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Abstract
Two field experiments were conducted in the Central Rift Valley of Ethiopia in the hot and cool dry seasons of 2016/2017 with the objective to develop an insecticide resistance management program on thrips infesting onion. The first experiment dealt with the evaluation of four different insecticides namely profenofos, λ-cyhalothrin, imidacloprid, and spinetoram in different sequences on thrips population and their effect on yield. The second experiment examined the effect of admixing the surfactant organosilicone with imidacloprid, spinetoram and dimethoate. A Randomized Complete Block Design with three replications was used for both experiments. Data on number of thrips, bulb yield and economic returns were collected. The insecticide imidacloprid and spinetoram resulted in fewer numbers of thrips and higher yields than profenofos and λ-cyhalothrin. Application of the more effective insecticides when the thrips population was high followed by less effective insecticides resulted in better control and higher economic return. Surfactant added insecticides gave a fewer numbers of thrips and higher yields than the corresponding insecticides applied without surfactant. The sequential application of spinetoram and imidacloprid with less effective λ-cyhalothrin and profenofos by mixing with adjuvant are recommended for thrips control as an integral component of thrips management in the Central Rift Valley of Ethiopia.
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Introduction
Onion (Allium cepa L.) is a widely grown vegetable by small and large-scale farmers in Ethiopia covering an area of 48,443.36 ha with the total production of 374,704 tons (CSA 2016). The national average productivity of onion in Ethiopia is 7.734 t ha⁻¹ (CSA 2016) which is low compared to the world average of 19.49 t ha⁻¹ (FAOSTAT 2012). This low productivity could be attributed to poor agronomic practices and pests damage (Tadele and Amin 2014). Onion thrips, Thrips tabaci Lind. (Thysanoptera: Thripidae) is considered as the most economically important pests of onion worldwide (Gachu et al. 2012). Thrips are major pests in all onion-growing areas of Ethiopia and can routinely reduce bulb yields by 23–85% (Tadele and Mulugeta 2014). Bekele et al. (2006) reported 10 to 85% onion bulb yield losses due to onion thrips at Upper Awash Agro Industry areas in Central Ethiopia.

The use of insecticides is the most common management tactic for onion thrips infestations in Ethiopia as it is elsewhere (Reitz 2014; Gill et al. 2015). Indiscriminate application of insecticides against onion thrips in onion is a common practice in the Rift Valley of Ethiopia (Banchiamlak et al. 2012). Such misuse of insecticides could lead to the development of insecticide resistant biotypes. Many targeted pests species in the world have developed resistance due to intensive use of pesticides (Tabashnik et al. 2009). Moreover, most insecticides are ineffective because a large number of thrips are protected between the inner leaves of the onion plant and the pupal stage is spent in the soil (Shelton et al. 2003, 2006; Nault and Shelton 2010).

Thrips can rapidly develop resistance to insecticides which results in the rapid loss of effectiveness of new insecticides
Insecticides resistance has been documented in a number of chemical classes, including the organochlorines, organophosphates, carbamates, pyrethroids and spinosyns (Bielza et al. 2007; Herron et al. 2008; Nault et al. 2013). Resistance of onion thrips to some synthetic insecticides is suspected in Ethiopia because many of the earlier registered products for control of the pests are losing control efficacy (Tadele and Amin 2014).

Several insecticides from different classes are registered for control of thrips on onion in Ethiopia (MoANR 2017). Sustainable use of these insecticides entails a pesticide resistance management program, which can be achieved, among others, by rotational application from different insecticide classes (Gill and Garg 2014). Another important tactic to improve pesticide efficacy in thrips control on onion, prevent or slow down insect resistance to insecticides is the use of a penetrating surfactant. Surfactants could improve deposition of sprays applied to the onion leaves and the efficacy of insecticides against onion thrips (Gangwar et al. 2016). Inclusion of a penetrating surfactant is critical for improving the efficacy of insecticides that have systemic and translaminar movement within onion plants to control onion thrips (Nault et al. 2013).

Insecticides use for thrips control will continue, but this strategy must be used carefully and in a manner that will prevent or slow down the ability of thrips to develop resistance (Nault et al. 2013). In Ethiopia, there has not been any known comprehensive study on the effects of penetrating surfactant to insecticide efficacy and rotational application of insecticides for thrips control. Thus, this research was designed to evaluate effects of rotational application of insecticides and use of surfactant against thrips on onion.

Materials and methods

Effect of rotational application of insecticides

The efficacy of rotational application of four selected insecticides in different sequences against thrips on onion was conducted at Melkassa Agricultural Research Center, East Showa, Ethiopia. Melkassa is located at 8°24’ N and 30°21’ E at elevation of 1550 m.a.s.l. The area is characterized by low and erratic rainfall with an average of 771 mm rainfall per year over 80% of which falls between April and October, with a peak in July and August. The soil is mainly sandy with pH of 6.9–7.9 and the mean air temperature is 21°C (Desalegne and Shimeles 2003).

The experiment was conducted for two seasons during the cool dry period (October 2016–February 2017) and hot dry period (March 2017–June 2017). Four selected insecticides each representing a specific insecticide class and registered for thrips control on onion in Ethiopia were applied sole and in rotation using different sequences (Table 1). The insecticides were profenofos (Organophosphates), imidacloprid (Neonicotinoids), λ-cyhalothrin (Pyrethroids) and spinetoram (Spinosyn). These insecticides are widely used by vegetable growers in the Central Rift Valley of Ethiopia (CRV). The experiment was laid in a Randomized Complete Block Design (RCBD) with three replications. Insecticides treatments were applied using a manually operated knapsack sprayer of 15-Liter capacity. They were applied weekly for 8 weeks and the first application was made 12 December in cool dry and 30 March in hot dry periods, when thrips populations reached five per plant from a random sample of ten plants.

Effect of surfactant on efficacy of selected insecticides

The effects of a penetrating surfactant organosilicone (Silwet gold) on insecticides efficacy against thrips on onion was evaluated in both the hot dry period (March 2016–June 2016) and cool dry period (October 2016 – February 2017) as described in the experiment on rotational application. The experiment consisted of eight treatments; imidacloprid (Con-fidence 350 SC applied at 400 ml/ha), spinetoram (Radiant 120 SC applied at 130 ml/ha) and dimethoate (Agro-Thoate 40% EC applied at 1 L/ha) with and without surfactant (Silwet gold 0.1% v/v). Untreated check and application of surfactant alone were included for comparison. The tested insecticides were selected based on their mode of action (systemic and translaminar). The experiment was laid out in a Randomized Complete Block Design (RCBD) with three replications. Treatments were applied weekly for 7 weeks using manually operated 15-Liter knapsack sprayer. The first application was made when thrips populations reached five per plant from a random sample of ten plants.

Agronomic practices

Onion seedlings of Bombay red variety was raised on nursery bed and transplanted at 2–3 leaf stage. Each plot was 9 m² (3 m × 3 m) with five double rows spaced 0.2 m apart. Spaces between blocks, plots, and plants were 1.5 m, 1.5 m, and 0.1 m, respectively. The experimental fields were irrigated twice per week for the first 3 weeks after transplanting and weekly thereafter. The fields were fertilized with Diammonium phosphate (DAP) and urea at the rate of 200 kg and 150 kg per hectare, respectively. The DAP was applied during transplanting and urea was applied split in two halves; the first half was applied during transplanting and the remaining half 30 days after transplanting. Weeding was carried out as required.
Data collection and analysis

Data collection

The post spray data were taken at seven-day interval prior to the next application. Thrips population was counted on five randomly selected plants from the central rows of each plot by visually examining the entire plant parts. At maturity, onion bulbs were harvested from the middle three double rows in each plot and then sorted out into marketable and unmarketable bulbs. Healthy bulb weighing between 20 and 160 g was considered marketable (Desalegne and Shimeles 2003).

Statistical analysis

Thrips count data were square root (\(\sqrt{x + 0.5}\)) transformed before analysis to stabilize the variance and normalize the data. Thrips population and yield were analyzed using the general linear model of statistical analysis using SAS software (SAS Institute 2003). When significant differences were observed in the ANOVA, mean separation was performed using Student-Newman-Keuls (SNK) test.

Economic analysis

The relative economic returns of the treatments were calculated by subtracting the cost of insecticides and their application cost from the gross return. The price of onion bulb was estimated based on the farm gate price at US$ 350.87 per ton. The application cost in the first experiment was estimated at USD 140.32 per ha (32 mandays per ha; 4.385 USD per manday) and in the second season at 122.78 USD (28 mandays per ha; 4.385 per manday). The price of imidacloprid, profenofos, \(\lambda\)-cyhalothrin, spinetoram, dimethoate and organosilicone per liter were estimated at USD 35.08, 35.83, 43.84, 245.6, 16.66 and 35.08, respectively.

Results

Effect of insecticides rotations

Thrips population

The numbers of thrips/plant varied significantly among the treatments both in cool dry \((P < 0.0001, F = 520.26, df = 9, 18)\) and hot dry \((P < 0.0001, F = 375.7, df = 9, 18)\) periods. The interaction of seasons and treatments also varied significantly \((P < 0.0001, F = 31.89, df = 9, 36)\). Numbers of thrips were lower at the early growth stage and increased as the season progressed during the cool dry period. During the hot dry period, this trend was not observed as the populations were generally variable. Among the sole applied insecticides, fewer numbers of thrips were counted on imidacloprid and spinetoram treatments, both in cool dry (Fig. 1) and hot dry periods (Fig. 2). Numbers of thrips were significantly higher on the untreated check and \(\lambda\)-cyhalothrin treatment in both cool dry (Fig. 1) and hot dry periods (Fig. 2). Insecticides applied in different sequences resulted in varied number of thrips. The lowest number of thrips was recorded on imidacloprid-pr ofenofos-spinetoram- \(\lambda\)-cyhalothrin(I-P-S-L) treatment followed by spinetoram-imidacloprid-prof enof os- \(\lambda\)-cyhalothrin(S-I-P-L) and profenofos- \(\lambda\)-cyhalothrin-imidacloprid-spinetoram (P-L-I-S) treatments in cool dry and hot dry periods, respectively (Figs. 1 and 2).

Insecticides applied in different sequences resulted in varied number of thrips. The lowest number of thrips was recorded from imidacloprid-prof enof os-spinetoram- \(\lambda\)-cyhalothrin(I-P-S-L) treatment followed by spinetoram-imidacloprid-prof enof os- \(\lambda\)-cyhalothrin(S-I-P-L) and profenofos- \(\lambda\)-cyhalothrin-imidacloprid-spinetoram (P-L-I-S) treatments in cool dry and hot dry periods, respectively (Figs. 1 and 2). On the other hand, the highest number of thrips was recorded on profenofos- \(\lambda\)-cyhalothrin-imidacloprid-spinetoram (P-L-I-S) and imidacloprid-
spinetoram- λ-cyhalothrin-profenofos (I-S-L-P) in cool dry and hot dry periods, respectively (Figs. 1 and 2).

Yield

All the tested insecticides, except lambda cyhalothrin applied sole or in rotation gave significantly higher marketable yields than the untreated check in both cool dry ($P < 0.0001$, $F = 13.7$, df = 9, 18) and hot dry ($P = 0.0002$, $F = 7.33$, df = 9, 18) periods. The highest marketable yield was obtained from sole applied imidacloprid in both cool dry and hot dry periods while the lowest yield across seasons was obtained in lambda cyhalothrin and untreated control (Table 2).

Economic returns

The highest net return was obtained from sole applied imidacloprid (US$ 9856.87) followed by the rotational
application of imidacloprid-profenofos-spinetoram-cyhalothrin treatment (I-P-S-L) (US$ 8679.3), while lowest net returns were obtained from λ-cyhalothrin (US$ 6486.1) and untreated control (US$ 6103.57) (Table 2).

**Effect of surfactant on efficacy of selected insecticides**

**Thrips population**

The thrips populations were significantly different among the treatments both in hot dry \((P < 0.0001, F = 35.2, df = 7, 16)\) and cool dry \((P < 0.0001, F = 278.7, df = 7, 16)\) periods. Also, the interaction between seasons and treatments varied significantly \((P < 0.0001, F = 14.04, df = 7, 28)\). A significantly higher number of thrips was counted on untreated check and surfactant alone treatments, both in hot dry and cool dry periods (Figs. 3 and 4). The insecticide dimethoate applied with surfactant resulted in a significantly lower number of thrips than without surfactant in both periods (Figs. 3 and 4). On the other hand differences with and without surfactant in the insecticide spinetoram and imidacloprid were not significant, although thrips number tended to be lower in surfactant added treatment than the corresponding without surfactant treatments (Figs. 3 and 4). The interactions between insecticides and surfactant was significant in cool dry period \((P = 0.0001, F = 15.81, df = 3, 14)\), but not significant in hot dry period \((P = 0.32, F = 1.26, df = 3, 14)\). However, the combined analysis of the two periods indicated that the interaction between surfactant and insecticides was significant \((P = 0.0005, F = 8.00, df = 3, 30)\).

**Yield**

Generally, significantly lower yield was obtained from the untreated check and surfactant alone treatments than the rest of the treatments both in hot dry \((P = 0.0002, F = 9.46, df = 7, 14)\) and cool dry \((P < 0.0001, F = 11.33, df = 7, 14)\) periods (Table 3). Higher marketable bulb yield was obtained from imidacloprid insecticide applied with surfactant than without. Surfactant added imidacloprid followed by spinetoram resulted in the highest yields (Table 3).

**Economic returns**

Higher net returns were obtained from insecticides applied with surfactant than without. The maximum net return was obtained from surfactant added imidacloprid (US$ 9513.1). The lowest net returns were obtained from surfactant alone treatment (US$ 6619.17) and untreated control (US$ 6640.26) (Table 3).

**Discussion**

Different classes of insecticides may have different performances because of their different inherent toxicities to insects as well as evolving resistance due to over dependence on a single insecticide product (Nault and Shelton 2010). Most of the ineffective insecticides are broad spectrum and have been in use in Ethiopia for over three decades. Vegetable growers in Ethiopia similar to farmers in several African countries rely on one effective product for the control of a particular pest.
Fig. 3 Number of thrips on onion treated with selected insecticides with and without surfactant in hot dry period, 2016. Different letters above bars denote significant differences between treatments at 5% level of probability by Student Newmans Keul’s (SNK) test.

(Tebkew and Getachew 2015; Belay et al. 2017). This practice poses a high selection pressure, which may result in the development of pesticide resistant population nullifying the controlling capacity of the product. Several examples of such cases have been reported (Tabashnik et al. 2009; Gao et al. 2012).

The study showed that sole application of the systemic insecticide imidacloprid and translaminar insecticide spinetoram were more effective than sole applied contact insecticides profenofos and λ-cyhalothrin. This could be due to the differences in the mode of action and/or possible presence of resistant thrips populations to one or more of the insecticides. In addition, because of their translocation to all tissues of the treated plant (Sanchez-Bayo et al. 2013) systemic insecticides such as imidacloprid showed effective control of sucking insects compared with contact insecticides such as profenofos 50EC and λ-cyhalothrin 2.5EC on cotton (Ahmed et al. 2014).

Deploying resistance management program, among others, by sequential application of insecticides with different mode of action is useful for sustainable use of insecticides in the IPM of thrips on onion (Gholam and Sadeghi 2016). The sequential application of different insecticides resulted in a lower number of thrips per plant than the sole application of a particular insecticide. The insecticides spinetoram and imidaclorpid were very effective in reducing thrips number.

Fig. 4 Number of thrips on onion treated with selected insecticides with and without surfactant in cool dry period, 2016/2017. Different letters above bars denote significant differences between treatments at 5% level of probability by Student Newmans Keul’s (SNK) test.
when the infestation was high during 5th and 6th application times. The less effective insecticide λ-cyhalothrin appeared to perform better when applied during low infestation level in the early and late crop growth stages. Nault and Shelton (2012) reported effective control of thrips with the insecticide Radiant (spintetoram) when applied during periods of high thrips population in a sequential insecticides application program. In a different study, Reitz (2014) also found effective control of thrips with the insecticide carbamate (Lannate) when applied at an early growth stages and with the insecticide Radiant (spintetoram) when applied at 3rd through the 8th application periods.

The tendency of thrips to congregate between new onion leaves, deep within the onion leaf axils, provides them with protection from spray coverage. In addition to this, the leaf surface of the onion is waxy and smooth which is barrier to adhere and spread the insecticide properly in the target group (Gangwar et al. 2016). This problem can be minimized by using surfactants (adjuvants) with insecticides as they could improve crop leaf penetration, and/or modify droplet sizes (Nansen et al. 2013). The current study showed that adding a penetrating surfactant improved the efficacy of dimethoate insecticide compared to without a penetrating surfactant in both seasons. Surfactants add to spinetoram and imidacloprid made small improvements, which were very effective when applied alone. Other studies have shown that use of surfactant with insecticides significantly increased the efficacy of insecticides and reduced the number of thrips per plant (Nault et al. 2013; Gangwar et al. 2016; Siebert et al. 2016).

In both experiments, treatments which effectively reduced the populations of thrips increased marketable yield compared to the untreated check. The mean marketable yield from the best performing treatments, which had the greatest effect in reducing the thrips population, increased the yield of onion with higher profitability. Many studies have reported that higher bulb yields were obtained with insecticides that reduced the density of thrips (Gachu et al. 2012; Pandey et al. 2013; Reitz 2014). Yield obtained from λ-cyhalothrin was similar to untreated control in both seasons, which had little effect on thrips population in this study. This is in agreement with reports of Nault and Shelton (2010) who reported similar thrips damage ratings in λ-cyhalothrin and untreated control. Similar to results from this study, Gangwar et al. (2016) found that the insecticides applied with surfactant reduced the severity of thrips damage and increased the bulb yield when compared with the insecticides without surfactant.

The partial economic analysis of thrips controls using insecticides indicated that the highest net returns were observed in plots sprayed with imidacloprid alone insecticides followed by the rotational application of imidacloprid-profenofos-spinetoram-λ-cyhalothrin treatment (I-P-S-L) (Table 2). Similar performance was observed in surfactant added insecticides treatment. In all cases, the most effective treatments gave the highest yields and gross returns. Ullah et al. (2010) reported higher net returns from more effective insecticides Confidor200SL against T. tabaci on onion.

### Conclusion

Performance of sole applied λ-cyhalothrin was similar to the untreated control in both seasons with the minimum net return. On the other hand, imidacloprid was effective in reducing thrips numbers and increased profits as compared to other effective insecticides such as spinetoram. However, sole application of one effective insecticide is not recommended due to the risks of resistance development to the insecticide in thrips population. The sequential application of spinetoram
and imidacloprid with less effective λ-cyhalothrin and profenofos by mixing with adjuvants is recommended for control of thrips as an integral component of thrips IPM in the CRV of Ethiopia. Further research with the purpose of assessing the effect of different adjuvants on improving the efficacy of registered insecticides for the control of thrips in Ethiopia is suggested.
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