Thermodynamic phase diagram of static charge order in underdoped YBa$_2$Cu$_3$O$_y$
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The interplay between superconductivity and any other competing order is an essential part of the long-standing debate on the origin of high-temperature superconductivity in cuprate materials$^{1,2}$. Akin to the situation in the heavy fermions, organic superconductors and pnictides, it has been proposed that the pairing mechanism in the cuprates comes from fluctuations of a nearby quantum phase transition$^3$. Recent evidence for charge modulation$^4$ and its associated fluctuations$^{5-7}$ in the pseudogap phase of YBa$_2$Cu$_3$O$_y$ makes charge order a likely candidate for a competing order. However, a thermodynamic signature of the charge-ordering phase transition is still lacking. Moreover, whether the charge modulation is uniaxial or biaxial remains controversial. Here we address both issues by measuring sound velocities in YBa$_2$Cu$_3$O$_{6.65}$ in high magnetic fields. We provide the first thermodynamic signature of the competing charge-order phase transition in YBa$_2$Cu$_3$O$_y$ and construct a field–temperature phase diagram. The comparison of different acoustic modes indicates that the charge modulation is biaxial, which differs from a uniaxial stripe charge order.

In most La-based cuprate superconductors, static order of both spin and charge (so-called stripe order) has been unambiguously identified by spectroscopic and thermodynamic probes$^8-12$. At low temperature, magnetic fields weaken superconductivity and at the same time reinforce the magnitude of such orders$^8-10$. As the superconducting transition temperature ($T_c$) in the La-based materials is substantially lower than in other cuprate materials, it has been argued that stripe order is detrimental to high-temperature superconductivity. In underdoped YBa$_2$Cu$_3$O$_y$ (YBCO), there is now compelling evidence of competing order even though $T_c = 94$ K at optimal doping. The discovery of quantum oscillations$^{11}$ combined with the negative Hall$^{12}$ and Seebeck$^{13}$ coefficients at low temperature has demonstrated that the Fermi surface of underdoped YBCO undergoes a reconstruction at low temperature and consists of at least one electron pocket. A comparative study of thermoelectric transport in underdoped YBCO and in La$_{1.8-x}$Eu$_x$Ca$_x$Cu$_2$O$_y$ (a cuprate in which stripe order is well established) has been interpreted as charge stripe order causing reconstruction of the Fermi surface at low temperature$^{14}$. High-field nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) measurements have revealed that the translational symmetry of the CuO$_2$ planes in YBCO is broken by the emergence of a modulation of the charge density at low temperature$^1$. In addition, NMR measurements show that the modulation is observed above a threshold magnetic field and suggest that charge order is most likely uniaxial$^1$. In zero field, long-range charge fluctuations in YBCO were recently observed with resonant soft X-ray scattering (RSXS) up to 150 K and 160 K for $p = 0.11$ (ref. 5) and $p = 0.133$ (ref. 6), respectively, whereas hard X-ray scattering experiments suggest that a charge order develops below 135 K for $p = 0.12$ (ref. 7). All measurements identify charge fluctuations at two wave vectors corresponding to an incommensurate periodicity of approximately 3.2 lattice units. The identification of a thermodynamic phase transition is thus important to determine where long-range charge order exists in the phase diagram and particularly whether static order occurs only in high magnetic fields.

Here we report sound velocity measurements, a thermodynamic probe, in magnetic fields large enough to suppress superconductivity. The sound velocity is defined as $v_s = \sqrt{\rho c_i}$, where $\rho$ is the density of the material, $c_i = \partial^2 F / \partial \epsilon_i \partial \epsilon_i$ (ref. 15), $F$ is the free energy and $\epsilon_i$ is the strain along direction $i$ (in the contracted Voigt notation). Changes in the elastic constants $c_i$ are expected whenever a strain-dependent phase transition occurs. Owing to their high sensitivity, sound velocity measurements are a powerful probe for detecting such phase transitions, in particular charge ordering in strongly correlated electron systems$^{16}$.

We have measured several elastic constants (see Supplementary Table S1 for the description of the elastic modes) in high magnetic fields in an underdoped YBCO$_{6.65}$ sample with $T_c = 60.7$ K corresponding to a hole doping $p = 0.108$ (ref. 17). Figure 1a,b shows the field dependence of the relative variation of the sound velocity $\Delta v_s / v_s$ corresponding to the $\epsilon_{11}$ mode, at different temperatures. At $T = 4.2$ K, the softening of the elastic constant at the vortex lattice melting field $B_m \approx 20$ T corresponds to the first-order melting transition from a vortex lattice to a vortex liquid$^{18,19}$ (see Supplementary Information for more details). At $T = 29.5$ K, this anomaly shifts to lower field ($B_m \approx 5$ T) and because the pinning potential becomes less effective, the magnitude of the change of $\epsilon_{11}$ at the melting transition becomes smaller$^{20}$. At $T = 29.5$ K and above $B_m$, a sudden increase of the elastic constant can clearly be resolved at $B_{co} = 18$ T, which corresponds to a thermodynamic signature of a phase transition. Whereas $B_{co}$ is almost temperature independent at low temperature, it increases rapidly between 35 and 50 K (see red arrows in Fig. 1b). For $T \geq 50$ K, no change of $\epsilon_{11}$ can be resolved up to the highest field. Owing to the difference in the temperature dependence of $B_m$ and $B_{co}$, the phase transition at $B_{co}$ cannot originate from vortices. Figure 2 shows the phase diagram in which both $B_m$ and $B_{co}$ deduced from sound velocity measurements are plotted as a function of temperature. The identification of this
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phase stabilized by the magnetic field above \( B_m \) is straightforward. High-field NMR measurements in YBCO at similar doping have shown that charge order develops above a threshold field \( B_m \geq 15 \) T and below \( T_m^{\text{RMS}} = 50 \pm 10 \) K (ref. 4). Given the similar field and temperature scales, it is natural to attribute the anomaly seen in the elastic constant at \( B_m \), which cannot be resolved above 40 K. Red circles correspond to the phase transition towards static charge order at \( B_m \), as observed in \( c_{11} \). The error bars on the field scale \( B_m \) correspond to the width of the transition in the derivative (raw data) of \( c_{11} \). The charge-order transition is almost temperature independent up to \( \approx 40 \) K. Above 40 K the field scale \( B_m \) at which charge order sets in rises. In the Supplementary Information, we argue that the overall behaviour of the charge-order phase boundary in this \( B-T \) diagram is consistent with a theoretical model of superconductivity in competition with a density-wave state\(^{21}\). The green diamond is the temperature \( T_{\text{NMR}} = 50 \pm 10 \) K at which NMR experiments detect the onset of a charge modulation at a field \( B = 28.5 \) T in YBCO at doping \( p = 0.11 \) (ref. 4). Within the error bars, this onset temperature agrees with our findings. Dashed lines are guides to the eye.

phase shown in the theoretical phase diagram of superconductivity in competition with a density-wave order\(^{21}\) (see discussion in the Supplementary Information). For \( T \) below 40 K or so, static charge order sets in only above a threshold field of 18 T, akin to the situation in La\(_{2-x}\)Sr\(_x\)CuO\(_4\) (\( x = 0.145 \)) in which a magnetic field is necessary to destabilize superconductivity and to drive the system to a magnetically ordered state\(^{9}\). Close to the onset temperature of static charge order, \( T_{\text{co}} \), the threshold field \( B_m \) sharply increases and the phase boundary tends to become vertical. This is in agreement with the theoretical phase of competing order with superconductivity that predicts that superconducting fluctuations have no significant effect on charge order in this part of the phase diagram.

We now turn to the analysis of the symmetry of the charge modulation. In the framework of the Landau theory of phase transitions, an anomaly in the elastic constant occurs at a phase transition only if a coupling in the free energy \( F = g_{mn} Q^m \varepsilon^n \) (where \( m \) and \( n \) are integers and \( g_{mn} \) is a coupling constant) between the order parameter \( Q \) and the strain \( \varepsilon \) is symmetry allowed, that is, only if \( Q^m \) and \( \varepsilon^n \) transform according to the same irreducible representation\(^{22}\). In Fig. 3 we compare the field dependence at \( T = 20 \) K of four different modes \( c_{11}, c_{44}, c_{55} \) and \( c_{66} \) that display an anomaly at \( B_m \). To explain the presence of such coupling for all these modes, we rely on group theory arguments. YBCO is an orthorhombic system (point group \( D_{2h} \)), and given the even character of the strains we have only to consider the character table of point group \( D_{2h} \) shown in Table 1.

To represent the different symmetric charge modulations that transform according to each irreducible representation of the point group \( D_{2h} \) and to determine to which acoustic mode they couple, we...
will follow the procedure of ref. 16 and use the projection operator in the basis defined by the four Cu atoms at the corner of the CuO₂ plane (see Supplementary Information). This simplification is justified because the charge order is an intrinsic property of the CuO₂ plane. The four kinds of symmetric charge distribution allowed in this basis are shown in Supplementary Fig. S5. In Fig. 4, we illustrate them with concrete charge modulation patterns in the CuO₂ plane with an arbitrary periodicity. A uniaxial charge modulation along the a axis should induce an anomaly in ε₄₄ but not in ε₃₃. The very similar field dependence of ε₄₄ and ε₃₃ around Bₙ₀ (Fig. 3) indicates a biaxial charge modulation both along the a axis (Qₐ) and along the b axis (Qₐ). As the irreducible representation B₁₁ = Bₓₓ ⊗ Bₓₓ and εₓₓ transforms according to B₁₁, a further coupling β₆₆₆₆₆₆εₓₓ explains the anomaly seen in ε₆₆. A similar coupling exists for ε₁₃ because A₃₃ = Bₓₓ ⊗ Bₓₓ (i = 1, 2 or 3).

As a thermodynamic bulk probe with a typical energy scale below 1 meV, sound velocity measurements establish that static charge order sets in below Tₓₓ ≈ 45 K in magnetic fields above Bₒₒ = 18 T in YBCO at a doping level p = 0.108. For a nearby doping p = 0.11 (non-ortho-II ordered sample), zero-field RSXS measurements show that charge fluctuations appear at T = 150 K (ref. 5). The fluctuating character of the charge order at high temperature is consistent with recent resonant ultrasonic measurements in YBCO at similar doping level. Whereas anomalies in the sound velocity are detected at the pseudogap temperature, T* ≈ 240 K, and at the superconducting transition temperature Tₓₓ, no further phase transition is observed in zero field. An important aspect of these fluctuations is their biaxial character inferred from both RSXS (refs 5,6) and X-ray measurements. However, those techniques cannot determine whether there is a single charge density wave (CDW) with biaxial modulation or whether there are domains of two uniaxial CDWs. From sound velocity measurements, the latter scenario seems unlikely because for the anomaly in ε₆₆ to exist, charge modulations along the two directions should in principle coexist within the same sample volume. The biaxial character of the CDW observed in our study and in X-ray measurements supports the scenario in which the static charge ordering occurring at low temperature and finite magnetic field is a consequence of the freezing of the charge fluctuations observed in zero field at high temperature. A biaxial CDW seems to differ from the conclusion drawn from NMR measurements, which have been interpreted as a uniaxial modulation of the charge density along the a axis. However, an extra charge modulation along the b axis, as reported here, is not excluded from the NMR spectra. The biaxial nature of the CDW differs from the phenomenology of stripe order, which corresponds to a uniaxial charge order, as observed in La-based cuprates. This is further supported by the absence of spin order down to the lowest temperature at this doping level as inferred from NMR measurements. It raises the questions of the origin of the Tₓₓ anomaly close to p = 0.12 in underdoped YBCO and of the peculiar behaviour of the transport properties at high fields around this doping level. These questions and the interplay between biaxial CDW and high-temperature superconductivity call for further investigations. The biaxial character of the charge order has another important consequence, namely on the topology of the reconstructed Fermi surface. In the absence of spin order, as inferred from NMR (ref. 4), uniaxial order by itself cannot produce electron pockets in the reconstructed Fermi surface, unless one assumes that the Fermi surface at high temperature already breaks C₄ symmetry. On the other hand, no particular assumption is needed to produce electron pockets when the Fermi surface is folded along two perpendicular directions.

### Table 1 | Character table of point group D₂g.

| Ir. rep. | E | C₂ | C₂’ | C₂’ | Basis functions | Symmetric strains |
|----------|---|----|-----|-----|-----------------|------------------|
| A₁g      | 1 | 1  | 1   | 1   | x², y², z²      | Volume strains: Δ₁ |
| B₁g      | 1 | 1  | -1  | -1  | z, xy           | ε₆               |
| B₂g      | 1 | -1 | 1   | -1  | y, xz           | ε₅               |
| B₃g      | 1 | -1 | -1  | 1   | x, yz           | ε₄               |

Character table, basis functions and transformation properties of the strains for the point group D₂g, appropriate for YBCO. The z, y and x axes correspond to the crystalline c, b and a axes of the YBCO structure, respectively. Ir. rep., irreducible representation.
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**Figure 3 | Charge-order transition seen in different modes.** Field dependence of different elastic constants of YBCO (p = 0.108) at T = 20 K. a, Longitudinal mode c₁₁, b, c₄₄ (q || b, u || c) in green and c₅₅ (q || a, u || c) in magenta. c, c₆₆ (q || b, u || c). c₄₄ and c₅₅ have similar amplitude and field dependence at the transition Bₒₒ towards the charge order, indicating the biaxial character of the charge-density modulation. The anomalies seen in c₆₆ and c₇₁ are larger than in c₄₄ and c₅₅. This is probably due to a greater dependence of charge order with respect to in-plane strains than out-of-plane strains.
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