Air Warming and Drainage Influences Soil Microarthropod Communities
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The degradation of wetlands due to climate change is of critical concern to human beings worldwide. Little is known about the potential synergistic effects of simultaneous water level reduction and warming on the underground wetland ecosystems. We conducted a 5-month field experiment in the Sanjiang Plain, utilizing open-top chambers and water level automatic control systems to investigate such synergistic effects. Soil springtails (Collembola) and mites (Acari) in the top (0–20 cm) soil layers were sampled to calculate their density, diversity, and to screen for indicator species. Warming significantly influenced soil springtail communities, slightly increasing the total density and total abundance under the natural water level while reducing them under a constant water level. In addition, *Anurida maritima* and *Vertagopus laricis*, two indicators for the natural water level, had the highest densities in the natural water level treatment and under the combined treatment of warming and natural water level, respectively. *Cheiroseius sinicus* and *Malaconothrus tardus* had the highest densities in warming under the 0 cm water level, significantly higher than the other three treatments. This study also revealed the importance of maintaining fluctuating water levels for microarthropod communities influenced by global warming, providing a theoretical basis for water level control in wetland restoration.
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HIGHLIGHTS

- Air warming increased the density and richness of springtails (Collembola), while no significant effects on the density and richness of soil mites (Acari).
- Springtails were more sensitive to warming and drainage than mites.
- *Anurida maritima* (springtails) and *Vertagopus laricis* (springtails) had the highest densities in the natural water level and warming under the natural water level, respectively. Besides, *Cheiroseius sinicus* (mites) and *Malaconothrus tardus* (mites) had the highest densities in warming under the 0 cm water level. These four indicator species characterized warming and water level changes in wetlands.
- Natural water level fluctuations are important for soil microarthropods protection.
INTRODUCTION

Soil fauna are a crucial part of the terrestrial biosphere, and plays an important role in ecosystem function and plant community dynamics (Brussaard, 1998; Geisen and Bonkowski, 2018; Phillips et al., 2019; van den Hoogen et al., 2019; Hallam and Hodson, 2020; Oliverio et al., 2020). Driven by resource heterogeneity, nutrient availability, and abiotic conditions, they could impose direct or indirect and positive or negative feedbacks on aboveground biology by altering rates of nutrient mineralization and the spatial distribution of nutrient availability, rhizospheric hormones and the soil environment (Wang and Ran, 2008). The composition and diversity of soil fauna are closely related to soil properties (physical, chemical, and microbial characteristics), vegetation, and climate (Wardle et al., 2005; Liu et al., 2012; Phillips et al., 2020; Vazquez et al., 2020), among which some sensitive species can also act as indicators to indicate environmental changes (Liang et al., 2001; Sun et al., 2021). It is noteworthy that changes in composition and distribution patterns of soil fauna are likely to impact ecosystem processes and functions (Kolz et al., 2018).

Among soil fauna, soil microarthropods (most fungi-/detritvores) such as Collembola, oribatid mites (Acari: Oribatida), and enchytraeids (Oligochaeta: Enchytraeidae) take part in important ecosystem functions such as decomposition, nutrient mobilization, soil mixing, and aggregate formation (Lindberg, 2003; Semenina and Tiunov, 2020). Climate change impacts soil fauna’s growth, development, and reproduction, impacting soil microarthropod communities’ abundance, and composition, by altering the soil microenvironment. Climate change can also indirectly influence the soil microarthropod communities’ structure by changing the resource availability and composition of the soil food web (Kardol et al., 2011; Zhang and Wu, 2020).

The effect of warming on soil microarthropods is controversial, as some studies have found that warming significantly reduced the biomass and diversity of springtails (Makkonen et al., 2011; Chang et al., 2019), as well as significantly changing the composition and diversity of soil fauna (Briones et al., 2009; Kardol et al., 2011). In contrast, others have found that warming either increased the total abundance of springtails (Orcheselldes) in grasslands of the Songnen Plain, northeast China (Yan et al., 2015) or had no significant effect on the abundance of soil collembola and mites. The different results were possibly related to water content of ecosystems. In humid area, the warming effect was positive or insignificant. However, in relatively arid areas, warming had a negative effect on soil microarthropods, and the variation of precipitation often had a more significant effect on soil microarthropods than warming. For example, one study conducted on a semi-arid grassland in Duolun County showed that an increase in rainfall significantly increased the abundance of soil mites and springtails, but warming had no significant effect on soil microarthropods (Wu et al., 2014). Drought increased the abundance of soil microarthropods while reducing their biomass, it also increased the abundance of small mites (body length 0.20 mm), and decreased the abundance of large mites (body length > 0.40 mm) in the sandy forest soils (the water level is between 5 and 30%) (Xu et al., 2012). In comparison, warming only slightly affected the animal population (Xu et al., 2012). This was similar to a meta-analysis that identified that the soil microbiota responded more strongly to changes in precipitation than warming (Blankinship et al., 2011). Nevertheless, other studies have found that changes in precipitation can have no significant impact on soil microarthropods (Kardol et al., 2011; Darby and Neher, 2012).

Wetlands provide critical ecosystem functions, including water storage and flood regulation, water quality purification, and local climate regulation. However, the annual average temperature of the Sanjiang Plain and the average temperature of the growing season has increased over the past 60 years, with projections to rise continuously over the next 30 years (Liu, 2016; Meng, 2016). Concurrently, the water levels of the marsh have declined significantly, and the ecosystem has degraded under human drainage disturbance and global change. Yet, research into the relationships between climate change and vegetation coverage, phenology, NDVI (the Normalized Difference Vegetation Index), and the carbon budget of the Sanjiang Plain is limited (Liu et al., 2019; Shen et al., 2019a; Zhang et al., 2019). There is also insufficient research into the potential impact of climate warming and drainage on soil microarthropods in the marsh of the Sanjiang Plain.

In this study, we conducted a 5-month simulation experiment of warming and drainage on the marsh of the Sanjiang Plain. We examined the influence of warming and wetland drainage on soil microarthropod community composition, diversity, and vertical distribution. The influence of mild drainage on the wetland soil ecosystem provides a theoretical basis for further understanding how these important and fluctuating abiotic effects can influence wetland soil ecosystems. We also screened soil microarthropod communities for species sensitive to climate change and wetland drainage to enrich the existing wetland evaluation index system. Wetlands are flooded or seasonally flooded areas with higher water content. As a result, we hypothesize that continued drainage will significantly reduce the density and abundance of soil microarthropods, while warming had a positive effect on it. This article mainly focuses on mites and springtails in this article because they are two critical classes of soil fauna and were the most dominant microarthropod groups collected across all treatments.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Sample Collection and Processing

Field samples were collected in August, September, and November of 2018, and data were averaged for these analyses. One soil column was taken from each subplot at each sampling time, immediately wrapped with plastic, and then transported to the laboratory. The soil samples were then placed in a Tullgren-type extractor (Yin, 1992) for 72 h to extract the soil arthropods. The extracted arthropods were preserved in 70% ethanol and identified to taxonomic species or morphospecies.
using applicable keys (Balogh and Balogh, 1992; Bellinger et al., 1996-2019; Yin, 1998; Krantz and Walter, 2009).

**Site and Plot Description**

The field study was conducted from June 2018 to November 2018, in the marshes at the Sanjiang Mire Wetland Experimental Station (47°13′50″N, 133°13′10″E, 55 m above the sea level), Chinese Academy of Sciences. The marshes are located in the Sanjiang Plain, a low-lying floodplain, in the Heilongjiang Province, Northeast China. This region has a temperate moist monsoon climate, with a mean annual temperature of 1.9°C (−21°C in January and 22°C in July) and mean annual precipitation of 600 mm (≥60% between July and September). Carex lasiocarpa, Carex pseudocuruca, and Carex meyeriana are the dominant grass species, often grown with co-occurring Deyeuxia angustifolia and Glyceria spiculosa.

In this study, the dominant vegetation in our experiment is C. lasiocarpa, and the water depth in this study area usually fluctuated up and down by 10 cm. Our main purpose was to simulate the fluctuation and the same of water level and found their different impacts on soil fauna communities. So we chose the fluctuation water level of natural wetlands and the constant water level of 0 and −10 cm. The water levels could be controlled independently, and the specific design and operation of the experiment have been previously described in detail (Tan et al., 2018). In brief, this set of automatic water level control equipment was able to realize the multi-loop automatic control and the function of replenishing and draining simultaneously, consisting of control center, horizontal self-priming centrifugal pump, water ring vacuum pump, electrode hydraulic gauge, water refill solenoid valve, drainage solenoid valve, remote pressure gauge, air compressor, vacuum tank, pneumatic valve, water refill diveters, drainage diveters, water refill pipes, and drainpipes (Figure 1). When the actual water level is lower than the set water level, the water supply system starts to work. Otherwise, the control center will start the drainage system.

Besides, a method of open-top chambers (OTC) was used for the calefaction treatments. The basic function of the OTCs is to increase the air temperature and soil temperature by passively trapping the long wavelength solar radiation. It is also common method for soil fauna researches (Kardol et al., 2011; Makkonen et al., 2011; Yan et al., 2015). In a hexagon-based pyramid shape, the OTC was made of polymethyl-methacrylate sheet [length: width: height = 100: 65 (top) or 280 (bottom): 230 cm]. Each chamber had a door (100 cm width and 180 cm height) to ensure access. The control treatment contained only frame and the function of replenishing and draining simultaneously, with increasing temperature under two constant water level significantly reducing the number of species.

**Statistical Analysis**

Abundance data were expressed as numbers per square meter. Diversity indices (Margalef Richness, Pielou Evenness, and Shannon–Wiener index) were calculated in Primer 7.0 to characterize arthropod communities and the influence of warming and water level. The specific formula is as follows: Margalef Richness \( M = \frac{S - 1}{n} \), Shannon–Wiener index \( H = - \sum_{i=1}^{S} P_i \ln P_i \), and Pielou Evenness \( E = H / \ln S \), where S, total number of species; N, total number of individuals in the sample; Pi, the proportion of the entire community made up of species. Dominance (%) of a species was expressed as its proportion in the community (dominant, common, and rare species), and the number of individuals of a species was accounted for >10, 10–100, and <1% of the total numbers, respectively. One-way ANOVA analyses were performed to determine the statistical significance of the warming treatment on density measures, the number of species and to test for differences in the diversity indexes between treatments using Tukey’s Studentized Range (HSD) test \( p < 0.05 \). Figures were created by using Origin 2018 (Origin Lab, Northampton, MA, United States).

Indicator species analysis was based on the abundance of soil springtails and mites under four treatments \( n = 3 \) in PCORD Version 5.0, using Dufrêne and Legendre’s (1997) indicator value method (IVM) (Dai et al., 2006; Iqbal et al., 2018; Kamran et al., 2020). The equations for determining the indicator species were presented in Supplementary Material. Indicator value (IV), ranging from 0 (no indication) to 100 (perfect indication), were tested for statistical significance using a Monte Carlo technique. Only significant species were listed \( p < 0.05 \) in the results.

**RESULTS**

**Springtails**

**Community Composition and Structure**

The community structure of soil collembola varied significantly across our treatments, with increasing temperature under two constant water level significantly reducing the number of species.
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FIGURE 1 | Sketch of automatic water-level control equipment in one subplot (modified from Tan et al., 2018).

TABLE 1 | The design of this experiment.

| Treatments | Water level                      | Warming or not | Replicates | Soil columns | Sampling times |
|------------|----------------------------------|----------------|------------|--------------|---------------|
| CK         | The natural water level          | No             | 3          | 9            | 3             |
| OTC        | The natural water level          | Yes            | 3          | 9            | 3             |
| 0 cm × OTC | The constant water level         | Yes            | 3          | 9            | 3             |
| −10 cm × OTC | The constant water level    | Yes            | 3          | 9            | 3             |

Treatment codes: CK, control (the natural water level); OTC, warming under the natural water level; 0 cm × OTC, warming under the reference water level (the constant water level, about 20–40 cm, based on the suitable water level of the dominant vegetation); and −10 cm × OTC, warming under 10 cm below the reference water level (the constant water level). Soil columns were collected in August, September, and November of 2018, and data were averaged for these analyses.

of collembola (Table 2). There were 20 species identified in the natural water level treatment (CK); the dominant species was *Folsomia octoculata*, which accounted for 41.86% of all individuals, while the most common species included *Lepidocyrtus szeptyckii*, *Desoria infuscata*, and *Anurida maritima*. Overall a total of 12 species accounted for 53.02%, with only 7 species accounting for 4.27%. There were also 20 species in the warming treatment (OTC); the dominant species were *Desoria olivacea* and *F. octoculata*, accounting for 10.25 and 54.81%, respectively. The common species included *Desoria tigrina* and *Parisotoma ekmani*, and an additional seven species accounted for 20.63%. There were 11 rare species, accounting for only 3.85%. There were eight species in total in the warming under 0 cm water level treatment (0 cm × OTC). *D. olivacea*, *F. octoculata*, and *Tomocerus nigrus* were the dominant species, accounting for 51.65%; there were four common species, accounting for 23.99%; and *D. infuscata* was the rarest species, accounting for 0.37%. Finally, there were 13 species in the warming treatment at −10 cm water level (−10 cm × OTC). *D. olivacea* and *F. octoculata* were the dominant species, accounting for 18.36 and 63.99%, respectively; common species (14.68%) included *D. tigrina*, *Vertagopus laricis*, and *A. maritima*, while five rare species accounted for 2.96%.

The Density and Diversity Dynamics of Springtails

The results of the one-way analysis of variance revealed that the soil collembola density (*F* = 14.064, *p* = 0.034) and the number of species under different treatments (*F* = 3.832, *p* = 0) were significantly different (Figure 3). During warming at the natural water level, the density and number of species were consistently higher than other treatments, with the lowest abundances always present in the warming treatment at the 0 cm water level. At the same time, the Margalef richness index (*F* = 10.801, *p* = 0.001) of collembola was significantly different under the four treatments, with higher abundances in the natural water level and its warming treatment (Figure 4). Warming at 0 cm water level treatment had a high uniformity, and the natural water level had high diversity.
Analysis of Indicator Species

The indicator species analysis of the four treatments (Table 3) exhibited two indicator species: *A. maritima* (*p* = 0.0196) in the natural water level and *V. laricis* (*p* = 0.0172) in the warming treatment. Colembola *A. maritima* existed under the natural water level, warming and warming treatment at −10 cm water level (densities were 912 ± 228, 614 ± 162, and 246 ± 35 ind./m², respectively), but was not present at 0 cm water level in the warming treatments. The density of *V. laricis* increased significantly after warming (4069 ± 1187/m²), significantly higher than in the other three treatments were 1789 ± 914, 561 ± 246, and 316 ± 0 ind./m², respectively.

Mites

Community Composition and Structure

A total of 1290 mites were captured in three samplings in 2018, belonging to nine families and ten genera (Table 1). The dominant species were *Geolaelaps praesternaliodes*, *Malaconothrus tardus*, and *Zetomimus furcatus*, which accounted for 32.48, 37.52, and 13.72% of all individuals, respectively. Common species include *Cheiroseius sinicus* and *Geolaelaps dailingensis*, accounting for 15.12% of the total catch, while four rare species accounted for only 1.16%.

There were also significant differences in the community composition of soil mites under various treatments (Table 2). There were nine species identified under the natural water level. The dominant species were *G. praesternaliodes*, *M. tardus*, and *Z. furcatus*, accounting for 37.25, 33.04, and 10.20%, respectively; five common species accounted for 19.24%; *Belba compta* was a rare species that only accounted for 0.44%. After warming treatment, there were eight species. The dominant species were consistent with the natural water level plots, but the proportions varied, respectively, 33.33, 29.17, and 24.56%; there were three common species, accounting for 11.84%. The other two species were rare, accounting for 1.10%. There were eight kinds of warming treatments under 0 cm water level. Compared with the first two treatments, the dominant species (*Z. furcatus*) was significantly reduced; there were three common taxa, accounting for 19.74%, and the remaining two species were rare, accounting for 0.86%. There were eight species after warming at −10 cm water level. Compared with the dominant species at 0 cm water level, the dominant species accounted for 52 and 31.33%, respectively; common species included *C. sinicus* and *Banksinoma akhtyamovi*. Four species accounted for 15.33% of the total catch, and two rare species accounted for 1.16% of the total catch.

The Density and Diversity Dynamics of Mites

According to the Shannon–Wiener diversity index (*F* = 3.904, *p* = 0.032), there were also significant differences across treatments, with a significantly higher diversity of mites under natural water level and warming treatment, than under warming at 0 cm water level (Figure 5). Although, the soil mites density (*F* = 0.387, *p* = 0.764), number of species (*F* = 1.379, *p* = 0.290), Pielou evenness index (*F* = 2.532, *p* = 0.099), and Margalef richness index (*F* = 1.062, *p* = 0.397) did not significantly differ (Figures 5, 6). The natural water level had higher uniformity and diversity than increasing temperatures under the 0 cm water level.

Indicator Species Analysis

There were two indicator species of mites, *C. sinicus* (*p* = 0.0426) and *M. tardus* (*p* = 0.0022) (Table 3). The density of *C. sinicus* under the four treatments were 631 ± 192, 263 ± 71, 1052 ± 219, and 281 ± 126 ind./m². The density of *M. tardus* under the four treatments was 2613 ± 562, 2333 ± 596, 5437 ± 345, and 1649 ± 345 ind./m².
### TABLE 2 | Soil microarthropod community composition and dominance in four treatments (n = 3) in the Sanjiang Plain.

| Order/family     | Specie                                                                 | CK Dominance | OTC Dominance | 0 cm × OTC Dominance | −10 cm × OTC Dominance | Total Dominance |
|------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------|---------------|-----------------------|------------------------|-----------------|
| **Collembola Arthropoea** |                                                                         |              |               |                       |                        |                 |
| Entomobryinae    | *Homidia phongiangica* (Szeptycki, 1973)                              | –            | 0.09 +        | –                     | –                      | 0.05 +          |
|                  | *Lepidocyrtus szepytki* (Rusek, 1985)                                  | 2.23 ++      | 0.45 +        | –                     | 0.7 +                  | 0.9 +           |
| Isotominae       | *Desoria choi* (Lee, 1977)                                             | 0.1 +        | 0.36 +        | –                     | –                      | 0.22 +          |
|                  | *Desoria infuscata* (Murphy, 1959)                                     | 2.33 ++      | 0.4 +         | 0.37 +                | –                      | 0.83 +          |
|                  | *Desoria olivacea* (Tullberg, 1871)                                    | 8.43 +       | 10.25 +++     | 25.09 +++             | 18.36 +++              | 11.91 +++       |
|                  | *Desoria tigrina* (Nicolet, 1842)                                      | 7.27 ++      | 4.27 ++       | 9.23 ++               | 7.17 ++                | 5.76 ++         |
|                  | *Desoria violacea* (Tullberg, 1877)                                    | 0.97 +       | 0.76 +        | 0.35                  | 0.71 +                 |                 |
|                  | *Folsomia octoculata* (Handschin, 1925)                                | 41.86 +++    | 54.81 +++     | 37.27 +++             | 63.99 +++              | 51.67 +++       |
|                  | *Parisotoma ekmani* (Fjellberg, 1977)                                  | 5.91 ++      | 5.44 ++       | 0.35 +                | 4.49 +                 |                 |
|                  | *Pseudisotoma sensibilis* (Tullberg, 1877)                             | 0.19 +       | 0.18 +        | –                     | 0.15 +                 |                 |
|                  | *Vertagopus laricis* (Martynova, 1975)                                 | 9.88 ++      | 10.43 ++      | 5.9 +                 | 1.57 ++                | 8.76 +          |
| Neanurinae       | *Anurida maritima* (Guérin-Méneville, 1836)                            | 5.04 ++      | 1.57 +        | –                     | 1.22 ++                | 2.29 +          |
|                  | *Lobellina decipiens* (Yosi, 1965)                                     | 0.78 +       | 0.45 +        | 7.01 +                | 2.1 ++                 | 1.2 +           |
| Onychiurinae     | *Allonychiurus songi* (Sun & Wu, 2012)                                 | 1.07 ++      | 2.2 ++        | –                     | –                      | 1.46 +          |
| Poduridae        | *Podura aquatica* (Linnaeus, 1758)                                     | 1.65 ++      | 0.63 +        | –                     | –                      | 0.76 +          |
| Tomoceridae      | *Tomocerus varia* (Folsom, 1899)                                       | 0.58 +       | 0.04 +        | –                     | –                      | 0.17 +          |
|                  | *Tomocerus nigrus* (Sun, Liang & Huang, 2006)                           | 8.14 ++      | 5.8 ++        | 13.28 +++             | 2.62 ++                | 6.44 ++         |
|                  | *Tomocerus sp. 1*                                                       | 0.68 +       | –             | –                     | –                      | 0.17 +          |
| **Collembola Symphypleona** |                                                                         |              |               |                       |                        |                 |
| Sminthuridae     | *Arrhopalites minor* (Park & Kang, 2007)                               | 1.07 ++      | 0.36 +        | –                     | 0.52 +                 | 0.54 +          |
|                  | *Sminthurides aquaticus* (Bourlet, 1842)                               | 0.97 ++      | 0.13 +        | –                     | 0.52 +                 | 0.39 +          |
|                  | *Sminthurides malmgreni* (Tullberg, 1877)                              | 0.87 +       | 1.35 ++       | 1.85 ++               | 0.52 +                 | 1.15 +          |
| The number of species (dominant, common and rare species) | 20 (1:12:7) | 20 (3:6:11) | 8 (3:4:1) | 13 (2:5:6) | 21 (2:8:11) |
| **Parasitiformes Mesostigmata** |                                                                         |              |               |                       |                        |                 |
| Blattisociidae   | *Cheiroseius sinicus* (Yin & Bei, 1991)                                | 7.98 ++      | 3.29 ++       | 12.88 +++             | 5.33 ++                | 6.9 ++          |
| Laelapidae       | *Geolaelaps dailingensis* (Ma and Yin, 1998)                           | 2.22 ++      | –             | 1.29 ++               | –                      | 1.01 +          |
|                  | *Geolaelaps praesternalodes* (Ma & Yin, 1998)                          | 37.25 +++    | 33.33 +++     | 9.01 ++               | 52 +++                 | 32.48 +++       |
| The number of species (dominant, common and rare species) | 20 (1:12:7) | 20 (3:6:11) | 8 (3:4:1) | 13 (2:5:6) | 21 (2:8:11) |
| **Acariformes Oribatida** |                                                                         |              |               |                       |                        |                 |
| Banksinoma       | *Banksinoma akhyaniwary* (Rabinin, 1993)                               | 1.77 ++      | 4.61 ++       | 0.43 +                | 1.33 ++                | 2.48 +          |
| Bellidae         | *Belba compta* (Kulczynski, 1902)                                      | 0.44 +       | –             | –                     | 0.67 +                 | 0.23 +          |
| Malacostridae    | *Malacostridae tardus* (Michael, 1888)                                 | 33.04 +++    | 29.17 +++     | 66.52 +++             | 31.33 +++              | 37.52 +++       |
| Scheloribatidae  | *Scheloribates latipes* (C.L. Koch, 1844)                              | 5.99 ++      | 3.95 ++       | 5.58 ++               | 2 ++                   | 4.73 +          |
| Suctobelbidae    | *Suctobelbella longidentata* (Chinone, 2003)                            | 1.11 ++      | 0.88 +        | –                     | –                      | 0.7 +           |
| Tectocepheidae   | *Tectocephus velatus* (Michael, 1880)                                  | –            | 0.22 +        | 0.43 +                | 0.67 +                 | 0.23 +          |
| Zetomimidae      | *Zetominus furcatus* (Warburton & Pearce, 1905)                         | 10.2 +++     | 24.56 +++     | 3.86 ++               | 6.67 ++                | 13.72 +++       |
| The number of species (dominant, common and rare species) | 9 (3:5:1) | 8 (3:3:2) | 8 (2:4:2) | 8 (2:4:2) | 10 (3:4:3) |

The dominance of the Collembola and Oribatida was calculated separately.
DISCUSSION

Our results demonstrate that single and combined effects of warming and drainage can influence soil microarthropod's abundance and community structure, which are important regulators of ecosystem processes. Further, we found that the major taxonomic groups of soil microarthropods, i.e., collembola and mites, differed in their response to our climate change treatments, which appears to be more complex than we assumed. Besides, the results confirmed our hypothesis that warming alone slightly increased the density and abundance of springtails (CK vs. OTC). It supports the view that warming in humid areas has a positive effect or no effect on soil fauna. And the synergistic effect of warming and drainage also increased the density and abundance of springtails (0 cm × OTC vs. −10 cm × OTC).

Previous studies have identified that 3 years of temperature increases have significantly increased the abundance of total collembola and its dominant species *Orchesellides* sp1 in the Songnen Grasslands (Yan et al., 2015). In this study, warming under different water levels impacted the community
composition of soil microarthropods in the marsh of the Sanjiang Plain, which may influence ecosystem functioning. Warming has also increased the density of collembola under the natural water levels. Conversely, other studies found that increasing temperatures can result in decreasing population densities of Prostigmata (Briones et al., 2009), nematodes (Simmons et al., 2009; Mueller et al., 2016), and springtails (Holmstrup et al., 2017). However, variation in these ecosystems could explain these differences. Compared with the natural water level, the number of collembola species decreased from 20 to 8 species under the temperature increase at 0 cm water level. Makkonen et al. (2011) also found that increased temperature reduced the number of collembola species in subarctic regions, decreasing from 14 species to 12. This may result from some species of springtails being unable to adapt to the changing environment when faced with temperature change, inducing the migration or death of species. Many previous studies have also shown that the adverse effects of warming on soil fauna may be caused by decreased soil moisture concentrations (Xu et al., 2012; Chang et al., 2019). Due to the increase in vegetation and soil surface evaporation, the increase in temperature usually leads to a dry environment, resulting in shifts in the composition of the collembola community to a low-water preference species. Compared with springtails, the number of species of oribatid varied less after treatment exposure, displaying a greater ability to adapt. In the context of warming, it often appears that springtails are more influenced by warming than mites in some ecosystems, but the opposite is also apparent in other ecosystems (Blankinship et al., 2011; Bokhorst et al., 2017).

Soil fauna can represent suitable indicator organisms for evaluating soil quality, soil biological effects, ecosystem succession, or the degree of disturbance (David and Gillon, 2009; Shao et al., 2015; Venuste et al., 2018; Srut et al., 2019). Indicator species analysis based on the abundance of soil springtails and mites under four treatments helped us to screen out four indicator species. The density of *A. maritima* (springtail) was...
significantly reduced at the constant water level. *A. maritima* (springtail) had the highest density after the warming at the natural fluctuating water levels, demonstrating adaptability to warming. Two species of mites, *C. sinicus* and *M. tardus*, were indicators in the 0 cm water level warming treatment. Their densities were significantly higher than in the other treatment groups, exhibiting tolerance to warming and constant water level.

Drainage and water level fluctuation impact the community composition and diversity of plants and soil fauna. The relationship between water level change and plant population characteristics, species diversity and life history have been studied. Research showed that fluctuation and its frequency are important factors affecting the growth, reproduction, and population distribution of wetland plants (Gattringer et al., 2018; Yao et al., 2021). Water fluctuation increased number of shoot nodes and shoot length of the communities, and may increase the vegetative spread of submerged macrophyte communities (Wang et al., 2016), and biomass accumulation in roots rather than in shoots and the ability to asexually propagate were important for the survival of these species during water fluctuation (Wei et al., 2019). Short-term decline of the water table may increase the primary productivity by shifting dominant species of hygrophytes to mesophytes in the Zogie wetlands (Cao et al., 2017). Meanwhile, plant diversity or functional characteristics often lead to changes in soil fauna resources and habitat environment. Collembola density and diversity significantly increased with plant species and plant functional group richness (Eisenhauer et al., 2011; Sabais et al., 2011). In forest area, the mean species richness of the collembolan communities increased by 47%, when the number of plant species increased from one (spruce) to three (spruce beech and fir) (Chauvat et al., 2011). In this study, the density, species number and abundance of springtails under the natural water level and its warming treatment were significantly higher than the warming treatment under the constant water level. This increase was also observed in the diversity of mites. This phenomenon were likely due to increased root and microbial biomass in the natural water level, and elevated quantity and quality of plant residues serving as food resources for springtails and mites. It is also recommended that the natural water level fluctuations of the wetland should be maintained, when wetland restoration is conducted under the influence of future warming. Otherwise, we were aware of this study also had deficiencies. A long-term, comprehensive research is needed for indicating the effect of warming and water level on wetland plants, soil fauna, and soil properties.

**CONCLUSION**

After the wetlands, under different water levels, in the Sanjiang Plain were warmed, the composition of the soil microarthropod community was significantly changed. Warming increased the density and richness of collembola under the natural water level, but decreased the density and richness of springtails under the constant water level. The diversity of mites under the natural water level and its warming treatment was significantly higher than that of the warming treatment at the 0 cm water level.

Besides, *A. maritima* and *V. laricis*, two indicators for the natural water level, had the highest densities in the natural water level and warming under the natural water level, respectively. *C. sinicus* and *M. tardus* had the highest densities in warming under the 0 cm water level, significantly higher than the other three treatments. We have also shown that in the context of global warming, maintaining natural water level fluctuations is of great significance to the composition and diversity of soil microarthropod communities.
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