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Abstract: Back angle measurement in coronal plane provides a significant insight into the condition of spinal cord for certain physical conditions. This data would especially be useful for sports and therapeutic conditions, namely for modification of playing styles, ergonomic design, treatment of back pain and many more. Such data can also be used for injury predictions in case of repeated similar lower back movements. In this communication the authors implemented a wearable wireless system which can gather the necessary data from the candidate’s body which can be further used with data analytic techniques. The implemented system is based on a modular platform which uses plug and play hardware modules viz. micro-controller, accelerometer sensor, gyroscope and an RF module. A wireless node designed, which can use the sensor data to analyze lower back movement of subject’s body. A hub-module is connected to the laptop at remote site for wireless data acquisition of the meaningful signals. The implemented system shows improvement in experiment error which is an advantage over similar existing systems.
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I. INTRODUCTION

The study of the different bio-mechanical motions can yield considerable information regarding the present physical condition of the body. This is especially important consideration for athletes and persons undergoing physical rehabilitation to enhance their play style or accelerate their recuperation respectively. In the human body, pelvis and spinal cord are two of the most important bone structures which operate to support the upper body weight under a variety of conditions. Improper seating arrangements, involving these bones and the associated muscles tends to lead to back-pains and injuries [1]. Discomfort levels at pelvis increases after 45 minutes, 60 minutes, 75 minutes, and 90 minutes when analyzed with the initial phase of 15 minutes in case of prolonged sitting in males [2]. Due to the importance of back angle in determination of the possibilities of back-injuries, measurement of the former is of significant importance. Some of the researchers had carried research work in developing devices and also using off the shelf devices which can be used for sports and therapeutic applications.

Kang et. al. [3] used a wearable device on the wrist with wireless reporting functionality. Bio-signals such as ECG, pulse oximetry, blood pressure, body surface temperature, and respiration rate were collected and transmitted through RF channel. Pham et. al. [4] designed a system to monitor walking style of normal walkers and people walking with front wheel. The designed system estimates complete length of one step, time taken to complete one step, speed by which step is taken, and the distance which was used for rehabilitation assessment while walking. Russell et. al. [5] in their paper had shown the use of sensory substitution for posture detection using acoustic and temperature sensors. Laufer et. al. [6] in their research work designed a hierarchical model based on fuzzy logic concept to classify sports activity risk level using the person’s status and previously analyzed medical conditions of the same person. Roy et. al. [7] used PPG signals and ANN for health monitoring application.

In previous few years, there has been an exponential growth in the applications of sensor enabled wearable devices as discussed by Kos et. al. [8]. One of the applications as discussed by Chang et. al. [9] is health monitoring. Zhang et. al. [10] have used wearable devices for human and machine interaction purposes. Chen et al. [11] in their research had explained wearable devices used as educational tools. A case study on performance evaluation and sports monitoring is discussed by Kos et al. [8] in their research article. The implemented hardware can capture motion of different limbs and joints in a human body. These calculations are based on the parameters which describe dynamics of human body i.e. displacements, velocities and accelerations as discussed by Bort et. al. [12], Novacheck et. al. [13], and Hammer et. al. [14]. In general, measurement of movement characteristics of sport’s professional is done in a controlled laboratory environment which consists of physiological tests as explained by James el. al. [15]. The major drawback in such kind of test is the constrained laboratory surroundings which is dissimilar to the actual conditions faced by the sports professionals. Research had been performed by Othman et. al. [16] to calculate flexion angles using rotary based potentiometers at finger joints. Masdar et al. [17] have used flex sensors attached on cloth to get knee movement range. Fathi et. al. [18] performed experiments to find spine curvatures using Shimmer sensor and recorded accelerometer sensor data in X, Y, and Z directions and used Symbolic Aggregate Approximation method for posture classification. Koumantakis et. al. [19] used smart phone application to measure sagittal lumbosacral quiet standing posture. Another exploration on motion based sensors for activity recognition was discussed by Bao et. al. [20] and Mantyjarvi et. al. [21]. They have used a methodology in which multiple sensors were used at
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different body locations. Bao et al. [20] used dual axis accelerometer sensor in their research and collected accelerometer data from sensors placed at different locations of human limbs (4 in nos.). Mantyjarvi et al. [21] uses 3-axis accelerometer based sensor module installed on the athlete’s waist covering both extreme sides.

The designed system in this research article uses a sensor module which is used to calculate lower back deviation angles in coronal plane. The collected data can be used for lower back posture analysis in sports and therapeutic applications. The main advantage and novelty of this system is the flexibility in selecting the type of gyroscope as all blocks are designed using plug and play design architecture. This reduces the preference of selecting off the shelf devices which lacks flexibility in design architecture and sensor selection. The gyroscope values were only used and accelerometer values were not collected as the objective of the research work was to analyze deviation angles and calculate sector span of lower back in coronal plane. The research work carried out in this paper was based on the following points: 1) categorization of subjects based on lower back deviation angle in coronal plane, 2) replacing goniometers with digital sensor node, 3) synchronization introduced for sampling data, and 4) reduced device error in measuring joint angles.

II. IMPLEMENTED SYSTEM

The design of the system is divided into four major blocks. The components are assembled on a single PCB and are categorized as: 1) sensing block; 2) processing block (i.e. CPU); 3) communication block (i.e. wireless communication using nRF24L01); and 4) power supply block. All these locks are independent of each other and follow a modular design approach. The sensor module contains a gyroscope which is integrated inside a single chip i.e. MPU6050. Data generated from MPU6050 sensor is used for calculation of deviation angles of lower back in coronal plane for athletes and patients with lower back pain. The CPU module acts as the brain of the implemented hardware and is responsible for sensor data collection and processing of data for wireless transmission. The CPU operates on 3.3V power supply and has 5V tolerable 14 I/O lines. The wireless module (nRF24L01) is embedded on the same node and is responsible for wireless transmission of data to the wireless receiver at the hub. The wireless transmission is taking place at 115200 baud rate i.e. both wireless transmitter and wireless receiver is configured at 115200 baud rate. The forth module i.e. 9V rechargeable battery is used to supply power to the wireless node. An onboard voltage regulator IC at Arduino Nano converts the 9V supply to the desired 3.3V DC supply for the CPU. This eliminates the need of wired power source and make the node handy which can be used for data collection in the field or clinics in case of patients. Necessary experimental setup is explained to validate the correctness of the implemented design in section III.

Fig. 1 shows the flowchart for wireless sensor node implementation. It process the acquired signals i.e. Gx; Gy; Gz and transmit these values to the hub for further data analytics. The sensor was kept stationary when the calibration was going on and it takes around 5 seconds to complete the calibration process which reset the gyroscope sensor to zero. Secondly, lag introduced is negligible as data from the sensor is captured just by performing experiment for duration of 4 seconds for one subject.

III. CUSTOM DESIGNED GONIOMETER TESTBED DEVELOPMENT

An experimental setup was designed and fabricated to test the hardware devices and calculate performance metrics while performing experiments. In this testing setup a movable acrylic rod of length 10cm and cross sectional area of 1cm² was mounted on a test bed base of 3mm thick acrylic sheet.
The movable rod is fixed at a point on the test bed using a smooth rivet joint. The rod can move in a sector covering a total span of 90°. Two stoppers are used to mark starting and ending locations of the movable rod. The implemented hardware device having gyroscope sensor was mounted on this movable rod for testing and calculating performance metrics. Fig. 2 shows the testing and benchmarking setup which was used with gyroscope based wearable node for hardware validation. Various performance metrics was calculated using this test bed such as accuracy, error, dead time, precession, sensitivity, sampling rate and baud rate. The measured metrics are given in Table II in section V. The sensor device was mounted on the movable rod at the rivet joint before performing experiments. To calculate these parameters the wireless hardware node is aligned with the axis of rotation at the rivet joint around which the hardware node is rotated. The rotation is carried from 360° to 270° and vice versa for 2 times.

A. Validation of Hardware and Experiment Process Using Custom Designed Goniometer Testbed

The experiments performed with the implemented hardware device were validated with measured values of the custom designed goniometer testbed. In the validation process the movement angle of the sensor node mounted on the testbed is measured using goniometer also. The goniometer measured value is then used for validation of observed experimental values of the movement angles. Fig. 3 is used to measure the rotation angle of NRF node around Z-axis. Stopper 1 and stopper 2 are used to restrict the movement of the acrylic rod (on which the NRF node is mounted) beyond the rotation angle span of 90° (i.e. 270° – 360°). Using cosine rule as given in (1), \( \angle C \) (angle between side a and side b) can be calculated if sides a, b, and c of the triangle are known. The proposed experiments were performed with reference side lengths as \( a = 60\text{mm} \) and \( b = 80\text{mm} \). Using these values of sides a and b, mathematically calculated value of side c is 100\text{mm}. These values represent a special case of cosine rule known as pythagoras theorem. But measured value of side c with vernier caliper is 101\text{mm}, hence \( \angle C \neq 90^\circ \).

![Diagram of Test Bed](image)

Fig. 2: Custom designed goniometer testbed for testing and validation of implemented hardware device.

![Diagram of Goniometer Testbed](image)

Fig. 3: Reference diagram for validation of sector angle at the time of testbed experiments: where, a, b, and c are sides of the triangle in mm and \( \angle A, \angle B, \) and \( \angle C \) are the inner angles of the triangle.

\[
c^2 = a^2 + b^2 - 2ab \cos \theta \quad \ldots \quad (1)
\]

The actual value of \( \angle C \) is calculated using (1) and it’s value is 91.19°. This theoretically calculated value when compared with the experimental value (RMS value of \( \angle C = 91.25^\circ \)) observed from the test bed, it gives a root mean square error (RMSE) of 0.06° in rotational angular span for NRF wireless node around Z-axis.

IV. HARDWARE DESIGN

To overcome the disadvantages of wired and Zigbee node a wireless NRF node was designed. It consists of transceiver block based on NRF communication chip viz. nRF24L01 module working on a world wide ISM frequency band at 2.4GHz – 2.4835GHz and is embedded with a baseband protocol engine known as Enhanced ShockBurst™. It is an ultra low power wireless module which supports long battery life and charging is not required frequently. The power consumption of this module is very low and it just consume around 12mA of current during transmission.

1) NRF Node Design: A wireless node was designed consisting of...
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MPU6050 sensor which is used for data collections. Fig. 4 shows the circuit of wireless NRF node. The design was based on Arduino Nano CPU which performs calibration of MPU6050 sensor module before logging data for processing. The sensor should remain stationary for 5 seconds so that the CPU can perform calibration precisely. After calibration the sensor data from the MPU6050 was collected by the Arduino Nano and is processed for further transmission. The collected data is then transmitted through nRF24L01 transmitter at 115200 baud rate. The baud rate is configurable but is set at 115200 in order to perform wireless communication at high speed. The nRF24L01 module consumes 12mA of current while transmission. An on-board red status LED indicates calibration completion and battery status. It blinks 5 times when the calibration of MPU6050 sensor is done. A voltage divider circuit is designed to keep track of battery discharging. Status LED will be in OFF state if the battery voltage is more than the 75% of maximum value and it will be in ON state otherwise. The Node is designed using a modular approach by using plug and play interfacing modules. A double sided PCB is fabricated which can contain all the modules on a single plane along with battery for power supply. Cut out were also made in the PCB in order to mount the node on a wearable belt.

2) NRF Hub Design: Hub is used as a receiver which collects all the sensed values from the node. It is equipped with a NRF based transceiver which helps to receive and transmit wireless data. Transmission from hub is required for acknowledgment and handshaking which helps in avoiding data loss at high baud rate values. The CPU used in Hub is Arduino Nano which is connected to the laptop.

All the received data is being used for data analytics with help of Java based GUI. The Java utility creates CSV file for multi axis sensor data coming from the node. Fig. 5 shows the schematic diagram for Hub which has a synchronous switch (denoted as “Start”) for introducing synchronization in Hub-Node architecture.

V. RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

The implemented hardware system was used to perform experiments on the custom designed goniometer test setup (shown in fig. 2) and on 20 subjects (both male and female). Performance metrics were calculated for the implemented hardware design and are shown in table II. Following experiment was designed to be executed for calculation of performance metrics.

A. Experiments with Goniometer

Hardware Validation Experiment with custom designed goniometer: In this experiment the sensor node is mounted at the rivet joint of the custom designed goniometer and was rotated continuously at moderate speed to complete one quadrant (360° – 270°) and back to the 360° position. Fig. 6 shows the periodic motion of the wireless NRF node around z- axis (z-axis is outward of the sensor node, orthogonal to the goniometer plane) from 360° – 270° – 360°. At some instances the angle value sensed from the MPU6050 gyroscope sensor crosses the 360° mark on the testbed and moves beyond it, as a result of this the sensor gives reading slightly greater than 0° as shown in fig. 6 by the pointer. The experiment was performed 28 times and minimum angle (∠min ≈ 270°) and maximum angle (∠max ≈ 360°) were calculated to get the angular sector span in each case. From these values the angular span was calculated as ∠max – ∠min (if the ∠max ≤ 360° and ∠max ≥ ∠min) or it is equal to 360° – ∠min + ∠max (if ∠max ≥ 0° and ∠max ≤ ∠min).
A comparative study for errors for different system used by various researchers is shown in table I. It showed the maximum induced error of 10° by Wen et. al. [24] and the minimum induced RMSE in 1D for lateral plane of 0.5° by Charry et. al. [27]. The implemented hardware design in this research article achieved a low RMSE value of 0.06° as shown in part A of section III.

Fig. 7 shows the distribution of angular span values of $\Delta \theta$ for all iterations of experiments performed. It is observed from the histogram that the distribution is a Gaussian distribution and is having a peak at 90.9° which states that mode of the distribution is 90.9°. The mean, median, mode and standard deviation of the sampled data is calculated and observed as mean ($\mu$) = 91.2°, median = 91.0°, mode = 90.9° and standard deviation ($\sigma$) = 1.2°.

A comparative analysis is shown for three different datasets in fig. 10. The periodic movement of angular motion around Z-axis from different datasets is shown using three different colors. Zero crossing, points where the angle value crosses and becomes greater than 0° is shown by vertical dips in the figure. There are zero crossing points in almost all case because of misalignment error in

---

**TABLE I: Comparison of different systems based on induced error.** (*implemented design in the present research article.*)

| S. No. | Authors | Error | Remark |
|-------|---------|-------|--------|
| 1     | Bonnet et. al. [22] | +/-1.5° | Maximum joint error |
| 2     | Shaghayegh et al. [23] | +/-2.1° | Accuracy for knee joint angle |
| 3     | Wen et al. [24] | 10° | Roll misalignment (left leg) |
| 4     | Wen et al. [24] | 10° | Roll misalignment (right pocket) |
| 5     | Furukawa et al. [25] | 3.1° | Vertebra rotational error |
| 6     | Lou et al. [26] | 5° | Maximum angular error |
| 7     | Charry et al. [27] | 1° | RMSE for 1D in flexion plane |
| 8     | Charry et al. [27] | 0.5° | RMSE for 1D in lateral plane |
| 9     | Charry et al. [27] | 2.1° | RMSE for 3D in twist plane |
| 10    | Charry et al. [27] | 2.4° | RMSE for 3D in flexion plane |
| 11    | Charry et al. [27] | 2.4° | RMSE for 3D in lateral plane |
| 12    | Charry et al. [27] | 4.6° | RMSE for 3D in twist plane |
| 13    | Masdar et al. [17] | 6.92° | Average error rate |
| 14    | Present Article* | 0.06° | RMSE in this research article |
the system as stated in section II. From this figure it can be analyzed that all three readings shows similar pattern and it can be concluded that such similar pattern had been adopted by all experimental results for angular movement on the custom designed goniometer testbed.

Fig. 10: Periodic motion of wireless NRF node around z-axis from 360° to 270° and back to initial position for three data sets.

Fig. 11 shows the scatter plot resembling a linear variation of +/- error values with respect to the experimental angle value. It was observed that the majority of the sector angle were having error values of ±1° i.e. the experimental angular span value was observed from 89° to 91°.
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| Feature          | Value  | Remark                        |
|------------------|--------|-------------------------------|
| Accuracy         | 84.14% | Accuracy was achieved with error of +/- 1° |
| Error (RMSE)     | 0.06°  | Root Mean Square Error was calculated |
| Dead Time        | 3.3ms  | Time for which readings was not coming |
| Precision        | 0.01°  | Least count of the designed hardware |
| Sensitivity      | +/-250°/s | Full scale range of gyroscope in dps |
| Sampling Rate    | 300    | No. of samples gathered per second |
| Baud Rate        | 115200 | No. of symbols transmitted per second |

B. Experiments on Subjects

The aim of the experiment is to find sector span angle of lower back of the subjects while walking. The total sector span angle is the angle formed by lower back deviation angles. As shown in fig. 12 the lower spine deviates in coronal plane towards left and right directions while walking. The total sector span angle is calculated from maximum deviation in both directions. The experiments were performed on 20 subjects (10 male and 10 female), and all were asked to walk in normal walking style for a fixed distance. The wireless sensor node was mounted on the lower back of the subject using a wearable belt. Before start of experiment the subject were asked to remain stationary for 5 seconds for sensor calibration and then after pressing the synchronization switch the wireless hub starts receiving the lower back deviation angles from the subjects while walking.

Fig. 12: a) Representation of deviation of lower spine in coronal plane while walking; b) Representation of sector span angle of lower spine while walking.

Fig. 13 shows the periodic lower back movement. It shows the deviation angles captured from the gyroscope around Z-axis (i.e. axis perpendicular to the lateral/coronal plane). The performed experiments shows that there was movement of lumbar spine in both directions around the spine. The measured values also indicate that the angular values were not same in both directions around the axis but varies on the body posture while walking. This type of study can be used as meaningful data for predicting posture correction techniques while playing and recovering from back pain problems.

TABLE II: Performance metrics
The final design was implemented on custom design PCB using open source hardware modules. This design was based on nRF24L01 wireless module and operates in a frequency range of 2.4GHz to 2.4835GHz. The system was designed for back angle measurement in wireless mode so that the measured data can be effectively used for posture correction, injury prediction and lower back analysis in sports and therapeutic applications.
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