Nondestructive technique for bacterial count based on image processing
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Abstract

Microorganism plate count method is widely used in food and medicine industry, and is often used to determine the survival and proliferation of bacteria. The number of colonies in a culture is counted to calculate the concentration of bacteria in the original broth under specific conditions; however, manual counting can be time consuming and imprecise, derived from the human eyestrain. To contribute to the improvement of laboratory test methodologies, a cylindrical shape prototype was developed for automatic counting of bacterial colonies by applying digital image processing. The images of the colonies cultivated in petri dishes (PD) were recorded through a commercial CCD, and then processed with Scilab open source software. The results showed a linear relation between the manual and automatic counting by using digital image processing algorithms implemented on open source codes.

Some software applications have also been used for image processing to perform bacterial counts in less time avoiding inconsistent results. Open source software such as NICE (NIST’s Integrated Colony Enumerator) and Open CFU have also been used for counting bacterial colonies [30-32].

In this work a cylindrical prototype is presented for bacterial colony counting by using digital image processing algorithms implemented on open source codes.

Materials and methods

The system proposed is an automatic instrument assembled in aluminum case, which allows evaluating PD individually. The prototype...
design and all parts that make it up are shown in Figure 1, this includes a commercial CCD LifeCam VX-1000, like those used in other studies based on artificial vision [33-34], which is located vertically above the plate. A circular LED array (set of 190 LEDs) is used as the light source for sample illumination that is located below the PD, opposite to the CCD.

The intensity of the light is stabilized using a feedback control scheme implemented with an Arduino UNO board. The amplifier generates a voltage signal, which is proportional to the light intensity to be controlled, and is sent to an analog input of the Arduino board. The microcontroller embedded in it converts a voltage signal to a digital value which is compared with a set point value and by executing a Proportional-Integral control algorithm, a pulse width modulation signal (PWM) is generated to command the LED driver for current supply manage of light source. A diffuser light filter was placed as a base support of the PD to homogenize the light intensity over the area of interest. A photometric light sensor (KDS-1033) with 12-bit resolution was used to characterize illumination inside bacterial cultures. The maximum intensity of light measured inside the instrument was 114.7 lux.

Three samples of 1 g of meat and dairy food were taken, based on the standard FDA procedure (NOM-109-SSA1-1994, which specifies the procedures for collecting, transporting, and handling food samples for microbiological analysis). Samples of meat and dairy were cultured for one day into 10 ml of standard liquid medium and subsequently into five serial dilutions (NOM-110-SSA1-1994, Sample preparation and dilution of food for microbiological analysis). 30 μL of each dilution was used to inoculate a total of 32 PD with solid standard agar medium and incubated at 38 °C for two days (NOM-092-SSA1-1994, Method for the count of aerobic bacteria in plate) [1,11].

To verify the effectiveness of the instrument, two groups of 16 samples were evaluated as follows: the first group was evaluated once by manual counting and once again with the automatic instrument using just one image taken at a fixed position; the second group was evaluated three times by three different individuals, by manual counting and by the automated electronic instrument. In the second evaluation, the three images were recorded at different positions for each of the PD inoculated, this to verify robustness of instrument respect to position of PD. A total of 32 samples of CFU were evaluated by manual counting and by the proposed method.

Finally, a group of five samples of colonies were prepared following the same protocol for bacterial culture to verify the performance of the proposed system. For each sample, three images at different illumination intensities (16, 54 and 85 lux) were recorded. Fifteen images were processed using the proposed system and count results were compared to manual count results as well as to the automated counts like NICE and Open CFU software.

**Image theory**

Image analysis has become one of the most versatile tools for a wide range of applications. When a better contrast and more reliable results are needed, controlling the lighting and the background correction of the images are two of the key points in image processing. Therefore, a background correction was the first task to address. Evaluating the surface of the background image performs the correction, and then the background of the inoculated Petri dish image is subtracted (See Figure 2).

\[
J(x, y) = P(x, y) - F(x, y).
\]

The PD edge detection was taken out by binarization function \( B(x,y) \) in order to obtain the threshold based on the average value (μT) in the histogram of the image \( J(x,y) \) [35-38], see Eq. (2).

\[
If J(x,y) ≤ μT, \quad B(x,y) = 1,
\]

\[
Otherwise \quad B(x,y) = 0.
\]

Subsequently, edge detection gradient, Eq. (3), was applied based in highlighting the differences in the image through the first derivative in the \( x \) and \( y \)-axis. This gradient at a given point \( (x,y) \), refers to the maximum variation of the function at the indicated point. This process was developed to discard the outer contours and extract the region of interest (Figure 3).

\[
\nabla \{ B(x,y) \} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} B(x,y), \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial y} B(x,y)
\]

The section of interest has been extracted as shown in figure 3a, in which a transform was applied on the distance metric described by Calvin et al., [39]. A new binary image was obtained by applying Eq. (2) to separate the merged colonies. The distance transform is an algorithm
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**Figure 1.** Setup for the colony counter.
applied in the recognition of objects in relation to their location and position in the image of interest, that is, they are presented with the same perspective, the same position, without inversion, without rotation, without scaling and with similar sizes. With this algorithm, a metric is obtained of the separation between each of the pixels with respect to the reference pixel located in a template as a subset of pixels of the image. To obtain the final bacterial count (see Figure 4, the coordinates of the pixels that compose the different objects are verified and the average of the coordinates in x (cx) and the average in the coordinates in y (cy) are obtained to place the centroid in each region of interest (bacterial colony).

\[ C_x = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} r_{ix}}{n}, C_y = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} r_{iy}}{n} \]  

The binary image was analyzed from left to right, top to bottom. Inspection of neighboring pixels is done by reference to a pixel of adjacency \( q \), and with respect to the coordinates of four neighboring pixels (Eq. 5) or coordinates of eight neighboring pixels (Eq. 6).

\[ q \in N_4(p), / q \in (x-1,y) \lor (x,y-1) \lor (x+1,y) \lor (x,y+1) \]  

Figure 2. Background correction on the source images.

Figure 3. Region of interest selected.
Figure 4. Region of interest for separation of colony counts.

Figure 5. Labelling of bacterial colonies with the centroid located in each colony.

Figure 6. Data obtained from single manual counting (black) and using the instrument for automatic counting (gray) in one position of the PD.

Thus, each coordinate identified as the center of mass \((c_x, c_y)\) of each group of neighboring pixels is associated with the number of bacterial colonies present in the image acquired by the LifeCam VX-1000 CCD.

Finally, to fill holes and connect neighboring objects, a dilation operation on the image was applied per Eq. (7). Figure 5 shows each labelled bacterial strain through a circular centre point of each bacterial colony. Therefore, each hole is in each bacterium gives the certainty of each bacterium that is counted.

Results and discussion

The complete prototype proposed includes a power supply, the cylindrical device with the CCD sensor, a base support for the PD and the lighting array.

Controlled lighting conditions within the proposed system fit linearly with the current supply. This guarantees that the illumination intensity can be determined directly from the current supply of the LED array.

Comparisons were made between colony counts obtained from our automatic colony counter, manual counts from three skilled personnel, and from two open source software for bacterial counts (NICE and OpenCFU). Figure 6 shows a first comparison of the results obtained by the proposed image technique and the manual count in the same experiment. The mean value of the average absolute deviation was 2.94.

The results obtained from the image technique compared to the manual count in a second experiment are shown in Figure 7. The linearity between the two methods was evaluated; the comparison of the automated method with the results of the manual counting produced a correlation coefficient of 0.994. The mean value of the absolute deviation of the counts was 2.126. (See Figure 8).

Each point represents the mean number of colonies counted by both methods.

Five samples were tested at different light intensities, to compare the results (Table 1) obtained by Open CFU, NICE software, and the proposed system.

Finally, the behavior in manual counting for the proposed system and the other two counting systems per different light intensities used to acquire the images can be seen in figure 9. It can be verified that the proposed system has a better response (better agreement with manual...
by users per their individual needs (other organisms, other growth mediums, etc.).

It was found that the correlation coefficient $r = 0.994$ and average absolute deviation of 2.1, represent a 3.5% improvement to other image processing techniques. The average time to process PD samples using the automated colony counter was approximately 20 seconds per PD sample, including the time taken to manually place and remove the PD from the automatic counter (this could also be automated). Hence, an instrument such as the proposed optimizes counting tasks and reduces eyestrain for the person carrying out large-scale counts. Finally, the authors declare that there is no conflict of interest about this study and preparation of manuscript.
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Table 1. Comparison of results using different techniques: Manual count, Open CFU, NICE and the proposed system.

| PD | L [lux] | Counting Methods |
|----|---------|------------------|
|    |         | Manual | Open CFU | NICE | Proposal system |
| 1  | 16      | 8      | 21      | 4    | 4               |
| 1  | 54      | 8      | 35      | 11   | 5               |
| 1  | 85      | 8      | 37      | 9    | 7               |
| 2  | 16      | 47     | 37      | 38   | 38              |
| 2  | 54      | 47     | 44      | 31   | 48              |
| 2  | 85      | 47     | 33      | 28   | 48              |
| 3  | 16      | 112    | 107     | 72   | 98              |
| 3  | 54      | 112    | 111     | 68   | 105             |
| 3  | 85      | 112    | 114     | 41   | 110             |
| 4  | 16      | 144    | 131     | 89   | 131             |
| 4  | 54      | 144    | 154     | 81   | 138             |
| 4  | 85      | 144    | 168     | 87   | 140             |
| 5  | 16      | 150    | 94      | 102  | 127             |
| 5  | 54      | 150    | 98      | 54   | 142             |
| 5  | 85      | 150    | 99      | 65   | 153             |
