Rotational spectroscopy, tentative interstellar detection, and chemical modeling of N-methylformamide
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ABSTRACT

Context. N-methylformamide, CH$_3$NHCHO, may be an important molecule for interstellar pre-biotic chemistry because it contains a peptide bond, which in terrestrial chemistry is responsible for linking amino acids in proteins. The rotational spectrum of the most stable trans conformer of N-methylformamide is complicated by strong torsion-rotation interaction due to the low barrier of the methyl torsion. For this reason, the theoretical description of the rotational spectrum of the trans conformer has, up to now, not been accurate enough to provide a firm basis for its interstellar detection.

Aims. In this context, as a prerequisite for a successful interstellar detection, our goal is to improve the characterization of the rotational spectrum of N-methylformamide.

Methods. We use two absorption spectrometers in Kharkiv and Lille to measure the rotational spectra over the frequency range 45–630 GHz. The analysis is carried out using the Rho-axis method and the RAM36 code. We search for N-methylformamide toward the hot molecular core Sagittarius (Sgr) B2(N2) using a spectral line survey carried out with the Atacama Large Millimeter/submillimeter Array (ALMA). The astronomical spectra are analyzed under the assumption of local thermodynamic equilibrium. The astronomical results are put into a broader astrochemical context with the help of a gas-grain chemical kinetics model.

Results. The new laboratory data set for the trans conformer of N-methylformamide consists of 9469 distinct line frequencies with $J \leq 62$, including the first assignment of the rotational spectra of the first and second excited torsional states. All these lines are fitted within experimental accuracy for the first time. Based on the reliable frequency predictions obtained in this study, we report the tentative detection of N-methylformamide toward Sgr B2(N2). We find N-methylformamide to be more than one order of magnitude less abundant than formamide (NH$_2$CHO), a factor of two less abundant than the unsaturated molecule methyl isocyanate (CH$_3$NCO), but only slightly less abundant than acetamide (CH$_2$CONH$_2$). We also report the tentative detection of the $^{15}$N isotopolog of formamide (15NH$_2$CHO) toward Sgr B2(N2). The chemical models indicate that the efficient formation of HNCO via NH + CO on grains is a necessary step in the achievement of the observed gas-phase abundance of CH$_3$NCO. Production of CH$_3$NHCHO may plausibly occur on grains either through the direct addition of functional-group radicals or through the hydrogenation of CH$_3$NCO.

Conclusions. Provided the detection of N-methylformamide is confirmed, the only slight underabundance of this molecule compared to its more stable structural isomer acetamide and the sensitivity of the model abundances to the chemical kinetics parameters suggest that the formation of these two molecules is controlled by kinetics rather than thermal equilibrium.
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1. Introduction

The peptide bond is a fundamental building block of life on Earth (Kaiser et al. 2013). Therefore, peptide molecules have for a long time attracted much attention. The simplest molecule containing a peptide bond, formamide (NH$_2$CHO), was detected in the interstellar medium (ISM) back in the 1970s (Rubin et al. 1971). The relatively high abundance of formamide also permitted the detection of rotational lines of its first excited vibrational state $v_1 = 1$ in Orion KL (Motiyenko et al. 2012) and in Sagittarius (Sgr) B2(N) (Belloche et al. 2013). N-methylformamide, CH$_3$NHCHO, is one of the simplest derivatives of formamide and also a peptide molecule. It is of interest as a candidate for interstellar detection because its structural isomer acetamide (CH$_3$CONH$_2$) has already been detected in the ISM (Hollis et al. 2006; Halfen et al. 2011). CH$_3$NHCHO is the second most stable C$_2$H$_5$NO isomer after acetamide (Lattelais et al. 2010).

N-methylformamide exists in two stable rotameric forms, trans and cis. Their structures are shown in Fig. 1. According to quantum chemical calculations, the trans conformer is more stable than cis by 466 cm$^{-1}$ (666 K, Kawashima et al. 2010).
The trans conformer is also characterized by a very low barrier to internal rotation of the methyl top. The coupling between the overall rotation of the molecule and the almost free rotation of the methyl top significantly complicates the description of the spectrum. For this reason, N-methylformamide has been the subject of extensive spectroscopic investigations, but for a long time the analysis of its microwave rotational spectrum did not yield satisfactory results. Fantoni & Caminati (1996) were the first to succeed in assigning rotational spectral lines belonging to the trans conformer in the CH₃ internal rotation ground state of A symmetry. They performed measurements between 18 and 40 GHz, but could not identify any spectral lines of the E species. Later, Fantoni et al. (2002) published results of measurements and analysis for E lines. The Vₜ barrier of the methyl group internal rotation was determined to be 55.17 ± 0.84 cm⁻³.

Recently, Kawashima et al. (2010) carried out a new spectroscopic investigation of N-methylformamide. In that study, rotational spectra of both trans and cis conformers of the normal as well as deuterated CH₃NHCHO isotopologs were measured in the frequency range of 5–118 GHz. Molecular parameters including rotational constants and Vₜ barriers to methyl-group internal rotation were determined for all investigated species and conformers. Owing to the relatively high barrier to internal rotation for the cis conformer, a good description was obtained for its 108 measured transitions. However, the low barrier and the limitations in the model used for the theoretical description of the rotational spectrum allowed these authors to fit only low J quantum number transitions (J < 11) for the trans conformer. In addition, 60 out of 467 assigned transitions of the trans conformer were excluded from the final fit as their residuals ranged from 1 to 67 MHz and were much higher than the experimental accuracy estimated to be 0.004–0.05 MHz.

One should note that in previous publications, two different schemes were used for naming the conformations of N-methylformamide. Fantoni & Caminati (1996) and Fantoni et al. (2002) used the dihedral angle D(H − N − C − H') where H' is the carbonyl group hydrogen. The conformer with D = 0° was named cis and the conformer with D = 180° was named trans. Kawashima et al. (2010) used another convention widely accepted for molecules with a peptide bond. According to this convention, applied for N-methylformamide, one should use the D'(Y − N − C − X) dihedral angle, where X is the carbonyl hydrogen and Y is the methyl group. Cis and trans conformers named using this convention are thus the opposite of the cis and trans conformers in Fantoni & Caminati (1996) and Fantoni et al. (2002). Here we use the naming adopted by Kawashima et al. (2010), that is, using the D' dihedral angle.

In the present study, we extend the measurement and analysis of the rotational spectrum of trans N-methylformamide, which is of higher interest for astrophysical detection. We use the accurate frequency predictions obtained in this study to search for N-methylformamide in the ISM. We target the high mass star-forming region Sgr B2(N), one of the most prolific sources for the detection of complex organic molecules in the ISM (e.g., Belloche et al. 2013). For this, we use a spectral survey of Sgr B2(N) conducted with the Atacama Large Millimeter/submillimeter Array (ALMA) in its Cycles 0 and 1. This survey aims at exploring molecular complexity with ALMA (EMoCA, see Belloche et al. 2016).

The experimental setup is presented in Sect. 2. The analysis of the rotational spectrum of N-methylformamide and the results that follow are described in Sect. 3. A tentative detection of N-methylformamide in Sgr B2(N) is presented in Sect. 4 along with the derivation of column densities of other related molecules of interest. Chemical modeling is performed in Sect. 5 to put the observational results into a broader astrochemical context. The results are discussed in Sect. 6 and the conclusions are presented in Sect. 7.

2. Experimental setup

A sample of N-methylformamide was purchased from Sigma-Aldrich and used without further purification. The experimental investigation of the absorption spectrum of N-methylformamide was carried out over the frequency range 45–630 GHz using two microwave spectrometers. The first one is the automated millimeter wave spectrometer of the Institute of Radio Astronomy of NASU in Kharkiv, Ukraine (Alekseev et al. 2012). The second one is the terahertz spectrometer of the Laboratory of Physics of Lasers, Atoms, and Molecules in Lille, France (Zakharenko et al. 2015).

The spectrometer in Kharkiv is built according to the classical scheme of absorption spectrometers, and its detailed description can be found in Alekseev et al. (2012). The spectrometer was slightly upgraded with the aim of expanding the operating frequency range; a new backward-wave oscillator (BWO) unit covering frequencies from 34 to 52 GHz has been put into operation. Thus, at present, this spectrometer can record spectra between 34 and 250 GHz. In order to improve the sensitivity below 50 GHz, a new waveguide absorbing cell (a copper waveguide of 10 × 72 mm² internal cross section and 295 cm length) was used instead of the commonly employed quasi-optic absorption cell. The measurements of N-methylformamide with the Kharkiv spectrometer were done in the frequency range 45 to 150 GHz. All measurements were performed at room temperature and with sample pressures (approximately 10 mTorr) that provided close to Doppler-limited spectral resolution. The frequency determination errors were estimated to be 10, 30, and 100 kHz depending on the measured signal-to-noise ratio.

The measurements in Lille were performed between 150 and 630 GHz at typical pressures of 10 Pa and at room temperature. The frequency determination errors were estimated to be 30 kHz and 50 kHz below and above 330 GHz, respectively. The frequencies of the lines with poor signal-to-noise ratio or distorted lineshape were measured with 50 kHz or 100 kHz accuracy.

3. Spectroscopic analysis and results

We performed the analysis using the Rho-axis method (RAM), which was already applied successfully to a number of
molecules with large-angle torsional motion. The method uses the axis system obtained by rotation of the principal axis system to make the new $z$ axis parallel to the $\rho$ vector. The coordinates of the $\rho$ vector are calculated using the following expression:

$$\rho_\gamma = \frac{\lambda_\gamma I_\alpha}{I_\rho} \quad (g = x, y, z),$$

where $\lambda_\gamma$ are the direction cosines of the internal rotation axis of the top in the principal axis system, $I_\gamma$ are the principal inertia moments, and $I_\rho$ is the inertia moment of the methyl top. The RAM Hamiltonian may be written as (Kleiner 2010):

$$H_{\text{RAM}} = H_T + H_R + H_{\text{ed}} + H_{\text{int}}.$$

(1)

$H_T$ represents the torsional Hamiltonian defined as:

$$H_T = F(p_\alpha - \rho_\alpha J_\alpha)^2 + V(\alpha),$$

(2)

where $F$ is the internal rotation constant, $p_\alpha$ is the internal rotation angular momentum conjugate to the torsion angle $\alpha$, and $V(\alpha)$ is the internal rotation potential function:

$$V(\alpha) = \frac{1}{2} V_3 (1 - \cos3\alpha) + \frac{1}{4} V_6 (1 - \cos6\alpha) + ...$$

(3)

$H_R$ represents the rigid rotor rotational Hamiltonian in the rho-axis system. In addition to usual $A$, $B$, and $C$ terms, for the molecules with $(xz)$ plane of symmetry (as is appropriate for N-methylformamide), it contains a non-diagonal term $D_{\alpha\beta}$. In the $r'$ coordinate representation, $H_R$ has the following form:

$$H_R = A_{\text{RAM}} I_x^2 + B_{\text{RAM}} I_y^2 + C_{\text{RAM}} I_z^2 + D_{\alpha\beta}(J_x J_z + J_z J_x).$$

(4)

The last two terms in Eq. (1), $H_{\text{ed}}$ and $H_{\text{int}}$, are the usual centrifugal distortion and higher-order torsional-rotational interaction Hamiltonians, respectively.

To fit and predict the rotational spectra, we used the RAM36 (Rho-axis method for 3 and 6-fold barriers) program that allows one to include in the model almost any symmetry-allowed torsion-rotation Hamiltonian term up to the twelfth order (Iyushin et al. 2010, 2013). The RAM Hamiltonian in Eq. (1) may be expressed in the following form used in the RAM36 program:

$$H = \sum_{knprst} B_{knprst} \left[ J_k^2 J_p^2 J_r^2 J_s^2 J_t^2 \cos(3\alpha) \right.$$

$$+ \cos(3\alpha) p_r^2 J_r^2 J_s^2 J_t^2 J_k^2 + \left.$$

$$+ \left. \frac{1}{2} \sum_{knprst} B_{knprst} \left[ J_k^2 J_p^2 J_r^2 J_s^2 J_t^2 \sin(3\alpha) \right.$$

$$+ \sin(3\alpha) p_r^2 J_r^2 J_s^2 J_t^2 J_k^2 \right].$$

(5)

where the $B_{knprst}$ are fitting parameters. In the case of a $C_3v$ top and $C_z$ frame (as is appropriate for N-methylformamide), the allowed terms in the torsion-rotation Hamiltonian must be totally symmetric in the group $G_6$ (and also must be Hermitian and invariant to the time reversal operation). Since all individual operators $p_\alpha$, $J_x$, $J_y$, $J_z$, $J_\alpha$, $\cos(3\alpha)$, and $\sin(3\alpha)$ used in Eq. (5) are Hermitian, all possible terms provided by Eq. (5) will automatically be Hermitian. The particular term to be fitted is represented in the input file with a set of $k, n, p, q, r, s, t$ integer indices that are checked by the program for conformity with time reversal and symmetry requirements, to prevent accidental introduction of symmetry-forbidden terms into the Hamiltonian. For example, $B_{000000}$ corresponds to $F$ in Eq. (2), $B_{000000}$ to $A_{\text{RAM}}$ in Eq. (4), etc. In Table 1, which presents the final set of molecular parameters, we give, instead of $B_{knprst}$, more conventional names for the parameters whose nomenclature is based on the subscript procedures of Xu et al. (2008).

The RAM36 program uses the free-rotor quantum number $m$ to label the torsional energy levels. It is well known that the internal rotation of a methyl top attached to a molecular frame should be treated as an anharmonic vibrational motion well below the top of the barrier to internal rotation, and as a nearly free internal rotation motion well above the top of the barrier. The $v_t$ labeling assumes that the spacings between degenerate and non-degenerate levels of the torsional Hamiltonian associated with a given $v_t$ are much smaller than those between levels with different $v_t$ (Lin & Swalen 1959). The $trans$ conformation of N-methylformamide represents an intermediate case because of the relatively low barrier to internal rotation (Lin & Swalen 1959), giving to the A-E splitting in the excited torsional state $v_t = 1$ of the $trans$ conformation is comparable with the energy difference between the $v_t = 0$ and $v_t = 1$ states, whereas the $v_t = 2$ state lies well above the barrier. Because of this intermediate situation we decided to keep the quantum number labeling of torsional states $m$ in Tables 2 and 3, which is used inside the RAM36 program.

The RAM36 code was modified to take into account the quadrupole hyperfine structure of the transitions that is present in the spectrum of N-methylformamide due to the non-zero electric quadrupole moment of the nitrogen atom. We used the standard hyperfine expression:

$$E_{hf} = \chi_{ab} \langle J_a^2 \rangle + \chi_{bb} \langle J_b^2 \rangle - \chi_{ab} \chi_{bb} \langle J_a^2 \rangle + \chi_{ab} \langle J_a J_b \rangle + \langle J_a J_b \rangle \langle J_a J_b \rangle$$

$$\frac{2f(I, J, F)}{J(J + 1)},$$

(6)

where $f(I, J, F)$ is the Casimir function. Typically, a resolved pattern of the hyperfine structure was observed as a doublet with an approximately two-to-one ratio in intensities. The stronger doublet component contains unresolved hyperfine transitions with selection rules $F = J + 1 \leftarrow F = J + 1$ and $F = J - 1 \leftarrow F = J - 1$, whereas the weaker doublet component corresponds to the $F = J \leftarrow F = J$ transition.

We started our analysis of the $trans$ N-methylformamide spectrum from refitting the data available from the literature (Fantoni & Caminati 1996; Fantoni et al. 2002; Kawashima et al. 2010) using the RAM36 code. Application of the RAM36 code allowed us to fit, almost within experimental error, the data available in the literature including those lines that were previously excluded from the fits due to relatively high observed-minus-calculated values (Kawashima et al. 2010). We obtained a reliable basis for assigning the newly measured lines in the 45–630 GHz range. Assigning and fitting of the new data using the RAM36 program proceeded in a fairly conventional, iterative way going up in frequency.

The complete data set treated at the final stage of the current study includes both our new data and data from the literature (Fantoni & Caminati 1996; Fantoni et al. 2002; Kawashima et al. 2010). The data set contains 12,456 $A$- and $E$-type transitions with $J \leq 62$ and $K_a \leq 21$ for $trans$ N-methylformamide in the lowest three torsional states. Due to blending, these 12,456 transitions correspond to 9469 distinct line frequencies (mainly due to non-fully resolved quadrupole hyperfine structure). The fit chosen as the “best” uses a model consisting of 103 parameters. The weighted root-mean-square
Table 1. Molecular parameters of the $trans$ conformer of N-methylformamide obtained with the RAM36 program.

| $ntr^a$ | Parameter$^b$ | Operator$^c$ | Value$^d$ |
|---------|---------------|-------------|-----------|
| 220     | $F$           | $p_z^2$     | 5.5825023(37) |
| 220     | $V_3$         | $\frac{1}{2}(1 - \cos 3\alpha)$ | 51.7199088(90) |
| 211     | $J_p a$       | $J_p p_a$   | 0.080976579(84) |
| 202     | $A_{RAM} = 0.5(B_{RAM} + C_{RAM})$ | $J_z^2$ | 0.3540036(48) |
| 202     | $0.5(B_{RAM} + C_{RAM})$ | $J_z^2$ | 0.22175219(40) |
| 202     | $0.5(B_{RAM} - C_{RAM})$ | $J_z^2 - J_y^2$ | 0.05717595(45) |
| 202     | $D_{2s}$      | $(J_y, J_z)$ | -0.155070742(38) |
| 440     | $F_m$         | $p_x^2$     | -0.67979(73) × 10^{-3} |
| 440     | $V_6$         | $\frac{1}{2}(1 - \cos 6\alpha)$ | 8.028666(40) |
| 431     | $\rho_m$      | $J_p p_a^3$ | 0.222402(74) × 10^{-3} |
| 422     | $J_y$         | $J_z^2 p_a$ | -0.1029(15) × 10^{-6} |
| 422     | $J_{xy}$      | $J_y^2 p_{xy}$ | -0.63309(14) × 10^{-4} |
| 422     | $F_{xy}$      | $p_z^2(J_z - J_y^2)$ | -0.23266(15) × 10^{-5} |
| 422     | $F_{2x}$      | $\frac{1}{2}p_{xy}^2(J_z, J_y)$ | 0.226160(59) × 10^{-4} |
| 422     | $V_{3J}$      | $J^2(1 - \cos 3\alpha)$ | -0.15939626(49) × 10^{-2} |
| 422     | $V_{3JK}$     | $J_z^2(1 - \cos 3\alpha)$ | 0.87184867(73) × 10^{-2} |
| 422     | $V_{32x}$     | $\frac{1}{2}(1 - \cos 3\alpha)(J_z, J_y)$ | -0.9181495(26) × 10^{-2} |
| 422     | $V_{33y}$     | $(J_z^2 - J_y^2)(1 - \cos 3\alpha)$ | -0.1947944(44) × 10^{-4} |
| 422     | $D_{32y}$     | $\frac{1}{2}\sin 3\alpha(J_z, J_y)$ | 0.235469(41) × 10^{-3} |
| 413     | $\rho_J$      | $J_y^2 J_z p_a$ | 0.240348(50) × 10^{-5} |
| 413     | $\rho_K$      | $J_z^2 p_a$ | 0.112304(16) × 10^{-4} |
| 413     | $\rho_{2x}$   | $\frac{1}{2}p_{xy} p_z(J_z, J_y)$ | -0.186678(14) × 10^{-4} |
| 413     | $\rho_{xy}$   | $\frac{1}{2}p_{xy}^2(J_z - J_y^2)$ | 0.473931(43) × 10^{-5} |
| 404     | $D_{2sk}$     | $(J_z, J_z)$ | 0.203044(10) × 10^{-5} |
| 404     | $\Delta_J$    | $-J^4$ | 0.300775(22) × 10^{-6} |
| 404     | $\Delta_{JK}$ | $-J_z^2 J_y$ | -0.83337(25) × 10^{-6} |
| 404     | $\Delta_K$    | $-J_z^4$ | 0.302147(39) × 10^{-5} |
| 404     | $\delta_J$    | $-J_y^2(J_z^2 - J_y^2)$ | 0.108271(13) × 10^{-6} |
| 404     | $\delta_K$    | $-J_z^2(J_z^2 - J_y^2)$ | 0.367453(69) × 10^{-6} |
| 660     | $F_{mm}$      | $p_0^2$ | -0.10119(30) × 10^{-4} |
| 660     | $V_0$         | $\frac{1}{2}(1 - \cos 9\alpha)$ | 2.0575(23) |
| 651     | $\rho_{mm}$   | $p_z^2 J_z$ | 0.26713(46) × 10^{-5} |
| 642     | $F_{mJ}$      | $J_y^2 p_a$ | 0.33939(19) × 10^{-7} |
| 642     | $F_{MK}$      | $J_z^2 p_a$ | -0.6445(16) × 10^{-6} |
| 642     | $F_{mxy}$     | $p_z^3(J_z - J_y^2)$ | 0.2157(17) × 10^{-7} |
| 642     | $F_{mzx}$     | $\frac{1}{2}p_{xy}^2(J_z, J_y)$ | -0.1406(36) × 10^{-7} |
| 642     | $V_{6J}$      | $J^2(1 - \cos 6\alpha)$ | -0.124130(82) × 10^{-3} |
| 642     | $V_{6K}$      | $J_z^2(1 - \cos 6\alpha)$ | 0.4820(10) × 10^{-3} |
| 642     | $V_{6zx}$     | $\frac{1}{2}(1 - \cos 6\alpha)(J_z, J_y)$ | -0.543394(97) × 10^{-3} |
| 642     | $V_{63y}$     | $(1 - \cos 6\alpha)(J_z^2 - J_y^2)$ | -0.20435(93) × 10^{-4} |
| 662     | $D_{63y}$     | $\frac{1}{2}\sin 6\alpha(J_z, J_y)$ | 0.6217(56) × 10^{-4} |
| 662     | $D_{63y}$     | $\frac{1}{2}\sin 6\alpha(J_z, J_y)$ | -0.12563(23) × 10^{-3} |
| 633     | $\rho_{mJ}$   | $J_y^2 p_a^2 J_z$ | -0.3725(33) × 10^{-8} |
| 633     | $\rho_{MK}$   | $J_z^2 p_a^2 J_y$ | 0.5362(28) × 10^{-7} |
| 633     | $\rho_{mxy}$  | $\frac{1}{2}p_{xy}^3(J_z, J_z - J_y^2)$ | -0.819(25) × 10^{-9} |
| 633     | $\rho_{mzx}$  | $\frac{1}{2}p_{xy}^3(J_z, J_z)$ | -0.1058(18) × 10^{-7} |
| 624     | $F_{JJ}$      | $J_y^3 p_a^2$ | 0.2173(36) × 10^{-10} |
| 624     | $F_{KK}$      | $J_z^3 p_a^2$ | -0.2049(35) × 10^{-8} |
| 624     | $F_{JZ}$      | $\frac{1}{2}p_{xy}^2(J_z, J_y)$ | -0.1137(15) × 10^{-8} |
| 624     | $V_{JJ}$      | $J^2(1 - \cos 3\alpha)$ | 0.131102(86) × 10^{-7} |
| 624     | $V_{JJK}$     | $J^2(1 - \cos 3\alpha)$ | 0.15148(95) × 10^{-7} |

Notes. (a) $n = t + r$, where $n$ is the total order of the operator, $t$ is the order of the torsional part and $r$ is the order of the rotational part, respectively. (b) Parameter nomenclature based on the subscript procedures of Xu et al. (2008) (c) $[A, B, C] = ABC + CB A, [A, B] = AB + BA$. The product of the operator in the third column of a given row and the parameter in the second column of that row gives the term actually used in the torsion-rotation Hamiltonian of the program, except for $F, \rho$ and $A_{RAM}$, which occur in the Hamiltonian in the form $F(p_a - \rho_p)^2 + A_{RAM}p_z^2$. (d) All values are in cm$^{-1}$ (except $\rho$ which is unitless). Statistical uncertainties are shown as one standard uncertainty in the units of the last two digits.
Table 1. continued.

| ntr $^a$ | Parameter $^b$ | Operator $^c$ | Value $^d$ |
|----------|----------------|---------------|------------|
| 624      | $V_{3xK}$     | $J_x^1 (1 - \cos 3\alpha)$ | $-0.5940(31) \times 10^{-7}$ |
| 624      | $V_{3xJ}$     | $\frac{1}{2} J_x^1 (1 - \cos 3\alpha) [J_x, J_y]$ | $0.3290(72) \times 10^{-8}$ |
| 624      | $V_{3yK}$     | $\frac{1}{2} J^3 (1 - \cos 3\alpha) (J^3 - J^3_y)$ | $-0.2988(10) \times 10^{-8}$ |
| 624      | $V_{3yJ}$     | $\frac{1}{2} J^3 (1 - \cos 3\alpha) (J^3 - J^3_y)$ | $-0.1819(10) \times 10^{-7}$ |
| 624      | $V_{3zK}$     | $\frac{1}{2} (1 - \cos 3\alpha) [J^3_x, (J^3_x - J^3_y)]$ | $-0.9915(12) \times 10^{-7}$ |
| 624      | $V_{3zJ}$     | $\frac{1}{2} (1 - \cos 3\alpha) [J^3_x, J^3_y]$ | $-0.15343(15) \times 10^{-6}$ |
| 624      | $V_{3zK}$     | $\frac{1}{2} \cos 3\alpha [J^3_x, J^3_y]$ | $0.7525(14) \times 10^{-8}$ |
| 624      | $D_{3xK}$     | $\frac{1}{2} J^3 \sin 3\alpha [J_x, J_y]$ | $-0.6130(23) \times 10^{-8}$ |
| 624      | $D_{3xJ}$     | $\frac{1}{2} J^3 \sin 3\alpha [J_x, J_y]$ | $0.23854(49) \times 10^{-9}$ |
| 624      | $D_{3yK}$     | $\frac{1}{2} J^3 \sin 3\alpha [J_x, J_y]$ | $-0.6514(19) \times 10^{-7}$ |
| 624      | $D_{3yJ}$     | $\frac{1}{2} J^3 \sin 3\alpha [J_x, J_y]$ | $-0.5861(61) \times 10^{-7}$ |
| 624      | $D_{3zK}$     | $\frac{1}{2} \sin 3\alpha [J^3_x, J^3_y]$ | $0.8302(24) \times 10^{-7}$ |
| 624      | $D_{3zJ}$     | $\frac{1}{2} \sin 3\alpha [J^3_x, J^3_y]$ | $0.4008(24) \times 10^{-8}$ |
| 615      | $\rho_{1J}$   | $J^3 \rho_{1\nu}$ | $-0.1203(18) \times 10^{-10}$ |
| 615      | $\rho_{1K}$   | $J^3 \rho_{1\nu}$ | $0.6148(28) \times 10^{-9}$ |
| 615      | $\rho_{1K}$   | $J^3 \rho_{1\nu}$ | $0.4502(53) \times 10^{-9}$ |
| 615      | $\rho_{2J}$   | $\frac{1}{2} J^3 \rho_{2\nu}$ | $0.475(11) \times 10^{-10}$ |
| 615      | $\rho_{2J}$   | $\frac{1}{2} J^3 \rho_{2\nu}$ | $0.13926(45) \times 10^{-8}$ |
| 615      | $\rho_{2J}$   | $\frac{1}{2} J^3 \rho_{2\nu}$ | $0.23934(84) \times 10^{-8}$ |
| 606      | $D_{2xK}$     | $\frac{1}{2} [J^3_x, J^3_y]$ | $-0.7781(20) \times 10^{-10}$ |
| 606      | $\Phi_{1J}$   | $\Phi_{1\nu}$ | $0.4542(10) \times 10^{-12}$ |
| 606      | $\Phi_{1J}$   | $\Phi_{1\nu}$ | $0.3394(14) \times 10^{-10}$ |
| 606      | $\Phi_{1J}$   | $\Phi_{1\nu}$ | $0.2619(35) \times 10^{-10}$ |
| 606      | $\phi_{1J}$   | $2J^3 (J^3_x - J^3_y)$ | $0.23637(53) \times 10^{-12}$ |
| 606      | $\phi_{1J}$   | $2J^3 (J^3_x - J^3_y)$ | $-0.6207(42) \times 10^{-12}$ |
| 606      | $\phi_{1K}$   | $2J^3 (J^3_x - J^3_y)$ | $0.22553(51) \times 10^{-10}$ |
| 871      | $\rho_{mmm}$  | $J^3 \rho_{m\nu}$ | $0.7121(15) \times 10^{-7}$ |
| 862      | $F_{mmJ}$     | $J^3 \rho_{m\nu}$ | $0.4570(73) \times 10^{-9}$ |
| 862      | $F_{mmK}$     | $J^3 \rho_{m\nu}$ | $-0.19488(81) \times 10^{-7}$ |
| 862      | $F_{mmK}$     | $p_{0m} (J^3_x - J^3_y)$ | $0.3816(70) \times 10^{-9}$ |
| 862      | $V_{0J}$      | $J^2 (1 - \cos 9\alpha)$ | $-0.15061(48) \times 10^{-3}$ |
| 862      | $V_{0K}$      | $J^2 (1 - \cos 9\alpha)$ | $0.1550(58) \times 10^{-3}$ |
| 862      | $V_{0K}$      | $J^2 (1 - \cos 9\alpha)$ | $-0.5022(55) \times 10^{-4}$ |
| 862      | $D_{2J}$      | $\frac{1}{2} \sin 9\alpha [J_x, J_y]$ | $-0.1195(32) \times 10^{-3}$ |
| 853      | $\rho_{nK}$   | $J^3 \rho_{n\nu}$ | $0.2984(20) \times 10^{-8}$ |
| 853      | $\rho_{nK}$   | $J^3 \rho_{n\nu}$ | $0.4111(11) \times 10^{-9}$ |
| 844      | $F_{nJK}$     | $J^3 \rho_{n\nu}$ | $0.980(55) \times 10^{-11}$ |
| 844      | $F_{nJK}$     | $J^3 \rho_{n\nu}$ | $-0.2444(30) \times 10^{-9}$ |
| 844      | $F_{nJK}$     | $J^3 \rho_{n\nu}$ | $0.1692(29) \times 10^{-10}$ |
| 844      | $V_{6xJ}$     | $\frac{1}{2} \cos 6\alpha [J_x, J^3_y]$ | $-0.1034(16) \times 10^{-7}$ |
| 844      | $D_{6xJ}$     | $\frac{1}{2} J^3 \sin 6\alpha [J_x, J^3_y]$ | $-0.1224(35) \times 10^{-8}$ |
| 844      | $D_{6xK}$     | $\frac{1}{2} J^3 \sin 6\alpha [J_x, J^3_y]$ | $0.573(14) \times 10^{-7}$ |
| 835      | $\rho_{mKK}$  | $J^3 \rho_{m\nu}$ | $0.1312(35) \times 10^{-10}$ |
| 826      | $F_{KK}$      | $J^3 \rho_{m\nu}$ | $-0.4912(25) \times 10^{-12}$ |
| 826      | $V_{3JK}$     | $J^4 J^3 (1 - \cos 3\alpha)$ | $-0.365(13) \times 10^{-12}$ |
| 826      | $V_{3KK}$     | $J^4 (1 - \cos 3\alpha)$ | $-0.909(34) \times 10^{-11}$ |
| 826      | $V_{3KK}$     | $J^4 (1 - \cos 3\alpha)$ | $0.675(14) \times 10^{-12}$ |
| 826      | $D_{3JK}$     | $J^4 \sin 3\alpha [J_x, J^3_y]$ | $0.1837(65) \times 10^{-11}$ |
| 826      | $D_{3JK}$     | $J^4 \sin 3\alpha [J_x, J^3_y]$ | $-0.1309(33) \times 10^{-10}$ |

\[
\chi_{aa} = 0.70093(90) \times 10^{-4} \\
\chi_{bb} = 0.64466(92) \times 10^{-4} \\
2\chi_{ab} = 0.1755(15) \times 10^{-4}
\]
deviation of the fit of 12,456 microwave transition frequencies with $J \leq 62$ is 0.84, indicating that assumed statistical uncertainties were slightly overestimated. The largest residual of 0.293 MHz is observed in the fit for the $v_1 = 0$ $E$-symmetry species transition $49_{9,41} \leftrightarrow 48_{9,40}$. The final set of molecular parameters is presented in Table 1. The final data set of fitted transitions of the N-methylformamide trans conformer is presented in Table 2, where we provide quantum numbers for each level, followed by observed transition frequencies, measurement uncertainties, and residuals from the fit. The complete version of Table 2 is available at the CDS; here only part of the table is presented for illustration purposes.

Comparison of the low-order parameter values from Table 1 with the corresponding parameters determined by Kawashima et al. (2010) reveals relatively significant shifts in the values on a background of a general qualitative agreement (see Table B.1). Part of these shifts come from the basic difference in the models used, although both refer to the Rho-axis method. We follow the definition of the Rho-axis method given in Hougen et al. (1994) and, in our case, the $A$ and $E$ species are treated together with a single set of rotational parameters. On the contrary, Kawashima et al. (2010) treated the $A$ and $E$ species with distinct sets of rotational parameters (see their Table 2). As a result, a direct comparison of the changes in the rotational parameters is not possible. The same is true for the centrifugal distortion constants. At the same time, the change in $V_3$ value is in good agreement with the prediction made in Kawashima et al. (2010) where a relatively large $V_6$ term in the potential function was postulated by analogy with acetamide (Ilyushin et al. 2004). The data set available in Kawashima et al. (2010) was limited to the ground torsional state transitions only and did not give the opportunity to determine the $V_6$ value. Thus, they examined how the $V_3$ potential barrier is changed when a $V_6$ term is added, assuming that the coupling of the vibrational modes of the NH group with the CH$_3$ internal rotation has an effect similar to the one observed for the NH$_2$ group in acetamide (Hirota et al. 2010). According to our results, the value of an index $R$ used in Kawashima et al. (2010) to express the effect of the $V_6$ term quantitatively is estimated to be 26.5 which is in a relatively good agreement with the value of 23.0 obtained in Kawashima et al. (2010) on the basis of ground state data only. Thus, our results support the general analysis of the CH$_3$ internal rotation potential barrier in N-methylformamide provided in Kawashima et al. (2010).

A portion of the rotational spectrum of N-methylformamide measured around 133 GHz in the laboratory is shown in Fig. 2 and compared to the predicted rotational spectrum as provided by our current theoretical model. As can be seen from Fig. 2, the overall correspondence between experimental and theoretical spectra is very good. A slight inconsistency with intensity between predicted and observed spectra that may be visible for some strong lines is due to variations of source power and detector sensitivity.

The predictions of rotational transitions of trans N-methylformamide in the $v_1 = 0$, 1, and 2 torsionally excited states resulting from the fit are presented in Table 3. They are calculated for the frequency range up to 650 GHz and for the transitions with $J \leq 65$. The table provides quantum numbers, followed by calculated transition frequencies and their uncertainties, the energy of the lower state and the product $μ^2S$, where $μ$ is the dipole moment of the molecule and $S$ is the line strength of the transition. Owing to its significant size, the complete version of Table 3 is available at the CDS.

We provide the torsional-rotational ($Q_{tr}$) and vibrational ($Q_v$) partition functions of N-methylformamide in Table 4. The values of $Q_{tr}$ were calculated from first principles, that is, via direct summation over the rotational-torsional states. The maximum value of the $J$ quantum number of the energy levels taken into account to calculate the partition function is 130, and excited torsional states up to $v_1 = 8$ were considered. The vibrational part, $Q_v$, was estimated using a harmonic approximation and a simple formula that may be found in Gordy & Cook (1984, see their Eq. 3.60). The frequencies of the normal modes were obtained from DFT calculations of the harmonic force field using the B3LYP method and a 6-311+++(3df, 2pd) basis set. Table 4 lists the partition function values of acetamide that we calculated in a similar way as for N-methylformamide. The torsional-rotational part was calculated from first principal axes on the basis of the results presented in the paper by Ilyushin et al. (2004). To compute the $Q_v$ values, we used, when available, vibrational frequencies reported in the literature (Kutzelnigg & Mecke 1962; Kydd & Dunham 1980), but also the results of DFT calculations with the same method and basis set as for N-methylformamide. For both molecules, the values of $Q_v$ were calculated by taking all the vibrational modes into account except for the torsional mode which is already considered in $Q_{tr}$. The full partition function, $Q_{rot}$, is thus the product of $Q_{tr}$ and $Q_v$.

4. Astronomical Results

4.1. Observations

We use the full data set of the EMoCA spectral line survey obtained toward Sgr B2(N) with ALMA in its Cycles 0 and 1. The survey covers the frequency range between 84.1 and 114.4 GHz with a spectral resolution of 488.3 kHz (1.7 to 1.3 km s$^{-1}$). The median angular resolution is 1.6$''$. A detailed description of the observations, the data reduction process, and the method used to identify the detected lines and derive column densities was presented in Belloche et al. (2016). Population diagrams are constructed in the same way as in our previous work. Here, we would like to emphasize the fact that the apparent discrepancy between the synthetic populations and the fit to the observed
Tables 2 and 3. Measured transitions of trans nitrogen-methylformamide in the $v_t = 0, 1$, and $2$ states.

Table 2. Measured transitions of trans nitrogen-methylformamide in the $v_t = 0, 1$, and $2$ states.

| $m'$ | $F'$ | $J'$ | $K'_a$ | $K'_c$ | $m''$ | $F''$ | $J''$ | $K''_a$ | $K''_c$ | Obs. freq. (MHz) | Uncertainty (MHz) | Obs.-calc. (MHz) |
|------|------|------|--------|--------|-------|-------|------|--------|--------|----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| −3   | 18   | 19   | 3      | 16     | −3    | 17    | 18   | 2      | 16     | 251 285.2870   | 0.1000          | 0.0203          |
| −3   | 20   | 19   | 3      | 16     | −3    | 19    | 18   | 2      | 16     | 251 285.2870   | 0.1000          | −0.0235         |
| −3   | 19   | 19   | 3      | 16     | −3    | 18    | 18   | 2      | 16     | 251 286.1600   | 0.1000          | 0.0037          |
| 3    | 21   | 14   | 3      | 17     | 3     | 20    | 5     | 6      | 19     | 251 379.8920   | 0.0500          | 0.0007          |
| −2   | 23   | 3    | 21     | −2     | 22    | 3     | 20    | 5     | 6      | 178 386.7420   | 0.0500          | −0.0109         |
| −2   | 23   | 3    | 21     | −2     | 22    | 3     | 20    | 5     | 6      | 251 386.7430   | 0.0500          | −0.0099         |
| 3    | 22   | 2    | 21     | 3      | 21    | 2     | 19    | 4      | 17     | 251 468.4440   | 0.0500          | 0.0002          |
| 0    | 22   | 8    | 15     | 0      | 21    | 8     | 14    | 6      | 15     | 251 472.9980   | 0.0500          | −0.0016         |
| 0    | 22   | 8    | 14     | 0      | 21    | 8     | 14    | 6      | 15     | 251 493.1300   | 0.0500          | 0.0136          |
| 1    | 22   | 8    | 15     | 1      | 21    | 8     | 14    | 6      | 15     | 251 565.8320   | 0.0500          | 0.0034          |
| 0    | 18   | 19   | 4      | 16     | 0      | 17    | 18    | 3      | 15     | 251 591.3020   | 0.0500          | 0.0035          |
| 0    | 20   | 19   | 4      | 16     | 0      | 19    | 18    | 3      | 15     | 251 591.3020   | 0.0500          | −0.0310         |
| 0    | 19   | 19   | 4      | 16     | 0      | 18    | 18    | 3      | 15     | 251 591.9750   | 0.0500          | −0.0035         |

Notes. The complete table is available at the CDS. ($a$) The quantum number $F$ is not indicated for the transitions with unresolved hyperfine structure.

Table 3. Predicted transitions of trans nitrogen-methylformamide in the $v_t = 0, 1$, and $2$ states.

| $m'$ | $F'$ | $J'$ | $K'_a$ | $K'_c$ | $m''$ | $F''$ | $J''$ | $K''_a$ | $K''_c$ | Calc. freq. (MHz) | Uncertainty (MHz) | $E_1$ (cm$^{-1}$) | $\mu_2 S$ (D$^2$) |
|------|------|------|--------|--------|-------|-------|------|--------|--------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| 1    | 30   | 30   | 15     | 15     | 1     | 30    | 30   | 14     | 17     | 393 571.2045   | 0.0384          | 266.1079        | 20.6            |
| 1    | 31   | 30   | 15     | 15     | 1     | 31    | 30   | 14     | 17     | 393 571.2531   | 0.0384          | 266.1079        | 21.3            |
| 1    | 29   | 30   | 15     | 15     | 1     | 29    | 30   | 14     | 17     | 393 571.2547   | 0.0384          | 266.1079        | 20.0            |
| 0    | 24   | 24   | 5      | 19     | 0     | 24    | 24   | 3      | 22     | 393 583.8360   | 0.0055          | 115 5411        | 0.0382          |
| 0    | 25   | 24   | 5      | 19     | 0     | 25    | 24   | 3      | 22     | 393 585.7457   | 0.0055          | 115 5411        | 0.0398          |
| 0    | 23   | 24   | 5      | 19     | 0     | 23    | 24   | 3      | 22     | 393 585.8253   | 0.0055          | 115 5411        | 0.0367          |
| 0    | 15   | 15   | 8      | 8      | 0     | 15    | 15   | 6      | 9      | 393 600.5805   | 0.0054          | 62 7047         | 0.0364          |
| 0    | 16   | 15   | 8      | 8      | 0     | 16    | 15   | 6      | 9      | 393 600.7174   | 0.0054          | 62 7047         | 0.0389          |
| 0    | 14   | 15   | 8      | 8      | 0     | 14    | 15   | 6      | 9      | 393 600.7265   | 0.0054          | 62 7047         | 0.0342          |
| −3   | 41   | 41   | 3      | 38     | −3    | 40    | 40   | 3      | 37     | 393 611.4811   | 0.0389          | 337 7355        | 36.9            |
| −3   | 42   | 41   | 3      | 38     | −3    | 41    | 40   | 3      | 37     | 393 611.5587   | 0.0389          | 337 7355        | 37.8            |
| −3   | 40   | 41   | 3      | 38     | −3    | 39    | 40   | 3      | 37     | 393 611.5617   | 0.0389          | 337 7355        | 36.0            |

Notes. The complete table is available at the CDS.

4. Tentative detection of N-methylformamide (CH$_3$NHCHO)

We searched for emission lines of CH$_3$NHCHO toward Sgr B2(N2) using the spectroscopic predictions obtained in Sect. 3. We compared the observed ALMA spectrum of Sgr B2(N2) to synthetic spectra of CH$_3$NHCHO produced under the assumption of local thermodynamic equilibrium (LTE), which is expected to be valid given the high densities of the hot core regions probed by the EMOCA survey (Belloche et al. 2016). Figures A.1–A.3 show all the transitions of CH$_3$NHCHO in its ground state and its first and second torsionally excited states that are covered by our survey and are expected to contribute significantly to the detected signal for typical hot core temperatures (150–200 K). In these figures, the synthetic spectrum containing the contribution of all molecules that we have identified toward Sgr B2(N2) so far, including CH$_3$NHCHO, is overlaid in green on the observed spectrum, while the red spectrum shows the contribution of CH$_3$NHCHO only, as derived from our best-fit LTE model. Most transitions of CH$_3$NHCHO arising from the embedded hot cores. As shown in Fig. 3, the emission analyzed in this section is compact and the derived column densities refer to the hot core Sgr B2(N2) only.
Table 4. Partition functions of N-methylformamide and acetamide.

| $T$ (K) | $Q_a^a$ | $Q_v^b$ | $Q_a^a$ | $Q_v^b$ |
|----------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| 10       | 365.16247 | 1.00000 | 359.18058 | 1.00000 |
| 20       | 1189.23944 | 1.00000 | 1272.66459 | 1.00000 |
| 30       | 2488.15240 | 1.00000 | 2766.98655 | 1.00000 |
| 40       | 4317.90735 | 1.00000 | 4871.11731 | 1.00000 |
| 50       | 6701.57116 | 1.00058 | 7590.48345 | 1.00058 |
| 60       | 9648.68076 | 1.00224 | 10925.10798 | 1.00205 |
| 70       | 13164.31826 | 1.00592 | 14874.85986 | 1.00508 |
| 80       | 17251.81144 | 1.01233 | 19439.98556 | 1.01017 |
| 90       | 21913.57312 | 1.02193 | 24620.89439 | 1.01769 |
| 100      | 27151.45535 | 1.03496 | 30418.00805 | 1.02792 |
| 110      | 32966.94532 | 1.05150 | 36831.70759 | 1.04108 |
| 120      | 39136.28161 | 1.07156 | 43862.32399 | 1.05734 |
| 130      | 46355.18499 | 1.09509 | 51510.12815 | 1.07686 |
| 140      | 53890.55428 | 1.12204 | 59775.34167 | 1.09979 |
| 150      | 63287.31530 | 1.15327 | 68658.10939 | 1.12626 |
| 160      | 70745.84645 | 1.18606 | 78158.49050 | 1.15642 |
| 170      | 80475.08923 | 1.22311 | 88276.34644 | 1.19042 |
| 180      | 89391.06287 | 1.26356 | 99011.76908 | 1.22844 |
| 190      | 100397.74181 | 1.30747 | 110364.15950 | 1.27064 |
| 200      | 111446.85817 | 1.35493 | 122333.10876 | 1.31725 |
| 210      | 123077.88845 | 1.40605 | 134917.93177 | 1.36849 |
| 220      | 135290.04535 | 1.46097 | 148117.74490 | 1.42463 |
| 230      | 148082.27451 | 1.51987 | 161931.45737 | 1.48594 |
| 240      | 161453.25592 | 1.58293 | 176357.76649 | 1.55275 |
| 250      | 175401.40932 | 1.65036 | 191395.15645 | 1.62543 |
| 260      | 189924.90234 | 1.72242 | 207041.90022 | 1.70434 |
| 270      | 205021.66401 | 1.79396 | 223296.06409 | 1.78994 |
| 280      | 220689.39318 | 1.88148 | 240155.51446 | 1.88269 |
| 290      | 236925.57717 | 1.96908 | 257617.92629 | 1.98311 |
| 300      | 253727.50471 | 2.06251 | 275680.79827 | 2.09715 |
| 310      | 271092.28113 | 2.16213 | 294341.43638 | 2.20925 |
| 320      | 289016.84348 | 2.26834 | 313597.01910 | 2.33626 |
| 330      | 307497.97493 | 2.38156 | 333444.55472 | 2.47352 |

Notes. $Q_a$ is the torsional-rotational partition function. It does not take the hyperfine splitting into account. $Q_v$ is the vibrational partition function. The total partition function of the molecule (without hyperfine splitting) is $Q_a \times Q_v$.

The population diagram of CH$_3$NHCHO is shown in Fig. 4. We used all detected lines plus a number of other ones that contribute significantly to detected lines and are contaminated by species that we have already identified and included in our full model. All the transitions used for the population diagram are listed in Tables B.2–B.4. Panel b of Fig. 4 shows the population diagram after correcting for the optical depth of the transitions, based on our best-fit LTE model of CH$_3$NHCHO, and after removing the contamination by other species, based on our full model. A fit to this diagram yields a rotational temperature of 149 ± 20 K, with a significant uncertainty (Table 5). For the LTE modeling of the spectrum, we adopt a temperature of 180 K. The best-fit parameters are listed in Table 6.

In the following sections, we derive the column density of molecules that may be related to N-methylformamide in order to
4.3. Methyl isocyanate (CH₃NCO)

They may be underestimated.

As explained in Sect. 3 of Belloche et al. (2016), these selected complex organic molecules toward Sgr B2(N2).

Rotational temperatures derived from population diagrams of a line is a linear fit to the observed populations (in linear-logarithmic full model has been removed from the observed datapoints. The purple line is a linear fit to the observed populations (in linear-logarithmic space).

Table 5. Rotational temperatures derived from population diagrams of selected complex organic molecules toward Sgr B2(N2).

| Molecule       | States a | $T_{\text{fit}}$ b (K) |
|----------------|----------|------------------------|
| CH₃NHCHO       | $v_1 = 0$, $v_1 = 1$, $v_1 = 2$ | 149 (20)               |
| CH₃CNO         | $v_0 = 0$, $v_0 = 1$ | 140.9 (7.2)            |
| NH₂CHO         | $v = 0$, $v_1 = 2$ | 154.8 (3.3)            |
| NH₂¹³CHO       | $v = 0$, $v_1 = 1$ | 222 (37)               |
| HNCO           | $v = 0$, $v_3 = 1$, $v_3 = 2$, $v_4 = 1$ | 220.1 (9.6)            |
| CH₃CONH₂       | $v_1 = 0$, $v_1 = 1$, $v_1 = 2$ | 226 (33)               |

Notes. a) Vibrational or torsional states that were taken into account to fit the population diagram. b) The standard deviation of the fit is given in parentheses. As explained in Sect. 3 of Belloche et al. (2016), these uncertainties are purely statistical and should be viewed with caution. They may be underestimated.

put the tentative detection of this molecule into a broader astrochemical context.

4.4. Formamide (NH₂CHO)

We use the CDMS entries for formamide in its ground and first vibrationally excited states (tags 45.512 and 45.516, versions 2 and 1, respectively) and for its $^{13}$C and $^{15}$N isotopologs in their ground state (tags 46.512 and 46.513, versions 2 and 1, respectively). These entries are based largely on Motiyenko et al. (2012), but also contain additional data. Laboratory data in the range of our survey were published by Kryvda et al. (2009). The entry for $v_12 = 1$ of the $^{13}$C isotopolog was prepared by one of us (HSPM) based on data from Stubgaard (1978).

Formamide is well detected toward Sgr B2(N2), with 30 lines in its vibrational ground state and 13 in its vibrationally excited state $v_12 = 1$ (Figs. A.6 and A.7). Its $^{13}$C isotopolog is also clearly detected, with 11 and 2 lines in its $v = 0$ and $v_12 = 1$ states, respectively (Figs. A.8 and A.9). Finally, we report a tentative detection of $^{15}$NH₂CHO, with one clearly detected line consistent with a $^{15}$N/$^{15}$N isotopic ratio of 300 (Fig. A.10).

We derive a median emission size of $0.9-1.0''$ from Gaussian fits to the integrated intensity maps of the main and $^{13}$C isotopologs, with a rms dispersion of $\sim 0.1''$ (see Figs. 3c and d). A number of lines of the main isotopolog are saturated and not well fitted by our simple LTE model. We selected only the transitions with an optical depth lower than 2 to build the population diagram shown in Fig. A.21. A fit to this diagram yields a rotational temperature of $155 \pm 3$ K (Table 5). However, we obtain a rotational temperature of $200 \pm 14$ K when we limit the fit to the transitions that belong to the vibrational ground state. The population diagram of the $^{13}$C isotopolog is less populated (Fig. A.22) and yields a more uncertain rotational temperature of $222 \pm 35$ K (Table 5). We adopt a temperature of 200 K for our LTE model of all isotopologs of formamide.

We initially modeled the spectra assuming a size of $0.9''$ as derived above but, in order to fit the $v_12 = 1$ transitions, we then had to assume a total column density of NH₂CHO much lower than the one needed to fit the ground state transitions. By reducing the size to $0.8''$, we could attenuate the discrepancy between $v = 0$ and $v_12 = 1$, however we still need a total column density $1.4$ times lower to fit $v_{12} = 1$. This is surprising because we do not face this problem for the $^{13}$C isotopolog for which both states are well fitted assuming the same total column density. The discrepancy that affects the main isotopolog may be due to its higher optical depth although we do not feel that it is a satisfactory explanation.

4.4. Isocyanic acid (HNCO)

We use the CDMS entry for HNCO in its ground state (tag 43.511 version 1) by Lapinov et al. (2007) with additional measurements in the range of our survey by Hocking et al. (1975), and the JPL entry for the $^{13}$C isotopolog (tag 44.008 version 1, Hocking et al. 1975). Private entries for the vibrationally excited states $v_3 = 1$, $v_6 = 1$, and $v_4 = 1$ of the main isotopolog were prepared by one of us (HSPM). They are based on

1. http://www.astro.uni-koeln.de/cdms/
Table 6. Parameters of our best-fit LTE model of selected complex organic molecules toward Sgr B2(N2).

| Molecule        | Status\(^a\) | \(N_{\text{det}}\)\(^b\) | Size\(^c\) (\(''\)) | \(T_{\text{rot}}\) (K) | \(N^{\text{vib}}\) (cm\(^{-2}\)) | \(F_{\text{vib}}\) (km s\(^{-1}\)) | \(\Delta V^{\text{rot}}\) (km s\(^{-1}\)) | \(V_{\text{off}}\)\(^b\) (km s\(^{-1}\)) | \(N^{\text{i}}\)\(^d\) |
|-----------------|---------------|-----------------|-----------------|----------------|-----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| CH\(_3\)NHCHO, \(v_1 = 0^*\) | t             | 4               | 0.9             | 180            | 1.0 (17)        | 1.26           | 5.0            | 0.5            | 1              |
| \(v_1 = 1\)     | t             | 1               | 0.9             | 180            | 1.0 (17)        | 1.26           | 5.0            | 0.5            | 1              |
| \(v_1 = 2\)     | t             | 0               | 0.9             | 180            | 1.0 (17)        | 1.26           | 5.0            | 0.5            | 1              |
| CH\(_3\)NCO, \(v_0 = 0^*\) | d             | 60              | 1.2             | 150            | 2.2 (17)        | 1.00           | 5.0            | -0.6           | 1              |
| \(v_1 = 1\)     | d             | 4               | 1.2             | 150            | 2.2 (17)        | 1.00           | 5.0            | -0.6           | 1              |
| NH\(_2\)CHO, \(v = 0^*\) | d             | 30              | 0.8             | 200            | 3.5 (18)        | 1.17           | 5.5            | 0.2            | 1              |
| \(v_{12} = 1\)  | d             | 13              | 0.8             | 200            | 2.6 (18)        | 1.17           | 5.5            | 0.2            | 1.4            |
| \(v_{12} = 1\)  | d             | 11              | 0.8             | 200            | 1.3 (17)        | 1.17           | 5.5            | 0.5            | 27             |
| \(v_{12} = 1\)  | d             | 2               | 0.8             | 200            | 1.3 (17)        | 1.17           | 5.5            | 0.5            | 27             |
| \(^{15}\)NH\(_2\)CHO, \(v = 0\) | t             | 1               | 0.8             | 200            | 1.2 (16)        | 1.17           | 5.5            | 0.5            | 300            |
| HNCO, \(v = 0^*\) | d             | 12              | 0.9             | 240            | 2.0 (18)        | 1.06           | 5.5            | 0.0            | 1              |
| \(v_1 = 1\)     | d             | 4               | 0.9             | 240            | 2.0 (18)        | 1.06           | 5.5            | 0.0            | 1              |
| \(v_4 = 1\)     | d             | 1               | 0.9             | 240            | 2.0 (18)        | 1.06           | 5.5            | 0.0            | 1              |
| \(v_4 = 1\)     | t             | 0               | 0.9             | 240            | 2.0 (18)        | 1.06           | 5.5            | 0.0            | 1              |
| HN\(^{15}\)CO, \(v = 0\) | t             | 0               | 0.9             | 240            | 1.0 (17)        | 1.06           | 5.5            | 0.0            | 20             |
| CH\(_3\)CONH\(_2\), \(v_0 = 0^*\) | d             | 10              | 0.9             | 180            | 1.4 (17)        | 1.23           | 5.0            | 1.5            | 1              |
| \(v_1 = 1\)     | d             | 8               | 0.9             | 180            | 1.4 (17)        | 1.23           | 5.0            | 1.5            | 1              |
| \(v_1 = 2\)     | d             | 5               | 0.9             | 180            | 1.4 (17)        | 1.23           | 5.0            | 1.5            | 1              |
| \(\Delta v \neq 0\) | t             | 0               | 0.9             | 180            | 1.4 (17)        | 1.23           | 5.0            | 1.5            | 1              |

Notes. \(^a\) d: detection, t: tentative detection. \(^b\) Number of detected lines (conservative estimate, see Sect. 3 of Belloche et al. 2016). One line of a given species may mean a group of transitions of that species that are blended together. \(^c\) Source diameter (FWHM). \(^d\) Rotational temperature. \(^e\) Total column density of the molecule. \(^f\) An identical value for all listed vibrational/torsional states of a molecule means that LTE is an adequate description of the vibrational/torsional excitation. \(^g\) Correction factor that was applied to the column density to account for the contribution of vibrationally excited states, in the cases where this contribution was not included in the partition function of the spectroscopic predictions. \(^h\) Linewidth (FWHM). \(^i\) Velocity offset with respect to the assumed systemic velocity of Sgr B2(N2), \(V_{\text{lsr}} = 74 \text{ km s}^{-1}\). \(^j\) Column density ratio, with \(N^{\text{i}}\) the column density of the previous reference species marked with a \(*\).

a preliminary, unpublished analysis of the ground and the four lowest excited states and were already used in Belloche et al. (2013). The data on the excited states was summarized in Niedenhoff et al. (1996). Transition frequencies in the range of our survey were published by Yamada & Winnewisser (1977) and Yamada (1977).

Isocyanic acid is also well detected toward Sgr B2(N2), with twelve lines in its vibrational ground state, four in its vibrationally excited state \(v_5 = 1\), and one in its state \(v_9 = 1\) (Figs. A.11–A.13). Its state \(v_4 = 1\), is not unambiguously detected but contributes significantly to the signal detected at 87.97 GHz so we have included it in our full model (Fig. A.14). The \(^{13}\)C isotopolog is not unambiguously detected because all its significant transitions are located in the blueshifted wing of transitions of the main isotopolog, some of these suffering from absorption of the main isotopolog from the outer envelope of Sgr B2, which we have not yet taken into account in our full model (Fig. A.15). Nevertheless, the \(^{13}\)C isotopolog contributes significantly to the signal detected at several frequencies, so we have included it in our full model, based on the species derived below for the main isotopolog and assuming a \(^{13}\)C/\(^{12}\)C isotopic ratio of 20.

We derive a median size of 0.9" from Gaussian fits to the integrated intensity maps of the main isotopolog, with a dispersion of 0.2" (see Fig. 3e). The population diagram shown in Fig. A.23 uses all but three transitions that are clearly detected, plus a number of additional lines that are more contaminated but for which we have identified and modeled the contaminating species. The three transitions of the vibrational ground state that we ignore (at 109.50, 109.91, and 110.30 GHz) have an opacity higher than 4. A fit to the population diagram yields a temperature of 220 ± 10 K. However, with this temperature, the optically thick lines of the ground state would saturate with a brightness temperature that is too low. As a compromise, we use a temperature of 240 K.

The detected lines of all four states of the main isotopolog are relatively well reproduced with the same model parameters (Table 6). A few issues remain, however. First of all, the peak temperatures of the optically thick lines of \(v = 0\) are slightly underestimated, except for the peak temperature of the \(5_0^1 - 4_0^0\) transition at 109.91 GHz which is overestimated, probably because of spatial filtering of extended emission not taken into account in our model (see Fig. 6 of Jones et al. 2008). The second issue concerns two transitions with an upper energy level \(E_{\text{up}} \approx 650\) K, \(34_{1,34} - 35_{0,35}\) at 85.37 GHz and \(33_{1,33} - 34_{0,34}\) at 109.96 GHz, which are both overestimated. The former is located in a frequency range affected by c-C\(_3\)H\(_2\) absorption by spiral arm clouds along the line of sight to Sgr B2, so the discrepancy at this frequency may not be a problem. We note, in addition, that the model for a third transition with \(E_{\text{up}} \approx 650\) K, \(5_{1,1} - 4_{2,0}\) at 109.78 GHz, is consistent with the detected signal. Therefore, it is unclear why our model overestimates the peak temperature of the transition at 109.96 GHz.

4.6. Acetamide (CH\(_3\)CONH\(_2\))

We use predictions that are based on the measurements and analysis presented in Ilyushin et al. (2004) but were recomputed by...
one of us (V.V.I.) with the RAM36 code. We use the partition function calculated in Sect. 3 (Table 4).

With a total of 23 lines clearly detected in its ground state and its first and second torsionally excited states toward the hot core Sgr B2(N2), acetamide can be considered as securely detected (Figs. A.16–A.19). Fits to the integrated intensity maps of three of the detected lines give a median size of \( \sim 1.0'' \), with a dispersion of 0.2'' (see Fig. 3f). The emission of four other detected lines is unresolved, pointing to a smaller size. The maps of the remaining detected lines were not fitted because they are, to some level, contaminated in their wings, which could bias the size measurements. A fit to the population diagram yields a rotational temperature of 226 \( \pm 33 \) K, which is not well constrained (Fig. A.24 and Table 5). We adopt a temperature of 180 K and a size of 0.9'' for our LTE model to make the comparison to N-methylformamide more straightforward. The detected lines of the ground state \((v_t = 0)\) and both torsionally excited states \((v_t = 1\) and 2\), as well as lines that connect different \(J\) states \((\Delta v = \pm 0)\), and well reproduced with the same model parameters (Table 6). One discrepancy can be noticed in Fig. A.16: the \(v_t = 0\) 2520.2–2518.7 transition of the E species at 99.950 GHz does not have a counterpart in the observed spectrum (at the 3\(\sigma\) level). However it was extrapolated from a lower-\(J\) fit \((J \leq 20)\) and has a frequency uncertainty of 200 kHz which means that its frequency could be off by several times this number. Indeed, the actual positions of the hyperfine components of this line, as measured in the laboratory spectrum, are 99.951.872 MHz and 99.952.331 MHz, both with an uncertainty of 10 kHz. At these frequencies, strong emission is detected toward Sgr B2(N2), which reconciles the spectrum expected for acetamide with the observed spectrum. Therefore, the discrepancy between the observed and synthetic spectra at 99.950 GHz does not pose a significant problem.

5. Chemical modeling

To investigate the production of the tentatively detected N-methylformamide in Sgr B2(N2), we use the chemical kinetics model MAGICKAL (Garrod 2013) with an expanded gas-grain chemical network. This network is an extension of that presented by Belloche et al. (2014), and latterly by Müller et al. (2016a), and includes formation and destruction mechanisms for both CH$_3$NCHO and the related molecule CH$_3$NCO. The model allows for a treatment of the fully-coupled gas-phase, grain/ice-surface, and ice-mantle chemistry. The physical model follows that detailed in previous papers where a cold-collision phase to maximum density \(n_{\text{H}} \approx 2 \times 10^{6}\ \text{cm}^{-3}\) and minimum dust-grain temperature (8 K) is followed by a warm-up from 8 to 400 K; during this phase, the gas and dust temperatures are assumed to be well coupled. The initial chemical compositions used in the model follow those of Garrod (2013). The reader is referred to the above-mentioned publications for a more detailed discussion of the basic physical and chemical model. In the models presented here, we use the intermediate warm-up timescale, which generally produces the best match between models and observed abundances of other chemical species. The warm-up model therefore reaches a temperature of 200 K at \(2 \times 10^5\) yr, reaching 400 K (and the end of the model run) at \(\sim 2.85 \times 10^5\) yr.

The new network concentrates on the grain-surface production of the newly-introduced molecules. However, gas-phase destruction mechanisms for both molecules (as well as related intermediates) are included in the new network, the majority of which are ion-molecule processes or the subsequent dissociative recombination with electrons of the resultant molecular ions. Ion-molecule reactions are included for the majorionic species C$^+$, He$^+$, H$_3^+$, H$_2$O$^+$, and HCO$^-$. Estimates for the rates of photo-dissociation of new molecules, as caused by cosmic ray-induced and (where extinction allows) external UV photons, are also included (see Garrod et al. 2008; Garrod 2013). Grain/ice-surface binding (desorption) energies for the new molecules are estimated based on interpolation/extrapolation of values for molecules with similar functional groups, following past publications. Binding energy values for CH$_3$NCO and CH$_3$NCHO are 3755 K and 6281 K, respectively, based on the formulations \([E_{\text{des}}(\text{CH}_3) + E_{\text{des}}(\text{N}) + E_{\text{des}}(\text{C}) + E_{\text{des}}(\text{O})]\) and \([E_{\text{des}}(\text{NH}_2\text{CHO}) - E_{\text{des}}(\text{H}) + E_{\text{des}}(\text{CH}_3)]\). We are not aware of any experimental determinations of these two quantities for appropriate surfaces.

In the new network, grain-surface and ice-mantle formation of CH$_3$NCO occurs through a single radical-addition reaction:

\[
\text{CH}_3 + \text{OCN} \rightarrow \text{CH}_3\text{NCO}. \quad (7)
\]

Each of the necessary radicals may be formed either through repetitive atomic addition or through the photo-dissociation of or chemical H-abstraction from either CH$_3$ or HNCO.

To form CH$_3$NCHO, a reaction involving the addition of a hydrogen atom to CH$_3$NCO followed by the addition of another, presents itself as a possible route, that is,

\[
\text{H} + \text{CH}_3\text{NCO} \rightarrow \text{CH}_3\text{NCHO} \quad (8)
\]

\[
\text{H} + \text{CH}_3\text{NCHO} \rightarrow \text{CH}_3\text{NHCHO}. \quad (9)
\]

The first of these two reactions requires the breaking of a carbon-nitrogen double bond, for which no activation energy barrier could be determined from the literature. The barrier to the similar reaction of H with HNCO has been determined experimentally in the gas phase by Nguyen et al. (1996) to be 1390 K, although the value for H + CH$_3$NCO could plausibly be higher or lower. The expectation, however, is that, as with most barrier-mediated atomic-H reactions on cold grains, the mechanism would involve the tunneling of the H atom through the barrier, introducing further uncertainty into the reaction rate. By default, MAGICKAL uses a simple rectangular-barrier treatment to determine rates for tunneling reactions, typically assuming a uniform barrier width of 1 Å; the assumed height of the energy barrier therefore absorbs all other parameters pertaining to the overall reaction rate. The ideal activation energy barrier determined for the chemical model may therefore not be fully representative of the usual high-temperature value.

Because a broad range of activation energy values could be plausible for reaction (8), in the chemical network, we initially assume that the rate for reaction (8) is negligible (models M1–M5), introducing non-zero values later.

Radical-addition reactions provide an alternative pathway to the formation of N-methylformamide, through the reactions:

\[
\text{CH}_3 + \text{HNCHO} \rightarrow \text{CH}_3\text{NHCHO} \quad (10)
\]

\[
\text{HCO} + \text{HNCH}_3 \rightarrow \text{CH}_3\text{NHCHO}. \quad (11)
\]

The larger radicals in each of the above two reactions are produced through the addition of NH to either CH$_3$ or HCO. While cosmic ray-induced photo-dissociation of CH$_3$NH$_2$ and NH$_2$CHO may also produce the necessary radicals, the chemical abstraction of a hydrogen atom by a radical from either molecule strongly favors the production of CH$_3$NH$_2$ or NH$_2$CO, respectively, rather than the alternative radicals that could play a part in forming CH$_3$NHCHO. However, in the case of H-atom abstraction from NH$_2$CHO by OH, the model initially considers the
barriers to NH$_2$CO and NHCHO production to be similar (591 K versus 600 K), following the estimates used by Garrod (2013), although neither value has been determined rigorously. Consequently, the influence of the production of HNCHO through this mechanism is also examined in Sect. 5.1.

### 5.1. Model results

Model M1 comprises the initial model in which conversion of CH$_3$NCO to CH$_3$NHCHO via consecutive H addition (reactions (8) and (9)) is switched off.

Figure 5 shows results from model M1. Time-dependent abundances for CH$_3$NCO and CH$_3$NHCHO as well as the related species HNCO, NH$_3$CHO, and CH$_2$CONH$_2$ are shown. CH$_3$NHCHO is seen to be formed on the grains (dotted line) in abundance at approximately 25 K, coincident with a significant growth in NH$_2$CHO production. Its formation is dominated by reaction (10). CH$_2$NCO production on the grains is much more modest, and occurs only through reaction (7). Its gas-phase abundance peaks at a relatively low temperature, following its desorption from grains, and falls again, although it later begins to rise as the abundant CH$_3$NHCHO is photodissociated in the gas phase. CH$_2$CONH$_2$ reaches a peak abundance just a little larger than that of CH$_3$NHCHO, forming mainly through the addition of CH$_3$ and NH$_2$CO radicals. HNCO is formed on the grains early ($T < 20$ K) via hydrogenation of OCN, which itself is formed through the atomic addition reactions O + CN and C + NO. The gas-phase abundance of HNCO peaks at close to 60 K as it desorbs from the grains, then falls away. It is a significant by-product of the destruction of larger molecules at later times in the model, and its abundance continues to grow until the final model temperature of 400 K is reached.

Table 7 shows the peak gas-phase abundances of the plotted species, along with the temperatures at which those peaks are reached. It may be noted that the peak abundance of CH$_3$NHCHO is approximately at parity with HNCO, contrary to the observed column densities shown in Table 6, where a ratio of $\sim 1:20$ is obtained. The amount of CH$_3$NHCHO produced in this model as compared with CH$_3$NCO also appears high, while the abundance of CH$_3$NCO is approximately one order of magnitude too low compared with HNCO. Since the sole production mechanism of CH$_3$NCO relies on OCN, we have also considered alternative models, in which HNCO, a possible precursor to OCN, may be more easily formed.

Models M2–M5, whose results are also tabulated in Table 7, allow the grain-surface reaction NH + CO $\rightarrow$ HNCO to occur with a lower activation energy barrier than the 2500 K first assumed by Garrod et al. (2008), an estimate based loosely on the typically assumed barrier to the H-addition reaction H + CO $\rightarrow$ HCO. These models show two types of behavior, with the threshold falling somewhere between models M2 and M3, that is, an activation energy, $E_A$, between 2000 K and 1500 K. Below this threshold, the models move away from M1-type behavior and instead show significantly increased HNCO production on the grains, such that the desorption of HNCO into the gas phase produces the peak abundance for this molecule. Figure 6 plots abundances for model M4, for which an activation energy barrier to the NH + CO reaction of 1250 K is assumed. The peak abundance of CH$_3$NCO is notably increased (see also Table 7) as a result of the greater HNCO abundance, which contributes to the production of OCN. Conversely, the abundance of CH$_3$NHCHO is found to decrease markedly in models M3–M5 as the NH + CO reaction becomes competitive with the NH + HCO $\rightarrow$ HNCHO reaction at the key temperature ($\sim 25$ K) at which CH$_3$ mobility makes reaction (10) important to CH$_3$NHCHO production. Models M3–M5 all reach within a factor of $\sim 2$ of the observed CH$_3$NCHO/CH$_3$NHCO abundance ratio.

To investigate the importance of H-addition to CH$_3$NCO, we adjust the conditions assumed in model M4, taking a selection of activation energy barriers for reaction (8) to give a non-zero reaction rate. M4A assumes the same value as the reaction H + HNCO, while models M4B–E increase this value incrementally (see Table 8). We also switch off the H-abstraction reaction OH + NH$_3$CHO $\rightarrow$ NHCHO, to test its influence on CH$_3$NHCHO production.

Model M4A demonstrates an extreme degree of conversion of CH$_3$NCO to CH$_3$NHCHO that is not borne out by the observations, while the somewhat higher barrier to hydrogenation of model M4B improves the match to the detected CH$_3$NHCHO/CH$_3$NCO ratio (see also Table 9), albeit with a value greater than unity. Model M4C, as with M4, shows a modest dominance of CH$_3$NCO over CH$_3$NHCHO, in line with observations, and is similar to M4 in the quality of its overall match.

![Figure 5](image1.png) **Fig. 5.** Calculated abundances of selected chemical model species with respect to H$_2$ for model M1, during warm-up from 8 K to 400 K. Solid lines indicate gas-phase abundances; dotted lines of the same color indicate solid-phase abundances of the same species.

![Figure 6](image2.png) **Fig. 6.** As in Fig. 5 for model M4.
with observations. At the higher activation energies used in models M4D and M4E, the importance of reaction (8) diminishes. Here, the influence of the removal of the OH + NH₂CHO → NHCHO reaction becomes apparent via comparison with model M4 (Table 7); the abundance of CH₃NHCHO falls by two-thirds. For each of the models M4A–E, the behavior of HNCO, NH₂CHO, and CH₃CONH₂ are little affected in comparison to model M4.

Note that particularly in the cases of CH₃NCO and CH₃CONH₂, the temperatures at which peak abundances are attained are somewhat lower than the rotational temperatures obtained from the spectroscopic model fits to the observational data. This may be due to the imprecision of either or both of the binding energy estimates and the spectroscopic fits. The observed HNCO temperature is best represented by the late-time/temperature peak found in the models, rather than the brief gas-phase spike at around 60 K. The precise temperature at which the high-temperature peak is reached is dependent on the destruction rates and warm-up timescale assumed in the model. In the case of CH₃NCO, the larger spatial extent of this molecule (1.2°), as derived from the observations, compared with that of CH₃NHCHO (0.9°) is consistent with the idea that the former is released from grains at lower temperatures than the latter.

Table 9 shows ratios of the abundances of the main molecules to HNCO, as well as the CH₃NHCHO/CH₃NCO and CH₃NHCHO/CH₃CONH₂ ratios. Values are shown for the initial model M1, as well as representative models from Tables 7 and 8 that show a good match with observed values. Models M4 and M4C both show similarly good agreement with observed ratios involving CH₃NCO and CH₃NHCHO, while M4B is significantly further from the observed CH₃NHCHO/HNCO ratio. However, all four models produce an overabundance of NH₂CHO and CH₃CONH₂ by more than an order of magnitude.

Because acetamide, CH₃CONH₂, is predominantly formed as a result of H-antibonding from formamide, followed by methyl-group addition, its overabundance is related to that of NH₂CHO. The majority of the latter molecule is formed via the reaction NH₂ + H₂CO → NH₂CHO + H, which we include on the grains as well as in the gas phase, assuming the activation energy barrier determined by Barone et al. (2015) of 26.9 K. However, Song & Kästner (2016) find a substantially higher barrier that would render the reaction rate negligible (as determined for a gas-phase interaction). In order to test this possibility, we remove the NH₂CO mechanism both in the gas phase and on grains. This reduces NH₂CHO production by approximately an order of magnitude and brings the peak NH₂CHO/HNCO ratio achieved in the models to a very good match with the observed value (1.2 versus 1.8). The removal of either the gas-phase or grain-surface mechanism alone is not sufficient to reduce NH₂CHO abundance, as both contribute significantly in the present implementation. However, even the better match to observed NH₂CHO is not sufficient to bring down acetamide abundances to appropriate levels, as the alternative NH₂ + CH₂CHO formation mechanism is also important to its formation. The production of acetamide in hot cores merits further detailed study.

While models M4 and M4C show similar agreement with observations in spite of the different dominant chemical pathways involved for CH₃NHCHO production, the fact that NH₂CHO is a more likely product of the reaction between OH and NH₂CHO than NHCO (judging by typical barriers to H-antibonding from an amino versus a carbonyl group) makes model M4C more plausible in this respect. The observed CH₃NHCHO/CH₂NCO ratio is bracketed by the values obtained with models M4B and M4C, indicating indeed that a mechanism of direct hydrogenation from CH₃NCO to CH₃NHCHO is capable of reproducing observations of these molecules. However, given an
appropriate activation energy barrier, both reactions (8) and (10) may produce sufficient quantities of CH$_3$NHCHO to agree reasonably with observations.

In order to achieve observed abundances of CH$_3$NCO, a barrier to the reaction NH + CO → HNCO of no more than ~1500 K is required, allowing this to become the dominant mechanism by which HNCO is formed on grains (although its abundance in the gas phase is still dominated by its formation as a by-product of the destruction of larger species). Under such conditions, a barrier to the hydrogenation of CH$_3$NCO may be estimated to be approximately 2000 K to best reproduce observed abundances of N-methylformamide.

### 6. Discussion

The spectroscopic results obtained in Sect. 3 represent a significant improvement in the characterization of the spectrum of N-methylformamide. First of all, we have substantially expanded the frequency coverage. Second, the rotational lines belonging to the first and second excited torsional states of N-methylformamide have been assigned and fitted for the first time. Finally, the resulting best model is capable of reproducing the assigned data set within experimental error, including the lines from previous studies that were excluded from the fits due to large observed-minus-calculated values (Kawashima et al. 2010).

All the chemical models computed in the course of this work predict a substantial abundance of CH$_3$NHCHO compared to CH$_3$NCO. The smallest ratios were produced by models M4D and M4E, with [CH$_3$NHCHO]/[CH$_3$NCO] ~ 0.07–0.09, but all other models have [CH$_3$NHCHO]/[CH$_3$NCO] ≥ 0.2. Two of the chemical models provide values that bracket the observed ratio, thus a fine tuning of the barrier against hydrogenation of CH$_3$NCO would allow the observed ratio to be achieved. The chemical modeling thus gives some additional support to the tentative interstellar detection of CH$_3$NHCHO.

N-methylformamide, CH$_3$NHCHO, is a structural isomer of acetamide, CH$_3$CONH$_2$. As mentioned in Sect. 1, CH$_3$NHCHO is the second most stable C$_2$H$_5$NO isomer, CH$_3$CONH$_2$ being the most stable one (Lattelais et al. 2010). In Sect. 4, we found that, provided its detection is confirmed, N-methylformamide is slightly less abundant than acetamide in Sgr B2(N2), which, at first sight, appears to be in agreement with the minimum energy principle initially put forward by Lattelais et al. (2009). This principle states that the most abundant isomer of a given generic chemical formula should be the most stable one thermodynamically. Lattelais et al. (2009) found a correlation between the observed abundance ratios of isomers of several generic chemical formulae as a function of their zero-point energy difference. According to this relation, N-methylformamide should be approximately 3.5 times less abundant than acetamide while it is only a factor 1.4 less abundant in Sgr B2(N2). The discrepancy is only slightly larger than a factor of two, but it tends to suggest that N-methylformamide does not follow this correlation closely. In addition, the range of kinetic parameters explored in the chemical models presented here produce variations in the abundance of CH$_3$NHCHO of more than one order of magnitude. In the case of acetamide, while the models all produce an excess over the abundance of N-methylformamide, variations in other model parameters within a plausible range can produce variations in CH$_3$CONH$_2$ abundance that are comparable with those of CH$_3$NHCHO. The availability of key precursor radicals at the optimal temperature for diffusion is one of the key influences on the production of such molecules, and one that is unlikely to be controlled purely by the thermodynamic properties of the products. Therefore, the abundance ratio of the two isomers has most likely an origin based on kinetics, rather than a simple thermal equilibrium. A similar conclusion was obtained by Loonis et al. (2015) and Loison et al. (2016) based on observations of the isomers of C$_2$H$_5$O, whose abundance ratios are not consistent with the minimum energy principle.

A further test would be to measure the abundance of the next C$_2$H$_5$NO isomer, acetimidic acid, CH$_3$C(OH)NH, which has a slightly higher zero-point energy than N-methylformamide (Lattelais et al. 2010). This would require laboratory measurements to characterize the rotational spectrum of this molecule and produce spectroscopic predictions suitable for an astronomical search. The dipole moment of this molecule, however, is more than a factor of 2 smaller than the ones of acetamide and N-methylformamide (Lattelais et al. 2009), making its detection more challenging.

### 7. Conclusions

The rotational spectrum of the trans conformer of N-methylformamide was studied in the laboratory in the frequency range from 45 to 630 GHz using two different spectrometers in Kharkiv and Lille. The new data provides significant expansion both in frequency range (from 118 GHz to 630 GHz) and quantum number coverage (from J = 11 to J = 62), including the first assignment of the rotational spectra of the first and second excited torsional states of N-methylformamide. The final data set contains 12 456 A- and E-type transitions in the ground, first, and second excited torsional states of the trans conformer. Our theoretical model fits the available data with a weighted root-mean-square deviation of 0.84, that is, within experimental error. The obtained results provide a firm basis for reliable predictions of the N-methylformamide spectrum in the millimeter and submillimeter wavelength range for the needs of radio astronomy.
The main results of this study can be summarized as follows:

1. Five transitions of N-methylformamide are coincident with spectral lines detected toward the hot molecular core Sgr B2(N2). These lines are not contaminated by other species, and their intensities are well reproduced by our LTE model of N-methylformamide. This suggests that the molecule may be present in this source.

2. We derive a column density of \( \sim 1 \times 10^{17} \text{ cm}^{-2} \) for N-methylformamide. The molecule is more than one order of magnitude less abundant than formamide, twice less abundant than methyl isocyanate, and only slightly less abundant than acetamide.

3. Our gas-grain chemical kinetics model is able to reproduce the abundance ratio of N-methylformamide to methyl isocyanate using kinetic parameters within a plausible range, supporting the tentative detection of the former.

4. The chemical models indicate that the efficient formation of HNCO via NH + CO on grains is a necessary step in the achievement of the observed gas-phase abundance of CH$_3$NCO.

5. Production of CH$_3$NHCHO may plausibly occur on grains either through the direct addition of functional-group radicals or through the hydrogenation of CH$_3$NCO.

6. We also report the tentative detection of the $^{15}$N isotopolog of formamide toward Sgr B2(N2) with a $^{15}$N/$^{14}$N isotopic ratio of 300.

Provided the detection of N-methylformamide is confirmed, the only slight underabundance of this molecule compared to its more stable structural isomer and the sensitivity of the model abundances to the variations of the model parameters suggest that the formation of these two molecules is controlled by kinetics rather than thermal equilibrium. The interstellar detection of the next stable isomer of the C$_2$H$_2$NO family, CH$_3$C(OH)NH, may therefore become possible once its rotational spectrum has been measured in the laboratory.
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Appendix A: Complementary figures

Figures A.1–A.19 show the transitions of \( \text{CH}_3\text{NHCHO} \), \( \text{CH}_3\text{NCO} \), \( \text{NH}_2\text{CHO} \), HNCO, \( \text{CH}_3\text{CONH}_2 \), and some of their isotopologs or vibrationally excited states that are covered by the EMoCA survey and contribute significantly to the signal detected toward Sgr B2(N2). Figures A.20–A.24 show the population diagrams of these molecules except the one of \( \text{CH}_3\text{NHCHO} \), which is shown in Fig. 4.

![Complementary figures](image-url)
Fig. A.2. Same as Fig. A.1 for CH₃NHCHO, ν₁ = 1.
Fig. A.3. Same as Fig. A.1 for CH$_3$NHCHO, $v_1 = 2$. 
Fig. A.4. Same as Fig. A.1 for CH$_3$NCO, $\nu_b = 0$. 
Fig. A.4. continued.
Fig. A.4. continued.

Fig. A.5. Same as Fig. A.1 for CH$_3$NCO, $v_h = 1$. 
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Fig. A.6. Same as Fig. A.1 for NH$_2$CHO, $v = 0$. 
Fig. A.6. continued.
Fig. A.7. Same as Fig. A.1 for NH$_2$CHO, $v_{12} = 1$. 
Fig. A.8. Same as Fig. A.1 for NH$_{213}$CHO, $v = 0$.

Fig. A.9. Same as Fig. A.1 for NH$_2^{13}$CHO, $v_{12} = 1$. 
Fig. A.10. Same as Fig. A.1 for $^{15}$NH$_2$CHO, $v = 0$.

Fig. A.11. Same as Fig. A.1 for HNCO, $v = 0$. 
Fig. A.12. Same as Fig. A.1 for HNCO, \( v_3 = 1 \).

Fig. A.13. Same as Fig. A.1 for HNCO, \( v_6 = 1 \).

Fig. A.14. Same as Fig. A.1 for HNCO, \( v_4 = 1 \).
Fig. A.15. Same as Fig. A.1 for HN^13CO, v = 0.
Fig. A.16. Same as Fig. A.1 for CH$_3$CONH$_2$, $v_1 = 0$. 
Fig. A.16. continued.
Fig. A.16. continued.
Fig. A.16. continued.
Fig. A.17. Same as Fig. A.1 for CH$_3$CONH$_2$, $n_i = 1$. 
Fig. A.17, continued.
Fig. A.17, continued.
Fig. A.18. Same as Fig. A.1 for CH$_3$CONH$_2$, $n_l = 2$. 
Fig. A.18. continued.
Fig. A.19. Same as Fig. A.1 for CH$_3$CONH$_2$, $\Delta v_i \neq 0$.

Fig. A.20. Same as Fig. 4 for CH$_3$NCO.

Fig. A.21. Same as Fig. 4 for NH$_2$CHO.
Fig. A.22. Same as Fig. 4 for \( \text{NH}_2^{13}\text{CHO} \).

Fig. A.23. Same as Fig. 4 for HNCO.

Fig. A.24. Same as Fig. 4 for \( \text{CH}_3\text{CONH}_2 \).
Appendix B: Complementary tables

Table B.1 provides the direct comparison between low-order spectral parameters determined in this study and the corresponding parameters from Kawashima et al. (2010).

Tables B.2–B.4 list the transitions that are used to build the population diagram of CH$_3$NHCHO (Fig. 4). The transitions that we consider as clearly detected individually, that is, that are above the 3σ level and do not suffer too much from contamination by other species, are marked with a star. Because there are only five such transitions, the assignment of the corresponding five detected lines to CH$_3$NHCHO is only tentative (see Sect. 4.2).

Table B.1. Comparison of the main spectroscopic parameters with previous results.

| Parameter          | This study     | Kawashima et al. (2010) |
|--------------------|----------------|-------------------------|
| $V_3$ (cm$^{-1}$)  | 51.7199088(90) | 53.91824(11)            |
| $I_\alpha$ (uÅ$^2$)| 3.1904202$^a$  | 3.1542735               |
| $\rho_\alpha$     | 0.0744683      | 0.0768384               |
| $A$ (MHz)          | 19 246.36(12)  | 19455.9905              |
| $B$ (MHz)          | 6376.416(72)   | 6297.7305               |
| $C$ (MHz)          | 4933.8717(42)  | 4905.1546$^b$           |
| $\chi_{aa}$ (MHz) | 2.1013(27)     | 2.115 (22)              |
| $\chi_{bb} - \chi_{cc}$ (MHz) | 5.9666(61) | 5.952 (28) |
| $\chi_{ab}$ (MHz) | 0.2630(23)     | 0.265 (17)              |

Notes. $^a$ Recalculated from $\rho$ value. $^b$ Average of $A$- and $E$-state values from Table 2 of Kawashima et al. (2010).
### Table B.2. Selection of lines of CH$_3$NHCHO $v_1 = 0$ covered by the EMoCA survey of Sgr B2(N2).

| Transition$^a$ | Frequency (MHz) | Unc.$^b$ (kHz) | $E_{up}^c$ (K) | $g_{up}^d$ | $A_{ul}^e$ (10$^{-5}$ s$^{-1}$) | $σ_f^f$ (mK) | $τ_{peak}^g$ (MHz) | Frequency range$^b$ (MHz) | $I_{obs}^i$ (K km s$^{-1}$) | $I_{mod}^j$ (K km s$^{-1}$) | $I_{all}^k$ (K km s$^{-1}$) |
|---------------|----------------|----------------|---------------|----------|------------------|------------|----------------|----------------------|------------------|-----------------|------------------|
| 9$_{2,2}$−8$_{2,5}$ | 91 888.760 | 1 | 27 | 17 | 3.1 | 117 | 0.024 | 91 887.2 | 91 890.1 | 6.2(5)$^*$ | 3.6 | 4.0 |
| 9$_{0,3}$−8$_{0,8}$ | 93 406.380 | 1 | 23 | 19 | 3.6 | 104 | 0.032 | 93 404.9 | 93 407.8 | 7.7(4)$^*$ | 4.5 | 4.8 |
| 9$_{2,3}$−8$_{2,7}$ | 99 695.472 | 1 | 27 | 19 | 4.2 | 162 | 0.033 | 99 693.6 | 99 697.0 | 10.5(6)$^*$ | 7.3 | 7.5 |
| 9$_{1,4}$−8$_{1,7}$ | 101 309.201 | 1 | 30 | 19 | 4.7 | 133 | 0.034 | 101 307.6 | 101 310.6 | 11.1(5) | 7.5 | 7.7 |
| 10$_{0,4}$−9$_{0,3}$ | 111 215.743 | 1 | 59 | 21 | 4.1 | 166 | 0.024 | 111 213.9 | 111 217.3 | 7.9(6)$^*$ | 5.8 | 6.2 |
| 11$_{0,11}$−10$_{0,1}$ | 112 875.483 | 1 | 37 | 23 | 6.6 | 242 | 0.044 | 112 873.3 | 112 877.3 | 22.6(9) | 11.7 | 12.8 |

**Notes.** (a) Quantum numbers of the upper and lower levels. (b) Frequency uncertainty. (c) Upper level energy. (d) Upper level degeneracy. (e) Einstein coefficient for spontaneous emission. (f) Measured rms noise level. (g) Peak opacity of the synthetic line. (h) Frequency range over which the emission was integrated. (i) Integrated intensity of the observed spectrum in brightness temperature scale. The statistical standard deviation is given in parentheses in unit of the last digit. Values marked with a star indicate the lines that suffer little contamination and are thus unambiguously detected. (j) Integrated intensity of the synthetic spectrum of CH$_3$NHCHO $v_1 = 0$. (k) Integrated intensity of the model that contains the contribution of all identified molecules, including CH$_3$NHCHO $v_1 = 0$.

### Table B.3. Selection of lines of CH$_3$NHCHO $v_1 = 1$ covered by the EMoCA survey of Sgr B2(N2).

| Transition$^a$ | Frequency (MHz) | Unc.$^b$ (kHz) | $E_{up}^c$ (K) | $g_{up}^d$ | $A_{ul}^e$ (10$^{-5}$ s$^{-1}$) | $σ_f^f$ (mK) | $τ_{peak}^g$ (MHz) | Frequency range$^b$ (MHz) | $I_{obs}^i$ (K km s$^{-1}$) | $I_{mod}^j$ (K km s$^{-1}$) | $I_{all}^k$ (K km s$^{-1}$) |
|---------------|----------------|----------------|---------------|----------|------------------|------------|----------------|----------------------|------------------|-----------------|------------------|
| 9$_{1,1}$−8$_{1,8}$ | 91 245.678 | 1 | 71 | 19 | 3.2 | 149 | 0.023 | 91 244.4 | 91 247.3 | 7.6(6) | 4.6 | 5.1 |
| 10$_{0,6}$−9$_{0,5}$ | 99 534.218 | 1 | 114 | 21 | 3.9 | 162 | 0.021 | 99 532.4 | 99 535.3 | 7.9(6) | 4.6 | 6.8 |
| 11$_{1,11}$−10$_{0,11}$ | 108 750.182 | 1 | 106 | 23 | 7.2 | 115 | 0.036 | 108 748.1 | 108 751.5 | 15.6(4) | 9.2 | 11.9 |
| 11$_{1,11}$−10$_{0,11}$ | 111 776.580 | 1 | 81 | 23 | 5.9 | 166 | 0.033 | 111 774.6 | 111 778.0 | 14.5(6) | 8.0 | 9.2 |
| 10$_{0,4}$−9$_{0,3}$ | 113 609.358 | 1 | 86 | 21 | 5.3 | 242 | 0.025 | 113 607.5 | 113 610.9 | 8.7(8)$^*$ | 6.4 | 7.1 |

**Notes.** Same as Table B.2 but for CH$_3$NHCHO $v_1 = 1$.

### Table B.4. Selection of lines of CH$_3$NHCHO $v_1 = 2$ covered by the EMoCA survey of Sgr B2(N2).

| Transition$^a$ | Frequency (MHz) | Unc.$^b$ (kHz) | $E_{up}^c$ (K) | $g_{up}^d$ | $A_{ul}^e$ (10$^{-5}$ s$^{-1}$) | $σ_f^f$ (mK) | $τ_{peak}^g$ (MHz) | Frequency range$^b$ (MHz) | $I_{obs}^i$ (K km s$^{-1}$) | $I_{mod}^j$ (K km s$^{-1}$) | $I_{all}^k$ (K km s$^{-1}$) |
|---------------|----------------|----------------|---------------|----------|------------------|------------|----------------|----------------------|------------------|-----------------|------------------|
| 10$_{0,10}$−9$_{0,9}$ | 85 854.246 | 3 | 147 | 21 | 4.0 | 158 | 0.023 | 85 853.0 | 85 855.4 | 6.9(6) | 4.1 | 4.7 |

**Notes.** Same as Table B.2 but for CH$_3$NHCHO $v_1 = 2$. 

---
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