Pre-clinical study of human umbilical cord mesenchymal stem cell transplantation for the treatment of traumatic brain injury: safety evaluation from immunogenic and oncogenic perspectives

Abstract

Stem cell therapy is a promising strategy for the treatment of traumatic brain injury (TBI). However, animal experiments are needed to evaluate safety; in particular, to examine the immunogenicity and tumorigenicity of human umbilical cord mesenchymal stem cells (huMSCs) before clinical application. In this study, huMSCs were harvested from human amniotic membrane and umbilical cord vascular tissue. A rat model of TBI was established using the controlled cortical impact method. Starting from the third day after injury, the rats were injected with 10 μL of $5 \times 10^6$/mL huMSCs by cerebral stereotaxis or with 500 μL of $1 \times 10^6$/mL huMSCs via the tail vein for 3 successive days. huMSC transplantation decreased the serum levels of proinflammatory cytokines in rats with TBI and increased the serum levels of anti-inflammatory cytokines, thereby exhibiting good immunoregulatory function. The transplanted huMSCs were distributed in the liver, lung and brain injury sites. No abnormal proliferation or tumorigenesis was found in these organs up to 12 months after transplantation. The transplanted huMSCs negligibly proliferated in vivo, and apoptosis was gradually observed at later stages. These findings suggest that huMSC transplantation for the treatment of traumatic brain injury displays good safety. In addition, huMSCs exhibit good immunoregulatory function, which can help prevent and reduce secondary brain injury caused by the rapid release of inflammatory factors after TBI. This study was approved by the Ethics Committee of Wuhan General Hospital of PLA (approval No. 20160054) on November 1, 2016.
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Introduction

Although much has been learned about the cellular and molecular mechanisms of traumatic brain injury (TBI) in the past two decades, these advances have failed to translate into a successful clinical trial; thus, no therapies are currently available to effectively treat TBI (Saatman et al., 2008; Schepici et al., 2020). Because of their capacity to differentiate into neuronal cells and release neurotrophic factors, stem cell...
therapy is a promising therapeutic strategy for TBI (Schepici et al., 2020). Recent stem cell-based therapies, which use sources such as bone marrow mesenchymal stem cells (MSCs) (Lam et al., 2017; Yuan et al., 2021), human umbilical cord MSCs (huMSCs) (Shi et al., 2012), oligodendrocyte progenitor cells (Zhou et al., 2015), neural stem cells (Gao et al., 2016; Liu et al., 2021) and neural progenitor cells (Blaya et al., 2015), have been reported to be beneficial in treating TBI. However, because of their allogenicity and their proliferative similarity to tumor cells, questions remain regarding immunological rejection reactions, tumorigenesis and uncontrolled proliferation of stem cells, which could cause sudden onset of glioblastoma after TBI (Tyagi et al., 2016), especially when MSCs are used in glioma patients (Nakamura et al., 2004). These challenges have delayed the application of stem cells in the clinical treatment of TBI. Furthermore, a previous study identified two distinct mesenchymal stromal cell populations in human malignant glioma (Svensson et al., 2017). Therefore, animal experiments are necessary to evaluate the safety of huMSC transplantation prior to clinical application.

Here, we first isolated and immunophenotypically characterized huMSCs. The huMSCs were then transplanted into experimental animals in situ or via the tail vein. A safety evaluation study was conducted of the immunogenicity, immunomodulatory effects, tumorigenesis and main organ distribution of the transplanted huMSCs in the rat model of TBI. The immunogenic and immunomodulatory effects of huMSCs were evaluated by detection of human leukocyte antigen II (HLAII) and serum pro-inflammatory and anti-inflammatory cytokines.

Materials and Methods

HuMSC isolation and identification

All procedures for umbilical cord collection and manipulation were performed in accordance with the regulations of the Ethics Committee of Wuhan General Hospital of PLA, and this study was approved by the review board (approval No. 20160054) on November 1, 2016. After obtaining informed consent from the donor, fresh amniotic membrane and umbilical cord vascular tissue were cut into 1-mm^2 pieces with ophthalmic scissors and placed into sterile dishes. StemPro MSC SFM XenoFree (Gibco, Grand Island, NY, USA) culture medium was added into the dish, which was gently shaken to promote even mixing, and transferred into a 5% CO_2/37°C incubator (Thermo Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA). Half of the culture medium was replaced every 5 days. On day 10, long spindle-like cells were observed growing from the edge of the tissue block. On day 14, when the cells covered 50% of the surface area, the tissue blocks were removed, and then sutured. For detection, five rats were sacrificed at each group:

**Sham group (n = 25):** The skin at the surgical site was cut open 90% confluence, 0.25% trypsin was used to detach the cells. The suspended cells were incubated for 1 hour at room temperature in the dark with fluorescent antibodies (anti-CD29-APC, anti-CD44-PE, anti-CD73-PE and anti-CD105-APC; BD Bioscience, San Jose, CA, USA). Cells were then washed 3 times and analyzed using a FACSCalibur cytometer (Becton, Dickinson and Company, Franklin Lakes, NJ, USA). The huMSCs from the third passage were used in subsequent experiments.

Detection of huMSC HLAII expression by western blot and quantitative real-time polymerase chain reaction

HLAII expression was detected by western blot. First, 10 μg huMSC lysate was resolved on SDS-PAGE gels and transferred onto a polyvinylidene fluoride membrane (Millipore, Bedford, MA, USA). After blocking in 5% skim milk for 30 minutes, the membranes were incubated with anti-major histocompatibility complex (MHC) Class II (HLAII) mouse monoclonal antibody (Cat# ab55152, 1:2000, Abcam, Cambridge, UK) or anti-glycerinaldehyde 3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH) mouse monoclonal antibody (Cat# 60004-1-lg, 1:5000, Proteintech, Wuhan, China) at 4°C overnight. After washing, the membranes were incubated with horseradish peroxidase-conjugated goat anti-mouse IgG (Cat# SA00001-1, 1:3000, Proteintech) at room temperature for 1 hour. After washing, the blots were developed using Pierce ECL western blotting substrate (Thermo Scientific) and then imaged and analyzed with the Tanon 5200 automatic chemiluminescence image analysis system (Tanon Company, Shanghai, China).

**HLA-DPA1, HLA-DQA1 and HLA-DRA1** were selected from among the 16 human HLAII genes to assess their mRNA expression in huMSCs. Peripheral blood mononuclear cells were separated from human peripheral blood by density gradient centrifugation with Ficoll as a positive control. The GenBank mRNA sequences of HLA-DPA1 (accession: NM_001245254.1), HLA-DQA1 (accession: NM_002122.3), HLA-DRA1 (accession: NM_019111.4) and GAPDH (accession: NM_001256799.2) were used to design primers for qRT-PCR. The following primers were used: HLA-DPA1 forward: 5′-CTG GAC AAG AAG GAC ACC GT-3′; HLA-DPA1 reverse: 5′-TCA ATG TGG CAG ATG GTG-3′ (product length 224 kb); HLA-DQA1 forward: 5′-AAC GCT ACA ACT CTA CCG CT-3′; HLA-DQA1 reverse: 5′-TCT GTG ACT GAC TGC CCA TT-3′ (product length 166 kb); HLA-DRA1 forward: 5′-AAT GGC CAT AAG TGG AGT CC-3′; HLA-DRA1 reverse: 5′-GGA GGT ACA ATG GTG ATC GG-3′ (product length 336 kb); GAPDH forward: 5′-CCA GAA CAT CCC TGC CC-3′; GAPDH reverse: 5′-CCT GCA TCA CCA CCT TCT TG-3′ (product length 185 kb). Primers were synthesized by Tsingke Biological Technology (Wuhan, China). Total mRNA from huMSCs was extracted using TRIzol (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA) and then reverse-transcribed into cDNA with a QuantiTect Reverse Transcription Kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany). The qRT-PCR mix was prepared with a Taq PCR MasterMix kit (Tiangen, Beijing, China) according to the user guide and run on a LightCycler 96 (Roche, Basel, Switzerland).

HuMSCs labeled by carboxyfluorescein succinimidyl ester

HuMSCs were labeled using the CellTrace carboxyfluorescein succinimidyl ester (CFSE) Cell Proliferation Kit (Thermo Scientific) following the manufacturer’s instructions. Briefly, huMSCs were suspended in prewarmed 0.1% bovine serum albumin-phosphate buffer saline (PBS) and CFSE. The cell concentration was 1 × 10^6/mL, and the CFSE concentration was 2.0 μM. The suspension was incubated for 15 minutes at 37°C in the dark. The staining was quenched by adding 5 volumes of ice-cold culture medium followed by incubation on ice for 5 minutes. Labeled cells were then washed with PBS three times and resuspended in 1 × 10^6/mL for tail vein injection and 5 × 10^6/mL for in situ brain injection.

Animal modeling, grouping and intervention

All Sprague–Dawley rats (Experimental Animal Center of Hubei Province, Wuhan, China, license No. SCXK-2015-0018) were housed according to the Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals of the National Academy of Sciences. All animal experiments were performed according to the animal study protocol approved by the Ethics Committee of Wuhan General Hospital of PLA (approval No. 20160054) on November 1, 2016. A total of 130 adult healthy Sprague–Dawley male rats (280–300 g, 8 weeks old) were fed a standard laboratory diet and water and maintained under temperature-controlled conditions (22 ± 2°C) and a 12-hour light/dark cycle. The rats were randomly distributed into the following four groups: sham, TBI model (TBI), in situ injected (In Situ), and tail vein injected (Tail Vein) groups.

Sham group (n = 25): The skin at the surgical site was cut open and then sutured. For detection, five rats were sacrificed at each time point in synchrony with the In Situ and Tail Vein groups.
In Vivo Imaging System Lumina II (PerkinElmer, Waltham, MA, USA) in the fluorescent imaging mode (excitation peak = 490 nm, emission peak = 518 nm). After subtracting the background fluorescence with Living Image 4.2 (PerkinElmer), the average radiant efficiency values of these organs were measured and analyzed.

Immunofluorescence staining
After observation with the In Vivo Imaging System Lumina II, the brains were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde overnight at 4°C, and then dehydrated, cleared and embedded in paraffin. The tissue blocks were sliced into 5-µm sections using a Slicer microtome (Leica Biosystems, Buffalo Grove, IL, USA). The brain sections were dewaxed, rehydrated, and placed into microwave-heated citrate buffer (pH 6.0) to retrieve the antigens at high heat for 3 minutes and medium heat for 2 minutes, and then cooled to room temperature.

The third passage huMSCs had a morphology resembling long and undulated stellate cells expressing CD29, CD44, CD73 and CD105 accounted more than 95%, indicating that these were indeed huMSCs, and therefore significant.

Detection of serum cytokines by enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay
Serum cytokines interleukin 6 (IL-6), interleukin 10 (IL-10) and interleukin 12 (IL-12), transforming growth factor beta (TGF-β) and tumor necrosis factor alpha (TNF-α) were measured using an enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) kit. IL-6, IL-10, TGF-β and TNF-α ELISA kits were purchased from Boster (Wuhan, China). The IL-12 ELISA Kit was purchased from Biorbyt Company (Cambridge, UK). All tests were conducted according to the manufacturers’ instructions.

Localization of huMSCs by in vivo imaging
We examined the colonization pattern and metabolism of the CFSE-labeled transplanted huMSCs. The rats were sacrificed by decapitation after inhalation anesthesia with diethyl ether (Sinopharm Chemical Reagent Co., Ltd., Shanghai, China). After dissection, the brain, liver, lung, kidney and heart were collected and observed on an In Vivo Imaging System Lumina II (PerkinElmer, Waltham, MA, USA) in the fluorescent imaging mode (excitation peak = 490 nm, emission peak = 518 nm).

Immunohistochemistry
The paraffin tissue blocks were sliced into 5-µm sections and then dewaxed and rehydrated. After immersion in 3% hydrogen peroxide for 10 minutes, sections were placed into microwave-heated citrate buffer (pH 6.0) to retrieve the antigens at high heat for 3 minutes and medium heat for 2 minutes, and then cooled to room temperature. Sections were then rinsed three times in PBS for 10 minutes and incubated for 1 hour at room temperature in blocking solution (5% bovine serum albumin in PBS). The sections were subsequently incubated with epidermal growth factor receptor variant III (EGFrVIII) rabbit monoclonal antibody (Cat# 64952S, 1:100, CST), diluted in PBS containing 1% bovine serum albumin, at 4°C overnight. The next morning, the sections were rinsed three times in PBS and incubated with biotin-labeled goat anti-rabbit IgG (Cat# BA1003, 1:200, Boster), diluted in PBS, at 37°C for 30 minutes. The sections were then rinsed three times in PBS, incubated with streptavidin-biotin complex (Cat# SA2002, Boster) at 37°C for 30 minutes, rinsed four times in PBS, developed with diaminobenzidine, stained with hematoxylin, mounted with neutral balsam, and imaged under a fluorescence microscope.

Hematoxylin and eosin staining
After observation under the In Vivo Imaging System Lumina II, the liver and lung tissues were processed into paraffin tissue blocks and sliced into 5-µm sections for hematoxylin and eosin staining. Briefly, sections were dehydrated, rehydrated and incubated with hematoxylin for 5 minutes, then rinsed with water before incubation with eosin for 30 seconds. Finally, sections were mounted with neutral balsam and photographed under a fluorescence microscope.

Statistical analysis
Statistical analysis was performed using IBM SPSS Statistics version 22.0 (IBM, Armonk, NY, USA). The concentration of inflammatory factors and average radiant efficiency values of brains/livers/lungs were compared by one-way analysis of variance followed by the least significant difference test. Repeated measures analysis of variance was used to explore the changes of concentrations of inflammatory factors at different points. P < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results
Morphologic and immunophenotypic characteristics of huMSCs
The third passage huMSCs had a morphology resembling long whirling spindles (Figure 1A). Flow cytometry revealed that cells expressing CD29, CD44, CD73 and CD105 accounted for more than 95% of the total cell population (Figure 1B), indicating that these were indeed huMSCs, and therefore suitable for use in the following experiments.
Western blot analysis showed that no HLAII protein was expressed in the huMSCs (Figure 1C and D). qRT-PCR showed no expression of HLA-DRA1. While HLA-DPA1 and HLA-DQA1 were detected in the huMSCs (Figure 1E and F), the cycle thresholds were ~32 for these cells, compared with 24 for peripheral blood mononuclear cells. These results suggest that huMSC therapy might be immunologically tolerated.

**Immunomodulatory effects of huMSCs in TBI model rats**

We examined the levels of serum proinflammatory cytokines (IL-6, IL-12, and TNF-α) and anti-inflammatory cytokines (IL-10 and TGFβ) in experimental animals with ELISA. The levels of serum pro- and anti-inflammatory cytokines in the TBI model group were higher than those in the Sham group (P < 0.001). In the *In Situ* (huMSCs delivered in situ at the lesion site) and Tail Vein groups (huMSCs delivered through the tail vein), we found that the levels of proinflammatory cytokines were decreased, and the levels of anti-inflammatory cytokines were increased compared with the TBI group (P < 0.001; Figure 1G). Repeated measures analysis of variance was used to examine the changes in serum inflammatory factor concentrations in each group. Compared with the Sham group, the levels of TNF-α and IL-6 in the TBI group were significantly higher during the entire experimental observation period (P < 0.05). The concentrations of IL-12 and IL-10 were significantly higher in the first 7 days (P < 0.05), but there were no significant differences after day 14. In the Tail Vein group, the levels of proinflammatory cytokines were decreased significantly (P < 0.05) a short time after huMSC transplantation (IL-6: 3 days later, IL-12: 1 day later, and TNF-α: 3 days later). The immunomodulatory effects of the injected huMSCs persisted during the whole experimental period, such that there were no significant differences in proinflammatory cytokine levels between the Tail Vein and Sham groups. However, it took a longer time (IL-6: 28 days; IL-12: 3 days and TNF-α: 3 days) for injected huMSCs in the *In Situ* group to exert immunomodulatory effects. There were no statistically significant differences in proinflammatory cytokine levels between the *In Situ* and Tail Vein groups (P > 0.05).

**Organ distribution of injected huMSCs in TBI model rats**

Compared with the TBI group, the fluorescence intensity of the brain observed using the small animal live imager was significantly higher on days 1 and 3 in the *In Situ* group (P < 0.001; Figure 2A and B). However, there was no significant difference in the fluorescence intensity of the other organs (liver, lung, heart, and kidney) between the TBI and *In Situ* groups (Figure 2B). These results indicate that the huMSCs injected into the brain injury site were primarily localized within the brain, and almost no huMSCs entered the blood from the injured brain tissue. Although the fluorescence gradually faded, it could still be seen on day 7, which might indicate that some huMSCs persist for up to a week and have adequate time to secrete regulatory factors before they undergo apoptosis or differentiate.

Compared with the TBI group, live images of the tail vein group showed fluorescence in the liver, but only weak green fluorescence in the brain, lung, heart, and kidney (Figure 2A), indicating that the huMSCs injected into the tail vein primarily accumulated in the liver, with only a few cells making their way to the brain, lung, and other organs. In addition, the fluorescence intensity of the lungs on days 1 (P < 0.001) and 3 (P < 0.001) and the fluorescence intensity of the liver on days 1 (P < 0.001), 3 (P < 0.001) and 7 (P < 0.05) were significantly higher in the tail vein group compared with the TBI group (Figure 2C). Over time, fluorescence values diminished to nearly normal levels by day 14.

Brain sections from the *In Situ* and Tail Vein groups were used to observe the location of huMSCs and the aggregation of cells under a fluorescence microscope. Considering that the fluorescence intensity of CFSE labeled huMSCs was halved once per generation, we chose CD29, a surface molecule maker of huMSC, for immunofluorescence staining to track the transplanted huMSCs. The CFSE and CD29 labeled huMSCs were identified in the *In Situ* group and CD29 on days 1, 3 and 7 (Figure 2D) in the brain lesions. A few CFSE-labeled huMSCs in the Tail Vein group were also observed in the brain lesions on days 1 and 3 (Figure 2E).

**Evaluation of tumorigenesis following huMSC transplantation in TBI model rats**

The experimental rats from both the *In Situ* and Tail Vein groups exhibited no cell degeneration, necrosis, hyperplasia or tumorigenesis in the primary organs, including the liver and lungs, on days 1, 3, 7, 14 and 28, or even 12 months post-transplantation (Figures 3A and 4A). Immunohistochemistry for EGFRvIII in the brain showed no positive signal in huMSC-treated rats from the day of injection to 12 months after injection in either group (Figures 3B and 4B). As EGFRvIII is one of the most common carcinogenic mutations in glioma (Kim et al., 2021), these results provide additional assurance to their clinical application.

Furthermore, immunofluorescence staining of PCNA showed that TBI triggered the proliferative activity of adjacent neurocytes, but the fluorescently labeled huMSCs had no proliferative activity in the brain lesion sites in either the *In Situ* group (Figure 3C) or Tail Vein group (Figure 4C). These results demonstrate that uncontrolled proliferation of transplanted huMSCs did not occur, even 12 months after transplantation.

**Fate analysis of injected huMSCs in the brains of TBI rats**

We also performed immunofluorescence staining for CASP3 and F4/80 in the brains of rats from both the *In Situ* and Tail Vein groups. In CFSE-labeled huMSCs, CASP3 was observed and F4/80 in the brains of rats from both the *In Situ* and Tail Vein groups. In CFSE-labeled huMSCs, CASP3 was observed and F4/80 was not detected near the lesion site after huMSC injection (Figure 5A). Repeat measures analysis of variance was used to examine the changes in serum proand anti-inflammatory cytokine concentrations and increasing anti-inflammatory cytokine levels in the *In Situ* group (Figure 5B) was greater than that in the Tail Vein group (Figure 5D), especially on day 14.

**Discussion**

The current management of TBI is mainly supportive, with much more attention given to intensive care and prevention of secondary neopathy. Numerous recent studies have found that MSCs, with their multipotency and low immunogenicity, have potential in treating TBI (Cox et al., 2019; Das et al., 2019; Zhou et al., 2019; Bonsack et al., 2020; Dehghanian et al., 2020; Schepici et al., 2020; Sun et al., 2021). However, a number of issues related to the potential risk of huMSC-based therapies remain unresolved, such as the purity and identity of huMSCs, the risk of tumor formation, unwanted immune responses, and adventitious infection (Herbert et al., 2011; Das et al., 2019). In this study, our findings from both *in vitro* and *in vivo* preclinical studies suggest that huMSCs do not display immunogenic toxicity or tumorigenicity up to 12 months after implantation. Furthermore, huMSCs exhibit good immunomodulatory function by decreasing pro-inflammatory cytokine concentrations and increasing anti-inflammatory cytokine levels *in vivo*, which may play an important role in controlling inflammatory responses after TBI.

Compared with bone marrow-derived MSCs, huMSCs have similar proliferative and multi-lineage differentiation potentials (Baksh et al., 2007), but have many advantages, such as a wide variety of sources, easy harvesting, less risk...
of rejection after transplantation, low immunogenicity, and no ethical controversy. Therefore, huMSCs are considered an ideal alternative to bone marrow-derived MSCs. However, efficiently isolating huMSCs from human umbilical cord tissues and identifying them remain key tasks for the development of huMSC-based therapies. Currently, MSC isolation/identification has mainly relied on surface markers detected by flow cytometry, adherent properties, and differentiation potential (Bernardo et al., 2009). Our results show that the third passage huMSCs have a morphology resembling long whirling spindles. Flow cytometry revealed that cells with characteristic expression of CD29, CD44, CD73 and CD105 accounted for more than 95% of the total cell population, indicating that our protocol for huMSC isolation and identification was appropriate, guaranteeing the purity of huMSCs for experiments. This is another advantage of huMSCs over bone marrow-derived MSCs, as the latter contain multiple types of stem cells, such as hematopoietic stem cells, which can cause undesirable effects. To be defined as MSCs, cells must be positive for a number of surface markers, such as CD73, CD90, CD166, CD44 and CD29. Furthermore, they must be negative for CD34, CD31, CD14 and CD45 (at least in case of BM-derived cells), as well as HLA complex surface molecules (Le Blanc et al., 2003). The HLA complex, also called the HLA gene complex, is primarily located on chromosome 6p21, encoding the MHC cell-surface antigens responsible for immunological recognition and response.

Figure 1  Identification, immunogenicity and immunomodulation of huMSCs.

(A) The huMSCs cultured in our experiment. The huMSCs were spindle-shaped and grew in a whorl pattern. Original magnification 100×, scale bars: 200 μm. (B) Flow cytometry detection of CD29, CD44, CD73 and CD105. The rate of positive expression for all factors was greater than 95%. (C) Detection of huMSC HLAII expression in PBMCs and huMSCs by western blot. No HLAII expression band was detected in the huMSCs. (D) Relative expression of HLAII in PBMCs and huMSCs. The bar graph shows no HLAII expression in huMSCs. (E) PCR amplification curves of HLA-DPA1, HLA-DQA1 and HLA-DRA1 genes in PBMCs and huMSCs. (F) Relative expression of HLA-DPA1, HLA-DQA1 and HLA-DRA1 genes in PBMCs and huMSCs. PCR results showed no expression of HLA-DPA1, HLA-DQA1 or HLA-DRA1 genes in huMSCs. (G) Expression curves of serum IL-6, IL-12, TGF-β, and TNF-α detected by ELISA method (n = 5 rats per group). Compared with the TBI group, the serum pro-inflammatory cytokines IL-6, IL-12 and TNF-α in the Tail Vein and In Situ group were lower (###P < 0.001), whereas the inflammation inhibiting factors IL-10 and TGF-β were much higher (**P < 0.01), indicating that huMSCs exert good immunoregulatory effects. Data are expressed as the mean ± SD. **P < 0.01, ***P < 0.001, vs. PBMCs (one-way analysis of variance followed by least significant difference test). ELISA: Enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay; GAPDH: glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate dehydrogenase; HLAII: human leukocyte antigen II; huMSCs: human umbilical cord mesenchymal stem cells; IL: interleukin; PBMCs: peripheral blood mononuclear cells; PCR: polymerase chain reaction; TBI: traumatic brain injury; TGF-β: transforming growth factor beta; TNF-α: tumor necrosis factor alpha.

Figure 2  Sites of accumulation of huMSCs in vivo in TBI rats.

(A) Live imaging of the primary organs of experimental rats. (B, C) The average fluorescence intensity in the brain, liver and lung in the In Situ (B) and Tail Vein (C) groups. Data are expressed as the mean ± SD (n = 5 rats at each time point). ##P < 0.01, ###P < 0.001, vs. TBI group (one-way analysis of variance followed by least significant difference test). (D) Relative expression of HLA-DPA1, HLA-DQA1 and HLA-DRA1 genes in PBMCs and huMSCs. (E) Relative expression of HLA-DP, HLA-DQ and HLA-DR1 genes in PBMCs and huMSCs. Compared with the TBI group, the serum pro-inflammatory cytokines IL-6, IL-12 and TNF-α in the Tail Vein and In Situ group were lower (###P < 0.001), whereas the inflammation inhibiting factors IL-10 and TGF-β were much higher (**P < 0.01), indicating that huMSCs exert good immunoregulatory effects. Data are expressed as the mean ± SD. **P < 0.01, ***P < 0.001, vs. PBMCs (one-way analysis of variance followed by least significant difference test). ELISA: Enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay; GAPDH: glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate dehydrogenase; HLAII: human leukocyte antigen II; huMSCs: human umbilical cord mesenchymal stem cells; IL: interleukin; PBMCs: peripheral blood mononuclear cells; PCR: polymerase chain reaction; TBI: traumatic brain injury; TGF-β: transforming growth factor beta; TNF-α: tumor necrosis factor alpha.

Table 1  The cells with characteristic expression of CD29, CD44, CD73 and CD105 accounted for more than 95% of the total cell population.
Evaluation of tumorigenicity in TBI rats given huMSC transplantation via tail vein injection.

Figure 3 | Evaluation of tumorigenicity in TBI rats given in situ huMSC transplantation.

(A) Pathology of livers and lungs in the In Situ group (original magnification 100×). Scale bar: 200 μm. No cell degeneration, necrosis, hyperplasia or tumorigenesis was observed in the liver and lungs on days 1, 3, 7, 14 or 28, or even 12 months post-transplantation. (B) Immunohistochemical staining for EGFRvIII in the In Situ group (original magnification 200×). Scale bar: 100 μm. No positive signal was observed in huMSC-treated rats from the day of injection to 12 months after injection. (C) Immunofluorescence staining for PCNA in the In Situ group (original magnification 400×). Scale bar: 50 μm. There was no PCNA immunoreactivity (positive signal) in CFSE-labeled huMSCs (green arrows), indicating that the huMSCs do not exhibit proliferative activity. However, the adjacent neural cells are PCNA-positive (red, stained by CoraLite594, pink arrows) due to the responsive proliferation of glialocytes and nerve cells after brain injury. The cells indicated by yellow arrows are red cells that exhibit autofluorescence. CFSE: Carboxyfluorescein succinimidyl ester; EGFRvIII: epidermal growth factor receptor variant III; huMSCs: human umbilical cord mesenchymal stem cells; PCNA: proliferating cell nuclear antigen; TBI: traumatic brain injury.

Figure 4 | Evaluation of tumorigenicity in TBI rats given huMSC transplantation via tail vein injection.

(A) Pathology of the liver and lung in the Tail Vein group (original magnification 100×). Scale bar: 200 μm. No cell degeneration, necrosis, hyperplasia or tumorigenesis was observed in the liver or lungs, on days 1, 3, 7, 14 or 28, or even 12 months post-transplantation. (B) Immunohistochemistry for EGFRvIII in the Tail Vein group (original magnification 200×). Scale bar: 100 μm. No positive signal was observed in huMSC-treated rats from the day of injection to 12 months after injection. (C) Immunofluorescence staining for PCNA in the Tail Vein group (original magnification 400×). Scale bar: 50 μm. The results are consistent with those of the In Situ group. There was no PCNA immunoreactivity (positive signal) in CFSE-labeled huMSCs (indicated by green arrows), indicating that the huMSCs do not exhibit proliferative activity. However, the adjacent neural cells show PCNA immunoreactivity (red, stained by CoraLite594, pink arrows) caused by the responsive proliferation of glial and neuronal cells after brain injury. The cells indicated by yellow arrows are red cells that exhibit autofluorescence. CFSE: Carboxyfluorescein succinimidyl ester; EGFRvIII: epidermal growth factor receptor variant III; huMSCs: human umbilical cord mesenchymal stem cells; PCNA: proliferating cell nuclear antigen; TBI: traumatic brain injury.

Figure 5 | The fate of huMSCs in TBI rats given in situ or tail vein transplantation.

(A) Fluorescence staining of CASP3 in the In Situ group. On the first day after the last transplantation, there was no immunoreactivity towards CASP3 (red, stained by CoraLite594, red arrows) in huMSCs (green arrows). huMSCs began to express caspase 3 (denoted by a yellow ellipse) from the third day post final transplantation, indicating that huMSCs began to undergo apoptosis. On the 14th day, almost no huMSCs remained. The neural cells in the injury site can also undergo apoptosis as they express CASP3. (B) Fluorescence staining for F4/80 (red, stained by CoraLite594) in the In Situ group. On the first day after injection of huMSCs, there were some macrophages (red, red arrows) around the CFSE-labeled huMSCs (indicated by green arrows). Over time, more macrophages accumulated, and they persisted up to 12 months later. (C) Fluorescence staining results of CASP3 in the Tail Vein group are similar to those in the In Situ group. However, the number of macrophages in the Tail Vein group was less than that in the In Situ group, especially on the 14th day. Cells indicated by yellow arrows are red cells that exhibit autofluorescence (original magnification 400×, scale bar: 50 μm). CASP3: Caspase 3; CFSE: carboxyfluorescein succinimidyl ester; huMSCs: human umbilical cord mesenchymal stem cells; TBI: traumatic brain injury.
for graft-versus-host disease and transplant rejection. There are three major categories of HLAs: MHC class I (A, B and C), MHC class II (DP, DM, DOA, DOB, DQ, and DR), and MHC class III. MHC class II plays the major role of presenting antigens on the surface to T-lymphocytes (Tan et al., 2017). In our study, we found that the huMSCs expressed no MHC class II antigens, making them unable to present host antigens to the T-cells. Therefore, the problems of graft-versus-host disease or transplant rejection can be mitigated by huMSC therapy.

A molecular war begins between anti-inflammatory and pro-inflammatory cytokines after TBI (Helmy et al., 2011a). Pro-inflammatory cytokines, including IL-6, IL-12 and TNF-α, which are mainly produced by microglia, but also by endothelial cells, neurons and astrocytes, activate glial cells, inducing further cytokine production and astroglisis (Lau and Yu, 2001; Konsman et al., 2007; Ziebell and Morganti-Kossmann, 2010). Anti-inflammatory cytokines such as TGF-β and IL-10 have the ability to counteract and downregulate inflammatory and cytotoxic reactions (Cederberg and Siesjö, 2010). It was reported that pro-inflammatory cytokines are rapidly upregulated following brain injury, and some peak as early as 2 hours after TBI (Helmy et al., 2011b). These pro-inflammatory cytokines stimulate the injected huMSCs to exhibit an immunosuppressive effect (Ren et al., 2008). Our results show that levels of serum pro-inflammatory cytokines (IL-6, IL-12 and TNFα) and anti-inflammatory cytokines (IL-10 and TGF-β) in the TBI model group were higher than those in the sham group. Significantly decreased pro-inflammatory cytokine levels and increased anti-inflammatory cytokine levels were observed shortly after huMSCs transplantation, in agreement with another study (Zhang et al., 2013). In that study, IL-6 at 24 and 72 hours, and TNF-α at 24 and 72 hours were all significantly decreased in the MSC-treated group compared with the control group. Moreover, levels of the anti-inflammatory cytokines IL-10 at 24 and 72 hours, and TGF-β at 24 and 72 hours after TBI were increased in the MSC-treated group compared with the control group. The benefit of stem cell therapy for TBI may primarily arise from the paracrine or systemic secretion of anti-inflammatory chemokines and various growth factors, and by the inhibition of pro-inflammatory cytokines, rather than their differentiation into neural cells (Uccelli et al., 2008; Scuteri et al., 2011; Lo Sicco et al., 2017).

Since the fluorescence of CFSE labeled cells is halved every generation, immuno-fluorescence staining of CD29, a surface molecule maker of huMSCs, was implemented to better track the transplanted huMSCs. CFSE and CD29 co-localization in brain sections, combined with live images, showed the presence of huMSCs in brain tissue during the first week after which huMSCs secrete anti-inflammatory chemokines and various growth factors to repair brain tissue. Because of the apoptosis or differentiation of huMSCs, the fluorescence gradually decreased, and was metabolized primarily in the liver and in our study. EGFRvIII, which is a specific EGFR mutation, is often used to detect brain tumors (Kuan et al., 2001; Gong et al., 2014). PCNA is a DNA clamp that acts as a processivity factor for DNA polymerase δ in eukaryotic cells and is essential for replication. PCNA is expressed in the nuclei of cells during the DNA synthesis phase of the cell cycle, and its abnormal increase is related to certain neoplasms, such as breast carcinomas and astrocytomas (Leonardi et al., 1992). Imaging of antibody labeling for PCNA can be used to distinguish the early, mid and late S phase of the cell cycle (Schönenberger et al., 2015). Neither expression of EGFRvIII nor PCNA increased, even 12 months post-transplantation, which aligns with another study that evaluated the tumorigenicity of transplanted stem cells (Garitaonandia et al., 2016). However, the follow-up time of that study was 9 months.

The routes of delivering stem cells to the host, which commonly include intravenous, intra-arterial and intracranial delivery methods, play an important role in the success of stem cell therapies. The intravenous method seems to be the most attractive for clinical applications, whereas the intracranial method is the most frequently used. When we designed our study, two groups, the In Situ and Tail Vein groups, were created to allow a direct comparison of the two delivery methods. Some interesting results are worth noting. The huMSCs injected into the Tail Vein group primarily accumulated in the liver, while those in the In Situ group primarily accumulated in the brain, which was expected. Additionally, our results indicated that huMSC injection increased levels of serum anti-inflammatory cytokines and decreased the concentrations of pro-inflammatory cytokines in rats with TBI. However, there were no statistically significant differences in pro-inflammatory cytokine levels between the In Situ and Tail Vein groups. Third, the number of macrophages was greater in the In Situ group than in the Tail Vein group. Macrophages are important cells of the immune system that respond to an infection or to the accumulation of damaged or dead cells. Injected huMSCs gradually undergo apoptosis and might be cleared away by macrophages.

There are some limitations to this study. First, some biological properties, such as population doubling time, clonogenicity, and differentiation ability of the huMSCs were not examined. Second, only CD29, CD44, CD73 and CD105 were assayed. Third, we focused on the safety evaluation of the injected huMSCs, but not on efficacy assessment. It would have been informative to examine whether the transplanted huMSCs differentiared into glial cells, such as astrocytes or oligodendrocytes, or into neuron-like cells by co-labeling for the appropriate markers, in vivo and in vitro. Furthermore, it would have been interesting to explore whether the huMSCs could have differentiated into macrophage-like cells. It could have provided information on their immunoregulatory role, particularly as some classes of macrophages can reduce inflammation.

In summary, in this study, we comprehensively evaluated the safety of huMSCs for treating TBI in rats, and the findings suggest that allogeneic huMSC therapy may be a good strategy for treating TBI without major risk of immune attack or rejection. Given the encouraging safety data obtained in this study, we propose further efficacy evaluation of huMSCs for human application.
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