Origin and Evolution of Retinoid Isomerization Machinery in Vertebrate Visual Cycle: Hint from Jawless Vertebrates
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Abstract

In order to maintain visual sensitivity at all light levels, the vertebrate eye possesses a mechanism to regenerate the visual pigment chromophore 11-cis retinal in the dark enzymatically, unlike in all other taxa, which rely on photoisomerization. This mechanism is termed the visual cycle and is localized to the retinal pigment epithelium (RPE), a support layer of the neural retina. Speculation has long revolved around whether more primitive chordates, such as tunicates and cephalochordates, anticipated this feature. The two key enzymes of the visual cycle are RPE65, the visual cycle all-trans retinyl ester isomerohydrolase, and lecithin:retinol acyltransferase (LRAT), which generates RPE65's substrate. We hypothesized that the origin of the vertebrate visual cycle is directly connected to an ancestral carotenoid oxygenase acquiring a new retinyl ester isomerohydrolase functionality. Our phylogenetic analyses of the RPE65/BCMO and N1pC/P60 (LRAT) superfamilies show that neither RPE65 nor LRAT orthologs occur in tunicates (Ciona) or cephalochordates (Branchiochstoma), but occur in Petromyzon marinus (Sea Lamprey), a jawless vertebrate. The closest homologs to RPE65 in Ciona and Branchiochstoma lacked predicted functionally diverged residues found in all authentic RPE65s, but lamprey RPE65 contained all of them. We cloned RPE65 and LRATb cDNAs from lamprey RPE and demonstrated appropriate enzymatic activities. We show that Ciona ß-carotene monoxygenase a (BCMOa) (previously annotated as an RPE65) has carotenoid oxygenase cleavage activity but not RPE65 activity. We verified the presence of RPE65 in lamprey RPE by immunofluorescence microscopy, immunoblot and mass spectrometry. On the basis of these data we conclude that the crucial transition from the typical carotenoid double bond cleavage functionality (BCMO) to the isomerohydrolase functionality (RPE65), coupled with the origin of LRAT, occurred subsequent to divergence of the more primitive chordates (tunicates, etc.) in the last common ancestor of the jawless and jawed vertebrates.

Introduction

Vertebrate vision depends on light-dependent isomerization of a chromophore (11-cis retinal) bound to the visual pigment opsin, a family of G-protein-coupled receptor (GPCR) proteins, triggering the phototransduction cascade, and resulting in neural signals being sent to the brain. These events are followed by the dissociation of the isomerized chromophore (all-trans retinal) from opsin. To regenerate the visual pigment chromophore, a process of continuous enzymatic isomerization, termed the visual cycle, is employed (for review see [1,2]). In addition to the RPE-based “classical” visual cycle under consideration here, physiological evidence for a cone photoreceptor-specific visual cycle centered in the Müller glia cells has been accumulating (for review see [2]). However this cone-specific cycle has not been characterized at the molecular level, so its evolutionary origins cannot be addressed at the present time.

While the light-dependent reaction occurs in the photoreceptor cells, the enzymatic trans-to-cis re-isomerization occurs in the cells of the RPE, a monolayer epithelium adjacent to and partly enclosing the photoreceptor cells. In brief, the released all-trans retinal is reduced to 11-cis retinal by retinol dehydrogenase 5 (RDH5) in conjunction with CRALBP, an 11-cis retinol-specific binding protein. The resultant 11-cis retinal is then returned to the photoreceptors to regenerate opsin. The proteins in the visual cycle of mammals and
other higher vertebrates are mostly known and characterized. RPE65 acts as the key retinoid isomerohydrolase in the visual cycle [5,6,7]; mutations in this enzyme lead to retinal disease (Leber congenital amaurosis 2 (LCA2) and retinitis pigmentosa) resulting in blindness [8,9]. LRAT is the obligatory source for all-trans retinyl esters, as its deletion in mouse [10] phenocopies the deletion of RPE65 [11].

Though it appears to be a conserved process in the vertebrate retina, the RPE-based visual cycle has not been established in lamprey, one of the most primitive extant vertebrates. Furthermore, the phylogenetic origin of the vertebrate visual cycle is still unclear. Recently, it was proposed that a prototype of the vertebrate visual cycle is operational in the tunicate Ciona intestinalis [12] when Tsuda and coworkers identified CRALBP, BCMO1, and opsin orthologs in Ciona intestinalis larva and a presumed RPE65 ortholog in adult animals [13]. Though these authors did not test for enzymatic activity of this presumed RPE65 ortholog, they later reported in a review article [14] that they could not detect such activity, though no data was presented. BCMO1 orthologs are also found in arthropods [15] and are essential for chromophore production [16], but this alone does not indicate a vertebrate visual cycle. While a CRALBP-like homolog is found in the Drosophila genome [17], its precise function and whether it can actually bind 11-cis retinal has not been determined. Mammalian RPE65 activity was demonstrated only after 12 years of thorough biochemical work and so the absence of activity for presumptive Ciona RPE65 in itself may not serve as evidence of different function. However, in neither case did they address whether LRAT was present or not. RPE65 is the only known member of the carotenoid oxygenase family to use retinyl ester instead of a carotenoid as substrate. Therefore, it is reasonable to hypothesize that an enzyme that could reliably provide this novel substrate for RPE65 would appear contemporaneously in evolution with an ancestral RPE65 to facilitate this new enzymatic function for a carotenoid oxygenase. To clarify these questions we performed phylogenetic analysis for both the RPE65 and the LRAT families. We found that a gene for an LRAT ortholog is not present in the curated genomes of either Ciona intestinalis or the cephalochordate Branchiostoma floridae. These results for non-vertebrate chordates are consistent with the in silico studies of Albalat [18]. However, we have extended these studies of Albalat [18] to provide experimental data for functions of these proteins. The first chordate LRAT orthologs we found were in the sea lamprey Petromyzon marinus (which has two copies of LRAT-LRATa and LRATb- as does the teleost Danio). We confirmed our findings with determination of the enzymatic activity of the recombinant proteins and immunofluorescence studies of RPE65 in RPE, showing that functional lamprey LRATb and RPE65 are present in lamprey RPE. We also demonstrated that Ciona BCMOa (annotated as RPE65 in the Ciona draft genome) has carotenoid oxygenase cleavage activity, but no discernable RPE65 activity, rendering unlikely the premise that a vertebrate visual cycle arose before the last common ancestor of the jawless and jawed vertebrates.

Results

Phylogenetic Analysis of the RPE65/BCMO Superfamily

A maximum likelihood (ML) phylogenetic tree of the RPE65/BCMO superfamily is shown in Figure 1. The topologies of ML, NJ (neighbor-joining), MP (maximum parsimony) and ME (minimum evolution) trees are slightly different - however these differences do not affect the results and conclusions of the phylogenetic analysis (Figure S1). The ML tree is rooted using sea anemone (Nematostella vectensis) BCMO sequences (Figure 1). The Ciona BCMOb sequence forms a well-supported clade with the vertebrate BCMO1 sequences (the bootstrap value is 79, Figure 1). The Branchiostoma floridae (Cephalochordata) BCMOa and the Ciona intestinalis/Ciona savignyi BCMOa (Ci-RPE65) form a clade with the RPE65 family (Figure 1). However, the statistical support for this grouping is extremely low (the bootstrap value is 17). Furthermore, this clade is not observed in phylogenetic trees reconstructed using different methods (Figure S1). These results strongly suggest that this grouping is not reliable. This notion is consistent with the absence of this grouping in the RPE65/BCMO phylogenetic tree from the recent paper by Albalat [18]. As the vertebrate BCMO1 and BCMO2 families have numerous paralogs in fish genomes (Figure S1), species tree inferences and functional predictions are very complicated for these families. The phylogeny of the vertebrate RPE65 family follows the species tree with some deviations for fish-specific duplications (Figure S1), which suggests that all members of the family are true orthologs that perform the same function. This idea is further supported by experimental evidence for many vertebrate species, including the lamprey RPE65 (Figure 2a and Figure 2b). The RPE65 family is separated from the rest of the tree by an extremely long branch (the branch A, Figure 1). This branch suggests that an ancestor of RPE65 experienced relatively fast evolution compared to other parts of the tree. The length of this branch is almost two times longer than the branch leading to the lamprey RPE65 sequence (the branch B, Figure 1). The branch A corresponds to approximately 50 million years, whereas the branch B corresponds to 500 million years [19,20,21,22]. Thus the ancestor of RPE65 experienced ~10 time faster evolutionary rate compared to the slow evolutionary rates of the RPE65 family (Figure 1). Such obvious acceleration of evolutionary rates is expected for proteins that are in the process of gaining a new function [23,24,25]. Based on this hypothesis, deuterostome carotenoid oxygenase proteins outside the RPE65 family do not have isomerohydrolase function and are likely to retain the original oxygenase activity since we did not find any other internal branches that experienced such dramatic acceleration of evolution (Figure 1). Thus, although the Ciona intestinalis BCMOa was initially annotated as RPE65 and was predicted by sequence alignment to be an isomerohydrolase [14], this is not supported by the branch length/time estimates presented in this study, or by our experimental evidence (see below).

Analysis of functionally important residues using DIVERGE2 (see Materials and Methods) suggested 7 residues that were substantially functionally diverged from the BCMO2 clade (divergence value >3 at positions L49, Q64, A92, K332, A415, L437, N451). We chose the BCMO2 clade for analysis because pairwise alignment of mouse BCMO2, BCMO1 and RPE65 proteins revealed more identities for the RPE65/BCMO2 and BCMO1/BCMO2 pairs than for the BCMO1/RPE65 pair (Table S1), and so we believe the RPE65 and BCMO1 clades diverged from the BCMO2 clade. Out of these 7 residues, four are the closest neighbors of residues that are critically important for the function of RPE65 [7,26]. This result is not unexpected because it has been suggested by different authors that there is an evolutionary coupling between neighboring sites [27,28,29,30,31]. We estimated the significance of this observation using a list of 36 critical residues of RPE65 reported in the literature, taken from studies of pathogenic RPE65 single amino acid changes, and single amino acid changes significantly impairing RPE65 isomerohydrolase activity (more than 50%) in cell-based assay [7,26]. The probability that 4 out of 7 residues are located in the region +/-1 of 36 crucial residues is 0.012, according to Fisher exact test. This
result suggests that the majority of the predicted functionally diverged residues are responsible for the fine-tuning/adaptation of catalytic residues to the newly acquired function of an ancestral RPE65 enzyme. Analysis of the sequences annotated as the Ciona RPE65 homolog and the Ciona BCMO1 homolog (from genomes of Ciona savignyi and Ciona intestinalis) demonstrated the presence of only 1 out of 7 critical residues for RPE65 protein, similar to many deuterostome carotenoid oxygenases. The lamprey RPE65 sequence, on the other hand, contained all 7 conserved residues out of 7 predicted by DIVERGE2, while none of the carotenoid oxygenases of studied invertebrates or non-vertebrate chordates had more than 4 out of 7 critical residues. Albalat [18] chose 13 residues deemed functionally important based on the pathogenicity of mutations in these positions and conservation among RPE65 orthologs. He found that invertebrate and non-vertebrate chordate members of the RPE65/BCMO superfamily did not show conservation of these functionally important residues [18]. We found that Lamprey RPE65 had 11 out of these 13 residues with two changes in less conserved residues (N321E and T457H). Three of the 7 residues picked up by DIVERGE2 are the closest neighbors of functionally important residues picked by Abalat [18]. Taken together, these observations suggest that the Ciona homologs of carotenoid oxygenases have not diverged from pre-RPE65 members of the carotenoid oxygenase (RPE65/BCMO) superfamily, and thus Ciona does not possess its own RPE65.

Figure 1. Maximum likelihood phylogenetic tree of the RPE65/BCMO superfamily (the WAG substitution model, the complete deletion option, the uniform rate of substitutions option as implemented in the MEGA5 program). The numbers for the interior branches refer to the bootstrap values with 1,000 pseudoreplicates. Ciona_s stands for Ciona savignyi.
doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0049975.g001
Phylogenetic Analysis of the LRAT Superfamily

A maximum likelihood (ML) phylogenetic tree of the N1pC/P60/LRAT superfamily [32] is shown in the Figure 3. A few homologous sequences (SULT1-ST7, retinoic acid responder 3 and HRAS-like suppressor 3) were included in the LRAT alignment. We did not find any likely orthologs of LRAT in the Ciona genome; the closest LRAT homolog was the SULT1-ST7 protein, belonging to a different clade of N1pC/P60/LRAT superfamily (Figure 3). NJ, MP and ME trees are included in Figure S2. The tree topologies of ML, NJ and ME trees are not substantially different. The ML tree is rooted using the Ciona intestinalis and zebrafish SULT1-ST7 sequences (Figure 3).

Figure 2. Production of 11-cis retinol by Lamprey RPE65 in HEK293F cells. A: Normal-phase HPLC of retinol isomers from saponified retinyl esters isolated from HEK293F cells expressing Lamprey RPE65 and bovine LRAT (blue trace). B: Normal-phase HPLC of retinol isomers from saponified retinyl esters isolated from HEK293F cells expressing Lamprey RPE65 with Lamprey LRAT (red trace) or only Lamprey LRAT (green trace).

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0049975.g002
brate LRAT sequences form a clade (the bootstrap value is 30, a weak support; Figure 3) that is separated from the rest of the tree by a relatively long branch. However, a minimum evolution tree (Figure S2) suggested a much stronger support for the LRAT clade (highly significant support, 98%). This difference is likely to be due to relatively long branches leading to some LRAT homologs (e.g. SULT1-ST7). Such long branches are known to be a general problem for phylogenetic analysis, the so-called long branch attraction [33,34,35]. Although there are some deviations from the species tree (for example, lamprey LRATa/b forms a clade with four fish LRAT sequences, a poorly supported clade, Figure 3), the phylogeny of the vertebrate LRAT family in general follows the species tree (Figure 3). It is important to note that in all additional phylogenetic trees (ML, ME, NJ, and MP, Figure S2) lamprey LRAT sequences form an outgroup clade with respect to the other vertebrate LRAT sequences, and this grouping is consistent with the species tree. This suggests that many (if not all) members of the family are true orthologs performing the same (or very similar) function(s). This conclusion is further supported by experimental evidence for many vertebrate species including the lamprey LRAT (Figure 4b) and by phylogenetic trees reconstructed for the N1pC/P60/LRAT superfamily by Albalat [18].

Catalytic Activity of Ciona BCMOa (Ci-RPE65), Ciona BCMOb (Ci-BCMO1) and Lamprey BCMO2a and BCMO2b

To determine Ciona BCMOa [previously annotated as ciiRPE65 [13]] activity, we first cloned it into the pVITRO2/CRALBP vector [7] and transiently co-transfected with the pVITRO3/bovine LRAT/bovine RH5 construct into HEK293-F cells. No isomerohydrolase activity was detected (data not shown). However, when the Ciona BCMOa (ciRPE65) open reading frame was cloned into the bacterial pBadTOPO vector and expressed in lycopene- or β-carotene-accumulating E. coli, the amount of lycopene (data not shown) or β-carotene in induced cells decreased significantly compared to uninduced cells (Figure S3 A and B). Quantification of β-carotene or lycopene in induced cell culture transformed with Ciona BCMOa (ciBCMO) also demonstrated significant carotenoid cleavage activity (Figure S3 B). No retinal was detected in extracts of ciCMO or ciiRPE65 pointing to a BCMO2-like type of eccentric carotenoid cleavage (data not shown). This finding indicates that Ci-RPE65 possesses carotenoid oxygenase cleavage activity. Lamprey BCMO2a (Genbank/EBI accession number JX115002) and BCMO2b (Genbank/EBI accession number JX115003), having only 6 amino acid differences between them, were also subcloned into pBADtopo vector (Figure S3 A). Immunoblot analysis with the monoclonal His-tag antibody (Roche) (data not shown). No carotenoid oxygenase cleavage activity was detected for either (Figure S3 B).

Activity of lamprey RPE65 and lamprey LRATb in the HEK293-F Based Minimal Visual Cycle System

To study the biochemical functions of lamprey RPE65 and lamprey LRAT we extracted total RNA from frozen RPE of adult female lamprey (Petromyzon marinus). The lamprey genome contains one copy of RPE65 and two copies of LRAT. We amplified and cloned RPE65 (Genbank/EBI accession number JX115001) and LRATb (Genbank/EBI accession number JX115000) from RPE total RNA (we could not amplify LRATa from RPE, Figure 5 and 6). Activity of lamprey RPE65 was assayed in the HEK293-F cell based minimal visual cycle assay as described previously [7]. Cells transfected with lamprey RPE65 and the bovine LRAT when treated with all-cis retinol produced 11-cis retinol (Figure 2a). Cells transfected with lamprey RPE65 and lamprey LRATb were also able to produce 11-cis retinol, however cells transfected only with lamprey LRATb did not produce 11-cis retinol (Figure 2b). The Petromyzon LRATb protein contains a very interesting polyglycine tract (aa 160–166: 7 Gly in a row) and the potential active site. As the polyGly tract raised a question about the functionality of this protein, we modeled lamprey LRATa and LRATb on the H-REV107 crystal N-terminal structure (2KYT). The quality of the models obtained is comparable: QMEAN4 score is 0.277 for LRATa and 0.242 for LRATb. Thus it seems that the polyglycine tract does not interfere with the catalytic active site (Figure 4a).

Diacylglycerol acyltransferase 1 (DGAT1) is an alternate retinyl ester synthetase capable of esterifying retinol in a variety of cells [36,37]. We have confirmed the presence of mRNA for endogenous DGAT1 acyl transferase in HEK293F cells (data not shown). To distinguish between lamprey LRATb retinol esterification activity and the possibility of DGAT1 activity contributing to retinol esterification in the HEK293 assay, we performed our assay in the presence of 50 nM A922500, a DGAT1 specific inhibitor (Figure 4b).

Immunohistochemistry and MALDI-TOF Analysis of RPE65 Protein in Sea Lamprey RPE

Frozen sections of fixed lamprey retina/RPE were incubated with polyclonal rabbit antibodies to RPE65, visual arrestin, and blue cone opsin (SW2) [38,39] and visualized with Cy3 conjugated secondary anti-rabbit IgG (green signal) (Figure 7a, b, c respectively). RPE65 was clearly immunolocalized in Lamprey RPE (Figure 7a). Lamprey retina histology was visualized with toluidine blue stain (Figure 7d, e). Western blots probed with polyclonal rabbit antibody to RPE65 ("PETLET" epitope; [40]) revealed a prominent band at approximately 61 kDa in RPE (Figure 7f). We next sought to confirm the identity of this band as RPE65 by MALDI-TOF mass spectrometry. The Sea Lamprey genome is not annotated in the GenBank database and therefore standard mass fingerprinting is not possible. However, using MS-Digest (http://prospector2.ucsf.edu/prospector/cgi-bin/msform.cgi?form = msdigest) we predicted a peptide profile for Sea Lamprey RPE65 (537 aa, protein Mw 61.4 kDa) that would be generated by trypsin proteolysis. We matched 16 peptides in the trypsinized RPE65 immunoreactive band to our RPE65 predicted peptide set, with less than 0.1 Da difference and sequence coverage of 29% (Table 1). This confirmed the identity of the immunoreactive band as lamprey RPE65.

Possible Photosiomerases in Lamprey Genome

In order to address the question of RPE65 independent visual pigment regeneration we checked for the presence/absence of RGR/peropsin genes in the lamprey using BLASTP searches. We first ran control experiments using known Ciona RGR and Branchiostoma (lancelet) peropsin [41]. Symmetrical best BLAST hits (protein × in 1st species finds species Y in 2nd species as the top BLAST hit and protein Y in 2nd species finds protein X in the 1st species as the top BLAST hit) are frequently used as a definition of orthologous proteins [23,24,25]. Both proteins found human RGR/peropsin proteins as symmetrical best hits (Table S2). For the lamprey proteins we used a more relaxed definition of orthology: we analyzed the three best BLAST hits of human RGR/peropsin in the lamprey genome instead of one best hit. We ran BLAST searches of these proteins against the NR protein database (www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov) and analyzed the best hits in vertebrates (Table S2). All six hits were not RGR/peropsin.
Figure 3. Maximum likelihood phylogenetic tree of the LRAT superfamily (the WAG substitution model, the complete deletion option, the uniform rate of substitutions option as implemented in the MEGA5 program). The numbers for the interior branches refer to the bootstrap values with 1,000 pseudoreplicates. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0049975.g003
retinyl esters to total retinoids (retinols and retinyl esters in %).

The last common ancestor of the jawless and jawed vertebrates.

is diverged from the line leading from the ancestral chordates to
vertebrates. However, it is well accepted that the tunicate lineage
cephalochordates as the closest known extant relatives of
vertebrates. It is likely they have been lost as there are orthologs of these genes
in Ciona and lancelet (Table S2). There is a possibility that these
genes remain unsequenced or unassembled. However, the chances
of this are not great taking into account that these are long multi-
exon genes.

Discussion

Key to the unique structure of the vertebrate eye is the inverted
neural retina, and its adjacent support cell layer, the RPE. While
vertebrates continued to use the ancient photosensitive GPCR
opsin family as its visual pigments, a radical departure was made to
regenerate the 11-cis retinal chromophore by a “dark” enzymatic
process, rather than by an evolutionarily more commonly used
photosomeration process. We suggest here that this enzymatic
process, or visual cycle, arose uniquely by evolution or co-option of
proteins at the same time as the vertebrate eye evolved, perhaps as
an adaptation to facilitate higher visual performance in dim light
or in situations of sudden change from dark to light conditions
compared to its ancestral precursors. We now show that jawless
vertebrates (lamprey), in common with jawed vertebrates, have
functional RPE65 and LRAT in their RPE. The previous finding
of two functional visual opsins that are regenerated with 11-cis
retinal also supports the presence of a fully functional vertebrate
visual cycle in lamprey [42]. It seems plausible that fully functional
RPE65 and LRAT were already present in the last common ancestor of jawed and jawless vertebrates, but that such organisms,
and their immediate precursors, have been lost to evolutionary
history.

The tunicates, including Ciona, have recently displaced the
cephalochordates as the closest known extant relatives of vertebrates. However, it is well accepted that the tunicate lineage
is diverged from the line leading from the ancestral chordates to
the last common ancestor of the jawless and jawed vertebrates.

This has not discouraged efforts to discern ancestral aspects of
features common to the vertebrate lineage. The vertebrate eye
with its visual cycle is one such feature. Our experimental evidence
supports Albalat’s [18] view that the cephalochordate (Branchia-
toma) and tunicate (Ciona) proteins related to vertebrate visual cycle
components are probably not involved in chromophore regener-
ation. This, together with the phylogenetic analysis of RPE65 and
the absence of LRAT makes us conclude that Ciona intestinalis does
not have a visual cycle comparable to vertebrates. As we do not
have any indications that a prototype of the vertebrate visual cycle
was secondarily lost in Ciona intestinalis, it likely never evolved.

In fact, Nakashima et al. [43] conclude that 11-cis retinal in the Ciona
larval ocellus is supplied from Ci-opsin3, a photosomerase opsin,
suggesting reliance on the more primitive pathway. Larval Ciona
also expresses Ci-opsin1, a ciliary-type opsin as its visual pigment
[13,44]. Furthermore, the expression of Ciona BCMoA/Gi-
RPE65 occurs in the sessile adult stage where non-visual roles,
such as phototropism, siphon contraction and gamete release [13]
have been proposed for photoreception, and not in the free-
swimming larval stage. Ci-opsin3 is also expressed in the adult
neural complex along with Ci-CRALBP and the BCMoA/Gi-
RPE65. If BCMoA/Gi-RPE65 is not capable of isomerizing
retinol, as we have found, then this role can be accomplished by
the photosomerase RGR opsin homolog Ci-opsin3 in both
developmental stages. (Conversely, we could not detect any
photosomerasases (peropsin or RGR opsin homologs) in the
lamprey genome that could potentially accomplish RPE65-
independent visual chromophore regeneration.) The presence of a
CRALBP-like protein in Ciona (Ci-CRALBP) suggests that
trapping and transport of 11-cis retinal derived from Ci-opsin3
could occur in both the larva and adult stages. Though Ci-
CRALBP clusters with vertebrate CRALBP (unlike Branchiastoma
CRALBP-like homolog [13], which clusters with α-tocopherol
transfer protein [αTTP; data not shown], another member of the
CRAL-TRIO family [43]), it has not yet been shown experimentally
to actually bind 11-cis retinal. The absence of a robust LRAT
ortholog (other than a SULT1-ST7-like homolog) in *Ciona* further weakens the case for a patent visual cycle in ascidians. Thus, we conclude that *Ciona* does not possess a coherent retinoid metabolic pathway that is comparable with the vertebrate visual cycle. To reiterate, our main rationale for this view is the absence of functional RPE65 and LRAT orthologs.

Given the evident absence of a vertebrate-like visual cycle in the pre-vertebrate chordates, it was important to establish its earliest origins in the most primitive vertebrates. Various components of the vertebrate retina phototransduction system have been found in the lamprey including opsins [39,42], photoreceptor-specific transducins [46], and photoreceptor-specific cyclic nucleotide phosphodiesterase 6 ([PDE6] [47]). However, until now, components of the vertebrate visual cycle had not been identified in lamprey. We find that lamprey RPE65 is remarkably similar to mammalian RPE65 (72% identity/92% similarity), indicating that most, if not all, of the transition to a functional isomerohydrolase from a BCMO2-like ancestor had already occurred by the last common ancestor of jawless and jawed vertebrates. This was borne out by the phylogenetic tree and DIVERGE2 analyses, and

Figure 5. Alignment of Human and Lamprey RPE65. CLUSTAL W (1.83) alignment of Human RPE65 and Lamprey RPE65. GenBank/EBI accession numbers are as follows: human RPE65, NP_000320, lamprey RPE65 JX115001. Red, conserved residues around catalytic cysteine.
doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0049975.g005
the strong immunoreactivity of lamprey RPE65 to the anti-human RPE65 antibody. This suggests that once a functional RPE65 was achieved, further evolutionary modification was minimal. Co-expression of LRAT with RPE65 is crucial for a working visual cycle [7,10]. Therefore it was important that we also established the presence of LRAT in lamprey, its earliest occurrence in evolution. Since a clear precursor to the vertebrate visual cycle does not appear to exist in the more primitive chordates, it must have evolved after these taxa diverged from the line leading to vertebrates, but by the last common ancestor of the jawless cyclostomes (lampreys and hagfishes) and the jawed vertebrates. While many morphologists formerly held the view that hagfishes are more primitive than lampreys, various molecular phylogenetics datasets (microRNA families, ribosomal DNA, mitochondrial DNA, etc.) strengthen the viewpoint that the cyclostomes are monophyletic [48,49]. This means that the most primitive vertebrate eyes known are found, collectively, in lampreys and hagfishes and the jawed vertebrates. While many morphologists formerly held the view that hagfishes are more primitive than lampreys, various molecular phylogenetics datasets (microRNA families, ribosomal DNA, mitochondrial DNA, etc.) strengthen the viewpoint that the cyclostomes are monophyletic [48,49]. This means that the most primitive vertebrate eyes known are found, collectively, in lampreys and hagfishes, with the proviso that the degenerate eyes of hagfish, among other features, are a secondary acquisition. In fact, a gradient of degeneracy is seen among eyes of hagfishes [50]. Alternatively, hagfish may be an arrested or neotenous form of lamprey development and, accordingly, their eyes may correspond to an early stage of vertebrate eye development [51]. Thus the vertebrate eye is a so-called primitive character of vertebrates and seems to have appeared “from nowhere”, along with all the other vertebrate primitive characters. In reality, intermediates stages including the last common ancestor of the jawed and jawless vertebrates and its immediate precursors would appear to have been lost since their putative origin in the Cambrian explosion over 500 million years ago and are not known, so far, in the fossil record [52]. This means that the question of the origin of the vertebrate eye and its visual cycle, among a host of other vertebrate characters, is even more difficult to resolve. However, we can conclude that the crucial transition from typical carotenoid double bond cleavage functionality to the isomerohydrolase functionality, coupled with the origin of LRAT, occurred subsequent to divergence of the more primitive chordates (tunicates, etc.) from the line leading to vertebrates. Both carotenoid oxygenases and N1pC/P60/LRATs comprise multi-gene superfamilies with several paralogous genes per genome, thus it is likely that ancestors of LRAT and RPE65 emerged as a result of gene duplications, traditionally considered to be a major evolutionary source of new protein functions in eukaryotes [53,54,55,56]. Studies of paralogous genes at the genome scale showed a substantial acceleration of evolution in all copies of recently diverged paralogs compared to orthologs with the same...
level of synonymous sequence divergence [23,24]. This acceler-
ation may be explained by positive selection or by a relaxation of
purifying selection or by a combination of the two [23,24].

Although the most likely outcome of such accelerated evolution is
for one of the paralogs to fix a nonsense mutation and become a
pseudogene, fixation of mutations (during a relatively short period
of evolution) that lead to a new function also occurs [23,24,25].
Interestingly, a theoretical evaluation of the time required to
evolve a camera type eye from a simple eyespot (assuming
availability of photoreceptor cells, their necessary biochemical
underpinnings (visual cycle, phototransduction cascade, etc.), and
neural pathways to a brain) has suggested a pessimistic estimate of
but a few hundred thousand years [57]. These and other
considerations suggest that the first functional RPE65 and LRAT
appeared in the last common ancestor of jawed and jawless
vertebrates as the result of relatively fast evolution of duplicated
copies of ancestral genes followed by acquisition of new functions.

Materials and Methods

Ethics Statement

Sea Lamprey tissues for this study were collected under an
Animal Study Protocol approved by the National Eye Institute
(NIH) Animal Care and Use Committee.

Datasets and Phylogenetic Analysis and Modeling

Protein sequences were downloaded from the NCBI and
ENSEMBL web sites. Similarity searches were performed using the
non-redundant protein sequence database at the NCBI and
the gapped BLAST program. Multiple protein sequence align-
ments were constructed using the Muscle program and then
adjusted by hand (details available upon request from Eugenia
Poliakov, Poliakov@nei.nih.gov). Phylogenetic trees based on
multiple alignments of protein sequences were constructed using the
maximum-likelihood, neighbor-joining, minimum-economy and
maximum-parsimony methods as implemented in MEGA
[58], FASTTREE [59] and PAUP* programs [60,61]. A statistical
method for estimating type-II (cluster-specific) functional diver-
gence of protein sequences implemented in the DIVERGE2
program [62] was used for analysis of functionally important
residues (vertebrate RPE65 and BCMO2 clades were used for
analysis). The lamprey LRAT structure was modeled on the Swiss-
Model server using the H-REV-107 crystal structure (PDB ID:
2KYT) as the template [63–64], [65]. DIVERGE2 was designed
to detect functional divergence between member genes of a
protein family based on (site-specific) shifted evolutionary rates
after gene speciation or duplication. Posterior analysis results in a
site-specific profile for predicting amino acid residues that are
responsible for functional divergence. Moreover, when the 3D
protein structure is available, these predicted sites are mapped to a
3D structure viewer to explore its structure basis [62].

Cloning of ciBCMOa (ciRPE65) for Expression in
E.coli

The ciBCMOa open-reading frame was obtained from a
synthetic pUC57/ciBCMOa construct (Genscript, Piscataway,
NJ) by amplification using Takara Taq polymerase. The resultant
PCR product was directly cloned into the pBadTOPO vector
(Invitrogen). The sequencing of the resulting pBadTOPO
construct confirmed that the inserted DNA fragment was
ciBCMOa in the correct orientation and position.

Cloning of Lamprey LRAT and RPE65 for Expression in
HEK293F Cells

RPE was carved out from frozen lamprey heads. Total RNA
from lamprey RPE was purified using TRIzol® reagent (Invitro-
gen) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Briefly, 2 RPEs
were homogenized in 1 ml of TRIzol and incubated at room
temperature for 5 minutes. 0.2 ml of chloroform was added and
the tube was shaken for 15 seconds following by 3 minutes
incubation at room temperature. The sample was centrifuged at
12,000 x g for 15 minutes at 4°C. The upper aqueous phase was

Table 1. MALDI-TOF Lamprey RPE65 peptide mass fingerprinting.

| Centroid mass | Theoretical mass | Difference (Da) | Relative intensity | Peptide |
|---------------|------------------|----------------|------------------|---------|
| 796.4328      | 796.4312         | 0.0016         | 5.31             | 360–366 |
| 877.4239      | 877.4203         | 0.0036         | 45.69            | 414–420Gln-pyrroGlu |
| 894.4414      | 894.4468         | −0.0054        | 66.58            | 414–420 |
| 933.493       | 933.4941         | −0.0011        | 18.19            | 264–271 |
| 1114.549      | 1114.567         | −0.0182        | 4.93             | 24–33   |
| 1128.484      | 1128.518         | −0.0336        | 3.45             | acetyl1–10 |
| 1130.58       | 1130.562         | 0.0174         | 10.29            | 24–33met ox |
| 1246.659      | 1246.661         | −0.0025        | 15.72            | 34–44   |
| 1262.673      | 1262.656         | 0.0164         | 11.6             | 34–44met ox |
| 1319.642      | 1319.645         | −0.0025        | 2.54             | 223–234 |
| 1419.693      | 1419.622         | 0.0716         | 7.49             | 321–332 |
| 1678.865      | 1678.866         | −0.0009        | 35.54            | 171–185 |
| 1715.835      | 1715.816         | 0.0187         | 12.66            | 368–381 |
| 1759.891      | 1759.892         | −1E-04         | 4.76             | 306–320 |
| 1871.939      | 1871.917         | 0.0211         | 20.87            | 367–381 |
| 1929.955      | 1929.971         | −0.0159        | 16.97            | 397–413 |
| 1956.944      | 1956.949         | −0.0048        | 6.29             | 430–446 |

Theoretical monoisotopic masses for Lamprey RPE65 trypsin-generated peptides were determined by MS-Digest.
doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0049975.t001
removed and placed into a new tube. 0.5 ml of 100% isopropanol was added to the aqueous phase and mixed. After 10 minutes incubation at room temperature the sample was centrifuged at 12,000×g for 10 minutes. The supernatant was removed from the tube and the RNA pellet was washed with 1 ml of 75% ethanol. The sample was vortexed briefly and centrifuged at 7500×g for 5 minutes at 4°C. The wash was discarded and the RNA was air dried for 5 minutes. The RNA pellet was resuspended in 20 ml RNase-free water.

SMARTer™ RACE cDNA Amplification kit (Clontech) was used to clone lamprey RPE65 and LRAT following manufacturer’s instructions. Phusion Flash II DNA Polymerase (Finnzymes) was used for PCR amplification.

For RPE65 cloning, 1 μg of total RNA from RPE was reverse transcribed in 10 μl reaction by SMARTScribe™ Reverse Transcriptase with 5‘-RACE CDS Primer A 5’-(T)25VN–3’ and SMARTer II A Oligonucleotide 5’-AAGCAGTGTTACACGCAGTGTTACXXX-3’ at 42°C for 90 min. This first-strand reaction product was diluted with Tricine-EDTA buffer to 100 μl. The recommended program for touchdown PCR was used with Phusion™ Flash High-Fidelity PCR Master Mix (Finnzymes) with Universal Primer A Mix (UPM) Long (0.4 μM), Short (2 μM)

**Figure 7. Localization of RPE65 in the Lamprey RPE/retina.** Cy3 (green) staining of frozen sections of fixed lamprey retina/RPE with rabbit polyclonal antibodies to A: RPE65; B: arrestin; C: blue cone opsins SWS2 [38,39]. Nuclear DAPI staining in blue. Lamprey retina histology D: 20X magnification; E: 40X magnification of semithin sections stained with toluidine blue. F: Immunoblot of Lamprey retina and RPE extracts. Retina and RPE were prepared as described in Methods. Lanes from left to right: lane 1, marker Spectra Multicolored Broad Range Protein ladder (Fermentas), lane 2, retina extract, lane 3, RPE extract.
doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0049975.g007
and lamprey_RPE65 5'-RACE primer (5'-GACAAGGAT-GAGGGAGCCAACTCAGTAG-3') that was designed based on partial genomic DNA sequence from contig9047, Petromyzon marinus Genome draft assembly WUSTL v.3.0 (March 2007). A ~1.7 kb DNA single band was cloned into pCR-Blunt vector (Invitrogen) according to the manufacturer's instructions, transformed into TOP10 competent cells, and grown on agar plates supplemented with kanamycin. Sequencing of plasmid DNA from several clones containing the 1.7 kb DNA fragment confirmed lamprey RPE65 identity. Lamprey RPE65 ORF was PCR amplified with Phusion Flash II DNA Polymerase and following primers: LamRPE65F: 5'-AAAGCAACCGGTGATATCATGGCTACTTGTGTG-GAGCACCCTG-3' and LamRPE65R: 5'-ACCGGTTGAGTCC-GATATCCATGCTTGACGCTCTGTGAAC-3'. A 1.5 kb PCR product was cloned into the EcoRV site of pVITRO2-hygro-mcs expression vector (Invivogen) with cloned bovine CRALBP using the In-Fusion PCR cloning system (Clontech) following manufacturer's instructions, transformed into TOP10 competent cells, and grown on agar plates supplemented with hygromycin. The resulting construct was confirmed by sequencing.

For lamprey LRAT cloning, total RNA from lamprey RPE (10 µg) was treated with Terminator™ 5'-Phosphate-Dependent Exonuclease (EPICENTRE Biotechnologies) to degrade ribosomal RNA. The remaining mRNA was concentrated using RNA Clean Exonuclease (EPICENTRE Biotechnologies) to degrade ribosomal RNA. The remaining mRNA was concentrated using RNA Clean Exonuclease (EPICENTRE Biotechnologies) to degrade ribosomal RNA. The remaining mRNA was concentrated using RNA Clean Exonuclease (EPICENTRE Biotechnologies) to degrade ribosomal RNA. The remaining mRNA was concentrated using RNA Clean Exonuclease (EPICENTRE Biotechnologies). The stranded reaction product was diluted with Tricine-EDTA buffer to 100 µl. The same touchdown PCR program as for RPE65 amplification was used in a reaction mix containing Phusion™ Flash High-Fidelity PCR Master Mix (Finnzymes) with Universal Primer A Mix (UPM), long (0.4 µM), short (2 µM) and lamprey LRAT 5’-RACE primer 5’-ACGCTTGGTGAGGGAGGCTGTCCTGTGAT-3’ (designed from lamprey partial genomic DNA sequence from contig9067, Petromyzon marinus Genome draft assembly WUSTL v.3.0 (March 2007)). A single 1.1 kb DNA band was obtained. This PCR product was cloned into pCR-Blunt vector (Invitrogen) according to the manufacturer's instructions, transformed into TOP10 competent cells, and grown on agar plates supplemented with kanamycin. The cloned 1.1 kb DNA fragment was sequenced and confirmed to contain lamprey LRAT. The LRAT ORF was PCR amplified with Phusion Flash II DNA Polymerase and the following primers: LamLRAT2_InF_For: 5’-CCCCGGCAACCATGCAAAGGAGGCATCTGTG-CAGGGC-3’ and LamLRAT2_InR_Rev: 5’-TGCTCTCTTGGCGTAATCCACGGCATCCAGAGG-GAT-3’, producing an 852 bp PCR product. This DNA fragment was inserted into NeoI and BsiWI sites of pVITR03-mcs expression vector (Invitrogen) using the In-Fusion PCR cloning system (Clontech) following manufacturer’s instructions, transformed into TOP10 competent cells, and grown on agar plates supplemented with hygromycin. The sequencing of the resulting pVITR03_LRAT construct confirmed that the inserted DNA fragment was LRAT in the correct orientation and position.

Lamprey BCMO was cloned from the same RNA sample and using the same conditions as for LRAT cloning, with Universal Primer A Mix (UPM) and lamBCM0 5’-RACE primer 5’-GGTCGTGTGATTATTTAGACGACGGTGAGCC -3’ (designed from partial genomic DNA sequence from contig90156, Petromyzon marinus Genome draft assembly WUSTL v.3.0 (March 2007)). A single 2.0 kb DNA band was obtained. This PCR product was cloned into pCR-Blunt vector (Invitrogen) according to the manufacturer's instructions, transformed into TOP10 competent cells, and grown on agar plate supplemented with kanamycin. Two clones were sequence confirmed to contain 2 variants of lamprey BCMO. The Tam-polymersase (Takara) amplified PCR products were directly cloned into pBadTOPO vector. The sequencing of the resulting BadTOPO constructs confirmed that the inserted DNA fragments were lamprey BCMOα and lamprey BCMOβ in correct orientation and position.

**BCMO1 Enzymatic Activity (Carotenoid Cleavage Activity)**

BCMO1 enzymatic activity was assayed as described previously [66]. In short, the pBAD/ciRPE65 construct, under control of the arabinose promoter, was transformed in a lycopene-producing or a carotene-producing strain of *E. coli* (30 mL cell culture). Each culture was split in half after reaching OD<sub>600</sub> = 0.6 and one-half was induced with 0.002% arabinose. After incubation for 18 hours, cells were harvested in 30 mL plastic tubes and color of the cell pellet was compared with uninduced controls. B-carotene was extracted and quantified using reverse phase HPLC as described previously [66]. Lycopene was quantified using reverse phase HPLC as described previously [67].

**Transient Transfection and Cell Culture**

Cell culture methods and transient transfection protocols have been previously published [7]. In a typical experiment, 3 x 10<sup>5</sup> 293-F (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA) cells were transfected with 30 µg of pVITR02-plasmid (containing RPE65 (lamprey, chicken or dog) and CRALBP open reading frames (ORFs)) and 30 µg of pVITR03 (Invivogen) plasmid (containing lecithin-retinol acyl transferase (bovine or lamprey LRAT) in the presence of 60 µl of 293/lecitin transfection reagent (Invitrogen), all in a total volume of 30 mL. 24 hours after transfection, all-trans retinol was added to a final concentration of 2.5 µM and the cells were cultured for a further 5 hours and then harvested for analysis.

Presence of DGAT1 in HEK293F cells was confirmed by Bioanalyser (Agilent) sizing experiment on DNA 1000 chip with Fwd HS DGAT1 5’-CTGAGAATCCATGGAAGGCCC-3’ and Rev HS DGAT1 AS 5’TGTAGAACTGGTGCGCTGCTAC-3’ primers, cDNA was made from 4 µg total RNA by Rétroscript kit (Ambion). Total RNA was prepared with RNAeasy mini kit (Qiagen). DGAT1 specific inhibitor A922500 (Tocris) was added to cells to a final concentration of 25–50 µM from a 10 mM stock in DMSO, together with all-trans retinol.

**Retinoid Extractions and HPLC**

Culture fractions of 20 ml volumes of transfected 293-F cells were centrifuged and cells were harvested and retinoids extracted and saponified as previously described [7]. Isometric retinoids were separated on a 3 micron YMC silica normal phase column (4.6 x 150 mm) and in-line 3 micron particle Lichrospher (Alttech, Deerfield, IL) normal phase column (4.6 x 250 mm) and analysed on an isocratic HPLC system equipped with a diode-array UV-visible detector (Agilent 1100/1200 series, Agilent Technologies, New Castle, DE), following Landers and Olson [68] as modified by us [7].

**Histology and Immunofluorescence Microscopy of Lamprey RPE65**

Lamprey eyes were enucleated, pierced at the limbus, and fixed in freshly prepared 4% paraformaldehyde in phosphate buffered saline (PBS, pH 7.3). For plastic embedding, eyes were fixed overnight at 4°C before embedding. Semi-thin sections were cut

---
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and stained with toluidine blue. For immunofluorescence microscopy, eyes were fixed for 2 hours, then washed 3 times for 10 minutes each in ICC buffer (0.5% BSA, 0.2% Tween 20, and 0.05% sodium azide in PBS, pH 7.3) and cryo-protected in sequential 5%, 10%, 15% and 20% sucrose in ICC buffer containing 0.05% sodium azide, 1 hour each, or until the eyes sank. Following this they were embedded in Optimal Cutting Temperature (OCT) compound (Tissue-Tek® 4583 SAKURA) 2 parts and 20% sucrose 1 part, frozen in cold acetone and stored at -80°C until cutting. For immunofluorescence, 10 µm thick sections were cut and kept frozen until use. Prior to use, the sections were dried

in vacuo

for 30 minutes, washed 3 times with 1X PBS and blocked for 1 hour in 5% normal goat serum in ICC buffer. Following this, sections were incubated overnight in primary antibody solution (RPE65, blue cone opsin, red cone opsin or arrestin; all 1:200 in ICC). The sections were then washed 3 times with 1X PBS, and incubated in Alexa 488-conjugated goat anti-rabbit IgG (1:300) plus DAPI (1:1000) in ICC. After final series of washes the slides were coverslipped and sealed with Fluoro-Gel with EMS.

Immunoblot and MALDI-TOF Analysis of Lamprey RPE65

Lamprey RPE and retina were taken from adult female animal and frozen immediately. RPE (retina) was homogenized in a glass homogenizer on ice in Cytobuster buffer (EMD-Novagen) (1 mL) with complete protease inhibitors (1 mini tablet per 10 mL of buffer, Roche). Samples were prepared for SDS-PAGE. Denatured samples were separated on 10% Bis/Tris NuPage (Life Technologies) gels and either stained with Coomassie Blue G and excised from gel for in-gel trypsin digestion or electrophoretically transferred to nitrocellulose membranes. In-gel digestion was done as recommended by the Applied Biosystems Voyager manual with several changes. Trypsinization was done for 15 min at 50 W in a focused microwave device (CEM). Exected peptides were purified on Vivapure C18 micro columns (Sartorius Stedim Biotech) and analyzed by a matrix-assisted laser desorption ionization-time of flight (MALDI-TOF) method (Voyager-DE STR, Applied Biosystems). Blots were probed with antibodies by standard procedures and developed in color substrate BCIP/NBT Phosphatase substrate (KPL). Primary antibodies used were: rabbit anti-bovine RPE65 antibody (1:4000) [11]; Secondary antibody used was alkaline phosphatase-conjugated goat anti-rabbit IgG (1:10,000; EMD-Novagen).

Supporting Information

Figure S1 Phylogenetic trees of the BCMO/RPE65 superfamily. This shows tree topologies reconstructed using different phylogenetic methods. The numbers for the interior branches refer to the bootstrap values with 1,000 pseudoreplicates. A: ML, maximum likelihood phylogenetic tree, the JTT substitution model; B: ME, maximum evolution, the JTT substitution model; C: NJ, neighbor-joining, the JTT substitution model; D: ME, minimum evolution, the JTT substitution model; E: MP, maximum parsimony.

Figure S2 Phylogenetic trees of the LRAT superfamily.

This shows tree topologies reconstructed using different phylogenetic methods. The numbers for the interior branches refer to the bootstrap values with 1,000 pseudoreplicates. A: ML, maximum likelihood phylogenetic tree, the JTT substitution model; B: ME, minimum evolution, the JTT substitution model; C: NJ, neighbor-joining, the JTT substitution model; D: MP, maximum parsimony.

Figure S3 Color shift due to the cleavage of β-carotene in E. coli. A. This illustrates the color shift of the β-carotene-producing and -accumulating E. coli strain from orange to light yellow caused by the cleavage by BCMOa (Ci-RPE65) enzymatic activity of β-carotene to form apocarotenoids. While the induction of BCMOa (Ci-RPE65) expression partially bleaches the induced E. coli β-carotene strain within 18 hours (right tube), the uninduced CiRPE65 transformed culture remains orange (left tube). B. Quantification of β-carotene degradation in β-carotene-accumulating E. coli. Separate replicate cultures of cells were transformed with Lamprey BCMO2a, Lamprey BCMO2b, Ciona BCMOa (Ci-RCMO6), or Ciona BCMOb (Ci-BCMO). grown to OD600 = 0.6, split in half, then one-half was induced with 0.02% arabinose and each half allowed to grow overnight. Then cells were collected and β-carotene and its degradation products were extracted and analysed by reverse phase HPLC as described in Materials and Methods.

Table S1 Number of identities in triple and pairwise alignments of mouse BCMO2, BCMO1 and RPE65. T-coffee alignment of the three proteins, taking gaps into consideration.

Table S2 Best BLASTP hits of human RGR and peropsin in the lamprey genome.

Acknowledgments

We acknowledge the advice of Dr. Robert N. Fariss, Biological Imaging Core, NEI. We thank Dr. Nikolai O. Artemyev for providing initial frozen lamprey material. We also thank Nikolas Rewald, U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Marquette, MI, who facilitated our acquisition of fresh specimens of adult sea lamprey.

Author Contributions

Conceived and designed the experiments: EP ANG IBR TMR. Performed the experiments: EP ANG OS YL MMC SG IBR TMR. Analyzed the data: EP SG IBR TMR. Contributed reagents/materials/analysis tools: YL MMC SG IBR TMR. Wrote the paper: EP ANG IBR TMR.

References

1. Lamb TD, Pagh EN Jr (2004) Dark adaptation and the retinoid cycle of vision. Prog Retin Eye Res 23: 307–380.
2. Suzuki JC (2012) Vitamin a metabolism in rod and cone visual cycles. Annual review of nutrition 32: 125–145.
3. Gollapalli DR, Rando RR (2003) All-trans-retinyl esters are the substrates for isomerization in the vertebrate visual cycle. Biochemistry 42: 5009–5010.
4. Moutrey G, Coschuk RK, Golze P, Oatis J Jr, Redmond TM, et al. (2003) Retinyl esters are the substrate for isomerohydrolase. Biochemistry 42: 2229–2238.
5. Jin M, Li S, Moghrahi WN, Sun H, Travis GH (2005) Rpe65 is the retinoid isomerase in bovine retinal pigment epithelium. Cell 122: 449–459.
6. Moutrey G, Chen Y, Takahashi Y, Wu BX, Ma JX (2005) RPE65 is the isomerohydrolase in the retinoid visual cycle. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 102: 12415–12418.
7. Redmond TM, Poliakov E, Yu S, Tsai JY, Lu Z, et al. (2003) Mutation of key residues of RPE65 abolishes its enzymatic role as isomerohydrolase in the visual cycle. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 102: 13656–13663.
8. Gu SM, Thompson DA, Srikumar CR, Lorenz B, Finckh U, et al. (1997) Mutations in RPE65 cause autosomal recessive childhood-onset severe retinal dystrophy. Nat Genet 17: 194–197.

9. Marfulas F, Barell G, Griffith JM, Zrenner E, Amrici P, et al. (1997) Mutations in RPE65 associated with Leber's congenital amaurosis. Nat Genet 17: 139–141.

10. Batten ML, Imanishi Y, Maeda T, Tu DC, Moise AR, et al. (2004) Lecithin-retinol acyltransferase is essential for accumulation of all-trans-retinyl esters in the eye and in the liver. The Journal of biological chemistry 279: 10422–10432.

11. Redmond TM, Yu S, Lee E, Bok D, Hamasaki D, et al. (1999) RPE65 is necessary for production of 11-cis-vitamin A in the retinal visual cycle. Nat Genet 20: 334–351.

12. Takimoto N, Kusakabe T, Horie T, Miyamoto Y, Tsuda M (2006) Origin of the vertebrate visual cycle: III. Distinct distribution of RPE65 and beta-carotene 13,15-monooxygenase homologs in Ciona intestinalis. Photochem Photobiol 82: 1468–1474.

13. Takimoto N, Kusakabe T, Tsuda M (2007) Origin of the vertebrate visual cycle. Photochem Photobiol 82: 242–247.

14. Kusakabe TG, Takimoto N, Jin M, Tsuda M (2009) Evolution and the origin of the retinal visual cycle in vertebrates. Philos Trans R Soc Lond B Biol Sci 364: 2087–2910.

15. Ono K, Katajisto J, Dreher A, Kiefer G, Wernet MF, Vogt K (2001) Analysis of the blind Drosophila mutant ninaB identifies the gene encoding the key enzyme for vitamin A formation in vivo. Nat Neurosci 4: 1080–1086.

16. Werner T, Liu G, Kang D, Engsren S, Steiner H, et al. (2000) A family of peptidoglycan recognition proteins in the fruit fly Drosophila melanogaster. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 97: 13772–13777.

17. Albatr R (2012) Evolution of the Genetic Machinery of the Visual Cycle: A Novelty of the Vertebrate Eye? Mol Biol Evol.

18. Alder MN, Rogozin IB, Iyer LM, Glazko GV, Cooper MD, et al. (2005) Diversity and function of adaptive immune receptors in a jawed vertebrate. Science 310: 1970–1973.

19. Benton MJ, Donoghue PC (2007) Palaeontological evidence to date the tree of life. Mol Biol Evol 24: 26–53.

20. Chernikov D, Mostamadi S, Cisars M, Koonin EV, Rogozin IB (2011) A late origin of the extant eukaryotic diversity: divergence time estimates using rare genomic changes. Bio Direct 6: 26.

21. Deahl P, Satou Y, Campbell RK, Chapman J, Degnan B, et al. (2002) The draft genome of Ciona intestinalis: insights into chordate and vertebrate origins. Genome Biol 3: RESEARCH0008.

22. Kondrashov FA, Rogozin IB, Wolf YI, Koonin EV (2002) Selection in the evolution of gene duplicates. Genome Biol 4: 2269–2278.

23. Kondrashov FA, Kondrashov AS, Severin M, Donoghue PC, Peterson KJ (2010) microRNAs reveal the interrelationships of hagfish, lampreys, and gnathostomes and the nature of the ancestral vertebrate. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 107: 19379–19383.

24. Janvier P (2010) microRNAs revive old views about jawsed vertebrate divergence and evolution. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 107: 19131–19138.

25. Holmberg K (1971) The hagfish retina: electron microscopic study comparing receptor and epithelial cells in the Pacific hagfish, Polistotrema stouti, with those in the Atlantic hagfish, Myxine glutinosa. Z Zellforsch Mikrosk Anat 121: 249–260.

26. Lamb TD, Collin SP, Pugh EN Jr. (2007) Evolution of the vertebrate eye: opsins, photoreceptors, retina and eye cup. Nature reviews Neuroscience 8: 960–976.

27. Lamb T, Pugh EN Jr, Collin SP (2008) The origin of the vertebrate eye. Evolution Education Outreach 1: 415–426.

28. Kumar S, Nei M, Dudley J, Tamura K (2008) MEGA: a biologist-centric evolutionary analysis software package. Bioinformatics 24: 2543–2546.

29. Albalat R (2012) Evolution of the Genetic Machinery of the Visual Cycle: A Novelty of the Vertebrate Eye? Mol Biol Evol.

30. Turner AJ, Evans RL, Pearson CM, Arking AP (2010) microRNAs reveal the interrelationships of hagfish, lampreys, and gnathostomes and the nature of the ancestral vertebrate. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 107: 19379–19383.

31. Price MN, Dehal PS, Arkin AP (2010) FastTree 2–approximately maximum-likelihood trees for large alignments. PLoS One 5: e9490.

32. Collier SP, Davies WL, Hart NS, Hunt DM (2009) The evolution of early vertebrate photoreceptors. Philos Trans R Soc Lond B Biol Sci 364: 2929–2940.

33. Shichida Y, Matsuyama T (2009) Evolution of opsins and phototransduction. Biochemistry 42: 6466–6474.

34. Marudov H, Boyd KK, Kerov V, Artemyev NO (2008) Unique transducins expressed in long and short photoreceptors of lamprey Petromyzon marinus. Vision research 48: 2392–2398.

35. Muradov H, Boyd KK, Kerov V, Artemyev NO (2007) PDE6 in lamprey Petromyzon marinus: implications for the evolution of the visual effector in vertebrates. Biochemistry 46: 9992–10000.

36. Panagabko C, Morley S, Hernandez M, Cassolato P, Gordon H, et al. (2003) Lignipid specificity in the CRAL-TRIO protein family. Biochemistry 42: 6466–6474.

37. Shichida Y, Matsuyama T (2009) Evolution of opsins and phototransduction. Biochemistry 42: 6466–6474.

38. Wolh J, Zelick M (1995) A pessimistic estimate of the time required for an eye to evolve. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 92: 1975–1977.

39. Lamb TD, Collin SP, Pugh EN Jr. (2007) Evolution of the vertebrate eye: opsins, photoreceptors, retina and eye cup. Nature reviews Neuroscience 8: 960–976.

40. Altschuh D, Lesk AM, Bloomer AC, Klug A (1987) Correlation of co-ordinated biochemical diversity of the NlpC/P60 superfamily of enzymes. Genome Biol 4: 1937–1945.