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Abstract

Objective: From genome-wide association studies, brain-derived neurotrophic factor (BDNF) locus on chromosome 11 was the only SNP associated with both smoking and body mass index (BMI) in European, African and Asian population. This study aims to explore the unique genetic predisposition to obesity in former smokers by examining the effects of BDNF on BMI and waist circumference (WC).

Methods: The study design is case-control study with a cohort validation in supplementary. We included 15,072 ethnic Chinese participants in the Guangzhou Biobank Cohort Study (GBCS) with data of four BDNF SNPs related to both BMI and smoking behavior. We used baseline smoke exposure data in 2003–2007 and follow-up outcomes of general obesity (by BMI) and central obesity (WC) in 2008–2012. Odds ratios (ORs) and 95% confidence intervals (CIs) for general obesity and central obesity associated with these SNPs were derived from logistic regression.

Results: Of 15,072 participants (3169 men and 11,903 women), 1664 (11.0%) had general and 7868 (52.2%) had central obesity. In 1233 former smokers, the rs6265 GG, versus AA, genotype was associated with higher risks of general obesity (OR = 1.79, 95% CI = 1.06–3.01) and central obesity (OR = 2.08, 95% CI = 1.47–2.92) after adjustment. These associations were not significant in never or current smokers. In former heavy (≥20 cigarettes/day) smokers, the rs6265 GG genotype showed a higher odds for general obesity (OR = 2.15, 95% CI = 1.05–4.40), while no association was found in former light (1–9 cigarettes/day) smokers. Similar results were found for the association of rs6265 with central obesity and for the associations of other two BDNF SNPs (rs4923457 and rs11030104) with both general and central obesity.

Conclusions: We firstly identified the genetic predisposition (BDNF SNPs) to general and central obesity in former smokers, particularly in former heavy smokers. The different associations of the SNPs for general/central obesity in different smoke exposure groups may be related to the competitive performance of the sites and epigenetic modification, which needs further study.
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**Introduction**

Smoking is the leading preventable cause of death worldwide and large scale smoking cessation in the population is urgently needed to reduce the disease burden. However, smoking cessation is often associated with weight gain or even obesity. A large proportion (50% of female and 25% of male) of smokers do not give up smoking for this reason and some smoke to prevent obesity [1]. However, a meta-analysis of 62 studies around the world shows that 53% smokers did not have weight gain or gained less than 5 kg, and about 13% former smokers gained a body weight more than 10 kg [2]. Why some gain weight (and by varying amount) and some do not is unclear. The difference could be due to lifestyle changes, genetic factors or both. There is no study to date exploring whether weight gain attributable to smoking cessation has genetic susceptibility loci.

Previous genome-wide association studies (GWAS) identified 31 and 97 single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) associated with smoking behavior [3] (a review, mostly based on GWAS conducted in European and African, only two studies based on Asian descent) and body mass index (BMI) [4] (a Genome-wide meta-analysis included association results for up to 339,224 individuals from 125 studies mostly based on European and African descent) respectively, and only brain-derived neurotrophic factor (BDNF) locus on chromosome 11 was common to both sets of GWAS. BDNF promotes synaptic remodeling and modulates the function of other neurotransmitters. It also plays a role in the reward response to many drugs, including nicotine [5]. Previous studies showed that BDNF played an important role in nicotine addiction and eating disorders [6, 7]. Thus, we hypothesized that SNPs on BDNF might be associated with weight gain/obesity related to smoking cessation. Furthermore, previous studies showed that the relationship was not consistent across all levels of BMI and waist circumference (WC), and that central obesity (defined by WC) showed stronger associations with diabetes and cardiovascular disease than general obesity (defined by BMI) [3, 8]. Even though previous GWAS of WC in European or Chinese populations did not report any significant associations from BDNF variants [9], some candidate gene studies showed a significant association of BDNF with WC in Chinese [11] and Dutch populations [12].

We hypothesized that SNPs on BDNF are the genetic factors of general or central obesity associated with smoking cessation. We used data from the Guangzhou Biobank Cohort Study (GBCS) [10–12] to analyze the associations of the BDNF SNPs with general obesity and central obesity separately in Chinese by smoking status to test the hypotheses. Firstly, we examined whether the four SNPs were associated with obesity in participants with different smoking status. Then we explored whether the genetic predisposition to obesity in former smokers was modified by the degree of tobacco exposure before smoking cessation.

**Design and methods**

**Guangzhou biobank cohort study (GBCS)**

Details of the methods of GBCS have been reported elsewhere [10–12]. Briefly, this is an on-going prospective cohort study including permanent Guangzhou residents aged 50 years or older that aims to examine environmental and genetic determinants of chronic diseases. The GBCS is a collaborative project among the Guangzhou 12th Hospital and the Universities of Hong Kong and Birmingham. Those who were receiving treatment for life-threatening diseases, such as cancer, or did not provide informed consent, were excluded. A total of 30,518 older Chinese individuals in Guangzhou were recruited at baseline from 2003 to 2007 and the first follow-up was conducted from 2008 to 2012. Of the 30,518 participants, 16,465 underwent genetic testing. After excluding 1393 participants with missing information, 15,072 participants with complete baseline, follow-up, and SNP data were included in the present analysis (Fig. S1).

**Measurements**

Information on socioeconomic status and lifestyle, including age, sex, education, and tobacco and alcohol use, was collected using a computer-assisted standardized questionnaire administered by trained interviewers. Weight, waist circumference and standing height were measured with light indoor clothing and without shoes by trained nurses of the Guangzhou 12th Hospital.

A current smoker was defined as one who, at the time of the survey, smoked one cigarette daily for more than half a year. A former smoker was defined as a person who had smoked daily for at least 6 months during their lifetime but at the time of the survey did not use cigarettes [13, 14]. Cigarettes per day (CPD), Fagerstrom Test for Nicotine Dependence (FTND) (6 questions) and the Heavy Smoking Index (HSI) (based on 2 questions of FTND: time to the first cigarette of the day and number of cigarettes per day) were used to measure cigarette consumption and nicotine dependence in former and current smokers [15]. Furthermore, smoking exposure was measured by CPD (mild: 1–9 CPD, moderate: 10–19 CPD and heavy: ≥20 CPD) [16], and nicotine dependence was defined as FTND ≥6 and HSI ≥4 [15, 17]. BMI was calculated as weight (kg)/standing height (m)^2. General obesity was defined as a BMI ≥28 kg/m^2 using the criteria for the Asian population [18, 19]. Central obesity was based on the criteria also for the Asian population (waist circumference [WC] ≥90 cm in men and ≥80 cm
in women) [20]. In this study, the smoke exposure data were identified by using the baseline data (at the recruitment in 2003–2007), and the relapsed smokers at follow up time (in 2008–2012) were identified as current smokers; the outcomes of general obesity and central obesity were identified by using the follow up data.

Educational attainment was classified as 0–6 years (primary school or less), 7–12 (middle to high school or equivalent) or ≥ 13 years (university or other tertiary education). Physical activity was measured using the short form of the International Physical Activity Questionnaire [10, 21]. We also collected the information about weight change and WC change since 18 years old; the two questions had 3 options: 1. No change, 2. Nearly the same, 3. Changed significantly.

SNP selection

The inclusion criteria for SNP were as follows: (1) identified by GWAS or meta-analysis published before December 2018; (2) was associated with smoking behavior or BMI; (3) minor allele frequency (MAF) > 0·1 in the HapMap-CHB (Chinese Han Beijing) population; (4) detectable rate > 90% in the participants of the present study; (5) Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium (HWE) P > 0·001. Based on the above, we included four candidate SNPs (rs6265, rs11030104, rs4923457 and rs6484320) on BDNF associated with smoking behavior and BMI. Rs6265 and rs11030104 were selected from GWAS for BMI [4], and were retested for the association with BMI in our GBCS sample (Table S1–1); Rs4923457 and rs6484320 were selected from GWAS for smoking behavior in European [3, 22], and were retested for the association with CPD in our sample (Table S1–2). The four SNPs were tested for the association with WC in our sample by sex (Table S1–3).

Moreover, we tested linkage disequilibrium (LD) between the four SNPs using PLINK. As the four SNPs were in a LD region, we also conducted haplotype analysis to confirm the association between the LD region and general/central obesity in former smokers.

Genotyping

Plasma aliquots were stored at −80°C. DNA was extracted from the whole peripheral blood sample using a standard proteinase K-phenol chloroform method [23]. The laboratory staff was blinded to the characteristics of the subjects, including their smoking and obesity status.

The SNPs were analyzed by a reputable commercial company in Beijing (Beijing Capital Bio Corporation) using a Mass ARRAY system (Sequenom, San Diego, CA, USA) [24]. After polymerase chain reaction (PCR) amplification, the primer extension products were analyzed using chip-based matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionization-time of flight mass spectrometry (MALDI-TOF MS) and SpectroTYPER 4-0 software, which automatically performed genotype calling using a set of digital filters optimized for the mass spectra of the oligonucleotides [25].

Statistical analysis

HAPLOVIEW software version 4-2 (http://www.broadinstitute.org/haploview) and PLINK were used to perform the Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium (HWE) and linkage disequilibrium (LD) tests in the nested case-control study based on the cohort study (the cases were central/general obesity objectives). IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows, version 19.0 (Serial No: 5076595) was used for data analysis. The significance level for all tests was set at a two-tailed α value of 0.05. The differences in the proportions across different smoking groups were tested using Chi-square tests (Table 1). As the outcomes in this study include general obesity (defined by BMI) and central obesity (defined by WC), we also conducted Bonferroni correction and used the desired P value (P < 0.025) to be the significance level in the Tables 2 and 3. Logistic regression models were used to calculate adjusted odds ratios (aORs) of general obesity and central obesity for the SNPs in different smoke exposure groups, and by cigarette consumption or nicotine dependence before quitting in former smokers. Each genotype was analyzed as an independent group to show more information. Furthermore, P values for trends were calculated in the results of the additive model, and for comparison, the main results were analyzed by additive model again and shown in the supplementary tables (Table 5-1, S7–1, S9–1, S10–1, S11–1, S22, S23). Age, sex (men/women), education (≤ Primary school, Middle school, > College school), income level (<10000 Yuan/10000–14999 Yuan/≥15000 Yuan, US$1 = 6.3 Yuan) and physical activity (low/medium/high) were included as covariates in the models. Model A was a crude model, model B was adjusted for age and sex, and model C was adjusted for age, sex, education, income and physical activity. There is such a large difference in smoking rates between men and women in China, so in this study, we first showed the main results in male and female separately in Table 2 and Table 3.

For sensitivity and in-depth analysis, we excluded participants with BMI < 18.5 kg/m²; divided former smokers by duration of smoking cessation (whether or not ≥ 5 years) and repeated the analysis. Due to the cultural differences in China, the prevalence of smoking in Chinese women is low. Results of a nationwide representative survey in 2011 (China Health and Nutrition Survey) showed smoking prevalence of 51.6 and 2.9% in men and women, respectively [26]. Because most former and current smokers were men, we repeated the analysis including men only. Further, Cox regression models were
| Table 1 Baseline characteristics (number (percentage)) of 15,072 participants |
|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
|                  | **N (%)**       | **Smoke exposure** | **Former (n = 1233)** | **Current (n = 987)** | **P**³ |
|                  | **n = 15,072**  | **Never (n = 12,852)** | **1037 (84.1)** | **869 (88.0)** | < 0.001 |
|                  | **Male**        | **1263 (9.8)** | **1037 (84.1)** | **869 (88.0)** | < 0.001 |
|                  | **Female**      | **11,589 (90.2)** | **196 (15.9)** | **118 (12.0)** | < 0.001 |
| Age (years)       |                |                  |                  |                  | < 0.001 |
| 50–59             | **7474 (49.6)** | **6807 (53.0)** | **278 (22.5)** | **389 (39.4)** | < 0.001 |
| 60–69             | **5794 (38.4)** | **4678 (36.4)** | **648 (52.6)** | **468 (47.4)** | < 0.001 |
| ≥ 70              | **1804 (12.0)** | **1367 (10.6)** | **307 (24.9)** | **130 (13.2)** | < 0.001 |
| Education         |                |                  |                  |                  | < 0.001 |
| ≦ Primary school  | **6025 (40.0)** | **5184 (40.3)** | **473 (38.4)** | **368 (37.3)** | < 0.001 |
| Middle school     | **7762 (51.5)** | **6638 (51.6)** | **615 (49.9)** | **509 (51.6)** | < 0.001 |
| ≧ College school  | **1285 (8.5)**  | **1030 (8.0)**  | **145 (11.8)** | **110 (11.1)** | < 0.001 |
| Income†           |                |                  |                  |                  | < 0.001 |
| < 10000Yuan       | **4935 (32.7)** | **4356 (33.9)** | **307 (24.9)** | **272 (27.6)** | < 0.001 |
| 10,000-14999Yuan  | **6711 (44.5)** | **5829 (45.4)** | **525 (42.6)** | **357 (36.2)** | < 0.001 |
| ≥ 15000Yuan       | **2723 (16.4)** | **2106 (16.4)** | **337 (27.3)** | **280 (28.4)** | < 0.001 |
| Don’t know        | **703 (4.7)**  | **561 (4.4)**  | **64 (5.2)**  | **78 (7.9)**  | < 0.001 |
| Physical activity |                |                  |                  |                  | < 0.001 |
| Low               | **1237 (8.2)**  | **1073 (8.3)**  | **63 (5.1)**  | **101 (10.2)** | < 0.001 |
| Medium            | **5878 (39.0)** | **4937 (38.4)** | **502 (40.7)** | **439 (44.5)** | < 0.001 |
| High              | **7957 (52.8)** | **6842 (53.2)** | **668 (54.2)** | **447 (45.3)** | < 0.001 |
| Body Mass Index   |                |                  |                  |                  | < 0.001 |
| < 18.5            | **743 (4.9)**  | **622 (4.8)**  | **42 (3.4)**  | **79 (8.0)**  | < 0.001 |
| 18.5–23.9         | **7360 (48.8)** | **6224 (48.4)** | **579 (47.0)** | **557 (56.4)** | < 0.001 |
| 24–27.9           | **5305 (35.2)** | **4536 (35.3)** | **491 (39.8)** | **278 (28.2)** | < 0.001 |
| ≥ 28              | **1664 (11.0)** | **1470 (11.4)** | **121 (9.8)** | **73 (7.4)**  | < 0.001 |
| Central obesity‡  |                |                  |                  |                  | < 0.001 |
| Yes               | **7868 (52.2)** | **7090 (55.2)** | **492 (39.9)** | **286 (29.0)** | < 0.001 |
| No                | **7204 (47.8)** | **5762 (44.8)** | **741 (60.1)** | **701 (71.0)** | < 0.001 |
| Rs6265            |                |                  |                  |                  | 0.85 |
| AA                | **3988 (26.5)** | **3391 (26.4)** | **335 (27.2)** | **262 (26.5)** | 0.85 |
| GA                | **7571 (50.2)** | **6467 (50.3)** | **620 (50.3)** | **484 (49.0)** | 0.85 |
| GG                | **3513 (23.3)** | **2994 (23.3)** | **278 (22.5)** | **241 (24.4)** | 0.85 |
| Rs4923457         |                |                  |                  |                  | 0.39 |
| TT                | **3606 (23.9)** | **3065 (23.8)** | **314 (25.5)** | **227 (23.0)** | 0.39 |
| AT                | **7487 (49.7)** | **6402 (49.8)** | **606 (49.1)** | **479 (48.5)** | 0.39 |
| AA                | **3979 (26.4)** | **3385 (26.3)** | **313 (25.4)** | **281 (28.5)** | 0.39 |
| Rs11030104        |                |                  |                  |                  | 0.44 |
| GG                | **3999 (26.5)** | **3412 (26.5)** | **322 (26.1)** | **265 (26.8)** | 0.44 |
| AG                | **7584 (50.3)** | **6465 (50.3)** | **642 (52.1)** | **477 (48.3)** | 0.44 |
| AA                | **3489 (23.1)** | **2975 (23.1)** | **269 (21.8)** | **245 (24.8)** | 0.44 |
| Rs6484320         |                |                  |                  |                  | 0.92 |
| TT                | **3868 (25.7)** | **3291 (25.6)** | **325 (26.4)** | **252 (25.5)** | 0.92 |
| TA                | **7564 (50.2)** | **6456 (50.2)** | **620 (50.3)** | **488 (49.4)** | 0.92 |
used to calculate adjusted relative risk (aRRs) of new general obesity and new central obesity found during follow up for the SNPs in different smoke exposure groups.

**Ethical considerations**

The study was performed in accordance with the ethical standards of the Declaration of Helsinki (1964) and its subsequent amendments. The GBCS was approved by the Medical Ethics Committee of the Chinese PLA General Hospital. All participants provided written informed consent before participating.

**Results**

**Characteristics**

A total of 15,072 participants (11,903 females and 3169 males) were included. At baseline, the average age (± SD) was 62.1 ± 15.4 years. Based on Asia criteria, 6969 participants were classified as overweight, 1664 as generally obese, and 7868 as centrally obese at follow up. There were 12,852 never smokers, 1233 former smokers, and 987 current smokers. These three smoke exposure groups differed in terms of sex, age, education, income, physical activity, central obesity and BMI (P < 0.01) but had similar distributions of rs6265, rs4923457, rs11030104, and rs6484320 genotypes (P > 0.05) (Table 1). In former smokers, the median (IQR) was 33.5 (17.5) years for smoking duration and 12.0 (14.0) years for the length of smoking cessation. Table S2 shows significant interactions between BDNF SNPs and smoking status on general obesity (defined by BMI) and central obesity (defined by WC) (P for interaction from < 0.001 to 0.005). However, the association with weight gain ≥1 kg and WC increase ≥5 cm was not statistically significant. Results of other SNPs (rs4923457, rs11030104 and rs6484320) were similar in former smokers, but not in never smokers and current smokers.

**Primary outcomes: association of SNPs with obesity by smoking status**

Table 2 shows that in male former smokers, compared to those with the AA rs6265 genotype, the GG genotype had a higher risk of general obesity (aOR = 1.87, 95% CI = 1.04–3.36) after adjusting for age, education, income and physical activity. Compared with the TT genotype of rs4923457, the aOR of AA was 1.79 (95% CI = 1.00–3.20); similarly, compared to the GG genotype of rs11030104, the aOR of AA was 2.04 (95% CI = 1.11–3.73). In male never smokers, compared to those with the AA rs6265 genotype, the GG genotype had a lower risk of general obesity (aOR = 0.48, 95% CI = 0.26–0.89) after adjustment. Compared with the TT genotype of rs4923457, the aOR of AA was 0.42 (95% CI = 0.23–0.78); compared to the GG genotype of rs11030104, the aOR of AA was 0.48 (95% CI = 0.26–0.89). In current smokers and female, no association was observed for the 3 SNPs above (Table 2). Table S22 showed the additive model results. In the former smokers of the whole sample, the results were similar as in male former smokers, and no significant association was found in never and current smokers of the whole sample.

Table 3 shows that the results for central obesity were similar to general obesity in former smokers. The aORs for GG genotype of rs6265, AA of rs4923457, AA of rs11030104 and AA of rs6484320 in male former smokers were 2.15 (95% CI = 1.49–3.12), 1.70 (95% CI = 1.18–2.44), 2.10 (95% CI = 1.44–3.04) and 1.88 (95% CI = 1.30–2.72), respectively. No associations were found in male never and current smokers. In female never smokers, the ones with GG genotype of rs6265, AA of rs4923457, AA of rs11030104 and AA of rs6484320 had lower risk to be central obesity, and no significant associations were found in female former and current smokers (Table 3). The aOR for GG genotype of rs6265, AA of rs4923457, AA of rs11030104 and AA of rs6484320 was 2.08 (95% CI = 1.47–2.92), 1.70 (95% CI = 1.22–2.39), 1.99 (95% CI = 1.41–2.81) and 1.75 (95% CI = 1.25–2.47), respectively in the whole sample. Table S23 showed the additive model results. These associations were also observed in never smokers, but not in current smokers.

The four SNPs located on BDNF exhibited linkage disequilibrium. Table S3 shows that in former smokers, when generally obese former smokers were considered as cases, the AGAA haplotype of the four SNPs showed a higher risk of general obesity compared with that of TATG (aOR = 1.40, 95% CI = 1.05–1.85). Table S4 shows that in former smokers, compared to the TATG haplotype of the four SNPs, those with AGAA had a higher risk of central obesity (aOR = 1.32, 95% CI = 1.11–1.57).
Table 2: Odds ratios (95%CI) of general obesity for different SNPs in different smoke exposure groups

| Male | General obesity† n(%) | Never smokers | Current smokers | Former smokers | Cases | Cases | Cases |
|------|-----------------------|---------------|----------------|----------------|-------|-------|-------|
|      |                       | Model A | Model B | Model C | Cases | Model A | Model B | Model C | Cases | Model A | Model B | Model C |
| Rs6265 |                       |         |         |         |       |         |         |         |       |         |         |         |
| AA    | 37 (10.4)             | 1      | 1      | 1      | 21    | 1      | 1      | 1      | 14    | 1      | 1      | 1      |
| GA    | 72 (11.6)             | (0.74–1.72) | (0.73–1.69) | (0.73–1.69) | 39 | (0.58–1.74) | (0.59–1.77) | (0.58–1.76) | 101    | 27 | (0.55–2.09) | (0.55–2.09) | (0.55–2.08) |
| GG    | 15 (5.3)              | (0.26–0.90) | (0.26–0.89) | (0.26–0.89) | 31 | (1.03–3.31) | (1.05–3.37) | (1.04–3.36) | 17 | 17 | (0.68–2.95) | (0.67–2.91) | (0.67–2.91) |
| P for G |                     | 0.049 | 0.043 | 0.044 | 0.024** | 0.03* | 0.014** | 0.348 | 0.346 | 0.379 |
| Rs4923457 |                  |         |         |         |       |         |         |         |       |         |         |         |
| TT    | 34 (11.3)             | 1      | 1      | 1      | 20    | 1      | 1      | 1      | 10    | 1      | 1      | 1      |
| AT    | 74 (11.4)             | (0.66–1.56) | (0.64–1.53) | (0.64–1.53) | 37 | (0.54–1.68) | (0.55–1.70) | (0.54–1.69) | 144    | 30 | (0.69–3.01) | (0.69–3.01) | (0.69–3.01) |
| AA    | 16 (5.1)              | (0.23–0.78) | (0.23–0.78) | (0.23–0.78) | 34 | (1.01–3.12) | (1.00–3.20) | (1.00–3.20) | 18 | 18 | (0.68–3.36) | (0.67–3.33) | (0.67–3.33) |
| P for A |                     | 0.010** | 0.019** | 0.015** | 0.012** | 0.011** | 0.009** | 0.335 | 0.333 | 0.351 |
| Rs11030104 |                |         |         |         |       |         |         |         |       |         |         |         |
| GG    | 38 (10.6)             | 1      | 1      | 1      | 19    | 1      | 1      | 1      | 15    | 1      | 1      | 1      |
| AG    | 71 (11.3)             | (0.70–1.62) | (0.69–1.59) | (0.69–1.59) | 42 | (0.66–2.02) | (0.67–2.06) | (0.66–2.04) | 26 | 26 | (0.50–1.87) | (0.50–1.85) | (0.50–1.85) |
| AA    | 15 (5.4)              | (0.26–0.89) | (0.26–0.89) | (0.26–0.89) | 30 | (1.09–3.65) | (1.11–3.73) | (1.11–3.73) | 17 | 17 | (0.63–2.65) | (0.61–2.61) | (0.61–2.61) |
| P for A |                     | 0.043* | 0.011** | 0.039* | 0.021** | 0.018** | 0.018** | 0.487 | 0.483 | 0.530 |
| Rs6484320 |                |         |         |         |       |         |         |         |       |         |         |         |
| TT    | 37 (10.9)             | 1      | 1      | 1      | 21    | 1      | 1      | 1      | 13    | 1      | 1      | 1      |
| TA    | 71 (11.2)             | (0.68–1.57) | (0.67–1.55) | (0.66–1.55) | 41 | (0.60–1.79) | (0.60–1.81) | (0.60–1.80) | 29 | 29 | (0.60–2.31) | (0.60–2.30) | (0.60–2.30) |
| AA    | 16 (5.5)              | (0.26–0.87) | (0.25–0.86) | (0.25–0.86) | 29 | (0.90–2.93) | (0.91–2.98) | (0.91–2.97) | 16 | 16 | (0.62–2.8) | (0.60–2.76) | (0.60–2.76) |
| P for A |                     | 0.029* | 0.025* | 0.025* | 0.099 | 0.091 | 0.092 | 0.483 | 0.482 | 0.516 |
| Female |                       |         |         |         |       |         |         |         |       |         |         |         |
| Rs6265 |                       |         |         |         |       |         |         |         |       |         |         |         |
| AA    | 328 (10.8)            | 1      | 1      | 1      | 7     | 1      | 1      | 1      | 5     | 1      | 1      | 1      |
| GA    | 691 (11.8)            | (0.96–1.27) | (0.96–1.27) | (0.96–1.27) | 16 | (0.52–3.62) | (0.52–3.64) | (0.51–3.64) | 13 | 7 | (0.16–2.02) | (0.07–1.27) | (0.07–1.27) |
| GG    | 327 (12.1)            | (0.96–1.33) | (0.96–1.33) | (0.96–1.33) | 7 | (0.47–4.54) | (0.46–4.64) | (0.46–4.64) | 147    | 3 | (0.09–1.89) | (0.05–1.43) | (0.05–1.43) |
| P for G |                     | 0.131 | 0.131 | 0.126 | 0.051 | 0.062 | 0.053 | 0.142 | 0.166 | 0.130 |
Table 2 Odds ratios (95%CI) of general obesity for different SNPs in different smoke exposure groups (Continued)

| Male | General obesity‡ n(%) | Never smokers | | Former smokers | | Current smokers | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Model A | Model B | Model C | Cases | Model A | Model B | Model C | Cases | Model A | Model B | Model C | Cases | Model A | Model B | Model C | Cases |
| Rs4923457 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| TT | 312 (11.3) | 1 | 1 | 1 | 5 (9.6) | 1 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 1 | | | | | |
| AT | 663 (11.5) | 1.02 (0.89–1.18) | 1.02 (0.89–1.18) | 1.03 (0.89–1.19) | 17 | 1.90 (0.66–5.49) | 1.94 (0.67–5.61) | 1.89 (0.65–5.52) | 7 | 0.86 (0.23–2.65) | 0.64 (0.16–2.62) | 0.62 (0.14–2.33) | | | | |
| AA | 371 (12.1) | 1.08 (0.92–1.27) | 1.08 (0.92–1.27) | 1.09 (0.93–1.28) | 8 | 2.15 (0.65–7.13) | 2.11 (0.63–7.02) | 2.02 (0.60–6.82) | 4 | 0.70 (0.16–2.61) | 0.55 (0.12–2.60) | 0.52 (0.10–2.60) | | | | |
| P for A | 0.342 | 0.340 | 0.302 | | 0.054 | 0.072 | 0.061 | | 0.632 | 0.464 | 0.437 | | | | | |
| Rs11030104 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| GG | 330 (10.8) | 1 | 1 | 1 | 7 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 1 | | | | | |
| AG | 700 (12.0) | 1.13 (0.98–1.29) | 1.13 (0.98–1.29) | 1.13 (0.98–1.3) | 17 | 1.06 (0.41–2.75) | 1.10 (0.42–2.87) | 1.08 (0.41–2.84) | 6 | 0.59 (0.16–2.12) | 0.38 (0.09–0.79) | 0.29 (0.07–0.72) | | | | |
| AA | 316 (11.7) | 1.10 (0.93–1.29) | 1.10 (0.93–1.29) | 1.10 (0.93–1.29) | 6 | 1.13 (0.35–3.71) | 1.13 (0.34–3.71) | 1.12 (0.34–3.71) | 4 | 0.64 (0.16–2.65) | 0.46 (0.10–1.98) | 0.40 (0.08–1.98) | | | | |
| P for A | 0.258 | 0.257 | 0.254 | | 0.836 | 0.835 | 0.852 | | 0.537 | 0.340 | 0.296 | | | | | |
| Rs6484320 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| TT | 318 (10.8) | 1 | 1 | 1 | 7 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 1 | | | | | |
| TA | 700 (12.0) | 1.13 (0.98–1.30) | 1.13 (0.98–1.30) | 1.13 (0.98–1.30) | 15 | 1.10 (0.42–2.89) | 1.15 (0.43–3.07) | 1.19 (0.44–3.21) | 6 | 0.54 (0.15–1.96) | 0.35 (0.09–0.86) | 0.28 (0.06–1.25) | | | | |
| AA | 328 (11.7) | 1.09 (0.93–1.29) | 1.09 (0.93–1.29) | 1.10 (0.93–1.29) | 8 | 1.40 (0.46–4.22) | 1.40 (0.46–4.25) | 1.37 (0.45–4.20) | 4 | 0.63 (0.15–2.64) | 0.43 (0.09–1.88) | 0.38 (0.08–1.88) | | | | |
| P for A | 0.282 | 0.281 | 0.267 | | 0.555 | 0.549 | 0.581 | | 0.530 | 0.304 | 0.270 | | | | | |
| Total | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Rs6265 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| AA | 365 (10.8) | 1 | 1 | 1 | 28 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 19 | 1 | 1 | 1 | | | | | |
| GA | 763 (11.8) | 1.11 (0.97–1.27) | 1.11 (0.97–1.27) | 1.11 (0.97–1.27) | 55 | 1.07 (0.66–1.72) | 1.10 (0.68–1.77) | 1.09 (0.67–1.75) | 34 | 0.97 (0.54–1.73) | 0.94 (0.52–1.73) | 0.93 (0.52–1.73) | | | | |
| GG | 342 (11.4) | 1.07 (0.91–1.25) | 1.07 (0.91–1.25) | 1.07 (0.91–1.25) | 38 | 1.74 (1.04–2.91) | 1.79 (1.07–3.01) | 1.79 (1.06–3.01) | 20 | 1.16 (0.60–2.23) | 1.11 (0.52–2.14) | 1.10 (0.57–2.13) | | | | |
| P for G | 0.378 | 0.389 | 0.382 | | 0.034* | 0.027* | 0.027* | | 0.664 | 0.761 | 0.775 | | | | | |
| Rs4923457 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| TT | 346 (11.3) | 1 | 1 | 1 | 25 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 14 | 1 | 1 | 1 | | | | | |
| AT | 737 (11.5) | 1.12 (0.89–1.17) | 1.02 (0.89–1.17) | 1.02 (0.90–1.18) | 54 | 1.13 (0.69–1.86) | 1.14 (0.70–1.88) | 1.13 (0.69–1.86) | 37 | 1.27 (0.67–2.41) | 1.26 (0.67–2.39) | 1.26 (0.67–2.39) | | | | |
| AA | 387 (11.4) | 1.01 (0.87–1.18) | 1.01 (0.87–1.18) | 1.02 (0.87–1.19) | 42 | 1.79 (1.06–3.02) | 1.87 (1.11–3.16) | 1.87 (1.10–3.16) | 22 | 1.29 (0.65–2.59) | 1.26 (0.63–2.54) | 1.28 (0.63–2.57) | | | | |
| P for A | 0.863 | 0.866 | 0.806 | | 0.015** | 0.022** | 0.015** | | 0.496 | 0.540 | 0.522 | | | | | |
Secondary outcomes: the modification effects of smoking exposure and nicotine dependence before smoking cessation on the association between the SNPs and obesity in former smokers

Former smokers with heavier consumption or nicotine dependence (in groups of CPD ≥ 20, HSI ≥ 4 and FTND ≥ 6 or by CPD, HSI and FTND as continuous variables) before smoking cessation had higher risk of general and central obesity (Table S5). Moreover, in former smokers before smoking cessation, heavy smokers (CPD ≥ 20) with a GG rs6265 genotype had a higher risk of general obesity (aOR = 2.15, 95% CI = 1.05–4.40), while the risk of the GG genotype in CPD 1–9 group was 1.05 (95% CI = 0.36–3.06), and in CPD 10–19 group was 1.69 (95% CI = 0.51–5.63) after adjustment, P for trend of CPD groups in GG rs6265 genotype = 0.006. Similar dose-response associations were observed in AA rs4923457 and AA rs11030104 genotype (Fig. 1A, Table S6, S6–1). Also, in former smokers before smoking cessation, in HSI ≥ 4 group, those with a GG rs6265 genotype had a higher risk of general obesity than AA genotype (aOR = 3.54, 95% CI = 1.31–9.59), while the risk of the GG genotype in HSI = 0 group was 0.98 (95% CI = 0.32–2.96) and in HSI 1–3 group was 1.58 (95% CI = 0.72–3.47) after adjustment, P for trend of HSI score groups in GG rs6265 genotype < 0.001 (Fig. 1B, Table S7, S7–1). Similar results were observed for FTND score groups (Table S8, S8–1).

The results were similar for central obesity (Fig. 2). In heavy smokers before smoking cessation, the aOR for GG genotype of rs6265 (compared with AA) was 2.27 (95% CI = 1.35–3.81), and was 1.36 (95% CI = 0.72–2.56) in CPD 1–9 group and 2.97 (95% CI = 1.49–5.91) in CPD 10–19 group. Similar associations were observed in AA rs4923457, AA rs11030104 and AA rs6484320 genotype (Fig. 2A, Table S9, S9–1) and for HSI score and FTND score groups (Fig. 2B and Table S10–S11, S10–1 and S11–1).

Sensitivity and in-depth analysis

Furthermore, we conducted sensitivity analyses excluding participants with BMI < 18.5 kg/m². The associations of rs6265, rs4923457 and rs11030104 with general obesity in former smokers remained with similar aORs (Table S12). We also examined whether the effects of the SNPs on general and central obesity varied by duration of smoking cessation (≤ 5 years and > 5 years) and found that the AA rs4923457 genotype was significantly associated with general obesity in those with smoking cessation > 5 years only (Table S13). Similar associations

---

Table 2 Odds ratios (95% CI) of general obesity for different SNPs in different smoke exposure groups (Continued)

| Male | General obesity± n(%) | Never smokers | Former smokers | Current smokers |
|------|-----------------------|---------------|----------------|----------------|
|      | Model A | Model B | Model C | Cases | Model A | Model B | Model C | Cases | Model A | Model B | Model C | Cases |
| Rs11030104 |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |
| GG   | 368 (10.8) | 1 | 1 | 1 | 26 (8.1) | 1 | 1 | 1 | 20 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| AG   | 771 (11.9) | 1.12 (0.98–1.28) | 1.12 (0.98–1.28) | 59 (9.2) | 1.15 (0.71–1.87) | 1.15 (0.71–1.87) | 1.14 (0.70–1.85) | 32 | 0.88 (0.49–1.54) | 0.86 (0.48–1.57) | 0.85 (0.47–1.52) |
| AA   | 331 (11.1) | 1.04 (0.89–1.21) | 1.04 (0.88–1.21) | 36 (13.4) | 1.76 (1.03–3.00) | 1.81 (1.06–3.10) | 1.81 (1.06–3.10) | 21 | 1.15 (0.61–2.18) | 1.10 (0.58–2.18) | 1.10 (0.58–2.18) |
|     | 0.609 | 0.623 | 0.615 | 0.036* | 0.028* | 0.028* | 0.675 | 0.765 | 0.782 |
| Rs6484320 |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |
| TT   | 355 (10.8) | 1 | 1 | 1 | 28 (8.6) | 1 | 1 | 1 | 18 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| TA   | 771 (11.9) | 1.12 (0.98–1.28) | 1.12 (0.98–1.28) | 56 (9.0) | 1.05 (0.66–1.69) | 1.07 (0.66–1.69) | 1.06 (0.66–1.69) | 35 | 1.00 (0.56–1.81) | 0.98 (0.54–1.78) | 0.98 (0.54–1.78) |
| AA   | 344 (11.1) | 1.03 (0.88–1.21) | 1.03 (0.88–1.21) | 37 (12.8) | 1.56 (0.93–2.63) | 1.59 (0.95–2.68) | 1.56 (0.94–2.67) | 20 | 1.15 (0.59–2.22) | 1.11 (0.57–2.16) | 1.11 (0.57–2.16) |
|     | 0.686 | 0.697 | 0.671 | 0.087 | 0.077 | 0.079 | 0.685 | 0.753 | 0.798 |

*General obesity was defined as a body mass index (BMI) ≥ 28 kg/m² using the criteria for the Asian population
Model A: Crude model
Model B: Adjusted for age, sex
Model C: Adjusted for age, sex, education, income level and physical activity
*: P < 0.05
**: P < 0.025
### Table 3 Odds ratios (95%CI) of central obesity for different SNPs in different smoke exposure groups

|       | Never smokers | Former smokers | Current smokers |
|-------|---------------|----------------|-----------------|
|       | Male          | Model A        | Model B         | Model C        | Cases | Model A        | Model B         | Model C        | Cases | Model A        | Model B         | Model C        | Cases |
| Rs6265|               |                |                |                |       |               |                |                |       |               |                |                |       |
| AA    | 101 (28.3)    | 1              | 1              | 1              | 75    | 1             | 1              | 1              | 66    | 1             | 1              | 1              |       |
| GA    | 184 (29.6)    | 1.07 (0.80–1.42) | 1.06 (0.80–1.42) | 1.37 (0.99–1.90) | 1.37 (1.00–1.92) | 1.39 (1.01–1.92) | 97   | 0.76 (0.53–1.09) | 0.76 (0.53–1.09) | 0.76 (0.53–1.09) |       |
| GG    | 86 (30.3)     | 1.10 (0.78–1.55) | 1.10 (0.78–1.55) | 2.12 (1.47–3.07) | 2.13 (1.47–3.08) | 2.15 (1.49–3.12) | 56   | 0.96 (0.63–1.45) | 0.96 (0.63–1.45) | 0.95 (0.62–1.44) |       |
|       |               |                |                |                |       |               |                |                |       |               |                |                |       |
| P for G| 0.575         | 0.579          | 0.553          | < 0.001**      | < 0.001** | < 0.001**      | 0.768 | 0.780          | 0.738          |       |
| Rs4923457|            |                |                |                |       |               |                |                |       |               |                |                |       |
| TT    | 90 (29.9)     | 1              | 1              | 1              | 74    | 1             | 1              | 1              | 49    | 1             | 1              | 1              |       |
| AT    | 191 (29.4)    | 0.98 (0.73–1.32) | 0.98 (0.73–1.32) | 1.30 (0.94–1.80) | 1.30 (0.94–1.81) | 1.32 (0.95–1.83) | 104  | 1.00 (0.68–1.48) | 1.01 (0.68–1.49) | 1.01 (0.68–1.49) |       |
| AA    | 90 (28.8)     | 0.95 (0.67–1.34) | 0.95 (0.67–1.34) | 1.67 (1.16–2.40) | 1.68 (1.17–2.41) | 1.70 (1.18–2.44) | 66   | 1.14 (0.75–1.75) | 1.15 (0.74–1.75) | 1.14 (0.74–1.75) |       |
| P for A| 0.755         | 0.754          | 0.787          | < 0.001**      | < 0.001** | < 0.001**      | 0.517 | 0.500          | 0.530          |       |
| Rs11030104|          |                |                |                |       |               |                |                |       |               |                |                |       |
| GG    | 102 (28.6)    | 1              | 1              | 1              | 75    | 1             | 1              | 1              | 64    | 1             | 1              | 1              |       |
| AG    | 184 (29.3)    | 1.03 (0.78–1.38) | 1.03 (0.77–1.38) | 1.30 (0.95–1.81) | 1.31 (0.95–1.84) | 1.33 (0.96–1.84) | 99   | 0.82 (0.57–1.19) | 0.83 (0.58–1.20) | 0.83 (0.58–1.20) |       |
| AA    | 85 (30.7)     | 1.11 (0.79–1.56) | 1.11 (0.79–1.56) | 2.08 (1.44–3.02) | 2.10 (1.44–3.04) | 2.13 (1.47–3.09) | 56   | 0.97 (0.64–1.48) | 0.98 (0.64–1.49) | 0.97 (0.64–1.49) |       |
| P for A| 0.569         | 0.573          | 0.554          | < 0.001**      | < 0.001** | < 0.001**      | 0.853 | 0.882          | 0.844          |       |
| Rs6484320|            |                |                |                |       |               |                |                |       |               |                |                |       |
| TT    | 95 (28.0)     | 1              | 1              | 1              | 75    | 1             | 1              | 1              | 64    | 1             | 1              | 1              |       |
| TA    | 184 (29.1)    | 1.05 (0.79–1.41) | 1.05 (0.78–1.41) | 1.36 (0.99–1.88) | 1.37 (0.99–1.88) | 1.38 (1.00–1.91) | 98   | 0.74 (0.51–1.06) | 0.74 (0.51–1.07) | 0.74 (0.51–1.07) |       |
| AA    | 92 (31.5)     | 1.18 (0.84–1.66) | 1.18 (0.84–1.67) | 1.87 (1.30–2.71) | 1.88 (1.30–2.72) | 1.90 (1.31–2.75) | 57   | 0.91 (0.60–1.38) | 0.91 (0.59–1.37) | 0.90 (0.59–1.37) |       |
| P for A| 0.344         | 0.347          | 0.340          | 0.001**        | 0.001**   | 0.001**        | 0.622 | 0.626          | 0.587          |       |
| Female|               |                |                |                |       |               |                |                |       |               |                |                |       |
| Rs6265|               |                |                |                |       |               |                |                |       |               |                |                |       |
| AA    | 1697 (55.9)   | 1              | 1              | 1              | 36    | 1             | 1              | 1              | 17    | 1             | 1              | 1              |       |
| GA    | 3408 (58.3)   | 1.10 (1.01–1.20)* | 1.10 (1.01–1.20)* | 1.45 (0.71–2.94) | 1.46 (0.71–2.99) | 1.45 (0.70–2.99) | 32   | 0.65 (0.25–1.70) | 0.61 (0.23–1.63) | 0.60 (0.22–1.61) |       |
| GG    | 1614 (59.6)   | 1.16 (1.05–1.29)* | 1.17 (1.05–1.30)* | 1.58 (0.64–3.92) | 1.58 (0.64–3.91) | 1.62 (0.65–4.03) | 18   | 0.6 (0.21–1.71) | 0.57 (0.20–1.65) | 0.56 (0.19–1.64) |       |
| P for G| 0.005**       | 0.006**        | 0.004**        | 0.283          | 0.283    | 0.268          | 0.355 | 0.326          | 0.319          |       |
|                  | Never smokers | Former smokers | Current smokers |
|------------------|---------------|----------------|-----------------|
| **Odds ratios (95%CI) of central obesity for different SNPs in different smoke exposure groups (Continued)** |
| Rs4923457        |               |                |                 |
| TT               | 1578 (57.1)   | 1111 (88.8)   | 1111 (66.7)     |
| AT               | 3325 (57.8)   | 4790 (64.7)   | 4790 (64.7)     |
| AA               | 1816 (59.1)   | 1116 (64.7)   | 1116 (64.7)     |
| P for A          | 0.115         | 0.218          | 0.186           |
| Rs11030104       |               |                |                 |
| GG               | 1714 (56.1)   | 1114 (88.8)   | 1114 (66.7)     |
| AG               | 3401 (58.3)   | 4791 (64.7)   | 4791 (64.7)     |
| AA               | 1604 (59.5)   | 1114 (64.7)   | 1114 (64.7)     |
| P for A          | 0.010**       | 0.012**       | 0.011**         |
| Rs6483420        |               |                |                 |
| TT               | 1658 (56.2)   | 1111 (88.8)   | 1111 (66.7)     |
| TA               | 3404 (58.4)   | 4791 (64.7)   | 4791 (64.7)     |
| AA               | 1657 (58.9)   | 1114 (64.7)   | 1114 (64.7)     |
| P for A          | 0.034*        | 0.040*        | 0.033*          |
| Total            |               |                |                 |
| Rs265            |               |                |                 |
| AA               | 1798 (53.0)   | 1111 (88.8)   | 1111 (66.7)     |
| GA               | 3592 (55.5)   | 4791 (64.7)   | 4791 (64.7)     |
| GG               | 1700 (56.8)   | 1114 (64.7)   | 1114 (64.7)     |
| P for G          | 0.002**       | 0.005**       | 0.004**         |
| Rs4923457        |               |                |                 |
| TT               | 1668 (54.4)   | 1111 (88.8)   | 1111 (66.7)     |
| AT               | 3576 (54.9)   | 4791 (64.7)   | 4791 (64.7)     |
| AA               | 1906 (56.3)   | 1114 (64.7)   | 1114 (64.7)     |
| P for A          | 0.124         | 0.185          | 0.137           |
| Rs11030104       |               |                |                 |
Table 3 Odds ratios (95%CI) of central obesity for different SNPs in different smoke exposure groups (Continued)

|                | Never smokers | Former smokers | Current smokers |
|----------------|---------------|----------------|----------------|
|                | 1             | 1              | 1              |
|                | 1             | 1              | 1              |
|                | 109 (33.9)    | 127 (4.1)      | 128 (4.0)      |
|                | 253 (39.4)    | 1.27 (1.25)    | 1.26 (1.26)    |
|                | (0.96–1.68)   | (0.93–1.68)    | (0.94–1.70)    |
|                | (0.94–1.70)   | (1.06–1.80)    | (1.01–1.82)    |
|                | 130 (48.3)    | 1.83** (1.96**) | 1.99** (1.99**) |
|                | (1.31–2.55)   | (1.39–2.78)    | (1.41–2.81)    |
|                | (1.41–2.81)   | (1.39–2.78)    | (1.41–2.81)    |
|                | 76 (31.0)     | 1.00 (0.97)    | 0.96 (0.96)    |
|                | (0.69–1.46)   | (0.66–1.42)    | (0.65–1.42)    |
|                | 0.004**       | <0.001**       | <0.001**       |
|                | 0.009**       | <0.001**       | <0.001**       |
|                | 0.008**       | <0.001**       | <0.001**       |
|                | 0.984         | 0.838          | 0.815          |
|                | 0.815         | 0.815          | 0.815          |

|                | 1             | 1              | 1              |
|                | 1             | 1              | 1              |
|                | 83 (32.9)     | 1              | 1              |
|                | 1             | 1              | 1              |
|                | 1             | 1              | 1              |
|                | 110 (33.8)    | 132** (135*)   | 136* (136*)    |
|                | (1.00–1.75)   | (1.00–1.80)    | (1.01–1.82)    |
|                | (1.00–1.82)   | (1.01–1.82)    | (1.01–1.82)    |
|                | 132 (45.8)    | 1.65** (1.74**) | 1.75** (1.75**) |
|                | (1.19–2.29)   | (1.24–2.45)    | (1.25–2.47)    |
|                | (1.24–2.45)   | (1.25–2.47)    | (1.25–2.47)    |
|                | 75 (30.4)     | 0.89 (0.86)    | 0.85 (0.85)    |
|                | (0.61–1.30)   | (0.58–1.26)    | (0.58–1.26)    |
|                | 0.013**       | 0.024**        | 0.019**        |
|                | 0.002**       | 0.001**        | 0.001**        |
|                | 0.001**       | 0.518          | 0.418          |
|                | 0.397         | 0.397          | 0.397          |

Table 3 Odds ratios (95%CI) of central obesity for different SNPs in different smoke exposure groups (Continued)

|                | Never smokers | Former smokers | Current smokers |
|----------------|---------------|----------------|----------------|
|                | 1             | 1              | 1              |
|                | 1             | 1              | 1              |
|                | 109 (33.9)    | 127 (4.1)      | 128 (4.0)      |
|                | 253 (39.4)    | 1.27 (1.25)    | 1.26 (1.26)    |
|                | (0.96–1.68)   | (0.93–1.68)    | (0.94–1.70)    |
|                | (0.94–1.70)   | (1.06–1.80)    | (1.01–1.82)    |
|                | 130 (48.3)    | 1.83** (1.96**) | 1.99** (1.99**) |
|                | (1.31–2.55)   | (1.39–2.78)    | (1.41–2.81)    |
|                | (1.41–2.81)   | (1.39–2.78)    | (1.41–2.81)    |
|                | 76 (31.0)     | 1.00 (0.97)    | 0.96 (0.96)    |
|                | (0.69–1.46)   | (0.66–1.42)    | (0.65–1.42)    |
|                | 0.004**       | <0.001**       | <0.001**       |
|                | 0.009**       | <0.001**       | <0.001**       |
|                | 0.008**       | <0.001**       | <0.001**       |
|                | 0.984         | 0.838          | 0.815          |
|                | 0.815         | 0.815          | 0.815          |

Table 3 Odds ratios (95%CI) of central obesity for different SNPs in different smoke exposure groups (Continued)

|                | Never smokers | Former smokers | Current smokers |
|----------------|---------------|----------------|----------------|
|                | 1             | 1              | 1              |
|                | 1             | 1              | 1              |
|                | 110 (33.8)    | 132** (135*)   | 136* (136*)    |
|                | (1.00–1.75)   | (1.00–1.80)    | (1.01–1.82)    |
|                | (1.00–1.82)   | (1.01–1.82)    | (1.01–1.82)    |
|                | 132 (45.8)    | 1.65** (1.74**) | 1.75** (1.75**) |
|                | (1.19–2.29)   | (1.24–2.45)    | (1.25–2.47)    |
|                | (1.24–2.45)   | (1.25–2.47)    | (1.25–2.47)    |
|                | 75 (30.4)     | 0.89 (0.86)    | 0.85 (0.85)    |
|                | (0.61–1.30)   | (0.58–1.26)    | (0.58–1.26)    |
|                | 0.013**       | 0.024**        | 0.019**        |
|                | 0.002**       | 0.001**        | 0.001**        |
|                | 0.001**       | 0.518          | 0.418          |
|                | 0.397         | 0.397          | 0.397          |

Notes:

- Central obesity was based on the criteria for the Asian population (waist circumference [WC] ≥ 90 cm for men and ≥ 80 cm in women)
- Model A: Crude model
- Model B: Adjusted for age, sex
- Model C: Adjusted for age, sex, education, income level and physical activity

**P for A < 0.05
***P for A < 0.025

Discussion

This study showed that three of the four SNPs on BDNF were associated with general obesity and central obesity in former smokers but not in never and current smokers, which suggests genetic predisposition for obesity associated with smoking cessation. Furthermore, our LD analysis to verify the association of each base combination on general and central obesity showed that the association of SNPs on BDNF in former smokers with general and central obesity varied by cigarette consumption and nicotine dependence before smoking cessation.

Even though there has been no previous study that explored the genetic susceptibility loci of obesity related with smoking cessation, previous studies have tested the association between SNPs and BMI by different status of smoke exposure. We searched and found only two related studies. Megan D Fesinmeyer, et al. (25) used Population Architecture using Genomics and Epidemiology (PAGE) data (16,750 African Americans (AA) and 39,716 European Americans (EA)) and tested 10 SNPs selected by genome-wide association studies (GWAS) for BMI or obesity in different smoking exposure groups. However, they combined former smokers and never smokers as one group, so they did not report genetic
susceptibility loci of obesity related with smoking cessation. Further, they only adjusted age as a confounder and stratified by racial/ethnic group and sex. They found that rs6548238/TMEM18 C genotype was associated with BMI in AA female nonsmokers (former and never smokers combined) only, and for rs9939609/FTO, the association between A allele and BMI was stronger in current EA female smokers ($\beta = 0.017, p = 3.5 \times 10^{-5}$) than that in former/never female smokers ($\beta = 0.006, p = 0.05$). They concluded that there was limited evidence that smoking status may modify genetic effects of previously identified genetic risk factors for BMI [27].

Another study of European subjects used the 15q25 SNP-rs1051730 as an instrumental variable and tested the relationship between smoking exposure and BMI. They found that T of rs1051730 showed a lower BMI (by 0.23 kg/m$^2$) in ever smokers (and the association was significantly stronger in current smokers than former smokers), but no association was observed in never smokers. They concluded that exposure to smoking was causally associated with lower BMI but did not observe attenuation of the associations between genotype and BMI upon adjustment for smoking quantity in the former and current smokers [28]. To our knowledge, our study is the first to show that three SNPs on BDNF were associated with general obesity as well as central obesity in former smokers only, which may indicate a unique genetic mechanism for obesity related to smoking cessation.

Furthermore, the effect varied by cigarette consumption and nicotine dependence before cessation. This phenomenon that the amount smoked before smoking cessation was an important factor that contributed to the magnitude of long term weight gain following smoking cessation as reported in a US population-based cohort study which did not show SNP results [29]. Our study showed that this phenomenon could be due to the effect of SNP genotype on BDNF. The results of

---

**Fig. 1** Association of SNPs with general obesity in former smokers by different smoke exposure before cessation. A: Cigarettes per day (CPD) 0–9 before cessation (left) vs. CPD 10–19 group (middle) vs. heavy smokers (CPD ≥ 20) before cessation (right); B: Heavy Smoking Index (HSI) with 0 score group (left) vs. HSI 1–3 score group (middle) vs. HSI ≥ 4 before cessation (right)
our study suggest future research directions on the genetic mechanism for this phenomenon.

**BDNF** is an important member of the neurotrophin (NT) family, mainly expressed in the hippocampus and cortex. Previous GWAS studies found that SNPs in *BDNF* were related to tobacco addiction and BMI [4]. This may indicate that smoking behavior and overeating could be two kinds of addictive behavior triggered by **BDNF**. In former smokers, this addictive behavior indicated by cigarette consumption and nicotine dependence before smoking cessation may be expressed as compensatory overeating which leads to obesity after stopping smoking. There is also evidence that **BDNF** plays a role in regulation of appetite and result in a phenotype of hyperphagia and obesity [30]. Further studies are needed to clarify the mechanism, and **BDNF** may be the effective intervention targets of severe obesity after smoking cessation.

Our study had several limitations. First, the most suitable study design to examine the question would have been a prospective study following up a sufficient number of new quitters to examine their subsequent weight gain related to genotypes. However, this is not feasible as all participants of our study were older Chinese and the number of recent quitters was small. We did not have information on changes in BMI/WC in former smokers since smoking cessation. However, when we excluded participants with about the same weight or WC since the age of 18 (i.e. excluding those with weight changed significantly) and former smokers who quit smoking because of disease respectively, the results were similar (Table S19, S21, S22, S23). Furthermore, prospective analyses of the newly developed general and central obesity showed similar results, with GG rs6265 and AA rs11030104 genotype being significantly associated with new central obesity in former smokers (Table S17 and Table S19). Such results can strengthen the

|   | No. of cases | OR (95 %CI) | No. of cases | OR (95 %CI) | No. of cases | OR (95 %CI) | No. of cases | OR (95 %CI) | No. of cases | OR (95 %CI) |
|---|--------------|-------------|--------------|-------------|--------------|-------------|--------------|-------------|--------------|-------------|
| **A** |             |             | **B** |             |             |
| R06265 | No. of cases | OR (95 %CI) | R06265 | No. of cases | OR (95 %CI) |
| AA | 40 (29.2) | 1 | 44 (37.3) | 1 | 44 (28.6) | 1 | 26 (36.7) | 1 |
| GA | 94 (40.3) | 1.21 (0.72-2.04) | 87 (36.7) | 0.99 (0.66-1.5) | 64 (26.7) | 1.05 (0.64-1.72) | 44 (40.0) | 0.98 (0.61-1.6) | 24 (24.0) |
| GG | 37 (43.5) | 1.36 (0.72-2.66) | 41 (46.6) | 1.52 (0.93-2.79) | 41 (41.4) | 1.40 (0.81-2.42) | 44 (44.0) | 1.53 (0.93-2.43) | 44 (47.1) |
| TT | 41 (41.0) | 1 | 38 (31.5) | 1 | 38 (29.2) | 1 | 38 (31.5) | 1 |
| AT | 87 (39.9) | 1.08 (0.64-1.84) | 51 (33.3) | 1.12 (0.63-2.00) | 31 (52.6) | 2.79 (1.38-6.66) | 32 (50.8) | 2.58 (1.30-5.13) | 32 (50.8) |
| AA | 43 (42.2) | 1.24 (0.77-2.02) | 37 (44.6) | 1.33 (0.70-2.51) | 44 (40.0) | 1.40 (0.81-2.42) | 44 (44.0) | 1.53 (0.93-2.43) | 44 (47.1) |
| **B** | No. of cases | OR (95 %CI) | No. of cases | OR (95 %CI) | No. of cases | OR (95 %CI) | No. of cases | OR (95 %CI) | No. of cases | OR (95 %CI) |
| R06265 |             |             | R06265 |             |             |
| AA | 44 (37.3) | 1 | 44 (28.6) | 1 | 26 (36.7) | 1 |
| GA | 87 (36.7) | 1.09 (0.66-1.78) | 124 (43.2) | 1.95 (1.24-3.08) | 39 (37.9) | 1.00 (0.61-1.63) | 44 (45.4) | 1.69 (1.06-2.65) | 32 (50.8) |
| GG | 41 (46.6) | 1.52 (0.93-2.79) | 60 (45.5) | 2.44 (1.45-4.10) | 33 (56.9) | 1.78 (1.08-2.93) | 30 (53.6) | 2.40 (1.43-4.04) |
| TT | 44 (37.9) | 1 | 45 (31.3) | 1 | 19 (35.2) | 1 |
| AT | 84 (37.0) | 1.05 (0.64-1.72) | 115 (45.1) | 1.66 (1.06-2.59) | 45 (40.2) | 1.26 (0.61-2.57) |
| AA | 44 (44.0) | 1.46 (0.81-2.62) | 83 (42.8) | 1.78 (1.08-2.93) | 33 (50.8) | 1.95 (0.89-4.28) |

**Fig. 2** Association of SNPs with central obesity in former smokers by different smoke exposure before cessation. A: Cigarettes per day (CPD) 0–9 before cessation (left) vs. CPD 10–19 group (middle) vs. heavy smokers (CPD ≥ 20) before cessation (right); B: Heavy Smoking Index (HSI) with 0 score group (left) vs. HSI 1–3 score group (middle) vs. HSI ≥ 4 before cessation (right)
evidence for causality. However, in this study, lack of external replication cohort might be another limitation, which warrants further research. Second, we did not include a measure of energy intake and therefore could not comment on the role of energy intake. Third, although the GBCS is representative of the population aged 50 years or older in Guangzhou, participants in the present sample with genotype data included more women, were on average younger, and more physically active than those without genotype data. However, the BMI of these two groups did not differ (Table S21).

Fourth, the number of former and current smokers in our population was relatively small, which may lead to less precise results. Fifth, after searching the EBI GWAS catalog on 2021/5/20, it is found that 8 new SNPs (Table S24) were associated with both BMI and smoking behavior in GWAS studies (the research was based on the European population). However, due to the lack of the detection data, this study did not analyze the eight SNPs. Lastly, our results did not account for local ancestry. However, as our participants were all ethnic Chinese in Guangzhou, and 91.56% was Han Nationality [31, 32], the confounding effects due to ancestry, if any, might not be substantial.

In conclusion, three of the four SNPs on BDNF had an association with general and central obesity in former smokers only, particularly those with heavy cigarette consumption or nicotine dependence before abstinence. These SNPs may be effective intervention targets to prevent obesity after smoking cessation. Our results suggest that heavy smokers with such genetic markers could be at higher risk of obesity after quitting and health care professionals helping them to quit need to pay special attention to this problem.
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