Surgical management of wide intrabony defect underlying midline diastema using Whale's tail flap technique: A Case Report
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ABSTRACT

INTRODUCTION: Management of wide midline diastemas accompanying an underlying osseous defect is cumbersome which sometimes yields unpredictable results. However, regenerative therapy of these highly aesthetic zones using a Whale’s tail flap technique obtains a maximum papilla fill after placement of the bone graft. This case report illustrated the utilisation of Whale’s tail technique of flap for a large interdental defect.

CASE PRESENTATION: A young healthy 31-year-old male patient presented with maxillary midline diastema. Probing depth of 6 mm was also noted over the mesial aspect of the same teeth with localised osseous defect radiographically which lead to a diagnosis of localized chronic periodontitis in relation to those teeth. A Whale’s tail flap technique for papilla preservation was performed together with a regenerative procedure using bone graft and GTR membrane.

DISCUSSION: Midline diastema is a common reported complaint in dentistry due to both aesthetic and functional reasons. Following the treatment, 12 months postoperatively, patient had a probing depth reduction of 3 mm and a gain in clinical attachment of 2 mm. The surgical technique allowed regeneration of wide intrabony defects involving the maxillary anterior teeth with notable interdental diastemas.

CONCLUSION: This lead to significant improvement of the hard and soft tissue contour as well as it recreated a functional reattachment which was documented up to 12 months postoperatively.

© 2018 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Ltd on behalf of IJS Publishing Group Ltd. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

1. Introduction

The classical approach to periodontal regeneration has always been the use of bone grafts in repairing periodontal bone defects where the association of guided tissue regeneration with graft materials reported with success for many years [1].

Among various patient, site, and medical factors that influence the clinical outcomes, a critical issue in periodontal regenerative procedures in obtaining and maintaining primary closure over the treated area to ensure adequate protection of the healing events [2,3].

Different surgical procedures have been proposed to preserve the interdental papillary structure during the early and late phases of wound healing to prevent contamination of the regenerating area and subsequent wound failure [4,5]. These procedures, especially the novel minimally invasive techniques, aim to provide greater stability to the blood clot to enhance the regenerative potential [6].

However, the methods as mentioned earlier, carry a possible risk of dissection the papilla that may jeopardise the volume and integrity of interdental tissues [7].

From an anatomical point of view, incision of the defect-associated papilla entails a risk of wound failure, especially in the treatment of deep and wide bony defects that will end with a rather large area that lacks blood supply from periodontal ligament or alveolar bone [1]. In 2009, Bianchi and Bassetti described the “Whale’s tail” technique, which was designed to overcome this particular risk, especially in the treatment of deep intrabony defects in the aesthetic zone [8].

This case report revisits this approach of combing a large interdental osseous defect with midline diastema by the successful application of 'the Whale’s tail technique' and thereby aid in regeneration. The work has been reported in line with the SCARE criteria [9].

2. Case description

A healthy 31-year-old Indian Malaysian male reported to our institute (March 2017) with a chief complaint of spacing between his maxillary right and left central incisors as well bleeding on brushing concerning his left maxillary central incisor. A comprehensive periodontal examination using William’s Periodontal
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probe revealed a narrow Miller’s class I gingival recession over the mid-facial surface of tooth 21 and 11. Bleeding on probing along with midline diastema as well as a gingival type frenal attachment was noted. Also, 4 mm and 6 mm probing depth were detected distal to 11 and mesial to 21 respectively along with clinical attachment loss of 8 mm (Fig. 1a). The patients had never visited a dentist before and gave no significant drug and family history. Radiographic examination revealed horizontal bone loss mesial to 21 (Fig. 1b), which gave the diagnosis of localised chronic periodontitis for 21 and 11. The treatment plan was discussed with the patient and the surgical technique opted was a Whale’s tail flap procedure for 21 and 11 based on the bone defect size and the inter-papillary width. It was further decided to combine the technique with bone graft and GTR membrane for a more predictable regenerative outcome. Patient gave his informed and written consent to the same.

Two weeks after the non-surgical therapy of scaling and root planing and pre-emp antibiotic regimen of 500 mg Amoxicillin TID for 5 days, on the day of the surgery after local anaesthesia was administered, incision points were marked at 21 and 11 region, following which two vertical full-thickness incisions were made from the mucogingival line to the distal margin of the tooth neighbouring the defect on the buccal surface. Finally, a Whale’s tail-shaped horizontal incision joined the apical margins of the first two incisions. Crevicular incisions were made in the mesiobuccal, interproximal, and palatal aspects of 21 and 11 (Fig. 2). A full thickness mucoperiosteal flap was reflected from the buccal to the palatal side following which complete removal of granulation tissue and scaling and root planning was done. Bone graft (Perioglas®) was placed along with GTR membrane (Healiguide®) covering the defect (Fig. 3a, b), following which flap was repositioned back. 4-0 Ethicon, non-resorbable, perimeter sutures were placed, without tension, away from the margins (Fig. 4). The periodontal dressing was placed. Postoperative instructions and prescription for 0.12% Chlorhexidine mouthrinse were given for 15 days. The patient was recalled after two weeks for suture removal, and post-op follow up to 12 months duration.

Following the treatment, six months postoperatively, probing depth reduced by 3 mm and gain in the clinical attachment of 2 mm. The result was stable and aesthetically satisfactory (Fig. 5a) until 12 months. A slight increase in bone height was also noted radiographically (Fig. 5b) when examined at 12 months post-op.
aesthetic problems such as loss of interdental papilla or increased tooth length without compromising the primary goal of periodontal surgery [10]. Preservation of papillary integrity is essential for maintaining aesthetics [11]. Long-term evaluation period after the use of papilla preservation technique, revealed stable results, although a partial exposure of the buccal root surface of some teeth did occur [10].

This limitation was addressed in the Whale’s tail technique, by elevation of a large buccal flap, which allowed the preservation of a significant amount of soft tissue as well as facilitating access and visualization of the defect [8]. Clinical findings obtained with this technique in terms of CAL gain (2 mm) and PPD reduction (3 mm) and radiographic bone fill were comparable with the results achieved with other clinical studies in which the same technique was adopted [8,12,13]. Soft tissue healing is dependent on many factors: incision technique, flap design, tissue manipulation, postsurgical follow-up, and patient cooperation [14]. In this case, the systematic use of incisions distant from the defects and biomaterial margins drastically reduced the percentage of flap dehiscence due to bacterial colonization. Furthermore, the handling of the interdental papilla was easier and more convenient than the conventional technique [4]. Moreover, the patient was also satisfied as aesthetically there was no visible scar formation as well teeth felt more stable and there was no discomfort reported post-surgery.

4. Conclusion

The purpose of this case report was to describe the efficacy of Whale’s tail technique to the specialists and clinicians to achieve primary closure and thereby aid in regeneration of an interdental osseous defect between maxillary central incisors where esthetic is the main concern. More extensive long-term clinical trials are needed to evaluate patient comfort and predictability of this approach with similar bone defects in the esthetic zone.
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