HEAT – a low energy enhancement of the Pierre Auger Observatory
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Abstract

The High Elevation Auger Telescopes (HEAT) are three tiltable fluorescence telescopes which represent a low energy enhancement of the fluorescence telescope system of the southern site of the Pierre Auger Observatory in Argentina.

The Pierre Auger Observatory is a hybrid cosmic ray detector consisting of 24 fluorescence telescopes to measure the fluorescence light of extensive air showers complemented by 1600 water Cherenkov detectors to determine the particle densities at ground. In this configuration air showers with a primary energy of $10^{18}$ eV and above are investigated.

By lowering the energy threshold by approximately one order of magnitude down to a primary energy of $10^{17}$ eV, HEAT provides the possibility to study the cosmic ray energy spectrum and mass composition in a very interesting energy range, where the transition from galactic to extragalactic cosmic rays is expected to happen.

The installation of HEAT was finished in 2009 and data have been taken continuously since September 2009. Within these proceedings the HEAT concept is presented. First data already demonstrate the excellent complement of the standard Auger fluorescence telescopes by HEAT.
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Exploring the nature of cosmic rays at the transition energy region

The energy range starting from $10^{17}$ eV up to higher energies provides a rich physics potential. Two points of discontinuity in the cosmic ray energy spectrum known as 2nd knee and ankle are observed in this range (see e.g. [Blümer et al. (2009), Abraham et al., 2010a]) and are visible in the spectrum in Fig. 1. The transition from galactic to extragalactic cosmic rays is expected to happen between these two features. It is likely that different types of astrophysical sources are responsible for these two populations. Consequently the composition of the cosmic rays also would be expected to change in this energy region. Since there are so many exciting and not yet answered questions regarding the origin and nature of cosmic rays in this part of the cosmic ray spectrum, it is desirable to have several independent measurements with different methods of composition determination.

Figure 1: Cosmic ray energy spectrum. The particle flux is scaled with $E^{2.7}$ to let the three points of discontinuity (knee, 2nd knee and ankle) become clearly visible. The dashed lines mark the energy regions where the KASCADE ($10^{15} - 10^{17}$ eV) and Auger ($1.2 \cdot 10^{18} - 4 \cdot 10^{19}$ eV) collaboration have published mass composition studies [Antoni et al. (2005), Abraham et al. (2010b)]. The arrow starting from $10^{17}$ eV indicates the energy range where HEAT has the possibility to analyze the mass composition. Data are taken from [Antoni et al. (2005), Bertaina (2009), Abbasi et al., 2008, Abraham et al., 2010a], only statistical error bars are shown.
One method to study the mass composition of cosmic rays is to measure the number of electrons and muons at ground level as was done by KASCADE \cite{Antoni et al.(2003)} and other surface detector arrays. The electron to muon ratio is sensitive to the mass and energy of the primary particle initiating the extensive air shower.

Another method used by the Pierre Auger Observatory is to collect the fluorescence light produced by the relaxation of nitrogen molecules in the earth’s atmosphere which are excited by charged particles of an extensive air shower. The atmospheric depth $X_{\text{max}}$ is determined at which the longitudinal shower development reaches its maximum in terms of energy deposit in the atmosphere which is proportional to the number of secondary particles at this altitude. The shower maximum $X_{\text{max}}$ is an observable sensitive to the mass of the primary particle. A proton induced shower has its maximum at lower altitude (larger atmospheric depth) than an iron induced shower of the same energy. This behavior can be explained by the generalized Heitler model \cite{Heitler(1944), Matthews(2005)} and the superposition assumption for nuclear primary particles.

\section{Method of low energy enhancement}

Each of the standard fluorescence telescopes has a field of view of $30^\circ \times 30^\circ$ from $0^\circ$ to $30^\circ$ in elevation \cite{Abraham et al.(2010c)}. An extensive air shower initiated by a primary particle with an energy lower than $10^{18}$ eV emits less fluorescence light than showers with higher energy. Therefore, such a shower can only be detected if it is close to a fluorescence telescope. Showers detected by the fluorescence telescopes will only be used for physics analyses if the reconstructed shower maximum $X_{\text{max}}$ is in the field of view of the telescopes. In order to detect the shower maximum of showers close to the telescopes, they must have a field of view that extends to higher elevations. This is realized by the additional field of view of HEAT from $30^\circ$ to $60^\circ$ in elevation.

Figure 2 shows a schematic illustration of the shower detection method by a standard fluorescence telescope in combination with two HEAT telescopes. The shower maximum $X_{\text{max}}$ of the indicated shower is seen in the field of view of one of the HEAT telescopes. A shower with such a geometry would not pass the selection criteria for physics analyses if it was detected by the standard fluorescence telescope only.
3 High Elevation Auger Telescopes

The three tiltable HEAT buildings (see the photo in Fig. 3) are situated close to the existing fluorescence building Coihueco housing six standard fluorescence telescopes. This configuration enables to measure showers in the way indicated in Fig. 2.

HEAT uses the same Schmidt optics as implemented in the standard fluorescence telescopes. For details of the construction of the Auger fluorescence telescopes see [Abraham et al. (2010c)]. The tilt hydraulic is driven by a commercial...
electric motor. For installation, calibration and also for comparison with data taken by Coihueco, HEAT can be operated in untilted mode as well. Inclination sensors at mirror, camera and aperture box and distance sensors between camera and mirror system are used to monitor the camera position in the optical aperture of the telescopes in a tilted position in order to guarantee the stability of the camera position. The data acquisition (DAQ) electronics of HEAT has an increased sampling rate of 20MHz instead of 10MHz for the standard fluorescence telescopes. This is required to sample signals of showers closer to the telescopes, since they appear in the field of view of the telescopes for shorter time. The read-out electronics is able to handle the increased event rate expected at lower energy of the primary particle. In addition, the HEAT DAQ system is a prototype for the fluorescence telescopes at the northern site of the Pierre Auger Observatory. For more information on the HEAT design see [Kleifges(2009)].

4 HEAT data taking

The installation of HEAT was finished in 2009 and data have been taken continuously since September 2009. The duty cycle of the new telescope system is identical to the existing standard fluorescence telescopes, about 13% due to the necessity of clear, moonless nighttime conditions.

In Fig. 4 a recorded shower with a similar geometry like that in Fig. 2 is shown in the event display of two HEAT cameras and one Coihueco camera. The position of the shower maximum lies in the field of view of the telescope 1 of HEAT and, therefore, this shower would not pass the selection criteria for physics analyses without the HEAT measurement.

Looking at the first HEAT data the additional benefit of this Auger enhancement becomes clearly visible. More extensive air showers closer to the fluorescence telescopes are recorded by the combination of HEAT and Coihueco (0° – 60°) compared to the standard fluorescence telescopes (0° – 30°). This can be seen in Fig. 5 where the distribution of the minimal distance $R_p$ from the detector to the shower axis is shown for HEAT+Coihueco and for standard fluorescence data. More showers with a smaller $R_p$ are collected by HEAT+Coihueco which increases the statistics of showers with a lower primary energy.

Another geometrical bias of the standard fluorescence telescopes is reduced by the additional detected showers. Having a field of view in elevation up to 30° and considering the quality criterion that the shower maximum has to be in the field of view, it is more likely to measure showers crossing the field of view from the front than from the back. This behavior is still visible in the showers recorded by HEAT+Coihueco but much less pronounced, i.e. the combination of HEAT and Coihueco is less dependent on whether a shower crosses the field of view from the front or back.
Figure 4: Event display of two HEAT and one Coihueco cameras. The photomultiplier pixels record the fluorescence light of an extensive air shower starting from the upper right corner to the lower left. The position of the shower maximum $X_{\text{max}}$ is seen by telescope 1 of HEAT.

Figure 5: Minimal distance $R_p$ from the detector to the shower axis. The distribution for the combination of HEAT and Coihueco and for standard fluorescence telescopes are normalized by the total number of registered showers in each detector. Only showers with $X_{\text{max}}$ in the field of view are considered.
Figure 6: Distribution of angle $\beta$, the difference of the azimuth angle of a shower and the azimuth angle of the mean line of sight of the telescopes. $\beta = 0^\circ$ or $360^\circ$ corresponds to the front of the detector, $\beta = 180^\circ$ to its back. The distribution for the combination of HEAT and Coihueco and for standard fluorescence telescopes are normalized by the total number of registered showers in each detector. Only showers with $X_{\text{max}}$ in the field of view are considered.

In Fig. 6 the distribution of the angle $\beta$ is plotted for HEAT+Coihueco and for standard fluorescence telescopes, where $\beta$ is defined as the difference of the azimuth angle of the shower and the azimuth angle of the mean line of sight of the telescopes. A shower with $\beta = 180^\circ$ reaches the telescopes from the back, a shower with $\beta = 0^\circ$ or $360^\circ$ from the front. The distribution of $\beta$ is considerably flatter for HEAT+Coihueco than for the standard fluorescence telescopes. Hence, more showers reaching the telescopes from the back side are measured and provide the possibility to measure showers with lower primary energy.

5 Conclusions and outlook

The low energy enhancement HEAT of the Pierre Auger Observatory has operated well since its installation in 2009. The first data demonstrate the complementary performance of HEAT. Extensive air showers closer to the detector and such showers reaching the field of view of the telescopes from the back side are measured by the combination of HEAT and Coihueco with high statistics and, therefore, provide the possibility to study showers with lower primary energy down to at least $10^{17}$ eV. This gives the opportunity to analyze the cosmic ray energy spectrum and
the mass composition in a very interesting energy range where the transition from galactic to extragalactic cosmic rays is expected to happen. First physics results are planned for 2011.

Other Auger enhancements operating in energy regions similar to HEAT are also located in the same general area:
(a) AMIGA, Auger Muons and Infill for the Ground Array, consisting of an underground muon detector and the infill array, an additional field of surface detectors arranged on a grid with smaller spacing than the standard surface detector array.
(b) AERA, the Auger Engineering Radio Array, an array of antennas to measure the radio signals of extensive air showers mainly produced by the synchrotron effect of charged particles in the geomagnetic field.

A long-term goal is to combine step by step these Auger enhancements for a multi detector analysis. In a first step HEAT and the infill will be used for a hybrid shower reconstruction like it is done in the standard analysis method of fluorescence telescopes and surface detectors.
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