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Calculations of skyrmions in antiferromagnets (AFMs) are presented, and their properties compared with skyrmions in corresponding ferromagnets (FMs). The rates of skyrmion collapse and escape through the boundary of a track, as well as the binding to and collapse at a non-magnetic impurity is calculated as a function of applied magnetic field. In the absence of an applied magnetic field, the activation energy for skyrmion annihilation is the same. The pre-exponential factor in the Arrhenius rate law is, however, different because skyrmion dynamics is different in the two systems. As applied magnetic field has opposite effects on skyrmions in the two types of materials. In AM the rate of collapse of skyrmions as well as the rate of escape through the edge of a magnetic strip decreases slightly with increasing field, while these rates increase strongly for a skyrmion in the corresponding FM when the field is directed antiparallel to the magnetization in the center of the skyrmion. A non-magnetic impurity is less likely to trap a skyrmion in AFM especially in the presence of a magnetic field. This, together with the established fact that a spin polarized current moves a skyrmion in an AFM in the direction of the current, while in a FM the skyrmion moves at an angle to the current, demonstrates that skyrmions in AFM have several advantageous properties over skyrmions in FM for memory and spintronic devices.

I. INTRODUCTION

Topological magnetic chiral structures are of interest as a manifestation of topology in fundamental physics, as well as in connection with applications such as the development of a new generation of magnetic storage and data processing devices.1–3 Magnetic skyrmions have been observed in various thin ferromagnetic (FM) layers adsorbed on a surface of a heavy metal4 in multilayer systems5 and in materials with broken inversion symmetry.6 Skyrmions can be characterized by topological charge, which is an integer that cannot be changed by a continuous transformation of magnetization. A skyrmion state and a ferromagnetic state have different topological charges and therefore cannot be transformed from one to another in a continuous medium. In that sense, a skyrmion is topologically protected. However, when the magnetic moments are localized at sites of a discrete lattice, there is a finite activation barrier for the collapse of a skyrmion and its lifetime becomes shorter the higher the temperature is.7,8

Skyrmions could be used as magnetic bits in racetrack memory with high density, speed and energy efficiency. However, small skyrmions with diameter of 10 to 20 nm have been found to be stable only at low temperatures9 while skyrmions that are stable at room temperature are large, with diameter exceeding 50 nm.10 The stray field of large skyrmions leads to complicated skyrmion interactions and sets a limit to their density. Skyrmions can be moved by a spin polarized current but in a FM the direction of movement is at an angle to the current, the so-called Hall angle.11 This can lead to the escape of skyrmions through the boundary of the track. Some of these problems may be overcome by using other materials or topological magnetic structures. Accordingly, skyrmions in antiferromagnets (AFM) and ferrimagnets (FIM) as well as antiskyrmions12 have recently attracted increased attention.

Skyrmions in AFM can have several advantages over skyrmions in FM but they are hard to detect. Recently, however, skyrmions that are stable at room temperature have been observed in a synthetic antiferromagnet based on Pt/Co/Ru multilayers. This system consists of several FM layers with antiparallel magnetization separated by metal spacers. The skyrmions in this type of structure have been detected using magnetic force microscopy.13 Skyrmions have also recently been observed in ferrimagnetic material.14 At a certain temperature, the magnetization of the two sublattices is compensated and the properties of the skyrmions are then similar to the properties of a skyrmion in an AFM. Below and above the compensation temperature, skyrmions in a ferrimagnet can be detected by the same methods as in FM.

Theoretical analysis of skyrmions in a continuum representation of an AFM material has been presented by Keesman et al15 The ground state was determined using Monte Carlo simulations and compared to theoretical analysis. For finite size system, a phase that shows similarities with skyrmions was identified in between the spin flop and the spiral phases.

Zhang et al16 carried out micromagnetic lattice simulations and mapped out a phase diagram for AFM skyrmions as functions of the exchange stiffness, Dzialoshinsky-Moria (DM) and perpendicular anisotropy constants, and demonstrated two approaches for creating an AFM skyrmion. Jin et al17 analyzed the dynamics of a skyrmion in AFM and showed that the minimum driving current density is about two orders of magnitude smaller than for a FM skyrmion, the velocity is significantly larger and the movement is in the direction of the current (while skyrmions in FM move at an angle with respect to the current).

Barker and Tretiakov, and Bessarab et al18,19 analyzed the dynamics of a skyrmion in AFM as well as the effect of temperature on its size and phase stability. The activation energy for collapse of the skyrmion was evaluated and by assuming a typical value of the pre-exponential factor the lifetime of the
skyrmion was estimated as a function of parameter values and temperature. For a certain set of parameters the lifetime was estimated to be on the order of milliseconds for temperature in the range of 50 to 65 K.

In the present article the properties of skyrmions in AFM materials are compared with the properties of skyrmions in corresponding FM materials. By reversing the sign of the exchange and DM parameters and flipping every other spin, one is mapped onto the other and the energy surfaces characterizing these two systems are identical in the absence of a magnetic field. The extensive knowledge that has already been obtained on skyrmions in FM can, therefore, be used to predict properties of skyrmions in AFM. The activation energy for the various skyrmion annihilation processes is the same in the absence of an applied field, but the dynamics of a skyrmion in AFM is different from that in FM so the pre-exponential factor in the Arrhenius rate law is different. In the presence of an applied magnetic field, the activation energy for the annihilation of a skyrmion in AFM is close to that of a skyrmion in the corresponding FM with modified anisotropy parameter and zero field. A non-magnetic impurity tends to bind the skyrmion and increase the rate of collapse, but the effect of an applied magnetic field is opposite for AFM and the corresponding FM. Numerical calculations of these processes are presented below for a characteristic AFM system.

II. MODEL

We consider a system of magnetic moments localized at the nodes of a square lattice track in the x-y plane. The width of the track is 60 lattice sites along the y-direction with free boundary conditions at the edges. The simulation cell contains also 60 lattices sites along the x-direction but periodic boundary conditions are applied at those boundaries.

The interaction between the magnetic moments is described by a generalized Heisenberg Hamiltonian:

\[ H = - \sum_{<i,j>} (J_{ij} S_i \cdot S_j + D_{ij} \cdot (S_i \times S_j)) - \sum_j (K(S_j \cdot e_z)^2 + \mu B \cdot S_j) \]

where \( S_j \) is a unit vector pointing in the direction of the magnetic moment on atom \( j \), the sum over \(<i,j>\) is taken over all pairs of nearest neighbor atoms, \( J_{ij} \) is the Heisenberg exchange parameter, \( D_{ij} \) is the DM vector, \( K \) is the constant of easy axis anisotropy (along z-direction, \( K > 0 \)), \( B \) is applied magnetic field and \( \mu \) is the magnitude of the magnetic moments. For FM \( J_{ij} > 0 \) and for AFM \( J_{ij} < 0 \).

The Hamiltonian used here is equivalent to the one used by Zhang et al. in their study of the phase diagram and in the calculations presented below we choose parameter values that are representative for a metastable skyrmion state: \( |J| = 4.97 \cdot 10^{-21} \text{ J}, K = 0.8 \cdot 10^{-21} \text{ J}, D = 1.76 \cdot 10^{-21} \text{ J}. \) This corresponds to \( D/|J| \approx 0.35, K/|J| \approx 0.16 \).

Note that dipole-dipole interaction is not included in the Hamiltonian. For skyrmions in FM with a radius 50 nm or larger this interaction can play a significant role but can, to first approximation, be taken into account effectively by modifying the anisotropy. However, for AFM the dipole-dipole interaction is almost completely suppressed and can be neglected even for micron-scale structures.

The AFM lattice can be divided into two square checkerboard-like sub-lattices labeled \( a \) and \( b \) and can be mapped into the FM lattice by reversing the direction of magnetic moments in one of the sub-lattices \( S^a_j \rightarrow -S^a_j \). If at the same time the Hamiltonian parameters are changed as \( J_{ij} \rightarrow -J_{ij}, D_{ij} \rightarrow -D_{ij} \) the AFM is mapped into a corresponding FM with the same energy if there is no applied magnetic field, \( B = 0 \). The energy landscape for the AFM is then exactly the same as for the corresponding FM.

The effect of an external magnetic field on the transformation between a skyrmion in FM and a skyrmion in AFM has been presented on the basis of a continuous model. Denoting by \( \mathbf{L} \) the staggered magnetization and by \( \mathbf{M} \) the total magnetization, the energy density \( \omega(\mathbf{r}) \) for AFM can be written as:

\[ \omega(\mathbf{r}) = -\frac{J}{2}(|\mathbf{L}|^2 + 8||\mathbf{M}||^2) - \mu BM - KLz^2 + DL \cdot \mathbf{L} \]

The continuous vector fields \( \mathbf{L} \) and \( \mathbf{M} \) can be related to the spin orientation \( S_j \) on the lattice as follows: \( \mathbf{L} = (S^a - S^b)/2, \mathbf{M} = (S^a + S^b)/2 \). Here \( S^a \) and \( S^b \) correspond to the values of \( S \) on the two sub-lattices.

By minimizing \( \omega(\mathbf{r}) \) with respect to \( \mathbf{M} \) under the condition \( \mathbf{M} \cdot \mathbf{L} = 0 \), the following expression for the energy density of a (meta)stable skyrmion state is obtained as a function of only the staggered component:

\[ \omega(\mathbf{r}) = -\frac{J}{2}||\mathbf{L}||^2 + \left(\frac{\mu B^2}{16J}\right)(L_z^2 - 1) - KLz^2 + DL \cdot \mathbf{L} \]

When \( B = 0 \), this expression coincides with \( \omega(\mathbf{r}) \) for FM with magnetization \( \mathbf{L} \). If \( B \neq 0 \) the staggered magnetization in AFM state coincides with magnetization in FM state without magnetic field but with changed anisotropy

\[ K \rightarrow K - \frac{(\mu B^2)}{16|J|} \]

Therefore, there is a one-to-one correspondence between (meta)stable states in AFM and in the corresponding FM. The energy of a skyrmion in AFM is affected by the application of an external magnetic field in the same way as the change in the anisotropy constant given by Eqn. (2). Both directions of the field perpendicular to the plane of the lattice are equivalent for a skyrmion in AFM, but they lead to different effect on a skyrmion in FM. If the field is directed along the magnetization of the ferromagnet it reduces the radius of the skyrmion. In the calculations presented here, this will be the direction of the applied magnetic field.

Below, we will show that the transformation described by Eqn. (2) not only holds for the (meta)stable states, but provides a good approximation also for the whole minimum energy path for the annihilation of a skyrmion, including the first order saddle point that determines the activation energy of the process.
III. METHODOLOGY

The focus of the work presented here is an analysis of the various annihilation processes of skyrmions in AFM and comparison with skyrmions in corresponding FM. Also, the binding to and dissociation from a non-magnetic impurity is calculated. The energy as a function of all the variables representing degrees of freedom in the system, for example the angles describing the orientation of the magnetic moments, is referred to as the energy surface characterizing the system. We, however, use Cartesian coordinates of the magnetic moments and Lagrange multipliers to keep the magnitude constant in the calculations presented below. Metastable states are characterized by local energy minima on this energy surface and the stable state by the global minimum. A transition from one state to another is characterized by the minimum energy path (MEP) connecting the initial and final state minima. The MEP for a magnetic transition can be found using the geodesic nudged elastic band method. For large systems with many degrees of freedom it can be helpful to take advantage of previous knowledge of the saddle point and the shape of the MEP in its vicinity to reduce the computational effort. The activation energy for a transition can be estimated from the highest energy along the MEP. A maximum along the MEP corresponds to a first order saddle point on the energy surface characterizing the system.

The rate of a transition in a magnetic system, such as a skyrmion annihilation process, can be estimated using the harmonic approximation to transition state theory (HTST) for magnetic systems. The transition state is then taken to be a hyperplane going through the first order saddle point with normal vector pointing along the direction of the eigenvector corresponding to the negative eigenvalue of the Hessian at the saddle point, \( H^{sp} \). The energy surfaces defined by the Hamiltonian in Eqn. (1) for an AFM material and the corresponding FM material coincide in the absence of an applied magnetic field. The activation energy for collapse is, therefore, the same for the two types of skyrmions if \( B=0 \).

HTST predicts an Arrhenius-type rate law for transitions between magnetic states

\[
k = v_0 \exp \left( \frac{-\Delta E}{k_B T} \right).
\]

The activation energy, \( \Delta E \), equals the energy at the maximum along the MEP minus the energy of the at the minimum corresponding to the initial state. The pre-exponential factor can be written as

\[
v_0 = \frac{\lambda \Omega_0}{2\pi}
\]

The factor \( \Omega_0 \) is the ratio of determinants of the Hessian at the initial state minimum, \( H^{min} \), and at the saddle point, \( H^{sp} \), i.e.

\[
\Omega_0 = \frac{\sqrt{\text{det} H^{min}}}{\sqrt{\text{det} H^{sp}}}.
\]

It is connected with the ratio of the in entropy of the initial state and the transition state. The factor \( \lambda \) is connected with dynamics of the system and relates to the flux through the transition state. It can be written as

\[
\lambda = \sqrt{S^{ts} \cdot H^{ts} \cdot S^{ts}}
\]

where \( H^{ts} \) is the projection of the Hessian on the tangent subspace at the saddle point and the vector \( \mathbf{b} = d\mathbf{e}/dt \) describes the time evolution of the unit tangent vector \( \mathbf{e} \) to the MEP at the saddle point

\[
\mathbf{b} = \frac{\gamma}{\mu} S^{ts} \times H^{ts} \mathbf{e}.
\]

When several different transitions are possible from a given initial state, as for example the different annihilation processes considered here for the skyrmion, then the lifetime of the initial state can be obtained from the inverse of the sum of the rate constant of the various transitions \( \tau = 1/\sum k_i \). The lifetime of the skyrmion can thus be obtained from the sum of the rate of collapse (i.e. annihilation within the track) and escape through the boundary of the track. In the presence of an impurity, there are additional processes such as binding to the impurity and possible collapse there or detachment from the impurity. The time evolution of such a system where multiple possible transitions take place can be simulated using a kinetic Monte Carlo approach.

The harmonic approximation in HTST does not apply to degrees of freedom for which the energy is nearly constant. For example, a skyrmion can translate almost freely within the track for the system studied here. Such degrees of freedom need to be handled separately in the rate expression. They are often referred to as zero modes (or Goldstone modes). An integration along these modes needs to be performed to obtain the corresponding entropy. This gives the volume of the subspace corresponding to the zero-modes. As result \( \Omega_0 \) needs to be multiplied on additional factor \( Z = (2\pi k_B T)^{n_\|/2} V_{sp}/V_m \), where \( n_{sp} \), \( V_{sp} \) and \( n_m \), \( V_m \) give the number of zero modes and the corresponding volumes at the saddle point and at the initial state minimum, respectively. We make here the assumption that the skyrmion can translate freely inside the track and also at the saddle point for annihilation (i.e. there are two zero modes in both the initial state and transition state, \( n_m=n_{sp}=2 \)), while it can translate freely only in one direction at the saddle point for escape (\( n_m=2 \), \( n_{sp}=1 \)).

Transformation of exchange \( J \rightarrow -J \) and DM interaction \( D \rightarrow -D \) with simultaneous change of anisotropy and external magnetic field in accordance with Eqn. (2) and \( B \rightarrow 0 \) conserves the shape of energy surface. Therefore, the entropy factor \( \Omega_0 \) is the same for the skyrmion collapse in AFM and the corresponding FM. However \( \lambda \) which is connected with the linearized Landau-Lifshitz equation in the neighborhood of the saddle point proves to be different for skyrmions in the AFM and the FM materials, as illustrated below.

We note that in an alternative approach for estimating the transition rate based on the Kramers-Langer approximation, \( \lambda \) is the positive eigenvalue of the Landau-Lifshitz-Gilbert equation, linearized around the saddle point. It characterizes the unstable barrier-crossing mode.
IV. RESULTS

We now present numerical calculations for the model and Hamiltonian parameters specified above.

A. Effect of applied field on skyrmion size

Fig. 1. Top panel: AFM skyrmion in a magnetic field of $B = 0, 0.2$ and $0.3 \, |J|/\mu$. The color (red vs. blue) indicates the direction of the out-of-plane component of the magnetic vector and the color intensity the magnitude. Middle panel: Radius of a skyrmion as a function of applied magnetic field in an AFM (blue) and in a corresponding FM (red) where only the sign of the exchange coupling parameter, $J$, and the Dzyaloshinskii-Moriya parameter, $D$, has been reversed. At a field of $0.07 \, |J|/\mu$ the FM skyrmion becomes unstable, but the AFM skyrmion is stable in a larger field (see inset for extended scale). While the radius of the skyrmion in a FM shrinks with applied field, it increases in an AFM. Bottom panel: FM skyrmion in a magnetic field of $B = 0, 0.02$ and $0.04 \, |J|/\mu$. Same color code as in top panel but smaller field.

Fig. 1 shows how an applied magnetic field affects the radius of a skyrmion in the AFM material and in the corresponding FM material. The radius is defined here as the distance from the center to the region where the magnetic moments have no out of plane component. The size of the skyrmion changes in opposite ways in the two cases. While the radius of the skyrmion in the FM decreases when the field is directed against the moment in the center of the skyrmion, the radius of the skyrmion in AFM increases for either direction of the field perpendicular to the plane. The effect is larger for the skyrmion in FM and it becomes unstable in a field of $B=0.07 \, |J|/\mu$. However, the increase in the size of the skyrmion in AFM leads to higher stability.

B. Rates of annihilation processes

Fig. 2 shows MEPs for the collapse of a skyrmion in AFM and in corresponding FM with and without applied magnetic field. Not only are the relaxed skyrmions equivalent in the two materials in the absence of a magnetic field, but this applies as well to the whole MEP. The activation energy is, therefore, exactly the same. For parameters chosen here ($|J| \approx 31 \, \text{meV}, K \approx 5 \, \text{meV}, D \approx 11 \, \text{meV}, \mu = 8 \, \mu_B$) the activation energy for collapse of the skyrmion is $124 \, \text{meV}$ when $B=0$.

When a magnetic field is applied, the skyrmion in AFM becomes more stable and the MEP longer. Nearly the same effect on the path is obtained by modifying the anisotropy constant and setting the magnetic field to zero. For example, the
application of a field of $B=0.3 \, |J|/\mu$ is nearly equivalent to reducing the anisotropy constant from $K=0.16 \, |J|$ to $0.154 \, |J|$ in accordance with Eqn. (2). The total displacement along the path and activation energy for annihilation are quite similar in the two cases. The field increases the radius of the skyrmion in AFM by a third and the activation energy for annihilation increases by about a third making the skyrmion in AFM more stable.

The value of the pre-exponential factor in the rate constant for collapse is $\nu_0 = 3.3 \cdot 10^3 \, s^{-1}$ for FM and $1.0 \cdot 10^4 \, s^{-1}$ for AFM.

The effect of an applied field on the rate of collapse, annihilation inside the track, and escape through the boundary of the track can be seen in Fig. 3. For the FM, the rate of both mechanisms increases strongly with the field. While escape has higher rate constant for small field, a crossover between the two mechanisms occurs at a field of $B=0.02 \, |J|/\mu$ and the rate constant for annihilation inside the track becomes larger than escape through the edge for higher values of the field. The field has the opposite effect on the rate constants in AFM as they become smaller when the field is increased, consistent with the increased activation energy shown in Fig. 2. However, the cause of the drop in the value of the rate constants is not only the increase in activation energy but also a decrease in the pre-exponential factor, $\nu_0$, as can be seen from Fig. 3(b). This reflects a decrease in the entropy of the transition state with respect to the entropy of the initial state. It can be understood from the fact that the larger the skyrmion is, the larger its vibrational entropy is. As the field increases, the skyrmion in AFM becomes larger, thus increasing the entropy of the initial state. But, at the transition state the skyrmion is reduced to a critical size that depends less on the applied field. So, the entropy of the transition state increases less with the field than the entropy of the initial state.

A more likely annihilation mechanism at zero magnetic field for this set of parameter values is an escape of the skyrmion through the edge of the track. Even if the relative number of sites adjacent to the edge and the number of sites in the interior of the track is taken into account, the probability of escape through the edge of the track is much more likely than collapse. This means that additional effort, such as insertion of material with other magnetic characteristics at the boundary, or pinning of skyrmions by defects such as a narrow strip with a different materials parameter are necessary to keep the skyrmion inside the track.

While the activation energy for collapse is exactly the same for a skyrmion in AFM and a skyrmion in the corresponding FM in the absence of an applied field, the pre-exponential factor in the rate expression is different. The reason is that the Landau-Lifschitz dynamics of the skyrmion in the transition state is different in the two materials. Fig. 4 shows the contributions of the different eigenmodes $e_k$; $f_k = e_k \cdot (\mathbf{S}^z \times \mathbf{e})$ to the factor $\lambda$ in the pre-exponential factor (see Eqn. (5)). The eigenvectors $e_k$ are numbered in increasing order of the corresponding eigenvalues. The calculated results show that small eigenvalues give the major contribution for the transition state of skyrmion collapse in FM, whereas large eigenvalues dominate for a skyrmion in AFM. As a result, the value of the

![FIG. 3](image-url) (a): Rate constant for the escape of a skyrmion through a boundary of the track and annihilation inside the track (collapse) as a function of applied magnetic field at a temperature of 100 K. For a skyrmion in AFM (blue) the effect of the field is weak, but for a skyrmion in the corresponding FM (red) the rate of annihilation increases dramatically as the strength of the applied field increases and a crossover occurs between collapse and escape, the former becoming more likely for large field. (b): Pre-exponential factor, $\nu_0$ for the rate constants shown in (a). A similar variation with the field strength is seen, a large increase for the annihilation of the skyrmion in FM, but a decrease in AFM.Insets: Scale extended to larger field strength.
pre-exponential factor for the collapse of a skyrmion in AFM and corresponding FM turn out to be different.
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**FIG. 4.** The contribution $f_k$ of the 7199 positive eigenmodes of the Hessian at the saddle point for skyrmion collapse to the factor $\lambda$ in the pre-exponential factor in the rate constant (see Eqn. (5)). The x-axis gives the number of the eigenvalue arranged in increasing order. For a skyrmion in AFM the main contribution to $\lambda$ comes from large eigenvalues, while for a skyrmion in the corresponding FM the main contribution comes from small eigenvalues. The dynamical contribution to the pre-exponential factor is different for the two because the Landau-Lifshitz dynamics are different.

C. Non-magnetic impurity

An important issue for practical applications of magnetic skyrmions is the effect of impurities in the magnetic material. Fig. 5 shows the minimum energy path for the attachment of a skyrmion in AFM to a non-magnetic substitutional atom and subsequent collapse of the skyrmion. There is a small activation energy barrier for attaching to the defect, but once that has been overcome the energy drops below that of a free skyrmion. The optimal configuration is obtained when the non-magnetic atom is located in the region where the magnetic moments have no out-of-plane component, see Fig. 5. This defines the optimal distance between the impurity and the center of the skyrmion. The center of the skyrmion can rotate freely around the impurity as long as the distance to the impurity remains constant. This motion involves no change in the energy of the system (see the long stretch between points 3 and 4 in Fig. 5).

There is still a large probability that the skyrmion detaches from the impurity because the energy barrier is rather small. The impurity, however, also increases greatly the probability of collapse as the activation energy drops to a half compared to that of a free skyrmion, as can be seen by comparing Fig. 5 with Fig. 2. The impurity stabilizes the contracted skyrmion at the transition state more than the relaxed skyrmion in the initial state, thereby lowering the activation energy. Correspondingly, the lifetime drops if the skyrmion is bound to the impurity. An applied field has a beneficial effect for a skyrmion in AFM by increasing the rate of detachment (see inset in Fig. 5) as well as by increasing the energy barrier for collapse, as shown in Fig. 2.

V. DISCUSSION

Skyrmions in AFM and corresponding FM materials can be described by similar energy surfaces. Without an applied magnetic field the energy surfaces coincide exactly. The inclusion of an applied magnetic field for the AFM material is equivalent to a change in the anisotropy in the corresponding FM material without field. Therefore, the activation energy for annihilation and the entropy contribution to the pre-exponential factor $\Omega_0$ in the transition rate is exactly the same for AFM and FM skyrmions. However, the rates are different because the dynamics through the transition state, given by the Landau-Lifshitz equation, is different. The calculated results presented here illustrate this for an example sys-
tem. This result is in agreement with recently reported mean switching time by coherent rotation of AFM nanoparticles in the superparamagnetic limit, which have shown different pre-exponential factor for AFM single-domain particles as compared to FM ones.\textsuperscript{54}

The effect of a non-magnetic impurity is found to be quite different for a skyrmion in AFM than in the corresponding FM, especially in the presence of an applied field. Recently, dynamical movement of the skyrmion inside a track and its collision with hole defects was reported\textsuperscript{22} depending on the strength of the applied current and the type of collision a skyrmion in AFM can be captured, scattered or completely destroyed by the hole. Evidently these phenomena are connected with the energy barriers and pre-exponential factors presented above.

The key question is whether it is possible to find AFM material where skyrmions are stable enough at room temperature. Equivalence of the energy surface for skyrmions in AFM and corresponding FM gives the hope that this is possible, because stable skyrmions in FM materials at room temperature have been reported experimentally.\textsuperscript{10,11} FM skyrmions that have been studied experimentally, even those which exist in ultra thin magnetic films on a surface of a heavy metal,\textsuperscript{12} contain several magnetic atomic layers. If the thickness of the film is much less than the size of the skyrmion then the structure can be considered as a quasi two dimensional (2D) system. Such skyrmions are tubes with the same magnetic structure in each atomic layer.\textsuperscript{13,16} Parameters of the Hamiltonian in Eqn. (1), such as $J$, $K$, $D$ and $\mu$, are then to a first approximation proportional to the thickness of the magnetic film whereas the skyrmion radius and in-plane structure do not depend on the thickness. Evidently, if the sign of the exchange and DM interaction between magnetic moments in neighbor layers is changed as well as the directions of neighbor magnetic moments, the same energy surface for AFM and FM media is obtained as in the 2D case. For AFM, the vector $L$ should be considered instead of local moments, but the effective parameters of the Hamiltonian will be proportional to the AFM film thickness as in the case of FM films.

Density functional theory (DFT) calculations have given estimates of the parameter $J$ characterizing the exchange interaction between nearest neighbor magnetic moments around 10 meV.\textsuperscript{13} If the magnetic film contains several atomic layers, this parameter can be proportionally larger. Similar to FM materials, parameters of AFM materials scale with the thickness of the magnetic layer. The value of the exchange parameter $J$, anisotropy parameter $K$, and even magnetic moment $\mu$ can be proportional to the number of magnetic layers. Note that the effective magnetic moment in the AFM case corresponds to the value of staggered magnetization $L$. Thus the effective values of the parameters in the Hamiltonian Eqn. (1) can be much larger than values of the interactions between local magnetic moments calculated by the DFT method.\textsuperscript{11,17}

Note, however that DM interaction in quasi 2D systems is connected with proximity of heavy metal with large spin orbit interaction and decays rapidly away from the interface. It is then not proportional to the thickness of the film. Nevertheless recent calculations\textsuperscript{18} show that in an amorphous ferrimagnetic GdCo film on Pt the skyrmion assumes a columnar configuration that extends uniformly across the film thickness of 10 nm despite having near zero DM interaction far away from the interface. Effective DM interaction is still enough to stabilize the skyrmion.

The observation and control of skyrmions in AFM materials is a challenging task that has to be solved for their utilization. The magnetic moment associated with a skyrmion in AFM is small and methods typically used to register skyrmions in FM materials do not work. The core of a skyrmion in AFM looks like the AFM material outside it but the domain wall at the boundary can be detected for example using spin polarized scanning tunneling microscopy because the direction of the magnetic moments inside the domain wall is different. Skyrmions in AFM can be obtained in ferrimagnetic material near the temperature of compensation point.\textsuperscript{13} Below and above this point, the skyrmion posses some magnetic moment which can be measured against the background of the AFM structure. Therefore, local perturbation such as a variation in the temperature can make a skyrmion in AFM visible. Also, sublattices in ferrimagnets contain atoms of different chemical elements. Therefore, element specific methods such as element-specific scanning transmission X-ray microscopy\textsuperscript{19} can be used to detect topological structures without net magnetization. Finally, topological Hall effect for AFM and FM skyrmions is quite different and could be used for detection and manipulation of skyrmions in different magnetic materials.\textsuperscript{20}

The properties of a skyrmion in an AFM is related here to the properties of a skyrmion in a corresponding FM by a transformation of the relevant parameters in the Hamiltonian and the orientation of the spins. Similar analysis can be carried out for other topological magnetic textures. For example, the properties of an antiskyrmion in FM have been analysed by spin transformation that converts it to a skyrmion in FM and corresponding changes in the parameters in the Hamiltonian if there are no spin-orbit and spin-transfer torques. This means that the lifetime of the antiskyrmion is exactly the same as for the skyrmion if the Dzyaloshinskii–Moriya (DM) vector is transformed accordingly. Moreover, by applying this transformation to the Landau-Lifshitz-Gilbert equation of motion one can predict the direction of the current for which the angle between current and antiskyrmion movement equals zero.\textsuperscript{20}

The data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.
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