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ABSTRACT

Aims:
Talk about Fast Track Surgery is referring to a series of measures implemented to accelerate postoperative recovery of the patient. This has been well demonstrated for colorectal surgery with good results. There is very little experience on its application to biliary surgery. The aim of this study is to describe the initial experience of the application of Fast Track Surgery protocol to biliodigestive surgery at High Speciality Hospital of Veracruz.

Methods:
Descriptive, transversal, prospective study. Patients undergoing some kind of biliodigestive derivation, from January 2012 to December 2013 in our hospital under the protocol of Fast Track Surgery, were included.

Results:
12 patients were treated. Nine underwent Hepp-Couinaud type derivation; three, common bile duct-duodenum anastomosis was performed. No patient remained with probes or drains after surgery. The time to start orally was $7.27 \pm 2.68$ hours; time to start mobilization out of bed was $5.39 \pm 2.50$ hours. Patients were discharged at $73.68 \pm 43.81$ hours post-surgical event. Two patients had surgical site infection. No readmissions were presented.

Conclusion:
Application of Fast Track Surgery protocol appears to be safe and feasible. However, it is necessary to expand the sample of patients.
Keywords: Fast Track Surgery; Biliodigestive derivation; Biliary tract; Enhanced Recovery After Surgery.
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INTRODUCTION
In the last years the pre, trans and postoperative traditional cares of general surgery have been questioned and even more regarding to gastrointestinal tract [1]. From nutritional support preoperatively to postoperative fasting time through the incision size, if open surgery, have discussed their role in patient recovery. Traditionally, total fasting of eight hours before surgery, intestinal preparation if resection and anastomosis is programmed, big incisions, rest in bed for long periods, were part of a common denominator of patients who underwent gastrointestinal surgery. These concepts have been modified in the last decades. Kehlet et al [2] were the first to establish a specific protocol called Fast-Track; based in the best scientific evidence seeks to minimize the surgical stress response, accelerate recovery, decrease complications and consequently, shorten hospital stay without compromising, therefore, patient safety.

The enhanced recovery programs have been subject of numerous systematic reviews in colorectal surgery and most of them have demonstrated a shortened in hospital stay after surgery, lower rate of complications and decreased hospital costs [3-6]. There are also reports about its use in vascular and urological surgery with good results [7-10]. However, there is a little evidence of its use in hepatobiliary surgery. A recent systematic review suggests that the application of Fast Track Surgery protocol appears to be safe and feasible [11].

In our hospital we have implemented a enhanced recovery protocol in patients undergoing biliodigestive derivation. The aim of this paper is to present our initial experience with this protocol.
MATERIALS AND METHODS
All patients who underwent some form of biliodigestive derivation, higher than 18 years, both sexes, under the Fast Track Surgery protocol from January 2012 to December 2013 were included. The protocol consists in to inform the patient what is going to happen during his/her hospital stay, what to expect and what is his/her role in the recovery, allow patient’s fluid intake 250ml up to two hours before the surgical event and, if the patient desired, a water jelly 150 ml. During surgery, either foley catheter or nasogastric tube is used if so required, which are removed before patient reversal from anesthesia; at the discretion of the surgical team judicious use of abdominal drains is performed only used if deemed necessary. All anastomoses were performed in a single layer with absorbable stitches 4-0. All the surgeries were performed under general anesthesia and high lumbar regional blockage. The site chosen for the incision is 2 cm below the right costal margin extending it to two or three inches beyond the left midline by following a hockey stick. Intravenous analgesia starts just finishing to intubate the patient and at the end of surgery is complemented. Then continue oral analgesia with tramadol + paracetamol tablets (325 mg and 37.5mg respectively) every 8 hours starting from two hours after having extubated (after verifying the proper state of consciousness of the patient) and ketorolac 30 mg IV every 6 hours. Taking adequate analgesia, patients are asked to begin mobilizing out of bed as soon as possible, first sitting in a chair and then walking. Once initiated mobilization out of bed, feeding by mouth starts just with liquids which progresses as the patient will tolerate and usually within the first 12 hours after surgery. Patient is discharged having adequate analgesia orally, tolerating diet, walking without presenting a contraindication for hospital discharge as systemic inflammatory response, uncontrolled pain or intolerance to the oral route. The specific protocol is available in Table I.
Descriptive statistics were used to express the data.
RESULTS
During the period, 12 patients underwent some form of biliodigestive derivation under the established protocol; 10 women and two men. Age was 41.94 ± 11.89 years. Nine patients underwent Hepp-Couinaud secondary to a biliary tract injury who were referred to our hospital. Three patients underwent common bile duct-duodenum anastomosis for palliation of advanced head pancreatic cancer. Surgery time was 5.24 ± 2.70 hrs. All patients undergoing Hepp-Couinaud were placed urinary catheter which was removed before patient reversed from anesthesia. 6 patients had nasogastric tube placed during surgery to remove the air passing into the gastric chamber during anesthetic induction and was removed before patient was extubated in all cases. By positioning the tube was obtained 10ml average of residual liquids ingested before surgery. Careful control of hemostasis was performed in all cases. No intra-abdominal drains were used. Respiratory exercises began in the room with the patient awake, this about 4 hours after surgery. The mobilization out of bed was given to 5.39 ± 2.50 hrs after surgery. The start time of the oral route was presented to 7.27 ± 2.68 hrs after surgery. 3 patients had cholangitis with piobilia documented and of these three, two patients had surgical site infection that was treated with cures. The hospital stay after surgery was 73.68 ± 43.81 hrs. Being higher in the 3 patients with biliary tract sepsis and inflammatory response and / or surgical wound infections. No readmissions occurred during the first 30 days after discharge. During the follow up 9.04 ± 6.85 months only one patient died due to pancreatic cancer for which he was carrying; the remaining patients continue under close monitoring at the office without complications due to the surgical procedure (Table II). Infected wounds were closed in a delayed manner. One of these two patients with severe cholangitis studied by computed tomography showed multiple colangiolares abscesses and one of them was broken and sealed with the diaphragm; pus from biliary tract during surgery was obtained and culture was positive for E. coli. This patient had a hospital stay of 8 days after surgery because of the need for close monitoring and the use of intravenous antibiotics.
DISCUSSION

The protocols of accelerated recovery have come to break paradigms around the perioperative environment of the patient. While it has been documented that general anesthesia reduces the protective laryngeal reflex and increases the risk of aspiration, has also been shown that subsequent to ingest a volume of 100 ml of solid or liquid food, the stomach only has about 10 ml of liquid material after an hour and about 30 ml of solid material after three hours. For a passive regurgitation and aspiration during anesthesia, some gastric volume must be present and this is estimated to be around 200ml. Preoperative fluid intake has been associated with better well-being, less thirst and dryness of the mouth and lower postoperative anxiety. Allow habitual coffee intake to coffee drinkers in the morning, can even reduce postoperative headache due to caffeine deprivation [12].

Other authors consider that fasting can be reduced safely up to 2 to 3 hours before the surgery [13]. Soreide et al. have shown that 4 hours of fasting are sufficient for a complete gastric emptying [14].

After open abdominal surgery, patients who were treated with an infusion of glucose during the night before surgery, presented a reduction in insulin resistance of 50% compared with patients who fasted the previous night [15]. Why give so much importance to insulin resistance in a patient undergoing any type of surgery? The insulin resistance is an important phenomenon that lasts up to 3 weeks after uncomplicated open abdominal surgery [16] and the degree of insulin resistance is an independent predictor of in-hospital stay [17].

The main objective of carbohydrate intake before surgery is to produce the change in metabolism that normally takes place when the patient eats breakfast.

Based on the previous, we can say that allowing fluid intake two hours before anesthesia for elective surgery is safe and improves subjective well-being of the patient. Furthermore, oral carbohydrate intake before surgery reduces surgical stress [12]. For this reason we allow our patients the intake of carbohydrate rich drinks or coffee and none of them developed reflux event during tracheal intubation and in patients in...
which nasogastric tube was used, the average output of such liquids through the same was 10 ml.

The principal factors to keep an inpatient after uncomplicated major abdominal surgery is the need for intravenous analgesia (aching), intravenous fluids (persistent bowel dysfunction) and bed rest (lack of mobility of the patient). These factors interact with each other and retard the patient reintegration to normal activities. Part of the protocol of Fast Track Surgery is to combat these factors with the aim of accelerating postoperative recovery of the patient and with it, decrease the time in which he/she can be incorporated into daily life. The fact that the patient has probes and catheters, prevent free movement either by fear of those devices or discomfort they generate.

There are studies which shows that the routine use of the nasogastric tube is not justified because there are no advantages over its use after intestinal surgery [18]. Studies have shown that the use of drains in the peritoneal cavity after intestinal resection and anastomosis do not reduce the incidence or severity of an anastomotic leak and its complications [19,20]. Therefore, in patients submitted to surgery on the Fast Track protocol Surgery, abdominal drains are not used routinely [21]. Likewise with the urinary catheter; if the surgery was performed without complications, its permanence may limit early mobility of the patient. All our patients left the operating room without nasogastric tubes, urinary catheters or drains into the abdominal cavity.

The main foundation of early postoperative mobilization of the patient depends on the pain control. A Cochrane review states that the optimal analgesia that allows early mobilization of the postoperative patient is the continuous epidural analgesia or local anesthesia based on opioids [22]. The employment of intravenous opioids does not produce the same effect as epidural analgesia. NSAIDs can provide additional analgesia and the combination of paracetamol and NSAIDs provide superior analgesia. Our patients were managed with epidural analgesia with 150 mg of 7.5% ropivacaine before reverting to general anesthesia, subsequently administered intravenously a dose of paracetamol and NSAIDs for later change the route of administration to the oral route.
A meta-analysis concludes that there are no advantages to keep a patient fasting after gastrointestinal resection [23]. Early feeding reduces the risk of infection and length of hospital stay. Also, early feeding was not associated with increased risk of distal anastomotic dehiscence feeding site. Therefore, the patient should be encouraged to start orally 4 hours after the surgical event. Bed rest only increases insulin resistance and the loss of muscle mass but decreases muscle strength, lung function and tissue oxygenation [24]. Therefore, the patient should be moved out of bed at least two hours on the day of surgery and the remaining days six hours until hospital discharge. On the basis of these principles, patients showed adequate analgesia, which allowed early mobilization out of bed and consequently allowed oral intake. Another objective of the program is to reduce hospital stay. Usually the biliodigestives surgeries are characterized by longer hospital stays for underlying pathology that is mostly a result of biliary injury. The traditional postoperative behavior is based on prolonged fasting due to anastomosis performed under the argument of intestinal healing. Most of our patients were discharged 48 hrs and 72 hrs after the surgical event (common bile duct-duodenum and Hepp-Couinaud respectively) but three of our patients, subjected to Hepp-Couinaud, presented sepsis syndrome associated with cholangitis and piobililia and two of them also had surgical site infections a positive culture for E. coli, so, despite having started early mobilization and orally, their hospital stay was prolonged due to the discomfort it caused their infection to the patient. We can not attribute the surgical site infection to the Fast Track Protocol, as these patients had an identified site of infection (bile duct) that caused contamination to the surgical site.

This is one of the first works that seek to implement an accelerated recovery for biliary surgery. An important limitation of our study is the small number of patients that we collect throughout the study period. In our hospital it has been difficult to break traditional paradigms on perioperative care of patients and few surgeons and anesthesiologists who are open to new knowledge and the possibility to change these paradigms and this is reflected in the small sample that we collect. Another limitation of our study is its way of being descriptive. We do not have a control group with which to
compare our results and give more validity and this is partly due to this type of surgery is performed mostly by the group of surgeons who carried out the study. It is important to mention, in this protocol, the patient plays an important role in their recovery so it is required to be well informed about what will happen before and after the surgical event, this includes their collaboration to get out of bed and start walking the day of surgery has been performed.

CONCLUSION
In summary the application of Fast Track Surgery protocol appears to be safe and feasible. However, it is necessary to expand the sample of patients.
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TABLES

Table 1: Fast Track Protocol followed in patients with biliodigestive derivation surgery.

| Patient preparation | Initial interview | Initial training | Breathing exercises | Preoperative physical activity |
|---------------------|-------------------|------------------|--------------------|-------------------------------|
|                     |                   |                  |                    | It seeks to the total empathy between patient, family and medical team and is explained in detail in the protocol that is such that they would be clear. It teaches the patient how to get out of bed with some help on the day of surgery and explain the advantages of wandering within hours of surgery; Will be explains to the patient the importance of not be afraid to breathe deeply despite the surgery being performed and is taught to the familiar as an adjunct in pulmonary physiotherapy for the patient. They are emphasizing the importance of nutrition as fast as possible and avoid prolonged preoperative fasting especially because they are patients with previous iatrogenic lesions or cancer that already if they have been depleted. 15 repetitions every 3 hrs. respecting nocturnal sleep, with respiratory exerciser or at least blow party balloons for previous inhales 48 hrs. before surgery. Frequent ambulation before surgery and exercises muscle tone of arms and legs is encouraged. |
| Preoperative oral route | Normal diet to 8 hrs. previous; water free demand until 3 hours before | 2 to 3 hours prior to surgery, 250 cc of fluid in any of the following ways: chamomile tea, coffee (both sweetened to taste) orange juice or apple and optionally 1 water jelly to 150 cc, whether oral medication requires up to 30 min before surgery with 30 cc of water. |
| Preparation of the digestive tract | Not required in any case |
|-----------------------------------|--------------------------|
| Trichotomy                        | No required              |
|                                   | Exceptionally only withdraw if clogged villi at the site of the incision just washing the patient |
| Anesthesia                        | General balanced + Mixed regional block + Preincisional Local anesthesia |
|                                   | Fentanyl + propofol + sevorane Heavy Bupivacaine 5% 12 to 15 mg at the onset of subarachnoid anesthesia and ropivacaine 7.5% 2 ml or 150 mg epidural when closing fascia. |
|                                   | Ropivacaine 7.5% diluted in 40 cc of saline solution to infiltrate incision site and infiltration of intercostal nerve points 6°, 7°, and 8° |
| Analgesia                         | Trans-operative intravenous |
|                                   | Postoperative (oral and intravenous) |
|                                   | Before impact, ketorolac 30 mg intravenous and fentanyl 3 mcgr / kg / hr and at the end of surgery is complemented by ketorolac 30-60 mg depending on the patient's weight. |
|                                   | Tramadol tablets + paracetamol (37.5mg and 325 mg respectively) every 8 hrs. Starting from the two hours after having extubated after verifying the proper state of surgery. |
Consciousness of the patient and ketorolac 30 mg IV every 6 hrs. or ketoprofen 100 mg IV every 12 hours until the withdrawal of the IV solutions after verifying good pain control with oral analgesics. Oral analgesia with ibuprofen 400 mg every 8 hrs replacing the endovenous. The patient was discharged with oral analgesics mentioned both by 3-5 days as required with interleaved schedules to always have a good analgesic effect but prevents the patient wakes up during the night to take drugs.

| Catheters and probes | Central venous catheter | Peripheral vascular Access | Foley | Nasogastric |
|----------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------------|-------|-------------|
|                      | It is placed only either if is required to apply damaging drugs to the vessels or is a priority determining central venous pressure. | One or two routes of short and thick venous access with catheters and usually at the end of surgery the patient leave the operating room with a single vascular access pathway. | During the transoperative if is expected a surgery more than 3 hrs. and removed at the end of surgery unless the patient pass to intensive therapy or requires detailed monitoring of liquids. | It is placed at the beginning of surgery only when the stomach is distended and removed before the patient emerges from anesthesia. In cases where is placed, is tapped and the stomach contents aspirated is measured. |

| Drains | Penrose 3/4 or drenovack 1/4 | No drains are placed unless the possibility of an anastomotic leak is suspected and always prefer to redo an anastomosis at the time if it is necessary instead put a |
drain. When a drain is placed, a closed one is preferred.

| Postoperative rest | In bed | On leaving the recovery room, starts in semifowler position in hospitalization bed: passive or active mobilization of limbs inside the bed.
It passes the patient to a reposet or chair, 8-16 hrs. after surgery unless there is a contraindication for this. After the first 4 hours in semifowler positions the patients begins to repositioning going from sitting up in bed to sitting with feet out of bed and finally sitting out of bed except to be night time in which case starts the next morning. We encourage the patient to urinate out of bed and go to the bathroom to evacuate. |
| Post-operative (POP) Ambulation | Day 0 POP | Starts 4-16 hrs. after surgery; Assisted short walks around the bed and near the stall where it is located.
The day after the surgery, are made in an average of 3 walks of 50, 100 and 200m and go the bathroom to bathe and perform their stools.
The second day at least 3 walks 200m each. |
| Day 1 POP | Day 2 POP | 
| Start of oral route | Medicaments | The oral medication can initiate even 2 hrs. after waking from anesthesia with 30-50 ml of water. Water intake is allowed to tolerance from the first 6 hrs. of completed the surgery
Clear liquids 6 hours after surgery. The next day normal diet low in fats is allowed and empowers the patient to eat only what he/she wants except that the patient is |
| Water | Food | 

| Therapy Type          | Procedure                          | Instructions                                                                                                                                 |
|----------------------|------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Respiratory therapy  | Breathing exercises, Pulmonary percussion | 15 repetitions every 3 hrs. with inspiratory device respecting nocturnal sleep and if not have this device, at least with balloon inflation with deep breaths before each insufflation. Percussions on the back with the palm of the hand provided by the family every 3 hrs. during the day, respecting the patient’s sleep. |
| Antithrombotic measures | Pharmacological, Mechanical | Enoxaparin 40 mg SC every 24 hrs. From admission except that have altered times and for 1 week after surgery if the daily mobility is inadequate. Graduated compression stockings to upper third of thighs until the patient wanders at least 5 times a day or more than 600m per day for two consecutive days. |
| Bandages and girdles  | Abdominal                          | Are not used. Their use is avoided and we explain to the patient before surgery its exceptional use.                                          |
| Use of stair         |                                    | Use them once the patient goes home as if asleep upstairs, down to the dining room to eat each time corresponds intake is encouraged.       |
Table 2: Specific characteristics of patients.

| Patient | Gender | Age* | Urinary catheter | NGT | Gastric residual volume** | Surgery Performed | Surgical Time ‡ | Mobilization out of bed‡ | Starting oral route ‡ | Follow up† | HS post surgery‡ |
|---------|--------|------|------------------|-----|--------------------------|------------------|----------------|-------------------------|----------------------|------------|-----------------|
| 1       | F      | 28   | Yes              | Yes | 8                        | Hepp-Couinaud    | 7.5            | 4.4                     | 6.5                  | 12         | 120             |
| 2       | F      | 35   | Yes              | No  | -                        | Hepp-Couinaud    | 6.3            | 5.4                     | 7.3                  | 20         | 72              |
| 3       | F      | 45   | Yes              | No  | -                        | Hepp-Couinaud    | 8.3            | 6.3                     | 8.5                  | 15         | 72              |
| 4       | M      | 55   | No               | No  | -                        | Common bile duct-duodeno | 3              | 5                       | 7                    | 6          | 48              |
| 5       | F      | 48   | Yes              | Yes | 10                       | Hepp-Couinaud    | 8.3            | 6.4                     | 8.2                  | 24         | 192             |
| 6       | F      | 45   | Yes              | Yes | 13                       | Hepp-Couinaud    | 9.4            | 6.7                     | 7.1                  | 20         | 144             |
| 7       | F      | 65   | No               | No  | -                        | Common bile duct-duodeno | 2.5            | 3.5                     | 5.2                  | 4          | 48              |
| No | Gender | Age | Have GT | Have NGT | NGT Complications | Hepp-Couinaud | Duration of NGT | Duration of HS | Quantity Expressed | Quantity Expressed |
|----|--------|-----|---------|----------|-------------------|---------------|----------------|----------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| 8  | F      | 40  | Yes     | Yes      | 8                 | Hepp-Couinaud Common | 10.2          | 13.2            | 15.6             | 8                 | 96                 |
| 9  | F      | 63  | No      | Yes      | 9                 | Hepp-Couinaud Common | 2.3           | 3.6             | 5.2              | 5                 | 48                 |
| 10 | F      | 38  | Yes     | No       | -                 | Hepp-Couinaud Common | 7.5           | 6.2             | 8.5              | 6                 | 72                 |
| 11 | F      | 29  | Yes     | Yes      | 12                | Hepp-Couinaud Common | 7.7           | 5.4             | 7                | 18                | 72                 |
| 12 | M      | 47  | Yes     | No       | -                 | Hepp-Couinaud Common | 8.3           | 6.2             | 8                | 14                | 72                 |

Abbreviations: NGT: Nasogastric tube. HS: Hospital stay. *Quantity expressed in years. ** Quantity expressed in milliliters. † Quantity expressed in months. ‡ Quantity expressed in hours.
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