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Abstract

A Szegő-type theorem for Toeplitz operators was proved by Boutet de Monvel and Guillemin for general Toeplitz structures. We give a local version of this result in the setting of positive line bundles on compact symplectic manifolds.

1 Introduction

The goal of this paper is to establish a local version of a Szegő-type theorem for Toeplitz operators proved by Boutet de Monvel and Guillemin in [BG] (Theorem 6 in §1, see also §13), which is the analogue of results of Weinstein [W] and Widom [Wi] for pseudodifferential operators. While [BG] deals with general Toeplitz structures, here we shall focus on the special case of positive line bundles, which is natural in algebraic geometry and geometric quantization.

We shall adopt the point of view of [P1], [P2], [P3], where certain spectral asymptotics for Toeplitz operators are given a local interpretation building on the approach to generalized Szegő kernels in [Z], [BSZ] and [SZ], based on the microlocal theory of [BS]. Thus the natural context for the present discussion is the category of quantized compact symplectic manifolds and the spaces of ‘almost holomorphic sections’ described in [BG] and [SZ]; for ease of exposition, we shall confine ourselves to the complex projective case.

Our setting and normalization conventions are as follows. Let $M$ be connected complex $d$-dimensional projective manifold, and $A$ an ample line bundle on it. Let $h$ be an Hermitian metric on $A$ such that the unique compatible connection has curvature $\Theta = -2i\omega$, where $\omega$ is a Kähler form
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on $M$. Let $A^*$ be the dual line bundle and $X \subseteq A^*$ the unit circle bundle, with projection $\pi : X \to M$. Then the connection 1-form $\alpha$ on $X$ is a contact form, and we shall adopt $d\mu_M =: (1/d!) \omega^{\alpha d}$ and $d\mu_X =: (1/2\pi) \alpha \wedge \pi^*(d\mu_M)$ as volume forms on $M$ and $X$, respectively. Given these choices, we shall identify (generalized) functions, densities and half-densities on $X$.

Let $H(X) \subseteq L^2(X)$ be the Hardy space, and let $H(X) = \bigoplus_k H_k(X)$ be the decomposition of $H(X)$ into $S^1$-equivariant summands; thus $H_k(X)$ is naturally unitarily isomorphic to the space $H^0(M, A^{\otimes k})$ of global holomorphic sections of $A^{\otimes k}$. The orthogonal projector $\Pi : L^2(X) \to H(X)$ extends to a continuous operator $\Pi : \mathcal{D}'(X) \to \mathcal{D}'(X)$, and the distributional kernel of the latter is the Szegö kernel studied in [BS]. Thus, $\Pi = \bigoplus_k \Pi_k$, where $\Pi_k : L^2(X) \to H_k(X)$ is the level-$k$ Szegö kernel.

A Toeplitz operator on $X$ of order $\nu \in \mathbb{Z}$ is a composition $T =: \Pi \circ Q \circ \Pi$, where $Q$ is a pseudodifferential operator of classical type and order $\nu$ on $X$ [BC]; we can naturally view $T$ as linear operator on $H(X)$. If in particular $T$ is $S^1$-invariant, then it leaves every summand $H_k(X)$ invariant, inducing ‘equivariant’ endomorphisms $T_k : H_k(X) \to H_k(X)$. Our focus will be on $S^1$-invariant zeroth order self-adjoint Toeplitz operators and the (local) spectral asymptotics as $k \to +\infty$ of their equivariant pieces. A notable example is $T_f = \Pi \circ M_f \circ \Pi$, where $M_f$ is multiplication by a real valued $f \in C^\infty(M)$; under the unitary isomorphism $H_k(X) \cong H^0(M, A^{\otimes k})$, $T_k$ corresponds to the Berezin-Toeplitz quantization of the classical observable $f$.

Thus, let $T$ be an $S^1$-invariant zeroth order self-adjoint Toeplitz operator on $X$, and for $k = 1, 2, \ldots$ let $T_k : H_k(X) \to H_k(X)$ be the self-adjoint endomorphism induced by restriction. Let $\lambda_{kj}, j = 1, \ldots, N_k = \dim(H_k(X))$ be the eigenvalues of $T_k$ repeated according to multiplicity, and suppose that $e_{kj}$ is an eigenfunction of $T_k$ for the eigenvalue $\lambda_{kj}$, so chosen that $(e_{kj})_j$ is an orthonormal basis of $H_k(X)$.

We shall study the asymptotics of the following measures on $\mathbb{R}$:

$$
\mathcal{T}_{x,k} := \sum_{j=1}^{N_k} \delta_{\lambda_{kj}} |e_{kj}(x)|^2 \quad (x \in X, \ k = 1, 2, \ldots);
$$

$\mathcal{T}_{x,k}$ does not depend on the choice of the $e_{kj}$’s. Furthermore, $|e_{kj}(x)|^2$ only depends on $m = \pi(x)$, hence we may write $\mathcal{T}_{m,k}$ for $\mathcal{T}_{x,k}$.

Before stating our main result, let us recall (after [BC]) that the connection 1-form generates a closed symplectic cone

$$
\Sigma := \{(x, r\alpha_x) : x \in X, r > 0\} \subseteq T^*X \setminus \{0\},
$$

and that the (principal) symbol $\sigma_T : \Sigma \to \mathbb{C}$ of the Toeplitz operator $T = \cdots$
Π ∘ Q ∘ Π is simply the restriction to Σ of the principal symbol σ_Q of the pseudodifferential operator Q; if T is self-adjoint, then σ_T is real valued.

The reduced symbol of T is then the C^∞ function σ_T(x) = σ_T(x, α_x) on X; if T is S^1-invariant then so is σ_T, which may thus be viewed as a C^∞ function on M.

**Theorem 1.1.** Let T be an S^1-invariant zeroth order self-adjoint Toeplitz operator on X and let χ ∈ S(ℝ) be function of rapid decrease. Then uniformly in m ∈ M the following asymptotic expansion holds as k → +∞:

\[
\langle T_{m,k}, χ \rangle \sim \left( \frac{k}{\pi} \right)^{d} \left( \chi(σ_T(m)) + \sum_{j \geq 1} k^{-j} P_j(χ)(σ_T(m)) \right),
\]

where P_j is a differential operator of degree 2j depending on m.

We obtain a global asymptotic expansion by integrating over M. More precisely, for k = 1, 2, ..., define measures on ℝ given by \( T_k =: \sum_{j=1}^{N_k} δ_{λ_{kj}} \).

**Corollary 1.1.** For any χ ∈ S(ℝ), the following asymptotic expansion holds for k → +∞:

\[
\langle T_k, χ \rangle \sim \left( \frac{k}{\pi} \right)^{d} \left( \int_M χ(σ_T(m))dμ_M(m) + \sum_{j \geq 1} k^{-j} C_j(χ) \right).
\]

In the present setting of positive line bundles, the Szegő-type theorem of [BG] is the following:

**Corollary 1.2.** For any χ ∈ S(ℝ),

\[
\lim_{k→+∞} \left( \frac{π}{k} \right)^{d} \langle T_k, χ \rangle = \int_M χ(σ_T(m))dμ_M(m).
\]

### 2 Proof of Theorem 1.1

To begin with, we may reduce the proof to the case where T is elliptic with everywhere positive reduced symbol; recall that a Toeplitz operator is called **elliptic** if its symbol is nowhere vanishing, hence if T is self-adjoint then it is elliptic if and only if σ_T has constant sign.

In fact, choose C > 0 such that σ_T + C > 0, and define \( \tilde{T} =: T + c Π; \) then \( \tilde{T} \) is an elliptic zeroth order S^1-invariant self-adjoint Toeplitz operator, with reduced symbol \( σ_{\tilde{T}} = σ_T + c > 0 \) and eigenvalues \( \tilde{λ}_{kj} = λ_{kj} + c \) with the same eigenfunctions \( e_{kj} \). Now suppose that the statement has been proved for \( \tilde{T} \),
and define $\chi_c(\tau) := \chi(\tau - c)$. Then if $\tilde{T}_{m,k}$ is the analogue of $T_{m,k}$ for $\tilde{T}$ we have

$$
\langle T_{m,k}, \chi \rangle = \sum_{j=1}^{N_k} |e_{k,j}(x)|^2 \langle \delta_{\lambda_{k,j}}, \chi \rangle = \sum_{j=1}^{N_k} |e_{k,j}(x)|^2 \langle \delta_{\lambda_{k,j}+c}, \chi_c \rangle = \langle \tilde{T}_{m,k}, \chi_c \rangle \sim \left( \frac{k}{\pi} \right)^d \left( \chi_c(\xi_T(m)) + \sum_{j \geq 1} k^{-j} C_j \right)
$$

Let us then assume in the following that $\xi_T > 0$.

We shall denote by either $F(\gamma)$ or $\tilde{\gamma}$ the Fourier transform of $\gamma \in S'(\mathbb{R})$ (thus $\hat{f}(\xi) = (2\pi)^{-1/2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x) e^{-i\xi x} dx$ if $f \in L^1$); for any $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, we have $\delta_\lambda = (1/\sqrt{2\pi}) F(e^{i\lambda(\cdot)})$. Define $\chi_k(\tau) := \chi(\tau/k)$, so that $\langle \delta_\lambda, \chi \rangle := \langle \delta_{\lambda k}, \chi_k \rangle$, $\forall \lambda \in \mathbb{R}$. We have if $m = \pi(x)$:

$$
\langle T_{m,k}, \chi \rangle = \sum_{j=1}^{N_k} |e_{k,j}(x)|^2 \langle \delta_{\lambda_{k,j}}, \chi \rangle = \sum_{j=1}^{N_k} |e_{k,j}(x)|^2 \langle \delta_{\lambda_{k,j}+c}, \chi_c \rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \sum_{j=1}^{N_k} |e_{k,j}(x)|^2 \langle e^{ik\lambda_{k,j}(\cdot)}, \chi_k \rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \sum_{j=1}^{N_k} |e_{k,j}(x)|^2 \langle e^{ik\lambda_{k,j}(\cdot)}, \chi_k \rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left( \sum_{j=1}^{N_k} |e_{k,j}(x)|^2 e^{ik\lambda_{k,j}(\cdot)} \right) \tilde{\chi}_k(\tau) d\tau.
$$

To interpret the expression within brackets, let us introduce the first order Toeplitz operator $T' =: D \circ T$, where $D =: -i \partial/\partial \theta$ and $\partial/\partial \theta$ is the generator of the $S^1$-action. Then $T'$ is $S^1$-invariant and self-adjoint, and has eigenvalues $\lambda_{k,j} =: k \lambda_{k,j}$, with eigensections $e_{k,j}$. It is furthermore elliptic, with everywhere positive symbol $\sigma_{T'}(x, r_\alpha y) = r \xi_{T'}(x)$.

By the theory in §2 and §12 of [BG], there exists an elliptic, self-adjoint $S^1$-invariant operator $Q$ on $X$ satisfying the following conditions: $[\Pi, Q] = 0$; $T' = \Pi Q \circ \Pi$; the principal symbol $\sigma_Q$ of $Q$ is everywhere positive on $T^*X \setminus \{0\}$. In particular, $Q$ leaves $H(X)$ invariant, and $T'$ is the restriction of $Q$ to $H(X)$. If $U(\tau) := e^{i\tau Q} (\tau \in \mathbb{R})$, then $U(\tau)(e_{k,j}) = e^{ik\lambda_{k,j}(\cdot)} e_{k,j}$. The distributional kernel of $U_k(\tau) =: U(\tau) \circ \Pi_k$ is then

$$
U_k(\tau)(x, y) := \sum_{j=1}^{N_k} e^{ik\lambda_{k,j}(\cdot)} e_{k,j}(x) \cdot e_{k,j}(y) \quad (x, y \in X);
$$
therefore, (1) may be rewritten
\[ \langle T_{m,k}, \chi \rangle = 1 \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \hat{\chi}(\tau) U_k(\tau)(x,x) d\tau \]

\[ = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \chi(\lambda/k) e^{-i\tau\lambda} U_k(\tau)(x,x) d\lambda d\tau \]

\[ = \frac{k}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \chi(\lambda) e^{-ik\tau\lambda} U_k(\tau)(x,x) d\lambda d\tau, \quad (2) \]

where in the last equality the change of variable \( \lambda \rightarrow k\lambda \) has been performed.

Next we choose a sufficiently small \( \epsilon > 0 \), and \( \beta \in C^\infty((-\epsilon,\epsilon)) \) such that \( \beta \geq 0 \) and \( \beta(\tau) = 1 \) for \(-1/2 < \tau < 1/2\). Inserting the identity
\[ 1 = \beta(\tau) + (1 - \beta(\tau)) \]
in (2), we obtain
\[ \langle T_{m,k}, \chi \rangle = \langle T_{m,k}, \chi \rangle' + \langle T_{m,k}, \chi \rangle'' , \]
where in the former summand the integrand has been multiplied by \( \beta \), and in the latter by \( 1 - \beta \).

**Lemma 2.1.** As \( k \rightarrow +\infty \), we have \( \langle T_{m,k}, \chi \rangle'' = O(k^{-\infty}) \).

**Proof.** In view of (2), we have
\[ \langle T_{m,k}, \chi \rangle'' = \frac{k}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \hat{\chi}(k\tau) (1 - \beta(\tau)) \ U_k(\tau)(x,x) d\tau \]

Now since \( \hat{\chi} \) is of rapid decrease and \( 1 - \beta \) is bounded and supported where \( |\tau| \geq \epsilon/2 \), for every \( N > 0 \) we have
\[ |\hat{\chi}(k\tau) (1 - \beta(\tau))| \leq \frac{C_N}{k^{2N} (\tau^2 + \epsilon^2)^N} \]

On the other hand, by the Tian-Zelditch asymptotic expansion one has \( |U_k(\tau)(x,x)| \leq C k^d \) uniformly in \( \tau \in \mathbb{R} \) and \( x \in X \).

Q.E.D.

We thus need only consider the asymptotics of \( \langle T_{m,k}, \chi \rangle' \). To proceed further, let us rewrite (2) as
\[ \langle T_{m,k}, \chi \rangle \sim \frac{k}{2\pi} \int_X \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{+\epsilon} \chi(\lambda) \beta(\tau) e^{-ik\tau\lambda} U(\tau)(x,y) \Pi_k(y,x) d\mu_X(y) d\lambda d\tau, \quad (4) \]
\[ \Pi_k(y, x) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} e^{-ik\theta} \Pi(r_{\theta}(y), x) \, d\theta \]

where \( r_{\theta} : X \to X \) is the action of \( e^{i\theta} \in S^1 \).

For any \( s > 0 \), let \( M_s(m) =: \{ m' \in M : \text{dist}_M(m', m) < s \} \), where \( \text{dist}_M \) is the Riemannian distance of \( M \). Choose a sufficiently small \( \delta > 0 \), and let \( M_1 =: M_{2\delta}(m), M_2 =: M_{\delta}(m) \). Let furthermore \( \{ \varrho_1, \varrho_2 \} \) be a partition of unity on \( M \), subordinate to the open cover \( \{ M_1, M_2 \} \), and write \( \varrho_j \) for \( \varrho_j \circ \pi \). Inserting the identity \( \varrho_1(y) + \varrho_2(y) = 1 \) in (4), we get

\[ \langle T_{m,k}, \chi \rangle_1 + \langle T_{m,k}, \chi \rangle_2, \]

where in \( \langle T_{m,k}, \chi \rangle_j \) the integrand has been multiplied by \( \varrho_j(y) \).

**Lemma 2.2.** As \( k \to +\infty \), we have \( \langle T_{m,k}, \chi \rangle_2 = O(k^{-\infty}) \).

*Proof.* Since the singular support of \( \Pi \) is the diagonal in \( X \times X \), on the support of \( h(y, x') =: \varrho_2(y) \Pi(y, x') \) we have \( \text{dist}_M(\pi(y), \pi(x)) \geq \delta \); therefore \( h \) is \( C^\infty \) on \( X_2 \times X' \), where \( X_2 = \pi^{-1}(M_2) \) and \( X' = \pi^{-1}(M') \), with \( M' \) a suitably small open neighborhood of \( m \) (say, \( M' = M_{\delta/2}(m) \)). We may thus regard \( h(\cdot, x') \) as a \( C^\infty \) family of compactly supported \( C^\infty \)-functions on \( X_2 \), parameterized by \( x' \in X' \), where both \( X_2 \) and \( X' \) are \( S^1 \)-invariant.

For each \( \tau \in \mathbb{R} \), on the other hand, \( U(\tau) \) is a FIO associated to a canonical graph. Therefore, we may also regard \( \kappa(\tau, x'') =: U(\tau)(x'', \cdot) \) as a \( C^\infty \) family of distributions on \( X \), parametrized by \( (\tau, x'') \). Explicitly, \( \langle \kappa(\tau, x''), f \rangle = (U(\tau)(f))(x'') \) for \( f \in C^\infty(X) \).

Hence \( B(\tau, x', x'') =: \langle \kappa(\tau, x''), h(\cdot, x') \rangle \) is a \( C^\infty \)-function of \( (\tau, x', x'') \in \mathbb{R} \times X' \times X \), and therefore its \( l \)-th Fourier component \( B_1(\tau, x', x'') \) with respect to \( x' \) is \( O(l^{-\infty}) \) as \( l \to \infty \), uniformly on compact subsets of \( \mathbb{R} \times X' \times X \).

Now in view of (4), (5) and the above, we have

\[ \langle T_{m,k}, \chi \rangle_2 = \frac{k}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \int_{-\epsilon}^{+\epsilon} \chi(\lambda) e^{-ik\tau \lambda} \beta(\tau) B_{-k}(\tau, x, x) \, d\lambda \, d\tau \]

\[ = \frac{k}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\epsilon}^{+\epsilon} \hat{\chi}(k\tau) \beta(\tau) B_{-k}(\tau, x, x) \, d\tau = O(k^{-\infty}). \]

Q.E.D.

As in [P3], we shall make use of the microlocal structure of \( U(\tau) \) and \( \Pi \): up to smoothing terms that contribute negligibly to the asymptotics, these may be represented as Fourier integral operators.
Namely, working in local coordinates near $x$ on the one hand we may write for $\tau \sim 0$:

$$U(\tau) (x', x'') = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{2d+1}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d+1}} e^{i[\varphi(\tau, x', \eta) - x'\cdot \eta]} a(\tau, x', x'', \eta) \, d\eta, \quad (6)$$

where the generating function $\varphi$ and the amplitude $a$ are as follows [GS].

First,

$$\varphi(\tau, x', \eta) = x' \cdot \eta + \tau q(x', \eta) + O(\tau^2 \|\eta\|); \quad (7)$$

furthermore, $a(\tau, \cdot, \cdot, \cdot, \cdot) \in S^0_{\text{cl}}$ for every $\tau$, with $a(0, x', x'', \eta) = 1/V(x'')$, where $V$ is the local coordinate expression of the volume form on $X$.

On the other hand, after [BS], $\Pi$ is a Fourier integral operator with complex phase:

$$\Pi(x', x'') = \int_0^{+\infty} e^{it\psi(x', x'')} s(t, x', x'') \, dt, \quad (8)$$

where the Taylor expansion of the phase $\psi$ along the diagonal is determined by the Kähler metric, and $s(t, x', x'') \sim \sum_{j \geq 0} t^{d-j} s_j(x', x'')$.

Inserting (6) and (8) in (2), we get

$$\langle T_{m, k}, \chi \rangle \sim \frac{k}{(2\pi)^{2d+3}} \cdot \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \int_{-\epsilon}^{+\epsilon} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d+1}} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \int_X e^{i\Phi_k} H_k(\lambda, \tau, x, y, \eta, t, \vartheta) \, d\lambda \, d\tau \, d\eta \, dt \, d\vartheta \, d\mu_X(y), \quad (9)$$

where

$$\Phi_k = \Phi_k(t, \vartheta, \lambda, \tau, x, y, \eta)$$

$$= \varphi(\tau, x, \eta) - y \cdot \eta - k\lambda \tau + t\psi(r_{\vartheta}(y), x) - k\vartheta$$

$$H_k(t, \vartheta, \lambda, \tau, x, y, \eta) = \chi(\lambda) \beta(\tau) g_1(y) a(\tau, x, y, \eta) s(t, r_{\vartheta}(y), x).$$

With the change of variables $\eta \to k\eta$ and $t \to kt$, (9) may be rewritten

$$\langle T_{m, k}, \chi \rangle \sim \left(\frac{k}{2\pi}\right)^{2d+3} \cdot \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \int_{-\epsilon}^{+\epsilon} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d+1}} \int_{0}^{+\infty} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \int_X e^{ik\Phi} H_k(\lambda, \tau, x, y, k\eta, kt, \vartheta) \, d\lambda \, d\tau \, d\eta \, dt \, d\vartheta \, d\mu_X(y), \quad (10)$$

where

$$\Phi(t, \vartheta, \lambda, \tau, x, y, \eta) = \varphi(\tau, x, \eta) - y \cdot \eta - \lambda \tau + t\psi(r_{\vartheta}(y), x) - \vartheta.$$
Implicitly introducing a partition of unity in $\vartheta$, we may assume that the integrand is compactly supported in $\vartheta$. We now make the following remarks, that may proved by an adaptation of the arguments in Lemmata 2.3 - 2.5 of [P1] (the focus in [P1] is on the asymptotics with respect to a continuous parameter denoted $\lambda$, while here the asymptotics are with respect to the discrete parameter $k$).

- Integration by parts in $d\vartheta$ shows that only a negligible contribution to the asymptotics is lost if we multiply the integrand by a compactly supported function $\zeta = \zeta(t)$ with $\zeta \in C_0^\infty((1/C, C))$ and $\zeta = 1$ on $(2/C, C/2)$.

- We may thus replace $\int_0^{+\infty} dt$ by $\int_0^{+\infty} \zeta(t) dt$ in (10).

- Integration by parts in $dt$ then shows that for any $c > 0$ and $\xi < 1/2$ the contribution to the asymptotics coming from the loci $W_k \subseteq X$ where $\text{dist}_M(y, x) \geq ck^{-\xi}$ is negligible.

Let us fix $\xi \in [1/3, 1/2)$.

Next let us choose a system of Heisenberg local coordinates for $X$ centered at $x$ (we refer to [SZ] for a definition and discussion of Heisenberg local coordinates); let $x + (\theta, v)$ denote the point with Heisenberg local coordinates $(\theta, v)$. Thus we write $y = x + (\theta, v)$ and $d\mu_X(y) = V(\theta, v) d\theta dv$; in particular, $V(\theta, 0) = 1/(2\pi)$ for every $\theta$. By the previous discussion, only a negligible contribution to the asymptotics is lost if we multiply the integrand by $\gamma(k^\xi \|v\|)$, where $\gamma \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ satisfies $\gamma(b) = 1$ if $|b| \leq 1$, $\gamma(b) = 0$ if $|b| \geq 2$.

Since this amounts to removing a smoothing term from $U(\tau)$, we may multiply the amplitude $a$ by a cut-off function in $\eta$ which vanishes for $\|\eta\| < \delta$ for some small $\delta > 0$, and equals 1 for large $\|\eta\|$. We may then also write $\eta = r\omega$, with $r > 0$ and $\omega \in S^{2d}$, so that $d\eta = r^{2d} dr d\omega$, and replace $\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d+1}} d\eta$ by $\int_0^{+\infty} \int_{S^{2d}} r^{2d} dr d\omega$. We shall write $\omega = (\omega_0, \omega_1) \in S^{2d} \subseteq \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{2d}$ with $\omega_0^2 + \|\omega_1\|^2 = 1$.

Now $((0, 0), (1, 0))$ corresponds to $(x, \alpha_x)$ in Heisenberg local coordinates. An argument similar to the proof of Lemma 2.2 of [P1] shows that as $k \to +\infty$ only a rapidly decreasing contribution is lost if integration in $d\omega$ is restricted to a small open neighborhood $S_+ \subseteq S^{2d}$ of $(1, 0)$; we may thus multiply the integrand in (10) by an appropriate bump function $g \in C_0^\infty(S_+)$ which is identically 1 near $(1, 0)$ without affecting the asymptotics. We may assume $\omega_0 \geq a > 0$ for some fixed $a > 0$ on $S_+$.

We shall furthermore adopt the rescaling $v \to v/(r\sqrt{k})$, and write $y = x + (\theta, v/(r\sqrt{k}))$, so that $d\mu_X(y) = r^{-2d} k^{-d} V(\theta, v) d\theta dv$ in the new coordinates.
In rescaled coordinates, integration in $d\mathbf{v}$ is over a ball in $\mathbb{C}^d$ centered at the origin of radius $2r k^{1/2-\xi}$.

Given this, (10) may be rewritten

\[
\langle T_{m,k}, \chi \rangle \sim \frac{k^{d+3}}{(2\pi)^{2d+3}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \! \! \int_{-\epsilon}^{\epsilon} \int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{C}^{C} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{C}^d} e^{ik\Psi_k} S_k \, d\lambda \, d\tau \, d\omega \, dt \, d\vartheta \, d\theta \, d\mathbf{v},
\]

where

\[
\Psi_k(t, \vartheta, \lambda, \tau, x, \theta, \mathbf{v}, r, \omega) =: \Phi \left( \lambda, \tau, x, x + \left( \theta, \frac{\mathbf{v}}{r \sqrt{k}} \right), r\omega, \vartheta \right)
\]

\[
= -\frac{1}{\sqrt{k}} \mathbf{v} \cdot \omega_1 + \left[ -r\omega_0 \theta + \tau r q(x, \omega) + O(\tau^2) \right] r
\]

\[
-\lambda \tau + t \psi \left( x + \left( \theta + \vartheta, \frac{\mathbf{v}}{r \sqrt{k}} \right), x \right) - \vartheta,
\]

and

\[
S_k(\lambda, \tau, x, \theta, \mathbf{v}, r, \omega, \vartheta) =: H_k(\lambda, \tau, x, y, k\eta, kt, \vartheta) \zeta(t) \gamma(\xi^{-1/2}||\mathbf{v}||/r) g(\omega).
\]

By (65) of [SZ], we have

\[
t \psi \left( x + \left( \theta + \vartheta, \frac{\mathbf{v}}{r \sqrt{k}} \right), x \right) = it \left[ 1 - e^{i(\theta + \vartheta)} \right] + \left[ \frac{||\mathbf{v}||^2}{2r^2 k} + R_3^\psi \left( \frac{\mathbf{v}}{r \sqrt{k}} \right) \right] t e^{i(\theta + \vartheta)},
\]

where $R_3^\psi$ vanishes to third order at the origin.

We can then write

\[
i k \Psi_k = -i \sqrt{k} \mathbf{v} \cdot \omega_1 + i k \Psi - \frac{||\mathbf{v}||^2}{2r^2} t e^{i(\theta + \vartheta)} + i k R_3^\psi \left( \frac{\mathbf{v}}{r \sqrt{k}} \right) t e^{i(\theta + \vartheta)},
\]

where

\[
\Psi(t, \theta, \vartheta, \lambda, \tau, x, \mathbf{v}, \omega, \vartheta) =: -r\omega_0 \theta + \tau r q(x, \omega) + O(\tau^2) \right] r - \lambda \tau + it \left[ 1 - e^{i(\theta + \vartheta)} \right] - \vartheta.
\]

Since the exponential $D_k(\mathbf{v}, \theta, \vartheta, t) =: \exp \left( i k R_3^\psi (\mathbf{v}/(r \sqrt{k})) t e^{i(\theta + \vartheta)} \right)$ is bounded in the range $||\mathbf{v}|| < 2k^{1/2-\xi}$, it may be incorporated into the amplitude (as in §3 and §5 of [SZ]). Furthermore, since $\partial_\theta \Psi = -r\omega_0 + t e^{i(\theta + \vartheta)}$ and
\[0 < a \leq \omega_0 < 1, 1/C \leq t \leq C,\] integration by parts in \(\theta\) shows that, for some fixed \(D \gg 0\), the regions where \(r < \frac{1}{D}\) or \(r > D\) only give a negligible contribution to the asymptotics for \(k \to +\infty\).

In the same manner, integration by parts in \(d\tau\) shows that only a negligible contribution is lost if the integrand is multiplied by \(\sigma(\lambda)\), where \(\sigma \in C_\infty(0, (-C, C))\) and \(\sigma = 1\) on \((-C/2, C/2)\).

Replacing \(D\) and \(C\) with \(\max\{D, C\}\), and multiplying the integrand by a suitable bump function \(\kappa(r)\), we obtain

\[\langle T_{m,k}, \chi \rangle \sim \frac{k^{d+3}}{(2\pi)^{2d+3}} \int_{C^d} \int_{S^{2d}} e^{-i\sqrt{k}v \cdot \omega_1} I_k(v, \omega) dv d\omega, \quad (13)\]

where

\[I_k(v, \omega) =: \int_{-C}^C \int_{-\epsilon}^{+\epsilon} \int_{1/C}^C \int_{1/C}^C \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} e^{ik\Psi_{v,\omega}} \sigma(\lambda) \cdot T \, d\lambda \, d\tau \, dr \, dt \, d\phi \, d\theta\]

with \(T =: S_k \cdot D_k\) and

\[\Psi_{v,\omega}(t, \theta, \vartheta, \lambda, \tau, r) =: \Psi(t, \theta, \vartheta, \lambda, \tau, x, v, r, \omega).\]

Thus we first view \(v\) and \(\omega\) as parameters in the inner integral, and evaluate it asymptotically using the stationary phase Lemma. A straightforward computation leads to the following:

**Lemma 2.3.** \(\Psi_{v,\omega}\) has a unique stationary point

\[(t_0, \theta_0, \vartheta_0, \lambda_0, \tau_0, r_0) = \left(1, 0, 0, q(x, \omega)/\omega_0, 0, 1/\omega_0\right).\]

The Hessian of \(\Psi_{v,\omega}\) at the critical point has determinant

\[\det \left( H(\Psi_{v,\omega}) \right) = -\omega_0^2.\]

In particular, \(\Psi_{v,\omega}\) vanishes at the critical point, and

\[
\frac{1}{\sqrt{\det \left( kH(\phi)/2\pi i \right)}} = \frac{1}{\omega_0} \left( \frac{k}{2\pi} \right)^{-3}.
\]

Applying the stationary phase Lemma, the asymptotic expansion of the amplitudes of \(\Pi\) and \(U(\tau)\) and the Taylor expansion of \(s_j, a_j, D_k\) and \(V\), we conclude that for every integer \(N \gg 0\)

\[I_k(v, \omega) \sim \frac{1}{\omega_0} g(\omega) \gamma \left(k^{\xi-1/2}\omega_0 v\right) e^{-\omega_0^2 \|v\|^2/2} \left(k \omega_0 \right)^{-3} \left(k \omega_0 \right)^d \left[ \chi \left(\frac{q(x, \omega)}{\omega_0}\right) + \sum_{j=1}^N k^{-j/2} P_j(v, \omega, \chi) \left(\frac{q(x, \omega)}{\omega_0}\right) \right] + R_N, \quad (14)\]
where \(|R_N| < C'_N k^{-a_N} e^{-a_0 \|v\|^2} Q_N(v)\), for some \(C'_N, a > 0\) and some polynomial \(Q_N\), while each \(P_j\) is a differential operator of degree \(2j\) in \(\chi\), as prescribed by the stationary point Lemma, and is a polynomial in \(v\); we have made use of the equalities \(s_0(x,x) = \pi^{-d}\), \(a(0,x,y,\eta) = 1/\mathcal{V}(y)\), \(\mathcal{V}(\theta,0) = 1/2\pi\).

In the way to estimate the outer integral in (13), let us first remark that, for \(N \gg 0\), integration of the remainder \(R_N\) over a ball of radius \(O(k^{-1/2-\xi})\) is \(O(k^{-a'} N)\) for some \(a' > 0\). To estimate the integral of the former summand, we may apply the stationary phase Lemma in \(\mu = \sqrt{k}\). The phase \(\Upsilon = -v \cdot \omega_1\) has a unique stationary point for \(v = \omega_1 = 0\), and partial integration in \(d\omega\) shows that only a negligible contribution to the asymptotics is lost if integration in \(dv\) is restricted to an arbitrary open neighborhood of the origin; we may thus replace \(\gamma(k,\xi - 1/2)\chi\) with some fixed cut-off \(\rho = \rho(v)\) identically one near the origin. At the critical point \(\Upsilon\) clearly vanishes, and its Hessian \(\Upsilon''\) satisfies

\[
\det \left( \frac{\mu \Upsilon''}{2\pi i} \right) = \left( \frac{\mu}{2\pi} \right)^{4d} = \frac{k^{2d}}{(2\pi)^{4d}}.
\]

Since \(q(x,(1,0)) = q(x,\alpha_x) = \varsigma_T(m)\), we get

\[
\langle T_{m,k}, \chi \rangle \sim \left( \frac{k}{\pi} \right)^d \left[ \chi(\varsigma_T(m)) + \sum_{j \geq 1} k^{-j/2} Q_j(\chi)(\varsigma_T(m)) \right], \quad (15)
\]

where the \(Q_j\)’s are differential operators acting on \(\chi\).

Now we remark that the asymptotic expansions for the amplitudes \(s\) and \(a\) of \(\Pi\) and \(U\), respectively, go down by integer steps; therefore, the appearance of fractional powers of \(k\) in (14) is due to the asymptotic expansion of the amplitude in \(v/\sqrt{k}\). Hence the general summand in (14) splits as a sum of multiples of \(k^{d-3-s/2-l} Q_{s,l}(v,\omega,\chi)\), where \(s\) and \(l\) are non-negative integers, and \(Q_{s,l}(v,\omega,\chi)\) is a homogeneous polynomial of degree \(s\) in \(v\), and a differential operator of degree (at most) \(2l\) in \(\chi\). In turn, when we apply the stationary phase Lemma in \(\mu\), and keep track of powers of \(k\) involved, this summand gives rise to a linear combination of terms of the form

\[
k^{d+3} k^{-d} k^{d-3-s/2-l} k^{-l/2} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial v_{i_1}} \circ \frac{\partial}{\partial \omega_1} \circ \cdots \circ \frac{\partial}{\partial v_{i_t}} \circ \frac{\partial}{\partial \omega_{1t}} \right) Q_{s,l}(0,(1,0),\chi),
\]

with \(t\) a non-negative integer. Since \(Q_{s,l}\) is homogeneous of degree \(s\) in \(v\) and we are evaluating at the origin, we only get a non-zero contribution for \(t = s\). Hence, we get a linear combination of summands of the form

\[
k^{d-s-l} P_{s,l}(\chi)(\varsigma_T(m)),
\]
with $P_{s,l}$ a differential operator in $\chi$, of degree $2l \leq 2(s + l)$. Q.E.D.
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