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ABSTRACT
Background Given that vitamin K-dependent anticoagulants (VKAs) will continue to be the primary anticoagulant in Africa for a long time, understanding the quality of anticoagulation services in the continent is vital for optimising the intended benefits. Notably, a few small studies have assessed the quality of anticoagulation in sub-Saharan Africa (SSA) countries. This study will describe the current VKA use and anticoagulation control among patients in selected SSA countries.

Methods and analysis We plan to review the 2019 anticoagulation data of a cohort of 800 random patients from 19 selected clinics in Botswana, the Democratic Republic of Congo, Ethiopia, Gambia, Ghana, Mozambique, Nigeria, Tanzania and South Africa. We expect at least one participating site to enrol 100 participants in each country. Eligible participants will be those on VKAs for at least 3 months and with at least four international normalised ratio (INR) results. We will document the indications, type and duration of VKA use, sociodemographic factors, coexisting medical conditions, concurrent use of drugs that interact with warfarin and alcohol and tobacco products. The level of anticoagulation control will be determined by calculating the time-in-therapeutic range (TTR) using the Rosendaal and the Percent of INR in TTR methods. A TTR of less than 65% will define a suboptimal anticoagulation control.

Ethics and dissemination This study was approved by the Ministry of Health and Wellness Ethics Committee (HPDME13/8/1) in Botswana and local research ethics committees or institutional review boards of all participating sites. As the study collects data from existing records, sites applied for waivers of consent. We will disseminate research findings through peer-reviewed scientific publications.

INTRODUCTION
Vitamin K-dependent anticoagulants (VKAs) continue to be the principal anticoagulants for treating and preventing thromboembolism in Africa. They produce their anticoagulant effects by interfering with the cyclic interconversion of vitamin K and its 2,3 epoxide, thus inhibiting γ-carboxylation of glutamate residues at the amino-terminals of coagulation factors II (prothrombin), VII, IX and X, as well as of the anticoagulant proteins C, S and Z.1,2 As a result, VKA induces hepatic production of partially decarboxylated coagulation factors with reduced coagulant activity.2 While warfarin is the most widely used VKA, other anticoagulants in this group include acenocoumarol, phenprocoumon and phenindione.3

Because of their narrow therapeutic window, considerable variability in dose-response among patients, and multiple interactions with other drugs and diet, the use of VKAs is generally tricky.1 It is often challenging to balance the risk of bleeding and, at the same time, prevent clotting.4 As therapeutic or prophylactic benefits of VKAs are dependent on their maintenance within therapeutic ranges (TTR), coagulation monitoring and frequent VKA dose adjustments are necessary.5

The VKA coagulation monitoring is achieved through a systematic international normalised ratio (INR) measurement.3 The INR is used to standardise prothrombin time
and determine the degree to which VKA has successfully suppressed the patient’s coagulation system. 3 The target INR recommended for most warfarin indications is 2.0–3.0 (venous thromboembolism and cardiac arrhythmia) and 2.5–3.5 for mechanical prosthetic valves. 2 3 Sustaining a patient in TTR reduces the risk of thromboembolism while minimising the risk of bleeding attributable to excessive anticoagulation. On the other hand, the more time spent with INR below or above the TTR, the higher the risk of thromboembolic and bleeding complications, respectively. 6 Consequently, the percentage of time spent in the TTR is a way of summarising anticoagulation control over time. Guidelines recommend a TTR above 65% as an optimal anticoagulation level to prevent thromboembolism without excessive risk of bleeding. 6 A TTR above 65% is beneficial against stroke and vascular events among patients on warfarin, whereas a TTR of less than 40% is not associated with any significant mortality benefit. 6 7

Due to multiple factors, a substantial proportion of warfarin-treated patients is sub-optimally anticoagulated. 6–11 These factors include the frequency of INR monitoring, health systems, and common polymorphisms influencing the pharmacokinetics or pharmacodynamics of VKAs. 2 8 9–13 Owing to the underfunding of the health systems in Africa, patients travel a long distance to access the few unreliably running centralised VKA services. 14 Poor accessibility and out-of-pocket expenses for VKA services significantly impact anticoagulation control in these settings. 10 15 16 As a result, the proportion of patients on VKAs with optimal anticoagulation control in sub-Saharan Africa (SSA) is 0%–41%. 10 15–17

Given the high burden of rheumatic heart disease, mechanical heart valves and valvular atrial fibrillation are the main indications for long-term anticoagulation in SSA. Although non-vitamin K oral anticoagulants are apparent alternatives to VKA therapy for many indications (except mechanical valves and valvular atrial fibrillation), their uptake in African settings is limited by their high cost. Consequently, VKAs are likely to remain the primary anticoagulant in SSA for decades. As a suboptimal anticoagulation control is associated with an increased risk of thromboembolism, major bleeding and all-cause mortality, efforts to achieve optimal VKA anticoagulation are crucial in SSA. 10 There is an urgent need to understand the extent of VKA regimen use and performance (anticoagulation control) in SSA. We aim to quantify the proportion of the patients taking VKA whose level of anticoagulation is below optimal thresholds, serving as a guide for targeting VKA anticoagulation control efforts.

Aims and objectives

Our overall objective is to describe the current VKA use and the quality of anticoagulation control among patients in SSA countries.

Our study has three specific objectives:

1. To describe types of VKA used by patients on long-term anticoagulation in selected SSA countries.

2. To assess and compare anticoagulation control for patients on VKAs in selected SSA countries.

3. To identify factors associated with optimal anticoagulation among patients of VKA in selected SSA countries.

METHODS AND ANALYSIS

Study design and patients

This will be a retrospective cohort study that reviews patient records at identified facilities in selected SSA countries. We will collect data from records of adult patients aged above 18 years who were on VKAs and attended the outpatient clinics from January to December 2019. The study will include all sampled patients who have attended the clinics during the specified period. Eligible participants will be those on VKAs for at least 3 months and with at least four INR results.

Study setting

This study will be conducted at clinics in the following countries: Botswana, the Democratic Republic of Congo, Ethiopia, Gambia, Ghana, Mozambique, Nigeria, Tanzania and South Africa (table 1).

Sample size and sampling

With a sample size of 100 participants from at least one participating site in each country, a minimum sample size of 800, we will estimate a TTR of 41% with a margin of error of 3.5% on a two-sided alpha level of 0.05. 18 We will apply a simple random sampling approach using random numbers generated by Excel/Statas to identify and select case records in the clinics with high volumes of patients.

Data collection procedures

Site PIs from each site will collect study data from patients charts and electronic medical records.

Using a standardised data extraction tool, they will collect information related to indications, type, and duration of VKA use. Sociodemographic factors, and if available, information on the coexisting medical conditions, concurrent use of drugs that interact with warfarin, and alcohol and tobacco products will be documented. Other data include INR values for the 12 months (January to December 2019), dates of INR testing and corresponding numbers generated by Excel/Statas to identify and select case records in the clinics with high volumes of patients.

This will be a retrospective cohort study that reviews patient records at identified facilities in selected SSA countries. We will collect data from records of adult patients aged above 18 years who were on VKAs and attended the outpatient clinics from January to December 2019. The study will include all sampled patients who have attended the clinics during the specified period. Eligible participants will be those on VKAs for at least 3 months and with at least four INR results.

Study setting

This study will be conducted at clinics in the following countries: Botswana, the Democratic Republic of Congo, Ethiopia, Gambia, Ghana, Mozambique, Nigeria, Tanzania and South Africa (table 1).

Sample size and sampling

With a sample size of 100 participants from at least one participating site in each country, a minimum sample size of 800, we will estimate a TTR of 41% with a margin of error of 3.5% on a two-sided alpha level of 0.05. 18 We will apply a simple random sampling approach using random numbers generated by Excel/Statas to identify and select case records in the clinics with high volumes of patients.

Data collection procedures

Site PIs from each site will collect study data from patients charts and electronic medical records.

Using a standardised data extraction tool, they will collect information related to indications, type, and duration of VKA use. Sociodemographic factors, and if available, information on the coexisting medical conditions, concurrent use of drugs that interact with warfarin, and alcohol and tobacco products will be documented. Other data include INR values for the 12 months (January to December 2019), dates of INR testing and corresponding warfarin dosages. The level of anticoagulation will be determined by the time-in-TTR using the Rosendaal and the per cent of INR in TTR methods. 3 18 The Rosendaal method assumes a linear change in INR values between consecutive testing time points. It calculates the proportion of time spent by a patient with INR in the TTR within the TTR. 18 The method includes only patients who have at least two valid intervals for calculating per cent time in TTR. 18 A valid interval consists of two INR values separated by 56 days (8 weeks) or less, without an intervening hospitalisation. For centres where INR testing is done at intervals of more than 56 days, the anticoagulation control level will be calculated using the per cent of INR in the TTR method. The method calculates the percentage of INR in the TTR for all patients divided by
| Country      | Site(s)                                                                 | Description                                                                 |
|-------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Botswana    | Princess Marina Hospital (PMH)                                           | PMH is a teaching hospital for the University of Botswana. The warfarin clinic runs once a week, serving patients with different conditions |
| DRC         | Les Cliniques Universitaires de Kinshasa                                 | This is a teaching hospital of the School of Medicine at the University of Kinshasa. Patients on anticoagulants attend the Cardiology Unit of the Internal Medicine Department. |
| Ethiopia    | Tikur Anbessa Specialised Hospital, College of Health Sciences, Addis Ababa University | The study will be conducted in adult and paediatric cardiac clinics.          |
| Ghana       | Greater Accra Regional Hospital and the Korlebu Teaching Hospital        | The Greater Accra Hospital is a tertiary hospital in Accra, the capital city of Ghana. Physician specialist clinics are run from Mondays to Fridays with an average of 40 patients daily. The Korlebu Teaching Hospital is a tertiary referral centre in Accra and runs a cardiology clinic once a week with an average attendance of 50 patients. |
| Mozambique  | Maputo Central Hospital                                                  | It is the university hospital of the faculty of Medicine of Eduardo Mondlane University. The people who are anticoagulated are followed in the cardiology outpatient clinic. |
| Nigeria     | The University College Hospital, Ibadan                                  | This is a teaching hospital affiliated with the University of Ibadan. Patients on VKA attend the anticoagulation clinic of the Haematology Department. |
|             | The Federal Teaching Hospital Gombe                                       | A tertiary hospital in the north-eastern Nigeria. Patients on VKA, often for Valvular AF, attend the once-weekly Cardiology clinic. |
|             | Aminu Kano Teaching Hospital                                             | This is a tertiary-level m public hospital in Kano. Patients attend the cardiology clinic once weekly. |
|             | The Cardia Heart Clinic, Kano                                            | This is a privately-owned cardiology clinic in Kano. VKA services run daily. |
|             | University of Nigeria Teaching Hospital Enugu                             | The National Centre for Cardiothoracic Medicine and Surgery in Enugu has an anticoagulation clinic that runs twice weekly. |
|             | The Federal Medical Centre Umuahia, Abia State, Nigeria.                 | Patients on VKA attends the Cardiology outpatient clinic once weekly. |
|             | University of Uyo Teaching Hospital (UUTH)                               | UUTH is a tertiary-level multispeciality public hospital in Uyo, Nigeria. The study will be conducted at the cardiology outpatient clinics that runs twice a week. |
|             | University of Port Harcourt Teaching Hospital                            | A teaching hospital with weekly VKA service in the medical outpatient clinic on Tuesdays. |
|             | Goodheart Medical Consultants                                            | This is a private facility in the heart of Port Harcourt that has VKA services every day of the week. |
| Tanzania    | Bugando Medical Centre (BMC)                                             | BMC is a regional referral and university teaching hospital in Mwanza. Patients on VKA attend the haematology and cardiology outpatient clinics. |
|             | Muhimbili National Hospital (MNH)                                        | MNH is a national and teaching hospital for Muhimbili university of health and allied sciences. The anticoagulation clinic is housed within the Department of Haematology and Blood Transfusion and runs once per week. |
| South Africa| Thelle Mogoerane (formerly Natalspruit) Regional Hospital.               | The hospital is situated in Vosloos in the South sub-district of Ekurhuleni Metropolitan Municipality in Gauteng, South Africa. The hospital offers several services, including family medicine and primary care. |
|             | Tambo Memorial Regional Hospital—Boksburg                                | The hospital is situated in Boksburg in the North sub-district of Ekurhuleni Metropolitan Municipality in Gauteng, South Africa, linked to the University of Witwatersrand medical school in Johannesburg. |
|             | Groote Schuur Hospital                                                   | A tertiary academic hospital in Cape Town, South Africa. The hospital has an anticoagulation clinic. |

**Table 1** Study sites for vitamin K-dependent anticoagulant (VKA) use and level of anticoagulation control in sub-Saharan Africa

the overall number of INRs during the period. Therapeutic INR will be defined as 2.0–3.0 for venous thromboembolism and cardiac arrhythmia and 2.5–3.5 for mechanical prosthetic valves. A TTR of less than 65% will be considered suboptimal anticoagulation control. While some sites have finished data collection, most will likely continue data extraction for the next 6 months (up to roughly June 2022).

**Statistical analysis**

Data will be entered in REDCap, hosted by the University of Botswana. Clean data will be analysed using Stata V.16 (Stata). Categorical variables (sociodemographic factors, anticoagulation type, VKA indication, comorbidities, other drug use, alcohol and tobacco products use) will be presented as frequencies and percentages, and continuous variables (duration on VKA, INR estimates) as mean.
(SD) or medians (first–third quartiles). Comparison of categorical variables and the level of anticoagulation control will be made using the χ² or Fisher’s exact tests, and independent Student’s t-tests or Wilcoxon rank-sum test for continuous variables, as appropriate. A two-sided p<0.05 will be considered statistically significant. A multivariate logistic regression will be performed to assess independent predictors for anticoagulation control. The multivariable model will include all factors with a p<0.2 on bivariate analysis. A backward selection modelling method with probabilities set at 0.05 and 0.1 for inclusion and exclusion, respectively, will be used. Adjusted ORs, 95% CIs, and p values will be documented.

**Patient and public involvement**

Participants were not invited to participate in the study design. However, research findings will be disseminated through presentations to users and advocacy groups in different countries.

**ETHICS AND DISSEMINATION**

**Patient consent for publication**

Not required.

**Ethical considerations**

The Ministry of Health and Wellness of Botswana granted ethical approval for the University of Botswana/Princess Marina as a coordinating centre (HPDME13/8/1). Site principal investigators (PIs) were responsible for obtaining necessary ethical approvals from local research ethics committees or institutional review boards of all participating sites—Muhimbili National Hospital, Tanzania (MNH/TRCU/Perm/2021/012); the University of Cape Town, South Africa (HREC:047/2021); the University of the Witwatersrand Johannesburg, South Africa (R14/49); Catholic University of Allied Sciences Bugando, Tanzania (CREC/445/2020/); Federal Medical Centre, Umuahia, Nigeria (FMC/QEH/G/596/VOL.10/485); University of Ibadan College of Medicine (UI/EC/20/0349; Korle Bu Teaching Hospital Institutional Review Board (KBCH/MD/G3/20); University of Port Harcourt Teaching Hospital (UPTH/ADM/90/5.21/VOL XI/959); University of Nigeria Teaching Hospital, Nigeria (UNTH/CSA/329/VOL.5/09; Federal Teaching Hospital Gombe, Nigeria (NHREC/25/10/2013); Amino Kano Teaching Hospital Nigeria (AKTH/MAC/SUB/12A/P-3/VI/2989); Les Cliniques Universitaires de Kinshasa (213/CNES/BN/PMMF/2020); University of Uyo Teaching Hospital; College of Health Sciences, Addis Ababa University, Ethiopia (115/20/IM) and Faculty of Medicine, University Eduardo Mondlane, Mozambique, the Gambia Government/MRCG Joint Ethics Committee (22803). As the study will collect data from existing records and not engage living human subjects, sites applied for waivers of consent.

**Data management**

The overall PI will coordinate the data management process. Site PIs will collect information in an electronic case report form (CRF) or a paper-based CRF during internet connection problems. The site PIs will be responsible for routine supervision of the data entry into a password-protected Research Electronic Data Capture (REDCap) database, with the University of Botswana assistance as necessary. Extracted data will be anonymised by removing any identifying details. Except for the overall PI, who will have access to all the data, site researchers will only have access to their site-specific data. Although the collaborators will jointly own the data generated, the PI will coordinate data sharing and archiving.

**Dissemination policy and plans**

The findings will be disseminated via publications in peer-reviewed journals, conferences presentations and other forms of public engagement. Summary reports will be submitted to the participating institutions. Copies of all published materials and reports will be shared with the research ethics committees and collaborators. The results will also be shared with policy-makers and stakeholders, highlighting any identified gaps in VKA use in SSA. We will also make our findings available in accessible formats to patient groups and relevant charities. Site PIs from each site that contribute to patients will coauthor on any resulting publications.
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