Identification and Discrimination of Salmonella enterica Serovar Gallinarum Biovars Pullorum and Gallinarum Based on a One-Step Multiplex PCR Assay
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Salmonella enterica serovar Gallinarum biovars Pullorum (S. Pullorum) and Gallinarum (S. Gallinarum) can result in pullorum disease and fowl typhoid in avian species, respectively, and cause considerable economic losses in poultry in many developing countries. Conventional Salmonella serotyping is a time-consuming, labor-intensive and expensive process, and the two biovars cannot be distinguished using the traditional serological method. In this study, we developed a rapid and reliable one-step multiplex polymerase chain reaction (PCR) assay to simultaneously identify and discriminate the biovars Pullorum and Gallinarum. The multiplex PCR method focused on three specific genes, stn, I137_08605 and ratA. Based on bioinformatics analysis, we found that gene I137_08605 was present only in S. Pullorum and S. Gallinarum, and a region of difference in ratA was deleted only in S. Pullorum after comparison with that of S. Gallinarum and other Salmonella serovars. Three pairs of primers specific for the three genes were designed for the multiplex PCR system and their selectivity and sensitivity were determined. The multiplex PCR results showed that S. Pullorum and S. Gallinarum could be identified and discriminated accurately from all tested strains including 124 strains of various Salmonella serovars and 42 strains of different non-Salmonella pathogens. In addition, this multiplex PCR assay could detect a minimum genomic DNA concentration of 67.4 pg/µL, and 100 colony forming units. The efficiency of the multiplex PCR was evaluated by detecting natural-occurring Salmonella isolates from a chicken farm. The results demonstrated that the established multiplex PCR was able to identify S. Gallinarum and S. Pullorum individually, with results being consistent with traditional serotyping and biochemical testing. These results demonstrated that a highly accurate and simple biovar-specific multiplex PCR assay could be performed for the rapid identification and discrimination of Salmonella biovars Gallinarum and Pullorum, which will be useful, particularly under massive screening situations.
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INTRODUCTION

Salmonellosis is a zoonotic disease, which can cause gastroenteritis, diarrhea, and systemic typhoid fever (McGhee et al., 2009). So far, 2,610 different Salmonella serovars have been defined and classified based on the 46 lipopolysaccharide (O) and 114 flagellar (H) antigens (Guibourdenche et al., 2010). Salmonella enterica serovar Gallinarum biovars Pullorum (S. Pullorum) and Gallinarum (S. Gallinarum) belong to biovars of serovar Gallinarum within serotype D, and cause diseases only in avian species including turkeys, chickens, and other birds (Shivaprasad, 2000).

Salmonella Gallinarum is the causative pathogen of fowl typhoid and results in variable morbidity and high mortality, which often leads to a severe septicemic disease occurring primarily in adult birds. S. Pullorum can cause pullorum disease, which is a serious systemic disease with high mortality, especially in young birds (Barrow and Freitas Neto, 2011). Although part of infected birds could get recovered from pullorum disease, and some adult birds may not present with any clinical disease symptoms, most birds develop a carrier state, and thus may become a repository for the pathogens, which may then be transmitted vertically to newborn hatchlings and horizontally to other birds (Berchieri et al., 2001; Shivaprasad and Barrow, 2008). Although these diseases have been wiped out from the commercial poultry industries in some developed countries, they are widespread in developing countries such as Asia, Africa, and Central and South America, and have caused enormous economic losses (Jones et al., 2001; Kang et al., 2011).

To date, the only reliable method for Salmonella serotyping is the traditional White–Kauffmann–Le Minor scheme, but it cannot distinguish closely related biovars, such as S. Gallinarum and S. Pullorum (World Organization for Animal Health (OIE), 2012). These two biovars share many similar characteristics, including the same lipopolysaccharide (O) antigens, the lack of flagella because of mutations occurred in flagellar-associated genes, and the same avian host they infect (Barrow and Freitas Neto, 2011). Thus, it is not possible to differentiate the two biovars only by serotyping. Although very similar antigenic features are shared by the two Salmonella biovars, some biochemical reactions such as glucose, maltose, and dulcitol fermentation, and ornithine decarboxylase activity, have been established and used to differentiate them (Crichton and Old, 1990). However, biochemical reactions are labor-intensive and take approximately 2–3 days to obtain results (Cheraghchi et al., 2014).

In recent years, polymerase chain reaction (PCR) has been rapidly developed and widely used for the detection of different pathogens because of its relatively simple procedures, as well as its potent specificity and sensitivity (Hoofar, 2011). DNA-based molecular technologies have also been reported for use in the identification of Salmonella biovars Pullorum and Gallinarum (Kisiela et al., 2005; Kang et al., 2011). However, these assays to identify the two biovars are laborious, complicated, and expensive due to the requirement of additional reagents such as restriction enzymes, or the need of more than one single reaction.

In this study, a reliable and rapid multiplex PCR method were developed and validated to identify and distinguish S. Gallinarum and S. Pullorum simultaneously. The approach was based on three primer pairs designed to target specific regions of stn, I137_08605, and ratA, respectively. The multiplex PCR selectivity was evaluated among various Salmonella serovars and different non-Salmonella pathogens. Besides, natural-occurring Salmonella isolates from a commercial chicken farm were tested by the PCR assay. The newly established multiplex PCR method will be a useful tool to accurately identify and distinguish the two specific Salmonella biovars, and will be particularly beneficial in situations requiring massive screening.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Bacterial Strains

Bacterial strains used in this study are commercially available or were isolated previously after our routine monitoring, and included 124 strains which belong to 31 various Salmonella serovars and 42 strains of different non-Salmonella pathogens. All Salmonella serotypes were confirmed according to the White–Kauffmann–Le Minor scheme (Grimont and Weill, 2007), based on the rapid agglutination assays with the Salmonella diagnostic antisera (Tianrun Bio-Pharmaceutical, Ningbo, China). Ornithine decarboxylation and dulcitol fermentation tests were conducted to differentiate the biovars Gallinarum and Pullorum.

Bacterial Culture and Genomic DNA Preparation

The bacterial growth and genomic DNA preparation were conducted as described previously (Xiong et al., 2016). In brief, frozen stocks of the bacterial strains were recovered on Luria-Bertani (LB) agar (Oxoid, Basingstoke, Hampshire, United Kingdom) or Brain Heart Infusion (BHI) agar (Becton, Dickinson and Company, Sparks, MD, United States) at 37°C overnight. The colonies were transferred to LB broth or BHI broth, and cultured at 37°C with constant shaking at a speed of 180 rpm for 16 h. Bacterial genomic DNA was prepared by a commercial QIAamp DNA Mini Kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany). The DNA purity and concentration were evaluated by the spectrophotometer NanoDrop ND-1000 (Thermo Scientific, Wilmington, DE, United States).

Bioinformatics Analysis

To detect and differentiate S. Gallinarum and S. Pullorum based on a PCR assay, we exploited the basic local alignment search tool algorithm from the National Center for Biotechnology Information. The I137_08605 (GenBank accession no. CP006575.1 segment 1847407-1847696) and ratA ROD (GenBank accession no. AM933173.1 segment 2636413-2636983) genes were each used in searches of the non-redundant nucleotide collection (nr/nt) database. To ensure that all aligned target sequences in the database were displayed, we set the number of nucleotide sequences to the maximum value of
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20,000. Two pairs of primers specific for the two genes were designed using the online software Primer3 (Untergasser et al., 2012), and the specific primer pairs for the targets are listed in Table 1.

**Multiplex PCR Procedure**

The multiplex PCR assays were performed in a final volume of 25-µL including 200 µM deoxynucleoside triphosphate mix, 0.25 µL (1 U) of Taq DNA polymerase, 2.5 µL of 10× Taq DNA polymerase buffer (Vazyme, Nanjing, China), 40 nM of the ratA ROD F/R primers, 80 nM of the I137_08605 F/R primers, 80 nM of the stn F/R primers, and 100 ng of the bacterial genomic DNA. The stn gene encodes *Salmonella* enterotoxin and is specific for *S. enterica* (Prager et al., 1995). Therefore, *stn* could be used as the internal amplification control in the multiplex PCR method. The PCR amplification was conducted using a T100 Thermal Cycler (Eppendorf, Hamburg, Germany) with the following protocol: initial denaturation at 94°C for 5 min; 30 sequential cycles of 94°C for 45 s, 52°C for 45 s, and 72°C for 40 s; and a final step of 72°C for 10 min. The PCR fragments were separated using 1% agarose gels after electrophoresis, and stained with 1× GelRed Nucleic Acid Gel Stain (Biotium, Fremont, CA, United States). The amplified PCR products were visualized using UV light excitation, and images were digitalized using a GelDoc XR Gel Documentation System (Bio-Rad).

**Selectivity and Detecting Limit of the Multiplex PCR Assay**

Genomic DNA extracted from 124 strains of various *Salmonella* serovars and 42 strains of different non-*Salmonella* pathogens were used to evaluate the selectivity and compatibility of the three primer sets in the multiplex PCR assay.

The detecting limit of the multiplex PCR assay regarding the lower limit of DNA that could be detected was determined by testing serially diluted samples of genomic DNA from *S. Gallinarum* strain SG9 and *S. Pullorum* strain S06004. The genomic DNA of the two *Salmonella* biovars was 10-fold serially diluted from 67.4 ng/µL to 674 fg/µL in deionized water. All diluted samples were separately served as the template in the multiplex PCR assay.

To determine the fewest number of cells that could be detected by the multiplex PCR, *S. Gallinarum* strain SG9 and *S. Pullorum* strain S06004 were cultured overnight. The bacteria were centrifuged and washed with phosphate buffered saline three times, and the plate counting assays were used to calculate the bacterial concentrations. The templates were prepared by 10-fold serially dilution to obtain different concentrations of bacteria ranging from $2 \times 10^7$ to $2 \times 10^2$ colony forming units (CFU)/mL. All bacterial dilutions were boiled in a water bath for 10 min, and centrifuged at 10,000 rpm for 5 min. The supernatant containing the DNA from the lysed bacterial cells was collected and 5 µL of each dilution was used as template in the multiplex PCR assay.

**Chicken Farm *Salmonella* Isolates**

Naturally contaminated samples were collected from feces and floors of a commercial chicken farm in Yangzhou, China according to the method ISO 6579-1:2017. The indigenous *Salmonella* strains were isolated as described (Cai et al., 2016; Zhou et al., 2017). In brief, all samples were cultured in buffered peptone water (50 mL; Difco, Sparks, MD, United States) for pre-enrichment at 37°C for 24 h. The bacterial culture (0.1 mL) was subsequently inoculated into Rappaport-Vassiliadis R10 broth (10 mL; Difco, BD) and cultured at 42°C for 24 h. Then the bacteria broth was streaked on xylose lysine tergitol 4 agar plates (Difco, BD) and incubated continuously at 37°C for 20–24 h. The presumptive *Salmonella* colonies on all plates were individually collected and the biochemical tests were performed based on an API 20E assay system (BioMerieux, Marcy l’Etoile, France).

**Multiplex PCR Detection of the Chicken Farm *Salmonella* Isolates**

To evaluate the diagnostic sensitivity of the multiplex PCR method on natural-occurring *Salmonella* isolates, the genomic DNA of *Salmonella* isolates from the chicken farm was extracted by the boiling method and used as PCR templates. The developed multiplex PCR results were then compared with the conventional *Salmonella* serotyping and biochemical tests.

**Traditional *Salmonella* Serotyping and Biochemical Testing**

The serovars of the clinical *Salmonella* isolates were characterized using traditional *Salmonella* serotyping assays with diagnostic antisera for rapid agglutination following the

### Table 1 | Multiplex PCR primers used for identification and discrimination of *S. Pullorum* and *S. Gallinarum*.

| Primers | Primer sequence (5’→3’) | Size (bp) | Accession no./Nt segments | *Salmonella* serovars |
|---------|------------------------|-----------|--------------------------|---------------------|
| stn F   | TATTTTGACCCACCGCCAGGC | 131       | L16014.1 450-580         | SP, SG              |
| stn R   | CCGACGGGTATCATACTG     |           |                          |                     |
| I137_08605 F | CACCTGAGACTCTGAGGACA | 290       | CP006575.1 1847407-1847696 |                     |
| I137_08605 R | GGGTAGGGTCTTGAGGATT  |           |                          |                     |
| ratA ROD F | ATTGCTCTGCTTCTGGGTAC  | 571       | AM933173.1 2636413-2636983 |                     |
| ratA ROD R | TACCGATAACGCACACTACC  |           |                          |                     |

*SP: S. Pullorum; SG: S. Gallinarum.*
RESULTS

Bioinformatics Analysis and Primer Design

Bioinformatics analysis revealed that I137_08605 existed only in S. Pullorum and S. Gallinarum, and was not present in any other Salmonella serovars, or in non-Salmonella strains that have 100% sequence similarity across the two Salmonella biovars that were included in the database (Supplementary Figure S1). The ratA gene of S. Pullorum was 4776 bp, covering 85% of the length of ratA in S. Gallinarum and other serovars. The truncated sequence was due to variations in the region of difference (ROD) compared with that of other serovars, which were exploited to differentiate S. Pullorum from S. Gallinarum (Supplementary Figure S2). Therefore, two pairs of oligonucleotide primers that distinguished the two Salmonella biovars were designed, specifically targeting I137_08605 and ratA ROD (Table 1). The primer sets in the multiplex PCR assay produced an amplicon of 290 bp specific to I137_08605 for biovar Pullorum, and two amplicons of 290 and 571 bp specific to I137_08605 and ratA ROD, respectively, for biovar Gallinarum (Figure 1).

Analytical Selectivity of the Multiplex PCR Assay

The multiplex PCR selectivity was evaluated by detecting the genomic DNA from 124 strains of various Salmonella serovars and 42 strains of different non-Salmonella pathogens. The multiplex PCR results showed that three specific bands corresponding to the amplification of stn, I137_08605, and ratA ROD from S. Gallinarum were generated, and only two specific bands corresponding to the amplification of stn and I137_08605 was generated from S. Pullorum. In contrast, only two amplifications corresponding to stn and ratA ROD were produced in a portion of the other 29 Salmonella serovars. No amplification was detected for any of the non-Salmonella strains, suggesting that the developed multiplex PCR method had an excellent selectivity with 100% inclusivity and exclusivity. The relative specificity and relative sensitivity to discriminate negative and positive samples were both 100% for the multiplex PCR method (Figure 2).

Analytical Detecting Limit of the Multiplex PCR Assay

The multiplex PCR sensitivity was evaluated by detecting serially diluted genomic DNA from S. Gallinarum and S. Pullorum. The results showed that stn, I137_08605, and ratA ROD could be amplified at the lowest concentration of 67.4 pg/µL, suggesting that at least 67.4 pg/µL of bacterial genomic DNA was required in order to identify and distinguish S. Gallinarum or S. Pullorum using this multiplex PCR method. In addition, the fewest number of S. Pullorum or S. Gallinarum cells the PCR method could detect was also determined. Based on the multiplex PCR assay on various concentrations of diluted Salmonella cells, we determined that the minimum number of bacteria able to be detected was 100 CFU (data not shown).

Application of the Multiplex PCR Method

To evaluate the sensitivity of the multiplex PCR, additional Salmonella isolates were used for the method. The isolates of unknown serovars were collected from naturally contaminated samples from a chicken farm and were prepared for use as a template in order to evaluate the diagnostic efficiency of this multiplex PCR method. The PCR results showed that 21 samples generated only two specific band corresponding to amplification of stn and I137_08605, suggesting that the 21 isolates were S. Pullorum. Two samples from the chicken farm generated the three specific bands consistent with stn, I137_08605, and ratA ROD amplification, suggesting that these two isolated strains were S. Gallinarum (Table 2).

Traditional Serotyping and Biochemical Identification of the Salmonella Isolates

To validate the accuracy of the multiplex PCR assay, traditional Salmonella serotyping and biochemical assays were conducted on the Salmonella isolates of the chicken farm. The results showed that the 71 Salmonella isolates included 21 strains of S. Pullorum, two strains of S. Gallinarum, 13 strains of S. Indiana, and 35 strains of S. Enteritidis, which were completely concordant with the multiplex PCR results (Table 2).

DISCUSSION

Salmonella Pullorum and S. Gallinarum belong to important bacterial pathogens and have resulted in enormous economic
Selectivity of the multiplex PCR method for the identification of S. Pullorum and S. Gallinarum. The multiplex PCR assays, using genomic DNA from various Salmonella and non-Salmonella strains, were conducted using the designed primers targeting *str* (131 bp), *I137_08605* (290 bp), and *ratA* ROD (571 bp). The three specific PCR products could be amplified in S. Gallinarum, while only *str* and *I137_08605* genes could be amplified in S. Pullorum.
TABLE 2 | Salmonella strains isolated from one chicken farm to examine the application of the developed multiplex PCR method.

| Serovar (no. of isolates) | Isolate no. | PCR results (ratA ROD/1137_08605/stn) | Dulcitol fermentation | Ornithine decarboxylase |
|---------------------------|-------------|--------------------------------|---------------------|----------------------|
| Pullorum (21)             | Ch6         | −/+/++                          | −                   | +                    |
|                           | Ch9         | −/+/++                          | −                   | +                    |
|                           | Ch12        | −/+/++                          | −                   | +                    |
|                           | Ch13        | −/+/++                          | −                   | +                    |
|                           | Ch16        | −/+/++                          | −                   | +                    |
|                           | Ch18        | −/+/++                          | −                   | +                    |
|                           | Ch19        | −/+/++                          | −                   | +                    |
|                           | Ch20        | −/+/++                          | −                   | +                    |
|                           | Ch29        | −/+/++                          | −                   | +                    |
|                           | Ch35        | −/+/++                          | −                   | +                    |
|                           | Ch36        | −/+/++                          | −                   | +                    |
|                           | Ch37        | −/+/++                          | −                   | +                    |
|                           | Ch41        | −/+/++                          | −                   | +                    |
|                           | Ch42        | −/+/++                          | −                   | +                    |
|                           | Ch45        | −/+/++                          | −                   | +                    |
|                           | Ch53        | −/+/++                          | −                   | +                    |
|                           | Ch55        | −/+/++                          | −                   | +                    |
|                           | Ch58        | −/+/++                          | −                   | +                    |
|                           | Ch59        | −/+/++                          | −                   | +                    |
|                           | Ch64        | −/+/++                          | −                   | +                    |
|                           | Ch65        | −/+/++                          | −                   | +                    |
| Gallinarum (2)            | Ch33        | +/+/++                          | +                   | −                    |
|                           | Ch47        | +/+/++                          | +                   | −                    |
| Enteritidis (35)          | Ch1         | +/-/-+                         | /                   | /                    |
|                           | Ch2         | +/-/-+                         | /                   | /                    |
|                           | Ch3         | +/-/-+                         | /                   | /                    |
|                           | Ch4         | +/-/-+                         | /                   | /                    |
|                           | Ch5         | +/-/-+                         | /                   | /                    |
|                           | Ch7         | +/-/-+                         | /                   | /                    |
|                           | Ch8         | +/-/-+                         | /                   | /                    |
|                           | Ch10        | +/-/-+                         | /                   | /                    |
|                           | Ch11        | +/-/-+                         | /                   | /                    |
|                           | Ch14        | +/-/-+                         | /                   | /                    |
|                           | Ch15        | +/-/-+                         | /                   | /                    |
|                           | Ch17        | +/-/-+                         | /                   | /                    |
|                           | Ch21        | +/-/-+                         | /                   | /                    |
|                           | Ch22        | +/-/-+                         | /                   | /                    |
|                           | Ch23        | +/-/-+                         | /                   | /                    |
|                           | Ch24        | +/-/-+                         | /                   | /                    |
|                           | Ch25        | +/-/-+                         | /                   | /                    |
|                           | Ch26        | +/-/-+                         | /                   | /                    |
|                           | Ch27        | +/-/-+                         | /                   | /                    |
|                           | Ch28        | +/-/-+                         | /                   | /                    |
|                           | Ch30        | +/-/-+                         | /                   | /                    |
|                           | Ch31        | +/-/-+                         | /                   | /                    |
|                           | Ch32        | +/-/-+                         | /                   | /                    |
|                           | Ch34        | +/-/-+                         | /                   | /                    |
|                           | Ch38        | +/-/-+                         | /                   | /                    |
|                           | Ch39        | +/-/-+                         | /                   | /                    |
|                           | Ch40        | +/-/-+                         | /                   | /                    |
|                           | Ch43        | +/-/-+                         | /                   | /                    |
|                           | Ch44        | +/-/-+                         | /                   | /                    |
|                           | Ch46        | +/-/-+                         | /                   | /                    |

(Continued)
losses in poultry, particularly in the developing countries. S. Pullorum infection of young birds often leads to a high mortality. Infected adult birds show decreased egg laying, weight loss, dysentery, and most importantly persistent infection occurs in most recovered birds, which may lead to horizontal and vertical transmission (Barrow and Freitas Neto, 2011). S. Gallinarum can infect birds of any age and is highly pathogenic, causing fowl typhoid, which usually results in systemic infection, and may cause 40–80% mortality in the flock (Ribeiro et al., 2012). Therefore, a novel multiplex PCR assay based on three specific genes, stn, I137_08605, and ratA ROD, was developed for the identification and differentiation of Salmonella serovar Gallinarum biovars Gallinarum and Pullorum. The unique gene I137_08605, present only in biovars Gallinarum and Pullorum, was a common feature shared by these biovars, but was not present in any other known Salmonella serovars or species. Sequence analysis of ratA ROD in serovar Gallinarum strains revealed a deletion in biovar Pullorum. The multiplex PCR developed in this study had 100% specificity, and the lower limit of DNA and the fewest number of bacteria that could be detected were 67.4 pg/µL and 100 CFU, respectively, which were comparable with our previous study (Xiong et al., 2017). Our results showed that the combined amplification of stn, I137_08605, and ratA ROD could be used to identify, as well as to distinguish, S. Gallinarum and S. Pullorum rapidly and reliably. This newly developed multiplex PCR assay may be applicable to epidemiological investigations and will be a potent diagnostic method in veterinary clinical laboratories.

**CONCLUSION**

In summary, we developed a simple, rapid, accurate, and cost-effective multiplex PCR assay to identify and discriminate

**TABLE 2** | Continued

| Serovar (no. of isolates) | Isolate no. | PCR results (ratA ROD/I137_08605/stn) | Dulcitol fermentation | Ornithine decarboxylase |
|-------------------------|-------------|-------------------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|
|                         | Ch48        | +/−/+/−                            | /                    | /                       |
|                         | Ch49        | +/−/+/−                            | /                    | /                       |
|                         | Ch50        | +/−/+/−                            | /                    | /                       |
|                         | Ch51        | +/−/+/−                            | /                    | /                       |
|                         | Ch52        | +/−/+/−                            | /                    | /                       |
| Indiana (13)            | Ch54        | −/−/+                              | /                    | /                       |
|                         | Ch56        | −/−/+                              | /                    | /                       |
|                         | Ch57        | −/−/+                              | /                    | /                       |
|                         | Ch58        | −/−/+                              | /                    | /                       |
|                         | Ch59        | −/−/+                              | /                    | /                       |
|                         | Ch60        | −/−/+                              | /                    | /                       |
|                         | Ch61        | −/−/+                              | /                    | /                       |
|                         | Ch62        | −/−/+                              | /                    | /                       |
|                         | Ch63        | −/−/+                              | /                    | /                       |
|                         | Ch64        | −/−/+                              | /                    | /                       |
|                         | Ch65        | −/−/+                              | /                    | /                       |
|                         | Ch66        | −/−/+                              | /                    | /                       |
|                         | Ch67        | −/−/+                              | /                    | /                       |
|                         | Ch68        | −/−/+                              | /                    | /                       |
|                         | Ch69        | −/−/+                              | /                    | /                       |
|                         | Ch70        | −/−/+                              | /                    | /                       |
|                         | Ch71        | −/−/+                              | /                    | /                       |
S. Pullorum and S. Gallinarum from other serovars and non-Salmonella strains. The multiplex PCR method was based on the three genes *stn, I137_08605*, and *rta* ROD, and it has excellent selectivity and potent sensitivity. The method was applied to detect a group of naturally occurring *Salmonella* isolates, thereby confirming its efficiency in the commercial farm. This highly accurate, biovar-specific, multiplex PCR system will be useful for the rapid differential diagnosis of biovars Pullorum and Gallinarum in veterinary laboratories. This assay could contribute to earlier confirmation of pathogens, and allow for more effective elimination of the diseases from flocks.
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