Numerical Simulation of a Mathematical Model for Cancer Cell Invasion
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INTRODUCTION

In this paper we focus on the discretization of a mathematical model describing the process of cells invasion in the surrounding extracellular matrix using a Generalized Finite Difference Method. Chaplain and Lolas in [1,2] developed a mathematical model consisting of three partial differential equations describing the evolution in time and space of the system variables. It is assumed that the key physical variables are tumor cell density (denoted by $U$); protein density of the extracellular matrix (denoted by $W$) and the concentration of the chemical substance responsible for the chemotaxis (denoted by $V$) each of them considered at any time $t > 0$. Throughout this paper $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ is a bounded domain with a regular boundary. The model is the following:

$$
\frac{\partial U}{\partial t} - \Delta U - \eta V W U + K U (1 - U - W), \quad x \in \Omega, \ t > 0, \tag{1}
$$

$$
\frac{\partial V}{\partial t} = V U - V U, \quad x \in \Omega, \ t > 0,
$$

$$
\frac{\partial W}{\partial t} = -V W, \quad x \in \Omega, \ t > 0,
$$

where we consider the chemotactic and haptotactic coefficients, $\eta$ and $\rho$, respectively, to be constant and positive. The parameter $k$ represents the net growth of the tumor cell density. It is natural to assume homogeneous Neumann boundary condition as we assume that invasion takes place within an isolated system (see [2]). We consider for our numerical simulation

$$
\frac{\partial U}{\partial n} = \frac{\partial V}{\partial n} = \frac{\partial W}{\partial n} = 0, \quad x \in \partial \Omega, \ t > 0. \tag{2}
$$

Tao and Winkler in [3] have demonstrated that whenever the initial data $(U_0, V_0, W_0)$ are regular fulfilling $0 < U_0$, $1 < W_0$ and $\eta^2 > K$, solution $(U, V, W)$ converges asymptotically to the constant stationary solution $(1, 1, 0)$ in the $L^\infty(\Omega)$. The GFDM has been recently proved to obtain highly accurate approximations to the solutions of nonlinear PDEs (see for instance [6,5,4]). The paper is organized as follows: in Section 2 we present the explicit formula of the GFDM and obtain the explicit scheme. In Section 3 we present numerical examples. In particular, the first example shows the convergence of the solution to the constant steady state $(1, 1, 0)$, in accordance with the theory [3]. The second and third examples show that for an appropriate initial data the solution converges to $(0, 0, 0)$, with $\partial \Omega$ arbitrary. Finally, we obtain some conclusions in Section 4.
GFD Scheme

As stated in the introduction, our objective is to derive a discretization of system (1) using the GDF explicit formulae. To do so, let us consider a bounded domain \( \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2 \). Then,

\[
U_t - \frac{\delta^2 U}{\delta x^2} + \frac{\delta^2 U}{\delta y^2} - \frac{\eta}{\rho} \frac{\delta U}{\delta y} \frac{\delta V}{\delta y} + \rho \frac{\delta U}{\delta x} \frac{\delta W}{\delta x} = -U(\eta \Delta V + \rho \Delta W) + KU(1 - U - W).
\]

\[
V_t = \frac{\delta^2 V}{\delta x^2} + \frac{\delta^2 V}{\delta y^2} - V + U,
\]

\[
W_t = -WV.
\]

Therefore, system (1) reads as equations (3), (4) and (5) together with the nonnegative initial data

\[
U(x, 0) = U_0(x), \quad V(x, 0) = V_0(x), \quad W(x, 0) = W_0(x).
\]

With \( 0 \leq U(x), V(x), W(x) \in C^2(\Omega) \), and the boundary conditions

\[
\frac{\partial U}{\partial n} = \frac{\partial V}{\partial n} = \frac{\partial W}{\partial n} = 0, \quad (x, t) \in \partial \Omega \times (0, +\infty).
\]

The explicit formulae of the GFD explicit scheme can be seen in [4, 5, 6], although for the sake of completeness we reproduce below

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial F(x_0, n \Delta t)}{\partial x} & = -\omega_0 F_0 + \sum_{i=1}^{n^2} \omega_i F_i + O(h_x^2, k_t^2), \\
\frac{\partial F(x_0, n \Delta t)}{\partial y} & = -\omega_0 F_0 + \sum_{i=1}^{n^2} \omega_i F_i + O(h_y^2, k_t^2), \\
\frac{\partial^2 F(x_0, n \Delta t)}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 F(x_0, n \Delta t)}{\partial y^2} & = -\omega_0 F_0 + \sum_{i=1}^{n^2} \omega_i F_i + O(h_x^2, h_y^2).
\end{align*}
\]

Where

\[
\omega_{ij} = \sum_{j=0}^{2} \omega_j
\]

For \( j = 0, 1, 2 \). The time derivative is approximated by

\[
\frac{\partial F(x_0, n \Delta t)}{\partial t} = -\frac{F^n_{n+1} - F^n_{n}}{\Delta t} + O(\Delta t).
\]

Then, the GFD scheme for the system (3)-(5) is:

\[
\frac{u^0_{ij} - u^n_{ij}}{\Delta t} = -\sigma_0 u^n_{ij} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=0}^{2} \sigma_j u^n_{ij} + n(-\sigma_0 u^n_{ij} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=0}^{2} \sigma_j u^n_{ij} - \frac{\lambda}{\rho} \sum_{i=1}^{n^2} \sigma_i u^n_{ij} - u^n_{ij}(\lambda - \frac{\lambda}{\rho} \sum_{i=1}^{n^2} \sigma_i u^n_{ij} + \sum_{i=1}^{n^2} \sigma_i u^n_{ij})
\]

\[
\frac{v^0_{ij} - v^n_{ij}}{\Delta t} = -\rho(-\sigma_0 u^n_{ij} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=0}^{2} \sigma_j u^n_{ij} - \rho \sum_{i=1}^{n^2} \sigma_i u^n_{ij} + \sum_{i=1}^{n^2} \sigma_i u^n_{ij})
\]

\[
\frac{w^0_{ij} - w^n_{ij}}{\Delta t} = -w^n_{ij}(\lambda - \frac{\lambda}{\rho} \sum_{i=1}^{n^2} \sigma_i u^n_{ij} + \sum_{i=1}^{n^2} \sigma_i u^n_{ij}).
\]

\[
\frac{u^n_{ij} - u^n_{ij}}{\Delta t} = -u^n_{ij} v^n_{ij}.
\]

Numerical Computations

We consider an irregular cloud of points see (Figure 1) modeling our \textit{in vitro} domain. For all examples we denote by \((u, v, w)\) the approximate solution given by the GFDM. For all numerical examples we use as time step \(\Delta t = 0.001\) and, then, \(T(s)\) (total time) is calculated by \(n \Delta t\).

Example 1

In this first example we solve numerically system given by (3)-(5). We consider \(\eta = \rho = 0.5\) and \(k = 1.5\).

We take into account the following initial data:

\[
v_0(x) = e^{-\frac{x^2 + y^2}{\tau^2}}, \quad v_1(x) = \frac{1}{2} e^{-\frac{x^2 + y^2}{\tau^2}}, \quad w_2(x) = 0.7 - \frac{1}{2} e^{-\frac{x^2 + y^2}{\tau^2}}
\]

As we have mentioned in Section 1, since the assumption \(\kappa > \frac{\lambda}{\rho}\) is fulfilled, we expect to find convergence of the solution in the sense

\[
(u, v, w) \rightarrow (1, 1, 0).
\]

In (Table 1) we present the \(l^{\infty}\) of the approximate solution for different times. Figure 2 shows the different solutions at such times.

| \(T(s)\) | 1     | 5     | 10    | 15    | 20    |
|---------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| \(\|u\|_{l^{\infty}}(\Omega)\) | 0.0125 | 0.0759 | 0.5645 | 0.9846 | 0.9999 |
| \(\|v\|_{l^{\infty}}(\Omega)\) | 0.0800 | 0.0519 | 0.4229 | 0.9494 | 0.9991 |
| \(\|w\|_{l^{\infty}}(\Omega)\) | 0.6966 | 0.6331 | 0.2507 | 0.0062 | 4.4514e-05 |
Example 2

For this second case we also consider \( \eta = \rho = 0.5 \) and \( K = 1.5 \). As initial data we consider

\[ U_0(x) = e^{-100(x^2+y^2)}, \quad V_0(x) = \frac{1}{2}e^{-100(x^2+y^2)}, \quad W_0(x) = 1 + \frac{1}{2}e^{-100(x^2+y^2)} \tag{13} \]

Notice that \( \eta \xi < 1 \) does not hold. Then, the assumptions of [3] are not fulfilled. Table 2 shows the maximum value of the approximate solutions for different times [4]. Figure 3 shows the approximate solutions at 1, 10 and 20 seconds. We obtain convergence to the steady state \((0, 0, 1)\). To the best of our knowledge no analytical proof of this convergence is known [5,6].

Figure 2: Approximate solution for 1, 10 and 20 seconds for Example 1.

Figure 3: Approximate solution for 1, 10 and 20 seconds for Example 2.
Table 2: Values of $|\mathbf{F}^x(\Omega)|$, $|\mathbf{F}^y(\Omega)|$ and $|\mathbf{F}^z(\Omega)|$ for different time values in the Example 2.

| $T(S)$ | 1     | 5     | 10    | 15    | 20    |
|--------|--------|--------|-------|-------|-------|
| $|\mathbf{F}^x(\Omega)|$ | 0.0069 | 0.0043 | 0.0029 | 0.0022 | 0.0019 |
| $|\mathbf{F}^y(\Omega)|$ | 0.0060 | 0.0048 | 0.0031 | 0.0023 | 0.0019 |
| $|\mathbf{F}^z(\Omega)|$ | 1.0953 | 1.0706 | 1.0503 | 1.0365 | 1.0257 |

Table 3: Values of $|\mathbf{F}^x(\Omega)|$, $|\mathbf{F}^y(\Omega)|$ and $|\mathbf{F}^z(\Omega)|$ for different time values in the Example 3.

| $T(S)$ | 1     | 5     | 10    | 15    | 20    |
|--------|--------|--------|-------|-------|-------|
| $|\mathbf{F}^x(\Omega)|$ | 0.0076 | $1.5794 \times 10^{-5}$ | $2.6642 \times 10^{-13}$ | $4.5056 \times 10^{-19}$ | $7.6199 \times 10^{-25}$ |
| $|\mathbf{F}^y(\Omega)|$ | 0.0298 | $6.2221 \times 10^{-4}$ | $4.1826 \times 10^{-6}$ | $2.8112 \times 10^{-8}$ | $1.8894 \times 10^{-10}$ |
| $|\mathbf{F}^z(\Omega)|$ | 2.9038 | 2.8127 | 2.8109 | 2.8109 | 2.8109 |

Figure 4: Approximate solution for 0, 0.1 and 1 seconds in Example 3.

Example 3

Let us choose now $\eta = 0.5$, $\rho = 0.6$ and $K = 1.5$. As initial data we consider the following

$$
U_0(x) = e^{-10(x-0.1)^2+(y-0.1)^2}, \quad V_0(x) = 0.7e^{-10(x-1.2)^2+(y-1)^2},
$$

$$
W_0(x) = 3 - \frac{1}{2}e^{-10(x-0.5)^2+(y-0.5)^2}.
$$

Table 3 shows the values $\infty$ norm of the approximate solutions. In this third case, where $w(x) \leq 1$ does not hold, we obtain convergence to the steady state $(0, 0, 2.8109)$. In general, this means that solution to (1) converges to

$$(0, 0, \tilde{w})$$

where $\tilde{w}$ is an arbitrary function (which seems to depend on $\int \int \int W(x) dx$).

Figure 4 shows the approximate solution of this third case. Note that we introduce the solutions at small times in order to capture the dynamical complexity of the model.

Conclusion

We have derived the discretization of the chemotaxis-hypotaxis system (1) using a GFD scheme. The discrete solution obtained inherits the complicated dynamical behavior of the analytical solution. The Generalized Finite Difference Method solves this strongly coupled highly nonlinear parabolic-elliptic system efficiently and with high accuracy.
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