Stromal ETS2 Regulates Chemokine Production and Immune Cell Recruitment during Acinar-to-Ductal Metaplasia

Abstract
Preclinical studies have suggested that the pancreatic tumor microenvironment both inhibits and promotes tumor development and growth. Here we establish the role of stromal fibroblasts during acinar-to-ductal metaplasia (ADM), an initiating event in pancreatic cancer formation. The transcription factor V-Ets avian erythroblastosis virus E26 oncogene homolog 2 (ETS2) was elevated in smooth muscle actin-positive fibroblasts in the stroma of pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma (PDAC) patient tissue samples relative to normal pancreatic controls. LSL-KrasG12D/+; LSL-Trp53R172H/+; Pdx-1-Cre (KPC) mice showed that ETS2 expression initially increased in fibroblasts during ADM and remained elevated through progression to PDAC. Conditional ablation of Ets-2 in pancreatic fibroblasts in a KrasG12D-driven mouse ADM model decreased the amount of ADM events. ADMs from fibroblast Ets-2-deleted animals had reduced epithelial cell proliferation and increased apoptosis. Surprisingly, fibroblast Ets-2 deletion significantly altered immune cell infiltration into the stroma, with an increased CD8+ T-cell population, and decreased presence of regulatory T cells (Tregs), myeloid-derived suppressor cells, and mature macrophages. The mechanism involved ETS2-dependent chemokine ligand production in fibroblasts. ETS2 directly bound to regulatory sequences for Ccl3, Ccl4, Cxcl4, Cxcl5,
and Cxcl10, a group of chemokines that act as potent mediators of immune cell recruitment. These results suggest an unappreciated role for ETS2 in fibroblasts in establishing an immune-suppressive microenvironment in response to oncogenic Kras\textsuperscript{G12D} signaling during the initial stages of tumor development.
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**Introduction**

Pancreatic cancer 5-year survival rates have remained around 5% for the last 40 years despite efforts to better understand the underpinnings of this disease [1,2]. Research for the last 2 decades has concentrated on determining the major oncogenic signaling pathways within the evolving tumor cell [3–5]. These efforts have revealed that activating mutations in Kras are early events during malignant transformation and have suggested that acinar cells are a potential pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma (PDAC) cell-of-origin [6,7]. For example, genetically engineered mouse models demonstrated that mutant Kras targeted to the acinar lineage is sufficient to induce pancreatic intraepithelial neoplasia (PanIN) lesions with ductal morphology [8–10]. Additionally, second-hit mutations, such as activating Notch mutations, synergize with Kras in acinar cells to drive ductal reprogramming and PanIN lesion formation [11]. Lineage tracing experiments have shown that acinar cells readily transdifferentiate into ductal cells (commonly referred to as acinar-to-ductal metaplasia or ADM) and also demonstrated that mutant Kras mutations in mature acinar cells formed PanINs at a significantly higher frequency relative to the ductal or centroacinar cell lineages [12]. This paradigm-shifting study has sparked newfound interest into the signaling networks that collaborate with Kras to drive ADM in acini [13–17]. Other reports have solidified the notion that ADM progresses to PanIN and PDAC and show that ADM occurs in human PDAC patients [18–21]. During the transformation from ADM to PanIN to PDAC, there is a concurrent increase in the desmoplastic reaction that is a cardinal feature of this disease [22–24].

The pancreatic stroma has been scrutinized as a “partner in crime” for PDAC development, but efforts to elucidate the role of the stroma in pancreatic cancer to date have relied primarily on in vitro or transplanted immunodeficient in vivo models of pancreatic cancer [25–28]. More recent reports have revealed that stromal fibroblasts are comprised of a heterogeneous population that possesses both pro- and antitumor characteristics [29–31]. For example, the presence of smooth muscle actin (SMA)–positive fibroblasts in human PDAC samples has been correlated with reduced overall survival in one patient cohort and with increased patient survival in an independent patient cohort [31,32]. Furthermore, stromal gene expression in 145 human PDAC patient samples revealed two distinct stroma-specific subtypes (termed normal and activated) with median survivals of 24 or 15 months, respectively [33]. Collectively, these observations have fueled interest in studying the molecular interactions between the epithelium and recruited stromal fibroblasts. Surprisingly, the contribution of fibroblasts to ADM has not garnered the same attention, and noncell autonomous signaling that drives ADM is not well described.

ETS2 is a member of the ETS transcription factor family that has been studied as a downstream regulator of RAS-mediated transformation [34–37]. ETS2 also interacts specifically with mutant p53 to redirect promoter recruitment of p53, and further studies have shown that ETS2 is crucial for mutant tp53 oncogenic functions [38–40]. The ability of ETS2 to regulate both RAS- and p53-mediated signaling places it at a crossroads between these two powerful oncogenic signaling pathways that are misregulated in pancreatic cancer. Previous studies have revealed that ETS2 also regulates epithelial cell fate from the stromal compartment [41,42]. Specifically, deletion of Ets2 in the epithelium of mouse mammary tumor models had no significant effect, whereas fibroblast-specific Ets2 deletion led to markedly decreased tumor growth [42]. These studies have established that fibroblast ETS2-mediated signaling is an important regulator of the complex cross talk between stromal cells and epithelial cells. ETS factors in general (especially ETS1 and ETS2) are enriched in stromal cell populations in many cancers through a variety of mechanisms [41,43–46].

In this report, we show that ETS2 signaling is activated in human and mouse pancreatic fibroblasts during ADM. We further use genetically engineered mouse models of ADM to demonstrate that deletion of Ets-2 in fibroblasts leads to decreased acinar cell transformation, decreased immune cell infiltration, and decreased cytokine and chemokine production by fibroblasts. These results define a fibroblast-specific ETS2-choreographed immune response that leads to an immune-suppressive microenvironment during the earliest stages of preneoplastic transformation of the pancreas.

**Materials and Methods**

**Animal Strains, Husbandry, and Maintenance**

The use of animals was in compliance with federal and Ohio State University Laboratory Animal Resources regulations. Mist1\textsuperscript{loxP} \textsuperscript{KrasG12D}; Fsp-Cre; Ets2\textsuperscript{delloxP} and Mist1\textsuperscript{loxP} \textsuperscript{KrasG12D}; Ets2\textsuperscript{delloxP} animals were generated by crossing the previously described Mist1\textsuperscript{loxP} \textsuperscript{KrasG12D}; FspCre, Ets-2\textsuperscript{loxP}, and Ets-2\textsuperscript{loxP} strains [10,47–49]. The experiments were performed using littermate mice from a mixed C57BL/6; 129/Sv and FVBN genetic background.

**Multispectral Analysis of Dual-Color Immunochemistry (IHC)**

Dual-stained samples were imaged using the PerkinElmer’s Vectra multispectral slide analysis system. For the mouse samples, at least three multispectral images per animal for at least three mice per genotype (unless otherwise noted) were manually taken. For the human PDAC Tissue Microarray, one field of interest per core was automatically acquired. The image acquisition workflow consisted of the following: 1) monochrome imaging of the entire slide, 2) RGB low-power imaging of the tumor tissue using an inForm tissue finding algorithm, and 3) multispectral high-power imaging of one field containing tumor epithelium and stroma by means of an inForm HPF finding algorithm.

For quantification of the DAB staining, the multispectral images were reviewed and analyzed using inForm Tissue Finder software. A pattern recognition algorithm was used for processing as follows: 1) trainable tissue...
segmentation to segment the SMA-positive regions from the tumor epithelium; 2) cell segmentation of the SMA-positive tissue category to locate the subcellular compartments; and 3) scoring to bin the spectrally unmixed DAB signal into four categories depending on the staining intensity (0+, 1+, 2+, and 3+), providing data in percent. The H-score, which ranges from 0 to 300, was calculated using following formula: \[1 \times (\% \text{ cells } 1+) + 2 \times (\% \text{ cells } 2+) + 3 \times (\% \text{ cells } 3+)\]. Thus, H-score measures staining intensity as well as percentage of positive cells in a given cellular compartment.

**Histology Analysis, Immunohistochemistry, and Immunofluorescent Staining**

Dissected mouse pancreas tissues were fixed in 10% neutral-buffered formalin solution for 48 hours and transferred to 70% ethanol. Tissues were processed, embedded in paraffin, cut in 5 μm sections on positively charged slides, deparaffinized, rehydrated, and stained with hematoxylin and eosin (H&E).

For immunohistochemistry, all sections were stained using a Bond Rx autostainer (Leica) unless otherwise noted. Briefly, slides were baked at 65°C for 15 minutes, and automated software performed dewaxing, rehydration, antigen retrieval, blocking, primary antibody incubation, post primary antibody incubation, detection (DAB or RED), and counterstaining using Bond reagents (Leica). Samples were then removed from the machine, dehydrated through ethanols and xylenes, mounted, and coverslipped. Antibodies for the following markers were diluted in antibody diluent (Leica): rabbit anti-bodies α-SMA (1:1500, Abcam), Ki67 (1:100, Abcam), and amylase (1:400, CST), ETS2 (SC-351) and rat antibodies cytokeratin 19 (TROMA-III) (1:150, DSHB, University of Iowa), and F4/80 (1:50 Invitrogen).

For fluorescent immunostaining, following deparaffinization, rehydration, and PBS washes, sections were blocked with serum-free Protein block (Dako) for 30 minutes at room temperature. Cells grown on coverslips were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde and permeabilized with 100% ice-cold methanol prior to blocking. Tissue immunostaining required antigen retrieval, which was performed in a steamer (Black & Decker) using a 1× Target Retrieval solution (pH 6.0) (Dako). Antibodies for the following markers were diluted in antibody diluent (Dako) and applied overnight at 4°C: rabbit antibodies Ki67 (1:100, Abcam) and amylase (1:400, CST), rat antibodies cytokeratin 19 (TROMA-III) (1:150, DSHB, University of Iowa) and cytokeratin 8 (TROMA-I) (1:300, DSHB, University of Iowa), and mouse antibody α-SMA (1:400 Sigma). Secondary antibodies conjugated with Alexa Fluor 488 and Alexa Fluor 594 were placed on tissue sections for 1 hour at room temperature (1:300, Invitrogen). Nuclei were counterstained using SlowFade Gold Antifade reagent with 4',6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (Invitrogen). Tissue sections for fluorescence microscopy images were mounted with SlowFade Gold Antifade reagent with 4',6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (Invitrogen) and coverslipped, and images were obtained using an Eclipse E800 microscope (Nikon) equipped with a CoolSNAP cf. monochrome digital camera Peltier cooled to 20°C (Photometrics). All images were captured with MetaVue version 6.2r6 software (Universal Imaging Corp.) and resized and formatted with Adobe Photoshop CS5 software (Adobe Systems Incorporated).

**Chromatin Immunoprecipitation (ChIP) Assays**

ChIP assays were performed as previously described [43]. Briefly, cells were cross-linked for 10 minutes with 1% formaldehyde and lysed. Chromatin was collected and sonicated. Anti-Ets2 ChIP grade antibody (sc-351X) and protein G agarose slurry (Millipore 16-266) were used to pull down chromatin. After reverse cross-linking, DNA was recovered, purified, and analyzed by reverse transcriptase polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR).

**Pancreatic Fibroblast Isolation and Culture**

Primary pancreatic fibroblasts were purified based on the following protocol. Briefly, pancreata were dissected from 6-month-old mice, minced, and digested with collagenase (0.5% Collagenase II, 120 U ml^{-1} DNase I in 1× PBS) shaking at 225 rpm for an hour at 37°C. Collagenase was neutralized with 10% FBS-Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium. Digested tissue was resuspended in medium and gravity purified for 10 minutes. Supernatants were aspirated, and pellets were washed three times and subjected to two additional gravity sedimentations and then seeded on tissue-culture dishes.

**RNA, Microarray, and Real-Time PCR**

RNA was harvested with Trizol according to the manufacturer’s instructions (Invitrogen). RNA quality and concentration were assessed with Bioanalyser and Nanodrop RNA 6000 nanassy. RNA samples were hybridized to Affymetrix GeneChip Mouse genome 430 2.0 platform at the Microarray Shared Resource Facility, Ohio State University Comprehensive Cancer Center. The microarray data were deposited with Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) and can be viewed at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/query/acc.cgi?token=afpbpeaqexbgltor&acc=GSE61707.

The RNA-sequencing data were deposited with GEO under Series GSE76498 and can be viewed at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/query/acc.cgi?token=mlwjyqiqplmfbyd&acc=GSE76498. Real-time quantification of mRNA was performed using Roche Universal Primer Library probes and probe-specific primers. Applied Biosystems StepOnePlus real time PCR machines were used. Normalization and target to reference ratios were calculated according to the manufacturer’s instructions (Roche). Total RNA (1 μg) was converted to cDNA using the Super-script Reverse Transcriptase kit (Invitrogen). List of quantitative (q)RT-PCR and Roche Universal Probe library number is indicated in the table below:

| Gene Name | Forward Primer | Reverse Primer | Probe # |
|-----------|----------------|----------------|---------|
| htpk1     | AGCGTTGCGCT    | GGACCCATCAA    | 40      |
| htn2      | GTCTCCCTTCA    | GGGCTCAA       | 20      |
| htk1      | CCCTCTGGCTG    | AAGGGGACA      | 76      |
| htk2      | GCGAGCTGTA    | ACCTGCTGAGG    | 16      |
| htk3      | TCAAACCCGAT    | TTCTCCAGATG    | 26      |
| hta3      | GAAGACCCCTCT  | CGGGCTGTT      | 1       |
| hfun1     | CGGATGATTG    | ACCTGCAA       | 19      |
| hfun2     | CCGGTTTTC     | GGGCTTCCA      | 13      |
| hfun4     | CGGGCTTATAT   | CCCTGACCC      | 19      |
| hfun5     | GAGCTGACGC    | GAGAACAGG      | 19      |
| hfun6     | TTAAGATGGA    | ATTTGGGCAA     | 2       |
| hfun7     | GGGCAGGGGG    | CGGACACCTT     | 1       |
| hfun8     | TTCTATAGGG    | GAGCTGATGC     | 38      |
| hfun9     | GATGACGCTAT    | CTTGCTGAT      | 40      |
| mCel25    | CCTGGCTTTC    | TGGAAATCTT     | 73      |
| mCel24    | GAGGAGACGC    | GAGAACAGG      | 82      |
| mCel23    | ATCTCCAGGA    | CTCTCAGT      | 67      |
| mCel22    | AGGGTGTTT    | GAGTGGTGT      | 3       |
| mCel21    | AATCTCCACAC   | CTTACTGTT      |         |
| mCel20    | GCTGCGGCTC    | TCTCCAGT      |         |

(continued on next page)
Ets-2 signaling is activated in pancreatic fibroblasts

Previous studies have shown that ETS2 levels increase in ductal tumor cells with PDAC staging, but fibroblast ETS2 levels have yet to be explored [51]. Dual-color IHC staining of normal pancreatic tissue, pancreatitis tissue, and PDAC patient tissue for alpha-SMA and ETS2 was analyzed using the Vectra multispectral imaging system (Figure 1A, see Materials And Methods). The analysis demonstrated that nuclear ETS2 staining was significantly enriched in fibroblasts from both pancreatitis and PDAC patient samples relative to normal pancreatic controls (Figure 1B). Robust staining within acinar and ductal epithelial cells in both normal and PDAC patient samples was also observed, consistent with previous reports [51]. To further test the hypothesis that ETS2 is activated in pancreatic fibroblasts, primary fibroblasts from resected PDAC patient tumors were isolated, and mRNA expression of Ets-2 was compared relative to other proto-oncogenic transcription factors. Among the 10 transcription factors analyzed, only Ets-2 and Gli1 showed significantly higher levels of mRNA in cancer-associated fibroblasts relative to control pancreatic fibroblasts (Figure 1C). Gli1, the nuclear effector of Hedgehog receptor signaling, has been previously shown to be expressed by pancreatic cancer–associated fibroblasts [52,53].

Fibroblast Ets-2 Is Upregulated Early and Late in Pancreatic Tumorigenesis

SmA-ETS2 dual IHC was repeated in a cohort of LSL-Kras<sup>G12DΔf</sup>; LSL-Tp53<sup>R172HΔf</sup>; Pdx-1-Cre (KPC) mice, demonstrating that ETS2 is activated in fibroblasts during early ADM and PanIN stages (2 weeks of age) as well as in PDAC (4 months of age, Figure 2, A and B). Gene set enrichment analysis (GSEA) of RNA sequencing of fibroblast mRNA isolated from WT or KPC pancreata at the ADM stage demonstrated that extracellular matrix remodeling and inflammatory response pathways were highly enriched in KPC fibroblasts relative to WT fibroblasts (Figure 2C). GSEA also identified ETS2-regulated genes as an altered process in fibroblasts isolated from KPC mice undergoing ADM (Figure 2C). This same ETS2 GSEA pathway (“RTYTCCTG_V$ETS2_B” Broad Institute MSigDB gene set) was significantly altered (P = 1.68 × 10<sup>−4</sup>) in the “activated stroma” signature relative to “normal stroma” in the recent analysis of stromal gene expression from 145 PDAC patients [33].

Fibroblast-Specific Deletion of Ets-2 Decreases Kras<sup>G12DΔf</sup>-Driven ADM

To determine the role of Ets2 signaling in epithelial-stromal cross talk during ADM formation, we utilized the Mist1<sup>Kras<sup>G12DΔf</sup></sup> knock-in allele in which oncogene expression originates in the acinar cell compartment [10]. This model was combined with fibroblast-specific Fip-Cre, which was previously created and characterized extensively by our group [41,42], and Ets2<sup>loxP/loxP</sup> alleles to achieve efficient fibroblast-specific conditional deletion of Ets-2. Mist1<sup>Kras<sup>G12DΔf</sup></sup>; Ets2<sup>loxP/loxP</sup> (KE) control mice and Mist1<sup>Kras<sup>G12DΔf</sup></sup>; Fip-Cre; Ets2<sup>loxP/loxP</sup> (KCE) experimental mice were generated (Supplemental Figure 1A, see Materials And Methods). Recombination of the Ets2<sup>loxP</sup> allele was confirmed in DNA isolated from formalin-fixed, paraffin-embedded tissue sections by genotyping PCR (Supplemental Figure 1B). IHC staining and quantification using Vectra multispectral imaging of ETS2 in pancreatic tissue sections from KE and KCE mice confirmed in vitro deletion exclusively in the fibroblast compartment (Supplemental Figure 1, C and D), whereas ETS2 levels in the pancreatic epithelium remained unchanged (Supplemental Figure 1E). Pancreatic fibroblasts were isolated from KE and KCE mice and shown to be positive for fibroblast markers SMA and vimentin and negative for epithelial markers cytokeratin 8 (CK8) or cytokeratin 19 (CK19) by co-immunofluorescence (co-IF) (Supplemental Figure 1). Ets-2<sup>loxP</sup>-deleted fibroblast cultures had lower ETS2 staining in F4/80 macrophage marker F4/80 and ETS2. Consistent with our published reports, there was no difference in ETS2 staining in F4/80 macrophages between KE and KCE mice (Supplemental Figure 1, F and G). These collective results indicate that Ets-2 is efficiently deleted in KCE mice exclusively in the fibroblast compartment and this deletion is maintained under in vitro culture conditions.

Ets-2 specific deletion in stromal fibroblasts significantly decreased the amount of ADM events, identified by ducts that stain positive for ductal cell marker CK19 and acinar cell marker β-amylase (Figure 3, A and B). CK19/Ki67 co-IF staining showed that ductal cells in KCE mice had two-fold lower proliferation than KE lesions (Figure 3, C and D; matched H&E in Supplemental Figure 2A). Staining with apoptosis marker cleaved caspase 3 showed increased numbers of apoptotic epithelial cells in the preneoplastic ADMs in KCE mice relative to KE (Figure 3, E and F).

Ets-2 Deleted Fibroblasts Are Viable and Maintain an Activated Phenotype

Gene expression analysis of Ets2-intact and Ets2-deleted fibroblast revealed that loss of Ets2 in pancreatic fibroblasts led to significant changes (Supplemental Figure 3A and Supplemental Table 1).
GSEA identified the ETS2 target gene signature as significantly downregulated in the KCE versus KE fibroblasts (Figure 4A, \( P = .002 \)). However, in contrast to our findings in breast cancer [42], GSEA indicated that pancreatic fibroblasts lacking Ets-2 maintained an activated extracellular matrix (ECM) remodeling state, as there was no significant difference between KCE and KE fibroblasts (Figure 4B; \( P = .117 \) and false discovery rate [FDR] > 25%). In contrast, GSEA identified that inflammatory responses were significantly affected by Ets2 deletion in fibroblasts (Figure 4C, \( P < .001 \)).

Dual-color IHC staining of SMA and Ki67 showed no difference in the proliferation or total number of SMA-positive fibroblasts in KCE mice compared to KE controls, demonstrating that differences in ADM formation between KE and KCE mice were not due to decreased viability or proliferation of Ets2-null fibroblasts (Figure 4D and Supplemental Figure 3B, respectively). An important physiological function for activated stromal fibroblasts is to form and remodel a collagen-rich extracellular matrix. Masson’s Trichrome staining revealed no significant difference in the area of collagen-rich ECM between KCE and KE mice, consistent the

Figure 1. Human pancreatic cancer associated fibroblasts activate Ets-2 signaling. (A) Dual-color IHC (ETS2, brown; SMA, red) of human normal or PDAC Tissue Microarray. Insets show higher magnified view of representative areas. Black arrows indicate ETS2+/SMA+ fibroblasts. Scale bars 25 μm. (B) Quantification of ETS2 staining in SMA+ positive cells shown in A (red bar indicates mean). (C) qRT-PCR analysis of proto-oncogenic transcription factors in pancreatic fibroblasts isolated from normal or PDAC patients (normalized to \( \text{Rpl4} \), represented as fold-change relative to normal fibroblast expression; \( n = 3 \); bars represent means ± Standard Deviation [SD]).
GSEA prediction (Figure 4, F and G). Similarly, GSEA showed no difference in angiogenesis pathways between KE and KCE fibroblasts (Supplemental Figure 3C; P = .174 and FDR < 25%), and Meca-32 staining of endothelial cells demonstrated no significant differences between control and ETS2-null tissue (Supplemental Figure 3D).

**Ets-2 Deletion In Fibroblasts Alters the Immune Cell Infiltrate during ADM**

To explore the predicted changes in inflammatory responses upon Ets2 deletion in pancreatic fibroblasts, antibodies for cell-type–specific markers were used to test whether KE and KCE mice had different infiltration of GR1-positive myeloid-derived suppressor cells (MDSCs), F4/80-positive macrophages, FoxP3-positive Tregs, or CD8-positive cytotoxic T cells. The results demonstrated that MDSCs, Tregs, and macrophages were recruited to the stroma in KE mice, and all three cell populations were significantly depleted in the KCE mice with Ets2 ablation (Figure 5, A–D and Supplemental Fig. 4, A and B, respectively). Of note, recruitment of MDSCs into the pancreatic stroma of KE mice was decreased by 100-fold compared to KE mice (Figure 5A). In contrast, CD8+ cytotoxic T cells were increased two-fold in KCE mice compared to control mice (Figure 5E and F). CD8+ cells were confirmed to be dual positive for CD3 (Figure 5G; white arrows).

**Ets-2 Directly Regulates Chemokine Expression in Pancreatic Fibroblasts**

GSEA revealed that chemokine and cytokine pathways were significantly affected by Ets2 deletion (Figure 6A). Further in silico dissection of the chemokine and cytokine GSEA pathways showed that 15 secreted factors were present on the leading edge analysis of the pathways (Supplemental Table 2). The 15 ligands belonged to 3 subgroups: chemokine ligands, interleukins, and TNF superfamily members (Supplemental Table 3). Using publically available data on the Encyclopedia of DNA Elements consortium database, we used ChIP-Seq data available for the closely related transcription factor ETS1 (GEO accession GSM1003774 and GSM1003777) to identify predicted ETS binding sites in the promoters of the 15 ligands. The promoters of 9 of the 15 factors (60%) contained ETS1 ChIP-Seq peaks that are also predicted ETS2 binding sites (Supplemental Table 3). The ETS2 binding sites were conserved in mice and human (Supplemental Figure 5). Out of these nine, five factors (CCL3, CCL4, CXCL4, CXCL5, CXCL10) are known to function in recruiting T cells, macrophages, or MDSCs [54–58] (Supplemental Table 3).

Strikingly, real-time RT-PCR confirmed that mRNAs for all five ligands were expressed in KE fibroblasts, whereas expression was not detectable in cells with Ets2 deletion (Figure 6B). Furthermore, all five ligands were expressed in human PDAC patient-derived cancer associated fibroblasts (Figure 6C). ETS2 ChIP on chromatin from KE and KCE pancreatic fibroblasts showed significant ETS2 enrichment in ETS2-intact versus deleted fibroblasts (Figure 6D).

**Discussion**

The results presented here identify ETS signaling in fibroblasts as a means of immune cell recruitment to ADM. ETS2 directly coordinates a chemokine signature that alters the immune contexture at the early
ADM stage. Loss of ETS2 signaling in the pancreatic stroma abrogates production of key chemokines in fibroblasts, resulting in decreased infiltration of macrophage, MDSC, and Treg populations while increasing CD8 T cells.

The stroma undergoes a dramatic expansion in concert with the stepwise development of pancreatic cancer, suggesting that the stroma is an active partner in disease initiation and progression. However, characterizing the complex interactions between the pancreatic epithelium and the adjacent stroma has proved difficult, as recent reports have highlighted the degree of heterogeneity that exists in the pancreatic stroma. In particular, depletion of SMA-positive cells accelerated pancreatic cancer, whereas depletion of FAP-positive cells slowed tumor growth [29,31]. Interestingly, both studies identified immune cell subpopulations that dynamically interact with stromal fibroblasts. Tumors depleted for SMA-positive cells were responsive to \( \alpha \)-CTLA-4 therapy, which functions by altering T-cell activation [31]. Tumors depleted for FAP-positive cells were dependent on both CD4 and CD8 T-cell populations, and fibroblast depletion synergized with \( \alpha \)-PD-1 and \( \alpha \)-CTLA-4 immune checkpoint therapy [29]. These studies indicate that fibroblast-immune cell cross talk is complex, and our results establish that ETS2 is responsible for the production of several key immunomodulatory chemokines and cytokines. We propose that ETS2 is activated in fibroblasts early during epithelial transformation of the pancreas and helps to establish an immune microenvironment that is conducive to increased ADM formation.

Figure 3. Stromal ETS2 ablation decreases pancreatic ADM. (A and B) Dual-color IHC and quantitation for \( \beta \)-amylase (red) and CK19 (brown). Black arrows indicate ADM lesions. (C and D) Co-IF for CK19 (red) and Ki67 (green) and quantification of percentage of proliferating CK19+ cells, indicated by white arrows. (E and F) IHC for cleaved caspase 3 (brown) and quantification of percentage of epithelial cells undergoing apoptosis. All scale bars 25 \( \mu \)m (n = 7; red bar indicates mean).
identified in this work were not observed with Ets2 loss in the breast tumor stroma [41,42]. Thus, ETS2 alters the fibroblast transcriptome in a tissue-specific fashion and subsequently modulates the communication between the epithelial cell and its microenvironment in a distinct manner. This is consistent with the observation that fibroblasts maintain a complex positional memory that drives specific gene expression patterns based on their topographical location [59]. These results also highlight the diversity of stromal fibroblasts and show that a more detailed understanding of the signaling networks within fibroblasts derived from cancers of different origins is required to more precisely understand epithelial-fibroblast cross talk.

The most striking change in immune cell recruitment upon loss of ETS2 signaling from the fibroblasts was in the immunosuppressive MDSC population. These cells are recruited early during pancreatic tumorigenesis, as they are present at the ADM/PanIN stage and progressively increase during malignant progression [22,60]. Additionally, MDSC levels positively correlate with FoxP3-positive Treg numbers in pancreatic cancer, consistent with our results at the ADM stage [61]. Further functional studies into MDSCs in pancreatic cancer has revealed that pancreatic cancer–associated stellate cells secrete factors that drive MDSC differentiation, which in turn suppresses CD8-positive T-cell proliferation [62]. It has also been observed that MDSC and CD8-positive populations are present in a nearly mutually exclusive relationship in both PanIN and PDAC, suggesting that early host immunosuppressive responses are able to impede T-cell infiltration [22]. The decrease in MDSCs in Ets2-deleted pancreata may open the door for recruitment of CD8-positive T cells. These cells have previously been characterized for their ability to eliminate tumor cells during pancreatic cancer development and progression [63], and patients who have increased CD8-positive populations have improved prognosis [64,65]. The genetic data presented here suggest that ETS2 is involved in the interactions between fibroblasts and immunosuppressive cells that may contribute to KrasG12D epithelial cell proliferation indirectly.

KrasG12D epithelial cell proliferation may also be directly affected by ETS2 in fibroblasts, as chemokines are a major conduit of...
Figure 5. Ets-2 fibroblast deletion alters the pancreatic immune microenvironment. (A and B) IHC for GR1 (brown) and quantification of positive cells per mm². (C and D) IHC for FoxP3 (brown) and quantification of positive cells per mm². (E and F) Co-IF for CD8 (red) and CD3 (green) and quantification of CD8-positive cells per mm². (G) Insets show higher magnified view of representative areas; white arrows indicate CD3+/CD8+ cells. All scale bars 25 μm (n = 7; red bar indicates mean).
epithelial-fibroblast communication in the pancreas [63]. The ETS2 targets CCL3, CCL4, CXCL5, and CXCL10 have all been linked to increased tumor cell proliferation in a variety of cancers [66–70]. ETS2 signaling may also play an important signal transduction role from within the epithelial cell, given its role downstream of RAS-MAPK and mutant P53 signaling pathways [38,39,71–73]. Thus, ETS2 could present an overlap between KRAS and p53 signaling, but further biochemical work will be required to pin down the interworkings of this signaling network within the pancreatic tumor cell. Targeting ETS2 signaling may be beneficial to inhibit both epithelial cell intrinsic oncogenic mechanisms as well as the fibroblast-driven immune-modulatory effects defined herein.

Figure 6. Ets-2 directly regulates chemokine and cytokine production. (A) GSEA enrichment plots for cytokine and chemokine pathways in KE versus KCE fibroblasts (n = 3). (B) qRT-PCR of secreted factors in KE and KCE mouse fibroblasts (normalized to Rpl4, represented as fold-change relative to ETS2WT expression; n = 3; bars represent means ± SD; N.E. = not expressed). (C) qRT-PCR of secreted factors in human PDAC-derived CAF cultures (normalized to Rpl4, represented as fold-change relative to normal fibroblast expression; n = 3; bars represent means ± SD). (D) Relative enrichment for ETS2 binding to the promoters of indicated genes in KE and KCE mouse fibroblasts (n = 5; bars represent means ± SD).
Supplementary data to this article can be found online at http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.neo.2016.07.006.
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