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A Rare Tumor: Small Cell Prostate Carcinoma Case Report
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Abstract

Prostate small cell carcinoma is a rare and aggressive tumor. They can be distinguished from classic prostate adenocarcinoma by features such as lack of prostate-specific antigen secretion, failure to respond to androgen suppression therapy, osteolytic bone lesions, and visceral metastasis. Herein, we present a case of previously diagnosed prostate adenocarcinoma that transformed to prostate small cell carcinoma, together with a discussion of the current literature.
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Introduction

Prostate cancer is the second most common cancer in men (1). Prostatic small cell carcinoma (PSCC) is a rare and aggressive tumor. It accounts for 0.5-2% of all prostate cancers (2) and the mean age at detection is 65 years (3).

Although Wenk et al. (4) first described PSCC in 1977, their biological behavior remains unclear (5). PSCC has features unlike prostate adenocarcinoma such as lack of prostate-specific antigen (PSA) secretion, nonresponse to androgen suppression therapy, and formation of osteolytic bone lesions and visceral metastases, and follows an aggressive course (6).

Due to the absence of androgen receptors in PSCC cells, it is hormone-resistant and is currently treated similarly to small cell lung cancer (5). Prognosis is poor, with only a few cases of complete remission reported in the literature (7).

In this article, we describe the development of PSCC in a patient who was diagnosed with prostate adenocarcinoma but could not be followed regularly, and discuss the case in light of the literature.

Case Presentation

An 87-year-old male patient was referred to our clinic in May 2017 due to bladder perforation that occurred during passive transurethral resection of the prostate (TURP) at another center. According to the patient’s history, TURP performed in 2013 due to PSA level of 84 ng/mL resulted in a diagnosis of prostate adenocarcinoma (Gleason score: 4+3) and hormone therapy was recommended, but his treatment adherence was poor.

The discharge report from the other medical center indicated that prior to TURP, the patient’s prostate was grade-3 in size, hard and fixed on rectal examination, PSA was >100 ng/mL, and urinary system ultrasound (US) showed grade-2 dilation in the collecting systems of both kidneys and a 40x21 mm mass in the bladder base that was evaluated as a prostatic invasion of the bladder.

Abdominal US performed during TURP due to the development of abdominal distension showed free fluid in the abdomen. A peroperative drain was placed in the abdomen and the patient was referred to our clinic for further examination and treatment. On physical examination, the abdomen was painless...
with no distention or rebound. Laboratory results showed creatinine level of 3.4 mg/dL, leukocyte count of 10,310/mm$^3$, hemoglobin level of 12.9 g/dL, and the other laboratory values were within normal range. Under local anesthesia, the patient underwent bilateral US-guided percutaneous nephrostomy. The patient's leukocyte and creatinine values returned to normal range during follow-up. The abdominal fluid resolved and the drain was removed, followed by the urethral catheter.

The pathology report for the TURP indicated 90% small cell carcinoma and 10% prostate adenocarcinoma (Gleason score: 4+3). The tumor showed occasional irregular cribriform pattern in the acinar cell carcinoma areas, while small cell carcinoma morphology showing solid layering was seen in the large areas. Tumor cells in these areas had relatively uniform, narrow cytoplasm and coarse chromatin pattern. In immunohistochemical staining, the small cell carcinoma areas were CD56, chromogranin, synaptophysin, thyroid and transcription factor-1 (TTF-1) positive; cytokeratin focal positive; PSA, prostate-specific acid phosphatase (PSAP), and alpha-
methylacyl-coenzyme A racemase (AMACR) negative, and Ki67 index was 80% (Figures 1 and 2). No metastatic lesions were detected in contrast-enhanced thoracic and whole-body computed tomography scans conducted for staging purposes. Whole-body bone scintigraphy (WBBS) revealed multiple areas of increased uptake in the thoracic and lumbar vertebrae, the costa, and both acetabulums, and the patient was started on maximal androgen blockade (MAB) therapy and referred to the medical oncology department for chemotherapy. It was learned that the patient did not present to medical oncology and died 2 months later.

Discussion

Histologically, most prostate cancers are adenocarcinomas originating from prostate glandular cells. PSCC is a rare and aggressive malignancy of the prostate, with a mean age at diagnosis of 65 (3).
There are various theories regarding the origins of PSCC. In patients previously diagnosed with prostate adenocarcinoma, it is believed to arise due to adenocarcinoma cell transformation or to neuroendocrine cell proliferation induced by antiandrogen therapy, and due to neuroendocrine differentiation after radiotherapy in some patients (8). Another theory suggests that the neuroendocrine component originates from malignant transformation of normal prostate cells or pluripotent epithelial cells (5).

Approximately 50% of patients have pure small cell histology at time of diagnosis, while 25-50% have mixed prostate adenocarcinoma and small cell carcinoma. In about 25-40% of patients, initial diagnosis is adenocarcinoma only, but relapse occurs after hormone therapy as a combination of small cell carcinoma and adenocarcinoma (9). In the present case, the patient was initially diagnosed with prostate adenocarcinoma, underwent hormone therapy, and relapsed with PSCC and adenocarcinoma.

PSSC can be differentiated from classic adenocarcinomas through clinical behavior such as the formation of osteolytic bone and visceral organs metastasis and the presence of normal PSA level (5). In addition, staining of biopsy specimens is negative for androgen receptors (10). The most commonly used immunohistochemical markers for the tumor are neuron-specific enolase (NSE), chromogranin, synaptophysin, CD56, and TTF-1 (11).

Wang and Epstein (9) performed immunohistochemical studies on 95 patients with PSCC and showed that 92% stained positive for CD56 and 85% were positive for synaptophysin. These features facilitate the differentiation of PSCC from poorly differentiated acinar adenocarcinoma. Moreover, PSA and NSE were not detected in 14 hormone-resistant prostate carcinomas, while high serum chromogranin A levels were detected in 10 cases. Early detection of high chromogranin A levels may be an indicator to switch to a more aggressive treatment (9).

In the biopsy specimen obtained from our patient, some areas other than the prostate adenocarcinoma areas stained positive for CD56, chromogranin, synaptophysin, and TTF-1 but were negative for PSA, PSAP, and AMACR, leading to a diagnosis of PSCC in addition to prostate adenocarcinoma (Figure 1, 2).

These patients do not exhibit the expected increase in PSA level based on their prostate enlargement and the presence of metastatic disease (12). We attributed the elevated PSA in our patient to the prostate adenocarcinoma component of the tumor.

There is still no specific treatment for PSCC. The lack of androgen receptors in the PSCC cells renders hormone therapy ineffective (6). Prostate-limited tumors can be removed by radical prostatectomy (7).

Approximately 75% of patients have metastatic disease at the time of diagnosis. Metastases are usually in lymph node, liver, bone, lung, and brain, but there have also been occasional cases reported with metastases in locations such as the omentum, adrenal gland, and facial bones (13).

PSCC has similar morphological features to small cell lung cancer. Although a standard chemotherapy regimen has not been established for the treatment of metastatic PSCC, platin-based chemotherapy is generally used. Even if there is an initial response, this chemotherapy regimen is not an effective standard treatment (14). Radiotherapy is used to control local disease or as a palliative treatment option for patients with disseminated disease. Prognosis is poor, with an average survival of 6-17 months after diagnosis (15). In a study including 30 patients, Stein et al. (16) reported a remission of 54 months after chemotherapy in only 1 patient. The patients’ mean survival time was 13 months (16). In another study, Cohen et al. (17) reported a 2-year survival rate of 97% for patients with adenocarcinoma versus 35% for patients with PSCC, and noted that PSCC metastasizes rapidly.

In the present case, no visceral metastasis was detected in CT, while WBBS revealed multiple bone metastases, and the patient was started on MAB therapy and referred to medical oncology. The patient did not present to medical oncology and died a short time (2 months) after diagnosis.

PSCC is a rare and aggressive cancer and its clinical behavior differs from that of prostate adenocarcinoma. Although the treatment approach is similar to that used for small cell lung cancer, a standard treatment regimen not yet been established. PSCC becomes metastatic quickly and has a poor prognosis with short survival times, unlike classic prostate adenocarcinoma.
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