Historical Criteria for Structural Classes of Proteins in Percentages: After 20 Years
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Abstract
Two decades ago scientists proposed some criteria for the structural classes in percentages. Today experts at SCOP classified hundreds of thousands of proteins into one of the four structural classes manually by inspection, and observation. Nakashima et al. gave a classification criteria. P.Y. Chou also proposed another method to classify proteins according their residue contents in three conformations, helix, sheet, and coil. Later P.Y. Chou revised his method. Today SCOP listed around 100,000 proteins with their structural classes. In this paper two datasets will be used to reveal the percentages of residues in α-Helices, β-sheets, and coils in proteins of classes all α, all β, α+β, and α/β, in the classifications made by experts in SCOP. The first of the data bases is PDBselect25 which contains 1670 twilight zone proteins whose similarity is less than 25%. The second data base BF30 consists of 10294 proteins picked from PDB database with the similarity threshold of 30%. Structural classes of these proteins are taken from SCOP database. It is seen that there is a very poor correlation between historical criteria, and SCOP’s scientists’ intuition in classification of proteins into structural classes.

1. INTRODUCTION

In SCOP database, a protein is mainly classified into one of the following five structural classes: all α, all β, α+β, α/β. Experts at SCOP have an intuition to decide about the structural class of a new protein. However no explicit formulation of this intuition revealed to the time. The structural class of a protein is hypnotized that it is somehow correlated with its amino acid composition. Various efforts have been made in finding coherent criteria that will help one to find out the structural class of a given protein. This article addresses the start and progress in this field.

There are two theoretical approaches to predict the structure of a protein. One is the free-energy minimization method, which is based on the empirical atomic potentials (see, e.g., Scheraga, 1968, 1987; Weiner and Kollman, 1981; Levitt, 1983; Gilson and Honig, 1988; Mackay et al., 1989; Rogers, 1989; McCammon et al., 1989; Chou et al., 1990; Karplus and Shakhnovich, 1992). The other is the statistical method, which was developed based on various statistical data extracted from structure-known proteins (see, e.g., Chou and Fasman, 1974, 1978; Lim, 1974; Garnier et al., 1978; Cid et al., 1982; Fasman, 1989; Jones et al., 1994; Orengo et al., 1994). Various physical theories of protein structures at different levels have also been proposed for improving the prediction of protein structure (Pitsyn and Rashin, 1975; Pitsyn and Finkelstein, 1979, 1980, 1989; Pitsyn et al., 1985;
Finkelstein and Pitsyn, 1987; Chothia and Finkelstein, 1990; Kuwajima et al., 1993; Kolinski and Skolnick, 1994; Vieth et al., 1994; Mitchell et al., 1994; McDonald and Thornton, 1994).

Secondary structures of proteins are obtained in the form of the x-ray analyses in three conformations helix "h", sheet "s", and others ".". Others are interpreted as coils "c".

The classification by Nakashima et al. (1986) covered 135 proteins, of which 31 were all \( \alpha \), 34 all \( \beta \), 27 \( \alpha + \beta \), 39 \( \alpha \beta \), and 4 \( \zeta \)-proteins. It is shown in tabulated form in Table 1.

### Table 1. Nakashima et al. (1986) made classification according to the following tabulated criterion:

| \( \square \) | \( \alpha \) | \( \beta \) | \( p \beta \) | \( \text{antip} \beta \) |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| \( \alpha \) | > 15 | < 10 | - | - |
| \( \beta \) | < 15 | > 10 | - | - |
| \( \alpha + \beta \) | > 15 | > 10 | - | Domn |
| \( \alpha / \beta \) | > 15 | > 10 | Domn | - |
| \( \zeta \) | < 15 | < 10 | - | - |

According to P.Y. Chou (1989), however, proteins were classified as follows:

- All \( \alpha \)-proteins: \( \alpha \geq 45\% \), \( \beta \leq 5\% \);
- All \( \beta \)-proteins: \( \alpha \leq 5\% \), \( \beta \geq 45\% \);
- \( \alpha + \beta \)-proteins: \( \alpha \geq 30\% \), \( \beta \geq 20\% \) with dominantly antiparallel \( \beta \)-sheets;
- \( \alpha \)-proteins: \( \alpha \geq 30\% \), \( \beta \geq 20\% \) with dominantly parallel \( \beta \)-sheets.

It is shown in tabulated form in Table 2.

### Table 2. P.Y. Chou (1989) made classification according to the following tabulated criterion:

| \( \square \) | \( \alpha \) | \( \beta \) | \( p \beta \) | \( \text{antip} \beta \) |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| \( \alpha \) | > 45 | < 5 | - | - |
| \( \beta \) | < 5 | > 45 | - | - |
| \( \alpha + \beta \) | > 30 | > 20 | - | Domn |
| \( \alpha / \beta \) | > 30 | > 20 | Domn | - |

The classification by P.Y. Chou (1989) covered 64 proteins: 19 all \( \alpha \), 15 all \( \beta \), 14 \( \alpha + \beta \), and 16 \( \alpha / \beta \)-proteins, but no irregular proteins.

Chou and Zhang concluded that (Chou, and Zhang, 1995) the relevant percentages given by Nakashima et al. (1986) for all \( \alpha \)-proteins (\( \alpha \geq 15\% \)) and, all \( \beta \)-proteins (\( \beta \geq 10\% \)) are not large enough to reflect the real features of the two structural classes, and an all \( \alpha \)- or, all \( \beta \)-protein should have at least \( \alpha \geq 40\% \) or \( \beta \geq 40\% \), respectively. Also no quantitative definition was given for the term "dominantly" mentioned in both of the two classification methods by Nakashima et al. (1986) and Chou (1989), and this would certainly cause ambiguity in distinguishing \( \alpha + \beta \) and \( \alpha / \beta \)-proteins.

In view of the above, a new classification has been proposed by P.Y. Chou (Chou, 1995) that categorizes proteins according to the following quantitative criterion:
All α-proteins, \( \alpha \geq 40\% \), \( \beta \leq 5\% \);
All β-proteins, \( \alpha \leq 5\% \), \( \beta \geq 40\% \);
\( \alpha + \beta \)-proteins, \( \alpha \geq 15\% \), \( \beta \geq 15\% \) with more than 60% antiparallel β-sheets;
\( \alpha / \beta \)-proteins, \( \alpha \geq 15\% \), \( \beta \geq 15\% \) with more than 60% parallel β-sheets; and
\( \zeta \) (irregular)-proteins, \( \alpha \leq 10\% \), \( \beta \leq 10\% \).

It is shown in tabulated form in Table 3.

Table 3. P.Y. Chou (1995) corrected his (1989) criterion as follows:

|   | \( \alpha \) | \( \beta \) | \( p \beta \) | antip \( \beta \) |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| \( \alpha \) | \( \geq 40 \) | \( \leq 5 \) | - | - |
| \( \beta \) | \( \leq 5 \) | \( \geq 40 \) | - | - |
| \( \alpha + \beta \) | \( \geq 15 \) | \( \geq 15 \) | - | \( \geq 60 \) |
| \( \alpha / \beta \) | \( \geq 15 \) | \( \geq 15 \) | \( \geq 60 \) | - |
| \( \zeta \) | \( \leq 10 \) | \( \leq 10 \) | - | - |

3. SCOP EXPERTS’ INTUITIVE CRITERIA

Today SCOP listed around 100,000 proteins with their structural classes. In this paper two datasets will be used to reveal the percentages of residues in α-Helices, β-sheets, parallel and antiparallel β-sheets in proteins of classes all α, all β, \( \alpha + \beta \), and \( \alpha / \beta \), in the classifications made by experts in SCOP.

The features of the secondary structure were proposed on the basis of the structural characteristics of proteins from α/β and \( \alpha + \beta \) classes. β-strands are usually separated by α-helices forming parallel β-sheets in α/β proteins, but are usually joined only by coils forming anti-parallel β-sheets in \( \alpha + \beta \) proteins. Consider that the β-strands in α/β proteins are usually composed of parallel β-sheets, while the β-strands in α/β proteins are usually composed of anti-parallel β-sheets, the third and the fourth features are based on the number of residues in β-strands that form parallel β-sheets (Pr) and the number of residues in β-strands that form anti-parallel (Apr) β-sheets, respectively (Fig. 2a).

The first of the data bases is PDBselect25 which contains 1670 twilight zone proteins whose similarity is less than 25% (Hohom, Sander 1994; Kurgan and Homaian, 2006). The second data base BF30 consists of 10294 proteins picked from PDB database with the similarity threshold of 30%. Structural classes of these proteins are taken from SCOP database.

3.1 Features to Specify the Percentages

Since the first proposed standard for protein structure classification is the content of the secondary structural elements (Chou, 2005), ConH and ConE were proposed to reflect the contents of H and E residues, respectively (Kurgan et al., 2008a, 2008b).

β-strands in α/β proteins are usually composed of parallel β-sheets, while the β-strands in α/β proteins are usually composed of anti-parallel β-sheets. The second and the third features are based on the number of residues in β-strands that form parallel β-sheets (Pr) and the number of residues in β-strands that form anti-parallel (Apr) β-sheets, respectively (Fig. 2a).

The features of the secondary structure were proposed on the basis of the structural characteristics of proteins from α/β and α+β classes. β-strands are usually separated by α-helices forming parallel β-sheets in α/β proteins, but are usually joined only by coils forming anti-parallel β-sheets in α+β proteins. Consider that the β-strands in α/β proteins are usually composed of parallel β-sheets, while the β-strands in α+β proteins are usually composed of anti-parallel β-sheets, the third and the fourth features are based on the number of residues in β-strands that form parallel β-sheets (Pr) and the number of residues in β-strands that form anti-parallel (Apr) β-sheets, respectively (Fig. 2a). We proposed that if two β-strands (segments of E) are separated by α-helix (segments of H), these two β-strands would form parallel β-sheets. Otherwise, they would form anti-parallel β-sheets. Take the secondary structure sequence in example (Fig. 2b), β-strand 1 and β-strand 2 are supposed to form parallel β-sheets, and β-strand 3 and β-strand 4 are supposed to form anti-parallel β-sheets (Fig. 2c). So there are three β-strands that form parallel β-sheets (Pr), and two β-strands that form antiparallel β-sheets in the secondary structure sequence (Apr).
BF30 data covers 10294 proteins, of which 2438 are all proteins. It is clearly seen that SCOP experts' manual class predictions, nor so effective in protein structural class prediction. The number of residues in β-strands that form anti-parallel (Apr) β-sheets, which are designed to improve the prediction accuracy of proteins from the secondary structure annotations for 25PDB Data do not support any of the anti-parallel (Apr) β-sheets. In addition, helix, sheet, and coil contents of proteins, recently developed features, especially inclusion of features derived from FSSM matrix, improved the prediction accuracy of protein classes enormously (Zhang, et al. 2011; Zhang, et al. 2014; Zhang, 2015; Ding, et al. 2014; Liu, and Jia, 2010; Liu, et. al., 2012).

3.2. Percentages of Residues in α-Helices, β-sheets, Parallel and Antiparallel β-sheets in 25PDB Data

25PDB data covers 1670 proteins, of which 443 are all α, 442 all β, 440 α + β, and 345 α/β proteins. Average percentages of residues in α-Helices, β-sheets, parallel and antiparallel β-sheets in proteins of classes all α, all β, α + β, and α/ β of 25PDB Data is shown in Table 4.

Table 4. Average percentages of number of residues in α-Helices, β-sheets, parallel and antiparallel β-sheets in proteins of classes all α, all β, α + β, and α/β of 25PDB Data.

| α* | α-Helices* | β-Sheets* | γβ-β* | αγ-β* |
|----|------------|-----------|-------|-------|
| 62 | 5          | 3         | 0     | 1     |
| 10 | 3          | 8         | 57    |       |
| 31 | 23         | 11        | 23    |       |
| 40 | 17         | 15        | 9     |       |

It is clearly seen that SCOP experts’ manual class estimations for 25PDB data do not support any of the historical sets of criteria to define the structural classes of proteins as well. Apparently SCOP experts’ manual class estimations are conformal for both datasets.

4. Conclusion

The percentages of four features in the historical classification criteria is proven to be neither conformal with the percentages obtained from SCOP structural class predictions, nor so effective in protein structural class prediction. The number of residues in β-strands that form anti-parallel (Apr) β-sheets, which are designed to improve the prediction accuracy of proteins from α + β class does not do so, instead causes confusion, since there are more anti-parallel (Apr) β-sheets in all β class than α + β class. In addition, helix, sheet, and coil contents of proteins, recently developed features, especially inclusion of features derived from FSSM matrix, improved the prediction accuracy of protein classes enormously (Zhang, et al. 2011; Zhang, et al. 2014; Zhang, 2015; Ding, et al. 2014; Liu, and Jia, 2010; Liu, et. al., 2012).
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