Geographical distribution of *Gyrodactylus salaris* Malmberg, 1957 (Monogenea, Gyrodactylidae)
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**Abstract**

**Background:** *Gyrodactylus salaris* Malmberg, 1957 is an OIE (Office International des Epizooties)-listed parasitic pathogen and had until the current study been reported from 19 countries across Europe, although many of these records require confirmation. The last comprehensive evaluation regarding the distribution of *G. salaris*, however, was made in 2007, although some of the states identified as being *G. salaris*-positive were ascribed this status based on misidentifications, on partial data resulting from either morphological or molecular tests, or from records that have not been revisited since their early reporting. It is thus important to go through the reports on *G. salaris* to obtain a status for each country.

**Methods:** To provide a revised update of the *G. salaris* distribution, a literature review was necessary. This literature, however, was not always readily accessible and, in certain cases, the article only made superficial reference to the parasite without providing details or data to support the identification. In most cases, the original specimens were not deposited in a national collection. Additional *Gyrodactylus* material for the current study was obtained from selected salmonid populations with the aim to contribute to current understanding regarding the distribution of *G. salaris*. Additional parasite material collected for this study was processed following standard procedures for species identification in *Gyrodactylus* [1].

**Results:** From the work conducted in the current study, *G. salaris* is reported from a further three regions in Italy, alongside three other species, and appears to occur extensively throughout central Italy without causing significant mortalities to its rainbow trout, *Oncorhynchus mykiss* (Walbaum), host. The analysis of archive material from *G. salaris*-positive farms would suggest that *G. salaris* has been in this country since at least 2000. Material obtained from rainbow trout from Finland and Germany are confirmed as *G. salaris*, supporting existing data for these countries. No specimens of *G. salaris*, however, were found in the additional *Gyrodactylus* material obtained from rainbow trout reared in Portugal and Spain. A morphologically similar species, *Gyrodactylus teuchis* Lautraite, Blanc, Thiery, Daniel et Vigneulle, 1999, however, was found.

**Conclusions:** Following the present review, *Gyrodactylus salaris* is reported from 23 out of 50 recognised states throughout Europe; only records from 14 of these states have been confirmed by either morphology and/or by an appropriate molecular test and are considered valid, while only nine of these records have been confirmed by a combination of both methods.
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Background

Gyrodactylus salaris Malmberg, 1957 (Monogenea) is endemic to the areas east of the Baltic sea [2] and has been shown to be pathogenic to the Atlantic strain of Atlantic salmon, Salmo salar L., and to a lesser degree to the Baltic strain [3–5]. Gyrodactylus salaris has been reported from at least eight salmonid hosts (Table 1), and according to Chapter 2.3.3 of the Aquatic Animal Health Code (Aquatic Code) published by OIE (Office International des Epizooties) [6], the species that fulfil the criteria for listing as susceptible to infection with *G. salaris* include: Arctic char, Salvelinus alpinus (L.), Atlantic salmon, S. salar, brown trout, *Salmo trutta* L., grayling, Thymallus thymallus (L.), North American brook trout, *Salvelinus fontinalis* (Mitchell, 1814), and rainbow trout, Oncorhynchus mykiss (Walbaum). The occurrence of *G. salaris* on rainbow trout, a species that is traded extensively across Europe, is of particular concern [7, 8]. Rainbow trout have been demonstrated to be susceptible to *G. salaris* infection, and although these infections in some instances can be self-limiting, they can persist for up to 90 days or more [9]. Low levels of infection and the absence of evident clinical signs could mean that infections go undetected in a consignment of fish [7, 8]. This, coupled with the ability of hosts to carry an infection for long periods, increases the window of exposure and raises concerns regarding the movement of rainbow trout, in terms of their potential role as a carrier and source of *G. salaris* infection of other susceptible fish populations, across Europe.

In the current Manual of Diagnostic Tests for Aquatic Animals, the OIE states that the preferred method for the diagnosis of *G. salaris* is molecular analysis but that morphological analysis alone is also accepted [6]. The molecular analyses consist of sequencing of the ribosomal internal transcribed spacer region (ITS) and the mitochondrial (mt) cytochrome c oxidase subunit I (COI) gene. Sequencing of the ITS alone establishes the species status (but see the discussion on *G. salaris* vs. *G. thymalli* later in this article), while sequencing and phylogenetic analysis of COI is applied to assign a sequence to its nearest known relative. Reports of *G. salaris* from before the implementation of molecular methods were of course based solely on data derived from morphological investigations, but can nevertheless be considered valid if the reports contain images or drawings that are of a sufficiently high quality (most notably images of the marginal hook sickles) for re-evaluation. New findings should also be confirmed by the OIE reference laboratory, but very few findings have been submitted to OIE for confirmatory analyses (with Sweden and lately Russia as noticeable exceptions). Ideally, whole individuals from a new finding/locality should be submitted to the OIE reference laboratory as EtOH-preserved specimens. DNA extracts of the original new finding and/or morphological preparations can also be submitted for analyses and evaluation by the reference laboratory.

The difficulty in discriminating *G. salaris* from the benign *G. thymalli* Žitňan, 1960 has been stressed and debated over by many authors [10–18]. When morphometrics alone is considered, it was suggested earlier that subtle differences in the marginal hook sickles could permit the discrimination of these two species [11, 19, 20]. These studies were, however, based on a limited number of samples, and host information is required to support identification. Olstad et al. [17], looking at a data set of 168 specimens collected from ten populations infecting four different hosts and analysing the morphological characteristics of the opisthaptor hard parts of the specimens (removing the effect of size), suggested that an *a priori* species delineation based on host alone is not possible and that more information is required to support identification. The study of Shinn et al. [21] also demonstrates that misclassifications can occur when all supporting information is removed and morphology experts are asked to make an identity based on the specimen only (*i.e.* no data relating to host or location). Although the ITS region of the rRNA gene is frequently used to describe and discriminate most *Gyrodactylus* species, this region is almost invariant between *G. salaris* and *G. thymalli* and thus cannot be used to distinguish between these two species [22, 23]. Other genomic regions that have been used to facilitate the discrimination between these two species include sequences of the intergenic spacer (IGS) and COI [12–16, 24–26]. Analyses of COI sequences have revealed the presence of a high number of haplotypes (see [14 and 15] for a summary) which generally allow for grouping according to host specificity and/or geography (drainages). Thus, although both COI and IGS sequences show more variation than the ITS, they do not support a separate species status for *G. salaris* and *G. thymalli*. In addition, Fromm et al. [18] analysed microRNA from a limited number of populations of *G. salaris*/*G. thymalli* and suggested, based on the results, that the two species are conspecific.

Thus, the most recent studies based on analyses of molecular data, both mtDNA [13, 14, 16, 27] and microRNA [18], support synonymising the two species, and recently all records of *G. thymalli* in the database of The National Center for Biotechnology Information (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/) have been synonymised (*i.e.* all records are now listed as *G. salaris*).

Despite the morphological and genetic similarities, *G. thymalli* appears to be restricted to grayling, whilst *G. salaris* has never been recorded from grayling in nature [28], although this again depends on how the
species status is assigned. In rivers where both Atlantic salmon and grayling are present, however, the parasite strains (haplotypes) on each host do not seem to overlap [29, 30]. In addition, the parasites infecting grayling are assumed to be non-pathogenic to Atlantic salmon, and thus records from grayling are not included in this report. It is also worth mentioning that specimens from grayling, if these should not be previously known COI variants (haplotypes) identified as *G. salaris*, are still not reported as *G. salaris* by the OIE. Thus, in effect, the OIE manual follows a host-based diagnosis (in short, where parasites from grayling are named *G. thymalli* and those from other hosts are named *G. salaris*) until new markers that can differ between pathogenic and non-pathogenic strains are available.

Although in the current study we comment on whether the *G. salaris*-positive status of each country is valid, we do not debate the validity of other species of *Gyrodactylus*-parasitising salmonids. We therefore do consider the distribution of *G. thymalli* (s.s.) from grayling throughout Europe, although from previous studies it would appear to be widespread [13, 14, 16, 26]. For the present study, *G. salaris* is defined as specimens morphologically and molecularly diagnosed as *G. salaris* and not infecting grayling, and *G. thymalli* is defined as specimens parasitising grayling only.

The aim of this study is to provide a revised update of the distribution of *G. salaris* for each European state, supplemented and supported by the analysis of additional *Gyrodactylus* specimens collected from some salmonid

| Country | Host | ID status | Year of first confirmed detection | Method of ID | Presence in GenBank | Representative references |
|---------|------|-----------|-----------------------------------|--------------|---------------------|---------------------------|
| Bosnia-Herzegovina (BA) | Om, Ss, St, So | Unconfirmed – | A | No | [112, 114, 150] |
| Czech Republic (CZ) | St | Unconfirmed – | A + B | No | [34, 61] |
| Denmark (DK) | Om, Ss | Valid | 1997 | A + B | Yes | [96, 99, 100] |
| Estonia (EE) | Ss | Valid | 2010 | B | Yes | [106] |
| Finland (FI) | Om, Ss | Valid | 1984 | A + B | Yes | [88, 91, current study] |
| France (FR) | Om | Unconfirmed – | A | No | [127] |
| Georgia (GE) | St | Valid | 1978 | A | No | [31, 39] |
| Germany (DE) | Om | Valid | 1990 | A + B | No | [24, 94, 95] |
| Italy (IT) | Om | Valid | 2000 | A + B | Yes | [32, current study] |
| Kazakhstan (KZ) or Tajikistan (TJ) | Sto | Unconfirmed – | A | No | [120] |
| Latvia (LV) | Ss | Valid | 2002 | B | Yes | [13] |
| Moldova (MD) (including Transnistria) | St | Unconfirmed – | A | No | [31] |
| North Macedonia (NM) | Om, Sl | Valid | 2007 | B | Yes | [88, 104] |
| Norway (NO) | Ss, Ss, Om | Valid | 1975 | A + B | Yes | [13, 26, 68, 138, 151, 152] |
| Poland (PO) | Om, St | Valid | 2006 | A + B | Yes | [102] |
| Portugal (PT) | Om | Unconfirmed – | A | No | [127] |
| Romania (RO) | Om, Ss, Sl | Valid | 2008 | A + B | Yes | [15] |
| Russia (RU) | Ss | Valid | 1972 | A + B | Yes | [16, 39, 88] |
| Slovakia (SK) | St | Not valid – | A | No | [37, 39] |
| Spain (ES) | Om | Unconfirmed – | A | No | [125] |
| Sweden (SE) | Ss | Valid | 1951 | A + B | Yes | [13, 16, 26, 42] |
| Ukraine (UA) | Om, St | Valid | 1960 | A | No | [59, 61] |
| United Kingdom (UK) (including Northern Ireland) | St | Not valid – | A | No | [109] |

Some of the records are questionable, and the confirmation of the presence of *G. salaris* needs further verification. For each country, only the mainland is considered; larger island groups are considered separately.

* Om, *Oncorhynchus mykiss*; Sf, *Salvelinus fontinalis*; Sa, *Salvelinus alpinus*

Method of identification: A, morphology only; B, molecular only; A + B, morphology + molecular characterisation.

Large islands, such as Corsica (France), Sardinia and Sicily (Italy), Balearic and Canary Islands (Spain) or Madeira (Portugal) are included, although their *G. salaris* status should be considered separately from their respective mainland territories.

Date of first publication

Although in the current study we comment on whether the *G. salaris*-positive status of each country is valid, we do not debate the validity of other species of *Gyrodactylus*-parasitising salmonids. We therefore do consider the distribution of *G. thymalli* (s.s.) from grayling throughout Europe, although from previous studies it would appear to be widespread [13, 14, 16, 26]. For the present study, *G. salaris* is defined as specimens morphologically and molecularly diagnosed as *G. salaris* and not infecting grayling, and *G. thymalli* is defined as specimens parasitising grayling only.

The aim of this study is to provide a revised update of the distribution of *G. salaris* for each European state, supplemented and supported by the analysis of additional *Gyrodactylus* specimens collected from some salmonid
populations from certain European states with an unconfirmed status for *G. salaris* infection. Although the European distribution of *G. salaris* has been discussed several times in the scientific literature [2, 15, 31, 32], an updated revision is necessary. This is because the status of certain countries has been reported as being *G. salaris* positive but on re-examination appears to have been based on misidentifications of morphologically similar species, whilst the presence of *G. salaris* in other countries have been reported since the last major review [2]. To help understand the existing distribution and records of *G. salaris* across Europe, Table 1 presents codes for each *G. salaris*-positive country by year of first detection and the diagnostic method used to characterise the record is presented.

**Methods**

To provide a revised update of *G. salaris* distribution across Europe, we undertook a literature review using information from a range of white and grey literature, including peer-review academic journals and institutional reports. This literature, however, was not always readily accessible and, in certain cases, the article only made superficial reference to the parasite without providing details or data to support the identification. In most cases, the original specimens were not deposited in a national collection. The historical records for each country where *G. salaris* has been reported, officially or unofficially, are listed in "Results" section, in chronological order for the year it was first published, rather than diagnosed, for that particular country and these are then summarised in Table 1.

To further investigate the status inquirendae for the presence of *G. salaris* in certain European states and to supplement current understanding, additional salmonid samples were collected and screened. The results from each of these additional samples will be commented upon under the entry for each country. The new parasite material considered here, and which is not reported elsewhere, includes specimens from rainbow trout from Italy, Portugal, considered here, and which is not reported elsewhere, and molecular methods. The preferred method for the diagnosis of *G. salaris*, however, is molecular analysis. In assessing the validity of each *G. salaris* country report, we applied the following criteria: (i) whether OIE guidelines have been followed; (ii) whether the morphological investigations were conducted by recognized *Gyrodactylus* experts and the associated report and images of the attachment hooks are of sufficient quality to permit a robust, independent assessment; (iii) whether additional information (such as the intensity of infection or host details) lend support to the report of *G. salaris*.

**Morphological analysis**

The specimens collected were prepared for both morphological and molecular analyses following the methods detailed in Paladini et al. [32] and Shinn et al. [20, 21]. When unmounted parasites were available, gyrodactyliids were cleaned of extraneous mucus using mounted triangular surgical needles (size 16; Barber of Sheffield, Sheffield, UK) and observed under an Olympus SZ40 dissecting microscope (Olympus Corp., Tokyo, Japan) at ×4 magnification. Each individual specimen was then transferred to a glass slide and cut in half with a scalpel blade. The anterior part was transferred to a 1.5 ml Eppendorf containing 95% ethanol for subsequent molecular characterisation. The posterior part of the specimen, containing the attachment organ, was subjected to proteolytic digestion to remove tissue surrounding the attachment hooks, following the method detailed in Paladini et al. [32] which is a modification of the protocol given in Harris and Cable [33]. Tissue digestion was arrested and sclerites mounted in situ by the addition of 2 µl of a 1:1 saturated ammonium picrote:100% glycerine mix solution. The edges of the coverslip were then sealed with common nail varnish to make a semi-permanent mount. The digested specimens were then photographed using a JVC KY-F30B 3CCD camera with an interfacing ×2.5 top lens fitted to an Olympus BH2 compound microscope with phase contrast (Olympus Corp.).

**Molecular analysis**

The corresponding upper parts of the parasite bodies, previously stored in 95% ethanol, were subjected to molecular characterisation at the Norwegian Veterinary Institute (Oslo, Norway). DNA was extracted from specimens collected from Portugal using DNeasy® Blood & Tissue minikit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany). To amplify (PCR) a fragment spanning the 3′ end of the 18S ribosomal RNA subunit, internal transcribed spacers 1 and 2 (ITS1 and ITS2), the 5.8S subunit and the 5′ end of the 28S subunit, the primer pairs ITS1A (5′-GTAACAAGG TTTCG GTAGGTG-3′) and ITS2 (5′-TCCTCCGCT TAGTGATA-3′) [34] were used. The PCR reactions were performed with PuReTaq Ready-To-Go™ PCR beads (GE Healthcare, Chicago, IL, USA) following the manufacturer’s instructions. The PCR program was as follows: 95 °C, 4 min; then 95 °C/min, 55 °C/min, 72 °C/2 min for 35 cycles. PCR products were then purified using a NucleoSpin® Purification kit (Macherey–Nagel GmbH & Co. KG, Düren, Germany), and sequencing reactions were carried out on a MegaBACE 1000 analysis system.
(GE Healthcare) using DYEnamic ET dye terminators. For sequencing, the internal primers ITS4.5 (5'-CAT CGGTCTCTGAAACG-3') [34], ITS1R (5'-ATTTTGGTTCAGAGAGCCG-3'), ITS18R (5'-AAGACTACC AGTCTACT CCAA-3'), ITS2F (5'-TGGTGGATCAGT CCGTCTCA-3') and ITS28F (5'-TAATGCCTTAG TGGTCT TTCTT-3') [35] were used in addition to the PCR primers. The obtained sequences (ITS1, 5.8S and ITS2 only) were proofread and assembled in Vector NTI 11 (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA) and subjected to a BlastN search [36]. The additional *Gyrodactylus* material acquired from Finland and analysed by molecular methods is reported on in [21].

**Results**

A re-evaluation of the reports regarding the distribution of *G. salaris* across Europe in the present study showed that reports of the species are currently validated from 14 countries throughout Europe, although its presence has been reported from 23 countries (Fig. 1; Table 1). The records from nine countries are not considered valid or are unconfirmed. The report from Slovakia (see [37, 38]) is not considered valid (see [39]), whilst the identity of the specimens recovered from Bosnia-Herzegovina, Czech Republic, France, Moldova, Portugal, Spain and Transnistria and the record from either Kazakhstan or Tajikistan (see below) are questionable and their *G. salaris* status requires further re-examination. The parasite species reported from France, Portugal and Spain was most likely *Gyrodactylus teuchis* Lautraite, Blanc, Thierry, Daniel et Vigneulle, 1999 (see [40, 41]), a species bearing some morphological similarities to *G. salaris*, but undescribed at the time of the original "*G. salaris*" report for each of these countries. The apparent absence of *G. salaris* from France, Portugal and Spain is interesting given that it is found in Italy and Romania on *O. mykiss*. The basis for this absence is not known and whether this is due to robust border biosecurity screening and quarantine procedures that have prevented entry. Alternatively, infections may exist but at low levels that have not posed an impact to fish health and, therefore, not have been detected under routine health screening.

The *G. salaris* records for each European state are divided below into three sections (i.e. known *G. salaris*-positive European states; *G. salaris*-free states; and states where the *G. salaris* status requires confirmation) and are discussed chronologically by the date of their first official announcement. The acquisition of new *Gyrodactylus* material from Finland, Germany, Italy, Portugal and Spain, and its subsequent examination, is discussed under each corresponding European state. A summary table listing the validity of the *G. salaris* for each country is presented in Table 1. Figure 1 highlights the other European states for which no information on the status of *G. salaris* is available.

**Known *G. salaris*-positive European states**

The countries below are listed by the year the first official report was published.

**1957–Sweden**

In 1951, Professor Göran Malmberg at the University of Gothenburg received a sample of *Gyrodactylus* collected from Atlantic salmon held at the experimental fish farm station in Hölle (now Hölfeforsens Laxodling) situated on the Indalsälven River, Sweden, draining into the Baltic Sea. The findings from this material were reported on 6 years later, when the taxonomic description of *G. salaris* was published, based on one single specimen [42]. In 1954, the salmon parr held at the Hölle farm were observed to harbour a heavy *Gyrodactylus* infection. This was the first observation regarding the differential sensitivity and susceptibility of two Atlantic salmon stocks, the Atlantic and Baltic strains, to *G. salaris* ([43], see also [2]). *Gyrodactylus salaris* is likely present in all Baltic salmon rivers ([43]; E. Degerman personal communication). The parasite was first found on the Swedish west coast in 1989 [44] and has since then been recorded from 16 rivers draining into the Kattegat and Skagerak [44–51]. The parasite has continued to spread on the Swedish west coast and both in 2015 and 2016 new infections were detected in the Rolsån and Kungsbackaån rivers, Halland county. The Göta River and its tributaries represent the northernmost occurrence on the Swedish west coast and, based on the higher salinity (>20 promille/ppm) in the sea north of this river, the risk of further natural spreading is considered quite low.

The parasites found in the Swedish rivers draining into the North Sea represent several mitochondrial haplotypes [13] and are suggested to originate from the Baltic Sea. *Gyrodactylus salaris* might have been introduced to the west coast by stocking of infected fish and has spread further by brackish water migration of the host [13, 52, 53]. The parasites in the Göta älv River and its main source, Lake Vänern, carry unique and divergent haplotypes [13] not found elsewhere that might have been infecting the landlocked salmon since its isolation when Lake Vänern was created after the Quaternary glaciation 10,000 years ago. The presence of these unique haplotypes indicates that the infection in the Göta älv River is not the source of infection to the other rivers along the Swedish west coast.

*Gyrodactylus salaris* is believed to occur naturally in Swedish river systems draining into the Baltic Sea and is therefore not considered to be pathogenic in the wild, as host mortalities associated with the presence of the
parasite have never been reported [44–46] and *G. salaris* do not appear to be particularly pathogenic to hosts from these populations ([54–56], but see [5]). In rivers on the Swedish west coast, however, there are records of high intensities of infection. In 1998, Alenäs and colleagues [52, 57] reported a 90% decrease in the salmon parr density from the Säveån River, a tributary to the Göta älv River, which were infected with high burdens (approx. 1,700 specimens fish\(^{-1}\)) of *G. salaris*. The mitochondrial haplotype A of *G. salaris*, which is widespread and pathogenic throughout Norway, has also been found on the Swedish west coast, such as in the Åtran and Surtan rivers [13], suggesting that this pathogenic haplotype is not confined geographically. Indeed, high intensities of infection with this parasite strain have been reported from the Åtran River [52, 58].

In conclusion, there are multiple reports of the occurrence of *G. salaris* from Sweden, many of which have been confirmed by both morphological and molecular analyses (Table 1). The record of *G. salaris* is valid.

1967–Ukraine
A parasitological survey on 295 fish sampled from two Ukrainian rivers, the Tisa and the Seret, found *G. salaris* on brown trout, *S. trutta*, collected from the Seret River [59]. Later in 1973, Malmberg [60] reported finding *G. salaris* on *S. trutta* collected from a Carpathian hatchery, and although the exact location of the hatchery was not specified at the time, in a later account Malmberg [31] indicated that these represented specimens that had been donated by Dr. Kulakovskaja to Prof. Malmberg back in 1960, and originated from the Seret River.
Further records of *G. salaris* result from an investigation conducted by Tesarcik and Ivasik [61] on brown trout and rainbow trout sampled from a number of Carpathian ponds. The authors reported finding *G. salaris* on both hosts from ponds fed by the Dniester and Danube rivers, within the Ukraine [61].

In 1983, Ergens [39] described *Gyrodactylus* sp. material collected from the fins of *S. trutta* from two localities within the Autonomous Republic of Crimea, Ukraine. The first sample was taken in 1975 from the Salgir River, whilst the second sample, collected in 1976, was from the Angara River [39]. Ergens remarked that the specimens most closely resembled *G. salaris* and *G. thymalli* but that a comparative analysis using a large number of specimens was needed to facilitate the identity of the specimens. Ten years later, Malmberg [31] suggested that *Gyrodactylus* sp. sensu Ergens, 1983 was a synonym of *G. salaris*. In a recent paper, Matvienko et al. [62] documented *G. salaris* as being present on *O. mykiss*, *S. fontinalis* and *S. trutta*, this identification, however, has since been rejected by the author as *G. birmani* Konovalov, 1967 (personal communication).

In conclusion, as there is agreement between Ergens indirectly and Malmberg, the report of *G. salaris* from Ukraine is considered valid. No specimens of *G. salaris* collected from Ukrainian waters, however, have been confirmed by molecular methods.

### 1978–Norway

The first confirmed observation of *G. salaris* in Norway was in 1975 at Sunndalsora hatchery in Sunndalsora, Møre and Romsdal County (today Forskningsstasjon for bærekraftig avvakultur, Sunndalsora) ([63], and see [64–66]). This first identification was confirmed by Malmberg [67]. In the same year (1975), *G. salaris* was found in the Rivers Lakselva and Ranaelva following the high mortality of Atlantic salmon parr in these rivers [68]. Studies suggested that this parasite had been introduced, most likely from Sweden on several occasions [69–72] and that the Atlantic strain of *S. salar* was more susceptible to infection than the Baltic strain [5]. Four anthropogenic introduction routes have been suggested based on livestock movement records [64, 65]. Later studies [13] analysing sequences of COI, recovered three different haplotypes in Norway that were congruent with three of the suggested historical introduction routes (see [13] for details).

From 1975 to 2019, pathogenic strains of *G. salaris* were detected on Atlantic salmon fingerlings/parr in 51 rivers, 13 hatcheries/farms with Atlantic salmon parr/smolt and 26 hatcheries/farms with rainbow trout [73, 74]. The last detections occurred as late as 2015 when the parasite was detected in the Kjøllefjord River, in Troms County, during a rotenone treatment and very recently in 2019 when the Selvikvassdraget River (Vestfold and Telemark county) in the Drammen infection region was found infected [73, 74]. Arctic charr has also been found to carry *G. salaris* in Norway, and both strains that are pathogenic and non-pathogenic to Atlantic salmon have been detected on this host [75, 76].

In 1983, gyrodactylosis was declared a notifiable disease in Norway, and the policy of the Norwegian Authorities is to eradicate *G. salaris* from infected watersheds and farms [77]. In farms, the parasite is eradicated by eliminating the hosts, while in rivers, the most common eradication measure has been the use of rotenone. In addition, the use of acidified aluminum sulphate, which kills the parasite without killing the host [78, 79], was used to treat the Lærdalselva River, Sogn og Fjordane County, and this river was declared free of infection with *G. salaris* in 2017. Low concentrations of sodium hypochlorite have also been shown to eliminate *G. salaris* in infection trials [80] and is now being tested as a treatment method for *G. salaris*. As of today, *G. salaris* has been confirmed eradicated from 39 rivers and from all hatcheries/fish farms where it has been present [73, 74]. In an additional four rivers, eradication measures have been completed, but eradication has not yet been confirmed (a river is declared free of infection about five years after treatment, the number of years depending on ages of smoltification in a particular river). At the end of 2019, the parasite was confirmed present in eight Norwegian rivers [73, 74].

In conclusion, the identification of *G. salaris* from Norway has been confirmed by many authors, using both morphological and molecular methods (e.g. [13, 24–67]). Numerous reference sequences, especially COI sequences, are deposited in GenBank. As mentioned above, Hansen et al. [13] characterised three different mitochondrial haplotypes of *G. salaris* from Atlantic salmon in Norway. All infections detected in the Norwegian surveillance program for *G. salaris* [73, 74] have been confirmed by morphological and, subsequently, by molecular methods, and in the last 10 years or so by a combination of these methods.

### 1983–Russia (including the Republic of Karelia but not Kaliningrad)

Specimens of *Gyrodactylus* sp. were collected by Ergens and Rumyantsev (unpublished data) in June 1972 from *S. salar* caught in Lake Ladoga, Republic of Karelia [39]. A subsequent re-examination of these specimens and a comparison with the re-described type material of *G. salaris* confirmed that the Karelian material was *G. salaris* (see [39]). Although the first record of *G. salaris* in Russia appears to have been made by Yekimova [81] working...
in the Pechora River, a subsequent re-examination of the specimens suggested that this was a misidentification [82, 83]. One year after the re-description of G. salaris, its occurrence on S. salar from the Pyalma River, Lake Onega, Republic of Karelia was reported [84]. Gyrodactylus salaris has also been recorded on salmon from the Keret River with prevalences approaching 100% and with mean intensities of approximately 300 parasites fish⁻¹, suggesting that this parasite may be a factor in the decline of the salmon parr population in this river [82]. The population of G. salaris in the Keret River in Russia was suggested to have originated from the White Sea and to have spread by anthropogenic activities following an epidemic in the White Sea salmon stock [5, 30, 64, 69]. The date of this transfer was unknown until a mtDNA-based analysis was conducted by Kuusela et al. [86]. Following mitochondrial characterisation, the population of G. salaris in the Keret River was said to have originated from the Vyg (White Sea) hatchery between 1986 and 1989, when native salmon juveniles were transported by helicopter [86]. Kuusela et al. [86] and Ieshko et al. [87] suggested that the same canvas bag had been used to transfer fish to Lake Onega, where the parasite normally resides and does not cause any damage. The presence of G. salaris has also been recorded from the landlocked salmon population in the Pistojoki River, Lake Kuitozero [16], but this strain of G. salaris is most likely to have originated from rainbow trout that were stocked into fish farms in Kuusamo, Finland, upstream of the Pistojoki River [86]. The molecular identification of G. salaris from Russian S. salar has been confirmed by a number of authors [16, 24, 30, 64, 88].

Recently, G. salaris was also diagnosed from the Tuloma River, near Murmansk, Murmansk oblast, by the OIE reference laboratory [89]. The parasite was found on both wild salmon in the river and its tributaries, but also on rainbow trout in farms located in the river.

Given the size of the Russian landmass, future studies might consider dividing the country into zones when mapping the occurrence of G. salaris. Defining these zones is not a simple matter and may be restricted to G. salaris-positive watersheds, as there are no geographic features that would otherwise limit its spread across the entire country. Gyrodactylus salmonis has not been reported from the Russian exclave Kaliningrad, which is positioned between Poland and Lithuania.

In conclusion, the identity of G. salaris on S. salar in Russia has been confirmed by morphology and by multiple molecular-based studies and the record is considered valid.

1983–Georgia

Malmberg [31] suggested that G. salaris was also present in Georgia, given that the description of Gyrodactylus sp. sensu Ergens, 1983 was shown to be a synonym of G. salaris. The report of this species from S. trutta fario collected from the Chernorechenskoye fish farm in 1978 by Ergens [39], therefore, is considered as valid, although future collections should, additionally, be verified by molecular-based approaches.

In conclusion, based on Malmberg’s [31] re-evaluation of Ergens [39] material and the drawings presented in Ergens [39] publication, which appear to be consistent with the morphology of G. salaris, the record is considered valid.

1987–Finland

Although Rintamäki [90] reported the presence of G. salaris on Baltic salmon dating back to 1984, the first official record of this parasite from Finnish fish farms was published in 1987 by Rimaila-Pärnänen and Wiklund [91], who reported an infection on 18 fish farms that were studied between 1986 and 1987. Rintamäki [90] reported moderate to heavy infections of G. salaris on Baltic salmon from the Ossauskoski fish farm situated on the Kemijoki River, resulting in 8% mortality in the 1-year-old fish stocks. The occurrence of G. salaris from salmon fish farms connected to the lijoki and Kemijoki rivers, and also reported for the first time from Finland, on rainbow trout, presented no clinical signs of disease [92]. Koski and Malmberg [47] carried out an additional investigation on Gyrodactylus specimens collected from rainbow trout and salmon (13 out of 33 farms were Gyrodactylus positive; 2416 rainbow trout and 1019 rainbow trout examined) in northern Finland, and the results confirmed the finding of G. salaris on salmon and rainbow trout without linked mortality. Identification was confirmed by Malmberg on the basis of morphology of ammonium picrate glycerine-prepared specimens. During these surveys, these researchers also found Gyrodactylus lavareti Malmberg, 1957 only on rainbow trout in a mixed infection with G. salaris (see [47]). The presence of G. salaris originating from Finland has also been confirmed several times by molecular analysis (see [16, 23, 88]). During the course of the present study, ten additional Gyrodactylus specimens from O. mykiss reared in the Jyväskylä region donated by Prof. E. Tellervo Valtonen were confirmed as G. salaris by both morphology and molecular analysis (the results are also presented in Table 1 in [21]).

In conclusion, the presence of G. salaris has been verified through multiple morphology ([47]; personal observation) and molecular-based studies [16, 23, 88]. Parts of Finland, however, have been declared G. salaris-free under European Commission (EC) decision (see Fig. 1 and later section on G. salaris-free states [92]). Fin samples from wild fish and farmed stock within the G.
salaris-free and buffer zones are examined yearly [93, 94].

1990–Germany

Lux [95] was the first to report G. salaris in Germany from a survey of rainbow trout farms in the Brandenburg, Saxony and Thuringia districts. Although drawings consistent with G. salaris are presented in the account, Bakke et al. [2] were doubtful, suggesting that a molecular-based study was urgently needed to confirm their identity. In 2003, however, Cunningham et al. [23] acquired a single specimen from a rainbow trout farm in Berlin and confirmed it as being G. salaris by analysis of the ribosomal ITS and IGS region. In 2005, Dzika et al. [96] sampled a rainbow trout pond at Rogg in Bavaria, on a tributary of the Danube River, and reported finding G. salaris alongside G. derjavinoides Malmberg, Collins, Cunningham et Jalali, 2007. G. truttae Gläser, 1974 and G. teuchis. The accuracy of the G. salaris drawings, notably those of the marginal hooks, questions the validity of the identification of the specimens in this particular study, given that no reference specimens were deposited in a national collection, nor was molecular analysis conducted. During the present study, 20 specimens of Gyrodactylus from O. mykiss reared in Germany were kindly donated by Professor. Ewa Dzika. These specimens were mounted in ammonium picrate glycerine and confirmed as G. salaris by morphological identification only, supporting the findings of Dzika et al. [96], at least for G. salaris (personal observation).

In conclusion, the G. salaris status of Germany is considered to be valid and is based on the morphology of hooks presented in the original report of Lux [95], the molecular result of a single specimen made by Cunningham et al. [23] and the personal examination of a further 20 ammonium picrate glycerine specimens donated by Prof. Dzika from farmed O. mykiss in Germany.

1997–Denmark

Malmberg [60] conducted a Gyrodactylus survey in three Danish rainbow trout hatcheries and reported the presence of two unidentified Gyrodactylus species that were very different to the highly pathogenic G. salaris. Although these most likely represented G. derjavinoides and G. truttae, both species were still undescribed at the time. In 1997, Buchmann and Bresciani [97] published the first official report of G. salaris on Danish rainbow trout, which was found to co-occur alongside G. derjavinoides. A small number of specimens were recovered from farmed fish at four farms and were identified based on their morphology from ammonium picrate glycerine-prepared material. Later, Nielsen and Buchmann [98] confirmed the presence of G. salaris, alongside G. derjavinoides, from eight rainbow trout farms during an 11-month sampling, using both morphology (n=190 specimens from rainbow trout farmed in 5 counties; no drawings, however were presented) and molecular-based approaches (n=26 confirmed by ITS restriction fragment length polymorphism [RFLP]: HaeIII restriction of the ITS region of the rDNA gene) and by host. Although the latter study found only G. salaris and G. derjavinoides, an earlier study on Danish brown trout and other salmonids found two other species, i.e. G. truttae and G. teuchis (see [48, 99]). Lindenstrom et al. [100] established a culture of G. salaris from farmed rainbow trout on the Vejle Å River, and this particular variant (Gx) was experimentally shown to exhibit low virulence towards Atlantic salmon. During a survey of wild Atlantic salmon from the Fladså River (Ribe Å River system), only one specimen of G. salaris was found, which was identified by morphological and molecular analyses [101]. Bakke et al. [2] suggested that there are no G. salaris epidemics on Danish wild salmon, probably because the variants of G. salaris from rainbow trout present in Denmark do not reproduce on Danish salmon populations, or due to the scarcity of wild salmon in Danish watersheds. There are only four Atlantic salmon rivers in Denmark, i.e. the Gudenå, Haderup, Skjern and Varde rivers (www.salmonatlas.com).

In conclusion, the combined morphology and molecular-based studies of Nielsen and Buchmann [98] and Jørgensen et al. [101], which found multiple specimens of G. salaris at farms in at least five counties and at different points in time, supports the G. salaris-positive status of the country.

2003–Latvia

Specimens of Gyrodactylus (n=2) collected from Baltic salmon from a fish farm near to the River Gauja were identified as G. salaris by sequencing of COI and found to carry a unique mitochondrial haplotype (haplotype D) by Hansen et al. [13]. This haplotype clusters with haplotypes A and B (from Norway and Sweden) and haplotype C (Sweden only), forming a single clade of G. salaris strains that only infects Atlantic salmon [13]. Later, Hansen et al. [26] added further information by analysing the IGS from the same Latvian specimens, finding the same IGS arrangements that were typical for G. salaris from Norway.

In conclusion, the G. salaris-positive status of the country is considered valid and is based on the COI sequencing of two specimens of G. salaris collected from farmed Baltic salmon. No morphological assessment of the specimens was provided in the study by Hansen et al. [13].
2007–Poland

The first survey of Gyrodactylus on Polish salmonids was made from a fish farm and from the Sola and Czarna rivers by Prost [102], who found two species: G. derjavinoides from S. trutta fario, O. mykiss and S. fontinalis; and G. truttae from S. trutta fario. Subsequently, Rokicka et al. [103] reported finding specimens representing three molecular forms belonging to the G. salaris/G. thymalli group that were collected from Polish rainbow trout, sea trout (S. trutta trutta L.) and grayling from tributaries of the Vistula River, near Pomerania province. Identification of the forms was based on a PCR–RFLP analysis of the nuclear ITS fragment of rDNA. These three forms were represented by: (i) an ITS type which was only found on grayling; (ii) a heterogenic G. salaris type previously described by Lindenstrøm et al. [100] found on rainbow trout and sea trout; and (iii) a form found on rainbow trout, which was a complementary homozygous clone differing by three nucleotides [104]. The molecular identification was supported by a parallel morphometric analysis and from the drawings presented in Rokicka et al. [103].

In conclusion, the molecular identification and morphological analyses of multiple specimens of G. salaris collected from rainbow trout farms supports the G. salaris-positive status of the country.

2007–North Macedonia (Macedonia until February 2019)

Gyrodactylus salaris has been confirmed present on Ohrid trout, Salmo letnica (Karaman), and from rainbow trout, both collected from a fish farm located on the Vardar River in the Aegean Sea basin, North Macedonia [88, 105]. The specimens were identified as G. salaris by sequencing of the ITS. While containing almost identical ITS sequences (differing by only 4 mutations), the COI sequences from specimens from the two hosts were highly divergent. The COI sequence of the specimens from the Ohrid trout was closely related to the haplotypes common on rainbow trout (e.g. AF479750), while the sequences from the rainbow trout were shown to be highly divergent (0.187 ± 0.012 Kimura 2-parameter distance) and said to be the result of introgression of mitochondria from another species into the genome of G. salaris [105].

In conclusion, North Macedonia is confirmed as G. salaris-positive based on the sequencing-based analyses of Kuusela et al. [88] and Ziętara et al. [105]. No morphological analyses were conducted.

2009–Italy

A survey of five rainbow trout farms from four different regions in central and northern Italy by Paladini et al. [32] found that fish were infected with four species of Gyrodactylus, including G. salaris and three other (G. derjavinoides, G. teuchis and G. truttae). The specimens were collected throughout 2004–2005, and the morphological identification was confirmed by molecular analysis (sequencing of ITS2 and partial COI) (part of the molecular results are also presented in Table 1 in Shinn et al. [21]). An additional archived sample of formalin-fixed rainbow trout mucus scraped from infected fish dating back to 2000 was also found to contain G. salaris. Although these latter specimens were identified by morphology only, this confirmed that G. salaris had been in the country since at least 2000 and had persisted without causing any ascribed mortality [32]. For the current study, between the period 2008 and 2009, 27 samples of Gyrodactylus were collected from 20 Italian rainbow trout farms located in seven different regions (Friuli-Venezia Giulia, Lombardy, Piedmont, Trentino-Alto Adige, Tuscany, Umbria and Veneto) throughout the central and northern regions of Italy. Of these, 22 of the 27 (81.5%) samples collected were positive for the presence of Gyrodactylus spp. at low intensities of infection (4–30 parasites fish−1). Gyrodactylus salaris and G. derjavinoides were found in 17 samples from all seven regions; only two specimens of G. truttae were found, one in a sample from Veneto and one in a sample from Trentino-Alto Adige. Gyrodactylus teuchis was the predominant species found in all 22 Gyrodactylus-positive samples from all seven regions [21, 106]. The origin of G. salaris haplotype F in Italy [32] may be attributed to the trade in rainbow trout, given that this haplotype is common on rainbow trout in several European countries, including Denmark (see, e.g. [16, 104]).

In conclusion, the study of Paladini et al. [32] conducted on material collected from five rainbow trout farms across Trentino Alto Adige, Tuscany, Umbria and Veneto confirmed the identity of G. salaris based on 35 specimens prepared for morphology, eight specimens sequenced for ITS and seven specimens sequenced for mtCOI.

2010–Estonia

A survey on triploid Atlantic salmon from the Baltic basin showed a high susceptibility to G. salaris infection [107]. This fish population and associated parasites originated from a hatchery in northern Estonia, situated on the Kunda River, Gulf of Finland, Baltic Sea [107]. Identification of G. salaris was confirmed by molecular analyses, including sequencing of the ITS rDNA and mtCOI [107]. Although there is no doubt regarding the identification of these specimens, no morphological data are available. According to the mtDNA analyses reported in Ozerov et al. [107], the closest relatives to the Estonian strain of G. salaris is the strain of G. salaris found.
in Genevadsån on the Swedish west coast and those collected from the Raasakka hatchery, Iijoki, Gulf of Bothnia, Finland.

In conclusion, the G. salaris-positive status of the country is supported by the molecular study of Ozerov et al. [107].

2016–Romania

The first report of G. salaris from Romania is from the study of Hansen et al. [15], who reports their findings from a survey of Gyrodactylus spp. on salmonids (rainbow, brook and brown trout) in Romanian fish farms and from one river. Of the 187 specimens recovered from the fish, a sub-sample of 76 specimens were identified through sequencing of the ITS2. Of these, 31 were identified as G. salaris, and G. salaris was found on all three hosts examined. Apart from in experimental infections, this is the first report of G. salaris being found on brook trout. Morphological analyses were performed on a sub-sample of these to complement the molecular analyses. MtCOI sequences were also obtained from all specimens identified as G. salaris, and four haplotypes were recovered. As all these haplotypes were new to science it was impossible to establish the origin of infection of G. salaris in these fish farms. It was, however, speculated that they might have been introduced via the import of rainbow trout.

In conclusion, Romania is considered to be a G. salaris-positive state based on the molecular and morphological study conducted by Hansen et al. [15].

Confirmed Gyrodactylus salaris-free states based on surveillance

UK (including Northern Ireland)

Following the catastrophic events resulting from the introduction of G. salaris to Norway, G. salaris was made a notifiable pathogen in the UK (including Northern Ireland) in 1987 under the Diseases of Fish Acts 1937 and 1983, which can impose movement restrictions on fish stocks from fish farms, rivers or entire catchments [1]. This act does not extend to Northern Ireland. Following notification, a survey of seven rivers and 17 fish farms in Northern Ireland [108] and a parallel investigation of 63 fish farms and 164 wild salmonid sites throughout the UK by Shinn et al. [109] were initiated to establish the G. salaris status of each. Neither survey found G. salaris or the morphologically similar G. teuchis, but the surveys did find Gyrodactylus arcuatus Bychowsky, 1933 and G. caledoniensis Shinn, Sommerville et Gibson, 1995 from S. salar; G. derjavinoides from O. mykiss, S. alpinus alpinus (L.), S. salar and S. trutta fario; G. truttae on S. trutta fario; and a number of unidentified Gyrodactylus morphotypes from S. alpinus alpinus and S. salar. Mandatory surveillance programmes by the relevant fish inspectorate authorities within each constituent country continue to screen fish samples for G. salaris and other pathogens of concern. National contingency planning in the event of a G. salaris introduction began in 2006 in Scotland (www.scotland.gov.uk), in 2008 in England (www.oie.int) and Wales (http://wales.gov.uk) and in 2009 in Northern Ireland (www.dardni.gov.uk). The UK is officially a G. salaris-free zone under EC Decision 2004/453/EC and its subsequent amendments provided under EC Decision 2006/272/EC.

Although GB is G. salaris-free, there is a single report of G. salaris from S. trutta fario from Loch Leven, Scotland [110]. Malmberg [10] considered this to be a misidentification with G. derjavinoides or G. truttae, species that were both still undescribed at the time of publication. Salmonids from Loch Lomond were sampled during the study of Shinn et al. [108], but no specimens of G. salaris were found. Specimens of G. thymalli collected from T. thymallus from the Test River, were confirmed by molecular methods as G. thymalli [21, 27].

There are ongoing programmes of G. salaris health surveillance conducted by the fish health inspectorates (FHI) within each of the four countries of the UK (England: FHI, Centre for Environment, Fisheries and Aquaculture Science (Cefas), www.cefas.co.uk and www.gov.uk; Scotland: Marine Scotland, www.gov.scot; Wales: Natural Resources Wales, www.naturalresourceswales.gov.uk; and Northern Ireland: Department of Agriculture, Environment & Rural Affairs, http://www.daera-ni.gov.uk).

In conclusion, the UK (including Northern Ireland) and the territories of Guernsey, Jersey and the Isle of Man are considered to be G. salaris-free.

Finland—selected water catchments

Parts of the Finnish territory have been declared G. salaris-free under EC Decision 2010/221/EU [94]. These regions include the water catchment areas of the Tenojoki and Näätämönjoki, whilst the Paatsjoki, Luttojoki and Uutuanjoki water catchment areas are considered to be buffer zones (see Fig. 1).

In conclusion, although Finland is a G. salaris-positive state, parts of the country are recognised to be G. salaris-free; samples from wild fish and farmed fish from within the G. salaris-free and buffer zones are examined yearly [93].

Republic of Ireland

The Republic of Ireland is declared G. salaris-free under the EC Decision 2004/453/EC based on evidence that its government submitted to the EC. National surveillance for G. salaris is conducted by the Marine Institute (www.fishhealth.ie).
In conclusion, *Gyrodactylus salaris* is not known from the country.

**States where the *G. salaris* status requires confirmation**

**1961–Slovakia**

Ergens [37, 38] recorded the presence of *G. salaris* in Slovakia (formerly Czechoslovakia) from brown trout from the Topl'a River, near the town of Bardéjov in the northeast of the country. A later re-examination of this material [39] found that the species in question was *G. truttae*, a species not described at the time of Ergens’ original study. The identity of *G. truttae* was evident from the measurements of the haptoral hard parts [39, 111]. The record of *G. salaris* from Slovakia, therefore, is not considered valid.

In conclusion, based on the information to date, the record of *G. salaris* is not valid.

**1967–Bosnia and Herzegovina**

The first two reports of *G. salaris* from *S. salar* cultured in Bosnia and Herzegovina date back to 1967 [112, 113]. Žiđan and Čanković [114] later recorded *G. salaris* from rainbow trout and brown trout from the Buna and Pliva rivers, which run through two fish farms sited at Blagaj and Jezero, near the towns of Jajce and Mostar, respectively, and from Adriatic trout *Salmo obtusirostris* Heckel, from a site on the Buna River. Ergens [39] listed the species of *Gyrodactylus* collected from the Buna and Pliva rivers as *Gyrodactylus truttae* but did not emphatically state which hosts the samples were collected from. Ergens [39], however, lists brook, brown and rainbow trout among the salmonid hosts examined in his Eurasian review of *Gyrodactylus* species infecting salmonids. Also, it is not clear whether Ergens [39] based his identities on a re-examination of the specimens collected during the earlier study or on the assessment of material that was subsequently acquired. Although Ergens [39] comments on *G. salaris* in this paper, he does not comment on its occurrence in Bosnia and Herzegovina, leading to the conclusion that he regarded the species to be absent at the time. The validity of the *G. salaris* records were also questioned by Bakke et al. [115], an opinion based on Tanum’s [66] assessment of the material, who considered the reports of *G. salaris* from *O. mykiss* and from *S. trutta fario* as misidentifications. This did not, however, apply to the record of *G. salaris* from *S. obtusirostris*. Ergens [39] makes no mention of this latter record or host in his study, suggesting that either the specimens were not available for re-examination or the record was overlooked. Following the study of Žiđan and Čanković [114], two further reports of *G. salaris* infections from the skin and fins of rainbow trout fry were recorded from three fish farms situated at Blagaj near the town Jajce, Ljuta (near Konjic) and Jezero (near Mostar), where mortalities of 3–5% were reported, and also from the Ribnik River [116, 117]. Although Imamović [117] reported the presence of *G. salaris* in Bosnia and Herzegovina, it is not possible to verify the true parasite species identity based on the drawings of the attachment hooks that are presented in the article.

In conclusion, based on the reports to date, the identity and validity of *G. salaris* in Bosnia-Herzegovina is questionable. No specimens of *G. salaris* collected from Bosnia and Herzegovina have been confirmed by molecular methods.

**1974–Czech Republic**

The first record of *G. salaris* from Czechoslavakia was in 1958—a misidentification of *G. truttae* (see [37, 39]). Later in 1974, Tesarcik and Ivasik [61] reported the finding of *G. salaris* from a study which included the collection of brown trout from the north-Moravian Moravice River in the Czech Republic (=Czechia). In the absence of drawings included within their report, this record of *G. salaris* cannot be substantiated.

In the absence of molecular data, the discovery of a species morphologically similar to *G. salaris*, namely *Gyrodactylus bohemicus* Ergens, 1992 from farmed *O. mykiss* and *S. fontinalis* in the Czech Republic [118], raised the question of whether this represented a discrete species or a misidentification of *G. salaris*. Ergens [118] commented on the morphological similarities of *G. bohemicus* with *G. thymalli* and *Gyrodactylus magnus* Konovalov, 1967, but made no reference to *G. salaris*. Bakke et al. [2] commented on the morphological similarity of *G. bohemicus* to *G. thymalli* and to the variant of *G. salaris* on rainbow trout. This was also discussed by Lindenstrom et al. [100] in their assessment of *Gx*, a *G. salaris* variant, to other morphologically similar species. Ergens [39] in his review of *Gyrodactylus* species parasitising salmonids and thymallids in Eurasia made no reference to the occurrence of *G. salaris* in the Czech Republic at the time nor to the study of Tesarcik and Ivasik [61]. Although three paratypes of *G. bohemicus* are deposited in the monogenean collection maintained by the Institute of Parasitology, Czech Academy of Sciences (acc. no. M-342), these valuable specimens were not available for scientific loan and direct first-hand examination. Pictures of the paratypes of *G. bohemicus* were, however, taken and provided by Dr Roman Kuchta for the current study, and morphological examination of their attachment hooks suggests a very close similarity to those of *G. salaris* (current study; see Fig. 2). Further comments on this, however, must wait until more specimens can be collected and evaluated through a molecular comparison
with congeners. It is for these latter reasons that Bakke et al. [2] cautiously suggested that G. salaris is probably absent from the Czech Republic, but comments that a detailed study to establish its presence or otherwise would be worthwhile. In a study carried out by Matejusová et al. [34], a single specimen of Gyrodactylus was recovered from a brown trout sampled from the Vlára River. The identity of this specimen, however, was not clearly defined and it was referred to as G. salaris/G. thymalli (see [34]), since it was not possible to reach any other conclusion. As grayling, but not salmon, is present in the Vlára River [119], the specimen sequenced is considered to be G. thymalli originating from grayling. Although accidental infections of Gyrodactylus do occur, and the cross transfer of species between cohabited hosts even during short periods of holding has been demonstrated [120], G. thymalli has not been previously reported from brown trout. The name G. thymalli, however, has never been used for parasites on other hosts than grayling, so by definition, the specimen collected and analysed by Matejusová et al. [34] would be regarded as G. salaris. If, however, the same criteria detailed by Hansen et al. [15] for identifying Gyrodactylus species are applied, then in cases like this, where the morphology and ITS sequences from a specimen corresponds to G. salaris/G. thymalli, and where the COI sequences cannot be assigned to a previously known haplotype associated with a specific host species, then identification is implicitly host-based. The name G. thymalli is thus so far used for parasites from T. thymallus only, while specimens from other hosts are named G. salaris; i.e. G. salaris is defined from salmon or from rainbow trout. Although the COI was not sequenced in this particular instance, the ITS sequence and morphology corresponded to G. salaris, and the specimen was collected from brown trout and not grayling, hence by definition it should be classified as “G. salaris”.

There are, however, other reports of “G. salaris” from the Czech Republic, which may represent misidentifications of either Gyrodactylus derjavinoides Malmberg, Collins, Cunningham et Jalali, 2007 and/or G. truttae, neither of which had been discovered and described at the time the relevant “G. salaris” report was made. These include the record of Gyrodactylus specimens from brown trout from the Osoblahar River [121] and from rainbow trout from a fish farm near the town of Český Krumlov [122]. In a study by Řehulka [123], specimens of brown, rainbow and brook trout were infected with G. salaris sensu Ergens, 1961, which later was determined to be a misidentification of G. truttae, whose attachment hooks vary markedly in size from those of G. salaris (see [118, 124]).

In conclusion, the host-based argument of the specimen identified by Matejusová et al. [33] as being G. salaris/G. thymalli from S. trutta leaves room for doubt as grayling is found within the country; as such the presence of G. salaris in the Czech Republic cannot be confirmed.

1991–Spain
Two pharmaceutical trials conducted in Spain on the species of Gyrodactylus collected from rainbow trout from Carballo, La Coruña, were identified, on the basis of hook morphology, by Professor Göran Malmberg (University of Stockholm) as G. salaris (see [125, 126]); this was, however, at a time before the existence of G. teuchis was known. The authors of this earlier work were contacted, but the slides from this study no longer exist. As with the reports for France and Portugal, it is likely that these specimens were G. teuchis and were mistaken for G. salaris. A sample of 60 Gyrodactylus specimens collected for the current study from rainbow trout fingerlings from a farm in the Galicia region of Spain were all identified as G. teuchis by morphology only; the manner in which the specimens were fixed on the farm did not, unfortunately, permit their analysis by molecular methods.

In conclusion, in the absence of molecular data the identity made by Professor Malmberg cannot be substantiated, and as such the G. salaris status of the country requires confirmation.

1996–France
The first record of G. salaris in France (and also in Portugal) was made by Johnston et al. [127] with reference to material collected from rainbow trout and identified using morphology and a DNA probe based on the V4 region of the ribosomal small subunit. The subsequent discovery of G. teuchis, a species which has morphological similarities with G. salaris, makes the validity of this earlier G. salaris finding questionable [40]. This latter study and that of Cunningham et al. [41]—which looked at material collected from a large scale survey of Atlantic salmon, rainbow trout and brown trout farms—did not find G. salaris, and therefore was unable to support the suggestion that France is a G. salaris-positive state. The report of G. salaris from France was, therefore, most likely the result of a misidentification between G. salaris and G. teuchis.

In conclusion, the G. salaris-positive status of France is questionable and requires validation from the molecular analysis of further Gyrodactylus specimens.
The assessment of Johnston et al. [127] of the Gyrodactylus specimens collected from farmed Portuguese rainbow trout was based on both morphological and molecular data. The specimens, however, were initially fixed in buffered formalin and then rinsed in 70% ethanol before being assessed. It is likely that the formalin fixation would have prevented flat preparations of Gyrodactylus and, therefore, a clear view of the marginal hooks, which are considered the key morphological feature upon which to identify species. Gyrodactylus teuchis was an unknown species at the time of study and given the morphological similarities between this and G. salaris, it is possible that the subtle differences in hook shape were not recognised as deviating from those of G. salaris. Subsequent studies by Lautraite et al. [40] and Cunningham et al. [41] described G. teuchis and its discrimination from G. salaris by morphology and differences in PCR–RFLP patterns of the ITS1, 5.8S gene and ITS1 regions. A survey of salmonids throughout France by both latter studies led to the conclusion that France was most likely a G. salaris-free state and that the original report was a result of a misidentification. Although Eiras [128] conducted a survey on several Portuguese rainbow trout and brown trout farms, no specimens of G. salaris were found. Johnston et al.’s [127] identification of G. salaris from Portugal, therefore, remains in doubt until demonstrated otherwise.

In September 2007, three specimens of Gyrodactylus were recovered from a sample of 20 Portuguese rainbow trout. All three specimens were confirmed, during the current study, as G. teuchis by morphological and molecular examinations. The sequences were submitted to GenBank under acc. no. MN853657.

In conclusion, Portugal’s G. salaris status is questionable and requires validating through a survey of its Gyrodactylus fauna.

2001–Kazakhstan or Tajikistan

The Natural History Museum (NHM), London, maintains a “host-parasite” database (www.nhm.ac.uk) which is populated with published parasite data up to and including 2002. On this database, there is a record of G. salaris from Aral trout, Salmo trutta aralensis Berg, from Kazakhstan linked to a paper by Gvozdev and Karabekova [129]. From this reference, however, Amu-Darya trout Salmo trutta oxianus Kessler is listed as a host for G. salaris from the Kafirnigan River, in Tajikistan, which could have been misidentified as Gyrodactylus derivini Mikhailov, 1975, the only “other” Gyrodactylus species previously recorded from this host (see [39]; www.gyrodactylusfauna.net; Prof. Margaritov N.M., personal communication). An earlier, similar reference by Gvozdev and Karabekova [130] does not mention G. salaris within the 43 listed species of Gyrodactylus, although the abstract indicates that 48 Gyrodactylus species are listed. The validity of the G. salaris report from Kazakhstan or Tajikistan is questionable, and although attempts have been made to contact the authors, no communication has been established. This report cannot be confirmed until further detailed information on this report is available, or specimens can be obtained and assessed.

In conclusion, the G. salaris status of Kazakhstan or Tajikistan is questionable and remains to be investigated.
Comments on other European states

1967– Moldova (including Transnistria)

Gyrodactylus salaris has not been reported from Moldova. It has, however, been reported from S. trutta from the Seret River, Ukraine [59], which is a tributary of the Dniester River, which forms the eastern boundary of Moldova and the breakaway territory of Transnistria. As this account did not include drawings, nor a link to specimens deposited in a national collection that can be re-examined, the record cannot be validated. On the NHM, London host-parasite database, G. salaris is reported from S. trutta in the “Ukraine, including Moldavia” with the report being accredited to Malmberg [31]. Moldova declared independence in 1991, with the constitution of Moldova being adopted in 1994; Malmberg [31], however, referred to this record as being taken from Ukraine.

In conclusion, although G. salaris specimens have been collected from brown trout from the Seret River on the border, in the absence of morphology and molecular-based data from specimens collected within national borders, the G. salaris status of the territory cannot be confirmed and must therefore await confirmation.

Austria

Gyrodactylus salaris has not been reported from Austria although a number of studies looking at the gyrodactylid fauna of various salmonids have been conducted ([131–133]; see Additional file 1: Table S1).

Bulgaria, Croatia, Lithuania and Turkey

Brief details of other Gyrodactylus species that have been found on salmonids in each country are provided in Additional file 1: Table S1.

Discussion

The main host of G. salaris, the Atlantic salmon, has a wide distribution in Europe and can be found along the coasts of the North Atlantic, including the Baltic Sea and their range extends from the Bay of Biscay to the White Sea. Colonisation of northern Europe most likely occurred from the sea after the last glaciation event [134]. Although most species of Gyrodactylus are host specific or have a narrow host range [135], G. salaris seems to display lower host specificity and can colonise and reproduce on a range of salmonid hosts. It is assumed, however, that lower host specificity might be the result of the number of studies performed with this species compared to all other species of Gyrodactylus.

Gyrodactylus salaris has, under natural conditions in the wild, been recorded from S. salar (e.g. [39, 136]), O. mykiss [e.g. [137]], S. trutta (e.g. [44, 66]), S. alpinus (see [137–139]), Salmo obtusirostris (see [112]) and Platichthys flesus (see [139]), although the latter, as a non-salmonid species, has proven to be an unsuitable host [115]. The relative susceptibility of these hosts, and even of different populations of these hosts, to G. salaris varies, as does the pathology induced (e.g. [5, 28, 75, 76, 115, 140–144]).

Although G. salaris was initially classified as a List III pathogen under the European Council Directive 91/67/EEC regarding measures against certain diseases in aquaculture animals, it has since been removed following EC Directive 2006/88/EC, but remains on OIE lists as a “significant disease” and “notifiable pathogen” [1].

Of all the European countries, considerable stocks of wild salmon populations are present only in Norway, Scotland, Faroe Islands, Ireland and Iceland [145]. In other states within Europe, the number of salmon populations is small [146–148]. The dissemination of G. salaris across Europe and outside its native range appears mainly to be linked to movements of rainbow trout between countries [2, 7, 145]. This appears to be the case for most of the G. salaris reports from southern Europe, such as Italy and Romania, where salmon is not present, but G. salaris has still been recorded in many localities where rainbow trout is farmed [15, 32, 106]. There are 50 sovereign states within Europe, four of which are trancontinental, and six other states, and although most contain salmonid species, a number of smaller territories, such as Gibraltar, Malta, Monaco and Vatican City, do not. While the Republic of San Marino is considered to be salmonid-free by the on-line database FishBase (www.fishbase.org), Lake Faetano, a small artificial lake created in 1968 for recreational fishing, does contain rainbow trout and brown trout, and the G. salaris status of these stocks requires establishing. The lack of clinical signs of gyrodactylosis on species such as rainbow trout means that G. salaris infections may go undetected for many years, such as in Italy where G. salaris infections had persisted unknown for at least 9 years prior to its first official report [32]. This finding is an important consideration when moving salmonid stocks and calls for more rigorous biosecurity control measures in the trade and transfer of fish species from one country to another, or between different regions within one country. Gyrodactylus cichlidarum Paperna, 1968 on Nile tilapia, Oreochromis niloticus niloticus (L.), has been exported—undetected—with its host worldwide and has been responsible for the mass mortality of juvenile Nile tilapia reported from several countries outside its native origins in Africa [149].

Gyrodactylus salaris has been reported from 23 out of the approximately 50 recognised states throughout Europe (Table 1). Only 14 of these records, however, are considered valid, having been identified by
either morphology, molecular studies or a combination of both methods, and only nine of these latter 14 reports have been confirmed by a combination of both molecular and morphological approaches (Table 1). The records of *G. salaris* from France, Portugal, Slovakia and Spain all appear to have been based on misidentifications, and although some additional specimens have been obtained from some of these countries, and found only to contain *G. teuchis*, larger numbers of samples are required before a definitive statement can be made. In the case of France, however, a robust survey conducted in Brittany and the Adour Basin was conducted [40], but only the morphologically similar species *G. teuchis* was found. Likewise, the report of *G. salaris* from Kazakhstan (or Tajikistan) is doubtful, and further samples are required for evaluation. The records of *G. salaris* from Bosnia-Herzegovina, Georgia, Moldova and Ukraine are all based on morphology only, and ideally these reports require confirmation by an appropriate molecular test. The only regions that are currently considered to be *G. salaris*-free are the Republic of Ireland, the UK (including Northern Ireland) and the Finnish water catchments of the Tenojoki and Nätäümönjoki, where on-going government-based surveillance programmes continue to screen salmonids from key sites.

Supplementary Information

The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi.org/10.1186/s13071-020-04504-5.

Additional file 1: Table S1. A list of additional European territories where Gyrodactylus have been found on salmonids.

Acknowledgements

We thank Saima N. Mohammad for help with PCR and DNA sequencing and Johannes C. Rusch for help with making Fig. 1. The authors would also like to thank Prof. Maria Letizia Fioravanti and Dr. Andrea Gustinelli for providing archive material from Italian fish farms.

Authors’ contributions

GP, APS, NGHT, JEB and HH designed the study, carried out the literature review and wrote the manuscript. GP and APS carried out the morphological analyses. HH performed the molecular analyses. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Funding

This study was started during the tenure of a PhD scholarship from the Department of the Environment, Food and Rural Affairs (Defra), UK (project no. FC1183) awarded to APS and conducted by GP.

Availability of data and materials

All data generated or analysed during this study are included in this published article.

Ethics approval and consent to participate

No experimental studies involving animals were conducted in this study. The study programme was conducted in compliance with the Animals Scientific Procedures Act 1986 (Home Office Code of Practice: HMSO: London, January 1997), in accordance with EU regulation (EC Directive 86/609/EEC) and had the approval of the Animal Ethics and Welfare Committee of the University of Stirling, UK.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Author details

1. Institute of Aquaculture, Faculty of Natural Sciences, University of Stirling, Stirling FK9 4LA, Scotland, UK. 2. Benchmark R&D (Thailand), No. 57/1 Moo 6, Samed Sub-District, Muang Chonburi District, Chonburi, Chonburi Province 20000, Thailand. 3. CEFAS Weymouth Laboratory, Barrack Road, Weymouth DT4 8UB, UK. 4. Norwegian Veterinary Institute, PO Box 750 Sentrum, Oslo N-0106, Norway.

Received: 27 May 2020 Accepted: 28 November 2020 Published online: 09 January 2021

References

1. OIE (Office International des Epizooties). Infection with Gyrodactylus salaris. Manual of diagnostic tests for aquatic animals 2017. 2017. Chapter 2.3.3.1–10. http://www.oie.int. Accessed 1 Nov 2020.
2. Bakke TA, Cable J, Harris PD. The biology of gyrodactylid monogeneans: the “Russian doll-killers”. Adv Parasirol. 2007;64:161–376.
3. Bakke TA, Jansen PA, Hansen LP. Differences in the host resistance of Atlantic salmon (Salmo salar) stocks to the monogenean Gyrodactylus salaris Malmberg, 1957. J Fish Biol. 1990;37:577–87.
4. Bakke TA. A review of the inter- and intraspecific variability in salmonid hosts to laboratory infections with Gyrodactylus salaris Malmberg. Aquaculture. 1991;98:303–10.
5. Bakke TA, Harris PD, Hansen H, Cable J, Hansen LP. Susceptibility of Baltic and East Atlantic salmon Salmo salar stocks to Gyrodactylus salaris (Monogenea). Dis Aquat Org. 2004;58:171–7.
6. OIE (Office International des Epizooties). Infection with Gyrodactylus salaris. Aquatic Animal Health Code–29/08/2019. 2019. Chapter 10.3.1–6. http://www.oie.int. Accessed 1 Nov 2020.
7. Peeler EJ, Thrush MA. Qualitative analysis of the risk of introducing Gyrodactylus salaris into the United Kingdom. Dis Aquat Org. 2004;62:103–13.
8. Peeler EJ, Odtmann BC. Demonstrating freedom from Gyrodactylus salaris (Monogenea: Gyrodactylidae) in farmed rainbow trout Oncorhynchus mykiss. Dis Aquat Org. 2008;79:47–56.
9. Bakke TA, Jansen PA, Kennedy CR. The host specificity of Gyrodactylus salaris Malmberg (Platyhelminthes, Monogenea): susceptibility of Oncorhynchus mykiss (Walbaum) under experimental conditions. J Fish Biol. 1991;39:45–57.
10. Malmberg G. Gyrodactylus salaris Malmberg, 1957 and G. truttae Gläser, 1974–two problematic species. Information. Åbo Akademi. 1974;1987(19):34.
11. McHugh ES, Shinn AP, Kay JW. Discrimination of the notifiable pathogen Gyrodactylus salaris from G. rhyrnali (Monogenea) using statistical classifiers applied to morphometric data. Parasitology. 2000;121:315–23.
12. Sterud E, Mo TA, Collins CM, Cunningham CO. The use of host specificity, pathogenicity and molecular markers to differentiate between Gyrodactylus salaris Malmberg, 1957 and G. rhyrnali Žitňan, Monogenea, Gyrodactylidae. Parasitology. 1960;2002(124):203–13.
13. Hansen H, Bachmann L, Bakke TA. Mitochondrial DNA variation of Gyrodactylus spp. (Monogenea, Gyrodactylidae) populations infecting Atlantic salmon, grayling, and rainbow trout in Norway and Sweden. Int J Parasitol. 2003;33:1471–8.
14. Hansen H, Bakke TA, Bachmann L. DNA taxonomy and barcoding of monogenean parasites: lessons from Gyrodactylus. Trends Parasitol. 2007;23:363–7.
15. Hansen H, Cojocaru CD, Mo TA. Infections with Gyrodactylus spp. (Monogenea) in Romanian fish farms: Gyrodactylus salaris Malmberg, extends its range. Parasites Vectors. 1957;2016(9):444.
17. Olstad K., Shinn AP, Bachmann L., Bakke TA. Host-based identification is
18. Fromm B, Burow S, Hahn C, Bachmann L. (2014). MicroRNA loci support
19. Shinn AP, Gibson DI, Sommerville C. Morphometric discrimination of
20. Shinn AP, Hansen H, Bakke TA, Bachmann L. Morphometric differences
24. Cunningham CO, Collins CM, Malmberg G, Mo TA. Analysis of ribosomal
26. Hansen H, Martinsen L, Bakke TA, Bachmann L. The incongruence of
28. Soleng A, Bakke TA. The susceptibility of grayling (Salmo salar) to experimental infections with the monogenean parasites
30. Kuusela J, Holopainen R, Meinilä M, Anttila P, Koski P, Ziętara MS, et al. Multi-centre testing and validation of current protocols for Gyrodactylus salaris identification. Int J Parasitol. 2001;31:738–45.
34. Matejusová I, Gelnar M, McBeath AJA, Collins CM, Cunningham CO. Phylogenetic species concepts: two new species of Gyrodactylus. Syst Parasitol. 2003;55:39–52.
37. Ergens R. Zwei weitere Befunde der Gyrodactylus-art (Monogeneidea) aus der Tschechoslowakei. Z. Fischerei Hybrid. 1983;11:736–41 (in German).
38. Ergens R. Vier neue arten des genuss Gyrodactylus Nordmann, 1832 (Monogeneidea) aus fischen der Tschechoslowakei. Z. Fischerei Hybrid. 1983;11:736–41 (in German).
39. Ergens R. Gyrodactylus from Eurasian freshwater Salmonidae and Thymallidae. Folia Parasitol. 1983;30:15–26.
40. Lautraite A, Blanc G, Thiery R, Daniel P, Vigneulle M. Gyrodactylids parasitizing salmonids in Brittany and Western Pyrenees water basins: epidemiological features of infection and species composition. Bull Fr Pêche Piscic. 1999;145:305–25.
41. Cunningham CO, Mo TA, Collins CM, Buchmann K, Thiery R, Blanc G, et al. Redescription of Gyrodactylus touchi Lautraite, Blanc, Thiery, Daniel P, Vigneulle. 1999 (Monogeneida: Gyrodactylidae), a species identified by ribosomal RNA sequence. Syst Parasitol. 2001;48:141–50.
42. Malmberg G. Om förekomsten av Gyrodactylus på Svenska fiskar. Skrifter utgivna av Södra Sveriges Fiskeriförening, Årskrift. 1965;1957:19–76 (in Swedish).
43. Malmberg G. How the "salmon killer" Gyrodactylus salaris Malmberg, 1957 was discovered and described in Sweden. Report from the front. In: Proc Workshop Sustainable Control of Fish Diseases (SCOFDA), 3–4 November 2004, Frederiksberg C, Denmark. 2004. p.12–18.
44. Malmberg G, Malmberg M. Undersökningar angående Gyrodactylus på laxfisk i fria vatten och odlingar under åren 1951–72 och 1986–95. Information från Söuvtavlenborg. Drottningholm. 1991;1992(2):1–55 (in Swedish).
45. Degerman E, Petersson E, Jacobsen PE, Karlsson L, Lettevall E, Nordwall F. Lac parasiten Gyrodactylus salaris i västskånska lax. Dansk veterinærtidsskrift. 1999;1999(4):51–9 (in Danish).
46. Malmberg G, Malmberg M. Species of Gyrodactylus (Platyhelminthes, Monogenea) on salmonids in Sweden. Fish Res. 1993;17:59–68.
47. Koski P, Malmberg G. Occurrence of Gyrodactylus (Monogenea) on salmon and rainbow trout in fish farms in Northern Finland. Bull Scand Soc Parasitol. 1995;5:76–88.
48. Buchmann K, Lindenstrøm T, Nielsen ME, Bresciani J. Diagnosis and occurrence of ectoparasite infections (Gyrodactylus spp) in Danish salmonids. Dansk Veterinærtidsskrift. 2000;2000(15):9–12 (in Danish).
49. NASCO (North Atlantic Salmon Conservation Organisation). NEA(16)06. The parasite Gyrodactylus salaris. 2016. http://www.nasco.int/pdf/201620papers/NEA_16_5_Gyrodactylus.pdf. Accessed 14 July 2019.
50. NASCO (North Atlantic Salmon Conservation Organisation). North-East Atlantic Commission. NEA(17)4. Report of the Meeting of the Working Group on Gyrodactylus salaris in the North-East Atlantic Commission Area. 2017. http://www.nasco.int/pdf/201720papers/NEA_17_4_GyrodactylusWorkingGroupReport.pdf. Accessed 14 July 2019.
51. Norwegian Veterinary Institute. Lakseparasitten Gyrodactylus salaris påvist i Kungsbackaån i Sverige. 2017. https://www.veritn.no/myheter/lakseparasitten-gyrodactylus-salars-pavist-i-kungsbacka-an-i-sverige.pdf. Accessed 14 July 2019.
52. Alenäs I. Gyrodactylus salaris; på lax i svenska vattendrag och lax problematiken på svenska västkusten. Vann. 1998;1:135–42 (in Swedish).
53. Karlsson L, Kolberg S, Olstad K, Mo TA. Känslighet hos lax från Enningdalsälven, Gullspångsvallen, Rolfån och Drammenselva för parasiten Gyrodactylus salaris i laboratorieinfekt. Rapport till Fiskerverket och Länsstyrelsen i Halland, 2003-01-16. 2003,1–32.
54. Bakke TA, Harris PD, Cable J. Host specificity dynamics: observations on gyrodactylidy monogeneans. Int J Parasitol. 2002;32:281–308.
55. Dalgaard MB, Nielsen CV, Buchmann K. Comparative susceptibility of two races of Salmo salar (Baltic Lule river and Atlantic Conon river strains) to infection with Gyrodactylus salaris. Dis Aquat Org. 2003;53:173–5.
56. Dalgaard MB, Larsen TB, Jonndrup S, Buchmann K. Differing resistance of Atlantic salmon strains and rainbow trout to Gyrodactylus salaris infection. J Aquat Anim Health. 2004;16:109–15.
57. Alenäs I, Malmberg G, Carlström H. Undersökningar av Gyrodactylus salaris på lax i Altväters vattensystem, Falkenbergs kommun under fem år 1991–1995. Miljö- och Hälso skydds kontoret, Falkenbergs kommun, November 2004, Frederiksberg C, Denmark. 2004. p.12–18.
58. Alenäs I. V. Vattenblank. Sjuka Arlanaxar. 2017. https://ingemaralenas.se/?p=3925. (in Swedish). Accessed 14 July 2019.
59. Kulakovskaya OP. Fragments on the parasite fauna of fishes from the upper course of the Rivers Tisa and Seret. Helminthologia. 1967;8:289–95.
60. Malmberg G. On a Gyrodactylus species from Northern Sweden and the subgeneric position of G. hotaii Ervins, Trematoda, Monogenea. Zool. Scr. 1957;1973(2):39–42.
61. Tesarcik J, Ivasik V. Comparison of the helmna fauna of trouts in the river Moravice and in Carpathian ponds. Helminthologia. 1974;15:773–8.
62. Matvienko N, Maistrenko M, Buchatsky L, Didenko L. Diseases of different aetiology in salmonids in Ukraine. Biologiya. 2019;65(4):273–82.
63. Bergsø T, Vazivik V. Gyrodactylus-en sjelden, men plage som fiskeparasitt. Norsk Fiskeoppeptid. 1977:41–13 (in Norwegian).
64. Johnsen BO, Mokkelgjerd PI, Jensen AJ. The parasite fauna of freshwater fishes of the upper course of the Rivers Tisa and Seret. Helminthologia. 1957;1:71–129 (in Norwegian).
65. Johnsen BO, Jensen AJ. The parasite fauna of the river Moravice and in Carpathian ponds. Helminthologia. 1974;15:773–8.
66. Tanum K. Studier av taksonomi og vertsforhold hos Gyrodactylus-arten på laksefisk. NINA Oppdragsmelding. 1999;617:1–129 (in Norwegian).
67. Malmberg G. Salmonid transports, culturing and infections in Scandinavia. In: Bauer O, editor. Parasites of freshwater fishes in north-west Europe. Int Symp Sovjet-Finnish Cooperation, 10–14 January 1988. p. 88–104.
68. Johnsen BO. The effect of an attack by the parasite Gyrodactylus salaris on the population of salmon parr in the river Lakselva. Misver in Northern Norway. Astarte. 1978;112:7–9.
69. Mo TA. Status of Gyrodactylus salaris problems and research in Norway. In: Pike AW, Lewis JW, editors. Parasitic diseases of fish. Dyfed Samara Publishing (Ltd.), Dyfed, 1994. p. 43–56.
70. Heggberget TG, Johnsen BO. Infections by Gyrodactylus sp. of Atlantic salmon, Salmo salar, in Norwegian rivers. J Fish Biol. 1982;21:15–26.
71. Johnsen BO, Jensen AJ. Infections of Atlantic salmon, Salmo salar, by Gyrodactylus salaris in Norwegian rivers. J Fish Biol. 1986;26:233–41.
72. Johnsen BO, Jensen AJ. Infection of Atlantic salmon, Salmo salar L., by Gyrodactylus salaris Malmberg, 1957, in the river Lakselva, Misver in northern Norway. J Fish Biol. 1992;40(4):433–44.
73. Hyttøred S, Mohammad SN, Darrud M, Rolén E, Welde H, Svendsen J, Soleim KB, Hansen H. The post-treatment surveillance programme for Gyrodactylus salaris 2019. Annual Report ISSN 1894-5678. Oslo: Norwegian Veterinary Institute, 2020.
74. Hyttøred S, Forssén GJ, Larsen S, Mohammad SN, Darrud M, Rolén E, et al. The surveillance programme for Gyrodactylus salaris in Atlantic salmon and rainbow trout in Norway 2019 Annual Report ISSN 1894-5678. Oslo: Norwegian Veterinary Institute, 2020.
75. Hyttøred S, Adolffén P, Aune S, Hansen H. Gyrodactylus salaris funnet på røyfisk (Salvelinus alpinus) i Fossvatnet (Nordland); paratopogr. laks (Salmo salar)? Veterinærinstituttets Rapportserie 11-01. Oslo: Veterinærinstituttet; 2011.
76. Olstad K, Robertsøn G, Bachmann L, Bakke TA. Variation in host preference within Gyrodactylus salaris (Monogenea): an experimental approach. Parasitology. 2007;134:589–97.
77. Anonymous. Handlingplan mot lakeparasitten Gyrodactylus salaris for perioden 2014-2016. Miljødirektoratet (Norwegian Environment Agency). 2014:88 (in Norwegian).
78. Soleng A, Jansen PA, Bakke TA. Transmission of the monogenean Gyrodactylus salaris. Folia Parasitol. 1999;46:179–84.
79. Poleo ABS, Schjolden J, Hansen H, Bakke TA, Mo TA, Rosseland BO, et al. The effect of various metal on Gyrodactylus salaris (Platyhelminthes, Monogenea) infections in Atlantic salmon (Salmo salar). Parasitology. 2004;128:169–77.
80. Hagen AG, Hyttøred S, Olstad K. Low concentrations of sodium hypochlorite affect population dynamics in Gyrodactylus salaris (Malmberg, 1957).: a model for speciation by host switch for piscicidal specific Gyrodactylus salaris: a model for speciation by host switch for piscicidal specific. 2009;104:671–6.
81. Hagen AG, Hyttøred S, Olstad K. Low concentrations of sodium hypochlorite affect population dynamics in Gyrodactylus salaris (Malmberg, 1957).: a model for speciation by host switch for piscicidal specific. 2009;104:671–6.
82. Dorovskikh GN. The results of a study of the species composition of parasites in fishes of the river basins in northeastern European Russia. Parasitologia. 2000;34:441–6.
83. Kudersky LA, Iesko EP, Shulman BS. Distribution range formation history of the monogenean Gyrodactylus salaris Malmberg, 1957—a parasite of juvenile Atlantic salmon Salmo salar Linnaeus, 1758. In: Veselov Aje, Iesko EP, Nemova NN, Sterligova OP, Shustov YuA, editors. Atlantic salmon: biology, conservation and restoration. Petrozavodsk: Pakoni, 2003. p. 77–83.
84. Permyakov EV, Rumyantsev EA. Parasite fauna of the Salmonidae and Coregonidae of Lake Onega. Sbornik Nauchnykh Trudov Gosniorkh. USSR) Sverdlovsk. 1976;50–68.
85. Less and harmful combinations of host species and parasite strains. Petrozavodsk: Karelian Research Centre, Russian Academy of Sciences; 2005. p. 47–55. http://www.krc.karelia.ru/publ/?id=1425&lang=ru
86. Iesko EP, Shulman BS, Shchurov IL, Barskaya Y. Long-term changes in the epizootic of juvenile salmon (Salmo salar L.) in the Keret River (White Sea basin) depending on the invasion of Gyrodactylus salaris Malmberg, 1957. Parasitologia. 2008;42:486–96 (in Russian).
87. Kuusela J, Ziętara MS, Lumme J. Hybrid origin of Baltic salmon-specific Gyrodactylus salaris: a model for speciation by host switch for piscicidal specific. Møl Ecol. 2007;165:234–45.
88. Hansen H, Iesko EP, Mugue N, Sokolov SG, Parshukov A, Kalinina N, et al. Spreading of Gyrodactylus salaris in the Russian North—a threat to vulnerable salmon stocks. In: NASCO Symposium—Managing the Atlantic Salmon in a Rapidly Changing Environment—Management Challenges and Possible Responses, Tromsø, Norway, 5–6 June 2019. p. 157. https://nasco.int/wp-content/uploads/2020/05/NASCO-IVS-Symposium-Lower-Res.pdf
89. Rintamäki P. Gyrodactylus salaris at a fish farm in northern Finland. In: Bauer ON, editor. Parasites of freshwater fishes in North-west Europe. Petrozavodsk: Institute of Biology, USSR Academy of Sciences, Karelian Branch; 1989. p. 123–30.
90. Rimaila-Pärnänen E, Wiklund T. The occurrence of Gyrodactylus salaris in fresh water fish farms. Suomen Eläin. 1987;93:506–7 (in Finnish).
91. Keränen AL, Koski P, Kulonen K, Ek-Kommonen C, Neuvonen E. Occurrence of infectious diseases in fish farms in Northern Finland. Acta Vet Scand. 1992;33:161–7.
92. Finnish Food Authority. Salmon parasite Gyrodactylus salaris. 2020. https://www.ruokavirasto.fi/en/farmers/animal-husbandry/animal-health-and-diseases/animal-diseases/fish/salmon-parasite-gyrodactylus-salaris/. Accessed 30 Oct 2020.
93. European Commission. Decision of 15 April 2010 approving national measures for limiting the impact of certain diseases in aquaculture animals in accordance with Article 43 of Council Directive 2006/88/EC. 2010/002121—EN—18122018—0111:01-9: Notified under document C(2010) 1850. Text with EEA relevance (2010/221/EU). OJ L 98, 20.4.2010. 2018. https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/ED/PDF/?uri=CELEX:2010D0221-20181218&from=EN. Accessed 1 Nov 2020.
94. Lux E. Gyrodactylus salaris-parasitierung von Salmoniden, ein diagnostisch-taxonomisches problem. In: Bernoth E-M, Hoffmann R, editors. Tagung der Fachgruppe 'Fischkrankheiten' in Verbindung mit der EAFV-deutsche Sektion European Association of Fish Pathologists. Giessen: Deutsche Veterinärmedizinische Gesellschaft e.V.; 1990. p. 87–98 (in German).
95. Dzika E, Maciejewská MV, Hoffmann RW, Oldhoff M. The Gyrodactylidae fauna of rainbow trout Oncorhynchus mykiss Wallbaum 1792 in the Rogg breeding pound in Bavaria Germany. Parasitol Res. 2009;104:671–6.
96. Buchmann K, Bresciani J. Parasitic infections in pond-reared rainbow trout Oncorhynchus mykiss in Denmark. Dis Aquat Org. 1997;28:125–38.
97. Nielsen CV, Buchmann K. Occurrence of Gyrodactylus parasites in Danish fish farms. Bull Eur Assoc Fish Pathol. 2001;21:19–25.
99. Buchmann K. Gyrodactylids in salmonids in Denmark. In: Buchmann K, editor. Diagnosis and control of fish diseases. Proc Workshop Sustainable Control of Fish Diseases (SCOFDA), 2–3 November 2005, Fredrikberg C, 2005 p. 22–23.
100. Lindemulje T, Collins CM, Bresciani J, Cunningham CO, Buchmann K. Characterization of a Gyrodactylus salaris variant: infection biology, morphology and molecular genetics. Parasitology. 2003;127:165–77.
101. Jørgensen LG, Heinecke RD, Kania P, Buchmann K. Occurrence of gyrodactylids on wild Atlantic salmon, Salmo salar L., in Danish rivers. J Fish Dis. 2008;31:127–34.
102. Prost M. Fish monogenea of Poland. JX. Two species of Gyrodactylus from Salmonidae. Acta Parasitol. 1991;36:109–14.
103. Rokicka M, Lumme J, Ziętara M. Identification of Gyrodactylus ectoparasites in Polish salmonid farm by PCR-RFLP of the nuclear ITS segment of ribosomal DNA (Monogenea, Gyrodactylidea). Acta Parasitol. 2007;52:185–95.
104. Jørgensen TR, Larsen TG, Bresciani J, Lumme J, Buchmann K. Characterisation of a low pathogenic form of Gyrodactylus salaris from rainbow trout. Dis Aquat Organ. 2007;73:235–44.
105. Ziętara MS, Rokicka M, Stojałowski S, Lumme J. Introggression of distant mitochondrial into the genome of Gyrodactylus salaris: Nuclear and mitochondrial markers are necessary to identify parasite strains. Acta Parasitol. 2010;55:20–8.
106. Paladini G, Fiorenati ML, Caffara M, Minardi D, Righetelli M, Preaoro M. The distribution of Gyrodactylus salaris Malmberg, 1957 (Monogenea, Gyrodactylidea) in northern and central Italy. Parasitologia. 2010;52:361.
107. Ozerov MV, Lumme J, Päkk P, Rintamäki P, Ziętara MS, Barskaya Y, et al. High Gyrodactylus salaris infection rate in triploid Atlantic salmon Salmo salar. Dis Aquat Organ. 2010;91:129–36.
108. Platten M, McLoughlin M, Shinn AP. Distribution and identification of gyrodactylid species in fish farms and rivers in Northern Ireland. Vet Rec. 1994;135:411–2.
109. Shinn AP, Sommersville C, Gibson DJ. Distribution and characterization of species of Gyrodactylus Nordmann, 1832 (Monogenea) parasitizing salmonids in UK, and their discrimination from G. salaris Malmberg, 1957. J Nat Hist. 1995;29:1383–402.
110. Campbell AD, The parasites of fish in Loch Leven. Proc Roy Soc Edinb. 1974;74:347–64.
111. Gläser HJ. Six new species of the Gyrodactylus wagneri-group (Monogenea, Gyrodactylidea) including observations on preparation, determination, terminology and host specificity. Zool Anz. 1974;192:56–76 (in German).
112. Čanković M, Kiškarolj M. Početna ispitivanja odnosa nekih parazita riba (Monogenea) na ribogojilištima u Bosni i Hercegovini. III. Girodaktiloza. Veterinaria. 1984;33(3):305–22 (in Czech).
113. Bakke TA, Harris PD, Jansen PA, Hansen LP. Experimental transmission of Gyrodactylus salaris Malmberg (Platyhelminthes, Monogenea) to rainbow trout Oncorhynchus mykiss. Dis Aquat Organ. 2001;49:117–23.
114. Mo TA. Gyrodactylus truttae Gläser, 1975, på arøt, Salmo trutta L. og laks, Salmo salar L. i Sanviksvassdraget: Taksonomi og infeksjonsforhold. PhD thesis. Oslo: University of Oslo; 1983 (in Norwegian).
115. Santamaria MT, Tojo JL, Ubeira FM, Quinteiro P, Sanmartín ML. Anthelmintic treatment against Gyrodactylus sp. infecting rainbow trout Oncorhynchus mykiss. Dis Aquat Organ. 1991;16:39–43.
116. Tojo JL, Santamaria MT, Ubeira FM, Estévez J, Sanmartín ML. Anthelmintic activity of benzimidazoles against Gyrodactylus sp. infecting rainbow trout Oncorhynchus mykiss. Dis Aquat Organ. 1992;12:185–9.
117. Johnston C, MacKenzie K, Cunningham CO, Eiras JC, Bruno DW. Occurrence of Gyrodactylus salaris Malmberg, 1957 in Portugal. Bull Eur Assoc Fish Pathol. 1996;16:89–91.
118. Eiras JC. Ocorrência de Gyrodactylus spp. (Monogenea, Gyrodactylidea) em Portugal e sua importância. Acta Parasitol Port. 1999;2:71–3 (in Portuguese).
119. Gvоздев EV, Каребкова DU. Fish monogenea of Kazakhstan and Middle Asia. Alma Ata: Tethys; 2001.
120. Gvоздев EV, Каребкова DU. Monogenea of freshwater fish in Central Asia and Kazakhstan. Institute of Zoology, Academy of Sciences of the Kazakh SSR, Alma Ata, USSR, Seriya Biologicheskaya. 1990;2:18–24 (in Russian).
121. Hahn C, Bakke TA, Bachmann L, Weiss S, Harris PD. Morphometric and molecular characterization of Gyrodactylus teuchis Lauterblanc, Thiery, Daniel & Vigneulle, 1999 (Monogenea: Gyrodactylidea) from an Austrian brown trout population. Parasitol Int. 2011;60:480–7.
122. Hahn C, Weiss S, Stojałowski S, Bachmann L. Co-speciation of the ectoparasite Gyrodactylus teuchis (Monogenea, Platyhelminthes) and its salmonid hosts. PLoS ONE. 2015;10(6):e0127340.151.
123. Kadlec D, Konečný R, Matějusová I, Šebelová Š, Gelnar M. Present status of knowledge on monogenean parasites in Austria, Central Europe: In: Proc III International Symposium on Monogenea (ISM 3), 25–30 August 1997, Brno, 1997: p. 71.
124. Nilsson J, Gross R, Asplund T, Dove O, Jansson H, Kelleniemi J, et al. Matrilinear phylogeography of Atlantic salmon (Salmo salar L.) in Europe and postglacial colonization of the Baltic Sea area. Mol Ecol. 2001;10:89-102.
125. Shinn AP, Harris PD, Cable J, Bakke TA, Paladini G, Bron JE. Gyrod. World Wide Web electronic publication. 2013. www.gyrod.net. Accessed 1 Nov 2020.
126. Johnsen BQ, Jensen AJ. Parasiten Gyrodactylus salaris på laksunger i norske vassdrag, status rapport. Direktoratet for fisk og ferskvandsforskning, Reguleringsundersøkelserne. 1985;2:1–45 (in Norwegian).
127. Mo TA. Gyrodactylus-undersøkelserne av fisk i forbindelse med rotenon-behandlingene av Skibotn elva i August 1988. Gyrodactylus-undersøkelserne ved Zoologisk museum, Universitetet i Oslo. 1988.5.1–14. (in Norwegian).
128. Robertson G, Hansen H, Bachmann L, Bakke TA. Arctic char (Salvelinus alpinus) is a suitable host for Gyrodactylus salaris (Monogenea, Gyrodactylidea) in Norway. Parasitology. 2007;134:257–67.
129. Mo TA. Taksonomiske og biologiske undersøkelser, virksomheten i 1987. Universitetet i Oslo: Gyrodactylus-undersøkelserne ved Zoologisk museum, Universitetet i Oslo. 1986;5.1–14. (in Norwegian).
130. Bakke TA, Jansen PA, Hansen LP. Experimental transmission of Gyrodactylus salaris Malmberg, 1957 (Platyhelminthes, Monogenea) between the Atlantic salmon (Salmo salar) and the European eel (Anguilla anguilla). Can J Zool. 1991;69:733–7.
131. Bakke TA, Jansen PA. Susceptibility of Arctic char (Salvelinus alpinus) to Gyrodactylus salaris Malmberg (Monogenea). Bull Scand Soc Parasitol. 1991;1:60.
142. Bakke TA, Jansen PA. Susceptibility of grayling (*Thymallus thymallus*) to *Gyrodactylus salaris* Malmberg (Monogenea). Bull Scand Soc Parasitol. 1991;1:61.

143. Bakke TA, Harris PD, Jansen PA. The susceptibility of *Salvelinus fontinalis* (Mitchell) of *Gyrodactylus salaris* Malmberg (Platyhelminthes, Monogenea) under experimental conditions. J Fish Biol. 1992;41:499–507.

144. Soleng A, Bakke TA. The susceptibility of whitefish (*Coregonus lavaretus* L.) to experimental infections with the monogenean *Gyrodactylus salaris* Malmberg, 1957. Bull Scand Soc Parasitol. 2001;11:32–6.

145. Peeler EJ, Thrush MA, Paisley L, Rodgers C. An assessment of the risk of spreading the fish parasite *Gyrodactylus salaris* to uninfected territories in the European Union with the movement of live Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar*) from coastal waters. Aquaculture. 2006;258:187–97.

146. Mills D. Strategies for the rehabilitation of Atlantic salmon. Pitlochry: The Atlantic Salmon Trust; 1991.

147. Maitland PS, Campbell RN. Freshwater fishes of the British Isles. London: Harper Collins; 1992.

148. Hendry K, Cragg-Hine D. Ecology of the Atlantic salmon. Natura 2000 Rivers Ecology Series No. 7. Petreborough: English Nature; 2003.

149. García-Vásquez A, Hansen H, Christison KW, Rubio-Godoy M, Bron JE, Shinn AP. *Gyrodactylids* (*Gyrodactylidae* Monogenea) infecting *Oreochromis niloticus niloticus* (L.) and *O. mossambicus* (Peters) (Cichlidae): a pan-global survey. Acta Parasitol. 2010;55:215–29.

150. Žitňan R. *Gyrodactylus thymalli* n. sp. aus den Flossen der Äsche (*Thymallus thymallus* L.). Helminthologia. 1960;11:161–6 (in German).

151. Mo TA. Variations of opisthaptoral parts of *Gyrodactylus salaris* Malmberg, 1957 (Monogenea: Gyrodactylidae) on rainbow trout *Oncorhynchus mykiss* (Walbaum, 1792) in a fish farm, with comments on the spreading of the parasite in south-eastern Norway. Syst Parasitol. 1991;20:1–9.

152. Cable J, Harris PD, Tinsley RC, Lazarus CM. Phylogenetic analysis of *Gyrodactylus* spp. (Platyhelminthes: Monogenea) using rDNA sequences. Can J Zool. 1999;77:1439–49.

**Publisher’s Note**

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.