Nanofluids with Enhanced CHF Prepared from Self-Combustion Synthesized Al₂O₃ Nanoparticles with PEG 1000 as Fuel
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Abstract. To develop a new coolant for nuclear and non-nuclear applications, nanoparticles of Al₂O₃ for nanofluids have been successfully synthesized using a self-combustion method from Al(OH)₃ precursor extracted from local bauxite. A sol was prepared by dissolving the Al(OH)₃ powder in water and adding an amount of PEG 1000 into the solution. The solution was stirred to form a sol and then was heated at 150 °C until forming a gel. The gel was then self-combusted at 450 °C and heated at 600 °C. The combusted and heated material was calcined at 1200 °C for 1 hour to get Al₂O₃ nanoparticles. The preparation of nanofluids was done by dispersing the Al₂O₃ nanoparticles into an amount of water as the base fluid. The Al₂O₃ nanoparticles were crystallized in a theta phase with a crystallite size of 15.7 nm as confirmed by the XRD analyses. According to TEM data, it was known that the particle size of the nanoparticles was 35 nm. The nanofluid with the concentration of 0.025 vol % and pH of 10 possessed zeta potential of -42 mV indicating a stable suspension. This nanofluid had a CHF enhancement of 73% compared to water as the base fluid.
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1. Introduction

Various equipments that work with heat transfer systems such as nuclear reactors, automotive, air conditioners, have been familiar in everyday human life. The mentioned equipments require a cooling fluid which is then referred to as conventional fluids such as water, ethylene glycol, and oil. In heat transfer, the cooling fluids possess an important role. Currently, there is a tendency to increase the level of safety of the heat transfer system as well as its economic level. Various ways can be done to realize it but conventional ways such as increasing operating temperature and increasing surface area are considered uneconomical. The proper way is to replace the conventional fluids [1]. Some applications such as Reactor Vessel Cooling System (RVCS) and Emergency Core Cooling System (ECCS) in Nuclear Reactor [2], metal machining [3], and quenching [4,5] require fluids with large Critical Heat Flux (CHF). Other systems that require large CHF are air conditioning, refrigeration, thermal power generation, chemical engineering, aircraft and spacecraft thermal management, and high-power electronics component [1].
In 1995 for the first time, Choi introduced a new fluid known as nanofluid [6]. This fluid is developed based on nanotechnology. Nanofluid is a stable suspension formed by dispersion of nanoparticles with a size of 1-100 nm into the fluid. The important parts of the nanofluids are nanoparticles and base fluids. Various types of nanoparticles can be used for nanofluids including Al$_2$O$_3$ [7-10], ZrO$_2$[11], ZnO [12], SiO$_2$ [13], Fe$_2$O$_3$ [14-15], and Fe$_3$O$_4$ [16]. Meanwhile, the basic fluids can be water, ethylene glycol, oil, and glycerol. Among the various nanoparticles mentioned, Al$_2$O$_3$ is very important and attractive because it has relatively large thermal conductivity and small thermal neutron absorption coefficient (for nuclear application) [17].

Currently, a lot of research related to Al$_2$O$_3$ nanofluid has been done all over the world. The nanoparticles used were synthesized in various ways but were generally only intended to obtain very small particles (nanoparticles) and were not directly related to the nanofluid characteristics to be formed. A study has been done by the authors to prepare nanofluids of Al$_2$O$_3$ by adjusting the characteristics of Al$_2$O$_3$ nanoparticles with the sol-gel process [18] but the resulted nanofluid was still less stable. Good stability is required by a nanofluid to have large CHF. To solve this problem, in this research the preparation of Al$_2$O$_3$ nanofluids from Al$_2$O$_3$ nanoparticles of which surface characteristics were engineered by the synthesis of a self-combustion method was done by using Polyethylene glycol (PEG) 1000 as capping agent and fuel. The PEG 1000 could be a good source of minus charges for the Al$_2$O$_3$ nanoparticles. The nanoparticles with the large surface charge will result in a stable nanofluid.

2. Methods

2.1. Material synthesis and characterization

The precursor of Al(OH)$_3$ was extracted from local bauxite using a method described in [6]. The powder of Al(OH)$_3$ was dissolved in the water inside a beaker glass. Then, a quantity of PEG 1000 was poured into the beaker glass containing Al(OH)$_3$ and stirred until it was completely soluble and forming a sol. The ratio of Al(OH)$_3$ and PEG 1000 was 1:0.25. The sol was heated at 150 °C to form a gel. Next, the gel was self-combusted at 450 °C and heated at 600 °C for 10 minutes. The visual appearance of the self-combustion process is shown in Figure 1. To obtain Al$_2$O$_3$ nanoparticles, the self-combusted material was calcined at a temperature of 1200 °C for 1 hour. The visual appearance of the Al$_2$O$_3$ nanoparticles as calcination product is depicted in Figure 2. The Al$_2$O$_3$ nanoparticles were analyzed by X-ray Diffraction (XRD) to determine the crystal structure and to estimate the crystallite size. The XRD pattern was recorded with 20 in the range of 10°-80° with Cu-Kα: $\lambda = 1.5609$ Å. The surface characteristic of the Al$_2$O$_3$ nanoparticles was analyzed using a Fourier Transform Infrared spectrometer (FTIR), and the particle size was measured with the aid of a Transmission Electron Microscope (TEM).

Figure 1. The visual of the self-combustion process in this work
2.2. Nanofluids preparation and characterization

An amount of 0.05 g of the Al₂O₃ nanoparticles was dispersed into 100 ml distilled water as the base fluid. To obtain well-dispersed nanofluids, the mixtures were ultrasonicated for 2 hours. The pH of the nanofluids was measured using a Mettler Toledo pH meter. Subsequently, the other nanofluids with different nanoparticles weight namely 0.1 g and 0.4 g were prepared with the same step. The pH was adjusted using NH₄OH to 10. Converting to vol % concentration was done by using Equation (1) [19].

\[ \phi = \frac{m_p / \rho_p}{m_p / \rho_p + V_{BF}} \times 100\% \]  

(1)

where, \( \phi \) is the Al₂O₃ concentration in vol %, \( m_p \) is the mass of Al₂O₃ nanoparticles, \( \rho_p \) is the density of Al₂O₃, and \( V_{BF} \) is the volume of the base fluid.

Zeta potential of the nanofluids was measured using a Zetasizer from Malvern. A digital camera was used to take pictures of the nanofluids. Critical Heat Flux (CHF) was measured using a method described in [7] utilizing Cu wire with a diameter of 0.1 mm. CHF enhancement was calculated using Equation (2) [7-8].

\[ CHF_{enhancement} = \frac{CHF_{NF} - CHF_{BF}}{CHF_{BF}} \times 100\% \]  

(2)

where \( CHF_{NF} \) is Critical Heat Flux of the nanofluids and \( CHF_{BF} \) is the base fluid (in this case water).

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. XRD analyses of Al₂O₃ nanoparticles

A modified Bayer process was successfully used for extraction of Al(OH)₃ precursor from local bauxite. The XRD pattern of Al(OH)₃ is depicted in Figure 3. This Al(OH)₃ powder was then employed as a precursor in the synthesis of Al₂O₃ nanoparticles using a self-combustion method. The formation of Al(OH)₃ indicated that the drying process of the precipitate resulted from precipitation step during the Bayer process was done at a low enough temperature. Usually, the precursor will be in the form of ALOOH (Boehmite) when dried at a higher drying temperature. However, both Al(OH)₃ (Gibbsite) and ALOOH (Boehmite) can be used as the precursor in a self-combustion process.
Figure 3. XRD pattern of Al(OH)$_3$ derived from local bauxite

Figure 4. XRD pattern of Al$_2$O$_3$ nanoparticles synthesized using the self-combustion with PEG 1000 as fuel calcined at 1200 °C for 1 hour. Crystallizes in the theta phase

Figure 4 shows the XRD pattern of the Al$_2$O$_3$ nanoparticles synthesized using a self-combustion method utilizing PEG 1000 as fuel. The pattern was analyzed by comparing it to the XRD patterns from JCPDS. According to the analysis, it was known that the nanoparticles crystallized in the theta phase of Al$_2$O$_3$ was in accordance with the JCPDS standard No. 23-1009. Based on the XRD pattern of Figure 4, a calculation was performed employing the Debye Scherrer method [7, 20] represented in Equation (3). The calculated average crystallite size was 15.7 nm.

$$D = \frac{0.9 \lambda}{\beta \cos \theta}$$  

where $D$ represents the crystallite size of the nanoparticles, $\beta$ represents the full width at half maximum (FWHM) in rad, $\lambda$ of 0.15406 nm is the wavelength of X-rays, and $\theta$ is the Bragg’s angle (an angle of incidence).

With the same calcination temperature, the Al$_2$O$_3$ nanoparticles synthesized in this work crystalized only in the theta phase while in our previous study [6] the Al$_2$O$_3$ nanoparticles contained two phases, namely theta and alpha phases. This is due to the different method used. Therefore, the Al$_2$O$_3$ nanoparticles in this work were purer compared to that in our previous study. However, compared to the crystallite size of the nanoparticle in our previous study, the crystallite size of the nanoparticle in this study was slightly larger but still comparable.

3.2. TEM analyses

The particle size was confirmed by a transmission electron microscopic (TEM) analysis. As shown in Figure 5, the average particle size of the as-prepared spherical shape Al$_2$O$_3$ nanoparticles was 35 nm. The particles size was relatively small at a high calcination temperature (1200 °C) compared to that derived by Farahmandjou and Golabiyan with the calcination temperature of 600-1000 °C [15]. Our nanoparticles were more spherical than theirs. They utilized Aluminum nitrate as the precursor and glycine as fuel. The formation of the smaller spherical nanoparticles in this work indicated the role of PEG 1000 as a capping agent other than as a fuel. Hence, PEG 1000 is more effective with dual functions than glycine.
3.3. FTIR analyses of Al₂O₃ nanoparticles

Figure 6 depicts the FTIR spectra of Al₂O₃ nanoparticles synthesized in this work. In the area of wavenumber of 1500-4000 cm⁻¹, usually the presence of functional groups is identified. The wavenumber from 600-1500 cm⁻¹ is fingerprint region. The band of the bending vibration of O–H was found at around 1620 cm⁻¹, and the band around 3400 cm⁻¹ corresponded to the stretching vibrations of surface adsorbed water and vibration bands of bonded hydroxyl groups [16]. The data of Figure 6 indicated the presence of the number of hydroxyl groups on the surface of γ-alumina nanoparticles. According to Figure 6, the characteristic vibration of Al₂O₃ was confirmed by the presence of the stronger broadening bands from 400 to 1000 cm⁻¹ for –Al–OH and –O–Al–O–Al–. This characteristic was also found in a work of Khazaei et al. [21] and in our previous study [7].

3.4. Characterization of Al₂O₃ nanofluids

Figure 7 displays a visual appearance of the nanofluids as the function of Al₂O₃ concentrations. The first bottle contained nanofluid with the Al₂O₃ concentration of 0.0125 % vol while the second and third bottle contained nanofluid with the Al₂O₃ concentration of 0.025 % vol and 0.1 % vol, respectively. The degree of white color changed with the concentration of the nanoparticles. The higher the concentration of the nanoparticles, the more white the color. Figure 8 depicts the nanofluids zeta potential at different concentrations of Al₂O₃ nanoparticles. A suspension can be stable since possessing zeta potential larger than 30 mV or smaller than -30mV. As shown in Figure 8, the zeta potential of the nanofluids in this work met that criterion indicating that the nanofluids were stable. The minus zeta potential suggested that the nanofluids were basic. The charges originated from OH⁻ were distributed on the surface of the nanoparticles in the nanofluids. The zeta potential increased with the minus charges on the surface of the particles. The larger zeta potential reflected the repulsion force between nanoparticles in nanofluid
would be larger as well. As the consequence, the larger the zeta potential, the nanofluids would be more stable. The population of OH⁻ on the surface of the nanoparticles is influenced by the synthesis process of the Al₂O₃ nanoparticles [7]. In this work, the OH⁻ formation was caused by the PEG 1000 used as the capping agent and fuel during synthesis of the Al₂O₃ nanoparticles.

**Figure 7.** The visual appearance of the nanofluids with the different concentrations of the Al₂O₃ nanoparticles after preparation. From left, the first to third bottles are nanofluid with the Al₂O₃ concentration of 0.0125 % vol, 0.025 % vol, and 0.1 % vol, respectively.

**Figure 8.** Zeta potential of Al₂O₃ nanofluids at different Al₂O₃ concentrations.

**Figure 9.** CHF enhancement of Al₂O₃ nanofluids at different Al₂O₃ concentrations.

Figure 7 displays the CHF enhancement of the nanofluids as the function of Al₂O₃ nanoparticles concentration. The Al₂O₃ nanoparticles were coated on the surface of Cu wire. The nanoparticles coating increased the wettability of the Cu surface causing the heat transfer from the wire to the nanofluid larger. The increase in wettability delayed the departure of water from the wire surface. This condition makes the CHF increased. The detailed CHF enhancement of Al₂O₃ nanofluids at different
According to Shokoohi and Shekarian, nanofluids can reduce the CHF enhancement of the nanofluids in this work was slightly smaller. This was due to the smaller zeta potential and larger particle size. Compared to our previous study, the particles size of the nanoparticles in this work was larger. However, compared to some reported studies [18], the CHF enhancement of the nanofluids in this study was comparable and large enough.

A literature shows the benefit of the application of nanofluids in metal machining [3] covering drilling, turning, milling, and drilling. According to Shokoohi and Shekarian, nanofluids can reduce cutting force, machining temperature, tool wear, and surface roughness in metal machining process [3]. The nanofluids in this work are potential to be applied in the metal machining process. Bang and Kim reported [2] that their nanofluid with the cooling rate (230 °C/s) that was faster than pure water (218 °C/s) may be applied for RVCS and ECCS application. By the enhanced CHF, the nanofluids prepared in this work are also possible to be applied in RVCS and ECCS.

4. Conclusion

Nanoparticles of theta Al₂O₃ with the particle size of 35 nm have been well synthesized using a self-combustion method utilizing PEG 1000 as a fuel. PEG 1000 showed a good performance as a capping agent and fuel in the self-combustion process. Nanofluids were prepared by utilizing these Al₂O₃ nanoparticles with pH 10 that possessed zeta potential of -42 to -44 mV indicating stable suspensions. The nanofluids had CHF enhancement of 58-78%. With this characteristic, the nanofluids are potential for cooling fluids of metal machining, quenching, RVCS, and ECCS.
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