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Abstract

Associations of GSTT1, GSTM1 and CYP1A1 gene variants with risk of developing oral cancer were evaluated in this study. A case-control study was conducted in Pashtun population of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa province of Pakistan in which 200 hospital based oral cancer cases and 151 population based healthy controls exposed to similar environmental conditions were included. Sociodemographic data were obtained and blood samples were collected with informed consent for analysis. GSTM1 and GSTT1 were analysed through conventional PCR method while specific RT-PCR method was used to detect CYP1A1 polymorphisms. Results were analyzed for conditional logistic regression model by SPSS version 20. The study shows that patients with either GSTM1 or GSTT1 null genotypes have significantly higher risk of oral cancer (adjusted odds (OR): (3.019 (1.861-4.898) and 3.011 (1.865-4.862), respectively), which further increased when either one or both null genes were present in combination (adjusted odds (OR): (3.627 (1.981-6.642 and 9.261 (4.495-19.079), respectively). CYP1A1 rs4646903 gene variants individually showed weak association OR: 1.121 (0.717-1.752); however, in the presence of GSTM1 and/or GSTT1 null genotypes further increasing the association (adjusted odds (ORs): 4.576 (2.038-10.273), 5.593 (2.530-12.362) and 16.10 (3.854-67.260) for GSTM/GSTT null and CYP1A1 wild type, GSTM/GSTT either null and CYP1A1 variant alleles, and all 3 gene polymorphisms combinations, respectively). Our findings suggest that presence of GSTM1 and/or GSTT1 null genotypes along with variant alleles of CYP1A1 may be the risk alleles for oral cancer susceptibility in Pashtun population.
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Introduction

Oral cancer is the fourth most common cancer in the world (Amtha et al., 2009; Gupta and Johnson, 2014). It is estimated that over 400,000 cases occur annually with a wide variation in global burden. Its incidence in South and South East Asia is amongst the highest in the world. Incidence is also on the rise in Western and Eastern Europe, Latin America and Pacific regions (Ariyawardana and Johnson, 2013). In Pakistan oral cancer is the second most common malignancy after breast cancer and is significantly higher than other member states of the World Health Organization’s Eastern Mediterranean (WHO-EMRO) Region (Bile et al., 2010).

Tobacco use and alcohol drinking are the main independent risk factors for the development of head and neck area cancers, especially that of the oral cavity (Warnakulasuriya et al., 2005; Amtha et al., 2009). In Pakistan, about 50% tumors in males and 25% those of in females are associated with consumption of tobacco products (Warnakulasuriya et al., 2005; Bhurgri et al., 2006). In Bangladesh and India, about one-third of all cancers are tobacco-related. High incidence of oral and pharyngeal cancers has been reported in South Asia, even among female population, for which smokeless tobacco products are considered to be the most prominent risk factor (Moore et al., 2000; Gupta and Johnson, 2014). Association of oropharyngeal cancer with smokeless tobacco products is reportedly four times higher relative to no history of tobacco use after adjusting for confounding factors (Merchant 2000; Bile et al., 2010). Similarly pan with or without tobacco has been associated with oral
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cancer (Merchant et al., 2000). Several studies from India and Pakistan have provided sufficient evidence that use of tobacco mixed with lime and chewing betel quid containing tobacco were carcinogenic to humans with significant dose-response relationships for frequency of chewing per day and for duration of tobacco consumption (Rao and Desai, 1998; Pandakumar et al., 1990; Dikshit and Kanhere, 2000; Balaram et al., 2002; Znaor et al., 2003).

Tobacco products contain more than 30 carcinogens. However, studies on the mechanism of their carcinogenesis suggest that three classes among them are of major importance i.e., Tobacco Specific Nitrosamines (TSNAs), Poly Aromatic Hydrocarbons (PAHs) and aromatic amines (Rickert et al., 2009). These compounds are actually procarcinogens and are metabolized by Xenobiotic metabolizing enzymes, namely Cytochrome P450 (CYPs) and Glutathione-S-transferases (GSTs). The former is involved in their activation to carcinogenic species; while the latter is involved in their detoxification and excretion from the body (Zakiullah et al., 2014). Various isoforms of CYPs including CYP1A1 are reportedly involved in activation process (D’Errico et al., 1996; Olshan et al., 2000). Similarly among GSTs, M1 (GSTM1) and T1 (GSTT1) enzymes are more important in detoxification (Nair et al., 1999).

However, the expression of these enzymes differs in individuals resulting in differences in the metabolic processing of carcinogens. Certain individuals are genetically more susceptible to cancer when exposed to carcinogens owing to their genotype for enzymes responsible for their activation or detoxification (Abbas et al., 2014). This is evident from the general observation that only a small number of individuals among those exposed to tobacco or alcohol under the same environmental conditions develop cancer in their life time. Allelic variants influencing the enzyme activity of CYP1A1 along with environmental factors such as tobacco use play key roles in making individuals susceptible to different types of cancers (Xia et al., 2013). The CYP1A1 gene located on chromosome 15q22-q24 encodes an enzyme with aryl hydrocarbon hydroxylase activity that plays important role in the metabolism of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAH) and nitrosamines from tobacco, and inherited differences in metabolic capacity are considered to contribute significantly in carcinogenesis (Sahitha et al., 2010). Certain allelic variations in the CYP1A1 gene and prolonged exposure to tobacco products could lead to higher levels of reactive metabolites, thereby causing DNA damage in addition to other contributing factors (Prokopczyk et al., 1997; Velema et al., 2002). Similarly deletion of genes responsible for GSTs results in a lack of enzyme activity and consequently a decrease in the elimination of carcinogenic species. Two of the GSTs i.e., GSTT1 and GSTM1 have been extensively explored in relation to several types of cancers (Amtha et al., 2009; Nosheen et al., 2014). They both detoxify major tobacco related carcinogens and their absences increase the risk of a variety of cancers of different areas including that of the mouth, lung, bladder and breast (Nosheen et al., 2014). Loss of GSTM1 enzymatic activity due to homozygous null genotype reportedly occurs in about 50% of Asians and Caucasians (Amtha et al., 2009, Nosheen et al., 2014). Previous studies on the association of oral cancer with polymorphism in these genes have shown varying results. Some studies have shown the association of polymorphisms in CYP1A1 and GSTM1 genes with oral cancer (Tanimoto et al., 1999; Wogan et al., 2004), while some studies have reported a lack of association (Park et al., 2000; Olshan et al., 2000; Sreeleka et al., 2001; Sharma et al., 2006; Cha et al., 2007). These seemingly conflicting observations are due to geographic and ethnic variations in the distribution of genotype frequencies of both CYP and GST alleles along with other environmental factors. A recent study has emphasized on the inclusion of country of study, source of population, specific ethnicity and characteristics of general demographic variables in future studies to deduce conclusive and more reliable results (Nosheen et al., 2014).

Association of genetic polymorphisms of the above mentioned genes with oral cancer has not been so far reported in Pashtun population of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa province of Pakistan. Therefore, a case-control study was carried out to evaluate the potential role of CYP1A1 (T>C, rs4646903), GSTM1 and GSTT1 gene polymorphisms in the susceptibility to oral cancer in Pashtun population of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa province of Pakistan. This will help to adopt pro-active approaches for early detection and preventive life style modification strategies to decrease the incidence of the disease in the target population.

Materials and Methods

Sample collection

Study sample comprised of 200 oral cancer patients and 151 healthy control subjects between 30 and 70 years of age as per exclusion/inclusion criteria. Patients were registered at the Institute of Radiotherapy and Nuclear Medicine (IRNUM), Peshawar, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa; while eligible control samples were collected from various districts of the same province. The study period was from July, 2012- July, 2013. All the patients were having histopathologically confirmed oral cancer.

Inclusion criteria (patients): Histo-pathologically proven oral cancer patients having age between 30 and 70 years with Pashtun ethnicity, and not less than 20 years of tobacco exposure in any form. Exclusion criteria (patients): Patients with non-Pashtun ethnicity and/or having more than 70 years of age. Criteria for selection of control subjects: Normal healthy age-matched subjects of similar ethnicity with not less than 20 years of exposure to tobacco in any form, and free from cancer.

Study was approved from the Ethical Committee of the Department of Pharmacy, University of Peshawar (No. 440, dated 17.12.2011). Informed consent and thorough interview was taken by expert in the relevant field before blood collection on a carefully designed proforma that contained information regarding age, place, occupation, socioeconomic status, cancer type and tobacco use habits etc. Three milliliters of whole blood was collected from all subjects in properly labelled EDTA tubes and genomic DNA was subsequently extracted by using standard DNA
Isolation kit (Purelink Genomic DNA kit Invitrogen, USA) as per manufacturer’s recommendations. The DNA quality and quantity were determined using a double beam spectrophotometer (Perkin Elmer series 200 system, Norwalk, USA).

Genotyping of CYP1A1 (T>C, rs4646903)
The CYP1A1 (T>C, rs4646903) polymorphisms were analyzed using a highly specific Real Time Polymerase Chain Reaction (RT-PCR). Light SNiP rs4646903 (primers and probes) and FastStart DNA Master Hyprobe kit were purchased from Tib-Molbiol (Germany) and Roche Diagnostics (Germany), respectively. Reaction was performed as per supplier’s recommendation as follows. Reaction mix comprised of Reagent Mix (1µl), FastStart DNA Master (2 µl), Magnesium chloride (25mm, 1.6 µl), and water (14.4µl). Finally, DNA (1µl, 100-150 ng) was added to the reaction mix to make the final volume 20 µl. Thermocycler (MiniOpticon Model CFB-3120EDUUSA) conditions were as follows: Denaturation at 95 ºC for 10 minutes; Cycling for 45 cycles of 95°C for 10 seconds, 45°C for 60 seconds and 72°C for 1 minute; followed by melting curves analysis at 95°C for 10 seconds, 40°C for 2 minutes through 75°C for 0 seconds. Duplicate samples were used as control. Melting peaks at 51-52 ºC represented wild type (T/T) allele; the one at 59-60 ºC represented variant (C/C) allele, while samples giving two peaks at 51ºC and 59ºC were heterozygous (T/C) allele (Figure 1).

Genotyping assay for GSTM1 and GSTT1
For the determination of homozygous null polymorphisms of GSTM1 and GSTT1 new conventional PCR methods were developed and optimized for individual genes. Primer sets (designed through Primer3Plus online software) used was 5’-CATGTGACAGTATTCTTATTTC-3’, 5’- ACTCAATCTCAGCATCACAGC- 3’, 5’- ATCTGTGGTCCCCAAATCAG-3’, 5’- GGGGTTGTCTTTTATCATAG-3’, for GSTM1 and GSTT1 respectively. Duplicate samples were used as positive control for both genes. PCR was individually performed in the GeneAmp PCR system 9700 (Applied Biosystems, USA). After an initial denaturation at 95ºC for 4 minutes, amplification was carried out for 40 cycles at 95ºC for 30 seconds, 52°C for 45 seconds and 72°C for 1 minute for GSTM1 and 55ºC for 45 seconds and 72°C for 1 minute for GSTT1, followed by final elongation at 72°C for 10 minutes. The PCR products were electrophoresed in a 1 % agarose gel for analysis. GSTM1 and GSTT1 genotype were identified by the presence of a band at 298 and 632 bp respectively (Figures 2 and 3).
Statistical analysis

Chi-square ($\chi^2$) test was used to detect whether there were significant ($\alpha=0.05$) differences in frequencies of genes. Odds Ratios (OR) for each polymorphism using binary logistic regression model were estimated with 95% confidence intervals (CIs), and the difference in genotype prevalence and association between case and control group were assessed independently as well as adjusted for confounding factors. Age, gender, place of residence, tobacco type used, amount of tobacco used per day and age at first exposure were included as covariates as well as all the possible genotypes studied. GSTM1 and GSTT1 were categorized on the basis of presence and absence (null genotype) of the gene, while CYP1A1 rs4646903 polymorphism was classified into homozygous wild type and variant allele containing genotypes. Wild type was used as reference group to assess the effects of the different alleles. Analyses were performed by SPSS (Version 20.0).

Results

Subject characteristics

Demographic and other subject characteristics are shown in Table 1. Mean age of healthy subjects (controls) and esophageal cancer patients was 56.1±4.79 and 54.88±9.83 years, respectively ($t$ test $p$ value=0.931).

Table 1. Demographic Characteristics of the Subjects

| Variables                      | Controls | Cases | p-value |
|-------------------------------|----------|-------|---------|
| Geographic Area (district)    |          |       | 0.304   |
| Tribal                        | 45 (29.8)| 36 (18.0)|        |
| Charsadda                     | 15 (9.9) | 40 (20.0)|        |
| Bannu DI Khan                 | 13 (8.6) | 26 (13.0)|        |
| Nowshera                      | 11 (7.3) | 14 (7.0)|        |
| Peshawar                      | 19 (12.6)| 24 (12.0)|        |
| Swabi                         | 9 (6.0)  | 24 (12.0)|        |
| Malakand                      | 10 (6.6) | 14 (7.0)|        |
| Mardan                        | 15 (9.9) | 10 (5.0)|        |
| Kohat                         | 1 (0.7)  | 12 (6.0)|        |
| Dir Chitral etc.              | 13 (8.6) |        |         |
| Age (yrs)                     |          | 0.931 |         |
| 20-40                         | 24 (15.9)| 14 (7.0)|        |
| 41-50                         | 42 (27.8)| 68 (34.0)|        |
| 51-60                         | 41 (27.2)| 68 (34.0)|        |
| 60+                           | 44 (29.1)| 50 (25.0)|        |
| Occupation                    |          | 0.786 |         |
| Driver                        | 9 (6.0)  | 14 (7.0)|        |
| Farmer                        | 45 (29.8)| 77 (38.5)|        |
| Labour                        | 39 (25.8)| 35 (17.0)|        |
| Odd jobs                      | 44 (29.1)| 37 (18.5)|        |
| Farmer/labor                   | 14 (9.3) | 38 (19.0)|        |
| Tobacco type used             |          | 0.853 |         |
| Naswar                        | 142 (94.0)| 200 (100.0)|        |
| Cigarettes                    | 55 (36.4)| 61 (30.5)|        |
| Other types                   | 46 (30.5)| 40 (20.0)|        |
| Age at 1st exposure (yrs)     |          | 0.45  |         |
| ≤15                           | 66 (43.7)| 74 (37.0)|        |
| 16-20                         | 57 (37.7)| 114 (57.0)|       |
| 21-25                         | 11 (7.3) |        | -       |
| ≥25                           | 17 (11.3)| 12 (6.0)|        |
| Daily use                     |          | 0.931 |         |
| Mild                          | 54 (35.8)| 142 (71.0)|        |
| Moderate                      | 62 (41.1)| 33 (16.5)|        |
| Heavy                         | 9 (6.0)  | 13 (6.5)|        |

Association with susceptibility to esophageal cancer

The allele frequencies and genotypes of GSTs and CYP1A1 rs4646903 polymorphism of both control and oral cancer cases are given in Table 2. The distribution of GSTM1 and GSTT1 genotypes were significantly different between the cases and controls groups (P<0.05). Therefore, the analysis was repeated for each genotype independently. The prevalence of GSTM1 and GSTT1 was more in cases (79.5% and 47.5% respectively) when compared to controls (57% and 23.2% respectively). GSTM1 and GSTT1 genotypes were significantly different between the cases and controls groups ($\chi^2=0.05$, $p<0.05$), while it was not significant in case of CYP1A1 rs4646903 ($p=0.762$). When analyzed for individual genes the prevalence of null genotypes of GSTM1 and GSTT1 was more in cases (79.5% and 47.5% respectively) when compared to controls (57% and 23.2% respectively). Null genotypes of both GST genes were having almost 3-fold increased risk of oral cancer compared with wild type, which slightly increased when OR were adjusted for confounding factors such as tobacco use habits, age and area of residence etc. The prevalence of CYP1A1 rs4646903 gene was more in cases (N=76) as compared to control (N=55). However the association was weak and non-significant (OR=1.07, p-value 0.762), with little effect of confounding factors when adjusted for them (Table 2). Similarly when analyzed for two GST gene combinations the association further increased to (3.422 (1.890-6.194), p-value=0.000) and (8.986 (4.424-18.253), p-value 0.000) for GSTM1/GSTT1 genotypes.
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Discussion

It is well recognized fact that some individuals are more susceptible to certain types of cancers within similar environmental conditions. Different factors are involved in the initiation of carcinogenesis. These include but not limited to polymorphisms in genes responsible for the expression of carcinogen metabolizing enzymes, environmental exposure (such as tobacco use and alcohol consumption) and dietary and lifestyle habits of the individuals. GSTs and CYP1A1 are among the most important enzymes involved in the processing of tobacco related carcinogens. However, polymorphisms in GSTM1, GSTT1 and CYP1A1 genes and their association with oral cancer have shown varying results (Nosheen et al., 2014). Association has been reported in Asians but not in Caucasian population in several meta-analysis studies. These observations have shown the importance of ethnic differences among the study population (Hirschhorn, 2002, Gupta and Johnson, 2014). Our study has thrown light on the involvement of genetic, ethnic and demographic factor differences in the incidence of oral cancer. Present data shows that genetic polymorphisms in GSTM1, GSTT1 and CYP1A1 genes have important contribution towards the occurrence of oral cancer in Pashtun population. GSTM1 and GSTT1 have shown a 3-fold independent association, while CYP1A1 is weakly involved (see table 1). Combined effects of both GSTs alone, and in combination with CYP1A1, further increase the association. The risk is 8-fold high in those patients that have variant alleles of all three genes (OR=8.143 (2.647-25.054), showing the importance of CYP1A1 gene. Similarly when adjusted for age, gender, place of residence, occupation, tobacco type used, amount of tobacco used per day and age at first exposure the risk further increased showing the importance of these environmental and demographic factors. The risk of oral cancer doubled (OR=16.10 (3.854-67.260)), when these factors were adjusted in individuals that have variant alleles of all three genes. The occurrence of oral cancer increases with age, with incidence rates peaking at 70 years. In this study almost 70% of the patients were in the age range of 40-60 years. Regarding tobacco habits it was observed that hundred percent were exposed to naswar. About 87% were mild to moderate users, while mean age at first exposure was 17 years. This shows that majority of the patients were exposed for more than 30 years to tobacco carcinogens. Area of residence showed that Tribal belt, Charsadda, Peshawar and Swabi districts were having more cases of oral cancer as compared to other districts. This observation is consistent with increased exposure to tobacco in these districts due to tobacco farming (especially in Charsadda and Swabi) and naswar consumption. Similarly, under-nutrition associated with low socioeconomic status has been an established risk factor for various types of cancers including that of oral cavity, and our data showed that almost 100% were having laborious low paid jobs. All these findings are consistent with previous studies that have shown association of GSTM1, GSTT1 and CYP1A1 genes, tobacco consumption (like naswar and cigarettes) and other risk factors with oral cancer. In conclusion, our study shows that the GSTM1, GSTT1 and CYP1A1 genes may be associated with the risk of oral cancer, especially in the presence of tobacco (naswar) use.

In conclusion, based on above mentioned findings, reducing consumption of tobacco (especially naswar in Pashtun population) and elevating the socioeconomic status must be regarded as the primary preventive strategies.
for the control of oral cancer in Khyber Pakhtunkhwa province. Similarly, projects should be designed by governmental agencies to screen for genetically susceptible individuals and awareness campaigns regarding genetic susceptibility and environmental risk factors be initiated in general public.
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