Proteomic Analysis of Rice Subjected to Low Light Stress and Overexpression of OsGAPB Increases the Stress Tolerance
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Abstract  
Background: Light provides the energy for photosynthesis and determines plant morphogenesis and development. Low light compromises photosynthetic efficiency and leads to crop yield loss. It remains unknown how rice responds to low light stress at a proteomic level. 

Results: In this study, the quantitative proteomic analysis with isobaric tags for relative and absolute quantitation (iTRAQ) was used and 1221 differentially expressed proteins (DEPs) were identified from wild type rice plants grown in control or low light condition (17% light intensity of control), respectively. Bioinformatic analysis of DEPs indicated low light remarkably affects the abundance of chloroplastic proteins. Specifically, the proteins involved in carbon fixation (Calvin cycle), electron transport, and ATPase complex are severely downregulated under low light. Furthermore, overexpression of the downregulated gene encoding rice β subunit of glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (OsGAPB), an enzyme in Calvin cycle, significantly increased the CO₂ assimilation rate, chlorophyll content and fresh weight under low light conditions but have no obvious effect on rice growth and development under control light. 

Conclusion: Our results revealed that low light stress on vegetative stage of rice inhibits photosynthesis possibly by decreasing the photosynthetic proteins and OsGAPB gene is a good candidate for manipulating rice tolerance to low light stress.

Background  
In the natural environment, the sessile plants must respond to fluctuations of sunlight which provide the energy for photosynthesis and determines the plant morphogenesis and development (Ruberti et al. 2012; Vialle-Chabrand et al. 2017). Low light is considered as abiotic stress that compromises photosynthesis and crop yield potential (Tian et al. 2017; Kaiser et al. 2018). Morphologically, low light affects plant height, biomass, and root growth (Liu and Su 2016). In rice growth, low light reduces tillering, panicle and spikelet numbers, and grain weight and quality (Sun et al. 2012; Wang et al. 2013; Sekhar et al. 2019). In some areas with continuously cloudy weather or rainfall, rice yields can be reduced by 30% to 50% (Venkateswarlu 1977; Viji et al. 1997; Liu et al. 2009), indicating that low light is an indispensable problem for rice production. A global rice diversity survey of biomass accumulation revealed that the photosynthetic rate under low light is highly related to biomass accumulation and it has great potential to be used as a target for rice high yield breeding (Qu et al. 2017). 

The lower activities of photosystem (PS) II, ATP synthase, cytochrome (Cyt) b/f, and ribulose-1,5-bisphosphate carboxylase/oxygenase (Rubisco), electron transport (ETR), and CO₂ consumption were observed in the plants under low light (Leong and Anderson 1984; Zivcak et al. 2014). In addition, low light stress causes oxidative damage and D1 protein degradation by generation of active oxygen species.
Quantitative Proteomic Analysis with iTRAQ

**Results**

**Quantitative Proteomic Analysis with iTRAQ**

The 2-week-old seedlings of wild type rice Nippobare (NIP) were treated under low light condition (17% control light) for 5 days. Total protein extraction and iTRAQ-based proteome were performed in the company BASEBIO (see details in methods). Using the criteria of Score Sequest HT > 0 and unique peptides ≥1, total 17,192 peptides were identified by the tandem mass spectrometry (MS/MS) (Table 1). Among them, 5697 unique peptides and 2020 majority proteins were characterized (Table 1). The related information of detected peptide and protein groups were list in Supplemental Table 1 and Supplemental Table 2, respectively. About 43% of the identified majority proteins have more than 10% peptide sequence coverage (Fig. 1a). And approximately 70% of the identified majority proteins have more than 2 peptide hits (Fig. 1b).

**Functional Analysis of Differentially Expressed Proteins (DEPs)**

To further explore how rice responds to low light stress, proteins with fold change (FC) > 1.2 and p-value < 0.05 were considered as upregulated, while those with FC < 5/6 and p-value < 0.05 were considered as downregulated. These cutoffs were chosen according to a previous iTRAQ proteomic analysis on rice (Xiong et al. 2019). Comparison between CL and LL revealed 1221 DEPs including 223 upregulated and 998 downregulated proteins (Table 1 and Supplemental Table 3). Our results indicated that more than 80% of DEPs were downregulated.

According to the subcellular compartment categories, gene ontology (GO) analysis indicated that the identified DEPs cover all the subcellular organelles (Fig. 2a and Supplemental Table 4). Low light stress significantly affects the proteins from all three organelles including chloroplast, cell wall, and extracellular region (Fig. 2a).

Among them, the chloroplast is the most severely-affected organelle, as indicated by that 284 chloroplastic proteins were differently accumulated under low light stress (Fig. 2a). In addition, 31 and 48 DEPs were detected in cell wall and extracellular region, respectively (Fig. 2a). Although mitochondria and cytosol contain many DEPs, they are not significant because of P-value > 0.05 (Fig. 2a).

To analyze the metabolism response to low light stress, DEPs were further classified by Kyoto encyclopedi a of genes and genomes (KEGG) database. The results show that DEPs were majorly enriched in carbon metabolism, such as carbon fixation in photosynthetic organisms, pyruvate metabolism, and glycolysis/gluconeogenesis (Fig. 2b and Supplemental Table 5). Carbon fixation in...
photosynthetic organisms and pyruvate metabolism, containing 19 and 13 DEPs respectively, were significantly affected under low light stress (Fig. 2b).

Proteins Involved in Photosynthesis are Downregulated
Since low light stress significantly influences the chloroplast proteome, we further analyzed the proteins involved in photosystems and Calvin Cycle in detail. As shown in Fig. 3, some subunits of PSI and PSII complexes are downregulated after low light treatment. Besides, all the detected proteins involved in photosynthetic electron transport and F-type ATPase complex are downregulated (Fig. 3). Relatively, the light-harvesting chlorophyll complex is less affected and only three subunits (LHCA2, LHCA4, and LHCB5) are significantly downregulated (Fig. 3). Calvin cycle is responsible for photosynthetic carbon fixation in chloroplasts. The catalytic enzymes in this pathway are all detected in our proteomic analysis (Fig. 4). The protein levels of these enzymes are all remarkably decreased after low light treatment (Fig. 4). Especially, the protein level of glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH) under low light is reduced to 42% of that under control light (Fig. 4). These results suggested that low light stress significantly inhibits photosynthesis, possibly by reducing the abundance of proteins involved in Calvin cycle, electron transport, and F-type ATPase complex in rice.

Overexpression of GAPB Increases Rice Tolerance to Low Light Stress
The previous studies indicated that GAPDH functions are affected by light availability (Fermani et al. 2007; Howard et al. 2008) and β subunit of GAPDH (GAPB) contributes to plant tolerance to abiotic stress in Arabidopsis (Chang et al. 2015). Considering our result that low light significantly inhibits GAPDH accumulation (Fig. 4), we attempted to investigate whether GAPB overexpression influences rice
tolerance to low light stress. The rice GAPB (OsGAPB) gene (LOC_Os03g03720) was fused with a fragment encoding FLAG tag and the fusion gene GAPB-FLAG was driven by the constitutively expressed promoter CaMV35S (Fig. 5a). The construct 35S::GAPB-FLAG was introduced to the wild type rice NIP by agrobacterium-mediated transformation. Three independent transgenic lines, named overexpression (OE)-1, −2, and −3, were selected according to the immunoblot analysis with anti-FLAG antibodies which showed that GAPB-FLAG was substantially expressed in these lines (Fig. 5a). The one-week-old plants of T3 generation were grown in soil under control and low light condition, respectively, for 3 weeks (Fig. 5b). The plants of NIP and OE lines showed no obvious difference on plant height (Fig. 5c) and fresh weight (Fig. 5d) under control light. Under low light, however, plant height and fresh weight of OE lines were significantly increased compared to NIP (Fig. 5c and d). These results indicated that GAPB overexpression promotes plant tolerance to low light stress.

**GAPB Enhances CO₂ Assimilation and Chlorophyll Accumulation under Low Light**

To elucidate the reason that GAPB overexpression increases fresh weight under low light, we measured the assimilation rate of CO₂ in NIP and OE plants grown under control and low light, respectively. Our results indicated that GAPB overexpression has no obvious impact on CO₂ assimilation when plants are grown under control light (Fig. 6a). However, OE plants showed higher CO₂ assimilation rates than NIP plants when subjected to low light stress (Fig. 6a). Moreover, the quantitative examination of the chlorophyll contents indicated that GAPB overexpression enhances chlorophyll accumulation under low light stress but not under...
control light (Fig. 6b). These results suggested that GAPB increases low light stress tolerance possibly by enhancing chlorophyll accumulation and photosynthetic rate.

**Discussion**

The proteomics approaches have been extensively used to study rice responses to the abiotic stresses (Singh and Jwa 2013) including extreme temperatures (Cui et al. 2005; Lee et al. 2007), drought (Salekdeh et al. 2002), salt stress (Abbasi and Komatsu 2004), heavy metals (Hajduch et al. 2001), UV radiation (Du et al. 2011), and ozone (Agarwal et al. 2002), but not low light stress. The systematic comparison of these proteomic studies indicated that, at the proteomic level, the most common responses to abiotic stresses involve alterations on photosynthesis apparatus, redox homeostasis, antioxidation pathway, carbohydrate metabolism, and protein metabolism (Singh and Jwa 2013). Our proteomics analysis of low light stress revealed that carbohydrate metabolism (Figs. 2 and 4) and photosynthesis apparatus (Fig. 3) are significantly affected in rice subjected to low light stress, while redox homeostasis, antioxidation pathway, and protein metabolism showed no obvious alteration.

Chloroplast, an organelle responsible for photosynthesis, is most severely affected by low light stress (Fig. 2). The previous studies indicated that low light stress suppresses photosystem (PS) II, ATP synthase, cytochrome (Cyt) b/f, and ribulose-1,5-bisphosphate carboxylase/oxygenase (Rubisco), electron transport (ETR), and CO₂ assimilation (Leong and Anderson 1984; Zivcak et al. 2014). Some observations are confirmed by our proteomic results that all subunits of ATP synthase, electron transport, and Calvin cycle are substantially decreased under low light (Figs. 3 and 4). PSI and PSII are partially inhibited but the inhibition on the light-harvesting chlorophyll complexes is much less (Fig. 3). D1 (PsbA) protein is also remarkably decreased under low light condition (Fig. 3), which is consistent with the previous study showing that low light stress led to D1 protein degradation (Keren et al. 1997). These results indicated that low light stress inhibits...
photosynthesis, possibly by reducing the abundance of photosynthetic proteins, especially those involved in Calvin cycle, electron transport, and ATPase complex in rice. Interestingly, cell wall and extracellular region proteins are also significantly affected (Fig. 2), although further investigations are required for elucidating the roles of cell wall and extracellular proteins in response to low light stress.

Calvin cycle of CO₂ assimilation into carbohydrates is a major pathway for chemical utilization of light energy in all photosynthetic eukaryotes. Calvin cycle enzymes are activated in the light and deactivated in the dark by a thioredoxin-dependent regulation (Buchanan and Balmer 2005; Trost et al. 2006). Our results revealed that low light availability also decreases the protein abundance of Calvin cycle enzymes (Fig. 4) although the

---

**Fig. 5** OsGAPB overexpression confers the tolerance to low light stress in rice. **a** Immunoblotting analysis of OsGAPB-FLAG protein expression in 35S:OsGAPB-FLAG transgenic (overexpression, OE) lines. **b**, **c**, and **d** The phenotypes (**b**), height (**c**) and fresh weight (**d**) of 35S:OsGAPB-FLAG transgenic plants under common and low light. The mean ± s.d. values (n = 24; **** indicates P < 0.01, two-tailed t-test) were calculated from the results of three independent experiments.

**Fig. 6** OsGAPB overexpression leads to higher CO₂ assimilation rate and chlorophyll content. **a** The CO₂ assimilation rate of NIP and OsGAPB overexpression (OE) plants with or without low light treatment. The CO₂ assimilation rates were measured under different light intensities that were provided by the photosynthetic apparatus GFS-3000. The mean ± s.d. values (n = 12; **** indicates P < 0.01; two-tailed t-test) were calculated from the results of three independent experiments. **b** Chlorophyll contents of OsGAPB OE plants grown under different light conditions. The mean ± s.d. values (n = 9; **** indicates P < 0.01, two-tailed t-test) were calculated from the results of three independent experiments.
underlying mechanisms remain unknown so far. A Calvin cycle multiple-protein complex including phosphoribulokinase (PRK), GAPDH, and a small protein, CP12, has been identified to play a crucial role in the modulation of carbon fixation in response to alterations in the availability of light (Trost et al. 2006; Fermani et al. 2007; Howard et al. 2008). Dark conditions promote the formation of PRK/GAPDH/CP12 complex and the activity of PRK and GAPDH is very low. Light or illumination induces dissociation of the supramolecular complex, which is accompanied by activation of PRK and GAPDH (Howard et al. 2008). The light-dependent on-off switch of the PRK/GAPDH/CP12 complex can facilitate the coordination of PRK and GAPDH activity in response to changes in light intensity. In our study, PRK and GAPDH are both down-regulated under low light treatment (Fig. 4), suggesting that light intensity also affects the protein abundance of PRK and GAPDH, in addition to post-translational modification and complex formation.

GAPDH has two subunits including GAPA and GAPB and the major GAPDH isoform of land plants is A2B2-GAPDH. Light-activation of A2B2-GAPDH depends on the redox state of the C-terminal extension of GAPB (Fermani et al. 2007). Our results showed that overexpression of OsGAPB gene increases CO2 assimilation rate in rice subjected to low light stress (Fig. 6a), suggesting OsGAPB plays an important role in plant acclimation to low light stress. As for the reason, we have several speculations. First is that GAPB overexpression increases the GAPDH activities under low light because single GAPB has GAPDH activities when they are purified from E. coli although its activity is lower than GAPA (Baalmann et al. 1996). The second is that GAPB overexpression possibly leads to the ratio imbalance between GAPA and GAPB, which might disrupt the formation of PRK/GAPDH/CP12 complex that inhibits GAPDH and PRK activity. The next is that GAPB plays roles in maintaining photosynthesis and plant development under salt stress, as indicated by the previous study on Thellungiella halophila, a plant surviving from high saline condition (Chang et al. 2015). Interestingly, the chlorophyll contents of OsGAPB OE plants are also higher than that of NIP plants (Fig. 6b). The higher chlorophyll contents might also contribute to higher CO2 assimilation rate under low light condition. Of course, further studies are required to elucidate how OsGAPB overexpression affects CO2 assimilation rate and chlorophyll accumulation under low light.

**Conclusion**

Our work revealed that low light stress severely inhibits carbon fixation pathway and OsGAPB overexpression can increase rice tolerance to low light stress, possibly by enhancing CO2 assimilation and chlorophyll accumulation.

**Materials and Methods**

**Plant Materials**

Oryza sativa spp. japonica cv Nipponbare (NIP) was used for proteomic analysis and genetic transformation in this study. The OsGAPB overexpression plants were obtained by the following procedures. The OsGAPB (LOC_Os03g03720) gene was amplified from total RNAs extracted from mature leaf of NIP by RT-PCR. The 3’-terminus of the OsGAPB coding region was fused with a FLAGx 3 tag. After double digestion and ligation reaction, the fusion OsGAPB-FLAG gene was cloned into pHB vector (Zhang et al. 2015). Agrobacterium-mediated transformation was performed by a company (Wuhan Doublehelix Biology Science and Technology, China). Three independent transgenic lines (OE-1, -2, and -3) were selected and validated by immunoblotting analysis. T3 generation plants were used for phenotypic analysis. The primers for plasmid construction are listed in Supplement Table 6.

**Low Light Treatment and Photosynthesis Rate**

After germination in water for 1 week, rice seedlings (NIP) were planted in 2.4 L plate filled with 2.25 kg nutrient soils and fertilized with 0.1 g urea and 0.1 g compound fertilizer containing (15%N, 15%P2O5, and 15%K2O). Rice plants were cultured in a growth chamber equipped with Philips GreenPower LED toplighting module providing common light (300 μmol m−2 s−1) and a photoperiod of 14 h/10 h (day/night). Temperature was controlled by air conditioning at 28 ± 2 °C. After growing in this chamber for 1 week, half of the seedlings were transferred to another chamber, where toplighting was blocked by black nets, with the same temperature and photoperiod but low light (50 μmol m−2 s−1) for another 5 days. Twenty seedlings for each treatment were harvested and frozen in liquid N2 for protein extraction and iTRAQ proteomic analysis.

For phototypic analysis of the tolerance to low light stress, NIP and OsGAPB overexpression (OE) plants were germinated and grown in the same conditions as described above. Photographs and relevant data were collected after treated in different light conditions for 3 weeks.

The data of photosynthesis was obtained with GFS-3000 (WALZ, German) and its software, through which the assimilation rate was automatically calculated according to a previous study (von Caemmerer and Farquhar 1981). The cuvette temperature (28 °C), flow rate (750 μmol/s), impeller (7), CO2 control (off), and RH (50%) were kept constant throughout the measurement. Light provided with GFS-3000 and set as PAR top mode at 1000, 400, 150, 30 μmol/m2/s. After warm-up
period for about 30 min, calibration was performed with Mode ZP and ZPcuw was stored until the value of dCO₂ and dH₂O were stable. Each plant was recorded three readings until the values are stable after about 2 min.

**Protein Preparation**

The protein preparation and iTRAQ proteomic analysis were accomplished by Chengdu Basebio Technologies, Inc. Plant samples were washed twice by chilled PBS buffer (Hyclone) and cut into pieces on ice. Two volume Lysis buffer (100 mM Tris-HCl, 4% SDS, pH 8.5) of samples were added to extracted proteins by simple homogenizer and ultrasonic cell disruptor on ice. After boiling at 95°C for 10 min, the mixture was centrifuged at 4°C, 30,000 g for 10 min. The supernatant was transported to a new tube and assayed by BCA Protein Assay Kit (Beyotime). 10 mM DTT (final concentration) was washed by 200 μL 8 M UA twice, it was dissolved in 200 μL 8 M UA and centrifugated. After the collection solution was discarded, the precipitate was washed by 200 μL 8 M UA and centrifugated. Subsequently, 200 μL 50 mM IAA was added to the precipitate to block the cysteines and incubated for 1 h in the darkroom. After the precipitate was washed by 200 μL 8 M UA twice, it was dissolved in 200 μL 50 mM TEAB (Applied Biosystems, Milan, Italy) and centrifugated twice. And then the protein was digested with Trypsin Gold (Promega, Madison, WI, USA) with the ratio of protein: trypsin =50: 1 at 37°C for 16 h.

**iTRAQ Labeling and HPLC Fractionation**

After trypsin digestion, peptides were dried by vacuum centrifugation. Peptides were reconstituted in isopropanol and processed according to the manufacture’s protocol for iTRAQ reagent (Applied Biosystems). Briefly, one unit of iTRAQ reagent was thawed and reconstituted in 50 μL isopropanol. Samples were labeled with the iTRAQ tags as follows: Plants treated under common light (1-NIP-CK tag); Plants treated under common light (1-NIP-CK tag). The peptides were labeled with the isobaric tags, incubated at 56°C for 1 h to reduce disulfide bonds in proteins of the supernatant. The solution was transferred to 10 K ultrafiltration tube and centrifuged. After the collection solution was discarded, the precipitate was washed by 200 μL 8 M UA and centrifugated. Subsequently, 200 μL 50 mM IAA was added to the precipitate to block the cysteines and incubated for 1 h in the darkroom. After the precipitate was washed by 200 μL 8 M UA twice, it was dissolved in 200 μL 50 mM TEAB and centrifugated twice. And then the protein was digested with Trypsin Gold (Promega, Madison, WI, USA) with the ratio of protein: trypsin =50: 1 at 37°C for 16 h.

40–90% buffer B for 2 min. The system was then maintained at 10% buffer A and 90% buffer B for 2 min before equilibrating with 95% buffer A and 5% buffer B for 5 min before the next injection. Fractions were collected every 1 min. The eluted peptides were pooled into 60 fractions and vacuum-dried.

After reconstituted with 0.1% formic acid (FA), samples were desalted with Oasisi HLB cartridges. Briefly, the cartridges were previously equilibrated by 100% ACN and washed twice by 0.2% FA. After twice injection of samples, the C18 column combined with peptides was washed by 0.1%FA/5% ACN twice. The peptides were eventually eluted by 500 μL 0.1% FA/70% ACN and vacuum-dried.

**HPLC-MS/MS Analysis**

The HPLC-MS/MS analysis based on Thermo Fisher Easy-nLC 1000 (Thermo Scientific, San Jose, CA, USA) and Thermo Fisher Q Exactive (Thermo Scientific, San Jose, CA, USA). Each fraction was re-suspended in buffer A (0.1%FA) and was loaded on a 7.5 × 250 mm C18 column containing 3 μm particles. Then the 15 min gradient was run at 300 nL/min starting from 6 to 9% B (100%ACN) for 15 min, followed by 20 min linear gradient to 14%, then, followed by 60 min linear gradient to 30%, followed by 15 min linear gradient to 40%, followed by 3 min linear gradient to 85%, and maintenance at 85% B for 7 min.

Data acquisition was performed with FTMS analyzer with normal mass range, resolution of 70,000, full scan type, positive polarity and data type of profile. The MSn settings was operated with n of 2, Act-Type of HCD, Iso-width (m/z) of 2.0, Normalized-collision-energy of 35.0, Act-Q of 0.250 and Act-Times (ms) of 10. The scan range was applied with first mass (m/z) of 350 and last mass (m/z) of 1800.

**Data Analysis**

The identification and quantitation of raw data from HPLC-MS/MS were accomplished by Chengdu Basebio Technologies, Inc. Proteins identification were performed by using MaxQuant (https://www.maxquant.org/; version1.6.1.0) against uniprot-taxonomy Rice. For protein identification, the input data was searched with Enzyme Name of Trypsin (Full), Max-Missed Cleavage Sites of 2, Precursor Mass Tolerance of 20 ppm and Fragment Mass Tolerance of 4.5 ppm. Carbamidomethyl (C) was set as Static Modification, and Oxidation (M) and Deamidated (N,Q) were set as Dynamic Modification. The validation was based on q-value.

**Bioinformatics Analysis**

Different expressed proteins were considered as significant only when p-values < 0.05 and fold changes > 1.2
or < 5/6 (0.83). The retrieved proteins sequences from Mascot were searched through NCBI BLAST online against non-redundant protein sequences (nr) database (2018.8.22). The BLAST results, which contained the top 20 blast hits with 1 e^{-3} E-value for each sequence, were loaded into Blast2GO Basic (BioBam, Spain, Version 5.1.13) for Gene Ontology (GO) mapping and annotation using Gene Ontology file go-basic.obo (2018.6). The default annotation configuration was fixed with a GO weight of 5 and annotation cutoff of 75. Un-annotated proteins with BLAST hits were then annotated again with annotation cutoff of 45. All un-annotated proteins’ sequences were then collected to retrieve InterProScan GO functional annotations through InterProScan4 against EBI databases. These annotation data were loaded to Cytoscape (Version 3.6.0), and GO enrichment analysis was accomplished through app BiNGO (Version 3.0.3) within this software. Following the GO enrichment analysis, these studied proteins were blasted against Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) GENES to retrieve the KEGG Orthology (KO) annotation and were subsequently mapped to KEGG pathways through BlastKOALA (https://www.kegg.jp/blastkoala/) online. The KO enrichment analysis was accomplished with package ClusterProfiler (version 3.7) in R (version 3.4.4) (Yu et al. 2012).

Immunoblotting Analysis
The total proteins were extracted from the flag leaves of 4-week-old seedling grown under control light. Immunoblotting analysis was performed according to a previous study (Wang and Blumwald 2014) with anti-Actin antibodies (Agrisera, AS132640) or anti-FLAG antibodies (Sigma, A8592-2MG).
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