High Frenal Attachment - As a risk Factor of Midline Diastema
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ABSTRACT

Dental esthetics is a field of dentistry concerned especially with the appearance of dentition as achieved through its arrangement, form and color. The type of malocclusion, the degree of malocclusion, age, patients’ esthetic desires, practitioners’ style of treatment as well as gender predilection can influence the final esthetic treatment the patient will be subjected to. In functional demands, over the years, patients’ demands have dynamically shifted to meeting the maximum esthetics possible. In older patients, it is difficult to treat the malocclusion by only utilizing orthodontic procedures, hence bringing in the requirement of performing a multidisciplinary approach of dental treatment to provide the maximum esthetics for the patient. The aim of the study was to determine the prevalence of high frenal attachments as a risk for midline diastema. A sample size of 272 patients’ information from the Dental Information Archiving Software database of an institutional setup in Chennai was taken, and a retrospective study was performed followed by data analysis using SPSS version 20.0 software. Within the limits of the study, it can be said that 13.6% of the midline diastema cases are caused by high frenal attachments. No significant association between age, gender and frenal attachments associated with midline diastema was confirmed in this study. P-value associated with high frenal attachment and age was 0.28, and P-value associated with high frenal attachment and gender was 0.39.
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Malocclusion can be defined as an abnormal alignment of upper and lower teeth. A malocclusion can be either minor or severe in nature. For such minor malocclusion, orthodontic treatment without surgery can be advised. In cases of severe malocclusions, surgical treatment might have to be performed. In certain cases, surgery, along with orthodontics, might still not be the solution to get maximum esthetics (Ganapathy et al., 2016; Ajay et al., 2017). At one point of time, esthetic dentistry was a speciality for an orthodontist only. But in current times, an oral and maxillofacial surgeon, periodontist and prosthodontist can be considered as estheticians (Turvey, 1988; Ashok et al., 2014). Every dentist is responsible for the final outcome of the restoration and hence is considered an integral factor in maximizing esthetics (Kannan, 2017; Duraisamy et al., 2019).

In the past world, functional demands were the main and sole focus of dental treatments. With time and technological advancements in dentistry, the main focus of demands had shifted to giving the patient the maximum esthetic values (Venugopalan et al., 2014; Samorodnitzky-Naveh et al., 2007). Dental aesthetics itself can be appreciated in cases of good oral hygiene (Basha et al., 2018), a well-designed prosthesis (Jain et al., 2017; Kannan and Venugopalan, 2018) and even in treatment using ceramic restorations (Ashok and Suvitha, 2016; Kannan and Venugopalan, 2018). Acceptance of dental aesthetics varies from person to person based on the age of the patient (Vallittu et al., 1996), the gender of the patient, recognition of tooth color of the patient (Jayalakshmi et al., 2013; Jain and Dhanraj, 2016), retained primary teeth (Berlin and Bennett, 2000; Ith-Hansen, 2000) as well as correlation of facial and dental midlines (Khan and Kazmi, 2019; Brisman, 1980).

Diastemas are spaces between 2 or more consecutive teeth which can occur anywhere in the upper and lower arches, caused by many etiological factors (Muthu et al., 2007). Maxillary midline diastema is a common esthetic problem in mixed and early permanent dentition (Bolton, 1958). Medline diastema is caused by many etiological factors like the disproportion between teeth sizes, dental arches length and abnormal labial frenum attachment between central incisors (Edwards, 1977; Dehghani and Heravi, 2014).

High frenal attachment is characterized into four types namely gingival, mucosal, papillary and papillary penetrating types, the most severe being papillary penetrating where there is an attachment of upper border lip tubercle to the palatine papilla. Papilla penetrating is a severe attachment problem of the frenum, and subsequently, the cause for midline diastema, causing spacing in between the teeth (Priyanka et al., 2013). Midline diastema is characterized as upright, convergent and divergent, and its prevalence is observed mostly in females. Etiology of midline diastema is of prime importance because it is necessary to get to the root of the problem for better treatment planning.

Fixed orthodontic appliances for correcting midline diastema is better than correction done with removable appliances. Availability of treatment modalities for correcting a midline diastema associated with a high frenal attachment are frenectomy and frenotomy. Smile designing, direct veneering, indirect veneering and single crowns placement can be considered as a treatment modality in restorative and prosthetic dentistry.

In an institutional setup such as Saveetha Dental College, there are several types of studies conducted such as in vitro studies, (Jain and Dhanraj, 2016, 2013; Venugopalan et al., 2016; Selvan and Ganapathy, 2016; Priyanka et al., 2013), reviews, (Selvan and Ganapathy, 2016; Subasree et al., 2016), case reports (Jain et al., 2019).

The sole purpose of the study was to determine the prevalence of high frenal attachment as a risk factor for midline diastema in patients reporting to Saveetha Dental College.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

A retrospective study was conducted in an institutional set up in Chennai, using Dental Information Archiving Software database over the duration of June 2019 till March 2020 over the past eight months reviewing 86,000 patients and narrowing down to a sample size of 272 patients who reported to the institution’s OutPatient ward.

The study was conducted in a universal setting in a South Indian population. The positives of the study conducted were the similar ethnicity of the sample size of the study as well as the online availability of the Dental Information Archiving Software database. This study was approved by the Ethical Board of the University. The Ethical number provided for this study was SDC/ SIHEC/ 2020/ DIASDATA/ 0619-0320. There were two reviewers involved in the data collection process.

The case sheets of the given sample size of the study were reviewed by the usage of intraoral photos of the patients. Cross verification of the patient’s data was performed to prevent errors. The measure to prevent errors done was to review the observer, which will minimize the sampling bias.
The internal validity of the study was applicable. The external validity of the study defines the eligibility criteria of the sample size population. The cases were selected on the basis of the age group of 18-50 years. The patients were ensured to have the presence of midline diastema. The cause of the midline diastema was verified using the data present in the case sheet. The cases below the age group of 18 years were excluded from the study.

The data was tabulated using the Microsoft Excel Spreadsheet, and analysis of the data was performed using IBM SPSS version 20.0 software where chi square analytical tests were done.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

In a sample size of 272 patients reporting to the institution’s OutPatient ward, 67.6% of the sample size are males and the remaining 32.4% are females. Of these 272 patients with midline diastema, 11.8% of the cases are of high frenal attachment.

The relation of high frenal attachment, along with the age group of the sample size, was studied. The majority of the sample size were found to be from the age group 18-30 years. Of all age groups, the majority of patients of the age groups do not possess high frenal attachment. Below 18 years, there is no evidence of high frenal attachments associated with midline diastema (p>0.05). Between 18-30 years, 17.1% have midline diastema associated with high frenal attachment. Between 31-40 years, 14.3% have midline diastema associated with high frenal attachment. Above 40 years, 10.4% have midline diastema associated with high frenal attachment (Figure 1). However, a higher percentage of midline diastema patients within the age group of 18-30 years with high frenal attachment in comparison to that of other age groups. The statistical analytical test performed with the given two parameters of the study was Pearson’s chi square test. Since the p-value is more than our chosen significance level (p<0.05), we cannot reject the null hypothesis and conclude that there is no significant association between age and high frenal attachment responsible for midline diastema. The statistical test concluded that the Pearson Chi-square value was found to be 3.829 and P value was 0.28 (>0.05) (Table 1).

The gender of the sample size and type of frenal attachments were studied. In males and females, it was found the majority of the patients did not possess high frenal attachment, and a very small minority possessed such high frenal attachments with a percentage of 15.2% and 11.4% respectively (Figure 2). However, there is a higher percentage of males with high frenal attachment in comparison to that of females. The statistical analytical test performed with the given two parameters of the study was Pearson’s chi square test. Since the p-value is more than our chosen significance level (p<0.05), we cannot reject the null hypothesis and conclude that there is no significant association between gender and high frenal attachment responsible for midline diastema. The statistical test concluded that the Pearson Chi-square value was found to be 0.736, and P value was 0.39 (>0.05). (Table 1)

In the study conducted, within the sample size, the gender predilection was found to be of male patients with a percentage of 67.6%. Age group predilection was found to be within 18-30 years of age, of which it was found that 17.1% of the cases had midline diastema along with association of high frenal attachment.
attachment seen. Between the age group, gender and high frenal attachment, it was seen that no association was present.

A lot of literature is present, which says that midline diastema is caused by multiple etiological factors such as high frenal attachment, impacted supernumerary teeth, etc. In Christabel SL et al 2015, 49.5% of the cases have a gingival free attachment, 38.8% are of mucosal attachment, 1.9% are of papillary penetrating type, and 9.8% are of papillary attachment (Christabel, 2015). The prevalence type of frenal attachment had no association with the gender but possessed a significant association with age. As the age decreased, the prevalence of papillary penetrating frenal attachment was found to increase.

Another study given by Serai B et al 2019, concluded that there is a correlation between high frenum and maxillary midline diastemas (Seraj et al., 2019).

Every study till date, has its own scope as well as limitations. In this study, limitation is the usage of intraoral photos, and this can be amended by ensuring the diagnosis is done by live examination of the patients. It might take a long time, but it will provide a confirmation to the study conducted.

Every causative agent of midline diastema has been reviewed upon by multiple research studies, and the significance has been spoken about. In this study, the aim is to increase the awareness of practitioners on the incidence of midline diastema and high frenal attachment, thus giving them a fair idea of early diagnosis and treatments using the latest technological advancements to give the patient maximum esthetic value.

CONCLUSIONS

Within the limits of the study, it can be said that 13.6% of the midline diastema cases are caused by high frenal attachments. The most prevalent gender association was found to be of male patients and the age group of 18-30 years. Hence early detection of high frenal attachment is important as correcting it at a younger age.
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