Conserved Killing charges of quadratic curvature gravity theories in arbitrary backgrounds
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Abstract

We extend the Abbott-Deser-Tekin procedure of defining conserved quantities of asymptotically constant-curvature spacetimes, and give an analogous expression for the conserved charges of geometries that are solutions of quadratic curvature gravity models in generic $D$-dimensions and that have arbitrary asymptotes possessing at least one Killing isometry. We show that the resulting charge expression correctly reduces to its counterpart when the background is taken to be a space of constant curvature and, moreover, is background gauge invariant. As applications, we compute and comment on the energies of two specific examples: the three dimensional Lifshitz black hole and a five dimensional companion of the first, whose energy has never been calculated beforehand.

PACS numbers: 04.20.Cv, 04.50.-h
There has been an ongoing interest in gravitational models that involve quadratic curvature terms which naturally emerge in various string theories or quantum gravity models. A recent example of these with physically interesting properties is the three dimensional New Massive Gravity (NMG) of [1]. There are also a growing number of exact analytic solutions to these models which asymptote to rather exotic geometries other than the more familiar spaces of constant curvature. An interesting family of such geometries involve the so called Lifshitz black holes in various dimensions [2, 3] of late, which were inspired by the four-dimensional Lifshitz black hole solution of [4]. These spacetimes have emerged as gravity duals of some nonrelativistic scale invariant condensed matter theories via a generalization of the AdS/CFT correspondence to such systems (see [2, 3] and the references therein for details). It is obviously of importance to have a better understanding of the physical properties of these solutions.

The main aim of the present work is to provide a step further in this direction. For this purpose we generalize the Abbott-Deser-Tekin (ADT) procedure [5–7] originally developed for working with spacetimes that asymptote to spaces of constant curvature to spacetimes that have arbitrary asymptotic behavior and that are solutions of quadratic curvature gravity theories in generic $D$-dimensions. We show how the general formula reduces to the original ADT expression of [7] in the limit when the background is a space of constant curvature. To illustrate the idea, we apply this new charge definition to compute the energy of the three dimensional Lifshitz black hole [2], which was calculated by other methods beforehand [8] and comment on the result. As another application, we also find the energy of a five dimensional Lifshitz black hole [3], which has not been computed before.

Let us first review the original ADT approach for finding the conserved gravitational charges of a generic gravity model. One should start with a local gravity action whose field equations read

$$\Phi_{ab} = \kappa \tau_{ab},$$

where $\tau_{ab}$ describes the energy-momentum of a covariantly conserved source and $\kappa$ is basically a gravitational coupling constant. Now suppose that there exists a background metric $\bar{g}_{ab}$ which satisfies $\Phi_{ab}(\bar{g}) = 0$ for $\tau_{ab} = 0$. One can linearize a generic metric $g_{ab}$ which asymptotically approaches to the background $\bar{g}_{ab}$ in the usual fashion as

$$g_{ab} = \bar{g}_{ab} + h_{ab}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

Here the deviation $h_{ab}$ should vanish sufficiently rapidly as one approaches the background at
infinity. Linearizing the full field equations (1) about the background, one obtains
\[
\Phi^L_{ab} = \kappa T_{ab},
\]
where \(\Phi^L_{ab}\) is linear in \(h_{ab}\) and the right hand side of (3) contains all the remaining nonlinear parts as well as \(\tau_{ab}\). One should keep in mind that the background metric \(\bar{g}_{ab}\) is responsible for raising and lowering indices and is used in defining the covariant derivative \(\bar{\nabla}_a\). Let us now assume that the background metric \(\bar{g}_{ab}\) admits at least one globally defined\(^1\) Killing vector \(\bar{\xi}^a\) such that
\[
\bar{\nabla}_a \bar{\xi}_b + \bar{\nabla}_b \bar{\xi}_a = 0.
\]

As with the original ADT approach \([5–7]\), one now needs the condition \(\bar{\nabla}_a T^{ab} = 0\), which follows from the Bianchi identity of the full theory, just as in the case of a constant curvature background. Now using this with the defining property of a Killing vector (4), one arrives at the usual expression
\[
\bar{\nabla}_a (T^{ab} \bar{\xi}_b) = 0 = \partial_a (\sqrt{-\bar{g}} T^{ab} \bar{\xi}_b),
\]
which one can use to construct a conserved Killing charge as in \([3–7]\) provided \(T^{ab} \bar{\xi}_b\) can be cast as a divergence, i.e. \(T^{ab} \bar{\xi}_b = \bar{\nabla}_b F^{ab}\) for an antisymmetric tensor \(F^{ab}\) modulo terms that vanish on-shell. In that case the required charge expression takes the form
\[
Q^a(\bar{\xi}) = \int_\Sigma d^{D-1}x \sqrt{-\bar{g}} \Phi^L_{ab} \bar{\xi}_b = \int_{\partial\Sigma} \bar{S}_b F^{ab},
\]
where \(\Sigma\) denotes the \((D - 1)\)-dimensional hypersurface of the \(D\)-dimensional spacetime and \(\partial\Sigma\) is its \((D - 2)\)-dimensional boundary with the surface element \(d\bar{S}\).\(^2\)

Let us now see how one can define conserved Killing charges \([6]\) of a generic quadratic curvature gravity theory described by the action\(^3\)
\[
I = \int d^Dx \sqrt{-g} \left( \frac{1}{\kappa} (R + 2\Lambda_0) + \alpha R^2 + \beta R_{ab} R^{ab} + \gamma (R_{abcd} R^{abcd} - 4 R_{ab} R^{ab} + R^2) \right),
\]
where \(\Lambda_0\) is the bare cosmological constant and \(\kappa\) is related to the \(D\)-dimensional Newton’s constant \(G_D\). The field equations following from the variation of the action \(I\) yield
\[
\Phi_{ab} = \frac{1}{\kappa} \bar{g}_{ab} + \alpha A_{ab} + \beta B_{ab} + \gamma C_{ab},
\]

---

1. Here by “globally defined”, we mean that the Killing vector is smooth and well-defined in the entire local coordinate chart that the metric \(\bar{g}_{ab}\) works.
2. Here we refrain from delving into delicate issues involving mathematical rigor and assume implicitly the validity of all steps taken in arriving at (6).
3. Throughout we use conventions in which the signature of metrics is \((- , + , + , \ldots )\), all covariant derivatives satisfy \([\nabla_a, \nabla_b] V_c = R_{abc}^d V_d\) and the Ricci tensor is related to the Riemann tensor as \(R_{ab} = R^c_{abc}\). We also take the symmetric and the antisymmetric parts of any 2-index tensor \(W_{ab}\) as \(W_{(ab)} = \frac{1}{2}(W_{ab} + W_{ba})\) and \(W_{[ab]} = \frac{1}{2}(W_{ab} - W_{ba})\), respectively.
where

\[ G_{ab} \equiv R_{ab} - \frac{1}{2} g_{ab} R - \Lambda_0 g_{ab}, \quad (9) \]
\[ A_{ab} \equiv 2 R R_{ab} - 2 \nabla_a \nabla_b R + g_{ab} (2 \Box R - \frac{1}{2} R^2), \quad (10) \]
\[ B_{ab} \equiv 2 R_{acbd} R^{cd} - \nabla_a \nabla_b R + \Box R_{ab} + \frac{1}{2} g_{ab} (\Box R - R_{cd} R^{cd}), \quad (11) \]
\[ C_{ab} \equiv 2 R R_{ab} - 4 R_{acbd} R^{cd} + 2 R_{acde} R_{b}^{\ cde} - 4 R_{ac} R_{b}^{\ c} - \frac{1}{2} g_{ab} (R_{cdef} R^{cdef} - 4 R_{cd} R^{cd} + R^2), \quad (12) \]

where \( \Box \equiv \nabla_c \nabla^c \). For \( D < 5 \), \( C_{ab} \), coming from the Gauss-Bonnet term, automatically vanishes.

As discussed before, the field equations (8)-(12) need to be linearized about a general background to first order in \( h_{ab} \). One simply finds

\[ \Phi_{ab}^L \equiv \frac{1}{\kappa} G_{ab}^L + \alpha A_{ab}^L + \beta B_{ab}^L + \gamma C_{ab}^L, \quad (13) \]

analogous to (8), where now

\[ G_{ab}^L \equiv R_{ab}^L - \frac{1}{2} g_{ab} R_L - \frac{1}{2} h_{ab} \bar{R} - \Lambda_0 h_{ab}, \quad (14) \]
\[ A_{ab}^L \equiv 2 (\bar{R} R_{ab}^L + \bar{R}_{ab} R_L) - 2 (\nabla_b \nabla_a R)_L + \bar{g}_{ab} (2 (\Box R)_L - \bar{R} R_L) + h_{ab} (2 \Box \bar{R} - \frac{1}{2} \bar{R}^2), \quad (15) \]
\[ B_{ab}^L \equiv 2 (R_{acbd} R^{cd})_L - (\nabla_b \nabla_a R)_L + (\Box R_{ab})_L + \frac{1}{2} \bar{g}_{ab} ((\Box R)_L - (R_{cd} R^{cd})_L)
\[ + \frac{1}{2} h_{ab} (\Box \bar{R} - \bar{R}_c R^{cd}), \quad (16) \]
\[ C_{ab}^L \equiv 2 (\bar{R} R_{ab}^L + \bar{R}_{ab} R_L) - 4 (R_{acbd} R^{cd})_L + 2 (R_{acde} R_{b}^{\ cde})_L - 4 (R_{ac} R_{b}^{\ c})_L
\[ - \frac{1}{2} \bar{g}_{ab} ((R_{cdef} R^{cdef})_L - 4 (R_{cd} R^{cd})_L + 2 \bar{R} R_L) - \frac{1}{2} h_{ab} (\bar{R}_{cdef} R^{cdef} - 4 \bar{R}_{cd} R^{cd} + \bar{R}^2). \quad (17) \]

At this stage we refer the reader to appendix A where it is shown how the ingredients of equations (14)-(17) can be calculated recursively using the Cadabra software [9, 10], to obtain the final form of the linearized field equations \( \Phi_{ab}^L \).

Continuing in the fashion described beforehand, one finds that

\[ \Phi_{ab}^{\xi_b} = \nabla_b \xi^a + h^{ab} \xi_c \bar{\Phi}_{bc} + \frac{1}{2} \xi_c \bar{\Phi}_{bc} h^{bc} - \frac{1}{2} h_{ab} \bar{\Phi}_{bc} \xi_b, \quad (18) \]

where

\[ \mathcal{F}^{ab} = \frac{1}{\kappa} \mathcal{F}^{ab}_E + \alpha \mathcal{F}^{ab}_\alpha + \beta \mathcal{F}^{ab}_\beta + \gamma \mathcal{F}^{ab}_\gamma, \quad (19) \]
and one should recall that the background was chosen such that $\Phi(\bar{g}) = 0$ so that (6) follows.

There are a few nontrivial requirements that the gravitational charge $Q^a(\bar{\xi})$ given by (6) (with (19) to (23)) must satisfy. The first one is that it has to reduce to its counterpart given in (6) when the background $\bar{g}_{ab}$ is taken to be a space of constant curvature. In that case one simply sets

$$R_{abcd} = \frac{2\Lambda}{(D-2)(D-1)}(\bar{g}_{ac}\bar{g}_{bd} - \bar{g}_{ad}\bar{g}_{bc}), \quad \bar{R}_{ab} = \frac{2\Lambda}{D-2}\bar{g}_{ab}, \quad \bar{R} = \frac{2D\Lambda}{D-2},$$

where $\Lambda$ is the effective cosmological constant (see 7 for details), in (20) to (23). We have verified explicitly that this is indeed the case and $Q^a(\bar{\xi})$ reduces to equation (31) of 7 as it should. The second requirement is that $Q^a(\bar{\xi})$ has to be background gauge invariant and this is shown in appendix 13 below.

We should also make a few remarks regarding the tensor potentials (20) to (23). Equations (18) to (20), in the case $\alpha = \beta = \gamma = 0$, have already appeared in (11) in the context of defining the conserved Killing charges of Topologically Massive Gravity (TMG) 12 around an arbitrary background. Similarly equations (18) to (21), in the case $\beta = \gamma = 0$, have been presented in (13) where an analogous task was undertaken for the NMG theory of 1. In 13, the authors have also given an expression (see equation (27) of 13) in the case $\gamma = 0$ which should correspond to our tensor potential $\mathcal{F}_{\beta}^{ab}$ (22). However the expression they have is considerably different than ours. We strongly believe that our $\mathcal{F}_{\beta}^{ab}$ is correct since it already passes the two nontrivial requirements mentioned above. Further work is required to understand the discrepancy between the two results.
As a final remark, the tensor potential \( F_{\gamma}^{ab} \), to our knowledge, has not appeared elsewhere beforehand.

Let us now consider a few illustrative examples where the charge definition \( Q^{a}(\xi) \) can be employed to demonstrate its practical use. For this purpose we resort to the Lifshitz black holes that we have alluded to earlier.

The three dimensional Lifshitz black hole of \([2]\), which reads
\[
ds^2 = -\frac{r^6}{\ell^6} \left( 1 - \frac{m \ell^2}{r^2} \right) dt^2 + \frac{dr^2}{r^2 - m} + \frac{r^2}{\ell^2} dx^2,
\]
is a solution to the cosmological NMG theory of \([1]\) with
\[
\Lambda_0 = \frac{13}{2\ell^2}, \quad \beta = \frac{2\ell^2}{\kappa}, \quad \alpha = -\frac{3\ell^2}{4\kappa}
in our conventions. Taking the background to be the Lifshitz spacetime
\[
ds^2 = -\frac{r^6}{\ell^6} dt^2 + \frac{\ell^2}{r^2} dr^2 + \frac{r^2}{\ell^2} dx^2,
\]
which is obtained by the \( m \to 0 \) limit in \((24)\), employing the timelike Killing vector \( \xi^a = (-1, 0, 0) \), setting \( \kappa = 16\pi G \) in accordance with the conventions of \([2]\) and noting that the angular coordinate \( x \) is periodic with \( 2\pi \ell \), one finds the energy of \((24)\) to be
\[
E = \lim_{r \to \infty} \int_{0}^{2\pi \ell} \frac{m^2 r^2 (-9 \ell^4 m^2 + 24 \ell^2 r^2 - 7 \ell^4)}{\ell \kappa (\ell^2 m - r^2)^3} dx = \int_{0}^{2\pi \ell} \frac{7m^2}{\ell \kappa} dx = \frac{7m^2}{8G},
\]
where \( G \) denotes the three dimensional Newton’s constant.

The energy of \((24)\) has also been computed in \([8]\) by using the boundary stress tensor method, and found to be \( E = m^2/4G \). Clearly there is a discrepancy between this and our result, which deserves further attention.

Another nontrivial example involving a five dimensional Lifshitz black hole can also be given. For this purpose we consider the spacetime given in section 3.1 (in the case \( z = 2 \)) of \([3]\) which reads
\[
ds^2 = -\frac{r^4}{\ell^4} \left( 1 - \frac{m \ell^5/2}{r^{5/2}} \right) dt^2 + \frac{\ell^2}{r^2} \left( 1 - \frac{m \ell^{5/2}}{r^{5/2}} \right)^{-1} dr^2 + \frac{r^2}{\ell^2} dx^2,
\]
with
\[
\kappa = 1, \quad \Lambda_0 = \frac{2197}{551\ell^2}, \quad \alpha = -\frac{16\ell^2}{725}, \quad \beta = \frac{1584\ell^2}{13775}, \quad \gamma = \frac{2211\ell^2}{11020}
in our conventions. As in the previous example we take the background to be given by the Lifshitz spacetime obtained by taking the \( m \to 0 \) limit in \((26)\) and the timelike Killing vector to be
\[ \xi^a = (-1, 0, 0, 0, 0). \]  With these choices the energy of (26) turns out to be
\[ E = \frac{536m^2\Omega}{2755\ell}, \]  (27)

where \( \Omega \) denotes the contribution of the three dimensional integration over the ranges of the angular variables \( \vec{x} \). To our knowledge this is the first time that the energy of (26) has ever been calculated.

As a summary, we have generalized the ADT charge definition to work with spacetimes that have arbitrary asymptotic behavior for the case of quadratic curvature gravity theories in generic \( D \)-dimensions. We have checked that this definition reduces to the original ADT expression when the background is a space of constant curvature. We have also shown that it is background gauge invariant. We have seen how it works on two illustrative examples.

Clearly there are various open problems that can be attacked using our work. It would be interesting to compute the charges of other exotic solutions of NMG that have not been considered here using our \( Q^a(\xi) \) (6). Here we have considered only one example from the solutions listed in [3]. The others certainly deserve further attention. It may be of interest to apply the ADT procedure generalized for generic quadratic curvature gravity models here to the so called Lovelock gravity theories of late.
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Appendix A: The linearized field equations \( \Phi^{ab}_L \)

Here we list some useful identities that have been used in the linearization of the full field equations (8)-(12) and describe how one can obtain \( \Phi^{ab}_L \).

The inverse of the metric is given by \( g^{ab} = \bar{g}^{ab} - h^{ab} \) to \( O(h^2) \). Using this, one can find the linearized Christoffel symbols as
\[ (\Gamma^a_{bcd})_L = \frac{1}{2} \bar{g}^{ad} (\bar{\nabla}_b h_{cd} + \bar{\nabla}_c h_{bd} - \bar{\nabla}_d h_{bc}) \]  (A1)

A straightforward calculation shows that the linearized Riemann tensor is given by
\[ (R^a_{bcd})_L = \bar{\nabla}_c (\Gamma^a_{bd})_L - \bar{\nabla}_d (\Gamma^a_{bc})_L, \]  (A2)
which leads to
\[ R^L_{ab} = \frac{1}{2} \left( \bar{\nabla}^c \bar{\nabla}_b h_{ac} + \bar{\nabla}^c \bar{\nabla}_a h_{bc} - \Box h_{ab} - \bar{\nabla}_a \bar{\nabla}_b h \right) \] 
(A3)
for the linearized Ricci tensor, where \( h = \bar{g}^{cd} h_{cd} \) and \( \Box \equiv \bar{\nabla}^c \bar{\nabla}_c \). Since the curvature scalar is defined as \( R \equiv g^{ab} R_{ab} \), its linearized version follows as
\[ R_L = \bar{\nabla}^a \bar{\nabla}^b h_{ab} - \Box h - h^{ab} \bar{R}_{ab}. \] 
(A4)

However the covariant derivatives and the contractions of the curvature tensors also show up in the full field equations (8)-(12), and these are to be linearized accordingly. These can be calculated in a similar fashion. We hereby list some of the most relevant expressions involving the linearization of such terms:

\[
\begin{align*}
(\nabla_a R_{cd})_L &= \nabla_a (R_{cd})_L - (\Gamma^e_{ac})_L R_{cd} - (\Gamma^e_{ad})_L R_{ec}, \\
(\nabla_b \nabla_a R_{cd})_L &= \nabla_b (\nabla_a R_{cd})_L - \nabla_c R_{cd} (\Gamma^e_{ba})_L - \nabla_a R_{ed} (\Gamma^e_{be})_L - \nabla_a R_{ce} (\Gamma^e_{bd})_L, \\
(\Box R_{cd})_L &= \bar{g}^{ab} (\nabla_b \nabla_a R_{cd})_L - h^{ab} \nabla_b \nabla_a \bar{R}_{cd}, \\
(\nabla_b \nabla_a R)_{L} &= \bar{g}^{cd} (\nabla_b \nabla_a R_{cd})_L - h^{cd} \nabla_b \nabla_a \bar{R}_{cd}, \\
(\Box R)_{L} &= \bar{g}^{ab} (\nabla_b \nabla_a R)_{L} - h^{ab} (\nabla_b \nabla_a \bar{R}), \\
(R_{acbd} R^{cd})_{L} &= \bar{g}_{ae} (R_{e bd})_L \bar{R}^{cd} + h_{ae} \bar{R}^{e b} \bar{R}^{cd} + \bar{R}_a c^b d (R_{cd})_L - h^{ce} \bar{R}_{acbd} \bar{R}_{e d} - h^{de} \bar{R}_{acbd} \bar{R}_{e c}, \\
(R_{ab} R^{ab})_{L} &= 2 \bar{R}^{ab} (R_{ab})_L - 2 h^{ab} \bar{R}_{ac} \bar{R}_{bc}. 
\end{align*}
\]

As explained in the text, we have used these and a number of such expressions recursively in the Cadabra software, \[9,10\], which is rather handy for such symbolic manipulations, and obtained the final form of the linearized field equations \( \Phi_{L}^{ab} \). However, its final form is rather cumbersome and hardly illuminating, so it is best not displayed here!

**Appendix B: The background gauge invariance of \( Q^a(\bar{\xi}) \)**

Here we show how the gravitational charge \( Q^a(\bar{\xi}) \) given by (6) (with (19)-(23)) is indeed background gauge invariant.

As is well known, the deviation \( h_{ab} \) transforms as
\[
\delta_{\bar{\xi}} h_{ab} = \nabla_a \bar{\xi}_b + \nabla_b \bar{\xi}_a \] 
(B1)
under an infinitesimal diffeomorphism generated by a vector \( \bar{\xi}^a \). To see that \( Q^a(\bar{\xi}) \) is background gauge invariant, we apply the gauge transformation (B1) to \( \Phi_{L}^{ab} \), the invariance of which implies
the background gauge invariance of \( F^{ab} \) \cite{19} and hence of \( Q^a(\xi) \). This is obviously easier since one can work with the linearized field equations \( \Phi^{ab}_L \) rather than the gravitational charge \( Q^a(\xi) \) which was not easy to find at the first place.

Some of the most basic expressions that are needed are as follows:

\[
\begin{align*}
\delta \bar{\zeta} (\Gamma^a_{bc})_L &= \bar{\zeta} e^{\bar{\zeta}} R^a_{bc}, \\
\delta \bar{\zeta} (R^a_{bcd})_L &= -\bar{R}^a_{bd} \bar{\zeta}^c - \bar{\zeta} e^{\bar{\zeta}} R^a_{bd} + \bar{R}^a_{be} \bar{\zeta}^c + \bar{\zeta} e^{\bar{\zeta}} \bar{R}^a_{bc} + \bar{R}^a_{ce} \bar{\zeta}^b, \\
\delta \bar{\zeta} R^L_{ab} &= \bar{\zeta} e^{\bar{\zeta}} R^a_{bc}, \\
\delta \bar{\zeta} R_L &= \bar{\zeta} e^{\bar{\zeta}} R.
\end{align*}
\]

These easily follow considering the action of \( (B1) \) on the expressions \( (A1) \) to \( (A4) \). One can similarly calculate the analogous expressions regarding the action of \( (B1) \) on \( (A5) \) (and on those ones that have not been listed). Once again we have employed the Cadabra software \cite{9, 10} at this stage and found that

\[
\delta \bar{\zeta} \Phi^L_{ab} = \bar{\zeta} e^{\bar{\zeta}} \Phi_{ab} + \bar{\Phi}_{ac} \bar{\zeta}^c + \bar{\Phi}_{bc} \bar{\zeta}^c,
\]

which vanishes thanks to \( \bar{\Phi}_{ab}(\bar{g}) = 0 \). As explained by the reasoning above, this shows that the gravitational charge \( Q^a(\xi) \) is indeed background gauge invariant.

**Appendix C: Useful identities**

Throughout the calculation we have used a number of identities involving the Killing vectors. The proofs of these are very simple, so we simply state them:

\[
\begin{align*}
\bar{\nabla}_a \bar{\nabla}_b \bar{\zeta}_c &= \bar{R}_{cbad} \bar{\zeta}_d, \\
\bar{\nabla}_b \bar{\nabla}_a \bar{\zeta}_a &= \bar{R}_{ab}, \\
\bar{\zeta}^a \bar{\nabla}_a \bar{R} &= 0, \\
\bar{\zeta} e^{\bar{\zeta}} \bar{\nabla}_c \bar{R}_{ab} &= -(\bar{R}_{ca} \bar{\nabla}_b + \bar{R}_{cb} \bar{\nabla}_a) \bar{\zeta}^c.
\end{align*}
\]

The following are also useful identities involving the Riemann tensor. We state them without proof since they follow from elementary properties of the Riemann tensor:

\[
\begin{align*}
\bar{\nabla}_a \bar{R}^a_{bcd} &= \bar{\nabla}_c \bar{R}_{bd} - \bar{\nabla}_d \bar{R}_{bc}, \\
\bar{R}^{acde} \bar{R}_{bcde} &= 2 \bar{R}^{acde} \bar{R}_{bcde}, \\
\bar{R}^{abef} \bar{R}_{cdef} &= \bar{R}^{abef} \bar{R}_{cdef}.
\end{align*}
\]
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