Regulatory changes in pterin and carotenoid genes underlie balanced color polymorphisms in the wall lizard
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Reptiles use pterin and carotenoid pigments to produce yellow, orange, and red colors. These conspicuous colors serve a diversity of signaling functions, but their molecular basis remains unresolved. Here, we show that the genomes of sympatric color morphs of the European common wall lizard (Podarcis muralis), which differ in orange and yellow pigmentation and in their ecology and behavior, are virtually undifferentiated. Genetic differences are restricted to two small regulatory regions near genes associated with pterin [sepiapterin reductase (SPR)] and carotenoid [beta-carotene oxygenase 2 (BCO2)] metabolism, demonstrating that a core gene in the housekeeping pathway of pterin biosynthesis has been coopted for bright coloration in reptiles and indicating that these loci exert pleiotropic effects on other aspects of physiology. Pigmentation differences are explained by expanded allelic diversity, and haplotype analysis revealed abundant transspecific allele sharing with other lacertids exhibiting color polymorphisms. The evolution of these conspicuous color ornamentation is the result of ancient genetic variation and cross-species hybridization.
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Color morphs can be found in thousands of species in nature. In many animals, color morphs persist over extended periods of time; co-occur across large geographic regions; and differ in a range of key morphological, physiological, or behavioral traits (1–5). These features offer an exceptional framework with which to investigate central mechanisms of phenotypic diversity and innovation, with potential insights into a variety of processes, including adaptation, convergent evolution, sexual selection, and the early stages of sympatric speciation (1, 6). It is thus of special interest to understand the evolutionary and mutational mechanisms promoting the emergence and long-term persistence of sympatric color morphologies in nature.

Reptiles are among the most colorful animals. They achieve this striking color variation in different ways, but their vibrant colors are generated primarily by carotenoid and/or pterin pigments (7). The colors produced by these pigments serve many biological functions and are thought to be of key importance in intra- and interspecific communication (8). Striking colors often differ between closely related species and have been gained and lost across the reptilian phylogeny, demonstrating that coloration driven by these types of pigments can change over short time scales and presumably evolved multiple times independently. However, despite intense study of the biochemical basis and ecology of carotenoid- and pterin-based pigmentation in reptiles (3, 7, 9–11), we have a limited understanding regarding the genetic changes and molecular pathways that govern differences among individuals and species.

Significance

Reptiles show an amazing color diversity based on variation in melanins, carotenoids, and pterins. This study reveals genes controlling differences between three color morphs (white, orange, and yellow) in the common wall lizard. Orange pigmentation, due to high levels of orange/red pterins in skin, is caused by genetic changes in the sepiapterin reductase gene. Yellow skin, showing high levels of yellow carotenoids, is controlled by the beta-carotene oxygenase 2 locus. Thus, the color polymorphism in the common wall lizard is associated with changes in two small regions of the genome containing genes with crucial roles in pterin and carotenoid metabolism. These genes are likely to have pleiotropic effects on behavior and other traits associated with the different color morphs.
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To investigate the genetic and evolutionary bases of the vivid colors displayed by reptiles, and to test hypothesis about how and why color polymorphisms and correlated trait variation persist within populations, we studied the European common wall lizard (Podarcis muralis; Fig. 1A): a polymorphic lizard in which the ventral scales of males and females exhibit one of three distinct colors (orange, yellow, and white) or a mosaic pattern combining two colors (orange-yellow and orange-white) (12, 13). These five
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**Fig. 1.** Color polymorphism in the European common wall lizard, *P. muralis*. (A, Left) Common wall lizard. (A, Right) Illustrations of the five discrete ventral morphs. These conspicuous colors likely function as visual ornaments implicated in sexual signaling. The yellow and orange colors are restricted to the ventral surface, and males and females exhibit marked differences in the extent of pigmentation in some populations. (B) Geographic distribution of the species in Europe (light green). (C) Ultrastructure of the ventral skin of the three pure morphs. (Top) Close-up view of the ventral scales of each morph under a light microscope. (Middle) TEM of the three chromatophore layers [xantophores (yellow), iridophores (blue), and melanophores (pink)]. (Bottom) Electromicrographs detailing the structure of xanthophores. Examples of pterinosomes (pte), carotenoid vesicles (cv), and immature vesicles (im) are highlighted. (D) Levels of colored pterin and carotenoid compounds in the ventral skin of the different morphs obtained by HPLC-MS/MS. O, orange; W, white; Y, yellow.
color morphs can be found throughout most of the broad geographic distribution of the species (Fig. 1B), and are shared by intraspecific sublineages thought to have diverged up to 2.5 Mya (14). Whereas the white morph is typically the most common (>50%), the relative frequency of morphs is highly variable even at small regional scales and the yellow or orange morph may occasionally prevail (15–17) (SI Appendix, Fig. S1). The widespread distribution and persistence of color variation is thought to be due to balancing selection and the product of an interplay between natural and sexual selection (15, 17). Previous work has shown that morphs mate assortatively with respect to ventral color (~75% of pairs) and differ in additional traits, including morphology, behavior, physiology, immunology, and reproduction (12, 18–22). The mode of inheritance of the color morphs is unknown.

Results
Carotenoid and Pterin Pigments Underlie Pigmentation Differences. We began by determining the biochemical and cellular basis of pigmentation differences among morphs. Using transmission electron microscopy (TEM), we found that the ventral skin of all morphs contained the same set of dermal pigment cells arranged as three superimposed layers (xanthophores, iridophores, and melanophores; Fig. 1C). The iridophore layer was thinner in orange individuals compared with yellow and white individuals, but the most noticeable difference among morphs was observed in the xanthophore layer, which usually contains pterinosomes and carotenoid pigments in reptiles (7). Although two types of morphologically distinct pigment-containing organelles (pterinosomes and carotenoid vesicles) coexisted within many xanthophores irrespective of skin color, their relative abundance varied drastically. Pterinosomes were abundant in xanthophores from orange skin, whereas carotenoid vesicles of a large size were abundant in yellow skin. The xanthophores of individuals exhibiting white skin were characterized by low numbers of pterinosomes and carotenoid vesicles, as well as by large numbers of immature vesicles of small size and low electron density under TEM.

Next, we used high-performance liquid chromatography–mass spectrometry (HPLC-MS/MS) to quantify individual carotenoid and pterin derivatives (Fig. 1D and SI Appendix, Fig. S2A). In agreement with microscopy, carotenoids and pterins were present in skin of all colors, but the relative proportions of specific metabolites varied according to color morph. Both lutein and zeatathoxin, two yellow-colored carotenoids, were significantly more abundant in yellow coloration, respectively. Together, the microscopy and biochemical analyses support the hypothesis that chromatic variation is due to alterations in the metabolism, transport, or deposition of carotenoids and pterins, or to some combination of these processes.

A Highly Contiguous Genome Sequence for the Common Wall Lizard. As a backbone for our genetic and evolutionary studies, we sequenced and assembled a reference genome for the common wall lizard (PodMur1.0). The assembly was primarily based on Pacific Biosciences (PacBio) long-read sequences (~100-fold coverage) and complemented with Illumina sequencing (30-fold coverage). To aid in the assembly of the genome, we generated chromosome conformation capture sequencing data using CHICAGO and HiC libraries (23, 24). The combination of these methodologies resulted in a high-quality, chromosome-scale, genome assembly of 1.51 Gb with a contig N50 of 714.6 kb and a scaffold N50 of 92.4 Mb (SI Appendix, Table S1). Our assembly produced 19 scaffolds of large size (18 larger than 40 Mb and one larger than 10 Mb; SI Appendix, Table S2), which matches well with the karyotype of the species (2n = 38) (25). A search for conserved single-copy orthologs revealed that the assembly included a large percentage of full-length genes (93.2%; SI Appendix, Table S1), indicating that the sequence is both highly contiguous and accurate.

Karyotypic analysis demonstrated that the common wall lizard possesses a ZZ/ZW sex determination system and that the two sex chromosomes are of similar size and shape (26). To identify the Z chromosome in our assembly, we compared sequence coverage between DNA pools of males and females (SI Appendix, Fig. S3). We found a single chromosome, which we named Z, for which sequence coverage in females was roughly half that of males throughout most of the chromosome, as expected from reads mapping on the Z, but not on the W, chromosome. The results show that Z and W, although similar in size, have likely evolved independently for a long time and have diverged extensively in sequence.

Finally, the genome assembly was annotated using in silico predictions and transcriptome data from five tissues and one embryonic stage (SI Appendix, Table S3), which predicted 24,656 protein-coding genes (SI Appendix, Table S1). This high-quality lizard genome provides an important resource for comparative genomic analysis within squamate reptiles.

The Distinct Morphs Have Near-Identical Genome Sequences. To obtain genome-wide polymorphism data, we sampled 154 individuals from two neighboring localities in the eastern Pyrenees and performed whole-genome sequencing (Fig. 1B). We sequenced 10 DNA pools of individuals grouped by color morph and locality (mean coverage = ~16-fold; SI Appendix, Table S4). The short-sequence reads were aligned to the reference genome for variant identification and allele frequency estimation, yielding a total of 12,066,526 variants (SNPs and indels). A neighbor-joining tree based on these genome-wide data revealed that the overall genetic structure was predominantly influenced by sampling site as opposed to color phenotype (Fig. 2A). The low differentiation between morphs is well demonstrated by an average fixation index (FST) value close to 0 (0.02) and by a paucity of variants displaying high allele frequency differences in pairwise comparisons (SI Appendix, Fig. S4). The different morphs also displayed similar levels of nucleotide diversity (θ) and nearly identical allele frequency spectra as measured by Tajima’s D (Fig. 2B), as expected from individuals drawn from a single population. These results demonstrate that the genome-wide impacts of assortative mating are minor and that rates of gene flow between morphs are sufficiently high to prevent the buildup of strong genetic differentiation.

High-Resolution Mapping of Genomic Regions Underlying Differences in Coloration. Encouraged by the overall low levels of genetic differentiation among morphs, we next carried out population genomic analysis to identify chromosome regions associated with color variation. We calculated allele frequency differentiation (ΔAF) averaged in sliding windows, and guided by our karyotypic characterization, we contrasted morphs by the presence/absence of specific colors (orange and yellow) or by their pattern (mosaic). For both orange and yellow coloration, we found in each case a single genomic region showing significantly high ΔAF compared with an empirical null distribution generated by permutation (Fig. 2C). These regions were small, located on the autosomes, and embedded within an otherwise undifferentiated genome (Fig. 3A). A Cochran–Mantel–Hanzel (CMH) test, configured to identify consistent changes in allele frequency between different samples, corroborated the ΔAF analysis and revealed the same two regions as the top outliers for orange and yellow coloration (SI Appendix, Fig. S5).
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The region significantly associated with orange coloration was located near the SPR gene [chromosome 9 (Chr9); Fig. 3A], which encodes sepiapterin reductase, a key enzyme in pterin metabolism (SI Appendix, Fig. S6A). The presence/absence of yellow coloration mapped to the genomic region around the BCO2 gene (Chr15; Fig. 3A). BCO2 encodes the beta-carotene oxygenase 2 that oxidizes colorful carotenoids to colorless apocarotenoids during the biosynthesis of vitamin A (SI Appendix, Fig. S6B). In light of the phenotypic differences between morphs, PTS is another gene of interest that was located near the BCO2 region (Fig. 3A). PTS encodes the 6-pyruvoyltetrahydropterin synthase, which is also a key enzyme in pterin metabolism (SI Appendix, Fig. S6A). Although evolutionary theory predicts that alleles encoding sexually selected traits, such as color ornaments, should accumulate preferentially on sex chromosomes (27, 28), particularly for ZZ/ZW systems, our results demonstrate that the two genomic regions most strongly associated with orange and yellow pigmentation are not sex-linked.

To confirm the association and to gain additional insight about the genetic architecture of orange and yellow coloration, we obtained individual genotypes for high ΔAF variants selected from the resequencing data (Fig. 3C). This genotyping revealed that in 56 of 57 cases, orange and mosaic individuals were homozygous for one allele (hereafter o) at the SPR locus, whereas yellow and white individuals, with one exception, were either heterozygous (n = 41) or homozygous (n = 16) for the alternative allele (hereafter O): a highly significant association (recessive model, \( P = 9.3 \times 10^{-29} \)). The association at the BCO2 locus was also highly significant (recessive model, \( P = 1.2 \times 10^{-15} \)), with most individuals displaying yellow coloration (yellow and orange-yellow) being homozygous (27 of 28 individuals) for one allele (hereafter y) and 34 of 36 individuals displaying white coloration (white and orange-white) being heterozygous (n = 21) or homozygous (n = 13) for the alternative allele (hereafter Y). The few discordant individuals in both loci can be explained by incomplete linkage between the genotyped and causal variants, incomplete penetrance due to environmental effects, or other interacting genetic factors. We found the three different genotypic classes among individuals of the pure orange morph at the
The BCO2 locus, suggesting that yellow carotenoids, if present, are likely masked by the stronger pterin-based orange coloration. Whereas other loci not revealed in our genomic analysis might play a minor role, these loci explain a large component of the differences in pterin and carotenoid pigmentation among morphs.

Subsequently, we extended the genotyping to a larger cohort of common wall lizards covering the broad geographic range of the species and belonging to several of its intraspecific sublineages (14). This analysis confirmed that SPR is the primary locus explaining orange coloration across the species’ range (SI Appendix, Table S5). In contrast, the variant near BCO2, which was strongly associated with yellow pigmentation in the Pyrenees, was either not present in other sublineages or not evidently associated with yellow coloration (SI Appendix, Table S5). The absence of an association might be explained by some of the same reasons as above, or by the possibility that yellow coloration might have evolved convergently more than once, either through independent mutation events near BCO2 or through a different gene.

By contrast, our genomic analysis (ΔAF and CMH test) did not reveal any genetic candidate associated with mosaic morphs (Fig. 2C and SI Appendix, Fig. S5). The mosaic morphs are also not explained by any combination of alleles between SPR and BCO2 (Fig. 3C). The lack of genetic signal could be explained by a polygenic architecture consisting of a few loci with small to moderate effects that our sequencing efforts might not be powerful enough to detect. Alternatively, this phenotype might not be directly under genetic control; rather, it might reflect a temporary ontogenetic stage or phenotypic plasticity. In fact, a long-term study of a natural population showed that many subadult individuals displaying isolated orange scales, identical to the orange-white morph, subsequently develop pure orange coloration (12). Additional work is needed to resolve the genetic basis (or lack thereof) of the mosaic morphs.

Synteny and Gene Content Are Preserved Between Haplotypes Associated with Coloration. Structural changes could be responsible for the observed genetic differentiation associated with each color morph. To explore this possibility, we sequenced an additional individual homozygous for the haplotypes not represented in the reference sequence (o and Y) using Nanopore long reads (9.7-fold coverage). We found that the region immediately upstream of SPR (∼7 kb) contained two medium-sized indel polymorphisms compared with
the reference sequence (1.4 kb and 2 kb) (Fig. 3B). PCR amplification of this region in a larger number of orange individuals \((n = 15)\) revealed two orange alleles of different size (\(o1\) and \(o2\)) that shared the 2-kb indel (Fig. 3B). However, we failed to identify homologous sequences to the two indels in the chicken or the anole lizard; thus, they are likely derived insertions lacking any strongly conserved sequence. In the region associated with yellow coloration surrounding \(BCO2\), the \(Y\) and \(Y\) haplotypes were identical in their general structure (Fig. 3B). Taken together, we found no evidence for the existence of large-scale structural differences, copy number variants, or translocations in both loci. Thus, gene content is preserved between the haplotypes that control pigmentation differences, demonstrating that the described multiltrait divergence between morphs is not explained by a supergene organization implicating many genes.

**Cis-Regulatory Sequence Variation Underlies the Color Polymorphism.**

Next, we examined in greater detail the region of association around \(SPR\) and \(BCO2\). The signal of association for orange coloration was restricted to a noncoding region immediately upstream of \(SPR\) (Fig. 3A). The association for yellow coloration in \(BCO2\) was strong both upstream and overlapping part of the coding region (Fig. 3A); however, we found no mutations strongly associated with yellow coloration that could alter the protein structure of \(BCO2\) (nonsynonymous, stop, splicing, or frameshift). We thus hypothesized that the pigmentation differences are controlled by regulatory sequence variation at these loci.

To examine the role of regulatory variation in pigmentation differences, we studied gene expression in several tissues (ventral skin, brain, muscle, and liver) harvested from orange, yellow, and white lizards using quantitative PCR (qPCR) (Fig. 3D and SI Appendix, Fig. S6C). \(SPR\) expression was significantly lower in orange skin relative to other colors (Mann–Whitney \(U\) test, \(P = 0.002\)), whereas the same was found for \(BCO2\) in yellow skin relative to white skin (Mann–Whitney \(U\) test, \(P = 0.003\)). Low \(BCO2\) expression is consistent with reduced activity of this enzyme that presumably leads to accumulation of colorful carotenoids, similar to what has been shown for carotenoid-based yellow coloration in birds (29). Despite the fact that the strongest signal of association was located near \(BCO2\) (Fig. 3A), the expression of \(PTS\) was also higher in white individuals compared with yellow individuals, although not significantly so (Mann–Whitney \(U\) test, \(P = 0.06\)). Given that we found no obvious differences in pterin content between white and yellow individuals (SI Appendix, Fig. S2 A and B), it is unclear whether \(PTS\) could play a role in pigmentation differences. In the other tissues, we found no significant differences between morphs for \(BCO2\), whereas \(SPR\) was slightly up-regulated in the muscle and brain of orange individuals (opposite to the pattern that was observed in the skin), and the differences were significant for the muscle and marginally significant for the brain (SI Appendix, Fig. S6C).

We next examined the expression differences in \(BCO2\) and \(SPR\) by measuring allele-specific expression in the skin. If cis-acting regulatory mutations are driving expression differences between morphs, then one haplotype should preferentially be expressed in the shared cellular environment of individuals heterozygous for the \(Yy\) or \(Oo\) alleles. Among the individuals used in the qPCR analysis, we found for each gene one heterozygous individual containing a polymorphism overlapping the coding region that could be used to quantify allelic imbalance using cDNA sequencing. Corroborating the observed differences in the qPCR experiments, we found a strong preferential expression of one allele over the other for both \(SPR\) (81% vs. 19%; \(n = 8,210\) reads; \(\chi^2, P < 10^{-16}\)) and \(BCO2\) (72% vs. 28%; \(n = 25,026\) reads; \(\chi^2, P < 10^{-16}\)). Overall, our expression studies provide evidence that pigmentation differences in the skin evolved through one or more cis-acting mutations affecting the activity of \(SPR\) and \(BCO2\), and possibly \(PTS\). They further suggest that gene expression of \(SPR\) in other tissues might also differ between morphs.

**Genetic Variation Upstream of \(SPR\) and \(BCO2\) Is Shared Among Different Species.**

To gain insight into the evolutionary history of the two regions that explain pterin- and carotenoid-based pigmentation, we sequenced amplicons (≈550 bp) overlapping the strong signals of association upstream of \(SPR\) and \(BCO2\) in individuals from the Pyrenees, and used the sequence data to construct haplotype trees (Fig. 4A). In both \(BCO2\) and \(SPR\), the haplotypes were typically associated with the presence or absence of yellow (\(Y\) and \(Y\)) and orange (\(O\) and \(O\)) coloration clustered into extremely divergent haplogroups (Fig. 4A). In \(SPR\), we also uncovered two divergent haplotypes associated with nonorange coloration. The average number of pairwise differences (\(d_{XY}\)) between the orange and nonorange haplogroups in \(SPR\) (\(d_{XY} = 5.2–6.9\%\)) and between the yellow and nonyellow haplogroups in \(BCO2\) (\(d_{XY} = 3.1\%\)) was approximately eight- to 17-fold higher than the average number of pairwise differences between sequences within the French population (\(\pi \approx 0.4\%;\) Fig. 2B). To put this into context, the average human–chimpanzee nucleotide divergence in noncoding regions is \(\sim 1.2\%\) (30). The magnitude of divergence between haplotypes associated with pigmentation differences shows that the alleles controlling the presence or absence of orange and yellow coloration are evolutionary old and not the result of recent mutational events. Tajima’s \(D\) values were also high for both loci (\(D_{SPR} = 2.1\) and \(D_{BCO2} = 2.3\)), likely as a consequence of the highly differentiated haplotypes and consistent with a molecular signature of balancing selection. However, since our sequencing data do not originate from a random sampling of morphs with respect to their observed frequencies, this should be interpreted with caution.

Other European lacertids exhibit intraspecific color polymorphisms resembling those of the common wall lizard (12). To study the evolutionary history of the regulatory regions associated with pigmentation in an extended phylogenetic context, we sequenced the same amplicons for six additional species of the genus *Podarcis* showing color polymorphism. In both \(SPR\) and \(BCO2\), we found a slight nonrandom clustering with respect to color morph across species. However, assuming the same recessive pattern of inheritance inferred for the common wall lizards, we would not expect to see haplotypes derived from orange and yellow individuals clustering together with haplotypes from nonorange and nonyellow individuals. The discrepancy could be explained by a combination of incomplete linkage between the sequenced amplicons and the causative mutations, as well as independent molecular bases for orange and yellow coloration in some species. Notably, the genealogies at both loci revealed abundant transspecies polymorphisms, in which haplotypes from a particular species were more closely related to haplotypes from a different species (Fig. 4B). For both loci, the average number of pairwise differences between haplotypes within taxa for several *Podarcis* species was also elevated compared with a set of 31 amplicons randomly distributed across the genome (average size = 410 bp; Fig. 4C). This pattern was particularly strong at \(SPR\), where a subset of the comparisons exceeds all values for the random loci, demonstrating that highly divergent haplotypes also co-occur in these species. Some species show pterin and/or carotenoid-based yellow and orange coloration differences, indicating that the patterns of deep haplotype divergence and allele sharing between species at both pigmentation loci could be explained by ancient alleles that predate speciation events and/or by interspecific hybridization. These scenarios generate different predictions regarding patterns of molecular variation. For example, introgressed haplotypes are expected to show reduced sequence divergence between species compared with the genome-wide average, whereas the maintenance of ancestral polymorphisms, compatible with a balancing selection scenario or incomplete lineage sorting, predicts no such reduction. We compared pairwise
Fig. 4. Evolution of the regulatory regions associated with pterin- and carotenoid-based coloration in the genus Podarcis. (A) Median-joining genealogies of the genomic regions associated with coloration upstream of SPR (Left) and BCO2 (Right) from common wall lizards from the Pyrenees. The dashes on branches indicate the number of observed mutations. (B) NeighborNet trees of the genomic regions associated with coloration upstream of SPR (Left) and BCO2 (Right) combining common wall lizards from the Pyrenees and six other species in the genus Podarcis. Haplotypes are colored orange, yellow, or white, indicating the color morph of the individual. For representation purposes, only a subset of the sequences is presented. (C) Pairwise nucleotide differences between haplotypes within species for SPR (orange), BCO2 (yellow), and 31 random loci (black). The average is indicated by a green circle. (D) Pairwise nucleotide differences between haplotypes of the common wall lizard and other Podarcis species for SPR (orange), BCO2 (yellow), and 31 random loci (black). The contrasts involving other species are presented in SI Appendix, Fig. S7.
haplotype differences across species for SPR, BCO2, and the 31 random loci (Fig. 4D and SI Appendix, Fig. S7). In contrasts between the common wall lizard and other species, the distribution of haplotype divergence for BCO2 was within the distribution of values calculated for the random loci, consistent with a scenario of ancestral genetic variation preserved between species. In the case of SPR, however, we found identical haplotypes between the common wall lizard and Podarcis hispanica. Podarcis lilopepis, Podarcis sicula, and Podarcis vaucheri, a pattern never observed for the random loci (Fig. 4D). The same pattern was observed in other interspecific contrasts (SI Appendix, Fig. S7).

Given that these species have diverged from the common wall lizard for millions of years (>10 Mya) (31), these identical sequences strongly suggest that introgression via hybridization occurred with these or related species. Collectively, these results suggest that patterns of molecular evolution at the pigmentation loci are explained by a combination of ancestral genetic variation, likely maintained through balancing selection, and introgression.

Discussion
Reptiles exhibit striking variation in color within and between species. Here, we combined whole-genome sequencing with gene expression, biochemical, and microscopy analyses to dissect the molecular basis of the coloration differences and correlated traits among morphs of the common wall lizard. Our analysis revealed genes responsible for production of carotenoid- and pterin-based pigmentation in reptiles, providing the basis to unravel the evolutionary processes that influenced the emergence of the broad palette of colors and visual ornaments so prevalently found in the reptilian world.

Co-option, the recruitment of genes and gene pathways to serve a different function, is emerging as a major evolutionary force underlying pigmentation novelty (32). Examples include a cytochrome P450 enzyme required for color vision and implicated in carotenoid-based red coloration in birds (33, 34), a polyketide synthase essential for producing yellow psittacofulvins in parrots (35), and early developmental genes governing wing patterns in butterflies (36). Pterins are produced through ancient multistep biosynthetic pathways, and orthologs of SPR exist throughout the animal kingdom. The SPR enzyme is required to catalyze one of the three key steps in the synthesis of tetrahydrobiopterin (SI Appendix, Fig. S6A), an essential cofactor for a vast range of enzymatic reactions of key metabolic importance, including degradation of the amino acid phenylalanine and biosynthesis of the neurotransmitters dopamine, serotonin, melatonin, noradrenaline, and adrenaline (37). For example, defects in pterin metabolism in humans are associated with many neurological, behavioral, and movement disorders (38). Given that SPR has housekeeping functions and is expressed constitutively across the skin and other tissues in vertebrates that do not use pterin-based compounds in pigmentation (39), our results show that a key enzyme in pterin metabolism, such as SPR and possibly PTS, has been co-opted for color variation through changes in gene regulation.

This study further expands our understanding of the genetic mechanisms linking color polymorphism to other phenotypic and fitness-related traits. It is well established that chromosomal rearrangements, such as inversions, can facilitate the evolution of multiltrait differences by suppressing recombination and preserve tight physical linkage over extended genomic segments (40–43). In contrast, genetic divergence between color morphs in the common wall lizard was restricted to very small and localized genomic intervals adjacent to pigmentation genes. This important result raises an immediate question: How do the described differences in morphology, physiology, and behavior between morphs arise? One plausible explanation is pleiotropic effects of the loci controlling skin pigmentation on other biological processes. The alleles associated with pigmentation differences were characterized by striking sequence divergence, and it is therefore possible that each variant allele carries multiple mutations affecting the expression of SPR, BCO2, and possibly PTS differently in the skin and other tissues, for instance, in brain regions controlling behavioral differences between morphs. Carotenoid and pterin compounds are known to be involved in a wide range of vital metabolic processes (37, 44); thus, alterations in the regulation of their biosynthetic pathways may form the basis of the multistrait divergence often observed between color morphs in many species of reptiles.

Finally, we show that transspecific allele sharing in both pigmentation loci was frequent among several species harboring color polymorphisms identical to those of the common wall lizard. Our results indicate that a combination of balancing selection and introgressive hybridization played a role in the evolution of coloration in the genus Podarcis and led to an unusually long-term maintenance of genetic variation at these pigmentation loci. Other examples of the combination of these two processes in reptiles include highly divergent haplotypes underlying alternate venom types in rattlesnakes (45) and signals of positive selection and introgression at the MHC locus in green lizards (46). Since polymorphic ventral coloration is common in lacertid lizards from multiple genera other than Podarcis, our results raise the intriguing possibility that hybridization might be a frequent mechanism promoting cross-species transfer of visual ornaments and associated traits, which are subsequently kept by balancing selection within species. This fits the emerging paradigm that hybridization and transfer of ancient alleles contribute to adaptive evolution, as shown by a growing list of studies on multiple traits and taxonomic groups, such as the venom types in rattlesnakes (45), wing coloration in Heliconius butterflies (47), seasonal coat color change in hares (48), and beak shape in Darwin’s finches (49). As genomic data accumulate, the molecular determinants of color variation in other polymorphic systems and the role of hybridization and balancing selection in the evolution of visual ornaments can be evaluated systematically.

Materials and Methods
Fulldetailed and referenced methods are available in SI Appendix. Permits for sampling and killing these lizards were provided by the Prefecture des Pyrénées Orientales (arrêté no. 2016-2-09) and the Servei de Biodiversitat i Protecció dels Animals (5F/474).

Phenotypic Characterization. We characterized the phenotype in detail using both TEM and biochemical analyses of pigments, using ventral skin harvested from individuals of all morphs of P. muralis (n = 22). TEM was performed following standard protocols. Skin portions harvested from animals exhibiting different color morphs. Carotenoid and pteridine content in the skin of all morphs was determined using HPLC-MS/MS.

Reference Genome Sequencing, Assembly, and Annotation. To generate a reference genome sequence for the common wall lizard, we sequenced a yellow male individual from the Pyrenees region using PacBio single-molecule real-time sequencing at ~100-fold coverage. To improve the accuracy of the genome sequence, we sequenced the same individual at 30-fold coverage using Illumina reads. Proximity ligation data (CHICAGO and HiC libraries) from an additional individual from the same location were used for scaffolding the draft assembly. To identify the Z chromosome, we compared sequence coverage at each scaffold between DNA pools of males and females. For the annotation of the genome, we obtained RNA-sequencing (RNA-seq) data of five tissues (brain, duodenum, muscle, skin, and testis) using Illumina technology. RNA-seq data from two embryos at the 31-somite stage, incubated at 15 °C or 24 °C, were obtained from a previous publication (50) and combined with the newly generated data. The annotation was performed using an ab initio-based annotation strategy combining gene predictions with the available evidence data.

Population Genomics and Association Mapping. Genome-wide polymorphism data were obtained using whole-genome resequencing of DNA pools (illumina). We sampled male individuals of the five color morphs at two localities in the eastern Pyrenees (France). Individuals were pooled by morph and locality in
equimolar concentrations and sequenced (10 pools, plus one pool from a location in northern Italy to serve as an outgroup). The number of individuals included in each pool was determined from nine to sixty-six, each pool was sequenced to an effective coverage of 15- to 18-fold. We constructed a neighbor-joining tree based on Nei’s standard genetic distances to infer patterns of population structure among sampled localities and among color morphs, and compared levels and patterns of genetic diversity between localities and morphs using Tajima’s D and genetic diversity using nucleotide diversity (θ). Genetic differentiation was summarized using FST. To test for the association between each color morph and specific genomic regions, we calculated the median value of the allele frequency difference (ΔAF) at each SNP between each relevant comparison based on the phenotypic data: (i) presence or absence of orange pigmentation, (ii) presence or absence of yellow pigmentation, and (iii) mosaic patterning or uniform coloration. We then used a sliding window approach (20 SNPs and steps of five SNPs) to identify regions with consistent differentiation across many SNPs. To estimate whether windows harbored a single SNP that was fixed for a polymorphism, we conducted 1,000 permutation tests for each window for a single SNP that was fixed for a polymorphism, we conducted 1,000 permutation tests for each window for each homozygote (πH) for each individual. We performed functional annotation of the variants to check for SNP and indel variants with potential functional significance associated with the candidate regions.

Gene Expression and Allelic Imbalance Analysis. Gene expression for SFRP, BCO2, PTS, and 18S (a housekeeping gene for expression normalization) was quantified using qPCR. We sampled 26 individuals belonging to all five morphs. After dissection, several organs (skin, brain, liver, and muscle) were harvested and stored for RNA extraction and cDNA synthesis. To investigate structural variation around the candidate loci, we prepared Oxford Nanopore libraries of an orange-white individual for sequencing using a MinION device (7.9-fold coverage) and mapped the reads to our reference assembly. We retrieved reads that mapped within and around SFRP and BCO2, and manually realigned the reads to the reference (a yellow individual). In addition, for the purpose of the association mapping, we performed functional annotation of the variants to check for SNP and indel variants with potential functional significance associated with the candidate regions.
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