3D disordered networks are receiving increasing attention as they represent a versatile architecture for highly scattering materials. However, due to their complex morphology, little is known about the interplay between their structural and optical properties. A simple algorithm that allows for the generation of photonic-network structures inspired by that of the *Cyphochilus* beetle, famous for the bright-white reflectance of its thin cuticular scales, is described. This model allows the degree of structural anisotropy and filling fraction of the network to be varied independently, revealing the key contribution of these two parameters to the overall scattering efficiency. Rigorous numerical simulations show that structures obtained with the use of this algorithm can exceed the broadband reflectance of the beetle while using less material, providing new insight into the design of advanced scattering materials.

Bright white materials owe their diffuse reflectance to the presence of multiple light scattering over a broad wavelength range. Typically, ensuring that all visible frequencies undergo scattering events require a combination of high refractive index materials and thick scattering layers. In fact, there is a limit up to which scattering elements can be efficiently packed into a thin layer, above which the permittivity contrast drops due to effective medium considerations, and structural coloration effects can arise due to spatial correlations. How these effects come into play when increasing the number of scattering elements is a complex topic which is under active investigation even for simple spherical scatterers,[2–4] with little results available for more realistic 3D disordered material distributions.

In particular, fibrous and network-like diffusers have recently raised considerable interest because of their outstanding scattering properties.[5–9] Depending on their orientation, elongated elements can exhibit very different scattering cross-sections, and their collective alignment offers an additional degree of freedom to tune light transport properties in these media. Indeed, shapes such as prolate ellipsoids or cylinders can be packed up to higher densities delaying the onset of spatial correlations at the cost of increased angular correlations.[10–12] Interestingly, both these aspects—namely, the high density and prevalent orientation exhibited by packed rods—can contribute to increase the overall turbidity, which makes cylinders particularly suited to realize highly turbid materials with a flat response over a broadband wavelength range.[13] Indeed, the smallest possible transport mean free path for a given refractive index contrast reported so far in the visible range has been obtained with GaP nanocylinders[14] and, for lower refractive index materials, claims of optimized scattering exist for the chitinous network structure of the *Cyphochilus* beetle.[5,13] In recent years, the latter has inspired an array of bio-mimicking materials attempting to reproduce its outstanding efficiency in terms of strong light scattering and limited material usage, taking advantage of a wide range of fabrication techniques including electro-spinning, super critical CO2 foaming, polymer phase separation, and direct laser writing,[6,7,15–17] to name a few.

However, as opposed to nanoparticle systems, network materials are characterized by several additional aspects other than number density and spatial correlations, which makes it difficult to understand what key parameters should be optimized to design highly scattering network structures. Few notable examples include phase percolation, angular correlation, and network valence, all of which concur in determining their scattering properties.[13,18–20] In this respect, simple generative models for photonic structures allowing to investigate the effects of these parameters separately are much needed to gain insight on their role and relevance.

In this work, we describe a simple branching random walk (BRW) algorithm to generate random network structures inspired by that of the *Cyphochilus* beetle. Notably, the model allows to control and vary independently the volume fraction and degree of angular correlations without altering structural parameters such as the slab thickness, the shape, and aspect ratio of the constituent elements. The optical and transport properties of the generated structures have been investigated through finite difference time domain (FDTD) calculations and an inverse Monte Carlo (MC) approach, showing that the bright reflectance of the *Cyphochilus* beetle can be easily matched and surpassed by acting solely on the degree of anisotropy and the volume fraction of the network. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first rigorous demonstration of the key role played by structural anisotropy in highly reflective disordered samples.
In our algorithm, a network structure is iteratively grown in a $7 \times 7 \times 7 \mu m^3$ cubic cell with periodic boundary conditions (PBCs) by adding rod-like scatterers corresponding to the steps of a 3D bifurcating random walk. At each iteration, two new rods (steps) are attached to any loose end of the current network (walk), with length $l$ and direction $(\theta, \psi)$ drawn from predefined distributions (Figure 1a and Figure S1 in the Supporting Information). A starting condition of $\approx 1$ rod/50 $\mu m^3$ is set to obtain a more uniform material distribution.

Network isotropy in the $xy$ plane is obtained by drawing the azimuthal angle $\psi$ uniformly between [0, $\pi$], while the polar angle $\theta$ is drawn from a Gaussian distribution centered around $\pi/2$. The degree of network anisotropy is tuned by changing the standard deviation $\sigma_\theta$ for the polar distribution, with smaller values corresponding to more layered/anisotropic arrangements. Finally, an isotropic network is also generated for reference. All rods have a fixed diameter of $d = 250$ nm and length $l \in (0,2\ell)$ sampled from a truncated Gaussian distribution with mean $\mu = 1 \mu m$ and $\sigma_l = 0.7 \mu m$ in accordance with tomographic reconstruction of the beetle’s structure[8,21] (see also the Supporting Information). The refractive index of the rods is set to 1.56, as in the case of chitin.[22,23]

Since our main focus is on the role of volume fraction and structural anisotropy, we purposely minimize the presence of spatial correlations by allowing each new rod to intersect previously placed elements. This is not necessarily in contrast with the beetle structure, whose electron microscopy sections also show the occurrence of dense regions with touching or merged rods.[21,24] To obtain more homogenous material distributions, loose ends of newly placed rods falling closer than 2$d$ to the extremity of any previously placed rod are set to coincide, and the growth of that branch is stopped. The overall growth process stops when the target volume fraction $\phi$ is reached.

Figure 1c,d shows the 3D rendering of two illustrative portions of dense ($\phi = 0.6$) structures with isotropic or highly anisotropic alignment distributions, along with exemplary cross-sections and their reflectance obtained by FDTD calculations.

Structures with different volume fractions of chitin and different degrees of structural anisotropy have been generated for each combination of $\phi \in [0.1, 0.15, 0.2, 0.25, 0.3, 0.4, 0.5, 0.6, 0.7]$ and $\sigma_\theta \in [0.2, 0.5, 0.9, 1.2]$ rad, plus the isotropic case. For the sake of simplicity, data corresponding to isotropic configurations are plotted at $\sigma_\theta = \pi/2$. The optical properties of the network are investigated using the FDTD software package MEEP[25] on 3D cells with PBC along $x$ and $y$ and 1.5 $\mu m$ thick perfectly matched layer along $z$ (see the Supporting Information). A plane-wave source emits a Gaussian pulse propagating along $z$ with central frequency $\nu_c = 1/0.6$ in MEEP units (corresponding to $\lambda_c = 0.6 \mu m$) and full width $\Delta \nu = 1$.
All simulated structures within the explored parameter space exhibit a flat reflectance spectrum qualitatively similar to those shown in Figure 1c,d, with varying brightness depending on the \((\phi, \sigma_\theta)\) values. Figure 2a shows an overview of the reflectance values obtained at \(\lambda = 550\, \text{nm}\). A maximum of the reflectance corresponding to optimized scattering efficiency is visible for highly anisotropic networks \((\sigma_\theta = 0.2\, \text{rad})\) and intermediate volume fraction \((0.3 < \phi < 0.4)\). Albeit lower, these values are in qualitative agreement with previous volume fraction measurements\(^8\) and confirm that inducing a more “layered” orientation of the scattering rods provides a higher scattering efficiency along the perpendicular direction.\(^{13}\)

To translate our results into a radiative transfer picture, we performed an inverse MC analysis of the total reflectance data to retrieve corresponding transport mean free path values for each structure using the MCPlusPlus software library.\(^{26}\) Compared to models based on the diffusion approximation, MC inversion methods represent the gold standard to retrieve scattering parameters with high accuracy and precision.\(^{27–30}\) We built a reflectance look-up table for each value of the volume fraction \(\phi\) and \(\ell_t\) of 0.1, 0.2, ..., 10\(\mu m\) using a plane parallel slab geometry. The effective refractive index \(n(\phi)\) is calculated using the Maxwell Garnett mixing formula for consistency with previous publications.\(^5\) Due to its symmetry properties, Bruggeman’s formula represents another common model especially when the different materials occupy a comparable volume fraction.\(^{31}\) We note, however, that due to the low permittivity contrast in our samples, results obtained with either approach are basically indistinguishable (see the Supporting Information). Interpolating the look-up table allows to invert it providing an estimate of \(\ell_t\) along \(z\) for each configuration, as shown in Figure 2b. A polynomial fit shows that the shortest transport mean free path is obtained for the most anisotropic structure tested \((\sigma_\theta = 0.2\, \text{rad})\) and a filling fraction \(\phi = 0.39\). At a wavelength of 550\, nm, the minimum value is \(\ell_t = 1.69\, \mu m\), in qualitative agreement with previous experimental measurements.\(^{5,18}\)

Using the same method, it is possible to estimate the degree of transport anisotropy in the BRW structure by performing multiple FDTD calculations impinging on the cubic cell from different directions. Figure 3a shows the results obtained on strongly scattering configurations \((\sigma_\theta = 0.2\, \text{rad}, \phi = 0.4)\) for light impinging at perpendicular \((along z)\) and parallel \((along x)\) incidence, which further confirms the higher diffuse reflectance of anisotropic structures when illuminated from the perpendicular direction to the plane of preferential alignment of the scattering elements. Retrieved \(\ell_t\) values for the two sample orientations show that the transport mean free path for lateral illumination is on average 1.63 ± 0.06 times longer than that at perpendicular incidence over the whole frequency range.

It is interesting to compare our results to those recently obtained by FDTD calculations on the tomographic 3D reconstruction of an actual Cyphochilus scale\(^8\) (Figure 3b). In their work, Wilts et al. have also studied the role of structural anisotropy and volume fraction by applying, respectively, a uniaxial compression and an erosion/dilation transformation to the tomographic reconstruction. However, the concurrent modifications to the slab thickness, its weight, the elementary rod shape, and their aspect ratio induced by both these deformations make it difficult to assign unambiguously a reflectivity change to just one of these factors.

Conversely, by tuning each parameter separately in our BRW generative algorithm, we are able to test their role without altering the geometric properties of the elementary building blocks or the resulting slab assembly. We find that the diffuse reflectance obtained by an optimized BRW structure can exceed that of the actual Cyphochilus beetle, resulting in a ≈16% \(\ell_t\) reduction along the perpendicular direction (Figure 3b) and a lower weight. In regard to previous claims on the optimally scattering performance of the Cyphochilus structure,\(^{5,8}\) our results show that...
either the degree of spatial or angular correlations (or both) in the elytral scales is sub-optimal, and that it is indeed possible to obtain significantly brighter structures improving the network morphology while also reducing its volume fraction. Further optimization of both these aspects should be possible, given the naive and unrefined approach that we implemented for this simple algorithm.

It should be kept in mind that the chitinous network of the *Cyphochilus* scales represents a trade-off between multiple goals, possibly including lightness, thermoregulation, and mechanical stability. Nonetheless, we note that our compenetrating BRW algorithm is also designed to form an interconnected phase through the unit cell to generate self-sustaining structures. Moreover, we note that previous optimization claims are recently being further questioned by the demonstration of simple techniques allowing to fabricate similar network-like polymer structures with scattering properties that significantly reduce the turbidity at volume fractions comparable or higher to that of the beetle.[4]

In conclusion, we have designed a simple algorithm to generate network-like structures with tunable anisotropy for highly efficient, broadband light scattering. Our algorithm takes inspiration from the complex network structure of the *Cyphochilus* beetles scales and reduces it to just two basic properties, namely, its volume fraction and degree of anisotropy. To our knowledge, this allowed us to unambiguously demonstrate and
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quantify the importance of structural anisotropy in random network materials for the first time. Numerical calculations show that the obtained structures can match and even surpass the bright whiteness of an equivalent volume of the beetle’s ultrastructure, demonstrating that additional factors such as spatial correlations or network valence do not play a significant role in explaining its high, broadband reflectance.

Our numerical results suggest that the elytral scales of the Cyphochilus beetle do not represent an optimal structure in terms of weight and scattering efficiency, as similar morphologies can be easily found that are structurally stable, lighter, and more turbid at the same time. This general observation seems confirmed by the recent development of polymer-based materials which are clearly not as structurally refined as the beetle’s chitinous network, and yet exhibit a significantly superior optical performance over the visible frequency range.

Thanks to its simplicity and flexibility, we believe that our model can help deepen our understanding of the rich optical properties of interconnected network materials, as well as streamlining the generation, simulation, and fabrication of novel materials with advanced scattering properties.
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