Measurement of the production cross section for $Z/\gamma^*$ in association with jets in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV with the ATLAS Detector
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Abstract. We present results on the production of jets of particles in association with a $Z/\gamma^*$ boson, in proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV with the ATLAS detector at the LHC. The analysis includes the full 2010 data set, collected with a low rate of multiple proton-proton collisions in the accelerator, corresponding to an integrated luminosity of 36 pb$^{-1}$. Inclusive jet cross sections in $Z/\gamma^*$ events, with $Z/\gamma^*$ decaying into electron or muon pairs, are measured for jets with transverse momentum $p_T > 30$ GeV and jet rapidity $|y| < 4.4$. The measurements are compared to next-to-leading-order perturbative QCD calculations, and to predictions from different Monte Carlo generators implementing leading-order matrix elements supplemented by parton showers.

1 Introduction

The measurement of the cross sections for the production of hadronic jets in association with a $Z/\gamma^*$ boson at LHC provides a stringent test of perturbative quantum chromodynamics (pQCD). Moreover, since these processes form important backgrounds for searches of new physics, their detailed measurement is a first step in the discovery program at LHC.

This contribution presents a review of the measurements of jet production in events with a $Z/\gamma^*$ boson using 36 pb$^{-1}$ of data collected by the ATLAS experiment [1] in 2010 at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV. During this period, the luminosity of the machine has grown roughly exponentially with running time up to $2.1 \times 10^{32}$ cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$. The ATLAS detector performed well throughout the 2010 run and its response was quickly understood.

The measurements, described in detail in [2], are compared with the available next-to-leading-order (NLO) pQCD calculations, providing a validation of the theory in the new kinematic regime, and with the Monte Carlo (MC) predictions that include leading-order (LO) matrix elements supplemented by parton showers. The latter are affected by large scale uncertainties and need to be tuned and validated using data.

2 Event Selection and Background estimation

Events are required to have a reconstructed primary vertex with at least 3 tracks associated to it. Events are selected with a $Z/\gamma^*$ boson decaying into a pair of electrons ($e^+e^-$) or muons ($\mu^+\mu^-$). In both cases a single lepton trigger, electron or muon, is employed. In the electron channel, the events are selected to have two oppositely charged reconstructed electrons with transverse energy $E_T > 20$ GeV, pseudorapidity in the range $|\eta| < 2.47$ (where the transition region between calorimeter sections 1.37 $< |\eta| < 1.52$ is excluded). In the muon channel, the events are selected to have two oppositely charged reconstructed muons with transverse momentum $p_T > 20$ GeV, pseudorapidity in the range $|\eta| < 2.4$. In both cases, a dilepton invariant mass in the range 66 GeV $< m_{ll} < 116$ GeV is required. Jets are reconstructed using the anti-$k_T$ algorithm [3] with a distance parameter $R=0.4$. The inputs to the anti-$k_T$ jet algorithm are clusters of calorimeter cells seeded by cells with energy that is significantly above the measured noise. The measured jet transverse momentum $p_T$ is corrected to the particle level scale using an average correction, computed as a function of jet transverse momentum and pseudorapidity, and extracted from MC simulation. In this analysis, jets are selected with corrected $p_T > 30$ GeV and $|y| < 4.4$ separated from each of the two leptons by a $\Delta R > 0.5$.

The background contamination is estimated using MC simulated samples, except for the QCD multijet contribution, where a data-driven method is employed for each channel. A template fit is used in the electron channel, whereas the di-muon mass versus the muon isolation plane is employed in the muon case. In the electron channel, the total background increases from 5% to 17% as the inclusive jet multiplicity ($N_{\text{jet}}$) increases and is dominated by multijet processes, followed by $t\bar{t}$ and diboson processes. In the muon channel, the background increases from 2% to 10% as $N_{\text{jet}}$ increases, dominated by $t\bar{t}$ and diboson processes.

3 Cross Section Measurement

The jet measurements are corrected for detector effects back to the particle level using a bin-by-bin correction procedure, based on ALPGEN [4] MC simulated samples, that
corrects for jet selection efficiency and resolution effects and also accounts for the efficiency of the Z/γ* identification. At particle level, the lepton kinematics include the contributions from the photons radiated within a cone of radius 0.1 around the lepton direction.

The total cross sections are measured as functions of N_{jet} in the fiducial region and the inclusive jet differential cross sections are measured as functions of jet p_T and |y|. The differential cross sections are also measured as functions of p_T and |y| of the leading jet (highest p_T) and second leading jet in Z/γ* events with at least one and two jets in the final state, respectively. For the latter, the cross section is measured also as a function of the invariant mass and the angular separation of the two leading jets.

The measured differential cross sections are defined as function of a given ξ as:

\[
\frac{d\sigma}{d\xi} = \frac{1}{L \Delta \xi} (N_{\text{Data}} - N_{\text{back}}) \times U(\xi)
\]

where, for each bin in ξ, N_{\text{Data}} and N_{\text{back}} denote the number of entries (events or jets) observed in data and the background prediction, respectively, and Δξ is the bin width, U(ξ) is the correction factor, and L is the total integrated luminosity.

A detailed estimation of the systematic uncertainties that affect the measurement has been carried out. In particular the impact of uncertainties related to the jet energy scale, the jet energy resolution, the background estimation, the leptons and the unfolding have been evaluated. An additional 3.4% uncertainty on the total integrated luminosity is also taken into account. The main systematic source is the jet energy scale that increases from 7% to 22% as N_{jet} increases and from 8% to 12% as the p_T increases. The total systematic uncertainty increases from 9% to 23% as N_{jet} increases; and from 10% at low p_T to 13% at high p_T.

4 Theoretical Predictions

The cross section results are compared to the NLO pQCD predictions, as computed with BlackHat [5] using CTEQ6.6 PDFs [6] and factorization and renormalization scale \(\mu = H_T/2\) (\(H_T\) is the scalar sum of the p_T of all particles) where the predictions include non-perturbative corrections.

The measurements are also compared to the LO predictions including parton shower, as determined in ALPGEN [4], Sherpa [7] and PYTHIA [8]. The ALPGEN and Sherpa samples are normalized to the next-to-next-to-leading order (NNLO) pQCD inclusive Drell-Yan prediction. The PYTHIA sample has been normalized to data from the average of electron and muon cross section in the \(\geq 1\) jet bin.

5 Results

Fig. 1 presents the measured fiducial cross section as a function of the inclusive jet multiplicity in events with up to at least four jets in the final state for the electron channel.

The measured ratio of cross sections for N_{jet} and N_{jet}-1 in the muon channel is shown in Fig. 2. This observable cancels part of the systematic uncertainty and constitutes an improved test of the Standard Model. The data indicate that the cross sections decrease by a factor of approximately five with the requirement of each additional jet in the final state.

The inclusive jet differential cross section as a function of p_T is presented in Fig. 3 in events with at least one jet in the final state for the electron channel. The cross sections are divided by the corresponding inclusive Z cross section times branching ratio with the aim of canceling systematic uncertainties related to lepton identification and the luminosity. The cross sections decrease by more than two orders of magnitude as p_T increases in the explored range.

Fig. 4 shows the measured differential cross section as a function of the rapidity separation of the jets for the muon channel. The combination of electron and muon results has also been performed by extrapolating the measurements of the two channels to a common lepton kinematical region: p_T > 20 GeV and |y| < 2.5 as defined at the vertex of the Z boson. The electron and muon results are combined using the BLUE (Best Linear Unbiased Estimate) method. Fig. 5 shows the dijet cross section a function of the invariant mass of the two leading jets.

The measured cross sections are in general well described by NLO pQCD predictions including non-perturbative cor-
Fig. 3. Inclusive jet cross section in $Z\gamma^*\rightarrow e^+e^-$+jets production normalized by Drell-Yan cross section as a function of the invariant mass of the two leading jets.

Fig. 4. Dijet cross section in $Z\gamma^*\rightarrow e^+e^-$+jets production normalized by Drell-Yan cross section as a function of the azimuthal separation of the two leading jets.

Fig. 5. Dijet cross section in $Z\gamma^*\rightarrow e^+e^-$+jets production as a function of the inclusive jet multiplicity.

Fig. 2. Ratio of cross sections for $Z\gamma^*\rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$+jets production as a function of the inclusive jet multiplicity.

Measurements, as well as by predictions of LO matrix elements of up to $2 \rightarrow 5$ parton scatterings, supplemented by parton showers, as implemented in the ALPGEN and Sherpa MC generators. In the case of PYTHIA, the LO pQCD ($q\bar{q} \rightarrow Z/\gamma'g$ and $gg \rightarrow Z/\gamma'g$ processes) MC predictions underestimate the measured cross sections.

References
1. The ATLAS Collaboration, JINST 3, (2008) S08003.
2. The ATLAS Collaboration, [arXiv:hep-ph/1111.2690].
3. M. Cacciari, et al., JHEP 0804, (2008) 063.
4. Mangano, M. L. et al., JHEP 0307, (2003) 001.
5. C. F. Berger et al., Phys. Rev. D 78, (2008) 036003.
6. P. M. Nadolsky et al., Phys. Rev. D 78, (2008) 013004.
7. T. Gleisberg, et al., JHEP 0902, (2009), 007.
8. T. Sjostrand et al., JHEP 0605, (2006) 026.
9. L. Lyons, et al, Nucl. Instrum. and Methods A 270,(1988) 110.