Genetic methods to identify and manipulate newly born neurons in the adult brain
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INTRODUCTION

It is now widely accepted that in mammals, including humans, newly born neurons are continuously generated and incorporated into the functional neural network of the adult brain (Mckay, 1997; Gage, 2000; Temple, 2001; Ming and Song, 2005; Imayoshi et al., 2008, 2009). Neurogenesis in the mature adult brain was first reported by Altman and colleagues using a [H3]-thymidine-incorporation labeling method in the dentate gyrus (DG) of the rat hippocampus (Altman and Das, 1965). They published a series of research articles describing neurogenesis in various regions of the adult rat brain, including the neocortex and olfactory bulb (OB; Altman, 1966, 1969). The long-term survival of newly born neurons in the hippocampus has also been demonstrated (Kaplan and Hinds, 1977). The development of a bromodeoxyuridine (BrdU)-incorporation labeling method enabled us to analyze the characteristics of newly born neurons among billions of pre-existing neurons in the adult central nervous system. In this review, we introduce recent advances in the methodologies for visualizing newly generated neurons and manipulating neurogenesis in the adult brain. Particularly, the application of site-specific recombinases and Tet inducible system in combination with transgenic or gene targeting strategy is discussed in further detail.
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Although mammalian neurogenesis is mostly completed by the perinatal period, new neurons are continuously generated in the subventricular zone of the lateral ventricle and the subgranular zone of the hippocampal dentate gyrus. Since the discovery of adult neurogenesis, many extensive studies have been performed on various aspects of adult neurogenesis, including proliferation and fate-specification of adult neural stem cells, and the migration, maturation and synaptic integration of newly born neurons. Furthermore, recent research has shed light on the contribution of adult neurogenesis to olfactory-related and hippocampus-mediated brain functions. The field of adult neurogenesis progressed tremendously thanks to technical advances that facilitate the identification and selective manipulation of newly born neurons among billions of pre-existing neurons in the adult central nervous system. In this review, we discuss recent advances in the methodologies for visualizing newly generated neurons and manipulating neurogenesis in the adult brain. Particularly, the application of site-specific recombinases and Tet inducible system in combination with transgenic or gene targeting strategy is discussed in further detail.
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NEUROGENESIS FROM NEURAL STEM CELLS IN THE ADULT BRAIN

In the adult brain, neural stem cells (NSCs) exist principally in two regions: the SVZ of the lateral ventricle and the SGZ of the hippocampal DG (Kriegstein and Alvarez-Buylla, 2009), where neurogenesis occurs continuously. The SVZ is a layer extending along the lateral wall of the lateral ventricle and contains many dividing cells. A large number of neurons born in the SVZ migrate into the OB, forming a rostral migratory stream, and differentiate into local interneurons. A subset of glial fibrillary acidic protein (GFAP)-positive cells (Type-B cells) function as NSCs in the adult SVZ. Type-B cells divide slowly and give rise to rapidly proliferating “transit-amplifying cells” (Type-C cells), which then generate migrating neuroblasts (Type-A cells) after several cell divisions. Type-B cells have the ultrastructural features of astrocytes and express GFAP, a canonical astrocyte marker protein (Doetsch et al., 1997, 1999; Pastrana et al., 2009; Figure 1A). Thus, NSCs gradually undergo changes in proliferation and differentiation competencies in the developing and adult brain (Kriegstein and Alvarez-Buylla, 2009).

In the SGZ of the adult hippocampal DG, Type-1 NSCs have astrocytic features and are marked by GFAP (Seri et al., 2004; Zhao et al., 2006; Suh et al., 2007). Although these cells have a proliferative capacity, they cycle much slower than the Type-2 progenitor cells that follow. While Nestin, Sox2, and brain lipid-binding protein (BLBP) are also expressed in Type-1 cells, the expression persists into the Type-2 cell stages (Steiner et al., 2006). NeuroD and Doublecortin (Dcx) appear in Type-2b cells, the later stage of Type-2 cells, and persist into postmitotic but immature granule cell precursors. Finally, these cells mature into NeuN/calbindin/Prox1-positive granule cell neurons in the DG (Figure 1B). Notch signaling is highly activated in Type-B cells of the SVZ of the lateral ventricle and Type-1 cells of the SGZ of the DG (Ehm et al., 2010; Imayoshi et al., 2010; Lugert et al., 2010; Figure 1).

CreER TRANSGENIC MICE TO MANIPULATE ADULT NEURAL STEM/PROGENITOR CELLS

Site-specific recombinases (SSRs) have proven to be useful tools in the analysis of gene function and the genetic manipulation of restricted cell populations (Branda and Dymecki, 2004). SSRs can induce the deletion, insertion or inversion of DNA sequences by breaking and joining DNA molecules at specific sites (Nagy, 2000; Wu et al., 2007). The most widely used SSR in cultured mammalian cells and animals is the P1 bacteriophage-derived Cre, a member of the integrase family that recognizes homotypic 34-bp loxP recognition sites. Cre recombinase efficiently excises DNA, which is flanked by two directly repeated loxP recognition sites, in mammalian cells. By crossing transgenic mice expressing Cre in a cell type-specific manner with reporter mice, we can trace the lineage of the progeny of Cre expressing cells with reporter gene expression such as GFP and LacZ. In reporter mice, a reporter gene is under the control of a ubiquitous promoter such as CAG (a combination of the chicken beta-actin promoter and the cytomegalovirus immediate-early enhancer) and the Rosa26 promoter; however the expression of the reporter gene is interrupted by a loxP-flanked transcriptional STOP cassette. In these mice, recombination by Cre results in the removal of the STOP cassette and permanent expression of the reporter protein.

Temporal control of Cre-mediated recombination can be achieved by using the ligand-dependent chimeric recombinase CreER. CreER is constructed by fusing Cre to the mutated ligand-binding domain (LBD) of the estrogen receptor (Metzger et al., 1995; Feil et al., 1997). In transgenic mice, CreER is activated by the administration of tamoxifen, a synthetic estrogen antagonist, but not by natural ligands of LBD such as 17β-estradiol (Indra et al., 2000; Li et al., 2000).

Several groups have generated transgenic mice using the nestin promoter and enhancer (Table 1). Nestin is an intermediate filament protein specifically expressed by neural stem/progenitor cells in the developing nervous system and the adult brain (Lendahl et al., 1990), and the second intron of the nestin gene contains a neural stem/progenitor cell-specific enhancer (Zimmerman et al., 1994; Mignone et al., 2004; Imayoshi et al., 2006, 2008; Lagace et al., 2007). In Nestin-CreER transgenic embryos, CreER is specifically expressed in the ventricular zone of the developing CNS and, in mice expressing CreER at an appropriate level, Cre recombinase activity is efficiently induced in NSCs by the administration of tamoxifen. Nestin is expressed in the SVZ and SGZ of the adult brain (Doetsch et al., 1997), and in Nestin-CreER transgenic mice, NSCs and transit-amplifying cells express CreER. In the presence of tamoxifen, Cre-mediated recombination occurs efficiently in NSCs, and the majority of newborn neurons generated from such recombined NSCs were labeled with reporter gene expression after tamoxifen treatment (Carlén et al., 2006; Imayoshi et al., 2006, 2008;
Table 1 | CreER-expressing mice for Cre/loxP gene targeting in adult neurogenesis research.

| Promoter/transcription unit | CreER variant | Technique | Reference |
|-----------------------------|---------------|-----------|-----------|
| Nestin promoter + 2nd intron enhancer | CreER2 | Tg | Imayoshi et al. (2006, 2008) |
| Nestin promoter + Nestin exons 1–3 including the 2nd intron | CreER2 | Tg | Lagace et al. (2007) |
| Nestin 2nd intron/HSV-TK promoter | CreER2 | Tg | Balordi and Fishell (2007) |
| Nestin 2nd intron/hsp68 mini promoter | CreER2 | Tg | Carlén et al. (2006) |
| Nestin promoter + Nestin exons 1–3 including the 2nd intron | CreER2 | Tg | Giachino and Taylor (2009) |
| Nestin promoter + 2nd intron enhancer | CreERTM | Tg | Kuo et al. (2006) |
| Nestin 2nd intron/hsp68 mini promoter | CreERTM | Tg | Burns et al. (2007) |
| Nestin promoter + 2nd intron enhancer | CreER2 | Tg | Chen et al. (2009) |
| Sox2 5’ telencephalic enhancer/promoter | CreER2 | Tg | Favaro et al. (2009) |
| TLX BAC | CreER2 | Tg | Liu et al. (2008) |
| Gli1 locus | CreER2 | Ki | Ahn and Joyner (2005) |
| FGFR3 PAC | iCreER2 | Tg | Young et al. (2010) |
| human GFAP promoter | CreER2 | Tg | Favaro et al. (2009) |
| human GFAP promoter | CreERTM | Tg | Chow et al. (2008) |
| GLAST locus | CreER2 | Ki | Mori et al. (2006), Ninkovic et al. (2007) |
| GLAST BAC | CreER2 | Tg | Slezak et al. (2007) |
| Cx30 BAC | CreER2 | Tg | Slezak et al. (2007) |
| Aqp4 BAC | CreER2 | Tg | Slezak et al. (2007) |
| Ascl1 BAC | CreERTM | Tg | Kim et al. (2007) |
| Dlx1/2 intergenic enhancer,i12 | CreER2 | Tg | Batista-Brito et al. (2008) |
| DCX BAC | CreER2 | Tg | Cheng et al. (2010) |
| DCX promoter | CreER2 | Tg | Zhang et al. (2010) |

BAC, bacterial artificial chromosome; PAC, phage artificial chromosome; Tg, transgenic; Ki, knock-in.

Kuo et al., 2006; Balordi and Fishell, 2007; Burns et al., 2007; Lagace et al., 2007; Chen et al., 2009; Giachino and Taylor, 2009). Although the nestin promoter/enhancer is highly active in NSCs in the adult brain, undesired transgene expression, such as in ependymal cells and specific neuronal subtypes, was observed. Using other promoter sequences or gene loci of NSC-specific genes, for example Sox2, Tlx, Gli1, and FGFR3, several CreER driver transgenic mouse strains have been reported and efficient tamoxifen-dependent recombination was observed (Table 1); however, undesired transgene expression, especially in specific neuronal subtypes was also observed (Ahn and Joyner, 2005; Liu et al., 2008; Favaro et al., 2009; Young et al., 2010).

In CreER transgenic mice in which the expression of the transgene is regulated by an astrocyte-specific gene, adult neurogenesis can be targeted, because adult NSCs express astrocyte-specific genes, such as GFAP, GLAST, Cx30, and aquaporin4 (Table 1; Ganat et al., 2006; Mori et al., 2006; Slezak et al., 2007; Chow et al., 2008). In these transgenic mice, efficient recombination was observed in adult NSCs in a tamoxifen-dependent manner, but one concern is that recombination is also induced in all astrocytes, including non-neurogenic cells, and it may affect the behavior of NSCs in a non-cell-autonomous manner.

To achieve efficiently inducible CreER transgenic mice, it is important to identify a founder line in which CreER expression is optimal. Most adult neural stem/progenitor specific promoter sequences also induce transgene expression in NSCs during development, and in many cases their promoter activities are rather stronger in embryonic NSCs. In the absence of tamoxifen, CreER is trapped in the cytoplasm, but when CreER is expressed too much, CreER is not retained in the cytoplasm and significant amounts translocate into the nucleus, resulting in tamoxifen-independent recombination. Therefore, too-high level of CreER expression results in tamoxifen-independent recombination in NSCs during development and disturb adult NSC-specificity (Imayoshi et al., 2006; Chen et al., 2009). Conversely, too-low level of CreER expression impairs efficient tamoxifen-dependent recombination and induce recombination only in a subset of adult NSCs. The expression level and spatial pattern of transgenes could be affected by the transgene-copy number and chromosomal integration site. In the case of CreER knock-in mice, the expression level of CreER is determined by the activity of the original promoter from the targeted locus; therefore, we have to carefully select the targeting gene locus.

Various inducible CreER variants have been reported, among them, from our experience, CreER2 developed in the Chambon laboratory is the most superior with respect to its induction by tamoxifen (Feil et al., 1997). ERT2CreER2, in which ERT2 is fused to the N- and C-terminus of Cre (Casanova et al., 2002), has more tightly controlled inducibility than CreER2, tamoxifen-independent leaky recombination is greatly reduced in the ERT2CreER2 variant, but higher expression levels than for CreER2 are required for efficient recombination (Casanova et al., 2002; Matsuda and Cepko, 2007). ERT2CreER2 is more suitable than CreER2 for transgenic constructs whose promoter activities are very strong, or for fate-mapping or lineage-trace experiments that require strictly specific recombination in adult NSCs.
For the activation of CreER by tamoxifen or its metabolite 4-hydroxy-tamoxifen (4-OHT), intraperitoneal (I.P.) injection or oral gavage are commonly used. When high dose (e.g., 10 mg per 30 g mouse weight) tamoxifen treatment is required, I.P. injection is sometimes detrimental to the health of the recipient mouse, especially if tamoxifen is dissolved in corn oil. The effects of tamoxifen treatment itself on mouse health should be minimal, and, from our experience, oral gavage is less harmful to health than I.P. injection for high doses of tamoxifen.

**VISUALIZATION OF NEOGENESIS**

Transgenic mice expressing CreER in adult NSCs are crossed with reporter mouse strains containing a floxed STOP sequence upstream of GFP or LacZ as a read out for recombination activity (Table 2; Branda and Dymecki, 2004). In double transgenic mice, in the presence of tamoxifen, CreER is released from its cytoplasmic sequestration and translocated to the nucleus (activated). The Cre reporter allele undergoes recombination in cells expressing activated CreER, resulting in permanent marker (GFP or LacZ) expression in marked NSCs and their descendants (Metzger et al., 1995).

The Rosa26-stop-LacZ knock-in reporter mouse has most commonly been used (Soriano, 1999). As the Rosa26 locus is active in almost all cells, the Rosa26 promoter has been used to drive widespread expression of marker genes in transgenic mice (Zambrowicz et al., 1997). Although X-gal staining to detect LacZ expression has very strong sensitivity and a low background signal, immunostaining for LacZ protein is occasionally difficult. As LacZ localizes in the cell body, it is difficult to clearly visualize cellular shapes, such as the axons or dendrites of neurons. GFP or its variants spread more diffusely than LacZ throughout cells, and fine cellular structures are more easily visualized during immunostaining for GFP than for LacZ. Rosa26-stop-ECFP/EGFP/EYFP knock-in reporter strains were developed and widely used in double- or triple-color immunostaining with various antibodies (Mao et al., 2001; Srinivas et al., 2001).

Using the ubiquitous CAG promoter, several transgenic Cre reporter strains have been developed (Table 2; Lobe et al., 1999; Kawamoto et al., 2000; Novak et al., 2000; Vintersten et al., 2004). Although CAG promoter transgenic mice are able to induce higher levels of reporter expression than the Rosa26 promoter in most cells, the promoter activity is silenced in some cells and not completely ubiquitous probably due to unfavorable chromatin configurations. To overcome this problem, several reporter strains were recently developed in which reporter constructs under the control of the CAG promoter were knocked into the Rosa26 locus. The expression of exogenous promoters inserted into this locus is not restricted by unfavorable chromatin configurations (Strathdee et al., 2006). Rosa26-knock-in Cre reporter strains with the CAG promoter have stronger reporter expression than the normal Rosa26 reporter and, additionally a single copy is introduced thereby avoiding problems associated with a large multicopy array. One of these reporter mice, the Rosa26-mTmG reporter (Muzumdar et al., 2007), induces the membrane-bound expression of EGFP after recombination. In this reporter mouse, fine cellular structures, especially axons of neurons, could be clearly visualized by its strong EGFP expression in the plasma membrane. Recently, Rosa26 knock-in Cre reporter mice expressing CreER by tamoxifen or its metabolite 4-hydroxy-tamoxifen (4-OHT), intraperitoneal (I.P.) injection or oral gavage are commonly used. When high dose (e.g., 10 mg per 30 g mouse weight) tamoxifen treatment is required, I.P. injection is sometimes detrimental to the health of the recipient mouse, especially if tamoxifen is dissolved in corn oil. The effects of tamoxifen treatment itself on mouse health should be minimal, and, from our experience, oral gavage is less harmful to health than I.P. injection for high doses of tamoxifen.

### Table 2 | Site-specific recombinases (SSRs)-responsive effector mice.

| Promoter/transcription unit | Technique | STOP cassette | Reporter/effector | Reference |
|-----------------------------|-----------|---------------|-------------------|-----------|
| Rosa26 locus                | Kl        | loxP-flanked  | LacZ              | Soriano (1999) |
| Rosa26 locus                | Kl        | loxP-flanked  | ECFP              | Srinivas et al. (2001) |
| Rosa26 locus                | Kl        | loxP-flanked  | EYFP              | Srinivas et al. (2001) |
| Rosa26 locus                | Kl        | loxP-flanked  | EGFP              | Mao et al. (2001) |
| CAG                         | Tg        | loxP-flanked  | PLAP              | Lobe et al. (1999) |
| CAG                         | Tg        | loxP-flanked  | EGFP              | Novak et al. (2000) |
| CAG                         | Tg        | loxP-flanked  | DsRed             | Vintersten et al. (2004) |
| CAG                         | Tg        | loxP-flanked  | EGFP              | Kawamoto et al. (2000) |
| CAG (Rosa26 locus)          | Kl        | loxP-flanked  | mEGFP             | Muzumdar et al. (2007) |
| Rosa26 locus + CAG          | Kl        | loxP-flanked  | tdTomato          | Madisen et al. (2010) |
| Tau locus                   | Kl        | loxP-flanked  | mEGFP             | Hippenmeyer et al. (2005) |
| Rosa26 locus                | Kl        | loxP-flanked  | DTA               | Brockschnieder et al. (2006) |
| Rosa26 locus                | Kl        | loxP-flanked  | diphtheria toxin receptor | Buch et al. (2005) |
| Rosa26 locus                | Kl        | FRT-flanked   | PLAP              | Avaratamani et al. (2001) |
| Rosa26 locus                | Kl        | FRT-flanked   | LacZ              | Possemato et al. (2002) |
| Rosa26 locus                | Kl        | attP/B-flanked| LacZ              | Raymond and Soriano (2007) |
| Rosa26 locus                | Kl        | row-flanked   | LacZ              | Anastassiadis et al. (2008) |
| Rosa26 locus                | Kl        | loxP-flanked and FRT-flanked | PLAP | Avaratamani et al. (2003) |
| Rosa26 locus + CAG          | Kl        | loxP-flanked and FRT-flanked | WGA-ires-EGFP | Farago et al. (2006) |
| Rosa26 locus + CAG          | Kl        | loxP-flanked and FRT-flanked | EGFP | Sousa et al. (2009), Miyoshi and Fishell (2006) |

Tg, transgenic; Kl, knock-in.
strain series with the CAG promoter were reported by the Allen Institute (Madisen et al., 2010). Among them, the Rosa26-CAG-stop-tdTomato reporter strain is very useful in live imaging experiments, because tdTomato is the brightest available red fluorescent protein and is excited with a 543 nm laser, which is less toxic for cells than a 488 nm laser.

Endogenous Rosa26 promoter activity is very low in astrocytes compared with other neural cell types (Garcia et al., 2010), and the expression of the reporter protein is often undetectable in astrocytes. Unfortunately, this may lead to severe misunderstandings in fate-mapping experiments. As the CAG promoter is active in astrocytes, Rosa26 knock-in reporter strains with the CAG promoter are more suitable for fate-mapping experiments.

In some cases, reporter expression in other cell types (e.g., astrocytes, oligodendrocytes, and NSCs) disturbs the observation of fine structures of marked newly born neurons. Several Cre reporter strains have been developed in which reporter protein expression is driven by neuron-specific promoters. One of these reporter mice, the Tau-stop-mGFP knock-in mouse, can induce the membrane-bound expression of EGFP driven by the endogenous neuron-specific Tau promoter, which is very useful for the visualization of axon targeting by newborn neurons (Hippinenmyer et al., 2005). However, it is important to check in advance that the Tau promoter is active in the newly born neurons of interest.

Several groups have been developed CreER driver strains in which CreER is expressed in transit-amplifying cells and neuroblasts, but not in NSCs (Table 1). In the Ascl1-CreER and Dlx1/2-CreER lines, after the administration of tamoxifen, Cre-mediated recombination is specifically induced in transit-amplifying cells (Kim et al., 2007; Batista-Brito et al., 2008). The DCX-CreER line is able to induce recombination in immature newborn neurons (Cheng et al., 2010; Zhang et al., 2010). Importantly, as CreER is not expressed in NSCs, these lines allow pulse labeling of newborn neurons within a short time-window, thereby facilitating the analysis of the differentiation and integration of adult-born new neurons at specific time points.

Recently the Lois group and Mizrahi group reported elegant experimental methods for the genetic labeling of the synapses of adult-born neurons (Kelsch et al., 2008, 2009; Livneh et al., 2009). The visualization of pre- and post-synaptic terminals can be achieved by the expression of fluorescent proteins fused to proteins specifically located in synapses. For instance, synaptophysin is a protein localized in neurotransmitter vesicles that is selectively located at pre-synaptic terminals and can be used to identify release sites on axon terminals. PSD95, a scaffolding protein that is restricted to clusters in the post-synaptic density of most glutamatergic synapses, has been used to identify post-synaptic terminals. To achieve the sparse and modest expression of these fusion proteins, they adapted retrovirus-mediated gene transfer to adult NSCs.

Relatively little is known about the connectivity of newborn neurons within mature circuits of the adult brain (Deng et al., 2010). How new neurons make synaptic connections with mature circuits is an open question. Several genetically encoded synaptic tracers are used to characterize the connections between neurons. Genetically encoded tracer molecules, such as wheat germ agglutinin (WGA) or tetanus toxin fragment C (TTC), can be transported trans-synaptically (Luo et al., 2008). Fusion proteins of these tracer molecules and GFP, LacZ, alkaline phosphatase, or Cre can also be transported trans-synaptically (Luo et al., 2008). The application of these genetically encoded synaptic tracers, or recently developed monosynaptic rabies virus technology (Wickersham et al., 2007; Miyamichi et al., 2011), may elucidate the connectivity of newborn neurons in neuronal circuitry and contribute to a functional understanding of the lifelong addition of new neurons in the adult brain.

**GENETIC MANIPULATION OF ADULT NEUROGENESIS**

Several methods have been developed to manipulate neurogenesis in the adult brain. The majority of studies have examined the consequences of the suppression of neurogenesis on cognitive performance (Deng et al., 2010). The traditional approaches for ablating neurogenesis are anti-mitotic drug treatments or irradiation. These treatments are able to selectively disrupt cell cycle progression in neural stem/progenitor cells, because these cells are more sensitive to these treatments than differentiated neurons. After treatment, neurogenesis is strongly though not fully blocked ( Wojtowicz, 2006; Gould, 2007). Although these methods are effective in reducing neurogenesis, they have considerable side effects in animals, such as general health deterioration and inflammation.

Recently, several transgenic mouse lines have been generated for more specific suppression of adult neurogenesis. In GFAP-TK and Nestin-TK mice, the targeted expression of herpes simplex virus thymidine kinase (HSV-TK) in NSCs is combined with the delivery of the nucleotide analog ganciclovir (GCV), resulting in specific and inducible ablation of dividing GFAP- or Nestin-expressing cells (Figure 2A; Garcia et al., 2004; Saxe et al., 2006; Deng et al., 2009; Singer et al., 2009). In both transgenic mice, adult neurogenesis was severely reduced after GCV treatment. Although other proliferating populations expressing GFAP or Nestin could be affected throughout the body, these transgenic strategies are highly specific. Theoretically, this model affects dividing NSCs, and quiescent NSCs should not be affected, which allows investigators to transiently reduce neurogenesis, and after the cessation of GCV infusion, active neurogenesis resumes. Although it was reported that the recovery of neurogenesis after drug withdrawal, was slow and incomplete, possibly because of quiescent NSC population was also affected by the prolonged infusion of GCV, these transgenic models are very useful as temporally regulated and reversible methods for the manipulation of adult NSCs.

In the Nestin-CreER/NSE-DTA mouse (Imayoshi et al., 2008), the expression of a suicide gene (diphtheria toxin fragment A, DTA) is selectively induced in newly generated neurons. NSE-DTA mice carry the loxP-STOP-loxP-IRE-DTA gene cassette, which was knocked into the 3′-non-coding region of the neuron-specific enolase (NSE) gene (Figure 2B). Crossing NSE-DTA mice with Nes-CreER mice and administering tamoxifen led to the deletion of the STOP cassette in NSCs. When these NSCs began neuronal differentiation, DTA was expressed from the NSE locus, thereby killing the cells.

The induction of apoptotic cell death in NSCs was achieved in Nestin-rTA/TRE-Bax mice (Figure 2C; Dupret et al., 2008). This model is based on the reverse tetracycline-controlled transactivator (rtTA)-regulated system (Tet-On system). The activation of transgenes with doxycycline (Dox) treatment, a tetracycline analog,
resulted in the overexpression of the pro-apoptotic protein Bax in nestin-expressing cells. This resulted in the increased cell death of neural stem/progenitor cells, consequently cell proliferation and neurogenesis were reduced, albeit not totally blocked.

In addition to the application of these suicide genes, the increased understanding of the molecular mechanisms regulating adult neurogenesis allows investigators to develop new techniques to block neurogenesis (Mu et al., 2010). For example, blockade of several important signaling cascades responsible for the proliferation or maintenance of NSCs, such as Notch (Breunig et al., 2007; Ables et al., 2010; Ehm et al., 2010; Imayoshi et al., 2010), Shh (Lai et al., 2003; Balordi and Fishell, 2007), Wnt (Lie et al., 2005), and BMP (Lim et al., 2000; Mira et al., 2010) signaling can manipulate the behavior of NSCs. Incomplete maintenance and premature neuronal differentiation will deplete the neural stem pool and, consequently, reduce the supply of new neurons. Conversely, increased stem cell maintenance at the expense of proper neuronal differentiation will impair the ability of NSCs to generate a sufficient number of new neurons.

As most of the available genetic methods aim to reduce neurogenesis, it is important to develop genetic techniques that are able to increase the magnitude of neurogenesis. One important question in the adult neurogenesis research field is why new neurons are supplied only to the OB and hippocampal DG. To address this issue, genetic methods that can forcibly induce neurogenesis in ectopic brain regions, such as the cerebral cortex, are hoped for.

There is growing evidence that adult neurogenesis intensely contributes to neural circuit plasticity (Deng et al., 2010). Adult-born DG granule cells exhibit stronger synaptic plasticity than mature granule cells, as indicated by their lower threshold for the induction of long-term potentiation (LTP) and their higher LTP amplitude (Schmidt-Hieber et al., 2004; Ge et al., 2007). LTP formation between centrifugal projections from the piriform cortex and adult-born granule cells in the OB was reported. Interestingly, the synaptic plasticity of young newborn neurons in the OB occurs during a narrow time-window when new neurons are initially added to the circuit (Gao and Strowbridge, 2009; Nissant et al., 2009). The transgenic expression of genetically encoded tools that can modulate LTP formation, such as constitutively active CREB or dominant negative GluR1, may shed light on the functional significance of neuron addition on neural circuit plasticity.

Several technologies have been recently developed to control the activity of genetically specified neural populations. Chemically triggered activating or silencing genetic technologies include RASSLs (Alexander et al., 2009), MISTs (Karpova et al., 2005), AlstR (Tan et al., 2006), GluClab (Lerchner et al., 2007), TRPV1 (Arenkiel et al., 2008), and modified GABA-A receptors (Wulff et al., 2007). Optogenetic tools that allow for the fast stimulation and inhibition of genetically targeted neuronal populations on the millisecond timescale have recently been developed. The light-gated cation channel Channelrhodopsin-2 (ChR2) and the light-driven chloride ions pump halorhodopsin are powerful and versatile tools for controlling neuronal activity (Zhang et al., 2007). The feasibility of using optogenetics to precisely control the activity of adult-born OB interneurons has been recently reported (Bardy et al., 2010). These technical advances in the fine manipulation of adult-born neurons will contribute to unveil the functional significance of adult neurogenesis.

**FIGURE 2 | Genetic methods to suppress adult neurogenesis.**

**A** Administration of Ganciclovir (GCV) to mice carrying the transgene (GFAP-TK or Nestin-TK) results in death of dividing cells expressing herpes simplex virus thymidine kinase (HSV-TK). HSV-TK produces toxic metabolites that disrupt DNA synthesis and result in the death of dividing cells. **B** In the Nestin-CreER/NSE-DTA mouse, Nestin-CreER drives the expression of a tamoxifen (Tam)-inducible form of Cre in NSCs and a Cre-inducible diphtheria toxin fragment (DTA) is engineered into the locus of the neuron-specific enolase (NSE) gene. Activated CreER leads to the recombination of loxP sites and removal of the STOP cassette upstream of the DTA gene, thus allowing the expression of DTA from the NSE promoter. **C** In the Nestin-rtTA/TRE-Bax mice, doxycycline (Dox) activates the rtTA protein, which binds to seven TetO sequences (TRE) to drive the expression of the pro-apoptotic protein Bax, which activates the apoptosis pathway in NSCs.
mouse genetic manipulation of restricted cell populations. As Cre, Flp, PhiC31, and Dre recognize different target sequences, the combined use of these recombinases would facilitate more sophisticated genetic manipulation of restricted cell populations.

For example, intersectional genetic strategies using Cre and Flp have been reported (Figure 3; Awatramani et al., 2003; Farago et al., 2006; Miyoshi and Fishell, 2006; Sousa et al., 2009). In the Rosa26 knock-in intersectional reporter mice (e.g., R26::FLAP, RC::PFwe, and RC::Edual), dual recombinase responsive indicator alleles were designed, that express marker proteins only when Cre and Flp have been expressed in the same cell. As the majority of genes in the developing nervous system are expressed in multiple cell populations, these intersectional approaches provide important means to reduce complexity, such that smaller subpopulations of genetically defined cells can be targeted.

As the majority of adult NSC-specific genes are expressed in the SVZ of the lateral ventricle and the SGZ of the DG, most CreER driver strains (e.g., Nestin-CreER and GLAST-CreER) induce recombination in both NSCs. In order to selectively manipulate NSCs either in the SVZ or the SGZ, Cre- and Flp-mediated intersectional strategies will be useful. The increased understanding of the molecular mechanisms regulating adult neurogenesis will identify candidate genes for Flp-expressing transgenic mice. Several members of the basic helix-loop-helix (bHLH) or homeobox gene families are selectively expressed in precursors or stem cells in the germinal zone of the adult brain. Alternatively, regulatory elements of the genes responsible for neurotransmitter identity (e.g., glutamatergic for the DG and GABAergic for the OB) could be attractive candidates for Flp-expressing transgenic mice.

Recently the Dymecki group reported an elegant strategy applying a Cre- and Flp-mediated intersectional approach to the functional investigation of genetically defined neuronal populations (Figure 3; Kim et al., 2009). RC::PFtox mice express the tetanus toxin light chain (tox), an inhibitor of vesicular neurotransmission, only when Cre and Flp have been expressed in the same cell. The development of intersectional transgenic mice with various effectors, for example, neurotoxins, optogenetic tools, neuronal activity modulators, etc., will contribute to the more sophisticated manipulation of newly born neurons in the adult brain.

The tetracycline-controlled transactivator (tTA)-dependent systems (Gossen and Bujard, 1992) have been used for inducible gene expression in adult NSCs. tTA is a fusion protein between the tetracycline repressor from the Tn10 tetracycline resistance operon of *Escherichia coli* and the C-terminal domain of the VP16 transcription factor from HSV. The resulting hybrid transcriptional activator can trigger expression from a cognate promoter made of minimal promoter sequences placed downstream from multiple repeats of the tetracycline operator (tetO). tTA is constitutively active but its activity can be blocked by tetracycline or analogs such as Dox. The reverse tTA (rtTA), obtained by random mutagenesis of tTA, has the opposite features of tTA. It is constitutively silent but needs Dox to bind to the tetO sequences and induce gene transcription. Combined with tissue-specific promoters, the tetracycline-inducible system is able to provide transgenic mice with inducible, reversible, and spatially controlled transgene expression. As conditional expression systems with SSRs depend on the permanent intra-molecular recombination between their recognition sites, including the deletion of the flanked sequence, transgene expression is basically irreversible. The combinational use of the Tet system with the SSRs-mediated conditional expression systems will achieve the reversible manipulation of newly born neurons.

**ELECTROPORATION IN THE POSTNATAL BRAIN**

Although the use of transgenic or gene targeted mice has proved to be a powerful strategy for the manipulation of adult neurogenesis, we often suffer from the fact that transgenesis via oocyte injection or gene knock-in by homologous recombination in embryonic stem cells are time consuming and expensive. Gene transfer through the injection of plasmid DNA into embryos followed by electric pulses (electroporation) has developed into an important tool for functional analyses *in vivo* (Matsuda and Cepko, 2004; Shimogori and Ogawa, 2008). *In utero* electroporation in rodents is widely used, and more recently, gene transfer into postnatal neural tissues, including the postnatal and adult SVZ have been demonstrated (Barnabé-Heider et al., 2008; Boutin et al., 2008; Chesler et al., 2008). The lateral ventricle is readily accessible through minimally invasive techniques during the neonatal period. After electroporation, injected plasmid DNAs are incorporated into radial glial cells that populate the neonatal SVZ and send processes along the lateral ventricle. Electroporated radial glial cells differentiate into ependymal cells and SVZ astrocytes during the neonatal period. A subset of SVZ astrocytes generate transit-amplifying cells and neuroblasts, which migrate to the OB. Therefore, neonatal electroporation enables the visualization or manipulation of early postnatal neurogenesis. However, there is an important limitation of neonatal electroporation, plasmid dilution over the course of successive cell divisions results in lower and undetectable expression levels of transfected genes after several weeks of electroporation (Lacar et al., 2010). The use of vectors encoding transposons...
will permit genetic integration and the permanent expression of transfect genes in adult NSCs (Sato et al., 2007). Alternatively, electroporation of SSR-containing plasmids into the neonatal brain of transgenic mice with SSR-specific STOP cassettes, will achieve the prolonged expression of the gene of interest in adult NSCs and newborn neurons. An alternative approach is the electroporation of adult mice (Barnabé-Heider et al., 2008); however, this approach is technically more challenging and more damaging to the animals since it requires the use of a stereotactic frame.

Although we focused mainly on the transgenic or gene targeting approaches for the visualization and manipulation of adult neurogenesis in this review, virus vectors can also mediate efficient gene transfer in adult NSCs and newly born neurons (Consiglio et al., 2004).
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