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Abstract

We consider non-extremal, stationary, axion-dilaton solutions to ungauged symmetric supergravity
models, obtained by Harrison transformations of the non-extremal Kerr solution. We define a general
algebraic procedure, which can be viewed as an Inönü–Wigner contraction of the Noether-charge
matrix associated with the effective $D = 3$ sigma-model description of the solution, yielding, through
different singular limits, the known BPS and non-BPS extremal black holes (which include the under-
rotating non-BPS one). The non-extremal black hole can thus be thought of as “interpolating” among
these limit-solutions. The algebraic procedure that we define generalizes the known Rasheed-Larsen
limit which yielded, in the Kaluza-Klein theory, the first instance of under-rotating extremal solution.
As an example of our general result, we discuss in detail the non-extremal solution in the $T^3$-model,
with either $q_0$, $p^1$ or $p^0$, $q_1$ charges switched on, and its singular limits. Such solutions, computed in
$D = 3$ through the solution-generating technique, is completely described in terms of $D = 4$ fields,
which include the fully integrated vector fields.
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1 Introduction

The study of stationary black holes in superstring/supergravity theories is a branch of research which has witnessed important progresses in the last two decades or so [1]. Initially, special attention was devoted to BPS and in general extremal solutions by virtue of their universal near-horizon behavior, due to the attractor phenomenon [2]. Multicenter extremal solutions in $D = 4$ have also been extensively studied in recent years [3, 4, 5, 6].

Our knowledge of non-extremal, stationary solutions is more restricted, due to the less constrained form of the space-time metric. The known examples are typically obtained through the so called solution-generating techniques [7]. The idea underlying this approach is that stationary solutions to $D = 4$ supergravity are also solutions to an Euclidean theory in three dimensions, formally obtained by compactifying the $D = 4$ parent model along the time-direction [8] and dualizing all the vector fields into scalars. The resulting $D = 3$ theory is a sigma-model coupled to gravity and has the desirable feature of having a larger global symmetry group than the original four-dimensional model. The extra symmetries can be used to generate new four-dimensional solutions from known ones. These symmetries, for instance, include the Harrison transformations which can generate electric and magnetic charges when acting on a neutral solution like the Schwarzschild or the Kerr black hole. The relevant physical properties of stationary black holes in four dimensions are thus conveniently described by the orbits of such solutions with respect to the action of the $D = 3$ global symmetry group $G_{(3)}$.

It is a commonly accepted statement in the black-hole literature that extremal solutions in supergravity can be obtained as limits of non-extremal ones. In the extremal limit a certain extremality parameter, related to the Hawking temperature of the black hole, is sent to zero. Limits yielding BPS (rotating and non-rotating) solutions were first defined in [9]. An other non-trivial example of extremal limit was defined in [10] (which we shall refer to as the Rasheed-Larsen limit), and allowed to find the first instance of extremal under-rotating (i.e. without ergosphere) solutions from a given non-extremal one in the $D = 4$ theory obtained, through Kaluza–Klein reduction, from pure gravity in $D = 5$. In [11] it was shown that the extremal solution to the Kaluza-Klein theory was duality-related to the class of solutions studied in the same work. In [11] we generalized the Rasheed-Larsen limit to a non extremal stationary black hole in the $T^3$ model, obtaining the non-BPS under-rotating solution through a singular Harrison transformation on a non-extremal Kerr one.

The aim of the present note is to generalize this limit prescription to generic symmetric, extended supergravities in order to obtain the most general, single center, extremal solution modulo the action of the global symmetry group $G_{(3)}$ (seed solution with respect to the action of $G_{(3)}$). To this end we construct a non-extremal rotating, asymptotically-flat black hole by acting by means of suitable Harrison transformations on the non-extremal Kerr solution. This transformation should switch on the minimal set of charges which allows to obtain, in the appropriate limit, the representatives of the $G_{(3)}$-orbits of all the extremal BPS and non-BPS $D = 4$ solutions.

We shall restrict ourselves to axisymmetric, stationary, asymptotically flat solutions. A valuable tool in order to study the effect of global symmetry transformations on a solution is the Noether-charge matrix $Q$ which encodes, in the $D = 3$ description, the ADM-mass, electric-magnetic charges, NUT charge and scalar charges at infinity. Another valuable tool is the constant matrix $Q_\psi$, first introduced in [11], which is associated with the rotation of the solution and is defined as:

$$Q_\psi = -\frac{3}{4\pi} \int_{S^2} \psi_i J^i_\phi dx^i \wedge dx^j ,$$

(1.1)

$J_i, i = 1, 2, 3$ being the conserved $D = 3$ Noether-current and $\psi = \partial_\varphi$ the angular Killing vector.
Both \( Q \) and \( Q_\psi \) belong to the Lie algebra of \( G_{(3)} \) and thus transform covariantly under its adjoint action. The angular momentum \( M_\phi \) coincides with the component of \( Q_\psi \) along a specific generator. In [11] the regularity condition for (non)-extremal solutions was written in terms of \( Q \) and \( Q_\psi \) in a \( G_{(3)} \)-invariant fashion:

\[
m^2 \geq \alpha^2 \iff \text{Tr}[Q^2] \geq \frac{2}{k} \frac{\text{Tr}[Q_\psi^2]}{\text{Tr}[Q^2]},
\]

(1.2)

Regular non-extremal solutions are characterized by semisimple \( Q \), \( Q_\psi \), while these matrices, for extremal solutions, are nilpotent [12, 13]. There have been considerable efforts toward the classification of extremal solutions in supergravity by means of nilpotent orbits [14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22]. As we shall show, the limit prescriptions that we define, and which yield regular extremal solutions, are such that both \( Q \) and \( Q_\psi \) become nilpotent, but \( Q_\psi \) has a lower degree of nilpotency than \( Q \), so that both sides of (1.2) vanish separately.

By generalizing the original Rasheed-Larsen limit, our prescription amounts to sending the Harrison parameters either to \( +\infty \) or to \( -\infty \), and, at the same time, sending the mass, as well as the ratio of the angular momentum to the mass of the original Kerr solution, to zero. The effect of this operation is an Inönü-Wigner contraction of \( Q \) and \( Q_\psi \) which turns them from semisimple to nilpotent. Depending on which of the Harrison parameters are sent to plus and which to minus infinity, the resulting \( Q \) matrices provide representatives of the relevant \( G_{(3)} \)-orbits of all the regular extremal solutions. The original non-extremal rotating solution can thus be viewed as “interpolating” among the different extremal BPS and non-BPS limits and, as long as we consider seed solutions with respect to the action of \( G_{(3)} \), it also has a universal character. Indeed representatives of the \( G_{(3)} \)-orbits of regular extremal solutions in the maximal, half-maximal, as well as \( N = 2 \) models with rank-3 symmetric special Kähler manifold, can be obtained as limits of a single non-extremal rotating solution to the \( STU \) model. These non-extremal solutions were also considered in [7, 23, 24, 25, 26], although for a different purpose [1].

The paper is organized as follows. In Sect. 2 we describe the general mathematical setting and review the solution-generating technique. Sect. 3 is the core of this note: We define the minimal set of charges which suffices to produce the most general solution modulo the action of \( G_{(3)} \); We then motivate the role of the \( STU \) model as a universal subsector of \( N > 2 \) or rank-3 symmetric \( N = 2 \) supergravities, in the sense described above; Eventually we characterize the physical properties of the non-extremal Harrison-transformed solution through the matrices \( Q \) and \( Q_\psi \) and define the limits which yield BPS (static and rotating singular) and non-BPS under-rotating solutions. This unifying geometric procedure includes previously found limits to BPS solutions [9]. Finally, in Sect. 4 we derive the explicit form of the interpolating solution in the \( T^3 \) model and work out the extremal limits. The complete non-extremal \( D = 4 \) solution is written for both the minimal sets of charges \((q_0, p^1)\) and \((p^0, q_1)\) of the model, including the fully-integrated vector potentials. Such complete \( D = 4 \) description of these solutions, to our knowledge, was not present in the literature.

In the final stage of preparation of the manuscript, the work [28] came out, in which the \( D = 3 \) description of the non-extremal solution in the \( STU \) model is given.

## 2 The General Setting

In this section we define the mathematical setting and review the solution-generating technique. We consider stationary solutions in an extended, ungauged \( D = 4 \) supergravity with \( n_s \) scalar and \( n_v \),

\(^1\)See also, in the same context, Ref. [27].
vector fields. We shall use the notations of \[21\]. The scalar fields $\phi^s$ parametrize a homogeneous, symmetric scalar manifold of the form:

$$M_{\text{scal}}^{(D=4)} = \frac{G_4}{H_4},$$  \quad (2.1)$$

$G_4$ being the semisimple isometry group and $H_4$ its maximal compact subgroup. The group $G_4$ also defines the global on-shell symmetry of the theory through its combined action on the scalar fields and on the vector field strengths and their magnetic duals as an electric-magnetic duality group. The $D = 4$ stationary metric we start from has the general form:

$$ds^2 = -e^{2U} (dt + \omega)^2 + e^{-2U} g_{ij}^{(3)} dx^i dx^j, \quad i, j = 1, 2, 3,$$

where $\omega = \omega_i dx^i, i = 1, 2, 3$.

Upon formally reducing to three dimensions along the time direction and dualizing the vector fields to scalar fields, according to the prescription of \[8\], we end up with a sigma model coupled to gravity, whose Lagrangian has the form:

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{g^{(3)}}} L_{(3)} = \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{R} - \frac{1}{2} G_{ab}(z) \partial_i z^a \partial^i z^b =$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{R} - [\partial_i U \partial^i U + \frac{1}{2} G_{rs} \partial_r \phi^r \partial^s \phi^s + \frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{-2U} \partial_r Z M(4)_r \partial^i Z +$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{-4U} (\partial_i a + Z T C \partial_i Z)(\partial^j a + 2 Z^T C \partial^j Z)],$$  \quad (2.3)$$

where $g^{(3)} \equiv \text{det}(g^{(3)})$. Here, all the propagating degrees of freedom have been reduced to scalars by 3D Hodge-dualization. In particular, the scalars $Z = (Z^\Lambda, \bar{Z}_\bar{\Lambda}) = \{Z^M\}$ include the electric components $A^\Lambda_i$ of the 4D vector fields together with the Hodge dual of their magnetic components $A^\Lambda_i$ (i = 1, 2, 3) and $a$ is related to the Hodge-dual of the 3D Kaluza-Klein vector $\omega_i$. More precisely,

$$A^\Lambda_i = A^\Lambda_i(dt + \omega) + A^\Lambda_i dx^i,$$

$$F^\Lambda = \left( \begin{array}{c} F_\Lambda^A \\ G_\Lambda(4) \end{array} \right) = dZ^M \wedge (dt + \omega) + e^{-2U} C^{MN} M(4)_{NP} \ast^s dZ^P,$$

$$da = -e^{4U} \ast^s d\omega - Z T C dZ,$$  \quad (2.6)$$

where $F_\Lambda^A = dA_\Lambda^A$, $G_\Lambda(4) = -\frac{1}{2} \ast^s \left( \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial \phi^s} \right)$, $M(4)(\phi)$ is the negative-definite symmetric, symplectic matrix depending on 4D scalar fields and $\ast^s$ denotes the Hodge-duality operation in $D = 3$. The symplectic vector $F^M$ transforms under the duality action of $G_4$ in a symplectic representation, $\mathbf{R}$. The scalar fields of the $D = 3$ sigma-model, $(\phi^i) \equiv \{U, a, \phi^s, Z^M\}$, span a homogeneous-symmetric, pseudo-Riemannian scalar manifold $M_{\text{scal}}$ of the form

$$M_{\text{scal}} = \frac{G(3)}{H^*}.$$  \quad (2.7)$$

The isometry group $G(3)$ of the target space is the global symmetry group of $L_{(3)}$ and $H^*$ is a suitable non-compact semisimple maximal subgroup of it. We refer the reader to Appendix A for the details about the geometry of $M_{\text{scal}}$.

The scalar fields $\phi^i$ define a local solvable parametrization of the coset (see Appendix A), and the coset representative is chosen to be

$$L(\phi^i) = \exp(-a T_\bullet) \exp(\sqrt{2} Z^M T_M) \exp(\phi^i T_i) \exp(2 U H_0),$$  \quad (2.8)$$
where \( T_A = \{ H_0, T_\star, T_x, T_M \} \) are the solvable generators defined in Appendix A. Since the generators \( T_M \) transform under the adjoint action of \( G_A \subset G_{(3)} \) in the symplectic duality representation \( R \) of the electric-magnetic charges, we shall use for them the following notation: \( (T_M) = (T_{\eta A}, T_{p A}) \).

All the formulas related to the group \( G_{(3)} \) and its Lie algebra \( g \) are referred to a matrix representation in which the subalgebra \( \mathfrak{H}^* \) of \( g \), Lie algebra of \( H^* \), and its orthogonal complement \( \mathfrak{R}^* \) are defined by a pseudo-Cartan involution \( \sigma \) acting on a matrix \( M \) as \( \sigma(M) = -\eta M^\dagger \eta \), where \( \eta \) is a suitable \( H^* \)-invariant metric (see appendix A).

It is also useful to introduce the hermitian, \( H^* \)-invariant matrix \( \mathcal{M} \) which, in a chosen matrix representation, reads:

\[
\mathcal{M}(\phi^I) = L_{\eta L^I} = M^\dagger.
\] (2.9)

In terms of \( \mathcal{M} \) we can write the \( D = 3 \) Noether-current associated with a stationary solution \( \phi^I(x^i) \):

\[
J_i \equiv \frac{1}{2} \partial_i \phi^I \mathcal{M}^{-1} \partial_I \mathcal{M}.
\] (2.10)

The \( g \)-valued Noether-charge matrix reads:

\[
Q = \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{S_2} \* s J = \frac{1}{4\pi} \int \sqrt{g^{(3)}} J^r d\theta d\phi,
\] (2.11)

the index of \( J \) being raised using \( g^{(3)ij} \).

Restricting to axisymmetric solutions and denoting by \( \psi = \partial_\varphi \) the angular Killing vector, all the fields will only depend on \( x^m = (r, \theta) \). It is useful to describe the global rotation of the solution by means of a new \( g \)-valued matrix \( Q_\psi \), first defined in [11] as:

\[
Q_\psi = -\frac{3}{4\pi} \int_{S^2} \* s J J_i dx^i \wedge dx^j = \frac{3}{8\pi} \int_{S^2} \sqrt{g^{(3)}} J_\theta d\theta d\phi.
\] (2.12)

The ADM-mass, NUT-charge, electric and magnetic charges \( \Gamma^M = (p^A, q_A) \), scalar charges \( \Sigma_s \) and angular momentum \( M_\varphi \), associated with the solution are then obtained as components of \( Q \) and \( Q_\psi \):

\[
M_{ADM} = k \text{Tr}(H^i_0 Q), \quad n_{NUT} = -k \text{Tr}(T^i_\star Q), \quad \Gamma^M = \sqrt{2} k \text{C}^{MN} \text{Tr}(T^i_N Q), \quad \Sigma_s = k \text{Tr}(T^i_s Q), \quad M_\varphi = k \text{Tr}(T^i_\varphi Q_\psi),
\] (2.13)

Being \( G_{(3)} \) the global symmetry group of the \( D = 3 \) theory, its action on any solution \( \phi^I(x^i) \) yields another solution \( \phi^I(x^i) \), related to the original one through the matrix equation:

\[
\mathcal{M}(\phi^I(x^i)) = g \mathcal{M}(\phi^I(x^i)) g^\dagger.
\] (2.14)

If a solution is defined by a unique point at radial infinity \( \phi_0 = (\phi_0^I) \), \( \phi_0^I = \lim_{r \to \infty} \phi^I \), we can always map \( \phi_0 \) into the origin \( O \) of the manifold, defined by \( \phi_0^I \equiv 0 \), by means of a transformation in \( G_{(3)}/H^* \). Thus when studying the properties of these solutions with respect to the action of \( G_{(3)} \), without loss of generality we can fix the point at infinity to coincide with the origin \( \phi_0 \equiv O \). This choice breaks \( G_{(3)} \) to the isotropy group \( H^* \). As a consequence of this the two matrices \( Q, Q_\psi \) always lie in the coset space \( \mathfrak{R}^* \).

Among the \( H^* \)-transformations, a special role is played by the Harrison transformations, which are generated by the non-compact generators \( \mathfrak{J}_M \) of \( \mathfrak{H}^* \):

\[
\mathfrak{J}_M \equiv \frac{1}{2} (T_M + T_M^\dagger).
\] (2.15)
The space \( J(R) \) spanned by \( J_M \) is the carrier of a representation \( R \) with respect to the adjoint action of the maximal compact subgroup \( H_c \) of \( H^* \). This group has the general form \( H_c = U(1)_E \times H_4 \), \( U(1)_E \) being contained in the Ehlers group \( SL(2, \mathbb{R})_E \).

We also define the subspace \( \mathcal{K}(R) \) of the coset space \( R^* \) spanning the negative-signature directions of the metric, and defining, just as \( \mathcal{J}(R) \), the support of a representation \( R \) of \( H_c \). Its compact generators have, in the chosen matrix representation, the form:

\[
\mathcal{K}_M = \frac{1}{2} (T_M - T_M^\dagger). \quad (2.16)
\]

### 3 The Symmetric Models

We consider in this Section the solution obtained by acting on the non-extremal Kerr black hole by means of the maximal number of commuting Harrison transformations. These are generated by the maximal abelian subspace \( \mathcal{J}^{(N)} \) of \( \mathcal{J}(R) \). From general group theoretical arguments, it follows that the dimension of such space is nothing but the rank of the coset \( H^*/H_c \):

\[
p = \dim(\mathcal{J}^{(N)}) = \text{rank} \left( \frac{H^*}{H_c} \right). \quad (3.1)
\]

This number coincides with the number of parameters of the normal form of a generic vector in the symplectic representation \( R \) (i.e. the representation of the electric and magnetic charges), with respect to the action of \( H_c \). By this we mean that \( \mathcal{J}^{(N)} \) is the minimal subspace in which a generic representative, \( \xi^M \mathcal{J}_M \), of \( \mathcal{J}(R) \) can be rotated by means of an \( H_c \) transformation. Let us recall that \( H_c = U(1)_E \times H_4 \), so that the total number of parameters of the normal form of the same vector with respect to the action of \( H_4 \) alone is \( p + 1 \), i.e. the \( D = 4 \) seed solution, with respect to the action of \( H_4 \) is a \((p + 1)\)-parameter solution.

The above discussion also applies to the space of compact generators \( \mathcal{K}^{(R)} \) in the coset, for which we can define a normal subspace \( \mathcal{K}^{(N)} \) of dimension \( p \). This proves that the charges of the most general solution can always be reduced in number to \( p \) by means of the action of the global symmetry group in \( D = 3 \).

In the maximal supergravity, for example, \( p = \text{rank} \left( \frac{SO^*(16)}{U(8)} \right) = 4 \), the same being true for the half-maximal theory, \( p = \text{rank} \left( \frac{SO(6,2) \times SO(2,6+n)}{SO(2)^n \times SO(6+n)} \right) = 4 \), and for the \( N = 2 \) symmetric models with rank-3 scalar manifold in \( D = 4 \) (for this class of theories, \( p = \text{rank} + 1 \)). The simplest representative of the latter class of models is the \( STU \) one, which is a consistent truncation of all the others, besides being a truncation of the maximal and half-maximal theories. Therefore the space \( \mathcal{J}^{(N)} \) is contained in the spaces of Harrison generators \( \mathcal{J}(R) \) of all the above mentioned symmetric models, and thus, for the sake of simplicity, we can restrict ourselves to the simplest \( STU \) model and act on its Kerr solution by means of a transformation generated by \( \mathcal{J}^{(N)} \). As far as the \( N = 2 \) theories are concerned, we also have lower rank models such as the rank-2 model \( ST^2 \), with \( p = 3 \), and the rank-1 \( T^3 \)-model with \( p = 1 \), which we shall be dealing with in next section.

We shall use the notations of \([15,21,11]\). Let us denote by \( \mathcal{J}_\ell \) the generators of \( \mathcal{J}^{(N)} \) and \( \mathcal{K}_\ell \) those of \( \mathcal{K}^{(N)} \). These two spaces define, together with the generators \( \mathcal{H}_\ell = -[\mathcal{J}_\ell, \mathcal{K}_\ell] \), a universal subgroup \( SL(2, \mathbb{R})^p \), each factor being generated by the triple \( \{ \mathcal{K}_\ell, \mathcal{J}_\ell, \mathcal{H}_\ell \}, \ell = 0, \ldots, p - 1 \).

\(^2\)With an abuse of notation, we use the same symbol \( R \) to denote the symplectic duality representation of \( G_4 \) and the corresponding representation of \( H_c \), both being related to the electric and magnetic charges.

\(^3\)There are also the \( N = 2 \) models with rank-1 special Kähler scalar manifold of the form \( U(1, n)/[U \times U(n)] \), which we are not going to deal with here.
If the $D = 4$ theory results from the dimensional reduction of a $D = 5$ one on a circle, then the field strengths and their magnetic duals transform, with respect to the action of the $D = 4$ duality group $G_4$, in a specific symplectic frame. In this frame, which in the $\mathcal{N} = 2$ theories is the special-coordinate one, there are two spaces $J^{(N)}$ (and thus $K^{(N)}$) defined respectively by the generators $\{T_\ell\} = \{T_{q_0}, T_{p_1}\}$ or $\{T_\ell\} = \{T_{p^0}, T_{q_1}\}$ [15]:

$$K_\ell = \frac{T_\ell - T_\ell^\dagger}{2}; \quad J_\ell = \frac{T_\ell + T_\ell^\dagger}{2}. \quad (3.2)$$

We shall distinguish the second set of charges from the former one by means of a prime on the corresponding generators. The two sets of charges $\{q_0, p^1\}$ and $\{p^0, q_1\}$ define indeed the two normal forms of the electric-magnetic charge vector with respect to the action of $H_c$.

Consider now the Harrison transformations:

$$O_{(q_0,p^1)} = e^{\log(\beta_\ell)J_\ell}; \quad O_{(p^0,q_1)} = e^{\log(\beta_\ell)J_\ell'}, \quad (3.3)$$

and act by means of them on the non-extremal Kerr solution. If $L(\phi^I)$ is the coset representative of the $D = 3$ sigma model, and $M(\phi^I) = L(\phi^I)\eta L(\phi^I)^\dagger$, the new $D = 3$ solution $\phi^I(r, \theta)$ is obtained by solving the matrix equation:

$$M(\phi^I(r, \theta)) = O M(\phi_k^I(r, \theta)) O^\dagger, \quad (3.4)$$

where $O$ generically denotes any of the two transformations in [3], while $\phi_k^I(r, \theta)$ are the $D = 3$ scalar fields defining the Kerr solution. In the next section we shall work out explicitly this solution in the $T^3$ model and derive the full $D = 4$ one for the two normal sets of charges. Here we shall make a general analysis by considering the effect of the above transformations on the charges and defining the relevant extremal limits. Although we consider here the $STU$ model, the analysis applies to lower rank models as well, as we shall illustrate in the next section. In the following we shall also use a real matrix representation of $G_{(3)}$, in which the Harrison generators are symmetric, so that $O^\dagger = O^T = O$.

The Noether-charge matrix $Q$ and the matrix $Q_\psi$ associated with the rotation of the solution, for the Kerr black hole read [11]:

$$Q^{(K)} = 2m H_0; \quad Q_\psi^{(K)} = 2m \alpha T^\bullet. \quad (3.5)$$

The corresponding matrices for the transformed solution are readily evaluated from [6,4]:

$$Q = O^{-1} Q^{(K)} O; \quad Q_\psi = O^{-1} Q_\psi^{(K)} O. \quad (3.6)$$

To compute the new matrices it is useful to express $Q^{(K)}$ and $Q_\psi^{(K)}$ in terms of eigenmatrices of the adjoint action of $J_\ell$ on $\mathfrak{h}^\ast$. These have the form $N^\vec{a}$, $\vec{w}$ being a subset of the roots of $G_{(3)}$ such that:

$$[J_\ell, N^\vec{a}] = w_\ell N^\vec{a}. \quad (3.7)$$

The advantage of this notation is that a boost generated by the $J_\ell$’s amounts to a rescaling of these nilpotent matrices:

$$e^{-\log(\beta_\ell) J_\ell} N^\vec{w} e^{\log(\beta_\ell) J_\ell} = \frac{1}{\beta_\ell^{\vec{w}}} N^\vec{w}. \quad (3.8)$$

As far as the $STU$ model is concerned ($p = 4$) we have:

$$\vec{w} = \left\{ \{\pm 1, 0, 0, 0\}, \{0, \pm 1, 0, 0\}, \{0, 0, \pm 1, 0\}, \{0, 0, 0, \pm 1\}, \frac{1}{2} \{\epsilon_0, \epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, \epsilon_3\} \right\}, \quad (3.9)$$
where $\epsilon_\ell = \pm 1$ ($\ell = 0, 1, 2, 3$). As far as the last roots $\vec{w} = \frac{1}{2}\{\epsilon_0, \epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, \epsilon_3\}$ are concerned, they are eight since, depending on the chosen normal form, the following constraints on the signatures hold:

\[
\begin{align*}
\prod_{\ell=0}^{3} \epsilon_\ell &= 1, \quad (q_0, p^i) \\
\prod_{\ell=0}^{3} \epsilon_\ell &= -1, \quad \ (p^0, q_i).
\end{align*}
\] (3.10)

For the sake of notational simplicity we shall define $N^{\alpha\beta}_\ell \equiv N^{(\alpha_0, \epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, \epsilon_3)}$. One can verify the general properties

\[
\begin{align*}
Q^{(K)} &= \frac{m}{2} \sum_{\ell=0}^{3} \sum_{\epsilon_\ell = \pm 1} \frac{1}{\beta_\ell^\epsilon} N^{\alpha\beta}_\ell, \\
Q^{(K)}_\psi &= \left\{ \begin{array}{ll}
\frac{m}{2} \sum_{\ell=1}^{3} \sum_{\epsilon_\ell = 1} \frac{1}{\beta_\ell^\epsilon} N^{2(\epsilon_0, \epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, \epsilon_3)}, & (q_0, p^i) - \text{case} \\
\frac{m}{2} \sum_{\ell=-1}^{3} \sum_{\epsilon_\ell = -1} \frac{1}{\beta_\ell^\epsilon} N^{2(\epsilon_0, \epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, \epsilon_3)}, & (p^0, q_i) - \text{case}
\end{array} \right.
\] (3.11)

Using Eqs (3.6), (3.8), (3.11) we can compute the transformed charge-matrices:

\[
\begin{align*}
Q &= \frac{m}{2} \sum_{\ell=0}^{3} \sum_{\epsilon_\ell = \pm 1} \frac{1}{\beta_\ell^\epsilon} N^{\alpha\beta}_\ell, \\
Q_\psi &= \frac{m}{2} \sum_{\ell=1}^{3} \sum_{\epsilon_\ell = 1} \frac{1}{\Pi_\ell \beta_\ell^\epsilon} N^{2(\epsilon_0, \epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, \epsilon_3)}, \quad (q_0, p^i) - \text{case}, \\
Q_\psi &= \frac{m}{2} \sum_{\ell=-1}^{3} \sum_{\epsilon_\ell = -1} \frac{1}{\Pi_\ell \beta_\ell^\epsilon} N^{2(\epsilon_0, \epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, \epsilon_3)}, \quad (p^0, q_i) - \text{case}.
\end{align*}
\]

The ADM mass, the electric and magnetic charges and angular momentum are computed from $Q$ and $Q_\psi$ to be:

$(q_0, p^i)$-case.

\[
\begin{align*}
M_{\text{ADM}} &= \frac{m}{8} \sum_{\ell=0}^{3} \sum_{\epsilon_\ell = \pm 1} \frac{1}{\beta_\ell^\epsilon}, \quad q_0 = \frac{m}{2\sqrt{2}} \sum_{\epsilon_0 = \pm 1} \frac{\epsilon_0}{\beta_0^\epsilon}, \quad p^i = \frac{m}{2\sqrt{2}} \sum_{\epsilon_i = \pm 1} \frac{\epsilon_i}{\beta_i^\epsilon}, \\
M_\psi &= \frac{m}{8} \sum_{\ell=1}^{3} \sum_{\epsilon_\ell = 1} \frac{1}{\Pi_\ell \beta_\ell^\epsilon}, \quad (q_0, p^i) - \text{case}.
\end{align*}
\] (3.12)

The evaluation of the quartic $G_4$-invariant of the representation $R$ of the electric and magnetic charges gives:

\[
I_4(p, q) = 4 \ q_0 \ p_1^3 \ p_2^3 \ p_3^3.
\] (3.13)

$(p^0, q_i)$-case.

\[
\begin{align*}
M_{\text{ADM}} &= \frac{m}{8} \sum_{\ell=0}^{3} \sum_{\epsilon_\ell = \pm 1} \frac{1}{\beta_\ell^\epsilon}, \quad p^0 = \frac{m}{2\sqrt{2}} \sum_{\epsilon_0 = \pm 1} \frac{\epsilon_0}{\beta_0^\epsilon}, \quad q_i = \frac{m}{2\sqrt{2}} \sum_{\epsilon_i = \pm 1} \frac{\epsilon_i}{\beta_i^\epsilon}, \\
M_\psi &= \frac{m}{8} \sum_{\ell=-1}^{3} \sum_{\epsilon_\ell = -1} \frac{1}{\Pi_\ell \beta_\ell^\epsilon}, \quad (p^0, q_i) - \text{case}.
\end{align*}
\] (3.14)

the quartic invariant reads in this case:

\[
I_4(p, q) = -4 \ p_0 \ q_2 q_3 q_3.
\] (3.15)

\footnote{Below we use the notation $\sum_{\prod_{\ell=1}^3}$ to indicate the sum over all values of $\epsilon_\ell = \pm 1$, constrained by the condition $\prod_{\ell=0}^{3} \epsilon_\ell = \pm 1$.}
3.1 Extremal Limits

Next we discuss singular limits of the above solutions effected by sending the Harrison parameters \( \beta_\ell \) to zero or infinity and, at the same time, the Kerr parameters \( m \) and \( \alpha \) to zero. This limiting procedure extends to symmetric supergravities the procedure found by Rasheed and Larsen for the Kaluza-Klein theory.

Let us start redefining:

\[
\beta_\ell = m^{\sigma_\ell} \alpha_\ell \; ; \; \alpha = m \Omega, \tag{3.16}
\]

where \( \sigma_\ell = \pm 1 \). Next we send \( m \) to zero by keeping \( \alpha_\ell \) and \( \Omega \) fixed. As we illustrate below, the effect of this limit is to make the matrices \( Q \) and \( Q_\psi \) nilpotent, thus making the resulting solution extremal. Depending on the choice of \( \sigma_\ell \), we can recover in the limit all the \( G_4 \) orbits of the electric and magnetic charges. In particular, as far as the regular extremal solutions are concerned, these orbits are\[29]:

\[
\text{BPS : } I_4(p, q) > 0 \; \text{Z}_3\text{-symmetry on the } p^i \text{ and the } q_i, \\
\text{non-BPS}_1 : I_4(p, q) > 0 \; \text{no } \text{Z}_3\text{-symmetry,} \\
\text{non-BPS}_2 : I_4(p, q) < 0.
\]

Let us consider the two normal forms separately.

- \((q_0, p^i)\)-case.

The rescaling produces the following expressions of the relevant physical quantities:

\[
M_{\text{ADM}} = \frac{1}{8} \sum_{\ell=0}^{3} \sum_{\epsilon_{\ell}=\pm 1} m^{1-\sigma_\ell \epsilon_\ell} \alpha_\ell^{\epsilon_\ell}, \quad q_0 = \frac{1}{2 \sqrt{2}} \sum_{\epsilon_{\ell}=\pm 1} \epsilon_\ell m^{1-\sigma_\ell \epsilon_\ell} \alpha_0^{\epsilon_\ell}, \quad p^i = -\frac{1}{2 \sqrt{2}} \sum_{\epsilon_{\ell}=\pm 1} \epsilon_\ell m^{1-\sigma_\ell \epsilon_\ell} \alpha_i^{\epsilon_\ell},
\]

\[
M_\varphi = \frac{\Omega}{8} \sum_{\ell=1}^{3} \frac{m^2}{\prod_{\ell} \sigma_\ell^{\epsilon_\ell}} \prod_{\ell} \alpha_\ell^{\epsilon_\ell}. \tag{3.17}
\]

Notice that, in the limit \( m \to 0 \), the only terms surviving are those for which \( \epsilon_\ell = \sigma_\ell \) and the resulting values of the ADM mass and the electric and magnetic charges read:

\[
M_{\text{ADM}} = \frac{1}{8} \sum_{\ell=0}^{3} \frac{1}{\alpha_\ell^{\epsilon_\ell}}, \quad q_0 = \frac{1}{2 \sqrt{2}} \frac{\sigma_0}{\alpha_0^{\epsilon_\ell}}, \quad p^i = -\frac{1}{2 \sqrt{2}} \frac{\sigma_i}{\alpha_i^{\epsilon_\ell}}. \tag{3.18}
\]

The value of the quartic invariant is:

\[
I_4(p, q) = \frac{1}{16} \prod_{\ell} \sigma_\ell^{\epsilon_\ell} \left( -\prod_{\ell} \sigma_\ell \right). \tag{3.19}
\]

On the other hand \( M_\varphi \) contains non-vanishing terms in the \( m \to 0 \) limit, only if \( \prod_\ell \sigma_\ell = 1 \) (i.e. \( I_4 < 0 \)). As a consequence of this, only the non-BPS\(_2\) solution has a residual angular momentum. This is the under-rotating (single center) solution discussed in \[4\]. In this case the angular momentum reads:

\[
M_\varphi = \frac{\Omega}{8 \prod_{\ell} \alpha_\ell^{\epsilon_\ell}} = \frac{\Omega}{2} \sqrt{|I_4(p, q)|}. \tag{3.20}
\]

Summarizing we find:

- BPS : \( \prod_\ell \sigma_\ell = -1 \), \( \sigma_1 = \sigma_2 = \sigma_3 \), \( M_\varphi = 0 \),
- non-BPS\(_1\) : \( \prod_\ell \sigma_\ell = -1 \), \( \sigma_i \) not all equal \( M_\varphi = 0 \),
- non-BPS\(_2\) : \( \prod_\ell \sigma_\ell = 1 \), \( M_\varphi \neq 0 \).
• \((p^0, q_i)\)-case.

The rescaling produces the following expressions of the relevant physical quantities:

\[ M_{\text{ADM}} = \frac{1}{8} \sum_{\ell=0}^{3} \sum_{\epsilon_\ell = \pm 1} \frac{m^{1 - \sigma_\ell \epsilon_\ell}}{\alpha_\ell^{\epsilon_\ell}}, \quad p^0 = -\frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}} \sum_{\epsilon_0 = \pm 1} \frac{\epsilon_0 m^{1 - \sigma_0 \epsilon_0}}{\alpha_0^{\epsilon_0}}, \quad q_i = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}} \sum_{\epsilon_i = \pm 1} \frac{\epsilon_i m^{1 - \sigma_i \epsilon_i}}{\alpha_i^{\epsilon_i}}, \]

\[ M_\varphi = \frac{\Omega}{8} \sum_{\ell = -1}^{1} \frac{m^{2 - \frac{2}{\phi}} \sum_{\epsilon_\ell} \sigma_\ell \epsilon_\ell}{\prod_\ell \alpha_\ell^{\epsilon_\ell}}. \tag{3.21} \]

As in the previous case, in the limit \(m \to 0\), the only terms surviving are those for which \(\epsilon_\ell = \sigma_\ell\) and the resulting values of the ADM mass and the electric and magnetic charges read:

\[ M_{\text{ADM}} = \frac{1}{8} \sum_{\ell=0}^{3} \frac{1}{\alpha_\ell^{\epsilon_\ell}}, \quad q_0 = -\frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}} \frac{\sigma_0}{\alpha_0^{\epsilon_0}}, \quad p^i = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}} \frac{\sigma_i}{\alpha_i^{\epsilon_i}}. \tag{3.22} \]

The value of the quartic invariant is:

\[ I_4(p, q) = \frac{1}{16} \prod_\ell \alpha_\ell^{\epsilon_\ell} \left( \prod_\ell \sigma_\ell \right). \tag{3.23} \]

Similarly to the \((q_0, p^i)\)-case, in the \(m \to 0\) limit \(M_\varphi\) contains non-vanishing terms only if \(\prod_\ell \sigma_\ell = -1\) (i.e. \(I_4 < 0\)). Consequently only the non-BPS_2 solution has a residual angular momentum:

\[ M_\varphi = \frac{\Omega}{8} \prod_\ell \alpha_\ell^{\epsilon_\ell} = \frac{\Omega}{2} \sqrt{|I_4(p, q)|}, \tag{3.24} \]

yielding the under-rotating (single center) solution discussed in [4]. Summarizing we find:

\[
\text{BPS : } \prod_\ell \sigma_\ell = 1, \quad \sigma_1 = \sigma_2 = \sigma_3, \quad M_\varphi = 0, \\
\text{non-BPS}_1 : \prod_\ell \sigma_\ell = 1, \quad \sigma_i \text{ not all equal }, \quad M_\varphi = 0, \\
\text{non-BPS}_2 : \prod_\ell \sigma_\ell = -1, \quad M_\varphi \neq 0.
\]

In the two cases discussed above, in the \(m \to 0\) limit both the matrices \(Q\) and \(Q_\psi\) become nilpotent. In the non-BPS_2 case:

\[ Q = \frac{1}{2} \sum_\ell \frac{1}{\alpha_\ell^{\epsilon_\ell}} N^{\sigma_\ell}, \quad Q_\psi = \frac{\Omega}{2\prod_\ell \alpha_\ell^{\epsilon_\ell}} N^{\frac{1}{2}(\sigma_0, \sigma_1, \sigma_2, \sigma_3)}, \tag{3.25} \]

\(Q\), in the fundamental of \(G(3)\), is step-3, while \(Q_\psi\) is step-2. In this case, the \(G(3)\)-invariant regularity condition of the original Kerr solution:

\[
m^2 \geq \alpha^2 \iff \text{Tr}[Q^2] \geq \frac{2}{k} \frac{\text{Tr}[Q_\psi^2]}{\text{Tr}[Q^2]}, \tag{3.26}
\]

is saturated in the \(m \to 0\) limit since both sides vanish separately (the degree of nilpotency of \(Q_\psi\), in the limit, being smaller than that of \(Q\)), and the resulting solution is extremal. For the BPS and the non-BPS_1 solutions \(Q\) has the same expression as in eq. (3.25), while \(Q_\psi = 0\), the regularity condition being thus still saturated.

We could perform a different singular limit, which coincides with the one described above except that we keep \(\alpha = \Omega\) fixed. As far as the ADM mass and the electric and magnetic charges are
concerned, the discussion is the same as for the previous limits. The angular momentum however reads now:

\[ M_\phi = \frac{\Omega}{8} \sum_{\ell \in \{\pm 1\}} \frac{m^{1-\frac{1}{2}\sum_\ell \sigma_\ell \epsilon_\ell}}{\prod_\ell a_\ell^2}. \tag{3.27} \]

The only contributing terms are those for which \( \sum_\ell \sigma_\ell \epsilon_\ell = 2 \). This excludes the non-BPS\( _2 \) charge orbit for which \( M_\phi \) diverges and leaves just the BPS, \([9]\), and non-BPS\( _1 \) orbits. In this limit the degree of nilpotency of the resulting matrices \( Q \) and \( Q_\psi \) is the same and the regularity condition (3.26) is no longer satisfied, since the left-hand side vanishes while the right hand side remains finite. These are (singular) rotating BPS and non-BPS\( _1 \) orbits, the former was first studied in [30].

It is important to stress that the BPS, non-BPS\( _1 \) and non-BPS\( _2 \) single center solutions that we defined through the above limiting procedure, are seed solutions with respect to the action of \( G(3) \) (in our case of \( H^* \) since we fixed the point at infinity to coincide with the origin \( O \)), of the most general \( D = 4 \) solution of each class. Indeed the corresponding nilpotent \( H^* \)-orbits in \( \mathbb{R}^* \) of the Noether-charge matrix \( Q \) have representatives in the coset space of the submanifold

\[ \left( \frac{\text{SL}(2, \mathbb{R})}{\text{SO}(1, 1)} \right)^p \subset \frac{G(3)}{H^*}, \tag{3.28} \]

generated by \( \mathcal{H}_\ell, \mathcal{K}_\ell \), or, equivalently, by \( \mathcal{N}_\ell = \mathcal{H}_\ell - \epsilon_\ell \mathcal{K}_\ell \) [15] [18] [21] [19].

In the next section we shall construct the explicit solution in the \( T^3 \) model and discuss its extremal limits.

4 Example: The \( T^3 \)-Model

The \( T^3 \) model is an \( \mathcal{N} = 2 \) supergravity coupled to one vector multiplet (see Appendix A.2), originating from pure \( D = 5 \) supergravity. The two real scalar fields \( \phi^s = (y, \varphi) \) are combined in a single complex scalar \( T = y - ie^{i\varphi} \) spanning the special Kähler manifold \( \text{SL}(2, \mathbb{R})/\text{SO}(2) \).

Upon timelike reduction to \( D = 3 \), the scalar fields \( \Phi^I = \{U, \phi^s, Z^M, a\} \) are local parameters of the pseudo-Riemannian coset space:

\[ \mathcal{M}^{(D=3)}_{\text{scal}} = G(3) / H^* = \frac{\text{G}(2)}{\text{SL}(2, \mathbb{R})^2}. \tag{4.1} \]

The mathematical details about the algebra \( \mathfrak{g}_{2(2)} \) and the solvable generators \( T_A \), parametrized by the scalar fields \( \phi^I \), are given in Appendix B together with the precise definition of the coset representative.

4.1 The Non-Extremal Solution

In this model the normal form of the representation \( \mathbf{R} \) of the electric and magnetic charges with respect to \( \mathcal{H}_\ell = \text{U}(1)_E \times \text{U}(1)_M \) has \( p = 2 \) parameters, according to the characterization in Eq. (3.1). It can be characterized either by the set \( (q_0, p^1) \) or by \( (p^0, q_1) \). The corresponding Harrison generators will be denoted by \( \mathcal{J}_\ell \) and \( \mathcal{J}'_\ell \) respectively \( (\ell = 0, 1) \). The mathematical details are given in Appendix B.

In this subsection we first study the physical quantities associated with the Harrison-transformed solution. We shall restrict here, for the sake of simplicity, to the \( (q_0, p^1) \) set of charges, keeping in mind that the corresponding analysis for the \( (p^0, q_1) \)-case is analogous. Later, in Section 4.3 we shall present the full \( D = 4 \) solutions corresponding to both sets of charges.
Along the lines of our discussion in the previous section, to study the effect of the Harrison transformations on the matrices $Q$, $Q_\psi$, we express these generators in terms of eigenmatrices with respect to the adjoint action of $J_\ell$, which can be chosen as a basis of Cartan generators of $\mathfrak{g}_{2(2)}$. We define a set of nilpotent generators $N_{(a,b)}$ such that:

$$[J_0, N_{(a,b)}] = a N_{(a,b)}, \quad [J_1, N_{(a,b)}] = b N_{(a,b)},$$

(4.2)

the coset space $\mathcal{R}^*$ is spanned by the following generators:

$$\mathcal{R}^* = \text{Span}(N_{\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2}\right)}, N_{\left(-\frac{1}{2}, 1\right)}, N_{\left(-1, 0\right)}, N_{\left(1, 0\right)}, N_{\left(-\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{3}{2}\right)}, N_{\left(-1, -0\right)}) ,$$

(4.3)

We refer the reader to Appendix [B.1] for the explicit form of these generators.

Let us now consider the Kerr solution with $Q^{(K)} = 2 \alpha m H_0$ and $Q_\psi^{(K)} = 2 \alpha m \mathbb{K}_*$ and apply to it the transformation $O(q_0, p^i)$. Its effect is readily described after expanding $Q^{(K)}$ and $Q_\psi^{(K)}$ in the basis (4.3):

$$Q^{(K)} = 2 \alpha m H_0 = \frac{m}{2} \left( N_{(1,0)} + N_{(-1,0)} + N_{(0,1)} + N_{(-0,-1)} \right),$$

(4.4)

$$Q_\psi^{(K)} = 2 \alpha m \mathbb{K}_* = \frac{\alpha m}{2} \left( N_{\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2}\right)} + N_{\left(-\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{3}{2}\right)} + N_{\left(-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2}\right)} + N_{\left(-\frac{3}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right)} \right).$$

(4.5)

If we have a solution whose Noether-charge matrix expands on the generators $N_{(\pm 1,0)}, N_{(0,\pm 1)}$, its compact component will expand in the generators $\mathbb{K}_0, \mathbb{K}^1$ only, see Eq. (B.12), and thus, according to (C8), will only have charges $q_0, p^i$. The values of these charges can be read off from the general expression in Eq. (C8). The Noether-matrix $Q^{(K)}$ in (4.4) defines a (electrically and magnetically) neutral solution since nilpotent generators with opposite gradings are summed and thus their compact components cancel against one another.

Upon acting on the solution by means of $O(q_0, p^i)$, and using Eq. (B.19), we find the transformed matrices $Q$, $Q_\psi$:

$$Q = O_{(q_0, p^i)}^{-1} Q^{(K)} O_{(q_0, p^i)} = \frac{m}{2} \left( \frac{1}{\beta_0} N_{(1,0)} \beta_0 + \frac{\beta_0}{\beta_1} N_{(0,1)} \beta_1 + \beta_1 N_{(-1,0)} \right),$$

(4.6)

$$Q_\psi = O_{(q_0, p^i)}^{-1} Q_\psi^{(K)} O_{(q_0, p^i)} = \frac{\alpha m}{2} \left( \frac{1}{\beta_0} N_{\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2}\right)} \beta_0 \beta_1^2 + \sqrt{\beta_1} \beta_0 \beta_1^2 N_{\left(-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2}\right)} \beta_1 + \sqrt{\beta_0} N_{\left(-\frac{3}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right)} \right),$$

(4.7)

from which we can infer the physical properties of the transformed solution by projecting (4.6) and (4.7) on the relevant generators. In particular we find:

$$M_{\text{ADM}} = \frac{m}{8} \left( \frac{1}{\beta_0} + \beta_0 + \frac{3}{\beta_1} + 3 \beta_1 \right), \quad p^0 = 0, \quad p^1 = m \left( \frac{1 - \beta_1^2}{\beta_1} \right), \quad q_0 = m \left( \frac{1 - \beta_0^2}{\beta_0} \right), \quad q_1 = 0,$$

(4.8)

$$\Sigma_1 = 0, \quad \Sigma_2 = \sqrt{3} m \left( \frac{\beta_0 - \beta_1}{8 \beta_0 \beta_1 \beta_1} \right), \quad \ell = 0, \quad M_\varphi = \alpha m \left( \frac{1 + 3 \beta_1^2 + \beta_0 \beta_1 (3 + 3 \beta_1^2)}{8 \beta_0 \beta_1 \beta_1} \right).$$

Before writing the explicit solution, let us analyze the three relevant singular limits from the point of view of the physical properties at infinity. To make contact with the discussion of Sect. [3] we recall that in the $T^3$-model there are just two regular orbits of the electric-magnetic representation $\mathbf{R} = \frac{3}{2}$ of $G_4 = \text{SL}(2, \mathbb{R})$:

- BPS: $I_4(p, q) > 0$,
- non-BPS: $I_4(p, q) < 0$. 
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where the general form of $I_4$ is (see also [31]):

$$I_4(p, q) = -(q_0 p^0)^2 - 2 q_0 p^0 q_1 p^1 + \frac{1}{3} (q_1 p^1)^2 + 4 q_0 (p^1)^3 - \frac{4}{27} p^0 (q_1)^3. \quad (4.9)$$

### 4.2 Limits

**Non-BPS under-rotating.** This corresponds to taking:

$$\beta_\ell = m \alpha_\ell, \quad \alpha = m \Omega, \quad (4.10)$$

and then sending $m \to 0$ while keeping $\alpha_\ell, \Omega$ fixed. In this limit the Harrison transformation, as well as the original Kerr solution, become singular and the semisimple generators $Q, Q_\psi$ become nilpotent. To appreciate the details of this procedure, let us rewrite $Q, Q_\psi$ in terms of $\alpha_\ell, \Omega$:

$$Q = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{1}{\alpha_0} N_{(1,0)} + m^2 \alpha_0 N_{(-1,0)} \right) + \frac{1}{\alpha_1} N_{(0,1)} + m^2 \alpha_1 N_{(0,-1)}), \quad (4.11)$$

$$Q_\psi = \frac{\Omega}{2} \left( \frac{1}{\alpha_0} \sqrt{\alpha_1} N_{(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})} + m^2 \sqrt{\alpha_0 \alpha_1} N_{(\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2})} + m \frac{\sqrt{\alpha_0 \alpha_1}}{\alpha_1} N_{(\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2})} + m^2 \sqrt{\frac{\alpha_0}{\alpha_1}} N_{(-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})} \right). \quad (4.12)$$

In the $m \to 0$ limit we find:

$$Q^{(0)} = \lim_{m \to 0} Q = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{1}{\alpha_0} N_{(1,0)} + \frac{1}{\alpha_1} N_{(0,1)} \right); \quad Q^{(0)}_\psi = \lim_{m \to 0} Q_\psi = \frac{\Omega}{2} \frac{1}{\alpha_0 \alpha_1^2} N_{(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})}. \quad (4.13)$$

Notice that $Q^{(0)}$ is a step-3 nilpotent generator ($Q^{(0)} 3 = 0$), while $Q^{(0)}_\psi$ is step-2. The resulting solution is extremal for the reasons explained in the previous Section. In the above limit the physical quantities stay finite and read:

$$M_{ADM} = \frac{1}{8} \left( \frac{1}{\alpha_0} + \frac{3}{\alpha_1} \right), \quad p^0 = 0, \quad p^1 = -\frac{1}{2 \sqrt{2} \alpha_1}, \quad q_0 = \frac{1}{2 \sqrt{2} \alpha_0}, \quad q_1 = 0,$$

$$\Sigma_1 = 0, \quad \Sigma_2 = -\frac{\sqrt{3}}{8} \frac{\alpha_1 - \alpha_0}{\alpha_0 \alpha_1}, \quad \ell = 0, \quad M_\varphi = \frac{\Omega}{8 \sqrt{\alpha_0 \alpha_1^2}} = \frac{\Omega}{2} \sqrt{|I_4(p, q)|}. \quad (4.14)$$

Notice that the quartic invariant $I_4(p^{1A}, q_\Lambda) = 4 q_0 (p^1)^3$ is negative and the angular momentum is different from zero. This solution is the (single-center) under-rotating almost-BPS solution studied in [3] [4]. The limiting procedure defined above generalizes therefore the one studied by Rasheed and Larsen in the (dilaton-Maxwell) $D = 4$ Kaluza-Klein theory and, as we shall see, generalizes to all symmetric cubic supergravity models.

**Static BPS.** Consider now the rescaling:

$$\beta_0 = m \alpha_0, \quad \beta_1 = \alpha_1/m, \quad \alpha = m \Omega. \quad (4.15)$$

The matrices $Q, Q_\psi$ now read:

$$Q = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{1}{\alpha_0} N_{(1,0)} + m^2 \alpha_0 N_{(-1,0)} \right) + \frac{m^2}{\alpha_1} N_{(0,1)} + \alpha_1 N_{(0,-1)}), \quad (4.16)$$

$$Q_\psi = \frac{\Omega}{2} \left( \frac{m^3}{\alpha_0 \alpha_1^2} N_{(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})} + m \frac{\sqrt{\alpha_1}}{\alpha_0} N_{(\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2})} + m \frac{\sqrt{\alpha_0}}{\alpha_1} \alpha_1^2 N_{(\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2})} + m^3 \sqrt{\frac{\alpha_0}{\alpha_1}} N_{(-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})} \right). \quad (4.17)$$
In the limit \( m \to 0 \) we find the following matrices:

\[
Q^{(0)} = \lim_{m \to 0} Q = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{1}{\alpha_0} N_{(1,0)} + \frac{1}{\alpha_1} N_{(0,-1)} \right) ; \quad Q_{\psi}^{(0)} = \lim_{m \to 0} Q_{\psi} = 0 .
\]  

(4.18)

Since \( Q^{(0)} \) is now a step-3 nilpotent generator but \( Q_{\psi}^{(0)} = 0 \) the solution is still extremal, though static. The related physical quantities are readily computed:

\[
M_{ADM} = \frac{1}{8} (1 + 3 \alpha_1) , \quad p^0 = 0 , \quad p^1 = \frac{\alpha_1}{2 \sqrt{2}} , \quad q_0 = \frac{1}{2 \sqrt{2} \alpha_0} , \quad q_1 = 0 ,
\]

\[
\Sigma_1 = 0 , \quad \Sigma_2 = -\frac{\sqrt{3}}{8} \frac{1 - \alpha_0 \alpha_1}{\alpha_0} , \quad \ell = 0 , \quad M_\varphi = 0 .
\]

(4.19)

We see that the quartic invariant \( I_4(p^\Lambda, q^\Lambda) = 4 q_0 (p^1)^3 \) is now positive and the solution is the single center BPS one.

**Rotating BPS.** This limit is obtained by first defining

\[
\beta_0 = m \alpha_0 , \quad \beta_1 = \alpha_1 / m ,
\]

(4.20)

and then sending \( m \to 0 \). As opposed to the previous case, \( \alpha \) is kept fixed. As a consequence of this, \( Q_{\psi}^{(0)} \) is a non-vanishing nilpotent matrix:

\[
Q_{\psi}^{(0)} = \lim_{m \to 0} Q_{\psi} = \frac{\alpha}{2} \left( \sqrt{\frac{2 \alpha_1}{\alpha_0}} N_{(\frac{3}{2}, -\frac{3}{2})} + \alpha_0^{-\frac{3}{2}} \alpha_1^{-\frac{3}{2}} N_{(-\frac{3}{2}, -\frac{3}{2})} \right) ,
\]

(4.21)

while \( Q^{(0)} \) is the same as in the previous case. Now both \( Q^{(0)}, Q_{\psi}^{(0)} \) are step-3 nilpotent. The regularity condition (3.26), in the \( m \to 0 \) limit, is not satisfied since the left hand side vanishes while the right hand one remains finite, implying that the solution is singular.

The physical quantities associated with this solution are the same as in the previous limit, but for the angular momentum which now reads:

\[
M_\varphi = \frac{\alpha \sqrt{\alpha_1}}{8 \sqrt{\alpha_0}} (3 + \alpha_0 \alpha_1).
\]

(4.22)

This is a rotating BPS solution of the kind first studied in [30].

### 4.3 The Solution

In this subsection we give the explicit \( D = 4 \) non-extremal axion-dilaton solution corresponding to the two normal sets of charges. We start from the non-extremal Kerr solution, given in Appendix C whose \( D = 3 \) description is given in terms of the following scalar fields:

\[
\Phi^I_{(K)}(r, \theta) : \quad e^{2U} = \frac{\Delta}{\rho^2} , \quad a = \frac{\alpha m}{\rho^2} \cos \theta , \quad Z^M = 0 ,
\]

(4.23)

and we apply to it the transformations \( O_{(q_0, p_1)} \) and \( O_{(p_\rho, q_1)} \). The \( D = 4 \) stationary metric has the general form (2.2) with the three dimensional metric given by

\[
(g^{(3)}_{ij}) = \begin{pmatrix}
\Delta & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \Delta & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \Delta \sin^2 \theta
\end{pmatrix},
\]

(4.24)

where, as usual (see Appendix C), we define the quantities:

\[
\Delta = r(r - 2m) + \alpha^2 \cos^2 \theta ; \quad \Delta = r(r - 2m) + \alpha^2 ; \quad \rho^2 = r^2 + \alpha^2 \cos^2 \theta .
\]

(4.25)
4.3.1 The $q_0$, $p^1$-Case

Here we give and discuss the non-extremal, rotating axion-dilaton solution generated by applying the Harrison transformation $O_{(q_0, p^1)}$ to the Kerr solution. The $D = 3$ scalars $\Phi^I(r, \theta)$ describing the transformed solution are obtained in terms of $\Phi^{(K)}(r, \theta)$ by solving the matrix equation:

$$\mathcal{M}[\Phi^I(r, \theta)] = O_{(q_0, p^1)} \mathcal{M}[\Phi^{(K)}(r, \theta)] O_{(q_0, p^1)}^T.$$  \hspace{1cm} (4.26)

It is convenient, in order to write $\Phi^I(r, \theta)$, to introduce the following new quantities:

$$\eta_\ell = r - m + \frac{m}{2} \left( \beta_\ell + \beta_\ell^{-1} \right).$$  \hspace{1cm} (4.27)

We then find, by solving Eq. (4.26):

\[
\begin{align*}
e^{-4U} &= \frac{\tilde{\rho}^4}{\Delta^2}, \\
Z^0 &= \frac{e^{4U} m}{2\sqrt{2} \Delta^2 \beta_0} \left( \eta_1 (\eta_1^2 + \alpha^2 \cos^2 \theta) - \frac{m \alpha^2 \cos^2 \theta (\beta_1^2 - 1)^2 (\beta_0^2 \beta_1^2 - 1)}{8 \beta_0^2 \beta_1^2} \right), \\
Z^1 &= \frac{e^{4U} m \alpha \cos \theta (\beta_0 \beta_1 + 1) (\beta_1^2 - 1)}{\Delta^2 4\sqrt{2} \sqrt{\beta_0^3/2}} \left( \eta_1^2 + \alpha^2 \cos^2 \theta - \frac{m (\beta_0 \beta_1 - 1) (\beta_1^2 - 1)}{2 \beta_1 (\beta_0 \beta_1 + 1)} \eta_1 \right), \\
Z_0 &= -\frac{e^{4U} m \alpha \cos \theta K_+^{(\ast)}}{\Delta^2 4\sqrt{2} \sqrt{\beta_0^3/2}} \left( \eta_1 \eta_0 + \alpha^2 \cos^2 \theta - \frac{m (\beta_0 \beta_1 - 1) (\beta_1^2 - 1)}{2 \beta_1 (\beta_0 \beta_1 + 1)} \eta_1 \right), \\
Z_1 &= \frac{3e^{4U} m}{2\sqrt{2} \Delta^2 \beta_1} (\beta_1^2 - 1) \left( \eta_1 (\eta_1 \eta_0 + \alpha^2 \cos^2 \theta) - \frac{m \alpha^2 \cos^2 \theta (\beta_1^2 - 1) (\beta_0^2 \beta_1^2 - 1)}{8 \beta_0^2 \beta_1^2} \right), \\
e^r &= \frac{\tilde{\Delta} e^{-2U}}{\eta_1^2 + \alpha^2 \cos^2 \theta}, \quad y = \frac{m \alpha \cos \theta (\beta_0 \beta_2 - 1) (\beta_1^2 - 1)}{4\sqrt{2} \sqrt{\beta_0^3/2}} \left( \eta_1^2 + \alpha^2 \cos^2 \theta \right), \\
a &= \frac{e^{4U} m \alpha \cos \theta K_+^{(\ast)}}{\Delta^2 4\sqrt{2} \beta_1^3/2} \left( \frac{\eta_0 (\eta_0 + \eta_1)}{2} + \alpha^2 \cos^2 \theta - \frac{m^2 (\beta_0 + \beta_1) (\beta_0 \beta_1 - 1)^2 (\beta_1^2 - 1)^2}{8 \beta_0^2 \beta_1^2 K_+^{(\ast)}} \right).  \hspace{1cm} (4.28)
\end{align*}
\]

where we have defined:

\[
\tilde{\rho}^4 = (\eta_1^2 + \alpha^2 \cos^2 \theta) (\eta_1 \eta_0 + \alpha^2 \cos^2 \theta) - \frac{m^2 \alpha^2 \cos^2 \theta (\beta_0 \beta_1 - 1)^2 (\beta_1^2 - 1)^2}{16 \beta_0^2 \beta_1^2},
\]

\[
K_\pm^{(\ast)} = \pm(1 + 3 \beta_1^2) + \beta_0 \beta_1 (\beta_1^2 + 3) = \frac{1}{2} [(\beta_0 \pm 1)(\beta_1 + 1)^3 + (\beta_0 \mp 1)(\beta_1 - 1)^3].  \hspace{1cm} (4.29)
\]

To derive the $D = 4$ metric and vector fields we use the dualization formulae:

\[
F^0 = d\omega = -e^{-4U} *_3 (dA + Z^T \wedge dZ),
\]

\[
\mathbf{F} = \begin{pmatrix} F^A \\ G^A \end{pmatrix} = e^{-2U} C M_A *_3 dZ + dZ \wedge (dt + \omega),  \hspace{1cm} (4.30)
\]

where *$_3$ is the Hodge-duality operation with respect to the $D = 3$ metric $g^{(3)}$. We find:

\[
\omega = \frac{m \alpha \sin^2 \theta}{4 \sqrt{2} \beta_1^{3/2} \Delta} \left( K_+^{(\ast)} r - m (\beta_0 - 1) (\beta_1 - 1)^3 \right) = \frac{\sin^2 \theta}{\Delta} \left( 2 M \rho - \frac{m^2 \alpha (\beta_0 - 1) (\beta_1 - 1)^3}{4 \sqrt{2} \beta_1^{3/2}} \right).  \hspace{1cm} (4.31)
\]

We can also locally integrate $\mathbf{F}^M$ to a symplectic vector of electric and magnetic potentials $A^M$,

\[
\mathbf{F}^M = dA^M; \quad A^M = Z^M (dt + \omega) + A_i^M dx^i,  \hspace{1cm} (4.32)
\]

\]

\[
\mathbf{F}^M = dA^M; \quad A^M = Z^M (dt + \omega) + A_i^M dx^i,  \hspace{1cm} (4.32)
\]
where $A_i^m dx^i = A_\varphi^m d\varphi$ are solutions to the differential equations (we suppress the symplectic index $M$ for the sake of notational simplicity):

$$\begin{align*}
\partial_r A_\varphi &= -e_3 e^{-2U} \mathcal{C}^M \omega Z - Z \partial_r \omega_\varphi, \\
\partial_\theta A_\varphi &= e_3 e^{-2U} \mathcal{C}^M \omega Z - Z \partial_\theta \omega_\varphi,
\end{align*}$$

(4.33)

which directly follow from (4.30) (indices are raised and lowered using $g^{(3)}$). The integration of the above equations yields:

$$\begin{align*}
A_0^\varphi &= \frac{m \alpha \sin^2 \theta}{4 \sqrt{2} \Delta \sqrt{j_0^3 j_1^{3/2}}} \left( m (\beta_0 + 1) (\beta_1 - 1)^3 - r K^+ \right), \\
A_1^\varphi &= -\frac{m \cos \theta (\beta_0^3 - 1)}{2 \sqrt{2} \beta_1} \Delta; \quad A_0 \varphi = \frac{m \cos \theta (\beta_0^3 - 1)}{2 \sqrt{2} \beta_0} \Delta, \\
A_1 \varphi &= \frac{3 m \alpha \sin^2 \theta (\beta_1^3 - 1) (m (\beta_0 - 1) (\beta_1 - 1) - r (\beta_0 \beta_1 + 1))}{4 \sqrt{2} \sqrt{j_0^3 j_1^{3/2}} \Delta}.
\end{align*}$$

(4.34)

4.3.2 Extremal Limits

We now apply to the above solution the limit-prescriptions given in the first part of Sect. 4.2. It is useful to rewrite, in the $m \to 0$ limit, the $\eta_\ell$ in terms of harmonic functions:

$$\ eta_\ell = r H_\ell; \quad H_0 = 1 + \sqrt{2} \frac{q_0}{r}; \quad H^1 = 1 + \sqrt{2} \frac{p_1}{r}, \quad (4.35)$$

In the same limit we also have $\Delta \to r^2$.

non-BPS under-rotating. Using the redefinitions (4.10) and the identifications (4.14) in the $m \to 0$, we find:

$$\begin{align*}
e^{-4U} &= H_0 (H^1)^3 - \frac{4 M_\varphi^2 \cos^2 \theta}{r^4}; \quad T = -\frac{1}{(H^1)^2} \left( \frac{2 M_\varphi^2 \cos \theta}{r^2} + i e^{-2U} \right), \\
\omega &= \frac{2 M_\varphi^2}{r} \sin^2 \theta d\varphi, \\
A^0 &= -\frac{e^{-4U} q_0}{r} \left( (H^1)^3 - \frac{2 \sqrt{2} M_\varphi^2}{q_0 r^3} \cos^2 \theta \right) (dt + \omega) + \frac{\sqrt{2} M_\varphi^2}{r} \sin^2 \theta d\varphi, \\
A^1 &= -e^{-4U} \frac{\sqrt{2} M_\varphi}{r^2} \cos \theta H^1 (dt + \omega) - p_1 \cos \theta d\varphi; \quad A_0 = e^{4U} \frac{\sqrt{2} M_\varphi}{r^2} \cos \theta H_0 (dt + \omega) - q_0 \cos \theta d\varphi, \\
A_1 &= 3 e^{4U} \frac{p_1}{r} \left( H_0 (H^1)^2 + \frac{2 \sqrt{2} M_\varphi^2}{p_1 r^3} \cos^2 \theta \right) (dt + \omega) + 3 \frac{\sqrt{2} M_\varphi}{r} \sin^2 \theta d\varphi, \quad (4.36)
\end{align*}$$

which fits the general form given in [4] for the minimal set of parameters modulo $G_{(3)}$-action.
Rotating-BPS. Below we write the full rotating-BPS (singular) solution.

\[ e^{-4U} = \frac{\hat{\rho}^4}{\hat{\Delta}^2}, \]
\[ \hat{\rho}^4 = r^4 \left( [H_0 H^1 + \frac{\alpha^2}{r^2} \cos^2 \theta][(H^1)^2 + \frac{\alpha^2}{r^2} \cos^2 \theta] - \frac{\alpha^2 \cos^2 \theta}{2r^4} (p^1 - q_0)^2 \frac{p^1}{q_0} \right), \]
\[ \hat{\Delta}^2 = r^2 + \alpha^2 \cos^2 \theta, \]
\[ \omega = \frac{\sin^2 \theta}{\Delta} (2 M_\varphi r + \alpha \sqrt{I_4(p, q)}). \]
\[ T = -\frac{1}{r^2 (H^1)^2 + \alpha^2 \cos^2 \theta} \left( \frac{\alpha \cos \theta (p^1 - q_0)}{\sqrt{\Delta}} \sqrt{\frac{p^1}{q_0}} + i e^{-2U} \hat{\Delta} \right), \]
\[ A^0 = -\frac{q_0 r^3}{\hat{\rho}^4} \left( H^1 [(H^1)^2 + \frac{\alpha^2}{r^2} \cos^2 \theta] + \frac{\alpha^2 \cos^2 \theta p^1}{2 \sqrt{\Delta}} \left( \frac{p^1}{q_0} - 1 \right) \right) (dt + \omega) + \]
\[ + \frac{\alpha \sin^2 \theta}{2 \Delta} \sqrt{q_0 p^1} \left( 2 \sqrt{2} p^1 - r \left( \frac{p^1}{q_0} - 3 \right) \right) d\varphi, \]
\[ A^1 = \frac{r^2 \alpha \cos \theta}{2 \hat{\rho}^4} \sqrt{q_0 p^1} \left( \frac{p^1}{q_0} + 1 \right) \left( (H^1)^2 + \frac{\alpha^2}{r^2} \cos^2 \theta - \frac{\sqrt{2} p^1 H^1 p^1 - q_0}{r (p^1 + q_0)} \right) (dt + \omega) + \]
\[ - \frac{\Delta}{\Delta} \frac{\alpha^2 \cos \theta}{d\varphi}, \]
\[ A_0 = -\frac{r^2 \alpha \cos \theta}{2 \hat{\rho}^4} \sqrt{q_0 p^1} \left( \frac{p^1}{q_0} - 3 \right) \left( H^1 H_0 + \frac{\alpha^2}{r^2} \cos^2 \theta - \frac{2 \sqrt{2} p^1 H_0 p^1 - q_0}{r (p^1 + q_0)} \right) (dt + \omega) + \]
\[ - \frac{\Delta}{\Delta} \frac{\alpha^2 \cos \theta}{d\varphi}, \]
\[ A_1 = \frac{3 \beta^0 r^3}{\hat{\rho}^4} \left( H^1 [H^1 H_0 + \frac{\alpha^2}{r^2} \cos^2 \theta] - \frac{\alpha^2 \cos^2 \theta q_0}{2 \sqrt{2} \Delta^3} \left( \frac{p^1}{q_0} - 1 \right) \right) (dt + \omega) + \]
\[ - 3 \frac{\alpha \sin^2 \theta}{2 \Delta} \sqrt{q_0 p^1} \left( 2 \sqrt{2} p^1 + r \left( \frac{p^1}{q_0} + 1 \right) \right) d\varphi, \quad (4.37) \]

where \( M_\varphi \) is given in Eqs. (4.22) while the quartic invariant \( I_4(p, q) \), given in Eq. (4.3), for this choice of the charges reads: \( I_4(p, q) = 4q_0 (p^1)^3 \). Setting \( \alpha \to 0 \) we recover the known (regular) static BPS solution [30].

4.3.3 The \( p^0, q_1 \)-Case

Let us now transform the Kerr solution using the transformation \( \mathcal{O}_{(p^0, q_1)} \) generated by \( \mathcal{J}_\ell = \{ J_{p^\ell}, J_{q_1} \} \):

\[ \mathcal{O}_{(p^0, q_1)} = e^{\log(\beta_\ell)} \mathcal{J}_\ell. \quad (4.38) \]

The computation of the charges at infinity proceeds along the same lines as in the \( q_0, p^1 \) case. We find:

\[ M_{ADM} = \frac{1}{8} m \left( \beta_0 + 3 \beta_1 + \frac{3}{\beta_1} + \frac{1}{\beta_0} \right), \quad p^0 = \frac{m (\beta_0^2 - 1)}{2\sqrt{2} \beta_0}, \quad p^1 = 0, \quad q_0 = 0, \quad q_1 = -\frac{3 m (\beta_0^2 - 1)}{2\sqrt{2} \beta_1}, \]
\[ \Sigma_1 = 0, \quad \Sigma_2 = \frac{\sqrt{3} m (\beta_0 - 1) (\beta_0 \beta_1 - 1)}{8 \beta_0 \beta_1}, \quad \ell = 0, \quad M_\varphi = \frac{m \alpha K^{(-)}_{\pm}}{8 \sqrt{\beta_0 \beta_1}}. \quad (4.39) \]

where we found useful to introduce the combinations of charges

\[ K^{(-)}_{\pm} = \beta_0 + 3 \beta_0 \beta_1 \pm (\beta_1^3 + 3 \beta_1) = \frac{1}{2} \left( (\beta_0 \pm 1) (\beta_1 + 1)^3 - (\beta_0 + 1) (\beta_1 - 1)^3 \right). \quad (4.40) \]
By solving the matrix equation:

\[ \mathcal{M}[\Phi^I(r, \theta)] = \mathcal{O}_{\mu_0} \mathcal{M}[\Phi^I(r, \theta)] \mathcal{O}_0^T, \]  

(4.41)

we derive the \( D = 3 \) scalar fields \( \Phi^I(r, \theta) \):

\[ e^{-4U} = \frac{\beta_1^4}{\Delta^2}, \]

\[ Z_0 = \frac{e^{4U}}{\Delta^2} \frac{m \alpha \cos \theta K^{(-)}}{4 \sqrt{\beta_0 \beta_1^{3/2}}} \left( \eta_1 \eta_0 + \alpha^2 \cos^2 \theta + \frac{m (\beta_1 - \beta_0) (\beta_1^2 - 1)^2}{2 \beta_1 K^{(-)}} \eta_0 \right), \]

\[ Z_1 = \frac{e^{4U}}{\Delta^2} \frac{m (\beta_1^2 - 1)}{2 \sqrt{2} \beta_1} \left( \eta_1 \eta_0 + \alpha^2 \cos^2 \theta + \frac{ma^2 \cos^2 \theta (\beta_1^2 - 1)^2}{8 \beta_0 \beta_1^2} \right), \]

\[ Z_2 = \frac{e^{4U}}{\Delta^2} \frac{3ma \cos \theta (\beta_1 + \beta_0) (\beta_1^2 - 1)}{4 \sqrt{\beta_0 \beta_1^{3/2}}} \left( \eta_1 + \alpha^2 \cos^2 \theta + \frac{m^2 (\beta_0 - \beta_1) (\beta_1^2 - 1)^2}{8 \beta_0 \beta_1^2} \right), \]

(4.42)

where:

\[ \rho^I = (\eta_1 \eta_0 + \alpha^2 \cos^2 \theta) (\eta_1^2 + \alpha^2 \cos^2 \theta - y^2 (\eta_1 \eta_0 + \alpha^2 \cos^2 \theta)). \]  

(4.43)

Integrating \( a, Z^M \) to the \( D = 4 \) metric component and vector fields we find:

\[ \omega = \sin^2 \theta \left( \frac{2M \varphi}{\Delta} + \frac{m^2 \alpha (\beta_0 - 1) (\beta_1 - 1)^3}{4 \sqrt{\beta_0 \beta_1^{3/2}}} \right); \]

\[ A^M = Z^M (dt + \omega) + A_\varphi^M d\varphi, \]  

(4.44)

where:

\[ A_\varphi^0 = -\frac{\Delta}{2 \sqrt{2} \beta_0} \cos \theta, \]

\[ A_\varphi^1 = -\frac{m \sin^2 \theta (m (\beta_0 - 1) (\beta_1 - 1) + r (\beta_0 + \beta_1)) (\beta_1^2 - 1)}{4 \sqrt{2} \Delta \sqrt{\beta_0 \beta_1^{3/2}}}, \]

(4.45)

4.3.4 Extremal limits

We now apply to the above solution the limit-prescriptions as given in the first part of Sect. 12. It is useful to rewrite, in the \( m \to 0 \) limit, the \( \eta \) in terms of harmonic functions:

\[ H^0 = 1 + \sqrt{2} \frac{|p|^0}{r} = \frac{\eta_0}{r}; \]

\[ H_1 = 1 + \sqrt{2} \frac{|q_1|}{3r} = \frac{\eta_1}{r}, \]  

(4.46)

In the same limit we also have \( \hat{\Delta} \to r^2 \).

definitions

**non-BPS under-rotating limit**

This limit is obtained by redefining \( \beta_0 = m \alpha_0, \beta_1 = \alpha_1/m \) and \( \alpha = m \Omega \) and then taking the limit
\( m \to 0 \) in the solution. The charges at infinity become:
\[
p^0 = \frac{-1}{2\sqrt{2}\alpha_0}, \quad q_0 = 0, \quad p^1 = 0, \quad q_1 = \frac{-3\alpha_1}{2\sqrt{2}}, \quad M_{ADM} = \frac{-p^0+q_1}{2\sqrt{2}}, \quad M_\varphi = \Omega \sqrt{\frac{p^0 q_1}{3\sqrt{4}}} = \frac{\Omega}{2} \sqrt{|J_4(p, q)|}, \quad \Sigma_1 = 0, \quad \Sigma_2 = \frac{q_1-3p^0}{2\sqrt{6}}.
\]

and the four dimensional fields can be written as
\[
e^{-4U} = H^0 H_1^3 - 4M_\varphi^2 \frac{\cos^2 \theta}{r^4}, \quad \omega = 2M_\varphi \frac{\sin^2 \theta}{r} d\varphi,
T = \frac{1}{H^0 H_1} \left( \frac{2M_\varphi \cos \theta}{r^2} - i e^{-2U} \right),
A^0 = -\sqrt{2} \frac{e^{4U}}{r^2} M_\varphi \cos \theta H^0 (dt + \omega) - p^0 \cos \theta d\varphi \quad (4.48)
A^1 = -\frac{e^{4U}}{r} \left( \frac{q_1}{3} H^0 H_1^2 + \frac{2\sqrt{2}}{r^3} M_\varphi \cos^2 \theta \right) (dt + \omega) - \frac{\sqrt{2}M_\varphi \sin^2 \theta}{r} d\varphi \quad (4.49)
A_0 = \frac{e^{4U}}{r} \left( \frac{p^0 H_1^3 + 2\sqrt{2}}{r^3} M_\varphi \cos^2 \theta \right) (dt + \omega) + \frac{\sqrt{2}M_\varphi \sin^2 \theta}{r} d\varphi \quad (4.50)
A_1 = -3\sqrt{2} \frac{e^{4U}}{r^2} H_1 M_\varphi \cos \theta (dt + \omega) - q_1 \cos \theta d\varphi. \quad (4.51)
\]

**Rotating-BPS limit** This limit is obtained by redefining \( \beta_0 = m\alpha_0, \beta_1 = m\alpha_1 \) and then taking the limit \( m \to 0 \) in the solution keeping \( \alpha \) fixed.

In this limit the charges at infinity become:
\[
M_{ADM} = \frac{1}{8} \left( \frac{3}{\alpha_1} + \frac{1}{\alpha_0} \right) = \frac{q_1-p^0}{2\sqrt{2}}, \quad p^0 = -\frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}\alpha_0}, \quad q_1 = \frac{3}{2\sqrt{2}\alpha_1}, \quad \Sigma_1 = 0,
\Sigma_2 = \frac{\sqrt{3}(\alpha_1-\alpha_0)}{8\alpha_0\alpha_1} = -\frac{q_1+3p^0}{2\sqrt{6}}, \quad M_\varphi = \frac{\alpha (\alpha_0+3\alpha_1)}{8\sqrt{3}\alpha_1^{3/2}} = \frac{\alpha}{6\sqrt{6}} \sqrt{-\frac{q_1}{p^0}} (q_1-9p^0) \quad (4.52)
\]
and the four dimensional fields can be written as

\[ e^{-4U} = \frac{\rho^4}{\Delta^2}, \]

\[ \rho^4 = r^4 \left( [H^0 H_1 + \frac{\alpha^2}{r^2} \cos^2 \theta] [H_1^2 + \frac{\alpha^2}{r^2} \cos^2 \theta] + \frac{\alpha^2 \cos^2 \theta}{54 r^4} (3p^0 + q_1)^2 \frac{q_1}{p^0} \right), \]

\[ \Delta^2 = r^2 + \alpha^2 \cos^2 \theta; \quad \omega = \frac{\sin^2 \theta}{\Delta} \left( 2 M_{\rho r} + \alpha \sqrt{I_4(p, q)} \right). \]

\[ T = \frac{1}{r^2 H_1^2 + \alpha^2 \cos^2 \theta} \left( \frac{\alpha \cos \theta (3p^0 + q_1)}{\sqrt{\frac{q_1}{p^0}}} \sqrt{-\frac{q_1}{p^0} - i e^{-2U} \Delta} \right), \]

\[ A^0 = \frac{r^2 \alpha \cos \theta}{6 \sqrt{3} \rho^4} (9p^0 + q_1) \sqrt{-\frac{q_1}{p^0}} \left( H^0 H_1 + \frac{\alpha^2}{r^2} \cos^2 \theta - \frac{\sqrt{2} q_1 H^0 (3p^0 + q_1)}{3r} (9p^0 + q_1) \right) (dt + \omega) - \frac{\Delta}{\Delta} \rho^4 \cos \theta \frac{d \varphi}{}, \]

\[ A^1 = -\frac{q_1 r^3}{3 \rho^4} \left( \frac{H_1 [H_1 H^0 + \frac{\alpha^2}{r^2} \cos^2 \theta] - \frac{\alpha^2}{54 \sqrt{2} (p^0)^2} \frac{q_1}{p^0} \cos^2 \theta}{18 \sqrt{2} r} \left( 3p^0 - q_1 \right) \right) (dt + \omega) - \frac{\alpha \sin^2 \theta}{6 \sqrt{6} \Delta} \left( 4p^0 q_1 + \sqrt{2} r (3p^0 - q_1) \right) \sqrt{-\frac{q_1}{p^0}} \frac{d \varphi}{}, \]

\[ A_0 = \frac{\rho^4 v^3}{\rho^4} \left( \frac{H_1 [H_1^2 + \frac{\alpha^2}{r^2} \cos^2 \theta] - \frac{\alpha^2 \cos^2 \theta}{54 \sqrt{2} r} \frac{q_1}{p^0}}{18 \sqrt{2} (p^0)^2} \right) (dt + \omega) - \frac{\alpha \sin^2 \theta}{6 \sqrt{6} \Delta} \left( 4p^0 q_1 + \sqrt{2} r (9p^0 + q_1) \right) \sqrt{-\frac{q_1}{p^0}} \frac{d \varphi}{}, \]

\[ A_1 = -\frac{r^2 \alpha \cos \theta}{2 \sqrt{3} \rho^4} (3p^0 - q_1) \sqrt{-\frac{q_1}{p^0}} \left( H_1^2 + \frac{\alpha^2}{r^2} \cos^2 \theta + \frac{\sqrt{2} q_1 H_1 (3p^0 + q_1)}{3r} (3p^0 - q_1) \right) (dt + \omega) - \frac{\Delta}{\Delta} \frac{q_1}{\cos \theta} \frac{d \varphi}{}. \]

Setting \( \alpha \to 0 \) we recover the known (regular) static BPS solution \[30].
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A Coset Geometry of the \( D = 3 \) Sigma-Model

The sigma-model scalar fields \( \{ \phi^I \} \equiv \{ U, a, \phi^s, Z^M \} \) span a homogeneous-symmetric, pseudo-Riemannian scalar manifold \( \mathcal{M}_{scal} \) of the form

\[ \mathcal{M}_{scal} = \frac{G_{(3)}}{H^*}. \] (A.1)

The isometry group \( G_{(3)} \) of the target space is the global symmetry group of \( \mathcal{L}_{(3)} \) and \( H^* \) is a suitable non-compact semisimple maximal subgroup of it. We shall use for this manifold the solvable Lie algebra parametrization by identifying the scalar fields \( \phi^I \) with parameters of a suitable solvable Lie algebra \[32]. Indeed the scalars \( \phi^I \) define a local solvable parametrization, i.e. the corresponding patch, to be dubbed physical patch \( \mathcal{U} \), is isometric to a solvable Lie group generated by a solvable Lie algebra Solv:

\[ \mathcal{M}_{scal} \supset \mathcal{U} \equiv e^{Solv}, \] (A.2)
Solv is defined by the Iwasawa decomposition of the Lie algebra \( g \) of \( G_{(3)} \) with respect to its maximal compact subalgebra \( \mathfrak{h} \). The solvable parametrization \( \phi^I \) can be defined by the following exponential map:

\[
L(\phi^I) = \exp(-aT_\bullet) \exp(\sqrt{2} \mathcal{Z}^M T_M) \exp(\phi^r T_r) \exp(2UH_0),
\]

where the generators \( H_0, T_\bullet, T_r, T_M \) satisfy the following commutation relations:

\[
[H_0, T_M] = \frac{1}{2} T_M; \quad [H_0, T_\bullet] = T_\bullet; \quad [T_M T_N] = C_{MN} T_\bullet;
\]

\[
[H_0, T_r] = [T_\bullet, T_r] = 0; \quad [T_r, T_M] = T_r N_M T_N; \quad [T_r, T_s] = -T_r s' T_{s'},
\]

where \( T_r N_M \) representing the symplectic \( \mathbf{R} \) representation of \( T_r \) on contravariant symplectic vectors \( d\mathcal{Z}^M \).

In all \( \mathcal{N} = 2 \) models with just vector multiplets \( n_v = n_s/2 + 1 \) and thus the dimension of the scalar manifold in \( D = 3 \) is \( 4 n_v \). This manifold is a pseudo-quaternionic Kähler space.

The coset geometry is defined by the involutive automorphism \( \sigma \) on the algebra \( g \) of \( G_{(3)} \) which leaves the algebra \( \mathfrak{h}^* \) generating \( H^* \) invariant. All the formulas related to the group \( G_{(3)} \) and its generators are referred to a matrix representation of \( G_{(3)} \) (we shall in particular use the fundamental one). The involution \( \sigma \) in the chosen representation has the general action: \( \sigma(M) = -\eta M^\dagger \eta, \eta \) being an \( H^* \)-invariant metric \( (\eta = \eta^1, \eta^2 = 1) \), and induces the (pseudo)-Cartan decomposition of \( g \) of the form:

\[
g = \mathfrak{h}^* \oplus \mathfrak{r}^*,
\]

where \( \sigma(\mathfrak{r}^*) = -\mathfrak{r}^* \), and the following relations hold

\[
[\mathfrak{h}^*, \mathfrak{h}^*] \subset \mathfrak{h}^*, \quad [\mathfrak{h}^*, \mathfrak{r}^*] \subset \mathfrak{r}^*, \quad [\mathfrak{r}^*, \mathfrak{r}^*] \subset \mathfrak{h}^*.
\]

We see that \( H^* \) has a linear adjoint action in the space \( \mathfrak{r}^* \) which is thus the carrier of an \( H^* \)-representation. A general feature of \( \mathcal{N} = 2 \) symmetric models is that the isotropy group has the form \( H^* = \text{SL}(2, \mathbb{R}) \times G'_4 \) and its adjoint action on \( \mathfrak{r}^* \) realizes the representation \( (2, \mathbf{R}) \).

The decomposition (A.5) has to be contrasted with the ordinary Cartan decomposition of \( g \)

\[
g = \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{r},
\]

into its maximal compact subalgebra \( \mathfrak{h} \) generating \( H \) and its orthogonal non-compact complement \( \mathfrak{r} \). This decomposition is effected through the Cartan involution \( \tau \) of which \( \mathfrak{h} \) and \( \mathfrak{r} \) represent the eigenspaces with eigenvalues \( +1 \) and \( -1 \) respectively. In the matrix representation in which we shall work, the action of \( \tau \) can be implemented as: \( \tau(X) = -X^\dagger \). We shall also use the \( H^* \)-invariant symmetrization matrix \( \mathcal{M}(\Phi^I) = L(\Phi^I) \eta L(\Phi^I)^\dagger \).

Next we construct the left invariant one-form and the vielbein \( P^A = P^A d\phi^I \):

\[
\langle \mathcal{L}^{-1} d\mathcal{L} = P^A T_A = P + W; \quad A = 1, \ldots, \dim(M_{\text{scal}}),
\]

where \( P = P^A K_A \) and \( W \) are the vielbein and connection matrices, \( \{K_A\} \) being a basis of \( \mathfrak{r}^* \) defined as follows:

\[
K_A = \frac{1}{2} (T_A + \eta T_A^\dagger \eta),
\]

where \( T_A = T_I \) are the solvable generators defined above.

Following the prescription of [32], the normalization of the \( H^* \)-invariant metric on the tangent space of \( G_{(3)}/H^* \) is chosen as follows

\[
g_{AB} = k \text{Tr}[K_A K_B],
\]
where \( k = 1/(2 \text{Tr}(H_0^2)) \) is a representation-dependent constant.

The metric of the \( D = 3 \) sigma-model has the familiar form:

\[
\begin{align*}
\d S^2 &= k [ \d P^2 ] = P^A P^B g_{AB} = 2 \d U^2 + g_{rs} \d \phi^r \d \psi^s + \frac{e^{-4U}}{2} \omega^2 + e^{-2U} \d Z^T \mathcal{M}_4(\phi^r) \d Z, \\
\omega &= da + Z^T c dZ,
\end{align*}
\]

(A.11) (A.12)

A.1 The STU model.

The STU model is an \( \mathcal{N} = 2 \) supergravity coupled to three vector multiplets \( (n_s = 6, n_v = 4) \) and

\[
\mathcal{M}^{(D=4)} = \left( \frac{\text{SL}(2, \mathbb{R})}{\text{SO}(2)} \right)^3.
\]

(A.13)

This manifold is a complex spacial Kähler space spanned by three complex scalar fields \( z^a = \{ S, T, U \} \).

The \( D = 4 \) scalar metric for the STU model reads

\[
\d S^2_4 = g_{rs} \d \phi^r \d \phi^s = 2 g_{ab} d z^a d \bar{z}^b = -2 \sum_{a=1}^{3} \frac{d z^a d \bar{z}^a}{(z^a - \bar{z}^a)^2}.
\]

(A.14)

Upon timelike reduction to \( D = 3 \) the scalar manifold has the form (A.1) with \( G(3) = \text{SO}(4, 4) \) and \( H^* = \text{SO}(2, 2)^2 \).

A.2 The \( T^3 \) model.

The \( T^3 \) model is an \( \mathcal{N} = 2 \) supergravity coupled to a single vector multiplet \( (n_s = 2, n_v = 2) \) and

\[
\mathcal{M}^{(D=4)} = \frac{\text{SL}(2, \mathbb{R})}{\text{SO}(2)},
\]

(A.15)

spanned by a single complex scalar field \( T = y - i e^\phi \). It originates from the pure \( D = 5 \) supergravity with the same amount of supersymmetries. The \( D = 4 \) scalar metric for the \( T^3 \)-model is

\[
\d S^2_4 = g_{rs} \d \phi^r \d \phi^s = 2 g_{ab} d z^a d \bar{z}^b = -6 \frac{d T d \bar{T}}{(T - \bar{T})^2}.
\]

(A.16)

The scalar manifold in \( D = 3 \) has the form (A.1) with \( G(3) = G_{2(2)} \) and \( H^* = \text{SL}(2, \mathbb{R})^2 \).

B The \( g_{2(2)} \) Lie Algebra in Terms of Chevalley Triples

The complex Lie algebra \( g_{2(2)}(\mathbb{C}) \) has rank two and it is defined by the \( 2 \times 2 \) Cartan matrix encoded in the following Dynkin diagram:

\[
g_2 \quad \bullet \quad \bullet \quad \quad \quad = \quad \begin{pmatrix} 2 & -3 \\ -1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}
\]

The \( g_2 \) root system \( \Delta \) consists of the following six positive roots plus their negatives:

\[
\begin{align*}
\alpha_1 &= (1, 0) \\
\alpha_3 &= \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 = \frac{1}{2} (-1, \sqrt{3}) \\
\alpha_5 &= 3 \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} (\sqrt{3}, 1) \\
\alpha_2 &= \sqrt{3} (-1, 1) \\
\alpha_4 &= 2 \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 = \frac{1}{2} (1, \sqrt{3}) \\
\alpha_6 &= 3 \alpha_1 + 2 \alpha_2 = (0, \sqrt{3})
\end{align*}
\]

(B.1)
The remaining basis elements are defined as follows:

\[[E^{\alpha},E^{-\alpha}] = \alpha^i H_i, \quad [H_i, E^\alpha] = \alpha^i E^\alpha.\] (B.2)

It is convenient to write the \(\mathfrak{g}_{2(2)}\) generators and their commutation relations in terms of triples of Chevalley generators.

Since the algebra has rank two there are two fundamental triples of Chevalley generators:

\[(h_1, e_1, f_1) ; \quad (h_2, e_2, f_2)\] (B.3)

with the following commutation relations:

\[[h_2, e_2] = 2e_2 \quad [h_1, e_2] = -3e_2 \quad [h_2, f_2] = -2f_2 \quad [h_1, f_2] = 3f_2\] (B.4)

The remaining basis elements are defined as follows:

\[e_3 = [e_1, e_2] \quad e_4 = \frac{1}{3} [e_1, e_3] \quad e_5 = \frac{1}{2} [e_4, e_1] \quad e_6 = [e_2, e_5]\] (B.5)

and satisfy the following Serre relations:

\[[e_2, e_3] = [e_5, e_1] = [f_2, f_3] = [f_5, f_1] = 0\] (B.6)

The Chevalley form of the commutation relation is obtained from the standard Cartan–Weyl basis introducing the following identifications:

\[e_1 = \sqrt{2} E^{\alpha_1} \quad e_2 = \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} E^{\alpha_2} \]
\[e_3 = \sqrt{2} E^{\alpha_3} \quad e_4 = \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} E^{\alpha_4} \]
\[e_5 = \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} E^{\alpha_5} \quad e_6 = \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} E^{\alpha_6} \] (B.7)

and

\[h_1 = 2\alpha_1 \cdot H \quad h_2 = \frac{2}{3} \alpha_2 \cdot H\] (B.9)

The solvable generators \(T_A\) have the following expression in the Chevalley basis:

\[H_0 = \frac{h_1}{2} + h_2 ; \quad T_{r=1} = e_1 ; \quad T_{r=2} = \frac{h_1}{2} ; \quad T_0 = e_2 ; \quad T_1 = e_3 ; \quad T^0 = e_5 ; \quad T^1 = \frac{e_6}{3} ; \quad T_5 = e_6,\] (B.10)

The parametrization of the \(D = 3\) scalar manifold is defined by the coset prerepresentative

\[\mathbb{L}(\phi^I) = \exp(-aT_s) \exp(\sqrt{2}Z^M T_M) \exp(y T_{r=1}) \exp(\varphi T_{r=2}) \exp(2 U H_0),\] (B.11)

\(^5\text{Note that we are using a slightly different notation with respect to\cite{11}. Denoting by bold symbols the Chevalley generators used in that reference we have the following correspondence:}\]

\[e_1 = e_2 ; \quad e_2 = e_1 ; \quad e_3 = -e_4 ; \quad e_4 = e_4/2 ; \quad e_5 = e_5/6 ; \quad e_6 = e_6/6 ; \quad h_1 = h_2 ; \quad h_2 = h_1.\] (B.8)
B.1 Normal Form of the Electric-Magnetic Charge Representation

The normal-form spaces \( J^{(N)} \) and \( K^{(N)} \) are \( p = 2 \)-dimensional, \( p \) being the rank of the coset \( H^*/H_c = \text{SL}(2)^2/\text{SO}(2)^2 \). The two parameters of the normal form of the representation \( R \) of \( H_c \) can either be related to the set of \( D0-D4 \) charges \( q_0, p^1 \) or to the \( D2-D6 \) charges \( q_1, p^0 \). According to our discussion in Sect. 2, the generators \( J_\ell \) and \( K_\ell \) of \( J^{(N)} \) and \( K^{(N)} \), respectively, together with \( H_\ell = [K_\ell, J_\ell] \), generate a characteristic \( \text{SL}(2, \mathbb{R})^2 \) subgroup of \( G_{2(2)} \). These generators are constructed out of the nilpotent matrices \( T_{\mu\nu} \) corresponding to these charges. In the case of the normal form \( q_0, p^1 \) we write \( \text{SL}(2, \mathbb{R})^2 = \text{SL}(2, \mathbb{R})_{q_0} \times \text{SL}(2, \mathbb{R})_{p^1} \), so that the two factor groups are generated by the following algebras:

\[
\begin{align*}
\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{R})_{q_0} & \equiv \{ J_{q_0}, K_{q_0}, H_{q_0} \} : \\
J_{q_0} &= \frac{T_0 + T_2}{2} = \frac{e_2 + f_2}{2} \\
K_{q_0} &= \frac{T_0 - T_2}{2} = \mathbb{K}_0 = \frac{e_2 - f_2}{2} \\
H_{q_0} &= \frac{h}{2}, \\
\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{R})_{p^1} & \equiv \{ J_{p^1}, K_{p^1}, H_{p^1} \} : \\
J_{p^1} &= 3 \frac{T_1 + T_1^*}{2} = \frac{e_1 + f_1}{2} \\
K_{p^1} &= 3 \frac{T_1 - T_1^*}{2} = 3 \mathbb{K}_1 = \frac{e_1 - f_1}{2} \\
H_{p^1} &= h_1 + \frac{3h_2}{2},
\end{align*}
\]

where the normalizations are chosen so that, defining for each algebra the nilpotent generators \( N^\pm_{q_0}, N^\pm_{p^1} \) as:

\[
N^\pm_{q_0} = H_{q_0} \mp K_{q_0}, \quad N^\pm_{p^1} = H_{p^1} \mp K_{p^1},
\]

the following commutation relations hold:

\[
[K, J] = H, \quad [H, K] = J, \quad [H, J] = K, \quad [J, N^\pm] = \pm N^\pm, \quad [N^+, N^-] = 2 J,
\]

where we have suppressed the charge subscripts and it is easily verified that generators with different subscripts, thus pertaining to different \( \mathfrak{sl}(2) \) algebras, commute. The matrices \( J_{p^1}, J_{q_0} \) are non-compact and generate Harrison transformations which, acting on a neutral solution (e.g. Schwarzschild or Kerr) switch on the charges \( q_0, p^1 \).

We can alternatively choose the normal form \( \{ p^0, q_1 \} \). In this case we write \( \text{SL}(2, \mathbb{R})^2 = \text{SL}(2, \mathbb{R})_{p^0} \times \text{SL}(2, \mathbb{R})_{q_1} \), where:

\[
\begin{align*}
\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{R})_{p^0} & \equiv \{ J_{p^0}, K_{p^0}, H_{p^0} \} : \\
J_{p^0} &= \frac{T_0 + T^0_{\alpha\beta}}{2} = \frac{e_2 + f_2}{2} \\
K_{p^0} &= \frac{T_0 - T^0_{\alpha\beta}}{2} = \mathbb{K}_0 = \frac{e_2 - f_2}{2} \\
H_{p^0} &= h_1 + h_2, \\
\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{R})_{q_1} & \equiv \{ J_{q_1}, K_{q_1}, H_{q_1} \} : \\
J_{q_1} &= \frac{T_1 + T_1^*}{2} = \frac{e_1 + f_1}{2} \\
K_{q_1} &= \frac{T_1 - T_1^*}{2} = \mathbb{K}_1 = \frac{e_1 - f_1}{2} \\
H_{q_1} &= h_1 + \frac{3h_2}{2},
\end{align*}
\]

Similarly to the previous case, the action of Harrison transformations generated by \( \{ J'_\ell \} = \{ J_{p^0}, J_{q_1} \} \) on a neutral solution generates the charges \( p^0, q_1 \).

Let us choose for the moment the \( \{ q_0, p^1 \} \) normal form and use \( \{ J_\ell \} = \{ J_{q_0}, J_{p^1} \} \) as the generators of a Cartan subalgebra \( \mathcal{C} \) of \( \mathfrak{g}_{2(2)} \). With respect to \( \mathcal{C} \), the space \( \mathbb{R}^* \) is spanned by a basis of positive-root shift generators and their negatives. In particular, denoting by \( N_{a,b} \) a nilpotent generator in \( \mathbb{R}^* \) with grading \( a \) with respect to \( J_1 \) and \( b \) relative to \( J_2 \),

\[
[J_1, N_{(a,b)}] = a N_{(a,b)}, \quad [J_2, N_{(a,b)}] = b N_{(a,b)},
\]

(B.16)
the coset space $\mathcal{R}^*$ is spanned by the following generators:

$$\mathcal{R}^* = \text{Span}(N_1, N_2, N_{1,2}, N_{0,1}, N_{0,2}, N_{0,0}, N_{0,0}, N_{0,0}),$$

where

$$N_{\pm 1,0} = N_{\pm 0}^0, \quad N_{0,\pm 1} = N_{0,0}^\pm,$$

$$N_{\pm (1,2)} = \frac{1}{4} (e_1 - e_3 + e_5 + e_6 + f_1 - f_5 - f_6),$$

$$N_{\pm (2,2)} = \frac{1}{4} (-e_1 + e_5 + 3e_6 - f_1 - f_3 - 3f_5 + 3f_6).$$

(B.18)

This basis is convenient if we consider the Harrison transformation

$$O(q_0, p^\ell) \equiv e^{\log(\beta_0) J_0}, \quad \beta_0 > 0$$

which, on a neutral solution switches on the charges $q_0, p^\ell$. Indeed each generator in the above basis transform under this Harrison boost by a scale factor:

$$O^{-1}(q_0, p^\ell) N_{(a,b)} O(q_0, p^\ell) = \frac{1}{\beta_0^a \beta_1^b} N_{(a,b)}.$$  

(B.19)

C The Kerr-Newmann-Taub-NUT Solution

The Kerr-Newmann-Taub-NUT solution has the general form [33]:

$$ds^2 = \frac{\Delta}{\rho^2} (dt + \omega)^2 - \frac{\rho^2}{\Delta} \left( \frac{\Delta}{\Delta} dr^2 + \Delta d\theta^2 + \Delta \sin^2 \theta d\phi^2 \right)$$

(C.1)

where

$$\Delta = (r - m)^2 - c^2,$$

(C.2)

$$c^2 = m^2 + \ell^2 - \frac{1}{2}(q^2 + p^2) - \alpha^2,$$

(C.3)

$$\Delta = \Delta - \alpha^2 \sin^2 \theta,$$

(C.4)

$$\rho^2 = r^2 + (\alpha \cos \theta + \ell)^2,$$

(C.5)

$$\omega = \left( \alpha \sin^2 \theta \frac{\rho^2}{\Delta} - \frac{\Delta}{\Delta} + 2\ell \cos \theta \right) d\phi,$$

(C.6)

$$A^0 = [-q r + p (\ell + \alpha \cos \theta)] \frac{dt}{\rho^2} +$$

$$+ \left\{ -p \left[ (\alpha^2 + r^2 - \ell^2) \cos \theta + \alpha \ell \sin^2 \theta \right] + q \left[ \alpha r \sin^2 \theta - 2 \ell r \cos \theta \right] \right\} \frac{d\phi}{\rho^2},$$

(C.7)

in terms of the coordinates $(r, \theta)$, of the electric and magnetic charges $(q, p)$ and of the ADM-mass and NUT charge $(m, \ell)$. The parameter $\alpha$, as before, is related to the angular momentum $M_\phi$ of the solution by $\alpha = M_\phi / m$. Here the metric field $U(r, \theta)$ is given by $e^{\theta U} = \Delta$.

The above Kerr-Newmann Taub-NUT solution, embedded in the $T^3$-model, is characterized by the following matrices $Q, Q_0$:

$$Q = \frac{1}{2} \left( -2 \mathbb{K}_\ell + 4 H_0 m - 2\sqrt{2} \mathbb{K}_0 q_0 + 2\sqrt{2} \mathbb{K}_0 p^0 - \frac{2\sqrt{2}}{3} \mathbb{K}_0 q_1 + 6\sqrt{2} \mathbb{K}_1 p^1 \right),$$

(C.8)

$$Q_0 = \frac{\alpha}{2} \left( 4 \mathbb{K}_m + 4 H_0 \ell + 2\sqrt{2} \mathbb{K}_0 q_0 + 2\sqrt{2} \mathbb{K}_0 p^0 + 2\sqrt{2} \mathbb{K}_1 q_1 + 2\sqrt{2} \mathbb{K}_1 p^1 \right),$$
where
\[ M_{ADM} = m, \quad p^0 = \frac{p - q}{2\sqrt{2}}, \quad p^1 = \frac{p + q}{2\sqrt{2}}, \quad q_0 = \frac{p + q}{2\sqrt{2}}, \quad q_1 = \frac{q - p}{2\sqrt{2}}. \] (C.9)

From Eq. (C.8) we see that the electric and magnetic charges of a solution can be read off the components of \( Q \) along the compact generators \( K_M = (T_M - T_M^1)/2 \).
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