pediatricians become familiar with a new piece of technology that may play a role in health care team protection and patient safety.

It is not possible to generalize this experience as a recommendation about the device use because its effectiveness in the pediatric clinical setting has not been demonstrated yet.

Further research to obtain evidence about these queries is still required.
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Are electrocardiograms correctly interpreted by pediatric residents? Experience before and after an educational intervention in a teaching hospital
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ABSTRACT
The incidence of pediatric cardiac arrest is unknown; its main etiologies are congenital heart diseases, cardiomyopathies, and ventricular arrhythmias. An electrocardiogram (ECG) is a diagnostic method that may allow to detect them in an early manner and reduce morbidity and mortality. The objective of this study was to describe pediatric residents’ skills to determine if an ECG was normal or abnormal and make an accurate electrocardiographic diagnosis before and after an educational intervention. First-year pediatric residents participated in this study. An assessment including 12 ECG tracings was done before and after an educational module, and scores were compared using the t-test for paired data. No differences were observed between both assessments regarding the interpretation of ECG as normal or abnormal (p: 0.42). However, a statistically significant difference was
observed in definitive diagnoses ($p < 0.002$). Definitive electrocardiographic diagnoses improved after the implementation of an educational module.
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**INTRODUCTION**

The electrocardiogram (ECG) is one of the most frequently used diagnostic methods because through cardiac electrical activity analysis, it is possible to detect multiple pathologies, including life-threatening ones.\(^1\) Pediatric cardiac arrest accounts for more than 2000 deaths each year in the United States.\(^2\) Its worldwide incidence is unknown. In contrast to adults, it is mainly the end result of progressive respiratory failure or shock. The most common etiologies of sudden cardiac arrest include congenital heart diseases, cardiomyopathies, and ventricular arrhythmias.\(^3\) In some cases, an early finding of electrocardiographic abnormalities may allow to establish a timely diagnosis and treatment, and thus reduce morbidity and mortality.

Recent studies have described that ECG interpretation is limited both among physicians and medical students.\(^4\)\(^-\)\(^9\) In addition, multiple residency programs do not offer formal ECG interpretation training.\(^5\)

Pediatricians are often the first health care providers to assess children with a potential cardiac pathology. It is vital that these professionals are able to interpret ECGs.\(^4\)

In Argentina, there are no publications addressing this problem. The objective of this study was to describe pediatric residents’ skills to determine if an ECG was normal or abnormal and make an accurate diagnosis before and after an educational intervention.

**POPULATION AND METHODS**

This was a before-and-after, quasi-experimental study conducted between August and September 2019 at the Department of Pediatrics of a general teaching hospital with approximately 128,000 pediatric visits every year.

Study participation was voluntary. First-year pediatric residents were invited to participate. Oral informed consent was obtained from those who agreed to do so.

Two tests were taken: pre-test and post-test. The educational module took place 30 days before the post-test. The tests included 12 ECG tracings chosen by a pediatric cardiologist, which were a representative sample of the pediatric practice due to their frequency of occurrence or severity. There were 4 normal or normal-variant ECG tracings and 8 abnormal ECG tracings (Table 1). ECGs were obtained at our institution and had 12 leads (see Annex).

Patients’ name and age were removed. Tests were done in person and lasted 60 minutes. The ability to distinguish normal, normal-variant, and abnormal results by selecting these options was assessed, and an empty text box was provided to specify the diagnosis if they were able to identify it.

The educational module was given in person by a pediatric cardiologist, using slides and offering time to ask questions. It lasted 70 minutes and addressed electrode placement, the development of Bailey’s hexaxial system and the analysis of heart rhythm, heart rate, atrioventricular conduction, wave characteristics, segments and intervals, electrical axis determination, and QTc. Normal and pathological ECGs were analyzed, which included the diagnoses used in the test, with different tracings.

Residents who did not complete both tests and those who did not attend the educational module were excluded. Correct results were not disclosed during the study. The protocol was approved by the hospital’s Ethics Committee.

**Statistical analysis**

Two scores were determined: the first one considered if the ECG was identified as normal or abnormal, and the second one, if the diagnosis

| Normal ECGs | Abnormal ECGs |
|-------------|---------------|
| Normal ECG  | Left ventricular hypertrophy |
| Sinus tachycardia | Prolonged QTc interval |
| Sinus bradycardia | Wolff-Parkinson-White syndrome |
| Sinus arrhythmia | Ventricular tachycardia |
|              | Complete right bundle branch block |
|              | Atrial flutter |
|              | Ventricular extrasystoles |
|              | Second-degree atrioventricular block |

Table 1. ECGs chosen for the tests
indicated in the text box was correct or incorrect. The diagnosis was considered incorrect when the text box was left empty or incomplete. Both scores were expressed as percentage of correct answers per participant; the higher the percentage, the higher the number of correct answers.

Categorical outcome measures were described as absolute number and frequency, and continuous outcome measures as mean and standard deviation (SD) with a 95% confidence interval (CI) or median and interquartile range, based on the observed distribution. Scores were compared using the t-test for paired data. A value of \( p < 0.05 \) was considered statistically significant. The STATA 13 software was used.

RESULTS

During the study period, there were 14 first-year residents in the pediatric residency program, and they all agreed to participate. However, 2 of them were excluded for not attending the educational module or not taking the post-test. A total of 12 residents (86%) were enrolled.

The correct interpretation of ECG tracings as normal or normal-variant and abnormal was analyzed, but no significant difference \( (p = 0.42) \) was observed between the 78.4% pre-test mean (SD: 13) and the 81.3% post-test mean (SD: 6.2). However, our study showed a statistically significant improvement in definitive diagnosis identification \( (p < 0.002) \) between the 22.2% pre-test mean (SD: 14.8) and the 36.1% post-test mean (SD: 21.1) (Table 2).

DISCUSSION

Our study showed that implementing an educational module in a group of first-year pediatric residents aimed at analyzing and identifying pediatric ECGs significantly improved their ability to reach the correct diagnosis. In the bibliography, a recent meta-analysis concluded that ECG interpretation ability without any training was low and improved after educational interventions. In different populations, it was observed that, after training, improvement was less than expected.9

According to the study conducted by Khanna et al., a statistically significant difference was observed in the interpretation of the definitive diagnosis, with a 14% increase after the educational intervention. However, no differences were observed in our cohort regarding the ability to determine if an ECG was normal or abnormal. This finding may be due to the fact that, in our population, the percentage of correct answers in the pre-test (78.4%) was considerably higher than in the above-mentioned study (35.2%).2

Escudero et al. obtained similar results for the correct identification of the ECG as normal or abnormal, with a lower accuracy for the determination of the correct diagnosis.4 Regarding the identification of the definitive diagnosis, our population had lower scores than those previously published.6,7 This may be related to the fact that university training was based on interpreting adult ECGs.

**Table 2. Percentage of residents’ correct answers in the pre- and post-tests**

| First-year resident | Normal/Abnormal | Diagnosis |
|---------------------|-----------------|-----------|
|                     | Pre-test (%)    | Post-test (%) | Pre-test (%) | Post-test (%) |
| 1                   | 66.6            | 83.3       | 33.3         | 50           |
| 2                   | 91.6            | 91.6       | 16.6         | 33.3         |
| 3                   | 91.6            | 91.6       | 33.3         | 25           |
| 4                   | 91.6            | 75         | 16.6         | 25           |
| 5                   | 58.3            | 75         | 25           | 50           |
| 6                   | 75              | 75         | 16.6         | 16.6         |
| 7                   | 66.6            | 83.3       | 8.3          | 8.3          |
| 8                   | 75              | 75         | 33.3         | 58.3         |
| 9                   | 75              | 75         | 16.6         | 16.6         |
| 10                  | 66.6            | 83.3       | 25           | 50           |
| 11                  | 100             | 83.3       | 50           | 75           |
| 12                  | 83.3            | 83.3       | 58.3         | 58.3         |
| Mean (SD)           | 78.4 (13.0)     | 81.2 (6.2) | 22.2 (14.8)  | 36.1 (21.1)  |
| \( p \) value       | 0.42            |            | 0.002        |              |
| 95% CI              | [-4.7-10.4]     |            | [5.9-21.8]   |              |

SD: standard deviation;
CI: confidence interval.
The greatest difficulty faced by our population was to identify diagnoses as prolonged QT interval, Wolff-Parkinson-White syndrome, atrioventricular block, and complete right bundle branch block, which was consistent with published studies. However, in our population, most participants were able to identify abnormal ECGs, which in clinical practice would have resulted in the corresponding referral to more experienced physicians.

In the study by Crocetti et al., the strongest predictor of ECG interpreting was completing a cardiology rotation. Our residency program currently lacks a formal cardiology rotation.

Although ECG interpreting is a complex task even for cardiologists, it is extremely important that pediatric residents interpret them correctly and identify conditions requiring medical action or referral to a specialist. Based on this study, the annual implementation of an ECG educational module in our residency program and follow-up during the subsequent years to assess the progression in the acquisition of these skills are considered relevant.

Limitations
This study was conducted in a single center and its sample size was small. It included first-year pediatric residents, which could result in a selection bias.

Regarding its methodology, patients’ age was removed from the ECGs in order to protect their privacy, which hindered a correct interpretation. In addition, it was necessary to clarify that, if several anomalies were found, the most significant one should be noted, given that, for instance, in the ECG with second-degree atrioventricular block, some residents answered “incomplete right bundle branch block,” which was not the main anomaly. Finally, the post-test was done 30 days after the intervention; therefore, the ability to retain the information in the long term was not assessed.

CONCLUSION
Our cohort of residents showed a good performance when determining if an ECG was normal or abnormal. The identification of the definitive diagnosis improved after an educational module. Nevertheless, it is necessary to include more training opportunities in order to attain better levels of diagnostic accuracy.
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ANNEX.
ELECTROCARDIOGRAMS CHOSEN FOR THE TESTS

1) Normal ECG tracing

2) Left ventricular hypertrophy
3) Sinus tachycardia

4) Prolonged QTc interval
5) Wolff-Parkinson-White syndrome
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6) Ventricular tachycardia
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7) Sinus bradycardia

8) Complete right bundle branch block
9) Atrial flutter

10) Respiratory sinus arrhythmia
11) Ventricular extrasystoles

12) Second-degree atrioventricular block, Mobitz type 1