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Abstract

The paper studies the rate of convergence of the weak Euler approximation for solutions to SDEs driven by Lévy processes, with Hölder-continuous coefficients. It investigates the dependence of the rate on the regularity of coefficients and driving processes. The equation considered has a nondegenerate main part driven by a spherically-symmetric stable process.
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1. Introduction

The paper studies the weak Euler approximation for solutions to SDEs driven by Lévy processes with a nondegenerate main part. The goal is to investigate the dependence of the convergence rate on the regularity of coefficients and driving processes. We use the method developed in [15] and [16]. For the sake of completeness we repeat some arguments. A methodical novelty is that contrary to [15] and [16] we do not use Fourier transform. Also, the whole Hölder-Zygmund scale is covered.

1.1. Nondegenerate SDEs Driven by Lévy Processes

Let $(\Omega,\mathcal{F},\mathbb{P})$ be a complete probability space with a filtration $\mathcal{F} = \{\mathcal{F}_t\}_{t \in [0,T]}$ of $\sigma$-algebras satisfying the usual conditions and $\alpha \in (0,2]$ be fixed. Consider the following model in $\mathbb{R}^d$:

$$X_t = X_0 + \int_0^t a(X_s)ds + \int_0^t b(X_{s-})dU^\alpha_s + \int_0^t G(X_{s-})dZ_s, t \in [0,T],$$

(1)

where $a(x) = (a^i(x))_{1 \leq i \leq d}$, $b(x) = (b^j(x))_{1 \leq i,j \leq d}$, $G(x) = (G^{ij}(x))_{1 \leq i \leq d, 1 \leq j \leq m}$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ are measurable and bounded, with $a = 0$ if $\alpha \in (0,1)$ and $b$ being
nondegenerate. The main part of the equation is driven by $U^\alpha_t = \{U^\alpha_t \}_{t \in [0,T]}$, a standard $d$-dimensional spherically-symmetric $\alpha$-stable process:

$$U^\alpha_t = \int_0^t \int (1 - \bar{\chi}_\alpha(y)) y p_0(ds, dy) + \int_0^t \bar{\chi}_\alpha(y) y q_0(ds, dy), \alpha \in (0,2),$$

where $\bar{\chi}_\alpha(y) = 1_{\{\alpha \in (1,2)\}} + 1_{\{\alpha = 1\}} \chi_{\{|y| \leq 1\}}$ and $p_0(dt, dy)$ is a Poisson point measure on $[0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^d_0$ ($\mathbb{R}^d_0 = \mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\}$) with

$$E[p_0(dt, dy)] = \frac{dtdy}{|y|^{d+\alpha}}, \quad q_0(dt, dy) = p_0(dt, dy) - \frac{dtdy}{|y|^{d+\alpha}}.$$

If $\alpha = 2$, $U^\alpha_t$ is the standard Wiener process in $\mathbb{R}^d$. The last term is driven by $Z = \{Z_t \}_{t \in [0,T]}$, an $m$-dimensional Lévy process whose characteristic function is $\exp \{t \eta(\xi)\}$ with

$$\eta(\xi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^m_0} [e^{i\langle \xi, y \rangle} - 1 - i\langle \xi, y \rangle \chi_{\{|y| \leq 1\}}] 1_{\{\alpha \in (1,2)\}} \pi(dy).$$

Hence,

$$Z_t = \int_0^t \int (1 - \chi_\alpha(y)) y p(ds, dy) + \int_0^t \chi_\alpha(y) y q(ds, dy),$$

where $\chi_\alpha(y) = 1_{\{\alpha \in (1,2)\}} \chi_{\{|y| \leq 1\}}$, $p(dt, dy)$ is a Poisson point measure on $[0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^m_0$ with $E[p(dt, dy)] = \pi(dy)dt$, and $q(dt, dy) = p(dt, dy) - \pi(dy)dt$ is the centered Poisson measure. It is assumed that

$$\int (|y|^\alpha \wedge 1) \pi(dy) < \infty.$$

1.2. Motivation

The process defined in (1) is used as a mathematical model for random dynamic phenomena in applications arising from fields such as finance and insurance, to capture continuous and discontinuous uncertainty. For many applications, the practical computation of functionals of the type $F = E[g(X_T)]$ and $F = E[\int_0^T f(X_s)ds]$ plays an important role. For instance in finance, derivative prices can be expressed by such functionals. However in reality, a stochastic differential equation does not always have a closed-form solution. In such cases, in order to evaluate $F$, an alternative option is to numerically approximate the Itô process $X$ by a discrete-time Monte-Carlo simulation, which has been widely applied. The simplest and the most commonly-used scheme is the weak Euler approximation.
Let the time discretization \( \{ \tau_i, i = 0, \ldots, n_T \} \) of the interval \([0, T]\) with maximum step size \( \delta \in (0, 1) \) be a partition of \([0, T]\) such that \( 0 = \tau_0 < \tau_1 < \cdots < \tau_{n_T} = T \) and \( \max_i (\tau_i - \tau_{i-1}) \leq \delta \). The Euler approximation of \( X \) is an \( \mathbb{F} \)-adapted stochastic process \( Y = \{ Y_t \}_{t \in [0,T]} \) defined by the stochastic equation

\[
Y_t = X_0 + \int_0^t a(Y_{\tau_i}) \, ds + \int_0^t b(Y_{\tau_i}) \, dU_s + \int_0^t G(Y_{\tau_i}) \, dZ_s, \quad t \in [0, T], \tag{2}
\]

where \( \tau_i = \tau_i \) if \( s \in [\tau_i, \tau_{i+1}) \), \( i = 0, \ldots, n_T - 1 \). Contrary to those in (1), the coefficients in (2) are piecewise constants in each time interval of \([\tau_i, \tau_{i+1})\).

The weak Euler approximation \( Y \) is said to converge with order \( \kappa > 0 \) if for each bounded smooth function \( g \) with bounded derivatives, there exists a constant \( C \), depending only on \( g \), such that

\[
|\mathbb{E}[g(Y_T)] - \mathbb{E}[g(X_T)]| \leq C \delta^\kappa,
\]

where \( \delta > 0 \) is the maximum step size of the time discretization.

In the literature, the weak Euler approximation of stochastic differential equations with smooth coefficients has been consistently studied. For diffusion processes \( (\alpha = 2) \), Milstein was one of the first to investigate the order of weak convergence and derived \( \kappa = 1 \) [17, 18]. Talay considered a class of the second order approximations for diffusion processes [22, 23]. For Itô processes with jump components, Mikulevičius & Platen showed the first-order convergence in the case in which the coefficient functions possess fourth-order continuous derivatives [9]. Platen and Kloeden & Platen studied not only Euler but also higher order approximations [6, 19]. Protter & Talay analyzed the weak Euler approximation for

\[
X_t = X_0 + \int_0^t G(X_{s-}) \, dZ_s, \quad t \in [0, T], \tag{3}
\]

where \( Z_t = (Z^1_t, \ldots, Z^m_t) \) is a Lévy process and \( G = (G^{ij})_{1 \leq i \leq d, 1 \leq j \leq m} \) is a measurable and bounded function [21]. They showed the order of convergence \( \kappa = 1 \), provided that \( G \) and \( g \) are smooth and the Lévy measure of \( Z \) has finite moments of sufficiently high order. Because of this, the main theorems in [21] do not apply to (1). On the other hand, (1) with a nondegenerate matrix \( b \) does not cover (3), which can degenerate completely.

In general, the coefficients and the test function \( g \) do not always have the smoothness properties assumed in the papers cited above. Mikulevičius & Platen proved that there still exists some order of convergence of the weak Euler approximation for nondegenerate diffusion processes under Hölder
conditions on the coefficients and \(g\). Kubilius & Platen and Platen & Bruti-Liberati considered a weak Euler approximation in the case of a nondegenerate diffusion process with a finite number of jumps in finite time intervals \([8, 20]\).

In this paper, we investigate the dependence of the rate of convergence on the Hölder regularity of coefficients and the driving processes. For a driving process, the variation of the process can be regarded as a part of its regularity. In this sense, Wiener process is the worse, most “chaotic”, among \(\alpha\)-stable processes. Also, as pointed out in [21], the tails of Lévy processes influence the convergence rate as well.

1.3. Examples

For \(\beta > 0\), denote \(C^\beta(\mathbb{R}^d)\) the Hölder-Zygmund space, and \(\tilde{C}^\beta(\mathbb{R}^d)\) the Lipschitz space (\(\tilde{C}^\beta(\mathbb{R}^d) = C^\beta(\mathbb{R}^d)\) if \(\beta \notin \mathbb{N}\), see Section 3.1.1 for definitions). Let us look at two examples.

Example 1. (see Corollary 4) Assume \(\beta \leq \alpha\), the coefficients \(a^i, b^{ij} \in \tilde{C}^\beta(\mathbb{R}^d), C^{ij} \in \tilde{C}^{\alpha \wedge 1}(\mathbb{R}^d), \inf_x |\det b(x)| > 0\), and

\[
\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |y|^\alpha \pi(dy) < \infty,
\]

where \(\pi\) is the Lévy measure of the driving process \(Z\). Then it holds that

\[
|E[g(Y_T)] - E[g(X_T)]| \leq C|g|_{\alpha+\beta} r(\delta, \alpha, \beta),
\]

\[
|E[\int_0^T f(Y_{\tau_s})ds] - E[\int_0^T f(X_s)ds]| \leq C|f|_{\beta} r(\delta, \alpha, \beta),
\]

where

\[
r(\delta, \alpha, \beta) = \begin{cases} \delta^\beta & \text{if } \beta < \alpha, \\ \delta (1 + |\ln \delta|) & \text{if } \beta = \alpha \end{cases}
\]

Example 2. (see Corollary 5) Consider the jump-diffusion case (\(\alpha = 2\))

\[
X_t = X_0 + \int_0^t a(X_s)ds + \int_0^t b(X_s)dW_s + \int_0^t G(X_{s-})dZ_s, t \in [0, T],
\]

where \(W = \{W_t\}_{t \in [0, T]}\) is a standard Wiener process. Assume \(a, b^{ij} \in \tilde{C}^\beta(\mathbb{R}^d), \inf_x |\det b(x)| > 0\), and there exists \(\mu \in (0, 3)\) such that

\[
\int_{|y| \leq 1} |y|^2 \pi(dy) + \int_{|y| > 1} |y|^\mu \pi(dy) < \infty
\]
Let $G^{ij} \in \tilde{C}^{\frac{\beta}{\alpha + \beta}}(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Then it holds that

$$|E[g(Y_T)] - E[g(X_T)]| \leq C|g|_{\alpha + \beta} r(\delta),$$

$$|E[\int_0^T f(Y_t)ds] - E[\int_0^T f(X_s)ds]| \leq C|f|_{\beta} r(\delta),$$

where

$$r(\delta) = \begin{cases} \delta^{\frac{\alpha + \beta}{\alpha}}, & \text{if } \mu < 2, \\ \delta^{\beta} & \text{if } \mu = \beta = 2, \\ \delta & \text{if } \mu > 2, \beta > 2. \end{cases}$$

The assumption $G^{ij} \in \tilde{C}^{\frac{\beta}{\alpha + \beta}}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ shows that if $\mu < 1$, the heavy tail of $\pi$ can be balanced by a higher regularity of $G^{ij}$.

As in [10], this paper employs the idea of Talay (see [22]) and uses the solution to the backward Kolmogorov equation associated with $X_t$, Itô’s formula, and one-step estimates (see Section 2.2 for the outline of the proof).

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, the main result is stated and the proof is outlined. In Section 3, we present the essential technical results, followed by the proof of the main theorem in Section 4.

2. Notation and Main Result

2.1. Main Result and Notation

The main result of this paper is the following statement.

**Theorem 3.** Let $\beta \in (0, 3), 0 < \beta \leq \mu < \alpha + \beta$ and

$$\int_{|y| \leq 1} |y|^\alpha \pi(dy) + \int_{|y| > 1} |y|^\mu \pi(dy) < \infty.$$

Assume $\inf_x |\det b(x)| > 0$ and $a^i, b^{ij} \in \tilde{C}^\beta(\mathbb{R}^d), G^{ij} \in \tilde{C}^{\frac{\beta}{\alpha + \beta}}(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Then there exists a constant $C$ such that for all $g \in C^{\alpha + \beta}(\mathbb{R}^d), f \in C^\beta(\mathbb{R}^d)$,

$$|E[g(Y_T)] - E[g(X_T)]| \leq C|g|_{\alpha + \beta} r(\delta, \alpha, \beta),$$

$$|E[\int_0^T f(Y_t)ds] - E[\int_0^T f(X_s)ds]| \leq C|f|_{\beta} r(\delta, \alpha, \beta),$$

where

$$r(\delta, \alpha, \beta) = \begin{cases} \delta^{\frac{\alpha + \beta}{\alpha}}, & \beta < \alpha, \\ \delta(1 + |\ln \delta|), & \beta = \alpha, \\ \delta & \beta > \alpha. \end{cases}$$
Applying Theorem 3 to the case \( \alpha = \mu \) and the case of heavier tails results in Corollary 4 and Corollary 5 respectively.

**Corollary 4.** Let \( \beta \in (0,3) \), \( \beta \leq \alpha \), and 
\[
\int |y|^\alpha \pi(dy) < \infty.
\]
Assume \( a^i, b^{ij} \in \tilde{C}^\beta(\mathbb{R}^d) \), \( G^{ij} \in \tilde{C}^\alpha \tilde{\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^d) \), and \( \inf_x |\det b(x)| > 0 \). Then there exists a constant \( C \) such that for all \( g \in C^{\alpha+\beta}(\mathbb{R}^d) \), \( f \in C^{\beta}(\mathbb{R}^d) \),
\[
|E[g(Y_T)] - E[g(X_T)]| \leq C|g|_{\alpha+\beta} r(\delta, \alpha, \beta),
\]
\[
|E\left[\int_0^T f(Y_{\tau_\alpha})ds\right] - E\left[\int_0^T f(X_s)ds\right]| \leq C|f|_\beta r(\delta, \alpha, \beta),
\]
where
\[
r(\delta, \alpha, \beta) = \begin{cases} 
\delta^\beta & \text{if } \beta < \alpha, \\
\delta(1 + |\ln \delta|) & \text{if } \beta = \alpha
\end{cases}
\]

**Corollary 5.** Let \( \beta \in (0,3) \), \( 0 < \beta \leq \mu < \alpha \), and 
\[
\int_{|y| \leq 1} |y|^{\alpha} \pi(dy) + \int_{|y| > 1} |y|^{\mu} \pi(dy) < \infty.
\]
Let \( \inf_x |\det b(x)| > 0 \), \( a^i, b^{ij} \in \tilde{C}^\beta(\mathbb{R}^d) \) and \( G^{ij} \in \tilde{C}^\alpha \tilde{\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^d) \). Then there exists a constant \( C \) such that for all \( g \in C^{\alpha+\beta}(\mathbb{R}^d) \), \( f \in C^{\beta}(\mathbb{R}^d) \),
\[
|E[g(Y_T)] - E[g(X_T)]| \leq C|g|_{\alpha+\beta} \delta^{\frac{\beta \mu}{\alpha}},
\]
\[
|E\left[\int_0^T f(Y_{\tau_\alpha})ds\right] - E\left[\int_0^T f(X_s)ds\right]| \leq C|f|_\beta \delta^{\frac{\beta \mu}{\alpha}}.
\]

Denote \( H = [0,T] \times \mathbb{R}^d \), \( \mathbf{N} = \{0,1,2,\ldots\} \), \( \mathbf{R}_0^d = \mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\} \). For \( x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d \), write \((x, y) = \sum_{i=1}^d x_i y_i \). For \((t, x) \in H\), multiindex \( \gamma \in \mathbb{N}^d \) with \( D^\gamma = \frac{\partial^{\lvert \gamma \rvert}}{\partial x_1^{i_1} \cdots \partial x_d^{i_d}} \), and \( i, j = 1, \ldots, d \), denote
\[
\partial_t u(t, x) = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} u(t, x), \quad D^k u(t, x) = (D^\gamma u(t, x))_{\lvert \gamma \rvert = k}, \quad k \in \mathbf{N},
\]
\[
\partial_i u(t, x) = u_{x_i}(t, x) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} u(t, x), \quad \partial_{ij}^2 u(t, x) = u_{x_ix_j}(t, x) = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} u(t, x),
\]
\[
\partial_x u(t, x) = \nabla u(t, x) = (\partial_1 u(t, x), \ldots, \partial_d u(t, x)),
\]
\[
\partial^2 u(t, x) = \Delta u(t, x) = \sum_{i=1}^d \partial_{ii}^2 u(t, x).
\]
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\[ C = C(\cdot, \ldots, \cdot) \] denotes constants depending only on quantities appearing in parentheses. In a given context the same letter is (generally) used to denote different constants depending on the same set of arguments.

2.2. Outline of Proof

Due to the lack of regularity, standard techniques such as stochastic flows cannot be applied to prove Theorem 3. Instead, as in [10], the solution to the backward Kolmogorov equation associated with \( X_t \) is used. In the following, the operators of the Kolmogorov equation associated with \( X_t \) are first defined.

For \( u \in C^{\alpha+\beta}(H) \), denote
\[
A_z u(t, x) = 1_{\{\alpha=1\}}(a(z), \nabla_x u(t, x)) + 1_{\{\alpha=2\}} \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^{d} D^{ij}(z) \partial_{ij}^2 u(t, x)
\]
\[
+ 1_{\{\alpha \in (0,2)\}} \int [u(t, x + b(z)y) - u(t, x) - (\nabla u(t, x), b(z)y) \chi_{\alpha}(y)] \frac{dy}{|y|^{d+\alpha}},
\]

\[
A u(t, x) = A_z u(t, x) = A_z u(t, x)|_{z=x},
\]

with \( \chi_{\alpha}(y) = 1_{\{\alpha=(1,2)\}} + 1_{\{\alpha=1\}} \chi_{|y| \leq 1} \), \( D = b^* b \), and
\[
B_z u(t, x) = 1_{\{\alpha=(1,2)\}}(a(z), \nabla_x u(t, x)) + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d_0} [u(t, x + G(z)y)) - u(t, x)
\]
\[
- 1_{\{\alpha=(1,2)\}} \chi_{|y| \leq 1} (\nabla_x u(t, x), G(z)y)] \pi(dy),
\]

\[
B u(t, x) = B_z u(t, x) = B_z u(t, x)|_{z=x}.
\]

Applying Itô’s formula to \( X_t \) and \( u \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d) \), we find that
\[
u(X_t) - \int_0^t A u(X_s) ds - \int_0^t B u(X_s) ds, t \in [0, T]
\]
is a martingale.

**Remark 6.** More precisely, under assumptions of Theorem 3, there exists a unique weak solution to equation (1) and the stochastic process
\[
u(X_t) - \int_0^t (A + B) u(X_s) ds, \forall u \in C^{\alpha+\beta}(\mathbb{R}^d)
\]
is a martingale [12]. The operator \( \mathcal{L} = A + B \) is the generator of \( X_t \) defined in (1); \( A \) is the principal part of \( \mathcal{L} \) and \( B \) is the lower order or subordinated part of \( \mathcal{L} \).
If $v(t, x), (t, x) \in H$ satisfies the backward Kolmogorov equation
\[
(\partial_t + A + B)v(t, x) = 0, \quad 0 \leq t \leq T,
\]
\[
v(T, x) = g(x),
\]
then as interpreted in Section 4, by Itô’s formula
\[
E[g(Y_T)] - E[g(X_T)] = E[v(T, Y_T) - v(0, Y_0)] = E[\int_0^T (\partial_t + \mathcal{L}_{Y_{t+s}})v(s, Y_s)ds].
\]
The regularity of $v$ determines the one-step estimate and the rate of convergence of the approximation. For $\beta \in (0, 1)$, the results for the Kolmogorov equation in Hölder classes are available [11, 13]. In a standard way the results can be extended to the case $\beta > 1$. The main difficulty is to derive the one-step estimates (see Lemma 15).

3. Backward Kolmogorov Equation

In Hölder-Zygmund spaces, consider the backward Kolmogorov equation associated with $X_t$:
\[
(\partial_t + A + B)v(t, x) = f(t, x), \quad \forall (t, x) \in H.
\]

The regularity of its solution is essential for the one-step estimate which determines the rate of convergence.

Definition 7. Let $f$ be a measurable and bounded function on $\mathbb{R}^d$. We say that $u \in C^{\alpha+\beta}(H)$ is a solution to (4) if
\[
u(t, x) = \int_t^T [\mathcal{L}u(s, x) - f(s, x)] ds, \forall (t, x) \in H.
\]

The following theorem is the main result of this section.

Theorem 8. Let $\beta \in (0, 3)$, $0 < \beta \leq \mu < \alpha + \beta$, and
\[
\int_{|y| \leq 1} |y|^\alpha \pi(dy) + \int_{|y| > 1} |y|^\mu \pi(dy) < \infty.
\]
Assume $a^i, b^{ij} \in \tilde{C}^\beta(\mathbb{R}^d), G^{ij} \in \tilde{C}_\mu^\beta(\mathbb{R}^d), \inf_x |\det b(x)| > 0$. Then for each $f \in C^\beta(\mathbb{R}^d)$, there exists a unique solution $v \in C^{\alpha+\beta}(H)$ to (4) and a constant $C$ independent of $f$ such that $|v|_{\alpha+\beta} \leq C|f|_\beta$. 
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An immediate consequence of Theorem 8 is the following statement.

**Corollary 9.** Let \( \beta \in (0, 3) \), \( 0 < \beta \leq \mu < \alpha + \beta \), and

\[
\int_{|y|\leq 1} |y|^{\alpha} \pi(dy) + \int_{|y|> 1} |y|^{\mu} \pi(dy) < \infty.
\]

Let \( a^i, b^{ij} \in C^\beta(\mathbb{R}^d) \), \( G^{ij} \in C^{\mu\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^d) \), \( \inf_x |\det b(x)| > 0 \). Then for each \( f \in C^\beta(\mathbb{R}^d) \) and \( g \in C^{\alpha+\beta}(\mathbb{R}^d) \), there exists a unique solution \( v \in C^{\alpha+\beta}(H) \) to the Cauchy problem

\[
(\partial_t + A + B)v(t, x) = f(x), \quad v(T, x) = g(x)
\]

and \( |v|^{\alpha+\beta} \leq C(|f|_\beta + |g|_{\alpha+\beta}) \) with a constant \( C \) independent of \( f \) and \( g \).

To prove Theorem 8 and Corollary 9, in a standard way the equation with constant coefficients is first solved. Then variable coefficients are handled by using partition of unity and deriving apriori Schauder estimates in Hölder-Zygmund spaces. Finally, the continuation by parameter method is applied to extend solvability of an equation with constant coefficients to (4).

### 3.1. Kolmogorov Equation with Constant Coefficients

It is convenient to rewrite the principal operator \( A \) by changing the variable of integration in the integral part:

\[
A_z u(t, x) = 1_{\{\alpha = 1\}}(a(z), \nabla_x u(t, x)) + 1_{\{\alpha = 2\}} \sum_{i,j=1}^d D^{ij}(z) \partial^2_{ij} u(t, x)
\]

\[
+ 1_{\{\alpha \in (0,2)\}} \int [u(t, x+y) - u(t, x) - (\nabla u(t, x), y) \chi_\alpha(y)] m(z, y) \frac{dy}{|y|^{d+\alpha}},
\]

where \( D = b^* b \),

\[
m(z, y) = \frac{1}{|\det b(z)| |b(z)|^{-1} \frac{y}{|y|^{d+\alpha}}, \alpha \in (0, 2). \quad (7)
\]

Obviously,

\[
\int_{S^{d-1}} y m(\cdot, y) \mu_{d-1}(dy) = 0. \quad (8)
\]

Here \( S^{d-1} \) is the unit sphere in \( \mathbb{R}^d \) and \( \mu_{d-1} \) is the Lebesgue measure.
For $z_0 \in \mathbb{R}^d$, denote $A^0 u(x) = A_{z_0} u(x)$. Consider a backward Kolmogorov equation with constant coefficients and $\lambda \geq 0$,

$$\begin{align*}
(\partial_t + A^0 - \lambda) v(t, x) &= f(x), \\
v(T, x) &= 0.
\end{align*}$$

(9)

**Proposition 10.** Let $\beta > 0$ and $f \in C^\beta(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Assume there are constants $c_1, K > 0$ such that for all $z \in \mathbb{R}^d$,

$$|\det b(z)| \geq c_1, \quad 1_{\{\alpha=1\}} |a(z)| + |b(z)| \leq K.$$ 

Then there exists a unique solution $u \in C^{\alpha+\beta}(H)$ to (9) and

$$|u|_{\alpha+\beta} \leq C|f|_\beta,$$

where the constant $C$ depends only on $\alpha, \beta, T, d, c_1, K$. Moreover,

$$|u|_\beta \leq C(\alpha, d)(\lambda^{-1} \wedge T)|f|_\beta$$

and there exists a constant $C$ such that for all $s \leq t \leq T$,

$$|u(t, \cdot) - u(s, \cdot)|_{\frac{\alpha}{2} + \beta} \leq C(t-s)^{\frac{1}{2}}|f|_\beta.$$  

(10)

(11)

(12)

To derive Proposition 10, some auxiliary results are presented first.

3.1.1. Continuity of Operator $A^0$ in Hölder-Zygmund Spaces

To show that operator $A^0$ is continuous in Hölder-Zygmund spaces $C^\beta(\mathbb{R}^d)$, first recall their definition.

For $\beta = [\beta]^- + \{\beta\}^+ > 0$, where $[\beta]^- \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\{\beta\}^+ \in (0, 1]$, let $C^\beta(H)$ denote the space of measurable functions $u$ on $H$ such that the norm

$$|u|_\beta = \sum_{|\gamma| \leq [\beta]^-} \sup_{(t, x) \in H} |D_x^\gamma u(t, x)| + 1_{\{\beta\}^+ < 1} \sup_{|\gamma| = [\beta]^-} \sup_{t, x \neq \tilde{x}} \frac{|D_x^\gamma u(t, x) - D_x^\gamma u(t, \tilde{x})|}{|x - \tilde{x}|^{1/2}}$$

$$+ 1_{\{\beta\}^+ = 1} \sup_{|\gamma| = [\beta]^-} \sup_{t, x, h \neq 0} \frac{|D_x^\gamma u(t, x + h) + D_x^\gamma u(t, x - h) - 2D_x^\gamma u(t, x)|}{|h|^{1/2}}$$

is finite. Accordingly, $C^\beta(\mathbb{R}^d)$ denotes the corresponding space of functions on $\mathbb{R}^d$. The classes $C^\beta$ coincide with Hölder spaces if $\beta \notin \mathbb{N}$ (see 1.2.2 of [24]).
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For $v \in C^\beta(\mathbb{R}^d)$ with $\beta \in (0, 1]$, denote
\[
|v|_0 = \sup_x |v(x)|,
\]
\[
[v]_\beta = \sup_{x \neq y} \frac{|v(x) - v(y)|}{|x - y|^{\beta}} \quad \text{if } \beta \in (0, 1),
\]
\[
[v]_\beta = \sup_{x, h \neq 0} \frac{|v(x + h) - 2v(x) + v(x - h)|}{|x - y|^{\beta}} \quad \text{if } \beta = 1.
\]
Similarly we define the spaces $\tilde{C}^\beta(\mathbb{R}^d)$: $\tilde{C}^\beta(\mathbb{R}^d) = C^\beta(\mathbb{R}^d)$ if $\beta > 0, \beta \notin \mathbb{N}$, and $\tilde{C}^k(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is the space of all functions $f$ on $\mathbb{R}^d$ having $k - 1$ continuous bounded derivatives and such that $D^\gamma f, |\gamma| = k - 1$, are Lipschitz. We introduce the norms in $\tilde{C}^\beta(\mathbb{R}^d)$
\[
||f||_\beta = |f|_\beta \quad \text{if } \beta > 0, \beta \notin \mathbb{N},
\]
\[
||f||_k = \sum_{|\gamma| \leq k - 1} |D^\gamma f|_0 + \sup_{x \neq y, |\gamma| = k - 1} \frac{|D^\gamma f(x) - D^\gamma f(y)|}{|x - y|}.
\]

For $\alpha \in (0, 2)$, define for $v \in C^{\alpha+\beta}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ the fractional Laplacian
\[
\partial^\alpha v(x) = \int [v(x + y) - v(x) - (\nabla v(x), y) \chi_\alpha(y)] \frac{dy}{|y|^{d+\alpha}}, x \in \mathbb{R}^d. \tag{13}
\]

For various estimates, the following representation of the difference is useful.

**Lemma 11.** (Lemma 2.1 in [7]) For $\delta \in (0, 1)$ and $u \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$,
\[
u(x + y) - u(x) = K \int k(\delta)(y, z) \partial^\delta u(x - z) dz,
\]
where $K = K(\delta, d)$ is a constant,
\[
k(\delta)(y, z) = |z + y|^{-d+\delta} - |z|^{-d+\delta},
\]
and there exists a constant $C$ such that
\[
\int |k(\delta)(y, z)| dz \leq C |y|^\delta, \forall y \in \mathbb{R}^d.
\]

By taking pointwise limit ($\partial^\delta$ is defined by 13) and applying the dominated convergence theorem, the statement can be extended to $u \in C^\delta(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

Let $m(y)$ be a measurable and bounded function on $\mathbb{R}^d$. Define
\[
L^m u(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} [u(x + y) - u(x) - (\nabla u(x), y) \chi_\alpha(y)] m(y) \frac{dy}{|y|^{d+\alpha}}, u \in C^{\alpha+\beta}.
\]

The following statement is proved in [14] for $\beta \in (0, 1]$. 
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Lemma 12. Let $\alpha \in (0, 2)$, $\beta > 0$, $u \in C^{\alpha+\beta}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, and $|m| \leq K$. Assume if $\alpha = 1$,
\[
\int_{r<|y|\leq 1} \frac{ym(y)}{|y|^{d+1}} dy = 0, \forall r \in (0, 1)\tag{14}
\]
Then there exists a constant $C$ independent of $u$ such that
\[
|L^m u|^\beta \leq CK|u|^\alpha+\beta.
\]

Proof. The result holds for $\beta \in (0, 1]$ according to Proposition 11 in [14]. If $\beta > 1$, and $u \in C^{\alpha+\beta}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, then for any multiindex $|\gamma| = [\beta]$, $D^\gamma u \in C^{\alpha+\beta-[\beta]}$, and
\[
|D^\gamma (L^m u)|_{\beta-[\beta]} = |L^m (D^\gamma u)|_{\beta-[\beta]} \leq CK|D^\gamma u|^\alpha+\beta-[\beta].
\]
The statement follows.

3.1.2. Proof of Proposition 10
The statement is proved by induction. Given $\alpha \in (0, 2]$ and $f \in C^{\beta}(H)$, for $\beta \in (0, 1]$, there exists a unique solution $u \in C^{\alpha+\beta}(H)$ to the Kolmogorov equation (9) such that (10)-(12) hold [14]. Assume the result holds for $\beta \in \bigcup_{l=0}^{n-1}(l, l+1]$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $\beta \in (n, n+1]$, $\tilde{\beta} = \beta - 1$, and $f \in C^{\tilde{\beta}}(H)$. Then $\tilde{\beta} \in (n-1, n]$, $f \in C^{\tilde{\beta}}(H)$, and there exists a unique solution $v \in C^{\alpha+\tilde{\beta}}(H)$, $\alpha \in (0, 2]$ to the Cauchy problem such that (10)-(12) hold for $v$ with $\tilde{\beta}$. For $h \in \mathbb{R}$ and $k = 1, \ldots, d$, denote
\[
v^h_k(t, x) = \frac{v(t, x + he_k) - v(t, x)}{h},
\]
where $\{e_k, k = 1, \ldots, d\}$ is the canonical basis in $\mathbb{R}^d$. Obviously, $v^h_k \in C^{\alpha+\tilde{\beta}}(H)$ and
\[
(\partial_t + A^0 - \lambda)v^h_k(t, x) = f^h_k(x), x \in \mathbb{R}^d, \tag{15}
v^h_k(T, x) = 0.
\]
Since $f \in C^{\beta}(H)$ and
\[
f^h_k(t, x) = \int_0^1 \partial_k f(t, x + he_k)s ds, \forall h \neq 0,
\]
then
\[
|f^h_k|_{\beta} \leq C|\nabla f|_{\beta-1} \leq C|f|_{\beta} \tag{16}
\]
with a constant $C$ independent of $h$. Since $v \in C^{\alpha+\tilde{\beta}}(H)$, then $v^h_k \in C^{\alpha+\tilde{\beta}}(H)$. By (16) and the induction assumption, the estimates (10)-(12) hold for $v^h_k$ with a constant independent of $h$. Hence $v^h_k(t, x)$ are equicontinuous in $(t, x)$. By the Arzelà-Ascoli theorem, for each $h_n \to 0$, there exist a subsequence $\{h_{n_j}\}$ and continuous functions $v_k(t, x), k = 1, \ldots, d$, such that $v^h_{n_j}(t, x) \to v_k(t, x)$ uniformly on compact subsets of $H$ as $j \to \infty$. Therefore, $v_k \in C^{\alpha+\tilde{\beta}}$ and $|v_k|_{\alpha+\tilde{\beta}} \leq C|f|_\beta$, $k = 1, \ldots, d$.

It then follows from passing to the limit in the integral form of (15) (see (5)) and the dominated convergence theorem that $u_k$ is the unique solution to

$$
\partial_t + A^0 - \lambda) v_k(t, x) = \partial_k f(t, x),
\quad v_k(T, x) = 0, k = 1, \ldots, d
$$

and so $v^h_n(t, x) \to v_k(t, x), \forall h_n \to 0$. Hence,

$$
v_k(t, x) = \lim_{h \to 0} v^h_k(t, x) = \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{v(t, x + he_k) - v(t, x)}{h} = \partial_k v(t, x),
$$

$\partial_k v \in C^{\alpha+\tilde{\beta}}(H), k = 1, \ldots, d$, and $|\nabla v|_{\alpha+\tilde{\beta}} \leq C|f|_\beta$. Therefore, $v \in C^{\alpha+\tilde{\beta}}(H)$ and the statement follows.

3.2. Kolmogorov Equation with Variable Coefficients

In this section, an estimate is derived to show that $Bu$ is a lower order operator, which is essential in deriving Schauder estimates in the case of variable coefficients. To prove Theorem 8 in a standard way we use partition of unity and the estimates for constant coefficients, which allow to obtain apriori estimates. Then the continuation by parameter method is applied to transfer from constant to variable coefficients.

3.2.1. Estimates of $Bf$, $f \in C^{\alpha+\beta}$

**Proposition 13.** Let $\beta \in (0, 3)$, $0 < \beta \leq \mu < \alpha + \beta$, and

$$
\int_{|y| \leq 1} |y|^\alpha \pi(dy) + \int_{|y| > 1} |y|^\mu \pi(dy) < \infty.
$$

Assume $a \in C^\beta(\mathbf{R}^d), G^{ij} \in \tilde{C}^\beta_{\mu\alpha}(\mathbf{R}^d)$. Then for each $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a constant $C_\varepsilon$ such that

$$
|Bf|_\beta \leq \varepsilon |f|_{\alpha+\beta} + C_\varepsilon |f|_0, f \in C^{\alpha+\beta}(\mathbf{R}^d).
$$
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Proof. Since the estimates involving the term with \( a(x) \) are obvious, in the following estimates, assume \( a = 0 \).

Case I: \( \beta \in (0,1] \). Split for \( \delta \in (0,1) \),

\[
B_2 f(x) = \int [f(x + G(z)y) - f(x) - 1_{\{\alpha \in (1,2]\}}(\nabla f(x), G(z)y) \chi_{\{|y| \leq 1\}}] \pi(dy)
\]

\[
= \int_{|y| \leq \delta} \ldots + \int_{|y| > \delta} \ldots = B^1_2 f(x) + B^2_2 f(x)
\]

and \( B^2_2 f(x) = B^{21}_2 f(x) + B^{22}_2 f(x) \) with

\[
B^{21}_2 f(x) = f(x) \int_{|y| > \delta} \pi(dy) + 1_{\{\alpha \in (1,2]\}}(\nabla f(x), \int_{\delta < |y| \leq 1} G(z)y \pi(dy)),
\]

\[
B^{22}_2 f(x) = \int_{|y| > \delta} f(x + G(z)y) \pi(dy).
\]

It follows by the assumptions that there exists \( \beta' \) such that \( \mu < \alpha + \beta' < \alpha + \beta \) and

\[
|B^{21}_2 f(\cdot) |_{\beta} + |B^{22}_2 f(\cdot) |_{\beta} \leq C\|f|_{\beta} + 1_{\{\alpha \in (1,2]\}}|\nabla f|_{\beta}, z \in \mathbb{R}^d, \quad (17)
\]

\[
|B^{21}_2 f(x) - B^{21}_2 f(x)| \leq C 1_{\{\alpha \in (1,2]\}}|\nabla f|_{0} |G|_{\beta} |h|_{\beta},
\]

\[
|B^{22}_2 f(x) - B^{22}_2 f(x)| \leq C \int_{|y| > \delta} |y|^\mu \pi(dy) |f|_{\alpha + \beta'} |G|_{\frac{\beta}{\alpha + \beta}}, x \in \mathbb{R}^d.
\]

Consider different scenarios on values of \( \alpha \) to show that

\[
|B^1_2 f(\cdot) |_{\beta} \leq C |f|_{\alpha + \beta} \int_{|y| \leq \delta} |y|^\alpha d\pi, z \in \mathbb{R}^d. \quad (18)
\]

For \( \alpha \in (0,1] \), by Lemma \[ \ref{lemma:1} \],

\[
B^1_2 f(x) = \int_{|y| \leq \delta} \int_{y} \partial f(x - z)k^{(\alpha)}(C(z)y, z)dzd\pi(dy) \text{ if } \alpha < 1,
\]

\[
B^1_2 f(x) = \int_{|y| \leq \delta} \int_{0}^{1} (\nabla f(x + sC(z)y), y)dsd\pi(dy) \text{ if } \alpha = 1.
\]

Hence, (18) follows.

For \( \alpha = 2 \), (18) follows since

\[
B^1_2 f(x) = \int_{|y| \leq \delta} \left[ \int_{0}^{1} \left( D^2 f(x + sC(z)y)C(z)y, C(z)y \right)(1 - s)ds \right] \text{ if } \alpha = 2.
\]
For $\alpha \in (1, 2)$, (18) follows since by Lemma 11

$$B^1_\varepsilon f(x) = \int_{|y| \leq \delta} \left[ \int_0^1 \left( \nabla f(x + sC(z)y) - \nabla f(x), C(z)y \right) ds \right] d\pi$$

$$= \int_{|y| \leq \delta} \left[ \int_0^1 \left( \int \partial^{\alpha-1} \nabla f(x - t)k^{(\alpha-1)}(sC(z)y, t)dt, C(z)y \right) ds \right] d\pi.$$

Similarly, to estimate $|B^1 f(x)|_\beta$, consider different scenarios on values of $\alpha$. For $\alpha \in (0, 1)$,

$$|B^1 f(x)|_\beta \leq |f|_{(\alpha+\beta)} \int_{|y| \leq \delta} |y|^{\alpha} \pi(dy)||G||_{\beta/\alpha}, x \in \mathbb{R}^d. \quad (19)$$

For $\alpha \in [1, 2]$, let $\beta < \alpha + \beta' < \alpha + \beta$.

If $\alpha \in (1, 2]$, for $|y| \leq 1, z, \bar{z} \in \mathbb{R}^d$,

$$||f(x + G(z)y) - f(x) - (\nabla f(x), G(z)y)|| - [f(x + G(\bar{z})y) - f(x) - (\nabla f(x), G(\bar{z})y)]$$

$$= \left| ||f(x + G(z)y) - f(x + G(\bar{z})y) - (\nabla f(x), (G(z) - G(\bar{z}))y)|| \right|$$

$$\leq \int_0^1 ||(\nabla f(x + (1-s)G(\bar{z})y + sG(z)y) - \nabla f(x), G(z)y - G(\bar{z})y) ds||$$

$$\leq |f|_{\alpha} \left( |G(\bar{z}) - G(z)|^{\alpha-1} |y|^{\alpha-1} |G(z) - G(\bar{z})||y| \right)$$

$$\leq C|f|_{\alpha} |G(\bar{z}) - G(z)||y|^\alpha$$

and if $\alpha = 1$,

$$||f(x + G(z)y) - f(x) - [f(x + G(\bar{z})y) - f(x)]||$$

$$\leq \int_0^1 ||(\nabla f(x + (1-s)G(\bar{z})y + sG(z)y), G(z)y - G(\bar{z})y) ds||$$

$$\leq ||\nabla f||_0 |G(z) - G(\bar{z})||y|.$$

It then follows:

$$|B^1_{z+h} f(x) - B^1_\varepsilon f(x)| \leq C|h|^\beta |f|_{\alpha+\beta'} ||G||^\beta_\alpha, x \in \mathbb{R}^d. \quad (20)$$

By (17)-(20), for each $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a constant $C_\varepsilon$ such that

$$|B f|_\beta \leq \varepsilon |f|_{\alpha+\beta} + C_\varepsilon |f|_0. \quad (21)$$
Case II: $\beta \in (1, 2]$, $\beta \leq \mu < \alpha + \beta$. Note that
\[
\partial_j (Bf(x)) = \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial z_j} B_z f(x) \right) |_{z=x} + B_z f_{x_j} |_{z=x} = \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial z_j} B_z f(x) \right) |_{z=x} + B f_{x_j}.
\]
For the second term, apply estimate (21) of Case I: $f_{x_j} \in C^{\alpha+\beta-1}$, the tail moment is 1 and $\beta - 1 \leq \mu - 1 < \alpha + \beta - 1$. Also note that $|G|_{\frac{\beta-1}{(\mu-1)\alpha}} \leq C|G|_\beta < \infty$. Hence,
\[
|B f_{x_j}|_{\beta-1} \leq \varepsilon |f_{x_j}|_{\alpha+\beta-1} + C \varepsilon |f|_0.
\]

Only the first term needs to be estimated:
\[
B^{j}_z f(x) = \frac{\partial}{\partial z_j} B_z f(x) = \int \left[ \nabla f(x + G(z)y)G_{z_j}(z) y - 1_{\{\alpha \in (1,2]\}} \nabla f(x) G_{z_j}(z) y \chi_{\{|y| \leq 1\}} \right] d\pi.
\]
Let $B^j f(x) = B^j f_0(x)|_{z=x}$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$. Consider different scenarios on values of $\alpha$ to show that for each $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a constant $C_\varepsilon$ such that
\[
|B^j f|_{\beta-1} \leq \varepsilon |f|_{\alpha+\beta} + C_\varepsilon |f|_0, f \in C^{\alpha+\beta}(\mathbb{R}^d).
\]

For $\alpha \in (0,1]$, since
\[
B^j_z f(x) = \int \nabla f(x + G(z)y)G_{z_j}(z) y d\pi,
\]
then for $\mu < \alpha + \beta' < \alpha + \beta$,
\[
|B^j_z f(\cdot)|_{\beta-1} \leq C |\nabla f|_{\beta-1} |G_{z_j}|_0, z \in \mathbb{R}^d,
\]
\[
|B^j_{z+\epsilon} f(x) - B^j_z f(x)| \leq C |h|_{\beta-1} |\nabla f|_0 |G_{z_j}|_{\beta-1} + |f|_{\alpha+\beta'} |G_j|_0 |G|_{\frac{\beta-1}{\mu-1}}, x \in \mathbb{R}^d.
\]

For $\alpha \in (1,2]$, split
\[
B^j_z f(x) = \int_{|y| \leq 1} ... + \int_{|y| > 1} ... = B^j_{z,1} f(x) + B^j_{z,2} f(x).
\]
Since by Lemma 11
\[
B^j_{z,1} f(x) = \int_{|y| \leq 1} \int \partial^{\alpha-1} \nabla f(x-t) k^{(\alpha-1)}(G(z)y) G_{z_j}(z) y dtd\pi,
\]
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then $|B_z^j f(\cdot)|_{\beta-1} \leq C |f|_{\alpha+\beta'}||G||^\alpha_{\beta}, z \in \mathbb{R}^d$, for some $\beta' \in (0, \beta)$.

For $|y| \leq 1$, $z, \bar{z} \in \mathbb{R}^d$,

$$
|\nabla f(x + G(z)y) - \nabla f(x)G_{z_j}(z)y - [\nabla f(x + G(\bar{z})y) - \nabla f(x)]G_{z_j}(\bar{z})y|
\leq |\nabla f(x + G(z)y) - \nabla f(x + G(\bar{z})y)| |G_{z_j}(z)|| + |\nabla f(x + sG(\bar{z})y) - \nabla f(x)||G_{z_j}(z) - G_{z_j}(\bar{z})|||y|
\leq |D^2 f|_0 |y|^2 |G_{z_j}(z) - G_{z_j}(\bar{z})| + |G_{z_j}|_0 |G(z) - G(\bar{z})|
$$

and

$$
|B_z^j f - B_z^j \bar{f}| \leq C |D^2 f|_0 ||G||^2_{\beta} |z - \bar{z}|^{\beta-1}.
$$

Since

$$
B_z^j \bar{f}(x) = \int_{|y| > 1} \nabla f(x + G(z)y)G_{z_j}(z)y \, d\pi,
$$

then

$$
|B_z^j \bar{f}(\cdot)|_{\beta-1} \leq C |\nabla f|_{\beta-1} |G_{z_j}|_0,
$$

$$
|B_z^{j+2} f(x) - B_z^{j+2} \bar{f}(x)| \leq C |h|^{\beta-1} |\nabla f|_0 ||G_{z_j}||_{\beta-1} + \int_{|y| > 1} |y|^\mu d\pi |D^2 f|_0 (1 + ||G||^2_{\beta}),
$$

$z, x, h \in \mathbb{R}^d$.

It hence proves that (23) holds.

Case III: $\beta \in (2, 3)$, $\beta \leq \mu < \alpha + \beta$. Since

$$
\partial_j (B f(x)) = \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial z_j} B_z f(x) \right) |_{z=x} + B_z f_{x_j} |_{z=x},
$$

then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} (B f(x)) &= B_z f_{x_i, x_j} (x) |_{z=x} + \frac{\partial}{\partial z_i} (B_z f_{x_j}) |_{z=x} \\
&+ \frac{\partial}{\partial z_j} B_z f_{x_i} (x) |_{z=x} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial z_i \partial z_j} B_z f(x) |_{z=x} \\
&= B \delta_{ij}^2 f + B^i j f + B^i \partial_i f + B^i j f.
\end{aligned}
$$

The estimate (21) of Case I can be used for the first term ($\beta - 2 \leq \mu - 2 < \alpha + \beta - 2$ with $\beta - 2 \in (0, 1)$). For each $\varepsilon'$, there exists a constant $C_{\varepsilon'}$ such that

$$
|B f_{x_i, x_j}|_{\beta-2} \leq \varepsilon' |D^2 f|_{\alpha+\beta-2} + C_{\varepsilon'} |D^2 f|_0.
$$
For the second and third term in (24), estimate (23) of Case II is applied. Indeed, \( f_{x_j} \in C^{\alpha+\beta-1}(\mathbb{R}^d) \), \( \beta - 1 \in (1, 2) \), \( \beta - 1 \leq \mu - 1 < \alpha + \beta - 1 \). Hence, for each \( \varepsilon' \), there exists a constant \( C_{\varepsilon'} \) such that
\[
|B^i f_{x_j}|_{\beta - 2} + |B^j f_{x_i}|_{\beta - 2} \leq \varepsilon' |\nabla f|_{\alpha + \beta - 1} + C_{\varepsilon'} |\nabla f|_0.
\]

Therefore, only the last term is new. By (22),
\[
\frac{\partial^2}{\partial z_i \partial z_j} B_z f(x) = \int (D^2 f(x + G(z)y)G_{z_j}(z)y, G_{z_i}(z)y) d\pi
+ 1_{\{\alpha \in (0, 1]\}} \int \nabla f(x + G(z)y)G_{z_i z_j}(z)y d\pi
+ 1_{\{\alpha \in (1, 2]\}} \int (\nabla f(x + G(z)y) - \nabla f(x), G_{z_i z_j}(z)y) d\pi
= B_z^{ij,1} f(x) + B_z^{ij,2} f(x) + B_z^{ij,3} f(x),
\]
and for \( \alpha \in (1, 2] \),
\[
B_z^{ij,3} f(x) = \int \int_0^1 (D^2 f(x + sG(z)y)G(z)y, G_{z_i z_j}(z)y) ds d\pi.
\]
It then follows that for \( z \in \mathbb{R}^d \),
\[
|B_z^{ij,1} f(\cdot)|_{\beta - 2} \leq |\partial^2 f|_{\beta - 2} |\nabla G|_0^2, |B_z^{ij,2} f(\cdot)|_{\beta - 2} \leq |\nabla f|_{\beta - 2} |\nabla G|_0 |\partial^2 G|_0, \\
|B_z^{ij,3} f(\cdot)|_{\beta - 2} \leq |D^2 f|_{\beta - 2} |D^2 G|_0 |G|_0.
\]
Let \( \beta \leq \mu < \alpha + \beta' < \alpha + \beta \). Then for \( x, z, h \in \mathbb{R}^d \),
\[
|B_z^{ij,1} f(x) - B_z^{ij,1} f(x)|_{\beta - 2} \leq |h|^{\beta - 2} (|D^2 f|_0 |\nabla G|_0^2 |\partial^2 G|_0^2 + |D^2 f|_{\alpha + \beta'} |\nabla G|_0^3 \int |y|^{\mu} d\pi), \\
|B_z^{ij,2} f(x) - B_z^{ij,2} f(x)|_{\beta - 2} \leq C|h|^{\beta - 2} (|D^2 f|_0 |G|_0^2 |\nabla f|_0 |G|_0^2), \\
|B_z^{ij,3} f(x) - B_z^{ij,3} f(x)|_{\beta - 2} \leq |h|^{\beta - 2} (|D^3 f|_0 |G|_0^3 + |D^2 f|_0 |G|_0^3). \\
\]
Since
\[
B_{x+h} f(x + h) - B_x f(x) = B_{x+h} f(x + h) - B_{x+h} f(x) \\
+ B_{x+h} f(x) - B_x f(x)
\]
and
\[
B_{x+h} f(x + h) - 2B_x f(x) + B_{x-h} f(x - h)
= B_{x+h} f(x + h) - 2B_{x+h} f(x) + B_{x+h} f(x - h) \\
+ 2B_{x+h} f(x) - B_x f(x) + \\
+ [B_{x-h} f(x - h) - B_{x+h} f(x - h)],
\]
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the statement follows. ■

3.2.2. Proof of Theorem 8

The proof follows that of Theorem 5 in [13], with some simple changes.

It is well known that for an arbitrary but fixed $\delta > 0$, there exist a family of cubes $D_k \subseteq \tilde{D}_k \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ and a family of deterministic functions $\eta_k \in C_0^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ with the following properties:

1. For all $k \geq 1$, $D_k$ and $\tilde{D}_k$ have a common center $x_k$, $\text{diam } D_k \leq \delta$, $\text{dist}(D_k, \mathbb{R}^d \setminus \tilde{D}_k) \leq C\delta$ for a constant $C = C(d) > 0$, $\cup_k D_k = \mathbb{R}^d$, and $1 \leq \sum_k 1_{D_k} \leq 2^d$.

2. For all $k$, $0 \leq \eta_k \leq 1$, $\eta_k = 1$ in $D_k$, $\eta_k = 0$ outside of $\tilde{D}_k$ and for all multiindices $\gamma$ with $|\gamma| \leq 3$, $|\partial^\gamma \eta_k| \leq C(d)\delta^{-|\gamma|}$.

For $\alpha \in (0, 2)$, $\lambda \geq 0$, $k \geq 1$, $u \in C^{\alpha+\beta}(H)$, denote

$$
Au(t, x) = A_x u(t, x), \quad Bu(t, x) = B_x u(t, x), \quad A_k u(t, x) = A_{x_k} u(t, x),
$$

$$
E_k u(t, x) = \int [u(t, x + y) - u(t, x)] [\eta_k(x + y) - \eta_k(x)] m(x_k, y) \frac{dy}{|y|^{d+\alpha}},
$$

$$
F_k u(t, x) = u(t, x) A_k \eta_k(x).
$$

It is readily checked that there is $\beta' \in (0, \beta)$ and a constant $C$ such that

$$
\sup_k |E_k u(t, \cdot)|_{\beta} \leq C|u|_{\alpha+\beta'}
$$

and

$$
\sup_k |F_k u(t, \cdot)|_{\beta} \leq C|u|_{\beta}.
$$

Elementary calculation shows that for every $u \in C^\beta(H)$,

$$
|u|_0 \leq \sup_k \sup_x |\eta_k(x) u(x)|,
$$

$$
|u|_{\beta} \leq \sup_k |\eta_k u|_{\beta} + C|u|_0 \leq C \sup_k |\eta_k u|_{\beta},
$$

$$
\sup_k |\eta_k u|_{\beta} \leq |u|_{\beta} + C|u|_0 \leq C|u|_{\beta},
$$

with $C = C(\alpha, \delta, d)$. In particular,

$$
|u|_{\alpha+\beta} \leq C \sup_k |\eta_k u|_{\alpha+\beta}. \quad (25)
$$
Let $u \in C^{\alpha+\beta}(H)$ be a solution to (4). Then $\eta_k u$ satisfies the equation

$$\partial_t (\eta_k u) = A_k(\eta_k u) - \lambda(\eta_k u) + \eta_k(Au - A_k u) + \eta_k Bu + \eta_k f - F_k u - E_k u,$$

and by Proposition 10,

$$|\eta_k u|_{\alpha+\beta} \leq C\left[|\eta_k(Au - A_k u)|_{\beta} + |\eta_k Bu|_{\beta} + |\eta_k f|_{\beta} + |F_k u|_{\beta} + |E_k u|_{\beta}\right].$$

Hence, $|u|_{\alpha+\beta} \leq C[\sup_k |\eta_k f|_{\beta} + I], \quad (27)$

where

$$I \leq C_1 \sup_k [|\eta_k(Au - A_k u)|_{\beta} + |\eta_k Bu|_{\beta} + |F_k u|_{\beta} + |E_k u|_{\beta}] + C_2 |u|_0.$$

By Lemma 12, there exist $\beta' < \beta$, a constant $C$ not depending on $\delta$ and a constant $C = C(\delta)$

$$|\eta_k(Au - A_k u)|_{\beta} \leq C[C(\delta)|u|_{\alpha+\beta'} + \delta^\beta|u|_{\alpha+\beta}].$$

Therefore, for each $\varepsilon > 0$, there is a constant $C = C(\varepsilon)$ such that

$$|\eta_k(Au - A_k u)|_{\beta} \leq \varepsilon|u|_{\alpha+\beta} + C(\varepsilon)|u|_0.$$

By the estimates of Proposition 13, it follows that for each $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a constant $C_\varepsilon$ such that

$$I \leq \varepsilon|u|_{\alpha+\beta} + C_\varepsilon |u|_0.$$

By (27),

$$|u|_{\alpha+\beta} \leq C[|f|_{\beta} + |u|_0]. \quad (28)$$

On the other hand, (26) holds and by Proposition 10

$$|u|_0 \leq \sup_k |\eta_k u|_{\beta} \leq \mu(\lambda) \sup_k [|f|_{\beta} + |\eta_k(Au - A_k)|_{\beta} + |\eta_k Bu|_{\beta} + |F_k u|_{\beta} + |E_k u|_{\beta}],$$

where $\mu(\lambda) \to 0$ as $\lambda \to \infty$. Thus,

$$|u|_0 \leq C\mu(\lambda)[|f|_{\beta} + |u|_{\alpha+\beta}]. \quad (29)$$
The inequalities (28) and (29) imply that there exist $\lambda_0 > 0$ and a constant $C$ independent of $u$ such that if $\lambda \geq \lambda_0$,

$$|u|_{\alpha + \beta} \leq C|f|_\beta. \quad (30)$$

In a standard way (see [13]), it can be verified that (30) holds for all $\lambda \geq 0$. Again by Proposition [10] and (25), there exists a constant $C$ such that for all $s \leq t \leq T$,

$$|u(t, \cdot) - u(s, \cdot)|_{\frac{1}{2} + \beta} \leq \sup_k |\eta_k u(t, \cdot) - \eta_k u(s, \cdot)|_{\frac{1}{2} + \beta} \leq C(t - s)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( |f|_\beta + |u|_{\alpha + \beta} \right).$$

Therefore there exists a constant $C$ such that for all $s \leq t \leq T$,

$$|u(t, \cdot) - u(s, \cdot)|_{\frac{1}{2} + \beta} \leq C(t - s)^{\frac{1}{2}} |f|_\beta. \quad (31)$$

To finish the proof, apply the continuation by parameter argument. Let $\tau \in [0, 1]$, $L_\tau u = \tau Lu + (1 - \tau) \partial_\alpha u$ with $L = A + B$ and introduce the space $\hat{C}^{\alpha + \beta}(H)$ of functions $u \in C^{\alpha + \beta}(H)$ such that for each $(t, x)$, $u(t, x) = \int_t^T F(s, x) \, ds$, where $F \in C^\beta(H)$. It is a Banach space with respect to the norm $|u|_{\alpha, \beta} = |u|_{\alpha + \beta} + |F|_\beta$.

Consider the mappings $T_\tau : \hat{C}^{\alpha + \beta}(H) \to C^\beta(H)$ defined by $u(t, x) = \int_t^T F(s, x) \, ds \mapsto F + L_\tau u$. By Lemma [12] and Proposition [13] for some constant $C$ independent of $\tau$, $|T_\tau u|_\beta \leq C |u|_{\alpha, \beta}$. On the other hand, there exists a constant $C$ independent of $\tau$ such that for all $u \in \hat{C}^{\alpha + \beta}(H)$,

$$|u|_{\alpha, \beta} \leq C |T_\tau u|_\beta. \quad (31)$$

Indeed,

$$u(t, x) = -\int_t^T F(s, x) \, ds = \int_t^T (L_\tau u - (F + L_\tau u)) \, ds.\quad (32)$$

According to the estimate (30), there exists a constant $C$ independent of $\tau$ such that

$$|u|_{\alpha + \beta} \leq C |T_\tau u|_\beta = C |F + L_\tau u|_\beta. \quad (32)$$

Hence, by Lemma [12] Proposition [13] and (32),

$$|u|_{\alpha, \beta} = |u|_{\alpha + \beta} + |F|_\beta \leq |u|_{\alpha + \beta} + |F + L_\tau u|_\beta + |L_\tau u|_\beta \leq C(|u|_{\alpha + \beta} + |F + L_\tau u|_\beta) \leq C |F + L_\tau u|_\beta = C |T_\tau u|_\beta,$$

and (31) follows. Since $T_0$ is an onto map, by Theorem 5.2 in [4], all the $T_\tau$ are onto maps and the statement follows.
3.2.3. Proof of Corollary 9

By Lemma 12 and Proposition 13 for \( g \in C^{\alpha+\beta}(\mathbb{R}^d) \), \( |Ag|_\beta \leq C|g|_{\alpha+\beta} \) and \( |Bg|_\beta \leq C|g|_{\alpha+\beta} \) with a constant \( C \) independent of \( f \) and \( g \). It then follows from (4) that there exists a unique solution \( \tilde{v} \in C^{\alpha+\beta}(H) \) to the Cauchy problem

\[
(\partial_t + A_x + B_x)\tilde{v}(t, x) = f(t, x) - A_xg(x) - B_xg(x), \quad \tilde{v}(T, x) = 0
\]

and \( |\tilde{v}|_{\alpha+\beta} \leq C(|g|_{\alpha+\beta} + |f|_\beta) \) with \( C \) independent of \( f \) and \( g \). Let \( v(t, x) = \tilde{v}(t, x) + g(x) \), where \( \tilde{v} \) is the solution to problem (33). Then \( v \) is the unique solution to the Cauchy problem (6) and \( |v|_{\alpha+\beta} \leq C(|g|_{\alpha+\beta} + |f|_\beta) \).

**Remark 14.** If the assumptions of Corollary 9 hold and \( v \in C^{\alpha+\beta}(H) \) is the solution to (6), then \( \partial_t v = f - A_xv - B_xv \), and by Lemma 12 and Proposition 13 \( |\partial_t v|_\beta \leq C(|g|_{\alpha+\beta} + |f|_\beta) \).

4. One-Step Estimate and Proof of Main Result

The following Lemma provides a one-step estimate of the conditional expectation of an increment of the Euler approximation.

**Lemma 15.** Let \( \beta \in (0, 3) \), \( 0 < \beta \leq \mu < \alpha + \beta \), and

\[
\int_{|y|\leq 1} |y|^{\alpha} \pi(dy) + \int_{|y|> 1} |y|^{\mu} \pi(dy) < \infty.
\]

Assume \( a^i, b^{ij} \in \tilde{C}^\beta(\mathbb{R}^d), g^{ij} \in \tilde{C}^{\mu, \frac{\beta}{\mu}+1}(\mathbb{R}^d) \). Then there exists a constant \( C \) such that for all \( f \in C^\beta(\mathbb{R}^d) \),

\[
|\mathbb{E}[f(Y_s) - f(Y_{\tau_i})|f_{\tau_i}]| \leq C|f|_\beta r(\delta, \alpha, \beta), \forall s \in [0, T],
\]

where \( \tau_i = i \) if \( \tau_i \leq s < \tau_{i+1} \) and \( r(\delta, \alpha, \beta) \) is as defined in Theorem 3.

The proof of Lemma 15 is based on applying Itô’s formula to \( f(Y_s) - f(Y_{\tau_i}) \), \( f \in C^\beta(\mathbb{R}^d) \). If \( \beta > \alpha \), by Remark 6 and Itô’s formula, the inequality holds. If \( \beta \leq \alpha \), \( f \) is first smoothed by using \( w \in C^\infty_0(\mathbb{R}^d) \), a nonnegative smooth function with support on \( \{|x| \leq 1\} \) such that \( w(x) = w(|x|), x \in \mathbb{R}^d \), and \( \int w(x)dx = 1 \) (see (8.1) in [3]). Note that, due to the symmetry,

\[
\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} x^i w(x)dx = 0, i = 1, \ldots, d.
\]  

For \( x \in \mathbb{R}^d \) and \( \varepsilon \in (0, 1) \), define \( w^\varepsilon(x) = \varepsilon^{-d} w \left( \frac{x}{\varepsilon} \right) \) and the convolution

\[
f^\varepsilon(x) = \int f(y) w^\varepsilon(x - y)dy = \int f(x - y) w^\varepsilon(y)dy, x \in \mathbb{R}^d.
\]
4.1. Some Auxiliary Estimates

For the estimates of $A_z f^\varepsilon$, the following simple integral estimates are needed. Recall that $m(z, y)$ in the definition of operator $A_z$ (see (7)) is bounded, smooth, and $0$-homogeneous and symmetric in $y$.

Lemma 16. Let $v \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

(i) For $\alpha \in (0, 2)$,

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}_0^d} |v(y + y') - v(y) - \chi^{(\alpha)}(y') (\nabla v(y), y')| \frac{dy dy'}{|y'|^{d+\alpha}} < \infty,
$$

where $\chi^{(\alpha)}(y) = 1_{\{|y| \leq 1\}}1_{\{\alpha = 1\}} + 1_{\{\alpha \in (1, 2)\}}$;

(ii) For $\beta \in (0, 1]$, $\beta < \alpha$, $z \in \mathbb{R}^d$,

$$
\sup_z \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |(A_z v)(y)| |y|^\beta dy < \infty;
$$

and for $\beta \in (0, 1]$, $\beta = \alpha$, $z \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $k > 1$,

$$
\sup_z \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |(A_z v)(y)| |y|^\beta dy \leq C(1 + \ln k).
$$

(iii) For $1 < \beta < \alpha < 2$,

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}_0^d} \int_0^1 |v(y + sy') - v(y)| |y|^\beta - 1 \frac{ds dy dy'}{|y'|^{d+\alpha-1}} < \infty,
$$

and for $1 < \beta = \alpha < 2$, $k > 1$,

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}_0^d} \int_0^1 |v(y + sy') - v(y)| (|y|^\beta - 1 \wedge k) \frac{ds dy dy'}{|y'|^{d+\alpha-1}} \leq C(1 + \ln k).
$$

Proof. (i) Indeed,

$$
|v(y + y') - v(y) - \chi^{(\alpha)}(y') (\nabla v(y), y')| \leq 1_{\{|y'| \leq 1\}} \left\{ \int_0^1 \max_{i,j} |\partial_{ij}^2 v(y + sy')| |y'|^2 + 1_{\{\alpha \in (0, 1)\}} |\nabla v(y + sy')| |y'| ds \right\}
$$

$$
+ 1_{\{|y'| > 1\}} \left\{ |v(y + y')| + |v(y)| + 1_{\{\alpha \in (1, 2)\}} |\nabla v(y)| |y'| \right\}, y, y' \in \mathbb{R}^d.
$$

The claim follows.
(ii) For $\beta \in (0, 1], \beta < \alpha, z \in \mathbb{R}^d$,
\[
\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |(A_z v)(y)||y|^\beta dy \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{|y'|>1} |v(y+y')| |y|^\beta \frac{dydy'}{|y'|^{d+\alpha}} + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{|y'|>1} |v(y)||y|^\beta \frac{dydy'}{|y'|^{d+\alpha}} + \max_{i,j} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{|y'|\leq 1} \int_0^1 |\partial_y^2 v(y+sy')| |y'|^2 \frac{dsdy'dy}{|y'|^{d+\alpha}}
\]
and
\[
\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{|y'|>1} |v(y+y')||y|^\beta \frac{dydy'}{|y'|^{d+\alpha}} \leq C \left[ \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{|y'|>1} |v(y+y')||y+y'|^\beta \frac{dydy'}{|y'|^{d+\alpha}} + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{|y'|>1} |v(y+y')||y'|^\beta \frac{dydy'}{|y'|^{d+\alpha}} \right].
\]

Let $\beta \in (0, 1], \beta = \alpha$. Assume $v(x) = 0$ if $|x| > R$. We have for $k > 1$ with $A = (R+1)^{1/\alpha}$,
\[
\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{|y'|>1} |v(y+y')| (|y'|^\alpha \wedge k) \frac{dydy'}{|y'|^{d+\alpha}} \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{|y'|>1} |v(y+y')| (|y'|^\alpha \wedge Ak) \frac{dydy'}{|y'|^{d+\alpha}} = \int_{|y|\leq(R+1)k^{1/\alpha}} \int_{|y'|>1} |v(y+y')| |y'|^\alpha \frac{dydy'}{|y'|^{d+\alpha}} + k \int_{|y|>(R+1)k^{1/\alpha}} \int_{|y'|>1} |v(y+y')| \frac{dydy'}{|y'|^{d+\alpha}} = A_1 + A_2.
\]

Then
\[
|A_1| \leq \int \int_{1 \leq |y'| \leq (R+1)(1+k^{1/\alpha})} |v(y+y')| \frac{dy'dy}{|y'|^d} \leq C(1 + \ln k).
\]

Since for $|y+y'| \leq R, |y| > (R+1)k^{1/\alpha}$, we have $|y'| \geq (R+1)k^{1/\alpha} - R \geq k^{1/\alpha}$, it follows
\[
|A_2| \leq k \int \int_{|y'| \geq k^{1/\alpha}} |v(y+y')| \frac{dydy'}{|y'|^{d+\alpha}} \leq Ckk^{-1} = C.
\]
Then
\[
\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{|y'| \leq 1} \int_{0}^{1} \left| v(y + y') - v(y) - 1_{\alpha=1}(\nabla v(y), y') \right| \frac{|y'|^\alpha \wedge k}{|y'|^{d+\alpha}} \, dy' \, ds \, dy \leq \int_{0}^{1} \int_{|y'| \leq 1} \int_{|y'|}^{1} |\nabla v(y + sy') - 1_{\alpha=1}(\nabla v(y), y')| \frac{|y'|^\alpha}{|y'|^{d+\alpha-1}} \, dy' \, ds < \infty.
\]

Part (ii) follows.

(iii) For $1 < \beta < \alpha < 2$,
\[
\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{|y'| > 1} \int_{0}^{1} |v(y + sy') - v(y)| \frac{|y'|^{\beta-1} \, dy' \, ds}{|y'|^{d+\alpha-1}} \leq C \left[ \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{|y'| > 1} \int_{0}^{1} |v(y + sy')||y + sy'|^{\beta-1} \frac{dy' \, ds}{|y'|^{d+\alpha-1}} + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{|y'| > 1} \int_{0}^{1} |v(y + sy')||y'|^{\beta-1} \frac{dy' \, ds}{|y'|^{d+\alpha-1}} \right]
\]
and similarly
\[
\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{|y'| \leq 1} \int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{1} |\nabla v(y + sy')||y'|^{\beta-1} \frac{dsd\tau dy' \, dy}{|y'|^{d+\alpha-2}} \leq C \left[ \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{|y'| \leq 1} \int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{1} |\nabla v(y + sy')||y + sy'|^{\beta-1} \frac{dsd\tau dy' \, dy}{|y'|^{d+\alpha-2}} + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{|y'| \leq 1} \int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{1} |\nabla v(y + sy')||y'|^{\beta-1} \frac{dsd\tau dy' \, dy}{|y'|^{d+\alpha-2}} \right]
\]
are finite, the first estimate in (iii) follows.

If $1 < \beta = \alpha < 2$, we simply repeat the proof in part (ii). The statement follows.
Remark 17. The estimate in part (iii) implies that (ii) can be extended to all $0 < \beta \leq \alpha < 2$.

We will use the following modulus of continuity estimate of a function $f \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

**Lemma 18.** (cf. Lemma 5.6 in [2], Lemma 2.2 in [3]) Let $f \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $[f]_1 \leq K$. Then there is a constant $C$ such that for all $x, h \in \mathbb{R}^d, h \neq 0$,  

$$|f(x + h) - f(x)| \leq C|h|(1 + |\ln |h||)|f|_1.$$  

**Proof.** We follow the steps of Lemma 5.6 in [2]. Fix $x, h \in \mathbb{R}^d, h \neq 0$ such that $0 < |h| < 1/2$, we find a positive integer $k$ so that  

$$2^{-k-1} \leq |h| < 2^{-k}$$  

or $\frac{1}{2}2^{-k} \leq |h| < 2^{-k}$. Set $\tau_0 = 2^k h$ (note: $\frac{1}{2} \leq \tau_0 < 1, 2^{-k} < 2|h|), \ln |h| < -k \ln 2$ or $k < -\frac{\ln |h|}{\ln 2}$). Define for $\tau \in \mathbb{R}^d$,  

$$v(\tau) = f(x + \tau) - f(x).$$

Note that  

$$|v(\tau) - 2v(\tau/2)| = |f(x + \tau) - 2f(x + \tau/2) + f(x)| \leq [f]_1|\tau|/2.$$  

Thus,  

$$|2^{j-1}v(\tau_0/2^{j-1}) - 2^j v(\tau_0/2^j)| \leq [f]_1 2^{j-1}|\tau_0|/2^j = 2^{-1}[f]_1|\tau_0|,$$

which implies $(v(\tau_0) - 2^k v(h) = \sum_{j=1}^k (2^{j-1}v(\tau_0/2^{j-1}) - (2^j v(\tau_0/2^j))$  

$$\left|v(\tau_0) - 2^k v(h)\right| \leq \sum_{j=1}^k |2^{j-1}v(\tau_0/2^{j-1}) - 2^j v(\tau_0/2^j)|$$  

$$\leq k2^{-1}|\tau_0|[f]_1.$$  

Since $|v(\tau_0)| \leq 2[f]_0$ or $|v(\tau_0)| \leq [f]_1/2$, we derive  

$$|v(h)| \leq 2^{-k}[2^k v(h) - v(\tau_0)] + 2^{-k}|v(\tau_0)|$$  

$$\leq [f]_1 k2^{-1-k}|\tau_0| + 2 \cdot 2^{-k}|f|_0$$  

$$\leq [f]_1 k|h| + 4|h||f|_0$$  

$$\leq C[f]_1|h|(1 - \ln |h|).$$

The statement follows. \qed

Now we prove some estimates for $Af^\varepsilon$ and $Bf^\varepsilon$.  
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Lemma 19. Let \( \varepsilon \in (0, 1) \).

(i) Let \( \alpha \in (0, 2) \). Then there exists a constant \( C \) such that for all \( z, x \in \mathbb{R}^d \),

\[
|A_z f^\varepsilon(x)| \leq C \kappa(\varepsilon, \alpha, \beta)|f|_\beta,
\]

where \( \kappa(\varepsilon, \alpha, \beta) = \varepsilon^{-\alpha+\beta} \) if \( \beta < \alpha \) and \( \kappa(\varepsilon, \alpha, \beta) = 1 - \ln \varepsilon \) if \( \beta = \alpha \); in particular, for all \( f \in C^\beta(\mathbb{R}^d), z, x \in \mathbb{R}^d \),

\[
|\partial^\alpha f^\varepsilon(x)| \leq C \kappa(\varepsilon, \alpha, \beta)|f|_\beta.
\]

(ii) For each \( \beta \in (0, 2) \) there exists a constant \( C \) such that for all \( f \in C^\beta(\mathbb{R}^d), x \in \mathbb{R}^d \),

\[
|f^\varepsilon(x) - f(x)| \leq C \gamma(\varepsilon, \beta)|f|_\beta,
\]

where \( \gamma(\varepsilon, \beta) = \varepsilon^\beta \) if \( \beta < 2 \) and \( \gamma(\varepsilon, 2) = \varepsilon^2 (1 - \ln \varepsilon) \).

(iii) Let \( \beta \in (0, 2] \). For \( k, l = 1, \ldots, d, x \in \mathbb{R}^d \),

\[
|\partial_k^\beta f^\varepsilon(x)| \leq C \kappa(\varepsilon, 1, \beta)|f|_\beta, \quad \text{if } \beta \leq 1,
\]

\[
|\partial_k^2 \partial_l^\beta f^\varepsilon(x)| \leq C \kappa(\varepsilon, 2, \beta)|f|_\beta, \quad \text{if } \beta \leq 2,
\]

\[
|f^\varepsilon| \leq C|f|_1,
\]

and

\[
|f^\varepsilon|_\alpha \leq C \varepsilon^{-\alpha+\beta}|f|_\beta, \quad \text{if } \beta \in (0, 1], \alpha \in [1, 2), \quad \text{if } \beta \in (0, \alpha], \alpha \in (1, 2), \beta \neq \alpha - 1.
\]

Proof. (i) For \( z, x \in \mathbb{R}^d \), by changing the variable of integration with \( \bar{y} = \frac{x}{\varepsilon} \) and using (3) for \( \alpha = 1 \),

\[
A_z w^\varepsilon(x) = \mathbf{1}_{\{\alpha = 1\}}(a(z), \nabla w^\varepsilon(x))
+ \int \left[ w^\varepsilon(x + y) - w^\varepsilon(x) - \nabla \alpha(y)(\nabla w^\varepsilon(x), y) m(z, y) \frac{dy}{|y|^{d+\alpha}} \right] n(z, y) dy
= \varepsilon^{-\alpha} \varepsilon^{-d}(A_z w) \left( \frac{x}{\varepsilon} \right),
\]

where \( \nabla \alpha(y) = \mathbf{1}_{\{|y| \leq 1\}} \mathbf{1}_{\{\alpha = 1\}} + \mathbf{1}_{\{\alpha \in (1, 2)\}}, y \in \mathbb{R}^d \). It follows from Lemma \([11](\ref{11})\), the Fubini theorem, and \([11](\ref{11})\), changing the variable of integration with \( \bar{y} = \frac{x}{\varepsilon} \) as well, that

\[
A_z f^\varepsilon(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \varepsilon^{-\alpha} \varepsilon^{-d}(A_z w)(\frac{x - y}{\varepsilon}) f(y) dy
= \int \varepsilon^{-\alpha} \varepsilon^{-d}(A_z w)(\frac{y}{\varepsilon}) f(x - y) dy
= \int \varepsilon^{-\alpha} (A_z w)(y) f(x - \varepsilon y) dy, x, z \in \mathbb{R}^d.
\]
By Lemma 16(i) and the Fubini theorem,
\[ \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} A_z w(y) dy = 0. \]

Also, it is easy to see that
\[ A_z w(y) = A_z w(-y), \quad y \in \mathbb{R}^d. \]
Hence, if \( \beta \in (0, 1], \beta \leq \alpha, \)
\[ A_z f^\varepsilon(x) = \int \varepsilon^{-\alpha}(A_z w)(y) f(x - \varepsilon y) dy 
= \frac{1}{2} \int \varepsilon^{-\alpha}(A_z w)(y)[f(x - \varepsilon y) + f(x + \varepsilon y) - 2f(x)] dy \]
and
\[ |A_z f^\varepsilon(x)| \leq C\varepsilon^{-\alpha+\beta}|f|_\beta \int_{\mathbb{R}^d}|(A_z w)(y)| (|y|^\beta \wedge \varepsilon^{-\beta}) dy. \]

So, by Lemma 16(36) holds for \( \beta \leq \alpha, \beta \in (0, 1]. \)
Assume \( 1 < \beta \leq \alpha < 2. \) By Theorem 2.27 in [3], differentiation and integration can be can switched:
\[ A_z w(y) = \int [w(y + y') - w(y) - (\nabla w(y), y')m(z, y') \frac{dy'}{|y'|^{d+\alpha}} 
= \int \int_0^1 (\nabla_y w(y + sy') - \nabla_y w(y), y') ds m(z, y') \frac{dy'}{|y'|^{d+\alpha}} 
= \sum_{i=1}^d \frac{\partial}{\partial y_i} \int \int_0^1 [w(y + sy') - w(y)] y'_i m(z, y') \frac{dy'}{|y'|^{d+\alpha}}. \]

By integrating by parts,
\[ A_z f^\varepsilon(x) = \int \varepsilon^{-\alpha} A_z w(y) f(x - \varepsilon y) dy 
= \varepsilon^{-\alpha+1} \int \int_0^1 [w(y + sy') - w(y)] (\nabla f(x - \varepsilon y), y') m(z, y') ds dy' dy \frac{dsdy}{|y'|^{d+\alpha}}, x \in \mathbb{R}^d. \]

Since
\[ \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_0^1 |w(y + sy') - w(y)| |y'| dsdydy' \frac{dsdy}{|y'|^{d+\alpha}} < \infty, \]
the Fubini theorem applies, \( \int [w(y + sy') - w(y)]dy = 0 \) and we can rewrite (42) as

\[
A_z f^\varepsilon(x) = \varepsilon^{-\alpha+1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}_0^d} \int_0^1 [w(y + sy') - w(y)] \\
\times (\nabla f(x - \varepsilon y) - \nabla f(x), y') m(z, y') \frac{dsdy'dy'}{|y'|^{d+\alpha}}, x, z \in \mathbb{R}^d.
\]

Hence,

\[
|A_z f^\varepsilon(x)| \leq C \varepsilon^{-\alpha+1} \varepsilon^{\beta-1} \int |\nabla f|_{\beta=1} \int_0^1 |w(y + sy') - w(y)| \\
\times (|y|^\beta - \varepsilon^{-(\beta-1)}) \frac{dsdy'dy'}{|y'|^{d+\alpha-1}},
\]

and by Lemma [16 iii), (36) is proved for \( 1 < \beta \leq \alpha < 2 \). By taking \( m = 1 \), (37) follows.

(ii) For \( \beta \in (1, 2) \), by (34),

\[
f^\varepsilon(x) - f(x) = \int [f(x - y) - f(x)]w^\varepsilon(y)dy \\
= \int [f(x + y) - f(x) - (\nabla f(x), y)]w^\varepsilon(y)dy \\
= \int \int_0^1 (\nabla f(x + sy) - \nabla f(x), y)ds w^\varepsilon(y)dy
\]

and

\[
|f^\varepsilon(x) - f(x)| \leq C |\nabla f|_{\beta=1} \int |y|^{1+(\beta-1)}w^\varepsilon(y)dy \leq C |f|_{\beta} \varepsilon^\beta.
\]

If \( \beta = 2 \), then by Lemma [18]

\[
|f^\varepsilon(x) - f(x)| \leq C |\nabla f|_{2} \int |y|^2(1 + |\ln |y||)w^\varepsilon(y)dy \\
\leq C |\nabla f|_{1} \varepsilon^2(1 - \ln \varepsilon).
\]

For \( \beta \in (0, 1) \),

\[
f^\varepsilon(x) - f(x) = \int [f(x - y) - f(x)]w^\varepsilon(y)dy \\
= \int [f(x + y) - f(x)]w^\varepsilon(y)dy
\]
and
\[ f^\varepsilon(x) - f(x) = \frac{1}{2} \int [f(x+y) + f(x-y) - 2f(x)]w^\varepsilon(y)dy. \]

Hence, for \( \beta \in (0, 1] \),
\[ |f^\varepsilon(x) - f(x)| \leq C|f|_\beta \varepsilon^\beta. \]

(iii) If \( \beta < 1 \), by changing the variable of integration,
\[ \partial_k f^\varepsilon(x) = \varepsilon^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \varepsilon^{-d} \partial_k w\left(\frac{x-y}{\varepsilon}\right)f(y)dy \]
\[ = \varepsilon^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \varepsilon^{-d} \partial_k w\left(\frac{y}{\varepsilon}\right)f(x-y)dy \]
\[ = \varepsilon^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \partial_k w(y)[f(x-\varepsilon y) - f(x)]dy, \]
and the first inequality follows by Lemma 18. Since
\[ f^\varepsilon(x+h) + f^\varepsilon(x-h) - 2f^\varepsilon(x) \]
\[ = \frac{1}{2} \int w_\varepsilon(y)[f(x-y+h) + f(x-y-h) - 2f(x-y)]dy, \]
we have \( |f^\varepsilon|_1 \leq |f|_1 \). Also, since \( \partial_{kl}^2 w(y) = \partial_{kl}^2 w(-y), k, l = 1, \ldots, d, y \in \mathbb{R}^d \),
\[ \partial_{kl}^2 f^\varepsilon(x) = \varepsilon^{-2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \varepsilon^{-d} \partial_{kl}^2 w\left(\frac{x-y}{\varepsilon}\right)f(y)dy \]
\[ = \varepsilon^{-2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \varepsilon^{-d} \partial_{kl}^2 w\left(\frac{y}{\varepsilon}\right)f(x-y)dy \]
\[ = \varepsilon^{-2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \partial_{kl}^2 w(y)[f(x-\varepsilon y) - f(x)]dy \]
\[ = \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon^{-2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \partial_{kl}^2 w(y)[f(x+\varepsilon y) + f(x-\varepsilon y) - 2f(x)]dy. \]

Thus, for all \( x \in \mathbb{R}^d \),
\[ |\partial_{kl}^2 f^\varepsilon(x)| \leq C\varepsilon^{-2+\beta}|f|_\beta \text{ if } \beta \in (0, 1]. \]

Similarly, if \( 1 < \beta \leq 2 \),
\[ \partial_k f^\varepsilon(x) = \int \varepsilon^{-d} w\left(\frac{y}{\varepsilon}\right)\partial_k f(x-y)dy \]
\[ = \int \varepsilon^{-d} w\left(\frac{x-y}{\varepsilon}\right)\partial_k f(y)dy \]
and

$$\partial_{kl}^2 f^\varepsilon(x) = \varepsilon^{-1} \int \varepsilon^{-d} \partial_k w(y) / \varepsilon \partial_l f(x - y) dy$$

$$= \varepsilon^{-1} \int \partial_k w(y) [\partial_l f(x - \varepsilon y) - \partial_l f(x)] dy.$$  

Hence, by Lemma 18

$$|\partial_{kl}^2 f^\varepsilon(x)| \leq C \kappa(\varepsilon, 2, \beta)|f|_\beta.$$  

To prove (39), apply (38) and the interpolation theorem. Let $\beta \in (0, 1]$. Consider an operator on $C^\beta$ defined by $T^\varepsilon(f) = f^\varepsilon$. According to (38), $T^\varepsilon : C^\beta(\mathbb{R}^d) \to C^k(\mathbb{R}^d), k = 1, 2$, is bounded,

$$|T^\varepsilon(f)|_k \leq C \varepsilon^{-k+\beta}|f|_\beta, k = 1, 2, f \in C^\beta(\mathbb{R}^d).$$

By Theorem 6.4.5 in [1], $T^\varepsilon : C^\beta(\mathbb{R}^d) \to C^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is bounded and

$$|T^\varepsilon(f)|_\alpha \leq C \varepsilon^{(-1+\beta)(2-\alpha)\varepsilon^{-2+\beta}(\alpha-1)}|f|_\beta = C \varepsilon^{-\alpha+\beta}|f|_\beta, f \in C^\beta(\mathbb{R}^d).$$

If $\beta \in (1, \alpha]$, $\partial^\alpha - 1 \nabla f^\varepsilon = \partial^\alpha - 1 (\nabla f)^\varepsilon$ and by (38),

$$|\partial^\alpha - 1 \nabla f^\varepsilon(x)| = |\partial^\alpha - 1 (\nabla f)^\varepsilon(x)| \leq C \kappa(\varepsilon, \alpha - 1, \beta - 1)|\nabla f|_{\beta-1},$$

and (40) follows.

Let $\beta \in (0, 1], \alpha \in (1, 2), \beta < \alpha - 1$. Then

$$\nabla f^\varepsilon = \varepsilon^{-1} \varepsilon^{-d} \int \nabla w(y) / \varepsilon f(x - y) dy$$

$$= \varepsilon^{-1} \varepsilon^{-d} \int \nabla w(y) f(x - y) dy.$$  

and

$$\partial^\alpha - 1 \nabla f^\varepsilon = \varepsilon^{-(\alpha-1)} \int \partial^\alpha - 1 (\nabla w)(y) f(x - \varepsilon y) dy$$

and we derive as in part (i) (using Lemma 16) that

$$|\partial^\alpha - 1 \nabla f^\varepsilon(x)| \leq C \varepsilon^{-\alpha+\beta}|f|_\beta.$$  

If $\beta \in (0, 1], \alpha \in (1, 2), \beta > \alpha - 1$, then

$$\partial^\alpha - 1 \nabla f^\varepsilon = \varepsilon^{-1} \int \nabla w(y) (\partial^\alpha - 1) f(x - \varepsilon y) dy$$

$$= \varepsilon^{-1} \int \nabla w(y) [(\partial^\alpha - 1) f(x - \varepsilon y) - (\partial^\alpha - 1) f(x)] dy.$$
and
\[ |\partial^{\alpha-1}\nabla f^\varepsilon| \leq C\varepsilon^{-1+\beta-\alpha+1}|\partial^{\alpha-1}f|_{\beta-\alpha+1} \leq C\varepsilon^{-\alpha+\beta}|f|_{\beta}. \]

The statement is proved. ■

**Corollary 20.** Let \( \alpha \in (0,2], \beta \leq \alpha \). Assume \( \varepsilon \in (0,1) \), \( a(x) \) is bounded, and
\[ \int (|y|^\alpha \wedge 1) \pi(dy) < \infty. \]
Then there exists a constant \( C \) such that for all \( z, x \in \mathbb{R}^d \), \( f \in C^\beta(\mathbb{R}^d) \),
\[ |B_z f^\varepsilon(x)| \leq C\kappa(\varepsilon, \alpha, \beta)|f|_{\beta}. \]

**Proof.** If \( \beta \leq \alpha < 1 \), by Lemmas 11 and 19,
\[ f^\varepsilon(x + y) - f^\varepsilon(x) = \int k^{(\alpha)}(y, y') \partial^{\alpha} f^\varepsilon(x - y')dy', \]
and by (37),
\[ |f^\varepsilon(x + y) - f^\varepsilon(x)| \leq C\kappa(\varepsilon, \alpha, \beta)|f|_{\beta}(|y|^\alpha \wedge 1), x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d. \]
So,
\[ |f^\varepsilon(x + G(x)y) - f^\varepsilon(x)| \leq C\kappa(\varepsilon, \alpha, \beta)|f|_{\beta}(|G(x)y|^\alpha \wedge 1) \]
\[ \leq C\kappa(\varepsilon, \alpha, \beta)|f|_{\beta} [1_{|y| \leq 1}]|G(x)y|^\alpha \]
\[ + 1_{|y| > 1}(|G(x)y|^\alpha \wedge 1)]. \]
If \( \beta \leq \alpha = 1 \), by Lemma 19 (38),
\[ |f^\varepsilon(x + y) - f^\varepsilon(x)| \leq C \sup_x |f(x)| + |\nabla f^\varepsilon(x)|(|y| \wedge 1) \]
\[ \leq C\kappa(\varepsilon, 1, \beta)|f|_{\beta}(|y| \wedge 1), x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d \]
and
\[ |f^\varepsilon(x + G(x)y) - f^\varepsilon(x)| \leq C\kappa(\varepsilon, 1, \beta)|f|_{\beta}(|G(x)y| \wedge 1) \]
\[ \leq C\kappa(\varepsilon, 1, \beta)|f|_{\beta} [1_{|y| \leq 1}|G(x)y| + 1_{|y| > 1}(|G(x)y| \wedge 1)]. \]
Assume \( \alpha \in (1,2], \beta \leq \alpha \). Then for \( x, y' \in \mathbb{R}^d \),
\[ f^\varepsilon(x + y') - f^\varepsilon(x) - (\nabla f^\varepsilon(x), y') = \int_0^1 (\nabla f^\varepsilon(x + sy') - \nabla f^\varepsilon(x), y') ds. \ (43) \]
We will show that for all $x, y' \in \mathbb{R}^d$

$$|f^\varepsilon(x + y') - f^\varepsilon(x) - (\nabla f^\varepsilon(x), y')| \leq C|y'|^\alpha \kappa(\varepsilon, \alpha, \beta)|f|_{\beta}$$

(44)

If $\beta \in (0, \alpha], \alpha \in (1, 2), \beta \neq \alpha - 1$, then we have (44) by Lemmas 11, 19.

If $\beta \in (0, \alpha], \alpha \in (1, 2), \beta = \alpha - 1$, then for any $x, y' \in \mathbb{R}^d$

$$\nabla f^\varepsilon(x + y') - \nabla f^\varepsilon(x) = \varepsilon^{-1} \varepsilon^{-d} \int \nabla w(y/\varepsilon)[f(x + y' - y) - f(x - y)] dy$$

and

$$|\nabla f^\varepsilon(x + y') - \nabla f^\varepsilon(x)| \leq C \varepsilon^{-1} |y'|^\beta |f|_{\beta} = C \varepsilon^{-\alpha + \beta} |f|_{\beta} |y'|^{\alpha - 1}.$$

So, (44) holds in this case as well. If $\beta \leq \alpha = 2$, then by Lemma 19,

$$|\nabla f^\varepsilon(x + y') - \nabla f^\varepsilon(x)| \leq \sup_x |D^2 f^\varepsilon(x)| |y'| \leq C \kappa(\varepsilon, 2, \beta) |f|_{\beta} |y'|$$

and (44) follows. Hence, for $|y| \leq 1$, by (44),

$$|f^\varepsilon(x + G(x)y) - f^\varepsilon(x) - (\nabla f^\varepsilon(x), G(x)y)| \leq C \kappa(\varepsilon, \alpha, \beta)|G(x)y|^\alpha |f|_{\beta}.$$

Also, for $|y| > 1$,

$$|f^\varepsilon(x + G(x)y) - f^\varepsilon(x)| \leq 2 |f|_{\beta}.$$

Therefore, the statement follows by the assumptions and Lemma 19.

\[\square\]

4.2. Proof of Lemma 15

If $\beta \leq \alpha$, define $f^\varepsilon$ by (35) for $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$ and apply Itô’s formula (see Remark 6): for $s \in [0, T]$,

$$\mathbb{E}[f^\varepsilon(Y_s) - f^\varepsilon(Y_{\tau_{is}})|\mathcal{F}_{\tau_{is}}] = \mathbb{E} \left[ \int_{\tau_{is}}^s (A_{Y_{\tau_{is}}} f^\varepsilon(Y_r) + B_{Y_{\tau_{is}}} f^\varepsilon(Y_r)) dr |\mathcal{F}_{\tau_{is}} \right].$$

Hence, by Lemma 19 and Corollary 20, for $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$,

$$|\mathbb{E}[f(Y_s) - f(Y_{\tau_{is}})|\mathcal{F}_{\tau_{is}}]| \leq |\mathbb{E}[(f - f^\varepsilon)(Y_s) - (f - f^\varepsilon)(Y_{\tau_{is}})|\mathcal{F}_{\tau_{is}}]|$$

$$+ |\mathbb{E}[f^\varepsilon(Y_s) - f^\varepsilon(Y_{\tau_{is}})|\mathcal{F}_{\tau_{is}}]|$$

$$\leq CF(\varepsilon, \delta)|f|_{\beta},$$
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with a constant $C$ independent of $\varepsilon, f$ and

$$F(\varepsilon, \delta) = \begin{cases} (\varepsilon^2 + \delta)(1 - \ln \varepsilon) & \text{if } \alpha = \beta = 2, \\ \varepsilon^\beta + \delta \kappa(\varepsilon, \alpha, \beta) & \text{otherwise}. \end{cases}$$

Minimizing $F(\varepsilon, \delta)$ in $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$, we obtain

$$|E[f(Y_s) - f(Y_{\tau_{is}})|F_{\tau_{is}}]| \leq C r(\delta, \alpha, \beta) |\beta|.$$

If $\beta > \alpha$, apply Itô’s formula directly (see Remark 6):

$$E[f(Y_s) - f(Y_{\tau_{is}})|F_{\tau_{is}}] = E\left[ \int_{\tau_{is}}^s \left( A^{(\alpha)}_{Y_{\tau_{is}}} f(Y_r) + B^{(\alpha)}_{Y_{\tau_{is}}} f(Y_r) \right) dr \bigg| F_{\tau_{is}} \right].$$

Hence, by Lemmas 12 and 19,

$$|E[f(Y_s) - f(Y_{\tau_{is}})|F_{\tau_{is}}]| \leq C \delta |\beta|.$$

The statement of Lemma 15 follows.

### 4.3. Proof of Theorem 3

Let $v \in C^{\alpha+\beta}(H)$ be the unique solution to (6) (see Corollary 9). By Itô’s formula (see Remark 6) and (6),

$$E[v(0, X_0)] = E[v(T, X_T)] - E\left[ \int_0^T (\partial_t v(s, X_s) + A_X v(s, X_s) + B_X v(s, X_s)) ds \right]$$

and

$$E[v(0, X_0)] = E[v(0, Y_0)]. \quad (45)$$

By Proposition 13, Corollary 9, Remark 14, and Lemma 12,

$$|A_x v(s, \cdot)|_{\beta} + |B_x v(s, \cdot)|_{\beta} \leq C |v|_{\alpha+\beta} \leq C |g|_{\alpha+\beta}, \quad (46)$$

Then, by Itô’s formula (Remark 6) and Corollary 9 with (15) and (16),
it follows that
\[
E[g(Y_T)] - E[g(X_T)] - \mathbf{E} \left[ \int_0^T f(Y_{\tau_s})ds \right] + \mathbf{E} \left[ \int_0^T f(X_s)ds \right]
\]

\[
= E[v(T, Y_T)] - E[v(0, Y_0)] - \mathbf{E} \left[ \int_0^T f(Y_{\tau_s})ds \right] + \mathbf{E} \int_0^T f(X_s)ds
\]

\[
= \mathbf{E} \left[ \int_0^T \left\{ \left[ \partial_t v(s, Y_s) - \partial_t v(s, Y_{\tau_s}) \right] + [A_{Y_{\tau_s}} v(s, Y_s) - A_{Y_{\tau_s}} v(s, Y_{\tau_s})] + [B_{Y_{\tau_s}} v(s, Y_s) - B_{Y_{\tau_s}} v(s, Y_{\tau_s})] \right\} ds \right].
\]

Hence, by (46) and Lemma 15 there exists a constant $C$ independent of $g$ such that
\[
|E[g(Y_T)] - E[g(X_T)]| \leq C r(\delta, \alpha, \beta)|g|_{\alpha+\beta}.
\]

The statement of Theorem 3 follows.

5. Conclusion

The paper studies weak Euler approximation of SDEs driven by Lévy processes. The dependence of the rate of convergence on the regularity of coefficients and driving processes is investigated under assumption of $\beta$-Hölder continuity of the coefficients. It is assumed that the main term of the SDE is driven by a spherically-symmetric $\alpha$-stable process and the tail of the Lévy measure of the lower order term has a $\mu$-order finite moment ($\mu \in (0, 3)$). The resulting rate depends on $\beta, \alpha$ and $\mu$. In order to estimate the rate of convergence, the existence of a unique solution to the corresponding backward Kolmogorov equation in Hölder space is first proved. The assumptions on the regularity of coefficients and test functions are different than those in the existing literature.

One possible improvement could be to consider the asymptotics of the tails at infinity instead of the tail moment $\mu$. Besides this, the stochastic differential equations considered so far are associated with nondegenerate Lévy operators. A further step could be to study the case with degenerate operators. That is, consider equation (1) without assuming $\text{det } b \neq 0$. For example, let $\alpha \in [1, 2]$ and $\beta \in (\alpha, 2\alpha]$. Assume the coefficients are in $C^\beta$ and
\[
\int_{|y| \leq 1} |y|^\alpha d\pi + \int_{|y| > 1} |y|^{2\alpha} d\pi < \infty.
\]
In this case, a plausible convergence rate is \( r(\delta, \alpha, \beta) = \delta^{\frac{\beta}{\beta-1}} \). With \( \det b = 0 \) being allowed, a higher regularity of coefficients and lighter tails of \( \pi \) would be required.
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