Radio-Fluorogenic Gel Dosimetry with Coumarin
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Abstract: Gel dosimeters are attractive detectors for radiation therapy, with properties similar to biological tissue and the potential to visualize volumetric dose distributions. Radio-fluorogenesis is the yield of fluorescent chemical products in response to energy deposition from ionizing radiation. This report shares the development of a novel radio-fluorogenic gel (RFG) dosimeter, gelatin infused with coumarin-3-carboxylic acid (C3CA), for the quantification of imparted energy. Aqueous solutions exposed to ionizing radiation result in the production of hydroxyl free radicals through water radiolysis. Interactions between hydroxyl free radicals and coumarin-3-carboxylic acid produce a fluorescent product. 7-hydroxy-coumarin-3-carboxylic acid has a blue (445 nm) emission following ultra-violet (UV) to near UV (365–405 nm) excitation. Effects of C3CA concentration and pH buffers were investigated. The response of the RFG was explored with respect to strength, type, and exposure rate of high-energy radiation. Results show a linear dose response relationship independent of energy and type, with a dose-rate dependency. This report demonstrates increased photo-yield with high pH and the utility of gelatin-RFG for phantom studies of radiation dosimetry.

Keywords: gel dosimetry; radiation dosimetry; radio-fluorogenic gel; luminescent dosimetry

1. Introduction

Advancements in radiation therapy technology have supported study of tissue-equivalent gels containing active chemical sensors for the measurement of absorbed dose of radiation. Gel dosimeters have radiological properties similar to biological tissue, and are suitable substitutes with the potential to resolve three-dimensional dose distributions. The development of gel dosimeters was dormant for many years, but has recently been developing at a rapid pace.

The first reported use of a gel dosimeter was in 1950 by Day and Stein, using the colorimetric dye methylene blue [1]. Andrews, et al. explored chloral hydrate and trichloroethylene in agar [2]. Stein and Tomkiewicz later investigated gelatin with ferricyanide; the radiation induced oxidation of ferricyanide was well characterized and known to produce colorimetric changes [3,4]. Fricke-type gel dosimeters, named after the discoverer of the radiation induced ferric to ferrous reaction, gained significant interest following study by Gore and King using magnetic resonance (MR) imaging [5]. Investigations in 1990s introduced polymer systems which, at a basic level, consist of monomers in solution that polymerize in response to radiation [6]. Studies in the 21st century have yielded plastic leuco-dye
dosimeters containing a colorless dye that reacts with free-radicals to produce color in proportion
to energy deposition [7], and most recently, a polymer radio-fluorogenic gel (RFG) dosimeter,
where a radio-fluorogenic monomer is activated as it is taken up into a polymer chain [8].

The above-referenced gel dosimeters all have features, often related to fabrication, limiting
widespread application in radiotherapy [9]. With Fricke-type systems, the mobility of the ferrous
ions allows rapid diffusion of the radiation product and subsequent loss of spatial information.
Early polymer system required an evacuated glove box. Current formulations utilize an oxygen
scavenger, but still suffer from monomer toxicity, although efforts have been made to minimize
this [10]. Leuco-dyes are formed by mixing part-A (pre-polymer solution) and part-B (dye, catalyst,
and radical initiator) solutions in a mold. Proper formation requires control of temperature and
pressure. Polymer-RFG production involves a nitrogen-flushed glove box and pre-irradiation prior to
use as a dosimeter. With strict fabrication methods and sometimes toxic constituents, the hunt for the
ideal sensor element and gel substrate continues.

Two natural gel substrates are agarose and gelatin. Gelatin is a derivative of bovine or porcine
collagen: the primary element of skin, bone, and connective tissue. Agarose is a polysaccharide isolated
from agar with highest gelling potential: agar is derived from seaweed. Gelatin and agarose are both
capable of creating hydrogels with low percentages of gelling agent. However, agarose is opaque
and induces light scattering, while gelatin is relatively translucent. The opacity of agar makes it less
than ideal for optical analysis. The clarity and transparency of gelatin are strongly dependent on raw
material history, purity, and preparation. Commercial gelatin consists of tropocollagen rods in the order
of 300 nm in length with 1.5 nm diameter [11]. Raw collagen is processed with acid or base solutions
yielding “Type A” (hydrogen chloride) or “Type B” (sodium hydroxide). Type A is denser than type
B, with a greater intrinsic viscosity [12]. Gelation speed also affects rigidity, with structure being
a function of formation temperature. Slow gelation yields increased organization and orientation of
chain elements with greater lateral bonding, resulting in the formation of fine well-ordered lattices [13].
Additionally, gelation is not susceptible to ionic effects [11]. Originating from mammalian tissue with
well-understood mechanisms of gelation, gelatin presents as an attractive substrate for exploration of
optically active sensors.

Radio-fluorogenic sensors are chemical elements that allow for dosimetry and quantification
of energy deposition from ionizing radiation through measurement of molecular fluorescence.
Fluorescent detection methods are particularly promising because of their ability to form selective
high-resolution images. Initially reported by Day and Stein in 1949, fluorescence spectroscopy
can be used to determine absorbed dose in aqueous solutions of aromatic compounds [14–16].
Ionizing radiation initiates radiolysis of water yielding hydroxyl free radicals that hydroxylate
aromatic compounds via electrophilic substitution. Numerous aromatic compounds are recognized
as radio-fluorogenic, with hydroxylation producing fluorescent products. The first fluorescent
sensor investigated for radiation dosimetry was aqueous benzoic acid [16]. Other potential sensors
are terephthalic, trimesic, and pyromellitic acid [17–19]. Each improved the yield of fluorescent
products by restraining positions on the aromatic compounds for substitution by hydroxyl radicals.
However, each of the single-ring aromatic compounds possesses excitation wavelengths in the
range of ultra-violet (UV) light, with a limited depth of penetration in a gel. This is the result of
Rayleigh scattering of light, proportional to $1/\lambda^4$, resulting in rapid reduction of transmission for
shorter wavelengths.

Because of their macromolecular nature, organic gels are naturally turbid; thus, it is preferable
to use longer excitation wavelengths with a greater range of penetration. Fluorescence of aromatic
compounds is due to their conjugated system of alternating single and double-bonds; pi-orbital
overlap allows for delocalization of electrons. Larger conjugated systems require less energy for
delocalization or excitation [20]. Therefore, selection of a multi-cyclic radio-fluorogenic sensor would
provide the most attractive fluorescent product, ideally with excitation from visible light. Multi-cyclic
coumarin-3-carboxylic acid (C3CA) is a promising sensor candidate.
Aqueous C3CA has been identified as a chemical dosimeter with potential for application in radiotherapy; it demonstrates favorable traits, including linear dose response, reproducibility, and long-term stability [21]. The radio-fluorogenic mechanism of C3CA has been studied in aqueous solution [22]. Positive features of C3CA include high solubility in aqueous solutions, simple organic composition, and favorable excitation and emission spectra. C3CA reacts with hydroxyl radicals to yield the fluorescent product, 7-hydroxycoumarin-3-carboxylic acid (umbelliferone), Figure 1.
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**Figure 1.** Hydroxyl radicals react with C3CA to yield umbelliferone through hydrogen abstraction, transfer, and substitution.

Optical imaging of biomarkers is an active area of study with several investigators exploring the use of C3CA labels for radiometric assessment [23–25]. The present investigation explored C3CA in gelatin as a potential RFG dosimeter. Concentration effects of C3CA were studied, and the influence of pH buffers was investigated with respect to fluorescent yield. Radiation response was examined subject to dose, rate, energy, and type for megavoltage electron and photon energies.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Chemical Preparation

Reagents were all purchased from Fisher Scientific (Baltimore, MD, USA): 98% C3CA (Acros Organics, Baltimore, MD, USA) and 99% umbelliferone (Infodine Chemical Company; Hillsborough, NJ, USA), sodium bicarbonate, sodium hydroxide, phosphate buffered saline, and food grade porcine type A gelatin (bloom strength 260, pH 5, and viscosity 40). All solutions were prepared with water from an EASYpure water purification system (Barnstead International; Boston, MA, USA). Large volumes of RFGs were prepared and aliquots separated, irradiated, and analyzed. Individual aliquots were stored at low temperature (5 °C) between processing steps to inhibit microbial growth.

Preparation was as follows: Gelatin was “wet” to allow for effective dispersion. Seven percent by weight gelatin was placed into a beaker to soak for 20 min, with half the total volume of water. In a separate beaker, C3CA was brought into solution by bringing a small volume of water containing C3CA to boil. The C3CA solution was then added, along with the remaining portion of water, to the “wet” gelatin. The temperature of the RFG solution was then raised to 35°C. It is important for the temperature to remain below 40°C to prevent denaturation of the gelatin. The RFG was maintained at 35°C for approximately 90 min, or until it presented as optically clear and free of visible colloidal structures. The RFG was then removed from heat and pipetted into poly-methyl-methacrylate (PMMA) cuvettes. Cuvettes were left to cool overnight at ambient temperature.

For studies with different pHs, umbelliferone was used to mimic the radio-fluorogenic product. Seven percent gelatin by weight and 0.9 mM C3CA and 0.1 mM umbelliferone solutions were prepared with deionized water (pH 6.0), 0.05 mM sodium bicarbonate and 0.1 mM sodium hydroxide (basic reagents, pH 10), and stock phosphate buffered saline (PBS, pH 7.4). For dose response
investigations, RFGs with various coumarin concentrations (1 mM, 5 mM, 10 mM, and 20 mM) were prepared using basic reagents.

2.2. Irradiation and Analysis

Irradiations were conducted with a high-energy medical linear accelerator (Varian Medical Systems; Palo Alto, CA, USA). Samples were irradiated with two nominal megavoltage (MV) photon energies (6 & 23 MV) and one electron energy (9 MeV). Irradiations were conducted with samples placed in a polystyrene phantom containing a void for 4 cuvettes. The phantom was designed to provide favorable geometry for the establishment of electronic equilibrium, providing an even distribution of imparted energy. A computed tomography (CT) scan was performed on the phantom, and images were imported into the Eclipse treatment planning system (Varian Medical Systems; Palo Alto, CA, USA) for calculation of dose, Figure 2.
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**Figure 2.** (A) Polystyrene phantom plate with void for irradiation of cuvettes; (B) dose calculation of phantom (heat map represents relative dose); (C) medical linear accelerator used for irradiations.

Instrumental analysis was conducted with a Cary Eclipse fluorescence spectrophotometer (Varian, Inc.; Pal Alto, CA, USA). Excitation and emission slit widths were set to 5 nm, emission scans were performed, and peak emission values recorded and plotted. Dose response was measured by observing the intensity of 445 nm emissions.

3. Results

3.1. pH Response

The influence of pH buffers on fluorescent response was examined. Results showed a doubling of fluorescent yield in basic solution (pH 10). A spectral shift of the excitation maxima was also observed.
Specifically, peak excitation shifted from 365 nm in solutions prepared with water compared to 405 nm in solutions prepared with basic reagents, Figure 3.

**Figure 3.** Fluorescence properties of coumarin-gelatin RFG dosimeter, excitation (dashed-lines) and emission (solid-lines) spectra for solutions of 7% gelatin with 0.9 mM C3CA and 0.1 mM umbelliferone. Curves are labeled in the graph. X-axis represents wavelength of emitted and collected light, Y-axis is not shown but represents an arbitrary unit of light intensity.

### 3.2. C3CA Concentration

Varying the concentration of C3CA in solutions prepared with basic reagents (pH 10) demonstrated a significantly increased response with concentrations 5 mM and greater, Figure 4. Repeated measures, using four samples for each data point, demonstrated standard deviations of less than 1%.

**Figure 4.** Dose plotted against intensity for concentrations of C3CA in 7% gelatin. X-axis represents central axis dose for the depth of cuvette, Y-axis, represents an arbitrary unit of light intensity. Error bars represent a 5% variation from the mean; calculated standard deviation from \( n = 4 \) samples per data point, less than 1%.
3.3. Dose Response

Dose response was determined by plotting 445 nm emissions versus dose, Figure 5. A linear response was observed in the range investigated, independent of type (photon or electron) and energy, Figure 6. Using a definition of three times the standard deviation of the background, the minimum detectable amount (MDA) was extrapolated from 9 MeV electron data, and found to be 1.5 Gy, Figure 7.

Figure 5. Nominal dose plotted against intensity. X-axis represents central axis dose for the depth of cuvette, and Y-axis the normalized fluorescent intensity. Error bars represent a 5% variation from the mean; calculated standard deviations from \( n = 4 \) samples per data point, less than 1%.

Figure 6. Normalized response plotted against nominal dose for 23 MV, 6 MV, and 9 MeV beams. X-axis represents central axis dose for the depth of cuvette, and Y-axis the normalized dose response. Error bars represent a 5% variation from the mean; calculated standard deviations from \( n = 4 \) samples per data point, less than 1%.
5. Conclusions

Our report shares some studies of a novel coumarin-gelatin RFG dosimeter. We found that a significant increase in quantum yield can be achieved with a coumarin-gelatin RFG in basic solution. Gels had a linear dose response with potential for application in the therapeutic dose range. RFG dosimeters warrant further study due to the selective high-resolution images that can be obtained with fluorescent analysis.
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