Is Colonoscopy Indicated in Young Patients with Hematochezia?
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Abstract

Background/Aims: While colonoscopy is indicated in patients >50 years old presenting with hematochezia, its role in those ≤50 remains debatable. This study aims to evaluate the role of colonoscopy in patients presenting with hematochezia who are ≤50 years old. Methods: A retrospective review of all patients aged ≤50 years who underwent colonoscopy for hematochezia in 2012 was conducted. Patient demographics, endoscopic details, and histological results were analyzed. Patients were stratified by age to compare differences in outcome. Results: A total of 361 patients with a median age of 44 (range, 18–50) years were reviewed. Hemorrhoid (n = 183, 69.6%) was the most common etiology. Seventy-two neoplastic polyps were identified in 48 (13.3%) patients. There was a significantly larger proportion of patients aged 41–50 years who had neoplastic polyps compared to those aged ≤40 (18.8 vs. 3.8%, p ≤ 0.001); 43.8% (n = 28) of the neoplastic polyps found in those aged 41–50 were proximal to the splenic flexure. The only 2 (0.5%) patients with malignancy were aged 41–50 years. Conclusion: Performing colonoscopy in patients presenting with hematochezia should be strongly considered for those aged 41–50 years in view of the significant likelihood of underlying neoplastic polyps compared to those aged ≤40 years.

Introduction

Hematochezia is defined as frank bleeding per rectum and has a worldwide hospitalization incidence of 36 per 100,000 patients [1, 2]. It is the most common presentation of colorectal cancer and is encountered in 25.5–42.3% of the patients [3, 4]. As most of the
colorectal cancers arise from the adenoma-carcinoma pathway, it is vital to remove any neoplastic polyp prior to malignant transformation.

While colonoscopy is clearly indicated in patients aged >50 years old presenting with hematochezia, its role in those ≤50 years old remains debatable. The reported incidence of colorectal cancer in this group of patients is exceedingly low [5, 6]. Although flexible sigmoidoscopy has been advocated in these patients, the risk of missing neoplastic lesions in the proximal part of the colon is present. This is perhaps more pertinent in Asians where colon cancers are seen in higher frequency in the right colon and in younger patients [7].

Thus, the aim of this study was to evaluate the role of colonoscopy in patients ≤50 years old presenting with the primary symptom of hematochezia.

**Methods**

NHG Domain Specific Review Board approval was obtained, and a retrospective review of all patients ≤50 years old who underwent colonoscopy for the primary symptom of hematochezia from a single institution in 2012 was performed. Hematochezia was defined as the passage of fresh blood per rectum with or without stools. Only patients aged 18–50 years old were included, and the list of patients was retrieved using our institution's endoscopic database. Data collected included patient demographics, likely cause of bleeding, site of pathology, location of any polyps, and their histological diagnoses. Incidental polyp was defined as polyps identified during colonoscopic examination which were not responsible for the hematochezia. Neoplastic polyp was defined as tubular or tubulovillous adenoma on histological examination. Patients were also stratified into age groups for subsequent analysis.

All colonoscopies in our institution were performed by gastroenterologists or general surgeons of at least the Registrar/Senior Resident level. All patients underwent appropriate preprocedural bowel preparation before the colonoscopy. The decision to administer moderate sedation is left at the discretion of the endoscopist. All colonoscopies begin in the left lateral position with regular blood pressure, heart rate, and oxygen saturation monitoring. All findings were documented based on its entity, location, size, and likely pathology. Narrow band imaging was available and may have been used, but this was unfortunately not captured in the final endoscopy report. If feasible and safe, all neoplastic lesions were removed for histological analysis during the colonoscopy, and the patients were followed-up in the outpatient clinic for subsequent management.

Statistical analysis was performed using Pearson’s $\chi^2$ for categorical analysis, and all analyses were performed using IBM SPSS version 20 (SPSS, Chicago, IL, USA).

**Results**

A total of 361 patients, with a median age of 44 (range, 18–50) years old, underwent colonoscopy for the primary symptom of hematochezia during the study period. The likely etiology of the hematochezia was identified in 263 (72.9%) patients, with hemorrhoids being the most common diagnosis seen in 183 (69.6%) patients. The cause of bleeding was not clearly demonstrated in 98 (27.1%) patients. Table 1 illustrates the patient demographics and pathologies identified.

During the colonoscopic examination, a total of 114 polyps were identified in 78 (21.6%) patients. Histopathological report revealed that 72 of the polyps were neoplastic in 48 (13.3%) patients. Almost half ($n = 31, 43.1\%$) of these polyps located proximal to the splenic flexure. Two (0.5%) patients were diagnosed with colorectal adenocarcinoma and subsequently underwent cancer staging and were treated accordingly. Table 2 provides the details of the polyps identified during colonoscopy.

After stratification, there was a significantly higher proportion of patients aged 41–50 years which were found to have neoplastic polyps compared to the group aged ≤40 (18.8 vs.
3.8%, \( p < 0.001 \)). There was also more large neoplastic polyps identified in patients aged 41–50 compared to those \( \leq 40 \) (6 vs. 1, \( p = 0.49 \)). Perhaps more importantly, there was a higher proportion of right-sided lesions in the group aged 41–50 years compared to that aged \( \leq 40 \) years, although the difference did not reach statistical significance (45.8 vs. 37.5%, \( p = 0.74 \)).
There was no significant periprocedural complication such as unplanned readmission, perforation, or significant bleeding documented.

Discussion

Colon cancer is the number one malignancy in Singapore [8]. More worryingly, its incidence in those ≤50 years of age has been increasing with the increased use of endoscopic evaluation [9–11]. In Singapore, the incidence of colorectal cancer was 22.5 per 100,000 per year for males between 40 and 49 years old and 20 per 100,000 per year for females of the same age group during the years 2010–2014 [8].

There have been numerous studies in the literature which have attempted to address the use of endoscopic evaluation for patients ≤50 years of age. Many of these studies revealed that up to 15% of these patients, who presented for hematochezia, were found to have neoplastic lesions on full colonoscopic examination and thus were recommended full colonoscopic evaluation [5, 12]. More recent studies further stratified the endoscopic findings of patients ≤50 years old presenting with hematochezia. These studies revealed that these patients who are <40 years old have endoscopic lesions found mainly in the distal colon [13, 14].

Our study shows concurrence to the literature in that ≥1 in 10 of our patients presenting with hematochezia, who were ≤50 years of age, had neoplastic lesions. Although we believe that the majority of these neoplastic polyps would not have accounted for the presentation, the removal of these neoplastic polyps would negate the risks of developing colorectal cancer, especially since the majority of colorectal cancers still develop via the adenoma-carcinoma pathway.

It is perhaps more important to highlight that 1 in every 5.4 colonoscopies performed in the group aged 41–50 identified a neoplastic polyp. This is in comparison to 1 in every 26.4 colonoscopies in those aged ≤40, with all 3 polyps arising from the ascending colon having a histology of tubular adenoma with only low-grade dysplasia. Combined with the findings that almost half (47.8%) of the neoplastic lesions found in patients between the age of 41 and 50 years were located proximal to the splenic flexure, we believe that a full colonoscopy should at least be advocated in patients aged 41–50 years who present with hematochezia, even though the polyps may not be the cause of the bleeding, which may help to reduce the risk of developing cancer. These findings are consistent with the observation that cancers arising proximal to the splenic flexure occurred more frequently than in the Western population [15].

From a colorectal cancer screening perspective, it is perhaps important to advocate colonoscopy in patients aged between 41 and 50 years. A recent study from our local population stated that the uptake of colorectal cancer screening in the population who fulfilled the screening criteria was only 26.7%, with a majority of them undergoing fecal occult blood tests [16]. Many of these patients declined screening due to various reasons, and interestingly, cost was not one of them. This is likely due to the subsidy given by the government in our country. Thus, advocating colonoscopy in these patients serves 2 purposes, not only would the procedure identify and remove neoplastic polyps in approximately 20% of the patients, it would also prevent false reassurance to these patients given that the majority of them are unlikely to proceed with screening for colorectal cancer from 50 years old onwards if they remained asymptomatic. In addition, performing a colonoscopy earlier for those presenting with lower gastrointestinal symptoms may also improve the identification of familial polyposis syndromes or Lynch syndrome, which will be beneficial not just to the patients but their families as well.
Current guidelines proposed by the American Society of Gastroenterology (ASGE) state that colonoscopy should be performed in patients aged >50 years old who present with hematochezia, while flexible sigmoidoscopy would suffice in young healthy patients aged ≤40 years old [17]. The guidelines also recommended that those ≤40 years without a definitive source of bleed on flexible sigmoidoscopy or those with alarm symptoms should proceed to full colonoscopic examination. While the authors concurred with the guidelines as only less than 5% of the patients aged ≤40 had a neoplastic lesion, with the majority of them found distal to the splenic flexure, we would highlight that almost 1 in 5 of those aged 41–50 years had neoplastic polyps, almost half being proximal to the splenic flexure, despite more than 70% of them having a definitive source of bleeding. Thus, clinicians should have a much lower threshold of recommended colonoscopy from the onset for those aged 41–50 years compared to those aged ≤40 years.

Colonoscopy is not without its own set of complications. Albeit exceedingly rare and not seen in our group of patients, the impact of perforation and bleeding can be considerable [18, 19]. Apart from direct complications, other issues surrounding a colonoscopy include the need for a thorough bowel preparation and the risk of moderate sedation.

Any retrospective study is fraught with several limitations. We were unable to review whether patients had underlying personal or family history, which might have affected their risk of developing colorectal malignancy, nor evaluate better the extent of their symptoms prior to presentation. Hematological assessment like hemoglobin level was not available either. The selection criteria for patients aged ≤50 to undergo colonoscopy were left to the discretion of the attending physician. There would have been patients who have not been advised or refused to undergo colonoscopy or simply had a flexible sigmoidoscopy performed. The experience of the endoscopist and the thoroughness of the colonoscopy in ensuring all neoplastic lesions have been identified, were also not standardized, although our institution has a stringent accreditation process to ensure that only experienced endoscopists of at least Registrar/Senior Resident qualification are allowed to perform the procedure independently. Taking all these into consideration, the proportion of patients with neoplastic lesions can only be higher, which would only add support to our recommendation that colonoscopy should be performed in all patients aged ≤50 years old presenting with the primary symptom of hematochezia.

**Conclusions**

Clinicians should have a lower threshold for recommending colonoscopy to patients aged between 41 and 50 years old presenting with hematochezia as the incidence of neoplastic polyps in these individuals is approximately 20%. Flexible sigmoidoscopy is perhaps sufficient for those aged ≤40 years.
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