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Abstract

Background: In order to examine the optimal weight gain during pregnancy in Japanese women, we analyzed the perinatal outcomes in Japanese women with the optimal range of weight gain during pregnancy according to the Japanese (the Japanese Ministry of Health, Labour and Welfare: JMHLW and the Japan Society for the Study of Obesity: JASSO) guidelines compared with those according to the USA (the Institute of Medicine: IOM) guideline.

Methods: We compared the obstetric outcomes in two groups of gestational weight gain within the optimal range based on the IOM and Japanese guidelines in women of pre-pregnancy body mass index (BMI) categories of underweight, normal, overweight and obese.

Results: In the underweight and normal-weight women, the incidences of preterm delivery and low-birth-weight infant in the JMHLW group were significantly higher than those in the IOM group; however, the incidence of some other perinatal complications in the JMHLW group was significantly lower than that in the IOM group. In the overweight women, the incidences of preterm delivery and low-birth-weight infant in the JSSO group were significantly higher than those in the IOM group; however, there were no significant differences in the obstetric outcomes between the obese women in the JSSO and IOM groups.

Conclusion: Based on the current results, we should be more tolerant for the weight gain during pregnancy in Japanese woman than ever, especially in overweight women.
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Introduction

In the Institute of Medicine (IOM) guideline in 2009, optimal range of weight gain during pregnancy has been recommended based on the body mass index (BMI; kg/m²) of women during pre-pregnancy such as underweight (BMI < 18.5), normal (BMI: 18.5 - 24.9), overweight (BMI: 25.0 - 29.9), and obese (BMI ≥ 30) [1]. In a recent study in Japan by Enomoto et al [2], the BMI classification by the IOM guideline seemed to be valid in pregnant Japanese women associated with the different incidences of adverse pregnancy outcomes. In their observation, optimal weight gain recommended by the IOM guideline also seemed to be associated with a better outcome in all pre-pregnancy BMI category groups. For example, excess gestational weight gain was associated with a higher frequency of large for gestational age and macrosomia, while poor weight gain was correlated with a higher frequency of small for gestational age [2].

To date, the recommended weight gain during pregnancy for Japanese women has been smaller than that in the IOM guideline in 2009 [3-5], although the BMI classification during pre-pregnancy seemed to be the same between the IOM and Japanese guidelines [1, 3-5]. In the IOM guideline, optimal ranges of weight gain during pregnancy in underweight, normal, overweight and obese women have been reported to be 12.7 - 18.1, 11.3 - 15.9, 6.8 - 11.3 and 5.0 - 9.1 kg, respectively [1]. However, in the Japanese Ministry of Health, Labour and Welfare (JMHLW) guideline, optimal ranges of weight gain during pregnancy in underweight and normal women have been recommended to be 9 - 12 and 7 - 12 kg, respectively [4]. In addition, in the Japan Society for the Study of Obesity (JASSO) guideline, optimal ranges of weight gain during pregnancy in overweight and obese women have been recommended to be ≤ 7 and ≤ 5 kg, respectively [5]. The average physique of Japanese women is certainly short and slender in comparison to that of the Western woman; however, the studies about the recommended value of weight gain during pregnancy in compliance with the Japanese women have not been well documented [1, 6]. Therefore, in the current study, we examined the perinatal outcomes in Japanese women with the optimal range of weight gain during pregnancy in the JMHLW and JASSO guidelines compared with those in the IOM guideline.

Methods

The protocol for this study was approved by the Ethics Committee of the Japanese Red Cross Katsushika Maternity Hospital. Informed consent concerning analysis from a retrospective database was obtained from all subjects.
We reviewed the obstetric records of singleton pregnant Japanese women who delivered at our institute at ≥ 22 weeks’ gestation from April 2012 through March 2016.

In order to examine the optimal weight gain during pregnancy in Japanese women, we compared the obstetric outcomes in two groups of gestational weight gain within the optimal range based on the IOM and JMH LW guidelines in women of pre-pregnancy BMI categories of underweight and normal weight, and in two groups of gestational weight gain within the optimal range based on the IOM and JASSO guidelines in women of pre-pregnancy BMI categories of overweight and obese.

As characteristics of patients, we examined maternal age, primiparous rate, height, body weight and BMI during pregnancy, body weight at delivery and total weight gain during pregnancy. The main obstetric outcomes were hypertensive disorders, gestational diabetes mellitus (GDM), macrosomia, low birth weight, preterm delivery, cesarean delivery and postpartum hemorrhage ≥ 1,000 mL. GDM was diagnosed when at least one of the following was found: fasting blood glucose level of ≥ 92 mg/dL, blood glucose level at 1 h of ≥ 180 mg/dL, and blood glucose level at 2 h ≥ 153 mg/dL by 75-g oral glucose tolerance test. Macrosomia was defined as a neonatal birth weight of ≥ 4,000 g, while low birth weight was defined as a neonatal birth weight of < 2,500 g. Gestational age was calculated based on the ultrasonographic findings at 9 - 11 weeks’ gestation.

Data were expressed as mean ± standard deviation (SD) or number (percentages). Cases and controls were compared by means of Student’s t-test for continuous variables, and the χ² or Fisher’s exact test for categorical variables. Odds ratios (ORs) and 95% confidence intervals (CIs) were also calculated. Differences with P < 0.05 were considered significant.

**Results**

There were 5,351 women who delivered singleton neonates at ≥ 22 weeks’ gestation at our institute during the study period. Of these, 515 (9.6%), 4,158 (77.7%), 555 (10.4%) and 123 (2.3%) women were defined as underweight, normal weight, overweight and obese based on the BMI during pregnancy, respectively. Of the 515 underweight women, the weight gain during pregnancy was 9 - 12 kg (recommended weight gain in the JMH LW guideline) in 204 women (39.6%), while it was 12.7 - 18.1 kg (recommended weight gain in the IOM guideline) in 127 women (24.7%). Of the 4,158 normal-weight women, the weight gain during pregnancy was 7 - 12 kg (recommended weight gain in the JMH LW guideline) in 2,136 women (51.4%), while it was 11.3 - 15.9 kg (recommended weight gain in the IOM guideline) in 1,348 women (32.4%). Of the 565 overweight women, the weight gain during pregnancy was < 7 kg (recommended weight gain in the JSSO guideline) in 141 women (25.4%), while it was 6.8 - 11.3 kg (recommended weight gain in the IOM guideline) in 161 women (29.0%). Of the 123 obese women, the weight gain during pregnancy was < 5 kg (recommended weight gain in the JSSO guideline) in 61 women (49.6%), while it was 5.0 - 9.1 kg (recommended weight gain in the IOM guideline) in 41 women (33.3%).

Table 1 shows the characteristics of the underweight women with the optimal weight gain recommended in the JMH LW and IOM guidelines (JMH LW and IOM groups). The ages of the women in the JMH LW group were lower than those in the IOM group. Table 2 shows the perinatal outcomes of the underweight women with the optimal weight gain recommended in the JMH LW and IOM guidelines (JMH LW and IOM groups). The incidence of GDM in the JMH LW group was significantly lower than that in the IOM group, while the incidences of preterm delivery and low-birth-weight infant in the JMH LW group were significantly higher than those in the IOM group.

Table 3 shows the characteristics of the normal-weight women with the optimal weight gain recommended in the JMH LW and IOM guidelines (JMH LW and IOM groups). The rate of women of ≥ 40 years old in the JMH LW group was lower than that in the IOM group. Table 4 shows the perinatal outcomes of the normal-weight women with the optimal weight gain recommended in the JMH LW and IOM guidelines (JMH LW and IOM groups). The incidence of GDM in the JMH LW group was significantly lower than that in the IOM group, while the incidences of preterm delivery and low-birth-weight infant in the JMH LW group were significantly higher than those in the IOM group.
weight gain recommended in the JMHLW and IOM guidelines (JMHLW and IOM groups). The incidences of hypertensive disorders, macrosomia and postpartum hemorrhage ≥ 1,000 mL in the JMHLW group were significantly lower than those in the IOM group, while the incidences of preterm delivery and low-birth-weight infant in the JMHLW group were significantly higher than those in the IOM group.

Table 5 shows the characteristics of the overweight women with the optimal weight gain recommended in the JSSO and IOM guidelines. There were no significant differences in these valuables between the two groups. Table 6 shows the perinatal outcomes of the overweight women with the optimal weight gain recommended in the JSSO and IOM guidelines. There were no significant differences in these valuables between the two groups.

Table 7 shows the characteristics of the obese women with the optimal weight gain recommended in the JSSO and IOM guidelines. There were no significant differences in these valuables between the two groups. Table 8 shows the perinatal outcomes of the obese women with the optimal weight gain recommended in the JSSO and IOM guidelines. There were no significant differences in these valuables between the two groups.

**Discussion**

Recently, there have been many underweight women who abhor a large weight gain during pregnancy in Japan associated with their slimming desire [4, 6]. In particular, young Japanese women’s strong desire to be thin has been pointed out as the underlying cause. In conjunction with these trends, the average birth weight in Japanese neonates has been becom-

| Table 2. Perinatal Outcomes of the Underweight Women With the Optimal Weight Gain Recommended in the JMHLW and IOM Guidelines |
|---------------------------------------------------------------|
| Characteristics                                      | Weight gain during pregnancy | P-value* | Odds ratio | 95% confidence interval |
|---------------------------------------------------------------|
|                                                                 | 9 - 12 kg (JMHLW guideline) | 12.7 - 18.1 kg (IOM guideline) |
| Number                                                        | 204                          | 127                  |
| Hypertensive disorders                                       | 6 (2.9%)                     | 5 (3.9%)             | 0.75         | 0.74  | 0.23 - 2.33 |
| Gestational diabetes mellitus                                | 0 (0%)                       | 8 (6.3%)             | < 0.01      | 0    | 0 - 0.28  |
| Preterm delivery                                             | 20 (9.8%)                    | 2 (1.6%)             | < 0.01      | 6.79  | 1.73 - 26.57 |
| Cesarean delivery                                            | 43 (21.1%)                   | 25 (9.7%)            | 0.78        | 1.09  | 0.63 - 1.89 |
| Neonatal birth weight                                        |                             |                      |
| < 2,500 g                                                    | 33 (16.2%)                   | 9 (7.1%)             | 0.02        | 2.53  | 1.18 - 5.40 |
| ≥ 4,000 g                                                   | 0 (0%)                       | 1 (0.8%)             | 0.38        | 0    | 0 - 2.39  |
| Postpartum hemorrhage ≥ 1,000 mL                             | 15 (7.4%)                    | 13 (10.2%)           | 0.42        | 0.70  | 0.32 - 1.49 |

Data are presented as number (percentages). *P < 0.05 vs. IOM group. JMHLW: Japanese Ministry of Health, Labour and Welfare; IOM: Institute of Medicine.

| Table 3. Clinical Characteristics of the Normal-Weight Women With the Optimal Weight Gain Recommended in the JMHLW and IOM Guidelines |
|---------------------------------------------------------------|
| Characteristics                                      | Weight gain during pregnancy |                          |
|                                                                 | 7 - 12 kg (JMHLW guideline) | 11.3 - 15.9 kg (IOM guideline) |
| Number                                                        | 2,136                        | 1,348                  |
| Maternal age                                                  |                             |                      |
| < 20 years old                                                | 34 (1.6%)                    | 29 (2.2%)             |
| ≥ 40 years old                                                | 269 (12.6%)*                 | 112 (8.3%)            |
| Primiparous women                                             | 1,064 (49.8%)                | 695 (51.6%)           |
| Maternal height (cm)                                          | 158 ± 5.4                    | 159 ± 5.3             |
| Maternal weight at pre-pregnancy (kg)                         | 51.7 ± 5.3                   | 52.5 ± 5.4            |
| Body mass index at pre-pregnancy                              | 20.6 ± 1.7                   | 20.7 ± 1.7            |
| Maternal weight at delivery (kg)                              | 61.3 ± 5.5*                  | 65.6 ± 5.6            |

Data are presented as mean ± standard deviation or number (percentages). *P < 0.05 vs. IOM group. JMHLW: Japanese Ministry of Health, Labour and Welfare; IOM: Institute of Medicine.
ing lighter every year. Twenty to thirty years ago, the average birth weight in Japan was about 3,300 g; however, it has now decreased to be about 3,000 g and the proportion of low-birth-weight infants in Japan has been increased to about 10% [4, 6]. Recently, in addition, some birth cohort studies in Japan have also been believed to be suitable for epidemiological studies to demonstrate the “Developmental Origins of Health and Disease (DOHaD)” indicating the developmental plasticity and the mismatch concept [6-8]. Based on these backgrounds, the importance of nutrition and weight gain during pre-pregnancy and pregnancy has now been widely recognized in Japan [6]. Therefore, examinations concerning the optimal weight gain during pregnancy in Japanese women are very important.

In the current study, we examined which guidelines indicate the optimal range of weight gain during pregnancy in pregnant Japanese women based on their BMI during pre-pregnancy. Although some bias seemed to be presented in the current study, we could not find whether or not the JMHLW guideline is more appropriate for the underweight and normal-weight pregnant Japanese women compared with the IOM guideline. Otherwise, the median range of the two recommended weight gains by the JMHLW and IOM guidelines may be suitable for the underweight and normal-weight Japanese women during pregnancy. In this study, because we could not assess weekly weight gain, it is unknown whether or not the less weight gain in the JMHLW group is the cause of the increased incidence of premature delivery and/or low-birth-weight infants. In the JMHLW group, the premature delivery associated with the increased low-birth-weight infants might increase before maternal weight gaining by other reasons. We understand that this may be one of some serious limitations in this study. In order to assess the optimal range of weight gain for underweight and/or

Table 4. Perinatal Outcomes of the Normal-Weight Women With the Optimal Weight Gain Recommended in the JMHLW and IOM Guideline

| Characteristics          | Weight gain during pregnancy | P-value* | Odds ratio | 95% confidence interval |
|--------------------------|------------------------------|----------|------------|-------------------------|
|                          | 7 - 12 kg (JMHLW guideline) | 11.3 - 15.9 kg (IOM guideline) | P-value* | Odds ratio | 95% confidence interval |
| Number                   | 2,136                        | 1,348    |            |                    |
| Hypertensive disorders   | 143 (6.7%)                   | 118 (8.8%) | 0.03      | 0.75             | 0.58 - 0.94 |
| Gestational diabetes mellitus | 37 (1.7%)                  | 19 (1.4%) | 0.49      | 1.23             | 0.71 - 2.14 |
| Preterm delivery         | 135 (6.3%)                   | 51 (3.8%) | < 0.01    | 1.71             | 1.23 - 2.38 |
| Cesarean delivery        | 398 (18.6%)                  | 282 (20.9%) | 0.1       | 0.87             | 0.73 - 1.03 |
| Neatnatal birth weight   |                              |          |            |                    |
| ≤ 2,500 g                | 227 (10.6%)                  | 87 (6.5%) | < 0.01    | 1.72             | 1.33 - 2.23 |
| ≥ 4,000 g                | 7 (0.3%)                     | 21 (1.6%) | < 0.01    | 0.21             | 0.09 - 0.48 |
| Postpartum hemorrhage ≥ 1,000 mL | 152 (7.1%)          | 155 (11.5%) | < 0.01    | 0.59             | 0.47 - 0.75 |

Data are presented as number (percentages). *P < 0.05 vs. IOM group. JMHLW: Japanese Ministry of Health, Labour and Welfare; IOM: Institute of Medicine.

Table 5. Clinical Characteristics of the Overweight Women With the Optimal Weight Gain Recommended in the JASSO and IOM Guidelines

| Characteristics          | Weight gain during pregnancy | 7 - 12 kg (JASSO guideline) | 11.3 - 15.9 kg (IOM guideline) | P-value* | Odds ratio | 95% confidence interval |
|--------------------------|------------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------------|----------|------------|-------------------------|
| Number                   |                              | 141                         | 161                           |          |            |                         |
| Maternal height (cm)     | 158 ± 6.0                    | 158 ± 5.6                   |                              |          |            |                         |
| Maternal weight at pre-pregnancy (kg) | 67.7 ± 6.6          | 67.1 ± 6.4                  |                              |          |            |                         |
| Body mass index at pre-pregnancy | 27.2 ± 1.5              | 26.8 ± 1.3                  |                              |          |            |                         |
| Maternal weight at delivery (kg) | 71.3 ± 6.7*            | 76.3 ± 6.6                  |                              |          |            |                         |
| Maternal age             |                              |                             |                              |          |            |                         |
| < 20 years old           | 2 (1.4%)                     | 1 (0.6%)                    |                              |          |            |                         |
| ≥ 40 years old           | 21 (14.9%)                   | 21 (13.0%)                  |                              |          |            |                         |
| Primiparous women        | 59 (41.8%)                   | 61 (37.9%)                  |                              |          |            |                         |
| Maternal height (cm)     | 158 ± 6.0                    | 158 ± 5.6                   |                              |          |            |                         |

Data are presented as mean ± standard deviation or number (percentages). *P < 0.05 vs. IOM group. JASSO: Japan Society for the Study of Obesity; IOM: Institute of Medicine.
## Table 7. Clinical Characteristics of the Obese Women With the Optimal Weight Gain Recommended in the JASSO and IOM Guidelines

| Characteristics | Weight gain during pregnancy | P-value* | Odds ratio  | 95% confidence interval |
|-----------------|-----------------------------|----------|-------------|------------------------|
|                 | ≤ 5 kg (JSSO guideline)     | 6.8 - 11.3 kg (IOM guideline) |           |
| Number          | 141                         | 161      |             |                        |
| Primiparous     | 59 (41.8%)                  | 61 (37.9%) |             |                        |
| Hypertensive    | 25 (17.7%)                  | 13 (8.1%)  | 0.01        | 2.45                   | 1.21 - 4.95 |
| Gestational diabetes mellitus | 15 (10.6%) | 9 (5.6%) | 0.14 | 2.01 | 0.87 - 4.65 |
| Preterm delivery | 9 (6.4%)       | 2 (1.2%)  | 0.03        | 5.42                   | 1.29 - 22.59 |
| Cesarean delivery | 45 (31.9%) | 63 (39.1%) | 0.23 | 0.73 | 0.45 - 1.17 |
| Maternal age    |                             |          |             |                        |
| < 20 years old  | 1 (1.6%)                    | 1 (2.4%)  |             |                        |
| ≥ 40 years old  | 8 (13.1%)                   | 7 (17.1%) |             |                        |
| Maternal height (cm) | 158 ± 6.6 | 156 ± 4.4 |             |                        |
| Maternal weight at pre-pregnancy (kg) | 83.5 ± 9.5 | 81.0 ± 7.9 |             |                        |
| Body mass index at pre-pregnancy | 33.5 ± 3.0 | 33.3 ± 2.5 |             |                        |
| Maternal weight at delivery (kg) | 84.3 ± 7.8* | 88.0 ± 7.4 |             |                        |
| Neonatal birth weight |                     |          |             |                        |
| < 2,500 g       | 15 (10.6%)                  | 7 (4.3%)  | 0.04        | 2.62                   | 1.06 - 6.44 |
| ≥ 4,000 g       | 4 (2.8%)                    | 2 (1.2%)  | 0.42        | 2.32                   | 0.49 - 10.99 |
| Postpartum hemorrhage ≥ 1,000 mL | 17 (5.0%) | 16 (3.7%) | 0.58 | 1.24 | 0.61 - 2.53 |

Data are presented as number (percentages). *P < 0.05 vs. IOM group. JASSO: Japan Society for the Study of Obesity; IOM: Institute of Medicine.

## Table 8. Perinatal Outcomes of the Obese Women With the Optimal Weight Gain Recommended in the JASSO and IOM Guidelines

| Characteristics | Weight gain during pregnancy | P-value* | Odds ratio  | 95% confidence interval |
|-----------------|-----------------------------|----------|-------------|------------------------|
|                 | ≤ 5 kg (JSSO guideline)     | 5.0 - 9.1 kg (IOM guideline) |           |
| Number          | 61                          | 41       |             |                        |
| Primiparous     | 59 (41.8%)                  | 61 (37.9%) |             |                        |
| Hypertensive    | 25 (17.7%)                  | 13 (8.1%)  | 0.01        | 2.45                   | 1.21 - 4.95 |
| Gestational diabetes mellitus | 15 (10.6%) | 9 (5.6%) | 0.14 | 2.01 | 0.87 - 4.65 |
| Preterm delivery | 9 (6.4%)       | 2 (1.2%)  | 0.03        | 5.42                   | 1.29 - 22.59 |
| Cesarean delivery | 45 (31.9%) | 63 (39.1%) | 0.23 | 0.73 | 0.45 - 1.17 |
| Maternal age    |                             |          |             |                        |
| < 20 years old  | 1 (1.6%)                    | 1 (2.4%)  |             |                        |
| ≥ 40 years old  | 8 (13.1%)                   | 7 (17.1%) |             |                        |
| Maternal height (cm) | 158 ± 6.6 | 156 ± 4.4 |             |                        |
| Maternal weight at pre-pregnancy (kg) | 83.5 ± 9.5 | 81.0 ± 7.9 |             |                        |
| Body mass index at pre-pregnancy | 33.5 ± 3.0 | 33.3 ± 2.5 |             |                        |
| Maternal weight at delivery (kg) | 84.3 ± 7.8* | 88.0 ± 7.4 |             |                        |
| Neonatal birth weight |                     |          |             |                        |
| < 2,500 g       | 15 (10.6%)                  | 7 (4.3%)  | 0.04        | 2.62                   | 1.06 - 6.44 |
| ≥ 4,000 g       | 4 (2.8%)                    | 2 (1.2%)  | 0.42        | 2.32                   | 0.49 - 10.99 |
| Postpartum hemorrhage ≥ 1,000 mL | 17 (5.0%) | 16 (3.7%) | 0.58 | 1.24 | 0.61 - 2.53 |

Data are presented as number (percentages). *P < 0.05 vs. IOM group. JASSO: Japan Society for the Study of Obesity; IOM: Institute of Medicine.
normal-weight women during pregnancy, a larger prospective study will be required.

On the other hand, the IOM guideline seemed to be more appropriate for the overweight pregnant Japanese women compared with the JSSO guideline. In this study, the neonatal outcomes in these women seemed to be improved according to the optimal weight gain in the IOM guideline. To date, in Japan severe limit of weight gain has been instructed for obese women for preventing dystocia [4, 9]; however, the optimal weight gain recommended by the IOM guideline seemed to be more suitable for the overweight Japanese women at least during pregnancy.

In this study, in addition, we could not well examine about the appropriate weight gain for obese pregnant Japanese women. One of some possible reasons leading to this observation may be the small sample size in the current study. Otherwise, in the obese women, the pre-pregnancy obesity itself might affect the perinatal outcomes more than the range of weight gain during pregnancy as previously reported [1, 2]. Therefore, a larger prospective study will be also required to assess the optimal range of weight gain for obese women during pregnancy.

Conclusion

We should be more tolerant for the weight gain during pregnancy in Japanese woman than ever, especially in overweight women. A larger study may be needed.
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