Thermal conductivity of graphene mediated by strain and size
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Abstract

Based on first-principles calculations and full iterative solution of the linearized Boltzmann-Peierls transport equation for phonons, we systematically investigate effects of strain, size and temperature on the thermal conductivity \( k \) of suspended graphene. The calculated size-dependent and temperature-dependent \( k \) for finite samples agree well with experimental data. The results show that, contrast to the convergent room-temperature \( k = 5450 \) W/m-K of unstrained graphene at a sample size \( \sim 8 \) cm, \( k \) of strained graphene diverges with increasing the sample size even at high temperature. Out-of-plane acoustic phonons are responsible for the significant size effect in unstrained and strained graphene due to their ultralong mean free path and acoustic phonons with wavelength smaller than 10 nm contribute 80\% to the
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intrinsic room temperature $k$ of unstrained graphene. Tensile strain hardens the flexural modes and increases their lifetimes, causing interesting dependence of $k$ on sample size and strain due to the competition between boundary scattering and intrinsic phonon-phonon scattering. $k$ of graphene can be tuned within a large range by strain for the size larger than 500 µm. These findings shed light on the nature of thermal transport in two-dimensional materials and may guide predicting and engineering $k$ of graphene by varying strain and size.
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1. Introduction

Graphene, a two-dimensional (2D) sheet of carbon atoms, has attracted great interest in recent years due to its extraordinary mechanical, chemical, electronic and thermal properties. The experimentally reported ultrahigh thermal conductivity $k$ (up to 5300 W/m-K) [1] of graphene renders it the most thermally conductive material, and of promise for thermal management applications [2-4]. Moreover, graphene provides a benchmark model for the study of thermal transport in 2D materials. Therefore, intensive efforts have been committed to understand the underlying thermal transport physics in graphene experimentally [1, 5-16] and theoretically [2, 17-30].

Previous experimental studies [1, 5, 6, 10] using an opto-thermal Raman technique have reported $k$ values of suspended graphene that scatter significantly, ranging from 600 [10] to 5300 [31] W/m-K even with temperature effects accounted
for. Using direct thermal-bridge measurements, Xu et al. [7] recently observed significant size effects on $k$ of graphene and reported that $k$ diverges logarithmically with sample length; in contrast, previous Raman experiments did not discern a size-dependence of $k$ [1, 6]. Pettes et al. [11] found that $k$ of graphene may be significantly influenced by the residual polymeric layer produced during the transfer process of graphene. It can be seen from previous experiments that measured $k$ depends strongly on various extrinsic factors including sample size, process conditions, sample quality, measurement method and substrate coupling [12, 13], all giving large scatter in experimental $k$ data.

On the theoretical side, fundamental problems concerning the details of thermal transport in graphene have been subjects of debate [17-30], including the convergence behavior of $k$ with system size, the extent of the diffusive and ballistic transport regimes, the role of flexural acoustic (ZA) phonons for thermal transport and strain effects on the convergence of $k$. It is generally believed that acoustic phonons [31] dominate the thermal transport in graphene. Based on this, 2D models give a logarithmic divergence with system size [27] but neglect the contributions from ZA phonons due to their low group velocities near the center of first Brillouin zone (FBZ) and their large Grüneisen parameters [32]. However, molecular dynamics (MD) simulations [17, 18, 26, 29, 30] with large system sizes have reported that room-temperature $k$ of graphene converges, though at values much lower than experimental results on finite-size systems.

First-principles lattice dynamics calculations [22, 24, 28] of $k$ of graphene within three-phonon scattering framework have also been conducted. Using the single-mode
relaxation time approximation (SMRTA), Bonini et al. [22] showed that $k$ of infinite graphene diverges under infinitesimal isotropic tensile strains, while $k$ converges to ~550 W/m-K for infinite unstrained graphene at room temperature. The results are partly different with aforementioned MD predictions [18] that $k$ of infinite graphene diverges only under large tensile strain (> 0.02). We note that the SMRTA incorrectly treats the momentum-conserving Normal (N) processes as independent resistive processes on the same footing as Umklapp (U) processes [33], and it cannot be used to appropriately present the phonon thermal transport in graphene, as justified by Lindsay et al. [23] and Fugallo et al. [28]. Based on a full iterative solution of the linearized Boltzmann-Peierls Equation (BPE), Lindsay et al. [23] found that ZA phonons give the dominant contributions to $k$ in finite graphene up to 50 μm with strong dependence of $k$ on boundary scattering; Fugallo et al. [28] found that room temperature $k$ of unstrained graphene with a size of 1000 μm is up to 4300 W/m-K, close to the reported highest experimental value [31]. Although the previous works provided excellent insights into understanding thermal transport of graphene, many conclusions apply to finite graphene because of the compulsively adopted boundary constraint. It can be seen clearly from the literature that the following fundamental problems still remain unclear: 1) $k$ convergence in strained graphene by full solutions of BPE; 2) the role of ZA modes in $k$ of strained graphene at different temperatures; 3) the scattering behavior of low-frequency phonons at the long-wavelength limit; 4) the interplay of strain and size effects.

In this work, we intend to elucidate these problems using a rigorous first principles BPE for phonon transport approach with and without applying boundary
constraint. Full iterative solutions of the linearized BPE from reciprocal-space calculations and further mathematical analysis show that with increasing system size $k$ converges for unstrained graphene and diverges for strained graphene. Mode contribution analysis shows that ZA phonons are the major heat carriers and control the convergence behaviors in both unstrained and strained graphene up to 3000 K. Further, the long mean free paths of ZA phonons make finite size effects on $k$ persistent up to ~8 cm for unstrained graphene. The joint effect of strain and size on $k$ of finite graphene is also clarified, which makes it promising to tune $k$ of graphene in a large range when the sample size is larger than 500 $\mu$m. Considering the large graphene fracture strain (~ 0.25) and recently successfully fabrication [34] of single crystal graphene sample with the size of a few centimeters, mediating $k$ of graphene by tensile strain and size is of practical significance.

2. Computational methodologies

A microscopic description of the lattice thermal conductivity $k$ can be derived from BPE for phonons [21, 22, 24, 35] within the three-phonon scattering framework. Considering the isotropic thermal conductivity of infinite graphene, along an in-plane crystallographic direction $\alpha$, the intrinsic $k$ is calculated by the following equation [23, 35]:

$$k = k^{\text{iso}} = \sum_{\lambda} k_{\lambda} = \frac{1}{k_{B}T^{2}VN_{f}} \sum_{\lambda} f_{\lambda}(f_{\lambda} + 1)(\hbar \omega_{\lambda})^{2}v_{\lambda}^{\alpha}v_{\lambda}^{\alpha}r_{\lambda},$$

(1)

where $\lambda$ represents a phonon mode with wavevector $q$ and branch index $j$. $k_{\lambda}$ is the contribution of mode $\lambda$ to $k$. $\omega_{\lambda}$, $v_{\lambda}^{\alpha}$, and $r_{\lambda}$ are the angular frequency, group
velocity and phonon lifetime, respectively. \( k_B, h, \hbar \) are the Boltzmann constant, the reduced Plank constant and the Bose-Einstein distribution of phonons at temperature \( T \), respectively. \( V \) is the volume of the graphene unit cell with a thickness of 0.335 nm [23]. This work combines an iteratively self-consistent solution to the linearized BPE with harmonic and anharmonic interatomic force constants (IFCs) from Density Functional Perturbation Theory (DFPT) and Density Functional Theory (DFT) calculations [23, 24], respectively, using the QUANTUM ESPRESSO package [36] within the local density approximation (LDA) and using a norm-conserving pseudopotential to represent the core carbon electrons. Before calculating IFCs, the 2-atom unit cell of unstrained graphene is optimized fully along three axial directions to minimize the internal stress. The convergence precisions for energy and atomic force are taken as \( 1 \times 10^{-10} \) eV and \( 1 \times 10^{-7} \) eV/Å, respectively, to ensure the absolute value of in-plane stress is less than 0.01 kbar. To determine the harmonic IFCs, DFPT calculations are employed with a \( 13 \times 13 \) \( k \)-point mesh and 120 Ryd plane-wave cutoff for the 2-atom unit cell. To determine the interatomic forces and resulting anharmonic IFCs, DFT calculations with \( \Gamma \)-point sampling in slightly perturbed 162-atom supercells with a 100 Ryd plane-wave cutoff are used. For harmonic IFCs of unstrained graphene, the translational and rotational invariance conditions are enforced using the acoustic sum rules proposed in the work by Bonini et al.[22]. Only translational invariance conditions are applied to harmonic IFCs of strained graphene. For anharmonic IFCs, translational invariance is enforced via a \( \chi^2 \) fitting procedure described in Ref. [37]. We note that the accuracy of these anharmonic IFCs was tested and discussed in Ref. [37]. Further technical details for the calculations of dispersion
relations and three-phonon scattering rates can be found elsewhere [23, 35]. A modified ShengBTE code [35] is used to calculate intrinsic $k$ based on these IFCs. Comparison of the calculated dispersion of graphene with experimental data [38, 39] gives excellent agreements. The full 2D FBZ (Figure 1a) is discretized into a $\Gamma$-centered regular $N_1 \times N_1$ grid with $N_1$ up to 501 considered in this study.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Intrinsic thermal conductivities of unstrained and strained graphene

Figure 1a shows the calculated room-temperature $k$ of unstrained graphene (isotropic tensile strain $\epsilon = 0$) with respect to the $q$-point sampling density. Here, $\epsilon = (a-a_0)/a_0$ where $a_0 = 2.44\,\text{Å}$ is the calculated equilibrium lattice constant and $a$ is the lattice constant for a given tensile strain. For each $N_1$, an iteration precision of $1 \times 10^{-5}$ (difference of $k$ values for successive iterative steps) is taken to ensure full self-consistent convergence of $k$. Interestingly, $k$ decreases with increasing number of modes and a grid-converged $k = 5450 \, \text{W/m-K}$ is achieved for $N_1 \geq 301$. This $k$ value from the iterative approach is several-fold higher than those from the SMRTA [22, 24], confirming previous findings [21, 23] that both N- and U-processes and their relationship influencing the nonequilibrium populations of phonon modes are
important for determining $k$ of graphene. The convergence of $k$ in our calculations for infinite unstrained graphene also justifies that intrinsic three-phonon scatterings can confine $k$, i.e., higher-order inter-phonon scatterings are not required for convergence of $k$ as was previously suggested for $k$ of unstrained single-walled carbon nanotubes \cite{40, 41}. Under different strains $\varepsilon = 0.0025, 0.01$ and 0.1, $k$ increases nearly linearly with increasing $N_l$ and at a fixed $N_l$ a larger $\varepsilon$ gives a higher $k$, indicating non-negligible contributions from longer-wavelength phonon modes and the divergence of $k$ with increasing system size under strain. Unlike the work of Ref. \cite{22}, anharmonic IFCs are calculated here for each strain value considered. With increasing strain the magnitudes of the anharmonic IFCs tend to decrease. Our calculations show that
neglecting strain effects on these anharmonic IFCs does not change the convergence behavior qualitatively but causes significant underestimation of $k$ especially for large strain. For example, a 31-fold underestimation in $k$ at $\varepsilon = 0.1$ for $N_1 = 301$ is observed when using unstrained anharmonic IFCs. The mixed IFC tests justify that the linearization of ZA dispersion plays a key role for the $k$ divergence in strained graphene. Unless specified otherwise, all the results shown below are for $N_1 = 301$ for unstrained and strained graphene.

Figure 1b shows the calculated transport lifetimes $\tau_\lambda$ of ZA acoustic phonons for strained and unstrained infinite graphene at room temperature. Here we only consider ZA phonons since they dominate thermal transport in graphene. For unstrained graphene, $\tau_\lambda \propto \omega_\lambda^{-1.4}$ is found for low-frequency ZA phonons. In strained graphene, long wavelength ZA phonon lifetimes are more strongly dependent on frequency, having $\tau_\lambda \propto \omega_\lambda^{-2.1}$, $\omega_\lambda^{-2.4}$ and $\omega_\lambda^{-2.6}$ for $\varepsilon = 0.0025$, 0.01 and 0.1, respectively. Two factors may contribute to the lifetime enhancement at a given low frequency by tensile strains: one is that increasing strain decreases the magnitude of the anharmonic IFCs [23] and consequently reduces the scattering matrix elements; the other is zone center dispersion linearization of ZA modes [22] reduces ZA phonon density and consequently gives rise to less scatterings of ZA phonons [18]. The reduction of ZA phonon density is a result of linearization. The strain dependent phonon dispersion relations are shown in Fig. 2. The linearization degree is more significant with increasing strain level as shown in the inset. The abrupt ZA dispersion transition from purely quadratic to linearized at the long-wavelength limit has been shown via the
elastic theory of a thin plate [42]. In an early work by Bonini et al. [22], the authors also found quadratic ZA dispersion after enforcing translational and rotational invariance conditions on harmonic IFCs from DFPT calculations, and found that ZA dispersion is linearized after applying tensile strains. More recently, Carrete et al. [43] proposed the ‘by construction’ approach to build the physical IFCs and also suggested the quadratic ZA dispersion for unstrained graphene.

Fig.2. The calculated phonon dispersion relations of graphene under different tensile strains. The inset shows the ZA dispersion linearization at low frequencies.

These lifetime data provide a better understanding of the results shown in Figure 1a. The nonzero minimum $|q|$ corresponding to $N_1$ is $|q| = \frac{\gamma}{N_1}$ where $\gamma$ is a constant.

Then, at small wavevector the phonon frequency and velocity corresponding to $|q|$ in the ZA branch [22] are given by $\omega^2 = C|q|^2 + D|q|^4 = \frac{C\gamma^2}{N_1^2} + \frac{D\gamma^4}{N_1^4}$ and $v_q = \frac{d\omega}{dq}$. The lifetimes follow a power law behavior $\tau_q = A\omega_0^\beta$. Here $A$, $C$, $D$ and $\beta$ are strain-dependent parameters and $C = 0$ for zero strain. For unstrained and strained graphene...
as \( N_1 \to \infty \), \( \omega_\lambda \to 0 \) and \( f_\lambda \to \frac{k_\lambda T}{\hbar \omega_\lambda} \). Therefore, for ZA mode \( \lambda \) in unstrained graphene \((\beta=1.4)\), we have \( \lim_{N_1 \to \infty} \frac{1}{N_1^{1-2\beta}} = 0 \) based on Eq.(1), implying ZA phonons in the long-wavelength limit contribute little to \( k \) and \( k \) will converge. For strained graphene \((\beta > 2)\), we have \( \lim_{N_1 \to \infty} N_1^{\beta-2} \to \infty \), indicating \( k \) will diverge. The fitted \( \beta \) parameters in our calculations support the corresponding conclusions regarding the convergence of unstrained and strained graphene. Further, our calculations with larger \( N_1 \) \((N_1=401, 501)\) gives slightly larger \( \beta \) values at low frequencies than that corresponding to \( N_1=301 \) for graphene with strain \( \varepsilon = 0.01 \). The reason is as follows: larger \( N_1 \) involves lower frequencies for which the dispersion relations have stronger linearization than those of high-frequency phonons. Therefore, the corresponding \( \beta \) value based on these lower frequencies is larger, and still gives divergent behavior. Compared with the results shown in Fig.1 from discrete numerical solutions of the BPE, the present analytical expressions based on the \( \beta \) behavior more clearly demonstrate the strain dependent \( k \) convergence. When \( k \) is divergent, \( k \) will keep increasing when more longer-wavelength modes are considered in BPE without boundary constraint. Therefore, a series of calculations with different large \( q \)-point grid densities \((N_1 \geq 100)\) are required to sample long-wavelength ZA modes at low frequencies to judge the \( k \) divergence or convergence behavior.

3.2 . Comparisons with previous experiments and phase space approach
We also compare the calculated $k$ of unstrained graphene with measured data for graphene of varying sample size, temperature and isotope abundance. Here we discuss the implementation of extrinsic scattering mechanisms in the calculation of $k$ for better comparison with measured $k$ values. We define $k$ as a scalar value, ignoring anisotropy from finite system size due to the relatively large experimental samples that we are comparing with [23]. The lifetime $\tau'_f$ of a phonon in a finite sample may be calculated using the Matthiessen rule [23], expressed here as

$$\frac{1}{\tau'_f} = \frac{1}{\tau'_i} + \frac{1}{\tau'_w} + \frac{1}{\tau'_b} + \frac{1}{\tau'_{iso}} .$$

$\frac{1}{\tau'_i}$ is the intrinsic phonon-phonon scattering rate; $\frac{1}{\tau'_{iso}}$ represents the scattering rate from naturally occurring isotopes (1.1% C\textsuperscript{13}) in graphene and is obtained from perturbation theory for a random isotope distribution [35]; $\frac{1}{\tau'_b}$ represents the scattering rate by contact boundaries and is expressed empirically as

$$\frac{1}{\tau'_b} = \frac{2v_x}{L}$$

[40] and $\frac{1}{\tau'_D} = \frac{v_x}{D}$ [23, 28] respectively for a rectangle sample and a circular sample. This is consistent with experiments using rectangular samples in the thermal-bridge measurements of $k$ [7] and circular samples in the Raman measurements of $k$ [6]. The direction of the temperature gradient, $x$, is assumed to be along the sample length, $L$, or diameter, $D$. $\frac{1}{\tau'_w}$ represents the scattering rate due to finite sample width $W$ of the rectangular samples and is expressed as [44, 45]

$$\frac{1}{\tau'_w} = \frac{2v'_y}{W} ,$$

where $v'_y$ is the group velocity along the width direction and perpendicular to the transport direction. As shown in Figure 3a, the calculated room
Fig. 3. (a) Comparison of calculated $k$ with previous experimental data for samples of a width $W=1.5$ μm and different lengths. (b) Comparison of calculated $k$ with previous experimental data for samples of a fixed diameter $D=2.8$ μm at different temperatures. (c) Comparison of calculated $k$ with previous results from a phase space approach [23].

Temperature $k$ for different sample lengths $L$ agree with recently measured data for suspended samples with a width $W = 1.5$ μm [7]. Figure 3b compares the calculated $k$ with experimental data from Ruoff’s group [6] for graphene suspended over circular wells with a diameter $D = 2.8$ μm at different temperatures. For samples with naturally occurring carbon isotopes, acceptable agreement is observed throughout the
considered temperature range. For isotopically purified samples, a ~12% enhancement in $k$ is predicted at room temperature, significantly less than the measured enhancement. Nonetheless, the calculated enhancement in $k$ falls within the experimental uncertainties [6] from the Raman technique. Recently, slight $k$ enhancements with isotopic purification, ~13% and ~16%, were also reported by Lindsay et al. [23] and Fugallo et al. [28], respectively. The reason for this discrepancy is unknown yet, and requires further experimental validation from more accurate measurements. We note that indeed there are discrepancies among $k$ measurements in the literature. Here we compared to two independent sets of data (separate microbridge and Raman thermometry measurements) to give some confidence in the calculations. Our calculations may not agree with other measured data for which other extrinsic factors may be more important. The phase space approach [23] is also used to calculate room temperature $k$ of finite samples based on the same IFCs and considering boundary scattering with the form $\frac{1}{\tau_{\lambda}} = \frac{2|\omega'|}{L}$ and phonon-isotope scattering from natural isotope mass disorder. The corresponding results are shown in Fig.3c and compared with those by the present methodology. The agreement is quite good, somewhat surprising given the significant differences in algorithms implemented in the two methods.

3.3. Role of ZA modes for the thermal transport at different temperatures

To better understand the role of intrinsic three-phonon scattering, we calculate the frequency $\omega_{\lambda}$ dependent normalized $k$ accumulation for unstrained infinite graphene at
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300 K. The values are normalized by the $k$ of unstrained, isotopically pure, infinite graphene, 5450 W/m-K. As shown in Figure 4a, ZA phonons are the main heat carriers in suspended unstrained graphene, contributing about 88% to $k$ at room temperature. Moreover, the relative contribution of ZA phonons to $k$, as denoted by $k_{ZA}/k$ at given $T$ is shown in Figure 4b. Although increasing $T$ decreases $k_{ZA}/k$, the dominant contribution of ZA phonons is still obvious (above 80%) for temperatures from 200 K to 2000 K. We also see that the intrinsic $k$ shows different temperature dependent behaviors: $k \propto T^{-1.13} + 6 \times 10^6 T^{-3.96}$ for $T \leq 1200$ K and $k \propto T^{-1.05}$ for $T > 1200$ K. On the other hand, the absorption process $ZA + ZA \rightarrow TA$ (LA) [22] dominates the scattering of ZA modes and results in decreasing and increasing $k$ contributions of ZA and TA(LA) modes, respectively, with increasing $N_1$ until convergence. For strained graphene, ZA phonons also provide the dominant contributions to $k$ over the considered temperature range and $k$ still diverges at temperature up to 2000 K, as seen in Figure 4c, demonstrating that
Fig. 4. (a) Normalized $k$ accumulations of acoustic phonons for unstrained graphene with respect to frequency normalized by the corresponding cutoff frequency, i.e., 16.1 THz, 23.5 THz and 40.4 THz for ZA, TA and LA branches, respectively. (b) Effects of temperature on the intrinsic $k$ and the contribution of ZA phonons. (c) Convergence of $k$ with $q$-point sampling density for isotropic tensile strains $\varepsilon=0.0025$ and $\varepsilon=0.1$ at temperature $T=2000$ K.

That even at high temperature (though below the Debye temperature 2100 K) the intrinsic $k$ does not converge within the three-phonon scattering framework though these scattering rates increase significantly. As explained by Lindsay et al. [19, 23], this dominance of the ZA phonons arises because the reflection symmetry of graphene forbids three-phonon scatterings involving odd numbers of ZA phonons. This
manifests itself in the scattering calculations via matrix elements that are zero for these couplings. This gives another restriction to the scattering phase space beyond crystal momentum and energy conservation. Previous experiments on supported graphene [12, 13] also attribute measured reductions in $k$ to the suppression of ZA phonon contributions by substrate coupling. Therefore, engineering $k$ by suppressing the ZA phonon contributions through substrate coupling [12, 13], irregular doping or defect distributions [11, 28], or irregular out-of-plane deformations may be worthwhile. The most recent work [46] only investigated the temperature effects on phonon thermal transport in unstrained graphene under 800 K, which is still within the low-temperature range considering the graphene Debye temperature is up to 2100 K. Therefore, the present investigations may further the understanding of temperature effects. We note that at high temperature the $k$ behavior of strained graphene may be affected by higher order phonon-phonon scattering [22]. A recent work [47] has demonstrated the importance of four-phonon scattering in bulk materials at high temperature.

3.4. Interplay of size and strain effects

The ratios of phonon transport lifetimes to relaxation times given by SMRTA solutions show significant amplification for most acoustic modes, demonstrating that the SMRTA severely overestimates the intrinsic resistance in graphene. Therefore, using the SMRTA to determine size-dependent $k$ may be misleading as the boundary scattering is relatively weak in comparison [48]. To examine further the mechanism for the significant size effects observed in previous experiments [7] and numerical
calculations [23, 28, 29], we plot the normalized $k$ accumulation with respect to the mean free path (MFP) (Figure 5a) and phonon wavelength (Figure 5b) of acoustic phonons in infinite unstrained graphene without isotope scattering. The contributions from ZA phonons with ultra-long MFP saturate at about 8 cm, while those of in-plane TA and LA phonons saturate around 10 μm or less. Therefore, for suspended samples with the system sizes of several hundreds of microns or less, boundary scattering will
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Fig. 5. (a) Normalized $k$ accumulation with respect to the phonon mean free path for unstrained graphene. (b) Normalized $k$ accumulation with respect to the phonon wavelength for unstrained graphene. (c) Effects of sample length and strain on $k$. 
significantly limit the thermal conductivity, and the calculated $k$ will increase remarkably with increasing system size as demonstrated by the length dependent $k$ shown in Figure 5c corresponding to the case $\varepsilon = 0, W = \infty$. Moreover, our calculation results as shown in Figure 5b demonstrate that phonons with a wavelength smaller than 10 nm contribute ~80% to the intrinsic $k$, indicating low-frequency long-wave phonon contributions are not as important as expected before [6, 7]. However, for strained graphene, as shown in Fig.1a, the divergence of $k$ with decreasing $q$ or increasing size means the low-frequency long wavelength ZA modes play a dominant role in determining thermal transport. Therefore, the tensile strain acts as a key to turn on the long wavelength effect. We note that previous MD simulations [18, 49] also showed the divergence under strain and the dominant contributions from ZA modes. Recently, we systematically investigated strain effects on thermal transport of buckled group-IV monolayers including silicene, germanene and stanene [50] under tensile strains. A strong size effect on $k$ for unstrained buckled group-IV monolayers was found. Similar conclusions were found by Xie et al. [51] for silicene that tensile strains may significantly enhance $k$ with a peak enhancement at strain $\sim 0.04$.

Contrasting with the ignorable size effect of $k$ for unstrained silicene, the size effect for unstrained graphene is up to ~8 cm, indicating the significant effect from the buckled backbone of silicene.

To illuminate tensile strain effects on $k$ of finite graphene samples, i.e., the interplay between strain and size effects, we also plot the sample length $L$ dependent room-temperature $k$ at different strain levels in Figure 5c. Within the applicable length range $1 \mu m < L \leq 500 \mu m$, a rather small strain ($\varepsilon = 0.0025$) leads to a slightly higher $k$
compared with that of the unstrained case, while further increasing strain results in
decreasing $k$, as shown in the inset. Lindsay et al. [23] also observed this behavior for
a 10-μm system. However, within this length range, the difference in $k$ caused by a
strain less than 0.01 is estimated to be less than 10%. Considering the uncertainties
involved in experimental measurements (~20%), it is difficult to distinguish the strain
effects as strains during measurements are often smaller than 0.01. For $L > 500$ μm,
the trend changes, i.e., larger strain gives higher $k$ and several times improvement in $k$
by strain for large samples can be achieved. Considering the large graphene fracture
strain (~ 0.25) and recently successfully fabrication [34] of single crystal graphene
sample with the size of a few centimeters, the strong dependence of $k$ on strain and
size for large graphene samples indicates the great potential in tuning $k$ of graphene
by varying size and strain. The strong dependence of $k$ on strain magnitude and
sample size results from a competition between boundary and intrinsic three-phonon
scattering. Our analysis shows that the mode heat capacities of ZA phonons decrease
due to the decrease of phonon number per unit frequency. For a ZA mode $\lambda$, its
contribution $L_{total}$ to $k$ satisfies $1/L_{total} = 1/L_{intrinsic} + 1/L_{boundary}$, where $1/L_{intrinsic}$ and
$1/L_{boundary}$ are the thermal resistivities corresponding to the intrinsic phonon-phonon
scattering and boundary scattering, respectively. At a fixed sample length, increasing
strain decreases $L_{boundary}$ due to the decrease in mode heat capacities of ZA phonons
while enhancing $L_{intrinsic}$ due to the increase in lifetimes of ZA phonons. Therefore, for
applicable sample sizes in the range $1 \mu m < L \leq 500$ μm for which $L_{intrinsic}$ and
$L_{boundary}$ are comparable, their opposite variation trends will result in a peak
enhancement to $k_s^{total}$ by strain, as observed in $k$. For $L > 500 \ \mu m$, we find intrinsic 
three-phonon scattering dominate over boundary scattering for the whole frequency range considered. Therefore, increasing strain leads to the improvement of $k_s^{total}$ and in 
turn $k$. This result is consistent with those shown in Figure 1a for which the boundary 
scattering is neglected completely. Interestingly, the tensile-strain-induced 
enhancement of $k$ is in contrast to those reported for other carbon-based materials 
such as 3D diamond [52] and 1D carbon nanotubes [30, 53], wherein tensile strains 
reduce $k$ through phonon softening [53]. While the softening of LA, TA and optic 
phonons in graphene is indeed observed here (see Fig.2), we find hardening of the ZA 
modes, i.e., higher ZA frequencies and group velocities of low frequency modes for 
the strain levels considered. The ZA phonon hardening coupled with decreasing 
anharmonic IFCs increases the lifetimes of ZA phonons and enhances $k$. We note that, 
in calculations for strained graphene going from finite size to infinity, using the 
intrinsic three-phonon scattering rates from a finite $q$-sampling density will result in a 
false convergence for $k$, as shown by cases $\epsilon = 0.0025$ and 0.01 in Figure 5c. 
Theoretically, $N_1 \rightarrow \infty$ is required to match $L \rightarrow \infty$ and present the divergence for 
strained graphene. Our tests using a larger $q$-sampling density ($N_1 > 301$) give the 
uniform results in Figure 5c except that the false convergence plateau for $k$ occurs at a 
higher $k$ value and larger length, validating our judgments on $k$ variations with size 
and strain. In other words, the actual tuning range for $k$ of finite graphene is expected 
to be even larger than the results shown in Figure 5c.
4. Conclusive remarks

Based on rigorous first-principles lattice dynamics calculations we present a comprehensive picture of phonon thermal transport in unstrained and strained graphene. Acceptable agreement between calculated $k$ and experimental data as well as agreement with results from a previous phase space methodology validate the present approach for calculating $k$ in 2D systems. We find that the intrinsic room temperature $k$ converges for unstrained graphene but diverges for strained graphene with system size. Analysis based on the phonon lifetimes confirms this finding. For unstrained graphene, we conclude that centimeter-order MFP of ZA phonons is responsible for the significant size effect observed in previous experiments. Low-frequency long wavelength ZA phonons are not as important as expected before in unstrained graphene while they play the dominant role in strained graphene for thermal transport below 2000 K. For finite strained graphene, tensile strain hardens the flexural modes, increases their lifetimes and causes unusual dependences of thermal conductivity on sample size and strain due to the competition between the boundary and phonon-phonon scattering; once the sample larger than 500 μm, increasing strain or size may effectively enhance $k$.
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