1. Introduction and Preliminaries

The generalized Lauricella series (see, for example, Refs. [1] (p. 454) and [2] (p. 37)) is defined as follows:

$$F_{\mathbf{A};\mathbf{B}^{(1)};\ldots;\mathbf{B}^{(n)};\mathbf{C};\mathbf{D}^{(1)};\ldots;\mathbf{D}^{(n)}}\left(\begin{array}{c} \mathbf{z}^1 \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{z}^n \end{array} ; \begin{array}{c} \mathbf{a} \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{a} \end{array} ; \begin{array}{c} \mathbf{b}^{(1)} \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{b}^{(n)} \end{array} ; \begin{array}{c} \mathbf{c} \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{c} \end{array} ; \begin{array}{c} \mathbf{d}^{(1)} \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{d}^{(n)} \end{array} \right) = \sum_{k_1,\ldots,k_n=0}^{\infty} \Omega(k_1,\ldots,k_n) \frac{z_1^{k_1}}{k_1!} \cdots \frac{z_n^{k_n}}{k_n!} \quad (1)$$

where, for convenience,

$$\Omega(k_1,\ldots,k_n) = \prod_{j=1}^{A} (a_j)^{\frac{1}{k_1}} \cdots \frac{b_j^{(1)}}{k_1\phi_j^{(1)}} \cdots \frac{b_j^{(n)}}{k_n\phi_j^{(n)}} \prod_{j=1}^{C} (c_j)^{\frac{1}{k_1}} \cdots \frac{d_j^{(1)}}{k_1\phi_j^{(1)}} \cdots \frac{d_j^{(n)}}{k_n\phi_j^{(n)}} , \quad (2)$$

the coefficients...
\[
\begin{align*}
\{ \theta_j^{(m)} (j = 1, \ldots, A); \varphi_j^{(m)} (j = 1, \ldots, B^{(m)}); \\
\varphi_j^{(m)} (j = 1, \ldots, C); \delta_j^{(m)} (j = 1, \ldots, D^{(m)}); \forall m \in \{1, \ldots, n\}\}
\end{align*}
\]

are real and positive, \((a)\) abbreviates the array of \(A\) parameters \(a_1, \ldots, a_A\), and \((b^{(m)})\) abbreviates the array of \(B^{(m)}\) parameters

\[
b_j^{(m)} (j = 1, \ldots, B^{(m)}); \forall m \in \{1, \ldots, n\},
\]

with similar interpretations for \((c)\) and \((d^{(m)})\) \((m = 1, \ldots, n)\).

The interested reader may refer to papers on the subject for more details [1,2].

The familiar generalized hypergeometric series \(p\mathcal{F}_q\) is defined as (Ref. [3], Section 1.5)

\[
p\mathcal{F}_q\left[\begin{array}{c}
\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_p; \\
\beta_1, \ldots, \beta_q;
\end{array} \mid z\right] = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\gamma_1)_n \cdots (\gamma_p)_n z^n}{(\beta_1)_n \cdots (\beta_q)_n n!}
\]

where \((\gamma)_n\) is defined as the Pochhammer symbol (for \(\gamma \in \mathbb{C}\)) and it is denoted by [3] (pp. 2, 4–6)

\[
(\gamma)_n = \begin{cases} 
1 & (n = 0) \\
\gamma(\gamma + 1) \cdots (\gamma + n - 1) & (n \in \mathbb{N} := \{1, 2, 3, \ldots\}) 
\end{cases}
\]

\[
= \frac{\Gamma(\gamma + n)}{\Gamma(\gamma)} \quad (\gamma \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{Z}_0^-),
\]

and \(\mathbb{Z}_0^-\) denotes the set of nonpositive integers.

Furthermore, Oberhettinger’s integral formula [4]

\[
\int_0^\infty x^{\mu-1} \left(x + b + \sqrt{x^2 + 2bx}\right)^{-\gamma} dx = 2^\mu b^{-\eta} \frac{b^{\mu}}{2} \frac{\Gamma(2\mu) \Gamma(\eta - \mu)}{\Gamma(1 + \eta + \mu)}
\]

provided \(0 < \Re(\mu) < \Re(\eta)\).

The well-known Mittag–Leffler function and its generalization were introduced and studied by Mittag–Leffler [5,6], Wiman [7,8], Agarwal [9], Humbert [10], Humbert and Agarwal [11] and other authors [12–15].

Motivated by above works here, with the same technique as Choi and Agarwal [12], we propose the establishment of two general integral formulas involving a multivariate generalized Mittag–Leffler function, which are expressed in terms of the generalized Lauricella series due to Srivastava and Daoust [1] given in Equation (1).

In a recent paper, Saxena and Kalla [16] introduced a more generalized Mittag–Leffler function as

\[
E_{(\rho)}^{(\gamma)} (z_1, \ldots, z_m) \equiv E_{(\rho_1, \ldots, \rho_m)}^{(\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_m)} (z_1, \ldots, z_m) = \sum_{k_1, \ldots, k_m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\gamma_1)_{k_1} \cdots (\gamma_m)_{k_m} z_1^{k_1} \cdots z_m^{k_m}}{\Gamma(\eta + \rho_1 k_1 + \cdots + \rho_m k_m)(k_1)!(\ldots)(k_m)!}
\]

Equation (7) is a generalization of well-known results.

On setting \(m = 1\), Equation (7) reduces to the Mittag–Leffler function defined by Prabhakar [15]:

\[
E_{\rho, \eta}^{\gamma}(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\gamma)_{k} z^k}{\Gamma(k \rho + \eta) k!},
\]
where $\rho, \eta, \gamma, z \in \mathbb{C}$, $\Re(\rho) > 0$, $\Re(\eta) > 0$ and $(\eta)_n$.

On setting $\gamma = 1$, Equation (8) reduces to the Mittag–Leffler function defined by Wiman [8]:
\begin{equation}
E_{\rho, \eta}(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^k}{\Gamma(k\rho + \eta)k!},
\end{equation}
where $\rho, \eta, z \in \mathbb{C}$, $\Re(\rho) > 0$, $\Re(\eta) > 0$.

On setting $\eta = 1$, Equation (9) reduces to the Mittag–Leffler function defined by [5, 6]
\begin{equation}
E_{\rho}(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^k}{\Gamma(k\rho + 1)k!},
\end{equation}
where $\rho \in \mathbb{C}$, $\Re(\rho) > 0$, $z \in \mathbb{C}$.

We also require the generalized hypergeometric function $p\psi_q[z]$ (see [17, 18]) defined by
\begin{equation}
p\psi_q[z] = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\prod_{i=1}^{p} \Gamma(a_i + \alpha_i k)}{\prod_{j=1}^{q} \Gamma(b_j + \beta_j k) k!} z^k k!,
\end{equation}
provided that $p, q \in \mathbb{N}_0 = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$; $a_i, b_j \in \mathbb{C}$; $\alpha_i, \beta_j \in \mathbb{R}$; $\alpha_i, \beta_j \neq 0$; $i = 1, \ldots, p$; $j = 1, \ldots, q$.

2. Main Results

We establish two generalized integral formulas, which are expressed in terms of the generalized Lauricella functions (1), by inserting a generalized Mittag–Leffler function (7) with suitable arguments into the integrand of (6).

**Theorem 1.** The following integral formula holds true: For $\eta, \mu, z_j \in \mathbb{C}$ and $x > 0$, where $j = 1, \ldots, m$
\begin{equation}
\int_{0}^{\infty} x^{\mu-1} \left(x + b + \sqrt{x^2 + 2bx}\right)^{-\eta} E_{(\rho_i), (\eta)}^{(\gamma_j)} \left(\frac{z_j}{x + b + \sqrt{x^2 + 2bx}}\right) dx
= 2^{1-\mu} b^{\mu-\eta} \frac{\Gamma(1 + \eta)\Gamma(\eta - \mu)}{\Gamma(\eta)\Gamma(1 + \eta + \mu)}
\begin{multline*}
\sum_{\begin{subarray}{l}
\gamma_1 : 1 \ldots, 1 \\
\gamma_2 : 1 \ldots, 1 \\
\ldots \\
\gamma_m : 1 \ldots, 1 \\
\end{subarray}}
\begin{subarray}{l}
\eta : 1 \ldots, 1 \\
1 + \eta + \mu : 1 \ldots, 1 \\
\end{subarray} :) 
\begin{subarray}{l}
\rho_1, \ldots, \rho_m \\
\frac{b_1, \ldots, b_m}{b} \\
\end{subarray},
\end{multline*}
\end{equation}
where $0 < \Re(\mu) < \Re(\eta)$.
Theorem 2. The following integral formula holds true: For \( \eta, \mu, z_j \in \mathbb{C} \) and \( x > 0 \), where \( j = 1, \ldots, m \)

\[
\int_0^\infty x^{\mu-1} \left( x + b + \sqrt{x^2 + 2bx} \right)^{-\eta} F^{(\eta)}_{(\mu)} \left( \frac{xz_j}{x + b + \sqrt{x^2 + 2bx}} \right) dx \\
= 2^{1-\mu} b^{\mu-\eta} \frac{\Gamma(\eta - \mu) \Gamma(1 + \eta)}{(\Gamma(\eta))^2 \Gamma(1 + \eta + \mu)} F^{2:1:1;1}_{3:0:0} \left[ \left[ 1 + \eta : 1, \ldots, 1 \right], \left[ 2\mu : 2, \ldots, 2 \right], \left[ \eta + \mu : 2, \ldots, 2 \right], \left[ \eta : \rho_1, \ldots, \rho_m \right] ; \ldots ; \left[ \eta : m \right] ; 0, \ldots, 0 \right] \left[ \frac{z_1}{k_1}, \ldots, \frac{z_m}{k_m} \right]
\]

(13)

where \( 0 < \Re(\mu) < \Re(\eta) \).

Proof. For convenience, let the left-hand side of the assertion (12) be denoted by \( \mathcal{I} \). By applying (7) to the integrand of (12), we obtain

\[
\mathcal{I} = \int_0^\infty x^{\mu-1} \left( x + b + \sqrt{x^2 + 2bx} \right)^{-\eta} \sum_{k_1, \ldots, k_m = 0}^\infty \frac{(\gamma_1)_k \cdots (\gamma_m)_k}{\Gamma(\eta + \rho_1 k_1 + \ldots + \rho_m k_m)} \left( \frac{z_1}{k_1} \right)^{k_1} \cdots \left( \frac{z_m}{k_m} \right)^{k_m} \frac{1}{k_m!} dx
\]

Then, interchanging the order of integration and summation,

\[
\mathcal{I} = \sum_{k_1, \ldots, k_m = 0}^\infty \frac{(\gamma_1)_k \cdots (\gamma_m)_k}{\Gamma(\eta + \rho_1 k_1 + \ldots + \rho_m k_m)} \left( \frac{z_1}{k_1} \right)^{k_1} \cdots \left( \frac{z_m}{k_m} \right)^{k_m} \int_0^\infty x^{\mu-1} \left( x + b + \sqrt{x^2 + 2bx} \right)^{-\eta} \left( \frac{z_1}{k_1} \right)^{k_1} \cdots \left( \frac{z_m}{k_m} \right)^{k_m} dx
\]

(14)

we can apply the integral formula (6) to the integral in (14) and obtain the following expression:

\[
\mathcal{I} = \sum_{k_1, \ldots, k_m = 0}^\infty \frac{(\gamma_1)_k \cdots (\gamma_m)_k}{\Gamma(\eta + \rho_1 k_1 + \ldots + \rho_m k_m)} 2^{\eta + k_1 + \ldots + k_m + 1} b^\eta \left( \frac{1}{2} \right)^{\mu} \frac{\Gamma(2\mu)}{\Gamma(1 + \eta + \mu + k_1 + \ldots + k_m)} \frac{\Gamma(\eta + k_1 + \ldots + k_m + 1)}{\Gamma(\eta + k_1 + \ldots + k_m)} \frac{\Gamma(\eta + k_1 + \ldots + k_m + 1)}{\Gamma(\eta + k_1 + \ldots + k_m + 1)} \left( \frac{z_1}{k_1} \right)^{k_1} \cdots \left( \frac{z_m}{k_m} \right)^{k_m} \frac{1}{k_m!} dx
\]

Now, arranging the constant term and using \( \eta + k_1 + \ldots + k_m = \frac{\Gamma(\eta + k_1 + \ldots + k_m + 1)}{\Gamma(\eta + k_1 + \ldots + k_m)} \), we obtain

\[
\mathcal{I} = 2^{1-\mu} b^{\mu-\eta} \Gamma(2\mu) \int_0^\infty \frac{(\gamma_1)_k \cdots (\gamma_m)_k}{\Gamma(\eta + \rho_1 k_1 + \ldots + \rho_m k_m)} \Gamma(\eta + k_1 + \ldots + k_m + 1) \left( \frac{z_1}{k_1} \right)^{k_1} \cdots \left( \frac{z_m}{k_m} \right)^{k_m} \frac{1}{k_m!} dx
\]

The above equation can be multiplied and divided with \( \Gamma(\eta + 1) \), \( (\Gamma(\eta))^2 \), \( \Gamma(\eta - \mu) \), \( \Gamma(1 + \eta + \mu) \).
Now, using the properties of the Gamma function as \((1 + \eta)_{k_1, \ldots, k_w} = \frac{\Gamma(1 + \eta + k_1 + \cdots + k_w)}{\Gamma(1 + \eta)}\), we find that

\[
\mathcal{I} = 2^{1-\mu} b^\mu \Gamma(2\mu) \frac{\Gamma(1 + \eta)}{\Gamma(\eta)} \Gamma(\eta - \mu) \Gamma(1 + \eta + \mu)
\]

\[
\sum_{k_1, \ldots, k_w=0}^{\infty} \frac{(1 + \eta)_{k_1, \ldots, k_w} (1 + \eta + \mu)_{k_1, \ldots, k_w}}{(1 + \mu)_{k_1, \ldots, k_w}} \frac{(\gamma)_{k_1} \cdots (\gamma)_{k_w}}{k_1! \cdots k_w!}
\]

(15)

Finally, we interpret the multiple series in (15) as a special case of the general hypergeometric series in several variables defined by (1). Thus, we are led to the assertion (12). The assertion (13) of the Theorem 2.2 can be proved by a similar argument. \(\square\)

3. Special Cases

In this section, we derive certain new integral formulas involving Prabhakar-type Mittag–Leffler functions [15] in the integrands of (12) and (13), respectively. By setting \(m = 1\) in (12) and (13) and applying the expression in (1) to the identities, we obtain two integral formulas, as stated in Corollary 1 and 2, respectively.

Corollary 1.

\[
\int_0^\infty x^{\mu-1} \left( x + b + \sqrt{x^2 + 2bx} \right)^{-\eta} \cdot E_{\rho,\eta} \left( \frac{z}{x + b + \sqrt{x^2 + 2bx}} \right) dx = \frac{\Gamma(2\mu)}{\Gamma(\gamma)} 2^{(1-\mu)\rho\eta-1} 3F_3 \left( \begin{array}{c} (\gamma, 1), (\gamma - \mu, 1), (\eta + 1, 1) \\ (\eta, \rho), (1 + \eta + \mu, 1), (\eta, 1) \end{array}; \frac{z/b}{(\eta, \rho)} \right)
\]

(16)

with the convergence conditions followed by Theorem 1.

Corollary 2.

\[
\int_0^\infty x^{\mu-1} \left( x + b + \sqrt{x^2 + 2bx} \right)^{-\eta} \cdot E_{\rho,\eta} \left( \frac{xz}{x + b + \sqrt{x^2 + 2bx}} \right) dx = \frac{\Gamma(\eta - \mu)}{\Gamma(\gamma)} 2^{1-\rho(\mu-\eta)} 3F_3 \left( \begin{array}{c} (\gamma, 1), (\gamma + 1, 1), (2\mu, 2) \\ (\eta, \rho), (1 + \eta + \mu, 2), (\eta, 1) \end{array}; \frac{z/2}{(\eta, \rho)} \right)
\]

(17)

with the convergence conditions followed by Theorem 2.

It is easily seen that, if we set \(\gamma = 1\) in (16) and (17), we obtain new integral formulas, as stated in Corollary 3 and 4, respectively.

Corollary 3.

\[
\int_0^\infty x^{\mu-1} \left( x + b + \sqrt{x^2 + 2bx} \right)^{-\eta} \cdot E_{\rho,\eta} \left( \frac{z}{x + b + \sqrt{x^2 + 2bx}} \right) dx = 2^{(\mu-1)\rho\eta} \Gamma(2\mu) 2^{\rho \eta} 3F_3 \left( \begin{array}{c} (\eta - \mu, 1), (\eta + 1, 1) \\ (\eta, \rho), (1 + \eta + \mu, 1), (\eta, 1) \end{array}; \frac{z/b}{(\eta, \rho)} \right)
\]

(18)

with the convergence conditions followed by Theorem 1.
Corollary 4.

\[
\int_0^\infty x^{\mu-1} \left( x + b + \sqrt{x^2 + 2bx} \right)^{-\eta} \cdot E_{\rho,\eta} \left( \frac{xz}{x + b + \sqrt{x^2 + 2bx}} \right) \, dx = 2^{(\mu-1)b(\mu-\eta)}\Gamma(\eta - \mu)2\psi_3 \begin{bmatrix} (\eta + 1, 1), (\eta + 1, 1); \\
(\eta, \rho), (1 + \eta + \mu, 2), (\eta, 1); \\
z/2 \end{bmatrix},
\]

with the convergence conditions followed by Theorem 2.

4. Conclusions

We conclude our investigation by remarking that the results presented here can be easily converted in terms of the known and new integral formulas after small changes in parameters. We are investigating the main results to find potentially useful applications in a variety of areas.

Author Contributions: Formal analysis, S.J.; funding acquisition, P.A., R.P.A. and S.J.; investigation, S.J., P.S. and P.A.; methodology, S.J., P.S. and P.A.; project administration, R.P.A., S.J. and P.A.; resources, S.J.; supervision, P.A.; Writing—original draft, S.J. and P.S.; Writing—review and editing, R.P.A. and P.A. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: S.J. is very thankful to the funding agency SERB (project number: MTR/2017/000194) for providing necessary financial support for the present study.

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable.

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

Data Availability Statement: Not applicable.

Acknowledgments: The authors would like to thank the anonymous referees for their careful reading of this manuscript and also for their constructive suggestions which considerably improved the article. S.J. very thankful to SERB (project number: MTR/2017/000194) for providing necessary facility.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

1. Srivastava, H.M.; Daoust, M.C. A note on the convergence of Kampé de Fériet’s double hypergeometric series. *Math. Nachr.* **1985**, 53, 151–159. [CrossRef]
2. Srivastava, H.M.; Exton, H. A generalization of the Weber–Schafheitlin integral. *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **1979**, 309, 1–6.
3. Srivastava, H.M.; Choi, J. *Zeta and q-Zeta Functions and Associated Series and Integrals*; Elsevier: Amsterdam, The Netherlands; London, UK; New York, NY, USA, 2012.
4. Oberhettinger, F. *Tables of Mellin Transforms*; Springer: New York, NY, USA, 1974.
5. Mittag-Leffler, G.M. Sur la nouvelle fonction $E_\alpha(x)$. *CR Acad. Sci. Paris* **1903**, 137, 554–558.
6. Mittag-Leffler, G.M. Sopra la funzione $E_\alpha(x)$. *Rend. Della R. Accad. Lincei Ser. V* **1904**, 13, 3–5.
7. Wiman, A. Über den Fundamental satz in der Theorie der Funktionen, $E_\alpha(x)$. *Acta Math.* **1905**, 29, 191–201. [CrossRef]
8. Wiman, A. Über die Nullstellen der Funktionen $E_\alpha(x)$. *Acta Math.* **1905**, 29, 217–234. [CrossRef]
9. Agarwal, R.P. A propos dune note de M. Pierre Humbert. *CR Acad. Sci. Paris* **1953**, 236, 2031–2032.
10. Humbert, P. Quelques resultants retifs a la fonction de Mittag-Leffler. *CR Acad. Sci. Paris* **1953**, 236, 1467–1468.
11. Humbert, P.; Agarwal, R.P. Sur la fonction de Mittag-Leffler et quelques unes de ses generalizations. *Bull. Sci. Math. Ser. II* **1953**, 77, 180–185.
12. Choi, J.; Agarwal, P. Certain unified integrals involving a product of Bessel functions of the first kind. *Honam Math. J.* **2013**, 35, 667–677. [CrossRef]
13. Milovanović, G.V.; Rassias, M.T. *Analytic Number Theory, Approximation Theory, and Special Functions*; Springer: New York, NY, USA, 2014.
14. Gorenflo, R.; Kilbas, A.A.; Mainardi, F.; Rogosin, S.V. *Mittag-Leffler Functions, Related Topics and Applications*, 2nd ed.; Springer: New York, NY, USA, 2020.
15. Prabhakar, T.R. A singular integral equation with a generalized Mittag-Leffler function in the kernel. *Yokohama Math. J.* **1971**, 19, 7–15.
16. Saxena, R.K.; Kalla, S.L. Solutions of Volterra-type integro-differential equations with a generalized Lauricella confluent hypergeometric function in the kernels. *Int. J. Math. Math. Sci.* **2005**, 8, 1155–1170. [CrossRef]
17. Wright, E.M. The asymptotic expansion of the generalized hypergeometric function. *J. Lond. Math. Soc.* **1935**, 10, 287–293.
18. Kiryakova, V. *Generalized Fractional Calculus and Applications*; Longman: Harlow, UK; Wiley: New York, NY, USA, 1994.