An Alternate Natural Remedy for Symptomatic Relief of Helicobacter pylori Dyspepsia
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Abstract

Aim: This study aimed at introducing a simple natural remedy for adequate clinical symptomatic relief of Helicobacter pylori dyspepsia.

Background: Helicobacter pylori remains a challenging worldwide medical problem due to its extreme widespread prevalence, the lost quality of life of patients, the economic burden associated with its upper gastrointestinal symptoms and its close relation to acid peptic disease, gastric carcinoma and lymphoma. A massive knowledge has lately evolved concerning rediscovery and treatment of H. pylori; in fact, most of this information is exceedingly in need of re-assessment and redetermination. As acetate exists among the end products in the metabolism of H. pylori; therefore, vinegar could interfere with the energy metabolism and the respiratory chain of the bacterium according to the rules of feedback regulation and product inhibition.

Patients and Methods: 100 Patients were included in this study according to their clinical symptoms and serology testing. Their age ranged between 35-50 years, 11 patients were newly diagnosed. All were given a vinegar-mixed food or salad during meals for 10 days.

Results: 90 patients showed complete disappearance of their clinical symptoms. 7 patients showed incomplete relief of symptoms; their symptoms were relieved after revision of treatment. Recurrence occurred in 3 patients which were easily treated. Disappearance of clinical symptom was considered a clinical cure of symptoms with no need for further testing.

Conclusion: The natural remedy used in this study, acetic acid (dietary vinegar), proved to be simple, costless and effective, even the relief of clinical symptoms was immediate and rather dramatic. It constitutes a simple and decisive solution for the economic burden and the lost patient’s quality of life caused by H. pylori.
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Introduction

Functional dyspepsia is a clinical syndrome defined as chronic or recurrent pain or discomfort in the upper abdomen of a variable origin. A general agreement exists on the irrelevant role played by Helicobacter pylori in the pathophysiology of functional dyspepsia [1]. H. pylori represents one of the most common and medically prominent infections worldwide; it is becoming exceedingly a challenging medical problem. About 50% of adults in the developed and 80-90% in the developing countries are estimated to be infected by H. pylori [2,3].

Infection with H. pylori is typically life-long unless treated. It has got a clear age-related prevalence; increasing from 10% in those younger than 30 until it reaches a plateau of about 60% in those older than age of 60 or even to about 70% at 50 years of age in higher risk areas [4,5].

In children, infection starts trans-familial during early childhood, and the H. pylori strain is often identical with that of parents. Interestingly, children maintain the same strain genotype even after moving to a different environment [6].

H. pylori clearly causes histologic gastritis; the most common endpoint of H. pylori infection is chronic superficial gastritis which is a leading element of peptic ulcer disease. Although duodenal and gastric ulcers develop in the minority of H. pylori infected patients; yet, H. pylori infection is found in 80% to 95% of patients with duodenal ulcers and 70% to 90% of patients with gastric ulcers. The observation that eradication of H. pylori by antibacterial treatment results in normalization of the gastric histology and prevents the recurrence of peptic ulcers strongly supports the role of H. pylori in chronic gastritis and peptic ulcer disease [4].
Atrophic gastritis is a further end result of chronic gastritis; long-term atrophic changes with metaplasia predispose to and increase the risk of gastric carcinoma. *H. pylori* is classified as type I (definitive) carcinogen, and gastric cancer is considered the world’s second cause of cancer-related mortality [4,6].

The mucosal lymphocytic response to *H. pylori* infection significantly increases the risk of gastric mucosa associated lymphoid tissue (MALT) lymphoma as the vast majority of gastric MALT lymphoma patients are infected with *H. pylori*. Interestingly, both *H. pylori* and MALT lymphoma are so linked as if they were almost born simultaneously. The normal stomach is devoid of organized lymphoid tissue; it was shown that lymphocytic gastritis and lymphoid follicles develop in *H. pylori* infected persons in response to infection, and the formed lymphoid tissue is morphologically identical with normal MALT. Furthermore, it was found that eradication of *H. pylori* with antibiotics alone resulted in regression of gastric MALT lymphoma in 75% of patients, and those patients have shown sustained clinical remission of their lymphomas. The recent estimated incidence of this lymphoma in Europe is 7-8 per 100,000 population, and it could reach 13 per 100,000 population. Developing countries, not surprisingly, show higher incidences. Prolonged residual MALT lymphoma could constitute an additional risk for gastric carcinoma [6,7].

The economic burden associated with the gastrointestinal symptoms makes *H. pylori*-associated disorders an ideal subject for economic evaluation. Cost-effectiveness analysis should be understood as the overall improvement in the quality of health care services, and not simply a way to reduce health care costs. There has been an obvious controversy concerning the cost effectiveness of the management of *H. pylori* infection [8].

*H. pylori* infection can be detected by a variety of methods; the simplest and least expensive method is serology. Positive serology does not distinguish between active and chronic infection, and is less specific as compared to other methods like histopathology, culture, *H. pylori* faecal antigen or urea breath test [9]. In a study of empirical eradication of *H. pylori* based on cost-effectiveness analysis, serology was also found to be the best cost effective among diagnostic measures [10].

The efficacy of *H. pylori* eradication treatment for non-ulcer dyspepsia is also controversial, different randomized controlled trials have given conflicting results. Overall, *H. pylori* eradication treatment for non-ulcer dyspepsia had no significant effect on quality of life compared with placebo [11-13]. *H. pylori* eradication for non-ulcer dyspepsia symptoms was found more costly if compared to antacid treatment. However, it has been of small but statistically significant benefit for dyspepsia symptoms of non-ulcer patients; patients receiving eradication treatment would benefit by an average of an extra 0.56 months free from dyspepsia per year than those given antacid [14]. Further studies comparing between *H. pylori* treatment and eradication for non-ulcer dyspepsia showed improvement of dyspeptic symptoms after treatment or eradication with little differences between them [15].

Data from observational studies have proposed a protective role of *H. pylori* infection against the development of gastro-oesophageal reflux disease, and suggested that *H. pylori* eradication treatment may increase the incidence of reflux symptoms. It was observed that the prevalence of *H. pylori* infection has been decreasing in developed countries, while the prevalence of gastro-oesophageal reflux disease and oesophageal adenocarcinoma have been increasing since 1930s [16-19]. A randomized controlled trial done in Sep 2000 did not report significant increase in reflux disease after *H. pylori* eradication, [14] while another study reported that eradication of *H. pylori* infection acts on the improvement of gastro-oesophageal disease [20].

Although the appropriate length of *H. pylori* treatment remains also controversial, yet most strategies suggest that eradication of *H. pylori* is more cost effective than conventional therapy for the treatment of gastric and duodenal ulcers [21,22]. Bacterial resistance and treatment failure would add further cost for eradication, and might render routine pretreatment sensitivity testing to become a cost effective measure in some situations [23].

In a further aspect, eradication of *H. pylori* infection was found potentially effective in reducing mortality from distal gastric cancer and peptic ulcer disease [22,24]. It has been estimated that 1:30-1:60 of the UK population die from an *H. pylori* related disease [25].

**Design and Setting**

Prospective study done in Balghsoon Clinics in Jeddah/Saudi Arabia during Oct. 2011- May 2013.

**Patients and Methods**

100 patients with frank dyspeptic symptoms were included in this study without any social or class selection, their age ranged between 35-50 years. 11 of them were newly diagnosed; diagnosis was based on clinical symptoms and serology. All patients were given a natural remedy for 10 days, the basic principle of this natural remedy was dietary white vinegar (acetic acid 5%) given in 2 ways; one for gastric and duodenal ulcers [21,22]. Bacterial resistance and treatment consisted of adding 15 cc of dietary white vinegar to a food stuff (white cheese, yoghurt, mashed potato; best is yoghurt), and to be taken twice daily as a salad with lunch and dinner meals. The oral treatment was done to control gastric re-recurrence from dental plaque colonization; 5 cc of white vinegar as mouth wash once daily for 2-3 days per week.

**Results**

Relief of gastric symptoms was immediate and it was expressed by some patients as being dramatic. Spontaneous disappearance of clinical symptoms was considered as clinical cure; it occurred in 90 patients between 7 and 10 days of treatment. Seven patients did not show complete disappearance of clinical symptoms until 10 days, relief of their clinical symptoms was accomplished by repetition of treatment.

Recurrence of symptoms occurred in 3 patients, possibly due recurrence via oral intake, which was easily managed by the natural remedy for less than 3 days. Only one patient in this series did not get rid of his symptoms after vinegar therapy; an associated gastric pathology unrelated to *H. pylori* needed to be properly assessed. Two patients did not complete the study.

None of the cured 97 patients showed any clinical symptoms of gastro-oesophageal reflux.

**Ethical Considerations**

An informed signed consent was taken from all patients, they were made aware about safety of the natural remedy and they were free to quit the study whenever they like. All patients were allowed to lead...
Discussion

H. pylori infection remains a challenging worldwide medical problem due to its extreme widespread prevalence, the lost quality of life of patients and the economic burden associated with its upper gastrointestinal symptoms [6,26].

H. pylori infection is associated with chronic superficial gastritis, and there is unequivocal evidence that infection with H. pylori plays a principal role in the pathogenesis of peptic ulcer disease. Increasing evidences indicate that H. pylori is an important reason in causing gastric carcinoma and lymphoma [4,14,27]. All these reasons made the medical world believe that H. pylori eradication should be a necessary attempt.

Although the eradication regimens efficiently eradicate H. pylori from the stomach; the emergence of antibiotic-resistant H. pylori strains, the severe side effects and high costs are major drawbacks of these treatments [28]. More efficient, economic and friendly drugs need to be developed.

Amazingly, H. pylori may not be just a bad bug in all instances, as complete eradication of the bacterium might introduce new problems due to the low gastric acidity. H. pylori has been shown to be a protective agent against low pH level-related carcinomas involving the cardia of the stomach; [6] what a challenge!! As if a medicine is needed to eradicate H. pylori and to avoid the side effects of the treatment itself at the mean time.

Cost effectiveness should be an integral element in selecting an eradication strategy for H. pylori; small differences in efficiency of different strategies can affect the comparative cost of eradication [29]. The efficacy of H. pylori eradication strategies, the appropriate length of treatment and the cost effectiveness, all appear controversial [15,22].

Antibiotic-resistant H. pylori strains are becoming increasingly prevalent; treatment failure would add further cost and burden as most physicians currently treat H. pylori without relying on antimicrobial susceptibility testing to choose the best effective regimen [23]. Furthermore, treatment that does not eradicate H. pylori is associated with rapid recurrence of acid-peptic disease in most patients [30].

Some reports suggested empirical eradication of H. pylori or treat approach rather than test-and-treat approach to improve the cost effectiveness of eradication among patients of already diagnosed non-complicated duodenal ulcer [10,31].

The mechanism by which H. pylori eradication reduces dyspepsia symptoms in patients with non-ulcer dyspepsia is unclear [4]. It is also uncertain whether H. pylori augments the antisecretory effects of proton pump inhibitors or it accelerates the development of atrophic gastritis; therefore, the conflicting data indicating that reflux symptoms or erosive oesophagitis develop after H. pylori eradication should not be overlooked. Moreover, the high prevalence of mild body atrophic gastritis in H. pylori positive patients suggests that H. pylori eradication is unlikely to lead to gastric functional recovery [18,32].

All these arguments related to the challenges caused by H. pylori and its management have raised up the motive for this study aiming at introducing a reliable simple choice that can give sufficient relief of clinical symptoms and an adequate cure with minimal cost.

Concerning the clinical picture of H. pylori; acute infection includes upper gastrointestinal pain, burping, gastric distension, halitosis, hyperacidity and later hypochlohydria, while chronic infection can be asymptomatic. Gastric acid secretion is stimulated during early stages by the inflammatory process and by the juxtamucosal ammonia produced by the bacterium, while hypochlohydria develops later due to mucosal atrophy [27,33]. In this study, patients were included according to their clinical symptoms and serology testing. Serology, though non-specific as regards H. pylori detection, yet it was chosen as being simple and costly effective [9,10].

Concerning the pathologic behavior, H. pylori colonized the stomach since an immemorial time; [6] as if both the stomach and the bacterium used to live together in peace harmless to each other.

The organism resides and colonizes under the layer of mucus overlying gastric mucosa; colonization rates increase with age that could reach 50% in an asymptomatic adult over 50 years of age. The organism’s intense urease activity produces ammonia from organic urea in gastric juice in such amounts that can buffer the pH of gastric acid. Although gastric acid plays an important role in the protection against many enteric organisms, and H. pylori can be readily killed by a brief exposure to hydrochloric acid solutions with pH below 4.0; yet, survival of H. pylori inside the stomach is achieved through various defense mechanisms. The gastric mucus layer is relatively thick and viscous allowing for H. pylori pH gradiants from approximately pH 2 close to the gastric lumen until pH 7.4 immediately adjacent to the mucosa. The high motility of H. pylori via its flagellae even in very viscous mucus allows the organism to swim and migrate freely to reach the most favourable pH gradient. Furthermore, elaboration of ammonia from endogenous urea buffers gastric acid in the immediate vicinity of the organism [27,32-35].

In vitro inhibition of H. pylori growth was demonstrated due to the effect of pH of bio-organic acids, lactic and acetic, with the lactic acid demonstrating the greatest inhibition [36] In an interesting case report, discontinuation of vinegar and lemon intake was associated with the development of H. pylori infection in a 52 years old male adapted to high daily intake of acid substances [37].

The complex nutritional requirements of H. pylori are achieved via its unique energy metabolism, which exhibits characteristic dislocation sites. These sites can be considered as targets that should attract any attempts to fight the organism [28,38]. As acetate is demonstrated as an end product among the metabolic pathway of H. pylori [39,40] therefore, addition of acetic acid in the atmosphere around H. pylori could compromise the energy metabolism of H. pylori or interfere with the organism’s respiratory chain metabolism. This suggestion is supported by the fact that the major routes of generation of energy for H. pylori are via pyruvate and the activity of the pyruvate dehydrogenase complex is controlled by the rules of product inhibition and feedback regulation [41,42]. For the same reason, addition of pyruvate to different solid culture media was found to inhibit bacterial growth, and this inhibition was attributed to accumulation of acetate and formate [43].

The main product of glucose utilization of H. pylori was identified as lactate [38] therefore, yoghurt was chosen in this study as the best food stuff to be mixed with vinegar in order to assist interference with the energy metabolism of the organism through product inhibition.
As the matter includes interference with the energy metabolism and the respiratory chain metabolism of *H. pylori*, an immediate paralysis of the bacterium could be considered, which explains the immediate symptomatic relief expressed by some patients upon intake of a vinegar-mixed food.

It has been reported that the disappearance of dyspeptic symptoms can be an indication of clinical cure; patients who are rendered asymptomatic after treatment do not need further investigation or treatment, they can just return for re-assessment if they develop recurrent symptoms [44]. It has been reported that, in patients with uncomplicated duodenal ulcer, evaluation of eradication after *H. pylori* treatment markedly increases cost with no clear improvement in results; and therefore evaluation should not be performed routinely [45]. In this study, eradication of clinical symptoms was considered a clinical cure. Recurrence of symptoms in this study was negligible, and was treated by returning to the natural remedy for few days; 3-5 days.

Concerning oral eradication, mouth wash with dietary white vinegar was the method in this study to deal with the dental plaque colonization in order to prevent gastric recurrence. Dental plaques being a secondary reservoir for *H. pylori* can lead to gastric re-infection. In a study done in March 2003, gastric eradication was achieved in 83% of patients, while efforts to eradicate dental plaque colonization were unsuccessful in all patients [46].

The fact that vinegar has got an antibacterial activity that can induce immediate in vitro inhibition of the growth of pathogenic bacteria allowing its use in different practical applications, has been reported in literature [36,47]. It has been also reported that bacterial growth on fish fillets media was highly inhibited by relatively small concentrations of acetate (less than 0.3%) [48]. These facts strongly support the idea of using vinegar for hands disinfection to control fecal/oral-re-infection in patients under *H. pylori* eradication therapy.

*H. pylori* re-infection; whether it is gastric recurrence from dental plaques, fecal-oral re-infection or re-infection via oral intake, is hardly avoidable. In children, elimination of *H. pylori* is probably common due to the frequent antibiotic use for other reasons; yet, trans-familial recurrence still resembles a challenge. Moreover, tonsils and adenoids are lately discovered as secondary reservoirs for *H. pylori* in children [6,49].

The previous three decades have shown evolution of massive knowledge concerning the subject of *H. pylori*, specifically after the rediscovery of a bacterium which is surviving in the stomach and the development of *H. pylori* antibiotic eradication therapies in 1985 & 1986. In fact, most of these scientific criteria are exceedingly in need of extensive re-assessment and accurate redetermination [50].

Conclusion

The natural remedy (dietary vinegar) used in this study constitutes a simple answer for a complex subject; it proved to be simple, costless and effective. Moreover, it does not deprive the stomach form the useful bactericidal effect of gastric HCl and it does not carry the disadvantage of increased gastro-esophageal reflux symptoms. It is worthy of wide practical application and changing the attitude towards the challenge known as *H. pylori* dyspepsia.
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