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ABSTRACT

As part of the Dwarf galaxies Abundances and Radial-velocities Team (DART) program, we have measured the metallicities of a large sample of stars in four nearby dwarf spheroidal galaxies (dSph’s): Sculptor, Sextans, Fornax, and Carina. The low mean metal abundances and the presence of very old stellar populations in these galaxies have supported the view that they are fossils from the early universe. However, contrary to naive expectations, we find a significant lack of stars with metallicities below [Fe/H] ≈ −3 dex in all four systems. This suggests that the gas that made up the stars in these systems had been uniformly enriched prior to their formation. Furthermore, the metal-poor tail of the dSph metallicity distribution is significantly different from that of the Galactic halo. These findings show that the progenitors of nearby dSph’s appear to have been fundamentally different from the building blocks of the Milky Way, even at the earliest epochs.

Subject headings: galaxies: dwarf — galaxies: evolution — Galaxy: formation — Galaxy: halo — Local Group — stars: abundances

1. INTRODUCTION

The relevance of dwarf galaxies in the Local Group is twofold. As nearest neighbor systems, they can be studied in great detail and hence they are excellent cases for understanding star formation and prototypical galaxy evolution over the lifetime of the universe (Mateo 1998). Furthermore, dwarf galaxies are the simplest systems and have universal relevance as they are potentially the building blocks of larger galaxies.

All the dSph satellites of the Milky Way contain a population of very old stars and have low mean metallicities similar to those found in the Galactic stellar halo (Grebel & Gallagher 2004). Because the metallicity of a galaxy increases in time, the most metal-poor stars must be related to the first stars formed, allowing direct access to the physical processes and properties of the early universe. For example, if dSph’s were fossils of the prereionization era (Gnedin & Kravtsov 2006), the first stars in them could have been (partly) responsible for the reionization of the universe and the metal enrichment of the intergalactic medium (Bromm & Larson 2004).

Present-day dSph’s have been ruled out as the prime contributors to the Galactic halo on the basis of their stellar populations (Unavane et al. 1996) and their detailed chemical abundance patterns (Shetrone et al. 2001; Venn et al. 2004; Pritzl et al. 2005). This is not completely unexpected given that the surviving dSph’s have had a Hubble time to evolve as independent entities under differing conditions. Font et al. (2006) point out that if the dSph’s had been accreted at early epochs, they could still have been the building blocks of hierarchical models. However, we show here that even this explanation is not viable: the high-redshift progenitors of the dSph’s appear to have been fundamentally different from the building blocks of the Milky Way.

In this Letter, we study the metallicity distribution of a large sample of stars in four nearby dSph’s: Sculptor, Fornax, Sextans, and Carina. The data presented here were taken using the European Southern Observatory (ESO) Very Large Telescope (VLT) Fibre Large Array Multi Element Spectrograph (FLAMES) facility in low-resolution (LR) mode (Pasquini et al. 2002). The DART program has observed Sculptor, Fornax, and Sextans, while the data for Carina comes from the ESO archive.

2. OBSERVATIONS AND ANALYSIS

For each galaxy, we derive metallicity estimates as well as accurate radial velocity measurements for several hundred red giant branch (RGB) stars located over a large area extending out to the tidal radius (Tolstoy et al. 2004; Koch et al. 2006; Battaglia et al. 2006). We use RGB stars for our spectroscopic program, since they are bright, cover a range of ages dating back to the oldest stellar populations in these galaxies (Stetson et al. 1998), and (the majority) are believed to contain in their atmospheres an unpolluted sample of the metallicity of the interstellar medium out of which they formed. To identify candidate RGB stars in the dSph’s, we used ESO Wide Field Imager observations to construct color-magnitude diagrams and selected giant branch stars from their well-defined loci. We took particular care to acquire a statistically fair sample by targeting potential RGB members over a wide range in color and in spatial location, in order to probe the more evenly distributed metal-poor component (although we only have a small
number of stars located near the tidal radius). For example, in Sextans we have obtained spectra for every RGB star candidate over several square degrees down to $V = 20$ ($M_V = 0.6$), while for Sculptor more than 50% of the brighter RGB stars out to the notional tidal radius have been followed up.

We use the Ca II triplet region of the RGB star’s spectrum to estimate its metallicity (Cole et al. 2004). This indicator requires only low or intermediate spectral resolution, is based on three absorption lines around 8500 Å, and has been empirically calibrated from high-resolution abundance studies of stars in globular clusters (Armandroff & Da Costa 1991).

All spectroscopic VLT FLAMES observations were made in Medusa mode, in which ~120 fibers are placed over a 25’ diameter field of view. The data were all reduced, extracted, and wavelength-calibrated using the pipeline provided by the FLAMES consortium (Blecha et al. 2003). For sky subtraction, velocity, and equivalent width estimation, we developed our own software, which was thoroughly checked on multiple observations of the same fields taken at different times. From the equivalent width of the individual Ca II triplet lines, $EW$, we estimate the metallicity as (Tolstoy et al. 2001)

$$[\text{Fe/H}] = -2.66 + 0.42[EW_{2+3} + 0.64(V - V_{\text{HB}})]$$

where $EW_{2+3}$ is the combined equivalent width derived from lines 2 and 3, and $V - V_{\text{HB}}$ is the apparent magnitude difference between the star and the horizontal branch of the system (i.e., a proxy correction for the effect of surface gravity). We find that for reliable [Fe/H] determinations ($\pm 0.1$ dex) a continuum signal-to-noise ratio $S/N$ per Å $\geq 10$ is required. In what follows, we consider only those stars satisfying this criterion and also with estimated radial velocity errors less than 6 km s$^{-1}$.

The velocities, metallicities, and spatial distribution of the stars in our survey are shown in Figure 1. We identify velocity members of a particular dwarf galaxy by applying a $\chi^2$-clipping procedure and retaining those stars within 3 $\sigma$ of the converged mean velocity (typically $\pm 35$ km s$^{-1}$). There are 364 member stars in our Carina sample, 933 in Fornax, 513 in Sculptor, and 202 in Sextans.

2.1. The Low-Metallicity Tail

The metallicity distributions of the galaxies are shown in Figure 2. There is great diversity from system to system, which reflects their widely different star formation and chemical enrichment histories (e.g., Mateo 1998). There is, however, a common denominator: contrary to naive expectations, there is a dearth of stars with $[\text{Fe/H}] > -3$ dex.

We have carried out a number of checks to confirm the reality of this result and to rule out systematic errors that would prevent us from finding $[\text{Fe/H}] < -3$ dex from our LR Ca II spectra. First, we have compared our FLAMES LR Ca II measures with FLAMES high-resolution measures based directly on Fe i and Fe ii lines and find good agreement (i.e., within 0.1 to 0.2 dex), over the range $-2.5 < [\text{Fe/H}] < -0.5$ for the Sculptor and Fornax samples (G. Battaglia et al. 2006, in preparation). Second, we have followed up with high-resolution spectroscopy several of the metal-poor objects with [Fe/H] $\sim 2.7$ and find similarly good agreement with our LR metallicity estimates. Finally, we have carried out an extensive search for continuum-only Ca II objects in our samples and found very few candidates. These have turned out to be nearby subdwarfs or distant galaxies in follow-up high-resolution spectra with larger wavelength coverage (K. A. Venn et al. 2006, in preparation).

We now focus on the low-metallicity tail of the distributions ([Fe/H] $\leq -2.4$ dex). The panels on the right of Figure 2 show the (unbinned) cumulative distribution of metallicities for the dSph’s. This distribution is less sensitive to small numbers statistics than the differential one shown in the left-hand side panels. The exponential shape of the low-metallicity tail can
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To establish the relation between the dwarf satellites of our Galaxy and its putative building blocks, we now compare the metal-poor tail of the metallicity distributions of the dSph’s and the Galactic halo. By focusing on the low-metallicity tail, we aim to test whether the first generations of stars in the dwarfs were analogous to those in the Galactic building blocks.

The halo metallicity distribution function has been intensively studied for more than three decades because of its power to constrain Galaxy evolution models. Ryan & Norris (1991) were the first to show that the metal-poor tail extends well below [Fe/H] ~ -3 dex, on the basis of a sample of 240 kinematically selected halo stars, in which only five stars were found to have such low metallicities. Although this is a small number of objects, this sample size was already smaller than what is typical for the dSph’s in the DART program.
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dividual dwarf galaxy. We find that the probability is very low in all cases, ranging from $8 \times 10^{-4}$ for Sextans, to $4 \times 10^{-3}$ for Carina and $8 \times 10^{-3}$ for Sculptor and Fornax.

3. DISCUSSION

The above analyses show that the tails of the metallicity distributions of the dSph’s and the Galactic halo are very different at highly significant levels. Contrastingly, the metallicity distributions at low [Fe/H] of all dSph’s are consistent with one another at the 1 $\sigma$ level; the observed lack of very metal-poor stars might be considered to be a kind of “G-dwarf problem” on the scale of the dSph’s.

This implies that any merging, even very early merging, of the progenitors of the nearby dwarf galaxies as a mechanism for building up the Galactic halo is ruled out. The absence of very metal-poor stars in the dSph’s shows that the progenitors of the Milky Way and the dSph’s must have been different.

We can think of two possible explanations. In the first scenario, the Galactic building blocks formed from the collapse of high-$\sigma$ density fluctuations in the early universe (Diemand et al. 2005), while the dwarf satellites would stem from low-$\sigma$ peaks, predicted to collapse on average at much lower redshifts; e.g., a 1 $\sigma$ density fluctuation of mass $10^8 M_\odot$ collapses at $z \sim 4$ in a $\Lambda$CDM universe (e.g., Qian & Wasserburg 2004). It is interesting to note that absorption-line spectra toward quasars show that the intergalactic medium at this redshift has a mean metallicity of [Fe/H] $\sim -3$ dex (Cowie & Songaila 1998), a value that is consistent with the lowest metallicity stars found in our sample. This would mean the oldest stars in the dSph’s are $\sim 12$ Gyr old, formed after the universe was reionized and from a preenriched intergalactic medium.

A second explanation could be that the initial mass function (IMF) behaved differently in Galactic building blocks and dSph’s at the earliest times. This is a possible interpretation of the observational fact that the Galactic halo contains some very metal-poor stars with mass $\sim 0.8 M_\odot$ (Christlieb et al. 2002; Frebel et al. 2005), which are not seen in dSph’s. For example, in the case of a bimodal IMF, low-mass stars can form even from zero metallicity gas (Nakamura & Umemura 2001). However, this is only possible if the initial density of the gas is sufficiently large, and so this would be favored in high-$\sigma$ peaks collapsing at very early times.

It may be possible to distinguish between the two scenarios proposed above through detailed chemical abundance studies. Unfortunately, the currently available high-resolution spectra of metal-poor stars in dSph’s are very sparse, with only a few published examples of stars below $-2.5$ dex (e.g., Shetrone et al. 2001; Sadakane et al. 2004; Fulbright et al. 2004), and as such are still inconclusive.

In both scenarios, the key element is the bias in the galaxy formation process, while environment would seem to play a less important role. However, clearly the models need to be explored in much more detail than the mere outline given here. These efforts should be supplemented by large observational programs, which should not just focus directly on the high-redshift distant universe. There is much to learn about what happened at those early epochs from our own backyard.
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