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KIF1A is a critical cargo transport motor within neurons. More than 100 known mutations result in KIF1A-associated neurological disorder (KAND), a degenerative condition for which there is no cure. A missense mutation, P305L, was identified in children diagnosed with KAND, but the molecular basis for the disease is unknown. We find that this conserved residue is part of an unusual $3_{10}$ helix immediately adjacent to the family-specific K-loop, which facilitates a high microtubule-association rate. We find that the mutation negatively affects several biophysical parameters of the motor. However, the microtubule-association rate of the motor is most markedly affected, revealing that the presence of an intact K-loop is not sufficient for its function. We hypothesize that the $3_{10}$ helix facilitates a specific K-loop conformation that is critical for its function. We find that the function of this proline is conserved in kinesin-1, revealing a fundamental principle of the kinesin motor mechanism.

INTRODUCTION
Kinesins are molecular motor proteins that hydrolyze adenosine triphosphate (ATP) to move processively along, or actively remodel, microtubules (MTs) within cells. The kinesin superfamily [KIF; (1)] in humans is large, containing ~45 genes with diverse functions. KIF1A is a member of the large kinesin-3 (KIF1) subfamily known to participate in long-distance transport of various cellular cargos, primarily within neurons (2). KIF1A has unique biophysical properties, being among the fastest and most processive kinesins reported to date (2–5). The kinesin motor core is highly conserved among different families, with distinct insertions in loop regions often accounting for specific biophysical adaptations. KIF1A has a region containing an elongated loop 12 (L12 region) within its motor core. This loop contains an insertion referred to as the “K-loop” due to the presence of multiple tandem lysine residues (6). This positively charged region of L12 bestows KIF1A with an extremely high MT on-rate due to its presumed interaction with the highly negatively charged tubulin C-terminal tail domains (3, 7). The entire K-loop has never been visualized in any reported KIF1A structure, implying that it is partially disordered in all states of the motor’s mechanochemical cycle observed thus far. Therefore, it is currently unclear whether the entire K-loop must adopt a specific conformation or orientation, with respect to the MT surface, to effectively enhance the kinesin-MT association.

KIF1A has garnered much recent attention due to the fact that more than 100 human mutations have been identified within the motor that lead to a group of developmental and degenerative neurological diseases now referred to as KIF1A-associated neurological disorder (KAND) (8). Within the spectrum of KAND phenotypes, many mutations were previously characterized to lead to phenotypes resembling hereditary spastic paraplegia (HSP), a degenerative nervous system disorder resulting in progressive lower limb spasticity (9, 10). Mutations in another transport kinesin, KIF5A, also result in HSP in humans (11). Given the large number of mutations mapped within KIF1A, it has become imperative to understand the molecular consequences of these alterations to the motor in efforts toward advancing a therapeutic strategy. Most of the KIF1A missense mutations map to the conserved motor domain (8), and several previous studies have characterized the molecular defects that result from some of these alterations (12–15). While the negative consequences of several mutations in highly conserved residues known to be important for kinesin’s enzymatic activity or MT-binding properties could be reasonably predicted, many mutations remain uncharacterized.

We recently found that several KIF1A mutations in the motor domain do not result in impaired motor motility, but rather result in overactive motors, presumably due to a disruption of KIF1A’s ill-defined autoinhibition mechanism (14). Given this finding, it is imperative to understand the molecular phenotypes of individual KIF1A mutations before any therapeutic attempt. Working with the grassroots patient advocacy group KIF1A.org, we recently became aware of a novel KIF1A missense mutation, P305L, which lies within a highly conserved portion of the L12 region and immediately adjacent to the positively charged K-loop insertion. Patients with the P305L mutation have developmental delay, cerebellar atrophy, ataxia, and eye movement abnormalities, which are hallmarks of KAND (16). When this mutation was first discovered, there was no molecular information about the role of this highly conserved proline residue in the kinesin motor mechanism, and its proximity to the K-loop implied that it could play a role in modulating the activity of this critical structural element. A recently published report (16) found that the mutation impaired KIF1A motor activity in cells and in multimotor gliding assays, but a mechanistic understanding of the effects of this mutation on KIF1A motor activity is still lacking. There are now at least seven children diagnosed with this specific P305L mutation, with substitutions by other amino acids not noted at this position (8). These observations indicate that it is a common variant within the growing KAND population and pointing to an apparent notable preference for leucine in the substitution at this position.
Intriguingly, a mimetic mutation of the analogous residue in KIF5A (P278L) results in HSP in humans (17), suggesting that this proline is part of a critical element for the conserved kinesin mechanism.

The P305 residue within KIF1A is part of a highly conserved sequence motif (PYRD/E), which we here, and others (18), find to adopt a $3_{10}$-helical conformation in many families of kinesin motors from animals to fungi. $3_{10}$ helices differ from $\alpha$ helices in the arrangement of their backbone hydrogen bonding (with 10 atoms in the ring formed by making the H-bond), giving $3_{10}$ helices 3, instead of 3.6, residues per turn. This arrangement results in tighter winding of the helix and repositioning of side chains with respect to the more common $\alpha$ helix. $3_{10}$ Helices, which are more rare and are thought to be inherently more labile than $\alpha$ helices (19–23), are typically short and have been proposed to act as intermediates in the folding/unfolding of $\alpha$ helices (24). In addition, proline itself is an anomalous amino acid owing to its five-membered ring within the polypeptide backbone, with ring-locked nitrogen unable to act as a hydrogen bond donor. Functionally, conserved prolines have been described to act as dynamic, flexible hinges that mediate propagation of conformational changes from one domain in a protein to another, such as in the activation mechanism of G protein–coupled receptors (25). In addition, helix distortion by prolines has been shown to mediate key mechanistic conformational changes, for example, in the voltage-gating mechanism of connexin32 (26). Thus, P305’s position at the N-terminal cap of the PYRD/E $3_{10}$ helix may bestow this unique element with additional functional properties (see below).

Prior mutagenesis studies of charged residues within this sequence suggest that it plays a critical role in the kinesin–MT interaction, although mutation of the analogous proline in KIF5B (P276) to alanine, which is less bulky than leucine, has little effect on the $K_{m}$ for MTs or on the MT gliding rate of the motor (27). It is unknown how this KIF5B (P276A) mutant motor behaves under resistive load. In certain Mg–adenosine diphosphate (ADP)–bound KIF1A structures, residues in the PYRD sequence deviate from the $3_{10}$ helix and adopt other nonhelical conformations (e.g., hydrogen-bonded turn), which we discuss further in Results below. Notably, KAND mutations are found in three of the four residues that make up this $3_{10}$ helix in human KIF1A (P305L, Y306C, and R307G/P/Q), HSP mutations in two of these residues in human KIF5A (P278L and R280H/C/L), and one in KIF1C (R301G) (8, 17, 28–30). These observations highlight the importance of this structural element in kinesin function and human disease.

Here, we perform a comprehensive analysis of the P305L variant in KIF1A using genetic, biochemical, and single-molecule methods. We find that the mutation cannot rescue the loss of KIF1A activity in a genetic model system, implying that it results in a complete loss of function in living cells. While the mutation reduces the velocity, run length, and force generation of homozygous mutant motors in vitro, these effects are dwarfed by a massive defect in the MT association rate of the motor, particularly in the weak-binding ADP state of the motor. These results are consistent with a role of the $3_{10}$ helix in modulating the activity of kinesin’s L12 region/K-loop, a critical MT–binding element. Mutation of the analogous residue in the orthogonal kinesin-1 (KIF5) family results in an even larger defect in MT association, providing new insight into the molecular basis of the HSP mutation P278L found in KIF5A. Together, our results lend support to the hypothesis that a transient conformation of the L12/K-loop, not just its mere presence (31, 32), is critical to enhance the motor’s MT affinity during the motor’s MT-binding cycle. More broadly, we identify a highly conserved $3_{10}$-helical element, within the L12 region, that is critical for the affinity of the motor for MTs. With its effect on MT affinity, it contributes to the motor’s high MT on-rate and its ability to move and generate force, highlighting an understudied aspect of the kinesin-MT interface.

**RESULTS**

A genetic model reveals that KIF1A$^{P305L}$ behaves as a null mutation in vivo

To characterize the molecular defects associated with the P305L mutation in KIF1A, we first used a well-characterized genetic model system in Caenorhabditis elegans. In this system, the worm homolog of KIF1A, unc-104, is inactivated by a point mutation within the cargo-binding PH domain located in the distal C-terminal tail region of the motor [unc-104(e1265)]. The inability of the motor to bind to cargo results in degradation of the protein via the ubiquitin pathway (33). The loss of KIF1A-cargo binding and reduction in motor protein levels results in the “uncoordinated” movement phenotype in which mutant worms are unable to move on agar plates [mean velocity for wild-type (WT) and unc-104(e1265), 5.4 ± 1.2 and 0.5 ± 0.3 mm/min, respectively; Fig. 1B]. We have previously shown that introduction of the human KIF1A gene into unc-104(e1265) background results in restoration of movement to near WT levels (14), indicating that the human gene can largely compensate for unc-104’s cellular functions in worms. Single-molecule studies with recombinant proteins show that UNC104 and KIF1A have similar motile and force generation properties (15).

We created three independent rescue lines using WT human KIF1A and two independent rescue lines using human KIF1A$^{P305L}$ and assayed the resulting animals for movement phenotypes on agar plates. Consistent with our previous results, expression of human KIF1A rescued animal movement to approximately 50% of WT velocity (Fig. 1B). While this rescue is weaker than we previously observed (14), the phenotype may correlate with gene expression levels, which are likely variable in our lines. In contrast to WT KIF1A, transgenic expression of KIF1A$^{P305L}$ did not rescue movement defects (Fig. 1B), indicating that the P305L mutation results in near complete loss of KIF1A motor function within living cells. These results strongly suggest that the KAND phenotype in humans is driven by loss of KIF1A motor function in cells.

The P305L mutation negatively affects KIF1A’s mechanochemistry

The evolutionarily conserved P305 residue lies immediately C-terminal to the K-loop within the L12 region of the KIF1A motor domain (Fig. 2). The K-loop has not been directly visualized in any prior structural studies of KIF1A, suggesting high structural heterogeneity in both MT-bound and unbound states, in contrast to the shorter loop L12 in KIF5B, which has been resolved in published structures (34). We modeled the missing KIF1A K-loop, which is shown for visual comparison in the context of P305S, to L12 in KIF5B and its equivalent residue P276 (Fig. 2, A and B). In our pseudomodel of KIF1A, the K-loop is visualized with its 12 additional residues, 6 of which are positively charged lysines (Fig. 2C); it also bears a flanking proline distal to P305, at position 292, which does not acquire secondary structure in published studies (Fig. 2C)
and is not observed to be mutated in patients with KAND (8). The additional length and charge of the K-loop may bring it in close proximity to the highly negatively charged (acidic) C-terminal tail of β-tubulin (Fig. 2A, star) and confer motor properties distinct from other kinesins. The P305 residue in KIF1A (Fig. 2A) and P276 in KIF5B (Fig. 2B), as well as equivalent proline residues in other kinesins (not shown), orient similarly with respect to tubulin. This suggests functional conservation across diverse kinesin families, which do not bear lengthy loops in the L12 region. Inspection of high-resolution kinesin motor domain structures revealed that the highly conserved PYRD/E sequence motif folds into a 3_10-helix in many different classes of kinesin (fig. S1 and Fig. 2C), in agreement with a previous assignment of this helix in a structure of fungal kinesin-3 (18).

Mutation of P305, or the equivalent proline in other kinesins, possibly disrupts the ability of the region to fold as a labile 3_10-helical structure. In the case of KIF1A, the mutation may disturb favorable orientation of the K-loop with respect to the C-terminal tail of β-tubulin, or it may interfere with unique properties conferred upon the motor by this extended and charged element during its mechanochemical cycle.

We aligned all available high-resolution structures of KIF1A spanning several carefully studied states corresponding to the motor’s nucleotide hydrolysis cycle (Fig. 2C). The 3_10 helix is present in most states observed. Notably, in two KIF1A-Mg-ADP transition states, corresponding to the motor undergoing Mg release upon binding to the MT [2zfj/T1 and 2zzk/T2 (35); Fig. 2C], the proline-phi torsion angle for P305 is 3 SDs outside normal (not shown). Proline’s atypical restrictions in phi-psi space arise from its five-membered ring, which limits rotation about the N-αC bond in the polypeptide backbone. Thus, what corresponds to a captured state with PYRD in nonhelical form and an unusually high proline-phi torsion angle may be indicative of a structural element under strain or allosteric regulation. Together, this would suggest that the unique PYRD 3_10 helix may act as a labile structural element, or a temporal regulatory switch, that is critical for specific steps, which may couple to the motor’s mechanochemical cycle, or for helping to tether the motor head when the kinesin is under load. The PYRD/E sequence motif also adopts a 3_10-helical conformation in structures from other kinesin families and species examined (fig. S1 and Fig. 2C).

On the basis of this analysis, it is possible that mutation of P305 could directly affect KIF1A’s interaction with the MT, although pseudodynamic models fit to the electron density of KIF1A bound to MT would not suggest direct contact (36). We have previously reported that some human disease mutations within the KIF1A motor domain do not disrupt the motor’s mechanochemical cycle, but rather impair the autoinhibition mechanism of the full-length motor (5, 37, 38), leading to excessive motor activity, which also appears to lead to KAND (14). Thus, we hypothesized that the P305L mutation would either directly affect the motor’s mechanochemical cycle or, possibly, disrupt the autoinhibition mechanism of KIF1A. These hypotheses have disparate predictions; the first would result in decreased motor activity, while the latter increased motor activity. Another possibility we considered is that the mutation disrupts the three-dimensional folding of the motor domain, leading to unstable or aggregated protein, and thus a loss of KIF1A activity, or even possibly a toxic gain of function, in cells.

To distinguish between these possibilities, we first turned to single-molecule assays using full-length, recombinant, and purified KIF1A motors (14). The full-length motor has a relatively low MT landing rate, compared with the more widely studied tail-truncated and constitutively active motor. This is presumably due to autoinhibition of the full-length motor, although mechanistic details of KIF1A’s mechanism of autoinhibition remain unclear (5, 37, 38). Using baculovirus expression, we purified full-length KIF1A and KIF1A P305L and assessed their structural integrity using size exclusion chromatography (SEC). Both purified motors eluted from the SEC column indistinguishably (Fig. 3A). These results argue against a deleterious effect of the P305L mutation on protein folding or stability. Next, we used multicolor, single-molecule total internal reflection microscopy (TIRF-M) to directly visualize the motor activity of...
our purified motors. As we previously observed, WT KIF1A moved long distances along MTs in the presence of ATP (Fig. 3B). Un-
expectedly, we also observed long-distance movement of KIF1A P305L (Fig. 3B), ruling out the possibility that the mutation abolishes motor
activity. We measured the velocity of these movements and ob-
served that the P305L mutation leads to an ~25% decrease in velocity
[1175 ± 528 nm/s versus 876 ± 479 nm/s (±SD) for KIF1A and
KIF1A P305L , respectively; Fig. 3C]. In addition, we noted an ~50% de-
crease in motor run lengths [2.5 ± 0.3 μm versus 1.2 ± 0.2 μm (±SD)
for KIF1A and KIF1A P305L , respectively; Fig. 3D]. These data reveal
that the P305L mutation does not disrupt protein folding or stability,
but rather results in impaired motility of the KIF1A motor.

The P305L mutation most markedly affects KIF1A’s initial
MT interaction
While prior studies have found defects in the velocity and run
lengths of mutant motor proteins in vitro (39, 40), it is currently
unclear how important these biophysical parameters actually are
for motor protein function in vivo. For instance, while KIF1A is
ultraprocessive on bare MTs in vitro, recent evidence suggests that
nonmotor microtubule-associated proteins (MAP) (41), as well as
other motor proteins, on the same MT (42) can markedly affect the
motile parameters of KIF1A and other motor proteins. Given the
relatively modest effects we observed for the P305L mutation on the
velocity and run lengths of full-length KIF1A motors in vitro (Fig. 3),
we wondered whether these defects could account for the marked
phenotypes observed in humans with this mutation. The aforemen-
tioned results are complicated by the fact that we still do not fully
understand how full-length KIF1A motors are autoinhibited or how
that autoinhibition is relieved in vivo or in vitro. Thus, we turned to a
well-characterized KIF1A construct in which the entire tail domain
is removed, and the motor is stably dimerized via fusion to a leucine
zipper (KIF1A 1-393-LZ). This KIF1A construct is constitutively
active, generates the same force as full-length KIF1A, and has a high
MT landing rate due to the lack of autoinhibition elements located
in the tail domain (5, 15, 37, 38).

We purified KIF1A 1-393-LZ proteins from baculovirus-infected
insect cells and assessed protein folding via SEC. Both WT and
P305L motors eluted similarly from the column (Fig. 4A), further
indicating that the P305L mutation does not grossly perturb the

Fig. 2. Visualization of the location of the P305 residue and 3 10 helix within the kinesin motor domain. (A and B) KIF1A (yellow; Protein Data Bank [pdb]: 1vfx), with K-loop/L12 (cyan-green; 288-303) modeled via Swiss-Model (55) and shown for comparison to loop L12 in KIF5B (green; pdb: 4hna). /star, β-tubulin C terminus. 3 10 helix
(red); surrounding α helices: α4 and α5. P305 in KIF1A and corresponding P276 in KIF5B are shown as sphere representations (orange). (C) Sequences of motor domains with available structures were aligned using ClustalW (56). Residues surrounding the 3 10 helix are shown. Dashed line denotes the original designation of L12, although we show here that the PYRD sequence most often folds into a 3 10 helix and is thus not a “loop” by conventional definition. Annotation (left): gene name, species abbrevi-
atation, pdb id. Right: KIF1A magnesium (Mg)–nucleotide–bound form. Species: Hs/Homo sapiens, Rn/Rattus norvegicus, Mm/Mus musculus, Dm/Drosophila melanogaster,
Gi/Giardia intestinalis, Nc/Neurospora crassa. Assignment of secondary structure was done using Procheck (57, 58) according to the method of Kabsch and Sander (59).

Fig. 3. A: Visualization of the location of the P305 residue and 3 10 helix within the kinesin motor domain. (A) KIF1A (yellow; Protein Data Bank [pdb]: 1vfx), with K-loop/L12 (cyan-green; 288-303) modeled via Swiss-Model (55) and shown for comparison to loop L12 in KIF5B (green; pdb: 4hna). /star, β-tubulin C terminus. 3 10 helix (red); surrounding α helices: α4 and α5. P305 in KIF1A and corresponding P276 in KIF5B are shown as sphere representations (orange). (C) Sequences of motor domains with available structures were aligned using ClustalW (56). Residues surrounding the 3 10 helix are shown. Dashed line denotes the original designation of L12, although we show here that the PYRD sequence most often folds into a 3 10 helix and is thus not a “loop” by conventional definition. Annotation (left): gene name, species abbrevi-
atation, pdb id. Right: KIF1A magnesium (Mg)–nucleotide–bound form. Species: Hs/Homo sapiens, Rn/Rattus norvegicus, Mm/Mus musculus, Dm/Drosophila melanogaster,
Gi/Giardia intestinalis, Nc/Neurospora crassa. Assignment of secondary structure was done using Procheck (57, 58) according to the method of Kabsch and Sander (59).

Background coloring: α helix (blue), 3 10 helix (orange), hydrogen-bonded turn (yellow), bend (green), without assignment (white), and residues not available in pdb (gray).
folding of the KIF1A motor domain. We assessed the ability of these motors to interact with and translocate along MTs using our multi-color TIRF-M assay. We mixed differentially labeled WT and P305L mutant motors and observed their movement within the same TIRF chamber, along the same MTs, providing a robust internal control for the assay conditions. Because the MT-binding rate of this truncated motor is very high due to removal of the autoinhibition mechanism, we used an ~30-fold lower concentration of WT motor as compared with our assays with full-length KIF1A (Fig. 3). However, at these low concentrations, while we could observe many motile WT motors, we could not detect any mutant motors moving along MTs (not shown). Therefore, we increased the concentration of KIF1A P305L motors by 10-fold (as compared with WT; Fig. 4B). In these conditions, we could observe the movement of both types of differentially labeled motors moving along the same MTs in the chamber, although the number of moving KIF1A P305L motors was clearly lower than that of the WT motors (Fig. 4B).

Inspection of the resulting kymographs revealed that the slopes of motile KIF1A P305L appeared shallower, indicating that the mutant motors were moving at reduced velocities, consistent with our results with full-length motors (Fig. 3). Quantification of motor velocities confirmed that the P305L mutation impaired motor velocity by approximately 50% [1352 ± 650 nm/s versus 641 ± 368 nm/s (±SD) for KIF1A and KIF1A P305L, respectively]. These results are consistent with our results with full-length KIF1A motors (Fig. 3). In addition, we measured the run lengths of the truncated motors and observed a more modest ~25% decrease for the mutant motors [2460 ± 67 nm versus 1803 ± 210 nm (±SD) for KIF1A and KIF1A P305L, respectively; Fig. 4D]. These results are consistent with the results we obtained with full-length motors (Fig. 3). It is interesting to note that the magnitude (but not the trend) of the effects of the P305L mutation on velocity and processivity differ between full-length (Fig. 3) and tail-truncated motors (Fig. 4). We hypothesize that these biophysical differences are attributable to biochemical distinctions bestowed by the autoinhibition mechanisms of full-length motors.

Fig. 3. Characterization of the KIF1A P305L mutation using full-length motors. (A) SEC chromatogram showing elution profiles of full-length KIF1A (magenta) and KIF1A P305L (green). Elution positions of prep contaminants (Contam.) and full-length KIF1A are noted. Below: Coomassie-stained SDS–polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (PAGE) gel of the column elution fractions. (B) Kymograph from TIRF-M movie showing both KIF1A (magenta) and KIF1A P305L (green) motors moving along the same MT. Equal concentration of each motor was added to the TIRF chamber. (C) Measured velocity distribution of full-length KIF1A motors (N = 2 independent trials and n = 280,270 motors from KIF1A and KIF1A P305L, respectively). ****P < 0.001. (D) Cumulative frequency plot of the measured run lengths of WT and P305L motors. Connecting lines show fit to a one-phase exponential decay function (R² = 0.99 and 0.98 for KIF1A and KIF1A P305L, respectively). The characteristic run length derived from the fits (τ) is displayed (N = two independent trials and n = 207,241 for KIF1A and KIF1A P305L, respectively).
The initial motor-MT interaction of the tail-truncated motor is not complicated by the autoinhibition mechanism present in full-length motors, allowing us to directly compare the number of motile motors on individual MTs within the same imaging chamber. As inferred by our initial observations of differential motor concentrations required for observation of motile molecules (see above), we measured a very marked decrease in the numbers of moving molecules along MTs for the P305L construct (Fig. 4E). This ~97% (71-fold) drop in motor landing rate was much larger than the ~50% decrease in velocity or ~25% drop in processivity. Thus, the P305L mutation results in relatively modest defects in KIF1A’s motor biophysical output once it is moving processively along MTs, but a precipitous decline in the initial motor-MT interaction, implying that the KAND phenotype (as well as the null phenotype we observed in our worm model) may largely be due to the inability of the mutant motor to get onto the MT. The K-loop of KIF1A has been reported to be critical for the motor’s MT on-rate, but not for its movement (3), suggesting that the largest observed defect of KIF1A P305L could be the result of impaired K-loop function.

**The P305L mutation impairs K-loop function in the ADP state**

It has been previously reported that the K-loop is critically important for the KIF1A-MT interaction during the weak binding state of the motor’s mechanochemical cycle (the ADP-bound state) (3, 32, 35). Swapping the KIF1A K-loop with the less positively charged L12 from KIF5C increased the dissociation constant ($K_d$) for MTs by ~4-fold (32), while single-molecule studies confirmed that mutation of the K-loop abolished the interaction of ADP-bound KIF1A with MTs (3). The interpretation of these results is that the positively charged K-loop interacts with the nearby negatively charged
C terminus of β-tubulin to facilitate the KIF1A-MT interaction (Fig. 2A).

Thus, we examined the effect of the P305L mutation on the interaction of truncated KIF1A dimers, in their weak binding ADP state, with MTs using single-molecule imaging. We again noted a large difference in the concentration dependence of motors interacting with MTs between WT and KIF1A<sup>P305L</sup> motors (Fig. 5A). Quantification of the motor landing rates revealed an ~83% reduction in landing rate for KIF1A<sup>P305L</sup> motors in the ADP state (Fig. 5B). Measurement of the motor dwell times on MTs in this state revealed a milder ~27% decrease in dwell time for KIF1A<sup>P305L</sup> versus WT motors (Fig. 5C). To examine whether the change from proline to leucine affects KIF1A simply due to the steric of the leucine side chain, we also mutated P305 to tryptophan (P305W), a more bulky, but similarly hydrophobic, residue as leucine. We assayed this mutant motor’s ability to interact with MTs in ADP. While KIF1A<sup>P305W</sup> did show reduced landing onto MTs in ADP, the landing rate was not as markedly reduced as observed for KIF1A<sup>P305L</sup> (54% versus 83% reduction for P305W and P305L, respectively; Fig. 5B).

The dwell time of KIF1A<sup>P305W</sup> motors was very similar to WT motors [0.93 ± 0.05 s versus 0.98 ± 0.05 s [95% confidence interval (CI)] for WT and P305W, respectively] and markedly different from KIF1A<sup>P305L</sup> motors [0.64 ± 0.03 s (95% CI); Fig. 5C]. Therefore, we conclude that the P305L mutation markedly affects the motor’s landing rate in a manner that is specific to the leucine side chain. Furthermore, because the dwell time of the KIF1A<sup>P305W</sup> is unaffected by the mutation, we conclude that the less bulky leucine residue is not likely to sterically clash with the main MT-binding element of the motor, the α4 helix. If this were the case, we expect the tryptophan residue to also cause a strong decline in the dwell times of the motors due to disruption of the MT-binding interface. Thus, these data support the hypothesis that the P305L mutation primarily affects the ability of L12 and its K-loop insert to facilitate the initial motor-MT interaction. In further support of this hypothesis, by measuring the steady-state adenosine triphosphatase (ATPase) rates of the motors, we observed a large increase in the <i>K<sub>m</sub></i> for KIF1A<sup>P305L</sup> (Fig. 5D), further demonstrating a strong defect in MT association. Because the <i>K<sub>m</sub></i> approximates the MT affinity of kinesin in the ADP state,

---

**Fig. 5. Characterization of the weak MT-binding state of KIF1A.** (A) Kymographs from TIRF-M movies showing binding and dwell events of 1-393-LZ motor constructs in 2 mM ADP. Note the different protein concentrations used. (B) Quantification of the landing rate for KIF1A and KIF1A<sup>P305L</sup> or KIF1A<sup>P305W</sup> motors (N = 2 independent trials and n = 196, 221, and 270 for KIF1A, KIF1A<sup>P305L</sup>, and KIF1A<sup>P305W</sup>, respectively). (C) Cumulative frequency plot of the measured dwell times of WT and P305L 1-393-LZ motors. Connecting lines show fit to a one-phase exponential decay function (<i>R<sup>2</sup></i> > 0.99 for all fits). The characteristic dwell time derived from the fits (τ) is displayed (N = 2 independent trials and n = 196, 221, and 270 for KIF1A, KIF1A<sup>P305L</sup>, and KIF1A<sup>P305W</sup>, respectively). (D) Steady-state ATPase assays for KIF1A and KIF1A<sup>P305L</sup>. Plotted are the mean values from at least two to three independent trials per concentration of MTs, and error bars are SEM. Nonlinear fits to the Michaelis-Menten equation are shown, and the calculated <i>K<sub>m</sub></i> and <i>V<sub>max</sub></i> are displayed in the table to the right with 95% CI errors shown. Note that the errors of the fit for KIF1A<sup>P305L</sup> cannot be accurately calculated by this method (ud, undetermined).
motile properties. We measured the binding of a tail-truncated, P276, to leucine to assess the effects of this mutation on KIF5B’s shorter L12 (Fig. 2). We mutated the analogous residue in KIF5B, contain a highly charged K-loop like KIF1A, resulting in an overall of the motor domain (Fig. 2C). Notably, the KIF5 family does not family also contain the conserved PYRD sequence motif within L12

The function of the 3_10 helix is conserved in different classes of kinesin motors

The P305 residue in KIF1A is part of a highly conserved PYRD/E sequence found in many other classes of kinesin motors in humans and other organisms (Fig. 2). This sequence adopts a 3_10-helical conformation in most of the published kinesin structures examined. In some structures, residues in the PYRD/E sequence deviate and adopt other nonhelical conformations (Fig. 2C). The high sequence conservation observed and the preference to adopt a 3_10-helical conformation imply that this structural element plays an important role in the basic kinesin translocation mechanism. The canonical kinesin-1 family (KIF5A, KIF5B, and KIF5C) is probably the most well-studied kinesin motor, and members of this family also contain the conserved PYRD sequence motif within L12 of the motor domain (Fig. 2C). Notably, the KIF5 family does not contain a highly charged K-loop like KIF1A, resulting in an overall shorter L12 (Fig. 2). We mutated the analogous residue in KIF5B, P276, to leucine to assess the effects of this mutation on KIF5B’s motile properties. We measured the binding of a tail-truncated, fluorescently tagged KIF5B motor (amino acids 1 to 420) to MTs in the presence of ATP. The WT motor bound robustly to MTs in the presence of ATP (Fig. 6A) and moved processively (Fig. 6B), as previously characterized by many laboratories. Notably, KIF5B_15 shows little observable binding to, or movement along, MTs at two different concentrations spanning an order of magnitude (Fig. 6, A and B). Quantification of the fluorescence intensity of kinesin on MTs in ATP revealed an enormous decrease of ~4 to 5 orders of magnitude in fluorescence intensity for the KIF5B_15 motor (Fig. 6C) near background levels, indicating an almost complete loss of the kinesin-MT interaction. The near complete lack of binding to MTs was distinctly worse than the effects we observed with KIF1A-P305L (Figs. 3 to 5), prompting us to investigate whether KIF5B_15 was even capable of interacting with MTs. However, in the presence of the nonhydrolyzable ATP analog, AMP-PNP, we observed robust binding of KIF5B_15 to MTs (Fig. 6A), indicating that the motor is still capable of interacting with MTs in this particular state. Together, our data reveal that the conserved 3_10 helix within L12 in multiple kinesin families plays a crucial role in L12’s ability to facilitate the interaction of the kinesin motor domain with the MT surface, particularly in the ADP state of the mechanochemical cycle.

The P305L mutation impairs KIF1A’s ability to generate force along MTs

After determining the effects of the P305L mutation on the KIF1A-MT interaction and KIF1A motility in the absence of load, we wondered whether the mutation might have any effects on the ability of KIF1A to generate force along MTs, which is of particular importance for the KIF1A-powered displacement vesicular cargo within living cells. To assess any effects on force generation, we performed single-molecule optical tweezers assays (7, 43, 44) with our human KIF1A proteins. In a recent study (15), we have shown that full-length rat KIF1A and tail-truncated rat KIF1A (1-393)-LZ both detach before reaching a stall plateau (maximal sustained force generation) at an average load of ~2.7 pN and then rapidly reattach to the MT to resume motion, resulting in a sawtooth-like force generation pattern in which force generation events cluster tightly together (15). We find that human KIF1A (1-393-LZ), which differs from a similar rat KIF1A construct by a single amino acid (valine instead of an isoleucine at residue 359), also exhibits a sawtooth-like force generation pattern (Fig. 7A) but detaches at a reduced average force of 2.2 (1.9, 2.5) pN [median (quartiles); Fig. 7E]. In contrast to human WT KIF1A, KIF1A-P305L detaches at an ~4-fold reduced force of 0.6 (0.5, 0.8) pN (Fig. 7, B and E), revealing an even greater effect on force generation than on velocity and processivity (Figs. 4 and 7). These results are consistent with our observations that the mutation markedly impinges on the motor’s ability to bind to the MT (Figs. 3 and 4). Thus, we conclude that the 3_10 helix plays a critically important role in KIF1A’s ability to generate force and move under resistive loads. Considering that L12 is still intact in the mutant motors, the data further suggest that the conformation of L12, not its mere presence, is crucial for its role in promoting the kinesin-MT interaction during force generation.

Last, to define whether the 3_10 helix is also involved in the force generation of kinesin-1, we performed optical trapping experiments on WT and P276L tail-truncated KIF5B. We find that WT KIF5B shows stalling events as expected for a kinesin-1 motor but does so at an average force of 3.2 ± 0.5 pN (mean ± SD; ≥20 ms criterion; Fig. 7, C and E to G), which is less than the previously reported maximal force generation of 5 to 6 pN of full-length kinesin-1 and the widely studied KIF5B (1-560) construct (45–49). Even if we apply a stalling criterion of 100 ms, the stall force remains at 3.2 ± 0.4 pN (Fig. 7F). We hypothesize that this difference could be due to the shorter KIF5B (1-420) construct used in this work. In support of this idea, using the same higher pH buffer (pH 7.2) as used here, we recently measured a stall force of 4.61 ± 0.01 pN (±SEM) for a longer KIF5C (1-560) construct (15). As most prior studies on kinesin force generation used nonphysiological acidic pH buffers (typically pH ~6.8), and as previous data revealed that the interaction of kinesin with MTs is highly sensitive to pH (50), we conclude that the lower stall force of KIF5B (1-420) is caused by the shorter construct length and the use of a more physiological buffer. In addition, a recent study has shown that a vertical force component causes premature detachments of kinesin-1 from MTs (51). It is therefore possible that the shorter construct, which forms a larger angle with the trapping bead and MT surface, stalls at a lower force as a result of an increased vertical force component. Further studies are necessary to determine the effects of pH and trapping geometry on KIF5 force generation.
Nonetheless, a comparison of the average detachment forces of human WT KIF5B and KIF5B<sub>P276L</sub> [3.0 (2.4, 3.4) pN versus 1.6 (1.1, 2.2) pN, median (quartiles); Fig. 7E] revealed an ~50% reduction in force generation, demonstrating that the 3<sub>10</sub> helix segment is also critically important for the force generation of kinesin-1. Our results thus reveal that the highly conserved 3<sub>10</sub>-helical element within L12 plays important roles under load in kinesin motors of distant evolutionary lineage. Furthermore, these results provide unifying insight into the molecular mechanism underlying 3<sub>10</sub> helix mutations that cause KAND in KIF1A and HSP in KIF5A.

**DISCUSSION**

Disruption of long-distance transport by kinesin motor proteins is becoming recognized as a prominent driver of neurological diseases such as HSP, KAND, and Rett syndrome. The sheer number of reported KIF1A mutations resulting in KAND and HSP phenotypes complicates the planning and development of effective therapeutics to treat these disorders. Having a molecular understanding of the defects caused by particular mutations or clusters of mutations that fall within similar structural regions of the motor would greatly enhance therapeutic strategies. Here, we use single-molecule methods to dissect the specific defects in an understudied pathological variant of KIF1A, P305L, demonstrating that single-molecule observation, along with traditional bulk biochemical assays and genetic rescue experiments, is a powerful platform to understand the impacts of disease mutations on molecular function. Our work unexpectedly revealed that a highly conserved sequence that is absolutely critical for the kinesin-MT interaction adopts a labile 3<sub>10</sub>-helical conformation and is a structural locus susceptible to recurring human disease mutations in kinesins.

**A functional conformation of kinesin’s L12/K-loop may be critically mediated by the adjacent 3<sub>10</sub> helix**

Using deletion or replacement mutagenesis, several studies have found that the presence of the highly charged K-loop within the KIF1A motor domain is critical for its function as a long-distance transport motor by mediating a high MT-binding rate during the weak-binding (ADP) state of the motor’s hydrolysis cycle (3, 6, 32, 35). However, despite a wealth of structural knowledge of the KIF1A motor domain, the K-loop has never been visualized, presumably because of conformational flexibility. The K-loop follows the main MT-binding element made up of loop 11 (L11) and the α4 helix on the bottom of the kinesin motor domain (32, 36). Crystallography of monomeric KIF1A motor domains in the absence of tubulin (32) found the conformation of α4 changes during the hydrolysis cycle of the motor with the C-terminal end of α4, near the K-loop, elongating in the ADP-Pi state, presumably altering the conformation of the attached K-loop. Release of phosphate results in partial melting of the C-terminal end of α4, again presumably altering the conformation of the attached K-loop to allow for its interaction with the C terminus of β-tubulin (32). Furthermore, proteolytic mapping of the kinesin motor domain in different nucleotide states also revealed nucleotide-dependent changes in L12, confirming conformational plasticity in this region (31). These data support a model whereby the conformation of L12 is dynamic and directly connected to the ATP hydrolysis cycle of kinesin.

More recently, ~7-Å cryo–electron microscopy structures of KIF1A bound to MTs, in several states corresponding to the hydrolysis cycle, reveal neither the conformation of the positively charged portion of the K-loop nor the negatively charged C-terminal tubulin tail (36), indicating structural heterogeneity in these regions. However, on the basis of the fitting and calculation of the pseudoatomic models, these structures did reveal electron density between helix H12 of β-tubulin and parts of the calculated location of the PYRD 3<sub>10</sub> helix within KIF1A. While the exact composition of this density in distinct nucleotide states is unclear at present, we hypothesize that it is crucial for mediating a functional conformation of the adjacent K-loop during the weak-binding state of the motor. Density between β-tubulin and parts of the putative 3<sub>10</sub> helix is maintained in all nucleotide states observed, suggesting that it may serve as a...
crucial anchor point to the MT. We hypothesize that this contact may also be critical to orient the conformation of the K-loop and mediate its effects during the landing of KIF1A onto the MT. There may be less density connecting the kinesin-1 motor, KIF5A (which was modeled on the basis of KIF5B), to the MT in this same region. Yet, our results reveal that the same proline that initiates the 3_10 helix segment is also critical for the MT interaction and force generation of KIF5B (Figs. 6 and 7), and we suggest that it likely plays a similar role in mediating L12’s interaction with tubulin in KIF5 family motors and other kinesins (Fig. 2, B and C).

An interesting aspect of our data is that the largest effect of the P305L mutation is on the landing rate of kinesin onto the MT, highly similar to deletion or mutation of the K-loop (3, 6). Once mutant KIF1A motors get onto the MT, they are capable of taking many hundreds of steps without dissociating, suggesting that the function of the 3_10 helix may not be as crucial during motor stepping in the absence of resistive load. This is consistent with prior results, which found that mutation of the K-loop strongly perturbs the landing rate, but not processivity of KIF1A (3). However, while we observe more mild defects in velocity and processivity, we see more severe effects on KIF1A force generation under load, suggesting that the mutation also impairs important aspects of the mechanochemical cycle that are sensitive to resistive force. Our data support the notion that the 3_10 helix within L12 is as important for facilitating the landing of KIF1A onto MTs, similar to the function of the adjacent K-loop as previously reported (3). On the basis of our data, we favor the interpretation in which altering of the ability of the PYRD/E motif to act as a labile regulatory 3_10 helix or switch under load affects allosteric communication between loop L12, nucleotide-binding site, and MT-binding sites within the kinesin motor. However, we cannot rule out the possibility that the mutation also sterically affects the conformation of the proximal α4 helix (Fig. 2, A and B),

Fig. 7. Characterization of the force generation properties of KIF1A_P305L and KIF5B_P276L. (A to D) Representative force versus time records of bead movement driven by single molecules of (A) WT KIF1A (1-393)-LZ, (B) KIF1AP305L (1-393)-LZ, (C) WT KIF5B (1-420), and (D) KIF5BP276L (1-420). (E) Detachment forces. Green bars indicate the median values with quartiles. WT KIF1A (1-393)-LZ: 2.2 (1.9, 2.5) pN, N = 1737; KIF1AP305L (1-393)-LZ: 0.7 (0.5, 0.8) pN, N = 637; WT KIF5B (1-420): 3.0 (2.4, 3.4) pN, N = 603; KIF5BP276L (1-420): 1.7 (1.1, 2.2) pN, N = 415. Statistical significance was determined using an unpaired Welch’s t test (****P < 0.0001). (F) Maximal force (“stall force”) sustained during a single run against load for a minimum time duration of 10 ms [3.1 (2.7, 3.5) pN, N = 405], 20 ms [3.1 (2.7, 3.4) pN, N = 381], 50 ms [3.2 (2.7, 3.4) pN, N = 262], and 100 ms [3.2 (2.8, 3.5) pN, N = 154], respectively. (G) Stall force of WT KIF5B (1-420) (≥20-ms criterion).
studies have largely focused on the L11–4-L12 region as the primary site that is critically important for kinesin-MT interactions. While prior presentation here have revealed a conserved structural motif that could be tailored to augment or modulate the substitution and functionally functional surrogate residue at that position. It also indicates that orthogonal endogenous molecules could be useful targets for potential therapeutic interventions.

Prospects for human disease

Mutations in KIF1A result in a broad range of KAND phenotypes with varying severity (8), suggesting differing disease mechanisms based on the type of mutation in the motor. While some mutations result in a complete loss of motor activity or overactivation of motor activity (12–14), we demonstrate here that the P305L mutation results in a severe loss of MT-binding affinity, but that unexpectedly, once mutant motors are properly loaded onto the MT, they are capable of processive movement under unloaded conditions. However, when subjected to load, the P305L mutation severely affects the force output of the motor. All these results suggest that potential treatment options for KAND may need to be tailored to the specific effects of the particular mutation on the motor’s biophysical outputs. In the case of P305L, therapeutic options that increase the mutant motor’s ability to interact with MTs could be a viable goal. The nonmotor MT-associated protein MAP9 was recently shown to enhance the landing rate of KIF1A in vitro (41), suggesting that orthogonal endogenous molecules could be useful targets for potential therapeutic interventions.

Three of the four residues that make up the short 310 helix are mutated in KIF1A (P305L, Y306C, and R307G/P/Q), the related KIF1 family member KIF1C (R301G), and the orthogonal kinesin family member KIF5A (P278L and R280H/C/L), leading to neurodegenerative disease phenotypes in humans (8, 17, 28–30). These findings highlight the critical function of this understudied element of the kinesin motor core. The observation of the same and recurring missense mutation (proline to leucine) in analogous residues of KIF5A and KIF1A points to common implications for human degenerative disease phenotypes in humans (8). These findings further reveal that the presence of the K-loop within KIF1A is not sufficient for its function, lending support to the idea that a specific conformation of L12 couples to the kinesin enzymatic cycle.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

C. elegans methods

C. elegans were maintained on Escherichia coli strain OP50 on nematode growth medium (NGM) agar plates at 20°C. Punc-104::human Kif1a vectors were prepared as described (14). Four nanograms of Punc-104::human Kif1a and 50 ng of Punc-104::gfp vectors were injected to unc-104(e1265) gonads by standard procedures (52). At the F1 generation, worms with visible green fluorescent protein (GFP) signal in head neurons were collected. Stable transformation was confirmed by transmission of extrachromosomal arrays to the F2 generation. Functional complementation of unc-104 by human KIF1A was assessed by the motility of worms on NGM plates. Worms with visible markers were transferred to new plates, and movement was recorded under an SteREO Discovery.V12 dissection microscope (Carl Zeiss, Jena, Germany) equipped with Orca-Flash 2.8 CMOS camera (Hamamatsu Photonics, Hamamatsu, Japan).

Recombinant human KIF1A assembly and preparation

All KIF1A constructs were cloned into pAcebac1 vectors (Geneva Biotech, Genève, Switzerland) by Gibson assembly. Complementary DNA encoding human KIF1A (GenBank: AB290172.1) was amplified by polymerase chain reaction (PCR). A DNA fragment encoding the mScarlet-2xStrepII tag was synthesized by gBlocks (Integrated DNA Technologies, Coralville, IA, USA). Full vector sequence was confirmed by Sanger sequencing. Truncated KIF1A constructs containing only the motor domain (M1-D393) were made from the amplified KIF1A gene. P305L mutations were introduced by PCR-based mutagenesis to both full-length and truncated constructs using Q5 plus DNA polymerase. Constructs containing the P305L mutation encoded a C-terminal sfGFP-2xStrepII tag. A bacmid was generated by transforming DH10EmBacY (Geneva Biotech) for all constructs. Insect SF9 cells were maintained as a shaking culture in SF-900II serum-free medium (Thermo Fisher Scientific) or, alternatively, in ESF media (Expression Systems) at 27°C. To prepare baculovirus, 1 × 10^6 SF9 cells were transferred to each well of a six-well plate. SF9 cells were transformed with bacmid DNA by Cellfectin (Thermo Fisher Scientific). The resulting baculovirus was amplified, and the P2 cell culture medium was used for protein expression. To prepare recombinant proteins, 400 ml (2 × 10^9 cells/ml) of cells were inoculated with P2 baculovirus stocks at a dilution of 1:100 and cultured for ~65 hours at 27°C. Cells were harvested by centrifugation at 3000g for 5 min and frozen in liquid nitrogen. Frozen cells were stored at −80°C until the purification step.

Recombinant human KIF5B assembly and preparation

All KIF5B constructs were cloned into pET28a vectors using Gibson assembly. A codon-optimized DNA sequence encoding the motor domain of human KIF5B (residues 1 to 420, UniProt P33176) was used. A DNA fragment encoding the mScarlet-2xStrepII tag was synthesized by gBlocks (Integrated DNA Technologies, Coralville, IA, USA). Full vector sequence was confirmed by Sanger sequencing. The P276L mutation was introduced by PCR-based mutagenesis to both full-length and truncated constructs using Q5 plus DNA polymerase. KIF5B constructs were transformed into BL21-CodonPlus (DE3)-RIPLecells (Agilent) for protein expression. Cells were inoculated with 1:500 of overnight culture and were grown at 37°C in 1.5 liters of LB medium until optimal density at 600 nm (OD600) of 0.6. Cells were then induced with 0.1 mM isopropyl-β-D-thiogalactoside overnight (~16 hours) at 18°C. Cells were harvested by centrifugation at 3000g for 15 min and frozen in liquid nitrogen. Frozen cells were stored at −80°C until the purification step.
Purification of human KIF1A and KIF5B

For purification, 40 ml of purification buffer [50 mM tris (pH 8.0), 150 mM KCH₃COO, 2 mM MgSO₄, 1 mM EGTA, 10% glycerol] supplemented with 0.1% Triton X-100, 1 mM ATP, 1 mM diithiothreitol (DTT), 1 mM phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride, and protease inhibitor mix (Promega) was used to resuspend frozen pellet that was thawed on ice. Cells were homogenized with several strokes in a dounce homogenizer and lysed by passing through an Emulsiflex C-3 (Avestin). Soluble lysate was obtained by centrifugation at 16,000g for 20 min at 4°C. The lysate was mixed with 2 ml of Strept-TagXT resin (IBA Lifesciences, Göttingen, Germany). The resin was washed extensively with wash buffer [50 mM tris (pH 8.0), 450 mM KCH₃COO, 2 mM MgSO₄, 1 mM EGTA, and 10% glycerol]. Then, protein was eluted with elution buffer [50 mM tris (pH 8.0), 150 mM KCH₃COO, 2 mM MgSO₄, 1 mM EGTA, 10% glycerol, and 100 mM biotin]. Eluted protein was concentrated to ~500 μl using Amicon Ultra 5 centrifugal filters (Merck, Darmstadt, Germany). The affinity-purified protein was further separated by gel filtration using a Phenomenex Yarra 3-μm SEC-4000 300 × 7.8-μm column (Phenomenex, Torrance CA) in GF150 buffer [25 mM Hepes (pH 7.4), 150 mM KCl, and 1 mM MgCl₂] on an NGC Chromatography system (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Hercules, CA, USA). Peak fractions were pooled, concentrated in an Amicon filter again, and flash frozen in liquid nitrogen. Protein concentrations were assessed using a NanoDrop One (Thermo Fisher Scientific) and given as the total amount of measured fluorophore.

TIRF assays

Glass chambers were prepared by acid washing as previously described (http://labs.bio.unc.edu/Salmon/protocols/coverslipprep.html) and double-sided sticky tape. Chambers were first incubated with PLL-PEG-biotin (0.5 mg/ml; Surface Solutions) for 10 min, followed by streptavidin (0.5 mg/ml) for 5 min. MTs were diluted in BRB80-T [80 mM Pipes, 1 mM MgCl₂, 1 mM EGTA, and 5 μM α-casein (80 mM Hepes (pH 7.4), 150 mM KCl, and 1 mM MgCl₂)] on an NGC Chromatography system (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Hercules, CA, USA). Peak fractions were pooled, concentrated in an Amicon filter again, and flash frozen in liquid nitrogen. Protein concentrations were assessed using a NanoDrop One (Thermo Fisher Scientific) and given as the total amount of measured fluorophore.

ATPase assays

MT-activated ATPase activity of KIF1A was analyzed in a NADH-coupled enzymatic assay (53). Initial rates of ATP hydrolysis by tail-truncated KIF1A or KIF1A∆P₃₀₅₁ at 37°C were measured as follows: KIF1A or KIF1A∆P₃₀₅₁ were diluted to 100 nM in SRP90 supplemented with 2 mM ATP, 0.01% Triton X-100, 1 mM DTT, and BSA (0.1 mg/ml). Coupled NADH oxidation system in the final reaction mixture was 0.1 mM NADH (Roche Diagnostics), 2 mM phosphoenolpyruvate (Sigma-Aldrich), 0.01 U pyruvate kinase (Sigma-Aldrich), and 0.03 U lactate dehydrogenase (Sigma-Aldrich). For each day of assays, taxol-stabilized MTs were assembled at 37°C for 30 min and spun down by centrifugation at 150,000g for 15 min through 25% sucrose cushion. The MT pellet was resuspended in SRP90, and 0 to 10 μM MTs were added to the reaction. The reaction mixture was preincubated for 10 s at 37°C, and the absorption at 340 nm was recorded in an Eppendorf BioSpectrometer Kinetic. Data (n = 2 to 5) were fit to the Michaelis-Menten equation by nonlinear regression to calculate $k_{cat}$ and $K_m$ values using Prism.

Optical tweezers assay

Slides, MTs, and polystyrene trapping beads were prepared as described previously (54). Briefly, carboxylate polystyrene beads (0.52 μm; Polyscience, no. 09836-15) were coated with an α-Strep–tag II antibody (Abcam, no. ab76949) and α-casein. Glass coverslips (Zeiss, no. 474030-9000-000) were cleaned with 25% HNO₃ and 2 M NaOH, washed with double-distilled water, air dried, and stored at 4°C. The flow cell was assembled with a glass slide, parafilm stripes, and a cleaned coverslip as described (54). Biotinylated MTs were attached to the coverslip surface via α-casein–biotin and streptavidin. The trapping assay was conducted as described (54) before except that the HME60K50 buffer was used for all experiments [60 mM Hepes, 50 mM KAc, 2 mM MgCl₂, 1 mM EGTA, 10% glycerol, and 0.5% (w/v) Pluronic F-127]. All force measurements were performed with a LUMICKS C-Trap. The trap stiffness was set to 0.04 to 0.06 pN/nm, and the percentage of moving beads was between 10 and 45%, ensuring experiments at the single-molecule level (49). A custom-made MATLAB program was used to analyze the data. Graphs were generated using Prism (GraphPad, version 8). This is an equation line. Type the equation in the equation editor field and then put the number of the equation in the brackets at right. The equation line is a one-row table, and it allows you to both center the equation and have a right-justified reference, as found in most journals.

Structural analysis

Chimera (UCSF) was used to process the structures in fig. S1. Hydrogen bonds were found on the backbone of the helix using the FindH Bond module in Chimera. Structural alignment was performed using the MatchMaker module in Chimera.

Statistical analysis

All statistical analysis was performed in GraphPad Prism version 7 or 8.

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIALS

Supplementary material for this article is available at http://advances.sciencemag.org/cgi/content/full/7/18/eabf1002/DC1

View/request a protocol for this paper from Bio-protocol.
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