The Effectiveness of Cognitive Behavioral Therapy With Mindfulness and an Internet Intervention for Obesity: A Case Series
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It is difficult for obese (body mass index of more than 30) and overweight (body mass index of 25–30) people to reduce and maintain their weight. The aim of this case series was to examine the effectiveness of a new cognitive behavioral therapy (CBT) program that combines mindfulness exercises (e.g., the raisin exercise and breathing exercises) and an online intervention to prevent dropout and subsequent weight gain in overweight participants. This case series included three participants, for whom previous weight reduction programs had been unsuccessful. All participants completed the program (60-min, group sessions provided weekly for 9 weeks) and an 18-month follow-up assessment. Results showed that all participants succeeded in losing weight (loss ranged from 5.30 to 8.88% of their total body weight). Although rebound weight gain is commonly observed in the first year following initial weight loss, the follow-up assessment showed that participants achieved further weight loss during the 18-month follow-up period. These results suggest that a CBT program that comprises mindfulness and an online intervention may be an effective method for weight loss and maintenance, and may prevent dropout in obese and overweight individuals.

INTRODUCTION AND BACKGROUND

The basic strategies involved in the treatment of obesity (body mass index [BMI] over 30) and overweight (BMI between 25 and 30) include lifestyle improvements, exercise therapy, and cognitive behavioral therapy (CBT). The structure of standard CBT for obesity and overweight includes self-monitoring, goal-setting, stimulus control, behavioral substitution, and cognitive...
restructuring (1). Numerous studies have reported that the use of CBT is successful in reducing patients’ weight during treatment. However, many patients subsequently regain weight and fail to maintain weight reduction following the completion of treatment (2). In addition, the results of a randomized controlled trial by Cooper et al. (3) found that a new treatment program aimed at weight maintenance was no more effective, relative to basic treatment, in preventing patients from regaining weight (3). These findings indicate that treatment for obesity should be extended to maintain patients’ weight loss.

Moreover, patients frequently drop out of obesity treatment programs, which further complicates the success of treatment (4, 5). Although increasing the frequency of the interventions could prevent dropout and maintain patients’ motivation, it could also lead to several problems involving health resources by increasing patients’ physical, temporal, and psychological burden, which could result in further dropout. Therefore, interventions that are implemented frequently and that reduce these types of burden are required. In this regard, the provision of CBT via the Internet (iCBT) has recently been shown to be as effective as face-to-face treatment for conditions such as depression, anxiety, and insomnia (6–8). Moreover, therapist-guided iCBT may be less time consuming, relative to face-to-face treatment, for both individual and group therapy (9). In addition, mindfulness, which is one of the main concepts in third-wave CBT, is well known for its effectiveness in the treatment of anxiety, depression, and impulsive eating (10–12). Given the promise of both iCBT and mindfulness interventions, we developed a new program involving mindfulness exercises and an online intervention for obesity to prevent participant dropout and improve psychological health. The purpose of this case series was to determine the effectiveness of Cognitive Behavioral Therapy with Mindfulness Exercise and an Internet intervention for Obesity (CBT-MIO).

METHOD

Participants

Participants were recruited via an advertisement placed on the notice board at Kagoshima University in December 2014. The inclusion criteria were as follows: (a) between 18 and 60 years of age, (b) BMI (weight in kg/height in m²) between 25.0 and 40.0, (c) previous lack of success in weight reduction programs, (d) availability to participate in treatment for 9 weeks, (e) access to the Internet on a daily basis, and (f) willingness to participate in the study. The exclusion criteria were as follows: (a) consultation with a doctor for type 1 or 2 diabetes, cardiovascular disease, or mental health disorders, (b) pregnancy, and (c) weight loss within the preceding 6 months because of weight loss success. Table 1 shows the characteristics of participants. Three participants (two female) were included in the study. The age of participants was in 20–30 years, and they were defined as overweight. One of Trait anxiety was high (Participant B), however State anxiety of all participants was in the normal range (13, 14). All participants attended a follow-up assessment 18 months after the final weight loss session.

| Participant | Participant A | Participant B | Participant C |
|-------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| Age (y)     | 20–25         | 20–25         | 25–30         |
| Height (m)  | 1.55          | 1.71          | 1.51          |
| Weight (kg) | 60.80         | 86.00         | 57.50         |
| BMI (kg/m²) | 25.31         | 29.41         | 25.22         |

| STAI        |               |               |               |
|-------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| State       | 37            | 34            | 31            |
| Trait       | 39            | 46            | 33            |

| DEBQ        |               |               |               |
|-------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| Restrained  | 3.10          | 1.41          | 3.80          |
| Emotional   | 3.69          | 1.31          | 1.54          |
| External    | 4.10          | 4.10          | 2.30          |

| FFMQ        |               |               |               |
|-------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| Observing   | 26            | 18            | 25            |
| Describing  | 31            | 24            | 24            |
| Acting with awareness | 28 | 29 | 27 |
| Nonjudging | 21            | 27            | 30            |
| Nonreactivity | 18          | 19            | 23            |

BMI, Body Mass Index; STAI, State-Trait Anxiety Inventory; DEBQ, Dutch Eating Behavior Questionnaire; FFMQ, Five Facet Mindfulness Questionnaire.

**TABLE 1** | Participant characteristics.

CBT-MIO

CBT-MIO was developed based on a well-known CBT program for weight loss and maintenance (2, 3). CBT-MIO aims to aid participants in losing between 5% to 10% of their total body weight and consists of four elements: (a) a psychoeducational intervention designed to promote a healthy diet and physical exercise, and to reduce self-sabotaging thoughts; (b) self-monitoring of daily food intake using a notebook and the use of a social networking sites (SNSs) to upload photographs of self-reported food consumption during the final 4 weeks of the program; (c) mindfulness exercises [e.g., raisin exercise and mindful breathing; (15)] to increase distress tolerance, improve healthy coping strategies, and reduce maladaptive coping strategies (e.g., avoidant and impulsive coping styles that involve emotional eating); and (d) relearning adaptive eating habits.

The diet program consisted of weekly 60-min group sessions implemented for 9 weeks. The program was divided into two sections. Specifically, during the first 4 weeks of the program, participants received only face-to-face therapy, and in the subsequent 5 weeks of the program, participants were required to upload their daily food intake and activities to an SNS page (e.g., Facebook) and discuss them with other participants in a supportive manner (Figure 1). Participants and therapists made positive comments regarding participants’ adaptive eating behaviors and suggested additional ideas concerning adaptive thoughts and the implementation of action plans in critical situations involving food-related temptation, such as dinner parties. A follow-up assessment was performed 18 months later.

**Outcomes**

The primary outcome was BMI, which was calculated using participants’ weight and height, and secondary outcomes were
scores on the Dutch Eating Behavior Questionnaire [DEBQ; (16, 17)], State-Trait Anxiety Inventory [STAI; (13, 14)], and Five Facet Mindfulness Questionnaire [FFMQ; (18, 19)].

The DEBQ is a 33-item self-report questionnaire that assesses comprehensive eating behavior associated with obesity. It contains subscales for three different types of eating behaviors: restrained, emotional, and external eating. Total scores on each subscale are derived from average item responses, with higher scores reflecting greater emotional and external eating, and lower scores reflecting more restrained eating.

The STAI is a 40-item self-report instrument that is widely used for the assessment of state and trait anxiety. State anxiety is transitory in nature and is characterized by subjective feelings of tension, apprehension, and nervousness. Trait anxiety refers to relatively stable individual differences in anxiety proneness, with higher scores indicating more serious symptoms of anxiety.

The FFMQ is a 39-item self-report questionnaire that measures five aspects of mindfulness: observing, describing, acting with awareness, nonjudging, and nonreactivity to inner experience. Observing means noticing or attending to internal and external experiences, such as sensations, thoughts, or emotions. Describing refers to labeling internal experiences with words. Acting with awareness includes focusing on one’s activities in the moment as opposed to behaving mechanically. Nonjudging refers to taking a non-evaluative stance toward thoughts and feelings. Nonreactivity to inner experience refers to allowing thoughts and feelings to come and go, without being caught up in or carried away by them. Each item is rated on a 5-point Likert scale (1 = Never or rarely true; 5 = Very often or always true), with higher scores reflecting greater mindfulness.

BMI was assessed at every session, and the DEBQ, STAI, and FFMQ were administered at baseline (Session 1), Session 9, and the follow-up assessment 18 months after Session 9.

Ethics
The study was approved by the University of Kagoshima Human Research Ethics Committee (registered No. 26-13). Written informed consent was obtained from all participants before participation.

Result
Figure 2 shows the participants flow through this case series. All participants succeeded in losing more than 5% of their total body weight. The proportions of total body weight lost by individual participants were 6.91% (Participant A), 5.30% (Participant B), and 8.88% (Participant C). As shown in Table 2, all participants also exhibited additional weight loss at the follow-up assessment (Participant A: 13.98%, Participant B: 7.91%, Participant C: 10.98%). Moreover, relative to baseline, participants’ scores on the DEBQ restrained subscale and the FFMQ increased, whereas their scores on the DEBQ emotional and external subscales and the STAI decreased (Table 2).

DISCUSSION
The purpose of this case series was to examine the effectiveness of CBT-MIO in previously unsuccessful overweight participants, which was designed to promote weight loss and prevent dropout...
TABLE 2 | Changes to weight and psychological health before, during, and after treatment.

|                | Participant A |               | Participant B |               | Participant C |               |
|----------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
|                | Baseline      | Initial weight loss (%) | Weight loss at follow-up (%) | Baseline      | Initial weight loss (%) | Weight loss at follow-up (%) | Baseline      | Initial weight loss (%) | Weight loss at follow-up (%) |
| BMI (kg/m²)    | 25.31         | 23.56 6.91%   | 21.77 13.98%  | 29.65         | 28.08 5.30%   | 27.09 7.91%   | 25.22         | 22.98 8.88%   | 22.46 10.98%   |
| STAI SCORES    |               |               |               |               |               |               |               |               |               |
| State          | 37            | 22             | 20            | 34            | 32             | 24            | 31            | 39             | 31             |
| Trait          | 39            | 28             | 20            | 46            | 39             | 30            | 33            | 36             | 32             |
| DEBQ SCORES    |               |               |               |               |               |               |               |               |               |
| Restrained     | 3.10          | 4.00           | 4.00          | 1.41          | 4.30           | 3.00          | 3.80          | 3.50           | 4.60           |
| Emotional      | 3.89          | 2.23           | 2.00          | 1.31          | 1.15           | 1.00          | 1.54          | 1.08           | 1.00           |
| External       | 4.10          | 3.00           | 3.70          | 4.10          | 2.50           | 2.00          | 2.30          | 2.10           | 2.30           |
| FFMQ SCORES    |               |               |               |               |               |               |               |               |               |
| Observing      | 26            | 37             | 37            | 18            | 20             | 21            | 25            | 23             | 30             |
| Describing     | 31            | 32             | 33            | 24            | 26             | 27            | 24            | 27             | 30             |
| Acting with awareness | 28 | 29 | 36 | 29 | 29 | 29 | 27 | 31 | 34 |
| Nonjudging     | 21            | 21             | 32            | 27            | 35             | 32            | 30            | 37             | 29             |
| Nonreactivity  | 18            | 26             | 30            | 19            | 26             | 24            | 23            | 23             | 31             |

BMI, Body Mass Index; DEBQ, Dutch Eating Behavior Questionnaire; FFMQ, Five Facet Mindfulness Questionnaire; STAI, State-Trait Anxiety Inventory. Weight loss was calculated as the proportion of total body weight lost. Baseline = Session 1, Initial weight loss = Session 9. Weight loss at follow-up = 18 months after Session 9.

and subsequent weight gain. With respect to dropout, all of the participants completed the program, including the follow-up assessment, suggesting that CBT-MIO was effective in preventing dropout.

With regard to weight reduction, all participants succeeded in losing more than 5% of their total body weight. Considering that the weight loss goals for obese and overweight individuals in previous studies using CBT are between 5 and 10% (2, 3, 20, 21), the rate of weight reduction in the current study was satisfactory. In addition, while rebound weight gain is commonly observed in the first year following initial weight loss, all of the participants in the current study achieved further weight loss during the 18-month follow-up period (22).

Furthermore, all of the participants demonstrated positive changes in psychological health, and these effects persisted at the 18-month follow-up assessment. These positive changes in psychological health may have resulted from the mindfulness exercise, as previous research has also found that mindfulness interventions reduce STAI scores and increase FFMQ scores (23, 24). In view of these findings, the mindfulness exercises designed to promote appropriate eating behavior in CBT-MIO were effective in improving participants’ psychological health, as reflected by changes in their DEBQ, STAI, and FFMQ scores. These results indicate that simply noticing bodily sensations, thoughts, memories, emotions, and fantasies via mindfulness exercises may be a particularly salient feature in changing conditioned patterns of eating (25). Indeed, during CBT-MIO sessions in the current study, participants reported that they recognized their impulse to eat and their automatic eating behaviors (e.g., binge eating, picking, and stress-related eating) by achieving a “here and now” awareness of their behavior, thoughts, bodily sensations, and feelings. In doing so, they were able to consider alternative cognitive behavioral plans for eating. Therefore, mindfulness may serve as a reminder that prompts individuals to use established cognitive behavioral strategies in managing their eating behaviors, instead of reverting to more maladaptive coping strategies.

Despite the above findings, the current study is subject to several limitations. First, the study sample was very small. In addition, the study was a single-arm, open study that did not include a control group. Moreover, participants used their smartphones to upload photographs of self-reported food consumption onto SNSs. Given that all participants in the current study were familiar with smartphones, this program would not be suitable for individuals who do not use or are not familiar with smartphones (e.g., older adults or individuals with financial problems). Future studies that include larger samples and control groups, such as randomized controlled trials, could address these limitations.

CONCLUDING REMARKS

In conclusion, CBT-MIO may be an effective method to facilitate weight reduction in obese and overweight individuals, prevent patient dropout, and promote the weight maintenance.
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