Black phosphorus-based 2D materials for bone therapy
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\begin{abstract}
Since their discovery, Black Phosphorus (BP)-based nanomaterials have received extensive attentions in the fields of electromechanics, optics and biomedicine, due to their remarkable properties and excellent biocompatibility. The most essential feature of BP is that it is composed of a single phosphorus element, which has a high degree of homology with the inorganic components of natural bone, therefore it has a full advantage in the treatment of bone defects. This review will first introduce the source, physicochemical properties, and degradation products of BP, then introduce the remodeling process of bone, and comprehensively summarize the progress of BP-based materials for bone therapy in the form of hydrogels, polymer membranes, microspheres, and three-dimensional (3D) printed scaffolds. Finally, we discuss the challenges and prospects of BP-based implant materials in bone immune regulation and outlook the future clinical application.
\end{abstract}

\section{Introduction}
Phosphorus, atomic number 15, is in the third period of the periodic table, Group VA, which is widely distributed in nature [1,2]. The phosphorus content in the earth’s crust reaches 0.12\% [3], and the concentration in seawater is as high as 1.2 μM [4]. In addition, phosphorus is also one of the elements with high content in human body, which is ranked sixth after calcium [5]. It accounts for up to 1\% of the body’s total mass and contains about 660 g in adults [6–9], of which 85\% of phosphorus is present in bones and teeth in the form of hydroxyapatite [10,11], which is necessary to maintain the mechanical strength of bones and induce bone regeneration [12–14]. Moreover, phosphorus, as the main component of genetic material such as nucleic acid, also plays an important physiological role in maintaining life, transmitting nerve stimulation, and catalyzing reaction [15–17]. For example, hypophosphatemia caused by hyperparathyroidism [18], vitamin D deficiency [19], and renal tubular acidosis [20] can lead to hypophosphatemic rickets [21] and osteomalacia [22], seriously harming health and normal physiological functions.

The most common elemental phosphorus in nature is mainly white phosphorus [23,24], but its stability is poor, and easy to oxidize spontaneously combustion in humid air, accompanying with odor and highly toxic [25–27]. Therefore, no one has ever associated white phosphorus with tissue engineering. On the contrary, BP is the most stable and the least reactive allotrope in phosphorus [28]. As for the origin of BP, it could be traced back to 1914, Bridgman et al. exposed white phosphorus under high-temperature (200 °C) and high-pressure (1.2 GPa) environment for the first time to produce a more stable block BP [29]. However, there was not a huge wave of research on BP at that time. In the following decades, research on BP was still tepid, until the rapid development of graphene [30], Metal Organic Frameworks (MOFs) [31], Covalent Organic Frameworks (COFs) [32] and other new 2D materials (Xenes) [33] in tissue repair, regeneration and anti-tumor treatment, that the research on thin-layer BP nanomaterials was revived.

Up to now, there have been a lot of studies on the preparation [27], preservation [34], optimization and application of BP nanomaterials in biomedical applications [35]. Luo et al. and Qiu et al. had reviewed BP-based materials for biomedical applications [36,37]. However, based on the physicochemical properties of BP and the fact that phosphorus is one of the most important inorganic components of bone, this review pays attention to the application of BP-based biomaterials in the field of
bone therapy whose scope is wider than bone regeneration, that including osteoarthritis treatment, rheumatoid treatment and bone defect regeneration treatment (Fig. 1, see Table 1) and briefly reviews and discusses the current challenges and future prospects of BP-based biomaterials in bone immune regulation.

2. Physicochemical properties of BP

In recent years, with the development of BP nanomaterials, researchers have found that BP, like graphene, is a two-dimensional (2D) crystalline material with a unique layered structure [38], and exhibits a thick-dependent band gap between 0.3 eV (bulk) to 2.0 eV (monolayer) [39], with different layers connected to each other by van der Waals forces [40]. As the van der Waals force between the stacked BP layers is relatively weak, BP can be easily exfoliated into single or fewer-layer nanosheets by mechanical or liquid phase exfoliation [41], and smaller quantum dot structures (BPQDs) can also be prepared [42]. Well, the main differences between BPNSs and BPQDs are that, BPQDs which belong to 0D nanomaterial, have higher band gaps, ultra-small sizes, higher surface-to-volume ratios and more active edge sites per unit mass [42]. At present, liquid phase exfoliation is the most common method for preparing BP nanomaterials, which is based on the equilibrium surface energy between solvent and materials [43]. And N-methyl-2-pyrrolidone (NMP) has been chosen as the most commonly used solvent because it does not contain water or any chemical groups which may react with BP [44]. In addition, BP has an electron mobility of up to 1000 cm² V⁻¹ s⁻¹ at room temperature [23], which is much higher than that of similar 2D nanomaterials such as Molybdenum sulfide.

Table 1
A summary of the properties, functionalized modifications and potential applications of BP-based materials for bone therapy.

| Materials       | Modification                     | Property                                                                 | Therapy mode                        | Ref  |
|-----------------|----------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|------|
| BPNSs           | BP/PEA/GetMA hydrogel            | Sustained supply of calcium-free phosphorus                              | Rabbit model of cranial defects     | 128  |
| BPNSs           | BP/Gel hydrogel                  | Enhance mechanical performance of hydrogels                             | Rat Model of cranial defects        | 155  |
| BPNSs           | BP/double network hydrogel       | NIR photothermal antibacterial                                           | Rat Model of cranial defects        | 156  |
| BPNSs           | BP/Chitosan/PRP hydrogel         | NIR photothermal conversion                                             | Rat Model of rheumatoid arthritis   | 157  |
| BPNSs           | BP/BG 3D printed scaffold        | NIR photothermal conversion                                             | Osteosarcoma model                  | 172  |
| BPNSs           | BP/GO 3D printed scaffold        | Enhance cell attachment                                                  | Rat Model of cranial defects        | 173  |
| BPNSs           | BP/β-TCP/DOX/Peptide 3D printed  | Sufficient mechanical strength                                          | Bone tumor-bearing nude mice        | 174  |
| BPQDs           | Apt-MVs microspheres             | Molecular recognition-guided                                            | Rat Model of cranial defects        | 192  |
| BPNSs           | BP-SrCl₂/PLGA microspheres       | NIR-triggered drug delivery system                                       | Rat femoral defects                 | 196  |
| BPNSs           | PCL/BP/Col) nanofiber            | Photothermal effects improves bone regeneration                          | In vitro cell experiment            | 210  |
| BPNSs           | BP/PLGA membranes                | Heat-induced osteogenesis                                                | Rat model of tibia defect           | 212  |
(MoS2), and the current on/off ratio can reach $10^4$–$10^5$ [45]. Benefiting from the excellent adjustable band gap and high electron mobility of BP, the initial application of BP mainly focuses on the fields of electronics and optics [46], and the main representative forms are semiconductors [3], transistors [47], photodiodes and so on [48]. In the single-layer BP structure, each phosphorus atom is covalently bonded to three adjacent phosphorus atoms [44]. However, instead of forming a flat plane, they are bound to form a fold-like structure, which creates a prerequisite for BP as a drug delivery nano-carrier [49]. In addition, BP has different degrees of light absorption capacity at all wavelengths, especially in the near-infrared (NIR) region, exhibiting better photothermal conversion efficiency [50]. As a result, BP is also an emerging material in the biomedical field.

Among them, research on cancer therapy is probably the most in-depth. Chen et al. achieved up to 950% load of doxorubicin (DOX) for tumor treatment by using the multi-fold-like structure and negative surface charge of BP nanosheets [49]. Li et al. developed the NIR/ROS (reactive oxygen species)-responsive BPDQs nanovesicles (BPNV) loaded with immune adjuvant CpG oligodeoxynucleotides by self-assembly of polyethylene glycol (PEG) modified BPQDs and ROS sensitive polypropylene (PPS), which were used in the multi-mode therapy of tumors through the combination of synergistic photodynamic therapy and immunotherapy [51]. Mei et al. coated BPDQs with erythrocyte membrane, and induced apoptosis of breast cancer tumor cells by using the photothermal therapy (PTT) effect of BP under NIR, so as to achieve the purpose of tumor inhibition and treatment [52]. Without doubt, gold nanoparticles [53] and other emerging Xenes [54], are wildly employed in photo-thermal anti-tumor therapy [55], due to their NIR light response characteristics, ease of fabrication, and tunability in optical properties [56], but their wild applications are subject to serious limitations such as a weak photothermal conversion efficient, relatively low biosafety and biodegradability, difficulty in metabolizing out of the human body, undegradability, and cytotoxicity [56,57]. However, unlike gold nanoparticles, which have safety concerns that can induce toxicity to cells directly, lead to vascular obstruction resulting from long-term potential nanoparticle aggregation, and cause to immune rejection [58,59], BP is composited by the phosphorus element alone which accounts for up to 1% in the body [60]. Therefore, it has better biocompatibility and biodegradability, and the degradation products will not cause huge damage to the kidneys and liver, which is more suitable for biomedical applications and has more practical value [61,62].

3. Biodegradability and stability of BP

In comparison to other 2D nanomaterials for instance MoS2, Boron nitride (BN) and graphene, the biodegradable properties of BP in vivo make it more promising and safer as a biomedical material [63]. BP is easily degraded after exposure to water or oxygen to produce non-toxic intermediates, such as phosphates, phosphites and other P2O2 [64]. Besides, recent studies have shown that fewer-layer BP nanosheets, especially single-layer nanosheets, are more prone to interact with water and oxygen react and are more easily degraded [65,66]. However, in practical application, due to the poor stability of BP material under the conditions of water and oxygen, partial degradation occur at the initial stage of implantation or before implantation, which may affect the final therapeutic effect. This phenomenon is mainly due to the existence of a lone pair of electrons in the phosphorus atom in BP, which can easily adsorb the surrounding oxygen molecules [67,68]. The specific degradation mechanism is that the oxygen molecules on the surface of BP produce superoxide ions ($O_2^-$), combine with the lone pairs of electrons in the surface layer of BP to form phosphorus oxide, which rapidly degrades to phosphate under aqueous conditions, after that the remaining oxidized BP continues to be degraded [69–71]. For BP material, in order to obtain a wide range of applications, it is necessary to improve its stability, because BP structure and function will be greatly reduced or even disappear after oxidative degradation.

At present, the main ways to improve the stability of BP are adding protective layer [72], surface chemical modification [73], doping with other elements [74] and physical mixture [75]. For example, Zong et al. prepared a drug nanocarrier based on BP nanosheets modified by PEG through electrostatic adsorption, which can enhance the stability of bare BP nanosheets and work as a synergic and targeted chemophotothermal platform for treatment of acute lymphoblastic leukemia (ALL) (Fig. 2) [76]. Liang et al. designed a vesicular preparation of BPQDs coated with red blood cell membranes (RBCM), which can induce apoptosis of breast cancer cells in situ by NIR laser irradiation, thereby mobilizing the immune system to eliminate residual and metastatic cancer cells (Fig. 3A–C) [52]. Zhang et al. prepared metal ion

---

**Fig. 2.** (A) Schematic illustration of the fabrication process and structure of the BP-based nanocarrier. (B) Absorbance spectra of BP and BP@PEG. (C) Zeta potential of BP and BP@PEG. Reprinted with permissions from Ref. [76], Copyright 2019, Americian Chemical Society. Abbreviations: CCRF-CEM, ALL cell line; PTK7, tyrosine kinase 7; Sgc8, aptamers.
platinum (Pt)-modified bare BP through cation-π interaction to improve the stability of BP nanosheets (Fig. 3D–F) [77]. Wang et al. customized the tripeptide Fmoc-Lys-Lys-Phe (Fmoc-KKF) for surface modification of BP nanosheets, and the modified BP@FKK complex not only had excellent stability, but also showed good cellular compatibility and enhanced cell uptake capacity [78]. Yang et al. doped tellurium (Te) to improve the stability of the prepared BP (Fig. 4A–D) [79]. Lv et al. achieved a sulfur (S) doped BP by using high-pressure and high-temperature methods, which presented higher stability and more stable transistor performance even after exposing to air for 21 days (Fig. 4E–I) [80]. Tang et al. developed fluorinated BPQDs via one step approach, which possessed superior air stability due to its fluorine adatom-induced antioxidation and anti-hydration behavior [81]. Xing et al. constructed BP/cellulose hydrogels for effective cancer therapy [75]. There have been a lot of studies on enhancing the stability of BP, however, research on BP is still in the preliminary exploration stage, and further research is needed to obtain a more stable BP without affecting the function of BP.

4. The destination of BP tissue engineering application—bone therapy

4.1. Bone physiology and bone mineralization

The essence of bone tissue is a biomaterial with a hierarchical structure from micro to macro [82]. It is composed of organic matter, mainly type I collagen [83], to support and tension [84], and mineral salts [85], mostly calcium phosphate, to provide stiffness and pressure to the bone [86]. Bone tissue is in a constant remodeling state (Fig. 5) via the coordinate regulation between osteoclasts derived from differentiation of hematopoietic stem cells absorbing dead bone and osteoblasts derived from differentiation of mesenchymal stem cells.
promoting the formation of new bone, which is important for maintaining normal bone structure and function [87]. However, when the balance is broken, that is, the function of osteoclasts is stronger than that of osteoblasts or the activity of osteoblasts is higher than osteoclasts, diseases such as osteoporosis and osteosclerosis may occur [88,89]. Moreover, in the process of bone remodeling, in addition to the participation of osteoblasts and osteoclasts [90], bone mineralization caused by calcium and phosphorus metabolism also plays an important role [91].

Bone mineralization is based on type I collagen as a scaffold, under the synergistic adjustment of mineral salts, non-collagen, proteoglycan, glycoprotein and related enzymes [84,86]. Bone mineralization occurs in two steps [92]. First, calcium ions and phosphate ions accumulate in matrix vesicles (MV) to form hydroxyapatite (HA) crystals, followed by HA proliferation through the cell membrane into the extracellular matrix [93]. Calcium-binding phospholipids, calcium-binding proteins, and bone-binding proteins promote calcium accumulation in MV. The membrane binding proteins in MV form calcium channels and bind calcium into MV. Sodium (Na)/P cooperation protein type III located on the cell membrane and matrix vesicle membrane to provide phosphate [85]. Cytosolic phosphate produces phosphate by hydrolyzing phosphocholine and phosphoethanolamine. When the accumulation of calcium and phosphate exceeds the dissolution point of calcium phosphate (CaPO₄), the HA in MV forms the CaPO₄ precipitation [94]. The second step of mineralization is that HA penetrates the MV and extends into the extracellular matrix (ECM), connects with collagen, and then continues to grow [95]. The outward extension of HA requires MV to be in an environment with a suitable concentration of calcium and phosphate and PH value. HA exists in clusters around MV and gradually fills the gaps between collagen fibers in the bone matrix to achieve mineralization [96].
4.2. Current status of bone defects

Bone defect caused by infection, trauma, tumor, bone joint disease, bone nonunion or delayed union has always been a difficulty in clinical treatment [97,98]. The essence of the treatment should be to strengthen the bone mineralization ability of the defect area and the capacity of osteoblasts to form new bone [99,100]. Although research on the treatment of bone defects has been continuing for more than a century, the treatment method is still limited and the effect is still unsatisfactory, especially for osteoporotic fractures or bone defects caused by aging [101]. At present, the "gold standard" for bone defect treatment is still autogenous bone transplantation, which is accompanied by a large number of risks, such as anesthesia risk, intraoperative damage to the donor area, limited bone extraction (5–70 cm²) and potential complications, thus limiting the wide application of autogenous bone transplantation [102,103]. The risk of immune rejection and transmission of diseases such as hepatitis B and syphilis unavoidably exist in bone allografts including homologous and allogeneic bone grafts [104]. Therefore, based on the urgent clinical needs, the development of safe and effective bone substitutes may have epoch-making milestone significance.

In recent years, with the rapid development of tissue engineering technology, artificial bone replacement materials have become the main research and development direction of reconstructing the defect bone morphology and function, and have made a lot of achievements [105,106]. Compared with "gold standard" autogenous bone, the advantages of artificial bone replacement materials mainly include the unlimited amount of synthesis [107], the ability to act as drug delivery carrier [108], and the ease of functional modification [109]. Thus, giving the materials new functions such as vascularization [110], bone targeted recognition [111], and recruitment of stem cells [112], are more conducive than the physiological repair of defects. For example, Yan et al. developed a 3D printed polycaprolactone (PCL) scaffold with vascularization capabilities for the repair of critical bone defects [113]. Kim et al. synthesized a pH-responsive and thermo-sensitive hydrogel designed by introducing sulfamethazine oligomer (SMO) to the copolymer of PCL and PEG to release bone morphogenetic protein 2 (BMP2) targeted osteoblasts and promote mineralization [114]. Wang et al. prepared adaptive nanoparticles that recruit stem cells to enhance the repair of bone defects [115]. Liu et al. developed a lithium coated titanium scaffold that promotes bone integration through the Wnt/β-catenin pathway [116]. These artificial bone substitute materials have good bone repair capabilities, however, they are also many defects, for example: the release of deferoxamine (DFO) from vascularized 3D scaffolds is uncontrolled; BMP2 released by pH-responsive hydrogels is easily inactivated and expensive; metal scaffold has inability to degrade, and needs for a secondary surgery to remove it later. Therefore, it is of great significance to develop bone substitutes with controlled release, biodegradability and high biocompatibility.

4.3. Advantages of BP in bone therapy

BP-based biomaterials have significant advantages in bone therapy, the most important one is that BP is composed of a single phosphorus element [66], which has a high degree of homology with the inorganic components of natural bone [117]. Although, antimone and boron nanosheets are also emerging materials in nanomedicine, they are not the major constitution of bone inorganic substance and it is not clear whether antimone has an ability to repair bone or how boron regulate the mechanism of bone regeneration [118,119]. In comparison, even though currently widely used HA, β-tricalcium phosphate (β-TCP), and other calcium phosphate-based bone substitute materials also simulate the composition of natural bone minerals, and give them excellent mechanical properties and ability to induce new bone deposition [120–123], the HA structure is stable, not easy to degrade, and unable to provide a microenvironment of 3D micro-nano structures suitable for bone growth, showing the disadvantages of poor cell crawling and cell adhesion, as well as the difficulty of ingrowth [124–126]. As a result, the defect healing rate after material implantation is often slow, the amount of bone formation is limited, and the clinical efficacy is unsatisfactory, especially for the patients with critical bone defect and the population with weak regeneration ability such as multi-basic diseases [127].

Compared with HA, the advantages of BP are as follows: 1) BP can be degraded into non-toxic phosphate after oxidation, then attract surrounding free calcium ions and combine into calcium phosphate mineralization and deposition to promote in-situ bone regeneration and repair [128]; 2) Due to the strong light absorption ability of BP in the NIR region, BP-based nanomaterials have a stable, damage-free light-controlled adjustment release mode, which makes the release of loaded drugs more stable and longer-lasting [49]; 3) In addition, there have been numerous studies showing that BP has good capability of photothermal conversion under NIR region and local hyperthermia treatment has also been confirmed to up-regulate alkaline phosphatase (ALP) [129,130], heat shock protein (HSP) [131], to increase the formation of mineralized crystal, and to achieve longitudinal and concentric growth of bone, thereby accelerating the process of bone repair [132,133]. ALP is the main marker that reflects bone metabolic capacity and its level is related to the differentiation of osteoblasts and greatly affects the process of osteoblast cell matrix mineralization [134]. HSP is essential...
for the normal formation of subchondral bone [135]. Therefore, we have reason to believe that BP-based nanomaterials will have greater development space in the field of bone repair.

5. Application of BP-based hydrogel in bone regeneration

Hydrogels are a type of natural/synthetic polymer chains inter-connected by cross-linking agents to produce hydrophilic biomaterials with a gel macromolecular structure [136–138]. Due to their hydrophilic properties, hydrogels have abilities to swell, hydrate, and mimic the mechanical and lubricating properties of different biological tissues [139–142]. Besides, the injectable and crosslinking properties including physical crosslinking (hydrophobic interaction, hydrogen bonding, electrostatic force, etc.) and chemical crosslinking (covalent bonding) make it easy to design and operate [143–146]. In recent decades, hydrogels have achieved unprecedented development in biomedicine due to their high biocompatibility and adjustable physicochemical properties [147]. The 3D hydrogel network system provides a microstructure of the original extracellular matrix for cell transplantation and differentiation [148], bioremediation [149], wound healing [150], and continuous drug delivery [151]. For example, Cheng et al. used thiol-modified BSA protein and vascular polypeptide to cross-link with Ag ions to construct a peptide-protein injectable hydrogel system for the first time. Taking advantage of the vascularization of vascular peptide, the 3D extracellular matrix characteristics of BSA protein and the rapid antibacterial ability of silver ions, the repair of infected wounds was promoted. In addition, hydrogels are also widely used in critical bone defects [152]. Yan et al. prepared silk fibroin hydrogels with high cell adhesion function to repair bone defects by enhancing the osteogenic differentiation ability of bone marrow mesenchymal stem cells (BMMSCs) [153].

The main reason BP has received extensive attention from researchers is its unique pre-osteogenesis ability in the field of bone repair. Huang et al. developed a BP-based Gelatin methacryloyl (GelMA) hydrogel that continuously and gently supplied phosphate ions, a degradation product of BP, to capture calcium ions, which could improve the osteogenic differentiation of stem cells through the BMP2 pathway and promote in-situ mineralization deposition of calcium phosphate to promote bone regeneration (Fig. 6A–C) [128]. At the same time, they also proved that the introduction of BP nanosheets not only improved the mechanical properties of hydrogels, but also endowed them with the ability of NIR release response. Therefore, in combination with the effect of phosphorus-rich materials for mineralization and bone repair reported in previous studies [154], they believe that this strategy of continuous supply of calcium-free phosphorus achieved by this hydrogel platform containing BP nanosheets provide hope for effective bone regeneration. Miao et al. reported GelMA nanocomposite hydrogels mixed with BP nanosheets (Fig. 6D–H) [155]. They found that the added BP nanosheets confer a variety of functions on the natural matrix, including enhanced networking, photothermral properties, enhanced mineralization, and bone regeneration, thus providing a simple and efficient therapeutic strategy for bone tissue engineering. Wang et al. developed a novel dual network (DN) nanohydrogel containing BP nanosheets with adjustable mechanical properties (Fig. 7) [156]. By introducing BP nanosheets, CaP (calcium phosphate) crystal formation and excellent mechanical properties were induced, and concurrent osteogenic differentiation of osteoblasts provided a favorable ECM microenvironment to promote the repair and regeneration of defective bone. Pan et al. combined BP nanosheets into a platelet rich plasma (PRP) chitosan thermal response hydrogel for the treatment of arthritis and bone defects caused by rheumatoid arthritis (RA) [157]. The local heat generated by BP under NIR and the generated reactive oxygen species (ROS) are transferred to the diseased joint to remove the proliferative synovial tissue, meanwhile, the release of BP degradation products can be precisely controlled, providing sufficient raw materials for osteogenesis, and promoting the repair of bone defects caused by RA.

With the progress of bone repair, the timely invasion of new blood vessels into the fiber/chondral callus will be more conducive to the physiological repair of bone [158–160]. The restoration of the effective blood supply maintains and ensures the completion of the bone remodeling/bone remodeling process in an orderly manner, otherwise it will lead to obstacles in multiple links such as intra-membrane ossification, bone replacement of cartilage callus, bone remodeling/shaping, and even the occurrence of bone nonunion or delayed bone healing [161]. However, there is no report about the use of vascularized BP hydrogel for the repair of critical defect bones. We believe that in the design of future BP hydrogels, loading BP with vasoactive drugs, peptides, or siRNA, and using the light responsiveness of BP will help to bring materials closer to physiological bone repair with precisely controlled release system of hydrogels, and will greatly enrich the current design concept of BP hydrogel.

6. Application of BP-based 3D printed scaffold in bone regeneration

The ideal bone tissue engineering repair scaffold needs to have key features such as biocompatibility, biodegradability, bone conductivity and mechanical properties [162], among which, bone conductivity and good mechanical support capabilities may be essentially required for the development of bone repair materials [163]. Traditional hydrogels and other scaffolds tend to have good cell adhesion, proliferation and biodegradation capacity, without the ability of bone conductivity and long-lasting mechanical support [164,165]. With the rapid development of 3D printing technology, this demand has been well met. The 3D printed scaffold with interconnected porous structure, can provide a 3D environment, similar to the ECM, which promotes the adhesion and proliferation of osteoblasts on its surface as well as pores, induces blood vessel formation and transports nutrient [166–169]. Furthermore, before the new bone formation in weight-bearing bones, the 3D printed scaffold can provide a certain amount of mechanical support, and prevent fibrous tissue from forming an encapsulation between the scaffold and the host bone [170]. Therefore, it is considered to be the most effective method for preparing a structurally bionic bone repair scaffold. For example, Walsh et al. described a cell-free biomaterial implant that has been functionalized with a low dose combination of BMP2 and vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) on an osteoconductive collagen-hydroxyapatite 3D scaffold, enabling rapid regeneration of rat critical-sized cranial defect [171]. However, the current 3D printed scaffolds do not have good mineralization abilities, and it is difficult to achieve controlled release of loaded drug. Therefore, it is of great practical value to develop 3D scaffolds with good biomechanical properties, bone mineralization and controlled release.

In the past 2 years, the application of BP-based 3D printed scaffolds in the treatment of bone tumors and repair of bone defects has gradually increased. Yang et al. constructed a 3D printed BP-Bioglass (BG) scaffold, which ablated osteosarcoma via the PTT effect of BP under NIR, and drove the binding of calcium ions by the degradation product phosphate to achieve the biological mineralization (Fig. 8) [172]. Meanwhile, the excellent characteristics of the BG scaffold contributed in bone formation, bone conduction and bone induction, as well as the promotion of the in-situ regeneration of the defective bone. Both in vitro and in vivo experiments had shown that the BP-BG scaffold had high osteogenic capacity, indicating that it has excellent potential in the treatment of osteosarcoma. In another case, BP was first wrapped in negatively charged graphene oxide (GO) nanosheets, then these BP@GO sheets were absorbed on a positively charged poly (propylene glycol fumarate) 3D scaffold (Fig. 9) [173]. Due to the huge surface area of GO and the phosphate released by BP’s continuous oxidation, the synergistic effect of GO and BP on cell proliferation and osteogenic differentiation was played. According to the concept of “kill first, then repair and regenerate”, based on 3D printing technology at low
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temperature, Wang et al. printed out a 3D porous nanocomposite scaffold with high mechanical strength, containing poly(lactic-co-glycolic acid) (PLGA), β-TCP, BP, DOX and BMP2 and used this scaffold to reconstruct the defect after bone tumor resection [174] (Fig. 10). The synergy of BP photothermal therapy and DOX chemotherapy is used to achieve the purpose of tumor ablation and long-term suppression of recurrence. Eventually, due to the degradation of β-TCP and BP and the release of BMP2, the defect obtained a better bone regeneration effect.

In the case of bone defects, especially segmental large defects, 3D scaffolds can be biodegraded under the condition of persistent body load to match the formation of new bone tissue [175,176]. In addition, compared with other technologies, 3D scaffolds are able to deliver personalized, customized treatments [177]. The BP-based 3D printed scaffold integrates BP photothermal, osteogenesis and other natural advantages, making use of the good skeleton support of 3D scaffold, which will be better applied in segmental bone repair and treatment, and make up for the shortcomings of other existing materials such as insufficient mechanical properties.

7. Application of BP-based microspheres in bone regeneration

Minimal invasion is one of the key points to evaluate the efficacy of repair. From a clinical perspective, injectable materials can simplify surgeons’ operational difficulty, reduce the risk of infection and scar formation, reduce treatment costs, and improve patient comfort [178,179]. Microspheres may be the best minimally invasive treatment [180,181]. Microspheres are free-flowing microcapsules or micro-particles, with a diameter of 1–1000 μm, and can be used for encapsulation of drugs, bioactive molecules, and cells [182]. Compared with ordinary dosage form drugs, microspheres can improve the stability of drugs [183], reduce the stimulation of the gastrointestinal tract [184], enhance the controlled release and delivery to the targeted region [185,186]. For example, Li et al. used tetraethylenepentamine-graphene (GGO-TEPA) to induce CaCO3 mineralization and successfully constructed a CaCO3/GGO-TEPA drug carrier with a hollow structure and rough surface to achieve DOX loading and sustained release, which can regulate release and promote anti-tumor treatment through pH
regulated expression of HSP and ALP. Although the interconnected
BPQDs, and further promote bone regeneration by stimulating the up-
degradation stimulated cell biomineralization (Fig. 11A
tection, the microspheres have photothermal e
ction, the microspheres had a good photothermal response ability under
spheres had a good photothermal response ability under
response [187]. In addition to being an excellent controlled-release
rier, microspheres can be packaged individually or combined with
other materials to make a porous 3D structure serving as tissue en-
In recent years, based on the requirements of minimally invasive
and high drug-loading capabilities, microsphere biomaterials have
achieved more applications in bone repair. For example, Hu et al. in-
corporated interleukin 4 (IL4) into a modiﬁed gelatin microsphere with
self-assembly heparin nanoﬁbers, through the immune regulation of
macrophage polarization (transforming pro-inﬂammatory M1 macro-
phages into positive healing M2 phenotypes), this hybrid microsphere
was able to eliminate in
multi-porous microspheres can greatly improve the spe-
cance ability, packaging
pores between granular microspheres are beneﬁcial to bone regenera-
tion, polymer microspheres usually have unstable morphology, lacks of
shaping ability, and are prone to loss [193–195]. Wang et al. con-
structed NIR-trigged microspheres for sustained-release strontium
(Sr2+) for repairing distal femoral defects via incorporating SrCl2 and
BP into PLGA (Fig. 11D–F) [196]. With the addition of BP, BP-SrCl2/-
PLGA microspheres had a good photothermal response ability under
NIR, and was endowed with the precise release ability of Sr2+ through
the thermal destruction of PLGA shell. Besides, these novel micro-
spheres show excellent cell viability and biodegradability.
In microcapsules, the cargo molecules are encapsulated in a core, and
the shell is composed of a matrix material; while in monolithic
particles, the cargo molecules are dispersed in the matrix [197]. The
density of the non-porous traditional microspheres depends on
the density of the matrix material itself, while the speciﬁc surface area
increases with decreasing particle size [198]. These defects limit the
application of traditional microspheres. The multi-compartmental or
multi-porous microspheres can greatly improve the speciﬁc surface area
of the microspheres because of their better classiﬁcation, packaging
capabilities and loading efﬁciency [199,200]. A microsphere can carry
multiple drug molecules or cells, which can achieve better physiological
repair. Combined with the advantages of BP, we believe that such
multi-spaced microspheres and porous microspheres have more po-
tential value and better therapeutic effects in bone repair applications.

8. Application of BP-based electrospun membrane in bone
regeneration

Electrospinning has become a versatile and popular technique for
constructing tissue engineering scaffolds because it can produce layered
microstructures and highly controllable nanofibers [201]. Electrospun
Nanoﬁbrous membranes have a porous microstructure that mimics the natural extracellular matrix, making it a temporary platform for cells to migrate, adhere, differentiate and proliferate [202]. Of course, the promotion of cell adhesion, proliferation, and the ability of tissue repair or other behaviors are not the prerequisites for the application of all electrospun nanoﬁbrous membranes. In some cases such as in the prevention of tissue adhesion, less cell adhesion, less cell proliferation, even no cell proliferation is what we expect [203,204]. Inspired by the super lubrication mechanism of articular cartilage, our group successfully constructed hydration super-lubricated electrospun nanoﬁbrous membranes for the prevention of tendon adhesion. This copolymer was synthesized by grafting polydopamine methacrylamide (pDMA) and 2-methacryloyloxyethyl phosphorylcholine (MPC) onto PCL electrospun membranes in-situ via one step method [205].

In addition to preventing tissue adhesion, electrospun nanoﬁbrous membranes have also been widely used in repairing bone defects [206,207]. Inspired by the balance between bone resorption and bone formation during bone remodeling, Wang et al. developed an electrospun PCL/gelatin nanoﬁber scaffold with mesoporous silicate nanoparticles (MSN) to achieve the synergic effect of alendronate (ALN) and silicate in regulating bone remodeling and promoting the regeneration of tendon adhesion. This copolymer was synthesized by grafting polydopamine methacrylamide (pDMA) and 2-methacryloyloxyethyl phosphorylcholine (MPC) onto PCL electrospun membranes in-situ via one step method [205].

In addition to preventing tissue adhesion, electrospun nanoﬁbrous membranes have also been widely used in repairing bone defects [206,207]. Inspired by the balance between bone resorption and bone formation during bone remodeling, Wang et al. developed an electrospun PCL/gelatin nanoﬁber scaffold with mesoporous silicate nanoparticles (MSN) to achieve the synergic effect of alendronate (ALN) and silicate in regulating bone remodeling and promoting the regeneration of tendon adhesion. This copolymer was synthesized by grafting polydopamine methacrylamide (pDMA) and 2-methacryloyloxyethyl phosphorylcholine (MPC) onto PCL electrospun membranes in-situ via one step method [205].

Lee et al. successfully prepared PCL/BP/Collagen nanoﬁber membrane by electrospinning technology, and conﬁrmed that this membrane not only can promote the attachment and proliferation of osteoblasts, but also promote their osteogenic differentiation, which can be used as a potential scaffold material for bone tissue engineering (Fig. 12A–C) [210]. However, the successful construction of electrospun membranes may be limited by equipment, air humidity and temperature [211]. BP nanosheets were blended into trichloromethane (DCM) solution containing PLGA before poured into a speciﬁc mold after stirring and sonication to prepare a BP@PLGA membrane (Fig. 12D–H) [212]. This easily prepared bioﬁlm was found to be completely biodegradable, and the degradation products were harmless H2O, CO2 and PO43−, which could be used as essential raw materials for bone regeneration. After being implanted in vivo, even if it was covered by 7 mm thick biological tissue, the BP@PLGA membrane still showed an eﬃcient NIR photothermal response capability. It has been proven that moderate and regular heat induction could effectively up-regulate the expression of HSP, and ultimately promote in vivo and in vitro osteogenesis.

Peripheral nerve ﬁbers are important for not only normal bone homeostasis and bone growth, but also for post-traumatic bone repair mechanisms (such as fracture healing) [213]. Sympathetic nerves and sensory-derived nerve ﬁbers innervate trabeculae, periosteum, and callus, which are involved in the control of angiogenesis and matrix differentiation during endochondral ossiﬁcation in embryonic limb development, suggesting a unique role in regulating bone growth and limb formation [214,215]. In bone metabolism and bone remodeling, calocalcin gene-related peptide (CGRP) and vasoactive intestinal

Fig. 8. BP-based scaffolds can ablate osteosarcoma and enhance bone formation. (A) Schematic illustration of the preparation process of 3D BP-BG scaffold and step-by-step treatment strategy. (B) Time-dependent tumor-growth curves of the mice after different treatments. (C) Micro-CT images of the cranial defect after treatment for 8 weeks. (D) The BV/TV percentage over the entire defect space (*p < 0.05). Reprinted with permissions from Ref. [172], Copyright 2018, Wiley-VCH.
peptide (VIP) have anabolic effects, inducing osteoblast activity and inhibiting osteoclast generation [216,217]. The promotion effect of BP on the recovery of nerve regeneration has also been supported by relevant literature, mainly based on two characteristics: the phosphate degradation product and the high electrical conductivity [218]. Phosphate can promote early regeneration of peripheral nerves by reducing scar formation and inducing axon regeneration, while the specific mechanism is that phosphate can promote cell attachment and axon extension [219,220]. Besides, the essence of nerve signal conduction is electrical conduction, therefore, restoring the damaged electrical functions of injured neurons can promote nerve regeneration [221]. Due to the higher electrical conductivity of BP, its potential application in peripheral nerve regeneration become possible. Qian et al. prepared a concentric integrated layer-by-layer biological self-assembled BP-based nanocomposite scaffold [218]. They found that this nanocomposite scaffold had the ability to induce neurogenesis and angiogenesis, and stimulate remyelination and calcium-dependent axonal regeneration, under mild oxidative stress, which provided new sight for nerve regeneration. It is foreseeable that in the future application of BP-based nanomaterials in bone repair, the focus is not solely on the degradation product phosphate to promote in-situ mineralization, but also on the possibility of BP-induced nerve regeneration to regulate bone repair and regeneration.

9. Conclusion and outlook

In summary, this work reviews the source, physicochemical properties of BP, and its unique biological characteristics including excellent biocompatibility, biodegradability, photothermal conversion ability, and comprehensively summarizes the progress of BP-based nanomaterials in bone therapy. From the aspects summarized above, the main approaches of BP-based nanomaterial in bone repair include: 1) BP-based nanomaterials are gradually oxidized and degraded into phosphate after implantation, and then capture the surrounding calcium ions, thereby are able to promote in-situ mineralization and regeneration; 2) After implantation of BP-based nanomaterials, even though they are covered by thick biological tissues, their still have high efficiency of photothermal conversion, which creates a regular and stable local thermal environment can activate multiple osteogenic proteins including HSP and ALP, so as to further promote the process of bone repair; 3) Due to the high conductivity, BP-based nanomaterials can assist the recovery of nerve which plays an important role in the regeneration of bone. Therefore, the application of BP-based nanomaterials in the field of bone therapy has achieved good results, and has provided certain theoretical and practical support for subsequent in-depth research.

Although BP-based nanomaterials have achieved satisfactory results in bone repair treatment, compared with other 2D nanomaterials such as graphene, the research on BP is still in its infancy, and there are difficulties and challenges to be overcome for future clinical...
applications, such as expensive; cumbersome preparation; poor stability; difficult to store for a long time and unclear immune mechanism. Among them, the most important point is the possibility of in vivo immune rejection of all implants, including BP-based nanomaterials [222]. As is known to all, bone repair is a complicated process, including inflammation hematoma reaction, fiber callus formation, ossous callus formation and bone remodeling stage [223]. In the inflammation hematoma reaction phase, the immune regulatory response of immune cells, including macrophages, is thought to affect osteoblast differentiation, apoptosis and other behaviors [224,225].

In addition, from the perspective of body immunity, all implanted materials are likely to be foreign matters that cause autoimmune reactions [226]. The implantation of bone repair materials not only changes the local microenvironment of bone, but also makes the relationship among immune cells, biomaterials and microenvironment more complicated [227]. With regard to the relationship between bone repair materials and immune defense, the viewpoint has gradually changed from "how to avoid the inflammatory response caused by implanted materials" to "the immune response of the body stimulated after the implantation of materials plays a key role in the participation of materials in bone tissue regeneration" [228]. Macrophages are the major players in this reaction, and their content in bone tissue is about one sixth of the total bone marrow cells [229]. As early as 1980, scientists observed that osteo-macrophages not only exist in static surface of the rest bone tissue, but also exist beside mature osteoblasts in the active site of bone remodeling, which provides first-hand evidence for osteo-macrophages to support bone formation [230,231]. With the deepening of research, some scholars have found that osteo-macrophages can significantly enhance mineralization in vitro experiments, and in vivo animal experiments have also confirmed that mice with macrophage knockout exhibit less bone formation [232]. These data comprehensively demonstrated the great potential of macrophages in regulating bone formation. In addition, macrophages can also respond effectively to signaling molecules in the microenvironment by changing the phenotype [229,233]. For example, M2 macrophages mainly release anti-inflammatory factors to play an immunomodulatory role, and release related growth factors to promote vascularization and extracellular matrix formation, which is beneficial for tissue repair and regeneration [234]. Even though, the phagocytosis of foreign materials by macrophages will trigger an inflammatory reaction to a certain extent [235], which is a normal host response to foreign material, the intensity and persistence of the inflammation will ultimately affect the bio-compatibility, stability and implant effectiveness of the material in vivo [236].

In addition, some ions released during the degradation of the implanted material in the body will also change the microenvironment of the immune response [237]. For example, calcium ions (Ca^{2+}) are immunomodulated through the Wnt/β-catenin pathway [238], magnesium ions can be immunomodulated by inhibiting the Toll-like receptor (TLR) pathway [239], Sr^{2+} can inhibit the secretion of tumor necrosis factor-α (TNF-α) by blocking the nuclear factor-kappa B (NF-κB) pathway [240]. While the effect of BP on the polarization of M1 and M2...
Macrophages and their immune mechanism has not yet been reported. Recently, some scholars have pointed out that the bare BP may have a potential in inflammatory response, and when modified with titanium sulfonate ligand (TiL4), it can effectively escape from the uptake of macrophages and reduce the cytotoxicity and proinflammatory effects [241]. Mo et al. found that after adsorption of plasma proteins on BP nanomaterials, a protein corona structure (protein-nanoparticle interaction) might be formed, which would significantly reshape the BP-corona composite nanomaterials, affecting cell uptake, activating the NF-κB pathway, and inducing immunotoxicity and immune interference in macrophages [242]. Moreover, we notice that the immune response is also affected by the surface charge of materials, which is generally believed that cationic (positively charged) particles can enhance inflammation more than anionic (negatively charged) and neutral particles [243,244]. Most immune cells have a negative surface charge and positively charged particles will drain the negative surface charge of the cell membrane, which may affect the location and confirmation of the protein. That will normally induce signal transduction into the cytoplasm, resulting in obvious biological reactions, including inflammatory reactions [245]. Accordingly, we speculate that decreasing the concentration of BP or forming BP composite particles, may effectively reduce inflammatory reaction. However, the study on how BP nanomaterial regulates bone repair through bone immunity needs to be further studied. With the unremitting efforts of more and more researchers, the application of BP-based nanomaterials in bone therapy will be better developed and reach a higher level.
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