Role of MRI in the diagnosis of adult traumatic and obstetric brachial plexus injury compared to intraoperative findings
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Abstract

Background: Brachial plexus injury occurs following birth trauma or adult trauma as well, surgical repair is important to regain upper limb function, and preoperative evaluation with MRI is important and considered the accurate and safe imaging modality.

Thirty-seven patients with clinically suspected obstetric (15 patients) or adult traumatic (22 patients) brachial plexus injury were included in our study; all of them underwent MRI examination including T1WI, T2WI, STIR, DWIBS, 3D STIR SPACE, and MR myelography sequences.

Results: In obstetric cases, MRI sensitivity, specificity, and accuracy for preganglionic injury were 63%, 89%, and 82%, respectively, while for postganglionic lesions, MRI sensitivity, specificity, and accuracy were 60%, 99%, and 95%, respectively. In adult cases, MRI sensitivity, specificity, and accuracy for preganglionic injury were 96%, 95%, and 95% respectively, while for postganglionic injury, MRI sensitivity, specificity, and accuracy were 60%, 100%, and 99%, respectively.

Conclusion: MRI represents a safe, non-invasive, diagnostic modality having the multiplanar capability and better soft tissue characterization.
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Background

Newborns’ brachial plexus injury occurs in 0.5–4 per 1000 vaginal deliveries [1–3]. Approximately 80% of them recover spontaneously by the age of 1 year old [3]. In babies with a permanent injury, shoulder and elbow contractures usually occur following muscle changes [4, 5]. Without nerve repair, at least one third of those patients may develop posterior instability, subluxation, and deformity of the glenohumeral joint [1]. Infants with root avulsions have a poorer prognosis compared with infants without [6].

Traumatic brachial plexus injuries affect 1% of patients involved in major trauma [7]. Brachial plexopathy may cause everlasting disability [8–12], pain [12–14], psychologic morbidity [15, 16], and reduced quality of life [8, 10, 17]. Early surgical nerve repair leads to better functional recovery in the upper limb [8, 9, 17, 18].

Clinical examination is not accurate in brachial plexus injuries owing to the complicated plexus design and also the complex nature of the lesions. EMG provides information about the functional involvement, not the localization of the lesion [19]. The delay from injury to surgical repair is the leading cause of poor outcome [20–22].

Pre-surgical diagnosis is now important because of the improvements in the surgical field. As MRI is a non-invasive, non-radiative imaging modality with the multiplanar capability and better soft tissue characterization, it becomes a modest diagnostic imaging modality [19]. The current study aims to evaluate the role of MRI in...
the diagnosis of adult traumatic and obstetric brachial plexus injury comparing its results with intraoperative findings.

**Methods**

**Study participants**

A prospective cross-sectional study was done from December 2016 to June 2019. The study protocol was approved by the Ethics Committee, and informed consent was obtained from every patient or their parents (in the obstetric group).

A total of 46 patients with brachial plexus injury (traumatic and obstetric brachial plexus injury) were recruited in this study. Intraoperative findings were available in 37 patients, so they were included. Clinical manifestations included neurological deficits of the shoulder and upper limb (motor, sensory, and reflexes).

**Inclusion criteria**

The examination was performed in patients presented with traumatic or obstetric brachial plexus injury regardless of the age of the case.

**Exclusion criteria**

In the current study, any patients who were contraindicated for MRI examination have been excluded as those who have an artificial cardiac pacemaker or metallic prosthesis are not compatible with MRI. Also, those with severe claustrophobia were excluded. Also, we excluded patients with a previous history of brachial plexus operative exploration.

**MR image acquisition**

MRI was performed with Siemens Sempra 1.5 T superconducting MRI scanner, with an 8-channel neurovascular coil. Patients were scanned in the supine position with the arms positioned beside the body in a neutral position and the head entering the scanner first. Patients were asked to reduce swallow activity and body movement during the scanning.

**MR sequences**

Our protocol includes the following: sagittal T1WI—TR/TE 400/7.8 ms, FOV 200 mm, and acquisition time 4:30 min; sagittal STIR—TR/TE 3200/80 ms, FOV 200 mm, and acquisition time 2:40 min; axial and coronal T2WI—TR/TE 3000/120 ms, FOV 200 mm, and acquisition time 3:30 min; coronal 3D T2 STIR SPACE—TR/TE 3000/183 ms, FOV 240 mm, and acquisition time 4:00 min; coronal DWIBS—TR/TE 2700/63 ms, FOV 370 mm, and acquisition time 0:54 min; and radial coronal myelography—TR/TE 8000/793 ms, FOV 280 mm, and acquisition time 1:54 min. The total acquisition time is 20:58 min.

The scanning range was from the upper edge of the 4th cervical vertebral body down to the lower edge of the 2nd thoracic vertebral body, and from the posterior edge of the spinal canal to the sternoclavicular joint. Both sides including the armpit were included. The slice thickness was 3 mm. Sagittal views were taken parallel to the curvature of the cervical spine. Axial views were dead axial, while coronal views were dead coronal involving both sides for comparison especially in T2 STIR sequences. There is no need to take oblique views as brachial plexus had shallow obliquity and the entire segments of the brachial plexus were shown especially in the 3D T2 STIR SPACE sequence.

**Image processing and analysis**

The original images were obtained by conventional sequences (T1WI and T2WI), DWIBS sequence scanning, 3D T2 STIR SPACE, and MR radial myelography which were imported into Siemens MR Workspace for 3D reconstruction. The maximum intensity projection (MIP) was reconstructed. The images were reviewed and analyzed by 2 same senior radiologists (of 15 and 20 years of experience); then, MRI findings were compared with the intraoperative data which were revealed during intraoperative exploration.

The intraoperative evaluation was considered as a gold standard to compare the MRI findings with it. The study was conducted in a double-blind manner.

**Statistical analysis**

Statistical analysis was carried out with the SPSS software 23.0 version. Continuous data are expressed as mean ± SD, while categorical data were expressed as numbers and percentages. MRI findings were compared with intraoperative findings as a gold standard for the calculation of sensitivity, specificity, and accuracy. Data regarding the agreement between different diagnostic methods (different MRI sequences and operative findings) was based on the Cohen kappa test. Kappa values were weighted in intervals: < 0.2 poor, 0.21–0.4 fair, 0.41–0.60 moderate, 0.61–0.80 good, 0.81–0.99 excellent, and 1 perfect. SPSS ver. 23 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA) was used, and p value of less than 0.005 was considered significant (Altman DG., 1995).

**Results**

MRI examination of brachial plexus was done for 37 patients with clinically suspected traumatic or obstetric brachial plexopathy. Those patients were surgically explored with confirmed intraoperative findings. Twenty-two patients were presented with traumatic brachial plexus injury: 20 males and 2 females, mean age 26.3 years (7–51 years). The other 15 patients were presented with obstetric brachial plexus injury: 10 males and 5
females, mean age 12.8 months (7–28 months). Nineteen cases were presented with a right-sided injury, while 18 cases were presented with a left-sided injury.

Intraoperative examination revealed that 26 patients had a preganglionic injury in 69 nerves, and 24 patients had postganglionic injury seen in 56 segments (classified as follows: 8 patients have isolated preganglionic injury, 6 patients have isolated postganglionic injury, 18 patients have both preganglionic and postganglionic injury together, and 5 patients were free with intact brachial plexus).

For preganglionic injury, in each patient, 5 nerve roots (from C5–T1) were examined; total preganglionic segments $5 \times 37 = 185$ segments. In postganglionic injury, in each patient, 17 segments were examined (5 roots, 3 trunks, 6 divisions, and 3 cords); total postganglionic segments $17 \times 37 = 629$ segments.

**MRI findings**

Preganglionic nerve injury (avulsion) was manifested either as empty neuroforamen or as pseudomeningocele formation or interrupted nerve continuity (Figs. 1 and 2). As regards the postganglionic injuries, they were manifested in different patterns: (a) nerve rupture, appearing as different degrees of nerve thickening with abnormal high signals especially in STIR sequence, or (b) neuroma formation, appearing as focal thickening of the injured segment of the nerve (Figs. 1, 2, and 3).

**Correlation with intraoperative findings**

For the preganglionic injury, 69 nerve roots were surgically explored and confirmed to be avulsed; MRI identified 60 of them, so the sensitivity of MRI in detecting preganglionic injury was 86%, with specificity 92% and accuracy 90% with good agreement between MRI results.
Fig. 2 A 55-year-old male patient presented with left side traumatic brachial plexus injury following MCA 6 months before imaging. **a** MIP processing of coronal STIR shows thickened left C5 and C6 with increased signal and pseudomeningocele at left C7. **b** MIP of coronal DWIBS shows thickened left C5, C6, and C7 with a restricted signal. **c** Axial T2WI and **d** radial myelography showing pseudomeningocele formation at left C7. **e** Sagittal STIR shows edema of the left rotator cuff muscles (stars). Intraoperative data are ruptured left C5 and C6 with avulsed C7.

Fig. 3 An 11-month-old male patient presented with right-sided obstetric brachial plexus injury. **a** MIP of coronal STIR and **b** sagittal STIR showing mildly thickened with an increased signal of the upper trunk (UT) and middle trunk (MT) compared to the lower trunk (LT) of the right brachial plexus. **c** Radial myelography showing no meningocele formation at right-sided neuroforamina. Operative data are partially injured right-sided upper and middle trunks.
and operative findings $\kappa = 0.792$, $p < 0.005$. Nerve root avulsion was detected in MRI by (a) pseudomeningocele formation which was observed in 39 roots (65%), or (b) interrupted nerve continuity which was observed in 11 nerve roots (18%), and (c) empty neuroforamen which was detected in 10 nerve roots (16.6%) (Table 1).

For postganglionic injury, there were injured 56 segments (41 ruptured segments with 15 segments having neuroma formation) as confirmed intraoperatively; MRI could detect 30 of the total 41 ruptured segments, and 11 segments with neuroma formation of the total 15 segments. Thus, in nerve rupture, MRI had 73% sensitivity, 99% specificity, and 90% accuracy with excellent agreement between MRI results and operative findings $\kappa = 0.811$, $p < 0.005$, while in neuroma formation, MRI had 73% sensitivity, 100% specificity, and 99% accuracy with excellent agreement between MRI results and operative findings $\kappa = 0.843$, $p < 0.005$ (Table 1).

Subgroup analysis

MRI results showed a difference between obstetric and adult brachial plexus injury, where MRI had higher sensitivity and accuracy in adult patients.

Obstetric brachial plexus injury

Preganglionic injury There were avulsed 19 nerve roots proven by intraoperative findings, and only 12 of them were detected by MRI, thus lower MRI sensitivity, specificity, and accuracy in preganglionic injury.

Postganglionic injury The ruptured nerve segments were intraoperatively detected in 23 segments where MRI detected only 14 of them, so MRI results for obstetric nerve rupture were 60% sensitivity, 99% specificity, and 95% accuracy. In the case of traumatic neuroma formation, there were 10 segments which showed neuroma formation where MRI detected 8 of them with sensitivity 80%, specificity 100%, and accuracy 99% (Table 2).

Traumatic brachial plexus injury

Preganglionic injury Intraoperative data revealed 50 avulsed nerve roots, and MRI detected 48 of them with 96% sensitivity, 95% specificity, and 95% accuracy.

Postganglionic injury There were ruptured 18 nerves and MRI detected 16 of them, so its results were 88% sensitivity, 100% specificity, and 99% accuracy, while post-traumatic neuroma affected 5 segments and MRI detected only 3 of them with sensitivity, specificity, and accuracy of 60%, 100%, and 99%, respectively (Table 2).

Discussion

Magnetic resonance neurography is increasingly being accepted as the investigation of choice in lesions affecting the brachial plexus and the peripheral nerves [23]. We found that MRI had high diagnostic performance in brachial plexus injury and the subgroup analysis with better sensitivity and accuracy in adult cases.

In this study, MRI sensitivity and specificity in root avulsion in obstetric brachial plexus injury were 63% and 89%, respectively. This is in agreement with a previous study conducted by Somashekar et al. [24], who included 13 patients. They found that the sensitivity and specificity of MRI for nerve root avulsions were 75% and 82%, respectively.

The current study revealed that MRI sensitivity and specificity in the detection of traumatic neuroma formation in obstetric cases were 80% and 100%, respectively. A previous study by Medina et al. [25] stated that sensitivity and specificity of MRI in post-traumatic neuroma were 97% and 100%, respectively, and their study was

| MRI | Operative | Sensitivity (%) | Specificity (%) | Accuracy (%) | Kappa | $p$ value |
|-----|-----------|----------------|----------------|-------------|-------|-----------|
| Avulsion | Yes | 60 | 9 | 86 | 92 | 90 | 0.792 | 0.000 |
| | No | 9 | 107 | | | | |
| Rupture | Yes | 30 | 2 | 73 | 99 | 97 | 0.811 | 0.000 |
| | No | 11 | 586 | | | | |
| Neuroma | Yes | 11 | 0 | 73 | 100 | 99 | 0.843 | 0.000 |
| | No | 4 | 614 | | | | |

MRI: magnetic resonance imaging, PPV: positive predictive value, NPV: negative predictive value
In our study, MRI results in traumatic brachial plexus injury in adults were 96% sensitivity, 95% specificity, and 95% accuracy for preganglionic lesions, in agreement with a study conducted by Zhang et al. [26], who concluded that MRI sensitivity, specificity, and accuracy were 93%, 71%, and 89%, respectively, for the preganglionic injury. The same study revealed that in postganglionic injury, MRI had 91% sensitivity, 60% specificity, and 85% accuracy, which were higher than our results with 88% sensitivity, 100% specificity, and 99% accuracy for nerve rupture and 60% sensitivity, 100% specificity, and 99% accuracy for neuroma formation. This may be owing to the usage of MRI 3 T in the former study while we used MRI 1.5 T in our study.

In this study, performing 3D T2 STIR SPACE sequence allows clear visualization of the neuroforamina either empty or not (compared to the other contralateral intact brachial plexus) especially if no pseudomeningocele was formed so improving the diagnosis of root avulsion.

From the overall results, it is clear that MRI provides a safe, accurate non-invasive imaging modality for preoperative assessment of traumatic brachial plexus injury in adult and obstetric cases as well.

Up to our knowledge, there are no previous available studies comparing the adult and obstetric BPI and our study is the first study to investigate that.

Our study is not just a descriptive study, but an analytic one, calculating the sensitivity, specificity, accuracy, and agreement with operative data.

Given the relatively small size and subgroup heterogeneity, we recommend reproducing such work with a larger sample and focusing on either adult or obstetric cases.

**Conclusion**

MRI is the imaging modality of choice in the examination of traumatic and obstetric brachial plexus injuries; it is safe and non-invasive, having the multiplanar capability and better soft tissue characterization.
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### Table 2: Comparison of MRI diagnostic performance in obstetric and adult traumatic brachial plexus injury as compared to operative findings

|                  | Obstetric cases | Adult cases |
|------------------|-----------------|-------------|
| Avulsion         |                 |             |
| Number           | 12/19           | 48/50       |
| Sensitivity      | 63%             | 96%         |
| Specificity      | 89%             | 95%         |
| PPV              | 66%             | 94%         |
| NPV              | 87%             | 96%         |
| Edema            |                 |             |
| Number           | 14/23           | 16/18       |
| Sensitivity      | 60%             | 88%         |
| Specificity      | 99%             | 100%        |
| PPV              | 87%             | 100%        |
| NPV              | 96%             | 99%         |
| Neuroma          |                 |             |
| Number           | 8/10            | 3/5         |
| Sensitivity      | 80%             | 100%        |
| Specificity      | 100%            | 100%        |
| PPV              | 100%            | 100%        |
| NPV              | 99%             | 99%         |

MRI: magnetic resonance imaging, PPV: positive predictive value, NPV: negative predictive value

done with a larger sample size (31 children) than our study.

In our study, MRI results in traumatic brachial plexus injury in adults were 96% sensitivity, 95% specificity, and 95% accuracy for preganglionic lesions, in agreement with a study conducted by Zhang et al. [26], who concluded that MRI sensitivity, specificity, and accuracy were 93%, 71%, and 89%, respectively, for the preganglionic injury. The same study revealed that in postganglionic injury, MRI had 91% sensitivity, 60% specificity, and 85% accuracy, which were higher than our results with 88% sensitivity, 100% specificity, and 99% accuracy for nerve rupture and 60% sensitivity, 100% specificity, and 99% accuracy for neuroma formation. This may be owing to the usage of MRI 3 T in the former study while we used MRI 1.5 T in our study.

In our study, it is clear that MRI results in adult cases were better than those in obstetric cases and this is suggested to be due to several factors including the larger field of view and more fat planes in neck spaces in adult cases so larger spaces in between the examined nerves with better control of breathing movements in adult than infants so better image resolution.

In agreement with Vargas et al. [27], the current study data showed that radiological examination by MRI 1.5 T using the previously described sequences, the conventional sequences (T1WI, T2WI, and STIR) and the advanced sequences (3D STIR SPACE, DWIBS, and MR myelography), and its interpretation by an expert radiologist provides the best diagnostic accuracy for brachial plexus injury (either adult traumatic or obstetric injury) when its results were compared to intraoperative findings.

Adding DWIBS sequence does not extend the examination excessively, only by approximately 5 min. When combined with the other sequences and planes of conventional MRI of the brachial plexus, this examination can provide a more accurate assessment without increasing morbidity [28].

Diffusion-weighted imaging with background subtraction (DWIBS) provides greater contrast between the nerves and adjacent tissues, improving the image of the nerve pathways in the brachial plexus along its entire course not only the avulsed root [28].

In this study, performing 3D T2 STIR SPACE sequence allows clear visualization of the neuroforamina either empty or not (compared to the other contralateral intact brachial plexus) especially if no pseudomeningocele was formed so improving the diagnosis of root avulsion.

From the overall results, it is clear that MRI provides a safe, accurate non-invasive imaging modality for preoperative assessment of traumatic brachial plexus injury in adult and obstetric cases as well.
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