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ABSTRACT

The most critical component of Industry 4.0, the new face of the machinery-manufacturing industry sector, is metal additive manufacturing. Laser-based additive manufacturing techniques are dominant for metal additive manufacturing today. In this study, the metal alloy studied is Ti-6Al-4V, one of the essential Ti alloys used in more than 50% of all commercial Ti applications. Ti-6Al-4V parts produced by additive manufacturing are used in the biomedical, aerospace-defence industry, and industrial areas due to their high strength, fatigue behaviour, fracture strength, good corrosion resistance, and biocompatibility. In the study, samples of Ti6Al4V alloy were produced with different manufacturing parameters by the direct metal laser sintering (DMLS) method, which is one of the powder bed fusion methods. Then, the surface qualities of the samples were processed by milling and wire EDM. The effects of machining operations on the surface roughness of the samples were investigated and compared with the surface roughness obtained from the samples produced by the DMLS method. After the optical microscope images of the samples were taken, the physical and surface morphology were examined. Although the mechanical properties of the parts manufactured by DMLS methods were higher, the samples with machining presented higher machinability with lower forces, lower surface roughness. This is explained that mechanical properties of samples of Ti6Al4V alloy in additive manufacturing are highly dependent on the rapid cooling of the material. Results show that samples of Ti6Al4V manufactured by additive manufacturing has been possible using with machining.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Metals are converted into products by adding value through manufacturing methods such as machining, welding, powder metallurgy, casting, plastic forming, and unconventional manufacturing methods[1-3]. Machining is the general name of removing parts in the form of chips with cutting tools from the surface of the material to bring raw material to the desired final shape and size in a controlled manner [1,2,4]. Additive manufacturing is one of the non-traditional manufacturing methods. The basis of the additive manufacturing method is to add material layer by layer to produce parts from 3D solid model data, unlike traditional manufacturing methods [5]. Additive manufacturing methods are generally categorized into seven classes today. According to the technology used in additive manufacturing methods are classified such as Fused Deposition Modeling (FDM), Selective Laser Sintering (SLS), Selective Laser Melting (SLM), Electron Beam Melting (EBM), Stereolithography (SLA), Material Jetting, Binder Jetting, Laser Machining Net Forming, and Electron Beam Source [6]. Among these technologies, it has been seen that powder bed fusion systems are used more frequently in metal production [7]. Additive manufacturing has an improved design capability compared to machining.
methods, the ability to manufacture custom lightweight caged structures and manufacture lighter parts [8].

The variety of materials that can be used for the additive manufacturing process is currently increasing. The solid, liquid and gas-based raw materials are used to manufacture parts in additive manufacturing technologies. The additive manufacturing technologies are determined according to the form of the material used to build raw material. Most of the metal powders used in the additive manufacturing method are generally produced using well-known technologies for metal powder production. In additive manufacturing, process parameters such as the shape and size of the powder material, laser power, hatching speed, hatching distance, and layer thickness significantly affect the mechanical properties such as dimensional accuracy and surface quality part [8]. In the literature academic studies are examined, the materials used in additive manufacturing processes are steel and stainless steel [9,10], titanium alloys [11], aluminium alloys [12], nickel-based alloys [13], cobalt-chromium alloys [14]. Titanium alloy material has high surface quality, high strength, excellent biocompatibility, low density, good corrosion resistance, high fatigue resistance, lightness, strength to shear deformation, and controllable porosity. Therefore, The Ti and Ti-alloys are extremely interesting for the additive manufacturing method [15]. Titanium alloys are used in various industries such as the aerospace industry, military industry, automotive, biomedical applications, dentistry, moulding.

Although additive manufacturing has many advantages over machining, it has some limiting disadvantages, such as surface finish and dimensional accuracy. In order to improve the surface quality of the parts produced by additive manufacturing, the parts are subjected to second processing after manufacturing [16]. Different methods such as laser polishing, chemical processes, ultrasonic cavitation, electromagnetic processes, and machining can be preferred to improve the surface quality of metal parts produced by additive manufacturing [17]. Surface quality improvement with machining, especially the turning process, is carried out in surface improvement processes of cylindrical parts. With surface improvement turning, the aim is to increase surface qualities by precision machining cylindrical parts. In surface improvement turning, the surface quality of the part depends on the cutting parameters, the geometry of the insert, the mechanical and metallurgical properties of the material and the elements in the cutting environment[18,19]. The Ti6Al4V alloys manufactured by powder bed Fusion additive manufacturing show higher machinability than commercial Ti6Al4V regarding its mechanical properties and the cutting force, roughness, burr formation and chips analysis [20].

This study is aimed to improve the surface quality of the samples produced from Ti6Al4V alloy with powder bed fed additive manufacturing method with different processing parameters. For this purpose, CNC milling was carried out with a cutting speed of 200 m/min, a feed rate of 0.05 mm/rev and a cutting depth of 0.5 mm. A wire cutting speed of 5 m/sec was applied in the wire erosion cutting process using 0.25 mm diameter wire. The effects of machining operations on the surface roughness were investigated and compared with the surface roughness obtained in production with the additive manufacturing method. Optical microscope images of the samples were taken, and physical and surface morphology was examined. As a result, it has been determined that the machining method is a finishing process that can be used to increase the surface quality of the surfaces produced by the AM method.

2. EXPERIMENTAL STUDIES

The chemical composition and density of the Ti6Al4V powder material produced by the EOS M280 company used for the material of the samples produced with different parameters in the study are given in Table 1.

| Alloy Element | Al  | V   | O   | N   | C   | H   | Fe   | Ti   |
|---------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|------|------|
| wt. %         | 5.5-6.75 | 3.5-4.5 | <2000ppm | <500ppm | <800ppm | <150ppm | <3000ppm | Balance |
| Density       | 4.42 g/cm³ |
| Particle Size | 20-50 µm  |
The test specimens that were manufactured using Ti6Al4V metal powders in different processing parameters, were produced with the EOS M280 DMLS (direct metal laser sintering) device. In manufacturing with DMLS, factors such as the laser power, the scanning speed, the distance between the paths it travels, and the scanning distance affect the mechanical properties of the manufactured parts. In Equation 1, the energy density, which combines machining parameters in manufacturing with DMLS, is given. The test parameters used in the manufacture of the samples in the study are given in Table 2.

\[ E = \frac{P}{(v \cdot h \cdot t)} \]  

(1)

Here, \( E \) stands for energy density, \( P \) stands for laser power, \( v \) stands for hatching speed, \( h \) stands for hatching distance and \( t \) stands for layer thickness.

**Table 2. Different processing parameters of samples fabricated with DMLS**

| No | Laser Power (W) | Hatching Speed (mm/sn) | Hatching Distance (mm) | Energy Density (J) |
|----|-----------------|------------------------|------------------------|-------------------|
| 1  | 160             | 1000                   | 0.07                   | 2.28              |
| 2  | 160             | 1250                   | 0.07                   | 1.42              |
| 3  | 140             | 1000                   | 0.07                   | 2.00              |
| 4  | 140             | 1250                   | 0.09                   | 1.24              |

As shown in Figure 1, test samples were produced with different processing parameters by the DMLS method. In the powder bed fusion metal additive manufacturing method, the metal powder material is sintered layer by layer with laser energy density. The laser energy density used in joining the powder material and the parameters affecting the laser energy density effect the sintering quality of the powder. As a result of this, the results of the parts are affected, such as surface roughness.

**Figure 1. Sample image produced by DMLS method**

Samples fabricated by the DMLS method with different parameters were processed using Wire Electrical Discharge Machining (WEDM) and Computer Numerical Control (CNC) machining processes. The parameters of the different processing techniques used in the experimental study are given in Table 3. Then, three measurements were made for each sample using an Insize brand, ISR-C100 model surface roughness measuring device with 0.001 µm sensitivity to measure the machined surfaces average surface roughness (Ra). An optical microscope was used to take images of the processed surfaces. Figure 2 shows the experimental setup and measuring devices.
Table 3. WEDM and CNC parameters

| WEDM parameters          | Value    | CNC parameters         | Value    |
|--------------------------|----------|------------------------|----------|
| Wire material            | CuZn37   | Cutting speed          | 200 m/min|
| Open circuit voltage     | 22V      | Feed rate              | 0.05 mm/rev|
| Peak current             | 9A       | Depth of cut           | 0.5 mm   |
| Wire diameter            | 0.25 mm  | Cutting tool           | Polycrystalline Diamond (PCD) |
| Wire cutting speed       | 5 m/sec  |                        |          |

Figure 2. Experimental setup and measuring devices

3. RESEARCH FINDINGS AND DISCUSSION
3.1. Effect of Different Machining Techniques on Surface Roughness

As a result of the different processing techniques of the samples manufactured with DMLS, the surface texture is expressed by the surface roughness Ra. The surface roughness values of Ti6Al4V samples produced by the DMLS method with different processing parameters are given in Table 4. As shown in Figure 3, the surface roughness values of the samples produced by the DMLS method are high. When the surface roughness values of the samples produced by the DMLS method were compared within themselves, it was determined that the average surface roughness value was expected to decrease when the minimum scanning speed (1000 mm/sec) and maximum laser power (160 W) was applied, but on the contrary, it increased considerably. This situation is due to the application of high energy density (2.28 J/mm²) per unit area (Sample No: 1) as compared in the literature. When the scanning distance and laser power are reduced gradually, it is seen in Figure 3 that the surface roughness values also decrease (Sample No: 2 and 3). However, when the maximum scanning speed (1250 mm/s) and minimum laser power (140 W) were applied, the average surface roughness reached its maximum value (Sample No: 4). It is a common situation that the sample produced will have a porous structure as a result of the applied energy density falling below a certain level.
Table 4. Surface roughness Ra (µm) values of Ti6Al4V samples produced by DMLS method

| Machining type | Sample No | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
|---------------|-----------|---|---|---|---|
| DMLS (Ra)     |           | 8.18 | 7.38 | 6.98 | 9.69 |
| WEDM (Ra)     |           | 3.042 | 2.84 | 2.31 | 3.092 |
| CNC (Ra)      |           | 0.592 | 0.475 | 0.235 | 0.919 |

Figure 3. Ra surface roughness of Ti6Al4V samples

When the literature is examined, in the study of Greitemeier [22], the surface roughness value of the samples produced by the DMLS method with Ti6Al4V material is Ra=13±0.7 µm in the production direction. In his study, Nicoletto [23] made surface roughness measurements to examine the fatigue behaviour of Ti6Al4V material samples with the DMLS and EBM method, which is one of the powder bed fusion metal additive manufacturing methods. Then, while the surface roughness values in the production direction were Ra=3.3 µm for the DMLS method, Ra=7.29 µm for the EBM method proves that the surface roughness value of the samples produced with DMLS is always smaller than the EBM method. Here, the reason why the surface roughness is obtained at a low value due to production with DMLS is that completely melted laser traces characterize it. In Sharma's study [24], the samples were produced with 30, 45, 60, 75 and 90 angles, respectively, according to the production angle. The surface roughness Ra (µm) values were obtained at the mean measurement values of 39, 27, 18, 8, and 7 respectively.

3.2. Surface Morphology

The optical images of the surface morphology resulting from the application of CNC, DMLS and WEDM processes to the samples produced using parameters 4, where the highest Ra surface roughness values are obtained, are given in Figure 4. As a result of the CNC milling process, the top and bottom traces are seen in the surface morphology (Figure 4a). The fact that the minimum average surface roughness values were obtained due to CNC milling indicates that the level difference between the top and bottom traces in Figure 4a is quite low. In similar literature studies [25], the surface quality deteriorated when the distance difference between the bottom and top levels of the traces formed after the milling process increased and improved surface quality when this distance difference was minimal. It is seen that partly melted particles and laser ripples are formed on the surface after production with the additive manufacturing method (Figure 4b). In a similar study [26], laser ripples and partly melted
particles were discovered on the surface of samples produced by metal additive manufacturing. It has been determined that these formations seriously affect the surface quality negatively. After the WEDM process, it was determined that the formed tops and pits were more regional (Figure 4c).

Figure 4. Optical images of Ti6Al4V samples produced with the parameter for sample-4 from the surface after different processing (a) CNC, (b) DMLS, (c) WEDM

4. CONCLUSIONS
As a result of the samples produced by the additive manufacturing method, subjected to WEDM cutting and CNC milling processes, significant changes were determined in the average surface roughness values.

The results of the present study follow:

- It has been observed that the processing parameters such as laser power, scanning speed and scanning distance applied during the production of the samples by the DMLS method affect the surface roughness.
- Surface roughness values, one of the biggest disadvantages of the additive manufacturing method, were high in the samples produced by the DMLS method.
- As a result of cutting with WEDM, it has been observed that the peaks and pits on the cutting surface are more regional. This situation increased the surface roughness (Ra) values of Ti6Al4V samples.
- It has been determined that the surface quality can be improved by applying an additional process such as CNC machining in cases where the surface quality of Ti6Al4V materials produced by additive manufacturing method, where sensitive surface quality is desired, is insufficient.
Detailed, the Ti6Al4V samples manufactured by DMLS methods were presented higher machinability with lower cutting forces, lower surface roughness due to rapid cooling of the material.
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