Restored quantum size effects of Pb overlayers at high coverages.
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Abstract

Abnormally large stability of Pb nanostructures grown on metallic or semiconductor substrates has been observed even for heights of about 30 monolayers. Using both density functional theory calculations and analytical models, we demonstrate that the stability at even higher coverages \((N > 30 \text{ MLs})\) is supported by an extra second quantum beat pattern in the energetics of the metal film as a function of the number of atomic layers. This pattern is triggered by the butterfly-like shape of the Fermi surface of lead in the (111) direction and supports the detection of stable magic islands of higher heights than measured up to now.

PACS numbers: 73.21.Fg, 71.15.Mb, 68.35.-p

The literature of the last twenty years presents many examples of new structures of nanometer scale, showing a different behaviour from the bulk due to quantum size effects (QSE’s). The quantum oscillations of the physical properties of nanostructures decay in amplitude as their size increases, but an open general question is the convergence of this behavior towards the bulk. Modulations in the oscillations of quantum origin are observed for the stability of atomic clusters [1] and nanowires [2–4]. These modulations are also present in the growth of thin films, such as Pb layers, which is our topic.

As a result of the electronic confinement perpendicular to the substrate, QSE’s show up during the growth of Pb metallic thin films [5–10], when the thickness is comparable with the Fermi wavelength \(\lambda_F\). Pb nanoislands over Cu(111) [11] or Si(111) [12] have revealed their preference for bi-layer growth in the [1 1 1] orientation. The origin of the ”magic” height selection can be understood qualitatively with a simple picture of electrons confined in a potential well [13, 14]. When the energy of the films is displayed with the number of MLs, Fig. 1 (a), a modulated pattern or quantum beat structure is obtained, as the interlayer spacing is approximately \(3/4\lambda_F\) [15, 16]. Previous works [5–16] have used only a single value of the Fermi wave vector of Pb. Nevertheless, a quantitative agreement with the measured magic heights requires more sophisticated models.

Extra modulations or quantum beats given by extra nestings of the Fermi surface have been shown in other context, such as sandwiched Co magnetic layers with a nonmagnetic Cu spacer [17–20]. Both theoretical and experimental works, discussed at length the role of the second Cu wave vector nesting on the magnetic coupling between the sandwiched magnetic
layers. However, the role of a second wave vector nesting on the stability during the growth of layers has not been addressed yet.

In this paper we explore larger sizes (up to 60 MLs) of Pb thin films than usually researched in the literature by using the Density Functional Theory (DFT) and including the atomic structure. To study the stability of the films we have calculated the energy as a function of the number of Pb MLs. Our main ab-initio result is displayed in Fig. 2. We find that the oscillatory part of the energy as a function of the number of ML’s has a second quantum beat structure that emerges from the nesting of two Pb Fermi wave vectors, as we are explaining later. This second pattern affects the amplitude of these oscillations and explains the stability up to the calculated thickness of 60 MLs. We are able to fit recent measurements up to 35 MLs [10] with a more sophisticated model that assumes two $k_F$ values. Nevertheless, the effects of this second beat pattern emerge clearly just close to this thickness of 35 MLs, so for a more extensive comparison, experiments for even higher coverages would be helpful.

Let us first summarise the main features for Pb islands, successfully modeled with the uniform jellium model in previous works [15, 16]. The oscillating part of the energy as a function of the Pb thickness is given in Fig. 1(a) with full line. The minima of the curve correspond to the theoretically stable islands. Alternatively, one can look at (minus) the second derivative of the energy versus the thickness, the number of ML’s (N), as shown in Fig. 1(b). The valleys are associated with the most stable sizes [9, 10], as also studied in wires and clusters [21]. The second derivative has been multiplied by the square of the effective thickness [16] ($D^2$) to show the damping of the amplitude.

These oscillations and their decay using the jellium model assume implicitly only one Fermi wavelength, i.e. the Fermi surface is a circle in the directions perpendicular to the surface film. In this work we address the question concerning stability at larger thicknesses ($N > 30$ MLs) of the Pb slabs, when we allow for a second critical spanning vector of the Fermi surface. In the context of the present investigation, the second Fermi wavelength arises because the Fermi surface in the (111) direction is not perfectly circular but has a butterfly shape [11, 22], as sketched in the inset of Fig. 3.

Jellium calculations describe the amplitude of the oscillations and their phase for a spherical Fermi surface. Therefore, in order to analyse the effect of the crystal structure with a realistic Fermi surface we have calculated with DFT ab-initio methods, the energy as a func-
FIG. 1. (color on line) (a) Oscillating part of the total energy per surface area ($\sigma$) multiplied by the Pb slab effective thickness $D'$ for a free standing Pb, and calculated by means of self-consistent jellium calculations [15]. The dotted line is a function of the continuous thickness, and the full line connects the values of completed MLs. The dashed lines guide the eye to show the (first) beat pattern. (b) Second derivative of $\sigma$ multiplied by the square of the effective thickness (black line) and its envelope function (blue line).
tion of thickness for the free standing Pb slabs grown in the (111) direction. The calculations have been done with the VASP code \[23\] by using the generalized gradient approximation (GGA) \[24\] for the exchange-correlation potential and the projector augmented-wave method (PAW) \[25\]. Details on our calculation are given in Ref. \[26\]. We assume bulk distances between atoms \[27\]. For our purpose, it is unnecessary to relax the atomic positions because self-compression effects at the surface produce negligible changes in the energy \[28\]. Stress at the interface or interaction with the substrate produce a shift on the oscillating structure or slight differences affecting only to thickness up to ten ML. But they do not change the qualitative behaviour of the oscillations. Therefore we neglect the substrate in this study \[15\]. As we are interested in the stability of the slabs, we have calculated first the total energy as a function of the number of atomic layers \(N\) up to \(N = 60\), and then we have evaluated the second derivatives of the energy versus the thickness as done before in Fig. 1 (b). Our result is displayed in Fig. 2.

For small thickness \((N < 30)\) the structure is similar to Fig. 1, so there is no contradiction with previous simple models. However, our central predictions are obtained when looking at the periods and decay of the oscillations at larger thickness. The amplitude of the oscillations, \(-\frac{\partial^2 \sigma}{\partial N^2} D^2\), in the \(N = 1-40\) range decays, while it remains constant for the jellium data, i.e., the ab-initio results have stronger damping than the jellium results. Nevertheless, the amplitude for sizes larger than 40 ML remains constant. To our knowledge, experiments have not explored distances beyond this thickness, but our results indicate that oscillations would survive for larger sizes than explored currently up to now.

In addition, a progressive shortening of the beat periods for large thicknesses \((N > 30)\) is observed. There is a non-negligible period change between \(N=33\) and \(N=39\) with a value of 6.35 ML.

These trends are reproduced analytically with a simple description as the interference of two sinusoidal functions

\[
A_1 \sin(2k_1(dN + \delta_0)) + A_2 \sin(2k_2(dN + \delta_0))
\]  

where \(k_1\) and \(k_2\) are the two nesting Fermi wave vectors in the [111] direction (see sketch in Fig. 3), \(A_1\) and \(A_2\) are their corresponding weights, \(\delta_0\) is a surface shift that accounts for the wavefunction spill out at the slab walls, \(N\) is the number of atomic layers and \(d\) is the interlayer spacing in the (111) direction. The ab-initio calculation is in excellent agreement
FIG. 2. *(Color on line)* Second derivative of the energy per surface atom times the square of the Pb slab thickness versus the number of ML’s (continuous line). The dotted blue line is the analytical curve obtained with two values of the Fermi wavelength $\lambda_1 = 7.47a_0$ and $\lambda_2 = 7.54a_0$ with weights $A_1 = 0.72$ and $A_2 = 1.28$ respectively. The dashed line is its envelope function.

with the analytical model (dotted line in Fig. 2). In fact, the key point is that our ab-initio calculations can not be fitted using only one Fermi wave vector. Note that one Fermi wave vector is enough to fit the jellium results of Fig. 1(b). In summary, an extra nesting of the Fermi vectors is clearly involved in the mechanism to stabilise the Pb slabs.

Next, we are comparing the sum of sinusoidal functions, Eq. 1, and the experiments for supported Pb layers. We use Eq. 1 to fit the experimental results of Pb/Si(111) [10]. The energy oscillations are given in Fig. 3. The weights are $A_1 = A_2 = 0.5$. It must be noticed that the position of the second quantum beat is inversely proportional to the difference of the wavelengths, so its determination is very sensitive to the input parameters. The Fermi wave length of the used vectors are very close to the free electron $\lambda_F$s and to the values
found in the literature for Pb ($\lambda_1 = 7.50\ au$ and $\lambda_2 = 7.59\ au$ with an experimental error around $0.1\ au$ [11]). The energy oscillations have beats every $\approx 8\ ML$'s, as it is known, but with a new modulation and the second quantum beat is at $29\ ML$'s. The absence of a clear second quantum beat in Fig. 2 is because $A_1 \neq A_2$. Although the largest thickness measured is around the second quantum beat position, our fitting reproduces completely the measured features, both oscillations and amplitudes. Again, the agreement using only one Fermi wave vector is worse. An additional hint of the double quantum beat comes out by the even-even successive regions intercalated in the even-odd alternation pattern of Fig. 3.

This even-even characteristic appears about $N = 29$. It seems to go unnoticed in the already published experimental paper [10], where they have measured with X-ray diffraction up to $N \approx 35\ ML$s, and therefore with lower resolution this even-even pattern emerges just in the borderline. Further experimental work is encouraged in order to look for such a second quantum beat pattern.

The decay of the amplitude of the energy smear out the energy oscillations at large thickness, so the size selection should disappear in experiments. Nevertheless, if this is accompanied by the second quantum modulation we predict that they must emerge again at larger sizes. The observation of this effect in the stability is still an open question because the experiments did not go beyond that size. For example, the same effect of the non-spherical shape of the Fermi surface has been also pointed out to influence the magnetic interlayer coupling in multilayers, being important up to distances as long as $100\ ML$s [29, 30]. This indicates that the detection of high stability with larger sizes is possible in experiments.

In conclusion, both model and density functional calculations show that there is a reentrance of QSE’s and ensuing new features in the stability patterns at sizes substantially larger than the sizes studied up to now (or in the borderline). This suggests that the nesting with a second wave vector of the Fermi surface provides a mechanism to understand the stability at the large sizes in the growth of Pb layers. This second quantum beat claims for a new interpretation of experiments, as well as for new measurements at higher Pb coverages to shed light about the existence of the second quantum modulation and its role in the self-selection and self-assembly processes.
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Fourier Fast transform (scaled)

\[ \lambda_1 = 7.472 \]
\[ \lambda_2 = 7.538 \]