A newly discovered behavior (‘tail-belting’) among wild rodents in sub zero conditions
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Rodents are among the most successful mammals because they have the ability to adapt to a broad range of environmental conditions. Here, we present the first record of a previously unknown thermal adaptation to cold stress that repeatedly occurred in two species of non-commensal rodents (Apodemus flavicollis and Apodemus agrarius). The classic rodent literature implies that rodents prevent heat loss via a broad range of behavioral adaptations including sheltering, sitting on their tails, curling into a ball, or huddling with conspecifics. Here, we have repeatedly observed an undescribed behavior which we refer to as “tail-belting”. This behavior was performed under cold stress, whereby animals lift and curl the tail medially, before resting it on the dorsal, medial rump while feeding or resting. We documented 115 instances of the tail-belting behavior; 38 in Apodemus agrarius, and 77 in Apodemus flavicollis. Thermal imaging data show the tails remained near ambient temperature even when temperatures were below 0 °C. Since the tail-belting occurred only when the temperature dropped below −6.9 °C (for A. flavicollis) and −9.5 °C (for A. agrarius), we surmise that frostbite prevention may be the primary reason for this adaptation. It is likely that tail-belting has not previously been documented because free-ranging mice are rarely-recorded in the wild under extreme cold conditions. Given that these animals are so closely-related to laboratory rodents, this knowledge could potentially be relevant to researchers in various disciplines. We conclude by setting several directions for future research in this area.

The yellow-necked mouse, Apodemus flavicollis (Melchior 1834), and the striped field mouse, Apodemus agrarius (Pallas 1771), are small non-commensal rodents in the family Muridae. They are common across Eurasia, and when conditions are favorable, reproduce rapidly to form numerous populations1. Despite being plentiful, these mice have not been well-studied in their natural environment, particularly during colder seasons. This is primarily because they occur further away from human settlements than more common mice (e.g., Mus musculus), and remain in burrows during the winter2. However, by accident, while providing artificial shelters and food for our behavioral assays (e.g.,3–5), we unknowingly witnessed an uncommon amount of behavior during cold weather, when animals should otherwise be sheltering. The purpose of this paper is to record a previously undocumented behavior among these two species, and to place this behavior in context with that of related species. For instance, if two disparate species share this behavior, and given how little research occurs in burrowing mice during cold seasons, then there is a high likelihood that other mice also produce this behavior.

Despite the differences in their biology and ecology, both A. flavicollis and A. agrarius have become successful in the same types of environments, including urban and peri-urban areas1,6. However, unlike Mus species and other commensal rodents, these Apodemus species are not dependent on human refuse for food, and are therefore, less likely to be observed close to human residences. Though they are currently considered in the same genus, there were enough fundamental differences between them (e.g., morphological, biochemical, and gene location (rearrangement on chromosomes))7–13 that they were previously positioned within two different subgenera (where A. agrarius was assigned to the Apodemus subgenus and A. flavicollis to Sylvaemus). The former classification is still used by some authors7–13.

Adaptations to cold stress. Similar to other rodents, the success of both Apodemus species is largely due to their ability to adapt to highly-variable environmental conditions8–16. Indeed, A. flavicollis and A. agrarius are
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among the best examples of rodents demonstrating tolerance to a broad range of environmental conditions and thus, comprise populations that are widely-distributed from high to low latitudes of Eurasia. They first ranged from the southern areas of Scandinavia through western, central, and Mediterranean areas to the northern coast of Africa. Later, two ranges were formed; the western range, covering the south-east Scandinavia through the central and eastern Europe to northern Balkans and central Asia; and the far eastern range, from the south of Russia through eastern China, including the Pacific coast. As a result, both species persist in disparate areas across temperate, subtropical, and tropical climates. A. agrarius is also found in the continental climate zones where seasonal and daily temperature fluctuations can range from ca. 30 °C to – 30 °C17–19.

Given the widespread distribution, these two species could be excellent rodent models for studies on adaptations to extreme temperatures. Such previous studies have included efficient mechanisms of thermoregulation studied at the molecular and sub-cellular levels39, basal metabolic rate and thermogenesis21–23, and behavioral mechanisms such as social thermoregulation24,25. In many studies of thermoregulation in endotherms, particular emphasis is given to characteristics of the protruding, exposed parts of the body26–30. The presence of exposed organs can be a challenge when the ambient temperature drops below thermoneutrality, thus they must have mechanisms to prevent heat loss. This strategy results in vasoconstriction that reduces blood flow and helps retain heat31,32.

Other mechanisms protecting against heat loss are countercurrent heat exchangers closely-spaced vessels, often organized in retes, supplying warm blood to the protruding parts of the body and drawing cool blood. This process allows heat to radiate from arterial to venous blood before it reaches the periphery of the protruding organs, where it could be significantly cooled31. This approach occurs in such species as sloths33, cetaceans34 and turtles35. But among rats (Rattus spp.), countercurrent heat exchangers are not likely to be involved in preventing the loss of heat from tails as it is the case in appendages of some mammals—here a mechanism based on vasodilation/vasoconstriction plays a key role36,37. Whereas, in Mus musculus, tails appear to contribute little to thermoregulation38.

The threats that could result from the destabilization of the body’s temperature balance, can also be mitigated behaviorally. In rodents, behavioral adaptations include changes in foraging behavior in the Degu (Octodon degus39) and deer mice (Peromyscus maniculatus40). This seems to imply that, for these species, thermoregulatory abilities may actually be more crucial than mitigating threats from predators41. Avoiding thermal stress may also involve modifying essential life tasks, such as finding resources at different times between day and night (desert woodrat, Neotoma lepida42) and different seasons (common vole, Microtus arvalis43). For a recent review of the latter, see44. Behavioral thermoregulation is also associated with the exploitation of various thermal refuges, such as vegetation plant cover45,46.

Another behavioral phenomenon observed at low temperature is curling into a ball-like posture in order to keep warm, and adopting this posture to reduce the surface-to-volume ratio47. Curling, commonly observed in mammals, including domestic pets, has also been described in the rodent literature46–50. In many animals, such reductions in body surface area also involve setting the protruding parts of the body so that they adhere to its surface as much as possible51,52. This behavior is, perhaps, also important to protect them from damage, such as by frostbite, as the trunk temperature is usually higher and kept relatively constant compared to these protruding parts of the body.

A similar phenomenon, which we now refer to as ‘tail-beltling’, where the animal lifts and may curl the tail medially, before resting it on the dorsal, medial rump, was observed in both A. flavicollis and A. agrarius, during feeding and resting between feeding bouts at our artificial shelter4. We collected 4 different temperature levels with A. flavicollis and 5 different temperature levels with A. agrarius. The behavior occurred when the surrounding temperature was below – 6.9 °C. In A. flavicollis, and – 9.5 °C in A. agrarius. However, we only document the appearance of this behavior, and we do not make any apriori hypotheses, nor do we test assumptions. As far as we know, this phenomenon has not been described in the literature, and here we systematically document the occurrence under particular circumstances.

Methods

The observed behavior was recorded during a field study conducted on free-living colonies of yellow-necked mice (Apodemus flavicollis) and striped field mice (Apodemus agrarius) on a private, suburban property in Warsaw, central Poland (52°20′ N 21°03′ E, altitude: 80 m). The experiment took place between 1 November, 2020 and 15 March, 2021 during the Winter season. Temperatures during this period ranged from + 16 °C to – 20 °C. Based on direct and video observations over five months, we estimate the population size of each colony to be in excess of 10 individuals of each species. Individual recognition was sometimes possible based on distinctive color and shape of tail.

The study included continuous video recording of two chambers which were constructed to test individual responses to scents from conspecifics and/or predators5. The chambers were constructed from 12 mm waterproof plywood and painted with odorless paint. The internal floor dimensions were 35 × 40 cm with a wall height of 70 cm. Chambers were deployed near cover beside bushes in the natural habitat of neighboring forest and meadows. Malleable bent sewer pipes (70 mm diameter and 50 cm length; Certus, Cieszyn, Poland) were connected to entrance holes. The bottom of the chambers was covered with 1 cm of rinsed sand and replaced twice per week. Animals were baited to the chambers nightly at dusk with 5 g of chocolate-nut cream (Nuss Milk Krem; i.e., Nutella33–35) placed on 70 mm Petri dishes (PRO Scientific Inc., Oxford, CT, USA).

For continuous surveillance, we used three infrared cameras (Easycam EC-116-SCH; Naples, FL, USA) connected to a digital video recorder (Easycam EC-7804T; Naples, FL, USA). This setup enabled 24/7 motion detection recording for the duration of the study. Following several observations of this behavior, we deployed a
thermal imaging (Seek Thermal Shot SW-AAA camera; Santa Barbara, CA, USA), and recorded two visits to the chambers by yellow-necked mice (both at + 1.5 °C) and five instances of visits by striped field mice (at − 1.5 °C, − 2.5 °C, 5 °C, 6 °C, and 8 °C).

Ethics statement. This observational study was a non-invasive experiment based on the surveillance of free-ranging animals that were free to enter or ignore experimental chambers with food and video cameras. Thus, it did not require permission of the local ethics committee for animal experimentation. The study was carried out on private land with permission of its owners, and all procedures were conducted in accordance with the Polish Animal Protection Act (21 August, 1997). The study was designed and carried out in compliance with the ARRIVE guidelines56.

Results
We recorded 115 instances of tail-belting (38 in A. agrarius and 77 in A. flavicollis) during five months of continuous observation of the two colonies. Within the 5-month period, the only instances of tail-belting occurred between January 16 and February 11, 2021 during a particularly harsh winter period in Warsaw, Poland (Supplemental Table 1). Given the number of incidents and the colony size of both species, it is possible that over a dozen animals of each species displayed this behavior. While we could not always identify individuals due to their somewhat uniform appearance, we can be certain that at least 8 individuals (4 of each species) displayed this behavior. We were able to distinguish the 8 individuals due to observable differences in coat patterns, body size, and individual characteristics such as scars, or crooked tails. The lowest chamber temperature recorded during foraging was − 17 °C for A. flavicollis (Fig. 1; Supplemental Video 1) and − 14.5 °C for A. agrarius (Supplemental Video 2). While animals were recorded across many temperatures during the 5 months, tail-belting was first recorded when the temperature dropped to − 6.9 °C in A. flavicollis and − 9.5 °C in A. agrarius (Fig. 1). Thermal images showed the temperature of the tail dropping well below trunk temperature, and, in some cases, equaling ambient temperature below 0 °C for both species (Fig. 2; Supplemental Videos S1, 2). The frequency of tail-belting may have increased with additional decreases in temperature (Supplemental Table 1), though we did not quantify this number.

Discussion
We have documented over one hundred instances of tail-belting, a previously undescribed behavior, among two non-commensal rodents under cold stress in Warsaw, Poland. Because this behavior only occurs in sub-zero temperatures, it is most likely an adaptation to prevent frostbite of an exposed, protruding appendage, or freezing of the tail to a surface. Indeed, we assume frostbite prevention, and not decreased heat loss, is the most likely explanation for two reasons. Firstly, thermovision (Fig. 2, Supplemental Videos 1, 2) showed that the temperature of the tails dropped well below that of the trunk, and equaled ambient temperature even in sub-zero temperatures. Secondly, tails appear to contribute very little to thermoregulation among mice56.

Figure 1. Video stills from IR cameras showing visible tail-belting in mice. (A–C) Yellow-necked mice; (D–F) Striped field mice. (A) and (D) show the lowest temperature that tail-belting was recorded at for each of the two species, (C) and (F) show the highest temperature that tail-belting was recorded at for the two species. Red arrows indicate the position of the tail being belted.
Tail-belting, despite the visual resemblance to common tail curling (the widespread behavior among i.a., cats, dogs, foxes, and lemurs—see52,57–61) seems to be different in function. The purpose of curling tails, especially hairy tails, is to cover other body parts to keep them warm. However, these papers are mainly discussed as observations rather than strictly quantified52,57–61. Among larger rodents, a similar tail curl is observed, e.g., in Norway rats and water rats in the cold62,63. This behavior is necessary to reduce heat loss by appendages protruding from the body's surface. But here again, there are no data to evaluate the assumptions.

Overall, there is an abundance of information on the contribution of tails to thermoregulation. The tails of rats, beavers, muskrats, foxes, rabbits, and many others contribute to thermoregulation64–68. Of course, in these instances, when the ambient temperature drops, the mechanisms guaranteeing the outflow of heat in the tails are turned off, so they cease to function as a radiator. However, there is a clear gap in the data as to what the body does to protect the tails in such conditions, especially when the temperature drops to dangerous values for the tissues that form tails. Apart from behavior data, there is a little information available on antifreeze proteins in mammals' tails69.

Given that rodents are among the most successful and best-known animals, particularly the genus Mus15, we can only assume this behavior has not previously been documented because of the difficulty of observing small, free-ranging rodent species in sub-optimal conditions in the wild. Additionally, mice minimize foraging in winter while remaining in burrows and consuming hoarded food. Under natural conditions (e.g., without access to our experimental chambers with food), during temperatures when this tail-belting behavior is prominent, most mice would likely not even come out of their burrows. These individuals may have ventured out only because we provided a consistent, aromatic and highly-palatable food on a daily basis for months before and after the cold temperatures of the particularly harsh winter of 2021 in Warsaw, Poland. Indeed, we suspect we only observed this behavior because we set up trials intending to record and assess behaviors in the presence or absence of particular scents near a food reward. Given the relatively distant relatedness between the two species9,13 it is likely that this behavior also occurs in other rodents, particularly free-ranging animals that usually remain inside burrows in colder climates.

Future studies should be determined by experts in the area of thermal ecology or laboratory researchers interested in this behavior. Examples might include obtaining precise temperature measurements of body parts using thermo-vision cameras70 as well as morphological and histological comparisons of the species. Precise linear studies should ensue to determine if decreasing temperatures below the threshold increase the frequency of this behavior. Anecdotally, it appears that this was the case. However, we do not know if temperature is the only factor that causes this behavior. It is unknown whether anyone has reduced the temperature in the laboratory in attempts to induce the behavior in other species. M. musculus is currently the primary model for studying frostbite injuries, however, this is not done by lowering the temperature, but instead by adhering frozen magnets to the skin71. Thus, tail-belting would not have been expressed by M. musculus under these conditions. Moving forward, this behavior could also be sought out within laboratory conditions, with direct comparisons between the two Apodemus species and Mus.

Mice assays are very popular throughout science and account for more than 60% of all laboratory assays with animals used in research in Europe23. Thus, there should be many opportunities to examine whether this behavior is also observed among laboratory animals. We suspect that this behavior is an unconditioned reflex, perhaps if this is shown to be the case, then studies could seek to determine a corresponding neural pathway.

Figure 2. Thermal images showing temperature of the tail equaling ambient temperature (for NETD = 70mK). (A–C) a striped field mouse; (D) a yellow-necked mouse. NETD = noise equivalent temperature difference.
Regardless of the mechanism, it appears that this behavior is yet another example, among many, of how rodents have become one of the most diverse, adaptable and successful taxa.
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