A novel method for ionizing radiation-induced RNA damage detection by poly(A)-tailing RT-PCR
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Ionizing radiation (IR) causes severe cellular damage both directly and indirectly and disrupts RNA integrity. RNA strand breaks are the most frequent type of damage caused by IR. RNA damage is involved in the development of degenerative diseases, including Alzheimer’s disease and Parkinson’s disease. However, the mechanism of mRNA damage and any resulting pathophysiological outcomes are poorly understood. This is partly because there is a lack of sensitive tools to monitor damage randomly occurring in RNA, especially RNA strand break damage in a given RNA. In this work, a method using the reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) after poly(A) addition to 3′-end of RNA to determine RNA strand break damage in a specific RNA by poly(A) polymerase has been developed. The levels of damage in specific mRNAs, including ABL1, TP53, GADD45A and ATR from IR-treated HeLa cells were examined. Strand breaks were detected in all mRNAs examined. The study provides a novel and sensitive method based on 3′-end poly(A)-tailing RT-PCR to monitor RNA strand break damage.
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introduce further oxidation damage \textit{in vitro} and high levels of expertise are required to distinguish them. Moreover, the above methods only detect 8-oxo-G, whose content may vary under different conditions compared with other RNA lesions. Gong et al. [22] developed a method using reverse transcription followed by PCR to detect RNA damage caused by oxidative stress. This method is based on the fact that a heavily oxidized RNA template generates pretermination of the cDNA chain during reverse transcription, and applicable for oxidative damage. With this method, they found that RNA damage occurs randomly in 16S rRNA.

Ionizing radiation (IR) is an important modality used in the treatment of malignancy and is one example of an agent that induces oxidative genotoxic stress. Both DNA and RNA are damaged by IR. Strand breaks, together with base modifications of RNA, may also be produced after IR treatment [23,24]. Better understanding of RNA strand break damage and its possible pathological consequences depends on the availability of efficient methodologies to determine the levels of damage.

The method described in this work aims to detect RNA strand break damage with high sensitivity and specificity. We have developed a new method that involves the addition of polyA tails to RNAs followed by RT-PCR. We have tested this method by determining the level of damage in 4 selected mRNA molecules from a preparation of total RNAs isolated from IR-treated cells. Our method is based on the addition of poly(A) to the terminus of the broken RNA and on the use of oligo(dT)-universal primers to produce cDNAs. Specific mRNA molecules are then further amplified with a general polyA primer together with a specific primer for each mRNA. The amplified DNA products can be detected directly by gel electrophoresis. This method should be useful for the detection of damage in specific RNA molecules with strand breaks.

1 Materials and methods

1.1 Cell culture and treatments

HeLa cells (human cervical carcinoma cells) were maintained in DMEM (GIBCO BRL, USA) containing 10% FBS with 100 U/mL penicillin and 100 mg/mL streptomycin in a humidified atmosphere of 5% CO2 at 37°C. Cells were plated into 100 mm dishes and incubated for 24 h. Cells were then treated while in logarithmic growth with ionizing radiation at different doses and for different lengths of time.

1.2 Irradiation of cultured cells

HeLa cells were irradiated with 60Co at a dose of 208.08 cGy/min. Cells RNA were immediately extracted after IR. Cells were irradiated with total doses, as indicated. The radiation doses were chosen to cover a wide range, from a low dose (1 Gy) to high doses (20 Gy).

1.3 Collection and preparation of RNA

Cells were collected at various times after radiation, as indicated in the figure legends. Cells were rinsed in PBS, directly lysed using Trizol reagent (Sigma, USA) and total RNA was isolated using a standard phenol chloroform extraction method according to the manufacturer’s protocol. RNA was quantified using a GeneQuant spectrophotometer (GeneQuant, USA).

Total RNA samples were subjected to a 6% polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (PAGE) 2 μg per lane, stained with silver staining methods. Briefly, the gels were first washed once for 1 min with deionized water and fixed in 10% ethanol, 0.5% acetic acid for 10 min at room temperature. Then color impregnation lasted for 10 min at room temperature with 0.1% silver nitrate. The gels were then washed twice for 20 s with deionized water; then color development was for 2 to 10 min with a mixture of 0.1 mol/L sodium hydroxide, 0.056% formaldehyde. The color reaction was stopped with 10% ethanol, 0.5% acetic acid.

1.4 cDNA synthesis

Total RNA was first polyadenylated by poly(A) polymerase (Ambion, USA). Polyadenylation reactions (50 μL) were set up with 10 μg total RNA and 1 μL (2 U) poly(A) polymerase according to the manufacturer’s protocol. The reaction was incubated at 37°C for 60 min. After incubation, poly(A)-tailed total RNA was recovered by phenol/chloroform extraction and ethanol precipitation. Reverse transcription was performed using 1 μg poly(A)-tailed total RNA and an excessive molar amount (1.5 μmol/L) of RT primer (5’-ggagcagcagattctgactctatagg(t)18VN-3’). The mixtures of RNA and RT primer were incubated at 65°C for 5 min to denature the RNA and then transferred on ice to anneal the primers to the RNA templates. The following components were then added: 5×cDNA synthesis buffer, 40 U of RNase Out, and 15 U of ImprroI Reverse Transcriptase (Promega). The total volume of the reverse transcription reactions was 20 μL. The mixtures were incubated at 42°C for 60 min and then at 70°C for 15 min to terminate the reactions. PCR was performed following the instructions of the 2×Taq PCR StarMix (GenStar, Beijing). One PCR primer was mRNA specific and the other was a universal primer which was complementary to the RT primer (Figure 1).

1.5 PCR and gel assay

PCR was performed with the indicated primers (for PCR primer pairs and products, see Table 1). PCR reactions (20 μL) contained 2 μL cDNA, 0.5 μmol/L of each primer, 1×Taq polymerase premixture buffer (Genstar). Reaction conditions were: 1 cycle at 94°C for 3 min; 35 cycles at 94°C for 30 s, 68°C for 30 s, and 72°C for 2 min; and 1
Figure 1 Poly(A)-tailling RT-PCR analysis of RNA damage.

Table 1 Specific primers for selected mRNAs

| Gene function       | Gene name | Primer sequence (5′→3′) |
|---------------------|-----------|-------------------------|
| Cell cycle arrest   | gadd45a   | gggaggcagcggcccaatta    |
| Cell cycle checkpoint| tp53     | cagtcagatcctagcgtcgag    |
| Cell cycle checkpoint| atr      | gaggagttttggcctccac     |
| Apoptosis           | abl1      | atgttgagatctgcctgaagctg  |
| Universal primer    |           | attctagaggccgcaggggggcacatg |

cycle at 72°C for 10 min. PCR products and DNA markers were resolved on a 2% agarose gels, which were then stained with ethidium bromide.

1.6 The design process

The method of 3′ poly(A) tailing followed by RT-PCR has been previously used to map and identify the 3′-ends of a relatively homogeneous pool of RNAs. Eberding et al. [25] used this method to identify mRNA degradation intermediates in vivo. Our laboratory has also used this method to monitor miRNA expression [26]. In this paper, we applied this method to detect mRNA strand breaks. Based on the observation that IR-induced RNA damage causes stalling or arrest of reverse transcription, we aimed to examine the amounts of cDNA products that are extended to various lengths using untreated or IR-treated RNA as templates. If damage occurs randomly in treated RNA, the RNA will be broken into fragments shorter than the intact RNA. Briefly, this method involves the addition of A residues to the 3′-ends of all RNA molecules followed by reverse transcription with an oligo(dT) primer to generate a population of cDNAs corresponding to all of the poly(A)-tailed RNA fragments in the population. The cDNA is then PCR amplified using a universal RT primer and specific primer to the mRNA of interest. The resulting products are then separated on an agarose gel. The protocol is summarized in Figure 1.

To test the efficacy of this method, the cDNA products of IR-treated HeLa cells were prepared and examined using PCR.

2 Results

2.1 RNA damage induced by ionizing radiation

RNA damage was induced in cultured cells by IR and RNA integrity was then examined. HeLa cells were treated with ionizing radiation and total RNA samples were subjected to polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (PAGE). As shown in Figure 2, we found that shortened RNAs were produced after IR treatment, observed as a smear of RNA in each lane, and that the degree of shortening (increased smearing) was enhanced as the dose of ionizing radiation increased. This

Figure 2 RNA damage was induced by in vitro ionizing radiation and total RNA was analyzed by PAGE. HeLa cells were untreated or treated with ionizing radiation of the indicated doses. Total RNA was prepared and separated on a 6% PAGE gel. The gel was stained with silver. A 25 bp RNA ladder (Promega) was used as a size marker. M, Marker.
indicated that strand breaks occurred in a proportion of RNAs during IR treatment and that the RNA damage became more severe as the dose of ionizing radiation increased.

2.2 Poly(A)-tailling RT-PCR detection of ionizing radiation-induced RNA damage

Total RNA from HeLa cells treated with ionizing radiation of different doses was polyadenylated by poly(A) polymerase, and cDNA was synthesized from each RNA sample using an oligo(dT)-universal primer by reverse transcription. The mRNAs of irradiation response-related genes, including ATR, ABL1, GADD45A and p53 were analyzed.

The amplified DNA ladders of GADD45A, TP53, ATR and ABL1 showed that the extent of damage to these mRNAs was different. In Figure 3(a)–(d), the PCR amplification of bands showed that the different dose of IR (1, 5, 10 and 15 Gy) can induce RNA strand break of ATR, ABL1, TP53, GADD45A, respectively. In Figure 3(e) and (f), the results showed that the RNA damage of ABL1 and TP53 can be kept at least 24 h or more. These ladder profiles were different in the different genes and reflected the different damage that is characteristic of these genes.

3 Discussion

There are only 3 or 4 methods to detect RNA damage, as described in the introduction; however, these approaches measure only one oxidized lesion in RNA and they do not detect RNA strand breaks.

Here, we have used the 3′-end poly(A)-tailling polymerase chain reaction method to detect RNA strand break damage. We selected several genes which regulation IR reaction to detected mRNA strand break damage. GADD45A, was first cloned as a member of the GADD (growth arrest and DNA damage-inducible) genes. The GADD45A gene has been implicated in stress signaling in response to physiological or environmental stressors that result in either cell cycle arrest or DNA repair [27]. TP53 is a well known tumor suppressor. Somatic mutations in the TP53 gene are one of the most frequent alterations in human cancers TP53 mutations are also potential prognostic and predictive markers [28]. The non-receptor tyrosine kinase, Ab1, localizes to the nucleus and cytoplasm and is activated by cellular stress. Ab1 plays a prominent role in tumorigenesis. BCR/ABL is present in most chronic myelogenous leukemia (CML) patients and in acute lymphocytic leukemia.

![Figure 3](image-url)
(ALL) patients. TEL/ABL results from a t (9;12) translocation reported in ALL, acute myelogenous leukemia (AML) and atypical CML [29–32]. This 3’-end poly(A)-tailing PCR technique has been used in 5’RACE, the detection of mRNA degradation intermediates and mRNA expression detection. Eberding et al. [25] detected mRNA degradation intermediates in tissues using the 3’-end poly(A)-tailing polymerase chain reaction method. We previously used the 3’-end poly(A)-tailing polymerase chain reaction method to monitor the expression of microRNAs [26]. In this study, using poly(A)-tailing PCR, we have revealed significant laddering of cDNAs derived from certain RNAs after IR-treatment of cultured cells. This suggested that damage occurs with different susceptibilities on different mRNAs and causes cDNA pretermination. This method has proven to be useful in determining RNA damage caused by ionizing radiation. In addition, the method can also be used to determine the location of break sites in mRNAs by sequencing the PCR products. Moreover, poly(A)-tailing PCR, enables the determination of damage levels of a specific mRNA. The RNA damage we detected is most likely to reflect strand breaks caused directly by ionizing radiation. In contrast to known approaches, such as capillary electrophoresis or specific sequence RT-PCR which only detects oxidative damage, our method can successfully detect RNA strand break damage. This is important because strand break damage is more deleterious than base modification.

Different types of DNA damage are induced by IR treatments, such as DNA double strand breaks, single strand breaks and oxidation damage. However, there are few reports concerning types of DNA damage and their consequences. As we described above, oxidative stress can cause hydrogen modification to DNA or RNA and affect translation and protein synthesis. In this work, we analyzed PCR products from IR-treated HeLa cells; however, our method is applicable to the detection of RNA strand break damage in other systems. This method may also be useful for determining any other changes in RNA that produce RNA tailing, such as the production of mRNA splicing isoforms, RNA strand breakage caused by chemical reagents or RNA degradation.
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