Robust and high-speed rotation control in optical tweezers by using polarization synthesis based on heterodyne interference
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The rotation control of particles in optical tweezers is often subject to the spin or orbit angular momentum induced optical torque, which is susceptible to the mechanical and morphological properties of individual particle. Here we report on a robust and high-speed rotation control in optical tweezers by using a novel linear polarization synthesis based on optical heterodyne interference between two circularly polarized lights with opposite handedness. The synthesized linear polarization can be rotated in a hopping-free scheme at arbitrary speed determined electronically by the heterodyne frequency between two laser fields. The experimental demonstration of a trapped vaterite particle in water shows that the precisely controlled rotation frequency of 300 Hz can be achieved. The proposed method will find promising applications in optically driven micro-gears, fluidic pumps and rotational micro-rheology.

Keywords: polarization modulation; optical heterodyne; optical tweezers; optical rotation

Introduction

Manipulation of particle rotation in optical tweezers has found many applications such as viscosity sensing, fluidic pumping1–4 and photo-mechanics5–7. The angular momentum of circularly polarized or vortex laser field can be transferred to trapped object and result in an optical torque for rotating object by scattering or absorbance5,8–11. For a birefringent or deformed particle trapped in viscous fluid, rotation with certain frequency can be initiated by the countering between optical angular momentum induced torque and the resistive torques induced by the ambient12. As a result, the particle rotation control driven by optical angular momentum is often susceptible to various factors including the intensity of light13, the morphology of particle, and the viscosity coefficient of the ambient. Rotation speed calibration in angular momentum based optical tweezers therefore is usually required for precisely controlling the rotation speed14–16.

In contrast to the use of circularly polarized or vortex beam, the torque induced by the misalignment between the linearly polarized laser field and optical axis of the trapped particle is insusceptible to ambient viscosity and therefore can generate synchronized particle rotation with the rotating linear polarization17–19. However, it is still limited in achieving particle rotation based on linear polarization modulation in optical tweezers that are continuous, hopping-free, and high-speed simultaneously. For examples, mechanically rotating half-wave plate is the most straightforward method which can continuously rotate the polarization plane of the beam while the rotational rate of this method is slow due to the mechanical...
movement\(^{17,20}\). The polarization direction can also be rotated electrically by changing the phase difference of the vertical and the horizontal components of the input polarization using a pair of acoustic-optical modulators (AOMs)\(^{21}\). Yet this method can only generate rotational polarization positioning in discrete manner and the discontinuity of the polarization rotation hinders its application in high-speed rotation manipulation. Quasi-continuous rotation of polarization plane can be achieved by using electro-optic modulators (EOMs) or photo-elastic modulators (PEMs) in which the polarization angle of the output beam is proportional to the phase modulation driven by the control voltage of the modulators\(^{22,23}\). The dynamic range of linear phase modulation of the EOMs or PEMs is usually limited. Therefore, EOMs or PEMs based linear polarization control is limited by a wrapped phase modulation, making it difficult to generate truly hopping-free rotation of linear polarization plane.

By using a novel linear polarization synthesis based on optical heterodyne interference between two circularly polarized lights with opposite handedness, we report on a robust and high-speed linear polarization rotation control method. The synthesized linear polarization can be rotated unidirectionally at arbitrary speed determined electronically by the heterodyne frequency between two laser fields. High-speed rotation manipulation of single birefringent particle in optical trapping systems using the synthesized linearly polarized light was also experimentally demonstrated. The particle rotation speed was demonstrated to be synchronized to the laser heterodyne frequency and only limited by the optical torque induced by the maximum laser power.

### Theory and experiments

A linearly polarized light can be expressed as the superposition of a right-handed circularly polarized light and a left-handed circularly polarized light as shown in below\(^{[1]}\)

\[
E_0 = \cos \theta \hat{x} + \sin \theta \hat{y} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} e^{i\theta} (\hat{L} + e^{-i2\theta} \hat{R}) ,
\]

where the basis vector of left-handed circularly polarized light and right-handed circularly polarized light can be expressed by \(\hat{L} = \sqrt{2}(\hat{x} + i\hat{y})\) and \(\hat{R} = \sqrt{2}(\hat{x} - i\hat{y})\) respectively, the \(\hat{x}\), \(\hat{y}\) are the basis vectors in Cartesian coordinate. \(\theta\) is the polarization angle with respect to the \(x\) axis given that the phase difference between the two orthogonal circularly polarized beams is \(2\theta\) as shown in Fig.1(a). In a heterodyne interference arrangement where the laser frequencies of the left-handed circularly polarized light and the right-handed circularly polarized light are different, the synthesized polarization can be expressed as:

\[
E_0(t) = e^{i2[\phi(t) + \varphi_1]} \hat{R} + e^{-i2[\phi(t) + \varphi_2]} \hat{L} = e^{i2[\phi(t) + \varphi_1]} (\hat{R} + e^{i2\pi f_1 t} \hat{L}) ,
\]

where \(f_1\) and \(f_2\) are the frequencies of two beams respectively; \(\varphi_1\) and \(\varphi_2\) are the initial phase of two beams. As shown in equation (2), the phase difference between the two beams at time \(t\) can be expressed as \(2\pi(f_1 - f_2)t + \varphi_2 - \varphi_1\), where \(\varphi_2 - \varphi_1\) is constant and determined by the initial phase of the two beams. The item \(e^{i2\pi f_1 t}\) represents the carrier phase and has no effect on the modulation of the polarization plane. Comparing equation (2) to equation (1), the time dependent linear polarization angle can be expressed as:

\[
\theta(t) = \frac{2\pi(f_1 - f_2)t + \varphi_2 - \varphi_1}{2} .
\]

If \(|f_1 - f_2| \ll f_1\) noting that the modulated linear polarization rotation is periodical at the angle of \(\pi\), the continuous rotation of the polarization over time then is at the frequency of \(f_1 - f_2\). Furthermore, the accumulated phase is monotonously increasing and therefore leads to a hopping-free polarization modulation. Fig. 1(b) shows
the schematic spinning dynamics of the polarization plane with laser heterodyne frequency of $\Delta f = f_2 - f_1$ in one heterodyne period.

The schematic diagram of the experiment is shown in Fig. 2. A pair of acoustic-optical modulator was controlled by a dual-channel RF (radio frequency) drive circuit and a pair of acousto-optic crystals (Chongqing Shangmao Technology Development Co. Ltd). The central working frequency of the two acousto-optic crystal is 99 MHz with frequency stability of ± 0.75 Hz while the RF of one channel is adjustable within range from 98 MHz to 99 MHz with resolution of 10 Hz. Because the phase of the beams diffracted by AOMs is affected by the phase of input RF signals, it is necessary to drive two AOMs with RF circuits referenced to the same clock oscillator, which can ensure the coherence and phase stability of two beams. A 532 nm single longitudinal mode laser with a coherence length of 50 m was chosen as the light source for obtaining stable optical heterodyne interference. The laser first passed a collimating system composed of two confocal lenses to make the beam waist of the beam matching with the aperture diameter of the AOMs. A half-wave plate and a polarized beam splitter were used to split the beam with adjustable power distributions. The two orthogonally polarized beams passed through AOMs in each arm and then were combined by another polarized beam splitter. The two beams were frequency shifted to $f_0 + \Delta f_1$ and $f_0 + \Delta f_2$, respectively, where $f_0$ is the frequency of incoming beam; $\Delta f_1$ and $\Delta f_2$ is the frequency shift induced by the two AOMs. A quarter wave plate was used to transfer two beams into oppositely handed circularly polarized light after beam recombination with the 45° angle relative to the horizontal polarization direction. The rotation frequency of the linear polarization direction is then given as:

$$\Delta f = \Delta f_1 - \Delta f_2.$$  (4)

The beam waist of the output linearly polarized beam was expanded to 6 mm by a beam expander made of an objective and a plano-convex lens. The beam was then reflected by a 561 nm long-pass dichroic mirror (DM) to the back focal plane of the objective lens and formed optical trapping after being focused by the objective with numerical aperture (NA) of 1.4. The DM was customized in its coating for minimizing the depolarization effect in reflection. The trapped particles were illuminated with Kohler illumination and imaged with an infinity calibrated imaging system. The rotational dynamics of the particles can be directly characterized by the camera in the case of slow rotation. A horizontally polarized probe laser of 671 nm wavelength was used to detect the rotation frequency by logging the scattering fluctuation of rotating particle with a photodetector (PD) at the vertical polarization direction. The time-lapsed scattering signal can be used to determine the particle rotation speed.

![Fig. 2 | Schematic diagram of the experiment.](https://example.com/fig2)

**Results and discussion**

To visualize the rotation of the linear polarization synthesized, a passive liquid crystal vortex half-wave plate (LC-VP) combined with a polarizer was used to detect the polarization direction of linearly polarized beam as shown in the green dashed box in Fig. 2. The vortex half-wave plate can convert linearly polarized light into a cylindrical vector beam. As a result, a rotating linearly polarized light becomes a dynamic cylindrical vector beam switching between radially and azimuthally polarized beams continuously. Assuming heterodyne frequency set to $\Delta f'$, the phase difference of the two beams will be a function of time written as $2\pi \Delta f' t$. The light field passing through the vortex half-wave plate at time $t$ can be described as a Jones vector in Cartesian coordinates:

$$\mathbf{E}(t) = E_0 \begin{pmatrix} \cos(\Delta f't) & \sin(\Delta f't) \\ -\sin(\Delta f't) & \cos(\Delta f't) \end{pmatrix} \mathbf{r}(t),$$
by33:

When a vaterite particle is trapped by a linearly polarized light field, it can be seen that the rotational period of the two-lobed structure is equal to the heterodyne frequency. The experimental observation shown in Fig. 3(c) indicates that a dynamic light field with continuously rotating linear polarization plane is generated at heterodyne frequency of 125 Hz, agreeing well with the theoretical design.

The modulated linear polarization then was used to achieve particle rotation in optical tweezers. Vaterite particles with 1 μm diameter suspending in distilled water were used in the optical trapping experiment. Vaterite is a poly-crystalline structure of calcium carbonate consisting of 20–30 nm nanocrystals with positive uniaxial birefringence. The alignment of the optical axis of the nanocrystals has a hyperbolic structure which makes vaterite highly anisotropic. The birefringence coefficient of vaterite is up to 0.112,34,35. Linearly polarized light can be used to control the optical axis orientation of vaterite particles effectively, making it an excellent candidate for optical rotation manipulation and angular momentum transport. When a vaterite particle is trapped by a linearly polarized beam, the effective torque can be expressed by:

\[ \tau = -\frac{\varepsilon}{4\pi f_0} SE_0^2 \sin[k_0 d(n_a - n_e)] \sin 2\theta , \]

where \( S \) is the particle cross-section area, \( \varepsilon \) is the permitivity, and \( f_0 \) is the frequency of input light field. In the first sinusoidal term in equation (7), \( n_a \) and \( n_e \) are optical indices along the ordinary axis and extraordinary axis, respectively, \( d \) is the thickness of the particle, and \( k_0 \) is the wave number of the laser beam in vacuum. \( \theta \) is the offset angle between the linear polarization direction of the beam and the optic axis of particle in the second sine term.

The synthesis of vaterite particles was based on the modification of a previously published protocol33,34. Aqueous solutions of CaCl₂, K₂CO₃, and MgSO₄ were prepared which all have a molarity of 0.1 M. And a 5 mL plastic vial was used as reaction vessel. First, 1.5 mL of CaCl₂ solution and 60 μL of MgSO₄ solution were pipetted into the vial, followed by surfactant solution (XYS-3500, Yancheng Yunfeng Chemical Co., Ltd) with dilution ratio of 1:1000 and then 90 μL of K₂CO₃ solution. The solution was agitated by pipetting of the solution with a plastic dropper. Disc-shaped vaterite particles solution was obtained after 5 minutes of pipetting.

Figure 4(a) shows the top view of a disc-shaped vaterite particle lying on the substrate, where its optical axis is perpendicular to the disc surface. After being optically trapped, the disc-shaped vaterite particle tends to align its optical axis with the orientation of the incoming linear polarization. As a result, the trapped particle flips 90° upon passing through a LC-VP device.

\[
E_{\text{Cyl}}(t) = \left( \begin{array}{c} \cos(\pi \Delta f t + \varphi) \\ \sin(\pi \Delta f t + \varphi) \end{array} \right) = \cos(\pi \Delta f t)E_{\text{AP}} + \sin(\pi \Delta f t)E_{\text{RP}},
\]

where \( \varphi \) is the azimuth angle around the axis of the beam; \( E_{\text{AP}} \) and \( E_{\text{RP}} \) are Jones vectors for the radially or azimuthal polarized light field respectively which are written as:

\[
E_{\text{AP}} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos(\varphi) \\ \sin(\varphi) \end{pmatrix}, \quad E_{\text{RP}} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos(\varphi + \pi/2) \\ \sin(\varphi + \pi/2) \end{pmatrix}.
\]
under laser trapping and its side facet can be imaged as shown in Fig. 4(b). The trapped particle exhibits two-fold rotational symmetry in the camera image. When the particle rotates at a relative low speed, a high-speed camera can be used to directly visualize the rotation dynamics. Figure 4(c) shows the rotation process of a vaterite particle with diameter of 1.5 μm and thickness of 1 μm captured by rotating linearly polarized light with power of 50 mW. The heterodyne frequency was tuned to 95.4 Hz with nominal frequency uncertainty of ± 0.75 Hz. The actual rotation frequency of the particles measured by the camera is 47.6 Hz which agrees well with the theoretical rotation frequency of 47.7 Hz.

In order to systematically study the applicability and control accuracy of the proposed method for high-speed rotation operation, the heterodyne frequency was adjusted from 100 Hz to 600 Hz at intervals of 100 Hz for a smaller vaterite particle with a diameter of 1 μm and a thickness of 0.7 μm. Fig. 5(a) shows the temporal scattering signal of the particles recorded by the photodiode on
the probe light. The scattering signal of vaterite was recorded for 4.47 seconds at the sampling rate of 10000 points/s. Fourier transform was performed on the data sets to obtain the frequency spectrum as shown in Fig. 5(b). As the temporal waveform shown in Fig. 5(a) is not ideal sinusoidal distributions, minor harmonic frequencies can be observed in Fig. 5(b). Since the particles have a two-fold rotational symmetry, it can be observed in Fig. 5 that the frequency of the scattered signal agrees well with the optical heterodyne frequency. Yet the actual 360-degree-rotation frequency of the particles should be half of the measured frequency. Fig. 5(b) indicates that the particles rotational rate still maintains good speed accuracy even at a high rate of 600 Hz in aqueous solution. It should be noted that there is still a threshold for maximum rotation speed of the trapped particle determined by laser power and viscosity of the ambient medium.

Conclusions

In summary, we propose a novel method for hopping-free rotation of linear polarization by electronically tuning the laser heterodyne interference, showing its promising applications in robust and high-speed particle rotation manipulation in optical tweezers. The modulation speed and stability of generated rotating linear polarization is only limited by the AOM detuning frequency range and the resolution of the driving RF source. Therefore, it can potentially reach MHz scale with sub-Hz accuracy. High speed rotation of vaterite particles synchronized to polarization modulation is demonstrated in optical tweezers. The reported rotation control in optical trapping will find important applications in on chip micro-pumping, viscosity sensing, rotational manipulation in biophysical studies, and measurements of torque. It is also anticipated that the proposed polarization modulation method will benefit general research fields such as polarization sensitive imaging and spectroscopy.
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