A Strategy for Interaction Site Prediction between Phospho-binding Modules and their Partners Identified from Proteomic Data*
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Small and large scale proteomic technologies are providing a wealth of potential interactions between proteins bearing phospho-recognition modules and their substrates. Resulting interaction maps reveal such a dense network of interactions that the functional dissection and understanding of these networks often require to break specific interactions while keeping the rest intact. Here, we developed a computational strategy, called STRIP, to predict the precise interaction site involved in an interaction with a phospho-recognition module. The method was validated by a two-hybrid screen carried out using the ForkHead Associated (FHA)1 domain of Rad53, a key protein of Saccharomyces cerevisiae DNA checkpoint, as a bait. In this screen we detected 11 partners, including Cdc7 and Cdc45, essential components of the DNA replication machinery. FHA domains are phospho-threonine binding modules and the threonines involved in both interactions could be predicted using the STRIP strategy. The threonines T484 and T189 in Cdc7 and Cdc45, respectively, were mutated and loss of binding could be monitored experimentally with the full-length proteins. The method was further tested for the analysis of 63 known Rad53 binding partners and provided several key insights regarding the threonines likely involved in these interactions. The STRIP method relies on a combination of conservation, phosphorylation likelihood, and binding specificity criteria and can be accessed via a web interface at http://biodev.extra.cea.fr/strip/. Molecular & Cellular Proteomics 9:2745–2759, 2010.

Cell processes are tightly coordinated through signal transduction pathways that heavily depend on reversible post-translational modifications, including the phosphorylation of serine, threonine, and tyrosine residues (1, 2). Reflecting the multiplicity of residues being phosphorylated at a given time in a cell, several modules are able to mediate the specific recognition of phosphorylated partners. Typically such modules are the 14–3-3, BRCT, C2, FHA, MH2, PBD, PTB, SH2, WD-40, and WW domains (3) (see a description in dedicated databases (4, 5)). These modules achieve their binding specificity primarily through the recognition of a region, usually a short sequence motif (~ 6–15 residues) containing the phosphorylated residues (6).

Small- and large-scale proteomic technologies such as the two-hybrid technique or affinity purification are providing a wealth of potential interactions between the proteins bearing these recognition modules and their substrates. The current protein-protein interaction maps reveal a dense network of interactions with a high degree of interconnections between nodes. Entire gene deletions bring about major perturbations that complicate the functional interpretation of a specific interaction. The functional dissection of an interaction network and the understanding of its molecular logic require a more local perturbation that breaks a specific interaction while keeping the rest of the network intact. In that scope, the precise identification of the phosphorylated residue(s) responsible for an interaction often turns out to be a laborious task. Here, we propose a strategy, called STRategy for Interacting site Prediction (STRIP),1 to accelerate the faithful identification of these binding residues for a given phospho-binding module by coupling together several types of information: (i) the probability of a residue to be phosphorylated, (ii) the respect of the motif(s) bound with the highest affinity by the module around the modified residue, and (iii) the strict conservation of this motif in closely related species. The STRIP strategy can easily be used on the internet via a web server we designed for that purpose (http://biodev.extra.cea.fr/strip/).

To test this strategy we focused on one family of recognition modules, the ForkHead Associated (FHA) domains. We particularly addressed the case of the first FHA domain of Saccharomyces cerevisiae DNA checkpoint, as a...
Rad53, a Saccharomyces cerevisiae kinase involved in response pathways to genotoxic stresses whose catalytic domain is flanked by two FHA domains, named FHA1 and FHA2. The FHA (ForkHead Associated) domain was discovered by Hofmann and Bucher, who recognized a protein motif in a subset of forkhead-type transcription factors (7). This domain has since then been found in hundreds of proteins from eukaryotic, bacterial, and archael species. Biochemical studies of specific FHA domains (including Rad53 domains) have demonstrated that FHA domains bind specifically phosphothreonines and have little affinity for phosphoserines or phosphotyrosines or for unphosphorylated threonines in vitro (8–10). Moreover, powerful in vitro screening strategies using combinatorial phosphopeptide libraries showed that the amino acids surrounding the phosphothreonine (pT) contribute to the FHA domains binding specificities. The highest discrimination was usually found for the amino acids in positions either (pT+3) (9, 11–13) or (pT-3) (14) with a few notable exceptions (15).

The phosphopeptide binding function of the FHA domains was first demonstrated by studying Rad53 FHA1 and FHA2 (8–10). Rad53 FHA1 domain is probably the FHA module whose biochemical and physiological characteristics have been the most thoroughly analyzed (8, 16–23), which makes it an attractive target for a new predictive approach. In particular, two groups of investigators have found that FHA1 specifically binds phosphothreonines inside pXXD motifs in vitro (8, 9).

Rad53 is part of the DNA checkpoints, response pathways that detect DNA lesions or replication blocks and coordinate various responses such as cell cycle arrests and transcriptional or post-translational modifications. Rad53 interacts with many different partners, and more than 30 FHA1 binding proteins have been described (18, 20, 24, 25), although it is not always clear whether the interaction is direct or not. Abolishing FHA1 phosphopeptide binding function by mutating conserved residues such as R70 and N107 leads to a slightly increased sensitivity to DNA damage generated by UV irradiation or by treatment with methyl methane sulfonate, but to a severe hypersensitivity to hydroxyurea (an inhibitor of ribonucleotide reductase that induces replication fork stalling through depletion of dNTP pools), which suggests that FHA1 has a specialized function related to replicational stress (19). However, because mutating FHA1 disrupts the interactions with all its partners, the interactions involved in resistance to replicational stress remain undetermined.

In this article, we set up the STRIP strategy designed to identify the ligands bound by phosphobinding modules and we first sought to investigate FHA1 ligands, and more precisely the FHA1 ligands involved in replicational stress. We performed two-hybrid screens using Rad53 FHA1 domain as a bait to identify new partners of FHA1 or to qualify previously described interactants of Rad53 as FHA1 ligands and we isolated two essential proteins involved in DNA replication, Cdc7 and Cdc45. Using the STRIP strategy, we predicted the FHA1-bound phosphothreonines and we confirmed experimentally these predictions in vivo and in vitro. Mutating the FHA1-bound threonines of Cdc7 and Cdc45 led to no obvious phenotype. However, the STRIP strategy was also able to identify in Ptc2, a negative regulator of Rad53, the threonine T376 that had previously been characterized experimentally as an FHA1 ligand and whose mutation leads to defects in Rad53 inactivation. Finally, we applied the STRIP analysis to all Rad53 ligands.

**EXPERIMENTAL AND COMPUTATIONAL PROCEDURES**

**Plasmids**—The sequence encoding Rad53 residues 1 to 164 [Rad53(1-164)] was amplified by PCR and cloned between the EcoRI and the BamHI sites of pGBT9 (Clontech), so as to create pGBT9/FHA1. The pETM-30/FHA1(1–164) plasmid containing the sequence encoding Rad53 residues 1 to 164, which was used for the production of the FHA1 domain and of the glutathione S transferase (GST)-FHA1 fusion is described in (26). The CDC7 and the CDC45 genes (i.e. sequences comprising the entire coding sequences plus 500 bp upstream of the start codons and 500 bp downstream of the stop codons) were amplified by PCR and cloned between the XbaI and the EcoRI sites of pRS316-LYS2, a LYS2-marked derivative of the pRS316 plasmid (27), and between the BamHI and NotI sites of pRS314 (27), respectively, to give the plasmids pRS316-LYS2/CDC7 and pRS314/CDC45. Point mutations in the sequences encoding Rad53 FHA1, Cdc7 and Cdc45 were realized using the QuickChange site-directed mutagenesis system (Stratagene, La Jolla, CA). All constructs were verified by sequencing. The wild-type and mutated CDC7 and CDC45 genes harbored by the pRS316-LYS2 and pRS314 plasmids were subsequently TAP-tagged using standard homologous recombination techniques. The plasmid pJA98 harboring a sequence encoding a HA-tagged version of Rad53 under the GAL promoter (28) was modified by replacing the URA3 selection marker by ADE2 using standard homologous recombination techniques. The resulting plasmid was named pJA98Ade. Further information is available upon request.

**Two-Hybrid Screening**—The yeast strains Y187 and Y190 were used for two-hybrid screening using the mating strategy as described in (29). We performed two-hybrid screenings using Rad53 FHA1 domain as a bait encoded by the pGBT9/ FHA1 plasmid and the FRYL library of yeast genomic fragments cloned into the pACTII vector, a kind gift of Michèle Fromont-Racine and Pierre Legrain described in (29). Two screenings were performed, either in the absence of genotoxic stress or in the presence of camptothecin (5 μg/ml). About 40 × 10^6 interactions were tested in each screening. Following selection for growth on plates lacking histidine complemented with 100 mM 3-amino-triazole and for X-Gal 5-bromo-4-chloro-3-indolyl-β-D-galactopyranoside staining, the pACTII-derived plasmids of the FRYL library were recov-
erated and reintroduced into the testing strain in order to validate the interaction in the absence of genotoxic stress. The CDC7 fragment was isolated in the screen performed in the presence of camptothecin but the FHA1/Cdc7 interaction was also observed in the absence of camptothecin.

**Affinity Measures**—Phosphorylated and unphosphorylated peptides from Ptc2 (DDlpTDADTDAE), Cdc7 (DGEspTEDDDVVS), and Cdc45 (DEApTDADEVTD) spanning the sequence of the identified FHA1 binding sites were obtained by chemical synthesis. The FHA1 domain was produced using the pETM-30/FHA1(1–164) plasmid and purified as previously described in (26). A VP-ITC Microcal was used to measure the affinities using sample concentrations (FHA1 domain (20 μM), peptides (200 μM)) at 30 °C, Tris 50 mm, pH 8.

**STrategy for Interacting Site Prediction (STRIP)**—Phosphorylation likelihood was predicted as significant if one of the two scores obtained with the NetPhos2.0 (30) and DisPhos1.3 (31) dedicated programs raised above the 0.5 threshold. The binding motif recognized with the highest affinity by the FHA1 domain of Rad53 was identified as the pTxD motif by two independent peptide library screening studies (8, 9). The conservation was analyzed from a multiple sequence alignment built with ClustalW (32) of the orthologous sequences from species closely related to S. cerevisiae (33, 34). For every putative phosphorylated residues (threonine for the FHA) and for each residue in the position specifically recognized with respect to the phosphoresidue (+3 in the case of the Rad53 FHA1), the percentage of sequences for which the residue was strictly conserved was determined. The output of five servers predicting the putative kinases likely phosphorylating the residues, namely NetPhosK (35), PredPhospho (36), PPSP (37), ScanSite (38), and KinasePhos (39), were combined to propose a consensus of the most probable kinase on the STRIP web server.

**In Vitro GST Pull-Down Assays**—The experiments were carried out using either strains in which the endogenous CDC7 or CDC45 genes had been TAP-tagged at their chromosomal loci or strains whose chromosomal copy of CDC7 or CDC45 had been deleted and that were complemented with TAP-tagged, either wild-type or mutated, alleles of CDC7 or CDC45 harbored by a centromeric plasmid. The strains with a TAP-tagged copy of CDC7 or CDC45 at the chromosomal locus (MCM974 and MCM973, respectively) were obtained from the EUROSCARF collection of TAP fusion strains (http://web.uni-frankfurt.de/fb15/mikro/euroscarf/cellzome.html) and are derived from the parental strain SC0000 (MATa ade2 arg4 leu2–3,112 trp1–289 ura3–52). The strains containing the plasmid-borne, TAP-tagged, mutant or wild-type, CDC7 or CDC45 alleles were constructed in the MCM185 background (MAT a ura3–52 lys2–801amber ade2–1001chre trp1–Δ63 his3–Δ200 leu2–Δ1 bar1Δ::LEU2), a derivative of YPH499 (27). The centromeric plasmids harboring the TAP-tagged CDC7 or CDC45 genes were first introduced into MCM185 and the CDC7 and CDC45 chromosomal alleles were subsequently disrupted by PCR targeting using the kanMX cassette (40), giving rise to the strains MCM969 (as MCM185 cdc7Δ::kanMX + prs316-LYS2/CDC7-TAP), MCM971 (as MCM185 cdc7Δ::kanMX + prs316-LYS2/cdc7T484A-TAP), MCM965 (as MCM185 cdc45Δ::kanMX + prs314/CDC45-TAP), and MCM967 (as MCM185 cdc45Δ::kanMX + prs314/cdc45T189A-TAP).

The GST and GST-FHA1 proteins were purified as previously described in (26), except that they were incubated overnight with GSH-coated agarose beads at 4 °C and that the beads were ultimately washed in the TBS-N buffer described in (18). The assay was performed as described in (18) with the incubation of native extracts from yeast cells (0.3 mg of protein) with 150 μg of GST or GST-FHA1, and bound proteins were analyzed by Western blotting as above.

**Co-immunoprecipitation Assays**—The pJA98Ade plasmid harboring RAD53 under the control of a GAL promoter was introduced into the strains MCM969, MCM971, MCM965, and MCM967 containing the CDC7-TAP, cdc7T484A-TAP,
CDC45-TAP, and cdc45T189A-TAP constructs, respectively, and into the control strain MCM185 devoid of any TAP-tagged protein. The MCM185 strain was supplemented with the empty vectors pRS314 (TRP1) and pRS316 (URA3) in order to have the same auxotrophies as the strains containing the TAP-tagged proteins Cdc7 and Cdc45.

Cells were grown overnight in a minimal medium containing 2% (w/v) raffinose. Expression of Rad53 from the pJA98Ade plasmid was induced by addition of 2% galactose at time zero. After 2 h, cells were harvested and native extracts were prepared as in (18) with the buffer (Tris-HCl, 20 mM pH 7.5; Nonidet P-40, 0.2%; Aprotinine, 6 μg/ml; Pefabloc (Roche), 1/1000; NaCl, 225 mM). Immunoprecipitations directed against the TAP tag were performed by incubating for 2 h at 4 °C 0.3 mg of protein and 75 μl of magnetic beads coated with mouse IgG Dynabeads (Invitrogen) under constant tilt rotation. Beads were washed three times with the above described buffer according to the manufacturer’s instructions. SDS-PAGE samples were analyzed on 10% polyacrylamide gels and transferred onto nitrocellulose membrane. Bound proteins were revealed by Western blotting with a rabbit polyclonal antibody raised against Rad53 [Rad53(1–164)] fused to the DNA binding domain of Gal4 (Gal4BD) was used to screen a library of random genomic fragments fused to Gal4 activation domain sequence (Gal4AD). Following validation, 11 proteins were found to reproducibly interact with FHA1 in the two-hybrid system (Table I), in the absence as in the presence of camptothecin. Out of the 11 proteins, only one, Ptc2, had already been described as interacting with Rad53 FHA1 (18, 41) and one, Cdc7, had been shown to be an in vitro phosphorylation substrate of Rad53 (42). The small overlap in the results of different screenings for protein interactants is a common observation, which in this case can be partly attributed to the fact that we used the two-hybrid technique, which mostly detects direct interactions, in contrast with affinity purification, which was used in the study of Smolka and collaborators on FHA1 partners (18).

Analysis of Cdc7 and Cdc45 Interaction with Rad53 FHA1 Domain—Two two-hybrid hits, Cdc7 and Cdc45, appeared as plausible ligands for mediating FHA1 part in resistance to DNA replication stresses and were further analyzed. Cdc7 is the catalytic subunit of a kinase required for origin firing and replication fork progression (for review, see (43)). Cdc45 is a DNA replication initiation factor recruited to pre-replicative

---

**RESULTS**

Two-Hybrid Screening of Rad53 FHA1 Binding Proteins—We reasoned that some targets of FHA1 could bind it preferentially or exclusively in the presence of DNA damage and we performed two two-hybrid screenings using FHA1 as a bait, either in the absence of genotoxic stress or in the presence of camptothecin, an inhibitor of topoisomerase I. A religation reaction that induces the formation of double-strand breaks during DNA replication, Plasmid pGBT9/FHA1, encoding FHA1 (a fragment of Rad53 encompassing amino acids 1 to 164 [Rad53(1–164)]) fused to the DNA binding domain of Gal4 (Gal4BD) was used to screen a library of random genomic fragments fused to Gal4 activation domain sequence (Gal4AD). Following validation, 11 proteins were found to reproducibly interact with FHA1 in the two-hybrid system (Table I), in the absence as in the presence of camptothecin. Out of the 11 proteins, only one, Ptc2, had already been described as interacting with Rad53 FHA1 (18, 41) and one, Cdc7, had been shown to be an in vitro phosphorylation substrate of Rad53 (42). The small overlap in the results of different screenings for protein interactants is a common observation, which in this case can be partly attributed to the fact that we used the two-hybrid technique, which mostly detects direct interactions, in contrast with affinity purification, which was used in the study of Smolka and collaborators on FHA1 partners (18).

Analysis of Cdc7 and Cdc45 Interaction with Rad53 FHA1 Domain—Two two-hybrid hits, Cdc7 and Cdc45, appeared as plausible ligands for mediating FHA1 part in resistance to replication stress and were further analyzed. Cdc7 is the catalytic subunit of a kinase required for origin firing and replication fork progression (for review, see (43)). Cdc45 is a DNA replication initiation factor recruited to pre-replicative...
Prediction of Interaction Sites for Phospho-binding Modules

Designing a Strategy for Predicting the Precise Interacting Sites of the FHA1 Domain of Rad53—The binding partners identified from two-hybrid screens or affinity-based experiments generally bear multiple residues likely to be recognized by a given phosho-recognition module. We explored whether a restricted set of residues could be isolated by screening the sequence of a binding partner for three conditions: (i) the probability of a residue to be phosphorylated, (ii) the respect of the motif recognized with the highest affinity by the FHA1 domain of Rad53 has been characterized experimentally as pTxxD. (iii) Short linear binding motifs constitute interfaces that were shown to evolve faster than globular domain-domain complexes (47). Consequently, the conservation analysis of the phosphoresidue and of its neighboring positions was restricted to six fully sequenced genomes closely related to S. cerevisiae, namely S. mikatae, S. paradoxus, S. bayanus, S. kluveri, S. kudriavzevii, and S. castelli (33, 34). For every putative phosphorylated residue and for each residue defined in the motif bound with the highest affinity (position +3 for the FHA1), the percentage of sequences for which the residue was strictly conserved was determined. If no putative phosphoresidue was detected as strictly conserved, the condition was relaxed allowing for residues conserved in more than half the set of sequences. The rationale behind this tolerance is the possible existence of alignment flaws in long disordered regions likely to be phosphorylated and to frequent truncations in the sequences of the six Saccharomyces genomes (see Discussion).

Analysis of the Threonine Targeted by FHA1 in Cdc7—It has to be noted that both Cdc7 and Cdc45 are essential proteins, which precludes the analysis of deletant strains and makes compulsory the design of point mutations. We applied to the analysis of Cdc7 and Cdc45 the STRIP strategy described above.

The fragment of Cdc7 identified from the two-hybrid experiment spans the segment 294–493 and bears 9 out of the 24 threonines present in Cdc7, with four TxxD motifs in the fragment (Fig. 2A). Only one threonine, T484, fulfilled all three criteria with high phosphorylation probability (88% and 55% according to the NetPhos and DisPhos predictors, respectively), respect of the TxxD motif, and strict conservation of the Thr and Asp residues among closely related species. In the Cdc7(294–493) fragment, another threonine, T298, re-
spects the TxxD motif and is strictly conserved, but has a low phosphorylation likelihood (about 17%). We tested our in silico prediction by mutating T298 and T484 into alanine. As shown in Fig. 3A, both the wild-type Cdc7(294–493) fragment and the Cdc7(294–493)T298A mutant interacted in the two-hybrid assay with the wild-type FHA1 domain but not with a mutant FHA1 affected in its phosphopeptide binding function (FHA1R70A). In contrast, mutating T484 into alanine abolished Cdc7(294–493) interaction with FHA1. We verified that the wild-type and the mutant Gal4AD-Cdc7(294–493) fusions were expressed to the same levels (data not shown). These data were confirmed by the fact that in contrast to TAP-tagged Cdc7, the TAP-tagged Cdc7T484A protein showed the same background affinity for GST and for the GST-FHA1.
fusion in the GST pull-down assay (Fig. 3C). Interactions between full-length Rad53 and Cdc7 could also be observed by co-immunoprecipitation and immunoblot analysis (Fig. 3E). A slight decrease in Rad53 binding to the Cdc7 mutant compared with the wild-type was visible, consistent with the results obtained with the isolated FHA1 domain. 

Our results thus indicate that Cdc7 threonine T484 should be the target of FHA1 and validate our prediction concerning the identity of FHA1 ligand. Interaction between the full-length Rad53 and Cdc7 proteins was also found to partially depend on T484 with likely contributions of alternative interaction sites. Interestingly, even considering the full-length Cdc7 rather than the Cdc7(294–493) fragment, we would have reached a similar conclusion because T484 was the highest scoring residue of all Cdc7 threonines.

Analysis of the Threonine Targeted by FHA1 in Cdc45—We identified the Cdc45(154–270) fragment as one of FHA1 interacting substrates in our screen. Cdc45 bears 33 threonines, six of which are located between amino acids 154 and 270 (Fig. 2B). None of the six threonines fulfilled the three stringent criteria altogether and stringency on the conservation was relaxed in a second step as stated in the description of the STRIP methodology. Then, only one threonine out of the six, T189, was found to fulfil the binding site criteria with a moderate conservation in three out of the five available sequences. Analysis of the multiple sequence alignment around T189 showed that it is located in a poly-acid stretch, likely disordered and difficult to align. A rapid inspection of the sequences lacking the TxxD conservation revealed that this motif could easily be identified in the neighborhood and realigned without disrupting the alignment consistency (Supplemental data Fig. 1). To validate our prediction three point mutants of Cdc45 were designed. Selected threonines corresponded either to T189 that fulfilled all three criteria or to T245 and T195 that fulfilled only two of them. As shown in Fig. 3B, the wild-type Cdc45(154–270) fragment and the Cdc45(154–270)T245A and Cdc45(154–270)T195A mutants interacted similarly in the two-hybrid assay with the wild-type FHA1 domain (and not with the mutant FHA1R70A domain). Conversely, the interaction between FHA1 and Cdc45(154–270)T189A was weaker and we verified that this was not because of a defective expression of the Gal4AD-Cdc45(154–270) fusion protein.

![Graph summarizing the proteins found to physically interact with Rad53 in affinity-based (blue links) and two-hybrid (red links) experiments as collected in the Biogrid database.](image-url)
270)T189A fusion (data not shown). These results were also confirmed by the fact that the binding of the TAP-tagged Cdc45 protein to Rad53 FHA1 in the GST pull-down assay was strongly reduced by the T189A mutation (Fig. 3D). This observation was corroborated by a co-immunoprecipitation assay (Fig. 3E), which showed that the strength of the interaction between full-length Rad53 and TAP-tagged Cdc45 severely drops upon introduction of the T189A mutation. All in all, our results indicate that Cdc45 threonine T189 represents a ligand of FHA1 and validate our in silico predictions.

We would again have reached a similar conclusion considering the full-length Cdc45 rather than the Cdc45(154–270) fragment because T189 was one of the two highest scoring residues of all Cdc45 threonines. For the other residue, T147, close inspection of the alignment showed that the nonconservation of the TxxD motif in S. kluyveri could not be explained by alignment flaws or sequence truncations as for T189 (Supplemental data Fig. 1).

Rad53 FHA1 Binds In Vitro to Phosphopeptides Encircling Cdc7 T484 and Cdc45 T189—In order to confirm the interactions between Rad53 FHA1 domain and Cdc7 and Cdc45, the direct binding of FHA1 to the phosphothreonine peptides $^{484}$DGESpTDEDDVVS [pT(Cdc7)] and $^{185}$DEApTDADEVTD [pT(Cdc45)] derived from the Cdc7 and Cdc45 sequences, respectively, was probed using isothermal titration calorimetry. The dissociation constant, $K_D$, between Rad53 FHA1 and the pT(Cdc7) and the pT(Cdc45) reached 1.7 $\mu$M and 400 nM, respectively (Table II and Supplemental data Fig. 2). pT(Cdc45) is the peptide with the highest affinity described so far for an FHA1 substrate (the highest affinity described so far for an FHA1 substrate is 1.69 kcal/mol for the pT(Cdc7) and 0.97 kcal/mol for the pT(Cdc45)). These data clearly indicate that Rad53 FHA1 binds to phosphopeptides of Cdc7 and Cdc45 in vivo and support our hypothesis that similar, direct interactions occur in vivo between FHA1 and Cdc7 and Cdc45.

Mutating Cdc7 T484 and Cdc45 T189 Induces No Obvious Replication Phenotype—Having identified Cdc7 T484 and Cdc45 T189 as probable ligands of FHA1, we sought to assess the part played by FHA1/Cdc7 and FHA1/Cdc45 interactions by abrogating specifically these interactions via the mutation of Cdc7 T484 and Cdc45 T189 into alanine. We constructed yeast strains deleted for either CDC7 or CDC45 at their chromosomal loci and complemented with plasmids harboring either a wild-type or a mutated copy of the corresponding gene (cdc7T484A and cdc45T189A, respectively). The strains were tested for their growth on solid medium in the presence or in the absence of various genotoxic stresses including UV-irradiation, camptothecin, hydroxyurea, and 4-nitroquinoline 1-oxide (a reagent that produces bulky base damage of the type that is mainly repaired by the nucleotide excision repair system). No reproducible difference of viability or growth rate could be observed between the mutated cdc7T484A and cdc45T189A cells and the controls (data not shown). The double mutant cdc7T484A cdc45T189A also behaved as wild-type cells (data not shown). These results can be explained by the redundancy of interactions linking two proteins or even two complexes via different protein-protein interactions (48). Regarding Cdc45, the interaction between Rad53 FHA1 and the Cdc45T189A could be indirectly maintained in the prereplication complex through other Rad53 partners such as Mrc1 (18) and Cdc46 (Mcm5) (49). In the case of Cdc7, an interaction between FHA1 and Cdc7T484A could be indirectly maintained via other proteins such as Dbf4, the regulatory subunit of the Cdc7/Dbf4 kinase complex, also described as a Rad53 FHA1 binding partner (24).

Application of STRIP Strategy to a Complex and Large interactome—Cdc7 and Cdc45 represent two examples for which our strategy correctly determined the phosphothreonines targeted by FHA1 (as monitored by the complete or partial loss of interaction in several in vivo and in vitro assays). We had previously demonstrated experimentally that FHA1 binds the threonine T376 of the PP2C phosphatase Ptc2, which plays a part in Rad53 inactivation following double-strand breaks (26). We tested whether we could have predicted this site with the STRIP strategy and found that indeed T376 is the only Ptc2 threonine fulfilling the three criteria of our test. In this case, we had demonstrated that the T376A mutation not only abolishes the interaction between Ptc2 and Rad53 FHA1 but also induces a clear phenotype in terms of adaptation defects (26). Thrreonines bound by FHA1 are expected in many cases to be phosphorylated by CK2 because CK2 substrate consensus site (50) resembles the optimal binding motif of FHA1. In contrast to the interaction between Rad53 FHA1 and Ptc2 (26), the interactions between Rad53 FHA1 and Cdc7 and Cdc45 observed in the two-hybrid assay were not affected by the deletion of the genes encoding the regulatory subunits of CK2 (data not shown).
To further challenge the interest of the STRIP strategy in facilitating the dissection of large interactomes, we analyzed the whole set of physical interactions involving Rad53, derived either from the present work or from the literature. Several experimental works were devoted to unravel Rad53 binding partners using either affinity-based or two-hybrid-based methods (18, 25, 41, 51). Several large scale yeast interactome analyses also provided a wealth of data connected to Rad53 (49, 52–54). The graph in Fig. 4 reports the 63 Rad53 binding partners extracted from this work and the Biogrid database (version of July 2008) (55) using the Osprey visualization tool (56). Blue and red linkages report for the affinity-based and the two-hybrid results, respectively. The 11 proteins identified from our FHA1 two-hybrid screen are labeled by an obelisk (‡) in Fig. 4 and in Table III. Among the affinity-based results, 30 binding proteins (labeled by an asterisk in Fig. 4 and in Table III) were identified in a proteomic survey that focused on the isolated FHA1 domain partners (18). These interactions were lost upon point mutation of the phosphobinding site in the FHA1 domain, confirming that a phosphothreonine is mediating the interaction. For the remaining proteins, it is not known whether the FHA1, the FHA2, or another region of Rad53 is involved in the interaction. In the following, we limited our survey to the existence of putative threonines that may be recognized by FHA1 and explored how the STRIP strategy may restrict the numbers of putative binding sites.

All in all, there are 2922 threonines in the 63 binding proteins. Applying our protocol led to a unique candidate threonine for 25 out of 63 partners (Table III). For 11 additional cases, a limited set of two to three threonines could be proposed. For the remaining 27 proteins, no threonines fulfilled the set of constraints applied on the sequence of the binding partners. These partners could bind the FHA2 or another region of Rad53, or could bind Rad53 indirectly via the intermediate of other Rad53 bridging partners. Indirect interactions concern complexes bearing many cross interactions such as the septin complex (containing Cdc3/10/11/12, com...
Shs1, and Bud4), the G1/S transition complex (made of Swi4, Swi6, Mbp1, and Whi5), or the histone complex (Hht1, Hhf1, Hta2, and Hmo1), for which only 5 out of 14 partners contain a candidate threonine. We asked whether the STRIP strategy could help identify in these stable complexes the most likely direct partners.

For the septin complex (Cdc3/10/11/12, Shs1, and Bud4 network), which was found to interact with the isolated FHA1 domain (18), three proteins (Shs1, Bud4, and Cdc11, with dashed underline in Fig. 4) were detected as harboring five putative FHA1 binding threonines using the relaxed conservation criterion (none were found with the stringent one (see Methods)). As for Cdc45, a rapid inspection of the alignment around these five threonines (Supplemental data Fig. 3) revealed that the three threonines in Bud4 diverged much more extensively than the two threonines in Cdc11 and Shs1, leading to the proposition that FHA1 may rather interact with Cdc11 T62 and Shs1 T539. Shs1 T539 was experimentally found phosphorylated in three independent proteomic studies (57–59). Moreover, Shs1 is phosphorylated by Rad53 in vitro (18) and appears as the only member of the septin complex to undergo a Rad53-dependent phosphorylation following treatment with methyl methane sulfonate (59). However, the deletion of \( \text{SHS1} \) was not found to abrogate Cdc11 interaction with Rad53 FHA1 (18) leaving the possibility that both Cdc11 and Shs1 sites contribute to Rad53 binding in a redundant manner.

For the G1/S transition complex (the Swi4/Swi6/Mbp1/Whi5 network) only two threonines, T64 in Swi4 and T111 in Swi6, were detected as potential binding sites, again with the relaxed conservation criterion. We can notice that FHA1 optimal binding site is more conserved around Swi6 T111 than around Swi4 T64 (Supplemental data Fig. 4). We monitored the binding of a Mbp1-TAP containing complex to immobilized GST-FHA1 and found that Swi6 is required for FHA1 binding to Mbp1-TAP whereas Swi4 is not (Supplemental data Fig. 5). Furthermore, Swi6 was shown to be directly phosphorylated at residue S547 by Rad53, impacting on the delay of the G1/S transition following DNA damage (60). The STRIP analysis together with these experiments are thus compatible with a direct interaction between Swi6 and Rad53 mediated by Swi6 phospho-threonine T111.

### TABLE III

| Protein | Number of Threonines | Number of TxxD motifs | Threonines strict conditions | Threonines permissive conditions |
|---------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------------|
| End3‡   | 11                    | 0                     | T453‡                       |                                  |
| Rog3‡   | 33                    | 2                     | T269‡                       | T272‡                           |
| Snu71‡  | 29                    | 3                     | T296‡                       | T272‡                           |
| Gea1‡   | 76                    | 4                     | T308‡                       | T458                            |
| Yap7‡   | 10                    | 0                     | T434‡                       | T455                            |
| Vps30‡  | 36                    | 6                     | T434; T130; T257            |                                 |
| Ppz1‡   | 38                    | 2                     | T171‡                       |                                 |
| Yhr202w‡| 38                    | 1                     | T504‡                       |                                 |
| Cdc7‡   | 24                    | 5                     | T484                        |                                 |
| Dbf4‡   | 68                    | 5                     | T247; T253                  |                                 |
| Mrc1*   | 69                    | 7                     | T242; T272; T977            |                                 |
| Cdc45‡  | 33                    | 5                     | T147; T189                  |                                 |
| Cdc46‡  | 49                    | 1                     | T270                        |                                 |
| Rad9*   | 89                    | 5                     | T376*                       |                                 |
| Ptc2‡‡  | 32                    | 4                     | T436                        |                                 |
| Dun1‡   | 26                    | 0                     | T270                        |                                 |
| Mei1‡   | 131                   | 10                    | T270                        |                                 |
| Hhf1‡   | 6                     | 1                     | T453                        |                                 |
| Hht1‡   | 9                     | 0                     | T270                        |                                 |
| Hta2‡   | 5                     | 0                     | T270                        |                                 |
| Hmo1‡   | 13                    | 0                     | T270                        |                                 |
| Asf1‡   | 9                     | 1                     | T270                        |                                 |
| Swi6‡   | 41                    | 2                     | T111                        |                                 |
| Mbp1*   | 63                    | 4                     | T64                         |                                 |
| Swi4*   | 70                    | 3                     | T64                         |                                 |
| Whi5*   | 39                    | 0                     | T64                         |                                 |
| Kap95‡  | 44                    | 1                     | T64                         |                                 |
| Srp1/Kap60| 32                  | 2                     | T273                        |                                 |
| Gln3‡   | 49                    | 2                     | T273                        |                                 |
| Ith1*   | 55                    | 7                     | T346                        |                                 |
| Tbf1‡   | 42                    | 1                     | T522                        |                                 |
| Cdc13‡  | 54                    | 1                     | T534                        |                                 |
| Cat6*   | 48                    | 2                     | T534                        |                                 |
| Eci1*   | 98                    | 2                     | T534                        |                                 |
| Sgs1‡   | 103                   | 3                     | T243                        |                                 |
| Mus51‡  | 81                    | 2                     | T243                        |                                 |
| Rad55‡  | 22                    | 1                     | T243                        |                                 |
| Cpr1*‡  | 38                    | 2                     | T243                        |                                 |
| Snc1*‡  | 36                    | 3                     | T243                        |                                 |
| Ecm16*‡ | 73                    | 5                     | T243; T1169                 |                                 |
| Net1*   | 82                    | 2                     | T243; T1169                 |                                 |
| Yta7*   | 70                    | 7                     | T243; T946; T1077           |                                 |
| Psy2‡   | 59                    | 7                     | T243; T1169                 | T524                            |
| Smc3‡   | 66                    | 5                     | T18                         |                                 |
| Cdc3*   | 18                    | 1                     | T18                         |                                 |
| Cdc12*  | 27                    | 0                     | T18                         |                                 |
| Shs1*   | 36                    | 2                     | T539                        |                                 |
| Cdc11*  | 30                    | 1                     | T62                         |                                 |
| Cdc10*  | 24                    | 1                     | T62                         |                                 |
| Bud4*‡  | 88                    | 8                     | T178; T237; T612            |                                 |

Shs1, and Bud4), the G1/S transition complex (made of Swi4, Swi6, Mbp1, and Whi5), or the histone complex (Hht1, Hhf1, Hta2, and Hmo1), for which only 5 out of 14 partners contain a candidate threonine. We asked whether the STRIP strategy could help identify in these stable complexes the most likely direct partners.

For the septin complex (Cdc3/10/11/12, Shs1, and Bud4 network), which was found to interact with the isolated FHA1 domain (18), three proteins (Shs1, Bud4, and Cdc11, with dashed underline in Fig. 4) were detected as harboring five putative FHA1 binding threonines using the relaxed conservation criterion (none were found with the stringent one (see Methods)). As for Cdc45, a rapid inspection of the alignment around these five threonines (Supplemental data Fig. 3) revealed that the three threonines in Bud4 diverged much more extensively than the two threonines in Cdc11 and Shs1, leading to the proposition that FHA1 may rather interact with Cdc11 T62 and Shs1 T539. Shs1 T539 was experimentally found phosphorylated in three independent proteomic studies (57–59). Moreover, Shs1 is phosphorylated by Rad53 in vitro (18) and appears as the only member of the septin complex to undergo a Rad53-dependent phosphorylation following treatment with methyl methane sulfonate (59). However, the deletion of \( \text{SHS1} \) was not found to abrogate Cdc11 interaction with Rad53 FHA1 (18) leaving the possibility that both Cdc11 and Shs1 sites contribute to Rad53 binding in a redundant manner.

For the G1/S transition complex (the Swi4/Swi6/Mbp1/Whi5 network) only two threonines, T64 in Swi4 and T111 in Swi6, were detected as potential binding sites, again with the relaxed conservation criterion. We can notice that FHA1 optimal binding site is more conserved around Swi6 T111 than around Swi4 T64 (Supplemental data Fig. 4). We monitored the binding of a Mbp1-TAP containing complex to immobilized GST-FHA1 and found that Swi6 is required for FHA1 binding to Mbp1-TAP whereas Swi4 is not (Supplemental data Fig. 5). Furthermore, Swi6 was shown to be directly phosphorylated at residue S547 by Rad53, impacting on the delay of the G1/S transition following DNA damage (60). The STRIP analysis together with these experiments are thus compatible with a direct interaction between Swi6 and Rad53 mediated by Swi6 phospho-threonine T111.
DISCUSSION

With the development of large scale phospho-proteomic experiments, several methods have been developed to analyze and predict the phosphorylation patterns in protein substrates. The widespread role of linear interaction motifs in protein-protein interactions and methodological breakthroughs in mass spectrometry methodology have prompted the development of several databases with special interest for phosphorylated sites (Phospho.ELM (6), PhosphoSite (62), Phosida (63), and PhosphoPep (64)). Other databases focus on the domains specialized in linear motif recognition (DINO (5) and ADAN (65)) and also combine both motif and binding domain information as in NetPhorest (66). The development of predictive algorithms to identify these sites from protein sequences has taken advantage of this mass of data. Several machine learning algorithms either dedicated to specific classes of kinases (NetPhosK (35), PredPhospho (36), PPSP (37), ScanSite (38), KinasePhos (39), and NetPhorest (66)) or with a broader scope (NetPhos2.0 (30), DisPhos1.3 (31)) have been proposed. Combining several of these approaches could lead to improved prediction rate (67). Many cellular factors such as localization, scaffolds, or expression also play important roles in determining the fate of kinase substrates. To cope with such level of complexity at the cell network level, NetworKIN (68) integrates these heterogeneous sets of data to help modeling phosphorylation networks and improve prediction specificity. Functionally important interaction sites can be identified through conservation analyses and small conserved motifs could be assigned as in the eMOTIF database (69) or through the PhosphoBlast program (70). However, high evolutionary rates for the regions containing these linear motifs may hamper their recognition across remotely related species (47, 71).

The STRIP approach that we devised was inspired by these works to provide a user-friendly interface helping the design of interaction mutants. We found that using conservation data from closely related species combined with the knowledge from the bait (phospho-binding modules with a specific consensus binding motif) and the preys (phosphorylation likelihood and conservation of the consensus motif) could greatly help to decipher the phosphorylated sites. The STRIP server in its user-friendly form can help to provide important clues to guide the dissection of interaction networks mediated by phosphobinding protein modules. Fig. 2 and the number of TxxD motifs in Table III illustrate that prediction of the interaction sites within FHA1 binding partners solely on the basis of the search for the motif bound with the highest affinity would lead to many more threonine candidates. In the case of the FHA1 domain, the binding specificity is known from targeted experiments but recent computational approaches, such as o-MIST, suggest that these specificities may shortly be inferred from prediction (72).

We validated the STRIP strategy by the identification of the threonines bound by Rad53 FHA1 domain. The analysis of FHA1 ligands was also motivated by the search of the FHA1 interactions involved in resistance to replicational stress. In that respect, the two replication proteins Cdc7 and Cdc45 appeared as possible candidates for Rad53 targets. Using the two-hybrid strategy, we found that Rad53 FHA1 interacts constitutively with Cdc7 and Cdc45 peptides in the presence as in the absence of genotoxic stress. These results were confirmed with GST pull-down assays, which showed that the full-length Cdc7 and Cdc45 proteins can interact with Rad53 FHA1 in G1 and in S phase, with or without treatment by genotoxic agents. Co-IP experiments with full-length Rad53 confirmed that the complexes can readily be formed in vivo. The decrease in interaction strength observed with the point mutated Cdc7 and Cdc45 further indicates that these interactions can be regulated by the phosphosites we predicted. These data suggest that Rad53 could interact constitutively with Cdc7 and Cdc45 irrespective of the phase of the cell cycle and of the presence of DNA damage or stalled replication forks. The Cdc7/Dbf4 kinase is considered to trigger the replication origins but not to remain associated with the elongating forks. In contrast, Cdc45 is required for both the firing of replication origins and replication elongation. In case of replicative stress, the constitutive Rad53/Cdc7 and Rad53/Cdc45 complexes could be recruited to replication origins, or Rad53/Cdc45 could replace Cdc45 in stalled replication forks because Rad53 has not been detected as a component of the replication machinery during a normal S phase (73).

To estimate the phosphorylation likelihood, the STRIP strategy relies on a meta-prediction approach combining two different algorithms NetPhos and DisPhos. However, the precision of these approaches may still be questionable and somehow the conservation of the phosphosites strengthens or decreases the reliability of the phosphorylation prediction. However, a characteristic feature of the phosphorylated regions is that they are often located in disordered regions that may turn out to be tricky to align properly. Moreover, the simple linear organization of the binding motifs may allow them to shift along the sequence during evolution without compromising the binding. The functional importance of these linear motifs recently prompted the development of specific alignment algorithms and dedicated benchmarks (74, 75). Cdc45 test case clearly illustrates how alignment pitfalls may hinder proper binding site prediction even with as closely related species as those of the Saccharomycyes genus. Our large-scale analysis of Rad53 partners shows that inspection of the alignment in the vicinity of the phospho-residue may...
provide crucial hints to rescore the binding sites. The STRIP web server facilitates such analysis by allowing the user to analyze around each putative phospho-residue a fragment of the multiple sequence alignment with different sequence highlights (Fig. 5 and 6). To date, the STRIP server is dealing with *Saccharomyces* datasets and will further progress by integrating data for plants and mammals.

One major question raised by the example of the FHA1 domain is whether the motif identified through peptide library screening as bound with the highest affinity is really useful to predict FHA1 binding motifs in vivo. In two well-studied interactions, Rad53 FHA1 was found to recognize its partners Rad9 and Pin4/Mdt1 through threonines within TxxV or TxxI motifs, respectively (20, 23, 76). Our analysis restores the reliability of the consensus motif analysis showing that it could guide efficiently the predictions for the Ptc2, Cdc7 and Cdc45 examples.

The presence of the pTxxD consensus motif significantly contributes to reach affinities in the range 0.5–1 nM, whereas the affinities of the pT motifs studied in Rad9 and Mdt1 were an order of magnitude lower. A specificity of Rad9 and Mdt1 is to be hyperphosphorylated upon genotoxic stress by the phosphatidylinositol kinase-like kinases Tel1 and Mec1 on their SQ/TQ-rich clusters. In the case of Rad9, and probably Mdt1 also, these clusters are essential in mediating the interaction with Rad53 through its FHA domains. A possibility is that Rad53 association with these targets requires additional (direct or indirect) interactions separate from the phosphopeptide binding site or that polyvalent ligands such as the SQ/TQ-rich clusters have an increased affinity for FHA domains, which alleviates the stringency on the consensus motif (77, 78).

The molecular logic underlying phosphoproteome organization will surely benefit from the development of STRIP-like...
strategies. Dissection of the intricate network of interactions between the components of cell signaling systems and/or cell machineries is all the more difficult that the redundancy of their contacts makes the role of each interaction difficult to analyze (79). Systematic prediction of the contacting sites is expected to help overcome these issues. Furthermore, competitive or synergistic interactions between interacting modules may be further predicted from the identification of the precise binding sites. A growing list of proteins involved in key signaling processes also demonstrate that alternative post-translational modifications such as acetylations or methylations may synergize with the phosphorylation of a particular site to implement complex regulatory signals (80, 81). These proteins are under specific focus but such level of complexity may be widespread and the development of predictive strategies to isolate a limited number of putative binding sites between proteins should have a major impact on the global understanding of cell components cross-talks.
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