Coherent Forward Scattering Peak and Multifractality
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It has recently been shown that interference effects in disordered systems give rise to two non-trivial structures: the coherent backscattering (CBS) peak, a well-known signature of interference effects in the presence of disorder, and the coherent forward scattering (CFS) peak, which emerges when Anderson localization sets in. We study here the CFS effect in the presence of quantum multifractality, a fundamental property of several systems, such as the Anderson model at the metal-insulator transition. We focus on Floquet systems, and find that the CFS peak shape and its peak height dynamics are generically controlled by the multifractal dimensions $D_1$ and $D_2$, and by the spectral form factor. We check our results using a 1D Floquet system whose states have multifractal properties controlled by a single parameter. Our predictions are fully confirmed by numerical simulations and analytic perturbation expansions on this model. Our results, which we believe to be generic, provide an original and direct way to detect and characterize multifractality in experimental systems.
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Introduction. In the field of quantum transport, the coherent backscattering (CBS) effect is a well-known signature of interference effects that emerges at a time of the order of the elastic scattering time, and survives configuration average in time-reversal symmetric disordered systems [1–4]. It is visible as a peak in momentum space for spatially disordered systems and in position space for the type of Floquet systems that we consider in the present Letter [5]. Recently, it was discovered that, in the presence of Anderson localization, CBS was further accompanied by the emergence of a coherent forward scattering (CFS) peak (which actually arises even without time-reversal symmetry), leading to a twin-peak structure breaking ergodicity in the long-time limit [6].

The CFS peak is in fact a smoking gun of strong localization [5–12]. In particular, it was shown [11] that it could be used to monitor the metal-insulator Anderson transition, as it vanishes in the metallic phase and is fully developed in the localized regime. It was even suggested [11] that at the transition, where there exists non-ergodic delocalized states with multifractal properties [13] (i.e. scale-invariant fluctuations characterized by a continuous set of fractal dimensions $D_q$) the CFS peak might embody these multifractal properties. In this Letter, we consider the particular case of Floquet systems where localization and/or multifractality occur in momentum space. This type of dynamical systems is extremely convenient for extensive numerical studies and has been already implemented in several experiments, see e.g. [14, 15]. For these kicked systems, we demonstrate that the height and shape of the CFS peak (a dynamical observable in position space) give a direct and remarkable access to the multifractal dimensions $D_1$ and $D_2$ (a static property of the Floquet eigenstates in momentum space). Our general predictions are very well corroborated by numerical simulations and analytical perturbative expansions on the Ruijsenaars-Schneider model [16], a dynamical system where all states have multifractal properties controlled by a single parameter. Since our results are based on a very general theoretical framework and derived using well-supported arguments, we believe that they should apply to any critical disordered system.

This work paves the way to a first robust experimental study of quantum multifractality, that remains very hard to observe despite a huge theoretical interest (see the pioneering experiments [17, 18] in a quantum setting and [19] in a classical setting). Indeed, the CFS peak is a direct experimental observable that has recently been observed with cold atom experiments in the localized regime [20].

The Ruijsenaars-Schneider (RS) model. The RS model [16] is a variant of the kicked rotor [21, 22], a paradigmatic model of quantum chaos which exhibits Anderson localization in momentum space. It is a 1D Floquet system whose corresponding Hamiltonian reads $H = p^2 / 2 - 2\pi a |x| \bmod 2\pi|\sum_{n=m}^{\infty} \delta(t-n)$, featuring a periodically-kicked sawtooth potential with strength $2\pi a$. The difference with the kicked rotor comes from the spatial discontinuities of the sawtooth potential, inducing long-range hopping between momentum basis states, which breaks standard exponential localization [13, 23–26]. Saliently, the RS model displays multifractal eigenstates [27–30]. The dynamics of such periodically-kicked systems is captured by the Floquet operator $U$ over one period, whose eigenvectors $|\phi_\alpha\rangle$ are associated with quasi-energies $\omega_\alpha \in (-\pi, \pi]$, so that $U|\phi_\alpha\rangle = e^{i\omega_\alpha t} |\phi_\alpha\rangle$. For the RS model, $U$ can be written explicitly in
The RS model, the parameter $\alpha$ controls the nature of the eigenstates [28], when $\alpha$ goes from 0 to 1 the system goes from the regime of strong multifractality ($D_q \ll 1$) to the regime of weak multifractality ($D_q \sim 1$), making it an ideal testbed for our theory.

The CFS contrast. The RS model above is only an example of a more general class of systems which can be described by an evolution operator $U$ with Floquet states localized or multifractal in momentum space. For such systems, the CFS interference phenomenon takes place in position space [5]. We introduce the position basis $|x\rangle$ ($x = 2\pi n/N$, $0 \leq n \leq N - 1$) which is related to the momentum basis $|p\rangle$ defined above by Fourier transform $\langle x|p\rangle = \exp(ipx)\sqrt{N}$.

In the following, we thus consider the time evolution of the system starting from some initial state $|x_0\rangle$ in position space and analyze the disorder-averaged position distribution after $t$ iterations of the map $U$, namely $\langle |x\rangle U^t |x_0\rangle^2$. After an initial transient regime, it features a peak around the initial value $x = x_0$, the CFS peak. To single out this interference effect resisting disorder average, we introduce the contrast $\Lambda(x, x_0, t)$ as the relative difference between the quantum probability distribution $\langle |x\rangle U^t |x_0\rangle^2$ and the classical, interference-free, long-time limit $1/N$:

$$\Lambda(x, x_0, t) = \frac{\langle |x\rangle U^t |x_0\rangle^2 - 1/N}{1/N}. \quad (2)$$

The time behavior of the contrast is illustrated in Fig. 1 in the case of the RS model. A peak emerges at short times around $x = x_0$, its height oscillates (left projection in Fig. 1) and eventually stabilizes (right projection).

Expanding over eigenstates of $U$, the contrast (2) writes

$$\Lambda(x, x_0, t) = N \sum_{a\beta} \left( e^{i\omega a\beta} \varphi_a^\ast(x) \varphi_a(x_0) \varphi_{\beta}^\ast(x) \varphi_{\beta}(x) \right) - 1,$$  \quad (3)

where $\varphi_a(x) \equiv \langle x|\varphi_a\rangle$ and $\omega_{a\beta} = [\omega_a - \omega_{\beta}](\text{mod}2\pi) \in [-\pi, \pi]$. Note that in Eq. (3), $t$ can be considered a continuous variable: In the following we shall therefore resort to the usual Fourier transform rather than the discrete one.

At long times, only the diagonal part $\alpha = \beta$ in Eq. (3) survives, giving, for fixed system size $N$, the stationary limit

$$\Lambda_{\omega}(x, x_0) = N \sum_{\alpha} \langle |\varphi_\alpha(x)\rangle^2 |\varphi_\alpha(x_0)\rangle^2 - 1.$$  \quad (4)

The time dependence of $\Lambda(x, x_0, t)$ is fully encapsulated in the off-diagonal terms $\alpha \neq \beta$. The function $F(x, x_0, t) = \Lambda(x, x_0, t) - \Lambda_{\omega}(x, x_0)$ that governs the time dynamics of the contrast is given by the inverse Fourier transform of

$$\hat{F}(x, x_0, \omega) = 2\pi N \sum_{\alpha \neq \beta} \langle \delta(\omega - \omega_{a\beta}) \varphi_a^\ast(x) \varphi_a(x_0) \varphi_{\beta}^\ast(x) \varphi_{\beta}(x) \rangle.$$  \quad (5)

In what follows, we will first analyze the stationary (i.e. $t \to \infty$) contrast $\Lambda_{\omega}(x, x_0)$ for finite $N$, discuss its peak value at $x = x_0$ and its shape around $x_0$. Then, we will discuss the time dynamics of the peak at $x = x_0$, given by $F(x_0, x_0, t)$ and show that the limits of large times $t$ and large system sizes $N$ do not commute. These stationary distribution and time dynamics are illustrated in Fig. 1 for the RS model.

At this point, our strategy is to connect these dynamical quantities expressed in $x$-space to the known multifractal properties of the Floquet eigenstates in $p$-space. For this, we use the spatial Fourier transform and introduce the 4-point correlator in momentum space:

$$C_{\alpha\beta}(p_1, p_1', p_2, p_2') = \langle \varphi_\alpha(p_1) \varphi_\alpha^\ast(p_1') \varphi_\beta(p_2) \varphi_\beta^\ast(p_2') \rangle. \quad (6)$$

As is well-known, the scaling properties of the correlator (6) encapsulate the multifractal dimensions [13]. Additionally, following the rationale behind random matrix theory (RMT), we assume that phases and norms of each wavefunctions in
the correlator $C_{ab\beta}$ are independent random variables, so that only terms where phase factors cancel do survive the disorder average.

**Stationary contrast and $D_2$.** The stationary contrast $\Lambda_0(x,x_0)$ defined in Eq. (4) can be expanded in the momentum basis as $\frac{1}{N} \sum_{p_1 \neq p_2} C_{\alpha \alpha} e^{i(\langle p_1 - \Delta p \rangle x + (p_2 - \Delta p) x_0)}$. Under the RMT assumption, the only non-vanishing terms left after disorder-average are those with $p_1 = p'_1$, $p_2 = p'_2$ and $p_1 = p'_2$, $p_2 = p'_1$. Taking care of double counting ($p_1 = p'_2 = p_2 = p'_1$) and making use of normalization $\langle |\phi_{\alpha}(p)|^2 \rangle = 1$, we find

$$\Lambda_0(x,x_0) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{p_1 \neq p_2} \langle |\phi_{\alpha}\rangle \sum_{p_3} \langle \phi_{\alpha}(p_1) | \phi_{\alpha}(p_2) \rangle^2 e^{i(p_1 - p_2)(x-x_0)}. \tag{7}$$

The contrast at the tip of the peak, $\Lambda_0 \equiv \Lambda_0(x_0,x_0)$, can be evaluated by rewriting Eq. (7) for $x = x_0$ as a sum over $p_1, p_2$ and subtracting its diagonal part. In contrast with systems with a mobility edge such as the Anderson model, all eigenvectors here have the same multifractal properties. The sum over $\alpha$, which is an average over eigenvectors, is then easily taken care of and we find:

$$\Lambda_0 - 1 = - \sum_p \langle |\phi_{\alpha}(p)|^4 \rangle \propto N^{-D_2}, \tag{8}$$

where $\phi_{\alpha}(p)$ is an arbitrary eigenvector. The right-hand side of (8) is then obtained using the well-known multifractal scaling of the inverse participation ratio (IPR) [13]. Thus, remarkably, the CFS contrast is directly related to the multifractal dimension $D_2$. The prediction Eq. (8) is very well verified in our model (see Fig. 2). The contrast around the peak can be obtained in the same manner from Eq. (7) by using the multifractal scaling of the correlation function, $\langle |\phi_{\alpha}(p_1)|^2 \phi_{\alpha}(p_2) \rangle^2 \sim |p_1 - p_2|^{D_2 - 1}/N^{D_2 + 1}$ [40, 41], which yields

$$\Lambda_0(x,x_0) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{p_1 \neq p_2} \langle \phi_{\alpha}(p_1) \rangle \sum_{p_3} \langle \phi_{\alpha}(p_2) \rangle^2 e^{i(p_1 - p_2)(x-x_0)}. \tag{9}$$

Here again, this general prediction directly links $\Lambda_0(x,x_0)$ to the multifractal dimension $D_2$. Note that Eq. (9) can actually be seen as a power-law decay $\sim |x-x_0|^{-D_2}$ for $x$ close to $x_0$, see Supp. Mat. [42]. As shown in Fig. 2, Eq. (9) is also in very good agreement with numerical results for the RS model and reproduces quite well the spatial profile of the contrast in the region around $x = x_0$.

Remarkably, the behavior Eq. (8) can even be checked analytically in the RS model. Indeed, using a perturbation expansion at finite $N$ in the regime of strong multifractality $a \ll 1$, we get at first order in $a$ [43] the expressions $D_2 = a$ and

$$\Lambda_0(x,x_0) = 2D_2 \sum_{p_1 \neq p_2} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{p_3} \langle \phi_{\alpha}(p_1) \rangle \sum_{p_4} \langle \phi_{\alpha}(p_2) \rangle^2 e^{i(p_1 - p_2)(x-x_0)}. \tag{10}$$

which for $x = x_0$ leads to $\Lambda_0 \sim D_2 \log N$. Since $1 - N^{-D_2} \sim D_2 \log N$ for $a \ll 1$, Eq. (8) is verified analytically at first order in $a$ for the RS model. Note that the dip at $x = -x_0$ in Fig. 2c, which is an idiosyncrasy of our model, is well-described by Eq. (10) [see [43] for details].

In the stationary limit $t \to \infty$ taken at finite system size $N$, the spatial profile of the CFS peak for a system with multifractal eigenstates is thus controlled by the multifractal dimension $D_2$. In particular, Eq. (8) shows that the peak height value $\Lambda_0 = 1$ that was found in [7, 8, 10, 11] for disordered models and in [5] for the kicked rotor in the localized regime when $N \gg \xi \gg 1$, is reached here with an algebraic finite-size correction $N^{-D_2}$, a signature of multifractality.
Time dynamics of the CFS peak height and $D_1$. We now aim at describing the temporal evolution of the CFS peak height $\Lambda(x_0, x_0, t) = \Lambda_{\alpha} + F(x_0, x_0, t)$. Starting from Eq. (5) and assuming eigenvector and eigenvalue decorrelation under disorder average when $x = x_0$, we get

$$\tilde{F}(x_0, x_0, \omega) = \frac{2\pi}{N} \tilde{R}(\omega) \sum_{\alpha \neq \beta} \langle \delta(\omega - \omega_{\alpha \beta}) \rangle,$$

(11)

where the correlator $\tilde{R}(\omega) = N^2 \langle |\varphi_\alpha(x_0)|^2 |\varphi_\beta(x_0)|^2 \rangle_{\omega_{\alpha \beta} = \omega}$ only involves eigenfunctions whose quasi-energies are exactly separated by $\omega$ and does not depend on the labels $\alpha$ and $\beta$ because of disorder averaging. This implies that we can write the contrast as a convolution product

$$\Lambda(x_0, x_0, t) = \Lambda_{\alpha} + (K_N - 1) \otimes \tilde{R}(t),$$

(12)

where $R(t)$ is the inverse Fourier transform of $\tilde{R}(\omega)$ and $K_N(t) = (\frac{1}{N} |\text{tr} U|^2)^2 = 1 + \frac{1}{N} \langle \sum_{\alpha \neq \beta} e^{i\omega_{\alpha \beta} t} \rangle$ is the spectral form factor.

To compute $R(t)$ in Eq. (12), we follow the same steps as in the previous section: We expand the correlator $\tilde{R}(\omega) = \sum_{p_1, p_2} C_{\alpha \beta}(p_1, p_2) \langle \varphi_{\alpha}(p_1) \varphi_{\beta}(p_2) \rangle_{\omega_{\alpha \beta} = 0}$ in momentum space, where $C_{\alpha \beta}$ in Eq. (6) is computed for eigenfunctions with $\omega_{\alpha \beta} = 0$, and only keep terms surviving disorder average. We find $\tilde{R}(\omega) = 1 - \sum_{p} \langle |\varphi_{\alpha}(p)|^2 |\varphi_{\beta}(p)|^2 \rangle_{\omega_{\alpha \beta} = 0}$. Writing $\sum_{p} \langle |\varphi_{\alpha}(p)|^2 |\varphi_{\beta}(p)|^2 \rangle_{\omega_{\alpha \beta} = 0} = \sum_{p} \langle |\varphi_{\alpha}(p)|^4 \rangle \tilde{C}(\omega)$, three regimes can be identified for multifractal wavefunctions

$$\tilde{C}(\omega) = C_0 \begin{cases} 1 & \omega < \omega_0 \\ (\omega/\omega_0)^{D_2-1} & \omega_0 \leq \omega \leq \omega_1 \\ N^{D_2-\pi/\omega_0} & \omega_1 \leq \omega, \end{cases}$$

(13)

where $\omega_0$ is proportional to the mean level spacing $2\pi/\alpha N$ and the caveat that only the last two regimes are visible (see [42, 43]) since there are no eigenstates separated by $\omega < \omega_0$ for this model [31].

We now note that the form factor at large $N$ is well approximated by the continuum limit $K_N(t) = \delta(t) + K_{reg}(\tau)$ [44] where the underlying $N$ dependence only appears through the scaled time $\tau = 2\pi t/N$. For the RS model, $K_{reg}(\tau)$ can be obtained analytically [31] and reads:

$$K_{reg}(\tau) = \frac{(1-a)^2(a\tau)^2}{a^2(1-\cos a\tau)^2 + (a\sin a\tau + (1-a)a\tau)^2}.$$

(14)

The sinusoidal terms in Eq. (14) come from the existence of a nonzero minimal level spacing in the RS model and are actually responsible for the temporal oscillations of the contrast. In the following, we will assume that this continuum limit holds for the form factor.

Let us now discuss the main result of this section. We rewrite Eq. (12) as

$$\Lambda(x_0, x_0, \tau) - K_{reg}(\tau) = \delta(\tau) + (\Lambda_{\alpha} - 1) f(\tau),$$

(15)

where

$$f(\tau) = 1 + C(\tau) + (K_{reg} - 1) \otimes C(\tau),$$

(16)
Here $C(t)$ is the inverse Fourier transform of $\hat{C}(\omega)$. From Eq. (13), we see that $C(\tau)$ and $f(\tau)$ do not depend on $N$ for $\tau > \tau_0 = 2\pi/(N\omega_0)$, because of the plateau in Eq. (13), we can even get an explicit approximation for $f(\tau)$ as $f(\tau) \approx 1 + C_0(K_{reg}(\tau) - 1)$; this gives $\Lambda(x_0, x_0, \tau) - (\Lambda_{\infty} - 1)f(\tau) = K_{reg}(\tau)$, as illustrated in Fig. 3b, which is a further illustration that $\Lambda(x_0, x_0, \tau)$ goes to $K_{reg}(\tau)$ at large $N$.

Noticeably, Eq. (17) actually implies that in the thermodynamic limit ($N, t \to \infty$ at fixed $\tau$) the CFS contrast $\Lambda(x_0, x_0, \tau)$ is simply given by $K_{reg}(\tau)$ (which is the same result as in the localized regime [7, 8, 11], because multifractal finite-size effects vanish in this limit). In particular, at $\tau \to 0^+$, the CFS contrast converges to the level compressibility $\chi = K_{reg}(\tau \to 0^+)$ when increasing the system size $N$ (see Fig. 3c). We recover here, and actually demonstrate in a very general framework, the relation that was recently conjectured at the Anderson transition [11], with an infinite system size at any fixed time $t$ (implying $\tau \to 0^+$). The link between the level compressibility and the multifractal dimensions $D_q$ has a long and controversial history [13, 45]. However, the simple identity $\chi = 1 - D_1/d$ proposed in [46], with $d$ the dimension of the system, was verified both analytically and numerically in various systems [28, 29], in particular in the RS model. Assuming this identity, together with the above considerations demonstrate that the CFS contrast at any fixed non zero time (or equivalently for $\tau \to 0^+$) goes to $\chi$ for $N \to \infty$ and thus gives direct access to $D_1$, as numerically demonstrated in Fig. 3d:

$$\lim_{N \to \infty} \Lambda(x_0, x_0, t) = 1 - D_1. \quad (18)$$

Conclusion. In this Letter, we have shown that the CFS peak, a distinctive signature of Anderson localization, is also a marker of quantum multifractality, a fundamental property of several systems, such as the Anderson model at the metal-insulator transition. Our results are obtained for Floquet systems, but we believe them to be generic for critical disordered systems. Our work represents another situation which is difficult to implement directly with cold atoms because of the discontinuity of the potential [50, 51], we think a suitably chosen temporal modulation could reproduce its main properties, as in [20]. In addition, it could also be implemented with photonic crystals [52–54]. Furthermore, we believe our results are generic enough to be relevant to other experimental systems where quantum multifractality is predicted to appear. As is well known, quantum simulations experiments are often plagued by dephasing mechanisms that destroy quantum coherence at long times (note that in [55] it was shown that wavepacket dynamics in momentum space only reveal multifractal properties at very long times). Our results suggest that this complication can be circumvented in our case since measurements can be done at short times. Our study thus paves the way to direct and robust measurements of multifractal properties of a quantum system that are notoriously hard to access by other means. Since multifractality is also known to appear in interacting systems [36–59], a possible extension of this work could be to study the fate of CFS in the presence of interactions and their impact on our results.
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