Helicobacter pylori chemoreceptor TlpC mediates chemotaxis to lactate
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It is recently appreciated that many bacterial chemoreceptors have ligand-binding domains (LBD) of the dCACHE family, a structure with two PAS-like subdomains, one membrane-proximal and the other membrane-distal. Previous studies had implicated only the membrane-distal subdomain in ligand recognition. Here, we report the 2.2 Å resolution crystal structure of dCACHE LBD of the Helicobacter pylori chemoreceptor TlpC. H. pylori tlpC mutants are outcompeted by wild type during stomach colonisation, but no ligands had been mapped to this receptor. The TlpC dCACHE LBD has two PAS-like subdomains, as predicted. The membrane-distal one possesses a long groove instead of a small, well-defined pocket. The membrane-proximal subdomain, in contrast, had a well-delineated pocket with a small molecule that we identified as lactate. We confirmed that amino acid residues making contact with the ligand in the crystal structure—N213, I218 and Y285 and Y249—were required for lactate binding. We determined that lactate is an H. pylori chemoattractant that is sensed via TlpC with a $K_D = 155 \mu M$. Lactate is utilised by H. pylori, and our work suggests that this pathogen seeks out lactate using chemotaxis. Furthermore, our work suggests that dCACHE domain proteins can utilise both subdomains for ligand recognition.

Helicobacter pylori is a motile, gram-negative bacterium that infects over 50% of the world’s population1. H. pylori selectively colonises the gastric epithelium and is able to survive in the host stomach for years. Although the majority of the infected people remain asymptomatic, H. pylori infection can be associated with a range of gastroduodenal diseases, including gastritis, gastric and duodenal ulcers, and different types of cancer including mucosa-associated lymphoid tissue (MALT) lymphoma and gastric adenocarcinoma2–4. Directed motility, or chemotaxis, is important for the ability of H. pylori to swim through the highly acidic lumen towards the epithelium and to survive in the host environment under the conditions of constant turnover of the gastric mucosa. Non-motile or non-chemotactic mutants have been shown to be less effective in colonising the gastric mucosa and do not attain full infection compared to the wild type in animal models5–8.

Chemotaxis allows motile bacteria to sense chemical cues and find optimal environments for growth by, for example, swimming towards favourable chemicals (chemoattractants) and away from harmful ones (repellents). Extracellular chemicals are sensed by chemoreceptors, also termed transducer-like proteins (Tlps). Most of the characterised Tlps are dimeric membrane proteins that comprise an extracytoplasmic ligand-binding domain (LBD), the transmembrane region, the HAMP (histidine kinases, adenyl cyclases, methyl-accepting protein, and phosphatases) domain and the methyl-accepting (MA) domain (Fig. 1a), the latter transmitting information to a signalling cascade. The signal is relayed through the coupling protein CheW to the histidine protein kinase, CheA, which phosphorylates the response regulator protein, CheY, altering its affinity to the flagellar motors and, as a consequence, the direction (clockwise or counter-clockwise) in which they rotate6.

The chemotaxis pathway has been extensively studied in Escherichia coli10–12. Recognition of cue molecules in this bacterium is mediated by five different chemoreceptors10,11,12. Four of them contain a periplasmic LBD with
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a 4-helix bundle (4HB) fold. The fifth receptor, Aer, has a cytosolic Per–Arnt–Sim (PAS) LBD and is involved in aerotaxis.

Chemoreceptors have been classified according to the size of their LBD into cluster I (~150 amino acids) or cluster II (~250 amino acids). Much of what is known about bacterial chemoreceptors comes from studies on cluster I chemoreceptors with a 4HB LBD. However, more recent studies have shown that extra-cytoplasmic LBDs of chemoreceptors from different bacteria vary largely in their amino acid sequence and three-dimensional structure and, to date, additional structural families have been identified, including single CACHE (sCACHE) 17–19, helical biomodular (HBM) 20,21 and double CACHE (dCACHE) domains 19,22–25.

In *H. pylori*, four chemoreceptors have been identified based on full genome sequence analysis: TlpA, TlpB, TlpC, and TlpD. TlpD is a soluble, cytoplasmic chemoreceptor that is involved in energy taxis and the repulsion response to reactive oxygen species and acid. TlpA, TlpB, and TlpC are integral membrane proteins. TlpA has been linked to recognition of bicarbonate as an attractant and acid as a repellent, whilst TlpB has been reported to detect acidic pH and the quorum-sensing molecule autoinducer-2 (AI-2) as repellents and direct the chemoattraction response to urea. No signals have been associated with TlpC.

Figure 1. Overall fold of TlpC dCACHE LBD. (a) Domain organisation of TlpC, showing LBD location with respect to other structural elements. Transmembrane region (TM, dark blue); dCACHE_1 domain (red), HAMP domains (green); methyl-accepting chemotaxis-like domain (purple). (b) Stereo representation of structure of TlpC LBD monomer. (c) Topology of secondary structure elements of TlpC LBD. The α-helices are represented by rods and β-strands by arrows. The membrane-distal and membrane-proximal subdomains are labelled.
Tsr from *E. coli* and the McpS chemoreceptor from *Pseudomonas putida*. It is now recognised that the CACHE domain, either in its single dCACHE or double dCACHE form, is the most abundant extracellular sensing domain in prokaryotes, and is commonly found in two-component histidine kinases and chemoreceptors.

TlpC is the least characterised chemoreceptor in *H. pylori*, and its natural ligand was unknown. *H. pylori* *tlpC* mutants are outcompeted by wild type during stomach colonisation, and TlpC modulates the chemotactic response to acid. A BLAST search with the sequence of the sensing domain of TlpC against the structures deposited in the Protein Data Bank (PDB) identified no structural homologues of this domain. However, a pairwise comparison of profile Hidden Markov Models using the HHpred server predicted homology at the level of secondary structure to the sensing domains of family 1 histidine kinases (PDB entries 3lia, 3lib, 3lic, 3lid, 3lif) and chemoreceptors Tlp1 and Tlp3 from *Campylobacter jejuni* (PDB entries 4wy9 and 4xmr). These sensing modules belong to the recently redefined dCACHE_1 structural family.

dCACHE domains consist of two structurally similar subdomains that each adopt a PAS-domain-like fold and are arranged in tandem, with one membrane-proximal and the other membrane-distal. dCACHE domain proteins can recognise their signal molecules directly or indirectly. Directly recognised ligands include amino acids, pyrimidines, and purines. In all previously characterised dCACHE domains, direct sensing involves binding of the signal molecule to the membrane-distal, rather than membrane-proximal, subdomain, and no role for the membrane-proximal subdomain has been determined.

In this paper, we report the crystal structure of LBD of *H. pylori* TlpC in complex with a small-molecule ligand that co-purified with the protein. The ligand was bound to the membrane-proximal subdomain. Based on the analysis of the electron density maps and the chemical nature of the ligand-binding pocket, we hypothesised and confirmed the ligand to be lactate. The location of the binding site has been validated by mutagenesis. We further verified that lactate acts as an attractant for *H. pylori*, and that TlpC mediates the chemotactic response. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first example of the dCACHE domain that directly recognises its ligand via the membrane-proximal module.

**Results**

**Overall structure of TlpC LBD.** The three-dimensional structure of recombinant *H. pylori* TlpC LBD (residues 34–297 plus six additional residues (GIDPFT) at the N-terminus, introduced as an artifact of the cloning procedure), was determined by X-ray crystallography using a single-wavelength anomalous dispersion (SAD) technique to a resolution of 2.2 Å. The TlpC LBD crystals (hereafter referred to as form A) belonged to the space group C2, with three molecules in the asymmetric unit related to each other by a three-fold pseudo-symmetry. The coordinates of these molecules were refined independently, and in the final model, they showed very similar backbone conformations that could be superimposed in a pairwise fashion with an overall root mean square deviation (r.m.s.d.) for the Cα atoms of 0.5–0.7 Å. Disordered regions 170–175, 271–274 and 295–297 were not seen in the electron density maps and could not be modelled.

In common with family 1 histidine kinases, the TlpC LBD has a dCACHE fold, and is composed of a membrane-proximal and membrane-distal PAS-like modules folding against the N-terminal and C-terminal halves of a long stalk helix, respectively (Fig. 1b). The TlpC LBD structure comprises six α-helices and 11 β-strands (Fig. 1c). The membrane-distal subdomain (residues 63–186) contains a six-stranded antiparallel β-sheet with the strand order 2β 1β 5β 4β 3β, which is flanked on one side by an antiparallel two-helix bundle formed by helix α2 and the C-terminal half of helix α1, and on the other side by helix α3. The membrane-proximal subdomain (residues 34–62, 189–292) contains a five-stranded antiparallel β-sheet with the strand order 7β 6β 10β 9β 8β. This β-sheet is flanked by an antiparallel two-helix bundle formed by helix α4 and the N-terminal half of helix α1 on one side, and by helices α5 and α5′ on the other side. Finally, an additional helix α6 forms an extension of strand 310 at the C-terminal end of TlpC LBD. The membrane-distal and membrane-proximal subdomains are intimately associated with each other, with a total buried surface area of 1169 Å², which is equivalent to 16% of the total buried surface area of 1169 Å², which is equivalent to 16% of the derived molecular weight of 28.8 kDa was close to the value calculated from the amino acid sequence of a monomer (30 kDa). Furthermore, the apparent hydrodynamic radius R_h of the particles in this peak (25 Å) was close to the closest structural similarities were found with the dCACHE_1 sensory modules of chemoreceptors Tlp1 and Tlp3 from *Campylobacter jejuni*, and bacterial family 1 histidine kinases (HK) HK1-Z8 (*Vibrio paradoxus*) and HK1-Z3 and HK1-Z2 (*Metanomasorna maezi*). TlpC LBD structure can be superimposed well over those of Tlp1 (Fig. 2a), HK1-Z8 (Fig. 2b), HK1-Z3, HK1-Z2 and Tlp3 [root-mean-square deviation (r.m.s.d.) of 2.1, 2.2, 2.3, 2.5 and 2.9 Å for 285, 266, 271, 262 and 254 aligned Cα atoms from Tlp1, Z8, Z3, Z2 and Tlp3, respectively], despite the low overall sequence identity (<17%). The dCACHE fold adopted by the TlpC LBD has also been previously observed in sensing domains of chemoreceptor Mpl3 and C4-dicarboxylate transport sensory HK DctB from *V. cholerae* (Fig. 2c). Furthermore, this fold is remotely similar to the tandem-PAS fold of LBD of luminescence (lux) system HK LuxQ from *V. harveyi* (Fig. 2c).
Analysis of putative ligand-binding sites. We next examined the putative ligand binding sites, starting with the membrane-distal module. Inspection of the structure of the membrane-distal subdomain around the region implicated in binding of small-molecule ligands in other dCACHE-containing proteins revealed a well-defined groove that runs along the full length of the β-sheet and is flanked on one side by helix α3 and the stretch of amino acid residues connecting β2′ and α3, and on the other side, by the β3-β4-tongue (Fig. 3a and b). This cleft is lined by mostly aliphatic and small hydrophilic residues and has the following approximate dimensions: 30 Å in length, 11 Å in width, and 9 Å in depth. Structural comparisons show that the groove in the membrane-distal domain of TlpC is significantly larger than the small pocket present in Tlp3 and other dCACHE sensing domains that recognise their small-molecule ligands directly23,24. Superimposition of the membrane-distal subdomains of TlpC and Tlp3 over 121 Cα atoms (r.m.s.d. of 2.9 Å) shows that helix α3 and the β3-β4-tongue in TlpC are positioned significantly further apart than the equivalent α-helix and β-tongue in Tlp3 (Fig. 3b). The cleft in TlpC appears too large to be a small-molecule-ligand binding site and could hypothetically fit a molecule of the size of a peptide, such as, for example, a loop or a terminal peptide of an-as-yet unidentified PBP.

We then analysed the molecular surface of the membrane-proximal subdomain of TlpC LBD using CASTp54 with a probe radius of 1.4 Å. We detected a putative ligand-binding pocket with the surface area and solvent-accessible volume of 203 Å² and 196 Å³, respectively (Fig. 3a). There was a clear electron density for a non-protein molecule bound in this pocket (Fig. 4). However, its shape did not match any of the components of the purification or crystallisation buffers, which suggested that the ligand trapped in the crystal could be a molecule that was present in the refolding mix or a product of proteolytic degradation of the sample.

Identification of lactate as a ligand for TlpC. To identify the ligand captured by TlpC LBD, the protein was denatured to release the small molecules, and these were analysed by liquid chromatography-electrospray ionisation mass spectrometry (LC-ESI-MS). The negative ionisation mode MS data showed a small peak at m/z = 89.022 that was absent in the buffer control (Supplementary Fig. 1). Within the experimental error, this
peak matched the chemical formula C₃H₅O₃ (m/z 89.024). A search in the PubChem database (https://pubchem.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/search/) identified 47 different compounds matching this formula. The shape of four of these (lactate, 1,1-dihydroxypropan-2-one, hydron-2-hydroxypropanoate and prop-2-ene-1,1,2-triol) matched the
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**Figure 3.** Putative ligand-binding sites of TlpC LBD. (a) Molecular surface of TlpC LBD with cavities and pockets coloured orange. The stalk helix is coloured pink, the membrane-distal module – light blue and the membrane-proximal module – cyan. (b) Structure superposition of membrane-distal modules of TlpC (pink) and Tlp3 (light blue) highlighting differences in position of helix α₃ and β₃-β₄-tongue (coloured hot pink and blue in TlpC and Tlp3, respectively). Isoleucine bound to the membrane-distal module of Tlp3 is shown as grey sticks.
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**Figure 4.** Architecture of ligand-binding site in membrane-proximal module of TlpC dCACHE domain. The (mFo - DFc) σₐ-weighted electron density for lactate is shown in green. The map was calculated at 2.2 Å resolution and contoured at the 3.0 σ level. The lactate molecule is shown in all-atom ball-and-stick representation with C atoms coloured orange. The protein side chains that form direct contacts with lactate are shown in stick representation.
shape of the electron density in the membrane-proximal pocket. As lactate is the only one of these four compounds that is a natural metabolite produced during *E. coli* growth, we hypothesised that during refolding, the lactate was captured by the protein from the cell lysate. Isothermal titration calorimetry (ITC) measurements confirmed that *L*-lactate binds exothermically to TlpC LBD with an apparent $K_D$ of $155 \pm 5 \mu M$ (Fig. 5). The binding is driven by a favourable enthalpy change ($\Delta H = -20 \text{kcal mol}^{-1}$) and is associated with a minor unfavourable entropy change ($T\Delta S = -1.29 \text{kcal mol}^{-1}$). This binding appears specific to lactate because no significant heat release or absorption was observed with pyruvate, malate or oxaloacetate, that are chemically similar and metabolically exchangeable with lactate (Supplementary Fig. 2).

**Validation of lactate binding site in membrane-proximal module of TlpC dCACHE domain.** To establish whether lactate binds to the membrane-distal or membrane-proximal module, we determined the crystal structure of TlpC dCACHE domain co-crystallised with 10 mM *L*-lactate. The co-crystals with lactate were isomorphous to the form A crystals grown with no lactate in the crystallisation mix. Superposition of the protein contents of the two asymmetric units based on the overlap of 767 C$\alpha$ atoms with an r.m.s.d. of 0.32 Å showed that, within the limit of the experimental error in the coordinates (0.33 Å for the co-crystal with lactate), their structures were essentially identical. Analysis of the electron density maps revealed no lactate binding sites other than the one in the membrane-proximal subdomain. This subdomain contained a lactate molecule bound in a very similar mode to that observed in the form A crystals grown with no added lactate (Fig. 4).

The lactate binding site is located in a pocket formed by residues F202, L210, N213, I218, L223, Y249, L252, S253, and Y285. Calculation of the accessible surface area (ASA) showed that lactate becomes almost completely shielded from the solvent upon binding to TlpC LBD, with 99.5% of its ASA buried by the protein. The carboxyl and hydroxyl groups of lactate form hydrogen bonds with the side chains of N213, Y249 and Y285, and with the main-chain amides of L252 and S253. The TlpC LBD/lactate complex is further stabilised by hydrophobic interactions between the methyl group of lactate and the side chains of F202, L210, I218 and L223 (Fig. 4).

To evaluate the contribution of individual amino acids to the lactate binding, N213, I218 and Y285 were individually replaced with alanine and Y249 with phenylalanine, and the effect of the single-amino acid substitutions was assessed by isothermal calorimetry. Comparison of the circular dichroism spectra of the variants with that of native TlpC LBD showed no significant differences, indicating that the amino acid substitutions did not alter the secondary structure (Fig. 6). ITC measurements demonstrated that each of the N213A, I218A, Y285A and Y249F substitutions abolished the binding of lactate to TlpC LBD (Fig. 4 and Table 1). To further confirm that the membrane-distal subdomain does not bind lactate, the TlpC residues S104, Y151 and K153 – occupying the positions structurally equivalent to the ligand-binding residues Tyr118, Tyr167 and Thr170 in the membrane-distal subdomain of Tlp3 – were individually substituted with alanine. In contrast to the effect on the binding to the
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**Figure 5.** ITC titrations of TlpC LBD and its Y249F variant with lactate. The upper panel shows raw titration data, where each peak corresponds to the injection of 10 μl of 5 mM sodium *L*-lactate into a 1.45-ml reaction cell containing 10 μM protein. The lower panel shows the integrated and dilution-corrected peak areas of the titration plot.
membrane-proximal domain, these substitutions only resulted in 2–3 fold reduction in the affinity to lactate, likely due to partial fold destabilisation rather than loss of interactions with the ligand.

**H. pylori TlpC mediates positive chemotactic response to lactate.** To test the physiological relevance of the observed specific interaction between TlpC and lactate, we assessed the lactate chemotactic response of *H. pylori*. Although there are isolates of the laboratory *H. pylori* strain 26695 that are motile, this strain is prone to motility loss and difficult to use in motility evaluation. We therefore used the human isolate pre-mouse SS1 (PMSS1), which displays a high level of reliable motility, and has been studied for chemotaxis responses in recent publications. The TlpC ligand-binding domain from 26695 and PMSS1 are identical (Supplementary Fig. 3), so we reasoned both proteins would respond similarly to lactate. We assessed whether lactate is an *H. pylori* attractant or repellent using a swimming assay that enumerates flagellar-based bacterial reversals, a common read out for a chemotactic response. Wild-type *H. pylori* showed a significant response to 0.1 mM lactate in this assay, but lost the response at higher concentrations (Fig. 7).

To account for possible chemotactic effects due to pH change upon sodium L-lactate treatment of BB10, the pH of the media with and without treatment was assessed. While treatment with 10 mM HCl decreased the pH by more than 1.5 pH units, treatment with any concentration of sodium L-lactate only decreased the BB10 pH by less than 0.05 pH units. Furthermore, the pH difference between the highest and lowest amount of sodium L-lactate (10 mM and 0.1 mM) was only ~0.01. This analysis suggests that sodium L-lactate did not substantially change the medium pH, and thus any effect due to pH change upon sodium L-lactate treatment were likely negligible compared to chemotactic effects due to sodium L-lactate itself.

---

**Figure 6.** CD spectra of native TlpC LBD (WT) and its S104A, Y151A, K153A, N213A, I218A, Y285A and Y249F variants.
as well as the attractant dipyridyl that acts through TlpD.27,28,30 (Fig. 7). These responses confirmed that the signals that act through other chemoreceptors: the known repellent HCl that acts through TlpB, TlpA, and TlpD, to have polar effects. Consistent with this idea of specificity, the tlpC ∆ C molecule. In all previously characterised dCACHE domains, including LBDs of Tlp3 from and membrane-proximal, each of which could, in principle, harbour a binding site for a small signal or regulatory dCACHE domains of bacterial chemoreceptors and histidine kinases consist of two subdomains, membrane-distal and membrane-proximal, which could, in principle, harbour a binding site for a small signal or regulatory signal transmission across the membrane. As this and previous studies showed, the membrane-distal and membrane-proximal modules of dCACHE are intimately associated with each other, and are therefore structurally and dynamically coupled.23,24. For example, we previously demonstrated using X-ray crystallography that, the structural coupling of the membrane-distal and membrane-proximal subdomains is

Table 1. Thermodynamic parameters of lactate binding to TlpC LBD and its variants derived from ITC measurements.

| Protein         | Kd       | Enthalpy, ΔH (cal/mol) | Entropy, ΔS (cal/mol/degree) |
|-----------------|----------|------------------------|-------------------------------|
| TlpC LBD native | 155.0 ± 5.0 μM | −21,323.3 ± 713.0 | −54.1 ± 2.0 |
| TlpC LBD N213A  | >3,000   | —                      | —                            |
| TlpC LBD I218A  | 3.1 ± 0.6 mM | −18,145.0 ± 49.0 | −49.4 ± 0.2 |
| TlpC LBD Y249A  | >3,000   | —                      | —                            |
| TlpC LBD Y285F  | >3,000   | —                      | —                            |
| TlpC LBD F202A  | >3,000   | —                      | —                            |
| TlpC LBD K223A  | >3,000   | —                      | —                            |
| TlpC LBD S104A  | 359.0 ± 3.0 μM | −13,105 ± 318.0 | −28.2 ± 1.0 |
| TlpC LBD Y151A  | 278.5 ± 2.0 μM | −12,040 ± 250.2 | −24.1 ± 1.0 |
| TlpC LBD K153A  | 467.2 ± 5.0 μM | −12,730 ± 345.0 | −27.5 ± 1.0 |

Figure 7. Lactate triggers a TlpC-dependent attractant response in H. pylori. Cultures of isogenic wild-type (WT) and ΔtlpC (∆TlpC) H. pylori PMSS1 were grown in BB10 overnight, treated with various concentrations of sodium L-lactate or control compounds as indicated, and then immediately filmed. Direction changes were enumerated over a 3 second period in at least 200 cells per treatment in two biological replicates. 10 mM HCl or 50 μM dipyridyl serve as known repellent and attractant response controls, respectively. Error bars represent the standard error of the mean. *p < 0.05; **p < 0.01, comparisons performed using a two-way ANOVA, followed by Tukey’s pairwise comparisons (α = 0.05). There were no significant differences in the basal behaviour between wild type and its tlpC mutant.

We next examined whether TlpC was required for this lactate chemotaxis response. We generated an isogenic null mutant strain lacking tlpC (ΔtlpC). tlpC is part of a single gene operon,29,30, and thus mutations are unlikely to have polar effects. Consistent with this idea of specificity, the ΔtlpC mutant retained chemotaxis responses to signals that act through other chemoreceptors: the known repellent HCl that acts through TlpB, TlpA, and TlpD, as well as the attractant dipyridyl that acts through TlpD.27,28,30 (Fig. 7). These responses confirmed that the ΔtlpC mutant was not generally chemotaxis defective. Deletion of tlpC, however, abolished the chemotactic response to lactate at all tested concentrations (Fig. 7). Additionally, while ΔtlpC PMSS1 H. pylori displayed fewer reversals on average compared to WT PMSS1, this difference in basal reversal frequency was not significant (Fig. 7). This data thus supports that TlpC is the chemoreceptor for lactate in H. pylori.

Discussion
dCACHE domains of bacterial chemoreceptors and histidine kinases consist of two subdomains, membrane-distal and membrane-proximal, each of which could, in principle, harbour a binding site for a small signal or regulatory molecule. In all previously characterised dCACHE domains, including LBDs of Tlp3 from C. jejuni,25, Mlp37 from V. parahemoliticus31, McpB and McpC from B. subtilis32,34, and Mlp24 from V. cholerae,6 direct sensing involved binding of the signal molecule to the membrane-distal subdomain. Our analysis of the structural basis of lactate recognition by H. pylori chemoreceptor TlpC changes this paradigm regarding the mechanism of sensing by dCACHE domain by providing the first example where direct sensing of the signal molecule is mediated by the ligand binding to the membrane-proximal, rather than membrane-distal, subdomain.

This result has important implications for the conceptual framework of dCACHE-mediated sensing and signal transmission across the membrane. As this and previous studies showed, the membrane-distal and membrane-proximal modules of dCACHE are intimately associated with each other, and are therefore structurally and dynamically coupled.23,24. For example, we previously demonstrated using X-ray crystallography that, upon binding to an attractant, the dCACHE membrane-distal subdomain of C. jejuni Tlp3 closes around the ligand and loses its tight association with the membrane-proximal domain, which, as a result, adopts a more open conformation.25. The structural coupling of the membrane-distal and membrane-proximal subdomains is
consistent with the finding that signalling across the membrane presumably can be triggered by direct ligand binding to either subdomain – the membrane-proximal subdomain, as in TlpC, or membrane-distal subdomain as in Tlp3, Mp37, McpB or McpC. Furthermore, one cannot eliminate the possibility that different ligands may signal through the same receptor, with some binding to the membrane-distal and others to the membrane-proximal subdomain. Indeed, all membrane-proximal subdomains of dCACHE sensing domains characterised to date contain a putative small ligand-binding pocket, including those that sense ligands with the membrane-distal domain23,34,43,51. For example, the structural study of LBD of C. jejuni chemoreceptor Tlp1 revealed an acetate ion bound to the dCACHE membrane-proximal module24. Acetate has not yet been found to trigger a chemotaxis response in C. jejuni81,82. However, it has been shown to induce either a positive or negative chemotactic response in other species18-24.

Apart from implicating the membrane-proximal, rather than membrane-distal, subdomain in direct sensing of a small molecule ligand, our crystallographic analysis revealed one more difference between the dCACHE domain of TlpC and that of other structurally characterised chemoreceptors of this type – the presence of a long groove in the membrane-distal subdomain, instead of a small well-defined pocket23,43,51. This groove might represent a putative binding site for a larger molecule, such as an intermediate ligand-binding protein from the periplasmic binding protein (PPB) family. PPB-mediated sensing is used for chemotaxis in other bacteria, where some PPBs have a function of a primary chemoreceptor that recognises and binds a small molecule in the periplasm, and, in the ligand-bound form, associates with its cognate, membrane-bound transducer-like protein, initiating the signal66. There are at least six putative PPBs encoded in the H. pylori genome which have been shown to bind autoinducer 266 and nickel67, and proposed to bind other compounds including peptides68, molybdenum, amino acids, and iron69. Whilst the membrane-proximal domain of TlpC mediates direct sensing of lactate, its membrane-distal domain – the shape of which does not imply small-molecule binding – may partner with a PPB to sense some other ligand.

Our analysis of the chemotactic behaviour of wild-type H. pylori showed that lactate induced an attractant response in a concentration-dependent manner, and that this response was drastically reduced in a chemotactically competent isogenic ΔtlpC mutant, demonstrating that TlpC is the primary chemoreceptor for lactate in H. pylori. Within the tested range of concentrations of lactate, the response was strongest at 0.1 mM, detectable at 1.0 mM, and not detectable at 10 mM. Putting the observed TlpC-dependent chemotactic behaviour towards L-lactate in the context of the receptor-ligand interactions, we note that the order of the optimal concentration at which lactate is sensed by H. pylori as attractant (0.1 mM) is the same as the order of the dissociation constant $K_D$ (0.155 mM) for its binding to the TlpC dCACHE domain. L-lactate, secreted by gastric mucous cells, reaches the concentration of 0.3–1 mM in gastric juice70,71. Presumably lactate forms a gradient with its highest amount at the source, the cells, but the stomach distribution of lactate is not known. Lactate is known to promote H. pylori growth in the stomach72 and in media that is lacking dextrose, suggesting it can serve as either a carbon or energy source, or both73. Metabolically, lactate can be generated by lactate dehydrogenase (LDH) from pyruvic acid as the end product of glycolysis. However, LDH can also catalyse the reverse reaction, converting lactate into pyruvate47,57. Thus, if exogenous lactate was imported into H. pylori, it could be oxidised into pyruvate, which would then enter the tricarboxylic acid cycle. Alternatively, lactate can donate electrons to NADH and, in turn, to the electron transport chain to enhance proton motive force and bacterial energy levels74. In H. pylori, the proteins necessary for the import and utilisation of lactate have been identified46,75, including two lactate permeases and two LDHs73. At least one of the lactate utilisation genes has been shown to be required for stomach colonisation, supporting the importance of this process in vivo76.

TlpC mutants have mouse stomach colonisation defects but only when competing with wild type29. This phenotype is consistent with the idea that either lactate is limiting and wild type utilises it more efficiently, or that wild type follows a lactate gradient and occupies key niches before the tlpC mutant can get there. Thus, the in vivo fitness defect observed with isogenic TlpC mutants is consistent with an inability to efficiently access and catabolise lactate in vivo39.

Interestingly, several H. pylori lab strains appear to lack TlpC protein expression27. One of these strains, G27, has a single base indel that creates a frameshift and results in loss of TlpC expression77. Another, B128, also has a tlpC frameshift but its gerbil-selected daughter strain, 7.13, has regained TlpC expression77. These findings suggest that TlpC is not required for lab growth. The stomach may provide different selective pressures such that TlpC expression is an advantage. In support of this idea, four clinical H. pylori isolates analysed all expressed TlpC77.

The dissociation constant for lactate binding to the TlpC dCACHE domain falls within the middle of the range of values reported for ligand binding by other CACHE domains (e.g. 23–356 mM for Pseudomonas syringae pv. actinidiae PscD LBD8, 0.6–373 mM for P. putida KT2440 McpA LBD9, 1–1000 mM for B. subtilis McpC LBD10). Lactate is also a chemoattractant for Pseudomonas aeruginosaa18. P. aeruginosaa senses lactate via an cCACHE domain receptor named McpC. While this receptor has not yet been crystallised, it is known to bind lactate with similar affinity to TlpC, with a $K_D$ of 107 mM11. McpC additionally binds acetate, pyruvate, and propionate. McpC is similar to several other cCACHE chemoreceptors, suggesting chemotaxis toward lactate and related C2 and C3 carboxylic acids may be widespread.

Our observation that a higher concentration of lactate (10 mM) did not elicit a positive chemotactic response in H. pylori is in agreement with the reports that, at a concentration of 10 mM or above, lactate has an inhibitory effect on H. pylori growth72,80. The anti-H. pylori activity of lactate is largely due to its growth inhibition on helicobacter pylori through competitive metabolism. In co-cultures with lactic acid bacteria (LAB)81–83, Lin et al. demonstrated that short chain fatty acids (SCFA) (acetate, propionate, butyrate and lactate acid) secreted by LAB, and the associated low pH values reduce H. pylori viability, with lactic acid exhibiting the strongest inhibitory effect out of all tested SCFA84,85. Although the exact mechanism by which high levels of lactic acid exert anti-H. pylori activity remains unclear, it is likely a combination of its inhibitory effect on H. pylori urease activity and the reduced ability of H. pylori to survive at low pH in the absence of urea86,87.
Full-length chemoreceptor function as trimers of dimers. Although the degree and mechanism of the contribution of dCACHE LBDs make to oligomerisation in vivo remains to be established, previous crystallographic studies on the dCACHE domains of *C. jejuni* Tlp3, *M. maezii* HK1-2Z3 and *V. cholerae* DctB suggested that they likely dimerise through their stalk helix, with the twofold axis approximately perpendicular to the membrane plane. The dimersation forces between isolated dCACHE domains are weak, as all domains of this type characterised so far, including that of *H. pylori* TlpC (this study), Tlp1 and Tlp3 from *C. jejuni* Tlp1, Tlp3 and CtaA and CtaB from *Pseudomonas fluorescens* PFO, VfcA from *Vibrio fischeri* 91, and PctA from *P. putida* 87, are monomeric in solution. Our analysis showed that the isolated recombinant dCACHE LBD of TlpC is monomeric in the crystal as well. However, the observed structural similarity between LBDs of TlpC, Tlp3, HK1-2Z and DctB allows for the possibility that, in the context of the membrane-embedded full-length receptor, TlpC LBD may also dimerise through its stalk helix.

In conclusion, this study reports the first example of the dCACHE type chemoreceptor that directly senses its ligand via its membrane-proximal subdomain, and that *H. pylori* seeks out lactate using chemotaxis. It adds to the mounting evidence that dCACHE sensing domains have evolved to recognise their ligands via several different direct and indirect mechanisms that may utilise either the membrane-distal, or the membrane-proximal, subdomain, or both. This raises an intriguing question about whether, despite this diversity, different dCACHE sensing domains share a common mechanism of signal transduction across the membrane.

**Methods**

**Site-directed mutagenesis, protein expression, and purification.** The expression vectors for single-point variants of TlpC LBD in which S104, Y151, K153, N213, I218 or Y285 were replaced by alanine, and Y249 by phenylalanine, were prepared from a TlpC-expressing plasmid described previously 93. This plasmid expresses codon-optimised *H. pylori* TlpC (this study), Tlp1 and Tlp3 from *C. jejuni* Tlp1, Tlp3 and CtaA and CtaB from *Pseudomonas fluorescens* PFO, VfcA from *Vibrio fischeri* 91, and PctA from *P. putida* 87, are monomeric in solution. Our analysis showed that the isolated recombinant dCACHE LBD of TlpC is monomeric in the crystal as well. However, the observed structural similarity between LBDs of TlpC, Tlp3, HK1-2Z and DctB allows for the possibility that, in the context of the membrane-embedded full-length receptor, TlpC LBD may also dimerise through its stalk helix.

**Crystallisation, data collection and structure determination.** Form A crystals of TlpC LBD were obtained as described 93. The crystals grew in space group C2 (Table 2) and contained three monomers in the asymmetric unit. Co-crystallisation with 10 mM sodium L-lactate under similar conditions produced the crystals of the TlpC LBD/lactate complex that were isomorphous with form A crystals (Table 2). Two platinum derivatives were obtained by soaking the TlpC LBD crystals in either potassium tetrachloroplatinate (1 mM) or potassium hexachloroplatinate (1 mM). The derivative crystals belonged to space group P21 (form B) with a monomer in the asymmetric unit (Table 2). Native X-ray diffraction data (λ = 0.95 Å) and SAD data for the derivatives (λ = 1.07 Å) were collected on the MX1 and MX2 beamlines of the Australian Synchrotron (AS) 94. All data were processed with iMOSFLM 95 and scaled with AIMLESS 96 from the CCP4 software suite 97 (Table 2).

The two isomorphous SAD data sets were used to locate the platinum sites and calculate the phases for the form B crystals with Autosol 98 from the PHENIX software suite 99. The resulting phase set (overall figure of merit 0.62 (0.280) 0.095 (0.364) 0.096 (0.302) 0.069 (0.341) and σ(1) = 13.3 and σ(1) = 18.2 and σ(1) = 18.1 and σ(1) = 58.5 were determined by SEC-MALS. Protein was dialysed against buffer containing 100 mM Tris-HCl pH 8.0 and 150 mM NaCl, and concentrated to 3 mg ml⁻¹. A 100 µl sample was loaded onto a WTC-030SS SEC column (Wyatt Technology Corporation) pre-equilibrated with the same buffer flowing at 0.4 ml min⁻¹. The eluate was passed through an inline DAWN HELEOS light scattering detector, an Optilab T-Rex differential refractive index

| Dataset | Native | K₂PtCl₄ | K₂PtCl₆ | Co-crystal with 10 mM lactate |
|---------|--------|--------|--------|-----------------------------|
| Space group | C2 | P321 | P321 | C2 |
| a, b, c (Å) | 189.3, 103.2, 61.8 | 102.7, 102.7, 62.4 | 102.5, 102.5, 63.0 | 188.5, 102.6, 61.2 |
| β (°) | 98.3 | 98.3 | 98.3 | 98.5 |
| Resolution range (Å) | 30.6–2.2 | 62.4–3.3 | 51.4–3.3 | 30.0–2.5 |
| Rmerge | 0.062 (0.280) | 0.095 (0.364) | 0.096 (0.302) | 0.069 (0.341) |
| Completeness (%) | 98 (96) | 99.9 (99.9) | 99.9 (99.9) | 99.8 (99.9) |
| Redundancy | 3.6 | 10.5 | 10.5 | 3.6 |
| Anomalous redundancy | 5.5 | 5.4 | 5.4 | 5.4 |
| Observed reflections | 215,101 | 62,341 | 62,541 | 505,592 |
| Unique reflections | 59,028 | 5,941 | 5,975 | 40,012 |

Table 2. X-ray Data collection and processing statistics. Values in parentheses are for the highest resolution shell.
detector and a quasi-elastic light scattering detector (WyattQELS, Wyatt Technology Corporation). The experiment was repeated in the presence of 10 mM sodium L-lactate. Calculations of the molecular mass and hydrodynamic radius from the intensity of the scattered light and refractive index were performed using ASTRA 6.0 (Wyatt) (Table 4). Theoretical calculations of the hydrodynamic radius from the crystal structure were carried out using HYDROPRO version 10.100.

LC-ESI-MS analysis. Identification of the ligand captured by TlpC LBD was achieved by extracting small molecules from the purified protein and measuring their masses by LC-ESI-MS. TlpC LBD (30 \( \mu M \) in buffer A) was unfolded by boiling at 100 °C for 15 min and then pelleted by centrifugation. Buffer A subjected to the same procedure was used as a negative control. 200 \( \mu l \) of the supernatant was directly infused into MicrOTOF-Q quadrupole time-of-flight (TOF) mass spectrometer (Bruker Daltonics), and then nebulised and ionised using the Bruker electrospray source. Data were acquired in both positive and negative ion ESI modes over the mass range of 70 to 200 Daltons. The spectra were processed using the Data Analysis software version 3.4 (Bruker Daltonics).

CD analysis. CD spectroscopy was used to compare secondary structure composition of TlpC LBD and its single-point variants. Protein samples (0.1 mg ml\(^{-1}\)) were dialysed against buffer B (10 mM sodium phosphate pH 7.4, 150 mM NaCl). Far-UV CD spectra were recorded over the wavelength range 200–260 nm at 25 °C with the scan rate of 20 nm min\(^{-1}\) using a JASCO J-815 spectropolarimeter. The spectra were measured in triplicate, averaged and smoothed using the Savitzky–Golay algorithm with a radius of 25.101 Raw data were converted to mean residue ellipticity \( \theta \) (in deg cm\(^2\) dmol\(^{-1}\))102.

ITC experiments. TlpC LBD and its variants were dialysed against buffer A. 5 mM solutions of sodium lactate, sodium pyruvate, sodium malate and sodium oxaloacetate were prepared by dissolving them in the dialysis buffer. Measurements were performed on a MicroCal VP-ITC instrument microcalorimeter (MicroCal) at 25 °C. Protein (10 \( \mu M \)) in a 1.45-ml sample cell was injected with 25 successive 10-\( \mu l \) aliquots of the lactate solution. Binding isotherms were generated by plotting the heat change evolved per injection versus molar ratio of lactate to protein. The data was fitted to a single-site binding model using non-linear least-squares regression (Origin 7, OriginLab, USA), yielding the binding enthalpy \( \Delta H \), dissociation constant \( K_D \), and binding entropy \( \Delta S \). Each experiment was repeated three times.

Construction of isogenic \( tlpc \) mutant in \( H. pylori \) strain PMSS1. The PMSS1 \( tlpc \) mutant was created by natural transformation of wild-type PMSS1 with 5 \( \mu g \) of \( tlpc::cat \) SS1 genomic DNA18,56. Chloramphenicol-resistant mutants were selected using 10\( \mu g/ml \) chloramphenicol on Columbia Horse Blood Agar as previously described20. Mutation of \( tlpc \) was confirmed by PCR and western blot.

| Data set       | TlpC native | Co-crystal with 10 mM lactate |
|----------------|-------------|-----------------------------|
| Resolution range (\( \AA \)) | 30.6–2.2 | 30.0–2.5                        |
| No. reflections | 59,028      | 40,012                         |
| \( R_{work} / R_{free} \) | 0.182/0.218 | 0.192/0.251                  |
| No. atoms     |             |                              |
| Protein       | 6310        | 6212                          |
| Water         | 452         | 66                            |
| Lactate       | 18          | 18                            |

**Table 3.** Refinement statistics. *The \( R_{free} \) was calculated on 5% of the data omitted at random.*

| Sample                  | Polydispersity | Molecular weight (kDa) | \( R_g \) (nm) |
|-------------------------|----------------|------------------------|----------------|
| TlpC LBD                | 1.0            | 28.8                   | 2.5            |
| TlpC LBD + sodium L-lactate | 1.0          | 27                     | 2.6            |
| BSA                     | 1.0            | 63.9                   | 3.6            |

**Table 4.** Dynamic light-scattering results.

The R free was calculated on 5% of the data omitted at random.
Chemotaxis assay. Swimming behaviour assays were done with *H. pylori* PMSS1 strains described above grown in Brucella broth (BD BBL/Fisher) with 10% FBS (Life Technologies) (BB10), with shaking, at 37°C, under microaerobic conditions of 5% O₂, 10% CO₂, balance N₂. Overnight cultures (~OD₅₆₂ 0.25–0.5) were diluted to an OD₅₆₂ of 0.1 in fresh BB10, and then incubated as above until an OD₅₆₂ of 0.12–0.15 was reached. Motile, OD₆₀₀ 0.12–0.15, cultures were treated with sodium L-lactate (0.1 mM, 1 mM, 10 mM) or an equal volume of H₂O as an untreated control. As a repellent control, 10 mM HCL was used as done previously. As an attractant control, 50 μM dipyridyl was used as done previously. Dipyridyl results in fewer direction changes, an attractant response, dependent on chemotaxis in general and TlpD specifically. Dipyridyl induces and attractant response as it counters reactive oxygen species via chelation of iron. The pH of BB10 upon treatment was independently assessed using a Denver Instruments pH meter. Cultures were filmed immediately after ligand addition at 400x magnification using a Hamamatsu Digital Camera C4742-95 with the μManager software (Version 1.4.22), mounted on a Nikon Eclipse E600 phase contrast microscope (Supplementary videos 1–12). Videos were relabeled to blind the observer to the strain identity. For each sample, >100 3-s-long bacterial tracks from two independent cultures were analysed manually to identify stops followed by direction changes and to calculate the average number of direction changes in 3 s. Statistical analysis of the data for treated versus untreated samples was performed using a Student’s t-test.

PDB submission codes. The atomic coordinates and structure factors of the TlpC LBD/lactate complex obtained at 2.2 Å resolution have been deposited in the Protein Data Bank (http://www.rcsb.org) under accession code 5wbf.
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