Nanosystems as Vehicles for the Delivery of Antimicrobial Peptides (AMPs)
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Abstract: Recently, antimicrobial peptides (AMPs), also called host defence peptides (HDPs), are attracting great interest, as they are a highly viable alternative in the search of new approaches to the resistance presented by bacteria against antibiotics in infectious diseases. However, due to their nature, they present a series of disadvantages such as low bioavailability, easy degradability by proteases, or low solubility, among others, which limits their use as antimicrobial agents. For all these reasons, the use of vehicles for the delivery of AMPs, such as polymers, nanoparticles, micelles, carbon nanotubes, dendrimers, and other types of systems, allows the use of AMPs as a real alternative to treatment with antibiotics.
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1. Introduction.

Infectious diseases were considered, at the beginning of the twenty-first century, as one of the most important causes of death in humanity, even though their relative percentage has been decreasing since the nineteenth century [1]. During the 1940s, the introduction of antibiotics into clinical practice was one of the most important advances for their treatment, and increased the life expectancy for several years [2]. Antibiotics have saved millions of lives, and in addition, they have revolutionized medicine [3]. They have also played a highly significant role in progress in a number of fields, such as solid organ transplants and hematopoietic progenitors, survival of premature and immunocompromised patients (natural or by pharmacological therapies), and surgery of prosthetic material and vascular catheters, where infections are especially prevalent and potentially important [4,5]. However, for several years now, a growing threat has deteriorated the efficacy of these drugs: Bacterial resistance to antibiotics that causes prolonged hospital stays, incurring high medical costs and causing more mortality, making the global health issue one of the greatest threats. For that, it is crucial to develop new drugs to overcome the resistance acquired by microorganisms against conventional antibiotics [6,7].

On the lookout for new approaches to antibiotic resistance, antimicrobial peptides (AMPs) are currently presented as a promising therapeutic solution, since they have a wide spectrum of activity against several pathogenic microorganisms and can be considered as natural antibiotics [8,9]. They are key effector molecules in the innate immunity of organisms, isolated from mammals, birds, amphibians, crustaceans, fish, insects, plants, and microorganisms. AMPs are predominantly small cationic peptides with hydrophobic regions containing between 10–100 amino acids, especially arginine residues, which allows them to interact with negatively-charged membranes, causing the direct destabilization of the
surface of membranes with pore formation and subsequent cell lysis [10,11]. Also, they have been described as chemotactic agents [12], modulating the immune system, and therefore constituting a bridge between innate immunity and adaptive immunity [13] (Figure 1).

However, despite the multiple beneficial properties of AMPs, they present some disadvantages such as: (i) Degradation by proteases, both in the bloodstream and in the gastrointestinal system; (ii) their union with others proteins, which leads to their inactivation; (iii) low metabolic stability and oral absorption; (iv) rapid excretion through kidneys and liver; (v) high toxicity and immunogenicity; and (vi) high production costs. For these reasons, their use for in vivo applications have not been fully satisfactory and only a few of them were explored in clinical trials [14].

Recent studies have focused on nanotechnology in order to minimize drawbacks of natural and synthetic AMPs. Nanotechnology is the term given to those areas of science and engineering where phenomena taking place at dimensions in the nanometric scale are utilized in the design, characterization, production, and application of materials, structures, devices, and systems [15,16]. Currently, it has attracted the attention of a large number of research groups due to the enormous advantages that its use present in different fields, and more specifically in biomedicine [17,18]. One of the main goals of nanotechnology is the design of nanocarriers, promising biomaterials that could increase therapy efficacy, minimize side-effects, and offer a controlled pharmacokinetic profile, as well as direct administration towards the target organ, protect the encapsulated peptide from degradation, and reduce toxicity. Diverse types of nanomaterials, including polymers, liposomes, hydrogels, self-assembly systems formed by surfactants, (block co)polymers, and polar lipids polymer (micro)gels, as well as wide range of inorganic nanoparticles/nanomaterials, each offering system-specific opportunities, have been explored as delivery systems not only in the transport of peptides [19], but also for gene therapy [20], cancer treatment [21], and drug delivery [22].

The present review is focused on different delivery systems for AMPs (see Table 1 and Figure 2), describing several systems reported in bibliography during the last five years. The classification of these nanosystems as AMP delivery vehicles has been made based on the nature of their skeleton: Organic or inorganic. Furthermore, we reviewed AMPs as vehicles themselves.
Table 1. Advantages and disadvantages of different nanostructures as carriers.

| Drug Carrier                | Advantages                                           | Disadvantages                          |
|-----------------------------|------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|
| Metal Nanoparticles [23]    | - Multimodal applications                           | - Metal Toxicity                       |
|                             | - High surface area                                  | - Stability                            |
|                             |                                                      | - Storage                              |
| Carbon nanotubes [24]       | - Water soluble                                      | - Expensive to produce                 |
|                             | - Multifunctional                                    | - Low degradability                    |
| Liposomes [25]              | - Biodegradable                                      | - Poor drug loading                    |
|                             | - Load hydrophobic and hydrophilic drugs             | - Immunogenicity                       |
| Liquid crystalline particles [26] | - Thermally stable                             | - Complex and difficult to prepare    |
|                               | - Biodegradable                                      |                                         |
|                               | - Bioadhesion                                        | - Low encapsulation rate               |
| Dendritic systems [27]      | - Monodisperse molecules with a high control over the critical molecular design parameter | - Highly expensive synthesis processes |
| Polymers [28]               | - Biocompatible                                      | - Non-specific toxicity                |
|                             | - Biodegradable (depending on polymer nature)        |                                         |
|                             | - Easy to modify                                     |                                         |
|                             | - Controlled drug release                            |                                         |
| Hydrogels [29]              | - High water content and biocompatibility            | - Trend to be fragile                  |
|                             | - Could be gelling at body temperature               | - Expensive, especially the smart hydrogels |
| Cyclodextrins [30]          | - High aqueous solubility                            | - Behavior difficult to predict        |
|                             | - Chemical stability                                 |                                         |
| Aptamers [31]               | - Good chemical stability                            | - Unpredictable risk                   |
|                             | - Isotropic properties                               | - Complex and costly procedures        |
|                             | - Unlimited shelf life                               |                                         |
|                             | - Highest specificity and affinity to the target     |                                         |
AuNPs@Esc(1-21) presented close to 15-fold the antipseudomonal activity of the Esc(1-21) alone and

2. Inorganic Nanosystems

2.1. Metal Nanoparticles

Nanoparticles (NPs) are particles in the size range of 0.1 to 100 nm and exhibit completely

innovative physicochemical properties in comparison with their bulk counterparts [32]. Depending

on the shape, dimensions, and properties, it is possible to classify them in different manners [33].

One of the most commonly used systems for AMP transport is that of gold nanoparticles (AuNPs).

Galdiero et al. [34] (2018) described the synthesis of AuNPs functionalyzed with indolicidin AMP,

employing thiol chemistry to covalently attach the peptide to AuNPs. The peptide/AuNP conjugate

presented a higher efficacy in preventing cell adhesion and destroying biofilms formed by Candida

albicans in in vitro experiment compared to AuNPs and indolicidin alone, probably due to the fact

that the peptide is protected from degradation by the proteases. Mangoni et al. [35] (2017) reported the first

e Example of a covalent bond between the AMP esculentin-1a, with high activity against Pseudomonas

aeruginosa bacteria, and soluble AuNPs through polyethylene glycol (PEG) linker. The conjugate

AuNPs@Esc(1-21) presented close to 15-fold the antipseudomonal activity of the Esc(1-21) alone and

did not present toxicity in human cells. In addition, this conjugate demonstrated to be stable after

d conjugation with the AuNP, keeping their activity over months. The mechanism of action of these

type of AuNP-AMP conjugates has been discussed by various authors [36,37]. The most commonly

accepted mechanism is bacterial cell membrane rupture caused by the interaction of the nanoparticle

conjugate with the negatively-charged components of the membrane, without intracellular entry of

the conjugate.

The use of silver nanoparticles (AgNPs) enables to combine the widely known antibacterial effect of

silver with the effects of AMPs. Some studies have revealed that peptides modified with cysteine

moieties increase conjugate stability [38,39]. One of the latest works published in bibliography by

Bhunia et al. (2019) [40] studied the functionalization of AgNPs with potent Andersonin-Y1 peptide

against the multidrug resistant strains Klebsiella pneumoniae, Pseudomonas aeruginosa, and Enterobacter

species (experimentally, it was estimated that approximately ~200 peptides coated the surface of

the nanoparticle). Again, the antimicrobial effect of the AY-AgNP conjugate was more than the

sum of the activities of the peptide and the nanoparticle taken separately. In order to elucidate the

mechanism of action, several NMR studies (in real time), together with molecular dynamics studies,

fluorescence-based dye-leakage and hemolytic assay, were carried out. All these studies showed that

interaction with hydrophobic tails of the bacteria membrane causes pores, favoring that AgNPs cross

the barrier and cause cell death by attaching to its DNA.

2.2. Carbon Nanotubes

Carbon nanotubes (CNTs) can be divided in two classes: The so-called single-walled (SWCNT) or

the multi-walled (MWCNT), both of them formed by rolled-up tubular shells of graphene,

| ADVANTAGES | DISADVANTAGES |
|------------|---------------|
| Decrease of AMP side-effects | Fast elimination of the vehicle system |
| Lower frequency of AMP administration | Immune reaction against delivery system |
| Lower AMP dose is needed | High production cost |
| Constant blood AMP levels | Difficult to scale-up |
| Maximization of therapeutic index | Applicable to a wide range of drugs |
| Bioavailability enhanced by protection from degradation | |
and presenting physical properties that offer great value for the development of advanced biomaterials [41]. Aich et al. (2015) conjugated indolicidin AMP to CNTs and AuNPs functionalized with carboxylic acid on the surface, using EDC-NHS conjugation protocol [42]. In this paper, they characterized both conjugates by different techniques and compared their properties, finding that both induced complementary innate immune gene activation. CNT-indolicidin might also protect host cells towards bacterial infection at 1000-fold less concentration than free indolicidin. Furthermore, the gene expression profile of indolicidin was different depending on the carrier, the use of CNT presented or activated more pro-inflammatory genes, while the AuNP conjugate activated Il-10, a gene with anti-inflammatory function. Most recently, Chaudhari et al. (2019) have analyzed the toxicity and antimicrobial activity of different AMPs (TP359, TP226, and TP557) supported in silver-coated CNTs against *Staphylococcus aureus* infection using a full thickness human 3D skin model [43].

On the other side, Koksharova et al. (2018) described the use of CNTs to remove arenicin-1 and tachyplesin-1 AMPs from aqueous solutions [44]. The nanotubes used to carry out this work were Taunit-Mb [45], functionalized with –COOH moieties in their structure, that efficiently adsorbed peptides containing free –NH₂. The comparison of results obtained with a conventional absorbent, showed that the amount of peptide found in CNTs was three times more than in conventional material, probably by formation of ionic bonds between AMP-CNTs. Table 2 summarize the most relevant information about Inorganic Nanosystems described in this section.

| Peptide/ Antimicrobial Activity | Sequence | Delivery System | Bacterial Strain | Findings |
|---------------------------------|----------|-----------------|-----------------|----------|
| Indolicidin Broad spectrum      | CILPWKWPWWPWRR | AuNPs [34] | *C. albicans* | Biofilm formation inhibition at 24 h was 40% for indolicidin alone and more than 50% for AuNPs-indolicidin. Eradication of 48 h biofilms with AuNPs-indolicidin was 55–65%. |
| Esceulentin-1a                  | GIFSKLAGKKIKNLLISGLKG | AuNPs [35] | *P. aeruginosa* | AuNP-Esc(1-21) preserved a concentration-dependent microbicidal effect, and killing activity was ~12-fold increased since MBC₅₀ was reduced from 1 for Esc(1-21) alone to 0.08 μM. Also, AuNP-Esc(1-21) kept their antibacterial activity in the presence of trypsin. Unlike for the peptide alone, AuNP-Esc(1-21) produced bacterial death by a membrane perturbation mechanism. |
| Odorrain-A-OA1                  | VVKCSYRLGPSDQN | AgNPs [38] | *E. coli* | Bacterial death increased to 60% for the AgNP-OA1 conjugate, while it was 31% and 33% when only AgNP and peptide were added, respectively, and ~30% when AgNP and peptide were added together but not conjugated. Conjugate cytotoxicity was evaluated in HaCaT cell line, and a higher biocompatibility (no significant IC₅₀ values) was found compared with AgNP alone, with IC₅₀ value of ~96 μg/mL. |
| Andersonin-Y1 (AY1)             | FLPKLFAKITKKNMAMHR | AgNPs [40] | *K. pneumoniae*, *P. aeruginosa* | The activity of the AgNP peptide was more than the sum of the activities of the peptide and the nanoparticle taken separately. The mechanism of action was alteration of bacterial cell surface morphology followed by membrane rupture. |
| CAY1                            | FLPKLFAKITTKNMAMHR | AgNPs [40] | *S. typhimurium* | CNT conjugated indolicidin at 0.02 μg/mL protected the cell from challenge of the bacteria significantly better than free indolicidin at 20 μg/mL. |
| AY1                             | FLPKLFAKITTKNMAMHR | AgNPs [40] | *S. typhimurium* | In all cases, the conjugates presented a slight improvement of MIC where the nanotube was cargo with 5 mg/mL of AMPs over human skin model. |
| TP359                           | CILPWKWPWWPPWRR | CNTs [42] | *S. typhimurium* | MIC: Minimum inhibitory concentration; IC₅₀: Half maximal inhibitory concentration; EC₅₀: Half maximal effective concentration; EC₅₀: Half cytotoxicity concentration. |
3. Organic Nanosystems

3.1. Polymer Systems

3.1.1. Polymers

Polymers are macromolecules containing several repeating units of a smaller molecule (monomer). There are many natural polymers such as DNA, cellulose, or chitosan, and many others, such as poly(lactide-co-glycolide) (PLGA) or PEG, are synthetic. The use of biodegradable/biocompatible polymers in biomedicine and the food industry has increased in the last decades. During 2017–2018, some review articles, including state-of-the-art polymer-based strategies to improve in vivo biocompatibility and delivery systems for AMPs, were published [10, 46, 47]. Furthermore, in the following paragraphs, we gather an update on the most recently published works reporting the use of polymer nanoparticles [48–50], nanofibers [51, 52], multilayers [53, 54], polymer-coated surfaces [55, 56], and polymer conjugates [57–63] for AMP delivery.

First, we present three very recent examples of polymer nanoparticles use for AMP delivery. Casciaro et al. (2019) developed PLGA NPs for the delivery of esculentin-1a-derived AMPs in cystic fibrosis patients presenting *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* infection, and observed an improved vehiculization and efficiency inhibiting bacterial growth [48]. In the same year, Vijayan et al. (2019) investigated the wound-healing potential of PLGA NPs carrying two growth factors entrapped in their interior, and the K4 AMP covalently conjugated, which showed a sustained release and an improved cell proliferation, as well as broad-spectrum antimicrobial activity [49]. Previously, Almaaytah et al. (2017) encapsulated the RBRBR ultrashort AMP into chitosan NPs. This system reduced toxicity compared to the free AMP and increased antibacterial activity compared with the unloaded chitosan NPs [50].

Nanofibers are another kind of polymeric material recently used as nanocarriers for AMPs. Soto et al. (2019) prepared amaranth protein isolate: Pullulan (API-PUL) nanofibers loaded with nisin AMP for food safety applications. The release behavior of nisin from API-PUL nanofibers resulted to be progressive and show bactericidal activity against *Salmonella typhimurium*, *Listeria monocytogenes*, and *Leuconostoc mesenteroides*, which evidenced the protection of nisin antimicrobial activity while in contact with food [51]. The previous year, Amariei et al. (2018) incorporated the AMP ε-poly(l-lysine) (ε-PL) to poly(acrylic acid) (PAA)/poly(vinyl alcohol) (PVA) electrospun nanofibers for potential use in biomedicine, and observed a considerable decrease in bacterial growth, compared to non-AMP-loaded PAA-PVA, against *Staphylococcus epidermidis*, *Staphylococcus aureus*, *Escherichia coli* [52].

The design of multilayer-based materials has also been explored. He et al. (2018) prepared a layer-by-layer microsphere-loaded nanoﬁber membrane with antibacterial activity for bond regeneration. The methodology consisted of first electrospinning a gelatin (Gln) and chitosan (CS) composite containing hydroxyapatite nanoparticles (nHAp), and then electrospraying short tryptophan-rich AMP Pac-525 PLGA microspheres (AMP@PLGA-MS). The membrane showed to be biocompatible and be able to promote osteoblasts differentiation, as well as one-week bactericidal activity and up to one-month antibacterial activity against *Staphylococcus aureus* and *Escherichia coli* [53].

Very recently, Rodríguez López et al. (2019) coated titanium surfaces with chitosan/hyaluronic acid polymer multilayers for local delivery of AMP β-peptide mimetics to prevent bacterial biofilm generation in orthopedic implants, and observed not only a controlled release of the antimicrobial that prevented biofilm formation for 24 days and five bacteria, but also no toxicity toward preosteoblasts [54].

Regarding the use of surfaces, Xiao et al. (2018) compared the stability over time of two polymer surfaces chemically decorated with cecropin–melittin hybrid AMP, and observed that the stability of the surface prepared by chemical vapor deposition polymerization was higher than that of the assembled monolayer, the former being more suitable for applications in antimicrobial coating [55]. Muszanska et al. (2014) prepared an antiadhesive polymer brush coating for biomedical devices by the conjugation of both AMPs and arginine–glycine–aspartate (RGD) peptides to the PEG chains of the
triblock copolymer Pluronic F-127. As a result, they obtained a multifunctional surface with not only antiadhesive and bactericidal properties, but also with cell growth capacity [56].

AMP conjugation to polymers not only preserves their antimicrobial activity, but also reduces their toxicity and provides new functionalities [57]. Sun et al. (2018) last year reviewed the synthetic methodologies that have been used to date to create AMP–polymer conjugates and biomedical applications of these systems [57] and, in the following lines, we present some examples of the research in AMP conjugates not included in Sun review [58,59,61]. Gong et al. (2017) reported that arginine selective PEGylation of arginine rich AMPs reduces inherent AMP toxicity, confers protection against serum proteases, and allows the steady release of the AMP bioactive form [58]. Kelly et al. (2016) showed that bioreversible PEGylation of AMPs could increase the potential of these antimicrobials in cancer therapy [59]. Although PEGylation has been widely used for peptide drug conjugation, e.g., magainin 2, tachyplesin 1, and nisin, among previously PEGylated AMPs [62], the immunogenicity observed in some cases—together with the low number of groups that allows conjugation—has led to the use of alternative polymers such as biocompatible hyperbranched polyglycerol (HPG). HPG is easy to synthesize, presents long blood circulation, and has several hydroxyl groups that can be functionalized [61,62]. Kumar et al. (2015) first reported HPG conjugation to an AMP [62] and more recently studied AMP-HPG conjugates generated with different numbers of aurein 2.2-derived peptides to increase their antimicrobial activity and decrease their toxicity [63]. Abbina et al. [61] recently reviewed advances in synthesis, biocompatibility, and biomedical applications of HPGs. An overview about the most relevant information about the polymers described is presented in Table 3.

3.1.2. Hydrogels

Hydrogels can be defined as water-swollen networks of polymers, formed by cross-linking of hydrophilic polymer chains within an aqueous microenvironment. They present unique properties and can be loaded with different types of molecules for a variety of applications [64,65]. Liskamp et al. (2014) describe one of the first examples with hydrogel networks based on cross-linked PEG diacrylate-based (PEGDA) for AMP vehiculization using thiol–ene photoclick chemistry in a single-step procedure [66]. AMP-hydrogels exhibited great antimicrobial activity against Gram-positive Staphylococcus aureus and Staphylococcus epidermidis and Gram-negative Escherichia coli in vitro. Since then, there are many papers showing several kinds of hydrogels as AMP carriers. Mello et al. (2016) [67] immobilized the Cecropin A over PEGDA hydrogel cores using diverse molecular linkers, containing thiol moieties, between peptide and hydrogel. The –SH functions present in the linker reacted with maleimide groups located in the modified peptide structure. Their results in Escherichia coli bacteria showed that the antibacterial activity of hydrogel-AMP conjugate was dependent on the linker size and the amount of peptide loaded in the hydrogel. Malkoch et al. (2018) [68] investigated how the charge density in Poly(ethyl acrylate-co-methacrylic acid) or poly(ethyl acrylate (EA)/methacrylic acid (MAA)/1,4-butadiol diacrylate (BDDA)) microgels (MAA26.5 and MAA60 microgels) affects the capacity to release the peptides AP114, DPK-060, and LL-37 effective against Pseudomonas aeruginosa and Escherichia coli bacteria, and studied hemolysis, proteolytic stability, and interaction of loaded hydrogel with membranes [68]. Table 3 shows summarize some of the most important properties described for hydrogels as delivery systems for AMPs.
Table 3. Overview of the different polymers and hydrogels as a delivery system for AMPs.

| Peptide/Sequence | Antibacterial Activity | Peptide/Antibacterial Activity | Sequence | Delivery System | Bacterial Strain | Findings |
|------------------|------------------------|--------------------------------|----------|----------------|------------------|----------|
| Esculentin-1     | Gram-negative          |                                | GIFSKLAGKKKNNLISGLKG | PLGA NPs [48] | P. aeruginosa    | Esculentin-1-loaded PLGA NPs displayed prolonged in vitro antimicrobial activity against P. aeruginosa, compared with the free peptide. Conjugated peptides led to an important reduction in the number of Pseudomonas cells in the lung compared with the bacterial clearance employing the corresponding peptides in their soluble free form. |
| K4              | broad-spectrum         |                                | KKKKPLFGLFFGLF | PLGA NPs [49] | S. aureus        | K4 peptide and PLGA-K4 NPs killed ~75% and ~40% of S. aureus and ~30% of P. aeruginosa, respectively. |
| Ultrashort AMP   | Gram-positive          |                                | RBRBR     | Chitosan NPs [50] | S. aureus | RBBR chitosan NPs were active against wild-type and the multidrug-resistant clinical isolated strains of Gram-positive bacteria. TRBBR chitosan NPs presented antibiofilm activity. |
| Nisin            | Gram-positive          |                                | ITSISLCTPGKTMGNCMKTATCHCSIHVSX | API-PUL nanofibers [51] | S. typhimurium, L. monocytogenes, L. mesenteroides | API-PUL nanofibers loaded 20 mg of nisin/mL. Microbial population reduction in apple juice, inactive L. mesenteroides, S. Typhimurium, and L. monocytogenes bacteria, while the nisine alone did not present antibacterial activity. |
| ε-PL             | broad-spectrum         |                                | 21 to 35 ε-lysine residues | PAA/PVA electrospun nanofibers [52] | S. epidermidis | The differences in antibacterial efficiency between ε-PL-functionalized and non-functionalized fibers reached one order of magnitude after 14 days for liquid cultures in contact with growing cultures. |
| Pac-525          | broad-spectrum         |                                | KWRRWVRWI | AMP6PLGAMS@Gln/chitosan/nHAp [53] | S. aureus, E. coli | The inhibitory ratio of the 1-week-elution solution treated with polymer-loaded system was 94.61% and 95.08% against E. coli and S. aureus, respectively. As for the 4-week-elution solution, it was 68.26% and 77.36%, respectively. |
| β-amino acid-based peptidomimetic |                         | (ACHC-β3hVal-β3hLys)3 | Titanium surfaces with chitosan/hyaluronic acid polymer multilayers [54] | S. aureus | Improved prevention (up to 24 days) of biofilm formation on β-peptide-loaded coatings was achieved compared to uncoated substrates and films without the peptide. Release from the coatings took place over a 28-day period, and after 36 days, biofilm viability was reduced about 60% on coatings loaded with β-peptide compared to bare titanium. Minimal toxicity was observed against MC3T3-E1 cells. |
Table 3. Cont.

| Peptide/Antibacterial Activity | Sequence | Delivery System | Bacterial Strain | Findings                                                                                                                                 |
|--------------------------------|----------|-----------------|-----------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Cecropin-melittin              | KWKLFKIGIGAVLKVLTTGLPALISC | Polymer surfaces [55] | E. coli         | DBM-immobilized AMP presented similar antimicrobial activity after 5-day air exposure compared to the first day, while SAM-immobilized AMP had less antimicrobial activity the first day and no observable antimicrobial activity after 5 days. The surface-immobilized peptides killed bacteria by charge interaction and decrease in antibacterial activity is due to the loss of the peptides from the surface, maybe due to SAM decomposition, the DBM surface being more suitable. |
| -                              | ILPWRWPWWPWRR          | Antiadhesive polymer brushes [56] | S. aureus, S. epidermidis, P. aeruginosa | Good antiadhesive and bactericidal properties were observed for coatings composed by PF127 polymer, PF127 modified with AMP, and PF127 modified with RGD in certain ratios, showing good tissue compatibility. |
| LL-37 derivative               | GFKRIVQRIKDFLRNLV      | PEG [58]                  | E. coli         | E. coli IC₅₀ after 1 h was 40 ± 30 for the native peptide, which no longer showed antibacterial activity; 9 ± 5, 8 ± 4, and 8 ± 5 for the PEG conjugated analogue; and 20 ± 10, 20 ± 10, and 50 ± 20 for the methoxy PEG analogue, at 1, 6, and 24 h, respectively. Temporary masking of AMP arginine residues protected it against blood protease degradation and its bioactivity remained after a sustained release, which is beneficial for AMP-based therapies. |
| Aurein 2.2Δ3-cys               | GLFDIVKKVVGALC         | HPG [62]                  | S. aureus, S. epidermidis | Aurein 2.2Δ3-cys antimicrobial activities, expressed as MIC, were 16 µg/mL for both S. aureus and S. epidermidis, while for HPG-aurein 2.2Δ3-cys 2.5% conjugation ratio were 125 and 150 µg/mL, and for 5% conjugation ratio, 110 and 120 µg/mL, for S.aureus and S.epidermidis, respectively. After conjugation, antimicrobial activity decreased, so peptide density should be optimized to have activity without toxicity. |
| Cecropin A (CPA)              | KWKLFKIEKVQINRDGIKAGPAAVVQATQIAK-NH₂ | PEG hydrogel surfaces (PEGSH/PEGDAE formulations) [56] | S. sonnei | CPA-functionalized hydrogels antimicrobial activity against E. coli was tested. The antimicrobial behavior of immobilized CPA depended on location variation in the peptide sequence and relationship between linker type and bactericidal activity. |
| CPA-K* Gram-negative          | KWKLFKIEKVQINRDGIKKAGPAAVVQATQIAK*– | PEG hydrogel surfaces (PEGSH/PEGDAE formulations) [56] | E. coli         |                                                                                                                                                                                                 |
| inverso-CysHHC10 Broad Spectrum | H-KRWWKWRW-NH2         | EGDA/PTMP [67]            | S. aureus, S. epidermidis, E. coli | 6-log reduction of bacteria of the 10 wt% AMP containing coating as compared to the blank hydrogel without AMP. |
Table 3. Cont.

| Peptide/ Antibacterial Activity | Sequence                      | Delivery System | Bacterial Strain | Findings                                                                                                                                 |
|---------------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------|-----------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| AP114 Gram-positive             | GFGCNGPWNEDDL RCHNHKKSIGKYGK GYCAKGGFVCKCY | MAA26.5 and MAA60 microgels [68] | E. coli          | Incorporated peptides can be protected from degradation by infection-related proteases at high microgel charge densities. MIC values revealed that no difference exists for E. coli when treated with AMP hydrogel or AMP alone. While, for P. aeruginosa strains, an improved MIC was observed for the DPK-060-loaded MAA26.5 microgels. For LL-37, a pronounced increase in MIC was observed as a consequence of encapsulation to the peptide into microgel. |
| LL-37 Broad spectrum            | LLGDFFRKSKEKIGKEFKRI VQRIKDFLRNLVPRTES | MAA60 microgels [68] | P. aeruginosa    |                                                                                                                                              |
| DPK-060 Broad spectrum          | HKNKGKNGKHNGKWKKW            |                |                 |                                                                                                                                              |

MIC: Minimum inhibitory concentration; IC_{50}: Half maximal inhibitory concentration.
3.2. Lipid-Based Systems

3.2.1. Liposomes

Liposomes are nanometric hollow spherical artificial vesicles consisting of one or several concentric lipid bilayers and are formed by cholesterol and phospholipids surrounding an aqueous cavity [69–72]. The amphiphilic character of phospholipids allow their self-assembly with the polar head groups oriented to the inner and outer aqueous phases, and the fatty acid hydrophobic tails oriented to the bilayer interior [73].

Bangham et al. first described liposomes in 1965, and Gregoriadis et al. reported their first use as nanovehicles in 1971 [69]. Since then, many cases of liposomes as potential candidates for drug delivery [72,74,75], diagnostics [76,77], and theragnostics [65,72,78–80] have been reported for numerous fields of applications such as antibiotics, anticancer, and gene therapy [71]. Furthermore, some drugs based on liposome formulation have been commercialized or are in clinical trials [72,81–83], among which, some examples are Exparel® (anesthetic), DepoCyt®, DaunoXome®, Myocet®, Caelyx®/Doxyl®, Mepact® and Marqibo® (anti-cancer), DepoDur® (pain relief), and Visudyne® (macular degeneration, myopia, degenerative) [82].

The broad range of liposome applications can be attributed to their biocompatibility, along with their ability to encapsulate both hydrophobic and hydrophilic molecules inside the lipid bilayer or in the aqueous cavity, respectively [71,81,84]. Furthermore, this encapsulation prevents in vivo decomposition of cargo molecules, either via enzymatic or chemical degradation, or via immunological neutralization [81,84], as well as reduces unspecific delivery [71]. Composition and size of liposomes can be tailored to vehiculize a specific molecule, decrease their rate of degradation, control the release, or even increase their affinity for a specific target [69,71]. For all that, encapsulation of AMPs into liposomes is a desirable strategy to prevent the drawbacks associated with the direct application of these AMPs, since cytotoxicity could be decreased and stability and bioactivity enhanced [85]. Thus, the number of publications researching the antimicrobial activity of AMPs encapsulated in liposomes, in fields such as food technology [86–88] and biomedicine [47,85,89], has risen during the last few years (Table 4).

As a recent example, Cantor et al. (2019) [86] carried out structural modification of the AMP Alyteserin-1c and coated liposomes with Eudragit E-100®, a nontoxic cationic polymer approved by the FDA Inactive Ingredients Guide, as a nanovehiculization system for effective alternative antimicrobials applications in food safety. As a result, they observed an increase in antibacterial activity of approximately 2000 times against Listeria monocytogenes and 12.5 times against Escherichia coli, in comparison with the unencapsulated peptide.

In previously reported works, Lopes et al. (2017) [87] and da Silva et al. (2014) [89] encapsulated nisin in phosphatidylcholine liposomes coated with biocompatible polysaccharides such as pectin [87], polygalacturonic acid [87], chitosan [89], or chondroitin sulphate [89] and evaluated their efficiency in inhibiting Listeria innocua 6a, Listeria monocytogenes ATCC 7644, Listeria monocytogenes 4b, Listeria sp. Str1, and Listeria sp. Str2 for food safety applications. In the former study, in vitro release studies for polysaccharide-coated liposomes resulted to be lower when compared with uncoated liposomes [87], evidencing a potential use for food application. In the latter, the formulation containing chitosan was more stable and more efficient for inhibiting Listeria monocytogenes when compared with the chondroitin sulphate-coated liposomes [89]. Also, Pu et al. (2016) [88] reported the antibacterial and anti-biofilm properties of chitosancoated liposomes encapsulating Apep10. These liposomes presented increased stability compared with the uncoated analogues, which is in concordance with da Silva findings [89], and indicates that the surface coating inhibited undesirable aggregation and peptide release during storage. Another example is the work of Ron-Doitch et al. (2016) [85], who prepared LL-37 and indolicidin liposomes coated with PEG and evaluated their activity against herpes simplex virus 1 (HSV-1). They found lower toxicity and enhanced antiviral activity for LL-37 liposomes compared with both the free AMP and indolicidin liposomes. Gomaa et al. (2017) demonstrated that
liposome-encapsulated AMP microcin J25 presented an effective protection against degradation during gastrointestinal digestion when dual coated with the biopolymer pectin and whey proteins [90].

3.2.2. Liquid Crystalline Particles

Liquid crystalline nanoparticles (LCNPs), such as cubosomes and hexosomes, are nanostructured liquid crystalline particles that consist of lipid bilayers that fold to acquire two- and three-dimensional structures with interwoven water channels [91]. They are more thermally stable than other carrier systems used for drug delivery such as liposomes, niosomes, or micro sponges [92]. One of the most commonly used lipids for LCNP manufacture is the biodegradable lipid glyceryl monooleate (GMO), and diverse AMPs have been successfully loaded in cubosome or hexosome form. One of the first examples of cubosome use as an AMP carrier was described by Anderson et al. (2016) [93,94]. In this work, they studied cubic LCNP post-load with AP114, DPK-060, and LL-37 AMPs using different conventional formation routes, and studied the preservation or improvement of the peptides antibacterial effect. Moreover, Boge et al. (2019) explored the cargo of LL-37 into GMO-based cubosomes using three different manners: Pre-loading, post-loading, and hydrotrope-loading (incorporation during cubosome generation) [95]. The results show that the strategy employed to incorporate the AMP determines their structure and leads to variations of peptide concentration into the cubosome. Chorilli et al. (2016) used liquid crystalline systems formed by tea tree oil, polyoxypropylene-(5)-polyoxyethylene-(20)-cetyl alcohol, and polycarbophil polymer dispersions as the aqueous phase to load the AMP p1025. This formulation was proven to be effective in the treatment of dental caries, showing that polymer dispersions favor adhesion onto the teeth [96]. The Table 4 includes the most significant results based on the use a liquid crystalline particles described as carriers of AMP.

3.3. Dendritic Systems

Dendrimers are highly-branched, star-shaped macromolecules with nanometer-scale dimensions. They are obtained from controlled synthetic routes that lead to the formation of monodisperse systems characterized by high structural precision with multiples end-groups, which can be modified to modulate their physicochemical or biological properties. Depending on the topology of the system, could find spherical systems, dendritic wedges, janus, or bow-tie dendrimers and attending to the chemical structure of the skeleton, the most common are polyamidoamine (PAMAM) [97], polypropyleneimine (PPI) [98], poly-1-lysine (PLL) [99], polyglycerol (PG) [100], poly(bencyl ether) [101], carbosilane [102], or phosphorous dendrimers [103]. In spite of the fact that dendrimers have been employed as suitable delivery systems for different kinds of molecules [27,104], only a few examples can be found in bibliography describing them as carriers for AMPs.

Our research group (2019) has recently described different generations of cationic carbosilane wedges functionalized with a maleimide group in the focal point that could attach different AMPs containing a cysteine residue in their structure, and studied covalent and no covalent dendron-AMP systems [105]. In this work, it was shown that there exists a synergy effect in antibacterial activity against Staphylococcus aureus and Escherichia coli when the dendron of second generation is conjugated with an AMP. In addition, the studies carried out demonstrate that dendrons, AMPs, and their covalent conjugates have the capacity to pass through the membrane, causing morphological damage as well as deteriorating the cellular integrity of the membrane, and the mechanism of action probably seems different for the AMP or dendrimer alone and conjugate (Table 5).
| Peptide/  
Antibacterial Activity | Sequence | Delivery System | Bacterial Strain | Findings |
|-------------------------|----------|----------------|-----------------|---------|
| LL-37                   | LLGDFFRSKEKIGEKRIVQR IKDFLRNLYVPTES | Liposomes coated with PEG [85] | *Herpes simplex virus 1* (HIV-1) | Lower cytotoxicity of LL-37 liposomes was found in comparison to indolicidin liposomes. Treatment with LL-37 alone resulted in a narrow therapeutic window, with antiviral activity EC$_{50}$ = 18.7 µM and cytotoxicity CC$_{50}$ = 37.3 µM. However, liposomal LL-37 with EC$_{50}$ = 4.2 µM and CC$_{50}$ = 43.8 µM presented a wider antiviral activity at lower concentrations. |
| Indolicidin              | CILPWKFWPWWPR | | | |
| Alyteserin-1c           | GLKEIFKAGLGSVLGVIAHVAS | Eudragit-coated liposomes [86] | *E. coli* | Increased antibacterial activity was observed after encapsulation and peptide chemical degradation could be prevented. MIC values for Alyteserin-1 (+2 and +5 peptide) were of 15.2 and 62.5 µM, while after coating with Eudragit liposomes, it was reduced to 1.25 and 5 µM, respectively. |
| Nisin                   | ITISLCTPGCTGALMGC NMKTATCHCSIHVS | Pectin or polygalacturonic acid coated liposomes [87,89] | L. monocytogenes | The initial nisin release of coated liposomes was lower and more sustained during the first 30 h compared with that of non-coated, probably due to nisin interaction with the negatively-charged polysaccharides. Among the two coatings assayed, polygalacturonic liposomes maintained a higher antimicrobial activity after 14 days since the activities observed, first day, after 7 and 14 days, respectively were: 400, 400, and 200 AU/mL for non-coated liposomes; 800, 400, and 0 AU/mL for pectin-coated liposomes; 800, 400, and 200 AU/mL for polygalacturonic acid-coated liposomes. |
| Gram-positive           | | | L. innocua | |
| | | | Listeria sp. | |
| Nisin                   | ITISLCTPGCTGALMGC NMKTATCHCSIHVS | Chitosan or chondroitin sulphate coated liposomes [89] | L. monocytogenes | The incorporation of chitosan reduced bilayer thickness giving better-organized and more stable structures, which could be related with the better maintenance of antimicrobial activity observed. Initial antibacterial activity of liposomes was the same as for nisin alone (3200 AU/mL); however, nisin lost its activity after 6 h and bacteria grew back, while at 4 and 6 h, liposomes containing nisin reduced bacteria population to almost zero. |
| | | | L. innocua | |
| | | | Listeria sp. | |
| Apep10                  | GLARCLAGTL | Chitosan coated liposomes [88] | L. monocytogenes | Bacterial-targeted delivery was achieved, since Apep10 was only released from the chitosan-coated liposomes in presence of the LLO secreted by *L. monocytogenes*, a toxin that leads to pore formation in lipidome formulation. Release was regulated by the extent of bacterial contamination at initial stage. |
Table 4. Cont.

| Peptide/ Antibacterial Activity | Sequence | Delivery System | Bacterial Strain | Findings |
|---------------------------------|----------|-----------------|-----------------|----------|
| Microcin J25 Gram-negative      | GGAGHYEYFGIGTIPSFYG | Dual-coated pectin and whey proteins (WPI) liposomes [90] | S. enteritidis | The coating process was optimized to improve the encapsulation efficiency and the protection of microcin against gastrointestinal digestion. Double-coated (pectin/WPI) liposomes showed a significant lower degradation of microcinJ25 than that obtained with single coated or non-coated liposomes after 2 h digestion. This formulation could be suitable for colon-targeted release. |
| AP114 Gram-positive             | GFGCNPGWENDDLRCHNHCKS IGYKGGYCAKGFGVCKCY | Compositions: GMO or GMO/OA [93–95] | S. aureus | The antimicrobial effect of the peptide-loaded cubosomes was preserved (AP114) or sometimes even slightly enhanced (DPK-060) on S. aureus and E. coli. Cubosomes loaded with LL-37 displayed a loss in their broad-spectrum bacterial killing and were found to only have activity against Gram-negative strains. |
| DPK-060 Broad spectrum          | LGDFFRKSKEEIGKEFKRVQ RIKDFLRNLVPRTES | Compositions: GMO (WPI) liposomes [90] | E. coli | |
| p1025 Gram-positive             | c-QLKTADLPAGRDETTSFVLV | Compositions: TTO, PPCA, PP and polycarbophil dispersion [96] | S. mutans | Protective effect by LLC over P1025 peptide. The conjugate preserved the anticaries and bioadhesive properties. |

MIC: Minimum inhibitory concentration; IC_{50}: Half maximal inhibitory concentration.
3.4. Cyclodextrins

Cyclodextrins are cyclic oligosaccharides composed by several dextrose units attached by means of α-1,4-glucosidic bonds [106]. They have a hollow structure, presenting a hydrophobic inner cavity and a hydrophilic surface [107], which confers them the ability to form supramolecular complexes with a variety of molecules, increasing their stability, biocompatibility, and solubility [106–108]. For that reason, cyclodextrins have been used in formulations for the pharmaceutical, cosmetic, and food industries [106,107].

In recent years, the use of cyclodextrin for AMP delivery has been reported by several authors, since hydrophobic residues of AMPs can be inserted in the hydrophobic cavity of cyclodextrins. Teixeira et al. (2016) complexed KR12 AMP with 2-hydroxypropyl-β-cyclodextrin and evaluated its antimicrobial and antiproliferative properties for cancer therapy improvement. They observed that the complex inhibited both bacterial growth and fibroblast proliferation, as well as reduced hemolysis [108]. Li et al. (2017) demonstrated that the formation of an inclusion complex between CM4 AMP and β-cyclodextrin not only increased storage stability and protection against proteinases, but also the antibacterial activity remained the same, having the system a great potential in food industry [106]. Zhang et al. (2018) encapsulated alamethicin into γ-cyclodextrin and observed higher solubility and temperature and pH stability compared to the AMP alone, as well as efficient antimicrobial activity against L. monocytogenes, being the minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) of γ-cyclodextrin/alamethicin molar ratio dependent [107] (Table 5).

3.5. Aptamer Conjugates

Nucleic acid aptamers, also known as chemical antibodies, are RNA or single stranded DNA molecules, composed by 20–80 nucleotides, able to bind specifically and with high affinity to pre-selected target molecules thanks to their unique three-dimensional structure. They are obtained using the Systematic Evolution of Ligands by Exponential Enrichment (SELEX) system. The fact that aptamers are produced without need for animal experimentation, their smaller size compared with antibodies, and their easier modification, are some of the advantages that have made them a promising tool in the biomedical field, mainly for biosensing or for targeting molecules for therapy, imaging, and drug delivery [109,110].

In recent years, it has been reported the use of AuNPs conjugated with DNA aptamers for intracellular delivery of AMPs into mammalian cells and the evaluation of their suitability as potential treatment of intracellular bacterial infections in mammals [111,112]. Yeom et al. (2016) conjugated AuNPs with histidine-tagged DNA aptamer and further decorated them with C-terminally hexahistidine-tagged A3-APO. Their application to HeLa cells infected with Salmonella Typhimurium eliminated intracellular bacteria, increasing cell viability. Moreover, in vivo experiments performed in mice resulted in the inhibition of bacterial colonization of mice organs and 100% animal survival [111]. Lee et al. (2017) obtained similar successful results against Vibrio vulnificus infection with HPA3P AMP loaded on AuNPs conjugated to a DNA aptamer [112]. All these results are collected in Table 5.
Table 5. Overview of dendrimers, cyclodextrins, and aptamers as delivery systems for AMPs.

| Peptide       | Sequence                        | Delivery System                                      | Bacterial Strain          | Findings                                                                                                                                 |
|---------------|---------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| AMP3          | H-CRKWVWWWRNR                   | MalG$_2$(CH$_3$)$_2$N$^+$(Me$_2$H$^+$)$_4$$_2$Cl$^-$  | S. aureus                 | Synergy studies showed an additive effect between carbosilane dendron and AMP3. Dendrons, AMP3, and their covalent conjugates can permeabilize bacterial membrane, causing significant morphological alterations and cellular integrity damages. |
|               |                                 |                                                      | E. coli                   |                                                                                                                                           |
| KR12          | KRIVQRIKDFLR                     | 2-hydroxypropyl-β-cyclodextrin                        | S. mutans                 | Antibacterial activity of the inclusion complex was enhanced, since MIC values were 7.8, 15.6, and 3.9 lg/mL for S. mutans, P. gingivalis, and A. actinomyctetemcomitans, respectively. For KR12, all strains had an MIC of 7.8 lg/mL. Regarding toxicity, the complex had a lower hemolytic effect than KR12 alone, being, respectively, 48% and 73% for the maximum concentration assayed, as well as 10% and 30–40% at the lowest concentration. KR12 alone presented higher toxicity for fibroblasts (138% vs 104% LDH release), meaning that the cyclodextrin had a protective effect. |
| CM4           | GRWKIFKKIEKVQG                   | β-cyclodextrin                                       | E. coli                   | In vitro antimicrobial activity results for the complex were similar to those for CM4. In vivo studies against P. aeruginosa performed in mice showed that the mice treated with the complex had more viability (60%) than those treated with CM4 (20%) 12 h prior to P. aeruginosa infection, and after infection, both complex and CM4 alone protected from lung injury, with complex showing higher protection efficiency by abdominal treatment. |
|               | NIRDGIVKAGPAW                   |                                                      | P. aeruginosa             |                                                                                                                                               |
|               | AVVQAATT                        |                                                      | A. actinomyctetemcomitans |                                                                                                                                               |
|               | [106]                           |                                                      | [106]                     |                                                                                                                                               |
| Alamethicin   | XPXAXAXQXXLGPXXEQF               | γ-cyclodextrin                                       | L. monocytogenes          | While alamethicin was not able to inhibit bacterial growth in aqueous medium, the complex exhibited significant antimicrobial activity, which is dependent on γ-cyclodextrin/alamethicin molar ratio. The best antimicrobial activity was found for the γ-cyclodextrin/alamethicin 5:1 mole ratio with a MIC value of 2.1875 mg/mL (4.1563 mg/mL for 10:1 complex). |
| A3-APOHis     | RPDKPRPYLPRRPPRPVRHHHHHH        | AuNPs conjugated with DNA aptamer                    | S. Typhimurium            | Conjugates enhanced the bactericidal activity of A3-APOHis against intracellular bacteria by efficiently delivering it through the plasma membranes of mammalian cells and producing disruption of bacterial membrane. 100% survival of infected mice treated with the complex was observed, being the viable S. Typhimurium cells in organs ~93–98% reduced compared with those of mice treated with buffer, A3-APOHis, AuNP-AptHis, or another peptide conjugate assayed. |
| HPA3PHis      | AKVKFKRLPKFSSKWI                | AuNPs conjugated with DNA aptamer                    | V. vulnificus             | Intravenous injection of the complex led to a complete inhibition of V. vulnificus colonization in V. vulnificus-infected mice by bacterial membrane disruption, leading to 100% survival rate among the treated mice, whereas all infected mice injected with buffer, AuNP-AptHis, or HPA3PHis died before 42 h after infection. |
|               | NWKHHHHHHH                      |                                                      |                           |                                                                                                                                           |
|               | [107]                           |                                                      | [111]                     |                                                                                                                                               |
|               | [112]                           |                                                      |                           |                                                                                                                                               |

**MIC:** Minimum inhibitory concentration.
4. AMPs as Vehicles

Although we have focused so far in the delivery systems for AMPs, it has been reported that AMPs can also act as delivery vehicles [113,114] for bioactive compounds [115] or liposomes [116,117].

Hu et al. (2019) studied the use of indolicidin as a vehiculization system for oligodeoxynucleotides (ODNs). They created indolicidin dimers (LIC and CIL) in order to increase AMP charge density, which were evaluated as vehicles of ODNs against tumor necrosis factor α (TNF-α). CIL showed good vehiculization capacity, silencing TNF-α expression for more than 14 h, a result that makes CIL complexes a promising tool for oligonucleotides delivery with application in gene silencing [115]. In addition, in previous years, a couple of works using AMPs as vehicles for targeted drug delivery systems were published [116,117]. Mizukami et al. (2017) developed versatile stimuli responsive controlled release systems based on the combination of modified temporin L (TL) with surface-anionic liposomes. TL modifications consisted of: (i) The substitution of a Lys residue in TL for the protease-triggered system, or (ii) the substitution of a neutral amino acid for an anionic phosphorylated amino acid in the TL lipophilic region for the phosphatase-triggered system [116]. Zhang et al. (2016) reported a vehiculization system for tumor delivery based on liposomes surface functionalization with the pH responsive AMP [D]-H6L9 [117].

The design of hybrid peptides in which an AMP able to permeabilize membranes is combined with another AMP able to act intracellularly after translocating across the membrane, can be a breakthrough in the improvement of antibacterial activity of current AMPs. The study of the order or the influence of linkers between the two peptides are key factors to be investigated during the development of hybrid AMPs [118]. Wade et al. (2019) recently developed and evaluated a library of sixteen hybrid peptides, giving rise to interesting and promising results about the dominating involved mechanism and the order to reach an improved antibacterial activity of hybrid peptides, as well as highlighting the fact that the use of AMP cocktails could also have great potential for improving AMP efficacy [118].

More complex peptidic systems are the so-called antimicrobial peptide dendrimers (AMPDs), based on branched polymers bearing several peptides covalently attached to a core [119,120]. These multivalent peptide mimetics have gained attention over the last few years due to their increased antibacterial activity, high resistance to degradation, probably due to a major steric hindrance, and the higher concentration of bioactive units per dendrimer molecule [119–121]. Scorcipiano et al. published a nice complete review in which several examples of AMPDs reported until 2017 are gathered [122]. More recent examples of the effective use of AMPDs as antibacterial agents are presented in the following lines. Siriwardena et al. (2019) developed dendrimeric peptides by the combination of elements from different AMPDs. The combination of G2 dendrimer TNS18 peripheral branches with the inner branches and core of G3 dendrimer T7 gave the novel AMPD DC5, whose activity is the combination of those of the parent AMPDs. Thus, this strategy was demonstrated to have great potential in the development of improved AMPDs for fighting against multidrug resistance [123]. Grassi et al. (2019) evaluated in vitro the antibiofilm efficacy of the semisynthetic peptide lin-SB056-1 in comparison with its dendrimeric derivative (lin-SB056-1)-2-K, demonstrating for the latter increased biofilm inhibition and lower cytotoxicity [124]. In a near future, the combination of AMPDs with any of the nanovehicles previously reported in this review could make even more effective and promising the use of these multimeric structures for beating multidrug resistant infections.

5. Conclusions

AMPs, also known as HDPs, have high potential as new therapeutic agents whose ability to kill bacteria depends on how they interact with bacterial membranes or cell walls. Different nanosystems, among which inorganic nanoparticles, synthetic polymers systems, liposomes, dendrimers, cyclodextrins, and aptamers are included, have been employed as carriers for AMPs with the aim to make feasible the use of these new antimicrobial agents for food safety or biomedicine. The way nanovehicles are coupled to the AMP varies from covalent attachment in the case of nanoparticles, polymers, or surfaces, to supramolecular complexation in the case of cyclodextrins—or
even encapsulation, as it happens in many liposome formulations. It is important to highlight that the combination of AMPs with these nanovehicles allows not only to protect AMPs from degradation, improve their solubility, decrease their cytotoxicity, and even broaden their antibacterial activity compared with the AMP alone, but may also allow their delivery to a desired target.

In the near future, the trend in the design of more effective AMPs seems to be directed to the development of hybrid AMPs in which a membrane permeabilizing peptide is combined with another peptide able to act intracellularly. Also, multivalent AMPs or AMPDs have been demonstrated to possess improved properties compared with their monovalent counterparts, so their further vehiculization using any of the nanocarriers currently available could better their stability, biocompatibility, and efficacy as antimicrobials.

In conclusion, AMP vehiculization seems to be on the way to becoming the strategy to be used for making transferable to clinical practice the administration of these new antibacterial agents, which would be an alternative to the prescription of conventional antibiotics and could avoid the problems related with antibiotic resistance, either for healthcare costs or for patient quality of life.

Thus, nanotechnology may be a very effective tool in the near future to move AMPs from being a promising alternative in the laboratory to a reality in clinical practice, where the need to find new compounds with antibiotic capacity is already a health problem of concern in most developed countries, where processes of resistance to traditional antibiotics are becoming more frequent. To this end, it will be necessary to design new nanosystems or improve the existing ones in order to convey—in a precise and targeted way—the AMPs to their site of action, at the same time as deepening the study of the mechanism of these nanoconjugates in their antibacterial activity.

**Funding:** This work was supported by CTQ2017-86224-P (MINECO), Consortium IMMUNOTHERCAN-CM B2017/BMD-3733 (CAM), NANODENMDII-CM ref B2017/BMD-3703, and projects SBPLY/17/000358 JCCM and CCGP2017-Exp/023(UAH). CIBER-BBN is an initiative funded by the VI National R&D&i Plan 2008–2011, Iniciativa Ingenio 2010, Consolidador Program, CIBER Actions and financed by the Instituto de Salud Carlos III with assistance from the European Regional Development Fund.

**Conflicts of Interest:** The authors declare no conflict of interest.

**References**

1. Florescu, S.A.; Calistru, P.I.; Smadu, S.; Codreanu, D.; Popescu, A.M.; Popescu, C.P.; Ceausu, E. Mortality causes in infectious diseases. *Rom. J. Leg. Med.* **2017**, *25*, 20. [CrossRef]
2. Durand, G.A.; Raoult, D.; Dubourg, G. Antibiotic discovery: History, methods and perspectives. *J. Antimicrob. Agents* **2019**, *53*, 371. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
3. Gajdacs, M. The concept of an ideal antibiotic: Implications for drug design. *Molecules* **2019**, *24*, 892. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
4. Gandra, S.; Trett, A.; Alvarez-Uria, G.; Solomkin, J.S.; Laxminarayan, R. Is the efficacy of antibiotic prophylaxis for surgical procedures decreasing? Systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized control trials. *Infect. Control. Hosp. Epidemiol.* **2019**, *40*, 133. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
5. Anesi, J.A.; Blumberg, E.A.; Abbo, L.M. Perioperative antibiotic prophylaxis to prevent surgical site infections in solid organ transplantation. *Transplantation* **2018**, *102*, 21. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
6. Ghosh, C.; Sarkar, P.; Issa, R.; Haldar, J. Alternatives to conventional antibiotics in the era of antimicrobial resistance. *Trends Microbiol.* **2019**, *27*, 323. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
7. Monserrat-Martinez, A.; Gambin, Y.; Sieriecki, E. Thinking outside the bug: Molecular targets and strategies to overcome antibiotic resistance. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* **2019**, *20*, 1255. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
8. Kokel, A.; Torok, M. Recent advances in the development of antimicrobial peptides (AMPs): Attempts for sustainable medicine? *Curr. Med. Chem.* **2018**, *25*, 2503. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
9. Nuti, R.; Goud, N.S.; Saraswati, A.P.; Alvala, R.; Alvala, M. Antimicrobial peptides: A promising therapeutic strategy in tackling antimicrobial resistance. *Curr. Med. Chem.* **2017**, *24*, 4303. [CrossRef]
10. Kumar, P.; Kizhakkedathu, J.N.; Straus, S.K. Antimicrobial peptides: Diversity, mechanism of action and strategies to improve the activity and biocompatibility in vivo. *Biomolecules* **2018**, *8*, 4. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
35. Casciaro, B.; Moros, M.; Rivera-Fernández, S.; Bellelli, A.; de la Fuente, J.M.; Mangoni, M.L. Gold-nanoparticles coated with the antimicrobial peptide Esculentin-1a(1-21)NH₂ as a reliable strategy for antipseudomonal drugs. Acta Biomater. 2017, 47, 170. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

36. Feng, Z.V.; Gunsolus, I.L.; Qiu, T.A.; Hurley, K.R.; Nyberg, L.H.; Frew, H.; Johnson, K.P.; Vartanian, A.M.; Jacob, L.M.; Lohse, S.E.; et al. Impacts of gold nanoparticle charge and ligand type on surface binding and toxicity to Gram-negative and Gram-positive bacteria. Chem. Sci. 2015, 6, 5186. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

37. Li, X.; Robinson, S.M.; Gupta, A.; Saha, K.; Jiang, Z.; Moyano, D.F.; Sahar, A.; Riley, M.A.; Rotello, V.M. Functional gold nanoparticles as potent antimicrobial agents against multi-drug-resistant bacteria. ACS Nano 2014, 8, 10682. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

38. Pal, I.; Brahmkhatri, V.P.; Bera, S.; Bhattacharyya, D.; Quirishi, Y.; Bhunia, A.; Attreya, H.S. Enhanced stability and activity of an antimicrobial peptide in conjugation with silver nanoparticle. J. Colloid Interface Sci. 2016, 483, 385. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

39. Liu, L.; Yang, J.; Xie, J.; Luo, Z.; Jiang, J.; Yang, Y.Y.; Liu, S. The potent antimicrobial properties of cell penetrating peptide-conjugated silver nanoparticles with excellent selectivity for Gram-positive bacteria over erythrocytes. Nanoscale 2013, 5, 3834. [CrossRef]

40. Pal, I.; Bhattacharyya, D.; Kar, R.K.; Zareena, D.; Bhunia, A.; Attreya, H.S. A peptide-nanoparticle system with improved efficacy against multidrug resistant bacteria. Sci. Rep. 2019, 9, 4485. [CrossRef]

41. Alberto, B.; Johan, H.; Kostas, K.; Maurizio, P.; Charalambos, D.P. Carbon nanotubes: On the road to deliver. Curr. Drug Deliv. 2005, 2, 253.

42. Sur, A.; Pradhan, B.; Banerjee, A.; Aich, P. Immune activation efficacy of Indolicidin is enhanced upon conjugation with carbon nanotubes and gold nanoparticles. PLoS ONE 2015, 10, 15. [CrossRef]

43. Chaudhari, A.A.; Joshi, S.; Vig, K.; Sahu, R.; Dixit, S.; Baganiazi, R.; Dennis, V.A.; Singh, S.R.; Pillai, S. A three-dimensional human skin model to evaluate the inhibition of Staphylococcus aureus by antimicrobial peptide-functionalized silver carbon nanotubes. J. Biomater. Appl. 2019, 33, 924. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

44. Timofeeva, A.V.; Ksenofontov, A.L.; Koksharova, O.A. Removal of antimicrobial peptides from aqueous solutions using carbon nanotubes. Nanotechnol. Russ. 2018, 13, 443. [CrossRef]

45. Tkachev, A.G.; Melezhik, A.V.; Dyachkova, T.P.; Blokhin, A.N.; Burakova, E.A.; Pasko, T.V. Carbon nanomaterials of “Taunit” series: Production and application. Izv. Vyssh. Uchebn. Zaved. Khim. Khim. T. 2013, 56, 55.

46. Nordström, R.; Malmsten, M. Delivery systems for antimicrobial peptides. Adv. Colloid Interface Sci. 2017, 242, 17. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

47. Faya, M.; Kalhapure, R.S.; Kumalo, H.M.; Waddad, A.Y.; Omolo, C.; Govender, T. Conjugates and nano-delivery of antimicrobial peptides for enhancing therapeutic activity. J. Drug Deliv. Sci. Technol. 2018, 44, 153. [CrossRef]

48. Casciaro, B.; d’Angelo, I.; Zhang, X.; Loﬀredo, M.R.; Conte, G.; Cappiello, F.; Quaglia, F.; Di, Y.P.P.; Ungaro, F.; Mangoni, M.L. Poly(lactide-co-glycolide) nanoparticles for prolonged therapeutic eﬃcacy of Esculentin-1a-derived antimicrobial peptides against Pseudomonas aeruginosa lung infection: In vitro and in vivo studies. Biomacromolecules 2019, 20, 1876. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

49. Vijayan, A.; James, P.P.; Nanditha, C.K.; Kumar, G.S.V. Multiple cargo deliveries of growth factors and antimicrobial peptide using biodegradable nanopolymer as a potential wound healing system. Int. J. Nanomed. 2019, 14, 2253–2263. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

50. Almaaytah, A.; Mohammed, G.K.; Abualhaija, A.; Al-Balas, Q. Development of novel ultrashort antimicrobial peptide nanoparticles with potent antimicrobial and antibiofilm activities against multidrug-resistant bacteria. Drug Des. Devel. Ther. 2017, 11, 3159. [CrossRef]

51. Soto, K.M.; Hernández-Irurriaga, M.; Loarca-Piña, G.; Luna-Bárcenas, G.; Mendoza, S. Antimicrobial effect of Nisin electrospun amaranth: Pullulan nanofibers in apple juice and fresh cheese. Int. J. Food Microbiol. 2019, 295, 25. [CrossRef]

52. Amariei, G.; Kokol, V.; Vivod, V.; Boltes, K.; Letón, P.; Rosal, R. Biocompatible antimicrobial electrospun nanofibers functionalized with ε-poly-l-lysine. Int. J. Pharm. 2018, 553, 141. [CrossRef]

53. He, Y.; Jin, Y.; Wang, X.; Yao, S.; Li, Y.; Wu, Q.; Ma, G.; Cui, F.; Liu, H. An antimicrobial peptide-loaded gelatin/chitosan nanofibrous membrane fabricated by sequential layer-by-layer electrosprinning and electrospaying techniques. Nanomaterials 2018, 8, 327. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
54. Rodríguez López, A.D.L.; Lee, M.R.; Ortiz, B.J.; Gastfriend, B.D.; Whitehead, R.; Lynn, D.M.; Palecek, S.P. Preventing S. aureus biofilm formation on titanium surfaces by the release of antimicrobial β-peptides from polyelectrolyte multilayers. Acta Biomater. 2019, 93, 50. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

55. Xiao, M.; Jasensky, J.; Gerszberg, J.; Chen, J.; Tian, J.; Lin, T.; Lu, T.; Lahann, J.; Chen, Z. Chemically immobilized antimicrobial peptide on polymer and self-assembled monolayer substrates. Langmuir 2018, 34, 12889. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

56. Muszanska, A.K.; Rochford, E.T.J.; Gruszka, A.; Bastian, A.A.; Busscher, H.J.; Norde, W.; van der Mei, H.C.; Herrmann, A. Antiadhesive polymer brush coating functionalized with antimicrobial and RGD peptides to reduce biofilm formation and enhance tissue integration. Biomacromolecules 2014, 15, 2019. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

57. Sun, H.; Hong, Y.; Xi, Y.; Zou, Y.; Gao, J.; Du, J. Synthesis, self-assembly, and biomedical applications of antimicrobial peptide-polymer conjugates. Biomacromolecules 2018, 19, 1701. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

58. Gong, Y.; Andina, D.; Nahar, S.; Leroux, J.C.; Gauthier, M.A. Releasable and traceless PEGylation of arginine-rich antimicrobial peptides. Chem. Sci. 2017, 8, 4082. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

59. Kelly, G.J.; Kia, A.F.A.; Hassan, F.; O’Grady, S.; Morgan, M.P.; Creaven, B.S.; McLean, S.; Harmey, J.H.; Devocelle, M. Polymeric prodrug combination to exploit the therapeutically potential of antimicrobial peptides against cancer cells. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2016, 14, 9278. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

60. Golda, A.; Kosikowska-Adamus, P.; Babyak, O.; Lech, M.; Wysocka, M.; Lesner, A.; Potempa, J.; Koziel, J. Conjugate of Enkephalin and Temporin peptides as a novel therapeutic agent for sepsis. Bioconjugate Chem. 2018, 29, 4127. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

61. Abbina, S.; Vappala, S.; Kumar, P.; Siren, E.M.J.; La, C.C.; Abbasi, U.; Brooks, D.E.; Kizhakkedathu, J.N. Hyperbranched polyglycerols: Recent advances in synthesis, biocompatibility and biomedical applications. J. Mater. Chem. B 2017, 5, 9249. [CrossRef]

62. Kumar, P.; Shenoi, R.A.; Lai, B.F.L.; Nguyen, M.; Kizhakkedathu, J.N.; Straus, S.K. Conjugation of Aurein 2.2 to HPG yields an antimicrobial with better properties. Biomacromolecules 2015, 16, 913. [CrossRef]

63. Kumar, P.; Takayesu, A.; Abbasi, U.; Kalathottukaren, M.T.; Abbina, S.; Kizhakkedathu, J.N.; Straus, S.K. Antimicrobial peptide–polymer conjugates with high activity: Influence of polymer molecular weight and peptide sequence on antimicrobial activity, proteolysis, and biocompatibility. ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces 2017, 9, 37575. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

64. Narayanawamy, R.; Torchilin, V.P. Hydrogels and their applications in targeted drug delivery. Molecules 2019, 24, E603. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

65. Hu, W.K.; Wang, Z.J.; Xiao, Y.; Zhang, S.M.; Wang, J.L. Advances in crosslinking strategies of biomedical hydrogels. Biomater. Sci. 2017, 5, 843. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

66. Cleophes, R.T.C.; Riol, M.; van Ufford, H.C.Q.; Zaat, S.A.J.; Kruijtzer, J.A.W.; Liskamp, R.M.J. Convenient preparation of bactericidal hydrogels by covalent attachment of stabilized antimicrobial peptides using thiol-ene click chemistry. ACS Macro. Lett. 2014, 3, 477. [CrossRef]

67. Cole, M.A.; Scott, T.F.; Mello, C.M. Bactericidal hydrogels via surface functionalization with Cecropin A. ACS Biomater. Sci. Eng. 2016, 2, 1894. [CrossRef]

68. Nordström, R.; Nyström, L.; Andrén, O.C.J.; Malkoch, M.; Umerska, A.; Davoudi, M.; Schmidtchen, A.; Malmsten, M. Membrane interactions of microgels as carriers of antimicrobial peptides. J. Colloid Interface Sci. 2019, 513, 141. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

69. Mu, L.M.; Ju, R.J.; Liu, R.; Bu, Y.Z.; Zhang, J.Y.; Li, X.Q.; Zeng, F.; Lu, W.L. Dual-functional drug liposomes in treatment of resistant cancers. Adv. Drug Deliv. Rev. 2017, 115, 46. [CrossRef]

70. Rioboo, A.; Gallego, I.; Montenegro, J. Peptídos penetrantes celulares: Descripción, mecanismo y aplicaciones. An. Quim. 2019, 115, 9.

71. Raimondo, S.; Giavaresi, G.; Lorico, A.; Alessandro, R. Extracellular vesicles as biological shuttles for targeted therapies. Int. J. Mol. Sci. 2019, 20, 1848. [CrossRef]

72. Bozzuto, G.; Molinari, A. Liposomes as nanomedical devices. Int. J. Nanomed. 2015, 10, 975. [CrossRef]

73. Akbarzadeh, A.; Rezaei-Sadabady, R.; Davaran, S.; Joo, S.W.; Zarghami, N.; Hanifehpour, Y.; Samiei, M.; Kouhi, M.; Nejati-Koshki, K. Liposome: Classification, preparation, and applications. Eur. J. Pharm. Biopharm. 2019, 140, 20. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
75. Wang, Z.; Ling, L.; Du, Y.; Yao, C.; Li, X. Reduction responsive liposomes based on paclitaxel-ss-lysoospholipid with high drug loading for intracellular delivery. *Int. J. Pharm.* **2019**, *564*, 244. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

76. Teleaun, D.M.; Chircov, C.; Grumezescu, A.M.; Volceanov, A.; Teleaun, R.I. Contrast agents delivery: An up-to-date review of nanodiagnostics in neuroimaging. *Nanomaterials* **2019**, *9*, 542. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

77. Narita, Y.; Shimizu, K.; Ikemoto, K.; Uchino, R.; Kosugi, M.; Maess, M.B.; Magata, Y.; Oku, N.; Ogawa, M. Macrophage-targeted, enzyme-triggered fluorescence switch-on system for detection of embolism-vulnerable atherosclerotic plaques. *J. Control. Release* **2019**, *302*, 105. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

78. Sadeghi Mohammadi, S.; Vaezi, Z.; Shojaedin-Givi, B.; Naderi-Manesh, H. Chemiluminescent liposomes as a theranostic carrier for detection of tumor cells under oxidative stress. *Anal. Chim. Acta* **2019**, *1059*, 113. [CrossRef]

79. Yari, H.; Nkepang, G.; Awasthi, V. Surface modification of liposomes by a lipopolymer targeting prostate specific membrane antigen for theranostic delivery in prostate cancer. *Materials* **2019**, *12*, 756. [CrossRef]

80. Chen, C.; Gao, K.; Lian, H.; Chen, C.; Yan, X. Single-particle characterization of theranostic liposomes with stimulus sensing and controlled drug release properties. *Biosens. Bioelectron.* **2019**, *131*, 185. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

81. Grimaldi, N.; Andrade, F.; Segovia, N.; Ferrer-Tasies, L.; Sala, S.; Veciana, J.; Ventosa, N. Lipid-based nanovesicles for nanomedicine. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2016**, *45*, 6520. [CrossRef]

82. Flühmann, B.; Ntai, I.; Borchard, G.; Simoens, S.; Mühlebach, S. Nanomedicines: The magic bullets reaching their target? *Eur. J. Pharm.* **2019**, *128*, 73. [CrossRef]

83. Lamichhane, N.; Udayakumar, T.S.; D’Souza, W.D.; Simone II, C.B.; Raghavan, S.R.; Polf, J.; Mahmood, J. Liposomes: Clinical applications and potential for image-guided drug delivery. *Molecules* **2018**, *23*, 288. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

84. Garcia-Pinel, B.; Porras-Alcalá, C.; Ortega-Rodriguez, A.; Sarabia, F.; Prados, J.; Melguizo, C.; López-Romero, J.M. Lipid-based nanoparticles: Application and recent advances in cancer treatment. *Nanomaterials* **2019**, *9*, 638. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

85. Ron-Doitch, S.; Sawodny, B.; Kühbacher, A.; David, M.M.N.; Samanta, A.; Phopase, J.; Burger-Kentischer, A.; Griffith, M.; Golomb, G.; Rupp, S. Reduced cytotoxicity and enhanced bioactivity of cationic antimicrobial peptides liposomes in cell cultures and 3D epidermis model against HSV. *J. Control. Release* **2016**, *229*, 163. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

86. Cantor, S.; Vargas, L.; Rojas, A.; Oscar, E.; Yarce, C.J.; Salamanca, C.H.; Oñate-Garzón, J. Evaluation of the antimicrobial activity of cationic peptides loaded in surface-modified nanoliposomes against foodborne bacteria. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* **2019**, *20*, 680. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

87. Lopes, N.A.; Pinilla, C.M.B.; Brandelli, A. Pectin and polygalacturonic acid-coated liposomes as novel delivery system for Nisin: Preparation, characterization and release behavior. *Food Hydrocoll.* **2017**, *70*, 1. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

88. Pu, C.; Tang, W. A chitosan-coated liposome encapsulating antibacterial peptide, Apep10: Characterisation, triggered-release effects and antilisterial activity in thaw water of frozen chicken. *Food Funct.* **2016**, *7*, 4310. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

89. da Silva, J.M.; Boelter, J.F.; da Silveira, N.P.; Brandelli, A. Phosphatidylcholine nanovesicles coated with chitosan or chondroitin sulfate as novel devices for bacteriocin delivery. *J. Nanopart. Res.* **2014**, *16*, 2479. [CrossRef]

90. Gomaa, A.I.; Martinent, C.; Hamman, R.; Fliss, I.; Subirade, M. Dual coating of liposomes as encapsulating matrix of antimicrobial peptides: Development and characterization. *Front. Chem.* **2017**, *5*, 103. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

91. Gozdz, W.T. Cubosome topologies at various particle sizes and crystallographic symmetries. *Langmuir* **2015**, *31*, 13321. [CrossRef]

92. Karami, Z.; Hamidi, M. Cubosomes: Remarkable drug delivery potential. *Drug Discov. Today* **2016**, *21*, 789. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

93. Boge, L.; Byssell, H.; Ringstad, L.; Wennman, D.; Umerska, A.; Cassisa, V.; Eriksson, J.; Joly-Guillou, M.L.; Edwards, K.; Andersson, M. Lipid-based liquid crystals as carriers for antimicrobial peptides: Phase behavior and antimicrobial effect. *Langmuir* **2016**, *32*, 4217. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
95. Boge, L.; Hallstensson, K.; Ringstad, L.; Johansson, J.; Andersson, T.; Davoudi, M.; Larsson, P.T.; Mahlapuu, M.; Håkansson, J.; Andersson, M. Cubosomes for topical delivery of the antimicrobial peptide LL-37. *Eur. J. Pharm. Biopharm.* 2019, 134, 60. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

96. Calixto, G.M.F.; Garcia, M.H.; Cilli, E.M.; Chiavacci, L.A.; Chorilli, M. Design and characterization of a novel p1025 peptide-loaded liquid crystalline system for the treatment of dental caries. *Molecules* 2016, 21, 158. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

97. Abedi-Gaballu, F.; Dehghan, G.; Ghaffari, M.; Yekta, R.; Abbaspour-Ravasjani, S.; Baradaran, B.; Dolatabadi, J.E.N.; Hamblin, M.R. PAMAM dendrimers as efficient drug and gene delivery nanosystems for cancer therapy. *Appl. Mater. Today* 2018, 12, 177. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

98. Kaur, A.; Jain, K.; Mehra, N.K.; Jain, N.K. Development and characterization of surface engineered PPI dendrimers for targeted drug delivery. *Artif. Cells Nanomed. Biotechnol.* 2017, 45, 414. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

99. Boyd, B.J.; Kaminskas, L.M.; Karellas, P.; Krippner, G.; Lessene, R.; Porter, C.J.H. Cationic poly-L-lysine dendrimers: Pharmacokinetics, biodistribution and evidence for metabolism and bioresorption after intravenous administration to rats. *Mol. Pharm.* 2006, 3, 614. [CrossRef]

100. Yang, S.K.; Zimmerman, S.C. Water-soluble polyglycerol dendrimers with two orthogonally reactive core functional groups for one-pot functionalization. *Macromolecules* 2015, 48, 2504. [CrossRef]

101. Lee, J.W.; Lee, U.Y.; Han, S.C.; Kim, J.H.; Jin, S.H. Synthesis of poly(benzyl ether) dendrimers by click chemistry. *Polymer-Korea* 2009, 33, 67.

102. Jimenez, J.L.; Gomez, R.; Briz, V.; Madrid, R.; Bryszewsk, M.; de la Mata, F.J.; Munoz-Fernandez, M.A. Carbosilane dendrimers as carriers of siRNA. *J. Drug Deliv. Sci. Technol.* 2012, 22, 75. [CrossRef]

103. Lee, B.; Park, J.; Ryu, M.; Kim, S.; Yeom, J.H.; Kim, S.; Park, Y.; Lee, K.; Dolatabadi, J.E.N.; Hamblin, M.R. PAMAM dendrimers as efficient drug and gene delivery nanosystems for cancer therapy. *Appl. Mater. Today* 2018, 12, 177. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

104. Fernandez, J.; Acosta, G.; Pulido, D.; Maly, M.; Copa-Patino, J.L.; Soliveri, J.; Royo, M.; Gomez, R.; Albericio, F.; Ortega, P.; et al. Carbosilane dendron-peptide nanoconjugates as antimicrobial agents. *Mol. Pharm.* 2019, 16, 2661. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

105. Li, J.F.; Zhang, J.X.; Wang, Z.G.; Yao, Y.J.; Han, X.; Zhao, Y.L.; Liu, J.P.; Zhang, S.Q. Identification of a cyclodextrin inclusion complex of antimicrobial peptide CM4 and its antimicrobial activity. *Food Chem.* 2017, 221, 296. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

106. Zhang, M.K.; Lyu, Y.; Zhu, X.; Wang, J.P.; Jin, Z.Y.; Narsimhan, G. Enhanced solubility and antimicrobial activity of Almameticin in aqueous solution by complexation with γ-cyclodextrin. *J. Funct. Foods* 2018, 40, 700. [CrossRef]

107. Teixeira, K.I.R.; Cortés, M.E.; Santos, R.A.S.; Oliveira, F.D.; Sinisterra, R.D. KR12 peptide associated with cyclodextrin: Antimicrobial and antitumor activities. *Biointerphases* 2016, 11, 04B307. [CrossRef]

108. Ding, F.; Gao, Y.; He, X. Recent progresses in biomedical applications of aptamer-functionalized systems. *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* 2017, 27, 4256. [CrossRef]

109. Poolsup, S.; Kim, C.Y. Therapeutic applications of synthetic nucleic acid aptamers. *Curr. Opin. Biotechnol.* 2017, 48, 180. [CrossRef]

110. Yeom, J.H.; Lee, B.; Kim, D.; Lee, J.K.; Kim, S.; Bae, J.; Park, Y.; Lee, K. Gold nanoparticle-DNA aptamer conjugate-assisted delivery of antimicrobial peptide effectively eliminates intracellular Salmonella enterica serovar typhimurium. *Biomaterials* 2016, 104, 43. [CrossRef]

111. Lee, B.; Park, J.; Ryu, M.; Kim, S.; Joo, M.; Yeom, J.H.; Kim, S.; Park, Y.; Lee, K.; Bae, J. Antimicrobial peptide-loaded gold nanoparticle-DNA aptamer conjugates as highly effective antibacterial therapeutics against Vibrio vulnificus. *Sci. Rep.* 2017, 7, 13572. [CrossRef]

112. Reinhardt, A.; Neundorf, I. Design and application of antimicrobial peptide conjugates. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 2016, 17, 701. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

113. Biswaro, I.S.; da Costa Sousa, M.G.; Rezende, T.M.B.; Dias, S.C.; Franco, O.L. Antimicrobial peptides and nanotechnology, recent advances and challenges. *Front. Microbiol.* 2018, 9, 855. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

114. Hu, W.W.; Huang, S.C.; Jin, S.L.C. A novel antimicrobial peptide-derived vehicle for oligodeoxynucleotide delivery to inhibit TNF-α expression. *Int. J. Pharm.* 2019, 558, 63. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

115. Mizukami, S.; Kashibe, M.; Matsumoto, K.; Hori, Y.; Kikuchi, K. Enzyme-triggered compound release using functionalized antimicrobial peptide derivatives. *Chem. Sci.* 2017, 8, 3047. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
117. Zhang, Q.; Tang, J.; Ran, R.; Liu, Y.; Zhang, Z.; Gao, H.; He, Q. Development of an anti-microbial peptide-mediated liposomal delivery system: A novel approach towards pH-responsive anti-microbial peptides. *Drug Deliv.* 2016, 23, 1163. [CrossRef]

118. Wade, H.M.; Darling, L.E.O.; Elmore, D.E. Hybrids made from antimicrobial peptides with different mechanisms of action show enhanced membrane permeabilization. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta Biomembr.* 2019, 182980. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

119. Manzo, G.; Serra, I.; Pira, A.; Pintus, M.; Ceccarelli, M.; Casu, M.; Rinaldi, A.C.; Scorciapino, M.A. The singular behavior of a β-type semi-synthetic two branched polypeptide: Three-dimensional structure and mode of action. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* 2016, 18, 30998. [CrossRef]

120. Scorciapino, M.A.; Pirri, G.; Vargiu, A.V.; Ruggerone, P.; Giuliani, A.; Casu, M.; Buerck, J.; Wadhwani, P.; Ulrich, A.S.; Rinaldi, A.C. A novel dendrimeric peptide with antimicrobial properties: Structure-function analysis of SB056. *Biophys. J.* 2012, 102, 1039. [CrossRef]

121. Bruschi, M.; Pirri, G.; Giuliani, A.; Nicoletto, S.F.; Baster, I.; Scorciapino, M.A.; Casu, M.; Rinaldi, A.C. Synthesis, characterization, antimicrobial activity and LPS-interaction properties of SB041, a novel dendrimeric peptide with antimicrobial properties. *Peptides* 2010, 31, 1459. [CrossRef]

122. Scorciapino, M.A.; Serra, I.; Manzo, G.; Rinaldi, A.C. Antimicrobial dendrimeric peptides: Structure, activity and new therapeutic applications. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 2017, 18, 542. [CrossRef]

123. Siriwardena, T.N.; Lüscher, A.; Köhler, T.; van Delden, C.; Javor, S.; Reymond, J.L. Antimicrobial peptide dendrimer chimera. *Helv. Chim. Acta* 2019, 102, e1900034. [CrossRef]

124. Grassi, L.; Batoni, G.; Ostyn, L.; Rigole, P.; Van den Bossche, S.; Rinaldi, A.C.; Maisetta, G.; Esin, S.; Coenye, T.; Crabbe, A. The antimicrobial peptide lin-SB056-1 and its dendrimeric derivative prevent *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* biofilm formation in physiologically relevant models of chronic infections. *Front. Microbiol.* 2019, 10, 198. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

© 2019 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).