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The infinite chain of transitions of one pair of mesons (channel I) into another pair of mesons (channel II) can produce bound states and resonances in both channels even if no interactions inside channels exist. These resonances which can occur also in meson-baryon channels are called channel-coupling (CC) resonances. A new mechanism of CC resonances is proposed where transitions occur due to a rearrangement of confining strings inside each channel – the recoupling mechanism. The amplitude of this recoupling mechanism is expressed via overlap integrals of the wave functions of participating mesons (baryons). The explicit calculation with the known wave functions yields the peak at $E = 4.12$ GeV for the transitions $J/\psi + \phi \leftrightarrow D^*_s + \bar{D}^*_s$, which can be associated with $\chi_{c1}(4140)$, the peak at 4.5 GeV for the transitions $J/\psi + p \leftrightarrow \Sigma_c + D^*$, which can be associated with $P_c(4457)$, and a narrow peak at 3.98 GeV with the width 10 MeV for the transitions $D^-_s + D^*_0 \leftrightarrow J/\psi + K^{*-}$, which can be associated with the recently discovered $Z_{cs}(3985)$. 

PACS numbers:

I. INTRODUCTION

The modern situation with the spectra of quarkonia and baryons requires the dynamical explanation of numerous extra states, which are not present in the one-channel spectra of a given meson [1]. A similar situation occurs in the excited baryon spectra [2]. To be more precise, in the case of heavy quarkonia, i.e. states, which contain $c\bar{c}$ and $b\bar{b}$ pairs, the experimental data contain a number of charged $Z_c, Z_b$ and neutral $Y_c, Y_b$ states, which cannot be explained by the dynamics of $c\bar{c}$, or $b\bar{b}$ pairs alone, see [3] for review.

There are theoretical suggestions of different mechanisms [4–23], which should be taken into account. E.g. poles (resonances) in the meson-meson channels can occur due to strong interaction in these systems, and appear as additional poles in the $S$ matrix [5, 8, 17, 18] – the molecular-type approach.

A similar in the choice of the driving channels ($Q\bar{q}\bar{Q}q$), but different in the dynamics, is the approach of the tetraquark model [6, 10, 12, 13, 16, 19, 23], see [24–26] for reviews. We shall consider here a different theoretical treatment of this problem, suggesting a simple and quite general mechanism for exotic peaks in mesons and baryons – the recoupling mechanism.

In contrast to the approaches, where white-white interaction in the one-channel system, (e.g. in meson-meson) is generating resonances, we propose the dynamical picture, where the summed up transitions from one channel to another (without
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interaction inside channels) can be strong enough to produce resonances nearby thresholds. The specific feature of this interaction is that it depends strongly on the wave functions of both channels, entering in the transition matrix element, which measures the amplitude of the transition between the initial $q\bar{Q} + \bar{q}Q$ and final $q\bar{q} + Q\bar{Q}$ states.

In what follows we are exploiting the channel-coupling (CC) interaction in the form of the energy-dependent recoupling Green’s functions as a possible origin of extra states - the recoupling mechanism.

Indeed, more than 30 years ago, one of the present authors participated in the systematic study of CC effects in the spectra of hadrons, nuclei and atoms [27]. It was found there, that the CC interaction defined by the Transition Matrix Element (TME) is able to produce resonances (poles) of its own, if TME is strong enough, i.e. if the corresponding TME satisfies certain conditions, similar to that for one-channel potential.

We show below, that at the basis of this recoupling process lies a simple picture of the string recoupling between the same systems of quarks and antiquarks, which does not need neither energy nor additional interaction, and is simply a kind of topological transformation of two confining strings with fixed ends into another pair of strings – the string recoupling.

![FIG. 1: The transition of the mesons $(q_1\bar{q}_2) + (q_3\bar{q}_4) \leftrightarrow (q_1\bar{q}_4) + (q_2q_3)$ via recoupling of the confining strings.](image)

One can see in Fig. 1 the confining regions (the crossed areas) for the bound states of quark-antiquark (mesons) $q_1\bar{q}_2$ and $q_3\bar{q}_4$ in the l.h.s. of the Fig. 1, which is transformed in the middle part of Fig. 1 into the confining region between $q_1\bar{q}_4$ on the plane of the figure, and “the confining bridge” – the double-crossed area between $\bar{q}_2q_3$. The r.h.s. of the Fig. 1 is the same as the l.h.s. As the result the transition is $(q_1\bar{q}_2) + (q_3\bar{q}_4) \leftrightarrow (q_1\bar{q}_4) + (\bar{q}_2q_3)$. It is interesting to understand what
kind of vertices are responsible for this transition, and to this end we demonstrate in Fig. 2 below the possible construction of the “confining bridge” in the Fig. 1 by cutting the confining film and turning up the middle piece.

We show in this way that topologically this process is equivalent to the double string breaking, and numerically is defined by the overlap integral of participating hadron wave functions. This mechanism is quite general and can work for meson-meson, meson-baryon, baryon-baryon states. In particular it can work for some of $X, Y$ and $Z$ states of heavy quarkonia, like $Z_c(3900)$ and $Z_c(4020)$.

It is a purpose of the present paper to exploit this formalism for the case of extra states in meson-meson or meson-baryon spectra and define possible resonances and thresholds, and further on to apply this formalism to the case of pentaquark states like $P_c(4312), P_c(4440), P_c(4457)$.

Our main procedure will be the calculation of TME using realistic wave functions of $c\bar{c}, b\bar{b}, c\bar{u}$ systems, as well as approximate for baryon systems. Using those we calculate the resulting Green’s functions and resonance positions and compare them with experiment. The plan of the paper is as follows.

In the next section we introduce the reader to the method by solving a simplified two-channel problem with a separable potential. Section 3 is devoted to the explicit formulation of the recoupling mechanism, section 4 contains application to the meson-meson channel, and section 5 considers the meson-baryon case. Section 6 is devoted to the numerical results and discussion, while section 7 contains conclusions and an outlook.
II. THE SIMPLEST CASE: ONLY SEPARABLE CC INTERACTION

Suppose we have two channels 1 and 2 with thresholds \( E_1 \) and \( E_2 \) and the CC interaction is separable

\[
V_{12}(p_1, p_2) = -\lambda v_1(p_1) v_2(p_2) = V_{21}.
\]

(1)

The Schroedinger-like (possibly relativistic) equations are

\[
(T_1 - E)\varphi_1 + V_{12}\varphi_2 = 0, \quad (T_2 - E)\varphi_2 + V_{21}\varphi_1 = 0
\]

(2)

and can be reduced to the equation

\[
(T_1 - E)\varphi_1 + V_{121}(E)\varphi_1 = 0,
\]

(3)

where \( V_{121} \) is

\[
V_{121}(p_1, p'_1 E) = -\lambda^2 v_1(p_1) v_1(p'_1) \int \frac{v_2^2(k)d^3k/(2\pi)^3}{T_2(k) + E_2 - E}.
\]

(4)

Solving (3) one obtains the equation for the eigenvalue \( E_1 \)

\[
1 = \lambda^2 \int \frac{v_1^2(p)d^3p/(2\pi)^3}{T_1(p) + E_1 - E} \int \frac{v_2^2(k)d^3k/(2\pi)^3}{T_2(k) + E_2 - E},
\]

(5)

or

\[
1 = \lambda^2 I_1(E)I_2(E).
\]

(6)

For \( E_1 < E_2 \) one can put \( E = E_1 \) and get a condition for the existence of a bound state in our two-channel system \([27]\).

\[
\lambda^2 I_1(E)I_2(E) \geq 1.
\]

(7)

One of the intriguing points now is how the bound state poles, or more generally, any poles appear when the interaction strength \( \lambda \) is large enough. To this end we make a simplifying assumption about the form of \( v_i(k) \) and write

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{a)} & \quad v_i^2(k) = \frac{1}{k^2 + \nu_i^2} \\
\text{b)} & \quad v_i^2(k) = \exp\left(-\frac{k^2}{4\beta_i^2}\right)
\end{align*}
\]

(8)

where \( \nu_1, \nu_2 \) and \( \beta_1, \beta_2 \) are some constants. Assuming also the nonrelativistic kinematics \( T_i = \frac{k_i^2}{2\mu_i} \) one obtains in the case a)

\[
I_i(E) = \frac{\mu_i}{2\pi(\nu_i - i\sqrt{2\mu_i\Delta_i})}, \quad \Delta_i = E - E_i,
\]

(9)
and we have taken square root (9) on the physical Riemann sheet, $E = E + i\delta$. Hence the equation (5) for the poles (energy eigenvalues) is

$$
(v_1 - i\sqrt{2\mu_1(E - E_1)})(v_2 - i\sqrt{2\mu_2(E - E_2)}) = C = \frac{\mu_1\mu_2\lambda^2}{4\pi^2}.
$$

(10)

We shall be mostly interested in the poles around the threshold $E_2$ and therefore in the first approximation we replace the first factor on the l.h.s. of (10) by a constant, assuming, that $E_2 - E_1$ has a large positive value, hence one can write for $k_2 = \sqrt{\mu_2(E - E_2)}$ using (10)

$$
k_2 \cong -i\nu_2 + i\lambda'^2, \quad \lambda'^2 = \frac{\mu_1\mu_2\lambda^2}{4\pi^2(v_1 - i\sqrt{2\mu_1(E_2 - E_1)})}.
$$

(11)

From (11) one can see that the pole is originally (at $\lambda' = 0$) on the second $E_2$ sheet, $k_2 = -i\nu_2$ and remains on the second $E_2$ sheet with increasing $\lambda'$. Note, however, that since originally we have been on the $E_1$ first sheet, then $\operatorname{Im} \lambda'^2 > 0$, and therefore $\operatorname{Re} k_2 < 0$, implying that the pole can be of the Breit-Winger type for $\operatorname{Re} \lambda'^2 > \nu_2$.

As will be shown below in section 4, resonance production cross sections are proportional to the function

$$
\frac{d\sigma^*(E)}{dE} = \left| \frac{1}{1 - \lambda^2 I_1(E - E_1)I_2(E - E_2)} \right|^2 k_2(E).
$$

(12)

We can generalize this separable form to the relativistic case, when two hadrons with masses $m_3, m_4$, so that the denominators in (5) look as follows:

$$
T_1(p) + E_1 - E \to \sqrt{p^2 + m_1^2} + \sqrt{p^2 + m_2^2} - E,
$$

$$
T_2(p) + E_2 - E \to \sqrt{k^2 + m_3^2} + \sqrt{k^2 + m_4^2} - E.
$$

(13)

Here we have two thresholds $m_1 + m_2$ and $m_3 + m_4$, and we shall assume that $m_1 + m_2 < m_3 + m_4$.

Making the replacement (13) in $I_1(E), I_2(E)$ one can calculate these functions and find the behaviour of the approximate cross section in (12).

III. EQUATIONS FOR TWO CHANNEL AMPLITUDES IN THE RECOUPLING FORMALISM

In this section we discuss the Green’s function of the system of two white (noninteracting) hadrons $h_1, h_2$, which can transform into another system of white hadrons...
and this transformation can occur infinite number of times $h_1 h_2 \rightarrow H_3 H_4 \rightarrow h_1 h_2 \rightarrow H_3 H_4 \rightarrow ...$

Denoting the transition amplitude $V(h_1 h_2 \rightarrow H_3 H_4) = V^+(H_3 H_4 \rightarrow h_1 h_2)$, and the corresponding Green’s functions as $G_{h}, G_{H}$, we obtain the total Green’s function $G_{\alpha \beta}$, e.g. $G_{hh}$

$$G_{hh} = G_{h} + G_{h} V_{hH} G_{H} V_{hh} G_{h} + G_{h} V_{hH} G_{H} V_{hh} G_{H} V_{hh} G_{h} + ... = G_{h} \frac{1}{1 - V_{hH} G_{H} V_{hh} G_{h}};$$

as a result one obtains the equation, which defines all possible singularities of the physical amplitudes, including the resonance poles.

$$1 = V_{hH} G_{H} V_{hh} G_{h},$$

Note, that the described above method of the channel coupling was proposed before in the nonrelativistic form by the Cornell group [28], and exploited for the analytic calculation of the charmonium spectra, where the $h_1 h_2$ are strongly interacting quarks $c\bar{c}$. The subsequent development of this method in [29–31] has allowed to understand the nature of the $X(3872)$ [30] and $Z_b$ states [31]. For the light quarks this method requires the explicit knowledge of $q\bar{q}$ spectrum and wave functions, which are available in the QCD string approach [32, 33].

Recently the same approach, called the relativistic Cornell-type formalism successfully explained the spectrum of light scalars [34, 35]. In our present case we disregard the interaction of hadrons $h_1$ with $h_2$ and $H_3$ with $H_4$.

Both Green’s function $G_{H}, G_{h}$ describe propagation of two noninteracting subsystems, but each of these hadrons can have its own nontrivial spectrum.

In the simplest case, e.g. $h = \pi\pi, H = K\bar{K}$, the Green’s functions of noninteracting particles are well known, see e.g. [34, 35] for the scalar $\pi\pi, K\bar{K}$ Green’s functions with the fixed spatial distance between $\pi\pi$ or $K\bar{K}$, needed to define the the transition matrix element.

Since each of $h_i$ or $H_j$ is a composite system consisting of $q\bar{q}$ or $qqq$ one must write the corresponding relativistic composite Green’s function, using the path integral formalism, see [33] for a recent review.

As it is seen from (13), one needs the explicit form of the relativistic Green’s function, consisting of two quark-antiquark mesons $h_1(q_1, \bar{Q}_1)$ and $h_2(q_2, Q_2)$ with the zero total momentum $\mathbf{P} = 0$, so that the c.m. momentum of $q_1 \bar{Q}_1$ is $\mathbf{p}_1$, while for $q_2 Q_2$ it is $-\mathbf{p}_1$. As a result the wave function of the $h_1 h_2$ system with $\mathbf{P} = 0$ and c.m. coordinates $\mathbf{R}$ can be written as
\[
\Psi_{h_1 h_2}(u - x; y - v) = e^{i p_1 R(u, x) - i p_1 R(u, y) \psi_1(u - x) \psi_2(y - v)}
\]  
(16)

At the same time the relativistic wave function of the hadrons \(H_3, H_4, h_H(q_1 \bar{q}_2), H_4(\bar{Q}_1 Q_2)\) has the form

\[
\Psi_{h_3 h_4}(u - v; v - y) = e^{i p_2 R(u, v) - i p_2 R(x, y) \psi_3(u - v) \psi_4(x - y)}.
\]  
(17)

Here we have introduced the c.m. coordinates \(R\) of the hadrons, expressed via the average energies \(\omega_i, \Omega_i\) of the quarks and antiquarks in the hadron \([36]\)

\[
R(u, x) = \frac{\omega_1 u + \bar{\Omega}_1 x}{\omega_1 + \bar{\Omega}_1}, \quad R(y, v) = \frac{\bar{\omega}_2 v + \Omega_2 y}{\bar{\omega}_2 + \Omega_2},
\]  
(18)

\[
R(u, v) = \frac{\omega_3 u + \bar{\omega}_4 v}{\omega_3 + \bar{\omega}_4}, \quad R(x, y) = \frac{\bar{\Omega}_3 x + \Omega_4 y}{\bar{\Omega}_3 + \Omega_4}.
\]  
(19)

Here \(\omega_i, \Omega_i\) are given in the Appendix 1 of this paper.

Next we must calculate the overlap matrix element of \(\Psi_{h_1 h_2}\) and \(\Psi_{h_3 h_4}\)

\[
V_{12|34}(p_1, p_2) = \int \bar{y}_{1234} d^3(u - x) d^3(y - v) d^3(u - v) \Psi_{h_1 h_2}^+ \Psi_{h_3 h_4}.
\]  
(20)

Introducing the Fourier component of the wave functions e.g. \(\psi_1(u - x) = \int \tilde{\psi}_1(q_1) e^{i q_1 (u - x)} \frac{d^3 q_1}{(2\pi)^3}\), one obtains in the simple case when \(q_2 = q_1, Q_2 = Q_1\)

\[
V_{12|34}(p_1, p_2) = \int \frac{d^3 q_1}{(2\pi)^3} \bar{y}_{1234} \tilde{\psi}_1(q_1) \tilde{\psi}_2(q_1 + p_2) \psi_3(-q_1 - \frac{p_2}{2} - p_1 \frac{\omega_1}{\omega_1 + \bar{\Omega}_1}) \times
\]

\[
\times \psi_4 \left( q_1 - \frac{p_2}{2} - p_1 \frac{\Omega_1}{\omega_1 + \bar{\Omega}_1} \right)
\]  
(21)

In (20), (21) we introduced the numerical recoupling coefficient \(\bar{y}_{1234}\), which is discussed in Appendix 2.

The transition element (20) with the factor \(\bar{y}_{1234}\), responsible for the recoupling of hadrons, shown in Fig. 1, has a simple structure. Indeed, as one can see in Fig. 1, the creation of two string configurations in the intermediate confining strings position and back into the original configuration. One may wonder what is the explicit mechanism of this recoupling, and what are the vertices denoted by thick points in the Fig. 2. To this end we note, that we have two strings on the r.h.s. of
Fig. 2: string from \( Q_1 \) to \( \bar{Q}_2 \) and another from \( \bar{q}_1 \) to \( q_2 \); this position results from the double string decay (the l.h.s. of Fig. 2) with the subsequent rotation of the string between \( Q_1 \) and \( \bar{Q}_2 \) to the right, where this string is at some distance above the string between \( \bar{q}_1, q_2 \). One can associate the quantity \( M(x, y) \) with this process and we must add this factor to \( V_{12|34} \). Writing \( M(x, y) = \sigma|x - y| \) in analogy with the one-point string decay described by the effective Lagrangian \([37]\) for the string decay,

\[
\mathcal{L}_{sd} = \int d^4x \bar{\psi}(x)M(x)\psi(x) \tag{22}
\]

and replacing it with the numerical value \( M_\omega \), similarly to \([29–31]\), (see Appendix 2 for details) one can write \( y_{1234} = M_\omega \chi_{1234} \) in \((21)\), with \( \chi_{1234} \) describing the spin-isospin recoupling. Finally one obtains the expression for the whole combination

\[
N(E) = G_{h_1 h_2} V_{12/34} G_{h_3 h_4} V_{34/12} \tag{23}
\]

\[
N(E) = \int \frac{d^3p_1}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{d^3p_2}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{V_{12|34}(p_1, p_2)V_{34|12}(p_1, p_2)}{(E_1(p_1) + E_2(p_1) - E)(E_3(p_2) + E_4(p_2) - E)}. \tag{24}
\]

The resulting singularities (square root threshold singularities and possible poles from the equation \( N(E) = 1 \)) can be found in the integral \((24)\).

One can see, that the structure of the expression \((24)\) is the same as in Eq.\((5)\), provided \( V_{12|34} \) factorizes in factors \( v_1(p_1)v_2(p_2) \), and consequently one expects the same behaviour of the cross sections as in \((12)\).

At this point it is useful to introduce the approximate form of the wave functions in \((21)\), which is discussed in \([31]\). Here we only give the simplest form of the Gaussian wave functions for the ground states of light, heavy-light and heavy quarkonia. One can write

\[
\tilde{\psi}_i(q) = c_i \exp \left(-\frac{q^2}{2\beta_i^2}\right), \quad c_i^2 = \frac{8\pi^{3/2}}{\beta_i^3}; \quad \int \tilde{\psi}_i^2(q) \frac{d^3q}{(2\pi)^3} = 1 \tag{25}
\]

where \( \beta_i \) was found in \([29–31]\), see Appendix 3, e.g. for ground states of bottomonium \( \beta = 1.27 \) GeV, for charmonium \( \beta = 0.7 \) GeV and for \( D, B \) mesons \( \beta = 0.48, 0.49 \) GeV.

Inserting \( \tilde{\psi}_i(q) \) in \((25)\) into \((21)\) and integrating over \( d^3q_1 \) one obtains

\[
V_{12|34}(p_1, p_2) = \bar{y}_{1234} \left(\prod_{i=1}^{4} c_i\right) \frac{\exp(-AP_2^2 - BP_1^2 - CP_1p_2)}{(2\pi)^38\pi^{3/2}a^{3/2}}, \tag{26}
\]
where $a, A, B, C$ are

\[
a = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{4} \frac{1}{\beta_i^2}; \quad A = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{1}{\beta_2^2} + \frac{1}{4\beta_3^2} + \frac{1}{4\beta_4^2} \right) - \frac{1}{4a} \left( \frac{1}{\beta_2^2} + \frac{1}{2\beta_3^2} + \frac{1}{2\beta_4^2} \right)^2
\] (27)

\[
B = \frac{1}{2\beta_3^2} \left( \frac{\omega_1}{\omega_1 + \Omega_1} \right)^2 + \frac{1}{2\beta_4^2} \left( \frac{\Omega_1}{\omega_1 + \Omega_1} \right)^2 - \frac{1}{4a} \left( \frac{1}{\beta_3^2 \omega_1 + \Omega_1} - \frac{1}{\beta_4^2 \omega_1 + \Omega_1} \right)^2
\] (28)

\[
C = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{1}{\beta_3^2 (\omega_1 + \Omega_1)} - \frac{1}{\beta_4^2 (\omega_1 + \Omega_1)} \right) - \frac{1}{2a} \left( \frac{1}{\beta_2^2 + \beta_3^2 + \beta_4^2} \right)
\]

\[
\left( \frac{\omega_1}{\beta_3^2 (\omega_1 + \Omega_1)} - \frac{\Omega_1}{\beta_4^2 (\omega_1 + \Omega_1)} \right).
\] (29)

The resulting $N(E)$ has the form

\[
N(E) = \frac{M_0^2 \chi_{1234}^2}{a^3(\prod_i 3! \beta_i)^3(\pi)^3} \int \frac{d^3p_1 d^3p_2 \exp(-2Ap_2^2 - 2Bp_1^2 - 2Cp_1p_2)}{(E_1(p_1) + E_2(p_1) - E)(E_3(p_2) + E_4(p_2) - E)}
\] (30)

and the differential cross section with the final second channel is proportional to

\[
\frac{d\sigma}{dE} \sim \frac{p(E)}{|1 - N(E)|^2}
\] (31)

where $p(E) \sim \sqrt{E^2 - (m_3 + m_4)^2}$. It is interesting, that for the fully symmetric case, when all $\beta_i$ are equal, and $\omega_1 = \Omega_1$, one obtains for the exponent in (26) \exp\left(-\frac{p_1^2 + p_2^2}{2\beta^2}\right), and $V_{12|34} = V_{34|12}$ and $N(E)$ are

\[
V_{12|34}^{\text{symm}}(p_1, p_2) = \frac{2^{5/2}\sqrt{\pi}}{\beta^3} \bar{y}_{1234} \exp\left(-\frac{p_1^2 + p_2^2}{4\beta^2}\right)
\] (32)

\[
N(E) = \frac{2M_0^2 \chi_{1234}^2}{\pi \beta^6} \int \frac{p_1^2 dp_1 p_2^2 dp_2 \exp\left(-\frac{p_1^2 + p_2^2}{4\beta^2}\right)}{(E_1(p_1) + E_2(p_1) - E)(E_3(p_2) + E_4(p_2) - E)}
\] (33)

**IV. RECOUPLING MECHANISM FOR THE MESON-MESON AMPILITUDEs**

The formalism introduced on the previous section can be directly applied to the amplitudes, containing two meson-meson thresholds, $m_1 + m_2 \leftrightarrow m_3 + m_4$ with the singularities given by the equation

\[
1 - N(m_1, m_2, m_3, m_4; E) = 0.
\] (34)
As we discussed in section II, the conditions for the appearance of visible singularities require that the threshold difference $\Delta M = m_3 + m_4 - m_1 - m_2$ should be comparable or smaller than average size $\langle \beta \rangle$ of the hadron wave functions in momentum space, while the recoupling coefficient $\bar{y}_{1234}$ is of the order of unity, i.e. there should be no angular momentum excitation or spin flip process.

An additional requirement is the relatively small widths of participating hadrons, otherwise all singularities would be smoothed out.

One can choose several examples in this respect.

1) The set of transformations

$$J/\psi + \phi \leftrightarrow D_s^* + \bar{D}_s^* \rightarrow J/\psi + \phi$$

with masses $m_1 = 3097$ MeV, $m_2 = 1020$ MeV, $m_3 = m_4 = 2112$ MeV, and the corresponding thresholds $m_1 + m_2 = 4117$ MeV and $m_3 + m_4 = 4224$ MeV. One can see no spin flip in the sequence $c_+\bar{c}_+ + s_+\bar{s}_- \rightarrow c_+\bar{s}_- + \bar{c}_+s_+$ for (34), where lower indices denote spin projections, and therefore no damping of transition probability. One can expect, that the yield of the reaction (35) would have the form similar to that of $\chi_{c1}(4140)$ with the mass (4147 MeV with the width $\Gamma = (22 \pm 8)$ MeV [38].

2) One of the best studied exotic resonances $Z_c(3900)$ [39–42] was found in the reaction $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-J/\psi \rightarrow \pi^\pm Z_c(3900)$. It can be associated with the recoupling process $D\bar{D}^* \leftrightarrow \pi J/\psi$, where the higher threshold is $M_2 = 3874$ MeV, and the spin, charge and isospin recombination agrees with this recoupling. One expects the peak above $M_2$ in accordance with experiment.

A similar situation can be in the case of the $Z_c(4020)$ observed in the reaction $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi\pi h_c$ [43], which can be associated with the recoupling $\pi h_c \rightarrow D^*\bar{D}^*$ with threshold $M_1 = 3665$ MeV and $M_2 = 4020$ MeV. One can one can envisage the yield of the reaction to be described by the equation (31), with $p(E) \rightarrow p^3(E)$, since one need the $P$-wave in $D^*\bar{D}^*$ near threshold, as in $h_c$.

Note, that in general the recoupling can easily produce both $X,Y$ or $Z_c$ resonance peaks, when a charged particle (like $\rho$) is participating in the sequence of transformations.

V. RECOUPLING MECHANISM FOR MESON-BARYON SYSTEMS

One can consider the transformation sequence for baryons of the form, e.g.

$$(qqg) + Q\bar{Q}) \leftrightarrow (qqQ) + (q\bar{Q})$$

(36)
and apply the same formalism as the used above for the meson-meson recoupling transformations.

In principle it implies the new degrees of freedom, associated with the additional quark in \((qqq)\) as compared to the meson \((q\bar{q})\). To simplify the matter, we start below with the assumption, that the diquark combination can be factorized out in the baryon \((qqq) \rightarrow q(qq)\) and does not change during the recoupling process, which can now be written as

\[ q(qq) + (Q\bar{Q}) \leftrightarrow Q(qq) + (q\bar{Q}). \tag{37} \]

In doing so we neglect also the internal structure of the diquark \((qq)\) system, which stays unchanged during the recoupling process, so that only its total spin, spin projection and its relative motion with the quark \(q\) or \(Q\) in the baryon \((qqq) \rightarrow q(qq)\) and does not change during the recoupling process, which can now be written as

\[ q(qq) + (Q\bar{Q}) \leftrightarrow Q(qq) + (q\bar{Q}). \tag{37} \]

As a first example one can take the transitions \(p + \phi \rightarrow \bar{K}^* + \Lambda\) with thresholds \(M_1 = 1960\) MeV and \(M_2 = 2005\) MeV, where the role of quarks \(\bar{Q}_1, Q_2\) is played by \(\bar{s}, s\) and one has a transition \(u(ud) + \bar{s}s \rightarrow \bar{s}u + s(ud)\), where all \(\beta\) parameters have a similar magnitude, and one can expect a peak nearby \(M_2\).

As a concrete example one can take the case of the triad \(P_c(4312), P_c(4440)\) and \(P_c(4457)\), found experimentally in [51, 52], with a vast literature devoted to this phenomenon, called pentaquark, see a review in PDG [2], and for the latest pentaquark papers see [53–70].

The most part of the literature considers pentaquarks as a result of molecular interaction between a white baryon and a white meson, which creates a bound state nearby the threshold of this system. In what follows we shall exploit the recoupling
mechanism and we shall show, that it can provide the observed peaks without an assumption of the white-white strong interaction.

We shall have in mind the recoupling transformations of the type

$$J/\psi + P \leftrightarrow (\Sigma, \Sigma^*) + (D, D^*)$$

(38)

and we impose the requirement of s-wave recoupling without spin flip processes and parity conservation, which excludes $\Lambda_c^*(2595)$ with $(IJP) = (0, \frac{1}{2}^-)$ and includes $\Lambda_c(2286)(0, \frac{1}{2}^+), \Sigma_c(2455)(1, \frac{1}{2}^+), \Gamma \approx 2$ MeV, $\Sigma_c^*(2529)(1, \frac{3}{2}^+), \Gamma \approx 15$ MeV, in addition to $D(1864), (\frac{1}{2}^+, 0^+)$ and $D^*(2010), (\frac{1}{2}^+, 1^-)$ with $\Gamma_D, \Gamma_{D^*} < 1$ MeV.

As a result one obtains the thresholds $M_2 = m_3 + m_4$ in the Table 1 together with $P_c$.

### Table I: Meson-baryon thresholds and the associated pentaquarks

| Thresholds (MeV) | 4150 | 4319 | 4465 | 4384 |
|-----------------|------|------|------|------|
| Pairs           | $\Lambda_c \bar{D}$ | $\Sigma_c \bar{D}$ | $\Sigma_c \bar{D}^*$ | $\Sigma_c^* \bar{D}$ |
| Pentaquarks     | $P_c(4312)$ | $P_c(4457)$ | $P_c(4440)$ |
| Width, MeV      | $\Gamma = 9.8$ | $\Gamma = 6.4$ | $\Gamma = 20.6$ |

Following the Table, we can consider two types of reactions,

$$I. \quad (c(ud))(\Sigma_c, \Sigma_c^*) + (\bar{c}u)(\bar{D}, \bar{D}^*) \leftrightarrow (c\bar{c})(J/\psi) + (u(ud))(p)$$

(39)

$$II. \quad (c(uu))(\Sigma_c^*) + \bar{c}d(\bar{D}, \bar{D}^*) \leftrightarrow (c\bar{c}) + (u(ud))(p)$$

(40)

To proceed one needs the values of $\beta_i, i = 1, 2, 3, 4$ and $A, B, C$ and $a$ in (30). Using Appendix 1 one finds the values of $\omega, \Omega$ in (27), (28) $\Omega_1 = 1509$ MeV, $\omega_1 = 507$ MeV. From Appendix 3 one finds the values of $\beta_i$:

$$\beta_i(D, D^*) \approx \beta_2(\Sigma) = 0.48 \text{ GeV}, \quad \beta_3(p) \approx 0.26 \text{ GeV},$$

(41)

and $\beta_4(J/\psi) = 0.7$ GeV. Note, that for $\Sigma$ and $p$ we have used the principle of replacement of light diquark by a light antiquark, $(ud) \rightarrow \bar{q}$. Therefore $\beta(\sigma) = \beta(c(ud)) = \beta(c\bar{u}) = \beta(D)$.

As a consequence one obtains the values given in (41). Using those we get the numerical values for $a, A, B, C$.

$$a = 12.76 \text{ GeV}^{-2}, \quad A = 4.02 \text{ GeV}^{-2}, \quad B = 0.94 \text{ GeV}^{-2}, \quad |C| < 0.03 \text{ GeV}^{-2}$$

(42)

As a result one can neglect the $C \mathbf{p}_1 \mathbf{p}_2$ in (30) and the integrals $d^3 \mathbf{p}_1, d^3 \mathbf{p}_2$ factorize. We turn now to the recoupling coefficients $M_\omega, \bar{y}_{1234}$. 
As it was shown in [37], the effective parameter $M_\omega$ can be expressed via the wave functions of objects, produced by the string breaking, in our case it is heavy-light mesons with the coefficient $\beta(D) \approx \beta(B) = 0.48$ GeV, and from Eq. (35) of [37] one has

$$M_\omega \approx \frac{2\sigma}{\beta(D)} \approx 0.8 \text{ GeV.} \quad (43)$$

Finally, the coefficient $\bar{\chi}_{1234}$ for the transition into $(\Sigma_c D)$ and $(\Sigma_c D^*)$ can be estimated as in the Appendix 2 to be equal to 1.

VI. NUMERICAL RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

As was discussed in section 3, (31), the differential cross section for the production of hadrons in channel 1 can be written as

$$\frac{d\sigma}{dE} = |F_1(E)f_{12}(E)|^2 p_1(E) \quad (44)$$

where $F_1(E)$ is the production amplitude of channel 1 particles without final state $FS$ interaction and $f_{12}$ is the $FS$ interaction, which we take as an infinite sum of transitions from channel 1 to channel 2—the Cornell-type mechanism [28–30].

$f_{12}(E)$ can be written as

$$f(E) = \frac{1}{1 - N(E)} \quad (45)$$

where $N(E)$ has the form

$$N(E) = \lambda I_1(E)I_2(E), \quad (46)$$

where $I_i(E)$ has the form

$$I_i(E) = \int \frac{d^3p_i}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{v_i^2(p_i)}{E'(p_i) + E''(p_i) - E} \quad (47)$$

Here $v_i$ is proportional to the product of wave functions in momentum space (see (ref 42)) and can be written in two forms: a/ as a Gaussian of $p$ and b/ as an inverse of $(p^2 + \nu^2)$. To simplify matter we shall consider situation close to nonrelativistic for the energies in the denominator of (47) and write

$$E'(p_1) + E''(p_1) = m_1 + m_2 + \frac{p_1^2}{2\mu_1}, \quad E'(p_2) + E''(p_2) = m_3 + m_4 + \frac{p_2^2}{2\mu_2} \quad (48)$$

We have considered above in the paper $v_i^2(p)$ as a Gaussian $\exp(-b_i p_i^2)$ with $b_1 = 2B, b_2 = 2A$, see (30). We simplify below this expression, writing $\exp(-b_i p_i^2) =$...
\[
\frac{1}{\exp(b_i p^2)} \approx b_i^{-1} \frac{1}{p^2 + \nu_i^2},
\]
where \(\nu_i = \frac{1}{\sqrt{b_i}}\). As a result one can write for \(I_i(E)\) in the region \(E > E_i(\text{th}) = m_1 + m_2 (i = 1)\) or \(m_3 + m_4 (i = 2)\)

\[
I_i(E) = \frac{\mu_i}{2\pi b_i} \nu_i - i\sqrt{2\mu_i \Delta_i}, \quad \Delta_i = E - E_i(\text{th}).
\]  (49)

As a result one obtains a simple expression for the amplitude \(f_{12}(E)\)

\[
f_{12}(E) = \frac{1}{1 - \frac{\chi_{1234} \mu_1 \mu_2}{(\nu_1 - i\sqrt{2\mu_1 \Delta_1})(\nu_2 - i\sqrt{2\mu_2 \Delta_2})}} = \frac{1}{1 - z t_1(E) t_2(E)}. \]  (50)

Here \(z = \frac{\lambda}{\mu_1 \mu_2 b_1 b_2 (2\pi)^2}\) and the (50) refers to the region \(E > E_1(\text{th}), E_2(\text{th})\) otherwise one should replace \(-i\) before the \(\sqrt{\text{terms}}\) by \((+1)\) for the roots, where \(\Delta(E)\) is negative.

We now consider the channel coupling constant \(\lambda'\), which enters (50). From (30) one obtains

\[
\lambda' = \frac{M^2_\omega \chi_{1234}^2 b_1 b_2}{4\pi^5 a^3 (\prod_i \beta_i)^3} \tag{51}
\]

The resulting \(z\) is larger than unity for the recoupling coefficient \(\chi_{1234}\) of the order of 1, and one can vary \(z\) in the interval from one to larger values.

We can now consider 3 transitions, partly discussed above:

1) \(J/\psi + \phi \rightarrow D_s^* + \bar{D}_s^*\)

\(E_1(\text{th}) = 4.12\) GeV, \(E_2(\text{th}) = 4.224\) GeV, \(\mu_1 = 0.767, \mu_2 = 1.056, \nu_1 = 0.96, \nu_2 = 0.87\) (all GeV).

2) \(J/\psi + p \rightarrow \Sigma_c(2455) + D(1864)\)

\(E_1(\text{th}) = 4.04, E_2(\text{th}) = 4.319, \mu_1 = 0.72, \mu_2 = 1.06, \nu_1 = 0.35, \nu_2 = 0.73\).

Similarly one can consider \(\Sigma_c^*(2529) + D^*(2010)\) instead of \(\Sigma_c + D\).

As a special interesting case we consider below the recent experiment of BES III [71],

\[
e^+ + e^- \rightarrow K^+(D_s^- D^* + D_s^{*-} D)
\]

Applying here our recoupling mechanism, shown in the Fig. 1, one easily finds that the second channel obtained from the first channel \(D_s^- D^*\) by recoupling is the channel \(J/\psi + K^{*-}\) which creates the chain of reactions possibly generating a peak in the system \(D_s^- D^*\) or \(D_s^{*-} D\), namely.
3) 

\[ D_s^- + D_s^* \rightarrow J/\psi + K^{*-} \]

\[ E_1(\text{th}) = 3.975, E_2(\text{th}) = 3.992, \mu_1 = 0.9936, \mu_2 = 0.692, \nu_1 = 0.87, \nu_2 = 0.96 \]

(all GeV).

One can easily find that in all cases the values of \( \nu_i \) and the values of \( \mu_i \) are in the range \( 0.35 - 1.06 \) GeV. Applying the (50) one can find the \( f_{12} \) in all cases and hence \( \frac{d\sigma}{dE} \) in the cases 1), 2), 3), assuming \( z \) as a positive number typically larger or equal 1. However for the 3) one should use also the sum: \( f_{12} \rightarrow f_{12} + \alpha f'_{12} \), implying possible superposition of intermediate states in the rescattering series.

We proceed now with the cases 1)-3) and insert the values of \( E_i(\text{th}), \mu_i, \nu_i \) in (46,50) and fixing the value of \( z \) one obtains the form of the recoupling amplitude shown in the Tables 2-4 below.

For the case 1) the resulting values of \( |f_{12}(E)|^2 \) can be seen in the Table 2.

### Table II: The values of the \( |f_{12}(E)|^2 \) near the channel thresholds for the transition 1)

| \( E(\text{GeV}) \) | 4.04 | 4.05 | 4.12 | 4.17 | 4.224 | 4.3 |
| \( |f_{12}(E)|^2 \) | 3.43 | 4.49 | 17.36 | 10.76 | 5.6 | 1.24 |

One can see in the Table 2 a strong enhancement around \( E = 4.12 \) GeV with the width around 10 MeV which can be associated with the resonance \( \chi_{c1}(4140) \) having the mass 4147 MeV and the width \( \Gamma = 22 \) MeV.

In a similar way we obtain the results for the \( J/\psi + p \) transitions of 2). In this case we consider the first channel only to simplify matter.

### Table III: The values of the \( |f_{12}(E)|^2 \) near the \( \Sigma D \) threshold

| \( E(\text{GeV}) \) | 4.04 | 4.15 | 4.25 | 4.315 | 4.5 | 4.7 |
| \( |f_{12}(E)|^2 \) | 0.01 | 0.068 | 0.11 | 0.062 | 0.218 | 0.073 |

One can see in Table 3 a strong peak near \( E = 4.5 \) GeV and it is easy to check that this peak is stable when one varies \( z \) in the region from 3 to infinity, which corresponds to our previous estimates \( \lambda' \gg 1 \). In this way our method can support the origin of the pentaquark state \( P_{c}(4457) \) as due to the \( J/\psi + p \leftrightarrow \Sigma_c + D^* \) transitions.

We come now to the recent interesting discovery of the new state \( Z_{cs}(3985) \) [71], where we take for simplicity only the first chain denoted as the 3) above. Similarly to the previous cases one obtains
TABLE IV: The values of the transition probability as a function of energy in the transition $3^0$

| $E$(GeV) | $|f(12)|^2(z = 1)$ | $|F(12)|^2(z = 1.5)$ |
|----------|---------------------|---------------------|
| 3.96     | 5.43                | 19.9                |
| 3.975    | 3.45                | 236.6               |
| 3.98     | 67.56               | 3.19                |
| 3.985    | 31.84               | 2.58                |
| 3.992    | 12.95               | 1.61                |
| 4.0      | 5.54                | 2.71                |

One can see in Table 4 a narrow peak with the summit at $E = 3.98$ GeV for $z = 1$ with the width around 10 MeV, which closely corresponds to the experimental data from [71] $E = 3.982.5, \Gamma = 12.8$ MeV. In our case the resonance parameters weakly depend on $z$. As seen in the Table 4, for $z = 1.5$ the peak shifts down to $E = 3.975$ GeV with much smaller width, while for $z = 0.5$ it shifts to $E = 3.992$ GeV with somewhat larger width. In this way we can explain the newly discovered resonance $Z_{cs}(3985)$ by the recoupling mechanism in the rescattering series of transitions

$$D_s^- + D_s^* \rightarrow J/\psi + K^{*-}$$

VII. CONCLUSIONS AND AN OUTLOOK

As it was shown above, the new mechanism having the only parameter $z$ is able to predict and explain the resonances in different systems, meson-meson, meson-baryon, as it was shown above, and possibly in other systems which can transfer one into another via the recoupling of the confining strings. The necessary conditions for the realization of these transitions and the appearance of a resonance are connected to the value of the transition coefficient $z$, which should be of the order of unity or larger. Therefore the transition should be strong, i.e. without serious restructuring of the hadrons involved, since otherwise the transition will be strongly suppressed e.g. in the case when not only strings are recoupled, but also spins, orbital momenta, isospins should be exchanged. In any case the suggested mechanism provides an alternative to the popular tetra- and pentaquark mechanisms, which dominate in the literature. One should stress at this point, that the independent and objective checks, e.g. the lattice calculations do not give strong support for the molecular or tetraquark models and this topic should be studied more carefully. As to the recoupling mechanism, it is strongly associated with the thresholds participating in the transitions, and the best situation for its application is both thresholds are close by. In all 3 cases considered above the distance between threshold was less than 30 MeV, and in all cases one could see a strong enhancement in the transition coefficient and hence in the resulting cross section. The necessary
improvements of the present study are 1) a more accurate calculation of the coefficient $z$ (originally $\lambda$), and 2) the use of a more realistic Gaussian approximation for the wave functions instead of approximate $\nu_i$ parametrizations to define $f_{12}$ with good accuracy in the future. The author is grateful to Lu Meng for an important remark and to A.M.Badalian for discussions and suggestions. This work was done in the frame of the scientific project supported by the Russian Science Foundation Grant No. 16-12-10414.

Appendix A1. The center-of-mass coordinates and average quark and antiquark energies in a hadron

Following [36] one can define the c.m. coordinate of a hadron consisting of a quark $Q$ at the point $x$ and an antiquark $\bar{q}$ at the point $u$ via average energies $\Omega$ and $\omega$ of $Q$ and $\bar{q}$ correspondingly as

$$R_{Q\bar{q}} = \frac{\Omega x + \omega u}{\Omega + \omega}, \quad \Omega = \langle \sqrt{p_{Q}^2 + m_{Q}^2} \rangle, \quad \omega = \langle \sqrt{p_{\bar{q}}^2 + m_{\bar{q}}^2} \rangle$$  (A1.1)

where $\sqrt{p_{Q}^2 + m_{Q}^2} + \sqrt{p_{\bar{q}}^2 + m_{\bar{q}}^2}$ is the kinetic part of the $Q\bar{q}$ Hamiltonian in the so-called spinless Salpeter formalism or an equivalent form in the so-called einbein formalism.\(^1\)

As a result one obtains the following value of $\omega = \Omega$ for $q\bar{q}$ mesons, shown in Table II.

\begin{table}[h]
\centering
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline
State & $J/\psi$ & $\psi(2S)$ & $\psi(3770)$ & $\psi(3S)$ & $\psi(4S)$ & $\Upsilon(1S)$ & $\Upsilon(2S)$ & $\Upsilon(3S)$ & $\Upsilon(4S)$ & $\Upsilon(5S)$ \\
\hline
$\Omega$, GeV & 1.58 & 1.647 & 1.640 & 1.711 & 1.17 & 5.021 & 5.026 & 5.056 & 5.088 & 5.120 \\
\hline
State & $D$ & $D_s$ & $B$ & $B_s$ & $\rho$ & $\Upsilon(1S)$ & $\Upsilon(2S)$ & $\Upsilon(3S)$ & $\Upsilon(4S)$ & $\Upsilon(5S)$ \\
\hline
$\omega$, GeV & 0.507 & 0.559 & 0.587 & 0.639 & 0.4 & 5.021 & 5.026 & 5.056 & 5.088 & 5.120 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\caption{Average values of quark and antiquark kinetic energies in different mesons}
\end{table}

*) Note, that the difference in $\Omega$, $\omega$ obtained in these approaches is less or around 1%.

\(^1\) see a short recent review in the last refs. in [33]
Appendix A2. The channel-coupling coefficient $\bar{y}_{123}$

We discuss here two topics: 1) the problem of the double string decay vertex contribution to the recoupling coefficient $M_\omega$ in (24), 2) the construction of the recoupling vertex $\bar{y}_{1234}$.

We start with the topic 1), and following [37] define the relativistic expression for the string decay vertex as in (22), which has similar form to the model [?] and the standard $^3P_0$ model [?], but without free parameters namely $M(x)$ in (22) is

$$M(x) = \sigma(|x - x_Q| + |x - \bar{x}_Q|). \quad (A2.1)$$

As one can see in Fig.5, in our case the structure of the recoupling process can be explained by the double string breaking, which we can write as a product

$$S = \int d^4x \bar{\psi}(x)\bar{M}(x)d^4y\psi(x)\bar{\psi}(y)\bar{M}(y)\psi(y) \quad (A2.2)$$

and one must take into account, that the energy minimum of the resulting broken string occurs when both time moments $x_0, y_0$ of string breaking are equal. Indeed, taking the integral in (A2.2) with account of the string action in the exponent of the path integral,

$$\Delta S_{\text{string}} = \int \sigma \sqrt{r_{xy}^2 + (x_4 - y_4)^2}\frac{x_4 + y_4}{2},$$

which produces a factor on (A2.2) $\langle r_{xy} \rangle \sqrt{2\pi}$, which denoted as $M_\omega$ in (24). The resulting double string breaking action can be written as,

$$S \approx \int d^3x \bar{\psi}(x)\psi(x)d^3y \bar{\psi}(y)\psi(y)M_\omega(x, y)\frac{d(x_4 + y_4)}{2} \quad (A2.3)$$

using the notation

$$\int d(x_4 - y_4)\langle \bar{M}(x)\bar{M}(y) \rangle = M_\omega(x, y).$$

In what follows one can estimate $\langle M_\omega(x, y) \rangle \equiv M_\omega$ in the same way, as it was done in [37], with the result $M_\omega \approx \frac{24}{\beta}$, where $\beta$ is the oscillator parameter for the $(Q\bar{Q})$ meson. We now turn to the point 2) above, the recoupling vertex $\bar{y}_{1234}$.

To define $\bar{y}_{1234}$ we notice that all 4 quarks $q, \bar{q}, Q, \bar{Q}$ keep their identity and spin polarization during the whole process of transformations, provided we neglect the spin dependent corrections. This can be also seen in the structure of the recoupling process: in (A2.2) one does not see spin dependence, and this means, that the spin
projection of each quark or antiquark is kept unchanged during recoupling. As a result one can write the nonrelativistic spin part of the matrix element $V_{12/34}$ as

$$V_{\text{meson}}^{\text{spin}} = C_{\mu_1 \mu_2} \chi_1 \bar{\chi}_2 C_{\mu_1' \mu_2'} \chi_1' \bar{\chi}_2'$$

(A2.4)

where the Klebsch-Gordon coefficient $C_{\nu_1 \nu_2} \equiv C_{\nu_1 \nu_2}$ and $\chi_i^{(k)}, \bar{\chi}_k^{(i)}$ are quark and antiquark spinors.

As was told above, due to the spin conservation in recoupling, the matrix element (A2.4) should be proportional to $\delta_{26} \delta_{48} \delta_{17} \delta_{35}$, implying the recoupling of quarks. As a result one obtains

$$V_{\text{spin}} = \sum_{\mu, \mu'} C_{\mu_1 \mu_2} C_{\mu_1' \mu_2'} C_{\nu_1 \nu_2} C_{\nu_1' \nu_2'}$$

(A2.5)

here $L, L', J, J'$ correspond to the spin values of hadrons $L + L' \rightarrow J + J'$ and we have assumed zero orbital momenta for all hadrons. Finally for the final expression in (24), $(V_{\text{spin}})^2$ should be summed up over all $m, m', M, M'$, so that for $\bar{y}_{1234}^2$ one has

$$\langle (\bar{y}_{1234})^2 \rangle = \sum_{m,m',M,M'} (V_{\text{spin}})^2$$

(A2.6)

In a similar way one can find the recoupling coefficient $\bar{y}_{1234}$ for the ensemble transformation

$$p + J/\psi \rightarrow \Sigma(\Sigma^*) + D(D^*) \rightarrow p + J/\psi.$$  

(A2.7)

In this case we write the baryon wave function as $\psi_B = u(ud)_0$, where the lower indices imply the total spin of the diquark $(ud)$. In the simplest approximation one can approximate the proton as the quark-diquark combination $p = u(ud) \approx u \tilde{d}$, with the diquark $\tilde{d}$ kept unchanged $d$ during recoupling.

**Appendix A3. Oscillator parameters of hadron wave function**

The oscillator parameters for the bottomonium, charmonium and $B, D$ mesons have been obtained in [32, 33], using the expansion of relativistic wave functions, obtained from the solutions of the relativistic string Hamiltonian [36], in the full set of the oscillator wave functions. As a result one obtains

The accuracy of the oscillator one-term approximation can be judged by the relative value of the sum of squared coefficients of four higher term of expansion as
TABLE VI: The Gaussian parameters $\beta$ of different mesons

| State  | $\Upsilon(1S)$ | $\Upsilon(2S)$ | $\Upsilon(3S)$ | $\Upsilon(4S)$ | $\Upsilon(5S)$ |
|--------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| $\beta$, GeV | 1.27 | 0.88 | 0.76 | 0.64 | 0.6 |

| State  | $J/\psi$ | $\psi(2S)$ | $\psi(3S)$ | $\psi(4S)$ | $\psi(5S)$ |
|--------|----------|------------|------------|------------|------------|
| $\beta$, GeV | 0.7 | 0.53 | 0.48 | 0.43 | 0.41 |

| State  | $D$ | $B$ | $\rho$ |
|--------|-----|-----|-------|
| $\beta$, GeV | 0.48 | 0.49 | 0.26 |

compared to the square of the main term. This amounts to the accuracy of the order or less than 10% for lowest states of charmonia and bottomonia and few percent for $D, B, \rho$.
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