Subtle Roles of Down Syndrome Cell Adhesion Molecules in Embryonic Forebrain Development and Neuronal Migration
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Down Syndrome (DS) Cell Adhesion Molecules (DSCAMs) are transmembrane proteins of the immunoglobulin superfamily. Human DSCAM is located within the DS critical region of chromosome 21 (duplicated in Down Syndrome patients), and mutations or copy-number variations of this gene have also been associated to Fragile X syndrome, intellectual disability, autism, and bipolar disorder. The DSCAM parologue DSCAM-like 1 (DSCAML1) maps to chromosome 11q23, implicated in the development of Jacobsen and Tourette syndromes. Additionally, a spontaneous mouse DSCAM deletion leads to motor coordination defects and seizures. Previous research has revealed roles for DSCAMs in several neurodevelopmental processes, including synaptogenesis, dendritic self-avoidance, cell sorting, axon growth and branching. However, their functions in embryonic mammalian forebrain development have yet to be completely elucidated. In this study, we revealed highly dynamic spatiotemporal patterns of Dscam and Dscaml1 expression in definite cortical layers of the embryonic mouse brain, as well as in structures and ganglionic eminence-derived neural populations within the embryonic subpallium. However, an in-depth histological analysis of cortical development, ventral forebrain morphogenesis, cortical interneuron migration, and cortical-subcortical connectivity formation processes in Dscam and Dscaml1 knockout mice (Dscam\textsuperscript{del17} and Dscaml1\textsuperscript{GT}) at several embryonic stages indicated that constitutive loss of Dscam and Dscaml1 does not affect these developmental events in a significant manner. Given that several Dscam- and Dscaml1-linked neurodevelopmental disorders are associated to chromosomal region duplication events, we furthermore sought to examine the neurodevelopmental effects of Dscam and Dscaml1 gain of function (GOF). In vitro, ex vivo, and in vivo GOF negatively impacted neural migration processes important to cortical development, and affected the morphology of maturing neurons. Overall, these findings contribute to existing knowledge on the molecular etiology of human neurodevelopmental disorders by elucidating how dosage variations of genes...
encoding adhesive cues can disrupt cell-cell or cell-environment interactions crucial for neuronal migration.
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INTRODUCTION

Down Syndrome (DS) Cell Adhesion Molecules (DSCAMs) represent a small group of transmembrane proteins of the immunoglobulin superfamily comprising, in vertebrates, DSCAM and its paralogue DSCAM-like 1 (DSCAML1) (Yamakawa et al., 1998; Agarwala et al., 2001). These molecules owe their name to the location of human DSCAM within the DS critical region of chromosome 21 (Yamakawa et al., 1998; Schmucker and Chen, 2009), which is considered to be crucially involved in the emergence of cognitive phenotypes associated with DS (Delabar et al., 1993; Korenberg et al., 1994; Belichenko et al., 2009, 2015; Aziz et al., 2018). Higher DSCAM levels have been observed in post-mortem brain tissue preparations/cultures from DS-affected patients and fetuses (Saito et al., 2000; Bahn et al., 2002), as well as in the central nervous system (CNS) of DS mouse models (Alves-Sampaio et al., 2010).

In addition to trisomy 21, mutations, single-nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs), and transcriptional dysregulation of this gene have also been associated to other neurodevelopmental and neuropsychiatric disorders, including Fragile X syndrome (Brown et al., 2001; Darnell et al., 2011; Ascano et al., 2012; Cvetkovska et al., 2013), intellectual disability (Wei et al., 2016; Aleksiuiniene et al., 2017; Monies et al., 2017; Stessman et al., 2017), autism (Iossifov et al., 2014; Turner et al., 2016; Wang et al., 2016; Varghese et al., 2017), bipolar disorder (Amano et al., 2008), and epilepsy (Shen et al., 2011; Wei et al., 2016). Animal models further substantiate a causal relation between variations in Dscam gene dosage and CNS dysfunction. A spontaneous Dscam null mutation occurring in mice (Dscamnull) leads to the early post-natal emergence of uncoordinated movements; as adults, these animals additionally display severe hydrocephalus, seizures, aberrant locomotion, and impaired motor learning (Fuerst et al., 2008; Xu et al., 2011). Similarly, mice carrying a different Dscam null mutant allele (Dscam2) present dystonic hypertonopia and deficits in locomotor coordination related to abnormalities in central sensorimotor circuitry (Fuerst et al., 2010; Lemieux et al., 2016; Thiry et al., 2016, 2018; Laflamme et al., 2019). Viability of Dscam null mutant mice is highly affected by their genetic background, leading to early post-natal lethality in a C57BL/6 background but survival to adulthood in an inbred C3H background, which suggests that modifier genes partly compensate for early developmental roles of DSCAM (Fuerst et al., 2010). In Drosophila, a third copy of the Dscam gene results in sensory perception impairments mirroring those found in flies lacking the Fragile X Mental Retardation gene, in which Dscam levels are elevated, and that in the latter animals can be rescued by reducing Dscam expression (Cvetkovska et al., 2013).

On the other hand, DSCAML1 has been mapped to the 11q23 region, implicated in the pathophysiology of neurodevelopmental disorders including Jacobsen, Gilles de la Tourette, and distal trisomy 11q syndromes which points to DSCAML1 as a potential causative gene, although a clear causation has not been proven (Agarwala et al., 2001; Pauls, 2003; Mattina et al., 2009; Chen et al., 2014; Choi et al., 2015).

As cell adhesion molecules, DSCAM and DSCAML1 engage in homophilic interactions at the cell membrane, which ensures cell interaction specificity. In arthropods, alternative splicing yields tens of thousands of DSCAM1 isoforms from one gene locus, a process known to be instrumental in achieving self-recognition critical to neural wiring as well as innate immunity (Schmucker et al., 2000; Schmucker and Chen, 2009). This staggering complexity is an insect innovation, as vertebrates can only produce single DSCAM and DSCAML1 isoforms. The higher neural network complexity shown by vertebrate species is thus hypothesized to result from the expansion of other cell adhesion molecule classes with similar characteristics, such as clustered Protocadherins (Jin and Li, 2019).

Previous research in vertebrates and invertebrates has revealed roles for DSCAMs in several neurodevelopmental processes, including synaptogenesis, neural proliferation, dendritic self-avoidance, cell sorting, and axon growth, guidance, and branching (Chen et al., 2006; Fuerst et al., 2008, 2009; Li et al., 2009; Liu et al., 2009; Maynard and Stein, 2012; He et al., 2014; Dascenco et al., 2015; Thiry et al., 2016; Laflamme et al., 2019; Sachse et al., 2019). In the mouse retina, loss of Dscam or Dscaml1 leads to excessive dendritic fasciculation and somatic clustering of the cell types that normally express these molecules, demonstrating a role in dendritic self-avoidance and tiling (Fuerst et al., 2008, 2009). In addition, conditional loss of Dscam in the retina produces a decrease in programmed cell death of the targeted population (Fuerst et al., 2012).

Whether these functions are retained and contribute to mammalian forebrain development has yet to be completely elucidated. Research in mouse has shown that Dscam loss of function (LOF) results in a transient, early post-natal decrease in the thickness of upper cortical layers; notably, this phenotype could not be attributed to an increase in cell death, nor to a reduction in progenitor proliferation during embryonic development (Maynard and Stein, 2012). Whether the generation of different cortical layers during embryonic brain development is also affected remains unclear. Knockdown of either Dscam or Dscaml1 in the cortex impairs radial migration of projection neurons and leads to a partial mispositioning of presumptive layer II/III neurons in layers IV/V observable for more than 2 weeks after birth. In addition, this partial loss of Dscam or Dscaml1 function in the cortex reduces the midline-oriented extension of callosal axons, which at later post-natal time-points results in a decrease in axon terminals in contralateral cortical regions, supporting the idea that DSCAM and DSCAML1
are important for axon extension, and perhaps also guidance (Zhang et al., 2015). Given the expression of DSCAM and DSCAML1 during embryonic forebrain development, our aim was to further investigate whether these molecules are implicated in the migration of both cortical neurons and interneurons, the patterning/morphogenesis of embryonic telencephalic structures, and the early establishment of forebrain connectivity. Using constitutive loss-of-function models, we demonstrate that loss of DSCAM or DSCAML1 only has minor effects on these processes. However, as in human increased dosage of DSCAM or DSCAML1 seems to be more detrimental to neurodevelopment, we also implemented gain-of-function approaches to study potential roles in neuronal migration and morphological maturation. Our data indicate that overexpression of either DSCAM or DSCAML1 reduced migration distances traveled by immature cortical interneurons, while DSCAML1 overexpression selectively affected neurite branching. Future investigations should reveal the molecular mechanisms at the basis of these phenotypes.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Animals

All animal procedures were performed in accordance with Belgian and EU regulations on the use of animals for scientific purposes (Royal Decree of 29 May 2013, Directive 2010/63/EU) and approved by the KU Leuven Ethical Committee for Animal Experimentation (project licenses 267/2015 and 005/2017).

All experiments were performed on embryonic brains obtained from C57BL/6j mice (wild-type) (Jackson Laboratories), a Dlx5/6-Cre-IRES-EGFP reporter line (Stenman et al., 2003) bred on a CD1 background, a C57BL/6j strain carrying a null mutation in the Dscam gene consisting of a 38 bp deletion within exon 17 (Dscam\textsuperscript{del17}) (Fuerst et al., 2008), and a Dscam1 null mutant C57BL/6j strain (Dscam1\textsuperscript{GT}). In the latter case, LOF was achieved by the insertion of a gene-trap vector in the 3rd Dscam1 intron, resulting in the production of a non-functional N-terminal DSCAML1–β-galactosidase fusion protein (Fuerst et al., 2009). Dscam\textsuperscript{del17}; Dlx5/6-Cre-IRES-EGFP (Dscam\textsuperscript{del17}; Dlx5/6-CIE) and Dscam1\textsuperscript{GT}; Dlx5/6-Cre-IRES-EGFP (Dscam1\textsuperscript{GT}; Dlx5/6-CIE) mutant mice were generated by crossing Dscam\textsuperscript{del17} and Dscam1\textsuperscript{GT} lines with the Dlx5/6-Cre-IRES-EGFP reporter line. Mouse colonies were maintained in a 14/10 h light-dark cycle, in a humidity- and temperature-controlled pathogen free animal unit.

Pregnant females for embryo collection were obtained via timed matings. Embryonic age was calculated considering the day of vaginal plug detection as E0.5. Mouse brains were dissected in cold phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) and fixed in 4% w/v paraformaldehyde (PFA)/PBS for 16–24 h at 4°C, unless they were processed for X-gal staining. Following fixation, specimens were washed once in PBS for 30–60 min at 4°C, and stored at this temperature for up to 9 months in storage buffer (0.01% w/v thimerosal/PBS). Mouse tail samples (~5 mm) were also collected for DNA extraction and genotyping.

To verify the absence of DSCAM protein in the DSCAM knockout mouse (Supplementary Figure 11), protein was extracted from E17.5 brains from knockout and wildtype mice using TRIS-HCL SDS-buffer (65 mM Tris-HCL, 2% SDS) containing cOmplete\textsuperscript{TM} Protease Inhibitor Cocktail (Roche). Tissue lysates were centrifuged and proteins were heat denatured in a mixture of XT sample buffer 4x and XT reducing agent 20x, separated on 4–12% Bis-Tris precast polyacrylamide gels (Criterion XT Bis-Tris Precast Gel, Bio-Rad) in MOPS buffer, and immuno-blotted to nitrocellulose membranes (Trans-Blot Turbo Midi 0.2 μm Nitrocellulose Transfer Packs, Bio-Rad) using a Trans Blot Turbo system (Bio-Rad). Standard protein detection was performed using rabbit anti-DSCAM antibodies (1:250; HPA019234, Sigma-Aldrich). After 2 h blocking in 5% w/v non-fat dry milk/TBST (WB buffer) at RT, o/n incubation at 4°C in primary antibody diluted in WB buffer, and washing in TBST, transfer membranes were incubated for 45 min in HRP-conjugated anti-rabbit secondary antibodies (Bio-Rad) diluted 1:10,000 in WB buffer. Protein bands were visualized with a ChemiDoc MP imaging system (Bio-Rad) after incubation in ECL substrate (Thermo Fisher Scientific).

Genotyping

Tissue samples were digested overnight (o/n) at 56°C in a 1:100 Proteinase K solution (10 mg/mL in 40% glycerol/nuclease-free H2O; Thermo Fisher Scientific) in lysis buffer (50 mM Tris-HCL pH 8.5, 2.5 mM EDTA pH 8, 50 mM NaCl, 1% SDS). Genotyping PCR reactions were performed using a small aliquot of the digestion solution, a PCR mix (KAPA2G Fast HotStart ReadyMix with dye, KAPA Biosystems) containing dNTPs, a Taq polymerase and a loading dye, and primers for the genes of interest (see Supplementary Table 1).

In situ Hybridization

In situ hybridization (ISH) experiments were performed on 20 μm cryosections or 6 μm paraffin sections from E13.5 and E16.5 wild-type brains. To obtain frozen tissue samples, after PFA fixation brains were incubated in 30% sucrose/PBS at 4°C until sinking, submerged in Optimal Cutting Temperature compound (Tissue-Tek, Sakura Finetek) for 1–2 h at 4°C, fast frozen in liquid nitrogen, and maintained at −20°C until sectioning. Paraffin-embedded specimens were first dehydrated by o/n incubation in 50% ethanol/saline at 4°C, then processed for paraffinization (Excelsior AS Tissue Processor, Thermo Fisher Scientific) and embedding (HistoStar Embedding Workstation, Thermo Fisher Scientific). Sectioning of frozen or paraffin-embedded brains was performed with a Microm HM560 cryostat or a Microm HM360 rotary microtome (Thermo Fisher Scientific), respectively; sections were collected on SuperFrost Plus slides (Thermo Fisher Scientific).

Plasmids for the synthesis of antisense Gad1 riboprobes were a gift from Prof. Brian Condie (University of Georgia) (Maddox and Condie, 2001). Dscam, Dscam1 and Ebf1 ISH probe sequences were amplified from an embryonic cDNA pool with primer pairs 5′-TCAGGAAAGTCTAATTGGACAC-3′/5′-TGGGAAATCCTAAGGCG-3′ (Dscam), 5′-CTTTGTTGTCAGGAGAGAAGGAGGAGG-3′/5′-CATAGATGTCTACTGTCAGGCTTC-3′ (Dscam1), and 5′-CAGGAAAGACATCCACGGAGTGG-3′/5′-GCCCCTGCTTGGAGGTATTTGCG-3′.
(Ebf1), respectively. Amplicons (521 bp, 747 bp, and 691 bp) were blunt-cloned in pCRII-TOPO vectors using a TOPO TA Cloning Kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific); following transformation of DH5α chemocompetent cells and blue/white screening, successfully transformed colonies were sequenced to determine the quality and orientation of the inserts. Plasmid DNA from selected colonies’ cultures was purified using a PureLink HiPure Plasmid Maxiprep Kit (Invitrogen, Thermo Fisher Scientific).

Digoxigenin (DIG)-labeled antisense riboprobes for Dscam, Dscaml1, Ebf1, and Gad1 ISH were produced from plasmid templates linearized overnight at 37°C. An in vitro transcription reaction was prepared with 1 μg of linearized plasmid template using a SP6/T7 DIG RNA Labeling Kit (Roche). The synthesized RNA was purified with Micro Bio-Spin P-30 Gel Columns (Bio-Rad) and quantified using a SimpliNano spectrophotometer (Biochrom).

ISH was performed for all section types on a DISCOVERY automated staining platform (Ventana Medical Systems, Roche). Section were first processed for deparaffinization, fixation, pre-treatment, and post-fixation using RiboMap Kit solutions (Roche). The probes of interest were diluted in RiboHybe (Roche) to a final concentration of 150–300 ng/slide, denatured at 90°C for 10 min, and hybridized at 70°C for 6 h. After a series of stringency washes at 68°C in saline-sodium citrate buffer, specifically bound probes were detected by incubation in a 1:1,000 dilution of AP-conjugated sheep anti-DIG antibody in PBS (30 min at 37°C), and visualized using a BlueMap Detection kit (Roche) (7 h substrate incubation at 37°C). At the end of the ISH protocol, all sections were dehydrated in a graded ethanol dilution series (70%, 2 min; 96%, 2 min; 100%, 3 min; 100%, 3 min) and finally washed twice in xylene for 5 min each. Coverslips were applied using Eukitt Quick-hardening mounting medium (Sigma-Aldrich). Brightfield images of the ISH experiments were acquired using a Leica DM6 B microscope connected to a digital CMOS camera (DMC2900, Leica) with the LAS X software suite (Leica). Images were further processed with the Fiji distribution of the open source program Image J (Schindelin et al., 2012) and Adobe Photoshop CC 2018.

**Immunohistochemistry**

Vibratome-processed brain sections were stained using a free-floating IHC protocol, in a 12-well plate, and using a shaking platform for all washes/incubations. Serial 60 µm free-floating brain sections were obtained from PFA-fixed brains embedded in 4% w/v agarose/PBS cut with a Microm HM650V vibratome (Thermo Fisher Scientific), and collected in storage buffer. Tissue pre-treatment was then performed by incubation for 1–2 h at room temperature (RT) in a blocking and permeabilization buffer (10% normal donkey serum, 0.3% Triton X-100 in PBS). If heat-induced antigen retrieval was recommended by the manufacturers of the primary antibodies employed, an additional 20–40 min incubation in sodium citrate buffer (10 mM sodium citrate, 0.05% Tween 20, pH 6.0) pre-heated and maintained at 85°C in a hybridization oven, followed by a 20 min cool-down step at RT, was performed before blocking and permeabilization.

Following pre-treatment, the sections were incubated with primary antibodies diluted in storage buffer for 24–48 h at 4°C. Primary antibodies used were rat anti-CTIP2 (1:500, ab18465, Abcam), mouse anti-Isl1 (1:50; 39.4D5, Developmental Studies Hybridoma Bank), rabbit anti Nkx2.1 (1:1,000; sc-13040, Santa Cruz Biotechnology), mouse anti-neurofilament 165 kD (1:100; 2H3, Developmental Studies Hybridoma Bank), chicken anti-GFP (1:1,000; ab13970, Abcam), rabbit anti-TBR1 (1:400; AB10554, Merck-Millipore), mouse anti-SATB2 (1:200; ab51502, Abcam), rabbit anti-RFP (1:2,000; 600-401-379, Rockland Immunochemicals), and mouse anti-HA tag (1:1,000; 6E2, Cell Signaling Technology). The monoclonal anti-neurofilament 165 kD (2H3) and anti-Isl1/1/2 homeobox (39.4D5) antibodies, developed by respectively by T.M. Jessell and J. Dodd, and by T.M. Jessell and S. Brenner-Morton, were obtained from the Developmental Studies Hybridoma Bank, created by the NICHD of the NIH and maintained at The University of Iowa.

After four 10 min washes in PBS at RT, the sections were subsequently incubated for 2 h at RT, or overnight at 4°C, with donkey-derived secondary antibodies conjugated with Alexa Fluor® dyes (Jackson Immunoresearch or Invitrogen) diluted 1:500 in storage buffer. Next, the tissue samples were washed in PBS at RT in four 10 min cycles, counterstained with 4',6-Diamidino-2-Phenyldindole (DAPI) (Sigma-Aldrich), and finally mounted on SuperFrost Plus slides in Mowiol (Sigma-Aldrich) mounting medium (30% w/v glycerol, 12% w/v Mowiol, 0.1 M Tris-HCl pH 8.5).

Slides were examined with a Leica DM6 B epifluorescence microscope digital CCD camera (DFC365 FX, Leica) or an Olympus FLUOVIEW FV1000 confocal laser scanning microscope. Images acquired using the LAS X or FV10-ASW Viewer v. 4.2c (Olympus) software packages, respectively, and processed as previously described.

**X-Gal Stainings**

Whole mount X-gal stainings were performed on freshly dissected brains from Dscaml1GT mice pre-incubated in X-gal fixative (1% formaldehyde, 0.2% glyceraldehyde, 0.5% Triton X-100 in PBS) at 4°C on a shaker. Incubation time was adjusted according to brain size to respectively, 20 and 35 min for E13.5 and E16.5 specimens. After three 20–30 min washes in cold PBS, pre-fixed brains were subsequently incubated for 24–48 h in the dark at 37°C in freshly prepared staining buffer (5 mM potassium ferrocyanide, 5 mM potassium ferricyanide, 2 mM MgCl₂, 0.1% Triton-X, 0.01% sodium deoxycholate in PBS) containing 1 mg/mL X-gal (Applichem). Next, samples were rapidly washed in PBS at 4°C until washout looked completely clear, post-fixed o/n in 4% PFA at 4°C, and vibratome-sectioned as detailed in section Immunohistochemistry. The obtained sections were counterstained with a Nuclear Fast Red–aluminum sulfate 0.1% solution (Sigma), mounted on glass microscope slides, cover-slipped with Mowiol mounting medium, and dried o/n at RT before imaging. Brightfield microscope images were acquired and processed as described in section *in situ* Hybridization.

**Neuroanatomical Tracings**

Mixed retrograde and anterograde tracing of reciprocal connections between distinct thalamic nuclei and either...
the primary visual (occipital) or primary somatosensory (parietal) cortex in wild-type, *Dscam<sup>Δ17</sup>* and *Dscaml<sup>GT</sup>* embryonic mouse brains were performed by inserting 0.1–0.3 mm crystal of, respectively, 1′,1′-dioctadecyl-3,3′,3′,3′-tetramethylindocarbocyanine perchlorate (Dil; Biotium) and 4-(4-(dihexadecylamino)styryl)-N-methylpyridinium iodide (DiA; Biotium) in the superficial cortical layers of E17.5 brain hemispheres, with the aid of a tungsten dissecting probe (World Precision Instruments). Following the insertion of dye crystals, brains were kept in 1% PFA/PBS at RT in the dark for 3–4 weeks to allow diffusion of the carbocyanine tracers in the axonal tracts and thalamic populations of interest.

At the end of their incubation period, brains were vibratome-sectioned as detailed in section Immunohistochemistry. Sections were counterstained with DAPI, mounted in Mowiol mounting medium onto SuperFrost Plus slides, and imaged using the epifluorescence microscope setup also described in section Immunohistochemistry within 48 h after sectioning, to avoid artifacts due to local dye diffusion at the sections’ surfaces.

Expression Plasmid Production and Testing

Expression vectors used in electroporation experiments were synthetized starting from a pCAGGS-IRES-EGFP plasmid backbone (Meganos and McMahon, 2002) (a gift from P. Vanderhaeghen, Université libre de Bruxelles), wherein EGFP was replaced by TdTomato. Full length *Dscam* and *Dscaml* cDNA sequences tagged in frame at the 3′ end with EYFP- and HA tag-encoding sequences were blunt-end cloned into this pCAGGS vector from pcDNA5-FRT-TO-GW-DSCAM-HA, pcDNA5-FRT-TO-GW-DSCAM-EYFP-HA, pcDNA5-FRT-TO-GW-DSCAML1-HA, and pcDNA5-FRT-TO-GW-DSCAM-EYFP-HA plasmids (Sachse et al., 2019) to produce tagged DSCAM/DSCAML1 and tdTomato co-expression constructs. Control pCAGGS vectors were obtained by sub-cloning only EYFP-HA coding sequences. Correct cloning in all novel expression constructs produced was verified by sequencing.

Expression vectors were first tested by transfection in Neuro 2a mouse cells (Sigma). As culture supports, 35 mm glass bottom dishes (Ibidi) pre-coated with Geltrex (Gibco, Thermo Fisher Scientific) were used for all other applications. 24 h before transfection cells were seeded to a density of 5 × 10<sup>4</sup> (6-well plate) or 5 × 10<sup>5</sup> (cell dish) cells, and maintained in a humidified incubator at 37°C using Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium (DMEM) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS), 2 mM L-Glutamine, and 50 U/mL Penicillin/50 μg/mL Streptomycin (all from Gibco, Thermo Fisher Scientific). On transfection day, cell adherence and confluency were checked under a microscope. Transfection was performed using a Lipofectamine 3000 transfection kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Briefly, Lipofectamine 3000 reagent was diluted in Opti-MEM medium (Gibco, Thermo Fisher Scientific) according to manufacturer instructions for 6-well or 24-well (cell dish) plates. 5 μg of plasmid DNA were diluted in Opti-MEM, and subsequently 2 μL of P3000 reagent per 1 μg DNA were added to generate the DNA master mix. The master mix was then combined 1:1 with Opti-MEM-diluted Lipofectamine, and incubated for 30 min at room temperature. The resulting DNA-lipid complex mix was added to each well/dish in volumes recommended by the manufacturer, and cells were re-transferred to a humidified incubator at 37°C. Transfection efficiency was examined after 24 and 48 h under a fluorescent microscope; confocal imaging (see section Immunohistochemistry) of cells cultured on glass bottom dishes was performed 48 h after transfection, following o/n fixation in 4% PFA/PBS at 4°C and washing in storage buffer.

To further verify the synthesis of tagged DSCAM/DSCAML1 proteins, Neuro 2a cells were harvested 48 h post-lipofection and lysed in RIPA buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl pH 8.0, 150 mM NaCl, 1% TritonX-100, 0.5% sodium deoxycholate, 0.1% SDS) containing Complete<sup>TM</sup> Protease Inhibitor Cocktail (Roche). Cell lysates were cleared by centrifugation, and proteins were heat-denatured in Laemmli sample buffer containing 50 mM dithiothreitol, separated on 4–20% polyacrylamide gels (Criterion TGX Stain-Free Protein Gel, Bio-Rad) in Tris-glycine-SDS buffer, and immuno-blotted to nitrocellulose membranes (Trans-Blot Turbo Midi 0.2 μm Nitrocellulose Transfer Packs, Bio-Rad) using a Trans Blot Turbo system (Bio-Rad). Standard protein detection was performed using mouse anti-HA tag antibodies (1:1,000; 6E2, Cell Signaling Technology). After 2 h blocking in 5% w/v non-fat dry milk/TBST (WB buffer) at RT, o/n incubation at 4°C in primary antibody diluted in WB buffer, and washing in TBST, transfer membranes were incubated for 1 h in HRP-conjugated anti-mouse secondary antibodies (Jackson Laboratories or Agilent Technologies) diluted 1:10,000 in WB buffer. Protein bands were visualized with a ChemiDoc XRS+ imaging system (Bio-Rad) after incubation in ECL substrate (Pierce).

**In utero Electroporation**

**In utero** electroporation (IUEP) of mouse embryonic brains was performed at E14.5 in an aseptic environment. Pregnant females were sedated via intramuscular injection of ketamine (75 mg/kg, Eurovet) and medetomidine (1.0 mg/kg, Orion Pharma), and peri-operative analgesia was provided by a subcutaneous injection of meloxicam (5.0 mg/kg, Boehringer Ingelheim). Once sedation was achieved, an ophthalmic ointment (Terramycin, Pfizer) was applied on the animal’s eyes, the abdominal fur was removed, and the exposed skin was disinfected with a povidone-iodine solution. All surgical materials were sterilized using a hot bead sterilizer (FST 250, Fine Science Tools) immediately before laparotomy.

After placing the mouse on a heat mat, two incisions of ~2 cm along the linea alba abdominis were made consecutively through the abdominal skin and the muscle/peritoneum tissue layers. To keep the uterus and peritoneal cavity hydrated, a sterile saline solution pre-heated at 37°C was applied as necessary. The uterine horns were gently pulled out of the abdominal cavity and placed on a sterile gauze. 2 μg/μL solutions of DSCAM-EYFP-HA, DSCAML1–EYFP-HA, or EYFP-HA expression constructs diluted in Opti-MEM medium, supplemented at a 1:30 ratio with a Fast Green FCF dye solution (1 mg/mL, Sigma-Aldrich)
for visualization purposes, were micro-injected in the embryo’s lateral ventricles with glass microcapillary needles (Harvard Apparatus) produced with a magnetic puller (PN-31, Narishige), and connected to a filtered aspirator tube assembly (Drummond). Following bilateral injections, CUY650P5 tweezer electrodes connected to a NEPA21 electroporator (Nepa Gene) were washed with saline solution and positioned at the sides of the embryo’s head for electroporation (see Supplementary Table 2 for IUEP parameters) (Figure 6A). The injection and electroporation steps were repeated for a maximum of 8 embryos per female.

At the end of the procedure, the uterus was re-positioned within the abdominal cavity, and the abdominal incisions were closed using non-absorbable suture (PERMA-HAND silk, Ethicon). A povidone-iodine solution and an antibiotic cream (Fucidin, Leo Pharma) were applied on the sutured wound, and atipamezole (0.5–1.0 mg/kg, Orion) was finally injected intramuscularly to reverse anesthesia. After the surgery, mice were allowed to recover o/n in cages placed on a heating pad at 37°C, and provided with fresh bedding material, food and water. All operated pregnant females were kept in the animal facility until E18.5, when they were sacrificed for embryonic brain collection.

To better detect the transfected neurons in the obtained brains, free-floating IHC using primary antibodies against tdTomato and EYFP, and secondary antibodies matching the excitation/emission spectrum of the respective fluorescent protein, were performed on coronal vibratome sections from the electroporated brains before confocal imaging. Immunohistochemistry was performed as described in section Immunohistochemistry. Confocal imaging equipment and procedures are detailed in section Immunohistochemistry and Phenotype Quantification and Statistical Analysis.

### Medial Ganglionic Eminence Electroporation and Explant Culture

Medial ganglionic eminence (MGE) electroporation (MEP) and MGE explant cultures were performed under sterile conditions on E13.5 brain tissue from Dlx5/6-Cre-IRE5-EGFP mouse embryos. Pregnant females were euthanized by cervical dislocation to collect E13.5 embryos, the heads of which were dissected in cold Leibovitz’s L-15 medium (Gibco, Thermo Fisher Scientific) supplemented with 35 mM D-glucose (Merck Millipore) and 2.5 mM HEPES (Gibco, Thermo Fisher Scientific) (L15++). For each isolated EGFP+ embryonic head, the MGEs were exposed by incisions at dorsal cortical level, and 2 µg/µL solutions of overexpression or control plasmids were injected with in 8–10 discrete MGE sites per hemisphere; next, injected brains were electroporated with CUY650P5 tweezer electrodes connected to a BTX electroporator (Harvard Apparatus) (see section in utero Electroporation for solution composition and injection material details, and Supplementary Table 3 for MEP parameters) (Figure 7A).

Electroporated heads were left in L15++ medium for a minimum of 3 h on ice to allow recovery of neural cells. Subsequently, each MGE was dissected under a stereomicroscope to obtain ∼8 similarly sized explants (∼400–500 µm of diameter), which were transferred to cold Neurobasal Medium containing 2.5 mM HEPES, 2 mM L-glutamine, 100 U/mL Penicillin-Streptomycin, 1x B-27 supplement (all from Gibco, Thermo Fisher Scientific) (Complete Neurobasal Medium, CNB). Each explant was embedded on ice in ∼20 µL of Matrigel (Corning Life Sciences) diluted 1:1 in CNB, using as a support 35 mm glass bottom cell culture dishes (Ibidi). Embedded explants were briefly incubated at 37°C to enable Matrigel polymerization, covered with 500 µL of CNB, and cultured for 48 h at 37°C, 5% CO2 in a humidified incubator (Figure 7A). Finally, explant cultures were fixed o/n in 4% PFA/PBS at 4°C, and preserved in storage buffer until imaging.

### Phenotype Quantification and Statistical Analysis

For cortical lamination and thickness analyses in E17.5 Dscam1del7/del7, Dscaml1GT/GT, or wild-type brains, cell counts per marker and radial measurements were obtained from single plane confocal images of three sections (representative of rostral, intermediate and caudal positions on the rostro-caudal axis) per specimen with the ImageJ Cell Counter plugin and Measure function. Data was statistically analyzed via a mixed ANOVA test, with rostro-caudal position as a within-subject factor and genotype as a between-subject factor, using Greenhouse-Geisser corrections if the assumption of sphericity was violated.

Interneuron distribution along the cortical radial axis of E18.5 Dscam1GT/GT, Dlx5/6-CIE and Dscaml1+/-; Dlx5/6-CIE brains was assessed following IHC against EGFP, on coronal sections at a rostral and caudal level. In total three Dscam1GT/GT, Dlx5/6-CIE and two Dscaml1+/-; Dlx5/6-CIE brains were analyzed, each including two individual technical replicates. A rectangle of 200µm x 550µm (rostral) and 200 × 500 µm (caudal) in the same medio-lateral region in the cortex was delineated, and further divided into 10 bins (bin1 = pial to bin10 = ventricular) of equal size using Fiji. Integrated density was quantified per bin, and normalized for area.

Radial migration following IUEP was assessed by measuring the distribution of TdTomato fluorescence along the cortical radius in confocal images (4 µm step maximum Z-stacks projections) of coronal brain sections. TdTomato fluorescence intensity values were acquired within 200 µm dorso-lateral cortex sectors, divided in 10 equal bins, with the ImageJ Plot Profile function. A mixed model ANOVA test with bin as a within-subject factor and expression construct as a between-subject factor was carried out to compare means per bin across treatment groups. Greenhouse-Geisser corrections were applied when the assumption of sphericity was violated by data.

MGE explant migration was quantified by measuring linear distances from explant edge of TdTomato+ neurons on mixed brightfield-fluorescence confocal images (2 µm step maximum Z-stacks projections) (Figures 7B–E) with the ImageJ Measure function. Morphological analysis of MGE explant-derived neurons was performed using the SNT ImageJ plugin (Longair et al., 2011; Arshadi et al., 2020). A minimum of 9 explants obtained from at least two experimental replicates were analyzed per treatment group. Means per experimental group were
The first marker analyzed was Ebf1, a transcription factor predominantly labeling postmitotic neurons of the matrix component, and providing essential functions in normal striatal development (Garell et al., 1999; Lobo et al., 2008; Faedo et al., 2001).

RESULTS

Dscam and Dscaml1 Are Dynamically Expressed During Embryonic Forebrain Development

Dscam and Dscaml1 expression patterns in the mouse telencephalon during embryonic development have been so far poorly characterized. Thus, to better understand in which cellular and neurostructural context they might provide crucial functions, the spatiotemporal dynamics of Dscam and Dscaml1 expression were first analyzed by in situ hybridization and X-gal staining.

At embryonic day (E) 13.5 \((n=5)\), Dscam was found to be strongly expressed in postmitotic layers of the developing cortex [the marginal zone (MZ) and the preplate (PP)/cortical plate (CP)], and in mantle regions of the ventral telencephalon (vTel) surrounding the internal capsule (IC), comprising the subpallial corridor dorsally and the globus pallidus ventrally. Sparse transcription was also observed in the presumptive striatum and amygdala, and in pial surface layers (Figures 1A,B).

At E16.5 \((n=5)\), Dscam expression appeared to have extended to all cortical layers, except for the ventricular zone (VZ), and was found to be particularly robust in deeper cortical plate regions. Similarly, in the E16.5 vTel Dscam mRNA could also be clearly detected in progenitor zones, particularly the subventricular zone (SVZ), and additionally in the piriform cortex (Figures 1E,F).

Concerning Dscaml1 expression, ISH at E13.5 \((n=5)\) revealed similar transcriptional patterns to those of Dscam; however, high mRNA levels were additionally observed in subventricular layers of both cortex and vTel, and expression at the cortical MZ and the vTel pial surface appeared stronger and denser at more rostral levels (Figures 1C,D). At E16.5 \((n=5)\), high transcriptional activity was still observed in both cortical and subpallial SVZs, and vTel ventral surface regions corresponding to the piriform cortex and cell populations delineating the lateral olfactory tract (LOT); sparse expression could be further detected at the VZs, the subplate, the deep CP, the MZ, the vTel VZ, the striatum, and the amygdala (Figures 1G,H). These findings align with expression patterns collected in the Allen Developing Mouse Brain Atlas (Thompson et al., 2014).

In the absence of sufficiently specific and sensitive antibodies against DSCAML1 epitopes for IHC purposes, DSCAML1 protein expression patterns in the developing mouse forebrain were investigated by whole mount X-gal staining on Dscaml1\(^{GT/GT}\) and Dscaml1\(^{GT/GT}\) brains at E13.5 and E16.5 \((n=3\) per genotype for both time-points). In these mice, the insertion of a gene-trap vector in the 3rd Dscaml1 intron resulted in the production of a non-functional N-terminal DSCAML1-β-galactosidase fusion protein (Fuerst et al., 2009). While overlapping domains of protein synthesis and mRNA expression were found, there were also significant discrepancies in their pattern. At E13.5, X-gal stainings highlighted translation only in a few areas where transcription was detected by ISH, namely the MZ, the pial surface of the vTel, the pallidum, and a vTel mantle region delineating the pallial-subpallial boundary (Supplementary Figures 1A–D). Similarly, E16.5 samples indicated protein production resembling mRNA expression in the dorsal pallium, but distinct in the caudate-putamen, the amygdala, demonstrating remarkably high levels in areas surrounding the LOT, in particular the nucleus of the LOT (nLOT), the anterior and central amygdaloid areas, and the cortical, medial, and basolateral amygdaloid nuclei (Supplementary Figures 1E–H). Whether this is due to differences in protein half-life and/or post-transcriptional regulation remains to be determined.

Striatal Development Occurs in an Overall Normal Manner in Dscaml1 Null Mutants

Expression of Dscaml1 seemed prominent in the ventral telencephalon (Figures 1C,D and Supplementary Figures 1A–D). Similarly, E16.5 Dscam\(^{GT/GT}\) vs. wild-type embryonic brain at E17.5 and E18.5, which corresponds to a peak in SVZ-specific matrix neurogenesis (van der Kooy and Fishell, 1987; Hamasaki et al., 2001). The first marker analyzed was Ebf1, a transcription factor predominantly labeling postmitotic neurons of the matrix component, and providing essential functions in normal striatal development (Garell et al., 1999; Lobo et al., 2008; Faedo et al., 2017; Tinterri et al., 2018). ISH with Ebf1 mRNA-specific antisense probes revealed that at E18.5 \((n=4\) per genotype) expression of this striatal marker occurred comparably in wild-type (Figures 2A,B) and Dscaml1\(^{GT/GT}\) (Figures 2C,D) brains; transcription appeared preserved throughout postmitotic neurons of the caudate-putamen region in Dscaml1\(^{GT/GT}\) specimens, and, like in wild-type sections, concentrated in the striatal matrix compartment. Moreover, at dorsal vTel level, in the SVZ/upper mantle area, neurons expressing Ebf1 at E18.5 delineated a compact cell layer in both wild-type and Dscaml1\(^{GT/GT}\) rostral brain sections, suggesting a correct distribution of striatal neurons at the site where loss of X-gal staining in Dscaml1\(^{GT/GT}\) was previously detected.

Since Ebf1 represents a striatal subpopulation-specific cell fate marker, protein synthesis patterns of CTIP2, a transcription factor crucially involved in medium spiny neuron (MSN) differentiation and striatal cytoarchitecture establishment (Arlotta et al., 2008; Tinterri et al., 2018), were further investigated to acquire a broader view of caudate-putamen development in Dscaml1\(^{GT/GT}\) embryonic brains. Comparison of immunostainings on E17.5 brain sections from wild-type and...
**FIGURE 1** | Expression of *Dscam* and *Dscaml1* mRNA in the developing mouse forebrain. Levels of mRNA expression were detected by ISH with antisense RNA probes. (A–D) *Dscam* and *Dscaml1* expression in coronal sections of wild-type E13.5 mouse brains. (A,B) *Dscam* is restrictedly expressed in post-mitotic regions of both E13.5 dorsal and ventral telencephalon across the rostro-caudal axis. Within the subpallium, high transcription levels are specifically observed in structures surrounding the IC, corresponding to the corridor and the GP; sparse expression is also detected throughout the mantle region, in presumptive striatal and amygdalar territories (B). (C,D) *Dscaml1* transcription at E13.5 occurs mostly in post-mitotic areas of the dorsal and ventral telencephalon, as well as subventricular progenitor zones. Robust expression is observed in territories where *Dscam* mRNA is also present, e.g., in subpallial cell populations of the corridor, GP, presumptive striatum and amygdala, and is additionally detected in the SVZ (D). In the dorsal pallium, *Dscaml1* mRNA is observed in some cells of the MZ and IZ/SVZ (D’). (E–H) *Dscam* and *Dscaml1* expression in coronal sections of wild-type E16.5 mouse brains. (E,F) At E16.5, *Dscam* remains robustly, but sparsely expressed in subpallial areas surrounding the IC; transcription furthermore extends to the pial surface ventrally, and the VZ/SVZ dorsally across the rostro-caudal axis. In this latter region, expression reaches high levels comparable to those found in IC-adjacent areas (F). In the dorsal forebrain, *Dscam* mRNA is detected in sparse cells occupying most cortical layers; highest transcription levels can be observed in the CP and the SVZ (F). *Dscam* expression can also be observed at PC level. (G,H) In the E16.5 telencephalon, *Dscaml1* shows maximum subpallial expression in the SVZ; sparse cells presenting moderate transcription can be additionally detected ventrally to this region, particularly in GP, presumptive amygdala, and presumptive striatum populations (H). At cortical level, *Dscaml1* mRNA is detected at high levels in most cells of the VZ and SVZ; sparse cells showing robust transcription can be observed throughout the radial extension, with a higher accumulation at the CP; MZ (H’). Am, amygdala; Co, corridor; CP, cortical plate; GP, globus pallidus; IC, internal capsule; IZ, intermediate zone; LGE, lateral ganglionic eminence; MGE, medial ganglionic eminence; Mnt, mantle; MZ, marginal zone; PC, piriform cortex; PS, pial surface; SP, subplate; Str, striatum; SVZ, subventricular zone; VZ, ventricular zone. Scale: (A–D), 500 µm; (E–H), 500 µm.

*Dscaml1* GT/GT specimens (*n* = 4 per genotype) (Figures 2E,F) indicated a normal spatiotemporal transcription of CTIP2 of the *Dscaml1* GT/GT vTel, and a proper distribution of MSNs in the dorsal striatum with *Dscaml1* LOF.

Based on analysis of striatal markers Ebf1 and CTIP2, no gross abnormalities could be detected in the embryonic development of caudate and putamen nuclei in DSCAML1-deficient mouse brains.

**Subpallial Cytoarchitecture and Internal Capsule Tracts Remain Properly Established With *Dscam* or *Dscaml1* Loss of Function**

ISH and IHC experiments highlighted the expression of both *Dscam* and *Dscaml1* in both pallial and subpallial domains delineating pathways where IC axonal tracts, including cortical-subcortical connections such as the thalamocortical and corticothalamic axons (TCA and CTA), elongate during embryonic development. These projections extend in the vTel starting as early as E11.5 until ~E15.5, supported in their navigation by intermediate subpallial targets expressing critical guidance cues, such as the corridor region and the striatum (Auladell et al., 2000; Molnár et al., 2012; Garel and López-Bendito, 2014). The presence of DSCAM and DSCAML1 in these structures therefore hinted at possible roles in the embryonic establishment of forebrain connectivity, likely by contributing to vTel morphogenetic processes.

To first test this hypothesis, the correct formation of subpallial territories allowing TCAs and CTAs to proceed toward the cortex within the IC was investigated by double IHC for the transcription factors Islet1 and Nkx2.1. At
FIGURE 2 | Normal striatal development in embryonic Dscam1 null mutant mouse brains. (A–D) Ebf1 mRNA expression, detected by ISH with antisense RNA probes, in coronal sections of wild-type and Dscam1<sup>GT/GT</sup> E18.5 mouse brains. Spatial expression patterns of Ebf1, a marker of striatal postmitotic neurons mostly populating the matrix compartment, detected in Dscam1<sup>GT/GT</sup> specimens (C,D) are comparable to those observed in wild-type brain tissue (A,B) across the rostro-caudal axis. In both cases, Ebf1-expressing neurons span the ventral SVZ and mantle regions of the vTel in a compact manner rostrally (A,C), and delineate the corridor region dorsal to the IC caudally (B,D). Moreover, ISH results highlight the preservation of the striatome/matrix cytoarchitecture in the striatum of Dscam1<sup>GT/GT</sup> animals (A), as compared to wild-type mice (C). (E,F) IHC for the striatal medium spiny neuron marker CTIP2 (green) on coronal E18.5 brain sections reveals similar patterns of expression between wild-type (E) and Dscam1<sup>GT/GT</sup> embryos (F). As expected in normal development, Dscam1<sup>GT/GT</sup> CTIP2<sup>+</sup> neurons are present at high density in SVZ and mantle subpallial regions; at intermediate rostro-caudal levels, CTIP2<sup>+</sup> cells populate the corridor region, while being mostly absent from the GP. Scale: 500 µm.

intermediate stages of TCA and CTA development (E12–E15), these proteins mark two distinct vTel populations, the LGE-derived corridor and striatal cells and the MGE-derived globus pallidus neurons, respectively permissive and repellent to TCA growth (López-Bendito et al., 2006). IHC performed on wild-type, Dscam<sup>del17/del17</sup>, and Dscam1<sup>GT/GT</sup> brain sections at E13.5 (<i>n</i> = 3 per genotype) (Figures 3A–C), when corridor neurons are expected to have fully migrated from the LGE to the MGE mantle area dorsal to the globus pallidus, demonstrated similar Islet1 and Nkx2.1 immunostaining patterns between wild-type and mutant specimens. At IC level, Islet1 was detected in a narrow band of cells lining a pathway for TCAs between the Nkx2.1+ globus pallidus and the SVZ of the MGE, corresponding to the proper location of corridor neurons at this developmental stage. Additionally, immunostaining could be clearly observed in LGE-derived striatal regions. Thus, Islet1/Nkx2.1 IHCs provided evidence for an appropriate cytoarchitectural development and cellular
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FIGURE 3 | Preserved subpallial cytoarchitecture and IC connectivity in embryonic Dscam and Dscam1 null mutant mouse brains. (A–C) Double IHC for Nkx2.1 (green) and Islet1 (red) on E13.5 coronal brain sections indicates the normal organization, at this stage, of Islet1+ and Nkx2.1+ cell domains in the developing vTel of Dscam<sup>del17/del17</sup> (B) and Dscaml1<sup>GT/GT</sup> (C) mouse brains, as compared to wild-type specimens (A). LGE-derived, Islet1-expressing neurons can be observed sparsely in the SVZ, and at high density throughout the striatum; Islet1+ cells additionally form a narrow band (i.e., the subpallial corridor; white arrowheads) dorsally adjacent to the GP, which is characterized by cells derived from MGE progenitors and thus expressing Nkx2.1. (D–N) Neuroanatomical tracing of TCAs and CTAs with...
differntiation of subpallial territories required for TCA and CTA axonal guidance.

While proper vTel morphogenesis was observed in Dscam and Dscaml1 knockout mice, it could not be excluded that the function of both corridor and striatal territories might be altered in these animals, and thus still give rise to topographical IC axonal sorting issues. Moreover, the presence of both DSCAM molecules in the developing cortex suggested potential TCA navigation and targeting functions at pallial level. To explore the possibility of reciprocal connectivity alterations between neocortical areas and dorsal thalamic nuclei arising due to defects in TCA/CTA guidance with Dscam or Dscaml1 LOF, targeted axonal tracing experiments were performed in wild-type and mutant mouse brains at late embryonic development stages, at which major axonal tracts have been established. Mixed retrograde and anterograde double-tracing experiments were carried out by placing crystals of the carbocyanine dyes DiI and DiA in, respectively, occipital and parietal cortical areas of Dscam del17/17, Dscaml1 GT/GT, and wild-type E17.5 mouse brains (n = 3 per genotype) (Figures 3D–N). Neuroanatomical tracings indicated that connectivity between different TCA subsets and their cognate cortical domains is preserved in both Dscam del17/17 and Dscaml1 GT/GT mice. Like in wild-type mouse brains, DiA crystals placed in the parietal cortex of knockout specimens, at the level of somatosensory areas, led to the back-labeling of a medial ventrobasal complex (VB) cell population. Furthermore, DiI crystals placed in the occipital cortex, diffusing within visual and auditory processing regions, retrogradely traced somas in a dorsal VB neuronal subset and within the dorsal lateral geniculate nucleus in both wild-type and mutant brains.

To better investigate the formation and spatial organization of IC axonal tracts in mouse brains lacking Dscam or Dscaml1, IHC for the 165 kDa neurofilament (NF) subunit, a pan-neuronal marker, was additionally performed on Dscam del17/17, Dscaml1 GT/GT, and wild-type E17.5 brain sections (n = 3 per genotype) (Figures 3O–Q). Consistently with previous findings, TCAs and CTAs were observed to correctly navigate the vTel in both Dscam and Dscaml1 knockout forebrains, traversing the medial subpallium in a tight axonal bundle (i.e., the IC), while extending in a fan-like shape in the lateral subpallium. At cortical level, thalamocortical and corticofugal projections elongated in a compact tract within the IC, as normally expected. Moreover, the examination of striatonigral and nigrostriatal connections, which also express NF (Uemura et al., 2007) and elongate within the IC, revealed a preserved spatial organization of other IC projections with Dscam and Dscaml1 LOF.

**Interneuron Migration Is Grossly Preserved in Developing Brains Lacking Dscam or Dscaml1**

ISH and X-gal experiments highlighted the presence of DSCAM and DSCAML1 in forebrain regions corresponding to neural territories where immature cortical interneurons (INs) originate (e.g., the subpallial SVZ and mantle) or migrate into (e.g., the cortical MZ and IZ) during embryonic development. To investigate whether Dscam or Dscaml1 LOF affects the navigation of GABAergic neurons toward their cortical targets, INs were first studied in Dscam del17/17 and Dscaml1 GT/GT vs. wild-type embryonic brains via ISH with DIG-labeled antisense Gad1 probes. ISH experiments were performed at E13.5 in Dscam del17/17 brains, and at E16.5 in Dscaml1 GT/GT brains, based on X-gal staining results suggesting the absence of DSCAML1 transcription in most subpallial and cortical areas around E13.5. ISH results indicated that the absence of DSCAM does not affect cortical IN generation or population of the cortex by cortical IN: at E13.5, Gad1-expressing cells were abundantly found as normally expected in post-mitotic regions of the subpallium, and from this area MZ and SVZ/IZ streams of migrating INs could be clearly detected in both Dscam del17/17 and wild-type coronal brain sections (Supplementary Figures 2A,B). These streams could be observed to extend tangentially within the developing cortex to a comparable degree and density in Dscam del17/17 and wild-type specimens (n = 3 per genotype). At E16.5, loss of Dscaml1 also did not seem to impair IN entry in the dorsal pallium (Figures 4A–D), as Gad1-expressing cells were detected throughout post-mitotic vTel territories, and delineated MZ and SVZ/IZ streams of INs extending uniformly from the subpallium to the cortical hem. Within the cortex, GABAergic neurons were found at high densities within the aforementioned streams, and in addition more sparsely across the cortical radius, in particular within the CP layer.

As Dscam1 expression occurs robustly in the vTel SVZ (a progenitor zone for cortical INs) throughout later stages of embryonic development, and is also maintained at the level of both cortical IN streams, GABAergic cell migration was further investigated at a peri-natal developmental time-point, E18.5, in...
FIGURE 4 | Interneuron migration in Dscam1 null mutant mouse brains. (A-D) Gad1 mRNA expression, detected by ISH with antisense RNA probes, in coronal sections of wild-type (A,B) and Dscam1<sup>GT/GT</sup> E16.5 (C,D) mouse brains. In both cases, Gad1-expressing neurons can be observed from SVZ to pial surface regions (Continued)
Dscam1GT/GT; Dlx5/6-Cre-IRESCFP (Dscam1GT/GT; Dlx5/6-CIE) and Dscam1+/+; Dlx5/6-Cre-IRESCFP (Dscam1GT/GT; Dlx5/6-CIE) mutant mice, in which cortical INs are endogenously labeled by EGFP. IHC for EGFP on coronal sections derived from subpallial territories expressing Dlx5/6-CIE, similarly distribute in the cortex of wild-type (E,F) and Dscam1GT/GT mutant specimens (G,H) in both tangential and radial directions. An accumulation of Dlx5/6-labeled neurons is observable at MZ level in Dscam1GT/GT brain sections compared to wild-type sections (E,H), black arrowheads. (J) Quantification of interneuron distribution on coronal sections from Dscam1GT/GT; Dlx5/6-CIE and Dscam1GT/GT; Dlx5/6-CIE E18.5 brains. In both rostral (I) and caudal (J) sections, EGFP-labeled interneuron accumulation is confined to Bin 2 (with Bin 1 and 10 corresponding, respectively, to the most superficial and deeper rabs the cortex is divided into) in Dscam1GT/GT brains compared to wild-type brains. Scale: (A–D), 500 μm; (E–H), 500 μm.

Embryonic Cortical Development and Lamination Are Unaffected by Dscam or Dscam1 Loss of Function

Expression data suggested that DSCAM and DSCAML1 are consistently present, albeit in different patterns, within the developing murine neocortex, and previous studies reported changes in cortical migration and thickness with Dscam or Dscam1 transcriptional suppression. These phenotypes were observed at early post-natal stages, implicating embryonic processes in the emergence of such defects. Thus, the development of cortical layers in DscamΔC17 and DscamGT mutant mice was investigated at E17.5 via immunostaining with antibodies against the transcription factors Tbr1, Ctip2 (Bcl11b), and Satb2, markers, respectively of subplate and Cortical Projection Neurons (Bcl11b), and Satb2, markers, respectively of subplate and radial directions. An accumulation of Dlx5/6-labeled neurons is observable at MZ level in Dscam1GT/GT brain sections compared to wild-type sections (E,H), black arrowheads. (J) Quantification of interneuron distribution on coronal sections from Dscam1GT/GT; Dlx5/6-CIE and Dscam1GT/GT; Dlx5/6-CIE E18.5 brains. In both rostral (I) and caudal (J) sections, EGFP-labeled interneuron accumulation is confined to Bin 2 (with Bin 1 and 10 corresponding, respectively, to the most superficial and deeper radial bins the cortex is divided into) in Dscam1GT/GT brains compared to wild-type brains. Scale: (A–D), 500 μm; (E–H), 500 μm.

Embryonic Cortical Development and Lamination Are Unaffected by Dscam or Dscam1 Loss of Function

Expression data suggested that DSCAM and DSCAML1 are consistently present, albeit in different patterns, within the developing murine neocortex, and previous studies reported changes in cortical migration and thickness with Dscam or Dscam1 transcriptional suppression. These phenotypes were observed at early post-natal stages, implicating embryonic processes in the emergence of such defects. Thus, the development of cortical layers in DscamΔC17 and DscamGT mutant mice was investigated at E17.5 via immunostaining with antibodies against the transcription factors Tbr1, Ctip2 (Bcl11b), and Satb2, markers, respectively of subplate and early born, early born, and late born cortical pyramidal neurons (Bulfone et al., 1995; Hevner et al., 2001; Leid et al., 2004; Arlotta et al., 2005; Britanova et al., 2008; Fishell and Hanashima, 2008) (Figures 5A–D). In parallel, cortical thickness was measured in all specimens to detect potential reductions in radial expansion. However, comparisons across DscamΔC17ΔC17, DscamGT/GT, and wild type mutant brains (n = 3 per genotype, three sections across the rostro-caudal axis per brain) did not unveil any significant differences in terms of total number of immunostained cells per 100-μm-wide tissue sector (Figure 5E) [Fgenotype(2,6) = 0.126, p = 0.884], number of either Satb2+-, Ctip2+ or Tbr1+ neurons per 100-μm-wide tissue sector (Figure 5F) [Fgenotype(2,6) = 0.301, p = 0.750; Fgenotype(2,6) = 0.070, p = 0.933; Fgenotype(2,6) = 0.069, p = 0.934], and cortical thickness (Figure 5G) (Fgenotype(2,6) = 0.008, p = 0.992). Moreover, statistical analysis of these measures at either anterior, intermediate, or posterior positions on the rostro-caudal axis yielded similar results, with a non-significant effect of genotype on cortical thickness (Supplementary Figure 3A) [Fposition × genotype(4,12) = 0.300, p = 0.805], total number of immunostained cells per sector (not shown) [Fposition × genotype(4,12) = 0.596, p = 0.673], and number of Satb2+-, Ctip2+, or Tbr1+ cells per sector (Supplementary Figures 3B–D) (Fposition × genotype(4,12) = 0.071, p = 0.990; Fposition × genotype(4,12) = 1.226, p = 0.351; Fposition × genotype(4,12) = 1.209, p = 0.357) at each rostro-caudal level. Taken together, these results point to a preserved overall development and lamination of the embryonic murine cortex in the absence of DSCAM or DSCAML1.

In summary, despite clear expression of DSCAM or DSCAML1 in cortical and subcortical areas, constitutive loss-of-function on the C57BL/6J background did not strongly affect cortical lamination, interneuron migration nor corticothalamic circuitry formation.

Dscam or Dscam1 Gain of Function Affects the Embryonic Migration of Cortical Projection Neurons in vivo

Modeling DSCAM and DSCAML1 CNS overexpression in a mammalian species has the potential to unravel how disorders such as Down syndrome, in which DSCAM levels are known to be elevated in the fetal brain, and distal trisomy 11q, which involves the duplication of a chromosomal region including DSCAML1, develop in humans. Given the reduced cell number and anomalous neuronal organization observed within specific neocortex regions and layers of DS brains already at mid-to-late gestational stages (Colon, 1972; Becker et al., 1991; Golden and Hyman, 1994; Haydar and Reeves, 2012; Lott, 2012), we sought to examine the effects of Dscam and Dscam1 gain of function (GOF) within the developing mammalian neocortex. In particular, we focused on the process of radial migration of pyramidal neurons, since knockout/knockdown experiments in mouse brains pointed to possible roles of DSCAM and DSCAML1 in this context (Maynard and Stein, 2012; Zhang et al., 2015).

GOF was thus modeled in the murine embryonic cortex in vivo via in utero electroporation (Tabata and Nakajima, 2001) of C-terminally-tagged DSCAM/DSCAML1 and tdTomato expression vectors (pCAGGS-DSCAM-EYFP-HA; Dscam1ΔCIE-EYFP-HA; pCAGGS-DSCAML1-EYFP-HA; Dscam1ΔCIE-EYFP-HA), while constructs driving the production of EYFP-HA and tdTomato were used in control specimens (pCAGGS-EYFP-HA; Dscam1ΔCIE-EYFP-HA). All constructs were pre-tested in vitro in mouse Neuro 2a cells, which were transfected and cultured...
for 2 days before imaging and protein extraction. Inspection of cellular resolution confocal images of successfully transfected (i.e., tdTomato-labeled) cells confirmed the synthesis and correct localization at cytoplasmic and membrane level of EYFP-tagged proteins (Supplementary Figures 4A–D); further investigation via Western Blot demonstrated the production of full-length, EYFP- and HA-tagged DSCAM and DSCAML1 in the transfected Neuro 2a cells (Supplementary Figure 4E).

In utero electroporation was performed at E14.5, leading to targeting of layer II/III and IV neurons (Takahashi et al., 1999; Taniguchi et al., 2012). Layer II/III neurons were reported to be the most affected around birth by DSCAM and DSCAML1 loss-of-function in the work of Maynard and Stein (2012) and Zhang et al. (2015), and layer II/III and IV neurons also show abnormalities in DS (Ross et al., 1984; Wisniewski and Rabe, 1986; Lott, 2012). Migration of tdTomato+ neurons was assessed in dorso-lateral cortical regions 4 days post-electroporation, at E18.5 (Figure 6A), once electroporated neurons had reached the uppermost regions of the CP in control conditions (Figures 6B,E). To verify the production and localization of the tagged DSCAM and DSCAML1 proteins in the transfected projection neurons, immunolabeling of EYFP in tdTomato+ neurons was examined in confocal images at cellular resolution. This analysis demonstrated the synthesis of EYFP-labeled proteins with both tagged DSCAM and DSCAML1 expression construct transfection, and an accumulation of these two molecules within cytoplasmic and plasma membrane compartments of the immature neurons’ leading processes, particularly in soma-proximal areas (Supplementary Figures 4F,G).

Compared to EYFP-HA and tdTomato expressing neurons, which mostly accumulated in upper CP layers, cells expressing DSCAM-EYFP-HA or DSCAML1-EYFP-HA with tdTomato seemed to distribute across the cortical radial extension more sparsely (Figures 6B–G). To quantify this variation in distribution of tdTomato+ cells, tdTomato fluorescence
FIGURE 6 | Effect of DSCAM and DSCAML1 in vivo gain of function in cortical projection neurons transfected with EYFP- and HA-tag labeled protein expression constructs. (A–G) Radial migration of cortical neuron electroporated with EYFP-HA (control) (B,E), DSCAM-EYFP-HA (C,F), or DSCAML1-EYFP-HA (D,G) expression constructs at E14.5. Electroporated cells are detected by co-expression of tdTomato in coronal sections of electroporated E18.5 mouse brains. Section are immunostained for tdTomato before analysis for signal enhancement. (A) Schematic representation of the dorso-lateral cortical region targeted via IUEP and represented in panels (B–G), at two positions of the rostro-caudal axis. Radial distributions of tdTomato+ cells show mild differences between control and DSCAM/DSCAML1 expression construct-transfected specimens: tdTomato+ cells accumulate at the uppermost cortical layers in control conditions, whereas DSCAM and DSCAML1-overexpressing neurons spread more evenly across cortical zones. (H,I) Analysis of electroporated neurons’ migration in E18.5 coronal brain sections. (H) tdTomato fluorescence intensity after immunostaining is measured in 200 µm-wide columns from the upper IZ to the MZ, subdivided radially in ten equally-sized bins. (I) Graph depicting average tdTomato fluorescence intensity levels per radial bin, expressed as percentages of total fluorescence intensity quantified over all ten bins, measured from brains electroporated with EYFP-HA (control), DSCAM-EYFP-HA, or DSCAML1-EYFP-HA expression constructs. A comparison of fluorescence distribution profiles highlights subtle, non-statistically significant differences in radial migration between control and tagged DSCAM/DSCAML1 expression conditions (n = 5–6 brains/group, mixed ANOVA test). Graph data represent mean ± S.E.M values. CP, cortical plate; IZ: intermediate zone; MZ: marginal zone. Scale: (B–G), 200 µm.

was measured in columnar sectors, divided radially in 10 equal bins, of the electroporated cortices from the upper IZ to the MZ, and expressed for each bin as a percentage of total fluorescence (EYFP-HA group: n = 6; DSCAM-EYFP-HA group: n = 5; DSCAML1-EYFP-HA group: n = 5) (Figure 6H). While overall the tdTomato fluorescence distribution profiles across bins reflected the observed differences between control and DSCAM/DSCAML1 overexpression vector-transfected neurons (Figure 6I), statistical analysis indicated these profiles to non-significantly differ across electroporation groups over all bins (F_expression construct(2,13) = 0.518, p = 0.608; F_bin × expression construct(4.222,27.443) = 1.423, p = 0.252). Our data suggest that DSCAML1 and DSCAM overexpression only has a mild effect on radial migration of projection neurons in the cortex during late embryonic development.

**Dscam or Dscaml1 Gain of Function Impairs Migration and Process Development of Cortical Interneurons in vitro**

In DS, defects in cortical layers II and III are associated to a striking reduction in the number of small granular cells, likely related to the GABAergic aspinous stellate cell type (Ross et al., 1984). Indeed, GABA neurotransmitter levels are
FIGURE 7 | Effect of DSCAM and DSCAML1 in vitro gain of function in MGE-derived interneurons transfected with EYFP- and HA-tag labeled protein expression constructs. (A) Schematic illustration of the ex vivo MGE explant electroporation model used to study DSCAM and DSCAML1 overexpression effects on IN migration. (Continued)
Decreased in DS brains at fetal developmental stages (Whittle et al., 2007). Moreover, in Dlx1/2 double knockout mutant mice, in which immature INs migrating to the neocortex show an abnormal morphology in correspondence to an impaired tangential migration ability, embryonic subpallial Dscam mRNA levels are increased (Cobos et al., 2007). These findings suggest the possibility that overexpression of DSCAM proteins during embryonic development might impact the tangential migration or laminar positioning of cortical INs. We therefore modeled Dscam and Dscaml1 GOF in mouse INs via ex vivo E13.5 MGE-targeted electroporation of C-terminally tagged DSCAM/DSCAML1 expression constructs (see section Dscam or Dscam1 Gain of Function Affects the Embryonic Migration of Cortical Projection Neurons in vivo; subsequently, transfected IN migration was examined in Matrigel-embedded MGE explant cultures maintained in vitro for 2 days (Figure 7A). To easily identify MGE-derived INs, electroporation was performed in brains from Dlx5/6-Cre-ires-EGFP mutant embryos, in which all postmitotic neurons express EGFP throughout embryonic development (Stenman et al., 2003). Migration was quantified by measuring distances traveled by transfected (i.e., tdTomato+) neurons from the edge of the explants (Figure 7B) in EYFP-HA (control; n = 306), DSCAM-EYFP-HA (DSCAM; n = 324), and DSCAML1-EYFP-HA (DSCAML1; n = 341) expression conditions. Example images of resulting explants are depicted in Figures 7C–E.

Statistical analysis indicated an overall difference in “distance from explant edge” measures across treatment groups [H(3) = 130.194, P < 0.001; mean distance rank scores: control = 612.49; DSCAM = 357.75; DSCAML1 = 488.96]. Pairwise post-hoc tests revealed that both overexpression of tagged DSCAM and DSCAML1 induced a significant reduction in IN spreading (p < 0.001 vs. control for both comparisons), with DSCAML1 overexpression leading to a more severe phenotype than DSCAM1 overexpression (p < 0.001) (Figure 7F).

Directed IN migration relies on the extension of a leading process (LP), which undergoes branching as the neuron explores the surrounding environment, paired with nucleokinesis; in turn, these processes are dependent on dynamic, extracellular cue-modulated microtubule and actin cytoskeleton remodeling events (Métin et al., 2006; Guo and Anton, 2014). Proper morphological development of the LP is thus essential for correct IN navigation, and interestingly several studies have provided a link between DSCAM-dependent intracellular signaling pathways or interactors and molecular networks controlling cytoskeletal remodeling (Liu et al., 2009; Purohit et al., 2012; Kamiyama et al., 2015; Okamura et al., 2015; Pérez-Núñez et al., 2016; Huo et al., 2018; Sachse et al., 2019). Thus, to gain insight into potential mechanisms underlying the migration defect observed in the explant EP assay previously described, we performed a morphological analysis of MGE-derived INs transfected with either control, tagged DSCAM, or tagged DSCAML1 expression constructs (n = 255, 153, 147). In particular, total LP length, average branch length, and primary branch length were measured for all neurons (Figures 7H–J), and each cell was categorized according to the morphology of the LP as “no process,” “unbranched process,” “single-branch process,” and “multi-branch process” (Figure 7G). This analysis overall revealed a significant effect of tagged DSCAML1 overexpression on IN morphology ex vivo.

First, compared to control and DSCAM expression construct-transfected neurons, a significantly smaller percentage of INs transfected with DSCAML1 expression constructs represented “multi-branch process” cells [χ^2(6, N=616) = 12.895757, P < 0.004]. Secondly, total LP length was found to be affected by the type of construct transfected [H(2) = 40.467, P < 0.001]; post-hoc pairwise comparisons revealed this measure to be significantly reduced in DSCAML1 vs. control or DSCAM expression construct-transfected INs (p < 0.001), whereas no differences could be detected between DSCAM and control transfection groups (p = 0.380). However, no effect of expression...
construct transfected was observed on either average branch length \( H(2) = 1.436, P = 0.488 \) or primary branch length \( H(2) = 1.286, P = 0.526 \) measures.

In summary, while increased DSCAM levels significantly reduced IN spreading, we could not link this phenotype to obvious neurite growth or morphology defects. In contrast, we found the impaired migration resulting from DSCAML1 overexpression to be associated with a lower IN neurite branching complexity, suggesting different, yet related mechanisms of action of these molecules in INs.

**DISCUSSION**

This study first aimed to survey potential effects of generalized loss of DSCAM or DSCAML1 on cortical development, including lamination, patterning, and connectivity. The impact of such a loss seemed to be rather minimal. The absence of significant defects in forebrain patterning in DSCAM and DSCAML1 knockout mice was quite unexpected. Studying the cortical morphology of Dscam\(^{17}\) mice, Maynard and Stein observed that homozygous mutant neonates exhibit an early post-natal (P1 to P10) reduction in cortical thickness attributable to a thinning of Cux1+ layers II and III, suggesting a specific role of DSCAM in the development of pyramidal neurons born around and after E14. This cortical phenotype was not accompanied by either an embryonic decrease in cell proliferation, tested via the administration of a BrdU pulse at E16.5, or an increased programmed cell death rate, assessed with a TUNEL assay at E16.5 and P1 (Maynard and Stein, 2012). As this reduced thickness was transient, it might have been caused by a delayed radial migration of the upper cortical layers. In a study where cortical expression of either Dscam or Dscam11 was suppressed in vivo via RNA interference, knockdown at E15.5 was found to impair radial migration of projection neurons at early post-natal stages, leading to a partial mispositioning of presumptive layer II/III neurons in layers IV/V observable for more than 2 weeks after birth (Zhang et al., 2015). Our data however could not substantiate a reduced thickness of upper cortical layers in the absence of DSCAM/DSCAML1, nor a significant defect in radial migration of late-born cortical neurons upon DSCAM/DSCAML1 overproduction, ruling out a major early role for DSCAMs in this process. The seeming discrepancy with the study of Maynard and Stein that detected reduced upper layer thickness at P1 might root in the different mouse background used, or in a specific defect in neurons populating the upper layers between E17.5 and P1. Whether DSCAMs might have a role in radial migration of a specific subpopulation of neurons, labeled by Cux1 but not Satb2 (Leone et al., 2015), needs further investigation. Considering the subtlety of the radial migration-related phenotypes examined, it is also possible that significant effects of a neuronal positioning delay/impairment due to Dscam/Dscam11 acute dosage variations embryonically might be detectable only at later developmental stages. Data relative to our IUEP-induced DSCAM/DSCAML1 overexpression experiments in particular suggest small, but evident changes in cortical migration dynamics being present 4 days after transfection with expression constructs. The high variability in results obtained via targeted electroporation, coupled with the limited time-frame within which additional DSCAM/DSCAML1 molecules were active, could have masked the impact of our genetic manipulations. Thus, it would be important to analyze the migration of transfected neurons during the first post-natal week in future research.

In the study by Zhang et al. (2015), acute downregulation of DSCAM or DSCAML1 during embryonic development also negatively affected callosal axonal outgrowth. Our findings indicate that loss of DSCAMs does not affect development of thalamocortical connectivity, suggesting that the negative impact of Dscam LOF on callosal connectivity might be a specific defect related to upper layer cortical neurons, rather than a general axonal outgrowth problem emerging in the absence of DSCAMs.

Absence of DSCAMs (particularly DSCAM) in vertebrates has been linked to either increased or decreased neurite outgrowth and branching, depending on the cellular context. Loss of DSCAM function in pyramidal cortical neurons drives a transient post-natal apical dendrite-associated branching and overall length increase, but a basal dendrite-associated branching and overall length decrease, in vivo (Maynard and Stein, 2012). Moreover, Dscam or Dscam11 knockout results in an impaired axonal growth in cultured cortical neurons (Zhang et al., 2015). In retinal ganglion cells (RGCs), DSCAM downregulation leads to reduced axon extension and complexity levels in Xenopus (Santos et al., 2018), and delayed optic nerve outgrowth and thalamic targeting, accompanied by axonal fasciculation impairments, in mice (Bruce et al., 2017). Likewise, chick spinal cord interneurons present reduced axonal fasciculation levels upon DSCAM knockdown (Cohen et al., 2017). On the other hand, DSCAM knockout in Xenopus tectal neurons in vivo is associated with increased denticritic growth and branching rates. Interestingly, disruptive effects on axonal/dendritic growth and branching have been also observed upon DSCAM GOF. In mouse cortical neurons, in vitro overexpression of full-length DSCAM also impairs axonal outgrowth and branching dose-dependently, and increased expression of the DSCAM intracellular domain alone also results in a reduced overall neurite growth (Jain and Welshhans, 2016; Sachse et al., 2019). DSCAM overexpression additionally impairs denticritic branching and extension in mouse cultured hippocampal neurons (Alves-Sampaio et al., 2010) and in tectal neurons of Xenopus tadpoles (Santos et al., 2018). However, Dscam GOF is associated to RGC axonal overgrowth in mouse (Bruce et al., 2017). Overall, research on vertebrate development indicates that DSCAMs play important roles in outgrowth and branching of both dendrites and axons, and influence these processes in a markedly cell type-specific, and sometimes cellular structure-specific, manner. In our study, genetic manipulation of immature cortical inhibitory neurons in vitro resulted in a significant reduction of total LP length only upon DSCAML1 overexpression, an effect likely related to a concomitant impairment of LP branching. Nevertheless, both Dscam and Dscam11 GOF negatively impacted the migration process of post-mitotic INs away from progenitor cell territories in our explant model. The reduction of distances observed from
neurons to explant edges with DSCAML1 overexpression could be directly due to the lower complexity and extension of the LP. Since this structure serves, similarly to the axonal growth cone, as an extracellular cue sensor that primarily orients the movement of migrating INs, defects in LP growth and branching can perturb the probing function of the LP, and lead to delayed and/or disorganized migration (Kappeler et al., 2006; Métin et al., 2006; Nasrallah et al., 2006; Martini et al., 2009; Valiente and Martini, 2009).

Interestingly, cortical INs derived from DS patient induced pluripotent stem cells (iPSC) have been reported to display smaller sizes, less complex neurite morphologies, and migration deficits in vitro as well as in vivo after transplantation in the mouse medial septum. Analysis of molecular pathways in these DS iPSC-derived GABAergic INS has revealed upregulation of PAK1, leading to increased phosphorylated cofilin levels. Pharmacological inhibition of this pathway restored DS iPSC-derived IN migration in vitro, suggesting a causal relation between PAK1 pathway dysregulation and migration defects (Huo et al., 2018). However, our explant EP results exclude significant morphological differences being determined by DSCAM overexpression in migrating INs. The different cell-autonomous effects observed upon DSCAM vs. DSCAML1 overexpression are consistent with the divergence of their intracellular domains, which are estimated to be only 45% identical at protein level and present unique interaction motifs (Agarwala et al., 2001; Fuerst et al., 2009; Cui et al., 2013; Pérez-Núñez et al., 2016). Indeed, the PAK1 binding domain located at the DSCAM C-terminal is one of the most divergent regions between DSCAM and DSCAML1 (Agarwala et al., 2001), thus it is unlikely that DSCAML1 would too activate this signaling pathway. Reduced distance of transfected INs from the explant core could derive from issues in cell-environment or cell-cell interactions. Imbalances in DSCAM-modulated signaling or adhesion might translate in an uncoordinated, non-linear IN migration away from the explant. Furthermore, Dscam GOF might affect other intracellular aspects of the migration process. Additional research is needed to investigate whether, for instance, IN nucleokinesis, saltatory motion dynamics, and centrosome positioning (Polleux et al., 2002; Bellion et al., 2005; Yanagida et al., 2012; Silva et al., 2018) are affected by dosage increases of both DSCAMs.

A general discrepancy in results between our experiments using constitutive LOF and transient, local overexpression models, as well as between our study and previous research, might point toward a mechanistic difference due to the nature of the models themselves, rather than the induced molecular dosage changes. Acute up- or downregulation via electroporation of specifically designed constructs creates a mosaic situation in which some cells have lost or gained DSCAM/DSCAML1, while untargeted cells in the local environment have not. Considering that DSCAMs interact homophilically, such a situation creates a transmembrane signaling protein-related imbalance across neurons that might exacerbate some phenotypes, as a complete LOF/GOF would not affect local cell-cell or cell-environment interaction dynamics, or might be compensated for by other membrane-bound molecules. In addition, electroporation of knockdown or overexpression constructs might induce undesirable toxicity effects on the targeted cells that need to be carefully controlled for.

Taken together, our findings suggest that DSCAM/DSCAML1 are rather dispensable in embryonic cortical development processes. Nevertheless, it is conceivable that dosage levels of a given cell might need to be in balance with those of neighboring cells to allow cell type-specific homophilic interactions. Future research will elucidate the molecular downstream effectors determining the subtle phenotypes observed in this study.
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