Effect of the Order of Test Diets on the Accuracy and Safety of Swallowing Studies

Se Hee Jung, MD, PhD, Juyong Kim, MD, Hyeonghui Jeong, MD, Shi-Uk Lee, MD, PhD

Department of Rehabilitation Medicine, Seoul National University College of Medicine, Seoul National University Boramae Medical Center, Seoul, Korea

Objective To assess whether the order of test diets influences the results of swallowing studies with regard to their accuracy and safety.

Methods Subjects with suspected dysphagia underwent a videofluoroscopic swallowing study (VFSS) and/or a fiberoptic endoscopic evaluation of swallowing (FEES) and repeated the study on the same day or within a week. The order of test diets comprised of two different sets: trial 1 with the fluid first and trial 2 with the semi-solid food first. Main outcome measurements were the modified penetration-aspiration scale (mPAS) and the pharyngeal residue severity scale (PRSS) for the vallecula and the pyriform sinus.

Results Sixty-six patients (44 men and 22 women, aged 65.0±15.0 years) were enrolled in this study. Forty-three subjects were evaluated with VFSS only and 23 with both VFSS and FEES. As a result of the swallowing studies, there was no significant difference in each chosen diet sequence regarding mPAS and PRSS. Furthermore, there was no difference regarding the duration of studies, rate of premature study termination, rate of abnormal findings in post-study chest X-ray, and rate of fever or pneumonia post-study.

Conclusion The accuracy and safety of the swallowing studies do not rely on the order of test diets.
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INTRODUCTION

The swallowing function has been evaluated by direct observation of swallowing of various test diets, by studies such as videofluoroscopic swallowing study (VFSS), and by the fiberoptic endoscopic evaluation of swallowing (FEES) [1-6]. For these swallowing studies are various test diets with different rheologic properties used [7-12]. The risk of aspiration and the degree of pharyngeal residue of each diet are affected by the rheologic properties of the diets. Generally, test diets with a higher viscosity decrease the risk of aspiration but increase the pharyngeal residue [13-16].

During swallowing studies, the pharyngeal residue of the previous swallowing can affect the result of the subsequent test diet. Although the residue can be minimized by multiple swallowing, active cough, and suction, the residue of the previous diet may disrupt the accurate interpretation of the results of the swallowing study. In
cases of massive aspiration during a study, the study may be earlier terminated for the safety of the patient and the rest of the test diets cannot be evaluated.

Therefore, starting the test with a test diet of higher viscosity (higher risk of pharyngeal residue) or with a diet of lower viscosity (higher probability of aspiration) may have different effects on the results of a study. However, there has been no evidence-based and standardized suggestion for the order of test diets yet.

Therefore, we aimed to assess whether the order of test diets influences the results of the swallowing studies with regard to their accuracy and safety.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Participants**

Any dysphagic patient aged 18 years and older was included who visited the Seoul National University Boramae Medical Center, Seoul, Korea. Exclusion criteria were as follows: history of an allergic reaction to lidocaine, iatrogenic alteration of pharyngeal anatomy, inability of the patient to maintain a sitting position for at least 20 minutes, inability to insert an endoscope into the nasal cavity, uncontrolled active nasal bleeding, pregnancy, breast-feeding and poor cooperation. Demographic data were obtained including age, sex, etiology, and days from onset to study. Before the swelling study, the diets of patients were assessed by using the American Speech-Language-Hearing Association National Outcome Measurement System swallowing scale (ASHA NOMS) [17]. Clinical information, such as medical history and physical findings, were quantified by using a clinical dysphagia scale (CDS) [3,18,19]. This study was conducted in conformance with the ethical standards of the Declaration of Helsinki (1964) and was approved by the Institutional Review Board of our institution before study initiation. All participants gave their written informed consents before study inclusion.

**Food preparation**

Following 5 diets were used in this study: 1) thin fluid containing of 2 mL 35% diluted barium solution; 2) thickened fluid containing of 2 mL tomato juice-thick fluid (which was made by adding food thickener [Toromi UP; Nisshin Science Co., Yokohama, Japan] to 35% diluted barium solution); 3) rice porridge containing of rice porridge coated with barium; 4) curd type yogurt containing of a mixture of commercial yogurt (Yoplait Classic; Binggrae Co., Seoul, Korea) and barium; and 5) boiled rice containing of boiled rice coated with barium. Every test diet was dyed with different colors to allow discrimination during FEES.

**Study protocol**

The order of test diets comprised two different sets: trial 1 and trial 2. In trial 1, the test diets were sequentially delivered in following order: thin fluid, thickened fluid, rice porridge, curd type yogurt, and boiled rice. In trial 2, rice porridge, curd type yogurt, boiled rice, thickened fluid, and thin fluid were provided sequentially. Trials 1 and 2 were designed for the purpose to compare the effects regarding safety and accuracy. For that purpose, we designed trial 1, beginning with thin fluid (a diet of lower possibility of pharyngeal residue with higher possibility of aspiration) and trial 2, beginning with rice porridge (a diet of higher possibility of pharyngeal residue with lower possibility of aspiration). Two swallows were evaluated for each test diet.

The dysphagic patients were randomly allocated to two groups (A and B) by a random number generator. The study subjects underwent the VFSS and/or the FEES and they were simultaneously performed if the subject was evaluated by both studies. In that case, fiberoptic endoscope was inserted first; thereafter the food was

![Fig. 1. The patients were randomly allocated to two groups (A and B). Subjects underwent the swallowing studies and repeated the study on the same day or within a week. The order of test diets comprised of two different sets: trial 1 with the fluid first and trial 2 with the semi-solid food first. Patients in group A underwent trial 1 first and trial 2 later. Patients in group B underwent trial 2 first and trial 1 later. VFSS, videofluoroscopic swallowing study; FEES, fiberoptic endoscopic evaluation of swallowing.](https://www.e-arm.org)
swallowed with videofluoroscopic evaluation and at last followed an endoscopic evaluation.

A fluoroscope Medix 6000DR (Hitachi Medical Corporation, Tokyo, Japan) was used for VFSS. A transnasal endoscope (PENTAX Medical Company, Montvale, USA) and a video processor (PENTAX Medical Company) were used for FEES.

Patients in group A underwent trial 1 first and trial 2 later. Patients in group B underwent trial 2 first and trial 1 later. Swallowing studies with a different order of test diets were repeated on the same day or within a week. Videos were recorded and divided by test diets (Fig. 1).

The study was immediately stopped for safety reasons if there was massive aspiration (when most of the food bolus entered the airway). The study was also terminated if the patients showed a severe oral phase delay (food bolus stays in the mouth for more than 60 seconds without effective tongue motion) and the reason for the premature termination was recorded.

Evaluation

A physiatrist with more than 2 years experience in performing swallowing studies evaluated the VFSS and FEES. Also the order of test diets was blinded during video analysis. We used the modified penetration-aspiration scale (mPAS) to determine penetration and aspiration (Table 1). The PAS determines the severity of penetration and aspiration from level 1 to 8 depending on the depth that the test diet reached in the larynx and trachea and the elimination of the diet by the cough reflex [20]. The mPAS was revised from the PAS based on penetration, aspiration followed by cough reflex, and aspiration without cough reflex [21]. We also used the pharyngeal residue severity scale (PRSS) to evaluate the severity of residue in the vallecula and pyriform sinus [22] (Table 1).

Primary outcome variables of this study were as follows: differences in the mPAS and PRSS for the vallecula and the pyriform sinus of each diet between trials 1 and 2. We also recorded the total duration of each swallowing study for each patient, the number of studies which were terminated prematurely, any abnormal findings in the post-study chest X-ray and the presence of fever (38.3°C or higher) and pneumonia post-study (by clinical and laboratory findings within one week). All the outcome variables were evaluated by one physiatrist who was blinded to the information of subjects and diets and not involved in the swallowing studies.

The Wilcoxon signed-rank test was used to evaluate differences in outcome variables between trials 1 and 2.

RESULTS

A total of 70 patients were asked to participate in this study.
study and 4 patients refused. A total of 66 subjects (44 men and 22 women, mean age 65.0±15.0 years) were enrolled in the present study. Demographic data, ASHA NOMS and CDS scores showed no significant differences between the 2 groups (Table 2). Forty-three subjects were evaluated with the VFSS only and 23 with both the VFSS and FEES.

There was no significant difference in the mPAS and the PRSS in the vallecula and the pyriform sinus for each diet in trial 1 (which started with the thin fluid) and trial 2 (which started with the rice porridge, see Table 3) in VFSS or FEES (Table 4).

In group A, two patients could not perform trial 2 because of massive aspiration during trial 1, whereas in group B, one patient could not perform trial 1 due to massive aspiration during trial 2. Twenty out of 129 trials (15.5%) failed to finish the VFSS because of severe oral phase delay in 8 trials (6.2%) and due to massive aspiration in 12 trials (9.3%). There was no significant difference in the rate of premature termination for both trials (Table 5).

Furthermore, there was no difference in the duration of studies (489±353 seconds in trial 1, 517±348 seconds in trial 2; p=0.82), rate of abnormal findings in post-study chest X-ray (1 out of 65 in trial 1, 1 out of 64 in trial 2), rate of fever (none in both trials) and pneumonia post-study

### Table 3. Differences in the results of the videofluoroscopic swallowing study between trials 1 and 2 (n=66)

|               | Trial 1  | Trial 2  | p-value |
|---------------|----------|----------|---------|
| mPAS          |          |          |         |
| Thin fluid    | 1.59±1.14| 1.73±1.30| 0.26    |
| Thickened fluid| 1.22±0.51| 1.33±0.66| 0.16    |
| Rice porridge | 1.27±0.84| 1.43±1.12| 0.19    |
| Curd type yogurt| 1.08±0.40| 1.08±0.40| 1.00    |
| Boiled rice   | 1.18±0.67| 1.22±0.71| 0.32    |
| PRSS (vallecula) |       |          |         |
| Thin fluid    | 0.80±0.71| 0.90±0.71| 0.10    |
| Thickened fluid| 0.96±0.58| 1.08±0.67| 0.17    |
| Rice porridge | 1.20±0.79| 1.18±0.83| 0.80    |
| Curd type yogurt| 1.02±0.69| 0.98±0.72| 0.53    |
| Boiled rice   | 1.29±0.96| 1.37±0.97| 0.31    |
| PRSS (pyriform sinus) |       |          |         |
| Thin fluid    | 0.51±0.62| 0.57±0.65| 0.26    |
| Thickened fluid| 0.57±0.61| 0.67±0.66| 0.06    |
| Rice porridge | 0.82±0.63| 0.84±0.72| 0.74    |
| Curd type yogurt| 0.67±0.63| 0.59±0.64| 0.16    |
| Boiled rice   | 0.76±0.75| 0.73±0.73| 0.80    |

Values are presented as mean±standard deviation. mPAS, modified penetration-aspiration scale; PRSS, pharyngeal residue severity scale.

### Table 4. Differences in the results of fiberoptic endoscopic evaluation of swallowing between trials 1 and 2 (n=23)

|               | Trial 1  | Trial 2  | p-value |
|---------------|----------|----------|---------|
| mPAS          |          |          |         |
| Thin fluid    | 1.30±0.66| 1.30±0.66| 1.00    |
| Thickened fluid| 1.10±0.45| 1.10±0.45| 1.00    |
| Rice porridge | 1.05±0.22| 1.15±0.49| 0.32    |
| Curd type yogurt| 1.05±0.22| 1.05±0.22| 1.00    |
| Boiled rice   | 1.00±0.00| 1.00±0.00| 1.00    |
| PRSS (vallecula) |       |          |         |
| Thin fluid    | 1.20±1.00| 1.25±1.02| 0.32    |
| Thickened fluid| 1.60±0.99| 1.45±1.05| 0.41    |
| Rice porridge | 1.70±0.92| 1.70±0.92| 1.00    |
| Curd type yogurt| 1.70±1.22| 1.70±1.17| 1.00    |
| Boiled rice   | 1.80±1.06| 1.90±1.07| 0.16    |
| PRSS (pyriform sinus) |       |          |         |
| Thin fluid    | 0.95±0.89| 1.00±0.86| 0.56    |
| Thickened fluid| 1.25±0.91| 1.15±0.99| 0.41    |
| Rice porridge | 1.30±0.98| 1.35±0.93| 0.56    |
| Curd type yogurt| 1.30±1.34| 1.30±1.34| 1.00    |
| Boiled rice   | 1.20±1.15| 1.30±1.17| 0.16    |

Values are presented as mean±standard deviation. mPAS, modified penetration-aspiration scale; PRSS, pharyngeal residue severity scale.

### Table 5. Rate of videofluoroscopic swallowing study completion and causes of premature termination

|               | Trial 1 (n=65) | Trial 2 (n=64) | Total (n=129) |
|---------------|---------------|---------------|--------------|
| Complete study| 55 (84.6)     | 54 (84.4)     | 109 (84.5)   |
| Premature termination |        |               |              |
| Severe oral phase delay | 4 (6.2) | 4 (6.3) | 8 (6.2)  |
| Massive aspiration | 6 (9.2) | 6 (9.4) | 12 (9.3) |
| Diets causing massive aspiration |       |       |          |
| Thin fluid | 2 | 1 | 3 |
| Thickened fluid | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| Rice porridge | 3 | 4 | 7 |

Values are presented as number (%).
DISCUSSION

The results of this study showed that the order of test diets did not significantly affect the evaluation of penetration, aspiration, and pharyngeal residues. Moreover, the order of test diets did not significantly change the total duration of study, the rate of prematurely terminated study, the rate of abnormal findings in post-study chest X-ray as well as the rate of fever and pneumonia post-study.

Several sequences of test diets have been proposed for a swallowing study. The order of consecutive diluted barium, pudding, and cookie was proposed by Logemann [12]. This method, which starts with fluid, has been widely used because liquids do not block the airway even if they are aspirated. Holas et al. [23] reported that pneumonia is less likely from aspiration of fluid than from aspiration of thicker foods [12,24,25]. There were several modified versions of the ‘fluid-first’ order of test diets [7-9,11]. These methods considered safety issues first. However, although fluid has traditionally been thought to be safer than solid food when aspirated, fluid has a higher risk of aspiration than solid food [12,14]. Thus, some examiners prefer not to start with fluid [10,21].

In this study, we tried two sets of test diets: one started with fluid and the other started with rice porridge. The main issue of this study was to compare between the start with fluid and the start with other than fluid. Although rice porridge had similar viscosity with curd type yogurt, we used both test diets for this study because they have different characteristics: the former need mastication and the latter do not. Moreover, boiled rice is used as the staple food in East Asian cultures. In Asia, rice porridge is frequently used as an alternative food for dysphagic patients due to its nature, easiness for mastication, lesser risk for aspiration than boiled rice, and cultural adaptability. Usually most of dysphagic patients in our hospital start dysphagic food with rice porridge and move on to boiled rice if possible. Therefore, above mentioned diets are used for the swallowing studies in our hospital and fit for the most of our dysphagic patients.

This study had some limitations. First, we did not discourage subjects from clearing the pharyngeal residue themselves by multiple swallowing or throat clearing. These actions could have minimized the effect of pharyngeal residues of previous diets. Second, the swallowing function was not fully evaluated in patients who showed severe oral phase delay or massive aspiration during swallowing studies. Therefore, patients with severe dysphagia may have been excluded from the analysis. Thus, the findings of this study may not be applicable to patients with severe dysphagia. Third, most of our study subjects suffered from dysphagia secondary to neurologic etiologies. Therefore, the study findings may not be generalized to dysphagia of other etiologies, such as postoperative dysphagia. Fourth, most of the patients (58 of 66 patients) underwent trials 1 and 2 on the same day and the post-study chest X-ray was taken once after the completion of both studies. Therefore, a safety analysis could only be done for the 8 patients who underwent swallowing studies and post-study chest X-rays on two different days. Finally, only 23 (34.8%) patients were evaluated by FEES because the remaining patients declined to perform the FEES or failed to cooperate during the examination. Thus, the statistical analysis was limited in the FEES.

In conclusion, the accuracy and safety of the swallowing studies did not rely on the order of the test diets. Thus, various orders of test diets can be chosen according to the characteristics of individual patients.
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