The proteome of the malaria plastid organelle, a key anti-parasitic target
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Summary

The apicoplast is an essential plastid organelle in malaria parasites (*Plasmodium* spp.) and a validated anti-parasitic target. A major hurdle to uncovering cryptic apicoplast pathways required for malaria pathogenesis is the lack of an organellar proteome. Here we combine proximity biotinylation-based proteomics (BioID) and a new machine learning algorithm to generate the first high-confidence apicoplast proteome consisting of 346 proteins. Critically, the accuracy of this proteome significantly outperforms previous prediction-based methods. Half of identified proteins have unknown function, and 77% are predicted to be important for normal blood-stage growth. We validate the apicoplast localization of a subset of novel proteins and show that an ATP-binding cassette protein ABCF1 is essential for blood-stage survival and plays a previously unknown role in apicoplast biogenesis. These findings indicate critical organellar functions for newly-discovered apicoplast proteins. The apicoplast proteome will be an important resource for elucidating unique pathways and prioritizing antimalarial drug targets.

Introduction

Identification of new antimalarial drug targets is urgently needed to address emerging resistance to all currently available therapies. However, nearly half of the *Plasmodium falciparum* genome encodes conserved proteins of unknown function (Aurrecoechea et al., 2017), obscuring critical pathways required for malaria pathogenesis. The apicoplast is an essential, non-photosynthetic plastid found in *Plasmodium* spp. and related apicomplexan pathogens (McFadden et al., 1996; Kohler et al., 1997). This unusual organelle is an enriched source of both novel cellular pathways and parasite-specific drug targets (van Dooren and Striepen, 2013). It was acquired by secondary (i.e., eukaryote-eukaryote) endosymbiosis and has...
evolutionarily diverged from the primary endosymbiotic organelles found in model organisms.
While some aspects of apicoplast biology are shared with bacteria, mitochondria, and primary chloroplasts, many are unique to the secondary plastid in this parasite lineage. For example, novel translocons import apicoplast proteins through specialized membranes derived from secondary endosymbiosis (Agrawal et al., 2009; Kalanon et al., 2009; Spork et al., 2009; Agrawal et al., 2013), while the parasite’s pared-down metabolism necessitates export of key metabolites from the apicoplast using as-yet unidentified small molecule transporters (Ralph et al., 2004; Sheiner et al., 2013).

These novel cellular pathways, which are also distinct from human host cells, can be exploited for antimalarial drug discovery. Indeed, antimalarials that target apicoplast pathways are currently in use as prophylactics or partner drugs (doxycycline, clindamycin) or have been tested in clinical trials (fosmidomycin) (Jomaa et al., 1999; Dahl et al., 2006; Dahl and Rosenthal, 2007; Goodman et al., 2007; Stanway et al., 2009). However, known apicoplast drug targets have been limited to the handful of pathways identified by homology to plastid-localized pathways in model organisms. Meanwhile the number of druggable apicoplast targets, including those in unique secondary plastid pathways, is likely more extensive (Amberg-Johnson et al., 2017).

A major hurdle to identifying novel, parasite-specific pathways and prioritizing new apicoplast targets is the lack of a well-defined organellar proteome. So far, the apicoplast has not been isolated in sufficient yield or purity for traditional organellar proteomics. Instead, large-scale, unbiased identification of apicoplast proteins has relied on bioinformatic prediction of apicoplast targeting sequences (Zuegge et al., 2001; Foth et al., 2003; Cilingir et al., 2012). These prediction algorithms identify hundreds of putative apicoplast proteins but contain
numerous false positives. Validation of these low-confidence candidate apicoplast proteins is slow due to the genetic intractability of \textit{P. falciparum} parasites. Unbiased identification of apicoplast proteins in an accurate and high-throughput manner would significantly enhance our ability to study novel apicoplast pathways and would suggest new antimalarial drug targets.

BioID and other cellular proximity labeling methods are attractive techniques that enable accurate identification of organellar proteins (Roux et al., 2012). In BioID, a promiscuous biotin ligase, BirA*, is fused to a bait protein and catalyzes biotinylation of neighbor proteins in intact cells. Proximity labeling methods have been used to spatially map the proteomes of subcellular compartments in diverse organisms, including the \textit{Plasmodium} parasitophorous vacuole and parasitophorous vacuole membrane (Khosh-Naucke et al., 2018; Schnider et al., 2018), \textit{Toxoplasma gondii} micronemes and dense granules (Chen et al., 2015; Nadipuram et al., 2016; Chen et al., 2017), \textit{Trypanosoma brucei} bilobe and basal bodies (Morriswood et al., 2013; Dang et al., 2017), and human mitochondria (Rhee et al., 2013; Hung et al., 2014), nuclear pore complexes (Kim et al., 2014), centrosome-cilium interface (Gupta et al., 2015), synaptic clefts (Loh et al., 2016), and mitochondrial-ER contact sites (Hung et al., 2017). Here, we used BioID to perform large-scale identification of the \textit{P. falciparum} apicoplast proteome during asexual blood-stage growth. These proteomic identifications helped us develop an improved neural network prediction algorithm, PlastNN. We now report a high-confidence, compiled apicoplast proteome of 346 proteins rich in novel and essential functions.

\section*{Results}

The promiscuous biotin ligase BirA* is functional in the \textit{P. falciparum} apicoplast and endoplasmic reticulum
To target the promiscuous biotin ligase BirA* to the apicoplast, the N-terminus of a GFP-BirA* fusion protein was modified with the apicoplast-targeting leader sequence from acyl carrier protein (ACP) (Figure 1A). Since apicoplast proteins transit the parasite endoplasmic reticulum (ER) en route to the apicoplast (Waller et al., 2000), we also generated a negative control in which GFP-BirA* was targeted to the ER via an N-terminal signal peptide and a C-terminal ER-retention motif (Figure 1A). Each of these constructs was integrated into an ectopic locus in Dd2attB parasites (Nkrumah et al., 2006) to generate BioID-Ap and BioID-ER parasites (Figure S1). Live imaging of these parasites confirmed GFP-BirA* localization to a branched structure characteristic of the apicoplast or a perinuclear region characteristic of the ER, respectively (Figure 1B).

To test the functionality of the GFP-BirA* fusions in the apicoplast and ER, we labeled either untransfected Dd2attB, BioID-Ap, or BioID-ER parasites with DMSO or 50 µM biotin and assessed biotinylation by western blotting and fixed-cell fluorescent imaging. As has been reported (Khosh-Naucke et al., 2018), significant labeling of GFP-BirA*-expressing parasites above background was achieved even in the absence of biotin supplementation, suggesting that the 0.8 µM biotin in RPMI growth medium is sufficient for labeling (Figure 1C). Addition of 50 µM biotin further increased protein biotinylation. Fluorescence imaging of biotinylated proteins revealed staining consistent with apicoplast morphology in BioID-Ap parasites and the ER and other endomembrane structures in BioID-ER parasites (Figure 1D). These results confirm that GFP-BirA* fusions are active in the P. falciparum apicoplast and ER and can be used for compartment-specific biotinylation of proteins.

Proximity-dependent labeling (BioID) generates an improved apicoplast proteome dataset
For large-scale identification of apicoplast proteins, biotinylated proteins from late-stage BioID-Ap and BioID-ER parasites were purified using streptavidin-conjugated beads and identified by mass spectrometry. A total of 728 unique *P. falciparum* proteins were detected in the apicoplast and/or ER based on presence in at least 2 of 4 biological replicates and at least 2 unique spectral matches in any single mass spectrometry run (Figure 2A and Table S1). The abundance of each protein in apicoplast and ER samples was calculated by summing the total MS1 area of all matched peptides and normalizing to the total MS1 area of all detected *P. falciparum* peptides within each mass spectrometry run.

To assess the ability of our dataset to distinguish between true positives and negatives, we then generated control lists of 96 known apicoplast and 451 signal peptide-containing non-apicoplast proteins based on published localizations and pathways (Table S2). Consistent with an enrichment of apicoplast proteins in BioID-Ap samples, we observed a clear separation of known apicoplast and non-apicoplast proteins based on apicoplast:ER abundance ratio (Figure 2A). Based on the apicoplast:ER abundance ratio, we considered the 187 proteins that were ≥5-fold enriched in apicoplast samples (Figure 2A, dotted line) to be the BioID apicoplast proteome (Table S1). This dataset included 50 of the 96 positive control proteins for a sensitivity of 52%. Further, manual inspection of the proteins on the ≥5-fold enriched apicoplast list identified 54 true positives and 5 likely false positives (Table S1) for a positive predictive value (PPV; the estimated fraction of proteins on the list that are true positives) of 92%.

To benchmark our dataset against the current standard for large-scale identification of apicoplast proteins, we compared the sensitivity and PPV of our apicoplast BioID proteome to those from three published bioinformatic algorithms: PATS (Zuegge et al., 2001), PlasmoAP (Foth et al., 2003), and ApicoAP (Cilingir et al., 2012) (Table S3). At 52% sensitivity, apicoplast
BioID identified fewer known apicoplast proteins than PATS or PlasmoAP, which had
sensitivities of 89% and 84%, respectively, but outperformed the 40% sensitivity of ApicoAP
(Figure 2B). However, we expected that the advantages of apicoplast BioID would be the ability
to detect proteins without classical targeting presequences and its improved discrimination
between true and false positives (Figure 2A). Indeed, bioinformatic algorithms had poor PPVs
ranging from 19%-36% compared to the 92% PPV of BioID (Figure 2C). Even a dataset
consisting only of proteins predicted by all three algorithms achieved a PPV of just 25%.
Consistent with these low PPVs, many proteins predicted by the bioinformatic algorithms are not
enriched in BioID-Ap samples, suggesting that many of these proteins are likely to be false
positives (Figure S2). Altogether, identification of apicoplast proteins using BioID provided a
dramatic improvement in prediction performance over bioinformatic algorithms.

**Apicoplast BioID identifies proteins of diverse functions in multiple subcompartments**

To determine whether lumenally targeted GFP-BirA* exhibited any labeling preferences,
we assessed proteins identified based on the presence of transmembrane domains, their sub-
organellar localization, and their functions. First, we determined the proportion of the 187
proteins identified by apicoplast BioID that are membrane proteins. To ensure that proteins were
not classified as membrane proteins solely due to misclassification of a signal peptide as a
transmembrane domain, we considered a protein to be in a membrane only if it contained at least
one predicted transmembrane domain more than 80 amino acids from the protein’s N-terminus
(as determined by annotation in PlasmoDB). These criteria suggested that 11% of identified
proteins (20/187) were likely membrane proteins (Figure 3A), indicating that lumenal GFP-
BirA* can label apicoplast membrane proteins.
Second, apicoplast proteins may localize to one or multiple sub-compartments defined by the four apicoplast membranes. It was unclear whether BirA* targeted to the lumen would label proteins in non-lumenal compartments. Based on literature descriptions, we classified the 96 known apicoplast proteins on our positive control list as either luminal (present in luminal space or on the innermost apicoplast membrane) or non-lumenal (all other sub-compartments) and determined the proportion that were identified in our dataset. Apicoplast BioID identified 56% (45/81) of the classified luminal proteins and 33% (5/15) of the non-lumenal proteins (Figure 3B), suggesting that the GFP-BirA* bait used can label both luminal and non-lumenal proteins but may have a preference for luminal proteins (though this difference did not reach statistical significance).

Finally, we characterized the functions of proteins identified by apicoplast BioID. We grouped positive control apicoplast proteins into functional categories and assessed the proportion of proteins identified from each functional group (Figure 3C). BioID identified a substantial proportion (67-100%) of proteins in four apicoplast pathways that are essential in blood stage and localize to the apicoplast lumen, specifically DNA replication, protein translation, isoprenoid biosynthesis, and iron-sulfur cluster biosynthesis. Conversely, BioID identified few proteins involved in heme or fatty acid biosynthesis (0% and 17%, respectively), which are luminal pathways that are non-essential in the blood-stage and which are likely to be more abundant in other life cycle stages (Yu et al., 2008; Vaughan et al., 2009; Pei et al., 2010; Nagaraj et al., 2013; Ke et al., 2014). We achieved moderate coverage of proteins involved in protein quality control (44%) and redox regulation (38%). Consistent with the reduced labeling of non-lumenal apicoplast proteins, only a small subset (29%) of proteins involved in import of nuclear-encoded apicoplast proteins were identified. Overall, apicoplast BioID identified soluble
and membrane proteins of diverse functions in multiple apicoplast compartments with higher
coverage for luminal proteins required during blood-stage infection.

The PlastNN algorithm expands the predicted apicoplast proteome with high accuracy

Apicoplast BioID provided the first experimental profile of the blood-stage apicoplast
proteome but is potentially limited in sensitivity due to 1) difficulty in detecting low abundance
peptides in complex mixtures; 2) inability of the promiscuous biotin ligase to access target
proteins that are buried in membranes or protein complexes; or 3) stage-specific protein
expression. Currently-available bioinformatic predictions of apicoplast proteins circumvent these
limitations, albeit at the expense of a low PPV (Figure 2C). We reasoned that increasing the
number of high-confidence apicoplast proteins used to train algorithms could improve the
accuracy of a prediction algorithm while maintaining high sensitivity. In addition, inclusion of
exported proteins that traffic through the ER, which are common false positives in previous
prediction algorithms, would also improve our negative training set.

We used our list of previously known apicoplast proteins (Table S2) as well as newly-
identified apicoplast proteins from BioID (Table S1) to construct a positive training set of 205
apicoplast proteins (Table S4). As a negative training set, we used our previous list of 451 signal
peptide-containing non-apicoplast proteins (Table S2). For each of the 656 proteins in the
training set, we calculated the frequencies of all 20 canonical amino acids in a 50 amino acid
region immediately following the predicted signal peptide cleavage site. In addition, given that
apicoplast proteins have a characteristic transcriptional profile in blood-stage parasites (Bozdech
et al., 2003) and that analysis of transcriptional profile has previously enabled identification of
apicoplast proteins in the related apicomplexan Toxoplasma gondii (Sheiner et al., 2011), we
obtained transcript levels at 8 time points during intraerythrocytic development from previous RNA-Seq data (Bartfai et al., 2010). Altogether, each protein was represented by a vector of dimension 28 (20 amino acid frequencies plus 8 transcript levels). These 28-dimensional vectors were used as inputs to train a neural network with 3 hidden layers (Figure 4A and Table S5). Six-fold cross-validation was used for training, wherein the training set was divided into 6 equal parts (folds) to train 6 separate models. Each time, 5 folds were used to train the model and 1 fold to measure the performance of the trained model.

We named this model PlastNN (ApicoPLAST Neural Network). PlastNN recognized apicoplast proteins with a cross-validation accuracy of 96 ± 3% (mean ± s.d. across 6 models), along with sensitivity of 95 ± 5%, and PPV of 94 ± 4% (Figure 4B). This performance was higher than logistic regression on the same dataset (average accuracy = 91%). Combining the transcriptome features and the amino acid frequencies improves performance: the same neural network architecture with amino acid frequencies alone as input resulted in a lower average accuracy of 91%, while using transcriptome data alone resulted in an average accuracy of 90% (Table S6). Comparison of the performance of PlastNN to existing prediction algorithms indicates that PlastNN distinguishes apicoplast and non-apicoplast proteins with higher accuracy than any previous prediction method (Figure 4C). To identify new apicoplast proteins, PlastNN was used to predict the apicoplast status of 450 predicted signal peptide-containing proteins that were not in our positive or negative training sets. Since PlastNN is composed of 6 models, we designated proteins as “apicoplast” if plastid localization was predicted by ≥4 of the 6 models. PlastNN predicts 118 out of the 450 proteins to be targeted to the apicoplast (Table S7). Combining these results with those from apicoplast BioID (Table S1) and with experimental
Localization of proteins from the literature (Table S2) yielded a compiled proteome of 346 putative nuclear-encoded apicoplast proteins (Table S8).

The apicoplast proteome contains a multitude of novel and essential proteins

To determine whether candidate apicoplast proteins from this study have the potential to reveal unexplored parasite biology or are candidate antimalarial drug targets, we assessed the novelty and essentiality of the identified proteins. We found that substantial fractions of the BioID and PlastNN proteomes (49% and 71%, respectively) and 50% of the compiled apicoplast proteome represented proteins that could not be assigned to an established apicoplast pathway and therefore might be involved in novel organelar processes (Figure 5A). Furthermore, we identified orthologs of identified genes in the 150 genomes present in the OrthoMCL database (Chen et al., 2006): 39% of the compiled apicoplast proteome were unique to apicomplexan parasites, with 58% of these proteins found only in Plasmodium spp. (Figure 5B). This analysis indicates that many of the proteins identified are significantly divergent from proteins in their metazoan hosts.

Consistent with the critical role of the apicoplast in parasite biology, a recent genome-scale functional analysis of genes in the rodent malaria parasite P. berghei showed that numerous apicoplast proteins are essential for blood-stage survival (Bushell et al., 2017). Using this dataset, we found that 77% of those proteins in the compiled apicoplast proteome that had P. berghei homologs analyzed by PlasmoGEM were important for normal blood-stage parasite growth (Figure 5C). Notably, of 49 proteins that were annotated explicitly with “unknown function” in their gene description and for which essentiality data are available, 38 are important for normal parasite growth, indicating that the high rate of essentiality for apicoplast proteins is...
true of both previously known and newly discovered proteins. Overall, these data suggest that we have identified dozens of novel proteins that are likely critical for apicoplast biology.

**Localization of candidate proteins confirms accuracy of protein identification**

To confirm the utility of our approaches, we experimentally determined the localization of several candidate apicoplast proteins. A rhomboid protease homolog ROM7 and 3 conserved *Plasmodium* proteins of unknown function (PF3D7_0521400, PF3D7_1472800, and PF3D7_0721100) were each overexpressed as a C-terminal GFP fusions and tested in apicoplast localization assays. First, we detected the apicoplast-dependent cleavage of each candidate as a marker of its import. Most nuclear-encoded apicoplast proteins are proteolytically processed to remove N-terminal targeting sequences following successful import into the apicoplast (Waller et al., 1998; van Dooren et al., 2002). This processing is abolished in parasites rendered “apicoplast-minus” by treatment with an inhibitor (actinonin) to cause apicoplast loss (Yeh and DeRisi, 2011; Amberg-Johnson et al., 2017). Comparison of protein molecular weight in apicoplast-intact and -minus parasites showed that ROM7, PF3D7_0521400, and PF3D7_1472800 (but not PF3D7_0721100) were cleaved in an apicoplast-dependent manner (Figure 6A).

Second, we localized the candidate-GFP fusions by live fluorescence microscopy and assessed their mislocalization in apicoplast-minus parasites. Consistent with apicoplast localization, ROM7-GFP, PF3D7_0521400-GFP, and PF3D7_1472800-GFP localized to branched structures characteristic of the apicoplast (Figure 6B). In apicoplast-minus parasites, these proteins mislocalized to diffuse puncta (Figure 6B), as previously observed for apicoplast proteins (Yeh and DeRisi, 2011). Interestingly, while in untreated parasites PF3D7_0721100-GFP localized to a few large bright puncta not previously described for any apicoplast protein,
this protein also relocalized to the typical numerous diffuse puncta seen for genuine apicoplast proteins in apicoplast-minus parasites (Figure 6B). Taken together, these data validate the apicoplast localization of ROM7, PF3D7_0521400, and PF3D7_1472800. Though targeting peptide cleavage and the characteristic branched structure were not detected for PF3D7_0721100, the mislocalization of PF3D7_0721100-GFP to puncta characteristic of apicoplast-minus parasites indicates that this protein may also be a true apicoplast protein.

We next focused on four ATP-binding cassette (ABC) proteins in our dataset: ABCB3, ABCB4, ABCB7, and ABCF1. ABCB-family proteins are small molecule membrane transporters, while ABCF-family proteins do not contain transmembrane domains and are typically involved in translation regulation (Kerr, 2004; Dean and Annilo, 2005). While this manuscript was in preparation, the apicoplast localization of ABCB3 and ABCB4 were confirmed in *P. falciparum* and *P. berghei*, respectively (Sayers et al., 2018). To assess localization and function of ABCB7 and ABCF1, we modified their endogenous loci to contain a C-terminal triple HA tag and tandem copies of a tetracycline repressor (TetR)-binding RNA aptamer in the 3’ UTR of either gene (Figure S3) (Goldfless et al., 2014; Ganesan et al., 2016).

We performed immunofluorescence analysis (IFA) to determine whether ABCB7-HA and ABCF1-HA colocalized with the apicoplast marker ACP. ABCF1-HA exhibited clear co-localization with ACP, confirming its apicoplast localization (Figure 6C). ABCB7-HA localized to elongated structures that may be indicative of an intracellular organelle but rarely co-localized with ACP, indicating a primarily non-apicoplast localization (Figure 6C). Overall, of 8 candidates of unknown localization at the start of this study, we identified 6 confirmed apicoplast proteins, 1 likely apicoplast protein, and 1 potential false positive.
A novel apicoplast protein ABCF1 is essential and required for organelle biogenesis

We determined the essentiality and knockdown phenotype of a newly identified apicoplast protein, ABCF1, taking advantage of the TetR-binding aptamers inserted into its 3’ UTR as described above. In the presence of anhydrotetracycline (ATc), binding of the aptamer by a TetR-DOZI repressor is inhibited and ABCF1 is expressed. Upon removal of ATc, repressor binding blocks gene expression (Goldfless et al., 2014; Ganesan et al., 2016). Knockdown of ABCF1 caused robust parasite growth inhibition (Figure 7A-B). Significantly, growth inhibition of ABCF1-deficient parasites was reversed in the presence of isopentenyl pyrophosphate (IPP) (Figure 7B), which bypasses the need for a functional apicoplast (Yeh and DeRisi, 2011), indicating that ABCF1 has an essential apicoplast function. Essential apicoplast functions can be placed into two broad categories: those involved in organelle biogenesis, and those involved solely in IPP production. Disruption of proteins required for organelle biogenesis causes apicoplast loss, while disruption of proteins involved in IPP production does not (Yeh and DeRisi, 2011; Wu et al., 2015; Amberg-Johnson et al., 2017). We determined whether knockdown of ABCF1 caused apicoplast loss by assessing 1) absence of the apicoplast genome, 2) loss of transit peptide processing of nuclear-encoded apicoplast proteins, and 3) relocalization of apicoplast proteins to puncta. Indeed, the apicoplast:nuclear genome ratio drastically decreased in ABCF1 knockdown parasites beginning 1 cycle after knockdown (Figure 7C), and western blot showed that the apicoplast protein ClpP was not processed in ABCF1 knockdown parasites (Figure 7D). Furthermore, IFA of the apicoplast marker ACP confirmed redistribution from an intact plastid to diffuse cytosolic puncta (Figure 7E). In contrast to ABCF1, a similar knockdown of ABCB7 caused no observable growth defect after four growth cycles despite significant reduction in protein levels (Figure S4). Together, these results show that ABCF1 is a...
novel and essential apicoplast protein with a previously unknown function in organelle biogenesis.

Discussion

Since the discovery of the apicoplast, identification of its proteome has been a pressing priority. We report the first large-scale proteomic analysis of the apicoplast in blood-stage malaria parasites, which identified 187 candidate proteins with 52% sensitivity and 92% PPV. A number of groups have also profiled parasite-specific membrane compartments using proximity biotinylation but observed contamination with proteins in or trafficking through the ER, preventing accurate identification of these proteomes without substantial manual curation and validation (Chen et al., 2015; Nadipuram et al., 2016; Chen et al., 2017; Khosh-Naucke et al., 2018; Schnider et al., 2018). This background labeling is expected since proteins traffic through the ER en route to several parasite-specific compartments, including the parasitophorous vacuole, host cytoplasm, food vacuole, and invasion organelles. The high specificity of our apicoplast BioID proteome depended on 1) the use of a control cell line expressing ER-localized GFP-BirA* to detect enrichment of apicoplast proteins from background ER labeling and 2) strong positive and negative controls to set an accurate threshold. We suspect a similar strategy to detect nonspecific ER background may also improve the specificity of proteomic datasets for other parasite-specific, endomembrane-derived compartments.

Leveraging our successful proteomic analysis, we used these empirical data as an updated training set to also improve computational predictions of apicoplast proteins. PlastNN identified an additional 118 proteins with 95% sensitivity and 94% PPV. Although two previous prediction algorithms, PATS and ApicoAP, also applied machine learning to the problem of transit peptide
prediction, we reasoned that their low accuracy arose from the small training sets used (ApicoAP) and the use of cytosolic as well as endomembrane proteins in the negative training set (PATS). By using an expanded positive training set based on proteomic data and limiting our training sets to only signal peptide-containing proteins, we developed an algorithm with higher sensitivity than BioID and higher accuracy than previous apicoplast protein prediction models. Moreover, PlastNN suggests testable hypotheses regarding the contribution of sequence-based and temporal regulation to protein trafficking in the ER.

Overall, we have compiled a high-confidence apicoplast proteome of 346 proteins that are rich in novel and essential functions (Figure 5A and 5C). This proteome likely represents a majority of soluble apicoplast proteins, since 1) our bait for proximity biotinylation targeted to the lumen and 2) most soluble proteins use canonical targeting sequences that can be predicted. Further improvements to the apicoplast proteome will focus on expanding the coverage of membrane proteins, which more often traffic via distinctive routes (Mullin et al., 2006; Parsons et al., 2007). Performing proximity biotinylation with additional bait proteins may identify such atypical apicoplast proteins. In the current study, our bait was an inert fluorescent protein targeted to the apicoplast lumen to minimize potential toxicity of the construct. The success of this apicoplast GFP bait gives us confidence to attempt more challenging baits, including proteins localized to sub-organellar membrane compartments or components of the protein import machinery. Performing apicoplast BioID in liver and mosquito stages may also define apicoplast functions in these stages.

The apicoplast proteome will be a valuable resource for uncovering cryptic pathways required for malaria pathogenesis and prioritizing new antimalarial drug targets. Already several candidates of biological interest based on their biochemical function annotations were validated.
We demonstrated an unexpected role for the ATP-binding cassette protein PfABCF1 in apicoplast biogenesis. An *E. coli* homolog, EttA, regulates translation initiation in response to cellular ATP levels (Boel et al., 2014; Chen et al., 2014). Mammalian and yeast ABCF1 homologs also interact with ribosomes and regulate translation (Vazquez de Aldana et al., 1995; Marton et al., 1997; Tyzack et al., 2000; Paytubi et al., 2009). By analogy, PfABCF1 may regulate the prokaryotic translation machinery in the apicoplast, although the mechanistic basis for the severe defect in parasite replication upon loss of PfABCF1 is unclear. We also validated PfROM7 as an apicoplast-localized rhomboid protease. This protein may have a role in apicoplast protein import, as a rhomboid protease was recently identified as a component of symbiont-derived ERAD-like machinery (SELMA) that transports proteins across a novel secondary plastid membrane in diatoms (Lau et al., 2016). Neither PfABCF1 nor PfROM7 had known roles in the apicoplast prior to their identification in this study, underscoring the utility of unbiased approaches to identify new organellar proteins.

A recent study aimed at identifying apicoplast membrane transporters highlights the difficulty in identifying novel apicoplast functions in the absence of a high-confidence proteome (Sayers et al., 2018). Taking advantage of the tractable genetics in murine *Plasmodium* species, Sayers et al. screened 27 candidates in *P. berghei* for essentiality and apicoplast localization. Following >50 transfections, 3 essential and 4 non-essential apicoplast membrane proteins were identified. One newly-identified essential apicoplast membrane protein was then validated to be required for apicoplast biogenesis in *P. falciparum*. In contrast, even though our study was not optimized to identify membrane proteins, the combination of BioID and PlastNN identified 2 known apicoplast transporters, 4 of the new apicoplast membrane protein homologs, and 56 additional proteins predicted to contain at least one transmembrane domain. A focused screen of
higher quality candidates in \textit{P. falciparum} is likely to be more rapid and yield the most relevant biology. Our high-confidence apicoplast proteome will streamline these labor-intensive screens, focusing on strong candidates for downstream biological function elucidation. As methods for analyzing gene function in \textit{P. falciparum} parasites continue to improve, this resource will become increasingly valuable for characterizing unknown organellar pathways.

\textbf{Materials and Methods}

\textbf{Parasite growth}

\textit{Plasmodium falciparum} Dd2\textsuperscript{attB} (Nkrumah et al., 2006) (MRA-843) were obtained from MR4. NF54\textsuperscript{Cas9+T7 Polymerase} parasites (Sidik et al., 2016) were a gift from Jacquin Niles. Parasites were grown in human erythrocytes (2\% hematocrit) obtained from the Stanford Blood Center in RPMI 1640 media (Gibco) supplemented with 0.25\% Albumax II (Gibco), 2 g/L sodium bicarbonate, 0.1 mM hypoxanthine (Sigma), 25 mM HEPES, pH 7.4 (Sigma), and 50 μg/L gentamicin (Gold Biotechnology) at 37°C, 5\% O\textsubscript{2}, and 5\% CO\textsubscript{2}.

\textbf{Vector construction}

Oligonucleotides were purchased from the Stanford Protein and Nucleic Acid facility or IDT. gBlocks were ordered from IDT. Molecular cloning was performed using In-Fusion cloning (Clontech) or Gibson Assembly (NEB). Primer and gBlock sequences are available in Table S9.

To generate the plasmid pRL2-ACP\textsubscript{L}-GFP for targeting transgenes to the apicoplast, the first 55 amino acids from ACP were PCR amplified with primers MB015 and MB016 and were inserted in front of the GFP in the pRL2 backbone (Balabaskaran Nina et al., 2011) via the AvrII/BsiWI sites. To generate pRL2-ACP\textsubscript{L}-GFP-BirA\textsuperscript{*} for targeting a GFP-BirA\textsuperscript{*} fusion to the
apicoplast, GFP was amplified from pLN-ENR-GFP using primers MB087 and MB088 and
BirA* was amplified from pcDNA3.1 mycBioID (Addgene 35700) (Roux et al., 2012) using
primers MB089 and MB090. These inserts were simultaneously cloned into BsiWI/AflII-
digested pRL2-ACP\textsubscript{L}-GFP to generate pRL2-ACP\textsubscript{L}-GFP-BirA*. To generate pRL2-SS-GFP-
BirA*-SDEL for targeting GFP-BirA* to the ER, SS-GFP-BirA*-SDEL was PCR amplified
from pRL2-ACP\textsubscript{L}-GFP-BirA* using primers MB093 and MB094 and was cloned into
AvrII/AflII-digested pRL2-ACP\textsubscript{L}-GFP. For GFP-tagging to confirm localization of proteins
identified by apicoplast BioID, full-length genes were amplified from parasite cDNA with
primers as described in Table S9 and were cloned into the AvrII/BsiWI sites of pRL2-ACP\textsubscript{L}-
GFP.

For CRISPR-Cas9-based editing of endogenous ABCB7 and ABCF1 loci, sgRNAs were
designed using the eukaryotic CRISPR guide RNA/DNA design tool (http://grna.ctegd.uga.edu/).
To generate a linear plasmid for CRISPR-Cas9-based editing, left homology regions were
amplified with primers MB256 and MB257 (ABCB7) or MB260 and MB261 (ABCF1) and right
homology regions were amplified with MB258 and MB259 (ABCB7) or MB262 and MB263
(ABCF1). For each gene, a gBlock containing the recoded coding sequence C-terminal of the
CRISPR cut site and a triple HA tag was synthesized with appropriate overhangs for Gibson
Assembly. This fragment was simultaneously cloned into the FseI/ApaI sites of the linear
plasmid pSN054-V5. Next, the appropriate right homology region and a gBlock containing the
sgRNA expression cassette were simultaneously cloned into the AscI/I-SceI sites of the resultant
vector to generate the plasmids pSN054-ABCB7-TetR-DOZI and pSN054-ABCF1-TetR-DOZI.

Parasite transfection
Transfections were carried out using variations on the spontaneous uptake method (Deitsch et al.,
2001; Wagner et al., 2014). In the first variation, 100 µg of each plasmid was ethanol
precipitated and resuspended in 30 µL sterile TE buffer and was added to 150 µL packed RBCs
resuspended to a final volume of 400 µL in cytomix. The mixture was transferred to a 0.2 cm
electroporation cuvette (Bio-Rad) and was electroporated at 310 V, 950 µF, infinity resistance in
a Gene Pulser Xcell electroporation system (Bio-Rad) before allowing parasites to invade. Drug
selection was initiated 3 days after transfection. Alternatively, 50 µg of each plasmid was ethanol
precipitated and resuspended in 0.2 cm electroporation cuvettes in 100 µL TE buffer, 100 µL
RPMI containing 10 mM HEPES-NaOH, pH 7.4, and 200 µL packed uninfected RBCs. RBCs
were pulsed with 8 square wave pulses of 365 V x 1 ms separated by 0.1 s. RBCs were allowed
to reseal for 1 hour in a 37°C water bath before allowing parasites to invade. Drug selection was
initiated 4 days after transfection. All transfectants were selected with 2.5 µg/mL Blasticidin S
(Research Products International). Additionally, BioID-ER parasites were selected with 125
µg/mL G418 sulfate (Corning) and ABCB7 and ABCF1 TetR-DOZI parasites were grown in the
presence of 500 nM ATc. Transfections for generating BioID constructs (Figure 1) and
expression of GFP-tagged candidates (Figure 6) were performed in the Dd2attB background.
Transfections for CRISPR editing were performed with the NF54Cas9+T7 Polymerase background and
clonal parasite lines were obtained by limiting dilution.

Correct modification of transfectant genomes was confirmed by PCR. Briefly, 200 µL of
2% hematocrit culture was pelleted and resuspended in water, and 2 µL of the resulting lysate
was used as template for PCR with Phusion polymerase (NEB). PCR targets and their
corresponding primer pairs are as follows: integrated attL site, p1 + p2; integrated attR site,
MW001 + MW003; unintegrated attB site, MW004 + MW003; ABCB7 unintegrated left
homology region (LHR), MB269 + MB270; ABCB7 integrated LHR, MB269 + MB255;

ABCB7 unintegrated right homology region (RHR), MB281 + MB278; ABCB7 integrated RHR,

MB276 + MB 278; ABCF1 unintegrated LHR, MB271 + MB272; ABCF1 integrated LHR, MB

271 + MB255; ABCF1 unintegrated RHR, MB282 + MB283; ABCF1 integrated RHR, MB276

+ MB283.

Biotin labeling

To label parasites for analysis by streptavidin blot, fixed imaging, or mass spectrometry, cultures

of majority ring stage parasites were treated with 50 µM biotin or with a DMSO vehicle only

control. Cultures were harvested for analysis 16 hours later as majority trophozoites and

schizonts.

Actinonin treatment and IPP rescue

To generate apicoplast-minus parasites, ring-stage cultures were treated with 10 µM actinonin

(Sigma) and 200 µM IPP (Isoprenoids, LLC) and cultured for 3 days before analysis.

Western blotting

Parasites were separated from RBCs by lysis in 0.1% saponin and were washed in PBS. Parasite

pellets were resuspended in PBS containing 1X NuPAGE LDS sample buffer with 50 mM DTT

and were boiled at 95°C for 10 minutes before separation on NuPAGE or Bolt Bis-Tris gels and

transfer to nitrocellulose. Membranes were blocked in 0.1% Hammarsten casein (Affymetrix) in

0.2X PBS with 0.01% sodium azide. Antibody incubations were performed in a 1:1 mixture of

blocking buffer and TBST (Tris-buffered saline with Tween-20; 10 mM Tris, pH 8.0, 150 mM
NaCl, 0.25 mM EDTA, 0.05% Tween 20). Blots were incubated with primary antibody for either 1 hour at room temperature or at 4°C overnight at the following dilutions: 1:20,000 mouse-α-GFP JL-8 (Clontech 632381); 1:20,000 rabbit-α-Plasmodium aldolase (Abcam ab207494); 1:1000 rat-α-HA 3F10 (Sigma 11867423001); 1:4000 rabbit-α-PfClpP (El Bakkouri et al., 2010).

Blots were washed once in TBST and were incubated for 1 hour at room temperature in a 1:10,000 dilution of the appropriate secondary antibody: IRDye 800CW donkey-α-rabbit; IRDye 680LT goat-α-mouse; IRDye 680LT goat-α-rat (LI-COR Biosciences). For detection of biotinylated proteins, blots were incubated with 1:1000 IRDye 680RD streptavidin for one hour at room temperature. Blots were washed three times in TBST and once in PBS before imaging on a LI-COR Odyssey imager.

Microscopy

For live imaging, parasites were settled onto glass-bottom microwell dishes (MatTek P35G-1.5-14-C) or Lab-Tek II chambered coverglass (ThermoFisher 155409) in PBS containing 0.4% glucose and 2 µg/mL Hoechst 33342 stain (ThermoFisher H3570).

For fixed imaging of biotinylated proteins in cells, biotin-labeled parasites were processed as in Tonkin et al. (Tonkin et al., 2004) with modifications. Briefly, parasites were washed in PBS and were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde (Electron Microscopy Science 15710) and 0.015% glutaraldehyde (Electron Microscopy Sciences 16019) in PBS for 30 minutes. Cells were washed once in PBS, resuspended in PBS, and allowed to settle onto poly-L-lysine-coated coverslips (Corning) for 60 minutes. Coverslips were then washed once with PBS, permeabilized in 0.1% Triton X-100 in PBS for 10 minutes, and washed twice more in PBS. Cells were treated with 0.1 mg/mL sodium borohydride in PBS for 10 minutes, washed once in PBS, and blocked.
in 3% BSA in PBS. To visualize biotin-labeled proteins, coverslips were incubated with 1:1000 AlexaFluor 546-conjugated streptavidin (ThermoFisher S11225) for one hour followed by three washes in PBS. No labeling of GFP was necessary, as these fixation conditions preserve intrinsic GFP fluorescence (Tonkin et al., 2004). Coverslips were mounted onto slides with ProLong Gold antifade reagent with DAPI (ThermoFisher) and were sealed with nail polish prior to imaging.

For immunofluorescence analysis, parasites were processed as above except that fixation was performed with 4% paraformaldehyde and 0.0075% glutaraldehyde in PBS for 20 minutes and blocking was performed with 5% BSA in PBS. Following blocking, primary antibodies were used in 5% BSA in PBS at the following concentrations: 1:500 rabbit-α-ACP (Gallagher and Prigge, 2010); 1:100 rat-α-HA 3F10 (Sigma 11867423001). Coverslips were washed three times in PBS, incubated with goat-α-rat 488 (ThermoFisher A-11006) and donkey-α-rabbit 568 (ThermoFisher A10042) secondary antibodies at 1:3000, and washed three times in PBS prior to mounting as above.

Live and fixed cells were imaged with a 100X, 1.4 NA objective on an Olympus IX70 microscope with a DeltaVision system (Applied Precision) controlled with SoftWorx version 4.1.0 and equipped with a CoolSnap-HQ CCD camera (Photometrics). With the exception of images presented in Figure 1B, which were taken in a single z-plane, images were captured as a series of z-stacks separated by 0.2 μm intervals, deconvolved, and displayed as maximum intensity projections. Brightness and contrast were adjusted in Fiji (ImageJ) for display purposes.

**Biotin pulldowns, mass spectrometry, and data analysis**

Biotin-labeled parasites were harvested by centrifugation and were released from the host RBC by treatment with 0.1% saponin/PBS. Parasites were washed twice more with 0.1% saponin/PBS.
followed by PBS and were either used immediately for analysis or were stored at -80°C. Parasite pellets were resuspended in RIPA buffer [50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.4, 150 mM NaCl, 0.1% SDS, 0.5% sodium deoxycholate, 1% Triton X-100, 1 mM EDTA] containing a protease inhibitor cocktail (Pierce) and were lysed on ice for 30 minutes with occasional pipetting. Insoluble debris was removed by centrifugation at 16,000 xg for 15 minutes at 4°C. Biotinylated proteins were captured using High Capacity Streptavidin Agarose beads (Pierce) for 2 hours at room temperature. Beads were then washed three times with RIPA buffer, three times with SDS wash buffer [50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.4, 150 mM NaCl, 2% SDS], six times with urea wash buffer [50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.4, 150 mM NaCl, 8 M urea], and three times with 100 mM ammonium bicarbonate. Proteins were reduced with 5 mM DTT for 60 minutes at 37°C followed by treatment with 14 mM iodoacetamide (Pierce) at room temperature for 45 minutes. Beads were washed once with 100 mM ammonium bicarbonate and were digested with 10 µg/mL trypsin (Promega) at 37°C overnight. The following day, samples were digested with an additional 5 µg/mL trypsin for 3-4 hours. Digested peptides were separated from beads by addition of either 35% or 50% final concentration acetonitrile, and peptides were dried on a SpeedVac prior to desalting with C18 stage tips.

Desalted peptides were resuspended in 0.1% formic acid and analyzed by online capillary nanoLC-MS/MS. Samples were separated on an in-house made 20 cm reversed phase column (100 µm inner diameter, packed with ReproSil-Pur C18-AQ 3.0 µm resin (Dr. Maisch GmbH)) equipped with a laser-pulled nanoelectrospray emitter tip. Peptides were eluted at a flow rate of 400 nL/min using a two-step linear gradient including 2-25% buffer B in 70 min and 25-40% B in 20 min (buffer A: 0.2% formic acid and 5% DMSO in water; buffer B: 0.2% formic acid and 5% DMSO in acetonitrile) in an Eksigent ekspert nanoLC-425 system (AB Sciex). Peptides were
then analyzed using a LTQ Orbitrap Elite mass spectrometer (Thermo Scientific). Data acquisition was executed in data dependent mode with full MS scans acquired in the Orbitrap mass analyzer with a resolution of 60000 and m/z scan range of 340-1600. The top 20 most abundant ions with intensity threshold above 500 counts and charge states 2 and above were selected for fragmentation using collision- induced dissociation (CID) with isolation window of 2 m/z, normalized collision energy of 35%, activation Q of 0.25 and activation time of 5 ms. The CID fragments were analyzed in the ion trap with rapid scan rate. In additional runs, the top 10 most abundant ions with intensity threshold above 500 counts and charge states 2 and above were selected for fragmentation using higher energy collisional dissociation (HCD) with isolation window of 2 m/z, normalized collision energy of 35%, and activation time of 25 ms. The HCD fragments were analyzed in the Orbitrap with a resolution of 15000. Dynamic exclusion was enabled with repeat count of 1 and exclusion duration of 30 s. The AGC target was set to 1000000, 50000, and 5000 for full FTMS scans, FTMSn scans and ITMSn scans, respectively. The maximum injection time was set to 250 ms, 250 ms, and 100 ms for full FTMS scans, FTMSn scans and ITMSn scans, respectively.

The resulting spectra were searched against a “target-decoy” sequence database (Elias and Gygi, 2007) consisting of the PlasmoDB protein database (release 32, released April 19, 2017), the Uniprot human database (released February 2, 2015), and the corresponding reversed sequences using the SEQUEST algorithm (version 28, revision 12). The parent mass tolerance was set to 50 ppm and the fragment mass tolerance to 0.6 Da for CID scans, 0.02 Da for HCD scans. Enzyme specificity was set to trypsin. Oxidation of methionines was set as variable modification and carbamidomethylation of cysteines was set as static modification. Peptide
identifications were filtered to a 1% peptide false discovery rate using a linear discriminator analysis (Huttlin et al., 2010). Precursor peak areas were calculated for protein quantification.

**Apicoplast protein prediction algorithms and positive/negative control apicoplast proteins**

To generate updated lists of PATS-predicted apicoplast proteins, nuclear-encoded *P. falciparum* 3D7 proteins (excluding pseudogenes) from PlasmoDB version 28 (released March 30, 2016) were used to check for existence of a putative bipartite apicoplast targeting presequence using the artificial neural network predictor PATS (Zuegge et al., 2001).

Updated PlasmoAP-predicted apicoplast proteins were identified using the PlasmoDB version 32 proteome (released April 19, 2017) by first checking for the presequence of a predicted signal peptide using the neural network version of SignalP version 3.0 (Bendtsen et al., 2004), and were considered positive if they had a *D-score* above the default cutoff. The SignalP *C-score* was used to predict the signal peptide cleavage position, and the remaining portion of the protein was inspected for presence of a putative apicoplast transit peptide using the rules described for PlasmoAP (Foth et al., 2003), implemented in a Perl script.

*P. falciparum* proteins predicted to localize to the apicoplast by ApicoAP were accessed from the original paper (Cilingir et al., 2012). Genes predicted to encode pseudogenes were excluded.

A positive control list of 96 high-confidence apicoplast proteins (Table S2) was generated based on either (1) published localization of that protein in *Plasmodium* parasites or *Toxoplasma gondii* or (2) presence of that protein in either the isoprenoid biosynthesis or fatty acid biosynthesis/utilization pathways. To generate a negative control list of potential false positives, nuclear-encoded proteins (excluding pseudogenes) predicted to contain a signal peptide were
identified as above and 451 of these proteins were designated as negative controls based on GO terms, annotations, and the published literature.

**Feature extraction for neural network**

To generate the positive training set for PlastNN, we took the combined list of previously known apicoplast proteins (Table S2) and apicoplast proteins identified by BioID (Table S1) and removed proteins that (1) were likely false positives based on manual inspection; (2) were likely targeted to the apicoplast without the canonical bipartite N-terminal leader sequence; or (3) did not contain a predicted signal peptide based on the SignalP 3.0 D-score. This yielded a final positive training set of 205 proteins (Table S4). The negative training set was the previously generated list of known non-apicoplast proteins (Table S2). The test set for PlastNN consisted of 450 proteins predicted to have a signal peptide by the SignalP 3.0 D-score that were not in the positive or negative training sets.

For each protein in our training and test sets, we took the 50 amino acids immediately after the end of the predicted signal peptide (according to the SignalP 3.0 C-score) and calculated the frequency of each of the 20 amino acids in this sequence. The length of 50 amino acids was chosen empirically by trying lengths from 20-100; highest accuracy was obtained using 50.

Scaled FPKM values at 8 time points during intraerythrocytic development were obtained from published RNA-Seq (Bartfai et al., 2010). By combining the amino acid frequencies with the 8 transcriptome values, we represented each protein in our training and test sets by a feature vector of length 28.

**Neural network training and cross-validation**
To train the model, the 205 positive and 451 negative training examples were combined and randomly shuffled. The training set was divided into 6 equal folds, each containing 109 or 110 examples. We trained models using 6-fold cross-validation; that is, we trained 6 separate models with the same architecture, each using 5 of the 6 folds for training and then using the one remaining fold as a cross-validation set to evaluate performance. Accuracy, sensitivity, and PPV are calculated on this cross-validation set. The final reported values of accuracy, sensitivity, and PPV are the average and standard deviation over all 6 models. When predicting on the test set, the final predictions are generated by a majority vote of all 6 models.

Neural networks were trained using the RMSProp optimization algorithm with a learning rate of 0.0001. Tensorflow version 1.4.1 was used to build and train the neural network. Logistic regression on the same dataset was carried out using the caret package (version 6.0-77) in R version 3.3.3.

Accuracy, sensitivity, and positive predictive value (PPV) calculations

The BioID apicoplast proteome and the predicted proteomes from PATS, PlasmoAP, ApicoAP, and PlastNN were analyzed for accuracy, sensitivity, and PPV according to the following formulae:

Accuracy = (TP + TN)/(TP + FP + TN + FN)

Sensitivity = TP/(TP + FN)

PPV = TP/(TP + FP)

Abbreviations: TP, true positive; TN, true negative; FP, false positive; FN, false negative.
Because none of the 451 negative control proteins were identified in our 187-protein BioID proteome, for the purposes of calculating PPV in Figure 2C we identified 5 likely false positives by manual inspection of these 187 proteins and added these to the negative control list.

Protein Novelty Analysis

Proteins in the apicoplast proteome were manually categorized for having a potentially novel function based on PlasmoDB version 33 (released June 30, 2017) gene product annotations. Gene products with annotations that could clearly assign a given protein to an established cellular pathway were labeled as “Known Pathway;” gene products with a descriptive annotation that did not clearly suggest a cellular pathway were labeled as “Annotated Gene Product, Unknown Function;” and gene products that explicitly contained the words “unknown function” were labeled as “Unknown Function.”

OrthoMCL Orthology Analysis

To analyze the conservation of candidate apicoplast proteins identified by apicoplast BioID, OrthoMCL ortholog group IDs were obtained from PlasmoDB. Based on OrthoMCL version 5 (released July 23, 2015), each ortholog group was then categorized as being present only in Plasmodium spp., only in Apicomplexa, or present in at least one organism outside of the Apicomplexa.

Gene Essentiality Analysis

Essentiality data for P. berghei orthologs of P. falciparum genes encoding apicoplast proteins were accessed from the original PlasmoGEM manuscript (Bushell et al., 2017).
Parasite Growth Time Courses

Sorbitol-synchronized ABCB7 and ABCF1 TetR-DOZI parasites were washed multiple times to remove residual ATc and were returned to culture medium containing 500 nM ATc, 200 µM IPP (Isoprenoids, LLC), or no supplements. Samples for growth assays, DNA isolation, or western blotting were harvested every other day when the majority of parasites were trophozoites and schizonts. For growth assays, parasites were fixed in 1% paraformaldehyde in PBS and were stored at 4°C until completion of the time course. Samples were then stained with 50 nM YOYO-1 and parasitemia was analyzed on a BD Accuri C6 flow cytometer. Samples for DNA isolation and western blotting were treated with 0.1% saponin in PBS to release parasites from the erythrocyte, washed in PBS, and stored at -80°C until analysis.

Quantitative PCR

Total parasite DNA was isolated from time course samples using the DNeasy Blood & Tissue Kit (Qiagen). Quantitative PCR was performed using Power SYBR Green PCR Master Mix (Thermo Fisher) with primers CHT1 F and CHT1 R targeting the nuclear gene chitinase or TufA F and TufA R targeting the apicoplast gene elongation factor Tu (0.15 µM final concentration each primer) (Yeh and DeRisi, 2011). Quantitative PCR was performed on an Applied Biosystems 7900HT Real-Time PCR System with the following thermocycling conditions: initial denaturation 95°C/10 minutes; 35 cycles of 95°C/1 minute, 56°C/1 minute; 65°C/1 minute; final extension 65°C/10 minutes. Relative quantification of each target was performed using the ΔΔCt method.
Data and Software Availability

Raw mass spectrometry data are available via the Chorus repository (https://chorusproject.org/) with project identifier 1440. Code related to the development of the PlastNN algorithm is available at https://github.com/sjang92/plastNN.
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Figures and Legends

Figure 1. The promiscuous biotin ligase BirA* biotinylates proteins in the *P. falciparum* apicoplast and ER. (A) Schematic (not to scale) of constructs for apicoplast- and ER-targeting of GFP-BirA*. ACP_L, ACP leader sequence; SS, signal sequence; SDEL, ER-retention motif. (B) Live cell imaging of Hoechst-stained BioID-Ap and BioID-ER parasites. Scale bars, 5 µm. (C) Western blot of untreated and biotin-labeled Dd2<sup>attB</sup>, BioID-Ap, and BioID-ER parasites. (D) Fixed cell imaging of biotinylated proteins in biotin-labeled BioID-Ap and BioID-ER parasites. Scale bars, 5 µm. See also Figure S1.
Figure 2. Accurate, unbiased identification of apicoplast proteins using BioID. (A)

Abundances of 728 proteins identified by mass spectrometry in BioID-Ap and BioID-ER parasites. Protein abundances were calculated by summing the total MS1 area of all matched peptides and normalized by the total summed intensity of all P. falciparum peptides matched. Dotted line represents 5-fold apicoplast:ER enrichment. ND, not detected. (B) Sensitivities of BioID, PATS, PlasmoAP, and ApicoAP based on identification of 96 known apicoplast proteins. (C) PPV of BioID, PATS, PlasmoAP, ApicoAP, and a dataset consisting of proteins predicted to localize to the apicoplast by all three bioinformatic algorithms. Calculated as the number of true
positives divided by the total number of true positives and false positives. See also Figure S2, Table S1, Table S2, and Table S3.

Figure 3. Diversity of protein labeling by apicoplast BioID. (A) Fraction of proteins identified by apicoplast BioID that are predicted to localize to a membrane. Proteins were considered “membrane” if they had at least one transmembrane domain annotated in PlasmoDB ending >80 amino acids from the annotated N-terminus. (B) Number of lumenal and non-lumenal positive controls identified. Percentages above bars indicate the percentage of known proteins from each
category identified. (C) Number of proteins from established apicoplast pathways identified.

Percentages above bars indicate the percentage of known proteins from each pathway identified.

See also Table S1 and Table S2.

**Figure 4. Improved prediction of apicoplast proteins using the PlastNN algorithm.** (A)

Schematic of the PlastNN algorithm. For each signal peptide-containing protein, a region of 50 amino acids immediately following the signal peptide cleavage site was selected and the frequencies of the 20 canonical amino acids in this region were calculated, resulting in a vector of length 20. Scaled RNA levels of the gene encoding the protein at 8 time points were added, resulting in a 28-dimensional vector representing each protein. This was used as input to train a neural network with 3 hidden layers, resulting in a prediction of whether the protein is targeted to the apicoplast or not. (B) Table showing the performance of the 6 models in PlastNN. Each model was trained on 5/6th of the training set and cross-validated on the remaining 1/6th. Values shown are accuracy, sensitivity, and PPV on the cross-validation set. The final values reported...
are the average and standard deviation over all 6 models. (C) Comparison of accuracy, sensitivity, and PPV for three previous algorithms and PlastNN. See also Table S2, Table S3, Table S4, Table S5, Table S6, Table S7.

**Figure 5.** Apicoplast BioID identifies novel and essential proteins. (A) Percentage of proteins identified that have annotated gene products but unknown function, that have gene products annotated explicitly with “unknown function,” or that have annotated gene products and function in a known cellular pathway. (B) Percentage of proteins identified that are *Plasmodium-* or
Apicomplexa-specific based on OrthoMCL orthology analysis. (C) Percentage of proteins identified that are essential, cause slow growth when deleted, or are dispensable based on PlasmoGEM essentiality data of *P. berghei* orthologs. Absolute number of proteins identified as indicated. See also Table S1, Table S7, and Table S8.
Figure 6. Localization of candidate apicoplast proteins identified in this study. (A) Transit peptide processing assay for C-terminally GFP-tagged candidates. Ring-stage parasites were either untreated or treated with 10 µM actinonin/200 µM IPP for 3 days and protein processing was assessed by western blot. (B) Live cell localization of GFP-tagged candidates in apicoplast-intact and -minus parasites. (C) IFAs of endogenously triple HA-tagged ABCB7 and ABCF1. See also Figure S3.
Figure 7. ABCF1 is an essential apicoplast protein required for organelle biogenesis.

ABCF1-3xHA knockdown parasites were grown in the presence of ATc (+ATc), the absence of ATc (-ATc), or the absence of ATc with IPP supplementation (-ATc/+IPP) for 4 growth cycles. (A) ABCF1-HA expression. (B) Parasite growth. At each time point, data are normalized to the
untreated (+ATc) control. Error bars represent standard deviation of the mean of two biological replicates. (C) Apicoplast:nuclear genome ratio. At each time point, data are normalized to the untreated (+ATc) control. Error bars represent standard deviation of the mean of two biological replicates, each performed in technical triplicate. (D) ClpP processing. (E) ACP localization after 2 cycles of knockdown. See also Figure S4.

Figure S1, Related to Figure 1. Integration of BioID-Ap and BioID-ER constructs into Dd2\textsuperscript{attB} parasites. PCR products showing integrated \textit{attL} and \textit{attR} sites or unintegrated \textit{attB} site.
Figure S2, Related to Figure 2. Bioinformatically predicted apicoplast proteins are not clearly distinguishable based on apicoplast:ER abundance ratio. Proteins predicted to localize to the apicoplast by (A) PATS, (B) PlasmoAP, or (C) ApicoAP are highlighted in each graph. Data points are identical to those in Figure 2A.

Figure S3, Related to Figure 6. Generation of ABCB7 and ABCF1 TetR-DOZI conditional knockdown cell lines. (A) Schematic of CRISPR-Cas9-based endogenous editing to generate conditional knockdown cell lines. GOI, gene of interest; LHR, left homology region; RHR, right
homology region. (B) PCR products showing integrated or unintegrated LHR and RHR sites in parental NF54<sup>Cas9+T7 Polymerase</sup> or clonal genome-edited parasites.

Figure S4, Related to Figure 7. Knockdown of ABCB7 does not cause growth inhibition.

ABCB7-3xHA knockdown parasites were grown in the presence of ATc (+ATc) or the absence of ATc (-ATc) for 4 growth cycles. (A) ABCB7-HA expression. (B) Parasite growth. At each time point, data are normalized to the untreated (+ATc) control. Error bars represent standard deviation of the mean of two biological replicates.

Table S1, Related to Figures 2, 3, and 5. Abundances of 728 <i>P. falciparum</i> proteins identified by mass spectrometry in ≥2 biological replicates and with ≥2 unique peptides in at least one mass spectrometry run.

Table S2, Related to Figures 2-4. Positive and negative control apicoplast proteins used in this study.

Table S3, Related to Figures 2 and 4. Proteins predicted to localize to the apicoplast by PATS, PlasmoAP, and ApicoAP.
Table S4, Related to Figure 4. Positive training set used to develop PlastNN.

Table S5, Related to Figure 4. Layer dimensions for PlastNN neural network.

| Layer     | Input | Hidden 1 | Hidden 2 | Hidden 3 | Output |
|-----------|-------|----------|----------|----------|--------|
| Dimension | 28    | 64       | 64       | 16       | 2      |
| Non-linearity | -    | ReLU     | ReLU     | ReLU     | Softmax |

Table S6, Related to Figure 4. Performance of different models in cross-validation.

| Model                  | Features                          | Hyperparameters                     | Accuracy | Sensitivity | PPV |
|------------------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------------|----------|-------------|-----|
| Logistic regression    | Amino acid frequencies            |                                     | 0.88     | 0.79        | 0.84|
|                        |                                    |                                     | 0.04     | 0.09        | 0.08|
| Logistic regression    | Amino acid frequencies + transcriptome | LASSO penalty; lambda = 0.005       | 0.91     | 0.85        | 0.87|
|                        |                                    |                                     | 0.03     | 0.06        | 0.05|
| Neural network         | Amino acid frequencies            | L2 regularization; 0.05 Learning rate = 0.001 | 0.91     | 0.83        | 0.87|
|                        |                                    |                                     | 0.02     | 0.09        | 0.08|
| Neural network         | Amino acid frequencies + transcriptome |                                    | 0.96     | 0.95        | 0.94|
|                        |                                    |                                     | 0.03     | 0.05        | 0.04|

Table S7, Related to Figures 4 and 5. Results of PlastNN prediction algorithm.

Table S8, Related to Figure 5. Compiled list of 346 candidate apicoplast proteins based on localization in the published literature, BioID, and PlastNN.

Table S9, Related to Figures 1 and 6. Primer and gBlock sequences used in this study.
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