HST images of B2 radio galaxies: a link between circum-nuclear dust and radio properties?
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Abstract. An abstract should be given

abstract Almost 60 % of the B2 low luminosity radio galaxies have been observed with the Hubble Space Telescope. We present an analysis of the dust features, which are often present in the form of circum-nuclear disks or lanes, and show that there are correlations between radio source and dust properties. It is found that nearby radio sources in which a jet has been detected tend to have dust more often than sources without jets; the dust is often in the form of disks or lanes. Moreover the radio jets are close to perpendicular to the disk or lane in the weaker radio sources (with $P < 10^{24}$ WHz$^{-1}$). In stronger sources the orientation effect appears to be weak or even absent. Also the dust masses found in the weaker radio sources are smaller than in the stronger ones ($\log M/M_\odot \sim 3$ against 5 respectively). More generally it appears that there is a correlation between dust mass and total radio power (for those sources in which dust has been detected); we show that this correlation is not induced by redshift.
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1. Introduction

A long standing question in radio astronomy has been why some elliptical galaxies host strong radio sources and others not. The reason may have something to do with the physical conditions near the active nucleus from which the radio source emanates. Although attempts have been made in the past to link the presence of optical features like dust lanes and a radio source these have always been inconclusive due to the lack of adequate angular resolution especially in the optical images. The quality of optical imaging has now dramatically improved: imaging with the Hubble Space Telescope (HST) has provided valuable new information on the optical structure near the nucleus of radio galaxies. In particular, the HST/WFPC2 snapshot survey of the 3CR sample has produced a large and uniform database of images essential for a statistical analysis of their host galaxies (Martel et al. 1999, De Koff et al. 1999). These studies revealed the presence of new and interesting features, some of them almost exclusively associated to low luminosity FR I radio galaxies. For example, the HST observations have shown the presence of dust in a large fraction of weak (FR I) radio galaxies which takes the form of extended nuclear disks (Jaffe et al. 1993, De Koff et al. 1999, De Juan, Colina & Golombek 1999, Verdoes Kleijn et al. 1999). Such structures have been naturally identified with the reservoir of material which will ultimately accrete into the central black hole. The symmetry axis of the nuclear disk may be a useful indicator of the rotation axis of the central black hole (see e.g. Capetti & Celotti 1999), although it must be admitted that the precise relationship between these two axes remains uncertain. FR I sources represent an essential ingredient in the Unified Schemes of radio-loud AGNs as the mis-oriented counter part of BL Lac objects (see Urry and Padovani 1995 for a review). Indeed, recent radio studies have provided strong evidence in favour of relativistic beaming in their jets (Laing et al. 1999). Host galaxies of BL Lacs have been studied with the HST by Urry et al. (1999), and a similar analysis of galaxies hosting FR I sources should clarify if there is continuity in the prop-
properties of the respective nuclear regions, i.e. if any differences are due solely to orientation effects. Furthermore, it was shown by Chiaberge, Capetti and Celotti (1999, 2000) that the optical nuclear sources commonly found in FR I might represent the counterpart of the synchrotron radio cores. If this picture is correct, one expects that the dust features found in FR I sources may provide further indications on the orientation of the radio source, provided that these features have something to do with the radio properties. As many of the most extensively studied FR I radio galaxies are part of the B2 sample of low luminosity radio galaxies (Fanti et al. 1987), this prompted us to perform a complete, high resolution optical study of these ~100 sources. The radio characteristics of the B2 sample are different and in some sense complementary to those of the 3CR already studied with HST and they can fill the gap between the “radio quiet & normal” and the “radio loud” ellipticals. Although only part (almost 60 %) of the B2 sample has been observed with the HST, the high resolution optical data can be considered as representative and unbiased with respect to the entire B2 sample. The new HST data were presented in Capetti et al. (2000). A statistical study of such a large sample of low luminosity radio galaxies enables us to establish how frequently we can detect optical jets, optical nuclear sources, circum-nuclear disks and dust lanes and if there is any relationship with the radio properties. The organization of this paper is as follows: in Sect. we briefly describe the HST data of the B2 sample and give some general information; in Sect. we describe how we produced images in which the properties of the circum-nuclear dust can be analyzed; a discussion of the dust properties is given in Sect. Throughout this paper we use a Hubble constant $H_0 = 100 \text{ km s}^{-1}\text{Mpc}^{-1}$ and $q_0 = 0.5$.

2. HST observations of the the Sample

A brief description of the B2 sample of low luminosity radio galaxies and its present status was given in Capetti et al. (2000). The B2 sample of low luminosity radio galaxies has been extensively studied at radio wavelengths, especially since the 1980’s (see Fanti et al. 1987, Parma et al. 1987, De Ruiter et al. 1990, Morganti et al. 1997). Comparison with Einstein Satellite X-ray data was done by Morganti et al. (1988). A number of B2 radio galaxies were subsequently observed with ROSAT (Feretti et al. 1993, Massaglia et al. 1996, Trussoni et al. 1997, Canosa et al. 1999).

Optical work on the entire B2 sample has somewhat lagged behind, but a complete broad band imaging survey of the B2 sample was carried out by Gonzalez-Serrano et al. (1993) and Gonzalez-Serrano & Carballo (2000), while narrow band Hα images were obtained by Morganti et al. (1992). The IRAS properties of the sample were studied by Impey & Gregorini (1993).

In the course of our HST program observations were obtained for 41 B2 galaxies, while public archive data exist for 16 additional objects, usually because these sources are also part of the 3C catalog (see De Koff et al. 1994, Martel et al. 1999, Verdoes Kleijn et al. 1999). Therefore up till the present HST imaging has been done for 57 of the 100 radio galaxies. In the following we will speak loosely of the B2 sample, when we discuss the sample of these 57 radio galaxies, while in the following the term 3C sample refers to 3C radio galaxies used by de Koff et al. (2000) that are not part of the B2 sample.

Our program observations were obtained between April 9th and September 1st 1999, using the Wide Field and Planetary Camera 2 (WFPC2). The pixel size of the Planetary Camera, in which all targets are located, is 0.0455 arcsec and the 800 \times 800 pixels cover a field of view of 36 \times 36 arcsec. Two broad band filters were used, namely F555W and F814W, which cover the spectral regions 4500 – 6500 Å and 7000 – 9500 Å respectively. Although their transmission curves do not match exactly those of standard filters we will usually refer to them as $V$ and $I$ filters. The data have been processed through the PODPS (Post Observation Data Processing System) pipeline for bias removal and flat fielding (Biretta et al. 1996). One image was taken through each filter and the exposure time was always set to 300 s (with the exception of B2 2116+26, which was observed for 350 s in the V band, as I band images were already available in the archive).

3. Circum-nuclear dust in low luminosity radio galaxies

In order to study the dust in detail, we made use of the fact that we have both $V$ and $I$ images (at least for the sources that were observed in our program). Since the galaxy color $V – I$ has only very small gradients the images in $V$ and $I$ are very similar and differ only by a constant. However, the dust features are more prominent in the $V$ image, and this suggests we can fit the galaxy in the $I$ image by a regular elliptical distribution and use this, to a first approximation, as a dust-free model. Taking into account the constant offset between $V$ and $I$, the $V$ image was divided by the galaxy model as obtained from the $I$ image. The resulting image of ratios will clearly show any dust features and thus can be easily analyzed; in regions without absorption the pixel values will be close to unity, while absorption results in values $< 1$.

The dust features were classified as disks, lanes (presumably edge-on disks), filaments (irregularly curved features, possibly eccentric with respect to the galaxy nucleus), or complex (patchy structures). The classification of structures can be checked with the help of the album of images given in Capetti et al. (2000). In Figs. and 2 we give two of the best examples of disk-like structures found. These residual images (or “ratio maps”) were analyzed using the software package SYNAGE++ recently developed by Murgia (2000). The arrows give the direction of the main jet. White colors represent absorption and therefore dust features.
4. Discussion

4.1. The detection of dust

We tried to make quantitative estimates of the amount of dust present, by considering a positive detection of dust only if in nine pixels that form a single area in the ratio maps the pixel value was less than 0.85, (see also de Koff et al. 2000). We calculated the masses of the clumpy dust features in the usual way, following Sadler & Gerhard (1987) and de Koff et al. (2000), by determining the covering factor of the dust; this was done by summing all pixels in the ratio maps which had a value <0.85, such that the dust mass is: \( M_{\text{dust}} = \Sigma \times A_\lambda \times \Gamma^{-1} \), where \( \Sigma \) is the area covered by the dust, \( A_\lambda \) the mean absorption of the area under consideration and \( \Gamma \) the mass absorption coefficient, taken as \( 6 \times 10^{-6} \text{mag kpc}^2 \text{M}_\odot^{-1} \) (see de Koff et al. 2000). The mass is based on the absorption in the \( V \) images (see Sect. 3). The mean visual absorption is in the range 0.2–0.5 mag for all objects. The observed properties of the dust features (morphology, mean absorption and total area) are given in Table 1. Since 3C sources already had been analyzed by de Koff et al. (2000), we did not repeat the calculation of \( A_\lambda, \Sigma \) and this is accounted for by an asterisk in the respective columns of Table 1. A nondetection of dust means that less than nine pixels were found with value < 0.85 in a single area. Corresponding upper limits are based on this. In Table 2 we list the upper limits to the dust masses for those sources in which no dust was detected.

We should remark that the detection of dust lanes or disks around galactic nuclei suffers from a number of selection effects, which are not so easily quantifiable. First, if the circum-nuclear dust takes, as we believe, the form of disks, then its detection will clearly depend on orientation of the disk with respect to the line of sight. Dust disks will be more easily seen if they are close to edge-on (in which case they will be called "lanes"); up till now the only counter example in the B2 sample is B2 0104+32 (3C 31), in which we are able to see fairly complete ellipses of dust around the nucleus. Another obvious selection effect is due to redshift: since we require a minimum of nine pixels with significant absorption in a contiguous area, the detectable dust mass depends on redshift (see Sect. 4.3). This effect is shown in Fig. 3, where we plot the dust masses as derived for B2 sources, including the upper limits, as a function of redshift. It should also be noted that the distribution of detected masses and upper limits appears to be discontinuous.

Using the criterion for detection we find that dust is frequently present: 30/57 (53 %) of the galaxies show dust features, either in the form of bands or disk-like structures, or more irregular patches. A good example of complex dust structures is B2 1350+31 (see Capetti et al. 2000).
Table 2. B2 radio galaxies: sources without dust

| Name       | Redshift | log $P/WHz^{-1}$ | log $M/M_\odot$ |
|------------|----------|-----------------|-----------------|
| 0055+26    | 0.0472   | 24.61           | 2.3             |
| 0120+33    | 0.0164   | 22.30           | 1.5             |
| 0708+32    | 0.0672   | 23.51           | 2.6             |
| 0722+30    | 0.0191   | 22.77           | 1.6             |
| 0755+37    | 0.0413   | 24.49           | 2.2             |
| 0924+30    | 0.0266   | 23.52           | 1.9             |
| 1003+26    | 0.1165   | 24.01           | 3.0             |
| 1005+28    | 0.1476   | 24.25           | 3.2             |
| 1101+38    | 0.0300   | 23.97           | 2.0             |
| 1113+24    | 0.1021   | 23.65           | 2.9             |
| 1204+34    | 0.0788   | 24.47           | 2.7             |
| 1251+27    | 0.0857   | 25.37           | 2.8             |
| 1257+28    | 0.0239   | 23.08           | 1.8             |
| 1422+26    | 0.0370   | 24.00           | 2.1             |
| 1450+28    | 0.1265   | 24.50           | 3.1             |
| 1502+26    | 0.0540   | 25.36           | 2.4             |
| 1512+30    | 0.0931   | 23.82           | 2.8             |
| 1521+28    | 0.0825   | 24.58           | 2.8             |
| 1553+24    | 0.0426   | 23.57           | 2.2             |
| 1557+26    | 0.0442   | 22.81           | 2.3             |
| 1610+29    | 0.0313   | 22.93           | 2.0             |
| 1613+27    | 0.0647   | 24.03           | 2.6             |
| 1615+32    | 0.1520   | 25.79           | 3.2             |
| 1658+30    | 0.0351   | 23.88           | 2.1             |
| 1827+32    | 0.0659   | 24.07           | 2.6             |
| 1833+32    | 0.0586   | 25.07           | 2.5             |
| 2236+35    | 0.0277   | 23.47           | 1.9             |

Fig. 3. Dust masses as a function of redshift; upper limits are based on the requirement that at least 9 pixels in a contiguous area should have a value <0.85 in the residual image.

[1956] gives a probability of 0.9 % that the distribution in Table 4 is due to chance.

It should also be mentioned that if we limit ourselves to sources with a jet and with redshift $z < 0.03$ (like the objects discussed by Verdoes Kleijn et al. [1999]), then dust is detected even in 80 % of the cases, against the 53 % overall. This increase in the detection of dust features in more nearby galaxies regards only sources with a radio jet: if no jet is present the fraction of sources with dust is close to 50 %, both for nearby sources and for the sources with $z > 0.03$.

We find no connection between dust content and radio size: the sources with dust have a median linear size of $94 \pm 35$ kpc, against $76 \pm 30$ for the sources without dust. Table 5 gives the relation between the detection of dust and the presence of an optical core. The data on the optical cores were taken from Capetti et al. (2002). It is quite obvious that there is no significant preference for sources with dust to have no detected optical core, or, reading the table differently, for galaxies without optical core to be more dusty. Although one would expect that the possibility to detect an optical core is negatively influenced by the presence of dust, in line with the usual picture of the optical core being surrounded by a dusty disk or torus which obscures the core when the disk is seen close to edge-on, the absence of any significant effect may be influenced by the strong selection effects discussed earlier: the detection of dust and the optical core in the standard model both depend on the orientation with respect to the observer. Especially the detection of dust may be sensitive, in a complicated way, to the redshift of the galaxy as well. However, perhaps most important of all, Chiaberge,
Capetti & Celotti (1999) concluded that the optical nucleus is very often not obscured even if dust is present, so that the dust must be optically thin. This provides a natural explanation for the absence of a correlation between dust and optical nucleus. In fact, all objects present a mean absorption consistent with an optical depth \( \tau < 1 \).

### 4.2. Orientation of dust features and the direction of the radio axis

Alignment effects involving the radio axis and some optical axis (for example the major axis of the galaxy) have been searched for in the past, but the results were mostly inconclusive. However in nearby galaxies harbouring a (weak) radio source an alignment between the central dust feature and the radio axis was found (Kotanyi & Ekers 1979). Naively one would expect that the directions of the radio axis and the disk or lane are strongly correlated. Indeed, there is a general tendency for the radio axis to be perpendicular to the disk or lane, as can be seen in Fig. 5. There may also be a tendency for a more prominent alignment if a radio jet is detected (see Fig. 5), however, the effect, if it exists at all, is weak.

According to de Koff et al. (1996), who used recent HST images of 3C sources, the alignment is most pronounced in sources with (small) disk structures. Figure 6 partly confirms this statement. Indeed the difference between simple (i.e. disk-like) and more complex structures in non-B2 3C galaxies (lower panel) is significant at a confidence level of 98.5 %. However in our data on the B2 galaxies (upper panel) no significant difference is seen (confidence level < 90 %). The mean alignment angles are 62° for both simple and complex dust features in B2 sources, and 68° and 38° for simple and complex 3C sources respectively. In this and other figures we have defined disklike structures (including straight lanes) as simple, and all other dust features (including distorted lanes) as complex.

As we remarked in the previous Section, the simple structures tend to be found in sources with radio jets. It is well known that jets are more easily detected in weaker radio sources of type FR I and it is therefore to be expected that dusty disks and lanes are more frequently present.
Table 3. B2 radio galaxies: general properties

| Name    | z     | log $P/W Hz^{-1}$ | PA (radio) | $\Delta PA$ | log $M/M_\odot$ dust | log $M/M_\odot$ IRAS |
|---------|-------|-------------------|------------|-------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| 0034+25 | 0.0321| 23.20              | 93         | 67          | 3.6                   | $<6.3$ J              |
| 0055+30 | 0.0167| 24.08              | 130        | 87          | 2.9                   | 5.9 J                 |
| 0104+32 | 0.0169| 24.21              | 160        | 18          | 5.1                   | 6.4 J                 |
| 0116+31 | 0.0592| 24.95              | 100        | 80          | 5.3                   | 7.0 -                 |
| 0149+35 | 0.0160| 22.33              | 65         | 75          | 4.4                   | 6.2 J                 |
| 0648+27 | 0.0409| 23.62              | -          | -           | 4.6                   | 7.3 -                 |
| 0908+37 | 0.1040| 24.84              | 15         | 60          | 3.7                   | $<7.2$ J              |
| 0915+32 | 0.0620| 24.00              | 30         | 85          | 4.4                   | $<6.8$ J              |
| 1003+35 | 0.0989| 25.78              | 120        | 60          | 6.3                   | $<6.6$ J              |
| 1217+29 | 0.0021| 21.24              | -          | -           | 2.6                   | 4.7 J                 |
| 1256+28 | 0.0224| 23.05              | -          | -           | 2.6                   | $<5.4$ J              |
| 1321+31 | 0.0161| 23.85              | 120        | 77          | 3.6                   | $<5.7$ J              |
| 1322+36 | 0.0175| 24.55              | 15         | 73          | 3.3                   | $<5.8$ J              |
| 1346+26 | 0.0633| 24.55              | 25         | 71          | 5.0                   | $<6.5$ -              |
| 1339+26 | 0.0757| 24.30              | 30         | 35          | 3.4                   | $<7.0$ -              |
| 1347+28 | 0.0724| 24.05              | 50         | 90          | 2.7                   | $<7.0$ J              |
| 1350+28 | 0.0452| 25.03              | 90         | -           | 6.1                   | 6.8 J                 |
| 1357+28 | 0.0629| 24.03              | 0          | 77          | 4.4                   | $<6.8$ J              |
| 1430+25 | 0.0813| 24.20              | 20         | 20          | 3.6                   | -                     |
| 1447+27 | 0.0306| 22.78              | 27         | 69          | 4.1                   | $<6.1$ -              |
| 1455+28 | 0.1411| 25.22              | 38         | 60          | 5.9                   | -                     |
| 1457+29 | 0.1470| 24.89              | 155        | 75          | 5.5                   | -                     |
| 1511+26 | 0.1078| 25.34              | 20         | 80          | 4.9                   | -                     |
| 1525+29 | 0.0653| 23.98              | 30         | 66          | 3.0                   | $<6.8$ J              |
| 1527+30 | 0.1143| 24.05              | 45         | 45          | 4.0                   | $<7.3$ -              |
| 1620+39 | 0.0303| 24.49              | 90         | -           | 4.9                   | $<5.7$ J              |
| 1726+31 | 0.1670| 25.89              | 110        | 17          | 5.6                   | $<7.6$ -              |
| 2116+26 | 0.0164| 22.79              | 157        | 88          | 4.7                   | 6.3 J                 |
| 2229+39 | 0.0181| 24.03              | 10         | 21          | 4.3                   | 6.3 J                 |
| 2335+26 | 0.0301| 24.88              | 125        | 63          | 3.8                   | $<6.0$ J              |

Table 4. Detection of dust and radio jets

| Radio sources | Radio sources | Total |
|---------------|---------------|-------|
| with jet      | without jet   |       |
| 16            | 5             | 21    |
| 2             | 7             | 9     |
| 18            | 12            | 30    |

in the weaker radio sources with jets; any alignment between radio axis and dust-disks should be sought there. This is illustrated in Fig. 7, where we plot the alignment angle against radio power. Clearly many sources, and actually all of the weak ones with $P \leq 10^{24} \text{WHz}^{-1}$, have an alignment angle $\geq 60^\circ$. For the FR II sources (coinciding mostly with the non-B2 3C sources in Fig. 7) there are quite a few sources with small angles ($< 60^\circ$). The mean alignment angle is $75.3 \pm 8.7^\circ$ for the B2 sources with $P \leq 10^{24} \text{WHz}^{-1}$, against $57.3 \pm 25^\circ$ for the stronger B2 sources. The difference between the average angles is not significant, but the variance of the distributions is different (confidence level > 99 % from Fisher’s F-distribution). This of course reflects the fact that all the weak sources are clustered towards high alignment angles.

4.3. Dust masses

As explained in Sect. 4.1, we calculated the masses of the dust features in the usual way, following Sadler & Gerhard (1985). In Fig. 8 we show the derived dust masses against the alignment angle. No correlation is seen.

A confirmation that the simple structures have on average lower masses is shown in Fig. 9: the lower masses...
Table 5. Detection of dust and optical core

|                          | Galaxies with dust | Galaxies without dust | Total |
|--------------------------|--------------------|-----------------------|-------|
| Galaxies with optical core | 9                  | 21                    | 30    |
| Galaxies without optical core | 9                  | 18                    | 27    |
| Total                    | 18                 | 39                    | 57    |

Fig. 4. Radio-dust alignments in B2 sources (horizontally shaded) and 3C sources that are not part of the B2 sample (diagonally shaded)

Fig. 5. Radio-dust alignments according to the presence (upper panel) or absence (lower panel) of a radio jet

Fig. 6. Alignments according to dust structure. For definition of simple and complex dust structure see text. Upper left: simple B2 sources; upper right: complex B2; lower left: simple 3C; lower right: complex 3C

Fig. 7. Alignments according to radio power. Filled symbol: B2; open symbol: 3C sources
correspond to the disk and lane structures. For the B2 galaxies with simple dust structures we find an average \(< \log(M/M_\odot) > = 3.9\), with a standard deviation of 0.20, while complex structures have an average of 5.0 with standard deviation 0.36. According to Student’s t-test this difference is significant at the 99.5 % level. A similar difference is obtained for the 3C galaxies: \(< \log(M/M_\odot) > = 4.68 \pm 0.25\) for simple dust and \(5.2 \pm 0.13\) for complex dust. This difference is significant at a confidence level of 98 %. The higher mass values of the 3C galaxies reflect the fact that they are generally stronger radio sources. Anyway, it is possible that the objects classified as containing complex dust structures also have small disks, hidden in the complexity of the dust features.

Most striking, however, is the correlation shown in Fig. between the total radio power and the detected dust masses. A linear regression between \(\log P\) and \(\log M/M_\odot\) gives a slope of 0.61 \(\pm 0.16\). We can reject (using the recipe given in e.g. Haber & Runyon 1973) the hypothesis that radio power and dust mass are uncorrelated at the 99.9 % level. However, it is known that radio power and redshift are correlated in flux limited samples; using the test discussed by Macklin (1982), we can reject the hypothesis that the apparent correlation between power and dust mass is due exclusively to a correlation between \(P\) and \(z\) and \(M\) and \(z\), at the level of 4.3\(\sigma\). We may illustrate this strong correlation (independent of redshift), by dividing our sample in four redshift intervals (\(z < 0.02\), \(0.02 < z < 0.05\), \(0.05 < z < 0.08\) and \(z > 0.08\)). The correlation remains in three of the four redshift interval (see Fig.11); although it is formally not significant in the lowest redshift bin (0.45\(\sigma\)) the correlation is very strong indeed in the other redshift bins, even if the number of objects involved is only of the order of 7 or 8 per bin: the significance levels are 3.1, 4.3 and 4.9 \(\sigma\) in order of increasing redshift. The location of the upper limits in Fig. 10 strongly suggests that the distribution in the \(\log P - \log M\) plane is bimodal, that is, if there is dust detected in the form of disks or lanes, then the amount of dust depends on radio power (or vice-versa), but in addition to this there are radio sources in which no dust is detected, practically independently of the radio power (the slow rising of the upper limits in Fig. 10 with radio power is due to increasing redshift).

No correlation is found between detected dust masses and the absolute magnitude of the galaxy and this is in line with the result of de Koff et al. 2000); therefore the relation dust mass against power stands on its own, and does not appear to be induced by other possible correlations between galaxy properties. 

In order to compare the correlation derived from the B2 sample with other data we show in Fig. 11 the same plot as in Fig. 10, but now with non-B2 3C sources added and also an optically selected sample of nearby (\(z < 0.011\)) E and S0 galaxies described in Tran et al. 2001. For clarity no upper limits were plotted. The galaxies in which both radio emission (based on the NVSS) and dust were detected are 18 of the 107 galaxies discussed by Tran et al. 2001. Since the selection criteria of the Tran et al. (2001) are rather complicated no statistical conclusions should be drawn from the location of these galaxies in Fig. 11; we just remark that they roughly fall in the region were we would expect them to be according to the correlation based on the B2 sample.

In a number of cases we can also derive dust masses from IRAS data, although most B2 sources have only upper limits. We use the \(100 \mu m\) data given by Impey & Gregorini 1993., if available. The dust masses were cal-
Fig. 10. Dust mass as a function of total radio power (B2 sources). Different symbols indicate different redshift intervals. Open circles: $z < 0.02$; open stars: $0.02 < z < 0.05$; filled squares: $0.05 < z < 0.08$; crosses: $z > 0.08$

Fig. 11. Dust masses as a function of total radio power, for B2 (filled dots), 3C (open circles) and sources from Tran et al. (stars)

culated using a dust temperature $T_d = 30$ K (see de Koff et al. [2000]); the results are shown in Fig. 12. The derived IRAS masses are typically a factor $\sim$100 higher than the masses derived from our absorption analysis. To bring the masses down to the level of the masses determined here from the absorption analysis, we would need to increase the dust temperature in most cases to unrealistically high values ($\gg 100$ K). Only for B2 0104+32 (3C 31), B2 1350+31 and B2 2116+26 can we bring the dust masses in agreement with $T_d < 100$ K. We therefore conclude that the detected IRAS dust masses are in general one or two orders of magnitude higher than the masses derived from the absorption analysis. The upper limits in Fig. 12 are consistent with this. It is of course not surprising that the IRAS masses are larger, as the IRAS fluxes measure the overall presence of dust (including diffuse components), while the absorption analysis is only sensitive to sharp and well defined features, which in many cases means only the dusty disk close to the nucleus. Apparently the circumnuclear features measured by us represent not much more than about between 1 and 10 % of the overall dust.

5. Summary

1. Dust features are detected in slightly over 50 % (30/57) of the B2 sources, which is a bit higher than was found for 3C sources by de Koff et al. [2000]. Although this would suggest a difference between FR I (majority of B2) and FR II (majority in 3C) sources no significant effect is found.

2. If a B2 radio source has jets, then in 16/18 sources the dust around the galactic nucleus comes in the form of disks or lanes, i.e. of simple structures; this correlation is very strong: the probability that the distribution is only due to chance is 0.9 %. Conversely, in seven of the nine sources with complex dust structures no radio jet is seen.

3. The radio jets tend to be perpendicular to the dust lanes or disks, in particular the weaker FR I radio sources, with $P < 10^{24}$ WHz$^{-1}$. Moreover small dust masses (with $\log M/M_\odot < 3$) are found mostly in these weaker sources. In part this may be a selection effect, because the weakest sources are also the most
nearby, in which small dust masses are more easily detected.

4. We have shown that if circum-nuclear dust is present in low luminosity radio sources, then its amount and its morphology are linked to the properties of the radio sources. In other words the radio source "knows" about the presence of dust. We base this conclusion on the fact that there is a well established correlation between the dust mass and the total (or core) radio power, as described in the previous Section. Since the radio and optical properties are (in observational sense) only indirectly dependent via the distance, the only way to explain this correlation away is through a selection effect that works both on the derived dust masses and on the radio power. The fact that the correlation between radio power and dust mass remains valid also within different redshift intervals strongly suggests that the correlation is intrinsic. However this correlation holds only if a significant amount of dust is present, and there may be a discontinuity (or bimodality in the mass distribution), as is also clear from Fig. [10].
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