Spleen implanting in the fatty liver mimicking hepatocarcinoma in a patient with hepatitis B&C. A case report and literature review
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1. Introduction

Ectopic splenic autotransplantation (ESAT) refers to the heterotopic autotransplantation of splenic tissue which is a benign condition and is frequently secondary to traumatic splenic rupture or splenectomy.[1] Its incidence rate is reported up to 67% among patients with a history of splenic trauma and splenic surgery.[2] ESAT is asymptomatic even without any surgical procedure and often incidentally diagnosed during imageological examinations.[3] ESAT can occur in any location of peritoneal cavity, thoracic cavity, pelvic cavity, skin incision, and so on.[4]

Hepatic splenosis refers to a heterotopic implantation of splenic fragments in the liver. Even though the actual mechanism of occurrence remains unresolved, there are 2 suspected possibilities: spleen cells may directly grow on the surface of the liver and then subside into the depths, or spleen cells may hematogenously spread through the splenic vein and are subsequently implanted in the liver.[3,5] Around 18 cases of hepatic splenosis have been reported in the literature during the last 10 years. Nineteen lesions in 10 cases (Table 1) with computed tomography (CT) or magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) were reviewed here, including solitary lesions in 5 cases[2,4,6–8] and multiple lesions in the rest.[1,5,9,10] Eighteen lesions among them were located along the capsule of the liver parenchyma, only 1 lesion was observed within the liver parenchyma but still closed to the liver capsule.[9] In these cases, 11 lesions were located in the left lobe, whereas 8 were located in the right lobe. Furthermore, the size of these nodules ranged from 0.6 to 5 cm.

2. Case report

A 42-year-old man was enrolled with recurrent low back pain for more than 1 month without any treatment. He underwent splenectomy for traumatic splenic rupture 16 years ago. Physical examination was negative. Alpha-fetoprotein was 1.53 IU/mL. Keywords: computed tomography, hepatocellular carcinoma, intrahepatic splenosis, magnetic resonance imaging
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Serology analysis was positive for Hepatitis B virus antibody (Ab), antigen (Ag), pre-S Ag, hepatitis B Core Antibody Immunoglobulin G, and hepatitis C virus antibody. CT of upper abdomen revealed a 23/218 mm sized isodense subcapsular hepatic nodule with CT value 52.9 HU in segment IV (SIV) of fatty liver (Fig. 1A). Dynamic enhanced CT imaging showed that the lesion had marked homogeneous enhancement in the arterial and portal venous phase with CT value 78.05 and 98.52 HU, respectively, and diminished enhancement in the equilibrium phase with CT value 68.56 HU (Fig. 1B–D). MRI showed a nodule hypointense on T1 weighted imaging (T1WI) and hyperintense on T2 weighted imaging (T2WI) (Fig. 2A–C). On MR dynamic enhanced images, this nodule showed moderate homogenous enhancement with marked delayed ring enhancement mimicking a pseudocapsule similar to hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) in equilibrium phase (Fig. 2D–F). On the basis of image features and laboratory test findings, HCC could not be ruled out, resulting in segmentectomy of the liver. During laparotomy, a pliable crater-like reddish-brown nodule protruding from the surface of the liver was revealed in SIV, measuring 3/23 cm and having intact capsule. The subsequent histopathology of the resected lesion demonstrated redundant lymphocytes, scattered lymphoid follicles, and class trabecular structures, including fibrovascular tissues between hepatocytes.

Table 1

| Reference | Age/sex | TNO | MS, cm | Lobe/NO | Close to capsule | Chronic hepatitis | Primary tumor | Primary suspected disease | CT | MR | Dynamic enhancement pattern |
|-----------|---------|-----|--------|---------|-----------------|------------------|--------------|--------------------------|----|----|-----------------------------|
| [1]       | 33/M    | 3   | 4.2    | R2 L1   | √               | –                | –            | –                        | Un | Un | Un                          |
| [2]       | 53/M    | 1   | 3.5    | L1      | √               | +                | –            | –                        | Un | Un | Quick-in and slow-out       |
| [3]       | 49/F    | 3   | 5      | L3      | √               | –                | –            | –                        | Un | Un | Hypervascular property      |
| [4]       | 56/M    | 1   | 4.6    | L1      | √               | –                | –            | –                        | Un | Un | Hypervascular property      |
| [5]       | 52/M    | 2   | 3      | R1 L1   | √               | + (F)            | –            | –                        | Un | Un | Quick-in and slow-out       |
| [6]       | 60/Un   | 1   | 3      | R1      | √               | + (C)            | –            | –                        | Un | Un | Quick-in and slow-out       |
| [7]       | 54/M    | 1   | 4      | L1      | √               | –                | –            | –                        | Un | Un | Hypervascular property      |
| [8]       | 58/M    | 1   | 3.9    | R1      | √               | + (C)            | –            | –                        | Un | Un | Hypervascular property      |
| [9]       | 32/M    | 2   | 2.3    | L2      | √               | –                | –            | –                        | Un | Un | Hypervascular property      |

AFP = alpha-fetoprotein, CHG = chromogranin, CT = computed tomography, HCC = hepatocellular carcinoma, L = left hepatic lobe, LT = laboratory tests, MR = magnetic resonance, MS = maximum size, NO = number of tumors, R = right hepatic lobe, TNO = total number of tumors, Un = unknown.

*Intrahepatic splenosis is accompanied with intraabdominal splenosis.

Figure 1. Computed tomography (CT) images of implanted hepatic splenosis. Noncontrast CT revealed a hypodense nodule in SIV of fatty liver (A). A dynamic study showed moderate homogenous enhancement in arterial (B), portal venous phase (C), and hypodensity in equilibrium phase with delayed rim enhancement (D), SIV=segment IV.
and fatty infiltration (Fig. 3A and B). The histological findings confirmed hepatic splenosis.

3. Discussion

According to the previous literature about hepatic splenosis, it is mostly found incidentally in the ultrasound examination of the liver as a hypoechoic mass, featuring clear margins and hyperechoic envelope which required further additional evaluation for definite diagnosis.

Generally, noncontrast CT reveals a well circumscribed iso- or hypodense mass in the liver, while noncontrast MRI demonstrates mild-to-moderate hyperintensity on T2-weighted image and hypointensity on the T1-weighted image. A dynamic study on CT and MRI shows a slight to intense enhancement, which may be homogeneous or heterogeneous during the arterial phase but enhancement is diversely during the portal venous and equilibrium phases in different cases. Compared with the surrounding hepatic parenchyma, the lesion could reveal hypodensity and hypointensity,9 or hyperdensity2 in the arterial phase, or it can demonstrate diminished enhancement,7-8 slight enhancement,5 or increased enhancement6 during the following phases. Also notable is that there is a case report3 described the mass with fast-in and fast-out contrast enhancement on contrast enhanced CT imaging, which shows the feature of malignant tumors. Back on our case, against the background of fatty liver, the lesion was isodense on noncontrast CT images, meanwhile, showed hypointensity on the T1WI and hyperintensity on T2WI. A dynamic study both on CT and MRI showed marked homogeneous enhancement in the arterial and portal venous phase, whereas diminished enhancement in the equilibrium phase. Thus, it can be perceived that hepatic splenosis have no sufficient strong features on radiographic examination, leading to the confusion of differentiating hepatic splenosis from other hepatic diseases.

Figure 2. Magnetic resonance images of implanted hepatic splenosis. Magnetic resonance imaging showed a nodule in SIV which was homogenous hypointense on T1WI (A and B) and hyper-intensity on T2WI (C). On the gadolinium-dihydroxytriamine pentaacetic acid dynamic-enhanced images, this nodule showed marked enhancement in the arterial phase (D) and portal venous phase (E) and appeared a hypointense lesion compared with the surrounding liver parenchyma in the equilibrium phase (F). In addition, its rim had obvious circular delayed enhancement during the enhancing process. SIV = segment IV, T1WI = T1 weighted imaging, T2WI = T2 weighted imaging.

Figure 3. Hematoxylin and eosin staining (A × 100; B × 200) of the lesion demonstrated redundant lymphocytes, scattered lymphoid follicles, and class trabecular structures consisting of fibrovascular tissues between hepatocytes and also including fatty infiltration (A and B). The histological findings confirmed hepatic splenosis.
In the previous 10 cases, hepatic splenosis was suspected as HCC in 6 cases (with history of chronic hepatitis in 3 cases,\(^5,7,8\) fatty liver in 1 case,\(^2\) and without any other associated disease in 2 cases\(^1,6\)), as liver metastasis with the presence of gastric cancer in 1 case,\(^10\) as malignant tumor in 1 case, and even mimicked a renal neoplasm in 1 case.\(^19\) In our case, it was first misdiagnosed as HCC with the significant history of hepatitis B&C. Finally, all cases underwent surgical resection and the histological examination confirmed it to be splenic tissue.

As a matter of fact, hepatic splenosis in absence of any symptoms do not require clinical treatment. Hence, the differential diagnosis of the hepatic splenosis is particularly important.

Differential diagnoses in radiological diagnosis of splenosis include HCC, metastasis, cavernous hemangioma, and focal nodular hyperplasia (FNH). HCC has the characteristic fast-in and fast-out enhancement pattern, and it becomes hypointense on superparamagnetic iron oxide (SPIO) enhanced image relative to the liver parenchyma, while intrahepatic splenic nodes remain hyperintense. Furthermore, HCC’s images sometimes could demonstrate vascular invasion or tumor thrombosis, biliary system invasion, adjacent lymph node swelling, and distant metastasis. Hepatic metastasis have typical features of multiple, variable sized, and low-density masses, which mostly have central degeneration and necrosis zone and contrast enhanced feature of “bull’s-eye” which presents as the ring-like enhancement on portal venous phase. Hepatic cavernous hemangioma has a progressive centripetal enhancement and the lesion eventually merges with the background parenchyma. On MRI, it has uniform high signal intensity on T2WI, and the “bulb sign” appears with the extension of the echo time, which means it becomes significantly hyperintense. Typically, FNH is iso- or hypointense on T1WI, slightly hyper- or isointense on T2WI and has a hyperintense central scar on T2WI. Furthermore, it reveals intense homogeneous enhancement during the arterial phase and enhancement of the central scar during later phases.\(^11\)

### 4. Conclusion

When liver imaging of a patient with history of traumatic splenic rupture or splenectomy shows 1 or few well circumscribed nodules with enhancement in the arterial phase, especially in the subcapsular area, we should suspect hepatic splenosis. MR SPIO enhancement and follow-up are recommended especially when the tumor markers are negative with nonspecific clinical symptoms, for the purpose of avoiding unnecessary surgery.
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