A Comparative Study of Intubating Conditions and Cardiovascular Effects of Rocuronium and Succinylcholine in Rapid Sequence Induction and Intubation
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Abstract

Aim of Study: Succinylcholine is the most frequently used muscle relaxant for rapid sequence intubation but sometimes the need arises to use another agent. The aim of our study is to compare the haemodynamic profile and intubating conditions of rocuronium with that of succinylcholine during rapid sequence induction and intubation.

Material and Methods: 80 patients posted for emergency surgical procedure under general anaesthesia were randomly divided into two groups A & B. A rapid sequence induction and intubation were done in all the patients. Group A patients received 0.6mg/kg rocuronium and Group B received 1.5mg/kg succinylcholine. Intubation was done at 60 seconds after the administration of muscle relaxant and intubating conditions was graded according to Copenhagen Consensus Conference Rating Scale. The haemodynamic parameters (pulse rate & blood pressure) were recorded and compared.

Results: In both the groups pulse rate and blood pressure increases significantly just after intubation but the increase was not significant after 10 minutes. There was no significant difference regarding laryngoscopy, vocal cord relaxation and response to intubation in both the groups. Overall intubating conditions in group A and B were excellent in 77.5% and 82.5% while good in 20% and 17.5% patients respectively. Only one patient (2.5%) in group A showed poor intubating condition. Clinically acceptable intubating conditions (excellent & good) were comparable in both the groups.

Conclusion: Rocuronium 0.6mg/kg provides clinically acceptable intubating conditions similar to succinylcholine 1.5mg/kg at 60 second during rapid sequence induction and intubation with minimum haemodynamic variations.
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Introduction
Rapid sequence induction and intubation (RSII) is the cornerstone of emergency airway management. The aim is to intubate the trachea as quickly and safely as possible. For this we require a fast acting inducing agent and a muscle relaxant to facilitate tracheal intubation and secure the airway. Succinylcholine is the time tested non-depolarising neuromuscular blocking agent. It was found that the onset of action of rocuronium was 60-90 seconds at the adductor pollicis muscle, comparable with that of succinylcholine.3 It is also devoid of many side-effects encountered with succinylcholine. There is no evidence of histamine release, possesses a stable cardiovascular profile, can be used with ease in neurosurgical patients, penetrating eye injury, burn or hyperkalemic patients4, since there is no danger of increased ICP or IOP and hyperkalemia.

Considering the various attractive properties of rocuronium, the present study was undertaken to evaluate the intubating conditions and cardiovascular effects of rocuronium and compare it with succinylcholine during rapid sequence induction and intubation.

Material and Methods
After approval from the institutional ethical committee, 80 patients belonging to ASA I and II posted for emergency surgical procedures under general anaesthesia were included in the study. All the patients were explained about the purpose and procedure of the study and informed consent was obtained from them.

Inclusion criteria: Patients of either sex, aged between 20-60 yrs, ASA grade I&II and Mallampati grade I&II.

Exclusion Criteria: Patients with ASA grade III and IV, Mallampati grade III and IV, patients with neuromuscular disease, family history of malignant hyperthermia, allergy to succinylcholine and rocuronium.

All the 80 patients were randomly divided into two groups A and B of 40 each. A thorough preanaesthetic check-up was done before shifting the patients to the emergency theatre and minimum baseline investigations which include Hb%, CBC, blood sugar, blood group, ECG and X-ray chest were done. Serum electrolytes, LFT and blood gas analysis were done whenever indicated. On arrival in the operative room, baseline pulse rate, blood pressure and SpO2 were recorded. All the patients were premedicated with 4mg IV ondansetron and 0.2mg IM glycopyrrolate. After preoxygenation for 3 minutes, a rapid sequence induction with thiopentone sodium (2.5%), 5mg/kg was done. After the abolition of eyelash reflex, group A patients received 0.6mg/kg rocuronium and group B received 1.5 mg/kg succinylcholine. At 60 seconds, laryngoscopy was done and the intubating conditions were assessed by a trained anaesthetist. Cricoid pressure was applied and maintained till airway was secured with adequate size cuffed endotracheal tube. Anaesthesia was maintained with N2O and O2 mixture and intermittent injection of vecuronium. Analgesics and isoflurane were given as per need and condition of the patient. At the end of surgery,
neuromuscular blockade was reversed by neostigmine and glycopyrrolate. After the return of adequate respiratory effort and upper airway reflexes and spontaneous eye opening, extubation was done and then the patients were shifted to the recovery room.

Parameters Observed
1. **Cardiovascular response** - Pulse rate and blood pressure were recorded immediately after intubation and 10 minutes later.
2. **Intubating conditions** –
   - Laryngoscopy: Jaw relaxation.
   - Vocal cords: Position and movement.
   - Response to intubation: Coughing and limb movement.

Intubating conditions were graded as excellent, good and poor according to the grading scale described by International Consensus Conference held in Copenhagen in 1994. (Copenhagen Consensus Conference Rating Scale) Table 1a. Excellent and good intubating conditions are taken as clinically acceptable.

### Table 1a: Copenhagen Consensus Conference Rating Scale

| Intubating Conditions Variables | Clinically Acceptable | Clinically Unacceptable |
|--------------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------|
|                                | Excellent | Good | Poor |
| **Laryngoscopy**               | Easy      | Fair | Difficult |
| **Vocal Cords**                | Abducted  | Intermediate | Closed |
| **Movement**                   | None      | Moving | Vigorous |
| **Response To Intubation**     | None      | Slight | Vigorous |
| **Movement Of Limbs**          | None      | Diaphragmatic | Sustained (>10s) |
| **Coughing**                   | None      | | |

**Laryngoscopy:**
- **Easy:** Jaw relaxed, no resistance to blade in the course of laryngoscopy.
- **Fair:** Jaw relaxed, slight resistance to the blade.
- **Difficult:** Poor jaw relaxation, active resistance of the patient to laryngoscopy.

**Intubating Conditions:**
- **Excellent:** All variable listed under excellent must be present.
- **Good:** Only variable listed under excellent or good must be present.

**Poor:** The presence of any variable listed under poor.

**Clinically acceptable:** excellent and good

### Observations and Results
The study included 80 patients, 40 in each group. The mean age in years in group A is 32.5±1.414 and in group B is 32.4±1.457. The mean weight is 49.55 ± 6.90 kgs and 49.47 ± 5.91 kgs respectively in group A and B. The sex ratio (M/F) is 0.81 in group A and 1.10 in group B. There were no significant demographic differences among the groups with respect to age, sex and weight. (P > 0.05) Table 1b.

### Table 1b: Demographic Variables of Patients

| Parameters          | Group A          | Group B          |
|---------------------|------------------|------------------|
| **Age (yrs)**       | 32.5 ± 1.414     | 32.4 ± 1.457     |
| **Weight (Kgs)**    | 49.55 ± 6.90     | 49.4 ± 5.91      |
| **Sex Ratio (M/F)** | 9/11 (0.81)      | 21/19 (1.10)     |

Regarding the hemodynamic variables, there was a definite increase in the mean pulse rate immediately after intubation which settled near its basal value 10 minutes later in both the groups. (Table 2a).

### Table – 2(A) Mean Pulse Rate (Beats/Minute)

| Time                                | Group A Mean ± SD | Group B Mean ±SD |
|-------------------------------------|-------------------|------------------|
| Pre – Operative (Basal)             | 90.20 ± 8.50      | 88.08 ±6.89      |
| Immediately after intubation        | 101.90 ± 9.71     | 97.15 ± 6056     |
| 10 minutes after intubation         | 91.40 ± 6.11      | 89.45 ± 4.90     |

The variation in the pulse rate between the preoperative values and that immediately after intubation was highly significant but was not significant when compared with values, 10 minutes after intubation (Table 2b).

### Table – 2 (B): Test of Significance In Pulse Rate (Paired T Test)

| Group | Comparison between groups | d.f. | t | Inference                  |
|-------|---------------------------|------|---|----------------------------|
| Group A | Pre- operative and immediately after intubation. | 39   | 15.332 | Highly significant        |
|       | Pre- operative and immediately after intubation. | 39   | 1.226  | Not significant           |
| Group B | Pre- operative and immediately after intubation. | 39   | 11.903 | Highly significant        |
|       | Pre- operative and immediately after intubation. | 39   | 1.984  | Not significant           |

Critical value of t at significance level, 0.05=2.02, 0.01=2.71
There was significant rise in both the systolic and diastolic blood pressure just after intubation but the rise was not significant when compared with values, after 10 mins. (Table 3a &b, Table 4a & b). This increase is due to the pressure response during laryngoscopy and intubation.

### Table 3 (A): Mean Systolic Blood Pressure (Mmhg)

| Time                | Group A Mean ± SD | Group B Means ± SD |
|---------------------|-------------------|--------------------|
| Pre-operation       | 124.35 ± 8.08     | 125.10 ± 8.10      |
| Immediately after intubation | 144.75 ± 10.01 | 148.30 ± 8.33      |
| 10 minutes after intubation | 124.75 ± 6.62 | 125.63 ± 7.84      |

### Table 3 (b): Test of Significance in Systolic Blood Pressure (Paired’ Test)

| Group     | Comparison between groups | d.f. | t    | Inference          |
|-----------|---------------------------|------|------|--------------------|
| Group A   | Pre-operative and immediately after intubation | 39   | 23.40 | Highly significant |
| Group A   | Pre-operative and 10 minutes after intubation | 39   | 0.431 | Not significant    |
| Group B   | Pre-operative and immediately after intubation | 39   | 21.790 | Highly significant |
| Group B   | Pre-operative and 10 minutes after intubation | 39   | 1.121 | Not significant    |

Critical value of t at significance level, 0.05=2.02 0.01=2.71

### Table 4 (a): MEAN DIASTOLIC BLOOD PRESSURE (MMHG)

| Time                | Group A Mean ± SD | Group B Means ± SD |
|---------------------|-------------------|--------------------|
| Pre-operation       | 78.10 ± 4.57      | 79.55 ± 4.97       |
| Immediately after intubation | 90.15 ± 4.52 | 90.00 ± 5.10      |
| 10 minutes after intubation | 78.65 ± 5.38 | 80.23 ± 4.54      |

### Table 4 (b): Test of Significance in Diastolic Blood Pressure (Paired’ Test)

| Group     | Comparison between groups | d.f. | ‘t’   | Inference          |
|-----------|---------------------------|------|-------|--------------------|
| Group A   | Pre-operative and immediately after intubation | 39   | 12.702 | Highly significant |
| Group A   | Pre-operative and 10 minutes after intubation | 39   | 1.215  | Not significant    |
| Group B   | Pre-operative and immediately after intubation | 39   | 15.947 | Highly significant |
| Group B   | Pre-operative and 10 minutes after intubation | 39   | 1.226  | Not significant    |

There was no statistical difference in laryngoscopy in both the groups as all the patients provides easy laryngoscopy (Table 5).

### Table 5: Laryngoscopy

| Parameter    | Group A  | Group B  |
|--------------|----------|----------|
| Easy         | 40       | 100      |
| Fair         | 0        | 0        |
| Difficult    | 0        | 0        |

The vocal cords were abducted in 87.5%, intermediate position in 10 % and closed in 2.5 % of the patients in group A. In group B, 95% showed abducted vocal cords and 5% showed intermediate position (Table 6a).

### Table 6 (a): Position of Vocal Cords

| Parameter    | Group A  | Group B  |
|--------------|----------|----------|
| Abducted     | 35       | 87.5     |
| Intermediate | 4        | 10       |
| Closed       | 1        | 2.5      |

In group A, there was no movement of vocal cord in 95% of the patients while 5% showed moving cords. There was no movement of the vocal cord in all the patients (100%) in group B (Table 6b).

### Table 6 (b): Movement of Vocal Cords

| Parameter    | Group A  | Group B  |
|--------------|----------|----------|
| None         | 38       | 95       |
| Moving       | 2        | 5        |
| Closing      | 0        | 0        |

In response to intubation, movement of limbs and coughing were monitored. In group a, 85% showed no movement while 15% had slight movement of limbs. In group B, 95% had no movement and 5% showed slight movement (Table 7a).

### Table 7 (a): Response to Intubation (Movement Of Limbs)

| Parameter    | Group A  | Group B  |
|--------------|----------|----------|
| None         | 34       | 85       |
| Slight       | 6        | 15       |
| Vigorous     | 0        | 0        |

Regarding coughing in in response to intubation, there was no coughing in 92.5% of the patients in group a while in group B, it was 97.5%. 7.5% patients in group A and 2.5% patients in group B experience some diaphragmatic movement. (Table7b).
There was no sustained coughing in any of the patients in both the groups. Considering the above parameters intubating conditions were graded as excellent, good and poor. 77.5% (31/40) patients in group A, 82.5% (33/40) in group B showed excellent intubating conditions. There was good intubating conditions in 20% (8/40) of the patients in group A and 17.5% (7/40) in group B. Only 2.5% (1/40) in group A showed poor intubation condition. None of the patients in group B had poor intubating condition (Table 8).

### Table 8: Intubating Conditions

| Parameter | Group A | Group B |
|-----------|---------|---------|
| No.       | %       | No.     | %       |
| Excellent | 31      | 77.5%   | 33      | 82.5%   |
| Good      | 8       | 20%     | 7       | 17.5%   |
| Poor      | 1       | 2.5%    | 0       | 0%      |

### Discussion

Succinylcholine is the most reliable muscle relaxant during a rapid sequence induction and intubation. But sometimes an alternative drug may be required which provide acceptable intubation condition equivalent to that of succinylcholine during RSI. In our study, rocuronium 0.6mg/kg provide clinically acceptable (excellent + good) intubating conditions at 60 seconds compared to 1.5mg/kg succinylcholine similar to the observation of the study carried by Huizinga et al. During an emergency procedure haemodynamic parameters are of paramount importance and we usually prefer drugs which are haemodynamically stable. In our study, both the groups (A & B) shows a significant increase in heart rate and blood pressure (systolic & diastolic) just immediately after intubation, then settled near its basal value within 10 min. This may be due to pressor response during laryngoscopy since we did not use any drugs to attenuate the pressor response. Moreover opioid analgesics were avoided before intubation since it may depressed the laryngeal reflex and may augment vocal cord relaxation. Recuronium, in doses up to 1.2mg/kg has minimal cardiovascular effects both in healthy patients and those with cardiovascular disease.

Intubating condition can be influenced by the choice of anaesthetic and use of adjuvant drugs, narcotics and sedatives. We selected thiopentone as the inducing agent as propofol depresses pharyngeal and laryngeal reflexes. We avoided giving analgesics or sedative prior to intubation as these agents may act as confounding factors in the evaluation. In our study, intubation was attempted at 60 seconds and scores were graded according to Copenhagen Consensus Conference Rating Scale. All the patients in succinylcholine group while 39 patients (97%) in rocuronium group showed clinically acceptable (excellent + good) intubating conditions. Shukla et al in their study mentioned that rocuronium 0.6mg/kg produce acceptable intubating condition in 80% of their patients. Larsen PB et al concluded that both rocuronium 0.6mg/kg and succinylcholine 1.0mg/kg provides clinically acceptable intubation in 60secs in patients scheduled for emergency surgery. PeryJ et al in a Cochrane Review analysed 24 studies and concluded that although succinylcholine provides more excellent intubating conditions than rocuronium but clinically acceptable conditions were not statistically different. These observations were comparable with the results of our study. The reason for lesser excellent intubating score with rocuronium was movement of vocal cords. There were some diaphragmatic movement and coughing as a response to intubation, but all these occurs after the placement of endotracheal tube, so the safety of patient was not compromised. Ali A et al in their study showed that rocuronium 1.0mg/kg provides equally good intubating conditions compared to succinylcholine 1.5mg/kg in elective caesarean section using RSI in 60secs. Rawle A et al in their Evidence-based Emergency Medicine Clinical Synopsis recommended succinylcholine as the agent of choice for RSI, except in those conditions where it is contraindicated. Belekar et al also suggested that succinylcholine is still the best short acting...
relaxant to accomplish RSI, however rocuronium may be used as in alternative to it in adequate doses at 60 seconds. Tran DTT\textsuperscript{13} et al in Cochrane review updated in 2015, found that rocuronium is slightly less effective than succinylcholine for creating excellent intubating conditions and should therefore only be used as an alternative to succinylcholine whenever it is contraindicated. In the study conducted by Syed Manzar\textsuperscript{14} et al, they found that 90% patients were intubated after 0.6mg/kg rocuronium at 60 seconds, comparable with the results of our study. Lata D. Shetty\textsuperscript{15} in their study concluded that rocuronium (0.6mg/kg) produces excellent intubating condition with minimum haemodynamic response and would be suitable for RSI condition. In our study also rocuronium provides equally good intubating condition comparable with succinylcholine at 60 seconds. No other side effects were encountered during the study.

**Conclusion**

We found that during rapid sequence induction and intubation both rocuronium 0.6 mg/kg and succinylcholine 1.5 mg/kg provides clinically acceptable (excellent + good) intubating conditions at 60 seconds with minimum haemodynamic variation.
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