Diversity and functional significance of cellulolytic microbes living in termite, pill-bug and stem-borer guts
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Arthropods living on plants are able to digest plant biomass with the help of microbial flora in their guts. This study considered three arthropods from different niches - termites, pill-bugs and yellow stem-borers - and screened their guts for cellulase producing microbes. Among 42 unique cellulase-producing strains, 50% belonged to Bacillaceae, 26% belonged to Enterobacteriaceae, 17% belonged to Microbacteriaceae, 5% belonged to Paenibacillaceae and 2% belonged to Promicromonosporaceae. The distribution of microbial families in the three arthropod guts reflected differences in their food consumption habits. Most of the carboxymethylcellulase positive strains also hydrolysed other amorphous substrates such as xylan, locust bean gum and β-D-glucan. Two strains, A11 and A21, demonstrated significant activity towards Avicel and p-nitrophenyl-β-D-cellobiose, indicating that they express cellbiohydrolase. These results provide insight into the co-existence of symbionts in the guts of arthropods and their possible exploitation for the production of fuels and chemicals derived from plant biomass.

Rising concern over the cost and sustained availability of fossil fuels has increased demand for the development of alternative and preferably renewable fuel resources, with lignocellulosic biomass as one promising resource1,2. Lignocellulose represents ~90% of the dry weight of all plant materials3, is primarily composed of the sugar polymers cellulose (35–50%) and hemicellulose (20–35%) together with lignin (5–30%) that provides structural support for the plant4. Cellulose and hemicellulose represent abundant and inexpensive sources of fermentable sugar for the production of various chemicals and biofuels, but finding efficient catalysts for their saccharification to monomeric sugar and producing those catalysts in a cost-effective manner are major hurdles5. Biological catalysts such as cellulase/hemicellulase enzymes play significant roles in the production of ethanol using plant biomass6. The enzymatic hydrolysis of cellulose polymers is achieved by the synergistic activity of three different groups of cellulase enzymes: endoglucanase2, exoglucanase or cellobiohydrolase, and β-glucosidase7,8. Arabinoxylanases and xylanases are groups of enzymes that hydrolyse hemicellulose components. A large number of these hydrolytic enzymes have been discovered in fungi9, but they are typically associated with certain limitations, such as stability to low pH and thermal stresses and low specific activities10. As bacteria are able to tolerate environmental extremes, they represent an ideal source for the screening and isolation of novel cellulolytic enzymes to help overcome these challenges10. Therefore, researchers are investigating natural systems that have evolved to decompose plant cell wall polymers as sources for enzyme discovery.

Arthropods are the most abundant and successful species on earth that can survive in diverse ecological niches11,12. The digestive tracts of arthropods contain microbiota members from the bacterial, fungal, protozoan and archaeal groups, which assist with various physiological functions including food digestion, nitrogen fixation, nutrition and pheromone production13. Comprehensive analyses of the transcriptomes and metagenomes of termites and symbiotic microbes have generated huge amounts of genomic information for the screening of cellulase encoding genes14,15. However, enzymes screened through metagenomic and metatranscriptomic studies could have issues with heterologous overexpression in terms of folding and yield16,17 because little is known about the host organism. Therefore, natural cellulolytic bacteria that can be cultured under laboratory conditions are excellent platforms for the study of the properties of hydrolytic enzymes.

In the present work, we have selected three arthropods with different dietary habits, i.e., two insects (termites and yellow stem-borers) and a crustacean (pill-bugs), and explored their guts as sources for the isolation and
characterisation of cellulose-degrading bacteria. The ability of these arthropods to feed on wood, foliage and detritus is likely to involve catalysis by different types of cellulases/hemicellulases that are secreted by gut microbiota to digest the structural and recalcitrant lignocellulosic residues in their foods. Thus, the main goals of this study were to explore the guts of these arthropods that feed on potential biofuel feedstocks and to compare their hydrolytic activities. We successfully isolated 42 cellulose-degrading bacterial strains from these arthropods and characterised their abilities to produce hydrolytic enzymes.

**Results**

**Screening and characterisation of cellulolytic bacteria from the guts of arthropods.** The guts of arthropods feeding on Woody and agricultural biomass are an ideal place for symbiotic cellulolytic microbes to thrive. We selected two insects, termites and yellow stem borers, and a crustacean, pill-bugs, because of their ability to live in diverse niches. Termites were collected from the trunks and roots of dead trees; pill-bugs were collected from dampened soil containing decomposed leaf litter; and yellow stem borers living in rice stems were collected from an agricultural rice field (Figure 1). Arthropod families were identified by performing BLAST with the nucleotide sequences of the mitochondrial cytochrome oxidase I (CO-I) genes from each organism and further confirmed by morphological characterisation. The nearest possible hits for termites, pill-bugs and yellow stem borers were Odontotermes hiananensis, Armadillidium sp. and Scirpophaga incertulas, respectively. The guts of these arthropods were dissected as described in the Methods section, and the microbes living in the guts were grown on MacConkey agar plates containing CMC and trypan blue at various dilutions under both aerobic and anaerobic conditions. Unique isolated colonies showing clearance zones on a blue background were purified by re-streaking, and their cellulolytic capabilities were further confirmed by Congo red staining of agar plates. Approximately 42 bacterial colonies were selected by the above screening method for phylogenetic analysis and enzymatic activity analysis. Among these, 26 bacteria were isolated by screening under aerobic conditions, and 16 were isolated under anaerobic conditions (Table 1).

**Phylogenetic analysis of gut bacteria.** Among the 42 bacterial colonies selected for further characterisation, 17 were isolated from termite guts, 8 were isolated from pill-bug guts and 17 were isolated from yellow stem borers (Table 1). Phylogenetic analysis of the 16S rDNA sequences revealed that all identified bacterial species belonged to five families - 50% belonged to Bacillaceae, 26% belonged to Enterobacteriaceae, 17% belonged to Microbacteriaceae, 5% belonged to Paenibacillaceae and 2% belonged to Promicromonosporaceae (Figure 2A). Between 60 and 70% of the bacteria from the guts of termites and pill-bugs were from the Bacillaceae family, while bacteria from the Microbacteriaceae and Enterobacteriaceae families were more dominant in the guts of yellow stem borers (Figure 2B).

**Characterisation of bacterial enzymes hydrolysing plant biomass.** Microbes residing in the guts of biomass feeding arthropods are likely to produce a variety of hydrolytic enzymes. In previous studies we showed that *Paenibacillus ICGEB2008* isolated from the gut of the cotton bollworm produced several biomass-degrading enzymes, including cellulases and hemicellulases. Therefore, we tested the expression levels in the gut microbes of major glycosyl hydrolase enzymes belonging to the categories of cellulase, hemicellulase, mannanase and glucanase. The expression levels of endoglucanase, β-glucosidase, xylanase, β-xylosidase, mannanase and β-D-glucanase were determined using the substrates CMC, pNPG, Xylan, pNPX, locust bean gum and barley β-D-glucan, respectively. To test exocellulase or cellobiohydrolase expression, both Avicel and pNPCh (in the presence of the β-glucosidase inhibitor glucono-δ-lactone) were used as substrates. A potential biofuel feedstock was also used, namely alkali treated rice straw, and it mainly contained crystalline cellulose as well as some amorphous forms of cellulose. These assays were used to test both extracellular and cell associated enzymes. Except for A13, A16 and T3, all natural isolates produced at least one type of glycosyl hydrolase (Figure 3A and 3B). The reason that these three strains are negative in the liquid assay despite being positive for CMC activity on the agar plates could be related to the stringency criteria set as described in the Methods section. The majority of microbes produced more than one kind of hydrolytic enzyme (Figure 3C). There were 23 microbes that produced at least one hydrolytic enzyme from each category of biomass degrading enzymes. Several strains produced only one category of enzyme, such as cellulases (5 strains) and hemicellulases (1 strain), which hydrolysed pNPG and pNPX, respectively, but did not produce significant amounts of any other type of hydrolyase (Figure 3). Extracellular fractions were found to be rich in enzymes specific for amorphous polymers (Figure 3A). Only a few microbes secreted enzymes that were able to hydrolyse dimeric or oligomeric substrates, such as pNPG, pNPCh and pNPX, or complex structures, such as rice straw. On the other hand, the majority of cell associated fractions hydrolysed dimeric substrates such as pNPG and pNPCh (Figure 3B). However, cell associated fractions of none of the microbes were able to hydrolyse pNPCh. Some strains also produced enzymes in the extracellular or cell associated fractions for the hydrolysis of complex structures such as rice straw and Avicel.

Quantitative assessments of the hydrolytic activities in both the extracellular and cell-associated fractions enabled us to analyse their relative contributions to biomass degradation (Figure 4, 5 and 6). The majority of strains secreted high quantities of enzymes specific for amorphous polymers such as CMC, xylan, locust bean gum and barley β-D-glucan (Figure 4). Relatively fewer strains produced enzymes that were able to hydrolyse significant amounts of crystalline polymers such as Avicel and rice straw (Figure 5D, 6A and 6D). The strains hydrolysing the greatest amounts of rice straw were A11, A18, A21, A22, S2 and U8 (Figure 5D and 6D), while strains A11, A17, A21, A24, U5 and U10 hydrolysed Avicel (Figure 6A). Enzymes
| Insect          | Strain ID | GenBank Accession | Nearest relative          | Strain ID | GenBank Accession | Nearest relative          |
|----------------|-----------|-------------------|---------------------------|-----------|-------------------|---------------------------|
| Termite        | A1        | KC434960          | Bacillus sp. 6063         | T1        | KC434986          | Bacillus subtilis M50     |
|                | A4        | KC434961          | Klebsiella sp. clone F7   | T2        | KC434987          | Bacillus licheniformis ACO1|
|                | A5        | KC434962          | Trabulsiella guamensis GTC1379 | T3     | KC434988          | Trabulsiella guamensis GTC1379|
|                | A6        | KC434963          | Bacillus pumilus BSH4     | T4        | KC434989          | Bacillus sp. DV9-35       |
|                | A7        | KC434964          | Bacillus sp. SCSSS10      |           |                   |                           |
|                | A9        | KC434965          | Pantoea agglomerans WAB1927| A10      | KC434966          | Bacillus licheniformis EdyKoBl23 |
|                | A11       | KC434967          | Bacillus licheniformis BCRC 15413 | A11      | KC434968          | Bacillus licheniformis SubaMucBl116 |
|                | A12       | KC434969          | Bacillus cereus TAUC5     | A13       | KC434970          | Bacillus cereus F837/76   |
|                | A16       | KC434971          | Bacillus subtilis K21     | A17       | KC434972          | Paenibacillus polymyxa DSM 36T |
|                | A18       | KC434973          | Paenibacillus polymyxa YRL13| W1       | KC434990          | Bacillus subtilis KL073   |
|                | A21       | KC434974          | Bacillus subtilis M50     | W6        | KC434991          | Bacillus thuringiensis 2PR35-10 |
|                | A22       | KC434975          | Bacillus subtilis M16K    | W7        | KC434992          | Bacillus tequilensis VITJAAM2 |
|                | A23       | KC434976          | Enterobacter aerogenes KCTC 2190 | W8       | KC434993          | Bacillus sp. PR 1.7       |
|                | A24       | KC434977          | Cellulosimicrobium sp. TUT1242 |
| PillBug        | S1        | KC434978          | Bacillus species BB2_1A   | U2        | KC434998          | Bacillus subtilis C1Y001  |
|                | S2        | KC434979          | Bacillus subtilis AQ1     | U3        | KC434999          | Enterobacter species DHM1T |
|                | S3        | KC434980          | Microbacteriaceae bacterium HLB-6 | U4     | KC435000          | Serratia marcescens PS1   |
|                | S4        | KC434981          | Microbacteriaceae bacterium BMC-3 | U5     | KC435001          | Serratia marcescens PS1   |
|                | S5        | KC434982          | Microbacterium oleovorans CCGE2277 | U6     | KC435002          | Serratia marcescens PS1   |
|                | S6        | KC434983          | Microbacterium arborescens DSM | 20754       | KC435003          | Serratia marcescens PS1   |
|                | S7        | KC434984          | Microbacterium arborescens DSM 20754 | U7     | KC435004          | Serratia marcescens PS1   |
|                | S8        | KC434985          | Microbacterium arborescens JB8_2B | U8     | KC435005          | Serratia marcescens PS1   |
Figure 2 | Classification and phylogenetic analysis of natural isolates from the guts of termites, pill-bugs and yellow stem-borers. (A) 16s rDNA sequences of 42 isolates screened for their ability to produce cellulolytic enzymes obtained from the guts of termites (●), pill bugs (○) and yellow stem borers (■) were used to perform blast searches to identify their nearest neighbors, and MEGA5 was used to construct the phylogenetic tree. The natural isolates were found to belong to five families. (B) Relative abundance of various bacterial families in the guts of these three arthropods.
secreted from strains A11 and A21 also hydrolysed pNPC, indicating that these strains might produce either cellobiohydrolase or processive endoglucanase (Figure 5B). None of the cell bound fractions from any of the strains hydrolysed pNPC. Among β-glucosidase producers, a distinct set of strains exhibited extracellular and cell-associated β-glucosidase activity (Figure 5A and Figure 6B). Only three strains, A11, A19 and U8, produced extracellular β-xylosidase (Figure 5C). The remaining xylanase producers expressed the β-xylosidase enzyme intracellularly (Figure 6C).

Cellulase and hemicellulase production were further evaluated using a zymogram. We selected 18 strains from the CMC positive batch and tested the extracellular enzymatic activity by a zymogram. The strains that secreted high quantities of cellulase, A11, A17, A18, A21, A22, U8 and U9 (Figure 4A), also showed significant clearance zones on the zymogram (Figure 7A). Most of the strains secreted cellulases in the range of 72–130 kDa, and a few secreted cellulases in the range of 43–53 kDa. The zymogram results were somewhat ambiguous for xylanase activity. Although 16 of the 18 strains tested by zymogram exhibited significant xylanase activity in the liquid culture assay (Figure 4B), only 5 strains showed xylanolytic activity on the zymogram (Figure 7B). The samples of these 5 strains contained high molecular weight bands, possibly protein oligomers, that could not be resolved by the gel. Similar problems might have occurred with other xylanase positive strains, thus preventing the detection of activity by the zymogram. Four strains, A10, A12, T4 and W7, exhibited xylanase activity at ~55 kDa, while strain S7 exhibited xylanase activity at ~100 kDa (Figure 7B).

**Kinetic properties of pNPC hydrolysing cellulases.** Two strains, A11 and A21, displayed enzymatic activities against pNPC, indicating that they were secreting either cellobiohydrolase or processive endoglucanase. Therefore, we further characterised the enzymes present in these strains by partially purifying extracellular fractions via ultrafiltration using a 10-kDa cut-off membrane and then using that preparation to analyse their kinetic properties. The enzyme prepared in this way from the A11 strain exhibited a 4-fold higher activity at the saturating substrate concentration compared to the A21 strain (Figure 8A and 8B). The $K_m$ and $V_{max}$ values calculated from the Hanes Woolf plot (Figure 8C) were 8.47 mM and 263 nmol/min for A11, respectively, and 7.06 mM and 158 nmol/min for A21, respectively. These parameters suggest that although the enzyme preparations from both microbes exhibit similar substrate affinities, the enzyme preparation from A11 has a 1.7 times higher catalytic efficiency than A21.

**Discussion**

Finding optimal enzymes for biomass hydrolysis remains a challenge for scientists developing methods of lignocellulosic ethanol
Figure 4 | Quantitative assessment of the enzymatic activities of extracellular fractions against amorphous polysaccharides. All bacterial strains that were found to be cellulase positive by the agar plate assay were grown in liquid medium, and their extracellular fractions were tested for the hydrolysis of various substrates. Each graph indicates the substrate. Black bars represent strains that exhibited significantly higher activities compared to the negative controls, while white bars represent negative strains. The data represent the average and standard deviation of two different assays.
Quantitative assessment of the enzymatic activities of extracellular fractions against chromomeric substrates and rice straw. All bacterial strains that were found to be cellulase positive by the agar plate assay were grown in liquid medium, and their extracellular fractions were tested for the hydrolysis of various substrates. Each graph indicates the substrate. Black bars represent strains that exhibited significantly higher activities compared to the negative controls, while white bars represent negative strains. The data represent the average and standard deviation of two different assays.
Figure 6 | Quantitative assessment of the enzymatic activities of the cell-bound fractions. All bacterial strains that were found to be cellulase positive by the agar plate assay were grown in liquid medium, the cells were lysed by sonication, and the lysed cell samples were tested for the hydrolysis of various substrates. Each graph indicates the substrate. Black bars represent strains that exhibited significantly higher activities compared to the negative controls, while white bars represent negative strains. The data represent the average and standard deviation of two different assays.
production. Nature has created reservoirs of catalysts that perform this function in a variety of niches. We have attempted to mine these biological reservoirs by selecting arthropods from three diverse niches and screening for microbes capable of biomass hydrolysis in their guts. While other methodologies such as metagenomics and metatranscriptomics are becoming popular approaches to screen for biomass hydrolysing enzymes, they are often associated with issues of low heterologous expression yield and protein misfolding. Our approach of identifying culturable microbes with biomass hydrolysing capabilities has the advantage of studying the enzyme characteristics in both homologous and heterologous hosts.

This study has revealed significant knowledge regarding the diversity of microbes existing in the guts of arthropods and their roles in biomass degradation. Among the arthropods we selected for this study, termites are the best-studied arthropods in terms of cellulolytic microbes, although the majority of the cellulolytic microbes that have been identified are difficult to culture in the lab. No previous studies have reported on the nature of cellulolytic microbes existing in the guts of pill-bugs and yellow stem borers. We found that greater than 60% of the cellulolytic microbes isolated from the guts of termites and pill-bugs belonged to the Bacillaceae family, while greater than 75% of the cellulolytic microbes isolated from the guts of yellow stem borers belonged to the Microbacteriaceae and Enterobacteriaceae families. These differences in the microbial flora reflected differences in the food habits of the arthropods. While termites and pill-bugs were collected from decomposed trees and litter, yellow stem borer larvae were collected from rice plants at the time of seeding. The pill-bug gut also contained Cellulosimicrobium sp., a known natural producer of cellulases and xylanases.

Hydrolytic enzymes have been reported in both the extracellular and cell-bound fractions. More often, the enzymes hydrolysing amorphous polysaccharides are secreted, while those hydrolysing crystalline substrates are found in the membrane-bound fraction of the bacteria. Enzymes hydrolysing dimeric sugars into monomeric forms can be found in both fractions. Therefore, we selected substrates to analyse the hydrolysing enzymes present in both the extracellular and cell-bound fractions. The majority of the natural isolates were expected to produce CMCases as they were selected on CMC containing agar plates. Among a total of 42 selected strains, 17 secreted significant amounts of endocellulase. The remaining strains did not pass the stringency criteria of the liquid assay. Most of the CMCase producing strains also secreted hydrolytic enzymes for other amorphous substrates. We found a large number of strains producing cell-bound enzymes to hydrolyse dimeric substrates, although few strains also secreted these enzymes into the extracellular medium. Few strains demonstrated significant Avicelase activity in the cell-bound fraction or pNPC activity in the extracellular fraction, indicating the presence of hydrolytic enzymes against crystalline substrates.

Enzyme production by the major CMCase and xylanase producers was further confirmed by performing zymograms. A number of enzymes have been reported in the literature with CMCase activity in the ranges of 40 kDa and 90 kDa and xylanase activity in the range of 60 kDa. The xylanase activity with a much higher molecular mass is intriguing and could represent oligomers.

In conclusion, the results of this study provide insight into the presence of diverse microbial flora in the guts of three arthropods differing in their food habits and into the roles of these floras in the production.
Collection of host organisms. Approximately 25 wood-feeding worker termites and litter-feeding pill bugs were collected from different locations of ICGB, New Delhi, India, and 25 yellow stem-borer insect larvae were collected from the paddy fields of the Biotechnological Research Experiments field, Raipur University, Chhattisgarh, India during the month of October, 2011. The guts of the insects were processed to isolate microbes, and host DNA was isolated from the carcasses for species identification.

Screening for cellulase producing bacteria. To isolate gut microbes, the insects were first pre-chilled on ice for 2–3 min, followed by surface sterilisation with 70% ethanol for 1 min. All the dissections were performed in the laminar flow cabinet. Guts were carefully removed with the help of aseptic needles and placed in a 1.5 ml sterile microfuge tube containing 100 µl of ice-cold buffered saline solution (pH 7.2)\(^{18}\). The guts were then homogenised using a micropestle, and the suspension was serially diluted from 10\(^{-1}\) to 10\(^{-7}\), plated on Tryptic Soya agar (TSA) plates containing 0.5% CMC and 0.1% trypan blue, and incubated for 72 h at 30°C. Isolated bacterial colonies showing clearance zones on the plates were further confirmed to produce cellulase by spreading on a TSA-CMC plate and observing the clearance zone via the Congo-red method\(^{26}\), and the results of this experiment were then used to select colonies for further characterisation. To isolate anaerobic bacteria, all dissections and platings were performed under anaerobic conditions in an anaerobic chamber (Shel Lab).

Identification of microbial and arthropod families. All the bacterial strains showing clearance zones were streaked onto TSA plates and sent to Macrogen for 16S rDNA sequencing. Phylogenetic analysis was performed based on the blast results of the 16S rDNA sequence. The evolutionary history was inferred using the Neighbor-Joining method\(^{27}\). The evolutionary distances were computed using the Kimura 2-parameter method\(^{27}\), and evolutionary analyses were conducted in MEGA5\(^{28}\). The host species were identified using the molecular marker gene mitochondrial CO-I based on the previously described method\(^{26}\). Genomic DNA from the host organisms was isolated by the conventional hexadecyl-trimethyl-ammonium bromide (CTAB) method\(^{27}\), and the universal DNA primers LCO1490 (5'-gtgcatcaaaatatgatagg-3') and HCO2198 (5'-taactcagggtgaccaaaaaatca-3') were used to amplify the 710-bp region of the mitochondrial CO-I gene. The PCR product was analysed on a 1% agarose gel in the presence of ethidium bromide, purified using a gel extraction kit (Genetix) and sent to Macrogen for sequencing. The nucleotide sequences were then used in a Blast search to identify the arthropod families. The arthropod families were further confirmed by morphological identification\(^{31,32}\).

Cultivation of microbes under laboratory conditions. Microbial strains isolated from the guts of insects were grown in Tryptic soya broth medium supplemented with 1% alkali pretreated rice straw at 37°C for 24 h. To grow natural isolates under anaerobic conditions, the cultures were grown at 37°C for 72 h in anaerobic chambers. After the cell growth reached the stationary phase, the culture broth was centrifuged at 8000 × g for 20 min, and the supernatant was used as the source of extracellular enzymes. The cells were re-suspended in sodium phosphate buffer (pH 7.0) and lysed either by sonication in the case of aerobically grown cultures or by glass beads inside the anaerobic chamber in the case of anaerobically grown cultures. The resulting crude lysate was used as the cell-bound fraction.

Assays for enzymatic activity. The enzymatic activities of endocellulase (β-1,4-endoglucanase), cellobiohydrolase (β-1,4-exoglucanase), glucosidase (β-1,4-glucosidase), xylanase (β-1,4-endoxylanase), xylidoside (β-1,4-xylidoside), glucanase (β-D-glucanase) and mannanase (β-1,4-mannanase) were assayed using CMC, Avicel and pNPC, pNPG, birchwood xylan, pNPX, barley β-glucan and locust bean gum, respectively. Alkali pre-treated rice straw was also used as a substrate, as it was a potential feedstock for biofuel generation. The enzymatic activities in the supernatant were tested for their ability to hydrolyse CMC, pNPC, pNPG, birchwood xylan, pNPX, barley β-glucan and locust bean gum by the dinitrosalicylic acid (DNSA) method\(^{29}\), and the para-nitrophenol released upon hydrolysis of sugar polymers was measured by the dinitrosalicylic acid (DNSA) method, and the para-nitrophenol released upon the hydrolysis of the chromogenic substrate was measured by monitoring the absorbance at 405 nm\(^{30,31}\). Brieﬂy, a crude enzyme solution (0.125 ml) was mixed with 0.125 ml of a 1% sugar polymer solution in 0.05 M sodium phosphate buffer (pH 7.0) and incubated at 50°C for 30 min. Enzymatic reactions containing Avicel and rice straw as substrates were incubated for 60 min. The reducing sugar produced in these experiments was measured using the DNS reagent at 540 nm\(^{31}\). One unit of enzymatic activity was defined as the amount of enzyme that released 1 mol of reducing sugar from the substrate per minute under the above assay conditions.

For enzymatic assays with chromogenic substrates, 0.125 ml of enzyme sample was mixed with 0.55 ml of 5 mM substrate in 50 mM citrate phosphate buffer (pH 6.0) and incubated at 50°C for 30 min. The enzyme assay with pNPC substrate was set up in the presence of 100 mM glucono-δ-lactone, an inhibitor of β-glucosidase activity, in 0.2 ml volume. The reaction was stopped after incubation by the addition of 1 ml of 2 M Na₂CO₃, and the absorbance of the released p-nitrophenol was measured at 405 nm. One unit of enzymatic activity was defined as the amount of enzyme catalysing the release of 1 μmol of p-nitrophenol per minute under the above assay conditions\(^{32}\).
All assays were performed in duplicate, and the data presented here represent the average of the two readings. E. coli DH5α grown under similar conditions was taken as a negative control for all of the enzymatic assays. The data obtained for the experimental conditions and negative controls were compared in multiple comparisons using Student’s t-test. The statistical significance of differences between various test samples and the control sample were determined using two-tailed unpaired Student’s t-tests. P values ≤0.05 were considered statistically significant.

The kinetic parameters of enzymes produced by strains A11 and A21 against pNPC were determined as follows. The strains were grown in 1 L of TSB medium and were determined using two-tailed unpaired Student’s t-tests. P values ≤0.05 were considered statistically significant.

The kinetic parameters of enzymes produced by strains A11 and A21 against pNPC were determined as follows. The strains were grown in 1 L of TSB medium and were determined using two-tailed unpaired Student’s t-tests. P values ≤0.05 were considered statistically significant.

**Analytical methods.** To assess the CMCase or xylanase activity of the protein by zymograms, the samples were resolved on a 12% SDS-PAGE gel containing either 0.5% (wt/vol) CMC or 0.5% (wt/vol) xylan. After electrophoresis, the gel was washed once with 20% (vol/vol) isopropanol in PBS for 1 min followed by three washes of 20 min each in PBS. The gel was incubated in PBS at 37°C for 1 h, stained with 0.1% (wt/vol) Congo red for 30 min, and destained with 1 M NaCl. Clear bands against the red background indicated CMCase or xylanase activity. Protein concentrations were estimated with the bicinchoninic acid (BCA) protein assay kit (Pierce) using bovine serum albumin as a standard.

**Nucleotide sequence accession numbers.** The nucleotide sequences of 16S rDNA obtained in this study have been deposited in the NCBI gene bank, and the GenBank accession numbers of the bacterial isolates are listed in Table 1.
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