Effect of 2, 4-D Ethyl Ester 80% EC to control weeds in Wheat and its residual effect on succeeding crop Blackgram
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Abstract
The experiment was conducted during Rabi season of 2013-14 and summer season of 2014 at RRS, New Alluvial Zone, Chakdaha, BCKV, WB to disclose the bio-efficacy and phytotoxicity of 2,4-D Ethyl Ester 80% EC in wheat and its effect on succeeding crop blackgram. The field trial consisting of eight different treatments and laid out in randomized block design with three replications. Application of 2,4-D EE 80% EC 0.90 kg a.i. ha⁻¹ and 2, 4-D EE 80% EC 0.675 kg a.i. ha⁻¹ have resulted in effective weed control, and contributed in significantly high grain yield of wheat and no phytotoxicity through these said treatments which were statistically comparable to hand weeding twice. 2, 4-D EE 80% EC applied on wheat with different doses have found no residual effect on germination and yield of succeeding blackgram crop. So, these treatments can be a good option for wheat and succeeding black gram under sub-humid and sub-tropical condition of West Bengal.
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Introduction
High population density and increasing food demand trigger the necessity of balanced food production by maintaining proper quantity and quality. Among the major food grains, wheat (Triticum aestivum L.) is one of the most important food crops of world playing vital role in global food security by providing adequate nutrition. India is the second largest producer of wheat, contributing 12% of the global production. Amongst different factors which adversely impact the productivity of crops like wheat and black gram, weed infestation is the most harmful one but less noticeable. Weeds are one of the major constraints of low productivity of Urdbean during kharif season. For wheat, weeds can incur a grain yield loss of 48% (Khan and Haq, 2002) [5]. However, due to laborious, time consuming, costly and tiresome process makes the manual weeding impracticable. Furthermore, sudden weather abnormalities do not permit timely hand weeding. Thus, use of herbicides offer an
alternative for effective control of weeds. Hence, there is need for some effective herbicide which can provide broad spectrum weed control in wheat field without affecting the present as well as succeeding crop growth and yield. 2, 4-
Dichlorophenoxy acetic acid (2,4-D) is selective as well as systemic and effective against broad-leaved plants/weeds and some sedges to some extent and that is absorbed through foliage and roots and is translocated to actively growing areas within the plant. Here, the present experiment was conducted to test the bio efficacy and phytotoxicity of this herbicide molecule used in wheat field for weed management and its effect on following crop blackgram.

Materials and Methods
The field trial was conducted to evaluate the bio-efficacy and phytotoxicity of 2, 4-D Ethyl Ester 80% EC in Wheat during winter 2013-14 and its effect on succeeding crop Black gram during summer season of 2014 at Regional Research Station, New Alluvial Zone, Chakdaha under Bidhan Chandra Krishi Viswavidyalaya, Mohanpur, Nadia, West Bengal. Farm is situated at 23°7′N latitude, 88°52′ E longitude, and 9.75 m above mean sea level. The topography of the land was referred as medium land situation. Soil at the experimental site (0-15 cm depth) was loamy in texture containing 52.65% sand, 26.2% silt and 21.15% clay with 6.54 pH and 0.57% organic carbon (OC). Available N, P2O5 and K2O contents were 194.6, 47.2 and 198.2 kg/ha, respectively. The experimental plots were laid out in a randomized block design with three replications. There were eight different weed control treatments consisting of four different doses of 2,4-D Ethyl Ester 80% EC (Nufarm) applied at 0.225, 0.450, 0.675, and 0.900 kg a.i. ha-1, 2,4-D Ethyl Ester 38% EC (Commercial) with dose 0.450 kg a.i. ha-1, metsulfuron methyl 20% WP with dose of 0.004 kg a.i. ha-1, twice hand weeding at 20 days after sowing and 40 days after sowing, check as unweeded control. For phytotoxicity observation 2,4-D Ethyl Ester 80% EC (Nufarm) was applied at 1.8000 kg a.i. ha-1. The herbicide solutions were sprayed uniformly in the experimental plots as per treatments and spraying was done with the help of hand operated knapsack sprayer fitted with a flat fan hooded nozzle with the spray volume of water 500 l ha-1. Data were recorded on weed population and dry weight of weeds. Total weed population was measured as the number of weeds per unit area at 20, 40 and 60 days after sowing from the quadrates according to the weed species in DAS. For taking weed dry matter, the destructed weed samples were first washed in clean tap water, then sun-dried and hot-air oven-dried for 48 hours at 70°C and weighed. Along with these, weed control efficiency was also measured.

Weed Control Efficiency (%) = WDMc/WDMc x 100
where, WDMc = Weed dry weight in control plot & WDMt = Weed dry weight in treated plot. Phytotoxic effect of herbicides on crop in terms of yellowing, stunting and necrosis were recorded at 7, 14 and 21 days after herbicide application (DAHA). Succeeding crop black gram was taken during summer season of 2014 to find out the residual effects of herbicides 2, 4-D ethyl ester 80% EC, 2, 4-D ethyl ester 38% EC and Metsulfuron Methyl 20% WP. Blackgram variety ‘Kalindi’ (B-76) was sown in rows 25 cm apart (individual plot size was 5m x 4m) using 25 kg seeds ha-1 on 23rd March, 2014. These studies were continued in fixed lay out without disturbing the soil. The weeds were removed manually in these crops. Observations on germination percent at 10 DAS (days after sowing) of the succeeding black gram crop was recorded during the experiment. The total yield of succeeding crop was recorded at the time of harvest.

Result and Discussion

Effect on Weed Population
The experimental field was heavily infested with different types of weed flora in all the individual plots. Data obtained from (Table-1) recorded significant reduction in total weed population for the herbicide treatments. Application of 2, 4-D EE 80% EC of 0.90 kg a.i. ha-1 has resulted in effective control of total weeds and has recorded least weed count at 20, 40 and 60 DAS and superior to the other treatments except hand weeding twice where weed density was minimized to a great extent. From the table, it is also clear that in controlling total weed population 2, 4-D EE 80% EC of 0.90 kg a.i. ha-1 was at par with 2,4-D EE 80% EC of 0.675 kg a.i. ha-1. The unweeded control treatment recorded the maximum weed count (Table-1) at all the observations. Therefore, data regarding total weed population exhibited that application of 2, 4-D EE 80% EC 0.90 kg a.i. ha-1 was proved most effective followed by 2, 4-D EE 80% EC of 0.675 kg a.i. ha-1 in controlling severe weed density in wheat field. The results are in conformity with the research findings of Kundu et al. 2018 where it was revealed that all types of weeds have controlled by 2, 4-D ethyl ester 80% EC 3.6 kg a.i. ha-1 and has recorded least weed population at 20, 40 and 60 DAS and remained at par among themselves and superior to the other treatments except hand weeding.

Effect on Weed Dry Matter Production and Weed Control Efficiency
Weed biomass production was recorded similarly at 20, 40 and 60 DAS and the data of which are recorded in Table 1. Observations in the table on weed dry matter production exhibited that the biomass of the weed species also differed significantly between herbicide treatment with 2,4-D EE 80% EC of 0.90 kg a.i. ha-1 followed by 2,4-D EE 80% EC of 0.675 kg a.i. ha-1 except for twice hand weeding at 20 and 40 DAS in which weed biomass production were recorded lowest among all the treatments that followed a trend like that of weed density. The weed dry weight in the aforementioned treatments remained at par among themselves and also remain significantly superior to the other treatments at all the stages especially that of the standard treatments viz., 2,4-D EE 80% EC 0.225 kg a.i. ha-1, 2,4-D EE 80% EC (Nufarm) 0.45 kg a.i. ha-1, 2,4-D EE 38% EC (Commercial) 0.45 kg a.i. ha-1 and Metsulfuron methyl 20% WP 0.004 kg a.i. ha-1.

Another important measure of weed management is weed control efficiency which is derived from weed biomass production and the data are arranged in Table 1. From this table it is evident that among all the treatment combinations, the maximum value of weed control efficiency was achieved for hand weeding twice at 20 and 40 DAS. This was followed by 2, 4-D EE 80% EC 0.90 kg a.i. ha-1 and 2, 4-D EE 80% EC 0.675 kg a.i. ha-1. Therefore, it can be said that except two hand weeding, higher but judicious application of 2, 4-D EE 80% EC is effective for obtaining higher weed control efficiency. More the dose of 2,4-D EE 80% EC greater was the effectiveness of applied weed management treatments in securing yield against weed competition.

Phytotoxicity
The observation on visual crop toxicity was done on 7, 14 and 21 days after herbicide application (DAHA). Phytotoxicity
level was measured by visual assessment based on Phytotoxicity Rating Scale (PRS) 0 to 10, where 0 = No crop injury while 10 = Heavy injury or complete destruction of test crop. The visual crop toxicity symptoms like leaf injury, vein clearing, epinasty, hyponasty, scorching and necrosis were observed to some extent. Herbicide residual effect was not observed in any of the herbicide treatments with different doses. It is also distinct from Table 1. that no crop phytotoxicity symptoms were traced even at the highest dose of 2,4-D EE 80% EC 0.90 kg a.i. ha⁻¹. The result can be confirmed by the research findings of Mundra and Maliwal in 2012[7] where he recorded no phytotoxic effects on blackgram in terms of epinasty, hyponasty, necrosis, vein clearing and wilting at 3, 5, 7 and 15 days after application of quizalofop-ethyl @ 37.5 g/ha and thus, found completely safe for the crop.

Residual Effect of Herbicides on Succeeding Crop Black gram

The results regarding germination percentage and yield of succeeding crop black gram are presented in Table 2 which revealed that the germination percent of succeeding crop at 10 DAS remain slightly affected irrespective of the treatments. This suggests that best germination obtained in hand weeding twice (T₇) plot (74.00%) followed by 2,4-D EE 80% EC 0.450 kg a.i. ha⁻¹ (T₅) (72.00%), 2,4-D EE 80% EC 0.675 kg a.i. ha⁻¹ (T₃) (72.00%) and 2,4-D EE 80% EC 0.900 kg a.i. ha⁻¹ (T₄) (71.50%) treatments. Similar trend follows in case of yield also where except two hand weeding treatment (6.93 q ha⁻¹), application of 2,4-D EE 80% EC 0.450 kg a.i. ha⁻¹ i.e. T₂ recorded maximum yield (5.90 q ha⁻¹) which is statistically at par with application of 2,4-D EE 80% EC 0.675 kg a.i. ha⁻¹ (T₃) (5.85 q ha⁻¹). The treatment T₄ exhibited also satisfactory result regarding yield (5.80 q ha⁻¹). Yield of succeeding black gram showed no distinct variation due to the application of herbicide at varying doses in every experiment for controlling weeds in wheat.

Correlation Analysis

The correlation analysis between weed density, and weed dry weight with the germination percentage and yield of succeeding crop black gram is presented in Table 3. The weed density and dry matter had negative correlation with the yield of black gram.

**Table 1:** Effect of different treatments on weed population, weed dry matter, Weed Control Efficiency (WCE) and phytotoxicity in wheat

| Tr. No. | Treatment | Dose a.i. kg ha⁻¹ | Total weed Population (No. m⁻²) | Weed dry matter production (g m⁻²) | Weed control efficiency (%) | Phytotoxicity observation |
|---------|-----------|------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------|
|         |           |                  | 20 DAS | 40 DAS | 60 DAS | 20 DAS | 40 DAS | 60 DAS | 20 DAHA | 40 DAHA | 60 DAHA | 7 DAHA | 14 DAHA | 21 DAHA |
| T₁      | 2,4-D EE 80% EC (Nufarm) | 0.225           | 2.98   | 4.91   | 5.20   | 1.21   | 2.56   | 2.98   | 83.47   | 68.47   | 58.26   | 0       | 0       | 0       |
| T₂      | 2,4-D EE 80% EC (Nufarm) | 0.450           | 2.50   | 4.12   | 4.90   | 1.09   | 1.78   | 2.52   | 85.11   | 78.08   | 64.71   | 0       | 0       | 0       |
| T₃      | 2,4-D EE 80% EC (Nufarm) | 0.675           | 2.20   | 3.70   | 4.55   | 1.05   | 1.49   | 2.40   | 85.66   | 81.65   | 66.39   | 0       | 0       | 0       |
| T₄      | 2,4-D EE 80% EC (Nufarm) | 0.900           | 2.00   | 3.12   | 4.40   | 1.00   | 1.39   | 2.11   | 86.34   | 82.88   | 70.45   | 0       | 0       | 0       |
| T₅      | 2,4-D EE 38% EC (Commercial) | 0.450            | 2.85   | 4.51   | 5.12   | 1.16   | 2.00   | 2.67   | 84.15   | 75.37   | 62.61   | 0       | 0       | 0       |
| T₆      | Metsulfuron methyl 20% WP | 0.004           | 2.94   | 4.78   | 5.14   | 1.20   | 2.11   | 2.79   | 83.61   | 74.01   | 60.92   | 0       | 0       | 0       |
| T₇      | Hand weeding twice | -                | 1.21   | 1.51   | 1.97   | 0.67   | 0.85   | 1.02   | 90.85   | 89.53   | 85.71   | 0       | 0       | 0       |
| T₈      | Unweeded control | -                | 10.15  | 14.13  | 16.29  | 7.32   | 8.12   | 7.14   | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0.00    | 0       | 0       | 0       |
| SE (d)  | CD (P= 0.05) |                      | 0.31   | 1.58   | 0.56   | 0.75   | 0.19   | 0.31   | 0.37   | -       | -       | -       | -       |

**Table 2:** Residual effect of different herbicides applied in wheat on succeeding black gram crop

| Tr. No. | Treatments | Dose a.i. kg/ha | Germination (%) | Yield (q ha⁻¹) |
|---------|------------|-----------------|-----------------|----------------|
| T₁      | 2, 4-D EE 80% EC (Nufarm) | 0.225 | 68.00 | 5.20 |
| T₂      | 2, 4-D EE 80% EC (Nufarm) | 0.450 | 72.00 | 5.90 |
| T₃      | 2, 4-D EE 80% EC (Nufarm) | 0.675 | 72.00 | 5.85 |
| T₄      | 2, 4-D EE 80% EC (Nufarm) | 0.90  | 71.50 | 5.80 |
| T₅      | 2, 4-D EE 38% EC (Commercial) | 0.45  | 70.50 | 5.66 |
| T₆      | Metsulfuron methyl 20% WP | 0.004 | 70.00 | 5.52 |
| T₇      | Hand weeding twice | - | 74.00 | 6.93 |
| T₈      | Unweeded control | - | 67.00 | 5.00 |
| SE (d)  | CD (P= 0.05) |                      | 10.41 | 0.70 |

**Table 3:** Pearson’s correlation matrix among the weed density, dry weight at 60 days after sowing and Germination % and yield of succeeding crop

| Parameters | Weed density (no./m²) | Weed dry weight (g/m²) | Germination (%) | Seed yield (q/ha) |
|------------|------------------------|------------------------|-----------------|-------------------|
| Weed density (no./m²) | 1                      | 1                      |                 |                   |
| Weed dry weight (g/m²) | 0.994**                | 1                      |                 |                   |
| Germination % | -0.771*              | -0.828*                | 1               |                   |
| Seed yield (q/ha) | -0.695**                | -0.760**                | 0.939**         | 1               |
Conclusion
The present investigation conclusively inferred that except for twice hand weeding treatment (T7), 2, 4-D EE 80% EC 0.450 kg a.i. ha\(^{-1}\) (T2) and 2, 4-D EE 80% EC 0.675 kg a.i. ha\(^{-1}\) (T3) applied in wheat during 2013 on the succeeding crop revealed that there is better impact on either the germination (%) or yield of black gram crop compare to the other herbicidal doses grown during 2014. Hence it can be concluded that the aforesaid treatment of this experiment applied in wheat during 2013 is best for succeeding crop black gram.
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