Adsorption of aliphatic polyhydroxy carboxylic acids on gibbsite: pH dependency and importance of adsorbate structure
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Abstract

Background: Aliphatic (poly)hydroxy carboxylic acids [(P)HCA] occur in natural, e.g. soils, and in technical (waste disposal sites, nuclear waste repositories) compartments. Their distribution, mobility and chemical reactivity, e.g. complex formation with metal ions and radionuclides, depend, among others, on their adsorption onto mineral surfaces. Aluminium hydroxides, e.g. gibbsite [α-Al(OH)₃], are common constituents of related solid materials and mimic the molecular surface properties of clay minerals. Thus, the study was pursued to characterize the adsorption of glycolic, threonic, tartaric, gluconic, and glucaric acids onto gibbsite over a wide pH and (P)HCA concentration range. To consider specific conditions occurring in radioactive wastes, adsorption applying an artificial cement pore water (pH 13.3) as solution phase was investigated additionally.

Results: The sorption of gluconic acid at pH 4, 7, 9, and 12 was best described by the "two-site" Langmuir isotherm, combining "high affinity" sorption sites (adsorption affinity constants $K_L1 > 1 \text{ L mmol}^{-1}$, adsorption capacities $< 6.5 \text{ mmol kg}^{-1}$) with "low affinity" sites ($K_L2 < 0.1 \text{ L mmol}^{-1}$, adsorption capacities $> 19 \text{ mmol kg}^{-1}$). The total adsorption capacities at pH 9 and 12 were roughly tenfold of that at pH 4 and 7. The S-shaped pH sorption edge of gluconic acid was modelled applying a constant capacitance model, considering electrostatic interactions, hydrogen bonding, surface complex formation, and formation of solved polynuclear complexes between $\text{Al}^{3+}$ ions and gluconic acid. A Pearson and Spearman rank correlation between (P)HCA molecular properties and adsorption parameters revealed the high importance of the size and the charge of the adsorbates.

Conclusions: The adsorption behaviour of (P)HCAs is best described by a combination of adsorption properties of carboxylic acids at acidic pH and of polyols at alkaline pH. Depending on the molecular properties of the adsorbates and on pH, electrostatic interactions, hydrogen bonding, and ternary surface complexation contribute in varying degrees to the adsorption process. Linear distribution coefficients $K_d$ between 8.7 and 60.5 L kg$^{-1}$ (1 mmol L$^{-1}$ initial PHCA concentration) indicate a considerable mineral surface affinity at very high pH, thus lowering the PHCA fraction available for the complexation of metal ions including radionuclides in solution and their subsequent mobilization.
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Background

Sorption of aliphatic polyhydroxy carboxylic acids (PHCA) onto mineral surfaces influences their fate in natural and technical compartments. Depending on their coordination and sorption properties, they may also modify the distribution and mobility of metal ions including radionuclides in these compartments.

(P)HCA comprise a group of low molecular weight compounds that exhibit one or more carboxyl and at least two hydroxyl functions. The PHCA representatives tartaric and ketogluconic acids were detected in root
and microbial exudates, contributing to nutrient uptake (phosphate, trace elements) from minerals and soils [1, 2]. The high alkaline pH in radioactive wastes solidified by cement addition initiates the hydrolysis of cellulolic materials releasing sugar and other polyhydroxy carboxylic acids with α-isosaccharinic acid as the major compound [3–6]. High microbial activities in natural environments, e.g., soils, result in fast turnover rates of these compounds and in subsequent low concentrations (lower µmol L⁻¹ range typically). However, higher concentrations might occur in cementitious repositories, where strongly alkaline conditions minimize activities of microbes.

Due to the availability of at least three electron pair donating functional groups, (P)HCA act as polydentate ligands able to chelate metal ions in aqueous solutions. So far, as investigated, (P)HCA complex stability, e.g., of metal gluconates, is low or moderate at acidic or neutral pH, but increases by several orders of magnitude at strongly alkaline pH due to the deprotonation of hydroxyl groups and their subsequent participation in chelate formation [7–10]. Thus, the occurrence and potential interactions of natural chelating agents, i.e., (P)HCA, with radionuclides is of crucial importance for safety assessment of cementitious geological disposal facilities [6, 11, 12].

Gibbsite [α-Al(OH)₃] is the thermodynamically most stable polymorph of aluminium hydroxide under environmental conditions [13]. It represents the octahedral layer of many clay minerals and the Al containing phase in cementitious materials commonly used in low-level radioactive waste disposal. From the two kinds (singly and doubly coordinated by Al) of surface aluminol groups (≡Al–OH), only the singly coordinated type, which accounts for roughly 5% of all surface functions, is expected to take part in surface acid–base reactions and in electrostatic interactions in the pH range between 4 and 10 [14, 15]. Furthermore, their Lewis acidity and reactivity towards ligand exchange is high, because edge-located Al ions are coordinately unsaturated.

When investigating the sorption of organic acids onto Al minerals, one main topic is the pH influence on the distribution equilibrium. As for the adsorption of aliphatic and aromatic polycarboxylic acids, it was repeatedly found that the adsorbed amounts reached a maximum within the pH range of 4.0–5.5 and strongly decreased with increasing pH up to the isoelectric point (pH_{IEP}) of the minerals [16–20]. This pH dependency of the adsorption maximum is usually explained by an optimal balance between the degree of the acid dissociation which increases with rising pH, depending on the respective pKₐ values, and the number of positive charges on the mineral surface which concomitantly decreases. The pH influence on the sorption of an aromatic polyhydroxy compound (1,2,3-benzenetriol) greatly differed from that of the aromatic polycarboxylates. For the polyol, the maximum of the adsorption density showed a broad plateaux shape near pH 7 and sorption remained significant even at pH_{IEP} in the alkaline pH range [20]. The sorption of the monosaccharides glucose and fructose on alumina was highest at pH_{IEP} and remained high at pH 12.3 [21]. Sugar alcohols, the reduced forms of the corresponding monosaccharides, adsorbed onto gibbsite even at pH 14 [22]. The adsorbed amounts increased with molecular size, the number of hydroxyl groups, and the fulfilment of specific steric constraints.

To develop a mechanistic understanding of surface reactions, e.g., surface complex formation, the pH-dependent ligand species distribution and the complex formation in solution need to be considered. Al³⁺ ions are coordinated by (P)HCA at pH < 10 via the carboxyl and the α-hydroxy group, preferentially in a bidentate manner, accompanied by a stepwise deprotonation of further hydroxyl groups with increasing pH. At pH > 10, the coordinating carboxyl group is progressively replaced by a second, vicinal hydroxyl group sustaining bidentate chelation. If the required number of hydroxyl groups is available in suited steric positions as in gluconic acid, tridentate coordination is realized [9, 23].

The discussed types of surface binding are outer-sphere complexation (≡Al–OH₂⁺L⁻) at high positive surface charge together with polar interactions, i.e., H bonding, and inner-sphere complexation, relevant within a wide pH range. A further hypothesized bonding mechanism is ternary surface complex formation with complexes already formed in solution. The relative importance of these mechanisms might vary not only with pH, but also with adsorbate properties, stoichiometric ratio of adsorbate molecules to surface sites, ionic strength, and dissolved Al ion concentrations.

To the best of our knowledge, sorption of (P)HCA on α-Al(OH)₃ was only investigated by Coyne et al. [23], who did not consider the aspects of the sorption mechanism, and by Essington and Anderson [15], who focused on competitive adsorption of 2-ketogluconic acid and inorganic ligands.

To elucidate (P)HCA sorption on gibbsite, the (P) HCA phase distribution in gibbsite suspensions was determined and fitted by Langmuir and composite Freundlich–Langmuir isotherms in this study. The sorption kinetics and edges were measured for gluconic acid. Surface and adsorbate species at respective pH were assessed and evidence for the postulated mechanisms was gained by surface complexation modelling. The importance of molecular adsorbate properties for surface binding at pH 13.3 was examined. Compared with the adsorption
properties of structurally related compounds, i.e. polyols and polycarboxylates, mutual and distinct reaction characteristics of (P)HCA have been outlined.

**Methods**

**Materials**

Four PHCA and glycolic acid (GLY, free acid, received from Merck, Darmstadt, Germany) were used for sorption experiments. The PHCA l-tartaric acid (TAR, sodium salt) and d-gluconic acid (GLU, sodium salt) were also purchased from Merck. d-glucaric acid (GLA, synonym: saccharic acid, potassium salt) came from Sigma Aldrich (Seelze, Germany) and d-threonic acid (THR, chemical calcium salt monohydrate) was supplied by Fluka (Taufkirchen, Germany). All the organic acids as well as the other chemicals were of analytical grade. Properties and Fischer projections of the compounds are given in Table 1.

A synthetic gibbsite MARTINAL® OL-111/LE (Martinswerk GmbH, Bergheim, Germany) with a purity of 99.4% (data certified by supplier) was used without further pretreatment. The purity of the material was confirmed by X-ray diffraction (D500, Siemens AG, Munich, Germany) and scanning electron microscopy (LEO 435 LV, Leo Elektronenmikroskopie GmbH, Oberkochen, Germany). The amount of surface hydroxyl groups and their acid–base properties were characterized by batch-back titration [24], using a PC-controlled titration system (751 GPD Titrino with software Vesuv 3.0, Metrohm AG, Herisau, Switzerland). For this purpose, suspensions of 1.0 g of gibbsite in 50 mL of NaClO₄ solution were prepared, establishing three parallel series with 0.1, 0.01, and 0.001 M NaClO₄ concentrations, respectively. Then diluted nitric acid or sodium hydroxide solutions were added in such a manner that every incremental pH value (0.1–0.2 pH unit increments) between 3.0 and 12.0 was adjusted using an individual sample for every specific pH value. The consumed acid or base amounts were registered. The sample vessels were end-over-end shaken overnight at room temperature. Afterwards, the phases were separated by centrifugation (5000g) and membrane filtration (0.2 μm). After pH determination, 25 mL of each supernatant was collected and slowly back titrated until the initial pH value was reached. Between any titre dosage, a hold time of 3 min was maintained. The amount of active surface hydroxyl groups was deduced from the differences between the titre amounts added during the first and the second titration step. The remaining amount of supernatant was used for the analytical determination of solved Al ions (AAS 3030, Perkin-Elmer, Überlingen, Germany). Based on these data, the amounts of gibbsite particles in the titrated solutions were calculated. Due to the low concentration of solved Al³⁺-ions in the pH range from 5.0 to 9.3, no correction of the titration data with respect to potential consumption of titre reagents by gibbsite solubilization was made.

**Sorption experiments**

Sorption of the (P)HCA was determined in batch experiments at room temperature with equilibration times of 24 h. Batches contained 2 g of gibbsite suspended in 10 mL of background electrolyte, filled in centrifuge tubes made of Teflon or polyethylene. Experiments with GLU at varying pH values were conducted in 5 mmol L⁻¹ NaClO₄. An artificial cement pore water (ACPW) was used instead of NaClO₄ in experiments conducted to

---

**Table 1 Properties of the (poly)hydroxy carboxylic acids**

| Compound name (acid) | Acronym | CAS-no. | Sum formula | Molar mass [g mol⁻¹] | No. of OH | No. of COOH | pKₐ₁ | pKₐ₂ |
|----------------------|---------|---------|-------------|----------------------|----------|-------------|------|------|
| Glycolic             | GLY     | 76-14-1 | C₂H₄O₃     | 76.04                | 1        | 1           | 3.83 | n.a.|
| d-Threonic           | THR     | 70753-61-6 | C₄H₈O₅ | 136.10              | 3        | 1           | 3.86¹ | n.a.|
| l-Tartaric           | TAR     | 87-69-4 | C₄H₆O₆     | 150.09               | 2        | 2           | 2.98 | 4.34|
| d-Gluconic           | GLU     | 527-07-1 | C₆H₁₂O₇    | 196.16               | 5        | 1           | 3.86 | n.a.|
| d-Glucaric           | GLA     | 576-42-1 | C₆H₁₀O₈    | 210.14               | 4        | 2           | 3.17 | 3.97|

COOH
CH₂OH

| Glycolic acid | d-Threonic acid | l-Tartaric acid | d-Gluconic acid | d-Glucaric acid |
|---------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|

n.a., not applicable

¹ Value of gluconic acid
examine the potential correlations between the structural features of (P)HCA and their sorption behaviour. The ACPW should mimic the pore water composition characteristically for some types and process stages of nuclear waste solidification. The ACPW contained the following ion concentrations: 114 mmol L\(^{-1}\) \(\text{Na}^+\), 180 mmol L\(^{-1}\) \(\text{K}^+\) and 2 mmol L\(^{-1}\) \(\text{Ca}^{2+}\), resulting in a total ionic strength of about 300 mmol L\(^{-1}\) and a pH of 13.3 [25]. All experiments using the ACPW medium were conducted in a glove box under a nitrogen atmosphere. Sorption isotherms were recorded for all (P)HCA at initial adsorbate concentrations between 0.01 and 250 mmol L\(^{-1}\), respectively, subdivided into 10–12 concentration levels. Isotherms at pH 4, 7, 9, and 12, respectively, were recorded for GLU dissolved in NaClO\(_4\) solutions. The background electrolyte NaClO\(_4\) was added to provide a moderate and constant ion concentration in solution even at very low GLU concentrations and to avoid extreme changes of the composition and extension of the electrical double layer at the gibbsite surface. Phase separation was done by centrifugation (5000g) and filtration through 0.2 µm cellulose acetate filters.

The sorption kinetics of GLU were investigated in 11 time steps from 0.5 to 96 h. The solid-to-solution ratio was 1 g:5 mL and an initial GLU concentration of 1 mmol L\(^{-1}\) was adjusted in the 5 mmol L\(^{-1}\) NaClO\(_4\) electrolyte. The resulting pH was 9.0, determined after 96 h. No buffer was added for pH stabilization.

The pH dependency of GLU sorption (sorption edge) was further determined from pH 3.4 to pH 12.2 at an initial GLU concentration of 1 mmol L\(^{-1}\). Gibbsite solubility in the suspensions was controlled by AAS.

The equilibrium concentrations of the (P)HCA in the supernatants were determined by ion exclusion chromatography. A Dionex (Sunnyvale, CA) DX-500 system was used equipped with an isocratic high pressure pump IP 20, a Dionex IonPac HPICE-AS 6 separation column, and a Shimadzu (Duisburg, Germany) SPD 10 AVP UV/VIS detector, wavelength set to 210 nm. 0.55 mmol L\(^{-1}\) \(\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4\) served as eluent. Due to the fast lactonization of GLU under that conditions, the GLU signal corresponded mainly to the lactone form of the analyte. Equal lactonization degrees of the GLU calibration standard in the suspensions was controlled by AAS.

The equilibrium concentrations of the (P)HCA in the supernatants were determined by ion exclusion chromatography. A Dionex (Sunnyvale, CA) DX-500 system was used equipped with an isocratic high pressure pump IP 20, a Dionex IonPac HPICE-AS 6 separation column, and a Shimadzu (Duisburg, Germany) SPD 10 AVP UV/VIS detector, wavelength set to 210 nm. 0.55 mmol L\(^{-1}\) \(\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4\) served as eluent. Due to the fast lactonization of GLU under that conditions, the GLU signal corresponded mainly to the lactone form of the analyte. Equal lactonization degrees of the GLU calibration standard in the suspensions was controlled by AAS.

Sorption isotherms and data analysis
Sorption isotherms were mostly S shaped and thus described by a two-site Langmuir equation (Eq. 1) [26, 27]. This isotherm combines two Langmuir terms and assumes two types of sorption sites,

\[
c_s(c_{eq}) = \frac{K_{L1} \cdot c_{sat1} \cdot c_{eq}}{1 + K_{L1} \cdot c_{eq}} + \frac{K_{L2} \cdot c_{sat2} \cdot c_{eq}}{1 + K_{L2} \cdot c_{eq}},
\]

where \(c_s\) is the sorbed concentration [mol kg\(^{-1}\)], \(c_{eq}\) [mol L\(^{-1}\)] the equilibrium concentration in the liquid phase, \(K_{L1}\) [L mol\(^{-1}\)] the sorption affinity constant, and \(c_{sat}\) [mol kg\(^{-1}\)] the sorption capacity of the second (index 2) type of sorption sites.

Some sorption reactions were additionally fitted with the Freundlich isotherm which is defined as:

\[
c_s(c_{eq}) = K_F \cdot c_{eq}^n,
\]

where \(c_s\) is the sorbed concentration [mol kg\(^{-1}\)], \(c_{eq}\) [mol L\(^{-1}\)] the equilibrium concentration in the liquid phase, \(K_F\) [mol\(^{1-n}\) L\(^n\) kg\(^{-1}\)] the Freundlich coefficient, and \(n\) [–] the Freundlich exponent.

In addition, we applied a composite Langmuir–Freundlich isotherm which combines the parameter of the one-site Langmuir and of the Freundlich isotherm [27] (Eq. 3),

\[
c_s(c_{eq}) = \frac{K_{L} \cdot c_{sat} \cdot c_{eq} + K_F \cdot c_{eq}^n}{1 + K_{L} \cdot c_{eq} + K_F \cdot c_{eq}^n}.
\]

Furthermore, the following adsorption isotherms and models had been tested, but did not yield satisfying results: the one-site Langmuir isotherm, the BET isotherm, and the dual mode model [28].

Sorption kinetics were described by first- and second-order kinetic models. A two-stage kinetic model (TSKM, Eq. 4) was applied,

\[
c_s(t) = c_{eq} \cdot \left(\frac{t}{k_1} + t \right) + 2 \cdot k_2 \cdot t^{0.5},
\]

where \(c_s(t)\) is the adsorbed concentration [mol kg\(^{-1}\)] at the time \(t\) [h], \(c_{eq}\) [mol kg\(^{-1}\)] the equilibrium concentration in the liquid phase (sorption process), \(k_1\) [s\(^{-1}\)] the fast sorption rate constant, and \(k_2\) [mol kg\(^{-1}\) s\(^{-0.5}\)] the slow or diffusion rate [29].
Fitting was done with Origin 7.0 (OriginLab Corporation, Northhampton, MA, USA) by non-linear least squares fitting using the Levenberg–Marquardt algorithm. During the fitting procedure, the relative error \( R_e \) was weighted by a factor of \( 1/c_s \), selecting the option ‘statistical weighting’ in Origin. Due to this weighting technique, errors relative to the data value were regarded instead of absolute errors and errors in the low concentration range become large.

Furthermore, the coefficient of determination \( (r^2) \) and the goodness of fit at a given degree of freedom \( (\chi^2/\text{DOF}) \) were calculated for every model application. The lower the \( \chi^2/\text{DOF} \) value, the higher is the fitting quality.

Modelling

Surface speciation of gibbsite was conducted with FITEQL (version 4.0) based on the batch-back titration data using the constant capacitance and the diffuse double-layer model [30]. The adsorption edges were modelled by a constant capacitance model using the following input data: gibbsite concentration: 20 g L\(^{-1}\), \([≡\text{SOH}]\): 120 mmol kg\(^{-1}\) (at a gibbsite concentration of 20 g L\(^{-1}\) corresponding to 2.4 mmol L\(^{-1}\)), surface area: 11 m\(^2\) g\(^{-1}\), \(I_0\): 0.1 mol L\(^{-1}\), and volume of aqueous phase: 0.05 L.

Results

Gibbsite characteristics

Table 2 lists the properties of the gibbsite specimen used in this study and, for comparison, gibbsite characteristics stemming from other studies [31]. The specific surface area of our material with 11 m\(^2\) g\(^{-1}\) was in the lower range of the reference values, but the number of surface sites (6–7 per nm\(^2\)) and surface speciation data, i.e. \(K_{\text{int}}\) and pH\(_{\text{IEP}}\), coincided with literature data very well. Applying the constant capacitance model, it was established that most of the aluminol groups were uncharged in the pH range from 3 to 13, which indicates a high proportion of doubly coordinated surface functions.

The solved Al(III)\(_{\text{tot}}\) concentration was \(\leq 10^{-5}\) M in the pH range 5.0–9.3 after suspending gibbsite during 24 h in NaClO\(_4\) solutions (\(I_0\): 0.1 and 0.01 M) and reached \(10^{-3}\) M at pH 11.5. This information is relevant for the interpretation of sorption results, because it can be related to the potential loss of sorbent material, to the formation of Al–(P)HCA complexes in solution and to the potential precipitation of sparingly soluble Al–(P)HCA compounds. The solubility increases at pH > 9.5, presumably caused by the formation of Al–hydroxo complexes, matched with reference data [32]. At low pH, the experimental gibbsite dissolution was much lower than expected (0.5 mM at pH 2.2), which may suggest that dissolution equilibrium was not reached within 24 h. The ionic strength of the background electrolyte did not affect gibbsite dissolution within the error margins. Loss of solid phase in the batch experiments was negligible at pH 7.8 with about 0.0004% of the total particle mass, but reached 1.2% at pH 12.

Sorption of gluconic acid

Sorption kinetics

The kinetics of GLU sorption onto gibbsite was determined to elucidate the timescale of the process and to...
obtain the batch shaking time necessary for establishing sorption equilibrium. Sorption kinetics helps to identify non-ideal sorption modes that are indicated by the occurrence of slow sorption processes. Sorption kinetics of GLU over 96 h at pH 9 neither clearly followed a first- nor a second-order sorption mechanism (Fig. 1, plots of $t \sim \ln c$ and $t^{-1} \sim \ln c$ were not linear), elucidating the involvement of more than one sorption mechanism. The two-stage kinetic model (Eq. 4) described the data well ($r^2: 0.931$) and yielded an equilibrium concentration of the fast adsorbing GLU fraction of $2.47 \pm 0.27$ mmol kg$^{-1}$ at a rate constant ($K_1$) of $0.50 \pm 0.11$ h$^{-1}$. The equilibrium was established after 30 h. A slight increase in sorption at longer times, represented by the slow sorption rate $k_2$, was not significant ($k_2 0.014 \pm 0.017$ mmol kg$^{-1}$ h$^{-0.5}$).

**pH dependence of the sorption isotherms**

Isotherms of the sorption of GLU solved in 5 mM NaClO$_4$ electrolyte solutions showed a weak S-shaped curvature at all pH values (Fig. 2). The isotherms were best described by the two-site Langmuir model (Eq. 1, Table 3, $r^2: 0.91–0.98$). In addition, the data were fitted by the Freundlich isotherm almost equally well ($r^2: 0.91–0.97$), since the S shape was weakly pronounced only (Table 4). Though there was no distinct correlation of any of the isotherm parameters ($K_L$, $C_{sat}$, $K_F$) with pH, systematic changes in sorption behaviour of GLU with pH were observed.

The saturation concentration for the first type of sorption sites (“high affinity sites”, $C_{sat1}$) was about three times greater at pH 4 and 7 than at pH 9 and 12, whereas the concentration of the second type of sorption sites (“low affinity sites”, $C_{sat2}$) was roughly one order of magnitude higher at alkaline
pH than at neutral or moderately acidic pH. The affinity constant related to the high affinity sites \((K_{1,1})\) was not significantly different between pH 4 and 9 (range 48–63 L mol\(^{-1}\)), but was essentially lower at pH 12 (3.70 ± 2.05 L mol\(^{-1}\)). \(K_{1,1}\) was three or four orders of magnitude higher than \(K_{1,2}\) at all pH values. At pH 9, the differences between both the affinity constants and between the saturation concentrations of the two sorption sites were greatest.

**Surface complexation modelling**

The pH dependency of GLU sorption (sorption edge) was recorded from pH 3.4 to 12.2 at a constant initial GLU concentration of 1.0 mmol L\(^{-1}\) (Fig. 3) and modelled in FITEQL. The initial concentration corresponded to the lower concentration range of the isotherms presented above. The sorption edge was S shaped with a maximum around pH 4 and a minimum around pH 10. The decrease of the adsorbed amounts in the sequence pH 4 > pH 7 > pH 9 matched almost exactly the parallel decrease of the surface concentration of the “high affinity” sites (\(C_{\text{sat1}}\)), noticed in Fig. 2.

For modelling, we considered dissociation of GLU, surface speciation of gibbsite, outer-sphere surface complexation by electrostatic forces, and inner-sphere complexation by ligand exchange. We included dissolution of gibbsite and the formation of soluble Al\(_2\)GLU complexes [9]. We took complexes with the general formula [Al\(_{n}\)(H\(_{m}\)-GLU)(OH)\(_{l}\)]\(^{[n+1+m−3k]}\) as potential adsorbates into account, allowing for polynuclear complex formation. There were no thermodynamic data available for the estimation of the relative abundance of those complexes. Furthermore, the conversion of GLU into one of its lactonic forms under acidic pH had to be respected. Here, adsorption by H bonding and polar interactions seems to be very likely. Since the pH-dependent conversion degrees were not known, this aspect was not quantitatively treated. All model reactions are listed in Table 5.

Outer-sphere complexation (electrostatic interactions) dominated in the acidic to neutral pH range. Match of data and model was high in this pH range. The adsorption decline at pH < 4 was likely provoked by the decreasing dissociation of GLU and by its transformation into the lactonic form.

Between pH 7 and 9, the model predicted inner-sphere complex formation. The model overestimated the data in this pH range which may relate to the fact that it was not equipped with a code allowing for the calculation of equilibrium concentrations of GLU surface species formed by polar interactions, e.g. hydrogen bonding. DRIFT spectra did not indicate inner-sphere surface complexation (Table 6). The model predicted the onset of ternary surface complex formation around pH 9, underestimating...
adsorption between pH 9.5 and 11. The cause of this underestimation remains speculative. Presumably, further AlGLU species were formed in solution and subsequently adsorbed which were not considered by the model. At pH 12 the contribution of ternary surface complex formation to sorption was significant. The formation of covalent bonds upon GLU sorption at high pH was supported by the DRIFT spectra. Particles that were covered by GLU at pH 12 showed a shift of the asymmetric valence vibration of the carboxylate group to lower wave numbers, indicating their participation in a coordinative bond. Since the carboxylate group is not involved in complex formation in solution at high pH, it is available for surface binding of Al–(P)HCA compounds.

### Adsorption of (P)HCAs onto gibbsite suspended in artificial cement pore water

The specific characteristics of the sorption behaviour of the (P)HCA were deduced from equilibrium data of the (P)HCA distribution in the gibbsite/ACPW (artificial cement pore water) system at pH 13.3 ± 0.30. Due to the high ionic strength of the ACPW (I₀ ≈ 300 mmol L⁻¹), electrostatic interactions at the interface were strongly suppressed. Calculating THR isotherms, equilibrium

| pH  | GLU on gibbsite | GLU on gibbsite—difference spectra |
|-----|-----------------|-----------------------------------|
|     | ṽasymmetric (COO⁻)  | ṽsymmetric (COO⁻)  | ṽasymmetric (COO⁻)  | ṽsymmetric (COO⁻)  |
| 4   | 1644  | 1393  | 1643  | 1397  |
| 8   | 1650  | 1393  | 1652  | 1397  |
| 10  | 1650  | 1396  | 1646  | 1400  |
| 12  | 1650  | 1393  | 1626  |       |

* Peak evaluation impossible
concentrations $\geq 20$ mmol L$^{-1}$ were excluded because of the formation of precipitates at these concentrations. The isotherms were S shaped for all (P)HCA except THR. The S shape was more pronounced for the monocarboxylic acids than for the dicarboxylic acids (Fig. 4). In cases where the Langmuir isotherm was not suited to fit the data of the monocarboxylic acids, the composite Langmuir–Freundlich isotherm was applied. All acids showed a Langmuir-type sorption behaviour up to equilibrium concentrations of approximately 10–20 mmol L$^{-1}$, suggesting similar adsorption mechanisms. The values of $C_{sat}$ and $K_L$ of the two composite models (2-site Langmuir and Langmuir–Freundlich) may not be directly compared. Thus, the Langmuir model was used to describe the first isotherm branch up to equilibrium concentrations of $\leq 20$ mmol L$^{-1}$ (Table 7).

Applying this model, the $C_{sat}$ levels of all (P)HCA were below the total number of surface sites (120 mmol kg$^{-1}$) as for GLU at all pH conditions. The surface concentrations ($C_{sat}$) increased with increase in the molecular size and the number of carboxylic acid groups of the adsorbates.

The $C_{sat}$ values of both dicarboxylic acids (TAR and GLA) were roughly threefold that of the corresponding monocarboxylic acids (THR and GLU).

To attain a consistent data set including phase distribution at high ligand concentration and to generate additional sorption parameters useful for the following

![Fig. 4](image-url)
For a quantitative discussion, (P)HCA properties were considered, which may be important for the sorption process (Table 9). The concentrations of sorbate molecules forming a complete monolayer at the surface, $C_{\text{sat}}$, were calculated with respect to their possible surface orientations (parallel or perpendicular). Correspondingly, the maximal (parallel orientation) or the minimal (P) HCA projection area was computed. The constitution of the molecules, i.e. number of functional groups, defines their complex formation ability. An increasing molecule length, reflected by the molecular volume, raises molecular flexibility and the ability to form multidentate and binuclear (bridging) surface complexes. The mean distance between the gibbsite surface sites, assuming five to seven sites per nm², was about 0.5 nm. For comparison, for a mean length of a C–C single bond is 0.154 nm and of the C–O bond is 0.143 nm. Thus, vicinal OH or COOH ligand groups are not suited to form bridging surface complexes. Taking the bonding angles into account, the surface coordinating oxygen atoms of a ligand must be separated by a C4 carbon chain at least to be able to form a binuclear complex.

The electronic properties depend on the number of functional groups and on their dissociation status. For a given pH of 13.3, we calculated the number of negative charges in the molecules $z$ with the help of the R–OH dissociation constants estimated by molecular modelling [33]. These adsorbate properties were correlated with the composite Langmuir–Freundlich isotherm parameters (Table 8) using both Pearson and Spearman rank correlation analyses. The complete correlation matrix is depicted in Table 10.

The correlation matrix reveals some correlations which are more or less self-evident, e.g. strong positive correlations between molecular mass or molecular volume and the projection areas of the molecules for the two considered orientations at the gibbsite surface. Obviously, the surface concentrations for monolayer coverage were negatively correlated with molecular mass or volume. Interestingly, no significant correlations of the dissociation constant of the most acidic alcohol groups ($pK_{\text{OH}}$), of the linear distribution coefficient (low (P)HCA concentration: $K_d$), and the sorption affinity constant ($K_L$, composite Langmuir–Freundlich Isotherm, Table 8) with any of the other parameters were ascertained. Most important, the saturation concentration of the Langmuir part of the isotherm (first plateau), $C_{\text{sat}}$ was positively affected by

### Table 7 Langmuir isotherm parameters of the (P)HCA adsorption onto gibbsite in the ACPW medium

| (P)HCA | $\chi^2$/DoF | $r^2$ | $K_L \pm S_D$ [L mol⁻¹] | $C_{\text{sat}} \pm S_D$ [mol kg⁻¹] |
|--------|--------------|------|--------------------------|----------------------------------|
| GLY    | 0.34         | 0.894| 1.30 ± 0.43              | 8.9 ± 1.3                       |
| THR    | 0.31         | 0.900| 4.0 ± 1.60               | 8.1 ± 1.3                       |
| TAR    | 0.12         | 0.981| 4.62 ± 1.15              | 21.2 ± 2.3                      |
| GLU    | 1.00         | 0.872| 1.87 ± 0.59              | 25.9 ± 3.0                      |
| GLA    | 0.38         | 0.970| 0.57 ± 0.14              | 67.7 ± 9.6                      |

Reduced data set ($C_{\text{sat}} \leq 20$ mmol L⁻¹)

$K_v$, Langmuir affinity constant; $C_{\text{sat}}$, saturation concentration; $S_D$, standard deviation; $\chi^2$/DoF, probability distribution normalized to the number of data points; $r^2$, determination coefficient

### Table 8 Experimental partition coefficients $K_d$ at initial (P)HCA concentrations of 1.0 and 100 mmol L⁻¹ and parameters of the composite Langmuir–Freundlich isotherm for (P)HCA distribution in the gibbsite/ACPW system (pH 13.3)

| (P)HCA | $K_{31.166}$ L kg⁻¹ | $\chi^2$/DoF | $r^2$ | $C_{\text{sat}} \pm S_D$ mmol kg⁻¹ | $K_L \pm S_D$ L mol⁻¹ | $K_2 \pm S_D$ mmol⁻¹ n L⁻¹ | $n^b \pm S_D$ |
|--------|---------------------|--------------|------|----------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------------|-----------------|
| GLY    | 8.68                | 0.205        | 0.53 | 0.960                             | 8.6 ± 1.6            | 1.35 ± 0.6                 | 0.01 ± 0.02     | 1.57 ± 0.36     |
| THR    | 18.6                | n.a.         | 3.11 | 0.926                             | 8.1 ± 1.3            | 4.0 ± 1.6                  | n.a.            | n.a.            |
| TAR    | 60.5                | 1.10         | 1.49 | 0.959                             | 10.5 ± 4.4           | 8.77 ± 4.80                | 5.65 ± 2.02     | 0.61 ± 0.07     |
| GLU    | 41.8                | 1.01         | 2.66 | 0.939                             | 20.0 ± 3.2           | 3.15 ± 1.27                | 0.45 ± 0.24     | 1.13 ± 0.10     |
| GLA    | 30.2                | 1.75         | 2.31 | 0.961                             | 80.7 ± 11.4          | 0.22 ± 0.06                | 6.02 ± 1.70     | 0.52 ± 0.05     |

$S_D$, standard deviation; n.a., not applicable

*a* Distribution coefficient $K_d$ at initial (P)HCA concentrations of 1.0 and 100 mmol L⁻¹

*b* Composite Langmuir–Freundlich isotherm parameter (Eq. 2)
the size of the sorbats. \( C_{\text{sat}} \) correlated significantly with molecular mass and volume, and with the maximal projection area of the sorbate (Spearman rank correlation coefficients: \( r_s: 0.90, p: 0.05 \)). Moreover, correlations of the Freundlich isotherm parameters with adsorbate charge \( z \) were found, albeit with lesser significance, since only four isotherms could be considered.

\( K_f \) correlated positively, whereas the isotherm slope \( n \) correlated negatively with \( z \).

**Discussion**

**Adsorption kinetics of \( \Delta \)-gluconic acid**

More than 90% of the ultimately adsorbed GLU amount was transferred to the gibbsite surface within the first 24 h. Thus, the adaptation of the adsorption experiments to that time span was adequate. Furthermore, no indications for non-ideal sorption modes were found. The equilibrium concentration of the fastly sorbed GLU fraction (\( 2.47 \pm 0.27 \) mmol kg\(^{-1}\)) matched the concentration of “high affinity sorptions sites” \( (C_{\text{sat}}) \) closely available at that \( \text{pH} \) (Fig. 2). To the best of our knowledge, no further data on adsorption kinetics of organic acids onto gibbsite have been published.

**Isotherms of \( \Delta \)-gluconic acid adsorption at various \( \text{pH} \) values**

The GLU phase distribution data, determined at four \( \text{pH} \) values, were best fitted by the two-site Langmuir model (Table 3) which is suited to reproduce the S shape of the isotherms. For the same reasons, the two-site Langmuir...
isotherm was applied in several other investigations concerning anion adsorption, i.e. citrate, fluoride, and antimonate, onto gibbsite and related minerals [34–36]. The assumption that two sorption sites exist, differing in the adsorbate affinity, coincides with the discrimination of the gibbsite surface hydroxyl groups into the more reactive, singly coordinated aluminol groups at the edge faces and into the less reactive, doubly coordinated groups at the basal faces. [14, 15]. Approximately, 5% of the total number of aluminol groups should belong to the reactive type, corresponding to a concentration of 6 mmol kg⁻¹ for the aluminium hydroxide used in this study. The bonding capacities of the first type of sites, C_{sat1}, calculated for pH 4 (6.4 ± 1.5 mmol kg⁻¹) and for pH 7 (6.0 ± 1.8 mmol kg⁻¹), respectively, match this value very well. At alkaline pH, the adsorbate occupied only about one-third of the reactive sites, suggesting a decreasing availability of these sites with increasing pH. Since the reactive sites are expected to account for the gibbsite surface charge over a wide pH range, it is likely that the decrease of the bonding capacity was caused by electrostatic repulsion between the increasing number of negatively charged sites and the gluconate anions. The low affinity must have been involved in the gluconate adsorption also. This can be inferred from the second Langmuir surface concentration constant C_{sat2}, which is significantly higher than C_{sat1} at every selected pH value. At pH 4 (33 mmol kg⁻¹) and pH 7 (19 mmol kg⁻¹), the C_{sat2} values neither exceeded the GLU monolayer concentration (between 36 and 57 mmol kg⁻¹, depending on the GLU surface orientation) nor the total numbers of gibbsite surface sites (120 mmol kg⁻¹). These boundaries were surpassed at alkaline pH. The maximal GLU surface concentration (123 mmol kg⁻¹) exceeded the calculated GLU monolayer concentration and isotherm fitting did not approximate a C_{sat2} value of nearly 120 mmol kg⁻¹. This might indicate a change of the sorption mechanism at alkaline pH. As both GLU and the gibbsite surface were negatively charged at that pH, an adsorption mechanism must have occurred overcoming potential electrostatic repulsion between the reaction partners.

**Sorption mechanisms**

At pH 4, GLU sorption by electrostatic attraction (outer-sphere complexation) was assumed to prevail, accompanied by H bonding [17]. According to species distribution calculation, 78% of GLU were dissociated, i.e. present as gluconate, and about 30% of the surface sites were positively charged (≡S–OH₂⁺). Due to the concomitant formation of gluconolactones, the proportion of charged GLU molecules might have been significantly smaller. At pH 7, the carboxylic group of GLU was almost completely dissociated (negatively charged), but the fraction of positively charged surface sites was diminished to about 1% (corresponding to 1.2 mmol kg⁻¹) of the total sites. Consequently, the importance of electrostatic sorption was lower at pH 7 than at pH 4. However, at pH 7, gluconate ions might substitute uncharged surface sites via direct ligand exchange (inner-sphere surface complexation) or adsorb by polar interactions, e.g. hydrogen bonding. Due to the predominance of negative surface charges electrostatic attraction between GLU and the surface became less significant at alkaline pH. The monolayer adsorption capacity in the alkaline pH range might have been exceeded due to various processes. Firstly, surface erosion processes, initiated by hydroxide ions and GLU, might have led to an increase of the fractal surface dimension of the initially well-ordered synthetic mineral, thus increasing the number of surface sites. This hypothesis might be proven by various advanced microscopic techniques, including confocal laser scanning microscopy, electron microscopy, and atomic force microscopy. An increase of the surface porosity might be detectable by N₂ adsorption. Secondly, coordinating Al³⁺ ions might have (partially) compensated the negative charge of solved gluconate ions allowing for adsorption of the formed species. Thirdly, surface precipitation of polynuclear Al complexes, formed during gibbsite hydrolysis, might have enlarged the sorption capacity additionally [37].

**Surface complexation modelling**

The interpretation of the types of complexes formed by organic ligands on the surfaces of alumina hydroxides and related minerals is very inconsistent. Some modelling approaches used inner-sphere complexation exclusively to describe the adsorption of various aliphatic and aromatic (hydroxy) acids onto different Al(hydr)oxides in the pH range between 2.5 and 10.8 [15, 34, 38, 39]. Other studies applied combinations of inner- and outer-sphere complexation to model ligand adsorption at acidic and neutral pH [16, 40]. Also, H bonding was considered to contribute to the surface binding of polycarboxylates onto metal oxides [41, 42]. Reflecting these different modelling approaches, our modelling attempt fits well into this spectrum, especially with regard to adsorption at acidic and neutral pH. Deviating from former modelling results, we selected inner-sphere complexation to describe adsorption in the slightly alkaline pH range, leading to an overestimation of the data. No reference data are available for surface complexation modelling at high alkaline pH. With respect to the complex formation properties of GLU in the solution phase [9], we took the formation of various Al(III)–GLU– and Al(III) GLU(OH) complexes into account as well as the formation of ternary surface complexes. With this procedure, it was
possible to integrate the unique complexation behaviour of (P)HCAs at high alkaline pH into a surface complexation model.

**Correlation between (P)HCA molecular properties and adsorption behaviour**

The high pH value of the ACPW medium exerts several effects on the reaction partners. Two or even three hydroxyl groups of the larger sugar acids get more or less dissociated, generating the prerequisites for tridentate Al(III) coordination in solution [9, 23]. With increasing pH, the carboxylate group is replaced in the coordination sphere by an alcololate group. The free carboxylate group is available both for surface bonding via a ligand exchange reaction or for interaction with a second Al(III) ion. In the latter case, ternary surface complex formation by a bridging Al(III) ion would be possible. Polyhydroxy dicarboxylic acids are assumed to form polynuclear complexes with Al ions bridging between the (P)HCA units. It is also likely that these complexes were adsorbed too. These additional reaction modes might contribute to the differences in the isotherm shapes between the mono- and dicarboxylic acids (Fig. 4). In contrast to the monocarboxylic acids, the sorption functions of the dicarboxylic acids could be fitted by the two-site Langmuir approach, resulting in high determination coefficients.

Due to the relatively high gibbsite solubility at pH 13.3 [theoretical Al(III) equilibrium concentration: approximately 0.1 mol L\(^{-1}\)], complex formation with these ions is especially favoured at low and moderate (P)HCA initial concentrations. The analysis of Al(III) ions in the ACPW medium at established (P)HCA distribution equilibrium showed a negative correlation with (P)HCA concentrations up to a ligand concentration of roughly 20 mmol L\(^{-1}\) (data not shown). This indicates a concerted elimination of both solutes from the solution. Furthermore, the comparison of GLU adsorption at pH 12 (Fig. 3) and pH 13.3 (Fig. 4) elucidates a higher sorption in the ACPW medium under conditions, where the Al(III) concentrations surpassed that of GLU (≤ 20 mmol L\(^{-1}\) GLU). The isotherms nearly coincide at higher GLU concentrations.

Ca\(^{2+}\) ions (concentration in ACPW: 2 mmol L\(^{-1}\)) might also be able to interfere with (P)HCA adsorption and complexation reactions, at least at low ligand concentrations. The formation of simple CA–(P)HCA complexes can be neglected because of the considerable excess of Al(III) ions which form (P)HCA complexes of superior stability [43]. Nevertheless, the occurrence of mixed binuclear complexes like [CaAlH\(_{5}\)GLU], as it is reported for analogous Ca\(^{2+}\)–Fe\(^{3+}\) complexes, has to be taken into account [44].

The high alkaline pH led to a levelling of the reactivity differences of singly and doubly coordinated aluminol groups. Thus, differing from GLU adsorption at acidic or neutral pH (Fig. 2), no (first) surface saturation (\(C_{\text{sat(1)}}\)) close to 6 mmol kg\(^{-1}\), corresponding to the surface concentration of the singly coordinated aluminols, was found, independently of the applied isotherm type. A high reactivity of the gibbsite basal planes towards polyls and sugar acids was previously assumed to explain inhibited crystal growth and altered crystal morphologies after addition of these ligands to concentrated sodium aluminate liquors (“Bayer liquor”) [22]. According to the correlation matrix (Table 10), the \(C_{\text{sat}}\)-value from the composite Langmuir–Freundlich isotherm correlated positively with the (P)HCA size. Clearly, the suitability for tridentate Al chelation and additional metal and/or surface interactions increases with an increasing number of functional groups and increasing steric arrangement options. But this alone would not explain the fourfold higher \(C_{\text{sat}}\) of GLA compared with GLU. Together with the above-mentioned ability to form polynuclear complexes, further binding options of dicarboxylates have to be considered, given that the steric constraints are fulfilled: firstly, at low dicarboxylates:sorption site ratio, bidentate surface binding via both carboxylate groups; secondly, at high ligand:site ratio, multilayer formation by coordination of solved Al–(hydroxo)–dicarboxylate chelates to already adsorbed ligands and Al chelates via free carboxylate groups or by Al(III) bridging. Multilayer formation is a potential explanation for the high \(C_{\text{sat}}\) value of GLA, which exceeded the maximum ligand concentration that fit into one surface layer. This hypothesis, together with surface precipitation of Al–(P)HCA chelates, is also useful to interpret GLU and TAR surface concentrations at PHCA equilibrium concentrations ≥ 0.1 mol L\(^{-1}\).

**Conclusions**

The investigation of the adsorption of (poly) hydroxy carboxylic acids on gibbsite revealed strong dependencies on the pH value and on molecular features of the acids. The modelling of the S-shaped GLU sorption edge (maximum around pH 4, minimum around pH 10) with a surface complexation approach resulted in a fair agreement in the acidic and neutral pH range, but led to considerable differences in the moderately alkaline pH range, indicating the formation and phase distribution of adsorbate species which were not considered by the model.

The analysis of correlation between parameters of several adsorption isotherms and specific molecular adsorbate properties, conducted for adsorption in artificial cement pore water at pH 13.3, showed a high importance of the size and thereby of the number of functional groups.
Three adsorption mechanisms were indicated, which affected the (P)HCA adsorption over the whole pH range, albeit with different importance: electrostatic interaction as primary mechanism at low pH, hydrophilic interactions, i.e. hydrogen bonding, dominating at intermediate pH, and inner-sphere complex formation, including ternary complex formation, at high pH. Sorption in two stages pointed to the involvement of different sorption sites and different sorption mechanisms. At low pH, sorption behaviour of GLU was comparable to that of polycarboxylic acids, but the suggested mechanisms were different. In the alkaline pH range, the sorption behaviour of GLU differed from polycarboxylic acids but was similar to that of polys, suggesting a significant contribution of partially deprotonated hydroxyl groups to the sorption process. Thus, we conclude that the sorption behaviour of GLU and of structurally related (P)HCA is best described by a combination of the adsorption properties of (poly)carboxylic acids at acidic pH and polyols at alkaline pH.
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