Worldwide, the availability of home support services has grown considerably, as a result of longer life spans and advances in technology. One example of new technology is home telehealth, a technology that supports long-distance clinical health care. Various models have been constructed for efficient medical home support services. These models are designed to mainly identify and integrate the needs of patients and their family members. Understanding these various needs enhances the quality of work done and guarantees continuing improvement. Other models are based on nurse-led case management, which streamlines access to health care services and resources, thus decreasing caregiver burden and increasing satisfaction.

The shift of care from hospitals and hospices to home can benefit patients and their family caregivers. Family caregivers perceive this shift as helpful to them. Costwise, Magnusson and Hanson found that all the cases that they studied achieved cost savings, with considerable benefits to all parties. Similarly, Dahi reported reductions in costs related to visits to specialty clinics and emergency rooms of 68% and 73%, respectively, among patients under home health care in Tabuk, Saudi Arabia. However, for home care to be beneficial, the safety and comfort of patients and caregivers must be guaranteed, and the diversity of the caregivers should be taken into account.

Support for family caregivers is crucial; otherwise,
home care responsibilities add to family suffering. It is also crucial that the home care support services that are provided respond to family caregivers’ needs, which are often culture specific. Hence, caregivers’ perceptions and evaluations of the support services provided are of major importance in establishing and optimizing these services.

National and international studies have seldom focused on the care provided and the needs and experiences of the care recipients. Moreover, no specific satisfaction measurement tool has been in use. Therefore, it is essential to identify the elements of home support services that contribute to family caregiver satisfaction in order to improve home support services. This study was carried out with the ultimate goal of improving the home support services provided by the Family and Community Medicine Department at Riyadh Military Hospital. Our main objective was to assess home caregivers’ satisfaction with home care support services and to identify factors associated with their satisfaction.

PATIENTS AND METHODS
This study was conducted in the Family and Community Medicine Department at Riyadh Military Hospital. Its home care service division was started in 2005 with about 200 clients. Currently, the division provides home support services to more than 1000 clients with different types of disabling health problems. For this study, we used a cross-sectional survey design.

The study population consisted of all patients registered for home care services at Riyadh Military Hospital and their home caregivers. About 900 patients fulfilled the eligibility criterion of having been registered in the program for at least 6 months, which was stipulated to ensure that participants were familiar with the services sufficiently enough to be able to evaluate them. For caregivers to participate in the study, they needed to be adults (18 years or older), co-living with the patient, and able to be interviewed. A systematic random sample was recruited from those whose names appeared in the home care service division registry. The estimated sample size was calculated based on an expected satisfaction rate of 50% or higher among respondents, with a 95% confidence level and a 13% standard error, using a sample size equation for a single proportion, with finite population correction (Epi-Info, 6.04d). Accordingly, the required sample size, after correction for a 25% dropout rate, was 241 participants.

An interview questionnaire form was designed for data collection. It included questions regarding basic information about the patient and the caregiver, with details about the patient’s medical condition and the duration and frequency of requiring home care services. It also included general questions about the caregiver’s satisfaction with the services, and a three-point Likert scale for indicating satisfaction with specific procedures and services. Vigorous review of the questionnaire was done to validate the theoretical construct of the satisfaction scale through experts’ opinions in areas of home support, physiotherapy, family and community medicine, internal medicine, health administration, and research. The reliability of the satisfaction scale was assessed by measuring its internal consistency. Its Cronbach alpha coefficient was 0.86, indicating good reliability, and was near the higher end of the range of 0.67 to 0.92 reported by Huber et al for a similar questionnaire. Data collection was done through telephone interviews. A data collector was trained in interviewing and tested for reliability and consistency. To avoid interviewer bias, the interviewer was not a member of the home care service division, although this could not totally eliminate such bias.

The study protocol was approved by pertinent committees. We followed research ethics principles in the conduct of this study, including informed consent, right to refuse or withdraw, and confidentiality. This study could not include any maneuvers that could harm the respondents. This study would be of benefit through application of its results in improving services provided.

Data entry and statistical analysis were done using the SPSS 16.0 statistical software package. For the satisfaction scale, a three-point Likert scale of 2 (satisfied), 1 (somewhat satisfied), and 0 (dissatisfied) was used, and a simple summative score was calculated for each survey and then converted into a percentage to facilitate interpretation. To identify the independent factors associated with each caregiver’s satisfaction score, multiple stepwise backward linear regression analysis was used, and analysis of variance for the full regression model was done. P values less than .05 were considered to be statistically significant.

RESULTS
A total of 240 interview forms were filled out; 57 forms were disqualified and discarded from the analysis because of incomplete data, giving a response rate of 76.25%. Most patients were 60 years old or older, with a median age of 71 years; more than half of the patients were women (Table 1). Caregivers were much younger, with a median age of 45 years, and more than half were men. Slightly more than half of the caregivers...
were the patients’ sons or daughters, whereas spouses represented a small minority (4.9%). Furthermore, about one-fourth of the patients had paid caregivers.

Patients were almost equally distributed among three categories of medical conditions: chronic respiratory problems, other chronic diseases, and injuries or other conditions. The duration of the disabling illnesses ranged from less than 1 year to 40 years, with a median duration of 5 years. Meanwhile, the median duration of the utilization of home care support services was only 1 year. The frequency of home support visits ranged from once daily to once monthly, with a median frequency of 4 days per month (Table 2).

More than 80% of the caregivers agreed that the home care services team provided proper health-care–related support to the patients, in addition to providing the caregivers with self-confidence to administer care (Table 3). Thus almost all of the caregivers expressed a preference for care at home. More than 80% of the caregivers were satisfied with the procedures for prescribing supplies, dealing with chest tubes, and arranging for investigations. On the other hand, about half of them were dissatisfied with arrangements for ambulance transportation and emergency room referrals. Overall, on a scale of 100%, the median level of satisfaction was 90% (Tables 4 and 5). Moreover, 73.2% of caregivers had a satisfaction score of 75% or higher.

When the factors influencing caregivers’ satisfaction were investigated, statistically significant relations with the type of illness and its duration and the frequency of home visits were revealed. In multivariate analysis, the caregiver’s age and gender and the frequency of home visits were all independent factors associated with the satisfaction score (Table 6). As evident from beta coefficient values, an increase in the caregiver’s age by 1 year was associated with a 0.28% increase in his

### Table 1. Characteristics of patients and their caregivers (n=183).

| Patients | Number (unless otherwise noted) | Percent |
|----------|-------------------------------|---------|
| Age (years) | 65.3 (25.2) | 41.5 |
| Sex | 76 | 41.5 |
| Female | 107 | 58.5 |

| Caregivers | Number (unless otherwise noted) | Percent |
|------------|-------------------------------|---------|
| Age (years) | 45.1 (11.3) | 57.4 |
| Sex | 105 | 57.4 |
| Female | 78 | 42.6 |

| Relationship to patient | Number (unless otherwise noted) | Percent |
|-------------------------|-------------------------------|---------|
| Son/daughter | 94 | 51.4 |
| Paid caregiver | 48 | 26.2 |
| Parent | 22 | 12.0 |
| Spouse | 9 | 4.9 |
| Other | 10 | 5.5 |

### Table 2. Characteristics of illness and utilization of home care services by patients (n=183).

| Category | Number (unless otherwise noted) | Percent |
|----------|-------------------------------|---------|
| Respiratory problems | 64 | 35.0 |
| Other chronic diseases | 65 | 35.5 |
| Injuries/others | 54 | 29.5 |
| Concomitant disease | 95 | 51.9 |

| Duration of illness (years) | Number (unless otherwise noted) | Percent |
|-----------------------------|-------------------------------|---------|
| Range | <1-40 | 6.8 (7.5) |
| Median | 5 |

| Duration with home care services (years) | Number (unless otherwise noted) | Percent |
|-----------------------------------------|-------------------------------|---------|
| Range | 6 months to 5 years | 2.1 (2.9) |
| Median | 4 |

| Frequency of visits per month | Number (unless otherwise noted) | Percent |
|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|---------|
| ≤1 | 44 | 24.0 |
| 2-4 | 130 | 71.0 |
| >5 | 9 | 4.9 |

| Range | <1-30 | 3.1 (2.8) |
| Median | 4 |
or her satisfaction score, whereas each monthly home visit was associated with a 1.25% increase in this score. Furthermore, when the caregiver was female, the satisfaction score was higher by about 10%.

**DISCUSSION**

This study was carried out to help improve the services provided by the home care service program at Riyadh Military Hospital. The findings revealed an overall high level of satisfaction among caregivers. However, areas of dissatisfaction were also identified. In addition, the study also identified some of the factors influencing caregivers’ satisfaction.

A telephone-interviewing approach was chosen because of the availability of telephone contacts for all of our registered patients, which would obviate any potential selection bias. This technique was reported to be successful in similar studies. It also has the advantage of giving the respondents more freedom to express themselves openly, compared with face-to-face interviews. However, lengthy phone calls could have a negative effect on the completeness of the data. Nonetheless, the response rate (76.25%) was higher than that reported by Huber et al in a similar study, where it ranged from 32% to 60%. Analysis of the nonrespondents’ characteristics revealed no significant differences in basic data, which obviates the possibility of differential nonresponse bias.

A major task to be accomplished by home care services, in addition to patient care, is the education of home caregivers in order to enhance their confidence in caring for their patients. In our study, the majority of caregivers were satisfied with this aspect of the services. The finding is in congruence with that of many previous studies that emphasized the importance of this educational role in enhancing satisfaction.

The choice of home care versus hospital care could be influenced by many factors. Some of these factors pertain to logistics, which favor home care; others are related to emotional aspects and family ties. In our study, almost all caregivers preferred home care. Most of the reasons given were related to the psychological well-being of patients and caregivers. In agreement with our finding, Wang et al found that home care was the first choice of caregivers in Taiwan. Similarly, in Japan, Numata et al reported that 68% of their patients requested transfer to home care.

Most (73.2%) of our caregivers were satisfied with the care provided by the home care services program. This high level of satisfaction could not be attributed to the respondents’ desire to please the interviewer, since the latter was not a member of the program. Furthermore, the respondents freely expressed their dissatisfaction with some areas and services. The finding is in agreement with that of Dahi, who reported an overall satisfaction rate of 80.4% among 112 patients who employed home–health-care in Tabuk, Saudi Arabia. Similarly, high levels of satisfaction were reported in the United States and in Taiwan. On the contrary, lower satisfaction rates were noticed in Korean and Finnish studies, with the most common reason for dissatisfaction was the caregivers’ perception of having no influence on the services offered, a finding that has also been reported by Tornatore and Grant. This reason might explain the discrepancy between those findings and ours, as the majority of our caregivers felt that the home care services team involved them and gave them more confidence in caring for their patients.

Although the level of satisfaction of our caregivers was high, these levels varied for different services. Satisfaction with supplies and investigations was high, but it was low with regard to ambulance and referral services, as well as with how equipment was managed. The findings point to two different types of deficiencies: one related to administrative procedures and the other related to the skills of the home care services team. The approaches to amend these deficiencies are quite different. In contrast, the high satisfaction with supplies is certainly due to their abundant availability; however, there is a problem with their proper utilization. In congruence with this, Paterson et al reported that caregiver-identified problems with home care services in Australia included providers’ ignorance about the

| Table 3. Overall opinion of caregivers about home care team (n=183). |
|---------------------------------------------------------------|
| **Number** | **Percent** |
|---------------------------------|------------|
| **Home care team provides proper health-care-related support to the patient** |           |
| No | 4 | 2.2 |
| To some extent | 29 | 15.8 |
| Yes | 150 | 82.0 |
| **Home care team provides self-confidence regarding care of the patient** |           |
| No | 3 | 1.6 |
| To some extent | 27 | 14.8 |
| Yes | 153 | 83.6 |
| **Prefer patient care at** |           |
| Home | 174 | 95.1 |
| Hospital | 9 | 4.9 |
### Table 4. Caregivers’ satisfaction with various home care procedures (n=183).

| Service                        | No. | %   | No. | %   | No. | %   | Not applicable |
|--------------------------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|----------------|
| Prescribing drugs              | 22  | 13.6| 35  | 21.6| 105 | 64.8| 21             |
| Prescribing supplies           | 5   | 4.2 | 5   | 4.2 | 108 | 59.5| 65             |
| Prescribing diet               | 12  | 16.9| 4   | 5.6 | 55  | 77.5| 112            |
| Referral to emergency room     | 37  | 45.1| 0   | 0.0 | 45  | 54.9| 101            |
| Arranging for ambulance        | 39  | 47.0| 2   | 2.4 | 42  | 50.6| 100            |
| Arranging for investigations   | 13  | 8.4 | 5   | 3.2 | 137 | 88.4| 28             |
| Frequency of dressing wounds   | 7   | 10.9| 7   | 10.9| 50  | 78.1| 119            |
| Quality of dressing wounds     | 7   | 10.9| 8   | 12.5| 49  | 76.6| 119            |
| Frequency of changing catheters| 8   | 27.6| 0   | 0.0 | 21  | 72.4| 154            |
| Quality of changing catheters  | 9   | 31.0| 0   | 0.0 | 20  | 69.0| 154            |
| Frequency of changing Ryle tube| 5   | 16.7| 2   | 6.7 | 23  | 76.7| 153            |
| Quality of changing Ryle tube  | 5   | 16.7| 3   | 10.0| 22  | 73.3| 153            |
| Frequency of changing chest tube| 6  | 7.7 | 6   | 7.7 | 66  | 84.6| 105            |
| Quality of changing chest tube | 6   | 7.7 | 6   | 7.7 | 66  | 84.6| 105            |

### Table 5. Caregivers’ total satisfaction scores.

| Total satisfaction score (0-100) |        |
|----------------------------------|--------|
| <50                              | 16 (8.7%) |
| 50-75                            | 33 (18.0%) |
| >75                              | 134 (73.3%) |
| Range                            | 0.0-100.0 |
| Mean (SD)                        | 80.9 (23.9) |
| Median                           | 90.0 |
| Interquartile range              | 71.4-100.0 |

### Table 6. Best-fitting multiple linear regression model for caregivers’ satisfaction score.

|                                | Unstandardized coefficients | Standardized coefficients | t    | P      | 95% CI for Beta |
|--------------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------|------|--------|-----------------|
|                                | B                           | Standard error           | Beta |        | Lower           | Upper          |
| Constant                       | 50.43                       | 9.28                      |      |        | 32.12           | 68.735         |
| Caregiver age                  | 0.28                        | 0.15                      | 0.14 | 1.863  | .064            | -.017 0.585    |
| Caregiver female sex           | 9.67                        | 3.49                      | 0.20 | 2.772  | .006            | 2.786 16.555   |
| Frequency of visits per month  | 1.25                        | 0.66                      | 0.14 | 1.905  | .058            | .045 2.549     |

Model ANOVA: F=4.00, P<.001. Variables entered and excluded patient age, sex, illness type, and duration with program.
use of certain medical equipment. Moreover, Katbamna et al.27 and Tung and Beck24 in the United Kingdom and Taiwan, respectively, reported on caregivers’ dissatisfaction with the speed of response of home care support teams, coordination of home care services, support given to at-home caregivers, and access to appropriate services.

Caregivers’ satisfaction also varied among services. The least satisfaction was with vocational therapy and physiotherapy. This could be attributed to a shortage of staff in these areas due to the program’s commitment to recruit only highly qualified personnel. Additionally, there was some shortage of equipment, along with logistical problems related to transportation. Another plausible explanation is the high expectations of clients. These findings are in congruence with those of Raivio et al.25 who found that physiotherapy was the most often needed service as reported by family caregivers. In addition, Kealey and McIntyre5 pointed to the discrepancy between caregivers’ overall satisfaction and their dissatisfaction with the area of occupational therapy. Therefore, these two areas need to be addressed in any plans to improve home care services.

The present study also attempted to identify the factors that could influence caregivers’ satisfaction. Being older and female were two characteristics that positively influenced caregivers. This finding is in agreement with that of Meyers and Gray,28 who reported that being a patient’s wife or daughter was significantly related to increased satisfaction with patient care. This gender effect is known in the area of caregiving, as women by nature feel responsible for the care of their family members, even at the expense of their own physical and psychological health. Despite this, most women still consider caregiving to family members or even friends to be a rewarding experience.29 In addition, the positive effect of age can be explained by maturity and a more tolerant attitude gained with advancing age.

Concerning service factors, the frequency of home visits was positively associated with the caregiver’s satisfaction score. This is quite plausible, since family members could equate more frequent visits with more care. Furthermore, frequent visits increase the depth of relations between the family and the home support team, which would make the team more responsive to the family’s needs. A similar finding was reported by Tornatore and Grant16 in a study in which frequent visiting was one of the positive influential factors on caregivers’ satisfaction.

In conclusion, although most caregivers were satisfied with the services provided by home support, areas of deficiency still exist, mainly related to physiotherapy, vocational therapy, and social services. The strength of the program at Riyadh Military Hospital lies in its ability to give caregivers increased confidence in providing care to their patients. Therefore, the majority of caregivers expressed their preference for home care rather than hospital care. A limitation of the study could be a bias created by collecting data through interviews rather than from self-administered surveys, which might increase the recorded levels of satisfaction. We have tried to minimize this bias by selecting the interviewer from outside the team and by using objective questions in the interview form. Our study implies that family caregivers should be considered as members of the home care team, and they need to be educated and trained in the care of their patients, which would result in increased self-confidence. Home caregiving programs need to provide better facilitation of referral to hospital, especially in cases of emergency, and to improve the quality of physiotherapy, vocational therapy, and social services. Lastly, the home care team members need periodic practical refresher courses to foster their skills in dealing with equipment.
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