Introductions

Fractures of the capitellum humeri are rare injuries accounting for only 1% of all fractures and around 6% of fractures close to the elbow [15]. Hahn first described a fracture of the capitellum in 1853 [10]. Since then, several classifications have been developed for these fractures. The classifications most commonly used for capitellum fractures are the descriptive Bryan and Morrey classification (modified by McKee et al.) and the Dubberley classification [8, 13–15]. Another classification was proposed by Ring et al., generally focusing on coronal shear fractures of the distal humerus [19].

Today, capitellum fractures are usually treated by osteosynthesis. Single-fragment uncomminuted fractures (type I and IV according to the modified Reagan–Morrey classification) can be successfully treated using headless screws (Fig. 1), while fixation of smaller fragments can be achieved with bioabsorbable pins (type II; Fig. 2: [11, 12, 21, 22, 26]). Comminuted fractures (type III) are stabilized by applying an additional buttress plating to the fracture site [19].

Capitellum fractures are often more complex than expected upon analyzing conventional radiographs [19]. Computed tomography (CT) is therefore regularly recommended in these cases so as to diagnose the extent of the fracture and to plan operative treatment.

Since capitellar fractures are rare, the data on associated injuries in fractures of the capitellum are limited to smaller studies providing clinical data of these fractures. The aim of this study was to investigate the mechanism of injury, the treatment, and the injuries associated with capitellar fractures.

Patients and methods

Patients with a partial intra-articular distal humerus fracture treated at our institute between 2005 and 2017 were identified by medical chart review. Available imaging studies, including conventional radiographs as well as CT scans, were reviewed. Patients with fractures of the capitellum were included in the study. Fractures involving the epicondyle or low-plane fractures of the distal humerus were excluded. Fractures were then selected and classified using the archived radiographs according to the modified Bryan–Morrey and the Dubberley classifications. All capitellar fractures treated at our institute with available imaging were included in this study comprising 27 patients.

Conventional radiographs, CT scans, and surgical reports were reviewed for associated lesions of the elbow joint. All patients had preoperative conventional radiographs of the elbow joint in antero–posterior and lateral projection. For 21 patients, a preoperative CT scan was available, and for one patient an additional preoperative magnetic resonance imaging study could be reviewed. Ligamentous injuries were diagnosed intraoperatively. The frequencies of associated lesions and their distribution according to the fracture type were tested with the chi-squared test (95% confidence interval [CI]) using GraphPad Prism version 6.0c for Mac (GraphPad Software, La Jolla CA, USA).

Fig. 1 a Sagittal computed tomography reconstruction of the injured elbow. Shear fracture of the capitellum (type I) with associated anterior radial head injury (arrow). b After osteosynthesis with two headless screws (in posterior–anterior direction)
Results

We included 16 female patients and 11 male patients with a median age of 57 years (range, 4–78). Patients reported on the mechanism of their injury in 96% of cases (all but one case). Four patients fell on their outstretched arm, 19 patients suffered a direct blow to their elbow during a fall, and in two cases the injury was associated with a traffic accident on a bicycle (Table 1).

Of the 27 fractures included in our study, 33% could be classified as a type I fracture according to the modified Bryan–Morrey classification (Table 2). The incidence of associated elbow injuries in capitellar fractures was 44% (12 cases; Table 3). Ten of the patients included in our study (37%) had an associated radial head fracture (Fig. 3). In two cases, a terrible triad injury (radial head fracture, posterior dislocation of the elbow joint, and coronoid fracture) was observed. A ligamentous injury to the radial collateral ligament was observed in two cases. The chi-squared test, used to analyze the distribution of associated lesions according to the fracture type (McKee or Dubberley), revealed no significant differences between capitellar fractures of a specific type.

All patients were treated operatively: ten patients were treated with Herbert screws (five in anterior–posterior orientation, five in posterior–anterior orientation). In general, screw fixation was performed on 17 patients, while plate fixation or a combination of screws, plates, or suture anchors was necessary in ten patients. Bioabsorbable pins were used in two cases. In one patient, a comminuted fracture of the capitellum could not be reconstructed and was therefore excised. Preoperative radiographs or CT scans were available for all patients.

Of the fractures, 26 healed in a timely manner. However, in one case, a comminuted fracture (Bryan–Morrey type III) resulted in necrosis of the capitellum.

Discussion

Associated injuries occur frequently in capitellar fractures. We found injuries to the radial head in more than 37% of
all capitellar fractures. Few studies exist providing clinical data of capitellar fractures. Watts et al. presented the largest number of cases [27]. They found a total of 19 radial fractures as well as two complex soft-tissue injuries (one nerve injury of the ulnar nerve in a McKee type IV fracture, one open type I fracture) in 79 partial intra-articular fractures of the distal humerus [27]. However, they could not find a correlation between the type of fracture and an associated injury. Other studies analyzing data on capitellar fractures mostly describe outcomes after specific types of osteosynthesis, such as outcomes after treatment with double-threaded screws, headless screws, canulated screws, or bioabsorbable pins. There are only a few studies available describing the outcomes of nonoperative treatment or analyzing treatment in adolescents. In these studies, associated radial head injuries were found in up to 66% of type II capitellar fractures or 50% of type IV capitellar fractures (both according to the modified Bryan–Morrey classification; [9, 21]). Ruchelsman et al. were the first to report on the association of associated injuries in capitellar fractures with regard to outcome and specific fracture types [21]. In their cohort of 16 patients, they found five patients with associated radial head fractures. When comparing these two groups, patients with a radial head fracture had a slightly smaller arc of motion and worse functional scores (American Shoulder and Elbow Surgeons’ Score [ASES]: 35 vs. 39 points, Mayo Elbow Performance Index [MEPI]: 87 vs. 94 points). However, none of the clinical parameters in this comparison could be considered as statistically significant. The overall missing evidence of an association between radial head fractures and a specific fracture type might be due to the small number of fractures analyzed in the respective studies. This is also confirmed by the results of Watts et al., where no such association could be found in their study including 79 distal intra-articular shear fractures of the humerus [27].

The severity of intra-articular distal humeral fractures was first appreciated by Ring et al. [19]. They could only appreciate the complexity of the fractures...
Lateraland anterior–posterior radiographsoftheinjuredelbow.Capitellarfracture (type III) with associatedMason-IIfractureoftheradialheadandtearofthelateralcollateralligament after operative treatment. The capitellar fracture was treated with bioabsorbable pins, torn ligaments were refixed using Mitek anchors, and the radial head fracture was osteosynthesized with two small-fragment screws

Shearfracture ofthecapitellum(type I) with associated anteriorsuperior radial head injury (same case as in Fig. 1). The arrow indicates the injury on the anterior radial head, the asterisk indicates the shear fragment of the capitellum upon surgical exposure during operative treatment and therefore recommend operative treatment with implants buried under the articular surface to restore function [19]. Operative treatment of capitellar fractures has also been shown to confer favorable clinical outcomes compared with nonoperative treatment and is therefore generally recommended in most cases [2, 4, 8, 9, 11–14, 18, 21, 22, 24–26]. A high incidence of associated injuries, especially of the radial head, may be explained as follows: fractures of the capitellum humeri frequently occur during a direct blow to the elbow or a fall on the outstretched arm, identical to the mechanism leading to a radial head fracture [1, 3, 16, 20]. In this scenario, associated radial head fractures (or capitellar fractures) can be viewed as corresponding lesions on the opposite site of the joint, a phenomenon that has been termed “kissing lesion” on the elbow by Claessen et al. [6]. This term is also used for opposing osteochondral lesions in other regions such as the talotibial joint [23]. In the elbow joint, however, this term has also been used for lateral osteochondral lesions caused by repetitive trauma [7].

Generally, cartilage injuries of the capitellum are known to be frequently present in patients with radial head fractures. Such lesions can be missed in preoperative imaging and may only be evident intraoperatively [5, 17]. Interestingly, Nalbantoglu et al. showed that higher grades of cartilage injuries are created by lower-grade radial head fractures, since the intact radial head can cause greater damage to the capitellum [17]. Consequently, both radial head and capitellum fractures, which in most cases result from patients falling on the outstretched arm, are regularly associated with related injuries of the corresponding joint.

Limitations

The present study has certain limitations. First, owing to the low incidence of capitellar fractures, significant injuries associated with certain fracture types could not be found. Also, we only present the retrospective data acquired from patients treated for fractures of the capitellum. Differences in clinical outcomes of capitellar fractures with associated injuries at the elbow joint may reveal specific treatment strategies for these patients and need to be addressed in future studies.

Practical conclusion

- The incidence of radial head fractures is high in patients with fractures of the capitellum humeri.
- Missed lesions of the radial head in patients with capitellar fractures may lead to inferior outcomes in such cases.
- A thorough inspection of the surrounding structures on radiographic images or intraoperatively is imperative, and fractures should be treated accordingly.
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