The Pivotal Role of Major Chromosomes of Sub-Genomes A and D in Fiber Quality Traits of Cotton
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Lack of precise information about the candidate genes involved in a complex quantitative trait is a major obstacle in the cotton fiber quality improvement, and thus, overall genetic gain in conventional phenotypic selection is low. Recent molecular interventions and advancements in genome sequencing have led to the development of high-throughput molecular markers, quantitative trait locus (QTL) fine mapping, and single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs). These advanced tools have resolved the existing bottlenecks in trait-specific breeding. This review demonstrates the significance of chromosomes 3, 7, 9, 11, and 12 of sub-genomes A and D carrying candidate genes for fiber quality. However, chromosome 7 carrying SNPs for stable and potent QTLs related to fiber quality provides great insights for fiber quality-targeted research. This information can be validated by marker-assisted selection (MAS) and transgene in Arabidopsis and subsequently in cotton.
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INTRODUCTION

Cotton is a major cash crop cultivated worldwide (Nadeem et al., 2021). It belongs to the Hibiscus or mallow family (Malvaceae) (Wendel et al., 2009). Cotton as a natural fiber is considered a potential cash crop mainly for the textile industry. The demand of the textile industry is increasing due to the high consumption rate and considerable marketability. It is planted over 80 countries in the world. Of the 52 species of Gossypium known to date, only four species, i.e., G. hirsutum, G. barbadense, G. arboreum, and G. herbaceum are mostly cultivated around the globe (Fryxell, 1992; Kunbo et al., 2018). Gossypium hirsutum has considerable adaptability to different environments and better yield compared with the other cultivated species, covering almost 95% needs of the global population (Chen et al., 2007). Allopolyploid cotton was originated by the hybridization of extinct progenitors of G. herbaceum (A1) or G. arboreum (A2) and G. raimondii (D5) (Wendel, 1989). Upland cotton (G. hirsutum) was first domesticated about 4,000–5,000 years ago. Later on, evolutionary studies and
domestications divided the upland cotton into three groups, namely, improved modern cultivars, land races, and semi-wild (Fang et al., 2017). *Gossypium hirsutum* belonging to semi-wild is recognized with seven races (Hutchinson, 1951). The genus *Gossypium* consists of 45 diploid (2n = 2x = 26) and seven tetraploid (2n = 4x = 52) species. The diploid species are clustered into eight genomic groups (A–G and K) (Wang M. et al., 2019). Interspecific hybridization generated allotetraploids in America, and subsequently, polyploidization of A and D sub-genomes took place. Approximately, 1–2 million years ago, these two sub-genomes reassembled during the geographical change. Probably, *G. herbaceum* (A1) and *G. arboresum* (A2) are the ancestral donors of genome A, while *G. raimondii* (D5) is the donor of genome D (Hu et al., 2019). Normally, species of A genome bring out spinnable fibers, while D genome species are not cultivated. Approximately, worldwide, more than 90% annual cotton is met by *G. hirsutum* (AD)1-Upland cotton (USDA, 2019b).

Generally, the fiber length and strength are principal among all other fiber quality traits including fiber uniformity and micronaire (Yang et al., 2016). Fiber quality traits are paramount for the spinning technology in the textile industry, and they influence the fiber processing and dyeing consistency (Rodgers et al., 2017). Both fiber quality and yield are complex quantitative traits. The fiber yield trait is controlled by multiple genes and is much influenced by epigenetics, whereas the fiber quality is mainly controlled by the gene additive effect and is less affected by the environment (Ming-bao et al., 2008; Zhang et al., 2017).

Although fiber development studies are being carried out for many years, the molecular bases are still hardly known (Zhang B. et al., 2013). Molecular markers are potential tools for QTL mapping and dissecting the molecular processes of fiber quality characteristics. At least, 1,075 and 1,059 QTLs from 58 to 30 research studies, respectively, have been suggested with intraspecific and interspecific crosses (Said et al., 2015). These can further be validated by gene cloning to dissect the processes of complex traits. Moreover, functional studies explain the underlying molecular processes of fiber development in upland cotton.

Genome sequencing provides recent insights into the genome of cotton and has explored significant variations among the species or cultivars. The availability of the whole-genome sequence provides comprehensive information of fiber and fiber-related characteristics. The initial research study was started with the closest diploid progenitor *G. raimondii* (D5) and *G. arboresum* (A2) (Zhang et al., 2015a) which expanded to other tetraploid species *G. barbadense* (AD)2 and *G. hirsutum* (AD)1 (Hu et al., 2019). Allotetraploid species are economically significant in natural fiber production worldwide. *Gossypium hirsutum* produces better fiber yield, whereas *G. barbadense* even under harsh environmental conditions, can produce superior quality fiber over a process of evolution. The genetic foundations of these interspecies divergences are unrevealed. The information generated on the genome assembly and sequencing enables the breeders to revamp the fiber quality and resilience to variable environments (Yang et al., 2019). Reverse genetic techniques such as gene silencing (Kuttenkeuler and Boutros, 2004), targeted gene disruption by homologous recombination (Iida and Terada, 2004), insertional mutagenesis (Stemple, 2004), and chemical mutagenesis (Gilchrist and Haughn, 2005) play a vital role in identifying the function of the gene phenotypically. The genome sequencing information can help breeders to better understand the variations in the genome structure of chromosomes over-time, producing better fiber yield and quality. Based on this genetic information, the studies can be expanded for transgenes using recent molecular approaches. This comprehensive review provides the significance of different stable QTLs and candidate genes located on different regions of the chromosomes, with a special insight of chromosome 7 in upland cotton exploring the potential for improved fiber quality and quantity.

**COTTON FIBER STRUCTURE AND COMPOSITION**

Primarily, the cotton fiber is composed of 88.0–96.5% of cellulose (Goldwaith and Guthrie, 1954); however, a secondary cell wall is solely made of cellulose. The non-cellulosic components are located either on the cuticle or inside the lumen. They include proteins (1.0–1.9%), waxes (0.4–1.2%), pectins (0.4–1.2%), inorganics (0.7–1.6%), and other (0.5–8.0%) substances (Fan et al., 2005). The composition of cotton fiber undergoes dynamic changes throughout its development. During the early stage of 0–2 DPA, 1) xyloglucan is depleted, and the outer sheath is developed 2) an epitope (1–6)-β-D-galactan is lost carrying arabinose (Vaughn and Turley, 1999; Bowling et al., 2011), and 3) synthesis of the cotton fiber middle lamella (CFML) begins. This outer thin primary cell wall augmented with fucosylated and non-fucosylated xyloglucan and homogalacturonan helps connect adjacent fibers into tissue-like bundles, assembling into firmly packed fibers within the confined boll space (Singh et al., 2009).

The inner primary wall of the cotton fiber contains ~22% crystalline cellulose fibrils surrounded by pectin and xyloglucan (Singh et al., 2009). In the cotton fiber, the glycome profiling of the fiber shows the presence of xylan and acetylated mannan (Pattathil et al., 2010). During the transition phase of primary and secondary cell wall deposition, degradative enzymes break down the CFML to release the fibers individually—a phenomenon in which primary wall-related sugars are lessened, cell wall hydrolases are upregulated, pectin is reduced, and xyloglucan molecular mass, as secondary wall synthesis, begins (Gou et al., 2007; Singh et al., 2009).

A unique winding of cell wall layers occurs during the transition of primary and secondary walls. This unique winding is similar to the S1 layer in the wood fiber (Seagull, 1993) (Figure 1). During the same transition phase rearrangement of microtubules, a decline in the respiration rate and change in the concentration of metabolic sugars occur, whereas the cellulose synthesis rate increases to ~35% (w/w), and the CFML undergoes degradation (Singh et al., 2009). Although, wall thickening is minimal at this stage, fiber strength increases significantly, seemingly because of differentially placed cellulose microfibrils in the layer. So the secondary wall of cotton fiber becomes 3–6 μm thick (Hinchliffe et al., 2011).
COTTON FIBER DEVELOPMENTAL BIOLOGY

The cotton fiber is a seed-borne epidermal trichome. It is made of thickened and elongated single cells. The turgor pressure in the cell causes an expansion in the cotton fiber. (Cosgrove, 1997). Fiber development occurs 3 days before and after anthesis coupled with enlargement of epidermal cells and protrusion of trichomes. Both the lint percentage and lint index are positively associated with the density of trichome protrusion (0 DPA) and fiber initials (1 DPA) in some cultivars of *G. hirsutum* (Li et al., 2009). Elongation of the fiber starts within a period of 2DPA to 20DPA, followed by initiation. The twisting of elongating fibers generates several fibers (Haigler et al., 2012). Cellulose synthesis takes place at 10–16 DPA, stimulating the closing of plasmodesmata that is paramount for fiber elongation. At the cellular level, the mechanism of the *GhVINI* gene expression (Wang et al., 2010), expression of pectin biosynthesis genes (Pang et al., 2010), expression of expansins (Li et al., 2016a), and aquaporin proteins and their corresponding genes is stimulated, which plays a key role in development of cotton fibers (Liu et al., 2008). Additionally, during cotton fiber differentiation, DNA methylation has been reported, which is related with the modification of chromatin (Wang et al., 2016).

Fiber elongation stops during the transition of cell elongation to cell wall biosynthesis making the secondary cell wall (SCW) thickened with the upregulation of SCW genes. The rate of synthesis of cellulose, the orientation of its fibrils, and the individual length of the cellulose chain synthesized by cellulose synthase directly deals with the thickness of the SCW (Betancur et al., 2010). In sclerenchymatous cells, several flavonoids and lignin biosynthesis pathways are involved in the regulation of SCW synthesis (Zhao and Dixon, 2011) (Figure 2).

The single cell expression system provides greater insight on understanding the mechanism of fiber development (Haigler et al., 2009). During cell wall thickening, the primary cell wall (PCW) feature of *Arabidopsis* leaf trichomes relates to the SCW of the cotton fiber (Betancur et al., 2010). Four stress-related genes were identified, and the expression pattern was analyzed. The phenotypic data represented that abiotic stress affects the overexpression of the cotton genes in *Arabidopsis* (Li et al., 2020). In another study, it was reported that the treatment of sodium chloride enhances the gene expression for Δ-pyrroline-5-carboxylase synthetase, sucrose synthase, cellulose synthase A, and sucrose phosphate synthase in leaves and fibers of the transgenic plants (Kesanakurti et al., 2010). Furthermore, the study reported that salt stress shows diverse responses over O-methyltransferase genes during fiber development and may be involved in the salt tolerance of *Gossypium* species (Hafeez et al., 2021). This characteristic provides a roadmap to further validate the functions of related genes.

ROLE OF MAJOR GENES IN FIBER QUALITY DEVELOPMENT ACROSS THE WHOLE GENOME

Transcript profiling is important in providing information about various phases of fiber development. Transcription factor (TF) families such as MYB, C2H2, and WRKY are involved in fiber initiation (Zhang et al., 2010). Different genes are differentially
expressed at earlier stages of fiber initiation and development in different species of *Gossypium* (Samuel Yang et al., 2006; Zhang et al., 2010). Plant hormones also play a key role in fiber development. For example, *GhPIN*-mediated auxin transport is involved in the ovule-specific suppression of the *GhPIN* gene, which reveals its involvement in fiber initiation (Zhang J. et al., 2016). A candidate gene *Pel* (Accession ID: DQ073046) on chromosome 7 has been reported, which is involved in the breakdown of de-esterified pectin and in the fiber elongation process (Bai et al., 2014). Some of the potential genes with their accession numbers are listed in Table 1. A schematic diagram represents the involvement of genes associated with the fiber strength and length (Figure 3).

Molecular breeding has indicated some potential genes with a notable role in the fiber quality, yet they need to be verified through functional genomics or other advanced molecular techniques including the transgenic technology (Table 2). Two major genomic regions (MGR1 and MGR2) of upland cotton on chromosome D47 were reported with four potential candidate genes corresponding to the fiber length and fiber strength. It was found that MGR1 regions contain three putative candidate genes qualified for the fiber length (FL), while the MGR2 region corresponding to CotAD_35088 qualified for both fiber length and fiber strength (Su et al., 2016). Similarly, a CSSL line (MBI7747 and MBI7561) was established with a cross of high-yielding CCR145 (*G. hirsutum*) and high-quality fiber Hai1 (*G. barbadense*) species, and potential candidate genes were found (Lu et al., 2017).

During fiber development, differential expression studies help to find out fiber quality-related genes. For example, two recombinant inbred lines (RILs), differing in fiber quality, were taken from the *intra-hirsutum* population. The expression profiling differences and fiber quality-related genes were explored by RNA sequencing. It was noted that differentially expressed genes (DEG) 72/27, 1137/1584, 437/393, 1019/184, and 2555/1479 were up/downregulated in lines (L1 high-fiber quality and L2 low-fiber quality) at 10, 15, 20, 25, and 30-day post-anthesis, respectively. Hence, 363 DEGs between L1 and L2 were co-localized in fiber strength QTL. Seven expression profiles were identified through short time-series expression minor (STEM) analysis. Kyoto Encyclopedia of Gene and Genomes (KEGG) and gene ontology (GO) annotations were performed to identify differences in the gene function associated with two lines L1 and L2 (Zou et al., 2019). The five modules of specific fiber development stages mainly for fiber quality were assessed by co-expression network analysis. It was noted that the relationship

### Table 1: Major genes for fiber development across the whole genome of *G. hirsutum*.

| Gene       | Accession ID | Protein/Enzyme                  | Primary function of gene                        | Reference                          |
|------------|--------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| CEL        | AY574906     | Endo 1,4-β-glucanase            | Plays a major role in secondary cell wall        | Pear et al. (1996)                |
| CIPK1      | EF363889     | CBL-interacting protein kinase  | Regulates fiber elongation                       | Gao et al. (2007)                 |
| BG         | DQ193999     |β-1,4-Glucanase                  | Regulates biosynthesis of the secondary cell wall| Ma et al. (2008)                  |
| RacA       | DG667981     | Small GTPase                    | Regulates fiber development                      | Li et al. (2005b)                 |
| LTP3       | AF293333     | Lipid transfer protein gene     | Regulates primary cell wall synthesis            | Liu et al. (2000)                 |
| CAM7       | TC323866     | Calmodulin protein              | Regulates the cotton fiber length                | Cheng et al. (2016)               |
| GhE6       | BM366939     | Fiber protein E6                | Involved in biosynthesis of fiber               | John and Keller, (1996)           |
| GhCESA1    | U582823      | Cellulose synthase A catalytic subunit 8 | Regulates secondary cell wall synthesis        | Pear et al. (1996)                |
| 14-3-3L    | DQ402076     | 14-3-3                        | Expresses during fiber development               | Shi et al. (2007)                 |
| CeltA3     | AF150630     | Cellulose synthase catalytic subunit| Regulates cellulose synthesis                    | Zhang et al. (2012)               |
| Exp        | DO062050     | Expansin                        | Involved in fiber length                         | Zhu et al. (2012)                 |
| Pel        | DO073046     | Pectate lyase                   | Responsible for fiber elongation                 | Bai et al. (2014)                 |
| Sus1       | U75588       | Sucrose synthase                | Involved in fiber elongation initiation and elongation | Ruan et al. (2003)               |
| Hox3       | 10790474     | Homeo-domain protein            | Differentially expressed for fiber elongation    | Wang et al. (2004)                |
| GhUB1      | AF487511     | Beta tubulin                    | Associated with fiber elongation                 | Zhang et al. (2003)               |
| GhGlctAT1  | AY346330     | Glicuronsyl transferase-like protein | Associated with non-cellulosic cell wall synthesis | Wu et al. (2006)                  |
| CesA4      | 817092       | Cellulose synthase A catalytic subunit 3 UDP-forming- 1 protein | Regulates post-translational modifications       | Polko and Kieber, (2019)          |
| GhGlctA1   | AY346330     | Glicuronsyl transferase-like protein | Associated with non-cellulosic cell wall synthesis | Wu et al. (2006)                  |
| CAP        | AB014884     | Adenylyl cyclase-associated protein | Expresses during early development of fiber       | Kawai et al. (1999)               |
| CeltA1     | GHJ58283     | Cellulose synthase              | Regulates cellulose synthesis                     | Chen and Burke, (2015)            |
| Exp1       | DC020495     | a-Expansin 1                    | Involved in fiber length                         | Shi et al. (2006)                 |
| ACT1       | AY305723     | Actin 1                         | Differentially expressed during fiber elongation | Li et al. (2005a)                 |
| Mna2       | AY187062     | β-Mannosidase                   | Involved in fiber elongation                      | Zhu et al., 2011                  |
| Racs1      | DG315791     | Small GTPase                    | Involved in fiber quality parameters             | Li et al. (2005b)                 |
| pGhEx1     | AF043284     | Expansin-A4-like                | Vital in fiber elongation                        | Orford and Timmis, (1998)         |
| CeltA1     | AF150630     | Cellulose synthase catalytic subunit| Development of cotton fiber                     | Zhu et al. (2012)                 |
| SusA1      | HO702185     | Sucrose synthase                | Expresses during fiber elongation                 | Jiang et al. (2012)               |

Note: Some of the key genes listed in Table 1 are taken from the study by Ijaz et al. (2019).
between hub and other genes can be revealed by the correlation network (Zou et al., 2019). Furthermore, transcriptome and QTL analysis of 780 differently expressed genes were studied (Wang et al., 2020).

**SIGNIFICANCE OF CURRENT TECHNOLOGIES AND COTTON FIBER DEVELOPMENT**

**Molecular Markers and the Linkage Map for Quantitative Trait Locus Identification**

The high-density linkage map, germplasm evolution, and phylogenetic analysis are concomitant with the implication of molecular markers. These applications are highly helpful and efficient for the mapping of stable QTLs and recognition of genes related with development of fibers. Reinisch et al. (1994) developed the first genetic map using 705 RFLP markers that covered a length of 4,675 cm. Subsequently, different genetic maps were developed for fiber quality traits (Kohel et al., 2001). Molecular markers have become an integral component for the determination of fiber quality-related traits. The information can be applied across chromosomes of the *Gossypium* species to identify fiber quality-related QTLs and candidate genes.

Recombination inbred lines (RILs) and doubled haploids, F₂, F₂:₃, and BC₁ are primary populations (Cao et al., 2015; Islam et al., 2016a), while secondary populations are chromosome segment substitution lines (CSSLs) used in cotton (Islam et al., 2016b). The crossing of a donor and a recipient with several backcrossing with the recurrent parents develop CSSLs. The offspring and the recurrent parents have the same genetic background with a difference of single nucleotide or an Indel. CSSLs are considered ideal and efficient material for QTL analysis, fine mapping, and positioning cloning (Guo et al., 2013). The first CSSLs were developed by Esha and Zamir in 1994 and detected six QTLs in tomato related to its quality. Subsequently, these lines were successfully developed in maize, wheat, peanut, rice, soyabean, and other plants (Thomson et al., 2003; Zhou G. et al., 2015; He et al., 2015). The genetic map resulted from *G. hirsutum* and *G. barbadense* helps identify the QTLs related to fiber yield and quality. (Shi et al., 2015). It is reported that the development of CSSLs from TM-1 and Hai7124 increased the fiber quality (Wang et al., 2012). In another research, 51 QTLs were reported in 116 lineages of CSSLs using CCR145 and Hail (Yang et al., 2009). The construction of chromosome segment substitution lines in *Gossypium* species is getting more attention to attain good fiber quality traits such as the fiber length and strength. This approach can be exploited across the economically important chromosome 7 to identify the most suitable stable QTLs and candidate genes.

**Sequencing in Cotton Fiber Development Using Advanced Next Generation Tools**

The development of high density and precise QTL genetic linkage maps is due to the use of molecular markers associated with the availability of genome sequences of *G. raimondii*, *G. arboreum*, *G. hirsutum*, and *G. barbadense* (Yuan et al., 2016). Subsequently,
TABLE 2 | Fiber quality development genes across the whole genome of G. hirsutum.

| Gene name | Chromosome No. | Function | Reference |
|-----------|----------------|----------|-----------|
| GhRBB1    | A07            | Regulates fiber development | Majeedi et al. (2019) |
| ADI1      | D03            | Regulates development of fiber quality | Zhang et al. (2007) |
| CoaAD_35088 | D07         | Associated with fiber quality parameters | Su et al. (2016) |
| GhMYB1    | A09            | Associated with fiber development | Loguercio et al. (1999) |
| GhTCP6    | D12            | Involved in fiber development | Su et al. (2016) |
| CoaAD_22825 | D07         | Associated with the development of cotton fibers | Loguercio et al. (1999) |
| GhMYB4    | A11            | Downregulation of fiber elongation | Loguercio et al. (1999) |
| GhMYB4    | A03            | Regulates development of cotton fiber | Loguercio et al. (1999) |
| GhTCP6    | D12            | Plays a vital role during fiber elongation | Li et al. (2017) |
| GhMYB5    | A05            | Regulates development of dark fiber | Loguercio et al. (1999) |
| Gh_D03C00889 | D03       | Plays a vital role in protein kinase | Diouf et al. (2018) |
| GhTCP15   | A13            | Regulates fiber development | Li et al. (2017) |
| CoaAD_22823 | D07         | Involved in the development of cotton fiber | Su et al. (2016) |
| MYB60     | D03            | Regulates development of the fiber quality | Kairissen et al. (2018) |
| GhTCP12   | D12            | Expresses during secondary cell wall deposition | Li et al. (2017) |
| GhPAG1    | A09            | Differentially expresses during development of cotton fiber | Yang et al. (2014) |
| GhPFP2-4  | D12            | Involved in the fiber length | Li et al. (2017) |
| GhTCP11   | A09            | Regulates fiber development | Li et al. (2017) |
| Gh_D12C0093 | D03          | Vital in protein kinase | Diouf et al. (2018) |
| GhPAG1    | A09            | Associated with fiber development | Yang et al. (2014) |
| GhTCP14   | D12            | Regulates cotton fiber development | Li et al. (2017) |
| RAB3C1    | A07            | RAB GTPase homolog S10 | Sun et al. (2017) |
| RAB8      | D03            | Associated with fiber quality development | Li and Guo, (2017) |
| GhMYB4    | D01            | Regulates fiber length | Loguercio et al. (1999) |
| Lc1       | A07            | Regulates development of dark fiber | Li et al. (2012) |
| GhPRP3    | A11            | Regulates biosynthesis of the cell wall | Wenliang et al. (2006) |
| GAUT9     | D07            | Galacturonosyltransferase 9 | Sun et al. (2017) |
| Gh14-3-3  | D01            | Involved in fiber development | Zhou et al. (2015a) |
| CoaAD_22824 | D07         | — | Su et al. (2016) |
| GhMYB5    | A13            | Downregulation of fiber development | Loguercio et al. (1999) |
| GhTCP15   | D12            | Plays a vital role in fiber elongation | Li et al. (2017) |

High-throughput genotyping provided a deep insight for the better understanding of the genome-wide study. For example, cotton SNP63K and cotton SNP80K enabled the researchers to better understand the genetic mapping, genome, genomic selection, and genomic diversity within Gossypium (Cai et al., 2017). Different SNP markers can be deployed on common QTLs found under diverse environments for fine mapping and candidate gene identification (Su et al., 2016; Tan et al., 2018). This could be further boosted by the advancement of next-generation sequencing which includes restriction site-associated DNA (Jia et al., 2016). For example, a candidate gene Pel (Accession ID: DQ073046) on chromosome 7 has been reported which is involved in the breakdown of deesterified pectin and during fiber elongation (Bai et al., 2014). Hence, by the use and exploitation of high-throughput sequencing techniques, as mentioned earlier, many differentially expressed genes, playing important roles in fiber quality traits, can be discovered.

The Recent Developments in Genotyping and Quantitative Trait Locus Mapping

The rapid developments in bioinformatics and availability of the cotton genome have allowed to efficiently use SSRs and SNPs for effective genotyping and QTL mapping in cotton for both wild and domesticated species using intraspecific populations under multiple generations and diverse environments (Lacape et al., 2005; Wang et al., 2007; Zhang et al., 2009). For example, a recombinant inbred line (RIL) was developed by crossing cultivar Yumian1 and CA3084 (Ali et al., 2018). By using the SLAF-seq technology a genetic map consisting of 6,254 SNPs was developed with an average distance of 0.5 cm among the markers. A total of 95 QTLs for fiber quality were discovered, and among these, 55 were stable across multiple environments, whereas nine of the stable QTLs were found in three different environments (Ali et al., 2018). In another study, an interspecific cross between HS46 and MARCABUCAG8US-1-88 resulted in 188 F8 RILs (Li et al., 2016b). These were genotyped by the cotton SNP63K assays. During the cropping season under standard conditions, field trials were conducted at Sanya, Hainan province. Significant differences (p ≤ 0.05) were observed among the populations and environments. A linkage map consisting of 2,618 polymorphic SNP markers was developed with a density of 0.68 cM per marker. A total of 71 QTLs were found across 21 chromosomes with 16 as stable QTLs in two environments. On chromosomes 5, 9, 10, 14, 19, and 20, twelve various regions were identified which are believed to be involved in the control of one hotspot or a group of characters (Li et al., 2016b).
Single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) are significant in determining the population structure (Jain et al., 2014). The presence of SNPs in a coding region and regulatory sequence is of great importance because it may change the gene function (Hulse-Kemp et al., 2015b). Various techniques have been used for the discovery of SNPs in the cotton genome such as the single-copy sequence, BAC-end sequences, transcriptome-sequencing, reduced representation libraries (RRL) techniques, and genotyping by sequencing (GBS) (Hulse-Kemp et al., 2015a). The GBS is the most widely adopted method for the detection of SNPs in the crop due to being cost-effective and having rare chances of error (Majeed et al., 2019). However, re-sequencing is equally important for SNP studies (Bentley, 2006). SLAF-seq is also being used in many studies for uncovering the SNPs and Indel variations in the tetraploid cotton (Shen et al., 2017). Moreover, Pacific Biosciences Nanopore (Ashrafi et al., 2015) and Rosch Illumina 454 (Kumar et al., 2012) sequencing studies are meant for the determination of SNPs as long reads and as short reads, respectively, which can be performed with these techniques.

GWAS was performed on a panel of 355 accessions of upland cotton (Su et al., 2016). In this experiment, two major genomic regions such as MGR1 and MGR2 were deployed. A significant number of SNPs such as 16, 10, and 7 were determined related to fiber length, fiber strength, and uniformity on chromosome Dt7, respectively. This discovery of SNPs detected four candidate genes for the fiber length with different SNP loci (Su et al., 2016) meant for membrane protein, B3 domain-containing protein, membrane protein, and pentatricopeptide repeat-containing protein, respectively (www.cottonfgd.org). In another genome-wide association study, a total of 10,511 SNPs were distributed across 26 chromosomes of cotton, and only 46 significant SNPs relating to fiber quality were detected (Sun et al., 2017). Furthermore, these SNPs were scattered across 15 chromosomes, and 612 unique candidate genes were detected. Most of the candidate genes were found to be related to polysaccharide synthesis, signal transduction, and protein localization. In this study, on chromosomes Dt11 and At07, two haplotypes of fiber strength and length were identified. By combining transcriptome analysis and GWAS, 120 and 163 fiber quality-related genes were discovered, and out of these, 19 genes were screened as promising genes (Sun et al., 2017).

In a subsequent study, the genome-wide association revealed 209 and 139 QTNs for yield components and fiber quality traits, respectively (Liu et al., 2018). Among the total QTNs, 57 were found as stable in two environments. The candidate genes observed through 57 stable QTLs were analyzed with those related with QTN, and it was found that 35 candidate genes were common in both. However, it was also reported that four genes were pleiotropic among these common candidate genes (Liu et al., 2018). In another investigation, a GWAS-based high-density cotton SNP80K was used for the identification of genes related to fiber quality (Dong et al., 2019). Only 30 SNPs were found from 408 cotton accessions related with fiber quality traits across six environments. Seven loci were found to be the same among these SNPs with 128 candidate genes predicted in the vicinity of 1 Mb. Two major genome regions (GR1 and GR2) on chromosomes A07 and A13 associated with varied fiber qualities were found in multiple environments. Among these, 22 candidate genes were annotated using RNA-seq, and of these, 11 were expressed at different developmental stages (Dong et al., 2019).

As discussed earlier, the SNP discovery associated with fiber quality traits has opened new horizons in biotechnology. A single SNP in a coding region can alter the gene function. With the growing demand for cotton and challenges this crop cultivation, processing and marketing are facing, different protocols and assays are being extensively employed for the determination of stable QTLs, QTNs, and SNPs linked with the fiber quality over the genome of tetraploid cotton. It was experienced that like others, chromosome 7 has a fundamental role for fiber quality development. The role of SNPs for the detection of QTLs associated with the fiber quality can be seen in Table 3.

It is much evident from the aforementioned studies conducted across the whole genome of G. hirsutum L. that different sequencing techniques such as SSR, GBS, SLAF-seq, CottonSNP63K, and CottonSNP80K significantly help identify the stable QTLs and significant SNPs across different chromosomes and reveal their importance in fiber quality development. In Table 1, 2, different genes related with fiber quality development are reported across the whole genome of cotton. It is depicted from the information mentioned previously and in Tables 1, 2 that chromosomes 3, 7, 9, 11, and 12 of sub-genomes A and D are harboring most of the genes associated with fiber quality development at different stages in cotton. In this study, we will continue with the importance of chromosome 7 as various studies conducted in our laboratory group have shown different stable QTLs and differentially expressed candidate genes associated with fiber quality traits. The information mentioned in Tables 3 and 4 reveals the importance of chromosome 7 containing hotspots related to cotton fiber quality.

**QUANTITATIVE TRAIT LOCI OF FIBER QUALITY DEVELOPMENT ACROSS CHROMOSOME 7**

As mentioned earlier, the fiber length and fiber strength are major economic traits in cotton production. The QTL studies under different environments and across multiple generations allow scientists to identify common QTLs for marker-assisted selection (MAS). For example, by deploying the SSR markers, several QTLs were identified for fiber length, fiber strength, fiber micronaire, and fiber elongation (Tan et al., 2015). In another study, stable QTLs for fiber length and micronaire on chromosome 7 were detected, which could be further validated for fine mapping and identification of candidate genes (Ali et al., 2018). The QTL clusters of fiber quality under different environments were also detected on chromosomes c4, c7, c14, and c25 (Jamshed et al., 2016). The superior alleles of fiber quality were introgressed from G. barbadense to G. hirsutum which were found to be linked in five QTL clusters associated with potential candidate genes for fiber development (Chen et al., 2018).

Fiber quality traits are affected by the environment in which the crop is subjected to grow. However, improved lines always
| Cotton species | Source of the genotype | Genotyping method | No. of experimental years/ Location/ Environment | Trait | No. of QTLs/QTNs/SNPs | Chromosomal location | Reference |
|----------------|------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------------------------------|-------|----------------------|---------------------|-----------|
| G. hirsutum    | Magic population, fifth-generation | GBS | Four Environments | Superior fiber quality | 6071 SNPs | A07 | Islam et al. (2016c) |
| G. hirsutum    | F₂ population          | dCAPS             | 1 | Short fruting branch gene | 1 SNP locus | D07 | Zhang et al. (2018) |
| G. hirsutum    | 555 RILs and 11 parents | GBS               | 4 Years Two locations Two environments | Fiber quality | 6,071 SNPs 86 QTLs 70 QTNs | D03, A05, D11 | Islam et al. (2016c) |
| G. hirsutum    | 160 early-maturing cotton accessions | SLAF-seq | 1 | — | 42 SNPs | 16(D07) | Su et al. (2018) |
| G. hirsutum × G. barbadense | 168 F₂ population | GBS | 1 | Nulliplex-branch gene (gb_nb1) | 42 SNPs | 16(D07) | Chen et al. (2015) |
| G. hirsutum    | 419 accessions         | GBS               | 12 Environments | Fiber-related traits | 3,665,030 total SNPs 7,383 unique SNPs | A07, A10, D03, D11 | Ma et al. (2018) |
| G. hirsutum    | F₂ population          | SLAF-seq          | Multiple environments | sucrose synthesis | 2 QTLS | D03 | Zhang et al. (2019a) |
| G. hirsutum    | 169 accessions         | Cotton SNP 80 K array | 2 Years Two locations 1 | Fiber quality traits | 342 QTNs | A01, A06, A07, D01, D12, D13 | Li et al. (2018) |
| G. hirsutum    | F₂:3 lines             | GBS               | 1 | Plant height, the height of fruting, branch node, and number of vegetative shoots | 17 QTLs | 03, 04, 05, 07, 09, 17, 19, 23, 25 | Qi et al. (2017) |
| G. hirsutum    | 355 accessions         | SLAF-seq          | Four environments | Fiber quality traits | 33 SNPs | D07 | Su et al. (2016) |
| G. hirsutum    | 169 accessions         | Cotton SNP 80 K array | 2 Years, | Earliness | 49,650 SNPs, 29 Significant SNPs | A06, A07, A08, D01, D02, D09 | Li et al. (2018) |
| G. hirsutum    | 355 accessions         | SLAF-seq          | Four environments | Fiber quality traits | 33 SNPs | D07 | Su et al. (2016) |
TABLE 4 | Prospective QTLs of fiber development located on chromosome 7 in G. hirsutum using SSR markers.

| Trait                  | QTL       | Flanking marker                              | Population | References                      |
|------------------------|-----------|----------------------------------------------|------------|----------------------------------|
| Fiber micronaire (FM)  | qFM-C7-1  | DC40182                                      | DC40182    | Chen et al. (2018)               |
|                        | –         | –                                            | DPL0757-BNL1604, DC40182, SWU8784, and SWU8789 | F2 and F2.3 | Fang et al. (2017)               |
|                        | –         | –                                            | DPL0757, BNL1604, and DC40182                   | F2 and F2.3 | Chen et al. (2018)               |
|                        | –         | –                                            | DPL0757 and BNL1604                             | F2 and F2.3, and RILs | Sun et al. (2012) |
| Fiber uniformity (FU)  | qFU-C7.1  | DPL0757 and BNL1604                          | DPL0757    | Tan et al. (2015)                |
|                        | –         | –                                            | SHIN1447 and DPL0757                            | F2 and F2.3 | Tan et al. (2015)                |
|                        | –         | –                                            | DC40182 and DPL0852                             | F2 and F2.3 | Chen et al. (2018)               |
| Fiber length (FL)      | qFL-C7-1  | DC40182                                      | NAU 2002, CGR6381, NAU1048, and CICR6381       | F2 and F2.3, and RILs | Li et al. (2019) |
|                        | –         | –                                            | DPL0757-BNL1604, DC40182, SWU8784, and SWU8789 | F2 and F2.3 | Chen et al. (2018)               |
| Fiber elongation (FE)  | qFE-C7-1  | SHIN1447 and DPL0757                          | SHIN1447   | Wang et al. (2015)               |
|                        | –         | –                                            | DPL0757 and BNL1604                             | F2 and F2.3 | Chen et al. (2018)               |
|                        | –         | –                                            | DC40182 and DPL0852                             | F2 and F2.3 | Sun et al. (2012)                |
| Fiber strength (FS)    | qFS-C7-1  | DC40182                                      | DC40182    | Fang et al. (2017)               |
|                        | –         | –                                            | SWU8784 and SWU8789                             | F2 and F2.3 | Paterson et al. (2012)          |
|                        | –         | –                                            | SHIN1447 and DPL0757                            | F2 and F2.3 | Wang et al. (2015)               |
|                        | –         | –                                            | DPL0757, BNL1604, and DC40182                   | F2 and F2.3 | Chen et al. (2018)               |
|                        | –         | –                                            | DPL0757 and BNL1604                             | F2 and F2.3 | Sun et al. (2012)                |
|                        | –         | –                                            | SWU8784 and SWU8789                             | F2 and F2.3 | Tan et al. (2015)                |
|                        | –         | –                                            | NAU 2002, CGR6381, NAU1048, and CICR6381       | F2 and F2.3, and RILs | Li et al. (2019) |

perform well compared with corresponding recurrent parent lines in each environment (Tan et al., 2015). As discussed previously, the fiber length and strength are economic traits, and they correlate positively with each other. Different studies have been performed in different environments for the identification of stable QTLs of fiber quality, especially FS and FL across the whole cotton genome. It has been shown by various findings that chromosome 7 contributes potentially in fiber development. For example, a major stable QTL of fiber strength qFS07.1 was identified on chromosome 7 with favorable alleles contributed by the cultivar Yumian1 in five different environments (Tan et al., 2015). A fine mapped population was produced for qFS07.1 by crossing CCR135 and RILs with Yumian1 allele using SSR primers according to G. raimondii and G. arboreum genomes (Paterson et al., 2012; Li et al., 2014). Some extensive studies across chromosome 7 have been described as follows in Table 4.

In upland cotton (G. hirsutum), a three-parent composite population was used to identify stable QTLs of fiber quality traits on chromosome 7 between HAU1367 and HAU2282 (Zhang et al., 2012). Another study using F2 and F2.3 family lines derived from Luyuan (LY343) and Luminiany (LMY22) was conducted, and some fiber quality-related traits were identified on chromosome 7 flanked by SSR markers (Wang et al., 2015). The upland cotton was crossed between 0 and 153 and sGK9708 for F2, F2.3 and RIL population, which allowed in identifying fiber quality traits across chromosome 7 using markers DPL0757, DC40182, and BNL 1604 (Sun et al., 2012; Fang et al., 2017). A series of improved lines (BC2F3) of super fiber quality were developed using marker-assisted selection (MAS) (Cao et al., 2015). One of the improved lines, 3,326–7, was transferred to an introgression line (ILo88-A7-3) derived from a cross of G. hirsutum acc. TM-1 and G. barbadense cv. Hai7124. It was found that this line had a consistency of producing super quality fiber properties. It was further revealed by substitution mapping of 229 BC2F3 recombinants with 207 BC3F2 and BC3F4 lines that qFL-chr.7 and qFS-chr.7 were anchored to the same target position with an interval of 0.36-cm using markers NAU3735 and NAU845, while qFM-chr.7 was mapped with an interval of 0.44 cm using SSR markers. This positive relationship of tightly linked QTLs demonstrates their contribution to fiber quality development, especially in fiber strength and fiber length (Cao et al., 2015).

CSSLs are used as an ideal material for the identification of genetic effects. In a study, a material of CCR145 (G. hirsutum) was crossed with Hai1 (G. barbadense) and MBI9915, and the CSSL was selected at BC4F3:5 (Li et al., 2019). A total of 2,292 SSR markers were applied which covered the whole tetraploid cotton genome. A total of 129 QTLs were detected including 103 for fiber quality and 17 for fiber yield across 17 chromosomes with a phenotypic effect of 0.85–30.35%. Out of the total, 39 were stable, 53 were common, and 76 were new with a phenotypic variation of 30.2, 41.1, and 58.9%, respectively, with 86 of favorable effects on related traits. It was also noted that more QTLs were distributed.
across the Dt sub-genome than the At sub-genome. Out of 25 stable QTL clusters detected across 22 chromosomes, only six introgressed segments with a special focus on Seg-A07-2 were found as important candidate chromosome regions for fiber quality (Li et al., 2019).

In another investigation, a new CSSL material, MBI9915, was obtained by crossing CCR136 (G. hirsutum) with Hai1 (G. barbadense) (Song et al., 2017). An F2 population of 1,537 individuals was constructed by crossing MBI9915 and CCR136, and 347 individuals from the F2 population were randomly selected for the study of the genetic effects of the introgressed chromosome segments. A total of 18 and six QTLs were detected for fiber quality and fiber yield, respectively, in two segregating populations with the cumulative phenotypic variation of 0.81–9.51% on chromosome 7. Among these QTLs, six were detected consistently, as detected in previous studies. An interaction of the fiber length with fiber strength was identified in a total of 13 pairs with each trait in two generations. The study concluded with five important chromosome segments having important effects on fiber quality and yield (Song et al., 2017).

Fiber quality and yield-associated genes were identified by constructing a high-density genetic map using SLAF-seq, while incorporating 239 RILs derived from LMY22 (high-yielding G. hirsutum L. cultivars) x LY343 (superior fiber quality germplasm with G. barbadense L. introgressions) (Wang F. et al., 2019). The resulted genetic map was spanned over 3,426.57 cm which included 3556 SLAF-seq-based SNPs and 199 SSR marker loci. Under seven different environments, 67 QTLs for fiber quality and 37 QTLs for fiber yield were detected making a total of 104 QTLs. It was noted that on chromosome 12 with 19 QTL clusters, 66 QTLs were co-located, and 24 stable QTLs were determined under more than three different environments. The role of the genomic component LY343 was also investigated on fiber quality-related traits which indicated the superior contribution of G. hirsutum races than G. barbadense (Wang F. et al., 2019).

As discussed previously, the economic value of cotton relates to the quality of fiber, and enzymes play a vital role in maintaining the fiber quality. In a study, it was reported that the laccase enzyme plays a crucial role in fiber elongation, lignification, and plant pigmentation (Balasubramanian et al., 2016). Some laccase genes have been identified genome-wide of cultivated G. hirsutum and its diploid progenitor (G. arboreum and G. raimondii) cotton species. The gene expression, enzymatic activity, and biochemical analysis have shown that the laccase enzyme is differentially expressed at different stages of fiber development, particularly at 25DPA (Days Post-Anthesis). During this study, it was noted that chromosomes 7 and 13 of G. arboreum contained the highest number of laccase genes, i.e., eight on each, indicating a significant contribution of laccase in fiber development (Balasubramanian et al., 2016).

A 63K Illumina Infinium SNP array was performed on 719 accessions of upland cotton for phenotyping and genetic variation analysis for their better understanding (Sun et al., 2017). Forty-six significant SNPs with five fiber quality traits were detected of the total 10,511 polymorphic SNPs distributed across 26 chromosomes. A total of 10,511 polymorphic SNPs were scattered across 26 chromosomes. Forty-six SNPs were found significantly associated with five fiber quality traits (Sun et al., 2017). The distribution of these significantly found SNPs across 15 chromosomes indicated their relatedness in 612 unique candidate genes with their involvement mainly in polysaccharide synthesis, signal transduction, and protein translocation. The significance of the study relates to the determination of two major haplotypes of the fiber length and strength across chromosomes Dt11 and At07, providing an insight into the genetic basis of fiber quality in G. hirsutum (Sun et al., 2017). In upland cotton, a recombinant inbred line was developed, and a consensus map was constructed using three types of markers under 17 environments (Zhang et al., 2020). The study revealed some stable QTLs for fiber quality traits across chromosomes 4, 6, 13, 21, and 25 including chromosome 7. Out of the total, three stable QTLs were determined for the fiber length and strength, while two for fiber micronaire. Genomic and bioinformatics approaches are efficient to find and retrieve target QTL regions. The information on the fiber development mechanism can be deduced from the integration of transcriptome analysis and QTL mapping. Different reports and studies on fiber quality development can be further improved by making interspecific crosses and cotton genome information. Molecular markers, cotton genomes, and transcriptome information are vibrant to decipher and dissect fiber development mechanisms to cultivate superior varieties with improved fiber quality across chromosome 7 of Gossypium species.

A composite interval model (CIM) can be incorporated for QTLs or genes using molecular markers with several segregation patterns. The proposed model assists in the determination of QTLs, segregation pattern, the estimation of their position, and the interference of their linkage phase with the markers. It has been reported that a simulation study shows the significance of the model (Gazafí et al., 2014).

CONCLUSION AND FUTURE PROSPECTS

Several differentially expressed genes associated with fiber quality are reported using the marker-assisted selection strategy. Decades ago, many QTLs both in diploid and tetraploid cotton species have been reported in different populations having different characteristics from their parents. Genome sequencing provided breeders and scientists to develop high-density genetic maps based on SSR and SNPs, phenotypic and genotypic interactions, QTL identification, and fine mapping for candidate gene selection across chromosomes. The cotton genome, DNA markers, and transcriptome studies reveal the significant role of different chromosomes harboring genes associated with the fiber quality, as described in Tables. It was noticed that chromosomes 3, 7, 9, 11, and 12 associated with sub-genomes A and D contribute significantly to fiber quality. However, based on this information, our laboratory is focusing on chromosome 7 in dissecting the mechanisms of fiber quality improvement. Furthermore, RT-qPCR and molecular cloning demonstrate the differential expression and structure of...
candidate genes located on chromosome 7. A great insight is expected into the genetic basis of fiber-related traits such as the fiber strength and fiber length on chromosome 7 for improvement in cotton. The contribution of chromosome 7 carrying candidate genes in fiber quality development requires more attention in the future for QTFlfine mapping, marker-assisted selection (MAS) breeding, and ultimately transgene in Arabidopsis and subsequently in cotton.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS
AR and MZ wrote the initial draft of the manuscript. AA, AH, MH and XD made all necessary corrections. XG, FS, PL and YS carried out final editing of the manuscript. WG and MR proofread the manuscript. Final approval for publication was given by the group leader at the Institute of cotton research YY.

REFERENCES

Ali, I., Feng, Z., Bai, Y., Yang, Q., Hao, Y., Hou, J., et al. (2018). A High Density SLAF-SNP Genetic Map and QTFL Detection for Fiber Quality Traits in Gossypium Hirsutum. BMC Genomics 1, 879. doi:10.1186/s12864-018-5294-5
Ashraf, H., Hulse Kemp, A. M., Wang, F., Yang, S. S., Guan, X., Jones, D. C., et al. (2015). Long Read Transcriptome Assembly of Cotton (Gossypium Hirsutum L.) and Intraspecific Single Nucleotide. Polymorphism Discov. 2, 1–14. doi:10.3835/plantgenome2014.10.0068
Bai, W. Q., Xiao, Y. H., Zhao, J., Song, S. Q., Hu, L., Zeng, J. Y., et al. (2014). Gibberellin Overproduction Promotes Sucrose Synthesis Expression and Secondary Cell wall Deposition in Cotton Fibers. PLoS One 9, e96537. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0096537
BalaSumbaramanian, V. K., Bai, K. M., Thu, S. W., Hii, M. M., and Mendu, V. (2016). Genome-wide Identification of Multifunctional Laccase Gene Family in Cotton (Gossypium sp.). Expr. Biochem. Anal. during fiber Dev. Scientific Rep. 1, 1–16. doi:10.1308/rep34309
Bentley, D. R. (2006). Whole-genome Re-sequencing. Curr. Opin. Genet. Dev. 6, 545–552. doi:10.1016/j.gde.2006.10.009
Betancur, L., Singh, B., Rapp, R. A., Wendel, J. F., Marks, M. D., Roberts, A. W., et al. (2010). Phylogenetically Distinct Cellulose Synthase Genes Support Secondary wall Thickening in Arabidopsis Shoot Trichomes and Cotton Fiber. J. Integr. Plant Biol. 52, 205–220. doi:10.1111/j.1744-7909.2010.00934.x
Bowling, A. J., Vaughn, K. C., and Turley, R. B. (2011). Polysaccharide and Glycoprotein Distribution in the Epidermis of Cotton Ovules during Early Fiber Initiation and Growth. Protoplasma 248, 579–590. doi:10.1007/s00709-010-0212-y
Cai, C., Zhu, G., Zhang, T., and Guo, W. (2017). High-density 80 K SNP Array Is a Powerful Tool for Genotyping G. Hirsutum Accessions and Genome Analysis. BMC Genomics 1, 654. doi:10.1186/s12864-017-4062-2
Cao, Z., Zhu, X., Chen, H., and Zhang, T. (2015). Fine Mapping of Clustered Quantitative Trait Loci for Fiber Quality on Chromosome 7 Using a Gossypium barbadense Introgressed Line. Mol. Breed. 11, 215. doi:10.1007/s10832-015-0393-3
Chen, J., and Burke, J. J. (2015). Developing Fiber Specific Promoter-Reporter Transgenic Lines to Study the Effect of Abiotic Stresses on Fiber Development in Cotton. PLoS one 6, e0129870. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0129870
Chen, W., Yao, J., Chu, L., Yuan, Z., Li, Y., and Zhang, Y. (2015). Genetic Mapping of the Nulliplex-branch Gene (Gb_nbl1) in Cotton Using Next-Generation Sequencing Theor. Appl. Genet. 3, 539–547. doi:10.1007/s00122-014-2452-2
Chen, Y., Liu, G., Ma, H., Song, Z., Zhang, C., Zhang, J., et al. (2018). Identification of Introgressed Alleles Conferring High Fiber Quality Derived from Gossypium barbadense L. In Secondary Mapping Populations of G. Hirsutum L. Front. Plant Sci. 10, 107.3389/fpls.2018.01023
Chen, Z. J., Scheffler, B. E., Dennis, E., Triplet, B. A., Zhang, T., Guo, W., et al. (2007). Toward Sequencing Cotton (Gossypium) Genomes: Figure 1. Plant Physiol. 145, 1303–1310. doi:10.1104/pp.107.107672
Cheng, Y., Lu, L., Yang, Z., Wu, Z., Qin, W., Yu, D., et al. (2016). GhCaM7-like, a Calcium Sensor Gene, Influences Cotton Fiber Elongation and Biomass Production. Plant Physiol. Biochem. 109, 128–136. doi:10.1016/j.plaphy.2016.09.009
Cosgrove, D. J. (1997). Assembly and Enlargement of the Primary Cell wall in Plants. Annu. Rev. Cell Dev. Biol. 13, 171–201. doi:10.1146/annurev.cellbio.13.1.171
Chang, C., Li, L., Liu, Q., Gu, L., Huang, J., Wei, H., et al. Identification of Loci and Candidate Genes Responsible for Fiber Length in upland Cotton (Gossypium Hirsutum L.) via Association Mapping and Linkage Analyses Front. Plant Sci. (2019a), pp. 53. doi:10.3389/fpls.2019.00053
Dion, L., Magwanga, R. O., Gong, W., He, S., Pan, Z., Jia, Y. H., et al. (2018). QTFL Mapping of Fiber Quality and Yield-Related Traits in an Intra-specific upland Cotton Using Genotype by Sequencing (GBS). Int. J. Mol. Sci. 2, 441. doi:10.3390/ijms19020441
Dochia, M., Sirghie, C., Kozłowski, R.M., and RoskWitslaki, Z. 2012. Cotton fibres. In Handbook of natural resins. (pp. 11–23). Woodhead Publishing.
Dong, C., Wang, J., Yu, Y., Juz, X., Zhou, X., Ma, X., et al. (2019). Identifying Functional Genes Influencing Gossypium Hirsutum Fiber Quality. Front. Plant Sci. 65, 1968. doi:10.3389/fpls.2018.01968
Fan, T., Sun, B., Gu, J., Zhang, D., and Lau, L. W. M. (2005). Biomorphic A2D3 Fibers Synthesized Using Cotton as Bio-Template. Scripta Materialia 53, 893–897. doi:10.1016/j.scriptamat.2006.05.036
Fang, L., Gong, H., Hu, Y., Liu, C., Zhou, B., Huang, T., et al. (2017). Genomic Insights into Divergence and Dual Domestication of Cultivated Allopolyploid Cottons. Genome Biol. 18, 33. doi:10.1186/s13059-017-1167-3
Fryxell, P. (1992). A Revised Taxonomic Interpretation of Gossypium L (Malvaceae) Rheedia, US Department of Agriculture, Texas, 108–116
Gao, P., Zhao, P.-M., Wang, J., Wang, H.-Y., Wu, X.-M., and Xia, G.-X. (2007). Identification of Genes Preferentially Expressed in Cotton Fibers: A Possible Role of Calcium Signaling in Cotton Fiber Elongation. Plant Sci. 173, 61–69. doi:10.1016/j.plantsci.2007.04.008
Gazaffi, R., Margarido, G. R., Pastina, M. M., Mollinari, M., and Garcia, A. A. F. (2014). A Model for Quantitative Trait Loci Mapping, Linkage Phase, and Segregation Pattern Estimation for a Full-Sib Progeny. Tree Genet. Genomes 4, 791–801. doi:10.1007/s11295-013-0664-2
Gilchrist, E. J., and Haughn, G. W. (2005). TILLING without a Plough: A New Method with Applications for Reverse Genetics. Curr. Opin. Plant Biol. 8, 211–215. doi:10.1016/j.pbi.2005.01.004
Goldwaith, F., and Guthrie, J. (1954). Matthew’s Textiles Fibers. NY: Wiley-Interscience.
Gou, J.-Y., Wang, L.-J., Chen, S.-P., Hu, W.-L., and Chen, X.-Y. (2007). Gene Expression and Metabolite Profiles of Cotton Fiber during Cell Elongation and Secondary Cell wall Synthesis. Cell Res 17, 422–434. doi:10.1038/sj.cr.7301050
Guo, X., Guo, Y., Ma, J., Song, W., Sun, M., Guo, L., et al. (2013). Mapping Heterotic Loci for Yield and Agronomic Traits Using Chromosome Segment Introgression Lines in Cotton. J. Integr. Plant Biol. 8, 759–774. doi:10.1111/jipb.12054
Hafeez, A., Güz, Q., Zhang, Q., Li, J., Gön, J., Liu, et al. (2021). Multi-responses of O-Methyltransferase Genes to Salt Stress and Fiber Development of Gossypium Species. BMC Plant Biol. 1, 1–17. doi:10.1186/s12870-020-02786-6
Tan, Z., Zhang, Z., Sun, X., Li, Q., Sun, Y., Yang, P., et al. (2018). Genetic Map Construction and Fiber Quality QTL Mapping Using the CottonSNP90K Array in upland Cotton Frontiers in Plant Science. Front. Plant Sci., 225. doi:10.3389/fpls.2018.00225

Thomson, M., Tai, T., McClung, A., Lai, X., Hinga, M., Lobos, K., et al. (2003). Mapping Quantitative Trait Loci for Yield, Yield Components and Morphological Traits in an Advanced Backcross Population between Oryza Rufipogon and the Oryza Sativa Cultivar Jefferson Theor. Appl. Genet. 3, 479–493. doi:10.1007/s00122-003-1270-8

USD (2019b). United States Department of Agriculture Cotton Outlook. New York

Vendel, J. F. (1989). New World Tetraploid Cottons Contain Old World

Wendel, J. F., Brubaker, C., Alvarez, I., Cronn, R., and Stewart, J. M. (2009). "The Evolution and Natural History of the Cotton Genus." New York: Springer, 371. doi:10.1007/978-1-4020-87081_1

Wendel, J. F. (1989). New World Tetraploid Cottons Contain Old World

Zhang, F., Zeng, L., Meredith, W. R., Gutierrez, O. A., and Boykin, D. L. (2009). "Identification of Associations between SSR Markers and Fiber Traits in an Exotic Germplasm Derived from Multiple Crosses Among Gossypium Tetraploid Species Theor. Appl. Genet. 1. 93–103. doi:10.1007/s00122-009-1207-7

Zhang, B., Yang, Y.-W., Zhang, Y., and Liu, J.-Y. (2013a). A High-Confidence Reference Dataset of Differentially Expressed Proteins in Elongating Cotton Fiber Cells. ProteomicsProteomics 13, 1159–1163. doi:10.1002/prmc.201200176

Zhang, C., Li, L., Liu, Q., Gu, L., Huang, J., Wei, H., et al. (2019b). Identification of Loci and Candidate Genes Responsible for Fiber Length in upland Cotton (Gossypium hirsutum L.) via Association Mapping and Linkage Analyses. Front. Plant Sci., 53. doi:10.3389/fpls.2019.00553

Zhang, C., Guo, L., Wang, X., Zhang, H., Shi, H., Xu, W., et al. (2007). "Genomics Mol. characterization four ADF genes differentially expressed cotton 4,347–354. doi:10.1007/s10681-006-9537-x

Zhang, F., Zuo, K., Zhang, J., Liu, X., Zhang, L., Sun, X., et al. (2010). An L1 Box Binding Protein, GbML1, Interacts with GbMYB25 to Control Cotton Fibre Development. J. Exp. Bot. 61, 3599–3613. doi:10.1093/jxb/erq173

Zhang, J., Wu, M., Yu, J., Li, X., and Pei, W. (2016a). Breeding Potential of Introggression Lines Developed from Interspecific Crossing between upland Cotton (Gossypium hirsutum) and Gossypium barbadense: Heterosis, Combining Ability and Genetic Effects. PLoS One 1. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0143646

Zhang, J. F., Abdelrahem, A., and Wu, J. X. (2017). Heterosis, Combining Ability and Genetic Effect, and Relationship with Genetic Distance Based on a Dilled of Hybrids from Five Diverse Gossypium barbadense Cotton Genotypes. Euphytica 213, 208. doi:10.1007/s10681-017-1997-y

Zhang, M., Zeng, L., Huang, J. J., Zhang, X., Lee, M. K., Stelly, D. M., et al. (2012). "Molecular characterization four ADF genes differentially expressed cotton 4,347–354. doi:10.1007/s10681-006-9537-x

Zhang, Z., Zhou, Y., Zhang, Z.-T., Li, M., Wei, X.-Z., Li, B.-Y., et al. (2015a). Cotton (Gossypium hirsutum) 14-3-3 Proteins Participate in Regulation of Fibre Initiation and Elongation by Modulating Brassinosteroid Signalling. Plant Biotechnol. J. 13, 269–280. doi:10.1111/pbi.12275

Zhu, H., Han, X., Lv, J., Zhao, L., Xu, X., Zhang, T., et al. (2011). Structure, Expression Differentiation and Evolution of Duplicated Fiber Developmental
Genes in Gossypium barbadense and G. Hirsutum. *BMC Plant Biol.* 11, 40. doi:10.1186/1471-2229-11-40

Zhu, H., Lv, J., Zhao, L., Tong, X., Zhou, B., Zhang, T., et al. (2012). Molecular Evolution and Phylogenetic Analysis of Genes Related to Cotton Fibers Development from Wild and Domesticated Cotton Species in Gossypium. *Mol. Phylogenet. Evol.* 63, 589–597. doi:10.1016/j.ympev.2012.01.025

Zhu, H., Han, X., Lv, J., Zhao, L., Xu, X., Zhang, T., et al. (2011). Structure, Expression Differentiation and Evolution of Duplicated Fiber Developmental Genes in *Gossypium barbadense* and *G. hirsutum*. *BMC Plant Biol.* 1, 40.

Zou, X., Liu, A., Zhang, Z., Ge, Q., Fan, S., Gong, W., et al. (2019). Co-Expression Network Analysis and Hub Gene Selection for High-Quality Fiber in Upland Cotton (*Gossypium Hirsutum*) Using RNA Sequencing Analysis. *Genes* 10, 119. doi:10.3390/genes10020119

**Conflict of Interest:** AA was employed by the company FB Genetics Four Brothers Group.

The remaining authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

**Publisher’s Note:** All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors, and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

**Copyright © 2022 Razzaq, Zafar, Ali, Hafeez, Sharif, Guan, Deng, Pengtao, Shi, Haroon, Gong, Ren and Yuan. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.**