Safety and effectiveness of low-dose aspirin for the prevention of gastrointestinal cancer in adults without atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease: a population-based cohort study
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ABSTRACT

Objective To assess the association between low-dose aspirin and the incidence of colorectal cancer (CRC), gastric cancer (GC), oesophageal cancer (EC) and gastrointestinal bleeding (GIB) in adults without established atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease.

Design Cohort study with propensity score matching of new-users of aspirin to non-users.

Setting Clinical Data Analysis and Reporting System database, Hong Kong.

Participants Adults ≥40 years with a prescription start date of either low-dose aspirin (75–300 mg/daily) or paracetamol (non-aspirin users) between 1 January 2004 to 31 December 2008 without a history of atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease.

Main outcome measures The primary outcome was the first diagnosis of gastrointestinal cancer (either CRC, GC or EC) and the secondary outcome was GIB. Individuals were followed from index date of prescription until the earliest occurrence of an outcome of interest, an incident diagnosis of any type of cancer besides the outcome, death or until 31 December 2017. A competing risk survival analysis was used to estimate HRs and 95% CIs with death as the competing risk.

Results After matching, 49 679 aspirin and non-aspirin users were included. The median (IQR) follow-up was 10.0 (6.4) years. HRs for low-dose aspirin compared with non-aspirin users were 0.83 for CRC (95% CI, 0.76 to 0.91), 0.77 for GC (95% CI, 0.65 to 0.92) and 0.88 for EC (95% CI, 0.67 to 1.16). Patients prescribed low-dose aspirin had an increased risk of GIB (HR 1.15, 95% CI, 1.11 to 1.20), except for patients prescribed proton pump inhibitors or histamine H2-receptor antagonists (HR 1.03, 95% CI, 0.96 to 1.10).

Conclusion In this cohort study of Chinese adults, patients prescribed low-dose aspirin had reduced risks of CRC and GC and an increased risk of GIB. Among the subgroup of patients prescribed gastroprotective agents at baseline, however, the association with GIB was attenuated.

INTRODUCTION

Colorectal cancer (CRC) is the second most common cause of cancer death with approximately 1.8 million new cases and 826 000 deaths worldwide in 2018.1 The incidence of CRC is estimated to rise to 2.2 million people by 2030, with 1.1 million CRC associated deaths.2 Apart from CRC, gastric cancer (GC) and oesophageal cancer (EC) also pose a public health threat worldwide, with approximately 1 million and 600 000 new cases in 2018, respectively.3

Given the significant burden of gastrointestinal (GI) cancers, pharmacological intervention may play an important role in reducing their risk. The use of low-dose aspirin to prevent GI cancers is controversial with different studies showing inconsistent results.4–7 Evidence from randomised clinical trials (RCTs) is the ‘gold standard’ for assessing the efficacy of treatments. Although no trial has specifically assessed low-dose aspirin for the prevention of GI cancers, a patient-level meta-analysis of aspirin trials suggests an association with a reduced risk.
of CRC after long-term follow-up. In addition to trial evidence, pooling of observational studies also demonstrate an association with a reduced risk of GI cancers. Given the accumulating evidence of benefit for low-dose aspirin, the US Preventative Services Task Force currently recommends initiation of low-dose aspirin for the primary prevention of atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease (ASCVD) and CRC, only for patients aged between 50 and 69 years with ≥10% 10-year risk of ASCVD who are not at an increased risk of bleeding.

The risk–benefit ratio for low-dose aspirin, however, may differ by ethnicity. A recent study showed that the protective effects of aspirin on CRC varied among ethnicities with the strongest association of benefit observed among Caucasians. Furthermore, low-dose aspirin modestly increases the risk of gastrointestinal bleeding (GIB), which might outweigh the GI cancer prevention benefits. The risk of GIB is especially a concern among the Chinese population as they are suspected to have a higher risk of bleeding. Considering the possible variation in the effects of low-dose aspirin on GI cancer, as well as in the risk of GIB, further studies conducted in Asian populations are warranted.

This study aimed to investigate the association of low-dose aspirin with the risk of CRC, GC, EC and GIB among adults ≥40 years without pre-existing ASCVD in Hong Kong.

METHODS

Data source

We used the Clinical Data Analysis and Reporting System (CDARS), which contains electronic health records for patients receiving care from the Hospital Authority (HA), a statutory body that manages all public hospitals and their clinics in Hong Kong. All Hong Kong residents have access to public healthcare services and around 80% of hospitalisations in Hong Kong are in HA hospitals. CDARS stores clinical records from 1993 and has been used to conduct pharmacoepidemiological studies, with high accuracy in coding the study outcomes in previous validation studies (positive predictive value: GIB bleed, 100%; GI cancer, 100%).

Study design and patient selection

This was a population-wide retrospective cohort study. Patients ≥40 years who were either prescribed low-dose aspirin (75–300mg/daily) or paracetamol by a doctor within the HA, and with a prescription start date between 1 January 2004 and 31 December 2008 were identified in CDARS. The date of the first low-dose aspirin or paracetamol prescription was considered the index date. Since CDARS captures both prescribing and dispensing with the HA system, the prescription start date matched the dispensing date for 99% of the prescription records in our data set. To include new users of low-dose aspirin, patients with a prescription of aspirin 1 year prior to the index date were excluded. Patients diagnosed with any type of cancer, those who underwent a colectomy or gastrectomy or diagnosed with ASCVD defined as ischaemic heart disease, cerebrovascular disease or peripheral artery disease before the index date were excluded. Nitrates and digoxin were used as proxies to indicate a history of ASCVD, hence, any patient with a nitrate or digoxin prescription in the year prior to the index date were also excluded (online supplemental tables 1 and 2).

Patients who received paracetamol (non-aspirin users) were identified as the reference group for risk comparison. Paracetamol was used to identify patients who have had contact with the healthcare system during the same calendar time period as the low-dose aspirin patients. Importantly, paracetamol is not indicated for any associated comorbidities and has no known association with any type of cancer. An intention-to-treat approach was adopted, where patients allocated to the low-dose aspirin group on the index date will remain in the low-dose aspirin group, and similarly for the non-aspirin group.

Outcomes

The primary outcomes of this study were the development of either CRC, GC or EC. The follow-up period started from the date of first prescription of either low-dose aspirin or paracetamol (ie, index date) and was censored at the incident diagnosis of any cancer, death or end of study period (31 December 2017). Patients diagnosed with CRC, GC and EC were identified using International classification of diseases ninth revision codes (online supplemental table 1). The secondary outcome was GIB that led to a hospital visit (diagnosis code for an inpatient, outpatient or accident and emergency room visit). The follow-up period started from the index date and was censored at diagnosis of the outcome, death or end of study period.

Study variables

Potential confounders included patient demographics (age and sex), comorbidities (diabetes mellitus, hyperlipidaemia, hypertension, obesity, alcohol-related disorders, congestive heart failure, arrhythmia and conduction disorders, arterial disease, valve disorders, cardiomyopathy, chronic kidney disease, hepatic failure, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD), thyroid disorders, schizophrenia, depression, bipolar disorder, peptic ulcer, GI reflux, irritable bowel syndrome, inflammatory bowel syndrome and bleeds that led to hospitalisation within 1 year prior to index date) and concomitant medication use 1 year prior to index date (non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs, antiplatelets, anticoagulants, oral hypoglycaemic agents, insulin, diuretics, antihypertensive agents, antiarrhythmics, calcium channel blockers, beta-blockers, angiotensin II receptor blocker, angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitor, peripheral vasodilators, lipid-lowering drugs, oral bisphosphonates, oral corticosteroids, proton pump inhibitors (PPI)/histamine-2 receptor blockers (H2-blockers), antidepressants and antipsychotics).
Although evidence indicates a potential chemoprotective role of estrogens on the risk of certain cancers (either as oral contraceptive or menopausal hormone) was not included as a study variable due to the small number of patients with oestrogen therapy (23% (0.47%) and 244% (0.49%) in low-dose aspirin and paracetamol users, respectively).

**Statistical analysis**

Baseline characteristics of low-dose aspirin users and non-aspirin users were presented as frequencies (percentages) for categorical variables and as mean (±SD) for continuous variables. To reduce confounding arising from baseline differences between low-dose aspirin and non-aspirin users, propensity score (PS) matching was performed. Aforementioned confounders were included in estimating the PS value. Patients using low-dose aspirin and paracetamol were matched at a 1:1 ratio using a nearest neighbour algorithm with a caliper of 0.01. Standardised mean difference (SMD) <0.1 between treatment groups was considered acceptable.

The ratio of incidence per 1000 person-years of CRC, GC and EC among low-dose aspirin users and non-aspirin users was reported. The association of CRC, GC and EC with the use of low-dose aspirin was estimated using competing risk Cox regression with death as the competing risk, and HR with 95% CI was reported. The association of GIB with the use of low-dose aspirin was estimated using a Cox regression and HR with 95% CI was reported. The number needed to treat (NNT) and number needed to harm (NNH) was estimated using the equation; 1/absolute risk reduction and 1/absolute risk increase, respectively.

Subgroup analysis was performed to investigate the risk of GI cancer and GIB in low-dose aspirin and non-aspirin users with different age groups (40–49 years old, 50–59 years old, 60–69 years old, 70–79 years old and ≥80 years old). Since the use of gastroprotective agents (PPI/H2-blockers) could reduce the risk of GIB in patients on antithrombotic agents, we assessed the association of GI cancer and GIB with the use of low-dose aspirin in patients on gastroprotective agents. As people with diabetes are at higher risk of developing cancer, we also evaluated the association of low-dose aspirin with GI cancer and GIB among this population. Lastly, the association between low-dose aspirin and GIB has been shown to be different depending on the location of the GIB. Therefore, we stratified the GIB outcome to upper GIB (UGIB) and lower GIB (LGIB).

Sensitivity analyses were conducted by excluding patients with cancer diagnosis during the first year of follow-up since the cancer could have developed before the start of follow-up. Patients with an ASCVD diagnosis during the first year of follow-up were removed to ensure all patients included have no pre-existing ASCVD. Non-aspirin users with a low-dose aspirin prescription during follow-up were censored at the first aspirin prescription. Lastly, the effectiveness of low-dose aspirin for GI cancer prevention was evaluated in patients taking low-dose aspirin for secondary ASCVD prevention; patients taking low-dose aspirin for primary and secondary ASCVD were included.

R 3.6.2 (R Foundation for Statistical Computing) was used for all statistical analyses. The analyses were conducted by JJP and cross-checked independently by JZ for quality assurance.

### Patient and public involvement

There was no patient and public involvement.

**RESULTS**

Baseline characteristics

We identified 324 568 aspirin and 420 000 non-aspirin users between 1 January 2004 and 31 December 2008. Following exclusion criteria, 428 159 patients were eligible for the PS matching (figure 1). A total of 99 358 individuals (49 679 low-dose aspirin users and 49 679 matched non-aspirin users) were successfully matched (online supplemental figure 1). After matching, all baseline
In the propensity score-matched sample, 1954 of 99,358 participants developed CRC (876 low-dose aspirin users (1.7%) and 1078 non-aspirin users (2.2%)), 515 GC (222 (0.4%) and 293 (0.6%)) and 206 EC (96 (0.2%) and 110 (0.2%), respectively; table 2). The results for the unmatched cohort are presented in online supplemental table 3. The number of patients who died due to CRC, GC and EC were 247 (28.2%), 99 (44.6%) and 51 (53.1%) in low-dose aspirin users, respectively, and 360 (33.4%), 151 (51.5%) and 55 (50.0%) in non-aspirin users, respectively. NNT is 250 and 500 for CRC and GC, respectively, and the NNH is 125 for GIB.

The results from the competing risk survival analysis showed that low-dose aspirin use was significantly associated with a lower risk of CRC and GC compared with non-aspirin users (CRC: HR, 0.83 (95% CI, 0.76 to 0.91); GC: HR, 0.77 (95% CI, 0.65 to 0.92)), but not with EC (HR, 0.88 (95% CI, 0.67 to 1.16); table 2). The association with lower risk was statistically significant for women (CRC: HR, 0.79 (95% CI, 0.68 to 0.90); GC: HR, 0.73 (95% CI, 0.54 to 0.98)) and men (CRC: HR, 0.86 (95% CI, 0.76 to 0.96); GC: HR, 0.79 (95% CI, 0.64 to 0.98)). The use of low-dose aspirin was significantly associated with a lower risk of CRC in patients aged between 70 and 79 years old (HR, 0.82 (95% CI, 0.71 to 0.94)) and among patients with diabetes (HR, 0.75 (95% CI, 0.57 to 0.94)), with a lower risk of GC among patients 80 years and older (HR, 0.60 (95% CI, 0.43 to 0.84); table 2).

There was no significant association between low-dose aspirin and EC in any of the subgroup analysis (table 2). The test for the interaction effect of low-dose aspirin and gastroprotective agents was not significant when assessing the association between low-dose aspirin and GI cancer, with and without gastroprotective agents (p value for interaction, >0.5).

Risk of GI bleeding
In the propensity score-matched sample, 10,629 of 99,358 participants had a GIB event (5,498 low-dose aspirin users (11.1%) and 5,131 non-aspirin users (10.3%); table 3). Among patients with a GIB diagnosis the number of patients who died due to a GIB was 88 (1.6%) in low-dose aspirin users and 83 (1.6%) in non-aspirin users. Compared with non-aspirin users, low-dose aspirin was significantly associated with an increased risk of GIB (HR, 1.15 (95% CI, 1.11 to 1.20)). The association with higher risk was statistically significant for women (HR, 1.16 (95% CI, 1.10 to 1.23)) and men (HR, 1.15 (95% CI, 1.09 to 1.21)), in addition to patients aged 60–69 (HR, 1.13 (95% CI, 1.03 to 1.23)), 70–79 (HR, 1.44 (95% CI, 1.35 to 1.53)) and 80 years and older (HR, 1.18 (95% CI, 1.11 to 1.27).

Low-dose aspirin was not significantly associated with an increased risk of GIB in patients aged 40–49 (HR, 0.94 (95% CI, 0.77 to 1.15)) and 50–59 (HR, 1.05 (95% CI, 0.93 to 1.19)) as well as in patients with diabetes (HR, 1.07 (95% CI, 0.97 to 1.18)) and those taking gastroprotective agents (HR, 1.03 (95% CI, 0.96 to 1.10); table 3). The test for subgroup difference indicated significant difference between the association with and without gastroprotective agents (p value for interaction <0.001) (online supplemental table 4).

Low-dose aspirin was significantly associated with an increased risk of UGIB in those taking gastroprotective agents (HR, 1.70 (95% CI, 1.35 to 2.14)), however, low-dose aspirin was not associated with an increased risk of UGIB in those taking gastroprotective agents (HR, 0.98 (95% CI, 0.91 to 1.05)).

Sensitivity analysis
After removing patients with a cancer diagnosis during the first year of follow-up, the association remained similar for CRC (HR, 0.88 (95% CI, 0.80 to 0.96)), GC (HR, 0.76 (95% CI, 0.65 to 0.93)) and EC (HR, 1.13 (95% CI, 0.83 to 1.55); figure 2). The association with lower risk also remained after removing patients with a diagnosis of ASCVD during the first year of follow-up for CRC (HR, 0.90 (95% CI, 0.82 to 0.99)), GC (HR, 0.78 (95% CI, 0.66 to 0.94)) and EC (HR, 0.70 (95% CI, 0.53 to 0.94)). Lastly, the lower risk remained when censoring non-aspirin users at the first aspirin prescription during follow-up in CRC (HR, 0.88 (95% CI, 0.80 to 0.96)), and GC (HR, 0.80 (95% CI, 0.67 to 0.96)) but not EC (HR, 0.93 (95% CI, 0.71 to 1.23)). After combining all patients taking low-dose aspirin for either primary or secondary prevention of ASCVD, they had a lower risk of CRC (HR, 0.89 (95% CI, 0.83 to 0.96)), GC (HR, 0.78 (95% CI, 0.69 to 0.89)), as well as EC (HR, 0.73 (95% CI, 0.60 to 0.90)) compared with non-aspirin users.

DISCUSSION
In Chinese adults without pre-existing ASCVD, our results suggest that the use of low-dose aspirin was associated with a lower risk of CRC and GC, but not EC, as compared with non-aspirin users during a median follow-up of 10 years. However, low-dose aspirin was associated with an increased risk of GIB. Nevertheless, a subgroup analysis showed that the use of low-dose aspirin was not associated with an increased risk of GIB among patients younger than 60 years old and those taking PPIs or H2-blockers.

Our findings are consistent with a meta-analysis of patient follow-up (maximum duration 20 years) from five RCTs which showed that aspirin was associated with a
Table 1  Baseline characteristics of low-dose aspirin and paracetamol users*

| Characteristics                        | Before propensity score matching | After propensity score matching |
|----------------------------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------------------|
|                                        | Low-dose aspirin (n=60 869)     | Paracetamol (n=367 290)       | Standardised difference†  |
|                                        | Paracetamol (n=49 679)         | Paracetamol (n=49 679)       | Standardised difference†  |
| Age, mean (SD), years                  | 69.1 (12.5)                    | 57.6 (12.8)                  | 0.912                   |
|                                        | 29 010 (47.7)                  | 211 841 (57.7)               | 0.202                   |
| Female                                 | 29 010 (47.7)                  | 211 841 (57.7)               | 24 031 (48.4)           |
|                                        | 29 010 (47.7)                  | 211 841 (57.7)               | 23 991 (48.3)           |
| Aspirin dose                           |                                 |                               |                         |
| ≤100 mg                                | 52 125 (85.6)                  | –                             | 42 756 (86.1)           |
| 101 mg – 200 mg                        | 7396 (12.2)                    | –                             | 5909 (11.9)             |
| 200 mg – 300 mg                        | 1348 (2.2)                     | –                             | 1014 (2.0)              |
| Medical conditions                     |                                 |                               |                         |
| Hypertension                           | 12 679 (20.8)                  | 18 469 (5.0)                  | 0.485                   |
| Congestive heart failure               | 3676 (6.0)                     | 1568 (0.4)                    | 0.321                   |
| Arrhythmia and conduction disorders    | 8397 (13.8)                    | 3563 (1.0)                    | 0.506                   |
| Arterial disease                       | 601 (1.0)                      | 578 (0.2)                     | 0.110                   |
| Valve disorders                        | 436 (0.7)                      | 579 (0.2)                     | 0.085                   |
| Cardiomyopathy                         | 329 (0.5)                      | 149 (0.0)                     | 0.093                   |
| Diabetes mellitus                      | 9079 (14.9)                    | 12 148 (3.3)                  | 0.412                   |
| Hyperlipidaemia                        | 2130 (3.5)                     | 2662 (0.7)                    | 0.194                   |
| Thyroid disorders                      | 1189 (2.0)                     | 4644 (1.3)                    | 0.055                   |
| Major bleeding                         | 408 (0.7)                      | 1269 (0.3)                    | 0.046                   |
| COPD                                   | 2668 (4.7)                     | 6214 (1.7)                    | 0.172                   |
| Obesity                                | 214 (0.4)                      | 358 (0.1)                     | 0.054                   |
| CKD                                    | 1359 (2.2)                     | 1343 (0.4)                    | 0.165                   |
| Chronic liver disease                  | 544 (0.9)                      | 1953 (0.5)                    | 0.043                   |
| GERD                                   | 150 (0.2)                      | 410 (0.1)                     | 0.032                   |
| Irritable bowel syndrome               | 45 (0.1)                       | 293 (0.1)                     | 0.002                   |
| Peptic ulcer                           | 244 (0.4)                      | 952 (0.3)                     | 0.025                   |
| Inflammatory bowel disease             | 11 (0.0)                       | 106 (0.0)                     | 0.007                   |
| Alcoholism                             | 1166 (1.9)                     | 3005 (0.8)                    | 0.095                   |
| Schizophrenia                          | 1125 (1.8)                     | 5699 (1.6)                    | 0.023                   |
| Bipolar disorder                       | 95 (0.2)                       | 706 (0.2)                     | 0.009                   |
| Depression                             | 1158 (1.9)                     | 6291 (1.7)                    | 0.014                   |
| Medications                            |                                 |                               |                         |
| Diuretics                              | 14 350 (23.6)                  | 28 961 (7.9)                  | 0.441                   |
| ACE inhibitor or ARB                   | 16 819 (27.6)                  | 20 267 (5.5)                  | 0.623                   |
| Other antihypertensives                | 8785 (14.4)                    | 18 471 (5.0)                  | 0.321                   |
| CCB                                    | 22 514 (37.0)                  | 45 062 (12.3)                 | 0.599                   |
| Antiarrhythmic                         | 1562 (2.6)                     | 1335 (0.4)                    | 0.184                   |
| Peripheral vasodilators                | 21 756 (35.7)                  | 42 667 (11.6)                 | 0.592                   |
| Oral hypoglycaemic                     | 741 (1.2)                      | 598 (0.2)                     | 0.128                   |
| Insulin                                | 14 789 (24.3)                  | 25 443 (6.9)                  | 0.493                   |
| Lipid lowering drugs                   | 10 680 (17.5)                  | 10 362 (2.8)                  | 0.502                   |
| PPI or H2-blockers                     | 21 143 (34.7)                  | 39 028 (10.6)                 | 0.601                   |
| NSAID                                  | 8324 (13.7)                    | 62 026 (16.9)                 | 0.089                   |
| Oral bisphosphonates                   | 245 (0.4)                      | 455 (0.1)                     | 0.054                   |
| Oral corticosteroids                   | 7561 (12.4)                    | 30 915 (8.4)                  | 0.131                   |

Continued
reduced risk of colorectal cancer (HR, 0.76; 95% CI, 0.60 to 0.96). In addition to RCTs, observational studies have also examined the association of low-dose aspirin with GI cancer.20–27 Although studies have consistently shown a beneficial effect of using low-dose aspirin, findings from both RCTs and observational studies have largely been limited to Caucasians.20–22,26–27 An earlier study in Hong Kong evaluated the risk of GIB and benefit of CRC reduction from the use of low-dose aspirin and found that low-dose aspirin lowered the risk of CRC but at the cost of a higher risk of GIB. The authors acknowledged that the results could be inaccurate due to confounding by indication since no comorbidities were used to adjust for baseline differences between aspirin and non-aspirin users.26

Our present study adjusted for observed baseline differences between aspirin and non-aspirin users by using PS matching. Moreover, most studies include patients taking low-dose aspirin for both primary and secondary prevention of ASCVD. However, the clinical implications for the primary prevention cohort is greater as initiating low-dose aspirin is no longer standard practice for this population.

A study in the UK has evaluated the protective effect of low-dose aspirin on CRC in a cohort with no pre-existing CVD.6 However, the risk of GIB was not investigated. Nevertheless, the association of low-dose aspirin with a reduced risk of GI cancer was consistent with our findings. Furthermore, our findings are also consistent with our recent 13-year cohort study conducted in Hong Kong (N=74 161) which found that regular aspirin use was associated with a decrease in gastric cancer risk following _Helicobacter pylori_ eradication.16

The role of low-dose aspirin for the prevention of GI cancer is equivocal and questions remain, particularly for patients without a history of ASCVD. Some RCTs have reported no reductions in GI cancer incidence and mortality with the use of low-dose aspirin.5,7 The Aspirin in Reducing Events in the Elderly (ASPREE) trial reported a higher mortality rate in patients taking low-dose aspirin compared with placebo. A secondary analysis showed cancer as the major contributor to the higher mortality rate (HR, 1.13; 95% CI, 1.10 to 1.56), with a subgroup analysis for GI cancer which detected no differences between groups (CRC: RR, 0.97 (95% CI, 0.77 to 1.24)).6 Patients in the ASPREE trial were ≥70 years old, hence the benefits of low-dose aspirin for GI cancer prevention may be limited since most of the benefits of low-dose aspirin are apparent in studies of younger adults with longer duration of use.29 Notably, Asians comprised only 1% of the trial population in ASPREE. Therefore, findings from ASPREE may be more applicable to healthy Caucasian adults.

**Potential clinical implications**

The finding that low-dose aspirin use was associated with a lower risk of CRC and GC is of particular clinical importance, especially among patients with no pre-existing ASCVD, since the decision to initiate low-dose aspirin is less well defined. GI cancers are major contributors to mortality worldwide with no proven preventative treatment. Aspirin is affordable, easily accessible and has a recognised pharmacological profile which could be a means to improving the burden of disease. Additionally, the risk of GIB associated with low-dose aspirin is of particular interest in the Chinese population, which has a different bleeding profile compared with Caucasians.14

Lastly, our study showed that for every 1000 patients taking low-dose aspirin, 6 GI cancer cases could be prevented, although it could cause 8 GIBs. However, the percentage of patients with GI cancer outcome who died was 30%–50% compared with 1.6% for GIB. In addition, the percentage of fatal GIB (1.6%) is similar in both the low-dose aspirin and non-aspirin group. This indicates that the use of low-dose aspirin does not contribute to an increase in the risk of fatal GIB. Further, this is consistent with a meta-analysis published in 2016 which evaluated fatal GIB attributable to low-dose aspirin.30 This information along with the knowledge that patients under 60 years or those taking gastroprotective agents are not at higher risk of GIB could inform clinical decisions to...
Table 2  Risk of gastrointestinal cancers with low-dose aspirin and paracetamol after propensity score matching

|                          | Low-dose aspirin |                                   | Paracetamol |                                   | HR (95% CI) | P value |
|--------------------------|------------------|------------------------------------|-------------|------------------------------------|-------------|---------|
|                          | No.              | No. of cases/person-years          | Incidence per 1000 person-years | No.              | No. of cases/person-years          | Incidence per 1000 person-years | HR (95% CI) | P value |
| Colorectal cancer        | 49 679           | 876/428 554                       | 2.04        | 49 679                            | 1078/457 195 | 2.36 | 0.83 (0.76 to 0.91) | <0.001 |
| Female                   | 24 031           | 356/211 588                       | 1.68        | 23 991                            | 463/226 257 | 2.05 | 0.79 (0.68 to 0.90) | <0.001 |
| Male                     | 25 648           | 520/216 966                       | 2.40        | 25 688                            | 615/230 938 | 2.66 | 0.86 (0.76 to 0.96) | 0.01  |
| 40–49 years old          | 4344             | 15/45 149                         | 0.33        | 4002                              | 26/44 565  | 0.58 | 0.57 (0.30 to 1.06) | 0.08  |
| 50–59 years old          | 9350             | 90/95 162                         | 0.95        | 8416                              | 105/91 025 | 1.15 | 0.84 (0.63 to 1.11) | 0.20  |
| 60–69 years old          | 11 489           | 224/110 070                       | 2.04        | 11 050                            | 250/112 834 | 2.22 | 0.89 (0.74 to 1.07) | 0.19  |
| 70–79 years old          | 14 976           | 352/123 565                       | 2.85        | 15 326                            | 446/139 167 | 3.20 | 0.82 (0.71 to 0.94) | 0.004 |
| ≥80 years old            | 9520             | 195/54 298                        | 3.59        | 10 885                            | 251/69 604 | 3.61 | 0.89 (0.74 to 1.07) | 0.23  |
| Diabetes mellitus        | 6079             | 108/46 923                        | 2.30        | 5975                              | 147/49 238 | 2.99 | 0.73 (0.57 to 0.94) | 0.01  |
| PPI/H2-blocker use       | 14 323           | 224/112 848                       | 1.98        | 13 898                            | 262/120 357 | 2.18 | 0.85 (0.71 to 1.02) | 0.07  |
| Gastric cancer           | 49 679           | 222/428 554                       | 0.52        | 48 679                            | 293/457 195 | 0.64 | 0.77 (0.65 to 0.92) | 0.003 |
| Female                   | 24 031           | 73/211 591                        | 0.35        | 23 991                            | 103/226 259 | 0.46 | 0.73 (0.54 to 0.98) | 0.04  |
| Male                     | 25 648           | 149/216 969                       | 0.69        | 25 688                            | 190/230 940 | 0.82 | 0.79 (0.64 to 0.98) | 0.03  |
| 40–49 years old          | 4344             | 5/45 149                          | 0.11        | 4002                              | 8/44 565  | 0.18 | 0.58 (0.19 to 1.77) | 0.34  |
| 50–59 years old          | 9350             | 31/95 162                         | 0.33        | 8416                              | 21/91 025 | 0.23 | 1.40 (0.80 to 2.45) | 0.24  |
| 60–69 years old          | 11 489           | 41/110 070                        | 0.37        | 11 050                            | 52/112 834 | 0.46 | 0.78 (0.51 to 1.17) | 0.22  |
| 70–79 years old          | 14 976           | 93/123 565                        | 0.75        | 15 326                            | 113/139 167 | 0.81 | 0.85 (0.65 to 1.12) | 0.26  |
| ≥80 years old            | 9520             | 52/54 298                         | 0.96        | 10 885                            | 99/69 604 | 1.42 | 0.60 (0.43 to 0.84) | 0.003 |
| Diabetes mellitus        | 6079             | 28/46 923                         | 0.60        | 5975                              | 40/49 238 | 0.81 | 0.69 (0.43 to 1.13) | 0.14  |
| PPI/H2-blocker use       | 14 323           | 65/112 848                        | 0.58        | 13 898                            | 82/120 357 | 0.68 | 0.77 (0.56 to 1.07) | 0.12  |
| Oesophageal cancer       | 49 679           | 96/428 554                        | 0.22        | 49 679                            | 110/457 195 | 0.24 | 0.88 (0.67 to 1.16) | 0.37  |
| Female                   | 24 031           | 23/211 591                        | 0.11        | 23 991                            | 29/226 259 | 0.13 | 0.80 (0.46 to 1.39) | 0.43  |
| Male                     | 25 648           | 73/216 969                        | 0.34        | 25 688                            | 81/230 940 | 0.35 | 0.91 (0.66 to 1.25) | 0.55  |
| 40–49 years old          | 4344             | 2/45 149                          | 0.04        | 4002                              | 1/44 565  | 0.02 | 2.05 (0.22 to 19.5) | 0.53  |
| 50–59 years old          | 9350             | 11/95 162                         | 0.12        | 8416                              | 11/91 025 | 0.12 | 0.95 (0.41 to 2.19) | 0.90  |
| 60–69 years old          | 11 489           | 30/110 070                        | 0.27        | 11 050                            | 25/112 834 | 0.22 | 1.19 (0.70 to 2.02) | 0.53  |
| 70–79 years old          | 14 976           | 35/123 565                        | 0.28        | 15 326                            | 39/139 167 | 0.28 | 0.92 (0.58 to 1.45) | 0.72  |
| ≥80 years old            | 9520             | 18/54 298                         | 0.33        | 10 885                            | 34/69 604 | 0.49 | 0.61 (0.34 to 1.07) | 0.08  |
| Diabetes mellitus        | 6079             | 13/46 923                         | 0.28        | 5975                              | 19/49 238 | 0.39 | 0.67 (0.33 to 1.36) | 0.27  |
| PPI/H2-blocker use       | 14 323           | 28/112 848                        | 0.25        | 13 898                            | 29/120 357 | 0.24 | 0.94 (0.56 to 1.58) | 0.82  |

H2-blocker, histamine-2 receptor blocker; PPI, proton pump inhibitors.
Table 3: Risk of gastrointestinal bleeding with low-dose aspirin and paracetamol after propensity score matching

|                      | Low-dose aspirin | Paracetamol |            |            |            | HR (95% CI) | P value |
|----------------------|------------------|-------------|------------|------------|------------|-------------|---------|
|                      | No.              | No. of cases/person-years | Incidence per 1000 person-years | No.              | No. of cases/person-years | Incidence per 1000 person-years |         |
| Overall              | 49 679           | 5498/431 246 | 12.27      | 49 679           | 5131/465 091 | 11.03        | 1.15 (1.11 to 1.20) <0.001 |
| Female               | 24 031           | 2698/212 596 | 12.69      | 23 991           | 2510/229 792 | 10.92        | 1.16 (1.10 to 1.23) <0.001 |
| Male                 | 25 648           | 2800/218 650 | 12.81      | 25 688           | 2621/235 300 | 11.14        | 1.15 (1.09 to 1.21) <0.001 |
| 40–49 years old      | 4344             | 184/46 633  | 3.95       | 4002             | 190/45 506  | 4.18         | 0.94 (0.77 to 1.15) 0.56 |
| 50–59 years old      | 9350             | 526/97 488  | 5.40       | 8416             | 476/93 363  | 5.10         | 1.05 (0.93 to 1.19) 0.41 |
| 60–69 years old      | 11 489           | 1007/112 395 | 8.96      | 11 050           | 935/116 577 | 8.02         | 1.13 (1.03 to 1.23) 0.008 |
| 70–79 years old      | 14 976           | 2153/122 814 | 17.53     | 15 326           | 1742/141 851 | 12.28       | 1.44 (1.35 to 1.53) <0.001 |
| ≥80 years old        | 9520             | 1628/51 916  | 31.36     | 10 885           | 1788/67 795 | 26.37        | 1.18 (1.11 to 1.27) <0.001 |
| Diabetes mellitus    | 6079             | 756/46 398  | 16.29     | 5975             | 752/49 701  | 15.13        | 1.07 (0.97 to 1.18) 0.20 |
| PPI/H2-blocker use   | 14 323           | 1682/113 597 | 14.81     | 13 898           | 1738/122 015 | 14.24       | 1.03 (0.96 to 1.10) 0.46 |
| Upper GIB            | 49 679           | 4964/431 246 | 11.51    | 49 679           | 4649/465 091 | 10.00       | 1.14 (1.09 to 1.18) <0.001 |
| PPI/H2-blocker use   | 14 323           | 1513/113 597 | 13.32    | 13 898           | 1612/122 015 | 13.21       | 0.98 (0.91 to 1.05) 0.54 |
| Lower GIB            | 49 679           | 549/431 246  | 1.27      | 49 679           | 501/465 091  | 1.08         | 1.31 (1.16 to 1.48) <0.001 |
| PPI/H2-blocker use   | 14 323           | 176/113 597  | 1.55      | 13 898           | 131/122 015  | 1.07         | 1.70 (1.35 to 2.14) <0.001 |

GIB, gastrointestinal bleeding; H2-blocker, histamine-2 receptor blocker; PPI, proton pump inhibitors.
### Analysis

| Analysis | HR (95% CI) | \( P \) value |
|---------|-------------|----------------|
| **Colorectal cancer** | | |
| Primary analysis | 0.83 (0.76–0.91) | <.001 |
| Cohort with ASCVD | 0.80 (0.83–0.86) | <.001 |
| Patients without cancers in 1st year | 0.85 (0.83–0.95) | <.001 |
| Patients without ASCVD in 1st year | 0.90 (0.82–0.99) | .03 |
| Acetylsalicylic acid users censored at switching to aspirin | 0.89 (0.80–0.99) | .004 |
| **Gastric cancer** | | |
| Primary analysis | 0.77 (0.65–0.92) | <.001 |
| Cohort with ASCVD | 0.78 (0.69–0.89) | <.001 |
| Patients without cancers in 1st year | 0.75 (0.63–0.93) | <.001 |
| Patients without ASCVD in 1st year | 0.76 (0.66–0.94) | <.001 |
| Acetylsalicylic acid users censored at switching to aspirin | 0.80 (0.67–0.96) | .01 |
| **Esophageal cancer** | | |
| Primary analysis | 0.88 (0.67–1.16) | .37 |
| Cohort with ASCVD | 0.73 (0.60–0.90) | <.001 |
| Patients without cancers in 1st year | 1.13 (0.83–1.55) | .44 |
| Patients without ASCVD in 1st year | 0.70 (0.53–0.94) | .02 |
| Acetylsalicylic acid users censored at switching to aspirin | 0.93 (0.71–1.23) | .62 |
| **GI bleeding short-term outcomes** | | |
| Primary analysis | 1.15 (1.11–1.20) | <.001 |
| 1 Year follow up | 1.36 (1.23–1.51) | <.001 |
| 3 Years follow up | 1.29 (1.21–1.38) | <.001 |

![Figure 2](https://example.com/figure2.png)  
**Figure 2** Forest plot of the results of the primary and sensitivity analyses. ASCVD, atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease; GI, gastrointestinal.

Our findings support a potential role for low-dose aspirin therapy for the prevention of CRC and GC, but not EG, in Chinese adults ≥40 years. Further research, such as a pragmatic RCT, is needed to confirm the observed association in a patient population that would be expected to derive the most benefit, and least harm, from taking low-dose aspirin.
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