Researches on the delimitation between the water aeration and oxygenation processes
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Abstract. Considering that in certain papers the aeration processes are confused with the oxygenation processes, a distinction between them must be made. The paper presents modern water aeration and oxygenation installations. The experimental results obtained for the two categories of installations are highlighted. Theoretical and experimental researches aim to increase the concentration of dissolved oxygen in water; this can be done in two ways: I - by introducing atmospheric air into the water; II - by introducing a gaseous mixture consisting of atmospheric air and oxygen. Version II is better, the results being shown in Figure 11.

1 Introduction

In order to increase the dissolved oxygen content in water, there are several methods and procedures that do not differentiate between the notion of "water aeration" and "water oxygenation"; there are papers in which the two expressions are confused [1].

Water aeration is achieved by introducing atmospheric air into the water as follows [2] [3]:

1. By mechanical aeration;
2. By pneumatic aeration.
   - In the case of mechanical aeration, the air is introduced into the water under the action of rotors, blades, etc. performing surface aeration.
   - In the case of pneumatic aeration, the air is sent to diffusers made of plastics, ceramics, etc.; these diffusers are placed below the water level, thus aerating the water with air bubbles.

The aeration equipment's are classified according to the following criteria [4] [5]:

- By the way of obtaining the interfacial contact surface:
  - equipment’s that sprays water in the air and equipment in cascade;
  - equipment’s that disperses the gas in the water (deep mechanical aerators, etc.);
  - mixed equipment’s - spray the water in the form of drops and entrain the air through the jet effect upon mass re-entry of the water from the basin (surface mechanical aerators).
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• static equipment’s (static aerators, ejectors, etc.);
• dynamic equipment’s (surface or depth mechanical aerators).

Depending on the type of gas used for aeration:
• equipment’s that disperses air into water (deep mechanical aerators, pneumatic aerators, ejectors, etc.);
• equipment’s that disperses pure oxygen in water (pneumatic type);
• equipment’s with ozone or ozone enriched air in water (such as fluid jet pumps).

By the constructive solution:
• pneumatic equipment’s with porous diffusers, static aerators, etc.;
• surface mechanical equipment’s, medium or high depth with rotor, brush, etc.;
• mixed equipment’s.

By the immersion mode of the dispersion device:
• surface equipment’s (mechanical surface aerators with rotor or brush);
• medium depth equipment’s - the dispersion device is located at a depth of 1 ÷ 2 m (INKA type pneumatic devices, medium depth mechanical aerators, etc.);
• deep water equipment’s - the dispersion device is located at about 3 ÷ 120 m (pneumatic, injector, mechanical deep water equipment’s, etc.).

2 Boundary between water aeration and water oxygenation installations

This delimitation refers to the nature and composition of the gas introduced into the water.

In table 1 a clear distinction is made between the two processes (two installations), namely [1], [2], [3]:
I. Aeration installations;
II. Oxygenation installations.

Table 1. Classification of pneumatic aeration installations.

| Installation type | Nature of the gas | Gas source | Volumetric gas composition | Advantages | Disadvantages |
|-------------------|-------------------|------------|---------------------------|------------|---------------|
| I. Installation for water aeration | Air | Air compressor | 21% O₂ + 79% N₂ | Source available for free | Electricity consumption |
| II. Installations for water oxygenation | Air + oxygen | Air compressor + O₂ from a cylinder | Air + (20% ÷ 80%) O₂ | Reduction of water oxygenation time | Cost O₂ from a cylinder |
| | | Oxygen concentrators | 95% O₂ + 5% N₂ | Reduction of water oxygenation time | Electricity consumption |
| | | Ozone from O₃ generators | Air + O₃ | Reduction of water oxygenation time | Electricity consumption |

It is useful to sort the expressions, as follows:
I. Water aeration refers only to the introduction of atmospheric air into water (21% O₂ + 79% N₂);
II. Water oxygenation refers to the introduction of gaseous mixtures in which the oxygen exceeds 21%.

Obviously I + II have the same purpose, namely to increase the dissolved oxygen concentration in water. When increasing the percentage of O₂ introduced into water by more than 21%, the time in which water oxygenation takes place (C₀ → C₈) is reduced.
3 The installation of water aeration

Fine air bubbles generators (FBG) will be used to introduce air into water. From the literature it is known that the rate of oxygen transfer to water increases with decreasing air bubble diameter; the air bubble diameter of is a function of the orifice diameter in the perforated plate of the FBG.

The gas bubbles (atmospheric air or a mixture of gases) can be classified according to the data in figure 1.

![Fig. 1. Classification of gas bubbles according to their diameter](image)

I - the area where the gas bubbles can be observed under the microscope;
II - the area where gas bubbles can be observed with difficulty;
III - the area where gas bubbles can be observed with the naked eye.

Figure 2 shows a FBG that has a rectangular shape orifices plate. Taking into account the dimensions of the water tank and the height of the water layer, a section of air outlet in water of $1.2 \cdot 10^{-6}$ m$^2$ [6] [7] has been chosen, resulting in a number of orifices of $\varnothing$ 0.1 mm equal to:

$$n = \frac{A}{\pi \cdot \frac{d_o^2}{4}} = \frac{1.2 \cdot 10^{-6}}{\pi \cdot \left(0.1 \cdot 10^{-3}\right)^2} = 152 \text{ orifices}$$

![Fig. 2. FBG with rectangular orifices plate [4]](image)

1 - compressed air tank; 2 - sealing system; 3 - orifices plate; 4 - compressed air line; 5 - connection to the manometer; 6 - elements for fastening the orifices plate
The plate (3) contains 152 orifices (Ø 0.1 mm) made using a KERN Micro machine; the constructive solution of this FBG is an original and very efficient constructive solution. The framing of this FBG in the experimental installation is shown in figure 3. During the measurements, the following data were kept constant:
- Air flow rate: \( \dot{V} = 0.6 \text{ m}^3/\text{h} \);
- Air pressure at the entrance of the FBG: \( p = 573 \text{ mmH}_2\text{O} \);
- Hydrostatic load: \( H = 500 \text{ mmH}_2\text{O} \);
- Water temperature 24 °C for which from [3]: \( C_s = 8.4 \text{ mg/dm}^3 \), \( C_o = 5.84 \text{ mg/dm}^3 \).

Fig. 3. Installation scheme for the introduction of atmospheric air into water [4]: 1-electrocompressor; 2– digital thermometer; 3- digital manometer; 4– rotameter; 5- air transport pipeline; 6– oxygen sensor; 7– water tank; 8– fine bubble generator.

Figure 4 shows the functioning of the studied FBG.

Fig. 4. FBG in operation.
1 - water tank; 2 - FBG; 3 - plane jet with air bubbles.
Figure 4 show that the air coming out through the orifices in the straight line creates a bubble curtain, similar to a plane jet of air. The increase of the dissolved oxygen concentration in the water depends on the rate of its increase, given by the relation [8] [9] [10]:

$$\frac{dC}{d\tau} = a_{k_l} (C_s - C)$$

(2)

Where:
- \(a_{k_l}\) - the volumetric mass transfer coefficient [s\(^{-1}\)];
- \(C_s\) - the mass concentration of oxygen at saturation in liquid phase [kg / m\(^3\)];
- \(C\) - the current mass concentration of oxygen in the liquid phase [kg / m\(^3\)].

The relation (2) was numerically integrated and a calculation program was performed, the results being presented in figure 5, curve 1.

![Graph](image.png)

**Fig. 5.** \(C_{O_2} = f(\tau)\) for atmospheric air
1 - curve drawn on the basis of theoretical data;
2 - curve drawn on the basis of experimental data.

In the experimental researches, the dissolved oxygen concentration in the water was measured from 15 in 15 minutes resulting curve 2 (figure 5). Between the two curves (1) and (2) in figure 5 a good coincidence is observed.

### 4 Water oxygenation installations

The operating scheme and the experimental results for three water oxygenation installations are presented.

4.1. Installation that uses a mixture of atmospheric air and oxygen from a cylinder.
4.2. Installation that uses low nitrogen air.
4.3. Installation that uses a mixture of air and ozone.

All the three installations 4.1, 4.2, 4.3 were built in the laboratory of the Department of Thermotechnics, Engines, Thermal and Refrigeration Equipment’s.
4.1 Installation that uses a mixture of atmospheric air and oxygen from a cylinder

The working parameters of the installation are:
- air flow: $\dot{V} = 600 \text{ dm}^3/\text{h}$;
- air pressure: $p = 573 \text{ mmH}_2\text{O}$;
- hydrostatic load: $H = 500 \text{ mmH}_2\text{O}$; the hydrostatic load is: $p = \rho g H \text{ [N/m}^2\text{]}$, where $H$ comprises the height at which the water is to be pumped and the pressure losses on the hydraulic circuit.
- working time: two hours.

For atmospheric air there is rotameter (4) in figure 6, and rotameter (4’) for the oxygen from the cylinder.

Operating variants:
$\dot{V}_{o_i} = 150 \text{ dm}^3/\text{h}$, i.e. 25% of $\dot{V}$;
$\dot{V}_{o_i} = 300 \text{ dm}^3/\text{h}$, i.e. 50% of $\dot{V}$;
$\dot{V}_{o_i} = 450 \text{ dm}^3/\text{h}$, i.e. 75% of $\dot{V}$;
$\dot{V}_{o_i} = 600 \text{ dm}^3/\text{h}$, i.e. 100% of $\dot{V}$.

Fig. 6. Scheme of the installation for introducing a gas mixture: air + oxygen from the cylinder.
1- electrocompressor; 2- thermometer; 3- manometer; 4,4’- rotameter; 5- oxygen cylinder; 6- pressure reducer; 7- air duct + oxygen; 8- probe for measuring the concentration of oxygen, dissolved in water; 9- water tank; 10- fine bubble generator (FBG); 11- T-joint.

Figure 7 shows an overview of the experimental installation.
Fig. 7. Overview of the experimental installation for the introduction of a mixture (air + oxygen).

In the centre of the photo one can see the oxygen cylinder, and on the right side there is the transparent plexiglass water tank.

4.2 Installation that uses low nitrogen air

Figure 8 shows two oxygen concentrators each delivering 300 dm$^3$/h of gas with the volumetric composition $O_2 = 95\%, N_2 = 5\%$.

Fig. 8. FBG supplied with air with low nitrogen content
1- oxygen concentrators; 2- rotameter; 3- thermometer; 4- gas pipe ($95\% O_2 + 5\% N_2$); 5- water tank; 6- oxygen sensor; 7- FBG; 8- manometer.

In figure 9, on the left side, two oxygen concentrators (1) are observed, each device being equipped with a rotameter.
The atmospheric air extracted from the atmosphere is compressed and sent to the zeolite filters where nitrogen is retained; upon exiting the unit, a gas containing 95% oxygen is obtained.

### 4.3 Installation that uses a mixture of air and ozone

Figure 10 shows the ozone generator (4); at the exit from it the produced ozone is mixed with air and enters the rotameter (7) and then into the FBG (11) [11] [12].

![Scheme of the experimental installation](image)

Fig. 10. Scheme of the experimental installation for water oxygenation researches, by introducing into the water tank a gas mixture containing atmospheric air and ozone

1- electrocompressor; 2- compressed air tank; 3- pressure reducer; 4- ozone generator; 5- pipe; 6- thermometer with digital indication; 7- rotameter; 8- water tank; 9- mechanism for the rotation of the oxygen probe in water; 10- oxygen probe; 11- fine bubble generator; 12- manometer with digital indication.

The compressed air supplied by the compressor (1) passes through the ozone generator (4), through the rotameter (7) and enters the FBG (11).
Depending on the water temperature ($t = 23.7 \, ^\circ\text{C}$) in [3] the saturation concentration values are found for:

- Atmospheric air: $C_s = 8.4 \, \text{mg} / \text{dm}^3$;
- Air + 25% $\text{O}_2$: $C_s = 10.73 \, \text{mg} / \text{dm}^3$;
- Air + 50% $\text{O}_2$: $C_s = 21.46 \, \text{mg} / \text{dm}^3$;
- Air + 75% $\text{O}_2$: $C_s = 32.21 \, \text{mg} / \text{dm}^3$;
- Air + 100% $\text{O}_2$: $C_s = 43.00 \, \text{mg} / \text{dm}^3$.

The value of the dissolved oxygen concentration in water was initially 5.84 mg / dm$^3$.

Fig. 11. Increase of dissolved oxygen concentration in water for:
1/7 - atmospheric air; 2/8 - air + oxygen (150 dm$^3$ / h); 3/9 - air + oxygen (300 dm$^3$ / h); 4/10 - air + oxygen (450 dm$^3$ / h); 5/11 - low nitrogen air; 6/12 - air + oxygen (600 dm$^3$ / h).

1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6 - curve drawn on the basis of theoretical data;
7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12 - curve drawn on the basis of experimental data

Figure 10 shows the change of dissolved oxygen concentration in water as a function of time.

The curves 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 and 6 are plotted on the basis of theoretical data, and the curves 1', 2', 3', 4', 5' and 6' are plotted on the basis of experimental data.

5 Conclusions

a) The fine bubbles generator with 0.1 mm orifices is original construction and very efficient solution in the process of water aeration and oxygenation.

b) The results obtained in a theoretical way (figure 5 and figure 11) coincide well with the experimental data.

c) The value of dissolved oxygen concentration in water, at saturation, is reached most rapidly when pure oxygen is introduced into water (curve 6).

d) Future researches will follow the use of microtechnologies and nanotechnologies in water aeration processes.
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