**The effect of bone marrow-derived stem cells associated with platelet-rich plasma on the osseointegration of immediately placed implants**
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**Abstract**

Background: Stem cells associated with growth factors have been shown to improve bone healing and the osseointegration of dental implants. A Brazilian miniature pig model was used to evaluate the effect of autologous bone marrow-derived mesenchymal stem cells (BM-MSCs) associated with platelet-rich plasma (PRP) on the osseointegration of immediately placed dental implants.

Material and Methods: A total of four male adult miniature pigs were used in this study. BM-MSCs from each pig were isolated from the iliac crest and expanded in vitro. The undifferentiated BM-MSCs were mixed with autologous PRP and implanted in the post-extraction sockets at the experimental sites before implant placement (10 x 106 cells/ socket). The control sites did not receive either BM-MSCs or PRP. Each animal received four implants on the control side and four on the experimental side, totaling 32 implants. The specimens were analyzed radiographically and histomorphometrically to determine the implant loss rate (ILR), the bone-implant contact (BIC), and bone density within the threads (BDWT).

Results: The ILR, the BIC, and the BDWT for the control and experimental sites were respectively 25.0% and 18.7% (p=0.686); 39.0% and 27.7% (p=0.110); 46.8% and 36.5% (p=0.247).

Conclusions: The use of BM-MSCs associated with PRP in conjunction with immediately placed implants showed a lower ILR but there was no significant effect on the osseointegration of the dental implants. More preclinical studies, in large animal models, are needed to establish whether BM-MSCs associated with PRP could be used for the enhancement of the osseointegration of dental implants.
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**Introduction**

Dental implant therapy is a well-accepted treatment modality to replace missing teeth (1). The maintenance of osseointegration with minimal bone loss over time indicates the success of dental implants (2,3). Insufficient amounts of bone present during the installation of dental implants is a common finding, especially in the case of immediate dental implant placement (4).

It is well known that autogenous bone is gold standard for bone augmentation since autogenous grafts have unique characteristics such as osteogenesis, osteoinductivity, and osteoconductivity (5). However, its clinical applications are very limited due to extra surgical time, donor site morbidity, high resorption rate, and in some cases limited availability (6). Stem cell therapy has emerged as an alternative to bone grafting since it has been applied to promote bone regeneration and improve the conditions of the osseointegration of dental implants (7).

Numerous pre-clinical studies have shown that stem cells can promote bone regeneration and aid the integration of dental implants. However, no solid conclusion can be drawn from the very limited number of clinical studies conducted so far (8).

Mesenchymal stem cells (MSC) are non-hematopoietic progenitor cells that can differentiate into distinct mesenchymal cell lineages, including osteoblastic, condroblastic and adipogenic lineages (9). MSCs isolated from bone marrow (BM-MSCs) have been used in regenerative medicine (10), including bone tissue engineering, offering a hopeful opportunity to repair peri-implant bone defects (7). The use of MSC can be an alternative to classic tissue regeneration techniques, offering beneficial effects in bone healing around dental implants (11).

Platelet-rich plasma (PRP) is a concentrate of platelets obtained from whole autologous blood following centrifugation. In the dental field, autologous PRP is commonly used combined with dental implants and bone graft, to promote soft and hard tissue healing (12). A number of experimental studies have shown that the use of MSCs associated with growth factors such as PRP improves bone healing and osseointegration (4,7,13-16).

We used an animal model to evaluate the effect of BM-MSCs associated with autologous PRP (BM-MSCs + PRP) on the osseointegration of dental implants immediately placed in post-extraction sites in the mandibles of miniature pigs. We hypothesized that the osteogenic effect of this association will lead to improved bone formation and apposition on the surface of the implants, showing a positive effect on osseointegration.

**Material and Methods**

**Animals and groups**

This study included four 18 months old male Brazilian miniature pigs (BR1; Minipig Pesquisa & Desenvolvi-
se; Neodent, Curitiba, Brazil) were placed in the fresh extraction sockets. At the experimental side, before the placement of the implants, BM-MSCs in culture (undifferentiated cells in passage four) were trypsinized, centrifuged, pelleted, and mixed with autologous PRP. The mixture PRP/BM-MSC was used to fill the socket (10 x 106 cells/socket) before the implant placement. For protection, a resorbable bovine membrane (GenDerm/ Bauer, Sao Paulo, Brazil) was applied to all sites for both test and control. The gingival flaps were sutured using interrupted sutures with Vicryl Rapid 2.0 (Ethicon, Sao Jose dos Campos, Brazil). A total of 32 implants were placed equally divided between test and control. The surgical procedure sequence is illustrated in Figure 1.

The postoperative care protocol was intravenous 3.0 mg/kg morphine and 7.5 mL kinetomax. After each surgery, the animals were fed only with food powder (Presuntina Pró, Purina, Brazil), and no specific oral hygiene procedures were performed. The miniature pigs were euthanized at 90 days after the surgeries. The hemi-mandibular bone was dissected and removed from each animal. X-rays of the bone blocks were taken and used for determining the implant lost rate (ILR) for each side.

Histological Processing

The bone blocks containing the dental implants were fixed in 10% buffered formalin for two weeks and then embedded in acrylic resin. After complete polymerization, the acrylic blocks were sectioned parallel to

Fig. 1: Surgical procedures sequence: A) Animal under general anesthesia; B) Initial clinical aspect of the premolar teeth; C) Initial radiographic features; D) Sagittal section; E) Fresh alveolus; F) Post-extraction radiographic features; G) Immediately-placed implants on the control side; G1) BM-MSCs+PRP gel; G2-G3) Implants + BM-MSCs+PRP on the experimental side; H) Placement of the collagen membrane; F) Suture and J) Final radiographic features.
the long axis of the implant, using a cutting machine (EXAKT-Cutting Grinding System 400CP; Kulzer, Norderstedt, Germany). One side of the cut was then polished with non-ferrous silicon carbide abrasive files, with different granulations, used in a polishing machine (Politriz Petalográfica DP-10, Panamba / Struers, Cambuci, SP, Brazil), under constant water irrigation. After polishing, the piece was dried with absorbent paper and the polished side glued with cyanoacrylate (Super Bonder, 3M) on a 2 mm thick colorless and transparent acrylic sheet for handling and final finishing. The other side of the cut was then polished in the same sanding sequence, to an average final thickness of 10 μm, measured using a digital caliper (Mitutoyo, Brazil). For histological and histomorphometric analyses, sections were stained with Toluidine Blue. 

-Histological Evaluation
The samples underwent histomorphometric analysis for the percentage of the bone-implant contact (BIC) and the bone density within the threads (BDWT) using ImageJ (NIH, USA). The measurements were obtained from the entire length of the implants on both sides, and then the average was calculated. The percentage of BIC was calculated by evaluating the total amount of mineralized bone in contact with both sides of the surface of the implant, multiplying by 100, and divided by the total linear measure of the implant. The percentage of the BDWT was calculated by analyzing the area of the newly formed bone within the areas formed inside all threads of the implant, multiplying by 100 and divided by the total area.

-Statistical Analysis
Continuous variables are presented as average, median, maximum, minimum, and standard deviations. Categorical variables are presented as frequencies and percentages. The comparison between control and experimental sides was performed by using the non-parametric Mann-Whitney U test. Significance was established at $p < 0.05$. Analyses were performed with SPSS statistics software (V.14 IBM Corporation, New York, USA).

Results
Of the total implants installed, four were lost on the control site and three on the experimental site. The control side presented a higher ILR but this difference was not statistically significant ($p=0.686$). The number of implants lost and the ILR are demonstrated in Table 1.
One sample on the experimental side did not show any amount of mineralized bone tissue in contact with the implant surface and was considered fibrointegrated. The BIC and the BDWT for the control and experimental sides were respectively 39.0% and 27.7%, 46.8% and 36.5% ($p=0.247$). There was no significant statistical difference between the sides in both criteria ($p=0.110$ and $p=0.247$ respectively). The BIC and the BDWT in the control and experimental sides are demonstrated in Table 2.

Histological features of the control and experimental side can be found in figure 2.

Discussion
Experimental studies in animals allow the translation of the results into the clinical practice and the development of novel strategies for regenerative therapies aiming to accelerate the process of bone neoformation and osseointegration around dental implants. In vivo studies are able to evaluate the biocompatibility and the regenerative capacity of biomaterials (11).

Table 1: Descriptive statistics of ILR.

| Side             | T  | IL (n) | ILR (%) | Median (Min-Max) | $p^*$ |
|------------------|----|--------|---------|------------------|-------|
| Control Side     | 16 | 4      | 25      | 1.0 (0.0 – 2.0)  | 0.686 |
| Experimental Side| 16 | 3      | 18.7    | 0.5 (0.0 – 4.0)  |       |

Legend: ILR: the implant lost rate; experimental side (use of BM-MSCs + PRP); T (total number of implants) IL (n): number of implants lost. *Non-parametric Mann-Whitney U test, $p < 0.05$ represents a significant statistical difference.

Table 2: Descriptive statistics of BIC and BDWT.

| Side       | n  | Average | Median (Min-Max) | SD  | $p^*$ |
|------------|----|---------|------------------|-----|-------|
| BIC Control| 12 | 39.0    | 38.3 (20.1-61.9) | 15.2| 0.110 |
| Experimental| 13 | 27.7    | 25.4 (0-67.2)    | 19.2|       |
| Control BDWT| 12 | 46.8    | 49.9 (23.6-68.6) | 16.3| 0.247 |
| Experimental| 13 | 36.5    | 41.4 (0-78.9)    | 24.9|       |

Legend: BIC (bone implant-contact); BDWT (bone density within the threads); experimental side (use of BM-MSCs + PRP); SD (standard deviation). *Non-parametric Mann-Whitney U test, $p <0.05$ represents a significant statistical difference.
Dental experiments in animal models such as miniature pig, dogs, and sheep, goats are difficult to perform for several reasons, such as animal size, the cost, and availability, difficulty in maintenance, feeding, and postoperative care. The early loss of dental implants installed in mandible or maxilla in animal models is commonly described in the literature, since it is not possible to maintain the postoperative care necessary for the implant osseointegration, such as pasty/liquid feeding, avoiding trauma in the operated area, and the use of topical antimicrobials such as chlorhexidine. For these reasons, several researchers evaluate the osseointegration of dental implants in other bones such as the tibia or skullcap. Despite its limitations, the installation of the implants in the jawbone makes the chosen surgical model the closest to the clinical reality. When a dental implant is installed in a bone covered by muscle and skin, the process of peri-implant bone formation is different from that installed in the mandible or maxilla, since it does not receive chewing trauma, and also there is no interaction with oral bacteria. Also, the choice of model and methods are related to the question being answered and not necessarily whether it correlates with clinical scenarios.

A number of authors have demonstrated the positive effect of the association of BM-MSCs+PRP on bone formation and bone regeneration (13-15,19-21). A recent experimental study in dogs demonstrated that MSC treatment could be very useful for bone repair and dental implant osseointegration (4). In contrast, our study demonstrated that there was no statistically significant difference between experimental and control side, even though the number of implants lost was lower on the experimental side. In the same way, the percentages of BIC and BDWT were worse on the experimental side in relation to the control side although not statistically significant. This indicated, in contrast with others, that the use of BM-MSCs+PRP did not show a positive effect in any of the parameters analyzed (15,21). The presence of leukocytes in the platelet concentrate interferes directly with the PRP properties (22). Leukocytes play an essential role in the early stages of inflammation and are also responsible for increasing the potential of growth factors (23), which may lead to an unfavorable repair response of the tissues such as fibrosis and bone formation inhibition (24). Similar to our study, previous research did not find a positive effect of PRP on bone regeneration (7,26,27). The effects of PRP are likely to be limited by the quick, non-sustained release of growth factors and lack of BMP-2 (25). Moreover, previous studies reported that the adjunctive use of PRP failed to increase bone density and BIC values in peri-implant defects treated with undifferentiated BM-MSCs (7).

The different growth factors released by platelets present in PRP have a significant impact on the proliferation, regulation, and differentiation of MSCs, with an increase in bone formation capacity (15,19,28-30). However, bone defects treated with PRP showed increased expression of type III and type I collagen, in addition to a decrease in bone mineralization, inducing the granulation tissue and bone marrow, associated with a thrombogenic effect (26,27). In this sense, new investigations must be carried out to clarify the relationship and the signaling pathway of PRP and MSCs on dental implant osseointegration.

Conclusions
The use of BM-MSCs + PRP along immediately placed implants in fresh post-extraction sockets of miniature pigs showed a non-significant lower implant loss rate. Also, there was no significant positive effect on bone regeneration and osseointegration. More preclinical studies in large animal models are needed to demonstrate whether BM-MSCs associated with growth factors such as PRP can be considered a safe option for aiding bone regeneration and the osseointegration of dental implants.
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