Identification of Genetic Features for Attenuation of Two *Salmonella* Enteritidis Vaccine Strains and Differentiation of These From Wildtype Isolates Using Whole Genome Sequencing
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*Salmonella* Enteritidis is a major cause of salmonellosis worldwide and more than 80% of outbreaks investigated in Europe have been associated with the consumption of poorly cooked eggs or foods containing raw eggs. Vaccination has been proven to be one of the most important measures to control *Salmonella* Enteritidis infections in poultry farms as it can decrease colonization of the reproductive organs and intestinal tract of laying hens, thereby reducing egg contamination. Differentiation of live vaccine from field or wild type *S*. Enteritidis isolates in poultry is essential for monitoring of veterinary isolates and targeting control actions. Due to decreasing costs, whole genome sequencing (WGS) is becoming a key tool for characterization of *Salmonella* isolates, including vaccine strains. Using WGS we described the genetic changes in the live attenuated Salmovac 440 and AviPro SALMONELLA VAC E vaccine strains and developed a method for differentiation from the wildtype *S*. Enteritidis strains. SNP analysis confirmed that streptomycin resistance was associated with a Lys43Arg missense mutation in the *rpsL* gene whilst 3 missense mutations in *acrB* and 1 missense mutation in *acrA* confer erythromycin sensitivity in AviPro SALMONELLA VAC E. Further mutations Arg242His in *purK* and Gly236Arg in the *hisB* gene were related to adenine and histidine dependencies in Salmovac 440. Unique SNPs were used to construct a database of variants for differentiation of vaccine from the wildtype isolates. Two fragments from each vaccine were represented in the database to ensure high accuracy. Each of the two selected Salmovac 440 fragments differed by 6 SNPs from the wildtype and the AviPro SALMONELLA VAC E fragments differed by 4 and 6 SNPs, respectively. CD-hit software was applied to cluster similar fragments that produced the best fit output when searched with SRST2. The developed vaccine differentiation method was tested with 1,253 genome samples including field isolates of Salmovac 440 (*n* = 51), field isolates of AviPro SALMONELLA VAC E (*n* = 13), *S*. Gallinarum (*n* = 19), *S*. Pullorum (*n* = 116), *S*. Enteritidis (*n* = 244), *S*. Typhimurium (*n* = 810) and achieved 100% sensitivity and specificity.
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INTRODUCTION

Salmonella Enteritidis is a leading cause of salmonellosis worldwide (1) and more than 80% of investigated outbreaks in Europe have been associated with the consumption of inadequately cooked eggs or foods containing uncooked eggs (https://doi.org/10.2903/j.efsa.2019.5596) (2). Over the last few decades the Colindale phage typing (PT) scheme has played a central role in epidemiological studies of S. Enteritidis while there are limitations regarding Pulsed-field Gel Electrophoresis (PFGE) and Multilocus Variable-Number Tandem Repeat Analysis (MLVA) methods and “neither PFGE nor MLVA could distinguish all of the S. Enteritidis PT30 from various sources,” for example (3–5). Recent phylogenetic studies based on whole genome sequencing (WGS) have revealed the presence of two separate clonal lineages of S. Enteritidis (6, 7). Phage types that dominated in western Europe and Asia, including PT1, PT4, and PT21 occurred in clonal lineage I, while PTs that were most common in North America (i.e., PT8, PT13a, and PT13) comprised the majority of clonal lineage II (6).

Vaccination has been proven to be one of the most successful measures to reduce Salmonella Enteritidis infections in poultry farms (8) as it can decrease colonization of the reproductive organs and intestinal tract of laying hens by Salmonella, thus reducing egg contamination (9). The prevalence of S. Enteritidis in large-scale laying hen holdings may be reduced by 88 percent by vaccination (www.efsa.europa.eu/efsajournal/pub/114) and has no antimicrobial resistance genes but contains point mutations resulting in auxotrophy for histidine and adenine. S. Enteritidis field isolates are differentiated from the vaccine strain by growing on minimal media with and without histidine and adenine (https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/551476/pub-salm15-intro.pdf).

Whole genome sequencing (WGS) has been applied as an epidemiological tool for outbreak investigations as it provides high resolution for comparing genomes (12). In the United Kingdom, where human isolates of Salmonella are routinely sequenced, WGS has been used successfully to identify and investigate Salmonella outbreaks (13–15). Typing of all Salmonella isolates with WGS is planned at Animal and Plant Health Agency (APHA) in a near future and will include differentiation of the vaccine from the field isolates, removing the need for phenotypic testing of vaccine types. Also, phenotypic methods can be time-consuming and subject to some variability, requiring confirmation of colony purity and repeat testing of a proportion of isolates. The national control programs for Salmonella in chickens introduced in UK in 2007, 2008, and 2009 for breeders, layers, and broilers, respectively, seek to reduce or maintain low Salmonella levels of specified serotypes to targets set out in EU regulations (https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/183065/salmonella-breeders.pdf). Differentiation of vaccine from field S. Enteritidis isolates is essential for monitoring of S. Enteritidis in poultry and for targeted disease control measures. As a component of the proposed APHA Salmonella WGS typing scheme, we characterized the 2 live S. Enteritidis vaccines: Salmovac 440 and AviPro SALMONELLA VAC E used in UK poultry by comparing them with wildtype S. Enteritidis using WGS-based approaches. Here we describe the method developed to differentiate the vaccine from field isolates based on SNP differences.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Bacterial Isolates

The vaccine (Salmovac 440, n = 5; AviPro SALMONELLA VAC E, n = 5) and the S. Enteritidis wildtype (n = 6) strains used for method development were from the APHA Salmonella Archives (Addlestone, UK) (Table 1). All strains were kept at −80°C in 1% (w/v) proteose peptone water containing 10% (v/v) glycerol. The methods supplied by the manufacturers for differentiation with S. Enteritidis wildtype field isolates, based on growth in minimal media without adding histidine and adenine for Salmovac 440 or in media containing rifampicin, streptomycin and erythromycin to distinguish AviPro SALMONELLA VAC E, were used to confirm the vocal identity of isolates (16). A further 1,237 isolates, including field isolates of Salmovac 440 (n = 46), field isolates of AviPro SALMONELLA VAC E (n = 8), S. Gallinarum (n = 19), S. Pullorum (n = 116), S. Enteritidis (n = 238) and S. Typhimurium (n = 810) were used to test the developed SNP (single nucleotide polymorphism) differentiation method. All the samples in the study were collected from the environment, such as chicken feces. Therefore, there was no need for the APHA ethics committee to approve the study.
TABLE 1 | Sequenced S. Enteritidis strains used in this study.

| Id              | Strain                          | Phage type |
|-----------------|---------------------------------|------------|
| S02105-11       | Wildtype                        | PT9b       |
| S00940-12       | Wildtype                        | PT9b       |
| L00397-09       | Wildtype                        | PT9b       |
| L00453-12       | Wildtype                        | PT9b       |
| FieldSE         | Wildtype                        | PT4        |
| S00668-06       | Wildtype                        | PT4        |
| Strain P125109  | Wildtype                        | PT4        |
| Salmovac 440    | Salmovac 440                    | PT4        |
| S01708-17       | Field Isolate of Salmovac 440   | PT4        |
| S01805-17       | Field Isolate of Salmovac 440   | PT4        |
| S01806-17       | Field Isolate of Salmovac 440   | PT4        |
| S04222-12       | Field Isolate of Salmovac 440   | PT4        |
| Avipro Vac E    | Avipro Vac E                    | PT4        |
| S03385-15       | Field Isolate of Avipro Vac E   | PT4        |
| S03815-15       | Field Isolate of Avipro Vac E   | PT4        |
| S04327-15       | Field Isolate of Avipro Vac E   | PT4        |
| S04329-15       | Field Isolate of Avipro Vac E   | PT4        |

The gbk file of S. Enteritidis strain P125109 (accession AM933172) was used as a reference strain.

Whole-Genome Sequencing and Analysis

Overnight bacterial isolates were collected by centrifugation and resuspended in 0.5 mL 0.1 M PBS (pH 7.2) solution. Genomic DNA was purified with the ArchivePure DNA Cell/Tissue (1 g) kit (5 Prime, Gaithersburg, USA). Purified genomic DNA was fragmented, tagged using the Nextera XT DNA Sample Preparation Kit (Illumina UK) and sequenced at the APHA on the Illumina MiSeq platform based on the manufacturer’s instructions. Phylogenetic analysis was carried out with Snippy (https://github.com/tseemann/snippy) to identify all SNPs. Tree of life (iTol) was used to produce midpoint rooted trees (17). To identify differential features that could separate the S. Enteritidis wildtype from either one of the two vaccine strains, the draft genomes of S. Enteritidis field isolates, Salmovac 440 and Avipro SALMONELLA VacE live vaccine strains as well as four field isolates of each vaccine strain (Table 1) were analyzed to identify plasmids, plasmid replications, virulence genes, antimicrobial resistant genes as well as point mutations. SRST2 (18) database searches were carried out to identify plasmids with PlasmidFinder, replications with PlasmidReplicon database (https://github.com/kalthol/srst2), virulence factors with Virulence Factor database (http://www.mgc.ac.cn/VFs/main.htm) and a vaccine database (this study). Antimicrobial resistance genes were identified by Ariba (19) with the Card database (20). The gene presence and absence tables were generated through genome annotation by Prokka (21) after the fastq data were assembled to fasta files with Spades (22) and summarized by Roary (23). Student’s t-test was carried out compare data between the wildtype and vaccine strains (two tailed distribution for two-sample populations with unequal variance) with Excel spreadsheet function (Microsoft). Blastx was performed with isolate fasta files from Spades assemblies after a protein sequence database was made (24).

RESULTS

Comparison of Vaccine and Wild Type S. Enteritidis Genomes

Comparative genomic analyses of S. Enteritidis field isolates, Salmovac 440 and AviPro SALMONELLA VacE live vaccine strains as well as four field isolates of each vaccine strain identified by standard laboratory methods were carried out in order to identify genomic features that could differentiate the strains. The searches and identified features are summarized in Table 2. SRST2 plasmid searches identified presence of a Salmonella Paratyphi C strain RKS4594 plasmid pSPCV (plasmid CP000858) in the wild type S. Enteritidis genomes, the vaccine strain SALMONELLA Vac E and field isolates. However, the 262 bp fragment in PlasmidFinder that identifies the 55,414 base pairs plasmid CP000858 is identical with the 262 bp fragment that identifies the 59,372 bp of S. Enteritidis strain-specific plasmid pSENV (HG970000) or pSENV (JN885080) which is present in most S. Enteritidis wild type isolates. As expected, the presence of the pSENV plasmid and associated plasmid replicon was confirmed in all wild type and the SALMONELLA Vac E vaccine strain and field isolates but not in the genome of the vaccine strain Salmovac 440, one of the features of this vaccine strain (Rows 1–2, Table 2). The analysis of the AMR genes or mutations identified rifampicin resistance related to the rpoB gene in Avipro SALMONELLA Vac E strains (Row 3, Table 2).

Furthermore, the 9 pSENV plasmid-associated virulence genes identified in the wildtype and Avipro SALMONELLA Vac E vaccine and field isolates were not present in the Salmovac 440 strains (Rows 4–12, Table 2), confirming the absence of the plasmid in these strains.

The comparison of the annotated wildtype and vaccine genomes revealed the absence of 3 genes: envR, a regulator in the Salmonella pathogenicity island 2 (SPI2) gmr_2, cyclic di-GMP phosphodiesterase and group_503, and a hypothetical protein (Rows 13–15, Table 2) and presence of two hypothetical genes: group_343 and group_413 (Rows 16–17) in the vaccine strain Avipro SALMONELLA Vac E strains. A further 62 pSENV plasmid-related genes were absent in Salmovac 440 but present in the wildtype and Avipro SALMONELLA Vac E (Table S1).

Phylogenetic Analysis With Core SNPs

Phylogenetic analysis of the core SNPs indicated a close relatedness of both vaccine strains with the reference S. Enteritidis strain P125109 (AM933172). The distance was 115 SNPs between Avipro SALMONELLA Vac E and the reference and 213 SNPs between Salmovac 440 and the reference (Figure 1). The Salmovac 440 vaccine reference strain and the vaccine strains recovered from farms differed by up to 3 SNPs; while the AviPro SALMONELLA Vac E reference strain differed from the vaccine strains recovered from farms by 0–2 SNPs. All SNPs are listed between the reference and AviPro Salmonella Vac E or Salmovac 440 (Table S2).
|   | Wildtype | Salmovac 440 | Avipro Vac E |
|---|---------|-------------|-------------|
| 1 | FIS     | 1 1 1 1 1 1  | 0 0 0 0 0 0 | 1 1 1 1 1 1 |
| 2 | FII_repA| 1 1 1 1 1 1  | 0 0 0 0 0 0 | 1 1 1 1 1 1 |
| 3 | rpoB (SEN3937) | 0 0 0 0 0 0 | 1 1 1 1 1 1 |
| 4 | petB (pSENV_028) | 1 1 1 1 1 1 | 0 0 0 0 0 0 | 1 1 1 1 1 1 |
| 5 | petC (pSENV_030) | 1 1 1 1 1 1 | 0 0 0 0 0 0 | 1 1 1 1 1 1 |
| 6 | petD (pSENV_031) | 1 1 1 1 1 1 | 0 0 0 0 0 0 | 1 1 1 1 1 1 |
| 7 | rck (pSENV_039) | 1 1 1 1 1 1 | 0 0 0 0 0 0 | 1 1 1 1 1 1 |
| 8 | spvA (pSENV_002) | 1 1 1 1 1 1 | 0 0 0 0 0 0 | 1 1 1 1 1 1 |
| 9 | spvB (pSENV_003) | 1 1 1 1 1 1 | 0 0 0 0 0 0 | 1 1 1 1 1 1 |
| 10 | spvC (pSENV_004) | 1 1 1 1 1 1 | 0 0 0 0 0 0 | 1 1 1 1 1 1 |
| 11 | spvD (pSENV_005) | 1 1 1 1 1 1 | 0 0 0 0 0 0 | 1 1 1 1 1 1 |
| 12 | spvR (pSENV_001) | 1 1 1 1 1 1 | 0 0 0 0 0 0 | 1 1 1 1 1 1 |
| 13 | envR (SEN1329) | 1 1 1 1 1 1 | 1 1 1 1 1 1 | 0 0 0 0 0 0 |
| 14 | gmr_2 (SEN3937) | 1 1 1 1 1 1 | 1 1 1 1 1 1 | 0 0 0 0 0 0 |
| 15 | group_503 | 1 1 1 1 1 1 | 1 1 1 1 1 1 | 0 0 0 0 0 0 |
| 16 | group_343 | 0 0 0 0 0 0 | 0 0 0 0 0 0 | 1 1 1 1 1 1 |
| 17 | group_413 | 0 0 0 0 0 0 | 0 0 0 0 0 0 | 1 1 1 1 1 1 |

Row 1, plasmid searches with PlasmidFinder searches; Row 2, Plasmid Replicon searches; Row 3, Ariba Card point mutation searches; Rows 4–12, Virulence factor searches; Rows 13–17, gene presence and absence searches with Prokka/Roary. Search results: 1, presence; 0, absence. SEN****, locus tag of AM933172; pSENV_***, locus tag of JN885080; Group_***, hypothetical protein.

**The Use of Point Mutations to Explain Some Properties of Salmovac 440 and AviPro SALMONELLA VAC E**

A point mutation in the rpoB gene was identified by Ariba Card relating to AviPro SALMONELLA VAC E’s rifampicin resistance (Table 2). Ariba Card does not identify the position in the gene, however, we identified a missense mutation, Ser531Phe in the rpoB gene (Row 1, Table 3) that could explain the rifampicin resistance of the AviPro SALMONELLA VAC E vaccine strain and a missence mutation Lys43Arg in the rpsL gene that could explain the resistance to streptomycin (Row 2, Table 3).

Furthermore, 3 missense mutations in acrB and 1 missense mutation in acrA genes found in AviPro SALMONELLA VAC
E are likely to be associated with erythromycin sensitivity (Rows 3–6, Table 3).

The adenine dependency of Salmovac 440 could be explained by a missense mutation Arg242His in purK, the N5-Carboxyaminoimidazole ribonucleotide (N5-CAIR) synthetase gene of the purine biosynthesis pathway (Row 7, Table 3). Three mutations in the histidine biosynthesis pathway were identified when Salmovac 440 was compared with the wildtype (Rows 8–10, Table 3); the mutation in the hisB gene was a missense mutation, Gly236Arg, suggesting that the mutation might give Salmovac 440 the property of histidine dependence.

Phylogenetic analysis considers only single nucleotide changes. To rule out any possibility of insertions or deletions in the pathways of efflux pump, histidine biosynthesis, and purine biosynthesis, Blastx was carried out to find out any amino acid sequence changes. The analyses showed no evidence of insertions or deletions and the mis-sense mutations 1 in sequence changes. The analyses showed no evidence of insertions or deletions and the missense mutations 1 in acrA, 3 in acrB unique to AviPro SALMONELLA VAC E and 1 in hisB and 1 in purK unique to Salmovac 440 identified by phylogenetic analysis, were confirmed (Table 3 and Table S3).

**Attenuation of Salmovac 440 and AviPro SALMONELLA VAC E Vaccine Strains**

Salmovac 440 has lost the pathogenic plasmid that encodes a number of virulence factors (Table 2). This may partially explain the attenuation of Salmovac 440. However, for AviPro SALMONELLA VAC E, Prokka/Roary/TTest only identified enVR as a potential candidate for attenuation (Row 13, Table 2) a regulator in the Salmonella pathogenicity island 2 (SPI2). Other possible sources for attenuation were sought, such as point mutations in known genes associated with virulence. Phylogenetic analysis identified a total of 96 SNPs unique to AviPro SALMONELLA VAC E which 56 were missense SNPs. Among these 56 missense SNPs, 20 were in genes reported to be associated with virulence (Table 4). This group of genes were from diverse functions: 1 in iron uptake (Row 6), 3 in potassium transport (Rows 9–12) and 7 genes related to antimicrobial resistance through point mutations (Rows 1–4 and 15–17).

**WGS Based Differentiation Between Vaccine and the Wild Type Strains**

To construct vaccine differentiation database, total SNPs were identified from the phylogenetic analysis of the 16 sequenced genomes (Table 1) using the S. Enteritidis strain P125109 (accession AM933172) as reference. Unique SNPs to Salmovac 440 were identified after comparison with the wildtype S. Enteritidis and AviPro SALMONELLA VAC E genomes. Two regions were selected to represent each vaccine strain, Salmovac 440 and AviPro SALMONELLA VAC E, and pair wise fragments were created so that one represented Salmovac 440 and the other the wildtype. The paired fragments were identical except for 6 SNPs in each region (Figure S1). CD-hit (45) was performed to cluster the paired fragments together so that when the fragments in the database are used as references for SRST2 (18) only one fragment from a pair gets reported: either a vaccine fragment or a wildtype fragment but not both.
### TABLE 4

| POS | Wildtype | AviproVacE | GENE | PRODUCT | EFFECT | REFERENCES |
|-----|----------|------------|------|---------|--------|------------|
| 1   | 512087   | T T T T T T T | acrA | acriflavine resistance protein A precursor | Gly300Glu | (25, 26) |
| 2   | 509506   | T T T T T T T | acrB | acriflavine resistance protein B | Gly755Asp | (25, 26) |
| 3   | 510524   | T T T T T T T | acrB | acriflavine resistance protein B | Val1456le | (25, 26) |
| 4   | 510901   | T T T T T T T | acrB | acriflavine resistance protein B | Gly290Asp | (25, 26) |
| 5   | 770691   | T T T T T T T | cydB | cytochrome d ubiquinol oxidase subunit II | Thr621le | (27, 28) |
| 6   | 614496   | T T T T T T T | fepA | ferrienterobactin receptor precursor | Ala396Thr | (29) |
| 7   | 393597   | T T T T T T T | foxA | ferrioxamine B receptor precursor | Thr543Ile | (30, 31) |
| 8   | 456168   | T T T T T T T | ispA | geranytransterferase | Arg210His | (32) |
| 9   | 744792   | T T T T T T T | kdpA | potassium-transporting ATPase A chain | Asp439Asn | (33) |
| 10  | 744996   | T T T T T T T | kdpA | potassium-transporting ATPase A chain | Val371Met | (33) |
| 11  | 745001   | T T T T T T T | kdpA | potassium-transporting ATPase A chain | Gly369Asp | (33) |
| 12  | 739356   | T T T T T T T | kdpD | sensor protein KdpD | Val805Ile | (33, 34) |
| 13  | 805115   | T T T T T T T | modA | molybdate-binding periplasmic protein precursor | Thr981le | (35) |
| 14  | 806676   | T T T T T T T | modC | molybdenum transport ATP-binding protein ModC | Ser130Phe | (35) |
| 15  | 4239703  | T T T T T T T | rpoB | DNA-directed RNA polymerase beta-subunit | Ser531Phe | (36) |
| 16  | 2950458  | A A A A A A A | rpoS | RNA polymerase sigma subunit RpoS (sigma-38) | Leu263Ser | (37, 35) |
| 17  | 3484198  | T T T T T T T | rpsL | 30S ribosomal subunit protein S12 | Lys343Arg | (39–41) |
| 18  | 1391886  | T T T T T T T | trpA | tryptophan synthase alpha chain | Tyr175Cys | (42) |
| 19  | 865528   | T T T T T T T | ybtT | ABC transporter ATP-binding protein | Pro665Ser | (43) |
| 20  | 4047472  | T T T T T T T | yigP | conserved hypothetical protein | Thr193Ile | (44) |
TABLE 5 | The sensitivity and specificity of the fragments in the database.

| Fragments          | SE Galli vac (p = 51) | SE Avipro Vac E (p = 19) | ST Avipro Vac E (p = 12) | ST Galli vac E (n = 19) | S. Pullorum (n = 116) | S. Enteritidis (n = 244) | S. Typhimurium (n = 810) |
|--------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| Sensitivity %      | 831G, Galli vac 51     | 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 100 100  | 832G, Galli vac 51       | 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 100 100  | 8321, AviproE 0 13 0 0 0 0 0 0 100 100 | 8322, AviproE 0 13 0 0 0 0 0 0 100 100 | 851G, Galli vac 51 832G, Galli vac 51 8321, AviproE 8322, AviproE |
| Specificity %      |                      |                          |                          |                         |                      |                          |                          |

The vaccine strains were farm isolates from fecal, post-mortem or environmental samples identified by standard laboratory methods. AviproVacE, Avipro SALMONELLA VAC E.

The SNPs unique to Salmovac 440 were located in two genomic regions, region 831G from position 2145373 to 2157130 of the reference AM933172 with 6 different nucleotides in positions: 2145373 (WT, G; 831G, A), 2148431 (WT, G; 831G, A), 2150004 (WT, G; 831G, A), 2153628 (WT, C; 831G, T), 2156212 (WT, G; 831G, A), and 2157130 (WT, G; 831G, A) and region 832G from position 854486 to 863710 with 6 different nucleotides in positions: 854486 (WT, C; 832G, T), 855295 (WT, C; 832G, T), 856154 (WT, C; 832G, T), 858894 (WT, C; 832G, T), 863334 (WT, C; 832G, T), and 863710 (WT, G; 832G, T) (Figure S1).

The two regions for the AviPro SALMONELLA VAC E strain were 509256 to 512337 of the reference AM933172 with 4 SNPs differences in positions: 509506 (WT, C; 8321, T), 510901 (WT, C; 8321, T), 512087 (WT, C; 8321, T), and Avipro Vac E 8322 from position 802121 to 806926 with 6 SNPs differences in positions: 802257 (WT, C; 8322, T), 802460 (WT, C; 8322, T), 803918 (WT, C; 8322, T), 805115 (WT, C; 8322, T), 805353 (WT, C; 8322, T), and 806676 (WT, C; 8322, T) (Figure S1). The identified genomic regions were used to create pair wise fragments, one fragment representing the vaccine strain and the second representing the wild type, differing only by the identified SNPs.

The sensitivity and specificity of the vaccine database of variants in distinguishing field vaccine strains from wild type strains was 100%. The database was tested with a total of 1,253 sequenced genomes including Salmovac 440 (n = 51) and AviPro SALMONELLA VAC E (n = 13) field isolates, S. Gallinarum (n = 19), S. Pullorum (n = 116), wildtype S. Enteritidis (n = 244), and S. Typhimurium (n = 810) (Table 5).

DISCUSSION

In this study, using whole sequencing approaches, we characterized some of the genomic properties of the vaccine strains Salmovac 440 and AviPro SALMONELLA VAC E. Deletion of the tolC, acrB or acrAB genes is linked to strains with increased susceptibility to antimicrobials, including erythromycin (46). In AviPro SALMONELLA VAC E, phylogenetic analysis identified 3 missense mutations in acrB and 1 missense mutation in acrA (Rows 3–6, Table 3). In E. coli, multiple mutations in the acrB gene increase the susceptibility to erythromycin while individually they do not result in any changes of sensitivity, and the mutation in strain T377W even increases resistance to antimicrobials (47). Therefore, the sensitivity in AviPro SALMONELLA VAC E may be due to the possibility that these 4 mutations in acrAB have changed some properties of AcrAB efflux pump. The strA-strB genes are most likely associated with high levels of streptomycin resistance, whereas the aadA gene confers low-level resistance (48). Streptomycin resistance in M. tuberculosis isolates is frequently linked to missense mutations in the rpsL gene for ribosomal protein S12 or in the rrn gene for nucleotide substitutions in the 16S rRNA gene (49). In this study, we detected a missense mutation, Lys43Arg, in the rpsL gene (Table 3). The same amino acid replacement Lys43Arg of rpsL has been described in Mycobacterium tuberculosis and Helicobacter pylori (50, 51); while in E. coli the change is Lys42Arg (52). A missence point mutation Ser531Phe in the rpoB gene we detected in this study is most likely linked to the rifampicin resistance of SALMONELLA VAC E strain. Several mutations in the rpoB gene have been described to reduce the susceptibility to rifampicin in clinical Mycobacterium tuberculosis isolates that contribute to various degree of fitness cost to the strain (53).

HisB is bifunctional since the C-terminal domain catalyzes as IGP dehydratase (the sixth step) while the N-terminal domain as Hol-P phosphatase (the eighth step) in the histidine biosynthesis pathway (54). A total of 1,020 independent histidine-requiring mutations were isolated in the histidine operon after strain LT2 of S. Typhimurium was treated with N-methyl-N’-nitro-N-nitosoguanidine, the same agent used for Salmovac 440 mutagenesis; many of these mutations were found in the hisB gene (55).

Salmovac 440 is lacking the pathogenic plasmid pSENV that encodes a number of virulence factors (Table 2). The plasmid could be associated with much of the virulence as the spv (Salmonella plasmid virulence) is considered crucial for the phenotype of S. Enteritidis (56) and it has been shown that spvB mutants are avirulent in mice (57). Histidine-requiring mutations have also been shown to lead to attenuation. In Aspergillus fumigatus, mutation in hisB causes histidine auxotrophy and attenuation of virulence in 3 murine models: pulmonary infection, systemic infection, corneal infection, and in the wax moth larva model (58). In Xanthomonas oryzae pv. Oryzicola which triggers bacterial leaf streak in rice, two genes in histidine biosynthesis operon, trpR and hisB, were identified to be essential for virulence and bacterial growth in plants (59). Adenine is one of the products of purine biosynthesis. Disrupted de novo purine biosynthesis has been revealed to attenuate the virulence of several pathogens, such as Salmonella, Burkholderia, Brucella, and Francisella (60–64). Therefore, the attenuation of Salmovac 440 is most likely the result of the combination of absence of the virulence plasmid and histidine and adenine dependencies.

As for AviPro SALMONELLA VAC E, the attenuation may also be the result of multiple factors: e.g., the missing envR gene (Table 2) and point mutations in 20 virulence-associated genes...
AviPro SALMONELLA VAC E vaccine strains (100% sensitivity) and 7 AviPro SALMONELLA VAC E vaccine strains from the wildtype however, the short development will include an additional 3 live vaccines: AviPro SALMONELLA VAC E vaccine strains and identified genomic differentiation should be stable.

In conclusion, we characterized Salmovac 440 and AviPro SALMONELLA VAC E vaccine strains and identified genomic features that could have resulted in attenuation, resistance to rifampcin and streptomycin in AviPro SALMONELLA VAC E and histidine and adenine dependencies in Salmovac 440. We developed a database of highly specific SNP variants that could differentiate vaccine from wild type strains with 100% sensitivity and 100% specificity. The knowledge and methods from this study could be applied for characterization and differentiation of other Salmonella vaccine strains that are in use outside UK.
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