The GH10 and GH48 dual-functional catalytic domains from a multimodular glycoside hydrolase synergize in hydrolyzing both cellulose and xylan
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Abstract
Background: Regarding plant cell wall polysaccharides degradation, multimodular glycoside hydrolases (GHs) with two catalytic domains separated by one or multiple carbohydrate-binding domains are rare in nature. This special mode of domain organization endows the *Caldicellulosiruptor bescii* CelA (GH9-CBM3c-CBM3b-CBM3b-GH48) remarkably high efficiency in hydrolyzing cellulose. *CbXyn10C/Cel48B* from the same bacterium is also such an enzyme which has, however, evolved to target both xylan and cellulose. Intriguingly, the GH10 endoxylanase and GH48 celllobiohydrolase domains are both dual functional, raising the question if they can act synergistically in hydrolyzing cellulose and xylan, the two major components of plant cell wall.

Results: In this study, we discovered that *CbXyn10C* and *CbCel48B*, which stood for the N- and C-terminal catalytic domains, respectively, cooperatively released much more cellobiose and cellotriose from cellulose. In addition, they displayed intramolecular synergy but only at the early stage of xylan hydrolysis by generating higher amounts of xylooligosaccharides including xylotriose, xylotetraose, and xylobiose. When complex lignocellulose corn straw was used as the substrate, the synergy was found only for cellulose but not xylan hydrolysis.

Conclusion: This is the first report to reveal the synergy between a GH10 and a GH48 domain. The synergy discovered in this study is helpful for understanding how *C. bescii* captures energy from these recalcitrant plant cell wall polysaccharides. The insight also sheds light on designing robust and multi-functional enzymes for plant cell wall polysaccharides degradation.
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acting in an oxidative manner in a canonical hydrolytic cellulase blend boosts cellulose degradation [7].

Most naturally occurring enzymes degrading cellulose and xylan can be grouped into two categories, i.e., free enzymes or cellulosomes, with the former represented by the enzymes from aerobic fungi (such as T. reesei) and the latter by those from some anaerobic bacteria (such as Clostridium thermocellum), respectively [1, 8]. Multi-modular glycoside hydrolases with two catalytic domains separated by one or multiple carbohydrate-binding modules (CBMs) are rare in nature and appear to be an intermediate paradigm existing between free enzymes and cellulosomes [9–11]. CelA [12] (or CbCel9A/Cel48A [13]) from a thermophilic bacterium Caldicellulosiruptor bescii is such an enzyme with this special mode of domain organization by having N-terminal GH9 and C-terminal GH48 catalytic domains separated by three family 3 cellulose-binding CBMs (GH9-CBM3c-CBM3b-CBM3b-GH48). Impressively, this enzyme displays a high efficiency in hydrolyzing crystalline cellulose [12, 14, 15]. It is noticed that, in this bacterium, there are five more multimodular GHs all sharing a similar domain architecture, majorly differing in their N- and C-terminal catalytic domains [16].

Among the six multimodular GHs in C. bescii, CbXyn10C/Cel48B [17] (or CelC [18]) is intriguing in that it has a nearly identical domain organization pattern, as well as amino acid sequence, to that of CelA [19]. The most prominent difference is that the N-terminal GH9-CBM3c domains in CelA are replaced by a GH10 catalytic domain in CbXyn10C/Cel48B. Strong intermolecular synergy in hydrolyzing crystalline cellulose has been observed when combining some of these multimodular enzymes (such as CelA and CbXyn10C/Cel48B), which is believed to be important for cellulose utilization by C. bescii [18]. However, until now the underlying mechanism about such a synergy has not been fully elucidated. In our previous studies, we discovered that, while the GH10 domain is a bona fide xylanase, it displays a remarkable side endo-cleaving activity for crystalline cellulose [17, 20]. This makes CbXyn10C/Cel48B extremely similar to CelA in domain organization by having an endoglucanase in the N-terminus and an exo-glucanase in the C-terminus, respectively. Therefore, it is tempting to hypothesize that the two catalytic domains within the same polypeptide may synergize in hydrolyzing cellulose. Moreover, Cel48A (the GH48 cellulase domain in CelA) has been reported to also have promiscuity in hydrolyzing xylan [14]. Since the amino acid sequences of Cel48A and Cel48B are identical, this further raises the question if CbXyn10C and CbCel48B can act synergistically in hydrolyzing xylan, as well. The knowledge about the synergistic effect of these domains in hydrolyzing cellulose and xylan would be helpful for understanding how C. bescii captures energy from these recalcitrant polysaccharides. A previous truncation study of Cdan_2053 (GH10-CBM3-GH12-GH48) from Caldicellulosiruptor danielli [18] and the above-addressed cooperation among specific combinations of multimodular C. bescii full-length enzymes [19, 21] have provided clues but not definitive evidence for the proposed synergy between the GH10 and GH48 catalytic domains. Therefore, hydrolysis of cellulose and xylan by CbXyn10C and CbCel48B catalytic domains was investigated in this study and the acquired knowledge will help us understand the underlying mechanism of synergy between the C. bescii multimodular, dual catalytic domain enzymes.

Results and discussion
Expression of three truncation mutants of CbXyn10C/Cel48B bearing one or both of the GH10/GH48 catalytic domains
Caldicellulosiruptor bescii uses predominantly multimodular enzymes to degrade cellulose, which is prototyped by CelA with one GH9 and one GH48 catalytic domain tethered in a single polypeptide. This has been proposed to be an intermediate between free enzyme and supramolecular cellulosome paradigms [14, 22]. Similar to CelA, during growth of C. bescii on cellulose, CbXyn10C/Cel48B (or Athe_1857) is also abundantly secreted by C. bescii [10, 23]. This points to its possibly important role involved in cellulose depolymerization. Instructively, its domain organization and amino acid sequence are extremely similar to CelA, with the major difference existent only in the N-terminus [17]. Since our previous studies have demonstrated that CbXyn10C has non-negligible activity on crystalline cellulose, it is therefore hypothesized that CbXyn10C may synergize with the C-terminal GH48 cellbiohydrolase to degrade cellulose.

Obtaining truncation mutants with either of the GH10 or GH48 catalytic domains, or both, is the prerequisite to understand if these two domains can act synergistically in degrading plant cell wall polysaccharide in CbXyn10C/Cel48B. Therefore, the N-terminal GH10 catalytic domain appended with a CBM3b (GH10-CBM3b-1), herein termed TM1, and the C-terminal GH48 catalytic domain linked to a CBM3b (CBM3b-2-GH48) were cloned and expressed in E. coli (Fig. 1a). These truncation mutants were selected to represent the single catalytic domain enzymes from other microbial systems, with the CBM3b reported to assist hydrolysis of cellulose [17]. Note that CBM3b-1 and CBM3b-2 have nearly identical amino acid sequences (>99% identity). The overexpressed recombinant TM1 and TM2 enzymes were purified by using immobilized metal affinity chromatography followed by ion exchange. Unfortunately, the
expression level of full-length \( CbXyn10C/Cel48B \) was too low, preventing us from purifying the holoenzyme. However, a truncation mutant of \( CbXyn10C/Cel48B \) which has the two catalytic domains separated by one CBM3b (i.e., GH10-CBM3b-2-GH48, herein termed TM3) can be expressed and purified (Fig. 1b). Since this domain organization is similar to that of the wild-type enzyme, this construct can be regarded as a shortened mimic of the full-length multimodular enzyme \( CbXyn10C/Cel48B \) and thus selected for further analyses. Therefore, these three proteins were compared for their activities on cellulose and xylan.

**Synergistic hydrolysis of filter paper cellulose by the GH10 and GH48 catalytic modules**

We first used the DNS method to measure the specific activity of the three truncation mutants on cellulosic substrates. The reaction conditions were set as 75 °C for cellulose (to minimize loss of enzyme activity in the incubation) and 85 °C for xylan (to maximize the enzyme activity of xylanase in the short period of incubation) in a pH 6.5 McIlvaine buffer (in accordance with the optimal pH of \( CbXyn10C \)) [17]. In the long incubation at 75 °C, no loss of enzyme activity was observed for TM1 and TM3, while only slight reduction of enzyme activity (10%) was monitored for TM2 (Additional file 1). As expected, TM3 displayed activity on both xylan and cellulose (CMC and filter paper, representing soluble and insoluble cellulose, respectively), in accordance with its GH10 xylanase and GH48 cellulase domains, respectively (Table 1). TM1 also had activity on the two cellulosic substrates, indicating that the GH10 domain encodes a bifunctional xylanase/cellulase, as reported earlier [17, 20]. TM2, which bears the GH48 catalytic domain, had activity on filter paper, CMC, and xylan. At the first glance, the reducing sugar assay as determined by the DNS method did not indicate any significant synergy of the GH10 and GH48 catalytic modules on cellulose, either intermolecularly (by mixing TM1 and TM2 together) or intramolecularly (by acting of the TM3) (Table 1), as determined for the cellulase specific activity. However, it was noticed that the hydrolysis products were heterogeneous and contained multiple sugars. Through HPAEC–PAD analysis, \( CbXyn10C \) was determined to produce glucose to cellohexaose [17], while \( CbCel48B \) released glucose to cellotriose from filter paper [13]. These sugars could react differently with the DNS reagent [24]. Therefore, cellulose hydrolysis with these enzymes was carried out under the same conditions for 5 h when the reaction entered a plateau phase [17]. HPAEC–PAD was further used to determine the components in the filter paper hydrolysis products. This analysis indicated that, although there was still no synergistic effect for glucose liberation, significant synergy was observed for release of cellobiose and cellotriose, with degrees of synergy (DoS) of 2.3 and 1.7, respectively, for TM1 + TM2 binary mixture (Fig. 2). The DoS in releasing cellobiose and cellotriose was 2.6 and 1.8, respectively.

**Table 1 Substrate specificity of TM1, TM2, and TM3**

|                  | Specific activity (\( \mu \text{mol/min}/\mu \text{mol of enzyme} \)) |
|------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
|                  | Filter paper | CMC        | Xylan              |
| TM1              | 7.1 ± 0.3    | 14.0 ± 0.4 | 10,276 ± 191      |
| TM2              | 3.3 ± 0.1    | 4.6 ± 0.2  | 45 ± 0.4           |
| TM1 + TM2        | 8.2 ± 0.6    | 15.8 ± 1.1 | 10,726 ± 106      |
| TM3              | 11.5 ± 0.1   | 21.9 ± 2.6 | 17,331 ± 286      |

Values are represented as means ± standard deviations from three independent experiments.

**Fig. 1** Preparation of truncation mutants of \( CbXyn10C/Cel48B \). a Schematic diagram of the truncation mutants. b SDS-PAGE analysis of purified TM1, TM2, and TM3. Lane M, protein molecular mass marker.
may affect each other and thus have an impact on their overall functions.

Although the ratio of glucose:cellulbiose:cellotriose (1:166:20) for TM3 was much different from that of TM1 + TM2 (1:12.5:1.7) and those of CbXyn10C and CbCel48B acting alone (1:1.7:0.9 and 1:23:1.9, respectively) (Fig. 2), in the cases of both inter- and intramolecular interactions, the GH10 and GH48 acted together to produce predominantly cellulbiose with nearly identical high concentrations. This indicated that cellultriase, and perhaps longer cellooligosaccharides produced by CbXyn10C during cellulose hydrolysis as well, was quickly degraded by CbCel48B majorly into cellulbiose. The preferred release of cellulbiose by cooperation of CbXyn10C and CbCel48B from cellulose is of physiological significance since cellulbiose is among the best substrates to support growth of C. bescii, on which a large amount of cellulase is expressed [25]. Similarly, CelA (CbCel9A/Cel48A) also produces a large amount of cellulbiose [13]. However, CelA produces considerable amounts of glucose from filter paper in addition to cellulbiose [13]. In addition, the cooperation between CbXyn10C and CbCel48B in cellulose hydrolysis can, at least partially, account for the observed synergy between CbXyn10C/Cel48B and CelA [18]. Since the Cel48A and Cel48B domains are identical, the GH10 domain in CbXyn10C/Cel48B should be able to synergize intermolecularly with the CelA GH48 domain, as demonstrated in Fig. 2. Therefore, in nature, the true extracellular cellulase hydrolysate profile tends to be a product mixture from single multi-modular cellulase and their combinatorial action. Adding to this complexity, the cellular transmembrane transporters involved in cellooligosaccharides assimilation should also be taken into consideration, whose substrate specificity (i.e., preference for long or short cellooligosaccharides) and transporting efficiency will have a large impact on the hydrolysate profile. To the best knowledge of the authors, no C. bescii cellooligosaccharides transporters have been identified and biochemically characterized.

Although CbXyn10C has a specific activity of 5.4 μmol/min/μmol of enzyme on filter paper, comparable to those of other typical endoglucanases such as CbCel9B/Man5A (16.1 μmol/min/μmol of enzyme) [26], the GH12 CelA cellulase of Thermotoga neapolitana (3.2 μmol of sugar/min/μmol of enzyme) [27], and CelB of Caldicellulosiruptor saccharolyticus (1.8 μmol of sugar/min/μmol of enzyme) [28], its activities on soluble cellulose, e.g., sodium carboxymethyl cellulose, and amorphous cellulose (such as phosphoric acid-swollen cellulose) are relatively low (39 and 1.2 μmol of sugar/min/μmol of enzyme, respectively). Despite its apparently low activity with these commonly easy-to-digest cellulose substrates, CbXyn10C cooperates with CbCel48B to efficiently
hydrolyze filter paper, which is instead a crystalline form of cellulose and more resistant to hydrolysis. The specific activity of TM3, a miniaturized mimic of the full-length enzyme CbXyn10C/Cel48B, exhibited a specific activity of 11.5 μmol of sugar/min/μmol of enzyme on filter paper, similar to that of CelA (13.1 μmol of sugar/min/μmol of enzyme) expressed in E. coli [13]. Previous truncation studies of CbCel9B/Man5A and CbXyn10C/Cel48B revealed that appending two CBM3bs to CbCel9B and CbXyn10C is beneficial for cellulose hydrolysis [17, 29]. Therefore, although the full-length enzyme was not obtained in this study, it is postulated that CbXyn10C/Cel48B may be superior to TM3. Considering that CbXyn10C has significant xylanase activity, CbXyn10C/Cel48B appears to be evolved to hydrolyze the intertwined cellulose/xylan polysaccharides in plant cell wall.

Intramolecular synergy of the GH10 and GH48 catalytic modules in early hydrolysis of xylan

Although the GH48 domain is a typical exocellulase, C. bescii Cel48A could also hydrolyze xylan [14]. Due to the identical amino acid sequence of the GH48 domain in CbXyn10C/Cel48B to that of Cel48A, we next determined if the GH10 and GH48 modules in CbXyn10C/Cel48B could act synergistically on xylan. The assay conditions were set as 75 °C (to minimize loss of enzyme activity in the 18 h of incubation) in the pH 6.5 McIlvaine buffer. Unlike cellulose hydrolysis, although mixing the GH10 and GH48 modules (TM1 + TM2) did not give any synergy, TM3 by itself displayed significant higher specific activity on xylan in the DNS measurement of reducing sugars (Table 1). Since the specific activity was determined in the early stage of xylan hydrolysis (10 min), we further carried out a time-course analysis of xylan hydrolysis and determined the components of the reaction products using HPAEC–PAD (Fig. 3). Although TM2 at high concentrations (1 μM and 2 μM) released significant amounts of xylose and xylooligosaccharides, TM2 at a low concentration (100 nM) liberated only minor concentrations of these sugars (Additional file 2). Therefore, the components in TM2-hydrolyzed products were negligible and therefore not presented. TM1, TM1 + TM2, and TM3 all produced xylose and xylooligosaccharides (xylobiose to xylohexaose). TM1 and TM1 + TM2 generated extremely similar hydrolysis patterns (Fig. 3a, b). Xylotriose was the dominant hydrolysis product from 15 to 45 min. Xylobiose and xylose began to accumulate from 120 min to 18 h, while the

Fig. 3 Time-course analysis of xylan hydrolysis by the truncation mutants. a–c Xylan hydrolysis by TM1 (a), TM1 + TM2 (b), and TM3 (c). d Hydrolysis of xylotetraose by CbCel48B was inhibited by increasing amounts of xylobiose. The hydrolysis was carried out at 75 °C in the McIlvaine buffer (pH 6.5) for 18 h and at different time intervals the samples were taken out for HPAEC–PAD analysis.
concentrations of the comparatively longer xylooligosaccharides (xylooligosaccharides) dropped during this period. The three enzymes/enzyme combination released very similar concentrations of xylene to xylohexaose at the end of reactions (Fig. 3a–c). However, from 15 to 120 min which was in the early stage of hydrolysis, the concentrations of xylooligosaccharides, xylan, and xylooligosaccharides released by TM3 rapidly increased to 2141 μM, 2415 μM, and 529 μM, respectively, which were much higher than those released by TM1 (xylobiose, 295 μM; xylooligosaccharides, 716 μM; xylotetraose, 361 μM) or TM1 + TM2 (xylobiose, 297 μM; xylooligosaccharides, 364 μM) at 120 min (Fig. 3a–c). Release of xylene was also faster for TM1 (compare 45 min to 300 min). Xylooligosaccharides and xylohexaose quickly maximized at 45 min in TM3-hydrolyzed xylan. However, the peak time of these two xylooligosaccharides was delayed to 120 min in TM1 and TM1 + TM2-hydrolyzed xylan samples.

From this analysis, it is known that *CbXyn10C* and *CbCel48B* also synergized intramolecularmente in degrading xylan, manifested by higher amounts of shorter xylooligosaccharides released by TM3 during the early stage of hydrolysis (Fig. 3). The synergy is partially accounted for by the promiscuous activity of *CbCel48B* on xylan, which preferentially releases xylooligosaccharides from xylan (Additional file 2). As a typical endoxylanase, *CbXyn10C* randomly cleaved the xylan backbone and generated long xylooligosaccharides, which could then be converted into shorter ones by *CbCel48B*. Apparently, the proximity of *CbXyn10C* and *CbCel48B* due to co-existence in a single polypeptide further facilitated this coordinated hydrolysis, since no synergy was observed for incubation of xylan with the TM1/TM2 mixture.

In contrast to the strong synergy exhibited in initial hydrolysis of xylan, no synergy was found for *CbXyn10C* and *CbCel48B*, either inter- or intramolecularly, at the end of reactions. This paradox may be explained by a hypothesis that, with the time passing, *CbCel48B* was gradually inhibited by the increasing concentrations of xylene, xylooligosaccharides, and other xylooligosaccharides. Indeed, using xylotetraose as a model xylooligosaccharide substrate and xylooligosaccharides as a model inhibitor, it was demonstrated that degradation of xylotetraose by *CbCel48B* was inhibited by increasing amounts of xylooligosaccharides (from 320 to 6000 μM, Fig. 3d). In addition, the synergy observed only at the early stage of xylan hydrolysis implied that a comparably higher degree of polymerization for the substrates was critical for concerted action of the two enzymes. During hydrolysis, the length of the substrates was continuing to decrease, which attenuated the synergistic hydrolysis. Finally, with superior activity on xylene-configured oligo- and polysaccharide substrates, *CbXyn10C* tended to dominate the late stage of xylan hydrolysis. Therefore, at the end of reaction TM1, TM1 + TM2, and TM3 all demonstrated a nearly identical mode of action for xylan hydrolysis, all reflective of the mode of action of TM1 on xylan.

*Caldicellulosiruptor bescii* is a bacterium that grows by attaching to the surface of lignocellulose [9]. This special lifestyle ensures that sugars released from plant cell wall polysaccharides can be rapidly captured and assimilated by the bacterium. The precise local concentrations of xylene and xylooligosaccharides near *CbXyn10C/Cel48B* in its real living environment have not been determined yet. If the concentration of released polysaccharides is not so high, *CbCel48B* (and its homologs *CbCel48A* and *CbCel48C*) will not be inhibited and can continue to synergize with *CbXyn10C* in hydrolyzing xylan. The faster hydrolysis of xylan by *CbXyn10C* and *CbCel48B* within the same polypeptide than acting individually in the early stage of hydrolysis could be beneficial for *C. bescii* to inhabit and outcompete other contester microbes on lignocellulose.

The GH10 and GH48 catalytic domains displayed synergy in degrading cellulose, but not xylan, for complex lignocellulose corn straw

*Caldicellulosiruptor bescii* is able to degrade and utilize complex and untreated lignocellulose switchgrass [30], during which *CbXyn10C/Cel48B* is highly expressed [10], pinpointing to the importance of this multi-modular enzyme in assisting the bacterium to acquire energy from lignocellulose. Therefore, we determined the activity of TM3, a miniature version of *CbXyn10C/Cel48B* devoid of CBM3b-1, on steam explosion-treated corn straw, which has cellulose and xylan as the main components and compared its hydrolysis by TM1, TM2, and TM1 + TM2. The assay conditions were also set as 75 °C in the pH 6.5 McIlvaine buffer. It appeared that much less amounts of xylooligosaccharides were released from corn straw (Fig. 4a) when compared with those released from the pure model xylan substrate (Fig. 3). Xylotetraose and larger xylooligosaccharides were even not detected. This is likely due to the much higher recalcitrance of corn straw, which is a complex lignocellulose. There was no synergy for the GH10 and GH48 in degrading the xylan component (Fig. 4a) and this could be explained by the completed reaction with the xylan component, as observed for hydrolysis of pure xylan at 18 h (1080 min, Fig. 3). TM3 displayed even slightly lower activity compared to the TM1 + TM2 binary enzyme mixture. However, degradation of corn straw cellulose by these enzymes was similar to that for pure cellulose. TM1 + TM2 displayed degrees of synergy of 2.4 (for cellobiose) and 1.6 (for cellotriose).
compared to using TM1 and TM2 alone (Fig. 4b). An identical extent of cellulose hydrolysis was observed for TM3 as compared to TM1 + TM2.

Taken together, our results demonstrated for the first time that the GH10 and GH48 catalytic domains, which are often simply recognized as xylanase and cellulase, respectively, can cooperate in hydrolyzing both cellulose and xylan. While synergy in xylan hydrolysis is limited to intramolecular domain–domain cooperation, that in cellulose degradation is not. The current findings will be helpful for understanding the physiology of _C. bescii_ in capturing energy from the recalcitrant lignocellulose. The dual function (cellulase and xylanase) for both _CbXyn10C_ and _CbCel48B_ and the covalent linkage of these catalytic domains in a same polypeptide are both necessary determinants for maximal cooperation on multiple polysaccharide substrates. There are two more GH48 enzymes encoded by _C. bescii_, which are also the C-terminal components of multimodular glycosidases (CelA: GH9-CBM3c-CBM3b-CBM3b-GH48; CelF: GH74-CBM3b-CBM3b-GH48). Since _CbCel48B_ are completely identical to the two GH48 domains in CelA and CelF, _CbXyn10C_ can cooperate with the two GH48 domains in CelA and CelF, in part explaining why these multimodular proteins can synergize in hydrolyzing cellulose [16].

On the other hand, in addition to _CbXyn10C/Cel48B_, four out of the rest five multimodular enzymes have biochemically defined endoglucanases domains (GH9 in CelA and CelE, GH44 in CelB, and GH5 in CelD). The intermolecular synergy between _CbCel48B_ and _CbXyn10C_ in hydrolyzing cellulose suggests a possibility of synergistic effect of _CbCel48B_ with these cellulase domains, which deserves further investigation.

The importance of GH48 cellulase to bacterial utilization of crystalline cellulose has long been addressed from the perspectives of genetics [31], metatranscriptomic [32], and genomic analyses [33]. In _Caldicellulosiruptor_, the special domain organization of GH10 and GH48 domains in a protein is not unique only for _CbXyn10C/Cel48B_. In the sequenced _Caldicellulosiruptor_ genomes, the co-existence of _CbXyn10C_ and _CbCel48B_ homologs within a same polypeptide is also discovered for _C. krontskyensis_, _C. naganoensis_, _C. danielii_, _C. changbaiensis_, and _C. morganii_ in addition to _C. bescii_ (Additional file 3) [33–35]. Notably, all these bacteria are efficient lignocellulose degraders. Therefore, these proteins might contribute significantly to enzymatic hydrolysis of cellulose and xylan by these bacteria.

Multimodular enzymes with two catalytic domains targeting different components of plant cell wall polysaccharides are rare in nature. However, there are still examples of such naturally occurring enzymes which simultaneously attack cellulose and xylan [36, 37], the xylan backbone and its ferulic acid side chain [38], or the pectin polygalacturonic acid backbone and its methyl-ester side chain [39]. It should also be noted that in sequenced microbial genomes or metagenomes there are enzymes predicted to bear multiple catalytic domains whose enzymatic properties are only computationally predicted but poorly biochemically characterized [40]. Due to the lack of knowledge about the substrate promiscuity of the catalytic domains in such enzymes, whether there is a synergy between the respective catalytic domains remains to be elucidated. Moreover, our findings pinpoint the importance of both the substrate promiscuity and the means of domain organization, which will shed light on designing
new and robust multimodular glycoside hydrolases for efficient hydrolysis of plant cell wall polysaccharides.

Conclusions
In this study, we discovered that the endoxylanase CbXyn10C and cellobiohydrolase CbCel48B from C. bescii can synergize in hydrolyzing both cellulose and xylan. The synergy was contributed by the primary activity of one catalytic domain in cooperation with the accessory activity of the other catalytic domain. Synergistic hydrolysis of xylan is dependent on covalent linkage of the two domains in the same polypeptide, while that of cellulose can take place both inter- and intramolecularly. The findings will be helpful for understanding the bacterial physiology of C. bescii regarding its energy capture and can also be used to guide design of new enzyme cocktails for efficient plant cell wall polysaccharides depolymerization.

Methods
Strains and plasmids
The pEASY-T3 plasmid and the Escherichia coli Trans1 strain (Transgen, Beijing, China) were used for gene cloning and plasmid propagation throughout the study. The pET-28a(+) plasmid (Merck, Darmstadt, Germany) and E. coli BL21(DE3) (Transgen, Beijing, China) were used for recombinant enzyme expression. The genomic DNA of C. bescii DSMZ 6725 was purchased from the Deutsche Sammlung von Mikro-organismen und Zellkulturen GmbH (https://www.dsmz.de/).

Construction of the expression vectors
The DNA fragments encoding GH10-CBM3b (TM1) and CBM3b-GH48 (TM2) were amplified from the genomic DNA of C. bescii using gene-specific primers (for sequences see Additional file 4) and ligated to the EcoR1/Xho1 (for TM1) or Sacl/Xho1 (for TM2)-restriction digested pET-28a(+) to obtain pET28-TM1 and pET28-TM2. The expression vector for GH10-CBM-GH48 (pET28-TM3) was constructed by ligating the DNA fragment encoding GH10 in-frame with the 5’ end of CBM3b-GH48 in pET28-TM2. The integrity of the inserted DNA was verified by DNA sequencing.

Expression and purification of the TM1, TM2 and TM3 recombinant proteins
The expression plasmids, pET28-TM1, pET28-TM2, and pET28-TM3, were individually transformed into the E. coli BL21 (DE3), which served as a host cell for expression of recombinant proteins. Expression and purification of TM1 was carried out as described by us previously [17]. For TM2 and TM3, the expression and purification were as the following. The recombinant strains were grown at 37 °C in the lysogeny broth (LB) containing 50 μg/ml of kanamycin. When the cell density reached an OD600 of 0.6, 0.8 mM final concentration of isopropyl-1-thio-β-d-galactopyranoside (IPTG) was added and the culture was continued at 37 °C for 4 h for inducing the recombinant protein. The bacterial cells were centrifuged and then re-suspended in a lysis buffer (20 mM Tris–HCl [pH 7.5], 500 mM NaCl) containing 1 mM of phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride (PMSF). The cells were sonicated for cell wall disruption and centrifuged at 12,000g for 15 min. The supernatant was collected and applied to a 5 ml HisTrap HP column (GE Healthcare, Piscataway, NJ) for immobilized metal affinity chromatography (IMAC). The recombinant enzymes were eluted from the column by using the buffer (20 mM Tris–HCl [pH 7.5], 500 mM NaCl) containing gradient imidazole from 0 to 500 mM. The fractions containing targeted proteins were combined and concentrated by using a 50-ml ultrafiltration tube (Millipore, Bedford, MA). Next, the crude enzymes were individually loaded onto a 5-ml HiTrap Q column (GE Healthcare, Piscataway, NJ) for ion exchange purification using Buffer A (20 mM Tris–HCl [pH 8.0]) as the binding buffer and Buffer B (20 mM Tris–HCl [pH 8.0], 1 M NaCl) as the elution buffer, respectively. TM2 was finally passed through a HiPrep 16/60 Sephacryl S-100 column (GE Healthcare, Piscataway, NJ) and TM3 was passed through a Superose 12 10/300 GL (GE Healthcare, Piscataway, NJ) for further gel filtration purification. The fractions containing pure proteins were pooled.

Assay of the specific enzyme activities
The specific activities of TM1, TM2, and TM3 on cellulose and xylan were determined by measuring the release of reducing sugars using the 2,5-dinitrosalicic acid (DNS) method [41]. Briefly, appropriately diluted enzymes (10 nM each for measurement of the xylanase activity, and 1.5 μM for measurement of the cellulase activity) were individually incubated with beech wood xylan, sodium carboxymethyl cellulose (CMC), or Whatman No. 1 filter paper in a McIlvaine buffer (200 mM sodium phosphate, 100 mM sodium citrate, pH 6.5) at 85 °C for xylanase or 75 °C for cellulase activity. The reaction time was 10 min and 120 min for measurement of xylanase and cellulase activity, respectively. At the end of reaction, DNS was added and the mixture was incubated in boiling water for 5 min [41].

Filter paper hydrolysis
For filter paper hydrolysis, 1.5 μM of TM1, TM2, TM1+TM2 or TM3 was individually incubated with 5 mg/ml of Whatman No. 1 filter paper in the McIlvaine buffer (pH 6.5) at 75 °C for 5 h. The released reducing sugars were determined by using the DNS method or HPAEC–PAC (high-performance anion-exchange
chromatography with pulsed amperometric detection, see below for details).

**Time-course analysis of xylan and xylotetraose hydrolysis**

For time-course analysis of xylan hydrolysis, 10 mM of TM1, TM1 + TM2, or TM3 was incubated with 5 mg/ml of beech wood xylan in the McIlvaine buffer (pH 6.5) at 75 °C for 18 h. Samples were taken out at different time interval for HPAEC–PAD analysis. For xylotetraose hydrolysis, 1 mM of xylotetraose was incubated with 0.5 μM of CbCel48B in absence or presence of 320, 2000, and 6000 μM of xylobiose. The samples were also taken out periodically for HPAEC–PAD analysis.

**Corn straw hydrolysis**

For corn straw hydrolysis, 1.5 μM of TM1, TM2, TM1 + TM2, or TM3 was individually incubated with 5 mg/ml of stream explosion pretreated corn straw in the McIlvaine buffer (pH 6.5) at 75 °C for 5 h. The released reducing sugars were determined by using HPAEC–PAC.

**HPAEC–PAD**

After incubation, the reaction mixture was boiled for 10 min to terminate the reaction, centrifuged at 12,000×g for 10 min, and then filtered through a NanoSpin centrifugal 3 K device (Pall, New York, NY) to remove the enzymes. Appropriately diluted reaction products were analyzed by HPAEC–PAD, which was equipped with a CarboPac PA100 guard column (4 × 50 mm), a pulsed amperometric detector ICS-5000 (Dionex, Sunnyvale, CA). The flow rate of the mobile phase was 1 ml/min at ambient temperature (22 °C). Glucose and cellooligosaccharides (cellobiose to cellohexaose), xylose and xylooligosaccharides (xylobiose to xylohexaose) (Megazyme, Wicklow, Ireland) were used as standards.

**Supplementary information**

Supplementary information accompanies this paper at https://doi.org/10.1186/s13068-019-1617-2.
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