Acute stress impairs sensorimotor gating via the neurosteroid allopregnanolone in the prefrontal cortex
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ABSTRACT

Ample evidence indicates that environmental stress impairs information processing, yet the underlying mechanisms remain partially elusive. We showed that, in several rodent models of psychopathology, the neurosteroid allopregnanolone (AP) reduces the prepulse inhibition (PPI) of the startle, a well-validated index of sensorimotor gating. Since this GABA A receptor activator is synthesized in response to acute stress, we hypothesized its participation in stress-induced PPI deficits. Systemic AP administration reduced PPI in C57BL/6J mice and Long-Evans, but not Sprague-Dawley rats. These effects were reversed by isoallopregnanolone (isoAP), an endogenous AP antagonist, and the GABA A receptor antagonist bicuculline and mimicked by AP infusions in the medial prefrontal cortex (mPFC). Building on these findings, we tested AP’s implication in the PPI deficits produced by several complementary regimens of acute and short-term stress (footshock, restraint, predator exposure, and sleep deprivation). PPI was reduced by acute footshock, sleep deprivation as well as the combination of restraint and predator exposure in a time- and intensity-dependent fashion. Acute stress increased AP concentrations in the mPFC, and its detrimental effects on PPI were countered by systemic and intra-mPFC administration of isoAP. These results collectively indicate that acute stress impairs PPI by increasing AP content in the mPFC. The confirmation of these mechanisms across distinct animal models and several acute stressors strongly supports the translational value of these findings and warrants future research on the role of AP in information processing.

1. Introduction

Sensorimotor gating is the perceptual function aimed at filtering out irrelevant and redundant contextual information. This selection process plays a crucial role in enabling the formation of saliency maps and enacting adaptive behavioral responses to environmental cues. The best-validated index to measure the integrity of sensorimotor gating is the prepulse inhibition (PPI) of the startle reflex (Swerdlow et al., 1992), defined as the reduction of the startle response that occurs when the eliciting acoustic pulse is immediately preceded by a weaker prestimulus (Graham, 1975). PPI deficits are a transdiagnostic feature of several psychopathological conditions (Geyer, 2006), including schizophrenia (Braff et al., 1978), mania (Perry et al., 2001), and Tourette syndrome (TS) (Castellanos et al., 1996). Notably, PPI is a cross-species endophenotype, which is also reduced in animal models of these neuropsychiatric disorders (Powell et al., 2009; Young et al., 2010; Godar et al., 2014). Several psychotomimetic drugs have been shown to damage PPI, including dopaminergic agonists and NMDA glutamate receptor antagonists (Geyer and Swerdlow, 1998). Notably, the effects of some of these drugs are reversed by antipsychotics (Geyer and Swerdlow, 1998), even though different species and strains exhibit differential sensitivities to the activation of D1 and D2 dopamine receptors (Mosher et al., 2016).
In addition to drug-based interventions, PPI can be reduced by stressful manipulations. Studies on animal models have pointed to the detrimental effects of some, but not all, stressors on PPI. For example, studies in Sprague-Dawley rats have shown that PPI is potently reduced by sleep deprivation (Frau et al., 2008) and exposure to a predator, but not by footshock (Bakshi et al., 2012). In other rat strains, chronic but not acute restraint stress was found to reduce PPI (Sutherland and Conti, 2011). Understanding the impact of stress on gating functions is particularly important, given that impaired stress coping is a common feature of neuropsychiatric disorders featuring gating deficits (Hoehn-Saric, 1995; Myin-Germeys et al., 2003; Myin-Germeys and van Os, 2007; Lataster et al., 2009; De Vries et al., 2011; Cougle et al., 2013). Although preliminary studies indicate that corticotropin-releasing factor (Conti et al., 2002; Bakshi et al., 2012) plays a role in these deficits, the underlying mechanisms remain poorly understood.

Over the past few years, our group has shown that PPI is modulated by neurosteroids, a family of brain-borne steroids (Saulieu, 1981) that play a critical role in the modulation of the stress response (Purdy et al., 1991; Girdler et al., 2012; Gunn et al., 2015). For example, our studies have shown that the modulation of neurosteroidogenic enzyme 5α-reductase modulates the response to dopaminergic agonists in rats and mice (Bortolato et al., 2008; Devoto et al., 2012; Frau et al., 2013, 2016, 2017b). We recently documented that these imbalances are particularly important, given that impaired stress coping is a common feature of neuropsychiatric disorders featuring gating deficits (Hoehn-Saric, 1995; Myin-Germeys et al., 2003; Myin-Germeys and van Os, 2007; Lataster et al., 2009; De Vries et al., 2011; Cougle et al., 2013). Although preliminary studies indicate that corticotropin-releasing factor (Conti et al., 2002; Bakshi et al., 2012) plays a role in these deficits, the underlying mechanisms remain poorly understood.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Animals

Experiments were performed using adult male mice (3-4-month-old) and rats (2-3-month-old). C57BL/6J mice were purchased from The Jackson Laboratory (Bar Harbor, ME, USA). Long-Evans and Sprague-Dawley rats were obtained from Charles River Laboratories (Hollister, CA, USA). All animals were allowed to acclimate to facilities for 7–10 days before starting experimental procedures. Housing facilities were maintained at 22 °C with a reversed 12-h light/dark cycle (lights on at 6:00 p.m. hour, lights off at 6:00 a.m. hour). Experimental manipulations were carried out during the dark cycle (between 9:00 a.m. and 5:00 p.m.). In conformity with the 3Rs principles (Russell et al., 1959), every effort was made to minimize the number and suffering of animals. Thus, for each experiment, animal numbers were determined based on power analyses conducted on preliminary results. All handling and manipulation procedures were performed in compliance with the National Institute of Health and approved by the local Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee. Studies were only limited to male animals to control the significant variations of AP levels in relation to the menstrual cycle (Schmidt et al., 1994; Genazzani et al., 1995; Palumbo et al., 1995).

2.2. Drugs

AP was purchased from Tocris Bio-Techne (Minneapolis, MN, USA). For systemic injections, AP was dissolved in 2.5% DMSO, 2.5% Tween 80, and 0.9% NaCl. For intracerebral infusions, AP was dissolved in Tween 80/Ringer solution (final concentration, 1:1, v:v); AP was then infused in a solution of cyclodextrin/Ringer solution (final concentration 1:5, v:v). The 3β-epimer of AP, isosalopregnanolone (isoAP; 3β,5α, tetrahydroprogesterone) was donated by Asarina Pharma AB (Solna, Sweden) and suspended in 3% hydroxypropyl β-cyclodextrin for systemic injections. For intracerebral administrations, this suspension was further dissolved in Ringer solution (final concentration 1:1, v:v). SCH 23390, haloperidol, and bicuculline were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO, USA). SCH 23390, haloperidol, and bicuculline were dissolved in 10% acetic acid buffered with NaOH and diluted with 0.9% NaCl. The injection volume for all systemic administrations was 10 ml/kg in mice and 2 ml/kg in rats.

2.3. Stress procedures

The following acute and short-term stressors were used:

2.3.1. Foot shock

Foot shock stress was delivered through the Potentiated Startle Kit within sound-attenuating startle chambers (SR-LAB, San Diego Instruments, San Diego, CA, USA). Mice and rats were placed in Plexiglass enclosures with shock grids within sound-attenuating startle chambers. After a 1-min acclimation period, animals were subjected to nine brief (1 s) electric shocks (0.2–0.5 mA), administered at random intervals of 30–60 s over 5 min.

2.3.2. Restraint

Mice were physically restrained for 30, 60, or 120 min inside a well-ventilated 50-ml plastic conical tube within a novel cage. Non-stressed control mice remained in an equivalent novel cage until PPI testing (Supplementary Fig. 1A). Rats were restrained in Plexiglass tubes (diameter: 7.5 cm; length: 30 cm; World Precision Instruments, Sarasota, FL) endowed with an adjustable end to fit the size of each animal.

2.3.3. Predator exposure

Mice were placed in one of the two compartments of a novel cage (separated from each other by a metal grid; Supplementary Fig. 1B). The opposite compartment hosted a LE male rat (weight: 225–250 g). This configuration allowed the mouse to see, smell, and hear the rat while avoiding direct physical contact (Supplementary Fig. 1B). Exposure lasted 30, 60, or 120 min. The same setting was also used in combination with restraint stress to test the effects of the association of these two manipulations (Supplementary Fig. 1C). The association of restraint and predator cue exposure test was also repeated in Long-Evans rats (Supplementary Fig. 1D). To ensure predator cue exposure akin to that used for mice, restrained rats were placed near a tennis ball previously sprayed with 5 ml of bobcat urine (Fox Peak Outdoor Supply, Spring Grove, PA) (Supplementary Fig. 1D) for 30 or 60 min.

2.3.4. Sleep deprivation

Sleep deprivation (SD) was obtained via the small-platform method, as previously described (Frau et al., 2008), a well-validated experimental paradigm that has been shown to produce near-complete REM sleep reduction and a marked reduction in overall sleep (Grahams and Ursin, 1985). Mice and rats were kept on small Plexiglass platforms (4 and 7 cm in diameter, respectively) within a deep tank filled with water. Each platform was surrounded by water (up to 0.5 and 1 cm, respectively) beneath the surface. Food and water were freely available by placing chow pellets and water bottles on a grid located on the top of the tank. The temperature of the experimental room and the water inside the tank was maintained at 23 ± 1 °C. Control animals were placed in the experimental room but kept individually in their home cages after removing cage mates. Our prior studies showed that these animals exhibited the same behavioral phenotypes as those of rats kept on large platforms (Frau et al., 2008). SD duration was kept at 24 h for C57BL/6J mice and 48 h for Long-Evans rats, as these durations proved sufficient.
to produce stable PPI deficits. Both SD-subjected and non-sleep deprived rats had free access to food and water throughout the procedure.

2.4. PPI of the acoustic startle reflex

Startle testing was conducted in sound-attenuating startle chambers (SR-LAB, San Diego Instruments) as previously detailed (Bortolato et al., 2008). The startle testing protocol featured a 70 dB background white noise (5 min acclimatization period), followed by three consecutive blocks of pulse, prepulse + pulse, and “no stimulus” trials. During the first and third blocks, mice received five pulse-alone trials of 115 dB. During the second block, animals underwent a pseudorandom sequence of 50 trials, consisting of pulse-alone trials (twelve events), 30 trials of pulse preceded by 73, 76, 82 dB prepulse intensities (ten events for each level of prepulse loudness), and 8 no-stimulus trials. Intertrial intervals were selected randomly, with a duration between 10 and 15 s. A dynamic calibration system was used to ensure comparable sensitivities across chambers. Sound levels were assessed using an A-scale setting. Percent PPI (%PPI) was calculated using the formula (Mean Startle - prepulse)/ Mean Startle x 100 – (“prepulse-pulse” MSA / “pulse-alone” MSA x 100)

The first five “pulse-alone” bursts were excluded from the calculation (used as internal control). As no interactions between prepulse levels and treatment(s) were found in the statistical analysis, %PPI values were collapsed across prepulse intensity to represent average %PPI.

2.5. Stereotaxic surgery and microinjections

Stereotaxic surgery was performed as previously described (Cadeddu et al., 2021). Briefly, mice were anesthetized with xylazine/ketamine (20/80 mg kg^-1, IP) and then placed onto a stereotaxic frame (David Kopf Instruments, Tujunga, CA, USA). Stainless steel 26-G bilateral guide cannulae (P1 Technologies Inc., Roanoke, VA, USA) were implanted in mPFC (AP + 1.8 mm, ML ± 0.3 mm, DV - 2.5 mm from the skull surface) or nucleus accumbens (NAC) (AP + 1.4 mm, ML ±0.5 mm, DV - 4.3 mm from the skull surface) according to the stereotaxic brain atlas (Paxinos and Franklin, 2001). The lengths of the cannulae were selected to end 0.5 mm above the targeted area, and the injector projected 1 mm beyond the guide cannula. After implantation, cannulae were plugged with wire styli to avoid clogging during the post-operative recovery period. Antibiotic therapy was administered for two days (enrofloxacin, Bayer HealthCare, Shawnee Mission, KS, USA). Mice were allowed to recover in their home cages (single housed) with food and water ad libitum. Four-five days after cannulation, mice received bilateral microinjections in the targeted area (according to the specific experimental design).

Microinjections were performed by gently restraining the mouse, removing the stylet, and replacing it with the injector (P1 Technologies Inc., Roanoke, VA, USA) connected by a 250 μl Hamilton syringes via PE tubing. A microinjection pump (KD Scientific, Holliston, MA, USA) delivered 0.5 μl/side of drug solution (or its vehicle solution) at a constant flow/rate of 0.25 μl/min. After infusion, the injector was left in place for 2 min to allow fluid diffusion. PPI experiments were carried out immediately after infusion. After behavioral tests, animals were sacrificed, and the histological verification of cannula location was confirmed. Animals with errant locations of either device or damage to the targeted area(s) were excluded from the analysis.

2.6. Neurosteroid quantification

Reference standards were purchased from Steraloids (Newport, RI, USA). Stock steroid standards were prepared by mixing 5 μl of 1 mg/ml solution of each steroid and adjusting the final volume to 1 ml using methanol. All the stock solutions were stored at -80 °C. Samples were extracted and purified as previously described (Gaikwad, 2013) and detailed in Supplemental Materials. Ultra-performance liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry (UPLC-MS/MS) analyses were performed using a Waters Acquity UPLC system connected with the high-performance triple quadrupole (TQD) mass spectrometer. Analytical separations were conducted as described in Bosse et al. (2021). The elution from the UPLC column was introduced to the TQD mass spectrometer. All MS experiments were performed by using electrospray ionization (ESI) in positive ion (PI) mode. Pure standards of all targeted steroids were used to optimize the UPLC-MS/MS conditions before analysis and making calibration curves. The resulting data were processed using Waters Target Lynx 4.1 software (Bosse et al., 2021). The analytes concentrations were normalized per gram of tissue before extraction. Limits of detection and coefficients of variations for all the steroids analyzed in the study are reported in Supplemental Information.

2.7. Statistical analyses

Normality and homoscedasticity of data distribution were verified using Kolmogorov-Smirnov and Bartlett’s tests. Statistical analyses of parametric data were performed using t-test, one-way ANOVA, or two-way ANOVA, as appropriate. Post-hoc comparisons were performed by Tukey’s test. Significance thresholds were set at 0.05.

3. Results

3.1. AP dose-dependently reduces PPI in C57BL/6J mice and Long-Evans rats but not in Sprague-Dawley rats

We first tested the effects of systemic injections of AP (1, 3, 6, or 12 mg kg^-1, IP, 5 min before behavioral testing) in C57BL/6J mice. Although startle amplitude was not modified by any treatment (Fig. 1A), systemic administration of AP at 12 mg kg^-1 elicited a significant impairment of PPI between-group, One-way ANOVA: F(4,55) = 4.14, p < 0.01; p < 0.01 for post-hoc comparisons between 0 and 12 mg kg^-1, Tukey’s; Fig. 1B). Similar to C57BL/6J mice, Long-Evans rats (Fig. 1C and D) treated with AP (12 mg kg^-1, IP) exhibited significant PPI impairments (unpaired t-test with Welch’s correction: t(9.82) = 2.88, p < 0.05; Fig. 1D) that were not paralleled by changes in startle modifications. In contrast with these findings, AP (12 mg kg^-1, IP) failed to modify startle amplitude and PPI in Sprague-Dawley rats (Fig. 1E and F).

3.2. The PPI-disrupting effects of AP are mediated by GABA_A but not dopamine receptors

We then tested whether the PPI disruption caused by AP was reversed by dopaminergic antagonists in C57BL/6J mice. As shown in Fig. 2A and B, AP (12 mg kg^-1, IP, 5 min before testing) significantly increased startle amplitude (Two-way ANOVA; Main AP effect: F(1,32) = 7.24, p < 0.05) and reduced PPI (Two-way ANOVA; Main effect of AP: F(1,32) = 8.56, p < 0.01), but neither effect was modified by the dopamine D1 receptor antagonist SCH23390 (0.5 mg kg^-1, SC, 5 min before AP). Similarly, the effects of AP were not opposed by the dopamine D2 receptor antagonist haloperidol (0.5 mg kg^-1, SC, 5 min before AP; Fig. 2C and D). Next, we studied whether the PPI deficits induced by AP were counteracted by systemically administration of the competitive GABA_A receptor antagonist bicuculline (1 mg kg^-1, IP, 5 min before AP; Fig. 2E and F). Bicuculline was able to oppose the detrimental effect of AP on sensorimotor gating (Two-way ANOVA; AP x bicuculline interaction: F(1,30) = 5.78, p < 0.05; p’s < 0.01 for comparisons of vehicle-vehicle vs. vehicle-AP for vehicle-AP vs. bicuculline-AP; Fig. 2F). Building on this evidence, we tested whether the PPI deficits induced by AP could be counteracted by isoAP (10 mg kg^-1, IP, 5 min before AP), the endogenous AP epimer acting as an antagonist of this steroid site on the GABA_A receptor. While AP increased the magnitude of the startle reflex (Two-way ANOVA; Main AP effect: F(1,43) = 6.39, p < 0.01; Fig. 2G) and
reduced PPI (Two-way ANOVA; Main AP effect: $F_{1,43} = 22.41, p < 0.001$; Fig. 2H), isoAP fully opposed the PPI impairment elicited by AP (Two-way ANOVA: AP $\times$ isoAP interaction: $F_{1,43} = 34.24, p < 0.0001$; $p'$s < 0.001 for comparisons of vehicle-vehicle vs vehicle-AP and isoAP-AP vs vehicle-AP; Fig. 2H). In Long-Evans rats, isoAP (10 mg kg$^{-1}$, IP) induced no significant modification of the startle reflex (Fig. 3A) but fully countered the PPI deficits induced by systemic AP (12 mg kg$^{-1}$, IP) (Two-way ANOVA: AP $\times$ isoAP interaction: $F_{1,36} = 6.42, p < 0.01$; $p'$s < 0.01 for comparisons between vehicle-vehicle and vehicle-AP and $p$ < 0.05 between isoAP-AP and vehicle-AP; Fig. 3B).

3.3. PPI is impaired by cortical but not accumbal AP infusions

To ascertain the neuroanatomical basis of AP’s effects on sensorimotor gating, we tested the impact of local infusions of this neurosteroid into the medial prefrontal cortex (mPFC) and nucleus accumbens (NAc), given that these two brain regions were previously shown to contribute to the role of neurosteroids in PPI regulation (Devoto et al., 2012). Bilateral infusions of AP (0.25–1 μg/mouse, delivered as 0.125–0.5 μg/side, within 1 μL of vehicle) in the mPFC did not modify the startle amplitude (Fig. 4A) but reduced PPI (One-way ANOVA: $F_{3,43} = 4.58, p < 0.01$; post-hoc comparisons, $p$ < 0.05 for 0 vs. 0.5 μg AP and $p$ < 0.01 for 0 vs 1 μg AP; Fig. 4B). Conversely, infusions of AP in the NAc failed to modify either index (Fig. 4C and D). To verify whether the mPFC mediates the PPI-disruptive effects of AP, we tested whether intra-PFC injections of isoAP (1 μg/mouse delivered as 0.5 μg/side, 5 min before AP) could counter the effects of systemic AP (12 mg kg$^{-1}$, IP) (Fig. 4E and F). While no significant modifications of the startle were observed (Fig. 4E), the PPI deficits induced by systemic AP were fully reversed by intra-PFC iso-AP (Two-way ANOVA: AP $\times$ isoAP interaction: $F_{1,28} = 18.61, p < 0.001$; $p'$s < 0.001 for comparisons between vehicle-vehicle and vehicle-AP and between isoAP-AP and vehicle-AP; Fig. 4F).

3.4. Acute stress impairs PPI in C57BL/6J mice and Long-Evans rats

Since AP plays a crucial role in the orchestration of stress response, we aimed at testing C57BL/6J mice through an array of diverse stressors mimicking distinct environmental challenges, namely foot shock, sleep deprivation, restraint, and predator exposure. We first tested the effects of a sequence of nine brief footshocks (intensity: 0.2 or 0.5 mA, duration: 1 s each) in C57BL/6J mice (Fig. 5A and B). This stressor increased startle amplitude (One way ANOVA: $F_{2,21} = 4.14, p$ <
0.05), with a significant effect of 0.5-mA footshock ($p < 0.05$ between 0 and 0.5 mA; Fig. 5A). In addition, footshock significantly reduced PPI (One way ANOVA: $F_{2,21} = 8.48, p < 0.01$) in an intensity-dependent fashion ($p < 0.01$ between 0 and 0.5 mA; Fig. 5B). In contrast with these effects, we found that neither restraint nor predator exposure stress (up to 2 h) significantly modified startle amplitude and PPI (Fig. 5C–F). However, their combination induced a marked PPI deficit (One-way ANOVA: $F_{3,44} = 14.03, p < 0.001$; $p's < 0.001$ for post-hoc comparisons of mice exposed to stress for 60- and 120-min vs. non-stressed mice) without affecting startle amplitude (Fig. 5G). We then verified whether C57BL/6J mice might be sensitive to the effects of sleep deprivation since this manipulation was previously found to reduce sensorimotor gating in Sprague-Dawley rats and humans (Castellanos et al., 1996). In mice, 24 h of sleep deprivation produced no significant changes in startle amplitude (Fig. 5I) but significantly reduced PPI (Two-way, repeated-measure ANOVA: Time $\times$ group interaction: $F_{1,36} = 5.46, p < 0.05; p's < 0.01$ between sleep-deprived mice and pre-stress baseline or controls after 24-h stress; Fig. 5J).

Based on these results, we further verified whether the same PPI-disrupting stressors might impair sensorimotor gating in Long-Evans rats. The sequence of 0.5 mA foot shocks did not increase startle magnitude (Fig. 6A) but induced PPI deficits (unpaired t-test: $t(16) =$
3.21, p < 0.01; Fig. 6B). Finally, the combination of restraint and predator exposure failed to modify startle amplitude (Fig. 6C) but produced a time-dependent reduction in PPI (One-way ANOVA: F2,21 = 27.10, p < 0.001; p’s < 0.001 for post-hoc comparisons of 0 vs. 60 min; Fig. 6D). Sleep deprivation did not modify startle amplitude, and this effect did not reflect the duration of this manipulation (Fig. 6E).

However, this stressor produced a stable reduction in PPI after 48 h (Two-way, repeated-measure ANOVA: Time × group interaction: F2,20 = 9.35, p < 0.01; p’s < 0.001 for post-hoc comparisons between sleep-deprived mice and pre-stress baseline or controls after 48-h stress; Fig. 6F).
3.5. Acute stress increases AP levels in the rat PFC

Building on these results, we tested whether acute stress increased the PFC concentrations of AP, its precursors progesterone and 5α-dihydroprogesterone (DHP), or its metabolite AP sulfate. While foot shock stress did not modify the levels of progesterone (Fig. 7A) and produced a marginal increase in DHP concentrations (Fig. 7B), it significantly enhanced the levels of AP levels [unpaired t-test: t (13) = 2.42, p < 0.05; Fig. 7C], but not AP sulfate (Fig. 7D). No significant correlations were found between PFC neurosteroid levels and either startle or PPI.

3.6. IsoAP blocks the PPI-disruptive effects of acute stress

The next experiment was aimed at testing whether isoAP was able to counteract the PPI disruption caused by acute stress. In the first set of experiments, the effects of isoAP (10 and 20 mg kg$^{-1}$, IP, administered immediately after stress) were tested on the behavioral effects of footshock (Fig. 8A and B). While this stressor was confirmed to increase startle amplitude (Two-way ANOVA: Stress × isoAP interaction, F$_{2,30}$ = 5.07, p < 0.05; p < 0.05 for comparison between stressed and unstressed animals; Fig. 8A), this effect was blocked by isoAP (p < 0.05 and < 0.01 for comparisons between stressed animals treated with 10 and 20 mg kg$^{-1}$ isoAP vs. its vehicle, respectively). Similarly, footshock was confirmed to reduce PPI (Two-way ANOVA: Stress × isoAP interaction:...
F_{2,30} = 5.29, p < 0.05; p < 0.01 for comparison between stressed and unstressed animals) in an isoAP-sensitive fashion (p < 0.01 for comparisons between stressed animals treated with 20 mg kg^{-1} isoAP vs. its vehicle; Fig. 8B). In the following experiment, we tested the effect of intra-mPFC infusions of isoAP (0.5 μg/side in both sides, infused immediately after stress; Fig. 8C and D) against the effects of footshock stress. We found that footshock produced statistically marginal increases in startle amplitude (Two-way ANOVA: Main effect of stress, F_{1,20} =
Fig. 8. Effects of systemic (SYS) or intra-mPFC isoallopregnanolone (isoAP) on the effects of (A–D) footshock (FS) or (E–H) the combination of restraint (R) and predatory exposure (PE) stress in C57BL/6J mice. IsoAP doses are indicated under the bars, as mg kg\(^{-1}\) (IP) for systemic doses or μg/mouse for local infusions. Data are shown as means ± SEM. n = 6–9/group. VEH, vehicle. *, \( p < 0.05 \); **, \( p < 0.01 \) in comparison with VEH-treated, non-stressed (NS) mice; #, \( p < 0.05 \); ##, \( p < 0.01 \); ###, \( p < 0.001 \) in comparison with VEH-treated, stressed mice. For further details, see text.

Fig. 9. Effects of isoallopregnanolone (isoAP) infusions in the nucleus accumbens (NAc) on the effects of the combination of restraint (R) and predatory exposure (PE) stress in C57BL/6J mice. IsoAP dose was 1 μg, delivered bilaterally (0.5 μg/side). Data are shown as means ± SEM. n = 8/group. &, \( p < 0.05 \) for main-effect comparisons between R + PE and non-stressed (NS). VEH, vehicle of isoAP. For further details, see text.
indicated under the bars as mg kg\(^{-1}\) (IP), administered after stress) (Two-way ANOVA: Stress × isoAP interaction, \(F_{1,20} = 4.68, p < 0.05; p' s < 0.05\) for comparisons of vehicle-non stress vs. vehicle-stress and vehicle-stress vs. vehicle-1 mg; Fig. 8D). The effects of the AP antagonist were also observed in relation to the effects of the combination of restraint and predatory exposure stress (Fig. 8E-H).

While no overall effects were observed on the startle magnitude (Fig. 8E and G), stress-induced PPI deficits were reversed by systemic (10–20 mg kg\(^{-1}\), IP) isoAP, the selective AP antagonist on this target (Lundgren et al., 2003; Mosher et al., 2016). While the ultimate cause of this inconsistency remains unknown, we speculate that the “prepulse-pulse” trials interspersed throughout our protocol may attenuate the emotional valence associated with the execution of the startle reflex in some, but not all, animals. From this perspective, our data suggest that AP may increase overall reactivity to potential dangers under specific contingencies or in select dose ranges. This issue notwithstanding, the PPI-disrupting effects of AP were not consistently paralleled by changes in startle reflex, ruling out computational artifacts.

The best-defined mechanism of action of AP is the positive allosteric modulation of GABA\(_A\) receptors (Majewska et al., 1986; Paul and Purdy, 1992; Ruprecht, 2003) through activation of a specific site in the \(\alpha\) subunit of these channels (Hosie et al., 2006, 2009). At higher (micromolar) concentrations, AP acts as a GABA\(_A\) receptor agonist by binding to a second site located at the interface between \(\alpha\) and \(\beta\) subunits (Hosie et al., 2009). In line with these mechanisms, the detrimental effects of AP on PPI were opposed by the GABA\(_A\) receptor blocker bicuculline and isoAP, the selective AP antagonist on this target (Lundgren et al., 2003; Paul and Purdy, 1992; Pinna, 2020).

These findings extend previous preclinical evidence suggesting that, although AP elicits broad mood-enhancing and anxiolytic properties (Paul and Purdy, 1992; Pinna, 2020), this neurosteroid also exerts a negative influence on cognitive functions, including information encoding (Rabinowitz et al., 2014), spatial learning (Johansson et al., 2002), and memory (Chin et al., 2011; Cushman et al., 2011).

The PPI-disrupting effects of AP were associated with significant or marginal elevations in startle magnitude in a few experiments. These inconsistent effects likely reflect that our protocol was optimized to measure PPI rather than startle magnitude. Indeed, previous studies from our lab have shown that our experimental protocol for PPI measurement led to inconsistent results on the measurement of startle magnitude, for example, with respect to the effects of dopaminergic agonists (Mosher et al., 2016). While the ultimate cause of this inconsistency remains unknown, we speculate that the “prepulse-pulse” trials interspersed throughout our protocol may attenuate the emotional valence associated with the execution of the startle reflex in some, but not all, animals. From this perspective, our data suggest that AP may increase overall reactivity to potential dangers under specific contingencies or in select dose ranges. This issue notwithstanding, the PPI-disrupting effects of AP were not consistently paralleled by changes in startle reflex, ruling out computational artifacts.

The main results of this study showed that acute and short-term stress reduced sensorimotor gating in C57BL/6J mice and Long-Evans rats. This mechanism was mediated by a significant increase of AP concentrations in the mPFC, as shown by the fact that the PPI deficits produced by either stress or systemic AP injections were opposed by the administration of its antagonist isoAP in the mPFC.

4. Discussion

The main results of this study showed that acute and short-term stress reduced sensorimotor gating in C57BL/6J mice and Long-Evans rats. This mechanism was mediated by a significant increase of AP concentrations in the mPFC, as shown by the fact that the PPI deficits produced by either stress or systemic AP injections were opposed by the administration of its antagonist isoAP in the mPFC.
The finding that bicuculline prevents AP-induced PPI deficits is apparently at odds with previous evidence showing that this drug dose-dependently impairs PPI (Yeomans et al., 2010). However, while the effects of bicuculline reported in this study are likely contributed by the mPFC, the intrinsic effects of this drug on sensorimotor gating reflect the involvement of downstream circuits controlling the execution of the acoustic startle reflex, including the giant neurons in the caudal pontine reticular nucleus (Lingenhohl and Friauf, 1994). Overall, this contrast suggests that GABAergic neurotransmission elicits pleiotropic effects on the modulation of PPI, depending on its distinct actions across different brain regions.

The idea that GABA_A receptor activation in the prefrontal cortex impacts sensorimotor gating is in keeping with previous data showing that several information-processing domains are shaped by the contribution of GABAergic signaling in this region (Levar et al., 2019). Given that AP activates both extrasynaptic and synaptic GABA_A receptors, and its affinity on different targets is influenced by the specific subunit composition of these ionotropic channels (Belelli and Lambert, 2005), it is likely that sudden elevations of AP in the mPFC may induce distinct alterations of the balance between excitatory and inhibitory neural activity in this region. These changes are predicted to reduce the signal-to-noise ratio in cortical signaling (Dehghani et al., 2016) and interfere with information processing.

Another key finding of our study was that several environmental challenges capturing complementary aspects of short-term stress produced similar PPI deficits. This dose- and time-dependent impairment was observed in both C57BL/6J mice and Long-Evans rats, indicating that a state of high emotional arousal associated with acute, intense, inescapable stress is likely to attenuate the sensory modulation of startle by interfering with the integrity of perceptual and preattentive filters. In contrast with footshock and sleep deprivation, neither acute restraint alone nor predator exposure (up to 2h) was sufficient to diminish PPI. These results align with previous reports documenting that these two stressors failed to produce an immediate reduction of PPI in rats (Sutherland et al., 2010; Bakshi et al., 2012), even though predator exposure did lead to enduring, albeit delayed, sensorimotor gating deficits (Bakshi et al., 2012). While it could be argued that these results point to possible qualitative distinctions across stress modalities, we also found that the combination of these two manipulations reduced PPI in a time-dependent fashion. Considering that the stressfulness of restraint is arguably increased when combined with fear-induced stimuli (in as far as it prevents any possible attempt to escape), it may be concluded that PPI is disrupted by the intensity of acute stress, rather than its specific source. This explanation suggests that the negative effects of acute stress on sensorimotor gating may be generalized and depend on the severity of the stress response rather than on the nature of the specific trigger.

In line with this interpretation, a large body of human studies has documented that both sensory gating and encoding are similarly weakened by many diverse psychological and physical stressors in humans (Johnson and Adler, 1993; White and Yee, 1997; Yee and White, 2001; Payne et al., 2007).

Acute footshock stress produced a significant, selective elevation of AP in the PFC. These data agree with previous evidence indicating an increase in brain AP concentrations after footshock (Serra et al., 2002; Pisu et al., 2013), restraint (Higashi et al., 2005), sleep deprivation (Frau et al., 2017a), and other acute stressors (Barbaccia et al., 1996; Purdy et al., 1991; Vallée et al., 2000). The augmentation of cortical AP in response to acute stress has been typically interpreted as a physiological reaction to counter its deleterious inflammatory and neurotoxic outcomes (Noorbakhsh et al., 2014; Balan et al., 2021). This framework suggests that PPI deficits may be a trade-off for these beneficial responses. Alternatively, this transient information-processing alteration could be advantageous and help prioritize coping resources by readjusting saliency maps. Indeed, previous evidence has shown that these cognitive outcomes are typically associated with the response to acute stress (Hermans et al., 2011; Zhang et al., 2019; Zhang et al., 2022).
blocker that reduces AP synthesis, may elicit therapeutic effects in at least some of these conditions (Koethe et al., 2008; Muroni et al., 2011). Future research will be critical to delineate how changes in AP levels shape the influence of environmental contingencies on the severity of information-processing deficits in neuropsychiatric disorders.
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