Stevioside modulates oxidative damage in the liver and kidney of high fat/low streptozocin diabetic rats
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Abstract

This study investigated the potential of stevioside to prevent oxidative DNA damage in the liver and kidney of type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM) using high fat-low streptozocin rat model. Rats were treated daily with 12.5, 25 and 50 mg/kg stevioside orally for 21 days. Levels of biomarkers of T2DM, lipid profile and oxidative stress were assayed spectrophotometrically. The DNA ladder assay method was used to assess DNA fragmentation in the liver and kidney while computational analysis was used to predict the mechanisms of antidiabetic properties of stevioside. Stevioside significantly (p < 0.05) decreased the levels of plasma glucose, insulin, dipeptidyl peptidase IV and activities of kidney angiotensin converting enzyme. Stevioside significantly reduced oxidative stress by decreasing the levels of lipid peroxidation and nitric oxide in the liver and kidney; thereby, reducing the extent of DNA fragmentation in the liver and kidney of the diabetic rats. The in silico analysis showed that the ability of stevioside to exert these effects is linked to its inhibition of beta-adrenergic receptor kinase and G-protein-coupled receptor kinase. The results of this study
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suggest that the prevention of DNA fragmentation may be an additional benefit of the use of stevioside in the management of T2DM.
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1. Introduction

Type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM) is a life-threatening metabolic disease that is reaching epidemic proportions [1]. T2DM is responsible for about 5 million deaths annually due largely to major complications like atherosclerotic coronary heart disease, cardiomyopathy, stroke and nephropathy. Globally, undiagnosed diabetes continues to predispose about 200 million people to the development of several long-term micro and macrovascular complications of unmanaged chronic hyperglycemia [1].

These complications are consequences of glucotoxicity-induced oxidative stress with concomitant damage to biomolecules [2]. In particular, the damage to DNA has been linked to enhanced cancer risk, and patients with diabetes mellitus have been shown to have an increased cancer incidence [3]. Hence, oxidative damage to DNA does not often lead to severe vascular complications with a phenotypic outcome synonymous with diabetes [3].

The rise in diabetes prevalence mirrors the rise in its main risk factors—overweight and obesity, as well as increase in sugar consumption [4, 5]. Hence, the urgent need to control this trend requires a scrupulous control of diet and sugar intake. However, the cognitive desire of human for sugar or sweet diet seems insatiable [6]. Therefore, it is imperative to investigate the role of non-caloric sweeteners in the management of diabetes.

Stevioside (PubChem CID:442089) is one of natural non-caloric sweeteners refined from the leaves of Stevia rebaudiana Bertoni. It is recognized to be 250 times sweeter than sucrose (4 g/L) and is used as non-caloric alternative to table sugar [7]. The Joint FAO/WHO Expert Committee on Food Additives recommended stevioside’s admissible daily intake to be less than or equal to 4 mg/kg body weight, based on no-observed-adverse-effect level of 970 mg/kg body weight per day (383 mg/kg bw per day expressed as steviol equivalent) [8]. Stevioside is a glycoside and it is hydrolyzed to steviol (the aglycone) in the caecum by intestinal microflora under anaerobic conditions [9]. The plasma concentration of steviol has been reported to reach the peak after 15 min of oral administration, demonstrating rapid absorption [9]. Long-term oral intake of stevioside has been shown to be highly tolerated in human with no apparent side effect at dietary dosage [10]. Besides sweetness, many studies have reported the therapeutic benefits of glycosides derived from Stevia rebaudiana, which include anti-oxidant, anti-hyperglycemic, anti-hypertensive, anti-inflammatory, anti-tumor, anti-diarrheal, diuretic, and...
immunomodulatory actions [11, 12]. These benefits have contributed to its increased use and commercialization of its production [13].

Although, previous studies have reported the hypoglycemic property of stevioside [14], more detailed studies are still needed to understand the mechanism by which it improves T2DM and prevents its associated complications. This present study therefore seeks to investigate the effects of stevioside on oxidative damage in a high fat fed/streptozotocin-induced T2DM rat model. This model is associated with initial insulin resistance and/or glucose intolerance following streptozocin-induced destruction of functional β-cell mass; hence, mimicking the pathology of human T2DM [15, 16]. Using this model, we therefore, hypothesize that the antiglycemic and antioxidant properties of stevioside will be beneficial in protecting DNA from oxidative damage in the diabetic rats.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Chemicals

Streptozotocin was a product of Sigma-Aldrich (St. Loius, MO, USA) while stevioside (95%) was a product of Anhui Minmetals Development Co., Hefei, Anhui, China. DNA extraction spin column kit was a product of Aidlab Biotechnologies Co. Ltd (Beijing, China) while kits for Enzyme-linked immunosorbent assays (ELISA) were products of Hangzhou Eastbiopharm Co., Hangzhou, China. All other chemicals were products of Sigma-Aldrich (St. Loius, MO, USA).

2.2. Animals

Thirty male inbred albino rats weighing between 150 and 200 g were used for this study. The rats were housed under normal temperature (22 ± 2 °C) with 12-h light and dark cycle. The animals were allowed to acclimatize for three weeks before commencement of the experiment. The experiment was approved by the Covenant University Ethical Committee (CU/BIOSCRECU/BIO/2015/004) and carried out according to the guidelines of the committee.

2.3. Experimental design

The rats were randomly divided into five groups of six rats each. Four of the groups were maintained on a high fat diet (HFD), which contained 50% fat (5% from vegetable oil and 45% from tallow) (Table 1), throughout the period of the experiment (9 weeks) while the last group was maintained on normal pellet diet (5% fat from vegetable oil) and served as the normal control. After 4 weeks, the HFD fed groups were given a low dose (35 mg/kg body weight) of streptozocin intraperitoneally while the normal control group was administered the vehicle (0.1 mL/kg body weight of 0.1 M
citrate buffer), as described by Zhang et al. [17]. Another dose of streptozocin was administered at week 6 of the experiment, after which fasting blood glucose was checked and stevioside was then administered orally for 21 days. The rats were grouped as shown below:

Group 1: Normal Control: Rats fed normal diet (n = 6)

Group 2: Diabetic Control: Rats fed HFD (n = 6)

Group 3: Diabetic rats treated with 12.5 mg/kg stevioside: Rats fed HFD (n = 6)

Group 4: Diabetic rats treated with 25 mg/kg stevioside: Rats fed HFD (n = 6)

Group 5: Diabetic rats treated with 50 mg/kg stevioside: Rats fed HFD (n = 6)

Twenty-four hours after the last dose of stevioside, blood was collected from the animals by cardiac puncture under light ether anesthesia after an overnight fast; liver and kidney were also excised for analysis. Tissue homogenates were prepared as described by Rotimi et al. [18, 19].

### 2.4. Biochemical analysis

#### 2.4.1. Plasma chemistry

Glucose, bicarbonate, hydroxyl butyrate dehydrogenase, alkaline phosphatase (ALP), urea, creatinine kinase (CK), α-amylase, gamma-glutamyl transferase (GGT) and lactate dehydrogenase (LDH) were determined spectrophotometrically in the plasma using commercially available kits according to manufacturer’s instructions (Jinan Biobase Biotech Co., Ltd, Jinan, China) while insulin and dipeptidyl...
peptidase-4 (DPP-IV) were determined using enzyme linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) kits (Hangzhou Eastbiopharm Co., Ltd. Hangzhou, China).

### 2.4.2. Determination of angiotensin converting enzyme (ACE) activity

ACE was determined in the kidney spectrophotometrically, using the conversion of N-Hippuryl—His-Leu hydrate, hydrochloric acid (HHL) to hippuric acid according to the method of Cushman and Cheung [20]. Briefly, assay solution consisted of 5 mM HHL in 100 mM sodium borate buffer (pH 8.3). The reaction was initiated by addition of 10 µL of kidney homogenate and incubated at 37 °C for 30 min. Thereafter, the reaction was terminated by the addition of 1 M HCl and the amount of hippuric acid released was monitored at 228 nm.

### 2.4.3. Plasma lipid profiles

Plasma cholesterol and triacylglycerols were determined spectrophotometrically using commercially available kits according to manufacturer’s instructions (Jinan Biobase Biotech Co., Ltd, Jinan, China). High Density Lipoprotein (HDL) and HDL₃ were obtained from the plasma using the Dextran sulfate—MgCl₂ precipitation method as described by Rifai et al. [21]. The supernatant obtained after centrifugation contained the HDL and HDL₃ while the precipitate contained VLDL and VLDL₃ respectively. Free fatty acid was determined spectrophotometrically as described by Rotimi et al. [18, 19].

### 2.4.4. Oxidant/antioxidant status assays

Lipid Peroxidation was determined by measuring the concentration of thiobarbituric acid reactive substances (TBARS) according to the method of Buege and Aust [22]. Nitric oxide (NO) concentration was determined by the Griess reaction using a method described by Yucel et al [17]. Glutathione (GSH) concentration in the tissues were determined according to the method described by Ellman [23] while glutathione-S-transferase (GST) activity was determined by measuring the formation of 1-chloro-2,4-dinitrobenzene and GSH conjugate at 340 nm according to the method described by Habig et al. [24]. Glutathione peroxidase (GPx) activity was determined according to the method of Rotruck et al. [25] while glutathione reductase (GR) activity was assayed as described by Mavis and Stellwagen [26]. Superoxide dismutase (SOD) activity was determined according to the pyrogallol autoxidation method of Marklund and Marklund [27] while peroxidase (PER) activity was determined according to the method of Fergusson and Chance [28]. The activities of the enzymes in each organ were expressed per mg protein as previously described [29], following the determination of protein concentration as described by Lowry et al. [30].
2.4.5. DNA extraction and analysis of intra-nucleosomal DNA fragmentation

Genomic DNA was extracted from the liver and kidney using the spin column technique according to manufacturer’s instructions (Aidlab Biotechnologies Co. Ltd., China). Quality and concentration of DNA was determined spectrophotometrically at 260 nm using Nanodrop® spectrophotometer (Thermo Scientific, Fisher Scientific Company, Suwanee, GA, USA). After normalization of the DNA concentration, the DNA ladder assay method was used as described by Suman et al. [31], using 0.8% agarose. The agarose gel was stained with ethidium bromide and visualized using the UVP GelDoc-It® imaging system. The intensity of the DNA on agarose gel was analyzed using Image J software.

2.4.6. Computational analysis

The biological activities spectra that relates to T2DM, of stevioside was predicted using a prediction of activity spectra for substances (PASS)-based approach as described by Filimonov et al. [32]. PASS is an online algorithm that estimates predicted activity spectrum of a compound as probable activity (Pa) and probable inactivity (Pi). It utilizes a structure-activity relationship analysis to predict activities and it has been trained with a set containing more than 250,000 of biologically active compounds. The values of Pa and Pi vary between 0.000 and 1.000. Only activities
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**Fig. 1.** (a–d): Effects of stevioside on biomarkers of diabetes in the experimental rats. (a) level of plasma glucose, (b) level of plasma bicarbonate, (c) activity of plasma hydroxyl butyrate dehydrogenase, and (d) level of plasma free fatty acids. Bars represent mean ± SEM (n = 6). Bars with different statistical markers are significantly different at p < 0.05.
with \( Pa > Pi \) are considered as possible for a particular compound. If \( Pa > 0.7 \), the probability of experimental pharmacological action is high, and if \( 0.5 < Pa < 0.7 \), the probability of experimental pharmacological action is less.

### 2.4.7. Statistical analysis

Data were expressed as mean ± SEM of six replicates in each group. Analysis of variance (ANOVA) was carried out to test for the level of homogeneity at \( p < 0.05 \) among the groups. Duncan’s multiple range test was used to separate the heterogeneous groups.

### 3. Results

#### 3.1. Effects of stevioside administration on biomarkers T2DM

The levels of glucose, bicarbonate, free fatty acids (FFA) and activity of hydroxybutyrate dehydrogenase were assessed in the plasma of the rats [Fig. 1(a–d)]. Furthermore, the levels of plasma DPP IV and plasma insulin, as well as the activity of kidney ACE were assayed [Fig. 2(a–c)] as biomarkers of T2DM. T2DM,

![Fig. 2. (a–c): Effects of stevioside on specific biomarkers of T2DM in the experimental rats. (a) level of plasma DPP IV, (b) level of plasma insulin, and (c) activity of kidney ACE. Bars represent mean ± SEM (n = 6). Bars with different statistical markers are significantly different at \( p < 0.05 \).](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2018.e00640)
significantly (p < 0.05) increased the plasma concentrations of glucose, insulin, DPP IV and bicarbonate while all the doses of stevioside resulted in a significant (p < 0.05) decrease when compared with the diabetic control. Treatment with stevioside significantly (p < 0.05) decreased the concentrations of plasma DPP IV to levels significantly (p < 0.05) lower than the normal control. 50 mg/kg dose and, 25 and 50 mg/kg doses had the lowest concentrations of DPP IV and insulin respectively. T2DM also significantly (p < 0.05) increased the activity of ACE in the kidney and concentration of plasma FFA. Stevioside treatment resulted in a dose-dependent significant (p < 0.05) decreases in both biomarkers, with 25 mg/kg treatment giving the optimum reductions.

The activities of plasma ALP, CK, amylase, GGT and LDH, as well as the level of plasma urea are depicted in Fig. 3(a–f). T2DM significantly (p < 0.05) increased the activities of ALP, amylase and GGT, as well as the level of urea. The activities of

![Bar charts showing effects of stevioside on biomarkers of organ function.

Fig. 3. (a–f): Effects of stevioside on biomarkers of organ function the experimental rats. (a) activity of plasma alkaline phosphatase, (b) level of plasma urea, (c) activity of plasma creatinine kinase, (d) activity of plasma amylase, (e) activity of plasma gamma-glutamyl transferase, and (f) activity of plasma lactate dehydrogenase. Bars represent mean ± SEM (n = 6). Bars with different statistical markers are significantly different at p < 0.05.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2018.e00640)
ALP and amylase were significantly (p < 0.05) reduced by stevioside. The reduction of amylase activity was dose-dependent with 50 mg/kg having the highest reduction. Although, level of plasma urea was significantly (p < 0.05) reduced by stevioside treatment, this reduction was significantly (p < 0.05) reversed at 50 mg/kg. However, this dosage significantly (p < 0.05) reduced the activity of GGT.

3.2. Stevioside administration reduced dyslipidemia

Fig. 4(a–f) shows the levels of cholesterol and triacylglycerols in the rats. T2DM significantly (p < 0.05) increased the concentrations of plasma cholesterol and triacylglycerols while it decreased the concentration of HDL₃ triacylglycerols. There was no significant difference in the concentrations of HDL₁ cholesterol, HDL₃ cholesterol and HDL₃ triacylglycerols compared with normal control. All the doses of stevioside significantly (p < 0.05) decreased plasma cholesterol and triacylglycerols compared with diabetic control, with the highest dose having the most significant (p < 0.05) decrease. All the doses of stevioside significantly reversed the
concentrations of HDL₁ triacylglycerols to levels not significantly different from the normal control while the 25 and 50 mg/kg doses significantly (p < 0.05) increased HDL₃ triacylglycerols when compared with normal and diabetic controls.

### 3.3. Stevioside reversed oxidative stress and damage

The activities of hepatic SOD, GST, GSH, GPx, PER, and GR were significantly decreased while the concentrations of TBARS and NO were significantly (p < 0.05) increased by T2DM (Fig. 5(a–h)). All the doses of stevioside

![Graphs showing the effects of stevioside on biomarkers of oxidative stress in the liver of the experimental rats.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2018.e00640)

Fig. 5. (a–h): Effects of stevioside on biomarkers of oxidative stress in the liver of the experimental rats. (a) level of thiobarbituric reactive substances, (b) level of nitric oxide, (c) activity of superoxide dismutase, (d) activity of peroxidase, (e) level of reduced glutathione, (f) activity of glutathione peroxidase, (g) activity of glutathione-S-transferase, and (h) activity of glutathione reductase. Bars represent mean ± SEM (n = 6). Bars with different statistical markers are significantly different at p < 0.05.
significantly (p < 0.05) increased the activities of GST and GR when compared with diabetic control while it decreased SOD with the 50 mg/kg having the most decrease. Only 25 and 50 mg/kg dose increased the levels of GSH, GPx and PER. All the doses of stevioside significantly decreased the concentrations of TBARS and NO when compared with diabetic control. The decrease resulting from 25 and 50 mg/kg doses were not significantly (p > 0.05) different from each other.

T2DM significantly (p < 0.05) decreased the levels of SOD, GST, GSH, GPx, PER and GR while it increased that of TBARS and NO in the kidney (Fig. 6(a–h)).

![Fig. 6. (a–h): Effects of stevioside on biomarkers of oxidative stress in the kidney of the experimental rats. (a) level of thiobarbituric reactive substances, (b) level of nitric oxide, (c) activity of superoxide dismutase, (d) activity of peroxidase, (e) level of reduced glutathione, (f) activity of glutathione peroxidase, (g) activity of glutathione-S-transferase, and (h) activity of glutathione reductase. Bars represent mean ± SEM (n = 6). Bars with different statistical markers are significantly different at p < 0.05.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2018.e00640)
All doses of stevioside significantly (p < 0.05) increased the activities of GST while only the 25 and 50 mg/kg doses of stevioside significantly (p < 0.05) increased the concentrations of GSH when compared with the diabetic control. All doses of stevioside were unable to increase the diabetic-induced decrease in the activities of GPx, PER and GR. This trend was also observed in SOD, however, the 50 mg/kg dose led to a further decrease in SOD activity when compared with the control. All doses of stevioside significantly (p < 0.05) decreased the diabetic-induced increase in the concentrations of TBARS and NO. While 25 and 50 mg/kg doses resulted in decreases in TBARS and NO significantly (p < 0.05) different from the 12.5 mg/kg group, these doses reduced only NO to levels not significantly (p < 0.05) different from the control group.

Fig. 7. (a—d) Effect of stevioside on the intra-nucleosomal DNA fragmentation. (a) the pattern of DNA ladder of the liver, (b) the pattern of DNA ladder of the kidney, (c) agarose gel image of liver DNA fragmentation and (d) agarose gel image of kidney DNA fragmentation. Group 1: Normal Control, Group 2: Diabetic Control, Group 3: Diabetic rats treated with 12.5 mg/kg stevioside, Group 4: Diabetic rats treated with 25 mg/kg stevioside and Group 5: Diabetic rats treated with 50 mg/kg stevioside.
The level of liver and kidney DNA fragmentation was significantly ($p < 0.05$) increased in the diabetic control group compared with other groups. All doses of stevioside significantly ($p < 0.05$) decreased the fragmentation in the liver and kidney when compared with the diabetic control (Fig. 7(a–b)). The 12.5 and 25 mg/kg doses significantly ($p < 0.05$) decreased the kidney DNA fragmentation to levels not significantly ($p > 0.05$) different from the normal control while the 50 mg/kg dose was significantly ($p < 0.05$) lower than the normal control.

### 3.4. *In silico* predictions of biological activities of stevioside

Table 2 showed the PASS-based anti-T2DM activities of stevioside. Apart from its antidiabetic property, other biological activities include very high inhibition of beta-adrenergic receptor kinase, G-protein-coupled receptor kinase, alpha glucosidase inhibitor, beta glucuronidase inhibitor and glucan endo-1,3-beta-D-glucosidase inhibitor. PASS also indicated the antioxidant as well as the cholesterol and nitric oxide antagonistic properties of stevioside.

### 4. Discussion

Stevioside improved oxidative stress and damage associated with T2DM and also reduced the associated increase in biomarkers of T2DM. Although the admissible daily intake for steviol glycosides is 0–4 mg/kg body weight (expressed as steviol), the concentration used in this study were of pharmacological significance in rats and below the reported toxicity level [33, 34].

The results of this study showed that the rise in blood glucose was associated with a concomitant increase in biomarkers of T2DM, which include DPP IV, bicarbonate, hydroxybutyrate dehydrogenase dehydrogenase and FFA [35, 36]. This is consistent...
with the pattern observed in T2DM in humans and in rat model [37]. It is noteworthy that stevioside administration resulted in mild but significant reduction in plasma glucose and other biomarkers of T2DM measured. Of particular interest is the reduction in the level of DPP IV — a biomarker of T2DM that has been reported to be beneficial in the management of T2DM by previous authors [38, 39]. This further validates the in silico results indicating the potential of stevioside as an anti-T2DM agent. This could be due to the beta glucuronidase and glucan endo-1,3-beta-D-glucosidase inhibitory properties of stevioside. The inhibition of these enzymes regulate carbohydrate digestion and release of glucose in the gastrointestinal tract and has been recognized as potential mechanism of hypoglycemic agents [40]. Previous studies have reported the hypoglycemic property of stevioside but none has indicated the inhibition of these enzymes as part of the mechanisms.

A number of hypoglycemic agents that inhibit the release of glucose in the GIT often results in the buildup of bicarbonate. In contrast, the hypoglycemic property of stevioside was accompanied with decrease in bicarbonate level together with insulin. This is an indication that the glucose uptake by the cells was enhanced thereby reducing lipolysis. In T2DM, cells rely on lipolysis as an alternative source of energy by upregulating β-oxidation of FFA to form ketone bodies [36]. This condition, known as diabetic ketoacidosis, is a known life-threatening acute complication of diabetes. Diabetic acidosis is characterized by the build of bicarbonate in the blood and increase in the activity of β-hydroxybutyrate dehydrogenase. β-hydroxybutyrate dehydrogenase converts β-hydroxybutyrate to acetoacetate. The acetoacetate is further converted to acetoacetyl-CoA, which is then split into two acetyl-CoA that are utilized in the TCA cycle to generate ATP in peripheral tissues [41].

Increase in β-hydroxybutyrate dehydrogenase activity could serve as a surrogate biomarker for both insulin resistance and impaired glucose regulation [42]. This further support the increase in insulin level along with glucose and β-hydroxybutyrate dehydrogenase activity observed in the diabetic untreated animals. The shift in energy metabolism from glucose to fatty acid results in alterations in several signal transduction pathways, which is now known to involve the G protein-coupled receptor kinase 2 (GRK2)-integrated signal transduction pathways [43]. Emerging evidences now suggest that increase in GRK2 activity contribute to the development of T2DM, and inhibiting GRK2 could reverse an established insulin-resistance [44]. It of interest that stevioside has GRK2 inhibitory property, as shown by PASS analysis in silico results. Hence, the ability of stevioside to reverse insulin resistance and enhance uptake of glucose could be due to its ability to inhibit GRK2.

The hypoglycemic effect of stevioside was also associated with decrease in plasma amylase activity, as well as decrease in some biomarkers of organ function. However, it is of concern that stevioside at 50 mg/kg resulted in increase in plasma urea. This shows that at this concentration of stevioside could be toxic to the kidney.
This finding is corroborated by previous studies that showed that stevioside at high concentration could be nephrotoxic [44, 45]. Also, we had earlier expressed the need to consider nephrotoxic implication of hypoglycemic regime [46].

A major macrovascular complication associated with T2DM is hypertension, and this comorbidity is responsible for high mortality due to this disease [1, 46]. The pathogenesis of hypertension involves elevation of renal angiotensin converting enzyme [47] and previous studies have identified its elevation in T2DM [48]. Hence, inhibiting this enzyme is a major target of antihypertensive therapies [49]. The findings of this study showed that stevioside reduced the elevation of renal angiotensin converting enzyme in the diabetic rats. This could therefore, explain the antihypertensive property of stevioside earlier reported [50, 51], and validated in human hypertension [52].

The shift in cellular energy source from glucose to fatty acids and the associated insulinemia result in dyslipidemia and cardiovascular complications in T2DM [53]. The in silico analysis showed that stevioside possesses a strong cholesterol antagonistic property, and this could account for the reduction in cholesterol level. Although previous studies have reported the cholesterol lowering properties of stevioside, none has associated it to its cholesterol antagonistic property. Another possible mechanism of improving dyslipidemia is by inhibiting G-protein-coupled receptor kinase. Altered lipid metabolism following high-fat diet-induced dyslipidemia has been shown to upregulate kinase and it is a therapeutic target [42, 54].

A major biochemical consequence of dyslipidemia is the FFAs activation of NADPH oxidase, which induces reactive oxygen species (ROS) production and ROS induced oxidative stress [55]. The role of ROS in the pathogenesis of diabetes, and its complications, has been reported by many researchers [40, 46, 55]. In this study, oxidative stress in liver and kidney was characterized with increased lipid peroxidation and NO with a decrease in the level of GSH as well as the activities of PER, SOD, GST and GPx. The depletion of these antioxidants has been reputed to expose major macromolecules to the peroxidative damaging effects of ROS [55]. This leads to lipid peroxidation and oxidative fragmentation of DNA. The free radicals generated due to gluco-toxicity can be well scavenged by antioxidant phytochemicals. Interestingly, the findings from in silico investigation showed that stevioside has antioxidant and anti NO property; and these could have accounted for the in vivo effects in the liver and kidney of the diabetic rats. Although NO is a potent antioxidant, it is often converted to peroxynitrite in the presence of ROS and can damage biomolecules in this state [46].

An important finding of this study is the ability of stevioside to reverse intranucleosomal DNA fragmentation in the liver and kidney rats. This DNA fragmentation was more prominent in the kidney than the liver. This could be due to the activation of angiotensin-converting enzyme. Kawahito et al [56] stated that activation of ACE enhances glucotoxicity-induced apoptosis.
5. Conclusion

Our findings suggest that the use of stevioside could be beneficial in preventing oxidative DNA damage observed in T2DM. This is in addition to its antioxidant and antihyperglycemic properties, particularly in potentially inhibiting glucose absorption. This also further supports its nutraceutical role as a component of the *S. rebaudiana*-derived sugar substitute, especially in T2DM patients.
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