Gender stereotype endorsement differentially predicts girls’ and boys’ trait-state discrepancy in math anxiety
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Mathematics is associated with anxiety for many students; an emotion linked to lower well-being and poorer learning outcomes. While findings typically show females to report higher trait math anxiety than males, no gender differences have to date been found in state (i.e., momentary) math anxiety. The present diary study aimed to replicate previous findings in investigating whether levels of academic self-concept was related to this discrepancy in trait vs. state anxiety measures. Additionally, mathematics-related gender stereotype endorsement (mathematics is a male domain) was investigated as an additional predictor of the trait-state discrepancy. The sample included 755 German 9th and 10th graders who completed self-report measures of trait math anxiety, math self-concept, and gender stereotype endorsement, in addition to state measures of anxiety after math classes by use of a standardized diary for 2–3 weeks (Nwithin = 6207). As expected, females reported higher trait math anxiety but no gender differences were found for state math anxiety. Also in line with our assumptions, multilevel analyses showed the discrepancy between trait and state anxiety to be negatively related to students’ self-concept (i.e., a lower discrepancy for students with higher self-concepts). Furthermore, gender stereotype endorsement differentially predicted the trait-state discrepancy: When controlling for self-concept in mathematics, females who endorsed the gender stereotype of math being a male domain more strongly overestimated their trait math anxiety as compared to their state anxiety whereas this effect was not significant for males. The present findings suggest that gender stereotype endorsement plays an important role in explaining gender differences in math anxiety above and beyond academic self-concept. Implications for future research and educational practice are discussed.
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Introduction

Mathematics is a domain of high importance, given the need for basic mathematical competencies in many degree programs and professional careers, making the frequent reports of math anxiety by students a cause for concern (Goetz et al., 2004, 2014). In general, greater anxiety has been found to contribute to lower well-being (Diener, 2000) and poorer achievement outcomes, as well as lower long-term academic motivation and use of more superficial learning strategies (Pekrun et al., 2002). Research further shows higher levels of math anxiety to negatively predict decisions in favor of course enrollment and career choices in math-intensive fields (Eccles, 1985; Wigfield et al., 2002; Perez et al., 2014).

Meta-analyses consistently show female students to report higher levels of mathematics anxiety (e.g., Else-Quest et al., 2010), with studies additionally showing female students typically report lower self-concepts in mathematics relative to male students (Hyde et al., 1990; Goetz et al., 2008, 2013). These gender differences are, however, in direct contrast to studies showing gender differences in mathematics performance to be notably small or non-existent (Hyde et al., 2008; Else-Quest et al., 2010), and instead appear to reflect long-held stereotypes that female students are less capable in this domain relative to their male counterparts (Steffens et al., 2010; Steffens and Jelenec, 2011). This troubling persistence of gender stereotypes and females’ negative attitudes toward mathematics is further assumed to contribute to the underrepresentation of females in many STEM domains in which mathematical competencies are a prerequisite (Watt, 2006; Halpern et al., 2007).

With respect specifically to math anxiety, previous research has been almost exclusively based on self-reports of trait-like anxiety as opposed to state assessments utilizing real-time measures. We define trait and state math anxiety from a methodological perspective (see Bieg et al., 2013). Reports of trait math anxiety reflect (mentally) generalized levels of anxiety across different time points in math-related situations. In contrast, reports of state math anxiety reflect levels of momentary anxiety in real-life math-related situations. Thus, the primary difference between trait and state math anxiety, as we define it, is the different level of generalization across time (see Pekrun, 2006). The ecological validity of such trait-based measures regarding their potential to capture emotions as they are experienced has been questioned due to their reliance on retrospective or global reports and resulting susceptibility to recall bias (Scollon et al., 2003). Although both trait and state measures are assumed to assess anxiety, these assessment methods can lead to very different results with respect to the degree to which specific emotions are reported (Bieg et al., 2014). Thus, an intriguing question remains as to the extent to which the gender differences observed on self-report trait measures of habitual math anxiety reflect actual gender differences in the lived experience of anxiety in the mathematics domain.

One recent study found gender differences in students’ trait but not state mathematics anxiety, with girls reporting higher levels than boys on trait measures, but not on the state measures (Goetz et al., 2013). Emotion levels are also typically found to be higher on trait assessments as compared to state measures (intensity bias; Buehler and McFarland, 2001; Levine et al., 2006). Further, such discrepancies between trait self-reports and state anxiety measures—differences that could be interpreted as a measure for the discrepancy between perceived as compared to actual anxiety—has been found to be largely explained by students’ perceptions of competence (e.g., academic self-concept; Goetz et al., 2013; Bieg et al., 2014) underscoring the potential for trait assessments to be more strongly biased by subjective beliefs (Robinson and Clore, 2002). Accordingly, individuals’ beliefs regarding gender stereotypes can also be assumed to influence trait reports more than state reports of emotions, with the endorsement of math-related gender stereotypes likely playing a role, particularly for females, in the trait-state discrepancy. The role of math-related gender stereotypes as moderators of the trait-state discrepancy in students’ math anxiety, however, to date remains unexplored.

Theoretical Background

Math as Gender-stereotyped Domain

Mathematics has long been viewed as a typically male domain; an assumption that continues to be observed in research on gender stereotypes in educational settings (Plante et al., 2009; Steffens et al., 2010; Cvencek et al., 2011; Steffens and Jelenec, 2011; Passolunghi et al., 2014). Stereotypes are defined as the attributions people make regarding the abilities and characteristics of members of a certain group and assumptions about how members of the stereotyped group typically behave (Ruble et al., 1984; Eagly et al., 2000). Although stereotypes are assumed to facilitate human behavior and decision-making in complex environments, they nonetheless are consistently found to have negative effects for the stereotyped group (e.g., stereotype threat effect; Steele and Aronson, 1995; Schmader et al., 2004; Maloney et al., 2013). With respect to the domain of mathematics, research shows males to hold stronger gender stereotypes than females (e.g., Hyde et al., 1990; Rowley et al., 2009) with the endorsement of math-related gender stereotypes found to predict more negative attitudes regarding math ability, and possibly lower involvement in mathematics-related professions, for female students (Eccles, 1994; Schmader et al., 2004; Kurtz-Costes et al., 2008). Research shows multiple sources can contribute to the formation of gender stereotypes in mathematics such as the math anxiety and beliefs of female teachers and parents (Beilock et al., 2010; Gunderson et al., 2012).

Gender Differences in Math-related Attitudes and Anxiety

Meta-analyses of international research typically show small or no gender differences in math performance (Else-Quest et al., 2010; Lindberg et al., 2010; Hyde, 2014; Voyer and Voyer, 2014). Research on math-related attitudes, however, has found individuals’ attitudes regarding mathematics to vary to a much higher degree, with girls tending to report less positive attitudes (Watt, 2004; Nagy et al., 2008) and higher levels of anxiety regarding mathematics than boys (Hyde et al., 1990; Else-Quest et al., 2010). It is important to note that most of this research...
has investigated mathematics anxiety using trait assessments that, as noted above, may not as accurately reflect in-situ emotional experiences as would state assessments.

Assessment of Students’ Math Anxiety and the Accessibility Model of Emotional Self-report

There are various possible methods for assessing students’ math anxiety. Most typically, students are asked about their math anxiety “in general” as a measure of habitual or trait anxiety, with more ecologically valid momentary or state assessments tending to be underutilized (Scollo et al., 2009; Schwarz, 2012). In their accessibility model of emotional self-report, Robinson and Clore (2002) attempt to account for this discrepancy in ecological validity in suggesting that trait assessments of emotional self-reports are more strongly influenced by semantic memory (subjective beliefs, stereotypic beliefs). According to the theory, it can be assumed that because of the transient nature of emotions, it is not possible to directly retrieve emotions from memory. However, when one is asked about emotions “in general,” there is a process of evaluation and aggregation that occurs. It is at this point where recall biases and subjective beliefs may come into play. In contrast, state assessments are more direct in nature and therefore assumed to be less prone to influences by personal beliefs and recall biases, with several studies having provided empirical evidence in support of this assumption (Barrett, 1997; Robinson and Clore, 2002).

Moderators of the Trait-state Discrepancy

Recent research shows mean levels of emotion reports to differ substantially between trait and state measures, with trait emotion levels in mathematics (including anxiety) being usually higher than those for state emotions (Bieg et al., 2014). A recent study by Goetz et al. (2013) with 5th to 10th graders found gender differences in trait math anxiety (lower levels for boys) but not in state math anxiety. Furthermore, the discrepancy between trait and state assessments, which could be interpreted as the comparison of retrospective perceptions to actual experiences of anxiety, was largely accounted for by students’ self-concept in mathematics such that students’ with higher self-concepts were found to have a lower trait-state discrepancy in math anxiety.

In addition to identity-related beliefs such as self-concept, gender stereotypes are explicitly addressed in Robinson and Clore’s (2002) accessibility model as an element of semantic memory that can bias trait self-report measures. Accordingly, the gender stereotype in mathematics can be assumed to play a role in the trait-state discrepancy in math anxiety in influencing students’ reports on trait anxiety measures more than on state anxiety measures. For this reason, students’ endorsement of a math-related gender stereotype is assumed to be an additional significant predictor of the trait-state discrepancy, with the effects of this stereotype expected to differ for males as compared to females. As the stereotype favors boys, girls who endorse the stereotype should show a higher trait-state discrepancy in math anxiety. For boys, however, this relation should be the inverse: Boys who more strongly endorse this stereotype should report lower trait anxiety resulting in a smaller trait-state math anxiety discrepancy.

The Present Research

Following from recent findings on potential moderators of the discrepancy between trait and state emotion measures, our study aimed to examine an additional predictor of this trait-state discrepancy in the context of gender differences in math anxiety. Assuming that trait measures reflect individuals’ beliefs about emotions, whereas state measures are assumed to better reflect individuals’ actual emotions, the trait-state discrepancy can be understood to indicate the extent to which generalized perceptions of one’s emotions differ from one’s real-life and in-situ emotional experiences. It was expected that study findings would replicate previous study (Goetz et al., 2013) with gender differences in trait math anxiety as compared to state math anxiety being moderated by self-concept levels. As higher levels of perceived control have consistently been found to predict lower anxiety (Pekrun, 2006), students’ with higher self-concepts were similarly expected to report lower trait anxiety levels, resulting in a lower trait-state discrepancy.

Additionally, the present study examined students’ endorsement of a math-related gender stereotype as a predictor of this trait-state discrepancy. It was assumed that higher levels of stereotype endorsement would bias girls’ trait self-reports differently than those for boys, given the negative performance implications of this stereotype for girls as opposed to the positive implications for boys. It was therefore anticipated that girls’ trait-state discrepancy in math anxiety would be significantly higher than the trait-state discrepancy observed for boys.

To summarize, based on the results of Goetz et al. (2013), gender differences were expected in mathematics anxiety on trait-oriented self-report measures but not on state assessments during mathematics instruction (replication; Hypothesis 1). We further expected to find a previously observed discrepancy in trait vs. state assessments, with this discrepancy explained to a significant extent by students’ mathematics self-concept (replication; Hypothesis 2). Additionally, students’ endorsement of a mathematics-related gender stereotype was expected to correspond with a stronger trait-state discrepancy for females, with males who endorsed the stereotype showing lower discrepancy levels (extension; Hypothesis 3).

Material and Methods

Ethical Statement

Prior to participating in the study, the teachers, students, and parents (depending on school regulations) were informed about study contents and procedure. Confidentiality of data was guaranteed and participation was voluntarily such that withdrawal from the study was possible at any time. Data were collected confidentially and all information that could link individual participants to their results was destroyed before analyzing the data.

Participants and Procedure

German students (N = 755; 55.1 % female) from 42 classes of grades 9 and 10 (Mage = 15.7, SD = 0.72) of the highest academic track (Gymnasium, about one third of the total student population; Federal Statistical Office, 2015) participated in a diary
To allow for trait and state anxiety ratings to be comparable, lesson, were excluded from the analyses (resulting in an exclusion completed more than one standardized diary questionnaire per student. This protocol resulted in 6207 entries in the standardized diary with a mean of 8.22 entries per student. Students who rated only one lesson, or 6207 entries in the standardized diary with a mean of 

8.22 entries per student. Students who rated only one lesson, or

completed more than one standardized diary questionnaire per lesson, were excluded from the analyses (resulting in an exclusion of 5 students).

**Trait Variables**

To allow for trait and state anxiety ratings to be comparable, *trait mathematics anxiety* was assessed using two items obtained from a larger five-item scale (based on PALMA; Pekrun et al., 2007) that were formulated in parallel to the state assessment (e.g., “During mathematics instruction I often feel anxious”). The two items had satisfactory reliability (trait questionnaire: Spearman-Brown \( \rho = 0.73 \)). The anxiety score summing across the two items was highly correlated with the original five-item scale (\( r = 0.91 \)). Although assessing constructs with more items may be preferable with respect to reliability and validity, empirical evidence nonetheless suggests that measures having fewer items can be sufficiently valid (Gogol et al., 2014). *Self-concept in mathematics* was assessed using three items from the Self Description Questionnaire II (SDQ; Marsh, 1990; \( \alpha = 0.88 \); e.g., Mathematics is one of my best subjects), and students’ endorsement of the gender stereotype (“Math is a male domain”) was assessed using a single-item measure (adapted from Fennema and Sherman, 1977; Hyde et al., 1990). Trait mathematics anxiety items, self-concept items, and stereotype endorsement were rated on a five-point Likert scale ranging from 1 = *strongly disagree* to 5 = *strongly agree*.

**State Anxiety**

State mathematics anxiety (Spearman-Brown \( \rho = 0.71 \)) was assessed using two items formulated in parallel to the trait mathematics anxiety items with respect to both phrasing and response format (e.g., “In this lesson I felt anxious”; 1 = *strongly disagree* to 5 = *strongly agree*). The parallel formulation of the trait and state measures ensured the comparability of anxiety levels required to examine our research question regarding potential trait-state discrepancies.

**Statistical Analyses**

Study data were analyzed with hierarchical linear modeling to account for multiple measurement points per student and students nested in classes (three levels). First, we combined the anxiety measures (trait and state) to assess them as a single dependent variable in the hierarchical linear regression models. To separate the trait from the state anxiety measures, we created a dichotomous dummy variable representing the method used to assess students’ anxiety (“Trait”: 0 = state, 1 = trait). In the multilevel regression models, the effect of this dummy variable can be interpreted as the magnitude of the difference between trait self-reports vs. state self-reports of anxiety: the trait-state discrepancy. To test the predictive validity of self-concept (Model 1) and gender stereotype endorsement (Model 2) of this discrepancy in girls and boys, we sequentially introduced the two variables (\( z \)-standardized) in the hierarchical linear models resulting in the following model equations.

Model 1 multilevel equation:

\[
Y_{ijk}[\text{Emotion value } i \text{ of student } j \text{ in class } k] = \gamma_{000} + \gamma_{100}(\text{Trait}) + \gamma_{101}(z_{\text{Self-concept}}) + \gamma_{110}(z_{\text{Self-concept} \times \text{Trait}}) + r_0 + r_{1}(\text{Trait}) + u_{00} + e
\]

Model 2 multilevel equation (notation as in Table 2):

\[
Y_{ijk}[\text{Emotion value } i \text{ of student } j \text{ in class } k] = \gamma_{000} + \gamma_{100}(\text{Trait}) + \gamma_{102}(z_{\text{Stereotype endorsement}}) + \gamma_{120}(z_{\text{Stereotype endorsement} \times \text{Trait}}) + r_0 + r_{1}(\text{Trait}) + u_{00} + e
\]

In the multilevel models, self-concept and stereotype endorsement each function as a predictor of the slope of the Trait dummy variable (slope-as-outcome model) resulting in a cross-level interaction between Level 1 and Level 2 (Self-concept \( \times \) Trait interaction; \( \gamma_{110}\) and Stereotype endorsement \( \times \) Trait interaction; \( \gamma_{120} \)). The magnitude of these interaction terms therefore indicates the effect of self-concept or stereotype endorsement on the trait-state discrepancy, respectively. Positive effects indicate that higher self-concept/stereotype values are associated with greater discrepancies between trait and state assessments, whereas negative effects indicate smaller discrepancies. For the sake of completeness, self-concept and stereotype endorsement were both included in each model as a predictor of the intercept \( (\gamma_{010}) \). These effects however, were not a primary concern with respect to our study hypotheses.

Additionally, our analyses included both self-concept and gender stereotype endorsement as predictors in a single model (Model 3):

\[
Y_{ijk}[\text{Emotion value } i \text{ of student } j \text{ in class } k] = \gamma_{000} + \gamma_{100}(\text{Trait}) + \gamma_{101}(z_{\text{Self-concept}}) + \gamma_{102}(z_{\text{Stereotype endorsement}}) + \gamma_{110}(z_{\text{Self-concept} \times \text{Trait}}) + \gamma_{120}(z_{\text{Stereotype endorsement} \times \text{Trait}}) + \gamma_{130}(z_{\text{Self-concept} \times \text{Stereotype endorsement} \times \text{Trait}}) + r_0 + r_{1}(\text{Trait}) + u_{00} + e
\]

As we were primarily interested in the strength of the effects of the predictors on the trait-state discrepancy in math anxiety, that for stereotype endorsement were assumed to be reversed depending on a student’s gender, Models 1–3 were analyzed separately for boys and girls (see Supplementary Material for hierarchical linear regression models for complete sample).

**Results**

**Descriptives**

The intraclass correlation coefficient (with respect to Levels 1 and 2) for the state anxiety measures was ICC(1) = 0.32
for female students and ICC(1) = 0.34 for male students. In addition, we calculated the ICC(2) that can be interpreted as a reliability measure of the aggregated state value (Lüdtke et al., 2006). The ICC(2) was 0.79 for girls and 0.81 for boys, indicating sufficient reliability for the aggregated state values. To examine our research questions (Hypothesis 1), mean-level differences were evaluated in the first step, showing gender differences in mathematics anxiety for trait anxiety (Cohen’s $d = −0.12$) but not for state anxiety measures (see Table 1). Furthermore, male and female students were found to differ significantly in their math-related self-concepts, with boys reporting higher self-concept levels. Males were also found to more strongly endorse the math gender stereotype. The correlation between math self-concept and gender stereotype endorsement was $r = −0.36$ for girls and $r = 0.18$ for boys.

**Main Analyses**

For the main analyses, hierarchical linear models comprising 3 levels (measurement points at Level 1, students at Level 2, and classes at Level 3) were calculated to evaluate Hypotheses 2 and 3 (see Table 2). Analyses were conducted separately for each gender to more explicitly evaluate the anticipated differential effects for girls vs. boys.

Results indicated a significant trait-state discrepancy for girls ($γ_{100} = 0.22, p < 0.01$) that was not significant for boys ($γ_{100} = 0.07$, ns). As stated in Hypothesis 2, self-concept (Model 1) significantly and negatively predicted the trait-state discrepancy for girls ($γ_{110} = −0.29, p < 0.001$) as well as boys ($γ_{110} = −0.25, p < 0.001$). However, gender stereotype endorsement (GSE; Model 2) was found to differentially predict the trait-state discrepancy based on gender as stated in Hypothesis 3: This discrepancy was positively predicted by GSE for girls ($γ_{120} = 0.21, p < 0.001$), whereas for boys the effect of GSE was negative and marginally significant ($γ_{120} = −0.09, p = 0.05$).

When introducing both predictors to the model (Model 3), self-concept ($γ_{110} = −0.25, p < 0.001$) and GSE ($γ_{120} = 0.12, p < 0.05$) were both found to significantly predict the trait-state discrepancy for girls. For males, self-concept continued to be a significant predictor of the trait-state discrepancy ($γ_{110} = −0.25, p < 0.001$) but GSE was no longer a significant predictor ($γ_{120} = −0.04, ns$). For the sake of completeness, an additional interaction term between self-concept and gender stereotype endorsement was evaluated in a last step, but was not reported in the table as it did not significantly predict the trait-state discrepancy for girls or boys.

**Discussion**

The aim of the present study was to shed light on gender differences in students’ trait vs. state math anxiety. Furthermore, this study examined additional variables that were expected to contribute to the discrepancy between students’ perceptions of trait math anxiety and their state math anxiety experiences in evaluating the effects of both students’ self-concept and endorsement of a math-related gender stereotype as moderators of the trait-state discrepancy. Our study results replicate previous findings (Goetz et al., 2013) in showing girls to report higher levels of math anxiety relative to boys on trait assessments but not on state assessments of math anxiety with gender differences in trait anxiety being small in terms of effect sizes, but still significant.

Furthermore, self-concept negatively predicted the trait-state discrepancy as in previous studies (Goetz et al., 2013; Bieg et al., 2014), with our results additionally showing students’ endorsement of a math-related gender stereotype to predict the trait-state discrepancy for female students. Girls who believed mathematics to be a male domain showed a larger discrepancy between their trait and state anxiety levels, with retrospective self-reports of anxiety being significantly higher than the state levels reported during actual math classes. This finding is in line with the assumption that gender stereotypes more strongly bias trait self-report measures than state measures (Robinson and Clore, 2002).

Our models also showed both self-concept and gender stereotype endorsement to predict trait-state discrepancy in female students when evaluated simultaneously. However, results showed the effect of stereotype endorsement to diminish when self-concept was introduced (see Model 3), suggesting that stereotype endorsement and self-concept are not entirely independent concepts. Nonetheless, it is important to note that stereotype endorsement was found to predict trait-state discrepancy over and above the effects of self-concept specifically for girls, highlighting the unique detrimental influence of these stereotyped mathematics beliefs on retrospective accounts of math anxiety primarily for female students.

Compared to female students, males were found to hold more stereotyped views of mathematics (see Hyde et al., 1990). Additionally, the effect of stereotype endorsement was inverted and marginally significant for male students, suggesting a tendency for male students who endorse the math-related gender stereotype to have lower trait-state discrepancies in their math anxiety. The negative implications of this mathematics-related gender stereotype for girls, and potential positive connotations for boys (cf. Steffens and Jelenec, 2011), confirm the expected pattern of results in showing a stronger overestimation of trait anxiety as compared to state anxiety for girls than for boys. In contrast, a strong mathematics self-concept, appears to serve

| TABLE 1 | Descriptive statistics and mean level differences. |
|----------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Scales   | Girls M, SD     | Boys M, SD      | $t$-value       | Effect size $d$ |
|----------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Anxiety-Trait | 1.87, 0.90     | 1.72, 0.84     | $−2.32^*$       | $−0.12$         |
| Anxiety-State  | 1.65, 0.84     | 1.64, 0.81     | $−0.32$         | $−0.01$         |
| Self-concept      | 2.86, 1.18     | 3.26, 1.15     | $5.19^{***}$    | $0.24$          |
| Stereotype Endorsement | 1.76, 1.14 | 2.12, 1.24 | $4.39^{***}$ | $0.21$ |

Positive $t$-values reflect higher scores for boys. For multi-item measures, scale values were divided by the number of items. $n = 416$ girls, $n = 339$ boys.

$p < 0.05$, $^{***} p < 0.001$.
a protective function for girls, particularly when performance-related gender stereotypes threaten their self-perceptions and emotional well-being in this domain. To summarize, our study findings show gender stereotype endorsement to increase the emotional well-being in this domain. To summarize, our study findings show gender stereotype endorsement to increase the emotional well-being in this domain.

As mentioned above, the effect of stereotype endorsement was found to be weaker when self-concept was included as a second predictor—a finding consistent with previous research indicating a substantial degree of shared variance between math-related gender stereotypes and self-concepts in the domain of mathematics (Schmader et al., 2004; Kurtz-Costes et al., 2008). Regarding the direction of relationship between these constructs, gender stereotype endorsement may represent one reason why girls have lower self-concepts despite similar performance relative to boys. However, it is also possible that explicitly asking students about gender stereotypes may prompt them to draw conclusions based on their self-concepts in mathematics about how applicable these stereotypes are to themselves as a male or female student. Although the endorsement of the math-related gender stereotype is lower in female as compared to male students, our findings suggest that stereotype endorsement nonetheless has quite a negative effect on girls’ trait anxiety ratings and perhaps also their attitudes regarding mathematics.

Concerning the study limitations, although the study findings suggest that state reports may be better able than trait questionnaires to capture emotions as they are experienced in daily life (Bolger et al., 2003), they nonetheless remain self-report measures and may produce different results as compared to other methods (e.g., behavioral observation, biometric indicators). Additionally, stereotype endorsement was assessed by use of a single straightforward item (“Mathematics is a male domain”). Future studies are recommended to replicate our findings with multi-item measures and to explore more specific elements of gender stereotypes, for example, with respect to the perceived value of the domain, possible careers afforded by math training, or the perceived proportion of female participation of the workforce in math domains (see Forgasz et al., 2004). Because of the mentioned limitations of self-reports and the tendency to deny beliefs in stereotypes when directly asked (Greenwald and Banaji, 1995), future studies could assess stereotype endorsement by the use of implicit measures (see Steffens et al., 2010). It can be assumed that the effect of implicitly measured gender-stereotype endorsement as predictor of the trait-state discrepancy may be even more pronounced. Our study sample was also limited to 9th and 10th graders in the highest track of the German school system (Gymnasium). Although these students typically represent those who subsequently enroll in university and obtain professional occupations with high responsibility, future studies are recommended to replicate our findings with a broader sample of students.

Finally, despite the study emphasis on measure-related differences in math anxiety for girls, it is critical to not overlook the importance of one’s self-concept in mathematics as a predictor of the trait-state discrepancy in math anxiety.

### TABLE 2 | Hierarchical linear regression models with anxiety as dependent variable.

|                  | Girls                      | Boys                      |
|------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------|
|                  | Model 1 | Model 2 | Model 3 | Model 1 | Model 2 | Model 3 |
| **LEVEL 1**      |         |         |         |         |         |         |
| Intercept ($\gamma_{00}$) | 1.68*** (0.04) | 1.68*** (0.04) | 1.68*** (0.04) | 1.67*** (0.04) | 1.66*** (0.04) | 1.67*** (0.04) |
| Trait ($\gamma_{100}$) | 0.22*** (0.04) | 0.22*** (0.05) | 0.22*** (0.04) | 0.07 (0.05) | 0.06 (0.05) | 0.07 (0.05) |
| **LEVEL 2**      |         |         |         |         |         |         |
| Self-concept ($\gamma_{010}$) | -0.13*** (0.03) | -0.10** (0.03) | -0.17*** (0.03) | -0.18*** (0.03) |         |         |
| Stereotype Endorsement [GSE] ($\gamma_{020}$) | 0.11*** (0.03) | 0.07* (0.03) | 0.04 (0.03) | 0.07* (0.03) |         |         |
| **CROSS-LEVEL INTERACTIONS L1–L2** |         |         |         |         |         |         |
| Trait x Self-concept ($\gamma_{110}$) | -0.29*** (0.04) | -0.25*** (0.04) | -0.25*** (0.04) | -0.25*** (0.04) |         |         |
| Trait x GSE ($\gamma_{120}$) | 0.21*** (0.05) | 0.12* (0.05) | -0.09* (0.04) | -0.04 (0.04) |         |         |
| **VARIANCE COMPONENTS** |         |         |         |         |         |         |
| Within-student (L1) variance ($\sigma^2$) | 0.455 | 0.455 | 0.455 | 0.408 | 0.408 | 0.408 |
| Intercept (L2) variance ($\tau_{00}$) | 0.202 | 0.206 | 0.198 | 0.185 | 0.217 | 0.182 |
| Slope (L2) variance ($\tau_{11}$) | 0.047 | 0.090 | 0.034 | 0.045 | 0.102 | 0.043 |
| Intercept-slope (L2) covariance ($\tau_{03}$) | -0.060 | -0.043 | -0.066 | -0.064 | -0.019 | -0.062 |
| Intercept (L3) variance | 0.035 | 0.036 | 0.033 | 0.036 | 0.026 | 0.033 |
| Exploratory power | 0.649 | 0.328 | 0.746 | 0.583 | 0.056 | 0.602 |

Unstandardized b coefficients are shown. Trait: 0 = state, 1 = trait; GSE = gender stereotype endorsement; female sample: $N_{level\ 1} = 3432; N_{level\ 2} = 416; N_{level\ 3} = 42$; male sample: $N_{level\ 1} = 2775; N_{level\ 2} = 339; N_{level\ 3} = 42$. Exploratory power refers to the proportion of slope variance explained by the L2 predictors (Aguinis et al., 2013). The slope variance of the model in which no cross-level interactions are included was $\tau_{11} = 0.134$ for female sample and $\tau_{11} = 0.108$ for male sample.

*p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001, ****p < 0.10.
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for boys, especially those with lower self-concept levels. Thus, in addition to the study findings suggesting continued efforts to counteract the persistence of gender stereotypes to improve math anxiety for girls, these findings also warrant renewed interest in efforts to enhance self-concept beliefs for all students regarding mathematics given the significant and consistent benefits observed for both girls and boys in this domain.

In conclusion, these findings show an alarming effect of stereotype endorsement for girls who, due to inaccurate beliefs in gender differences in math ability, are at risk of believing they are more anxious than they report feeling in mathematics domains. These results therefore underscore the importance of initiatives on the part of schools, teachers, and parents to address and counteract gender stereotypes that may, in turn, help to correct the underrepresentation of females in mathematics-related careers.

Supplementary Material

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: http://journal.frontiersin.org/article/10.3389/fpsyg.2015.01404

References

Aguinis, H., Gottfredson, R. K., and Culpepper, S. A. (2013). Best-practice recommendations for estimating cross-level interaction effects using multilevel modeling. J. Manage. Res. 39, 1490–1528. doi: 10.1177/0149206313478188
Barett, L. F. (1997). The relationships among momentary emotion experiences, personality descriptions, and retrospective ratings of emotion. Pers. Soc. Psychol. Bull. 23, 1100–1110. doi: 10.1177/0146167297231010
Beilock, S. L., Gunderson, E. A., Ramirez, G., and Levine, S. C. (2010). Female teachers’ math anxiety affects girls’ math achievement. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 107, 1860–1863. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0910967107
Bieg, M., Goetz, T., and Hubbard, K. (2013). Can I master it and does it matter? An intraindividual analysis on control–value antecedents of trait and state academic emotions. Learn. Individ. Dif. 28, 102–108. doi: 10.1016/j.lindif.2013.09.006
Bieg, M., Goetz, T., and Lipnevich, A. A. (2014). What students think they feel differs from what they really feel - Academic self-concept moderates the discrepancy between students’ trait and state emotional self-reports. PLoS ONE 9:e92563. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0092563
Bolger, N., Davis, A., and Rafaeli, E. (2003). Diary methods: capturing life as it is lived. Annu. Rev. Psychol. 54, 579–616. doi: 10.1146/annurev.psych.54.101601.145030
Buehler, R., and McFarland, C. (2001). Intensity bias in affective forecasting: the role of temporal focus. Pers. Soc. Psychol. Bull. 27, 1480–1493. doi: 10.1177/01461672012711009
Cvencek, D., Meltzoff, A. N., and Greenwald, A. G. (2011). Math–gender stereotypes in elementary school children. Child Dev. 82, 766–779. doi: 10.1111/j.1467-8624.2010.01529.x
Diener, E. (2000). Subjective well-being: the science of happiness and a proposal for a national index. Am. Psychol. 55, 34–43. doi: 10.1037/0003-066x.55.1.34
Eagly, A. H., Wood, W., and Diekman, A. B. (2000). "Social role theory of sex differences and similarities: a current appraisal," in The Developmental Social Psychology of Gender, eds T. Ekes and H. M. Trautner (Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates), 123–174.
Eccles, J. S. (1985). “Why doesn’t Jane run? Sex differences in educational and occupational patterns,” in The Gifted and Talented: Developmental Perspectives, eds D. F. Horowitz and M. O’Brien (Washington, DC: American Psychological Association), 251–295.
Eccles, J. S. (1994). Understanding women’s educational and occupational choices: applying the Eccles et al. model of achievement-related choices. Psychol. Women Q. 18, 585–609. doi: 10.1111/j.1471-6402.1994.tb01049.x
Else-Quest, N. M., Hyde, J. S., and Linn, M. C. (2010). Cross-national patterns of gender differences in mathematics: a meta-analysis. Psychol. Bull. 136, 103–127. doi: 10.1037/a0018053
Federal Statistical Office. (2015). Preliminary Results of General and Vocational School Students: 2014–15 Academic Year. [Schnellmeldungsergebnisse zu Schülerinnen und Schülern der Allgemeinbildenden und Beruflichen Schulen-Schuljahr 2014/15]. Available online at: https://www.destatis.de/DE/Publikationen/Thematik/BildungForschungKultur/Schulen/SchnellmeldungSchueler2511003138004.html (Retrieved May, 2015)
Fennema, E., and Sherman, J. (1977). Sex-related differences in mathematics achievement, spatial visualization and affective factors. Am. Educ. Res. J. 14, 51–71. doi: 10.3207/01162519
Forgas, H. J., Leder, G. C., and Kloosterman, P. (2004). New perspectives on the gender stereotyping of mathematics. Math. Thinking Learn. 6, 389–420. doi: 10.1207/s15327833mth0604_2
Goetz, T., Bieg, M., Lüdtke, O., Pekrun, R., and Hall, N. C. (2013). Do girls really experience more anxiety in mathematics? Psychol. Sci. 24, 2079–2087. doi: 10.1177/0956797613486989
Goetz, T., Frenzel, A. C., Hall, N. C., and Pekrun, R. (2008). Antecedents of academic emotions: testing the internal/external frame of reference model for academic enjoyment. Contemp. Educ. Psychol. 33, 9–33. doi: 10.1016/j.cedpsych.2006.12.002
Goetz, T., Haag, L., Lipnevich, A. A., Keller, M. M., Frenzel, A. C., and Collier, A. P. M. (2014). Between-domain relations of students’ academic emotions and their judgments of school domain similarity. Front. Psychol. 5:1153. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2014.01153
Goetz, T., Pekrun, R., Zirngibl, A., Jullien, S., Kleine, M., vom Hofe, R., et al. (2004). Leistung und emotionales Erleben im Fach Mathematik: Längsschnittliche Mehrebenenanalysen (Academic achievement and emotions in mathematics: a longitudinal multilevel analysis perspective). Z. Pädagog. Psychol. (Ger. J. Educ. Psychol.) 18, 201–212. doi: 10.1024/1010-0652.18.3.201
Gogol, K., Brunner, M., Goetz, T., Martin, R., Ugen, S., Keller, U., et al. (2014). ‘My Questionnaire is Too Long!’ the assessments of motivational- affective constructs with three-item and single-item measures. Contemp. Educ. Psychol. 39, 188–205. doi: 10.1016/j.cedpsych.2014.04.002
Greenwald, A. G., and Banaji, M. R. (1995). Implicit social cognition: attitudes, self-esteem, and stereotypes. Psychol. Rev. 102, 4–27. doi: 10.1037/0033-295X.102.1.4
 Gunderson, E. A., Ramirez, G., Levine, S. C., and Beilock, S. L. (2012). The role of parents and teachers in the development of gender-related math attitudes. Sex Roles 66, 153–166. doi: 10.1007/s11199-011-9996-2
Halpern, D. F., Benbow, C. P., Geary, D. C., Gur, R. C., Hyde, J. S., and Gernsbacher, M. A. (2007). The science of sex differences in science and mathematics. Psychol. Sci. Public Interest 8, 1–51. doi: 10.1111/j.1529-1006.2007.00032.x
Hyde, J. S. (2014). Gender similarities and differences. Annu. Rev. Psychol. 65, 373–398. doi: 10.1146/annurev-psych-012313-115057
Hyde, J. S., Fennema, E., Ryan, M., Frost, L. A., and Hopp, C. (1990). Gender comparisons of mathematics attitudes and affect: a meta-analysis. Psychol. Women Q. 14, 299–324. doi: 10.1111/j.1471-6402.1990.tb00022.x
Hyde, J. S., Lindberg, S. M., Linn, M. C., Ellis, A. B., and Williams, C. C. (2008). Gender similarities characterize math performance. Science 321, 494–495. doi: 10.1126/science.1160364
Kurtz-Costes, B., Rowley, J. S., Harris-Britt, A., and Woods, T. A. (2008). Gender stereotypes about mathematics and science and self-perceptions of ability in late childhood and early adolescence. Merrill-Palmer Q. J. Dev. Psychol. 54, 386–409. doi: 10.1353/mpq.0.0001
Levine, L. J., Safer, M. A., and Lench, H. C. (2006). “Remembering and disremembering emotions,” in Judgments Over Time: The Interplay of Thoughts, Feelings, and Behaviors, eds L. J. Sanna and E. C. Chang (New York, NY: Oxford University Press), 271–290.
Lüdtke, O., Trautwein, U., Kunter, M., and Baumert, J. (2006). Reliability and agreement of student ratings in the classroom environment: a reanalysis of TIMSS data. Learn. Environ. Res. 9, 213–230. doi: 10.1007/s10984-006-9014-9

Maloney, E. A., Schaeffer, M. W., and Beilock, S. L. (2013). Mathematics anxiety and stereotype threat: shared mechanisms, negative consequences and promising interventions. Res. Math. Educ. 15, 115–128. doi: 10.1080/14794802.2013.797744

Marsh, H. W. (1990). Self-Description Questionnaire (SDQ) II: A Theoretical and Empirical Basis for the Measurement of Multiple Dimensions of Adolescent Self-concept: An Interim Test Manual and a Research Monograph. Macarthur: University of Western Sydney.

Nagy, G., Garrett, J., Trautwein, U., Cortina, K. S., Baumert, J., and Eccles, J. S. (2008). “Gendered high school course selection as a precursor of gendered careers: The mediating role of self-concept and intrinsic value,” in Gender and Occupational Outcomes: Longitudinal Assessments of Individual, Social, and Cultural Influences, eds H. M. G. Watt and J. S. Eccles (Washington, DC: American Psychological Association), 115–143.

Passolunghi, M. C., Rueda Ferreira, T. I., and Tomasetto, C. (2014). Math–gender stereotypes and math-related beliefs in childhood and early adolescence. Learn. Individ. Dif. 34, 70–76. doi: 10.1016/j.lindif.2014.05.005

Pekrun, R. (2006). The control-value theory of achievement emotions: assumptions, corollaries, and implications for educational research and practice. Educ. Psychol. Rev. 18, 315–341. doi: 10.1007/s10648-006-9029-9

Pekrun, R., Goetz, T., Titiz, W., and Perry, R. P. (2002). Academic emotions in students’ self-regulated learning and achievement: a program of qualitative and quantitative research. Educ. Psychol. 37, 91–105. doi: 10.1207/s15326985ep3702_4

Pekrun, R., vom Hofe, R., Blum, W., Frenzel, A. C., Götz, T., and Wartha, S. (2007). “Development of mathematical competencies in adolescence: the PALMA longitudinal study,” in Studies on the Educational Quality of Schools. The Final Report of the DFG Priority Programme, ed M. Frenzel (Münster: Waxmann), 17–37.

Perez, T., Cromley, J. G., and Kaplan, A. (2014). The role of identity development, values, and costs in college STEM retention. J. Educ. Psychol. 106, 315–329. doi: 10.1037/a0034027

Plante, I., Théorêt, M., and Faveau, O. E. (2009). Student gender stereotypes: contrasting the perceived maleness and feminality of mathematics and language. Educ. Psychol. 29, 385–405. doi: 10.1080/01443410902971500

Robinson, M. D., and Clore, G. L. (2002). Belief and feeling: evidence for an accessibility model of emotional self-report. Psychol. Bull. 128, 934–960. doi: 10.1037/0033-2909.128.6.934

Rowley, S. J., Kurtz-Costes, B., Mistry, R., and Feagans, L. (2007). Social status as a predictor of race and gender stereotypes in late childhood and early adolescence. Soc. Dev. 16, 150–168. doi: 10.1111/j.1467-9507.2007.00376.x

Ruble, T. L., Cohen, R., and Ruble, D. N. (1984). Sex stereotypes: occupational barriers for women. Am. Behav. Sci. 27, 339–356. doi: 10.1177/000276484027003006

Schmader, T., Johns, M., and Barquistau, M. (2004). The costs of accepting gender differences: the role of stereotype endorsement in women’s experience in the math domain. Sex Roles 50, 835–850. doi: 10.1023/B:SERS.0000029101.74537.af

Schwarz, N. (2012). “Why researchers should think ‘real-time’: a cognitive rationale,” in Handbook of Research Methods for Studying Daily Life, eds M. R. Mehl and T. S. Conner (New York, NY: Guilford Press), 22–42.

Scollon, C. N., Kim-Prieto, C., and Diener, E. (2003). Experience sampling: promises and pitfalls, strengths and weaknesses. J. Happiness Stud. 4, 5–34. doi: 10.1023/a:1023605205115

Scollon, C. N., Kim-Prieto, C., and Diener, E. (2009). “Experience sampling: promises and pitfalls, strengths and weaknesses,” in Assessing Well-being: The Collected Works of Ed Diener, ed E. Diener (New York, NY: Springer Science + Business Media), 157–180.

Steele, C. M., and Aronson, J. (1995). Stereotype threat and the intellectual test performance of African Americans. J. Pers. Soc. Psychol. 69, 797.

Steffens, M. C., and Jelenec, P. (2011). Separating implicit gender stereotypes regarding math and language: implicit ability stereotypes are self-serving for boys and men, but not for girls and women. Sex Roles 64, 324–335. doi: 10.1007/s11199-010-9924-x

Steffens, M. C., Jelenec, P., and Noack, P. (2010). On the leaky math pipeline: comparing implicit math–gender stereotypes and math withdrawal in female and male children and adolescents. J. Educ. Psychol. 102, 947–963. doi: 10.1037/a0019920

Voyer, D., and Voyer, S. D. (2014). Gender differences in scholastic achievement: a meta-analysis. Psychol. Bull. 140, 1174–1204. doi: 10.1037/a0036620

Watt, H. M. G. (2004). Development of adolescents’ self-perceptions, values, and task perceptions according to gender and domain in 7th- through 12th-grade Australian students. Child Dev. 75, 1556–1574. doi: 10.1111/j.1467-8624.2004.00737.x

Watt, H. M. G. (2006). The role of motivation in gendered educational and occupational trajectories related to maths. Educ. Res. Eval. 12, 305–322. doi: 10.1080/13803610600765562

Wigfield, A., Battle, A., Keller, L. B., and Eccles, J. S. (2002). “Sex differences in motivation, self-concept, career aspiration, and career choice: implications for cognitive development,” in Biology, Society, and Behaviour. The Development of Sex Differences in Cognition, eds A. McGillicuddy-De Lisi and R. de Lisi (Westport, CT: Ablex), 93–124.

Conflict of Interest Statement: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2015 Bieg, Goetz, Wolter and Hall. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) or licensor are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.