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ABSTRACT: Ground penetrating radar (GPR) systems emit electromagnetic energy into ground and receive reflection signals to process and display images of objects underground. The technology can be applied to variety of fields such as military, constructions, geophysics, ... In the paper, we will propose the prediction deconvolution technique for signal processing in GPR systems. The technique is developed based on the method of Least Square filter and Wiener filter. Our processed results have shown that by applying the proposed technique, received signals will be eliminated interference and give better images with high resolution. In addition, to get good results we see that it is necessary to predict the accuracy of pulse response of environments.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Ground penetrating radar (GPR) technology has been widely studied over the world. The GPR system emits electromagnetic energy into ground and receives reflection signals to process and display images of objects underground. The technology can be applied to variety of fields such as detection of buried mines, mine detection (gold, oil, underground water, ...), pipes and cable detection, evaluation of reinforced concrete, geophysical investigations, road condition survey, tunnel & wall condition, ... [1-11].

In GPR systems, transmitted signals are narrow pulses. Due to interference and characteristics of material underground, received signals are widen and delayed responses, thus reduce the resolution of GPR’s image. The purpose of the deconvolutional techniques is to convert the responses into a narrow pulse in order to eliminate interference and improve the resolution [1, 2, 5].

Signal processing techniques until now have been used techniques of image processing such as noise removal, smooth processing by two dimensional multiplication convolution, or median filter, ... [12]. However, for GPR signals, we need to not only process images but also recover transmitted narrow pulses. In the paper, we propose a method of prediction deconvolution, which can do two simultaneous
The results of processing are much dependent on the prediction distance. The importance of the deconvolution technique is to process widen signals to a spike pulse. Therefore, the technique can eliminate Gaussian noise and recover signals in time domain and increase the resolution of GPR’s images. The technique is based on the method of Least Square filter and Wiener filter. Our processed results have shown that by applying the proposed technique, received signals will be eliminated interference and give better images with high resolution. In addition, to get good results we see that it is needed to predict the accuracy of pulse response of environments.

The remaining of the paper is organized as follows. In the next section, the model of GPR systems is described. The proposed technique of predict convolution is presented in section 3. In section 4, we show the process of the technique and discuss its results. Finally, we conclude the paper in section 5.

2. MODEL OF GPR SYSTEMS

![Fig. 1. Block diagram of a GPR system](image)

GPR is a method applied electromagnetic energy to investigate structures and characteristics of materials underground without dig and destruction. The model of GPR systems is shown in Fig. 1. The system uses high frequency radio signals to collect information underground. Signals transmitted from antennas penetrate into ground with a velocity depended on environments. When the signals go through different layers of material with different dielectrical constants, a part of the signals is reflected. Receive antennas receive the signals and then process to view the images. Because the reflected signals are created at the border of material layers, by processing, viewing, and monitoring, we can determine the structure and shape of objects underground.

3. THE PREDICT CONVOLUTION TECHNIQUE

Signal processing plays an important part in GPR systems. The purpose of the signal processing techniques is to eliminate noise and interference, improve the quality of images, and locate the position of desired targets. In the paper, we propose a prediction deconvolution technique, which efficiently eliminates noise and interference, improve the quality of images. The proposed technique is developed based on a consequence of filters: Invert filter, Least Square filter, and Weiner filter.

3.1. Invert filter

A concept of invert filter is shown in Fig. 2. If \( w(t) \) is GPR wavelet signals received and \( \delta(t) \) is desired output signals, then \( f(t) \) must satisfy the below condition:
\( \delta(t) = w(t) \ast f(t) \) or \( f(t) = \delta(t) \ast \frac{1}{w(t)} \)  

(1)

By conducting z-transform of (1), we have

\( F(z) = \frac{1}{W(z)} = f_0 + f_1z + f_2z^2 + \ldots \)  

(2)

Where \( W(z) = w_0 + w_1z + w_2z^2 + \ldots \)  

(3)

The expression shows the determination of the filter’s coefficients by inverting the z-transform of GPR wavelet. However, the filter usually gives enormous error, especially when GPR wavelet signals are different from desired signals.

3.2. Least square filter

This is the method to find the filter’s coefficients so that the difference between received signals and the desired signals is minimal. A concept of Least Square filter is shown in Fig. 3. The filter’s coefficients \( f_1, f_2, \ldots, f_n \) are initial with arbitrary values, then convolute with GPR received signals \( w(t) \) as:

\[ y(t) = w(t) \ast f(t) \]  

(4)

Then, the coefficients are determined by applying the least square error algorithm for the error between signals \( y(t) \) and desired signals \( d(t) \) as:

\[ \text{argmin} \| e(t) \|^2 = \text{argmin} \| d(t) - y(t) \|^2 \]  

(5)

\( f_1, f_2, \ldots, f_n \)

After receiving the coefficients, the filter deconvolutes again with GPR received signals to get output signals.

3.3. Weiner filter

A concept of Weiner filter is shown in Fig. 4. Assuming that received signals are \( (x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1}) \), desired signals are \( (d_0, d_1, \ldots, d_{n-1}) \). The autocorrelation of received signals \( (r_0, r_1, \ldots, r_{n-1}) \) is given by

\[ r_\tau = \sum_t x(t)x(t - \tau) \]  

(6)

for \( n=5 \) we have:
3.4. Prediction deconvolution filter

For the technique, the coefficients of the filter are determined so that output signals will be prediction signals considering as input signals in future. A concept of the proposed filter is shown in Fig. 5. Assuming that input signals are \( x(t) : (x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) \), prediction signals are \( x(t + \alpha) : (x_2, x_3, x_4) \) with \( \alpha = 2 \). The coefficients of the filter are determined by solving the linear equations below:

\[
 r(\alpha + \tau) = \sum_t x(t)x(t + \alpha - \tau)
\]

(10)

Or

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
 r_0 & r_1 & \cdots & r_{n-1} \\
 r_1 & r_0 & \cdots & r_{n-2} \\
 \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
 r_{n-1} & r_{n-2} & \cdots & r_0
\end{pmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix}
 a_0 \\
 a_1 \\
 \vdots \\
 a_{n-1}
\end{pmatrix}
= \begin{pmatrix}
 r_0 \\
 r_1 \\
 \vdots \\
 r_{n-1}
\end{pmatrix}
\]

(11)

Now, consider special case \( \alpha=1, n=5 \) we have

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
 r_0 & r_1 & r_2 & r_3 & r_4 \\
 r_1 & r_0 & r_1 & r_2 & r_3 \\
 r_2 & r_1 & r_0 & r_1 & r_2 \\
 r_3 & r_2 & r_1 & r_0 & r_1 \\
 r_4 & r_3 & r_2 & r_1 & r_0
\end{pmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix}
 a_0 \\
 a_1 \\
 a_2 \\
 a_3 \\
 a_4
\end{pmatrix}
= \begin{pmatrix}
 r_0 \\
 r_1 \\
 r_2 \\
 r_3 \\
 r_4
\end{pmatrix}
\]

(11-a)

By augmenting the right side to the left side we obtain
After changing and rearranging the equations, we have new equations as follows:

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
-r_1 & r_2 & r_3 & r_4 & \cdots & \frac{1}{L} \\
-r_2 & r_1 & r_3 & r_4 & \cdots & 0 \\
-r_3 & r_2 & r_1 & r_4 & \cdots & 0 \\
-r_4 & r_3 & r_2 & r_1 & \cdots & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
-r_{n-1} & r_n & r_{n-2} & r_{n-3} & \cdots & 0 \\
-r_n & r_{n-1} & r_{n-2} & r_{n-3} & \cdots & 0 \\
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
a_0 \\ a_1 \\ a_2 \\ a_3 \\ a_4 \\ \vdots \\ a_{n-1} \\ a_n
\end{bmatrix} =
\begin{bmatrix}
0 \\
0 \\
0 \\
0 \\
\vdots \\
0 \\
0
\end{bmatrix}
\]

(11-b)

where \( b_0 = 1, b_i = -a_i \) for \( i = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 \).

\[ L = r_0 - r_1 a_0 - r_2 a_1 - r_3 a_2 - r_4 a_3 - r_5 a_4 \]

From equations (12), we see that prediction deconvolution filter is based on signals in current time and received signals in future time. When determining the coefficients of Weiner filter, we can also know the coefficients of prediction deconvolution filter.

4. SIMULATION RESULTS

In this section, we apply the prediction deconvolution filter to a real GPR data obtained by Malags systems [13]. The technique is carried out by using Matlab software. The results are compared with original data to evaluate the proposed filter. The structure of GPR data includes 510x2147 data matrices, where 510 is data obtained in time domain, and 2147 is the numbers of traces obtained in different positions.
Fig. 7. Apply the prediction deconvolution filter to data with length of filter $L = 3$ns, prediction range $\alpha = 2$ns, and whitening ratio $W=1\%$

Fig. 8. Apply the prediction deconvolution filter to data with length of filter $L = 15$ns, prediction range $\alpha = 2$ns, and whitening ratio $W=1\%$

Fig. 9. Apply the prediction deconvolution filter to data with length of filter $L = 10$ns, prediction range $\alpha = 5$ns, and whitening ratio $W=1\%$

Fig. 10. Apply the prediction deconvolution filter to data with length of filter $L = 20$ns, prediction range $\alpha = 5$ns, and whitening ratio $W=1\%$

Fig. 11. Apply the prediction deconvolution filter to data with length of filter $L = 5$ns, prediction range $\alpha = 5$ns, and whitening ratio $W=2\%$

Fig. 12. Apply the prediction deconvolution filter to data with length of filter $L = 5$ns, prediction range $\alpha = 1$ns, and whitening ratio $W=5\%$

From the results shown in Figs. 6 – 12, we can see that applying the prediction deconvolution filter, interference is much eliminated and the quality of image is much improved. In addition, the filter is much dependent on channel responses. If channel responses are fast, prediction range should be chosen short, otherwise if channel responses is slow, then prediction range should be chosen longer. Moreover, it is seen that the deconvolution for GPR data is mainly dependent on prediction range. Other parameters are only conditions for us to predict without affecting to processing results. The prediction filter is a technique to determine channel responses if we can obtain the optimal processing results for arbitrary prediction range.
5. CONCLUSIONS

In the paper, we focus on our proposed prediction deconvolution filter. The filter is developed based on some filters such as invert filter, Least Square filter, and Weiner filter. Based on the processed results, we can see that by applying the prediction deconvolution filter, interference is much eliminated and the quality of image is much improved.
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