Bromelain Activity of Waste Parts of Two Pineapple Varieties
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Abstract: Bromelain, a protease found in pineapples, is of high demand in the pharmaceutical, cosmetic and food industries. Along the pineapple processing chain, waste products such as peels, crowns, stems and cores result. These parts are usually discarded, though they contain significant amounts of the enzyme bromelain. This study sought to determine the bromelain activity of the crowns and peels of two pineapple varieties grown in Ghana; MD2 and Sugarloaf. The crude extract was obtained by homogenising the peels and crowns in a cold phosphate buffer and centrifuging at 3000 rpm for 15 min. Ethanol and ammonium sulphate precipitation were carried out on the extract between 30%–80% precipitation levels. The enzyme activity was determined using the casein digestion method. Results showed that bromelain was precipitated mainly in the 30%–60% precipitation range. Sugarloaf crowns yielded the highest enzyme activity of 20.82 U/ml and a specific activity of 194.58 U/mg at the 40% ammonium sulphate precipitation level. This was followed by the Sugarloaf peels with an enzyme activity of 19.98 U/ml at 50% ethanol precipitation level. Ethanol precipitation resulted in fractions with lower bromelain activity. Enzyme activity was higher in the Sugarloaf variety and also in the crowns of both varieties. The two pineapple varieties have significant levels of bromelain activity and could be exploited for commercialisation.

Introduction

Bromelain is distributed in different parts of the pineapple. The specific part of from which it is extracted lends its name to the enzyme, thus we have fruit bromelain and stem bromelain [1]. Bromelain activity has been reported to be within a pH range of 3 to 8 and a temperature range of 30–70 ºC [2–4].

In the food industry, bromelain has found widespread use as a meat tenderiser, anti-browning agent and in the production of infant formulas [5]. As a protease, it hydrolyses proteins in these formulas, thus making amino acids more readily available to infants. Cosmetic and pharmaceutical usage of bromelain, as well as usage in the textile industry, have also been reported [6–9].

Several methods have been employed in the extraction and purification of bromelain with varying success. However, the initial sample homogenisation step remains essentially the same. The sample is homogenised in phosphate buffer (0.1 M, pH 7.0) with a blender and then centrifuged to remove plant fibres and other impurities [10–13]. Purification of enzymes is important in order to determine the three dimensional structure of an enzyme and its impact on the functionality of the enzyme [14]. Researchers have succeeded in purifying bromelain using techniques such as aqueous two phase systems [15–16], ammonium sulphate precipitation [10–11], ethanol precipitation [12], ion exchange chromatography [10, 11, 13], membrane separation [17] and adsorption using functionalized Santa Barbara Acid-15 [18].

Enzymatic activity is defined as the amount of enzyme that catalyses the reaction of 1 µmol of a substrate under specified conditions [19]. This definition gives room for a researcher to calculate enzymatic activity based on the conditions under which the work was done. Yet, it presents a difficulty when comparing the enzymatic activity of samples experimented on under different conditions. Whereas some researchers opt for the casein digesting unit method, others opt for the gelatin digesting unit method.

Ghana produces about 661,500 tonnes of pineapple annually [20]. Waste, accounting for 35 – 40% of the pineapple mass, is produced during pineapple processing [21]. These waste parts (peels,
crowns, stems and core) have a low commercial value and are discarded or in a few cases composted to be used in fertilising soils. The activity of bromelain in pineapples highly varies within different varieties, geographical and climatic conditions [5]. The objective of this study was to determine the activity of bromelain extracted from the peels and crowns of the MD2 and Sugarloaf pineapple varieties cultivated in Ghana.

Materials and Methods

Source of Materials: The pineapples (Sugarloaf and MD2 varieties) were sourced from a pineapple farm at Adipa near Nsawam in the Eastern region of Ghana.

Crude Bromelain Extraction: The crude extract was obtained by homogenising the peels and crowns in cold phosphate buffer (0.1 M, pH 7.0) using a Waring blender (Model 8010ES, Waring Commercial, Connecticut, USA). The extract was filtered with a cheesecloth and centrifuged at 3000 rpm for 15 min to remove all insoluble material using a MSE Beun De Ronde Centrifuge. The supernatant was retained for further analysis and the sediment discarded.

Ethanol and Ammonium Sulphate Precipitation: This was done following the method of [22]. The crude extract was kept on ice and cooled ethanol added to it to achieve concentrations of 30–80% (v/v). Solid ammonium sulphate was added in bits to other fractions of the crude extract to achieve saturations of 30 – 80%. The mixtures were stirred to ensure dissolution of ammonium sulphate and left to stand for 30 min for precipitation to continue. All mixtures were then centrifuged at 3000 rpm for 15 min. The respective sediments were recovered and solubilised in 20 ml of phosphate buffer (20 mM, pH 7.0).

Enzyme Activity Assay: The enzyme activity was determined according to the casein digestion method as described by [23]. The assay mixture contained 5 ml of freshly prepared 1% casein which was prewarmed at 37 °C to be used as substrate and 1 ml of the solubilised bromelain added. The mixture was vortexed immediately (Vortex Genie, Wilton and Co. B.V.) and incubated at 37 °C for 10 min. The reaction was stopped by the addition of 5 ml of 1% Trichloroacetic acid. The reaction mixture was filtered and the absorbance of the filtrate was measured at 280 nm using a Nanodrop ND 1000 Spectrophotometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific, US). Using tyrosine as a standard, concentrations of 50 µg/ml, 100 µg/ml, 150 µg/ml, 200 µg/ml, and 250 µg/ml were prepared and their absorbance read at 280 nm. A standard curve of tyrosine absorbance against tyrosine concentration was plotted. One unit of bromelain activity was defined as the amount of bromelain required to produce 1 µmol of tyrosine after 1 minute of digestion of a standard casein substrate at 37 °C.

Statistical Analysis: Data was analysed using Statistical Package for Social Scientists (SPSS) version 22. Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) was performed to determine differences between means and Tukey test to determine significant differences at the 95% confidence interval level.

Results and Discussion

The bromelain activity, specific activity, purification factor and yield of the various fractions of the precipitated bromelain are presented in the Tables 1–8. In this study, 1 unit of bromelain activity has been defined as the amount of bromelain required to produce 1 µmol of tyrosine after 1 minute of digestion of a standard casein substrate at 37 °C. The specific activity is ratio of the amount of units of enzyme activity of a particular fraction to its protein concentration. The specific activity is used as an index of the purity of the enzyme fraction; the higher the specific activity, the purer the enzyme. The purification factor is the ratio of the specific activity of an enzyme fraction to the specific activity of the starting fraction (crude extract). It measures how effective each purification step is. The yield of a purification step is the ratio of the enzyme units retained after that step to the enzyme units that were present in the starting fraction (crude extract) expressed as a percentage. The yield measures how well the enzyme activity has been preserved or retained.
### Table 1. Ethanol precipitation of bromelain extract from MD2 crowns

| Fraction | Bromelain activity, [U/ml] | Specific activity, [U/mg] | Purification factor | Yield, [%] |
|----------|-----------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------|------------|
| Crude extract | 20.71<sup>a</sup> | 64.72<sup>a</sup> | | |
| 30 % | 11.36<sup>b</sup> | 183.23<sup>b</sup> | 2.83<sup>a</sup> | 54.85<sup>a</sup> |
| 40 % | 14.28<sup>c</sup> | 185.45<sup>c</sup> | 2.87<sup>b</sup> | 68.95<sup>b</sup> |
| 50 % | 18.01<sup>d</sup> | 159.38<sup>d</sup> | 2.46<sup>c</sup> | 86.96<sup>c</sup> |
| 60 % | 12.27<sup>e</sup> | 219.11<sup>e</sup> | 3.39<sup>d</sup> | 59.25<sup>d</sup> |
| 70 % | 8.92<sup>f</sup> | 202.73<sup>f</sup> | 3.13<sup>e</sup> | 43.07<sup>e</sup> |
| 80 % | 3.47<sup>g</sup> | 115.67<sup>g</sup> | 1.79<sup>f</sup> | 16.76<sup>f</sup> |

<sup>1</sup>Values with different superscript in the same column are significantly different at 95% confidence interval.

### Table 2. Ethanol precipitation of bromelain extract from MD2 peels

| Fraction | Bromelain activity, [U/ml] | Specific activity, [U/mg] | Purification factor | Yield, [%] |
|----------|-----------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------|------------|
| Crude extract | 17.58<sup>a</sup> | 76.43<sup>a</sup> | | |
| 30 % | 10.85<sup>b</sup> | 157.29<sup>b</sup> | 2.06<sup>ab</sup> | 61.73<sup>a</sup> |
| 40 % | 13.83<sup>c</sup> | 158.99<sup>c</sup> | 2.08<sup>abc</sup> | 78.68<sup>b</sup> |
| 50 % | 15.53<sup>d</sup> | 165.20<sup>d</sup> | 2.16<sup>c</sup> | 88.33<sup>c</sup> |
| 60 % | 12.92<sup>e</sup> | 161.50<sup>e</sup> | 2.11<sup>d</sup> | 73.49<sup>d</sup> |
| 70 % | 4.33<sup>f</sup> | 160.30<sup>f</sup> | 2.10<sup>de</sup> | 24.62<sup>e</sup> |
| 80 % | 2.35<sup>g</sup> | 156.47<sup>g</sup> | 2.05<sup>ef</sup> | 13.35<sup>f</sup> |

<sup>1</sup>Values with different superscript in the same column are significantly different at 95% confidence interval.

### Table 3. Ethanol precipitation of bromelain extract from Sugarloaf crowns

| Fraction | Bromelain activity, [U/ml] | Specific activity, [U/mg] | Purification factor | Yield, [%] |
|----------|-----------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------|------------|
| Crude extract | 23.49<sup>a</sup> | 46.06<sup>a</sup> | | |
| 30 % | 9.74<sup>b</sup> | 109.44<sup>b</sup> | 2.38<sup>a</sup> | 41.46<sup>a</sup> |
| 40 % | 12.18<sup>c</sup> | 111.74<sup>c</sup> | 2.43<sup>b</sup> | 51.85<sup>b</sup> |
| 50 % | 19.98<sup>d</sup> | 143.74<sup>d</sup> | 3.12<sup>c</sup> | 85.06<sup>c</sup> |
| 60 % | 5.77<sup>e</sup> | 70.37<sup>e</sup> | 1.53<sup>d</sup> | 24.56<sup>d</sup> |
| 70 % | 2.59<sup>f</sup> | 64.75<sup>f</sup> | 1.41<sup>e</sup> | 11.03<sup>e</sup> |
| 80 % | 1.87<sup>g</sup> | 45.61<sup>g</sup> | 0.99<sup>f</sup> | 7.96<sup>f</sup> |

<sup>1</sup>Values with different superscript in the same column are significantly different at 95% confidence interval.
### Table 4. Ethanol precipitation of bromelain extract from *Sugarloaf* peels

| Fraction | Bromelain activity, [U/ml] | Specific activity, [U/mg] | Purification factor | Yield, [%] |
|----------|-----------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------|------------|
| Crude extract | 19.37<sup>a</sup> | 47.01<sup>a</sup> |                  |            |
| 30 %     | 10.40<sup>b</sup> | 150.72<sup>b</sup> | 3.21<sup>a</sup> | 53.69<sup>a</sup> |
| 40 %     | 15.35<sup>c</sup> | 187.20<sup>c</sup> | 3.98<sup>b</sup> | 79.25<sup>b</sup> |
| 50 %     | 17.27<sup>d</sup> | 133.88<sup>d</sup> | 2.85<sup>c</sup> | 89.16<sup>c</sup> |
| 60 %     | 12.05<sup>e</sup> | 124.23<sup>e</sup> | 2.64<sup>d</sup> | 62.21<sup>d</sup> |
| 70 %     | 6.49<sup>f</sup> | 94.06<sup>f</sup> | 2.00<sup>e</sup> | 33.51<sup>e</sup> |
| 80 %     | 1.31<sup>g</sup> | 31.95<sup>g</sup> | 0.68<sup>f</sup> | 6.76<sup>f</sup> |

<sup>1</sup>Values with different superscript in the same column are significantly different at 95% confidence interval

### Table 5. Ammonium sulphate precipitation of bromelain extract from *MD2* crowns

| Fraction | Bromelain activity, [U/ml] | Specific activity, [U/mg] | Purification factor | Yield, [%] |
|----------|-----------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------|------------|
| Crude extract | 20.71<sup>a</sup> | 64.72<sup>a</sup> |                  |            |
| 30 %     | 9.83<sup>b</sup> | 131.07<sup>b</sup> | 2.03<sup>a</sup> | 47.46<sup>a</sup> |
| 40 %     | 19.28<sup>c</sup> | 241.00<sup>c</sup> | 3.72<sup>b</sup> | 93.10<sup>b</sup> |
| 50 %     | 13.09<sup>d</sup> | 192.50<sup>d</sup> | 2.97<sup>c</sup> | 63.21<sup>c</sup> |
| 60 %     | 12.14<sup>e</sup> | 168.61<sup>e</sup> | 2.61<sup>d</sup> | 58.62<sup>d</sup> |
| 70 %     | 6.78<sup>f</sup> | 178.42<sup>f</sup> | 2.76<sup>e</sup> | 32.74<sup>e</sup> |
| 80 %     | 2.28<sup>g</sup> | 91.20<sup>g</sup> | 1.41<sup>f</sup> | 11.01<sup>f</sup> |

<sup>1</sup>Values with different superscript in the same column are significantly different at 95% confidence interval

### Table 6. Ammonium sulphate precipitation of bromelain extract from *MD2* peels

| Fraction | Bromelain activity, [U/ml] | Specific activity, [U/mg] | Purification factor | Yield, [%] |
|----------|-----------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------|------------|
| Crude extract | 17.58<sup>a</sup> | 76.43<sup>a</sup> |                  |            |
| 30 %     | 9.24<sup>b</sup> | 159.31<sup>b</sup> | 2.08<sup>a</sup> | 52.56<sup>a</sup> |
| 40 %     | 16.79<sup>c</sup> | 188.65<sup>c</sup> | 2.47<sup>b</sup> | 95.51<sup>b</sup> |
| 50 %     | 14.21<sup>d</sup> | 177.63<sup>d</sup> | 2.32<sup>c</sup> | 80.83<sup>c</sup> |
| 60 %     | 11.78<sup>e</sup> | 175.82<sup>e</sup> | 2.30<sup>d</sup> | 67.01<sup>d</sup> |
| 70 %     | 5.83<sup>f</sup> | 149.49<sup>f</sup> | 1.96<sup>e</sup> | 33.16<sup>e</sup> |
| 80 %     | 2.93<sup>g</sup> | 94.52<sup>g</sup> | 1.24<sup>f</sup> | 16.67<sup>f</sup> |

<sup>1</sup>Values with different superscript in the same column are significantly different at 95% confidence interval
Using the ethanol precipitation technique, bromelain activity of MD2 pineapple peels ranged from 17.58 U/ml for the crude extract (i.e. 0% precipitation) to 2.35 U/ml for the 80% precipitation level. Enzyme activity peaked at the 50% precipitation level. Bromelain activity of MD2 crowns were higher than that of the peels. The crude extract of the crowns had an activity of 20.71 U/ml and the highest enzyme activity for the precipitated fractions was 18.01 U/ml which was observed at the 50% precipitation level. The bromelain activity was seen to increase from the 30% level to the 50% level and then decrease to the 80% level in both crowns and peels. Specific activity, an index of purity, on the other hand was highest in the 60% precipitated fraction of MD2 crowns indicating that this fraction was the purest. This finding is supported by the purification factor. The highest purification factor among the MD2 crowns, 3.39 was also observed at the 60% precipitation level.

In precipitation with ethanol, there is dehydration of proteins resulting in an increase in protein-protein interactions and a decrease in protein-water interactions. An increase in the concentration of the solvent results in a decrease in the solubility of the proteins, thus an increase in the amount of proteins that are precipitated [24]. This occurs together with a lowering of the permittivity resulting in an increase in inter and intra-molecular electrostatic forces culminating in
the unfolding of the protein structure [25]. An enzyme activity of 15.96 U/ml in the 30% – 70% precipitation range was obtained by [12]. However, it is difficult to make a good comparison as various waste parts of pineapple were homogenised together in that study. Results obtained in the present study are higher than that obtained by [26] in ripe pineapple fruits. In the case of ammonium sulphate precipitation, bromelain activity of Sugarloaf crowns ranged from 23.49 U/ml for the crude extract to 3.15 U/ml for the 80% precipitation level. An enzyme activity of 20.82 U/ml was recorded at the 40% precipitation level. The fraction here also had an activity of 194.58 U/mg and a yield of 88.63%. As in the case of ethanol precipitation, the crowns had higher enzyme activities than the peels. The crude extract of the peels had an activity of 19.37 U/ml and the highest enzyme activity for the precipitated peel fractions was 18.03 U/ml which was observed at the 40% precipitation level. Enzyme activity was higher in the Sugarloaf variety. The 40% precipitation level appears to be the level where the highest bromelain activity can be achieved.

[27] also achieved a 31.97 U/ml enzyme activity in the 20% – 40% precipitation in a study on ammonium sulphate precipitation of bromelain. The differences between the results obtained in this study and the results from [12, 13, 27] can be attributed to varietal differences and differences in soil conditions. The concentration and activity of bromelain in pineapples is dependent on the variety of the pineapple, the part from which it is extracted, climatic conditions during plant growth and particularly the soil type [5]. Comparison of results between different enzyme studies presents a challenge due to the lax in methods of enzyme activity assay.

Based on the specific activities shown in the results, the purest fraction had a specific activity of 241.00 U/mg. This was from the MD2 crowns at the 40% precipitation level using ammonium sulphate. Similar findings were reported by [27]. Though the crude fractions of both MD2 and Sugarloaf had the highest enzyme activity, as expected, these fractions also had the lowest specific activity. This underscores the need for further purification of crude enzymes to increase their specific activity. Usually in industrial applications, the yield of enzymes is prioritised over its purity, although for specialty enzymes it is the purity that is prioritised [14]. Purification factor and yield ranged from 1.53 to 3.98 and 24.56% to 95.51% respectively in the 30–60% precipitation range. Higher yields and folds of purification have been achieved using methods such as micro and ultrafiltration, aqueous two phase systems [1].

**Conclusion**

Bromelain was precipitated by both ethanol and ammonium sulphate mainly in the 30%–60% precipitation range. The Sugarloaf variety had higher enzyme activity than the MD2 variety. The crowns of both varieties also have higher enzyme activity than their respective peels. The crown and peels of both varieties have significant amounts of bromelain activity and can be exploited for commercial production. Looking forward, the utilisation of pineapple waste in bromelain production will help in the reduction of pineapple waste. Further research can be carried out to maximise the use of pineapple waste for bromelain and the economic viability of the process.
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