Fine mapping of the tomato yellow leaf curl virus resistance gene Ty-2 on chromosome 11 of tomato
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Abstract Resistances to begomoviruses, including bipartite tomato mottle virus and monopartite tomato yellow leaf curl virus (TYLCV), have been introgressed to cultivated tomato (Solanum lycopersicum) from wild tomato accessions. A major gene, Ty-2 from S. habrochaites f. glabratum accession “B6013,” that confers resistance to TYLCV was previously mapped to a 19-cM region on the long arm of chromosome 11. In the present study, approximately 11,000 plants were screened and nearly 157 recombination events were identified between the flanking markers C2_At1g07960 (82.5 cM, physical distance 51.387 Mb) and T0302 (89 cM, 51.878 Mb). Molecular marker analysis of recombinants and TYLCV evaluation of progeny from these recombinants localized Ty-2 to an approximately 300,000-bp interval between markers UP8 (51.344 Mb) and M1 (51.645 Mb). No recombinants were identified between TG36 and C2_At3g52090, a region of at least 115 kb, indicating severe recombination suppression in this region. Due to the small interval, fluorescence in situ hybridization analysis failed to clarify whether recombination suppression is caused by chromosomal rearrangements. Candidate genes predicted based on tomato genome annotation were analyzed by RT-PCR and virus-induced gene silencing. Results indicate that the NBS gene family present in the Ty-2 region is likely not responsible for the Ty-2-conferred resistance and that two candidate
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genes might play a role in the Ty-2-conferred resistance. Several markers very tightly linked to the Ty-2 locus are presented and useful for marker-assisted selection in breeding programs to introgress Ty-2 for begomovirus resistance.

**Keywords**  Breeding · Resistance · Tomato · Tomato yellow leaf curling virus (TYLCV) · Virus-induced gene silencing

**Introduction**

Tomato-infecting begomoviruses, including the monopartite tomato yellow leaf curl virus (TYLCV) and numerous bipartite viruses, are transmitted by the adult sweet potato whitefly *Bemisia tabaci* (Gennadius) biotype B, which is also known as the silverleaf whitefly (*B. argentifolii* Bellows and Perring). These viruses cause serious losses to tomato (*Solanum lycopersicum* L.) production in many tropical and subtropical regions in the world (Ji et al. 2007a; Cohen and Lapidot 2007). Whitefly control measures such as the use of insecticides and/or fine-mesh screens or UV-absorbing plastic films/screens can limit disease damage, but epidemics can still occur. Also, whitefly resistance to the used chemicals has been reported (Antignus et al. 2001; Horowitz et al. 2007). Thus, deployment of resistant cultivars offers an attractive method to control these diseases. Cultivated tomato is susceptible to TYLCV, so breeding efforts rely on the transfer of resistance genes from wild tomato relatives. Species that have demonstrated resistance include *S. pimpinellifolium*, *S. peruvianum*, *S. chilense*, *S. habrochaites*, and *S. cheesmaniae* (Ji et al. 2007b; Pico et al. 1996; Scott 2007; Vidavski 2007). So far, as many as five resistance loci have been mapped, i.e., the dominant genes including Ty-1, Ty-2, Ty-3, Ty-4, and recessive gene ty-5 (Zamir et al. 1994; Hanson et al. 2000; Ji et al. 2007a, 2009b; Anbinder et al. 2009). Ty-1 and Ty-3 were each derived from *S. chilense* and mapped to nearby positions on chromosome 6 (Ji et al. 2007c); however, Verlaan et al. (2013) demonstrated that Ty-1 and Ty-3 are alleles of the same gene. Ty-4, also derived from *S. chilense*, was mapped to chromosome 3 (Ji et al. 2008). The recessively inherited ty-5 gene, first identified in the breeding line TY172 and later found in material derived from “Tyking,” was mapped to chromosome 4 (Anbinder et al. 2009; Hutton et al. 2012). The ty-5 gene is likely derived from a complex of *S. peruvianum* accessions (Anbinder et al. 2009). However, there is also evidence showing that ty-5 is a loss-of-function mutation that likely occurred in cultivated tomato (Levin et al. 2013). Ty-2 was derived from *S. habrochaites f. glabratum* accession “B6013” (Kalloo and Banerjee 1990; Ji et al. 2009a) and was previously mapped to the long arm of chromosome 11 near markers TG36 (84 cM) and TG393 (103 cM) (Hanson et al. 2000). Further research indicated that Ty-2 was localized to an introgression spanning markers TG36 (84 cM) to TG26 (92 cM) (Hanson et al. 2006). Later, Ty-2 was delimited to a shorter introgression spanned by markers C2_At1g07960 (82.5 cM) and T0302 (89 cM) (Ji et al. 2009a), a distance of at least 500,000 bp on the tomato genome assembly. The fusarium wilt race 2 resistance gene (*I-2*) is close to the Ty-2 region (Simons et al. 1998), and there may be difficulty in combining these important resistances in cis. Reducing the Ty-2 introgression would be helpful in combining these two important disease resistances in a single line (Ji et al. 2009a).

Because Ty-1 and Ty-2 are both dominant and provide high levels of resistance to many strains of TYLCV, they are widely utilized by breeders. Yet, neither gene is effective against bipartite begomoviruses, and the resistance of both has been overcome by some strains of TYLCV (Ji et al. 2007a). There is evidence, however, that Ty-2 can provide an enhanced level of resistance to bipartite begomoviruses when pyramided with Ty-3 (Mejía et al. 2005), potentially making it a more attractive tool to breeders. Very recently, the cloning of Ty-1 and Ty-3 showed that they code for a DFDGD-class RNA-dependent RNA polymerase (RDR) for which no clear function has yet been described. Also, in the same study, it was shown that Ty-2 does not encode for a RDR (Verlaan et al. 2013). Thus, cloning additional genes for TYLCV resistance offers a unique opportunity to advance the insight into novel types of resistance genes. The objective of this research is to fine map Ty-2 toward the cloning of the gene.

**Materials and methods**

Plant materials used in Florida, US

H9205 is an H.J. Heinz Inc., processing tomato hybrid with TYLCV resistance conferred by Ty-2 in its
heterozygous status. In a previous study by Ji et al. (2009a), F2 progeny from H9205 was screened for recombination and three F2 recombinants (i.e., 82, 108, and 134) were identified. One of these plants (No. 134) was heterozygous for Ty-2 contained within an introgression from C2_At1g07960 (82.5 cM) to T0302 (89 cM). This plant was advanced to the F3 generation, and progeny homozygous for the same region was self-pollinated to produce an F4 population used in the present study. In total, 11,000 individual F4 plants were screened in two phases for recombination within the Ty-2 region.

For Phase I of the recombinant screening, 4,000 F4 progeny were screened in Fall 2009 and 30 plants were identified that contained crossover events between the markers C2_At1g07960 and T0302. Recombinants were categorized into two groups: Group A was composed of individuals carrying one chromosome with a recombined introgression and one chromosome with no introgression. Group B was composed of individuals carrying one recombined and one nonrecombined introgression. These plants were transplanted to the field in mid-October and allowed to self-pollinate, and seeds were harvested. In Spring 2010, progeny lines of Group A recombinants, along with resistant and susceptible controls, were inoculated and transplanted to small pots in the greenhouse. Plants were evaluated for disease severity, and from each resistant line, F5 seed was harvested from one or two plants that were homozygous for the recombined introgression. In Fall 2010, 24 seedlings from each of the Group B recombinants were grown in a greenhouse. Recombinant inbred lines (RILs) were developed by genotyping each plant and selecting individuals that were homozygous for the recombined introgression. Plants of each RIL, along with controls, were inoculated and transplanted to 3.8-L pots in the greenhouse for the evaluation of disease severity.

For Phase II testing, approximately 7,000 additional F4 plants were screened in Spring 2011 for recombination between markers C2_At1g07960 and T0302. Plants with recombination events between C2_At1g07960 and M1 were selected and transferred to the field in April 2011, and selfed seed was harvested from each plant. In Fall 2011, 48 seedlings from each recombinant were genotyped, and individuals homozygous for the recombined introgression were selected representing RILs for TYLCV inoculation and field evaluation of disease severity. RILs were evaluated in a randomized complete block design with two blocks and 4- to 5-plant plots.

In all inoculated experiments, “Horizon” was used as the susceptible control and an F5 breeding line homozygous for Ty-2 was used as the resistant control. The Ty-2 breeding line was developed from H9205 by self-pollinating to the F5 generation while selecting for homozygosity of the entire introgression originally present in the hybrid.

Plant materials used in Wageningen, the Netherlands

One advanced breeding line and one F2 population, both derived from commercial hybrids harboring the Ty-2 gene in the genetic background of S. lycopersicum, were provided by breeding companies within the cooperative framework of the Centre for BioSystems Genomics (CBSG). The F2 population was used for recombinant screening. The F2 plants selected from recombinant screenings were selfed, and their F3 progenies were used for further testing with TYLCV. The advanced breeding line was used for gene expression and virus-induced gene silencing experiments. For all the experiments, plants were grown under greenhouse conditions (23 °C, 60 % humidity, and 16-/8-h day/night cycle).

Inoculation and disease evaluation

In Florida, United States, all plants tested were inoculated with whiteflies viruliferous for the Israeli strain of TYLCV and subsequently assessed for disease severity according to the method described by Griffiths and Scott (2001) with some modifications. Briefly, 4- to 6-week-old seedlings were exposed to viruliferous whiteflies for one to 2 weeks in a growth chamber. Following inoculation, the whiteflies were killed and the plants were transplanted to 3.8-L pots in the greenhouse or to the field. Plants were rated for TYLCV disease severity approximately 40 days after exposure to whiteflies. Plants without symptoms similar to the resistant control were rated R and plants with severe symptoms similar to the susceptible control were rated S. There were no intermediate reactions.

In Wageningen, the Netherlands, TYLCV infection was done using Agrobacterium-mediated inoculation using the infectious TYLCV-IL clone as previously
described by Verlaan et al. (2011). In all disease tests, *S. lycopersicum* cv. Moneymaker (MM) was used as the susceptible control.

**Molecular markers**

All markers used in this study were PCR-based, including sequence-characterized amplified region (SCAR) markers and cleaved amplified polymorphic sequence (CAPS) markers (Table S1). These were either publicly available or were designed from version SL2.40 of the tomato genome assembly by BatchPrimer3 online (http://probes.pw.usda.gov/batchprimer3/index.html).

**Quantitative RT-PCR**

For gene expression analysis, leaf samples from the top part of three plants per genotype were taken at 0, 9, and 20 days after TYLCV inoculation at Wageningen (the Netherlands). Two genotypes were used, the Wageningen *Ty*-2 line (see description above) and tomato cultivar *S. lycopersicum* cv. Moneymaker (MM). Total RNA was isolated from leaf tissue using Qiagen RNA easy Plant Mini Kit, according to the manufacturer’s protocol. cDNA was synthesized using the iScript cDNA Synthesis kit (Bio-Rad). Quantitative real-time RT-PCR was performed using a Bio-Rad iCycler iQ5, in a 10 μl reaction (employing SYBR Green Supermix) and according to the Bio-Rad protocol. Primers were designed to amplify a 100- to 200-bp region of each candidate gene from tomato *Ty*-2 cDNA. Primer3 online software was used for primer selection, and conditions were settled following the recommendations of Thornton and Basu (2011). As a reference, the ubiquitin gene was used with primers UBI-F (5’-GGACGGACGTACTCTAGCTGAT-3’) and UBI-R (5’-AGCTTTCGACCTCAAGGGTA-3’).

**Virus-induced gene silencing (VIGS)**

cDNA sequences of candidate genes predicted in the *Ty*-2 region were obtained from the Sol Genomics Network database. Primers were designed to amplify a 150- to 450-bp region from cDNA of the Wageningen *Ty*-2 line using Phusion DNA polymerase. Fragments targeting the candidate genes for silencing were amplified and cloned into pENTR-TOPO (Invitrogen), sequenced for confirmation and subsequently cloned into TRV2 vector (Liu et al. 2002) using the Gateway system. Plasmids were transformed into *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* strain GV3101. For sequence alignments, MEGA version 5 software was used.

Virus-induced gene silencing (VIGS) experiments were performed as described in Verlaan et al. (2013). Briefly, TRV infection was done through *Agrobacterium*-mediated infiltration on cotyledons of 10-day-old seedlings using syringes without needle. Two weeks after TRV inoculation, agro infiltration with TYLCV was performed.

**Fluorescent in situ hybridization (FISH) analysis**

Slide preparations, BAC isolation, and FISH were carried out as described in Verlaan et al. (2011).

**Results**

*Ty*-2 maps between UP8 and M1, a region of about 300 kb

Phase I screening of approximately 4,000 seedlings in Fall 2009 resulted in the identification of 30 plants having a recombination event between C2_At1g07960 and T0302 (Table 1). Progeny lines of Group A recombinants were phenotypically evaluated. Eight recombinants (A1 and A2) that were segregating for the upper portion (C2_At1g07960 to M1) of the *Ty*-2 introgression also segregated for resistance, while the five recombinants (A3 and A4) that were lacking this upper portion of the introgression were all susceptible. Likewise, evaluation of Group B RILs in Fall 2010 confirmed that those containing the upper portion of the introgression were resistant (B1–B3, Table 1), while those lacking this region were susceptible (B4–B7, Table 1). Thus, the genotype and phenotype results of important recombinants clearly delimited *Ty*-2 to the region below UP8 (data of A1–A2 and B1–B3) and above M1 (data of B3 and B4).

In Spring 2011, Phase II screening of approximately 7,000 additional plants identified 127 recombinants for the C2_At1g07960 and T0302 marker interval, but only 26 of these had recombinations above marker M1 (Table 2). Of those 26 recombinations, 24 cross-overs occurred between the markers C2_At3g52090 and M1, and the other two crossovers occurred between P1–16 and TG36. Selection for homozygosity of the
recombined introgression of these 26 individuals resulted in three genotypic categories of RILs that were evaluated in Fall 2011. All Category I and II RILs containing the upper portion of the introgression were resistant, while all Category III RILs lacking this region were susceptible (Table 2), confirming the location of \( \text{Ty}_2 \) above M1. Subsequent development and testing of additional markers between C2_At28250 and C2_At1g07960 determined that the upper end of the \( S. \ habrochaites \) introgression is likely between UP8 and C2_At1g07960 (Fig. 1). Thus, our results map \( \text{Ty}_2 \) to the approximately 300,000-bp region between UP8 and M1.

Skewed allele frequency in the \( \text{Ty}_2 \) introgression

During the Phase II development of RILs, an interesting segregation pattern was obtained from progeny of the two Category II recombinants in the marker interval between P1–16 and TG36 (Table 2). Each of these recombinants was homozygous for \( S. \ habrochaites \) alleles (\( hh \)) between markers C2_At1g07960 and P1-16 and heterozygous (\( hl \)) at markers TG36 to M1. To generate RILs, progeny of these two individuals were screened with marker M1 to select plants homozygous for the \( S. \ lycopersicum \) allele (\( ll \)). For each recombinant, 48 seedlings were screened and several individuals were selected. Segregation at M1 had an acceptable fit to a 1:2:1 ratio (\( 1hh:2hl:1ll \)). However, subsequent screening of these individuals with additional markers between P1–16 and M1 showed that all selected plants, although homozygous for the \( S. \ lycopersicum \) allele at M1, remained heterozygous for all markers tested in the TG36 to C2_At3g52090 interval (Table 2). Further screening of nearly 100 progeny from each of the two Category II recombinants confirmed this result, and no progeny were identified that were homozygous for the \( S. \ lycopersicum \) alleles in the TG36 to C2_At3g52090 interval. Within this interval, the allele frequency of \( hh:hl \) segregated in a 1:3 ratio. Although all progeny of these two recombinants showed clear TYLCV resistance, the failure to recover homozygous \( S. \ lycopersicum \) alleles in the TG36 to C2_At3g52090 interval from the genotyping of 200 plants prevented the further narrowing of the \( \text{Ty}_2 \) region.

Suppression of recombination in the \( \text{Ty}_2 \) introgression

In summary, Phase I and Phase II screening of approximately 11,000 progeny identified 157 recombinants for the approximately 500,000-bp region between C2_At1g07960 and T0302. Only 29 of these crossovers occurred above marker M1; of these, 27 occurred in the approximately 35,000-bp region

### Table 1

| Group | No. of recombinants | Genotype | Spring 2010 progeny phenotype | Fall 2010 RIL phenotype |
|-------|---------------------|----------|------------------------------|------------------------|
|       |                     |          | Tested plants | Resistant plants | Tested plants | Phenotype |
| A-1   | 5                   | ll       | 75            | 55            |             |
| A-2   | 3                   | hh       | 41            | R             |             |
| A-3   | 2                   | hh       | 23            | R             |             |
| A-4   | 3                   | hh       | 28            | S             |             |
| B-1   | 1                   | hl       | 31            | S             |             |
| B-2   | 4                   | ll       | 27            | S             |             |
| B-3   | 1                   | ll       | 30            | S             |             |
| B-4   | 2                   | ll       | 28            | S             |             |
| B-5   | 5                   | ll       | 31            | S             |             |
| B-6   | 2                   | ll       | 27            | S             |             |
| B-7   | 2                   | ll       | 30            | S             |             |

\( hh \) homozygous for the \( S. \ habrochaites \) allele, \( ll \) homozygous for \( S. \ lycopersicum \) allele, \( hl \) heterozygous

\( R \) resistant, \( S \) susceptible
Table 2  Genotype and phenotype of 26 recombinant inbred lines (RILs) identified from Phase II recombinant screening

| Category | No. RILs | Molecular marker | Phenotype |
|----------|----------|------------------|-----------|
|          |          | UP8              | P1-16     | TG36 | cLEN-11-F24 | cL1 | cL2 | C2_At3g52090 | M1 | T0302 |
| I        | 13       | ll               | hh        | hh   | hh          | hh  | hh  | hh          | ll | ll | R     |
| II       | 2        | ll               | hh        | hl   | hl          | hl  | hl  | hl          | ll | ll | R     |
| III      | 11       | ll               | ll        | ll   | ll          | ll  | ll  | ll          | hh | hh | S     |

*hh* homozygous for *S. habrochaites* alleles, *ll* homozygous for *S. lycopersicum* alleles, *hl* heterozygous alleles

*R* resistant, *S* susceptible

Fig. 1  Genetic map of chromosome 11 (part). A Map position of the *Ty*-2 gene is shown (gray box between markers UP8 and M1) and the region where suppression of recombination was identified (shaded region between markers C2_At1g07960 and C2_At3g52090). Bacterial artificial chromosome (BAC)s selected for fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH) are shown in color blocks. B Schematic drawing of arrangements of BACs observed in FISH experiments. FISH images showing BAC signals in F2 plants *ii* homozygous for the susceptible *S. lycopersicum* "Moneymaker" alleles and *ii* for the *S. habrochaites* alleles of loci in the *Ty*-2 introgression. Overlapping BACs are observed for both genotypes. (Color figure online)
between C2_At3g52090 and M1, and only two were in the approximately 60,000-bp region between P1–16 and TG36. No recombinants were found between TG36 and C2_At3g52090, indicating the suppression of recombination.

To clarify whether the suppression was population-dependent, a recombinant screening was carried out in Wageningen (the Netherlands) in another F2 population derived from a round tomato F1 hybrid. In this F2 population, markers from 51355_MH through T0302 segregated (Fig. 1), showing that a large introgression of S. habrochaites is present in the commercial hybrid carrying the Ty-2 gene. The presence of the Ty-2-conferring resistance was confirmed by challenging 110 F2 plants with TYLCV and genotyping them with markers between 51355_MH and T0302. Among the 110 plants tested, 25 showed TYLCV symptoms similar to the susceptible control, MM, and were homozygous for the susceptible allele at all tested markers. The other 85 plants showing slight or no symptoms were scored as resistant. Resistant plants were either homozygous or heterozygous for S. habrochaites alleles at all tested markers. Thus, there was no skewing of allele frequency in the region between 51355_MH and T0302 in this F2 population. By screening an additional 1900 plants of this F2 population with markers UF_07960F2 and T0302, 18 recombinants were identified (data not shown) and all recombination events occurred downstream of the marker C2_At3g52090 (Fig. 1), confirming a severe suppression of recombination in the region between markers C2_At1g07960 and C2_At3g52090.

As with the Ty-1 introgression (Verlaan et al. 2011), we hypothesized that differences in chromosome structure between the two parental lines might be the cause for the suppression of recombination. We previously showed that fluorescent in situ hybridization (FISH) can be used as a molecular tool to reveal inversions or chromosomal rearrangements among several Solanum species (Szinay et al. 2012). Therefore, we applied FISH analysis in order to visualize the chromosome structure of the Ty-2 introgression. Four BACs located within the 300 kb S. habrochaites introgression were selected (Fig. 1) and labeled for FISH as described in Verlaan et al. (2011). Unfortunately, FISH images showed overlapping fluorescing foci from their corresponding BACs, indicating that this 300-kb region is too small for resolution using the FISH technique.

Differential expression of the candidate genes

Within the 300-kb Ty-2 region, 35 genes were annotated in the tomato sequence version SL2.40, Sol Genomics Network (Table S2). In order to examine the effects of TYLCV infection on the expression of the predicted genes in the Ty-2 region, relative expression levels were quantified at 0, 9, and 20 days after TYLCV inoculation. Resistant plants carrying the Ty-2 introgression and susceptible MM plants were sampled. Priority was given to genes expressed according to the RNAseq coverage information (Sol Genomics Network), and transcript levels of 25 out of the 35 predicted genes were quantified by RT-PCR (Table S3). Using ubiquitin as the housekeeping gene, three predicted genes were shown to be differentially expressed in the Ty-2 plants compared to the susceptible genotype and upon TYLCV inoculation (Fig. 2). These are Solyc11g069700.1, encoding an elongation factor 1-alpha; Solyc11g069770.1, encoding an elongation factor 1-alpha; Solyc11g069770.1, encoding an elongation factor 1-alpha; Solyc11g069770.1, encoding a DNA-directed RNA polymerase II, and Solyc11g069930.1, encoding an R3a-like resistance protein. Among these genes, the elongation factor 1-alpha and the disease resistance protein R3a-like showed lower expression in the resistant genotype. Twenty days after virus infection, relative amount of transcripts of the elongation factor in the susceptible genotype was over 40 times higher than in the resistant plants (Fig. 2A), and across all time points, the expression level of this gene in the resistant plants remained very low. Expression of the predicted R3a-like homolog in the resistant plants remained almost four times lower than in the susceptible genotype (Fig. 2B) across all time points. In contrast, expression of the transcription factor MADS-box in the resistant plants was 2.5 times higher than in the susceptible phenotype 20 days after TYLCV infection (Fig. 2C). In addition to these three genes, Solyc11g069910.1, the gene encoding a DNA-directed RNA polymerase II, showed a down-regulation in the Ty-2 line upon TYLCV inoculation, almost 2 times lower than in the susceptible MM plants (Fig. 2D).

Silencing of the differentially expressed candidate genes

To determine the implication of the candidate genes on TYLCV resistance, specific VIGS constructs (Table S4) were designed to silence these four
Candidate genes in MM and the line carrying the Ty-2 gene. Two weeks after infiltration with TRV vector for gene silencing, plants were challenged with TYLCV. Plants infiltrated with TRV vectors but noninfected with TYLCV and plants infiltrated with an empty (EV) TRV vector were used as controls. Except for R3a-like genes, plants infiltrated with TRV vectors targeting these genes all showed an abnormal phenotype when compared to the control plants (Fig. 3). Silencing the elongation factor 1-alpha had a lethal effect (Fig. 3A); silencing the transcription factor MADS-box resulted in plants with yellowish leaves (Fig. 3B); and silencing the DNA-directed RNA polymerase II protein led to stunted plants with smaller and curled leaves (Fig. 3C). These phenotypes were observed in plants of the Ty-2 line and MM before TYLCV inoculation, thus determined by the silencing of the target gene itself rather than induced by TYLCV infection.

Candidate genes in the Ty-2 region mapped in this study include five genes encoding CC-NBS-LRR proteins, of which two are R3a-like. R3a is a member of the R3 complex locus on chromosome 11 of potato, which confers race-specific resistance to the oomycete Phytophthora infestans (Huang et al. 2005). To determine whether these R3a homologs are required for Ty-2-mediated resistance to TYLCV, a VIGS construct (VG930) targeting both Solyc11g069670.1 and Solyc11g069930.1 was generated (Figure S1). After silencing, plants were TYLCV inoculated and subsequently monitored for the development of symptoms. Until 45 days after virus infection, no viral symptoms were recorded (Fig. 3D). The phenotype observed was similar to that displayed by the control plants (inoculated with an empty TRV vector and infiltrated only with TRV silencing vector). Our data indicate that silencing of the R3a homologs does not compromise the resistance conferred by Ty-2.
Discussion

Recombination suppression is a common phenomenon in genomic regions introgressed from wild tomato species (Ji et al. 2007b, 2009a). Therefore, it was not surprising to observe this in the introgressed segment containing the Ty-2 gene. In a previous study, the Ty-2 gene was delimited to a region between the markers C2_At2g28250 and T0302, a distance of at least 500 kb (Ji et al. 2009a). Although approximately 11,000 plants were genotyped in the present study, only 157 recombinants within this region were obtained. These recombinants did allow the further delimiting of Ty-2 to a shorter region spanned by markers UP8 and M1 of \( \approx 300,000 \) bp. The reason for this suppression is unknown, but perhaps there is an inversion as there was on chromosome 6 in a region where Ty-1 and Ty-3 have been mapped (Verlaan et al. 2011). Unfortunately, FISH was not powerful in this case to visualize any potential chromosomal rearrangements. Alternatively, a region of duplication or a cold spot for recombination could also explain the suppression of recombination. Previous studies have shown that recombination frequency is positively related to the length of alien segments and that, in some cases, cross direction also has significant impact on the frequency of recombination (Canady et al. 2006; Li et al. 2010). In order to increase the frequency of recombination in the Ty-2 region, it would be helpful to use populations derived from lines with a larger Ty-2 introgressed segment. In case that chromosomal rearrangement is present in S. habrochaites, the best option would be to use an intraspecific cross with susceptible S. habrochaites accession to facilitate the cloning of Ty-2.

Whatever the reason for the suppression of recombination, the inability to further reduce the size of the introgressed chromosome segment has an important

Fig. 3 Candidate genes silencing effects. Pictures were taken from resistant plants carrying Ty-2. Targeting three candidate genes showed abnormal phenotypes: A Elongation factor 1-alpha. Targeting this gene had a lethal effect. B Transcription factor MADS-box. Yellowish leaves and smaller and weaker plants were observed upon TYLCV infection. C DNA-directed RNA polymerase II. A stunted plant with shorter internodes and curled small leaves was observed. D R3a homologs. Resistance was not compromised; no phenotype was observed. E TRV-empty vector control plant. (Color figure online)
impact on practical breeding for two reasons. First, there is the possibility of linkage drag. No reports of linkage drag associated with Ty-2 have been published to date, but in large fruited tomato germplasm, a rough blossom scar where teratomas emerge has been associated with resistance from Ty-2 (Ryohei Arimoto, personal communication). Our lines with the shortest introgressions need to be tested to determine whether this problem has been eliminated. Secondly, the large chromosome segment introgressed from wild species can hamper combining important genes in cis. The fusarium wilt race 2 resistance gene, Ty-2, has been cloned (Simons et al. 1998) and is located on chromosome 11 at approximately 52 Mb according to version SL 2.40 of the tomato genome assembly. Considering that this locus is more than 400,000 bp below Ty-2, it should not be a significant problem to combine the two genes in cis, although a directed effort will be needed.

Due to the suppression of recombination and skewing of allele frequencies, it is difficult to further delimit Ty-2 into a smaller region in order to pinpoint the candidate. Therefore, we have performed gene expression and VIGS experiments in order to predict potential candidates for Ty-2. There are 35 genes predicted in the target region; among these are genes involved in plant defense mechanisms or signaling pathways against viruses or other pathogens, such as ABC transporters, kinases, receptor-like proteins or cytochrome P450 (Krattinger et al. 2009; Tena et al. 2011; Larkan et al. 2013; Howe et al. 2000). In order to more accurately determine the potential candidates for Ty-2, we have performed gene expression and VIGS experiments. Of the 35 genes predicted in the target region, 25 were checked for expression and 4 out of these showed to be differentially expressed in the Ty-2 line upon TYLCV infection. These genes encode for an elongation factor 1-alfa, a R3a-like protein, a DNA-directed RNA polymerase II, and a transcription factor of the MADS-box family.

Host translation elongation factors are involved in the multiplication of viruses in multiple organisms (Lai 1998). Elongation factor 1-alpha has been found to interact with several viral proteins (Buck 1999; Thivierge et al. 2008) and recently recorded in a metabolite profile of a TYLCV resistant line upon TYLCV infection (Moshe et al. 2012). Matsuda and Dreher (2004) suggested EF1-alpha to enhance the translation of Turnip yellow mosaic virus RNA; therefore, decreased amounts of gene products might prevent or interfere with viral replication, thus leading to resistance. We observed a reduced expression of the EF-1 alpha on Ty-2-resistant plants, before and after TYLCV infection. However, silencing this gene led to the collapse of the plants, preventing us to elucidate its implication on the Ty-2-mediated resistance.

The most interesting altered phenotype was shown by silencing Solyc11g069910.1, the gene encoding a DNA-directed RNA polymerase II (Pol II). DNA-dependent RNA polymerases mediate epigenetic silencing as a resistance mechanism against geminiviruses. DNA-dependent RNA polymerases IV and V (and indirectly Pol II) are involved in the RNA-directed DNA methylation (RdDM) process, which can lead to transcriptional silencing, not only of viral invading DNA but also of host nuclear genes, transposons, and repetitive elements (Carr et al. 2010; Haag and Pikaard 2011). It might be possible that this gene is targeted by the virus, interfering with the RdDM process and causing epigenetic changes in the host and/or viral DNA, consequently producing TYLCV-like symptoms, e.g., small and curling leaves of stunting plants.

The MADS-box family is described to mainly play fundamental roles in plant development (Kaufmann et al. 2009), but it is also involved in various stress-related processes (Lee et al. 2008). Silencing Solyc11g069770.1, a transcription factor MADS-box, led to yellowish leaves. Although it is speculative, our results may suggest that TYLCV suppresses the expression of the transcription factor MADS-box leading to yellowish leaves.

In the Ty-2 region, three genes are predicted to encode CC-NBS-LRR, NBS-LRR, and NBS resistance proteins. Additionally, two genes coding for a disease resistance R3a-like protein (fragment) and disease resistance R3a-like protein are predicted and each contains the NB-ARC domain. Ty-2 is a dominant resistance gene, and to date, most of the cloned dominant resistance genes encode proteins containing the conserved NB-ARC domain, making these genes likely candidates. However, silencing both R3a-like homologs did not compromise the resistance conferred by Ty-2, suggesting that this gene may not belong to a NBS gene family.

Ty-2 has shown complete dominance for TYLCV resistance (Ji et al. 2009a), but has been ineffective against some TYLCV strains and against bipartite begomoviruses (Mejía et al. 2005). The Ty-3 locus has
generally shown less dominance, but a wider range of resistance against TYLCV strains and bipartite begomoviruses (Ji et al. 2007a). Hybrids with the heterozygous combination of both genes may prove to be effective and durable against a wide array of begomoviruses. Although Ty-2 alone provided no resistance to bipartite begomoviruses in Guatemala, pyramiding Ty-2 and Ty-3 together provided a higher level of resistance than Ty-3 alone (Mejía et al. 2010). Vidavski (2007) and Vidavski et al. (2008) also showed that combining different begomovirus resistance genes can have unanticipated synergistic effects, and the combination of Ty-2 with other genes should be tested further in this regard. Tightly linked PCR markers can be used to effectively tag these TYLCV resistance genes, and expedite the process of pyramiding these resistance genes of various origins into a single elite genotype, thus improving the resistance to TYLCV as well as broadening the resistance against a wider range of begomoviruses.
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