RESEARCH ARTICLE

PATCH-WISE IMAGE DE-NOISING TECHNIQUE FOR IMPROVED PMRI USING ITERATIVE BILATERAL FILTER, LRMD AND SVM OF MEDICAL IMAGES.

Ms. Jyoti Bhukra1 and Dr. Kamal Kumar Sharma2.
1. Presently working as Assistant Secretary at Directorate of Technical Education Haryana and Ph.D. Scholar Deptt. Of Electronics & Comm., DBU, Mandi Gobindgarh.
2. Director & Professor, E-Max School Of Engg. & Applied Research, Ambala.

Abstract

The most challenging research area in image processing is image denoising. This technique not only some self-possessed technical difficulties, but also may result in the demolition of the image (i.e. making it blur). There are various important component of large number of applications such as in medical diagnosis. In medical research there are different medical images like X- Ray, MRI,PET and CT gave minute to minute information about brain and whole body. The image denoising techniques includes parallel magnetic resonance imaging (pMRI) technique which can speed up MRI scan through a multi-channel coil array receiving signal simultaneously. Nevertheless, noise amplification and aliasing artifacts are serious in pMRI reconstructed images at high accelerations. An image enhancement method is proposed by using low rank matrix decomposition, LRMD and support vector machine, SVM. Low rank matrix decomposition is applied on image to remove the noises and enhancing the quality of an image. It describes the problem of finding and exploiting low-dimensional structures in high-dimensional data. The aim of Low Rank Matrix approximation based image enhancement is that it removes the various types of noises in the adulterate images simultaneously. The noise and aliasing artifacts are removed from the structured Matrix by applying sparse and low rank matrix decomposition method. The support vector machine exhibits video which is converted into different sizes of frames so that it can be enhanced easily. Then noisy image and enhanced image are compared to obtain higher signal to noise ratio and other parameters like Peak Signal to Noise Ratio PSNR, Structural Similarity Index Matrix SSIM and Mean Square Error MSE for qualitative assessment to the enhancement result. This method can effectively remove both noise and residual aliasing artifact from pMRI reconstructed noisy images, and produce higher peak signal noise rate (PSNR) and structural similarity index matrix (SSIM) than other state-of-the-art De-noising methods. Here we propose image de-noising using low rank matrix decomposition (LRMD) and Support vector machine (SVM). The proposed method gives more clear image with higher PSNR and improved SSIM value than the previous methods.
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Introduction:-
The digital signal processing having image which has an input and output (or a set of characteristics or parameters of image) image. In image processing we work in two domains i.e., spatial domain and frequency domain. The Spatial domain refers to the image plane itself, and image processing method in this category are based on direct manipulation of the pixels in an image and coming to frequency domain it is the analysis of mathematical functions or signals with respect to frequency rather than time.

Fig 1:- Medical original, noisy and denoised image

The sources of noise in digital images arise during image acquisition and transmission. The Noise degrades the image quality for which there is a need to denoise the image to restore the quality of image.

NOISE Types:-
There are various types of noise have their own characteristics and are inherent in images in different ways.

Gaussian Noise:-
Gaussian noise is evenly distributed over the signal. This means that each pixel in the noisy image is the sum of the true pixel value and a random Gaussian distributed noise value.

Fig 1.1:- Gaussian noise and Rician noise image

As the name indicates, this type of noise has a Gaussian distribution, which has a bell shaped probability distribution function given by

$$F(g) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} e^{-\frac{(g-m)^2}{2\sigma^2}}.$$

Where $g$ represents the gray level, $m$ is the mean or average of the function and $\sigma$ is the standard deviation of the noise. The Gaussian noise represent in Image 1.2 illustrates the Gaussian noise with mean (variance) as 1.5 (10) over a base image with a constant pixel value of 100.
Salt and Pepper Noise:-
Salt and Pepper is an impulse type of noise and is also referred to as intensity spikes. It is generally caused due to errors in transmission. This is caused generally due to errors in data transmission. It has only two possible values, a and b. The probability of each is typically less than 0.1. The corrupted pixels are set alternatively to the minimum or to the maximum value, giving the image a “salt and pepper” like appearance. Unaffected pixels remain unchanged. For an 8-bit image, the typical value for pepper noise is 0 and for salt noise 255. The salt and pepper noise is generally caused by malfunctioning of pixel elements in the camera sensors, faulty memory locations, or timing errors in the digitization process. The probability density function for this type of noise is shown in Figure 1.3.

Medical Image denoising:-
Image denoising is an important image processing task, both as a process itself, and as a component in other processes. Very many ways to denoise an image or a set of data exists. The main properties of a good image denoising model is that it will remove noise while preserving edges. Traditionally, linear models have been used. One common approach is to use a Gaussian filter, or equivalently solving the heat-equation with the noisy image as input-data, i.e. a linear, 2nd order PDE-model. For some purposes this kind of denoising is adequate. One big advantage of linear noise removal models is the speed. But a back draw of the linear models is that they are not able to preserve edges in a good manner: edges, which are recognized as discontinuities in the image, are smeared out.

The different digital medical imaging technologies such as positron emission tomography (PET), magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), computerized tomography (CT) and ultrasound Imaging has revolutionized modern medicine. Today, many patients no longer need to go through invasive and often dangerous procedures to diagnose a wide variety of illnesses. Here the widespread use of digital imaging in medicine today, the quality of digital medical images becomes an important issue through worldwide. In medical line to achieve the best possible diagnosis it is important that medical images be sharp, clear, and free of noise and artifacts. The major challenges in the study of medical imaging because they could mask and blur important features in the images and many proposed de-noising techniques have their own problems. Image de-noising still remains a challenge for researchers because noise removal introduces artifacts and causes blurring of the images. The factors which affect noise modeling in medical imaging are capturing instruments, information transmission media, image quantization and separate sources of radiation. There are a number of shortcomings and these includes: acquisition noise from the equipment, ambient noise from the environment, the presence of background issue, other organs and

Fig 1.2:- Gaussian noise (mean = 0, variance = 0.05)  
Fig 1.2:- Gaussian noise (mean = 1.5, variance = 1.0)  
Fig 1.3:- salt and pepper noise  
Fig 1.3:- salt and pepper noise
anatomical influences such as body fat, and breathing motion. Therefore, noise reduction is very important, as various types of noise generated limits the effectiveness of medical image diagnosis.

Techniques Used:-
There are various main techniques are used to enhance the results of this thesis. These techniques are discussed below:

Low-Rank Matrix Decomposition:-
LRMR is derived from compressed sensing theory which has been successfully applied various matrix completion problems, e.g., image compression video denoising and dynamic MRI Compared with classical denoising methods. Denoising techniques based on low rank completion enforce fewer external assumptions on noise distribution. These methods rely on the self-similarity of three dimensions (3-D) images across different slices or frames to construct a low rank matrix. The significantly varying contents between different slices or frames may lead an exception to the assumption of low-rank 3-D images and discount the effectiveness of these methods.

Fig 2.1:- Image represents Low-rank matrix decomposition

Low-Rank Matrix Decomposition It is derived from compressed sensing theory which has been successfully applied various matrix completion problems, e.g., image compression video denoising and dynamic MRI Compared with classical denoising methods. Denoising methods based on low rank completion enforce fewer external assumptions on noise distribution. These methods rely on the self-similarity of three dimensions (3-D) images across different slices or frames to construct a low rank matrix. Nonetheless, significantly varying contents between different slices or frames may lead an exception to the assumption of low-rank 3-D images, and discount the effectiveness of these methods. In this paper, we propose to remove both noise and aliasing artifacts in pMRI image by using a sparse and low rank decomposition method.

Support Vector Machine (SVM):-
It is primarily a classifier in which width of the margin between the classes is the optimization criterion, i.e. empty area around the decision boundary defined by the distance to the nearest training patterns. These are called support vectors. The concept of (SVM) Support Vector Machine was introduced by Vapnik. The SVM classifier is widely used in bioinformatics (and other disciplines) due to its highly accurate, able to calculate and process the high-dimensional data such as gene expression and exibility in modeling diverse sources of data. SVMs belong to the general category of kernel methods. A kernel method is an algorithm that depends on the data only through dot-products. When this is the case, the dot product can be replaced by a kernel function which computes a dot product in some possibly high dimensional feature space. This has two advantages: First, the ability to generate non-linear decision boundaries using methods designed for linear classifiers. Second, the use of kernel functions allows the user to apply a classifier to data that have no obvious fixed-dimensional vector space representation.
The support vector machines have proved to achieve good generalization performance with no prior knowledge of the data. The principle of an SVM is to map the input data onto a higher dimensional feature space non-linearly related to the input space and determine a separating hyper plane with maximum margin between the two classes in the feature space. The SVM is a maximal margin hyper plane in feature space built by using a kernel function. This results in a non-linear boundary in the data space.

Filters:-
In image processing filters are mainly used to suppress either the high frequencies in the image that is smoothing the image, or the lower frequencies that is enhancing or detecting edges in the image. The image can be filtered in frequency domain or in the spatial domain. In spatial domain there are two types of filters namely linear filters and non-linear filters. The bilateral filter is a non-linear filter and edge-preserving noise-reducing smoothing filter for medical images. In this the weight can be based on a Gaussian distribution. Weights depend not only on Euclidean distance of pixels, but also on the radiometric differences (e.g. range differences, such as color intensity, depth distance, etc.). The intensity value at each pixel in an image is replaced by a weighted average of intensity values from nearby pixels. The bilateral filter can blur an image while respecting strong edges. The ability of bilateral filter to decompose an image into different scales without causing haloes after modification has made it ubiquitous in computational photography applications such as tone mapping, style transfer, relighting, and denoising of medical images. This text provides a graphical, intuitive introduction to bilateral filtering, a practical guide for efficient implementation and an overview of its numerous applications, as well as mathematical analysis. The formulation of it is simple and each pixel is replaced by a weighted average of its neighbors. This aspect is important because it makes it easy to acquire intuition about its behavior, to adapt it to application-specific requirements, and to implement it.

Parameters used:-
Following are the two main parameters that are used to calculate the results of the proposed work in this thesis. These parameters are:

**Peak Signal-to-Noise Ratio (PSNR):**
Peak signal-to-noise ratio is an engineering term for the ratio between the maximum possible power of a signal and the power of corrupting noise that affects the fidelity of its representation. Because many signals have a wide dynamic range and PSNR is usually expressed in terms of the logarithmic decibel scale. Peak signal-to-noise ratio is the maximum gray scale value of the pixels in the fused image. Higher the value of the PSNR is better the performance of the fusion algorithm.

**Mean Square Error (MSE):**
The mean square error (MSE) of a procedure for estimating an unobserved quantity measures the average of the squares of the errors that is, the difference between estimator and what is estimated. It would have the same effect of making all the values positive as the absolute value. There are two basic techniques used to compare the various image are the mean square error (MSE) and the peak signal-to-noise ratio (PSNR). A commonly utilized reference based assessment metric is the Mean Square Error (MSE). The MSE between a reference image and a fused image is given by the reference and fused images respectively and image dimensions. The MSE is the
cumulative squared error between the compressed and the original image, whereas PSNR is a measure of the peak error. Smaller the value of the MSE is better the performance of the fusion algorithm.

Structure Similarity Index Matrix (SSIM):-
Structure similarity index matrix is a method for measuring the similarity between two images and it is a full reference matrix. In other words the measuring of image quality based on an initial uncompressed or distortion free image. This parameter is employed for measure the similarity between two images. SSIM enforced to recover on ancient ways like peak signal-to-noise ratio and mean square error. The distinction with reference to different parameters like MSE or PSNR is that it estimates perceived.

Conclusions:-
The formalism presented in this paper demonstrates that the LRMD and SVM techniques are combined to propose a new technique to further reduce the noise in medical images. In this paper, we propose different techniques like LMRD, MSE, Bilateral filter and SVM as a tool for image de-noising and enhancement. Low rank matrix decomposition and SVM will be used. The evaluation will be based on the PSNR and mean SSIM with their improved values as compare to the previous research. The parameter introduced is mean square error (MSE), it is proposed approach i.e. improved technique for medical image de-noising using these techniques and SVM will exhibit outcomes of noise reduction and image quality improvements with different noise levels which will qualify it to be suitable for image processing and denoising. The proposed approach drastically improves the quality of Parallel MRI scanning in particular medical images. Future work may be further applied new formulas or algorithm for the enhancement of denoised images. The proposed algorithm has been implemented on MATLAB tool. This approach can also be an effective technique to denoise the images used for digital image processing.
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