Comparison of special judo fitness test and dynamic and isometric judo chin-up tests’ performance and classificatory tables’ development for cadet and junior athletes
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The aims of this work were to compare the performances of male and female cadet and junior judo athletes and to develop classificatory tables to these judo-specific tests for international-level from these ages. Data collected during training camps of male and female cadet and junior judo teams from three nations (Brazil, Serbia, and Spain) were analyzed, totaling 252 individual results of special judo fitness test (SJFT), and 222 and 208 of dynamic and isometric judogi chin-up tests, respectively. Juniors performed better than cadets in the SJFT, with higher number of throws in A, B, C, and total (P<0.001 for all comparisons), lower final heart rate (P=0.021), and lower index (P<0.001), as well as higher relative performance in the dynamic chin-up test (P<0.001). Furthermore, male athletes presented better performance compared to female athletes in the SJFT (higher number of throws in A, B and total, and lower index) and in the isometric and dynamic chin-up tests (higher absolute and relative performances) (P<0.001 for all comparisons). Considering these differences, tables were established by sex and age groups, using percentile values. Thereby, each of the parameters of the SJFT (number of throws, heart rate after and 1 min after the test, and SJFT index), as well as absolute and relative results in chin-up judogi tests, were classified by sex and age. These classificatory tables can be useful for judo coaches to monitor specific physical performance during different phases of periodization process.
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INTRODUCTION

Judo is a physiologically demanding combat sport that has as main goal to score an ippon, which can be achieved via throwing, immobilization, strangle, or elbow-lock techniques (International Judo Federation, 2017). During the match, most of the time (~50%) is spent in grip dispute (Miarka et al., 2012), which results in high levels of fatigue in the forearms and a need to developed strength-endurance to grip the opponent’s judogi (judo uniform) (Franchini et al., 2013). When the athlete has grip control, it is possible to execute a throwing technique to try to score or to have the opportunity to continue the action on the ground (Franchini et al., 2013). To make the disputes more balanced, judo athletes are divided into weight and age categories, and nowadays World Championships are disputed for athletes in the under 18 years of age (cadets), under 21 years of age (juniors), above 21 years of age (seniors, although younger athletes can also compete), and above 30 years of age (masters, with age divisions for each
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5-year range) (International Judo Federation, 2017).

As the judo match has a duration of 4-min for these age groups and during this period athletes have sequences of 20–30s of actions and 5–10s of pauses (Miarka et al., 2012; Miarka et al., 2014), the match is predominantly aerobic, although the scoring actions are supported by the phosphagen and glycolytic energy systems (Julio et al., 2017). Thus, judo athletes must develop muscle power, maximal strength, strength-endurance and endurance to be successful in high-level competitions, and many training means and methods are applied to achieve a well-developed physical conditioning (Franchini et al., 2014).

During the training process, the monitoring of athletes’ physical condition evolution is critical to improve training organization and different judo-specific tests have been developed to this end (Almansba et al., 2007; Azevedo et al., 2007; De Azevedo et al., 2014; Franchini et al., 2011b; Lidor et al., 2006; Santos et al., 2010; Sterkowicz, 1995). Among these tests, the special judo fitness test (SJFT) proposed by Sterkowicz (1995) has been reported as the most used test to evaluate judo athletes, can properly discriminate elite from nonelite judo athletes (Franchini et al., 2005), evaluate both anaerobic and aerobic components (Franchini et al., 2011c) and classificatory tables have been elaborated for both male (Franchini et al., 2009) and female judo athletes (Sterkowicz-Przybycień and Fukuda, 2014), allowing coaches to classify their athletes accordingly. Recently, two chin-up tests have also gained classificatory tables (Branco et al., 2017), because it was demonstrated that gripping is the longest action performed during the match (Marcon et al., 2010; Miarka et al., 2012; Miarka et al., 2014), involves strength-endurance and can properly discriminate judo athletes from different competitive level (Franchini et al., 2011b). Thus, considering that World Championships and World Rankings have been established for cadet and junior judo athletes and that the previous tables were not constituted by international-level only judo athletes for these age groups, the goal of the present study was to compare the performances of male and female cadet and junior judo athletes and to develop classificatory tables to the SJFT and to the dynamic and isometric judogi chin-up tests for these groups.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Design

This was a descriptive and comparative study conducted with cadet and junior judo national team members from Brazil, Serbia, and Spain. Athletes were submitted to the SJFT and dynamic and isometric judogi chin-up tests during training camps in preparation to international competitions. Thus, all athletes were tested in their competitive phase, after 24 hr of rest and before the beginning of any weight loss procedure. The chin-up tests were conducted with 15-min intervals between them and after a 30-min rest, the SJFT was conducted.

Sample

Two hundred and sixty-six judo athletes from Brazil, Serbia, and Spain were evaluated. Athletes’ age and body mass were as following (mean ± standard deviation): female cadets - 60.4 ± 14.7 kg, 16.4 ± 1.0 years; male cadets - 70.7 ± 22.1 kg, 16.4 ± 1.0 years; female juniors - 69.2 ± 24.4 kg, 19.5 ± 0.5 years; male juniors - 86.9 ± 26.1 kg, 19.7 ± 0.5 years. Not all athletes performed the three tests due to small injuries that avoid their normal execution, but the following number of athletes conducted each test:

(a) SJFT – 64 female cadets (52 from Brazil and 12 from Spain), 93 male cadets (77 from Brazil and 16 from Serbia), 50 female juniors (36 from Brazil and 14 from Spain), and 45 male juniors (24 from Brazil, 13 from Spain and 8 from Serbia);

(b) Dynamic judogi chin-up test – 60 female cadets (all from Brazil), 80 male cadets (65 from Brazil and 15 from Serbia), 35 female juniors (all from Brazil); 47 male juniors (40 from Brazil and 7 from Serbia);

(c) Isometric judogi chin-up test – 52 female cadets (all from Brazil), 83 male cadets (68 from Brazil and 15 from Serbia), 30 female juniors (all from Brazil), and 43 male juniors (36 from Brazil and 7 from Serbia).

All athletes and their parents gave their informed consent to take part in the evaluation process. All procedures were approved by the Brazilian-located University Research Ethics Committee (approval number: 160.235/2012).

Tests and measurements

Body mass

Body mass (kg) was measured using a Filizola (São Paulo, Brazil) digital scale (with 0.1-kg precision) in accordance with Heyward (1997).

Special judo fitness test

The execution of the SJFT followed the original recommendations by Sterkowicz (1995). Briefly, the SJFT is divided into three active periods (A, 15 sec; B and C, 30 sec) with 10-sec intervals between them. During each period, the athlete being evaluated throws 2 partners (separated from each other by a distance of 6 m)
as many times as possible using the ippon-seoi-nage technique. All participants involved with the test execution should present similar height and body mass characteristics. Immediately after and 1 min after completion of the test, the athletes’ heart rate was measured using a heart rate monitor (Polar Electro Oy, Kempele, Finland). Thereafter, the SJFT index was calculated as follows:

\[ \text{Index} = (\text{HR after} + \text{HR} \text{ 1 min after}) / \text{total number of throws}. \]

The reliability of this test variables was reported via interclass correlation coefficient: total number of throws – between 0.73 (Franchini et al., 1999) and 0.88 (Iredale, 2003); index – between 0.84 (Iredale, 2003) and 0.89 (Franchini et al., 1999). Iredale (2003) also reported that the typical error was 2.58% for the total number of throws and 4.85% for the index.

**Dynamic judogi chin-up**

This test followed the original recommendations by Franchini et al. (2011b). Briefly, the athletes were asked to extend their elbows completely and then flex them until the chin was above the hands gripping the judogi and complete as many correct repetitions as possible. The test was interrupted as soon as athletes were no longer able to carry out the proposed execution completely and/or gave up voluntarily. Athletes were monitored to guarantee the same movement patterns in the concentric and eccentric phases of movement. They were required to keep the knees extended and not allowed to elevate the knees or bend the trunk to aid in the movement during testing. Finally, to relativize the work done by the athletes during this test body mass was multiplied by time in seconds. The reliability of this test was reported via interclass correlation coefficient (0.97) and its LOA was -2.3 sec (95% confidence interval: -3.3 to -1.2 sec) (Da Silva et al., 2012).

**Statistics**

Data are presented as mean and standard deviation, and 95% confidence intervals. As all three tests depend on body mass displacement, a two-way (age and sex) analysis of covariance (body mass as covariate) was used to compared the number of throws during each series, total number of throws, heart rate immediately and 1 min after the ending of the SJFT, as well as time of suspension and number of repetitions during the isometric and dynamic judogi chin-up tests, respectively. As the relative values for both judogi chin-up tests already took body mass into consideration, the performances in these tests were compared using a two-way (age and sex) analysis of variance. An unequal sample size Tukey test was used as post hoc when a significant difference was detected in the analysis of variance or analysis of covariance analyses. Pearson correlation coefficient was used to verify the relationship between variables from the three tests, and classified according to Hopkins (2006). Significance level was set at 5% for all tests. Partial eta squared ($\eta^2$) was used as effect size, and classified as proposed by Cohen (1969). For the analyses the Statistica for Windows version 10 was used.

Considering that at least one difference was found between groups, except for heart rate 1 min after the SJFT, for each test scales percentile values were adopted to establish the following categories, as suggested by Sterkowicz-Przybyciel and Fukuda (2014): excellent, highest 5%; good, next 15%; regular, middle 60%; poor, next lowest 15%; very poor, lowest 5%.

**RESULTS**

Table 1 presents the SJFT performance for each group.

For the number of throws during series A, effects of age ($F[1, 247] = 21.41, P < 0.001$, partial $\eta^2 = 0.080$ (medium)), with higher values for juniors compared to cadets ($P = 0.020$), and sex ($F[1, 247] = 50.44, P < 0.001$, partial $\eta^2 = 0.171$ (large)), with higher values for males compared to females ($P < 0.001$), were found.

For the number of throws during series B, there were effects of age ($F[1, 247] = 43.13, P < 0.001$, partial $\eta^2 = 0.150$ (large)), with higher values for juniors compared to cadets ($P = 0.032$), and sex ($F[1, 247] = 23.90, P < 0.001$, partial $\eta^2 = 0.089$ (medium)), with higher values for males compared to females ($P < 0.001$).
The number of throws during series C differed between age groups ($F[1, 247] = 16.39, P < 0.001$, partial $\eta^2 = 0.063$ [medium]), with higher values for cadets compared to juniors ($P < 0.001$).

For the total number of throws, effects of age ($F[1, 247] = 25.58, P < 0.001$, partial $\eta^2 = 0.095$ [medium]), with higher values for juniors compared to cadets ($P = 0.022$), and sex ($F[1, 247] = 54.38, P < 0.001$, partial $\eta^2 = 0.182$ [large]), with higher values for males compared to females ($P < 0.001$), were observed.

Heart rate immediately after the SJFT differed between age groups ($F[1, 247] = 5.40, P = 0.021$, partial $\eta^2 = 0.021$ [small]), with higher values for cadets compared to juniors ($P = 0.022$). No differences were revealed for heart rate 1 min after the SJFT ($P > 0.05$).

The index of the SJFT differed between age ($F[1, 247] = 25.32, P < 0.001$, partial $\eta^2 = 0.095$ [small]), with lower values for juniors compared to cadets ($P = 0.032$), and sex ($F[1, 247] = 49.69, P < 0.001$, partial $\eta^2 = 0.172$ [large]), with lower values for males compared to females ($P < 0.001$). Additionally, an age and sex interaction was observed ($F[1, 247] = 3.92, P = 0.049$, partial $\eta^2 = 0.016$ [small]), with lower values for male juniors compared to both female groups ($P < 0.001$ for both comparisons) and to the male cadets ($P = 0.014$), and lower values for the male cadets compared to female cadets ($P = 0.018$).

Isometric and dynamic chin-up gripping the judogi tests performances are presented on Table 2.

Concerning suspension time, there was an effect of sex ($F[1, 213] = 81.82, P < 0.001$, partial $\eta^2 = 0.287$ [large]), with higher values for males compared to females ($P < 0.001$). For the relative suspension time, there was a sex effect ($F[1, 214] = 115.75, P < 0.001$, partial $\eta^2 = 0.351$ [large]), with higher values for males compared to females ($P < 0.001$).

The number of repetitions differed between sex groups ($F[1, 217] = 152.28, P < 0.001$, partial $\eta^2 = 0.399$ [large]), with higher values for males compared to females ($P < 0.001$). For the relative number of repetitions, effects of age ($F[1, 218] = 6.24, P < 0.001$, partial $\eta^2 = 0.013$ [medium]), with higher values for juniors compared to cadets ($P = 0.022$), and sex ($F[1, 218] = 157.56, P < 0.001$, partial $\eta^2 = 0.403$ [large]), with higher values for males compared to females ($P < 0.001$), were observed. Additionally, an age and sex interaction effect was detected ($F[1, 217] = 152.28, P < 0.001$, partial $\eta^2 = 0.399$ [large]), with higher values for male juniors compared to the two female groups ($P < 0.001$ for both comparisons) and to the male cadet group ($P = 0.007$), and higher values for the male cadets compared to both female groups ($P < 0.001$ for both

Table 1. Special judo fitness test performance in male and female cadet and junior judo athletes

| Variable                        | Female cadets (n = 64) | Male cadets (n = 93) | Female juniors (n = 50) | Male juniors (n = 45) |
|---------------------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|------------------------|----------------------|
| Throws in series A (rep)$^{a,b}$| 5.8 ± 0.5 (5.7–5.9)   | 6.2 ± 0.6 (6.0–6.3) | 6.0 ± 0.7 (5.8–6.2)   | 6.5 ± 0.7 (6.3–6.7)  |
| Throws in series B (rep)$^{a,b}$| 10.1 ± 1.0 (9.9–10.4) | 10.6 ± 0.9 (10.4–10.8) | 10.4 ± 1.1 (10.1–10.7) | 11.0 ± 1.1 (10.6–11.3) |
| Throws in series C (rep)$^{a}$  | 9.0 ± 1.0 (8.8–9.3)   | 9.5 ± 1.0 (9.3–9.7)  | 9.2 ± 1.3 (8.9–9.6)   | 10.0 ± 1.1 (9.6–10.3) |
| Total throws (rep)$^{a,b}$      | 24.9 ± 2.3 (24.4–25.5) | 26.2 ± 2.2 (25.8–26.7) | 25.6 ± 2.7 (24.8–26.4) | 27.4 ± 2.7 (26.6–28.2) |
| HR immediately after (bpm)$^{c}$| 184 ± 10 (182–187)   | 195 ± 11 (183–188)  | 183 ± 9 (181–186)     | 180 ± 11 (177–183)   |
| HR 1 min after (bpm)            | 165 ± 16 (161–170)   | 163 ± 15 (160–166)  | 164 ± 15 (160–168)    | 158 ± 17 (151–162)   |
| Index (bpm/throw)$^{c,d}$       | 14.19 ± 1.95 (13.69–14.69) | 13.36 ± 1.41 (13.07–13.66)$^{d}$ | 13.76 ± 1.97 (13.20–14.33) | 12.35 ± 1.36 (11.93–12.77)$^{d}$ |

Values are presented as mean ± standard deviation (95% confidence intervals).
HR, heart rate.

$^{a}$Age effect (juniors > cadets, $P < 0.05$).
$^{b}$Sex effect (males > females, $P < 0.05$).
$^{c}$Age effect (juniors > cadets, $P < 0.05$).
$^{d}$Sex effect (males < females, $P < 0.05$).
$^{e}$Age and sex interaction effect (male cadet < female cadet, $P < 0.05$).
$^{f}$Age and sex interaction effect (male junior < all other groups, $P < 0.05$).

Table 2. Absolute and relative isometric and dynamic chin-up gripping the judogi in male and female cadet and junior judo athletes

| Variable                    | Female cadets (n = 62/60) | Male cadets (n = 83/80) | Female juniors (n = 30/35) | Male juniors (n = 43/47) |
|-----------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------|
| Isometric chin-up (sec)$^{a}$| 41.6 ± 16.7 (36.9–46.2)  | 54.9 ± 20.8 (50.3–59.4) | 41.3 ± 22.9 (32.7–49.9)   | 49.9 ± 18.7 (44.1–55.6)  |
| Dynamic chin-up (rep)$^{a}$  | 11 ± 7 (8–13)             | 20 ± 8 (18–21)          | 12 ± 9 (9–15)              | 21 ± 9 (19–24)           |
| Isometric chin-up (sec.kg)$^{a}$| 1,914 ± 1,224 (1,532–2,236) | 3,628 ± 1,327 (3,368–3,918) | 1,627 ± 1,381 (1,145–2,109) | 3,919 ± 1,295 (3,524–4,315) |
| Dynamic chin-up (rep.kg)$^{a,d}$| 569 ± 402 (467–671)       | 1,315 ± 569 (1,157–1,432)$^{d}$ | 582 ± 486 (419–747)     | 1,665 ± 615 (1,465–1,846)$^{d}$ |

Values are presented as mean ± standard deviation (95% confidence intervals). Numbers represents number of athletes who performed the isometric and dynamic tests, respectively.

$^{a}$Sex effect (males > females, $P < 0.05$).
$^{b}$Age effect (juniors > cadets, $P < 0.05$).
$^{c}$Interaction effect (male cadet > both female groups, $P < 0.001$).
$^{d}$Interaction effect (male junior > all other groups, $P < 0.01$).
comparisons).

Tables 3 to 6 present the SJFT classificatory data for female cadets, female juniors, male cadets and male juniors, respectively.

Tables 7 to 10 present the isometric and dynamic chin-up gripping the judogi classificatory data for female cadets, female juniors, male cadets and male juniors, respectively.

When the whole group was considered, body mass was negatively correlated to number of throws (from \( r = -0.15 \) to \(-0.24, P < 0.001, \) small) and positively correlated to the index (\( r = 0.19, P = 0.003, \) small) during the SJFT, and negatively correlated (\( P < 0.001 \)) to the suspension time (\( r = -0.52, \) large) and number of

---

### Table 3. Special Judo Fitness Test classificatory table for high-level female cadet judo athletes

| Level       | No. of throws | HR after (beats/min) | HR 1 min after (beats/min) | Index |
|-------------|---------------|----------------------|----------------------------|-------|
| Excellent   | ≥ 20          | ≤ 168                | ≤ 132                      | ≤ 11.53 |
| Good        | 27            | 169–176              | 133–148                    | 11.54–12.63 |
| Regular     | 23–26         | 177–193              | 149–176                    | 12.64–15.45 |
| Poor        | 21–22         | 194–202              | 177–189                    | 15.46–18.00 |
| Very poor   | ≤ 20          | ≥ 203                | ≥ 190                      | ≥ 18.01 |

**HR, heart rate.**

### Table 4. Special Judo Fitness Test classificatory table for high-level female junior judo athletes

| Level       | No. of throws | HR after (beats/min) | HR 1 min after (beats/min) | Index |
|-------------|---------------|----------------------|----------------------------|-------|
| Excellent   | ≥ 30          | ≤ 168                | ≤ 148                      | ≤ 11.48 |
| Good        | 28–29         | 169–179              | 149–157                    | 11.49–12.00 |
| Regular     | 25–27         | 180–190              | 158–176                    | 12.01–14.70 |
| Poor        | 22–24         | 191–196              | 177–180                    | 14.71–17.45 |
| Very poor   | ≤ 21          | ≥ 197                | ≥ 181                      | ≥ 17.46 |

**HR, heart rate.**

### Table 5. Special Judo Fitness Test classificatory table for high-level male cadet judo athletes

| Level       | No. of throws | HR after (beats/min) | HR 1 min after (beats/min) | Index |
|-------------|---------------|----------------------|----------------------------|-------|
| Excellent   | ≥ 30          | ≤ 163                | ≤ 132                      | ≤ 11.15 |
| Good        | 28–29         | 164–174              | 133–148                    | 11.16–12.38 |
| Regular     | 25–27         | 175–195              | 149–175                    | 12.39–14.32 |
| Poor        | 23–24         | 196–200              | 176–184                    | 14.33–15.92 |
| Very poor   | ≤ 22          | ≥ 201                | ≥ 185                      | ≥ 15.93 |

**HR, heart rate.**

### Table 6. Special Judo Fitness Test classificatory table for high-level male junior judo athletes

| Level       | No. of throws | HR after (beats/min) | HR 1 min after (beats/min) | Index |
|-------------|---------------|----------------------|----------------------------|-------|
| Excellent   | ≥ 31          | ≤ 162                | ≤ 127                      | ≤ 10.40 |
| Good        | 30            | 163–174              | 128–144                    | 10.41–11.29 |
| Regular     | 26–29         | 175–188              | 145–168                    | 11.30–13.52 |
| Poor        | 23–25         | 189–198              | 169–184                    | 13.53–14.18 |
| Very poor   | ≤ 22          | ≥ 199                | ≥ 185                      | ≥ 14.19 |

**HR, heart rate.**
repetitions ($r = -0.30$, moderate) in the chin-up tests. Number of throws in the SJFT was positively correlated to suspension time (absolute, $r = 0.43$; relative, $r = 0.39$, moderate, $P < 0.001$ for both correlations), and to number of repetitions (absolute, $r = 0.56$; relative, $r = 0.53$, large, $P < 0.001$ for both correlations). The SJFT Index was negatively correlated to suspension time (absolute, $r = -0.46$; relative, $r = -0.41$, moderate, $P < 0.001$ for both correlations), and to number of repetitions (absolute, $r = -0.60$, relative, $r = -0.56$, large, $P < 0.001$ for both correlations). Absolute performance in the isometric chin-up test was positively correlated to that in the dynamic test ($r = 0.80$, very large, $P < 0.001$), and the same was observed for relative values ($r = 0.85$, very large, $P < 0.001$).

**DISCUSSION**

The main findings of the present study were: (a) juniors performed better in the SJFT (higher number of throws in A, B, C, and total, and lower final heart rate and index) and had higher relative performance in the dynamic chin-up test compared to cadets; (b) male athletes performed better in the SJFT (higher number of throws in A, B, and total, and lower index) and presented higher absolute and relative performances in the isometric and dynamic chin-up tests compared to females; (c) male juniors had a lower index in the SJFT (i.e., better performance) and higher relative performance in the dynamic chin-up test compared to both female groups and the male cadets, while the performance for the male cadets was higher than that for the female cadets in these variables; (d) body mass was negatively related to all performance variables where body mass displacement was needed (i.e., number of throws during the different series of the SJFT and absolute performance in the chin-up tests; although small magnitude of the correlations was found). Moreover, performance in the different tests was moderate to very large related between them, suggesting that the best athletes perform better in all these tests. Additionally, eight classificatory tables were created, considering each of the three tests, the two age and the two sex groups.

Concerning the differences in performance between age groups in the chin-up tests, and considering that these tests are related to isometric handgrip performance (Franchini et al., 2004), lower values have been reported for cadet compared to junior judo athletes in maximal isometric handgrip strength (Little, 1991). As the total number of throws have been reported to be positively associated to Wingate test performance (Sterkowicz-Przybycień et al., 2017), the differences observed between cadet and junior judo athletes during this test can be used to explain the differences between age groups found in our study. In fact, cadets also presented lower mean and peak power during Wingate test compared to junior judo athletes (Little, 1991). Studies with grappling combat sports athletes (Little, 1991; Terbizan and Seljevold, 1996) and with non-athletes (Blimkie et al., 1988; Falk and Bar-Or, 1993; Inbar and Bar-Or, 1986; Nindl et al., 1995) suggested that differences in anaerobic capacity and maximal isometric strength between adolescents and adults are probably related to maturational aspects, such as higher testosterone release, increased muscle mass and glycolytic activity for the more matured athletes. One limitation of our study is that sexual maturation was not assessed, which does not allow us to infer if this was the cause of the difference between our groups. Nevertheless, as the difference was independent of sex, it is important to consider that this maturational effect is unlikely to have influenced the difference in the female groups, as athletes in the age of the cadet female group are normally sexually mature (Malina et al., 2004). Furthermore, the relative suspension time of the male cadets was longer than that observed in the female cadets, which makes unlikely that the maturational status is the main contributor factor for the differences between age groups. Although no study compared the performance in the SJFT between cadet and junior judo athletes, a recent meta-analysis indicated that seniors performed better than junior judo athletes in this test (Sterkowicz-Przybycień et al., 2017). As junior and senior judo athletes are probably sexually mature, the longer time of judo training seems to be a plausible explanation for these differences.

Regarding the sex differences, similar findings have been reported in nonspecific tests. Specifically, higher values of maximal absolute isometric handgrip strength were reported in male than in female Brazilian (Franchini et al., 1997) and Canadian judo athletes (Little, 1991), which may explain the lower performance of females in the isometric chin-up test. Additionally, maximal dynamic strength was reported to be higher in male than in female counterparts of the Italian Olympic Judo Team (Sbriccoli et al., 2007), and a study conducted with the Japanese Olympic Team (Ichinose et al., 1998) indicated that females’ isokinetic maximal elbow extension was 92% of that achieved by males at low speed (60°/sec) and 88% of the values achieved at 180°/sec, which may explain the difference between sexes we observed in the dynamic chin-up test. The lower female performance in the SJFT in relation to males’ results is probably related to the lower anaerobic power and capacity for females, as lower Wingate test mean and peak power was also observed in female compared to male judo athletes (Franchini et al., 2011a). These differences in
strength-endurance and in anaerobic capacity are attributed to the lower testosterone release, muscle mass and glycolytic activity in females compared to males (McArdle et al., 2013). Additionally, as the SJFT has a significant oxidative contribution (Franchini et al., 2011c), the higher maximal oxygen consumption observed in male than in female judo athletes is probably an important aspect contributing to the higher performance of males in the SJFT. The combination of the above factors used to explain age and sex differences can also explain the better SJFT index and relative suspension time observed in male junior judo athletes compared to all other groups.

For the SJFT, previous investigations have already presented the performance of males from cadet to master age categories (Franchini et al., 2009) and for junior and senior female athletes (Sterkowicz-Przybycień and Fukuda, 2014). Despite the different approaches used in these two studies to create the classificatory tables, the results from our high-level cadet and junior judo athletes are quite similar to judo athletes from different competitive levels reported in these previous classificatory tables.

For the chin-up tests, only one classificatory table is available (Branco et al., 2017). The absolute results from our sample and that from Branco et al. (2017) differ basically in the more extreme classifications, with our athletes presenting a higher performance in the excellent zone and a lower performance in the very poor one. Concerning the relative values, again a higher heterogeneity was observed in our groups, as to be classified as excellent a much higher value should be achieved and to be classified as very poor a much worse performance would be needed. This higher heterogeneity in our groups is probably due to different level of competition or during different phases of the rehabilitation process. Thus, coaches, strength and conditioning professionals, and physiotherapists now have a detailed sex and age specific classification for judo-specific aerobic and anaerobic fitness, as well as judo-specific strength-endurance performance. The use of these tests and classifications can be relevant for goal setting in terms of physical development either aiming at peaking for a specific competition or during different phases of the rehabilitation process. The responses to different types of periodization can also be monitored through the application of these tests.
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