DNA Methylation of the Gonadal Aromatase (cyp19a) Promoter Is Involved in Temperature-Dependent Sex Ratio Shifts in the European Sea Bass
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Abstract

Sex ratio shifts in response to temperature are common in fish and reptiles. However, the mechanism linking temperature during early development and sex ratios has remained elusive. We show in the European sea bass (sb), a fish in which temperature effects on sex ratios are maximal before the gonads form, that juvenile males have double the DNA methylation levels of females in the promoter of gonadal aromatase (cyp19a), the enzyme that converts androgens into estrogens. Exposure to high temperature increased the cyp19a promoter methylation levels of females, indicating that induced-masculinization involves DNA methylation-mediated control of aromatase gene expression, with an observed inverse relationship between methylation levels and expression. Although different CpGs within the sb cyp19a promoter exhibited different sensitivity to temperature, we show that the increased methylation of the sb cyp19a promoter, which occurs in the gonads but not in the brain, is not a generalized effect of temperature. Importantly, these effects were also observed in sexually undifferentiated fish and were not altered by estrogen treatment. Thus, methylation of the sb cyp19a promoter is the cause of the lower expression of cyp19a in temperature-masculinized fish. In vitro, induced methylation of the sb cyp19a promoter suppressed the ability of SF-1 and Foxl2 to stimulate transcription. Finally, a CpG differentially methylated by temperature and adjacent to a Sox transcription factor binding site is conserved across species. Thus, DNA methylation of the aromatase promoter may be an essential component of the long-sought-after mechanism connecting environmental temperature and sex ratios in vertebrate species with temperature-dependent sex determination.

Introduction

The sex ratio is a crucial demographic parameter important for population viability that is established by the processes of sex determination and differentiation. The sex determination mechanisms in vertebrates include genotypic sex determination (GSD), temperature-dependent sex determination (TSD) or a combination of both. In TSD, the temperature experienced during a particular time during early development, referred to as the thermosensitive period (TSP), irreversibly determines gonadal sex. TSD is well established in reptiles and fish [1]. Regardless of the sex determining system, in non-mammalian vertebrates the androgen-to-estrogen ratio determines whether a sexually undifferentiated gonad sexually differentiates into a testis or ovary. This sex steroid ratio depends of the activity of the enzyme aromatase, Cyp19a, the product of the cyp19a gene, which irreversibly converts androgens into estrogens. Further, in ectothermic vertebrates, the effects of environmental temperature on sex ratios are mediated by changes in cyp19a expression. Thus, in reptiles with TSD, exposure to female-promoting temperatures is invariably associated with gonadal cyp19a upregulation, whereas exposure to male-producing temperatures is associated with cyp19a suppression [2,3]. In all fish species analyzed so far, more males are produced with increasing temperatures [4]. The masculinizing effects of high temperature are also invariably caused by an inhibition of cyp19a expression and enzymatic activity [5–7]. Thus, regardless of the animal group and the sex determining mechanism considered, cyp19a regulation is a key player in the sex ratio response to temperature in vertebrates. Unfortunately, the molecular mechanism by which temperature affects cyp19a has remained elusive [1,8], and this is most important since identifying environmental cues and their perception and transduction mechanisms is a central focus of eco-devo research [9].

Gorelick [10] hypothesized that different methylation patterns of virtually identical sex chromosomes in species with TSD could be altered by small environmental changes, hence determining the
sex of individuals. He also proposed that sex differences are initially determined by different patterns of methylation on nuclear DNA of females and males. Recently, reviewing the evidence gathered so far on DNA methylation of four steroidalogenic enzymes, it has been postulated [11] that epigenetics are the missing link between genetics, the environment and endocrine functions. Furthermore, other recent studies [12] have shown epigenetic regulation not only of the enzymes involved in the steroidogenic pathway but also of some transcription factors and nuclear receptors related to steroid biosynthesis and action. In mammals, Cyp19 is expressed in a tissue-specific manner and regulated by different tissue-specific promoters [13]. Recently, epigenetic regulation of Cyp19 gene expression in mammals has been demonstrated in humans [14], cattle and sheep [15,16], and buffalo [17].

The European sea bass (sb), Dicentrachus labrax, is a gonochoristic GSD+TE species, which means that sex determination can be controlled by both genetic (GSD) and temperature effects (TE). Temperature and genetics contribute approximately equally to sex determination [18–20]. Importantly, both GSD+TE and “pure” TSD fish species have exactly the same response to high temperature: inhibition of cyp19a expression [4]. Thus, the sea bass is a perfectly suited model and indeed one of the best TSD fish species have exactly the same response to high temperature and environmental sex determination in vertebrates.

Aromatase Promoter Methylation and Fish Sex Ratios

Methylation levels of the European sea bass gonadal cyp19a promoter are sex-specific and influenced by the temperatures experienced during early life

To test our hypothesis, the previously characterized sea bass aromatase (sb cyp19a) promoter [27] was examined and the CpG dinucleotides ~500 bp upstream of the transcription start site were selected (see Materials and Methods and Figure S2). First, gonadal methylation levels of the sb cyp19a promoter were determined using bisulfite sequencing in one-year-old sea bass males and females (family 1) exposed to two different temperature regimes during the first 60 days of life (high temperature, HT group, and low temperature, LT group; see Materials and Methods and Figure S3). A two-way ANOVA indicated significant differences in average DNA methylation levels of the sb cyp19a promoter in one year old sea bass (~160 mm length; ~73 g weight) according to sex (F = 118.2; P = 0.001) and temperature treatment (F = 14.6; P = 0.000), but without interaction between the two variables (P = 0.703). Results showed that, overall, males had twice as much sb cyp19a promoter DNA methylation levels as females (mean ± S.E.M.: 81.15±2.54% vs. 45.5±3.47%; two-tailed Student’s t-test; t = −9.591, P = 0.000; Figure S4). Furthermore, sex-related differences were also clearly evident by distinct frequency distributions, with values in the range 12.9–72.3% for females and 71.4–97.1% for males, with a threshold value of 67% (Figure S4). The most important finding, however, was that exposure to high temperature increased gonadal sb cyp19a methylation levels in females from 37.1±3.45 to 55.9±3.49% (two-tailed t-test; t = 3.186, P = 0.005), and from 77.0±1.81 to 85.3±3.28% in males (two-tailed t-test, t = 2.056, P = 0.062; Figure 1).

With seven CpGs analyzed in the sb cyp19a promoter, the maximum number of possible methylation patterns was 128, i.e., 27. Of these, 58 were observed, distributed with different absolute frequencies according to sex (Figure 2). These methylation patterns include one in which all seven positions were methylated, another in which all seven positions were unmethylated and two in which either the first or the last position was unmethylated and the remaining were methylated. Analysis of the presence of these four patterns among the four treatment groups showed highly significant differences (P<0.001) in three of them (Figure 2). This reinforces the observation that LT females had the lowest cyp19a promoter methylation levels since they have the highest frequency of the pattern with all positions unmethylated and, conversely, the patterns consisting of all positions methylated was most frequently present in the HT males (Figure 2). Technical error due to PCR bias was avoided since the number
of mean methylation patterns was maintained through the different treatments in the range 5.6–7.1 out of a theoretically maximum range of 1–10. This indicates that the PCR reaction was able to amplify different methylation patterns or epialleles. (Figure S6A).

Further still, the number of different methylation patterns was independent of the average *cyp19a* methylation levels (Figure S6B). To examine the origin of these sex- and temperature-related differences, DNA methylation levels of the gonadal *sb cyp19a* promoter were assessed in much younger fish (94.8±0.08 mm) of an unrelated batch (family 2), in which biopsy confirmed that they were not sexually differentiated (sex differentiation in the European sea bass starts when fish are in the range 80–120 mm). The same temperature treatments were applied as explained above (high temperature, HT group and low temperature, LT group; see Materials and Methods and Figure S3). Since phenotypic sex was unknown in those individuals, a two-step unrestricted cluster analysis of *cyp19a* mRNA levels was used to classify fish as presumptive future males (low *cyp19a* mRNA levels) and presumptive future females (high *cyp19a* mRNA levels) within each temperature treatment (Figure 3), a procedure we had previously demonstrated to be reliable [21]. A two-way ANOVA analysis indicated significant differences in average *sb cyp19a* gene expression according to sex (F = 110.1; P = 0.000) but not to temperature treatment (F = 1.2; P = 0.277), but with interaction between the two variables (P = 0.013). Two-tailed t-tests also showed differences between *cyp19a* expression levels (RQ) of LT males vs. LT females (t = 8.427; P = 0.000), HT males vs. HT females (t = 6.251; P = 0.000), HT females vs. LT females (t = 2.516; P = 0.024), but no differences between the RQ values of HT males vs. LT males (P = 0.243) (Figure 3). Furthermore, methylation levels were also examined in 12 individuals who happened to be classified as presumptive females by the cluster analysis. In the HT group, *sb cyp19a* promoter DNA methylation levels were 79.0±2.55% (two-tailed t-test, t = −2.516; P = 0.024), but no differences between the RQ values of HT males vs. LT males (P = 0.243) (Figure 3). Furthermore, methylation levels were also examined in 12 individuals who happened to be classified as presumptive females by the cluster analysis. In the HT group, *cyp19a* promoter DNA methylation levels were 79.0±2.55% (mean ± SEM, n = 3), with a coefficient of variation of 7.5% and a range of 77.2–85.7%. In the LT group, *sb cyp19a* promoter DNA methylation levels were 80.6±2.55% (two-tailed t-test, t = −0.373, P = 0.717), with a coefficient of variation of 9.5%. However, in one of the nine fish examined the value of *cyp19a* promoter methylation was 61.9%, i.e., below the threshold level of 67% calculated with the 95% confidence interval of DNA methylation levels observed in adult males vs. adult females (Figure S4), further suggesting that this fish was most likely a female.
Sex and temperature differences in methylation levels of sb cyp19a promoter are tissue- and gene-specific

In the brain, where cyp19a is only basally expressed, sb cyp19a promoter average methylation levels in one-year-old sea bass (family 1) were 85.1 ± 0.91%, regardless of sex and/or temperature treatment. A two-way ANOVA showed lack of differences associated either with sex (F = 0.746; P = 0.405) or temperature (F = 0.013; P = 0.910) without significant interaction between the two factors (F = 0.307; P = 0.590) (Figure 4A). Furthermore, a similar analysis of DNA methylation of the promoter of the housekeeping gene β-actin in gonads of the same fish showed lack of differences associated either with sex (F = 0.191; P = 0.670) or temperature (F = 3.474; P = 0.087) without significant interaction between the two factors (F = 13.856; P = 0.603) (Figure 4B). Likewise, DNA methylation of the promoter of β-actin in the brain showed also lack of differences associated either with sex (F = 3.945; P = 0.068) or temperature (F = 0.038; P = 0.848) without significant interaction between the two factors (F = 0.603; P = 0.867) (Figure 4C). On average, gonad and brain β-actin promoter methylation levels (± SEM) were 13.8 ± 2.76% and 19.63 ± 4.21%, respectively.

High temperatures experienced by the European sea bass during early life are able to masculinize populations by decreasing cyp19a mRNA expression levels in the female gonads

When histologically sexed at about one year of age, the percentage of females in the HT group (family 1) was 56.0 ± 11.3% (n = 40), a

Figure 2. Absolute frequency of the four most frequently observed methylation patterns of the sb cyp19a promoter by sex and temperature treatment. In all cases the expected frequency of the patterns represented here per group was >5. A, Frequency of the methylation pattern consisting of all seven positions methylated. B, Frequency of the methylation pattern with the 5’ first position (−431) unmethylated and the remaining six methylated. C, Frequency of the methylation pattern with the last position (+60) unmethylated and the remaining six methylated. D, Frequency of the methylation pattern with all positions unmethylated. Abbreviations: Females at Low Temperature (FLT); Females at High Temperature (FHT); Males at Low Temperature (MLT); Males at high temperature (MHT). The level of significance is indicated for each case. doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1002447.g002

Figure 3. Gonadal aromatase (cyp19a) expression in sexually undifferentiated sea bass. Gonadal aromatase expression was assessed by real time RT-PCR and used as a marker to classify fish into presumptive females (red circles) or presumptive males (blue circles) for the two thermal treatments, low and high temperature. Individual sample points are presented along with the median. Groups with different letters are significantly different (P < 0.05). doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1002447.g003
Figure 4. Tissue and gene specificity of the effects of temperature on DNA methylation in one-year-old sea bass. A, Resulting differences in sb*cyt19a* promoter DNA methylation in the brain according to sex and temperature treatment. B, Resulting differences in sb*β-actin* promoter DNA methylation in the gonads according to sex and temperature treatment. C, Resulting differences in sb*β-actin* promoter DNA methylation in the brain according to sex and temperature treatment. On the left, one fish representative of the level of methylation is shown per each sex and temperature combination. Open and filled circles denote unmethylated and methylated positions, respectively, while no circles denote
15% decrease when compared to the 71.0±3.5% (n = 40) value of the LT group (Chi square = 7.28; P = 0.01). On the other hand, cyp19a mRNA expression levels in HT females was significantly lower than in LT females (t-test; F = 0.024; P = 0.003; Figure 5A). In addition, there was a significant inverse relationship between cyp19a expression and methylation levels in these one-year old females (r² = 0.29; F = 7.84; P = 0.01) (Figure 5B).

Administration of exogenous estrogens does not alter methylation levels of the sb cyp19a promoter

We also conducted experiments to determine the possible influences of male vs. female differentiation pathways in the DNA methylation levels of the gonadal sb cyp19a promoter. When fish from a batch with a natural low incidence of females at LT (family 3) was treated with Estradiol-17ß (E2) the number of females increased from 2.5 to 90% (P, 0.01). However, DNA methylation levels of the sb cyp19a promoter in the E2-treated female gonads were not statistically different from those of untreated LT females (mean ± S.E.M.: 32.9±6.66% vs. 41.2±5.13%; two-tailed t-test; t = 0.968, P = 0.356; Figure 6).

Methylation levels of specific CpGs within the sb cyp19a promoter are sex- and temperature-dependent

Analysis of Molecular Variance (AMOVA) was used to determine if the different CpGs positions found in the sb cyp19a promoter were differentially methylated according to sex and/or temperature (Figure 5C and 5D). Significant differences in all positions were detected between LT males and LT females (AMOVA, Fst = 0.361, P = 0.000) (Table 1). However, only CpG positions -431 and -13 showed significant differences (AMOVA, Fst = 0.052, P = 0.014) when LT females were compared with HT and HT males.

Figure 5. Effects of temperature on sb cyp19a promoter methylation levels and correlation with gonadal cyp19a gene expression. A, Female sb cyp19a expression assessed by Q-PCR; data as mean ± S.E.M (see main text for details). Groups with different letters are significantly different (P < 0.01). B, Correlation between sb cyp19a methylation level and gene expression in females (male expression levels were too low to be considered). RQ, relative quantity. C and D, Differential methylation levels for each CpG position in females (C) and males (D) reared at low (LT) and high (HT) temperature; data as mean ± S.E.M. Individual CpG analysis was carried out by using Analysis of Molecular Variance (AMOVA), in which Cs were considered methylated positions and Ts unmethylated ones. Results of this analysis are summarized in Table 1. doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1002447.g005
females, with position -13 presenting the highest significant differences (Fst = 0.083, $P = 0.031$ and Fst = 0.148, $P = 0.005$, respectively; Figure 5C and Table 1).

**Methylation of the sb cyp19a promoter blocks SF-1 and Foxl2 stimulated cyp19a expression in vitro**

In a previous study [27], we characterized the sb cyp19a promoter using bioinformatic tools (MatInspector) and gel shift assays and identified putative transcription binding sites. From that study it was found that the CpG in position -431 of this promoter is located near a putative binding site for a transcription factor of the Fox family and that the CpG in position -13 is located near a putative binding site for a Sox family transcription factor (Figure S2). Furthermore, previous studies with other fish species have shown that co-transfection of sb cyp19a promoter constructs with either Foxl2, SF-1 or simultaneous co-transfection with both of these potent transcriptional activators of cyp19a significantly increased luciferase activity [28,29]. Based on these previous findings, the sb cyp19a promoter activation was determined under methylated and control conditions by a luciferase reporter assay. As expected, SF-1 and Foxl2 were each capable of activating sb cyp19a expression in vitro. When combined, still higher expression was observed (Figure 7). Remarkably, induced hypermethylation of the sb cyp19a promoter completely suppressed promoter transcription stimulation in vitro by Foxl2 and SF-1 alone (two tailed $t$- test, $P < 0.01$) or in combination (two tailed $t$- test, $P < 0.05$).

**Specific CpG positions of the cyp19a promoter are conserved in other species**

To determine whether the number and position of CpGs was conserved in other fish species, 600 bp of the sb cyp19a promoter, including all CpG analyzed in the present study and 94 bp within the opening reading frame, were aligned with the promoter region of both phylogenetically-related and unrelated species. In addition and based on the previously

**Table 1. Effects of high temperature on DNA methylation of the sea bass gonadal aromatase promoter at different loci.**

| CpG position | LT Males versus LT Females | LT Females versus HT Females |
|--------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|
|              | Fst $P$                     | Fst $P$                     |
| -431         | 0.362 0.000                 | 0.083 0.031                 |
| -56          | 0.219 0.000                 | -0.007 0.535               |
| -49          | 0.404 0.000                 | 0.009 0.325                |
| -33          | 0.363 0.000                 | 0.061 0.058                |
| -13          | 0.575 0.000                 | 0.148 0.005                |
| +9           | 0.318 0.000                 | 0.019 0.241                |
| +60          | 0.168 0.005                 | 0.045 0.104                |

For each CpG, differences between males and females exposed at low temperature (LT), and between females reared at LT and high temperature (HT) are reported. Statistically significant differences are highlighted in bold face.

Abbreviations: Fst, Fixation index of population differentiation; $P$, significance level.

doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1002447.t001
methylated and unmethylated promoter vectors. Transcription factors SF1 and Foxl2 were cotransfected with the sb cyp19a promoter to activate promoter luciferase activity. Transfected methylated and unmethylated groups were as follows (see Materials and Methods for amounts used): 1) plasmid β-galactosidase (Ctrl); 2) sb cyp19a promoter cloned into pGL3-basic luciferase reporter plasmid (cyp19a); 3) sb cyp19a and tilapia SF1 cloned into pCDNA3.1 expression plasmid (cyp19a+SF1); 4) sb cyp19a and tilapia Foxl2 cloned into pCDNA3.1 expression plasmid (cyp19a+Foxl2); 5) sb cyp19a, tilapia SF1 and Foxl2 cloned into pCDNA3.1 (cyp19a+SF1+Foxl2). The Student’s t-test was used to compare methylated and unmethylated vectors. Significant differences are denoted by an asterisk and were as follows: cyp19a, \( P = 0.006 \); cyp19a+SF1, \( P = 0.006 \); cyp19a+Foxl2, \( P = 0.003 \); cyp19a+SF1+Foxl2, \( P = 0.013 \). Also, SF1 and Foxl2 exhibited an additive effect since the activation of sb cyp19a promoter was significantly higher when both were transfected together. Values are shown as mean ± S.E.M. (n = 2–4). Insert: Successful vector methylation verification by analysis of band patterns on electrophoresis gel after digestion of the purified plasmids with the McrBC enzyme. Lane 1, 0.5 μg sb cyp19a-pGL3; lane 2, 0.5 μg sb cyp19a-pGL3 treated with McrBC; lane 3, 0.5 μg SssI-methylated sb cyp19a-pGL3; lane 4, 0.5 μg SssI-methylated sb cyp19a-pGL3 treated with McrBC; lane 5, 1 Kb marker; lane 6, 100 bp marker. The electrophoresis gel shows that, as expected, only the methylated vector was digested.

doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1002447.g007

Figure 7. Effects of methylation on sea bass cyp19a promoter activity in vitro. HEK293T cells were transfected with pGL3-cyp19a methylated and unmethylated promoter vectors. Transcription factors SF1 and Foxl2 were cotransfected with the sb cyp19a promoter to activate promoter luciferase activity. Transfected methylated and unmethylated groups were as follows (see Materials and Methods for amounts used): 1) plasmid β-galactosidase (Ctrl); 2) sb cyp19a promoter cloned into pGL3-basic luciferase reporter plasmid (cyp19a); 3) sb cyp19a and tilapia SF1 cloned into pCDNA3.1 expression plasmid (cyp19a+SF1); 4) sb cyp19a and tilapia Foxl2 cloned into pCDNA3.1 expression plasmid (cyp19a+Foxl2); 5) sb cyp19a, tilapia SF1 and Foxl2 cloned into pCDNA3.1 (cyp19a+SF1+Foxl2). The Student’s t-test was used to compare methylated and unmethylated vectors. Significant differences are denoted by an asterisk and were as follows: cyp19a, \( P = 0.006 \); cyp19a+SF1, \( P = 0.006 \); cyp19a+Foxl2, \( P = 0.003 \); cyp19a+SF1+Foxl2, \( P = 0.013 \). Also, SF1 and Foxl2 exhibited an additive effect since the activation of sb cyp19a promoter was significantly higher when both were transfected together. Values are shown as mean ± S.E.M. (n = 2–4). Insert: Successful vector methylation verification by analysis of band patterns on electrophoresis gel after digestion of the purified plasmids with the McrBC enzyme. Lane 1, 0.5 μg sb cyp19a-pGL3; lane 2, 0.5 μg sb cyp19a-pGL3 treated with McrBC; lane 3, 0.5 μg SssI-methylated sb cyp19a-pGL3; lane 4, 0.5 μg SssI-methylated sb cyp19a-pGL3 treated with McrBC; lane 5, 1 Kb marker; lane 6, 100 bp marker. The electrophoresis gel shows that, as expected, only the methylated vector was digested.

doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1002447.g007

characterization of the sb cyp19a promoter by Galay-Burgos and collaborators [27] some putative transcription binding sites for other species were identified based on sequence similarity with the sb cyp19a promoter. Overall sequence similarity was 53%, with a clear conservation of some of the transcription factor binding sites, including the TATA box (Figure 8). The CpG located at position −13 was the most conserved, with three species having a CpG dinucleotide at this position. On the other hand, position −431 was not found in the other species analyzed although two of them had a CpG position situated around 40–60 bp away.

Discussion

Methylation levels of the sb cyp19a promoter are significantly higher in males than in females

The present study clearly shows that the DNA methylation levels of the sb cyp19a promoter were twice as high in the gonads of males as compared to females in one-year-old European sea bass. The origin of these sex-related differences is at present unknown. Throughout development, cell- and tissue-specific methylation patterns are the result of de novo methylation, maintenance of the existing pattern and demethylation processes [30]. It has been suggested that DNA methylation suppresses recombination, allowing Muller’s ratchet to operate on differentially methylated sexes [10]. Differential methylation can also suppress transcription, contributing to accentuate sex-related differences [10]. Thus, sex differentiation of the gonads could be regulated through DNA methylation of key genes in a manner similar to that seen in other tissues. SRY, the sex-determining gene in mammals, is normally epigenetically silenced, activated during a specific window in development, and then silenced again [31]. The machinery needed for these changes is also present in fish, where up to eight different DNA methyltransferases (Dnmts), the enzymes responsible to transfer methyl groups to DNA, have been identified so far [32]. In medaka (Oryzias latipes) and Xiphophorus embryos, dnmt1 expression is spatially- and temporally-regulated, suggesting that it may play an important role during development. Changes in methylation levels of cyp19a and other gene promoters are then likely to be involved in the course of sexual differentiation in fish.

To elucidate the possible influences of male vs. female differentiation pathways, we measured DNA methylation levels of the sb cyp19a promoter in gonads of E2-treated vs. control females (both reared at LT to avoid confounding effects of masculinization by HT). No differences were found, indicating that E2 in this model does not affect gonadal sb cyp19a promoter DNA methylation levels. This is consistent with previous studies showing that cyp19a expression levels in gonads of both untreated and E2-treated females were similar [33]. Also, treatment of medaka with estrogen did not result in changes cyp19a methylation in the gonads [34]. In the present study, the lack of effects of estrogen treatment on gonadal sb cyp19a promoter methylation levels supports the idea that increased methylation is the cause of lower cyp19a expression and not the other way around.
High temperatures experienced during early life in the European sea bass are able to masculinize populations by increasing gonadal sb\textit{cyp19a} promoter DNA methylation and decreasing \textit{cyp19a} mRNA expression.

Temperature effects on the methylation of certain promoters are well established in plants [35]. There is also evidence of environmental influences on phenotypic plasticity in animals mediated by epigenetic mechanisms [36]. In honeybees, nutrition load gives rise to two different adult female phenotypes: the fertile queen and the sterile workers [37]. Recently, it has been shown that the active ingredient in royal jelly involves an epidermal growth factor receptor-mediated signaling pathway, resulting in increased body size, ovarian development and shortened developmental time [38]. Interestingly, in many fishes including the sea bass, there is also an association between larger size and female development during sex differentiation [39,40].

The European sea bass has a polygenic system of sex determination [18] with a strong environmental influence [4]. Sex ratios depend upon the broodstock used and the temperature experienced during the TSP [20–25]. Because of its sex determining mechanism, sea bass monosex populations are not available. To determine the influence of temperature in the methylation levels of the gonadal \textit{sb\textit{cyp19a}} promoter, we had deliberately chosen a family giving a high percentage of females when reared at the permissive LT (family 1). This allowed obtaining sufficient females even after rearing at masculinizing HT. The LT or control group had 71.63.5% females, whereas the HT group had 56.611.3% females, in agreement with the observation that rearing at HT during the TSP masculinizes 50% of the genotypic females into phenotypic males without negative effects on survival [20].

The main finding of this study is that exposure to high temperature during early development increased 1.5 times \textit{sb\textit{cyp19a}} mRNA expression compared to the LT group.
cyp19a promoter DNA methylation levels as evidenced in one-year-old female gonads. In contrast, HT did not significantly increase sb cyp19a promoter methylation levels in the gonads of males, which were already quite high (~80%) in the LT group. In the present study, also a relationship between increased methylation and decreased female numbers was found since the reduction of 15% females in the HT group closely matched the 16.8% increase in sb cyp19a promoter methylation levels in the same group. Importantly, cyp19a expression was significantly lower in HT females. Thus, the present study demonstrates that high temperatures experienced during early life masculinize by increasing sb cyp19a promoter methylation levels and consequently decreasing cyp19a expression in the gonads. It could be argued that differential methylation of the sb cyp19a promoter could be part of the polygenic mode of sex determination by parental imprinting mechanisms. However, the existence of differences in methylation levels of the sb cyp19a promoter in the gonads of LT and HT females (originated from the same parents) suggests that high temperatures is able to override any possible parental imprinting. This indicates that the male-biased sex ratios found in stocks reared at HT is a temperature effect overriding the basic polygenic sex determination system.

Since genes that are not in use may become methylated, it could be argued that cyp19a suppression is the consequence rather than the cause of the suppression of female development, i.e., that methylation of the sb cyp19a promoter can be due to the initiation of the male pathway. Our results with sexually undifferentiated animals show differences on gonadal cyp19a gene expression levels between presumptive males and females, in agreement with the observation that differences in gonadal cyp19a gene expression levels can be detected prior to sex differentiation [41]. Methylation levels of the gonadal sb cyp19a promoter on presumptive females reared at LT or HT ranged from 61.9 to 83.7%. Interestingly, these values are closer to those of one-year-old males (range 71.4–97.1%) than those of one-year-old females (range 12.9–72.8%). This suggests that the methylation levels in presumptive females may reflect low gonadal cyp19a gene expression levels at that time of development. Further, although differences between putative females and males can be detected prior to sex differentiation [41], upregulation of cyp19a gene expression only occurs during female sex differentiation [39]. Together, these observations suggest that during fish sex differentiation DNA demethylation of the sb cyp19a promoter in the females could be required to enable cyp19a upregulation in their gonads. During mammalian gonadal development, genome-wide demethylation occurs during primordial germ cell migration in early development as observed in mice and pigs [42–44]. We hypothesize that high temperature during early development either immediately and irreversibly hypermethylates the cyp19a promoter or inhibits its demethylation later during sex differentiation. Further studies are needed to discern between these two possibilities.

We also checked whether the sex- and temperature-dependent changes on sb cyp19a promoter methylation in the gonads were promoter- and tissue-specific. It is known that the promoters of non-expressed genes are hypermethylated. The sb cyp19a promoter in the brain was consistently hypermethylated independent of sex and temperature, in accordance with the well-established fact that cyp19a is mainly expressed in gonads and only basally expressed in the fish brain [45]. In contrast, the β-actin promoter was hypomethylated both in brain and gonads and regardless of sex and temperature, reflecting the constitutively high expression of this housekeeping gene. Together, these results suggest that in the European sea bass sex- and temperature-dependent changes in DNA methylation of the cyp19a promoter are restricted to the gonads and are not a generalized effect of temperature, although other gene promoters could also be affected.

The mechanism by which temperature changes DNA methylation levels of the sb cyp19a promoter in the gonads is not known. In Xiphophorus, O6-methylguanine-dnmt activity is optimal at 23°C but is lost below 15°C [46]. Thus, it remains to be determined if temperature activation of the Dnmts is responsible for the resulting higher cyp19a promoter methylation seen at HT. Since cyp19a expression is essential for female differentiation in all non-mammalian vertebrates, resolving the link between temperature and cyp19a promoter methylation is a relevant issue. The results presented here, although mostly descriptive, represent a first step in this direction.

Effects of DNA methylation on specific CpG positions of the gonadal sb cyp19a promoter may be conserved in other fish species

Fox2 is one of the most potent transcriptional regulators of cyp19a in vertebrates, from fish to mammals [28,47]; however, it appears that Fox2 works best with the involvement of other cofactors. For example, co-transfection of cyp19a promoter constructs with either SF-1 or Fox2 significantly increased luciferase activity [28], but simultaneous co-transfection of SF-1 and Fox2 had an additive effect on cyp19a promoter activation [29]. The present study shows, as expected, that SF-1 and Fox2 were each capable of activating cyp19a expression in HEK293T cultured cells, and when combined still higher expression was observed. Remarkably, induced hypermethylation of the sb cyp19a promoter completely suppressed both Fox2- and/or SF-1-stimulated transcription in vitro. This strongly suggests that hypermethylation of the sb cyp19a promoter prevents binding of at least Fox2 and SF-1 to their respective sites, thus blocking cyp19a transcriptional activation.

Sex- and tissue-specific differences on cyp19a methylation levels have also been found in the model fish medaka, a GSD species, where five CpGs located within a region of ~300 bp of the cyp19a promoter were methylated mostly in testis and female brain, but unmethylated in ovary and male brain [34]. Further, in cattle and sheep cyp19a promoter regions P1.1, P1.5 and P2 were differentially methylated depending on sex and tissue [15]. Together, these results suggest that sex-related differences in DNA methylation levels of the cyp19a promoter may be a generalized phenomenon present from fish to mammals. On the other hand, it has been suggested that the molecular mechanisms underlying sex ratio responses to temperature must be conserved throughout vertebrates [1,8,48]. Our results revealed that some of the transcription factors binding sites in the cyp19a promoter are conserved across species, being the Cpg dinucleotide located at position ~13 the most conserved one. Together, these observations suggest that the epigenetic mechanism described here may indeed be present at least in other fish species. Further, this study also shows that some sb cyp19a promoter CpG positions are differentially methylated by temperature, indicating that they can be important for cyp19a transcription. This is also the case of position ~13, near a TATA box and Sox binding site, or position ~431, which is close to a Fox binding site. Differential DNA methylation of gene promoters has been demonstrated to account for tissue-specific gene transcription through transcription factor binding inhibition [49–51]. Together the results presented here suggest that differential methylation of specific CpGs positions could significantly contribute to a transcription regulation of the sb cyp19a gene.

In summary, to our knowledge, this is the first report describing an epigenetic mechanism mediating temperature effects on sex
of the sex-determining gene SRY, sex is a threshold dichotomy mimicking a single gene effect [32]. Our results indicate that such a threshold dichotomy can also apply to a completely distinct scenario: gonadal cyp19α promoter methylation levels of males vs. females, implying one shared feature of the two major sex determining mechanisms of vertebrates, GSD and TSD. Thus, temperature may modulate sex ratios through changes in the proportion of animals whose cyp19α promoter methylation level falls above or below a certain threshold. The present results demonstrate that in the European sea bass an epigenetic mechanism can affect an essential biological process, with consequences in resulting population sex ratios. Although more studies are certainly needed to confirm this, it is tempting to suggest that the epigenetic scheme described herein may be an essential component of the long-sought-after mechanism connecting environmental temperature and sex ratios in species with TSD.

Materials and Methods

Animal rearing conditions and treatments

Freshly fertilized European sea bass (Dicentrarchus labrax L.) eggs were collected from the Institute of Aquaculture (Castello, Spain) and from a private farm (Base Viva, St. Pere Pescador, Spain) and transported to our experimental aquarium facilities at the Institute of Marine Sciences in Barcelona. Egg incubation and rearing during the larval and juvenile stages were performed according to standard sea bass rearing practices [53], except for the temperature treatments (see next section), and are explained in detail elsewhere [20]. Once animals reached mid-metamorphosis (standard length; SL>18 mm), juveniles were reared in 650 l fiberglass tanks under simulated natural photoperiod and fed ad libitum with pelleted food of the appropriate size.

Temperature treatments. Eggs were incubated at 14–15°C, the natural temperature for sea bass spawning and fertilization during winter and early spring in the western Mediterranean. For this study, a total of 3 different egg batches originated from different parents (i.e., 3 different families) were used. Hatching occurred 3–4 days post fertilization (dpf). At this point, fish were separated into two groups. One group was reared at 15°C throughout the thermosensitive period (TSP) until 60 dpf (“low temperature”, LT or control group). Then, temperature was increased to 21°C at a rate of 0.5°C-day⁻¹ and left to follow the natural fluctuations until the end of the study, when fish were about one year old (330 dpf). Twenty-one degrees Celsius is the standard temperature for ensuring adequate growth in juvenile sea bass rearing. The other group of fish was reared at 15°C until 10 dpf and then switched to 21°C (Figure S3). Thus, fish in this group were exposed to artificially higher temperature (HT) essentially during the entire TSP, which promotes male development. Each temperature treatment was carried out in duplicate. In summary, while the thermal regimen of the LT group is similar to the one experienced by sea bass in the wild, the thermal regimen of the HT group typically results in the masculinization of about one-half of the genotypic females into phenotypic males [19,20]. For these experiments, two different sea bass families (families 1 and 2) were used: sb cyp19α and β-actin promoter methylation was studied in brain and gonads and cyp19α gene expression in gonads of sexually differentiated, one-year-old animals (family 1), and sb cyp19α promoter methylation and gene expression was studied in the gonads of sexually undifferentiated animals (family 2).

Estrogen treatments. An additional group of fish subjected to the same LT regime as described above was fed with a diet containing estradiol-17β (E2) at a concentration of 10 mg/kg of
food. Treatment was carried out well past the TSP, from 90–150 dpf, coinciding with the labile period for steroid treatment [19]. This experiment was carried out to test whether E2 treatment was capable of affecting sb cyp19a promoter methylation, and hence, in order to maximize the expected feminizing effect of E2, in this case a family giving low numbers of females even at LT was used (family 3). At about one year of age, fish (n = 40) were sexed and DNA methylation levels were determined as explained below.

**Ethics statement.** In all cases, fish were treated in agreement with the European Convention for the Protection of Animals used for Experimental and Scientific Purposes (ETS N° 125, 01/01/91).

**Sampling and gonadal histology**

At 330 dpf, i.e., long past the thermal regimes, fish were sacrificed and gonadal samples were collected (n = 40 fish per treatment). From each fish (~159 mm and ~73 g), one gonad was processed for histological identification of sex. Gonads were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde in PBS, embedded in paraffin, cut at 7 µm thickness and stained with haematoxylin-eosin. The other gonad was snap-frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at ~80°C until further analysis to determine methylation levels and gene expression. For sexually undifferentiated fish (family 2; 94.8±0.08 mm), 20 fish per group were collected from the LT and HT treatment groups. Due to tissue amount limitations, the right gonad was used to obtain DNA and the left gonad to obtain RNA. Sex was identified based on cyp19a mRNA levels as described in Blázquez and collaborators [41] and in the Statistical analysis section below.

**Methylation levels measured by bisulphite-mediated genomic sequencing**

The gonadal sb cyp19a [27] and β-actin promoters [54] were examined to identify CpG dinucleotides that could be differentially methylated. For the sb cyp19a promoter (Figure S2), genomic DNA was obtained in the case of sexually differentiated fish from the gonads of 8–15 fish or the brains of 3–5 fish, depending on temperature and sex. For the β-actin promoter, brains and gonads from 3–5 animals were used depending also on temperature and sex. In the case of sexually undifferentiated fish, a total of 12 animals were used for sb cyp19a promoter methylation analysis. The DNA samples from each animal were individually processed and subjected to sodium bisulphite-mediated sequencing as described by Widschwendter and collaborators (2000) [55]. The targeted portion of the promoter was amplified from the bisulphite-modified DNA with two rounds of PCR by use of nested primers specific to the bisulphite-modified sequence of this region. For the sb cyp19a promoter the primers were as follows: External Forward, ATTTGTTAGTTATAATGGAGAATT; External Reverse, AATCCGACTACAATAAAGATTAAAAAAG; Nested Forward, GAGGAATTTGGGAGGAATTATAAAT; Nested Reverse, CCAAACTCTACACTATAATGCCCCAAC. The primers for the β-actin promoter were as follows: External Forward, AATTCTAATTTTTGTTGTTGAGTAA; External Reverse, CAAAGATCTTACTATAAAATGCTG; Nested Forward, TATATAATTTTTGTTGTTGAGTAAATT; Nested Reverse, CATTCAACACCTTACAACCTAAC. A hot start polymerase (Qiagen) was used in both external and nested PCR.

For the sb cyp19a promoter, external PCR consisted in 5 min at 94°C; 5 cycles of 1 min at 94°C, 2 min at 55°C, 3 min at 72°C; 25 cycles of 30 s at 94°C, 2 min at 50°C, 1 min and 30 s at 72°C and a final extension of 7 min at 72°C. Subsequently, nested PCR consisted in 5 min at 94°C; 30 cycles of 30 s at 94°C, 30 s at 53°C, 30 s at 68°C and a final extension of 7 min at 72°C. PCR products were separated by gel electrophoresis and gel bands were purified by Purelink Quick Gel Extraction (Invitrogen). Gel purified bands were cloned into the pCR4-TOPO vector and transformed into E. coli Topo10 chemically competent cells (Invitrogen) in the case of the sb cyp19a promoter, or into pGEM-T Easy vector (Promega) and transformed into E. coli JM109 competent cells (Invitrogen) in the case of the β-actin promoter. Then 7–10 individual clones per fish were sequenced in both directions and used to evaluate the seven (cyt19a) or 25 (β-actin) CpG dinucleotide positions present in the promoter region. Average methylation levels per position and fish were computed. In summary, in this study a total of 76 different animals were used. DNA methylation levels were determined, always on an individual fish basis (no pools were used), only in the gonads in some fish, whereas in others it was determined both in the gonads and also in the brain, and, as stated above, 7–10 clones per fish were sequenced in both directions. The total amount of sequenced clones in this study was ~1100.

The efficiency of the bisulfite conversion step was evaluated by using the Bisulfite sequencing Data Presentation and Compilation (BDPC) online software (available at: http://biochem.jacobs-university.de/BDPC/index.php) [56]. The conversion rates of C, which are not in the context of a CpG, were determined in different number of clones and in all tested tissues. For the sb cyp19a promoter, the mean percentage of converted Cs was 97.98±0.9% (calculated in a subset of 35 PCR reactions) and for β-actin promoter, the mean percentage was 97.68±0.41% (calculated from a subset of 71 PCR reactions).

Since ten clones per fish were used to determine sb cyp19a promoter methylation, the maximum number of different methylation patterns (or epialleles) per fish that could be observed was 10, i.e., each clone has a different methylation pattern. Thus, for each fish from each one of the four groups the actual observed number of different cyp19a promoter methylation patterns was determined in order to check for possible PCR bias.

**sb cyp19a gene expression measurement by real-time RT–PCR**

Total RNA was isolated from ovaries of 8 females from the HT group and 14 females from the LT group from sexually differentiated one-year-old sea bass, and 16 gonads from the HT and 16 from the LT group in the case of sexually undifferentiated or differentiating animals. Gonad tissue was homogenized with 0.5 ml of trizol and total RNA was extracted with chlorophorm, precipitated with isopropanol and washed with 75% ethanol. Pellets were suspended in 25 µl DEPC-water and stored at ~80°C. One microgram of total RNA was reverse transcribed into cDNA using Superscript II (Invitrogen) and 250 ng of random hexamer primers (pdN6) following the manufacturer’s instructions. Real-time PCR reactions were carried out to determine sb cyp19a gene expression. The endogenous reference gene used was 18S (validated in a previous study by our laboratory [57]). The real time RT–PCR reaction was carried out with the SYBR Green chemistry (Power SYBR Green PCR Master Mix; Applied Biosystems). The primers were: ovaro RT-F1: AGACAGCAGCCCCAGGGATTG and ovaro RT-R1: TGCAATGGAAGTTGATGTCCAGATTG. PCR reactions contained 1X SYBR green master mix (Applied Biosystems), 10 pmol of each...
primer and 1 µl of the RT reaction. Samples were run in duplicate in optically clear 384-well plates. Cycling parameters were: 50°C for 2 min, 95°C for 10 min, followed by 40 cycles of 95°C for 15 s and 60°C for 1 min. Finally, a temperature-determining dissociation step was performed at 95°C for 15 s, 60°C for 15 s and 95°C for 15 s at the end of the amplification phase. Real-time RT-PCR data were collected by SDS 2.3 and RQ Manager 1.2 software and relative quantity (RQ) values were estimated for each reaction replicate. Specifically, the female with the lowest level of aromatase expression (i.e., highest ΔCt) was assigned as the calibration sample to calculate ΔΔCt and RQ values.

**cyp19a promoter activation by luciferase reporter assay**

**Plasmid construction.** Genomic DNA was used to clone the sb cyp19a promoter by PCR. The primers used were: AroALucF, GCAGAGGTAGGAGAAGCAAGTTCA and AroALucR, CATTGGGAGAGCTGAGA. To each 5′-end primer sequence a restriction site for XhoI was added. Promoter sequence was obtained using Easy-A High-fidelity PCR cloning polymerase (Stratagene) and PCR conditions were: 2 min at 95°C; 25 cycles of 30 s at 95°C, 30 s at 68°C, 2 min and 30 s at 72°C and a final extension of 5 min at 72°C. The amplified promoter fragment was gel purified and cloned into pGEM-T (Promega). The sb cyp19a promoter was subsequently digested with XhoI enzyme from pGEM-T vector and cloned into XhoI-digested pGL3 Basic Vector (Promega).

**In vitro methylation of plasmid reporters.** pGL3-cyp19a plasmids were cytosome-methylated using SsdI methylase according to the manufacturer’s instructions (New England BioLabs). SsdI methylation, which methylates all cytosine residues within the double-stranded dinucleotide recognition sequence (5′-CG-3′), was performed with 10 mM Tris, pH 7.9, 50 mM NaCl, 10 mM MgCl2, 1 mM DTT, and 160 µM S-adenosylmethionine at 37°C for 1 h. After the methylation, reaction plasmids were purified by phenol extraction. Successful vector methylation was checked by analyzing band patterns on gel electrophoresis after digestion of the purified plasmids with the MspI enzyme, which digests only methylated DNA, according to the manufacturer’s instructions (New England BioLabs). Fully methylated plasmids were utilized for transient transfection assays.

**Transfection and luciferase reporter gene assay.** Human embryonic kidney 293T (HEK 293T) cells were transfected using the calcium phosphate coprecipitation method [58] with pGL3-cyp19a methylated and unmethylated promoter vectors. Transfection factors SF1 and Fox2 were cotransfected with the sb cyp19a promoter to activate promoter luciferase activity. Transfected methylated and unmethylated groups were as follows: 1) 500 ng of plasmid β-galactosidase (Ctul); 2) 2.5 µg of sb cyp19a promoter cloned into pGL3-basic luciferase reporter plasmid (cyp19a); 3) 2.5 µg of cyp19a and 250 ng of tilapia SF1 transcription factor cloned into pCDNA3.1 expression plasmid (cyp19a+SF1); 4) 2.5 µg of cyp19a and 250 ng of tilapia Fox2 transcription factor cloned into pCDNA3.1 expression plasmid (cyp19a+Fox2); 5) 2.5 µg of cyp19a, 250 ng of tilapia SF1- pCDNA3.1 and 250 ng of tilapia Fox2-pCDNA3.1 (cyp19a+SF1+Fox2). Five hundred nanograms of plasmid β-galactosidase were co-transfected in all cases as an internal control of transfection efficiency. All transfections were carried out at least in duplicate. Cells were incubated for 16 h with precipitated vectors-CaPO4. Forty-eight hours after transfection, cells were washed with PBS and lysed in 400 µl luciferase lysis buffer. Lysate was analyzed for Luc activity using the luciferase assay system (Promega) in an Orion Microplate luminometer (Berthold). β-galactosidase activity was used to normalize the results.

**Statistical analyses**

Data reported as proportions (sex ratios and methylation levels) were always arcsin square root transformed before any statistical analysis. Likewise, all RQ expression data were log-transformed to ensure normality.

A two-way ANOVA was used to investigate differences in sb cyp19a or β-actin promoter DNA methylation levels (dependent variable) considering sex and temperature as the two independent factors. Post hoc multiple comparisons were carried out with Tukey’s multiple range test with the Stagraphics v16 or SPSS v19 software.

One-year-old fish were histologically sexed. Younger, sexually-undifferentiated fish were sexed based on a previous study from our laboratory that demonstrated that mRNA levels of cyp19a can be used as an early marker of phenotypic sex in the European sea bass [41]. In this case, a two-step cluster analysis (SPSS) was used to classify individuals as presumptive females and males based on the gonadal cyp19a mRNA levels (RQ). Afterwards, a two-way ANOVA was used to investigate differences between temperature and phenotypic sex as explained above. In addition, a two-tailed Student’s t-test was used to check for differences in RQ between the following pairs: presumptive males and females at LT; presumptive males and females at HT; presumptive females at LT and HT; and presumptive males at LT and HT. Two-tailed Student’s t-test was also used to analyze differential cyp19a expression levels among one-year-old females of each temperature treatment and also to detect differences between pGL3-cyp19a methylated and unmethylated promoter vectors in the transfection assay.

To check for differences in methylation levels in specific CpG positions of the sb cyp19a promoter, a hierarchical population analysis was carried out. First, sequences were trimmed to seven nucleotides in length, one corresponding to each CpG analyzed, with two possible variants for each nucleotide: C if methylated and T if unmethylated. Then, all sequences from the same individual were considered as one population, with size equivalent to the number of sequences analyzed for each individual [59]. The four classes (i.e., treatments, LT and HT males, and LT and HT females) were considered as groups of populations. The hierarchical analysis of the molecular variance, AMOVA [59], was used to test for possible genetic differentiation among classes. When less than 5% of the 10000 pseudo-replicates represented higher genetic variance than one estimated by chance, then the genetic structure was considered not significant. AMOVA also calculated the correlation measure fixation index of population differentiation (Fst). In all cases differences were considered statistically different when P<0.05.

**Supporting Information**

**Figure S1** Pattern of observed sex ratio responses to temperature in the European sea bass. Resulting number of phenotypic females was considered as one population, with size equivalent to the number of sequences analyzed for each individual [59]. The four classes (i.e., treatments, LT and HT males, and LT and HT females) were considered as groups of populations. The hierarchical analysis of the molecular variance, AMOVA [59], was used to test for possible genetic differentiation among classes. When less than 5% of the 10000 pseudo-replicates represented higher genetic variance than one estimated by chance, then the genetic structure was considered not significant. AMOVA also calculated the correlation measure fixation index of population differentiation (Fst). In all cases differences were considered statistically different when P<0.05.

**Figure S2** Diagram of the sea bass (sb) gonadal aromatase (cyp19a) promoter region analyzed in this study. Genomic DNA was extracted from the gonads of individual fish. Restriction
enzyme digestion (BglII and DraI) outside the region of interest was used to obtain a smaller and linearized fragment of the promoter. After bisulphite treatment, external and nested PCR was carried out to amplify a 598 bp PCR fragment. Inside this region, putative transcription factors binding sites as well as CpG dinucleotide localizations (hurdles) are shown, indicating their nucleotide position with respect to the transcription start site. Transcription and translation starting sites are symbolized with an arrow and an asterisk, respectively. Abbreviations for binding sites: Fox, forkhead transcription factor; Sox, Sry-related transcription factor; Are, androgen response element; SFI, steroidogenic factor-1; Ppar, peroxisome proliferation activated receptor; Cre, cAMP response element; TATA, TATA box.

**Figure S3** Thermal protocols applied in the present study. The experimental groups (carried out in duplicate) were: Low temperature, LT, 15°C from 0–60 dpf, thereafter following the natural fluctuation; and high temperature, HT, 15°C from 0–10 dpf, then at 21°C throughout the thermosensitive period (TSP). The TSP and the sex differentiation period are indicated (with a dashed line and a line between arrows, respectively) in relation to the thermal regimens. The dashling pattern indicates that the effects of temperature are more evident shortly after fertilization and progressively wear out. The major events related to gonad formation and sex differentiation are also indicated.

**Figure S4** Frequency distribution of average cyp19a promoter DNA methylation levels in relation to phenotypic sex in the European sea bass. Sex-specific differences in cyp19a promoter methylation in adult sea bass gonads. The dashed line indicates the methylation threshold (67%) calculated with the 95% confidence interval, which separates typical sea bass female and male cyp19a methylation levels.

**Figure S5** Absolute frequency of the 58 methylation patterns observed (out of the 128 theoretically possible methylation patterns) according to sex and temperature treatment. Yellow circle, females at low temperature (FLT); red square, females at high temperature (FHT); light blue square, males at low temperature (MLT); dark blue triangle, males at high temperature (MHT).

**Figure S6** Number of different methylation patterns observed. A, distributed according to sex and temperature treatments, and B, in relation to levels of cyp19a promoter methylation. Boxed numbers in panel A are the average number of observed methylation patterns in each group. Yellow circle, females at low temperature (FLT); red square, females at high temperature (FHT); light blue square, males at low temperature (MLT); dark blue triangle, males at high temperature (MHT).

**Acknowledgments**

We thank Dr. S. Zamuy [Institute of Aquaculture, Castelló, Spain] and Dr. Antonio Mateos [Base Viva, S.L.] for kindly providing the eggs; E. Martinez and Dr. M. Delgado [Experimental Aquarium Facility, ZAE] for fish rearing assistance; S. Joly for technical support; Drs. Y. Nagahama and D. Wang for kindly providing the expression plasmids containing SF-1 and Fox2 transcription factors; and Drs. M. Blázquez, J. Cerda, L. Sorbera, R. Bhardari, and Y. Nagahama as well as three anonymous referees for helpful comments on the manuscript.

**Author Contributions**

Conceived and designed the experiments: LN-M JV LDG FP. Performed the experiments: LN-M JV LR ND AG FP. Analyzed the data: LN-M JV LR ND AG FP. Contributed reagents/materials/analysis tools: FP LDC. Performed the experiments: LN-M JV LR ND AG. Analyzed the data: LN-M JV LR ND AG FP. Contributed reagents/materials/analysis tools: FP LDC. Wrote the paper: LN-M JV FP.

**References**

1. Valenzuela N, Lance V (2004) Temperature-dependent sex determination in vertebrates. Washington: Smithsonian Books.
2. Pieca C, Dorizzi M (2004) Oestrogens and temperature-dependent sex determination in reptiles: all is in the gonads. Journal of Endocrinology 181: 367–377.
3. Ramsey M, Crews D (2009) Steroid signaling and temperature-dependent sex determination. Reviewing the evidence for early action of estrogen during ovarian determination in turtles. Seminars in Cell & Developmental Biology 20: 283–292.
4. Ospina-Alvarez N, Piferfer F (2008) Temperature-dependent sex determination in fish. Prevalence, existence of a single sex ratio response pattern, and possible effects on climate change. Public Library of Science One 3: e2837.
5. Van Nes S, Anderson O (2006) Temperature effects on sex determination and ontogenetic gene expression of the aromatases cyp19a and cyp19b, and the estrogen receptors erα and erβ2 in Atlantic halibut (Hippoglossus hippoglossus). Molecular Reproduction and Development 73: 1491–1499.
6. Guiguén Y, Fostier A, Piferfer F, Chang CF (2009) Ovarian aromatase and estrogen: A pivotal role for gonadal sex differentiation and sex change in fish. General and Comparative Endocrinology 165: 352–366.
7. D’Cotta H, Fostier A, Guiguén Y, Gourovou M, Bazoller JF (2000) Aromatase plays a key role during normal and temperature-induced sex differentiation of Tilapia Osteochromis latilus. Molecular Reproduction and Development 59: 265–276.
8. Lance VA (2009) Is regulation of aromatase expression in reptiles the key to understanding temperature-dependent sex determination? Journal of Experimental Zoology Part A, Ecological Genetics and Physiology 311: 314–322.
9. Sultan SE (2007) Development in context: the timely emergence of eco-devo. Trends in Ecology & Evolution 22: 575–582.
10. Gorelick R (2003) Evolution of dioecy and sex chromosomes via methylation hypothesis for sex determination in the European sea bass (Dicentrarchus labrax). Journal of Experimental Zoology 281: 207–216.
11. Zhang X, Ho SM (2011) Epigenetics meets endocrinology. Journal of Molecular Endocrinology 46: R11.
12. Martinez-Ariguelles DB, Papadopoulos V (2010) Epigenetic regulation of the expression of genes involved in steroid hormone biosynthesis and action. Steroids 75: 467–476.
23. Koumoundouros G, Pavlidis M, Anezaki L, Kokkari C, Sterioti K, et al. (2002) Temperature sex determination in the European sea bass, *Dicentrarchus labrax* (L., 1758) (Teleostei, Periformes, Moronidae): Critical sensitive ontogenetic phase. *Journal of Experimental Zoology* 292: 573–579.

24. Saulnier E, Foster A, Haffray P, Menu B, Thimonier J, et al. (2002) Temperature effects and genotype-temperature interactions on sex determination in the European sea bass (*Dicentrarchus labrax*). *Journal of Experimental Zoology* 292: 494–505.

25. Mylonas CC, Anezaki L, Divanach P, Zannu S, Piferrer F, et al. (2005) Influence of rearing temperature during the larval and nursery periods on growth and sex differentiation in two Mediterranean strains of *Dicentrarchus labrax*. *Journal of Fish Biology* 67: 652–660.

26. Robin C, Breuil J (1983) Gonadal ontogeny and sex differentiation in the sea bass, *Dicentrarchus labrax*, under fish-farming conditions. *Reproduction Nutrition Development* 23: 115–127.

27. Galay-Burgos M, Graly C, Navarro-Martín L, Piferrer F, Zannu S, et al. (2006) Cloning of the promoter from the gonadal aromatase gene of the European sea bass and identification of single nucleotide polymorphisms. *Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology Part A Molecular & Integrative Physiology* 145: 47–53.

28. Wang D-S, Kohayashi T, Zhou L-Y, Paul-Prasanth B, Ijiri S, et al. (2007) Foxl2 Up-Regulates Aromatase Gene Transcription in a Female-Specific Manner by Binding to the Promoter as Well as Interacting with Ad4 Binding Protein/ Steroidogenic Factor 1. *Molecular Endocrinology* 21: 712–725.

29. Nakamoto M, Wang DS, Suzuki A, Matsuda M, Nagahama Y, et al. (2007) Dax1 suppresses Pit1 aromatase expression in medaka ovarian follicles. *Molecular Reproduction and Development* 74: 1259–1266.

30. Hsieh CL (2000) Dynamics of DNA methylation pattern. *Current Opinion in Genetics & Development* 10: 224–228.

31. Hashida SN, Kitamura K, Mikami T, Kishima Y (2003) Temperature shift by treatment with an androgen or aromatase inhibitor involves different gene expression and has distinct lasting effects on maturation. *General and Comparative Endocrinology* 130: 5–11.

32. Contractor RG, Foran CM, Li SF, Willett KL (2004) Evidence of gender- and tissue-specific promoter methylation and the potential for estrogen-induced changes in Japanese medaka (*Oryzias latipes*) estrogen receptor and aromatase genes. *Journal of Toxicology and Environmental Health Part A* 67: 1–22.

33. Lees-Murdock DJ, Walsh CP (2008) DNA methylation reprogramming in the germ line. *Genetic Imprinting*, pp 1–15.

34. Kucharski R, Maleszka J, Foret S, Maleszka R (2008) Nutritional Control of Reproductive Status in Honeybees via DNA Methylation. *Science* 319: 1827.

35. Nik-Zainal S, Pritchard AL, Hinton J, Maherali H, Raine K, et al. (2010) Sanger- and pyrosequencing technologies on pooled DNA of comparatively mapped BAC clones. *Genomics; doi:10.1016/j.ygeno.2011.10.006.1004.*

36. Mittwoch U (2006) Sex is a threshold dichotomy mimicking a single gene effect. *Trends in Genetics* 22: 96–100.

37. Seki Y, Hayashi K, Itoh K, Mizugaki M, Saitou M, et al. (2005) Extensive and orderly reprogramming of genome-wide chromatin modifications associated with specification and early development of germ cells in mice. *Developmental Biology* 278: 440–454.

38. Piferrer F, Blázquez M (2005) Aromatase distribution and regulation in fish. *Fish Physiology and Biochemistry* 31: 215–226.

39. Walter RB, Sung HM, Intano GW, Walter CA (2001) Characterization of O6-methylguanine-DNA-methyltransferase (O6-MGMT) activity in Xiphophorus fischeri. *Mutation Research* 493: 11–22.

40. Blázquez M, Navarro-Martín L, Piferrer F (2009) Expression profiles of sex differentiation-related genes during ontogenesis in the European sea bass acclimated to two different temperatures. *Journal of Experimental Zoology* 312B: 676–700.

41. Hyldig SMV, Ostrup O, Vejlestad M, Thomsen PD (2011) Changes of DNA Methylation Level and Spatial Arrangement of Primordial Germ Cells in Embryonic Day 15 to Embryonic Day 28 Pig Embryos. *Biology of Reproduction*.

42. Loo-Murdoch DJ, Walsh CP (2008) DNA methylation reprogramming in the germ line. *Genetic Imprinting*, pp 1–15.

43. Blázquez M, Navarro-Martín L, Piferrer F (2009) Expression profiles of sex differentiation-related genes during ontogenesis in the European sea bass acclimated to two different temperatures. *Journal of Experimental Zoology* 312B: 676–700.

44. Hashida SN, Kitamura K, Mikami T, Kishima Y (2003) Temperature shift by treatment with an androgen or aromatase inhibitor involves different gene expression and has distinct lasting effects on maturation. *General and Comparative Endocrinology* 130: 5–11.

45. Piferrer F, Blázquez M (2005) Aromatase distribution and regulation in fish. *Fish Physiology and Biochemistry* 31: 215–226.

46. Walter RB, Sung HM, Intano GW, Walter CA (2001) Characterization of O6-methylguanine-DNA-methyltransferase (O6-MGMT) activity in Xiphophorus fischeri. *Mutation Research* 493: 11–22.

47. Pannetier M, Fabre S, Batista F, Kocer A, Renault L, et al. (2006) FOXL2 activates PI450 aromatase gene transcription: towards a better characterization of the early steps of mammalian ovarian development. *Journal of Molecular Endocrinology* 36: 399–413.

48. Janzen FJ, Krenz JG (2004) Phylogenetics: Which was first, TSD or GSD? In: Valenzuela N, Lance V, eds. Temperature-dependent sex determination in vertebrates. *Washington: Smithsonian Books*. pp 121–130.

49. Fujii G, Nakamura Y, Tsuchamoto D, Ito M, Shiha T, et al. (2006) CpG methylation at the USF-binding site is important for the liver-specific transcription of the chimpun HP-27 gene. *Biochemical Journal* 395: 203–209.

50. Jones B, Chen J (2006) Inhibition of IFN- transcription by site-specific methylation during T helper cell development. *The EMBO journal* 25: 2441–2452.

51. Miranda TB, Jones PA (2007) DNA methylation: the nuts and bolts of repression. *Journal of Cellular Physiology* 213: 384–390.

52. Mittwoch U (2006) Sex is a threshold dichotomy mimicking a single gene effect. *Trends in Genetics* 22: 96–100.

53. Moretti A, Pedina Fernandez-Criado M, Cittolin G, Guidastri R (1999) Manual on Hatchery Production of Seabass and Gilthead Seabream. *Roma: FAO*. 194 p.

54. Kuhl H, Tine M, Beck A, Timmermans B, Kodira C, et al. (2011) Directed sequencing and annotation of three *Dicentrarchus labrax* L. chromosomes by applying Sanger- and pyrosequencing technologies on pooled DNA of comparatively mapped BAC clones. *Genome; doi:10.1016/j.ygeno.2011.10.006.1004.*

55. Widischwender M, Berger J, Herrmann M, Muller HM, Amberger A, et al. (2000) Methylation and silencing of the retinoic acid receptor-ß2 gene in breast cancer. *Journal of the National Cancer Institute* 92: 826–832.

56. Rohde C, Zhang Y, Reinhardt R, Jebsch A (2010) BISMA-Fast and accurate bisulfite sequencing data analysis of individual clones from unique and repetitive sequences. *BMC Bioinformatics* 11: 230.

57. Villas J, Piferrer F (2008) Stage-specific gene expression during fish spermatogenesis as determined by laser-capture microdissection and quantitative-PCR in sea bass (*Dicentrarchus labrax*) gonads. *Biological Reproduction* 79: 738–747.

58. Villas J, Morey L, Raker VA, Buschbeck M, Gutierrez A, et al. (2006) The methyl-CpG binding protein MBD1 is required for PML-RARA function. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 103: 1400–1405.

59. Excoffier L, Slatusek PE, Quattro JM (1992) Analysis of molecular variance inferred from metric distances among DNA haplotypes: Application to human mitochondrial DNA restriction data. *Genetics* 131: 479–491.