Paraphyletic genus *Ditylenchus* Filipjev (Nematoda, Tylenchida), corresponding to the *D. triformis*-group and the *D. dipsaci*-group scheme
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Abstract

The genus *Ditylenchus* has been divided into 2 groups: the *D. triformis*-group, and the *D. dipsaci*-group based on morphological and biological characters. A total of 18 populations belong to 5 species of *Ditylenchus* was studied: *D. africanus*, *D. destructor*, *D. myceliophagus* and *dipsaci*, *D. weischeri*, the first 3 belong to the *D. triformis*-group, the last 2 the *D. dipsaci*-group. The species of *D. triformis*-group were cultured on fungi, while the species from *D. dipsaci*-group cultured on excised roots of plant hosts in petri dish. DNA sequences of regions of the nuclear ribosomal first internal transcribed spacer (ITS1) and the small subunit 18S were PCR amplified, sequenced and the phylogenetic analyses also including the sequences of the closely related species from the GenBank. The randomly amplified polymorphisms of genomic DNA (RAPD) were also generated. Two clusters or clades corresponding to the 2 groups were consistently observed with significant statistical support from the 3 datasets. The phylogenetic analysis also revealed that the genus is paraphyletic, separating the 2 groups by species of *Anguina* and *Subanguina*.
Keywords
Ditylenchus, ITS, 18S ribosomal DNA, RAPD, genetic variations, mycophagous, plant parasitic nematodes, phylogeny

Introduction
The genus Ditylenchus Filipjev (1936) consists of 80-90 accepted species (Brzeski 1991) of either mycophagous, entomophlic or plant parasitic species. The genus includes some of the most destructive nematode pests, e.g. the mushroom spawn nematode D. myceliophagus Goodey 1958, the potato rot nematode D. destructor Thorne 1945, and the stem and bulb nematode D. dipsaci (Kühn, 1857) Filipjev 1936, the latter two are also internationally quarantined. As the climate change intensifies and international trade increases, invasive alien species such as nematode species are increasingly becoming serious problems, as demonstrated by the recent outbreak of the stem and bulb nematode in central Canada and the neighboring states of USA, (Yu et al. 2010, Qiao et al. 2013), and the recent finding of potato rot nematode in Ontario (Yu et al. 2012), which was the first finding on the continental Canada for the pest.

Taxonomy of the genus both above and below the rank has been confusing. The genus was first placed in the family Tylenchidae of Tylenchina (Filipjev 1936), moved to Anguillulina Schneider (1939) and moved again to Anguiniidae (Paramonov 1970). The family has been moved between Hexatylina and Tylenchina (Siddiqi 1986, and 2000). Within the genus, species delimitation based on morphology has been rather arbitrary, since many morphometrical characters are highly variable and only a few were constant enough to be used for taxonomic purposes (Fortuner 1982). The species complex of D. dipsaci (Sturhan & Brzeski, 1991) makes this situation even more confusing. Recently applications of molecular methods have provided new tools for researchers to better understand the biology and taxonomy of the genus. For example, D. weischeri Chizhov, Borisov & Subbotin (2010) has been separated as a valid species from the D. dipsaci species complex, D. gigas Vovlas (2011) from the giant race of D. dipsaci, and D. africanus Wendt (1995) from D. destructor. Recent phylogenetic studies of ribosomal DNA indicated that the genus may be paraphyletic (Holterman et al. 2009; Giblin-Davis et al. 2010).

Two groups of the genus were recognized: the D. triformis-group and D. dipsaci-group (Siddiqi 1980). The D. triformis-group includes species with a rounded tail tip, lateral fields of six lines, and having mycophagous life cycle such as D. destructor and D. myceliophagous, while the D. dipsaci-group includes obligate plant parasites with a sharp-pointed tail tip and lateral fields of four lines. Those entomophlic species such as D. halictus are also mycophagous; belong to the D. triformis-group (Giblin-Davis et al. 2010).

The objective of the study was to use three molecular datasets, namely ITS1 and 18S fragment sequences of ribosomal DNA and RAPD polymorphisms of genomic DNA, to determine the phylogenetic relationships of the two groups of Ditylenchus species.
Material and methods

Nematode population

Live nematodes of eight populations of *D. destructor*, six populations of *D. dipsaci*, one of each *D. africanus*, *D. weischeri* and *D. myceliophagus* from different regions of three countries were collected (Table 1). Species identifications were confirmed using morphological and molecular methods.

Nematode culturing

*Ditylenchus destructor*, *D. myceliophagus* and *D. africanus* were cultured on *Fusarium oxysporium* on 10% potato dextrose agar (PDA). *Ditylenchus dipsaci* and *D. weischeri* were cultured on yellow pea and soybean excised roots on White’s medium (White 1939) respectively but attempts were also made to culture *D. dipsaci* and *D. weischeri* on *F. oxysporium*.

Sample preparation

PDA with fungus media and roots infested with nematodes were cut into small pieces and nematodes extracted using the Baermann funnel method (Baermann 1917).

DNA extraction

One or two extracted nematodes were subjected to DNA extraction. The nematodes were crushed in microtubes containing 40 μL 10×PCR buffer (100 mM Tris-HCl, pH 9.0 at 25 °C, 500 mM KCl, 15 mM MgCl$_2$), 10 μL Proteinase K (1 mg/mL), 50 μL distilled water. The microtubes were incubated for 1.5 h at 65°C followed by 15 min at 95 °C and stored at -20 °C. DNA templates were quantified using a NanoDrop ND-1000 Spectrophotometer (Wilmington, DE, USA).

Sequencing and alignment of ITS1 and 18S regions of nuclear rRNA

A region of the internal transcribed spacer 1 (ITS1) gene was amplified using the primers ITS-F (5’-TTGATTACGTCCCTGCCCTTT-3’), ITS-R (5’-ACGAGC-CGAGTGATCCACCG-3’). The amplification protocol was: initial denaturation at 94 °C for 3 min, followed by 40 cycles of denaturation (30 s at 94 °C), annealing (45 s at 58 °C), and extension (2 min at 72 °C), with a final extension for 10 min at 72 °C. A region of the small subunit (SSU) 18S rRNA gene (18S) was amplified
using the primers 18S-F (5’-TTGGATAACTGTGGTTTAACTAG-3’) and 18S-R (5’-ATTTCACCTCTCACGCAACA-3’). The amplification condition was: 95 °C for 3 min, followed by 40 cycles of 30 s at 95 °C, 45 s at 60 °C and 2 min at 72 °C, with final extension of 10 min at 72 °C. All PCR reactions were performed in 25 μl volumes including 10 ng DNA, 2.5 μl 10×PCR buffer, 1.5 μl 2.5 mM dNTPs, 0.2 ul 10 μM primers and 0.25 μl Titanium Taq DNA polymerase (supplier). The ITS and 18S fragments were sequenced in-house with an ABI Prism 377 sequencer (Perkin Elmer) in both directions and unambiguous consensus sequences obtained. The sequences were deposited into the GenBank database. DNA sequences were aligned by Clustal W (http://workbench.sdsc.edu, Bioinformatics and Computational Biology group, Dept. Bioengineering, UC San Diego, CA). The sequences were compared with those of the other nematode species available at the GenBank sequence database using the BLAST homology search program. The model of base substitution was evaluated using MODELTEST (Posada and Crandall 1998; Huelsenbeck and Ronquist 2001). The Akaike-supported model, the base frequencies, the proportion of invariable sites and the gamma distribution shape parameters and substitution rates were used in phylogenetic analyses. Bayesian analysis was performed to confirm the tree topology for each gene separately using MrBayes 3.1.0 (Huelsenbeck and Ronquist 2001) running the chain for 1 × 106 generations and setting the “burnin” at 1,000. We used the Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) method within a Bayesian framework to estimate the posterior probabilities of the phylogenetic trees (Larget and Simon 1999) using 50% majority rule.

Table 1. Origins, hosts and access numbers of Ditylenchus species and populations used in this study

| Code | Species    | Location     | Host     | Accession No. |
|------|------------|--------------|----------|---------------|
|      |            |              |          | IT  | 18S     |
| CH01 | D. destructor | Inner Mongolia, China | Sweet potato | KJ567140 | KJ492926 |
| CH02 | D. destructor | Jilin, China | Sweet potato | KJ567141 | KJ492927 |
| CH03 | D. destructor | Henan, China | Sweet potato | KJ567142 | KJ492928 |
| CH04 | D. destructor | Shandong, China | Sweet potato | KJ567143 | KJ492929 |
| CH05 | D. destructor | Jiangsu, China | Sweet potato | KJ567144 | KJ492930 |
| CH06 | D. destructor | Hebei, China | Sweet potato | KJ567145 | KJ492931 |
| CA01 | D. destructor | Ontario, Canada | Sweet potato | KJ567146 | KJ492932 |
| CU01 | D. destructor | Clemson University, USA | Sweet potato | KJ567147 | KJ492933 |
| CA02 | D. dipsaci | Ontario, Canada | Onion | KJ567148 | KJ492934 |
| CU02 | D. dipsaci | Clemson University, USA | Garlic | KJ567149 | KJ492935 |
| CA03 | D. dipsaci | Ontario, Canada | Garlic | KJ567150 | KJ492936 |
| CA04 | D. dipsaci | Ontario, Canada | Garlic | KJ567151 | KJ492937 |
| CA05 | D. dipsaci | Ontario, Canada | Garlic | KJ567152 | KJ492938 |
| CA06 | D. dipsaci | Ontario, Canada | Garlic | KJ567153 | KJ492939 |
| DA   | D. africanus | South Africa | Peanut | KJ567154 | KJ492940 |
| DW   | D. weischeri | Manitoba, Canada | Canada thistle | KJ567155 | KJ492941 |
| DM   | D. myceliophagus | Ontario, Canada | Grass | KJ567156 | KJ492942 |
RAPD (randomly amplified polymorphic DNA) and data analysis

Twenty seven random primers were used for RAPD analysis. These primers were previously shown to be suitable for inter-species comparison of *Ditylenchus* (Digby and Kempton 1987; Zouhar et al. 2007). All PCR reactions were performed in 25 μl volumes consisting of 1 μL of genomic DNA prepared earlier as described above, 2.5 μl of 10×PCR buffer, 1.25 μl of 2.5 mM dNTPs, and 0.25 μl of Titanium Taq DNA polymerase (Clontech Lab Inc.). Amplification conditions were as follows: an initial denaturation at 94 °C for 1 min, followed by 40 cycles of denaturation at 94 °C for 1 min, annealing/extension at 72 °C for 1 min and a final extension at 72 °C for 10 min. The PCR products were separated by electrophoresis (100V, 1h) in 2.0% agarose gels in TAE buffer with 180-200 ng DNA. The gels were stained with ethidium bromide, visualized and photographed under UV-light (Bio-rad DX, USA). All reactions were repeated twice for clear and stable banding patterns. The presence or absence of DNA fragments was scored as one or zero, respectively, in the binary matrix. Simple matching coefficients (SM) (Digby and Kempton 1987) and hierarchical cluster analysis were performed with NTSYS2.1 (Exeter Software, Setauket, NY). Cluster analysis, by the un-weighted pair method with arithmetic mean (UPGMA), was performed with the SAHN (sequential, agglomerative, hierarchical and nested clustering method). The robustness of the dendrogram was tested with 1000 bootstrap replicates using PAUP software (Swofford 2003).

Results

*DNA sequences:* Ribosomal DNA fragments of the internal transcribed spacer 1 (404 bp) and fragments of the 18S ribosomal RNA gene (902 bp) were amplified and sequenced and sequences deposited in GenBank (www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/genbank). GenBank accession numbers are listed in Table 1.

*Phylogeny:* Phylogenetic trees based on the ITS1 and 18S sequences of rDNA are shown in Figures 1 and 2 respectively. The results are consistent for both ITS and 18S with species separating into two clusters, one cluster comprising *D. destructor*, *D. africanaus* and *D. myceliophagus*, and the second comprising *D. dipsaci*, *D. weischeri* and *D. gigas*, with the groupings corresponding well with the tail endings. The 2 clusters were separated by species of *Anguina*.

*RAPD analysis:* Among the 27 primers (excepting RAPD2, RAPD3, RAPD5, RAPD7, OPA17 and OPB16 which amplified no visible bands) 21 random primers produced clear and reproducible bands. A total of 212 bands ranging from 100-2000 bp in size were produced by the 21 primers. 121 and 42 polymorphic bands were obtained for *D. destructor* and *D. dipsaci* respectively, which suggests higher genetic variation among populations of the *D. destructor* than those of *D. dipsaci*. Figure 3 presents the RAPD profiles obtained from primers OPG-05 to exemplify the banding patterns observed.
Figure 1. The 10001st Bayesian likelihood tree inferred from ITS sequences under GTR+I+G model (lnL = 9697.1895; freqA = 0.2646; freqC = 0.2062; freqG = 0.2602; freqT = 0.269; R(a) = 0.9399; R(b) = 3.4936; R(c) = 2.4954; R(d) = 0.5528; R(e) = 5.2698; R(f) = 1; Pinva = 0.4389; Shape = 0.7862). Posterior probability values exceeding 50% are given on appropriate clades.

Figure 2. The 10001st Bayesian likelihood tree inferred from 18S sequences under GTR+I+G model (lnL = 9697.1895; freqA = 0.2646; freqC = 0.2062; freqG = 0.2602; freqT = 0.269; R(a) = 0.9399; R(b) = 3.4936; R(c) = 2.4954; R(d) = 0.5528; R(e) = 5.2698; R(f) = 1; Pinva = 0.4389; Shape = 0.7862). Posterior probability values exceeding 50% are given on appropriate clades.
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The RAPD binary data matrix and resulting simple matching coefficient (SM) are presented in Table 2. Figure 4 shows the dendrogram indicating the relationships among all collections. Species of *Ditylenchus* separated into two clusters consistent with the phylogenetic results based on the ITS1 and 18S sequences. *D. destructor*, *D. africanus*, and *D. myceliophagus* comprised one cluster and *D. dipsaci* and *D. weischeri* the second cluster. All *D. destructor* populations were in one cluster with similarity of 74.2%, and all six populations of *D. dipsaci* in the other cluster with a higher degree of genetic similarity (87%).

**Conclusions**

All three molecular data supports morphological schemes for this genus to be divided into two groups: *D. triformis*-group and *D. dipsaci*-group, and that the genus is paraphyletic dividing along the group line by *Anguina* and *Subanguina*.

**Discussion**

The results of the study provide strong evidence for divide the genus into 2 groups, one for *D. triformis*-group and *D. dipsaci*-group, and genus is paraphyletic. Paraphyletic
|     | CH01 | CH02 | CH03 | CH04 | CH05 | CH06 | CA01 | CA02 | CA03 | CA04 | CA05 | CA06 | DA   | DW   | DM   |
|-----|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| CH01| 1.000|      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |
| CH02| 0.909| 1.000|      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |
| CH03| 0.909| 0.818| 1.000|      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |
| CH04| 0.681| 0.681| 0.681| 1.000|      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |
| CH05| 0.773| 0.773| 0.773| 0.909| 1.000|      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |
| CH06| 0.773| 0.773| 0.773| 0.909| 0.818| 1.000|      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |
| CA01| 0.727| 0.727| 0.727| 0.772| 0.773| 0.864| 1.000|      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |
| CU01| 0.773| 0.773| 0.773| 0.818| 0.818| 0.909| 0.955| 1.000|      |      |      |      |      |      |      |
| CA02| 0.409| 0.409| 0.409| 0.455| 0.455| 0.500| 0.455| 1.000|      |      |      |      |      |      |      |
| CU02| 0.682| 0.591| 0.682| 0.455| 0.455| 0.591| 0.545| 0.909| 1.000|      |      |      |      |      |      |
| CA03| 0.500| 0.500| 0.500| 0.545| 0.545| 0.591| 0.545| 0.909| 0.818| 1.000|      |      |      |      |      |
| CA04| 0.500| 0.500| 0.500| 0.545| 0.545| 0.591| 0.545| 0.909| 0.818| 1.000| 1.000|      |      |      |      |
| CA05| 0.500| 0.500| 0.500| 0.545| 0.545| 0.591| 0.545| 0.909| 0.818| 1.000| 1.000| 1.000|      |      |      |
| CA06| 0.500| 0.500| 0.500| 0.545| 0.545| 0.591| 0.545| 0.909| 0.818| 1.000| 1.000| 1.000| 1.000|      |      |
| DA  | 0.591| 0.591| 0.591| 0.727| 0.727| 0.636| 0.591| 0.636| 0.545| 0.636| 0.636| 0.636| 0.636| 0.636| 1.000|
| DW  | 0.591| 0.500| 0.591| 0.455| 0.455| 0.545| 0.545| 0.818| 0.727| 0.818| 0.818| 0.818| 0.818| 0.818| 0.545|
| DM  | 0.591| 0.591| 0.591| 0.727| 0.727| 0.727| 0.727| 0.636| 0.727| 0.636| 0.636| 0.636| 0.636| 0.636| 0.545|

Table 2. Similarity matrix (Simple Matching Coefficient) among all *Ditylenchus* species obtained with 21 primers and based on shared DNA fragments.
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and polyphyletic taxa are nothing new to biosystematics, even in nematoda several taxa have been found either paraphyletic or polyphyletic: such as *Hoplolaimus* is paraphyletic (Bae et al. 2008, Ma et al. 2011) and Aphelenchoididae polyphyletic (Kanzaki et al. 2009). It is debatable whether non-monophyletic taxa should be accepted. However as taxonomy advances from traditional to phylogenetic; however, more and more researchers would reject paraphyletic or polyphyletic taxa since they are inconsistent with evolution.

When the genus *Ditylenchus* was established by Filipjev (1936) by synonymizing *Tylenchus dipasci* to *D. dipsaci* it was placed in the family Tylenchidae (*Nematoda: Tylenchida*) as the sister genus to *Tylenchus*. Even today differences between species of the two genera are primarily morphometric, although now the genus is placed in the family of Anguinidae. There is some molecular evidence suggesting that one of the evolutionary paths of plant parasitism in nematodes is from algae-feeding nematodes *Tylenchus* to *Ditylenchus* (Holterman et al. 2009), which may be true for the obligate plant parasitic *Ditylenchus* species since the sharp-pointed tail tip is a feature in common for the two genera. Morphologically, the *D. triformis*-group is closely related with *Safianema*, and there is also molecular evidence (Giblin-Davis 2010) that they belong to one clade, that the species of *D. triformis*-group should be synonymized into *Safinema*, and there are also molecular evidences that *Safianema* and *D. triformis*-group are closely related to Neotylenchidae (suborder Hexatylina) than to Tylenchidae (suborder: Tylenchina) (Robin-Davis 2010), and a rounded tail tip (shared characteristic for both *D. triformis*-group and *Safinema*) and is a shared character in Hexatylina. To resolve the synonymization and the eventual high rank placement of the putatively synonymized *Safinema*, more studies are needed.

---

**Figure 4.** Un-weighted Pair Group Method with Arithmetic Mean (UPGMA) tree showing estimated average genetic distances among all *Ditylenchus* species based on simple matching coefficient obtained from RAPD analysis.
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