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Abstract
Castor is an important non-edible oilseed crop having huge industrial and export potential. It is used as an efficient lubricant for high-speed engines and as an ingredient in several commodities. There is a critical need to identify or to improve the germplasm lines with desirable characters. In the present study, 82 germplasm lines were evaluated in augmented design to estimate the genetic parameters viz., PCV, GCV, heritability and genetic advance as per cent of mean, principal component analysis and correlation studies. Results showed that the difference between PCV and GCV was low indicating less impact of environment on trait expression. Heritability (broad sense) and genetic advance as per cent of mean values were high for all the traits thus role of additive gene action is found important in governing the traits. Cluster analysis studies revealed that all the genotypes were grouped into eight clusters and cluster VIII documented the highest mean values for hundred seed weight and plant yield. The entries PRC-2 and PCS-337 recorded higher hundred seed weights of 56.5 and 48.6 g, respectively and in turn higher yield. These lines can be utilized as parents in the hybrid development programme for yield improvement. Correlation studies revealed that plant yield showed a significant positive association with all the characters except for days to 50% flowering. Thus selection for these traits viz., primary spike length, effective primary spike length, the number of effective spikes per plant, the number of capsules per spike and hundred seed weight will be advantageous in attaining higher yields.
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INTRODUCTION
Castor (*Ricinus communis* L.) is a cross-pollinated monotypic species that belongs to the family Euphorbiaceae. It is distributed across the world throughout tropical and subtropical regions (Kumar et al., 2015; Chaudhari et al., 2019). It is believed to have been originated from the Ethiopian & Eastern Africa region owing to the high genetic diversity in the regions (Vavilov, 1951; Moshkin, 1986). India is the leading producer and exporter of castor oil in the world and exports almost 80% of its total castor production. However, in India, the area under castor cultivation is confined to only eight lakh hectares with a productivity of 1902 kg/ha (FAOSTAT, 2020). Castor oil has got huge industrial importance due to the presence of ricinoleic acid (up to 85%) which confers distinctive industrial properties to the oil (Anjani, 2012; Nagarajan et al., 2019). It is used as an efficient
lubricant for high-speed engines and as an ingredient in soaps, shampoo, shoe polish, candles and ointments (Ranjitha et al., 2019; Gouri Shankar et al., 2010; Morris, 2004).

To meet the growing requirement of the industries, there is every need to produce high yielding cultivars in castor. Genetic improvement of the crop and development of new genotypes is the most economical way to achieve the demand in castor (Sujatha et al., 2008, Dapke et al., 2016; Salihu et al., 2017). Identification of genes and genotypes in the available germplasm and incorporation of same in the elite backgrounds is the best way for achieving desirable genetic improvement (Anjani, 2012). By considering the stable demand for castor oil in numerous industries, there is a crucial need to prominently improve the area, production and yield of castor crops. Based on the literature available, limited variability in castor was observed for yield contributing traits and resistance to diseases and pests which has led to limited progress in castor breeding programmes (Weiss, 2000). Estimation of genetic parameters, diversity studies and correlation analysis allows researchers to obtain more information like transmission of traits from one generation to another, the influence of external factors on traits expression and association among yield contributing traits from the material under study (Grobe, 2005).

MATERIALS AND METHODS
Eighty diverse germplasm lines including local collections, station germplasm, lines obtained from ICAR-IIOR, Hyderabad and other sources were studied (Table 1) along with two checks viz., Haritha, Pragathi. The lines were evaluated in an augmented design at the experimental plots of the Regional Agricultural Research Station, Palam during kharif, 2019. The experimental location is situated between 16.51° N latitude and 78.24° E longitude at an elevation of 545 meters above mean sea level. Each genotype was raised in a single row of 6 m length with a spacing of 90 × 60 cm. The experimental plot was divided into four blocks and each block contained 22 genotypes along with two checks replicated in all blocks. All the recommended agronomic practices were followed to raise the crop.

The observations on days to 50 % flowering, plant height (cm), the number of nodes to primary spike, primary spike length (cm), effective primary spike length (cm), the number of effective spikes per plant, the number of capsules per spike, yield per plant (g), hundred seed weight (g) were recorded on five plants per genotype. Analysis of variance was performed as described by Panse and Sukhatme (1985). The components of variances were used to calculate phenotypic and genotypic coefficients of variation as per the formula by Falconer (1981). Besides other genetic parameters viz., heritability and genetic advance as per cent of mean was also calculated as suggested by Allard (1960) and Johnson et al. (1955), respectively. The cluster analysis was done using DARwin 6 (Perrier and Jacquemoud-Collet, 2006) using Euclidean distance with Unweighted Pair Group Method using Arithmetic means (UPGMA). Correlation analysis was performed as suggested by Snedecor and Cochran (1967). The genetic parameters and histograms were prepared using GenStat for windows 14th edition (VSN International, 2011).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION
Analysis of variance was carried out for genotypes that showed significant differences for all the traits. This indicates the presence of variability in the material under study. The distribution of material for the traits under study was depicted in the form of a histogram (Fig.1) indicating the variability in the material. Considerable variation was also observed through the range in the chosen material (Table 2). Days to 50% flowering ranged from 40 to 70 days, plant height ranged from 13.5 to 124 cm, the number of nodes which indicates the earliness of a line ranged from 4 to 18, primary spike length from the basal node of the spike to till tip ranged from 8 to 67.5 cm whereas, effective primary spike length which is calculated as the first capsule from the base till the last capsule of the spike ranged from 2.5 to 67.5 cm, and the number of effective spikes per plant ranged from 2 to 17. Hundred seed weight which can be considered as a direct indicator of plant yield was ranged from 22.3 to 56.3 g. The genotypes, PRC-2 and PCS-337 recorded higher hundred seed weights of 56.5 g and 46.8 g, respectively. These entries can be utilised as an inbred parent for the development of high yielding hybrids or can be evaluated for performance in yield trials to be released directly as varieties. The single plant yield ranged from 15 to 294.2 g among the germplasm lines. The genotypes, PRC-2 and PCS-337 recorded higher seed yields per plant of 294.2 and 288.2 g, respectively which is much higher than the present day cultivars.

In the present study, the phenotypic coefficient of variation (PCV) varied from 11.53 to 56.08 per cent whereas, the genotypic coefficient of variation (GCV) ranged from 11.29 to 45.15 per cent for days to 50% flowering to the number of capsules per spike. Thus the estimates were moderate to high in the material under study (Subramanian and Menon, 1973). For all the traits, the difference between PCV and GCV was very less indicating less influence of environment on the expression of traits (Jaimini, 2002). High PCV and GCV was observed for all the traits except for days to 50% flowering and hundred seed weight which were recorded moderate PCV and GCV estimates.

Heritability provides information about the extent to which a particular genetic character can be transmitted to successive generations (Mangi et al., 2010). However, heritability value alone cannot provide information on the amount of genetic progress that would result from the selection of the best individuals. Johnson et al. (1955)
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Table 1. List of castor genotypes used for the study

| S.NO. | Genotype  | Source                          | S.NO. | Genotype  | Source                          |
|-------|-----------|---------------------------------|-------|-----------|---------------------------------|
| 1     | RG 3829   | Bheemda, Barmer, Rajasthan      | 42    | RG 361    | Tindivanam, Tamilnadu           |
| 2     | RG 1869   | DOR, Hyderabad                   | 43    | RG 66     | Dantiwada, Gujarat              |
| 3     | RG 2795   | Cuddalore, Tamilnadu             | 44    | RG 980    | Dantiwada, Gujarat              |
| 4     | RG 3884   | Bersamada, Jodhpur, Rajasthan    | 45    | RG 937    | Dantiwada, Gujarat              |
| 5     | RG 1346   | Unknown                          | 46    | RG 1218   | Unknown                         |
| 6     | RG 1927   | Llampara,Kamrup (dist), Assam    | 47    | RG 1034   | Unknown                         |
| 7     | RG 3678   | ARS, Mandor, Rajasthan           | 48    | RG 1081   | USA                             |
| 8     | RG 3674   | ARS, Mandor, Rajasthan           | 49    | RG 1081 R | Derived from RG 1081            |
| 9     | RG 3926   | Nethda, Jodhpur, Rajasthan       | 50    | RG 1282   | Unknown                         |
| 10    | RG 1382   | Unknown                          | 51    | RG 22     | Hungary                         |
| 11    | RG 3067   | Morgrdh-6, Anjar(Tq), Kutch, Gujarat | 52 | RG 1145 | Unknown |
| 12    | RG 3810   | Pandherpura, Maharashtra         | 53    | RG -1117  | Tindivanam, Tamilnadu           |
| 13    | RG 2687   | Andhra Pradesh                   | 54    | RG -1151  | Nigeria                         |
| 14    | RG 3834   | Khararatoram, Barmer, Rajasthan  | 55    | RG -1741  | DOR, Hyderabad                   |
| 15    | RG 2539   | Unknown                          | 56    | RG -1941  | Meghalaya                       |
| 16    | RG 2714   | Andaman & Nicobar Islands        | 57    | RG -1954  | Assam                           |
| 17    | RG 840    | IARI, RRS, Hyderabad             | 58    | RG 1511   | Palem, TS                       |
| 18    | RG 2364   | Tindivanam, TNAU, Tamilnadu      | 59    | RG 3408   | Chinndwara, MP                  |
| 19    | RG 1069   | USA                              | 60    | RG 3491   |ARS, Mandore, Rajasthan           |
| 20    | RG 3671   | ARS, Mandor, Rajasthan           | 61    | RG 3508   | ARS, Mandore, Rajasthan           |
| 21    | RG 3927   | Nethda, Baavdi, Jodhpur, Rajasthan | 62 | RG 3527 | ARS, Mandore, Rajasthan |
| 22    | RG 3676   | ARS, Mandor, Rajasthan           | 63    | RG 3533   | ARS, Mandore, Rajasthan           |
| 23    | RG 3675   | ARS, Mandor, Rajasthan           | 64    | RG 3705   | Pipi, Bavangar dist, GJ          |
| 24    | RG 3667   | ARS, Mandor, Rajasthan           | 65    | RG 3728   | Adilabad, TS                    |
| 25    | RG 3815   | Gelaluas, Jodhpur, Rajasthan     | 66    | RG 3736   | Adilabad, TS                    |
| 26    | RG 3939   | S.K. Nagar, Gujarat              | 67    | RG 3761   | Tamilnadu                        |
| 27    | RG 3836   | Gangla, Sodokebasti, Barmer, RJ  | 68    | RG 3772   | Tamilnadu                        |
| 28    | RG 1083   | Unknown                          | 69    | RG 3799   | Tamilnadu                        |
| 29    | RG 3672   | ARS, Mandor, Rajasthan           | 70    | RG 631    | Dantiwada, Gujarat               |
| 30    | RG 3204   | NBPGDR, New Delhi                | 71    | RG 981    | Dantiwada, Gujarat               |
| 31    | RG 3814   | Gelaluas, Jodhpur, Rajasthan     | 72    | PRC 2     | Palem, TS                       |
| 32    | RG 2593   | Chandrakot, Doda (dist), J & k   | 73    | RG 3454   | Unknown                         |
| 33    | RG 211    | Former USSR                      | 74    | PCS 337   | Palem, TS                       |
| 34    | RG 919    | Dantiwada                        | 75    | RG 3160   | Samakiya, Kutch, Gujarat         |
| 35    | RG 3833   | Khararatoram, Barmer, Rajasthan  | 76    | RG 3457   | Unknown                         |
| 36    | RG -1341  | Unknown                          | 77    | RG 3477   | Unknown                         |
| 37    | RG 3866   | Kedra, Kodhpur, Rajasthan        | 78    | RG 408    | Dantiwada, Gujarat               |
| 38    | RG 3677   | ARS, Mandor, Rajasthan           | 79    | RG 1294   | Unknown                         |
| 39    | RG 3673   | ARS, Mandor, Rajasthan           | 80    | RG 2497   | Unknown                         |
| 40    | RG 3680   | ARS, Mandor, Rajasthan           | 81    | Haritha*  | Variety developed at RARS, Palem |
| 41    | RG 1253   | Unknown                          | 82    | Pragathi* | Variety developed at RARS, Palem |

*Check varieties
Fig. 1. Histogram depicting the spread of germplasm lines for the traits under study.
reported that heritability estimates along with genetic advances would be more successful in predicting the effectiveness of selecting the best individuals. Genetic advance, which estimates the degree of gain in a trait obtained under given selection pressure, is an important parameter that guides the breeder in choosing a selection programme (Hamdi et al., 2003). High heritability and high genetic advance for a given trait indicate that it is governed by additive gene action and, therefore, provides the most effective condition for selection (Tazeen et al., 2009).

In the present material, the heritability (broad sense) ranged from 37.05 to 98.17 per cent for the traits number of effective spikes per plant to hundred seed weight, respectively (Table 2). The genetic advance as per cent of mean ranged from 22.77 to 74.87 for the traits days to 50% flowering to the number of capsules per primary spike (Table 2). Higher heritability values were observed for all the traits except for primary spike length, effective primary spike length and the number of effective spikes per plant where higher genetic advance as per cent of mean values was recorded for all the traits (Johnson et al., 1955) which indicate the influence of additive gene action in governing the traits resulting in simple phenotypic selection will be helpful in improving and fixing the traits in succeeding generations (Anjana et al., 2018). The above mentioned traits viz., primary spike length, effective primary spike length and the number of effective spikes per plant recorded moderate heritability and high genetic advance as per cent of mean suggesting the considerable impact of the environment in governing the inheritance of traits.

Diversity studies help in understanding the divergence in the material under study. In the present study, DARwin Software (Perrier and Collet, 2006) was utilised to identify variation in the material under study. Genotypes were grouped into eight clusters (Table 3) based on Euclidean distance. Cluster I had 22 genotypes and was considered as the largest in the present study followed by cluster II with 18 genotypes. Further, cluster III was grouped with 7 genotypes, cluster IV contains 11 genotypes, 10 genotypes were grouped in cluster V, cluster VI, VII and cluster VIII had 11, 1 and 2 genotypes, respectively. Cluster mean values (Table 4) for yield and yield contributing characters revealed that cluster VIII recorded a higher mean yield of 292.20 g / plant and also recorded a higher average hundred seed weight of 51.6 g.

Correlation studies provide information on the nature and magnitude of association between pairs of traits,
Table 3. Grouping of germplasm lines into eight clusters

| Cluster | Number of genotypes | Name of Genotypes |
|---------|---------------------|-------------------|
| I       | 22                  | RG 919, RG 3672, RG 2593, RG 3491, RG 3833, RG 1218, RG 937, RG 1954, RG 1346, RG 3408, RG 3829, RG 2687, RG 1294, RG 1069, RG 1741, RG 3866, RG 3457, RG 981, RG 3810, RG 2795, RG 3761, RG 3533 |
| II      | 18                  | RG 2714, RG 3834, RG 2364, RG 3799, RG 3673, RG 3836, RG 3926, RG 3772, RG 3736, RG 3454, RG 980, RG 3477, RG 3677, RG 3814, RG 1151, RG 2497, RG 1081, RG 1081 R |
| III     | 7                   | RG 1341, RG 211, RG 1382, RG 3675, RG 3160, RG 3728, RG 3815 |
| IV      | 11                  | RG 631, RG 3680, RG 3508, RG 3705, RG 3676, RG 1083, RG 3678, RG 1145, RG 2539, RG 1282, RG 3671 |
| V       | 10                  | RG 1511, RG 361, RG 22, RG 3067, RG 1869, RG 1117, RG 1034, RG 66, RG 1253, RG 3674 |
| VI      | 11                  | RG 408, Pragathi, Haritha, RG 3667, RG 1941, RG 1927, RG 3927, RG 3527, RG 3204, RG 3939, RG 3864 |
| VII     | 1                   | RG 840 |
| VIII    | 2                   | PRC 2, PCS 337 |

Table 4. Cluster means of eight clusters for yield and yield related traits in castor germplasm lines

| Cluster | DFF     | PHT     | NN     | PSL     | EPSL    | NESPP   | NC   | TY    | HSW    |
|---------|---------|---------|--------|---------|---------|---------|------|-------|--------|
| I       | 53.14   | 46.32   | 8.45   | 27.52   | 22.34   | 9.61    | 27.34| 117.75| 29.52  |
| II      | 52.61   | 60.58   | 10.61  | 35.92   | 28.69   | 9.14    | 57.72| 138.90| 30.90  |
| III     | 54.57   | 44.57   | 9.00   | 25.43   | 19.00   | 7.64    | 48.14| 183.70| 33.00  |
| IV      | 53.91   | 69.64   | 12.27  | 40.59   | 36.18   | 9.18    | 73.50| 78.39 | 28.91  |
| V       | 55.30   | 40.95   | 8.70   | 24.30   | 18.50   | 8.30    | 23.45| 57.86 | 29.42  |
| VI      | 48.59   | 74.12   | 13.71  | 51.68   | 49.15   | 9.44    | 86.82| 192.57| 29.21  |
| VII     | 59.00   | 76.00   | 15.00  | 51.50   | 51.50   | 6.50    | 167.00| 44.60 | 29.03  |
| VIII    | 55.00   | 59.70   | 15.50  | 44.85   | 36.10   | 7.15    | 53.35| 291.20| 51.65  |

DFF: Days to 50% flowering, PHT: Plant height (cm), NN: Number of nodes to primary spike, PSL: Primary spike length (cm), EPSL: Effective primary spike length (cm), NESPP: Number effective spikes per plant, NC – number of capsules per primary spike, TY: Total yield per plant (g), HSW: Hundred seed weight (g)

which is useful for the breeder in carrying out multiple trait improvements. In the present study (Table 5), no significant correlation was observed for days to 50% flowering with any other traits. Whereas, plant height showed a significant positive correlation with the number of nodes, primary spike length, effective primary spike length, the number of capsules per primary spike and plant yield. The number of nodes to primary spike showed a significant positive correlation with all the yield contributing traits viz., primary spike length, effective primary spike length, the number of effective spikes per plant, the number of capsules per primary spike, total yield per plant, hundred seed weight. A significant positive association was observed for primary spike length with effective primary spike length, the number of capsules per primary spike, total yield per plant whereas, effective primary spike length showed a significant positive association with the number of capsules per primary spike and total yield per plant. The number of capsules per primary spike recorded a significant positive association with total plant yield. A significant positive association was also observed between hundred seed weight and total yield per plant. Thus the genotypes with high hundred seed weight viz., PCS-337 (46.8 g) and PRC-2 (56.5 g) can be utilized as inbred parents in hybrid seed production or can be directly utilised as a straight variety based on the agronomic performance.

The present study revealed that the entries viz., PRC-2 and PCS-337 recorded higher hundred seed weight and higher single plant yield and are diverse compared to the other genotypes. These genotypes can be further utilised in crossing programmes to obtain high yielding cultivars in castor.
Table 5. Phenotypic correlation coefficients of yield and yield related traits in castor germplasm lines

| Trait | DFF     | PHT     | NN  | PSL   | EPSL   | NESPP  | NC     | TY   | HSW  |
|-------|---------|---------|-----|-------|--------|--------|--------|------|------|
| DFF   | 1.000   |         |     |       |        |        |        |      |      |
| PHT   | 0.003   | 1.000   |     |       |        |        |        |      |      |
| NN    | -0.082  | 0.759** | 1.000|       |        |        |        |      |      |
| PSL   | -0.120  | 0.682** | 0.701**| 1.000|       |        |        |      |      |
| EPSL  | -0.137  | 0.630** | 0.646**| 0.919**| 1.000|        |        |      |      |
| NESPP | -0.103  | 0.128   | 0.283**| 0.184 | 0.127 | 1.000  |        |      |      |
| NC    | -0.053  | 0.554** | 0.519**| 0.700**| 0.734**| 0.044  | 1.000  |      |      |
| TY    | -0.172  | 0.213*  | 0.297**| 0.374**| 0.340**| -0.008 | 0.290**| 1.000|      |
| HSW   | 0.113   | 0.180   | 0.237* | 0.031 | -0.009 | -0.17  | -0.057 | 0.403**| 1.000|

DFF: Days to 50% flowering, PHT: Plant height, NN: Number of nodes to primary spike, PSL: Primary spike length, EPSL: Effective primary spike length, NESPP: Number effective spikes per plant, NC – number of capsules per primary spike, TY: Total yield per plant, HSW: Hundred seed weight.
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