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A virocentric perspective on the evolution of life
Eugene V Koonin¹ and Valerian V Dolja²

Viruses and/or virus-like selfish elements are associated with all cellular life forms and are the most abundant biological entities on Earth, with the number of virus particles in many environments exceeding the number of cells by one to two orders of magnitude. The genetic diversity of viruses is commensurately enormous and might substantially exceed the diversity of cellular organisms. Unlike cellular organisms with their uniform replication-expression scheme, viruses possess either RNA or DNA genomes and exploit all conceivable replication-expression strategies. Although viruses extensively exchange genes with their hosts, there exists a set of viral hallmark genes that are shared by extremely diverse groups of viruses to the exclusion of cellular life forms. Coevolution of viruses and host defense systems is a key aspect in the evolution of both viruses and cells, and viral genes are often recruited for cellular functions. Together with the fundamental inevitability of the emergence of genomic parasites in any evolving replicator system, these multiple lines of evidence reveal the central role of viruses in the entire evolution of life.
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Introduction
Viruses were discovered at the end of the 19th century as peculiar plant and animal pathogens that were small enough to pass bacterial filters. Since then, the developments in virology and genomics have completely changed the concept of viruses. We now realize that viruses are ubiquitous and enormously abundant, in terms of both infecting all known cellular life forms and being present in all explored environments. Actually, viruses appear to be the most abundant biological entities on the planet, substantially outnumbering cells in most well-studied habitats [1,2,³]. The genetic diversity of viruses is enormous as well: the majority of distinct genes in the biosphere seem to reside in viral genomes [4]. Beyond their quantitative dominance, virus genome sizes, gene repertoires and particle sizes and shapes are extremely variable. Moreover, viruses exploit all theoretically conceivable strategies of genome replication and expression, in contrast to the uniform replication-expression cycle of cellular life forms.

The simple, size-based distinction between viruses and cells is gone: the discovery of giant viruses has overturned the original virus definition because these viruses are bigger than many bacteria [5]. Commensurately, the genome size and complexity of the giant viruses overlap the genomic ranges of cellular life forms and exceed those of numerous parasitic bacteria [6].

Virus–host interaction is a theme that permeates the entire course of the evolution of life. This interaction is commonly pictured as an arms race in which the hosts constantly evolve new means of defense while viruses perpetually evolve to evade [7]. Although this scenario captures important aspects of virus–host coevolution, there is more to the story. Not only do viruses hijack host genes for counter-defense and other functions, but cellular hosts as well routinely recruit viral genes for diverse roles. Viruses that evolve at high rates might comprise the principal source of novel genes in the biosphere [8]. In particular, genetic material coming from virus-like retro-transcribing elements apparently dominates vertebrate and plant genomes [9,10].

Here we explore the consilience of diverse lines of evidence that reveal essential roles played by viruses and virus-like selfish elements in the evolution of life and propound the ‘virocentric’ view of life’s evolution.

Viruses as nature’s genomic laboratory
All cellular life forms employ a single, strictly defined strategy for genome replication and expression whereby double-stranded (ds)DNA is the replicating form of the genome that is transcribed into single-stranded (ss)RNA some of which is then translated to produce proteins. The only variation on this theme is occasional reverse transcription of RNA that is typically non-essential for genome replication, an important exception being the telomere synthesis in eukaryotes. In a sharp contrast, viruses exploit effectively all possible combinations of DNA and RNA interconversions: the replicating genome that is incorporated into virions can be represented by either RNA or DNA, and the replication-expression cycles of RNA viruses can include a DNA intermediate and vice versa (Figure 1) [11,12]. Furthermore, the selfish elements with the smallest known genomes, the viroids,
The diversity of the virus world. Seven major classes of viruses with distinct genome replication/expression cycles are shown at the left; representative examples of the corresponding genome sizes and architectures are shown at the right approximately to scale indicated in red. Abbreviations: TI, translation; Tr, transcription; R, replication; RT, reverse transcription; RCR, rolling-circle replication; kb, kilobase. En, encapsidation; RdRp, RNA-dependent RNA polymerase; NSP, non-structural proteins; VP, virion proteins; RCRE, rolling-circle replication endonuclease. Designations: (+), (-), (±), positive-strand, negative-strand, and double-stranded nucleic acids, respectively. Virus acronyms: MS2, bacteriophage MS2; Poliov, Poliomyelitis virus; CPMV, Cowpea mosaic virus; HCV, Hepatitis C virus; BSMV, Barley stripe mosaic virus; BYV, Beet yellow virus; SARS CoV, SARS Coronavirus; Phi6, bacteriophage phi 5; ScV L-A, Saccharomyces cerevisiae virus L-A; CTFV, Colorado tick fever virus; BDV, Borna disease virus; TSWV, Tomato spotted wilt virus; EboV, Ebola virus; ScoTy3V1, Saccharomyces cerevisiae Ty virus 1; DmeCopV1, Drosophila melanogaster Copia virus 1; WDSV, Walleye dermal sarcoma virus; SFV, Simian foamy virus; HIV1, Human immunodeficiency virus 1; HBV, Hepatitis B virus; CaMV, Cauliflower mosaic virus; PCV1, Porcine circovirus 1; ACV, African cassava mosaic virus; MVM, Minute virus of mice; M13, bacteriophage M13; ACSV, Aeropyrum coil-shaped virus; SCSV, Subterranean clover stunt virus; ATV, Acidianus two-tailed virus; WSSV, White spot syndrome virus; PboCV1, Paramecium bursaria Chlorella virus 1; HHV6, Human herpesvirus 6.

are autonomously replicating small non-coding RNAs [13].

In addition to the diversity of the replication-expression strategies, viruses display the complete repertoire of single-stranded and double-stranded, linear and circular RNA and DNA, and a distinct type of molecules, protein-linked DNA and RNA, as well as monopartite and multipartite genomes (Figure 1).

Viruses are generally perceived as miniscule entities with small genomes. Although on average, this is true
compared to cellular life forms, the range of viral genome sizes spans three orders of magnitude (Figure 1), which is similar to the range of genome sizes of cellular life forms [14]. Moreover, the giant viruses clearly fall within the genome size range of prokaryotes. The virus genome sizes is tightly linked to the genome structure and replication-expression strategy: the genomes that are larger than ~30 kb always consist of dsDNA, conceivably due to physical constraints on genome stability and topological malleability. Below this apparent limit, the genome ranges associated with all genomic strategies strongly overlap (Figure 1).

Thus, viruses exhaustively explore the space of genome structures and strategies. This exploration is feasible because viruses, being the ultimate parasites, possess small genomes that can be efficiently replicated as all forms of nucleic acids. Virus replication-expression cycles include the simplest scheme that is conceivable in the RNA-protein world (positive-strand RNA viruses use the same molecule for replication and translation), the transition from RNA to DNA genomes (reverse-transcribing viruses and elements), and the ‘invention’ of large dsDNA genomes. Therefore, it is tempting to think of the virus world as the primordial genomic ‘laboratory’, perhaps the direct descendant of a pre-cellular stage of evolution [12]. However, the emergence of different classes of viruses through the ‘escape’ of different forms of host nucleic acids is conceptually plausible as well. After discussing the global ecology of viruses, we harness argument against the escape hypothesis.

Global ecology of viruses: a virus-dominated biosphere

With the exception of some intracellular bacterial parasites, viruses and/or diverse mobile elements are associated with all cellular life forms. Even in organisms that for a long time have been considered virus-free, focused efforts typically result in virus isolation, recent cases in point being the identification of RNA viruses in nematodes [15*] and ssDNA viruses in copepods [16*]. In organisms that so far evaded such effort, multiple virus-related, genome-integrated mobile elements are detectable.

Over the last decade, studies of the distinct environmental viromes produced a completely unexpected conclusion: viruses are the most abundant biological entities on earth. This conclusion was substantiated by direct counting of virus particles and cells in marine samples (the environment harboring most of the Earth’s biomass). These analyses consistently detect a 10–100 excess of virus particles over cells [3*,17].

Even these striking numbers appear to be underestimates because the existing particle counting techniques only apply to large dsDNA viruses, primarily bacteriophages.

An alternative method of nucleic acids quantification in the viral particle fraction has shown that small ssDNA and RNA viruses are at least as abundant as the dsDNA viruses [18*]. Thus, the water in the ocean is literally a virus solution with up to $10^7$ virions/ml. Similar studies performed in soil or animal guts yielded comparable results establishing viruses as the most common biological objects on the planet [2*,19,20*]. Accordingly, viruses are a major ecological and geochemical force [21,22]. Indeed, virus killing of marine bacteria and protists largely determines the composition of the biota, provides a major source of organic matter for consumption by heterotrophic organisms, and also defines the formation of marine sediments through the deposition of skeletons of killed plankton organisms such as foraminifera and diatoms.

The genetic diversity of viruses is no less startling than their physical abundance. In each sequenced virome, the great majority of the sequences represent ‘dark matter’, that is, have no detectable homologs in the current databases, and there is no sign of saturation as sequencing progresses [4,23,24]. Although complete viral genomes typically contain a greater fraction of genes with homologs than viromes, these genomes also encompass numerous ORFans, that is, genes that are restricted to a narrow virus group [25]. Analysis of the phylogenetic spread of bacteriophage genes using the Phage Orthologous Groups (POGs) has shown that an overwhelming majority of the POGs include a small number of phages and viruses of archaea, whereas none are represented in the majority of the analyzed genomes (Figure 2) [26*]. This seemingly unlimited diversity of virus genes contrasts the case of cellular organisms that include a substantial core of common genes [27]. Although precise estimates of the total

The size distribution of clusters of orthologous genes from prokaryotic viruses: the lack of a conserved core. POGs, Phage Orthologous Groups. Altogether, 487 genomes of bacteriophages and viruses of archaea were analyzed. Modified, with permission, from Ref. [26*].
gene pools of viruses and cellular organisms require better sampling and more sophisticated models of evolution than those currently available, it is almost certain that a majority of the distinct genes in the biosphere reside in viral genomes. Thus, viruses are likely to represent the principal reservoir of genetic diversity on earth.

Viral hallmark genes and the spatial-temporal continuity of the virus world

There is no evidence of monophyly of all viruses in the traditional sense. Not a single gene is shared by all viruses, and as pointed out above, most viral genes are not wide spread and are poorly conserved. Given this background, it is all the more remarkable that a small set of genes are shared by a wide range of viruses that covers the entire diversity of genome strategies as well as the entire diversity of hosts (Figure 3) [12]. These ‘viral hallmark genes’ encode the essential viral functions including the capsid protein of icosahedral viruses, RNA and DNA polymerases, distinct helicases involved in genome replication, integrases that catalyze insertion of viral DNA into host genomes, and several others (Figure 3). Some of the smallest virus genomes (e.g. ssDNA paroviruses or positive-strand RNA tombus-viruses) consist mostly of the hallmark genes whereas in the largest viruses these genes comprise a minority. Moreover, some bacteriophages and archaeal viruses lack hallmark genes [12,28], in some cases due to identifiable substitutions with functionally similar host-derived genes.

The key observation on the viral hallmark genes is that they possess only distant homologs in cellular life forms (whenever close homologs of these genes are detected, they appear to be of viral origin) and yet form a network that connects almost the entire virus world (Figure 3). The parsimonious explanation of these findings appears to be that the hallmark genes became isolated from the cellular genomes at the earliest stages of evolution and ever since comprised the framework of the temporally and spatially continuous, expanding virus world. By contrast, the confinement of the hallmark genes to the virus
world is hardly compatible with the escaped genes scenario. Furthermore, this 'ancient virus world' hypothesis [12] implies the primordial origin of diverse viral replication-expression strategies as opposed to the derivation of these strategies from elements of cellular information processing systems. Specifically, positive-strand RNA, retrotranscribing, ssDNA and dsDNA virus-like elements all can be inferred to have evolved within the primordial gene pool. The dsRNA and negative-strand RNA viruses that carry their replication machinery within the virions are likely to have evolved later. In particular, negative-strand RNA viruses probably originated in animals, with a subsequent horizontal transfer to plant hosts [29].

The implications of this conclusion for the early evolution of life are far-reaching. Under this scenario, positive-strand RNA viruses are indeed direct descendants of the primordial RNA-protein world whereas the reverse-transcribing elements provide the means for the transition to the DNA world. The pre-cellular stage of evolution can be envisaged as a pool of small, virus-like genetic elements in which all the genomic strategies evolved and the separation between viruses and cellular life forms was precipitated by the gradual accretion of small dsDNA elements into large molecules (Figure 4) (the nature of the primordial compartmentalization is beyond the scope of this article; several models have been developed). The capsids might have evolved at this stage of evolution and

Figure 4

The model of the evolution of all extant life forms (top) from a virus-like primordial state (bottom).
would protect genomes of the primordial genetic elements and facilitate their dissemination [12]. This model goes beyond the notion that viruses coexisted with cells at all stages of evolution by suggesting that evolution of life actually started with a virus-like stage, with the advent of modern-type cells being a comparatively late event [30].

**Viruses and non-encapsidating selfish elements: not just capsid-encoding organisms**

Viruses are traditionally conceived of as virions, particles with distinct, usually symmetrical architecture. The simplest virions are protein capsids that encase genomes. It has been argued that the fundamental divide among life forms lies between viruses, the capsid-encoding organisms, and cellular, ribosome-encoding organisms [31]. A related concept of the capsid as the viral ‘self’ is compatible with the extremely broad presence of the icosahedral virus capsid protein (the jelly roll fold) [32] in diverse viruses infecting bacteria, archaea, and eukaryotes although numerous distinct capsid proteins exist as well [33]. Given the autonomy, vastness and diversity of the virus world, and its connectedness through the hallmark genes, the placement of the primary divide among life forms between viruses and cells appears unassailable. However, this division fails to give justice to the virus world that by no means is limited to typical capsid-encoding viruses. On the contrary, each of the major classes of viruses shows clear evolutionary connections to capsid-less genetic elements that are established through shared hallmark genes (and some additional genes) (Figures 3 and 4).

Apparently, evolution proceeded in both directions, from capsid-less elements to viruses and vice versa, on multiple occasions. The most prominent case of the former direction includes reverse-transcribing elements and viruses. In this class, bona fide infectious viruses (animal retroviruses and hepatitisviruses, and plant pararetroviruses) represent only one of the several branches that were identified by phylogenetic analysis of the reverse transcriptases, the signature gene for this class [34]. Moreover, all prokaryotic reverse-transcribing elements are capsid-less, clearly indicating that retroviruses are derived forms. In addition, through the integrase, these elements are linked to the ubiquitous dsDNA transposons all of which are capsid-less. The rolling circle replicating ssDNA viruses show a clear-cut relationship with numerous plasmids that replicate via the same mechanism and with which they share two hallmark genes [35]. In the case of plant geminiviruses, evidence has been presented in support of a particular direction of evolution: these viruses appear to have emerged via recombination between a bacterial plasmid and a plant RNA virus [36]. The opposite direction of evolution is exemplified by the positive-strand RNA viruses that gave rise to at least three distinct groups of capsid-less RNA elements, the narnavirus, the endornaviruses and the hypoviruses [37].

Thus, the virus world is not limited to ‘capsid-encoding organisms’ but rather encompasses a panoply of diverse selfish genetic elements some of which do not possess a capsid. In full prudence, it would have been more appropriate to speak of the ‘world of diverse selfish genetic elements’ but for the sake of brevity and for historical reasons as well, we stick with the original ‘virus world’ designation [12]. Within the framework of the virus-like model of the primordial stage of life’s evolution, capsid-less genetic elements most likely those capsid-encoding ones (Figure 4).

**The incessant virus–host arms race and its role in cellular evolution**

The history of life is a story of coevolution of selfish genetic elements and their cellular hosts. This coevolution is often described as arms race [7], and undoubtedly, this description reflects a key aspect of the interaction between the virus world and the cellular life forms. Indeed, all cellular organisms, with the exception of the most degraded intracellular parasite bacteria, possess multilayered systems of antivirus defense, or more broadly defined, defense against invasion of foreign genetic material. Most if not all cellular organisms employ multiple principles of defense that include firstly, innate immunity, secondly, adaptive immunity, and thirdly, programmed cell death (induction of dormancy). Until recently, the two latter systems were considered eukaryotic innovations. However, the discovery of the prokaryotic system of heritable adaptive immunity, CRISPR-Cas (Clustered Regularly Interspaced Short Palindromic Repeats [CRISPR] and CRISPR-Associated genes) [38,39] and the detailed characterization of the toxin–antitoxin (TA) systems that mediate cell death or induce dormancy [40,41] have drastically transformed the entire concept of the evolution of antivirus defense. These findings show that all three major branches of antivirus defense are intrinsic to the survival of all cellular life forms and most likely emerged at the earliest stages of evolution (although innovation and diversification of these systems in multicellular eukaryotes are certainly spectacular). Moreover, comparative analysis of prokaryotic genomic loci encoding defense systems strongly suggests that the three branches of defense intimately interact, with cells ‘making decisions’ to proceed either via the route of active immune response or via the route of damage control by programmed cell death, depending on the genotoxic stress level [42,43]. Animal cells apparently face the same choices [44,45].

Genes encoding defense system components occupy up to 10% of prokaryotic genomes [43,44], and even greater fractions of the eukaryotic protein-coding gene complements. Importantly, the defense genes themselves,
especially in prokaryotes, show remarkable mobility and often possess properties of selfish genetic elements. The prokaryotic TA systems are typically encoded in small, compact operons that are transferred on plasmids and show addictive properties, that is, the toxin kills cells that lack the TA genes [46]. Restriction-modification systems, the principal innate immunity machinery in prokaryotes, similarly show mobile, selfish behavior [47]. Bacterial phage-defense islands, such as Staphylococcal pathogenicity islands (SaPIs), engage in a particularly striking form of selfish behavior. These defective prophage-like elements are excised from bacterial chromosomes, circularized and induced to replicate by helper phage infection with which they then interfere [48**,49**].

The arms race certainly does not end with the host antivirus response: viruses have evolved a great variety of counter-defense measures that go far beyond simple evasion of the host defenses through fast mutation. Large viruses (e.g. dsDNA bacteriophages or animal poxviruses) encode multiple proteins that counteract immunity mechanisms or prevent programmed cell death [50,51]. The repertoire of viral counter-defense proteins is constantly growing, and in large viruses, such genes appear to comprise the majority [52]. The study of the counter-defense, especially in viruses of prokaryotes, is only starting in earnest, and undoubtedly, numerous novel mechanisms that target specific defense systems of the host remain to be discovered [53*,54**]. Remarkably, some bacteriophages incorporate host CRISPR-Cas systems and deploy them against other host phage-defense islands [55**]. Even small viruses often encode dedicated counter-defense genes, such as RNA interference suppressors that were identified in numerous plant RNA viruses [56,57], whereas other small viruses encode dual function ‘security proteins’ that counteract programmed cell death [58]. Thus, the coevolution of multiple layers of defense and counter-defense is imminent to virus–host interaction and hence to life itself.

The arms race is not limited to virus-cell systems. Numerous viruses that parasitize other viruses have been discovered, and giant viruses host complex mobilomes that include small viruses (known as virophages), plasmid-like elements, transposons, and self-splicing introns [59,60**,61*].

A major consequence of the evolution of antivirus mechanisms is the subsequent recruitment of the respective genes for distinct cellular functions. In both prokaryotes and eukaryotes, such functions involve DNA repair that, similar to defense, employs various nucleases and helicases. Another striking example is the recruitment of CRISPR-Cas for control of bacterial gene expression [62**]. The extent of such conversion of defense systems in prokaryotes remains to be elucidated. In eukaryotes, conversion has taken truly dramatic forms. The quintessential eukaryotic regulation system, RNA interference, is thought to have evolved from an ancestral system of defense against RNA viruses [63]. Some of the key nucleases involved in RNA processing and degradation in eukaryotes evolved from prokaryotic toxin nucleases [64**,65**]. Most of the proteins involved in DNA and protein (above all, histone) modification and chromatin remodeling in eukaryotes apparently evolved from prokaryotic ancestors that are involved in antivirus defense [66*]. Thus, the entire phenomenon of epigenetic inheritance that is central to the eukaryotic life appears to be a derivative of antivirus defense systems. Moreover, under a plausible evolutionary scenario, the nucleus itself evolved as a defense device against prokaryotic self-splicing introns that invaded the protoeukaryote genome to later give rise to spliceosomal introns [67,68]. In the same vein, the mechanism of programmed cell death that is essential for normal development in plants and animals [69] originally evolved as a distinct strategy of antivirus defense (see above) that already implies a primitive form of cell differentiation. As generalized by Aravind and colleagues [64**], “biological conflict systems served as evolutionary ‘nurseries’ for innovations in the protein world”, and such innovations were central to major evolutionary transitions, in particular eukaryogenesis.

**Virus–host coevolution: extensive gene exchange and multifaceted cooperation**

With all its intricacy and major biological consequences, the arms race is but one aspect of virus–host coevolution. The second side of the coevolution involves cooperation whereby viruses contribute to cellular functions whereas cellular genes are picked up by viruses and employed for counter-defense and other functions. Both processes are inherent to all virus–host systems. Although the virus world maintained its distinctness from the world of cellular organisms throughout the course of the evolution, the genetic exchange between the two parts of the biosphere always was extensive and highly consequential.

For temperate bacteriophages, genome integration into bacterial (and also archaeal) chromosomes is a regular phase of the life style and ‘domestication’ of phage genes accompanied by recruitment for various cellular functions appears to be a regular evolutionary process. One practically important phenomenon that critically depends on phage gene utilization is the evolution of bacterial pathogenicity [70,71*].

Prophages routinely capture ‘normal’ bacterial genes and thus serve as vehicles for transduction, a major route of horizontal gene transfer among prokaryotes. A striking case in point is the phage-mediated transfer of photosystems among Cyanobacteria [72*]. Moreover, thanks to their fast evolution, phages provide the perfect media for
functional innovation. Indeed, as pointed out above (see Figure 2), estimated sizes of prokaryotic and phage pangenomes suggest that phages encompass the richest gene pool on earth and conceivably provide the principal reservoir of genetic novelty that is accessible to prokaryotes.

A striking case of prophage domestication is the evolution of gene transfer agents (GTAs), which are defective prophages that are present in diverse bacteria and archaea and encapsidate random fragments of prokaryotic chromosomes rather than the phage genome itself [73**]. The GTAs infect a broad range of prokaryotes and mediate gene transfer. The GTAs appear to have evolved into dedicated vehicles for HGT and could represent a major, still under-appreciated factor of evolution in prokaryotes.

The contributions of viruses and other selfish elements in the evolution of eukaryotes might be even more momentous than in prokaryotes. Notably, the introns, an intrinsic feature of eukaryotic gene architecture and an essential source of protein diversity in complex eukaryotes, are recognized to originate from self-splicing Group II introns of prokaryotes that are reverse-transcribing selfish elements [74,75]. Moreover, the majority of the genomic DNA in many animals and plants (up to two-thirds in humans and 90% in maize) appears to derive from mobile elements, primarily retrotransposons [76,77*]. Although most of these elements are non-functional, some are inevitably recruited for various roles, in particular regulatory ones, and given the extreme abundance of retroelements, the overall contribution of such recruitment appears to be quite substantial [9,76]. Beyond these general trends, striking examples of key gene recruitment from selfish elements include the telomerase, an RT that is required from chromosome end replication in all eukaryotes and apparently was recruited from a bacterial Group II intron [34**,78], and syncytins, membrane proteins that are essential for placental development in mammals and were derived from retrovirus envelope glycoprotein-encoding genes [79*].

The recruitment of host genes by viruses is as common as the recruitment of viral genes by cellular organisms. Far beyond the direct involvement of host derived genes in counter-defense (see above), the acquired genes also contribute to essential viral functions such as replication or protein processing, in many cases displacing ancestral viral hallmark genes with analogous activities [80*].

The theoretical inevitability of the virus–host differentiation, competition and cooperation

Viruses and other selfish elements are not simply ubiquitous companions of cellular life forms. Mathematical modeling of evolution shows that the emergence of genomic parasites is a fundamental property of any evolving replicator system that exceeds a certain threshold of minimal complexity [81]. In game theoretical terms, cheaters inevitably evolve as soon as there is a chance to cheat, that is, when functions evolve that can be utilized for replication in trans, such as a replicase [82,83]. The extant analogies include the evolution of defective interfering particles that parasitize on many viruses after shedding the capsid protein genes and scavenging capsids from the host virus [84], and in vitro evolution experiments in which minimal viral genomes evolve that may not encode any proteins at all [85]. Thus, the evolution of any replicator system that exceeds minimal complexity is a story of host–parasite arms race and cooperation.

Such systems have been extensively studied in theoretical ecology and distinct evolutionary regimes and outcomes have been discovered. In a simple, unstructured host–parasite system, the inevitable outcome is stochastic extinction of both viruses and hosts. However, compartmentalization and, perhaps paradoxically, evolution of defense mechanisms stabilize the coevolving system as a whole [83]. Accordingly, cellular life forms evolved elaborate compartmentalization along with multiple defense strategies, embarking on the perennial arms race. Under this scenario, virus-like genomic parasites and the onset of the arms race far antedate the advent of modern-type cells and were key factors in the emergence of the cellular organization of life. Moreover, the first genomic parasites that consisted of RNA might have been the driving force behind the origin of DNA genomes because mathematical modeling suggests that dedicated information storage devices such as DNA can stabilize coevolution in a host–parasite system [86*].

The virocentric concept of the evolution of life

In the preceding sections we point out that viruses and virus-like selfish elements:

1. Parasitize on all cellular life forms.
2. Represent the most physically abundant and genetically diverse biological entities on Earth.
3. Exploit all conceivable strategies of genome replication and expression in contrast with the single, universal strategy employed by cellular life forms.
4. Form a coherent ‘virus world’ that is held together by a small set of virus hallmark genes that encode essential functions in a vast variety of viruses.
5. Co-evolve with cellular hosts in an extremely complex process that combines an incessant arms race with various forms of cooperation.

Taken together, these features of the virus world translate into a ‘virocentric’ view of the history of life under which virus–host coevolution is the principal factor (or in the very least, one of the key factors) that defines the course
of evolution of both cells and viruses. This coevolution in all likelihood has started within primitive replicator systems and was essential for the major evolutionary transitions such as the emergence of DNA genomes, cellular organization and later the eukaryotic cell.

Under the virocentric concept, the natural classification of life forms would necessarily include the primary divide between cells and selfish elements (viruses and capsid-less elements as well), the major classes of viruses being comparable in status with the three domains of cellular life (Figure 5) [87].
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