ON THE GCD OF AN INFINITE NUMBER OF INTEGERS

T. N. VENKATARAMANA

INTRODUCTION

In this paper, we consider the greatest common divisor (to be abbreviated gcd in the sequel) of suitable infinite sequences of integers and prove that as the sequences vary, the gcd remains bounded. These questions are motivated by results of [S] on the congruence subgroup problem. Using these results, we also obtain bounds on the indices of certain congruence subgroups of arithmetic groups in algebraic groups.

The simplest example of the result on gcd is the following. Let $a$ and $b$ be coprime positive integers and for an integer $n \neq 0$ let $\phi(n)$ be the number of positive integers not exceeding $|n|$ (the absolute value of $n$) and coprime to $|n|$. Consider the infinite sequence

$$\phi(ax + b) ; x = \cdots, -2, -1, 0, 1, 2, \cdots$$

and let $g(a, b)$ denote the gcd of the numbers occurring in the sequence (1). Then (see Theorem 1 below), $g(a, b)$ is bounded by 4, for all $a$ and $b$. This assertion will be shown to be a simple application of Dirichlet's Theorem on the infinitude of primes in an arithmetic progression.

We will also prove an analogue of the above result for arbitrary number fields. Let $S$ be a finite set of places of a number field $F$ containing all the archimedean ones, $a$ and $b$ be coprime elements in the ring of $S$-integers $O_S$, and for a nonzero ideal $b$ of $O_S$, $\phi(b)$ denote the number of units in the residue class ring $O_S/b$. Write $(y)$ for the principal ideal in $O_S$ generated by the element $y$ in $O_S$. Then we show (see Theorem 2 below) that the gcd $g(a, b)$ of the infinite sequence of numbers

$$\phi((ax + b)) ; x \in O_S,$$

is bounded by a constant depending only on the number field $F$. In fact the constant will be shown to depend only on the number of roots
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of unity in the number field. As in the case of \( \mathbb{Q} \), Theorem 2 will be shown to be a consequence of the Cebotarev density Theorem.

This result has an application to algebraic groups. Theorem 2 will be shown to imply that if \( \Gamma \) is an \( S \)-arithmetic congruence subgroup of a linear algebraic group \( G \) defined over the number field \( F \), then the congruence subgroups \( \Gamma(ax+b) \) (of \( \Gamma \) for \( x \) varying in \( O_S \), generate a subgroup \( \Gamma' \) of finite index \( g \) in \( \Gamma \), and the index \( g \) is bounded by a constant depending only on the algebraic group \( G \) and the number field \( F \) (and not on the congruence subgroup \( \Gamma \)).

With these notations, we first prove (in §1) the following:

**Theorem 1.** Suppose that \( a \) and \( b \) are coprime rational integers, and let \( \phi \) denote the Euler \( \phi \) function. Then the gcd \( g = g(a,b) \) of the sequence \( \{\phi(ax+b); \ x \in \mathbb{Z}\} \) is bounded by 4; more precisely, \( g \) has the following values.

1. \( g = 1 \) if \( b \equiv \pm 1 \ (mod \ a) \) or \( \pm 2 \ (mod \ a) \).
2. \( g = 4 \) if \( a \) is divisible by four, \( b \) is not as in Case 1, \( b \equiv 1 \ (mod \ 4) \) and \( b \) is not a square \( (mod \ a) \).
3. \( g = 2 \) in all other cases.

Theorem 1 is proved by using Dirichlet’s Theorem on the infinitude of primes in arithmetic progressions.

Theorem 1 can be generalised to arbitrary number fields. Let \( F \) be a number field and \( S \) a finite set of places of \( F \) including all the archimedean ones. Let \( O_S \) denote the ring of \( S \)-integers of \( F \). Given a non-zero ideal \( \mathfrak{a} \) of \( O_S \), let \( \phi(\mathfrak{a}) \) denote the number of units in the quotient ring \( O_S/\mathfrak{a} \) (of course, the quotient has only a finite number of elements). Given a non-zero element \( x \in O_S \), denote by \( \phi(x) \) the number \( \phi((x)) \), where \( (x) \) is the principal ideal of \( O_S \) generated by \( x \). Recall that two elements \( a \) and \( b \) of \( O_S \) are said to be coprime, if the ideal generated by \( a \) and \( b \) is the whole ring \( O_S \). Let \( \mu_F \) denote the group of roots of unity in the number field \( F \).

**Theorem 2.** Let \( a \) and \( b \) denote two elements of \( O_S \) which are coprime. Let \( g \) be the gcd of the numbers \( \phi(ax+b) \) where \( x \) runs through elements of \( O_S \). Then, there is a constant \( C \) depending only on the number field \( K \) (and not on the elements \( a \) and \( b \)) such that \( g \leq C \). In fact, \( C \) may be chosen to be \( \text{Card}(\mu_F)^2 \).
More precisely, \( g \) divides \( \text{Card}(\mu_F)^2 \). That is \( v_l(g) \leq v_l(\text{Card}(\mu_F)^2) \) where for a prime \( l \) and an integer \( m \), \( v_l(m) \) is the largest power of \( l \) which divides \( m \).

In particular, \( g \) is divisible only by the primes which divide the order of the group \( \mu_F \) of roots of unity in the number field \( F \).

Theorem 2 has an application to algebraic groups. Indeed, its formulation was suggested by a problem in the arithmetic of algebraic groups. Let \( G \) be a linear algebraic group defined over a number field \( F \) and let \( O_S \) be as before. Let \( \Gamma \) be an \( S \)-congruence subgroup of the group \( G(O_S) \) of \( O_S \)-rational points of \( G \). Let \( a \) and \( b \) be coprime elements in \( O_S \) and given \( x \in O_S \), let \( G(ax + b) \) be the congruence subgroup corresponding to the principal ideal \( (ax + b) \) of \( O_S \). Let \( \Gamma' \) be the subgroup of \( \Gamma \) generated by the subgroups \( \Gamma \cap G(ax + b) \) as \( x \) varies through elements of the ring \( O_S \).

**Theorem 3.** There exists an integer \( g \) depending only on the group \( G \) and the number field \( F \) such that for all \( \Gamma \) as above, the index of \( \Gamma' \) in \( \Gamma \) is bounded by \( g \).

1. **Proof of Theorem 1**

As we said before, the proof is a repeated application of Dirichlet’s Theorem on the infinitude of primes in arithmetic progressions. We will prove it by a (small) number of case by case checks.

[1]. Suppose that \( b \) is congruent to either \( \pm 1 \) or \( \pm 2 \) modulo \( a \). Then, there exists an integer \( x \) such that \( ax + b \) is either \( \pm 1 \) or \( \pm 2 \). In either case \( \phi(ax + b) = 1 \); since the \( \text{gcd} \) \( g \) divides each \( \phi(ax + b) \), we obtain that \( g = 1 \).

Let \( l \) be a prime and \( m \) an integer. Define, as before, \( v_l(m) \) to be the largest integer \( k \) such that \( l^k \) divides \( m \).

[2]. We first prove that

\[ v_l(g) = 0 \]

if \( l \) is an odd prime. Write \( a' \) for the l.c.m. of \( a \) and \( l \).

If \( b \) is coprime to \( l \) and \( b \not\equiv 1 \pmod{l} \), then, by Dirichlet’s Theorem, the residue class \( b \) modulo \( a' \) is represented by a prime \( p \). Thus, there exist integers \( x, y \) such that \( p = a'y + b = ax + b \), and \( \phi(ax + b) =\)
\( \phi(p) = p - 1 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{l} \). Therefore, \( g \) (which divides \( \phi(p) \)) is coprime to \( l \) as well. That is \( v_l(g) = 0 \).

If \( b \) is not coprime to \( l \), then, by replacing \( b \) by \( a + b \) we may still assume that \( b \) is coprime to \( l \), as \( a \) and \( b \) are coprime. Suppose now that \( b \equiv 1 \pmod{l} \). Since the group \((\mathbb{Z}/l\mathbb{Z})^*\) of units in the ring \( \mathbb{Z}/l\mathbb{Z} \) has at least two elements, and \((\mathbb{Z}/a'\mathbb{Z})^* \to (\mathbb{Z}/l\mathbb{Z})^*\) is surjective, we may write \( b = uv \) modulo \( a' \) with \( u \not\equiv 1 \) and \( v \not\equiv 1 \) modulo the prime \( l \). Moreover, by Dirichlet’s Theorem, \( u \) and \( v \) modulo \( a' \) are represented by (distinct) primes \( p \) and \( q \). Thus, there exist \( x \) and \( y \) with \( uv = a'y + b = ax + b \) and \( p \equiv u \) and \( q \equiv v \) modulo \( a' \). Moreover, \( p - 1 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{l} \) and \( q - 1 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{l} \). Thus, \( \phi(pq) = (p - 1)(q - 1) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{l} \) whence \( v_l(g) = 0 \). This completes [2].

[3]. Now we consider the case when \( l \) is even \((l = 2)\). We show that

\[
 v_2(g) \leq 2.
\]

(3.1). Suppose that \( a \) is even and that \( a^* \) is the lcm of \( a \) and \( 4 \). Now, \( b \) is odd since \( b \) is coprime to \( a \). Thus \( b \) defines a class in \((\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z})^*\).

If \( b \) is a non-trivial class in \((\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z})^*\), then, since the class of \( b \) modulo \( a^* \) is represented by a prime \( p \), one has \( p = ax + b \) for some \( x \) with \( \phi(ax + b) = \phi(p) = p - 1 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{4} \). Thus, \( v_2(\phi(ax + b)) \leq 1 \) whence \( v_2(g) \leq 1 \).

If \( b \) is the trivial class modulo \( 4 \), then write \( b \equiv (-b)(-1) \pmod{a^*} \). Both \((-b)\) and \((-1)\) are represented by primes \( p \) and \( q \) say. Then \( p \equiv q \equiv (-1) \pmod{4} \), whence, \( p - 1 \) and \( q - 1 \) are divisible at most by \( 2 \). Thus, there exists an \( x \) with \( ax + b = pq \), with \( \phi(ax + b) = \phi(p)\phi(q) = (p - 1)(q - 1) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{8} \). Hence \( v_2(g) \leq v_2(\phi(ax + b)) \leq 2 \).

(3.2). Suppose \( a \) is odd. Let \( a^* = 4a \) denote the lcm of \( a \) and \( 4 \). The group \((\mathbb{Z}/a^*\mathbb{Z})^*\) of units is a product of \((\mathbb{Z}/a\mathbb{Z})^*\) and \((\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z})^*\). Hence there exists a prime \( p \) such that it represents \( b \) modulo \( a \) and \(-1 \) modulo \( 4 \). Thus there exists an \( x \) with \( p = ax + b \). Moreover \( \phi(ax + b) = \phi(p) = p - 1 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{4} \), whence \( v_2(g) \leq 1 \).

[4]. Suppose that \( ax + b \) is at least \( 3 \) in absolute value. Assume also that \( a \equiv 0 \pmod{4} \), \( b = 1 \pmod{4} \) and that \( b \) is not a square \( \pmod{a} \). Write \( ax + b = p_1^{e_1} \cdots p_h^{e_h} \), with \( p_i \) distinct primes and \( e_i \) positive integers. Clearly, the primes \( p_i \) are all odd.

If \( h \geq 2 \), then since \( \phi(ax + b) \) is divisible by the product \((p_i - 1) \cdots (p_h - 1)\), it follows that \( \phi(ax + b) \) is divisible by \( 2^h \) and hence by \( 4 \).
If $h = 1$ and $p_1 = 3 \pmod{4}$, then by passing to congruences modulo 4 (note that 4 divides $a$ by assumption), we see that $1 \equiv b \equiv p_1^{e_1} \equiv (-1)^{e_1}$ modulo 4, whence $e_1$ is even, contradicting the assumption that $b$ is not a square modulo $a$.

If $h = 1$ and $p_1 \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, then $\phi(ax + b) = p_1^{e_1-1}(p_1 - 1)$ is divisible modulo 4.

We have thus proved part (2) of Theorem 1, that for all $x$, $\phi(ax + b)$ is divisible by 4; that is, 4 divides $g$. Since we have proved in all cases that $\nu_2(g) \leq 2$, it follows that $g = 4$ if the conditions of Part (2) hold.

[5]. Turn now to the other parts of Theorem 1. If $a \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$, then write $a = 2a_1$, with $a_1$ odd. By Dirichlet’s Theorem, there exist infinitely many primes $p$ with $p \equiv b \pmod{a_1}$ and $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$. Note that $b \equiv p \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$ since both $b$ and $p$ are odd. Thus, $p \equiv b \pmod{a}$ and $\phi(p) = p - 1 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ whence $\nu_2(g) \leq 1$. Since $g$ is always even in this case, it follows that $g = 2$.

If $b$ is $\pm 1$ or $\pm 2$, then, there exists an integer $x$ such that $ax + b = \epsilon$ with $\epsilon = \pm 1$ or $\epsilon = \pm 2$. Clearly, then $\phi(\epsilon) = 1$ whence $g = 1$.

If $a$ and $b$ are as in Part (3) of Theorem 1, then $ax + b$ is at least 3 in absolute value for all $x$ and hence $\phi(ax + b) \geq 2$. If $a$ is divisible by 4, but $b$ is a square say $c^2$, modulo $a$, then $c$ is represented by a prime $p$ modulo 4 which (by replacing $c$ by $-c$ if necessary) may be assumed to be congruent to $-1$ modulo 4. Hence $p^2 = ax + b$ for some integer $x$, and $\phi(ax + b) = p(p - 1) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{4}$. Therefore, $\nu_2(g) \leq 1$ whence $g = 2$. The rest of Theorem 1 may be proved in exactly the same way.

2. Proof of Theorem 2.

2.1. Preliminaries. Denote by $\mu$ the order of the group of roots of unity in the number field $F$. Let $l$ be a prime and $l^e$ the largest power of $l$ which divides $\mu$. Let $\omega$ be a primitive $l^{e+1}$-th root of unity in an algebraic closure of $F$. The Galois group of the extension $F(\omega)/F$ is a subgroup of the group $(\mathbb{Z}/l^{e+1}\mathbb{Z})^*$ of units and is non-trivial, since $l^e$ is the highest power of $l$ which divides $\mu$. Moreover, if $p$ is a prime ideal in $O_S$ which is unramified in $F(\omega)$ such that its Frobenius is a non-trivial element in $Gal(F(\omega)/F)$ then, $N(p) - 1$ is divisible by $l^e$ but not by $l^{e+1}$.

2.2. Notation. Denote by $F_a$ the ray class field of $F$ corresponding to the ideal $O_S$. Thus, by definition, $F_a/F$ is an abelian extension whose
Galois group is isomorphic -under the Artin Reciprocity map- to the quotient $G(F_a/F) = \mathbb{A}_F^*/F^*(\prod U_v)$. Here for a commutative ring $R$, we denote by $R^*$ the group of units of $R$ and $A_F$ is the ring of adeles of $F$. For each finite place $v$ of $F$, let $O_v$ be the maximal compact subring of the completion $F_v$. If $v \in S$, let $U_v = K_v^*$ and if $v \notin S$, let $U_v$ denote the subgroup of elements of $O_v^*$ congruent to 1 modulo the ideal of $O_v$ generated by $a$. Note that $S$ contains all the archimedean primes and that if $v \notin S$ and if $v$ does not divide $a$, then $U_v = O_v^*$.

Given an element $z = \prod (z_v)_v$ of $A_F^*$, define its norm by $\prod (|z_v|_v)$ for all $v \notin S$. In particular, its restriction to $\prod O_v^*$ (where $v$ runs through all finite places of $F$) is trivial, and hence the norm is a homomorphism on the group of fractional ideals of $O_S^*$. Thus, if $\mathfrak{p}$ is a prime ideal in $O_S$ and $v$ the corresponding non-archimedean absolute value on $F$, then the norm is $1/\text{Card}(O_S/\mathfrak{p})$.

By the weak approximation theorem, it is clear that the group

$$G(F_a/F) = \mathbb{A}_F^*/F^*(\prod U_v)$$

is isomorphic to the group

$$\mathbb{A}_F(S)^*/O_S(a)^*(\prod O_v^*)$$

where $O_S(a)^*$ is the group of units in the ring $O_S$ which are congruent to the identity modulo the principal ideal $a$ of $O_S$ and the product is over all the places $v$ of $F$ not in $S$ and not dividing $a$.

2.3. Proof of Theorem 2. Let $E$ be the compositum of the ray class field $F_a$ and the cyclotomic extension $F(\omega)/F$ ($\omega$ being a primitive $L^{e+1}$-th root of unity). The Galois group $G(E/F)$ is abelian and has surjective homomorphisms onto $G(F_a/F)$ and onto $G(F(\omega)/F)$. The group $G(E/F)$ is generated-by the Cebotarev Density Theorem-by the Frobenius elements corresponding to prime ideals $\mathfrak{p}$ of the ring $O_S$ (which may also be assumed to be unramified in the extension $E/F$). In particular, the image of $b \in F$ ($F^*$ thought of as a subgroup of $\mathbb{A}_F(S)^*$ (= the group of $S$-ideles)) in $G(E/F)$ is represented by (infinitely many) prime ideals $\mathfrak{p}$. This means that $\mathfrak{p} = (ax + b)O_S$ for some $x \in O_S$. In particular, $\phi(\mathfrak{p}) = \phi(ax + b)$.

Case 1: If moreover, there exists an element $\sigma \in G(E/F)$ such that its image in $G(F_a/F)$ is represented by the element $b$ and its image in $G(F(\omega)/F)$ is non-trivial, then $\sigma$ is represented by a prime ideal $\mathfrak{p}$,
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with the following properties. By the choice of \( \sigma \), one gets an \( x \in O_S \) such that \( ax + b = p \). Thus \( \phi(ax + b) = \phi(p) \) and \( p \) has non-trivial image in \( G(F(\omega)/F) \). Hence \( \phi(p) \) is divisible by \( l^e \) but not by \( l^{e+1} \) (see (2.1)).

Case 2: If Case 1 is not possible, then this means that the preimage of \( b \in G(F_a/F) \) in \( G(E/F) \) maps to the trivial element in \( G(F(\omega)/F) \). Fix any \( \sigma \) in the preimage, and let \( \tau \in G(E/F) \) map non-trivially into \( G(F(\omega)/F) \) (recall from (2.1) that the latter group is non-trivial). One may write \( \sigma = (\sigma\tau)(\tau)^{-1} \). Note that both \( \sigma\tau \) and \( \tau^{-1} \) have non-trivial images in \( G(F(\omega)/F) \). Represent both elements by prime ideals \( p \) and \( q \) respectively. Then \( \phi(p) \) is divisible by \( l^e \) but not by \( l^{e+1} \) (similarly for \( \phi(q) \)). However, \( pq = ax + b \) for some \( x \in O_S \). Therefore, \( \phi(ax + b) \) is divisible by \( l^{2e} \) but by no higher power of \( l \). In particular, \( v_l(g) \leq 2e \).

The combination of Cases 1 and 2 proves Theorem 2.

3. A QUESTION

In sections 1 and 2 we considered the gcd of \( \phi(ax + b) \) as \( x \) varies. Here \( ax + b \) is a linear polynomial of degree one, with coprime coefficients. We now replace \( ax + b \) by a polynomial \( P(x) = a_nx^n + \cdots + a_0 \) where \( a_0, \cdots, a_n \) are integers (or S-integers) which are “coprime” i.e. generate the unit ideal. We have the following question, arrived at in conversations with M. S. Raghunathan.

3.1. Question: Does there exist a constant \( C = C(n) \) depending only on the degree \( n \) of the polynomial \( P \) such that the gcd of the integers \( \phi(P(x)) : x = 0, 1, \cdots \) is bounded by \( C(n) \) for all polynomials \( P \) of degree \( n \) with coprime coefficients?

In case the number field \( F \) is different from \( \mathbb{Q} \), the question amounts to asking if the constant \( C \) depends only on the number field \( F \) and on the finite set \( S \) of places.

4. RELATION TO THE CONGRUENCE SUBGROUP PROBLEM

Consider the group \( SL_2 \) over a number field \( F \). Suppose the set \( S \) is such that the group \( O_S^* \) of units is infinite. Given an ideal \( \mathfrak{a} \) of \( O_S \), let \( G(\mathfrak{a}) \) denote the principal congruence subgroup of \( SL_2(O_S) \) of level \( \mathfrak{a} \): this is the set of matrices of determinant one, with entries in the ring \( O_S \) which are congruent to the identity matrix modulo the ideal \( \mathfrak{a} \). Let \( E(\mathfrak{a}) \) denote the normal subgroup of \( SL_2(O_S) \) generated by the upper triangular matrices with 1’s on the diagonal and which are congruent...
to 1 modulo the ideal \(a\). Then, \(E(a)\) is a normal subgroup of \(G(a)\).

In the course of proof of the congruence subgroup property for the group \(SL_2(O_S)\), Serre (in [S]) considers the action of the group \(T(O_S) \simeq O_S^*\) on the quotient \(G(a)/E(a)\). Here \(T\) is the group of diagonals in \(SL_2\). Serre shows that this action is trivial on the subgroup of \(T(O_S)\) generated by \(\mu\)-th powers of elements of \(T(O_S)\) (recall that \(\mu\) is the number of roots of unity in the number field \(F\)). This is achieved by proving first that if a coset class \(\xi\) in the quotient \(SL_2(a)/E(a)\) is represented by a matrix \(A = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}\); then, the group \(T(aO_S)\) acts trivially on the element \(\xi\). Next, if the element \(A\) is replaced by \(BA\) with \(B = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}\) with \(x \in a\), then \(BA = \begin{pmatrix} a' & b' \\ c' & d' \end{pmatrix}\) with \(a' = ax + b\). Consequently, even the group \(T((ax + b)O_S)\) acts trivially on the element \(\xi\). Thus the group \(T_0\) generated by the subgroups \(T((ax + b)O_S)\), as \(x\) varies, acts trivially on the element \(\xi\). By arguments similar to the proof of Theorem 2 (indeed, the proof of Theorem 2 in the present paper is modelled on [S]), Serre then shows that the group of \(\mu\)-th powers of \(T(O_S)\) is contained in the group \(T_0\) and hence acts trivially on \(\xi\).

We now state a generalisation of this result. Let \(G \subset GL_n\) be a linear algebraic group defined over a number field \(F\). Let \(S\) be a finite set of places of \(F\) containing all the archimedean ones and let \(O_S\) denote the ring of \(S\)-integers of \(F\). Given a non-zero ideal \(a\) in \(O_S\), denote by \(G(a)\) the subgroup of \(G \cap GL_n(O_S)\) whose entries are congruent to the identity modulo the ideal \(a\).

Suppose now that \(a\) and \(b\) are coprime elements in \(O_S\) and that \(x \in O_S\). Denote, as before, by \(G(ax + b)\), the principal congruence subgroup of level \(ax + b\). Let \(\Gamma \subset G(F)\) be an arithmetic subgroup and let \(\Gamma_{a,b}\) be the group generated by the subgroups \(G(ax + b) \cap \Gamma\) for all \(x \in O_S\) of \(G(O_S)\).

**Theorem 4.** With the foregoing notation, the group \(\Gamma_{a,b}\) has its index in \(\Gamma\) bounded by a constant dependent only on \(G \subset GL_n\) and the number field \(F\), but neither on the arithmetic group \(\Gamma\) nor on the elements \(a\) and \(b\).

We begin by noting that for the multiplicative group \(G = G_m\), this is exactly Serre’s Theorem: the index is bounded by \(\mu\), the number of
roots of unity in the number field.

The proof of Theorem 4 is easy when $G$ is unipotent or semi-simple, since one may appeal to the strong approximation theorem, a version of which implies that if $a$ and $b$ are coprime ideals, then $G(a)$ and $G(b)$ generate a subgroup of index bounded by a constant independent of $a$ and $b$ (see [R], section 2 for similar considerations). Thus, the proof of Theorem 3 may be reduced easily to that for tori, and hence, by the structure theorem for tori, to that for the groups $\mathbb{G}_m$ over some finite extensions $E$ of $F$. Thus, we are reduced to the case of $\mathbb{G}_m$ over number fields, for which Serre’s result is already available.
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