Suppressive role of myeloid-derived suppressor cells (MDSCs) in the microenvironment of breast cancer and targeted immunotherapies
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ABSTRACT

Myeloid-derived suppressor cells (MDSCs) play a pivotal role in promoting tumor growth and metastasis and can even decrease the efficacy of immunotherapy. In breast cancer, MDSCs are recruited mainly by breast cancer cells to form a tumor-favoring microenvironment to suppress the anti-tumor immune response. In addition, MDSCs can react directly with breast cancer cells. In this paper, we describe several ways to recruit MDSCs in breast cancer, including breast cancer cell-derived cytokines and chemokines. The intracellular pathways in MDSCs during recruitment are classified as the STAT3-NF-κB-IDO pathway, the STAT3/IRF-8 pathway and the PTEN/Akt pathway. MDSCs act on T cells and NK cells to suppress the body’s immunity, and via IL-6 trans-signaling, promote breast cancer directly. We further describe MDSC-targeted immune therapies for breast cancer, which are classified as: preventing the formation of MDSCs, eliminating MDSCs, and reducing the products of MDSCs. Furthermore, MDSC-targeted immunotherapy potentiates the effect of the other immunotherapies. Based on the facts that MDSCs have significant roles in breast cancer malignant behaviors and can be suppressed by various strategies, we do believe MDSC-targeted immunotherapy presents a broad prospect in the future.

INTRODUCTION

Breast cancer is one of the major types of cancer in women, accounting for many cancer related deaths in women [1]. There are three important markers for breast cancer: the estrogen receptor (ER), the progesterone receptor (PR), and Human epidermal growth factor receptor (HER2/neu). Based on the expression of ER, PR, HER2, breast cancer can be classified into four types: Luminal A, Luminal B, HER2(+) and triple negative breast cancer. Treatments for breast cancer are mainly based on this classification, including surgery, chemotherapy, radiotherapy, endocrine treatment and targeted therapy [2]. However, this classification based therapy does not take the complicated tumor immune situation into consideration, which has been shown to influence the curative effect.

Myeloid-derived suppressor cells (MDSCs) are a heterogeneous population of myeloid progenitor cells, comprising immature granulocytes, macrophages, and...
dendritic cells (DCs) [3]. In mice, MDSCs express both the myeloid lineage differentiation antigen Gr1 and CD11b [4, 5]. Human MDSCs are less defined but always characterized as Lin−/CD33+CD11b+HLA-DR+ cells, which are further classified into CD14− monocyctic MDSCs (Mo-MDSCs) and CD15+ granulocytic MDSCs (G-MDSCs) [3, 6, 7]. In addition, other specific types of MDSCs have been defined recently, such as CD45+CD33+CD13+CD14-CD15- cells [8] and CD11b+CD16+CD15 cells [9]. MDSCs are recruited to inhibit the innate and adaptive immune responses by suppressing CD4+ T cells, CD8+ T cells and NK cells in conditions such as inflammation, autoimmune disease and cancer progression [10-12].

In recent years, many studies on breast cancer and MDSCs have been performed. Breast cancer cells can recruit tumor-infiltrating leukocytes, including regulatory T cells (Treg), MDSCs and type 2 macrophages, to form a tumor-promoting microenvironment, which downregulates the anti-tumor immunity [13]. Conversely, MDSC-induced immune tolerance facilitates the progression and metastasis of breast cancer. Clinically, circulating MDSC levels have been shown to correlate with breast cancer stage and metastatic tumor burden [7]. In addition, higher MDSC frequencies might correlate with increased rate of recurrence and metastasis of breast cancer [9, 14, 15]. By contrast, patients with lower levels of circulating MDSCs have a higher possibility of achieving a pathological complete response (pCR) [16]. This study reviewed current research on MDSCs and breast cancer focusing on the recruitment of MDSCs, MDSCs’ functions and MDSC-targeted immunotherapy.

THE FORMATION AND RECRUITMENT OF MDSCS IN BREAST CANCER

Breast cancer cells can induce the accumulation of MDSCs from bone marrow to a local tumor site in several ways, including cancer-derived cytokines and chemokines. In breast cancer, the cytokines concerned with the differentiation of MDSCs from bone marrow progenitors include G-CSF [17], M-CSF [18], GM-CSF [19], IL-6 [20], IL-1β [21], macrophage migration inhibitory factor (MIF) [22], TGF-β1 [23]. G-CSF, M-CSF, IL-6, MIF and TGF-β1 were verified to promote the differentiation of myeloid cells into MDSCs in 4T1 mammary tumor BALB/c mice [17, 18, 20, 22, 23]. GM-CSF was proved to induce MDSCs in mice mammary cancer (MMC) FVB and FVB/N202 transgenic mice [19]. All these cytokines are related to MDSC formation and expansion in breast cancer; however, the detailed role of each cytokine and their interactions in functions on MDSCs remain obscure. Interestingly, IL-1β has a bimodal effect on MDSC migration. It can augment the recruitment of MDSCs at a suitable concentration. However, when the concentration of IL-1β is too low or too high, it will reduce the recruitment of MDSCs [21]. The chemokines that participate in the migration of MDSCs in breast cancer include CXCL5 [24], CCL1 [21] and CCL2 (also known as monocyte chemotactic protein-1 (MCP-1)) [25] and CCL5 [26]. CXCL5 was reported to interact with CXCR2, which plays a pivotal role in MDSC recruitment in 4T1 BALB/c mice [24]. CCL5 cooperates with GM-CSF and G-CSF to affect the expansion of MDSCs in 4T1 BALB/c mice [26]. CCL1 and CCL2 also promote the accumulation of MDSCs and the recruitment of MDSCs in 4T1 BALB/c mice [21, 25].

Breast cancer modulates the production of MDSC-prone cytokines and chemokines through several pathways. A study revealed that the endoplasmic reticulum disulfide oxidase ERO1-α in breast cancer can stimulate the transformation of G-CSF and CXCL1/2 from their immature forms to the mature forms posttranscriptionally rather than at the level of gene expression [27]. One study revealed that the Kruppel-like factor KLFL, a transcription factor, could induce GM-CSF production via CXCL5 to modulate the maintenance of MDSCs in breast cancer [24]. In addition to breast cancer cell-derived factors, there are other factors that participate in recruitment of MDSCs. One randomized clinical study indicated that psychological stress could alter the level of MDSCs in breast cancer cells via the upregulation of IL-1Ra, IP 10, G-CSF, and IL-6 [28]. In breast cancer, lungs and livers could highly express S100A8 and facilitates the recruitment of MDSCs in these metastatic foci, which promote the metastasis of breast cancer (Figure1) [29].

THE INTRACELLULAR PATHWAYS IN MDSCS IN BREAST CANCER

In breast cancer, after differentiation and recruitment, MDSCs exert their functions via several pathways. Firstly, it is the STAT3-NF-κB-IDO pathway. IL-6 derived from breast cancer cells can activate STAT3 in MDSCs in 4T1 BALB/c mice, which stimulates the noncanonical NF-κB subunit p52 and causes RelB translocation into the nucleus, where they interact directly with the indoleamine 2,3-dioxygenase (IDO) promoter sequence to promote expression of IDO [30]. Secondly, it is the STAT3/IRF-8 pathway. G-CSF and GM-CSF can downregulate interferon regulatory factor-8 (IRF-8) transcription via a STAT3- and STAT5-dependent pathway in MDSCs in 4T1 BALB/c mice. IRF-8 is a negative regulator of human MDSCs, as the downregulation of IRF-8 is accompanied by increased numbers of MDSCs [14]. Last but not least, the PTEN/Akt pathway. TGF-β1 induces the upregulation of microRNA-494 in MDSCs in 4T1 BALB/c mice, which then downregulates the expression of phosphatase and tensin homolog (PTEN). Downregulation of PTEN results in the activation of the Akt pathway (including the mTOR and NF-κB pathways) and finally increasing the expression of metalloproteinases.
MDSCs promote breast cancer in various ways

MDSCs have a multi-functional role in breast cancer. Except for their canonical suppression role on T cells, MDSCs also affect breast cancer cells directly and function as osteoclast progenitors to exacerbate breast cancer related osteolysis. In the breast cancer microenvironment, MDSCs suppress T cells in a variety of ways, including the arginase, ROS, RNS and NO pathways. MDSCs can produce free radical peroxynitrite (PNT) ONOO⁻ via ROS and RNS. PNT leads to nitration/nitrosylation of TCRs and CD8 molecules on the surface of T cells, which induce T cell tolerance [31]. MDSCs can inhibit CD3/CD28-induced T cell proliferation through a contact-dependent pathway [32]. On the other hand, MDSCs have direct effects on breast cancer cells. After activated by IL-6 derived from metastasizing breast cancer cells, MDSCs can express both IL-6 and soluble IL-6Rα, which stimulate STAT3 phosphorylation through IL-6 trans-signaling in breast cancer cells. It may contribute to invasiveness and metastasis of breast cancer [20, 33]. What’s more, osteolysis, as a complication of breast cancer, is related with MDSCs. Through nitric oxide signaling and cross talk with breast cancer cells, MDSCs can differentiate into osteoclasts in the bone microenvironment to exacerbate osteolysis in metastasizing breast cancer (Figure 1) [18, 34].

MDSC-targeted immunotherapies

As one of the newly developed immunotherapies, there are many strategies to target MDSCs in breast cancer, such as preventing the formation of MDSCs, eliminating MDSCs and reducing the products of MDSCs.

Firstly, prevention of MDSCs formation and accumulation can be workable. Once the production of MDSC-prone cytokines and chemokines are blocked, the formation of MDSCs decreases. Curcumin, an IL-6 inhibitor, can reduce the production of IL-6 in breast cancer to reduce the number of MDSCs [35]. BMP4, a member of TGFβ growth factor family, can reduce the expression of G-CSF in human and mice breast cancers. r84, an anti-VEGF inhibitor, can reduce the production of intra-tumoral cytokines and chemokines, specifically IL-1β, IL-6 and CXCL1 [21]. Sulforaphane (SFN), an inhibitor of MIF, can also inhibit the formation of MDSCs [22]. Blocking the cytokine or chemokine receptors on MDSCs can reduce the accumulation of MDSCs. SB-265610, a specific CXCR2 antagonist, inhibits the migration of MDSCs [24]. Silibinin, a natural flavonoid from the seeds of milk thistle, can decrease the expression of CCR2 on MDSCs, which reduces the tumor-specific homing of MDSCs [36]. In addition, inhibiting NO signaling by using NG-monomethyl-L-arginine acetate (L-NMMA), an inducible NO synthase (iNOS) inhibitor, to block MDSCs...
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**Figure 1:** Interaction between breast cancer cell and myeloid-derived suppressor cell (MDSC). IRF-8, interferon regulatory factor-8; IDO, indoleamine 2,3-dioxygenase; PTEN, phosphatase and tensin homolog; sIL-6Rα, soluble IL-6 receptor α.
differentiation into osteoclasts was proved to be effective in MDSCs related osteolysis [18, 34].

Secondly, elimination of MDSCs is regarded as a more conventional way to target MDSCs both in basic and clinical studies. Most studies eliminated MDSCs by promoting their maturation; however, until now, the underlying mechanisms remained unclear. Activated T cells (ATC) armed with anti-CD3 × anti-Her2/ neu bispecific antibodies (aATC) proved capable of eliminating MDSCs. And a Th1 cytokines-enriched (IFNγ and IL-2) microenvironment can potentiate aATC-induced suppression of MDSC [37]. A highly attenuated bacterium *Listeria monocytogenes* (*Listeria*α) can infect MDSCs and reduce the number of MDSCs in both primary tumors and blood. The remaining MDSCs are converted into an IL-12 producing immune-stimulating phenotype [38]. Cyclic di-guanylate (c-di-GMP), as a ligand for stimulator of interferon genes (STING), can interact with STING at a therapeutically low dose on the membrane of MDSC, and further convert MDSCs into an IL-12 producing immune-stimulating phenotype [39]. IL-12 can decrease the expression of nitric oxide synthase and interferon-γ mRNA to promote the maturation of MDSCs, as well as attenuate their function [40]. Adoptive cellular therapy (ACT) of reprogrammed tumor-sensitized immune cells, including activated CD25+ NKT and NK cells, as well as memory T cells, can attenuate the immune suppression of MDSC. The mechanism appears to be that activated NKT cells induce the maturation of MDSCs into DCs through NKG2D-dependent signal crosstalk, which has been verified in mice and humans successfully [41, 42]. Clinically, some methods were proven to be related to MDSC deletion. Combined injection of IL-7 and IL-15 into breast cancer lesions after radiofrequency thermal ablation (RFA) can reduce the number of MDSCs, and inhibit the growth and metastasis of breast cancer [43]. In clinical studies, surgeons found that resection of the primary tumor in metastatic breast cancer could decrease the number of MDSCs and improve patients’ survival [44]. However, another performed primary tumor resection in a metastatic breast cancer mouse model, and pointed out that although resection of the primary tumor created a “window of opportunity” with decreased immune suppression, it did not affect the ultimate development of metastatic breast cancer [45]. Doxorubicin was reported to trigger apoptosis of MDSCs, leading to their elimination, via a mechanism related to ROS [46]. All trans retinoic acid, celecoxib, and phosphodiesterase 5 inhibitors are also reported to reduce the number of MDSCs [5, 47, 48].

Thirdly, treatments relying on reducing the levels of MDSCs’ functional products might also be effective. It has been reported that 1-methyl-L-tryptophan (1-MT) can inhibit MDSC-produced IDO to suppress the inhibitory effect of MDSCs on T cells, which could help to promote the treatment of breast cancer [8].

Actually, considering the existence of MDSCs in attenuating the effect of T cells targeted immunotherapy, combination of targeting MDSCs and T cells simultaneously has been also widely explored. A *Listeria monocytogenes* (LM)-based vaccine, which expresses the tumor-associated antigen Mage-b (LM-Mb), can stimulate the anti-tumor function of CD8+ cells. And c-di-GMP can eliminate MDSCs by promoting maturation of MDSCs. The combined treatment of LM-Mb and c-di-GMP reduced the effect of MDSCs on CD8+ cells, and further augmented anti-tumor immune therapy [39]. Similarly, Curcumin can also improve the efficacy of the LM-Mb vaccine against breast cancer [35]. There was also a report on the combination of adoptive immunotherapy (AIT) using HER2-specific T cells with depletion or inhibition of MDSCs that could also augment the immune treatment [32].

**CONCLUSIONS**

In breast cancer, MDSCs not only attenuate the anti-tumor immunity to promote the growth and metastasis of breast cancer, but also reduce the effect of other immune therapies. There are many methods to tackle with the impact of MDSCs, including reduction of MDSCs and inhibition of their functions. In addition, a combination of MDSC-targeted immune therapy with other types of therapies might work synergistically. Of course, there are limitations. In studies of MDSCs and breast cancer, the underlying mechanisms of MDSCs in promoting metastasis of breast cancer remain obscure. And there is little clinical evidence on the relationship between MDSCs and prognosis of breast cancer patients. The current classification of breast cancer may not be sufficiently guided for immune therapy. Patients receive the monotonous immunotherapy with varied efficiency, making it necessary to take every individual’s level of MDSCs into account. Based on the facts that MDSCs have significant roles in breast cancer malignant behaviors and can be suppressed by various strategies, we do believe MDSC-targeted immunotherapy presents a broad prospect in the future.
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