Background: Threonylcarbamoyl-AMP synthase catalyzes formation of the biosynthetic intermediate of a critical tRNA modification, t6A37.

Results: Structural analyses provide insight into the interaction between the substrates ATP and l-threonine and the E. coli enzyme.

Conclusion: The threonylcarbamoyl-AMP synthase binds l-threonine and ATP cooperatively; l-threonine is required for positioning of ATP.

Significance: Mechanistic insights into t6A37 biosynthesis provide an understanding of this complex enzymatic pathway.

The hypermodified nucleoside N6-threonylcarbamoyladenosine (t6A37) is present in many distinct tRNA species and has been found in organisms in all domains of life. This post-transcriptional modification enhances translation fidelity by stabilizing the anticodon/codon interaction in the ribosomal decoding site. The biosynthetic pathway of t6A37 is complex and not well understood. In bacteria, the following four proteins have been discovered to be both required and sufficient for t6A37 modification: TsaC, TsaD, TsaB, and TsaE. Of these, TsaC and TsaD are members of universally conserved protein families. Although TsaC has been shown to catalyze the formation of l-threonylcarbamoyl-AMP, a key intermediate in the biosynthesis of t6A37, the details of the enzymatic mechanism remain unsolved. Therefore, the solution structure of Escherichia coli TsaC was characterized by NMR to further study the interactions with ATP and l-threonine, both substrates of TsaC in the biosynthesis of l-threonylcarbamoyl-AMP. Several conserved amino acids were identified that create a hydrophobic binding pocket for the adenine of ATP. Additionally, two residues were found to interact with l-threonine. Both binding sites are located in a deep cavity at the center of the protein. Models derived from the NMR data and molecular modeling reveal several sites with considerable conformational flexibility in TsaC that may be important for l-threonine recognition, ATP activation, and/or protein/protein interactions. These observations further the understanding of the enzymatic reaction catalyzed by TsaC, a threonylcarbamoyl-AMP synthase, and provide structure-based insight into the mechanism of t6A37 biosynthesis.

An essential aspect of RNA maturation is the post-transcriptional modification of nucleosides, which adds chemical complexity to the four major nucleosides and permits a greater range of functionality. Modified nucleosides are particularly abundant in transfer RNA (tRNA), where over 90 distinct modifications are found across all phylogenetic domains (1). One of the sites with the highest frequency of modification in tRNA and some of the most chemically complex modifications found in any RNA is that of the conserved purine 3′-adjacent to the anticodon at position 37 (2). More than 70% of tRNA species are modified at this site (3). Of these, the universally conserved N6-threonylcarbamoyladenosine (t6A37)3 modification and its derivatives, 2-methylthio-N6-threonylcarbamoyladenosine (ms2t6A37) and N6-methyl-N6-threonylcarbamoyladenosine, are found at the 37-position in nearly all tRNAs that read ANN codons (Fig. 1, A and B) (3, 4). Each of these tRNAs contains a strictly conserved sequence of 36UAA38 in the anticodon stem-loop (3). Both U36 and A37 are essential for modification and A38 enhances the rate of the modification reaction (5). The t6A37 modification has been shown to have an important role in ribosome-mediated codon binding for several tRNA species (6–8), mainly due to its ability to enhance the stability of the anticodon-codon base pairing by creating cross-strand basestacking interactions with the first position of the codon, as depicted in structural analyses of tRNA
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come the low enthalpy of binding for U-A base pairs and tRNA\textsubscript{A\textsubscript{16}}\textsubscript{U\textsubscript{16}} in particular with three U-A base pairs (11). The size and location of t\textsubscript{A\textsubscript{37}} on the Watson-Crick face of the nucleoside negate intra-loop base pairing with the invariant U33, which is critical for the U-turn backbone structure of tRNA. This opens an open structured loop that is more favorable for entry into the ribosome and the binding of the mRNA codon (9, 12). By strengthening the codon/anticodon interaction and ribosome entry, t\textsubscript{A\textsubscript{37}} is believed to participate in the maintenance of the translational reading frame. This is supported by the increase in translational frameshifts in cells lacking the ability to form t\textsubscript{A\textsubscript{37}} (13–15).

Recent studies of the t\textsubscript{A\textsubscript{37}} biosynthesis pathway have identified the following four proteins in Escherichia coli that are necessary and sufficient for \textit{in vitro} formation of the modification: TsaC, TsaD, TsaE, and TsaB, also known as YrdC, YgjD, YjeE, and YeaZ, respectively (16). Two of the four proteins essential to the enzyme complex are unique to bacteria, TsaB and TsaE. The other two, TsaC and TsaD, are members of universal families and are associated with the t\textsubscript{A\textsubscript{37}} pathway in several organisms. The biosynthesis of t\textsubscript{A\textsubscript{37}} has been reconstituted \textit{in vitro} in the bacterial species E. coli and Bacillus subtilis (17) and the eukaryotic and archaean species Saccharomyces cerevisiae and Pyrococcus abyssi, respectively (18, 19). The biosynthesis in S. cerevisiae and \textit{P. abyssi} requires l-threonine, ATP, and CO\textsubscript{2}/HCO\textsubscript{3}\textsuperscript{-}, analogous to bacteria, but five proteins are necessary as follows: Sua5 (the TsaC homolog), Kae1 (the TsaD homolog), Bud32, Pcc1, and Cgi121 (18). The latter four proteins compose the KEOPS complex, a protein complex associated with a variety of physiological phenomena (15, 20–23). While both divalent and monovalent cations are suspected to be essential for this reaction, no systematic analysis has determined their requirement.

Although the proteins involved in t\textsubscript{A\textsubscript{37}} biosynthesis have been identified, and experimental evidence suggests that they function together in a heteromultimeric complex, little is known about the structure of the complexes or the mechanistic contributions of each protein. TsaC, which is central to the biosynthesis of t\textsubscript{A\textsubscript{37}}, is a member of the TsaC/Sua5 protein family. This family has been found in all sequenced genomes to date. However, the essentiality varies between organisms. TsaC is essential in \textit{E. coli}, but Sua5 is not essential in \textit{S. cerevisiae} (24), even though both appear to have similar roles in t\textsubscript{A\textsubscript{37}} biosynthesis. \textit{E. coli} TsaC selectively binds both ATP and l-threonine (24, 25) and is the sole protein of the t\textsubscript{A\textsubscript{37}}-synthesis complex capable of l-threonine-dependent conversion of ATP to AMP (16). More recently, both TsaC and its \textit{B. subtilis} homolog, YwlC, have been shown to catalyze the formation of the activated precursor l-threonylcarbamoyl-AMP (TC-AMP) from l-threonine, ATP, and CO\textsubscript{2}/HCO\textsubscript{3}\textsuperscript{-} (Fig. 1C) (17). This strongly suggests that TsaC is the enzyme responsible for the first step in the mechanism. Subsequently, TC-AMP is transferred to the A37 of the tRNA substrate by TsaB, TsaD, and TsaE (YeaZ, YgjD, and YjeE). Consistent with this model is the observation that the \textit{B. subtilis} homologs of TsaBDE are capable of t\textsubscript{A\textsubscript{37}} biosynthesis in the presence of TC-AMP and tRNA and the absence of YwlC, the TsaC homolog (17). The argument is strengthened by the ability of \textit{P. abyssi} Kae1, the TsaD homolog, to bind TC-AMP and catalyze the transfer to tRNA (26). However, several studies have shown that \textit{E. coli} TsaC selectively binds hypomodified tRNA (24, 25, 27), indicating TsaC may have a more substantial, diverse role in bacteria than in eukaryotes because \textit{S. cerevisiae} Sua5 does not exhibit RNA binding activity (18).

Within the TsaC/Sua5 family, four structures have been solved by x-ray crystallography, including \textit{E. coli} YciO (28), Sulfolobus tokodaii Sua5 (29), \textit{E. coli} HypF (30), and \textit{E. coli} TsaC (27). Each of these structures contains a TsaC domain with a unique folding pattern and the ability to catalyze similar chemistries. However, neither HypF nor YciO are associated with the t\textsubscript{A\textsubscript{37}} pathway. Unfortunately, the TsaC crystal structure lacks several of the C-terminal residues (presumably due to low electron density). In addition, the crystal packing-induced dimerization appears to alter the conformation of functionally relevant regions in the binding of l-threonine, ATP, and protein/protein interactions (27). Here, we sought to characterize the dynamics and structural interactions of TsaC with its substrates to provide insight into the mechanism of action of TsaC. Therefore, structural characterization through solution nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) was employed to observe full-length TsaC under native reaction conditions. As such, we report the high-resolution structure of the monomer and interaction studies with l-threonine and ATP in solution using NMR. We were able to identify several key residues in TsaC that interact with l-threonine and ATP using chemical shift perturbation analyses. These analyses were used to guide molecular docking to provide the first models of interactions between \textit{E. coli} TsaC and ATP and l-threonine. These studies provide insight into how TsaC catalyzes the formation of TC-AMP.

**Experimental Procedures**

\textit{Protein Expression and Purification}—Protein samples were expressed and purified as described previously (16). For iso-
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L-Threonine (Sigma) was added to the protein in ligand to protein ratios of ligand to protein: 0:1, 0.5:1, 1:1, 2:1, 4:1, and 8:1.

To provide some understanding of the mechanism of TC-AMP synthase, we characterized the structure and dynamics of the functional TsaC monomer and its substrate interactions. Based on this structural information, molecular docking was performed between TsaC and ATP and l-threonine.

Structure of E. coli TsaC—The monomeric structure of E. coli TsaC in solution was verified by NMR because there was the

The binding of l-threonine and ATP by TsaC were observed at 298 K on a Bruker Avance III 500 MHz spectrometer equipped with an ultrasensitive triple resonance cryoprobe capable of applying pulsed field gradients along the z axis. The TsaC protein sample was concentrated to 100 μM in a buffer of 90:10% H2O/2H2O, 50 mM potassium phosphate, pH 7.5, 150 mM KCl. ATP (Sigma) was titrated into samples that provided the following molar ratios of ligand to protein: 0:1, 0.5:1, 1:1, 2:1, 4:1, and 8:1. l-Threonine (Sigma) was added to the protein in ligand to protein ratios of 0:1, 1:1, 2:1, and 4:1. A two-dimensional 1H-15N-HSQC experiment was collected at each titration point. Data were processed using NMRPipe (34) and analyzed using SPARKY (35). The total shift change, Δδ_{N, H} for each peak was calculated by \( \sqrt{(\Delta \delta_{N})^2 + (\Delta \delta_{H})^2} \), where \( \Delta \delta_{N} \) and \( \Delta \delta_{H} \) are the chemical shift differences for 1H and 15N, respectively. NMR spectra of the protein in the absence of any ligands were the same although collected under buffer conditions for structural determinations (90:10% H2O/2H2O, 20 mM potassium phosphate, pH 7.0, 100 mM NaCl) that were slightly different in pH and ionic strength from conditions for the protein’s titration with ATP and l-threonine followed by NMR and ITC (90:10% H2O/2H2O, 50 mM potassium phosphate, pH 7.5, 150 mM KCl).

Isothermal Titrination Calorimetry—The interaction of TsaC with ATP was characterized by ITC experiments conducted at 4°C (MicroCal VP-ITC). TsaC was prepared at 100 μM in 50 mM potassium phosphate, pH 7.5, 150 mM KCl. ATP at a concentration of 1 mM in the same buffer was titrated in 10 μl injection volumes into the experimental cell containing TsaC. Titration curves were baseline-subtracted and analyzed by nonlinear least-squares fitting using the MicroCal Origin 5.0 software.

HADDOCK Docking Procedure—Default HADDOCK (high ambiguity driven docking) (43) parameters were used throughout all docking procedures. Active and passive residues (Table 3) with solvent accessibility >50% calculated by NACCESS (44) were assigned from TsaC NMR titration studies. Passive residues were defined as all other residues with 50% solvent accessibility. The adenosine moiety of the ATP molecule was defined as the active portion, and the phosphate closest to the adenosine moiety was defined as passive. The remaining phosphate moieties were not actively involved in the interaction between ATP and TsaC. One thousand structures were generated per iteration, and the 200 lowest energy structures were water refined. Each docking attempt was performed 10 times, and the solution with the lowest HADDOCK score was retained. The root-mean-square deviation (r.m.s.d.) values of the complexes were calculated using the McLachlan algorithm (45) as implemented in ProFit (46). A cluster analysis was performed on the final docking solutions using a minimum cluster size of four. The cutoff for clustering was manually determined for each docking run. The r.m.s.d. matrix was calculated over the backbone atoms of the interface residues.

Results

TsaC has been shown to interact with three small substrates, l-threonine (25), ATP (24), and CO2/HCO3− (17), as well as hypomodified tRNA (24, 25, 27), TsaD and TsaB (16). The synthesis of TC-AMP, the central role of TsaC in 4A\textsubscript{r} biosynthesis, requires a number of intermolecular interactions and enzymatic activity. These interactions have yet to be fully characterized structurally and mechanistically, and consequently, the precise details of this enzymatic biosynthesis are unknown. To provide some understanding of the mechanism of TC-AMP synthase, we characterized the structure and dynamics of the functional TsaC monomer and its substrate interactions. Based on this structural information, molecular docking was performed between TsaC and ATP and l-threonine.

Structure of E. coli TsaC—The monomeric structure of E. coli TsaC in solution was verified by NMR because there was the
possibility of dimerization as had been observed in the crystal structure but contrary to monomers observed in solution by dynamic light scattering (27). The application of a pulsed field gradient method for analysis of diffusion (47) confirmed that the protein was a monomer under NMR conditions (data not shown). The $^{15}$N-$^{13}$C-TsaC displayed excellent spectral dispersion of resonances for a 20.6-kDa protein in a two-dimensional $^1$H-$^{15}$N-transverse relaxation optimized spectroscopy spectrum (Fig. 2). Therefore, conventional heteronuclear multidimensional NMR methods were utilized to assign $^1$H, $^{15}$N, and $^{13}$C backbone and side chain resonances of isotope-labeled *E. coli* TsaC. Most amide peaks were present in the $^1$H-$^{15}$N-HSQC and were assigned with the exception of Asn-2, Asn-3, Val-118, and Ser-139. In total, the molecule was 87% assigned with 98% assignment of the backbone, including HN, N, Cα, and Cζ, and 79% assignment of the side chains. Using these assignments, sequential connectivities and distances between intramolecular protons were determined for construction of a high-resolution solution structure of TsaC.

The 20 lowest energy, water-refined structures were determined using a total of 1869 distance restraints with 656 sequential, 444 medium range, and 379 long range restraints. The structures contained zero NOE and hydrogen bond violations (Table 1; Fig. 3). The TsaC structures have an average backbone r.m.s.d. of 0.79 Å and an average heavy atom r.m.s.d. of 1.35 Å for residues comprising regions of secondary structure. The
The E. coli TsaC structure has an α/β twisted open-sheet structure with parallel and antiparallel adjacent β-strands, consistent with other members of the family (Fig. 3A). The structure includes seven α-helices and seven β-strands connected by 13 loop regions. The β-strands are aligned in the center of the protein and helically twist 180° from β1 to β7. The C terminus exhibits considerable conformational flexibility, suggesting an enzymatically relevant role. At the center of the protein there is a deep cavity of hydrophobic character lined with positive surface potential, providing potential binding surfaces (Fig. 3) and enzymatic relevance. At the center of the protein there is a sharp cavity of hydrophobic character lined with positive surface potential, providing potential binding surfaces (Fig. 3D) and enzymatic relevance. At the center of the protein there is a deep cavity of hydrophobic character lined with positive surface potential, providing potential binding surfaces (Fig. 3D) and enzymatic relevance.

**Fig. 3. TsaC NMR solution structure.** A, 20 lowest energy structures of E. coli TsaC characterized by NMR. B, hydrophobic residues (orange) of the TsaC NMR solution structure. C, electrostatic potential of the TsaC NMR solution structure, where blue and red denote positive and negative charges, respectively. D, comparison of the TsaC crystal (Protein Data Bank code 1HRU) and NMR-solved TsaC structures. The loop width is directly proportional to the differences in Cα r.m.s.d. between the two structures. Small to large differences in Cα r.m.s.d. are colored red to white to blue. Spheres denote ATP (solid box) and L-threonine (dotted box)-binding sites. The solid box also represents the crystal packing dimerization interface of the crystal structure. All structures are in the same orientation.

Ramachandran analysis indicates the reported structure of TsaC is of good quality; of all the residues in the ensemble of 20 structures, 95.3% are in the allowed Ramachandran space or better. The residues that are in the generously allowed (4.1%) or the disallowed (0.6%) regions reside either in loop areas of the protein or regions of no RDC restraints due to spectral overlap (Table 1).

### Table 1

**TsaC NMR and refinement statistics**

| NMR experimental restraints | Total NOE | Intra-residue | Inter-residue | Sequential (i–i = 1) | Medium range (i–i < 4) | Long range (i–i > 5) | Hydrogen bonds | Total dihedral angle restraints | ϕ | ψ | 15N-1H residual dipolar couplings |
|----------------------------|----------|---------------|---------------|---------------------|-----------------------|--------------------|----------------|-------------------------------|---|---|-------------------------------|
| Distance restraints        | 1869     | 390           | 1479          | 656                 | 444                   | 379                | 99             | 98                            | 89 | 66 | 0.111                         |
| R.m.s.d. from solution NMR restraints | 0.061 ± 0.001 | 0.599 ± 0.066 | 0.111 ± 0.020 | Backbone (N, Cα, C, O) (Å) | 0.792 ± 0.148 | Heavy atoms (Å) | 1.355 ± 0.132 | Most favored | 77.4% |
| Dihedral angle restraints (°) | 0.006 ± 0.0001 | 0.746 ± 0.007 | 0.504 ± 0.010 | RDC quality factor | 0.182 ± 0.006 |
| RDC restraints (Hz) | 0.132        | 0.007           | 0.006           | | 0.001               | 0.006             | 0.007          | 0.148             | 0.111          | |
| Imaging quality factor | 0.010            | 0.010           | 0.010           | | Total NOE | 1869          | Intra-residue | 390           | Inter-residue | 1479          | Sequential (i–i = 1) | 656                 | Medium range (i–i < 4) | 444                   | Long range (i–i > 5) | 379                | Hydrogen bonds | 99             | Total dihedral angle restraints | 98                            | 89 | 66 | 0.111                         |

*Ramachandran analysis was calculated according to the method of Clore and Garrett (48). The secondary elements used were as follows: residues 4–19, 40–48, 65–71, 79–86, 105–109, 123–131, 151–158, 23–25, 32–35, 59–62, 94–97, 114–117, 135–138, and 177–180.

**Data from titration of the protein with L-threonine were incorporated into molecular modeling studies for the interaction between L-threonine and TsaC.** HADDOCK (43) was used to develop a structural model of the binding of L-threonine from the NMR structure of TsaC, and active and passive residues with solvent accessibility >50% were assigned from TsaC NMR titration studies (Fig. 4, C and D; Table 3). A plot of the Ebinding (interaction energy) and the sum of restraint, van der Waals, and electrostatic energy terms, as a function of backbone r.m.s.d. from the lowest energy model, reveal that the models converge to a Cα (protein) and Cα (L-threonine) r.m.s.d. of 0.5 ± 0.7 Å at the defined protein/L-threonine inter-
The potential for the protein’s binding of \( \text{l}-\text{threonine} \) and \( \text{ATP} \) to include conformational changes prompted us to investigate the structural dynamics of
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Backbone Dynamics of TsaC—The potential for the protein’s binding of \( \text{l}-\text{threonine} \) and \( \text{ATP} \) to include conformational changes prompted us to investigate the structural dynamics of

located in \( \beta_3 \), and Leu-114 and Ala-115 are within \( \beta_5 \), but they are all situated on one side of the central protein cavity (Fig. 5D). The perturbations of these chemical shifts with the addition of \( \text{ATP} \) suggest that these amino acids are in direct contact with \( \text{ATP} \), or are indirectly affected by the environmental changes produced by \( \text{ATP} \) binding or through conformational rearrangement of the protein.

As with the TsaC-l-threonine modeled complex, the ATP titration data were used to direct molecular modeling of the NMR-derived structure of \( \text{E. coli} \) TsaC with \( \text{ATP} \) using HADDOCK (Table 3). The \( E_{\text{inter}} \) was plotted as a function of backbone r.m.s.d. from the lowest energy model. The models converged to a \( \text{Ca} \) (protein) and \( \text{C} + \text{P} \) (ATP) r.m.s.d. of 0.6 ± 1.3 Å at the defined protein/ATP interface with an average buried surface area of 481 ± 168 Å². Nine clusters of structures with low r.m.s.d. and energy were obtained for all calculated models based on a minimum cluster size of four models and a \( \text{Ca} \) (TsaC) and \( \text{C} + \text{P} \) (ATP) r.m.s.d. of 7.5 Å. Of the resulting models in each cluster, the first cluster contained 62% of the total structures calculated indicating a high degree of convergence. The lowest energy structure in this cluster depicts the ATP-bound TsaC in direct contact only with the adenosine, with the phosphates outside of the binding pocket. As seen in the \( \text{\text{H-^{15}N-HSQC}} \) experiments, the docking results depict aliphatic residues in the region of \( \beta_3 \) and \( \beta_5 \) strands creating a hydrophobic pocket for adenosine.

Finally, the TsaC-l-threonine-ATP complex was modeled using the lowest energy TsaC-l-threonine structure and docking ATP (Table 3). In plotting the \( E_{\text{inter}} \) as a function of backbone r.m.s.d. (Fig. 6) from the lowest energy model, the models converged to a \( \text{Ca} \) (protein) and \( \text{C} + \text{P} \) (ATP) r.m.s.d. of 0.3 ± 0.5 Å at the defined protein/ATP interface with an average buried surface area of 644 ± 74 Å². Three clusters of structures (Fig. 6) with low r.m.s.d. and energy were obtained for all calculated models based on a minimum cluster size of four models and a \( \text{Ca} \) (TsaC) and \( \text{C} + \text{P} \) (ATP) r.m.s.d. of 7.5 Å. Of the resulting models in each cluster, the lowest energy structure in the third cluster best characterizes the interaction (Fig. 7A). However, this model differs noticeably from the TsaC/ATP structure in the positioning of the ligand. The adenosine of ATP is in a similar conformation, but the phosphates are now flipped into the binding pocket. So, it appears that the presence of \( \text{l}-\text{threonine} \) provides a more favorable environment for the phosphates to enter the binding pocket, indicating that \( \text{l}-\text{threonine} \) may be required for ATP binding and AMP formation. In the comparison of the TsaC-l-threonine-ATP-modeled complex to the co-crystal structure of \( \text{S. tokodaii} \) Sua5 with \( \text{l}-\text{threonine} \) and AMP-PNP (Fig. 7B), several similarities and differences can be observed. For instance, the location of ATP in the binding pocket is similar and agrees quite well, but the orientation is slightly different. The difference in orientation could be the result of a comparison between AMP-PNP in the crystal and ATP of the NMR study. In both structures, the functionally important amino group of the substrate \( \text{l}-\text{threonine} \) is positioned near ATP in the same orientation.

Backbone Dynamics of TsaC—The potential for the protein’s binding of \( \text{l}-\text{threonine} \) and \( \text{ATP} \) to include conformational changes prompted us to investigate the structural dynamics of

FIGURE 4. Binding of \( \text{l}-\text{threonine} \) and TsaC in \( \text{H-^{15}N-HSQC} \) spectra. Spectra of the various protein/ligand ratios are overlaid as follows: 1:0 (gray), 1:1 (yellow), 1:2 (purple), and 1:4 (black). A, \( \text{\text{H-^{15}N-HSQC}} \) region containing Ser-176. At a 1:4 ratio, the resonance has completely disappeared. B, \( \text{\text{H-^{15}N-HSQC}} \) displaying the signal for Thr-27 weakens due to exchange with each addition of \( \text{l}-\text{threonine} \). C, lowest energy modeled structure of \( \text{l}-\text{threonine} \) (magenta) with the E. coli TsaC structure from cluster 1. Residues Thr-27 and Ser-176 (cyan) and Asn-174 and Gly-167 (green) are highlighted. D, local structure of the \( \text{l}-\text{threonine} \) binding site with Thr-27 and Ser-176 (cyan).
TsaC. Backbone r.m.s.d. values were calculated for individual residues among the 10 lowest energy structures using MOLMOL (51) to identify regions of localized high and low r.m.s.d. values (Fig. 8A). Several regions of high local r.m.s.d. were observed. Loop regions and both termini exhibited high r.m.s.d. values compared with the average, which is common for all protein structures due to the range of motion at the termini. However, residues 186−190, at the C terminus, had considerably higher values than typically observed. This is

| TABLE 2 | Thermodynamic parameters of TsaC binding |
|----------|----------------------------------------|
| **ATP**  |                                        |
| Stoichiometry (n) | 1.07 ± 0.022 |
| $K_a$ (M$^{-1}$) | $(6.83 ± 0.92) \times 10^4$ |
| $K_d$ (µM) | 14.8 ± 2.0 |
| $\Delta H$ (cal/mol) | $-440.6 ± 13.6$ |
| $\Delta S$ (cal/(K·mol)) | 20.5 |
| $\Delta G$ (kcal/mol) | 5.678 |
| $\Delta G$ (kcal/mol) | $-6.12 ± 0.01$ |

FIGURE 5. Interaction of TsaC with ATP. A, ITC of TsaC with ATP. The baseline-corrected data of the exothermic reaction (top) and the integrated heat changes (bottom) were obtained upon the titration of ATP into TsaC. The solid line represents the data fit to a nonlinear least squared isotherm fitting using a 1:1 binding model. B, overlaid $^1$H-$^{15}$N-HSQC spectra of TsaC titrated with ATP. The chemical shifts with the greatest changes are labeled and marked with colored arrows corresponding to the chemical shift perturbation profile. C, chemical shift perturbation profile of the combined amide chemical shift changes for each TsaC residue at the final titration point (1:8 molar ratio of TsaC/ATP). D, local structure of E. coli TsaC with residues Ile-59, Gly-109, Leu-114, Ala-115, Arg-188, and Gln-189 highlighted in colors corresponding to the graph.
reflected in the ensemble of lowest energy structures and the sparse data for the C terminus in the NMR spectra (Fig. 3A). Pro-165–Glu-177, located in the long loop that connects the α7 helix to β7 strand, had the highest individual r.m.s.d. values of the internal residues. This region contains the putative binding site for L-threonine and is one of the regions that displays the largest difference between the crystal and NMR structures (Fig. 3D). This difference is suggestive of a dynamic role in binding that is observable by NMR.

To verify that the high local r.m.s.d. values result from inherent dynamics and are not due to sparse restraints, TsaC backbone dynamics were determined using steady-state heteronuclear 1H-15N-NOE experiments (35). On the nanosecond time scale, the heteronuclear NOE values were indicative of a lack of backbone motion for most regions (Fig. 8B). The C terminus exhibited the greatest range of motion with residues Arg-188 and Gln-189 having two of the lowest NOE values. The Gly-190 signal completely disappeared resulting in a negative NOE. The N-terminal residues Leu-5–Arg-7 also had a lower average NOE, indicative of backbone dynamics. Additionally, residues Gly-167 and Asn-174 exhibited increased conformational flexibility. Both of these are located in the long loop of TsaC that connects α7 to β7, flanking the location of the L-threonine-binding site (Fig. 4C).

The backbone dynamics correlate with the r.m.s.d. values that were calculated for the NMR-derived structures. This indicates that the most dynamic regions, specifically the C-terminal residues and the α7-β7 loop, may be important for protein function such as the coordination of L-threonine binding with ATP binding. To test this experimentally, a heteronuclear NOE experiment was conducted in which TsaC was titrated with ATP (Fig. 8C). The addition of ATP induced noteworthy changes to a few amino acids. Overall, the C terminus remained dynamic upon the addition of ATP, but several other resonances were affected. Ile-59, Ala-63, Tyr-131, Arg-110, and Gly-144 exhibited greater conformational dynamics, whereas Asn-174, Phe-111, Ala-115, Glu-47, and Gly-167 became more structured in the presence of ATP. Of these, Ile-59 and Ala-115 were the two most affected by the titration with ATP in this experiment. This is consistent with the amide chemical shift changes observed in the 1H-15N-HSQC spectra. Combining the results of these datasets provides evidence that Ile-59 and Ala-115 could be essential for the binding of ATP by TsaC.

### Discussion

The ψA nucleoside modification, found 3′-adjacent to the anticodon in ANN-decoding tRNAs across all domains of life, is essential to translational fidelity. Of the four proteins in *E. coli*
that have been found to be required for the biosynthesis of t6A, TsaC has been the most extensively studied in the context of this modification pathway, and it is essential to t6A37 formation and cell viability (24). More recently, TsaC has been shown to function as a threonylcarbamoyl-AMP synthase by catalyzing TC-AMP formation from L-threonine, CO2/HCO3\textsuperscript{-}, and ATP (17). Here, insights into the mechanism of TsaC function have been achieved by utilizing an NMR-derived structure, biochemical data, and molecular modeling.

The high-resolution solution structure of the full-length protein is present in solution as a monomer with a large hydrophobic binding pocket (Fig. 3). The structure is consistent with all protein structures solved to date in the TsaC/Sua5 family, such as YciO (28), Sua5 (29), and HypF (30). They all have an α/β twisted open-sheet topology with parallel and antiparallel adjacent β-strands and a central concave cavity lined with positive electrostatic potential. In relation to the TsaC crystal structure, the NMR-derived structure of \textit{E. coli} TsaC is generally homologous to one subunit of the homodimer (27). Some differences, likely caused by the crystal packing, are observed and obscure structural information in the substrate-binding regions in the crystal structure (Fig. 3D). In particular, the dimerization interface affects the C terminus of TsaC, which appears to be important for substrate/protein and protein/protein interactions.

The mechanism by which TsaC catalyzes the formation of TC-AMP appears to include conformational changes in the protein with the binding of the substrates. Using NMR titration analyses, we were able to observe and track these changes upon binding of L-threonine and ATP. The titration data with TsaC and L-threonine suggest that Thr-27 and Ser-176 in \textit{E. coli} TsaC are involved in substrate binding. Both of these residues are conserved in the TsaC/Sua5 protein family (Fig. 9), suggesting that they may be important for function. In the co-crystal structure of \textit{S. tokodaii} Sua5 with L-threonine, the corresponding residues, Thr-34 and Ser-182, both interact with L-threonine (50). \textit{S. tokodaii} Sua5 Thr-34 forms a hydrophobic environment for the γ-carbon of l-threonine, and Ser-182 forms two hydrogen bonds with the carboxyl oxygen (50). From our molecular docking studies, we observe Ser-176 coordinating l-threonine in a similar fashion. However, TsaC Thr-27 appears to be making a hydrogen bond with the hydroxyl of the substrate (Fig. 4). This could be significant to differences in coordination of
L-threonine or possibly an artifact of the molecular docking process.

The binding of ATP by TsaC observed in the $^3$H-$^{15}$N-HSQC spectra indicates a binding site within the putative catalytic pocket. The residues with the greatest changes in amide chemical shifts were the hydrophobic amino acids Gly-109, Leu-114, Ala-115, and Ile-59 (Fig. 5). The E. coli TsaC residues Lys-56, Leu-58–Ile-59–Leu-60 and Ser-113–Leu-114–Ala-115–Val-116–Arg-117 are conserved across this family (Fig. 9). These residues have been shown to surround the adenine-binding site in co-crystal structures of both E. coli HypF (middle domain, residues 188–378) and S. tokodaii Sua5 with the nonhydrolysable ATP analog AMP-PNP (30, 50). For HypF, the adenine is buried in a hydrophobic environment between Leu-277 and Pro-249, and it forms weak hydrogen bonds with Glu-296 and Arg-372 (30). Pro-249 near the ATP-binding site in HypF is not conserved in this family of proteins and is not present in TsaC. Sua5 coordinates the adenosine with hydrophobic residues Ile-66, Val-101, Ala-120, and Ile-184 (50). When TsaC is aligned with the HypF and Sua5 structures, Ile-59, Ala-115, and Arg-188 (resonances that were all greatly affected during the titration with ATP) correspond to the residues in the other two proteins that are important for the binding of the adenosine. To examine this further, HADDOCK was used to dock ATP to the E. coli TsaC structure to model the binding mode. The lowest energy TsaC:ATP structure resulting from the docking simulation placed the adenosine in the hydrophobic region of Leu-114, Ala-115, and Ile-59. Thus, the observation that these residues in TsaC are affected by titration with ATP appears to be caused by the binding of adenine. Gly-109 in TsaC was also affected by ATP binding, yet it is located in the loop between β4 and β5, a region of the protein not in direct contact with ATP. Therefore, we postulate that ATP binding may cause a conformational change in this loop. However, no significant conformational change is observed in the lowest energy docking model.

It is possible that the TsaC forms contacts with ligands in addition to those observable by NMR using the $^3$H-$^{15}$N-HSQC analysis. The KXR/SXN ATP-binding motif present in TsaC is conserved throughout the TsaC/Sua5 family (24). This motif is important for the coordination of the phosphates of ATP in S. tokodaii Sua5 (50), but no significant changes in chemical shifts were detected for Lys-50, Arg-52, or Asn-141 (Ser-139 was unobservable) in our experiments with E. coli TsaC. ATP hydrolysis, dynamics, or H$_2$O exchange are reasonable explanations for not observing the binding of the phosphates. Therefore, we repeated the titration using the nonhydrolysable ATP analog AMP-PNP used in the crystallography of S. tokodaii Sua5 and E. coli HypF. However, TsaC residues Lys-50, Arg-52, and Asn-141 remained unaffected in our NMR studies (data not shown). This indicates that the inability to observe interactions of TsaC with the phosphates of ATP was not due to hydrolysis of the phosphates. To further investigate the interaction of TsaC with the ATP phosphates, the TsaC/ATP interaction was modeled, and it was revealed that the phosphate atoms were not in contact with any part of TsaC. The lack of contact between the two entities explains the unaffected KXR/SXN residues in the $^3$H-$^{15}$N-HSQC experiments.

Perhaps TsaC requires the L-threonine to properly coordinate ATP in the active site. To test this idea and to further understand the mechanism employed by TsaC for the synthesis of TC-AMP, we added L-threonine to the TsaC/ATP NMR sample. No additional $^3$H-$^{15}$N-HSQC chemical shift changes were observed (data not shown). Therefore, the ability of TsaC to bind both substrates simultaneously, and possibly cooperatively...
Threonylcarbamoyl-AMP Synthase Substrate Interactions

...tively, was unclear. The binding of ATP could require the binding of \(1\)-threonine to occur first, providing the contact surface area for ATP to bind in the correct conformation. As seen in the SuA5 structure (50), contacts are observed between ATP and the \(1\)-threonine with the \(1\)-threonine buried further into the SuA5 binding cavity (Fig. 7B). To investigate this possibility, the TsaC/\(1\)-threonine modeled structure was docked to ATP (Fig. 7A). The lowest energy structure of this modeled interaction is remarkably similar to that seen in the SuA5 crystal structure (Fig. 7) (45). In fact, the phosphates of ATP are positioned in close enough proximity to Lys-50 and Ser-139 of the KXR/SXN ATP-binding motif to suggest coordination. This compellingly suggests that the recognition of ATP is dependent on the presence of \(1\)-threonine. Indeed, it has been shown that TsaC forms AMP only in the presence of \(1\)-threonine and bicarbonate (16). However, the binding order and kinetics of this reaction are unknown, and more investigation into this is necessary.

*E. coli* TsaC contains sites that are highly dynamic, particularly the C terminus. Both the heteronuclear NOEs and the r.m.s.d. values from the 10 lowest energy structures depict considerable flexibility for Gly-167–Gly-190 (Fig. 8). The Gly-167–Gly-190 region may function in protein/protein interactions with the other \(6\)A-synthase subunits, TsaD, TsaE, and TsaB, or as an arm capable of folding into the concave binding pocket. The presence of two consecutive glycines at 170–171 indicates that the Gly-167–Gly-190 region may function as a hinge enabling the C terminus to act as a gate during substrate binding. Both Arg-188 and Gln-189 display a high degree of conformational motion relative to the other residues and also are affected by ATP binding. The conformational dynamics of Arg-188 and Gln-189 are consistent with the hypothesis that the C terminus acts a flexible linker or arm that may participate in the binding of ATP or interact with other proteins. HypF is composed of domains homologous to TsaC and TsaD/Kae1. In fact, the TsaC domain of HypF (residues 188–378) is linked directly to the TsaD domain (residues 379–746) (30) suggesting a direct interaction of the C terminus of TsaC with TsaD in \(6\)A biosynthesis. There are clear structural differences between the TsaC crystal and NMR structures with the greatest difference at this exact location (Fig. 3). Because we wished to visualize the C terminus of TsaC in our studies, it was important to use the NMR-derived structure of TsaC and to perform a blind docking of the TsaC/\(1\)-threonine/ATP modeled interaction.

In conclusion, the study presented here provides valuable information about the mechanism of TsaC in \(6\)A biosynthesis. The identification of TsaC residues that are affected by the binding of ATP and \(1\)-threonine provides sites at which a future in-depth mutational analysis coupled to ITC and NMR experiments could confirm the importance of individual amino acids, and/or their properties, for binding. *E. coli* TsaC binds both ATP and \(1\)-threonine adjacent to each other with conserved residues located within the large concave cavity at the center of the structure. The adenosine of ATP is inserted into a hydrophobic environment created by residues within \(\beta3\) and \(\beta5\). The proper coordination of ATP in the binding pocket seems to require the presence of \(1\)-threonine, suggesting a cooperative binding event between these substrates, in which the binding of \(1\)-threonine occurs first. The C-terminal amino acids appear to be important for ATP binding and possibly catalytic activity. A central and compelling issue in the mechanism of TC-AMP formation, not addressed in this work, is whether TsaC utilizes CO\(_2\) or HCO\(_3^{-}\), and how it reacts with \(1\)-threonine to form intermediate \(1\)-threonine carbamate. Further analyses of TsaC with CO\(_2\)/HCO\(_3^{-}\), and the structural interactions of the binding of TsaC with tRNA, TsaD, TsaB, and TsaE, especially in revealing the protein/tRNA and protein/protein interfaces, will provide additional and significant insight into this complex enzymatic process.
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