Higher-order genome organization in platypus and chicken sperm and repositioning of sex chromosomes during mammalian evolution
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Abstract In mammals, chromosomes occupy defined positions in sperm, whereas previous work in chicken showed random chromosome distribution. Monotremes (platypus and echidnas) are the most basal group of living mammals. They have elongated sperm like chicken and a complex sex chromosome system with homology to chicken sex chromosomes. We used platypus and chicken genomic clones to investigate genome organization in sperm. In chicken sperm, about half of the chromosomes investigated are organized non-randomly, whereas in platypus chromosome organization in sperm is almost entirely non-random. The use of genomic clones allowed us to determine chromosome orientation and chromatin compaction in sperm. We found that in both species chromosomes maintain orientation of chromosomes in sperm independent of random or non-random positioning along the sperm nucleus. The distance of loci correlated with the total length of sperm nuclei, suggesting that chromatin extension depends on sperm elongation. In platypus, most sex chromosomes cluster in the posterior region of the sperm nucleus, presumably the result of postmeiotic association of sex chromosomes. Chicken and platypus autosomes sharing homology with the human X chromosome located centrally in both species suggesting that this is the ancestral position. This suggests that in some therian mammals a more anterior position of the X chromosome has evolved independently.

Background

A rapidly increasing body of evidence shows that higher-order organization in the nucleus is essential for a genome to function properly (Cremer et al. 2001; Fraser and Bickmore 2007). A general theme of genome organization in the interphase nucleus is that gene-rich chromosomes are organized preferentially towards the interior of the nucleus whereas gene-poor chromosomes are located more towards the exterior (Cremer et al. 2001).

One of the most obvious differences in genome organization between mammals and reptiles is the presence of a distinct set of small very gene-rich microchromosomes (MICs) and large gene-poor macrochromosomes (McQueen et al. 1996, 1998). In interphase nuclei, MICs are found in the nuclear interior, while macrochromosomes (MACs) reside towards the nuclear periphery (Habermann et al. 2001). Monotremes are the only mammalian species that may share microchromosomes with reptiles (Matthey 1949; White 1973). However, this suggestion was based on chromosomes size alone and has been challenged by Van Brink as both
platypus and echidna show a continuum of chromosome size, while in reptiles there is a bimodal distribution of chromosomes that clearly separate as MICs and MACs (Van Brink 1959). Recently, we described the localization of \( MHC \) genes on the smallest platypus chromosomes, which show homology to chicken MICs 16 and 17 (Dohm et al. 2007).

Sex chromosome systems are different in birds and mammals. In chicken, the heterogametic sex is the female (ZW), whereas in mammals it is the male (XY). Male chickens have two Z chromosomes and female mammals two X chromosomes. Comparative mapping revealed that the chicken Z and mammalian X do not share homology and most of the genes on the different mammalian X are found on chicken chromosomes 1 and 4 (Kohn et al. 2007; Nanda et al. 1999). The platypus (\textit{Ornithorhynchus anatinus}) sex chromosome system is remarkably different to both therian mammals and birds in that there are ten sex chromosomes that form a meiotic chain in prophase I (Grutzner et al. 2004; Rens et al. 2004). The sex chromosomes of the chain undergo alternate segregation to form male-determining sperm with five Y chromosomes and female-determining sperm with five X chromosomes (Grutzner et al. 2004). Surprisingly, the platypus sex chromosome system shows homology with the chicken Z (Grutzner et al. 2004). The recent sequencing of the entire platypus genome revealed that there is no homology between the mammalian X and most of the genes from the eutherian X are found on chicken chromosomes 1 and 4 (Kohn et al. 2007; Nanda et al. 1999). The platypus (\textit{Ornithorhynchus anatinus}) sex chromosome system is remarkably different to both therian mammals and birds in that there are ten sex chromosomes that form a meiotic chain in prophase I (Grutzner et al. 2004; Rens et al. 2004). The sex chromosomes of the chain undergo alternate segregation to form male-determining sperm with five Y chromosomes and female-determining sperm with five X chromosomes (Grutzner et al. 2004). Surprisingly, the platypus sex chromosome system shows homology with the chicken Z (Grutzner et al. 2004). The recent sequencing of the entire platypus genome revealed that there is no homology between the mammalian X, and most of the genes from the human X that have been mapped in platypus reside on chromosome 6 (Veyrunes et al. 2008; Warren et al. 2008; Waters et al. 2005).

Another unusual feature of monotreme sex chromosomes is that they contain \( MHC \) genes. The major histocompatibility complex (MHC) is of central importance for adaptive and innate immunity in vertebrates. Sequencing \( MHC \)s from different mammalian species have led to the identification of approximately 220 genes located within an interval of 3.5–4 Mbp, which have important roles for example in immunity and reproduction. In human, the 3.6-Mb MHC complex is located on chromosome 6 (Horton et al. 2004). We have recently mapped \( MHC \) genes on platypus \( Y3X3 \) and \( Y4X5 \) (Dohm et al. 2007). In chicken, the \( MHC \) is much smaller. The \( MHC \) class I and class II genes are found on GGA 16, while framework genes that localize to the human class III interval map to GGA 17 (Fillon et al. 1998).

In mammals, sperm have evolved various shapes and contain elaborate acrosome and tail structures for efficient motility and fertilization. It is generally thought that sperm form in many reptile species and monotremes represent less sophisticated, ancestral sperm morphology (Bedford 1991, 2004).

In terms of genome organization, non-random distribution of almost all chromosomes has been demonstrated in several mammalian species (Foster et al. 2005; Greaves et al. 2003, 2001; Haaf and Ward 1995; Hazzouri et al. 2000; Meyer-Ficca et al. 1998; Ward et al. 1996; Zalenskaya and Zalensky 2004). Human chromosome 17 for example, which is one of the gene-richest chromosomes, located consistently in the middle of the sperm nucleus. In contrast, the gene-poor human chromosome 13 was found randomly distributed within the sperm nucleus (Hazzouri et al. 2000; Zalenskaya and Zalensky 2004).

Monotremes diverged more than 160 million years ago from the mammalian lineage and are therefore the oldest branch on the mammalian tree (Bininda-Emonds et al. 2007). The elongated sperm in monotremes has a similar structure to that of birds and reptiles and therefore they retain the ancestral structure (Bedford 1991). A thorough comparison of the different stages of platypus spermiogenesis has indeed identified similarities but also differences between platypus and reptilian sperm development and morphology (Lin and Jones 2000). For platypus, earlier work showed tandem arrangement of telomeric sequences in sperm and localized ribosomal DNA (rDNA) and genes from platypus chromosome 6 to specific regions in sperm. The mapping of a whole-chromosome paint of platypus X1 to the anterior of platypus sperm provided additional evidence to show a non-random organization of chromosomes in platypus sperm (Greaves et al. 2003; Watson et al. 1996).

In chicken, previous research on the arrangement of centromeric heterochromatin found some evidence for ordered arrangement in sperm (Dressler and Schmid 1976). In contrast, more recent work reported that chicken MACs GGA 2, GGA 6, GGA 8, GGA 9, and GGA Z and a single MIC show random organization in chicken sperm (Greaves et al. 2003; Solovei et al. 1998). In addition, Greaves et al. (2003) utilized the fact that telomere repeats show a stronger signal on MICs (Nanda and Schmid 1994) to demonstrate that some MICs apparently cluster in the central region of sperm.

The evolution of heteromorphic sex chromosomes is accompanied by the establishment of regional dosage compensation in birds (Melamed and Arnold 2007) or chromosome-wide dosage compensation mechanisms in the homogametic sex in birds and mammals and meiotic sex chromosome silencing in the heterogametic sex in mammals (Heard and Disteche 2006). How both epigenetic mechanisms relate to genome organization in sperm is unknown. The random positioning of the chicken Z seems to rule out any importance of position in sperm for dosage compensation. In mammals, however, a high conservation of the mammalian X chromosome in a position that may make first contact with the oocyte has led to the idea that the position of the X chromosome could play a role in the fertilization process or may be important for imprinted X inactivation (Greaves et al. 2003). A more internal location of the X in pigs is not compatible with this idea (Foster et al. 2005). Apart from the mammalian X chromosome little is known about the evolutionary conservation of chromosome posi-
tioning in sperm. This is partly due to the fact that sperm shapes differ significantly between species, making comparisons difficult. Monotremes are one of only a few mammals that share elongated sperm with chicken and other reptiles (Fig. 1), which allows a more precise comparison of the genome organization between mammals and birds.

The main function of sperm is to transport the paternal DNA and to successfully fertilize an egg. In contrast to the interphase nucleus, very few genes are actively transcribed in sperm, and during spermatogenesis, the chromatin is reorganized extensively by the replacement of most of the histone proteins by transitional proteins and later protamines to allow for a more efficient compaction in the sperm nucleus (Kimmins and Sassone-Corsi 2005). In humans, chromosome territories were four times more condensed in sperm than in metaphase chromosomes (Mudrak et al. 2005). On the other hand, extended chromatin and chromosome territories have been observed in mammalian species like pig and rat (Foster et al. 2005; Meyer-Ficca et al. 1998) and also in chicken sperm (Solovei et al. 1998). Chromatin compaction is achieved by the positively charged arginine-rich protamines, which replace most of the histone proteins in sperm. Protamines are evolutionarily conserved in vertebrates including birds and monotremes. However, cysteine residues present in eutherian sperm are absent in pangolins (eutherian), marsupials, monotremes, birds, and fish, which make their sperm chromatin much more accessible as it is not stabilized with disulfide bridges (Leung and Cummins 1988; Oliva and Dixon 1989; Retief et al. 1993).

The comparison of genome organization in the very similar platypus and chicken sperm is ideally suited to discern if the differences that have been observed in the arrangement of chromosomes in chicken and mammalian sperm are due to differences in the genome (i.e., chromosome size, gene density, sex chromosome system) or differences in sperm morphology.

We have utilized the availability of chromosome-specific bacterial artificial chromosomes (BAC) clones from the chicken and platypus genome projects (Wallis et al. 2004; Warren et al. 2008). This enabled us to determine the position of several small and large autosomes and sex chromosomes in chicken and platypus sperm and to compare our results with existing data in sperm of eutherian mammals. In addition, we have quantified chromatin compaction using chicken BAC clones with known physical distance. Our findings reveal new aspects about genome organization in elongated chicken and platypus sperm and provide new insights into the evolution of chromosome organization in the sperm nucleus in birds and mammals.

Materials and methods

Preparation of chromosomes and meiotic cells Mitotic metaphase chromosomes and interphase preparations were generated from the established platypus fibroblast cell lines (Grutzner et al. 2004). New cultures were set up from toe web from animals captured at the upper Barnard river (New South Wales, Australia) during breeding season (AEC permit no. S-49-2006 to F.G.). Meiotic cells and sperm were obtained from extracted testis. The material was either directly fixed in methanol/acetic acid (3:1) or incubated in 0.075 M KCl M at 37°C as hypotonic treatment and then fixed. Slides were prepared according to standard procedures. Chicken sperm was prepared from an adult male rooster the same way with all material subject to 0.075 M KCl hypotonic treatment.

BAC clones Platypus BAC clones were obtained from the CUGI BAC/EST resource center (Clemson, SC, USA) from the platypus BAC library Oa_Ab or from the Children’s Hospital Oakland Research Institute (CHORI, CA, USA) from the platypus BAC library CH236 and chicken BAC

Fig. 1 Similarity of the DAPI-stained elongated sperm nucleus in chicken (a) and platypus (b)
library CH261. All BAC clones were mapped to metaphase chromosomes to confirm their location. For BAC clones from microchromosomes, we relied on the chicken genome sequence assignments from individual BAC clones as they cannot be distinguished cytogenetically (Table 1 contains a list of all BAC clones).

**Fluorescence in situ hybridization of BAC clones DNA** (1 μg) from the positive BAC clones were directly labeled with spectrum orange or spectrum green (Vysis) using random primers and Klenow polymerase and hybridized by fluorescence in situ hybridization to platypus and chicken metaphase, interphase, spermatids, and sperm chromosomes under standard conditions. Briefly, the slides were treated with 100 μg/ml RNase A/2× SSC 37°C for 30 min and with 0.01% pepsin in 10 mM HCl at 37°C for 10 min. After refixing for 10 min in 1× PBS, 50 mM MgCl₂, 1% formaldehyde, the preparations were dehydrated in an ethanol series. Slides were denatured for 2.5 min at 75°C in 70% formamide, 2× SSC, pH 7.0 and again dehydrated. For hybridization of one half slide, 400–500 ng of probe DNA was coprecipitated with 10–20 μg of boiled genomic platypus or echidna DNA (as competitor), and 50 μg salmon sperm DNA (as carrier), and redissolved in 50% formamide, 10% dextran sulfate, 2× SSC. The hybridization mixture was denatured for 10 min at 80°C. Preannealing of repetitive

| BAC Number | Chromosome | Position (Mb) | n  | Anterior % | Medial % | Posterior % | p value |
|------------|------------|---------------|----|------------|----------|-------------|---------|
| CH261-114L9 | GGA 1      | 134.3         | 48 | 25         | 56       | 19          | 0.003   |
| CH261-151M5 | GGA 1      | 114.8         | 51 | 28         | 33       | 39          | 0.6     |
| CH261-173H15 | GGA 4      | 4.3           | 72 | 21         | 47       | 32          | 0.3     |
| CH261-98D21 | GGA 4      | 10.4          | 72 | 18         | 40       | 42          | 0.02    |
| CH261-93I23 | GGA 9      | 25            | 100| 35         | 35       | 30          | 0.8     |
| CH261-97F21 | GGA 16     | 0.1           | 100| 19         | 56       | 25          | 7.4E–06 |
| CH261-90H20 | GGA 17     | 9             | 109| 25         | 46       | 29          | 0.02    |
| CH261-117G15 | GGA 20     | 5.3           | 100| 36         | 40       | 24          | 0.1     |
| CH261-166M8 | GGA 23     | 4.3           | 100| 31         | 38       | 31          | 0.6     |
| CH261-15A6  | GGA 24     | 4             | 48 | 29         | 42       | 29          | 0.4     |
| CH261-19P5  | GGA 24     | 2.7           | 100| 23         | 41       | 36          | 0.08    |
| CH261-21I20 | GGA 26     | 0.6           | 100| 36         | 37       | 27          | 0.4     |
| CH261-21I26 | GGA 26     | 0.3           | 112| 41         | 34       | 25          | 0.1     |
| CH261-123F18 | GGA 27    | 1.7           | 53 | 26         | 51       | 23          | 0.02    |
| CH261-128H20 | GGA 27    | 1.3           | 103| 26         | 51       | 23          | 0.0007  |
| CH261-18A6  | GGA 27     | 2.4           | 100| 19         | 45       | 36          | 0.005   |
| CH261-18A6  | GGA 27     | 2             | 100| 35         | 43       | 22          | 0.03    |
| CH261-18M15 | GGA 28     | 2             | 100| 35         | 43       | 22          | 0.03    |
| CH261-67H6  | GGA 28     | 2.3           | 100| 27         | 43       | 30          | 0.1     |
| CH261-115C18 | GGA Zq    | 64.2          | 101| 29         | 40       | 31          | 0.4     |
| CH261-125E24 | GGA Zq    | 57.4          | 100| 21         | 40       | 39          | 0.03    |
| CH261-66E20 | GGA Zq    | 58.5          | 100| 42         | 38       | 20          | 0.02    |
| CH261-78C3  | GGA Zp    | 19.7          | 100| 26         | 34       | 40          | 0.23    |
| CH261-89F20 | GGA Zp    | 23.5          | 99 | 26         | 33       | 41          | 0.2     |
| CH236-178P07 | OAN 1     | –             | 100| 59         | 29       | 12          | 4.2E–08 |
| Oa_Bb-483G8 | OAN 2     | –             | 300| 13         | 74       | 13          | 3.3E–49 |
| Oa_Bb-362a7 | OAN 3     | –             | 99 | 62         | 18       | 20          | 1.1E–08 |
| CH236-97N06 | OAN 6     | –             | 98 | 11         | 69       | 20          | 3.6E–13 |
| CH236-286H10 | OAN X1p   | –             | 87 | 39         | 45       | 16          | 0.002   |
| CH236-378F21 | OAN X1q   | –             | 245| 18         | 52       | 30          | 3.2E–10 |
| CH236-286H10 | OAN Y1    | –             | 143| 37         | 49       | 14          | 1.3E–06 |
| Oa_Bb-178E23 | OAN Y2    | –             | 247| 31         | 35       | 34          | 0.8     |
| Oa_Bb-462C1 | OAN X3    | –             | 115| 17         | 40       | 43          | 0.0009  |
| Oa_Bb-462C1 | OAN Y3    | –             | 186| 10         | 23       | 67          | 2.4E–22 |
| Oa_Bb-551F7 | OAN Y4    | –             | 105| 6          | 26       | 68          | 2E–14   |
| Oa_Bb-243O9 | OAN X5    | –             | 111| 23         | 18       | 59          | 5.8E–08 |
| Oa_Bb-152P15 | OAN Y5   | –             | 100| 10         | 30       | 60          | 5.6E–09 |
| Expected    |            |               | 33.3| 33.3       | 33.3     |             |         |

The p value was calculated by chi-square to test against the null hypothesis that there is a random distribution in sperm. In bold, the chromosomes where a non-random position was determined by a p value < 0.05.
DNA sequences was carried out for 30 min at 37°C. The slides were hybridized overnight in a moist chamber at 37°C. The slides were then washed three times for 5 min in 50% formamide, 2× SSC at 42°C and once for 5 min in 0.1× SSC. Chromosomes and cell nuclei were counterstained with 1 μg/ml DAPI in 2× SSC for 1 min and mounted in 90% glycerol, 0.1 M Tris–HCl, pH 8.0 and 2.3% DABCO. Images were taken with a Zeiss AxioImagerZ.1 epifluorescence microscope equipped with a CCD camera and Zeiss Axiovision software.

Data analysis Fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH) signals were counted in 90 or more sperm where both ends could be clearly identified and the signal could be assigned to an anterior medial or posterior position. When several different clones were used, the results were added. Measurements were taken with Olympus AnalySIS software. The distance of signals was derived from three measurements (see Fig. 2). In the AnalySIS software, a macro was prepared in which information about the magnification was entered and a scale bar in the picture was used to calibrate the measurements. The measurements were taken by manually drawing a line from each of the signals to the anterior end of the sperm, or along the whole sperm length (see Fig. 2). Excel was used to produce charts and perform ANOVA tests correlating signal distance and sperm length as well as for the chi-square analysis of the signal distribution with 2 degrees of freedom.

Results

Localization of chicken and platypus specific BAC clones in elongated sperm In all experiments, we have hybridized chromosome-specific BAC clones to metaphase chromosomes and spermatids simultaneously. The position of signals was determined in more than 90 elongated sperm. The sperm nucleus was divided into three equal parts: anterior (pointed end), medial, and posterior, and each signal was assigned by eye to one of the three regions (Fig. 2). Table 1 lists the individual clones, their chromosome position, and the distribution in sperm. If more than one BAC was used from one chromosome, the data were merged (Tables 2 and 3). We investigated three aspects of genome organization in elongated chicken and platypus sperm, i.e., positioning and orientation of chromosomes and compaction of chromatin in sperm.

Higher-order genome organization is present in chicken sperm In chicken (2n=78, ZW female, ZZ male sex chromosome system), we have mapped 24 BAC clones from

Table 2 Position of chromosomes in chicken sperm

| Chromosome | n    | Anterior | Medial | Posterior | p value |
|------------|------|----------|--------|-----------|---------|
| 1          | 99   | 26.25    | 44.5   | 29.25     | 0.06    |
| 4          | 144  | 19       | 44     | 37        | 7.6E−04 |
| 9          | 100  | 35       | 35     | 30        | 0.8     |
| Z          | 500  | 29       | 37     | 34        | 0.09    |
| 16         | 100  | 19       | 56     | 25        | 7E−06   |
| 17         | 109  | 25       | 46     | 29        | 0.02    |
| 20         | 100  | 36       | 40     | 24        | 0.1     |
| 23         | 100  | 31       | 38     | 31        | 0.6     |
| 24         | 100  | 23       | 41     | 36        | 0.08    |
| 26         | 212  | 36       | 34     | 25        | 0.06    |
| 27         | 256  | 23       | 49     | 28        | 4.5E−07 |
| 28         | 200  | 31       | 43     | 26        | 0.01    |
| Expected   |      | 33.3     | 33.3   | 33.3      |         |

In chicken sperm cells, signals of more than 99 sperm were counted. The p value was calculated by chi-square to test against the null hypothesis that there is a random distribution in chicken sperm. In bold, the chromosomes where a non-random position was determined by a p value<0.05.
12 different chicken (Gallus gallus) macro- and microchromosomes including the Z chromosome in elongated sperm (see Fig. 3 and Tables 1 and 2). GGA 1 and in GGA 4 are macrochromosomes and share extensive homology with the mammalian X chromosome (Kohn et al. 2007). We found a random distribution for two BAC clones from the proximal long arm of GGA 1. We observed a higher frequency of signals in the medial region of sperm nuclei (44.5%), but the overall distribution of signals along the sperm does not significantly deviate from a random distribution (Table 2). Two BAC clones from the short arm of the acrocentric chicken chromosome 4 showed a higher frequency of signals in the middle or towards the posterior of sperm and only 19% of signals were found in the anterior of the sperm (Fig. 3, Table 2). These results reveal a significant non-random distribution of GGA 4. For GGA 9, we saw a very even signal distribution and a clearly random organization. A previous study reported that the heterochromatic part of the chicken Z chromosome is randomly distributed in sperm (Solovei et al. 1998). Our results from mapping five BAC clones from different parts of the Z chromosome also show a random distribution. However, we noted very different distributions for the individual clones, where specific BACs reside with frequencies of up to 42% in all three parts of the sperm (see Table 1). For example clone CH261-66E20 (Zq) is found more than twice as frequent in the anterior than in the posterior part. The opposite is true for clones CH261-78C3 and CH261-89F20 (Zp) (Table 1). In general, we observed that the long arm of GGA Z seems to be located towards the anterior and the short arm of GGA Z towards the posterior of sperm. It is noteworthy that for GGA 1, GGA 4, and GGA Z individual BAC clones from these chromosomes differed significantly in their distribution along the sperm nucleus (see Table 1).

Microchromosomes are the most euchromatic part of the chicken genome and contain over 50% of all chicken genes (Hillier et al. 2004). We have mapped BAC clones from eight different MICs onto sperm to look for their distribution. Interestingly, four MICs, 16, 17, 27, and 28, showed a clear non-random distribution with all chromosomes residing in over 43% of cases in the middle of sperm. The other MICs, 20, 23, 24, and 26, were randomly distributed although the highest signal frequencies were mostly seen in the middle of sperm (Table 2). To see if overall MICs tend to cluster in the middle as preliminary data suggested using telomere repeats (Greaves et al. 2003), we added all frequencies from MICs (29% anterior, 42% medial, 29% posterior). This shows a slightly (statistically not significant) higher value in the middle (42%). We conclude that there is no significant overrepresentation of MICs in the middle of sperm.

### Table 3: Chromosome position in platypus sperm

| Chromosome | n   | Anterior % | Medial % | Posterior % | p value |
|------------|-----|------------|----------|-------------|---------|
| 1          | 100 | 59         | 29       | 12          | 4.2E-08 |
| 2          | 300 | 13         | 74       | 13          | 3.3E-49 |
| 3          | 99  | 62         | 18       | 20          | 1.8E-08 |
| 6          | 98  | 11         | 69       | 20          | 9.5E-13 |
| X1         | 332 | 24         | 50       | 26          | 8.4E-10 |
| Y1         | 143 | 37         | 49       | 14          | 1.3E-06 |
| Y2         | 247 | 31         | 34       | 34          | 0.8     |
| X3         | 115 | 17         | 39       | 44          | 1.2E-03 |
| Y3         | 186 | 10         | 23       | 67          | 1.1E-22 |
| Y4         | 105 | 15         | 10       | 75          | 7.8E-15 |
| X5         | 111 | 23         | 18       | 59          | 9.8E-08 |
| Y5         | 100 | 10         | 30       | 60          | 5.6E-09 |
| Expected   |     | 33.3       | 33.3     | 33.3        |         |

In platypus sperm cells, signals of more than 98 sperm were counted. The p value was calculated by chi-square to test against the null hypothesis that there is a random distribution. In bold, the chromosomes where p value>0.05 was observed.

Non-random organization of autosomes and clustering of multiple sex chromosomes is present in platypus sperm. Both platypus and chicken share elongated sperm. In addition, platypus chromosomes show vastly different chromosome size as in birds and most other reptiles and a unique ten sex chromosome system.

In platypus (2n=52, 21 autosomes, ten sex chromosomes), we have mapped 13 BAC clones from 12 different chromosomes in elongated sperm (Table 3). The largest platypus chromosomes, OAN 1, 2, and 3, showed a non-random position with chromosomes 1 and 3 in the anterior and chromosome 3 in medial regions of sperm. We were most interested to investigate the organization of the multiple sex chromosomes in sperm. We asked the following questions:

Do X and Y chromosomes show any association or clustering in sperm?

Do platypus sex chromosomes that share homology to GGA Z show an organization in sperm that is similar to chicken (random) or to other mammals (mostly anterior)?

Does the autosome OAN 6, which shares extensive homology with the human X reside in an anterior position as found for the X in several mammals or in the middle as we found for the homologous GGA 4?

To investigate the organization of the multiple X and Y chromosomes, we utilized a set of BAC clones from XY-shared regions as well as clones X- and Y-specific regions to distinguish between X- and Y-bearing sperm (see Fig. 4a).

We used two BAC clones from X1p (XY shared) and X1q (X specific). Overall, we found that X1 resides in the medial region of platypus sperm. However, we observed that the BAC clone from X1p localized more frequently in the anterior region (39%) compared to the BAC clone from X1q (18%),
Fig. 3 Mapping of chicken BACs in chicken cells. Using two-color FISH, we localized chromosomes in mitotic metaphase (a, d, g, h), interphase (b, e, h, k), and sperm (c, f, i, l). Examples are shown for BACs CH261-15M15 (114 Mb, green) and CH261-114L9 (134 Mb, red) from GGA 1, (a, b, c), BACs CH261-98D21 (10 Mb, red) and CH261-173H15 (4 Mb, green) from GGA 4 (d, e, f), BACs CH261-89F20 (23 Mb, red) and CH261-66E20 (58 Mb, green) GGA Z (g, h, i) and BACs CH261-128H20 (1.2 Mb, green) and CH261-18A6 (2.4 Mb, red) GGA 27 (j, k, l). Scale bar is 10 μm.
which resides very consistently in the medial part of the sperm (52%). The combination of BAC clones from the XY-shared region (on X1p) and the X-specific part allowed us to distinguish X- and Y-bearing sperm (Fig. 4c). The distribution of Y1 is more similar to X1p than to X1q (Table 1). When we looked at the position of Y2 we found that this chromosome has a random distribution in sperm. All other sex chromosomes that we mapped are localized towards the
For example, for X1Y1 BAC CH261-15M15 from X1pY1 (and Y-specific regions were utilized in a two-color FISH experiment. Sperm, combinations of BAC clones from the XY-shared and the X- and Y-specific regions were hybridized together with BAC CH236-378F21 from X1q (green; a–d). Sperm with only the red signal are Y1 bearing (e) and sperm with red and green signal X1 bearing (d). Hybridization of a Y5-specific BAC Oa_Bb 425O3 in green (e). Sperm from different pictures have been assembled in this panel to illustrate the different signal shape. Note the elongated signal in some sperm (f). Localization of BAC CH236-577N02 (red) from the proximal long arm of OAN 6, and BAC CH236-97N06 (green) from the distal long arm (g). Two BAC clones in close proximity from OAN 6, Oa_Bb300C4 in red and Oa_Bb 425O3 in green, are shown in (h, insert). Despite the proximity of the clones in metaphase chromosomes and in interphase (h), they could be far apart in sperm (i). Scale bar is 10 μm.

posterior region of sperm (Table 3) with X and Y chromosomes showing very similar distributions. This is particularly obvious for Y4, X5, and Y5, which show equally high frequencies in the posterior of sperm. This suggests that the association of X and Y chromosomes is maintained beyond the formation of the meiotic chain in prophase I and that X and Y chromosomes occupy similar position in sperm (see “Discussion”). We determined a mostly non-random localization of platypus sex chromosomes, which share extensive homology with GGA Z. This shows that the arrangement of the mammalian homologs of GGA Z has changed to a non-random organization in early mammalian evolution.

Next, we localized a BAC clone from OAN 6 in sperm, which mapped very consistently to the middle of platypus sperm (see Fig. 4 and Table 3). The organization of this chromosome is similar to the distribution of the homologous GGA 4 (see “Discussion”).

Extensive differences in chromatin compaction are observed in chicken sperm. It is generally assumed that chromosomes are about six-fold more condensed in the sperm nucleus compared to the interphase nucleus (Haaf and Ward 1995; Mudrak et al. 2005). Elongated chromosome territories have been observed at different stages of spermatogenesis and in sperm in several species including mouse, rat, hamster, and pig (Foster et al. 2005; Meyer-Ficca et al. 1998; Ward et al. 1996). In chicken sperm, it was observed that the heterochromatic part of the Z chromosome showed a ten- to 15-fold expansion compared to mitotic chromosomes (Solovei et al. 1998).

Here we wanted to investigate to what extent chromatin elongation is found in chicken sperm and if this is correlated to the total length of sperm nuclei or to random or non-random organization of the chromosome. We have selected BAC clones with known genomic distance on chicken chromosomes 1, 4, Z, and 27 to compare chromatin extension in MACs and MICs, in particular in MIC GGA 27, which organized non-randomly in chicken sperm. We compared the distance of the signals in metaphase chromosomes, interphase, and in sperm nuclei. The data clearly show that in elongated sperm the distance of clones is up to ten times larger than in metaphase and interphase chromatin (Table 4). As the distance between most chicken BAC clones is known from the chicken genome assembly, we could determine and compare the number of base pairs per micrometer length (Table 5). For example, the distance between the BAC clones 89F20 (23 Mb) and 66E20 (58 Mb) is 35 Mb on GGA Z. The mean distance between these two BAC clones in sperm is 4.5 μm or 7.8 Mb/μm. This is about ten-fold more than the values calculated for the Z heterochromatin (Solovei et al. 1998). On the other hand, the values obtained from euchromatic GGA 27 are only 0.4 Mb/μm.

In addition, we noted that the distance of the signals greatly varies between individual sperm. This ranges from co-localization to signals being situated at opposite ends of the sperm in both chicken and platypus (Figs. 3 and 4). Despite the seemingly random nature of chromatin elongation, the measurements correlated significantly with the total length of the sperm in most cases (Figs. 5, 6 and 7). Only the BAC clones for GGA 4 did not show a significant correlation between sperm length and distance of signals (data not shown).

### Table 4 Chromatin elongation in chicken (GGA) metaphase, interphase, and sperm

| Chromosome | Distance (Mb) | Measured length (μm) |
|------------|--------------|----------------------|
|            | Metaphase    | Interphase            | Sperm         |
|            | [n]          | [n]                  | [n]           |
| GGA 27     | 1.2          | 1.1 [21]             | 5.9 [37]      | 11.9 [81] |
| GGA Z      | 35           | 1.8 [18]             | 4.9 [5]       | 11 [93]  |

### Table 5 Differences in region-specific elongation within GGA sperm

| Chromosome n | Distance between BACs (Mb) | Average distance (μm) | Mb/μm |
|--------------|----------------------------|-----------------------|-------|
| GGA 1        | 56                         | 20                    | 6.3   | 3.2  |
| GGA Z        | 100                        | 35                    | 4.5   | 7.8  |
| GGA 27       | 127                        | 1.2                   | 2.7   | 0.4  |

*The average signal distance in sperm was measured using the AnalySIS software (see Fig. 2).*
and platypus sperm, signal orientation was determined in a two-color FISH experiment with proximal and distal BAC clones from the acrocentric GGA 4 and OAN 6 and BAC clones from the long and short arms from GGA 27, GGA Z, and OAN X1. In each experiment, it was determined if the proximal or p arm signals were more anterior or more posterior than the distal or q arm signals (Table 6). The p values were calculated by chi-square to test against the null hypothesis that there is a random orientation of chromosomes within the sperm. We found that all chromosomes with the exception of GGA 27 maintained a specific orientation in sperm irrespective of random or non-random arrangement. GGA Z for example is randomly distributed in sperm but still maintains an orientation where the short arm points towards the posterior end and the long arm towards the anterior end of sperm. We were not able to determine an orientation for GGA 27. A possible explanation is that the close proximity of the two BAC clones (1.2 Mb) may be within hierarchical folding, which obscures detecting orientation in this case.

The first comparison of mammal and bird sperm reveals that the position of some chromosomes in sperm is highly conserved during evolution. Very few studies have investigated the evolutionary conservation of chromosome organization in mammalian sperm. Comparisons of chromosome positioning between species are difficult because of the variability of sperm shape in mammals. Here, we utilized the fact that platypus and chicken sperm are very similar in shape and size, which allows precise comparison of chromosome positioning between both species. We investigated the position of GGA 1 and 4, which share large blocks of homology with the mammalian X. GGA 4 shows a non-random organization in the middle of the sperm nucleus, while GGA 1 is randomly organized. Interestingly, OAN 6, which shares extensive homology with the mammalian X (and GGA 4), is also found in the medial part of platypus sperm demonstrating a high evolutionary conservation of the position for these homologous chromosomes.

The MHC is autosomal in humans (chromosome 6) and chicken (GGA 17) but on sex chromosomes in platypus (Y3X3 and Y4X5) and echidna (Dohm et al. 2007). In human sperm, chromosome 6 is located in the medial part of sperm towards the nuclear periphery (Zalenskaya and Zalensky 2004). We determined that GGA 17 is organized non-randomly in the middle of the sperm nucleus, while GGA 1 is randomly organized. Interestingly, OAN 6, which shares extensive homology with the mammalian X (and GGA 4), is also found in the medial part of platypus sperm demonstrating a high evolutionary conservation of the position for these homologous chromosomes.
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GGA 27 is arranged non-randomly in the middle of chicken sperm. GGA 27 shares extensive homology with human chromosome 17, which also localizes in the middle of human sperm (Zalenskaya and Zalensky 2004). This is the first time that chromosome positioning has been compared between chicken and mammals, which reveals that the position of some chromosomes has been conserved over several hundred years of divergent evolution (see “Discussion”).

Discussion

The advent of whole-genome sequencing efforts provides a huge range of new tools and DNA probes that enable us to study genome organization of specific regions in sperm. Available sequence of these probes also allows sequence-based comparisons between species. In this study, we have utilized BAC clones available from the chicken and platypus whole-genome sequencing projects as well as a set of sex chromosome-specific BAC clones from platypus that we have generated.

Recent studies of genome organization in birds and mammals have proposed that genome organization in sperm is very different in both groups (Greaves et al. 2003; Solovei et al. 1998). In mammals, generally, a higher-order organization is found with most chromosomes occupying defined positions in sperm. It has been suggested that chromosome size, sperm shape, and specific function as centromere, telomeres, or sex chromosome are factors influencing chromosome positioning in sperm (Meyer-Ficca et al. 1998; Solovei et al. 2004; Solovei et al. 1998). However, a thorough study in pig spermatozoa found no evidence that smaller chromosome show a different organization compared to larger chromosomes (Foster et al. 2005) and our data support this. We have included large and small chromosomes in both species and find no evidence that small chromosomes are more likely to be organized at random in sperm.

Higher-order genome organization is present in chicken sperm. Generally, in chicken, the random distribution of chromosomes and extensive chromatin elongation were interpreted as a general lack of higher-order organization (Greaves et al. 2003; Solovei et al. 1998). One of the main outcomes of the present study is that we have observed a higher-order organization of chromosomes in chicken sperm: we found that the location of five of the 12 chromosomes tested was not random (Table 2) as determined by a significant deviation from an expected random distribution of signals with

| Signal distance (µm) | Sperm length (µm) |
|----------------------|-------------------|
| Mean 4.6             | 16.7              |
| Minimum 0.65         | 10.1              |
| Maximum 13.75        | 23.4              |
| Count 100            | 100               |

P-Value 6.9x10^{-03}
frequencies of 33% in any region of the chicken sperm. The highest frequencies did not exceed 56% for any clone (Table 1). This is a lower frequency than that observed for most mammalian chromosomes (>70% in many cases) with the exception of human chromosome 13, which is randomly distributed (Hazzouri et al. 2000). It is difficult at this stage to speculate about the functional relevance of this gradual difference; however, it is clear that the general view of a random organization in chicken sperm as opposed to a non-random organization in mammalian sperm needs to be revised. Platypus and chicken sperm have similar sperm shape and both lack bisulfite bonds of protamine molecules. In addition, they share vast size differences of chromosomes within their karyotypes. Despite all these reptilian features of platypus sperm and chromosomes, we observed that chromosomes often occupy a certain position in well above 60% of the nuclei in platypus (see Table 5), which is similar to other mammals. In chicken sperm, these frequencies were lower and we never observed more than 56% of the signals in any particular part of the sperm nucleus. We conclude that the lower frequencies observed in chicken sperm must be the result of factors other than sperm shape protamine compaction and chromosome size. Interestingly, we observed that in particular in chicken pairs of BAC clones from the same chromosomes showed different distribution (e.g., GGA 1, GGA 4, GGA Z). In these cases, some clones show a random distribution, while other clones from the same chromosome show a more specific non-random localization. In a loop-like organization, it may be plausible that clones with a more telomeric position show a more defined position than clones from regions further out on the loops. However, our data indicate that distal or proximal position of the BAC clone does not correlate with non-random or random positioning, respectively (Table 1).

Table 6 Orientation of chromosomes in chicken (GGA) and platypus (OAN) sperm

| Chromosome | n  | Anterior % | Posterior % | p value |
|------------|----|------------|-------------|---------|
| GGA proximal | 95 | 63         | 37          | 0.01    |
| 27p        | 126| 52         | 48          | 0.6     |
| Zp         | 100| 38         | 62          | 0.016   |
| OAN proximal | 42 | 17         | 83          | 1.6E−05 |
| X1p        | 58 | 67         | 33          | 0.009   |

For random orientation, expected values of 50% were assumed for direction of each arm toward either the anterior or posterior end of the sperm.

Extensive differences in chromatin compaction are observed in chicken sperm In mammals, sperm chromatin is four to
six times more compact than that of metaphase chromosome (Balhorn 1982; Haaf and Ward 1995; Mudrak et al. 2005). In situ hybridization approaches using rDNA, repetitive elements, and chromosome paints have been used on mildly decondensed human and mouse sperm chromatin. In mouse, rod-like elongated signals were observed and support a linear array model of sperm chromatin (Haaf and Ward 1995). Recent work using chromosome arm-specific painting probes showed a very compact chromosome territory structure in human sperm (Mudrak et al. 2005).

In chicken, a probe of the heterochromatic block on the Z chromosome showed a characteristic dynamic from very compact in early stages of spermatogenesis to very elongated in the spermatid nucleus (Solovei et al. 1998). Similar stretched out chromatin has been observed in elongated sperm in other vertebrate and non-vertebrates species (Joffe et al. 1998). One of the advantages of using well-defined BAC clones is that the exact genomic distance is known for clones that have been mapped to whole-genome assemblies. As this was the case for the chicken BAC clones, we could calculate and quantify the extent of chromatin compaction. A previous study used a probe of the heterochromatic part of the Z chromosome and estimated that this region is about ten to fifteen times longer in sperm compared to mitotic chromosomes and would contain about 0.7 Mb/μm (Solovei et al. 1998). We found massive differences in both distance of signals and compaction of chromatin (measured in Mb/μm). For example, we determined 400 kb/μm for BAC clones only 1.2 Mb apart on GGA 27 and 7.8 Mb/μm for BAC clones spanning 35 Mb including the GGA Z centromere. The ten-fold difference of chromatin compaction between the heterochromatic region on Zp reported by Solovei et al. (1998) and the pericentromeric region in this present study indicate that centromeres are extremely condensed in sperm. The formation of a compact chromocenter has been reported in mouse, rat and human and chicken (Brinkley 1986; Dressler and Schmid 1976; Meyer-Ficca et al. 1998; Zalensky et al. 1993). Interestingly, in chicken, it was reported that centromeric heterochromatin is organized non-randomly in sperm and adopts a tandem organization across sperm (Dressler and Schmid 1976). The random organization of the pericentromeric BAC clones from GGA Z used in this study indicates a more random organization of centromeres, but the much higher condensation of the centromeric region supports the idea that a compact chromocenter exists in birds. This compaction difference may also be explained by a different organization of chromatin in the sperm nucleus. For the heterochromatic region on GGA Z, reorganization during spermatogenesis was observed leading to a stretched out pattern in sperm reminiscent of a spiral-type arrangement observed with 28S rDNA in the elongated sperm of planarians (Joffe et al. 1998), indicating that chromatin fibers in elongated sperm could be stacked.

Our data show that almost 20-fold differences in compaction are present in sperm despite the replacement of histones by protamines, following the general observation of less condensed euchromatin (e.g., the gene-rich GGA 27) and more condensed heterochromatin (e.g., the GGA Z centromere) in metaphase and interphase chromatin. Generally, sperm chromatin was significantly more elongated compared to metaphase or interphase chromatin (Table 5). It would be interesting to investigate whether similar regional differences are also observed in eutherian sperm. In platypus, we also observed chromatin extension for X1 and OAN 6 in sperm. However, as the physical distances between these clones is not known, a direct comparison with chicken is not possible.

The distance of clones within sperm correlates with total sperm length We have used BAC clones anchored in the chicken genome and found a significant correlation between the distance of signals and overall length of the sperm nucleus in both species (Figs. 5, 6 and 7). This was found independently of random or non-random distribution of BAC clones in chicken. The only chromosome where this correlation was not observed is the acrocentric GGA 4. The large distance of clones within sperm is quite different to the organization in human sperm, where proximity of telomeric and subtelomeric regions supports the idea of a looped structure in the sperm nucleus (Solovei et al. 2004). Although the probes used in this study were not subtelomeric, the much larger distance of the clones suggests that in elongated sperm the proximity of chromosome arms has been lost most likely through the extreme elongation of the sperm nucleus in platypus and chicken.

Chromosomes maintain a specific orientation in sperm Another indication of higher-order organization in chicken sperm is our observation, that chromosomes maintain a specific orientation in sperm. The use of chromosome-specific BAC clones allowed us to determine the orientation of a chromosome in sperm. We found that GGA Z (randomly organized) and GGA 4 (non-randomly organized) maintain a specific orientation in sperm. We could not determine a specific direction for GGA 27, possibly due to the close proximity of the BAC clones that were used as probes. In platypus, we investigated orientation of BAC clones on OAN 6 and on the short arm of OAN X1. For both chromosomes, we found that they maintain a specific direction (Table 6). This indicates that higher-order organization of the genome may also include that chromosomes are organized in a certain direction within the sperm nucleus.

The platypus sperm nucleus is elongated and very similar compared to chicken sperm. A thorough comparison of quail and platypus spermatogenesis has revealed many similarities and some differences between both species (Lin and Jones 2000). A similar picture emerges in terms of
genome organization in sperm. Generally, platypus chromosomes are non-randomly organized within the sperm nucleus as observed for other mammals. The comparison with chicken revealed similarities between genome organization in platypus and chicken sperm: in both species the distance of the signals correlate with the sperm length (Figs. 5, 6 and 7). This could be a common feature of elongated sperm and seems independent of organization (random or non-random) or chromosome size. In addition, the platypus features a unique clustering of sex chromosomes in sperm.

Non-random organization of autosomes and clustering of multiple sex chromosomes are present in platypus sperm. To investigate whether platypus sex chromosomes remain associated after they segregated from the sex chromosome chain that links all ten sex chromosomes during meiotic prophase I in males (Grutzner et al. 2004), we mapped BAC clones from seven platypus sex chromosomes in sperm. We have mapped X1 to the medial region of sperm. However, when we look at the localization of the X1p and X1q BAC clones separately, our results show almost equal numbers of signals in the anterior and the middle of sperm for X1p. In contrast, the long arm is found more often in the middle. This shows that X1 retains an orientation where the short arm points towards the anterior of the sperm nucleus (Table 6). Interestingly, Y1 shares extensive homology with X1p and shows a very similar distribution. Y2 shows a random organization in sperm. Y3, Y4, X5, and Y5 are all located in the posterior region of sperm. This shows very similar distribution of X and Y chromosomes in platypus sperm. Proximity of X and Y chromosomes has also been observed in pigs (Foster et al. 2005). More importantly, a clustering of most platypus sex chromosomes in platypus sperm is evident and suggests that the multiple sex chromosomes in platypus maintain association after the meiotic chain has segregated into X1–X5 and Y1–Y5 bearing secondary spermatocytes. If this is the result of meiotic or postmeiotic silencing in monotremes is currently unknown.

The position of some chromosomes in sperm is highly conserved during amniote evolution. The use of genomic clones from sequenced and assembled genomes offers a unique opportunity for comparing the dynamics of chromosome positioning during evolution. For example, HSA 17 is one of the most gene-rich chromosomes in humans and occupies a central position in the medial part of the elliptic human sperm (Hazzouri et al. 2000). Interestingly, GGA 27, which shares homology to HSA 17, also locates in the middle of sperm. The conservation of chromosome position within sperm over long evolutionary distances highlights the functional importance of genome organization in sperm.

The position of sex chromosomes in sperm is particularly interesting as epigenetic changes leading meiotic sex chromosome inactivation during spermatogenesis could affect positioning of sex chromosomes in sperm. In mammals, sex chromosomes are silenced during meiosis and the X and Y chromosomes reside as inactive chromatin in a separate compartment called the “sex body” (Handel 2004; Turner 2007). It has recently been shown that meiotic sex chromosome inactivation is maintained beyond the first meiotic division.

![Diagram](image-url)

**Fig. 8** The evolution of sex chromosomes organization in amniote sperm. This study indicates that higher-order organization is found not only in mammals but also reptiles. On top, homology relationships of sex chromosomes systems and dosage compensation mechanisms are shown. In monotremes, first data indicate patchy dosage compensation. There have been three major changes of sex chromosome organization in amniotes: a shift of random organization of GGA Z towards non-random organization in platypus. The anterior position in sperm of most eutherian mammals indicates that the X could be the first chromosome to make contact to the oocyte, which is not the case for the autosomal homologs in chicken and platypus.
as postmeiotic sex chromatin in mouse (Namekawa et al.
2006) and marsupials (Namekawa et al. 2007). It is currently
unknown if sex chromosome silencing occurs in female
chicken or in male platypus.

It was also proposed that positioning of the X chromosome
in sperm could play a role in the preferential inactivation of
the paternal X chromosome in the early embryo. Recently,
research revealed a regional pattern of dosage compensation
in birds (Melamed and Arnold 2007), and emerging evidence
suggests a similar pattern of dosage compensation in
platypus (Deakin et al. 2008).

Most of the genes from chicken Z are found on human
chromosome 9, while most of the genes of the therian X are
found on chicken chromosomes 1 and 4. Platypus shares an
XY sex chromosomes system with other mammals but its sex
chromosomes show extensive homology to the chicken Z
(Grutzn er et al. 2004; Veyrunes et al. 2008). One of the
exceptions to this is MHC genes that have recently mapped
to the pseudoautosomal regions of two pairs of platypus sex
cromosomes (Y3X3 and Y4X5; Dohn et al. 2007). In
human and chicken, the MHC is autosomal (HSA 6 and
GGA 16 and 17). Most genes from the human X reside on
OAN 6 (Veyrun es et al. 2008; Waters et al. 2005). To shed
some light on the evolutionary dynamic of sex chromosomes
and autosomes with homology to sex chromosomes in birds
and mammals, we mapped BAC clones from GGA 1, 4 and
GGA Z, GGA 17, OAN 6 and most platypus sex chro-
mosomes in platypus and chicken sperm.

Our results confirm a random organization of the chicken Z
chromosome in sperm as reported previously (Solovei et al.
1998). Platypus sex chromosomes with homology to the
chicken Z are mostly found in the posterior, which shows
that these chromosomes have changed from a random
pattern in chicken to a non-random distribution in platypus
sperm. In addition, MHC genes on GGA 17 have moved
from a medial position in chicken to posterior in platypus.

Most of the genes from human Xp are found on chicken
chromosome 1, while most of the genes from human Xq are
clustered on the short arm of GGA 4 (Kohn et al. 2007). We
found a random arrangement of BAC clones from chromo-
some 1, but a non-random location of GGA 4. The BAC
clones for GGA 4 are from the region homologous to human
Xq (Kohn et al. 2007). ONA 6, which shares extensive
homology with the human X, also resides in the middle of
the elongated platypus sperm nucleus. This position has
therefore been conserved in birds and monotreme mammals
and is also found in some therian mammals. In contrast, in
several other eutherian and marsupial species the X can be
found in the anterior part of sperm (Foster et al. 2005;
Greaves et al. 2003; Hazzouri et al. 2000). We conclude that
repositioning of sex chromosomes to the anterior part of
sperm is derived and has occurred in several mammalian
lineages independently (Fig. 8).

Conclusion

This study is the most extensive study of genome organization
in the elongated chicken and platypus sperm and contributes
new evidence to the growing body of data that shows the
importance of genome organization in sperm in various
species. We provide first evidence for chromosome specific
higher-order organization in the chicken sperm nucleus. In
platypus, our findings show a clustering of most sex chro-
mosomes in the posterior part of sperm, indicative of
postmeiotic association of X and Y chromosomes.

Localization of platypus and chicken sex chromosomes
and autosomes that share homology to the therian X in sperm
suggests that the chicken Z chromosome has adopted a non-
random organization in early mammalian evolution. The
autosomes homologous to the therian X have maintained their
position in the middle of the sperm nucleus until in some
lineages they moved towards the anterior.

In addition, this study shows how well-defined genomic
clones can be used to investigate new aspects of genome
organization in the sperm nucleus. For example, we show
that orientation of chromosomes is maintained in sperm.
We also discovered that large differences in chromatin
compaction exists within and between chromosomes,
suggesting that chromatin condensation in the sperm
nucleus follows the same pattern as in metaphase or
interphase chromatin.
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