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Abstract: Prediction of stock market performance is a challenging problem. There are numerous methods which are tried by various researchers in this regard. The memoryless property of Markov process seems to be more relevant when stock market prices are analysed for futuristic prediction. It is a stochastic process where the future probabilities are determined by the immediate present and not past values. This is suitable for the random nature of stock market fluctuations. The present study adopts this property to compare the performance of five prominent stocks in Oil and Gas Sector in India. The analysis is carried out based on past three years data of 5 prominent stocks in Oil and Gas sector. The findings suggest that Bharat Petroleum, Reliance and Hindustan Petroleum are having high probability of increase in its value while Indian Oil corporation (IOC) and Oil India exhibits a higher chance of being stable with no significant increase or decrease.
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I. INTRODUCTION

One of the six core industries in India which contributes significantly to the growth of Indian economy is Oil and Gas sector. The Natural Gas and Petroleum sector, which is inclusive of refining, transportation, and marketing of these products, contributes about 15% to India's GDP [1]. The Indian stock market prices are highly volatile. They are largely affected by a host of macroeconomic factors like oil prices, exchange value of dollar, political scenario and worsening government finances. The impact of these events in Oil and Gas sector is even more and so is the returns on investment in this sector is comparatively high. Hence it is worth to do a comparison of the prominent stocks in this sector. In the present study Markov chain modelling is adopted for the comparison since the performance of the stock market is assumed to depend on immediate past or short history. In the present study closing price of five major stock holders in the Oil and Gas sector is analysed to find which among them has better futuristic features. The findings of this analysis using a simple but powerful tool will aid investors to find the prospects of the five stocks based on past performance.

II. REVIEW OF LITERATURE

Optimal prediction for the stock indices and returns continues to be a challenge due to the randomness in the values.

Most of the studies adopt time series modelling for estimation. But analysis using Markov process is comparatively less. Yudong and Lenan (2009) suggests a non-linear, non-parametric, convoluted and essentially dynamic nature for stock prices. Zhang and Zhang (2009) investigated the assignment of foreseeing stock prices by the method of Markov model. They established a Markov chain stochastic model for forecasting the stock market trends in the Chinese stock market. Their study divulged that the Markov process lack after-effect property and Markov model has far-flung implementation in the prediction of stock market prices. Norris (1998) researched the conduct of discrete-time Markov chain and discovered that the Markov process is memory-less. In some cases, it is conceivable to break the Markov chain into smaller pieces, each of which is moderately straightforward and which together give a comprehension of the entirety. This is accomplished by spotting the communicating classes of the chain. Kumar (2016) et al analyses the causal relationship between stock process and trading volume of 50 companies from NSE of Indian stock market to reveal that a huge majority of 46 companies have unidirectional or bidirectional causal relationships between these two variables. Typical analysis of time series models on financial data is usually carried out by Autoregressive models and heteroskedasticity models. Unnikrishnan J and Suresh K K (2016) presents the ARIMA modelling of the financial series on gold price to forecast future price. The study incorporates intervention analysis within ARIMA (1, 1, 1) model. A study carried out in Jyothi and Suresh (2014) adopts the non-linear model of conditional heteroskedasticity GARCH to model volatility of S&P 500 stock price index. A time series analysis carried out in Pranesh (2017) examines the determinants of Volatility Index in Indian stock market and finds using Granger causality that Business Confidence Index is the only factor that causes variations in volatility. Purchasing Managers Index and Foreign Investors Index and Domestic Institutional Investors index seem to have insignificant influence in this aspect. Tuyen (2018) presents a novel Markov model of higher order constructed on various levels of changes in the series. The transition probabilities are calculated based on fuzzy sets and the accuracy is compared with other time series models like ARIMA and ANN. Park et al (2009) suggests the application of Hidden Markov Model to capture the dynamic nature of financial time series of stock prices. Big data analysis is also getting popularity in stock market analysis.
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The conventional structure a square matrix of a variety of continuous states of Markov chain are utilized as rows and columns and of size probabilities for each pair of states at every moment of time, where each arrangement of states at every moment of time, where each

The above stochastic process could be called a detectable with the state transition coefficients having the properties:

The Markov chain is memory-less, which does not hold information about the past. This implies that the probability of the next transition depends only on the present state.

Consider a random process \( \{X_n\}, n = 0,1,2, \ldots \) with discrete state space \( S \) and is said to be a Markov chain if it satisfies the following:

\[
P(X_{n+1} = j | X_n = i, X_{n-1}, \ldots, X_1 = i, X_0 = i_o) = P(X_{n+1} = j | X_n = i)
\]

for any \( i, j, i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_{n-1} \in S \)

The state of the process may vary in each time-step. The joint probability of the state transitions from \( i \)th state in the \( n \)th trial to state \( j \) in the \( (n+1) \)th trial is referred to as transition probability and is denoted as \( P_{ij} \). Therefore, \( P_{ij} = P(X_{n+1} = j | X_n = i) \) for every \( i, j \in S \) and \( n \geq 0 \),

\[
P_{ij} \geq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{j=1}^{n} P_{ij} = 1
\]

The above stochastic process could be called a detectable Markov model, since the yield of the process is the arrangement of states at every moment of time, where each state corresponds to a physical event. The transition probabilities for each pair of states structure a square matrix of size \( n \). The dynamics of the discrete-time Markov chain with state space \( S \) is given by this exhibit. All the possible states of Markov chain are utilized as rows and columns and the row whole is constantly one. This gives the transition probability matrix, \( P = [P_{ij}]_{m \times n} \). The Markov chain’s initial distribution at time 0 is given by, \( P^{(0)} = P[X_0 = i] \) and \( P^{(n)} = P[X_n = i], i \in S \) is the row vectors of probabilities at the time \( n \). The transition probability matrix together with the initial probability distribution completely specifies a Markov chain \( \{X_n\} \).

\[
p^{(n)} = p^{(n-1)}p = p^{(n-2)}p \ast p = \ldots = p^{(n-2)}p^2
\]

In general, \( p^{(n)} = p^{(0)}p^n \)

as indicated by this recursive equation, the gauge dependent is obtained on the understanding of dynamic framework

The components of \( p \) are elements of unique solution of \( \pi \).

And \( \Sigma \pi = 1 \)

By the Chapman-Kolmogorov theorem, (Ross, Sheldon M. 2014), if \( P \) is the transition probability matrix of homogeneous Markov chain, then the \( n \)th step transition probability matrix \( P^{(n)} \) is same as \( P^n \).

\[
i.e., P^n = P^{(n)}
\]

The normal transition process of Markov chain just relies upon the framework underlying state and the transfer matrix, where the framework’s underlying state is a line matrix presented by the likelihood vector \( M^{(0)} = [M_{ij}]_{1 \times n} \)

In essence, the basic Markov chain might be of any order and the yields from its states might be multivariate random process having some continuous joint probability distribution.

### III. METHODOLOGY

Markov model is named after Andrei A Markov, the individual who originally distributed his outcome about the model of Markov. Markovian model is a stochastic model based on the Markovian property, which states that the future is independent of the past, given the present state. Markov forms are the characteristic stochastic analogues of the deterministic procedures depicted by differential and distinction conditions. They structure one of the most significant classes of arbitrary procedures.

The initial step of Markov chain is to construct a Markov forecasting model that foresee the condition of an item in a specific time frame later by the temperance of likelihood vector of the underlying state and state transition probability matrix. Markov model is significant in statistics as it has Markovian properties, the powerless interest on authentic information and anticipating strategy with numerous preferences. The Markov chain is memory-less, which does not hold information about the past states. This implies that the probability of the next transition depends only on the present state.

Consider a random process \( \{X_n\}, n = 0,1,2, \ldots \) with discrete state space \( S \) and is said to be a Markov chain if it satisfies the following:

\[
P(X_{n+1} = j | X_n = i, X_{n-1}, \ldots, X_1 = i, X_0 = i_o) = P(X_{n+1} = j | X_n = i)
\]

for any \( i, j, i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_{n-1} \in S \)

The state of the process may vary in each time-step. The likelihood that the process changes from \( i \)th state in the \( n \)th trial to state \( j \) in the \( (n+1) \)th trial is referred to as transition probability and is denoted as \( P_{ij} \). Therefore, \( P_{ij} = P(X_{n+1} = j | X_n = i) \) for every \( i, j \in S \) and \( n \geq 0 \),

\[
P_{ij} \geq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{j=1}^{n} P_{ij} = 1
\]

The above stochastic process could be called a detectable Markov model, since the yield of the process is the arrangement of states at every moment of time, where each state corresponds to a physical event. The transition probabilities for each pair of states structure a square matrix of size \( n \). The dynamics of the discrete-time Markov chain with state space \( S \) is given by this exhibit. All the possible states of Markov chain are utilized as rows and columns and the row whole is constantly one. This gives the transition probability matrix, \( P = [P_{ij}]_{m \times n} \). The Markov chain’s initial distribution at time 0 is given by, \( P^{(0)} = P[X_0 = i] \) and \( P^{(n)} = P[X_n = i], i \in S \) is the row vectors of probabilities at the time \( n \). The transition probability matrix together with the initial probability distribution completely specifies a Markov chain \( \{X_n\} \).

\[
p^{(n)} = p^{(n-1)}p = p^{(n-2)}p \ast p = \ldots = p^{(n-2)}p^2
\]

In general, \( p^{(n)} = p^{(0)}p^n \)

as indicated by this recursive equation, the gauge dependent is obtained on the understanding of dynamic framework

The components of \( p \) are elements of unique solution of \( \pi \).

And \( \Sigma \pi = 1 \)

By the Chapman-Kolmogorov theorem, (Ross, Sheldon M. 2014), if \( P \) is the transition probability matrix of homogeneous Markov chain, then the \( n \)th step transition probability matrix \( P^{(n)} \) is same as \( P^n \).

\[
i.e., P^n = P^{(n)}
\]

The normal transition process of Markov chain just relies upon the framework underlying state and the transfer matrix, where the framework’s underlying state is a line matrix presented by the likelihood vector \( M^{(0)} = [M_{ij}]_{1 \times n} \)

In essence, the basic Markov chain might be of any order and the yields from its states might be multivariate random process having some continuous joint probability distribution.

### IV. RESULTS

A total of closing price changes of 36 trading months of 5 major oil and gas companies from 1/01/2017 to 1/12/2019 is used for the analysis. The closing prices are segregated into three states: up, down and zero-plus by a difference of 10 points and are investigated using Markov chain. The construction of state process and determining the state probability matrix is the initial process. The state probability refers to the possibility size of emergence of a variety of states. The state vector of each state is computed by obtaining the number of ups, downs and zero-plus in the 36 sample points.

| Table I: State vector of 5 stock indices |
|-----------------------------------------|
| IOC          | BPCL         | Hindustan Petroleum | Reliance Petroleum | Oil India |
| Up           | 0.194       | 0.444               | 0.333              | 0.555     | 0.167 |
| Zero-plus    | 0.5         | 0.111               | 0.222              | 0.083     | 0.555 |
| Down         | 0.306       | 0.444               | 0.444              | 0.361     | 0.278 |

Second stage of computation involves finding the closed state transition probability matrix using the state vectors. The total number of ups, downs and zero-plus from each state is figured out and the corresponding probability is computed in this stage. The state transition probability matrix of the five companies are tabulated in Table II:
The financial liquidity of the 5 stock indices of the five popular stocks using Markov modeling. The research is an attempt to compare the performances of five popular stocks using Markov modeling. Markov Chain has no eventual outcome, hence utilizing this strategy to examine and anticipate the stock exchange value is increasingly successful under the market mechanism. However, this being a likelihood estimating method, the anticipated outcomes are basically communicated as likelihood of a specific state of stock costs in the future, rather than be in a flat out state. The finding of the analysis based on 3 year monthly closing price provides insight to the future possibilities of these five stocks. The findings suggest that Reliance has the highest future probability followed by BPCL. While Oil India and IOC has higher probability to remain stable without much fluctuation. HP indicated the highest probability of a fall from the existing state. This finding can be considered while decisions are made on port-portfolio by an investor. The methodology adopted is simple and reliable as it depends only on the immediate past behavior of the stock prices.

Table II: State transition probability of 5 stock indices

| Stock | up | zero-plus | Down |
|-------|----|----------|------|
| IOC   | up | 0        | 0.57 | 0.43 |
|       | zero-plus | 0.24 | 0.47 | 0.29 |
|       | down | 0.18 | 0.55 | 0.27 |
| BPCL  | up | 0.25 | 0.06 | 0.69 |
|       | zero-plus | 0.5  | 0    | 0.5  |
|       | down | 0.6  | 0.2  | 0.2  |
| Hindustan Petroleum | up | 0.25 | 0.17 | 0.58 |
|       | zero-plus | 0.5  | 0.125 | 0.375 |
|       | down | 0.27 | 0.33 | 0.4  |
| Reliance | up | 0.5 | 0.1 | 0.4 |
|       | zero-plus | 0.67 | 0 | 0.33 |
|       | down | 0.67 | 0.08 | 0.25 |
| Oil India | up | 0.17 | 0.5 | 0.33 |
|       | zero-plus | 0.16 | 0.63 | 0.21 |
|       | down | 0.2  | 0.4  | 0.4  |

The calculations provided in the state probability vector gives the trend of each of the stocks. For all the 5 stocks, it is observed that the state probability tends to the value that is independent of the initial state and more or less stabilised. From the table, we can observe the closing price pattern of BPCL, Hindustan Petroleum, and Reliance are promising as there is high probability of closing price of each day is to increase from the previous closing price. The financial exchange of BPCL is up about the possibility of around 50 percent, zero plus around 10 percent, and down around 40 percent, while that of Reliance is up around 60 percent, zero-plus around 10 percent and down around 30 percent. This demonstrates that BPCL and Reliance are ought to be idealistic for the not so distant future, when compared to the others. Oil India has 63 percent probability of zero-plus while for IOC, it is 47 percent and for HP, it is 33%. Among all HP shows the highest probability of 40 percent to fall down the previous closing price.

V. CONCLUSION

The research is an attempt to compare the performances of five popular stocks using Markov modelling. Markov Chain has no eventual outcome, hence utilizing this strategy to examine and anticipate the stock exchange value is increasingly successful under the market mechanism. However, this being a likelihood estimating method, the anticipated outcomes are basically communicated as likelihood of a specific state of stock costs in the future, rather than be in a flat out state. The finding of the analysis based on 3 year monthly closing price provides insight to the future possibilities of these five stocks. The findings suggest that Reliance has the highest future probability followed by BPCL. While Oil India and IOC has higher probability to remain stable without much fluctuation. HP indicated the highest probability of a fall from the existing state. This finding can be considered while decisions are made on portfolio by an investor. The methodology adopted is simple and reliable as it depends only on the immediate past behavior of the stock prices.
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