Mechanisms of hydrocephalus after intraventricular haemorrhage in adults
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ABSTRACT

Intraventricular haemorrhage (IVH) is defined as the eruption of blood in the cerebroventricular system and occurs mostly secondary to intracerebral haemorrhage (ICH) in adults. Hydrocephalus is a severe complication of IVH that can serve as an independent predictor of increased mortality. In this mini-review, we focus on the mechanisms of hydrocephalus after adult IVH, including blood-clot blockage, barrier impairment, inflammation and blood components, and attempt to reconcile the current research findings into a unified framework. We expect our theoretical framework to help guide future clinical and basic research leading to improved monitoring and intervention for IVH and subsequent hydrocephalus.

INTRODUCTION

Intraventricular haemorrhage (IVH) is an outcome of haemorrhagic stroke characterised by eruption of blood in the cerebroventricular system caused by rupture of a cerebrospinal artery spontaneously or secondarily. IVH can serve as an independent predictor of worse outcome and increasing morbidity and mortality after intracerebral haemorrhage (ICH), and can lead to severe complications, such as hydrocephalus. Hydrocephalus itself may contribute to increased mortality following IVH,1–3 and can be used as an independent predictor of higher mortality4 5 and worse prognosis.3 Hydrocephalus may be communicating or non-communicating. Communicating hydrocephalus occurs when the flow of cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) is blocked after it exits the ventricles. Non-communicating (obstructive) hydrocephalus occurs when the flow of CSF is blocked along one or more of the narrow passages connecting the ventricles. Current data indicate that hydrocephalus, communicating or non-communicating, develops in up to 67% of patients with intraventricular extension of ICH.3 4

Both immediate and delayed hydrocephalus are possible following IVH,6 yet the mechanisms by which they occur are unclear. Despite improvement in treatment of IVH and subsequent hydrocephalus in recent years, these conditions are still life-threatening and often lead to death. Mechanistic understanding of the events that lead to IVH and subsequent hydrocephalus will guide both clinical research and basic-science experiments geared towards treating these conditions. Creating a mechanistic framework is important for clarifying the underlying pathophysiology, identifying targets for intervention and improving the monitoring of progression of IVH. With improved monitoring and means of intervention, deterioration of patients’ conditions can be avoided and rate of recovery can be increased. We focus on the mechanism of hydrocephalus after non-traumatic IVH in adults. Although germinal matrix-intraventricular haemorrhage is a frequent phenomenon in premature and very-low-birth-weight (<1500 g) infants, it is beyond the scope of this mini-review, as the anatomy and physiology of IVH in infants and adults are distinct.

BLOOD CLOT BLOCKAGE

Currently, the prevailing theory explaining acute IVH-induced hydrocephalus is blood-clot blockage in the CSF drainage pathway. Blood-clot blockage frequently takes place in cerebral aqueducts or in the outlets of the fourth ventricle, whereas tetraventricular hydrocephalus typically results from blockage at the level of the cortical subarachnoid space and, less commonly, in the fourth ventricle outlets.6 After IVH, obstructive hydrocephalus can occur immediately. In these cases, multiple small blood clots form throughout the ventricular system, and obstruct the pathway through the arachnoid villi into the venous sinuses and small blood vessels leading to and from the ependymal cells.7 Based on this classical theory, current therapies predominantly aim at removing the blood and blood clots, slowing down haematoma growth, and reducing haematoma size. Examples of such strategies include
administration of recombinant tissue-type plasminogen activator (rt-PA), minimally invasive stereotactic surgery and endoscopic surgery.8

Advances in our understanding of the mechanism of hydrocephalus following IVH have been aided by observations in experimental animals. Mayfrank et al10 used a swine model of IVH to demonstrate that occlusion of CSF outflow by blood clots in the ventricular system and/or distention of the ventricular walls are prominent mechanisms of hydrocephalus development, which also lead to ventricular dilation and elevation of intracranial pressure (ICP). Furthermore, the impact of the hydrocephalus on adjacent cerebral structures and local blood circulation was shown to persist in the animal model even after the acute obstructive phase.9 Similar mechanisms likely control IVH-induced hydrocephalus in humans.

The total blood volume and duration of blood and blood-clot presence in the ventricular system are the main factors contributing to the intensity of communicating hydrocephalus, which occurs mostly in a delayed phase when an inflammatory reaction is generated as a result of the debris accumulating as the blood products are broken down.11

BARRIER IMPAIRMENT

Hydrocephalus occurs because of increased production, inappropriate flow or decreased reabsorption of CSF. Barrier-impairment mechanisms leading to hydrocephalus, including dysfunctional ependymal cells, blood–brain barrier (BBB) and the relevant molecular structures are summarised below.

The ependyma is the thin epithelium-like lining of the ventricular system. Ependymal cell integrity is important for CSF production and flow. Postnatal ependymal cells are non-renewable, multicilial, cuboidal epithelial cells lining the entire ventricular system. Their function includes CSF production, which is regulated by the CSF components and by neurotransmitters such as serotonin.6 12 15 The ependymal cells vary in morphology and metabolism during development, and become relatively uniform in adults. They are vulnerable to injury, which may occur as a result of increased ICP14 and/or inflammation.15 The structural characteristics and enzymatic function in mature mammalian cerebral ependymal cells ensure integrity of the barrier at the brain–CSF interface, which is responsible for scavenging and detoxifying various substances in the CSF, such as oxidants and pathogens.10 The cilia of ependymal cells have a dual function—as sensory compartments, and as mediators of fluid and cell movement.17

Inevitably, the ependymal surface is damaged after IVH. Mayfrank et al10 observed marked loss of the ependymal cells covering the ventricular walls in their swine IVH model. Two complementary mechanisms underlying ependymal cell damage and leading to hydrocephalus following IVH have been proposed: (1) disruption of the ependymal surface (cells and their cilia) results in collapse of the cerebral aqueduct walls or in aqueductal stenosis and CSF flow occlusion6 and (2) IVH leads to failure regulating the transfer of fluid, ions and small molecules between the cerebral parenchyma and the ventricular fluid, due to injury-caused dysfunction of the ependymal cells.12 The relative contribution of each mechanism awaits further study.

IVH causes not only ependymal cell damage, but also disruption of the BBB. The BBB is composed of cerebral endothelial cells and their linking tight junctions.6 In addition to protecting the brain from pathogens and unwanted molecules, the BBB also is important for maintaining the CSF protein content and the osmotic pressure in the brain.9 Krishnamurthy et al18 injected hyperosmolar dextran and fibroblast growth factor (FGF) 2 into the ventricles to mimic the condition of increased protein content and osmotic overload resulting from breakdown of BBB after IVH. These solutions that increased the osmotic load in the ventricles and water influx (through the choroid plexus CSF secretion and/or through the brain) into the ventricles to normalise this osmotic gradient successfully induced secondary hydrocephalus in a model of adult rats. However, whether increasing osmolarity or protein content in the ventricles would be able to maintain hydrocephalus is unclear.

Aquaporins (AQPs) are water channels facilitating water movement across cell membranes. In the human brain, the main water channels are AQP1 and AQP4. AQP1 is a predominant component of the apical membrane of the choroid plexus, whereas AQP4 is the main channel in the basolateral surface of ventricular ependymal cells.19 Choroidal AQP1 appears shortly after the choroid plexus in embryonic development and decreases with ageing. Its substantial contribution to CSF production has been demonstrated by experiments in adult mice and rats.19 In severe chronic hydrocephalic rats,20 upregulation of brain AQP421 and its relocation from astrocyte end-feet to the entire plasma membrane of hypertrophic astrocytes might be protective response mechanisms developed to maintain water homeostasis, possibly by allowing absorption of transependymal CSF into the brain capillaries.19

Additional rodent experiments have shown that AQP4 is important in maintaining the ependymal layer integrity in mice, whereas its role in maintaining the integrity of the BBB and CSF dynamics has been a subject of debate.19 Li et al13 demonstrated that the majority of AQP4-null mice presented smaller ventricle size, decreased CSF production, higher brain water content and decreased expression of the gap-junction protein connexin 43 in the ependymal cells compared to wild-type mice. In addition, another AQP4-null mouse model was reported to develop hyperpermeability of the BBB, emphasising the role of AQP4 in maintaining BBB integrity.22 Feng et al15 found hydrocephalus develops in 10% of AQP4-null mice, which display an incomplete
ependymal structure and consequent obstruction of small CSF apertures, such as the cerebral aqueduct and fourth ventricular outlets, leading to deficient water transport. Qing et al. reported iron overload and increased levels of AQP4 located in the perihematomal area in an adult rat model of ICH and suggested that both contributed to the development of brain oedema after ICH. They hypothesised that AQP4 was upregulated in response to iron accumulation in the periventricular area to mediate hydrocephalus after IVH because AQP4 expression was shown to correlate with iron concentration in that model, and AQP4 upregulation was inhibited by the iron chelator, deferoxamine. However, to the best of our knowledge, there have currently been no reports on studies directly examining the role of AQP1 or AQP4 in the mechanism of hydrocephalus after IVH, and there is a dearth of human data regarding aquaporin function in hydrocephalus.

INFLAMMATION AND FIBROSIS

The inflammation-related theory of hydrocephalus is well established in neonatal hydrocephalus after IVH, for example, dysfunction of arachnoid granulations due to obliterative arachnoiditis or CSF flow obstruction due to fibrotic blockage. In contrast, the theory and evidence to support or disprove it are limited in adults. After acute obstructive hydrocephalus, inflammation and subsequent scarring of the arachnoid granulations are major contributors to the secondary reaction, in which the flow of CSF through the cerebral aqueduct, fourth ventricular outlets, basal cisterns and/or arachnoid granulations, is prevented, resulting in communicating hydrocephalus, a frequent sequel of IVH, in which dilatation of all the ventricles occurs, in contrast to obstructive hydrocephalus. Inflammatory reaction in response to blood-breaking products reaching the arachnoid granulations with subsequent development of communicating hydrocephalus have been shown in animal experiments, including a swine, rat and mouse. However, blockage is a relative phenomenon. For example, subarachnoid space occlusion may be present in communicating hydrocephalus, a frequent sequel of IVH, in which dilatation of all the ventricles occurs, in contrast to obstructive hydrocephalus. 

Inflammation following IVH is mediated also by the transforming growth factor (TGF) family members, TGFβ1 and TGFβ2, which are among the most abundant and functionally versatile cytokines in the mammalian central nervous system (CNS). Normally, TGFβ1 is restricted to the choroid plexus and meningeal cells, two sites that are key to the development of hydrocephalus. TGFβ2 is located mainly in neurons and astroglial cells.

TGFβ1 is a highly ubiquitous cytokine that can be synthesised by virtually all cells, and almost all cells have been shown to have receptors for TGFβ1. Thus, the presence of TGFβ1 in the CSF could originate from the haemorrhage itself or from the choroid plexus. TGFβ1 is stored in platelet granules and, therefore, substantial amounts of TGFβ1 gain access to the CSF after IVH as platelets accumulate within intraventricular blood clots. TGFβ1 induces upregulation of the cognate genes encoding extracellular matrix proteins, such as fibroconnectin and laminin, which are important mediators of wound healing and scar formation. The major roles of TGFβ2 are scarring and fibrosis. When these events occur in the ventricular system and TGFβ2 acts in the CSF, the result can be hydrocephalus. However, when Kaestner and Dimitriou tried to detect the distinct behaviour of TGFβ1 and TGFβ2 following subarachnoid haemorrhage (SAH) and IVH in adults, they found that TGFβ2 concentration in the plasma did not change over time, and displayed a parabolic concentration change in the CSF with a peak at day 6 postictus. In contrast, plasma levels of TGFβ1 increased markedly over time in the early phase after the haemorrhage, whereas the CSF levels constantly decreased. These findings suggest that the mechanisms of development of posthaemorrhagic hydrocephalus in adults is unlikely to be mediated by TGFβ2, while whether it involves the crucial role of TGFβ1 is lacking convincing proof, as well.

BLOOD COMPONENTS

Iron

Heme is degraded in the brain by hemeoxygenase (HO) into iron, carbon monoxide and biliverdin, the latter of which is subsequently converted to bilirubin by biliverdin reductase. In response to haemorrhage, HO plays a dual role. It stimulates protective activity by virtue of the anti-inflammatory, antiapoptotic and antiproliferative actions of one or more of the three heme breakdown products, but at the same time promotes harmful effects by causing brain iron overload. Normal ependymal cells take up iron from the CSF and prevent iron diffusion to the rest of the brain. Thus, destruction of ependymal cells following IVH may be one of the causes for increased non-protein-bound iron—which is cytotoxic—in the CSF, and in turn may increase ependymal cell damage and exacerbate patients’ conditions.

Gao et al. found that intraventricular injection of lysed red blood cells (RBCs), but not packed RBCs, resulted in ventricular enlargement in rats 24 h postinjection. Similarly, intraventricular injection of iron also resulted in ventricular enlargement and ventricular wall damage, whereas co-injection of deferoxamine with lysed RBCs was protective against ventricular enlargement.
These results suggest that iron, a degradation product of haemoglobin, has an important role in development of hydrocephalus after IVH. Systemic deferoxamine treatment has been found to partially reverse brain iron accumulation, hydrocephalus, bilateral enlargement of the lateral ventricles and hippocampal tissue loss, in an adult rat model of IVH. In that model, iron accumulation was found associated with upregulation of HO-1 and ferritin (a key iron storage protein) in the hippocampus and periventricular areas.

The role of free iron in IVH-induced hydrocephalus may be tightly linked to the inflammatory response. Complement-mediated erythrocyte lysis may expose the CSF and brain to the damaging effects of free iron ions. Intraventricular macrophages express transferrin receptors and may be involved in iron regulation, which is also of possible importance after IVH as haemosiderin, an iron-storage complex containing ferritin, is found within macrophages after haemorrhage. In animal models of hydrocephalus, the level of complement receptor type 3 in intraventricular macrophages is elevated, suggesting a possible role of complement activation in hydrocephalus development. The precise relationships among complement activation, macrophage action and hydrocephalus after IVH need to be delineated further.

**REFERENCES**

1. Bhattathiri PS, Gregson B, Prasad KS, et al. Intraventricular hemorrhage and hydrocephalus after spontaneous intracerebral hemorrhage: results from the STICH trial. Acta Neurochir Suppl 2006;96:65–8.
2. Hwang BY, Bruce SS, Appelboom G, et al. Evaluation of intraventricular hemorrhage assessment methods for predicting outcome following intracerebral hemorrhage. J Neurosurg 2012;116:185–92.
3. Hemphill JC, Bonovich DC, Besmertis L, et al. The ICH score: a simple, reliable grading scale for intracerebral hemorrhage. Stroke 2001;32:891–7.
4. Diringer MN, Edwards DF, Zazulia AR. Hydrocephalus: a previously unrecognized predictor of poor outcome from supratentorial intracerebral hemorrhage. Stroke 1998;29:1352–7.
5. Stein M, Luecke M, Preuss M, et al. Spontaneous intracerebral hemorrhage with ventricular extension and the grading of obstructive hydrocephalus: the prediction of outcome of a special life-threatening entity. Neurosurgery 2010;67:1243–51; discussion 52.
6. Strahlé J, Garton HJ, Maher CO, et al. Mechanisms of hydrocephalus after neonatal and adult intracerebral hemorrhage. Transl Stroke Res 2012;3(Suppl 1):25–38.
7. Whitalaw A. Intraventricular haemorrhage and posthaemorrhagic hydrocephalus: pathogenesis, prevention and future interventions. Semin Neonatol 2001;6:135–46.
8. Hallevi H, Albright KC, Aronowski J, et al. Intraventricular hemorrhage: anatomic relationships and clinical implications. Neurology 2008;70:848–52.
9. Mayfrank L, Kissler J, Raasch R, et al. Ventricular dilatation in experimental intraventricular hemorrhage in pigs. Characterization of cerebrospinal fluid dynamics and the effects of fibrinolytic treatment. Stroke 1997;28:141–8.
10. Mayfrank L, Kim Y, Kissler J, et al. Morphological changes following experimental intraventricular haemorrhage and intraventricular fibrinolytic treatment with recombinant tissue plasminogen activator. Acta Neuropathol 2000;100:561–7.
11. Hanley D, Nolf N, Harris D. Intraventricular hemorrhage: presentation and management options. Semin Cerebrovasc Dis Stroke 2005;5:209–16.
12. Samat HB. Ependymal reactions to injury. A review. J Neuropathol Exp Neurol 1995;54:1–15.
13. Li X, Kong H, Wu W, et al. Aquaporin-4 maintains ependymal integrity in adult mice. Neuroscience 2009;162:67–77.
14. Pang D, Schlabassi RJ, Horton JA. Lysis of intraventricular clot with urokinase in a canine model: part 3. Effects of intraventricular urokinase on clot lysis and posthemorrhagic hydrocephalus. Neurosurgery 1986;19:553–72.

15. Del Bigio MR. The ependyma: a protective barrier between brain and cerebrospinal fluid. Glia 1995;14:1–13.

16. Simard PF, Tosun C, Melnichenko L, et al. Inflammation of the choroid plexus and ependymal layer of the ventricle following intraventricular hemorrhage. Transl Stroke Res 2011;2:227–31.

17. Kamyab S, Dominy CM, O’Hare L, et al. Dysfunctional cilia lead to altered ependyma and choroid plexus function, and result in the formation of hydrocephalus. Development 2005;132:5329–39.

18. Krishnamurthy S, Li J, Schultz L, et al. Intraventricular infusion of hyperosmolar dextran induces hydrocephalus: a novel animal model of hydrocephalus. Cerebrospinal Fluid Res 2009;6:16.

19. Owler BK, Pitham T, Wang D. Aquaporins: relevance to cerebrospinal fluid physiology and therapeutic potential in hydrocephalus. Cerebrospinal Fluid Res 2010;7:15.

20. Tournias T, Dragoni I, Fushimi Y, et al. Aquaporin 4 correlates with apparent diffusion coefficient and hydrocephalus severity in the rat brain: a combined MRI-histological study. Neuroimage 2009;47:659–66.

21. Mao X, Enno TL, Del Bigio MR. Aquaporin 4 changes in rat brain with severe hydrocephalus. Eur J Neurosci 2006;23:2929–36.

22. Zhou J, Kong H, Hua X, et al. Altered blood-brain barrier integrity in adult aquaporin-4 knockout mice. Neuroreport 2008;19:1–5.

23. Feng X, Papadopoulos MC, Liu J, et al. Sporadic obstructive hydrocephalus in Aqp4 null mice. J Neurosci Res 2009;87:1150–5.

24. Qing W, Dong YQ, Ping TQ, et al. Brain edema after intracerebral hemorrhage in rats: the role of iron overload and aquaporin 4. J Neurosurg 2009;110:462–8.

25. Takeuchi S, Nawashiro H. Letter by Takeuchi and Nawashiro regarding article, “Role of iron in brain injury after intraventricular hemorrhage”. Stroke 2011;42:378.

26. Hudgins RJ, Boydston WR, Hudgins PA, et al. Intrathecal urokinase as a treatment for intraventricular hemorrhage in the preterm infant. Pediatr Neurosurg 1997;26:281–7.

27. Larroche JC. Post-haemorrhagic hydrocephalus in infancy. Anatomical study. Biol Neonate 1972;20:287–99.

28. Hill A, Shackelford GD, Volpe JJ. A potential mechanism of the choroid plexus and ependymal layer of the ventricle following intraventricular hemorrhage. Transl Stroke Res 2011;2:227–31.

29. Del Bigio MR. The ependyma: a protective barrier between brain and cerebrospinal fluid. Glia 1995;14:1–13.

30. Mukherjee P, Pasinetti GM. The role of complement anaphylatoxin C5a in neurodegeneration: implications in Alzheimer’s disease. J Neuroimmunol 2000;105:124–30.

31. Xi G, Keep RF, Hof JT. Mechanisms of brain injury after intracerebral haemorrhage. Lancet Neurol 2006;5:53–63.

32. Kaestner S, Dimitriou I. TGFbeta1 and TGFbeta2 and their role in posthemorrhagic hydrocephalus following SAH and IVH. J Neurol Surg A Cent Eur Neurosurg 2003;64:e378–84.

33. Whitelaw A, Christies S, Popple I. Transforming growth factor-beta1: a possible signal molecule for posthemorrhagic hydrocephalus? Pediatr Res 1999;46:576–80.

34. Whitelaw A, Thoresen M, Popple I. Posthaemorrhagic ventricular dilatation. Arch Dis Child Fetal Neonatal Ed 2002;86:F72–4.

35. Logan A, Frautschy SA, Gonzalez AM, et al. Enhanced expression of transforming growth factor beta 1 in the rat brain after a localized cerebral injury. Brain Res 1992;587:216–25.

36. Logan A, Green J, Hunter A, et al. Inhibition of glial scarring in the injured rat brain by a recombinant human monoclonal antibody to transforming growth factor-beta2. Eur J Neurosci 1999;11:2367–74.

37. Murphy BP, Inder TE, Rooks V, et al. Posthaemorrhagic ventricular dilatation in the premature infant: natural history and predictors of outcome. Arch Dis Child Fetal Neonatal Ed 2002;87:F37–41.

38. Otterbein LE, Soares MP, Yamashita K, et al. Heme oxygenase-1: unleashing the protective properties of heme. Trends Immunol 2003;24:449–55.

39. Okubo S, Strahle J, Keep RF, et al. Subarachnoid hemorrhage-induced hydrocephalus in rats. Stroke 2013;44:547–50.

40. Gao C, Du H, Hua Y, et al. Role of red blood cell lysis and iron in hydrocephalus after intraventricular hemorrhage. J Cereb Blood Flow Metab 2014;34:1070–5.

41. Chen Z, Gao C, Hua Y, et al. Role of iron in brain injury after intraventricular hemorrhage. Stroke 2011;42:465–70.

42. Hua Y, Xi G, Keep RF, et al. Complement activation in the brain after experimental intracerebral hemorrhage. J Neurosurg 2009;102:216–22.

43. Xi G, Hua Y, Keep RF, et al. Systemic complement depletion diminishes perinatal brain edema in rats. Stroke 2001;32:162–7.

44. Ling EA, Kaur C, Lu J. Origin, nature, and some functional considerations of intraventricular macrophages, with special reference to the epilepsus cells. Microsc Res Tech 1998;41:43–56.

45. Jordan FL, Thomas WE. Brain macrophages: questions of origin and interrelationship. Brain Res 1988;472:165–78.

46. Liu DZ, Ander BP, Xu H, et al. Blood-brain barrier breakdown and repair by Src after thrombin-induced injury. Ann Neurol 2010;67:526–33.

47. Gao F, Liu F, Chen Z, et al. Hydrocephalus after intraventricular hemorrhage: the role of thrombin. J Cereb Blood Flow Metab 2014;34:489–94.