Correlation of Clinical Findings of Infantile Hypertrophic Pyloric Stenosis with Ultrasonography and Operative Findings
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Aim of the Study: To find out the correlation of clinical findings and ultrasonography findings in diagnosis of infantile hypertrophic pyloric stenosis.

Results: During my study period February 1997 to October 1998 total surgical admission was 4006 and infantile hypertrophic pyloric stenosis patients (IHPS) were 31. So IHPS represented .77% of the total surgical admission during the study period in DSH.

Conclusion: In conclusion we would like to advice that infantile hypertrophic pyloric stenosis is a most common cause of non-bilious vomiting in an infant. Its diagnosis not so difficult in most cases. The diagnosis being made primarily by palpation of the hypertrophied pylorus.
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Introduction: Infantile hypertrophic pyloric stenosis (IHPS) is characterized by hypertrophy of the pyloric muscularis propria, predominantly involving the circular layer and subsequent narrowing of the pyloric outlet. More characteristic clinical and postmortem findings of IHPS were reported by Patrick Blair in 1717. Hirsch sprung a Danish Pediatric Surgeon, described clinical findings of the disease and established the pyloric stenosis in 1888 at a major pediatric congress and awareness of the condition was aroused in the medical world. Infantile hypertrophic pyloric stenosis (IHPS) is characterized by hypertrophy of the pyloric muscularis propria, predominantly involving the circular layer and subsequent narrowing of the pyloric outlet [1]. Infantile hypertrophic pyloric stenosis is one of the most common abnormalities occurring in the first few month of life, responsible for non-bilious vomiting [2]. There is marked hypertrophy of the circular muscles in pylorus. The pyloric canal is lengthened and the whole pylorus becomes olive shaped and firm Dudeon, 1993. In early years surgical treatment of pyloric stenosis carried an unacceptably high mortality rate [2, 3]. But with the development of operative technique and early diagnosis [4], barium meal study and more commonly used noninvasive U. S. technique, advances in fluid therapy and anaesthesia [5], improved treatment of metabolic alkalosis, and appropriate treatment before developing serious complication. So today mortality rate has come down to about 0.4% or less [8]. Immediate and permanent relief of symptoms is obtained by a simple operation pyloromyotomy. Incidence of infantile hypertrophic pyloric stenosis is about 3 per thousand live births [9]. It is most common in whites, less common in black; and rare in oriental individuals. Boys are more often affected with an average male female ratio 4:1 [10]. The disorder often occurs in first born male child, and there is a strong familial pattern of inheritance [11]. The expression of pyloric stenosis is dependent upon the genetic influence [12]. Now a days diagnosis of IHPS in not difficult. In most cases it is possible to diagnose by clinical findings alone. The diagnosis of IHPS being made primarily by palpation of the hypertrophied pylorus. Where clinical confirmation is in doubt,
ultrasonography accurately diagnose the case of IHPS. Telle and Smith first described the use of ultrasonography to diagnosis of IHPS in 1977. In difficult clinical situations, sonographic examination for pyloric stenosis is simple repeatable, and avoids the risk of radiation therapy to barium meal examination. Pyloromyotomy can be performed by open laparotomy or by laparoscopy with permanent relief of symptoms.

**OBJECTIVES**

a) General Objective
- To find out the correlation of clinical findings and ultrasonography findings in diagnosis of infantile hypertrophic pyloric stenosis.

b) Specific Objectives
- To gather knowledge regarding incidence, socioeconomic status, clinical presentation and management of infantile hypertrophic pyloric stenosis in Bangladeshi population.

**METHODOLOGY AND MATERIALS**

This prospective comparative study was conducted in in Dhaka Shishu (Children) Hospital, Dhaka, Bangladesh during the period from conducted from February 1997 to October 1998 in a period of 21 months. In each case, information about the patient were obtained in the form of a questionnaire (Appendix-I) after obtaining the consent of the parent or guardian on a consent form (Appendix-II). Detailed history was taken from the patient’s mother or attendant. The questionnaire included age, sex, family history, weight, socio-economic status, address of the patient, antenatal and post-natal period, presenting complaints, previous treatment and detailed physical examination. A detailed history of onset of vomiting at age, whether the vomiting was projectile or nonprojectile, whether it was whitish, bilious or nonbilious, whether it was blood mixed of coffee ground in color. Duration between feeding and onset of vomiting, demanding for food following vomiting, whether there was any visible peristalsis or mass in the upper abdomen. Was there any sign of dehydration and failure to thrive? All these were noted. The associated signs were also recorded. Information were sought regarding diagnosis and treatment. The information obtained through the above process was followed up and supplemented by records of physical findings, laboratory, radiology and imaging study, the treatment administered and the correlation of clinical diagnosis and ultrasonography diagnosis was reviewed finally by operative diagnosis and the outcome of the treatment. The data were collected for all 31 patients. Following, collection of all the required data, these were checked, and tabulated into the computer using the SPSS/PC software 23.

**Inclusion Criteria**

All patients suffering from the symptoms of infantile hypertrophic pyloric stenosis, were admitted in the surgery department of DSH from February 1997 to October 1998 and undergone investigations and operative treatment.

**Exclusion Criteria**

Patients with symptoms of infantile hypertrophied pyloric stenosis but operation were not done. Patients with nonbilious vomiting and over 1 year age.

**RESULTS**

During my study period February 1997 to October 1998 total surgical admission was 4006 and infantile hypertrophic pyloric stenosis patients (IHPS) were 31. So IHPS represented .77% of the total surgical admission during the study period in DSH (Figure-1).The gender distribution of the participant’s male ware 27(87.10%) and female were 4(12.90%). The age of the patients in overall group ranged from 0-5 months. Highest number of patients was within 1-2 months age group was 22(70.97%) and lowest number of patients was above 2-5 months age group was 3(9.68%) and lower number of patients 0-1 month age group was 6(19.35%). In Socio-economic condition the lower income group 9(29.03%), middle income group 19(61.29%) and higher income group 3(9.68%). Inhabitant of the patients Rural area 19(61.29%) and urban area 12(35.71%). Although the number of patients varied from season to season, there was little relationship between the admission in surgical unit and admission of infantile hypertrophic pyloric stenosis patients. A little number of patients was found during and following winter season was 20(64.52%) and others season was 11(35.68%) show in (Table-1). The patient with infantile hypertrophic pyloric stenosis in this series presented with a number of symptoms. Projectile nonbilious vomiting following feeding 30(96.77%) was the commonest mode of presentation. It was found in all most all cases. Second highest presentation was a mass moving across the upper abdomen was 24(77.42%) and demanding food following vomiting 29(93.55%). Failure to thrive 11(35.48%), Constipation 7(22.58%) and Jaundice 1(3.22) show in Table-2. Ultra-sonogram of the abdomen was done in all patients clinically diagnosed or suspected as infantile hypertrophic pyloric stenosis. Hypertrophied pylorus was found in 29 patients (93.55%) and No hypertrophied pylorus 2(6.45%). Clinical findings palpable mass 21(67.74%) and no palpable mass 10(32.26%) Table-3 shows ultra-sonogram findings of IHPS patients. Correlation of clinical and ultra-sonogram findings with operative finding clinical findings true +ve 20(64.52%), true –ve 2(6.45%), false +ve 1(3.23),false –ve 8(25.81) and ultra-sonogram observation true +ve 27(87.10%),true – ve 2(6.45), false +ve 2(6.45), false –ve 2(6.45)and then finally operation needed IHPS ware 29(93.35%) also others than IHPS 2(6.45%) show in
Table-4. Correlation of pattern of sensitivity and specificity in clinical findings 93.1% and 50%, ultrasonogram findings 71.43% and 66.67% show in Table-5.

Fig-1: Hospital incidence of the Participants (N=31)

Table-1: Socio-economic characteristics of children with IHPS (N=31)

| Variables                        | N   | %   |
|----------------------------------|-----|-----|
| Age Distribution(months)         |     |     |
| Age group (Month)                |     |     |
| (0-1)                            | 6   | 19.35|
| (1-2)                            | 22  | 70.97|
| (2-5)                            | 3   | 9.68 |
| Socio-economic condition         |     |     |
| Socio-economic status            |     |     |
| Lower income group               | 9   | 29.03|
| Middle income group              | 19  | 61.29|
| Higher income group              | 3   | 9.68 |
| Inhabitant of the patients       |     |     |
| Rural                            | 19  | 61.29|
| Urban                            | 12  | 38.71|
| Seasonal Variation               |     |     |
| Winter                           | 20  | 64.52|
| Other season                     | 11  | 35.48|
| Gender distribution              |     |     |
| Male                             | 27  | 87.10|
| Female                           | 4   | 12.90|

Table-2: Physical findings of patient with IHPS (N=31)

| Feature                                       | N   | %   |
|-----------------------------------------------|-----|-----|
| Projectile vomiting (Non bilious)            | 30  | 96.77|
| Visible peristalsis in Upper abdomen          | 24  | 77.42|
| Demanding food following vomiting             | 29  | 93.55|
| Failure to thrive                             | 11  | 35.48|
| Constipation                                  | 7   | 22.58|
| Jaundice                                      | 1   | 3.23 |

Table-3: Clinical findings and ultra-sonogram findings of IHPS (n=31)

| Clinical Findings | Ultra sonogram findings |
|-------------------|-------------------------|
| Palpable mass     | No palpable mass        |
| N %               | N %                     |
| 21 67.74          | 10 32.26                |
| Hypertrophied pylorus | No hypertrophied pylorus|
| N %               | N %                     |
| 29 93.55          | 2 6.45                  |
DISCUSSION

Among the 31 patients admitted during the study period, 29 patients finally diagnosis as IHPS, and 2 patients were other than IHPS. Out of 29 patients with IPS, 25 patients (86.2%) were male and 4 patients (13.8%) were female. Male: female ratio was 6.25: 1. It is similar with other study. In several studies of large group of children with pyloric stenosis, boys have been affected 5 times more often than girls Young et al., 1994. Our study has also shown the fact of male predominance Male patients with pyloric stenosis (PS) were statistically significant more likely to be first born, in one observation of pyloric stenosis (PS) first born male infant were 71% [5]. Our study also shown the first born affected male patients with PS 58.06% which correlated with the above study. Age of the patients ranged from 0 month to 5 months in this study. Peak incidence was observed within 1 - 2 months and lowest incidence observed after 2 months of age. Out of 31 patients within 1-2 months were 22 patients (70.97%), within 1 month was 6 patients (19.35%) and 3 (9.68%) patients were between 2-5 month. There were no patients observed beyond 5 month of age. The typical presentation of IHPS usually commence at the age of 3-4 week [2, 5]. In one study all patients were aged under 2 months [5]. In another study hypertrophic pyloric stenosis is exceedingly rare in patients over 6 months of age [14] which correlate with the present study. Family history of infantile hypertrophic pyloric stenosis was not informative in this study. No patient had family history of IHPS. Khan and Islam [5] showed that of the 47 patients with pyloric stenosis there was also no family history. In this prospective study, the total number of patients were 31. The exact etiology was not found in our study. Many authors also noted that the etiology of infantile hypertrophic pyloric stenosis remained obscured despite theories involving genetic, environmental and neurologic factors [6]. In Bangladesh most of the people are illiterate and they are not health conscious. Medical facilities are not well equipped. So most of the patients did not get adequate treatment before arrival to specialised hospital. Before arrival to Dhaka Shishu Hospital 5 (16.13%) patients came to initial contact with specialist doctor, 19 (61 28%) patients with qualified doctor, 1 (3.23%) patient with homeopathic doctor, 1 (3.23%) patient with pally doctor and 5 (16.13%) patients have got admitted directly. Patient in this series presented with non-bilious projectile vomiting (97.77%), visible peristalsis in the upper abdomen passing from left to right 77.42%, hungry following vomiting 93.55%, failure to thrive 35.48%, constipation 22.58% and jaundice was 3.22% cases. This study showed that palpable olive shaped mass found in 64.52% cases, dehydration 96.77%, and anemia was 6.45% cases, the complication depends upon the duration of symptoms. More the duration of symptoms, more and more will be complications. The usual complication were malnutrition, dehydration and metabolic alkalosis due to electrolyte imbalance, Hypotonicity and lack of interest in feeding are of serious important complication, suggesting a marked electrolyte upset. A sub normal temperature is also a serious sign [15] which was not found in the present study. The diagnosis of IHPS was based on history of non-bilious projectile vomiting, a mass moving across the upper abdomen and palpation of pyloric mass in right hypochondriac region. Ultra-sonogram was helpful in diagnosis of IHPS even in atypical clinical presentation. In present study among 31 patients, ultra-sonogram investigation was done for all patents. Out of 31 patients finally hypertrophic pyloric mass was confirmed by ultrasonography and operatively in 27 patients (87.1%). There were 4 diagnostic error 2 patents (6.45%) with false positive and 2 patients (6.45%) with false negative by ultrasonogram study. Our sensitivity was 93.1% and specificity was 50% Godbole [7] shown that of the 75 patients with pyloric stenosis, ultrasound examination was confirmatory in 74 (98.6%) patients. There was one false negative scan in an infant proven to have pyloric stenosis at surgery Ultrasound imaging therefore had a sensitivity of 97% and specificity of 100%. No false positive scan was noted in the study which does not correlate with the present study. Still now ultrasonogram is not widely used in diagnosis of IHPS, because we needs special paediatric probe. The result may vary with others. Because, the diagnosis of IHPS with ultrasonogram is a highly operator dependant. So skillness is an important factor for diagnosis of IHPS by ultrasonogram. Besides this work load. Relaxed condition of infant during ultrasonography and repeat scan often may not possible

| Variables | Clinical findings | True sonogram | Operative |
|-----------|------------------|---------------|-----------|
|           | TRUE | FALSE | FALSE | TRUE | FALSE | FALSE | TRUE | IHPS | Other than IHPS |
| +ve | 20 | 1 | 8 | 2 | 27 | 2 | 2 | 29 | 2 |
| % | 64.52 | 3.23 | 25.81 | 6.45 | 87.10 | 6.45 | 6.45 | 6.45 | 93.55 | 6.45 |

| Variables | Sensitivity (%) | Specificity (%) |
|-----------|----------------|----------------|
| Ultra-sonogram examination | 93.1 | 50 |
| clinical findings | 71.43 | 66.67 |

Table-4: Correlation of clinical and ultra-sonogram findings with operative finding (n=31)

Table-5: Correlation of pattern of sensitivity and specificity in clinical findings and ultra-sonogram findings (n=31)
due to financial problem to diagnose IHPS with ultrasonogram accurately. In the present study all patients examined clinically carefully when the patient was relaxed to identify the pyloric mass. Sometimes it was done on several occasions to evaluate the presence of pyloric mass. Out of 31 patients clinical examination for hypertrophic pyloric mass was positive in 20 (64.52%) patient. There was one false. Positive (3.22%) and 8 cases (25.81%) were false negative in our study. This large number of false negative result may be due to failure to examine the infant in fully relaxed condition. The pylorus mass may also not detected clinically if it is subhepatic in location. It may also the failure of individual to palpate the bump Our clinical examination had a sensitivity of 71.43% and specificity 66.67% Godbole [7] showed that of 75 patients with pyloric stenosis, clinical examination was positive in 60 (80%) patient. There was one false positive examination. Pyloric stenosis could not be detected in 15 patients (20%). The false negative result was 20%. Clinical examination therefore had a sensitivity of 72% specificity of 97% which do not correlate with the present study. Barium meal study of stomach and duodenum was done in 4 (12.9%) patients in our study, 2 patients showed features of gastric outlet obstruction and 2 patients showed normal study and dye easily passed into small intestine. There were absent of associated (GER) gastroesophageal reflux or malrotation in this study. Forman (Forman et al. 1990) showed only 44% of those with an imaging examination was diagnosed as having HPS and in another series it was 53% to 75%, which correlate with the present study. In the present study all patients with IHPS had laparotomy for pyloromyotomy operation. During the process of pyloromyotomy one (3.2%) patient developed duodenal mucosal perforation which was repaired by omental patch and post-operative recovery of this patient was well. Royal [13] reported the rate of mucosal perforation during pyloromyotomy ranging from 0.3% to 11.5% which correlated with the present study. Poon [16] shown that there were 7 (23%) instances of duodenal mucosal perforation out of 303 patients, which is almost similar with the present study. The most common post-operative complications in our study were vomiting in 8 (25.81%) patients, respiratory tract infection in 4 patients (12.9%), one patient had wound infection and one patient - abscess in the limb. Poon [16] showed the rate of wound infection following pyloromyotomy was 3% to 9%. Khan [5] showed the rate of wound infection following pyloromyotomy was 2.1% which correlated with the present study. Mortality after pyloromyotomy is at present below 1% in many countries [5]. In this prospective study there was no mortality. Rasmussen et al. [17] showed that the rate of mortality among surgically treated patients of IHPS was 0.7%. Improvement in pre-operative resuscitation including correction of anaemia, dehydration and electrolyte imbalance and facilities in post-operative management is the reason for reduced mortality, which is now less than 1% [17, 5]. All patients in this series were advised to come for follow up but only 4 (12.9%) patients reported. General condition of all follow up patients were satisfactory. They had good appetite, had satisfactory weight gaining and had no vomiting. There was no recurrence of IHPS or incomplete pyloromyotomy in our series. Parigi [18] reported the recurrence of IHPS in 7 weeks after the first operation. After second pyloromyotomy patient recovered well and there was no complain in follow up Rasmussen [17] showed 2 patients who underwent a second pyloromyotomy operation because of insufficiency of the initial pyloromyotomy. We had no such experience in the present series.

CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

In conclusion we would like to advice that infantile hypertrophic pyloric stenosis is a most common cause of non-bilious vomiting in an infant. Its diagnosis not so difficult in most cases. The diagnosis being made primarily by palpation of the hypertrophied pylorus. We recommend that ultrasound imaging need not to be carried out as a first line investigation for the diagnosis of pyloric stenosis. The use of ultrasound imaging should be reserved for those cases where clinical examination is doubtful or inconclusive. We would therefore expect early and accurate diagnosis and proper management of infant with IHPS.
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