QED calculation of transition probabilities in two-electron ions
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An accurate QED calculation of transition probabilities for the low-lying two-electron configurations of multicharged ions is presented. The calculation is performed for the nondegenerate states $(1s2s)\,^3S_1, (1s2p_{3/2})\,^3P_2$ (M1 and M2 transitions, respectively) and for the quasidegenerate states $(1s2p)\,^1P_1, (1s2p)\,^3P_3$ (E1 transitions) decaying to the ground state $(1s1s)\,^3S_0$. Two-electron ions with nuclear charge numbers $Z = 10 - 92$ are considered. The line profile approach is employed for the description of the process in multicharged ions within the framework of QED.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Highly charged ions (HCI), in particular, two-electron HCI considered in the present work are under intensive experimental and theoretical investigation during the last decades. In HCI the electrons are propagating in the field of the nucleus, which exceeds in strength all other external electric fields accessible in laboratories. This allows for tests of QED in strong electric fields. The most precise experimental data have reached a relative level of accuracy of about 1% in one-electron ions (the measurement of the binding energy shift, i.e., the difference between the electron binding energy and the Dirac point-nucleus value for this energy, ground state, $Z = 92$ [1]), about 0.4% in two-electron ions (the measurement of binding energy of one electron in the two-electron ion, ground state, $Z = 92$ [2]) and about 0.03% in three-electron ions (the measurements of the $2p_{1/2} - 2s_{1/2}$ energy difference for the first excited and ground states, $Z = 92$) [3]. In the theoretical studies of the few-electron HCI such a level of accuracy requires the inclusion of the second-order (two-loop) radiative corrections as well as the screening of the first order (one-loop) radiative corrections. The nuclear size, nuclear recoil and even the nuclear polarization corrections appear to be of importance as well. What concerns the interelectron interaction corrections, the first-, second- and partly third-order corrections should be accounted for in high-$Z$ HCI. For intermediate $Z$ values and, especially for the quasidegenerate energy levels (see below), the inclusion of the interelectron interaction corrections to all-orders, at least within a simplified treatment, becomes necessary. The existing experimental data for the transition probabilities are less accurate (3% for $Z = 54$ [4]), but also require from theory to take into account the interelectron interaction and the lowest order radiative corrections. Moreover, HCI can be used for the investigation of fundamental problems beyond QED: First, for testing the Standard Model via the observation of Parity Nonconservation (PNC) effects in HCI. Various suggestions on this subject were made in [5] for one-electron HCI, in [6, 7, 8, 4, 10, 11] for two-electron HCI and in [12, 13] for four- and five-electron HCI, respectively. Regarding two-electron HCI such proposals are based exclusively on the crossings of the energy levels with opposite parity at some $Z$ values. For example, according to the recent calculation [4] the energy level splitting $\Delta E = E(2sP) - E(2sS)$ for He-like Gd ($Z = 64$), amounts to $\Delta E = 0.04 \pm 0.74$ eV. Since the PNC effect is proportional to $\eta = \text{Re}(\Delta E - i\Gamma/2)^{-1} = \Delta E/(\Delta E^2 + \Gamma^2/4)$, where $\Gamma = 0.016$ eV is the $2sP_0$ level width, the relative PNC effect can be unprecedented large ($\eta = 0.05$ for $\Delta E = \Gamma/2$) or exactly zero for ($\Delta E = 0$). To eliminate the uncertainty in calculations of the energy splitting $\Delta E$ the full account for the two-loop radiative corrections and the more accurate treatment of the interelectron interaction become indispensible. The evaluation of the PNC effects also demands a most precise knowledge of the transition probabilities (level width). Second, it was proposed to use HCI for the search of the variation of fundamental constants $\beta$. Again the precise knowledge of the level crossings and transition probabilities is needed for this purpose [15, 16]. In many cases the two-electron ions are preferable for performing the corresponding experiments (see, e.g., [3, 17]). Therefore, it is necessary to develop adequate and accurate methods, which allow for predictions of energy levels, transition probabilities and other characteristics of two-electron HCI with utmost precision.

The most investigated properties of HCI are the energy levels of the electron configurations. Since more than 40 years in the numerous theoretical works a large variety of different methods based on the Relativistic Many-Body Theory (RMBT) and QED were suggested and employed in practical calculations. A short survey of these methods in a historical retrospective was presented recently in [17]. A common property of all these methods is that they are...
exact to all-orders in the parameter $\alpha Z$ ($\alpha$ is the fine-structure constant and $Z$ is the nuclear charge number). In many-electron systems the expansion in $\alpha Z$ implies an expansion with respect to the relativistic parameter $\bar{v}/c$ ($\bar{v}$ is the mean velocity of an atomic electron, $c$ is the speed of light), so that the $\alpha Z$-expansion methods can be only applied to nonrelativistic systems (low-$Z$ atoms and ions). The Relativistic Dirac-Hartree-Fock (RDHF) method \cite{18} and its natural extensions like Multi-Configurational RDHF (MC-RDHF) \cite{19} or a coupled-cluster method based on the RDHF approximation (CC-RDHF) \cite{20} were widely used in calculations performed for particular ion species. Within these methods the one-electron part of the many-body Hamiltonian is treated exactly as well as the Coulomb part of the interelectron interaction Hamiltonian. Only the Breit part of the interaction Hamiltonian is treated approximately. The validity of this approach was analyzed thoroughly in \cite{21,22,23}. For few-electron ions with high-$Z$ values the application of the perturbation theory with respect to the interelectron interaction becomes possible since the interelectron interaction is of the order of $1/Z$ compared to the binding energy \cite{24}. This feature of the many-electron atomic systems is exploited in the most powerful non-QED methods for the evaluation of the properties of HCI, in the Relativistic Many Body Perturbation Theory approach (RMBPT) \cite{25}. By means of this method the most extensive calculations of the energy levels in two-electron HCI within a wide range of nuclear charge numbers $Z$ were performed \cite{26}. Still, compared to the exact QED theory this method suffers from the lack of the negative energy contributions (though these contributions can be introduced with the special nontrivial efforts \cite{27,28,29}), from the approximate treatment of the Breit interaction (without retardation) and from the neglect of the cross-photons interactions (which represent a special QED effect) in higher orders of perturbation theory. Moreover, the inclusion of radiative QED corrections within the RMBPT approach is possible only with the use of the $\alpha Z$-expansion expressions \cite{30} which, strictly speaking, are not valid for HCI. During the last few decades several rigorous QED approaches for the evaluation of the various properties of the HCI were formulated. Unlike the non-QED treatments, the application of QED allows for the consequent improvement of the accuracy of calculations. The first QED methods, based on the adiabatic S-matrix approach and the energy shift formula by Gell-Mann and Low \cite{51} (this formula was later adjusted by Sucher to the QED applications \cite{52}) was introduced in \cite{31} and later applied to the various QED calculations by many authors. However, in higher orders of perturbation theory the adiabatic S-matrix approach becomes rather cumbersome due to the necessity of explicit evaluation of the adiabatic limit (when adiabatic parameter tends to zero). More advantageous for these purposes appeared to be the Two-Time Green’s Function (TTGF) method first formulated in \cite{33,34} (see also the recent review \cite{36}). With this approach a large number of calculations concerning the higher-order (two-loop) radiative corrections to the energy levels \cite{37} as well as the first-order radiative corrections to the hyperfine splittings in HCI \cite{38} and to the bound-electron g-factors in HCI \cite{39} was performed. An original approach with the covariant generalization of the evolution operator was recently developed in \cite{40,41}. A special QED approach for the evaluation of the different characteristics of the HCI originates from the QED theory of the spectral line profile first developed by F. Low \cite{42}. The application of the Line Profile Approach (LPA) to the evaluation of the energy levels shifts was first formulated in \cite{43}, simple examples were presented in \cite{44}. The LPA possesses all the advantages of the other methods and allows for the evaluation of any higher-order corrections. A most general formulation of the LPA was given in \cite{45,46,47} with application to the energy level calculations in HCI (see also the review \cite{17}). In the present paper we apply the LPA to the high precision calculations of the transition probabilities in HCI.

Because of inherent difficulties, the transition probabilities are less investigated than the energies. This can be explained by the presence of an extra photon line (emitted photon) in the corresponding Feynman graphs and by the poorer convergence of the QED perturbation theory. A calculation of the transition probabilities with respect to the relativistic corrections has been performed by Drake \cite{48,49} within the unified method. The work \cite{48} presents the first relativistic calculation of transition probabilities for the $(1s2s)^3S_1 \rightarrow (1s1s)^1S_0$ transition. The work \cite{49} presents the calculation of transition probabilities for the $(1s2p)^3P_1, ^1P_1 \rightarrow (1s1s)^1S_0$ transitions. A comprehensive review on the transition probabilities for two-electron ions has been presented by Johnson et al. \cite{50} about one decade ago, where the transitions probabilities for low-lying two-electron configurations have been calculated for ions within the entire range of nuclear-charge numbers $Z$. In \cite{50} the RMBPT approach was employed. The contribution of the negative-energy states was discussed in \cite{27,28,29}. The first complete QED evaluation of transition probabilities in HCI with the account for the interelectron interaction and radiative corrections has been presented in \cite{51,52}. The calculation was performed for nondegenerate states for transitions with emission of electric \cite{51} and magnetic \cite{52} photons, respectively.

In this work we present a calculation of the transition probabilities for two-electron ions with nuclear charge $Z = 10 – 100$. The calculation is performed rigorously within the framework of QED. We also present a special technique developed to master the slow convergence of the QED perturbation theory in the case of the ions with intermediate $Z$ values. The calculation is performed for the nondegenerate states $(1s2s)^3S_1, (1s2p_{3/2})^3P_2$ ($M1$ and $M2$ transitions, respectively) and for the quasidegenerate levels $(1s2p)^1P_1, (1s2p)^3P_2$ ($E1$ transitions), decaying to the ground state $(1s1s)^1S_0$. In the present work we apply the line profile approach (LPA) for the derivation of all necessary formulas and develop it for the evaluation of transition probabilities for quasidegenerate levels in the
framework of QED. In this paper we focus on the interelectron interaction corrections and leave the inclusion of the radiative corrections to subsequent studies.

Our paper is organized as follows. In Section II we present the general formulation of the LPA discussing its foundations and justification. A novel development of the LPA for the description of transition probabilities is presented in Section III. In Subsection III A we consider transition probabilities for one-electron ions. The next subsections are devoted to two-electron ions. In Subsection III B the generic expressions for the transition probabilities in zeroth order (i.e., neglecting the interelectron interaction) are presented. The corresponding first-order expressions, where the one-photon exchange between the electrons is included, are given in Subsection III C. The formulas employed for the evaluation of amplitudes and transition probabilities are presented in Section IV. The formulas for the nondegenerate case (Subsection IV A) and for the degenerate case (Subsection IV B) are described separately. In Subsection IV C we derive the formulas for transition probabilities as they are applied in numerical calculations. Section V is devoted to the description of the computational methods. The discussion and analysis of the results, their comparison with the another available data and conclusions are found in the final Section VI.

II. LINE PROFILE APPROACH

The LPA is the version of QED perturbation theory (PT) which starts from the description of the atomic electrons as a set of noninteracting particles moving in the field of the nucleus \( V^{nucl} \) (Furry picture) and described by the solutions of the Dirac equation

\[
(\gamma_{\mu}\gamma^{\mu} - m)\psi = 0.
\]

Here, \( \gamma^\mu \) are the components of the momentum 4-vector, \( p^0 = \epsilon \) is the bound electron energy, \( \gamma^\mu \) are the conventional Dirac matrices. Throughout this paper we use the relativistic units where \( \hbar = c = 1 \) and the fine-structure constant \( \alpha = e^2/(4\pi) \). The charge of the electron is \( e = -|e| \). In this paper we designate the eigenvalues of Eq. (1) as \( \epsilon \) while the physical one-electron energies as \( \epsilon = \epsilon + \Delta\epsilon \). The idea of the LPA is to evaluate the corrections to energy \( \Delta\epsilon \) as the shift of position of resonance in some scattering process due to the interaction with the quantized electromagnetic field. This shift up to the very high orders of QED PT does not depend on the particular resonance process and when this dependence appears the concept of the energy level for the excited states cannot be strictly defined anymore [17]. For the practical implementation of the LPA the process of the elastic photon scattering on atomic electron was employed. This procedure in the lowest QED PT order is depicted in Fig. I.

According to the standard Feynman rules (see, e.g., [24]), the S-matrix element for the graph depicted in Fig. I reads

\[
S^{(2)} = (-ie)^2 \int d^4x_u d^4x_d \bar{\psi}_{a_0}(x_u) \gamma^{\mu_a} A^{(k,\lambda)^*}_{\mu_a}(x_u) S(x_u, x_d) \gamma^{\mu_d} A^{(k,\lambda)}_{\mu_d}(x_d) \psi_{a_0}(x_d),
\]

where \( x^\mu = (t, \mathbf{r}) \) denotes a spacetime point, \( \psi_{a_0}(x) = \psi_{a_0}(r)e^{-i\epsilon t} \) is the one-electron wave function, \( \bar{\psi} = \psi^\dagger\gamma^0 \) is the Dirac conjugated wave function and \( A^{(k,\lambda)}_{\mu}(x) = A^{(k,\lambda)}(r)e^{-i\omega t} \) is the 4-vector of the electromagnetic field potential (photon wave function), \( k^\mu = (\omega, \mathbf{k}) \) are the wave vector and polarization. The frequency of the absorbed and emitted photons is \( \omega = |k| \) and \( \omega' = |k'| \), respectively. We employ the standard covariant notations for 4-vectors together with the sign convention for the metric tensor \( (g_{\mu\nu}) = \text{diag}(1, -1, -1, -1) \). Einstein’s sum convention is implied. The 4-dimensional volume is \( d^4x = dtd^3r \).

We employ the notations \( x_u, x_d \) for the “up” and “down” vertex coordinates in Fig. I. These nonstandard notations will be convenient for the more complicated graphs considered below. The bound-electron propagator is represented in terms of an eigenmode decomposition with respect to one-electron eigenstates of Eq. (1):

\[
S(x_u, x_d) = \frac{i}{2\pi} \int d\omega_n e^{-i\omega(t_u - t_d)} \sum_n \frac{\psi_n(r_u)\bar{\psi}_n(r_d)}{\omega_n - \epsilon_n(1 - i0)}.
\]

The sum over \( n \) runs over the entire Dirac spectrum. Note, that the subscript at the integration variable \( (\omega_n) \) is not the subject of summation over \( n \). These indices are introduced again for the convenience in handling more complicated graphs.

Inserting the expressions for the propagator and wave functions in Eq. (2) and integrating over time and frequency variables we arrive at

\[
S^{(2)} = (-2\pi i)\delta(\omega' - \omega) e^2 \sum_n \frac{A^{(k',\lambda)^*}_{\mu_a n} A^{(k,\lambda)}_{\mu_d n}}{\omega + \epsilon_{a_0} - \epsilon_n}.
\]
Here we employed the shorthand notation

\[ A_{ab}^{(k,\lambda)} = \int d^3r \, \bar{\psi}_a(r) \gamma \mu A_{\mu b}^{(k,\lambda)}(r) \psi_b(r). \]  

(5)

The amplitude \((U)\) of the process of elastic photon scattering is related to the S-matrix element via

\[ S = (-\pi i) \delta(\omega' - \omega)U, \]

(6)

where \(\omega\) and \(\omega'\) represent the energies of the initial and final states, respectively.

The resonance scattering means that the photon frequency \(\omega\) is close to the energy difference between two atomic levels \(\omega = \varepsilon_a - \varepsilon_{a0} + O(\alpha)\), where \(\alpha\) is the fine-structure constant. Accordingly, we have to retain only one term in the sum over \(n\) in Eq. (1). Then the resonance amplitude looks like

\[ U^{(2)} = e^2 A_{a0a}^{(k',\lambda')*} A_{a0a}^{(k,\lambda)}. \]

(7)

This amplitude has a singularity at \(\omega = -\varepsilon_{a0} + \varepsilon_a\). To avoid this singularity and to obtain the Lorentz profile for the photon absorption and photon emission processes we have to consider the radiative insertions into the internal electron line in Fig. 1. This insertion is depicted in Fig. 2.

The corresponding matrix element can be written down as

\[ S^{(4)} = (-ie)^4 \int d^4 x_u d^4 x_1 d^4 x_2 d^4 x_d \bar{\psi}_{a0}(x_u) \gamma \mu A_{\mu u}^{(k',\lambda')*}(x_u) S(x_u, x_1) \]

\[ \times \gamma \nu S(x_1, x_2) \gamma \mu S(x_2, x_d) \gamma \nu A_{\nu d}^{(k,\lambda)}(x_d) D_{\mu_1 \mu_2}(x_1, x_2) \psi_{a0}(x_d), \]

(8)

where \(D_{\mu_1 \mu_2}(x_1, x_2)\) denotes the photon propagator which in the Feynman gauge reads

\[ D_{\mu_1 \mu_2}(x_1, x_2) = \frac{i}{2\pi} \int d\Omega I_{\mu_1 \mu_2}(|\Omega|, r_{12}) e^{-i\Omega(t_1 - t_2)}, \]

(9)

\[ I_{\mu_1 \mu_2}(\Omega, r_{12}) = \gamma_{\mu_1}^{\mu_2} \tilde{\gamma}_1 \tilde{\gamma}_2 e^{i \Omega r_{12}}, \]

(10)

with \(r_{12} = |r_1 - r_2|\) and the metric tensor \(g_{\mu_1 \mu_2}\).

Integration over the time and frequency variables and employment of Eq. (6) leads to the following expression for the amplitude in the resonance approximation

\[ U^{(4)} = U^{(2)} \Sigma^{aa}_{\omega + \varepsilon_{a0}}. \]

(11)

Here we introduced the energy-dependent matrix element of the electron self-energy

\[ \Sigma^{aa}(\xi) = e^2 \sum_n \frac{i}{2\pi} \int d\Omega \frac{I_{a n n d}(|\Omega|)}{\xi - \Omega - \varepsilon_n(1 - i0)} \]

(12)

together with the shorthand notation

\[ I_{a_1 u_2 d_1 d_2}(\Omega) = \sum_{\mu_1 \mu_2} \int d\Omega_1 d\Omega_2 \bar{\psi}_{a_1}(\Omega_1) \bar{\psi}_{u_2}(\Omega_2) \gamma^{\mu_1} \gamma^{\mu_2} I_{\mu_1 \mu_2}(\Omega, r_{12}) \psi_{d_1}(\Omega, r_1) \psi_{d_2}(\Omega, r_2) \].

(13)

It is assumed that the ultraviolet divergent matrix element \((12)\) are renormalized in a standard way for the tightly bound electrons in atoms (see, e.g., (24)).

Repeating these insertions within the resonance approximation leads to the geometric progression. The resummation of this progression yields

\[ U = e^2 \frac{A_{a0a}^{(k',\lambda')*} A_{a0a}^{(k,\lambda)}}{\omega + \varepsilon_{a0} - V_a(\omega)} \].

(14)
where
\[ V_a(\omega) = V_a^{(0)} + \Delta V_a(\omega), \]
\[ V_a^{(0)} = \varepsilon_a, \]
\[ \Delta V_a(\omega) = \hat{\Sigma}_{aa}(\omega + \varepsilon_a). \]

Within the resonance approximation we can replace \( \omega + \varepsilon_a \) by \( \varepsilon_a \). Then \( \Delta V_a = \hat{\Sigma}_{aa}(\varepsilon_a) \) represents the energy shift due to the lowest order electron self-energy correction. It is convenient to decompose explicitly the electron self-energy matrix element into real and imaginary parts
\[ \hat{\Sigma}_{aa}(\varepsilon_a) = L_a - \frac{i}{2} \Gamma_a, \]

where \( L_a \) is the electron self-energy correction to the energy of the excited level \( a \) and \( \Gamma_a \) is the width of this level. Thus, the pole in Eq. (14) is shifted into the complex plane and the singularity on the real axis is avoided. The real parts of the resonance frequency in zeroth- and first-order of PT are given by
\[ \omega_{\text{res.}(0)} = -\varepsilon_a + \varepsilon_a, \]
\[ \omega_{\text{res.}(0+1)} = -\varepsilon_a + \varepsilon_a + L_a. \]

Taking the amplitude Eq. (14) by square modulus, integrating over photon directions and summing over photon polarizations we arrive at the probability (cross-section) for the process of the photon scattering on an one-electron atom or ion. In the resonance approximation this probability factorizes into the product of absorption and emission probabilities with the same Lorentz-profile factor [17]
\[ \mathcal{L}(\omega_{\text{res}}) = \frac{\Gamma_{aa0}}{(\omega - \omega_{\text{res}})^2 + \frac{1}{4} \Gamma_a^2}, \]

where \( \Gamma_{aa0} \) is the width of the level. One of the important problems associated with the transition \( a \rightarrow 0 \).

One of the most important problems within the LPA is the treatment of the ground state. An insertion of the electron self-energy correction into the outer electron lines in the standard Feynman graphs describing the elastic photon scattering on an atomic electron leads unavoidably to singularities. Since these outer lines correspond to the initial and final electron state, the problem of improving the energy of the ground state arises. Moreover the singularities leave the whole theory incomplete so far that the S-matrix for the bound electrons actually does not exist. One way to circumvent these difficulties was suggested decades ago by Sucher and Barbieri [53] whose idea was to evaluate the contributions to the transition probabilities via the imaginary part of the two-loop diagonal self-energy corrections for the ground state of an atom. Within the LPA we propose another solution of the problem [17, 54].

To introduce the radiative corrections to the ground state we consider the more complicated two-photon process of the excitation of the resonant level \( a \). This process starts from the one-photon absorption by an artificial “lower than ground” state \( a_\star \) (see Fig. 3). This state plays the role of a regulator, which can be again removed at the end of evaluations. The insertions of the electron self-energy correction into the lower (or into the upper) electron propagator lead finally to the Lorentz line profile of the form [17, 54]:
\[ \mathcal{L}(\omega_{\text{res}}) = \frac{\Gamma_{aa0} + \Gamma_{aa0\star}}{(\omega - \omega_{\text{res}})^2 + \frac{1}{4} (\Gamma_a + \Gamma_0)^2}, \]
in the emission process \( a \rightarrow a_0 + \gamma \). In the most simple case of one channel decay the partial widths \( \Gamma_{aa0}, \Gamma_{aa0\star} \) can be substituted by \( \Gamma_a, \Gamma_0 \), respectively. In Eq. (22) the integration over the second photon frequency \( \omega_0 \) is performed and \( \Gamma_a \) represents the width of the level \( a_0 \). The presence of the width \( \Gamma_0 \) is the only remnant of the introduced artificial “lower than ground” state \( a_\star \). In Eq. (22) \( \omega_{\text{res}} = -\varepsilon_a + \varepsilon_a \) includes both corrections \( L_a \) to the energy \( \varepsilon_a \) and \( L_a \) to the energy \( \varepsilon_{a_0} \). If both states \( a \) and \( a_0 \) are excited states, the formula (22) represents the known expression for the Lorentz line shape in case of the decaying final state. In case of the ground state \( a_0 \), we may consider \( \Gamma_0 \) as the regulating parameter. Setting \( \Gamma_0 = 0 \) at the end of the calculation, we obtain from Eq. (22) the emission line profile for the transition from the excited state \( a \) to the ground state \( a_0 \) with the radiative corrections for the ground state included in the definition of \( \omega_{\text{res}} \).

Now being able to evaluate any desired property of an atom including the ground state energy corrections, we can disregard any insertions in the outer electron lines with their singularities and define the bound electron S-matrix in this way. Heuristically, this approach seems to be convincing, however, it looks unsatisfactory from the formal point of view. Therefore, we present a direct formal proof of the existence of the S-matrix for the bound electrons, based
on the adiabatic approach. This proof was given earlier for the $S(\infty, 0)$ matrix. However, $S(\infty, 0)$ matrix in QED provides difficulties with renormalization. Here we give the proof for $S(\infty, -\infty)$ matrix for the first time. Our starting point is the Sucher adiabatic $S_{\lambda_n}(\infty, -\infty)$ matrix instead of Gell-Mann and Low adiabatic $S_{\lambda_n}(\infty, 0)$ matrix, employed in QED.

The standard description of an arbitrary process in the free-electron QED starts from the time evolution of an initial state to a final state governed by the evolution operator within the interaction representation based on the relation

$$ \langle \Phi(\infty) \rangle = T \exp \left\{ -i \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dt \, \hat{H}^{\text{int}}(t) \right\} |\Phi(-\infty)\rangle = \hat{S}(\infty, -\infty)|\Phi(-\infty)\rangle. \quad (23) $$

Here, $\hat{H}^{\text{int}}(t)$ is the interaction Hamiltonian in the interaction representation, $|\Phi(\pm\infty)\rangle$ are the state vectors at asymptotic times $t = \pm\infty$ and $\hat{S}(\infty, -\infty)$ is the evolution operator, usually called S-matrix. The interaction between the particles involved is assumed to be absent at $t = \pm\infty$ and the transition probabilities due to the particle interactions at the finite time moments can be expressed in terms of matrix elements of S-matrix.

Contrary to this, the interaction between the bound electrons is permanently present. Within the adiabatic formalism of Gell-Mann and Low the interaction Hamiltonian $\hat{H}^{\text{int}}(t)$ is replaced by the operator

$$ \hat{H}^{\text{int}}(t) = e^{-\lambda_n |t|} \hat{H}^{\text{int}}(t), \quad (24) $$

where $\lambda_n$ is the adiabatic parameter. Then, at the time moment $t = \pm\infty$ the interaction is switched off and at $t = 0$ is fully switched on. Using the interaction operator Eq. (24) one can perform the QED calculations in the usual manner and then set $\lambda_n = 0$ at the end, thus restoring the full interaction for the entire time intervals. This allows for the extension of the established techniques for calculating free-electron S-matrix elements to bound electrons in atoms.

Gell-Mann and Low derived a formula which yields the energy shift of bound electron states due to the interaction Eq. (24) in terms of the evolution operator $\hat{S}_{\lambda_n}(0, -\infty)$. Sucher derived a symmetrized version of the energy shift formula, containing the matrix elements of the evolution operator $\hat{S}_{\lambda_n}(\infty, -\infty)$. On the basis of the Sucher’s formula an adiabatic S-matrix approach for the evaluation of the energy corrections in bound electron QED was later developed.

Here we apply the adiabatic approach for another purpose. We will show that the singularities, arising after the electron self-energy insertions both in the initial and final outer electron lines in the S-matrix element corresponding to the Feynman graph Fig. 1 can be converted to the phase factor in the following way:

$$ S_{\lambda_n} = (-2\pi i) \delta(\omega' - \omega) U \exp \left( \frac{\hat{\Sigma}_{a_0 a_0}(\epsilon_{a_0})}{\iota \lambda_n} \right). \quad (25) $$

This is an asymptotic equation ($\lambda_n \to +0$). The $\lambda_n$-dependence is located in the imaginary exponent. Here the amplitude $U$ differs from Eqs. (3, 7) by the replacement of $\epsilon_{a_0}$ to $\epsilon_{a_0} = \epsilon_{a_0} + \hat{\Sigma}_{a_0 a_0}(\epsilon_{a_0})$, where $\hat{\Sigma}_{a_0 a_0}(\epsilon_{a_0})$ is the diagonal matrix element of the lowest order electron self-energy operator. As for the ground state $a_0$ this matrix element is real, the phase factor does not contribute to the absolute value of amplitude defined by Eq. (6), and, accordingly, to the line profile. The proof is given in Appendix. This proof can be repeated for any QED correction of any order. This result justifies the employment of the energy $\epsilon_{a_0}$ (with the QED corrections included) instead of $\epsilon_{a_0}$ in Eq. (14). The remaining finite contributions from all the insertions in the outer lines present the QED corrections to the outer wave functions. Moreover, this proof can be repeated also for the few-electron atoms. In this case the ground state will be corrected not only for the QED corrections, but for the interelectron interaction as well. Thus, the problem of the existence of the bound electron S-matrix for the scattering process described by the Feynman graph Fig. 1 is solved in any order of QED perturbation theory.

Now we go over to the most general formulation of the LPA. We will use the matrix formulation, that allows for the extension of the LPA to the case of quasidegenerate states. This formulation is also most suitable for the application of the LPA to the evaluation of transition probabilities. Within this formulation the generalization of Eq. (14) looks like

$$ U = T^+ \frac{1}{D(\omega)} T, \quad (26) $$

where the matrix $T$ describes the absorption of the photon by the electron in the ground state $a_0$ with the excitation to the resonance (intermediate) state $a$. The matrix $T^+$ describes the emission of the photon with the transition $a \to a_0$. The diagonal matrix (energy denominator) $D(\omega)$ is defined as

$$ D(\omega) = \omega + \epsilon_{a_0} - V^{(0)}, \quad (27) $$
where $\omega$ is the photon frequency. The resonance condition reads

$$\omega_{\text{res}} = -\epsilon_{a_0} + \varepsilon_a,$$

(28)

where $\epsilon_{a_0}$ is the energy of the ground state, $\varepsilon_a$ is the Dirac energy of the state $a$. The energy $\epsilon_{a_0}$ is not necessarily equal to the Dirac energy, it may include already the radiative corrections (see discussion above). In Eq. (27) the diagonal matrix $D(\omega)$ involves

$$V^{(0)} = \varepsilon_a.$$

(29)

We employ here the matrix formulation of the single photon scattering amplitude (26) on the one-electron ion in view of the further generalization of our approach to the quasidegenerate states in two-electron ions. This amplitude is described by the Feynman graph Fig. 4. In this diagram we describe the photon interaction with the ground state by the boxes and deliberately omit the outer electron lines describing the ground state wave functions. The justification of this approach was given above.

The next step is the insertion of the electron self energy corrections in the internal electron line within the resonance approximation (see Fig. 5). The corresponding amplitude reads

$$U = T^+ \frac{1}{D(\omega)} \hat{\Sigma}(\omega + \epsilon_{a_0}) \frac{1}{D(\omega)} T,$$

(30)

where $\hat{\Sigma}$ is the diagonal matrix corresponding to the regularized electron self-energy operator. In the case under consideration this matrix reduces to the diagonal matrix element of the electron self-energy operator for the state $a$.

Continuing recursively this process, i.e., inserting two, three, etc, self-energies in the internal electron line in Fig. 4 and summing the geometric progression, we obtain finally

$$U = T^+ \frac{1}{D(\omega) - \Delta V(\omega)} T,$$

(31)

where $\Delta V(\omega) = \hat{\Sigma}(\omega + \epsilon_{a_0})$. Evaluation of the corresponding matrix element of the one-loop self-energy insertion at $\omega = \omega_{\text{res}}$ leads back to Eq. (18).

Eq. (31) illustrates the main idea of the LPA: the radiative corrections to the energy arise as the shifts of the resonances frequency due to the various insertions in the internal electron line in Fig. 4 in the resonance approximation. Graphically Eq. (31) can be represented by the Feynman graph Fig. 6. Instead of the correction $\hat{\Sigma}$ in the box in graph Fig. 6 any irreducible correction can be inserted; the corresponding energy shift will arise as the resonance frequency shift in Eq. (31).

In [45, 46, 47] the LPA was generalized to the few-electron ions, in particular for quasidegenerate states. The applications to two- and three-electron ions were presented. The general features of the LPA application to the evaluation of the transition probabilities were formulated in [17] and exemplified with some numerical studies.

In the next section of this paper we provide the detailed derivations of transition probabilities in the few-electron ions within the framework of the LPA.

## III. TRANSITION PROBABILITIES

We are going to evaluate the transition probability for the process

$$I \xrightarrow{\omega_0} F,$$

(32)

where $I$ is the initial two-electron state decaying to the final state $F$ with emission of the photon $\omega_0$. Within the framework of the LPA the state of an ion is associated with a position of the resonance. Therefore, we will consider a more general process which incorporates the transition (32):

$$A_0 \xrightarrow{\omega} I \xrightarrow{\omega_0} F \xrightarrow{\omega'} A_0,$$

(33)

$i.e.$, a transition from the state $A_0$ (let $A_0$ be the ground state) to the state $I$ with absorption of a photon $\omega$. Then, the state $I$ decays to the state $F$ with emission of the photon $\omega_0$ and, finally, the state $F$ decays back to the state $A_0$ with emission of a photon $\omega'$. The initial state ($I$) is associated with the resonance near $\omega = -E_{A_0} + E_I^{(0)}$, where $E_I^{(0)}$ is the zero-order energy of the state $I$ (sum of the Dirac energies). The final state ($F$) is defined by the resonance near $\omega' = -E_{A_0} + E_F^{(0)}$. The energy of the ground state $A_0$ is given by $E_{A_0}$. 
It will be shown below that in the resonance approximation the amplitude of the scattering process \(^{33}\) can be written as

\[
U = T^+ \frac{1}{D(\omega') - \Delta V(\omega')} \Xi(\omega_0) \frac{1}{D(\omega) - \Delta V(\omega)} T. \tag{34}
\]

The matrix \(T\) describes the absorption of the photon \(\omega\) by the ground state \(A_0\), the matrix \(T^+\) describes the emission of the photon \(\omega'\) with the transition to the ground state \(A_0\). The matrix \(D(\omega)\) is defined by Eq. \(^{27}\), where \(V^{(0)}\) is now the sum of the Dirac energies for the electrons which belong to the state \(I\). The matrix \(D\) is diagonal in the basis of the two-electron functions in the \(j-j\) coupling scheme. The matrix of the interaction operator \(\Delta V(\omega)\) was investigated in \(^{47}\). Here we will construct it in the first order of the perturbation theory.

The right denominator corresponds to the resonance associated with the state \(I\) and the left one defines the resonance for the state \(F\). The function \(\Xi(\omega_0)\) is a complicated vertex which describes the emission of photon \(\omega_0\) by the ion in the state \(I\) decaying to the state \(F\). The matrix element of the vertex \(\Xi(\omega_0)\) calculated on the eigenvectors \(\Phi_I, \Phi_F\) of the matrices \(D(\omega) - \Delta V(\omega)\) and \(D(\omega') - \Delta V(\omega')\) corresponding to the states \(I\) and \(F\), respectively, represents the amplitude of the decay process \(^{32}\)

\[
U_{I \rightarrow F} = (\Xi(\omega_0))_{\Phi_F \Phi_I}. \tag{35}
\]

The eigenvectors \(\Phi_I, \Phi_F\) and the vertex \(\Xi(\omega_0)\) can be constructed order by order employing perturbation theory. This procedure is formulated consistently in following sections.

Below in this section we will derive general formulas for the transition probabilities in two-electron ions in zeroth and first order of the QED perturbation theory considering the interelectron interaction as perturbation.

### A. One-electron ion

In order to introduce our notations we start from the one-photon transition in a one-electron ion within zeroth-order QED perturbation theory. This process with transition from the initial state \(I\) into the final state \(F\) is described by Eq. \(^{32}\).

In zeroth-order QED perturbation theory the corresponding S-matrix element is given by Feynman graph depicted in Fig. \(^{47}\) and reads

\[
S = \int d^4x \bar{\psi}_F(x)e^{i\varepsilon_F t}(-ie)\gamma^\mu A^{(k_0,\lambda_0)}_\mu(r)e^{i\omega_0 t}e^{-i\varepsilon_I} \psi_I(r). \tag{36}
\]

The Dirac functions \(\psi_I(r), \bar{\psi}_F(r)\) and the Dirac energies \(\varepsilon_I, \varepsilon_F\) characterize the initial and final one-electron states. The emitted photon is described by the momentum 4-vector \(k_0\) and the polarization \(\lambda_0\).

In the coordinate representation the photon wave function

\[
A^{(k,\lambda)}(r)e^{-i\omega t} = \sqrt{\frac{2\pi}{\omega}} e^{\mu(\lambda)} e^{-i(\omega t - kr)}, \quad \mu = 1, 2, 3 \tag{37}
\]

describes a photon with the momentum \(k\) and polarization \(\lambda\) \((\epsilon(\lambda)\) is the polarization 4-vector). Here, the photon wave function is understood within the “transverse” gauge, which in the work \(^{50}\) is referred as the “velocity” gauge,

\[
A^{0(k,\lambda)}(r)e^{-i\omega t} = 0. \tag{38}
\]

Performing the integration over the time variable yields

\[
S = (-2\pi i)\delta(\varepsilon_F + \omega_0 - \varepsilon_I) e \int d^3r \bar{\psi}_F(r)\gamma^\mu A^{(k_0,\lambda_0)}_\mu(r)\psi_I(r). \tag{39}
\]

The expression for the amplitude \((U)\) of the process is defined by Eq. \(^{39}\). Then, the amplitude corresponding to Eq. \(^{39}\) reads

\[
U = e \int d^3r \bar{\psi}_F(r)\gamma^\mu A^{(k_0,\lambda_0)}_\mu(r)\psi_I(r). \tag{40}
\]
Within the framework of the line profile approach we consider a process described by Eq. (32). This process is depicted in Fig. 8. The S-matrix element corresponding to Fig. 8 is written as

\[ S = \int d^3 x_d d^3 r_u d^3 r_d \psi_{a_0}(r_u) e^{i \omega t_u} (-ie) \gamma^\mu_u A^{(k', \lambda')}_u(r_u) e^{i \omega t_c} \]
\[ \times \frac{i}{2\pi} \sum_{\omega_u} \frac{\psi_u(r_u) \bar{\psi}_u(r_u)}{\omega_u - \epsilon_u(1 - i0)} e^{-i \omega_u(t_u - t_c)} (-ie) \gamma^\mu_e A^{(k_0, \lambda_0)}_e(r_e) e^{i \omega t_c} \]
\[ \times \frac{i}{2\pi} \sum_{\omega_d} \frac{\psi_d(r_d) \bar{\psi}_d(r_d)}{\omega_d - \epsilon_d(1 - i0)} e^{-i \omega_d(t_d - t_u)} \]
\[ \times (-ie) \gamma^\mu_d A^{(k, \lambda)}_d(r_d) e^{-i \omega dt_d} e^{-it_d(\epsilon_d 0) \psi_{a_0}(r_d)}. \] (41)

We employ notations “\(u\)”, “\(c\)”, “\(d\)” for the upper, central and lower vertices of Feynman graphs. Note, that subscripts at the integration variables \(\omega_u, \omega_d\) refer to the corresponding vertices. After integration over the time variables and over the frequencies \((\omega_u, \omega_d)\) we get the expression defining the amplitude \((U)\) of the scattering process

\[ S = (-2\pi i) \delta(\omega' + \omega_0 - \omega) e^3 \int d^3 r_u d^3 r_c d^3 r_d \bar{\psi}_{a_0}(r_u) \gamma^\mu_u A^{(k', \lambda')}_u(r_u) \psi_{a_0}(r_c) \]
\[ \sum_{\omega} \frac{\psi_u(r_u) \bar{\psi}_u(r_c)}{\epsilon_{a_0} + \omega' - \epsilon_u} \]
\[ \times \gamma^\mu_e A^{(k_0, \lambda_0)}_e(r_c) \sum_{\omega_d} \frac{\psi_d(r_d) \bar{\psi}_d(r_d)}{\epsilon_{a_0} + \omega - \epsilon_d} \gamma^\mu_d A^{(k, \lambda)}_d(r_d) \psi_{a_0}(r_d) \] (42)
\[ = (-2\pi i) \delta(\omega' + \omega_0 - \omega) U. \] (43)

Being interested in the transition between \(I\) and \(F\) states we consider the frequencies of the absorbed and emitted photons satisfying the conditions

\[ \omega = -\epsilon_{a_0} + \epsilon_I + O(\alpha) \] (44)
\[ \omega' = -\epsilon_{a_0} + \epsilon_F + O(\alpha). \] (45)

Let us also assume that the states \(I, F\) are well isolated. Hence, we can rewrite the amplitude in Eq. (43) as

\[ U = e^3 \int d^3 r_u d^3 r_c d^3 r_d \bar{\psi}_{a_0}(r_u) \gamma^\mu_u A^{(k', \lambda')}_u(r_u) \psi_{a_0}(r_c) \]
\[ \times \gamma^\mu_e A^{(k_0, \lambda_0)}_e(r_c) \psi_I(r_c) \bar{\psi}_I(r_d) \gamma^\mu_d A^{(k, \lambda)}_d(r_d) \psi_{a_0}(r_d) + R, \] (46)

where \(R\) denotes the terms regular at \(\omega, \omega'\) given by Eqs. (44), (45). The first term is singular and it defines the resonances corresponding to the initial state \(I\) and to the final state \(F\). In the resonance approximation we retain only the terms singular at the positions of resonances which means that we neglect the terms denoted by \(R\). The corresponding corrections are called nonresonant corrections. These corrections give rise to an asymmetry of the line profile and define the level of accuracy at which the concept of energy levels itself becomes inadequate for the analysis of experimental data. They are investigated in 56, 57 for highly charged ions and in 58, 59, 60, 61, 62, 63, 64 for the hydrogen atom.

Aiming for the application of the LPA to two-electron ions we introduce the following notations. The vertex functions \(\Phi_{a_0}, \Phi_{a_0}^+\) representing absorption of the photon by the electron in the state \(a_0\) and emission of the photon with subsequent decay of an atom into the state \(a_0\), respectively, are

\[ \Phi_{a_0}(r) = e \gamma^\mu A^{(k, \lambda)}_\mu(r) \psi_{a_0}(r) \] (47)
\[ \Phi_{a_0}^+(r) = e \bar{\psi}_{a_0}(r) \gamma^\mu A^{(k', \lambda')}_\mu(r). \] (48)

Then, the expression for the amplitude takes the form

\[ U = e \int d^3 r_u d^3 r_c d^3 r_d \Phi_{a_0}^+(r_u) \psi_I(r_u) \bar{\psi}_I(r_d) \]
\[ \times \gamma^\mu_c A^{(k_0, \lambda_0)}_\mu(r_c) \frac{\psi_I(r_c) \bar{\psi}_I(r_d)}{\epsilon_{a_0} + \omega - \epsilon_I} + R. \] (49)
Introducing notations

\[ T_{n a_0} = - \int d^3 r \tilde{\psi}_n(\mathbf{r}) \Phi_{a_0}(\mathbf{r}) , \]  
\[ T_{a_0}^+ = - \int d^3 r \Phi_{a_0}^+(\mathbf{r}) \tilde{\psi}_n(\mathbf{r}) \]  

we can reexpress Eq. (46) as

\[ \psi(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{1}{T_{a_0}^+ \sqrt{\epsilon_{a_0} + \omega - \varepsilon_F}} \int d^3 r \tilde{\psi}_n(\mathbf{r}) \gamma^\mu A^{(k_0, \lambda_0)}(r) \psi_I(\mathbf{r}) \frac{1}{\epsilon_{a_0} + \omega - \varepsilon_I} T_{I a_0} + R . \]  

Introducing the matrices

\[ \Xi_{n_1 n_2}(\omega_0) = e \int d^3 r \tilde{\psi}_{n_1}(r) \gamma^\mu A^{(k_0, \lambda_0)}(r) \tilde{\psi}_{n_2}(r) , \]  
\[ D_{n_1 n_2}(\omega) = (\omega + \varepsilon_{a_0} - \varepsilon_{n_1}) \delta_{n_1, n_2} , \]  

where \( \delta_{n_1, n_2} \) means the Kronecker symbol, we can write the expression for the amplitude in matrix form

\[ U = T_{a_0}^+ D^{-1}(\omega') \Xi(\omega_0) D^{-1}(\omega) T_{a_0} \]  
\[ = T_{a_0}^+ \frac{1}{D(\omega')} \Xi(\omega_0) \frac{1}{D(\omega)} T_{a_0} . \]  

Expression (56) coincide with Eq. (34) in zeroth order: \( \Delta V + \mathcal{O}(a) \). Taking into account the radiative corrections, the matrix \( \Delta V \) will contain radiative insertions such as the self-energy and vacuum-polarization operators. As it was mentioned above in the present studies we will neglect the influence of the radiative corrections.

According to Eq. (57) the amplitude of the process described by Eq. (52) reads in the zeroth order of the perturbation theory

\[ U = (\Xi)_{FI} . \]  

As the matrix \( D(\omega) \) is diagonal on the Dirac functions and \( \Delta V = 0 \), the Dirac functions corresponding to the initial state \( I \) and to the final state \( F \) are the eigenvectors for the matrices \( D(\omega) - \Delta V(\omega) \) and \( D(\omega') - \Delta V(\omega') \), respectively.

As a consequence of the application of the resonance approximation amplitude Eq. (61) does not depend on the particular choice for the functions \( \Phi_{a_0}, \Phi_{a_0}^+ \), what means that the amplitude (57) does not depend on how the initial state \( I \) was excited and how the final state \( F \) decayed. Accordingly, the state \( a_0 \) can be an arbitrary state. In the further derivations we will chose states \( \Phi_{a_0}, \Phi_{a_0}^+ \) calculated within lowest orders of the perturbation theory.

**B. Two-electron ions: zeroth-order perturbation theory**

In the zeroth order of the QED perturbation theory the S-matrix element for the process Eq. (32) in two-electron ions is given by the Feynman graphs Fig. 9. Within the framework of the line profile approach we consider process given by Eq. (33). We assume the state \( A_0 \) as being the ground state.

In the approximation of noninteracting electrons the S-matrix element corresponding to the scattering process (33) is given by the Feynman graphs in Fig. 10. The graphs (a) and (b) yield the same contribution, so we will consider twice the graph Fig. 10 (a).

In the zero order the wave function of the ground state can be taken as Slater determinant

\[ \Psi_{A_0}^{(0)}(x_1, x_2) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \det \{ \psi_{a_0}(x_1) \psi_{b_0}(x_2) \} = \Psi_{A_0}^{(0)}(r_1, r_2) e^{-i \varepsilon_{1s} t_1 + i \varepsilon_{1s} t_2} . \]  

Here, \( \psi_{a_0}(x) = \psi_{1s+}(x) = \psi_{1s+}(r) e^{-i \varepsilon_{1s} t} \), \( \psi_{b_0}(x) = \psi_{1s-}(x) \) refer to Dirac one-electron functions with different projections of the total one-electron angular momentum (identical with electron spin in case of 1s-state). In zeroth order the ground state energy \( (E_{A_0}) \) is \( E_{A_0}^{(0)} = 2 \varepsilon_{1s} \). The S-matrix element represented by the diagrams in Fig. 10 is the same as the S-matrix element represented by Fig. 8 and, accordingly, it is given by Eq. (41).
With the purpose of employment of the matrix $\Xi(\omega_0)$ introduced in Eq. (33) we rewrite the S-matrix element corresponding to the Feynman graph Fig. 1(a) in the form

$$
S = (-i)^2 \int d^4x_u d^4x_{u_1} d^4x_{u_2} d^4x_{u_3} d^4x_{u_4} d^4x_{d_1} d^4x_{d_2} d\omega_u d\omega_{u_1} d\omega_{u_2} d\omega_{d_1} d\omega_{d_2} d\omega_n \times \Phi_{A_0}(r_{u_1}, r_{u_2}) e^{i\sum_{u_1} \mu u_{1}(E_{A_0}^{(0)} + \omega_0)} \delta(t_{u_1} - t_{u_2})
$$

$$
\times \frac{i}{2\pi} \sum_{u_1} \psi_{u_1}(r_{u_1}) \psi_{u_1}^{*}(r_{c_1}) e^{-i\omega_{u_1} (t_{u_1} - t_{c_1})}
$$

$$
\times (-ie)^{\mu u_1} A_{\mu u_1}^{(k_{0}, \lambda_0) \xi}(r_{c_1}) e^{i\epsilon_1 t_{c_1}} \frac{i}{2\pi} \sum_{d_1} \psi_{d_1}(r_{c_1}) \psi_{d_1}^{*}(r_{d_1}) e^{-i\omega_{d_1} (t_{c_1} - t_{d_1})}
$$

$$
\times e^{-it_{d_1} (E_{A_0}^{-} + \omega)} \delta(t_{d_1} - t_{d_2}) \Phi_{A_0}^{(0)}(r_{d_1}, r_{d_2}),
$$

where we have introduced the two-electron vertex functions

$$
\Phi_{A_0}^{(0)}(r_1, r_2) = e^{i\epsilon_1^{1\lambda_1}} A_{\mu u_1}^{(k_{0}, \lambda_0) \xi}(r_1) \Psi_{A_0}^{(0)}(r_1, r_2),
$$

$$
\Phi_{A_0}^{(0)}(r_1, r_2) = \bar{\Psi}_{A_0}^{(0)}(r_1, r_2) e^{i\epsilon_1^{1\lambda_1}} A_{\mu u_1}^{(k_{0}, \lambda_0) \xi}(r_1)
$$

and function conjugated to the function (58)

$$
\bar{\Psi}_{A_0}^{(0)}(x_1, x_2) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \det \{ \bar{\psi}_{u_0}(x_1) \bar{\psi}_{u_0}(x_2) \} = \bar{\Psi}_{A_0}^{(0)}(r_1, r_2) e^{i\epsilon_1^{1\lambda_1} (t_1 + t_2)}.
$$

As in the case of the one-electron ion the functions $\Phi_{A_0}^{(0)}, \bar{\Phi}_{A_0}^{(0)}$ describe the properties of the scattering process (compare Eqs. (12) (18)).

The S-matrix element, corresponding to the Feynman graph Fig. 1(a) contains two electron propagators. In Eq. (59) we formally introduced the third propagator $S(x_{u_2}, x_{d_2})$. We have to show that the expression (59) leads to Eq. (62). With the aid of the Dirac-Sokhotsky formulas we can write

$$
[\omega_n - \epsilon_n(1 - i0)]^{-1} = \frac{2\pi}{i} \delta(\omega_n - \epsilon_n) - [-\omega_n + \epsilon_n + i0\epsilon_n]^{-1}.
$$

Employing this identity and integrating over variables $t_{u_2}, t_{d_2}$ and $\omega_n$, because of orthogonality of the Dirac functions, reduces the sum over $n$ to terms $n = 1$ only. The first term in the right-hand side of Eq. (53) yields equation (42), while the second term vanishes after integration over $\omega_{u_1}$ (because $\epsilon_n > 0$ and the both poles lie in the same complex half plane).

Going beyond the approximation of non-interacting electrons, the functions $\Phi_{A_0}, \bar{\Phi}_{A_0}$ will be more complicated functions than Slater determinants Eqs. (60), (61). However, when calculating transition probability we can ignore the details involved in the preparation of the initial state ($I$) and the further decay of the final state ($F$). Accordingly, in the resonance approximation, there is no need to specify the functions $\Phi_{A_0}, \bar{\Phi}_{A_0}$.

In order to utilize efficiently the Feynman graphs technique within the framework of the line profile approach in the case of the two-electron ions we introduced two new elements: lower and upper boxes with letters $A_0$ inside. These boxes describe the two-electron state $A_0$ absorbing or emitting a photon. These lower and upper boxes correspond to the following expressions in the S-matrix elements (see Eq. (59))

$$
e^{-it_{d_1} (E_{A_0}^{-} + \omega)} \delta(t_{d_1} - t_{d_2}) \Phi_{A_0}(r_{d_1}, r_{d_2}),
$$

$$
\bar{\Phi}_{A_0}(r_{u_1}, r_{u_2}) e^{i\sum_{u_1} \mu u_{1}(E_{A_0}^{(0)} + \omega)} \delta(t_{u_1} - t_{u_2}),
$$

respectively. Accordingly, in zeroth-order of the perturbation theory the S-matrix element for the scattering process Eq. (63) is represented by the graphs in Fig. 11 and is given by Eq. (63).

Integration over the time variables in (59) yields the following expression

$$
S = (-i)^3 \left( \frac{i}{2\pi} \right)^3 (2\pi)^3 \sum_{u_1 d_1 n} d\omega_{u_1} d\omega_{d_1} d\omega_n A_{65}
$$

$$
\times T_{A_{u_1} n_1}^{+} e^{(A_{(k_{0}, \lambda_0)}) u_1 d_1 T_{d_1 n_1} A_0}
$$

$$
\times [\omega_{u_1} - \epsilon_{u_1} (1 - i0)]^{-1} [\omega_{d_1} - \epsilon_{d_1} (1 - i0)]^{-1} [\omega_n - \epsilon_n (1 - i0)]^{-1},
$$

(64)
which involves the following shorthand notations

$$
\Delta_{65} = \delta(E_{A_0} + \omega' - \omega_{u_1} - \omega_n)\delta(\omega_{u_1} - \omega_{d_1} + \omega_0)\delta(\omega_{d_1} + \omega_n - E_{A_0} - \omega),
$$

the complicated vertex

$$
T_{n_1n_2A_0} = - \int d^3r_1d^3r_2 \bar{\psi}_{n_1}(r_1)\psi_{n_2}(r_2)\Phi_{A_0}(r_1, r_2)
$$

and the one-electron matrix element

$$
A^{(k, \lambda)}_{n_1n_2} = \int d^3r \bar{\psi}_{n_1}(r)\gamma^\mu A^{(k, \lambda)}_{\mu}(r)\psi_{n_2}(r).
$$

We are interested in the transition probability at the frequencies corresponding to the positions of resonances

$$
\omega = -E_{A_0} + E_f^{(0)} + O(\alpha),
$$

$$
\omega' = -E_{A_0} + E_r^{(0)} + O(\alpha).
$$

To zeroth order $E_f^{(0)} = \varepsilon_{I_1} + \varepsilon_{I_2}$ and $E_r^{(0)} = \varepsilon_{F_1} + \varepsilon_{F_2}$ determine the positions of the resonances (sum of the Dirac energies) corresponding to the initial and final states, respectively. Within the framework of the resonance approximation one can retain only the terms which are singular at the positions of resonances. The Dirac energies $\varepsilon_{I_1}, \varepsilon_{I_2}, \varepsilon_{F_1}, \varepsilon_{F_2}$ correspond to the positive-energy electron states, accordingly, within the resonance approximation we can omit all terms $\varepsilon_{u_1} < 0$, $\varepsilon_{d_1} < 0$, $\varepsilon_n < 0$, what fixes the signs of the imaginary part of the poles in Eq. (64).

Applying Eq. (65) we can write the following equality

$$
\Delta_{65}(\omega_{u_1} - \omega_{u_1}(1 - i0))^{-1} [\omega_{d_1} - \omega_{d_1}(1 - i0)]^{-1} [\omega_n - \omega_n(1 - i0)]^{-1}
$$

$$
= \Delta_{65}(E_{A_0} + \omega' - \omega_{u_1} - \varepsilon_n)^{-1}[E_{A_0} + \omega - \varepsilon_{d_1} - \varepsilon_n][2\pi i] \delta(E_{A_0} + \omega - \omega_{d_1} - \varepsilon_n)
$$

$$
+ \Delta_{65}R.
$$

The abbreviation $\Delta_{65}R$ for the product between $\Delta_{65}$ as given by Eq. (65) and the quantity $R$ referring exclusively to the terms which are regular at the positions of resonances is employed. These terms are regular, because the imaginary parts of the poles enter with equal signs.

We employ Eq. (70) for the evaluation of Eq. (63). Moreover, applying the resonance approximation in Eq. (63) all the regular terms $R$ in (70) can be omitted and the sum over $u_1, d_1, n$ reduces to the terms only, which satisfy the conditions

$$
\varepsilon_{d_1} + \varepsilon_n = E_f^{(0)} = \varepsilon_{I_1} + \varepsilon_{I_2},
$$

$$
\varepsilon_{u_1} + \varepsilon_n = E_r^{(0)} = \varepsilon_{F_1} + \varepsilon_{F_2}.
$$

Accordingly, for the contribution of the Feynman graph Fig. 11 (a) we can write

$$
S^l = (-2\pi i)\delta(\omega - \omega_0 - \omega')
$$

$$
\times T_{A_{0u_1n}}^+[E_{A_0} + \omega' - \varepsilon_{u_1} - \varepsilon_n]^{-1} e^{-i\epsilon(\mu, \lambda_0)\lambda}\epsilon E_{A_0 + \omega - \varepsilon_{d_1} - \varepsilon_n}^{-1} T_{d_1nA_0}.
$$

Here, we suppose that $u_1, d_1, n$ match with the conditions Eqs. (71), (72), so that the index $d_1$ runs over $I_1, I_2$, index $u_1$ runs over $F_1, F_2$ and index $n$ runs over $I_1, I_2, F_1, F_2$. This yields a nonvanishing contribution only if $I_2 = F_2$ (single excitation).

In the same way the expression for $S$-matrix element corresponding to graph Fig. 11 (b) can be derived

$$
S^r = (-2\pi i)\delta(\omega - \omega_0 - \omega')
$$

$$
\times T_{A_{0u_2n}}^+[E_{A_0} + \omega' - \varepsilon_{u_2} - \varepsilon_n]^{-1} e^{-i\epsilon(\mu, \lambda_0)\lambda}\epsilon E_{A_0 + \omega - \varepsilon_n - \varepsilon_d}^{-1} T_{d_2nA_0},
$$

where the states $u_2, d_2, n$ now satisfy the conditions

$$
\varepsilon_n + \varepsilon_{d_2} = E_f^{(0)},
$$

$$
\varepsilon_n + \varepsilon_{u_2} = E_r^{(0)}.$$
One can verify that the results for the graphs Fig. 11(a) and Fig. 11(b) are equal, i.e.,

\[ S^l = S^r. \]  

(77)

Our goal is to present expressions Eqs. (73), (74) in the form of Eq. (51). In doing so, we consider the graph depicted on Fig. 12. The block \( \Xi \) represents a complicated vertex describing the emission of the photon \( \omega_0 \). This vertex can be written in the form

\[ \Xi(x_{c1}, x_{c2}, x_{s1}, x_{s2}) = \Xi(r_{c1}, r_{c2}, r_{s1}, r_{s2})e^{i\epsilon_{c1}\omega_0}\delta(t_{c2} - t_{c1})\delta(t_{s1} - t_{c1})\delta(t_{s2} - t_{c1}). \]  

(78)

The function \( \Xi \) is a generic but yet unknown function. It can be derived under the requirement that the graph in Fig. 12 yields the same contributions as the graphs in Fig. 11. The S-matrix corresponding to Fig. 12 appears as

\[ S = (-i)^2 \int d^4x_{u1} d^4x_{u2} d^4x_{c1} d^4x_{c2} d^4x_{s1} d^4x_{s2} d^4x_{d1} d^4x_{d2} d\omega_{u1} d\omega_{u2} d\omega_{c1} d\omega_{c2} d\omega_{s1} d\omega_{s2} \]

\[ \times \tilde{\Phi}_{A_0}(r_{u1}, r_{u2})e^{i\epsilon_{u1}(E_{A_0} + \omega')}\delta(t_{u1} - t_{u2}) \]

\[ \times \frac{i}{2\pi} \sum_{u1} \psi_{u1}(r_{u1})\bar{\psi}_{u2}(r_{u2})e^{-i\omega_{u1}(t_{u1} - t_{c1})} \frac{i}{2\pi} \sum_{u2} \psi_{u2}(r_{u2})\bar{\psi}_{u2}(r_{u2})e^{-i\omega_{u2}(t_{u2} - t_{c2})} \]

\[ \times (-i)\Xi(x_{c1}, x_{c2}, x_{s1}, x_{s2}) \]

\[ \times \frac{i}{2\pi} \sum_{d1} \psi_{d1}(r_{s1})\bar{\psi}_{d2}(r_{s2})e^{-i\omega_{d1}(t_{s1} - t_{d1})} \frac{i}{2\pi} \sum_{d2} \psi_{d2}(r_{s2})\bar{\psi}_{d2}(r_{s2})e^{-i\omega_{d2}(t_{s2} - t_{d2})} \]

\[ \times e^{-it_{d1}(E_{A_0} + \omega)}\delta(t_{d1} - t_{d2}) \Phi_{A_0}(r_{d1}, r_{d2}). \]  

(79)

In the lowest order of perturbation theory the equations (73) and (74) follow from Eq. (79) if we set

\[ \Xi(r_{c1}, r_{c2}, r_{s1}, r_{s2}) = 2e\alpha^\mu_{\nu}A^{(k_0, \lambda_0)^+}(r_{c1})\delta(r_{c1} - r_{s1})\delta(r_{c2} - r_{s2}). \]  

(80)

Consider now Eq. (79) with \( \Xi \) given by Eqs. (78) and (80), respectively. After integration over the time variables we receive

\[ S = S^l + S^r = (-i)^3 \left( \frac{i}{2\pi} \right)^4 (2\pi)^4 \int d\omega_{u1} d\omega_{u2} d\omega_{d1} d\omega_{d2} \Delta_{S2} \]

\[ \times T_{A_0}^{u1u2} 2eA^{(k_0, \lambda_0)^+}\delta_{u2d}T_{d1d2}A_0 \]

\[ \times [\omega_{u1} - \epsilon_{u1}(1 - i0)]^{-1} [\omega_{u2} - \epsilon_{u2}(1 - i0)]^{-1} \]

\[ \times [\omega_{d1} - \epsilon_{d1}(1 - i0)]^{-1} [\omega_{d2} - \epsilon_{d2}(1 - i0)]^{-1}, \]  

(81)

where

\[ \Delta_{S2} = \delta(E_{A_0} + \omega - \omega_{u1} - \omega_{u2})\delta(\omega_{u1} + \omega_{u2} + \omega_0 - \omega_{d1} - \omega_{d2}) \]

\[ \times \delta(\omega_{d1} + \omega_{d2} - E_{A_0} - \omega), \]  

(82)

and \( \delta_{u2d2} \) is the Kronecker symbol. The employment of equalities analogous to Eq. (83) yields

\[ \Delta_{S2}[\omega_{u1} - \epsilon_{u1}(1 - i0)]^{-1} [\omega_{u2} - \epsilon_{u2}(1 - i0)]^{-1} [\omega_{d1} - \epsilon_{d1}(1 - i0)]^{-1} [\omega_{d2} - \epsilon_{d2}(1 - i0)]^{-1} \]

\[ = \Delta_{S2}[E_{A_0} + \omega - \epsilon_{u1} - \epsilon_{u2}]^{-1} [E_{A_0} + \omega - \epsilon_{d1} - \epsilon_{d2}]^{-1} \left( \frac{2\pi}{i} \right)^2 \delta(\omega_{u2} - \epsilon_{u2})\delta(\omega_{d2} - \epsilon_{d2}) \]

\[ + \Delta_{S2}^R. \]  

(83)

The term \( \Delta_{S2}^R \) is again understood as in Eq. (70) above as shorthand notation for the regular part. Insertion of Eq. (83) into Eq. (81) leads to the expression

\[ S = (-2\pi i)\delta(\omega - \omega_0 - \omega') \]

\[ \times T_{A_0u1u2}^{d1d2}[E_{A_0} + \omega - \epsilon_{u1} - \epsilon_{u2}]^{-1} \Xi_{u1u2d1d2}[E_{A_0} + \omega - \epsilon_{d1} - \epsilon_{d2}]^{-1} T_{d1d2}A_0, \]  

(84)

where

\[ \Xi_{u1u2d1d2} = 2eA^{(k_0, \lambda_0)^+}\delta_{u2d2}. \]  

(85)
We also suppose that $E_{F}^{(0)} = \varepsilon_{d_1} + \varepsilon_{d_2}$, $E_{F}^{(0)} = \varepsilon_{u_1} + \varepsilon_{u_2}$, otherwise, this term is absent. Eq. (54) together with Eq. (55) gives expression for the amplitude of process Eq. (53). With the use of Eq. (55) one obtains the expression for the transition amplitude. In zeroth order the eigenfunctions $\Phi_I, \Phi_F$ are given by combinations of the Dirac functions in the $j-j$ coupling scheme.

This was the goal of our derivations in this Section: to express the amplitude in the form equivalent to Eq. (54). This presentation of the amplitude will help us to solve the problem of the transition probabilities for the quasidegenerate states. For the solution of this problem we need to present all the expressions in the generic matrix form Eq. (55).

C. Two-electron ion: first order perturbation theory (one-photon exchange)

Now, we go over to the next order corrections to the transition probabilities and consider the one-photon exchange correction. This correction is represented by the graph in Fig. 13(a). The corresponding S-matrix element can be written as

$$ S = \left(-i\right)^2 \int d^4 x_1 d^4 x_2 d^4 u_1 d^4 x_{u_2} d^4 x_{d_2} d^4 x_{d_1} d^4 x_{u_2} d^4 \omega_{u_1} d^4 \omega_{u_2} d^4 \omega_{d_1} d^4 \omega_{d_2} d\Omega d\epsilon$$

$$ \times \bar{\Phi}_{A_0}(r_{u_1}, r_{u_2}) e^{it_{u_1} \left( E_{A_0} + \omega \right)} \delta(t_{u_1} - t_{u_2})$$

$$ \times \frac{i}{2\pi} \sum_{u_1} \frac{\psi_{u_1}(r_{u_1}) \bar{\psi}_{u_1}(r_{c_1})}{\omega_{u_1} - \varepsilon_{u_1} (1 - i0)} e^{-i\omega_{u_1} (t_{u_1} - t_{u_1})} \frac{i}{2\pi} \sum_{u_2} \frac{\psi_{u_2}(r_{u_2}) \bar{\psi}_{u_2}(r_{c_2})}{\omega_{u_2} - \varepsilon_{u_2} (1 - i0)} e^{-i\omega_{u_2} (t_{u_2} - t_{u_2})}$$

$$ \times (-ie)^2 \bar{\gamma}_{\mu_1} A_{\mu_1}^{(\mathbf{0}_{\mu_0}, \mathbf{0}_{\mu_2})} (\mathbf{c}_1) e^{i\omega_{t_1} t_{u_1}} \frac{i}{2\pi} \sum_{n} \frac{\psi_n (r_{c_1}) \bar{\psi}_n (r_{c_1})}{\omega_n - \varepsilon_n (1 - i0)} e^{-i\omega_n (t_{u_1} - t_{u_1})}$$

$$ \times (-ie)^2 \frac{i}{2\pi} \gamma^{\mu_2 \gamma} \mu_2 I_{\mu_2 \mu_3} (\mathbf{c}_1, \mathbf{c}_2) e^{-i\omega (t_{1} - t_{2})}$$

$$ \times \frac{i}{2\pi} \sum_{d_1} \frac{\psi_{d_1}(r_{d_1}) \bar{\psi}_{d_1}(r_{d_1})}{\omega_{d_1} - \varepsilon_{d_1} (1 - i0)} e^{-i\omega_{d_1} (t_{d_1} - t_{d_1})} \frac{i}{2\pi} \sum_{d_2} \frac{\psi_{d_2}(r_{d_2}) \bar{\psi}_{d_2}(r_{d_2})}{\omega_{d_2} - \varepsilon_{d_2} (1 - i0)} e^{-i\omega_{d_2} (t_{d_2} - t_{d_2})}$$

$$ \times e^{-i\epsilon d_1 (E_{A_0} + \omega)} \delta(t_{d_1} - t_{d_2}) \bar{\Phi}_{A_0}(r_{d_1}, r_{d_2}),$$

where $r_{12} = |\mathbf{r}_1 - \mathbf{r}_2|$ and the expressions for $I_{\mu_1 \mu_2} (\mathbf{c}_1, \mathbf{c}_2) \equiv I_{\mu_1 \mu_2}^c (\mathbf{c}_1, \mathbf{c}_2)$ are defined in Coulomb gauge as

$$ I_{\mu_1 \mu_2}^c (\mathbf{c}_1, \mathbf{c}_2) = \frac{\delta_{\mu_1 \mu_2}}{r_{12}},$$

$$ I_{\mu_1 \mu_2}^t (\mathbf{c}_1, \mathbf{c}_2) = - \left( \frac{\delta_{\mu_1 \mu_2}}{r_{12}} e^{i\Omega r_{12}} + \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{1}^{\mu_1}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{2}^{\mu_2}} - \frac{1 - e^{i\Omega r_{12}}}{\Omega^2} \right) \right) (1 - \delta_{\mu_1 0}) (1 - \delta_{\mu_2 0})$$

or in Feynman gauge as

$$ I_{\mu_1 \mu_2}^t (\mathbf{c}_1, \mathbf{c}_2) = \frac{g_{\mu_1 \mu_2}}{r_{12}} e^{i\Omega r_{12}}.$$

We will also employ the following notation for the matrix element

$$ I_{\alpha \beta}^{\mu_1 \mu_2} (\mathbf{c}_1, \mathbf{c}_2) = \int d^3 \mathbf{r}_1 d^3 \mathbf{r}_2 d^3 \mathbf{r}_1 \bar{\psi}_{\alpha'} (\mathbf{r}_1) \bar{\psi}_{\beta'} (\mathbf{r}_2) \gamma^{\mu_1 \gamma} \mu_2 I_{\mu_1 \mu_2} (\mathbf{c}_1, \mathbf{c}_2) \psi_{\alpha} (\mathbf{r}_1) \psi_{\beta} (\mathbf{r}_2).$$

In Eq. (55) again the additional electron propagator (sum over $n$) is artificially introduced with the same purpose as in the previous Subsection.

Integration over the time variables in Eq. (56) yields

$$ S = \left(-i\right)^5 \left( \frac{i}{2\pi} \right)^6 \int d^3 \mathbf{r}_1 d^3 \mathbf{r}_2 d^3 \mathbf{r}_1 d^3 \mathbf{r}_2 d^3 \mathbf{r}_1 d^3 \mathbf{r}_2 d^3 \mathbf{r}_1 d^3 \mathbf{r}_2 d^3 \mathbf{r}_1 d^3 \mathbf{r}_2 d^3 \omega_{u_1} d^3 \omega_{u_2} d^3 \omega_{d_1} d^3 \omega_{d_2} d\Omega d\epsilon$$

$$ \times \bar{\Phi}_{A_0}(r_{u_1}, r_{u_2}) \Delta_{12}$$
where

\[ \Delta_{92} = \delta(E_{A_0} + \omega' - \omega_{u_1} - \omega_{u_2}) \delta(\omega_{u_1} + \omega_0 - \omega_n) \delta(\omega_n - \Omega - \omega_d) \times \delta(\omega_{u_2} + \Omega - \omega_d) \delta(-E_{A_0} - \omega + \omega_{d_1} + \omega_{d_2}). \] 

Accordingly, in Eq. 91, we can set

\[ \omega_{u_1} = E_{A_0} + \omega' - \omega_{u_2}, \]
\[ \omega_{d_1} = E_{A_0} + \omega - \omega_{d_2}, \]
\[ \omega_n = E_{A_0} + \omega - \omega_{u_2}. \]

Investigating the position of resonances near

\[ \omega' = -E_{A_0} + E_j^{(0)}, \]
\[ \omega = -E_{A_0} + E_j^{(0)}, \]

one can separate out those terms in Eq. 91 that become singular near these resonances with the aid of the following sequence of equations (compare with Eq. 63)

\[ \Delta_{92}[\omega_{u_1} - \omega_{u_1}(1 - i0)]^{-1}[\omega_{u_2} - \omega_{u_2}(1 - i0)]^{-1}[\omega_n - \omega_n(1 - i0)]^{-1} \times [\omega_{d_1} - \omega_{d_1}(1 - i0)]^{-1}[\omega_{d_2} - \omega_{d_2}(1 - i0)]^{-1} \]

\[ = \Delta_{92}[E_{A_0} + \omega' - \epsilon_{u_1} - \epsilon_{u_2}]^{-1} \frac{2\pi i}{\hbar} \delta(\omega_{u_2} - \epsilon_{u_2})[E_{A_0} + \omega - \epsilon_n - \epsilon_{u_2}]^{-1} \times \left\{ \frac{2\pi i}{\hbar} \delta(\omega_{d_2} - \epsilon_{d_2})[E_{A_0} + \omega - \epsilon_{d_1} - \epsilon_{d_2}]^{-1} \right\} \]

\[ - [E_{A_0} + \omega - \omega_{d_2} - \epsilon_{d_1}(1 - i0)]^{-1}[\omega_{d_2} + \epsilon_{d_2} + i0\epsilon_{d_2}]^{-1} \}

\[ \Delta_{92} R. \]

Here \( R \) represents the terms which are regular in the vicinity of the resonances given by Eqs. 90 and 97. The first term in the curly brackets possesses a singularity (at the resonance Eq. 97) either in the case of \( \epsilon_{d_1} + \epsilon_{d_2} = E_j^{(0)} \) or in the case of \( \epsilon_n + \epsilon_{u_2} = E_j^{(0)} \). The second term becomes singular only in the latter case.

Introducing the variable

\[ x = \omega_{d_2} - \epsilon_{u_2} \]

we rewrite the S-matrix element \( S^{id} \) corresponding to the Feynman graph Fig. 13 (a) in the form

\[ S^{id} = (-2\pi i) \delta(\omega' + \omega_0 - \omega) \times T^{+}_{A_{u_1}u_2}[E_{A_0} + \omega' - \epsilon_{u_1} - \epsilon_{u_2}]^{-1} \sum_n e^{i(k_0,\lambda_0)x_n} \times \left\{ \frac{2\pi i}{\hbar} \int dx \left[I_{nu_2d_1d_2}(|\epsilon_{d_2} - \epsilon_{u_2}|)[E_{A_0} + \omega - \epsilon_n - \epsilon_{u_2}]^{-1}[E_{A_0} + \omega - \epsilon_{d_1} - \epsilon_{d_2}]^{-1} \times \left[ x - E_{A_0} - \omega + \epsilon_{d_1} + \epsilon_{u_2} - i0\epsilon_{d_1} \right]^{-1}[E_{A_0} + \omega - \epsilon_n - \epsilon_{u_2}]^{-1} \right] \times T_{d_1d_2A_0}. \]
corresponding to the initial state and, accordingly, in a correction to the eigenvector of the initial state \( \Psi_s \). Summation of the geometric progression results in a shift of the position of the resonance corresponding to the initial state and, accordingly, in a correction to the eigenvector of the initial state \( \Psi_I \) (see Eq. 35). As this term is taken into account while we generate the geometric progression, it does not contribute to the vertex operator and we can omit it here.

Proceeding in a similar way for the evaluation of the S-matrix element \( S^{\text{rd}} \), corresponding to the Feynman graph Fig. 13(b), we get

\[
S^{\text{rd}} = (-2\pi i)\delta(\omega' + \omega_0 - \omega) \\
\times T_{A_0u_1u_2}^+ \left[ E_{A_0} + \omega' - \varepsilon_{u_1} - \varepsilon_{u_2} \right]^{-1} \sum_n eA^{(k_0,\lambda_0)*}_{u_2n} \\
\times \left\{ e^2 \int I_{u_1n_{1d_{1d}}} \left( |\varepsilon_{u_1} - \varepsilon_{d_1}| \right) [E_{A_0} + \omega - \varepsilon_{u_1} - \varepsilon_{n}]^{-1} [E_{A_0} + \omega - \varepsilon_{d_1} - \varepsilon_{d_2}]^{-1} \\
- e^2 \frac{i}{2\pi} \int dx I_{u_1n_{1d_{1d}}} (|x|) [x - \varepsilon_{d_1} + \varepsilon_{u_1} - i0\varepsilon_{d_1}]^{-1} \\
- \left[ x - E_{A_0} - \omega + \varepsilon_{d_2} + \varepsilon_{u_1} - i0\varepsilon_{d_1} \right]^{-1} [E_{A_0} + \omega - \varepsilon_{u_1} - \varepsilon_{n}]^{-1} \right\} \\
\times T_{d_1d_2A_0}.
\]

Note, that the graphs in Fig. 13(a) and Fig. 13(b) give equal contributions (for \( \varepsilon_{d_1} + \varepsilon_{d_2} = E_I^{(0)} \) and \( \varepsilon_{u_1} + \varepsilon_{n} = E_I^{(0)} \), respectively). Accordingly, the equality \( S^{\text{id}} = S^{\text{rd}} \) holds. The vertexes corresponding to the graphs Fig. 13(a) and (b) look like

\[
\Xi_{u_1u_2d_1d_2}^{(1)\text{id}} = \sum_n eA^{(k_0,\lambda_0)*}_{u_2n} \delta_{\varepsilon_n+\varepsilon_{u_2},E_I^{(0)}} \\
\left\{ -e^2 \frac{i}{2\pi} \int dx I_{u_2n_{1d_{1d}}} (|x|) [x - \varepsilon_{d_2} + \varepsilon_{u_2} - i0\varepsilon_{d_2}]^{-1} \\
\times \left[ x - E_{A_0} - \omega + \varepsilon_{d_1} + \varepsilon_{u_1} - i0\varepsilon_{d_1} \right]^{-1} \right\}
\]

\[
\Xi_{u_1u_2d_1d_2}^{(1)\text{rd}} = \sum_n eA^{(k_0,\lambda_0)*}_{u_2n} \delta_{\varepsilon_n+\varepsilon_{u_1},E_I^{(0)}} \\
\left\{ -e^2 \frac{i}{2\pi} \int dx I_{u_2n_{1d_{1d}}} (|x|) [x - \varepsilon_{d_1} + \varepsilon_{u_1} - i0\varepsilon_{d_1}]^{-1} \\
\times \left[ x - E_{A_0} - \omega + \varepsilon_{d_2} + \varepsilon_{u_1} - i0\varepsilon_{d_2} \right]^{-1} \right\}
\]

where \( \Xi^{(0)} \) is given by Eq. 35. Here we have introduced matrices \( K^{(1)\text{id}} \) and \( K^{(1)\text{rd}} \) for abbreviation of the matrix elements in the square brackets. Note, that if the initial state is well isolated the terms which do not match the condition \( \varepsilon_{d_1} + \varepsilon_{d_2} = E_I^{(0)} \) are smaller by one order of perturbation theory and can be omitted. Accordingly, the matrices \( K \) become diagonal.

As it was done for the zeroth-order corrections, the expressions (100) and (101) should be cast into the form Eq. 35. For this purpose consider the graph Fig. 14(a). The complicated vertex \( \Xi \) has been already composed in the zeroth order (Eq. 35). Our goal is now to evaluate the interelectron interaction corrections to the vertex \( \Xi \). For that we will investigate the modification of a generic complicated vertex \( \Xi^{\text{gen}} \) after taking into account the interelectron interaction (Fig. 14(a)). The S-matrix element corresponding to Fig. 14(a) in the first order of perturbation theory in the interelectron interaction looks like

\[
S = (-i)^2 \int d^4x_1 d^4x_2 d^4x_3 d^4x_4 d^4x_5 d^4x_6 d^4x_7 d^4x_8 d^4x_9 d^4x_{10} d^4x_{11} d^4x_{12} \\
\times d\omega_{u_1} d\omega_{u_2} d\omega_{s_1} d\omega_{s_2} d\omega_{d_1} d\omega_{d_2} d\Omega \hat{A}_0 (r_{u_1}, r_{u_2}) e^{i(t_{u_1} + t_{u_2})} \delta(t_{u_1} - t_{u_2})
\]
\[ S = (-i)^5 \left( \frac{i}{2\pi} \right)^7 (2\pi)^5 \]

\[ \times \int d^3r_1 d^3r_2 d^3r_3 d^3r_4 d^3r_5 d^3r_6 d^3r_7 d^3r_8 d^3r_9 d^3r_{10} d\omega_1 d\omega_2 d\omega_3 d\omega_4 d\omega_5 d\omega_6 d\omega_7 d\omega_8 d\omega_9 d\omega_{10} d\Omega \]

\[ \times \Phi_{\Lambda_0}(r_{10}, r_{11}) \Delta_{10S} \]

\[ \times \sum_{u_1} \frac{\psi_{u_1}(r_{u_1}) \bar{\psi}_{u_1}(r_{c_1})}{\omega_{u_1} - \varepsilon_{u_1}(1 - i0)} \sum_{u_2} \frac{\psi_{u_2}(r_{u_2}) \bar{\psi}_{u_2}(r_{c_2})}{\omega_{u_2} - \varepsilon_{u_2}(1 - i0)} \bar{\Sigma}_{\text{ren}}(r_{c_1}, r_{c_2}, r_{s_1}, r_{s_2}) \]

\[ \times \sum_{s_1} \frac{\psi_{s_1}(r_{s_1}) \bar{\psi}_{s_1}(r_{s_1})}{\omega_{s_1} - \varepsilon_{s_1}(1 - i0)} \sum_{s_2} \frac{\psi_{s_2}(r_{s_2}) \bar{\psi}_{s_2}(r_{s_2})}{\omega_{s_2} - \varepsilon_{s_2}(1 - i0)} \bar{\Sigma}_{\text{ren}}(r_{c_1}, r_{c_2}, r_{s_1}, r_{s_2}) \]

\[ \times \sum_{d_1} \frac{\psi_{d_1}(r_{d_1}) \bar{\psi}_{d_1}(r_{d_1})}{\omega_{d_1} - \varepsilon_{d_1}(1 - i0)} \sum_{d_2} \frac{\psi_{d_2}(r_{d_2}) \bar{\psi}_{d_2}(r_{d_2})}{\omega_{d_2} - \varepsilon_{d_2}(1 - i0)} \Phi_{\Lambda_0}(r_{d_1}, r_{d_2}) \]

Integration over the time variables yields

\[ S = (-i)^5 \left( \frac{i}{2\pi} \right)^7 (2\pi)^5 \]
\[
\times T_{A_0u_1u_2}^+[E_{A_0} + \omega' - \varepsilon_{u_1} - \varepsilon_{u_2}]^{-1} \Xi_{u_1u_2s_1s_2}^{\text{gen}} \\
\times \left\{ e^{2} I_{s_1s_2d_1d_2} \left( |\varepsilon_{s_1} - \varepsilon_{d_1}| \right) [E_{A_0} + \omega - \varepsilon_{s_1} - \varepsilon_{s_2}]^{-1} [E_{A_0} + \omega - \varepsilon_{d_1} - \varepsilon_{d_2}]^{-1} \\
+ \left( 1 \right)_{s_1s_2d_1d_2} [E_{A_0} + \omega - \varepsilon_{d_1} - \varepsilon_{d_2}]^{-1} \\
- e^{2} \frac{i}{2 \pi} \int dx I_{s_1s_2d_1d_2} |x - \varepsilon_{d_1} + \varepsilon_{s_1} - i 0 \varepsilon_{d_1}]^{-1} \\
\times \left[ x - E_{A_0} - \omega + \varepsilon_{d_2} + \varepsilon_{s_1} - i 0 \varepsilon_{d_2} \right]^{-1} [E_{A_0} + \omega - \varepsilon_{s_1} - \varepsilon_{s_2}]^{-1} \\
- e^{2} \frac{i}{2 \pi} \int dx I_{s_1s_2d_1d_2} |x - \varepsilon_{d_1} + \varepsilon_{s_1} + i 0 \varepsilon_{s_1}]^{-1} \\
\times \left[ x - \varepsilon_{d_1} + E_{A_0} + \omega - \varepsilon_{s_2} + i 0 \varepsilon_{s_2} \right]^{-1} [E_{A_0} + \omega - \varepsilon_{d_1} - \varepsilon_{d_2}]^{-1} \right\} T_{d_1d_2A_0}. \tag{110}
\]

The first term in the curly brackets has singularities at
\[
\omega' = -E_{A_0} + \varepsilon_{u_1} + \varepsilon_{u_2}, \tag{111}
\]
\[
\omega = -E_{A_0} + \varepsilon_{s_1} + \varepsilon_{s_2}, \tag{112}
\]
\[
\omega = -E_{A_0} + \varepsilon_{d_1} + \varepsilon_{d_2}. \tag{113}
\]

It can be considered as the first term of the geometric progression corresponding to the initial state. This progression can be summed up (see [17]). After this the position of the resonance corresponding to the initial state will include the interelectron interaction correction (one-photon exchange).

The first term in the square brackets \(\left( \left( 1 \right)_{s_1s_2d_1d_2} = \delta_{s_1d_1} \delta_{s_2d_2} \right)\) represents the contribution of the graph Fig. 12. The terms in the square brackets have singularities given by Eqs. (111) and by either (112) or (113). The last two terms represent the interelectron interaction correction to the generic vertex \(\Xi^{\text{gen}}\). The whole term in the square brackets corresponds both to the vertex \(\Xi^{\text{gen}}\) and to the vertex \(T_{d_1d_2A_0}\), which represents the process of excitation of the ground state by the photon \(\omega\) with transition to the excited state \((I)\). Accordingly, the contribution of this term to the vertex \(\Xi^{\text{gen}}\) appears with the power of \(1/2\).

Suppose that the initial state is isolated, i.e., the admixture of the other states has a magnitude of the next order of the perturbation theory. Then, we can set
\[
\varepsilon_{s_1} + \varepsilon_{s_2} = \varepsilon_{d_1} + \varepsilon_{d_2} = E_{I}^{(0)} \tag{114}
\]
and omit the summation over \(s_1, s_2\) in the square brackets in Eq. (110). Accordingly, the vertex \(\Xi^{\text{cd}}\) with the interelectron interaction correction given by the graph Fig. 14(a) will look like

\[
\Xi^{\text{cd}}_{u_1u_2s_1s_2} = \Xi_{u_1u_2s_1s_2}^{\text{gen}} \left[ \left( 1 \right)_{s_1s_2d_1d_2} \\
- e^{2} \frac{i}{2 \pi} \int dx I_{s_1s_2d_1d_2} |x - \varepsilon_{d_1} + \varepsilon_{s_1} - i 0 \varepsilon_{d_1}]^{-1} \\
\times \left[ x - E_{A_0} - \omega + \varepsilon_{d_2} + \varepsilon_{s_1} - i 0 \varepsilon_{d_2} \right]^{-1} \\
- e^{2} \frac{i}{2 \pi} \int dx I_{s_1s_2d_1d_2} |x - \varepsilon_{d_1} + \varepsilon_{s_1} + i 0 \varepsilon_{s_1}]^{-1} \\
\times \left[ x - \varepsilon_{d_1} + E_{A_0} + \omega - \varepsilon_{s_2} + i 0 \varepsilon_{s_2} \right]^{-1} \right]^{1/2} \tag{115}
\]

The last equality defines the matrix \(K^{(1)\text{cd}}\). Note, that the correction factor appears under the square root. The term in square brackets in Eq. (110) can be equally referred to the vertex \(\Xi^{\text{gen}}\) and to the vertex \(T_{d_1d_2A_0}\). Accordingly, the part of this term connected with \(\Xi^{\text{gen}}\), thus contributing to \(\Xi^{\text{cd}}\), is the square root of this term.
The vertex $\Xi^{cd}$ should be equal to the sum of the contributions of Eqs. (119), (120), (121)
\[ \Xi^{cd} = \Xi^{(0)} \left( 1 + K^{(1)ld} + K^{(1)rd} \right). \]
Accordingly, we derive ($\Xi^d = \Xi^{\text{gen}}$)
\[ \Xi^d = \Xi^{(0)} \left( 1 + K^{(1)ld} + K^{(1)rd} \right) \left( 1 + K^{(1)cd} \right)^{-1/2}. \]
Employing an expansion
\[ (1 + x)^{-1/2} = 1 - \frac{1}{2} x + O(x^2) \]
and neglecting the higher order terms we can write
\[ \Xi^d = \Xi^{(0)} \left( 1 + K^{(1)ld} + K^{(1)rd} - \frac{1}{2} K^{(1)cd} \right) + c O(a^2). \]
Since we are interested only in the corrections of the zeroth and of the first order we can set
\[ \omega = -E_0 u_0 + E_I. \]
The first order correction to the $\Xi$ arise in the case of the reference state, i.e., when some of the following conditions are fulfilled
\[ \varepsilon_n + \varepsilon_{u_2} = \varepsilon_d_1 + \varepsilon_d_2, \]
\[ \varepsilon_{u_1} + \varepsilon_n = \varepsilon_d_1 + \varepsilon_d_2, \]
\[ \varepsilon_{s_1} + \varepsilon_{s_2} = \varepsilon_d_1 + \varepsilon_d_2. \]
It is possible to describe the contributions of graphs Fig. 13(a),(b) as twice the contribution of the graph Fig. 13(a). Accordingly, the reference states are defined by Eqs. (119) and (121), respectively.
Thus, we can write
\[ K^{(1)ld} + K^{(1)rd} - \frac{1}{2} K^{(1)cd} = 2K^{(1)ld} - \frac{1}{2} K^{(1)cd} \]
\[ = -2e^2 \frac{i}{2\pi} \int dx I_{nu_2 d_1 d_2}(|x|)[x - \varepsilon_{d_2} + \varepsilon_{u_2} - i0\varepsilon_{d_2}]^{-2} \]
\[ - \left\{ -e^2 \frac{i}{2\pi} \int dx I_{s_1 s_2 d_1 d_2}(|x|)[x - \varepsilon_{d_1} + \varepsilon_{s_1} - i0\varepsilon_{d_1}]^{-2} \right. \]
\[ - \left. e^2 \frac{i}{2\pi} \int dx I_{s_1 s_2 d_1 d_2}(|x|)[x - \varepsilon_{d_1} + \varepsilon_{s_1} + i0\varepsilon_{s_1}]^{-2} \right\}. \]
This expression can be simplified with the aid of the following identities
\[ 2I_{nu_2 d_1 d_2}(|x|)[x - \varepsilon_{d_2} + \varepsilon_{u_2} - i0]^{-2} \]
\[ -I_{s_1 s_2 d_1 d_2}(|x|) \left\{ [x - \varepsilon_{d_2} + \varepsilon_{u_2} - i0]^{-2} + [x - \varepsilon_{d_2} + \varepsilon_{u_2} + i0]^{-2} \right\} \]
\[ = I_{nu_2 d_1 d_2}(|x|) \left\{ [x - \varepsilon_{d_2} + \varepsilon_{u_2} - i0]^{-2} - [x - \varepsilon_{d_2} + \varepsilon_{u_2} + i0]^{-2} \right\} \]
\[ = \left( \frac{2\pi}{i} \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial x} I_{nu_2 d_1 d_2}(|x|) \delta(x - \varepsilon_{d_2} + \varepsilon_{u_2}). \]
Here the formula
\[ \frac{1}{(x + i0)^2} - \frac{1}{(x - i0)^2} = -\frac{2\pi}{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \delta(x) \]
was utilized.
The same procedure should be applied to Fig. 13(c),(d) and Fig. 14(b), where the one-photon exchange is inserted above the emission of the photon $\omega_0$. 
Finally, we can write the following expression for the vertex Ξ

\[ Ξ = Ξ^{(0)} + Ξ^{(1)} + ε O(α^2) , \]  

(125)

where

\[ Ξ^{(0)}_{u_1 u_2 d_1 d_2} = 2ε A^{(k_0, λ_0)*}_{u_1 d_1} δ_{u_2 d_2} , \]  

(126)

\[ Ξ^{(1)}_{u_1 u_2 d_1 d_2} = \sum_{ε_n + ε_{u_2} = ε_{d_1} + ε_{d_2}} e^3 A^{(k_0, λ_0)*}_{u_1 n} \frac{∂}{∂x} I_{u_2 d_1, d_2} (|x|) \bigg|_{x = ε_{u_2} - ε_{d_2}} + \sum_{ε_n + ε_{d_2} = ε_{u_1} + ε_{u_2}} e^3 \frac{∂}{∂x} I_{u_1 u_2 n d_2} (|x|) \bigg|_{x = ε_{d_2} - ε_{u_2}} A^{(k_0, λ_0)*}_{n d_1} . \]  

(127)

Eq. (127) represents the reducible part of the first-order corrections, i.e., the reference states contribution.

Having constructed a general expression for the vertex Ξ we can apply the formulas derived for the generic graphs Figs. 14 and 12 for evaluating the contributions of the graphs Figs. 11 and 13. Now we can express these contributions via the matrix Ξ, what enables us to extend the calculations to the quasidegenerate levels. To derive the formula for the amplitude Eq. (35), we will have to consider separately the case of nondegenerate levels and the case of quasidegenerate levels, respectively.

IV. EVALUATION OF TRANSITION PROBABILITIES

Evaluating the transition probabilities we should distinguish nondegenerate and quasidegenerate levels. For the nondegenerate levels standard QED perturbation theory can be applied. Configurations are called quasidegenerate, if they can not be considered as being well isolated. For these configurations the interelectron interaction must be taken into account up to higher orders. Accordingly, this requires to develop a special technique.

In the next two subsections we derive expression for the amplitude of the scattering process for nondegenerate and quasidegenerate levels, respectively. In the last subsection we write down the final expressions for transition probabilities suitable for numerical calculations.

A. Nondegenerate levels

Here, we will suppose that the initial and the final states are well isolated. The set of graphs in Figs. 11 and 13 should be divided into two subsets: reducible (containing the reference states) and irreducible. For the zero-order and the reducible subset of diagrams formulas Eqs. 33, 125 can be applied, where the functions Φ_I, Φ_F are given by a combination of the two electron determinants in j–j coupling scheme. For irreducible subsets of diagrams we can apply a procedure described below.

Consider the first terms in the curly brackets in Eqs. 100 and 101

\[ S^{ld} = (-2πi) δ(ω' + ω_0 - ω) T_{A_0 u_1 u_2}^+ [E_{A_0} + ω' - ε_{u_1} - ε_{u_2}]^{-1} \]

\[ \times \left\{ (-1)e^3 \sum_{n} A^{(k_0, λ_0)*}_{u_1 n} [E_{A_0} + ω - ε_{u_2} - ε_{n}]^{-1} I_{n u_2 d_1 d_2} (|ε_{d_2} - ε_{u_2}|) \right\} \]

\[ \times [E_{A_0} + ω - ε_{d_1} - ε_{d_2}]^{-1} T_{d_1 d_2 A_0} , \]  

(128)

\[ S^{rd} = (-2πi) δ(ω' + ω_0 - ω) T_{A_0 u_1 u_2}^+ [E_{A_0} + ω' - ε_{u_1} - ε_{u_2}]^{-1} \]

\[ \times \left\{ (-1)e^3 \sum_{n} A^{(k_0, λ_0)*}_{u_1 n} [E_{A_0} + ω - ε_{u_1} - ε_{n}]^{-1} I_{n u_1 d_1 d_2} (|ε_{u_1} - ε_{d_1}|) \right\} \]

\[ \times [E_{A_0} + ω - ε_{d_1} - ε_{d_2}]^{-1} T_{d_1 d_2 A_0} . \]  

(129)

The prime at the sum symbol indicates that in Eq. 100 terms for which ε_{d_1} + ε_{d_2} - ε_{n} - ε_{u_2} = 0 (and in Eq. 101 terms, where ε_{d_1} + ε_{d_2} - ε_{u_1} - ε_{n} = 0) holds are omitted. Since the levels I, F are well isolated the expressions in the
curly brackets in \[125, 129\] can be viewed as corrections to the vertex \(\Xi\); one can also set \(\omega = -E_{A0} + E_{I}^{(0)}\) in the vertex. Thus, we have to take into account the following corrections

\[
\Xi^{(1)d} = (-1)e^3 \sum_{\nu_1=1}^{N_o} A^{(k_0,\lambda_0)^*}[\varepsilon_{d_1} + \varepsilon_{d_2} - \varepsilon_{u_2} - \varepsilon_{n} - \varepsilon_{u_1} - \varepsilon_{n}]^{-1} I_{n u_2 d_1 d_2} (|\varepsilon_{d_1} - \varepsilon_{u_2}|)
\]

\[
+ (-1)e^3 \sum_{\nu_1=1}^{N_o} A^{(k_0,\lambda_0)^*}[\varepsilon_{d_1} + \varepsilon_{d_2} - \varepsilon_{u_1} - \varepsilon_{n}]^{-1} I_{u_1 u_2 d_1 d_2} (|\varepsilon_{u_1} - \varepsilon_{d_1}|) \tag{130}
\]

(this is the contribution of the graphs Fig. 13(a),(b)) and

\[
\Xi^{(1)u} = (-1)e^3 \sum_{\nu_1=1}^{N_o} I_{u_1 u_2 d_2} (|\varepsilon_{d_2} - \varepsilon_{u_2}|) [\varepsilon_{u_1} + \varepsilon_{u_2} - \varepsilon_{d_2} - \varepsilon_{n}]^{-1} A_{d_1 n}^{(k_0,\lambda_0)^*}
\]

\[
+ (-1)e^3 \sum_{\nu_1=1}^{N_o} I_{u_1 u_2 d_2} (|\varepsilon_{d_2} - \varepsilon_{u_2}|) [\varepsilon_{u_1} + \varepsilon_{u_2} - \varepsilon_{d_2} - \varepsilon_{n}]^{-1} A_{d_2 n}^{(k_0,\lambda_0)^*} \tag{131}
\]

(this is the contribution of the graphs in Fig. 13(c),(d)). Accordingly, for nondegenerate levels the amplitude Eq. (35) is given by the matrix element of

\[
\Xi = \Xi^{(0)} + \Xi^{(1)} + \Xi^{(1)d} + \Xi^{(1)u} \tag{132}
\]

evaluated with the aid of the zeroth-order wave functions corresponding to the states \(I\) and \(F\), i.e., by means of two-electron determinants in the \(j-j\) coupling scheme.

### B. Quasidegenerate levels

In the previous section we introduced the vertex \(\Xi\) via the expression Eq. (35). In order to derive the amplitude as defined in Eq. (35) the wave functions \(\Phi_J\), \(\Phi_F\) have to be constructed. These functions are eigenvectors of the matrix \(V\) which was investigated in \[47\]. Diagonalization of the matrix \(V\) is a serious task, because it has infinite dimension. One possible solution of this problem is the substitution by a large but finite matrix. Another strategy is the modification of a perturbation theory. Here we will concentrate on the development of a proper perturbation theory.

The perturbation theory for the case of a nondegenerate level (as well as for the case of the fully degenerate levels) is well known \[65\]. Here we will apply it to the case of the quasidegenerate levels. Considering \(N\) two-electron states \(\{\Psi\}\) defined in the \(j-j\) coupling scheme we assume that these states are mixing with each other, i.e., they have the same symmetry and the corresponding energy levels are close to each other. Under such condition the standard perturbation theory may not work and we have to modify it. These \(N\) states compose a set \(g = \{\Psi_{i_g}, i_g = 1, \ldots, N\}\). The idea is now to build an eigenvector \(\Phi_{n_g}\) corresponding to a state \(\Psi_{n_g} \in g\). We also suppose, that all the other states (beyond the set \(g\)) are either nonmixing with the state \(n_g\) or their energy levels are far enough from the level \(n_g\), i.e., that the set \(g\) is large enough to incorporate all the closely lying levels. Then perturbation theory will again work. Otherwise the set \(g\) has to be enlarged. Similar, but not equivalent schemes were considered earlier in the frames of RMBPT \[66\]. Here, we apply it for the first time in QED.

It is convenient to write the matrix \(V\) in a block form

\[
V = \begin{bmatrix} V_{11} & V_{12} \\ V_{21} & V_{22} \end{bmatrix}, \tag{133}
\]

where the block \(V_{11}\) is constructed entirely on the states from the set \(g\) and the block \(V_{22}\) does not contain states from the set \(g\). The matrix \(V\) can be decomposed as

\[
V = V^{(0)} + \Delta V, \tag{134}
\]

where \(V^{(0)}\) is a diagonal matrix (a sum of the Dirac energies). The matrix \(\Delta V\) contains the small parameter \(\alpha\) (the expansion parameter of the QED perturbation theory) and can be treated as a perturbation. In what follows we restrict ourselves to the interelectron interaction corrections. In the lowest order these corrections reduce to the one photon exchange correction

\[
\Delta V = \sum_{g=e,c,t} I_g^b(|b-b'|)_{ab'ab}, \tag{135}
\]
We can write the matrix $V$ as
\[
V = \begin{bmatrix} V_{11} & V_{12} \\ V_{21} & V_{22} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} V_{11}^{(0)} + \Delta V_{11} & \Delta V_{12} \\ \Delta V_{21} & V_{22}^{(0)} + \Delta V_{22} \end{bmatrix},
\]
(136)

The block matrix $V_{11}$ is finite and can be diagonalized numerically according to
\[
V_{11}^{\text{diag}} = B^+ V_{11} B.
\]
(137)

Since in general $V$ is a complex valued symmetrical matrix, \textit{i.e.}, $V_{ij} = V_{ji}$ the matrix $B$ is a complex orthogonal matrix
\[
B^t B = I.
\]
(138)

Here $I$ is a unit matrix ($I_{ij} = \delta_{ij}$) of the proper dimension. The superscript $t$ in Eq. 138 means transposition.

Compose a matrix
\[
A = \begin{bmatrix} B & 0 \\ 0 & I \end{bmatrix}
\]
(139)

which is also an orthogonal matrix
\[
A^t A = I.
\]
(140)

Acting by the matrix $A$ on $V$ yields
\[
\tilde{V} = A^t V A = \begin{bmatrix} V_{11}^{\text{diag}} & B^t \Delta V_{12} \\ \Delta V_{21} B & V_{22} \end{bmatrix}.
\]
(141)

Since we have supposed that the required state $n_g$ is weakly mixing with the states not included in the set $g$, the matrix $\tilde{V}$ can be diagonalized with the standard procedure \[65\] \textit{i.e.}
\[
\tilde{V}_{\text{diag}} = \hat{C}^t \tilde{V} \hat{C},
\]
(142)

where the matrix $\hat{C}$ can be built order by order. The zeroth and the first orders of the matrix $\hat{C}$ look like
\[
\hat{C}_{ij} = \hat{C}_{ij}^{(0)} + \hat{C}_{ij}^{(1)} = I_{ij} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 & (\Delta V_{g,B})_{ij} \\ (\Delta V_{g,B})_{ji} & 0 \end{bmatrix},
\]
(143)

The diagonalized matrices $V$ and $\tilde{V}$ coincide, so we can write
\[
V^{\text{diag}} = \tilde{V}^{\text{diag}} = (A \hat{C})^t V (A \hat{C}).
\]
(144)

Accordingly, an eigenvector $\Phi$ corresponding to a basic function $\Psi$ can be defined as
\[
\Phi = A \hat{C} \Psi.
\]
(145)

Now we represent the state $n_g \in g$ in terms of a perturbation expansion
\[
\Phi_{n_g} = A \hat{C} \Psi_{n_g} = \sum_{k_g \in g} B_{k_g n_g} (\Psi_{k_g}^{(0)}) + \sum_{i \in g} (\Delta V_{21})_{i} \sum_{g} \frac{B_{i g n_g}}{E_{n_g}^{(0)} - E_{i}^{(0)}} \Psi_{k_g}^{(0)}.
\]
(146)

An expression for $\Delta V_{21}$ is given by Eq. (135). Summation over index $k$ means the summation over all two-electron configurations ($j$-$j$ coupling scheme) including the negative part of the Dirac spectrum (not included in the set $g$). The employment of the $j$-$j$ coupling scheme is not obligatory here.

In case when the investigated state $n_g$ is well isolated nondegenerate level and the set $g$ consists only of this single state, \textit{i.e.}, $g = \{\Psi_{n_g}\}$ the matrix $B$ is just a one-dimensional unit matrix. It is easy to ensure that formula (140) together with Eq. (120) gives the same result as Eq. (132) (taking into account only the zeroth- and first-order corrections).

We again would like to point out the QED effects that are now taken into account in the framework of the LPA, and which are missing in the Relativistic Many Body Perturbation Theory (RMBPT) \[50\]: The first is the inclusion of the retardation (see Eq. (135)), the second is the account of the negative part of the Dirac spectrum (summation over $k$ in Eq. (146) and over $n$ in Eqs. (130), (131)) and the third is the incorporation of a nonzero contribution of $\Sigma^{(1)}$ in Eq. (120) (reference state contribution).

The amplitude $\langle U \rangle$ of the scattering process for quasidegenerate levels is given by Eq. (35) where the eigenvectors are defined by Eq. (146) and the vertex operator is given by Eqs. (126), (127).
C. Transition probability

Based on the scattering amplitude $U$ of the process $I \to F$ with emission of the photon $\omega$ the transition probability between $I$ and $F$ states is given by formula

$$W = \sum_{\lambda} \int \frac{d^3 k}{(2\pi)^3} (2\pi)|U|^2 \delta(E_F + \omega - E_I) = \frac{\omega^2}{(2\pi)^2} \sum_{\lambda} \int d\nu |U|^2,$$

(147)

where $\nu = k/|k|$, $E_I$, $E_F$ are the energies of the initial and final states, respectively. These energies comprise the Dirac energies and the one-photon exchange corrections. For quasidegenerate levels they are given by the corresponding eigenvalues of the matrix $V$ (Eq. (134)). The photon frequency $\omega = |k|$ should be set equal to $\omega = E_I - E_F$. Eq. (147) defines the full transition probability, i.e., integration over all momenta of the photon ($k$) and summation over all polarizations of the photon ($\lambda$) is performed.

The integration over $k$ and summation over $\lambda$ are taken analytically. In Eq. (147) only the photon wave functions depend on $\nu$ and $\lambda$. Accordingly, in a very general way we can consider the case when

$$U^{(0)}_{\nu_{1 n_2}} = A^{(\nu,\lambda)}_{\nu_{1 n_2}} = \int d^3 r \bar{\psi}_{\nu_{1}}(r) \gamma^\mu A^{(\nu,\lambda)}_{\mu} \psi_{\nu_{2}}(r),$$

(148)

(149)

where $A^{(\nu,\lambda)}_{\nu_{1 n_2}}$ is given by Eqs. (63), (67). The corresponding expression for the transition probability can be written as

$$W^{(0)} = \frac{\omega^2}{(2\pi)^2} \sum_{\nu_{1 n_2}} \left\{ \left| (A^{(\nu,\lambda)}_{\nu_{1 n_2}})^* \right|^2 + \left| (A^{(\nu,\lambda)}_{\nu_{1 n_2}})^* \right|^2 \right\}.$$

(150)

Here, the notation introduced in Eq. (67) is employed. The summations run over the angular momenta of the photon ($j$) and projections ($m$). The 4-vector $A^{(M, E)\nu_{1 n_2}} = (V, A)$ corresponds to magnetic ($M$) and electric ($E$) photons, respectively. In the case of the magnetic photons

$$V^{(M)}_{\nu_{1 n_2}}(r, \omega) = 0,$$

(151)

$$A^{(M)}_{\nu_{1 n_2}}(r, \omega) = \sqrt{\frac{2\pi}{\omega}} g_j(\omega r) Y_{j n_2}(n).$$

(152)

With the appropriate choice of the gauge for the electric photons we can write

$$V^{(E)}_{\nu_{1 n_2}}(r, \omega) = 0,$$

(153)

$$A^{(E)}_{\nu_{1 n_2}}(r, \omega) = \sqrt{\frac{2\pi}{\omega}} \left\{ \sqrt{\frac{j}{2j+1}} g_{j+1}(\omega r) Y_{j+1 m}(n) - \sqrt{\frac{j+1}{2j+1}} g_{j-1}(\omega r) Y_{j-1 m}(n) \right\}.$$

(154)

In Eqs. (152), (154) the radial functions

$$g_j(x) = 4\pi \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2x}} J_{j+1/2}(x),$$

(155)

involve Bessel functions $J_{j+1/2}(x)$ of the first kind [68], $Y_{j m}$ ($l = j - 1, j, j + 1$) denotes the vector spherical harmonics [67, 69] depending on angles $n = r/|r|$. Formulas (153), (154) correspond to the photon wave function given by Eqs. (38), (37), specified within the “transverse” gauge [50].

For the nonrelativistic limit the more convenient gauge is represented by the transformation $A \to A + \nu \chi(k, t)$, $V \to V + \chi(k, t)$ with

$$\chi(k, t) = \delta(\omega - |k|) \sqrt[1]{j+1} \frac{j}{j} Y_{\nu_{1 n_2}}(\nu) e^{-i\omega t},$$

(156)

where $Y_{\nu_{1 n_2}}(\nu)$ is the spherical harmonics [69]. This transformation affects only electric photons. Accordingly, in the nontransverse gauge the 4-vector $A^{(E)}$ appears as

$$V^{(E)}_{\nu_{1 n_2}}(r, \omega) = i \sqrt{\frac{2\pi}{\omega}} \sqrt{\frac{j+1}{j}} g_j(\omega r) Y_{\nu_{1 n_2}}(n),$$

(157)
\[ A_{jm}^{(E)}(r, \omega) = \sqrt{\frac{2\pi}{\omega}} \sqrt{\frac{2j+1}{j}} g_{j+1}(\omega r) Y_{j+1m}(\mathbf{r}). \] (158)

In the work \[50\] this gauge is referred as “length” gauge.

Comparing Eq. (147) and Eq. (150) we can express the transition probability in terms of the corresponding scattering amplitudes \( U_{jm}^{(E,M)} \) as

\[ W = \frac{\omega^2}{(2\pi)^2} \sum_{jm} \left\{ |U_{jm}^{(E)}(r, \omega)|^2 + |U_{jm}^{(M)}(r, \omega)|^2 \right\}, \] (159)

where \( A_{jm}^{(k,\lambda)} \) are substituted by \( A_{jm}^{(M,E)} \), respectively. This expression was applied for the numerical calculations of the transition probabilities.

The modified amplitudes \( U_{jm}^{(E,M)} \) are derived within perturbation theory. As we take into account only the corrections up to zeroth and first order, i.e.,

\[ U_{jm}^{(E,M)} = U_{jm}^{(E,M)(0)} + U_{jm}^{(E,M)(1)} + \ldots, \] (160)

then, the squared absolute values of \( U_{jm}^{(E,M)} \) read

\[ |U_{jm}^{(E,M)}|^2 = |U_{jm}^{(E,M)(0)}|^2 + 2\text{Re}\left\{ U_{jm}^{(E,M)(0)} U_{jm}^{(E,M)(1)} \right\} + |U_{jm}^{(E,M)(1)}|^2 + \ldots. \] (161)

The last term in Eq. (161) already corresponds to a corrections of second order and can be, accordingly, disregarded in the calculations. However, this term may serve as an estimate for the magnitude of the higher-orders corrections (i.e., for the error magnitude). The contributions of this term are given in the tables as \( \Delta W^{(2+)} \).

V. NUMERICAL METHODS

In the numerical calculations an ion is considered to be enclosed into a spherical box with the radius \( R = 60/(\alpha Z) \) (in the relativistic units), where \( \alpha \) is the fine-structure constant, \( Z \) is the nuclear charge. The size of the box reflects the size of the volume, where the physical processes of the interest (photon emission, interelectron interaction) mainly occur for the two-electron ions with high and intermediate \( Z \). Hence, the electron spectrum becomes discrete. The Dirac spectrum in the external field of the nucleus is constructed in terms of B-splines \[70, 71\]. We used B-splines of order 8 and a grid with 50 knots.

Expression Eq. (146) for the eigenvectors \( \Phi_n \) involves the zeroth- and first-order terms of the perturbation expansion. The matrix \( B \) required for the calculation of the eigenvectors \( \Phi_n \) was generated perturbatively. For a given set \( g \), these two perturbation series are independent.

The matrix \( V \) employed in the construction of the matrix \( B \) was borrowed from our work \[47\], where it was evaluated up to the second order with respect to the interelectron interaction corrections. The matrix \( \Delta V_{21} \) involved in Eq. (149) is given by Eq. (155) and includes only the first order of the interelectron interaction corrections. It was calculated in the present work.

The spatial integration in the matrix elements of the type Eqs. (147) and (150) is performed in spherical coordinates. The integration over the angular variables can be performed analytically, while the integration over the radial variables is performed numerically. For the numerical integration we employed Gauss-Legendre quadratures, which yield a numerical accuracy of our calculations about 0.03%.

VI. NUMERICAL RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

In Tables II and IV we present numerical results for \( M1, M2 \) and \( E1 \)-transition probabilities for low-lying two-electron configurations in HCI. The values are given in units \( s^{-1} \) and the digits in square brackets refer to the power of 10.

In Tables II and V we consider transition probabilities between the \( (1s2s)^3S_1 \) configuration and the ground \( (1s1s)^1S_0 \) configuration and between \( (1s2p_{3/2})^3P_2 \) configuration and \( (1s1s)^1S_0 \) configuration with emission of magnetic \( M1 \) and \( M2 \) photons, respectively. By \( W \) we denote the transition probability evaluated in this work. The frequency of the emitted photon is set equal to \( \omega = E_f - E_i \), where \( E_i, E_f \) are the energies of the initial and final states, respectively. In the case of the nondegenerate levels they comprise the Dirac energies together with one-photon
corrections. Accordingly, we do not include the radiative corrections. The contributions of the negative energy states to the amplitude are included according to Eqs. (130), (131) when performing summation \((n)\) over the entire Dirac spectrum. Investigation of the contribution due to the negative energy part of the continuum was performed in 27, 52, 72. For \(Z \geq 18\) the set \(g\) contains configurations in the \(j-j\) coupling scheme built on 1s, 2s-electrons for \((1s2s)\ ^3S_1\) configuration and on 1s, 2p\(_{1/2}\)-electrons for \((1s2p\_1/2)\ ^3P_2\) configuration, respectively. For \(Z \leq 10\), due to the poor convergence of the perturbation theory, the set \(g\) contains 4000 configurations. Whenever available we compare our results with data obtained in other works. The work 48 presents the first relativistic calculation of transition probabilities for the \((1s2s)\ ^3S_1 \rightarrow (1s1s)\ ^1S_0\) transition. The paper by Johnson et al. 51 provides a comprehensive review, where the transition probabilities are tabulated for all \(Z\) values. However, this work is performed neglecting QED effects such as retardation and the contribution arising from the derivative in the vertex operator. The work 52 is performed within the framework of the two-time Green’s function method, which is a full QED approach, too. However, in this work only the nondegenerate two-electron configurations are considered. The present status of measurements of the transition probabilities can be found in 73. In Table V we give our numerical results for transition probabilities between \((1s2s)\ ^3S_1\) configuration and the ground state for nuclear charge numbers \(10 \leq Z \leq 100\). Digits in square brackets indicate the accuracy of the measured values.

In Tables III, IV we present numerical results for \(E1\)-transition probabilities between \((1s2p)\ ^3P_1, \ ^1P_1\) and ground \((1s1s)\ ^1S_0\) configurations. This provides the first exact QED calculation of the transition probabilities for the quasidegenerate configurations. The calculation is performed within the “transverse” and “nontransverse” gauges for the emitted photons: \(W_T\) and \(W_N\), respectively. The photon propagator was specified in the Coulomb gauge. In the case of quasidegenerate energies \(E_1, E_F\) include also interelectron interaction corrections of the second order. We considered one- and two-photon exchange corrections to the energy levels, taken from 47, and one photon exchange corrections to the eigenvector \(\Phi_{n_g}\) in Eq. (140). The contributions of the negative energy states to the amplitude are included in Eq. (140) in the summation over \(k\) (over the complete Dirac spectrum but the set \(g\)) and in the matrix \(V\) (see Eq. (154)) in the two-photon exchange corrections. In the columns \(W_{N,T}^{1ph}\) we give the transition probabilities calculated with only one photon exchange correction taken into account, i.e., \(W_{N,T}\) is recalculated where set \(\Delta V^{(2)} = 0\) in Eq. (155). The columns \(W_{N,T}^{RMBPT}\) display results of our recalculation of the \(W_{N,T}\) values within RMBPT. In the columns \(\Delta W^{(2)}_{N,T}\) an estimate for the interelectron interaction corrections of higher orders (see the end of Subsection IV.C) is given. The blank fields in the columns \(\Delta W^{(2)}_{N,T}\) express that the corresponding values are smaller than the level of accuracy of the calculation. In the last two columns we give the results of Drake 49 (the application of the unified method) and the RMBPT calculations by Johnson et al. 50. While in 49 the “transverse” gauge was used, the calculations performed in 50 for \((1s2p)\ ^3P_1, \ ^1P_1\) configurations utilized a “nontransverse” gauge. Digits in square brackets again denote powers of 10.

The diagonalization of the matrix \(V_{11}\) (Eq. (137)) implies that we partly take into account the photon exchange corrections to all orders. This violates the gauge invariance and, accordingly, explains the deviation between \(W_N\) and \(W_T\). This deviation also helps us to estimate the contribution of the higher order terms in the expansions Eqs. (140), (154). This contribution is larger for small \(Z\) values, where the convergence of the perturbation theory in the interelectron interaction is poorer.

The difference between the data in the columns \(W_{N,T}^{1ph}\) and \(W_{N,T}^{RMBPT}\) determines the nonradiative QED corrections. For small \(Z\) values the considered configurations are strongly mixed. The transition probabilities for \(^3P_1\) levels are very sensitive to the mixing matrix \(B\) what explains the large value of the QED corrections for the small \(Z\) values. Note, that the transition probability for the \(^3P_1\) level is by several orders of magnitude smaller, than for the \(^1P_1\) level. This means that the relative correction to the decay of the \(^3P_1\) level due to the change of the matrix \(B\) is essentially larger than the correction to the decay of the level \(^1P_1\). For a large numbers of \(Z\) values the mixing of the configurations is small and QED corrections appear mainly as QED corrections to \(\Delta V_{21}\) in the function \(\Phi_{n_g}\) (see Eq. (140)).

The perturbation expansion employed for the construction of the matrix \(V\) (Eq. (134)) and the one applied in the diagonalization of \(V\) (Eq. (140)) are different. The comparison of the columns \(W_{N,T}\) and \(W_{N,T}^{1ph}\) reveals the importance of two-photon corrections to the matrix \(V\) for the convergence of the series of the perturbation theory. If we enlarge the set \(g\) by excited configurations, the values for \(W_{N,T}^{1ph}\) would approach the ones for \(W_{N,T}\). However, in order to achieve good agreement for small \(Z\), we would have to include about 4000 significant configurations in the set \(g\). The matrix \(V\) is well investigated for the purpose of evaluating the energies of the configurations 14, 47, so the evaluation of the mixing matrix \(B\) in higher orders is a more efficient technique, rather than any enlargement of the set \(g\). The technique presented for the calculation of the transition probabilities is a rigorous QED procedure, which allows for systematic improvements of the accuracy of the calculation by taking into account corrections of higher orders.

The accuracy of the presented calculations is determined by the accuracy of the numerical methods, by the contribution of the omitted orders of the perturbation theories and by the radiative corrections which are not considered here. The relative accuracy of the numerical calculation is set to 0.03%. Contribution of the omitted orders of the
perturbation theories can be estimated as difference between the values calculated within the different gauges, \textit{i.e.}, difference between the $W_N$ and $W_T$ columns. However, this is a very rough estimation, because one of the gauges may present better convergence than the other. Partly, the contribution of the omitted orders of the perturbation theories can be estimated by the values in columns $\Delta W_N^{(2)}$; they show that the “nontransverse” gauge gives considerably better convergence. To estimate the order of magnitude of the radiative corrections we suppose that they have the same order as the other QED effects, \textit{i.e.}, as the difference between the values in $W_{N,T}$ and $W_{N,T}^{\text{RMBPT}}$ columns, respectively. Accordingly, the values of the transition probabilities calculated within the “nontransverse” gauge ($W_N$) present the most accurate data for the transition probabilities. The estimate of inaccuracy of the data is indicated by digits in round brackets.

Concluding, we can state that at present this paper provides the most extensive and the most accurate calculations of the transition probabilities in HCI with intermediate nuclear charge numbers \(Z\). The inclusion of radiative corrections into the LPA (which is underway) would yield the most rigorous and powerful approach to the calculation of the transition probabilities for HCI with an utmost precision.
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APPENDIX A: ADIABATIC S-MATRIX

Adiabatic S-matrix is a modified common S-matrix where adiabatic exponent $e^{-\lambda_\omega t}$ is inserted in every vertex. The adiabatic parameter $\lambda_\omega$ is an infinitesimal quantity ($\lambda_\omega \to +0$). The presence of the adiabatic exponent switches off interaction with the electromagnetic field at $t = \pm \infty$. In this appendix we show that the singularities present in the common S-matrix (see Section II) vanish completely in the adiabatic S-matrix. The singularities arise when one makes insertions into the outer electron lines of the Feynman graphs.

In the present paper we will consider the one-electron ions and the insertions of the self-energy operator. In the lowest order of QED perturbation theory S-matrix element corresponding to the process of elastic photon scattering on the one-electron ions is given by the Feynman graph in Fig. 1. We consider the case when electron in the ground state $a_0$ absorbs photon $(k, \lambda)$ and emits photon $(k', \lambda')$ and decays back to the ground state. According to energy conservation law $\omega = \omega'$. This graph gives no singularities, accordingly, the adiabatic S-matrix element coincides with the common S-matrix element

\[
S^{(0,0)} = S^{(0,0)}_{\lambda_\omega} = (-2\pi i)\delta(\omega' - \omega)e^{2\sum_n \frac{A^{(k,\lambda)\sigma}_{n\sigma} A^{(k,\lambda)\sigma*}_{n\sigma}}{\omega' + \varepsilon_{a_0} - \varepsilon_n}}.
\]

The superscripts at the S-matrices indicate the number of insertions of the self-energy operator into the upper and lower external electron lines, respectively. Here there are no insertions.

In the next orders of perturbation theory we have to make insertions of the self-energy operators into the electron lines. The insertions into the internal lines yield no singularities. They result in the energy shift of the excited atomic states and were investigated in [45]. For simplicity of the derivation we omit them. Accordingly, we consider the insertions into the outer electron lines.

The $N$ insertions of electron self-energy operators into the lower electron line are depicted in Fig. 15. After integration over time variables with employment of equality

\[
\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dt \ e^{-\lambda_\omega \left| t \right| + i\lambda_\omega t} = i \left[ \frac{1}{a + i\lambda_\omega} + \frac{1}{-a + i\lambda_\omega} \right]
\]

the corresponding adiabatic S-matrix element is given by

\[
S^{\text{(0,N)}}_{\lambda_\omega} = \int d^3r_a d^3r_{d_1} \cdots d^3r_{d_N} d\omega_{d_1} d\omega_{d_1} \cdots d\omega_{d_N} d\omega_{\gamma_1} d\Omega_1 \cdots d\Omega_N \bar{\psi}_{a_0}(r_a) \times (-ie)\gamma^\mu A^{(k',\lambda')\sigma}_{\mu\sigma}(r_u) i \sum_n \frac{\psi_n(r_u)\bar{\psi}_n(r_{d_1})}{\omega_n - \varepsilon_n (1 - i0)} \times (-ie)\gamma^{\mu_d_1} A^{(k,\lambda)\sigma*}_{\mu_d_1}(r_{d_1}) (i)^2
\]
In order to make the derivations shorter we will neglect the negative energy part of the Dirac spectrum (i.e., we suppose that $\varepsilon_n > 0$, $\varepsilon_d > 0$), since negative energy terms do not generate singularities.

Consider separately the integral over $\omega$-variables and designate it as $F$. The integrand of $F$ includes all the terms of Eq. (A3) depending on the $\omega$-variables: the fractions in the square brackets and the denominators originating from the electron propagators. Integration over $\omega_N$ and $\omega_{s_1...N}$ yields

$$F = \int \prod d\omega_1...d\omega_N \frac{2\pi}{1} \frac{1}{\omega + \omega_1 - i\lambda_1} \times \left[ \frac{1}{\varepsilon_{a_0} + \omega' - \omega - \omega_1 + 2i\lambda_1} + \frac{1}{-\varepsilon_{a_0} + \omega' + \omega + \omega_1 + 2i\lambda_1} \right] \times \frac{2\pi}{1} \frac{1}{(\omega_1 - \varepsilon_1(1 - i0))(\omega_2 - \varepsilon_1 - \Omega_1 + i\lambda_1)} \times \left[ \frac{1}{\omega_2 - \omega_1 + 2i\lambda_1} + \frac{1}{-\omega_2 + \omega_1 + 2i\lambda_1} \right] \times \frac{2\pi}{1} \frac{1}{(\omega_3 - \varepsilon_2(1 - i0))(\omega_4 - \varepsilon_2 - \Omega_2 + i\lambda_2)} \times \left[ \frac{1}{\omega_4 - \omega_3 + 2i\lambda_2} + \frac{1}{-\omega_4 + \omega_3 + 2i\lambda_2} \right] \times \frac{2\pi}{1} \frac{1}{(\omega_5 - \varepsilon_3(1 - i0))(\omega_6 - \varepsilon_3 - \Omega_3 + i\lambda_3)} \times \left[ \frac{1}{\omega_6 - \omega_5 + 2i\lambda_3} + \frac{1}{-\omega_6 + \omega_5 + 2i\lambda_3} \right] \times \frac{2\pi}{1} \frac{1}{(\omega_7 - \varepsilon_4(1 - i0))(\omega_8 - \varepsilon_4 - \Omega_4 + i\lambda_4)} \times \left[ \frac{1}{\omega_8 - \omega_7 + 2i\lambda_4} + \frac{1}{-\omega_8 + \omega_7 + 2i\lambda_4} \right] \times \frac{2\pi}{1} \frac{1}{(\omega_N - \varepsilon_N(1 - i0))(\varepsilon_{a_0} - \varepsilon_N - \Omega_N + i\lambda_N)} \right].$$

(A3)
Integrations in Eq. (A4) can be performed recursively with the use of equality

\[
\int d\omega_d \frac{2\pi}{i} \frac{1}{(\omega_{d_{N-1}} - \varepsilon_{d_{N-1}}(1 - i0))(\omega_d - \varepsilon_{s_{N-1}} - \Omega_{N-1} + i\lambda_a)} \times \left[ \frac{1}{\omega_{d_{N-1}} - \omega_d + 2i\lambda_a} + \frac{1}{-\omega_{d_{N-1}} + \omega_d + 2i\lambda_a} \right] \\
\times \left[ \frac{1}{\omega_{d_{N-1}} - \varepsilon_{s_{N-1}} + 2i\lambda_a'} + \frac{1}{-\omega_{d_{N-1}} + \varepsilon_{s_{N-1}} + 2i\lambda_a'} \right] \\
= \left( \frac{2\pi}{i} \right)^3 \frac{1}{(\omega_{d_{N-1}} - \varepsilon_{d_{N-1}}(1 - i0))(\varepsilon_{s_{N-1}} - \Omega_{N-1} + 2i\lambda_a + i\lambda_a') + R_{\lambda_a,\lambda_a'}.}
\]

where \( \lim_{\lambda_a,\lambda_a' \to 0} R_{\lambda_a,\lambda_a'} = 0 \). After integration we get the following expression for Eq. (A4)

\[
F = \left( \frac{2\pi}{i} \right)^{2N+1} \\
\times \left\{ \frac{1}{(\varepsilon_{a_0} - \varepsilon_{s_N} - \Omega_N + 2i\lambda_a)} \cdot \cdots \frac{1}{(\varepsilon_{a_0} - \varepsilon_{s_{N-k}} - \Omega_{N-k} + (2k+1)i\lambda_a)} \right\} \\
\times \cdots \frac{1}{(\varepsilon_{a_0} - \varepsilon_{s_1} - \Omega_1 + (2N-1)i\lambda_a)} \\
\times \frac{1}{(\varepsilon_{a_0} - \varepsilon_{d_N} + 2i\lambda_a) \cdots (\varepsilon_{a_0} - \varepsilon_{d_{N-k+1}} + 2ki\lambda_a) \cdots (\varepsilon_{a_0} - \varepsilon_{d_1} + 2Ni\lambda_a)} \\
\times \frac{1}{\omega + \varepsilon_{a_0} - \varepsilon_n + (2N+1)i\lambda_a} \\
\times \left[ \frac{1}{\omega - \omega' + 2(N+1)i\lambda_a} + \frac{1}{-\omega + \omega' + 2(N+1)i\lambda_a} \right] + R_{\lambda_a},
\]

where \( \lim_{\lambda_a' \to 0} R_{\lambda_a} = 0 \). Function \( F \) is singular at \( \lambda_a \to 0 \) when \( \varepsilon_{d_k} = \varepsilon_{a_0} \), \( k = 1, \ldots, N \). The term in square brackets in Eq. (A7) can be written as

\[
\left[ \frac{1}{\omega - \omega' + 2(N+1)i\lambda_a} + \frac{1}{-\omega + \omega' + 2(N+1)i\lambda_a} \right]
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{2(N+1)} \left[ \frac{1}{\frac{\omega - \omega'}{2(N+1)} + i\lambda_a} + \frac{1}{\frac{-\omega + \omega'}{2(N+1)} + i\lambda_a} \right]
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{2(N+1)} \left( \frac{2\pi}{i} \right) \delta(\omega - \omega') = \left( \frac{2\pi}{i} \right) \delta(\omega - \omega').
\]

Let us restrict ourselves to the case when \( \varepsilon_{d_k} = \varepsilon_{a_0} \) for every \( k = 1, \ldots, N \) (the derivations for the cases when some of \( \varepsilon_{d_k} \neq \varepsilon_{a_0} \) can be performed by analogy). Accordingly, we write Eq. (A7) as

\[
F = \left( \frac{2\pi}{i} \right)^{2N+1} \\
\times \left\{ \frac{1}{(\varepsilon_{a_0} - \varepsilon_{s_N} - \Omega_N + i0) \cdots (\varepsilon_{a_0} - \varepsilon_{s_{N-k}} - \Omega_{N-k} + i0) \cdots (\varepsilon_{a_0} - \varepsilon_{s_1} - \Omega_1 + i0)} \right\}
\]
\[
\times \left( \frac{1}{2i\lambda_n} \right)^N \frac{1}{N!} \frac{1}{\omega + \varepsilon_{a_0} - \varepsilon_n + (2N + 1)i\lambda_n} \\
\times \left( \frac{2\pi}{i} \right) \delta(\omega - \omega') + R_{\lambda_n}.
\] (A10)

With employment of Eq. (A10) we can write Eq. (A3) as

\[
S^{(0,N)}_{\lambda_n} = (-2\pi i)\delta(\omega - \omega')e^2 \\
\times \sum_n \frac{A^{(k',\lambda')\ast}_a A^{(k,\lambda)}_a}{(\omega + \varepsilon_{a_0} - \varepsilon_n + (2N + 1)i\lambda_n) N!} \left( \frac{\Sigma_{a_0a}^{(e_{a_0})}}{2i\lambda_n} \right)^N \\
+ R_{\lambda_n},
\] (A11)

Employing the asymptotic \((\lambda_n \to +0)\) equality

\[
\sum_{N=0}^\infty \frac{1}{(x + N i\lambda_n) N!} \left( \frac{\Delta}{i\lambda_n} \right)^N = \frac{1}{x + \Delta} \exp \left( \frac{\Delta}{i\lambda_n} \right),
\] (A12)

where \(|x| > |\Delta|\) we can write

\[
\sum_{N=0}^\infty S^{(0,N)}_{\lambda_n,N} = (-2\pi i)\delta(\omega - \omega')e^2 \\
\times \left[ \sum_n \frac{A^{(k',\lambda')\ast}_a A^{(k,\lambda)}_a}{(\omega + \varepsilon_{a_0} + \Sigma_{a_0a}^{(e_{a_0})} - \varepsilon_n) N!} + R_{\lambda_n} \right] \\
\times \exp \left( \frac{\Sigma_{a_0a}^{(e_{a_0})}}{2i\lambda_n} \right).
\] (A14)

Although the condition \(|x| > |\Delta|\) is necessary for Eq. (A12), we employ Eq. (A12) for Eq. (A11) for any \(\omega\). This is considered as an analytical continuation of Eq. (A11) to the area close to the resonance and, accordingly, the entire complex plane \((\omega)\). This analytical continuation was discussed in [17].

If we insert the self-energy operator into the upper \((N_u\) times) and lower \((N_d\) times) outer electron lines, the similar derivations yield

\[
S^{(N_u,N_d)}_{\lambda_n} = (-2\pi i)\delta(\omega - \omega')e^2 \\
\times \frac{1}{N_u!} \left( \frac{\Sigma_{a_0a_0}^{(e_{a_0})}}{2i\lambda_n} \right)^{N_u} \sum_n \frac{A^{(k',\lambda')\ast}_a A^{(k,\lambda)}_a}{(\omega + \varepsilon_{a_0} - \varepsilon_n + (2N + 1)i\lambda_n) N_d!} \left( \frac{\Sigma_{a_0a_0}^{(e_{a_0})}}{2i\lambda_n} \right)^{N_d} \\
+ R_{\lambda_n},
\] (A15)

The value of \(N\) in the denominator can be set equal to \(N_u\) or \(N_d\) without changing the final result since it influences only the terms \(R_{\lambda_n}\) which disappear in the asymptotics \((\lambda_n \to +0)\). Finally, we get

\[
S_{\lambda_n} = \sum_{N_u,N_d=0}^\infty S^{(N_u,N_d)}_{\lambda_n} = (-2\pi i)\delta(\omega - \omega')e^2 \\
\times \sum_n \frac{A^{(k',\lambda')\ast}_a A^{(k,\lambda)}_a}{(\omega + \varepsilon_{a_0} + \Sigma_{a_0a}^{(e_{a_0})} - \varepsilon_n) N!} \\
\times \exp \left( \frac{\Sigma_{a_0a}^{(e_{a_0})}}{i\lambda_n} \right).
\] (A18)
As the regularized self-energy matrix element for the ground state \((a_0)\) has no imaginary part, the absolute value of the exponent in Eq. (A18) reads

\[
\left| \exp \left( \frac{\hat{\Sigma}_{a_0 a_0} (\varepsilon_{a_0})}{i\lambda_a} \right) \right| = 1. \tag{A19}
\]

Accordingly, the absolute value of the amplitude is given by

\[
|U| = e^2 \left| \sum_n A_{n n n}^{(k', \lambda', \ast)} A_{n a_0}^{(k, \lambda)} \right|. \tag{A20}
\]

The regularized self-energy matrix element in the denominator is a correction to the energy of the ground state:

\[
\varepsilon_{a_0} = \varepsilon_{a_0} + \hat{\Sigma}_{a_0 a_0} (\varepsilon_{a_0}).
\]

In Eq. (A10) we considered only the case when \(\varepsilon_{d_k} = \varepsilon_{a_0}\) for every \(k = 1, \ldots, N\). The cases when some of \(\varepsilon_{d_k} \neq \varepsilon_{a_0}\) correspond to the insertions of the second and higher orders self-energy corrections of the “loop-after-loop” type. The case when all \(\varepsilon_{d_k} \neq \varepsilon_{a_0}\) gives the correction to the wave function of the ground state electron \(a_0\).

The presented derivations show that the employment of the adiabatic theory allows for the insertions into the outer electron lines within the LPA. They also justify the introduction of the vertex functions \(\Phi_{a_0}, \overline{\Phi}_{a_0}\) (\(\Phi_{A_0}, \overline{\Phi}_{A_0}\) for two-electron ions) in Section III. The energies of the ground state can be considered to be the full energies, i.e., with all the corrections included.

Finally, we would like to note that the goal of employment of the adiabatic approach was the justification of the LPA backgrounds. Formally, the ground state can be investigated within the same matrix formulation of the LPA as the excited states which allows to employ the general technique developed in Section IV.
TABLE I: $M_1$-transition probabilities (s$^{-1}$) between $(1s2s)^3S_1$ and $(1s1s)^1S_0$ configurations. The digits in square brackets denote the power of 10.

| $Z$ | $W$ | Ref. [48] | Ref. [50] | Ref. [52] | Experiment |
|-----|-----|-----------|-----------|-----------|------------|
| 6   | 4.867[11][1] | 4.856[1]  | 4.860[1]  | 4.857[11][1]$^a$ |
| 10  | 1.097[4]     | 1.087[4]  | 1.092[4]  | 1.105[18][4]$^b$ |
|     |               |           |           | 1.0905[48][4]$^c$ |
| 12  | 7.324[30][4] | 7.243[4]  | 7.293[4]  | 7.35[26][4]$^d$ |
| 16  | 1.430[5][6]  | 1.408[6]  | 1.426[6]  | 1.422[8][6]$^e$ |
| 18  | 4.798[18][6] | 4.709[6]  | 4.787[6]  |               |
| 26  | 2.078[6][8]  | 2.002[8]  | 2.075[8]  |               |
| 30  | 8.987[21][8] | 8.981[8]  | 8.993[8]  |               |
| 50  | 1.727[2][11]| 1.726[11]| 1.729[11]|               |
| 54  | 3.852[5][11]| 3.846[11]| 3.856[11]| 3.92[12][11]$^f$ |
| 70  | 5.980[10][12]| 5.968[12]| 5.983[12]|               |
| 90  | 9.468[23][13]| 9.439[13]| 9.469[13]|               |
| 100 | 3.193[1][14]| 3.181[14]|          |               |

$^a$ Schmidt et al. [74].
$^b$ Wargelin et al. [75].
$^c$ Träbert et al. [76].
$^d$ Stefanelli et al. [77].
$^e$ Crespo López-Urrutia et al. [78].
$^f$ Marrus et al. [4].

TABLE II: $M_2$-transition probabilities (s$^{-1}$) between $(1s2p_{3/2})^3P_2$ and $(1s1s)^1S_0$ configurations.

| $Z$ | $W$ | Ref. [50] | Ref. [52] |
|-----|-----|-----------|-----------|
| 5   | 5.016[4][3] | 5.014[3]  |           |
| 10  | 2.258[3][6] | 2.257[6]  |           |
| 18  | 3.145[1][8] | 3.141[8]  |           |
| 26  | 6.515[2][9] | 6.510[9]  |           |
| 30  | 2.104[1][10]| 2.105[10]|           |
| 50  | 1.365[1][12]| 1.366[12]|           |
| 54  | 2.560[3][12]| 2.560[12]|           |
| 70  | 2.148[1][13]| 2.148[13]|           |
| 90  | 1.720[1][14]| 1.721[14]|           |
| 100 | 4.165[3][14]| 4.156[14]|           |

TABLE III: $E_1$-transition probabilities (s$^{-1}$) between $(1s2p)^3P_1$ and $(1s1s)^1S_0$ configurations.

| $Z$ | $W_{1ph}^{1ph}$ | $W_{1ph}^{RMPPT}$ | $W_{N}^{RMPPT}$ | $W_{N}$ | $\Delta W_{N}^{(2+)}$ | $W_{T}$ | $\Delta W_{T}^{(2+)}$ | Ref. [49] | Ref. [50] |
|-----|-----------------|-------------------|-----------------|---------|------------------------|---------|------------------------|-----------|-----------|
| 10  | 3.096[9]        | 2.917[9]          | 5.211[9]        | 4.963[9]| 5.351[14][9]           | 0.003[9]| 5.095[9]               | 0.15[9]   | 5.356[9]  |
| 18  | 1.391[12]       | 1.370[12]         | 1.793[12]       | 1.772[12]| 1.799[6][12]           | 1.777[12]| 0.011[12]              | 1.800[12] | 1.799[12] |
| 26  | 3.925[13]       | 3.898[13]         | 4.482[13]       | 4.419[13]| 4.421[61][13]          | 4.396[13]| 0.011[13]              | 4.425[13] | 4.421[13] |
| 30  | 1.160[14]       | 1.154[14]         | 1.258[14]       | 1.254[14]| 1.251[7][14]           | 1.246[14]| 0.002[14]              | 1.252[14] | 1.251[14] |
| 40  | 6.846[14]       | 6.826[14]         | 7.047[14]       | 7.041[14]| 7.013[34][14]          | 6.997[14]| 0.007[14]              | 7.017[14] | 7.011[14] |
| 50  | 2.104[15]       | 2.101[15]         | 2.132[15]       | 2.133[15]| 2.123[9][15]           | 2.120[15]| 0.001[15]              | 2.123[15] | 2.120[15] |
| 60  | 4.838[15]       | 4.832[15]         | 4.874[15]       | 4.879[15]| 4.855[19][15]          | 4.850[15]| 0.002[15]              | 4.853[15] | 4.845[15] |
| 70  | 9.472[15]       | 9.463[15]         | 9.523[15]       | 9.538[15]| 9.497[26][15]          | 9.489[15]| 0.003[15]              | 9.480[15] | 9.460[15] |
| 80  | 1.672[16]       | 1.671[16]         | 1.680[16]       | 1.683[16]| 1.674[6][16]           | 1.673[16]|                     | 1.672[16] | 1.668[16] |
| 92  | 3.007[16]       | 3.005[16]         | 3.020[16]       | 3.027[16]| 3.008[12][16]          | 3.006[16]|                     | 2.994[16] |           |
### Table IV: \( E1 \)-transition probabilities (s\(^{-1}\)) between \((1s2p)^1P_1\) and \((1s1s)^1S_0\) configurations.

| \( Z \) | \( W_{N}^{1ph} \) | \( W_{T}^{1ph} \) | \( W_{N}^{RMBPT} \) | \( W_{T}^{RMBPT} \) | \( W_{N}^{1ph} \) | \( W_{T}^{1ph} \) | \( \Delta W_{N}^{(2+)} \) | \( \Delta W_{T}^{(2+)} \) | \( \text{Ref. }[49] \) | \( \text{Ref. }[50] \) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 10 | 8.607[12] | 8.071[12] | 8.538[12] | 8.107[12] | 8.538(14)[12] | 0.007[12] | 8.103[12] | 0.27[12] | 8.851[12] | 8.851[12] |
| 18 | 1.069[14] | 1.052[14] | 1.061[14] | 1.048[14] | 1.061(1)[14] | 1.047[14] | 0.007[14] | 1.071[14] | 1.070[14] |
| 26 | 4.611[14] | 4.578[14] | 4.551[14] | 4.529[14] | 4.553(2)[14] | 4.526[14] | 0.01[14] | 4.570[14] | 4.566[14] |
| 30 | 7.857[14] | 7.815[14] | 7.747[14] | 7.723[14] | 7.754(7)[14] | 7.720[14] | 0.016[14] | 7.773[14] | 7.763[14] |
| 40 | 2.234[15] | 2.227[15] | 2.211[15] | 2.209[15] | 2.215(4)[15] | 2.210[15] | 0.002[15] | 2.216[15] | 2.212[15] |
| 50 | 5.006[15] | 5.085[15] | 5.064[15] | 5.066[15] | 5.074(10)[15] | 5.065[15] | 0.004[15] | 5.071[15] | 5.057[15] |
| 60 | 1.013[16] | 1.012[16] | 1.009[16] | 1.010[16] | 1.011(2)[16] | 1.010[16] | 1.010[16] | 1.006[16] |
| 70 | 1.819[16] | 1.817[16] | 1.813[16] | 1.816[16] | 1.816(3)[16] | 1.814[16] | 1.813[16] | 1.805[16] |
| 80 | 3.010[16] | 3.007[16] | 3.002[16] | 3.009[16] | 3.008(6)[16] | 3.006[16] | 0.001[16] | 3.000[16] | 2.986[16] |
| 92 | 5.046[16] | 5.043[16] | 5.034[16] | 5.049[16] | 5.045(11)[16] | 5.041[16] | 0.001[16] | 5.001[16] |
## TABLE V: $M1$-transition probabilities ($s^{-1}$) between $(1s2s)^3S_1$ and $(1s1s)^1S_0$ configurations. The digits in square brackets denote the power of 10.

|   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Z | W | Z | W | Z | W | Z | W | Z | W |
|   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |
| 21 | 2.332(6)[7] | 41 | 2.221(2)[10] | 61 | 1.386(2)[12] | 81 | 2.922(7)[13] |   |   |
| 22 | 3.757(9)[7] | 42 | 2.847(2)[10] | 62 | 1.644(3)[12] | 82 | 3.357(8)[13] |   |   |
| 23 | 5.923(13)[7] | 43 | 3.629(2)[10] | 63 | 1.949(4)[12] | 83 | 3.825(10)[13] |   |   |
| 24 | 9.158(11)[7] | 44 | 4.600(3)[10] | 64 | 2.301(4)[12] | 84 | 4.388(12)[13] |   |   |
| 25 | 1.391(3)[8] | 45 | 5.804(3)[10] | 65 | 2.716(5)[12] | 85 | 5.007(12)[13] |   |   |
| 26 | 2.078(6)[8] | 46 | 7.283(4)[10] | 66 | 3.191(6)[12] | 86 | 5.679(14)[13] |   |   |
| 27 | 3.058(6)[8] | 47 | 9.102(5)[10] | 67 | 3.745(7)[12] | 87 | 6.499(16)[13] |   |   |
| 28 | 4.438(7)[8] | 48 | 1.132(1)[11] | 68 | 4.387(8)[12] | 88 | 7.350(19)[13] |   |   |
| 29 | 6.357(9)[8] | 49 | 1.402(2)[11] | 69 | 5.136(10)[12] | 89 | 8.400(22)[13] |   |   |
| 10 | 1.097(7)[4] | 50 | 1.727(2)[11] | 70 | 5.980(10)[12] | 90 | 9.468(23)[13] |   |   |
| 11 | 2.966(13)[4] | 51 | 2.125(2)[11] | 71 | 6.980(12)[12] | 91 | 1.081(3)[14] |   |   |
| 12 | 7.324(30)[4] | 52 | 2.600(3)[11] | 72 | 8.101(15)[12] | 92 | 1.216(3)[14] |   |   |
| 13 | 1.678(7)[5] | 53 | 3.172(4)[11] | 73 | 9.419(18)[12] | 93 | 1.387(4)[14] |   |   |
| 14 | 3.609(13)[5] | 54 | 3.852(5)[11] | 74 | 1.089(2)[13] | 94 | 1.555(4)[14] |   |   |
| 15 | 7.354(23)[5] | 55 | 4.671(7)[11] | 75 | 1.260(2)[13] | 95 | 1.774(5)[14] |   |   |
| 16 | 1.430(5)[6] | 56 | 5.637(8)[11] | 76 | 1.455(3)[13] | 96 | 2.004(6)[14] |   |   |
| 17 | 2.667(9)[6] | 57 | 6.789(11)[11] | 77 | 1.677(4)[13] | 97 | 2.262(7)[14] |   |   |
| 18 | 4.798(18)[6] | 58 | 8.144(13)[11] | 78 | 1.931(4)[13] | 98 | 2.550(8)[14] |   |   |
| 19 | 8.358(15)[6] | 59 | 9.753(17)[11] | 79 | 2.220(5)[13] | 99 | 2.875(10)[14] |   |   |
| 20 | 1.414(4)[7] | 60 | 1.163(2)[12] | 80 | 2.548(6)[13] | 100 | 3.193(10)[14] |   |   |
FIG. 1: Feynman graph, describing the photon scattering on an atomic electron. The wavy lines with the arrows describe the absorption and emission of photons with momenta $k$, $k'$ and polarizations $e$, $e'$, respectively. The double solid line denotes the electron in the field of the nucleus, $a_0$ corresponds to the ground electron state.

FIG. 2: Feynman graph corresponding to the electron self-energy insertion into the electron propagator in Fig. 1. The wavy line denotes the virtual photon. The other notations are the same as in Fig. 1.

FIG. 3: The process of double-photon scattering on the artificial “lower than ground” state $a_*$. Notations are the same as in Fig. 1.
FIG. 4: The Feynman graph representing the process of elastic photon scattering on one-electron ion. The double solid line denotes the electron in the field of the nucleus (Furry picture of QED). The boxes with the wavy lines describe the absorption and emission of the photons by electron the ground state. The letter $I$ at the internal electron line implies the resonance approximation, where only the resonant state $I$ remains in the sum over the intermediate states in the electron propagator.

FIG. 5: The insertion of the one-loop electron self-energy in the internal electron line in Fig. 4. The wavy line describes the virtual photon. The other notations are the same as in Fig. 4.

FIG. 6: The Feynman graph, illustrating Eq. (31). The box with the letter $\Sigma$ inside corresponds to the infinite number of successive insertions of the type Fig. 5.

FIG. 7: The Feynman graph representing the process of the photon emission. The labels $I$ and $F$ correspond to the initial and final states.
FIG. 8: The Feynman graphs representing the process of photon emission in the LPA. This graph incorporates the graph in Fig. [4] The upper, central and down vertices are specified by corresponding subscripts (u), (c) and (d), respectively.

FIG. 9: The Feynman graphs representing the single photon transition in a two-electron ion to lowest order in $\alpha$. The notations are the same as in Figs. [4-8]. $I_i$ ($i = 1, 2$) and $F_i$ ($i = 1, 2$) denote the initial and final states for the two electrons.

FIG. 10: The Feynman graphs representing the process of elastic photon scattering on the two-electron ion within the LPA. These graphs incorporate the graphs in Fig. [9]. See in the text the notations $a_0, b_0$. 
FIG. 11: The Feynman graphs representing the process of elastic photon scattering on the two-electron ion. The boxes with the letter $A_0$ inside and wavy lines depict complicated vertices describing the absorption and emission of a photon by the two-electron ion. The photon line in the center denotes the emission of a photon with frequency $\omega_0 = |k_0|$ corresponding to the transition energy from the initial to the final two-electron state.

FIG. 12: The Feynman graph representing the process of elastic photon scattering on the two-electron ion. In addition to Fig. 11, the box with letter $\Xi$ inside and with an external photon indicates the complicated vertex for the emission of a photon $\omega_0$ corresponding to the transition from the initial to the final state ($I \rightarrow F$).
FIG. 13: The Feynman graph representing the process of elastic photon scattering on the two-electron ion. This graph includes the one photon exchange correction and, accordingly, it represents the next order of the perturbation theory (photon exchange correction) compared to the graph Fig. 11.
FIG. 14: The Feynman graphs representing the process of elastic photon scattering on the two-electron ion. In the lowest order of the perturbation theory these graphs reduce to the graphs Fig. 13.

FIG. 15: The Feynman graph representing the process of elastic photon scattering on the one-electron ion. Multiple insertions of the self-energy operator into the lower outer electron line are made in the framework of the adiabatic approximation. The break in the electron lines denotes the possible multiple insertions.
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