1x phosphate-buffered saline (PBS). Worms were washed with 1x PBS three times, fixed with 4% formaldehyde for 15 min and dehydrated with 60% isopropanol for 15 min. Oil Red O solution (0.5 g/100 mL in isopropanol) was diluted in double distilled water to 60% working solution and filtered. Fixed worms were incubated in the working solution overnight at room temperature. Dye was removed after allowing worms to settle, and 200 μL of 1x PBS 0.01% Triton X-100 was added. 20–30 Oil Red O stained worms were randomly selected for imaging with a Leica microscope outfitted with DIC optics (Leica, Wetzlar, Germany) under identical settings and exposure times.

2.8. TG Assay for C. elegans. Synchronized L1 worms were treated with BMS (100 or 250 μg/mL) for 48 h to late L4 stage followed by TG measurement. C. elegans (~2000 worms per each group) were broken by an ultrasonic cell disrupter and centrifuged to obtain the supernatant. The supernatant was reacted with the kit and the absorbance was measured at a wavelength of 510 nm. The TG and the protein assays were conducted according to the manufacturer’s instructions. TG content was normalized with protein concentration determined by Bradford assay.

2.9. Locomotive Activity, Brood Size, and Lifespan Assay. For head thrashing, worms were washed with M9 buffer and dropped on another NGM plate. The head thrashing frequency (~30 worms in each group) was observed under a stereo microscope as a change in the direction of bending at the midbody and the number of times was recorded in one minute. The experiment was repeated at least 3 times.

For body bend assay, the examined nematodes (~30 worms in each group) were picked onto another NGM plate and scored for the times of bodies bends in an interval of 20 sec. A body bend was counted as a change in the direction of the part of nematodes corresponding to the posterior bulb of the pharynx along the y-axis, assuming that nematode was moving along the x-axis. The experiment was repeated at least 3 times.

For brood size, 1 random worm in each group was transferred to a new NGM plate with OP 50, and each group has three duplicates. Worms were transferred to fresh NGM plates during the reproduction period, and the eggs left were allowed to hatch and grow to L4 stage before counting the number of progeny of each worm.

For lifespan, about 100 worms in each group were transferred every day to the corresponding fresh NGM plates from L4 stage until all died. The number of surviving worms were counted and the actual number in the statistical results varies slightly due to the loss of dead or censored animals. Indicators of death included lack of movement, the stress movement of the parasite, and a lack of pharynx contraction after one or two attempts at gentle touching.

2.10. Quantitative Real-Time PCR (qRT-PCR) for Cells and C. elegans. RNA of HepG2 cell and C. elegans samples were extracted by the TaKaRa MiniBEST Universal RNA Extraction Kit. Prime Script™ RT Master Mix Kit was applied to synthesis cDNA according to the manufacturer’s protocol. cDNA was amplified and quantified in a CFX96-PCR detection system using the SYBR Premix Ex Taq II Kit. Primer sequences are listed in Table 1. Data were normalized to GAPDH gene in cells and β-actin gene in C. elegans, analyzed using the ΔΔCt method [13].

2.11. Statistical Analysis. Data are presented as means ± SE and analyzed with the Statistical Analysis System (SAS Institute, NC, USA). Statistical analysis was performed using one-way analysis of variance, followed by Tukey’s multiple range test to compare between groups. The significance of differences was defined at the p < 0.05 level.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Influences of BMS on the Cell Viability and Glucose Consumption. Figure 1(a) showed the effect of BMS at different concentrations on the survival rate of HepG2 cells for 12 h. There were no significant effects of BMS concentration from 50 μg/mL to 250 μg/mL on cell viability (p > 0.05), while the concentrations of BMS at 500, 750, 1000, and 2000 μg/mL were obvious (p < 0.05), indicating that BMS concentration greater than 500 μg/mL had a significant inhibitory effect on the cell growth. Therefore, the concentration range of BMSE was determined to be between 50 and 250 μg/mL.

The effects of BMS at different concentrations on glucose consumption in HepG2 cells were shown in Figure 1(b). With the palmitic acid induction, the glucose consumption of cells in the model group was significantly lower than that in the normal group (p < 0.05), indicating that the HepG2-IR cell model was successfully established. Similar to the effect of Met, the BMS concentration at 100 and 250 μg/mL, not 50 μg/mL, obviously enhanced the glucose consumption of HepG2 insulin resistant cells (p < 0.05), which was largely due to the stimulation of GLUT4 transferring to the membrane [14].
3.2. BMS Altered the Levels of Glycogen, TG, and ATP in Insulin-Resistance HepG2 Cell. The liver is the main part of the body that regulates blood glucose. As shown in Figure 2(a), the glycogen content in model group was significantly decreased compared to the normal group (p < 0.05), suggesting that the glucose metabolism, especially the gluconeogenesis, was disordered after palmitic acid induction. However, BMS at 100 and 250 μg/mL remarkably normalized the glycogen level of HepG2-IR cells compared with model group, as well as the Met (p < 0.05). Consistent with the results from Min et al. found that triterpenoids isolated from bittermelon could inhibit gluconeogenesis in both L6 myotubes and 3T3-L1 adipocytes to decrease the glucose level [15].

Long-term treatment with palmitic acid in HepG2 cells accelerated the fat accumulation, characterized by the increase of TG level, as shown in Figure 2(b). Supplementation with BMS at 100 and 250 μg/mL concentrations effectively decreased the TG content compared to the model group, suggesting BMS could inhibit the fat deposition of HepG2-IR cells (p < 0.05). In 3T3-L1 cell line, it was reported that bitter melon triterpenoid extract could reduce preadipocyte viability and lipid accumulation [7].

ATP level reflects the status of energy metabolism. Palmitic acid can lead to the uncoupling of mitochondrial respiratory chain of cells and reduce the ATP level, causing the idling state of oxidative phosphorylation [16]. Compared with the normal group, the ATP content in the model group was strikingly declined (p < 0.05). Only BMS at 250 μg/mL exhibited improved ATP level, even not the Met, which illustrated that BMS not only recovered the levels of glycogen and TG, but also improved the disorder of energy metabolism. Metformin failed to increase ATP content, probably due to its abilities to inhibit mitochondrial respiratory chains, therefore reducing ATP production and declining the ratio of ATP/AMP, which in turn activates AMPK [17].

3.3. Effects of BMS on the Expressions of Glucose and Lipid Metabolism Related Genes in HepG2-IR Cells. To discover the potential mechanism of BMS ameliorating the indexes of metabolism, we applied qRT-PCR to check the expressions of related genes. From Figure 3(a), for genes related fatty
acid β-oxidation, BMS at 250 μg/mL concentration largely stimulated the mRNA expression of AMPK and CPT1, while decreasing the ACC2 mRNA level (p < 0.05), which enhanced fatty acid β-oxidation [18]. For genes related glucose intake, BMS could augment the expressions of PGC-1α and GLUT4, similar to the effect of Met. Increased GLUT4 translocation from the cytoplasm to the membrane promotes glucose uptake [19]. In line with Han’s study, triterpenoids in bitter melon improved glucose homeostasis by upregulating GLUT4 in streptozotocin-induced diabetic mice [20]. Based on these results, we speculated the potential pathways of BMS involving in the metabolism included the fatty acid β-oxidation and glucose intake (Figure 3(b)).

3.4. BMS Altered the Fat Accumulation of Glucose-Treated C. elegans. C. elegans has been widely used as an in vivo model for exploring the genetic regulation of fat storage, due to the fact that many aspects of fat synthesis and breakdown pathways characterized in humans are conserved in this easy-handling organism [21]. The intestine in C. elegans consists of 20 cells and is responsible for food digestion and nutrient absorption as well as the synthesis and storage of fat [22]. As BMS exhibited strong effects on the lipid metabolism in vitro, we further assessed the impact of BMS on the overall fat and lipid metabolism in C. elegans by Oil Red O staining and TG assay to confirm it. Figure 4 indicated that 1 mM glucose could dramatically stimulate the fat accumulation in C. elegans compared to the normal group, while BMS at both 100 and 250 μg/mL concentrations obviously decreased the overall fat in the body of C. elegans (p < 0.05). Likewise, a striking reduction in TG level was observed in BMS groups than the model group, which further confirmed lipid-lowering efficacy of BMS both in vitro and in vivo.
Influences of BMS on the Locomotive Activity, Progeny Production, and Longevity in *C. elegans*. Genetic and environmental factors, including food, have been shown to affect the physical activities of *C. elegans* and could also play a large role in fat accumulation [21]. Thus, we needed to figure out whether BMS affected the basic growth and development of *C. elegans*. As shown in Figures 5(a) and 5(b), we found that BMS had no effect on the body bends of *C. elegans*, but it improved the frequency of head thrashes in glucose-treated worms, which inferred that BMS might slightly enhance the energy expenditure to lower the overall fat. Longevity of *C. elegans* is closely related with many factors, including environmental, dietary, and genetic factors [23, 24]. High concentration of glucose is shown to exhibit a negative impact on the lifespan of nematodes [25]. Regarding the progeny production and longevity, data suggested that BMS showed no influence on the brood size, while extending the median lifespan of glucose-treated worms, which indicated that both 100 and 250 μg/mL BMS exhibited no toxicity to the growth and development of *C. elegans*.

**Figure 3:** Effect of BMS on fatty acid β-oxidation and glucose intake. (a) mRNA expressions of AMPK, ACC2, CPT1, GLUT4, and PGC-1α of HepG2-IR cells; (b) potential pathways of BMS involved in the glucose and lipid metabolism. Values are expressed as means ± SE. Statistical analysis was performed using ANOVA. Different superscripts were considered significantly different. Met: metformin; BMS: bitter melon saponins.

**Figure 4:** Effect of BMS on lipid accumulation (overall fat and TG content) in glucose-treated *C. elegans*. Values are expressed as means ± SE. Statistical analysis was performed using ANOVA. Different superscripts were considered significantly different. BMS: bitter melon saponins.
Figure 5: Effects of BMS on the locomotive activity, brood size, and lifespan of glucose-treated C. elegans. (a) Body bends, (b) head thrashes, (c) progeny production, (d) median lifespan. Values are expressed as means ± SE. Statistical analysis was performed using ANOVA. Different superscripts were considered significantly different. BMS: bitter melon saponins.

Figure 6: Effect of BMS on expressions of lipid metabolism related genes in C. elegans. Values are expressed as means ± SE. Statistical analysis was performed using ANOVA. The means with different superscript were considered significantly different. BMS: bitter melon saponins.
3.6. BMS Regulated the Expressions of Genes Involved in Lipid Metabolism in C. elegans. To discover the potential molecular pathways involved in the effect of BMS on fat reduction, we determined some important genes related lipid metabolism by qRT-PCR. In our current study, we found that BMS treatment profoundly decreased the mRNA expressions of desaturase genes, namely, fat-1, fat-5, and fat-7 (Figure 6), which are known as involved in the de novo synthesis of polyunsaturated fatty acids (PUFAs) [26]. Additionally, we tested a key gene expression involved fatty acid β-oxidation, the nuclear hormone receptor nhr-49 [27]. We found that 1 mM glucose impaired the expression of nhr-49 compared to that in normal group, while BMS significantly upregulated nhr-49 gene expression, indicating that BMS might act on nhr-49 to regulate catabolism of fatty acids by mediating the β-oxidation pathway, thereby reducing fat deposition in C. elegans. This was in accordance with the effects of BMS on enhancing β-oxidation in HepG2 cells.

4. Conclusions

In conclusion, BMS exerted beneficial effects on maintaining energy homeostasis, evidenced by enhancing glucose uptake and consumption in HepG2-IR model through upregulating GLUT4 expression and inhibiting fat accumulation in both HepG2 cell and C. elegans via promoting fatty acid β-oxidation. Prospectively, saponins from bitter melon might be a potential prebiotic to manage clinical patients with obesity or obesity-related metabolic syndrome.
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