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Abstract

The spin ice materials, including Ho₂Ti₂O₇ and Dy₂Ti₂O₇, are rare-earth pyrochlore magnets which, at low temperatures, enter a constrained paramagnetic state with an emergent gauge freedom. Spin ices provide one of very few experimentally realized examples of fractionalization because their elementary excitations can be regarded as magnetic monopoles and, over some temperature range, spin ice materials are best described as liquids of these emergent charges. In the presence of quantum fluctuations, one can obtain, in principle, a quantum spin liquid descended from the classical spin ice state characterized by emergent photon-like excitations. Whereas in classical spin ices the excitations are akin to electrostatic charges with a mutual Coulomb interaction, in the quantum spin liquid these charges interact through a dynamic and emergent electromagnetic field. In this review, we describe the latest developments in the study of such a quantum spin ice, focusing on the spin liquid phenomenology and the kinds of materials where such a phase might be found.
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1. Introduction

Leaving aside molecular magnets, magnetic chains and layered magnets, there are many thousands of magnetic materials known to us. These typically exhibit a low temperature phase with some long-range ordered magnetic structure which, no matter how complicated, can be inferred in principle from the Bragg scattering of neutrons. Their elementary excitations, which are called magnons, are the normal modes of the coupled magnetic moments. Magnons are bosonic quasiparticles, which are associated with gapless dispersing modes when the magnetic interactions have a continuous global symmetry. Suppose one found, in a neutron scattering experiment on a clean cubic magnet, an absence of Bragg peaks well below the Curie–Weiss temperature co-existing with linearly dispersing excitations while heat capacity measurements gave no indications of a phase transition. A remarkable possibility is that such a magnet might have no symmetry-broken order at all and that the magnetic excitations above the ground state behave like charged particles interacting with linearly dispersing radiation. It is the purpose of this review to explain how this possibility might be realized in pyrochlore magnets.

Such an unusual state of matter is one of many possible types of quantum spin liquid—so named because quantum fluctuations are responsible for keeping the spins from entering a long-range ordered phase characterized by some...
broken symmetry even at zero temperature. Quantum spin liquids are to be contrasted with ordinary molecular liquids, which have only short-range order, and superfluid phases, which are symmetry broken phases. They are also to be contrasted with conventional paramagnets and also with collective paramagnets, or so-called classical spin liquids, which are finite temperature states exhibiting nontrivial short-range correlations. Quantum spin liquids are quite different: in common with fractional quantum Hall liquids, they appear disordered to local probes but they have some form of order which is instead ‘encoded’ nonlocally and which is often not characterizable in terms of symmetry. Not only are the natural characterizing observables in quantum spin liquids nonlocal but they are often independent of anything but the topology of the nonlocal observable—such spin liquids are said to be ‘topologically ordered’. For pragmatists, a common feature of quantum spin liquids is that they are quantum phases exhibiting peculiar ‘fractionalized’ excitations meaning that the microscopic degrees of freedom are, for practical purposes, split into parts by strong correlations or, more precisely, as a consequence of having long-range quantum entanglement in the ground state.

Until the mid-1980s, condensed matter physicists had been able to understand a huge variety of different phases of matter—indeed essentially all known states of matter—in terms of symmetry and symmetry breaking. The discovery of the fractional quantum Hall effect in 1986 alerted them to the importance of radically new concepts underlying the organization of matter at low energies. Quantum spin liquids have been the main medium through which theorists have been able to generalize the physics of the fractional quantum Hall effect and the physics of low dimensional magnets and there has been immense progress in the understanding of these states of matter over the last thirty years. Yet no theoretical tool exists that will allow people to determine all possible ways in which matter can organize itself and for really ground-breaking insights we rely on guidance from experiments. It is for this reason that the experimental realization of quantum spin liquids has been eagerly anticipated by the community.

One strategy to uncover these states of matter has been to explore magnets with magnetic ions sitting on lattices of corner-sharing triangles or tetrahedra. Antiferromagnetic exchange (AF) couplings between the ions are highly frustrated on these lattices such that any transition temperature occurs at a scale much lower than the mean-field critical temperature scale. In this way, one might hope that conventional long-range order is evaded entirely. A deeper reason for exploring geometrically frustrated magnets is that the semi-classical ground states are of the order of the total spin moment so that the ground state is a superposition of states such that each spin has no net moment. Later, Anderson [1] proposed that liquid phases of paired spin singlets or valence bonds could form in frustrated magnets and it was this, resonating valence bond (RVB) idea that set into motion the intense period of research into quantum spin liquids that continues to the present day.

lead to a quantum spin liquid that is equivalent to a deconfined phase of a quantum mechanical gauge theory which, as we explain below, corresponds to a fractionalized phase.

At least the semiclassical part of this strategy is beautifully realized by a pair of materials which are becoming perhaps the archetypes of geometrical frustration among real magnetic materials: the spin ices Dy2Ti2O7 and Ho2Ti2O7 [3–5]. A great deal of recent theoretical and experimental effort has been devoted to exploring their rich behaviour at low temperatures, where they enter a collective paramagnetic phase characterized by distinctive magnetic correlations that follow from a local constraint on the magnetic moments on each tetrahedron.

The existence of spin ices is a promising state of affairs for the general research programme of evincing a quantum spin liquid in a magnetic material [2]. Whereas many proposed models with quantum spin liquid ground states are somewhat unphysical, as we explain below, quantum fluctuations acting on the set of spin ice states can be reasonably expected to lead to a quantum spin liquid with gapless photon-like excitations. We call this quantum spin ice. Furthermore, among the relatives of spin ice materials, there is a number of materials where spin ice correlations exist at finite temperature and in which quantum fluctuations appear significant. The low-temperature phases of these materials remain to be understood.

This review is intended to bring together in one place an introduction to the quantum spin ice phase along with a survey of those materials among the pyrochlore magnets most likely to harbour such a quantum spin liquid state. We begin, in section 2.1, by reviewing some aspects of the physics of the classical spin ice because (i) the magnetism in these materials is the precursor state to quantum spin ice and (ii) a familiarity with the microscopic aspects of the pyrochlore magnets gained thereby will be invaluable in assessing the prospects for uncovering a quantum spin liquid among them. In section 3, we review the arguments leading from an XXZ-like model on the pyrochlore lattice to an effective low-energy description of the physics as an emergent electromagnetism. This proceeds in two main steps—by mapping from the spin model to a quantum dimer model (section 3.1) and by mapping from the dimer model to a lattice gauge theory (section 3.2). We then describe the properties of the quantum spin ice phase (section 3.3) and discuss both the stability (section 3.4) and naturalness (section 3.5) of this state of matter. We conclude by describing other contexts in which a $U(1)$ liquid might be found in condensed matter systems (section 3.6). The next main part of the review discusses quantum spin ice from a materials perspective. A discussion of the relevant microscopic features of candidate materials in section 4.1 is followed by a description of the specific features of various candidate quantum spin ices including Tb2Ti2O7 (section 4.2.1), Pr2M2O7 ($M = \text{Sn, Zr}$) (section 4.2.2) and Yb2Ti2O7 (section 4.2.3).

2. Spin ice

2.1. Classical spin ice

Our discussion of the physics of spin ice begins with the problem of classical Ising spins $S_i^z = \pm 1/2$ that reside on the

---

5 The precise definition of a quantum spin liquid is something that has drifted as people’s understanding of them has grown. In their original incarnation, quantum spin liquids were magnets where zero point fluctuations about ordered states are of the order of the total spin moment so that the ground state is a superposition of states such that each spin has no net moment. Later, Anderson [1] proposed that liquid phases of paired spin singlets or valence bonds could form in frustrated magnets and it was this, resonating valence bond (RVB) idea that set into motion the intense period of research into quantum spin liquids that continues to the present day.
sites of a pyrochlore lattice of corner-sharing tetrahedra (see figure 1) and interact among themselves via an AF nearest-neighbour exchange coupling $J_\parallel > 0$. This model, first considered by Anderson in a 1956 paper [6], was aimed at describing the magnetic ordering of spins on the octahedral sites of normal spinels and the related problem of ionic ordering in inverse spinels, both systems having a pyrochlore lattice. The Ising antiferromagnet Hamiltonian, $H_{\text{IAF}}$, of Anderson’s model is

$$H_{\text{IAF}} = J_\parallel \sum_{\langle i,j \rangle} S_i^z S_j^z,$$

with $J_\parallel > 0$ and where the sum is carried over the nearest-neighbour bonds of the pyrochlore lattice. Anderson found that $H_{\text{IAF}}$ admits an exponentially large number of ground states given by the simple rule that each ‘up’ and ‘down’ tetrahedron (figure 1) must have a vanishing net spin. That is, on each tetrahedron, two spins must be ‘up’ and have $S_i^z = +1/2$ and two spins must be ‘down’ and have $S_i^z = -1/2$. Anderson further recognized that this problem is closely related to that of hydrogen bonding in the common hexagonal ($I_h$) phase of water ice or, more precisely, its cubic ($I_c$) phase [7], both being characterized by hydrogen (proton) configurations that obey the two Bernal–Fowler ice rules [7]. The ‘second ice rule’ is the one relevant to Anderson’s model and to the main topic of this review [7]. The second rule states that for each fourfold coordinated oxygen $O^{2-}$ ion, there must two protons ($H^+$) near it and covalently bonded to that reference $O^{2-}$ ion, hence providing a hydrogen bond to two neighbouring $O^{2-}$ ions (hence $H_2O$ molecules). At the same time, there are two far protons, which are themselves covalently bonded to the two other oxygen ions and hence hydrogen-bonded ‘back’ to the original reference $O^{2-}$ ion. In other words, for each $O^{2-}$ ion, there are two protons near and covalently bonded to it and two farther protons hydrogen-bonded to it [3–5]. This rule leads to an underconstrained system in regards to the number of minimum energy proton configurations in $I_h$ and $I_c$ which, in return, leads to an exponentially large number of nearly degenerate proton configurations. Pauling had estimated in 1935 [8] the number of ice-rule fulfilling ground states in water ice and the resulting low-temperature residual entropy $S_0$, finding close agreement with the experimental value being determined at about the same time by Giauque et al [9]. Pauling’s reasoning for estimating $S_0$ can be adapted to Anderson’s model in a number of closely related ways [3–5]. One finds $S_0 \sim (Nk_B / 2) \ln(3/2)$, where $N$ is the number of magnetic sites on the pyrochlore lattice and $k_B$ is the Boltzmann constant. Pauling’s estimate is accurate within a few per cent of the more precise estimate, both for $I_h$ [10] and the Anderson model (or, equivalently, $I_c$) [11].

Anderson’s AF model with Ising spins pointing along the $±\hat{z}$ direction (as in figure 1) is unrealistic since there is no reason for the spins to prefer the $\hat{z}$-axis over the $\hat{x}$- or $\hat{y}$-axes in a system such as the pyrochlore lattice which has global cubic symmetry. Consequently, that model did not much attract the attention of theorists and experimentalists investigating real magnetic materials for a long time. This changed with the 1997 discovery by Harris, Bramwell and co-workers of frustrated ferromagnetism in the insulating Ho$_2$Ti$_2$O$_7$ pyrochlore oxide [12, 13]. In Ho$_2$Ti$_2$O$_7$, the magnetic Ho$^{3+}$ ions reside on a pyrochlore lattice while Ti$^{4+}$ is non-magnetic. Despite overall ferromagnetic (FM) interactions characterized by a positive Curie–Weiss temperature, $\theta_{\text{CW}} \approx +2$ K, Ho$_2$Ti$_2$O$_7$ was found to not develop conventional long-range magnetic order down to at least 50 mK [12, 13]. To rationalize this surprising behaviour, Harris and co-workers put forward the following argument. Because of the strong local crystal electric field of trigonal symmetry acting at the magnetic sites, each Ho$^{3+}$ magnetic moment is forced to point strictly ‘in’ or ‘out’ of the two tetrahedra joined by that site, a direction that is along the pertinent cubic [1 1 1] threefold symmetry axis that passes through the middle of the two site-joined tetrahedra (see figure 2). This large magnetic anisotropy allows one to describe the orientation of a Ho$^{3+}$ magnetic moment by an effective classical Ising spin $S_i^z$, with the $\hat{z}$ quantization direction now the local [1 1 1] direction at site $i$. For a single tetrahedron with such a [1 1 1] Ising spin at each of the four corners of a tetrahedron, and interacting with a nearest-neighbour FM coupling, the minimum energy is one of six states with two spins pointing ‘in’ and two spins pointing ‘out’. The ‘up’/‘down’ directions of Anderson’s AF model in equation (1) now become the ‘in’/‘out’ directions of the frustrated FM Ising system [14, 15]. This point can be made clearer by considering the following simple model of interacting microscopic angular momenta $J_i$, written as $H_{\text{PM}} = -J_\alpha \sum_{\langle i,j \rangle} J_i \cdot J_j$, where $J_\alpha > 0$ is FM. With $J_i$ constrained to point along the local $\hat{z}_i$ [1 1 1] Ising direction, we
have $J_i = 2\langle J^z_i \rangle S_i^z$ with $\langle J^z_i \rangle$ the magnitude of the angular momentum $J$ of the rare-earth ion. Since $\hat{z}_i \cdot \hat{z}_j = -1/3$ on the pyrochlore lattice, we recover the model of equation (1) with $J_i = \frac{4\mu_0}{3} \langle J^z_i \rangle^2$. The ferromagnetically coupled spins forced to point along local (1 1 1) directions thus become equivalent to the frustrated AF Ising model of Anderson in equation (1).

However, unlike the AF model, the FM model with local (1 1 1) Ising spins is physical since the crystal field responsible for the effective Ising nature of the magnetic moments is compatible with the cubic symmetry of the system (figure 2). On the other hand, as in the AF model, the number of ground states in this frustrated Ising ferromagnet model is exponentially large in the system size, resulting in extensive residual entropy, again given approximately by the Pauling entropy $S_0$. In accord with this prediction, a number of magnetic specific heat measurements, and thus magnetic entropy, have confirmed that Ho$_2$Ti$_2$O$_7$ [16] and Dy$_2$Ti$_2$O$_7$ [17], along with the Sn-variants, Ho$_2$Sn$_2$O$_7$ [18] and Dy$_2$Sn$_2$O$_7$ [19], indeed possess a low-temperature residual entropy consistent with $S_0$. Recent developments in high-pressure materials synthesis have allowed people to make Ho$_2$Ge$_2$O$_7$ and Dy$_2$Ge$_2$O$_7$, with these also displaying a residual entropy falling significantly below the Pauling estimate [20].

Perhaps at least as interesting as the experimental determination of an $S_0$ residual entropy in these systems is the observation, originally made by Harris et al [12], that the ‘in’/‘out’ Ising spins in the frustrated pyrochlore Ising ferromagnets can be seen to physically represent, or ‘map onto’, the hydrogen bonding or, more precisely, the proton displacement with respect to the midpoint between two O$^{2-}$ ions in water ice [3–5]. This observation led Harris, Bramwell and co-workers to coin the name spin ice for these systems.

While the frustrated nearest-neighbour FM Ising model helped rationalize the thermodynamic and magnetic properties of spin ice compounds [12], it was nevertheless initially found rather puzzling why these materials should be described by such a simple model or even possess a residual low-temperature magnetic entropy equal to $S_0$ [23]. While the resolution of this paradox for classical spin ice may at first hand appear peripheral to the topic of this review, it will prove of significant importance and rich in physical insight when we later discuss the low-energy excitations in quantum spin ice.

In the Ho and Dy based spin ice compounds, the magnetic moment $\mu$ of the Ho$^{3+}$ and Dy$^{3+}$ ions is of the order of $\mu \sim 10\mu_B$ [24]. Considering a nearest-neighbour distance $r_{nn} \sim 3.6$ Å in (Ho, Dy)$_2$(Ti, Sn, Ge)$_2$O$_7$ spin ices, one finds the scale for the magnetostatic dipolar interactions among nearest neighbours to be $D \sim 1.4$ K [23, 24]. We thus have $D \sim |\theta_{CW}|$ in these systems and dipolar interactions must therefore be considered carefully at the outset when discussing spin ice physics in real materials [23]. Crucially, magnetostatic dipole–dipole interactions display two key features that would seem to make them antagonistic to the formation of a degenerate low-temperature state with extensive entropy. Firstly, they are very long-range, decaying as $1/|r_{ij}|^{6}$ with the separation distance $|r_{ij}|$ between magnetic moments. Secondly, they strongly couple the direction of the magnetic moments, $\mu_i$ and $\mu_j$, at positions $r_i$ and $r_j$, respectively, with the relative position vector $r_{ij} \equiv r_j - r_i$. Both properties would naively appear to dramatically constrain admissible minimum energy orientations of the moments well beyond the ice rule imposed by the nearest-neighbour model of equation (1). Interestingly, one finds that dipolar interactions between local (1 1 1) Ising moments truncated at the nearest-neighbour distance are ferromagnetic-like, as in the Harris et al Frustrated Ising model [12, 14, 15]. This dipolar spin ice model (DSIM) [23], and its subsequent generalization, including additional short-range Ising exchange interactions [25, 26], has proven highly successful at explaining quantitatively a wide variety of behaviour displayed by spin ice compounds. Perhaps most noteworthy about the DSIM is the observation, first made through Monte Carlo simulations [23], that the long-range part of the dipolar interactions beyond the nearest-neighbour distance appears ‘self-screened’ [27]. That is, they only lead to a transition to long-range order at a temperature $T_c \sim 0.07D/k_B$ [28, 29]. This critical temperature is much lower than the temperature $T_{SI} \sim D/k_B$ at which the system crosses over from the trivial paramagnetic state to the spin ice regime, characterized by a fulfilment of the ice rules, and marked by a broad peak in the magnetic specific heat [17, 23]$^9$. This behaviour originates from a remarkable feature of the anisotropic nature of the dipolar interactions on the pyrochlore lattice [23, 27]. Namely, on this lattice, magnetostatic dipole–dipole interactions differ very little, and only at short distance, from a model Hamiltonian with nearest-neighbour interactions.

$^9$ This argument omits the nearest-neighbour exchange interaction $J_{ex}$. For positive FM $J_{ex}$ the crossover temperature $T_{SI} \sim J_{ex}/3 + 5D/3$ [3–5, 23].
with the ground state degeneracy as that prescribed by the ice rules [30]. Of the two frameworks explaining that phenomenology [30–32], the one that we discuss next is the most relevant to the topic of this review.

Perhaps the formulation that has been most simply able to capture in one sweep the key features of the DSIM is the so-called dumbbell model of Castelnovo, Moessner and Sondhi [31]. In essence, the dumbbell model is a way of visualizing a dumbbell model of Castelnovo, Moessner and Sondhi [31]. In essence, the dumbbell model is a way of visualizing a dumbbell model of Castelnovo, Moessner and Sondhi [31]. In essence, the dumbbell model is a way of visualizing a dumbbell model of Castelnovo, Moessner and Sondhi [31]. In essence, the dumbbell model is a way of visualizing a dumbbell model of Castelnovo, Moessner and Sondhi [31]. In essence, the dumbbell model is a way of visualizing a dumbbell model of Castelnovo, Moessner and Sondhi [31]. In essence, the dumbbell model is a way of visualizing a dumbbell model of Castelnovo, Moessner and Sondhi [31]. In essence, the dumbbell model is a way of visualizing a dumbbell model of Castelnovo, Moessner and Sondhi [31]. In essence, the dumbbell model is a way of visualizing a dumbbell model of Castelnovo, Moessner and Sondhi [31].

In section 3, the present magnetic charges correspond to the classical limit of the magnetic charge of the $U(1)$ description of the quantum spin liquid. In the present case of the classical dipolar spin ice, these are a remarkable manifestation of the ‘fractionalization’ of the individual elementary dipole moment (spin) flip excitation and, because of the $1/r_d$ nature of their mutual Coulomb interaction, the work to separate two charges of opposite sign is finite and the charges are said to be ‘deconfined’ [31, 32].

The paper [31], highlighting the existence of monopole excitations of energetic origin in the dipolar spin ice materials, has led to a number of experiments aimed at probing their effects on various magnetic properties. Because this chapter in the history of spin ices fits neatly into a discussion of the experimental probes of exotic excitations, we briefly describe a cross-section of this work. We direct the reader to recent reviews for a more extensive discussion [32, 34]. For the purpose of this review, it suffices to say that, while the description of the low-energy excitations in dipolar spin ice model in terms of monopoles is most likely correct, few experiments can be said to have positively exposed monopoles in classical spin ice compounds. Some first generation experiments using muon spin relaxation (muSR) [39] and relaxation of the bulk magnetization [40] have later been subject to a controversy in the former case [41–43] and the interpretation critiqued in the latter [44]. The temperature dependence of the relaxation time extracted from magnetic susceptibility has been partially rationalized in terms of diffusive motion of monopoles [36, 37], but such a description becomes less compelling deep in the spin ice regime [44], either because of complexities arising from sample quality and/or disorder issues [44] or yet other aspects of the real materials that have not been incorporated into the magnetic Coulomb gas theoretical framework [36, 37]. From another perspective,
we note that the assignment of the temperature dependence of the width of the neutron scattering lineshape near the so-called ‘pinch points’ in the Ho₂Te₂O₇ material [35] to the thermal nucleation of monopoles with separations of O(10⁵) Å is not evident. The reason for that is that the lowest temperature considered in [35] was barely below \( T_{\text{SI}} \sim 1.9 \) K at which this material enters the spin ice state [45][10]. The monopole discussed in section 3.2. In this effective field theory [49], can be seen most clearly from the compact gauge theory spin ice, which we call a vison. The nature of this excitation might be observed in certain pyrochlore magnets. A \( U(1) \) spin liquid in three dimensions is a collective paramagnetic phase of matter with fractionalized excitations at low energy that are gapless, with linear dispersion \( \omega \sim |k| \) and with two transverse polarizations. In short, these excitations behave like particles of light. From the standpoint of modern relativistic quantum field theory, physicists regard gauge invariance, and hence electromagnetic radiation, as being the inevitable consequence of having a quantum theory of relativistic massless spin one particles [57]. In the present condensed matter context, the reasoning is turned around: the quantum spin liquid has an emergent low energy gauge redundancy so that localized magnetic excitations of spin one, which have no preferred axis because there is no spontaneous symmetry breaking, lose one polarization and behave instead like particles of light. In contrast to magnons in long-range magnetically ordered

### Table 1. Naming conventions for excitations and fields in quantum spin ice. Our conventions are highlighted.

| Coarse-grained field present also in classical limit | Magnetic field \( B \) or \( H \) | [31, 54–56] |
| Polarization \( P \) | [33] |
| Spin field \( S \) | [30] |
| Electric field \( e \) | [49] |
| Flipped spin defects/emergent charges on diamond lattice | Magnetic monopole/charge | [31, 55, 56] + spin ice literature since 2008 |
| Electric charge | [49] from gauge theory literature |
| Spinon | [51, 52] from quantum spin liquid literature |
| Gapped topological defects | Vison | [54] and quantum spin liquid literature |
| Magnetic monopole | [49] from gauge theory literature |
| Electric charge | [56] |

10 The existence of a pinch point at \( T \gg T_{\text{SI}} \) [35], deep in the paramagnetic regime, is presumably due to the pinch-point singularity arising directly from the anisotropic \( 1/r^3 \) dipolar interaction [46].

confusion might easily arise. We have tried to keep our notation consistent with that, so far, predominantly employed by classical spin ice researchers and, for this reason, have swapped the convention—the vison appears as a source of electric field while the magnetic monopole keeps its identity as the emergent charge familiar from classical spin ice [31].

The vison borrows its name from the literature on quantum spin liquids where, to our knowledge, it first appeared to describe fluxes in \( Z_2 \) gauge theory [50]. This literature also uses the term spinon [51, 52] (or fractionalized spin) for the charges that coherently hop on the diamond lattice sites and which decohere into the magnetic monopoles of classical spin ice at finite temperature [53].

Figure 3 shows how the excitations are organized by energy scale in quantum spin ice.

### 3. Quantum spin ice

Quantum spin ice is a type of \( U(1) \) quantum spin liquid which might be observed in certain pyrochlore magnets. A \( U(1) \) spin liquid in three dimensions is a collective paramagnetic phase of matter with fractionalized excitations at low energy that are gapless, with linear dispersion \( \omega \sim |k| \) and with two transverse polarizations. In short, these excitations behave like particles of light. From the standpoint of modern relativistic quantum field theory, physicists regard gauge invariance, and hence electromagnetic radiation, as being the inevitable consequence of having a quantum theory of relativistic massless spin one particles [57]. In the present condensed matter context, the reasoning is turned around: the quantum spin liquid has an emergent low energy gauge redundancy so that localized magnetic excitations of spin one, which have no preferred axis because there is no spontaneous symmetry breaking, lose one polarization and behave instead like particles of light. In contrast to magnons in long-range magnetically ordered
phases, which have two polarizations because one direction is fixed by the broken symmetry, the fact of having two polarizations of photon excitations, whether fundamental or emergent, is enforced by gauge invariance. In this section, we shall see in a little more detail how magnetic interactions may give rise to a variant of ordinary quantum electrodynamics. We then describe various properties of these exotic phases and review some of the ways in which they might be probed experimentally in real quantum magnets. Next, we consider the naturalness of quantum spin ice models and discuss, from a very general perspective, the prospects of seeing quantum spin liquids as well as putting quantum mechanics into the broader context of understanding quantum spin liquid phases.

3.1. From a spin model to loops

We begin by returning to classical spin ice because it is, in some sense to be made more precise later, the precursor state to the quantum spin liquid state of quantum spin ice systems. Also, it will give us a classical example in which a $U(1)$ gauge redundancy appears, or really emerges, at low energies in a magnet. The key to making a spin ice is to frustrate an Ising model by putting it on a pyrochlore lattice (see figure 2). As discussed earlier in section 2.1, in real magnets, the Ising spins interact in spin space as though they were pointing along the local [1 1 1] Ising direction. We shall discuss in section 4.1 the dipolar interaction about the spin ice background fractionalizes the Hilbert space of ice states as $2^{V}$, where $V$ is the number of tetrahedra in the infinite $\mathbb{Z}^3$-sublattice. We denote spins pointing in and two pointing out of each tetrahedron as the ‘ice rule’. The characteristic energy scale for quantum spin ice including the approximate energy scales and different naming conventions.

The gauge invariance of classical spin ice turns out to be crucial to the quantum case to which we now turn. We now allow for the presence of (perturbative) ‘transverse’ nearest-neighbour exchange couplings in addition to the ‘longitudinal’ (Ising) exchange part defined by equation (3). Our only requirement is that the transverse couplings should have a characteristic energy scale $J_{\perp} \ll J_{\parallel}$ so that there is little mixing of the ice rule states with canted spin states away from the local [1 1 1] Ising direction. We shall discuss in section 4.1 the most general nearest-neighbour symmetry-allowed anisotropic Hamiltonian on the pyrochlore lattice that does not commute with $H_{\text{CSI}}$ and thus causes quantum dynamics. For now, we consider a minimal spin model that contains quantum dynamics within a spin ice state and which is a sort of local XXXZ model with transverse coupling $J_{\perp}$.

$$H_{\text{CSI}} = J_{\parallel} \sum_{\langle ij \rangle} S_i^z S_j^z.$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

To emphasize something we have already mentioned: this classical Hamiltonian has a hugely degenerate ground state composed of spin configurations fulfilling the ‘ice rule’ of two spins pointing in and two pointing out of each tetrahedron as illustrated in figure 2 and the top panel of figure 6. We denote the Hilbert space of ice states as $2^{V}$. The spectrum of states has a gap of $4J_{\parallel}$ to flipped spin defects. The ice rule can be formulated as $\sum_{a} S_a \cdot \hat{z}_a = 0$ (where the sum runs over all the sublattice sites $a$ of a tetrahedron) for each tetrahedral element of the pyrochlore lattice. This condition is a zero divergence condition on a lattice [34], which may be coarse-grained to $\nabla \cdot B = 0$ where the ‘magnetic field’ $B$ is a coarse-grained analogue of the spin field $S_a$ on the lattice. Since any vector field can be decomposed into the sum of two fields with, respectively, zero divergence and zero curl, in order to obtain thermodynamic quantities within the restricted ($\nabla \cdot B = 0$) manifold of spin ice states, one must average solely over the circulation of $B$. In dramatic contrast to conventional long-range ordered magnets at low energies, in spin ices, this coarse-grained circulation is unconstrained and runs over a number of states that scales as $\exp(aV)$ in the volume $V$ of the system. We can look at the divergence-free constraint as an emergent gauge invariance, since one may introduce a vector potential $A$ such that $B = \nabla \times A$ and could carry out gauge transformations on $A$ that would leave the divergence-free condition invariant. At finite but low temperatures, the divergence-free condition is weakly violated by the thermal excitation of spin flip defects (i.e. the ‘monopoles’ of the classical dipolar spin ice). As the electrostatic analogy suggests, these defects behave like sources of $B$ and, at temperatures where such effective charges are dilute, spin ice should behave much like a dilute plasma described in the grand canonical ensemble [36–38]. This physics becomes richer still when the underlying microscopic magnetic moments, $\mu_a \propto S_a$, interact through a long-range dipolar coupling—hence the review of dipolar spin ices in section 2.1. In particular, as discussed in section 2.1, the dipolar interaction about the spin ice background fractionalizes into an energetic Coulomb interaction between defects in a background of tetrahedra satisfying the spin ice rule [31].

The gauge invariance of classical spin ice turns out to be crucial to the quantum case to which we now turn. We now allow for the presence of (perturbative) ‘transverse’ nearest-neighbour exchange couplings in addition to the ‘longitudinal’ (Ising) exchange part defined by equation (3). Our only requirement is that the transverse couplings should have a characteristic energy scale $J_{\perp} \ll J_{\parallel}$ so that there is little mixing of the ice rule states with canted spin states away from the local [1 1 1] Ising direction. We shall discuss in section 4.1 the most general nearest-neighbour symmetry-allowed anisotropic Hamiltonian on the pyrochlore lattice that does not commute with $H_{\text{CSI}}$ and thus causes quantum dynamics. For now, we consider a minimal spin model that contains quantum dynamics within a spin ice state and which is a sort of local XXXZ model with transverse coupling $J_{\perp}$.

$$H_{\text{QSI}} = H_{\text{CSI}} + H_{\perp} = H_{\text{CSI}} - J_{\perp} \sum_{\langle ij \rangle} (S_i^+ S_j^- + S_i^- S_j^+).$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)

To emphasize something we have already mentioned: this classical Hamiltonian has a hugely degenerate ground state composed of spin configurations fulfilling the ‘ice rule’ of two spins pointing in and two pointing out of each tetrahedron as illustrated in figure 2 and the top panel of figure 6. We denote the Hilbert space of ice states as $2^{V}$. The spectrum of states has a gap of $4J_{\parallel}$ to flipped spin defects. The ice rule can be formulated as $\sum_{a} S_a \cdot \hat{z}_a = 0$ (where the sum runs over all the sublattice sites $a$ of a tetrahedron) for each tetrahedral element of the pyrochlore lattice. This condition is a zero divergence condition on a lattice [34], which may be coarse-grained to $\nabla \cdot B = 0$ where the ‘magnetic field’ $B$ is a coarse-grained analogue of the spin field $S_a$ on the lattice. Since any vector field can be decomposed into the sum of two fields with, respectively, zero divergence and zero curl, in order to obtain thermodynamic quantities within the restricted ($\nabla \cdot B = 0$) manifold of spin ice states, one must average solely over the circulation of $B$. In dramatic contrast to conventional long-range ordered magnets at low energies, in spin ices, this coarse-grained circulation is unconstrained and runs over a number of states that scales as $\exp(aV)$ in the volume $V$ of the system. We can look at the divergence-free constraint as an emergent gauge invariance, since one may introduce a vector potential $A$ such that $B = \nabla \times A$ and could carry out gauge transformations on $A$ that would leave the divergence-free condition invariant. At finite but low temperatures, the divergence-free condition is weakly violated by the thermal excitation of spin flip defects (i.e. the ‘monopoles’ of the classical dipolar spin ice). As the electrostatic analogy suggests, these defects behave like sources of $B$ and, at temperatures where such effective charges are dilute, spin ice should behave much like a dilute plasma described in the grand canonical ensemble [36–38]. This physics becomes richer still when the underlying microscopic magnetic moments, $\mu_a \propto S_a$, interact through a long-range dipolar coupling—hence the review of dipolar spin ices in section 2.1. In particular, as discussed in section 2.1, the dipolar interaction about the spin ice background fractionalizes into an energetic Coulomb interaction between defects in a background of tetrahedra satisfying the spin ice rule [31].

The gauge invariance of classical spin ice turns out to be crucial to the quantum case to which we now turn. We now allow for the presence of (perturbative) ‘transverse’ nearest-neighbour exchange couplings in addition to the ‘longitudinal’ (Ising) exchange part defined by equation (3). Our only requirement is that the transverse couplings should have a characteristic energy scale $J_{\perp} \ll J_{\parallel}$ so that there is little mixing of the ice rule states with canted spin states away from the local [1 1 1] Ising direction. We shall discuss in section 4.1 the most general nearest-neighbour symmetry-allowed anisotropic Hamiltonian on the pyrochlore lattice that does not commute with $H_{\text{CSI}}$ and thus causes quantum dynamics. For now, we consider a minimal spin model that contains quantum dynamics within a spin ice state and which is a sort of local XXXZ model with transverse coupling $J_{\perp}$.

$$H_{\text{QSI}} = H_{\text{CSI}} + H_{\perp} = H_{\text{CSI}} - J_{\perp} \sum_{\langle ij \rangle} (S_i^+ S_j^- + S_i^- S_j^+).$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)

We shall comment, in section 3.5, on the conditions under which real materials may exhibit such a $J_{\perp} \ll J_{\parallel}$ separation of energy scales.
The centres of the tetrahedra. The diamond lattice sites lie at lattice showing one closed hexagonal loop (in red) and a flippable spin configuration around that loop. The diamond lattice sites lie at the centres of the tetrahedra.

The reason for considering such a separation of scales is that we require the manifold of classical spin ice states \( \mathcal{I} \), which form a reference classical spin liquid [2], to be the background on which quantum fluctuations can act perturbatively. This allows one to carry out perturbation theory in the transverse couplings—the zeroth order states being the whole manifold \( \mathcal{I} \) of degenerate ice states. The lowest order terms derive from a canonical perturbation theory that preserve the ice rule constraint are ring exchange terms that live on the hexagonal loops on the pyrochlore lattice (figure 4). Up to a numerical prefactor, the effective low-energy Hamiltonian that describes quantum fluctuations within \( \mathcal{I} \) is

\[
H_{\text{ring}} \sim \frac{J_1}{J_2} \sum_{\text{hex}} S^+_{h,1} S^-_{h,2} S^+_{h,3} S^-_{h,4} S^+_{h,5} S^-_{h,6} + h.c.,
\]

where the sum is taken over the set of all hexagonal plaquettes in the pyrochlore lattice labelled by \{\text{hex}\}. It turns out that the sign of the ring exchange coupling \( J_{\text{ring}} \sim J_1^3/J_2^2 \) is not important in the limit \( J_{\text{ring}} \ll J_1 \) since one can unitarily transform one sign to the other [49]. The \( U(1) \) liquid phase of the quantum spin ice model arises from this effective Hamiltonian. The ring exchange term has a local gauge invariance: one can perform a rotation of the spin coordinate frame about the local \([1 1 1]\) \( \hat{z} \)-axis on a given tetrahedron \( \prod_{i \in G} \exp(i\theta S^z_i) \), where the product is taken over sites \( i \) on pyrochlore tetrahedron \( \hat{r} \), which may capture 0 or 2 vertices of a hexagonal ring exchange operator. This rotation leaves the effective ring exchange Hamiltonian of equation (5) unchanged. The notation \( U(1) \) refers to the fact that the local transformation that leaves the Hamiltonian invariant is an element of the \( U(1) \) group of \( 1 \times 1 \) unitary matrices (complex phases). More generally, to all orders in the perturbation expansion in \( J_\perp \), there is a local gauge invariance of this sort.

Now that we have motivated the existence of dominant ring exchange terms in certain effective low-energy Hamiltonian models of pyrochlore magnets, we seek to understand the resulting low-energy phase. This discussion will take a while and involve ramified ideas. The problem of understanding the ring exchange model has been tackled in several different ways that are summarized in figure 5. In particular, it is helpful to recognize the ring exchange model as a type of quantum dimer model on the diamond lattice as illustrated in figure 6. We refer the reader to [49, 55, 56] and to figure 6 for further details of the mapping to the dimer model. The main idea is that the spin ice states correspond to so-called ‘loop coverings’ of the diamond lattice where dimers on the links of the diamond lattice are placed end-to-end. In this representation, the spin ice rule is equivalent to the constraint that every diamond site has exactly two dimers connected to it. The pyrochlore ring exchange Hamiltonian of equation (5) can be written in a form that symbolizes the quantum dynamics of these dimers

\[
H_{\text{Dimer}} = -V \sum_{\text{plaq.}} \left( \begin{array}{c} \uparrow \uparrow \downarrow \downarrow \\ \downarrow \downarrow \uparrow \uparrow \end{array} \right) + \left( \begin{array}{c} \uparrow \downarrow \downarrow \uparrow \\ \downarrow \uparrow \uparrow \downarrow \end{array} \right),
\]

so the \( V \sim O(J_1^3/J_2^2) \) effect of the hexagonal ring exchange is to cause dimers to resonate around hexagonal plaquettes on the pyrochlore lattice.

It is possible to gain some insight into this model by adding the number operator for flippable plaquettes, \( H_{\text{N}} \), to \( H_{\text{Dimer}} \). The \( H_{\text{N}} \) term, which alone maximizes or minimizes the number of flippable hexagons depending on the sign of the coupling and allows one to tune the theory of quantum dimers to an exactly solvable Rokhsar–Kivelson (RK) point [49, 58], is given by:

\[
H_{\text{N}} = \mu \sum_{\text{plaq.}} \left( \begin{array}{c} \uparrow \downarrow \uparrow \downarrow \\ \downarrow \uparrow \uparrow \downarrow \end{array} \right) + \left( \begin{array}{c} \uparrow \downarrow \downarrow \uparrow \\ \downarrow \uparrow \uparrow \downarrow \end{array} \right).
\]

At the RK point \( V = \mu \), one may write down the exact ground state wavefunction and obtain information about the excitations, for example through a single mode approximation [49, 54, 58] or by computing certain correlation functions in this model numerically exactly using classical Monte Carlo. The underlying insight in the latter procedure is that the ground state wavefunction of the RK model is an equal weight superposition of different ‘loop coverings’, which can be sampled using Monte Carlo at infinite temperature [59, 60]. The result is that the low-energy spectrum is gapless with a \( k^2 \) dispersion precisely at the RK point [49, 54]. This and other aspects of the RK point can be captured using an effective field theory, which also allows one to infer the form of the phase diagram in figure 7 of the model \( H = H_{\text{Dimer}} + H_{\text{N}} \) [49, 54]. On one side of the RK point, \( \mu > V \), perturbation theory tells us that the ground state immediately enters into a long-range ordered crystalline dimer phase. On the opposite side,
Figure 5. Showing the conceptual relationships between various models mentioned in the review which have been instrumental in understanding the $U(1)$ liquid phase in pyrochlore lattice magnets.

Figure 6. Showing how the loop manifold for the dimer model is constructed from the spin ice states. (a) shows one particular spin ice configuration on a pair of tetrahedra on the pyrochlore lattice and (b) shows the corresponding dimer links of the diamond lattice connecting the centres of the pyrochlore tetrahedra. The spin configuration in (a) is mapped to the dimer configuration (blue/yellow rods) in (b) as follows. The diamond lattice is bipartite so that alternating pyrochlore tetrahedra can be labelled A and B. Suppose the left tetrahedron is an A tetrahedron. The rule to make a loop configuration is to lay a dimer along a diamond lattice link when a moment points into an A tetrahedron (or out of a B tetrahedron). Evidently, the ice states lead to dimer configurations where exactly two dimers meet at each diamond lattice site. The set of such states is acted on by the quantum dimer Hamiltonian $H = H_{\text{Dimer}} + H_N$ given in the main text. See equations (6) and (7).

Figure 7. Phase diagram of the fully packed loop quantum dimer model described in the main text. For the diamond lattice model relevant to pyrochlore quantum spin ice, the transition between the quantum liquid and the long-range ordered state occurs at $\mu/V = -0.5$ \cite{55}. The qualitative form of the phase diagram is shared by quantum dimer models in three dimensions with tuning parameter $\mu/V$ \cite{49, 54, 61}.

we expect the number of flippable plaquettes to be maximized when $\mu$ is sufficiently large and negative—producing another state with long-range order which has been named ‘squiggle state’ for the intertwined loops of dimers characterizing the phase \cite{55}. Directly away from the RK point, for $\mu \lesssim V$ where the resonating plaquette term $H_{\text{Dimer}}$ is important, a liquid state should persist within some window of couplings $(\mu/V)_c < (\mu/V) < 1$. The presence of a linearly dispersing photon-like low-energy excitation mode within this window can be inferred from an effective field theory \cite{49, 54}. Specifically, one finds that, while the dispersion is strictly quadratic at the RK gapless point, the dispersion becomes linear for $\mu/V < 1$. This can be rationalized on the basis of an effective non-compact field theory: a term $(\nabla \times A)^2$ which vanishes at the RK point is a relevant perturbation which immediately drives the system into the $U(1)$ phase away from the RK point \cite{49, 54}.

More recently, concrete evidence for the presence of a $U(1)$ phase has come from numerics. Fortunately, the dimer Hamiltonian, $H = H_{\text{Dimer}} + H_N$ of equations (6) and (7) has no
sign problem and can be studied using quantum Monte Carlo (QMC). In the guise of a hard core boson model on a diamond lattice with large nearest-neighbour repulsion, the dimer model also arises at low energies. Such a model has been simulated using QMC implemented using the stochastic series expansion (SSE) method [62]. These simulations find a state with no superfluid order and no Bragg peaks in the structure factor, and there thus appears to be a liquid phase down to the lowest temperatures accessed by the simulations. In a recent zero temperature Monte Carlo study, Shannon et al mapped out the whole phase diagram of the dimer model [55]. In particular, the authors of [55] found further evidence for a gapless µ/V mode with linear dispersion persisting across a finite window between the exactly solvable RK point and the authors of [55] found further evidence for a gapless ‘soft’ constraint with tunable coupling through an extra term described above) and rename them \( B_{k} \). Now, the constraint on \( Q_1 \) in equation (9) is

\[
\sum_{k \in \text{links}} B_k = 0, \tag{11}
\]

which is recognizably Gauss’ law discretized on a lattice.

The ring exchange term of equation (5) gets modified when we enlarge the Hilbert space from the dimer model by moving over to the occupation number operators \( n_k \). The conjugate variables to \( n_k \) are phases \( \phi_k \) and the operators \( \exp(\pm i\phi_k) \) raise (+) and lower (−) the occupation numbers on links. In the next step, to make the correspondence with a \( U(1) \) gauge theory, we give these phases an orientation on the links (as described above) and rename them \( \phi_k \). With these steps, we obtain the Hamiltonian [49, 63, 64]

\[
H_{\text{Gauge}} = U \sum_{L \in \text{links}} B_L^2 - k \sum_{P} \cos \left( \sum_{L \in P} A_L \right), \tag{12}
\]

where the sum over \( A_L \) is an oriented sum around a hexagon and we call the flux variable through a given hexagonal plaquette \( P \) the electric flux \( F_P \). This electric flux is not to be confused with the physical (or fundamental) electric field that would enter the theory when considering, for example, the dielectric response of the system [65].

In summary, the first term on the right hand side of the Hamiltonian in equation (12) is there to impose the constraint on the (diamond lattice) link occupation number \( n_k \) so that it assumes only values 0 and 1. The second term in equation (12) is the ring exchange of equation (6), but now written explicitly in terms of a vector potential \( A_L \). The model is consistent when Gauss’ law, equation (11), is satisfied. This is a quantum theory because the field components satisfy canonical commutation relations \( [B_L, A_{L'}] = -i\delta_{LL'} \). Taken together, these ingredients constitute a version of quantum electromagnetism on the lattice with \( B_L \) as the magnetic field components and the cosine in the right hand term of the Hamiltonian (equation (12)) as the lattice analogue of electric flux. Schematically, this route from the dimer model to a gauge theory is outlined in figure 8.

We note that the vector potential \( A_L \) is defined modulo \( 2\pi \) in contrast to ordinary electromagnetism in the continuum where it is defined over all real numbers. The effective low energy gauge theory of quantum spin ice is therefore a compact \( U(1) \) gauge theory with gauge group \( U(1) \), the group of 3.2. From loops to a gauge theory

One can gain a great deal of physical insight into the quantum spin ice model by making a set of transformations from the dimer Hamiltonian equations (6) and (7) to obtain a lattice gauge theory [49, 63, 64]. These transformations require two main steps: the first is to enlarge the Hilbert space of the model by allowing the link dimer occupation numbers to take any integer value on each link \( L \), not only zero and one. The associated dimer occupation operator is \( n_L \).

The original physical subspace of \( S^2 \) eigenvalues, \( S^2_L = \pm 1/2 \), is a subset of \( S^2_L = n_L - 1/2 \) with eigenvalues of \( n_L \) running over all integers. To recover the original physical subspace \( (S^2 = \pm 1/2) \), which corresponds to the aforementioned hardcore dimer constraint, one introduces a 'soft' constraint with tunable coupling through an extra term in the Hamiltonian of the form

\[
H_{\text{Constraint}} = U \sum_{L} \left( n_L - \frac{1}{2} \right)^2. \tag{8}
\]

There is also a requirement for a second constraint which, taken together with the constraint on the occupation numbers on a link, imposes the ice-rule condition. This second constraint takes the form

\[
Q_1 = \sum_{L \in \text{Diamond sites}} n_L = 2, \tag{9}
\]

where the sum runs over the four links connected to a given diamond site \( I \). The dimer constraint introduced in the previous section is that exactly two dimers connect to each diamond site. Now, the ‘soft’ constraint of equation (8) together with \( Q_1 = 2 \) enforce the ice-rule pattern of dimers. The constraint \( Q_1 = 2 \) can be thought of as an analogue of Gauss’ law. To see this, we assign an orientation to the diamond lattice links using the bipartiteness of that lattice as follows. The \( A \) sites are those defined as ‘UP’ tetrahedra in figure 1 and the \( B \) sites corresponding to the ‘DOWN’ tetrahedra. We let the links have orientation towards the \( A \) sites and away from the \( B \) sites. Having done this, we introduce so-called oriented link variables defined through

\[
B_{k} = \pm \left( n_k - \frac{1}{2} \right) \tag{10}
\]

which we call magnetic fields (since they are related to the orientation of the physical microscopic magnetic moments) and with the sign reversed when the orientation is reversed \( B_{k'} = -B_{k} \).
The perspective on the quantum spin ice problem gained by thinking about the deconfined phase of $U(1)$ lattice gauge theory allows us to infer the nature of the excitations in the dimer model. At energies below the scale of the ring exchange term, the excitations below the energy scale of the hard core dimer violating fields (set by $U$) in the frustrated gauge theory can be inferred from the unfrustrated gauge theory about which much is known [66–70]. In the next section, we borrow insights from the deconfined phase of the unfrustrated gauge theory to say something about the phenomenology of the deconfined phase of the quantum dimer model or, by extension via the series of mappings reviewed above, quantum spin ice.

### 3.3. Quantum liquid: excitations and phenomenology

The evidence from numerical simulations is that the dimer model is in a deconfined phase when the ring exchange term is the only term present in the Hamiltonian (i.e. at $\mu/V = 0$ of the phase diagram shown in figure 7) [55, 62]. When $U/K$ is small in the frustrated gauge theory, the frustration should not be important and, once again, we expect the theory to be deconfined. In summary, it appears that confinement may be completely absent in the frustrated gauge theory, though, to our knowledge, this has not been established beyond the heuristic arguments given here. Since the deconfined phase of a $U(1)$ gauge theory exhibits universal features, it should not matter whether the dimer model (in its deconfined phase) maps to a frustrated or unfrustrated gauge theory—the excitations below the energy scale of the hard core dimer violating fields (set by $U$) in the frustrated gauge theory can be inferred from the unfrustrated gauge theory about which much is known [66, 67, 69, 70].
At much higher energies, on the scale of the Ising exchange term $J_i$ in the original spin model, there are gapped magnetic charge excitations (the ‘monopoles’ of section 2.1) corresponding to spin flips out of the manifold of spin ice states. Both in classical and in quantum spin ice, single spin flips correspond to a breaking of the divergence-free condition on the magnetic field, $B_i$, and hence the creation of magnetic field sources or magnetic charges. Via successive spin flips, it is possible to separate these charges. Whereas in classical spin ice an effective magnetostatic interaction arises between these charges owing to thermal averaging solely over circulations of the field $B_i$, in quantum spin ice these magnetic charges interact both with the emergent magnetic and electric fields.13

At intermediate energies, a third type of excitation is present, which arises from the compactness of the gauge field. These are the aforementioned visons. For readers familiar with $Z_2$ spin liquids, it might be helpful to point out that the magnetic charges in $U(1)$ spin liquids are the analogues of so-called gapped $Z_2$ flux excitations, also called visons in these systems.14 One way of seeing that these should be present is as follows. The loops of dimers in the quantum dimer model introduced above may be interpreted as magnetic field strings within the language of gauge theory. While the dimer model is defined to be a theory of closed strings, excitations out of the spin ice manifold may occur in the original spin model breaking these strings and lead to the magnetic charges (monopoles). Importantly, there are now also electric loops in the theory. These, unlike the magnetic strings, are not imposed by a kinematic constraint such as that of equation (9). Instead, they arise from the dynamics of the dimer model—the resonating hexagonal plaquettes form loops of electric fluxes. When these flux strings break, the string endpoints form new sources: “electric charges” which are gapped in the liquid phase with a gap of the order of $J_{\text{Mag}}$. This picture of visons appearing at ends of broken (electric) strings is true also for the vison excitations in $Z_2$ spin liquids. The visons are massive particle-like excitations with a net electrical charge. Given the pyrochlore lattice structure, they can be thought of as hopping on a second diamond lattice, displaced from the original diamond lattice, on which the magnetic charges hop by half of one elementary cubic cell in each coordinate direction.14

Having noted the types of excitations and the hierarchy of energy scales in quantum spin ice, we turn to plausible experimental signatures of a magnet with a low-energy $U(1)$ phase. At the highest temperatures, the magnet is a featureless paramagnet. Upon cooling, the material enters a classical spin ice regime with a temperature dependent density of monopoles and Pauling residual entropy. This state of matter is well-known to exhibit distinctive dipolar spin–spin correlations, which show up as pinch points in the neutron scattering cross-section. The quantum dimer model at infinite temperature is nothing other than classical spin ice with no monopoles and, therefore, this model does not capture the crossover into the true high-temperature paramagnetic regime of the underlying spin model.53

At the lowest temperatures, within the $U(1)$ phase, there should be quite distinctive experimental signatures. While there should be no magnetic Bragg peaks, inelastic neutron scattering can in principle probe the linearly dispersing photon modes as recently worked out in [56] since, like magnons, these excitations carry spin one. Our calculation of the expected inelastic scattering pattern (based on that in [56]) between high symmetry points in the Brillouin zone is shown in figure 9(a) where the most energetic modes appear on the scale of the ring exchange coupling. The energy-integrated scattering at zero temperature is presented in figure 9(b). Thermal fluctuations cause the photons to decohere and the neutron cross-section crosses over smoothly into the pinch-point scattering of classical spin ice56 as shown in figure 9(c).

At intermediate temperatures, the phenomenology is, to date, not completely clear. The asymptotically low-temperature $T^3$ (thermal radiation) law in the heat capacity should break down as visons and magnetic charges become thermally nucleated and the entropy recovers from zero, at zero temperature, to the Pauling entropy, in the classical ice regime.55 These effective charges will also lead to diffuse neutron scattering as is well-known in classical spin ice.33, 46

Also, the analogy with the compact $U(1)$ gauge theory suggests that thermal fluctuations should give the photon a small mass and the otherwise Coulomb-like electric and magnetic charges should become screened.16 Whereas the thermal occupation of photon modes is sufficient to observe the crossover between quantum and classical ice, and although the quantum liquid at zero temperature is adiabatically connected to the trivial paramagnet, a more featured scenario is possible. In particular, a form of gauge mean-field theory (gMFT) for quantum spin ice (QSI) produces, at finite temperature, truly novel behaviour: a first order transition between the high-temperature paramagnet and an incoherent classical spin ice state.53.

13 In this paragraph we refer to nearest neighbour classical spin ice in which there is an emergent Coulomb potential between monopoles, which is of entropic origin. In dipolar spin ice, there is an effective (energetic) Coulomb potential arising directly from the dipolar interaction.31

14 It is worth pointing out that magnetic monopoles in high-energy physics have a similar origin to the vison excitation discussed here. In brief, gauge theories where electromagnetism arises from a compact $U(1)$ subgroup of a larger compact gauge group have gapped magnetic charge excitations which are generalizations of the visons obtained here. In the Standard Model they do not appear because the electromagnetic gauge group is non-compact. However, magnetic monopoles appear when the Standard Model is embedded in a theory with a larger symmetry including the famous $SO(10)$ Grand Unified Theory (GUT) of the strong, weak and electromagnetic interactions.71 One of the features of compact $U(1)$ gauge theory is that charges (the visons and monopoles) are naturally quantized. This feature assumes some importance in fundamental physics where the quantization of charges is something that one would like to explain. Within the aforementioned GUT, the appearance of monopoles goes hand-in-hand with the quantization of the fundamental charges (among other important features). Such GUTs have inspired experimental searches for magnetic charges.72

15 It is intriguing to ask whether the recent report of a rise in the specific heat of the Dy$_2$Ti$_2$O$_7$ spin ice material may be an indication of condensation of the visons below a temperature scale $T \sim J_{\text{Mag}}$. One of the features of compact $U(1)$ gauge theory is that charges (the visons and monopoles) are naturally quantized. This feature assumes some importance in fundamental physics where the quantization of charges is something that one would like to explain. Within the aforementioned GUT, the appearance of monopoles goes hand-in-hand with the quantization of the fundamental charges (among other important features). Such GUTs have inspired experimental searches for magnetic charges.72

16 At finite temperature, the temporal direction of the $3 + 1$ dimensional gauge theory is wrapped onto a circle of radius $2\pi \beta$ where $\beta$ is the inverse temperature. At high temperatures the radius of the circle is small so that the $3 + 1$ dimensional gauge theory may be viewed as a $2 + 1$ dimensional gauge theory at zero temperature. In three dimensions, the Wilson loop expectation value exhibits an area law.66 Then, the same argument that implies that the $2 + 1$ dimensional gauge theory has a gapped photon can be used to see that the four dimensional gauge theory does as well at high temperatures.73, 74
3.4. Stability of the U(1) liquid

One of the remarkable features of the U(1) spin liquid is that it is stable to all local perturbations \[49,75,76\]. This is surprising for at least two reasons. One is simply that the phase is gapless and there is therefore an \emph{a priori} danger that some perturbations may open a gap. A second reason is that the gauge invariance of the lattice model is not exact but is an emergent property at low energies. One can see by power-counting that all gauge non-invariant perturbations to the Maxwell action are relevant in the renormalization group (RG) sense in (3+1) dimensions \[57\] so one might expect that the U(1) liquid would not survive such perturbations\[17\]. Crucially, for the search of this exotic state of matter among real materials, it turns out that this fear is likely not justified as we now discuss.

\[17\] The deconfined phase comes with a natural cutoff—the magnitude of the transverse terms (\(\propto J_{\perp}\)) in the spin Hamiltonian equation (4)—below which we expect that we do not have to worry about gauge invariant interactions beyond the Maxwell action. One can see that this is the case by studying the kinds of gauge invariant terms that one can add to the low-energy effective theory. The aptly named irrelevant operators in the theory are suppressed by powers of the (large) cutoff at long wavelengths so that their effect at sufficiently low energies is negligible. The relevant operators are not suppressed in this manner. Power counting is a simple criterion to assess how couplings flow under a change of scale. Specifically, the irrelevant operators have couplings with dimensions of some negative power of the energy scale where the dimension is determined directly from the action.

Because the U(1) gauge theory originates from a microscopic spin model on a lattice, the gauge group is compact so gauge non-invariant terms such as \(M^2 A^2\) are not allowed in the theory. Instead, the gauge non-invariant perturbations can only appear through terms like \(\exp(i A)\), which are magnetic monopole hopping operators, or from terms that hop visons. But then, since both \emph{matter field} (vison and magnetic) excitations are gapped, these gauge non-invariant operators cannot affect the low-energy physics—the U(1) liquid is stable to all gauge non-invariant perturbations—while all gauge invariant perturbations are irrelevant in the RG sense. There is some work putting these arguments on a rigorous footing using the idea of quasi-adiabatic continuity. The idea, roughly stated, is to switch on a gauge non-invariant perturbation to the U(1) liquid with small coupling \(s\), which has the effect of taking the ground state \(|\Psi(s = 0)\rangle\) into \(|\Psi(s)\rangle\). Then one transforms all the operators \(O\) in the theory in tandem with the switching on of the perturbation in such a way as to (i) preserve the locality of the operators and (ii) so that the expectation values of operators \(O(s)\) computed using \(|\Psi(s)\rangle\) and the dressed operators are the same as those computed using \(|\Psi(s = 0)\rangle\) and the undressed operators \(O\), up to corrections that vanish in the thermodynamic limit. The authors of \[77\] were able to show that, although the bare Hamiltonian has small gauge non-invariant terms, the
generators of gauge transformations are dressed after the addition of these perturbations to the bare model in such a way that a local gauge invariance survives in the dressed model. Although unproven, this is thought to preserve also the gaplessness of the theory.

3.5. Naturalness of the U(1) liquid

In the previous section, we explained that the U(1) liquid has the remarkable property of being stable to all local perturbations. This means that if the U(1) liquid is known to occur at some point in the space of all possible couplings, then one can vary the couplings in any direction in the space of couplings by a finite amount with the ground state remaining in this phase (unless our original point is at a phase boundary).

While it might be possible to engineer a quantum system, perhaps a trapped cold atom system, that enters the U(1) phase, in the immediate future the most likely candidate systems that might host such a phase are certain pyrochlore magnets. Assuming this to be the case, the stability of the U(1) liquid is of marginal relevance compared to the broader issue of whether the space of couplings explored by real materials accommodates the U(1) phase over a significant region in this space. In short, it is useful to know whether the U(1) liquid is too finely tuned to be observed in at least one of the set of stable pyrochlore magnets. If a U(1) liquid were discovered we could, with reasonable confidence, pronounce that such phases are natural in parameter space. Since a deconfined U(1) liquid phase has not been found in any of the pyrochlore magnets (at the time of writing), the question is worth asking from the perspective of microscopic models because it has a bearing on the discoverability of such phases and may provide some guidance to the experimental search.

To date, the naturalness of quantum spin liquids in general is largely an open question [2]. For the particular problem of the naturalness of the Coulomb phase in pyrochlore magnets, the location of the U(1) liquid phase within the space of nearest-neighbour anisotropic exchange couplings has been partially mapped out within a form of gMFT for odd electron (Kramers) magnetic ions [51, 53] and even electron (non-Kramers) ions [52]. The zero temperature gMFT employed by the authors of these papers is a variant of slave particle mean-field theory which, in this case, involves the formally exact step of splitting the anisotropic exchange Hamiltonian equation (14) in section 4.1 below into gauge field degrees of freedom defined on links of the diamond lattice and new boson fields defined on the centres of diamond sites. The latter bosonic fields are referred to as spinons in these papers and as magnetic monopoles here (see section 2.2 on naming conventions). One decouples the resulting interacting theory and solves self-consistently for the expectation values of the gauge field and monopole fields. Whereas the frustrated gauge theory discussed in section 3.2 describes the physics of the quantum spin liquid within the spin ice manifold, the aim of the gMFT is to capture further aspects of the physics of the full anisotropic spin model on the pyrochlore. This is the reason why, in addition to a compact gauge theory, one has couplings to electrically charged matter fields and one can expect Higgs phases, in addition to possible deconfined and confined phases. Higgs phases have the property that the photon is gapped out by the condensation of the bosonic matter fields; in some sense they are superconducting phases. The criteria for distinguishing different phases of the resulting gauge theory—deconfined, confined and Higgs phases—are reminiscent of those in works on the mean-field theory of lattice gauge theories (see, for example, [78, 79]). The principal difference between the types of theories considered in that early work compared to those arising from the pyrochlore spin models is that the former have explicit gauge kinetic terms in the action whereas, in the latter, there are only matter–gauge couplings—the gauge kinetic terms being solely generated by the dynamics of the monopole fields. Secondly, the phase diagram of the gauge theory can be interpreted in the language of the microscopic spin/magnetic degrees of freedom. Specifically, the confined phase corresponds to some ordered spin ice phase while Higgs phases are long-range ordered magnetic phases in which the moments have nonzero expectation value perpendicular to the local (110)\(\vec{Z}_i\) Ising directions. Phase diagrams produced by solving the gMFT are shown in figure 10. The CFM phase is characterized by the co-existence of dipolar (ferromagnetic) long-range order with time-reversal symmetry breaking accompanied by fractionalized excitations including the gapless photon and deconfined magnetic monopole excitations (again, referred to as spinons in [51, 53]).

The phase diagrams arising from the gMFT show the U(1) liquid phase surviving out to couplings \(J_z/J_1 \sim O(10^{-1})\) away from the classical spin ice point (where only \(J_1 \neq 0\)) [51, 52]. Supposing that the mean-field theory roughly captures the U(1) phase boundary, we next turn to the naturalness of materials with exchange couplings satisfying \(J_z/J_1 \sim O(10^{-1})\). In other words, we consider the physics that leads to XXZ-like models in pyrochlore magnets conceptually akin to that of equation (4) with a dominant Ising term \(H_{ISI}\).

The crystal field, in tandem with spin–orbit coupling, which are responsible for the single-ion magnetic anisotropy, become increasingly important for magnetic ions further down in the periodic table. This trend coincides with a reduction of the typical exchange couplings. Ising magnetism protected by the largest anisotropy gaps relative to the interactions is expected to occur among the rare-earth or actinide magnets. Indeed, among the rare-earth pyrochlores, typical crystal field anisotropy gaps are of \(O(10^2)\) K [80, 81] while, thanks to the still sufficiently high trigonal symmetry, the single ion ground states are often doublets with effective exchange couplings of order \(O(1)\) between effective spin-1/2 degrees of freedom.

The crystal field ground state doublet of ‘would be non-interacting’ non-Kramers ions is strictly Ising-like. In these systems, effective transverse exchange couplings between the low energy effective spin one-half moments may arise in two ways: via multipolar couplings [82, 83] and via mixing with excited crystal field levels [84, 85]. The latter effect is suppressed by powers of \(1/\Delta\), where \(\Delta\) is the energy gap to the first excited crystal field states, while multipolar couplings of superexchange origin are suppressed by powers of the charge transfer gap. In such non-Kramers materials, Ising models...
with weak transverse couplings are therefore expected to be natural, as we discuss in section 4.1. Pragmatically speaking, this is further borne out by the existence of the spin ice materials in particular Ho$_2$Ti$_2$O$_7$ with a non-Kramers Ho$^{3+}$ ion, which are very well described by a classical dipolar Ising spin ice model [23, 26, 86]. While the energy scale $J_\parallel/J_z$ is sufficiently small that the effective ring exchange term may be typically negligible among ‘classical’ spin ice materials such as Dy$_2$Ti$_2$O$_7$ and Ho$_2$Ti$_2$O$_7$, a number of rare-earth pyrochlores are coming to light that exhibit some quantum dynamics [87, 88]. We return to this topic in section 4.2. It is of considerable interest to establish the nature of the low-temperature magnetism in these materials. Unfortunately, the degeneracy of non-Kramers crystal field doublets being accidental, it is sensitive to perturbations that need not be time-reversal symmetry invariant. It is then important to address the size of likely ring exchange terms compared to crystal field degeneracy-breaking perturbations.

The crystal field ground state doublets of Kramers ions are, by comparison, robust to time-reversal invariant perturbations and there are no a priori symmetry constraints on the relative strength of the effective anisotropic exchange couplings (such as $J_\parallel$ and $J_z$). Such materials are thus expected to afford an exploration of the full space of symmetry-allowed nearest-neighbour couplings. We would then expect to commonly find $J_\parallel/J_z \sim O(1)$ among Kramers ions. The recently determined couplings in Yb$_2$Ti$_2$O$_7$ [89–91] and Er$_2$Ti$_2$O$_7$ [92, 93] bear out this expectation. The gMFT described above yields a region of parameter space in which the $U(1)$ liquid lives where the transverse terms are not necessarily much smaller than $J_z$, indicating that the $U(1)$ liquid may not be unnatural in Kramers rare-earth pyrochlores. Indeed, there is extensive on-going work exploring the nature of the low-temperature phase of Yb$_2$Ti$_2$O$_7$ [89–91, 94–96], a matter we return to in section 4.2.

The perturbation theory deployed to find the ring exchange Hamiltonian earlier in section 3.1 does nothing to suppress Ising couplings beyond nearest neighbour [25, 26], which may be of superexchange or multipolar origin, or from the long-range dipolar interaction [23, 26], the latter being typically large among rare-earth and actinide magnetic ions. Such Ising couplings tend to lift the degeneracy of the ice states [28, 29] and the stability of the $U(1)$ liquid ultimately boils down, roughly, to a comparison of the energy scales of ring exchange and of the further neighbour Ising couplings of the form $J^{(i)}_{\parallel}(r_{ij})S_i^\parallel S_j^\parallel$. Reference [56] includes a study of the effect of the third neighbour Ising coupling on QSI, finding that it drives long-range order above some threshold that is $O(1)$ times the ring exchange coupling. It would be interesting to consider further the role of couplings beyond nearest neighbour on the $U(1)$ phase.

In summary, the lanthanide (4f) pyrochlore magnets offer a tantalizing opportunity to discover QSI phases. For Kramers magnets among these materials, it is possible to satisfy all the criteria necessary to see QSI physics and the main difficulty to be overcome is the large space of parameters that such materials can, in principle, explore. In non-Kramers magnets, the pessimistic perspective that such materials should be of no interest for exotic quantum states of matter, because of their large angular momentum $J$ and large single-ion anisotropy, is not correct. Thanks to well-isolated low-energy Ising doublets, the underlying high-energy microscopic Hamiltonian can, once projected in the low-energy Hilbert space spanned by the doublets, be described...
by an effective spin-1/2 Hamiltonian allowing for significant quantum dynamics. Indeed, a microscopic calculation for a toy-model of Tb$_3$Ti$_2$O$_7$ makes this point crisp [84, 85]. Unlike Kramers magnets, crystal field degeneracies in non-Kramers magnets are sensitive to being broken by disorder and sample quality will be a particularly important issue. Unfortunately, short of having ab initio calculations guidance, it is a matter of luck finding the right material that falls in a regime of interactions where a $U(1)$ spin liquid is realized, or some other novel quantum states [51]. We discuss this topic further in section 4.1.

3.6. A broader perspective on QSI

In this review, we have concentrated on the possibility that the deconfined phase of a $U(1)$ gauge theory can arise in certain pyrochlore magnets. This discussion would be incomplete without widening the scope a little by mentioning some other models with emergent low-energy $U(1)$ liquid phases.

A natural place to begin is the work of Baskaran and Anderson [97] and Affleck and Marston [98], who used slave bosons to study certain quantum spin models in 2 + 1 dimensions. Within this approach, the spins are fractionalized into bosons with an accompanying $U(1)$ gauge redundancy. The pure $U(1)$ gauge theory is compact and is confining in (1 + 1) and (2 + 1) dimensions: the gauge boson is gapped out and the matter fields to which it is coupled are bound into states of zero gauge charge [66–68]. This argument implies that the analogue of QSI in two dimensional (2D) magnets is a long-range ordered magnetic phase, which has been explored in various works [99–102]. The implication of confinement for the slave boson procedure is that the fractionalization of spins is not a correct description of the physics in the cases studied in [97, 98].

Fluctuations of the gauge field in slave particle descriptions of quantum spin models can be suppressed by taking the $SU(2)$ magnet to $SU(N)$ in the large $N$ limit, leading to deconfinement even in 2D magnets. In this limit, slave particle mean-field theory is a controlled approximation [76, 103, 104]. A promising direction, motivated by slave fermion treatments of quantum magnets, comes from recent work showing that fractionalization is possible in 2 + 1 dimensions either when $U(1)$ gauge fields are coupled to a number $n$ of different types of fermion with a Dirac dispersion [105, 106] (where $n$ need not be very large) or in the presence of a Fermi surface [107]. The physical significance of these results, and perhaps a broader lesson, is that a deconfined $U(1)$ liquid phase may arise in condensed matter models of real materials with 2D magnetism despite the fact that the minimal $2+1$ gauge-only $U(1)$ theory is confining [66–68].

In 3 + 1 dimensions, as we have described in preceding sections, the $U(1)$ gauge theory can have a deconfined phase. One of the earliest examples of a bosonic model with emergent low-energy electromagnetism is described in [75], which argues that certain compact but non gauge invariant theories can exhibit deconfined emergent electromagnetism at low energies. In a condensed matter context, apart from the QSI state of the pyrochlore XXZ model, a $U(1)$ liquid is expected also for the partially magnetized pyrochlore magnet with three of the four tetrahedral sublattice spins pointing along an applied field and the remaining spins anti-aligned along the field [61, 108]. The resulting spin model, in common with the XXZ model in zero field, maps to a dimer model which has been extensively studied [54, 61, 108–110]. Apart from quantum magnets, there is theoretical and numerical evidence for the emergence of a gapless photon in models of exciton condensates [111–113], in a rotor model on a cubic lattice [114, 115] and there is a suggestion that protons in conventional water ice might be strongly correlated and, through quantum mechanical tunnelling of the protons, also display a deconfined $U(1)$ phase [64]. There is also a proposed way of simulating a rotor model with emerging photons at low energies in a system of trapped cold atoms on a pyrochlore lattice [116].

The quantum $U(1)$ liquids can be viewed as string-net condensate phases as made explicit in a three dimensional (3D) rotor model of Levin and Wen [117]. String-net condensation is a framework within which a class of achiral gauge theories can be understood [117, 118]. The idea, stated briefly, is that these phases can be obtained by specifying a lattice model with elementary bosonic degrees of freedom and a local Hamiltonian that condenses closed loops of different types into the ground state of a bosonic model. The Hamiltonian may also contain terms that give the condensed strings a tension, which breaks the degeneracy of the resulting equal weight superposition of string states. Excitations of the string-net condensate are the end points of closed strings and correspond to charges of some gauge theory. This framework offers a way to generalize the toric code of Kitaev [119] by writing down an infinite set of exactly solvable models with Hamiltonians consisting of mutually commuting terms.

Instabilities of the $U(1)$ liquid towards ordered magnetic phases due to the condensation of visons (which are gapped in the deconfined phase) has been studied in several works [108, 120, 121]. We also note that a 3D $U(1)$ gauge theory coupled to a bosonic matter field has been found useful to describe transitions out of classical spin ice [122], through the condensation of the matter field, to give Higgs phases. In this context, the Higgs phases are magnetically ordered phases within the spin ice manifold.

While the focus of this review is on 3D QSI systems, we note that recent numerical work has investigated a 2D QSI model in which the quantum fluctuations are induced by a magnetic field $\Gamma$ transverse to the Ising direction [123]. The various ground states are a plaquette valence bond solid at small $\Gamma$, a canted Néel ordered phase at intermediate $\Gamma$ and a polarized quantum paramagnet above a critical $\Gamma_c$ value. No quantum disordered (spin liquid) phase is found for the smallest $\Gamma$ investigated. Although this system is described by a frustrated gauge theory, the numerical results suggest that confinement is at work, leading to gapped ground states via an order-by-disorder mechanism, as opposed to a deconfined Coulomb phase. This is to be expected on the basis of standard results for confinement in non-frustrated $U(1)$ gauge theory in 2 + 1 dimensions [66].
4. A materials perspective

4.1. General considerations

We discussed in section 3 how the spin liquid state of QSI materials originates from the (perturbative) anisotropic interactions between effective spins one-half away from the frustrated classical Ising limit. Central to this story was the point that the model of equation (4) generates the crucial ring frustrated classical Ising limit. Central to this story was the interplay between effective spins one-half away from the QSI materials originates from the (perturbative) anisotropic interactions between the magnetic ions. We explore in this subsection where the effective spin-1/2 model comes from and how it is amended when considering real QSI candidate pyrochlore materials such as the ones discussed in section 4.2.

In the search for QSI materials, we are de facto seeking systems with strong effective Ising-like anisotropy such that the order zero of the effective spin Hamiltonian is given by $H_{\text{CS}}$ in equation (3). Such leading $H_{\text{CS}}$ interactions may arise from the single-ion anisotropy, as in (Ho, Dy, Tb)$_2$Ti$_2$O$_7$, or it may be inherited from the interactions between the ions themselves, even though the single-ion anisotropy of a would-be isolated ion may not be Ising-like, as is the case for Yb$_2$Ti$_2$O$_7$ [89–91, 124–127]. We are thus looking for materials that possess spin–orbit interactions that are stronger than the crystal field interaction and where the orbital angular momentum of the unpaired electrons is not quenched. In practice, one expects to find such a situation most prevalently among rare-earth (lanthanide, 4f or actinide, 5f) elements. However, it is perhaps not ruled out that some materials based on 3d, 4d or 5d elements may eventually be found to display some of the classical or QSI phenomenology described above. For example, Co$^{3+}$ magnetic ions often exhibit a strong Ising-like anisotropy, as found in the quasi-one-dimensional Ising-like antiferromagnet CsCoBr$_3$ compound [128]. In that context, one may note that the GeCo$_2$F$_4$ spinel, in which the magnetic Co$^{3+}$ ions reside on a (pyrochlore) lattice of corner-sharing tetrahedra, has been reported to display co-existence of spin ice, exchange and orbital frustration [129]. In the following, we restrict ourselves to insulating magnetic rare-earth pyrochlores of the form $R_2M_2O_7$ [130] where $R^{3+}$ is a trivalent 4f rare-earth element and $M$ is a non-magnetic tetravalent ion such as Ti$^{4+}$, Sn$^{4+}$ or Zr$^{4+}$. Indeed, all materials for which QSI phenomenology has so far been invoked are based on rare-earth pyrochlores.

The hierarchy of energy scales at play in rare-earth ions make them well suited for a material exploration of QSI physics. In these systems, the spin–orbit interaction is larger than the crystal field energies, but not stronger than the intra-atomic electronic energy scale. Consequently, the spin–orbit interaction acts within the states defined by Hund’s rules and leads to a $2J + 1$ degenerate ionic ground state of spectroscopic notation $2S + 1L_J$ where $J = L + S$ if the 4f electron shell is more than half-filled and $J = L - S$ otherwise. Note that $S$ here is the single-ion electronic spin and not the pseudospin-1/2 $S$ of the Hamiltonian in equations (4) and (14) below. The effect of crystal-field perturbations (originating from the surrounding ligands) is to lift the degeneracy of the $2S + 1L_J$ isolated ionic ground state. For the $R_2M_2O_7$ pyrochlores with $Fd\bar{3}m$ space group, odd-numbered electron (i.e. Kramers) ions (e.g. Dy, Er, Yb) have a magnetic ground state doublet and so do even-number electron (i.e. non-Kramers) (Pr, Tb and Ho) ions [130], but not Tm$^{3+}$, which has a non-magnetic singlet [131]. In other words, the symmetry is sufficiently low for Kramers ions to cause them to have solely a doublet crystal field ground state, while the symmetry is still sufficiently high for non-Kramers ions for them (except Tm$^{3+}$) to have an accidental magnetic doublet ground state.

At this point, armed with the knowledge about the single-ion crystal field states [81], one can, in principle, start to consider the inter-ionic interactions, $H_{\text{int}}$, and construct the pertinent microscopic (‘UV’) Hamiltonian from which realistic amendments of equation (4) would result when perturbing the crystal field ground state with $H_{\text{int}}$. It is here that the problem gets complicated—especially when compared with, say, 3d transition metal ion systems. In 3d systems, the angular momentum is typically quenched, the spin–orbit interaction is small and one is often dealing with a relatively simple spin-only exchange Hamiltonian of the form $J_iS_i \cdot S_j$. In systems with 4f elements, except for Gd$^{3+}$, most of the angular momentum is provided by the angular momentum part of the atomic electrons and is not quenched. Furthermore, the relevant unfilled 4f orbitals are buried rather deep inside the inner part of the ion, orbital overlap is reduced and direct exchange or superexchange do not have the opportunity to completely dominate in $H_{\text{int}}$. Consequently, the ion–ion interactions among 4f systems end up having a multitude of origins: classical electric and magnetic multipole interactions, electric and magnetic multipole interactions, direct exchange and superexchange and lattice-mediated interactions [132]. The microscopic couplings, $\Omega_{ij}$, between ions $i$ and $j$ defining $H_{\text{int}}$, are thus of a very high degree of complexity [132]. The microscopic Hamiltonian $H_{\text{int}}$ can in principle be written in terms of Stevens equivalent operators $O(J_i)$ [132]. However, the determination or parametrization, either experimentally or theoretically, of the pertinent (tensor) couplings $\Omega_{ij}$ between $O(J_i)$ and $O(J_j)$ (we have omitted here all angular momentum components that would define the various components of $\Omega_{ij}$) is enormously difficult to say the least. On the theoretical front, it is even questionable whether estimates of $\Omega_{ij}$ accurate to within 100% of the true couplings could be achieved.

Yet, things are not as hopeless as they appear. For most cases of interest, we have a situation where the $\Omega_{ij}$ couplings are of the order of $10^{-2}$–$10^{-1}$ K or so, and are therefore typically small compared to the gap $\Delta \sim 10^{–2}$–$10^{–1}$ K between the magnetic crystal field ground doublet and the first excited crystal field state(s) [81]. This means that the two pairs of crystal field doublet wavefunctions for two interacting ions $i$ and $j$ get very weakly admixed with the excited crystal field states via the action of $H_{\text{int}}$. Out of the $(2J + 1)^N$ crystal field states, where $N$ is the number of ions, one can consider only the subspace, $\Psi$, spanned by the $2^N$ individual single-ion crystal field ground states [5]. One can then consider one of the many variants of degenerate perturbation theory to derive an effective $S = 1/2$ ‘pseudospin’ Hamiltonian, $H_{\text{eff}}$, describing the perturbed energies and eigenstates within $\Psi$. Thanks to the
relative high symmetry of the pyrochlore lattice, the projection of $H_{\text{int}}$ into $\Psi$ gives for nearest-neighbours of interacting ions a relatively simple form for the effective nearest-neighbour interactions between the pseudospins $S_i$ and $S_j$ for ions $i$ and $j$. These effective interactions, $H_{\text{eff}, \downarrow}$, are the ones that we seek to spell out the symmetry-allowed couplings in $H_{\text{QSI}}$ in equation (4). Several notation conventions have appeared over the past two years for $H_{\text{eff}, \downarrow}$ [89,124, 126, 133–135]. Here, we adopt the one introduced in [89] as it relates most directly with equation (4):

$$H_{\text{eff}, \downarrow} = \sum_{\langle i, j \rangle} (J_{\parallel} S_i^x S_j^x - J_{\perp} S_i^z S_j^z + S_i^+ S_j^-)
+ J_{\pm\pm} [\gamma_{ij} S_i^+ S_j^- + \gamma_{ij}^* S_i^- S_j^+]
+ J_{\pm\perp} [\xi_{ij} S_i^+ S_j^- + \xi_{ij}^* S_i^- S_j^+] + i \leftrightarrow j). \quad (14)$$

In equation (14), $\langle i, j \rangle$ refers to nearest-neighbour sites of the pyrochlore lattice; $\gamma_{ij}$ is a $4 \times 4$ complex unimodular matrix, and $\xi = -\gamma^*$ [89,92]. In this formulation, the spin components of the effective $S_i = 1/2$ spins are now expressed in terms of a local triad of orthogonal unit vectors $\hat{\xi}_i$, $\hat{\eta}_i$, and $\hat{\zeta}_i$ with $\hat{\zeta}_i$ along the local ‘Ising’ [1 1 1] direction, with $\pm$ referring to the two orthogonal complex directions $\hat{\xi}_i \pm i \hat{\eta}_i$. As before, we have $H_{\text{QSI}} = J_{\parallel} \sum_{\langle i, j \rangle} S_i^x S_j^x$, the classical (Ising) term responsible for the spin ice degeneracy at the nearest-neighbour level. The three other terms (proportional to $J_{\perp}$, $J_{\pm\pm}$ and $J_{\pm\perp}$) are all the extra nearest-neighbour terms allowed by symmetry on the pyrochlore lattice [135], that do not commute with $H_{\text{QSI}}$, and hence cause quantum dynamics within the classical spin ice manifold. One may ask what is the physical origin (or content) of the terms proportional to $J_{\perp}$, $J_{\pm\pm}$ and $J_{\pm\perp}$? A simple perspective on this matter goes as follows. One may consider the following four nearest-neighbour interactions on the pyrochlore lattice [134]: (i) an Ising interaction $J_{\parallel} S_i^x S_j^x$ as in equation (14), (ii) an isotropic interaction of the form $J_{\text{int}} S_i \cdot S_j$, (iii) a pseudo-dipolar ‘exchange’ interaction that has the same ‘trigonometric form’ as magnetostatic dipole–dipole, $J_{\text{int}}(S_i \cdot S_j - 3 S_i \cdot \hat{r}_i \hat{r}_j S_j)$ and, finally, (iv) a Dzyaloshinskii–Moriya interaction of the form $J_{\text{DM}}(\hat{d}_i \times S_j \times S_j)$ [136]. The set of interactions $(J_{\parallel}, J_{\text{int}}, J_{\text{ph}}, J_{\text{DM}})$ can be linearly transformed into the set $(J_{\parallel}, J_{\perp}, J_{\pm\parallel}, J_{\pm\perp})$.

We are in the very early days of the systematic experimental and theoretical investigation of QSIs. On the theoretical front, the immediate question is to determine what are the possible zero-temperature phases that $H_{\text{eff}, \downarrow}$ displays. Using a form of gMFT, this question has been tackled for systems with Kramers ions [51] as well as non-Kramers ions [52] (non-Kramers ions must have $J_{\pm} = 0$ [52]). Recent work has extended this approach to investigate the nonzero temperature face phase diagram of this model [53]. Examples of phase diagrams produced using this method are shown in figure 10. Figures 10(a) and (b) show phases expected through a section of the available space of nearest-neighbour couplings for odd electron magnetic ions. Of the two exotic phases in figures 10(a) and (b), one is the QSI phase which is the topic of this review. This appears, as we expect, from perturbation theory in the vicinity of the classical spin ice point. The second (CFM) phase has coexisting symmetry-broken long-range order, gapless photon excitations and gapped magnetic monopoles. The FM and AFM phases are respectively the six-fold degenerate FM state with net moment along one of the the $(001)$ directions and an AF phase with moments perpendicular to the local $(111)$ directions. For non-Kramers ions, we refer to figure 10(c), which shows the QSI and two quadrupolar phases. One of the long-range ordered phases is ferroquadrupolar (FQ) and the other antiferroquadrupolar (AFQ). The AFQ phase, in the language of effective pseudospin-1/2, is a coplanar AF phase while the FQ is the maximally polarized ferromagnet with moments perpendicular to the local Ising directions [52].

For what concerns us here in this review, in terms of the existence of a $U(1)$ spin liquid, it probably suffices to say that as long as $J_{\perp}$, either positive or negative, is the leading perturbation beyond $J_{\parallel}$, one finds a finite region over which the $U(1)$ spin liquid is predicted to exist [49,51–53]. Magnetic rare-earth ions often possess a sizeable magnetic dipole moment. An obvious question is how the phase diagram of [51–53] is modified by long-range magnetostatic dipole–dipole interactions. Also, away from the $U(1)$ spin liquid phase of the phase diagram of [51,52], one may expect to encounter more complicated long-range ordered phases with nonzero ordering wavevector [28,137,138].

We stated above that equation (14) represents the most general nearest-neighbour anisotropic bilinear spin Hamiltonian for pseudospin-1/2 on the pyrochlore lattice. Further understanding on this matter has quite recently come to light. It was pointed out in [139] that the crystal field doublet of some $R_2M_2O_7$ pyrochlore oxides (e.g. $Nd_2Zr_2O_7$; and Dy$_2Ti_2O_7$) may be a Kramers’ ‘dipolar–octupolar’ (DO) doublet whose symmetry properties endow special properties to the Hamiltonian (14). Specifically, for a DO doublet, two components of the pseudospin-1/2 transform like a magnetic dipole while the other component transforms like a component of the magnetic octupolar tensor. An end result is that the Hamiltonian of such a system is described by equation (14) but now with the $\gamma_{ij}$ bond term independent of the $(i, j)$ bond and not given by the form in [51,89]. The resulting ‘XYZ model’ may exhibit two distinct QSI phases: the aforementioned ‘dipolar’ QSI forming the focus of this review and a new ‘octupolar’ QSI phase. Of particular interest on the theoretical front is that, in some region of coupling parameter space, the XYZ model of [139] is predicted to be amenable to QMC simulations without a sign problem. Further study of this model is certainly warranted and will likely prove interesting, opening a rare possibility to study a quantum spin liquid through large scale Monte Carlo simulations of a frustrated quantum spin system in three dimensions.

We end this subsection by a brief discussion about how the coupling parameters $(J_{\parallel}, J_{\perp}, J_{\pm\parallel}, J_{\pm\perp})$ may be determined experimentally—a necessary task if one wants to rationalize the behaviour of real materials in relation to the theoretical phase diagrams [51,52]. First, we make a comment about how the complexity of the microscopic interactions $H_{\text{int}} \sim \Omega_{ij} O(J_{ij}) O(J_{ij})$ was swept aside when $H_{\text{int}}$ was projected onto $\Psi$. As long as $|\Omega_{ij}| \ll \Delta$, one can calculate any correlation functions involving the observable $J_{ij}$, angular momentum...
operators via calculation of correlation functions involving the effective \( S_i \) spins. In such a case, the theory is quantitative and characterized by the four \((J_i, J_{h}, J_{h\pm}, J_{h\pm})\) couplings (to be determined by experiments) along with the magnetic dipole–dipole interaction and the matrix elements of \( J_i \) within the non-interacting ground state doublet (involving the so-called single-ion \( g\)-tensor) [81]. However, if \( H_{\text{int}} \) significantly admixes excited crystal field states into the ground state doublet, observables involving \( J_i \) are no longer trivially related to the pseudospins \( S_i \), and the theory is no longer quantitative if the microscopic \( \Omega_j \) couplings are not known [137]. We return to this section in 4.2.1 when discussing the \( \text{Tb}_2(\text{Ti,Sn})_2\text{O}_7 \) compounds. The situation is conceptually similar to the problem of calculating the staggered magnetization, \( M^s \), of the simple square lattice one-band Hubbard model at half-filling when recast as an effective spin-\(1/2\) Hamiltonian. Away from the Heisenberg limit, in which the hopping \( t \) is infinitely small compared to the Hubbard \( U \) (i.e. \( t/U \ll 1 \)), \( M^s \) is a function of \( t/U \), and is no longer determined by the textbook formula of the thermodynamic average of the staggered \( z \) component of localized spin operators, \( S_i^z \), given by \( M^s = \sum_i \langle -1 \rangle \langle S_i^z \rangle \) [140].

The problem becomes even more complicated when the microscopic model is that of an extended Hubbard model [141] or a site-diluted Hubbard model [142]. In order to calculate the staggered magnetization with the effective spin-\(1/2\) model the corresponding operator must be defined in the microscopic (electronic) Hubbard theory first, and then canonically transformed in the effective low-energy (spin-\(1/2\)) theory [140–142].

Following the initial realization that anisotropic exchange may be of importance in \( \text{R}_2\text{M}_2\text{O}_7 \) materials [135], a number of experimental studies, mostly using elastic and inelastic neutron scattering, have been targeted to determine the value of these couplings. These studies fall in two categories. A first category has assumed that the nearest-neighbour parts of the interactions \( H_{\text{int}} \) between \( J_i \) angular momentum operators are bilinear and of anisotropic nature [124–127, 133]. The other group consists of studies that do not make this assumption [89, 92, 94] but work, instead, with a model with anisotropic exchange between pseudospin-\(1/2\) degrees of freedom as in equation (14). Ultimately, from the discussion above regarding the need to transform observables, one concludes that if the crystal field gap \( \Delta \) is not very large compared to the \( \Omega_{ij} \) interactions in \( H_{\text{int}} \), the theory and the ultimate description of experimental data are not on on a very strong footing anyway from the word go. This is particularly the case for \( \text{Yb}_2\text{Ti}_2\text{O}_7 \) [84, 85]. At the other extreme, for example in \( \text{Yb}_2\text{Ti}_2\text{O}_7 \), the gap \( \Delta \sim 600\text{ K} \) [81] is so large that one can employ either a pseudospin-\(1/2\) representation [82, 89, 94], or a model with bilinear couplings between the \( J_i \) [127, 133]. In that case the anisotropic bilinear exchange couplings, \( \Omega_{ij} \), between \( J_i \) and \( J_j \) are almost an exact inverse linear transformation of the couplings between the \( S_i \) pseudospins [133].

The same argument probably applies to \( \text{Er}_2\text{Ti}_2\text{O}_7 \) where a spin-\(1/2\) model [92, 93] and one with \( J_i-J_j \) couplings [125, 134] can be used for zero field or for magnetic fields less than a few tesla, though we note that this material is no QSI candidate. In the case of \( \text{Yb}_2\text{Ti}_2\text{O}_7 \), a recent paper [91] has discussed the pitfalls of some of the analysis and models employed in a number of works [124–126, 133].

Staying with systems that can be described by an effective spin-\(1/2\) model as in equation (14), two neutron scattering methods have been used to determine the \( \{J_i\} \equiv (J_1, J_2, J_{h\pm}, J_{h\pm}) \) couplings. One method is to fit the energy-integrated paramagnetic scattering, \( S(q) \), obtained at sufficiently high temperature, and compare it with the corresponding \( S(q) \) obtained via mean-field theory [94, 143, 144]. If wanting to neglect the long-range dipolar interactions, one can also fit the experimental \( S(q) \) at sufficiently high temperature with that calculated on the basis of an approximation using finite clusters [145]. Such an approach could be formally rationalized on the basis of the so-called numerical linked cluster method (NLC) [90, 91]. It is probably fair to say that such mean-field approaches have not yet successfully yielded accurate values for the \( \{J_i\} \) couplings for any of the \( \text{R}_2\text{Ti}_2\text{O}_7 \) materials considered. The main technical difficulty is that one is required to perform experiments in a temperature regime which is at least five to ten times higher than the mean-field transition temperature \( T_{\text{int}} \) of the underlying spin-\(1/2\) Hamiltonian in order for these methods to be quantitative. At such high relative temperatures (typically \( T \sim 20\text{K} \), the intensity modulation of the experimental \( S(q) \) can be quite weak and difficult to fit. Previous works on \( \text{Yb}_2\text{Ti}_2\text{O}_7 \) [94, 133] have considered temperature that are actually below \( T_{\text{int}} \) [89] and the reported values of \( \{J_i\} \) couplings are, consequently, quite inaccurate [90, 91]. A work on \( \text{Er}_2\text{Ti}_2\text{O}_7 \) that compares the experimental \( S(q) \), obtained at a temperature reasonably high compared to the true critical temperature, with that obtained from calculations on a single tetrahedron, may be less subject to this concern [145].

The other method that is currently enjoying some popularity employs inelastic neutron scattering to probe the excitations in the field-polarized paramagnetic state [89, 92]. By fitting the dispersion and intensity of these excitations compared to those calculated from the model (14), supplemented by a magnetic Zeeman field term, one can obtain the four couplings \( \{J_i\} \). This approach has been applied to determine (fit) the \( \{J_i\} \) for both \( \text{Yb}_2\text{Ti}_2\text{O}_7 \) [89] and \( \text{Er}_2\text{Ti}_2\text{O}_7 \) [92]. In these fits, the magnetostatic dipole–dipole interactions have only been considered at the nearest-neighbour level, and their nonzero values are thus implicitly folded into the fitted \( \{J_i\} \) values. There is nothing in principle that would prevent performing an analysis of these in-field excitations that would include the true long-range dipolar interactions [146, 147] and thus determine the ‘real’ \( \{J_i\} \) nearest-neighbour values. In view of the fact that the current \( H_{\text{eff}} \) model in equation (14) neglects effective exchange couplings between the pseudospin-\(1/2\) beyond nearest-neighbours, which have been found in the classical dipolar spin ice \( \text{Dy}_2\text{Ti}_2\text{O}_7 \) to be about 10% of the nearest-neighbour \( J_1 \) [26], it is indeed perhaps justifiable, at least for the time being, to ignore dipolar interactions beyond nearest-neighbours altogether. In that context, it is interesting to note that recent calculations that make use of a sort of series expansion method based on the NLC expansion, have shown that the specific heat [90] and the magnetic field...
and temperature dependence of the magnetization [91] of Yb$_2$Ti$_2$O$_7$ is well described using the $\{J_s\}$ determined by the aforementioned fit to inelastic neutron scattering data that ignore the dipolar interactions beyond nearest-neighbours [89]. A similar conclusion has been reached for Eu$_2$Ti$_2$O$_7$ on the basis of a high-temperature series expansion [93].

4.2. Candidate materials

In this section we briefly discuss four materials among the $\text{R}_2\text{Ti}_2\text{O}_7$ family that may be candidates for displaying some of the QSI phenomenology. These are Tb$_2$Ti$_2$O$_7$, Pr$_2$M$_2$O$_7$ ($\text{M} = \text{Sn}, \text{Zr}$) and Yb$_2$Ti$_2$O$_7$. While these compounds display a number of attributes that warrant discussing their exotic thermodynamic properties in the context of QSI physics, it is fair to say that, at the time of writing, there is no definitive evidence that any one of them displays a $U(1)$ quantum spin liquid inherited from QSI physics.

4.2.1. Tb$_2$Ti$_2$O$_7$. This was the first material for which the name quantum spin ice was coined [84]. Upon cooling, Tb$_2$Ti$_2$O$_7$ starts to develop magnetic correlations at a temperature of 20 K or so, but most experimental studies have so far failed to observe long-range (dipolar spin) order down to the lowest temperature [148, 149]. Some early reports found signs for slow dynamics below ~300 mK [149], suggesting a kind of spin-freezing/spin-glassy phenomena [150]. With overall AF interactions, indicated by a negative Curie–Weiss temperature $\theta_{\text{CW}}$, one would expect this non-Kramers Ising system to display a non-frustrated long-range ordered ground state with all ‘up’ tetrahedra in figure 1 having their four spins pointing ‘in’ and all ‘down’ tetrahedra having spins pointing ‘out’, or vice versa [14, 15]. By considering an Ising dipolar spin ice model with competing nearest-neighbour AF ($J_1 < 0$ in equation (3)) and long-range dipolar interactions, [23] found a critical temperature around 1 K, in total disagreement with experiments [148]. Further evidence that such an Ising model was too simple for Tb$_2$Ti$_2$O$_7$ came from neutron scattering experiments [151]. These found a broad region in reciprocal space near the point $q = 002$ with high scattering intensity [149, 151], which is inconsistent with what is naively expected for an Ising model [143]. Subsequent theoretical work [144, 143] found that allowing for the magnetic moments to fluctuate transverse to their local $\langle 111 \rangle$ Ising direction could lead to a high scattering intensity at $q = 002$. These early observations, along with the fact that such broad $q = 002$ intensity remains down to a temperature of 50 mK [149], made it clear that one or more mechanisms had to be considered to generate non-Ising fluctuations and response down to the lowest temperature.

The classical spin ice compounds Ho$_2$Ti$_2$O$_7$ and Dy$_2$Ti$_2$O$_7$ have a large gap $\Delta$ of order of 300 K between their crystal field ground state doublet and their first excited doublet. As discussed in section 4.1, this feature is at the origin of an Ising description of these systems [5]. In contrast, Tb$_2$Ti$_2$O$_7$ has $\Delta \sim 18$ K [152]. Calculations of the dynamical structure factor $S(q, \omega)$ in the paramagnetic phase that employs the random phase approximation (RPA) method [144] make a strong point that this small gap $\Delta$ allows for a significant admixing between the crystal field states that is induced by the interactions among the $J_i$ angular momenta through superexchange and long-range dipolar interaction. These effects are rendered even more significant since it appears that, at the level of an Ising model description that ignores excited crystal field states [23], Tb$_2$Ti$_2$O$_7$ is near a boundary between an ‘all-in/all-out’ long range ordered phase and a ‘2-in’/‘2-out’ spin ice state [23]. In other words, since projected interactions $H_{\text{proj}}$ in the crystal field ground state put the material near a phase boundary between distinct classical ground states, corrections beyond this projection that involve the details of the $\Omega_{ij} O(J_i) O(J_j)$ interactions, and the excited crystal field states must be revisited and incorporated into the effective low-energy Hamiltonian.

Considering a simple model of nearest-neighbour isotropic exchange $J_i \cdot J_j$ between the $J_i$ angular momenta as well as long-range dipole–dipole interactions, [84, 85] found, via second order perturbation theory calculations, that the original dipolar Ising spin ice model description of Tb$_2$Ti$_2$O$_7$ is significantly modified. Rather, an early form of an effective low-energy pseudospin-1/2 model similar to that of equation (14), supplemented by further anisotropic exchange terms as well as long-range dipolar interactions, was obtained [85]. One may thus consider that Tb$_2$Ti$_2$O$_7$ is one system for which a QSI Hamiltonian of the form such as in equation (14) is well motivated. The lack of long-range order in Tb$_2$Ti$_2$O$_7$ is thus, perhaps, intriguingly related to the $U(1)$ spin liquid of equation (14) [84].

Over the past couple of years, however, the situation regarding the behaviour of Tb$_2$Ti$_2$O$_7$ below a temperature of 2 K has been shown to be very complex indeed. The old evidence [153] for a sizeable magneto-elastic response in this system has resurfaced [126, 154, 155, 156]. Some authors have proposed that, possibly related to this lattice effect, the crystal field ground state of Tb$^{3+}$ is split into two singlets separated by an energy gap of order of 2 K, and that this is the principal reason why this system does not order [157, 158]. Interestingly, the closely related Tb$_2$Sn$_2$O$_7$ compound develops long-range order at 0.87 K into a $\langle 111 \rangle$ Ising directions [159]. Tb$_2$Sn$_2$O$_7$ has also been proposed to have such a split crystal field ground doublet [160]. But, with the suggestion that it has stronger interactions than its Tb$_2$Ti$_2$O$_7$ cousin, Tb$_2$Sn$_2$O$_7$ is argued to overcome the formation of a trivial non-magnetic singlet ground state and to develop long-range order. The suggestion that there exists an inhibiting doublet-splitting mechanism leading to a singlet-singlet gap as large as 2 K in Tb$_2$Ti$_2$O$_7$ has been debated [161]. At the same time, the existence of a magnetization plateau for a magnetic field along [111] predicted for a QSI state [162] based on a minimal model of isotropic exchange between the $J_i$ angular momenta and dipolar interactions [85] is also a matter of experimental contention [163–167].

Some recent neutron scattering work on Tb$_2$Ti$_2$O$_7$ finds evidence for pinch-points suggesting the presence of ‘2-in’/‘2-out’ spin ice-like correlations (see discussion in
section 2.1) [168]. Even more recent inelastic neutron scattering studies have identified some clear and reasonably intense features at the $q = \frac{2\pi}{a}$ reciprocal space point lattice points suggesting the development of nontrivial magnetic correlations at the lowest temperature and which have been referred to as ‘AF spin ice correlations’ [157, 158, 169–171]. This, along with the observation of nonzero quasi-elastic magnetic scattering below an energy of 0.05 meV ~0.5 K, may be viewed as inconsistent [162] with the above non-magnetic singlet ground state scenario [157, 158]. Finally, it has become clear over the past two years that there are significant sample-to-sample variations in the thermodynamic properties exhibited among single crystals of Tb$_2$Ti$_2$O$_7$ [171, 172]. This may ultimately be the cherry on the cake in terms of the plethora of phenomena Tb$_2$Ti$_2$O$_7$ displays. The sample-to-sample variability may be endorsing a picture that this compound is naturally located near the vicinity of a transition between two (or more) competing states. There is probably sufficient evidence in place suggesting that there are spin-ice like correlations and transverse fluctuations of the angular momenta in Tb$_2$Ti$_2$O$_7$ so that a (partial) QSI picture is not, at this time, ruled out. However, there is definitely more to the story and there are numerous hints that the lattice degrees of freedom are not inert bystanders in Tb$_2$Ti$_2$O$_7$ [156, 170], and that magneto-elastic couplings should probably be considered carefully. In that context, the possibility of magneto-elastic interactions and the concurrent existence of symmetry related quadrupolar-like interactions naturally brings up the question: ‘what is the role of quadrupolar-like interactions in even electron (non-Kramers) magnetic ion systems in modifying the simplest (Ising magnet) description of these systems?’ This question has been explored with the QSI candidates, Pr$_2$M$_2$O$_7$ ($M = $ Sn, Zr), that we next discuss.

4.2.2. Pr$_2$Sn$_2$O$_7$ and Pr$_2$Zr$_2$O$_7$. It might be said that the modern research era in frustrated quantum spin systems was, at least partially, triggered by Anderson’s 1987 Science paper [1]. In this paper, Anderson noted that geometrical frustration might naturally lead to exotic quantum states of magnetic matter, including resonating valence bond (RVB) states from which unconventional superconductivity may arise. Unfortunately, if the field of condensed matter physics has long been experiencing a drought in the number of (quantum) spin liquid candidate materials [173], the scarcity of highly frustrated magnetic materials that are at the verge of a Mott-insulator transition, or display simultaneously frustrated localized magnetic moments along with itinerant electrons, may remind one of the Martian atmosphere. From that perspective, frustration and development of superconductivity in organic Mott insulators is more than a curiosity [174]. In that context, it is perhaps not surprising that the discovery of spin-ice like ‘2-in’/’2-out’ correlations, signalling geometrical frustration for would-be isolated non-Kramers Pr$^{3+}$ ions, in the metallic Pr$_2$Ir$_2$O$_7$ pyrochlore compound [175, 176] has attracted a fair amount of interest [177–179]. Before attacking the complexities of Pr$_2$Ir$_2$O$_7$, such as the resistivity minimum as a function of temperature (Kondo-like effect) [175] and anomalous Hall effect [176], one may wonder whether, even in insulating Pr-based compounds, there might exist unusual properties that may be of relevance to the physics of metallic Pr$_2$Ir$_2$O$_7$.

The pyrochlore form of Pr$_2$Ti$_2$O$_7$ does not exist [130] at ambient temperature and pressure. However, the insulating and magnetic Pr$_2$Sn$_2$O$_7$ and Pr$_2$Zr$_2$O$_7$ compounds do exist and both form a regular pyrochlore structure. In these two materials, the Pr$^{3+}$ non-Kramers ions possess a magnetic crystal field Ising doublet ground state, as the Ho$_2$Ti$_2$O$_7$ spin ice and the above paradoxical Tb$_2$Ti$_2$O$_7$. Pr$_2$Sn$_2$O$_7$ is, unfortunately, not amenable to single-crystal growth using modern image furnace methods, but Pr$_2$Zr$_2$O$_7$ has been grown successfully [88, 180]. Interestingly, neither material appears to develop long-range order down to temperatures of the order of 50 mK [87, 88]. Ac susceptibility measurements find spin freezing in Pr$_2$Sn$_2$O$_7$ below a temperature of order of 100–200 mK [181]. Powder neutron diffraction on Pr$_2$Sn$_2$O$_7$ reveals short-range correlations and, surprisingly, it has a residual low-temperature entropy larger than the Pauling entropy $S_0$ found in classical spin ices [87]. Inelastic neutron scattering suggests that the low-temperature state of this system remains dynamic down to at least 200 mK [87].

Early work on Pr$_2$Zr$_2$O$_7$ [180] found a negative Curie–Weiss temperature of $-0.55$ K (determined below a temperature of 10 K), indicating effective AF interactions between the magnetic moments. Ac magnetic susceptibility measurements did not find evidence for a transition to long-range order down to 80 mK. However, some frequency dependence of the ac susceptibility was observed below 0.3 K, indicating some form of spin freezing. More recent work on single crystals of Pr$_2$Zr$_2$O$_7$ report evidence of spin ice-like correlations and quantum fluctuations [88]. Heat capacity and magnetic susceptibility measurements show no sign of long-range order down to 50 mK. The wave vector dependence of quasi-elastic neutron scattering at 100 mK shares some similarities with a classical Ising spin ice, including pinch points. These results are interpreted as an indication of the ‘2-in’/’2-out’ ice rule being satisfied over a time scale set by the instrumental energy resolution. Quite interesting, and in sharp contrast with classical spin ices where almost no inelastic response is observed [182], inelastic scattering in Pr$_2$Zr$_2$O$_7$ with an energy transfer of 0.25 meV does not show pinch points. This suggests that there are fluctuations operating that break the ice rule.

In summary, it appears that the insulating Pr$_2$(Sn,Zr)$_2$O$_7$ compounds develop significant correlations at temperatures below approximately 1 K, but do not develop true long-range order nor do they appear to behave like the conventional Ho$_2$Ti$_2$O$_7$ and Dy$_2$Ti$_2$O$_7$ classical dipolar spin ices. As in these latter systems, the lowest excited crystal field levels in Pr$_2$(Sn,Zr)$_2$O$_7$ are at high energy, with a gap $\Delta \sim O(10^3)$ K above the ground doublet [87]. Consequently, the virtual crystal field fluctuation mechanism induced by the interactions between the magnetic moments, and proposed to be at play in Tb$_2$Ti$_2$O$_7$ because of its small gap $\Delta \sim 18$ K [84, 85], is likely not significant in these two Pr-based compounds. It has been suggested that the microscopic $H_{int}$ interactions in these Pr-based compounds contain strong multipolar interactions.
between the $J_i$ angular momenta operators \[83, 183\] and that these introduce quantum fluctuations that ‘melt’ the low temperature classical spin ice state that would have developed in their absence. The idea, although not quite presented in this form in \[83, 183\], is that high multipolar interactions between the $J_i$ operators, which involve higher powers of $J_i$, than simpler bilinear exchange-like couplings $g_{uv}\hat{J}_{u}^{\alpha}\hat{J}_{v}^{\beta}$, where $u, v$ are Cartesian components, have large matrix elements between the two states forming the crystal field doublet ground state of Pr$^{3+}$ in Pr$_2$(Sn,Zr)$_2$O$_7$. At the end of the day, the projection of the derived complex microscopic inter-ionic transition matrix elements between the two states, $|\psi^+\rangle$, that make up the doublet, these bringing about quantum dynamics between $|\psi^+\rangle$ and $|\psi^-\rangle$. We note in passing that in a recent paper \[184\] investigating the crystal field levels of Pr$_2$Sn$_2$O$_7$, it was found that the usage of pure $L \cdot S$ coupling assuming a fixed $J = 4$ manifold, hence neglecting $J$-mixing effects, leads to an underestimate of the quadrupole matrix elements between $|\psi^+\rangle$ and $|\psi^-\rangle$. The authors of \[184\] conclude that consideration of $J$-mixing effects is important to quantitatively estimate the amount of quadrupolar-induced quantum fluctuation effects in Pr$_2$Sn$_2$O$_7$. An effective pseudospin-1/2 Hamiltonian, which describes that physics, can then be constructed and found to be of the form of $H_{\text{eff},J}$, but with $J_{z\pm} = 0$ since degenerate states of non-Kramers ions have vanishing matrix elements of time-odd operators such as $J$. We thus reach the interesting conclusion that Pr-based QSI candidates are rather attractive from the perspective of systematic experimental and theoretical investigations: (i) the effect of the excited crystal field states can probably be safely ignored, (ii) the magnetic moment $\mu \sim 3\mu_B$ means that dipolar interactions are ten times weaker than in the 10$\mu_B$ Ho$_2$Ti$_2$O$_7$ and Dy$_2$Ti$_2$O$_7$ classical spin ice materials \[23, 24\], and (iii) can be neglected as a first approximation down to about 0.1 K and, being non-Kramers ions, their effective pseudospin-1/2 Hamiltonian consists of only three couplings ($J_{\parallel}$, $J_{\perp}$, $J_{z\pm}$), making the theoretical description of these materials reasonably straightforward, at least in terms of the number of free parameters.

The systematic theoretical \[52, 53, 83, 183\] and experimental exploration of QSI physics in Pr-based materials has now begun in earnest \[87, 88\] and one may expect exciting results to emerge from future studies. That said, given the difficulty involved in synthesizing clean Sn-based and Zr-based pyrochlore oxides \[130\], one could, or perhaps even will, always worry about the effect that weak/dilute non-symmetry-invariant perturbations may have on the Pr-based materials given that the magnetic crystal field ground state is not protected by the Kramers theorem. The above discussion about Tb$_2$Ti$_2$O$_7$ and Pr$_2$(Sn, Zr)$_2$O$_7$ allows us to rationalize the naturalness of the next class of materials candidates for the study of QSI phenomenology. We desire materials with large energy gaps $\Delta$ between their crystal field ground state and their first excited energy level. Ideally, they should have small magnetic dipole moments (say less than $3\mu_B$) so that dipolar interactions may be neglected, at least initially. Were it not for the concern of disorder breaking their crystal field degeneracy, non-Kramers ions would seem appealing candidates because the single ion dipolar doublets are Ising-like. The crystal field doublets of Kramers ions are stable against small local crystal field deformations that may be caused by imperfect sample quality but the low-energy anisotropy is not constrained to be Ising-like and, indeed, rare-earth Kramers ions are known that span the range from Ising to Heisenberg to XY spins. While the extent of the available parameter space might disfavour Kramers magnets as potential QSI candidates, it certainly does not rule them out and, in fact, Yb$_2$Ti$_2$O$_7$, which we discuss next, is currently attracting much interest in this respect.

4.2.3. Yb$_2$Ti$_2$O$_7$. Going back to some of the very earliest experimental studies of magnetic pyrochlore oxides, Blöte \textit{et al} observed in Yb$_2$Ti$_2$O$_7$ a broad specific heat bump at a temperature of about 2 K, followed at lower temperature by a sharp specific heat peak at a critical temperature of $T_c \sim 0.214$ K suggesting a transition to long-range order \[185\]. It was not until the late 1990s and early 2000s that this compound was reinvestigated \[24, 186\], with the previously observed \[185\] sharp specific heat transition confirmed. The work of \[186\] reported data from $^{170}$Yb Mössbauer spectroscopy and muon spin relaxation (muSR) measurements revealing a rapid collapse of the spin fluctuation rate just above $T_c$. However, powder neutron diffraction did not find signs of long-range magnetic order below $T_c$ and muSR found a temperature-independent muon spin depolarization rate below $T_c$, which was interpreted as a quantum fluctuation regime. The work of \[186\] provided strong evidence that the Yb$^{3+}$ ion in Yb$_2$Ti$_2$O$_7$ should be viewed as an XY system (i.e. with $g$ tensor components $g_{\perp} > g_{\parallel}$), meaning that the magnetic moments have their largest magnetic response perpendicular to the local [1 1 1] direction. A subsequent single-crystal neutron scattering study reported evidence for ferrimagnetic order \[187\], but this was soon contested by neutron depolarization measurements \[188\]. In \[133, 189, 190\], neutron scattering measurements revealed the development of rods of scattering intensity along the (1 1 1) directions, which was interpreted in \[190\] as the presence of quasi-2D spin correlations, an interesting and unusual phenomenon, assuming this interpretation to be correct, for a 3D cubic system. These rods of scattering were found to be present at a temperature as high as 1.4 K \[133\]. The application of a magnetic field as low as 0.5 T along the [1 1 0] direction was found to induce a polarized 3D order accompanied by spin waves \[190\]. Polarized neutron scattering measurements found, through an analysis of the neutron spin-flip ratio \[124, 125\], a non-monotonic temperature evolution of the component of the local spin susceptibility, $\chi_{\text{loc}}$, parallel to the local [1 1 1] direction. Such behaviour is surprising for an XY system with $g_{\perp} > g_{\parallel}$, and the behaviour observed for $\chi_{\text{loc}}$ provided compelling evidence for strongly anisotropic effective exchanges at play in Yb$_2$Ti$_2$O$_7$, with a very strong effective Ising exchange $J_{1\parallel}$ term as in equation (14).

As discussed in section 4.1, several works \[89, 94, 124–126, 133\] have endeavoured to determine the strength of the interactions in Yb$_2$Ti$_2$O$_7$. It appears that the effective coupling between pseudospins 1/2 are in fact strongly anisotropic, with the largest one being indeed $J_{1\parallel}$. Among all
values having been reported, the exchange parameters determined in [89] describe the bulk thermodynamic properties of the material reasonably well, at least down to 0.7 K [90, 91]. Quite recently, the previously debated [188] report of long-range ferrimagnetic order [187] in Yb$_2$Ti$_2$O$_7$ has been confirmed [94]. In this ferrimagnetic state, the magnetic moments are found to be predominantly aligned along one of the six (1 0 0) cubic directions, but slightly splayed away from complete alignment, hence the label ferrimagnetic state. In this context, it is worth mentioning a very recent paper on the comple alignment, hence the label ferrimagnetic state. In this latter work, specific heat, $^{170}$Yb Mössbauer spectroscopy, neutron diffrac-tion and muSR measurements on powder samples find a first order transition at 0.15 K to a state that Mössbauer and neutron diffraction suggest to be the above ferrimagnetic order, referred to as long-range ‘splayed FM’ order. The situation about the nature of the low-temperature state of Yb$_2$Ti$_2$O$_7$ is thus rather confusing. It may be potentially illuminating to know that there is significant sample-to-sample variability among single-crystal samples as inferred from the sharpness of the $T_c \sim 0.2$ K specific heat peak [96, 192–194]. A recent extensive structural study investigation [194] has identified at least one origin of these variations: single crystals grown via the floating zone technique show, compared to sintered powder samples, that up to 2.3% of the non-magnetic Ti$^{4+}$ sites get replaced by magnetic by Yb$^{3+}$. Such ‘stuffing’ of the transition metal ion site Yb$^{3+}$ ions would introduce random exchange bonds and local lattice deformations and these may be at the origin of the mechanism affecting the stability of the magnetic ground state of a would-be structurally perfect Yb$_2$Ti$_2$O$_7$ material. Finally, we note that new and very recent muSR results find no evidence for the development of static order in either powder or single-crystal samples of Yb$_2$Ti$_2$O$_7$ and, unlike in [186], find no rapid collapse of the Yb$^{3+}$ spin fluctuation rate upon approaching the transition at $\sim 0.2$ K from above [96].

The overall situation with Yb$_2$Ti$_2$O$_7$ is thus as follows. The effective exchange interactions are strongly anisotropic. On the basis of the determined [89] and reasonably well validated [90, 91] exchange couplings, simple mean-field theory [89], classical ground state energy minimization [195] and more sophisticated gMFT calculations [51, 53] all predict conventional long-range ferrimagnetic order with the spins slightly splayed away from the six cubic (1 1 1) directions, as recently reported for Yb$_2$Sn$_2$O$_7$ [191] and characterized by negligible quantum fluctuations [89]. On the basis of these same calculations, Yb$_2$Ti$_2$O$_7$ is predicted to be located deeply in this semi-classical splayed FM state, away from any phase transition boundaries with other conventional classical long-range ordered phases [195], or with the $U(1)$ quantum spin liquid phase or yet with the unconventional quantum CFM phase that [51, 53] predict. It therefore seems likely that neither Yb$_2$Ti$_2$O$_7$ nor Yb$_2$Sn$_2$O$_7$ [191] are good realizations of the sought $U(1)$ liquid in a QSI setting. That said, with a tendency towards a broken discrete symmetry state (i.e. ferrimagnetic order along one of (1 0 0) directions) characterized by gapped excitations throughout the Brillouin zone, it is rather unclear why Yb$_2$Ti$_2$O$_7$ should be so sensitive to dilute disorder such as that generated by Yb$^{3+}$ stuffing on Ti$^{4+}$ sites [194]. One might then expect that if the observed amount of disorder and strength was greater than some critical value, that the resulting random frustration would then first drive the system into a semi-classical spin glass state. We are not aware of experimental studies having reported results suggesting a spin glass state in Yb$_2$Ti$_2$O$_7$ below a temperature of order of 0.2 K.

Let us end by stating that further experimental studies of Yb-based pyrochlores to search for either the $U(1)$ liquid or the CFM phase are certainly most warranted. Perhaps variants such as Yb$_2$Ge$_2$O$_7$, Yb$_2$Zr$_2$O$_7$ or Yb$_2$Hf$_2$O$_7$ could display interesting properties. As discussed in section 3, we would expect a material finding itself in the $U(1)$ quantum spin liquid state to be robust for a finite variation in the microscopic interaction parameters. In this context, it may be worth noting that the disordered Yb$_2$GaSbO$_7$ material does not display a sharp specific heat peak near 0.2 K with its uniform susceptibility below 10 K characterized by an AF Curie–Weiss temperature, $\theta_{cw} \sim -2.3$ K, unlike Yb$_2$Ti$_2$O$_7$ for which $\theta_{cw}$ is ferromagnetic-like with $\theta_{cw} \sim +0.4$ K [185]. Notwithstanding the random spin–spin couplings caused by the GaSb randomness on the transition metal ion site, a new generation of experiments (e.g. ac and dc susceptibility, neutron, Mössbauer, muSR measurements) on Yb$_2$GaSbO$_7$ may prove interesting.

We conclude by saying that the three materials discussed in this section, Tb$_2$Ti$_2$O$_7$, Pr$_2$(Sn, Zr)$_2$O$_7$ and Yb$_2$Ti$_2$O$_7$, are all described to some extent by the type of effective spin-1/2 Hamiltonian of equation (14) from which one obtains an exotic gapless $U(1)$ spin liquid state with gapped electric and magnetic excitations, in addition to gapless photons. However, none of them have (yet) been found to be a clear realization of such a state. The wide variety of materials in the family of $R_2M_2O_7$ pyrochlore oxides [130] offers the possibility that one or more of these compounds may eventually prove compelling candidates to support the exotic physics of the $U(1)$ spin liquid. In such a case, the experimental and theoretical lessons learned while investigating (Tb, Pr, Yb)$_2$(Ti, Zr, Sn)$_2$O$_7$ will undoubtedly prove useful.

It would also perhaps be interesting to investigate in more detail the $AR_2S_4$ and $AR_2Se_4$ ($A$ = Cd, Mg) chalcogenide spinels in which the $R^{3+}$ rare-earth ion sits on a pyrochlore lattice [22, 196]. In the context of spin ice-like systems, CdDy$_2$Se$_4$ likely has XY-like Dy$^{3+}$ ions and could be particularly interesting [195] if $J_{cz}$ in equation (14) proved to be the strongest coupling, as found in the XY Yb$_2$Ti$_2$O$_7$ compound [89].

5. Conclusion

In the foregoing sections, we have given an account of a theoretical proposal that effective spin-1/2 pyrochlore magnets, close to the Ising limit, may have a spin liquid ground state with gapless photon-like excitations—the so-called quantum spin ice state. On a purely theoretical front, there is strong evidence both from effective field theory and numerics that quantum fluctuations acting on the set of spin ice states can lead to just such a quantum spin liquid ground state. We
can, moreover, make strong statements about the experimental observables in quantum spin ice. For example, unlike the case with many spin liquids, the gapless photon-like excitations carry spin one and couple directly to neutrons and would therefore be resolved as a sharp band of scattering intensity revealing the linear dispersion of the emergent photon.

Quantum spin ice exhibits a hierarchy of energy scales. The crossover between the quantum spin ice state and classical spin ice is controlled by the magnitude of the hexagonal ring exchange. At higher scales, there is a gap to the creation of spin ice defects—the magnetic monopoles of [31]. The schematic recipe for making a quantum spin ice is that the material exchange should be dominated by an Ising coupling either coming purely from the balance of exchange parameters or assisted by the presence of an Ising-like single-ion anisotropy. There should be weaker transverse exchange couplings which generate the effective ring exchange. For realistic values of the couplings in real materials, the hexagonal ring exchange can vary over many orders of magnitude but, inevitably, in rare-earth magnets it may be of the same order of magnitude as $J_1$ down to 100 times smaller. Upon approaching the latter limit, it is then important to consider the effect of competing couplings on the quantum spin ice state. The success of the experimental search for quantum spin ice is contingent on whether the spin liquid is natural in the space of available couplings within an effective spin-1/2 model: which consists of four linearly independent nearest neighbour exchange, the long-range dipole coupling, further neighbour exchange, higher order ring exchange and, potentially, couplings to non-magnetic degrees of freedom.

On the theoretical side, recent work has mapped out the phase diagram in the presence of the symmetry-allowed nearest-neighbour exchange couplings using a type of gauge mean-field theory that allows one to study directly the fractionalized gauge theory degrees of freedom as well as conventional magnetically ordered phases [51, 53]. From an experimental point of view, the finding from this study is encouraging: the quantum spin liquid ground state lives in a significant region in the space of anisotropic spin–spin parameters. It would be interesting to look at the situation beyond mean-field theory and to consider further the phenomenology of quantum spin ice as it crosses over into classical spin ice. One issue is how one might probe directly the gapped excitations in the quantum spin ice state and their classical analogues at higher temperature.

On the experimental side, we have discussed in some detail four materials among the rare-earth pyrochlores which meet the simple criteria of having Ising anisotropy with weaker transverse fluctuations of different microscopic origin. Unfortunately, while all four materials are associated with potentially very interesting open questions relating to the effect of disorder ($Yb_2Ti_2O_7$ and $Th_2Ti_2O_7$) and the precise nature of the low-temperature state ($Th_2Ti_2O_7$, $Yb_2Ti_2O_7$ and the Pr$^3+$ based materials), none appears to exhibit cleanly the quantum spin ice phase that is the main topic of this review. The existence of these materials does, however, demonstrate the naturalness of magnets in the vicinity of classical spin ice with added quantum fluctuations described, at least partially, by a model such as equation (14). In addition, the last few years have seen considerable theoretical progress in developing a quantitative understanding of this class of materials. Given that many magnets among the pyrochlore rare-earths remain to be investigated—a number have been mentioned in the preceding pages—and given our, now, reasonable mature understanding of the broad series of pyrochlore magnets, the next few years should see similar rapid progress in mapping out the experimental parameter space for these materials. Optimistically, within a few years, we will understand that the materials discussed in this review are skirting around the edge of a real and significant region exhibiting spin liquid ground states and we will also have discovered examples of real pyrochlore materials that do live in that region and are the gapless spin liquids advertised in the title of this review.
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