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Abstract

Research on the environment in recent soils is important to understand geochemical processes in coastal landscapes and the rate of pedogenesis. In this article, we present original data on Gleyic Solonchaks (Loamic) and vegetation described at the eastern part of the Terek–Kuma lowland (Northern Dagestan, Russia). At the key site of 45 × 30 m released from water 293±13 years calBP, we described vegetation at 345 plots of 2 × 2 m (4 m²) and soil properties in 58 auger holes and 2 pedons, the latter characterizing a typical microhile with Tamarix and a microlow with saltworts. The flora of the sites amounts to 32 species (predominantly, halophytes) belonging to 11 families. Shrubs represented by tamarixes are the dominant. Under their crowns, dense herb and grass microcommunities with a predominance of tall Puccinellia gigantea occur. Sparse stunted halophytic plants (Petrosimonia, Frankenia, Puccinellia) occupy open habitats between shrubs. In soil water extracts from auger holes (696 samples in total), we measured electrical conductivity (EC) and pH. In 49 soil samples from pedons, we described particle size distribution, total concentration of macro elements (Al, Ca, Fe, K, as well as Mg, Mn, P, Ti, and Si) and trace elements (As, Co, Ni, Cu, Zn, Pb, Cd) in the soilscape.
Cr, Cu, Ni, Pb, Sr, and Zn), EC, pH, basicity (HCO$_3^-$ and CO$_3^{2-}$) as well as the content of cations (Ca$^{2+}$, Mg$^{2+}$, Na$^+$, and K$^+$) and anions (SO$_4^{2-}$ and Cl$^-$) in soil water extracts. Gleyic Solonchaks (Loamic) with bulk density of 1.35±0.12 g/cm$^3$ (mean and standard deviation) contain SiO$_2$ 69±8%, Al$_2$O$_3$ 11.8±3.5 and CaO 7.5±2.5%, Fe$_2$O$_3$ 3.6±1.4%, K$_2$O 2.0±0.3 and MgO 1.9±0.4%. TiO$_2$ 0.62±0.25%, P$_2$O$_5$ 0.14±0.06% and MnO 713±268 mg/kg. Sr 481±262 mg/kg > Cr 79±9 mg/kg > V 76±36, Zn 68±31, Cu 62±10, and Ni 50±17 mg/kg, Co 32±6 mg/kg. Pb 11±6 mg/kg. As 5.6±1.4 mg/kg. The particle-size distribution is (WRB system,%): clay 13±5, fine silt 34±12, coarse silt 30±18, as well as very fine sand 11±10, fine sand 7.3±10.5, medium sand 3.5±5.8, coarse sand 0.9±3.2, and very coarse sand 0.08±0.31 (n = 38). Soil water extract has EC 9.4±4.1 dSm/m (soils: water ratio of 1:2.5, n = 713), contains Na$^+$ 15.9±7.0 > Ca$^{2+}$7.3±5.0 and Mg$^{2+}$ 7.3±3.1 > K$^+$ 0.30±0.20 cmol(eq)/kg, as well as Cl$^-$ 15.7±7.3 and SO$_4^{2-}$ 14.6±7.9 > HCO$_3^-$ 0.55±0.15 > CO$_3^{2-}$ < 0.01 cmol(eq)/kg, and has pH 7.9±0.3 (soils: water ratio of 1:5, n = 21). In soil paste, pH is 8.3±0.2 (n = 461). Data obtained can be used for more confident identification of pollution sources and pollutants' migration routes and more effective conservation and remediation of human-affected soils at the Caspian Sea coast.
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**Specifications table**

| Subject | Environmental science (General) |
|---------|---------------------------------|
| Specific subject area | Environmental Chemistry, Earth Sciences, Biology, Soil Science, Botany |
| Type of data | Table, Chart, Graph, Figure |
| How data were acquired | Radiocarbon dating of total organic carbon from soil samples was performed in the Center for Collective Use “Laboratory of Radiocarbon Dating and Electron Microscopy” (Institute of Geography RAS, Russia) and the Center for Applied Isotope Studies of the University of Georgia (USA) using radiocarbon calibration program ‘Calib Rev 7.1.0’ [11]. Elemental composition of soil samples was obtained using a Spectroscan Max-GV X-Ray fluorescence spectrometer (Russia). Particle-size distribution was measured using an ‘Analysette 22 Nano Tech’ equipment (Germany). In the soil water extracts, electrical conductivity and pH (as well as in paste) were measured at Hanna Combo 98,130 (Germany), content of Cl$^-$ was determined by means of titration with AgNO$_3$, content of Ca$^{2+}$ and Mg$^{2+}$ by means of complexometric titration with EDTA, content of Na$^+$ and K$^+$ via flame photometry. Phylogenetic tree of species found at the key site was built on a basis of a phylogenetic tree using scripts and instructions from [2]. |
| Data format | Raw, Analyzed |

(continued on next page)
1. Value of the data

Data could be used for the assessment of floristic richness, soil salinity variability and the content of different chemical elements at the recent environments, as well as for adaptation of land use to the conditions of the Caspian Sea coast with a constant change in sea level.

Data may be useful for farmers, researchers and practitioners to adapt and mitigate soil salinity effects, for geochemists to evaluate migration of salts and potentially toxic elements in soils and for botanist to characterize flora of the recent sea coast.

Data will be important for further estimation of soil and plant cover evolution in the recent Caspian Sea coast.

1.1. Data description

The Caspian Sea coast is the rapidly changing ecosystem due to a sea level fluctuation [3]. The present-day Caspian Sea sea level is –28.0 m a.s.l. At its recent coasts, the redistribution of salts and other chemical compounds is occurring. In order to track the processes of the formation of soil and vegetation patterns at the yearly stages of ecosystem formation, we performed a comprehensive and detailed soil, topography, and vegetation survey [5].

Data were collected at the flat key site of 45 × 30 m (−25.8 m a.s.l. according to Kronstadt tide gage) located to the NW part of the Caspian Sea and having flat topography and fine-textured deposits (Fig. 1). Groundwater level is 2.8 m (in September 2017 and 2018) with the solute concentration (predominantly, sodium chloride) 44–48 g/l [4].
In this paper, we presented data on 745 soil samples collected from 58 auger holes and 2 pedons sampled twice (during spring and autumn) and data on the geobotanical descriptions at 345 plots of 2 × 2 m (4 m²). According to GNSS-data [6], the amplitude of microtopography is 15 cm.

At the sampled Gleyic Solonchaks (Loamic) [4–6] formed from the saline sediments, the vegetation is a sparse degrading (deteriorating) community of 1–1.5 m high tamarix (Tamarix octandra mixed with some T. laxa) with annual saltworts (Petrosimonia brachiata, P. oppositifolia, Suaeda acuminata), Frankenia hirsuta and Puccinellia gigantea in the lower tier with some spring and early summer ephemeral plants. In the lower tier, two microgroups are clearly distinguished. Open habitats are occupied by sparse stunted halophytic plants (Petrosimonia, Frankenia, Puccinellia). Under the crowns of the bushes there are dense herb and grass microcommunities with a predominance of tall Puccinellia gigantea and a few Limonium caspium and L. scoparium, Psylliostachys spicata whereas saltworts and Frankenia are absent here. The flora of the site amounts to 32 species belonging to 11 families (Fig. 2).

Most species are halophytes. Shrubs are the dominant species and are represented by tamarixes. Semishrubs are represented by Frankenia hirsuta. There are 5 herbaceous perennials and 7 species of annual herbs belong to the Chenopodiaceae family. The most numerous biomorphotype is ephemeral plants (Table 1).
Fig. 2. Evolutionary tree of species from the key site.
Table 1
Angiosperms flora of the site under consideration.

| Clade [7] | Order | Family | Species | Type of vegetation |
|-----------|-------|--------|---------|--------------------|
| Eudicots  | Asterales | Asteraceae | Senecio noeans | Ephemeral plants |
| Eudicots  | Brassicales | Brassicaceae | Arabidopsis thaliana | Ephemeral plants |
| Eudicots  | Brassicales | Brassicaceae | Erophila verna | Ephemeral plants |
| Eudicots  | Brassicales | Brassicaceae | Hymenolobus procumbens | Ephemeral plants |
| Eudicots  | Brassicales | Brassicaceae | Sisymbrium toselli | Ephemeral plants |
| Eudicots  | Caryophyllales | Caryophyllaceae | Cerastium semidecandrum | Ephemeral plants |
| Eudicots  | Caryophyllales | Caryophyllaceae | Holosteum glutinosum | Ephemeral plants |
| Eudicots  | Caryophyllales | Chenopodiaceae | Atriplex tatarica | Annual herbs |
| Eudicots  | Caryophyllales | Chenopodiaceae | Bassia hyssopifolia | Annual herbs |
| Eudicots  | Caryophyllales | Chenopodiaceae | Climacoptera crassa | Annual herbs |
| Eudicots  | Caryophyllales | Chenopodiaceae | Halocnemum strobilaceum | Long-lived perennial herbs |
| Eudicots  | Caryophyllales | Chenopodiaceae | Petrosimonia brachiata | Annual herbs |
| Eudicots  | Caryophyllales | Chenopodiaceae | Petrosimonia oppositifolia | Annual herbs |
| Eudicots  | Caryophyllales | Frankeniaceae | Suada acuminata | Annual herbs |
| Eudicots  | Caryophyllales | Limoniaceae | Limonium capsize | Subshrubs |
| Eudicots  | Caryophyllales | Limoniaceae | Limonium scoparium (L. meyeri) | Long-lived perennial herbs |
| Eudicots  | Caryophyllales | Plumboginaceae | Psylliastachys spicata | Annual herbs |
| Eudicots  | Caryophyllales | Tamaricaceae | Tamarix laxa | Shrubs |
| Eudicots  | Caryophyllales | Tamaricaceae | Tamarix octandra | Shrubs |
| Eudicots  | Gentianales | Rubiaceae | Callium aparine | Ephemeral plants |
| Eudicots  | Sapindales | Nitrariaceae | Tetradielis tenella | Ephemeral plants |
| Monocots  | Poales | Poaceae | Hordeum leporinum | Ephemeral plants |
| Monocots  | Poales | Poaceae | Aeluropus litoralis | Long-lived perennial herbs |
| Monocots  | Poales | Poaceae | Anisantha tectorum | Ephemeral plants |
| Monocots  | Poales | Poaceae | Apera interrupra | Ephemeral plants |
| Monocots  | Poales | Poaceae | Bromus japonicus | Ephemeral plants |
| Monocots  | Poales | Poaceae | Bromus squarrosus | Ephemeral plants |
| Monocots  | Poales | Poaceae | Eremopyron orientale | Ephemeral plants |
| Monocots  | Poales | Poaceae | Eremopyron triticeum | Ephemeral plants |
| Monocots  | Poales | Poaceae | Phleum paniculatum | Ephemeral plants |
| Monocots  | Poales | Poaceae | Puccinellia gigantea | Long-lived perennial herbs |

1.2. Experimental design, materials and methods

Before auger holes drilling, we described vegetation throughout the whole key sites along 30-m long transects located at a distance of 2 m from each other. The interval of geobotanical descriptions along transects was 2 m. In total, 345 descriptions were made which include the number and size of the dominant plants at the shrub tier, their projective cover, the plant species and total projective cover of the herb tier, the portion of bare land (Table S1). The Latin names of plant species were given according to [7].

Phylogenetic tree of species found at the key site was built on a basis of a phylogenetic tree using scripts and instructions from [2]. 7 species absent in an initial tree [7] (Limonium capsize, Limonium scoparium, Petrosimonia oppositifolia, Phleum paniculatum, Puccinellia gigantea, Suaeda acuminata, Tamarix octandra named according to [8]) were added to basal nodes of their families using scripts provided in [7].

Auger holes are drilled according to semi-regular grid with an interval of 1 to 5 m from each other. From auger holes, soil samples (200 – 300 g) were taken from a depth 0–5, 5–10, 10–20, 20–30, 30–50, 50–70, 70–100 cm to measure pH value in paste and electrical conductivity (EC) in soil:water extract 1:2.5 (Table S2) by standard techniques (Table 2).

At the typical microhigh and microlow, we dug two soil pits. Both pedons described are Gleyic Solonchaks (Loamic) according to [4]. From pits, soil samples (300 – 500 g) were collected from Ayz, Bgz and Cgz horizons from a depth 0 – 3 m. Radiocarbon dates for total organic carbon from the pedon located at microhigh (Table 3) were obtained as described in details in [10].
Table 2
Methods of chemical analyses of soils.

| Analyzed parameters | Method, condition |
|---------------------|-------------------|
| Soil                |                   |
| Bulk density        | Gravimetry        |
| Moisture            | Gravimetry        |
| Particle-size       | A laser diffraction technique and an Analysette 22 Nano Tech equipment (Fritsch, Germany) in samples pre-treated with 4% Na₂P₂O₇ [9] |
| distribution        |                   |
| Elemental           | X-Ray fluorescence spectrometry, a Spectroscan Max-GV X-Ray fluorescence spectrometer (Russia) and a Russian soil standard sample according to the methodological recommendation (M-049-II/16). In 3 samples, the measurements were performed in two replicates. |
| composition         |                   |

| Total organic carbon | Radiocarbon dates | Accelerator mass-spectrometry (AMS). Graphitization was performed using an AGE-3 graphitization system (Ionplus). Graphite ¹⁴C/¹³C ratios were measured using the CAIS 0.5 MeV accelerator mass spectrometer. The sample ratios were compared to the ratio measured from the Oxalic Acid II (NBS SRM 4990C). The quoted uncalibrated dates have been given in radiocarbon years before 1950 (years BP), using the ¹⁴C half-life of 5568 years. The error is quoted as one standard deviation and reflects both statistical and experimental errors. The samples are marked by the IGANAMS index. All the radiocarbon dates obtained were calibrated according to Intcal13 calibration curve with the use of the Calib 7.1 program (http://calib.qub.ac.uk/calib) [1,10]. |

| Soil paste          |                   |
| 1:2.5 soil:water extract | pH                | Potentiometry, Hanna Combo HI 98,130 (Germany) |
|                     | EC                | Conductometry, Hanna Combo HI 98,130 (Germany) |

| 1:5 soil:water extract | pH | Potentiometry, Hanna Combo HI 98,130 (Germany) [11] |
|                        | EC | Conductometry, Hanna Combo HI 98,130 (Germany) |
| Basicity from carbonates and soda | | Titration with 0.02M H₂SO₄ [12] |
| Ca²⁺ and Mg²⁺ | Titration with EDTA [9] |
| Cl⁻ | Titration with AgNO₃ [8] |
| K⁺, Na⁺ | Flame photometry [9] |
| SO₄²⁻ | Sulphates were calculated as a difference between the sum of cations (Ca²⁺ Mg²⁺, K⁺, and Na⁺) and the sum of measured anions (Cl⁻ and HCO₃⁻) |

¹ Energy resolution 9 eV (Si Kα), 60 eV (Fe Kα), X-ray tube power 160 W, Pd R-ray tube anode, crystal diffraction (LiF(200), C(002), PET, KAP (RhAP)).

In soil samples taken from both pits (Table S3), we measured the moisture and soil bulk density, particle-size distribution, and elemental composition (total concentration of 18 chemical elements). We prepared a soil water extract (1:5 soil:water ratio) from samples collected from both pits to measure pH value (and in paste), basicity and the concentration of anions and cations.

The particle-size classes were defined according to recommendations of [4].

Statistical analyses included calculations of descriptive statistics for topsoils, subsoils and parent materials (Table S4).

Table S1. Description of vegetation in plots 2 × 2 m (4 m²) at the key site of 45 × 30 m
Table S2. Raw data for electrical conductivity and pH in soil samples collected from auger holes
Table S3. Raw data for soil proxies measured in samples collected from two soil pits
Table S4. Descriptive statistics
Table 3
The results of radiocarbon dating of soil samples (total organic carbon) from the pit located at the microhigh.

| No | IGANAMS | Sampling depth, cm | \(^{14}\text{C} \) age, BP (1σ) | cal BP |
|----|----------|--------------------|---------------------------------|--------|
| 6200 | 0–10 | 235±20 | 68.3 (1 sigma) cal BP 157 - 165 | 0.255 |
| | | | 285 - 301 | 0.745 |
| | | | 95.4 (2 sigma) cal BP 0 - 5 | 0.029 |
| | | | 152 - 169 | 0.330 |
| | | | 281 - 307 | 0.641 |
| Median Probability: | 289 |
| 6201 | 12–18 | 3650±25 | 68.3 (1 sigma) cal BP 3920 - 3987 | 0.845 |
| | | | 4048 - 4064 | 0.155 |
| | | | 95.4 (2 sigma) cal BP 3892 - 4005 | 0.773 |
| | | | 4032 - 4081 | 0.227 |
| Median Probability: | 3966 |
| 6202 | 25–35 | 3035±20 | 68.3 (1 sigma) cal BP 3183 - 3193 | 0.113 |
| | | | 3208 - 3251 | 0.658 |
| | | | 3303 - 3323 | 0.228 |
| | | | 95.4 (2 sigma) cal BP 3170 - 3260 | 0.709 |
| | | | 3288 - 3336 | 0.291 |
| Median Probability: | 3235 |
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