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Abstract

Background: In Southeast Asia, the canine vector-borne pathogens Babesia spp., Ehrlichia canis, Anaplasma platys, Hepatozoon canis, haemotropic mycoplasmas and Dirofilaria immitis cause significant morbidity and mortality in dogs. Moreover, dogs have also been implicated as natural reservoirs for Rickettsia felis, the agent of flea-borne spotted fever, increasingly implicated as a cause of undifferentiated fever in humans in Southeast Asia. The objective of this study was to determine the prevalence and diversity of canine vector-borne pathogens in 101 semi-domesticated dogs from rural Cambodia using molecular diagnostic techniques.

Results: The most common canine vector-borne pathogens found infecting dogs in this study were Babesia vogeli (32.7 %) followed by Ehrlichia canis (21.8 %), Dirofilaria immitis (15.8 %), Hepatozoon canis (10.9 %), Mycoplasma haemocanis (9.9 %) and "Candidatus Mycoplasma haematoparvum" (2.9 %). A high level of co-infection with CVBD agents (23.8 %) was present, most commonly B. vogeli and E. canis. Naturally occurring R. felis infection was also detected in 10.9 % of dogs in support of their role as a natural mammalian reservoir for flea-borne spotted fever in humans.

Conclusions: This study reports for the first time, the prevalence and diversity of CVBD pathogens in dogs in Cambodia. In total, five species of CVBD pathogens were found infecting semi-domesticated dogs and many were co-infected with two or more pathogens. This study supports the role of dogs as natural mammalian reservoirs for R. felis, the agent of flea-borne spotted fever in humans.
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Background

In Southeast Asia, canine vector-borne diseases (CVBD) are a significant cause of morbidity and mortality in dogs. Dogs infected with vector-borne pathogens may develop either a sub-clinical or clinical infection, which may lead to fatal outcomes in some cases. In addition, dogs have also been implicated as natural mammalian reservoirs for R. felis, the agent of cat-flea-typhus or flea-borne spotted fever (FSF) in humans [1]. Despite the growing number of studies on the prevalence and distribution of CVBD in Asia, there is a paucity of information available on these agents in Cambodia.

In Southeast Asia, canine babesiosis caused by the piroplasms Babesia vogeli and Babesia gibsoni are vectored by Rhipicephalus sanguineus and Haemaphysalis longicornis, respectively. The latter may also be transmitted by blood exchange in fighting dogs [2] and vertically [3]. Ehrlichia is an alpha-proteobacterium genus belonging to the family Anaplasmataceae. Canine monocytic ehrlichiosis or tropical canine pancytopenia caused by Ehrlichia canis and vectored by R. sanguineus is widely distributed throughout Southeast Asia [4–6] and an important cause of mortality in dogs. Canine hepatozoonosis is a mild tick-borne protozoan disease caused by the apicomplexan parasite Hepatozoon canis in Asia. Transmission of H. canis to dogs occurs by ingestion of an infected R. sanguineus tick, rather than a tick bite [7]. Co-infection of H. canis with other infectious agents such as Ehrlichia and parvovirus is common [8, 9] and may contribute to or
exacerbate clinical disease. Three species, *Mycoplasma haemocanis*, “*Candidatus Mycoplasma haematoparvum*” and “*Candidatus Mycoplasma haemobos*” have been reported in dogs and the clinical effects of these pathogens varies from asymptomatic to the stimulation of a hemolytic syndrome [10]. In Southeast Asia evidence to support the importance of *Anaplasma platys* as a cause of canine infectious cyclic thrombocytopenia is lacking, owing primarily to a paucity of published data [11, 12]. Single infections with *A. platys* are generally clinically unapparent but pathogenicity appears to be increased in co-infections [10]. Canine dirofilariosis is a mosquito-borne disease caused by *Dirofilaria immitis*. It is a chronic, progressive and potentially lethal disease whose primary lesions occur in the pulmonary arteries and lung parenchyma, leading to congestive heart failure [13].

*Rickettsia felis* is a globally emerging zoonotic pathogen responsible for flea-borne spotted fever (FSF) in humans. Worldwide, *Ctenocephalides felis* and in India, *Ctenocephalides felis orientis* have been implicated the most likely biological vectors for *R. felis* Cal2 and *R. felis*-like species RF2125, respectively. The agent is being increasingly implicated as an important cause non-specific febrile illness in humans in Laos [14], Bangladesh [15], Kenya [16] and Senegal [17]. In addition it may lead to severe multi-systemic disease owing to disseminated vasculitis [18–20]. Dogs [1, 21] and more recently cats [22] have been implicated as natural mammalian reservoirs for infection based on the detection of circulating *R. felis* DNA in peripheral blood.

The aim of this study was to investigate the occurrence of the primary CVBD of veterinary and public health importance in Cambodia using molecular diagnostic techniques.

**Methods**

**Sampling and DNA extraction**

Blood samples were collected into sodium citrate blood tubes from 101 semi-domesticated, free-roaming dogs owned by residents belonging to 37 households in Dong Village, Rovieng district, Preah Vihear province, Cambodia (Fig. 1). Dogs in these communities lacked access to veterinary care, including vaccination, sterilisation, deworming and ectoparasite treatment. All blood samples were subjected to proteinase digestion in the presence of sodium dodecyl sulphate (SDS) followed by phenol-chloroform extraction of proteins and ethanol/salt precipitation of DNA as described elsewhere [23]. Final elution of DNA was made in 100 μl of TE buffer (10 mM Tris-Cl, 0.5 mM EDTA, pH 9.0). The extracted DNA was stored at -20 °C until required for PCR amplification.

**PCR analysis and sequencing**

All extracted DNA were subjected to previously described PCR assays for the detection of CVBD and spotted fever rickettsiae, as shown in Table 1. Samples positive for *R. felis* on the *ompB* gene were also subjected to a species-specific nested PCR targeting a 654 bp region of the *gltA* gene (Table 1). Genomic DNA of following organisms were used as positive controls for each PCR assay: *B. vogeli, A. platys, R. felis, M. haemocanis, H. canis, D. immitis* and *E. canis*. Nuclease-free water was used as a negative control. All the positive PCR products were purified using PureLink quick PCR purification kit (Invitrogen, Eugene, USA) according to the manufacturer’s protocol and submitted to the University of Queensland Animal Genetic Laboratory for DNA sequencing. All sequences were viewed using Finch TV 1.4.0 (Geospiza Inc.) and compared with known sequences from the GenBank™.

Fig 1. Map of Cambodia with Rovieng District, Preah Vihear Province highlighted in red. (Google Earth)
database using the BLAST algorithm (http://blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Blast.cgi).

Phylogenetic analysis
DNA sequences of the partial gltA gene of samples positive for *R. felis* were viewed using Finch TV 1.4.0 (Geospiza, Inc.) and aligned using BioEdit version 7.2.0 [24] together with the gltA gene of the following rickettsiae species: *R. felis*-like species RF2125, *R. felis* Cal 2 strain, *R. australis*, *R. typhi*, *R. prowazekii*, *R. asiatica*, *R. conorii*, *R. rickettsia*, *R. honei*, *R. marmionii* (GenBank accession nos. AF516333, CP000053, U59718, U59714, M17149, AB297812, HM050292, DQ150689, AF018074, and AY737684, respectively). Neighbor-joining analyses were conducted with Tamura-Nei parameter distance estimates, and trees were constructed using Mega 6 software (www.megasoftware.net). Bootstrap analyses were conducted using 1000 replicates.

Statistical analysis
Statistical analysis was conducted using STATA version 12.1 [25]. The association between CVBD agent positivity and the age and sex of dogs were evaluated using X² test. Statistical significant was consider at P-value <0.05 with 95 % confidence interval (CI).

Animal ethics
Written informed consent was obtained from each dog owner prior to sample collection. This study adhered to strict guidelines outlined by the European Convention for the “Protection of Vertebrate Animals used for Experimental and Other Scientific Purposes”. In addition, permission was gained from the Ministry of Agriculture, Forestry and Fisheries, Cambodia who were present for sampling and personally oversaw that animals were handled with respect according to the laws on experimental animal care in Cambodia.

Results
Detection of canine vector-borne pathogens
Of 101 dogs sampled, 43 were male and 58 female. The sampled group consisted of 43 juveniles (12 weeks – 1 year), 56 adults (> 1–7 years) and 2 geriatric (> 7 years) dogs. Although a detailed physical examination was not conducted, all dogs were of mixed local breed and appeared bright and alert. In total, 72 dogs (71.3 %) were positive for at least one CVBD pathogen. DNA of *B. vogeli*, *E. canis*, *D. immitis*, *H. canis*, *M. haemocanis* and “*Candidatus M. haematoparvum*” was detected in 32.7 % (33/101), 21.8 % (22/101), 15.8 % (16/101), 10.9 % (11/101), 9.9 % (10/101) and 2.9 % (3/101) dogs, respectively (Table 2). Multiple infections were detected in 20 (19.8 %) of these infected dogs (Table 2). Additionally, one dog (0.9 %) was co-infected with four vector-borne

Table 1 Oligonucleotide primers used to detect vector borne protozoan and rickettsial pathogens

| Pathogen                  | Specific | Gene target (bp) | Reference |
|---------------------------|----------|------------------|-----------|
| *Babesia* spp.            | Genus    | 18S rRNA (422–440) | [44]     |
| *Hepatozoon* spp.        | Genus    | 18S rRNA (666)   | [45]     |
| *Mycoplasma* spp.        | Genus    | 16S rRNA (595)   | [46]     |
| *Ehrlichia* spp.         | Genus    | 16S rRNA (345)   | [47]     |
| A. platys                | Species  | 16S rRNA (678)   | [47]     |
| SFG rickettsiae          | Group    | ompB (252)       | [48]     |
| *R. felis*               | Species  | gltA (654)       | [21]     |
| *D. immitis*             | Genus    | 5.8S-ITS2-28S (430–664) | [49]     |

Table 2 Prevalence of canine vector borne pathogens detected by PCR

| Pathogen                                | Dogs (n = 101) | Number positive (%) |
|-----------------------------------------|----------------|---------------------|
| *Babesia vogeli* (total)                | 33             | 32.7                |
| *Ehrlichia canis* (total)               | 22             | 21.8                |
| *Hepatozoon canis* (total)              | 11             | 10.9                |
| Anaplasma platys (total)                | 0              | 0.0                 |
| *Mycoplasma haemocanis* (total)        | 10             | 9.9                 |
| “*Candidatus Mycoplasma haematoparvum*” (total) | 3             | 2.9                 |
| *Dirofilaria immitis* (total)           | 16             | 15.8                |
| *Rickettsia felis* (total)              | 11             | 10.9                |
| B. vogeli and *M. haemocanis*          | 1              | 0.9                 |
| B. vogeli and “*Candidatus Mycoplasma haematoparvum*” | 1 | 0.9 |
| B. vogeli and *H. canis*               | 1              | 0.9                 |
| B. vogeli and *E. canis*               | 5              | 4.9                 |
| B. vogeli and *R. felis*               | 1              | 0.9                 |
| B. vogeli and *D. immitis*             | 1              | 0.9                 |
| *E. canis* and *R. felis*              | 2              | 1.9                 |
| *E. canis* and *M. haemocanis*         | 1              | 0.9                 |
| *H. canis* and *D. immitis*            | 1              | 0.9                 |
| R. felis and *D. immitis*              | 1              | 0.9                 |
| B. vogeli, *M. haemocanis* and *D. immitis* | 1 | 0.9 |
| B. vogeli, *E. canis* and *H. canis*   | 1              | 0.9                 |
| B. vogeli, *E. canis* and *R. felis*   | 2              | 1.9                 |
| B. vogeli, *E. canis* and *M. haemocanis* | 1 | 0.9 |
| B. vogeli, *E. canis* and *D. immitis* | 2              | 1.9                 |
| B. vogeli, *H. canis* and *D. immitis* | 1              | 0.9                 |
| B. vogeli, *H. canis*, *M. haemocanis* and *D. immitis* | 1 | 0.9 |
| Total infected dogs                    | 72             | 71.3                |
| Total co-infected dogs                 | 24             | 23.8                |
Pathogens in this study. In total, 10.9% (11/101) dogs were found positive for *R. felis*. In three households, more than one dog was found harboring *R. felis* (Fig. 1).

**Phylogenetic analysis**
DNA sequences of all positive PCR products were > 99–100% homologous to those available in the GenBank™ database for all CVBD pathogens identified. Subsequent *R. felis*–specific nested PCR was successful for all eleven SFG-positive dogs. Resulting DNA sequences were > 99% homologous to the *R. felis* Cal 2 strain on the gltA gene (GenBank: CP000053) (Fig. 2) and all eleven isolates clustered with *R. felis* Cal2 strain (99% bootstrap support).

**Statistical analysis**
There were no significant associations between the dogs’ sex and age and the presence of CVBD infections in this study.

**Discussion**
This study represents the first report of CVBD infections in dogs from Cambodia. Our results indicate that CVBD pathogens *B. vogeli, E. canis, D. immitis, H. canis, M. haemocanis* and “*Candidatus M. hematoptarvum*” are highly endemic in this area of Cambodia. Concurrent infection with two or more CVBD pathogens (19.8%) was common among dogs and *B. vogeli* and *E. canis* constituted the most common dual infections. Co-infection with two or more CVBD pathogens is known to be associated with greater pathogenicity. Co-infection in dogs infected with tick-borne pathogens including *E. canis, B. vogeli, A. platys* and *H. canis* have been associated with a greater degree of anemia for example, than single infections [26]. This consequence has important implications on the health of dogs in these communities, although this clinical aspect was not further explored in this study. Our results also highlight the importance of screening for more than one pathogen in veterinary practice in Cambodia. Although not quantified, a high burden of ticks and flea infestation was observed on dogs during blood collection.

The absence of *A. platys* in this study is surprising. *A. platys* shares a common vector with all reported CVBD pathogens in this study and has been reported infecting 4/30 refuge dogs in nearby Malaysia and in 2.3% of ticks in neighboring Thailand [11]. *Babesia gibsoni* has also been reported in dogs in nearby Malaysia at a rate of 17.1% [27]. Numerous studies in neighboring Thailand, however, have not detected the pathogen in either ticks or dogs to date. In Thailand, this may be due to the reported absence to date of the tick vector *H. longicornis* [28, 29].

---
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**Fig. 2** Phylogenetic tree obtained from neighbor-joining analysis of the gltA gene amplicons (600 bp) of *Rickettsia felis* detected in eleven dogs clustered with *R. felis* Cal 2 strain (CP000053)
Canine heartworm or *D. immitis* is highly endemic in Southeast Asia with a reported prevalence ranging between 18.2 and 25.8 % in stray and client-owned dogs residing in Thailand, South Korea, China, Taiwan and Malaysia [30–34]. PCR assays can only detect DNA of circulating microfilaria in blood [35]. Therefore, the reported prevalence of heartworm infection in these community dogs of 15.8 % may be a gross underestimation. In heartworm endemic regions of India [36] and Australia [37], occult infections that have reported to comprise up to 30 % of infections and therefore future use of antigen-based tests would be of great advantage in gaining a more accurate estimate of infection levels in this community.

Nearly 11 % of dogs sampled in this study were positive for *R. felis*, adding evidence to suggest that dogs are the primary mammalian reservoir host for this emerging rickettsial zoonosis. The strain of *R. felis* detected in this study was 100 % homologous with the *R. felis* Cal 2 strain, hypothesized to have a co-evolutionary adaptive relationship with *C. felis* felis [38, 39]. In India and neighboring Thailand and Myanmar, *Rickettsia felis*-like species/strains, *R. felis*-like species RF2125 and *R. felis*-like species RF31 were detected in *C. felis orientis*, the most common flea species infesting dogs and cats in India and South East Asia [38, 40, 41]. Therefore, it is surprising that dogs in this Cambodian village harbor *R. felis* Cal 2 strain. Unfortunately, fleas were not sampled for species identification in this study, but future identification of fleas and the *R. felis*-like strains/species they harbor, will assist unravelling this hypothesis.

No significant risk factors were found associated with CVBD infections in this study. Of interest was that in three households, two of the resident dogs were found infected with *R. felis*, suggesting a household-level clustering effect. The finding of *R. felis* in this study suggests that dogs may act as a potential source of human rickettsial infection [42]. Scrub typhus and murine typhus have been reported in patients with unknown febrile illness in Cambodia [43]. However, since antibodies to murine typhus may cross-react with those of *R. felis*, it is plausible that some of these cases may be attributed to flea-borne spotted fever. Following the detection of *R. felis* in dogs in rural Cambodia, local health authorities should be made aware of the clinical consequences of FSF and include the agent as part of their routine diagnostic screening for illnesses ranging from undifferentiated febrile disease to those resembling spotted fevers/typhus.

**Conclusion**

This study reports for the first time the prevalence and diversity of CVBD pathogens in dogs in Cambodia. In total five species of CVBD pathogens were found infecting semi-domesticated dogs and many were co-infected with two or more pathogens. This study supports the role of dogs as natural mammalian reservoirs for *R. felis*, the agent of flea-borne spotted fever in humans.
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