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ABSTRACT

The chromospheric activity index log RHK of stars hosting transiting hot Jupiters appears to be correlated with the planets’ surface gravity. One of the possible explanations is based on the presence of condensations of planetary evaporated material located in a circumstellar cloud that absorbs the Ca II H&K and Mg II h&k resonance line emission flux, used to measure chromospheric activity. A larger column density in the condensations, or equivalently a stronger absorption in the chromospheric lines, is obtained when the evaporation rate of the planet is larger, which occurs for a lower gravity of the planet. We analyze here a sample of stars hosting transiting hot Jupiters tuned in order to minimize systematic effects (e.g., interstellar medium absorption). Using a mixture model, we find that the data are best fit by a two-linear-regression model. We interpret this result in terms of the Vaughan–Preston gap. We use a Monte Carlo approach to best take into account the uncertainties, finding that the two intercepts fit the observed peaks of the distribution of log RHK for main-sequence solar-like stars. We also find that the intercepts are correlated with the slopes, as predicted by the model based on the condensations of planetary evaporated material. Our findings bring further support to this model, although we cannot firmly exclude different explanations. A precise determination of the slopes of the two linear components would allow one to estimate the average effective stellar flux powering planetary evaporation, which can then be used for theoretical population and evolution studies of close-in planets.
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1. INTRODUCTION

There have been many attempts to detect stellar activity excess/deficiency in stars hosting close-in planets (i.e., star–planet interaction, SPI), but with ambiguous results. Works by, e.g., Shkolnik et al. (2003, 2005, 2008), Kashyap et al. (2008), Scharf (2010), Gurdemir et al. (2012), and Pillitteri et al. (2014) reported the detection of a significant increase of stellar activity in stars hosting hot Jupiters (HJs). These findings have been challenged by, e.g., Poppenhaeger et al. (2010), Poppenhaeger & Schmitt (2011), and Miller et al. (2015), who attribute those detections to biases or selection effects.

Based on measurements by Knutson et al. (2010), Hartman (2010) discovered a significant correlation between the chromospheric activity index log RHK of stars hosting transiting HJs and the surface gravity of their planets log gP. On the other hand, he did not find any correlation of log RHK with the orbital semimajor axis a. This is the only SPI correlation that has been strengthened so far by further dedicated studies (Figueira et al. 2014).

To explain this correlation, Lanza (2014) proposed a theoretical model that assumes that the planetary material, evaporated under the action of the stellar extreme ultraviolet (EUV) radiation (Lammer et al. 2003; Lecavelier des Etangs et al. 2004; Lecavelier Des Etangs 2007; Sanz-Forcada et al. 2010, 2011), diffuses toward the star along the magnetic field lines of the stellar corona in which the planet is embedded, to finally condense forming prominence-like structures absorbing at the core of the chromospheric resonance lines (e.g., Mg II h&k, Ca II H&K). A stronger absorption in the core of the chromospheric resonance lines, where the log RHK index is measured, occurs when the gravity of the planet is lower, thus making the observed chromospheric emission correspondingly lower.

An independent confirmation of this model comes from the detection of an anomalous lack of activity in the core of the Ca II H&K and Mg II h&k resonance lines of WASP-12, hosting an extremely irradiated evaporating hot Jupiter (Fossati et al. 2010; Haswell et al. 2012). This anomaly is likely caused by circumstellar absorption from material presumably lost by the planet (Fossati et al. 2013). Numerical simulations of the circumstellar environment in HJs’ systems support such a conclusion (e.g., Cohen et al. 2011; Matsakos et al. 2015). In addition, observations have shown that atmospheric evaporation can be conspicuous and it is a key factor shaping planet structure, evolution, and circumplanetary environment for both hot Jupiters (e.g., Vidal-Madjar et al. 2003) and close-in low-mass planets (Kulow et al. 2014; Ehrenreich et al. 2015).

A limitation of the model proposed by Lanza (2014) is that the best fit obtained when it is applied to the observations has a reduced χ2 remarkably greater than unity. In the present Letter, we significantly improve the best fit by treating the observed sample of stars as a mixture of two different subsets with intrinsic chromospheric activity above or below the so-called Vaughan–Preston gap (hereafter VP; Vaughan & Preston 1980) observed for solar-like stars (see Wright 2004; Gray et al. 2006).

2. OBSERVATIONS

We consider the sample of late-type stars with transiting planets investigated by Figueira et al. (2014) and select the objects with effective temperature 4200 ≤ Teff ≤ 6200 K, where the log RHK index is best calibrated. We further restrict the
sample to the systems with semimajor axis \( a \leq 0.1 \) AU and \( M_p \geq 0.1 M_J \) (\( M_p \) is the planetary mass and \( M_J \) Jupiter’s mass) and consider only the brightest stars (\( V \leq 13 \) mag) with a color excess \( E(B-V) \leq 0.06 \) mag, as derived from extinction maps by Amôres & Lépine (2005), in order to reduce systematic effects caused by interstellar absorptions. We excluded known multiple systems because the presence of several (close-in) planets may further complicate the correlations we want to investigate.

Jupiter-like planets are expected to have a hydrogen-dominated atmosphere, thus their evaporation is powered by the stellar EUV radiation (\( \lambda < 912 \) Å). Smaller planets can have instead a different atmospheric composition, possibly implying a different effective passband for the UV flux powering evaporation. It is to exclude those planets that we limit our sample to \( M_p \geq 0.1 M_J \).

Typical standard deviations in \( \log R_{\text{HK}} \) are about 0.09 dex (Lanza 2014). The standard deviation of the planetary gravity is computing propagating the uncertainties in the system parameters (from exoplanets.org). The considered sample of stars is listed in Table 1.

### 3. MODEL

#### 3.1. Expected Correlations between Stellar Chromospheric Emission and Planet Gravity

The model proposed by Lanza (2014) assumes that the orbital motion of the planet induces a steady energy dissipation driving the host star’s coronal field toward a minimum energy state characterized by mostly closed field lines that extend up to a few tens of stellar radii (Lanza 2009), within HJs’ orbital distance. Among the possible configurations, there are some with a dip along the field lines, close to the star, where the matter evaporating from the planet can radiatively cool, condense, and be stably supported against the stellar gravity. Those condensations are expected to be similar to solar prominences with a typical temperature of \( \sim 10^5 \) K and an electron density of \( n_e \sim 10^{10} \) cm\(^{-3}\) and absorb in the chromospheric resonance lines, notably Ca II H&K and Mg II h&k.

Assuming that the evaporation is powered by the stellar EUV flux and that the evaporated matter diffuses along the field lines reaching the condensation site close to the star, the model predicts that (Lanza 2014)

\[
\log R_{\text{HK}} = \log R_{\text{HK}}^{(0)} - \gamma g_p^{-1},
\]

where \( \log R_{\text{HK}}^{(0)} \) is the measured chromospheric index of the star, \( \log R_{\text{HK}}^{(0)} \) is its intrinsic index (i.e., without any absorption by the circumstellar material), and the slope \( \gamma \) is given by

\[
\gamma = 0.0434 \frac{\alpha \eta F_{\text{EUV}}}{m_p c_s},
\]

where \( \alpha \) is the line absorption coefficient per hydrogen atom, \( F_{\text{EUV}} \) the EUV stellar flux powering evaporation, \( \eta \) the average heating efficiency, \( m_p \) the proton mass, and \( c_s \) the sound speed of the evaporating plasma.

Stars hosting transiting HJs are generally not biased against solar-amplitude stellar activity. We therefore expect that the \( \log R_{\text{HK}}^{(0)} \) distribution follows the distribution found for solar-like stars. This can be approximated as the sum of two Gaussians with mean values of about \(-4.9\) and \(-4.5\) and equal standard deviations of \( \approx 0.25 \) dex (Wright 2004; Gray et al. 2006). The former corresponds to low-activity stars and the latter to high-activity stars, i.e., below and above the VP gap, respectively. The VP gap seems not to be present at low metallicity (Gray et al. 2006), but our stars have about solar metallicity. We expect to find an imprint of such a bimodal distribution in Equation (1): \( \log R_{\text{HK}}^{(0)} \) is equal to the unabsorbed value of the chromospheric index and \( \gamma \) is proportional to the flux emitted in the EUV passband, which is greater for higher-activity stars, hence \( \log R_{\text{HK}}^{(0)} \) and \( \gamma \) are correlated.

Sanz-Forcada et al. (2011) suggested that \( F_{\text{EUV}} \propto F_X^{0.860 \pm 0.073} \), where \( F_X \) is the X-ray coronal flux in the passband 5–100 Å, roughly coincident with that of PSPC on board ROSAT used by Piteurs et al. (1997) to correlate \( F_X \) with the excess chromospheric emission \( \Delta F_{\text{HK}} \) of late-type stars. The excess emission \( \Delta F_{\text{HK}} \) is obtained from the measured chromospheric flux by subtracting the so-called basal flux \( R_{\text{HK}}^{(0)} \), the minimum emission flux present for stars with a chromosphere, which for main-sequence stars should be \( \sim 5.1 \) (Wright 2004). According to Piteurs et al. (1997), \( F_X \propto (\Delta F_{\text{HK}})^{2.1 \pm 0.2} \); therefore, we expect \( F_{\text{EUV}} \propto (\Delta F_{\text{HK}})^{8.2 \pm 0.33} \). By introducing the chromospheric index, this can be written as

\[
F_{\text{EUV}} \propto \left[ 10^{\log R_{\text{HK}}^{(0)}^{(0)} - 10^{\log R_{\text{HK}}^{(0)}}} \right]^{\beta},
\]

where \( \beta = 1.82 \pm 0.33 \). The slope \( \gamma \) of \( \log R_{\text{HK}}^{(0)} \) versus \( g_p^{-1} \), as given by Equation (2), is therefore expected to be correlated with the intercept \( \log R_{\text{HK}}^{(0)} \) of the same line. The heating efficiency \( \eta \) and the sound speed \( c_s \) in Equation (2) are almost constant in the EUV flux regime characteristic of our stars (Murray-Clay et al. 2009; Shematovich et al. 2014); thus, we predict that the ratio \( \gamma/F_{\text{EUV}} \), where \( F_{\text{EUV}} \) can be estimated from Equation (3), is almost constant.

The slopes of the regression lines (Equation (1)) computed for stars above and below the VP gap are therefore expected to be correlated to their intercepts \( \log R_{\text{HK}}^{(0)} \), while the intercepts themselves are expected to be approximately \(-4.5\) and \(-4.9\), respectively, where we have assumed that all the other parameters entering in \( \gamma \) are the same for all stars.

The model assumes that the condensations have a cylindrical symmetry around the axis perpendicular to the planet’s orbital plane; hence, it does not predict any dependence of the absorption on the planet’s orbital phase, despite that single condensations may be discrete objects. For WASP-12, the line core absorption is indeed always present, regardless of the planet’s orbital phase.

#### 3.2. Applying a Cluster-weighted Model (CWM) to Fit the Data

Our stars cannot be immediately assigned to the groups above and below the VP gap because of the circumstellar/ISM absorption, intrinsic variability, and statistical measurement errors. Therefore, in order to proceed in the data analysis, we fit the \( \log R_{\text{HK}}^{(0)} - g_p^{-1} \) correlation by considering a statistical mixture model consisting of a combination of different linear regressions called CWM or Mixtures of regressions with random covariates (see Ingrassia et al. 2012). Assume we are provided with a random pair \((X', Y')\) having joint density \( p(x, y) \), where \( Y \) is a real-valued response variable and \( X \) is a vector of covariates with

\footnote{The \( \log R_{\text{HK}}^{(0)} \) value has a slight dependence on the effective temperature/mass.}
values in R^p. In the CWM framework, the joint density of each mixture component can be factorized into the product of the conditional density of Y|X = x and the marginal density of X by assuming a parametric functional dependence of Y on x.

The overall formulation is

\[ p(x, y; \psi) = \sum_{j=1}^{J} \pi_j p(y|x, j)p(x|j), \]

where J indicates the number of components in the model, \( p(y|x, j) \) is the component conditional density of the response variable Y given x, \( p(x|j) \) is the component marginal density of X, and \( \pi_j \) is the mixture weight for component j (defined so that \( \pi_j > 0 \) and \( \sum_j \pi_j = 1 \)). As Ingrassia et al. (2012, 2014), we assume the component densities, \( p(x|j) \) and \( p(y|x, j) \), to be Gaussian or Student-t distributed. We note that the Student-t distribution provides more robust fitting for groups of observations with longer than normal tails or noisy data.

The independent variable X is \( g_j^{-1} \), while the response variable is \( \log R_{HJ}^{(0)} \). Assuming Gaussian distributions, Equation (4) becomes

\[ p(g_j^{-1}, \log R_{HJ}^{(0)}; \psi) = \sum_{j=1}^{J} \pi_j \phi \]

\[ \times \left( \log R_{HJ}^{(0)} - \gamma_j g_j^{-1}, \sigma_j^2 \right) \phi \left( g_j^{-1}; \mu_{g,j}, \sigma_{g,j}^2 \right), \]

(5)
where \( R'(g^{-1}) \) is the intercept and \( \gamma_j \) the slope of the \( j \)th regression considered in the mixture, while \( \mu_j, \sigma^2_j \) are the parameters of the distribution of \( g^{-1} \). Finally, the vector \( \psi \) is the vector of all parameters of the mixture model. In other words, we assume that the observed \( R'(g^{-1}) \) correlation comes from a superposition of different linear regressions weighted on both local densities \( \phi(g^{-1}; \mu_j, \sigma^2_j) \) and mixing weights \( \pi_j \).

For a given number of components \( J \), the determination of the best-fit parameters \( \psi \) and of the probability of assignment of each data point (log \( R'(g^{-1}) \)) to a component of the mixture is performed by means of a maximum-likelihood approach based on the Expectation–Maximization algorithm (see Dempster et al. 1977; Ingrassia et al. 2014). The selection of the best number of components \( J \) can be performed by comparing the likelihoods of the models obtained for different \( J \), simultaneously allowing for a penalty factor that discourages the selection of models with a greater number of parameters. We take into account the Bayesian information criterion (BIC), usually adopted in the mixture models literature (McLachlan & Peel 2000), to guide the model selection. Once the parameters have been estimated, the data points are classified in either group according to the maximum a posteriori probability criterion.

4. RESULTS

For our sample, we selected a mixture model with \( J = 2 \) components. Based on the BIC values, the models with one and two components are equivalent, but the large heterogeneity of the data (the residuals depend upon the log \( R'(g^{-1}) \) value; Figure 1) and the large \( \chi^2 \) (Lanza 2014) make the one-component solution less likely. Following the BIC criterion, the two-component solution is more likely than the three-component one. The corresponding two-component linear regression lines are plotted in Figure 2. Four data points/systems (WASP-52, WASP-58, WASP-59, and WASP-69) have been discarded because lying far above both regression lines, the measured log \( R'(g^{-1}) \) could be affected by flaring or the stars could be caught during a phase of enhanced activity (e.g., owing to SPI; Shkolnik et al. 2008; Lanza 2013).

We find 17 points in the low-activity component and 20 in the high-activity component with intercepts log \( R'(g^{-1}) = -4.84 \pm 0.04 \) and log \( R'(g^{-1}) = -4.41 \pm 0.15 \) and slopes \( \gamma_1 = -153 \pm 36 \) and \( \gamma_2 = -706 \pm 270 \) cm s\(^{-2}\), respectively. There are systems/points that could belong to both components, but the results are not affected by considering these points belonging to either of them. As for the correlation analysis between log \( R'(g^{-1}) \) and \( g^{-1} \) in either group, in this framework, usual goodness-of-fit statistics for bivariate data such as \( R^2 \) cannot be used. New and suitable diagnostic statistics are currently under development.

The impact of the statistical errors is quantified as follows. We generate \( 10^4 \) mock data sets by adding to the coordinates of each point of the true data set random normally distributed deviates with standard deviations \( \sigma_{\log R'} = 0.09 \) and \( \sigma_{g^{-1}} = 0.09 \) (Table 1), respectively, to simulate the effect of the errors. We apply our mixture model to the mock data sets; we then consider the 1000 data sets returning the highest likelihood.

![Figure 1](image1.png)

**Figure 1.** Top: one-component fit to the log \( R'(g^{-1}) \) correlation. Slope and Spearman-rank correlation coefficient are given on the top right corner. Bottom: residuals from a one-component linear fit to the data. The distribution of the residuals depends upon the log \( R'(g^{-1}) \) value, hence a one-component model is not a good fit.

![Figure 2](image2.png)

**Figure 2.** Chromospheric emission index log \( R'(g^{-1}) \) vs. the inverse of the planet gravity \( g^{-1} \) (in cm s\(^{-2}\)) with the two best-fit regression lines of our mixture model in black and red. The data points assigned to each of the two regressions are plotted with the same color coding of the corresponding regression line. The open circles indicate points belonging to the high-activity component with an a posteriori probability between 0.5 and 0.65, hence points which may also belong to the low-activity component.
values and, for the regression lines found by fitting at least 11 points, we analyze the a posteriori joint distribution of the intercept log \( R_{\text{HK}}^{(0)} \) and the slope \( \gamma \) (Figure 3).

Figure 3 shows two clusters of points, separated by the red dashed line that we associate to each component of our mixture model. This indicates that the statistical errors on the two variables log \( R_{\text{HK}}^{(0)} \) and \( g_{p}^{-1} \) are small enough to allow us to clearly identify the two components of the mixture. The dispersion of the points around the barycenter of each cluster provides a measure of the impact of the statistical errors on the parameters. The fraction of points in Figure 3 belonging to the two clusters is 49.8% and 50.2%, indicating that each component has been sampled virtually with the same a posteriori probability.

We consider the distributions of the intercepts and of the slopes separately for the points belonging to the two clusters and use them to estimate the statistical uncertainties on the intercepts and slopes of the two regression lines of our mixture model (Figure 3). The average values of the intercepts and their standard deviations for the points belonging to the two clusters are log \( R_{\text{HK}}^{(0)} \) = \(-5.03 \pm 0.10\) and log \( R_{\text{HK}}^{(0)} \) = \(-4.44 \pm 0.18\), which are closer than log \( R_{\text{HK},1,2}^{(0)} \) to the values expected as a consequence of the bimodal distribution of the intrinsic chromospheric emission in late-type stars (Wright 2004; Gray et al. 2006). The mean intercept of the lower-activity cluster is still compatible with log \( R_{\text{HK}}^{(0)} \), although its derived error was \( \approx 2.5 \) times smaller than that estimated a posteriori with our method. For the higher-activity component, the agreement with log \( R_{\text{HK}}^{(0)} \) has improved, both in terms of estimated mean value and uncertainty. This shows that thoroughly taking into account the statistical uncertainties that affect the data set strengthens the prediction of a bimodal distribution of the intrinsic chromospheric emission.

The average values of the slopes \( \gamma \) and their standard deviations are 23.0 ± 87.0 and 537.2 ± 310.3 cm s\(^{-1}\) for the two clusters in Figure 3. The cluster with the greater average intercept has the steeper mean slope, as expected on the basis of our model. However, the average slope of the low-activity cluster is severely affected by the statistical uncertainties and its value is not significantly different from zero, showing that the slope of the regression of the low-activity component is the most uncertain parameter in our mixture model. It may well be that the correlation disappears once the host star has reached an activity below a certain level in which case the EUV flux may not be high enough to produce condensations that appreciably absorb the chromospheric flux or the stellar magnetic field, generating the coronal loops, not be strong enough.

We calculated then the \( R = \gamma / F_{\text{EUV}} \) ratios to check whether the values obtained from the high- and low-activity components are compatible, as expected on the basis of our model. We estimated the stellar EUV flux using \( \beta = 1.82 \) in Equation (3) and an activity basal level of log \( R_{\text{HK}}^{'} \) = \(-5.1 \) (Wright 2004). We obtained \( R_{1} = 5.0 \pm 2.7 \times 10^{11} \) and \( R_{2} = 2.0 \pm 1.6 \times 10^{11} \), in agreement within 1σ with our prediction of a constant ratio \( \gamma / F_{\text{EUV}} \).

5. DISCUSSION

Hartman (2010) already discussed the possibility that the log \( R_{\text{HK}}^{'}-g_{p}^{-1} \) correlation is caused by SPI. Because of our refined data set, we reanalyze here the possible role of SPI.

The top panels of Figure 4 show that log \( R_{\text{HK}}^{'} \) is correlated with the semimajor axis (in contrast to what was found by Hartman 2010), the stellar-to-planetary mass ratio, and the stellar mass: a lower activity is found for systems with a higher stellar-to-planetary mass ratio hosting planets with wider orbits. The log \( R_{\text{HK}}^{'}-\alpha \) correlation becomes even more significant when taking into account systems with \( a < 0.06 \) AU, directly pointing toward an SPI origin of the log \( R_{\text{HK}}^{'}-g_{p}^{-1} \) correlation.

The bottom panels of Figure 4 clarify instead that these correlations may not be caused by SPI. We find no relation between planetary and stellar mass and a weak log \( R_{\text{HK}}^{'}-M_{p} \) correlation; the latter does not change when considering only the most close-in planets and weakens slightly (Spearman-rank coefficient of 0.38) when considering planets with \( M_{p} > 0.3 M_{J} \) in order to avoid the biases described by Poppenhaeger & Schmitt (2011). This shows that the log \( R_{\text{HK}}^{'}-M_{p} \) correlation may be a consequence of the strong log \( R_{\text{HK}}^{'}-M_{s} \) correlation. Supporting this interpretation, Canto Martins et al. (2011), using systems hosting planets discovered by radial velocity, found a weak log \( R_{\text{HK}}^{'}-M_{p} \) correlation with a 30% significance.

We find a negative log \( R_{\text{HK}}^{'}-M_{s} \) correlation. If one considers \( B-V \) or effective temperature as a proxy for stellar mass, this contrasts with what typically found for large samples of...
middle-aged field stars (e.g., Gray et al. 2006; Fossati et al. 2013; Pace 2013; Miller et al. 2015). In addition, Canto Martins et al. (2011), using a large sample of non-transiting systems, found a positive log \( R'_{\text{HK}} - M' \) correlation. We argue that this difference is due to the fact that our sample of stars hosts transiting planets: condensations of planetary evaporated material would lie mostly close to the orbital plane and, on average, higher-mass stars have a higher EUV flux, which leads to a higher planet evaporation rate.

We find also a significant correlation between \( M' \) and \( a \), which is probably connected to ground-based detection biases of transiting planets: the ground-based detection probability of a planetary transit depends upon the orbital period, which is directly proportional to \( a^3/M' \). Since the orbital period of hot Jupiters falls in a restricted range (1–5 days) peaking at \( \approx 3 \) days, the \( M' - a \) correlation reflects the fact that the ratio \( a^3/M' \) has to be about constant.

The log \( R'_{\text{HK}} - a \) correlation may therefore find its origin in the \( M' - a \) and log \( R'_{\text{HK}} - M' \) correlations. We note that these parameters are affected by various uncertainties, which may for example explain the difference in the significance between the log \( R'_{\text{HK}} - a \) and \( M' - a \) correlations.

We find no reason to conclude that the log \( R'_{\text{HK}} - g_p^{-1} \) correlation is caused by SPI, although we are not able to exclude it completely. This conclusion is supported by results obtained from similar studies of X-ray fluxes and log \( R'_{\text{HK}} \) values of various samples of planet hosting stars (Poppenhaeger et al. 2010; Poppenhaeger & Schmitt 2011; Miller et al. 2015). The scenario proposed by Lanza (2014) remains therefore the most likely explanation for the log \( R'_{\text{HK}} - g_p^{-1} \) correlation and our results support it. It is left to future observations (particularly aiming at increasing the sample of stars and further removing the systematic biases, e.g., interstellar absorption) and modeling to support or contradict this interpretation.

6. CONCLUSIONS

We found evidence of a mixture of two distributions in the correlation between the chromospheric index log \( R'_{\text{HK}} \) and the surface gravity \( g_p \) of HJs (Hartman 2010; Figueira et al. 2014). Specifically, the BIC criterion and considerations on the residuals from a single linear model give a strong preference to a two-component mixture model.

Lanza (2014) proposed that the log \( R'_{\text{HK}} - g_p^{-1} \) correlation may be due to planetary evaporated material that absorbs in the chromospheric resonance lines, where log \( R'_{\text{HK}} \) is measured, in connection to the fact that planets with a lower surface gravity have a higher evaporation rate. Within this context, the two-component mixture model is naturally interpreted as a consequence of the VP gap in the distribution of the log \( R'_{\text{HK}} \) values in late-type stars. In addition, we found that the intercepts are correlated with the slopes, as predicted by the model.

We re-evaluate the role of SPI in the log \( R'_{\text{HK}} - g_p^{-1} \) correlation finding evidence favoring the model based on the condensations of planetary evaporated material rather than SPI, though the evidence is not strong enough to completely exclude it. Following the model proposed by Lanza (2014), a precise determination of the slopes \( \gamma \) of the two linear components would allow one to estimate the average \( \eta \times F_{\text{UV}} \) (assuming the sound speed does not vary dramatically from one system to the other), the effective stellar flux powering planetary
evaporation, which can then be used for theoretical population and evolution studies of close-in planets.
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