A novel strategy for molecular interfaces optimization: The case of Ferritin-Transferrin receptor interaction
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Abstract
Protein-protein interactions regulate almost all cellular functions and rely on a fine tune of surface amino acids properties involved on both molecular partners. The disruption of a molecular association can be caused even by a single residue mutation, often leading to a pathological modification of a biochemical pathway. Therefore the evaluation of the effects of amino acid substitutions on binding, and the ad hoc design of protein-protein interfaces, is one of the biggest challenges in computational biology. Here, we present a novel strategy for computational mutation and optimization of protein-protein interfaces. Modeling the interaction surface properties using the Zernike polynomials, we describe the shape and electrostatics of binding sites with an ordered set of descriptors, making possible the evaluation of complementarity between interacting surfaces. With a Monte Carlo approach, we obtain protein mutants with controlled molecular complementarities. Applying this strategy to the relevant case of the interaction between Ferritin and Transferrin Receptor, we obtain a set of Ferritin mutants with increased or decreased complementarity. The extensive molecular dynamics validation of the method results confirms its efficacy, showing that this strategy represents a very promising approach in designing correct molecular interfaces.

1. Introduction
The majority of the cellular functions are mediated by processes that occur when two molecules recognize each other and bind [1,2]. In particular, protein-protein non-covalent associations play an essential role in several aspects, such as biocatalysis, organism immunity or cell regulatory network construction [3,4].

Since the complex network of energetic couplings occurring between interacting atoms ensures the stability of the molecular complex, residues involved in protein interfaces undergo additional evolutionary pressure, and therefore they are more conserved than other surface residues [5,6]. Indeed the substitution of an amino acid residue can modify the protein structure, stability, binding affinity and function, thus potentially leading to an altered activity of the whole complex, often pathogenic [7,8].

Because protein-protein interactions are involved in a plethora of cellular processes and consist of fine tuning of chemico-physical properties at the interfaces, in the last years many computational methods have been developed for predicting the effects of mutations on binding, relying on a wide range of techniques spanning from all-atom molecular dynamics to multiple features machine learning approaches [9–13]. An accurate in silico evaluation of mutations that strengthen or weaken a specific protein-protein interaction would represent a key guide in the identification of hot sites to target, preventing time and effort consuming experimental protocols. Indeed, methods for affinity optimization of interacting proteins, and even for the design of new binder molecules, have been developed [14–17]. These computational methods aim at redesigning protein sequences to selectively modify the characteristics of the binding sites [18,19]: the applications of such approaches can be extremely interesting, from antibody design to the optimization of inhibitors against pathogens [20–23].

A fundamental step for devising an effective computational design of molecular interfaces is the formulation of an accurate
scoring function for evaluating protein-protein complexes. This is a classic field in structural computational biology [24], and in the past years numerous scoring functions have been developed. They are generally based on combinations of several factors, such as energetical evaluation, solvation effects, statistical and knowledge-based potential, geometrical features or empirical consideration [25–29].

In this scenario, the Zernike polynomials represent a very promising strategy for rapid and effective evaluation of binding complementarity between molecular partners [30–33]. Indeed, once a protein region of a molecule is selected, its shape and its electrostatic potential can be represented as functions and then expanded on the basis of the Zernike polynomials [34,35], obtaining an ordered set of numerical descriptors invariant under rotation and translation.

The 3D Zernike description is widely applicable and it has been recently adopted for the characterization of protein-protein interfaces, where the authors use a machine learning approach to detect similarities between the interface region of proteins in terms of shape and physico-chemical similarity of local surface patch [36].

However, here we exploit the possibility of evaluating the shape and electrostatics complementarity between 2 molecular regions, to design protein mutants with increased binding compatibility: after representing both the binding sites in this compact formalism, the complementarity between them can be easily assessed comparing the corresponding Zernike descriptors through a distance metrics [30,33].

Thanks to the compactness of such an approach in evaluating the complementarity between two large biomolecules, we can accurately scan a very large set of possible mutations and assess their effects in terms of molecular complementarity. Here we present a Monte Carlo (MC) approach for the optimization of the binding interface between two proteins. More in details, once the residues composing the binding site are identified, we perform extensive computational mutagenesis – substituting in each step an interacting residue with a random one – and we evaluate the mutants complementarity comparing their Zernike descriptors, accepting or rejecting the mutation according to MC cost function. This procedure can produce mutants characterized by an increased or decreased complementarity with respect to the wild type, simply inverting the sign of the cost function. Combining the compactness of Zernike evaluation of complementarity with the MC clever exploration of the possible mutants, we devised an automated computational protocol to identify the set of residue substitutions that confer the desired properties to the protein-protein interface.

To test the method we focus on the case of the complex between human transferrin receptor 1 (CD71 or hTfR1) and the Human heavy-chain Ferritin (H-Ft), whose structural details have been recently published [37].

The interaction between these two molecules is significant both in physiological and pathological conditions [38,39]. Ferritin/CD71 complex contributes to the maintenance of cellular iron homeostasis. Ferritin works as molecular storage of iron within the cell, thus preventing its oxidative damage. The transmembrane glycoprotein CD71 regulates and mediates iron import to the cell in the form of a complex with plasma iron-binding proteins, such as ferritin or transferrin, in response to variation of intracellular iron concentration. Beyond the importance of this system in regulating iron metabolism, H-Ft/CD71 interaction is largely exploited in nanotechnology and medicine as drug delivery tools, selective for cancer cells [40,41].

Indeed, many metastatic cancers overexpress up to a hundred times more CD71 than healthy cells because of their uncontrolled proliferation rate that requires increasing iron uptake [42,43]. On the other hand, the hollow-cage like architecture of Ferritin can be easily assembled/disassembled by pH variation in the 24 sub-units that compose it, thus providing a simple mechanism for encapsulating small molecules. Therefore, numerous Ft/CD71-based strategies have been developed for anticancer treatment, being the receptor a selective route of access to tumor cells for the internalization of engineered Ft, either chemically conjugated or filled up with cytotoxic drugs.

In this context, obtaining Ferritin mutants with increased or decreased complementarity would provide a powerful tool to fine tune the therapeutic/diagnostic cargo transport and delivery from engineered Ft to cancer cells. The physiological nanomolar affinity that controls Ft and CD71 binding might be alternatively modulated depending on cancer types and stage and the cellular context to minimize detrimental effects on healthy cells. As an example, H-Ft with optimized binding properties to CD71 would increase the Ft-nanocages uptake by damaged cells, reducing the dose of Ft-encapsulated agent injected and side effects.

In addition, CD71 is also the cell entry carrier for several human-affecting viruses [44–47] and for Plasmodium vivax [48], the most common malaria parasite. Since these pathogens mostly share the ferritin epitope on CD71 [49], the optimization of the H-Ft-CD71 interface can enable the development of alternative ferritin-like therapeutic ligands capable of blocking the common epitopes on CD71 with anti-viral or anti-parasite activity.

Applying our MC approach to the H-Ft binding site, we propose both a set of 10 independent mutants that maximize the Zernike complementarity (H-C-mut) and 10 mutants that minimize the complementarity (L-C-mut).

To accurately test the reliability of proposed mutants, the analysis of the dynamical behavior of the designed molecular complexes is necessary. Since in the last years molecular dynamics simulations have been widely applied to investigate dynamically the binding properties of protein-protein associations [50–53], we simulated the molecular complex between CD71 and both the ferritin wild type and mutated variants, thus performing 21 extensive independent simulations and studying the interface properties of the various molecular complexes. Both an interface stability analysis and an energetic investigation of all molecular dynamics simulation underline a higher binding propensity of H-C-mut with respect to the L-C-mut.

This general and fast procedure allows an effective sampling of the very large space of possible protein mutations, obtaining protein mutants with the desired binding molecular complementarity. The strategy presented here can therefore represent an important step in the elusive task of the computational design of optimal proteins interface.

2. Results and discussion

2.1. Complementarity evaluation

The structural details of the interaction between H-Ft and CD71 have been recently discovered with Cryo-EM technique and deposited in Protein Data Bank (PDB id:6H5I) [37]. Dealing with the experimental structure, we define the molecules binding sites as the set of residues whose atoms are closer than 5 Å to a molecular partner atom (Fig. 1).

Once the molecular surface and the electrostatic surface potential for both the structures have been computed, we selected only the surface points generated by the binding site residues, labeled with their value of electrostatic potential. Through the voxelization procedure, we built the 3D functions representing the geometrical shape and the electrostatic potential of the binding site. Adopting the Zernike expansion the geometrical and electrostatic properties of a molecular interface are summarized in an ordered set of numbers, independently from the region size or orientation. Indeed the
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### Table 1: Protein Binding Site Residues

| Protein | Binding Site Residues |
|---------|-----------------------|
| CD71    | 195R 196A 197Q 198S 201I 202I 204D 206D 207G 208R 209L 210V 211Y 212L 215N 343E 344K 348N 371K 374K |
| H-Pt    | 5T 6S 8R 14Q 15D 17E 18A 19A 21N 22R 25N 78G 79R 81F 83Q 86K 116E 119K 121D |

Fig. 1. H-Pt and CD71 residues composing the binding sites and their contacts. In the top figure, a contact between a ferritin residue (blue) and a receptor residue (green) is established if the minimum distance between their atoms is lower than 5 Å, and the corresponding square is labeled with a red circle. (For interpretation of the references to colour in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)

patch representing functions were expanded in the Zernike
polynomials basis, and the coefficients of this expansion retain the
information about the form of the expanded function (See Methods
for a more detailed treatment). Since the functions represent the
interface properties, the Zernike coefficients are descriptive about
them.
In this manner, it is possible to characterize the binding regions
with such a compact formalism that we can establish a comple-
mentarity metrics simply using a distance between the descriptors of
the interacting surfaces. On one hand, since the shape of two
interacting surfaces is very similar, their shape Zernike descriptors
exhibit a low distance. On the other hand, the positive electrostatic
potential of one interface side has to match the negative potential
of the other side – and vice versa – so as we can define the comple-
mentarity as the mean cross distance between positive and nega-
tive electrostatic descriptors. It is worth noting that when the
complementarity is high, the distance between two surfaces is
low (See Methods).
Once we evaluated the shape and electrostatic complementar-
ity between wild type H-Ft and CD71 residues, we performed com-
umerical simulation on human ferritin. We randomly selected
and mutated a single residue to obtain a new interaction site char-
acterized by different shapes and electrostatic properties.
At this stage we recomputed the Zernike descriptors of the
mutated H-Ft binding sites, evaluating the new complementarity observed with the receptor. In particular, we define the shape and
electrostatics complementarity balance \( \Delta \text{Comp} \) as
\[
\Delta \text{Comp}_{\text{shape}} = D_{\text{shape}}^{\text{mut}} - D_{\text{shape}}^{\text{wt}} 
\]
and
\[
\Delta \text{Comp}_{\text{elec}} = D_{\text{elec}}^{\text{mut}} - D_{\text{elec}}^{\text{wt}} 
\]
where \( D_{\text{shape}}^{\text{wt}} \) and \( D_{\text{shape}}^{\text{mut}} \) are the shape distances between the interacting
surfaces when wild type or mutated interface are considered, and
similarly the electrostatic potential distances are \( D_{\text{elec}}^{\text{wt}} \) and \( D_{\text{elec}}^{\text{mut}} \). These distances are formally defined in Method Section.
Since the complementarity is high when \( D \) is low, if \( \Delta \text{Comp} < 0 \)
the mutation produces a higher complementary surface while if
\( \Delta \text{Comp} > 0 \) the mutation is deleterious.

\[
\Delta E = \Delta \text{Comp}_{\text{shape}} + x \Delta \text{Comp}_{\text{elec}} + \gamma (M^{\text{new}} - M^{\text{old}}) M^{\text{new}} 
\]
the case of H-C-mut A and the case of L-C H (see Fig. 4). In the first one, the substitution of long and positively charged arginines with glycine in position 79 and aspartate in position 9 can cause several effects: i) might provide room for R208 of CD71 that could rearrange establishing electrostatic interaction with D9; ii) might favor a closer positioning of L209 of CD71 to the N-terminus of H-Ft contacting Y12; iii) could allow R43 from the flank monomer of H-Ft to establish electrostatic interaction with the backbone carbonyl of G207 and of N206 of CD71. Moreover Q14N mutation also promotes protein-protein interaction thanks to the one unit reduced steric hindrance of the side chain, yet preserving the electrostatic contact with K374 of CD71. Similarly, K86H maintains electrostatic interaction with E343, but its aromatic nature might also allow the formation of a π-cation interaction with K344. Conversely, in the case of L-C-mut H all substitutions aim at extending the arm of the side chains of residues at positions 15, 18, 116 and 123 mostly involved in electrostatic contacts with the receptor thus hindering protein-protein binding by charge repulsion effects (such as, the contact between A18R and K374 on CD71) and steric clashes with CD71 (as in the case of A18R that clashes the βII – 1 strand of CD71 and D123R that bumps the loop βII – 1 – βII – 1 of CD71). Moreover, some mutations help others to keep the distance from the receptor: for example, the contact that D123R might form with the δ-carboxyl group of Q197 of CD71 would strengthen the charge repulsion effect of E116K since it forces the amide unit of Q197 to be oriented with the ε-amino group exposed to the positive charge of K at position 116. In conclusion, the substantial homogeneity in the results of independent simulations, considering H-C and L-C mutants separately, testifies that this procedure falls in a stable energy minimum, proving the method reliability.

2.3. Computational test of proposed mutants

The Ferritin mutants obtained with the MC protocols, both for the H-C-mut and L-C-mut case, have been computationally tested via extensive molecular dynamics simulations. Indeed, we simulated the different binding behavior between CD71 and the mutants in comparison with the wild type complex to probe the efficacy of our results.

We report the analysis of molecular dynamics simulation in Fig. 5. As it emerges from these analyses, the stability of the binding is high when H-C-mut are considered, while the simulations of CD71 in complex with L-C-mut exhibit a remarkable unsteadiness.
In particular, for each MD simulation, we report the Root Mean Square Deviation (RMSD) with respect to the initial configuration and the percentage of contact conserved along with the simulation, where contact between 2 residues exists if any 2 atoms of these residues are within a certain distance.

Table 1
High and low complementarity mutants. In each row the mutations regarding a single mutant are reported. The M represent the number of residue mutations with respect to the wild type.

| Seq no | 5 | 6 | 9 | 14 | 15 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 21 | 22 | 25 | 78 | 79 | 81 | 83 | 86 | 116 | 119 | 123 | M |
|--------|---|---|---|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|---|
| **H-C mutants** | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| A | D | N | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 4 |
| B | Q | T | S | N | H | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| C | E | H | A | N | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| D | E | N | G | H | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| E | C | L | L | G | V | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| F | E | M | G | S | V | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| G | E | N | S | R | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| H | G | L | G | R | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| I | D | F | H | G | Y | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| J | T | D | W | D | H | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| **L-C mutants** | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| A | V | R | R | K | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| B | R | R | R | K | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| C | K | R | K | R | R | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| D | R | K | K | R | K | R | R | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| E | R | K | A | R | Q | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| F | R | R | R | R | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| G | R | K | W | R | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| H | R | R | | K | R | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| I | K | R | R | K | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| J | K | K | R | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

Fig. 4. Ferritin mutants proposed after MC simulations. Top figure: Effects of H-C and L-C mutations. On the left, green ribbon representation of one CD71 monomer (pdb pdb1cxcx8 [56]). Apical domain residues involved in the interaction with H-Ft are shown as surface model colored by electrostatic (Coulomb) potential (5 kcal mol⁻¹ in red to +5 kcal mol⁻¹ in blue). On the right, examples of how one of the proposed H-C-mut (mut A, R9D/Q14N/R79G/K86H, upper panel) and one L-C-mut (mut H, D15R/A18R/E116K/D123R, lower panel) might change the interaction with CD71. H-Ft is in blue and CD71 is in green ribbon representation (pdb 6h5i, [37]). Mutations, introduced by simply mutating the corresponding H-Ft wild type residues by COOT [57] are represented in yellow sticks, while the related wild type amino acids are in white. Residues involved in interactions are represented as sticks and labelled. Black boxes label mutations. Secondary structural elements are labelled. In both panels, arrows represent all possible H-Ft mut/CD71 contacts: dashed and dotted arrows indicate electrostatic and hydrophobic interactions, respectively. Blue arrows are contacts maintained by mutants; green arrows represent contacts that mut A might form (upper panels); red arrows indicate repulsive interactions and clashes that mut H might cause (lower panels). We show the effect of H-C-mut A mutations (upper panels) and the effect of L-C-mut H mutations. (lower panels). (For interpretation of the references to colour in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)
residues are closer than 5 Å. The bindings between H-C-mut and CD-71 are stable, since the values of RMSD and conserved contacts observed are very similar to the wild type case. Conversely, the simulation of the complexes with L-C-mut typically has very high values of RMSD, and very few contacts (if any) are conserved along with dynamics.

Also, we perform an analysis of the energetic interactions occurring between ferritin and receptor, to explain the different behavior of the mutant species. Indeed, we calculated for each frame the non-covalent energies (Coulomb and Van der Walls terms) exchanged through the molecular interface between any atoms of the 2 molecules. We adopted the same formalism as we did in [58,59].

In Fig. 5, we show the mean energies of the interactions between the two molecules.

As it emerges from RMSD and conserved contacts analysis, since the undergone mutations make L-C-mut unsuitable for binding CD71 interaction site, in MD they move to find a different and more favourable conformation. Conversely, the simulation of the complexes with L-C-mut typically has very high values of RMSD, and very few contacts (if any) are conserved along with dynamics.

3. Discussions

The importance of protein-protein interactions can hardly be underestimated since they are involved in the majority of the cellular biochemical pathway. The right physiological form of binding between proteins is guaranteed by a large set of concomitant factors (such as pH, cofactors, enzymes, ...), but surely it is necessary to have a favorable energetic interaction between the interface residues. For these reasons, one of the most challenging tasks in computational biology is the design of specific protein-protein interactions with desired properties.

In a typical protein-protein interaction dozens of different residues are involved, on both sides of the interface, and the network
of their interactions can not be easily analyzed. This notwithstanding, in many cases even the substitution of a single amino acid is enough to disrupt an association, and therefore many pathological conditions are associated with a single mutation in a binding region [60].

Dealing with all this complexity, in the last decades, much effort has been addressed in the development of computational methods for the prediction of mutation effects in a protein-protein interface and, more ambitiously, for the design of protein mutants able to improve (or to decrease) the affinity with its molecular partner.

Unfortunately, the protein-protein interfaces are composed by many residues, each of which can in principle undergo a mutation in one of the 19 different ones: it becomes evident that the space of possible protein mutants is very large and the development of an efficient method to explore a large number of possibilities is essential. For this reason, experimental blind site-directed mutagenesis may require a significant amount of effort and time to scan.

Here we proposed a general and completely automated method, based on the geometric and electrostatic complementarity and a MC approach, for the identification of protein mutants that optimize the compatibility with the molecular partner. Thanks to the speed of computational mutagenesis and Zernike characterization, we could explore a large portion of the possible mutants space, reaching an optimal solution in terms of shape and electrostatic complementarity (and with a limited number of mutations with respect to the wild type).

We applied this protocol to the relevant case of the interaction between Ferritin and Transferrin Receptor, obtaining Ferritin mutants characterized selectively by increased or decreased complementarity with Transferrin Receptor. These results might have important bioengineering implications in developing alternative ferritin-based agents.

Simulating these complexes in molecular dynamics we demonstrate that high complementarity mutants exhibit higher partner compatibility than their lower complementarity counterparts.

The method demonstrated capability in testing a very large set of possible mutations, identifying the correct set of mutations able to increase or decrease the molecular complementarity in a limited amount of time, represent a new and very promising strategy for the computational design of protein-protein interfaces. The possible applications of such an approach are numerous, from antibody design to the optimization of inhibitors against pathogens.

4. Materials and methods

4.1. Surface construction

Starting from the experimental structure, the computational mutagenesis has been performed using the SCWRL4 software [61].

In each step, atomic charges and radii were assigned using PDB2PQR [62]. Solvent Accessible Surface and Electrostatic surface potential are computed using Blues software (with flags -sr and -srspot) [63]. Blues uses a generalized Born (GB) models in order to obtain the surface potential. We adopted Standard parameters: inner dielectric constant 1.0, outer dielectric constant 78.54, temperature 298.15 K, ionic strength 0.15 M. The surface points generated by each residue were associated with the mean electrostatic potential of the examined residue. For protein structure manipulation we used ‘geometry’ [64] and ‘Bio3D’ [65] packages available in R.

4.2. The Zernike expansion

Once the molecular surface is built, through the voxelization procedure, the surface shape and electrostatic characterization can be represented as 3D functions [66]. In particular, the set of selected molecular surface points was scaled to the unit sphere and placed into a 3D grid of dimension 128³. Voxelization was performed differently for shape and electrostatics. In shape voxelization, each voxel was set to 1 if the center of the voxel was closer than 1.7 to any surface point, 0 otherwise. In electrostatic voxelization, each voxel is labeled with the mean electrostatic value of the points inside it. In this way we built the 3D functions associating the x,y,z value to the 1-0 shape representation or to the mean electrostatic potentials. The Zernike descriptors are obtained by expanding the functions in the Zernike Polynomials basis, summarizing the functions as an ordered set of Zernike descriptors.

In order to quantitatively describe a molecular surface region, we use the Zernike polynomials expansion and the related moments. Moment-based representations are a class of mathematical descriptors, originally utilized for 2D pattern recognition and then extended to 3D [67,34,35].

A function representing a surface property f(r, θ, ϕ), defined in the unit sphere, can be written as:

\[ f(r, \theta, \phi) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{l=0}^{n} \sum_{m=-l}^{l} C_{nlm}(r, \theta, \phi) \]

where the \( C_{nlm} \) are the 3D Zernike polynomials, and the coefficients \( C_{nlm} \) are the Zernike moments.

The Zernike polynomials are defined as:

\[ Z_{nlm}(r, \theta, \phi) = R_{nl}(r) Y_{ml}^{*}(\theta, \phi) \]

where the \( Y \) functions are spherical harmonics of corresponding indices depending on angular coordinates while \( R \), depending only on the radius \( r \), is given by:

\[ R_{nl}(r) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} N_{nk} r^{n-2k} \]

where \( N \) is a normalization factor.

The accuracy of the description is modulated selecting the order \( N \) at which the sum over \( n \) is truncated. In this work, we use \( N = 20 \), corresponding to 121 coefficients for each function.

Indeed, the 3D Zernike Moments of a function \( f(r, \theta, \phi) \) are defined as:

\[ C_{nlm} = \int_{\beta} f(r) Z_{nlm}^{*}(r, \theta, \phi) \, dr \]

where \( Z \) is the polynomial complex conjugate.

In order to obtain the descriptors, invariant under translation and rotation, we compute the norm (the sum over the index \( m \)) of the Zernike Moments. The 3D Zernike Descriptors (3DZD) are defined as:

\[ D_{3d} = ||C_{nlm}|| = \sqrt{\sum_{l=0}^{n} \sum_{m=-l}^{l} (C_{nlm})^2} \]

A more complete description of the Zernike formalism can be found here [68,69]. The calculation of the Zernike descriptors is made using the python code described in Ref. [70].

4.3. Complementarity metrics of Zernike descriptors

We used the manhattan distance for the comparisons between Zernike descriptors.

If \( \mathbf{T} \) and \( \mathbf{V} \) are 2 vectors of 121 components, the manhattan distance between them is:

\[ \text{Dist}(\mathbf{T}, \mathbf{V}) = \sum_{i=1}^{121} |T_i - V_i| \]
Given 2 protein regions, A and B, when we analyze the complementarity between their Zernike descriptors we have:

\[
D_{\text{shape}}^{A-B} = \text{Dist}(A_{\text{shape}}, B_{\text{shape}})
\]  
\[
D_{\text{elec}}^{A-B} = \frac{\text{Dist}(A_{\text{elec}}, B_{\text{elec}}) + \text{Dist}(A_{\text{elec}}, B_{\text{elec}})}{2}
\]

where \( A_{\text{shape}}, B_{\text{shape}}, A_{\text{elec}}, B_{\text{elec}} \) are, respectively, the shape, the electrostatic positive potential, and the electrostatic negative potential Zernike descriptors.

As said, the shape of 2 fitting surfaces is similar and therefore the distance between the Zernike descriptors should be small. On the other hand, in order to achieve a high electrostatic complementarity, the Zernike descriptors describing the positive potential of one patch have to be similar to the negative potential ones of the interacting patch (and vice versa).

High complementarity is achieved when the distance between the corresponding descriptors are small.

### 4.4. Monte Carlo details

As described in Monte Carlo Procedure section, we perform 2 different Monte Carlo simulation types, one to increase and one to decrease the complementarity.

When we deal with the complementarity increase, the potential energy function is:

\[
\Delta E = \Delta \text{Comp}_{\text{shape}} + x \Delta \text{Comp}_{\text{elec}} + \frac{\gamma}{2} [M_{\text{new}} - M_{\text{old}}]M_{\text{new}}^2
\]

where \( x = \frac{1}{2} \) and \( \gamma = \frac{1}{6} \). \( M \) is the number of the mutations with respect to the wild type. The subscripts \( \text{new} \) and \( \text{old} \) refer to the new and the last accepted mutation respectively. Each mutation is accepted with the probability:

\[
P = \begin{cases} 
1 & \text{if } \Delta E < 0 \\
e^{-\beta \Delta E} & \text{if } \Delta E \geq 0 
\end{cases}
\]

where \( \beta \) is the temperature factor. \( \beta \) values start from 75 and go to 600 with a jump of 75; each of 8 \( \beta \) value is maintained for 400 steps for a total of 3200 steps.

When we deal with complementarity loss, the potential form is:

\[
\Delta E = -\left(\Delta \text{Comp}_{\text{shape}} + \Delta \text{Comp}_{\text{elec}} + \frac{\gamma}{2} [M_{\text{new}} - M_{\text{old}}]M_{\text{new}}^2\right)
\]

and the acceptance probability is Eq. (14).

### 4.5. Molecular simulation

Starting from the cryo-EM structure (PDB id:6h5i), we simulate the wild type complex between H-Ft and CD71, and then CD71 in complex with both 10 high complementarity and 10 low complementarity mutants. For each of 21 complexes we minimized using the steepest descent algorithm. We thus perform sequentially a different Monte Carlo simulation types, one to increase and one to decrease the system size and on the selected number of steps.

### 4.6. Software availability

The main software, performing the complete protocol for surface optimization is available upon request. An amino acid substitution with the relative Zernike calculation requires tens of second: the time needed for the complete protocol application depends on the system size and on the selected number of steps.

---
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