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Introduction

With the burgeoning of genetics knowledge in psychiatry, several companies now market pharmacogenomic (PGx) decision support tools (DSTs) to help inform medication selection and dosing for treating depression. A recent meta-analysis of five PGx DSTs tested in randomized controlled trials found a significantly higher remission rate (odds ratio: 1.7, 95% confidence interval: 1.2-2.5) among patients who received PGx-informed treatment compared to those receiving treatment as usual.1 The utility of the PGx tests appears to be greater in patients who have failed to tolerate or respond to at least one prior medication trial.1,2 However, recent statements from the US Food and Drug Administration and a position paper from the American Psychiatric Association have raised concerns about whether and in what circumstances clinicians should order PGx testing.3 Debate about the role that PGx DSTs should play in psychiatric clinical care is likely to continue in the foreseeable future.

Given this state of the field, it is unsurprising that clinicians may feel uncertain about ordering or interpreting PGx DSTs. It should be noted that the Clinical Pharmacogenetics Implementation Consortium (CPIC) publishes publicly available guidelines based on a consensus of expert opinion.4 Among the psychiatric drugs, CPIC assigns the highest level of evidence only for associations between CYP2D6 and CYP2C19 genetic variants and the dosing of tricyclic and selective serotonin reuptake inhibitor antidepressants and atomoxetine. Here, for clinicians who choose to order PGx DSTs, I suggest a structured approach to using these reports.

Genes included in PGx DST reports

Pharmacokinetic genes are those that produce proteins involved in the metabolism of drugs, including phase I (cytochrome P450 enzymes) and phase II metabolism (e.g., transferases such as UGT1A1 and UGT2B15). For phase I metabolism there are six CYP450 genes typically included in psychiatric PGx DSTs (CYP 1A2, 2B6, 2C9, 2C19, 2D6, and 3A4/5). Each person has two copies of each of these genes, which are classified into “star” alleles that assign a functional status to the level of activity of the enzyme the gene codes for, including increased function, normal function, decreased function and no function. There are also categories for unknown and uncertain function. The specific combination of a person’s two star alleles for each CYP gene determines their metabolic phenotype for that CYP enzyme, classified as: ultra-rapid, rapid, normal (previously known as “extensive”), intermediate, or poor metabolizer.5 Clinically, the primary concern for ultra-rapid metabolizers is that they will not respond to treatment, whereas for poor metabolizers the concerns are for side effects or other safety issues.

Pharmacodynamic genes are those that code for proteins that are biological targets of a medication, such as monoamine receptors (e.g., HTR2A for the serotonin type 2 receptor) and transporters (e.g., SLC6A4 for the serotonin transporter). To date, the level of evidence that pharmacodynamic genes impact response to antidepressants is generally weaker than that of the pharmacokinetic genes; therefore, there is less consistency across the pharmacodynamic genes that PGx tests include in their DSTs. Some PGx DSTs incorporate Phase III metabolism genes such as ABCB1 and ABCC1 that code for ATP-binding cassette transporters, which actively pump out medications from the central nervous system across the blood brain barrier. PGx reports may also include results for major histocompatibility complex genes, such as HLA-B*1502, which can represent a major risk factor for Stevens-Johnson syndrome or toxic epidermal necrolysis with carbamazepine or oxcarbazepine.

Discussing PGx results with patients

An overarching goal in discussing PGx reports is for the patient to understand that the test is not a definitive answer to what will “work,” but rather that the test can help reduce uncertainty in selecting medications. For simplicity, the first page of most PGx DST reports presents medication recommendations in color-coded bins. Although these colorful bins represent a report’s “bottom-line,” clinicians can benefit from beginning at the summary of the genetic results before discussing the binned medication recommendations.
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Table 1 Process for discussing pharmacogenomic decision support tools with patients

| Step | Goal | Approach |
|------|------|----------|
| 1    | Prevent misconceptions. Frame expectations. | Explain that the test only evaluates whether there are gene variants that may impact a patient’s response to medications, and that the test does not address: 1) heredity of psychiatric disease; 2) prognosis about the long-term course of illness; or 3) information about risk for other diseases, such as Alzheimer’s disease. |
| 2    | Understand that responses to medications stem from many factors besides genetics. | Explicitly state the non-genetic (i.e., clinical) factors that also inform the physician’s medication selection. State that a medication that is helping will not be stopped simply because it appears in a “warning” or “cautionary” use bin. |
| 3    | Understand that drugs can directly or indirectly act on transporters and receptors involved in chemical signaling in the brain. | Discuss pharmacokinetic gene results. |
| 4    | Understand the meaning of metabolizer categories of CYP enzymes, especially “Ultra-rapid” versus “Poor.” | Discuss pharmacodynamic gene results. |
| 5    | Understand there is disagreement about the importance of some genes’ impact on medication response. | Discuss the guidance provided by the medication bins of the decision support tool, framing the discussion around genetic results being only one component of medication selection. |
| 6    | Review the medication bin categories and the medication options the clinician is considering based on the test report. | Elicit questions and address concerns. |

Table 1 presents a stepwise approach to discussing PGx DSTs. Steps 1 and 2 are best conducted prior to deciding to order the test. Key messages that patients should take away after discussing DST results are that medications listed under a “warning” or “caution” bin can still be appropriate to use, and that medication choice, dosing, and response depend on several factors other than genetics. Additionally, clinicians should be mindful that medication recommendations for the same individual can vary substantially between the different PGx testing companies due to a variety of reasons, so that the tests cannot be considered fully interchangeable.6

A potential downside of PGx testing is that patients and clinicians may latch on to a “biological cause” for the lack of improvement. It is important to emphasize that response to treatment also depends on one’s psychological and social state and on other medical factors, and that these will need to remain part of the discussion as the patient moves toward wellness. Another risk is that a patient who is doing well on a medication may find that a PGx DST lists their medication in a “caution” bin, and so asks if they should stop it. In this situation, the clinician should educate the patient about the limitations of pharmacogenomic testing and reassure the patient to remain on the effective medication.

Conclusion

PGx DSTs should not replace clinician judgment in medication selection, which requires consideration of comorbid medical and psychiatric disorders, response and tolerability of prior medication trials, concomitant medications, financial concerns, and the likely level of adherence to the medication. A structured approach to reviewing PGx DST reports supports shared decision-making with the patient and can foster a broader awareness of factors impacting treatment response.
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