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A B S T R A C T

Electronic and free energy data of density functional theory calculated optimized geometries of the reactants, transition state of the oxidative addition reaction and different reaction products of the [Rh(RCOCHCOCF\textsubscript{3})(CO)(PPh\textsubscript{3})\textsuperscript{+}] + CH\textsubscript{3}I reactions (R = C\textsubscript{4}H\textsubscript{3}S, C\textsubscript{4}H\textsubscript{3}S-C\textsubscript{4}H\textsubscript{2}S and C\textsubscript{4}H\textsubscript{3}S-C\textsubscript{4}H\textsubscript{2}S-C\textsubscript{4}H\textsubscript{2}S) are presented to illustrate the influence of the amount of thiophene groups, the implicit solvent and dispersion correction on the calculated energies. All calculations were done with the B3LYP functional, in gas as well as in solvent phase, with and without dispersion correction. The data can save computational chemists time when choosing an appropriate method to calculate reaction energies of oxidative addition reactions. Detailed knowledge of energies involved in the oxidative addition reaction of methyl iodide to rhodium complexes have an important implication in catalysis, for example the Monsanto process where methanol is converted to acetic acid catalysed by a rhodium complex. For more insight in the reported data, see the related research article “Synthesis, characterization, electrochemistry, DFT and kinetic..."
study of the oligothiophene-containing complex [Rh((C_4H_2S-C_4H_2S)COCHCOF_3](CO)(PPh_3)], published in Polyhedron [1].
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Specifications Table

| Subject | Physical and Theoretical Chemistry |
|---------|-----------------------------------|
| Specific subject area | DFT calculations of chemical structures. |
| Type of data | Table |
| | Graph |
| | Figure |
| How data were acquired | Electronic structure calculations, using the Gaussian 16 program |
| Data format | Raw and Analyzed |
| Parameters for data collection | Geometry optimization and frequency calculations were done using Gaussian 16 program, with and without the implicit solvent model IEF-PCM, using the B3LYP functional with and without D3 dispersion correction. |
| Description of data collection | Data were collected from DFT output files |
| Data source location | University of the Free State |
| | Bloemfontein |
| | South Africa |
| Data accessibility | With the article |
| Related research article | N.G.S. Mateyise, M.M Conradie, Jeanet Conradie, Synthesis, Characterization, Electrochemistry, DFT and Kinetic Study of the Oligothiophene-containing Complex [Rh((C_4H_2S-C_4H_2S)COCHCOF_3](CO)(PPh_3)], Polyhedron, 115,095 (2021), https://doi.org/10.1016/j.poly.2021.115095 |

Value of the Data

- Free energy data involved in oxidative addition reactions are important in the field of catalysis such as the oxidative addition reaction involved in the manufacturing of methanol from acetic acid (Monsanto process).
- Free energy data obtained by different computational chemistry approaches, namely in gas and solvent phase, with and without dispersion corrections helps computational chemistry researchers in the choice of method when calculating energies involved in oxidative addition reactions.
- Free energy data obtained by different computational chemistry approaches, indicates which method gives energies in agreement with experiment, making the theoretical prediction of energies involved in related oxidation addition reactions possible.

1. Data Description

Electronic and free energy data of the reactants, first transition state (TS) and the possible reaction products of [Rh(RCOCHCOF_3](CO)(PPh_3)] + CH_3I reaction (R = C_4H_2S (tta) [2], C_4H_2S-C_4H_2S (di-tta) [1] and C_4H_2S-C_4H_2S-C_4H_2S (tri-tta)) shown in Scheme 1, are specified in the graphs in Figs. 1–5. The influence of dispersion correction to the energy data of the Rh(I)-di-tta + CH_3I reaction (R = C_4H_2S-C_4H_2S) is illustrated in Fig. 1 (gas phase data), Fig. 2 (data in chloroform as solvent) and Fig. 3 (data in methanol as solvent). The influence of the phase (gas, chloroform or methanol) to the energy data of the Rh(I)-di-tta + CH_3I reaction (R = C_4H_2S-C_4H_2S) is illustrated in Fig. 4 (B3LYP-D3 data). The influence of the amount of thiienyl groups to the energy data of the Rh(I) + CH_3I reaction (R = C_4H_2S (tta), C_4H_2S-C_4H_2S (di-tta) and C_4H_2S-C_4H_2S-C_4H_2S (tri-tta)) is illustrated in Fig. 5 (B3LYP-D3 data in chloroform as...
Scheme 1. Rhodium(I) and (III) complexes of this study. For each Rh(I), Rh(III)-alkyl and Rh(III)-acyl, two geometrical isomers are possible, namely A and B.
Fig. 1. B3LYP and B3LYP-D3 gas phase relative electronic (E) and free (G) energies of Rh(III)-di-tta complexes compared to the lowest energy reactant isomer Rh(I) + CH₃I (MeI), illustrating the influence of the dispersion correction on the gas phase calculated energy. No oxidative addition TS could be located in the gas phase.

The energies of the products, relative to the energy of the reactants, show if a reaction product is thermodynamically favoured. The electronic and free energy data presented in Figs. 1–5 are provided in Table 1. The B3LYP-D3 data in chloroform as solvent of [Rh((C₄H₃S-C₄H₂S)COCHCF₃)(CO)(PPh₃)] + CH₃I is from the related research article [1]. Experimental and theoretical data of reaction involving the mother complex, [Rh(CH₃COCHCOCH₃)(CO)(PPh₃)], and related complexes, [Rh(RCOCHOC₄H₃S)(CO)(PPh₃)] (R = C₆H₅ and C₄H₃333S), can be found in references [3–5].

2. Experimental Design, Materials and Methods

Density functional theory (DFT) calculations using the Gaussian 16 package [6], were used to determine the optimized geometry and energy of the specified molecules. The input coordinates for the compounds were constructed using Chemcraft [7]. The coordinates were specified in the input files of the DFT calculations. DFT calculations were performed using the hybrid functional B3LYP functional [8,9] applying the GTO (Gaussian type orbital) triple-ζ basis set.
6–311G(d,p) for the lighter atoms (C, H, O, F) and the Lanl2dz basis set [10], that corresponds to the Los Alamos ECP plus DZ, for Rh and I. The optimization is performed using Bery algorithm using GEDIIS [11] as implemented in Gaussian 16. The convergence is reached when the root mean square force, the maximum force, the root mean square displacement and the maximum displacement are within the threshold of 0.00030, 0.00045, 0.0012 and 0.0018 atomic units, respectively. The requested convergence on energy is 1.0D-8 atomic unit. Calculations were done with and without Grimme’s D3 dispersion correction [12], in gas and solvent phase, using either chloroform or methanol as solvent. For solvent calculations, the integral equation formalism polarizable continuum model (IEFPCM) of solvation to describe the dielectric continuum medium, was used [13,14]. Frequency calculations were done on all molecules to ensure true minimum energy (no imaginary frequency) or transtion state structure (one imaginary frequency), and to provide the free energies of the molecules. The free energies were obtained from the output files searching for “Sum of electronic and thermal Free Energies=”. The electronic energies were obtained from the output files at the final optimization step, searching for “SCF Done” from the bottom of the output file.

Fig. 2. B3LYP and B3LYP-D3 solvent (chloroform) phase relative electronic (E) and free (G) energies of Rh(III)-di-tta complexes compared to the lowest energy reactant isomer Rh(I) + CH₃I (MeI), illustrating the influence of the dispersion correction on the solvent (chloroform) phase calculated energy.
Fig. 3. B3LYP and B3LYP-D3 solvent (methanol) phase relative electronic (E) and free (G) energies of Rh(III)-di-tta complexes compared to the lowest energy reactant isomer Rh(I) + CH₃I (MeI), illustrating the influence of the dispersion correction on the solvent (methanol) phase calculated energy.
Fig. 4. B3LYP-D3 relative electronic (E) and free (G) energies of Rh(III)-di-tta complexes compared to the lowest energy reactant isomer Rh(I) + CH₃I (Mel), illustrating the influence of the phase (gas, chloroform or methanol) on the calculated energy. No oxidative addition TS could be located in the gas phase.
Fig. 5. B3LYP-D3 solvent (chloroform) phase relative electronic (E) and free (G) energies of Rh(III)-tta, Rh(III)-di-tta and Rh(III)-tri-tta complexes compared to the lowest energy reactant isomer Rh(I) + Rh(I) + CH₃I (MeI), illustrating the influence of the amount of thienyl groups on the calculated energy.
Table 1
Electronic (E (eV)) and free energy (G (eV)) data of the indicated reaction products of the \[\text{[Rh(RCOCHCOCF}_3\text{)(CO)(PPPh}_3\text{)] + CH}_3\text{I (Me)}\], reaction \(\text{R = C}_4\text{H}_2\text{S (tta), }\text{C}_4\text{H}_2\text{S-C}_4\text{H}_2\text{S (di-tta)}\) and \(\text{C}_4\text{H}_2\text{S-C}_4\text{H}_2\text{S-C}_4\text{H}_2\text{S (tri-tta)}\) calculated with B3LYP (with and without dispersion correction) and the indicated phase (gas, chloroform or methanol).

|                  | Rh-tta B3LYP-D3 |                  |                  |                  | Rh-di-tta B3LYP |                 |                  |                  | Rh-tri-tta B3LYP-D3 |
|------------------|-----------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|----------------|------------------|------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
|                  | CHCl\(_3\)      | Gas              | CHCl\(_3\)       | MeOH             | CHCl\(_3\)     | MeOH             | CHCl\(_3\)       | MeOH              | CHCl\(_3\)        |
|                  | \(\Delta E\)    | \(\Delta G\)    | \(\Delta E\)     | \(\Delta G\)    | \(\Delta E\)   | \(\Delta G\)    | \(\Delta E\)     | \(\Delta G\)      | \(\Delta E\)       |
| Rh(I) A + CH\(_3\)I | 0.00            | 0.05             | 0.03             |                  | 0.00           | 0.00             | 0.00             | 0.00              | 0.00              |
| Rh(I) B + CH\(_3\)I | 0.04            | 0.00             | 0.00             |                  | 0.00           | 0.00             | 0.02             | 0.08              | 0.05              |
| TS 1A            | 0.13            | 0.72             | -                |                  | 0.53           | 1.06             | 0.43             | 0.98              | -                 |
| TS 1B            | 0.16            | 0.71             | -                |                  | 0.47           | 0.94             | 0.39             | 0.91              | -                 |
| Rh(III)-acyl A1  | -0.58           | 0.14             | -0.03            | 0.28             | -0.09          | 0.53             | -0.14            | 0.49              | -0.51             |
| Rh(III)-acyl B1  | -0.60           | 0.06             | -0.01            | 0.22             | -0.07          | 0.58             | -0.12            | 0.53              | -0.48             |
| Rh(III)-acyl A2  | -0.99           | -0.24            | -0.43            | 0.20             | -0.47          | 0.16             | -0.54            | 0.12              | -0.89             |
| Rh(III)-acyl B2  | -0.99           | -0.31            | -0.33            | 0.31             | -0.41          | 0.21             | -0.53            | 0.14              | -0.89             |
| Rh(III)-acyl A3  | -0.67           | 0.06             | 0.15             | 0.81             | 0.02           | 0.67             | -0.06            | 0.60              | -0.49             |
| Rh(III)-acyl B3  | -0.71           | 0.02             | 0.03             | 0.20             | -0.06          | 0.62             | -0.12            | 0.57              | -0.58             |
| Rh(III)-alkyl A1 | -0.72           | -0.01            | 0.00             | 0.64             | -0.10          | 0.53             | -0.17            | 0.49              | -0.64             |
| Rh(III)-alkyl B1 | -0.72           | -0.03            | -0.11            | 0.55             | -0.17          | 0.44             | -0.22            | 0.39              | -0.66             |
| Rh(III)-alkyl A2 | -0.91           | -0.23            | -0.19            | 0.44             | -0.24          | 0.39             | -0.28            | 0.35              | -0.81             |
| Rh(III)-alkyl B2 | -0.89           | -0.22            | -0.18            | 0.46             | -0.24          | 0.40             | -0.28            | 0.37              | -0.78             |
| Rh(III)-alkyl A3 | -0.63           | 0.06             | -0.05            | 0.55             | 0.00           | 0.60             | 0.01             | 0.61              | -0.66             |
| Rh(III)-alkyl B3 | -0.63           | 0.03             | -0.03            | 0.56             | 0.01           | 0.58             | 0.01             | 0.59              | -0.65             |
| Rh(III)-alkyl A4 | -0.56           | 0.13             | 0.21             | 0.84             | 0.16           | 0.77             | 0.11             | 0.74              | -0.31             |
| Rh(III)-alkyl B4 | -0.40           | 0.33             | 0.26             | 0.86             | 0.19           | 0.79             | 0.13             | 0.76              | -0.32             |
| Rh(III)-alkyl A5 | -0.47           | 0.22             | 0.19             | 0.78             | 0.22           | 0.78             | 0.21             | 0.81              | -0.46             |
| Rh(III)-alkyl B5 | -0.52           | 0.16             | 0.20             | 0.78             | 0.22           | 0.82             | 0.22             | 0.82              | -0.47             |
| Rh(III)-alkyl A6 | -0.28           | 0.37             | 0.41             | 0.97             | 0.38           | 0.90             | 0.34             | 0.92              | -0.25             |
| Rh(III)-alkyl B6 | -0.32           | 0.33             | 0.25             | 0.85             | 0.26           | 0.86             | 0.25             | 0.87              | -0.30             |
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