The Effect of Hyaluronate-Carboxymethyl Cellulose on Bone Graft Substitute Healing in a Rat Spinal Fusion Model
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Objective: The aim of this study was to evaluate the impact of sodium hyaluronate-sodium carboxymethyl cellulose (HA-CMC), an anti-adhesive material for spinal surgery, on bone fusion by applying it to rat spinal models after lumbar posterolateral fusion.

Methods: Lumbar posterolateral fusion was performed at L4-5 using bone graft substitutes in 30 rats. HA-CMC was injected in 15 rats at a dose of 0.2 cc (HA-CMC group) and a saline solution of 0.2 cc in the other 15 rats (control group). Simple radiographs were taken until postoperative 9 weeks with an interval of one week. At postoperative 4 and 9 weeks, three dimensional computed tomography (3D CT) scanning was performed to observe the process of bone fusion. At 9 weeks, bone fusion was confirmed by gross examination and manual palpation.

Results: There were no statistically significant differences in bone fusion between the two groups. 3D CT scanning did not reveal significant differences between the groups. The gross examination and manual palpation after autopsy performed at 9 weeks confirmed bone union in 93.3% of both groups.

Conclusion: The anti-adhesive material used for spinal surgery did not have adverse effects on spinal fusion in rats.
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Surgical procedure

An abdominal injection of tiletamine HCl/zolazepam HCl (Zoletil® Virbac, Carros, France) was done at a dose of 1 mg/kg into the rats for general anesthesia. For subanesthetic cases, an additional injection of 0.5 mg/kg was given. After anesthesia, the surgical site was shaved and disinfected with a solution of 10% betadine. Surface landmarks on the dorsum were palpated to identify spinal levels. The iliac crest provided a rough estimate of the interspace between the L5 and L6 spinous processes. A 20 mm dorsal midline skin incision was made centered over the L4-5 spinous process. The transverse processes of L4 and L5 vertebrae were then exposed. Once exposed, the transverse processes and the lateral gutters of the L4 and L5 vertebrae were decorticated by manual excoriation with a microcuret until a blush of cancellous bone was observed. The intended bone graft substitute (PolyBone® KyungWon Medical Co., Seoul, Korea) 0.2 g was placed over the entire span of the fusion bed on each side of the spine. The intended bone graft substitute is prepared from a mixture composed β-tricalcium phosphate, monocalcium phosphate, calcium sulfate hemihydrates, and polyphosphate. A saline solution of 0.2 cc was injected into the 15 rats in the control group and a combination of HA-CMC of 0.2 cc, into the other 15 rats. 0.2 cc was enough to entirely cover the experiment site and did not leak out after closure. Closure was carried out in layers: the dorsolumbar fascia was closed using 5-0 nylon continuous sutures, and the skin was closed with interrupted 5-0 nylon sutures. For 3 postoperative days, antibiotics were given subcutaneously (gentamicin, 0.5 mg/kg).

Fusion assessment

Simple radiographs (Digital diagnost TH, Philips, Eindhoven, the Netherlands) were taken on the posteroanterior radiographs of the thoracolumbosacral spine at an interval of one week from immediately after operation to 9 weeks under the same condition (distance between the tube and the cassette was 60 cm, 2.5 mA, 12 milliseconds). On the radiographs, resorption of the bone graft substitute, new bone formation, and degree of bone fusion were observed. The images were graded for fusion bone quantity and evidence of fusion using a numerical radiologic grading system described by O'Loughlin et al.18 (Table 1). Scoring was made by two examiners unaware of experimental details. At postoperative 4 and 9 weeks, three dimensional computed tomography (3D CT) scanning in the axial, sagittal, coronal plane was performed using a CT scanner (Brilliance 64-slice CT, Philips, Eindhoven, the Netherlands) to evaluate a calcified fusion mass at the intertransverse and interspinous process region where bone had not existed. The scans were initiated from the lower endplate of the L4 vertebral body cranially in 3-mm sections, for a total of 100 slices per scan. Through the scans, the presence of trabecular bridging was observed in the transverse and spinous processes at L4 and L5. The images were also graded using the radiologic grading system described by O'Loughlin et al.18 and compared between the control group and the HA-CMC group. At postoperative 9 weeks, all the animals under the experiment were sacrificed by air embolism. Immediately after death, the lumbar spinal segment L4-5 was removed en bloc from all of the animals, and the majority of soft tissue was removed without disturbing the fusion mass. The harvested lumbar spine was gently palpated, and lateral side-bending motion at the L4-5 level was compared with the motion at the adjacent levels above (L3-4) and below (L5-6). Each spine was assessed for fusion by manual palpation by 2 observers who were blinded to the type of treatment that the animal had received. Manual palpation has been reported to be the most sensitive and specific method of assessing fusion in this model14,28. Specimens were assessed in both coronal and sagittal planes and were scored as having “motion” or “no motion” at the L4-5 segment by each examiner. Specimens were considered fused when 2 observers scored the spine as having “no motion”. The numerical radiologic grading system, described by O’Loughlin et al.18 was used to evaluate the simple radiographs and 3D CT and this data was statistically analyzed through a Mann-Whitney test. We decided a p-value of less than 0.05 to be considered significant.

RESULTS

There were no complications including wound infection or hind limb paresis.

Radiographic analysis

Post-experiment simple radiographs showed compact radiopaque bone graft substitutes at the L4-5 region and in between these bone graft substitutes a radiolucent space was observed. This radiolucent space between the bone graft substitutes was seen to increase from the 3-4 weeks to the 5-6 weeks after the experiment was preformed. After the 6-7 weeks this space was substituted by a homogenous radio-opaque zone. The authors believe that the increase of the radiolucent space represents the resorption of the bone graft substitutes and the new homogenous radio-opaque zone in the radiolucent space to be the shadow of newly fused bone mass.

In the control group, a shadow of the radiolucent space between the implanted bone graft substitutes started to increase overall from postoperative 4 weeks (Fig. 1A, B), forming a shadow of a bone fusion mass with a relatively even density at around 7 weeks (Fig. 1C). However, the HA-CMC group showed absorption between the bone graft substitutes from postoperative

Table 1. Radiologic grading system by O’Loughlin et al.

| Description                                      | Score |
|--------------------------------------------------|-------|
| No Bone                                          | 0     |
| Poor new bone formation and definite pseudoarthrosis | 1     |
| Moderate new bone formation and definite pseudoarthrosis | 2     |
| Good new bone formation and possible pseudoarthrosis | 3     |
| Good new bone formation and probable fusion       | 4     |
| Definite fusion                                   | 5     |


3 weeks (Fig. 2A, B) and demonstrated a shadow of a bone fusion mass from 6 weeks, one week earlier than the control group (Fig. 2C). On the radiologic grading system by O’Loughlin et al.\(^\text{18}\), the two groups did not have remarkable changes on simple radiographs until 2 weeks, and started to reveal poor formation of new bone from 3 weeks. Until 5 weeks, no statistically significant differences were found between the two groups, but the HA-CMC group experienced faster bone fusion. At 6 weeks, in particular, the HA-CMC group (Fig. 3C, D) demonstrated significant progression of bone fusion compared with the control group \((p=0.029)\) (Fig. 3A, B). However from 7 weeks, no significant differences were found between the two groups and, at 9 weeks, both groups had a bone fusion scored 4.93 (Table 2) (Fig. 4, 5).

3D CT scanning
The 3D CT scanning performed at postoperative 4 and 9 weeks showed the result same as the radiographic analysis during the same period. No statistically significant differences were found between the two groups (Table 3) (Fig. 6).

Manual palpation
One rat from each group showed “motion”, suggesting nonunion. As the other 14 rats of each group did not have the motion, the fusion rate was 93.3%. There were no significant differences between the two groups.

DISCUSSION
Postlaminectomy epidural fibrosis after laminectomy significantly increases the hazards of revision spine surgery and may contribute to the occurrence of failed back syndrome\(^\text{20}\). Modifications of surgical technique, anti-inflammatory medication, and the use of biologic and synthetic interposing materials, serving as a mechanical barrier between dura and overlying tissue, have been employed in an attempt to prevent or limit the formation of fibrous tissue. A
materials on bone fusion. Thus, the purpose of our study was to analyze the impact of the commonly applied anti-adhesive material made of hyaluronate on spinal arthrodesis using rat spinal models. We performed simple serial radiographic examinations from postoperative 1 week to 9 weeks to compare the process and progression of bone fusion between the control group and the HA-CMC group. The 3D CT was performed at postoperative 4 and 9 weeks for a more accurate comparison of bone fusion between the two groups. At 9 weeks, manual palpation was made through autopsy to confirm bone fusion. The intended bone graft substitute is prepared from a mixture composed of variety of biological, pharmacological, and synthetic materials have been evaluated to address the issue of scar formation in the posterior spine after laminectomy\(^23\).

On the other hand, spinal arthrodesis is one of the important success factors for spinal surgery, as it can prevent spinal instability that may occur after extensive postlaminectomy. For this purpose, many surgical techniques and materials facilitating bone fusion have been developed and employed.

To date, however, studies have focused only on the efficacy of anti-adhesive materials and their impact on complications such as infection. No study has reported the effects of anti-adhesive materials on bone fusion. Thus, the purpose of our study was to analyze the impact of the commonly applied anti-adhesive material made of hyaluronate on spinal arthrodesis using rat spinal models. We performed simple serial radiographic examinations from postoperative 1 week to 9 weeks to compare the process and progression of bone fusion between the control group and the HA-CMC group. The 3D CT was performed at postoperative 4 and 9 weeks for a more accurate comparison of bone fusion between the two groups. At 9 weeks, manual palpation was made through autopsy to confirm bone fusion. The intended bone graft substitute is prepared from a mixture composed of

| Radiologic grade | 2 weeks | 4 weeks | 6 weeks | 8 weeks | 9 weeks |
|------------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| HA-CMC           | Control | HA-CMC  | Control | HA-CMC  | Control |
| 0                | 15      | 0       | 0       | 0       | 0       | 0       | 0       | 0       |
| 1                | 0       | 0       | 8       | 11      | 0       | 0       | 0       | 0       |
| 2                | 0       | 0       | 7       | 4       | 1       | 8       | 0       | 0       |
| 3                | 0       | 0       | 0       | 0       | 14      | 7       | 0       | 0       |
| 4                | 0       | 0       | 0       | 0       | 3       | 0       | 7       | 7       |
| 5                | 0       | 0       | 0       | 0       | 0       | 0       | 8       | 8       |
| Mean             | 1.47±0.52 | 1.27±0.46 | 2.93±0.26 | 2.47±0.52 | 4.53±0.52 | 4.53±0.52 | 4.93±0.26 | 4.93±0.26 |
| p value          | 1.000 | 0.367 | 0.029 | 0.217 | 1.000 |

HA-CMC : hyaluronate-sodium carboxymethyl cellulose.

| Radiologic grade | 4 weeks | 9 weeks |
|------------------|---------|---------|
| HA-CMC           | Control | HA-CMC  | Control |
| 0                | 0       | 0       | 0       |
| 1                | 8       | 12      | 0       |
| 2                | 7       | 3       | 0       |
| 3                | 0       | 0       | 0       |
| 4                | 0       | 0       | 1       |
| 5                | 0       | 0       | 14      |
| Mean             | 1.47±0.52 | 1.20±0.41 | 4.93±0.26 | 4.93±0.26 |
| p value          | 0.217 | 1.000 |

HA-CMC : hyaluronate-sodium carboxymethyl cellulose.
β-tricalcium phosphate, monocalcium phosphate, calcium sulfate hemihydrates, and polyphosphate and has the characteristics of a radio-opaque material. Therefore, bone graft substitute that is not resorbed is hard to differentiate with actual bone fusion states on postoperative simple radiographs. Actually, there is no report on when the bone graft substitutes used for this experiment are resorbed and neither any study on the simple radiographic studies of resorption and bone formation. This made it hard to assess the state of the bone fusion. To overcome this difficulty the authors used the grading systems cited earlier to assess the state of bone fusion on the simple radiographs and in order to decrease any bias caused by this method, 3D CT and assessment by manual palpation were also preformed and used for evaluation. On the simple radiographs taken at 6 weeks, the HA-CMC group revealed statistically faster bone resorption and formation of new bone than the control group did. Although statistically not significant, the radiologic grading score for bone fusion was better in the HA-CMC group than in the control group until 7 weeks. The results were also confirmed by 3D CT. By manual palpation, the most accurate method to check bone fusion, no significant differences were found, with both groups demonstrating bone fusion in 93.5%.

Hyaluronic acid is a high-molecular-weight polysaccharide that is richly found in the extracellular matrix of soft connective tissues and synovial fluid. Other preliminary investigations suggest that hyaluronic acid is an effective and safe antiscar material that can be applied in vitro without causing significant adverse effects in postlaminectomy animal models. Also, hyaluronate has been reported to decrease adhesion formation in areas such as around traumatized tendons in the intraperitoneal cavity. From our experiment with rat models, we found that the HA-CMC did not, at least, cause adverse effects to spinal arthrodesis and rather facilitated the initial bone union process. The key cells involved in bone union are osteocytes, osteoblasts, and osteoclasts. A cell surface receptor expressed by these cells and fibroblasts, CD44, is known to bind hyaluronic acid as well as osteopontin, a secreted noncollagenous phosphoprotein found in bone matrix. In vitro studies have suggested that the interaction between this receptor and matrix elements may enhance cell migration. Suggested that osteoprogenitor cells may migrate in the adjacent hyaluronic acid matrix and deposit bone. On the other hand, when used in tenorrhaphy, hyaluronate is known to prevent adhesion of the ligament and increase expression of vascular endothelial growth factor and type IV collagen, facilitating angiogenesis for revascularization and healing of the ligament. Based on the results of in vitro studies, we concluded that HA facilitated osteogenic and osteoconductive effects as well as angiogenesis in the bone graft site, leading to union of the bone graft substitute and new bone formation. However, we used radiographic analysis, not histologic and molecular biological analyses, to assess the impact of HA-CMC on bone fusion. Thus, our study could not provide an accurate analysis on the mechanism of HA-CMC’s impact on bone fusion. Further studies on this area are considered necessary.

CONCLUSION

Our experiment was initiated to answer the question “how HA-CMC would affect bone fusion if it were used in spinal decompression and fusion to prevent adhesion?” The results of the experiment indicated that the HA-CMC used in spinal surgery did not have an impact on the final bone fusion in rat spinal models. However, as our results are based on animal models, the risk of additional complications should be considered when applying HA-CMC clinically.
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