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Abstract: Problem statement: Numerous data and information on pozzolanic reaction and microfiller properties of silica fume were derived from laboratory investigation on silica fume in undensified form. Currently, due to low bulk density of undensified silica fume which poses problem in transportation and handling of the material, silica fume are commercially supplied in densified form. Densification process used to increase bulk density of fresh silica fume has resulted in agglomeration of the silica fume particles hence altering its effective particle size and distribution which may affect its hydration and microfiller properties. However, very few studies have been performed to study hydration properties of cementitious mixtures containing Densified Silica Fume (DSF) as supplementary binder.

Approach: Characterization of physical and chemical properties of DSF was performed. Compressive strength of high performance mortar mixtures containing DSF as partial cement replacement material at various level of replacement ranging between 0-25% was assessed. Water absorption and intrinsic air permeability of hardened mortars was evaluated at the age of 28 days.

Results: Incorporation of DSF at replacement level up to 25% produced mortar with higher 28 days compressive strength as compared to the control mortars. Reduction in 28 day water absorption and intrinsic air permeability of mortar was observed for mortar containing DSF up to 15% by weight of binder.

Conclusion: DSF was determined to have large median particle size of 28.21 µm and high amorphous silica content. Incorporation of DSF in mortar increases water demand of mix to achieve constant workability. Optimum level of cement replacement using DSF to ensure best compressive strength performance was found to be 7.5% by total weight of binder.
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INTRODUCTION

Silica fume which is also commonly referred to as microsilica or condensed silica fume is a by product of the manufacture of silicon or ferrosilicon alloys from high purity quartz and coal in a submerged arc electric furnace (Neville, 1996). The best method of reuse of the waste material is by incorporation as supplementary binder with ordinary Portland cement. Portland cement can be used parallel with silica fume as solidification and stabilizing agent (Patel and Pandey, 2009). There have been numerous research findings which has verified the fact that the incorporation of silica fume as partial cement replacement material in the production of structural concrete and mortar mixes contributed significantly towards enhancement in early strength gain rate, quality of aggregate-cement interface zone and pore structure of hardened concrete mixes (Duval and Kadri, 1998; Rao, 2001; 2003; Yajun and Cahyadi, 2003). These are attributable to microfiller effect and high rate of pozzolanic reaction of the extremely fine silica fume particles (median particle size, \(d_{50}<0.1\mu m\)). Therefore, silica fume is commonly used as mineral admixture in the production of high strength concrete with compressive strength exceeding 60MPa (Ravichandran et al., 2009). Silica fume in its fresh form as collected from electrostatic precipitator has very low bulk density which incurs high shipping cost. Moreover, ultra fine particles of unprocessed silica fume poses serious respiratory health hazard which causes difficulty in handling. As a solution to the aforementioned problems, silica fume is commonly...
supplied in the form of dry densified powder or slurry for use as constituent material in concrete and mortar (Diamond and Sahu, 2006). The slurried silica fume product is becoming rarely available while the use of Densified Silica Fume (DSF) is gaining popularity and it appears that almost all silica fume currently used in the manufacture of high performance concrete is in the form of dry densified powder (Diamond et al., 2004).

The densification of silica fume particles was performed at temperature much lower than melting point of silica fume particles whereby no additional bond formed between individual particles. However, upon being subjected to tumbling action during densification, progressive entanglement of clusters of silica fume particles occurred which resulted in formation of dense agglomerates (Diamond and Sahu, 2006). Agglomerated silica fume particle clusters has large diameter in the range of 10 µm to several thousand microns as opposed to fresh silica fume with particle diameter ranging between 0.03-0.3 µm (Neville, 1996; Diamond et al., 2004). Such alteration in particle size of silica fume has adverse effect on its micro filler action in concrete and rate of secondary hydration between silica fume particles with Portlandite produced from primary hydration of cement (Yajun and Cahyadi, 2003).

In numerous past researches (Duval and Kadri, 1998; Rao, 2003; Toutanji and El-Korchi, 1995; Bhanja and Sengupta, 2005; Behnood and Ziairi, 2008) performed to investigate performance of cement paste, concrete or mortar containing silica fume, the type of silica fume used as mineral admixture for fabrication of experimental specimens were not clearly specified. This is due to the assumption that the form of silica fume has no significant effect on its performance as secondary binder in concrete. In addition, it has been presumed that micro-structural changes imposed to silica fume particles by densification process are reversible upon mixing with other constituent materials of concrete. In reality, these assumptions are not true and the effect of densification process on engineering properties of silica fume is significant (Diamond and Sahu, 2006). Thorough understanding on hydration properties of densified silica fume becomes even more crucial in the mix design of very high strength concrete (compressive strength greater than 200 MPa) whereby careful selection of binder and quality of silica fume used may have significant effect on strength of concrete (Hamough et al., 2010).

As referred to the current development in the use of silica fume as supplementary binder in concrete and mortar, there have been few research studies which focused on the engineering properties namely compressive strength and water absorption of concrete containing DSF as mineral admixture. Growing popularity of the use of DSF in the concrete and mortar production industry mandates better understanding on the characteristics of DSF and engineering properties of mortar or concrete produced by incorporation of DSF as mineral admixture. In addition, DSF modified mortar mixes has been widely used in the fabrication of versatile and high performance ferrocement structural panels (Kumar and Vidivelli, 2010). This is due to the enhanced durability and mechanical performance of DSF modified mortar which contributed to optimal consumption of constituent materials.

Hence, the aim of this study is to investigate the compressive strength and water absorption properties of high performance mortar containing DSF as mineral admixture. The experimental investigation also aimed to determine the optimum level of cement replacement using DSF in order to ensure highest strength and water absorption performance of mortar produced. Moreover, the best method of workability retention of fresh mortar containing DSF at various level of cement replacement was derived from this study.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Materials:**

Cement and Densified Silica Fume (DSF): ASTM Type I Portland Cement (PC) with median particle size of 3.9 µm, specific surface area of 1.0432 m² g⁻¹ and specific gravity of 3.02 were used in this study. Both physical and chemical properties of cement used comply with specifications in ASTM C150 / C150M, (2009). DSF used in the study had median particle size of 28.21 µm, specific surface area of 0.2170 m² g⁻¹ and specific gravity of 2.28. The chemical composition and particle size grading of PC used are presented in Table 1 and Fig. 1 respectively. As can be observed in Fig. 1, DSF particles are coarser as compared to PC particles.

![Fig. 1: Particle size distribution of PC and DSF](image-url)
Fig. 2: Grading of fine aggregates

Table 1: Chemical compositions, loss on ignition (LOI) and physical properties of PC and DSF

| Chemical            | Mass (%) | PC    | DSF   |
|---------------------|----------|-------|-------|
| MgO                 | 1.500    | 0.600 |       |
| Al₂O₃               | 3.600    | 1.200 |       |
| SiO₂                | 16.000   | 91.870|       |
| P₂O₅                | 0.057    | n/d   |       |
| S₂O₅                | 3.100    | 0.500 |       |
| K₂O                 | 0.340    | 0.500 |       |
| CaO                 | 72.000   | 1.000 |       |
| TiO₂                | 0.170    | n/d   |       |
| MnO                 | 0.028    | n/d   |       |
| Fe₂O₃               | 2.900    | 2.000 |       |
| ZnO                 | trace    | n/d   |       |
| SrO                 | 0.035    | n/d   |       |
| ZrO₂                | 0.018    | n/d   |       |
| PbO                 | 0.012    | n/d   |       |
| Rb₂O                | trace    | n/d   |       |
| Na₂O                | n/d      | 0.800 |       |
| Loss on ignition (%)| 2.53     | 4.96  |       |
| Specific surface area (m² g⁻¹) | 1.0432   | 0.2170|       |
| Specific gravity    | 3.02     | 2.30  |       |
| Median particle diameter, d₅₀ (µm) | 3.90     | 28.21 |       |

*n/d indicates that the chemical compound was not detected in the sample.

Aggregates: Fine aggregate used were locally sourced quartzitic natural river sand in uncrushed form with specific gravity of 2.83 and maximum aggregate size of 5mm. Fine aggregates were dried to saturated surface dry condition prior to use as constituent material in mortar mixes. Fine aggregates were graded in accordance to BS812: Part 102 (1989) and grading of fine aggregates used was in compliance with overall grading limits of BS 882 (1992) as shown in Fig. 2. Fineness modulus of the fine aggregates was determined to be 3.26.

Superplasticizer and mixing water: Aqueous solution of polycarboxylic ether by the commercial designation of Glenium Ace 388 was used as superplasticizer in this study. The superplasticizer was incorporated into mortar mixes at constant dosage to maintain desired level of fresh mix workability. Potable water from local water supply network was used as mixing water for all mortar mixes produced.

Methods: Characterisation of DSF and cement: Chemical compositions of DSF and PC were determined by X-Ray Fluorescence analytical method using X-ray spectrometer with the commercial name of Rigaku RIX3000. Mineral phases of oxide compounds detected from X-Ray Fluorescence analysis were identified by X-Ray diffraction method using Bruker X-Ray diffractometer. Loss On Ignition (LOI) of DSF and PC was determined in accordance to procedures prescribed in ASTM C311 (2007).

Particle size distribution, median particle size diameter (d₅₀) and specific surface area of both DSF and PC were determined by laser diffraction analysis using Malvern laser particle size analyzer. Applicability of laser diffraction technique as an alternative to the conventional Blaine permeability method for determination of specific surface area of cementitious materials was verified by previous research (Frias et al., 1991). Specific gravity values of the samples were determined using Le Chatelier Flask and procedures prescribed in ASTM C188 (2009). Kerosene was used as liquid medium for the assessment of specific gravity to avoid hydration of test samples during the test.

Mixture proportioning and mixing: The binder: sand ratio was maintained constant at 1:2.25 for all mortar mixes. The cement sand ratio was used as it was found to produce mortar with optimum compressive strength performance in earlier study (Cheah and Ramli, 2010). The PC binder was partially replaced using DSF at substitution level of 5, 7.5, 10, 12.5, 15, 17.5, 20, 25 and 25% by total binder’s weight. For all mortar mixes with DSF content, water/binder ratio was adjusted while superplasticizer dosage was maintained at 1% of binder’s weight to maintain desired mortar slump of 70±20 mm, as prescribed in BS EN 206: Part 1 S2 (medium workability) slump range (Cheah and Ramli, 2010). Meanwhile, flow of all fresh mortars was maintained within range of 40±5% to ensure adequate workability of mix for proper compaction. The mix design of control mortar mixture (C) was performed using absolute volume method prescribed in design code ACI 211.1 (ACI 211.1, 1991; Ramli and Dawood, 2010) to achieve structural grade strength of 40MPa at the age of 28 days. In general, concrete or mortar mixes with compressive strength of 40 MPa or higher are referred as high strength mixes (Saravanan et al., 2010). The composition of mortar mixes are summarised in Table 2.
Table 2: Proportion of constituent materials, bulk density and rheological properties of mortar mixes

| Batch designation | % DSF | Cement (kg m\(^{-3}\)) | DSF (kg m\(^{-3}\)) | Sand (kg m\(^{-3}\)) | Water (kg m\(^{-3}\)) | SP dosage (%) | w/c flow (%) | Slump (mm) |
|-------------------|-------|--------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|------------------------|---------------|-------------|------------|
| C                 | 0.0   | 708                      | 0                    | 1593                  | 198                    | 1.00          | 0.28        | 38.46      | 70         |
| W5                | 5.0   | 673                      | 35                   | 1593                  | 219                    | 1.00          | 0.31        | 41.53      | 80         |
| W7.5              | 7.5   | 655                      | 53                   | 1593                  | 227                    | 1.00          | 0.32        | 37.99      | 85         |
| W10               | 10.0  | 637                      | 71                   | 1593                  | 234                    | 1.00          | 0.33        | 33.82      | 60         |
| W12.5             | 12.5  | 620                      | 89                   | 1593                  | 244                    | 1.00          | 0.35        | 44.15      | 50         |
| W15               | 15.0  | 602                      | 106                  | 1593                  | 248                    | 1.00          | 0.35        | 35.96      | 60         |
| W17.5             | 17.5  | 584                      | 124                  | 1593                  | 262                    | 1.00          | 0.37        | 41.75      | 60         |
| W20               | 20.0  | 566                      | 142                  | 1593                  | 269                    | 1.00          | 0.38        | 35.48      | 65         |
| W25               | 25.0  | 531                      | 177                  | 1593                  | 290                    | 1.00          | 0.41        | 38.94      | 60         |

Mortar mixing and curing: Each batch of mortar was produced using an epicyclic type mechanical mixer complying with specifications prescribed in standard code of practice ASTM C305 (2006). During mixing, DSF and PC were initially homogenized at low mixing speed for duration of 10 min prior to addition of other constituent materials (Abu-Lebdeh et al., 2010). Further mixing sequences and durations were performed in accordance to standard procedures prescribed in ASTM Standard C305 (Cheah and Ramli, 2010).

Rheological properties: ASTM Flow test was performed on fresh mortar using flow table complying with specifications prescribed in ASTM Standard C230 and standard testing procedures described in ASTM Standard C109. Besides, slump values of the mortar mixes were determined by slump test performed in accordance to procedures prescribed in BS 1881: Part 102 (Cheah and Ramli, 2010). Fresh mortar flow and slump values obtained are presented in Table 2.

Compressive strength, Ultrasonic Pulse Velocity (UPV) and bulk density tests: Mortar cube specimens with edge dimensions of 50 mm were moulded, cured and tested in accordance to procedures described in ASTM Standard C109 (2008) for determination of compressive strength of hardened mortar mixtures produced. All mortar specimens fabricated were cured in lime saturated water for duration of 3, 7, 14 and 28 days prior being subjected to compression test. The reported compressive strengths at given age of mortar are the average of three number of specimens tested. Propagation velocities of transmitted ultrasonic pulse through hardened mortar mixtures were determined using an electrical pulse generator and testing methods prescribed in BS EN 12504-4 (2004) on a representative mortar prism specimen with dimensions of 100×100×500 mm. Transmission of ultrasonic pulse was performed by direct transmission method through constant path length of 100 mm between transducers. Bulk densities of hardened mortars were determined in accordance to methods in BS 1881: Part 114 (1983).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Characterisation of DSF:

Physical properties of DSF: Particle grading curves of PC and DSF are presented in Fig. 1. Median particle size of ground DSF was found to be 28.21 µm and corresponding specific surface area of 0.2170 m\(^2\) g\(^{-1}\). In comparison to PC sample which have median particle size of 3.19 µm and specific surface area of 1.0432 m\(^2\) g\(^{-1}\), it is apparent that DSF particles is much coarser than PC particles. The observation is consistent with findings of other researchers (Yajun and Cahyadi, 2003) and serves as a further evidence that densification of silica fume results in agglomeration of silica fume clustered particles forming large diameter agglomerates. By using laser diffraction surface area measurement technique, the measured specific surface area of DSF is the specific surface area of silica fume agglomerates which is much lower as compared to lower limit of 15 m\(^2\) g\(^{-1}\) prescribed in ASTM Standards C1240 (2010). Specific gravity of DSF particles was determined to be 2.28.

Chemical composition and solid state of DSF: The results of X-ray fluorescence analysis on DSF are presented in Table 1. From the test results, it can be observed that the dominant oxide compound present in DSF is silica which constitutes 90% by total weight of the material. Silica content of DSF is in compliance with limits predefined by ASTM Standards C1240 (2010) for use as constituent material in cementitious mixes. The other oxide compounds namely MgO, Al\(_2\)O\(_3\), SO\(_3\), CaO, Fe\(_2\)O\(_3\) and N\(_2\)O were detected in minor composition as indicated in Table 1. The sum of composition of essential pozzolanic oxide namely SiO\(_2\), Al\(_2\)O\(_3\) and Fe\(_2\)O\(_3\) of DSF was found to be 93.2%. Loss on ignition of DSF was found to be 4.96% which is in
compliance with upper limit prescribed in ASTM Standards C1240 (2010).

The X-Ray Diffraction pattern of DSF is presented in Fig. 3. The XRD pattern of DSF is consistent with pattern obtained by other researchers (Salas et al., 2009; Mostafa et al., 2001; Chong et al., 2009). Broad scattering peak of the XRD spectrum between 24° and 37° on the 2θ scale indicates that the silica composition of DSF was in pure amorphous state (Mostafa et al., 2001; Lin et al., 2003).

Superplasticizer requirement and workability of fresh mortar: The flow and slump values of fresh mortar produced along with their respective required water-binder ratio to achieve slump within the range of 70±20mm are presented in Table 2. The results indicate that as level of replacement with DSF increased from 0% (Control mortar) to 25%, water demand of the mixes increases gradually from w/b of 0.28-0.41 in order to maintain constant level of mix plasticity for constant superplasticizer dosage of 1% of total binder’s weight.

Slump and flow results indicated that both slump and flow of mortar mixes could be effectively controlled within desired range of 70±20 mm and 40±5% respectively by adjustment in water-binder ratio.

Bulk density of hardened mortar: Bulk density of mortar with various cement replacement levels using DSF is presented in Table 3. Generally, a marginal increase in bulk density of mortar was observed when silica fume was incorporated at cement replacement level of 5% as compared to the control mortar mix (C). Higher bulk density of mortar at 5% level of replacement can be attributed to denser cement paste matrix and improved plasticity of fresh mortar. On further increase in level of cement replacement beyond 5% up to 25%, a gradual decrease in bulk density of mortar could be noted. The observation is probably due to lower specific gravity of DSF particle as compared to PC particles.

Compressive strength and Ultrasonic Pulse Velocity (UPV): Compressive strengths and normalized compressive strengths of mortar with various DSF content are compared to PC mortar in Table 3. Generally, all mortar with DSF content exhibited progressive increase in normalized compressive strength with increasing age of mortar from 3 days up to 28 days. The observation indicates presence of active pozzolanic reaction within the mixes between amorphous silica compound of DSF and hydration product of PC. At the age of 3 days, mortar containing DSF at replacement level between 5, 7.5 and 10% exhibited higher compressive strength as compared to the control mortar. Enhancement in early age strength of mortar by incorporation of DSF at the aforementioned levels of replacement is due to micro-filler effect of the fine fraction of silica fume particles within cement paste matrix and the aggregate-cement paste interfacial zone. Combination of denser particle packing of cement paste and better aggregate-cement interface quality resulting from the micro-filler effect contributed towards enhanced compressive strength performance of DSF mortar at early age of curing (Neville, 1996). At the same age, incorporation of silica fume at higher level of cement replacement beyond 10% was observed to have little effect in further enhancement in strength of mortar because all the micro pores of cement paste and cement-aggregate interface zone had been filled.

Laboratory results on performance of DSF mortar mixes are presented graphically in Fig.4-7.

| Table 3: Compressive strengths and bulk densities of hardened mortar mixtures |
|-------------------------------------------------|--------------|---------------|-----------------|-----------------|----------------|----------------|
| Batch No. | Bulk density (kg m⁻³) | 3 Days (%) | Normalized 7 Days (%) | 14 Days (%) | Normalized 28 Days (%) | Normalized 28 Days (%) | Normalized 28 Days (%) |
|----------------|-----------------|------------|-----------------|---------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| C | 2270 | 39.20 | 100.0 | 42.02 | 100.0 | 42.63 | 100.0 | 43.16 | 100.0 |
| W5 | 2277 | 44.01 | 112.3 | 50.40 | 119.9 | 51.72 | 121.3 | 54.31 | 125.8 |
| W7.5 | 2246 | 44.49 | 113.5 | 51.92 | 123.6 | 54.06 | 126.8 | 55.46 | 128.5 |
| W10 | 2258 | 41.74 | 106.5 | 48.93 | 116.4 | 52.44 | 123.0 | 55.84 | 129.4 |
| W12.5 | 2187 | 38.20 | 97.4 | 41.80 | 99.5 | 45.89 | 107.6 | 47.06 | 109.0 |
| W15 | 2202 | 34.09 | 87.0 | 44.25 | 105.3 | 47.64 | 111.8 | 49.44 | 114.6 |
| W17.5 | 2181 | 31.45 | 80.2 | 38.61 | 91.9 | 44.63 | 104.7 | 44.68 | 103.5 |
| W20 | 2172 | 33.57 | 85.6 | 44.20 | 105.2 | 48.33 | 113.4 | 51.85 | 120.1 |
| W25 | 2148 | 29.12 | 74.3 | 44.16 | 105.1 | 46.53 | 109.1 | 49.22 | 114.0 |
From Fig. 4, it can be observed that rate of strength development for hardened DSF mortar between the age of 7 and 14 days was significantly higher as compared to the control mortar. By the age of 14 days, compressive strength of mortar mixes with DSF content of up to 25% had surpassed the compressive strength of the control mortar. Mortar containing 7.5% of DSF (W7.5) consistently exhibited optimum compressive strength of 44.49MPa, 51.92MPa and 54.06MPa for curing duration of 3, 7 and 14 days respectively. Though mortar with DSF content of 10% (W10) exhibited lower compressive strength as compared to W7.5 mortar at early ages up to 14 days, by the age of 28 days, W10 mortar exhibited compressive strength of 55.84MPa which is marginally higher as compared to the compressive strength of W7.5 mortar which was recorded at 55.46MPa. This is due to high rate of strength gain of W10 mortar between curing age of 14 and 28 days probably contributed by rigorous pozzolanic reaction in the presence of significant amount of amorphous silica within the mix.

From Fig. 5, it can be observed that at a given strength, mortar containing DSF at all level of cement replacement exhibited lower ultrasonic pulse velocity as compared to the control mortar. The observation indicates that the control mortar mix was less porous and denser than DSF mortar mixes (Hamid et al., 2010). The observation is consistent with measured mortar densities as tabulated in Table 3. Among DSF mortars, lower values of ultrasonic pulse velocity were recorded for mortar with higher level of cement replacement by DSF at a given strength. This is probably due to higher degree of porosity of hardened mortar as water content of the mixes was raised with increasing level of cement replacement in order to maintain constant level of mix workability.

Regression analysis on the mean compressive strength and ultrasonic pulse velocities of mortar mixes reveals a strong correlation between compressive strength of mortar with ultrasonic pulse velocity. Results of regression analysis indicated that mean compressive strength of mortar mixes is related ultrasonic pulse velocity by the following parabolic equations.

C: \[ y = -35.33x^2 + 328.0x - 717.7, \quad R^2 = 0.999 \] (1)
W5: \[ y = 69.48x^2 - 562.7x + 1181, \quad R^2 = 0.978 \] (2)
W7.5: \[ y = -97.79x^2 + 894.3x - 1987, \quad R^2 = 0.990 \] (3)
W10: \[ y = -97.32x^2 + 879.9x - 1935, \quad R^2 = 0.848 \] (4)
Fig. 5: Correlation between mean compressive strength and ultrasonic pulse velocity of DSF mortar

![Graph showing correlation between compressive strength and ultrasonic pulse velocity.]

Fig. 6: 28-Days water absorption of DSF mortar

![Graph showing water absorption at 28 days for DSF mortar mixes.] Where:

\[ y = \text{Compressive strength, MPa} \]
\[ x = \text{Ultrasonic pulse velocity, km sec}^{-1} \]

**Water absorption:** Figure 6 shows the 28-day water absorption of mortar with various DSF content. From Fig. 6, it can be noted that mortar with DSF content of 7.5% (W7.5) exhibited lowest water absorption of 1.19%. Meanwhile, water absorption of other mortar mixes with DSF content up to 25% by total weight of binder did show significant variation with values ranging between 1.62 and 2.02%. Water absorption of mortar containing 15 and 20% DSF were observed to be lower as compared to the control mortar. Meanwhile, mortar with DSF content of 5, 10, 12.5, 17.5 and 25% were relatively lower than the control mortar. However, values of water absorption for all mortar containing DSF are well below 10% which is the upper limit allowable for most construction materials (Udoeyo *et al.*, 2006).

**Intrinsic air permeability:** At the age of 28 days, a marked decrease in degree of air permeability was observed for mortar mixes containing DSF up to replacement level of 17.5% as compared to the control mortar as can be seen in Fig. 7. Mortar with 7.5% DSF by total weight of binder exhibited lowest 28 days intrinsic air permeability value of 0.178×10^{-16} m^2. For level of cement replacement with DSF beyond 7.5% up to 25%, a gradual increase in values of intrinsic air permeability could be observed. However at level of cement replacement by HCWA up to 17.5%, intrinsic air permeability of mortar specimen was found to be 0.24×10^{-16} m^2 which is still lower as compared to 0.293×10^{-16} m^2 exhibited by the control mortar specimen. Intrinsic air permeability of mortar specimens with DSF content of 5, 10, 12.5, 15, 20 and 25% was found to be 0.264×10^{-16} m^2, 0.179×10^{-16} m^2, 0.184×10^{-16} m^2, 0.221×10^{-16} m^2, 0.321×10^{-16} m^2 and 0.600×10^{-16} m^2, respectively. The gradual increase in value of intrinsic air permeability when the level of cement replacement with HCWA was increased beyond 7.5% is probably due to dominating effect of microfiller action of HCWA particles in refinement of pore structure over pozzolanic reaction of HCWA at early age of 28 days. Hence, further increase in DSF content and corresponding reactive silica amount did not contribute towards reduction in intrinsic air permeability of mix at this age. Regression analysis revealed a strong correlation between intrinsic air permeability of DSF mortar with level of cement replacement with DSF. Value of intrinsic air permeability of hardened mortar mixes can be accurately predicted using the following equation:
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\[ y = -10^{-6}x^4 - 0.001x^2 - 0.007x + 0.296, \quad R^2 = 0.985 \quad (10) \]

Where:
\[ y = \text{Intrinsic air permeability (m}^2) \]
\[ x = \text{Level of cement replacement by DSF (%)} \]

**CONCLUSION**

As referred to results acquired throughout the laboratory investigation, the following conclusions can be derived:

- DSF consists mainly of amorphous silica with median particle size of 28.21µm
- The use of DSF in mortar mix increased water demand of the mix to achieve a given level of workability
- Workability of mortar mix with various DSF content up to 25% by weight of binder in term of slump and flow can be optimally controlled within a given range by variation in water binder ratio of mix without adversely affecting 28 days compressive strength of the mix
- Optimum enhancement in the rate of early strength gain could be achieved by incorporation of DSF as partial cement replacement material at 7.5% by total weight of binder
- The use of DSF as partial cement replacement material up to 25% by weight of binder enhanced 28 day strength of mortar
- The level of cement replacement using DSF for optimum compressive strength performance is 7.5% by weight of binder
- Incorporation of DSF as cement replacement material up to 25% by total weight of binder did not have significant adverse effect on water absorption of mortar
- Highest impermeability of hardened mortar against penetration of air hence best durability performance could be achieved by incorporation of DSF in the mix at cement replacement level of 7.5% by total weight of binder
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