Utilization of Health Care Services and Common Disease Diagnoses among University Students: An Analysis of 35,249 Students from Thailand

Suphawita Pliannuom 1, Kanokporn Pinyopornpanish 1,*, Chaisiri Angkurawaranon 1,*, Kanokwan Pinyopornpanish 2, Anawat Wisetborisut 1, Surinporn Likhitsathian 3 and Wichuda Jiraporncharoen 1

1 Department of Family Medicine, Faculty of Medicine, Chiang Mai University, Chiang Mai 50200, Thailand; suphawita.pliannuom@gmail.com (S.P.); chaisiri.a@cmu.ac.th (C.A.); dr.anawat@hotmail.com (A.W.); wichudaj131@gmail.com (W.J.)
2 Department of Internal Medicine, Faculty of Medicine, Chiang Mai University, Chiang Mai 50200, Thailand; kpinyopornpanish@gmail.com
3 Department of Psychiatry, Faculty of Medicine, Chiang Mai University, Chiang Mai 50200, Thailand; surinporn.l@cmu.ac.th
* Correspondence: kpinyopo@gmail.com; Tel.: +66-53935462

Abstract: The health care services for university students are important to improve student health and well-being. Analyzing the database of health conditions in the health service system will identify common health problems, which could be useful in further appropriate and specific health service planning. This study aims to investigate the utilization of health care services and common disease diagnoses among university students enrolled at Chiang Mai University during the academic year of 2018. A retrospective study was carried out using health data from the electronic health records (EHR) database of the university hospital. Ethical procedures were followed. Out of the overall 35,249 students in the academic year 2018, 17,284 students (49.03%) had visited an outpatient department (65,150 outpatient department visits), and 407 students (1.15%) had been admitted to the hospital (458 inpatient department admissions). The proportions of utilization between each field of education and training were similar across both groups. The top five categories of diagnosis, for both outpatient department visits and inpatient department admissions, differed between gender. Some of the most common diseases included trauma and injury conditions, respiratory diseases, and mental health. The conclusion of the study is that integration of a health promotion program with preventive methods, especially regarding traffic injury, transmitted diseases, mental health support, and safe environments are essential for university students. A general overview of utilization and common diseases among university students, which is still lacking in the literature, could be useful as a platform to enhance health care services for common diseases.
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1. Introduction

With the increasing trend of enrollment in tertiary education worldwide [1], health care services for university students are important. Good services provide a supportive environment, which directly improves student health and well-being, and may also indirectly improve academic success [2]. Previous studies have shown that disease or illness in university students affected their learning [2–5], quality of life [6], and relationships [6] both during academic years and after graduation [6–9]. They may also have long-term effects on students’ health and future success [10]. For example, severe obesity during adolescence was associated with an increased risk of severe comorbidity in adults [11]. Serious physical illness in adolescence can result in decreased academic achievement and employment in adulthood [12].
The university students have been categorized into late adolescence and young adulthood, taking their individual lifecycles into account [13]. Their physical and mental health problems are different from other age groups. Common physical health problems in this age group include adolescent pregnancy, sexually transmitted disease, smoking, alcohol drinking, injury and disability due to accident, and physical violence [14,15]. Common mental health problems include depression, physical self-harm, and suicide [16,17]. However, the different social and environmental determinants of health in each country and setting may result in a varying prevalence of common health problems among university students [10].

Previous research into the utilization of health care services in university students focused mainly on the prevalence of specific diseases of interest and behaviors, including psychiatric diseases [3–5,9,18], substance use [19] and internet or smartphone use [20]. Previous data were also mainly derived from self-reported information. Therefore, some diseases were under-studied, and the overall data do not give a holistic picture of common diseases among university students. Accurate data pertinent to the epidemiology of health problems among large populations of university students, especially specifying common diagnosis categories, is lacking [6]. Analyzing the database of health conditions in the health service system will identify common health problems that could be useful for further appropriate and specific health service planning, for example, health promotion, disease control and prevention in identifiable high-risk groups, and early diagnosis, treatment, and rehabilitation in the case of common diseases. The present study aims to study the utilization of health care and epidemiology of health problems among university students attending Chiang Mai University (CMU), Thailand. Our research question is, what are the patterns of health care utilization and common disease diagnoses among university students?

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Study Design

This study was a retrospective study using data from the CMU hospital’s electronic health records (EHR) during the academic year 2018 (from 31 July 2018 until 12 July 2019) [21].

2.2. Setting

CMU is the largest institution of higher education in the northern region of Thailand. In the Academic Year 2018 there were six education levels, including: bachelor’s degree, non-degree program, graduate certificate, master’s degree, higher graduate diploma program, and doctoral degree. There were 21 faculties and 3 colleges across a diversity of fields. The faculties included, but were not limited to, Humanities, Education, Social Sciences, Science, Engineering, Medicine, Agriculture, Economics, Architecture, Mass Communication, Political Science and Public Administration, and Law [21]. Students who attend CMU must have graduated from the high school level.

All CMU students have health insurance. This insurance is the universal health care coverage (UC) program [22]. Upon enrollment at CMU, students register CMU Hospital (Maharaj Nakorn Chiang Mai Hospital) as their primary health care provider, meaning all primary health care services, including the health promotion program, outpatient care and inpatient care, are provided through CMU Hospital. Students who were using the government civil service scheme (CSS) or social security (SS) scheme are able to register for UC under CMU Hospital when the previous benefit expires (at the age of 18 for CSS and 3 months after termination of previous employment for SS). This UC insurance with CMU Hospital is activated completely within 1 month of registration and usable until graduation [22].

CMU hospital provides services for the students at two sites. The first site is Maharaj Nakorn Chiang Mai Hospital, the teaching hospital located about 2 km from the main university campus. The second site is Phai Lom Clinic, an out-patient health center located...
within the main campus [22]. The students can use health services in either of these places. Phai Lom Clinic opens on weekdays from 8 a.m. to 4 p.m. At other times, they can go to the main hospital.

2.3. Data Sources and Contents

All student data during the academic year 2018 were derived from the registration office of CMU. The university database consists of the number of students in the academic year 2018 and some individual information about the degree and faculty of enrollment. For the purposes of this study, the fields of education and training were categorized into eight groups, in accordance with the International Standard Classification of Education (ISCED) 2013 [23]. These included: (1) Education; (2) Arts and Humanities; (3) Social Sciences, Journalism and Information; (4) Business Administration and Law; (5) Natural Science, Mathematics and Statistics; (6) Engineering, Manufacturing and Construction; (7) Agriculture and Veterinary; (8) Health Science. Additional details regarding the fields of education and training are provided in Table 1.

Table 1. The fields of Education and Training by International Standard Classification of Education (ISCED) 2013.

| Fields of Education | Faculties |
|---------------------|-----------|
| 1. Education group  | Faculty of Education |
| 2. Arts and humanities | Faculty of Humanities, Fine Arts, College of Art, Media and Technology, and International College of Digital Innovation. |
| 3. Social sciences, journalism and information | Faculty of Social Sciences, Economics, Political Science and Public Administration, and Mass Communication. |
| 4. Business administration and law | Faculty of Business Administration and Law. |
| 5. Natural science, mathematics and statistics | Faculty of Science. |
| 6. Engineering, manufacturing and construction | Faculty of Engineering, Architecture, Agro-Industry, and Biomedical Engineering Institute. |
| 7. Agriculture and veterinary | Faculty of Agriculture and Veterinary Medicine. |
| 8. Health science | Faculty of Medicine, Dentistry, Pharmacy, Associated Medical Sciences, Nursing, and Public Health. |

The clinical data of students who used health services, both outpatient department (OPD) visits and inpatient department (IPD) admissions, were derived from the EHR of the university hospital database. Students who used the health services were identified by the use of CMU student health insurance. The data collected included: gender; age; principal diagnosis in International Classification of Diseases-10 (ICD-10) diagnosis codes [24]; the visited department or admitted ward; number of visits per person; the location of receiving health service (Maharaj Nakorn Chiang Mai hospital or Phai Lom clinic); service channel (official working hours, after hours, or emergency department visit); the length of stay for IPD patients.

The diseases and diagnoses were recorded as the ICD-10 codes, a standard medical classification of disease, consisting of letters A-Z, followed by 2–4 numbers. Diagnoses from ICD-10 codes were then categorized into 22 categories of diseases by the ICD-10 chapter [24].

2.4. Statistical Analysis

Stata version 15.1 (Stata Corp LCC, College Station, TX, USA) software was used. Student demographic data, the clinical data of students who used health services are presented as numbers and proportions, mean and standard deviation (SD), or median and interquartile range (IQR) as appropriate. The data on the utilization of the outpatient department (OPD) and in-patient department (IPD) services were analyzed separately. Chi-square was used to evaluate the difference of health care utilization between group stratified by academic years. Common disease conditions were analyzed separately by gender and the Wald method was used for the calculation of confidence intervals.
3. Results

In the 2018 academic year, 35,249 students were enrolled at the university. Within one year, 17,284 students (49.03%) used the health care services provided by CMU hospital. There were 65,150 OPD visits (1.85 OPD visits per person–year) and 458 IPD admissions of 407 students (1.30 IPD admissions per 100-person–year).

3.1. Utilization of OPD Services

Of the 17,284 CMU students who used OPD services in CMU hospital, 63.8% were female, with an average age of 21.9 ± 3.8 years. Of the bachelor’s degree students, more than half utilized OPD services (Table 2). The proportion of students utilizing these services were higher among students in the latter stages of their degree ($p < 0.001$). Around 50% of bachelor students in their first year utilized the OPD services, while 65% of bachelor’s student in their fourth year utilized OPD services. Across different fields of education and training, the proportions of students utilizing OPD services varied between 42.6% (among students in Business Administration) and 58% (among students in Agriculture and Veterinary). Additional details regarding the utilization of OPD services by age, gender and fields of education are given in Table 2.

Table 2. Socio-demographic characteristics of CMU Students utilizing OPD services (n = 17,284 students).

| Characteristics     | Number of Students Visiting OPD (n = 17,284) | % of Students Visiting OPD (col%) | Number of Total Students (N = 35,249) | % of Total Students (row%) |
|---------------------|---------------------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Sex                 |                                             |                                 |                                       |                             |
| - Male              | 6262                                        | 36.23                           | NA *                                  | NA *                        |
| - Female            | 11,022                                      | 63.77                           |                                       |                             |
| Age (Year)          |                                             |                                 |                                       |                             |
| - <21               | 6484                                        | 37.51                           | NA *                                  | NA *                        |
| - 21–35             | 10,526                                      | 60.90                           |                                       |                             |
| - 36–50             | 259                                         | 1.50                            |                                       |                             |
| - 50–60             | 14                                          | 0.08                            |                                       |                             |
| - >60               | 1                                           | 0.01                            |                                       |                             |
| - Mean (SD)         | 21.93 (3.77)                                |                                 |                                       |                             |
| - Median (IQR)      | 21 (20,23)                                  |                                 |                                       |                             |
| Student level       |                                             |                                 |                                       |                             |
| 1. Bachelor’s degree (Year of study) |                                           |                                 |                                       |                             |
| - 1 year            | 3740                                        | 21.64                           | 7372                                  | 50.73                       |
| - 2 years           | 3233                                        | 18.71                           | 6995                                  | 50.56                       |
| - 3 years           | 3239                                        | 19.43                           | 6342                                  | 52.96                       |
| - 4 years           | 3308                                        | 19.14                           | 6407                                  | 51.63                       |
| - 5 years           | 1073                                        | 6.21                            | 1639                                  | 65.47                       |
| - 6 years           | 622                                         | 3.60                            | 816                                   | 76.23                       |
| - >6 years          | 7                                            | 0.04                            | 216                                   | 3.24                        |
| 2. Non-degree program | 39                                         | 0.23                            | 88                                    | 44.32                       |
| 3. Graduate Certificate | 4                                         | 0.02                            | 29                                    | 13.79                       |
| 4. Master’s degree  | 1,315                                       | 7.61                            | 4,316                                 | 50.47                       |
| 5. Higher Graduate Diploma Program | 285                                      | 1.65                            | 325                                   | 30.47                       |
| 6. Doctoral degree  | 299                                         | 1.73                            | 1304                                  | 22.93                       |

The Fields of education and training (ISCED 2013)

- Education 1017 5.88 1971 51.60
- Arts and humanities 2519 14.57 5224 48.22
- Social sciences, journalism and information 2162 12.51 4744 45.57
- Business administration and law 1586 9.18 3739 42.42
- Natural science, mathematics and statistics 1614 9.34 3048 52.95
- Engineering, manufacturing and construction 2854 16.51 6165 46.29
- Agriculture and veterinary 1284 7.43 2214 57.99
- Health science 4248 24.58 8144 52.16

* NA means the data is not available in the database.
Table 3 presents the pattern of health care utilization among the 65,150 OPD visits. Of the students requiring the services, the mean number of visits per patient was 3.77 ± 4.53 visits (Median 2, IQR 1–4). Around 50% of patients had between 2 and 6 visits. Four percent of patients had more than 12 visits within a year (data not shown). Over 75% of the out-patient visits were at the main hospital. Nearly 80% of students accessed the health service during official working hours. One quarter of the total visits were to the campus health center. The most common diagnosis category was Z00-Z99 (factors influencing health status and contact with health services which includes services such as attention to surgical dressing and sutures, need for immunization, and issue of a medical certificate), which accounted for about 25% of all visits by both male and female students. The J00-J99 (diseases of the respiratory system) were the second most common diagnosis category, which accounted for about 15% of all visits among both male and female students. The third most common diagnosis accounted for about 8% of all visits but differed between male and female students. In males, the third most common diagnosis category was S00-T88 (injury, poisoning and certain other consequences of external causes). In women, F01-F99 (mental, behavioral, and neurodevelopmental disorders) was the third most common category.

### Table 3. The characteristics of utilization of OPD services (17,284 students with 65,150 visits).

| Characteristic                                           | Utilization of OPD Services |
|---------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Visits per patient (n = 17,284 students)                |                             |
| - 1 visit                                               | 5948                        |
| - > 1 visit                                             | 11,336                      |
| - Mean (SD)                                             | 3.77 (4.53)                 |
| - Median (IQR)                                          | 2 (1.4)                     |
| Setting (N = 65,150 visits)                             |                             |
| - Phai Lom clinic                                       | 14,498                      |
| - Maharaj Nakorn Chiang Mai hospital                    | 50,652                      |
| Time of attendance (N = 65,150 visits)                  |                             |
| - Official working hours                                | 50,870                      |
| - After hours                                           | 10,215                      |
| - Emergency room (24 h)                                 | 4065                        |
| **The top 5 ICD coding categorized by gender (N = 58,180 visits)** |                             |
| Male (n = 21,769 visits)                                |                             |
| 1. Z00-Z99: Factors influencing health status and contact with health services | 5508                        |
| 2. J00-J99: Diseases of the respiratory system          | 3416                        |
| 3. S00-T88: Injury, poisoning and certain other consequences of external causes | 1880                        |
| 4. A00-B99: Certain infectious and parasitic diseases   | 1795                        |
| 5. M00-M99: Diseases of the musculoskeletal system and connective tissue | 1463                        |
| Female (n = Total 36,411 visits)                       |                             |
| 1. Z00-Z99: Factors influencing health status and contact with health services | 8908                        |
| 2. J00-J99: Diseases of the respiratory system          | 5145                        |
| 3. F01-F99: Mental, Behavioral, and Neurodevelopmental disorders | 2980                        |
| 4. S00-T88: Injury, poisoning and certain other consequences of external causes | 2537                        |
| 5. L00-L99: Diseases of the skin and subcutaneous tissue | 2112                        |

* The ICD-10 coding was missing in 6970 visits.
3.2. Utilization of IPD Services

Four hundred and seven students accounted for 458 IPD admissions for the year. A total of 51.6% were female with an average age of 22.9 ± 4.4 years. Patients admitted to the hospital accounted for approximately 1% of the total students across different education levels and fields of education and training (Table 4). The highest proportion of students requiring admission was among those in the higher graduate diploma program students, at 3.08% (10/325 students). The majority (90.91%) were admitted once. Over half (56%) of the admissions required fewer than 3 days in hospital. Overall, 88% required fewer than 10 days of admission. The median length of stay of each admission was 3 days (IQR 2-6) (Table 5).

Table 4. Socio-demographic characteristics of CMU Students utilizing IPD service (n = 407 students).

| Characteristics                  | Number of Students Admitted (n = 407) | % of Admitted Students | Number of Total Students (N = 35,249) | % of Total Students |
|----------------------------------|--------------------------------------|------------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------|
| Sex                              |                                      |                        |                                       |                     |
| - Male                           | 197                                  | 48.40                  | NA *                                  | NA *               |
| - Female                         | 210                                  | 51.60                  |                                       |                     |
| Age (Year)                       |                                      |                        |                                       |                     |
| - <21                            | 99                                   | 24.32                  | NA *                                  | NA *               |
| - 21–35                          | 295                                  | 72.48                  |                                       |                     |
| - 36–50                          | 13                                   | 3.19                   |                                       |                     |
| - >50                            | 0                                    | 0.00                   |                                       |                     |
| - Mean (SD)                      | 22.95 (4.43)                         | NA *                   |                                       | NA *               |
| - Median (IQR)                   | 22 (21,23)                           | NA *                   |                                       | NA *               |
| Student level                    |                                      |                        |                                       |                     |
| 1. Bachelor’s degree (Year of study) |                                      |                        |                                       |                     |
| - 1 year                         | 57                                   | 14.00                  | 7372                                  | 0.77               |
| - 2 years                        | 82                                   | 20.15                  | 6395                                  | 1.28               |
| - 3 years                        | 68                                   | 16.71                  | 6342                                  | 1.07               |
| - 4 years                        | 87                                   | 21.38                  | 6407                                  | 1.35               |
| - 5 years                        | 25                                   | 6.14                   | 1639                                  | 1.53               |
| - 6 years                        | 18                                   | 4.42                   | 816                                   | 2.21               |
| - >6 years                       | 0                                    | 0.00                   | 216                                   | 0.00               |
| 2. Non-degree program            | 1                                    | 0.25                   | 88                                    | 1.14               |
| 3. Graduate Certificate          | 0                                    | 0.00                   | 29                                    | 0.00               |
| 4. Master’s degree               | 53                                   | 13.02                  | 4316                                  | 1.23               |
| 5. Higher Graduate Diploma Program | 10                                   | 2.46                   | 325                                   | 3.08               |
| 6. Doctoral degree               | 6                                    | 1.47                   | 1304                                  | 0.46               |
| The fields of education and training (ISCED 2013) |                                      |                        |                                       |                     |
| - Education                      | 31                                   | 7.62                   | 1971                                  | 1.57               |
| - Arts and humanities            | 71                                   | 17.44                  | 5224                                  | 1.36               |
| - Social sciences, journalism and information | 46                                   | 11.30                  | 4744                                  | 0.97               |
| - Business administration and law | 34                                   | 8.35                   | 3739                                  | 0.91               |
| - Natural science, mathematics and statistics | 52                                   | 12.78                  | 3048                                  | 1.71               |
| - Engineering, manufacturing and construction | 73                                   | 17.94                  | 6165                                  | 1.18               |
| - Agriculture and veterinary      | 27                                   | 6.63                   | 2214                                  | 1.22               |
| - Health science                 | 73                                   | 17.94                  | 8144                                  | 0.90               |

* NA means the data is not available in the database.
Table 5. The characteristics of utilization of IPD services (407 students with 458 admissions).

| Characteristic                                                                 | Utilization of IPD Services                      |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------|
|                                                                               | n       | %       |
| Number of admissions per patient (407 students)                               |         |         |
| - 1 time                                                                      | 370     | 90.91   |
| - >1 time                                                                     | 37      | 9.09    |
| - Mean (SD)                                                                   | 1.13 (0.49) |         |
| - Median (IQR)                                                                | 1 (1,1) |         |
| Days of stay (458 admissions)                                                 |         |         |
| - 3 days or less                                                              | 261     | 56.99   |
| - 4–6 days                                                                    | 100     | 21.83   |
| - 7–9 days                                                                    | 39      | 8.52    |
| - 10 days or more                                                             | 59      | 12.66   |
| - Mean (SD)                                                                   | 5.17 (6.27) |         |
| - Median (IQR)                                                                | 3 (2.6) |         |

The top 5 ICD coding categorized by gender (458 admissions)

| Male (219 admissions)                                                                 | n    | %       | 95%CI     |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------|---------|-----------|
| 1. S00-T88: Injury, poisoning and certain other consequences of external causes      | 50   | 22.83   | 17.45–28.97|
| 2. K00-K95: Diseases of the digestive system                                         | 35   | 15.98   | 11.39–21.52|
| 3. M00-M99: Diseases of the musculoskeletal system and connective tissue            | 27   | 12.33   | 8.28–17.43 |
| 4. G00-G99: Diseases of the nervous system                                          | 21   | 9.59    | 6.03–14.28 |
| 5. J00-J99: Diseases of the respiratory system                                       | 16   | 7.31    | 4.23–11.59 |

| Female (239 admissions)                                                             | n    | %       | 95%CI     |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------|---------|-----------|
| 1. K00-K95: Diseases of the digestive system                                         | 38   | 15.90   | 11.50–21.16|
| 2. C00-D40: Neoplasms                                                              | 36   | 15.06   | 10.78–20.24|
| 3. S00-T88: Injury, poisoning and certain other consequences of external causes      | 29   | 12.13   | 8.28–16.96 |
| 4. F01-F99: Mental, Behavioral and Neurodevelopmental disorders                     | 29   | 12.13   | 8.28–16.96 |
| 5. M00-M99: Diseases of the musculoskeletal system and connective tissue            | 19   | 7.95    | 4.85–12.14 |

The top five diagnosis categories by gender are shown in Table 5. While the diagnosis of S00-T99 (injury, poisoning and certain other consequences of external causes) was the most common diagnosis in male IPD patients, accounting for 22.83% of all admissions, it is the third most common diagnosis in female IPD patients, accounting for 12.13% of all admissions. The second most common diagnosis in males was K00-K95 (diseases of the digestive system, which included such diagnosis as diseases of the appendix, intestines, gallbladder, biliary tract and pancreas, and hernia). The third most common diagnosis in males was M00-M99 (diseases of the musculoskeletal system and connective tissue). The most common diagnosis reported in female students was K00-K95 (diseases of the digestive system), accounting for 15.9% of all admissions among females, followed by
C00-D40 (neoplasm), accounting for 15.1% of all admissions. Ranking fourth for women was F01-F99 (mental, behavioral, and neurodevelopmental disorders), accounting for 12.1% of all admissions.

4. Discussion

This study demonstrated that approximately half of the CMU students utilized health services provided by CMU. The overall utilization rate was 1.85 OPD visits per person–year. In the case of OPD, the top two services utilized for both men and women were factors influencing health status and contact with health services (Z00-Z99) and respiratory diseases (J00-J99), accounting for approximately 25% and 15% of all OPD visits respectively. About 1% of the students required hospital admission during one academic year (1.30 IPD admissions per 100-person–year). Common conditions for admissions among men and women were injury, poisoning and certain other consequences of external causes (S00-T88) and disease of the digestive system admissions (K00-K95). Relatively common causes of admission for women were mental, behavioral, and neurodevelopmental disorders (F01-F99), accounting for 12.1% of all admissions.

The present study found that the utilization rate of OPD services among CMU students was 1.85 visits per person-year, which was similar to the utilization rate of college students from the College Health Surveillance Network in the US [6]. The proportion of OPD utilization in this study, determined by hospital-based data, was very similar to a prior study carried out in Jordan that used self-reporting questionnaires rather than a database. That study noted that about 42.5% of the students reported that they had used the university health center services [25]. However, the need for hospitalization was low (less than 2%), a finding equivalent to a prior hospital-based study in Egypt [26].

Regarding the top diagnosis codes, this study shows similar results to those found in prior studies [6,27]. The top-ranking diseases leading to OPD service utilization include factors influencing health status and contact with health services, respiratory diseases, injury, infection, mental health, skin disease, and musculoskeletal diseases. In the case of IPD incidence, digestive diseases, injury and poisoning, and musculoskeletal diseases were the top-ranking diagnoses for both sexes. These results show a close correlation to those in a study from Egypt [26].

However, there are some other groups of diseases that were reported as high ranking in other studies but not in this one. For example, the study in Egypt also reported that disease of the eye and adnexa, and certain infectious and parasitic diseases, were in their top-ranking diagnoses [26]. In a study in US students, preventive-related services (e.g., contraceptive management; physical examinations for athletics, travel and work; screenings for lipid abnormality, and hypertension) had the highest percentage of diagnosis category [6]. A study from the UK reported that one of the most frequent morbidities among university students were sexual, pregnancy and childbirth consultation, and diseases of the genitourinary system [27]. These differing findings could be due to different cultures, social context, and the environmental factors in each country that might influence the individual illnesses and their access to care [28].

Some ICD-10 codes were ranked top in both OPD and IPD. These included respiratory diseases, injuries, and mental health problems. This might reflect disease progression, which may require improved health services for early detection of these diseases and to provide appropriate care in OPD settings. To improve health care services, the provision of the necessary equipment according to the top rank ICD-10 codes could improve the efficiency of treatment at the campus health centers [29,30]. The referral system to the main hospital for severe conditions with a seamless care system needs to be integrated. Population level interventions could also be useful in limiting the spread of infectious diseases, exacerbation of injuries and mental health problems [31].

Various pieces of the literature have suggested that the epidemiology of common diseases varies by gender [6]. In the present study, injuries and musculoskeletal diseases were more common among males. However, this study supported the international findings
that mental health problems are relatively common among university students [32,33], ranking as one of the most common diagnoses in both OPD and IPD utilization, particularly for females. Apart from providing general health education, planning for health promotion and prevention for the specific population would provide further benefits. For males, increasing self-awareness of how to prevent possible trauma, for both traffic- and sport-related injury, should be given together with guidance on ensuring a safe environment. For mental health problems, providing appropriate health care support is essential, as it may have lifelong impact on the individual’s health [34]. Many strategies can be used, including prevention by promoting recreational activities, advocating activities to increase problem-solving skills, providing screening programs for depression and other psychiatric disorders, establishing effective systems at the faculty level to monitor and provide early treatment, and establishing mental health hotlines to provide emergency help [35].

Our findings demonstrated that the utilization of health care services is common. It is important to promote the health care system to support use by university students. The general overview of utilization and common diseases among university students, which is still lacking in the literature, could be useful as a platform to prioritize the problem and enhance health care services for common diseases. Further research should aim to study these common diseases for the future strategic planning for health promotion and disease specific treatment. Given that there is a potential difference in patterns and diseases among universities in each region of the world, we suggest that conducting similar research would provide more details on common diseases, to refine disease-specific management in each institution.

This study had several limitations. First, some CMU students may not have used the CMU student health insurance, and thus did not use health care services at the university hospital. Additionally, in relation to numbers, while some OPD attendances may be underreported, this is less likely in the case of IPD services, as these would have required out-of-pocket spending. Secondly, the ICD-10 codes of students’ diagnosis information were from the principal diagnosis alone for each visit or admission; thus, some common co-morbidities may be underreported. However, using the principal diagnosis for classification is still useful, as it usually represents the most serious disease, which requires the most resources for each visit/admission. A major strength of this study is the analysis of a large population group, including all disease diagnoses, as well as OPD and IPD utilization, to provide a more comprehensive picture.

5. Conclusions

The study showed that, out of 35,249 students, about half visited an outpatient department (65,150 visits in total), and about 1% were admitted (458 IPD admissions). Commonest diseases were injury conditions, respiratory diseases, and mental health problems. Treatment and preventive methods, especially in the case of traffic injury, transmitted diseases, and mental health support, are essential for university students in Thailand.
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