Is There a Blazar Nested in the Core of the Radio Galaxy 3C 411?
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Abstract

Previous spectral energy distribution modeling based on XMM-Newton X-ray observation of the classical double-lobed radio galaxy 3C 411 left the possibility open for the presence of a blazar-like core. We investigated this scenario by characterizing the radio brightness distribution in the inner ~10 pc region of the source. We applied the very long baseline interferometry (VLBI) technique at four different frequencies from 1.7 to 7.6 GHz. We analyzed archival data from the Very Long Baseline Array taken in 2014, and data from the European VLBI Network obtained in 2017. The VLBI images reveal parsec-scale extended structure in the core of 3C 411 that can be modeled with multiple jet components. The measured core brightness temperatures indicate no Doppler enhancement that would be expected from a blazar jet pointing close to the line of sight. While there is no blazar-type core in 3C 411, we found an indication of flux density variability. The overall morphology of the source is consistent with a straight jet with ~50° inclination angle at all scales from parsecs to kiloparsecs.
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1. Introduction

The primary classification of active galactic nuclei (AGNs) is based on the spatial orientation of their axis of symmetry with respect to the line of sight to the observer. It is called the viewing angle. Nearly 10% of AGNs have viewing angles show both broad permitted and narrow forbidden emission lines in their spectra (type 1). Those oriented at a large angle to the line of sight have only narrow emission lines due to the obscured broad line region by a dusty feature around the central engine (SMBH) and the origin of the broad line forming region (type 2). Nearly 10% of AGNs have relativistic plasma jets ejected in two opposite directions from the vicinity of the central SMBH along its rotation axis. These jets produce radio emission via the synchrotron mechanism. In the case of type 1 jetted AGNs, the small viewing angle might cause the outflowing material to appear to be moving at superluminal speeds (e.g., Rees 1966), and the intensity of the radiation originating from the approaching jet is significantly enhanced by Doppler boosting (Shklovskii 1964).

The earliest studies (e.g., Spinrad et al. 1975) classified the target 3C 411 (R.A. 20°22′08″440, decl. +10°01′11″314) as an AGN by its bright optical nucleus and strong emission in the radio regime detected in the third Cambridge (3C) survey (Edge et al. 1959). Broad emission lines in its optical spectrum led to the classification of the source as a type 1 Seyfert galaxy (Khachikian & Weedman 1974; Véron-Cetty & Véron 2006). The radio counterpart was first resolved into a double-lobed structure extending to ~26″ with interferometric measurements by Spinrad et al. (1975). The large-scale radio structure of 3C 411 consists of a pair of approximately symmetrical hot spots and lobes dominating the radio emission, and a significantly fainter core centered on the optical AGN. Based on its radio morphology, 3C 411 is a Fanaroff–Riley class II (FR II) radio galaxy (Fanaroff & Riley 1974). Very Large Array (VLA) measurements at 1.4 GHz by Spangler & Pogge (1984) gave an intensity ratio of the two hot spots $K = 2.35$ in favor of the southeastern one, and indicated the presence of a bridge-like emission at the western side of the core with an absent jet. Flux densities $28 \pm 2$ mJy and $45 \pm 2$ mJy were also determined for the core component at 1.4 and 5 GHz, respectively, resulting in a spectral index of the inner structure of $\alpha = 0.39$ (Spangler & Pogge 1984) by the convention of $S_\nu \propto \nu^\alpha$ (where $\nu$ is the frequency and $S_\nu$ is the flux density).

The classification of 3C 411 within the framework of the unified model of AGNs was challenged by Bostrom et al. (2014) who observed the source in X-rays with the XMM-Newton satellite. They analyzed the spectral energy distribution of the source in the energy range of 0.2–10 keV by fitting several spectral models: a simple power law, an absorbed power law with a blackbody component (accretion disk), an absorbed double power law (accretion disk with reflection spectrum), a complex accretion disk model called “kdblur” (Galactic absorption, disk inclination, and iron abundance), and two models that took the presence of warm absorbers into account. Two models among those listed above provided equally satisfactory fits to the data: the “kdblur” and the double power-law models. The disk-dominated “kdblur” model is consistent with the current classification of 3C 411 as an AGN lying close to the plane of the sky (i.e., misaligned). On the contrary, the double power-law model implies a jet-dominated system emitting a Seyfert-like softer and a blazar-like harder X-ray component, essentially requiring the nucleus of 3C 411 to be a blazar. This would imply a major difference in the direction of the relativistic jet between the innermost parsec-scale and the outer kiloparsec-scale regions of the source, deviating from the general picture of the unified model. If this is indeed the case, together with a notable sample of AGN reported with similar dichotomy (e.g., Kharb et al. 2010, and references therein), 3C 411 could provide new details to refine the unified model.
## 2. Observations and Data Reduction

The EVN observations (project code: EP104, PI: K. Perger) were done on 2017 May 31 and June 1 at 1.7 GHz, and on 2017 June 12 at 5 GHz. Both project segments lasted for a total of 2 hr. The data were recorded in left and right circular polarizations at 1024 Mbit s$^{-1}$ data rate. Eight intermediate frequency channels (IFs) were used, with the total bandwidth of 128 MHz per polarization in 32 spectral channels per IF. Details of the observations including the participating radio telescopes are listed in Table 1. The data were correlated at the Joint Institute for VLBI ERIC (Dwingeloo, the Netherlands) with 2 s averaging time for both the 1.7 and 5 GHz observations.

We calibrated the data in the US National Radio Astronomy Observatory (NRAO) Astronomical Image Processing System\(^4\) (e.g., Diamond 1995). After a priori amplitude calibration that was based on the antenna system temperatures and gain curves, we performed manual phase calibration on a 1 minute data segment of a bright calibrator source (J2123+0535). After inspecting the data and flagging, global fringe-fitting was performed on the target source 3C 411 data. Then we exported them into the DIFMAP program for imaging (Shepherd 1997) and model fitting. The baselines to Irbene were excluded from the analysis due to amplitude calibration problems at 1.7 GHz.

### 3. Results

#### 3.1. Inner Jet Structure

The image of 3C 411 made at the lowest frequency, 1.7 GHz (left panel in Figure 1) shows a barely resolved compact core. However, the best-fit model (Table 2) consists of two circular Gaussian components that reveal the substructure in the jet pointing toward the west. The location and the full width at half maximum (FWHM) size of the components are also indicated in the image. The jet component is labeled as A.

Moderate structural details are visible also in the 4.3 GHz VLBA map, but the jet starts to be better resolved at 7.6 GHz (Figure 2). According to model fitting, apart from the core, a second component (labeled as A) is detected at both frequencies. These observations were made simultaneously.

The finest angular resolution was provided by the EVN observation at 5 GHz. The image (right panel in Figure 1) shows a well resolved structure with two “jet” components (A1 and A2) within 4 mas from the core (C).

We note that the 1.7 GHz interferometric visibility phases and amplitudes as a function of time displayed a distinct modulation on the shortest Effelsberg—Westerbork baseline. The fringe spacing corresponding to the $B = 266$ km long baseline is $\lambda/B \approx 140$ mas, where $\lambda$ is the wavelength. We

---

\(^4\) [http://www.aips.nrao.edu/index.shtml](http://www.aips.nrao.edu/index.shtml)

\(^5\) [http://astrogeo.org/](http://astrogeo.org/)
interact this modulation as a contribution of the two hot spots in the large-scale radio structure of 3C 411. However, these are at ~13" angular distance, beyond the undistorted field of view in the EVN observations. With the addition of extra model components at approximate positions of the hot spots known from VLA imaging (Spangler & Pogge 1984; Neff et al. 1995), we could reproduce the time variability of the phases on this short baseline. This indicates that the hot spots are compact at the ~0.1″ level.

3.2. Jet Parameters

We calculated the brightness temperatures for the bright central core components (C) at all four frequency bands (e.g., Condon et al. 1982):

$$T_b = 1.22 \times 10^{12} (1 + z) \frac{S}{\vartheta^2 \nu^2} \text{K.} \quad (1)$$

Here $S$ is the flux density in Jy, $\vartheta$ is the size of the circular Gaussian component (FWHM) in mas, and $\nu$ is the observing frequency in GHz. The results are listed in Table 2.

With a reasonable assumption about the intrinsic brightness temperature $T_{b,\text{int}}$, we can determine if the jet radio emission is enhanced by Doppler boosting. The Doppler factor is

$$\delta = \frac{T_b}{T_{b,\text{int}}}. \quad (2)$$

For the intrinsic brightness temperature, we considered a larger and a smaller estimate used in the literature. The former value, $T_{b,\text{int}} \approx 5 \times 10^{10}$ K, corresponds to the energy equipartition between the radiating particles and the magnetic field (Readhead 1994). A somewhat lower value, $T_{b,\text{int}} \approx 3 \times 10^{10}$ K was derived by Homan et al. (2006) based on a study of a sample of parsec-scale AGN jets. In Table 2, we list both Doppler factors in Columns 8 and 9. Note that a high correlation between the inverse Compton and equipartition Doppler factors were found by Guijosa & Daly (1996), and Doppler factors of radio galaxies like 3C 411 are lower than for other radio AGNs.

3.3. Radio Spectrum

We compiled the radio spectrum of the central source in 3C 411 (labeled as X in Figure 3) from the literature (Pooley & Henbest 1974; Spangler & Pogge 1984; Neff et al. 1995) and from our own measurements (Figure 4). VLBI flux densities are considered as lower limits because part of the radio structure that is extended to the arcsec-scale may be resolved out. Multi-epoch flux density measurements at around 1.4 and 5 GHz clearly show variability (Figure 5).

We calculated the two-point spectral index of the source separately from the VLBA and EVN observations and found $\alpha_{1.7,\text{EVN}} = 0.51 \pm 0.05$ and $\alpha_{5.0,\text{VLBA}} = -0.26 \pm 0.06$. The 5- to 1.7 GHz EVN spectral index is slightly steeper than those calculated from VLA data at the same frequencies (for component X in Figure 3), 0.39 ± 0.04 and 0.35 ± 0.06 for the 1982 and 1987 observations, respectively (Spangler & Pogge 1984; Neff et al. 1995). This can be attributed to the variability of the source, or the different resolution. The spectral indices for EVN and VLA data, and the overall shape of the spectrum suggest that it is peaking at ~5 GHz. Simultaneous multifrequency flux density measurements would be needed for a reliable determination of the turnover frequency.

4. Discussion

4.1. Inner Structure: Is It a Blazar Jet?

The compact mas-scale jet structure of the central radio source in 3C 411 (Figures 1 and 2) is typical of radio quasars, though one-sided jets are also present at parsec scales in a number of radio galaxy cores (Giovannini et al. 2001, and references therein). Apart from the synchrotron self-absorbed
The Gaussian restoring beam is shown in the bottom left corners. Sizes and positions of the components are labeled corresponding to Table 2.

Naturally weighted clean maps of 3C 411 at 4.3 GHz (left) and 7.6 GHz (right) from the VLBA observations. Contour levels start at \( \pm 0.3 \) and \( \pm 0.4 \) mJy beam\(^{-1}\), positive levels increase by a factor of 2, peak intensities are 41.4 and 32.6 mJy beam\(^{-1}\) for the 4.3 and 7.6 GHz image, respectively. The Gaussian restoring beam is shown in the bottom left corners. Sizes and positions of the fitted circular Gaussian model components are indicated with crossed circles, components are labeled corresponding to Table 2.

Figure 2. Naturally weighted clean maps of 3C 411 at 4.3 GHz (left) and 7.6 GHz (right) from the VLBA observations. Contour levels start at \( \pm 0.3 \) and \( \pm 0.4 \) mJy beam\(^{-1}\), positive levels increase by a factor of 2, peak intensities are 41.4 and 32.6 mJy beam\(^{-1}\) for the 4.3 and 7.6 GHz image, respectively. The Gaussian restoring beam is shown in the bottom left corners. Sizes and positions of the fitted circular Gaussian model components are indicated with crossed circles, components are labeled corresponding to Table 2.
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base of the jet (i.e., the core C), the jet extending to the western direction is decomposed into multiple components, depending on the observing frequency and the angular resolution of the interferometer. Component A identified with model fitting to the VLBA data at 4.3 and 7.6 GHz, and to the EVN data at 1.7 GHz (Figures 1 and 2, Table 2) is apparently further resolved into two distinct features by the highest-resolution 5 GHz EVN observations (Figure 1, right). In principle, because of the 3.32 yr time difference between the VLBA and EVN observations, component A could have been propagated into the position of A2. In this scenario, component A1 on the EVN maps would be a newly emerged blob in the jet appearing some time after 2014 February, the epoch of the VLBA observations. However, both component movements would require unphysically high bulk Lorentz factors (\( \Gamma > 250 \)) that have never been seen in AGNs. In fact, VLBI studies of parsec-scale radio jets (e.g., Kellermann et al. 2004; Lister et al. 2016; Pushkarev et al. 2017) found that Lorentz factors have an upper limit of \( \Gamma \approx 30 \). Positional differences of the 4.3 and 7.6 GHz VLBA “jets” can be explained if component A (Table 2) in the 4.3 GHz data is the combination of A1 and A2 of the 5 GHz EVN maps; however, at 7.6 GHz, the “outer” component is too faint or diffuse to contribute to the overall emission of A.

The Doppler factors derived from our VLBI measurements (Table 2) are all below unity. Therefore the radio emission is Doppler-deboosted, contrary to the expectations from a blazar jet. There is no widely accepted definition of how small the viewing angle (\( \theta \)) of blazar jets should be, but we can adopt \( \theta < 1/\Gamma \) (Ghisellini & Sbarrato 2016), where \( \Gamma \) is the bulk Lorentz factor of the outflowing plasma. Below we estimate the jet viewing angle in the central region of 3C 411.

According to Table 2, the determined Doppler factors at all frequencies but 7.6 GHz are rather similar, \( 0.31 < \delta < 0.98 \). Since equipartition Doppler factors calculated at the peak of the spectrum approach the real Doppler factor the best (Readhead 1994), we consider the other Doppler factor estimates as lower limits and use the range \( 0.53 < \delta < 0.91 \) determined at 4.3 and 5 GHz in the subsequent calculations. Also, the resolution of the EVN array is the best at 5 GHz where the innermost mas-scale jet features are not blended, and for which the Doppler factor range coincides with that of the 4.3 GHz VLBA data.

Because multi-epoch VLBI monitoring data at a fixed frequency that would be sufficient for determining the apparent speed of any jet component in 3C 411 are not available, the Lorentz factor cannot be constrained. Instead, we assume reasonable \( \Gamma \) values obtained from the literature. Parsec-scale jets in radio galaxies similar to our source populate the \( 5 < \Gamma < 15 \) regime (Kellermann et al. 2004; Cohen et al. 2007; Baldi et al. 2013). Using the formulae for relativistic beaming parameters (see, e.g., Appendix A in Urry & Padovani 1995), we plot the Doppler factor as a function of jet viewing angle, marking the assumed range of Lorentz factors in Figure 6. For \( \Gamma = 15 \), the possible viewing angles exceed \( \sim 22^\circ \). Lower Lorentz factors imply even larger \( \theta \) values. Obviously the \( \theta < 1/\Gamma \) criterion is not fulfilled and therefore 3C 411 does not host a blazar in its center. However, we can conclude that the VLBI data indicate an AGN nucleus with jet inclination angle \( 20^\circ < \theta < 50^\circ \) (Figure 6).

4.2. Large-scale Radio Structure

To better understand the relation between the parsec- and kiloparsec-scale radio structure of 3C 411, we utilize the results of Spangler & Pogge (1984), and reproduce (Figure 3) and analyze the 5 GHz VLA image made by Neff et al. (1995), which we obtained from the NASA/IPAC Extragalactic Database (NED).\(^6\)

\(^6\) https://ned.ipac.caltech.edu
Table 2
Image and Model Parameters

| v (GHz) | Comp. | S (mJy) | δ (mas) | R (mas) | φ (°) | T_R (10^{10} K) | δ_eq | δ_m |
|--------|-------|---------|---------|---------|-------|-----------------|-------|------|
| 1.7    | C     | 16.0 ± 1.6 | 0.82 ± 0.05 | 0.04 ± 0.06 | 2.08 ± 0.03 | 1.5 ± 0.3 | 0.31 | 0.55 |
|        | A     | 4.1 ± 0.7  | <0.45 ± 0.06 | 0.04 ± 0.06 | 0 ± 0.04   | 2.7 ± 0.7 | 0.55 | 0.91 |
| 4.3    | C     | 33.8 ± 4.5 | <0.36 ± 0.03 | 0.04 ± 0.06 | 1.37 ± 0.06 | 2.6 ± 0.4 | 0.53 | 0.88 |
|        | A     | 8.6 ± 1.4  | <0.81 ± 0.13 | 0.04 ± 0.06 | 0 ± 0.04   | 0 ± 0.04 | 0.5 ± 0.1 | 0.11 | 0.18 |
| 5.0    | C     | 28.7 ± 2.2 | 0.28 ± 0.01 | 0.04 ± 0.06 | 1.11 ± 0.04 | 0.5 ± 0.1 | 0.11 | 0.18 |
|        | A1    | 6.4 ± 0.9  | 0.74 ± 0.08 | 0.04 ± 0.06 | 3.52 ± 0.04 | 0.5 ± 0.1 | 0.11 | 0.18 |
|        | A2    | 0.9 ± 0.3  | <0.30 ± 0.07 | 0.04 ± 0.06 | 78.4 ± 0.6 | 0.5 ± 0.1 | 0.11 | 0.18 |
| 7.6    | C     | 36.3 ± 4.1 | 0.48 ± 0.04 | 0.04 ± 0.06 | 1.22 ± 0.04 | 69.6 ± 10.9 | 0.3 | 0.55 |
|        | A     | 4.0 ± 1.2  | <0.40 ± 0.09 | 0.04 ± 0.06 | 3.1 ± 0.06 | 0.5 ± 0.1 | 0.11 | 0.18 |

Note. Column 1—observing frequency, Column 2—model component name, Column 3—flux density, Column 4—circular Gaussian model component size (FWHM) or upper limit corresponding to the minimum resolvable angular size (Kovalev et al. 2005), Column 5—radial angular distance from the core, Column 6—position angle with respect to the core, measured from north through east, Column 7—brightness temperature of the core component, Column 8—Equipartition Doppler factor (Readhead 1994), and Column 9—Doppler factor assuming T_R = 3 × 10^{10} K (Homan et al. 2006).

Figure 3. VLA image of 3C 411 at 5 GHz (Neff et al. 1995). The first contours are drawn at ±15% of the peak intensity (220.4 mJy beam^{-1}), the levels scale up by a factor of 2. The size of the restoring beam is 1.75 × 1.63. The central radio source, the subject of our VLBI study, is marked with X. The southeastern and northwestern complexes are denoted by S and N, respectively. Based on these images, we identify the approaching and receding sides of the large-scale jets and estimate their inclination angle with respect to the line of sight. The total extent of the source corresponds to a projected linear size of 155 kpc.

In the following, we assume that the jet activity started simultaneously on both sides of the central engine and the expansion took place in an intrinsically similar way in the two opposite directions. The apparent asymmetry in intensity is therefore caused by relativistic beaming and the radiation coming from the more distant counterjet side travels longer through the interstellar medium until it reaches the observer.
According to the Laing–Garrington effect (Garrington et al. 1988; Laing 1988), the lobe associated with the approaching jet is usually brighter and shows less depolarization. Also, the brighter to the fainter lobe arm-length ratio is larger than one (Longair & Riley 1979).

In the case of 3C 411 the southeastern (S) hot spot is brighter, more compact (Spangler & Pogge 1984; Neff et al. 1995), and has a slightly longer projected distance from the central component (X) than the northwestern (N) one \((\phi_{NX} = 12^\circ9 \pm 0^\circ3\) and \(\phi_{SX} = 13^\circ5 \pm 0^\circ3\), Figure 3). This corresponds to an arm-length ratio \(R = 1.05 \pm 0.03\), which would imply that the radio galaxy lies close to the plane of the sky. Spangler & Pogge (1984) made a detailed study on the polarization properties of the AGN, and they found a higher depolarization parameter in S \((15 \pm 1)\) than in N \((\sim 3.5)\), suggesting N being on the approaching side. The brightness, compactness, and projected distance of the hot spots from the central source are in favor of S being the approaching side, however, according to Hardcastle et al. (1998), who studied a large sample of FR II radio galaxies, there is no relationship between the jet sidedness and the brightness of the hot spots. Considering all of the above, especially the presence of a kiloparsec-scale jet visible on the northwestern side of the source (see Figure 3 in Spangler & Pogge 1984), we conclude that N is on the approaching side and S is on the receding side.

In the following, we estimate the inclination angle using the kiloparsec-scale structure, the \(\sim 2\) arcsec jet in component X, with the help of the formula (Saikia et al. 1989; Taylor & Vermeulen 1997)

\[
K = \left( \frac{1 + \beta \cos \theta}{1 - \beta \cos \theta} \right)^{2 - \alpha},
\]

where \(\beta = v c^{-1}\) is the speed of the jet, \(K\) is the flux density ratio between the approaching and receding sides, and \(\alpha\) is the spectral index. The absence of the counterjet on arcsec scale (in the central source, X) leads to a ratio \(K \geq 9\). With \(\alpha = 0.39\) \(\pm 0.05\) (Spangler & Pogge 1984) and \(\beta < 1\), an upper limit can be derived on the kiloparsec-scale inner jet inclination angle \(\theta \lesssim 50^\circ\).

The apparent discrepancy between the inclination angle determined in the inner kiloparsec-scale and the estimation on the outer kiloparsec-scale arm-length could be that the intrinsic symmetry between the opposite jet sides is not a valid assumption at all regions. It is possible that asymmetric conditions in the ambient interstellar medium cause different deceleration of the jet on the two sides.

The estimated angles to the line of sight are comparable both at the inner kiloparsec and parsec scales of the radio structure. Similarly, as projected on the plane of the sky, the VLBI jet model component position angle at 5 GHz \((-69^\circ \pm 2^\circ)\) coincides with the position angle inferred from the relative positions of the N and S hot spots (outer kiloparsec-scale), about \(-67^\circ\), indicating no significant bending in the overall structure of the jet.

5. Summary and Conclusions

We used new EVN observations and archival VLBA measurements to describe the parsec-scale radio structure of the radio galaxy 3C 411, supplemented by archival VLA data for kpc scales. With the aim of confirming or rejecting the blazar presence in the AGN core, we fitted circular Gaussian model components to the VLBI data and calculated the core brightness temperatures. The inferred Doppler boosting factors are smaller than unity, providing no evidence for a blazar core hidden in the center of 3C 411. Therefore, we ruled out one of the alternative models put forward by Bostrom et al. (2014) to explain the X-ray spectral energy distribution of 3C 411.

Based on an archival 5 GHz VLA image of the source (Neff et al. 1995), we estimated the inclination angle of the kiloparsec-scale jet, which is in agreement with the value obtained from the VLBI data. Therefore, the radio structure of 3C 411 is consistent with an oppositely directed straight jet launched from the central engine, all the way from parsec to \(\sim 100\) kpc scales.
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