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New jet feature in the parsec-scale jet of the blazar OJ 287 connected to the 2017 teraelectronvolt flaring activity
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ABSTRACT

Context. In February 2017 the blazar OJ 287, one of the best super-massive binary-black-hole-system candidates, was detected for the first time at very high energies (VHEs; E > 100 GeV) with the ground-based γ-ray observatory VERITAS.

Aims. Very high energy γ-rays are thought to be produced in the near vicinity of the central engine in active galactic nuclei. For this reason, and with the main goal of providing useful information for the characterization of the physical mechanisms connected with the observed teraelectronvolt flaring event, we investigate the parsec-scale source properties by means of high-resolution very long baseline interferometry observations.

Methods. We use 86 GHz Global Millimeter-VLBI Array (GMVA) observations from 2015 to 2017 and combine them with additional multiwavelength radio observations at different frequencies from other monitoring programs. We investigate the source structure by modeling the brightness distribution with two-dimensional Gaussian components in the visibility plane.

Results. In the GMVA epoch following the source VHE activity, we find a new jet feature (labeled K) at ~ 0.2 mas from the core region and located in between two quasi-stationary components (labeled S1 and S2). Multiple periods of enhanced activity are detected at different radio frequencies before and during the VHE flaring state.

Conclusions. Based on the findings of this work, we identify as a possible trigger for the VHE flaring emission during the early months of 2017 the passage of a new jet feature through a recollimation shock (represented by the model-fit component S1) in a region of the jet located at a de-projected distance of ~ 10 pc from the radio core.
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1. Introduction

Super-massive binary black-hole (SMBBH) systems are commonly believed to form during hierarchical galaxy formation as an outcome of merger events (e.g., Begelman et al. 1980). The blazar OJ 287 (z = 0.306) is currently considered one of the best SMBBH system candidates, supported by a number of lines of observational evidence and theoretical arguments (Lehto & Valtonen 1996; Valtonen et al. 2008). While the vast majority of blazar objects show erratic variability across the electromagnetic spectrum, from the optical light curve of OJ 287 two quasi-periodic variability patterns have been revealed, on timescales of ~ 12 yr and ~ 60 yr, respectively (Valtonen et al. 2006). Within the context of a gravitationally bound system of two black holes, the ~ 12 yr and ~ 60 yr optical outbursts are connected with the orbital period and the periastron advance timescales, respectively. Additional scenarios, not necessarily requiring the presence of a secondary black hole, are also being investigated with the goal of explaining the optical emission variability (e.g., Britzen et al. 2018, Liska et al. 2018).

Since February 5, 2017, OJ 287 has aroused considerable interest for another reason: the Very Energetic Radiation Imaging Telescope Array System (VERITAS) collaboration reported the first ever very high-energy (VHE; E > 100 GeV) γ-ray emission detection of OJ 287 (Mukherjee & VERITAS Collaboration 2017). Different theoretical models related to the mass accretion, the relativistic plasma outflows, and the particle pair-production processes have been considered for explaining the production of such VHE emission in active galactic nuclei (AGNs; e.g., Rieger & Levinson 2018). We note that blazars dominate the population of the VHE γ-ray-detected AGNs; this is mainly because of the strong Doppler boosting effects produced in their relativistic jets, which are closely aligned to our line-of-sight. Currently, 93% of...
in the DIFMAP software package (Shepherd 1997). More details about the calibration process of millimeter-VLBI data reduction are available in, for example, Jorstad et al. (2017), Casadio et al. (2019), and Kim et al. (2019).

In each observing epoch we modeled the source brightness distribution by means of two-dimensional Gaussian circular components, using the model-fitting routine in the DIFMAP package. The cross-identification of the model-fit components across the different epochs is based on their position, size, and flux density. The uncertainties in the position of the model-fit components were estimated by using the ratio of the size of each component to the signal-to-noise ratio (as in Lico et al. 2012).

We note that, for several known reasons, significant flux losses could affect the GMVA observations (e.g., Casadio et al. 2019). The amplitude scaling factors used to correct the GMVA flux density values are usually on the order of 2.0-2.5 and are extrapolated by using close-in-time lower-frequency observations (e.g., Koyama et al. 2016; Kim et al. 2019). Since OJ 287 is an extremely variable source, showing significant radio and optical emission variations on timescales of a few days (e.g., Lin et al. 2017), it is important to use observations that are as close as possible in time to the GMVA observing epochs. Although several monitoring programs provide us with both single-dish and VLBI observations at different frequencies (as shown and discussed in Sect. 3.2), it was not possible to get observations that were sufficiently contemporaneous to obtain reliable amplitude scaling factors, and we thus do not provide them here. The main effect of the lack of the scaling factors is that we cannot quantify the GMVA flux density evolution across the different epochs. We overcame this by using observations at multiple radio frequencies and resolutions during the same observing period (Sect. 3.2). Furthermore, the scaling factors affect neither the source structure nor the relative flux density among the different model-fit components within the same observing epoch.

3. Results
3.1. 86 GHz GMVA images and model fits

In Fig. 1 we report the five 86 GHz GMVA total intensity images. The source shows a jet structure in the northwest direction, extending for ~ 0.4 mas (~ 2.0 pc in linear scale). More details about the image beam and total intensity contours can be found in the figure caption. As is apparent from a visual inspection of the AGNs listed in the online TeVCat catalog are blazars (74 out of 80 objects). However, the OJ 287-like blazars, the so-called low-frequency peaked blazars, with their synchrotron emission component peaking at frequencies < $10^{14}$ Hz, represent less than 15% of the whole TeVCat blazar sample.

In the present work we investigate the source parsec-scale properties by means of high-resolution very long baseline interferometry (VLBI) observations, with the main goal of helping to characterize the physical mechanisms connected with the reported TeV emission variability (TeV). We make use of five 86 GHz Global Millimeter-VLBI Array (GMVA) observations, covering the period 2015-2017, which allow us to access the innermost jet region at a resolution of ~ 50 μas (~ 0.2 pc in linear scale), complemented by additional multifrequency radio observations from other monitoring programs.

The paper is laid out as follows: observations and methods in Sect. 2; main results in Sect. 3; and general discussion and concluding remarks in Sect. 4. Throughout the paper we use a Λ cold dark matter cosmology with $H_0 = 67.4 \text{ km s}^{-1} \text{ Mpc}^{-1}$, $\Omega_m = 0.31$, and $\Omega_{\Lambda} = 0.69$ (Planck Collaboration et al. 2020). At a redshift of $z = 0.306$ (Nilsson et al. 2010), 1 mas corresponds to ~ 4.7 pc.

2. Observations and data analysis

In this work we analyze five 86 GHz GMVA observations of OJ 287 performed from May 16, 2015, to September 29, 2017 – modified Julian days (MJDs) 57158, 57529, 57661, 57843, and 58025 – in total intensity emission. These observations are part of a monitoring program (PIs: A. P. Marscher and T. P. Kirkbould) of a sample of γ-ray-bright BL Lac objects and galaxies selected among the targets of the VLBA-BU-BLAZAR program.

The GMVA stations that took part in the different observations presented in this work are: the Robert C. Byrd Green Bank Telescope; eight stations of the Very Long Baseline Array (VLBA): BR, FD, KP, LA, MK, NL, OV, and PT; the European stations Effelsberg, Yebes, Onsala, Metsävuo, and Pico Veleta; and the Korean VLBI Network array. For the a priori phase and amplitude calibration, we used the software package Astronomical Image Processing System (Greisen 2003), while for the final images we used the CLEAN and self-calibration procedures in the DIFMAP software package (Shepherd 1997). More details about the calibration process of millimeter-VLBI data reduction are available in, for example, Jorstad et al. (2017), Casadio et al. (2019), and Kim et al. (2019).

In each observing epoch we modeled the source brightness distribution by means of two-dimensional Gaussian circular components, using the model-fitting routine in the DIFMAP package. The cross-identification of the model-fit components across the different epochs is based on their position, size, and flux density. The uncertainties in the position of the model-fit components were estimated by using the ratio of the size of each component to the signal-to-noise ratio (as in Lico et al. 2012).

We note that, for several known reasons, significant flux losses could affect the GMVA observations (e.g., Casadio et al. 2019). The amplitude scaling factors used to correct the GMVA flux density values are usually on the order of 2.0-2.5 and are extrapolated by using close-in-time lower-frequency observations (e.g., Koyama et al. 2016; Kim et al. 2019). Since OJ 287 is an extremely variable source, showing significant radio and optical emission variations on timescales of a few days (e.g., Lin et al. 2017), it is important to use observations that are as close as possible in time to the GMVA observing epochs. Although several monitoring programs provide us with both single-dish and VLBI observations at different frequencies (as shown and discussed in Sect. 3.2), it was not possible to get observations that were sufficiently contemporaneous to obtain reliable amplitude scaling factors, and we thus do not provide them here. The main effect of the lack of the scaling factors is that we cannot quantify the GMVA flux density evolution across the different epochs. We overcame this by using observations at multiple radio frequencies and resolutions during the same observing period (Sect. 3.2). Furthermore, the scaling factors affect neither the source structure nor the relative flux density among the different model-fit components within the same observing epoch.
of the images, the source jet orientation varies across the different epochs. This is a well-known and well-investigated feature of OJ287 (e.g., Cohen 2017; Britzen et al. 2018; Gómez et al. 2022). A combined multifrequency ridgeline and position angle time evolution analysis of the OJ 287 jet, which includes all the GMVA epochs reported in this Letter (except for the last epoch, MJD 58025), was recently presented in Dey et al. (2021).

The red circles in each sub-image represent the model-fit components. A summary of the model-fit parameters is reported in Table 1. The overall source brightness distribution is well represented by four circular model-fit components, labeled Core, S1, S2, and E. In agreement with previous works (e.g., Agudo et al. 2012; Hodgson et al. 2017; Jorstad et al. 2017), we identified the most upstream component, which is unresolved, bright, and variable, as the core. The distance from the core of each model-fit component (under the assumption that the core is stationary) is reported in the left panel of Fig. 2. Components S1 and S2 (light and dark blue circles in Fig 2) respectively are consistent with being stationary during the whole observing period. We note that at lower frequencies, because of the lower resolution, only a single quasi-stationary component has been detected in the images of the OJ 287 jet since 2005, at an average distance of 0.2 mas from the core, as reported in several OJ 287 kinematics studies (e.g., Agudo et al. 2012; Jorstad et al. 2017; Sasada et al. 2018; Weaver et al. 2021). The outermost jet component, labeled E, represents the more extended emission.

A new model-fit component, labeled K (red cross in Fig. 2), was detected in the region between S1 and S2 on MJD 57843 (March 31, 2017), about two months after the reported TeV detection. Although the identification of K is not obvious and straightforward, we identified the different model-fit components on MJD 57843 according to their distance from the core and flux density across the different epochs.

3.2. Light curves

The light curves of the five GMVA epochs for the different model-fit components are reported in the right panel of Fig. 2. We find that on MJD 57661 (September 30, 2016) the overall source emission was dominated by the total intensity emission from component S1, while on MJD 57843 (March 31, 2017) it was dominated by the emission from the new component, K. During the last observing epoch, MJD 58025, component S2 became brighter than the core and S1.

To investigate the overall radio emission activity during the period 2015-2017, we produced the light curves at different radio frequencies from different monitoring programs (Fig. 3). We used: 15 GHz single-dish observations from the Owens Valley Radio Observatory (OVRO) blazar monitoring program (Richards et al. 2011); 86 GHz single-dish observations from the Polarimetric Monitoring of AGN at Millimetre Wavelengths (POLAMI) program (Agudo et al. 2018a, b; Thum et al. 2018); 15 GHz VLBA observations from the Monitoring of Jets in Active galactic nuclei with VLBA Experiments (MOJAVE) program; and 43 GHz VLBA observations from the VLBA-BU-BLAZAR program. As shown in Fig. 3, two periods of enhanced radio emission activity can be identified before (gray shaded area) and during (red shaded area) the VHE flaring activity, and an increasing trend is also observed toward the end of the observing window (green shaded area) at all the different radio frequencies. We note that we have not quantified the 86 GHz GMVA total intensity emission variability across the different epochs because we do not provide the scaling factors (see Sect. 4 for more details), and this is why in Fig. 3 the total GMVA flux density (dark blue circles) is overall underestimated.

---

4 https://www.bu.edu/blazars/VLBAproject.html
5 https://www.physics.purdue.edu/astro/MOJAVE/
6 http://polami.iaa.es/
4. Discussion and conclusion

During the period February 1-4, 2017 (MJD 57785-57788), the blazar OJ287 was observed with VERITAS for a total exposure time of 13 hours, resulting in the first ever VHE $\gamma$-ray emission detection of the source, at $> 5.7\sigma$ significance level. The source VHE detection was found to be temporally coincident with increased activity at X-ray and $\gamma$-ray energies (Mukherjee & VERITAS Collaboration 2017), as well as at centimeter and millimeter wavelengths, as shown in Fig.3. This event triggered several multiwavelength observing efforts and follow-ups with the primary purpose of characterizing the underlying physical mechanisms (e.g., O'Brien 2017; Gupta et al. 2019).

In the present work we have investigated the innermost regions of the OJ 287 jet, where the VHE $\gamma$-rays are thought to be produced, by means of high-resolution millimeter-VLBI observations with the GMVA. One of the main findings is that during the MJD 57158 (March 31, 2017) 86 GHz GMVA epoch we detected a new model-fit component (K), in the region between the quasi-stationary components S1 and S2, that dominates the source total intensity emission. This is the closest-in-time GMVA epoch to the VHE event detection, separated by about two months (58 days). During the same period, an enhanced overall activity is detected at different radio frequencies (shaded red area in Fig. 3). These two findings, a high radio emission state and the detection of a new model-fit component, could be related to the VHE event and the passage (ejection) of K through (from) the S1 quasi-stationary jet component. We note that S1, as investigated and suggested in several works (e.g., Agudo et al. 2011; Sasada et al. 2018; Weaver et al. 2021), is considered a recollimation shock. The passage of a new component through a recollimation shock associated with an enhanced activity at high energies is a quite common event that has been observed in several AGNs (e.g., Marscher et al. 2010; Jorstad & Marscher 2016; Casadio et al. 2019).

The identification of K on MJD 57843 (March 31, 2017) is driven and supported by the following considerations. On MJD 57661 (September 30, 2016), when the source underwent another episode of enhanced radio emission activity (shaded gray area in Fig. 3), the overall GMVA total intensity emission was dominated by component S1, found to be more than two times brighter than the core and S2 (right image in Fig. 2). We interpret this finding as an indication that during that epoch the new component, K, is blended with and passing through S1 and that it emerges from the other side in the early months of 2017. Within this scenario, numerical simulations suggest that fluctuations in the position of a recollimation shock undergoing the crossing of a moving disturbance are expected (e.g., Gómez et al. 1997). We note that as K exits the S1 region and approaches S2, as predicted by simulations, both S1 and S2 show fluctuations in their positions with respect to the core (as is apparent in the left image of Fig. 2). In more detail, from MJD 57661 (September 30, 2016) to MJD 57843 (March 31, 2017) component S2 moves away from the core from 0.19±0.01 mas to 0.29±0.01 mas, while S1 moves toward the core region from 0.11±0.01 mas to 0.08±0.01 mas.

If we assume that component K was blended together with S1 (on average at ~ 0.1 mas from the core) during the VHE outburst (MJD 57785) and then observed at a distance of 0.16 mas during the GMVA epoch MJD 57843, we obtain a propagation speed of 0.32 mas/year, corresponding to ~8.4 c. We note that more than half of the OJ 287 knots found at 43 GHz, from the VLBA-BU-BLAZAR monitoring program, show a proper motion between 0.3 and 0.5 mas/year (e.g., Jorstad et al. 2017).

The main findings, to be taken into account when drawing a plausible physical scenario, can be summarized as follows: (1) detection of a new jet feature between S1 and S2 in the GMVA image during the closest GMVA epoch to the TeV detection; (2) high radio emission state during the TeV flaring activity period from both single-dish and VLBI observations; (3) source total intensity emission dominated by S1 in September 2016 (prior to the TeV detection); (4) fluctuations in the positions of S1 and S2 with respect to the core as the new component, K, leaves the S1 region and approaches S2. Based on all these results, we conclude that the February 2017 TeV flaring activity in OJ 287 could have been triggered by the passage of K through a recollimation shock represented by the quasi-stationary model-fit component S1. We note that, for OJ 287, both the core and S1 regions were found to be suitable sites for particle acceleration associated with moving shocks and responsible for high energy flares (e.g., Agudo et al. 2011; Hodgson et al. 2017; Sasada et al. 2018). In the specific case of the 2017 OJ 287 TeV detection, we conclude that the region where the VHE emission originates coincides with S1, located at ~ 0.1 mas from the core, corresponding to a de-projected distance of ~ 10.0 pc by assuming a viewing angle of 2.6° as reported in Jorstad et al. 2017.

Some additional remarks about the last GMVA observing epoch, MJD 58025 (September 29, 2017), further support the above proposed scenario. On one hand, during this last GMVA epoch component K is not detected and component E has a position and relative flux density consistent with the previous epochs. On the other hand, component S2 has a higher flux density than the core and S1, unlike during the previous epochs after 2015. These findings may indicate that during this epoch K had reached and was passing though component S2, which produced an increase in its flux density. According to the speed that we estimated for K (0.32 mas/year), it is expected to have reached the region where component S2 is found by MJD 58025. A detailed analysis of the OJ 287 jet kinematic properties will be presented in a dedicated and forthcoming paper based on observations from the 43 GHz VLBA-BU-BLAZAR monitoring (Weaver et al. 2021). We also note that after the overall flux density decrease following the TeV flaring activity, the light curves at different
Table 1. Summary of the model-fit parameters.

| Obs. date   | MJD   | Comp. ID | $\chi^2$ | $\sigma_r^b$ | $\sigma_r^c$ | $\sigma_\sigma$ | PA | FWHM |
|------------|-------|----------|----------|-------------|-------------|---------------|----|-------|
| 2015/05/16 | 57158 | Core     | 0.34     | 0.07        | 0.01        | 0.01          | 0.006 |
|            |       | S1       | 0.32     | 0.06        | 0.01        | 0.022         | 0.029 |
|            |       | S2       | 0.23     | 0.05        | 0.01        | 0.062         | 0.051 |
| 2016/05/21 | 57529 | Core     | 1.08     | 0.22        | 0.01        | 0.017         | 0.017 |
|            |       | S1       | 0.53     | 0.11        | 0.10        | 0.032         | 0.033 |
|            |       | S2       | 0.06     | 0.01        | 0.15        | 0.018         | 0.004 |
| 2016/09/30 | 57661 | Core     | 0.78     | 0.16        | 0.01        | 0.026         | 0.006 |
|            |       | S1       | 2.00     | 0.40        | 0.01        | -23.2         | 0.030 |
|            |       | S2       | 0.60     | 0.12        | 0.19        | -42.8         | 0.090 |
|            |       | E        | 0.04     | 0.01        | 0.36        | -83.5         | 0.095 |
| 2017/03/31 | 57843 | Core     | 0.83     | 0.17        | 0.01        | 0.01          | 0.016 |
|            |       | S1       | 0.57     | 0.12        | 0.08        | -41.8         | 0.005 |
|            |       | S2       | 0.25     | 0.05        | 0.29        | -47.0         | 0.038 |
|            |       | K        | 1.39     | 0.28        | 0.16        | -40.6         | 0.038 |
|            |       | E        | 0.06     | 0.02        | 0.38        | -50.2         | 0.096 |
| 2017/09/29 | 58025 | Core     | 0.58     | 0.12        | 0.01        | 0.01          | 0.041 |
|            |       | S1       | 0.81     | 0.16        | 0.09        | -22.6         | 0.067 |
|            |       | S2       | 1.08     | 0.22        | 0.25        | -24.3         | 0.068 |
|            |       | E        | 0.06     | 0.02        | 0.42        | -39.6         | 0.040 |

Notes.

(a) Flux density ($S$) in Jy; (b) uncertainties on $S$; (c) $r$ and PA represent the distance and position angle (from north to east) of the component in polar coordinates with respect to the core; (d) uncertainties on $r$; (e) radius of the circular Gaussian components.
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