Interface and surface stabilization of the polarization in ferroelectric thin films
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Ferroelectric perovskites present a switchable spontaneous polarization and are promising energy-efficient device components for digital information storage. Full control of the ferroelectric polarization in ultrathin films of ferroelectric perovskites needs to be achieved in order to apply this class of materials in modern devices. However, ferroelectricity itself is not well understood in this nanoscale form, where interface and surface effects become particularly relevant and where loss of net polarization is often observed. In this work, we show that the precise control of the structure of the top surface and bottom interface of the thin film is crucial toward this aim. We explore the properties of thin films of the prototypical ferroelectric lead titanate (PbTiO$_3$) on a metallic strontium ruthenate (SrRuO$_3$) buffer using a combination of computational (density functional theory) and experimental (optical second harmonic generation) methods. We find that the polarization direction and strength are influenced by chemical and electronic processes occurring at the epitaxial interface and at the surface. The polarization is particularly sensitive to adsorbates and to surface and interface defects. These results point to the possibility of controlling the polarization direction and magnitude by engineering specific interface and surface chemistries.

Significance

With an ever-increasing societal demand for energy for electronic devices and in the face of the current climate issues, the need for low-energy-consuming electronics has never been greater. Ferroelectrics are promising energy-efficient device components for digital information storage, with the functionality relying on the manipulation of their polarization in ultrathin films. Polar discontinuities at the thin film interfaces and surfaces, however, can cause loss of polarization and thus functionality. Here we show how the interface and surface influence the overall polarization of the thin film. We show that the structure of the interface and surface can be tailored toward a specific polarization direction and strength, and that great control in the engineering of ferroelectrics thin films can be achieved.
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However, the relative role of surface, interface, and electrostatic properties still needs to be clearly disentangled and well understood. In this work we address this problem. We investigate how the interface with the metallic buffer and the surface affect the FE polarization and how are they affected by nonstoichiometry. We achieve this by means of ab initio computational methods based on density functional theory (DFT) and by in situ second harmonic generation (ISHG) experiments which track the polarization during the pulsed-laser deposition (PLD) of a thin film (21, 32). We investigate with both approaches a lead titanate (PbTiO$\text{$_3$}$, PTO) thin film with a metallic strontium ruthenate (SrRuO$_3$, SRO) buffer grown on a strontium titanate (SrTiO$_3$) substrate along the (001) direction. We consider two systems (shown in Fig. 1A): TiO$_{2\text{int}}^\text{surf}$ where the metal–ferroelectric interface is SrO-TiO$_2$ and the surface termination is PhO, and PbO$_{2\text{int}}^\text{surf}$, where the metal–ferroelectric interface is SrRuO$_2$-PbO and the surface termination is TiO$_2$.

Our results reveal the role played by electrostatic, interface, and surface factors in determining the polarization direction in PTO. As summarized in Fig. 1A, we observe that ferroelectric dipoles arise at the buffer/thin film interface ($P_{\text{surf}}$) and at the surface ($P_{\text{surf}}$). The final overall polarization $P$ is, however, independent of these local dipoles, and it arises from the interaction of the surface and interface chemistry with the electrostatic properties of the ferroelectric.

**Results**

**Calculated Polarization in the Fully Relaxed Stoichiometric System.** Our calculations show that a fully relaxed stoichiometric PTO film with a thickness over 7 u.c. on SRO presents an upward-pointing polarization $P_{\text{up}}$ (pointing away from the substrate), irrespective of the surface/interface terminations. The relaxed u.c.-by-u.c. polarization profiles are shown in Fig. 1B for both the TiO$_{2\text{int}}$ and the PbO$_{2\text{int}}$ systems, for a representative 13-u.c.-thick PTO film (simulated on a 4-u.c.-thick SRO electrode). At the interface (bottom of the graph) the two systems have almost identical polarization which is sustained across the film. We note that the value of the polarization is $\sim 30 \, \mu\text{C/cm}^2$, which is close to $40\%$ less than the calculated bulk value of $\sim 49 \, \mu\text{C/cm}^2$. This is not unexpected, as the depolarizing field quenching ultrathin polarization is still felt at this thickness, and experimentally, bulklike polarization is not expected to emerge until $\sim 30$ u.c. (33). Surface effects become apparent with strong surface relaxations in the topmost five u.c. of both systems: the polarization of the topmost u.c. in the TiO$_{2\text{int}}$ system is $-5 \, \mu\text{C/cm}^2$ and in the PbO$_{2\text{int}}$ system is $+5 \, \mu\text{C/cm}^2$.

For systems with fewer than 7 u.c. the paraelectric state is the most stable, showing the existence of a critical thickness for ferroelectricity for PTO on SRO, in good agreement with previous reports on the same thin film structure (34, 35). Below this critical thickness, a metal SRO buffer at a single interface provides insufficient screening, and other interface- or surface-related effects are needed to stabilize ultrathin ferroelectricity.

We were able to stabilize configurations with downward-pointing polarization ($P_{\text{down}}$) but with the larger critical thickness of 11 u.c. These $P_{\text{down}}$ systems are, however, less stable than the $P_{\text{up}}$ (paraelectric) configuration by up to 40 meV/u.c. (10 meV/u.c.).

In the following sections, we address how electrostatic, interface, and surface effects in a thin film determine the ferroelectric polarization in the relaxed film.

**Electrostatic Effects.** We first consider electrostatic arguments to understand the preference for $P_{\text{up}}$ of both systems. In particular, we analyze the energy cost of screening the polar discontinuity at the free surface with electrons and holes (4).

Fig. 2a shows the u.c.-by-u.c. density of states for paraelectric TiO$_{2\text{int}}^\text{surf}$ and PbO$_{2\text{int}}^\text{surf}$ SRO/PTO/vacuum thin films. We note that the Fermi level of the SRO metal lies within the band gap of PTO, as expected from a realistic system. While the alignment itself will only be as exact as the DFT approximation underlying it, we do not have a pathological situation giving rise to unphysical spurious charge transfer (4). We are thus confident that the results of our work are physically meaningful.

![Diagram](https://example.com/diagram.png)
The DFT-calculated Fermi level (shown as a solid black line) lies closer to the PTO conduction band than to the valence band making the Schottky barrier for electrons ($\phi_n$, defined as the difference between the conduction band edge and the Fermi level) lower than for holes ($\phi_p$, defined as the difference between the Fermi level and the valence band edge). This is true for both SRO/PTO systems but especially for PbO$_{int}$ which has $\phi_p = 1.0$ eV for holes and $\phi_n = 0.15$ eV for electrons; for TiO$_{int}$ the difference between the two barriers is not as large, them being $\phi_p = 0.60$ eV for holes and $\phi_n = 0.45$ eV for electrons. Thus, a higher potential energy barrier needs to be overcome to screen the surface polarization with valence-band holes (for $P_{down}$) than with conduction-band electrons (for $P_{up}$), making the $P_{up}$ systems more energetically favorable than the $P_{down}$. This is shown, for PbO$_{int}$ (TiO$_{int}$ shows a similar behavior), in Fig. 2F, where the u.c.-by-u.c. density of state of upward and downward polarized PbO$_{int}$ systems is reported. We indeed see that for $P_{up}$ the bands are less bent than in $P_{down}$, indicating a lower Schottky barrier for the former.

Having established the role of the band alignment and electrostatics in defining the ferroelectric polarization in a SRO/PTO thin film, we now analyze other factors which could determine the sign and magnitude of $P$: the interface and surface chemistry.

**Role of the Epitaxial Interface.** The importance of interface chemistry in stabilizing buffer/film interface dipoles has previously been shown for a number of metal–oxide interfaces [e.g., Pt/BaTiO$_3$ (34), Al, Mg, Mo, Pt, and Ag/MgO (36, 37)]. Dipole formation at the interface between a metal and an oxide has been correlated to charge transfer between the two materials (36, 37), to the stiffness of interfacial bonds (34), or to the charge mismatch at the interface (20). In this section we show that the local chemistry between SRO and PTO favors a specific polarization direction in the PbO$_{int}$ system. This is a consequence of the formation of an interface dipole with associated charge transfer which is shown in Fig. 1d as $P_{int}$.

We isolated the influence of the interface chemistry on the FE polarization by considering in our calculations only the effect of interfacial bonding on the total energy. We employed (4 u.c. SRO)/(13 u.c. PTO)/vacuum slabs which were optimized in a paraelectric (PE) configuration. We did this for both the TiO$_{int}$ and PbO$_{int}$ systems. We next displaced the atoms in the SRO/PTO interface bilayer, explicitly shown in the cartoons in Fig. 3, by a small distance ($\delta$) along the direction perpendicular to the interface. We then tracked the change in the total energy, $E_{total} - E_{PE}$, between the structure with the $\delta$ displacement and the fully paraelectric one. The graphs at the bottom of Fig. 3A show that the $\delta$ displacements around the paraelectric equilibrium point (the 0 in the horizontal axis) have little effect in the TiO$_{int}$ system. Indeed, for the SrO-TiO$_2$ interface, the atoms in the SrO layer are at most stable in the paraelectric configuration (yellow and dashed red line), while the TiO$_2$ layer favors rumpling by displacement of the oxygen atoms (red solid line), and upward or downward displacements are equally energetically favorable within the precision of our calculations. In contrast, in the PbO$_{int}$ system (Fig. 3B), the atoms in the PbO$_2$ layer favor a net downward-pointing dipole, as shown in the cartoon at the top of the figure. Indeed the blue line (corresponding to Pb) in the graph in Fig. 3B shows a preferential shift of Pb toward the interface, and the solid red line (corresponding to the O atom) shows a preferential upward shift of O. In the RuO$_2$ layer the O atoms (dashed red line) are on a saddle point, and the energies for displacing toward or away from the FE layer are comparable.

To investigate the possible link between interface rumpling and charge transfer (36, 37), we look at the deviation of the charges of the interface atoms from their bulk values in the paraelectric structure. Small changes (of $< \pm 0.05$ e/atom) are observed in the atoms at the SrO-TiO$_2$ interface; on the contrary, a large positive change of $+0.2$ e/atom is found for the Ru atom in the RuO$_2$-PbO interface. We have already pointed out that the two systems differ in the height of the electron Schottky barrier, which is low in the PbO$_{int}$ system (Fig. 24), thus promoting electron migration from the metal to the oxide. These results support the idea of a connection between interfacial charge transfer and stabilization of the PbO$_{int}$ system; the positively charged RuO$_2$ layer promotes a $P_{down}$ dipole in PbO.

It is worth noting that we observe this interfacial charge transfer in the paraelectric system and not in the ferroelectric structure of Fig. 1, showing that it occurs only when the ferroelectricity is suppressed. Correspondingly, we do not observe the full downward-pointing $P_{up}$ in the ferroelectric PbO$_{int}$ system; however, the chemical interface effect is still present in some measure, and it leads to a small reduction of the interfacial polarization with respect to the middle of the film, as seen in the blue line in Fig. 1B.

Thus, we have shown that the chemistry of the interface can favor a preferential direction of the polarization at the interface, shown in Fig. 1A as $P_{int}$. This interface contribution does not affect the overall direction of the polarization, but it leads to a reduction of $P$ at the interface in the PbO$_{int}$ system. We next investigate the role surface relaxations in determining the value and direction of $P$.

**Surface Structure and Chemistry.** We now turn our attention to the PTO/vacuum interface. We consider (4 u.c. SRO)/(13 u.c. PTO)/vacuum heterostructures (for both interfaces and polarization directions) with fixed constant polarization throughout their thickness. We progressively relax all of the u.c. from the top, and in Fig. 4A we plot the evolution of the energy of the four heterostructures as a function of the number of relaxed u.c. (N). The plotted energy, $E_{FE-PE}$, is the total energy of the systems minus the energy of the corresponding paraelectric structure. The results presented in Fig. 4A show that the $P_{up}$ polarization...
direction (dashed lines) is the most stable throughout, starting from the relaxation of the topmost surface layer (i.e., 0.5 u.c.). The energy difference between \( P_{\text{up}} \) and \( P_{\text{down}} \) is also almost constant throughout, showing that these relaxations do not affect the stability of \( P_{\text{up}} \).

In all systems, regardless of termination and polarization direction, we observe the formation of a downward-pointing dipole in the topmost layer (Fig. 4B). Indeed, in the TiO\(_2\)\(^{\text{int}}\) system (Fig. 4B, Left), the stereochemical activity of the Pb lone pair, which is facing the vacuum, strongly pushes the Pb ion down toward the TiO\(_2\) layer. In the PbO\(^{\text{int}}\) system (Fig. 4B, Right), shrinking of the Ti-O bond (38) lowers the Ti atom toward the PbO layer.

This downward-pointing surface dipole, driven by the surface chemistry, still does not reverse the overall upward-pointing polarization of the film. Indeed, despite the surface and, in the case of PbO\(^{\text{int}}\), also the interface favoring a downward direction of the ferroelectric polarization, an upward-pointing polarization is energetically more stable for both interface types (Fig. 1). We can conclude, then, that the local chemistry at the surface and interface does not determine the overall polarization direction.

It is, however, known, following the work of ref. 39, that the band alignment between a metal and an insulator depends on the interface properties of the two. As already discussed, and shown in Fig. 2A, the relative position of the conduction and valence band with respect to the Fermi level is different for the two interface structures, PbO\(^{\text{int}}\) and TiO\(_2\)\(^{\text{int}}\). It can thus be argued that it is indeed the interface which sets the polarization direction for PTO thin films on SRO, not through the local chemistry and bonding but through its influence on the overall band alignment.

Having established the principles guiding the setting of the polarization direction in a stoichiometric thin film, we now analyze the effect of surface and interface defects in the screening of the surface and interface charges.

**Polarization Engineering by Surface and Interface Defects.** Surface and interface defects, as well as surface adsorbates from the environment, are a common occurrence in crystalline systems. In this section, we examine their effect on the structure of a ferroelectric thin film. We consider three representative scenarios: surface adsorbates and defects (Fig. 5A, i), interface defects (Fig. 5A, ii), and the simultaneous occurrence of the two (Fig. 5A, iii). Note that in this section we do not present a complete study of the defect chemistry of PTO, which would include also charged defects or defect dipoles (40), but we only consider neutral point defects whose intrinsic formal charge might be able to stabilize the ferroelectric polarization.

Surface ionic charges, occurring through defect formation or adsorption processes, interact with the ferroelectric bound charges (Fig. 5A, i) and can either screen (13, 14, 27) or enhance the depolarizing field (5, 31). Indeed, in our calculations we observe that adsorbates or defects with an associated negative charge (such as OH\(^{-}\) adsorbates, O\(^{2-}\) adatoms, or cation vacancies) stabilize \( P_{\text{up}} \), regardless of the polarization direction of the initial system. Conversely, positively charged species (such as H\(^{+}\) adsorbates, Pb\(^{3+}\), Ti\(^{4+}\) adatoms, or O vacancies) favor \( P_{\text{down}} \). A full list of the defects that we have tested is available in SI Appendix. The resulting polarization is robust and bulk-like throughout the film thickness: it does not suffer from either the surface effects or depolarizing field effects observed in Fig. 1B. We observe bulk-like polarization even in the ultrathin regime, down to a single u.c. for surface-screened systems, far below the critical thickness for PTO on SRO.

Similarly, interface defects (Fig. 5A, ii) contribute to the screening of the interface bound charges. It has been proposed in previous work that a combination of screening from the metal buffer and from interface defects can stabilize ferroelectricity in ultrathin systems (13, 14). This effect was observed in calculations performed on SRO/PTO or SrTiO\(_3\)/PTO heterostructures. However, in our calculations with the uncompensated top surface, we found stabilization of the ferroelectric polarization by interface defects only in the u.c. below where they are positioned. In a 3-u.c.-thick PTO film, for O (Pb, Ti) vacancies in the...
interface PTO u.c. we observe $P_{\text{down}}$ ($P_{\text{up}}$) polarization for the u.c. below the vacancy and paraelectric PTO above (Fig. 5A, ii). Thus, interface defects appear to be less effective at stabilizing the ferroelectric polarization for the whole film. It is important to note that a more complex distribution of defects than that calculated here, such as defect gradients (41), could occur in the film. More pronounced screening effects could be observed in that scenario. Finally, a combination of screening from the surface and the interface could occur at the same time (Fig. 5A, iii). If both contributions promote the same direction of the ferroelectric polarization, the result is a thin film with robust bulk-like polarization through the entire thickness. Alternatively, if they promote opposite directions of the polarization, as shown in Fig. 5A, iii, two regions of opposite polarization occur in the film.

In this work we have thus identified four types of surface and interface structures, two of which promote stabilization of the ferroelectric polarization (surface and interface nonstoichiometry; Fig. 5A, i and ii) and two a reduction of the polarization in the thin film with respect to the bulk (noncooperative defects and stoichiometric system; Fig. 5A, iii and iv). Our analysis indicates that engineering of surface and interface screening through adsorbates or defects could be a powerful tool to control the polarization (5, 28, 42, 43).

As a test of our theoretical predictions, we grow PTO thin films on SRO by means of PLD, and we analyze the surface and interface effects in this experimental system. PTO is ferroelectric during growth (44), and we probe the polarization as it develops using ISHG (21, 26). This technique allows us to monitor the evolution of the overall ferroelectric polarization and indirectly see the contribution of surfaces and interfaces during growth. First, we note that the polarization direction of the films after growth is $P_{\text{up}}$ for both the TiO$_2^{\text{int}}$ and PbO$_{\text{int}}$ systems as expected from our theoretical predictions. This can be seen in Fig. 5B which shows piezoresponse force microscopy measurements for the two systems. The external area of the sample shows that the as-grown film is $P_{\text{up}}$ polarized. The local application of an electric field using the scanning probe tip ($\pm$1 V bias) can affect the surface charges or the film stoichiometry and therefore stabilize the $P_{\text{down}}$ State (45). Both TiO$_2^{\text{int}}$ and PbO$_{\text{int}}$ films are fully switchable. This is consistent with our theoretical results showing that applying a positive charge to the surface of both TiO$_2^{\text{int}}$ and PbO$_{\text{int}}$ systems leads to a reversal of the polarization direction from $P_{\text{up}}$ to $P_{\text{down}}$ (SI Appendix, Fig. S5 and Table S1).

The polarization measured during thin film growth with ISHG can be seen in Fig. 5C. Data points during growth are shown in blue, and data points while the growth is paused are in gray. The ISHG signal, which is proportional to the square of the polarization, shows that the onset of polarization—corresponding to a nonzero ISHG signal in the blue data in Fig. 5C—occurs shortly after the beginning of the deposition, indicating very small or no critical thickness. After the end of growth, a drop in the total value of the polarization is observed (gray data points in Fig. 5C); however, the full strength of the polarization is swiftly recovered when growth is resumed (Fig. 5C, blue data points on the right), indicating that the observed effect is not the result of domain splitting but likely surface-related.

In light of the screening models of Fig. 5A, we attribute the absence of critical thickness in PTO to either screening from both the SRO/PTO interface and the top surface (Fig. 5A, i) or to a defect-rich SRO/PTO interface (Fig. 5A, ii). When growth is interrupted, the chemistry inside the PLD chamber changes, affecting the surface structure. The decrease in polarization upon interruption of growth can then be related to the polarization-reducing mechanisms in Fig. 5A, iii and iv. That is, the surface can lose the screening mechanism and become stoichiometric, with a polarization of the topmost u.c. shifting from bulk-like to surface-relaxed (Fig. 5A, iv). Alternatively, the surface polarization is partially reversed by surface effects as in Fig. 5A, iii.

**Summary**

In this work, we have determined the factors that influence the polarization for ferroelectric PTO thin films on an SRO metallic buffer. We have shown that the interplay of electronic structure and the chemistry of the surface and the interface is key to determining the overall polarization. In particular, the engineering of specific surface and interface defects could be a powerful way to control the polarization direction and achieve bulk-like polarization strength across the film thickness.

We predicted and obtained experimental confirmation that PTO thin films grown on an SRO buffer have a strong preference for an upward-pointing polarization. This is a result of the interfacial band alignment between SRO and PTO which results in a lower Schottky barrier for electrons and, in turn, a lower energy cost for screening the positive surface polarization charge with electrode electrons.

We have also shown that surface defects and adsorbates can dramatically alter the polarization and can be engineered both to screen the surface polar discontinuity and to reverse the polarization direction (Fig. 5). With our calculations we identified a mechanism which could lead to a thin film with robust bulk-like polarization across its thickness through a combination of surface and interface defects. Our experimental results are compatible with the theoretical scenarios and reveal the key role of defects and adsorbates during epitaxial thin film growth in enhancing or suppressing the FE polarization.

We hope that our findings motivate further experimental work toward the engineering of thin films with bulk-like polarization. For example, careful analysis of the defect structure of the film could help pinpoint the exact stabilization/reduction mechanism at play during epitaxial growth. In turn, this could enable the promotion of a structure with enhanced ferroelectric polarization by tuning the experimental conditions. We also predict that this same screening mechanisms which suppresses the upward-pointing polarization after growth in Fig. 5C could, on the contrary, lead to an enhancement of the ferroelectric polarization with a downward-pointing ferroelectric polarization. Verification of this prediction would require finding a substrate which favors $P_{\text{down}}$ PTO.

**Materials and Methods**

**Experimental** We study the polarization state of PTO in PTO/SRO heterostructures depending on the PTO top layer plane being either TiO$_2$ or PbO. Uniaxial FE PTO (001) films with a thickness of 25 u.c. were grown by PLD on (001)-oriented SrTiO$_3$ buffered by 10 u.c. of SRO. The SRO thickness is enough to ensure it is metallic and thus provides screening. SRO/PTO systems with insulating (ultrathin) SRO show a different and complex behavior and have been studied in ref. 46. The laser fluence, its repetition rate, substrate temperature, and growth pressure for individual layers were as follows: SRO, 0.9 J cm$^{-2}$; and TiO$_2$: 1.15 J cm$^{-2}$, 4 Hz, 550°C, and 0.12 mbar O$_2$; PTO: 1.15 J cm$^{-2}$, 2 Hz, 700°C, and 0.12 mbar O$_2$. The substrate exerts ~1.4% compressive strain, increasing the FE Curie temperature above the growth temperature (T$_{\text{growth}}$ = 550°C). We monitor the FE response during the thin film deposition using ISHG as a noninvasive detection technique. SHG denotes frequency doubling of a light wave in a material. This process is sensitive to the loss of inversion symmetry that occurs with the emergence of FE order (21, 47). The ISHG light is measured in 45° reflection geometry, and its polarization is chosen such that it detects the out-of-plane component of the FE polarization emerging in the PTO during the deposition process (32). Room-temperature FE properties were confirmed using piezoresponse force microscopy. The thin film piezoresponse was characterized using a Bionix Multimode 8 microscope. An alternating voltage of 0.5 V was applied between the tip and the SRO bottom electrode to access the ferroelectric polarization direction. When poling an area, the alternating voltage was switched off, and only the ±4 V DC bias was applied to the tip, while the bottom electrode was...
grounded. Both films show up-polarized as-grown state and repeatable switching (Fig. 5C).

PTO (001) u.c. consist of PbO-TiO3 planes. The growth mode established during the PLD process requires that the smallest building unit corresponds to a full u.c.; thus, necessarily, a PTO system with a TiO2 interface has a PbO surface and vice versa. TiO2 is the only system accessible to our experiments as the RuO2 layer is volatile, and therefore, PLD of PTO necessarily starts with a TiO2 layer (48). Experimentally, a system with a PdO(5) surface was engineered by depositing a thin TiO2 layer on top of the SrO-terminated SRO. Results for this system are similar to the TiO2 one and are presented in SI Appendix.

Theory. Density functional theory calculations were carried out with the VASP (49–51) package (version 5.4.1) using the PBEsol (52) functional which gives a good metal/insulator band alignment at the interface, with the Fermi level at the Fermi metal within the PTO gap. Core electrons were replaced by projector augmented wave potentials (53), whereas the valence states (10 electrons for Sr, 4 for Pb, 8 for Ru, 4 for Ti, and 6 for O) were expanded in plane waves with a cutoff energy of 500 eV. A Monkhorst-Pack grid of \(6 \times 6 \times 1\) \(k\) points was used for all thin films with a surface area of \(1 \times 1 \text{ u.c.}\). Note that the surface area of 1 u.c. does not allow for domain formation, so this effect was not explored in this work. Moreover, they do not allow for an interfacial reconstruction which has been observed for PTO (55) (54, 55) to occur. In principle, changes in rotations and tilts can affect the antiferroelastic reconstruction which has been observed for PTO (001) surfaces. The growth mode established for Pb and O adatoms/vacancies, and 2 \( \times 2 \times 2 \) unit area show that the polarization profile between the system in Fig. 1 and one with the surface reconstruction are very similar, showing that the distortions have only a small effect on the polarization (SI Appendix).

We added a 4 u.c. thick SRO electrode under the PTO slab. We considered PTO slab thicknesses between 3 and 13 u.c. Periodic images were separated by \( \sim 15 \text{ Å}\) in vacuum. We also calculated the PTO(001) u.c. consist of PbO-TiO3 planes. The growth mode established during the PLD process requires that the smallest building unit corresponds to a full u.c.; thus, necessarily, a PTO system with a TiO2 interface has a PbO surface and vice versa. TiO2 is the only system accessible to our experiments as the RuO2 layer is volatile, and therefore, PLD of PTO necessarily starts with a TiO2 layer (48). Experimentally, a system with a PdO(5) surface was engineered by depositing a thin TiO2 layer on top of the SrO-terminated SRO. Results for this system are similar to the TiO2 one and are presented in SI Appendix.
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