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Physical and Aerodynamic Properties of Lavender in relation to Harvest Mechanisation
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A laboratory study evaluated the physical and aerodynamic properties of lavender cultivars in relation to the design of an improved lavender harvester that allows removal of flowers from the stem using the stripping method. The identification of the flower head adhesion, stem breakage, and aerodynamic drag forces were conducted using an Instron 1122 instrument. Measurements on five lavender cultivars at harvest moisture content showed that the overall mean flower detachment force from the stem was 11.2 N, the mean stem tensile strength was 36.7 N, and the calculated mean ultimate tensile stress of the stem was 17.3 MPa. The aerodynamic measurements showed that the drag force is related with the flower surface area. Increasing the surface area of the flower head by 93% of the “Hidcote” cultivar produced an increase in drag force of between 24.8% and 50.6% for airflow rates of 24 and 65 m s⁻¹, respectively. The terminal velocities of the flower heads of the cultivar ranged between 4.5 and 5.9 m s⁻¹, which results in a mean drag coefficient of 0.44. The values of drag coefficients were compatible with well-established values for the appropriate Reynolds numbers.

1. Introduction

Design engineers working with plant material need to know their properties and structures when subjected to cutting, bending, tensile, and compressive forces in order to understand the behaviour of the material in conjunction with the machine involved. A designer should therefore be conversant with the physical and mechanical properties of the investigated plant. Apparently there is no published research on factors affecting physical and mechanical properties of lavender plant and therefore this is a novel work falling in this area.

Aromatic plants and their essential oils are a source of natural medicines and plant protection agents. They contain secondary metabolic products, which have biological activity, such as antibacterial, antifungal, or antioxidant properties [1]. Lavender is one of those aromatic plants and has been used widely for its cosmetic, cleansing, and healing qualities. It is a plant that is distributed worldwide and cultivated mainly for its oil and flowers (fresh and dried).

The most common genus for this purpose is Lavandula, which belongs to the family Lamiaceae. This genus is divided into six sections, namely, Lavandula, Stoechas, Dentata, Pterostoechas, Chaetostachys, and Subnuda [2–4], and is characterized by square stems, lipped flowers, and paired leaves. The flowers are placed in whorls towards the end of the stem introducing an inflorescence. Studies have shown that 97.5% of the lavender oil is within the flower and that the oil quality distilled from the flower is much higher than that of the stem [5]. Therefore, for the most refined oil,
it is required to separate flower from stem [6], an operation which is traditionally performed by hand.

Conventional harvesting methods of lavender, such as hand harvesting and mechanical harvesting, are expensive due to high labour and operating costs. Several lavender harvester machines exist, but all remove both the stem and the flower. These methods increase the transportation and distillation costs and are undesirable for the production of high quality oil [6].

The stripping technique has not been applied to lavender, even though there would appear to be a clear advantage of this technique for the production of oil. The stripping technique was developed for the harvesting of cereals [7, 8]. A similar approach to that of the stripper concept used for cereals may be employed, but the physical characteristics of lavender are significantly different and unknown. Thus, it is necessary to determine the parameters that govern the detachment of the lavender flower heads in order to facilitate the design of a lavender harvester, which separates the flower from the stem based on the stripping technique.

In handling and processing of agricultural products, air is often used as a carrier or as a helper to transport a product. In this case, air flow occurs around the transported particles and involves the action of the exerted forces by the fluid on these particles. In free fall, an object will attain a constant terminal velocity at which the net gravitational accelerating force equals the resisting upward drag force [9]. If an air stream is applied to a particle that is higher than its terminal velocity, then this particle would move in the direction of the air stream. It is necessary, therefore, to have knowledge of some physical properties which affect the aerodynamic behaviour of the lavender as a transported particle, such as its drag coefficient and the terminal velocity.

This study aimed to identify the detachment force required to separate the flower from the stem, along with the aerodynamic properties of the plant to enable the design process of a novel lavender harvester to be conducted efficiently. The particular objectives of the current study were to evaluate the forces required to detach the flowers from the stems and the ultimate tensile strength (UTS) of the stem and to investigate the drag forces exerted by the flower when subjected to an air stream and the flowers’ terminal velocities when falling freely in air.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Plant Material. Within the family Labiatae, genus Lavandula is the most common commercially applied and grown for oil production. Two species are commonly used for their oil in the UK, namely, Lavandula angustifolia and Lavandula x intermedia, which were both selected for the trial. Their primary characteristics were as follows: plant height 0.60–1.00 m, peduncle length 0.10–0.30 m, spike length 0.07–0.16 m, and peduncle alignment 40°–90° [10].

“English Lavender,” Lavandula angustifolia, is the most cultivated species and its cultivars are the hardest and most fragrant of all lavender [4]. Lavandula angustifolia cultivars are very fragrant and can be used for fresh or dried flowers, fragrant products, and ornamental, hedging, or container purposes. It is one of the sweeter members of this group and is suitable for culinary purposes and oil production. Lavandula x intermedia or “lavandins” cultivars are sterile hybrids between Lavandula angustifolia and Lavandula latifolia. Despite the lower oil quality (AFNOR standards), the higher yield [11] in comparison to other lavender species made the “lavandins” very popular for oil production.

Three representative lavender cultivars, namely, “Folgate,” “Hidcote,” and “Maillette,” from Lavandula angustifolia species and two cultivars, namely, “Alba” and “Grosso,” from Lavandula x intermedia species were chosen. These cultivars were chosen due to their widespread use in the UK and their availability.

Eight different crop samples were taken and were categorised as follows by cultivar: “Hidcote” (a) and “Hidcote” (c) were both harvested from Cranfield University, Silsoe (Bedfordshire, UK), and were in their 2nd and 3rd year of growth, respectively; “Hidcote” (b) and “Alba” were harvested from Zwetsloot & Sons Ltd. greenhouses (Sandy, Bedfordshire, UK) and both were in their 3rd year of growth. “Grosso” (b) was harvested from a commercially cultivated area at Carshalton (London, UK) and was in its 3rd year of growth. “Maillette,” “Folgate,” and “Grosso” (a) were harvested from a commercially cultivated area at Cadwell farm at Hitchin (Bedfordshire, UK).

All samples were harvested at the commercial harvest stage for oil production with more than 50% of the inflorescence in bloom [12]. Moisture content (m/c) was measured on a wet basis (w.b.) using ASAE Standard 358.2 [13] and was a mean of 58.5% for “Hidcote” (a), 72.0% for “Hidcote” (b), 69.0% for “Hidcote” (c), 69.3% for “Alba,” 67.3% for “Maillette,” 58.0% for “Folgate,” 65.0% for “Grosso” (a), and 67.4% for “Grosso” (b).

2.2. Flower Detachment Force Identification. The aim of this experiment was to quantify the detachment force required to separate the flower from the stem and the ultimate tensile strength (UTS) of the stem. Two tests were conducted. The first test was to quantify the measurement forces for the lavender flower at a typical harvest moisture content and the second test investigated the influence of the moisture content at these measurement forces.

2.2.1. Quantification in Measurement Forces at Harvest Moisture Content. The first test aimed to identify the detachment force required to separate the flower from the stem, the stem breaking force, and the UTS of the stem at a typical harvest moisture content. This occurs when 50% of the flowers are open in each head flower [12]. To determine the force required to detach the flower from the stem, each sample was placed within a fixture attached to an Instron 1122 test machine, as shown in Figure 1. All plants were cut with 100 mm length of peduncle and were placed upside down in the instrument (Figure 1(a)). The stem was fitted into the end of the load cell after being passed through a fixed hole of 3 mm diameter in a metal plate to the bottom of the instrument. The flower part was below the hole. As the cross-head section
moved upwards the stripping procedure was conducted. The test was stopped when the stem was completely clear of the metal plate (Figure 1(b)).

Two treatments were examined for all cultivars: one treatment consisting of five replications for the flower detachment force identification and one treatment consisting of five replications for the stem breaking force measurement. When one experiment was complete the same procedure was followed for the next flower. The force was recorded using the recording part of the Instron 1122 instrument which was equipped with a chart drive unit. The cross-head velocity and the chart speed of the Instron 1122 were selected at 50 mm min$^{-1}$. Greater detachment velocities were used but the results were inconsistent and exhibited a high degree of variation due to the collection of plant material around the hole within the metal fixture. Therefore 50 mm min$^{-1}$ was chosen for all tests.

After the flower detachment experiments, the same stems consisting of a 50 mm long peduncle were used to measure the stem breaking force and calculate the UTS. The procedure was the same as that for the detachment experiment with the exception that both ends of the stem were attached to the Instron 1122 instrument as shown in Figure 2. Measurements of the stem tensile strength and the cross-sectional area of each sample were used to calculate the UTS of the stem for each cultivar. The UTS was calculated as the quotient of the tensile strength divided by the cross-sectional area (N mm$^{-2}$).

All 8 crop samples were used for this experiment, namely, “Hidcote” (a), “Hidcote” (b), “Hidcote” (c), “Alba,” “Maillette,” “Folgate,” “Grosso” (a), and “Grosso” (b). Thirty replicates for each crop sample were taken for both experiments. Moisture content was measured 3 times for every crop sample.

2.2.2. Effect of Plant Moisture Content. This test was conducted to allow for a comparison between the cultivars and also the adjustment of the results from the previous experiments to a single moisture content (m/c). The same method as that described in Section 2.2.1 was used to measure the detachment force and the stem breaking force. Crop samples were taken from “Hidcote” (c), “Maillette,” “Folgate,” “Grosso” (a), and “Grosso” (b) and tested across a range of moisture contents from 72% to 19% (wet basis (w.b.)). Each test was replicated five times and the samples were chosen randomly.

Between each set of measurements the sample was allowed to dry for 4 hours at room temperature. The decline in the m/c was recorded by taking samples for each set of flowers which was tested. The recorded relative humidity during the test was 55% and the temperature 25$^\circ$C.

In order to compare the results between cultivars, data were used to normalize the measurement forces at the specific m/c of 58% (w.b.), which constitutes the representative m/c found among cultivars during the harvest period in the UK.
2.3. Flower Aerodynamic Properties Identification

2.3.1. Flower Terminal Velocity. According to Mohsenin [14], it is difficult to find the terminal velocity of an irregular shape plant material, but using (1) below (adopted from Lapple [15]) and estimating the cylindrical area of the plant shapes, the terminal velocity can be estimated. Experiments, however, must be conducted to identify the terminal velocity for valid conclusions. Therefore both empirical and analytical approaches were used and the results were compared.

For the theoretical determination of terminal velocity ($V_T$), an expression was adopted from that given by Lapple [15] and applied to the flower heads (see also Mohsenin [14]), as shown in (1)-(2) as follows:

$$V_T^2 = \frac{(\rho_L/\rho_A - 1) \cdot g}{2C_D}, \quad (1)$$

from which

$$C_D = \frac{(\rho_L/\rho_A - 1) \cdot g}{2V_T^2}, \quad (2)$$

where $\rho_L$ is the density of the flower head ($\text{kg m}^{-3}$), $\rho_A$ is the density of air ($\text{kg m}^{-3}$), $d$ is the flower head diameter (m), $g$ is the acceleration due to gravity (m s$^{-2}$), and $C_D$ is the drag coefficient.

The method used to empirically determine the terminal velocity was based upon that used by Mueller et al. [16], in which the sample was attached to a force transducer. The end of a vacuum pump hose was placed above the flower head. A 32 mm diameter hose extension was lowered over the flower head, completely covering it (Figure 3). The transducer was set to read only when the flower of the sample was placed upon it, such that, with the sample in place with no air flow, the balance reads the mass of the flower.

Three air flow treatments were conducted at air flow rates of 24, 45, and 65 m s$^{-1}$ and the resulting transducer readings were taken. An additional air flow measurement was taken when the transducer read zero. Each test was replicated 3 times. The crop sample used was "Hidcote."

2.3.2. Flower Aerodynamic Drag Resistance. Inflorescences (including the stem) of 34 to 64 mm long were used to determine the relationship between inflorescence length and aerodynamic drag. A vacuum pump was used to create the air stream for the purpose of the experiment. The plants were placed upside down in the end of the vacuum hose. Into the end of the plastic hose, a clear plastic tube of 32 mm diameter was fitted. This permitted a clear view through the tube to record any reaction of the flower. At one end of the stem, a small diameter nylon line was attached. The other end of the nylon was attached to the load cell to measure the force as shown in Figure 4.

The experiment was conducted at air speeds of 24, 45, and 65 m s$^{-1}$. Lower air speeds were not considered because of precision limitations of the recording instrument. The air speed was measured using a vane anemometer. Fifteen treatments and three replications in each of the three different air speeds were examined. Before each flower was tested, measurements of length, diameter, and weight of the inflorescences were taken for each sample. The crop sample used was "Hidcote."

To define the geometry of each flower head a metric was devised to encompass the flower head in a cylinder, the surface area of which, excluding the top and bottom surfaces, was termed the "cylindrical surface area of the flower."

The measurements of the drag forces on the flowers were used to calculate an approximation of the drag coefficient of the flower heads using (3)-(4) as follows:

$$F_D = \frac{1}{2}\rho \nu^2 C_D A, \quad (3)$$
3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Flower Detachment Force and Stem Tensile Strength Results at Harvest Moisture Content. For the 8 crop samples examined, the mean flower detachment force was 11.2 N, ranging from 7.1 N for “Hidcote” (b) to 25.6 N for “Grosso” (b). The mean values are shown in Figure 5 together with the pooled least significant differences at the 95% level. The detachment forces for “Grosso” (a) and “Grosso” (b) were significantly greater than those for the other six crop samples, with mean values of 15.0 N and 15.6 N, respectively. The smallest detachment forces were recorded for “Hidcote” (b), which had a mean value of 7.1 N, which was significantly lower (P < 0.05) than “Maillette,” “Folgate,” “Grosso” (a), and “Grosso” (b) with the exception of the two crop samples of “Hidcote” (a) and “Hidcote” (c).

The stem tensile strength was found to vary over a range from 28.9 N for “Hidcote” (a) to 46.0 N for “Grosso” (b) (Figure 5). Overall, there were no significant differences between crop samples except for the “Hidcote” (a) and “Folgate” which had significantly smaller mean values than that recorded for “Grosso” (b).

The important finding from these measurements, which is shown clearly in Figure 5, is that the stem tensile strength, with a mean value of 36.7 N, was significantly greater (P < 0.05) than the flower detachment forces required, with a mean value of 11.2 N, that is, by a factor of 3.3. Considering all replicates, the minimum stem tensile strength (28.9 N) was greater than the maximum flower detachment force (25.6 N). For all cultivars the average force required to break the stem was considerably greater (2.6 to 5.6 times) than the average force required to detach the flower from the stem in harvest conditions for oil production. This suggests that, in practice, the flower can be mechanically stripped from the stems without stem breakage.

3.2. Stem Ultimate Tensile Stress (UTS). The ultimate tensile stress for the 8 crop samples was measured at harvest moisture content (w.b.) (Figure 6). The magnitudes of the measured values ranged from 12.1 MPa for the “Hidcote” (a) sample to 23.1 MPa for the “Folgate” sample with an overall mean value of 17.3 MPa.

The “Maillette” and “Folgate” crop samples had significantly greater ultimate tensile stress than the “Hidcote” (a) and “Grosso” (a) but were not significantly different (P > 0.05) from the “Hidcote” (b), “Hidcote” (c), “Grosso,” (b) and “Alba.”

3.3. Effect of Moisture Content on Flower Detachment Force and Stem Tensile Strength. It was found that both flower detachment force and stem tensile strength showed significant linear decreases with increasing moisture content over a range from 19% to 72% (w.b.). The mean rate of linear decrease of flower detachment force was 0.082 N per %m/c, and for the stem tensile strength the mean decrease was 0.448 N per %m/c.

To compare the results for the different lavender cultivars on a similar basis it was decided to normalise the measured forces to the appropriate value at a moisture content of 58% (w.b.). The mean flower detachment and stem strength forces were plotted in Figure 7 together with the pooled least significant differences for the 5 crop samples, namely, “Grosso” (b), “Grosso” (a), “Hidcote” (b), “Folgate,” and “Maillette.”

The flower detachment forces were significantly greater for the “Grosso” (b) and “Grosso” (a) at 16.6 N and 16.5 N, respectively. This result is in accord with that for the mean forces from all 8 crop samples at harvest moisture content for flower detachment.

The stem tensile strength was also the greatest for the “Grosso” (a) and “Grosso” (b) at 57.7 N and 46.9 N, respectively. The measurements of the stem tensile strength were much greater than those for flower detachment with a force ratio of 3.2 for the overall mean stem breakage forces of 43.5 N and flower stripping forces of 13.4 N, respectively. The finding to note is that the average force required to break the stem was found to be greater than the average force required to detach the flower from the stem and ranged from 2.8 to 3.8 times.

3.4. Flower Aerodynamic Measurements

3.4.1. Terminal Velocity. Three determinations of the terminal velocity of the flower heads were made which gave values of 4.5, 4.8, and 5.9 m s⁻¹. Fifteen replicates of “Hidcote” crop
sample gave a mean flower head density of 212 kg m\(^{-3}\) and a mean diameter of 0.015 m. If the \(C_D\) is assumed to have a value of 0.5, (1) results in a value of the terminal velocity of 5.08 m s\(^{-1}\), which is equal to the mean for the measured values. The observed data were collected from flower heads with diameters varying from 11 to 13 mm and if the densities are taken as equal to 212 kg m\(^{-3}\) then the mean value of \(C_D\) which was calculated from (2) is equal to 0.44.

It is of interest that at a velocity of 5 m s\(^{-1}\) the Reynolds number in air is equal to approximately 5000, which is in the turbulent flow region. For the measurements of drag forces, the Reynolds numbers were in a range of approximately 24,000 to 65,000 for the velocity range of 24 to 65 m s\(^{-1}\), for which the drag coefficients ranged from 0.32 to 0.18. These values are compatible with the greater value of drag coefficient observed at the terminal velocity of 5 m s\(^{-1}\), which is consistent with greater drag coefficients which have been found to occur at lower Reynolds’s numbers. Overall, the values estimated for the drag coefficients were of a magnitude consistent with those which have been experimentally found for discs, spheres, and cylinders over a wide range of Reynolds’s numbers [17, 18].

It is necessary to determine the terminal velocity of the lavender flower so that the machine could be designed with sufficient air flow to move the crop in the desired manner. The terminal velocity found from the tests exceeded in a small amount those found using Lapple’s equation. Taking into account the two approaches a range of 4 to 6 m/s as terminal velocity for the flower head can be used to determine the absolute lower limit of the air flow.

### 3.4.2. Flower Aerodynamic Drag Resistance

The effect of the “cylindrical surface area of the flower” on the measured drag force is shown in Figure 8 for air stream velocities of 24, 45, and 65 m s\(^{-1}\) for a range of surface area from 1671 to 3217 mm\(^2\). There was an increase in drag force with increasing surface area of 50.6%, 25.8%, and 24.8% over the range of areas examined for 24, 45, and 65 m s\(^{-1}\) air stream velocities, respectively. The linear correlation between drag coefficient and air stream velocity was close to a value of the coefficient of variation, \(R^2 = 0.975\). The values of the coefficient of variation were relatively low, particularly at air stream velocities of 45 m s\(^{-1}\) and 65 m s\(^{-1}\), which reflected the magnitude of the variations in the measured drag forces.

The overall mean drag forces for all three air stream velocities were plotted in Figure 9 together with the mean 95% least significant difference values. There was an increase in the overall mean drag force by a factor of 4.0 from 0.019 N to 0.076 N, over the air stream velocity range of 24 to 65 m s\(^{-1}\). There was a close linear correlation between mean drag force and air stream velocity with a coefficient of determination, \(R^2 = 0.999\). The drag coefficient decreased by 44.1% from 0.32 to 0.18 over the air stream velocity range of 24 to 65 m s\(^{-1}\) (Figure 9). This effect may arise because as the air stream velocity increases the flower heads tend to be deflected towards the stem so as to present less aerodynamic resistance from a flattening effect and possibly to a reduction in the actual cross-sectional area.

### 4. Conclusions

The force required to detach the flower from the stem was less than that required to break the stem in all cases tested. The UTS for the upper stem of the lavender plant was found to range from 12.13 MPa to 23.12 MPa. The mean detachment flower force ranged between 8.6 and 15.6 N depending upon the cultivars physical characteristics. The mean stem breaking force, for each of the cultivars tested, ranged between 28.9 and 46.0 N. Therefore it can be predicted that, for these cultivars, the stripping method can always be applied. During the flower detachment force tests, a relationship between moisture content and measured forces was obtained. As the moisture content decreased the measured forces for flower
The measured terminal velocities of the flower heads varied between 4.5 and 5.9 m s⁻¹. The force measurement was used to allow a comparison between tested cultivars at detachment and stem breakage increased. This relationship was used to allow a comparison between tested cultivars at different moisture contents, over the typical harvest moisture content range of 58 to 69% (w.b.). The force measurement data showed a specific pattern for each cultivar. In the future, this may prove to be useful for the identification of cultivars because the trends appeared to be different for each cultivar tested and to be a function of the flowering pattern.

The aerodynamic measurements showed that the drag force due to an air stream passing over the flower heads increased with the flower surface area. An increase in cylindrical surface area of the flower of 93% gave rise to an increase in drag force of 50.6%, 25.8%, and 24.8% for air stream velocities of 24, 45, and 65 m s⁻¹, respectively.

The overall mean drag force increased by a factor of 4 over the range of air stream velocity from 24 to 65 m s⁻¹. The measured terminal velocities of the flower heads varied between 4.5 and 5.9 m s⁻¹, the mean value of which is in good agreement with a theoretically predicted value. The values of the drag coefficients found for the flower heads were of a magnitude consistent with those expected for the range of Reynolds's numbers appropriate to the experiments. This knowledge needs to be taken into consideration in the machinery designing process for adequate transportation of the detached flower to a container within the novel harvester to be achieved.
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