Osteoclast activity sculpts craniofacial form to permit sensorineural patterning in the zebrafish skull
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Efforts to understand the morphogenesis of complex craniofacial structures have largely focused on the role of chondrocytes and osteoblasts. Along with these bone–creating cells, bone–resorbing osteoclasts are critical in homeostasis of adult skeletal structures, but there is currently limited information on their role in the complex morphogenetic events of craniofacial development. Fundamental aspects of skull formation and general skeletal development are conserved from zebrafish to mammals. Using a cathepsinK reporter, we documented osteoclast location in the developing zebrafish skull over several weeks, from 5.18 mm to 9.6 mm standard length (approximately 15 to 34 days post fertilization). While broad distribution of osteoclasts is consistent across individuals, they are sparse and the exact locations vary among fish and across developmental time points. Interestingly, we observed osteoclasts concentrating at areas associated with neuromasts and their associated nerves, in particular the hyomandibular foramina and around the supraorbital lateral line. These are areas of active remodeling. In contrast, other areas of rapid bone growth, such as the osteogenic fronts of the frontal and parietal bones, show no particular concentration of osteoclasts, suggesting that they play a special role in shaping bone near neuromasts and nerves. In csf1ra mutants lacking functional osteoclasts, the morphology of the cranial bone was disrupted in both areas. The hyomandibular foramen is present in the initial cartilage template, but after the initiation of ossification, the diameter of the canal is significantly smaller in the absence of osteoclasts. The diameter of the supraorbital lateral line canals was also reduced in the mutants, as was the number of pores associated with neuromasts, which allow for the passage of associated nerves through the bone. Our findings define important and previously unappreciated roles for osteoclast activity in shaping craniofacial skeletal structures with a particular role in bone modeling around peripheral cranial nerves, providing a scaffold for wiring the sensorineural system during
craniofacial development. This has important implications for the formation of the evolutionarily diverse lateral line system, as well understanding the mechanism of neurologic sequelae of congenital osteoclast dysfunction in human craniofacial development.
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Introduction

Craniofacial skeletal structures must create strong protection for the brain while allowing the peripheral nervous system to relay sensory inputs from the environment (1, 2). Disruptions to these processes underlie craniofacial birth defects, which broadly encompass the most common structural congenital defects in humans (3–5). In the context of understanding skeletal development of the skull, much of current research focuses on the evolutionary origin, growth and function of cells which build skeletal structures, such as chondrocytes and osteoblasts (6, 7) and in describing structural form and shape of individual bones during development and how they functionally integrate (8, 9). Relatively little is known of how these cells shape craniofacial development.

Osteoclasts are a specialized cell type in the hematopoietic lineage and can persist as mononucleate cells or form multinucleated cells by fusion of precursor cells (12, 13). Osteoclasts resorb bone in a tightly regulated homeostatic relationship with bone–generating osteoblasts to maintain general morphology and internal structure of bones in adulthood (14). Osteoclasts are typically stimulated by RANK/RANKL (15) and inhibited by OPG (16). Significant deviations from this homeostatic relationship contribute to adult diseases such as osteoporosis, arthritis, Paget’s disease and periodontitis (17–19). Teleosts such as medaka have been successfully used to model the osteoclast dysfunction seen in these disorders (20, 21). Mutations leading to osteoclast dysregulation or dysfunction are the major cause of juvenile osteopetrosis (22–24), which can include significant neurologic sequelae. Understanding facial canal dehiscence caused by developmental abnormalities is important due to consequences for patients such as facial paralysis and hearing loss (25, 26), similar issues have been observed in relation to nerve compression by irregularities in the supraorbital foramen resulting in severe headaches (27, 28). There have been prior descriptions of the normal developmental role of osteoclasts in specific locations in the skull suggesting their overall importance (29–33).

The brain and associated cranial nerves are established prior to the formation of mineralized bone (9, 34, 35, 36) and need to be appropriately scaffolded to allow for function. This interaction adds further complexity to modeling craniofacial form. There is strong evidence in humans and other mammals that appropriate bone development and morphogenesis requires crosstalk between nerves and bone tissue (37–41). Osteoclasts possess nicotinic acetylcholine receptors (42) with agonists of these receptors causing apoptosis of osteoclasts (43) and the β2-adrenergic receptor has been shown to indirectly impact osteoclasts by modulating RANKL (44). Zebrafish provides an efficient means to model these interactions (45–47). The influence of nerves on the craniofacial skeleton has been established in both zebrafish and humans (39, 48). The zebrafish skull houses both cranial nerves and the anterior lateral line (aLL). The lateral line is a sensory system of aquatic vertebrates consisting of neuromasts with mechanosensory hair cells that sense changes in water pressure (49). In zebrafish, the afferent nerves of the cranial neuromasts pass through the hyomandibular foramen, which also houses the facial and auditory cranial nerves (50). After metamorphosis, as the fish transition into adulthood, a subset of cranial neuromasts and nerves become encased in bony lateral line canals (51).

To describe the normal distribution of osteoclasts during craniofacial development, we used a cathepsinK transgenic line to carry out serial confocal microscopy on developing zebrafish to localize osteoclasts in craniofacial structures. We find that osteoclasts during development are not distributed evenly and are absent from some highly dynamic structures, including the osteogenic fronts of the frontal and parietal bones. Instead, they cluster densely around areas associated with cranial nerves and sensory cells, including the aLL and the facial nerve (cranial nerve VII). Furthermore, mutants lacking osteoclasts (52) have dysregulation of neurologic access and scaffolding, suggesting that bone remodeling, involving high levels of osteoclast activity, is important for specific functional attributes of cranial morphology. Our results highlight the important role of nervous system–bone crosstalk and show that the zebrafish provides a viable model system to understand these interactions.
Materials and methods

Animal husbandry and care

All zebrafish (Danio rerio) used for this study were maintained according to standard protocols (53). All experiments were conducted in strict accordance with the Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals of the National Institutes of Health and all protocols were approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee at Boston University. The transgenic line Tg (Ola.ctsk:FRT-DsRed-STOP-FRT, Cre, cmlc2:GFP) henceforth referred to as ctsk:dsRed consists of a medaka promoter active in osteoclasts (54) combined with a cmlc2 heart marker for early embryo screening. The generation of the ctsk:dsRed and csf1ramh5 mutant have been described previously (52).

Live calcein staining

A stock calcein solution of 2% in dH2O was adjusted to pH 7 (Sigma-Aldrich, cat: C0875) using NaOH. Stock solution was diluted 1:10 in zebrafish system water to generate fresh 0.2% staining solution. As previously described (55), fish were immersed in staining solution for 30 minutes, then transferred to clean water for 5 minutes three times to remove excess calcein. Post staining, fish were directly imaged or placed back onto the system for serial imaging. For successive imaging, fish were restained after each imaging session to integrate dye into newly formed bone.

Staging and measurements of standard length

Prior to confocal imaging, fish were anesthetized in Tricaine (MS-222, Sigma-Aldrich) and measured as previously described (9). Standard length was used as a proxy for developmental stage (56).

In-vivo imaging

For confocal imaging, fish were mounted in glass bottom dishes (MatTex Corporation) in 2% low melt SeaPlaque agarose in dH2O (Lonza Catalog #: 50115). Once the agarose solidified within the dishes the fish were covered in fish water. The areas around the gills and mouth were carefully cleared of agarose with a dissecting probe to allow respiration. Total imaging time was around 10 min, after which fish were removed from the agarose and allowed to recover in water. Daily imaging of identified individual fish was conducted during early phases of rapid bone growth, and later reduced to once every 2-3 days as growth slowed (9). Individuals were imaged using the Leica TCS-LSI III macro-confocal microscope with 2× and 5× Plan APO objectives, generating .lif files which were converted into .tiff files using Fiji/ImageJ for further analysis. A total of 84 individual z-stacks were generated over a period of 22 days. Serial live-imaging is generally well tolerated as described previously (9). In this series one out of six original individuals failed to survive through the imaging period and required replacement with a sibling.

Alizarin red staining, dissection and imaging of fixed bone

Fish were fixed overnight in 4% PFA in PBS and Alizarin red staining was performed as previously described in the literature (57). Samples were then dissected to remove the bones from remaining tissue, mounted in 2% low melt agarose then imaged on the Leica TCS-LSI III macro-confocal microscope as described above.

Osteoclast overlay image creation

For composites, the imaging protocol was followed except that groups of individuals were matched by standard length and imaged at only one stage. To generate the overlaid images, max projections of the ctsk:dsRed channel were generated from the size-matched imaging files. These were processed in Fiji to despeckle, then threshold adjusted to obtain representations of osteoclast area, shape and location. Each individual was assigned a distinct color; the colorized images were overlaid in Adobe Photoshop on a greyscale max projection of the calcein–stained bone from a representative fish.

Quantification of bone pores

Maximum intensity projections of the calcein or Alizarin red staining were generated in Fiji, and adjusted for brightness and contrast. The pore diameters were obtained through manual tracing and measured in Fiji; statistical analysis was carried out in GraphPad Prism 9.

3D volume rendering of canals

Z-stacks were loaded into Fiji and adjusted for brightness/contrast. Canal limits were manually traced on selected images in the stack using the Segmentation Editor plugin, the interpolation function was then used to predict canal areas on images in between the manual traces in the Z-stack. 3D renderings were then created using the 3D Viewer function and images/videos were exported. For both still images and videos of the 3D rendering angles of imaging between both WT and mutants were matched.
Results

Imaging of ctsk:dsRed transgenic fish shows variations in osteoclast distribution in the developing skull

Previous studies of osteoclasts during development have generally captured information on cell distribution at single timepoints in fixed and stained individual animals. Using a previously described low-magnification confocal imaging methodology (9), we visualized the localization of osteoclasts during skull development in individual zebrafish over time. We used ctsk:dsRed, a previously established transgenic line which marks functional osteoclasts (52) combined with repeated calcein staining to capture bone growth throughout development (Figure 1A).

We imaged osteoclasts in six fish through live serial confocal microscopy, with individuals assigned into groups covering the ventral (3 individuals, 1 replacing an early death), dorsal (1 individual) or lateral (2 individuals) angles. Fish were imaged from 15dpf to 34dpf, initially on a daily basis, then transitioning to once every 2-3 days as growth slowed at later stages. This allowed us to follow the development of individuals ranging from SL5.18mm to SL9.6mm, generating 84 individual z-stacks with 17 z-stacks taken per individual; in the case of the early death, 10 initial z-stacks were completed and then a sibling replacement was imaged for an additional 6 z-stacks. The original imaging files are available for public access in the FaceBase database (DOI: 10.25550/1-X62C).

Comparison of osteoclast distribution within individuals at different timepoints and between individuals (Figure 1B) shows that osteoclasts are sparse relative to the cells that make up the underlying bone. This sparsity is notable at the osteogenic fronts of the frontal and parietal bones, between SL6.8mm and SL6.8mm (9). Starting at SL9mm and continuing into SL10mm, stages when the frontal bones reach their final stages of growth and meet to form sutures, there is a notable increase in osteoclasts around the supraorbital lateral line canals. These canals have been previously observed to start forming with the neuromasts on the epithelium above the dermal bone, these neuromasts then sink down into a depression in the bone which is progressively enclosed by walls of ossifying bone until a closed channel is formed (51, 59). The concentration of osteoclasts continues along these canals at SL12mm but is nearly gone by SL14mm (Figure 2D). Our data implies that heightened osteoclast activity and bone resorption is associated with previously described key stages of supraorbital lateral line canal development.

Osteoclasts aggregate in specific areas during development across individuals and are associated with nerves and sensory cells

Through the course of the serial live imaging, we observed general patterns of osteoclast distribution across multiple individuals. To better visualize these patterns, we imaged groups of size–matched individuals and then overlaid osteoclast distributions (Figure 2A). The original imaging files used to create the composites are available for public access in the FaceBase database (DOI: 10.25550/1-F6XM). A table with nomenclature of bone structures in the skull is displayed in Table 1. Osteoclast clustering was first apparent at SL5.7mm along the branchioptal rays and the mandibles, some of the first bones to mineralize within the zebrafish skull (8). The osteoclasts at these locations were most prominent at earlier stages and diminished during the imaging period (Figure 2B).

Osteoclasts also consistently clustered around the hyomandibular foramen which allows for the passage of the facial and auditory cranial nerves and all nerve (50) (Figure 2C). This dense concentration of osteoclasts is especially conspicuous around SL6.2mm and SL6.8mm, corresponding to previously described key points in the ossification of this structure from a cartilaginous template (8). Osteoclast activity around the hyomandibular foramen continues at SL8mm after this initial period of mineralization. The timing of osteoclast activity and timing of mineralization suggests that osteoclasts are involved in shaping the morphology of the canals both during and after the transition from cartilage to mineralized bone.

In the dorsal overlays at SL5.7mm and SL6.2mm there were no osteoclasts, consistent with the lack of mineralized bone. There is also little osteoclast activity at the timepoints most closely associated with early rapid growth at the osteogenic fronts of the major bones of the cranium the frontal and parietal bones, between SL6.8mm and SL8mm (9). Starting at SL9mm and continuing into SL10mm, stages when the frontal bones reach their final stages of growth and meet to form sutures, there is a notable increase in osteoclasts around the supraorbital lateral line canals. These canals have been previously observed to start forming with the neuromasts on the epithelium above the dermal bone, these neuromasts then sink down into a depression in the bone which is progressively enclosed by walls of ossifying bone until a closed channel is formed (51, 59).

The concentration of osteoclasts continues along these canals at SL12mm but is nearly gone by SL14mm (Figure 2D). Our data implies that heightened osteoclast activity and bone resorption is associated with previously described key stages of supraorbital lateral line canal development.

csf1ramhs mutants display changes in the morphology of the hyomandibular foramen

We document a clear spatial and temporal relationship between osteoclasts and the formation and sculpting of structures associated with nerves and sensory cells. We infer that osteoclasts have an important role in the formation of these structures. To support our hypothesis, we examined mutants in colony-stimulating factor 1 receptor, a (csf1ramhs), a key regulator of the proliferation, differentiation and function of myelomonocytic cells, including...
Daily live imaging shows changes in osteoclast distribution. Osteoclasts in six individuals were tracked throughout initiation and early growth of bone in the skull, all scale bars represent 200μm. (A) Diagram representing the workflow for daily live imaging of the transgenic ctsk:dsRed fish; fish were individually housed and then stained with calcein to capture bone growth, then returned to husbandry system to allow for both washout of dye and regular feedings between each daily live imaging session. (B) Osteoclast location and distribution is shown on calcein stained mineralized bone. Differences can be tracked within individuals over time and imaging capturing the dorsal, ventral and lateral angles allows for the tracking of osteoclasts on the entirety of the skull. (C) Representative osteoclast distributions in magenta are displayed on a greyscale image of calcein staining showing that when comparing between individuals, though matched by developmental timepoint (as shown in SL), there is variation in distribution.

**FIGURE 1**

- **A** - Diagram representing the workflow for daily live imaging of the transgenic ctsk:dsRed fish; fish were individually housed and then stained with calcein to capture bone growth, then returned to husbandry system to allow for both washout of dye and regular feedings between each daily live imaging session.
- **B** - Osteoclast location and distribution is shown on calcein stained mineralized bone. Differences can be tracked within individuals over time and imaging capturing the dorsal, ventral and lateral angles allows for the tracking of osteoclasts on the entirety of the skull. Representative osteoclast distributions in magenta are displayed on a greyscale image of calcein staining showing that when comparing between individuals, though matched by developmental timepoint (as shown in SL), there is variation in distribution.
Figure 2
Overlays of osteoclasts from imaged individuals shows patterns in distribution. Three individuals were size-matched, stained and live-imaged to create each representation, all scale bars represent 200μm. (A) Diagram representing the methodology used to combine the three individuals in each image. (B) Ventral imaging shows that osteoclasts are distributed along the branchiostegal rays (bsr) throughout all of the tracked developmental timepoints, in addition concentrations of osteoclasts can be seen on the branchiostegal rays (bsr) throughout all of the tracked developmental timepoints. (C) Lateral imaging of osteoclasts shows the same distributions as seen on the branchiostegal rays and mandibles but 6.8mm and 8mm images show concentrations of osteoclasts specifically around the hyomandibular foramina (hmf) and on the opercle (op). (D) Dorsal imaging of osteoclasts shows that osteoclasts are not highly active prior to growth of the frontal (f) and parietal bones (pa), with a notable concentration of osteoclasts around the supraorbital lateral line canals which run through the frontal bones around 10mm and 12mm which is comparatively reduced by 14mm, a detailed comparison of individuals at 12mm and 14mm is shown in unprocessed form. Abbreviations: aa (anguloarticular), ar (arches), bsr (branchiostegal ray), ch (ceratohyal), d (dentary), en (entopterygoid), eo (epioccipital), e (ethmoid), ecc (exoccipital), ff (facial nerve foramen), f (frontal), hm (hyomandibula), iop (interopercle), k (kinethmoid), le (lateral ethmoid), m (maxilla), op (opercle), p (parasphenoid), pa (parietal), pe (preethmoid), pm (premaxilla), pop (preopercle), pt (posttemporal), pto (pterotic), sph (sphenotic), se (supraethmoid), soc (supraoccipital), so (supraorbital), sop (subopercle), st (supratemporal).
osteoclasts (52). As previously described, \textit{csf1ra} mutants lack osteoclasts and other myelomonocytic cells (56, 60).

Homozygous \textit{csf1ra} \textit{mhs} mutants and wild-type siblings expressing \textit{ctsk:dsRed} were vitally stained with calcein and observed during the period of osteoclast concentration at the hyomandibular foramen. At SL6.8mm the wild-type fish had a larger, more open canal at the hyomandibular foramen (Figure 3A), while the canal in the mutants had a significantly smaller cross sectional area (Figure 3B). By SL8mm, the canal in the wild-type fish was longer, defined by two deeper areas on each end with a high concentration of osteoclasts. In contrast, the mutants retained a rounder canal opening with a lower overall internal volume and different spacing of the sunken areas. On the surrounding opercle surface, these images also capture small clusters of osteoclasts in the WT fish associated with small additional foramina lacking in the mutants (61) (Figure 3C). We also documented close associations between osteoclasts and bone-forming osteoblasts in the hyomandibular foramen at this developmental timepoint (Supplemental Figure 1) (61). Our analyses indicate that the osteoclasts serve an essential, and specific role in shaping normal foramen morphology in the hyomandibula and opercle bones.

\textit{csf1ra} \textit{mhs} mutants lack pores associated with the neuromasts of the supraorbital lateral line canals

Our data indicate a role for osteoclasts in sculpting the hyomandibular foramen; in their absence, the canal is smaller early in ossification, and less complex later in development (Figure 3). To determine if osteoclasts are similarly required to shape the supraorbital lateral line canals, we compared \textit{csf1ra} mutants and siblings at stages of highest osteoclast concentration. At SL10mm and SL12mm, osteoclasts are not distributed evenly throughout the canals (Figures 4A, B). Instead, they tend to associate with small pores in the bone in and around the canals. In the mutants at the same stages, the most striking difference is the almost total absence of pores. To compare the structural differences in detail, we conducted confocal imaging on dissected and Alizarin red stained frontal bones from mutants and siblings and generated z-stacks capturing the entirety of the area of interest. The \textit{csf1ra} \textit{mhs} mutants had significantly narrower anterior lateral line canals compared to stage–matched siblings (Figure 4C). More strikingly, mutants and siblings showed a highly statistically significant difference in the number and area of pores (Figures 4D, E).

Discussion

We report here the first comprehensive description of osteoclast localization during vertebrate skull formation. Through serial live imaging, we described areas of high osteoclast concentration during development, and interrogated their functional roles in an osteoclast–deficient mutant.

Consistent with the motile nature of osteoclasts, their distribution is dynamic and varies within individual fish during development, and among fish at the same stage. We found the first osteoclasts marked by \textit{ctsk:dsRed} line at around 5 dpf and this corresponds to previous research on osteoclast localization and bone formation during development in zebrafish (33, 55). Overall they are sparse, but tend to concentrate in several specific areas. To better visualize areas of concentration, we overlaid osteoclast positions from three separate fish, visualized from multiple angles and at several developmental stages. We observed areas of highest osteoclast concentration associated with cranial nerves and peripheral sensory cells, including the facial/auditory, lateral line nerves and the mandibular and supraorbital neuromasts (9).

One area with early clustering of osteoclasts was in and around the hyomandibular foramen, which allows passage of cranial nerves and thus connections with facial musculature, the inner ear and the neuromasts of the lateral line system (61–63). The foramen is already present in the hyomandibular cartilage, and is transversely cut by nerve fibers of facial nerve and aLL at 4 dpf (50). The final morphology of the hyomandibular foramen is shaped as development progresses in concert with ossification of the cartilage (6, 8). We find that the process of shaping is severely disrupted in the absence of osteoclasts in the \textit{csf1ra} mutants. At the earliest stage of our imaging (SL6.8mm), the mutants have greatly reduced diameter of the foramen. By SL8mm, the foramen in the WT fish is more complex and curved, and while the diameter is increased in the mutants, the foramen retains a simple shape resembling the immature morphology.

Osteoclasts were also concentrated on the ventral side of the mandible and in the supraorbital canals, structures associated
respectively with the mandibular and supraorbital neuromasts of the aLL (4). The formation of the supraorbital canals housing the neuromasts is quite different from the formation of the hyomandibular foramen. The neuromasts sit on the lateral edge of the frontal bone, but their pattern and distribution is established prior to its formation. Subsequently the underlying bone forms canals that envelop the neuromasts, with external openings to allow sensing of water pressure (36, 50, 64–66). Pores also form in the bone below the neuromasts and allow passage of nerve fibers (51).

We find that both processes are disrupted in the absence of

FIGURE 3
Imaging of hyomandibular foramen using calcein-stained csf1ra mutants with ctsk:dsRed and their wild-type siblings shows differences in canal opening morphology. Size matched individuals were imaged at SL6.8mm and SL8mm, all images are max projections of collected z-stacks, scale bars represent 200µm unless otherwise indicated. (A) Diagram represents location of the confocal imaging conducted on the lateral side of the fish focusing in on area of high osteoclast activity as seen in Figure 2. Lack of osteoclast activity in the foramen as seen in csf1ra mutants results in morphological differences in the foramen canal opening (B) Quantification of area of canal opening (WT n=9, csf1ra–/– n=9) lines indicate mean with SEM, Mann-Whitney U test (two-tailed) used for statistical analysis, 95% confidence interval **** indicates p-value = 0.0001 (C) Individuals at SL8mm display differences in canal morphology shown using representative 3D renderings of internal volume inside of the canals of WT siblings and csf1ra mutants, videos of these 3D renderings can be found in the Supplemental Figures.
Imaging of supraorbital lateral line canals using calcein-stained csf1ra mutants with ctsk:dsRed and their wild-type siblings shows spatial associations between osteoclasts and foramina in the supraorbital lateral line canals. Individuals were size matched prior to imaging; all images are max projections of z-stacks and scale bars are 200μm unless otherwise indicated. (A) Highlighted areas on scheme represent locations of the confocal imaging conducted on the dorsal side of the skull in an area of high osteoclast activity as previously described in Figure 2. (B) SL10mm calcein-stained ctsk:dsRed csf1ra−/− mutants were live-imaged and wild type siblings show differences in osteoclast expression related to bone morphology. (C) SL12mm calcein-stained ctsk:dsRed live-imaged WT siblings and csf1ra−/− mutants show a continuing pattern from the earlier 10mm stage with activity around the pores. (D) Bone from fixed alizarin red stained SL14mm individuals was imaged to capture a 3D representation, showing canals which lack pores in the mutant fish. (E) Quantification (WT n=4, csf1ra−/− n=4) of the number of pores (p=0.0006), followed by the area of the pores normalized using the total area (p=0.03). Measurements were taken from the canals on each frontal bone from the same individual. Since we cannot assume that the paired structures from each fish are independent these numbers were then averaged to generate one figure per individual prior to comparative analysis. Finally diameter of the canals at the juncture point was compared (p=0.008) with location of measurements taken marked in (C), this generated 47 total measurements. 24 for the WT and 23 for the mutants (with one measurement missing due to irregularity of shape in mutant canal) the measurements from a single individual were averaged then statistical analysis conducted. For all charts lines indicate mean with SEM, Mann–Whitney U t-test (two-tailed) used for statistical analysis, 95% confidence interval. ** indicates p-value < 0.005, *** indicates p-value < 0.0005.

FIGURE 4
osteoclasts. The diameter of the canal is reduced during its formation, and more strikingly, the pores in the bone are largely absent. Our data highlights important parallels with the formation of the bony canals and the presence of osteoclasts around the posterior lateral line neuromasts (67). Positioning of neuromasts determine bone shape (59) and disruptions in neuromasts cause changes in the surrounding bone, implying a functional consequence (48, 50, 67). While we focused on two sites in the craniofacial skeleton, it is likely that the sculpting of bone associated with nerves is broadly disrupted in the absence of osteoclasts.

Prior work in many experimental systems has demonstrated the important instructive role of nerves in skeletal morphogenesis (37–39). Our results affirm the connection between nerves and bone, specifically during craniofacial development. We also demonstrate the critical role of osteoclasts in that connection. We speculate that possible signaling pathways involved could be CXCL12-CXCR4/CXCR7 (68–70) and/or Wnt-β-catenin (71, 72). Consistent with a broad role for osteoclasts in creating the scaffold for cranial nerves, patients with juvenile osteopetrosis frequently suffer neurologic sequelae associated with compression of the optic, facial, supraorbital and auditory nerves (27, 73–75). The overall architecture of the cranial nerves and their foramina are conserved between mammals and zebrafish (34, 76), which provides an accessible model to study these processes. Previous analysis of zebrafish csf1ra mutants has shown a general increase in bone mineral density, with an additive increase in fish also lacking the paralogue csf1rb (52), making these mutants a valuable model of osteoclast poor osteopetrosis. The presence of low amounts of ctsk:dsRed signal and smaller foramina in the mutants observed in our study (Figure 3C) could be attributed to the csf1rb paralogue. Homozygous csf1ra mutants are viable as adults, making feasible future examination of the hyomandibular and other foramina, and possible sequelae on nerve function and behavior. These detailed studies would expand the utility of the zebrafish model and provide insights into disrupted formation of cranial nerve foramina associated with osteopetrosis and other human diseases.
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