Two new *Clitocella* species from North China revealed by phylogenetic analyses and morphological characters
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Abstract

Two new species of *Clitocella* are proposed based on morphological and phylogenetic investigations. *Clitocella borealichinensis* sp. nov. is closely related to *C. orientalis* but distinguished from the latter by its slightly smaller basidiospores and hyphae of pileipellis with pale brown to brown intracellular or parietal pigment. *Clitocella colorata* sp. nov. is closely related to *C. popinalis* and *C. mundula* in macromorphology but is differentiated from *C. popinalis* by its slightly smaller basidiospores and the difference in genetic profile, and from *C. mundula* by its relatively colorful pileus (white to yellowish white, grayish white to grayish brown, pink white). Phylogenetic analyses based on sequence data from five different loci (ITS, nrLSU, tef1, rpb2 and atp6) support the taxonomic position of the two new species in the genus *Clitocella*. The illustrations and descriptions for the new taxa are provided.
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Introduction

The genus *Clitocella* Kluting, T.J. Baroni & Bergemann (Entolomataceae, *Agaricales*), with *C. popinalis* (Fr.) Kluting, T.J. Baroni & Bergemann as the type species, was established in 2014 (Kluting et al. 2014). The main characteristics of *Clitocella* are clitocyboid basidiomata, narrow and crowded, long-decurrent lamellae, central to eccentric stipe, thin-walled...
(<0.5 μm) basidiospores with undulate pustules or minute bumps, clamp connections absent. (Baroni 1981; Kluting et al. 2014; Jian et al. 2020). Previous studies show that Clitocella is phylogenetically closely related to the genera Clitopilus (Fr. ex Rabenh.) P. Kumm. and Clitopilopsis Maire. Clitopilus differs from Clitocella in its longitudinally ridged basidiospore ornamentation, and Clitopilopsis in its basidiospores with thickened walls (0.5–0.9 μm) and obscure irregular rounded angles of the basidiospores in polar view (Kluting et al. 2014; Baroni et al. 2020; Jian et al. 2020). There are 10 accepted species in Clitocella (Index Fungorum, http://www.Indexfungorum.org/; accessed date: 19 November 2021).

In China, the species diversity of Clitocella is scarce and only two species are described (Jian et al. 2020). Recently, several specimens of Clitocella were collected when we investigated the macrofungi in Shanxi province, North China. The morphological examination and phylogenetic analysis for these collections revealed that they represented three taxa of Clitocella, including two new species. The aim of this paper is to describe the new species and provide the DNA data to confirm the presence in China of a previously described species.

Materials and methods

Morphological studies

Collections were obtained and photographed in the field from Shanxi regions in China, and then dried in a fruit drier at 40–50 °C and deposited in BJTC herbarium (Capital Normal University, Beijing, China). The sizes of basidiomata (pileal width) used in this study are as follows: small: <30 mm; medium-sized: 30–50 mm; large: >50 mm. Standardised color values were obtained from ColorHexa (http://www.colorhexa.com/). Microscopic characters were observed in sections obtained from dry specimens mounted in 3% KOH, Congo Red, or Melzer’s reagent (Dring 1971). For scanning electron microscopy (SEM), basidiospores were scraped from the dried gleba, placed onto double-sided tape that was mounted directly on the SEM stub, coated with platinum-palladium film of 8 nm thick using an ion-sputter coater (HITACHI E-1010), and examined with a HITACHI S-4800 SEM. The term “[n/m/p]” means n basidiospores from m basidiomata of p collections. Dimensions of basidiospores are given using the following format ‘(a–)b–c(–d)’, where the range ‘b–c’ represents at least 90% of the measured values, and ‘a’ and ‘d’ are the most extreme values. Lm and Wm indicate the average basidiospore length and width (± standard deviation) for the measured basidiospore, respectively. ‘Q’ refers to the length/width ratio of basidiospores in side-view; ‘Qw’ refers to the average Q of all basidiospores ±standard deviation.

DNA extraction, PCR amplification and DNA sequencing

Dried basidiomata were crushed by shaking for 45 s at 30 Hz 2–4 times (Mixer Mill MM301, Retsch, Haan, Germany) in a 1.5 mL tube together with a 3 mm diam tungsten carbide ball. Total genomic DNA was extracted from the powdered basidiomata using
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NuClean Plant Genomic DNA Kit (CWBio, China), following the manufacturer’s instructions. Primers ITS1F and ITS4 were employed for the ITS (White et al. 1990; Gardes and Bruns 1993), while LR0R and LR5 for nrLSU (Vilgalys and Hester 1990), EF1-983F and EF1-1953R for the tef1 (Rehner 2001), bRPB2-6F and bRPB2-7R2 for the rpb2 (Liu et al. 1999; Matheny 2005; Matheny et al. 2007), and ATP6-3 and ATP6-6r for the atp6 (Kretzer and Bruns 1999; Binder and Hibbett 2003). Polymerase chain reactions (PCR) for ITS region, nrLSU region, tef1 gene, rpb2 gene and atp6 gene were performed in 25 μL reaction containing 2 μL DNA template, 1 μL primer (10 μM) each, 12.5 μL of 2× Master Mix [Tiangen Biotech (Beijing) Co.], 8.5 μL ddH2O.

PCR reactions were implemented as follows: an initial denaturation at 94 °C for 5 min, then to 35 cycles of the following denaturation at 94 °C for 30 s, annealing at 52 °C for 45 s (ITS), 60 s (nrLSU), 72 °C for 1 min; and a final extension at 72 °C for 10 min. Amplification of rpb2 and tef1 sequences followed Kluting et al. (2014), which entailed a touchdown protocol: an initial incubation of 94 °C for 5 min; 12 cycles of 94 °C for 1 min, 67 °C for 1 min, decreasing 1 °C each cycle, and 72 °C for 1.5 min; 36 cycles of 94 °C for 45 s, 55 °C for 1 min, and 72 °C for 1.5 min; and a final extension period at 72 °C for 7 min. Sequences of the atp6 were amplified with a cycling protocol of 95 °C for 5 min, followed by 40 cycles at 95 °C for 30 s, 42 °C for 2 min, and 72 °C for 1 min, and a final extension at 72 °C for 10 min. The PCR products were sent to Beijing Zhongkexilin Biotechnology Co. Ltd. for purification, sequencing, and editing. Validated sequences were deposited in the NCBI database (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/). Other sequences of Clitocella and related species were mainly selected from those used by previous studies (Kluting et al. 2014; Vizzini et al. 2016; Baroni et al. 2020; Jian et al. 2020). The accession numbers of all sequences employed are provided in Table 1.

Phylogenetic analyses

The combined nrLSU-rpb2-tef1-atp6 dataset and ITS dataset were compiled to identify new species and to investigate their phylogenetic position in Clitocella. For the combined nrLSU-rpb2-tef1-atp6 dataset, Clitopilopsis albida S.P. Jian & Zhu L. Yang was chosen as outgroups for individual (nrLSU, rpb2, tef1, atp6) or combined analyses (Jian et al. 2020). For ITS dataset Mycena pura (Pers.) P. Kumm. was selected as outgroup taxon (Baroni et al. 2020). The sequences of each marker (ITS, nrLSU, rpb2, tef1, atp6) were independently aligned in MAFFT v.7.110 (Katoh and Standley 2013) under default parameters. Ambiguously aligned sites were identified by Gblocks v.0.91b (Castresana 2000; using default options except “Allowed Gap Positions” = half) with default parameters (For ITS: 1137, nrLSU: 180, rpb2: 611, tef1: 166, atp6: 25 position were deleted). The software BioEdit 7.0.9 (Hall 1999) was used to manually check the aligned sequences. To examine the conflict among topologies with maximum likelihood (ML), separate single-gene analyses were conducted. Sequences were then concatenated. The ITS alignment can be found on Suppl. material 5. For the combined analyses, a partitioned mixed model was used by defining the sequences of nrLSU, rpb2, tef1, and atp6 as four independent partitions and each gene was separately estimated by different model parameters. Maximum Likelihood (ML) and Bayesian Inference (BI) analyses were conducted on the resulting concatenated dataset.
Table 1. Specimens used in molecular phylogenetic studies and their GenBank accession numbers. Newly generated sequences are in bold.

| Species                     | Voucher            | Locality 1 | Locality 2 | GenBank accession No. |
|-----------------------------|--------------------|------------|------------|-----------------------|
| *Caterhalina ventricosa*    | DAOM221514         | USA        |            | ITS: KP255469          |
| *Clinocella colorata*       | BJTC FM1593        | China      |            | nrLSU: OL966940        |
| *Clinocella colorata*       | BJTC FM1594        | China      |            | rpb2: –                |
| *Clinocella colorata*       | BJTC FM1891        | China      |            | tef1: –                |
| *Clinocella colorata*       | BJTC FM1892        | China      |            | atp6: –                |
| *Clinocella colorata*       | BJTC FM1952        | USA        | OL969698   | –                      |
| *Clinocella fallax*         | CBS 605.79         | –          | AF357018   | –                      |
| *Clinocella fallax*         | CBS 129.63         | –          | AF357017   | –                      |
| *Clinocella fallax*         | K(M): 116541       | Spain      | –          | –                      |
| *Clinocella fallax*         | K(M): O-F88953     | Norway     | –          | –                      |
| *Clinocella fallax*         | 25668OKM           | USA        | –          | –                      |
| *Clinocella fallax*         | ME Noordeloos 199773 | Italy      | GQ289209   | –                      |
| *Clinocella fallax*         | ME Noordeloos 200367 | Slovakia   | GQ289210   | –                      |
| *Clinocella mundula*        | 7161 TJB           | USA        | –          | –                      |
| *Clinocella mundula*        | O-F19454           | Norway     | –          | –                      |
| *Clinocella mundula*        | O-F71544           | Norway     | –          | –                      |
| *Clinocella mundula*        | AFTOL-ID 521       | USA        | –          | –                      |
| *Clinocella mundula*        | 7115 TJB           | USA        | –          | –                      |
| *Clinocella mundula*        | K(M): 164736       | UK         | –          | –                      |
| *Clinocella mundula*        | K(M): 49620        | UK         | –          | –                      |
| *Clinocella mundula*        | HMJAU 7274         | China      | –          | –                      |
| *Clinocella mundula*        | HMJAU 7275         | China      | –          | –                      |
| *Clinocella mundula*        | HMJAU 27014        | China      | –          | –                      |
| *Clinocella borealichenensis* | BJTC FM1618     | China      | OL966942   | –                      |
| *Clinocella borealichenensis* | BJTC FM1781     | China      | OL966957   | –                      |
| *Clinocella orientalis*     | HKAS 75548         | China      | MN061333   | –                      |
| *Clinocella orientalis*     | HKAS 75664         | China      | MN061332   | –                      |
| *Clinocella orientalis*     | HKAS 77899         | China      | MN065725   | –                      |
| *Clinocella orientalis*     | HKAS 78876         | China      | MN061334   | –                      |
| *Clinocella orientalis*     | HKAS 78763         | China      | MN065728   | –                      |
| *Clinocella orientalis*     | BJTC FM1539        | China      | OL966947   | –                      |
| *Clinocella popinalis*      | HBJU-550           | India      | KU561066   | –                      |
| *Clinocella popinalis*      | CBS 481.50         | UK         | FJ770397   | –                      |
| *Clinocella popinalis*      | KA12-1717          | Korea      | KR673647   | –                      |
| *Clinocella popinalis*      | RA802-3b           | USA        | MK217434   | –                      |
| *Clinocella popinalis*      | Smith-2018 iNaturalist # 17340579 | USA | MK573922 | – |
| *Clinocella popinalis*      | K(M): 143166       | UK         | –          | –                      |
| *Clinocella popinalis*      | K(M): 167017       | UK         | –          | –                      |
| *Clinocella popinalis*      | O-F63376           | Norway     | –          | –                      |
| *Clinocella popinalis*      | 6378 TJB           | Switzerland | –          | –                      |
| *Clinocella popinalis*      | O-F105360          | Norway     | –          | –                      |
| *Clinocella popinalis*      | K(M): 146162       | UK         | –          | –                      |
| *Clinocella popinalis*      | MC2-TRENT          | Italy       | –          | –                      |
| *Clinocella popinalis*      | ME Noordeloos 9867 | Austria     | GQ289213   | –                      |
| *Clinocella popinalis*      | TB6378             | USA        | AF261285   | –                      |
| *Clinocella mundula*        | HMJAU 7275         | China      | MN061331   | –                      |
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Maximum Likelihood (ML) was performed using RAxML 8.0.14 (Stamatakis et al. 2005; Stamatakis 2006, 2014) by running 1000 bootstrap replicates under the GTR-GAMMAI model (for all partitions). Bayesian Inference (BI) analysis was performed with MrBayes v3.1.2 (Ronquist and Huelsenbeck 2003) based on the best substitution models (GTR+I+G for ITS, GTR+I for nrLSU, SYM+G for *rpb2*, SYM+I+G for *tef1*, and GTR+G for *atp6*) determined by MrModeltest 2.3 (Nylander 2004). Two independent runs with four Markov chains were conducted for 10 M generations under the default settings. Average standard deviations of split frequency (ASDSF) values were far lower than 0.01 at the end of the runs. Trees were sampled every 100 generations after burn-in (25% of trees were discarded as the burn-in phase of the analyses, set up well after convergence), and a 70% majority-rule consensus tree was constructed.

Trees were visualized with TreeView32 (Page 2001). Bootstrap values (BS) ≥ 70% and Bayesian Posterior Probability values (BPP) ≥ 0.95 were considered significant (Hillis and Bull 1993; Alfaro et al. 2003).

**Results**

**Phylogenetic analysis**

Twenty-eight sequences were newly generated from our six collections in this study. Two datasets, nrLSU-*rpb2*-*tef1*-*atp6* combined dataset and ITS dataset were compiled to investigate the phylogenetic position of these *Clitocella* species. For the combined dataset, the phylogenetic trees based on individual loci (including nrLSU, *rpb2*, *tef1*, *atp6*) showed
the almost same major clades (Suppl. material 1–4: Figs S1–S4) as that of the combined dataset (Fig. 1). There was no strongly supported conflict between single gene phylogenies, except for the nrLSU phylogeny does not resolve *Clitocella mundula* and *C. popinalis*, while the *atp6* phylogeny does not resolve *C. orientalis* and the new species *C. colorata*. So here the

Figure 1. Phylogeny derived from Maximum Likelihood analysis of the combined nrLSU-*rpb2-tef1-atp6* dataset of *Clitocella* and related genera in the family Entolomataceae. *Clitopilus albida* was employed to root the tree as an outgroup. Numbers representing likelihood bootstrap support (BS ≥ 70%, left) and significant Bayesian posterior probability (BPP ≥ 0.95, right) are indicated above the nodes. New sequences are highlighted in bold.
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combined dataset was used to infer the phylogenetic placement of *Clitocella* species. The final combined nrLSU-*rpb2-tef1-atp6* dataset contained 2963 total characters (905 from nrLSU, 599 from *rpb2*, 1010 from *tef1*, 449 from *atp6*, gaps included) and included 40 samples of 11 taxa. The topologies of ML and BI phylogenetic trees obtained in this study were practically the same, therefore only the tree inferred from the ML analysis is shown (Fig. 1). Except for the species *Clitocella termitophila* T.J. Baroni & Angelini, members of *Clitocella* in the dataset formed a monophyletic lineage with strong support (MLB = 98%, BPP = 1.00). *Clitocella termitophila* was sister to all other species of *Clitocella* but without strong support. Of our six collections, the sequences of a collection (BJTC FM1539) grouped in the clade *C. orientalis* S.P. Jian & Zhu L. Yang, indicating it is identity with this species. The remaining specimens fell in two strongly supported clades, one comprised of two collections was described as the new species *C. borealichinensis* and another comprised of three collections was described as the new species *C. colorata* together with a collection from USA (AFTOL-ID 521) originally labelled as *C. mundula*. *Clitocella colorata* was sister to *C. orientalis* with strong support, implying *C. colorata* is closely related to *C. orientalis*. *Clitocella borealichinensis* further clustered with *C. mundula* and *C. popinalis* (Fr.) Kluting, T.J. Baroni & Bergemann. One collection from Norway (O-F19454), which is labelled as *Clitocella mundula*, formed an independent clade.

The ITS dataset comprised 27 samples of 11 taxa and 662 characters. The topology of phylogenetic trees based on the ITS dataset generated from ML and BI analyses were almost identical and only the tree inferred from the ML analysis is shown (Fig. 2). The sequences of the new species *C. borealichinensis* formed an independent and strong support branch, like that of multilocus phylogeny (Fig. 1), supporting it is a distinct species. The sequences of the new species *C. colorata* together with five sequences labelled as *C. popinalis* from India, South Korea, UK and USA formed an independent and strong support branch, indicating they represented a distinct species.

**Taxonomy**

*Clitocella borealichinensis* L. Fan & N. Mao, sp. nov.
MycoBank No: 843689
Figs 3a, 4, 6a, b

**Etymology.** *borealichinensis*, referring to north China as the place of origin.

**Holotype.** China. Shanxi Province, Qinshui County, Lishan Mountain, 35°36.49'N, 112°11.7'E, alt. 1150m, 26 July 2021, on the ground in broad-leaved forest dominated by *Quercus* sp., N. Mao MNM340 (BJTC FM1781).

**Diagnosis.** *Clitocella borealichinensis* is characterized by its clitocyboid basidiomata, globose to subglobose, occasionally broadly ellipsoid basidiospores, the absence of hymenial cystidia and clamp connection, and usually growing in broad-leaved forests. It is most similar to *C. orientalis* but differs from it by the slightly smaller basidiospores, non-gelatinized hyphae of pileipellis and stipitipellis with pale brown to brown intracellular or parietal pigment.
Description. Basidiomata clitocyboid, small to medium-sized. Pileus 13–50 mm wide, low convex to plane convex when young, then slightly depressed at center; surface smooth, grayish white (#f2f2f2) to pale white (#ffffff), yellowish white (#ffcd9a);...
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Margin incurved, non-striate; context thin pale white, 1.0–1.2 mm thick. Lamellae decurrent, grayish white (#f2f2f2), pale yellow (#fff3e7), crowded, edges smooth, thin and fragile, lamellulae numerous and concolorous with lamellae. Stipe 20–32 × 2–8 mm, central to eccentric, occasionally lateral, cylindrical to subcylindrical, equal or sometimes slightly tapering at base, pale white (#ffffff), smooth or tomentose, usually with white rhizomorphs. Odor unrecorded. Taste not recorded. Chemical color reaction: not reacting with KOH 3% at pileus of dried specimens.

Basidiospores [60/2/2] (3.8–)4–5(–5.5) × 3.8–4.5 μm, $L_m \times W_m = 4.61 (± 0.42) \times 4.06 (± 0.18)$, $Q = 0.95–1.25 (Q_{av} = 1.13 ± 0.10)$, hyaline, globose to subglobose, occasionally broadly ellipsoid in profile view, slightly angled in polar or face view with obscure minute pustules or bumps. Basidia 17–25 × 5–6(–7) μm, clavate, hyaline, four spored, rarely two spored; sterigmata 2–4 μm long. Lamellar trama more or less regular, composed of 3–8 μm wide hyaline hyphae, subhymenium consisting of filamentous hyphal segments. Lamellae edges fertile. Pleurocystidia and cheilocystidia absent. Pileipellis a cutis composed of more or less radially, loosely arranged, non-gelatinized, smooth, cylindrical hyphae, 2–6 μm wide and with pale brown to brown intracellular or parietal pigment; terminal hyphae subcylindric, narrowly clavate, occasionally irregular, 3–5 μm wide; subcutis made up of subparallel, compactly arranged, thin-walled, hyaline, smooth, cylindrical hyphae, 3–6 μm wide; pileal trama composed of interwoven, cylindrical hyphae, 2.5–10 μm wide. Stipitipellis a cutis composed of

Figure 3. Basidiomata of *Clitocella* **a** *Clitocella* borealichinensis (BJTC FM1781, holotype) **b-d** *Clitocella* *colorata* **b** BJTC FM1593 **c** BJTC FM1952 **d** BJTC FM1891, holotype) Scale bars: 10 mm (**a–d**). Photos by JingZhong Cao.
parallel, compactly arranged, thin-walled, non-gelatinized, hyaline hyphae, 2.5–6 μm wide. Stipititrama composed of interwoven, hyaline, cylindrical hyphae, 3–10 μm wide. Caulocystidia absent. Clamp connections absent.

**Habit.** Scattered or in groups on soil in broad-leaved (*Quercus*) forest, Shanxi province, China.

**Additional specimens examined.** China. Shanxi province, Xia County, alt. 970m, 7 October 2020, N. Mao MNM172 (BJTC FM1618).

---

**Figure 4.** Microscopy of *Clitocella borealichinensis* a basidiospores b basidia c pileipellis. Scale bars: 5 μm (a); 10 μm (b, c). Drawings by Ning Mao.

**Figure 5.** Microscopy of *Clitocella colorata* a basidiospores b basidia c pileipellis. Scale bars: 10 μm (a, c); 5 μm (b). Drawings by Ning Mao.
**Note.** *Clitocella borealichinensis* is easily confused with *C. orientalis*, *C. obscura* (Pilát) Vizzini *et al.* and *C. pallescens* Silva-Filho & Cortez in morphology because they are all have white to grayish white pileus and decurrent lamellae. However, *C. orientalis* differs from *C. borealichinensis* by its viscid pileus and stipe when wet, gelatinized pileipellis and stipitipellis, and slightly larger basidiospores of (4–)4.5–6 × 4–5 μm (Jian *et al.* 2020). *Clitocella obscura* produce a distinctly reddish reaction when 3% KOH is placed on the pileus surface (Baroni 1981; as *Rhodocybe*) while *C. borealichinensis* has not that kind of reaction. *Clitocella pallescens* differs *C. borealichinensis* by its pale grey to yellowish white stipe (Silva-Filho *et al.* 2018; Jian *et al.* 2020).

*Clitocella mundula* and *C. popinalis* clustered with *C. borealichinensis* in our multilocus phylogeny (Fig. 1), indicating they are phylogenetically closely related to each other. Morphologically, *C. mundula* differs from *C. borealichinensis* by its yellowish brown or dark smoke gray pileus and slightly larger basidiospores of (4–)4.5–6–(6.5) × 4–5 μm (Jian *et al.* 2020), *C. popinalis* by its brown to grayish brown pileus, bigger basidiospores of 5.5–7–5–5.5 μm, and its pileus surface produces a reddish reaction in 3% KOH (Baroni 1981; as *Rhodocybe*). Moreover, DNA analysis also revealed that *C. borealichinensis* shared less than 91.10% similarity in tef1 sequence with *C. mundula* and 91.20% similarity with *C. popinalis*, supporting their separation.

*Clitocella colorata* L. Fan & N. Mao, sp. nov.
MycoBank No: 843690
Figs 3b–d, 5, 6c, d

**Etymology.** *colorata*, referring to the colorful pileus.

**Holotype.** China. Shanxi Province, Pu County, Wulushan Mountain, 36°33.2′N, 111°11.58′E, alt. 1740 m, 28 July 2021, on the ground in coniferous forest dominated by *Pinus armandii* Franch., N. Mao MNM292 (BJTC FM1891).

**Diagnosis.** *Clitocella colorata* is characterized by its clitocyboid basidiomata, relatively colorful pileus (white to yellowish white, grayish white to grayish brown, pink white), globose or subglobose to broadly ellipsoid basidiospores, hyphae of pileipellis with pale yellow to yellowish brown intracellular or parietal pigment, the absence of hymenial cystidia and clamp connection. It is most similar to *C. popinalis* and *C. mundula* but differs from *C. popinalis* by its slightly smaller basidiospores, only appearing in the forest and genetic profile, and from *C. mundula* by its colorful pileus (white to yellowish white, grayish white to grayish brown, pink white).

**Description.** Basidiomata clitocyboid, small to large. Pileus 20–62 mm wide, dry, convex to plano-convex, sometimes infundibuliform, with a shallow depression at the center; margin not striate, often enrolled or flat, sometimes slightly uplifted; surface white (#ffffff) to yellowish white (#ffffffc7), grayish white (#f2f2f2) to grayish brown (#d8b773), pink white (#ff5f35); context white (#ffffff) to grayish white (#f2f2f2), 1.0–1.5 mm thick. Lamellae decurrent, white (#ffffff) to yellowish white(#ffffffc7), becoming yellowish brown (#e0b487) on drying, crowded, 1.0–2.0 mm deep, edges entire and concolorous, thin and fragile, lamellulae in 2–4 tiers
of varying lengths. Stipe 22–42 × 4–10 mm, central, cylindrical, equal, pale white (#ffffff) to yellowish brown (#e0b487), smooth, usually with white rhizomorphs. Odor unrecorded. Taste not recorded. Chemical color reaction: pileal surface of dried samples negative with 3% KOH.

Basidiospores [100/5/2] (3.8–)4.5–5.5(–6.0) × (3.5–)4–4.8(–5.0) μm; \( L_m \times W_m = 4.90 \pm 0.44 \times 4.29 \pm 0.35 \), \( Q = 1.00–1.25 \) (\( Q_{av} = 1.14 \pm 0.09 \)); hyaline, globose or subglobose to broadly ellipsoid in profile view, slightly angled in polar or face view with obscure minute pustules or bumps. Basidia 20–30 × (4.5–)5–6.5 μm, clavate, hyaline, with four spored, rarely two spored; sterigmata 2–3.5 μm long. Lamellar trama composed of subparallel, hyaline, cylindrical hyphae, 2.5–6 μm wide, subhymenium consisting of filamentosus hyphal segments, 2–3.5 μm wide. Lamellae edges fertile. Pleurocystidia and cheilocystidia absent. Pileipellis a cutis composed of parallel, compactly arranged, non-gelatinized, smooth, cylindrical hyphae, 2–5 μm wide, with pale yellow to yellowish brown intracellular or parietal pigment; subcutis made up of interwoven, slightly loosely arranged, hyaline, smooth, cylindrical hyphae, 3–6.5 μm wide; pileal trama composed of parallel, compactly arranged, hyaline, cylindrical hyphae, 3–10 μm wide. Stipitipellis a cutis composed of parallel, compactly arranged, thin-walled, non-gelatinized, cylindrical hyphae, 2–5 μm wide, heavily

---

**Figure 6.** Basidiospores of species in *Clitocella*. *Clitocella* revealed by SEM a, b *Clitocella borealichinensis* c, d *Clitocella colorata* Scale bars: 3 μm (a, b); 5 μm (c, d). Photos by Li Fan.
or moderately encrusted with brown pigment. Stipititrama composed of parallel, compactly arranged, hyaline, cylindrical hyphae, 3–7 μm wide. Caulocystidia absent. Clamp connections absent.

**Habit.** Scattered or in groups on soil or rotten wood in coniferous (*Pinus*) or broad-leaved (*Quercus*) forest, Shanxi province, China.

**Additional specimens examined.** **China.** Shanxi province, Pu County, Wulushan Mountains, alt. 1750m, 28 July 2021, N. Mao MNM293 (BJTC FM1892); Wenshui County, alt. 1760m, 30 July 2021, L. Fan CF1219 (BJTC FM1952); Xia County, alt. 931m, 6 October 2020, N. Mao MNM102 (BJTC FM1593); Xia County, alt. 931m, 6 October 2020, N. Mao MNM103 (BJTC FM1594).

**Notes.** Morphologically, *Clitocella colorata* is easily confused with *C. mundula* and *C. popinalis*. However, according to Baroni (1981; as *Rhodocybe*), the pileus surface in *C. mundula* and *C. popinalis* can produce a reddish reaction in 3% KOH, whereas that is not exhibited in *Clitocella colorata*. The basidiospores of *C. popinalis*, 5.5–7 × 5–5.5 μm (Baroni 1981; Kluting et al. 2014; Jian et al. 2020), are broader and longer than those of *C. colorata* (4.5–5.5 ×4–4.8 μm). DNA analysis revealed that *C. colorata* shared less than 87.80% similarity in *tef1* sequence with *C. mundula* and 86.10% similarity with *C. popinalis*, supporting their separation. Moreover, five ITS sequences (FJ770397, KR673647, KU561066, MK217434 and MK573922) labelled “*C. popinalis*” from India, Norway, South Korea, UK and USA are probably conspecific to the new species *C. colorata* as they clustered together with *C. colorata* in ITS tree (Fig. 2) and have more than 98.4% similarity in ITS region. However, these “*C. popinalis*” collections still need more other DNA regions and detailed morphology to support this view. One collection of “*C. mundula*,” namely, AFTOLID 521 from Norway, should be re-identified *C. colorata* as it clustered together with *C. colorata* in the combined nrLSU- *rpb2-tef1-atp6* tree (Fig. 1) and have more than 98.1% similarity in *tef1* region. These showed that the new species *C. colorata* maybe have a wide geographical distribution. Although *C. orientalis* is sister to *C. colorata* with strong support, these two species have obvious differences in morphology. The pileus and stipe of *C. orientalis* are usually viscid when wet and have gelatinized pileipellis and stipitipellis. *Clitocella colorata* has non-gelatinized pileipellis and stipitipellis, and its pileus is more colorful and darker (Jian et al. 2020). DNA analysis revealed that *C. colorata* shared less than 95.80% similarity in *tef1* sequence with *C. orientalis* and 90.20% similarity in ITS sequence. Moreover, *C. colorata* has a wider distribution range than *C. orientalis*, which is only distributed in China.

**Discussion**

Three species of *Clitocella* are confirmed from Shanxi Province, north China in this study. Of them, *C. colorata* is the most commonly encountered species, which distributes across the provincial area and grows in almost all kinds of forest. *Clitocella orientalis* and *Clitocella borealichinensis* are probably limited in southern Shanxi province, and they usually occur in the *Quercus* spp. forests.
ITS gene is rarely used in the species classification of *Clitocella* in previous studies because it contains many ambiguous sites. In the contrast, the partial sequences of three protein-coding genes (the *atp6*, *rpb2* and *tef1*) are usually used to infer the phylogeny of *Clitocella* (Kluting et al. 2014; Baroni et al. 2020; Jian et al. 2020). However, we found that ITS, *rpb2*, and *tef1* gene trees are similar to the combined (nrLSU-*rpb2-tef1-atp6*) gene regions tree when we performed phylogenetic tree construction respectively using the ITS, nrLSU, *rpb2*, *tef1* and *atp6* gene of *Clitocella* (Fig. 2, Suppl. material 1–4: Figs S1–S4). DNA analysis also showed that the intraspecific similarity of the ITS region is ≥ 98.4% and of *tef1* gene is ≥ 98.1%, the interspecific similarity of ITS region is ≤ 96.1% and of *tef1* is ≤ 95.9% (Table 2, Table 3). But for the *rpb2* gene, the intraspecific variation of *C. mundula* is more than the interspecific variation of *C. colorata* and *C. orientalis* (Table 4). Therefore, we consider that both the ITS and *tef1* may be more effective for the classification of *Clitocella* species.

Our molecular phylogenetic analysis (Fig. 1) revealed that one Norway collection O-F19454, which is labelled as *Clitocella mundula*, formed an independent clade, and it shared less than 93.40% similarity in *tef1* sequence with other *Clitocella* species. These show that it probably represents a new species of *Clitocella*. The sequences of *Clitocella fallax* formed two or three (in *rpb2* phylogeny) independent branches in our phylogenetic analyses (Fig. 2, Suppl. material 1–4: Figs S1–S4), and the similarity between the branches is less than 90.2% in *tef1* sequence and 94.9% in *rpb2* sequence. These indicate that these specimens of *C. fallax* probably represented two or three species. The specimens of *C. fallax* should be therefore re-examined to resolve this taxonomic issue. *Clitocella termitophila* is not clustered in the genus *Clitocella* (Fig. 1). Moreover, in the *rpb2* gene

### Table 2. Interspecific variation and intraspecific variation of ITS in *Clitocella* species.

| Species           | Number (n) | Intraspecific variation (%) | Interspecific variation (%) |
|-------------------|------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|
| *Clitocella colorata* | 9          | < 1.6%                      | > 3.9%                      |
| *C. fallax*       | 3          | < 0.3%                      | > 11.8%                     |
| *C. mundula*      | 1          | –                           | > 6.0%                      |
| *C. borealichinensis* | 2       | –                           | > 9.6%                      |
| *C. obscura*      | 1          | –                           | > 6.6%                      |
| *C. orientalis*   | 3          | < 0.9%                      | > 3.9%                      |

### Table 3. Interspecific variation and intraspecific variation of *tef1* in *Clitocella* species.

| Species           | Number (n) | Intraspecific variation (%) | Interspecific variation (%) |
|-------------------|------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|
| *Clitocella colorata* | 4          | < 1.9%                      | > 4.1%                      |
| *C. fallax*       | 1          | –                           | > 9.8%                      |
| *C. fallax*       | 2          | < 0.1%                      | > 9.8%                      |
| *C. mundula*      | 6          | < 0.3%                      | > 7.5%                      |
| *C. mundula*      | 1          | –                           | > 4.7%                      |
| *C. borealichinensis* | 1       | –                           | > 8.4%                      |
| *C. orientalis*   | 3          | < 0.1%                      | > 4.1%                      |
| *C. papinalis*    | 7          | –                           | > 4.7%                      |

*a* represents voucher 25668OKM; *b* represents voucher O-F88953, K(M): 116541; *c* represents voucher O-F19454
Two new species of *Clitocella* did not gather with *Clitocella*, *Clitopilopsis* or *Clitopilus* but formed a single branch (Suppl. material 2: Fig. S2). These indicate that *Clitocella termitophila* probably represents a potential taxonomic position rather than the species of *Clitocella*.

### Key to the species of *Clitocella*

1. Basidiomata clitocyboid
   – Basidiomata pleurotoid ................................. *C. termitophila* (Baroni et al. 2020)
2. Pileus surface gray, dark gray, pale yellow to yellowish brown, pigments present in pileipelli ........................................................... 3
   – Pileus surface almost white to pastel gray, pigments absent in pileipellis..... 8
3. Basidiospores globose to subglobose ............................................. 4
   – Basidiospores ellipsoid ........................................... 7
4. Pileus surface of dried samples with a positive KOH reaction ................ 5
   – Pileus surface of dried samples with a negative KOH reaction............. 6
5. Occurring in grassland systems .................................................. 1
   – Occurring in forested systems ................................................ 3
   – Occurring in forested systems ................................................ 3
   — *C. popinalis* (Baroni 1981; Kluting et al. 2014; Jian et al. 2020)
6. Pileus color with pink tinges .................................................. 9
   – Pileus color without pink tinges ............................................. 9
   — *C. colorata* ................................. 2
7. Pileus color with yellow tinges, basidiospores small, 5–8 × 3.5–5.5 μm .........
   — *C. himantiigena* (Silva-Filho et al. 2018)
   – Pileus color without yellow tinges, basidiospores large, 7–9 × 5–6 μm .......
   – Basidiospores globose to subglobose or ovatae ................................ 9
   – Basidiospores amygdaliform to ellipsoid...................................... 11

---

* Indicates the presence of molecular data.

---

### Table 4. Interspecific variation and intraspecific variation of *rpb2* in *Clitocella* species.

| Species                | Number (n) | Intraspecific variation (%) | Interspecific variation (%) |
|------------------------|------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|
| *Clitocella colorata*  | 4          | < 0.7%                      | > 1.7%                      |
| *C. fallax*            | 1          | –                           | > 4.0%                      |
| *C. fallax*            | 4          | < 0.1%                      | > 5.1%                      |
| *C. fallax*            | 1          | –                           | > 4.0%                      |
| *C. mundula*           | 6          | < 2.1%                      | > 4.9%                      |
| ‘*C. mundula*’         | 1          | –                           | > 2.2%                      |
| *C. borealichinensis*  | 2          | –                           | > 5.5%                      |
| *C. orientalis*        | 6          | < 0.5%                      | > 1.7%                      |
| *C. populatis*         | 9          | < 0.4%                      | > 2.2%                      |
| *C. termitophila*      | 1          | –                           | > 16.9%                     |

*a* represents voucher 25668OKM; *b* represents voucher O-F88953, K(M): 116541, CBS 129.63, ME Noordeloos 1997173; *c* represents voucher ME Noordeloos 200367; *d* represents voucher O-F19454.
9 Basidia long, length > 40 μm .........................C. nigrescens (Maire 1945)
– Basidia short, length < 28 μm ...........................................10
10 Pileus infundibuliform to plano-convex, basidiospores 4–5 × 3–4.5 μm .......
...........................................................................11 C. pallescens (Silva-Filho et al. 2018; Jian et al. 2020)
– Pileus convex to plane, basidiospores (4–)4.5–6 × 4–5 μm........................
...........................................................................12 C. orientalis
* (Jian et al. 2020)
11 Basidiospores small, 5–6.2 × 2.5–3.6 μm ..............C. blancii (Contu 2009)
– Basidiospores large, 6.5–8 × 4–5 μm..............C. fallax * (Jian et al. 2020)
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Authors: Ning Mao, Jing-Chong Lv, Yu-Yan Xu, Tao-Yu Zhao, Li Fan
Data type: JPG file
Explanation note: Phylogeny derived from Maximum Likelihood analysis of the nrLSU dataset of Clitocella and related genera in the family Entolomataceae. The bootstrap frequencies (> 70%) is shown on the supported branches. New species are highlighted in red.

Copyright notice: This dataset is made available under the Open Database License (http://opendatacommons.org/licenses/odbl/1.0/). The Open Database License (ODbL) is a license agreement intended to allow users to freely share, modify, and use this Dataset while maintaining this same freedom for others, provided that the original source and author(s) are credited.
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**Figure S2**
Authors: Ning Mao, Jing-Chong Lv, Yu-Yan Xu, Tao-Yu Zhao, Li Fan  
Data type: JPG file  
Explanation note: Phylogeny derived from Maximum Likelihood analysis of the *rpb2* dataset of *Clitocella* and related genera in the family Entolomataceae. The bootstrap frequencies (> 70%) is shown on the supported branches. New species are highlighted in red.  
Copyright notice: This dataset is made available under the Open Database License (http://opendatacommons.org/licenses/odbl/1.0/). The Open Database License (ODbL) is a license agreement intended to allow users to freely share, modify, and use this Dataset while maintaining this same freedom for others, provided that the original source and author(s) are credited.  
Link: https://doi.org/10.3897/mycokeys.88.80068.suppl2
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**Figure S3**
Authors: Ning Mao, Jing-Chong Lv, Yu-Yan Xu, Tao-Yu Zhao, Li Fan  
Data type: JPG file  
Explanation note: Phylogeny derived from Maximum Likelihood analysis of the *tefl* dataset of *Clitocella* and related genera in the family Entolomataceae. The bootstrap frequencies (> 70%) is shown on the supported branches. New species are highlighted in red.  
Copyright notice: This dataset is made available under the Open Database License (http://opendatacommons.org/licenses/odbl/1.0/). The Open Database License (ODbL) is a license agreement intended to allow users to freely share, modify, and use this Dataset while maintaining this same freedom for others, provided that the original source and author(s) are credited.  
Link: https://doi.org/10.3897/mycokeys.88.80068.suppl3
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Figure S4
Authors: Ning Mao, Jing-Chong Lv, Yu-Yan Xu, Tao-Yu Zhao, Li Fan
Data type: JPG file
Explanation note: Phylogeny derived from Maximum Likelihood analysis of the atp6 dataset of Clitocella and related genera in the family Entolomataceae. The bootstrap frequencies (> 70%) is shown on the supported branches. New species are highlighted in red.
Copyright notice: This dataset is made available under the Open Database License (http://opendatacommons.org/licenses/odbl/1.0/). The Open Database License (ODbL) is a license agreement intended to allow users to freely share, modify, and use this Dataset while maintaining this same freedom for others, provided that the original source and author(s) are credited.
Link: https://doi.org/10.3897/mycokeys.88.80068.suppl4
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ITS alignment
Authors: Ning Mao, Jing-Chong Lv, Yu-Yan Xu, Tao-Yu Zhao, Li Fan
Data type: PHY file
Explanation note: The ITS dataset comprised 27 samples of 11 taxa and 662 characters.
Copyright notice: This dataset is made available under the Open Database License (http://opendatacommons.org/licenses/odbl/1.0/). The Open Database License (ODbL) is a license agreement intended to allow users to freely share, modify, and use this Dataset while maintaining this same freedom for others, provided that the original source and author(s) are credited.
Link: https://doi.org/10.3897/mycokeys.88.80068.suppl5