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Gender equity in rheumatology leadership in the Asia-Pacific
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Abstract

Objectives: This study aimed to explore gender equity in rheumatology leadership in the Asia-Pacific region as represented by the member national organizations (MNOs) of the Asia-Pacific League of Associations for Rheumatology (APLAR).

Methods: We conducted a retrospective cross-sectional review of gender representation among the presidents of MNOs of APLAR in April 2022. We used the official website of each organization to acquire names and terms in the office of current and past presidents of each organization. The binary gender of each president was estimated using the name-to-gender inference platform Gender API (https://gender-api.com/). Proportions of male and female presidents were estimated for each organization. Data were compared for presidencies commencing before and in/after the year 2000.

Results: We found a significant gap in gender parity, with most presidents in the region being men (210 of 252, 83%). More than one-third (7 of 19, 36.8%) of the MNOs had all male presidents, although the proportion of women improved from 7 to 25% in/after 2000 (P = 0.0002). A statistically significant increase in female representation was observed in Australia (P = 0.0268, from 7 to 39%) and New Zealand (P = 0.0011, where the proportion of female presidents increased from 0 to 45%), but not in other countries.

Conclusion: A significant gap in gender parity exists in rheumatology leadership in the MNOs of APLAR. Trends suggest improvement over the last two decades, although statistically significant improvement is limited to a small number of countries.

Keywords: gender equity, gender gap, rheumatology, leadership

Key messages
- Our review suggests that gender inequity exists in rheumatology leadership positions in the Asia-Pacific.
- Trends to improvement in gender equity are observed in many countries; however, statistically significant improvement is limited to a small number of countries.
- To accelerate the advancement of women in rheumatology, we propose convening a global gender equity task force and offer our collaboration.
Introduction

Gender equity in the global health workforce continues to be challenging despite the vision of the United Nations for an inclusive and diverse society [1]. Societal determinants often compound biological differences in the sexes that might potentially impede equitable opportunities for growth and leadership [2]. Women are under-represented in academic medicine, especially in leadership positions [3, 4]. With most of the evidence in the current literature coming from developed nations, it is assumed that the situation in developing countries could be even more unfavourable [2].

Although, in some parts of the world, the rheumatology workforce is becoming more gender equitable [5], gender equity in academic leadership has been far from achieved. A review of the authorship of rheumatology guidelines and recommendations published between 2004 and 2019 found that the two-thirds of first authors were males [6]. Likewise, a gender gap in speaker representation in rheumatology conferences was identified in several studies. These include the ACR meetings [7], EULAR annual congresses [8, 9] and national conferences in Europe [8]. Women are also under-represented on the editorial boards of rheumatology journals [10].

Asia-Pacific is large, diverse and heterogeneous, with several cultural, religious and social disparities. Beyond North America and Europe, there is a paucity of evidence on gender equity in rheumatology leadership. Therefore, it is an important and timely exercise to evaluate the gender gap in the Asia-Pacific region. The Asia-Pacific League of Associations for Rheumatology (APLAR) is an alliance representing rheumatology organizations from across the Asia-Pacific and with representation from certain Central Asian and Middle Eastern nations, inclusive of 33 member national organizations (MNOs) (Supplementary Tables S1–S10, available at Rheumatology Advances in Practice online).

Methods

We conducted a retrospective cross-sectional review of gender representation among the presidents of MNOs of APLAR in April 2022. An ethical review board approval for this study was not required because all the included data were publicly accessible and did not contain any protected information. We identified 33 MNOs from the official website of APLAR (www.aplar.org). We used the official website of each organization to acquire names and terms in the office of current and past presidents of each organization. We requested the secretariat of an organization to provide the information when not found on the website. Fourteen organizations for which information could not be accessed were excluded from the study. Nineteen organizations were included in the final analysis. Data were included for as many years as available.

The binary gender of each president was estimated using the name-to-gender inference platform Gender API (https://gender-api.com/) and cross-checked against the personal pronouns and photographs used on their institutional websites or social media profiles when available. Proportions of male and female presidents were estimated for each organization. Data were compared for presidencies commencing before and in/after the year 2000. Differences in female representation over time were tested using Fisher’s exact test, with the level of statistical significance set at $P < 0.05$.

Results

We obtained and analysed data for 252 presidents from 19 organizations. We found the overwhelming majority of presidents to be men (210 of 252, 83%). Strikingly, all current and past presidents were men in 7 out of 19 organizations (Bangladesh, Iraq, Japan, Jordan, Singapore, South Korea and the United Arab Emirates). In contrast, the highest proportion of female presidents was observed in Kuwait (two of four, 50%) and Malaysia (four of eight, 50%), followed by the Philippines (10 of 24, 42%) and Pakistan (4 of 10, 40%). The total number and proportion of male and female presidents in all the MNOs are represented in Table 1.

We compared the proportion of total male and female presidents in the MNOs for presidencies commencing before and in/after the year 2000. Overall, the proportion of female

Table 1. Number and proportion of male and female presidents in the national rheumatology organizations from the Asia-Pacific

| National rheumatology organizations | Total (n) | Male [n (%)] | Female [n (%)] |
|-------------------------------------|-----------|--------------|---------------|
| Australian Rheumatology Association (Australia), 1956–2022 | 40 | 33 (83) | 7 (17) |
| Bangladesh Society of Rheumatology (Bangladesh), 1997–2022 | 3 | 3 (100) | 0 |
| Chinese Rheumatology Association (China), 1985–2022 | 11 | 9 (82) | 2 (18) |
| College of Specialists in Rheumatology and Rehabilitation (Sri Lanka), years not available | 11 | 8 (73) | 3 (27) |
| Emirates Society of Rheumatology (United Arab Emirates), 2012–2022 | 4 | 4 (100) | 0 |
| Indian Rheumatology Association (India), 1971–2022 | 21 | 20 (95) | 1 (5) |
| Iraqi Society of Rheumatic Diseases (Iraq), 2012–2022 | 1 | 1 (100) | 0 |
| Japan College of Rheumatology (Japan), 1957–2022 | 34 | 34 (100) | 0 |
| Jordanian Society of Rheumatology (Jordan), 2002–2022 | 6 | 6 (100) | 0 |
| Korean College of Rheumatology (South Korea), 2016–2022 | 6 | 6 (100) | 0 |
| Kuwait Association of Rheumatology (Kuwait), 2012–2022 | 4 | 2 (50) | 2 (50) |
| Malaysian Society of Rheumatology (Malaysia), 2004–2022 | 8 | 4 (50) | 4 (50) |
| New Zealand Rheumatology Association (New Zealand), 1948–2022 | 37 | 32 (86) | 5 (14) |
| Pakistan Society of Rheumatology (Pakistan), 1995–2022 | 10 | 6 (60) | 4 (40) |
| Philippines Rheumatology Association (Philippines), 1964–2022 | 24 | 14 (58) | 10 (42) |
| Saudi Society of Rheumatology (Saudi Arabia), 2010–2022 | 3 | 2 (67) | 1 (33) |
| Singapore Society of Rheumatology (Singapore), 1976–2022 | 7 | 7 (100) | 0 |
| Taiwan Rheumatology Association (Taiwan), 1982–2022 | 15 | 14 (93) | 1 (7) |
| The Hong Kong Society of Rheumatology (Hong Kong), 2004–2022 | 7 | 5 (71) | 2 (29) |
| Total | 252 | 210 (83) | 42 (17) |
Table 2. Comparison of gender representation among the member national organizations of APLAR, before and in/after the year 2000

| Country of organization | Male [n (%)] | Female [n (%)] | P-value |
|-------------------------|--------------|----------------|--------|
| Australia (1956–2001)   | 25 (93)      | 7 (27)         | 0.0268 |
| Australia (2001–2022)   | 8 (61)       | 5 (39)         |        |
| Bangladesh (1997–2014)  | 1 (100)      | 0              | 1      |
| Bangladesh (2014–2022)  | 2 (100)      | 0              |        |
| China (1983–2000)       | 3 (75)       | 1 (25)         | 1      |
| China (2000–2022)       | 6 (86)       | 1 (14)         |        |
| India (1971–1999)       | 9 (100)      | 0              |        |
| India (2000–2022)       | 11 (92)      | 1 (8)          |        |
| Japan (1957–2005)       | 29 (100)     | 0              |        |
| Japan (2005–2022)       | 5 (100)      | 0              |        |
| New Zealand (1948–1999) | 25 (100)     | 0              | 0.0011 |
| New Zealand (2000–1999) | 6 (55)       | 5 (45)         |        |
| Pakistan (1995–2001)    | 1 (30)       | 1 (50)         | 1      |
| Pakistan (2002–2022)    | 5 (63)       | 3 (37)         | 0.6968 |
| Philippines (1964–2000) | 8 (62)       | 5 (39)         |        |
| Philippines (2000–2022) | 6 (55)       | 5 (45)         |        |
| Singapore (1976–2005)   | 3 (100)      | 0              |        |
| Singapore (2005–2022)   | 4 (100)      | 0              |        |
| Taiwan (1982–2001)      | 8 (100)      | 0              | 0.4667 |
| Taiwan (2001–2022)      | 6 (86)       | 1 (14)         |        |
| All MNOs* (1948–2000)   | 113 (93)     | 9 (7)          | 0.0002 |
| All MNOs* (2000–2022)   | 89 (75)      | 30 (25)        |        |

* Except for College of Specialists in Rheumatology and Rehabilitation, Sri Lanka, for which the data on the terms of presidencies commencing before and in/after the year 2000 were not available.

APLAR: Asia-Pacific League of Associations for Rheumatology; MNOs: member national organizations.

presidents increased from 7% before 2000 to 25% in/after 2000 (P = 0.0002).

We also compared the proportion of male and female presidents in each organization, where data were available for presidencies commencing before and in the year 2000 (Table 2). A statistically significant increase in the proportion of female presidents was observed in Australia (P = 0.0268), where the proportion of females increased from 7 to 39%, and in New Zealand (P = 0.0011), where the proportion of female presidents increased from 0 to 45%.

Discussion

Our results highlight the gender gap in rheumatology leadership across the Asia-Pacific. Over a third of the organizations included in our analysis have no present or past female presidents. Although it is reassuring to see the proportion of female presidents rising in many countries, a statistically significant increase was observed only in Australia and New Zealand. These results are consistent with trends observed in a review of gender positions in regional rheumatology leagues including ACR, African League Against Rheumatism (AFLAR), APLAR, EULAR and Panamerican League of Associations for Rheumatology (PANLAR), where low female representation was found. Among the global rheumatology leagues, a statistically significant increase in the proportion of female presidents has been observed only in the ACR. In the same review, it was found that there has been no female president in the AFLAR and PANLAR [11].

In line with the vision of the United Nations for gender equity as one of the key sustainable development goals, our review suggests a need for interventions to support women’s career development and advancement to leadership roles in rheumatology. The current gender leadership gap might reflect the under-utilization of women’s talent and intellectual capital [12, 13]. There are likely to be unexplored areas in medical research, the benefits of which are unavailable to patients and society [13]. Remarkably, there are indications that advancing women in academic medicine is linked with advancement in women’s health [14]. Given that rheumatology organizations in Asia-Pacific play an important role in setting and improving education, research and clinical care standards, greater gender equity in leadership can have a positive impact on the profession and patients.

Our data take an essential first step towards addressing the gender leadership gap in rheumatology in the Asia-Pacific by determining its extent and highlighting a need for interventions to support women’s career development and advancement to leadership roles. Although the literature suggests a range of potential interventions, they are predominantly based on single-centre observational studies; best practices and expert opinions vary according to the study setting and context and often come from the fields of academic medicine other than rheumatology [15–17]. Therefore, in designing interventions to address the gender leadership gap in rheumatology in the Asia-Pacific, it is important to assess the efficacy of available potential interventions and their transferrability to rheumatology settings in different countries.

The present study is limited by its ability to explore gender parity in all MNOs owing to unavailability of data for some regions. However, further research can usefully explore gender parity in the excluded MNOs and ascertain whether the observed trends hold true for other leadership positions. Owing to the paucity of data, the baseline characteristics of the rheumatology workforce are not readily available from the countries included in the analysis. It is important to assess whether the lack of representation of women in presidential roles is attributable to the shortage of women in the rheumatology workforce or because of certain barriers to career development and advancement. To ensure the sustainability of the rheumatology workforce in the face of growing demand for high-quality care, it is necessary to recruit more women and men into rheumatology and to retain the current generation of rheumatologists, who might leave owing to a lack of opportunities for career development and advancement.

Importantly, efforts to support women’s career development and advancement in rheumatology are already underway in different parts of the world. The EULAR Task Force on Gender Equity in Academic Rheumatology [18], the Association of Women in Rheumatology (AWIR) in the USA, and Reumatologhe Donne (ReDO) in Italy are paving the way for a more gender-equal environment in the rheumatology community [19]. In order to reduce the gender gap, the APLAR has recently articulated its ambition to increase female representation on executive committees to 50%. This is a praiseworthy initiative, which is likely to improve gender equity in the APLAR leadership and encourage the APLAR MNOs to implement similar policies. Given the common need to support women’s advancement in rheumatology in different parts of the world, we propose convening a global gender equity task force and offer our collaboration.

Conclusion

We found a significant gap in gender parity in rheumatology leadership in the MNOs of APLAR. Trends suggest...
improvement, but significant inequity still exists. Active interventions from rheumatology organizations are required to address the gender gap in rheumatology leadership in the Asia-Pacific. Such interventions can be developed in a concerted effort with rheumatology organizations and colleagues from other parts of the world. To this effect, we propose convening a global gender equity task force and offer our collaboration.
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