Multimorbidity, guideline-directed medical therapies, and associated outcomes among hospitalized heart failure patients
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Abstract

Aims Multimorbidity is common among heart failure (HF) patients and may attenuate guideline-directed medical therapy (GDMT). Multimorbid patients are under-represented in clinical trials; therefore, the effect of multimorbidity clustering on the prognosis of HF patients remains unknown. We evaluated the prevalence of multimorbidity clusters among consecutively registered hospitalized HF patients and assessed whether GDMT attenuated outcomes.

Methods and results We examined 1924 hospitalized HF patients with reduced left ventricular ejection fraction (<50%) in a multicentre registry (West Tokyo HF Registry: WET-HF). Ten comorbid conditions in the WET-HF were abstracted: coronary artery disease, atrial fibrillation, stroke, anaemia, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, renal dysfunction, obesity, hypertension, dyslipidaemia, and diabetes. Patients were divided into three groups (0–2: n = 451; 3–4: n = 787; and ≥5: n = 686) based on the number of comorbid conditions. The primary composite endpoint was all-cause mortality and HF rehospitalization. The most prevalent comorbidities were renal dysfunction (67.9%), hypertension (66.0%), and anaemia (53.8%). Increased comorbidity was associated with increased adverse outcomes [3–4: hazard ratio (HR) 1.42, 95% confidence interval (CI) 1.13–1.77, P = 0.003; ≥5: HR 2.12, 95% CI 1.69–2.65, P < 0.001; and reference: 0–2] and lower GDMT prescription rate (0–2: 69.2%; 3–4: 57.7%; and ≥5: 57.6%). GDMT was associated with decreased adverse outcomes; this association was maintained even as the comorbidity burden increased but tended to weaken (0–2: HR 0.53, 95%CI 0.35–0.78; P = 0.001; 3–4: HR 0.82, 95%CI 0.65–1.04, P = 0.095; and ≥5: HR 0.81, 95%CI 0.65–1.00, P = 0.053; P for interaction = 0.156).

Conclusions Comorbidity clusters were prevalent and associated with poorer outcomes. GDMT remained beneficial regardless of the comorbidity burden but tended to weaken with increasing comorbidity burden. Further research is required to optimize medical care in these patients.
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Introduction

Heart failure (HF) is associated with significant morbidity and mortality and poses a tremendous burden on health care systems.1 Guideline-directed medical therapy (GDMT) using beta-blockers and renin-angiotensin system (RAS) inhibitors has been established as a first-line treatment for HF with reduced ejection fraction (HFrEF).2,3 In addition, novel disease-modifying therapies (e.g. angiotensin receptor-neprilysin inhibitor [ARNI] and sodium-glucose...
cotoransporter-2 inhibitors [SGLT2is]) have shown incremental benefits for patients well tolerated with RAS inhibitors and beta-blockers. However, these findings are based on randomized clinical trials (RCTs) that enrolled relatively homogeneous patient populations without the clustering of comorbid conditions.

Multimorbid conditions could conceivably alter the biological response to a trial therapy and/or the risk–benefit balance. For instance, GDMT is beneficial for comorbid conditions [e.g. RAS inhibitors for hypertension and chronic kidney diseases (CKD)]. Contrastingly, up-titration of GDMT in patients with comorbid conditions could be challenging (e.g. RAS inhibitors in advanced CKD), resulting in lower efficacy, poorer safety, and the occurrence of side effects. Furthermore, both European and US clinical practice guidelines emphasize the evidence-practice gap in optimization of pharmacological treatment in HFrEF patients with comorbidities. Hence, a better understanding regarding the application of GDMT in multimorbid conditions in a real-world setting is warranted.

Large-scale registries that mandate consecutive patient enrolment reflect real-world experience in HF management, and they are essential for the understanding of patient characteristics, care delivery, and outcomes of patients in clinical practice, providing insights into the beneficial effect of GDMT. Accordingly, the present study utilized the West Tokyo Heart Failure (WET-HF) registry to investigate (i) the prevalence and prognostic impact of multimorbidity clustering, and (ii) whether the coexistence of comorbidities could affect the accomplishment of GDMT as well as its beneficial prognostic effect in Japanese HFrEF patients.

Methods

Study design and sample population

The details of the WET-HF registry have been previously described. This database is a prospective, multicentre cohort registry designed for the collection of data pertaining to the clinical backgrounds and outcomes of patients hospitalized with acute HF who fulfilled the Framingham criteria for HF as the primary cause of admission. Before the launch of this registry, information regarding the objective of the present study, its social significance, and an abstract were provided to the University Hospital Medical Information Network of Japan for clinical trial registration (UMIN000001171).

The patient-level data were collected from three university hospitals and three tertiary referral hospitals within the metropolitan Tokyo area. To obtain a robust assessment of patient care and outcomes, dedicated clinical research coordinators collected baseline data and outcomes from medical records as well as through interviews with treating physicians. Data were entered into an electronic data-capturing system with a robust data query engine and system validation for data quality; outliers in the continuous variables or unexpected values in the categorical variables were selected by established criteria, and the originating institution was notified to verify the values. Moreover, the quality of the reporting was also verified by principal investigators (YS and SK) at least once annually; periodic queries were conducted to ensure quality. Patients who refused to participate in the study or presented with concurrent HF and acute coronary syndrome were excluded from registration. The study protocol was approved by the institutional review boards at each site, and research was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki. Written or oral informed consent was obtained from each subject before the study.

We analysed the records of 4000 consecutive patients hospitalized for HF enrolled in the WET-HF registry between January 2006 and December 2017 (Figure 1A). In the present analysis, 164 patients (4.1%) with in-hospital death and 244 patients (6.1%) without recorded follow-up information were excluded. Of the remaining 3592 patients who were stably discharged after index hospitalization, 2053 patients had left ventricular ejection fraction (LVEF) < 50%. Altogether, 129 patients (3.2%) with missing data on comorbidities were excluded, and the remaining 1924 patients (48.1%) were analysed as the HFrEF group in this study.

Definitions of clinical variables, comorbidities, and outcomes

As seen in Table 1, the basic patient information retrieved included age, gender, LVEF, vital signs at discharge, body mass index (BMI) at discharge, New York Heart Association (NYHA)
class at discharge, and therapeutic agents at discharge; these included beta-blockers, angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors or angiotensin receptor blockers, mineralocorticoid receptor antagonists (MRAs), or loop diuretics.

The 10 comorbid conditions included in the analysis were coronary artery disease (CAD), atrial fibrillation, stroke or transient ischaemic attack, anaemia, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD), renal dysfunction, obesity, hypertension, dyslipidaemia, and diabetes mellitus. Among the clinically relevant comorbidities in HF based on international guidelines and prior analyses (Supporting Information, Table S2), we selected these 10 because of their data availability within the WET-HF registry. The definition of comorbidities is as follows. Anaemia was defined according to the World Health Organization criteria [haemoglobin (at discharge) < 13 g/dL for men and <12 g/dL for women]. Estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR) was calculated using the Modification of Diet in Renal Disease Study equation, and renal dysfunction was defined as ≥stage 3 kidney disease as set forth by the National Kidney Foundation (eGFR at discharge <60 mL/min/1.73 m²). CAD was defined as the presence of myocardial ischemia/infarction-associated cardiac dysfunction due to significant coronary stenosis/obstruction. Obesity was defined according to the BMI cut-off recommended by the World Health Organization Western Pacific Region (BMI ≥ 25 kg/m²), because Japanese people are more likely to have metabolic disorders even with a BMI of 25–30 kg/m². Ascertainment of the remaining comorbidities was based on physician/coordinator assessment from the medical history (any past or current diagnosis and/or treatment) at the time of baseline evaluation in the WET-HF registry.

The HFrEF cohort was divided into three groups according to the tertiles of comorbidity burden (low: 0–2 comorbidities; medium: 3–4 comorbidities; and high: ≥5 comorbidities, respectively; Figure 1B). Patients taking both beta-blockers and RAS inhibitors (angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors or angiotensin receptor blockers) were assigned to the GDMT group, while patients taking either beta-blockers or RAS inhibitors (not taking both) were assigned to the non-GDMT group. Patients taking either beta-blockers or RAS inhibitors without taking both were assigned to the intermediate group.

### Table 1 Baseline characteristics

| Variable                        | 0–2 comorbidities | 3–4 comorbidities | 5+ comorbidities | P value |
|---------------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|------------------|--------|
| **Demographics and medical history** |                   |                   |                  |        |
| Age (years)                     | 65 (52–76)        | 75 (65–82)        | 76 (68–82)       | <0.001 |
| Male, n (%)                     | 288 (63.9)        | 533 (67.7)        | 517 (75.4)       | <0.001 |
| **Echocardiographic parameter** |                   |                   |                  |        |
| LVEF (%)                        | 31 (23–40)        | 35 (28–41)        | 35 (28–41)       | <0.001 |
| **Comorbidities**               |                   |                   |                  |        |
| Obesity, n (%)                  | 43 (9.5)          | 133 (16.9)        | 173 (25.2)       | <0.001 |
| Hypertension, n (%)             | 133 (29.5)        | 524 (66.6)        | 612 (89.2)       | <0.001 |
| Dyslipidaemia, n (%)            | 38 (8.4)          | 256 (32.5)        | 511 (74.5)       | <0.001 |
| Diabetes mellitus, n (%)        | 45 (10.0)         | 223 (28.3)        | 459 (66.9)       | <0.001 |
| COPD, n (%)                     | 2 (0.4)           | 38 (4.8)          | 40 (5.8)         | <0.001 |
| Stroke/TIA, n (%)               | 11 (2.4)          | 63 (8.0)          | 165 (24.1)       | <0.001 |
| Anaemia, n (%)                  | 88 (19.5)         | 436 (55.4)        | 511 (74.5)       | <0.001 |
| Renal dysfunction, n (%)        | 149 (33.0)        | 539 (68.5)        | 619 (90.2)       | <0.001 |
| Atrial fibrillation, n (%)      | 125 (27.7)        | 342 (43.5)        | 332 (48.4)       | <0.001 |
| Coronary artery disease, n (%)  | 37 (8.2)          | 230 (29.2)        | 469 (68.4)       | <0.001 |
| **Vital signs and NYHA at discharge** |               |                   |                  |        |
| NYHA class II/IV, n (%)         | 60 (13.4)         | 158 (20.2)        | 142 (20.8)       | 0.003  |
| Systolic blood pressure (mmHg)  | 102 (92–114)      | 110 (100–121)     | 110 (100–122)    | <0.001 |
| Resting heart rate (b.p.m.)     | 72 (64–82)        | 70 (61–80)        | 70 (62–80)       | 0.078  |
| **Medication or device therapy** |                   |                   |                  |        |
| ACE inhibitors/ARBs, n (%)      | 345 (76.5)        | 516 (65.6)        | 442 (64.4)       | <0.001 |
| Beta-blockers, n (%)            | 396 (87.8)        | 668 (84.9)        | 594 (86.6)       | 0.331  |
| ≥50% of target dose* of beta-blockers, n (%) | 136 (30.6)        | 233 (30.2)        | 203 (30.2)       | 0.671  |
| GDMT, n (%)                     | 312 (69.2)        | 454 (57.7)        | 395 (57.6)       | <0.001 |
| MRAs, n (%)                     | 216 (48.0)        | 315 (40.1)        | 263 (38.4)       | 0.004  |
| Loop diuretics, n (%)           | 334 (74.2)        | 611 (77.6)        | 538 (73.5)       | 0.218  |
| ICD, n (%)                      | 47 (10.4)         | 47 (6.0)          | 52 (7.6)         | 0.017  |
| CRT, n (%)                      | 25 (5.5)          | 20 (2.5)          | 32 (4.7)         | 0.019  |

ACE, angiotensin-converting enzyme; ARB, angiotensin II receptor blocker; BMI, body mass index; COPD, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; CRT, cardiac resynchronization therapy; eGFR, estimated glomerular filtration rate; GDMT, guideline-directed medical therapy; ICD, implantable cardioverter-defibrillator; LVEF, left ventricular ejection fraction; MRA, mineralocorticoid receptor antagonist; NYHA, New York Heart Association; TIA, transient ischaemic attack.

Values are presented as median (interquartile range) or numbers and percentages (%). Obesity and renal dysfunction were defined as BMI ≥ 25 kg/m² and eGFR < 60 mL/min/1.73 m², respectively.

*Proportion of patients treated with ≥50% of recommended beta-blocker dose at discharge [Japanese Cardiology Society guideline recommendation; bisoprolol (5 mg) and carvedilol (20 mg)].
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Statistical analysis

With respect to descriptive statistics, all continuous variables are expressed as median with interquartile range (variables were non-normally distributed), and categorical variables are expressed as numbers and percentages. For baseline characteristics, the three groups, separated by different comorbidity burdens, were compared using the Kruskal–Wallis test for continuous variables and the χ² test for categorical variables. Time-to-event curves were estimated, using the Kaplan–Meier method, to examine the impact of the comorbidity burden itself on composite endpoints or each component. We also verified the prognostic impact of GDMT based on the burden of comorbidities. A log-rank test was performed to evaluate differences between those groups. The multivariate Cox proportional hazard model was used to evaluate the impact of multiple comorbidities on each endpoint among the patients with LVEF < 50%. The impact of GDMT on each endpoint was analysed separately regardless of comorbidity burden as well as with each comorbidity. Hazard ratios (HRs) and 95% confidence intervals (95% CI) were estimated, and P values were calculated from the Wald statistic. With reference to previous reports, the HRs were adjusted for age, sex, NYHA class, systolic blood pressure at discharge, heart rate at discharge, LVEF, GDMT, and MRAs. We examined the evidence of differences in the estimated prognostic effect between the comorbidity groups by adding a multiplicative interaction between GDMT and comorbidity group.

We then performed sensitivity analysis to determine the impact of GDMT on each endpoint in patients with LVEF < 40%. We defined two additional exploratory subgroups of patients without high risk for clinical outcomes: (i) eGFR at discharge > 30 mL/min/1.73 m² and (ii) Seattle Heart Failure Model (SHFM) expected 1 year survival rate ≥ 80%. Furthermore, we analysed another subgroup of patients without applying the general exclusion criteria for clinical trials. To predict the 1 year survival rate, the SHFM scores were calculated based on the statistical model validating the use of the SHFM in Japanese patients hospitalized with HF. For all statistical analyses, statistical significance was set at P < 0.05. Data analysis was performed using IBM SPSS Statistics for Mac, Version 26.0. Armonk, NY: IBM Corp.

Results

Patient characteristics

Of 1924 patients with HFrEF [median age: 74 (range: 63–81) years; male: 69.5%], 1739 patients (90.4%) had two or more comorbidities, and 35.7% had five or more comorbidities (Figure 1B). The three most common comorbidities were renal dysfunction, hypertension, and anaemia (67.9%, 66.0%, and 53.8%, respectively; Figure S1). COPD, stroke or transient ischaemic attack, and obesity were less prevalent (4.2%, 12.4%, and 18.1%, respectively). The distribution according to the number of comorbidities as well as each comorbidity were similar based on LVEF (<40 and 40–50%) (Figures S2 and S3).

The patients’ characteristics according to comorbidity burden are presented in Table 1. Patients with increased number of comorbidities were more frequently male of older age, with higher LVEF, NYHA classification, and a higher prevalence of CAD. GDMT prescription rate decreased as the number of comorbidities increased (0–2: 69.2%; 3–4: 57.7%; and ≥5: 57.6%). The prescription rate of beta-blockers did not differ by comorbidity burden, and the GDMT prescription rate according to comorbidity burden is mainly attributable to lower prescription rates of RAS inhibitors. The prescription rate of MRAs was also lower in the group with a higher number of comorbidities.

Clinical outcomes

The median follow-up period was 499 (range: 143–951) days. Kaplan–Meier estimates demonstrated, among the overall cohort, a higher crude rate of the composite endpoint of all-cause mortality and HF rehospitalization; HF rehospitalization; and all-cause, cardiac, and non-cardiac mortality among patients with a higher number of comorbidities (Figures 2 and S4).

After the adjustment using multivariate analysis, increased burden of comorbidities was an independent risk factor for the composite endpoint (3–4: HR 1.42, 95%CI 1.13–1.77, P = 0.003; ≥5: HR 2.12, 95%CI 1.69–2.65, P < 0.001, respectively, when compared with 0–2), HF rehospitalization (3–4: HR 1.31, 95%CI 1.01–1.69, P = 0.039; ≥5: HR 2.06, 95%CI 1.60–2.66, P < 0.001, respectively), and all-cause mortality (3–4: HR 1.54, 95%CI 1.10–2.15, P = 0.012; ≥5: HR 1.97, 95%CI 1.41–2.75, P < 0.001, respectively) (Table S2). Multivariate analyses were used to evaluate the association of
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each comorbidity with the composite endpoint; diabetes mellitus (HR 1.25, 95%CI 1.07–1.46, \( P = 0.004 \)), anaemia (HR 1.68, 95%CI 1.42–1.99, \( P < 0.001 \)), renal dysfunction (HR 1.61, 95%CI 1.33–1.94, \( P < 0.001 \)), atrial fibrillation (HR 1.18, 95%CI 1.02–1.37, \( P = 0.028 \)), and CAD (HR 1.20, 95%CI 1.03–1.40, \( P = 0.021 \)) were independent risk factors for the composite endpoint (Table S3).

**Association with the implementation of guideline-directed medical therapy**

The incidence of composite endpoint, HF rehospitalization, and all-cause mortality was significantly lower in patients with GDMT than in those without GDMT regardless of the co-morbidity burdens, although the difference narrowed as the co-morbidity burden increased (Figure 3A–C). Furthermore, patients treated with GDMT were divided into groups according to the use of MRA. In all comorbidity groups, there was no significant difference in the composite endpoint regardless of MRA use (Figure S5).

Figure 4 shows the effect of GDMT for each subgroup assigned according to the comorbidity burden using multivariate analysis. Among the patients with fewer comorbidities (0–2 comorbidities), the use of GDMT was significantly associated with a lower rate of the composite endpoint (HR 0.53, 95%CI 0.35–0.78, \( P = 0.001 \)), HF rehospitalization (HR 0.56, 95%CI 0.36–0.86, \( P = 0.008 \)), and all-cause mortality (HR 0.50, 95%CI 0.27–0.92, \( P = 0.025 \)). GDMT remained beneficial regardless of the comorbidity burden in the composite endpoint (HR for interaction = 0.156), HF rehospitalization (HR for interaction = 0.356), or all-cause mortality (HR for interaction = 0.504). With increasing comorbidity burden, there was a trend towards a weak association between GDMT and lower composite outcomes, HF rehospitalization, and all-cause mortality (3–4 comorbidities; HR 0.82, 95%CI 0.65–1.04, \( P = 0.095 \)), HR 0.82, 95%CI 0.62–1.08, \( P = 0.153 \)), HR 0.71, 95%CI 0.51–0.97, \( P = 0.034 \), respectively) (≥5 comorbidities; HR 0.81, 95%CI 0.65–1.00 \( P = 0.053 \), HR 0.82, 95%CI 0.64–1.05, \( P = 0.111 \), HR 0.78, 95%CI 0.58–1.06, \( P = 0.112 \), respectively).

Figure S6 illustrates the effect of GDMT on composite endpoints among subgroups defined according to the presence of each comorbidity using multivariate analysis. The association between GDMT and lower rate of the composite endpoint was consistent among each subgroup, except that this association was diminished in patients with anaemia.

In sensitivity analyses, the beneficial prognostic effects of GDMT were similarly maintained regardless of comorbidity burden, but there was a trend towards a weak association between GDMT and reduced adverse events with increasing comorbidity burden. Among patients with LVEF < 40% (\( n = 1331 \), Figure S7), the associations between GDMT and each outcome for each comorbidity group were similar. These associations were also similar among the selected subgroups of patients without high-risk conditions; patients with eGFR ≥ 30 (\( n = 1581 \), Figure S8), SHFM expected 1 year survival rate ≥ 80% (\( n = 1824 \), Figure S9), and the subgroup of patients in which the general exclusion criteria for clinical trials (systolic blood pressure at discharge < 90 mmHg, heart rate at discharge < 50 b.p.m., eGFR < 30 ml/min/1.73 m², and serum potassium level > 5.5 mEq/L) were not applied (\( n = 1354 \), Figure S10).

**Discussion**

The present study demonstrated that (i) the clustering of multimorbidity was common among hospitalized HFrEF
patients; (ii) a greater burden of comorbidities was associated with increased composite endpoint of all-cause mortality and HF rehospitalization, as well as lower GDMT prescription rate; and (iii) GDMT was consistently beneficial regardless of the comorbidity burden, but the association between GDMT and reduced risk of adverse events tended to weaken with increasing comorbidity burden.

Figure 3  Kaplan–Meier cumulative event curves for (A) the composite endpoint of all-cause mortality and HF rehospitalization, (B) HF rehospitalization, and (C) all-cause mortality in each comorbidity group, divided into GDMT and non-GDMT groups. GDMT, guideline-directed medical therapy; HF, heart failure.
Burden of comorbidity on the effect of guideline-directed medical therapy

The impact of multiple comorbidities on the beneficial prognostic effect of GDMT has not been fully elucidated in clinical trials. There have been few post hoc analyses conducted with RCT data. In the Study of Left Ventricular Dysfunction prevention and Study of Left Ventricular Dysfunction treatment trials, multiple comorbidities did not impact the effects of enalapril. In more recent Systolic Heart failure treatment with the IF inhibitor ivabradine Trial study, the increased comorbidity burden had no apparent effect on the size of the treatment effect of ivabradine. However, in clinical trial settings, the prevalence of individual comorbidities (e.g. CKD; 26.1–40.6%) was lower compared with that in our real-world setting. Therefore, the clustering of multimorbidity was less frequently seen in clinical trial settings (≥5 comorbidities: <5%). In comparison, clustering of multimorbidity was common in our registry that required consecutive patient enrolment. Moreover, with an ageing population in Japan, there has been a rapid increase in the comorbidity burden over the past decade among HF patients in Japan. Given these specific characteristics, we had a unique opportunity to explore the impact of clustering of multimorbidity among a wide array of HF patients using our large-scale registry of consecutively enrolled patients.

To our knowledge, the impact of multiple comorbidities on the effectiveness of guideline-based pharmacotherapy has not been evaluated in a registry-based dataset. In contrast to the clinical trial populations, we showed that the benefit of GDMT was continually maintained, but this association tended to weaken as the comorbidity burden increased in real-world settings. The effect of GDMT among patients with HF with each comorbidity has been discussed previously (e.g. beta-blockers in AF and RAS inhibitors in advanced CKD). The precise mechanisms involved in the decreased benefit of GDMT in patients with comorbidities remain unclear, but there are several possibilities. One plausible mechanism for the reduced beneficial effect of GDMT among patients with increased comorbidity burdens could be changes in pharmacokinetics due to comorbid conditions (e.g. disease–drug interactions such as that seen with RAS inhibitors and renal dysfunction). In previous findings, use of renin–angiotensin–aldosterone system inhibitors among recently hospitalized HF patients in real-world settings was associated with increased hyperkalaemia-associated morbidity and mortality; this was most likely due to an renin–angiotensin–aldosterone system inhibitor-related interaction as it can be accentuated by other medications or coexisting conditions. Another possibility may be the occurrence of therapeutic competition in cases when a medication for one disease inadvertently destabilizes another; this is seen with worsening of bronchospastic lung disease upon beta-blocker treatment for HF and worsening of HF upon treatment of diabetes with pioglitazone and saxagliptin. Furthermore, in the context of multimorbidity, the complex interactions among diseases and their medications could inadvertently induce detrimental effects; one comorbidity could often impact other comorbidities either directly (e.g. renal anaemia) or through adverse effects of their treatment (e.g. haemorrhagic anaemia due to antithrombotic therapy in CAD, stroke, and AF) with unpredictable worsening of HF.

**Figure 4** Adjusted hazard ratios for each endpoint in the subgroups with different comorbidity loads, comparing between GDMT group and non-GDMT group. All hazard ratios were adjusted for age, sex, NYHA class, SBP, heart rate, LVEF, and MRAs. CI, confidence interval; HR, hazard ratio; MRA, mineralocorticoid receptor antagonist; NYHA, New York Heart Association; SBP, systolic blood pressure.
Comorbid conditions in Japanese heart failure with reduced ejection fraction patients

The prevalence on multiple comorbidities has predominantly been reported in observational studies conducted in Western countries. The National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey reported that ~90% and 50% of HF patients had ≥2 and ≥5 comorbidities. In another community cohort from southeastern Minnesota, ~80% and 35% of HFrEF patients had ≥2 and ≥5 comorbidities. Although the frequency of clustering of multimorbidity is consistent with our study, there are differences in the distribution of each and in the individual comorbid conditions. For instance, compared with Western countries—where >60% of HFrEF patients have ischemic aetiology—in East Asia, there is a lower incidence of ischemic cardiomyopathy (46.5% in ATTEND, 43.0% in KorAHF, and 38.3% in our registries). In East Asian registries (including ours), the prevalence of obesity (BMI ≥ 30 kg/m²: 3.8%, mean BMI: 21.8–23.1 kg/m²) and COPD (4.2–10.7%) was less, compared with that in Western countries (BMI ≥ 30 kg/m²: 21–35%, mean BMI: 27.0–28.6 kg/m²). The beneficial effect of medication could differ depending on the coexistence with obesity or COPD. Further studies using the multinational HF registry data will be needed to evaluate whether our findings are universal or unique to East Asia.

Perspective on future studies assessing clustering of multimorbidity

The increased number of comorbidities in HF patients has been associated with worse long-term clinical outcomes, such as all-cause mortality and HF hospitalization, regardless of setting (e.g. RCT/observational study and ambulatory/hospitalized patient) or consideration of LVEF classification, and our study extends the notion to the Japanese patients, where both patient characteristics and distribution of comorbidities differ. Notably, increased comorbidity burden was associated with well-known prognostic factors (e.g. advanced age and increasing symptom severity), and further research is required to establish an ideal therapeutic approach for this vulnerable population. Furthermore, programmes dedicated to comorbidity prevention or reduction need to be developed. The current move towards multidisciplinary care is to develop disease management systems that span cardiology and non-cardiology health care providers. Further studies are needed to assess the efficacy of the integration of these preventive strategies into conventional HF management programmes. Elucidating whether individual comorbidities or particular clusters of comorbidities could affect the beneficial effect of GDMT could be important in future studies. Furthermore, machine learning could be useful in identifying phenogroups of HF by comorbidity with different responses to GDMT. Research involving such approaches could boost precision medicine by enabling tailored pharmacotherapy of HF based on differing comorbidities.

Study limitations

There are some limitations to this study. First, our study had an observational cohort design, and despite adjustment using various prognostic factors (e.g. age and NYHA functional class), unmeasured or unknown variables may have influenced the outcomes. Based on the data from this registry, we reported another group that is under-represented in RCTs: HF patients for whom the beneficial effect of GDMT was diminished (older adults and those with advanced renal diseases). The causality could not be demonstrated due to the nature of our study design (observational registry study). Pragmatic trials with cluster randomization, which are useful for addressing the real-world effects of treatment due to their relaxed inclusion/exclusion criteria, may identify whether GDMT improves prognosis in HF patients in these groups that are under-represented by RCTs. Secondary, standardized metrics of multiple comorbidities are still being refined, and the comorbidities in this study were extracted from the dataset of our registry; data on several comorbidities (e.g. cancer and cognitive impairment) were not taken into account. Furthermore, statistical tests with respect to the prognostic association of GDMT in each comorbidity burden subgroup were underpowered as the sample sizes were small. Third, we did not evaluate patient-centred outcomes (e.g. quality of life and functional capacity). For HF patients with multiple comorbidities, HF may not necessarily be the most important health care concern; thus, the study may have benefited from additional patient-centred assessments. Fourth, our registry did not include patients treated with novel disease-modifying pharmacotherapy for HF (i.e. ARNI and SGLT2is), as described previously. Fifth, our findings might not be applicable to other countries due to wide regional differences in the recommended target dose of GDMT as well as the rates of readmission and mortality in HF. Finally, we did not investigate the dose of RAS inhibitors and adverse renal events [i.e. renal replacement therapy (dialysis) and progression of CKD].

Conclusions

Multiple comorbidities were common in patients hospitalized with HFrEF in Japanese contemporary registries, and the increase in the comorbidity burden was associated with worse long-term clinical outcomes. GDMT remained beneficial regardless of the comorbidity burden, but with increasing comorbidity burden, there was a trend towards a weak association between GDMT and reduced adverse outcomes.
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