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I. Additional figures

Fig S1: HPLC Analysis (System A) of crude mixture using NOBF₄ as nitrosylation system
Fig S2 HPLC Analysis (System A) of crude mixture using NaNO₂/HCl as nitrosylation system.
Fig S3: Quality control report of CinNapht 5a using RP-UPLC-MS (System B)

Fig S4: Epsilon Calculation in different solvents
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Fig S5: Time Decay Analysis

isoA MeOH
\[ y = 15092x \quad R^2 = 0.9994 \]

isoA DMSO
\[ y = 15535x \quad R^2 = 0.9992 \]

isoA Dioxane
\[ y = 21004x \quad R^2 = 0.9993 \]

isoA Hexane
\[ y = 12155x \quad R^2 = 0.9983 \]
|       | DMSO       | τ (s) | relative amplitude | Normalized pre-exp | Average τ (s) |
|-------|------------|-------|-------------------|--------------------|---------------|
| Life time 1 | 1,13E-09 | 88,1 % | 93,5 % | 1,26E-09 |
| Life time 2 | 2,2E-09  | 11,9 % | 6,5 % |

|       | Dioxane     | values | relative amplitude | Normalized pre-exp | Average τ |
|-------|-------------|--------|-------------------|--------------------|-----------|
| Life time 1 | 1,659E-09 | 86,1 % | 92,5 % | 1,89E-09 |
| Life time 2 | 3,3E-09   | 13,9 % | 7,5 % |

|       | MeOH        | values | relative amplitude | Normalized pre-exp | Average τ |
|-------|-------------|--------|-------------------|--------------------|-----------|
| Life time 1 | 4,204E-10 | 97,9 % | 99,7 % | 4,67E-10 |
| Life time 2 | 2,7E-09   | 2,1 % | 0,3 % |

**Fig S6: Spectral properties of CinNapht 5a in n-Hexane:**

![Absorbance Spectrum, Emission Spectrum, Excitation Spectrum](image)
**Fig S7: Spectral properties of CinNapht 5a in Toluene:**

![Absorbance Spectrum](image1)

**Fig S8: Spectral properties of CinNapht 5a in CHCl₃:**

![Absorbance Spectrum](image2)
**Fig S9: Spectral properties of CinNapht 5a in Dioxane:**

![Absorbance Spectrum](image1)

**Fig S10: Spectral properties of CinNapht 5a in DCM:**

![Absorbance Spectrum](image2)
Fig S11: Spectral properties of CinNapht 5a in Ethanol:

Fig S12: Spectral properties of CinNapht 5a in Methanol:
Fig S13: Spectral properties of CinNapht 5a in DMSO:

Fig S14: Spectral properties of CinNapht 5a in RPMI medium + 5% DMSO:
Fig S15: Spectral properties of CinNapht 5a in RPMI medium + 5% DMSO + 10 % FBS:

Fig S16: Superposition of normalized emission spectra of Naphthalimide and CinNapht 5a in DCM
Fig S17: Emission spectra obtained with excitations at 343 nm and 488 nm in chloroform and Excitation spectrum for an emission centered at 585 nm

Fig S18: Solid State fluorescent properties of CinNapht 5a
**Fig S19**: Correlation between Maximum emission wavelength and corresponding solvent polarity coefficient $E_T(30)$

![Graph showing correlation between emission wavelength and solvent polarity](image)
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**Fig S20**: Table of complete values relative to Catalan method calculations

| Solvent    | $\lambda_{flu}$ (nm) | $\bar{v}$ (cm$^{-1}$) | $\bar{v}_0$ (cm$^{-1}$) | a   | SP  | b   | SdP | c   | SA  | d   | SB  |
|------------|-----------------------|------------------------|--------------------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| n-hexane   | 520                   | 19231                  | 19881                    | -767| 0.616| -2506| 0.000| -1506| 0.000| -3182| 0.056|
| Toluene    | 550                   | 18182                  | 19887                    | -774| 0.782| -2523| 0.284| -1506| 0.000| -2998| 0.128|
| Dioxane    | 572                   | 17483                  | 20046                    | -654| 0.737| -2475| 0.312| -1506| 0.000| -2947| 0.444|
| Chloroform | 566                   | 17668                  | 20003                    | -683| 0.783| -2472| 0.614| -1503| 0.047| -2986| 0.071|
| DCM        | 591                   | 16920                  | 19968                    | -711| 0.761| -2491| 0.769| -1505| 0.040| -2987| 0.178|
| DMSO       | 682                   | 14663                  | 19905                    | -762| 0.830| -2549| 1.000| -1509| 0.072| -3018| 0.647|
| Ethanol    | 667                   | 14993                  | 19962                    | -717| 0.633| -2495| 0.783| -1501| 0.400| -2982| 0.658|
| MeOH       | 681                   | 14684                  | 19943                    | -729| 0.608| -2511| 0.904| -1510| 0.605| -2994| 0.545|

| Mean value | 19950                 | -725                   | -2503                    | -1506| -3012|
| standard deviation | 54 | 40 | 24 | 3 | 67 |
**Fig S21: TD(DFT calculation)**
Calculated electron density changes accompanying the first electronic excitation (the blue and red lobes signify decreases and increases respectively in electron density accompanying the electronic transition; their areas indicate the magnitude of the electron density change)²

![TD(DFT calculation)](image)

**Fig S22: Excitation and Emission Spectra in living cell**

![Excitation and Emission Spectra in living cell](image)
Fig S23: Cytotoxicity Study
II. Abbreviations

The following abbreviations are used throughout the text of the ESI file: Abs, absorption; aq., aqueous; Ar, argon; DAD, diode array detector/detection; DCM, dichloromethane; DMF, Dimethylformamide; DMSO, dimethylsulfoxide; Em., emission; EtOAc, ethyl acetate; EtOH, Ethanol; Et2O, Diethyl Ether; Ex., excitation; FA, formic acid; FLD, fluorescence detector; FT, Fourier transform; H2O, water; Hept, Heptane; HFIP, Hexafluorophosphanol; HPLC, high-pressure liquid chromatography; HRMS, high-resolution mass spectrum; IR, infrared; MeCN, acetonitrile; MeOH, methanol; min, minutes; NMR, nuclear magnetic resonance; PBS, phosphate buffered saline; PFA, paraformaldehyde; MS, mass spectrometry; PLC, preparative layer plate; RP, reversed phase; RPMI, Roswell park memorial institute medium; RT, room temperature; TFA, trifluoroacetic acid; THF, Tetrahydrofuran; TLC, Thin Layer Chromatography, tR, retention time; UV, ultraviolet; vis, visible.

III. Experimental section

General

Unless otherwise noted, all commercially available reagents and solvents were used without further purification. TLC were carried out on silica gel aluminum plates with F₂₅₄ indicator; The spots were directly visualized or through illumination with UV lamp (λ = 254/365 nm). Flash-column chromatography purifications were performed on silica gel (40-63 μm) from Macherey-Nagel. Organic solvents for spectroscopy were purchased from Acros Organics or Sigma Aldrich. Absolute EtOH was provided by Carlo Erba. The HPLC grade MeCN used for RP-HPLC analyses was obtained from Carlo Erba. Formic acid (FA, puriss p.a., ACS reagent, reag. Ph. Eur., ≥98%) was provided by Merck-Millipore (brand Sigma-Aldrich). Aq. mobile-phases for HPLC were prepared using water purified with a Milli-Q Integral 3 system from Merck-Millipore (purified to 18.2 MW.cm).

Instrument and methods

¹Proton NMR (¹H) spectra were recorded on a Bruker Avance 500 or 300 MHz and proton-decoupled carbon 13 NMR spectra were recorded at 125 MHz. NMR experiments were carried out in CDCl₃ or DMSO-d₆ and chemical shifts are expressed in parts per million (ppm) from the residual non-deuterated solvent signal. Calibration was made by using residual signals of partially deuterated solvent summarized in 2010 by Fulmer et al.³ The following abbreviations are used for the multiplicities: s: singlet; d: doublet; t: triplet; q: quadruplet; qt: quintuplet; m: multiplet or overlap of nonequivalent resonances; br s: broad singlet; Coupling constants (J) are reported in hertz (Hz). High resolution mass spectra were determined on an AEI MS-9 using electrospray ionization (ESI) and a time-of-flight (TOF) analyzer. IR spectra were recorded with a PerkinElmer Spectrum BX FT-IR spectrometer directly from the substance via attenuated total reflectance (ATR-IR) and bond vibration frequencies are expressed in reciprocal centimeters (cm⁻¹). HPLC-MS analyses were performed on an Alliance W2690 system (Waters, USA) Melting points were measured with using a Büchi B-540 melting point apparatus.
Spectroscopy Studies

UV-vis absorption measurements (scan mode) were conducted on a Shimadzu UV-visible spectrophotometer UV-2600 using rectangular 10 mm path length quartz cuvettes from Thuet, at 25 °C. Fluorescence spectroscopic studies (scan and kinetics modes) were performed with a Fluoromax-4 spectrofluorometer (Jobin-Yvon; Horiba) at 25 °C, with rectangular 10 mm path length quartz cuvettes from Thuet. The absorption spectra of isoA were recorded with concentrations in the range 47-2.4 µM (total volume = 10 mL volumetric flask, six distinct dilutions for the accurate determination of molar extinction coefficients). For all the solvents, dilution were made from a stock solution in chloroform at 0.477 mM. The emission and excitation spectra were recorded with a diluted solution with 1% in volume of chloroform and lower than 0.1 absorbance values. For the excitation and emission spectra the slits width were adjusted between 2-5 nm, integration time = 0.1 s, 1 nm step, HV (S1) = 950 V). For chloroform, dichloromethane, ethanol, methanol and DMSO the excitation were performed at 488nm, at 478 nm for toluene, at 437 nm for n-hexane and at 470 nm for DMSO. For the excitation spectra, the emission was observed at 590 nm for DCM and CHCl3, at 427 nm for ethanol, at 577 nm for toluene, at 600 nm for methanol and DMSO, at 518 nm for hexane and for dioxane. All fluorescence spectra were corrected from the lamp fluctuations and the apparatus response fluctuations. Fluorescence quantum yields were measured at 25 °C, using three diluted solution of isoA in the corresponding solvent (A<0.1) and three diluted solution of Ru(bpy)_3^{2+} in air-saturated miliQ water (A<0.1). For each QY measurements, slith width, excitation wavelength, scan rate, integration time and emission range were keep the same for the reference and the sample. The fluorescence quantum yield was determined thanks to the following formula:

$$\Phi_F(x) = \frac{Sx}{Ss(n_X/n_S)^2}\Phi_F(s)$$

Where S is the slope of the linear plot of the integrated fluorescence intensity in function of the absorbance value, n is the refractive index of the solvents (at 25 °C) used in measurements, and the subscripts s and x represent standard and unknown, respectively.

The fluorescence quantum yield for the reference, Ru(bpy)_3^{2+} in air-saturated miliQ water, was measured to be 0.040 in aerated water with the Horiba K-sphere accessory, in good correlation with the literature values.4

For solid-state fluorescence, a solution of isoA in DCM was drop-casted on a microscope slide. The emission spectrum was then measured on a XENIUS, SAFAS fluorimeter. The excitation wavelength was fixed at 493nm, the band-width is 10 nm in excitation and emission, integration time = 0.1 s, 1 nm step.

Luminescence lifetime

Lifetime experiments were done using 10 mm path length quartz cuvette and a Fluoromax-4 spectrofluorometer (Jobin-Yvon; Horiba) equipped with a Horiba Scientific Nanoled source N485L. For the excitation we used a LED at 483 nm (950 V) with a pulse duration <200 ps. For MeOH, DCM and CHCl3, the excitation was done with a 370 nm (950 V) Nanoled-370, pulse duration 1.3 ns. Each measurement was made with a pre-set peak of 12 000 hits and the emission was recorded at the fluorescence maximum. The prompt of the LED was recorded using a Ludox® HS-40 colloidal silica from Sigma Aldrich, a solution composed of silica nanoparticles (40% weight) in water, to diffuse the incident light. The experimental decay were fitted with a single or a biexponential function (for MeOH, Dixoane, and DMSO), and the non-linear least-squares fit was obtained thanks to the Levenberg–Marquardt
algorithm. The fit quality was estimated thanks the calculated $\chi^2$ (The variance of weigh residuals). The fit were estimate relevant for $\chi^2$ values lower than 1.2.

In case of bi-exponential fit the average lifetime was determined using:

$$\bar{\tau} = \frac{B_1 T_1^2 + B_2 T_2^2}{B_1 T_1 + B_2 T_2}$$

with $B$ the pre-exponential factor and $T$ the lifetime of the fit.

**High-performance liquid chromatography separations**

Two chromatographic systems were used for the analytical experiments and the purification steps:

**System A**: Analysis were performed on an Alliance (W2690, Waters, USA) using Sunfire C18 column (5 µm 4.6 x 150 mm). Gradient was set up using (MeCN + 0.1 % Formic Acid) and 0.1% aq. FA eluents [5% MeCN (2.5 min), followed by linear gradient from 0% to 100% (15 min) of MeCN, then 100% MeCN + 0.1% FA for 5 min] at a flow rate of 1 mL/min. UV-Vis detection was carried out using a W2996 detector (Waters, USA) and "Max Plot" was used mode (220-795 nm). MS detection in ESI mode recorded using a SQD2 (Waters, USA). Fluorescence detection using a FLR2475 detector (Waters, USA) in 3D mode (Excitation at 500 nm and emission recorded between 510 and 800 nm).

**System B**: Analysis were performed on an Alliance (W2690, Waters, USA) using Sunfire C18 column (5 µm 4.6 x 150 mm). Gradient was set up using (MeCN + 0.1 % Formic Acid) and 0.1% aq. FA eluents [5% MeCN (2.5 min), followed by linear gradient from 0% to 100% (20 min) of MeCN, then 100% MeCN + 0.1% FA for 5 min] at a flow rate of 1 mL/min. UV-Vis detection was carried out using a W2996 detector (Waters, USA) and "Max Plot" was used mode (240-795 nm). MS detection in ESI mode recorded using a SQD2 (Waters, USA).

**Quantum chemical calculations**

Ground state geometries were optimized at the B3LYP/6-31+g(d) level of calculation followed by a frequency calculation to confirm the convergence to a local minimum. A TDDFT calculation was done at the PBE0/6-311+g(d,p) level of theory. Then first singlet excited state geometries was optimized at the PBE0/6-311+g(d,p) level followed by a frequency calculation at the same level. Finally, a TDDFT calculation was done on this S1 optimized geometries at the PBE0/6-311+g(d,p) level of theory. Alternatively the N,N-dimethylamino substituent was twisted to 90°C and the TICT state optimized in its first excited state at the PBE0/6-311+g(d,p) level of theory followed by a frequency calculation. All calculations were done with Gaussian 16 (Revision B.01) software. Data were analyzed with GaussView 6.0 software.

**Cell culture**

Human A549 cancer cell line was obtained from the American type Culture Collection (Rockville, USA) and was grown in RPMI 1640 supplemented with 10% fetal calf serum (FCS) and 1% glutamine according to the supplier’s instructions. 2.10^4 A459 cells were plated in Lab-Teck® chambers and after 48 hours of incubation at 37 °C in a humidified atmosphere containing 5% CO₂, the medium was removed and replaced by fresh RPMI 1640 containing the fluorophore at 5 µM final concentration. The cells were incubated for 2 h at 37 °C in a humidified atmosphere containing 5% CO₂. The fluorophore solution was then removed and the cells were washed thrice with PBS at RT. A 4% solution of PFA in PBS was then added and the cells were incubated at RT for 15 min until fixation. After removing the PFA solution, cells were washed thrice with PBS (1X) then prepared for microscopy.
**Fluorescence microscopy**

Once cell fixation was achieved, the culture chambers were removed and the microscope slide was prepared using a Fluoroshield mounting medium. Fluorescence images were acquired using a Leica SP8-X inverted confocal microscope with a 40× oil immersion objective (HC PL APO CS2 Leica). Excitation was performed using a White laser pulsed at 80MHz and excitation was applied at 475 nm. Detection was carried out by using PMT detector (Hamamatsu 6357) collecting photons from 500 nm to 700 nm. Recorded image size was 1024x1024 pixels and a pixel size of 65nm was reach using the zoom factor according sampling laws.

**Cytotoxicity Study**

Cell viability was determined by a luminescent assay according to the manufacturer’s instructions (Promega, Madison, WI, USA). Briefly, A549 cells were seeded in 96-well plates (2.5 × 10³ cells/well) containing 90 μL of growth medium. After 24 h of culture, the cells were treated with the tested compounds at 5, 10, 20 and 50 μM final concentrations. Control cells were treated with the vehicle. After 72 h of incubation, 100 μL of CellTiter Glo Reagent was added for 15 min before recording luminescence with a spectrophotometric plate reader PolarStar Omega (BMG LabTech). The percent viability index was calculated from three experiments.

**Synthesis protocols**

**6-amino-1H,3H-benzo[de]isochromene-1,3-dione (1)**

![Chemical structure of 6-amino-1H,3H-benzo[de]isochromene-1,3-dione (1)](image)

To a suspension of 4-bromo-1,8-naphthalic anhydride (5.00 g, 18.0 mmol, 1 eq.) in DMF (100 mL) was added sodium azide (1.76 g, 27.0 mmol, 1.5 eq.). The resulting reaction mixture was heated at 60 °C for 2 h. After cooling to room temperature, the yellow brown solution was diluted with cold H₂O, and the suspension was filtered. The residue was washed with water and directly used for the next step. The crude azide intermediate was suspended in a mixture of THF (250 mL) and 0.5 M HCl aq (50 mL), and then triphenylphosphine (4.71 g, 18.0 mmol, 1 eq.) was slowly added to the suspension with stirring. After stirring at room temperature for 30 min, the mixture was basified with 1M NaOH aq (34 mL) and evaporated under reduced pressure to remove THF. The resulting mixture was diluted with AcOEt (70 mL) and filtered to afford the crude product as a solid. The product was washed with EtOAc and water, and dried in vacuo to afford compound 1 (2.9 g, 76%). **mp > 390 °C; **RF (DCM/MeOH, 90:10, v/v) = 0.65; **¹H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO-d6) δ 8.66 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 8.39 (d, J = 7.3 Hz, 1H), 8.15 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 1H), 7.76 (s, 2H), 7.65 (m, 1H), 7.65 (m, 1H), 6.85 (d, J = 8.6 Hz, 1H); **¹³C NMR (126 MHz, DMSO-d6) δ 162.0, 160.3, 153.9, 135.8, 132.9, 132.5, 130.7, 124.3, 119.3, 118.2, 108.7, 102.2; **IR (neat): **ν = 3428, 3337, 3232, 1727, 1687, 1647, 1620, 1570, 1524, 1479, 1405, 1387, 1358, 1308, 1227, 1175, 1162, 1107, 1006, 976, 889, 850, 810, 767, 749, 728, 677 cm⁻¹; **HRMS calculated for C₁₂H₁₇NO₃ [M+H]+ 214.0504, found 214.0503
To a solution of compound 1 (500 mg, 2.35 mmol, 1 eq.) in HFIP (15 mL) was added N-bromosuccinimide (461 mg, 2.59 mmol, 1.1 eq.) slowly at room temperature. The reaction mixture was stirred for 2 hours before removing solvent under reduced pressure. The residue was taken in CHCl₃ (2 mL) and the mixture was heated at reflux and then quickly filtered. The solid was washed with hot CHCl₃ to afford compound 2 (630 mg, 92%) as a yellow solid. mp 330 °C; RF (DCM/MeOH, 90:10, v/v) = 0.8; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO-d₆) δ 8.82 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 1H), 8.44 (d, J = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 8.33 (s, 1H), 7.85 – 7.64 (m, 3H); ¹³C NMR (126 MHz, DMSO-d₆) δ 161.2, 159.4, 149.7, 145.4, 133.8, 130.7, 125.6, 119.7, 118.6, 104.5, 101.5; IR (neat): ν = 3467, 3364, 3230, 1752, 1711, 1633, 1573, 1513, 1467, 1396, 1378, 1357, 1311, 1273, 1225, 1143, 1114, 1203, 993, 919, 824, 792, 769, 750, 699, 666 cm⁻¹; ESI-HRMS calculated for C₁₂H₆BrNO₃ [M+H]+ 291.9609, found 291.9604.

To a mixture of compound 2 (1.00 g, 3.42 mmol, 1 eq.) in absolute EtOH (20 mL) was added n-butylamine (0.68 mL, 6.84 mmol, 2 eq.). The reaction mixture was heated at 90°C overnight. The reaction mixture was then filtered and washed with Et₂O affording compound 3 (1.00 g, 92%) as a yellow solid. mp 195 °C; RF (Hept/AcOEt, 50:50, v/v) = 0.5; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.61 (s, 1H), 8.59 (d, J = 6.9 Hz, 1H), 8.09 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.69 (t, J = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 5.43 (brs, 2H), 4.15 (t, J = 7.1 Hz, 2H), 1.78 – 1.63 (m, 2H), 1.43 (qt, J = 7.4 Hz, 2H), 0.97 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 3H); ¹³C NMR (126 MHz, DMSO-d₆) δ 163.3, 162.0, 148.5, 135.7, 130.9, 129.3, 128.2, 125.3, 122.0, 109.4, 101.5, 39.1, 29.7, 19.8, 13.7; IR (neat): ν = 3638, 3562, 3448, 3329, 3231, 3063, 2954, 2869, 1704, 1623, 1623, 1572, 1509, 1461, 1431, 1392, 1364, 1348, 1332, 1273, 1166, 1141, 1117, 1079, 971, 936, 898, 838, 803, 773, 750, 737, 674, 666 cm⁻¹; ESI-HRMS calculated for C₁₆H₁₅BrN₂O₂ [M+H]+ 347.0395, found 347.0389.
6-amino-2-butyl-5-(3-(dimethylamino)phenyl)-1H-benzo[de]isoquinoline-1,3(2H)-dione (4)

Compound 3 (200 mg, 0.58 mmol, 1 eq.), (3-(dimethylamino)phenyl)boronic acid (106 mg, 0.64 mmol, 1.1 eq.), and Na₂CO₃ (184 mg, 1.74 mmol, 3 eq.) were dissolved in H₂O, EtOH, and toluene (3.20 mL, 3:3:10 v/v) in a Schlenk tube. The resulting mixture was deoxygenated with freeze-pump-thaw cycling before addition of Pd(dppf)Cl₂ (42.0 mg, 0.06 mmol, 0.1 eq.). The reaction was heated at 80 °C with constant stirring under argon for 17 h. The mixture was cooled to room temperature, it was diluted with DCM and washed with water. The organic layer was collected, dried over MgSO₄, and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by flash chromatography on silica gel (Hept/AcOEt 9:1 to 8:2) to afford compounds 4 (183 mg, 82%) as an orange solid. mp 201 °C; **RF** (Hept/AcOEt, 60:40, v/v) = 0.3; **1H NMR** (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.58 (dd, J = 7.3, 1.0 Hz, 1H), 8.45 (s, 1H), 8.16 (dd, J = 8.4, 1.1 Hz, 1H), 7.64 (dd, J = 8.4, 7.3 Hz, 1H), 7.39 – 7.33 (m, 1H), 6.82 (dt, J = 7.3, 1.2 Hz, 1H), 6.80 – 6.76 (m, 2H), 5.22 (s, 2H), 4.21 – 4.13 (m, 2H), 3.00 (s, 6H), 1.77 – 1.66 (m, 2H), 1.44 (qt, J = 7.4 Hz, 2H), 0.97 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 3H); **13C NMR** (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 164.2, 163.9, 152.3, 151.4, 146.1, 138.8, 135.1, 131.1, 130.1, 129.2, 127.3, 125.3, 123.7, 123.3, 120.5, 117.1, 113.3, 112.2, 111.8, 40.7, 40.2, 30.5, 20.6, 14.0; **IR** (neat): ν = 3482, 3370, 3236, 3064, 2960, 2828, 2862, 2802, 1677, 1625, 1614, 1574, 1518, 1497, 1425, 1391, 1371, 1335, 1288, 1226, 1179, 1135, 1077, 1063, 992, 959, 938, 926, 890, 850, 816, 791, 774, 745, 716, 692, 680 cm⁻¹; **ESI-HRMS** calculated for C₂₄H₂₅N₃O₂ [M+H]+ 388.2025, found 388.2028.

11-butylo-2-(dimethylamino)-10H-benzo[c]isoquinoline[4,5-gh]cinnoline-10,12(11H)-dione (5a)

A solution of compound 4 (45.0 mg, 0.116 mmol, 1 eq.) in CH₃CN was cooled in an ice bath to 0 °C. Nitrosyl tetrafluoroborate (15.0 mg, 0.128 mmol, 1.1 eq.) was added while maintaining the reaction mixture at 0 °C. The mixture was stirred for 1h before neutralizing with saturated aqueous NaHCO₃ solution and extracting with DCM. The organic layer was dried over MgSO₄ and concentrated under reduced pressure to give crude product, which was purified by flash chromatography on silica gel (DCM/AcOEt 8:2 to 2:1, v/v) to afford compound 5a (34.0 mg, 73%) as a red solid. **mp** 320 °C (decomposition); **RF** (DCM/AcOEt, 80:20, v/v) = 0.4; **1H NMR** (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 9.88 (dd, J = 8.3, 1.2 Hz, 1H), 9.29 (s, 1H), 8.66 (dd, J = 7.5, 1.2 Hz, 1H), 8.45 (d, J = 9.2 Hz, 1H), 7.99 (t, J = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 7.37 (dd, J = 9.3, 2.6 Hz, 1H), 7.28 (d, J = 2.5 Hz, 1H), 4.24 – 4.18 (m, 2H), 3.28 (s, 6H), 1.79 – 1.72 (m, 2H), 1.50 (dt, J = 15.0, 7.4 Hz, 2H), 1.01 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 3H); **13C NMR** (126 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 164.2, 163.9, 152.3,
A solution of NaNO₂ (8.90 mg, 0.13 mmol, 1 eq.) in H₂O (1.35 mL) was added slowly to a stirred solution of compound 4 (50.0 mg, 0.13 mmol, 1 eq.) in a dilute aqueous HCl solution (1M, 650 µL, 0.65 mmol, 5 eq.) at 0 °C. CH₃CN (2.00 mL) was added to the reaction mixture for better dissolution of reagents. The solution was stirred for 4 hours before neutralizing with saturated aqueous NaHCO₃ solution and extracting with DCM. The organic layer was dried over MgSO₄ and concentrated under reduced pressure to give crude product, which was purified by flash chromatography on silica gel (DCM/ACOEt 8:2 to 2:1, v/v) to afford compound 5b as a deep purple solid (8.40 mg, 16%) (note that compound 5a was also isolated (30 mg, 58%)). mp 305 °C (decomposition); ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 9.97 (dd, J = 8.2, 1.3 Hz, 1H), 9.52 (s, 1H), 8.73 (dd, J = 7.4, 1.2 Hz, 1H), 8.10 – 7.99 (m, 2H), 7.82 (t, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.19 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 4.23 (dd, J = 8.6, 6.6 Hz, 2H), 3.46 (s, 6H), 1.80 – 1.73 (m, 2H), 1.49 (qt, J = 7.4 Hz, 2H), 1.01 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 3H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 164.2, 163.6, 151.7, 141.6, 141.3, 133.7, 131.5, 130.7, 129.7, 129.0, 127.5, 126.9, 124.4, 122.8, 119.5, 114.7, 110.9, 45.2, 40.7, 30.4, 20.6, 14.0; IR (neat): ν = 3676, 3076, 2957, 1981, 1933, 1698, 1652, 1618, 1592, 1552, 1501, 1428, 1406, 1360, 1346, 1328, 1309, 1228, 1188, 1154, 1106, 1059, 1042, 956, 937, 922, 862, 840, 816, 785, 769, 736, 697, 662 cm⁻¹; ESI-HRMS calculated for C₂₄H₂₂N₄O₂ [M+H]^+ 399.1821, found 399.1819.

**11-butyl-4-(dimethylamino)-10H-benzo[c]isoquinolino[4,5-gh]cinnoline-10,12(11H)-dione (5b)**

![5b.png](attachment://5b.png)

**C₄₂H₂₂N₄O₂**  
**MW:** 398.47 g.mol⁻¹  
**Yield:** 16%  
Deep purple solid
IV. NMR and MS Spectra
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\[
\begin{array}{c}
\text{HRMS (ESI+) of compound 5b} \\
13\text{-Oct-2020 5:8:8} \\
\text{DURAND, HRMS:128 41 (1.001) Cm (41:42)} \\
\text{LCT Premier} \\
1: TOF MS ESI+ \\
8.57e3
\end{array}
\]
### Optimized geometry of 5a

| Label | Atom | X     | Y     | Z      |
|-------|------|-------|-------|--------|
| 1     | C    | 2.916659 | 3.275333 | -0.058929 |
| 2     | C    | 2.592965 | 1.932524 | -0.205713 |
| 3     | C    | 1.241791 | 1.517535 | -0.139009 |
| 4     | C    | 0.214832 | 2.475402 | 0.078455  |
| 5     | C    | 0.572515 | 3.835832 | 0.223486  |
| 6     | C    | 1.901684 | 4.224527 | 0.155154  |
| 7     | C    | 0.906655 | 0.137029 | -0.287452 |
| 8     | C    | -1.157725 | 2.021989 | 0.142336  |
| 9     | C    | -1.460107 | 0.650558 | -0.009233 |
| 10    | C    | -0.40212 | -0.276417 | -0.22317  |
| 11    | C    | -2.850525 | 0.278831 | 0.065949  |
| 12    | C    | -3.756252 | 1.35487  | 0.287742  |
| 13    | H    | 3.959602 | 3.570801 | -0.112857 |
| 14    | H    | -0.215738 | 4.561697 | 0.388685  |
| 15    | H    | 2.163614 | 5.272862 | 0.268218  |
| 16    | H    | -0.603028 | -1.335396 | -0.340852 |
| 17    | C    | 3.672798 | 0.940882 | -0.430855 |
| 18    | O    | 4.856234 | 1.256348 | -0.483907 |
| 19    | C    | 1.972604 | -0.874352 | -0.512248 |
| 20    | O    | 1.725761 | -2.071006 | -0.631026 |
| 21    | N    | 3.289304 | -0.403904 | -0.588148 |
| 22    | C    | 4.358979 | -1.396289 | -0.819626 |
| 23    | H    | 3.92786 | -2.186854 | -1.436352 |
| 24    | H    | 5.145779 | -0.887012 | -1.378684 |
| 25    | C    | 4.918536 | -1.977579 | 0.484454  |
| 26    | H    | 5.31008 | -1.158753 | 1.102082  |
| 27    | H    | 4.101949 | -2.450989 | 1.045303  |
| 28    | C    | 6.029267 | -3.004255 | 0.224707  |
| 29    | H    | 5.635893 | -3.814108 | -0.406675 |
| 30    | H    | 6.837062 | -2.528344 | -0.349732 |
| 31    | C    | 6.604625 | -3.59871 | 1.515802  |
| 32    | H    | 7.395038 | -4.327839 | 1.300929  |
| 33    | H    | 7.036157 | -2.816849 | 2.153653  |
| 34    | H    | 5.827079 | -4.110712 | 2.097034  |
| 35    | N    | -2.115474 | 2.987138 | 0.354  |
| 36    | N    | -3.367061 | 2.660158 | 0.423525 |
| 37    | C    | -3.345436 | -1.030457 | -0.062048 |
| 38    | C    | -5.144218 | 1.078974 | 0.375684  |
| 39    | C    | -5.61819 | -0.202285 | 0.249723 |
| 40    | H    | -6.684742 | -0.377172 | 0.322171 |
| 41    | C    | -4.722737 | -1.302545 | 0.024995 |
| 42    | H    | -2.6487 | -1.840216 | -0.230889 |
| 43    | H    | -5.81818 | 1.913566 | 0.545459 |
| 44    | N    | -5.211767 | -2.58168 | -0.09977 |
45  C  -4.295462  -3.690172  -0.328873
46  H  -3.574669  -3.794891  0.493875
47  H  -4.864706  -4.617899  -0.399646
48  H  -3.733564  -3.563014  -1.264615
49  C  -6.642501  -2.844612  -0.008905
50  H  -6.814784  -3.914139  -0.136020
51  H  -7.049955  -2.551595  0.968250
52  H  -7.204844  -2.317554  -0.791669
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