Many times a patient presents with history of accidental ingestion of foreign body (FB). They are usually lodged in the crico-pharyngeal sphincter (CPS). Most of the times it is a known object in children, when watched by intelligent or educated parents. In case of illiterate or uneducated parents, child is most often allowed to play on the floor without supervision. In case any small objects are carelessly left on the floor and accidentally ingested by unsupervised child, he/she may develop sudden onset of difficulty in swallowing, sometimes choking and asphyxiation. There is also a wide range of clinical presentations with mild symptoms to potential complications, making the condition difficult to evaluate. 1-4

Most of the times, these FB ingestions are seen in children between age groups of 6 months to 5 years. 5,6

Majority of the times i.e. almost 80% of GI foreign bodies pass spontaneously, around 10-20% require endoscopic removal, around 1% require external surgery. 7-11 Radio-opaque FBs are, most of the times, objects like coins, safety pins, scarf pins, screws,
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**ABSTRACT**

**Introduction**
Ingested foreign bodies (FBs) of the digestive tract can be divided into radiolucent and radiopaque, based on their appearance in Roentgenogram. Coins and button-batteries (BBs) constitute majority of the radiopaque FBs that are round in shape.

**Materials and Methods**
Medical records of children admitted with foreign bodies in the GI tract during the last 5 years have been reviewed. We have taken into our study, round radio-opaque FBs and analyzed the results.

**Results**
Out of 120 radiopaque FBs, in 112 cases they are lodged in cricopharyngeal sphincter (CPS), which were removed. Of these, 103 were coins and 9 were BBs. Five coins, which had crossed the CPS, ultimately passed out naturally with faeces. Three BBs, impacted in the oesophagus, distal to the CPS, were removed via esophagoscopy, on emergency basis. One child with impacted BB developed mediastinitis and died.

**Conclusion**
A round opaque disc shaped FB which is impacted or seen below CPS should not be treated as coin every time. High index of suspicion is needed to rule out BB ingestion as it is associated with high morbidity and mortality. Aim of this study is to stress on the need for educating primary care physicians and rural medical practitioners to avoid wait and watch policy, thus reducing misdiagnosis of coins for BBs.
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button batteries etc.\textsuperscript{12,13} Non-metal radiopaque FBs are usually animal bones. Thin fish bones, plastic and rubber items are non radio-opaque.\textsuperscript{14} FBs usually passed in a day or two, transit time depends on their size, and the guidelines contain very limited information on the size of the foreign body and transit time.\textsuperscript{14-16}

Materials and Methods

The medical records of children with radio-opaque FBs in GI tract, admitted in our hospital, were reviewed for a period of five years, i.e. from December 2015 to December 2020. The FBs are divided into round, irregular and specific shaped depending on the nature of objects.

Round shaped FBs particularly coins, followed by button batteries are particularly presented in children below the age group of 5 years. Irregularly shaped FBs (bizarre) are seen in children and adults who are basically psychiatric patients. Specific shaped foreign bodies like safety pins, needles, animal bones are seen in children as well as adults. We have taken into our study, round radiopaque foreign bodies and analyzed the results.

Results

252 patients of gastrointestinal foreign bodies are observed, out of which 120 (47.6\%) are radiopaque and rounded. Remaining 132 (52.3\%) are either irregular shaped or specific shaped like safety pins, needles and screws or radiolucent.

Out of 120 radio-opaque foreign bodies, around 108 (90\%) were found to be coins. Remaining 12 (10\%) were Lithium Ion button batteries (BB). (Table I) Most of the times i.e. in 112 cases (93.3\%) they are lodged in CPS (Fig.1) which were removed. Of these, 103 were found to be coins (91.96\%) and 9 were found to be button batteries (8.03\%).

Remaining foreign bodies 8 (6.66\%) were found below CPS and not passed down the oesophagus at the time of presentation. Among these, 5 FBs were coins which passed down in 1-2 days as observed in sequential X-rays (Fig.2). Three BBs, detected radiologically in the oesophagus (Fig.3), were removed via esophagoscopy under general anaesthesia, on emergency basis.

Percentage of coins among the FBs that crossed CPS and passed down the GI tract uneventfully is 100\% i.e., all the coins which crossed the CPS have traversed the esophagus without any intervention.

None of the BBs (0\%) could traverse the whole length

| SITE OF PRESENCE / IMPACTION | COIN | BUTTON BATTERY | TOTAL |
|-----------------------------|------|----------------|-------|
| At the level of CPS         | 103  | 9              | 112   |
| Below the level of CPS      | 5    | 3              | 8     |
| Total                       | 108  | 12             | 120   |

Fig.1. Foreign body (coin) at the level of cricopharynx
of esophagus after crossing CPS i.e., all the 3 BBs that crossed the CPS, have stayed in the oesophagus only, requiring endoscopic removal. One, of these 3 cases, died of mediastinitis, which developed 24 hours after removal of the object.

**Discussion**

As coins and button batteries (BB) both are round, disc shaped and are available in different sizes, there is a high chance of mistaking the BB ingestion for coins. Sometimes these patients, particularly those cases where FB is lodged below CPS were not admitted in other hospitals with the idea that these objects would pass down. Two such patients reached our hospital around three days after ingestion, with persistent symptoms leading to suspicion of a FB other than coin. Without further delay, patients were evaluated, prepared, esophagoscopy was done under general anaesthesia, and Li-Ion BBs were removed.

In a study conducted by Arora et.al, 92% of ingested radiopaque round foreign bodies were coins and rest of 7.09% were BBs. In our study, round opaque foreign bodies constituted for around 47.6%, of which nearly 90% are coins and BBs constitute around 10%. Though the incidence of BB ingestion has been constantly rising in the past two decades, still major proportion are coins only.

Of the 112 radiopaque FBs, which lodged at CPS (93.3%), 103 (91.96%) were coins and 9 (8.03%) were BBs. Of the 8 FBs (6.66% of total) which passed down the CPS, 5 (62.8%), passed down the oesophagus and gastrointestinal tract uneventfully and all were found to be coins. Rest three (37.5%) were BBs, which were removed endoscopically under general anaesthesia as emergency procedure. One of these 3 cases has succumbed to mediastinitis which he developed 24 hours after removal of BB.

Site of impaction was cricopharynx in 75% of BBs in our study, it is in accordance to reported 74.3%; but impaction of coins was 95.3% in our study, contrary to that reported by Arora et.al, i.e., 60.3%. This variation can be attributed to rural background of the area in which our institute is situated. Spontaneous expulsion is seen in 5 (4.62%) of coins, which depends on size of the object. Mortality rate of BB ingestion is 23.1% and 6.3% in studies conducted by Leinwand et al. and Krom et al. respectively. In our study it is 8.33% for the ingested BBs.
Conclusion

Impaction of the foreign body depends on its size and age of the child. Most of the times coins get impacted at the CPS and those which have crossed the sphincter easily pass down without any complications.

But, BBs, either lodged at the CPS or below the esophagus immediately start corrosive mucositis due to alkaline, thermal and electrical actions. These can damage mucosa and gut wall within minutes to hours can lead to fatal complications like mediastinitis and aorto enteric fistula. High index of suspicion is required to avoid delays in diagnosing as it reflects directly on morbidity and mortality.

At this point of time, a round opaque disc shaped foreign body which is impacted or seen below CPS should not be treated as coin every time, as use of BBs is increasing in day-to-day life and circulation of coins is decreasing. Aim of this study is to stress on the need for educating primary care physicians and rural medical practitioners about such mis-diagnosis of coins for BBs, which can save lives of hundreds of children.
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