Single-stranded DNA-binding Protein Recruits DNA Polymerase V to Primer Termini on RecA-coated DNA

Translesion DNA synthesis (TLS) by DNA polymerase V (polV) in *Escherichia coli* involves accessory proteins, including RecA and single-stranded DNA-binding protein (SSB). To elucidate the role of SSB in TLS we used an *in vitro* exonuclease protection assay and found that SSB increases the accessibility of 3’ primer termini located at abasic sites in RecA-coated gapped DNA. The mutant SSB-113 protein, which is defective in protein-protein interactions, but not in DNA binding, was as effective as wild-type SSB in increasing primer termini accessibility, but deficient in supporting polV-catalyzed TLS. Consistently, the heterologous SSB proteins gp32, encoded by phage T4, and ICP8, encoded by herpes simplex virus 1, could replace E. coli SSB in the TLS reaction, albeit with lower efficiency. Immunoprecipitation experiments indicated that polV directly interacts with SSB and that this interaction is disrupted by the SSB-113 mutation. Taken together our results suggest that SSB functions to recruit polV to primer termini on RecA-coated DNA, operating by two mechanisms: 1) increasing the accessibility of 3’ primer termini caused by binding of SSB to DNA and 2) a direct SSB-polV interaction mediated by the C terminus of SSB.

TLS Translesion DNA synthesis by DNA polymerase V (polV) in *Escherichia coli* involves accessory proteins, including RecA and single-stranded DNA-binding protein (SSB). To elucidate the role of SSB in TLS we used an *in vitro* exonuclease protection assay and found that SSB increases the accessibility of 3’ primer termini located at abasic sites in RecA-coated gapped DNA. The mutant SSB-113 protein, which is defective in protein-protein interactions, but not in DNA binding, was as effective as wild-type SSB in increasing primer termini accessibility, but deficient in supporting polV-catalyzed TLS. Consistently, the heterologous SSB proteins gp32, encoded by phage T4, and ICP8, encoded by herpes simplex virus 1, could replace E. coli SSB in the TLS reaction, albeit with lower efficiency. Immunoprecipitation experiments indicated that polV directly interacts with SSB and that this interaction is disrupted by the SSB-113 mutation. Taken together our results suggest that SSB functions to recruit polV to primer termini on RecA-coated DNA, operating by two mechanisms: 1) increasing the accessibility of 3’ primer termini caused by binding of SSB to DNA and 2) a direct SSB-polV interaction mediated by the C terminus of SSB.
were free of contaminating *E. coli* SSB, as determined by Western blot analysis.

**Construction of Gapped Plasmids**—The gapped plasmids were constructed as previously described (28, 29). Briefly, a phosphorylated primer was annealed together with phosphorylated downstream oligonucleotide to the template, and following ligation of this gapped duplex to the recipient vector, the reaction products were fractionated by agarose gel electrophoresis. The gapped circular plasmid was extracted from the gel using an electroelutor (Elutrap, Schleicher and Schuell). The gap was extended to a size of $\frac{350}{110}$ nucleotides using phage T7 gp6 exonuclease as described previously (28).

**TLS Assay**—The TLS reaction was performed as previously described (7, 28), with minor changes. The standard reaction mixture (12.5 µl) contained 20 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.5, 8 µg/ml bovine serum albumin, 5 mM dithiothreitol, 0.1 mM EDTA, 4% glycerol, 1 mM ATP, 10 mM MgCl$_2$, and 0.1 mM of each dATP, dGTP, dTTP, and dCTP, 2 nM radiolabeled gapped plasmid (GP21E), 25–1000 nM SSB tetramers, 2 µM RecA, 200 nM polV (as 200 nM MBP-UmuC and 400 nM UmuD'). When present, the β subunit was at 40 nM (as dimers), and the γ complex was at 20 nM. SSB H55K, SSB-1, or SSB-113 were each at 25–400 nM tetramers. T4 gp32 was at 1 µM, and HSV ICP8 at 180 nM. Reactions were carried out at 37 °C as follows: RecA, and the γ complex and β clamp when present, were preincubated with the DNA substrate in the assay buffer for 2 min. Next the desired SSB was added, and reactions were incubated for 4 additional min. Then polV was added, and the reaction was further incubated for 4–20 min. Reactions were terminated, and the DNA products were obtained, as described before (30). The extent of bypass was calculated by dividing the amount of bypass products by the amount of the extended primers ("lesion bypass"). TLS was also calculated, by dividing the amount of bypass products by the total amount of DNA. Initiation of synthesis was calculated by dividing the amount of extended primers by the total amount of DNA.

**Primer Accessibility Assay**—The primer accessibility assay consisted of monitoring the excision of the 3' terminal nucleotides by *E. coli* exonuclease III using as a substrate RecA-coated gap-lesion plasmid. Reactions were carried out under conditions similar to the TLS assay, but without dNTPs and polV, and in the presence of *E. coli* exonuclease III at 0.01–0.025 unit/µl. Other proteins, when present, were at the following concentrations: RecA, 2–30 µM; *E. coli* wild-type SSB and SSB-113, 100 nM (tetramers); T4 gp32, 1 µM. When the RecA protein was present at a concentration $\frac{2}{110}$ µM, the assay was conducted in the presence of an ATP-regenerating system, consisting of 0.2 mg/ml creatine kinase and 10 mM creatine phosphate. Reactions were carried out at 37 °C as follows: RecA and the DNA substrate were preincubated in the assay buffer for 2 min. Next SSB, SSB-113, or T4 gp32 were added, and the reactions were further incubated for 4 min. Then exonuclease III was added, and the reaction was incubated at 37 °C for 6–8

**FIGURE 1.** PolV-catalyzed TLS in the presence of SSB-1 and SSB H55K mutant proteins. **A**, outline of the TLS assay. The gap-lesion region of the plasmid substrate with the relevant restriction sites is shown at the top. Products of the lesion bypass assay following cleavage by restriction nucleases are shown below, with the 19-nucleotides long product representing the primer, the 30-nucleotides long product representing synthesis blocked at the lesion, and the 47-nucleotides long product representing the full TLS product. The abasic site is marked X, and the radiolabel is denoted by an asterisk.

**B**, effect on TLS of mutant SSB proteins defective in tetramerization. The TLS reaction contained the gap-lesion plasmid GP21E, 200 nM polV, 2 µM RecA, and either wt SSB, SSB-1 or SSB H55K at 25, 50, 100, 200, or 400 nM each. Reactions were carried out at 37 °C for 10 min and analyzed as described under "Experimental Procedures." Lane 16 contains a 19-mer marker for non-replicated DNA.
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min. Reaction products were treated with proteinase K, restricted, and fractionated by urea-PAGE as described for the TLS assay.

Restriction Nuclease Protection Assay—The restriction nuclease protection assay measured the modulation of the restriction of the gap-lesion plasmid by RecA and SSB. The relevant restriction sites are shown in Fig. 3A. The reactions were performed as described for the TLS assay with the following changes. The DNA substrates used were not radiolabeled, and reactions were carried out with neither dNTPs nor polV, and with either RsaI (0.04–0.06 unit/μl) or MspA 1I (1.6 units/μl), RecA (2–25 μM), E. coli SSB (0.2–1 μM as tetramers), and an ATP-regenerating system, as described above. As a control, in addition to the gap-lesion substrate, plasmid pFGP21 was used. This is a control covalently closed plasmid, which is similar to GP21E, except that it is fully double-stranded and contains no lesion (31). Reactions were carried out at 37 °C as following: RecA and the DNA substrate (GP21E or pFGP21, each at 4 nM) were preincubated in the assay buffer for 2 min. When present, SSB was added at this stage. The reactions were further incubated for 4 min, after which the restriction nuclease was added, and the reaction was incubated at for up to 6 min 37 °C. Reactions were terminated by the addition of 1% SDS loading buffer, heat-inactivated for 5 min at 70 °C, and fractionated by agarose gel electrophoresis in the presence of ethidium bromide. Reaction products were visualized under UV light.

Immunoprecipitation Assay—Immunoprecipitation reactions were performed by incubating the indicated proteins (UmuC-MBP, 9.5 μg; MBP, 9.5 μg; SSB, 13 μg; and SSB-113, 13 μg) at 4 °C for 30 min, in a buffer containing 25 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.5, 100 mM NaCl, 0.1% Nonidet P-40, and 0.1% bovine serum albumin, in a final volume of 100 μl. A monoclonal antibody against MBP (1 μg, New England Biolabs) diluted in 200 μl of binding buffer was then added to each reaction mixture, for an additional incubation of 1 h at 4 °C. Finally, 50 μl (50% v/v) protein A resin (Amersham Biosciences) was added to the reaction mixtures, for an additional incubation of 1 h. The protein A resins were subsequently washed 6 times with 1 ml of binding buffer. Resin-bound proteins were eluted by boiling in 25 μl of a solution containing 100 mM Tris-HCl, pH 6.8, 4% SDS, 20% glycerol, and 0.2% bromphenol blue and fractionated by 10% SDS-PAGE. The presence of SSB in the gel was assayed by immunoblotting with rabbit polyclonal anti-SSB antibodies (32), followed by goat-anti-rabbit antibodies linked to horseradish peroxidase (1:20,000, Jackson ImmunoResearch Laboratories, Inc). SuperSignal West Pico Chemiluminescent Substrate (Pierce) was used for detecting horseradish peroxidase on immunoblots.

RESULTS

The SSB-1 and SSB H55K Mutants Are Defective in polV-catalyzed TLS—The in vitro TLS assay used in this study, which was previously developed in our laboratory (7, 8, 29), is based on a gapped plasmid carrying a site-specific synthetic abasic site in the ssDNA region, and an internal 32P label near the primer terminus. Upon addition of polV, RecA, and SSB, DNA synthesis initiates from the 3′ primer terminus, and continues up to and across the abasic site. To facilitate the analysis of TLS products, the DNA is extracted from the reaction mixture and restricted to produce a set of short radiolabeled oligonucleotides, representing uninitiated primers, replication arrest at the lesion, and TLS products. Analysis of the products obtained in this manner allows the quantification of three variables as follows: (a) synthesis, defined as all extended products out of all available primers; (b) bypass, defined as all synthesis products longer than 30 nucleotides, out of all primers that were extended (the lesion is at position 30 with respect to the MspA1I cleavage site; Fig. 1A); and (c) TLS, defined as all products longer than 30 nucleotides, out of all available primers.

First we examined whether the SSB-1 mutant can support in vitro polV-catalyzed TLS. The SSB-1 mutant causes a temperature-sensitive phenotype in vivo, as well as UV sensitivity (33, 34). In vitro analysis revealed that the SSB-1 mutation causes a defect in tetramerization of the protein, which is likely to be responsible for its phenotype (35). Analysis of TLS with polV, RecA, and the SSB-1 protein revealed strong reductions in both synthesis and bypass by polV (Fig. 1B). Consistently, analysis of the SSB H55K mutant protein, known to be more defective than SSB-1 in tetramerization in vitro (24), showed even lower extents of initiation and bypass by polV (Fig. 1B). These results...
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FIGURE 3. Effect of RecA on restriction nuclease cleavage of a gap-lesion plasmid. A, the predicted products of GP21E restriction with RsaI and MspA1I. RsaI sites on GP21E (left panel) are located 78 (1) and 1141 (2) bp upstream to the primer terminus. Cleavage of GP21E by RsaI yields two fragments, at the indicated lengths. MspA1I sites on GP21E (right panel) are located 19 (1), 2341 (2), and 2586 (3) bp upstream to the primer terminus. Cleavage of GP21E by MspA1I yields three fragments at the indicated lengths. B, cleavage of the gapped DNA by RsaI in the presence of RecA. The gapped plasmid GP21E and plasmid pFGP21 were each preincubated at 37 °C for 6 min in the presence of RecA, at the indicated concentrations. RsaI was then added for a further incubation of 5 min at 37 °C. Reaction mixtures were fractionated on a 0.8% agarose gel, as described under “Experimental Procedures.” C, cleavage of the gapped DNA by RsaI in the presence of RecA and SSB. The gapped-plasmid GP21E was preincubated at 37 °C for 2 min in the presence of RecA and further preincubated for 4 min upon the addition of SSB. RsaI was then added as described in B. When present, RecA was at 5 μM. Reaction products were obtained as described in B. D, cleavage of the gapped plasmid by MspA1I in the presence of RecA and SSB. Gapped-plasmid GP21E and plasmid pFGP21 were each preincubated at 37 °C for 5 min in the presence of RecA and SSB as described in C. MspA1I was then added for a further incubation of 5 min at 37 °C. When present, RecA was at 25 μM. Reaction products were obtained as described in B.

suggest that SSB is required in its tetrameric form during polV-catalyzed TLS.

SSB Increases the Accessibility of the 3′ Primer Terminus in RecA-coated Gap-lesion Plasmids—The RecA nucleoprotein filament was proposed to target polV to the damaged DNA, most likely through its interaction with UmuD'. However, as the RecA filament extends to the double-stranded DNA region at the 3′ primer terminus, it might interfere with the binding of polV to the primer terminus. Indeed, high concentrations of RecA were found to inhibit TLS in our system (36). To directly examine the effects of RecA and SSB on primer accessibility, we utilized an exonuclease protection assay, based on the ability of the E. coli exonuclease III to excise the primer terminus in the 3′→5′ direction. As can be seen in Fig. 2A, exonuclease III excised the primer strand, as indicated by the appearance of radiolabeled oligonucleotides shorter than 19 nucleotides (Fig. 2A, lane 1). Pre-formation of a RecA nucleoprotein filament with increasing concentrations of RecA caused increasingly stronger inhibition of the 3′→5′ excision of the primer terminus by exonuclease III (Fig. 2A, lanes 2, 4, 6, 8, and 10). Taking the fraction of the degraded primers as a measure of exonuclease activity, excision decreased from 88% in the absence of RecA down to 10–14% in its presence (Fig. 2A, lanes 2, 4, 6, 8, and 10). The addition of SSB partially relieved this inhibition, causing up to a 3- to 4-fold increase in primer excision at 15–30 μM RecA (Fig. 2A). SSB alone had a minor effect on the excision by exonuclease III (Fig. 2A, lane 12).

A Heterologous SSB Also Increases the Accessibility of the Primer Terminus in RecA-coated DNA—To examine whether SSB exerts its effect via its ability to bind ssDNA, we examined the ability of a heterologous SSB, the phage T4 gp32, to increase the accessibility of the primer terminus in RecA-coated DNA. To that end we performed the exonuclease protection assay with RecA-coated gapped plasmid as before, but with T4 gp32 instead of SSB. As can be seen in Fig. 2B the addition of RecA to GP21E caused a decrease in primer excision by exonuclease III, as shown above, from 94% to 27% (Fig. 2B, lanes 6 and 7, respectively). Addition of T4 gp32 partially relieved this inhibition, leading to 58% primer degradation (Fig. 2B, lane 8). T4 gp32 itself had a marginal effect on the activity of exonuclease III and did not contain contaminating exonuclease activity (lanes 9 and 10, respectively). The ability of T4 gp32 to relieve the RecA inhibition of exonuclease III activity is generally similar to that of E. coli SSB (Fig. 2, A and B (lanes 1–5)), suggesting that their effect is at the DNA level, mediated primarily by their ssDNA binding activity. The observed mild activity difference between the two SSBS may stem from their different ssDNA binding modes. We also examined the effect of RPA, the human SSB, on primer accessibility in the presence of RecA, using the same assay. In contrast to the E. coli SSB and phage T4 gp32, human RPA was essentially unable to relieve the RecA inhibition of exonuclease activity (supplemental Table...
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S1). Thus not every SSB can relieve RecA inhibition of 3' primer accessibility.

SSB Does Not Cause Total Disassembly of the RecA Nucleoprotein Filament—To examine whether SSB causes a major disassembly of the RecA nucleofilament, or rather a more local change at the primer-template-lesion region, we used a restriction nuclease-protection assay. As shown in Fig. 3A, the restriction nuclease Rsal has two cleavage sites, located 78 and 1141 bp upstream to the primer terminus. Incubation of Rsal with pFGP21, an intact control plasmid, which mimics filled and ligated GP21E, yielded the two predicted DNA fragments of 1929 and 1063 bp (Fig. 3B, lane 6). Addition of RecA at concentrations of 2–10 μM had no effect on Rsal cleavage (Fig. 3B, lanes 7–9), as expected. This demonstrates that RecA, when not bound to DNA, did not inhibit Rsal. When the assay was conducted with the gap-lesion plasmid GP21E, the digestion pattern changed. In the absence of RecA, the gapped plasmid was fully cleaved by Rsal, yielding two DNA fragments (Fig. 3B, lane 1; the migration of the larger fragment is slightly faster than the control, because it is partially single-stranded). However, upon the addition of RecA, cleavage of GP21E by Rsal was inhibited, such that at 5 and 10 μM RecA the large majority of cleavage products consisted of singly cut GP21E (Fig. 3B, lanes 3 and 4). Additionally, uncleaved GP21E was also observed (Fig. 3B, lanes 3 and 4), suggesting that both restriction sites were blocked for Rsal digestion. As the only difference between GP21E and pFGP21 is the single-stranded DNA region, these results indicate that a nucleoprotein RecA-ssDNA filament formed at the gapped region enabled RecA to continuously cover the double-stranded DNA region adjacent to the gap in the 5’→3’ direction, covering both Rsal sites. Addition of increasing amounts of SSB had essentially no effect on the digestion of GP21E by Rsal, as demonstrated in Fig. 3C (lanes 2–5). These results suggest that SSB did not cause an overall disassembly of the RecA nucleoprotein filament. It is noteworthy to SSB itself did not affect the activity of Rsal (Fig. 3C, lanes 6–8).

The Rsal site closest to the 3’ primer terminus is located 78 bases upstream from it. To study the effect of SSB on the RecA filament closer to the 3’ primer terminus, another restriction endonuclease, Mspa11, was employed. As schematically shown in Fig. 3A, Mspa11 has three restriction sites on GP21E, located 19, 2341 and 2586 bp upstream to the primer terminus (Fig. 3A, right scheme, 1–3, respectively). The predicted products of Mspa11 digestion are shown in Fig. 3A, as well. Full digestion of GP21E in the presence of Mspa11 is demonstrated in Fig. 3D, lane 2, where only the largest fragment obtained is shown. In the presence of RecA, in addition to the fully digested DNA product, which was 2322 bp long, several longer products were obtained, including the closed circular plasmid (Fig. 3D, lane 3). These fragments resulted from inhibition of Mspa11 cleavage, leading to partial digestion. A similar digestion pattern was obtained upon the addition of SSB to RecA-containing reactions (Fig. 3D, lanes 4 and 5). SSB itself did not affect digestion by Mspa11 (Fig. 3D, lane 6). Digestion of the control fully double-stranded pGP21 by Mspa11 was unaffected by the presence of RecA (Fig. 3D, lanes 8 and 9), or RecA and SSB (Fig. 3D, lanes 10 and 11). However, SSB itself caused an electrophoretic shift (Fig. 3D, lane 12), consistent with the previously reported binding of SSB to supercoiled DNA (37). The finding that SSB is unable to relieve the RecA inhibition at sites flanking the primer terminus and beyond indicate that SSB acts in a significantly local manner, presumably by exposing the primer terminus to enzymatic activity.

Heterologous SSBs Support TLS by polV—If the function of SSB in TLS involves increasing the accessibility of the 3’ primer...
terminus, heterologous SSBs, which exhibit a similar property, might be able to substitute for E. coli SSB in the in vitro TLS reaction. Fig. 4A shows the results of a TLS experiment performed with polV, RecA, and T4 gp32. As expected, in the absence of any SSB, polV and RecA did not show any DNA synthesis activity (Fig. 4A, lane 6). Upon addition of T4 gp32, primer extension by polV was observed up to the abasic site, but not beyond it (Fig. 4A, lanes 4 and 9). T4 gp32 alone did not show any significant primer extension activity (Fig. 4A, lane 12). Because the β subunit sliding clamp and the γ complex clamp loader were previously shown to stimulate the TLS activity of polV (22, 38, 39), we examined their effect on TLS in the presence of T4 gp32. Remarkably, upon the addition of these processivity proteins polV, in the presence of T4 gp32, was able to significantly bypass the abasic site, as evident from the extension of the primer to a length of 47 nucleotides (Fig. 4A, lanes 5 and 10). Thus, T4 gp32 can support polV-catalyzed TLS in the absence of SSB, although it is less effective than E. coli SSB (Fig. 4A, compare lanes 5 to 3, and lanes 10 to 8).

A similar experiment was performed with ICP8, the HSV single-stranded DNA-binding protein, yielding remarkably similar results (Fig. 4B). DNA synthesis up to the abasic site, but not beyond it, was observed when ICP8 was present in the reaction mixture instead of SSB (Fig. 4B, lanes 5 and 11). When the β subunit sliding clamp and the γ complex clamp loader were added, significant bypass across the abasic site by polV was observed in the presence of ICP8 (Fig. 4B, lanes 6 and 12). Similar to the reaction in the presence of T4 gp32 protein, TLS was less effective when E. coli SSB was replaced by ICP8. We also examined the effect of human RPA on polV-catalyzed TLS. In contrast to the experiments with T4 gp32 and HSV ICP8, only marginal TLS was observed by polV in the presence of RPA (supplemental Table S2), consistent with its inability to increase accessibility of the 3’ primer terminus (supplemental Table S1).

**FIGURE 5.** Analysis of the effect of SSB-113 on TLS. A, polV-catalyzed TLS in the presence of SSB-113. Reactions were performed in the presence of polV, RecA, and 25, 50, 100, 200, or 400 nM wt SSB or SSB-113, at 37 °C for 5 min, as described under “Experimental Procedures.” Reaction products were analyzed as described in the legend to Fig. 1. Lane 11 contains a 19-mer primer as a marker for unreplicated DNA. B, effect of SSB-113 on primer accessibility to exonuclease III. Reactions were performed as described under “Experimental Procedures,” with 2 μM RecA, and 100 nM wt SSB or SSB-113. Degradation products were obtained as described in the legend to Fig. 2. Lane 11 contains a 19-mer as a marker for the non-degraded primer.

**SSB Acts in TLS via a Second Mechanism, Additional to ssDNA Binding**—The findings that heterologous SSBs stimulated bypass to a lesser extent than E. coli SSB raised the possibility that the latter acts by a mechanism additional to ssDNA binding. To address the possibility that this mechanism involves specific protein-protein interactions, we utilized the SSB-113 mutant protein, which was previously shown to be defective in interactions with other proteins (16, 19), while maintaining normal ssDNA binding activity (40). A gel mobility shift assay confirmed that our SSB-113 protein maintained wild-type-like ssDNA binding activity (supplemental Table S3). The effects of SSB-113 on both TLS and primer accessibility were examined. Fig. 5A shows the results of a TLS assay, in which wt SSB was replaced with the SSB-113 protein. TLS activity by polV was greatly reduced when the SSB-113 replaced wild-type SSB (Fig. 5A, compare lanes 6–10 to lanes 1–5).
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A

|     | Lane 1 | Lane 2 | Lane 3 | Lane 4 | Lane 5 | Lane 6 |
|-----|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| UmuC-MBP | +      | −      | +      | −      | +      | −      |
| MBP    | −      | −      | −      | −      | −      | −      |
| SSB    | −      | −      | +      | −      | −      | −      |
| SSB113 | −      | +      | +      | +      | −      | −      |

SSB →

B

|     | SSB | SSB113 |
|-----|-----|--------|
| Lane| 1   | 2      |

FIGURE 6. Immunoprecipitation analysis of polV-SSB interaction. A, lanes 1–6 contain immunoprecipitation reactions performed by the incubation of the indicated proteins at 4 °C for 30 min, as described in “Experimental Procedures.” A monoclonal anti-MBP antibody was then added to each reaction mixture, and incubated for 1 h at 4 °C. Finally, a protein A-conjugated resin was added to the reaction mixtures, followed by an additional incubation of 1 h. Resin-bound proteins were eluted, fractionated by SDS-PAGE, and analyzed by Western blot analysis using anti-SSB antibodies. B, lanes 1 and 2 contain purified SSB (30 ng) and purified SSB-113 (30 ng), respectively, as loading markers (19 kDa).

Next we examined the ability of SSB-113 to relieve the inhibition exerted by RecA on the degradation activity of exonuclease III. Remarkably, the SSB-113 protein was as effective as wild-type SSB in relieving the inhibition exerted by RecA (Fig. 5B, compare lanes 1–3 to lanes 6–8). Thus, although the SSB-113 protein had wild-type activity in increasing accessibility of the primer, it was still deficient in supporting TLS by polV.

SSB Interacts with polV—It was previously shown in our laboratory that the plasmid-encoded polV homolog, pol RI (MucA·2B), interacts with SSB (41). Based on the homology between the two polymerases, we hypothesized that SSB also interacts with polV. To examine this possibility, we took an immunoprecipitation approach. UmuC, the catalytic subunit of polV, fused to MBP (MBP-UmuC) was incubated with SSB, after which a monoclonal anti-MBP antibody was added. The antibody was then immobilized on protein A-conjugated beads, and unbound proteins were washed off. The immobilized proteins were solubilized, fractionated by SDS-PAGE, and the gel was blotted with anti-SSB antibodies. As can be seen in Fig. 6A, SSB was found bound to the beads when it was incubated with MBP-UmuC (Fig. 6A, lane 3). No binding was observed when either of the two proteins was incubated alone (Fig. 6A, lanes 1 and 2), or when the MBP tag was incubated with SSB (Fig. 6A, lane 6). Noteworthy, when the mutant SSB-113 protein was incubated with MBP-UmuC no binding was observed (Fig. 6A, lane 5), implying that this mutation reduces the binding affinity of SSB to polV. The control experiment with SSB-113 alone showed no binding, as anticipated (Fig. 6A, lane 4). Similar amounts of SSB and SSB-113 proteins were loaded, as demonstrated by the loading control experiment (Fig. 6B).

DISCUSSION

*E. coli* polV requires several accessory proteins for its bypass activity (1, 3, 42). A key accessory protein is the RecA protein, which was shown to be required for *in vitro* TLS, in addition to its other known functions in the SOS response (1). RecA forms a nucleoprotein filament on DNA and was originally proposed to help recruit polV to DNA via its interaction with the UmuD’ subunit of polV (10). Interestingly, increased concentrations of RecA were found to inhibit polV-catalyzed TLS in our *in vitro* system (36), most likely by covering the primer-template-lesion region, and hindering the access of polV to the primer terminus (30). This does not appear to be merely a matter of modulating *in vitro* assay conditions, because RecA is present at a very high cellular concentration of ~50 μM under SOS conditions (43).

The data presented above suggest that SSB relieves this inhibition and functions to target polV to the primer terminus. SSB was reported to be required for TLS across an abasic site by polV (8, 9). Subsequent studies have shown that polV and RecA can promote TLS in the absence of SSB when oligonucleotide substrates were used (44), or when low RecA concentrations, well below the concentration measured in SOS-induced cells, were employed (22). However, under conditions that are more consistent with the cellular ones with respect to DNA size and RecA concentration, SSB is essential for efficient TLS by polV (21, 30, 45).

Two characteristics of SSB are important in its function in TLS: (a) It is able to increase the accessibility of the primer terminus to other proteins, as indicated by the exonuclease protection assay. This is mediated most likely via the ability of SSB to bind the template DNA at the site of the primer-lesion junction and locally remodel the RecA filament or promote its local dissociation. This mechanism is supported by the known ability of SSB to strongly bind ssDNA (15) and by the fact that the heterologous ssDNA-binding protein T4 gp32 had similar effects on primer accessibility, as presented in this study. This binding seems to be highly localized, because it did not cause a global collapse of the RecA nucleoprotein filament. (b) It interacts with other protein participants in the TLS reaction. This mechanism is supported by the decreased TLS activity observed for SSB-113 and by the observed direct interaction between SSB and polV, as revealed by the immunoprecipitation assay.

The study of the SSB-113 mutant was most revealing, because this mutation separated between two functions of SSB in TLS. The binding of SSB-113 to ssDNA is similar to that of wt SSB, as previously reported (40), and confirmed here. Still, despite the fact that it maintains wild-type ssDNA binding, it is deficient in supporting polV-catalyzed TLS in vitro. The SSB-113 mutation, located in the C terminus of SSB, was shown to affect the binding of SSB to other proteins (16, 19, 20, 46, 47). Its reported inherently disordered state may contribute to the plasticity in binding to its partners (48, 49). The observed direct interaction of SSB with polV, via its UmuC subunit, may assist in the recruitment of polV to the primer-template at the site of a lesion. This interaction may be further enhanced by the RecFOR proteins, which are needed for efficient polV-promoted TLS (45, 50–52).

The data presented here propose that SSB and RecA are trading roles during the cellular response to DNA damage that blocks replication. When the replication fork encounters an unrepaired UV lesion, it stops. At this stage the ssDNA in the fork region is bound to SSB, which fulfills an essential role in...
melting out secondary structures in DNA, and preparing it for replication. However, once replication stops, the exposed state of the ssDNA wrapped around SSB, poses a threat to its integrity, making it vulnerable to breakage. This situation is ameliorated by the RecA protein, which is induced to large amounts in the cell, and displaces SSB, covering the ssDNA with a shielding sleeve. This stable RecA nucleoprotein filament acts to induce the SOS response and, under the appropriate conditions, promotes homologous recombination. Remarkably, for TLS to occur, SSB returns and, via its ability to bind ssDNA, interacts with polV thus enabling the initiation of TLS.

It was recently proposed that the RecA filament acts in trans, namely from another DNA region (or another molecule) to promote TLS (53). That study was performed in the absence of SSB, despite earlier observations from the same laboratory showing the importance of SSB in TLS (21). Our results do not contradict the results reported by Goodman and coworkers, but they do support a simpler model that does not invoke action in trans. According to our proposed model (Fig. 7), the RecA filament is formed when replication arrests at a lesion, as described above, and provides a protective and regulatory platform for subsequent events. It promotes the autocleavage of LexA leading to induction of the SOS regulon, and it promotes homologous recombination repair, whenever possible as an inherently error-free tolerance mechanism. After UmuC and UmuD are induced, UmuD is processed to UmuD\(^{2-}\) and polV is formed. SSB binds to the primer-template-lesion junction, recruits polV via its interaction with UmuC, and is perhaps aided by the interaction between UmuD\(^{2-}\) and RecA (Fig. 7). This reaction may be stimulated by the RecFOR proteins (45).

The \textit{ssb} gene is essential, and therefore only conditional mutants exist. These \textit{ssb-1} and \textit{ssb-113} mutants exhibited UV sensitivity defective UV mutagenesis and defects in induction of the SOS response (34, 54, 55). It was originally suggested that the primary defect in these mutants is in the induction of the SOS response (56). However, this does not preclude also a direct role in TLS, as presented here.

In conclusion, SSB stimulates polV-catalyzed TLS by two independent mechanisms, which are genetically separated by the \textit{ssb-113} mutation: (a) Its ssDNA binding activity increases the accessibility of 3’ primer terminus, enabling binding of polV. This may involve either local remodeling or local dissociation of the RecA filament (2). (b) Its direct interaction with UmuC, mediated by its C terminus, helps recruit polV to the DNA.
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