A Computational Study of a Passive Flow Device in a Mechanical Heart Valve for the Anatomic Aorta and the Axisymmetric Aorta
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ABSTRACT

Artificial heart valves for replacing diseased indigenous heart valves were widely used. The treatment of certain types of heart disease requires mechanical valves to be implanted operatively. Healthy cardiac valves are essential to proper cardiac function. The current study presents an investigation of the pulsatile blood flow through a bileaflet mechanical heart valve (BMHV) with a vortex generator (VG) in fully open position. A St. Jude Medical Regent valve with a diameter of 23 mm was used to mount triangular VGs as a means of improving pressure gradients and reducing turbulence. The anatomic aorta and axisymmetric aorta was computed by large eddy simulation (LES) approached. The implications for both models with VGs were observed in terms of velocity magnitude, vortices and wall shear stress. The results suggested that the anatomic aorta is prone to develop more blood clotting at the leading edge of the leaflets with 2.03 m/s. Furthermore, the anatomic aorta produces higher wall shear stress with 69Pa, which possibly contributes to a high risk of thrombosis.

1. Introduction

The past decades have witnessed considerable research into heart valve replacement such as the mechanical heart valve (MHV) and the bioprosthetic heart valve (BHV). Among these replacements are bileaflet mechanical heart valves (BMHV) because of their durability and long life span, as they are usually made from pyrolytic-carbon [1]. The design of BMHVs tends to lead to various complications including the risk of thrombosis (blood clotting) and hemorrhage [2]. These complications are indicated by a non-physiological flow pattern prompted by BMHVs [2–4]. Numerous in vivo and in vitro experimental studies have been carried out to better understand the blood flow pattern and characteristics of the design induced by BMHVs [5–9].

The experimental studies use particle image velocimetry (PIV) to compute the phase averaged flow pattern downstream of the valve leaflets. A computational study provides a resolution that is required for the mechanical environment experience due to blood cell damage and potential for
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blood clotting complications [10–16]. The BMHV flows occurring in complex geometry involve model features at disparate spatial scales, from the aorta diameter to the valve hinges and leakage jets. The pulsatile flow effects go through a transition to turbulence. In addition, the geometry of the aorta is less complicated than the left ventricle of the mitral valve so simulations in the aortic position are less definitive from computational study [1].

Factors leading to thrombosis are haemolysis and platelet activation [17,18]. Therefore, the risk of thrombosis can be studied by area elevated flow stresses, streamlines, recirculation zones, the dynamics of shed vortices, flow separation and turbulence [19–21]. Improving the mechanical heart valve design could reduce the risk of platelet activation and haemolysis by minimizing turbulent stresses [17,22]. Previous studies have supported the use of passive flow control devices such as vortex generators (VGs) on the leaflet surface to reduce turbulence and platelet activation related to the regurgitated jet [3,23,24]. In terms of the geometry of the VG, its shape and height could be used to overcome the flow separation problem. Studies have suggested that VGs can delay and even suppress flow separation by bringing momentum from the free stream into the boundary layer in order to provide and maintain the strong local stream wise adverse pressure gradient [23–25]. After all, the impact of VGs on BMHVs and studies concerning how to choose the appropriate VG configuration are still under investigation, especially in terms of heart valve applications.

The current study used a computational fluid dynamic (CFD) to determine the blood flow pattern in BMHVs as this software allows parametric studies to be conducted easily and economically. The objective of this study is to observe the flow around VGs in BMHVs in different models, for the axisymmetric and the anatomic aorta. The significant of the study could help to access to suitability and enhance understanding of the implications of VGs into the real anatomic patient geometry. Furthermore, to date, there are no such assessment and comparison are made between anatomic and axisymmetric aorta with VGs installed on the MHV’s leaflet.

2. Methodology
2.1 Geometry of the Axisymmetric Aorta and the Anatomic Aorta

The computational model was divided into two models, namely, axisymmetric and anatomic aorta. The axisymmetric aorta was developed according to previous studies [14,16,26,27]. The axisymmetric aorta is considered a rigid straight tube with an inlet diameter \( D \) of 25.4 mm and an SJM valve diameter of 23 mm, while the inner diameter of the valve is 21.4 mm. The outlet diameter downstream of the valve expands to approximately 31.75 mm. In this study, the leaflet was fixed at a fully open condition at an angle of 85° along the \( y-z \) plane. A general overview of an axisymmetric aorta with an SJM valve is shown in Figure 1.

For the anatomic aorta, a CT scan was performed on a 71-year-old Malaysian male subject from the National Heart Institute Malaysia (IJN). The scan images were segmented per slice with an
appropriate threshold value. The 3D images that contained multiple voxel data were then exported into the Stereo-lithography (STL) format. Figure 2 shows the anatomic aorta model after conversion to STL format. The methodology of converting images to CAD format has been reported in our previous work [28,29].

![Anatomic aorta model](image)

**Fig. 2.** Anatomic aorta after conversion to STL format with VGs

### 2.2 Geometry of Vortex Generator

VGs used in present study were triangular shape geometry as shown in Figure 3. The four VGs were mounted on the downstream side of the SJM valve leaflets with dimension of 4mm length, 1 mm width and 1mm height.

![VGs model](image)

**Fig. 3.** VGs model (a) SJM valve with triangular VGs (b) triangular VGs with dimensions
2.3 Meshing

The study comprised 400K nodes and 3M elements that led to a skewness value of 0.84, which is below 0.85 as suggested in Ref. [30]. Furthermore, tetrahedral meshing was considered for the axisymmetric aorta, as represented in Figure 4. The study comprised 1x10^6 nodes and 3x10^6 elements indicated by tetrahedral and hexagonal meshing for the anatomic aorta as represented in Figure 5. The very fine mesh made it possible to model the numerous fine curvature radii as exactly as possible and to break down turbulence as much as possible.

![Fig. 4. The meshing configuration for the axisymmetric aorta](image1)

![Fig. 5. The meshing configuration for the anatomic aorta](image2)

The mesh sensitivity analysis was used in the study to identify the best meshing size by saving computation time but without neglecting the accuracy of the results. The grid was tested from 4 x 10^5 until 3 x 10^6 number cells for both types of geometry model, as shown in Tables 1 and 2 respectively. The grid independency were achieved when the increase in number of cells did not affect the accuracy of the simulation results with lowest percentage of error. The grid number for both types of geometry, 1 x 10^6 to 3 x 10^6, were slightly different in their maximum velocity value at the central jet. The meshing of the 3M total number of elements was used for more accurate results.
Table 1
Mesh Sensitivity analysis for the axisymmetric aorta

| Element Number | Max velocity at central jet | Error |
|----------------|-----------------------------|-------|
| $4 \times 10^5$ | 0.232                       | -     |
| $6 \times 10^5$ | 0.238                       | 2.5%  |
| $1 \times 10^6$ | 0.248                       | 4.1%  |
| $3 \times 10^6$ | 0.252                       | 1.7%  |

Table 2
Mesh Sensitivity analysis for the anatomic aorta

| Element Number | Max velocity at central jet | Error    |
|----------------|-----------------------------|----------|
| $4 \times 10^5$ | 0.240                       | -        |
| $6 \times 10^5$ | 0.254                       | 5.51%    |
| $8 \times 10^5$ | 0.259                       | 1.93%    |
| $1 \times 10^6$ | 0.266                       | 2.63%    |
| $2 \times 10^6$ | 0.271                       | 1.84%    |
| $3 \times 10^6$ | 0.270                       | 0.37%    |

2.4 Boundary Condition

The inlet flows were regulated using the user-specified function comprising the centre of the y-plane. The pulsatile flow was assumed at the inlet conditions and the computation of the non-uniform distribution of the inlet velocity was conducted [19]. Most of the present analysis focused on three specific timings, namely accelerating flow (AF) at $t = 0.1s$, peak flow (PF) at $t = 0.2s$, and deceleration flow (DF) at $t = 0.3s$, in which all the instantaneous time was at intervals of $0.1 < t < 0.3$ where the leaflet was fully open, as shown in Figure 6. There was a non-slip velocity of boundary condition set along the aorta wall. The outlet boundary condition was retained at zero pascals since a similar effect is produced by fluid distribution differences concerning the flow [20].

The fluid used in the simulation had a density of 1060 kg/m$^3$ and a viscosity of $5.5 \times 10^{-3}$ with unsteady flow for $Re = 6000$. The turbulent model used in this simulation was large eddy simulation (LES). A previous study used the LES model for $Re = 6000$ on fixed SJM leaflets, and found that turbulent models could predict unsteady solutions with rich coherent vortex shedding [16]. In the LES turbulence model, equations were applied using Navier Stokes equations to explicitly compute large eddies. The mathematically equations were simulated by an average turbulence model between DNS and RANS in which filtered Navier Stokes equations used the following formulae:

$$\frac{\partial u_i}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial u_i u_j}{\partial x_j} = - \frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial p}{\partial x_i} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \left( \frac{\partial u_i}{\partial x_j} \right) - \frac{\partial \tau_{ij}}{\partial x_j}$$ (1)

$$u_i(x, t) = \bar{u}_i(x, t) + \dot{u}_i(x, t)$$ (2)

$$\tau_{ij} = \rho (\bar{u}_i \bar{u}_j - \bar{u}_i \bar{u}_j)$$ (3)

Combining Eq. (2) and (3) into (1), we obtain:

$$\frac{\partial \bar{u}_i}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial \bar{u}_i \bar{u}_j}{\partial x_j} = - \frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial \bar{p}}{\partial x_i} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \left( \bar{u}_i \frac{\partial \bar{u}_i}{\partial x_j} \right) - \frac{\partial \tau_{ij}}{\partial x_j}$$ (4)
Where \( u_i(x, t) \) is the instantaneous component, \( \bar{u}_i(x, t) \) is the resolved scale, \( \bar{u}_i \bar{u}_j \) is the sub grid scale and \( \tau_{ij} \) is the sub grid scale turbulent stress.

Finally, the blood fluid in the simulation was assumed to be a Newtonian fluid [21]. The assumption was that the blood flow through the SJM valve was an incompressible fluid with constant density. This is because the main constituent of blood is plasma which has the properties of a Newtonian fluid in that the shear rate is linear, so this assumption was considered valid [22].

2.5 Validation

Figure 6 depicts the normalized velocity characteristics in the absence of a VG along a line at \( x=0 \) mm. The tips leaflet (F1) and the downstream valves (F2 and F3) of the axisymmetric aorta were evaluated to determine the velocity profile of blood, and the results were used for validation. The velocity profile specified in previous research [16] comprised similar flow characteristics and geometry concerning the valve. The results obtained from previous research and the present study are in line with those suggested by computational information. The root mean square (RMS) velocity difference was computed to determine the difference between the values in previous studies and the present study. The computed difference in RMS velocity was only 1.4% of the maximum velocity, indicating agreement between the study results and the calculated values.

![Fig. 6. The comparison of velocity profile of F1, F2 and F3 [16]](image)

3. Result and Discussion

Figure 7 shows the comparison of the velocity magnitude for acceleration flow (AF), peak flow (PF) and deceleration flow (DF). The central jet (between the leaflets) did not change significantly at the AF phase, where the velocity range for both models was between 0.65 and 0.75 m/s. However, it started to increase at the PF phase when the two lateral (between the aorta wall and the leaflets) and central jets continued to produce uniform velocity for the axisymmetric aorta compared to the anatomic aorta. At the DF phase, it was noted that the central jet for the anatomic aorta (1.2 m/s) increased more than for the axisymmetric aorta (0.8 m/s). This happened due to the area expansion in the sinus region. Besides that, the anatomic aorta curvature induced a strong secondary motion
that produced a lower velocity at the inner bend compared to the outer bend and therefore produced higher wall shear stress that contributed to the potential for more blood clotting [31].

![Graph](image-url)

**Fig. 7.** The velocity profile comparison between the axisymmetric and the anatomic aorta at three phases: (a) AF (b) PF (c) DF

The velocity vectors in Figure 8 were used to oversee the recirculation areas through the axisymmetric and anatomic aorta, which are marked with circles. The recirculation regions indicate the platelets that became trapped and later increased in residence time, thus increasing the potential for blood clotting. Observations were conducted of the recirculation region at the aortic root region and the wake of the leaflets for the axisymmetric and anatomic aorta. When the area expanded with...
time, most of the recirculation zone locations were unchanged. The behaviour continued until the decelerating flow. The difference between the axisymmetric and anatomic aorta models was that more circulation existed after the sinus wall.

**Fig. 8.** Velocity vector field of the SJM heart valve: (a) axisymmetric aorta, AF (b) axisymmetric aorta, PF (c) axisymmetric aorta, DF (d) anatomic aorta, AF (e) anatomic aorta, PF (f) anatomic aorta, DF
Figure 9 shows the wall shear stress (WSS) on the SJM valve frame at the axisymmetric aorta. Lower WSS was found at the AF phase. WSS increased once the PF phase was reached when it passed through the valve frame. High WSS developed around the valve frame, indicating the development of thrombosis [32]. Figure 10 shows the WSS on the SJM valve frame attached to the anatomic aorta. It shows the maximum values of WSS applied to the anatomic aorta occurred at the PF phase. The anatomic aorta WSS was not significantly changed as the flow phase increased. Comparing between these two models, anatomic aorta experience higher WSS indicating high risk of thrombosis potential.

![Figure 9. WSS of SJM heart valve: (a) axisymmetric aorta, AF (b) axisymmetric aorta, PF (c) axisymmetric aorta, DF](image1)

![Figure 10. WSS of SJM heart valve: (a) anatomic aorta, AF (b) anatomic aorta, PF (c) anatomic aorta, DF](image2)

### 4. Conclusions

In this study, blood flow through the SJM valve was analysed for the axisymmetric aorta and the anatomic aorta. A design process including VGs on the leaflet surface and the use of modelled anatomic aorta would allow the predicted flow field parameter to be estimated for a real human body. Result indicates that the model show maximum velocity magnitude with 1.83 m/s (axisymmetric aorta) and 2.03 m/s (anatomic aorta) at the PF phase. The axisymmetric aorta tends to reduce blood clotting since it has lower WSS with 28Pa. The WSS for anatomic aorta has high WSS
with 69Pa that will developed more thrombosis. Results also suggested that using different forms of geometry could affect the blood flow hemodynamic characteristics, and the flow patterns. The simulation data demonstrates that an additional location of blood cloting was found at the outer curvature of the aorta. Furthermore, the anatomic aorta is prone to develop more blood clots as a result of higher velocity and lower WSS, nevertheless the use of passive flow control such as VG.
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