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Abstract

The dreissenids, quagga mussel *Dreissena bugensis* and zebra mussel *D. polymorpha*, are invasive freshwater mussels in Europe and North America. These species strongly impact aquatic ecosystems, such as the food web through their high abundance and filtration rate. They spread quickly within and between waterbodies, and have the ability to colonize various substrates and depths. The zebra mussel invaded and established in Swiss lakes in the 1960s, whereas the quagga mussel was not detected until 2014. We collected all available data from cantonal as well as local authorities and other institutions to describe the colonization pattern of quagga mussels in perialpine lakes north of the Alps. Quagga mussels colonized the lakeshore within a few years (~ 2016 –2018), outcompeted zebra mussels, and have reached densities > 5000 ind. m⁻² in the littoral zone, even at 80 m densities above 1000 ind. m⁻² were found at some locations. At the end of the article, we discussed possibilities on how the spread of quagga mussels within and among northern perialpine lakes should be monitored and prevented in the future.
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Introduction

The quagga mussel, *Dreissena bugensis* (also described as *Dreissena rostriformis bugensis*, Andrusov, 1897; Wesselingh et al. 2019), and the zebra mussel *D. polymorpha* (Pallas, 1771) are amongst the most widespread and successful invasive species around the world (Lowe et al. 2000; Nalepa and Schloesser 2014). Originating in the Ponto-Caspian region, dreissenid mussels have colonized lakes and rivers across Europe and North America. In North America, both *Dreissena* species invaded near simultaneously in the late 1980s (Karatayev et al. 2015). In Europe, the zebra mussel invaded in the early 18th century (Bij de Vaate et al. 2002) and can now be found from Finland to Spain (Van der Velde et al. 2010). In contrast, the quagga mussel has only recently begun spreading in Western Europe with the first records from the mid-2000s (Bij de Vaate et al. 2014).

Dreissenids are considered ecosystem engineers because of their strong impacts on benthic habitats and resource availability for benthic and pelagic species (Crooks 2002; Karatayev et al. 2002; Gergs 2009). Dreissenid mussel beds change the substrate structure, which provide more colonization area for gastropods, amphipods and many other benthic taxa, however they have negative impacts on native bivalves through exploitative competition or biofouling (Mörtl and Rothhaupt 2003; Strayer and Malcom 2007, 2018). Their high filtration rate decreases the abundance of phytoplankton (Vanderploeg et al. 2010; Higgins et al. 2011), resulting in cascading effects on nutrient concentrations, water clarity, food competition with zooplankton, and increased macrophyte abundance (Zhu et al. 2006; Kissman et al. 2010; Higgins et al. 2011; Rowe et al. 2017). Dreissenids have positive effects on benthivorous fish in the littoral; however, they have negative effects on planktivorous fish (Higgins and Vander Zanden 2010). Colonies of dreissenids hinder some fishes, for example lake trout, with spawning. Dreissenids in shallow area are predated heavily by waterbirds which contributes to an increase in numbers of overwintering waterbirds and nutrient flows from waterbirds’ feeding areas to resting areas (Werner et al. 2005). Furthermore, dreissenid mussels are also a source of major economic impact due to biofouling of water pipes and other infrastructure (Marsden and Lansky 2000; Connelly et al. 2007), damage to recreational and commercial boats, and overall negative effects on fisheries (Oreska and Aldridge 2011; Rudstam et al. 2016).

Quagga and zebra mussels share similar habitat requirements and dispersal ability. However, quagga mussels are typically found in waters where the zebra mussel has previously established. Both species produce planktonic larvae (veligers) that spread passively with prevailing currents (Churchill and Quigley 2018; Olson et al. 2018). Veligers may also be accidentally transported in wet conditions inside and outside boat hulls facilitating upstream and overland dispersal. Not only larvae disperse, as
adult mussels can move short distances with their foot and attach to hard surfaces, including boat hulls with the byssal threads (Peyer et al. 2009). Similar to veliger transportation in ballast water, human mediated transport of adult mussels allows upstream and long-distance dispersal (Johnson et al. 2001; Leuven et al. 2009; De Ventura et al. 2016).

The differences in the environmental niche of quagga and zebra mussels suggest that quagga mussels may have larger ecosystem effects than those observed for zebra mussels (Karatayev et al. 2015). Zebra mussels tend to be restricted to shallower (less than 30 m) parts of lakes whereas quagga mussels can colonize much deeper. In North America, quagga mussels have been found at depths down to around 200m (Mills et al. 1993; Nalepa et al. 2014). Quagga mussels can also persist and reproduce at lower water temperatures (Roe and MacIsaac 1997), grow faster, and have a higher assimilation rate (Baldwin et al. 2002; Stoeckmann 2003). These factors combined allow quagga mussels to reproduce earlier and for a longer period of time throughout the year, which adds to the higher competitiveness of quagga mussels compared to zebra mussels (Ram et al. 2012; Marescaux et al. 2015; Hetherington et al. 2019). In some lakes, quagga mussels outcompeted zebra mussels due to various reasons not yet fully understood (Rudstam and Gandino 2020; Karatayev et al. 2021b). Further, a decrease in phytoplankton biomass and change in phytoplankton composition during spring were observed after quagga mussels replaced zebra mussels in Lake Michigan (Fahnenstiel et al. 2010).

A study reported that quagga mussels expanded from shallow to deep regions of a lake (Karatayev et al. 2021b). Thus, we expected perialpine lakes to be colonized first in the shallow, close to where they were first observed. Density and distribution of adult dreissenid mussels within a lake or riverbed may be extremely heterogenous (e.g. Patterson et al. 2005; Burlakova et al. 2006; Nalepa et al. 2010; Karatayev et al. 2014), as a result of multiple environmental factors like heterogenous substrates, hydrodynamics and oxygen concentration (Karatayev et al. 1998, 2018). The patchiness was found to be even higher recently after colonization and decreasing over time (Burlakova et al. 2006). Thus, we expected the distribution to be patchier in deeper areas. For perialpine lakes the patchiness or distribution is still unknown and so is the relation between patchiness and mussel abundance.

Veligers of the quagga mussel are present the whole year round in the water column in Lake Constance (IGKB Limnologischer Zustand des Bodensees 2018), which is in contrast to zebra mussel veligers that are only found in summer (Adrian et al. 2006). Although veligers of both mussel species cannot be differentiated by morphological traits, the sudden presence of veligers in colder months is indicative for quagga mussel’s presence. Alternative molecular methods, e.g. environmental DNA, could be used to identify the species.
The invasion status and distribution of quagga mussels in Swiss lakes is not well understood. While there is a Swiss national monitoring program for water quality in surface waters (FOEN 1998, including plankton monitoring) there is no such program for the monitoring and management of invasive dreissenids. In general, cantons (Swiss districts) are responsible to monitor for and act against invasive species. Some local authorities have thus included monitoring for specific aquatic invasive species in their existing water quality monitoring programs, and the existing programs differ in frequency and the extent of monitoring. Moreover, most data have not previously been compiled into a single dataset and are not publicly available. Benthic monitoring is restricted to macrozoobenthos programs in shallow (1–5 meters), littoral regions of some lakes, without any systematic monitoring in deeper parts.

Here we present an overview of the current distribution of quagga mussels in northern perialpine lakes from Switzerland and Lake Constance. In order to better understand the status of the quagga mussel invasion and deduce its future invasion potential in Switzerland, we collated data from Swiss cantonal authorities and private companies to map the presence-absence of quagga mussels in Swiss rivers and lakes. We illustrated the speed of spread of quagga mussels from one or more sources to many important waterbodies in Switzerland. Since quagga mussels are found at greater depth than zebra mussels in North American lakes, we also examined the extend and speed of quagga mussel colonization, both vertically and horizontally, within Lake Constance in more detail. For this lake we expect to find more mussels in shallower areas and in the western part of the lake than in deeper areas and in the eastern part.

Since no monitoring program for quagga mussel has been developed in Switzerland yet, we investigated two patterns, which may be considered for the development of an adequate monitoring program:

a) The different seasonal distribution of quagga and zebra mussel veliger larvae in lakes, may offer the opportunity to detect the start of a quagga mussel invasion through changes of veliger abundances in regular plankton samples. We thus examined recent changes in the phenology and distribution of *Dreissena* veligers in lakes to investigate if veliger distribution is a reliable way to identify the presence of quagga mussels.

b) The patchiness of adult quagga mussels may have serious implications for early detection and monitoring of mussel abundances. We thus evaluated the patchiness and its relation to mussels’ abundance in a case study in Lake Constance, expecting to find higher abundances with lower patchy mussel distribution.

With this article, we contribute to the general knowledge of the invasive potential of quagga mussels and provide a background for future monitoring strategies in perialpine lakes.
Table 1. Methods of monitoring *Dreissena* in benthic region.

| Lake          | Period               | Frequency | Sites | Area/m² | Depth                  | Sampling method                  | Source                          | Notes                           |
|---------------|----------------------|-----------|-------|---------|------------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Lake Constance| 2004–2018            | biannual  | 8–12  | 0.25    | littoral               | kicknet                          | HYDRA, annual LUBW,             | semi quantitative sampling      |
|               | 2010–2019            | biannual  | > 50  | 0.0225  | littoral               | manual search                    | IGKB reports, HYDRA, LUBW,       | qualitative sampling            |
|               | 2019                 | once      | 11    | 0.0225  | littoral and depth down to 80 m | Ekman grab sampler               | IGKB reports this study         | sampling qualitative sampling    |
| Lake Geneva   | 2009–2018            | annual    | 2     | 0.3125–0.45 | 0–20 m          | manual search                    | Kanton Vaud                     |                                 |
| Lake Neuchâtel| 2016–2017            | annual    | 1     | 0.3125  | 0.5–13 m              | manual search                    | Kanton Vaud                     |                                 |

**Materials and methods**

*Dreissena* distribution in perialpine lakes north of the Alps

We collected data about adult and larval dreissenids from cantonal authorities, environmental consulting companies and research institutes. The different regions of Switzerland survey and monitor quagga mussels in a variety of ways, usually as part of a broader river or lake monitoring program. Typical monitoring strategies fall into three main categories: littoral zone monitoring, plankton sampling and public reporting / citizen science.

*Dreissena* abundances in the littoral zone are regularly monitored in Lake Constance, Lake Geneva and Lake Neuchâtel by environmental consulting firms and local authorities in the framework of long-term water quality and macrozoobenthos monitoring programs (Table 1). Samples are typically taken at ~1 m depth using a combination of kicknet and quadrat sampling supplemented by manual searching. Lake Neuchâtel is sampled at a single site, and Lake Geneva at two sites. While the monitoring program at Lake Constance includes more than 50 stations around the lake (Supplementary material Table S1, [http://www.neozoen-bodensee.de](http://www.neozoen-bodensee.de)). This was possible because the International Commission of Lake Constance Water Conservation initiated a systematic monitoring of invasive invertebrates in the littoral zone around the lake in 2009 (Landesanstalt für Umweltschutz Baden-Württemberg 2005). Littoral samples are stored in ethanol, to facilitate species identification and counting in the laboratory. Littoral zone monitoring programs were initiated e.g. for Lake Geneva around 2010 and for Lake Neuchâtel in 2016, before the arrival of the quagga mussel. In other lakes dreissenid mussels are only monitored by manual searching and visual inspection of sites to confirm their presence in the littoral zone. Table 1 gives details about current monitoring efforts. This data allowed us to summarize presence – absence of quagga mussels as well as year of first discovery for each lake.

Local authorities sample regularly the zoo- and phytoplankton of lakes. In some of these samples also veliger larvae are counted. Although veliger larvae of quagga mussels cannot be discriminated from zebra mussel larvae,
these larval samples can still help to identify quagga mussel invasions (see below).

The final source of collated information about the presence of quagga mussels in Swiss waterbodies comes from public reporting. This includes reports by fishermen about quagga mussels attached to their fishing nets, from researchers finding mussels attached to anchors after survey work, and from recreational and professional divers. With all this information, we produce a quagga mussel distribution map across Switzerland.

**Veliger abundance and phenology in perialpine lakes north of the Alps**

Time series of plankton samples from seven Swiss lakes were analysed to detect changes in abundance and presence of veligers. The resolution and length of each time series differs but are usually biweekly to monthly and began around 2010. Lake Zurich, where quagga mussels have not been found yet, has an exceptional time series dating back to 1982. Since 2018 samples from Lake Zurich are screened for zebra and quagga mussel veligers with a q-PCR taqman assay (target sequence: cytochrome-c-oxidase, subunit I, Table S2) modified by the Water Supply Zurich. Plankton samples in Swiss lakes are collected using nets of various mesh sizes between 41 and 212 µm, again varying by cantons. Historically, these plankton samples have been taken as integrated samples over the entire water column, but more recently, depth specific samples have been collected in some lakes. Usually, samples are preserved in Formalin or Lugol’s solution and counted (ind.m⁻³) under a (stereo) microscope. In addition to cantonal efforts, water providers have recently begun sampling plankton from their raw water inlet pipes specifically to detect the presence of veligers. Table 2 provides an overview of current veliger monitoring programs in Switzerland. For the seven lakes for which data about veliger larvae densities through time exists, we analysed the data using a generalized linear mixed model with year and lake interaction and site as random effect. We plotted for each year the number of months veliger larvae were observed, with the goal to investigate if veliger larvae appear earlier in the year in lakes where quagga mussels have been found (further details about the lake specific sampling is in the Supplementary material Appendix 1).

**Lake Constance sampling – semi-quantitative littoral Dreissena sampling**

The semi-quantitative sampling of Lake Constance was conducted by HYDRA AG (Germany) at 12 fixed sampling locations throughout the lake in the autumn of 2016–2019 as well as in the Rhine (after the outflow of Lower Lake Constance, Table S1). The total number of dreissenid mussels was determined for each site as well as the proportion quagga and zebra mussels (further details about the sampling is in the Appendix 1).
### Table 2. Methods of monitoring *Dreissena* veligers in plankton samples.

| Lake               | Period      | Frequency | Site                | Depth (m) | Mesh size (µm) | Source                  | Notes                      |
|--------------------|-------------|-----------|---------------------|-----------|----------------|-------------------------|----------------------------|
| Lake Constance     | 2010–2019   | biweekly  | deepest (251m)      | 0–100     | 55             | LUBW, IGKB              | integrated sample          |
|                    | 2019        | biweekly  | deepest (251m)      | 0–200     | 55             | LUBW, IGKB              | depth profile              |
|                    | 2014–2019   | weekly or biweekly | Sipplingen   | 60        | 41             | Bodensee Wasserversorgung |                            |
| Lake Geneva        | 2006–2019   | biweekly  | deepest (309m)      | 0–50      | 64 or 212      | OLA                     |                            |
|                    | 2019–2020   | monthly   | St. Sulpice         | 50        | 41             | Service de l’eau, Lausanne |                            |
|                    |             | monthly   | Lutry               | 60        | 41             | Service de l’eau, Lausanne |                            |
| Lake Neuchâtel     | 2001–2019   | monthly   | deepest (153m)      | 0–140     | 95             | Kanton Neuchâtel        |                            |
| Lake Biel          | 1999–2019   | monthly   | deepest (74m)       | 0–70      | 95             | Kanton Bern             |                            |
| Lake Thun          | 1999–2019   | monthly   | deepest (217m)      | 0–100     | 95             | Kanton Bern             | no evidence of quagga mussel |
| Lake Murten        | 2001–2019   | monthly   | Obersee Lachen      | 0–40      | 95             | Kanton Fribourg         |                            |
| Lake Zurich        | 1982–2019   | biweekly  | Lake Zurich, Thalwil | 0–30      | 45             | Water Supply Zurich     | no evidence of quagga mussel |
|                    | 1982–2019   | biweekly  | Lake Zurich, Thalwil | 0–30      | 45             | Water Supply Zurich     | no evidence of quagga mussel |
|                    | 1998–2020   | monthly   | Seewasserk Moos     | 30        | 95–100         | Water Supply Zurich     |                            |
|                    | 1988–2020   | monthly   | Seewasserk Lengg    | 30        | 95–100         | Water Supply Zurich     |                            |

*LUBW, State Institute for Environment Baden-Württemberg (LUBW, Landesanstalt für Umwelt Baden-Württemberg); *IGKB, International Commission for the Protection of Lake Constance (Internationale Gewässerschutzkommission für den Bodensee, IGKB); *OLA Laacs Observatory (OLA), Lake Geneva.

**Lake Constance – depth *Dreissena* sampling**

Sites close to the ones from the semi-quantitative littoral monitoring were also used to assess the distribution pattern in Lake Constance and its three different lake basins (Table S1). Samples were collected in August 2019 on 11 sites: 3 in Lower Lake Constance, 3 in Lake Überlingen and 5 in Upper Lake Constance (Table S1 and Figure S1). At each site we surveyed two transects approximately 500 m apart. The transects included three replicate Ekman grab samples (grab area 0.0225 m², Hydro-Bios, Germany) at 30 m, 60 m, and 80 m depth. In Lower Lake Constance, where it is much shallower, samples were taken at 10 m, and at the maximum depth at each site (20 or 45 m). We sieved the samples through a 2 mm sieve (Retsch, Germany) and live *Dreissena* were retained and preserved on site in 70% ethanol. Samples were later morphologically identified to species level (*D. polymorpha* or *D. bugensis*) and counted by HYDRA AG. We calculated site-specific mussel densities (per m²) as the average number of mussels per species in each grab sample across both transects.

Quagga mussel density was analysed in detail at 7 stations in order to understand the vertical and horizontal colonization of Lake Constance. We excluded from analysis the Lower Lake Constance and the station “Wallhausen”, because Lower Lake Constance is shallower compared to the rest of Lake Constance. In Wallhausen lake depth increases very fast.
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Figure 1. Current confirmed distribution of quagga mussels in Swiss lakes (filled points) and lakes where no quagga mussels could be found (empty squares). Years adjacent to symbols indicate the year of first discovery. Lakes without symbols have not been surveyed (Map: Swiss Federal Office of Topography). Numbers indicate lakes and sampling locations: 1: Rhine, Basel; 2: Rhine, Rheinau; 3: Lower Lake Constance, Stein am Rhein; 4: Lake Überlingen, Wallhausen; 5: Upper Lake Constance, Langenargen; 6: Lake Geneva; 7: Lake Neuchâtel; 8: Lake Biel; 9: Lake Murten; 10: Lake Hongrin; 11: Lake Thun; 12: Lake Hallwil; 13: Lake Zurich, Limmat; 14: Lake Greifen; 15: Lake Luzern.

from 5 m to 90 m along a cliff that cannot be sampled with a grabber. Mussel counts were analysed using zero inflated generalized linear models and a negative binomial distribution with depth as explanatory variable and site as random effect.

We also analysed quagga mussel patchiness, which is expected to be decreased with time since colonization. Therefore, we expected to find more variation in mussel densities in deep than shallow. Patchiness was calculated as the coefficient of variation (CV = standard deviation/mean), which is a measurement of variation of the quagga mussel abundance across three replicates per site and depth. We used a generalized linear model with a gaussian distribution to analyse the effect of depth on CV, with site as a random effect. Lake basin was dropped from the final model as no effect was observed. Differences associated with depth were confirmed using a Tukey Post Hoc test. We analysed if CV has an effect on mussel counts in a generalized linear model with a negative binomial distribution to account for overdispersion with site as random factor.

All statistical analyses were done using R (v3.5.2) (R Core Team 2018).

**Results**

Dreissena *distribution in perialpine lakes North of the Alps*

Figure 1 shows the current distribution of quagga mussels in Swiss lakes. First evidence of quagga mussels in Swiss waterways came from eDNA in
the Rhine in Basel in 2014, although adults were not observed (De Ventura et al. 2017). In the following years quagga adults were found in Lake Geneva (2015), Lake Constance (2016), Lake Neuchâtel (2017), and more recently in Lake Hongrin (2019), Lake Biel (2019) and Lake Murten (2021). Quagga mussels were not found in Lake Greifen, Lake Thun, Lake Lucern, Lake Baldegg or Lake Zurich (status 03.2020). Many Swiss lakes are yet to be surveyed for quagga mussels.

Changes in veliger abundance and phenology

Compared to the period prior to the discovery of quagga mussels in Swiss lakes commencing in 2015, the number of months during which veligers can be found in plankton samples has increased in some lakes (glm, year × lake interaction $F_{1,6} = 282.943, p < 0.0001$, Figures 2, 3). This is particularly evident in Lake Biel, Lake Constance, Lake Neuchâtel and Lake Geneva where veligers are now present for most of the year (Figure 2). In lakes where quagga mussels have not been found yet (e.g. Lake Zurich), this increase has not been observed (Figure 2). Furthermore, samples collected from the inlet pipes of water providers also show a recent increase in the abundance of veligers in lakes where quagga mussels are known to be present (Figure S2).

Lake Constance-semi-quantitative littoral Dreissena sampling

Figure 4 shows the change in distribution of quagga mussels in Lake Constance since 2016. After the first observation of quagga mussels in Lake Constance on 4 May 2016 by Patrick Steinmann in the western part of the lake ($47°45'0.173"N; 9°7'51.982"E$ Lake Überlingen, Figure 4) quagga mussels spread very rapidly. By autumn 2016 they were found in Langenargen and at the eastern edge of the lake. During 2017 the quagga mussel colonized the entire lake including the Lower Lake Constance (Figure 4). While colonizing the lake, the quagga mussel almost entirely displaced the zebra mussel (Figure 5) in less than three years in most parts of the littoral zone.

Lake Constance-depth Dreissena sampling

Quagga mussels also colonized deeper parts of Lake Constance (Figure 6). Mussel densities often exceeded 5000 ind. m$^{-2}$ in samples in 30 m depth ($0–37°867$ ind. m$^{-2}$) but were less abundant at deeper sites ($0–8001$ ind. m$^{-2}$, $\chi^2(2) = 107.06, p < 0.005$). One exception to this pattern was Wallhausen in Lake Überlingen (Figure 6h) which is an exceptional site due to a steep drop off which creates a cliff from 5 m to 90 m. Quagga mussel density did not differ between Upper Lake Constance (Figure 6a–e) and Lake Überlingen (Figure 6f–h, $\chi^2(1) = 0.09$, non-significant). In Lower Lake Constance (Figure 6i–k) we did not find high mussel densities deeper than 10 m.

The distribution of mussels is patchier at 60 m than at 30 m ($b = 0.64, t_7 = 2.47, p \leq 0.05$), but patchiness remains similar at 80 m and 30 m ($b = 0.44,$
Figure 2. The seasonal distribution of *Dreissena* veligers in plankton samples in Swiss lakes. The dashed red line indicates the first record of adult quagga mussels for each lake. Note the different Y-axis scales; especially in Lake Thun veliger densities are very low.

The coefficient of variation was higher at 60 m than at 30 m (Figure 6l) but did not differ between sites ($\chi^2(6) = 8.01$, non-significant). In addition, the patchiness increased with lower mussel abundance (Figure S3, $b = -3.67, z = -5.88, p < 0.005$).

**Discussion**

*Current status and speed of spread*

The quagga mussel has invaded lakes and rivers in Europe and North America in the past 50 years. In Western Europe quagga mussels made their way up the Rhine as far as Karlsruhe by around 2006 (Heiler et al. 2013), but yet were not discovered in Lake Constance until early 2016.
At around the same time quagga mussels were first found in Lake Geneva (2015) and soon after in other Swiss lakes (Figure 1). Given that, these lakes are often not connected via downstream water flow we must assume that human factors have been the main cause of this fast spread. One of the most likely factors is overland dispersal via recreational boat transport. De Ventura et al. (2016) interviewed boat owners in Switzerland and could distinguish main boat transport routes in Switzerland. Recently colonized lakes were identified as lakes with frequent boat transports. Comparable studies have been done in invaded lakes in the United States (Johnson et al. 2001), where the dynamics of quagga mussels colonization are similar (Mills et al. 1993; Karatayev et al. 2015). If private boats are the principal route of quagga mussels introductions, quagga mussels will spread if no measures, such as obligatory boat cleaning and information campaigns for boat owners, are taken. Although our data also shows that quagga mussels are not yet present in all Swiss lakes (Figure 1), the present pattern is very worrying and we must assume that quagga mussels will colonize more Swiss lakes in the near future especially those identified as hub lakes in De Ventura et al. (2016).

Only Lake Constance has been studied in sufficient detail to reconstruct the invasion pattern and spread of the quagga mussel within the lake. It took several years for quagga mussels to colonize Lake Constance after the first finding in the Rhine in Karlsruhe 2006 (Martens et al. 2009) and in Basel 2014 (De Ventura et al. 2017). Our analysis of the 2016–2019 dataset shows that within one year quagga mussels had spread from east to west, almost 50 kilometres (Figures 4, 5). Even more astonishing was the quick replacement of zebra mussels by quagga mussels in the littoral zone of Lake Constance. The replacement of zebra mussels by quagga mussels has also
Figure 4. Distribution of quagga mussels (presence or absence) in Lake Constance since 2015 until 2019. In 2016 the station of first detection (Lake Überlingen, Wallhausen) is marked in red.
been observed in several North American lakes. Most of these lakes are larger and have other lake characteristics, replacement times are difficult to compare, it ranged from 4 to 13 years for quagga mussels to replace zebra mussels (Karatayev et al. 2015, 2021b). It is assumed that quagga outcompetes
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Figure 6. Quagga mussel densities (on log scale) over depth at 11 sites around Lake Constance. Quagga mussels were more abundant at 30m than at deeper depths in Upper Lake Constance (panels a–e) and in Lake Überlingen (f–h). In Lower Lake Constance quagga mussel was rarely found deeper than 10 m (i–k). Colours get darker as depth increases. Variability between samples (coefficient of variation) increased with increasing depth (l).

Quagga mussels because they have a longer reproductive season, can live on softer substrate than the zebra mussel and are more efficient suspension feeders (reviewed in Karatayev et al. 2015).

Prior to this study, the macrozoobenthos of any Swiss lakes have not been examined systematically any deeper than the littoral zone. Our data of Lake Constance, shows that quagga mussels have colonized the lake to at least the deepest sampling points (> 80 m depth). However, anecdotal data (anchoring ropes, sediment sample campaigns) show that quagga mussels can be found up to the maximum depth at 251 m. This is again consistent with the pattern in North America where quagga mussels can be found in
lakes at all depths at high densities. A prime example is Lake Michigan which is 100 times larger than Lake Constance, where quagga mussels dominate the sediments of the whole lake at all depth (Nalepa et al. 2020) and have changed the ecosystem significantly (mussels density reach 2,700 ind.m$^{-2}$ in depth > 90 m) (e.g. Rowe et al. 2017). Baldwin et al. (2002) suggested that quagga mussel might grow better than zebra mussels in lakes where food levels are naturally low, which would support our observations in Lake Constance considered as an oligotrophic lake. Furthermore, a recent study of Karatayev et al. (2021b) analysing all published studies on quagga mussel invasions in the Great Lakes suggests that colonization speed and ecosystem-effects as observed in North America could be expected in Swiss lakes as well. Therefore, we expect that the increasing quagga mussel population in Lake Constance further will impact the ecosystem. However, future work (including size structures and growth rates to follow detailed population fluctuations) is required to validate these assumptions with more detailed quagga mussel distribution data from other Swiss lakes.

**Monitoring quagga mussels within and among lakes**

Monitoring of adult quagga mussel populations is a complex problem, and multiple approaches have been employed, each of which faces some limitation. Kick nets and snorkelling sample only a very small portion of the lake perimeter, the littoral zone (0–5 m), and grab samples in deeper water can easily miss colonies of mussels due to their patchy distribution. Our results imply that sites with lower mussel abundance the distribution is patchier, which would be true in a very recent invasion. Reliable estimates of mussel presence and density at those sites require that the sampling effort be increased. While dredges/sledges are effective sampling devices even at sites with a patchy mussel distribution, they are however particularly destructive of benthic habitats. Video analysis is an attractive non-destructive alternative which can handle the heterogenous mussel distribution but cannot detect mussels covered in soft sediment and is limited in turbid water (Karatayev et al. 2018, 2021a; Wick et al. 2020). Further, if physical samples are also required (e.g. for morphological or genetic studies), traditional sampling techniques are still needed in addition to video. Therefore, a combination of video and grab samples as recently shown for Lake Erie (Karatayev et al. 2021a) seems to be a suitable method to monitor the spread of quagga mussels in deep lakes like Lake Constance and Lake Geneva. Although this method is useful to follow the spread and speed of an invasion within a lake, it is not suitable to detect the first occurrence of quagga mussels in a lake.

A promising alternative to surveying and sampling adults is to target the dispersing larvae (veligers) in the water column. The advantage of veliger sampling is that a very large volume can be examined using a plankton
trawl, which can even be combined with regular plankton sampling. For the comparison of distribution and patterns among lakes, it is less important that sampling methods (e.g. plankton net size) are identical across lakes, as long as methods stay the same within a lake over time. Manual identification and counting of veligers requires resources and expertise, moreover zebra and quagga mussel larvae still cannot be distinguished by morphological traits. With molecular detection using PCR or qPCR the identification is possible however (e.g. Frischer et al. 2002, Water Supply Zurich, Table S2). *Dreissena* veligers have previously been detected using specific primers in concentrated plankton samples, Frischer et al. (2002) could detect a single zebra mussel veliger in 67 m³ of water. Moreover, De Ventura et al. (2017) and Blackman et al. (2020b) detected quagga mussels, veligers as well as adults, in water samples using eDNA. Species specific primers for quagga mussel have been developed and are used in flowing waters, where they outperform standard techniques (Blackman et al. 2020a, b). Indeed, the Water Supply Zurich has its routine using molecular tools for this purpose (Table S2) with negative results up to now in Lake Zurich. A criticism of molecular detection methods for *Dreissena* veligers is that there is little correlation between the number of veligers and the size of the adult population (Strayer et al. 2019). For early or rapid detection purposes we do not consider this to be problematic. Regardless of the size of the breeding population, the presence of veligers confirms that adults are established and reproducing.

In addition, when molecular methods are not available, veliger larvae sampling can still give information about the presence of quagga mussels in a lake as our study shows. The distribution of veliger larvae in plankton samples through the year (Figure 2 and Figure S2) gives information about the possible presence of quagga mussels. The presence of veliger larvae in late autumn, winter, or early spring is a strong indication for the colonization of a lake by quagga mussels and was significantly correlated (Figure 3).

**Outlook: The need for a coordinated approach**

This study clearly shows that quagga mussels have the potential to become established at high densities in perialpine lakes throughout north of the Alps. Given the potential effects on ecosystems and their services, a coherent monitoring and management strategy is urgently needed to better describe and control its distribution and spread with the aim to prevent colonization of lakes as long as possible. While monitoring of plankton can in some cases be used to track quagga mussel invasion (via the veligers) as described here, in other lakes this monitoring needs to be initiated and will require additional resources and potentially training. However, it is clear that when larvae are observed during colder months, quagga mussels have invaded a lake with significant densities.
At the scale of Switzerland, the present ad hoc nature of lake monitoring does not allow a full understanding of the dynamics of quagga mussel invasions or for the preparation of mitigation strategies to protect ecosystems and infrastructures. Another challenge is the fact that Switzerland shares large lakes with its neighbours (e.g. Lake Constance with Austria and Germany, Lake Geneva with France and Lake Maggiore with Italy). A coordinated national and international program monitoring quagga mussels would help to provide the needed information and make international management possible. In Lake Constance this international quagga mussel research started some years ago within the project SeeWandel (www.seewandel.org).

A promising avenue that could reduce the amount of resources and/or training required to detect quagga mussel veligers would be to analyse plankton samples directly with molecular methods for the presence of quagga mussels. We have seen (Figure 2) that quagga mussel veligers are present in the water column during most months of the year, whereas veligers of zebra mussel are present only in the warmer months. The presence of only quagga mussel veligers in colder months could be leveraged by annual sampling of lakes in winter. If veligers are found, it would be a strong indicator of the presence of an established breeding population of adults.

However, while monitoring veliger larvae is a good method to see if quagga mussels have invaded a lake, a detailed monitoring strategy is also needed for larger and deeper lakes. Until now, we do not know if the quagga mussel will spread in perialpine lakes at the same speed and with the same intensity as in the Great Lakes. We propose a combination of video and grab sampling, as Karatayev et al. (2021a) did in Lake Erie, to monitor the spread of quagga mussels within lakes such as Lake Constance and Lake Geneva to make better predictions of the ecosystem consequences. Furthermore, the ecosystems’ own biotic resistance provided by diverse communities and the abiotic heterogeneity will lighten the impact of quagga mussel invasions on ecosystem stability (Carlsson et al. 2011; Ricciardi et al. 2013).

To limit the spread of quagga mussels, it is required to identify dispersal pathways and take action to reduce the likelihood of introduction. Such actions include informing the public about risks of mussel invasion, mandatory cleaning of watercraft and control measures. It would be most successful if such measurements were coordinated across cantons and countries. Preventions of invasions will be most helpful from both ecological and economic perspectives. Therefore, we think an important research topic is the strengthening of native ecosystems against invasive species. Diversification of habitats and strengthening of local species and populations might help to slow down or prevent the success of invasive species. Further research as well as coordinated monitoring strategies will
be necessary to determine if management interventions can be effective for limiting the spread of quagga mussels and other invasive species in perialpine lakes.
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Figure S2. The seasonal abundance of veliger larvae in inlet pipes of drinking water plants at Lake Constance (Sipplingen), Lake Geneva (St Suplice and Lutry) and Lake Zurich (Moos and Lengg).

Figure S1. The map shows the locations of the depth sampling in Lake Constance from Table S1. Letters correspond to the sites in Figure 6 and Table S1 a) Altenrhein b) Bregenz c) Langenargen d) Fischbach e) Uttwil f) Konstanz Egg g)Sipplingen h) Wallhausen i) Reichenau j) Radolfzell k) Berlingen.

Figure S3. Relationship between quagga mussel abundance and the coefficient of variation. The blue line represents the linear model with 95% confidence interval in grey.
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