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Objective To estimate the average treatment effect of working past the current retirement age on the health of Japanese men.
Methods We used publicly available data from the National Survey of Japanese Elderly, extracting a sample of 1288 men who were 60 years or older. Survey respondents were followed-up for at most 15 years for the onset of four health outcomes: death, cognitive decline, stroke and diabetes. By using the propensity score method, we adjusted for the healthy worker effect by incorporating economic, sociodemographic and health data in the form of independent variables. By calculating the differences in times to a health outcome between those in employment and those not employed, we estimated the average treatment effects on health of being in paid work past retirement age.
Findings Compared with those not employed, those in employment lived 1.91 years longer (95% confidence interval, CI: 0.70 to 3.11), had an additional 2.22 years (95% CI: 0.27 to 4.17) before experiencing cognitive decline, and had a longer period before the onset of diabetes and stroke of 6.05 years (95% CI: 4.44 to 7.65) and 3.35 years (95% CI: 1.42 to 5.28), respectively. We also observed differences between employees and the self-employed: the self-employed had longer life expectancies than employees. In terms of years to onset of diabetes or stroke, however, we only observed significant benefits to health of being an employee but not self-employed.
Conclusion Our study found that being in employment past the current age of retirement had a positive impact on health.

Introduction
In response to an ageing population and the associated financial challenges, many countries have introduced extensions to working life; however, research on the resultant effect on health is currently insufficient. In Japan, the age of income-related pension eligibility is gradually being increased from 60 years in 2013 by 1 year, every 3 years, to reach 65 years in 2030 for both men and women.1 Policies which set minimum mandatory retirement age (usually 60 years, but can vary from one company to another) have recently been updated, and workers are now free to continue in paid employment past this age if they desire.2

Although several studies have already investigated the association between health and retirement,3–11 they failed to identify causal relationships. As the age of retirement and condition of health can be interdependent, health selection exists;12,13 causal inference approaches are therefore required to measure the impact of working past current retirement age on health. A limited number of empirical studies have been conducted to determine causal impacts, but results are inconsistent. Some studies report negative effects on self-rated health, cognitive functioning and depressive syndrome because of retirement;14–17 however, other studies have shown that retirement can lead to improvements in health as indicated by the numbers diagnosed with chronic conditions, activity limitations and self-rated health.18,19 Only a few studies have accounted for the healthy worker effect when assessing the health consequences of working past retirement age. These studies did not observe any health benefits.17,20

We contribute to this area of study in two ways. We first expand the health outcomes examined compared with those in previous publications,5,6,9,17–19,23 which have generally only considered self-rated physical or mental health, activity limitations and cognitive decline, to consider the three additional health outcomes of death, stroke and diabetes. Analyses of stroke and diabetes are particularly beneficial in investigating the rising costs of health and long-term care in the Japanese population.22 Second, we quantify the effect of working past retirement age in terms of delay in years to the onset of these health outcomes. We believe this approach provides greater insight into healthy life expectancy compared with studies that investigate the likelihood of certain diseases by estimating hazard or odds ratios.

Methods
Data
We retrieved data from the National Survey of the Japanese Elderly,23 a six-wave longitudinal prospective survey of a sample of Japanese men and women aged 60 years or older. The survey began with a population of 2200 in 1987, and was subsequently supplemented in later waves in 1990, 1993, 1996, 1999 and 2002 (Table 1). The sample was extracted from the Basic Resident Registration System, administered by the Japanese government, by stratified two-stage random sampling. Municipalities were first selected based on regions and population size, and then survey participants were randomly selected in a manner consistent with the age distribution of Japan; face-to-face interviews were performed at each wave. We have focused our analysis on men only, since labour force participation rates were lower for women (50.1% in 1998 versus 77.3% for men).24 Response rates at each wave are listed in Table 1.

Health outcomes
We used publicly available data, in which each survey participant was followed-up for the four health outcomes at each wave for at most 15 years. Information regarding the death of a
participant, including date, was obtained from the Basic Resident Registration System. Assessment of the three other outcomes (cognitive function, stroke and diabetes) was made at each wave.

Cognitive functioning was assessed based on the short portable mental status questionnaire. This memory test comprises nine questions: the respondent’s address; date of interview; day of interview; mother’s maiden name; name of the current prime minister; name of the previous prime minister; a simple calculation; the subject’s birthday; and the subject’s age. This is a similar approach to standard memory tests used in the field of cognitive science. If the respondent made more than five mistakes, their cognitive functioning was recorded as moderately declined. If a participant was not actually able to complete an interview due to cognitive decline, they were assessed as having a cognitive impairment.

The onset of stroke or diabetes was determined according to self-reported symptoms in the survey questionnaire. The questionnaire also recorded information on whether disease onset was based on a diagnosis by a medical professional or by the participant.

**Independent variables**

We based the values of the independent variables used to adjust for confounders on the baseline (i.e. first) survey responses for each participant. The most important reason for this was that some participants had undergone a decline in cognitive functioning, reducing the reliability of their answers. Individuals older than 75 years at baseline were excluded from the analysis, since only 48 men were in employment.

We defined being in employment as a binary variable of value 1 if individuals were receiving a wage from their job at baseline, including all contract types (i.e. as a full- or part-time employee or being self-employed), and 0 if not employed. We included demographic information in the form of age and marital status, defining marital status as a binary variable of value 1 if married and 0 if not married (including those bereaved or divorced). We considered socioeconomic data in terms of home ownership (1 if people owned their own homes, 0 otherwise), equalized household income (the household income divided by the square root of the number of household members), educational attainment (proportion within one of four categories of years in education) and proportion within one of five longest-held employment types. Following Kan, a respondent’s longest-held occupation type was classified as either: professional or administrative; service or clerical; agriculture, forestry or fisheries; manual labour; or self-employed. We included condition of health in the model in terms of whether a smoker (1 if yes, 0 if not), self-rated health (1 for poor health, 0 otherwise) and body mass index (kg/m). To attempt to counteract the healthy worker effect, individuals of abnormal weight (i.e. a mean body mass index ± 3 standard deviations from the mean) were excluded from the analysis.

**Propensity score method**

We adopted the propensity score method to estimate the effects on health of being in employment when older than 60 years. The propensity score method was originally developed to investigate the presence of a causal inference in non-experimental (i.e. observational and therefore non-randomized) surveys, while attempting to reduce the bias due to confounding variables; previous investigations have demonstrated its use in dealing with potential observed

---

**Table 1. National Survey of the Japanese Elderly response rates of men and women 60 years or older, 1987–2002**

| Wave (year) | Continued⁴ or additional | n          | No. of participants providing valid responses (%) |
|-------------|--------------------------|------------|--------------------------------------------------|
| 1 (1987)    | –                        | 3288       | 2200 (66.9)                                       |
| 2 (1990)    | Continued                | 2200       | 1671 (76.0)                                       |
|             | Additional               | 580        | 366 (63.1)                                        |
| 3 (1993)    | Continued                | 2441       | 1864 (76.4)                                       |
|             | Additional               | 2226       | 1549 (69.6)                                       |
| 4 (1996)    | Continued                | 1210       | 898 (74.2)                                        |
|             | Additional               | 2969       | 2077 (70.0)                                       |
| 5 (1999)    | Continued                | 2000       | 1405 (70.3)                                       |
| 6 (2002)    | Continued                | 4336       | 2823 (65.1)                                       |

Note: We used a sample of 1288 men who were 60 years or older.

---

**Table 2. Observed health outcomes of male participants of the National Survey of the Japanese Elderly after a 15-year follow-up, 1987–2002**

| Health outcome | Men not employed | Men in employment | P for difference in % affected | P for difference in onset time |
|----------------|------------------|-------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|
|                | n⁴  | No. affected (%) | Average time to onset, years⁵ | n⁴  | No. affected (%) | Average time to onset, years |                                  |
| Death          | 640 | 217 (34)         | 7.66                          | 644 | 126 (20)        | 9.31                          | < 0.001                          |
| Cognitive decline | 640 | 36 (6)           | 7.58                          | 645 | 18 (3)          | 11.20                         | < 0.001                          |
| Stroke         | 494 | 97 (20)          | 5.84                          | 499 | 68 (14)         | 8.03                          | 0.007                            |
| Diabetes       | 454 | 105 (23)         | 4.06                          | 462 | 106 (23)        | 3.96                          | 0.878                            |

Note: We used a sample of 1288 men who were 60 years or older.

---
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endogeneity (i.e. outcomes which are not independent of baseline characteristics).\textsuperscript{10} We have applied the propensity score method here to account for the fact that individuals in poorer health may have retired earlier.\textsuperscript{12,13}

We calculated the propensity score using Stata software, version 14.2 (StataCorp LLC, College Station, United States of America), as the conditional probability of being in employment or not at baseline. This conditional probability was obtained by logistic regression using the covariates describing the economic, sociodemographic and health characteristics of survey respondents. We then used the inverse probability of being in paid work (i.e. propensity score) to balance the covariates between those in employment and those not employed.

Incorporating the propensity score, we used survival analysis to estimate time to a particular health outcome from the first survey while assuming a Weibull distribution. By calculating the differences in times to an outcome for both those in employment and those not employed, we estimated the weighted average treatment effects on health of being in paid work past retirement age.

**Results**

We retrieved data for 1288 men that were eligible for analysis on an available-case basis. The health outcome data for the participating men are provided in Table 2. Men not employed had a shorter time to death than men with employment (7.66 years versus 9.31 years; \( P < 0.001 \)). We observed similar outcome for cognitive decline: men not employed had 7.58 years to the onset, while men with employment had 11.20 years (\( P = 0.003 \)). Time to onset for diabetes was not significant between the groups (4.06 years for men not employed versus 3.96 years for men with employment; \( P = 0.319 \); Table 3).

The differences in values of independent variables between those in employment and those not employed are shown in Table 3. Participants in employment had higher incomes than those who were not employed (whose income was mostly in the form of pension benefits). Subjective feelings of being in poor health were significantly

**Table 3. Independent variables used to estimate average treatment effect of working past retirement age for Japanese men, 1987–2002**

| Independent variable | Men not employed | Men in employment | \( P^{a,b} \) |
|----------------------|------------------|-------------------|-------------|
| Mean equalized household income, \( \times 10000 \) yen (SD) | 199 (133) | 323 (250) | < 0.001 |
| Owning own home, mean % (SD) | 82 (38) | 85 (36) | 0.162 |
| Educational attainment category in years, mean % (SD) | | | |
| 0–7 | 12 (32) | 7 (25) | 0.003 |
| 8–9 | 41 (49) | 43 (50) | 0.508 |
| 10–11 | 21 (40) | 18 (39) | 0.003 |
| > 12 | 27 (44) | 32 (47) | |
| Married, mean % (SD) | 90 (30) | 94 (23) | 0.003 |
| Smokers, mean % (SD) | 47 (50) | 46 (50) | 0.003 |
| Self-reporting poor health, mean % (SD) | 17 (38) | 07 (26) | 0.001 |
| Mean body mass index, kg/m (SD) | 22 (3) | 22 (3) | 0.098 |
| Mean age, years (SD) | 68 (5) | 65 (5) | 0.162 |
| Longest-held employment type, mean % (SD) | | | |
| Professional or administrative | 26 (44) | 11 (31) | < 0.001 |
| Service or clerical | 17 (38) | 6 (23) | |
| Agriculture, forestry or fisheries | 6 (23) | 3 (17) | 0.003 |
| Manual labour | 45 (50) | 13 (34) | 0.003 |
| Self-employment | 7 (25) | 67 (47) | 0.003 |

SD: standard deviation.

\( ^{a} \) \textit{P}-values of differences in variables between those not employed and those in employment. There is only one \( P \)-value for each of the combined education and employment categories because we only investigated whether a proportion of some category was different between the two groups.

\( ^{b} \) Welch \( t \)-test for continuous variables and \( \chi^2 \) test for categorical variables.

Note: Inconsistencies arise in some values due to rounding.

**Table 4. Estimated average treatment effects of being in employment past retirement age on health outcomes for Japanese men, 1987–2002**

| Health outcome\(^ {a} \) | Men not employed,\(^ b \) | Men in employment,\(^ b \) | Time to onset for those not employed, years\(^ c \) | Average treatment effect of being in employment,\(^ d \) years (95% CI) | Balancing\(^ e \) |
|--------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| Death | 640 | 644 | 9.40 | 1.91 (0.70 to 3.11) | 0.245 |
| Cognitive decline | 640 | 645 | 10.58 | 2.22 (0.27 to 4.17) | 0.284 |
| Stroke | 494 | 499 | 11.08 | 3.35 (1.42 to 5.28) | 0.412 |
| Diabetes | 454 | 462 | 8.52 | 6.05 (4.44 to 7.65) | 0.518 |

CI: confidence interval.

\( ^{a} \) The maximum years of follow-up was 15 years after the first survey.

\( ^{b} \) Sample size for available-case analysis.

\( ^{c} \) Modelled by propensity score method.

\( ^{d} \) Average treatment effect estimated via survival analysis with inverse probability weighting.

\( ^{e} \) Null hypothesis is that covariates (economic, sociodemographic and health characteristics as described in Table 3) are balanced.

Note: We used men not employed as control group.
higher for individuals not in employment (average: 17%) compared with those who were employed (average: 7%). This example highlights how individuals not in employment may have been in that position due to poor health, which would reinforce the healthy worker effect unless adjusted for. We observed another major difference in the longest-held occupation type; the proportion of self-employed among individuals still working was much higher than those no longer in employment (averages of 68% versus 7%).

Table 4 provides the estimated average treatment effects of being in employment past retirement age compared with not being employed on the four different health outcomes. We cannot reject the null hypotheses that the covariates were balanced between the employed and not employed groups; this implies that confounders have been adequately adjusted for.

There were significant differences in all four outcomes between the two groups: participants in employment were likely to experience longer periods of good health regarding all four outcomes compared with those not employed. On average, individuals not employed died 9.40 years after their baseline surveys; those in employment died 1.91 years later (95% confidence interval, CI: 0.70 to 3.11). Participants not employed underwent cognitive decline 10.58 years after their baseline; those in paid work did not experience cognitive decline for another 2.22 years (95% CI: 0.27 to 4.17). In an additional analysis, we examined the difference between effects of being an employee or being self-employed past retirement age on health outcomes for Japanese men, 1987–2002.

## Discussion
In line with studies which evaluated the health impacts of retirement in Japan and the United States, our estimates of average treatment effects imply that being in paid work past the current age of retirement has positive effects on health.

There are three main interpretations of the results. First, according to the human capital model, people may be incentivized to invest in health for the sake of increasing or maintaining their productivity and wages. If individuals have a problem with their health, they may experience presenteeism or absenteeism; they may even have to retire earlier than planned as a result of poor health. Second, studies have reported that the social participation and networks that accompany most jobs can enhance health. Having increased social relationships is directly linked to improved physical and mental health outcomes, for example avoiding stress and depression. Third, the latent functions of employment, such as social status and self-respect, also contribute positively to health outcomes. We did not investigate which of these three hypotheses has the greatest effect; these hypotheses need to be examined in detail in further studies before they can be usefully incorporated by policy-makers.

In an additional analysis, we examined the difference between effects on health for employees and the self-employed. Employees had better health than the self-employed regarding estimated onset to stroke and diabetes, whereas the estimated life expectancy of the self-employed was longer. One of the most significant explanations is that, in accordance with industrial safety and health law, employers in Japan are required to provide annual health
The study concluded that the presence of work after the retirement age among self-employed workers was associated with longer life expectancy than employees. However, differences between employees and self-employed workers were also observed, with employees showing more significant improvements in health compared to self-employed workers. This is consistent with the general trend that employees tend to engage in more health-promoting behaviors due to the nature of their employment, which may afford financial incentives for better health outcomes.

Our study has some limitations. First, the case ascertainment of diabetes and stroke was based on self-reported symptoms, whereas death and cognitive decline were measured from demographic statistics and an established test. The questionnaire did record whether participants had been diagnosed with diabetes or as having had a stroke by a medical professional, but the source of diagnosis was not considered in our analysis. Caution should therefore be exercised when interpreting the results regarding these two health outcomes.

Second, our sample set comprised respondents of the National Survey of the Japanese Elderly, all of whom had survived to age 60 years or older, perhaps contributing to the healthy worker effect. We attempted to overcome this problem by using the propensity score method with survival analysis; by incorporating differences in health, measured from variables including body mass index and self-rated health, we have considered the consequences of behavioral health. In addition, the survival analysis method is focused on the onset of new health outcomes; those who were already unhealthy, that is, with a history of any of the health outcomes observed here, were excluded from the analysis.

Third, we did not consider changes in employment status. In an extreme case, a respondent could have changed his status from employed to not employed immediately after the baseline survey. There may also have been changes to health related to the total time in employment during the follow-up period. Further, beyond distinguishing between being employed or self-employed, we did not consider the frequency of work, job security or availability of any fringe benefits; such factors can also have an effect on health, and future investigations should consider these.

In conclusion, this empirical study implies that being in paid work in later life is beneficial for both mortality and some outcomes of morbidity, prolonging healthy periods. Although more research is needed to investigate the effects of transition of employment status and other precise health outcomes, our estimates of average treatment effects indicate that extending working lives has benefits to health. Policies designed for longer working lives should incorporate appropriate management of lifelong physical and mental health practices and conditions.
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Travail et santé après l’âge de la retraite chez les hommes japonais

Objectif Estimer l’effet moyen du travaille « Travailler après l’âge actuel de la retraite » sur la santé des hommes japonais.

Méthodes Nous avons utilisé les données publiquement disponibles d’une enquête nationale menée auprès de personnes âgées japonaises, en extrayant un échantillon de 1288 hommes de 60 ans ou plus. Les personnes ayant participé à cette enquête ont été suivies pendant au maximum 15 ans pour détecter la survenue de quatre événements de santé: décès, déclin cognitif, incident ischémique et diabète. En utilisant la méthode du score de propension, nous avons procédé à un ajustement pour compenser l’effet du travailleur en bonne santé, en incorporant des données économiques, sociodémographiques et des données de santé, sous la forme de variables indépendantes. En calculant les différences constatées -entre les hommes qui travaillaient et ceux qui ne travaillaient pas- dans les délais d’apparition de ces événements de santé, nous avons fait une estimation des effets moyens sur la santé du fait d’avoir un travail rémunéré après l’âge de la retraite.

Résultats Comparativement aux hommes qui ne travaillaient pas, les hommes qui avaient un travail ont vécu 1,91 année de plus (intervalle de confiance -IC- à 95%: de 0,70 à 3,11), ils ont bénéficié de 2,22 années supplémentaires avant de connaître un déclin cognitif (IC à 95%: de 0,27 à 4,17) et ils ont bénéficié d’une différence de délai encore plus importante avant l’apparition de problèmes de diabète ou d’incidents ischémiques, à savoir 6,05 années (IC à 95%: de 4,44 à 7,65) et 3,35 années (IC à 95%: de 1,42 à 5,28) respectivement. Nous avons également constaté des différences entre les personnes salariées et les travailleurs indépendants, avec une espérance de vie plus élevée chez les travailleurs indépendants. Néanmoins, concernant le délai d’apparition du diabète ou d’incidents ischémiques, nous avons uniquement observé des avantages significatifs pour la santé au fait d’être salarié, mais pas au fait d’être travailleur indépendant.

Conclusion Notre étude révèle que le fait de travailler après l’âge de la retraite actuellement en vigueur a un impact positif sur la santé.
**Resumen**

**Empleo y salud después de la jubilación en hombres japoneses**

**Objetivo** Estimar el efecto medio del tratamiento de trabajar después de la edad de jubilación actual en la salud de los hombres japoneses.

**Métodos** Se utilizaron los datos disponibles públicamente de la Encuesta Nacional a la Tercera edad de Japón, de donde se obtuvo una muestra de 1288 hombres de 60 años o más. A los encuestados se les realizó un seguimiento durante un máximo de 15 años de la aparición de cuatro resultados de salud: muerte, deterioro cognitivo, accidentes cerebrovasculares y diabetes. Mediante el uso del método de puntuación de propensión, se ajustó el efecto del trabajador sano mediante la incorporación de datos económicos, sociodemográficos y de salud en forma de variables independientes. Mediante el cálculo de las diferencias temporales hasta un resultado de salud entre los que trabajan y los que no, se estimaron los efectos del tratamiento promedio sobre la salud de estar en un trabajo remunerado después de la edad de jubilación.

**Resultados** En comparación con los que no trabajan, los trabajadores vivían 1,91 años más (95% intervalo de confianza, IC: 0,70 a 3,11), tenían 2,22 años adicionales (IC del 95%: 0,27 a 4,17) antes de experimentar un declive cognitivo y un periodo más largo antes de la aparición de diabetes y accidentes cerebrovasculares de 6,05 (IC del 95%: 4,44 a 7,65) y 3,35 años (IC del 95%: 1,42 a 5,28), respectivamente. También se observaron diferencias entre los trabajadores por cuenta ajena y por cuenta propia: los últimos tenían una esperanza de vida más larga que los primeros. Sin embargo, en términos de años hasta la aparición de diabetes o accidentes cerebrovasculares, solo se observaron beneficios significativos para la salud en trabajar por cuenta ajena, pero no por cuenta propia.

**Conclusión** El estudio concluyó que tener trabajo después de la edad de jubilación actual tuvo un impacto positivo en la salud.
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