Association of *PPP1R1B* polymorphisms with working memory in healthy Han Chinese adults
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**Aims:** The dopamine- and cAMP-regulated phosphoprotein (DARPP-32), which is encoded by the *PPP1R1B* gene, plays a converging regulatory role in the central nervous system by mediating the actions of dopamine, serotonin, and glutamate. Previous studies have demonstrated that variations in genes related to the dopamine system influence working memory. The present study thus investigated whether polymorphisms in *PPP1R1B* gene were associated with working memory.

**Materials and methods:** A sample of 124 healthy Han Chinese were genotyped for three single nucleotide polymorphisms of *PPP1R1B* gene, namely rs12601930C/T, rs879606A/G, and rs3764352A/G, using polymerase chain reaction and restriction fragment length polymorphism analysis. Working memory performance was assessed using the Wisconsin Card Sorting Test (WCST).

**Results:** Significant differences were observed in the Total Correct (TC), Total Errors (TE), and Conceptual Level Responses (CLR) scores of the WCST among the three rs12601930C/T genotypes (p = 0.044, 0.044, and 0.047, respectively); in TC, TE, Non-Perseverative Errors (NPE), and CLR scores between participants with the CC and (CT + TT) rs12601930C/T polymorphism genotypes (p = 0.032, 0.032, 0.019, and 0.029, respectively); in TC, TE, Perseverative Errors (PE), NPE, and CLR scores between participants with the (CT + CC) and TT rs12601930C/T polymorphism genotypes (p = 0.001, 0.001, 0.011, 0.004, and 0.001, respectively); and in NPE and CLR scores between participants with the GG and (AG + AA) genotypes of the rs3764352A/G polymorphism (p = 0.011 and 0.010). Furthermore, for males only, there were significant differences in TC, TE, PE, NPE, and CLR scores between participants with the GG and (AG + AA) genotypes of the rs3764352A/G polymorphism (p = 0.020, 0.020, 0.037, 0.029, and...
Introduction

For decades, mental disorders have been classified based on their observed symptoms and disease course. However, it is still disputed to what extent disorders are distinct entities with boundaries. There is abundant evidence of genetic overlap of single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) between multiple mental disorders, including schizophrenia (SCZ), bipolar disorder (BD), major depressive disorder (MDD), attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder (ADHD), and autism spectrum disorder (ASD) (Cross-Disorder Group of the Psychiatric Genomics Consortium, 2013; Cross-Disorder Group of the Psychiatric Genomics Consortoium et al., 2013; Brainstorm et al., 2018; Schork et al., 2019; Hammerschlag et al., 2020). Much attention has been paid to the evidence and feasibility of cross-disease diagnosis of mental disorders. Improved classification of mental disorders based on neurobiological measures requires a set of traits that map to transdiagnostic subgroups of patients and align with heritable, core psychopathological processes at the center of the disorders of interest (Schwarz et al., 2016). One promising candidate for this approach is working memory, for which deficits have been reported across multiple diagnostic entities including SCZ (Saykin et al., 1994; Gold et al., 1997; Schwarz et al., 2016; Zakic Milas and Milas, 2019; Ruiz-Sanchez et al., 2021), BD (Arts et al., 2001), MDD (Matsuo et al., 2007; MDD (Matsuo et al., 2007; Ruiz-Sanchez et al., 2021), ADHD (Ramos et al., 2020), and ASD (Rabiee et al., 2020).

Working memory refers to the ability to hold information “online” over time in order to perform a task; this kind of memory is encoded in the brain by persistent neural activity that outlasts the presentation of a stimulus (Bolton and Constantine-Paton, 2018). The working memory system, which maintains a limited set of representations for immediate use in cognition, is a central part of human cognition. Working memory is centrally involved in reasoning (Jolly et al., 2020), mindfulness (Li et al., 2021; Youngs et al., 2021), fluid intelligence (Brydges et al., 2021), attention (Vaughan and Laborde, 2021), language and vocabulary acquisition (Verhagen and Leseman, 2016), and a variety of other neurocognitive tasks (Ricker et al., 2018). The Wisconsin Card Sorting Test (WCST) is a complex, multifactorial test (Berg, 1948; Grant and Berg, 1948) that has been traditionally used to test frontal lobe function (Demakis, 2003; Liozidou et al., 2012). The WCST is a measure of many different neuropsychological functions including working memory, executive function, set-shifting capacity, and other cognitive processes (Gold et al., 1997; Rabin et al., 2005; Thurston-Snoha and Lewine, 2007; Liozidou et al., 2012; Lange et al., 2016; Kopp et al., 2021; Sogut et al., 2021). Successful WCST performance requires participants to remember their prior response and associated feedback and then use this information to select a new response. Although the ability to hold information in mind does not guarantee that a correct choice will be made, being unable to do so would preclude successful performance. Working memory is thus a necessary and important condition for successful WCST performance (Gold et al., 1997). Though the WCST is the gold standard for neuropsychological assessment of executive function (Rabin et al., 2005; Kopp et al., 2021), working memory and executive function overlap and interact with each other (Baddeley, 2012; Cristofori et al., 2019). For these reasons, the WSCT is used to assess working memory function in the present study.

At the molecular level, the neurotransmitter dopamine (DA) is a key regulatory component of executive function in the prefrontal cortex (PFC). Notably, dysfunction in dopaminergic circuitry can result in impaired working memory (Klaus and Pennington, 2019). Two established means of improving working memory performance, namely pharmacological and behavioral influences, are associated with similar biological mechanisms in the brain involving the dopaminergic system (Soderqvist et al., 2012). Working memory is a highly heritable cognitive trait with heritability estimates of up to 49% (Ando et al., 2001). Numerous studies have identified many common genetic variants that impact the function of the DA system could alter working memory performance (Soderqvist et al., 2012).

In 1983, the DA- and cyclic adenosine monophosphate (cAMP)-regulated phosphoprotein (DARPP-32) was first identified as a mediator in striatal neurons that receive dopaminergic neuron innervations (Walaas et al., 1983). DARPP-32, which is encoded by the PPP1R1B gene (located on 17q12), exhibits remarkable regional distribution in the brain that is roughly similar to that of DA innervations

Conclusion: PPP1R1B gene polymorphisms rs12601930C/T and rs3764352A/G might be associated with working memory assessed by the WCST in healthy Chinese adults, especially among males.
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Recessive-model AG + GG 82 (66.13%) 48.88 ± 16.40
TT 15 (12.10%) 53.13 ± 4.09
F-value 0.032 0.032 0.251 0.191 0.019 0.029
P-value 0.409 0.409 0.298 0.352 0.011 0.010

Wisconsin Card Sorting Test data present the means ± standard deviation. The six WCST variables are Total Correct (TC), Total Errors (TE), Perseverative Responses (PR), Perseverative Errors (PE), Non-Perseverative Errors (NPE), and Conceptual Level Responses (CLR).

(Quinet et al., 1984, 1992, 1998). Moreover, several studies have demonstrated that DARPP-32 plays a pivotal role in integrating signal transduction in dopaminergic neurons (Greengard et al., 1999; Svenningsson et al., 2004; Lin et al., 2021). When phosphorylated by protein kinase A (PKA) at threonine residue 34 (Thr34), DARPP-32 is converted into a potent inhibitor of protein phosphatase 1 (PP1); in contrast, when phosphorylated by cyclin-dependent kinase 5 (CDK5), it inhibits PKA (Svenningsson et al., 2004; Girault and Nairn, 2021). PP1 regulates the phosphorylation state and physiological activity of many neuronal phosphoproteins, including various neurotransmitter receptors, ion pumps, ion...
TABLE 2 Effects of PPP1R1B polymorphisms on WCST scores in males.

| SNP     | Genotype | N(%)        | WCST |
|---------|----------|-------------|------|
|         |          | TC          | TE   | PR   | PE   | NPE  | CLR  |
| rs12601930 | CC       | 45.22 ± 10.83 | 18.78 ± 10.83 | 11.52 ± 7.50 | 10.41 ± 6.22 | 8.37 ± 5.39 | 38.78 ± 16.77 |
|          | CT       | 49.85 ± 7.61 | 14.15 ± 7.61 | 8.80 ± 5.73 | 8.10 ± 4.76 | 6.05 ± 3.49 | 46.45 ± 9.81 |
|          | TT       | 55.13 ± 1.81 | 8.88 ± 1.81 | 5.50 ± 2.07 | 5.00 ± 1.31 | 3.88 ± 1.13 | 53.88 ± 1.73 |
| rs879606  | AA       | 52.36 ± 7.98 | 11.64 ± 7.98 | 7.00 ± 5.67 | 6.36 ± 4.72 | 5.29 ± 3.73 | 50.14 ± 9.49 |
|          | AG       | 48.42 ± 8.48 | 15.58 ± 8.48 | 9.62 ± 5.63 | 8.81 ± 4.55 | 6.77 ± 4.51 | 43.27 ± 14.02 |
|          | GG       | 44.47 ± 11.26 | 19.53 ± 11.26 | 12.20 ± 8.26 | 11.00 ± 6.97 | 8.53 ± 5.18 | 38.67 ± 16.35 |
| rs12601930 | CC       | 43.46 ± 12.41 | 20.54 ± 12.41 | 11.85 ± 8.67 | 11.00 ± 7.46 | 9.54 ± 5.74 | 36.46 ± 18.05 |
|          | AG       | 48.73 ± 8.06 | 15.27 ± 8.06 | 9.85 ± 5.86 | 8.88 ± 4.63 | 6.38 ± 4.07 | 43.81 ± 13.30 |
| rs764352  | AA       | 51.69 ± 7.68 | 12.31 ± 7.68 | 7.56 ± 5.57 | 6.81 ± 4.58 | 5.50 ± 3.69 | 49.63 ± 9.01 |

TABLE 3 Effects of PPP1R1B polymorphisms on WCST scores in females.

| SNP     | Genotype | N(%)        | WCST |
|---------|----------|-------------|------|
|         |          | TC          | TE   | PR   | PE   | NPE  | CLR  |
| rs12601930 | CC       | 49.16 ± 7.40 | 14.84 ± 7.40 | 7.72 ± 4.51 | 7.21 ± 3.93 | 7.63 ± 5.22 | 45.47 ± 10.55 |
|          | CT       | 49.47 ± 5.95 | 14.53 ± 5.95 | 8.63 ± 4.71 | 7.74 ± 3.56 | 6.79 ± 4.17 | 45.47 ± 9.05 |
|          | TT       | 50.86 ± 4.88 | 13.14 ± 4.88 | 7.00 ± 4.55 | 6.71 ± 4.07 | 6.43 ± 2.57 | 47.29 ± 6.10 |
| rs879606  | AA       | 50.15 ± 4.53 | 13.85 ± 4.53 | 8.92 ± 5.35 | 8.15 ± 4.00 | 5.69 ± 1.70 | 46.92 ± 7.69 |
|          | AG       | 49.21 ± 6.38 | 14.79 ± 6.38 | 7.57 ± 3.99 | 7.14 ± 3.59 | 7.64 ± 4.65 | 45.39 ± 9.77 |
|          | GG       | 49.29 ± 8.04 | 14.71 ± 8.04 | 7.75 ± 4.74 | 7.07 ± 3.99 | 7.64 ± 5.62 | 45.52 ± 10.68 |
| rs764352  | AA       | 49.41 ± 7.93 | 14.59 ± 7.93 | 7.62 ± 4.70 | 6.97 ± 3.96 | 7.62 ± 5.52 | 45.55 ± 10.56 |
|          | AG       | 49.03 ± 6.34 | 14.97 ± 6.34 | 7.93 ± 4.37 | 7.38 ± 3.75 | 7.59 ± 4.58 | 44.90 ± 9.96 |
|          | GG       | 50.45 ± 4.46 | 13.55 ± 4.46 | 8.55 ± 4.85 | 8.00 ± 3.74 | 5.55 ± 1.81 | 47.91 ± 6.47 |

Given the above evidence, the DARPP-32 system may relate to working memory function. However, there is no prior research on the association between PPP1R1B polymorphisms and working memory in the general Chinese population. To address this research gap, the present study investigated whether PPP1R1B variants were associated with performance on working memory, as assessed by WCST, in a healthy Chinese sample. Three PPP1R1B SNPs, namely rs3764352A/G, rs879606A/G, and rs12601930C/T, were selected based on our channels, and transcription factors (Svenningsson et al., 2004; Girault and Nairn, 2021). The effect of DARPP-32 is terminated by dephosphorylation at Thr34 by protein phosphatase 2B (PP2B, calcineurin) (Hernandez-Lopez et al., 2000). Thus, DARPP-32 is considered to play a converging regulatory role in the central nervous system by mediating the action of DA and various neurotransmitters acting on dopaminergic neurons, including serotonin and glutamate (Ouimet et al., 1998; Svenningsson et al., 2005).
There were no significant differences in age (ranged from 14 to 22 years (mean ± deviation (SD) of 22.97 ± 1.55 years). The age range was 20–25 years with a mean age of 22.04 ± 1.76 years. There were no significant differences in years of education (t = 0.607, p = 0.545) or years of education (t = 1.374, p = 0.172) between males and females. All protocols in this study were approved by the Ethics Committee of China Medical University. Written informed consent was obtained from all participants.

Materials and methods

Subjects

A total of 124 (55 males, 44.35%; 69 females, 55.65%) healthy undergraduate and graduate students were recruited from China Medical University, Shenyang, Liaoning Province, China. All participants were unrelated and of Han Chinese ethnic background. Individuals with a history of psychiatric, neurological, or severe/chronic physical illnesses were excluded. The age range was 20–25 years with a mean age of 22.04 ± 1.76 years. There were no significant differences in years of education (t = 0.607, p = 0.545) or years of education (t = 1.374, p = 0.172) between males and females. All protocols in this study were approved by the Ethics Committee of China Medical University. Written informed consent was obtained from all participants.

Neurocognitive assessment

The WCST was developed by Berg (1948) and Grant and Berg (1948), and its reliability in clinical practice falls into the desirable range (r > 0.90) (Kopp et al., 2021). For this study, we used a simplified version of the WCST using 64 cards (WCST-64), which uses only half of the master cards but has similar validity (Axelrod, 2002). As the test time is shortened to 10–15 min, the WCST-64 is especially suitable for field operations and recording. We analyzed six sub-scales of the WCST: The numbers of Total Correct (TC), Total Errors (TE), Perseverative Responses (PR), Perseverative Errors (PE), and Non-Perseverative Errors (NPE), and Conceptual Level Responses (CLR). All participants were asked to complete the test alone within 20 min.

Genotyping

A 2 ml sample of venous blood was obtained from each participant for genotyping. Amplification of gene fragments containing the PPP1R1B SNPs rs12601930C/T, rs879606A/G, and rs3764352A/G by polymerase chain reaction and subsequent genotyping by restriction fragment length polymorphism analysis were carried out as described in our previous studies (Li et al., 2011b; Huang et al., 2013; Ma et al., 2015, 2017).

Statistical analysis

Data are presented as the percent frequency or mean and SD. Allele frequencies were calculated from the genotypes of each subject. The Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium (HWE) and genotype distributions of the three SNPs were assessed using the chi-square test. One-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used to compare the mean WCST scores between the three different genotypic groups. The independent sample t-test was used to compare the mean age, years of education, and WCST scores between male and female participants and WCST scores between the two dominant-model groups or two recessive-model groups. The level of statistical significance was set at p < 0.05. The Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS 22.0 for Windows, SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA) was used to perform the above statistical analyses.

Results

Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium results

Table 1 shows the genotype counts and frequencies for the three studied polymorphisms. The genotype distributions of rs879606 A/G (χ² = 1.620, p = 0.203) and rs3764352 A/G (χ² = 1.233, p = 0.267) did not deviate significantly from HWE, while the genotype distribution of rs12601930C/T deviated from HWE (χ² = 5.834, p = 0.016). The genotype frequency of rs12601930C/T in the present study was not significantly different from that observed in a previous sample of 82 Han Chinese individuals (χ² = 2.187, p = 0.335). Therefore, the sample in the present study can be considered representative of the general Han Chinese population.

Relationship between PPP1R1B polymorphisms and working memory in the overall sample

Table 1 presents the WCST scores. Significant differences were demonstrated in the TC, TE, and CLR scores among three rs12601930 genotypes (F = 3.209, 3.209, and 3.128; p = 0.044, 0.044, and 0.047, respectively). The order of the above scores for the different genotypes was as follows: CC < CT < TT for TC and CLR, and TT < CT < CC for TE for the rs12601930 polymorphism. No significant differences were observed for any WCST results among the rs879606 or rs3764352 genotypes (all p > 0.05).

Next, the three genotypes for each SNP were divided into two different groups based on recessive and dominant-models.

---

1 http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov
As shown in Table 1, there were significant differences in the TC, TE, NPE, and CLR scores of WCST between participants with the CC and (CT + TT) genotypes of the rs12601930 polymorphism. Participants with the CC genotype had lower TC and CLR scores ($t = -2.169$ and $-2.208; p = 0.032$ and 0.029, respectively) and higher TE and NPE scores ($t = 2.169$ and 2.385; $p = 0.032$ and 0.019, respectively) than those with the (CT + TT) genotypes. There were also significant differences in the TC, TE, PE, NPE, and CLR scores between participants with the (CT + CC) and TT genotypes of the rs12601930 polymorphism. Participants with the (CT + CC) genotypes showed lower NPE and CLR scores ($t = -3.607$ and $-3.738; p = 0.001$ and 0.001, respectively) and higher TE, PE, and NPE scores ($t = 3.607$, 2.757, and 3.083; $p = 0.001$, 0.011, and 0.004, respectively) than those with the TT genotype.

In addition, there were significant differences in NPE and CLR scores between participants with the GG and (AG + AA) genotypes of the rs3764352 polymorphism. Participants with the GG genotype showed lower NPE scores ($t = -2.631, p = 0.011$) and higher CLR scores ($t = 2.636, p = 0.010$) than those with the (AG + AA) genotypes. However, there was no significant difference for any variable of the WCST between the two models of rs879606 A/G genotypes (all $p > 0.05$).

Relationship between PPP1R1B polymorphisms and working memory in male and female participants

Differences in WCST scores among the genotype groups of the three studied polymorphisms were analyzed separately by sex. As shown in Table 2, there were significant male-specific differences in TC, TE, PE, NPE, and CLR scores among the rs12601930 genotypes ($F = 4.213, 4.213, 3.502, 3.810, and 4.637; p = 0.020, 0.020, 0.037, 0.029, and 0.014$, respectively), as well as in NPE and CLR scores among the rs3764352 genotypes ($F = 3.303$ and 3.384; $p = 0.045$ and 0.042, respectively). There were no significant male-specific differences in any WCST measure among the rs879606 genotypes (all $p > 0.05$).

The differences in WCST scores among the three PPP1R1B genotype groups in female participants are shown in Table 3. Notably, there was no significant female-specific difference in any variable for the three studied SNPs (all $p > 0.05$).

Comparison of PPP1R1B polymorphisms’ genotype distributions and working memory between male and female participants

No significant differences were found in the genotype distributions of the PPP1R1B SNPs rs6090453, rs6011914, and rs2427422 ($\chi^2 = 2.197, 2.492$, and 5.677, $p = 0.333$, 0.288, and 0.059, respectively) or in any of the six WCST scores between male and female participants (Table 4, all $p > 0.05$).

Discussion

The present study used 124 unrelated healthy Han Chinese participants to investigate associations between variants of the PPP1R1B gene and working memory function assessed by the WCST for the first time. We found that the rs12601930 (three genotypes, dominant or recessive-models) and rs3764352 (dominant-model) polymorphisms were significantly associated with some dimensions of working memory in the total sample. Moreover, these associations were demonstrated to be specific to males. The dimensions of working memory showing statistically significant differences included TC, TE, PE, NPE, and CLR among the rs12601930 groups, and NPE and CLR among the rs3764352 groups.

Working memory, which is a core part of human cognition, is a limited capacity system that integrates and manipulates information over brief periods of time and engages a network of prefrontal, parietal, and subcortical regions (Duncan et al., 2000; Gray et al., 2003). In SCZ, deficits of working memory have been found on various neuropsychological tests (Saykin et al., 1994; Karlsgodt et al., 2011; Schwarz et al., 2016; Ruiz-Sanchez et al., 2021). Working memory impairments in SCZ occur independently of antipsychotic medication use and appear to represent trait-like, rather than state-like, impairments with high consistency across symptom fluctuations and with little correlation to symptom severity (Gur et al., 2007; Schwarz et al., 2016). Meta-analyses have demonstrated the role of working memory deficits in ADHD (Ramos et al., 2020) and BD (Arts et al., 2008), and a number of neuropsychological studies support working memory deficits in MDD patients (Matsuo et al., 2007; Vance and Winther, 2021). Therefore, working memory is a promising candidate for cross-disease diagnosis of psychiatric diseases. Schwarz et al. (2016) adopted working memory as intermediate phenotype for improved illness classification. Previous studies have demonstrated that
genetic factors are an important basis for common and stable changes in working memory function across various mental disorders (Gur et al., 2007; Karlsgodt et al., 2011). Twin studies suggest that a substantial part (up to 43%) of the genetic variance related to working memory modalities is due to a common genetic factor, with additional genetic variance explained by modality-specific factors (Ando et al., 2001). However, the genetic underpinnings of working memory have not been yet fully uncovered.

At the molecular level, the neurotransmitter DA is a key regulatory component of executive function in the PFC, and dysfunction in dopaminergic circuitry has been shown to result in impaired working memory (Klaus and Pennington, 2019). Pharmacological studies suggest that DA and DA agonists in the brain modulate delayed response tasks and working memory (Kimberg and D’Esposito, 2003; Vijayaraghavan et al., 2007). In a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled study, Furman et al. (2021) demonstrated that augmenting cortical DA tone preferentially improved working memory maintenance. Prior research has found that multiple common genetic variants that impact the DA system, including DA receptors (DR), catechol-O-methyltransferase (COMT), the dopamine transporter (DAT), etc., could also alter working memory task performance. Functional genetic variants influencing D2-receptor function (DRD2 rs1076560) and Akt1 abundance implicated in downstream D2-signal transduction (AKT1 rs1130233) have been repeatedly found to affect prefrontal blood oxygen level-dependent activity during working memory, consistent with the complex role of prefrontal DA in human working memory (Emamian et al., 2004; Beaulieu et al., 2005; Harris et al., 2005; Zhang et al., 2007; Tan et al., 2008; Bertolino et al., 2009; Giovannetti et al., 2010). Caldu et al. (2007) found an additive effect of the COMT Val108/158 Met polymorphism and the 9-repeat allele of the DAT 40 base pair variable number of a tandem repeat polymorphism on brain activation during an N-back task in healthy subjects. They also demonstrated that the Val allele was related to higher number of PE on the WCST and a higher number of commission errors on the Continuous Performance Test (CPT) (Caldu et al., 2007). Wilkosc et al. (2010) reported an association between DRD1, DRD4, and COMT polymorphisms and performance on the WCST in healthy volunteers. Dumontelle et al. (2014, 2020) demonstrated that variation in COMT was associated with performance on verbal and visuospatial working memory tasks in adults and that the pattern of better working memory performance in Met/Met individuals observed in adulthood emerges during development, which is consistent with decreased levels of prefrontal DA during adolescence. Moreover, Li et al. (2011a) reported that polymorphisms in the NTR1 gene, which is also strongly linked to the DA system, were associated with working memory performance assessed with a 2-back working memory paradigm in healthy Chinese undergraduates. The above reports support the results of the present study since DARPP-32 is a key component of DA signaling.

The relationship between DARPP-32 and working memory has been reported at the protein level among patients with mental disorders. Ishikawa et al. (2007) examined the distribution and expression of DARPP-32 in the post-mortem dorsolateral prefrontal cortex (DLPFC) of 12 patients with SCZ, 10 patients with BD, and 11 controls, and found that DARPP-32 was decreased in the DLPFC of patients with SCZ and BD compared to controls. Kunii et al. (2019) examined the PFC and nucleus accumbens (NAc) of 49 post-mortem patients with SCZ, BD, and normal controls. They reported that DARPP-32 levels in the PFC of patients with SCZ were significantly decreased, while levels of DARPP-32 in the NAc showed no significant alternations in patients with SCZ or BD. Torres et al. (2009) measured DARPP-32 expression in blood cell sub-populations (CD4 + T lymphocytes, CD56 + NK cells, CD19 + B lymphocytes, and CD14 + monocytes) and found that DARPP-32 expression was diminished in CD4 + T lymphocytes, CD19 + B lymphocytes, and CD14 + monocytes of BD patients and also decreased in CD4 + T lymphocytes and CD56 + NK cells of SCZ patients. Thus, at the protein level, DARPP-32 may underlie working memory, since deficits in working memory always occur in patients with SCZ or BD (Gold et al., 1997).

Direct studies of the relationship between PPP1R1B variants and working memory are rare. Curcic-Blake et al. (2012) demonstrated that homozygotes with GTA alleles of the three SNPs of PPP1R1B (rs879606A/G, rs907094T/C, and rs3764352A/G) might engage in a greater degree of motivational and learning ability and most subjects were post-mortem patients with mental disorders. Ishikawa et al. (2007) demonstrated that the PPP1R1B gene merits further attention for association with cognitive ability or learning ability and most subjects were post-mortem patients with mental disorders, which differs from the present study. In the present study, we demonstrated no significant differences in the performances of all six dimensions of the WCST or genotype distributions of the three studied
SNPs between males and females, indicating no sex-specific difference in working memory function or PPP1R1B genotype distribution. However, there were significant male-specific differences in TC, TE, PE, NPE, and CLR scores among the rs12601930 genotypes and NPE and CLR scores among the rs3764352 genotypes. Therefore, the interaction of PPP1R1B polymorphisms and sex could influence individual working memory. Previous studies have shown that the interaction of DA-related polymorphisms and sex can influence working memory (Wilkosc et al., 2010; Wu et al., 2020). One potential reason for sex-specific findings is androgens, which might be involved in the effect of PPP1R1B on DA and other neurotransmitter systems, and thus ultimately affect the biological determination of working memory. Biochemical experiments will be needed to test if this conjecture is indeed true.

Conclusion

The present study investigated associations between three PPP1R1B polymorphisms and working memory ability measured by the WCST in a sample of Chinese students to demonstrate possible biogenetic mechanisms affecting working memory. We found that the rs12601930 genotypes were associated with five dimensions of the six studied WCST dimensions (except PR) and rs3764352 genotypes were associated with NPE and CLR dimensions in both the overall sample and males only, which indicate that participants with the TT genotype of the rs12601930 polymorphism and/or the GG genotype of the rs3764352 polymorphism have better ability of working memory compared to those with other genotypes. These results provided evidence that genetic variants in the DARPP-32 system could influence working memory by regulating the DA system and that this effect was affected by sex. Moreover, these results provide evidence for working memory as a promising candidate for the classification of cross-disease diagnosis of psychiatric diseases. However, this conclusion should be considered with caution due to the limited size and age range of the sample, the lack of participants with a psychiatric condition, the limited number of studied SNPs, and the use of neurocognitive assessment. Further studies of these three PPP1R1B SNPs and other SNPs associated with the DA system in a larger Chinese sample and other ethnic populations are needed to verify our findings and develop a more comprehensive understanding of the effects of PPP1R1B variants on working memory.
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