Synthesis, spectroscopic, biological activities and DFT calculations of nickel(II) mixed-ligand complexes of tridentate Schiff bases
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ABSTRACT: Ni(II) mixed-ligand complexes of [NiLNH₃] (where L = N-salicylidene-o-aminophenol (L₁), N-(5-methoxysalicylidene-o-aminophenol) (L₂) and N-(2-hydroxy-1-naphthalidene-o-aminophenol) (L₃)) containing ONO tridentate Schiff bases and ammonia were synthesized and characterized by elemental analysis, infrared, ultraviolet-visible, proton and carbon-13 spectroscopies. Theoretical calculations were also performed on the optimized structures of the Ni(II) mixed-ligand complexes. The infrared and ultraviolet-visible spectra of the complexes were calculated, and the results compared with the corresponding experimental spectra to augment the experimental structural identification. The elemental analysis data confirmed the formation of 1:1:1 [metal:Schiff base:ammonia] molar ratio. The NMR spectra showed that the Schiff bases coordinated to the Ni(II) ion via the two deprotonated phenolic oxygen and azomethine nitrogen atoms. The biological studies showed that the complexes exhibited higher antibacterial and antioxidant activities than the free Schiff base ligands.

1. Introduction

Schiff bases are compounds that are comparable to ketone or aldehyde, they have azomethine (HC=N) group instead of the carbonyl (C=O) group in the carbonyl compounds. Schiff bases can also be called azomethines or imines. They were discovered by Hugo Schiff in 1864. They have the general formula RR₁C=N−R₂, where R, R₁ and R₂ are alkyl or aryl groups. Compounds of the type RR₁C=N−R₂ are called 1,3-diketones. They are significant chelating ligands in coordination chemistry. Their chemical properties can be changed by varying the substituents on either the carbonyl or the amine ring. Intra- and intermolecular hydrogen bonds can be formed from Schiff bases obtained from various substituted salicylaldehydes and 2-hydroxy-1-naphthaldehyde and these usually determine their chemical and physicochemical properties. They have vital donor atoms like nitrogen and oxygen which make them resemble living systems and display different biological properties. The resulting imines are involved in binding with metal ions via nitrogen lone pair of electrons. Schiff bases can bind to the central metal ions as monodentate and polydentate. Schiff bases lead to the formation of many mononuclear and binuclear complexes with different coordination modes and stereochemistry.
The imine groups in Schiff bases are significant for biological activities, they are very useful active centers of many biological systems. Schiff bases have played essential roles in understanding the coordination chemistry of transition metal ions. Studies showed that Schiff bases derived from salicylaldehyde, 2-hydroxyl-1-naphthaldehyde including the derivatives and complexes with some transition metals displayed significant biological properties which make them gain attention. Some of the reported significant biological properties are anti-inflammatory, antimicrobial, analgesic, anticonvulsant and antioxidants which make them attract more attention.

Many mixed-ligand complexes have been synthesized for their pronounced biological activities. However, literature search showed that reports on mixed-ligand complexes bearing ammonia as co-ligand are less explored. Hence this study, which synthesized, characterized, and carried out the biological and theoretical studies of nickel(II) mixed-ligand complexes of tridentate Schiff bases and ammonia. Besides the experimental studies, quantum chemical methods were used to augment the experimental observations. Therefore, the molecular structures of the Schiff base ligands and complexes were modelled, and the theoretical calculations were carried out on their optimized structures. These were utilized for their IR, UV-Vis and NMR spectra. A consideration of the similarities between the calculated and experimental spectra, mostly the electronic spectra could further be used for identification of the molecular geometry.

2. Experimental

2.1. Materials

Salicylaldehyde, 5-methoxysalicylaldehyde, 2-hydroxy-1-naphthaldehyde, o-aminophenol, nickel(II) acetate tetrahydrate, ammonium hydroxide and formic acid were purchased from Merck (Germany) and used as supplied. The solvents were of pure grade except ethanol and water which were distilled.

2.2. Instruments

A Thermo Finnigan Flash EA 1112 Series was used for the elemental analyses (C, H, N). The Infrared spectroscopy analysis were carried out using the attenuated (ATR) technique with a Perkin-Elmer 400 FT-IR/FT-FIR spectrometer. An MPD Mitamura Riken Kogyo (Japan) electrothermal was used to determine the melting points. The nuclear magnetic resonance spectra were carried out on a Bruker Avance III 600 Spectrometer in solution with DMSO-d$_6$ and tetramethylsilane (TMS) as internal standard at 600 MHz. The UV-Visible spectra were recorded in 1.0 x 10$^{-4}$ mol L$^{-1}$ DMSO solution using Shimadzu UV-2600 Spectrophotometer in the range 250-900 nm.

2.3. Syntheses

2.3.1. The Schiff bases syntheses

5.0 mmol of the o-aminophenol in 10 mL of ethanol was added in drops to 5.0 mmol of the corresponding salicylaldehyde in 20 mL of the same solvent. The resulting solution was stirred for 2 h on addition of three drops of formic acid. The colored solids precipitated were separated by filtration and recrystallized from hot ethanol.
NiL₂NH₃ (C₁₃H₁₂N₂O₂Ni): Yield: 85%, wine solid, mol wt.: 286.94, m.pt: > 260 °C. Elemental analysis % (found) C: 54.44, H: 4.23, N: 9.78; calculated C: 54.42, H: 4.22, N: 9.76. FT-IR (ATR, cm⁻¹): 3336, 3276, 3236, 3158, 3040, 3016, 2685, 2591, 2541, 2323, 2098, 1916, 1880, 1843, 1802, 1760, 1689, 1602, 1580, 1546, 1468, 1441, 1375, 1358, 1322, 1313, 1303, 1283, 1263, 1225, 1172, 1156, 1143, 1130, 1111, 1029, 960, 941, 926, 941, 926, 875, 840, 796, 772, 750, 743, 730, 695, 657, 614, 568, 546, 524, 484, 461. ¹H NMR (DMSO-d₆, δ, ppm): 8.79 (s, 1H, −HC=N), 7.55-6.46 (m, 8H, aromatic), 2.37 (s, 3H, −NH₂). ¹³C NMR (DMSO-d₆, δ, ppm): 167.43, 162.96, 148.04, 139.55, 135.52, 134.04, 129.09, 120.83, 118.51, 116.56, 115.44, 114.75.

NiL₂NH₃ (C₁₃H₁₂N₂O₂Ni): Yield: 82%, wine solid, mol wt.: 316.97, m.pt: > 260 °C. Elemental analysis % (found) C: 53.10, H: 4.47, N: 8.85; calculated C: 53.05, H: 4.45, N: 8.84. FT-IR (cm⁻¹): 3341, 3236, 3159, 2935, 2832, 2009, 1840, 1601, 1584, 1529, 1477, 1445, 1424, 1367, 1314, 1302, 1282, 1257, 1238, 1212, 1177, 1147, 1111, 1049, 1031, 952, 939, 898, 871, 846, 821, 804, 750, 994, 658, 643, 582, 567, 550, 522, 503, 487, 474, 464, 456. ¹H NMR (DMSO-d₆, δ, ppm): 8.65 (s, 1H, −HC=N), 7.71-6.45 (m, 7H, aromatic), 3.69 (s, 3H, −OCH₃), 2.25 (s, 3H, −NH₂). ¹³C NMR (DMSO-d₆, δ, ppm): 167.39, 158.49, 149.25, 147.55, 139.62, 129.15, 124.40, 121.55, 120.98, 118.56, 116.34, 114.79, 56.44.

NiL₂NH₃ (C₁₃H₁₂N₂O₂Ni): Yield: 84%, brown solid, mol wt.: 337, m.pt: > 260 °C. Elemental analysis % (found) C: 60.71, H: 4.09, N: 8.27; calculated C: 60.59, H: 4.19, N: 8.31. FT-IR (cm⁻¹): 3340, 3239, 3163, 3038, 2338, 2148, 1613, 1600, 1578, 1533, 1509, 1476, 1457, 1428, 1397, 1361, 1344, 1314, 1297, 1280, 1262, 1252, 1205, 1171, 1145, 1111, 1041, 1029, 986, 963, 912, 835, 817, 775, 757, 693, 670, 653, 587, 563, 508, 470, 457.

Scheme 2. Synthetic route of the Ni(II) mixed-ligand complexes.

A methanolic solution of Ni(CH₃COO)₂.4H₂O (5.0 mmol) was added in drops to the corresponding Schiff base solution (5.0 mmol) while stirring in 10ml of the same solvent. Some drops of anhydrous ammonia were added to modify the pH of the resulting mixture to 7-7.5 and refluxed at 60 °C for 3 h. The colored solids precipitated were filtered by vacuum filtration, washed with distilled water, diethyl ether and methanol. These were dried over silica gel in a desiccator for two days and recrystallized from DMSO.
1H NMR (DMSO-d$_6$, δ, ppm): 9.25 (s, 1H, −HC=N), 8.49-6.30 (m, 10H, aromatic), 2.37 (s, 3H, −NH$_3$). $^{13}$C NMR (DMSO-d$_6$, δ, ppm): 167.18, 163.60, 141.43, 140.48, 134.85, 134.39, 129.17, 128.80, 128.01, 127.12, 124.19, 123.06, 121.66, 118.36, 116.78, 114.89, 111.71.

### 2.4. Antibacterial study

The antibacterial potentials of the compounds were measured against some Gram-positive and Gram-negative bacterial strains by agar-well diffusion method. The Gram-positive bacterial strains were *Streptococcus agalactiae* and *Staphylococcus aureus* while *Escherichia coli*, *Klebsiella pneumoniae*, *Proteus mirabilis*, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* and *Salmonella typhimurium* were the Gram-negative bacterial strains used. The nutrient agar medium was used to sub-culture the isolates of bacterial strains which were nurtured at 37 °C for 24 h. 20 mL of disinfected nutrient agar medium was dispensed in each germfree Petri dish after modifying the bacterial strains cultures to 0.5 McFarland standards, these were allowed to gel. The dishes were swabbed with the inoculum of the bacterial strains and left for 15 min to adsorb unto the gel. Varying concentrations of the samples (5, 10 and 15 mg mL$^{-1}$) were filled into the wells that were drilled on the seeded agar dishes by a sterile cork borer of 6 mm diameter. These were kept for 1 h in the refrigerator to allow for thorough circulation of the samples into the medium and then nurtured for 24 h at 37 °C observing the inhibition zones. Antimicrobial activities were expressed as inhibition diameter zones in millimeter (mm). Standard Gentamycin (10 µg mL$^{-1}$) was employed as control$^{17,20,21}.$

### 2.5. Phosphomolybdate total antioxidant capacity (PTAC) assay

The total antioxidant capacities (TAC) of the compounds were determined by phosphomolybdenum assay and ascorbic acid was used as the standard. 1.0 mL of reagent (0.6 mol L$^{-1}$ sulfuric acid, 28 µmol L$^{-1}$ sodium phosphate and 4 µmol L$^{-1}$ ammonium molybdate) was reacted with a fractional part of the extract solution (1.0 mL of 1000 µg). The covered tubes were incubated at 95 °C in a water bath for 90 min after which the samples were cooled to room temperature and a UV spectrophotometer was used to measure the absorbance of the aqueous solution of each at 695 nm. The procedure was repeated for an empty solution containing 1.0 mL of reagent solution. The TAC studies were performed three times and the mean was expressed as equivalents of ascorbic acid$^{22}.$

### 2.6. Computational method

The Ni(II) complexes were modeled and optimized using Gaussian 9 and Spartan 14 computational software packages which were implemented on an Intel Core i3-3100M computer. They were modeled based on the electronic spectra and elemental analyses data. Density functional theory (DFT) was employed for the geometry optimization, chemical shifts, electronic transitions and frequency calculations of the complexes. The DFT calculations were performed on the optimized geometry in the ground state using Becke’s three-parameter hybrid functional employing the Lee-Yang-Parr correlation functional (B3LYP) and the Empirical Density Functional 1 methods (EDF1) with 6-31G** basis set$^{23-26}.$

### 3. Results and discussion

The Schiff base ligands and the mixed-ligand complexes were stable and colored solids. The complexes were not soluble in water and almost all organic solvents except in DMSO and DMF. The mixed-ligand complexes have higher melting points than the parent Schiff base ligands, this showed that they have better stability than the Schiff bases. Elemental analyses data indicated the formation of 1:1:1 [nickel:Schiff base:ammonia] molar ratio for the mixed-ligand complexes. The crystals obtained in DMSO were not suitable for X-ray diffraction measurement. No crystal was obtained in DMF. Attempts to isolate single crystal suitable for X-ray diffraction measurement were not successful.

### 3.1 Spectroscopic studies

#### 3.1.1. FT-IR spectra

The spectra of the free Schiff base ligands (L$_1$, L$_2$ and L$_3$) showed the azomethine, v(−HC=N) bands at 1627, 1617 and 1626 cm$^{-1}$ respectively, these bands shifted to lower wavenumbers (1601-1600 cm$^{-1}$) in the mixed-ligand complexes (Figs. 1-3). This revealed the involvements of the
azomethine nitrogen atoms in coordination with the Ni(II) ions and the formation of metal–ligand bonds. The bands at 1274, 1228 and 1247 cm⁻¹ in the spectra of the free Schiff base ligands were assigned to the phenolic C–O stretching vibrations of L₁, L₂ and L₃ respectively. These bands shifted to higher wave numbers at 1301-1261 cm⁻¹ in the complexes, these showed the participation of the oxygen atoms of phenolic groups in coordination with the Ni(II) ions. The free Schiff bases (L₁, L₂ and L₃) exhibited hydroxyl ν(O–H) absorption bands at 3746, 3747 and 3119-2427 cm⁻¹ respectively, these bands were absent in the complexes which further confirmed the deprotonation of the phenolic groups and coordination of oxygen to the Ni(II) ion. The complexes showed new bands at 3336, 3340 and 3340 cm⁻¹ respectively assigned to ν(N–H) stretching vibrations of ammonia (–NH₃) groups, these indicated the presence of –NH₃ groups in the complexes. The complexes displayed the aromatic ν(C–H) and ν(C=C) absorption bands around 3276-3016 and 1584-1400 cm⁻¹ respectively. The aromatic ν(C–H) bending vibrations appeared around 875-647 cm⁻¹. The bands around 485-471 and 500-508 cm⁻¹ in the complexes were assigned to the stretching vibrations of the nickel–oxygen, ν(Ni–O) and nickel–nitrogen, ν(Ni–N) respectively. These confirmed the attachment of the Schiff bases to the central nickel ion through the phenolic oxygen atoms and the azomethine nitrogen atoms.
Figure 1. IR spectra of $L_1$ (A) and Ni$L_1$NH$_3$ (B).
Figure 2. IR spectra of L₂ (A) and NiL₂NH₃ (B).
Figure 3. IR spectra of L₃ (A) and NiL₃NH₃ (B).
3.1.2. NMR spectra

The $^1$H NMR spectra of the free Schiff bases showed two singlet signals at $\delta$ 13.78-13.07 and $\delta$ 10.31-9.68 ppm which were assigned to the two phenolic $-\text{OH}$ protons. The spectra showed singlet signals at $\delta$ 8.92 ($L_1$), 8.89 ($L_2$) and 9.47 ppm ($L_3$) attributed to the azomethine ($\text{\textendash}\text{HC}=\text{N}$) protons. The aromatic protons appeared as multiplets around $\delta$ 8.34-6.70 ppm. The three protons of the methoxy ($\text{\textendash}\text{OCH}_3$) groups in $'L_2'$ appeared as a sharp singlet signal at $\delta$ 3.71 ppm. A comparison of the $^1$H NMR spectra of the free Schiff base ligands with the Ni(II) mixed-ligand complexes (Figs. 4-6) showed that the chemical shifts for the $-\text{OH}$ protons in the free Schiff bases were not observed in the spectra of the complexes. The absence of the $-\text{OH}$ signals indicated the deprotonation of the hydroxyl groups of the Schiff bases prior to coordination with Ni(II) ion. It also confirmed the bonding of oxygen to the Ni(II) ions. Moreover, the coordination of the azomethine nitrogen atoms of the Schiff bases to Ni(II) ion were indicated by the displacements of the chemical shifts of the azomethine hydrogen to upfield region at $\delta$ 9.25-8.65 ppm. The aromatic protons appeared as multiplets around $\delta$ 8.49-6.30 ppm for the complexes. The new singlet signals at $\delta$ 2.37 and $\delta$ 2.25 ppm in the Ni(II) complexes were assigned to the three hydrogen atoms of $-\text{NH}_3$ groups. The appearance of these new singlet signals confirmed the presence of ammonia in the complexes as they are not present in the free Schiff base ligands. Furthermore, the three hydrogen atoms of the new singlet signals in the complexes spectra showed that the complex containing $\text{NH}_3$ is not in the form of ammonium ion ($\text{NH}_4^+$). The three $-\text{OCH}_3$ group protons of $'L_2'$ in the Ni(II) complex appeared as sharp singlet signals at $\delta$ 3.69 ppm. Signals at 3.33 ppm and 2.45-2.50 ppm are for H$_2$O/DMSO and DMSO respectively in all the spectra.

The $^{13}$C NMR spectra (Figs. S1-S3, supplementary data) are consistent with the proton NMR of the complexes. The $^{13}$C NMR spectrum of Ni$_3$NH$_3$ showed a peak at $\delta$ 167.43 ppm which confirmed the presence of azomethine carbon in the complex. The aromatic carbons peaks appeared in the range 162.96-114.75 ppm. In Ni$_2$NH$_3$ $^{13}$C NMR spectrum, the azomethine carbon appeared at 167.38 ppm and the aromatic carbons peaks appeared in the range 156.48-114.77 ppm while the carbon peak for $-\text{OCH}_3$ group appeared at $\delta$ 55.96 ppm. Ni$_3$NH$_3$ $^{13}$C NMR spectrum showed the azomethine carbon peak at 167.17 ppm and the aromatic carbons peaks in the range 163.59-111.70 ppm.
Figure 4. $^1$H NMR spectra of L$_1$ (A), NiL$_1$NH$_3$ (B) in water and DMSO.
Figure 5. $^1$H NMR spectra of $L_2$ (A), Ni$L_2$NH$_3$ (B) in water and DMSO.
3.1.3. Electronic spectra

The electronic spectra data of the Schiff bases and the Ni(II) mixed-ligand complexes are presented in Table 1. The spectra were recorded in 1.0 × 10^{-4} mol L^{-1} DMSO solution in the range 250-900 nm. The spectra of the Schiff bases showed absorption bands around 30769-27027 cm^{-1} and 22279-21186 cm^{-1} which were assigned to π→π* and n→π* transitions respectively.17,29,31 These transitions were observed in the spectra of the mixed-ligand complexes (Figs. 7-9) but some shifted to longer wavelength, confirming the coordination of the Schiff base ligands to the Ni(II) ion. The electronic spectrum of NiL_{1}NH_{3} displayed two absorption bands at 33113 and 23529 cm^{-1} which were assigned to π→π* and 1A_{1g}→1E_{1g} transitions respectively.8 Similarly, NiL_{2}NH_{3} spectrum showed two absorption bands at 32573 and 22779 cm^{-1} assigned to π→π* and 1A_{1g}→1E_{1g} transitions respectively.30 However, NiL_{3}NH_{3} showed three absorption bands at 31250, 22472 and 21186 cm^{-1} assigned to π→π*, 1A_{1g}→1E_{1g}, 1A_{1g}→1B_{1g} transitions respectively.28 The absence of any band below 10000 cm^{-1} eliminated the possibility of tetrahedral geometry.8 Hence, this suggest a square planar geometry.
Table 1. Experimental and theoretical electronic spectra data of the compounds.

| Compounds                  | Bands in cm⁻¹                     | Assignments                        |
|----------------------------|-----------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| L₁                         |                                   |                                    |
| NiL₁NH₃ (Exp)              | 28329                             | \(\pi\rightarrow\pi^*\)            |
| NiL₁NH₃ (B3LYP)            | 33113, 23529                      | \(\pi\rightarrow\pi^*, 1A_{1g} \rightarrow 1E_{1g}\) |
| NiL₁NH₃ (EDF1)             | 2317, 22422, 19377                |                                    |
|                            | 22382, 15290                      |                                    |
| L₂                         |                                   |                                    |
| NiL₂NH₃ (Exp)              | 27027                             | \(\pi\rightarrow\pi^*\)            |
| NiL₂NH₃ (B3LYP)            | 32573, 22779                      | \(\pi\rightarrow\pi^*, 1A_{1g} \rightarrow 1E_{1g}\) |
| NiL₂NH₃ (EDF1)             | 24724, 23285, 22323, 19239        |                                    |
|                            | 21685, 14794                      |                                    |
| L₃                         |                                   |                                    |
| NiL₃NH₃ (Exp)              | 30769, 22272, 21186               | \(\pi\rightarrow\pi^*, n\rightarrow\pi^*, n\rightarrow\pi^*\) |
| NiL₃NH₃ (B3LYP)            | 31250, 22472, 21186               | \(\pi\rightarrow\pi^*, 1A_{1g} \rightarrow 1E_{1g}, 1A_{1g} \rightarrow 1B_{1g}\) |
| NiL₃NH₃ (EDF1)             | 25454, 23552, 22339, 19642        |                                    |
|                            | 22471, 21209, 15095               |                                    |

Exp = Experimental.
Figure 7. UV-Visible spectra of L₁ (A), NiL₁:NH₃ (B).
Figure 8. UV-Visible spectra of $L_2$ (A), Ni$L_2$NH$_3$ (B).
3.1.4 Theoretical IR spectra

The theoretical IR vibrational frequency values of the Ni(II) complexes (Table 2) are in good agreement with the experimental values. The azomethine (−HC=N) absorption bands of the complexes appeared at 1654 cm\(^{-1}\) for both NiL\(_1\)NH\(_3\) and NiL\(_2\)NH\(_3\) while NiL\(_3\)NH\(_3\) was at 1648 cm\(^{-1}\) at B3LYP/6-31G** level. Experimentally, these bands were observed at 1601 and 1600 cm\(^{-1}\) for the complexes. The phenolic C−O stretching vibrations of the complexes appeared around 1340-1334 cm\(^{-1}\) in the theoretical data while the experimental values were observed around 1301-1261 cm\(^{-1}\). The experimental υ(N−H) stretching vibrations of −NH\(_3\) groups in the complexes appeared around 3336-3340 cm\(^{-1}\) while it was observed at 3592-3466 cm\(^{-1}\) in the theoretical spectra. The aromatic υ(C−H) stretching frequencies in the complexes were observed at 3218-3062 cm\(^{-1}\) in the theoretical data while the experimental were 3259-3010 cm\(^{-1}\). The characteristic υ(C=C) ring stretching vibrations of the complexes appeared at 1639-1400 cm\(^{-1}\) in the theoretical data, these bands appeared around 1584-1400 cm\(^{-1}\) in the experimental spectra. The υ(Ni−O) and υ(Ni−N) in the complexes were observed at 531-465 and 579-531 cm\(^{-1}\) in the theoretical spectra. These bands were observed at 485-471 and 550-508 cm\(^{-1}\) in the experimentally.

Table 2. Some selected theoretical IR data of the complexes.

| IR bands / cm\(^{-1}\) | NiL\(_1\)NH\(_3\) | NiL\(_2\)NH\(_3\) | NiL\(_3\)NH\(_3\) |
|------------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|
| υ(N−H) (B3LYP) (EDF1)  | 3592-3463, 3539-3408 |
| υ(C−H) (B3LYP) (EDF1)  | 3212-3174, 3117-3122 |
| υ(C−N) (B3LYP) (EDF1)  | 1654-1608 |
| υ(N−H) bending (B3LYP) (EDF1) | 1662-1665, 1640-1622 |
| υ(C=C) (B3LYP) (EDF1)  | 1639-1404, 1593-1452 |
| υ(C−O) (B3LYP) (EDF1)  | 1340-1313 |
| υ(C−H) bending (B3LYP) (EDF1) | 891-666, 889-669 |
| υ(Ni−O) (B3LYP) (EDF1) | 500-499 |
| υ(Ni−N) (B3LYP) (EDF1) | 538-532 |
3.1.5 Theoretical NMR spectra

The theoretical chemical shift values of the Ni(II) mixed-ligand complexes (Table 3) are in good agreement with the experimental values. The data obtained at B3LYP/6-31G** level showed the aromatic hydrogen in NiL$_2$NH$_3$: H17, H15, H14, H2, H11, H10, H6, H13 at 8.65, 7.94, 7.84, 7.66, 8.12, 7.57, 8.26, 7.53 ppm respectively. These were experimentally observed around 7.75-6.46 ppm. The azomethine (−HC=N) hydrogen and the three hydrogen in the −NH$_3$ group were observed at 10.07 and 2.60 ppm respectively in the theoretical spectra while they appeared at 8.79 and 2.37 ppm respectively in the experimental spectra. The aromatic carbon in the complex appeared in the range 157.40-108.56 ppm, these were observed experimentally in the range 162.96-114.75 ppm. The azomethine carbon signal appeared at 158.82 ppm in the theoretical calculations while it was experimentally observed at 167.43 ppm. Moreover, the aromatic hydrogen in NiL$_2$NH$_3$: H10, H13, H15, H17, H14, H11, H6 were observed at 7.54, 7.51, 7.94, 8.64, 7.77, 7.62, 7.79 ppm in the theoretical spectra, these appeared in the range 7.71-6.45 ppm in the experimental study. The azomethine (−HC=N) hydrogen and the three hydrogen in the −NH$_3$ group appeared at 10.04 and 2.52 ppm respectively in the theoretical calculations while they were experimentally observed at 8.79 and 2.37 ppm respectively.

Table 3. Theoretical electronic spectra data of the complexes.

| Positions of H & C | NiL$_2$NH$_3$ δ/ppm | NiL$_2$NH$_3$ δ/ppm | NiL$_2$NH$_3$ δ/ppm |
|------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
|                  | B3LYP               | EDF1                | B3LYP               | EDF1                | B3LYP               | EDF1                |
| H1               | 2.60                | -                   | 2.52                | 3.07                | 8.33                | 8.45                |
| C1               | 110.41              | 110.00              | 142.89              | 142.19              | 155.97              | 154.38              |
| H2               | 7.66                | 7.84                | 4.63                | 3.07                | 8.58                | 8.65                |
| C2               | 128.54              | 124.18              | 168.90              | 152.94              | 172.26              | 175.11              |
| H3               | 2.60                | -                   | 2.52                | 3.07                | 9.26                | 9.37                |
| C3               | 118.64              | 118.12              | 157.12              | 151.07              | -                   | -                   |
| H4               | 10.07               | 9.86                | 10.04               | 9.86                | 2.59                | 3.09                |
| C4               | 157.28              | 151.07              | 153.62              | 146.22              | 130.06              | 126.45              |
| H5               | 2.60                | -                   | 2.52                | 3.07                | 8.80                | 8.91                |
| C5               | 118.28              | 115.09              | 118.31              | 114.74              | 122.42              | 120.96              |
| H6               | 8.26                | 8.38                | 7.79                | 7.91                | 8.50                | 8.68                |
| C6               | 125.78              | 121.47              | 110.01              | 106.15              | 124.73              | 122.36              |
| H7               | 158.82              | 154.81              | 158.06              | 154.83              | 11.19               | 10.97               |
| C7               | -                   | -                   | -                   | -                   | 111.41              | 112.29              |
| H8               | -                   | -                   | 4.63                | 4.84                | -                   | -                   |
| C8               | 135.68              | 135.74              | 136.22              | 135.30              | -                   | -                   |
| H9               | -                   | -                   | -                   | -                   | 7.96                | 8.14                |
| C9               | 157.40              | 151.11              | 153.79              | 152.81              | 120.44              | 117.30              |
| H10              | 7.57                | 7.83                | 7.54                | 7.84                | 8.40                | 8.45                |
| C10              | 114.37              | 111.90              | 114.13              | 111.99              | 127.66              | 123.08              |
| H11              | 8.12                | 8.20                | 7.62                | 7.70                | 761                 | 788                 |
| C11              | 123.14              | 119.75              | 123.07              | 119.78              | 138.83              | 127.20              |
| H12              | -                   | -                   | -                   | -                   | 7.60                | 8.14                |
| C12              | 108.56              | 107.84              | 108.64              | 107.86              | 136.45              | 132.60              |
| H13              | 7.53                | 7.71                | 7.51                | 7.72                | 7.97                | 8.14                |
| C13              | 107.72              | 107.49              | 108.84              | 107.59              | 122.57              | 119.63              |
| H14              | 7.84                | 8.03                | 7.77                | 7.95                | 2.59                | 3.09                |
| C14              | -                   | -                   | 52.28               | 54.69               | 108.43              | 107.31              |
| H15              | 7.94                | 8.09                | 7.94                | 8.09                | -                   | -                   |
| C15              | -                   | -                   | -                   | -                   | 155.97              | 152.23              |
| H16              | -                   | -                   | -                   | -                   | -                   | -                   |
| C16              | -                   | -                   | -                   | -                   | 114.05              | 111.92              |
| H17              | 8.65                | 8.72                | 8.64                | 7.70                | -                   | -                   |
| C17              | -                   | -                   | -                   | -                   | 108.83              | 112.29              |
| H18              | -                   | -                   | -                   | -                   | 2.59                | 3.09                |
| C18              | -                   | -                   | -                   | -                   | -                   | -                   |
The chemical shift of the three hydrogen in the –OCH\textsubscript{3} group appeared at 4.63 ppm in the theoretical calculations and at 3.69 ppm in the experimental spectra. The aromatic carbon in the complex appeared in the range 153.79-108.64 ppm in the theoretical spectra, these were observed experimentally in the range 156.48-114.77 ppm. The carbon in the azomethine and –OCH\textsubscript{3} groups appeared at 158.06 and 52.28 ppm respectively in the theoretical calculations, these were experimentally observed at 167.38 and 55.96 ppm respectively.

However, in Ni\textsubscript{L}\textsubscript{3}NH\textsubscript{3}, the hydrogen in the ring: H2, H9, H10, H6, H1, H3, H5, H11, H13, H12 were observed at 8.58, 7.96, 8.40, 8.50, 8.33, 9.26, 8.80, 7.61, 7.97, 7.60 ppm in the theoretical calculations. These were experimentally reported in the range 8.49-6.30 ppm. The azomethine (–HC=N) and –NH\textsubscript{3} group hydrogen were observed at 11.19 and 2.59 ppm respectively in the theoretical spectra while they were reported in the experimental study at 9.25 and 2.37 ppm respectively. The aromatic carbon in the complex appeared in the range 157.40-108.56 ppm in the theoretical data, these appeared experimentally in the range 162.96-114.75 ppm. The azomethine carbon was observed at 159.97 ppm in the theoretical data and at 167.17 ppm in the experimental spectra.

3.1.6 Theoretical UV-Vis spectra

Table 1 compared the experimental and theoretical electronic spectra of the complexes. The theoretical electronic spectra data agree with the experimental values. The agreement between the theoretical and experimental electronic spectra corroborate the suggested structures. The theoretical spectra of Ni\textsubscript{L}\textsubscript{3}NH\textsubscript{3} as calculated at B3LYP/6-31G level showed three absorption bands at 23817, 22422, and 19377 cm\textsuperscript{-1}. These bands were obtained when electrons were promoted from HOMO → LUMO, HOMO → LUMO and HOMO-2 → LUMO+1 respectively. Ni\textsubscript{L}\textsubscript{3}NH\textsubscript{3} showed four absorption bands at 24724, 23285, 22323, and 19239 cm\textsuperscript{-1}, these bands were related to the promotion of electrons from HOMO → LUMO, HOMO-1 → LUMO, HOMO-6 → LUMO+1, and HOMO-2 → LUMO+1 respectively. Similarly, Ni\textsubscript{L}\textsubscript{3}NH\textsubscript{3} displayed four absorption bands at 25454, 23552, 22339, and 19642 cm\textsuperscript{-1}. These bands were obtained when electrons were promoted from HOMO-1 → LUMO, HOMO → LUMO, HOMO-7 → LUMO+1 and HOMO-2 → LUMO+1 respectively.

3.2. Geometry of the Ni(II) mixed-ligand complexes

In the absence of available X-ray crystallographic data, the use of quantum chemical methods in determining the equilibrium geometries of the mixed-ligand complexes becomes an important tool. The B3LYP/6-31G** and EDF1/6-31G** methods were used to predict the geometries of the Ni(II) mixed-ligand complexes. The experimental and theoretical observations earlier discussed have confirmed the formation of the mixed-ligand complexes. Hence, the proposed and optimized structures of the mixed-ligand complexes are shown in Fig. 10. The geometry of the mixed-ligand complexes predicted by both methods of calculation was a distorted square planar. The angles N1−Ni1−N2, O1−Ni1−O2, N1−Ni1−O2, N1−Ni1−O1, N2−Ni1−O2, N2−Ni1−O1 (Table S1, supplementary data) indicated that the coordination geometry of the nickel atom is distorted from a square planar. The bond distances Ni1−N1, Ni1−N2, Ni1−O1, Ni1−O2 (Table S1, supplementary data) were in the ranges observed for the analogous compounds of nickel square planar complexes containing the tridentate Schiff bases and N-donor co-ligands.\textsuperscript{11,28,29}

In Ni\textsubscript{L}\textsubscript{1}NH\textsubscript{3}, the HOMO which represents π-electrons of the system spread over the Ni(II) ion and N-(salicylidene)-o-aminophenol ligand with most of the electron density concentrated on the o-aminophenol subunit while the LUMO have most of the electron density concentrated on the salicylidene subunit. Similarly in Ni\textsubscript{L}\textsubscript{2}NH\textsubscript{3}, the HOMO spread over the Ni(II) ion and N-(5-methoxysalicylidene)-o-aminophenol ligand with most of the electron density concentrated on the 5-methoxysalicylidene subunit while the LUMO have most of the electron density concentrated on the salicylidene subunit excluding the methoxy group. In Ni\textsubscript{L}\textsubscript{3}NH\textsubscript{3}, the HOMO spread over the Ni(II) ion and N-(naphthalidene)-o-aminophenol with most of the electron density concentrated on the azomethine group and o-aminophenol subunit while the LUMO have most of the electron density concentrated on one of the benzene ring of the naphthalidene and the azomethine group.
3.3. Antibacterial activity

The results of the antibacterial activities of the Schiff bases and the mixed-ligand complexes are summarized in Table 4. The antibacterial results revealed that all the synthesized Schiff base ligands and the mixed-ligand complexes exhibited antibacterial activities. The mixed-ligand complexes exhibited better inhibitory effects compared to the parent Schiff bases. The enhancement in the antibacterial activities of the complexes can be explained based on chelation theory and probably the presence of ammonia molecules in the complexes. Chelation makes the ligands more powerful and potent bactericidal agents; consequently, killing more bacteria than the free ligands. It facilitates the ability of the complexes to cross the cell membrane. On chelation, the polarity of the metal ion reduces to a greater extent due to the overlap of the ligand orbital and partial sharing of the positive charge of the metal ion with donor groups. This process thus
increases the lipophilic nature of the compound which in turn favors penetration through the cell wall of the bacteria. These complexes also disturb the respiration process of the cell and thus block the synthesis of proteins, which restricts further growth of the organisms.\textsuperscript{12,29,31}

In comparison with standard Gentamycin, NiL\textsubscript{2}NH\textsubscript{3} exhibited more inhibitory effects against the bacterial strains than standard Gentamycin. Moreover, all the complexes showed more potent activities against \textit{S. agalactiae} than standard Gentamycin which was inactive against it. The methoxy-substituted Ni(II) complex (NiL\textsubscript{2}NH\textsubscript{3}) exhibited the most potent activity among the mixed-ligand complexes.

### Table 4. Antibacterial activities of the Schiff bases and the mixed-ligand complexes.

| Schiff bases/ Complexes | L\textsubscript{1} | NiL\textsubscript{1}NH\textsubscript{3} | L\textsubscript{2} | NiL\textsubscript{2}NH\textsubscript{3} | L\textsubscript{3} | NiL\textsubscript{3}NH\textsubscript{3} | DMSO | Gentamycin Standard |
|------------------------|---------------------|------------------------|---------------------|------------------------|---------------------|------------------------|-------|---------------------|
| \textit{E. coli}       | 5 mg                | 16                      | 25                   | 22                     | 28                   | 14                     | 17            | 20                  |
|                        | 10 mg               | 16                      | 25                   | 22                     | 28                   | 14                     | 17            | -                   |
|                        | 15 mg               | 17                      | 25                   | 22                     | 28                   | 18                     | 20            | -                   |
| \textit{K. pneumoniae} | 5 mg                | 15                      | 18                   | 20                     | 25                   | 14                     | 17            | -                   |
|                        | 10 mg               | 16                      | 20                   | 20                     | 25                   | 14                     | 17            | -                   |
|                        | 15 mg               | 16                      | 20                   | 20                     | 25                   | 14                     | 17            | -                   |
| \textit{P. aeruginosa} | 5 mg                | 17                      | 20                   | 30                     | 34                   | 14                     | 16            | -                   |
|                        | 10 mg               | 17                      | 20                   | 30                     | 34                   | 14                     | 16            | -                   |
|                        | 15 mg               | 19                      | 28                   | 30                     | 34                   | 14                     | 16            | -                   |
| \textit{S. agalactiae} | 5 mg                | 14                      | 18                   | 22                     | 26                   | 10                     | 14            | -                   |
|                        | 10 mg               | 14                      | 20                   | 22                     | 26                   | 10                     | 14            | -                   |
|                        | 15 mg               | 14                      | 20                   | 22                     | 26                   | 12                     | 18            | -                   |
| \textit{S. aureus}    | 5 mg                | 16                      | 20                   | 30                     | 33                   | 15                     | 18            | -                   |
|                        | 10 mg               | 16                      | 20                   | 30                     | 33                   | 15                     | 18            | -                   |
|                        | 15 mg               | 17                      | 24                   | 30                     | 33                   | 17                     | 19            | -                   |
| \textit{S. typhimurium}| 5 mg                | 15                      | 20                   | 18                     | 24                   | 17                     | 19            | -                   |
|                        | 10 mg               | 16                      | 20                   | 18                     | 24                   | 17                     | 19            | -                   |
|                        | 15 mg               | 16                      | 20                   | 18                     | 24                   | 17                     | 19            | -                   |
| \textit{P. mirabilis} | 5 mg                | 14                      | 16                   | 25                     | 28                   | 12                     | 14            | -                   |
|                        | 10 mg               | 14                      | 16                   | 25                     | 28                   | 12                     | 14            | -                   |
|                        | 15 mg               | 14                      | 16                   | 25                     | 28                   | 13                     | 15            | -                   |

Resistant, not sensitive (< 8 mm), sensitive (9–14 mm), very sensitive (15–19 mm) and ultrasensitive (> 20 mm).\textsuperscript{16}

### 3.4. Total antioxidant capacity

The results of the total antioxidant capacities of the Schiff bases and the Ni(II) mixed-ligand complexes are presented in Table 5. The mixed-ligand complexes showed higher total antioxidant capacities than the Schiff base ligands. NiL\textsubscript{2}NH\textsubscript{3} exhibited the highest TAC among the mixed-ligand complexes. The difference in the TAC of the Schiff bases and the mixed-ligand complexes could be due to the coordination of the metal ions and probably the presence of ammonia molecules in the complexes. The coordination of metal after complexation of the system increases its capacity to stabilize unpaired electrons and consequently, to scavenge free radicals.\textsuperscript{27} Hence, the mixed-ligand complexes are better antioxidants than the free Schiff base ligands.
Table 5. Total antioxidant capacities of the Schiff bases and the mixed-ligand complexes.

| Schiff bases/Complexes | TAC µg per mg AA |
|------------------------|-----------------|
| L₁                    | 0.68            |
| Ni₄L₃NH₃              | 0.96            |
| L₂                    | 0.78            |
| Ni₄L₂NH₃              | 1.27            |
| L₃                    | 0.62            |
| Ni₄L₂NH₃              | 0.71            |

4. Conclusions

Nickel(II) mixed-ligand complexes containing ammonia, N-(salicylidene)-o-aminophenol, N-(5-methoxysalicylidene)-o-aminophenol and N-(2-hydroxy-1-naphthalidene)-o-aminophenol were synthesized and characterized by different techniques. The melting points, elemental analyses, IR, ¹H NMR, ¹³C NMR and UV-Visible confirmed the formation of the mixed-ligand complexes. Theoretical calculations of the structures of the Ni(II) mixed-ligand complexes, their UV-Visible and IR and NMR spectra were performed to augment the experimental results. Based on the electronic spectra data, elemental analyses and the theoretical calculations, a distorted square planar geometry was proposed for the complexes. The antibacterial and total antioxidant activities of the complexes follow the order: Ni₄L₂NH₃ > Ni₄L₁NH₃ > Ni₄L₂NH₃.
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