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Abstract Nonacog beta pegol [Refixia® (EU)] is an intravenously-administered, glycoPEGylated recombinant factor IX (FIX), with an extended terminal half-life. It is approved in the EU for the treatment and prophylaxis of bleeding in patients aged ≥ 12 years with haemophilia B. The therapeutic efficacy and safety of nonacog beta pegol was demonstrated in the phase 3 Paradigm trials in previously treated adolescents and adults with haemophilia B. In Paradigm 2, nonacog beta pegol showed good haemostatic effects when treating bleeds on-demand, and reduced annualized bleeding rates when used as a once-weekly prophylaxis. It also improved some health-related quality of life measures in adult patients. The longer-term efficacy of nonacog beta pegol was demonstrated in the open-label extension Paradigm 4 trial. In Paradigm 3, nonacog beta pegol effectively maintained intraoperative and postoperative haemostasis. Nonacog beta pegol was well tolerated in phase 3 clinical trials in patients with haemophilia B, with no evidence of FIX inhibitor formation, allergic reactions or thromboembolic complications. In conclusion, nonacog beta pegol is effective and well tolerated in the on-demand, prophylaxis and perioperative settings in adolescent and adults with haemophilia B. Its extended half-life allows for once-weekly prophylaxis. Therefore, nonacog beta pegol is a useful additional treatment option for patients with haemophilia B.

Nonacog beta pegol: clinical considerations in haemophilia B

- Intravenously-administered, glycoPEGylated recombinant FIX with an extended terminal half-life
- Shows good haemostatic effects when treating bleeds on-demand
- Once-weekly prophylaxis significantly reduces annualized bleeding rates, including in patients with target joints
- Maintains intraoperative and postoperative haemostasis
- Well tolerated, with no evidence of FIX inhibitor formation, allergic reactions or thromboembolic complications in phase 3 clinical trials

1 Introduction

Haemophilia B is a rare X-linked recessive bleeding disorder caused by a deficiency of coagulation factor IX (FIX), resulting from mutations in the FIX gene [1]. The severity of bleeding in haemophilia B is correlated with the residual endogenous FIX levels, based on which, the disease severity is classified as mild, moderate or severe (defined as FIX levels of > 0.05–0.40, 0.01–0.05 and < 0.01 IU/ml,
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Haemophilia B can be treated with intravenous FIX
replacement therapy, administered on demand to reduce
bleeding episodes and as prophylaxis to prevent bleeding and
joint destruction, with the aim of preserving normal muscu-
oskeletal function [1]. Currently, prophylaxis is considered to
be the standard care for haemophilia B in developed
countries [5]. In this approach, plasma FIX levels are main-
tained above 0.01 IU/mL (1%), thereby converting the disease
severity phenotype from severe to moderate [1, 3, 5, 6];
maintaining FIX levels > 1% may be useful in some patients
[7]. Prophylaxis is associated with a few challenges, such as
need for venous access, frequent FIX administrations, variable
pharmacokinetics and increased initial cost; however, pro-
phylaxis is considered superior to on-demand therapy as it
prevents bleeding-related complications, particularly haemo-
philic arthropathy, in patients with severe disease [3, 5, 6].

Highly purified FIX concentrates [plasma-derived FIX
(pdFIX) and recombinant FIX (rFIX)] are routinely used for
the treatment of haemophilia B [1, 3]. These preparations
have showed haemostatic efficacy in on-demand, prophylaxis
and perioperative settings and are associated with reduced
thromboembolic complications relative to intermediate pur-
ity FIX concentrates, such as prothrombin complex concen-
trates (PCCs), which are rarely used now [3]. However, as
pdFIX and rFIX have a relatively short plasma half-life (t1/2;
typically 16–19 h), they require frequent administration (2–3
weeks) to maintain protective prophylaxis FIX levels
[3, 5, 6]. Therefore, current development efforts have focused
on extending the t1/2 of rFIX by modifying its physiological
and pharmacokinetic properties with the aim of reducing
treatment burden and thereby potentially improving treat-
ment compliance and clinical outcomes [3, 5, 6]. Approaches
to prolong the t1/2 have included covalent attachment of a
polyethylene glycol (PEG) molecule (i.e. glycoPEGylation)
to the rFIX activation peptide [8] or protein fusion (fusing
human albumin [9] or Ig [10] to rFIX).

Nonacog beta pegol [Refixia® (EU)] is an intravenously-
administered, purified, serum-free, glycoPEGylated rFIX
[11] that has been approved in the EU for the treatment and
prophylaxis of bleeding in patients aged ≥ 12 years with
haemophilia B [12]. This narrative review discusses phar-
macological, therapeutic efficacy and tolerability data re-
levant to the use of nonacog beta pegol in this patient
population.

## 2 Pharmacodynamic Properties of Nonacog Beta Pegol

The rFIX molecule in nonacog beta pegol is produced in
Chinese hamster ovary (CHO) K1 cells by recombinant
DNA technology [11, 12]. No additional human- or animal-
derived materials are used in the cell culture, purification,
conjugation or formulation of nonacog beta pegol [11, 12].
The chemical structure and the specific activity of the rFIX
in nonacog beta pegol is generally similar to that of con-
ventional pdFIX or rFIX [11]. Nonacog beta pegol is
produced using an acceptor site-directed glycoPEGylation
of rFIX; this technique is designed to overcome any loss in
FIX activity and ensure normal regulation of the active
form. In this technique, a 40-kDa PEG moiety is attached
to one (95%, mono-PEGylated) or both (5%, di-PEGy-
lated) N-glycans within the activation peptide of rFIX;
N-glycans are located away from the functional catalytic
domain of the FIX molecule and present only in the cir-
culating zymogen form of FIX. During the coagulation
process, the activation peptide with the attached PEG is
cleaved off, leaving activated rFIX (rFIXa) [11].

In vitro, functional properties of nonacog beta pegol are
not substantially affected by glycoPEGylation [11]. The
PEG moiety did not affect the kinetics of nonacog beta
pegol activation by factor Xla, while it decreased the
activation by factor VIIa-tissue factor complex by ≈ 50%,
primarily because of an increased Km. GlycoPEGylation
did not affect binding of factor VIIIa to activated nonacog
beta pegol or the kinetics of factor X activation by acti-
vated nonacog beta pegol. Similarly, nonacog beta pegol
retained its ability to bind to endothelial cells (i.e. the
ability to bind collagen IV associated with the vascular
endothelium), although higher concentrations were
required relative to unmodified rFIX [11].

The specific clotting activity of nonacog beta pegol was
equivalent to that of unmodified rFIX, with no significant
differences in clot parameters between the two seen in a
thromboelastography analysis of human haemophilia B
whole blood [11]. In vitro, nonacog beta pegol retained 73%
of its specific activity relative to unmodified rFIX in plasma.
In animal models, nonacog beta pegol exhibited increased
in vivo recovery and t1/2, and decreased clearance, relative to
rFIX. Nonacog beta pegol showed haemostatic efficacy in
mice and dog models of haemophilia B [11].
3 Pharmacokinetic Properties of Nonacog Beta Pegol

The pharmacokinetic profile of nonacog beta pegol has been characterised in previously treated adult, adolescent and paediatric patients with haemophilia B enrolled in the Paradigm clinical programme [8, 12–18]. The pharmacokinetics of nonacog beta pegol are best described by a one-compartment model, with first-order elimination, according to a population pharmacokinetic model [16]. In adult patients, nonacog beta pegol is assumed to have dose linearity over a dose range of 25–100 U/kg, based on the area under the FIX activity time curve (AUC) from the time of administration to infinity and FIX plasma activity 30 min after administration [13]. Dose-linear FIX activity was also observed in 10 and 40 IU/kg dosage groups in adolescents and adult patients [16]. Single-dose and steady-state pharmacokinetics of nonacog beta pegol 40 IU/kg in various age groups are summarized in Table 1 [16]. After nonacog beta pegol administration, FIX activity increased to normal levels and then decreased over time following a monoexponential decay [16]. Bodyweight-adjusted clearance of nonacog beta pegol was higher in adolescent patients than in adults. However, no dosage adjustment is required for adolescents (Sect. 6) [12].

The FIX activity (a surrogate endpoint of efficacy) profile of nonacog beta pegol supports its use in the prophylaxis, on-demand and perioperative settings [8, 13, 14, 16]. Once-weekly prophylaxis with a 40 IU/kg dosage maintained high FIX activity 168 h post-dose (trough) in adolescents and adults (Table 1) [8, 16]. Where reported, the estimated mean trough activity with this regimen (0.273 IU/mL) was significantly (p < 0.001) above 0.01 IU/mL [8]. Similarly, following a single 40 IU/kg dosage, the FIX activity 168 h post-dose was ≥ 0.10 IU/mL in children, adolescents and adults; following once weekly administration of this dosage, the mean FIX trough activity at steady state was ≥ 0.17 IU/mL across all age groups [16]. Therefore, when nonacog beta pegol is used, routine monitoring of FIX activity levels for the purpose of dosage adjustment is not required [12]. These data are supported by a post hoc estimation of FIX activity in adults, showing that the time to 3 and 1% FIX activity (i.e. 0.03 and 0.01 IU/mL) was 16.2 and 22.5 days following a dose normalized to 50 U/kg [13]. In patients undergoing a major surgery receiving a single preoperative bolus injection of 80 IU/kg in Paradigm 3 (Sect. 4.2), the median FIX activity increased from 0.27 IU/mL pre-dose to 1.43 IU/mL 30 min post-dose [14] and was 138, 112 and 73% (i.e. 1.38, 1.12 and 0.73 IU/mL) at 8, 24 and 48 h post-dose, respectively [18].

Nonacog beta pegol showed a favourable pharmacokinetic profile relative to pdFIX or rFIX when the estimated means of selected pharmacokinetic parameters (t1/2, incremental recovery, clearance, volume of distribution and AUC) were compared in adults [13]. The t1/2 of nonacog beta pegol was 5.21- and 4.80-fold higher than for pdFIX and rFIX (both p < 0.001). The incremental recovery of nonacog beta pegol was 94% (p < 0.001) relative to rFIX, but it did not differ significantly relative to pdFIX [13]. These results are supported by a population pharmacokinetic model [17] of data from adults [13]. This model predicted that the mean steady-state trough levels with nonacog beta pegol 40 IU/kg once weekly prophylaxis would be approximately 8- and 4-times greater than with the standard prophylaxis regimens (40 IU/kg every 3 days) of pdFIX and rFIX, respectively [17]. The model also predicted reduced dosages, dosing frequency and total FIX consumption for nonacog beta pegol versus pdFIX or rFIX for the treatment of bleeds and perioperative haemostasis [17].

| Table 1 Pharmacokinetics nonacog beta pegol 40 IU/kg in previously treated patients with haemophilia B [16] |
|---------------------------------------------------------------|
| **Parameter** | Children (≤ 12 years<sup>a</sup>) | Adolescents and adults (≥ 13 years) |
| | Single-dose (n = 25) | Single-dose (n = 9) | Steady-state<sup>b</sup> (n = 9) |
| FIX activity at 30 min post dose (IU/mL) | 0.57 | 0.83 | 1.02 |
| Incremental recovery at 30 min post dose (IU/mL per U/kg) | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 |
| AUC from time 0–∞ (IU×h/mL) | 51.1 | 86.9 | 141.3 |
| Volume of distribution at steady state (mL/kg) | 70.2 | 50.6 | 64.0 |
| Accumulation ratio | 1.27 | 1.35 | 1.43 |
| Clearance (mL/h/kg) | 0.7 | 0.4 | 0.4 |
| Mean residence time (h) | 100.3 | 118.3 | 153.4 |
| Terminal half-life (h) | 73.0 | 85.1 | 110.8 |
| FIX activity 168 h post dose (i.e. trough level) (IU/mL) | 0.10 | 0.16 | 0.31 |

Data are geometric mean values, measured based on plasma FIX activity using one-stage clotting assay

* AUC area under the FIX activity time curve, FIX factor IX
* Nonacog beta pegol is approved only in patients aged ≥ 12 years
* Following once-weekly administration

4 Therapeutic Efficacy of Nonacog Beta Pegol

The therapeutic efficacy of nonacog beta pegol in the prophylaxis and on-demand treatment of bleeding episodes in previously treated patients aged 13–70 years with
haemophilia B was evaluated in the pivotal, randomized, single-blind, phase 3 Paradigm 2 trial [8]. The use of nonacog beta pegol in maintaining perioperative haemostasis in this patient population was investigated in the open-label, single-arm, phase 3 Paradigm 3 trial [14]. Patients completing either Paradigm 2 or Paradigm 3 trial were subsequently enrolled in the nonrandomized, open-label extension Paradigm 4 trial [15]. The efficacy and safety of nonacog beta pegol was also assessed in previously treated paediatric patients aged 0–12 years in the phase 3 Paradigm 5 trial [19], which is briefly discussed in this review as nonacog beta pegol is not indicated for children aged < 12 years in the EU (Sect. 6).

The Paradigm 2 [8] and Paradigm 3 [14] trials included males with haemophilia B (FIX activity of bodies), HIV infection with a CD4 lymphocyte count presence of FIX inhibitors (i.e. FIX neutralizing IgG antibodies), HIV infection with a CD4 lymphocyte count of ≤ 200 cells/µL and a history of thromboembolic events [8, 14]. Paradigm 2 also excluded patients receiving immunomodulating or chemotherapeutic drugs and those with a platelet count of < 50,000/µL, an ALT level of > 3 times the upper limit of normal (ULN) or a creatinine level of ≥ 1.5 times the ULN [8].

4.1 Prophylaxis and On-demand Treatment

In Paradigm 2, patients were randomized to prophylaxis with nonacog beta pegol 10 or 40 IU/kg once weekly for 52 weeks; an additional nonrandomized treatment group received on-demand nonacog beta pegol treatment for 28 weeks [8]. Patients could choose between prophylaxis and on-demand treatment. Bleeding episodes in all three treatment groups were treated with a single nonacog beta pegol dose of 40 IU/kg (80 IU/kg if bleeding was severe, i.e. intracranial, iliopsoas, neck or retroperitoneal bleeding). The main efficacy endpoints were the haemostatic effect when treating a bleeding episode, assessed by patient-rated haemostatic response as defined in Table 2, and the prophylactic effect, assessed by annualized bleeding rate (ABRs) during the trial (Table 3) [8]. In the EU, 10 IU/kg is below the recommended dosage of 40 IU/kg (Sect. 6), but is discussed in this review for comparison and completeness.

Paradigm 2 enrolled 74 patients (mean age 31.4 years), with 67 patients completing the trial, including 17 adolescents (13–17 years) and 50 adults (18–65 years) [8]. Of the 74 patients, 19 and 81% had moderate and severe haemophilia B, respectively, and approximately one-half had previously received FIX products on-demand (47%) or as prophylaxis (53%). Among those who had received prophylaxis (n = 39), 54 and 46% of patients had received rFIX and pdFIX, respectively. At baseline, 65% of patients had arthropathy and 54% had target joints [8]. A target joint was defined, according to the European Paediatric Network for Haemophilia Management (PEDNET), as ≥ 3 bleeds in a particular joint within a period of 6 months before trial, regardless of the causality of the bleed (i.e. spontaneous or traumatic) [8, 20].

Paradigm 4 was planned for an additional 50 exposure days to nonacog beta pegol to that received in Paradigm 2 [15]. It enrolled 71 patients, 66 from Paradigm 2 and five from Paradigm 3 (Sect. 4.2). Initially, Paradigm 4 had the same three treatment groups as in Paradigm 2: i.e. two prophylaxis groups (10 or 40 IU/kg once-weekly) and an on-demand group, with bleeds in all groups treated with a single 40 IU/kg (80 IU/kg for severe bleeds) dose. In addition, a third prophylaxis group (80 IU/kg every second week) was included following a late trial protocol amendment, although only two patients received this regimen, as the trial was stopped soon after the amendment. The patient and investigator selected the treatment group at trial entry and the patients were allowed to change treatment groups during the trial based on clinical manifestations and investigator’s recommendations. At trial entry, 20 patients changed their treatment groups from their original group in Paradigm 2 and nine patients changed treatment groups during the trial [15].

Paradigm 5 enrolled 25 paediatric patients who received prophylaxis with nonacog beta pegol 40 IU/kg once weekly for 52 weeks during the trial [19]. Patients experiencing breakthrough bleeds received a single nonacog beta pegol dose of 40 IU/kg for mild to moderate bleeds or 80 IU/kg for severe bleeds [19].

4.1.1 On-demand Treatment

On-demand treatment with nonacog beta pegol 40 IU/kg (80 IU/kg for severe bleeding) was effective in treating bleeding episodes in patients with haemophilia B [8, 15, 19, 20]. Bleeding and haemostatic response data for all patients and individual treatment groups in the Paradigm 2 and 4 trials are summarized in Table 2 [8, 15]. In Paradigm 2, a total of 345 bleeding episodes (202 in the prophylaxis groups and 143 in the on-demand group) were treated with nonacog beta pegol, with an estimated successful haemostatic response rate of 92.2% (95% CI 86.9–95.4) [8]. The success rate was the highest in the 40 IU/kg prophylaxis group, with 99% of bleeding episodes stopped with one injection (Table 2) [8]. There was one severe bleeding episode (in the knee joint) in the 10 IU/kg group, which was treated with nonacog beta pegol 80 IU/kg, with the haemostatic response rated as excellent [8]. In Paradigm 4, a total of 207 bleeding episodes were treated with nonacog beta pegol, with a successful haemostatic response rate of ≈ 95% (Table 2) [15]. No severe bleeding episodes were reported during the trial [15].
According to a post hoc analysis of Paradigm 2, nonacog beta pegol was effective in treating target joint bleeds [20]. At baseline, 13 and 15 patients in the 10 and 40 IU/kg prophylaxis groups had at least one target joint. During the trial, there were 49 and 19 breakthrough target joint bleeds requiring treatment, respectively, with 78 and 100% of these bleeds resolving with one nonacog beta pegol 40 IU/kg injection. The successful haemostatic response rate was 81 and 95% in the 10 and 40 IU/kg groups [20].

In Paradigm 5, 42 breakthrough bleeds occurred in 15 of 25 (60%) patients [19]. In these patients, on-demand treatment was associated with an overall successful haemostatic response rate of 92.9%, with 86% of bleeds resolving with one injection [19].

### 4.1.2 Prophylaxis

In Paradigm 2, nonacog beta pegol 40 IU/kg once weekly prophylaxis effectively reduced ABRs in patients with haemophilia B [8, 20]. In patients not receiving prophylaxis (i.e. on-demand treatment group) the median ABR was 15.58 [8], whereas it was 1.04 and 2.93 in the 40 and 10 IU/kg prophylaxis groups (Table 3) [8]. Although both prophylaxis regimens reduced the estimated mean ABRs, the predefined criteria for the effective prophylaxis in the overall population was met only in the 40 IU/kg group but not in the 10 IU/kg group (Table 3). FIX activity levels were sustained throughout the prophylaxis dosing intervals; the estimated mean spontaneous ABRs were only slightly higher towards the end of the intervals (1.87 during the first 4 days and 2.16 after 4 days of the previous administration) [8]. The prophylactic efficacy was also evident with respect to the proportion of patients who did not have a bleeding requiring treatment: 45 and 17% in the 40 and 10 IU/kg prophylaxis groups (Table 3), compared with 7% in the on-demand group [8].

Consistent with the overall population, in a subgroup of patients who entered the Paradigm 2 trial from a previous prophylaxis regimen, the prophylactic effect of nonacog beta pegol was more pronounced in the 40 IU/kg group (the estimated mean ABR decreased from 7.49 in the 12 months prior the trial to 3.33 during the trial) than in the 10 IU/kg group (5.13 to 4.68) [8]. In patients who entered the trial from previous on-demand treatment, the corresponding changes were 21.2 to 1.3 in the 40 IU/kg group and 17.9 to 4.3 in the 10 IU/kg group [8].

In Paradigm 2, the 40 IU/kg regimen was also more effective than the 10 IU/kg regimen in patients with target joints [8, 20]. Using the PEDNET definition of a target joint, 15 and 13 patients in the 40 and 10 IU/kg prophylaxis

| Treatment | No. of ptsa | Pts with bleeds (%)b | No. of bleeds | Type/Location of bleeds (%) | Bleeds requiring 1, 2, 3, ≥ 4 injections to treat (%) | Successful HSRc (%) |
|-----------|-------------|----------------------|--------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------|
|            |             |                      |              | Spontaneous | Traumatic | Joint |                  |                  |
| **Paradigm 2 [8]** |             |                      |              |              |          |       |                  |                  |
| PRX 10 IU/kg qw | 30          | 83.3                 | 132          | 68.9          | 29.5     | 81.1  | 84.1, 12.1, 1.5, 2.3 | 86.9 |
| PRX 40 IU/kg qw | 29          | 55.2                 | 70           | 48.6          | 51.4     | 78.3  | 98.6, 0, 14.0, 0 | 97.1 |
| On-demand   | 15          | 93.3                 | 143          | 71.3          | 28.7     | 76.4  | 83.9, 14.0, 0, 2.1 | 95.1 |
| **Total**   | 74          | 74.3                 | 345          | 65.8          | 33.6     | 78.5  | 87.0, 10.4, 0.9, 1.7 | 92.4e |
| **Paradigm 4 [15]** |             |                      |              |              |          |       |                  |                  |
| PRX 10 IU/kg qw | 21          | 66.7                 | 35           | 71.4          | 28.6     | 74.3  | 82.9, 14.3, 2.9, 0 | 97.1 |
| PRX 40 IU/kg qw | 52          | 59.6                 | 98           | 36.7          | 57.1     | 73.5  | 84.7, 10.2, 4.1, 1.0 | 94.8 |
| PRX 80 IU/kg q2w | 2           | 50.0                 | 1            | 0             | 100.0    | 100.0 | 100.0, 0, 0, 0 | 100.0 |
| On-demand   | 5           | 100.0                | 73           | 93.2          | 6.8      | 79.5  | 94.5, 5.5, 0, 0 | 93.1 |
| **Total**   | 71          | 69.0                 | 207          | 62.3          | 34.8     | 75.8  | 87.9, 9.2, 2.4, 0.5 | 94.6 |

See text for trial design details

**HSR** haemostatic response, **PRX** prophylaxis, **pts** patients, **qw** once weekly, **q2w** every second week

aNumber of pts randomized/assigned (Paradigm 2) or treated at any time during the trial (Paradigm 4)

bIn Paradigm 4, numbers are based on the treatment group at the time of each bleeding episode

cHSR was rated by the patients as follows: excellent = abrupt pain relief and/or clear improvement in objective signs of bleeding within 8 h after a single injection; good = noticeable pain relief and/or improvement in signs of bleeding within 8 h after a single injection; moderate = probable or slight beneficial effect within the first 8 h after the first injection but requiring more than a single injection within 8 h; poor = either no improvement or worsening of symptoms within 8 h after 2 injections. Successful HSR was defined as an excellent or good HSR while a moderate or poor HSR was considered as failed HSR. Bleeding episodes with missing HSR were not included in the calculation
dRandomized treatment groups

eObserved rate, which is slightly higher than the estimated rate reported in text

---

△ Adis
groups had at least one target joint at trial entry, with each group having 24 target joints among them. Of these patients, 66.7 and 7.7% of patients in the 40 and 10 IU/kg groups did not have a bleeding requiring treatment in their target joints during the trial [8, 20]. Furthermore, in the post hoc analysis, 71 and 29% of target joints at baseline in the respective groups had no bleeding during the trial [20]. The median ABR [interquartile range (IQR)] in target joints during the trial was 0.0 (0.00–2.01) in the 40 IU/kg group and 2.59 (1.85–5.22) the 10 IU/kg group [20]. The corresponding estimated mean ABRs (95% CI) were 1.32 (0.35–5.05) and 4.10 (2.59–6.51), respectively [20]. The prophylactic efficacy of the 40 IU/kg regimen in patients with target joints was further supported by the post hoc analysis [20] when the most recent definition of a target joint from the International Society on Thrombosis and Haemostasis [21] was used.

Paradigm 4 results showed that long-term prophylaxis with nonacog beta pegol remained effective in patients with haemophilia B [15]. Among patients who remained on the same treatment group for ≥ 3 months (n = 66), 125 bleeds were reported in 43 patients. The median ABR in these patients was ≈ 1 (Table 3). In Paradigm 4, ABRs in the 40 IU/kg group were low and similar to that seen in Paradigm 2. However, the difference between the 40 and 10 IU/kg groups in ABR and the number of patients without bleeds was diminished in Paradigm 4 relative to Paradigm 2 (Table 3), which may be attributed to the differences in the trial designs (Sect. 4.1) [15]. Twelve patients had low estimated mean ABRs (1.26) with the nonacog beta pegol 10 IU/kg regimen in Paradigm 2 and continued this regimen in Paradigm 4 during which the ABR slightly increased to 1.97 [15]. Fourteen patients had high estimated mean ABRs (6.89) with the 10 IU/kg regimen in Paradigm 2 and switched to the 40 IU/kg regimen in Paradigm 4; in these patients, ABR decreased to 2.78 during Paradigm 4. In 22 patients who remained on the 40 IU/kg regimen throughout both trials, the estimated mean ABRs were 2.29 and 1.16 during Paradigm 2 and Paradigm 4, respectively [15].

In Paradigm 5, the median ABR was 1.0 (IQR 0.00–2.06) and the median spontaneous ABR was 0.00 in children receiving nonacog beta pegol prophylaxis [12, 19].

### 4.1.3 Health-Related Quality of Life

Nonacog beta pegol 40 IU/kg once-weekly prophylaxis was associated with improvements in HR-QOL in patients with haemophilia B, as assessed by patient-reported outcome questionnaires in Paradigm 2 and 4 [8, 22]. In Paradigm 2, adult patients (≥ 17 years of age) receiving the 40 IU/kg regimen had significant (p ≤ 0.031) improvements from baseline in Haemophilia Quality Of Life (HQoL) [8, 22].
Paradigm 3 enrolled 13 patients with haemophilia B scheduled for a major surgery (nine orthopaedic, three dental and one gastrointestinal procedures), including seven patients transferred from Paradigm 2 or Paradigm 4 and six new patients naive to nonacog beta pegol [14].

At trial entry, naive patients received two 40 IU/kg dosages (separated by 4–8 days) to assess hypersensitivity or anaphylactic reactions and to calculate in vivo recovery; transferred patients who had been receiving 10 IU/kg once weekly or on-demand treatment regimen received a single 40 IU/kg dose to calculate in vivo recovery, while recovery data for patients who had been receiving 40 IU/kg once weekly was obtained from the preceding trial [14]. During the preoperative period, patients coming from a prophylaxis regimen received 40 IU/kg once weekly while those coming from an on-demand regimen received 40 IU/kg every 7–14 days. Preoperative treatment was continued for 2–8 weeks (naive patients) or 2–4 weeks (transferred patients). On the day of surgery (≥ 7 days from the last dose of nonacog beta pegol), all patients received a single bolus injection 80 IU/kg within 4 h prior to the procedure. Postoperatively, fixed doses of 40 IU/kg were administered at the discretion of the investigator to maintain FIX levels of 0.4–0.6, 0.3–0.5 and 0.2–0.4 IU/mL during days 1–3, 4–6 and 7–14, respectively [14].

In Paradigm 3, preoperative prophylaxis followed by a single presurgical nonacog beta pegol dose of 80 IU/kg was effective in maintaining the intraoperative haemostasis in patients undergoing a major surgery [14]. In all 13 cases, this outcome was rated as excellent (10 cases) or good (3 cases) by the investigator/surgeon, according to a predefined response scale. None of the patients required additional doses on the day of surgery. A median of two and three injections were administered during postoperative days 1–6 and 1–13, respectively. The mean total consumption of nonacog beta pegol during and after surgery was 241.2 IU/kg [14].

5 Tolerability of Nonacog Beta Pegol

Nonacog beta pegol was well tolerated in previously treated patients with haemophilia B in the phase 3 Paradigm clinical trials discussed in Sect. 4 [8, 14, 15, 19]. None of the patients withdrew treatment in these trials because of an adverse event [8, 14, 15, 19]. The mean number of exposure days to nonacog beta pegol per patient was 54 in the prophylaxis and 14 in the on-demand groups in Paradigm 2 [8], with additional 54 and 16 days, respectively, in Paradigm 4 [15]. The mean number of exposure days was 63.6 in Paradigm 5 [19].

5.1 General Tolerability Profile

In Paradigm 2, 215 adverse events were reported in 81% of patients; however, the majority of these events were mild (183 events) or moderate (25 events) in severity, with only 7 events rated as severe [8]. The most common adverse events were nasopharyngitis (13.5% of patients; 13 events), influenza (10.8%; 10) and upper respiratory tract infection (10.8%; 10). Four serious adverse events (hip fracture, worsening of skin ulcer, retroperitoneal hematoma and abdominal pain) occurred in four patients (5.4%) and were deemed unlikely to be related to nonacog beta pegol by the investigator [8].

The tolerability profile of nonacog beta pegol in the longer-term Paradigm 4 trial [15] was generally similar to that in Paradigm 2 [8]. In Paradigm 4, four adverse events (injection site rash, two occurrences of overdose in one patient and neutropenia) in three patients were deemed by the investigator as possibly or probably related to nonacog beta pegol. The injection site reaction did not reoccur and the case of neutropenia was mild and resolved by the end of the trial.
The overdose was associated with high FIX activity levels, but the patient recovered without complications [15].

Physical examinations and clinical laboratory tests did not reveal any safety concerns with nonacog beta pegol in Paradigm 2–5 clinical trials [8, 14, 15, 19].

### 5.2 Adverse Events of Special Interest

FIX inhibitors (assessed by a Nijmegen-modified Bethesda assay) were not detected across Paradigm trials 1–5 (primary safety objective in Paradigm 2, 4 and 5 trials) [8, 13–15, 19].

Transient, low-titre, noninhibitory anti-nonacog beta pegol binding antibodies were detected in three patients (two were positive before exposure) in Paradigm 2 [8] and two patients in Paradigm 4 [15] receiving prophylaxis regimes. These antibodies had no effect on drug recovery and bleeding patterns [8]; they did not cross-react to rFIX and did not have any other effect of antibodies [15]. In Paradigm 2, one patient was positive for antibodies against CHO cell proteins before and after exposure to nonacog beta pegol [8]. In Paradigm 4, two patients developed low-titre antibodies against CHO cell proteins [15]: in two additional patients who had these antibodies before nonacog beta pegol treatment, titre levels were either reduced or unaffected by treatment [15].

In the phase 3 Paradigm trials, where reported, there were no thromboembolic events [8, 14, 15, 19] or allergic reactions [8, 19] and deaths [8, 14, 19] related to nonacog beta pegol. One patient in the phase 1 Paradigm 1 trial had a severe hypersensitivity reaction probably related to nonacog beta pegol; this patient was treated for the reaction and fully recovered 7.5 h after the onset of the reaction [13].

### 6 Dosage and Administration of Nonacog Beta Pegol

Nonacog beta pegol is approved in the EU for the treatment and prophylaxis of bleeding in patients aged ≥ 12 years with haemophilia B [12]. Its efficacy and tolerability have not yet been established in previously untreated patients. The recommended dosage for prophylaxis is 40 IU/kg once weekly; the dosage and administration intervals may be adjusted based on FIX levels achieved and bleeding tendency in individual patients. For on-demand treatment, a single 40 IU/kg dosage is recommended for early or more extensive haemarthrosis, muscle bleeding, oral bleeding or haematoma; for severe or life threatening haemorrhages, 80 IU/kg is recommended, but additional 40 IU/kg dosages can be administered. For perioperative haemostasis, the dosage level and administration intervals depend on the procedure and local practice. A single preoperative dosage of 40 IU/kg is recommended for minor surgery including tooth extraction (additional doses can be given if required).

The recommended regimen for major surgery is a single preoperative dosage of 80 IU/kg, two postoperative dosage of 40 IU/kg at 1–3 days intervals and 40 IU/kg once weekly after the first week until bleeding stops and healing is achieved. The dosage recommendations in adolescents (12–18 years) are the same as for adults [12].

Routine monitoring of FIX activity is not required when nonacog beta pegol is used (Sect. 3) [12]. When monitoring is needed, a chromogenic assay (e.g. Rox Factor IX or Biophen) is recommended over the one-stage clotting assay because of the interference of PEG with various activated partial thromboplastin time (aPTT) reagents in the latter [12]. If chromogenic assay is not possible, a one-stage clotting assay with an aPTT reagent qualified for use with nonacog beta pegol (e.g. Cephascreen®) is recommended. A one-stage clotting assay using silica-based reagents is not recommended because nonacog beta pegol FIX activity is overestimated by > 400% with such reagents [12, 23].

Local prescribing information should be consulted for detailed information on the use of monitoring assays/reagents, special warnings and precautions, use in special patient populations, and reconstitution and administration procedures.

### 7 Place of Nonacog Beta Pegol in the Management of Haemophilia B

The World Federation of Hemophilia [1] and the European Association for Haemophilia and Associated Disorders [24] recommend a multidisciplinary comprehensive care for haemophilia, with the primary goal of prevention and treatment bleeding episodes with the deficient clotting factor [1]. Bleeding in patients with haemophilia B is managed by life-long FIX replacement therapy, administered on-demand, as routine prophylaxis or perioperatively, with treatment being individualised to the requirements of each patient [1, 3, 25, 26].

FIX concentrates are the treatment of choice for haemophilia B, and whenever possible, pdFIX and rFIX are preferable over PCCs [1]. However, the use of conventional pdFIX and rFIX is limited by their relatively short half-life and thus typically require twice weekly dosing to maintain prophylactic FIX levels (Sect. 1). Consequently, rFIX products with extended half-life have been developed to reduce dosages and/or dosing frequency without a loss of haemostatic efficacy.

Nonacog beta pegol is a glycoPEGylated, extended half-life rFIX approved in the EU for use in patients aged ≥ 12 years with haemophilia B [12]. It is a highly purified serum-free product, with no additional human- or animal-derived materials (Sect. 2). While the specific activity of nonacog beta pegol is equivalent to that of conventional pdFIX or rFIX (Sect. 2), nonacog beta pegol
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Nonacog beta pegol has fivefold longer $t_{1/2}$ than pdFIX or rFIX and has significantly greater incremental recovery than rFIX (Sect. 3). Once-weekly injections of nonacog beta pegol 40 IU/kg produced high FIX trough activity in patients with haemophilia B, with the estimated mean trough activities being significantly above the generally accepted protective prophylaxis level (0.01 IU/mL) (Sect. 3).

Nonacog beta pegol was effective in on-demand, prophylaxis and perioperative settings in previously treated adolescents and adults with haemophilia B in multinational phase 3 trials (Sect. 4). On-demand treatment with a 40 IU/kg (80 IU/kg for severe bleeding) dosage was associated with a high successful haemostatic response rate, with the majority of bleeds resolving with one injection (Sect. 4.1.1). Prophylaxis with a 40 IU/kg once-weekly regimen met the predefined criteria for effective prophylaxis (a > 60% reduction in ABR relative to historical values), with the efficacy confirmed in the longer-term (Sect. 4.1.2). Nonacog beta pegol was also effective in on-demand treatment and prophylaxis in patients with target joints (Sect. 4.1). The prophylactic regimen was associated with improvements in HR-QOL in adult patients (Sect. 4.1.3). In patients with haemophilia B undergoing a major surgery, perioperative administration of nonacog beta pegol effectively maintained intraoperative and postoperative haemostasis (Sect. 4.2).

Nonacog beta pegol was well tolerated in previously treated adolescents and adults with haemophilia B in clinical trials (Sect. 5). Development of FIX inhibitors is the most serious complication of FIX replacement therapy and is associated with allergic reactions and reduced efficacy [1, 3, 24]. No FIX inhibitors or allergic reactions were reported in nonacog beta pegol recipients in phase 3 trials (Sect. 5.2). PEGylated proteins are considered less immunogenic than unmodified proteins [3], which may have contributed to the absence of FIX inhibitors with nonacog beta pegol. However, nonacog beta pegol trials included patients previously treated with FIX who did not develop FIX inhibitors (Sect. 4), while the risk of FIX inhibitor formation is increased in previously untreated patients with haemophilia B [3]. The safety and efficacy of nonacog beta pegol in previously untreated children is being investigated in the ongoing Paradigm 6 trial (NCT02141074). In addition to FIX inhibitors, there is a potential risk of thromboembolic complications with FIX therapy and the risk is higher with low purity preparations [12]. No thromboembolic events were reported in nonacog beta pegol recipients in clinical trials, although patients with a history of thromboembolic events were excluded in these trials.

Nonacog beta pegol is the first rFIX preparation to use glycoPEGylation half-life extension technology. The metabolism and excretion of PEG is driven largely by the absolute PEG load and its molecular weight [27]. PEGs (> 30 kDa) are not efficiently filtered, resulting in potential accumulation in the lysosome [27]. However, in rats, radiolabelled nonacog beta pegol was eliminated via the kidneys and liver, with PEG-related radioactivity detected in both urine and faeces, indicating that these clearance pathways may contribute to the disposition kinetics of PEG moiety [28]. Although PEGylated protein therapies are generally well tolerated in humans [29], considering the life-long nature of FIX therapy, the safety of chronic PEG exposure needs ongoing surveillance in patients receiving nonacog beta pegol [3, 13].

In addition to nonacog beta pegol, two other rFIX products with an extended half-life (albutrepenonacog alfa [30] and eftrenonacog alfa [31]) have been approved in the EU and elsewhere for use in haemophilia B. While nonacog beta pegol is indicated only for patients aged ≥ 12 years, the other two products are indicated for all age groups. A potential advantage with nonacog beta pegol is that monitoring of FIX activity is not required post administration (Sect. 3); FIX activity monitoring is advised during the course of treatment with albutrepenonacog alfa and eftrenonacog alfa to guide the dose and dosing frequency. Comparative efficacy, safety and pharmacoeconomics data for these products are required to define their relative positioning in the management of haemophilia B.

In conclusion, nonacog beta pegol is effective and well tolerated in the on-demand, prophylaxis and perioperative settings in adolescents and adults with haemophilia B. The prolonged $t_{1/2}$ of nonacog beta pegol allows for once-weekly prophylaxis, which reduces the treatment burden relative to pdFIX and rFIX products with standard half-lives. Nonacog beta pegol is therefore a useful additional treatment option for patients with haemophilia B.

Data Selection Nonacog Beta Pegol: 86 records identified

| Duplicates removed | 21 |
|---------------------|----|
| Excluded at initial screening (e.g. press releases; news reports; not relevant drug/indication) | 8 |
| Excluded during initial selection (e.g. preclinical study; reviews; case reports; not randomized trial) | 6 |
| Excluded during writing (e.g. reviews; duplicate data; small patient number; nonrandomized/phase I/II trials) | 20 |
| Cited efficacy/tolerability articles | 9 |
| Cited articles not efficacy/tolerability | 22 |

Search Strategy: EMBASE, MEDLINE and PubMed from 1946 to present. Clinical trial registries/databases and websites were also searched for relevant data. Key words were R Nonacog beta pegol, Refixia, N9-GP, haemophilia B, hemophilia B, factor IX, FIX, deficient, deficiency. Records were limited to those in English language. Searches last updated 27 October 2017
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