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3D Computer simulations and experiments are employed to study random packings of compressible spherical grains under external confining stress. Of particular interest is the rigid ball limit, which we describe as a continuous transition in which the applied stress vanishes as $(\phi - \phi_c)^3$, where $\phi$ is the (solid phase) volume density. This transition coincides with the onset of shear rigidity. The value of $\phi_c$ depends, for example, on whether the grains interact via only normal forces (giving rise to random close packings) or by a combination of normal and friction generated transverse forces (producing random loose packings). In both cases, near the transition, the system’s response is controlled by localized force chains. As the stress increases, we characterize the system’s evolution in terms of (1) the participation number, (2) the average force distribution, and (3) visualization techniques.
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Dense packings of spherical particles are an important starting point for the study of physical systems as diverse as simple liquids, metallic glasses, colloidal suspensions, biological systems, and granular matter. In the case of liquids and glasses, finite temperature molecular dynamics (MD) studies of hard sphere models have been particularly important. Here one finds a first order liquid-solid phase transition as the solid phase volume fraction, $\phi$, increases. Above the freezing point, a metastable disordered state can persist until $\phi \rightarrow \phi_{RCP}$, where $\phi_{RCP}$ is the density of random close packing (RCP)—the densest possible random packing of hard spheres.

This Letter is concerned with the non-linear elastic properties of granular packings. Unlike glasses and amorphous solids, this is a zero temperature system in which the interparticle forces are both non-linear, and path (i.e., history) dependent. [Because these forces are purely repulsive, mechanical stability is achieved only by imposing external stress.] The structure of packing depends in detail on the forces acting between the grains during rearrangement of grains; indeed, different rearrangement protocols can lead to either RCP or random loose packed (RLP) systems.

In the conventional continuum approach to this problem, the granular material is treated as an elasto-plastic medium. However, this approach has been challenged by recent authors who argue that granular packings represent a new kind of fragile matter and that more exotic methods, e.g., the fixed principal axis ansatz, are required to describe their internal stress distributions. These new continuum methods are complemented by microscopic studies based on either contact dynamics simulations of rigid spheres or statistical models, such as the q-model, which makes no attempt to take account of the character of the inter-grain forces.

In our view, a proper description of the stress state in granular systems must take account of the fact that the individual grains are deformable. We report here on a 3D study of deformable spheres interacting via Hertz-Mindlin contact forces. Our simulations cover four decades in the applied pressure and allow us to understand the regimes in which the different theoretical approaches described above are valid. Since the grains in our simulations are deformable, the volume fraction can be increased above the hard sphere limit and we are able to study the approach to the RCP and RLP states from this realistic perspective. Within this framework, the rigid grain limit is described as a continuous phase transition where the order parameter is the applied stress, $\sigma$, which vanishes continuously as $(\phi - \phi_c)^3$. Here $\phi_c$ is the critical volume density, and $\beta$ is the corresponding critical exponent. We emphasize that the fragile state corresponding to rigid grains is reached by looking at the limit $\phi \rightarrow \phi_c^+$ from above.

Of particular importance is the fact that $\phi_c$ depends on the type of interaction between the grains. If the grains interact via normal forces only, they slide and rotate freely mimicking the rearrangements of grains during shaking in experiments. We then obtain the RCP value $\phi_c = 0.634(4) \approx \phi_{RCP}$. By contrast, if the grains interact by combined normal and friction generated transverse forces, we get RLP at the critical point with $\phi_c = 0.6284(2) < \phi_{RCP}$. The power-law exponents characterizing the approach to $\phi_c$ are not universal and depend on the strength of friction generated shear forces.

Our results indicate that the transitions at both RCP or RLP are driven by localized force chains. Near the critical density there is a percolative fragile structure which we characterize by the participation number (which measures localization of force chains), the probability distribution of forces, and also by visualization techniques. A subset of our results are experimentally verified using carbon paper measurements to study force distributions in the granular assembly. We also consider in some detail the relationship between our work and recent exper-
iments in 2D Couette geometries [11].

FIG. 1. (a) Confining stress and (b) average coordination number as a function of volume fraction for friction and frictionless balls.

**Numerical Simulations**: To better understand the behavior of real granular materials, we perform granular dynamics simulations of unconsolidated packings of deformable spherical glass beads using the Discrete Element Method developed by Cundall and Strack [12]. Unlike previous work on rigid grains, we work with a system of deformable elastic grains interacting via normal and tangential Hertz-Mindlin forces plus viscous dissipative forces [13]. The grains have shear modulus 29 GPa, Poisson’s ratio 0.2 and radius 0.1 mm.

Our simulations employ periodic boundary conditions and begin with a gas of 10000 non-overlapping spheres located at random positions in a cube 4 mm on a side. Generating a mechanically stable packing is not a trivial task [4]. At the outset, a series of strain-controlled isotropic compressions and expansions are applied until a volume fraction slightly below the critical density. At this point the system is at zero pressure and zero coordination number. We then compress along the z direction, until the system equilibrates at a desired vertical stress $\sigma$ and a non-zero average coordination number $\langle Z \rangle$.

Figure 1a shows the behavior of the stress as a function of the volume fraction. We find that the pressure vanishes at a critical $\phi_c = 0.6284(2)$. Although we cannot rule out a discontinuity in the pressure at $\phi_c$—as we could expect for a system of hard spheres—our results indicate that the transition is continuous and the behavior of the pressure can be fitted to a power law form

$$\sigma \sim (\phi - \phi_c)^\beta,$$

where $\beta = 1.6(2)$. Our 3D results contrast with recent experiments of slowly sheared grains in 2D Couette geometries [11] where a faster than exponential approach to $\phi_c$ was found, while they agree qualitatively with similar continuous transition found in compressed emulsions and foams [14].

Figure 1b shows the behavior of the mean coordination number, $\langle Z \rangle$, as a function of $\phi$. We find

$$\langle Z \rangle - Z_c \sim (\phi - \phi_c)^\theta,$$

where $Z_c = 4$ is a minimal coordination number, and $\theta = 0.29(5)$ is a critical exponent. At criticality the system is very loose and fragile with a very low coordination number. The value of $Z_c$ can be understood in term of constraint arguments as discussed in [14]: in the rigid ball limit, for a disordered system with both normal and transverse forces, we find $Z_c = D + 1 = 4$ [14]. As we compress the system more contacts are created, providing more constraints so that the forces become overdetermined.

We notice that $\phi_c$ obtained for this system is considerably lower than the best estimated value at RCP [3], $\phi_{RCP} = 0.6366(4)$ obtained by Finney using ball bearings. This latter value is obtained by carefully vibrating the system and letting the grains settle into the most compact packing. Numerically, this is achieved by allowing the grains reach the state of mechanical equilibrium interacting only via normal forces. By removing the transverse forces, grains can slide freely and find most compact packings than with transverse forces. Numerically we confirm this by equilibrating the system at zero transverse force. The critical packing fraction found in this way is $\phi_c = 0.634(4) \approx \phi_{RCP}$ within error bars. The stress behaves as in Eq. (1) but with a different exponent $\beta = 2.0(2)$ (Fig. 1a). At the critical volume fraction the average coordination number is now $Z_c = 6$ [and $\theta = 0.94(5)$, Fig. 1b], which again can be understood using constraint arguments which would give a minimal coordination number equal to 2D for frictionless rigid balls [14].

Figure 2a shows the distribution of forces as a function of volume fraction. We find that the probability distribution $P(f)$ can be obtained by increasing the strength of the transverse force. The critical packing fraction found in this way is $\phi_c = 0.634(4) \approx \phi_{RCP}$ within error bars. The stress behaves as in Eq. (1) but with a different exponent $\beta = 2.0(2)$ (Fig. 1a). At the critical volume fraction the average coordination number is now $Z_c = 6$ (and $\theta = 0.94(5)$, Fig. 1b), which again can be understood using constraint arguments which would give a minimal coordination number equal to 2D for frictionless rigid balls [14].
tangential forces. This is in agreement with experiments of Scott and Kilgour [15] who found that the maximum packing density of spheres decreases with the surface roughness (friction) of the balls.

While previous studies characterized RCP’s and RLP’s by using radial distribution functions and Voronoi constructions [2], we take a different approach which allow us to compare our results directly with recent work on force transmissions in granular matter. Previous studies of granular media indicate that, for forces greater than the average value, the distribution of inter-grain contact forces is exponential [14]. In addition, photo-elastic visualization experiments and simulations [14,8] show that contact forces are strongly localized along “force chains” which carry most of the applied stress. The existence of force chains and exponential force distributions are thought to be intimately related.

Here we analyze this scenario in the entire range of pressures: from the φ₀ limit and up. Figure 2a shows the force distribution obtained in the simulations with friction balls. At low stress, the distribution is exponential in agreement with previous experiments and models. When the system is compressed further, we find a gradual transition to a Gaussian force distribution. We observe a similar transition in our simulations involving frictionless grains under isotropic compression. This suggests that our results are generic, and do not depend, qualitatively, on the preparation history or on the existence of friction generated transverse forces between the grains.

Physically, we find that the transition from Gaussian to exponential force distribution is driven by the localization of force chains as the applied stress is decreased. In granular materials, with particles of similar size, localization is induced by the disorder of the packing arrangement. To quantify the degree of localization, we consider the participation number Π:

\[
Π \equiv \left( \frac{M}{\sum_{i=1}^{M} q_i^2} \right)^{-1}. \tag{3}
\]

Here M is the number of contacts between the spheres, \(\langle Z \rangle = 2M/N\) is the average coordination number, and N is the number of spheres. \(q_i \equiv f_i/\sum_{j=1}^{M} f_j\), where \(f_i\) is the magnitude of the total force at every contact. From the definition (3), Π = 1 indicates a limiting state with a homogeneous force distribution (\(q_i = 1/M, \forall i\)). On the other hand, in the limit of complete localization, \(Π \approx 1/M \rightarrow 0\) and \(M \rightarrow \infty\).

Figure 2c shows our results for Π versus \(σ\). Clearly, the system is more localized at low stress than at high stress. Initially, the growth of Π is logarithmic, indicating a smooth delocalization transition. This behavior is seen up to \(σ \approx 2.1\) MPa, after which the participation number saturates to a higher value:

\[
Π(σ) \propto \log(σ) \quad \text{for} \quad σ < 2.1\text{ MPa}
\]

\[
Π(σ) \approx 0.62 \quad \text{for} \quad σ > 2.1\text{ MPa} \tag{4}
\]

This behavior suggests that, near the critical density, the forces are localized in force chains sparsely distributed in space. As the applied stress is increased, the force chains become more dense, and are thus distributed more homogeneously.

How might we expect the participation number to depend upon other system parameters when the forces are transmitted principally by force chains? In an idealized situation, the system has \(N_{FC}\) force chains, each of which has \(N_z\) spheres. Each sphere in a force chain has two major load bearing contacts, which loads must be approximately equal. In the lateral directions, roughly four weak contacts are required for stability. These contacts carry a fraction \(α < 1\) of the major vertical load. All other contacts have \(f_i \approx 0\). Under these assumptions,

\[
Π = \frac{2}{\langle Z \rangle} \frac{(1 + 2α)^2 N_{FC} N_z}{N} \leq \frac{2}{\langle Z \rangle} \frac{(1 + 2α)^2}{(1 + 2α^2)}. \tag{5}
\]

The last inequality becomes an equality iff all the balls are in force chains. From our simulations at large pressure \(α \approx 2/5\), so at \(\langle Z \rangle \approx 8\), \(Π \approx 0.62\), which implies that the system has been completely homogenized. Although Eq. (5) is oversimplified, we believe that the change in slope in Fig. 2c is emblematic of the complete disappearance of well-separated chains.

The localization transition can be understood by studying the behavior of the forces during the loading of the sample. Clearly, visualizing forces in 3D systems is a complicated task. In order to exhibit the rigid structure from the system we visually examine all the forces providing stability to the buckling of force chains [16,9]. We look for force chains by starting from a sphere at the top of the system, and following the path of maximum contact force at every grain. We look only for the paths which percolate, i.e., stress paths spanning the sample from the top to the bottom. In Fig. 3 we show the evolution of the force chains thus obtained for two extreme cases of confining stress. We clearly see localization at
where $\sigma$ is the stress. When the stress is increased away from $\phi_c$, the distribution of forces is found to decay exponentially. The system—-the time to equilibrate the system increases near $\phi_c$—-and the emergency of shear rigidity (to be discussed elsewhere) is also found at criticality. The distribution of forces is found to decay exponentially. The system is dominated by a fragile network of relatively few force chains which span the system.

When the stress is increased away from $\phi_c$ to the point that the number of contacts has significantly increased from its initial value $Z_c$ we find: (1) the distribution of forces crosses over to a Gaussian (2) the participation number increases, and then abruptly saturates and (3) the density of force chains increases to the point where it no longer makes sense to describe the system in those terms. Our simulations indicate that the crossover is associated with a loss of localization and the ensuing homogenization of the force-bearing stress paths.

\begin{align}
P(f) &= \langle f \rangle^{-1} \exp \left[ -f/\langle f \rangle \right], \quad \sigma < 750 \text{ KPa}, \quad (6) \\
&\quad \text{where } \langle f \rangle \text{ is the average force. When the stress is increased above 750 KPa there is a gradual crossover to a Gaussian force distribution as we find in the simulations. For example, at 2.3 MPa we have}\\
P(f) &\propto \exp \left[ -k^2 (f - f_o)^2 \right], \quad \sigma = 2.3 \text{ MPa}. \quad (7)
\end{align}

where $k f_o \approx 1$, and therefore $\langle f \rangle \approx f_o$. Similar results have been found in 2D geometries \[ \square \].

Discussion: In summary, using both numerical simulations and experiments, we have studied unconsolidated compressible granular media in a range of pressures spanning almost four decades. In the limit of weak compression, the stress vanishes continuously as $(\phi - \phi_c)^2$, where $\phi_c$ corresponds to RLP or RCP according to the existence or not of transverse forces between the grains, respectively. At criticality, the coordination number approaches a minimal value $Z_c$ (=4 for friction and 6 for frictionless grains) also as a power law. Our result $Z_c = 6$ agrees with experimental analysis of Bernal packings for close contacts between spheres fixed by means of wax \[ \square \], and our own analysis of the Finney packings \[ \square \] using the actual sphere center coordinates of 8000 steel balls. However, no similar experimental study exists for RLP which could be able to confirm $Z_c = 4$. A critical slowing down—-the time to equilibrate the system increases near $\phi_c$—-and the emergency of shear rigidity (to be discussed elsewhere) is also found at criticality. The distribution of forces is found to decay exponentially. The system is dominated by a fragile network of relatively few force chains which span the system.
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