Decreased daily exercise since the COVID-19 pandemic and the deterioration of health-related quality of life in the elderly population: a population-based cross-sectional study
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Abstract

Backgrounds: The current prolonging state of the coronavirus disease (COVID-19), could affect many aspects of people’s lives, especially the elderly population who experience a decrease in regular exercise. However, whether this decrease in regular exercise affects health-related quality of life (HRQOL) of the elderly population, remains unclear.

Methods: The current population-based cross-sectional survey aimed to identify the relationship between the decrease in regular exercise since the COVID-19 pandemic and any changes in the HRQOL in the general elderly Japanese population. This study was conducted as a part of the COVID-19 vaccination program in Habikino city in Japan, between June and July 2021 using printed questionnaires. The participants included residents of the city who were aged ≥ 65 years, and were being vaccinated for COVID-19 at the city’s center. The EuroQoL 5-dimension 5-level (EQ-5D-5L) was assessed at two different time points (pre-pandemic and current). Data on lifestyle changes, including their regular exercise routine since the pandemic, were collected.

Results: Finally, 14,494 participants (45.3% of the city’s total elderly residents) were enrolled. Among them, 4321 participants (29.8%) had experienced a decrease in regular exercise since the pandemic. These participants showed a significantly higher rate of deterioration in all the EQ-5D-5L domains than the participants who did not experience a decrease in regular exercise. In the multivariate logistic regression analysis, participants with a decrease in regular exercise were significantly related to the EQ-5D-5L index deterioration compared to those with an unchanged regular exercise routine (p < 0.001, adjusted odds ratio = 5.60) independent of age, sex, body mass index (BMI), and the existence of back pain, joint pain, and/or numbness of extremities.

Conclusion: The current survey that included 45% of the elderly people living in a city revealed that up to 30% of them had experienced a decrease in the regular exercise since the COVID-19 pandemic. This decrease was significantly related to HRQOL deterioration independent of age, sex, BMI, baseline activities of daily living status, and...
Background
The coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic has had many effects on the lives and health of people worldwide [1]. Severe restrictions on daily life, including home confinement or lockdown, were partially effective in preventing the spread of COVID-19 in the community. However, a prolonged period with them could also negatively affect many aspects of humans’ lives, including a decrease in regular exercise [2, 3]. Therefore, the importance of maintaining one’s physical activity was highlighted as an important strategy at the beginning of the pandemic [4, 5]. However, evidence demonstrated that the total physical activity time in the elderly population decreased by approximately 35% after the COVID-19 pandemic [3].

Regular physical activity and/or exercise, play an important role in preventing adverse health outcomes [6, 7]. Furthermore, studies have demonstrated that regular exercise is a vital management strategy for a better health-related quality of life (HRQOL), especially in the elderly population [2, 8, 9]. However, how the decrease in regular exercise since the COVID-19 pandemic affects the HRQOL of the general elderly population remains unclear.

An online survey is the current standard study conductance method. However, the method could not adequately evaluate the all-inclusive situation of the elderly population, as only the ones who were able to use internet-connected devices and who were actively registered with a survey by themselves, could be evaluated [3]. This would result in a sampling bias. Therefore we used printed questionnaires and distributed them manually instead.

Patients and methods
Aim
The current population-based cross-sectional survey using self-administered, printed questionnaires aimed to identify the relationship between the decrease in regular exercise since the COVID-19 pandemic and the change in HRQOL in the general elderly population.

Ethical approval
All study participants provided written informed consent. The study protocol was approved by the Institutional Review Board (approval No: 2021–110). The official approval for the current survey was also obtained from the mayor of Habikino city. All information has been handled in accordance with the standards for privacy of individually identifiable health information of health insurance portability and accountability act.

Survey design
The survey was conducted in accordance with the COVID-19 vaccination program of Habikino City, Osaka, Japan, between June and July 2021. In the Japanese COVID-19 vaccination system, the elderly population aged ≥ 65 years got vaccinated for COVID-19 on a priority basis, and each city established its own vaccination program for residents. Habikino city is a mid-sized suburban city located in Eastern Osaka Prefecture, Japan. The city has a total population of approximately 110,106 and an elderly population aged ≥ 65 years of 33,191 as of February 2021 [10]. In the city’s vaccination program, elderly residents collected in one vaccination center for COVID-19 vaccination between June and July 2021. After obtaining permission from the city and the approval of the IRB of our institution, we invited all elderly residents who received their vaccination at the center to participate in our survey. Participants who provided informed consent were enrolled in this study. Participants could withdraw anytime they wished, during or after completing the questionnaire.

Questionnaire
All information were collected by one survey conducted from June to July, 2021. Within the questionnaires distributed to every participant, some questions were about the pre-pandemic status, some about the current status and some about both of them. They were instructed to refer to the following two time periods when completing such questionnaire: “pre-pandemic” (March to April, 2020) and “current situation” (June to July, 2021).

General information
Questions included the participant’s age, sex, body height, body weight, and musculoskeletal symptoms including back pain, joint pain (hip, knee, and/or ankle joint), and numbness (upper, and/or lower extremities). In addition, changes in exercise habits (unchanged, increased, decreased, no exercise habit) since the COVID-19 pandemic were recorded.
Activities of daily living (ADLs)
The criteria for determining the participants’ level of independence in performing ADLs were based on the definition provided by the Japanese Ministry of Health, Labour, and Welfare [11]. The survey asked the participants to answer questions regarding ADL during the pre-pandemic period. Grade J included individuals who had some sort of disability but was almost independent while performing daily life activities and could get out of the house by themselves. Grade A included individuals who are almost independent in performing indoor daily life activities but cannot go outside without assistance. Grade B included individuals who required some assistance in performing indoor daily life activities and could be out of the bed most of the time and maintain a sitting position. Grade C included those individuals who stayed in bed all the time and required assistance for toileting, eating, and changing clothes. Patients were divided into two groups: Grade J or Grade A, B, and C.

HRQOL index
Each participant’s HRQOL at the two time points (pre-pandemic and current) was assessed using the EuroQol 5-dimension 5-level (EQ-5D-5L) in this cross-sectional survey [12]. The EQ-5D-5L measures HRQOL consisting of five severity levels from 1-to-5 for five domains: mobility, self-care, usual activities, pain/discomfort, and anxiety/depression. The domain scores were then converted into a summarized index according to the published values proposed in previously reported tables. After conversion, the index was between 0 and 1.0 (minimum score: 0, full score:1.0) [13, 14].

Statistical analysis
All participants were divided into four groups according to the changes in exercise habit (unchanged, increased, decreased, no exercise habit). Age, sex, height, weight, body mass index (BMI), musculoskeletal symptoms at baseline, and ADL at baseline were compared among the four groups using one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) for continuous variables and the Chi-squared test for categorical variables. As a post-hoc analysis of the chi-squared test, residual analysis was performed. The average score of converted EQ-5D-5L index was compared between two groups using unpaired t test, and among the four groups using one-way ANOVA with the Tukey test as post-hoc analysis. As EQ-5D-5L index is the primary outcome of this current study, we also calculated the power of our analysis. Finally, variables with a significance level of $p<0.05$, in the univariate analysis, and regular exercise were included in the multivariable logistic regression model as explanatory variables. In this analysis, the EQ-5D-5L index that decreased for $>0.1$ point was set as an objective variable [16]. The adjusted odds ratios (aORs) and 95% confidence intervals of the dependent variables were calculated. Further, the interaction between regular exercise and other explanatory variables was calculated in the analysis. All analyses were performed using IBM SPSS software (version 23.0; IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA). Statistical significance was set at $p<0.05$ and continuous variables were demonstrated with average $\pm$ 1.0 standard deviation.

Results
Number of participants
Overall, 15,788 elderly residents were vaccinated at the center during the examination period. Among them, 15,019 residents agreed to participate in our survey. After collecting the data, a total of 525 participants were excluded from the analysis due to the lack of necessary information, such as age ($n=79$), sex ($n=314$), body height/weight ($n=132$), and changes in exercise habit ($n=270$). Finally, 14,494 participants (45.3% of the total elderly residents of Habikino City) were included in the current analysis (Fig. 1).

Grouping based on changes in exercise habit
Among the 14,494 participants, 8410 participants (58.1%) answered “unchanged” when asked about their exercise habit since the pandemic, while 483 participants (3.3%) answered “increased”, and 4321 participants (29.8%) answered “decreased”. Additionally, 1280 participants (8.8%) answered “no exercise”, indicating that they did not do any regular exercise even before the pandemic.

Univariate comparisons among the groups
The distribution of age, sex ratio, height, weight, BMI, number of individuals with symptoms, and ability to perform ADL showed significant differences among the four groups ($p<0.001$, Table 1). Residual analysis revealed that the unchanged group were more likely to be 70–80 years old, men and had a lower number of individuals with back, or joint pain, or numbness ($p<0.05$, respectively). On the other hand, the decreased group were more likely to be 65–70 years of age, women and had a higher number of individuals with back or joint pain, or numbness ($p<0.05$).
Comparison of EQ-5D-5L change among the groups
The number of participants whose EQ-5D-5L domain deteriorated were significantly different among the four groups \((p<0.001, \text{respectively, Table 2})\). Residual analysis demonstrated that the number of participants with deterioration in all domains, including mobility, self-care, usual activities, pain/discomfort, and anxiety/depression, was higher in the decreased group than predicted outcomes \((p<0.05, \text{respectively})\). In terms of the change in EQ-5D-5L index between pre- and during-pandemic in the overall cohort, it significantly decreased since the pandemic from \(0.963\pm0.09\) to \(0.954\pm0.115\) \((p<0.001, \text{power analysis}>0.999)\). Comparing the four groups, the decreased group showed a significantly greater reduction compared to the unchanged group with a difference of \(0.015\), increased group with a difference of \(0.015\), and no exercise-habit group with a difference of \(0.012\) \((p<0.001, \text{respectively, Table 3})\). The current study revealed significant differences in the degree of HRQOL index decrease between the population who had unchanged exercise habits and those who decreased their exercise routine \((p<0.001)\). While, there were no significant differences in the reduced EQ-5D-5L total index between the unchanged group and increased group, the unchanged group and no-exercise habit group, and increased group and no-exercise habit group \((p=0.996, 0.137 \text{ and } 0.737 \text{ respectively})\). The power of these analyses was \(>0.999\) respectively.

Multivariate regression model
Age, sex, lower BMI, and existence of back pain, joint pain, and/or numbness were independently related to EQ-5D-5L index deterioration \((p<0.001, 0.029, 0.004, 0.009<0.001, \text{ and } 0.003, \text{ Table 4})\). In terms of the change in regular exercise, the participants with decrease in regular exercise showed a significant
EQ-5D-5L total index deterioration compared to those with a unchanged regular exercise ($p < 0.001$, aOR = 5.60). There was no significant interaction between regular exercise and other explanatory variables in the logistic regression analysis (age $\times$ change in exercise habit: $p = 0.246$, sex $\times$ change in exercise habit: $p = 0.098$). 

### Table 1. Demographics of the four groups classified by the change in exercise habit

|                | Overall       | Unchanged     | Decreased     | Increased     | No exercise habit | p-value |
|----------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|-------------------|---------|
| Numbers        | 14,494        | 8410 (58.1)   | 4321 (29.8)   | 483 (3.3)     | 1280 (8.8)        | <0.001†|
| Age            |               |               |               |               |                   |         |
| 65–70          | 4902 (33.8)   | 2677 (31.8)*  | 1576 (36.5)*  | 202 (41.9)*    | 447 (34.9)        |         |
| 71–75          | 4224 (29.1)   | 2426 (28.8)   | 1291 (29.9)   | 134 (27.7)     | 373 (29.1)        |         |
| 76–80          | 2802 (19.3)   | 1720 (20.5)*  | 801 (18.5)    | 79 (16.4)      | 202 (15.8)*       |         |
| 81–85          | 1599 (11.0)   | 992 (11.8)*   | 421 (9.7)*    | 50 (10.4)      | 136 (10.6)        |         |
| 86-            | 967 (6.7)     | 595 (7.1)*    | 232 (5.4)*    | 18 (3.7)*      | 122 (9.5)*        |         |
| Sex            |               |               |               |               |                   | <0.001†|
| Male           | 6665 (46.0)   | 4416 (52.5)*  | 1520 (35.2)*  | 230 (47.6)     | 499 (39.0)        |         |
| Female         | 7829 (54.0)   | 3994 (47.5)*  | 2801 (64.8)*  | 253 (52.4)     | 781 (61.0)        |         |
| Height (cm)    | 158.9±8.9     | 159.7±9.0     | 157.8±8.6     | 159.4±8.6      | 157.5±9.0         | <0.001†|
| Weight (kg)    | 58.2±11.0     | 58.5±11.0     | 57.3±10.8     | 59.0±10.9      | 58.1±11.9         | <0.001†|
| BMI            | 22.9±3.4      | 22.8±3.3      | 22.9±3.3      | 23.1±3.3       | 23.3±4.0          | <0.001†|
| Symptoms       |               |               |               |               |                   |         |
| Back pain      | 3462 (23.9)   | 1657 (19.7)*  | 1277 (29.6)*  | 149 (30.8)     | 379 (29.6)*       | <0.001†|
| Joint pain     | 1291 (8.9)    | 546 (6.5)*    | 531 (12.3)*   | 51 (10.6)      | 163 (12.7)*       | <0.001†|
| Numbness       | 708 (4.9)     | 322 (3.8)*    | 248 (5.7)*    | 37 (7.7)*      | 101 (7.9)*        | <0.001†|
| ADL            |               |               |               |               |                   | <0.001†|
| Grade J        | 12,509 (86.3) | 7200 (85.6)*  | 3910 (90.5)*  | 433 (89.6)*    | 966 (75.5)*       |         |
| Grade A, B, C  | 909 (6.3)     | 493 (5.9)*    | 209 (4.8)*    | 13 (2.7)*      | 194 (15.2)*       |         |
| Missing        | 1076 (7.4)    | 717 (8.5)     | 202 (4.7)     | 37 (7.7)       | 120 (9.4)         |         |

Continuous variables were presented with an average ± 1.0 standard deviation. Figure in parentheses indicates the percentage. #One-way analysis of variance; †Chi-squared test; * $p < 0.05$, residual analysis; BMI body mass index, ADL Activity of daily living

### Table 2. The comparison of deterioration of EQ-5D-5L subdomains

|                | Unchanged     | Decreased     | Increased     | No exercise habit | p-value |
|----------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|-------------------|---------|
| Mobility       |               |               |               |                   |         |
| Stable         | 7452 (98.7)*  | 3777 (93.6)*  | 430 (97.3)    | 1114 (96.8)       | <0.001†|
| Deteriorated   | 96 (1.3)*     | 259 (6.4)*    | 12 (2.7)      | 37 (3.2)          |         |
| Self-care      |               |               |               |                   |         |
| Stable         | 7503 (99.7)*  | 3953 (98.2)*  | 430 (97.5)*   | 1137 (99.0)       | <0.001†|
| Deteriorated   | 23 (0.3)*     | 73 (1.8)*     | 11 (2.5)*     | 12 (1.0)          |         |
| Usual activities|             |               |               |                   |         |
| Stable         | 7435 (99.1)*  | 3770 (94.4)*  | 435 (98.4)    | 1121 (98.2)       | <0.001†|
| Deteriorated   | 71 (0.9)*     | 224 (5.6)*    | 7 (1.6)       | 21 (1.8)          |         |
| Pain/discomfort|               |               |               |                   |         |
| Stable         | 7335 (99.0)*  | 3761 (95.4)*  | 430 (97.7)    | 1093 (98.1)       | <0.001†|
| Deteriorated   | 71 (1.0)*     | 181 (4.6)*    | 10 (3.3)      | 21 (1.9)          |         |
| Anxiety/depression|        |               |               |                   | <0.001†|
| Stable         | 7314 (98.8)*  | 3668 (93.1)*  | 428 (98.2)    | 1096 (98.0)*      |         |
| Deteriorated   | 90 (1.2)*     | 272 (6.9)*    | 8 (1.8)       | 22 (2.0)*         |         |

Number in parentheses indicates the percentage

†: Chi-squared test; *: $p < 0.05$ in residual analysis; EQ-5D-5L EuroQol-5-dimension 5-level
Discussion

The current population-based survey involving 45% of elderly residents of a suburban city in Japan revealed that up to 30% had experienced a decrease in the regular exercise since the COVID-19 pandemic. These elderly individuals showed significant HRQOL deterioration independent of age, sex, BMI, baseline ADL status, and musculoskeletal symptoms.

There is evidence supporting the health benefits of physical activity, and regular exercise is a critical factor within health promotion settings. For example, the volume of physical activity can lead to improved perceptions of health in adolescents [17]; there is a curvilinear relationship between physical activity and mortality in older adults [18], and routine physical activity is reported to be related to the reduction of the risk of multiple chronic medical conditions [19]. Therefore, many physical activity guidelines recommend 150 min/week of moderate-to-vigorous intensity physical activity [20, 21]. However, it was reported in another study that the total physical activity time per week for older adults decreased by 26.5% in average from January to April 2020, when the first wave of the COVID-19 pandemic in Japan occurred [3]. In accordance with this previous study, we found that up to 30% of the general elderly individuals recognized a decrease in their regular exercise since the COVID-19 pandemic.

Table 3 The change in EQ-5D-5L total index

|                  | Unchanged (n = 8410) | Increased (n = 483) | Decreased (n = 4321) | No exercise habit (n = 1280) | p-value |
|------------------|----------------------|---------------------|----------------------|-----------------------------|---------|
| Pre-pandemic     | 0.972 ± 0.09         | 0.973 ± 0.07        | 0.965 ± 0.09         | 0.922 ± 0.15                | Overall: < 0.001  |
|                  |                      |                     |                      |                             | Post hoc:  |
|                  |                      |                     |                      |                             | U-I: 0.991     |
|                  |                      |                     |                      |                             | U-D: 0.003     |
|                  |                      |                     |                      |                             | U-N: < 0.001    |
|                  |                      |                     |                      |                             | I-D: 0.360     |
|                  |                      |                     |                      |                             | I-N: < 0.001    |
|                  |                      |                     |                      |                             | D-N: < 0.001    |
| Current          | 0.967 ± 0.10         | 0.968 ± 0.08        | 0.946 ± 0.12         | 0.918 ± 0.15                | Overall: < 0.001  |
|                  |                      |                     |                      |                             | Post hoc:  |
|                  |                      |                     |                      |                             | U-I: 1.000     |
|                  |                      |                     |                      |                             | U-D: < 0.001    |
|                  |                      |                     |                      |                             | U-N: < 0.001    |
|                  |                      |                     |                      |                             | I-D: < 0.001    |
|                  |                      |                     |                      |                             | I-N: < 0.001    |
|                  |                      |                     |                      |                             | D-N: < 0.001    |
| Range of reduction| 0.003 ± 0.025        | 0.003 ± 0.042       | 0.018 ± 0.063        | 0.006 ± 0.042               | Overall: < 0.001  |
|                  |                      |                     |                      |                             | Post hoc:  |
|                  |                      |                     |                      |                             | U-I: 1.000     |
|                  |                      |                     |                      |                             | U-D: < 0.001    |
|                  |                      |                     |                      |                             | U-N: < 0.001    |
|                  |                      |                     |                      |                             | I-D: < 0.001    |
|                  |                      |                     |                      |                             | I-N: < 0.001    |
|                  |                      |                     |                      |                             | D-N: < 0.001    |

Continuous variables were presented with an average ± 1.0 standard deviation. Overall p value: One-way Analysis of variance, Post hoc: Tukey test in each comparison, EQ-SD-SL EuroQol-5-dimension 5-level, U Unchanged group, I increased group, D decreased group, N no exercise habit group

Table 4 Multivariable logistic regression model

| Explanatory variables | Reference | aOR | p-value | 95%CI |
|-----------------------|-----------|-----|---------|-------|
| Age                   | > 75      | 1.78| < 0.001 | 1.43–2.21 |
|                       | 60 to 75  |     |         |       |
| Sex                   | Female    | 1.30| 0.029   | 1.03–1.64 |
| BMI                   | < 18      | 1.63| 0.004   | 1.17–2.27 |
|                       | 18 to 30  |     |         |       |
|                       | > 30      | 1.59| 0.086   | 0.94–2.71 |
| Symptoms              | Back pain | 1.37| 0.009   | 1.08–1.73 |
|                       | No back pain |     |         |       |
|                       | Joint pain| 1.67| <0.001  | 1.26–2.23 |
|                       | No joint pain |     |         |       |
|                       | Numbness  | 1.69| 0.003   | 1.19–2.41 |
|                       | No numbness |     |         |       |
| ADL                   | A, B, C   | 1.22| 0.309   | 0.83–1.70 |
| Regular exercise      | Increased | 1.80| 0.099   | 0.90–3.63 |
|                       | Unchanged |     |         |       |
|                       | Decreased | 5.60| <0.001  | 4.33–7.25 |
|                       | Unchanged |     |         |       |

BMI Body mass index, ADL activity of daily living, aOR adjusted odds ratio, CI confidence interval

For the current population-based survey involving 45% of elderly residents of a suburban city in Japan revealed that up to 30% had experienced a decrease in the regular exercise since the COVID-19 pandemic. These elderly individuals showed significant HRQOL deterioration independent of age, sex, BMI, baseline ADL status, and musculoskeletal symptoms.

There is evidence supporting the health benefits of physical activity, and regular exercise is a critical factor within health promotion settings. For example, the volume of physical activity can lead to improved perceptions of health in adolescents [17]; there is a curvilinear relationship between physical activity and mortality in older adults [18], and routine physical activity is reported to be related to the reduction of the risk of multiple chronic medical conditions [19]. Therefore, many physical activity guidelines recommend 150 min/week of moderate-to-vigorous intensity physical activity [20, 21]. However, it was reported in another study that the total physical activity time per week for older adults decreased by 26.5% in average from January to April 2020, when the first wave of the COVID-19 pandemic in Japan occurred [3]. In accordance with this previous study, we found that up to 30% of the general elderly individuals recognized a decrease in their regular exercise since the COVID-19 pandemic.

p = 0.976, BMI * change in exercise habit: p = 0.853, back pain * change in exercise habit: p = 0.471, joint pain * change in exercise habit: p = 0.252, numbness * change in exercise habit: p = 0.458, ADL * change in exercise habit: p = 1.000).
Our primary hypothesis was that decrease in exercise after pandemic might affect the HRQOL negatively because of a deterioration of musculoskeletal symptoms such as back pain, joint pain and numbness. However, our multivariate analysis revealed that the decrease in exercise related to the decrease in HRQOL independently from musculoskeletal symptoms. Additionally, there was no interaction between musculoskeletal symptoms and change in exercise routines. All these findings indicated that there might be direct relationship between exercise and HRQOL, and potentially indicated the importance to encourage the elderly population to keep their exercise habit ongoing even during the COVID-10 pandemic.

In terms of the relationship between HRQOL and COVID-19, the pandemic itself has a negative impact on HRQOL. For example, Algahtani et al. reported that the COVID-19 pandemic has significantly influenced various aspects of individuals’ HRQOL, including their physical and psychological health [22]. The current study also demonstrated that the average HRQOL index of all participants had significantly decreased. However, the average degree of decrease was 0.008, which might be less than the meaningful value [16]. On the other hand, the current study revealed clear differences in the degree of HRQOL index decrease between the population who have unchanged exercise routine and those who decreased their exercise routine, with a difference of 0.015, which could be considered as a meaningful value [16]. In the analysis of subdomains of HRQOL, the population with decreased exercise habit demonstrated a significantly higher rate of deterioration in all five domains, including mobility, self-care, usual activities, pain, and anxiety compared to those with unchanged exercise habits. It might be difficult to identify causality in the relationship between excise reduction and deterioration in mobility, self-care, and usual activity subdomains in the current cross-sectional study. However, because there is enough evidence showing that exercise has a positive effect on mental health, including anxiety and depression, and body pains [23, 24], the decrease in exercise potentially causes deterioration in the subdomains of anxiety/depression and pain.

In terms of the importance of exercise in the elderly population, SPINE20 which is an international advocacy group, called to G20 leaders and published a recommendation for the G20 countries to adopt a strategy to promote daily physical activity and exercises among the elderly population to maintain an active and independent life with a healthy spine, particularly since COVID-19 pandemic [25]. Our results indicated that regular exercise was decreased in 30% of the elderly population since the COVID-19 pandemic. Additionally, the results of current multivariate analysis revealed that exercise reduction in the elderly population was related to deterioration of HRQOL independent of age, sex, BMI, musculoskeletal symptoms, and ADL status. These results support a negative relationship between decrease in exercise routine and HRQOL, and could support SPINE20’s statement of the recommendations. Additionally, our results emphasize the importance of maintaining regular exercise during the COVID-19 pandemic and the post-COVID-19 era. [26] Physicians, trainers, researchers, healthcare providers, and decision makers in governmental and non-governmental healthcare systems should create guidelines to recommend adequate regular exercise in the post-COVID-19 era.

Our cross-sectional questionnaire survey had some limitations. The major limitation of this study was recall bias. Because we did not collect any data since the pandemic, the alternative was to ask for retrospective reports from the participants. Furthermore, it is possible that negative emotions such as fear or anger related to COVID-19 affected the results. Finally, this cross-sectional study could not evaluate HRQOL changes after the participants resumed their regular exercise regimens. Therefore, to overcome these limitations, a longitudinal questionnaire survey that had begun before the pandemic and includes a comprehensive sample of the general elderly population, would be helpful.

Meanwhile, the current survey has some strengths. The current survey was performed using self-administrated, printed questionnaires rather than online ones. This enables elderly people who might have difficulties participating in an online survey to participate in the survey and answer the questionnaire correctly based on their situation. Indeed, in the current study, we were able to obtain the responses of 95.1% of those asked to participate in the survey, and 14,494 out of 15,788 (96.5%) participants could complete the fundamental questionnaires. In addition, we were able to analyze the data of 45.3% of all elderly people living in the specific city, which significantly reduced the generalizability bias.

Conclusion
The current population-based, cross-sectional survey that included 45.3% of elderly people living in a city revealed that up to 30% of participants were aware of the decrease in their regular exercise since the COVID-19 pandemic. This decrease was significantly related to HRQOL deterioration independent of age, sex, BMI, baseline ADL status, and musculoskeletal symptoms. Although our results might not be surprising for physicians, we believe that this knowledge could help the general population, healthcare providers, and decision-makers to understand the current problem and could be a solid foundation for creating exercise guidelines in the post-COVID-19 era.
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