T-Helper Cell Subset Response Is a Determining Factor in COVID-19 Progression
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The immune response type organized against viral infection is determinant in the prognosis of some infections. This work has aimed to study Th polarization in acute COVID-19 and its possible association with the outcome through an observational prospective study. Fifty-eight COVID-19 patients were recruited in the Medicine Department of the hospital “12 de Octubre,” 55 patients remaining after losses to follow-up. Four groups were established according to maximum degree of disease progression. T-helper cell percentages and phenotypes, analyzed by flow cytometer, and serum cytokines levels, analyzed by Luminex, were evaluated when the microbiological diagnosis (acute phase) of the disease was obtained. Our study found a significant reduction of %Th1 and %Th17 cells with higher activated %Th2 cells in the COVID-19 patients compared with reference population. A higher percent of senescent Th2 cells was found in the patients who died than in those who survived. Senescent Th2 cell percentage was an independent risk factor for death (OR: 13.88) accompanied by the numbers of total lymphocytes (OR: 0.15) with an AUC of 0.879. COVID-19 patients showed a profile of pro-inflammatory serum cytokines compared to controls, with higher levels of IL-2, IL-6, IL-15, and IP-10. IL-10 and IL-13 were also elevated in patients compared to controls. Patients who did not survive presented significantly higher levels of IL-15 than those who recovered. No significant differences were observed according to disease progression groups. The study has shown that increased levels of IL-15 and a high Th2 response are associated with a fatal outcome of the disease.
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INTRODUCTION

Coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) is an emergent condition caused by SARS-Cov2 infection that manifests with a wide spectrum of clinical profiles (Diao et al., 2020; Qin et al., 2020; Wang et al., 2020) and that presents a medical challenge due to its contagiousness rates (Guo et al., 2020; Rothan and Byrareddy, 2020). In general, COVID-19 begins as a mild disease with fever, cough, vomiting, myalgia, fatigue or diarrhea similar to those symptoms produced by a seasonally circulating common cold coronavirus. In many cases, the symptoms are so weak, even null, that the condition is not observed (Gao et al., 2020; Kim et al., 2020). However, some COVID-19 patients present lung involvement with severe pneumonia, pulmonary edema, acute distress respiratory syndrome (ARDS) and even death (2.5–7.2% of symptomatic patients) (Guan et al., 2020; Rothan and Byrareddy, 2020; Vardhana and Wolchok, 2020; Yang et al., 2020; Yuki et al., 2020). The disease severity has been associated with ageing and cardiovascular comorbidities (Huang W. et al., 2020; Hurwitz, 2020).

Among others parameters, mild and severe forms of the COVID-19 are characterized by a marked decrease in the total number of peripheral blood lymphocytes including T cells, both CD4+ and CD8+, B lymphocytes and Natural Killer (NK) cell (Song et al., 2020). It is interesting to note that T cells from severe patients present an effector, activated and senescent phenotype mainly associated with CD8+ cells (Vardhana and Wolchok, 2020). Furthermore, cytokine storm is the most life-threatening complication associated with COVID-19 (Schett et al., 2020; Buszko et al., 2020), characterized by a hyperactivated and pro-inflammatory state of the immune system. These features highlight the importance of the immune system response in the physiopathology of the disease (Chen and Wherry, 2020; Diao et al., 2020; Huang C. et al., 2020; Tan et al., 2020; Weiskopf et al., 2020; Vabret et al., 2020; Xu et al., 2020; Zheng et al., 2020; Zheng et al., 2020; Zhou et al., n.d).

The adaptive immune system, especially T cells, plays an important role against viral infections (Diao et al., 2020). T cell responses can mainly be polarized into effector cytotoxic cells (CTLs) and T helper (Th) cells (Mescher et al., 2006; Zhu et al., 2010). Th cells are subdivided into Th1, Th2, Th9, Th17 and follicular helper T cells (Tfh) subtypes, each one having a characteristic function against infections (Albers et al., 2002; Wan, 2010). Two major subtypes of Th cells coordinate responses to infection. One of them, the Th1 subset, coordinates the cell-mediated response, which is essential in macrophage, CTLs and NK activation via IL-2 and INF-gamma. Another one, the Th2 cells, which coordinates the humoral response, activates eosinophils, basophils and mast cells via IL4 and IL-6. In some infections, the type of Th lymphocytes that coordinates the immune response can condition the evolution of the clinical process. The polarization of the Th response will be determinant in the outcome of the disease (Mosmann and Sad, 1996; Infante-Duarte, 1999).

This phenomenon is well known in viral diseases such as lymphocytic choriomeningitis, where robust Th1 response is associated with a good prognosis (asymptomatic), whereas Th2 responses lead to anorexia and Wasting Syndrome (persistent viral load) (Kamperschroer et al., 2020). A similar situation is also observed in Human Immunodeficiency Virus (HIV) infection. Patients infected with HIV, who show a Th1 response, are seronegative and do not develop acquired immunodeficiency syndrome (AIDS), whereas Th2 patients become seropositive and evolve to AIDS (Clerici and Shearer, 1993; Mosmann and Sad, 1996; Calarota and Weiner, 2004).

The Th1/Th2 balance in COVID-19 has been associated with the outcome of the disease. When the viral infection is established, an appropriate immune response by Th1 is able to clear it discreetly. However, if this immune response is not well organized, an exacerbated reaction precedes the cytokine storm, priming Th2 cells that are linked to poor prognosis (Neidleman et al., 2020; Roncati et al., 2020). Thus, Th polarization seems to play an important role in determining COVID-19 severity, although it is still not totally understood.

This study has aimed to study the percentage and activation grade of Th subsets as well as the cytokine production in the acute moment of the COVID-19 and its association with outcomes.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study Design

A prospective observational study that enrolled patients in the initial stages of COVID-19 in a Spanish tertiary university hospital was conducted. Peripheral blood lymphocyte immunophenotypes and cytokines levels were evaluated at the time of diagnosis. Patients were followed-up until discharge or death.

Patients

A random sample of 58 patients with suspicion of COVID-19 were sequentially enrolled in the emergency department of the Hospital Universitario 12 de Octubre (Madrid, Spain) during 2020. Inclusion criteria were 1) Adult patients (>18 years) with symptoms of COVID-19 infection 2) Clinical characteristics or radiological findings that required hospital admission 3) Confirmed diagnosis by RT-PCR or serologic test at any moment of the disease.

As three subjects were lost to the follow-up, finally, 55 patients were included in the study. A reference group of 21 anonymous healthy blood donors representing the general population was established to compare the Th subset.

In tandem, 15 patients with symptoms consistent with COVID-19 initial infection (fever, headache, and cough), but who were SARS-Cov2 RT-PCR negative were recruited in order to compare peripheral blood lymphocyte immunophenotypes with confirmed COVID-19 patients. This group was named “COVID-Like.” All the patients in this group had a benign evolution and none required admission to the Intensive Care Unit (ICU).

A new cytokine anonymous control group (obtained before the pandemic) was created with 94 blood donors (other than those in the reference group) in order to compare cytokine levels with the COVID-19 cohort.
The remaining 55 patients recruited in this study were divided into four groups according to their evolution and most critical event: 1) Patients who died (Group-1, Death, N = 9), 2) Patients who were treated in ICU (Group-2, ICU, N = 7), 3) Patients with severe involvement who required immunomodulatory treatment (Group-3, Immunomodulators, N = 14) and 4) Patients with a benign course that did not require complex therapies (Group-4, Benign Course, N = 25) (Figure 1).

Patients were also classified according to the worst SpO2/FiO2 (blood oxygen saturation/fractional inspired oxygen) during their disease into 1) Ventilatory failure, SpO2/FiO2<300. 2) Normal ventilation, SpO2/FiO2>300 (No ventilatory failure).

Diagnosis was made by RT-PCR in 73% of the patients using nasopharyngeal or oropharyngeal swabs as well as sputum samples. For those patients with a negative RT-PCR, the serologic diagnostic test against the spike and nucleocapsid protein was performed (27%) (Anti-SARS-Cov-2 ELISA (IgG/A) and Anti-NCP-SARS-Cov-2, (IgM/IgG) Euroimmun, Germany 2020, respectively).

Ethics Statement
This study was conducted in accordance with the principles of the Declaration of Helsinki and was approved by the Clinical Research Ethics Committee of the University Hospital 12 de Octubre (reference no. 20/167). Oral or written informed consent was obtained from all patients.

Study Definitions
COVID-19 case was defined as a person fulfilling both the clinical and laboratory criteria of COVID-19.

COVID-19 laboratory criteria were a positive result for severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) according to reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) or serological assays, performed by nasal swab sampling and sera or plasma, respectively.

Data Collection
An anonymized database containing the patient data, including demographic, clinical and laboratory data from the electronic medical record, was created. Laboratory parameters included D-dimer, lactate dehydrogenase (LDH), C reactive protein (CRP), ferritin and the number of lymphocytes (lymphopenia was defined as total lymphocyte count of less than 0.85 x 10^9/liter).

Samples
Sera and EDTA-treated blood samples were collected in the first 24 h after hospitalization with a median of 7 days from the beginning of the symptoms before treatment.

Flow Cytometry
Peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs) were isolated from EDTA-treated whole blood samples by density gradient centrifugation using Lymphoprep™ (Fresenius Kabi, Oslo, Norway). Peripheral blood lymphocytes (PBL) were isolated and stained with the following fluorochrome-conjugated monoclonal antibodies: CD4-APC-H7, CXCR3-PE, CCR6-BB515, PD-1-PE-Cy7 and ICOS-BV450 (all from BD biosciences, San Jose, CA, USA) (Mallett et al., 2019; Mousset et al., 2019). Acquisition of PBLs was performed with a FACS CANTO II flow cytometry (BDbiosciences) and analyzed with Flow-Jo software v10.6.2. Gating strategy is shown (Figure S1).
Cells CXCR3+/CCR6- gated from CD4 were considered Th1 cells, CXCR3-/CCR6+ cells were considered as Th17 and CXCR3-/CCR6- as Th2. Regarding the activation grade, cells PD-1-/ICOS- were considered as quiescent Th cells, PD-1-/ICOS+ cells as early-activated cells, PD-1+/ICOS+ as late activation markers and PD-1+/ICOS- as exhausted or senescent cells (Mallett et al., 2019).

**Cytokine Profile Assay**

Serum cytokine Th profile was determined by Human Cytokine Magnetic Bead Panel Kit (EMD Millipore Corporation) using a LABScan™ 100 Luminex. The results were analyzed with Luminex xPONENT42 softwarev3.1. Cytokines included were IL-2, IL-4, IL-6, IL-10, IL-12p70, IL-13, IL-15, INF-gamma, IP-10, MCP-1, and VEGFα.

**Statistical Analysis**

Results of qualitative variables were expressed as absolute frequency and percentage. Results of the scaled variables were expressed as median with interquartile range. Comparison between qualitative variables was evaluated with Pearson’s Chi-square test or Fisher’s exact test, when appropriate.

Comparison between scaled variables with two categories were performed using Mann-Whitney’s U test, whereas variables with more than two categories were analyzed using Kruskal-Wallis test.

The odds ratio was used to express the relative measure of an effect between a binary outcome variable and a predictor variable. Multivariate analysis was performed using logistic regression model. Significant results were considered with a p-value < 0.05.

Data were analyzed with MedCalc® version 19.3 (MedCalc Software, Ostend, Belgium).

**RESULTS**

**Patient’s Characteristics**

Median age of the COVID-19 cohort was 55 years (IQR: 44–75.25) with a higher proportion of males (67%). No significant age differences were found when COVID-19 patients were compared with the reference population (55 vs. 50, respectively, p = 0.195). Sex distribution was also analyzed between groups, no significant differences being found (Table 1).

COVID-19 patients were classified according to their most critical event during the evolution of the disease (Figure 1).

Deceased patients in COVID-19 group were significantly older than the reference population (p < 0.001) and COVID-19 survival patients (p < 0.001). No significant differences were observed in sex distribution (Table 1). Similarly, when laboratory parameters were compared among COVID-19 groups, the total number of lymphocytes were significantly depleted in deceased patients compared to survival patients (p = 0.014). The patients who died also presented a high rate of lymphopenia (p = 0.013) and higher D-dimer levels (p = 0.011). No significant differences were found regarding LDH concentration, CRP levels, ferritin, % RT-PCR or serology, and the development of ARDS (Table 2).

**Differences in Th Subsets Between Reference Population and COVID-19 Patients**

When analyzing the differences in the Th subsets between in COVID-19 patients and controls, it was found that the percentage of CD4+ T lymphocytes with Th1 and Th17 phenotype was significantly reduced in the cells of COVID-19 patients (2.99% vs. 6.68%, p < 0.001 for Th1; and 3.41% vs. 6.95%, p = 0.012 for Th17).

Quiescent Th cells were analyzed when between COVID-19 patients and healthy controls. The percentages of quiescent Th1 cells (6% vs. 9.76% in controls, p = 0.007). Percentage of Th17 and Th2 tends to be reduced in COVID-19 patients (10.1% vs. 13.2%, p = 0.19 for Th17; 21.3% vs. 35.9%, p = 0.111 for Th2).

The percentage of early-activated cells in the Th1 and Th17 subsets were reduced compared with healthy controls (0.65% vs. 1.17%, p = 0.026 for Th1; 0.8% vs. 1.19%, p = 0.091 for Th17). The percentage of Th17 was significantly depleted in COVID-19 patients versus controls (0.58% vs. 1.44%, p = 0.007) regarding the late activity phenotype. The activated status was augmented in Th2 subset (17.6% in COVID-19 patients vs. 13.1% in healthy controls, p = 0.004).

COVID-19 patients presented a reduced percentage of senescent Th2 cells compared to controls (4.7% vs. 11.5%, p < 0.001).

No significant differences were shown in Table S1.

**Th Cell Subsets Imbalance According to the Disease Progression**

After examining the Th cell subsets differences between COVID-19 patients and healthy controls, the COVID-19 groups were compared according to disease evolution. No significant

---

**Table 1**

| Variables | Reference population N = 21 | COVID-19 patients N = 55 | p-value* | COVID-19 patients groups N = 55 | p-value** | p-value*** |
|-----------|-----------------------------|--------------------------|----------|---------------------------------|-----------|-----------|
|           |                             |                          |          | Deceased; N = 9                 | Alive; N = 46 |          |
| Age       | 50 (43.75–59.25)            | 55 (44–75.25)            | 0.195    | 87 (82.25–91.75)                | 53 (44–62) | <0.001    | <0.001    |
| Sex       |                             |                          |          |                                 |            |           |           |
| Female    | 8 (38%)                     | 16 (33%)                 | 0.738    | 2 (22%)                        | 16 (35%)   | 0.514     | 0.748     |
| Male      | 13 (62%)                    | 37 (67%)                 |          | 7 (78%)                        | 30 (65%)   |           |           |

*Reference population vs. COVID-19 cohort; **Reference population vs. Deceased COVID-19 patients; ***Alive COVID-19 patients vs. Death COVID-19 patients.
TABLE 2 | Laboratory parameters compared among COVID-19 groups show mean and IQR.

| Variables                | COVID-19 patients groups | p-value |
|--------------------------|--------------------------|---------|
|                          | G1-Deceased; N = 9       | G2-ICU; N = 7 | G3-Immunomodulators; N = 14 | G4-Benign Course; N = 25 |
| **Biochemical Markers**  |                          |         |                               |                         |
| D-Dimer                  | 4196 (1105–14116)        | 1714 (1162–1886) | 628 (554.25–822) | 579.5 (298–959) | 0.011 |
| LDH                      | 206.5 (287–338)          | 314.5 (271.5–370.5) | 345 (255–411) | 279 (226.25–362) | 0.369 |
| CRP                      | 8.29 (5.51–24.24)        | 4 (1.07–20.37) | 6.05 (3.94–13.92) | 4.49 (1.3–11.1) | 0.169 |
| Ferritin                 | 963.4 (535.4–1569.5)     | 1268 (700.1–1837) | 1014 (533.6–1574.9) | 646.9 (283.75–1146.35) | 0.319 |
| **Immunological Markers**|                          |         |                               |                         |
| Lymphocyte               | 650 (500–1,050)          | 1500 (800–2,600) | 1550 (1400–2,000) | 1300 (775–1,855) | 0.014 |
| Lymphopenia              | 5 (55.5%)                | 3 (43%) | 0 (0%) | 8 (32%) | 0.013 |
| Diagnosis                |                          |         |                               |                         |
| RT-PCR                   | 8 (89%)                  | 6 (86%) | 9 (84%) | 17 (68%) | 0.463 |
| Serology                 | 1 (11%)                  | 1 (14%) | 5 (36%) | 8 (32%) | 0.392 |
| ARDS                     | 4 (44.5%)                | 4 (57%) | 5 (36%) | 7 (28%) | 0.351 |

LDH, lactate dehydrogenase; CRP, C-reactive protein; RT-PCR, reverse transcriptase polymerase chain reaction; ARDS, acute respiratory distress syndrome; ICU, intensive care unit.

differences in the percentage of Th1, Th2 or Th17 and activation grade were observed in the COVID-19 patient groups.

Deceased COVID-19 patients showed a lower percentage of quiescent Th1 cells compared to COVID-19 patients with a benign course of the disease (p = 0.016). Increased percentage of senescent Th1 cells tends to be associated with a benign course of the disease. However, higher proportions of senescent Th2 were associated with death (p = 0.009).

There were no significant results regarding total proportion of Th, the grade activation and the senescent status (Figure 2).

Differences in Th Subsets Between COVID-Like and COVID-19 Patients

Comparing the Th subsets between COVID-Like and COVID-19 patients, no significant differences were found in the total proportion of Th1, Th17, and Th2.

COVID-19 patients presented a significantly higher percentage of late-activated Th2 cells than COVID-19 patients (17.6% vs. 0.76%, p = 0.006). The result of this comparison is shown in Table S2. As can be seen in Figure 2, when the COVID-Like patients were compared with the four subgroups of COVID-19 patients, their similarity with the COVID patients with good progression (groups 3 and 4) stands out.

Death-Associated Factors

Th subsets were evaluated in relationship with mortality (Table 3). The two factors that conferred risk in the univariate analysis, that is, the total number of lymphocytes (p = 0.018) and the senescent Th2 percent (p = 0.021), were included in a multivariate analysis. The total number of lymphocytes (OR 0.15, 95% CI: 0.02–0.81, p = 0.027) and the higher senescent percentage of Th2 cells (OR: 13.88, 95% CI: 1.33–143.88, p = 0.027) behaved like independent and significant risk factors for death with an area under the ROC curve of 0.879 (95% CI: 0.760–0.952) (Table 3).

Cytokine Profiling

The cytokine serum profile was compared between COVID-19 patients and healthy controls. An increased expression of IL-2 (p < 0.001) and INF-gamma (p = 0.024) and a reduction of IL-12p70 (p < 0.001) in COVID-19 patients were observed regarding the Th1 cytokines. Regarding Th2 cytokines, COVID-19 patients showed higher levels of IL-6, IL-10 and IL-13, but lower levels of IL-4 (p < 0.001, for all of them). Other cytokines like IL-15 and IP-10 presented significantly increased levels in COVID-19 compared to healthy donors (p < 0.001, in both cases). No significant differences were observed in relationship to MCP-1 and VEGFα (Table S3).

Cytokine levels were studied according to the worst event during hospitalization, without any significant results among groups (Figure S3).

Patients who died presented significantly higher levels of IL-15: 9.65 pg/ml, IQR: 7.5–15.3 vs. 5.06 pg/ml, IQR: 2–8.18; (p = 0.036). A marked trend was observed when MCP-1, IL-6 and IL-10 were analyzed to describe which ones of the higher levels were associated to poorer evolution of the disease, but these differences did not achieve statistical significance (Table 4).

DISCUSSION

We have demonstrated in this study that a Th1 coordinated immune response during the infection by SARS-CoV-2 associates with good prognosis and resolution of COVID-19. The type of Th response impacted the disease outcome, since 78% of patients who finally died had produced an overreactive Th2 response. The type of Th response has been demonstrated to be crucial for the resolution of the disease (Infante-Duarte, 1999; Spellberg and Edwards, 2001).

We have not been able to observe changes in activated cells because they are localized in tissues controlling the infection. On the other hand, those that have already ended their task abandon the tissue and migrate into the blood (Morris, 1998). The detection of senescent Th cells in blood could be an indicator of the type of the past immune response.

In intracellular M. tuberculosis infection, Bacillus Calmette-Guerin (BCG) vaccine promotes a Th1 response, protecting human beings from tuberculosis development. The utility of BCG vaccine has been studied in COVID-19 patients with very surprising results. Lower mortality and infective rates were
observed in vaccinated patients, who produced Th1 responses (Ozdemir et al., 2020). Our study has highlighted the importance of Th1 hypoactivation and Th2 overreaction, with subsequent exhaustion, associated with poorer prognosis. A possible hypothesis to explain the severe forms of COVID-19 is that the Th2 responses prevail in those patients, producing an ineffective response to intracellular pathogens like viruses. However, contrary to other studies, which propose that a higher % of Th2 cells is associated with critical care and poor prognosis (Wei et al., 2020), we did not observe any differences
when we analyzed the total % of Th1, Th2, and Th17 between the different clinical course of the disease. This finding could suggest that the activation grade could be a more important prognostic factor than the total percentage of each Th as we have observed that patients with a more benign course of the disease tend to present higher % of senescent Th1 cells as a consequence of their rapid activation. The absence of significance could be due to the small size of each group.

When we compared the patients with COVID-19 with the healthy controls, we found that the majority of the patients not only presented a general reduction in the total percentage of Th but also in their degree of activation. This clear reduction could be related to the common lymphopenia (Wang et al., 2020; Yang et al., 2020). We have observed that COVID-19 patients had an overactive Th2 response against the virus. Therefore, compared to COVID-like patients, COVID-19 patients also had an overactive Th2 response.

Observations from the COVID-Like group should be handled with caution. The World Health Organization has reported that in pandemic situations it is not possible to rule out that patients who have had symptoms similar to COVID and RT-PCR negative had the disease (WHO, 2020). It is possible that some patients in the COVID-Like group were seronegative COVID-19 patients with a mild form of the disease. In this way, it is worth highlighting the similarities observed between the patients in this group and the COVID-19 patients with good evolution.

The mortality rate described in COVID-19 is highly variable: from 2.2% to 15% in some studies (Cao et al., 2020; Chen et al., 2020; Huang C. et al., 2020; Rothan and Byrareddy, 2020; Wang et al., 2020). The factors associated with high mortality in COVID-19 are only partially known (obesity, age, previous cardiorespiratory illnesses, etc.) (Peiris et al., 2003; Wong et al., 2003; Erickson et al., 2020). Lymphopenia was an important finding as similar results were observed in SARS-Cov and MERS-Cov (Peiris et al., 2003; Wong et al., 2003; Erickson et al., 2013; Ko et al., 2016; Min et al., 2016).

The presence of higher exhausted Th2 suggests an over-activation with the consequent

---

**TABLE 3 |** Univariate and multivariate analyses associated to death risk with all significant variables.

| VARIABLES | p-value | OR | OR 95% CI | AUC | AUC 95% CI | p-value | OR | OR 95% CI |
|-----------|---------|----|-----------|-----|-----------|---------|----|-----------|
| Lymphocytes | 0.018 | 0.2 | 0.05–0.076 | 0.77 | 0.634–0.873 | 0.027 | 0.15 | 0.02–0.81 |
| % Th1 | 0.514 | 0.65 | 0.18–2.33 | 0.55 | 0.413–0.687 | – | – | – |
| % Th17 | 0.085 | 3.8 | 0.83–17.37 | 0.63 | 0.496–0.761 | – | – | – |
| % Th2 | 0.777 | 0.86 | 0.31–2.38 | 0.52 | 0.385–0.661 | – | – | – |
| % Th1 PD-1−/ICOS− | 0.067 | 0.28 | 0.07–10.9 | 0.68 | 0.545–0.803 | – | – | – |
| % Th17 PD-1−/ICOS− | 0.257 | 2.38 | 0.53–10.69 | 0.6 | 0.464–0.734 | – | – | – |
| % Th2 PD-1−/ICOS− | 0.259 | 27.778 | 0.47–163.5 | 0.618 | 0.451–0.786 | – | – | – |
| % Th1 PD-1−/ICOS+ | 0.710 | 0.72 | 0.13–3.96 | 0.53 | 0.391–0.666 | – | – | – |
| % Th17 PD-1−/ICOS+ | 0.574 | 1.53 | 0.34–6.31 | 0.55 | 0.411–0.685 | – | – | – |
| % Th2 PD-1−/ICOS+ | 0.161 | 2.57 | 0.68–9.69 | 0.58 | 0.429–0.712 | – | – | – |
| % Th1 PD-1+/ICOS+ | 0.631 | 1.79 | 0.14–19.46 | 0.52 | 0.384–0.659 | – | – | – |
| % Th17 PD-1+/ICOS+ | 0.238 | 5.6 | 0.31–99.41 | 0.54 | 0.405–0.68 | – | – | – |
| % Th2 PD-1+/ICOS+ | 0.09 | 0.24 | 0.04–1.28 | 0.66 | 0.52–0.783 | – | – | – |
| % Th1 PD-1+/ICOS− | 0.750 | 0.69 | 0.07–6.47 | 0.52 | 0.382–0.657 | – | – | – |
| % Th17 PD-1+/ICOS− | 0.073 | 3.86 | 0.87–16.97 | 0.658 | 0.514–0.780 | – | – | – |
| % Th2 PD-1+/ICOS− | 0.021 | 7.23 | 1.33–39.12 | 0.72 | 0.569–0.837 | 0.027 | 13.88 | 1.39–143.88 |

**TABLE 4 |** Cytokine profile differences in alive and deceased patients.

| Cytokines | Alive; N = 28 | Death; N = 6 | p-value |
|-----------|---------------|--------------|---------|
|            | Median | IQR | Median | IQR |
| IL-2 | 0.1 | 0.1-0.85 | 0.29 | 0.1–0.86 | 0.573 |
| IL-4 | 1.4 | 1.4–6.56 | 1.4 | 1.4–381.12 | 0.827 |
| IL-6 | 14.33 | 2.12–41.49 | 39.55 | 8.6–69.36 | 0.258 |
| IL-10 | 8.78 | 3.2–128.28 | 17.19 | 0.015–26.84 | 0.113 |
| IL-12p70 | 0.05 | 0.05–0.44 | 0.05 | 0.05–0.08 | 0.667 |
| IL-13 | 0.5 | 0.5–1.61 | 0.55 | 0.5–16.04 | 0.46 |
| IL-15 | 5.05 | 2.8–18.1 | 9.65 | 7.5–15.3 | 0.036 |
| INFγ | 0.39 | 0.3–8.77 | 5.15 | 2.24–7.18 | 0.314 |
| IP-10 | 1183.65 | 296.29–3151.72 | 1358.33 | 876.82–3858.76 | 0.588 |
| MCP-1 | 527.91 | 358.96–889.92 | 1248.8 | 535.58–1999.45 | 0.064 |
| VEGFα | 92.73 | 17.45–297.8 | 75.84 | 16–94.99 | 0.401 |
exhaustion, a phenomenon that has been observed in CD8+ cell. All this activation is driven by rapid viral replication and its exposure to lymphocytes (Ko et al., 2016; Min et al., 2016). Th2 hyperactivation could explain why severe patients have higher antibody titers than mild or asymptomatic patients, as their cytokines stimulate antibody production (Spellberg and Edwards, 2001).

We have observed that the SARS-Cov2 infection is characterized by a pro-inflammatory environment with increased levels of IL-2, IL-6, IL-15, IP-10, and INF-gamma. As described previously, cytokines are important for the clearance of respiratory infections (Kimura et al., 2013).

Our results have shown that patients with a poor prognosis present a Th cytokine profile associated with changes in the inflammatory status, as previously described (Lucas et al., 2020), with a slight increase in the expression of IL-6, IL-10, MCP-1, and INF-gamma and a marked increase of IL-15.

High levels of these cytokines have been reported in publications to be related with a worse respiratory status (Vabret et al., 2020; Yao et al., 2020). It has also been described that critical respiratory manifestations have correlated with high levels of IL-6 and IL-15, this being an important predictor of disease progression (Leahy et al., 2016; Rocío et al., 2020). High levels of IL-6, MCP-1 and IL-15 correlated to poor prognosis in SARS-Cov and MERS-Cov infections, acting as hypercytokinemia and systemic syndrome predictors, have also been reported (Wang et al., 2004; Wong et al., 2004; Zhang et al., 2004; Li et al., 2020). Another factor which could help to understand Th imbalance in COVID-19 is IL-10, a potent inhibitor of IL-12 and Th1 response. The significance of the presence of IL10 in COVID-19 patients has been extensively described in the literature, this suggesting that IL-10 could discriminate disease progression (Gong et al., 2020; Zhou et al., 2020).

IL-15 is a cytokine secreted by different cell types like endothelial cells, respiratory epithelium or neurons. It matures in macrophages and dendritic cells and plays a pleiotropic role in innate and adaptive immunity. Similarly to IL-2, IL-15 is a very important molecule in NK and T lymphocyte activation and proliferation like IL-2 (Perera et al., 2012). Activated T cell recruitment and contraction in inflammatory tissues, enriched with antigenic molecules, is a crucial event in the immune response, which principally depends on antigenic presentation by endothelial cells from swollen vessels (Ward et al., 2017). IL-15 has been identified as an important mediator in T cell and NK migration to infected tissue, due to IL-15 improves LFA-1/ICAM-1 binding affinity in endothelial cells (Oppenheimer-marks et al., 1998). We hypothesize that its elevation is due to an additional effort of the immune system in T lymphocyte activation in an alternate way, as a compensatory mechanism to substitute an inefficient Th1 response.

Nowadays, there is extensive state of the art knowledge regarding the causes of lymphopenia. Some authors have stated that lymphopenia is caused by direct infection of lymphocyte, as they express ACE2 in their surface (Xu et al., 2020). Another hypothesis states that the immune system hyperactivation could lead to lymphocyte apoptosis by inflammatory cytokines (Liao et al., 2002; Sähr et al., 2015). On the other hand, other publications support the idea that immune system dysregulation in COVID-19 leads to a “cytokine storm,” suggesting a polyclonal activation of T lymphocytes, possibly associated to superantigens (Cossarizza, 1997). Others have reported that the lymphopenia associated with COVID-19 could be a consequence of pulmonary recruitment and entrapment of lymphocytes, due to modification of adhesion molecules (CD44, LFA-1 and ICAM-1) (Morris, 1998; Bohn et al., 2020). Recently, Roncati et al. published that SARS-Cov2 is able to infect lymphocytes via dipeptidyl peptidase-4 (DPP-4) receptor, which is overexpressed in Th1 lymphocyte rather in Th2 (Willheim et al., 1997; Annunziato et al., 1998; Sakata-Kaneko et al., 2000). This finding could support our results as we have observed that COVID-19 patients have reduced total % Th1 compared with healthy controls. Moreover, the total %Th2 was slightly higher in COVID-19 patients.

When a Th effector response is polarized to Th2, antibody production is not only stimulated but the cell-mediated immunity is also suppressed (Kaiko et al., 2007). This fact is very important regarding the treatment that patients receive. In many cases, glucocorticoids are chosen as a treatment of choice in this novel condition, but they are a double-edged sword as they act as immunosuppressant reducing IL-2, which is essential for Th1 responses, where only Th2 are able to proliferate (Krakauer, 1995; Larsson and Linden, 1998; Ramirez et al., 1998; Vieira et al., 1998; Franchimont et al., 2000), this severely affecting the patients.

Recently, some authors have proposed that patients susceptible to severe lung pathology in COVID-19 may have the highest Th17. This response could be promoted by IL-6 and may be accompanied by eosinophilia. In our patients, Th17 was significantly reduced compared to controls, but no differences were found according to clinical presentations of the disease (Hotze et al., 2020; Wu and Yang, 2020).

Several limitations exist in this study. First of all, it is limited by the reduced sample size of our study so that these markers not only need to be evaluated in a larger cohort but also further independent studies are needed to validate our results. Secondly, in order to perform a multivariate analysis, due to the few events that were of interest in this study, the univariate and multivariate evaluation could not be carried out with raw data, thus requiring each variable to be transformed into ranges by histogram of frequency analysis (Table S4). Another limitation is that we studied the cytokine profile of each patient in sera but not in cell culture and we have not studied antibody titration to examine its association to prognosis. Finally, using blood donors is a disadvantage regarding age as severe forms of the disease usually affect patients older than 65 in whom blood donation is not recommended.

In summary, although Th1/Th2 imbalance related to poor prognosis is well known in infections of different etiology, much remains to be known in COVID-19 patients. At present, most of the effects are directed toward reducing an exacerbated inflammatory response. Therefore, the search for new therapies, which redirect Th2 responses to Th1, may tip the scale toward less severe forms of the disease.
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