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**ABSTRACT:** A series of artificial triarylmethanols has been synthesized and studied toward the possibility of exhibiting an induced optical activity. The observed chiroptical response of these compounds resulted from the chiral conformation of a triarylmethyl core. The chirality induction from a permanent chirality element to the liable triarylmethyl core proceeds as a cooperative and cascade process. The OH···O(R) and/or (H)O···β,O···C hydrogen bond formation along with the C–H···π interactions seem to be the most important factors that control efficiency of the chirality induction. The position of chiral and methoxy electron-donating groups within a trityl skeleton affects the amplitude of observed Cotton effects and stability of the trityl carbocations. In the neutral environment, the most intense Cotton effects are observed for ortho-substituted derivatives, which undergo a rapid decomposition associated with the complete decay of ECD signals upon acidification. From all of the in situ generated stable carbocations, only two exhibit intense Cotton effects in the low energy region at around 450 nm. The formation of carbocations is reversible; after alkalization, the ions return to the original neutral forms. Unlike most triarylmethyl derivatives known so far, in the crystal, the triarylmethanol, para-substituted with the chiral moiety, shows a propensity for a solid-state sorting phenomenon.

**INTRODUCTION**

The exceptional structural features and a wide-scope of applications make triphenylmethane (TrH), and related compounds of the general Ar₃X formula, interesting and still intensively explored objects of study. Besides being able to protect a polar functional group and supramolecular synthons, the presence of trityl(s) in a given molecular system is utilized for the operation of some molecular devices. The trityl-containing amino acids are promising candidates for the lead structures in medicinal chemistry.† The tritylium ion, one of the first ever discovered, was recognized for ages as an isolated curiosity of chemistry.‡ Now, the trityl (tritylium) ions are employed as Lewis acid in synthesis, including catalytic stereoselective transformations.¶ While early attempts to employ asymmetric catalysis with the use of optically active carbocations provided unsatisfactory results in terms of enantioselectivity, recent studies have indicated an advantage of latent trityl cations in the catalytic reactions.**

Apart from applications in synthesis, the pioneering works of Mislow and Iwamura on the triaryl molecular propellers, exhibiting so-called residual stereochemistry, are considered one of the foundations of dynamic stereochemistry.†† In the parent TrH, the aryl rings adapt the twisted C₃-symmetrical conformation of either P or M helicity, dynamically trans-forming into one another; hence, neither trityl nor its ionized forms are optically active.‡‡ The induction of an optical activity revealed in the appearance of non-zero Cotton effects (CEs) in electronic circular dichroism (ECD) is achieved by linking chiral substituents to the central sp³-hybridized carbon atom. Adaptation of trityl to the structure of chiral secondary alcohols, through the bevel-gear mechanism, was demonstrated for the first time by Gawronski.†† Since then, a process of chirality transmission from the permanent chirality element to the stereodynamic trityl has been exploited by us and others for stereochemical assignments of alcohols, amines, sulfides, and selenides. For all systems studied so far, the final, observed effects have provided evidence for a dynamic induction of the optical activity, the detailed mechanism of the chirality transfer phenomenon is rather case sensitive.

In contrast to the trityl radicals, the chiroptical properties of tritylium cations have not been yet the subject of an in-depth study. This remains rather an unexpected conclusion.
when one takes into account the significance of tritylium (and related) cations in chemistry and, especially, the growing importance of these compounds in stereoselective synthesis and in material chemistry. Recently, Suzuki and co-workers have reported systems based on biphenyl-2,2′-dijl-type dicationic dyes as those exhibiting strong chiroptical signals. However, it can be supposed that the optical activity of dication was due to the hindered rotation around the aryl–aryl bond rather than to the specific helicity of the trityl fragments. Hence, this and related molecules cannot be regarded as systems dynamically adapting to the chiral environment.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

As has been mentioned above, the importance of trityls and trityliums in various aspects of chemistry is not related to the growing interest in their dynamic stereochemistry. In fact, this is somewhat understandable due to the complexity of the problems to be solved. Therefore, continuing our interest in the dynamic stereochemistry of chiral compounds, we have decided to expand our study on triarylmethyl systems that may exhibit an induced optical activity in the neutral and in the cationic form. The systems planned to study should constitute latent tritylium-based carbocations, easily transformed into respective ions. Such derivatives would not constitute parts of larger molecular or supramolecular systems, and the source of optical activity will originate from the single chiral substituent attached to the trityl core. This assumption excludes molecules studied previously, as they do not form carbocations or the generated trityliums did not show optical activity in the ECD.

The chiral substituent may stabilize the carbocation, and this effect can be additionally supported by the presence of other electron donating groups. In this study, we have focused on the chirality transfer phenomenon taking place in readily available triarylmethanol alkoxyethers 3–7 (Scheme 1). As mentioned before, these compounds are characterized by the presence of a chiral substituent in one of the aryl rings, in either an ortho, meta, or para position to the ipso carbon attached to the “hub” of the propeller. This structural differentiation was supposed to show the relationship between the position of the chiral substituent (being the chirality inducer) and the efficiency of the chirality transfer process. On the other hand, methoxy substituents may play a 2-fold role—to increase steric congestion and/or to stabilize the forming carbocation—the latter may be useful at the next stage of studies.

The synthetic routes to the 3–7 involved Mitsunobu reaction between racemic or optically active 1-phenylethanol and commercial hydroxysters 1a–1c, followed by the additions of respective Grignard reagents to ethers 2a–2c. For comparison purposes, we have synthesized triarylmethanol 7 from ether 2d and chiral benzyl alcohols 8a–8c. To study the effect of the optical purity of the sample on the possible association mode in the solid state, the selected racemic triarylmethanol rac-3a has been synthesized according to the above-mentioned procedure.

With the exception of 4a, the ^1H NMR spectra of triarylmethanols, measured in CDCl₃, showed sharp signals, which indicated a free rotation around C–C bonds. This fact might suggest that these molecules are capable of adapting a number of easily interconverting conformations differing in the chromophore helicity, thus, of mutually canceling contributions to the CD spectra. However, despite our bears, the ECD spectra of 3–6 exhibited CEs in the region of trityl absorption (230–185 nm, Table 1, see the examples in Figure 1). For 3a–3c, the first low energy CEs associated with ^1Lₜ electronic transitions appear at around 225 ± 6 nm, whereas the second intense ECD bands of B type are found at around 200 nm. The third CEs of variable intensity appeared at a high energy region. Both the sequence and intensity of the CEs are a function of the position of a chiral substituent within skeleton (vide infra). Introduction of additional chromophoric methoxy group made very weak long-wavelength CEs (between 260 and 275 nm) visible, whereas for most of the cases 4–6, the higher energy region of the spectra resemble the ECD spectra typical of chiral trityl-containing systems.

As one can deduct from the data juxtaposed in Table 1, the amplitudes of the most intense CEs, appearing around 200 nm, ranged from rather small, Δε = −7 ((R)-6b) to high ones, Δε = 80 (for (R)-3a and (S)-6a).

Thus, the dynamic induction of an optical activity is a function of the substitution pattern within the given triarylmethyl system. As expected, the ortho-substitution with the chiral group is reflected in the most intense CEs. Having neglected the differences resulting from the opposite absolute configuration at the stereogenic centers, the direct comparison showed a significant degree of similarity between shapes of the ECD spectra of 3a, 4a, 5a, 6a (see Figure S68 showing an overlay of the traces of the corresponding ECD spectra). In these particular cases, the effect of methoxy substituents or revealed in the magnitude of the respective CEs only, and the largest steric congestion (as in the case of 4a) was unrelated to the largest CE amplitudes. On the opposite pole, there are derivatives in which the chiral substituent occupies a para-position. In such cases, the effect of chiral environment on the dynamic structure of triarylmethyl chromophore, even supported by methoxy groups in ortho positions, could be considered rather weak. Note that the impacts of the chiral substituent in the para or meta positions on the dynamic induction of optical activity, estimated based on the CEs amplitude, were comparable.

Since some of these derivatives contain proton-donating and proton-accepting groups in the close proximity, one would expect the strong influence of the solvent polarity on the measured ECD spectra. However, the ECD spectra measured for model compounds 3a, 3b, and 7 in cyclohexane, acetonitrile, methanol, and acetonitrile containing up to 10% (v/v) of hexafluoro-2-propanol did not exhibit substantial
trityl group. For this purpose, the model compounds of the observed optical activity in triarylmethanols. First, we neglected for neutral species. Thus, we can conclude that the ECD spectra measured for their triarylmethanol counterparts. Thus, in the triarylmethanols 3–6, the intrinsic CD is overwhelmed by the CEs generated by the chiral structure of the trityl chromophore. The ultimate confirmation of the ongoing chirality transfer process was the ECD spectrum of 7. The compound 7 does not contain any additional aromatic chromophore; thus, the observed induced optical activity originated solely from the chiral structure of the triaryl methyl moiety.

Having confirmed the dominant role of the conformation of the triarylmethyl moiety in generating a dynamic optical activity, we will give a rationale on the possible chirality transmission mechanism in compounds of this type. This can be done by two ways: either experimentally by careful analysis of X-ray data (if available) or by using theoretical calculations at a suitable level of theory. The latter approach seems to be more versatile as it allows for the determination of the preferred structures but also for the calculation of the ECD spectra.

The comparison and, more importantly, compliance of the experimental and theoretical ECD spectra allows, among others, for the determination of conformational equilibria, albeit not directly. Since the experimental X-ray data are limited (vide infra) and the direct relationship between the structure in the solid state and structure in solution is sometimes questionable, at first we will focus on the results of the theoretical calculations. To avoid overloading the text with unnecessary details, which in turn obscure the problem, we would point out some generalities. The remaining theoretical results, less relevant to the discussion, available in the SI.

Table 1. UV (ε, in dm³·mol⁻¹·cm⁻¹) and ECD (Δε, in dm³·mol⁻¹·cm⁻¹) Data for 3–6 Measured in Acetonitrile and Acidified Acetonitrile Solution

| compd | acetonitrile | | | acidified acetonitrile | | |
|-------|-------------|-------|-------|------------------------|-------|
|       | ε × 10⁴ (nm) | Δε (nm) |       | ε × 10⁴ (nm) | Δε (nm) |
| 3a    | 10.5 (190)  | −9.8 (212.5), −80.0 (198), +92 (188) | n.a. | n.a. |
| 3b    | 9.9 (189)   | −2.7 (220.5), +65.6 (202), −6.4 (190) | n.a. | n.a. |
| 3c    | 10.0 (189)  | −3.2 (231.5), −8.8 (215), +26.0 (189) | n.a. | n.a. |
| 4a    | 0.6 (273), 10.2 (197), 10.3 (191) | −2.9 (282), +2.5 (268), +66.3 (200), −4.2 (190) | n.a. | n.a. |
| 4b    | 0.7 (274), 9.3 (198), 10.0 (189) | −1.9 (276), −2.5 (231), −13.1 (205), +13.9 (192) | 0.4 (520.5), 0.7 (404), 0.7 (271), 8.7 (201) | +2.9 (517), +2.2 (486), +1.5 (403), −2.9 (260), −1.2 (234), +1.5 (217), −8.4 (207), −6.3 (199), +2.8 (193) |
| 4c    | 0.7 (273), 10.2 (199), 10.4 (190) | −0.9 (254), +8.8 (217), −18.7 (193) | 2.6 (508.5), 1.4 (431), 1.1 (269), 12 (185) | −1.6 (263.5), −1.3 (256), +8.9 (219), −24.3 (189) |
| 5a    | 0.7 (281), 0.7 (274), 9.1 (200), 9.2 (191) | −2.0 (264), +2.2 (237), −58.1 (202.5), +71.5 (189) | n.a. | n.a. |
| 5b    | 0.6 (282), 0.7 (275), 8.9 (201), 9.3 (189) | −1.2 (272.5), −1.3 (235), +1.1 (218), −12.2 (207), +7.5 (191) | n.a. | n.a. |
| 6a    | 0.7 (282), 0.7 (275), 7.5 (201), 7.9 (189) | −0.9 (272), +3.3 (215), −12.0 (191.5), −12.3 (189) | 0.6 (580), 0.6 (479), 0.6 (274), 8.0 (202), 12 (185) | +2.4 (217), −7.7 (192), −6.9 (187) |
| 6b    | 0.7 (275), 3.1 (288), 10.8 (191) | +12.5 (226.5), +82.8 (201), −74.6 (190) | 4.3 (503.5), 0.8 (396.5), 1.2 (271), 12 (185) | −3.6 (501.5), −1.6 (402), +2.0 (355), +3.1 (270), −6.2 (222), +26.5 (199), −11.8 (188) |
| 6c    | 0.5 (283), 0.6 (276), 2.9 (227), 11 (189) | −1.1 (277), −1.3 (233), +1.8 (219), −6.7 (207), +1.8 (193) | 4.9 (503.5), 1.5 (405.5), 1.2 (270), 12 (185) | +11.3 (508), +8.0 (408), −2.8 (353), +1.6 (269), −2.0 (235), −4.0 (215), −10.6 (197), +7.5 (189) |
| 6c    | 0.5 (284), 0.5 (277), 3.0 (232), 8.5 (198), 9.5 (190.5) | −0.5 (271), +4.4 (216), +4.3 (204), −15.7 (194), −17.0 (192) | 8 (484), 1.8 (268), 12 (185) | +1.6 (478), +1.4 (449), +6.5 (218), −13.1 (190) |

The concentrations of analytes ranged from 1.0 to 2.0 × 10⁻⁴ mol L⁻¹. The spectra were recorded in pure acetonitrile or in acetonitrile containing up to 10 equiv of trifluoroacetic acid per 1 equiv of the alcohol (see the Experimental Section for details), from 600 to 185 nm, with a scan speed of 100 nm min⁻¹ and with 16 accumulations. The end of the measuring range.

Figure 1. ECD spectra of (R)-3a, (S)-3b, (S)-3c, and (R)-7 measured in acetonitrile (solid black lines) and calculated at the IEPFCM/TD-CAM-B3LYP/6-311+G(2d,2p) // IEPFCM/B3LYP/6-311+G(d,p) level (dashed blue lines). The calculated ECD spectra were Boltzmann-averaged based on ΔG values. Wavelengths were corrected to match the experimental UV maxima.

“...”

The naturally emerging question is the one about the origin of the observed optical activity in triarylmethanols. First, we had to verify whether the 1-phenylethanoxy moiety exhibits intrinsic Cotton effects in a similar region of absorption as the trityl group. For this purpose, the model compounds 8a–8c were synthesized. The ECD spectra measured for 8a–8c showed the CEs of lower amplitudes and blue-shifted in relation to the ECD spectra measured for their triarylmethanol counterparts. Thus, in the triarylmethanols 3–6, the intrinsic CD is overwhelmed by the CEs generated by the chiral structure of the trityl chromophore. The ultimate confirmation of the ongoing chirality transfer process was the ECD spectrum of 7. The compound 7 does not contain any additional aromatic chromophore; thus, the observed induced optical activity originated solely from the chiral structure of the triaryl methyl moiety.

Having confirmed the dominant role of the conformation of the triarylmethyl moiety in generating a dynamic optical activity, we will give a rationale on the possible chirality transmission mechanism in compounds of this type. This can be done by two ways: either experimentally by careful analysis of X-ray data (if available) or by using theoretical calculations at a suitable level of theory. The latter approach seems to be more versatile as it allows for the determination of the preferred structures but also for the calculation of the ECD spectra.

The comparison and, more importantly, compliance of the experimental and theoretical ECD spectra allows, among others, for the determination of conformational equilibria, albeit not directly. Since the experimental X-ray data are limited (vide infra) and the direct relationship between the structure in the solid state and structure in solution is sometimes questionable, at first we will focus on the results of the theoretical calculations. To avoid overloading the text with unnecessary details, which in turn obscure the problem, we would point out some generalities. The remaining theoretical results, less relevant to the discussion, available in the SI.
The theoretical calculations, performed at the IEFPCM/B3LYP/6-311++G(d,p) level, preceded by the systematic conformational search, allowed us to determine structures of thermally accessible conformers of compounds 3–7 and establish structure-forming factors. The number of conformers with relative Gibbs energy values (ΔΔG) ranging from 0 to 2 kcal mol⁻¹ vary in the number and position of the substituents. Triarylmethanols 3a, 6a, and 6c are characterized by the lowest number of stable conformers, 3, 4, and 4, respectively. Introducing methoxy groups in the meta positions results in a significant increase of the number of thermally accessible conformers, up to 29 for 5b. In general, the triarylmethanols having a chiral substituent on the meta position are characterized by the highest number of conformers in comparison to their ortho- and para-substituted counterparts (3b, 8; 4b, 28; 5b, 29; 6b, 10 conformers). It was well-established that one of the consequences of the structural diversity, manifested, inter alia, by a large number of conformers, is the deletion of mutual contributions to the overall CD spectra by the respective conformational stereoisomers. The measured chiroptical properties are linear combinations of the contribution of each species present in the sample to the total observed value. The parameter that needs to be taken into account as well is the population of a given species (conformer) in the equilibrium. Remaining in the field of ECD spectroscopy and limited the discussion to the compounds studied here, the actual contribution of individual conformational diastereoisomers to the overall spectrum is a function of the specific helicity of the chromophore, the generated rotator strengths and, last but not least, their population. Therefore, for meta-substituted triarylmethanols, the large number of conformers close in relative energy and characterized by opposite helicities of the chromophore resulted in deterioration of observed and calculated CEs compared to that observed in the case of compounds ortho-substituted by chiral moiety.

The substitution in para position limits the number of possible interactions between chiral fragment and remaining aryl rings in triarylmethanols 3c, 4c, 5c, and 6c. Both sides of the phenyl ring, para-substituted by the chiral moiety, are undistinguishable, which in turns reduces the number of possible conformers. Only in the cases of 3a and 6c does the population of the ΔΔG-based lowest energy conformers exceed 50% (see Table 2). For compounds characterized by much higher number of thermally available conformers, the populations of the lowest energy one does not exceed 20%. However, it is worth noting that for the specific case, the highest abundance of a given conformer does not necessarily translate into its greatest effect on the overall ECD spectrum (vide infra).

The conformation of each conformer can be determined by a set of torsional angles α (H−O−Cipso−Cipso), β1−β3 (O−Cipso−Cipso−Cipso), γ (Cipso−O−Cipso−Cipso) and ω (O−Cipso−Cipso−Cipso). The latter two torsion angles determine the conformation of the chiral substituent with regard to the aromatic ring to which it is attached. In the majority of cases, the proton of the aromatic ring, described by the γ angle, remains either (+)- or (−)-synclinal (sc). The electrostatic Cipso−H−O interactions between the proton from the ortho positions of an aromatic ring from the chiral moiety and the ether oxygen atom enforced the ω angle to adapt (+)- or (−)-sc conformation.

| compd a | pop. | helicity b |
|--------|------|------------|
| 3a (conf 58) | 58 | PPP |
| 3b (conf 28) | 28 | PPP |
| 3c (conf 24) | 28 | MMM |
| 4a (conf 18) | 32 | PPP |
| 4b (conf 34) | 17 | MMM |
| 4c (conf 1) | 20 | MMM |
| 5a (conf 12) | 32 | PPP |
| 5b (conf 26) | 15 | MMM |
| 5c (conf 44) | 17 | MMM |
| 6a (conf 13) | 43 | MMM |
| 6b (conf 17) | 20 | MMM |
| 6c (conf 49) | 78 | MMM |

aThe conformers are numbered according to their appearance during the conformational search. bHelicity was determined on the basis of the value of O−C−Cipso−Ciortho angles (of the two possibilities the absolute values ≥90° has been chosen).

From the point of view of the possibility of exhibiting the induced optical activity, the β1−β3 torsion angles which determine the helicity of the trityl chromophore, either M (−90° < β < 0°) or P (0° < β < 90°) are the most important ones. This particular structural feature may be further correlated to chiroptical properties, namely the sequence of the Cotton effect appearing in the spectral region of the triptyl UV absorption. Investigation of the data (Table 2, see the SI for the remaining data) led to conclusion that in the majority of cases, the trityl chromophore exhibits a tendency for adapting homohelical (quasi-symmetrical) conformation, either MMM or PPP. The exceptions to adapt heterohelical conformations (MMP or MPP) are scarce. However, even when considering the lowest energy conformers only, there is no direct relationship between preferred chromophore helicity and the absolute configuration at the stereogenic center of the chiral substituent.

As far as possible, the overall helical structure of the chromophore in the isolated molecules is determined by the OH···O(R) hydrogen bond (see example structures in Figure 2a and Figures S1–S15). In turn, the OH···O(R) hydrogen bond formation controls conformation of one of the aryl rings. The (H)O···HorthoC and C−H···π interactions stabilize the conformation of the second aryl ring, whereas the third one adjusts its conformation to the conformation of the remaining two that is stabilized by CH···π interactions. Thus, one would say that the structural information is transferred by the cascade process, which is, in general, similar to the recently proposed process of chirality transfer taking place in trityl-containing alcohols. In the cases where OH···O(R) hydrogen bonding is not present in the molecule, the (H)O···HorthoC interactions constitute the most important conformation-controlled factor. The presence or absence of an OH···O(R) hydrogen bond in a given conformer reflects in the values of the α angle. Since the general definition of the α angle is not so precise (there are three ispo and six ortho carbon atoms in the triarylmethane), we found the chiral substituent to be more important than the MeO group or the proton regardless of its position within the ring. Consistently, we have decided to prioritize the aromatic ring, substituted by the chiral moiety. Therefore, the α angle
always adopts the (+)- or (−)-sc conformation, with respect to the aromatic ring containing “chiral” hydrogen bond acceptor. However, in the case of competitive OH···OMe interactions with the oxygen atom from the methoxy group, the α angle is either (+)- or (−)-antiperiplanar (ap) or in the selected cases, (+)- or (−)-sc, depending on the spatial relation of OH group and aromatic rings with chiral and MeO substituents. Noticeably, in the particular case of 4a, the predominance in conformational equilibrium is shown by those conformers in which the OH···OMe hydrogen bonding controls the structure.

The O–H···O distances are rather short and range from 1.834 to 1.945 Å. On the other hand, in the case of no possibility to form the intramolecular hydrogen bond, the optimal conformation of the hydroxyl group is the one that minimizes steric interactions and at the same time allowing the (H)O···H$_{ortho}$C interactions. The examples of low-energy structures of triarylmethanols of conformations controlled by OH···O(R$^*$), OH···OMe, or steric interactions are shown in Figure 2.

A high compatibility between the experimental and theoretical ECD spectra (calculated at the IEFPCM/TD-CAM-B3LYP/6-311++G(2d,2p) level) confirmed that both structures and relative energies of conformers have been correctly estimated. The additional value of these studies is the correlation between the signs of the Cotton effect sequence and the helicity of triarylmethyl chromophore as well as determining the particular conformer which influences the overall ECD spectrum most. As we have mentioned above, the simple relationship—the higher abundance of a given conformer, the greater effect on the overall ECD spectrum—is not always fulfilled.

For example, such counterintuitive results have been obtained for the simplest case of 3a. As the computational analyses performed for 3a have revealed, there are only three thermally available conformers, nos. 1, 10, and 18 (shown in Figure 3a), and each of which is stabilized by the OH···O(R) hydrogen bond along with the (H)O···H$_{ortho}$C and C–H···π interactions. The lowest energy conformer no. 10 of alcohol 3a is the most abundant among all thermally accessible conformers (58% based on ΔΔG values). The PPP helicity of the chromophore corresponds to the rather unexpected negative, positive/negative, positive/negative (±/±/−) sequence of Cotton effects appearing in the spectral range between 230 and 300 nm.

**Figure 2.** Examples of the low energy structures of triarylmethanols calculated at the IEFPCM/B3LYP/6-311++G(d,p) level, having conformation controlled by (a) OH···O(R$^*$); (b) OH···OMe; and (c) steric and (H)O···H$_{ortho}$C interactions. Dashed lines indicate possible attractive interactions. Distances are in angstroms. The inset shows the values of the α (H–O–C$_{sp3}$–C$_{ipso}$) angles, which determine the conformation of OH group in relation to the aromatic ring having chiral substituent.

**Figure 3.** (a) Calculated at the IEFPCM/B3LYP/6-311++G(d,p) level low-energy conformers of 3a, stabilized by the OH···O(R) hydrogen bond and by the (H)O···H$_{ortho}$C and C–H···π interactions (indicated by dashed lines). Distances are in angstroms. (b) ECD spectra calculated for individual conformers of 3a at the IEFPCM/TD-CAM-B3LYP/6-311++G(2d,2p) level. Vertical bars represent calculated rotatory strengths. Wavelength were not corrected.
180 nm (see Figure 3b). The same spectral pattern is found for the PPP-helical conformer no. 18, characterized by the 12% of abundance. Although both conformers 0 and 18 strongly prevail in the equilibrium (in total 70%), it is conformer no. 1 that determines the shape of the overall CD spectrum. This particular conformer is characterized by the MMM helicity of the chromophore, which corresponds to the ±/± sequence of Cotton effects in the calculated ECD spectrum (see Figure 3b).

Conformer no. 1 of 3a is characterized by the highest values of calculated Cotton effects among all thermally accessible species, which overwhelm the CEs calculated for the more abundant conformer no. 10 and for conformer no. 18. After having averaged the calculated ECD spectra accordingly to the relative populations of respective conformers, the resultant ECD spectrum has reproduced well the experimental one.

The observed induction of an optical activity provides evidence for the concept of chirality induction within triarylmethyl derivatives. However, it is more interesting to demonstrate the induced optical activity in triarylmethyl cations generated from 3–6 by in situ treatment of the acetonitrile solution of the respective alcohol by trifluoroacetic acid (TFA). The ECD spectra were to have shown induced CEs in the long-wavelength region, between 600 and 350 nm. Unfortunately, in the case of the most promising derivatives 3a and 4a, our expectations collided with reality, as we observed the complete decay of the ECD signals after acidification. Although we observed a very fast reversible color change to red, even by increasing the scanning speed to 20000 nm min⁻¹, we were not able to record any ECD spectrum for an acidified sample of 3a and 4a. The subsequent study revealed that the carbocations generated from 3a and 4a rapidly underwent cleavage of the C–O ether bond, providing the respective ω-hydroxytriphenylmethane derivatives 9a and 9b and acetophenone, as indicated by 1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) measurements (see Figure 4a–c). Just after addition of anhydrous TFA to the test NMR tube, the OMe singlets, visible at around 3.5 ppm in 1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) spectrum of 4a (shown in Figure 4a), vanished. Instead, two sharp singlets originating from CH₃C=O and OCH₃ protons have appeared at 2.73 and 3.72 ppm, respectively. Additionally, the aromatic region of the NMR spectrum has changed, and three sets of multiplets, of the relative integration 2:1:2, have appeared at 7.51, 7.66, and 8.05 ppm, respectively (Figure 4b). The reaction involves formation of the tritylium cation, and then, after [1,5]-hydride shift, a hemiacetal is formed as a result of the nucleophilic attack of the water molecule on the positively charged benzyl carbon atom. Acidic hydrolysis of the hemiacetal led to formation of acetophenone and the respective ω-hydroxytriphenylmethane derivatives 9a and 9b.

Fortunately, the other substitution patterns make the formed species more stable. The possibility for generation and the stability of the given carbocation is not directly reflected in the intensity of Cotton effects in the ECD spectra. For example, in the case of the para-substituted carbocation generated from 6c, the most intense (within the compounds studied) long-wavelength UV band is observed at around 470 nm, while the ECD spectrum in this spectral region remains flat. In contrast, for all-meta-substituted 5b we did not observe the generation of carbocation under these conditions. Thus, the effective induction of an optical activity is the resultant of the substituents ability to stabilize carbocation and efficiency of chirality transmission from the permanent stereogenic center to the tritylium chromophore.

The most intense chiroptical responses within the whole series were observed for carbocations generated from 6a and 6b (denoted here as 6a' and 6b', respectively, see Table 1). In both species, the methoxy groups are placed in para positions, whereas the chiral substituent occupies either the ortho or meta position. In particular, the induced CEs observed for carbocation generated from 6b are exceptional. It should be emphasized that that acidification, except the generation of
carbocation, did not lead to other changes in the constitution of the molecule of 6a and 6b in the time-scale of standard $^1$H NMR measurements (see Figure 5). The appearance of signals (at 192.8 and 194.6 ppm, for 6a$^*$ and 6b$^*$, respectively) characteristic for trityliums, observed in the $^{13}$C{1H} NMR (101 MHz, CDCl$_3$) spectra originating from charged "central" carbon atom, has additionally confirmed formation of carbocations.

We emphasize that the formation of the carbocation is fully reversible. After adding DMSO-d$_6$ containing some water to the NMR test tube, the measured $^1$H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$) spectra remain in full accordance with that measured previously for neutral 6a and 6b. Moreover, the ECD spectra measured for 6b, in alterately acidified and alkalized acetonitrile solution, confirm almost perfect reversibility of the process, up to three cycles (see the SI). Subsequent ECD measurements have shown that the shape of the spectrum of 6b$^*$ did not change within 2 weeks of in situ generating the carbocation in solution.

The results of measurements in other freshly distilled and anhydrous solvents (dichloromethane, dimethylformamide, tetrahydrofuran, and DMSO) and with the use of TFA as acidifying agent, have indicated some solvent effects during acid treatment, the chirality transfer in tritylium ions. Unfortunately, the attempts made for isolation of 6a$^*$ and 6b$^*$ in analytically pure form have been unsuccessful so far. The DFT calculations (IEFPCM/TD-CAM-B3LYP/6-311+G(2d,2p)/IEFPCM/B3LYP/6-311+G(d,p) level) allowed to shed light on the origin of the observed CEs for the most interesting example carbocation 6b$^*$.

First, despite the large diversity of thermally accessible structures, one of the conformers found (no. 40) is dominant (the most abundant). Second, as expected, this particular conformer has also a dominant contribution to the overall UV and ECD spectra. Thus, it seems to be justified to describe the chiroptical properties of 6b$^*$ based on this particular structure. Third, the tendency to flatten the carbocation structure does not disturb the overall propeller structure. In other words, while the central and the ipso carbon atoms lie in one plane, the aromatic rings, as a whole, are twisted relatively to each other. In the case of the lowest energy conformer of 6b$^*$, which is discussed here, the twist angles $\omega = C_{ipso}−C′−C_{ipso}−C_{ortho}$ are negative and ranged from $-40$ to $-34^\circ$. Fourth, sterical interactions between the aromatic rings of the chiral substituent and one of the phenyl ring from the triarylmethyl core induce propensity to the conformational diastereoisomerism. Fifth, the lowest energy UV absorption band, appearing at around 500 nm, is due to the HOMO–LUMO and HOMO(−1)–LUMO electronic transitions that involve orbitals from triarylmethyl core (see Figure 6).

To establish the counteranion effect during acid treatment, we have used acidifying agents other than TFA, namely acetic acid, methanesulfonic acid, HBF$_4$, and both enantiomers of camphorsulfonic acid. With the exception of acetic acid, which has turned out inefficient, the use of other acids, including the chiral ones, did not change the shape of the measured spectra of generated carbocations (see Figures S63 and S64). Therefore, even the use of both enantiomeric forms of chiral acids did not affect the chirality transfer in triylium ions.

Figure 5. Traces of $^1$H NMR (400 MHz) spectra of (a) 6a, measured in CDCl$_3$; (b) 6a, measured in CDCl$_3$ containing 1 equiv of anhydrous TFA; (c) 6a, measured in CDCl$_3$ containing 2 equiv of anhydrous TFA; (d) 6b, measured in CDCl$_3$; (e) 6b, measured in CDCl$_3$ containing 2 equiv of anhydrous TFA. Asterisks indicate trace solvent peaks.

Figure 6. (a) UV (upper panel) and ECD (lower panel) spectra of 6b$^*$ measured in the acidified acetonitrile (solid black lines) and calculated at the IEFPCM/TD-CAM-B3LYP/6-311+G(2d,2p) level (blue lines). The calculated ECD spectra were Boltzmann-averaged based on $\Delta\Delta$G values. The wavelengths were corrected to match experimental UV maxima. Insets shows the UV and ECD spectra calculated for the lowest energy conformer of 6b$^*$. The vertical bars represent oscillatory or rotatory strengths, respectively. (b) Molecular orbitals involved in the low energy electronic transitions in the lowest energy conformer no. 40 of 6b$^*$.
involve the \( \pi \) orbitals of the chiral substituent and the LUMO orbital. The higher energy spectral region is again dominated by the transitions that involve triarylmethyl core orbitals.

At the last stage of this study, we have referred again to the calculation results. By comparing the respective neutral and charged structures, we wanted to show the factors that determine the efficiency of the chirality transfer and thus the induction of optical activity in carbocations. The overlays of the lowest energy conformers of 6a (conf no. 13) and 6a\(^+\) (conf no. 29), \( 6b \) (conf no. 17) and \( 6b^+ \) (conf no. 40), respectively, have shown changes, or lack thereof, in the structure upon the ionization (Figure 7).

![Figure 7](https://dx.doi.org/10.1021/acs.joc.0c02289)

**Figure 7.** Overlays of the lowest energy conformers of (a) \( 6a \) (conf no. 13, blue) and \( 6a^+ \) (conf no. 29, red); (b) \( 6b \) (conf no. 17, green) and \( 6b^+ \) (conf no. 40, deep yellow), calculated at the IEFPCM/B3LYP/6-311+G(d,p) level. The oxygen atoms are shown as balls, hydrogen atoms have been omitted for clarity.

In the case of the lowest energy conformers of neutral and positively charged \( 6a \), ionization did not cause drastic changes in the structure. The conformation of the lowest energy conformer no. 13 of \( 6a \) is controlled by the \( \text{OH} - \text{O}(R^*) \) hydrogen bond. Upon ionization, the conformation of the lowest energy conformer no. 29 of \( 6a^+ \) retained more or less the same as in its neutral counterpart. In the absence of the hydrogen bond, the structure of conformer no. 29 of \( 6a^+ \) is controlled by the electrostatic interactions (\( R^* \)\text{O} - \text{C}^+) and by steric repulsions between the protons in \textit{ortho} positions of phenyl rings forming tritylum ion as well as between methyl group of chiral substituent and one of the phenyl ring from the propeller. As a consequence, the chirality transfer in such neutral and charged species proceeds in a similar cascade manner as described above.

Even at first glance, the ionization of \( 6b \) triggered significant changes in the structure of the cation. The conformation of the lowest energy conformer no. 17 of \( 6b \) is determined by the set of the (H)\text{O} - \text{H}_{\text{para}} \text{C} and C-\text{H} - \pi interactions, responsible for the chirality transfer from permanent stereogenic center to the propeller. In the lowest energy conformer no. 40 of \( 6b^+ \) these interactions lost their importance or were not present at all. As mentioned above, the propeller structure was flattened upon ionization; however, due to the inevitable steric repulsions between the protons in \textit{ortho} positions, the phenyl rings are forced to be twisted relatively to each other. The sense of the propeller twist is determined by the interactions between the aromatic rings, one from the chiral substituent and one from the triarylmethyl core.

Although most of the derivatives studied here did not form crystals suitable for X-ray diffraction measurements, there are some exceptions, though. Slow evaporation of the solvents allowed to obtain crystals of (\( R \))-3a, (\( S \))-4a, (\( R \))-4c, and (\( S \))-6a (details on the crystallization of individual compounds can be found in the Supporting Information). Recently, it was found that some racemic trityl-containing compounds are prone to crystallize as solid solutions of enantiomers.\(^{26,32}\) The trityl substituent can act as a supramolecular protecting group for the stereogenic center in triphenylacetyl acid derivatives, and the solid solution of enantiomers can be formed. The phenomenon of formation of solid solutions of enantiomers in organic crystals is not frequent; according to the literature data, it concerns less than 1% of racemic crystals.\(^{33}\) To check the possibility to form solid solution, we have examined \( \text{rac}-3a \) as a representative example.

In accordance to the theoretical results, the predilection to the \( \text{OH} - \text{O}(R) \) hydrogen bond formation is also seen in these crystal structures. With the exception of \( 4c \), conformation of the molecule is additionally stabilized by intramolecular \textit{edge-to-face} interactions between one aryl ring from the triarylmethane and the substituent’s phenyl ring. The dihedral angle value between suitable aryl rings is in the range of 56.69° (for (\( S \))-6a) and 87.51° (for (\( R \))-3a) and the distance of the hydrogen atom from the plane designated via C atoms is in the range of 2.69 Å (for \( \text{rac}-3a \)) and 2.87 Å (for (\( S \))-4a) (see Figure 8a). There are no strong intermolecular O-H-O hydrogen bonds in the structures of studied crystal. The van der Waals and electrostatic interactions along with directional interactions, such as weak C-\text{H} - \pi and C-\text{H} - \pi intermolecular interactions, predominate in the solid state (Figure 8b and Figure S136).

![Figure 8](https://dx.doi.org/10.1021/acs.joc.0c02289)

**Figure 8.** (a) Intramolecular \textit{edge-to-face} interaction in molecular structure of compound 3a; the C-\text{H} - \pi interactions and intramolecular O-H-O hydrogen bond are indicated as dashed lines. The distance is in angstroms. (b) C-\text{H} - \pi interactions found in the crystal of 4a. (c) Overlay of X-ray diffraction determined solid-state structures of the conformational diastereoisomers (\( \text{PPP} \)-A) (green) and (\( \text{PPP} \)-B) (blue) of 4c. (d) Folded, alternating layers of A and B molecules found in the crystal of 4c.
crystals, which means that the enantiodiscrimination in crystal structure is not disturbed. Moreover, the molecular geometry observed in crystals of (R)-3a is preserved, which confirms that the intramolecular O−H⋯O hydrogen bond and edge-to-face interaction are essential for maintaining molecular conformation.

In the exceptional case of triarylmethanol 4c, two independent molecules denoted as A and B have been found in the asymmetric unit (Figure 8c). In the crystal, the conformational diastereoisomers (PPP)-A and (POP)-B form separate layers, so in this particular case, one can say about a solid-state sorting phenomenon. Such sorting may be found in crystal structures, where the Z’ number is multiplied. In that case, however, we expected pseudosymmetry between the molecules to occur, which is not observed here. The surface of these layers is folded, which allows them to interpenetrate and the whole supramolecular structure is stabilized by the sets of C−H⋯π interactions (Figure 8d).

**CONCLUSIONS**

In conclusion, we have designed and proven the possibility of the dynamic induction of the optical activity in readily available latent trityl-based carboxations. These compounds are smoothly converted into corresponding cations through acidification. However, the position of the chiral substituent is crucial for the stability of the ion. The ortho-substituted chiral alkoxy group triarylmethanols are prone to rapid decomposition in the acidic environment.

The process of chirality transmission is demonstrated by the rise in the CEs originating mainly from the twisted form of the triarylmethyl core. The observed chirogenesis is primarily a function of the position of the chiral substituent within the triarylmethyl core. For neutral species, the ortho-substitution affects the structure and the ECD spectra most. As it was mentioned above, ionization may cause the cleavage of C−O(R*) bond between the chiral substituent and the phenyl ring. This is hampered by the introduction of an additional electron donating methoxy groups in para position of remaining aromatic rings of the chromophore.

In the cations, the combination of chiral group in meta and methoxy groups in para positions of the aryl rings forming chromophore led to the most intense chiroptical response within the whole series. The para- and the meta-substitution turned out inefficient in generation of optical activity in carboxations, within the compounds studied here.

Although the initial attempts to the use of similar compounds in asymmetric synthesis were unsuccessful,34 we further study that is in progress in our laboratory that we believe will lead to chiral structures stable enough for efficient application as chiral Lewis acids.

**EXPERIMENTAL SECTION**

**General Information.** 1H and 13C{1H} NMR spectra were recorded on Bruker Ultrashield 300 MHz or Varian VNMRS-400 MHz instruments. Chemical shifts (δ) are reported in ppm relative to SiMe₄ or trace solvent signals. HR-MS spectra were obtained with the use of a Bruker Impact HD, QTOF MS spectrometer. The ECD and UV spectra were measured using a JASCO J-810 spectropolarimeter at room temperature in acetonitrile and acidified acetonitrile solutions and with the use of a quartz cell of optical lengths 0.1 cm. The concentration of analytes ranged from 1.0 to 2.0×10⁻⁴ mol L⁻¹. Background spectra of the pure solvents were recorded from 600 to 185 nm with the scan speed of 100 nm min⁻¹. The ECD spectra of analytes were measured with 16 accumulations. FT-IR spectra were measured on a Nicolet iS 50 spectrometer using ATR module. A JASCO P-2000 polarimeter was used for specific optical rotation ([α]_D) measurements (carried out at ca. 20 °C).

Column chromatography was performed on J.T. Baker silica gel 40 μm (chromatography grade). Merck Kieselgel type 60F₂₅₄ analytical plates were used for TLC analyses. Melting points were measured on Büchi Allertemp Point B-545 and uncorrected. All reagents were used as purchased from commercial suppliers. All solvents were provided by a local supplier and were purified by conventional methods prior to use. The starting esters 1a-1c are commercial and have been purchased from Sigma-Aldrich.

**General Procedure for Synthesis of Chiral Hydroxy-Substituted Salicylic Esters Using m-Salicylic Ester As an Example.** Under an argon atmosphere, ethyl 3-hydroxybenzoate (1 g, 6.02 mmol, 1 equiv), triphenylphosphine (2.15 g, 8.2 mmol, 1.36 equiv), and (R)-1-phenylethanol (1 g, 8.2 mmol, 1.36 equiv) were dissolved in 50 mL of freshly distilled THF. The reaction mixture was stirred for 5 min and then placed in an ice bath and cooled to 0 °C. Then DIAD (1.6 mL, 8.2 mmol, 1.36 equiv) was added dropwise over 30 min. After 15 min, the ice bath was removed and the mixture was stirred overnight at room temperature. Then THF was removed under reduced pressure, and the residue was dissolved in a mixture of diethyl ether and hexane. After a few minutes, white precipitate was formed, which was removed by filtration. The filtrate was evaporated to dryness and the crude product was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (eluent: hexane).

**Methyl (R)- or (S)-2-(1-phenylethoxy)benzoate (2a). rac-2a:** isolated yield, 1.5 g, 61%, colorless oil (eluent: hexane). (R)-2a: isolated yield, 0.87 g, 69%, colorless oil (eluent: hexane). (S)-2a: isolated yield, 0.92 g, 73%, colorless oil (eluent: hexane). 1H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.75 (d, J = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 7.67−7.16 (m, 6H), 7.16−6.66 (m, 2H), 5.38 (q, J = 12.7, 6.3 Hz, 1H), 3.91 (s, 3H), 1.67 (d, J = 6.4 Hz, 3H).

**B. (S)-3-(1-Phenylethoxy)benzoate (2b). (S)-2b:** isolated yield, 1.4 g, 78%, colorless oil (eluent: hexane). (R)-2b: isolated yield, 2.6 g, 82%, colorless oil (eluent: hexane). 1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.62−7.48 (m, 2H), 7.41−7.19 (m, 6H), 7.07−6.96 (m, 1H), 5.36 (q, J = 6.4 Hz, 1H), 4.32 (q, J = 7.1 Hz, 2H), 1.65 (d, J = 6.4 Hz, 3H), 1.36 (t, J = 7.1 Hz, 3H).

**E. (R)- or (S)-3-(1-Phenylethoxy)benzoate (2c). (R)-2c:** isolated yield, 1.8 g, 56%, colorless oil (eluent: hexane). (S)-2c: isolated yield, 1.5 g, 84%, colorless oil (eluent: hexane). 1H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.90 (d, J = 8.9 Hz, 2H), 7.44−7.14 (m, 5H), 6.86 (d, J = 8.9 Hz, 2H), 5.38 (q, J = 6.4 Hz, 1H), 4.30 (q, J = 7.1 Hz, 2H), 1.66 (d, J = 6.4 Hz, 3H), 1.34 (t, J = 7.1 Hz, 3H), 1.26 (d, J = 6.4 Hz, 3H), 1.34 (t, J = 7.1 Hz, 3H).

**H. 1-Ethyl-2-(octan-2-yloxy)benzene (3d).** Isolated yield, 0.48 g, MeCN). 1H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.14 (m, 5H), 6.86 (d, J = 6.7 Hz, 3H).
General Procedure for Synthesis of Triarylmethanol Derivatives Using 6a as an Example. Under an argon atmosphere, magnesium turnings (0.135 g, 5.56 mmol, 2.85 equiv) were added to a 50 mL two-neck round-bottomed flask, equipped with a reflux condenser and containing 25 mL of a freshly distilled THF. To the mixture 4-bromoanisole (1.04 g, 5.56 mmol, 2.85 equiv) was added dropwise via syringe in a few portions. After the addition of 4-bromoanisole, the reaction mixture was placed in an ultrasonic bath and sonicated until the reaction was started. Then the ultrasonic bath was replaced with a heating mantle and a magnetic stirrer. The remaining amount of aryl bromide was added for over 15 min and refluxed until all the magnesium dissolved. The reaction was cooled to the room temperature, and (S)-2-(1-phenylethoxy)benzoate (2a, 0.5 g, 1.95 mmol, 1 equiv) was added dropwise to a vigorously stirred solution. After 30 min, the reaction was heated up and refluxed overnight. After cooling to the room temperature, a saturated solution of ammonium chloride was added to the reaction mixture and the whole suspension was stirred for 15 min. The organic layer was separated, and an aqueous phase was extracted with diethyl ether (3 × 10 mL). The combined organic layers were washed with brine and dried over anhydrous Na2SO4. The drying agent was removed by filtration, the filtrate was evaporated to dryness, and the crude product was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (eluent: hexane/AcOEt, 9:1). Resulting white solid was crystallized from the mixture of toluene and hexane.

rac- or (R)-Diphenyl(2-(1-phenylethoxy)phenyl)methanol (3a).

rac-3a: isolated yield, 0.37 g, 61% (eluent: hexane/AcOEt, 9:1), colorless crystals. (R)-3a: isolated yield, 0.73 g, 49% (eluent: hexane/AcOEt, 9:1), colorless crystals. 1H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl3) δ 7.44–7.04 ppm, 13C{1H} NMR (75 MHz, CDCl3) δ 157.2, 157.1, 154.5, 149.3, 143.8, 138.8, 129.4, 128.1, 127.7, 127.4, 127.1, 126.1, 125.1, 120.4, 115.0, 81.4, 75.3, 69.5 ppm. 13C{1H} NMR (101 MHz, CDCl3) δ 155.5, 146.6, 146.3, 142.1, 135.3, 130.0, 128.6, 128.6, 127.8, 127.7, 127.6, 127.0, 126.9, 125.2, 120.0, 113.5, 82.0, 76.6, 23.9 ppm. ATR-IR 3551, 3060, 3025, 2977, 2835, 1597, 1568, 1437, 1359, 1294, 1228, 1123, 1060, 1055, 1007, 996, 930, 887, 760, 746, 695 ppm. ESI HRMS m/z calc for C27H24O2Na [M + Na]+: 403.1669, found 403.1665. [α]D = +5.4 (c 0.5, MeCN). Mp 55–55.5 °C.

(R)-Diphenyl(3-(1-phenylethoxy)phenyl)methanol (3b).

Isolated yield, 0.12 g, 12% (eluent: hexane/AcOEt, 9:1), white crystals. 1H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl3) δ 7.33–7.08 ppm, 17H), 5.53 (s, 1H), 5.15 (s, 1H), 3.47 (d, J = 17.3 Hz, 6H), 1.26 (s, 3H). 13C{1H} NMR (101 MHz, CDCl3) δ 157.7, 143.1, 133.2, 130.7, 129.9, 129.7, 128.3, 127.6, 127.1, 125.3, 120.4, 119.9, 119.3, 119.3, 112.6, 80.3, 75.3, 55.6, 55.6, 24.5 ppm. ATR-IR 3494, 3060, 3026, 2926, 2853, 1596, 1582, 1485, 1449, 1434, 1372, 1284, 1233, 1025, 908, 748 699 cm−1. ESI HRMS m/z calc for C30H24Na[+Na]+: 436.1880, found 436.1893. [α]D = +52.4 (c 0.5, MeCN). Mp 144–146 °C.

(R)-2-methoxyphenyl(3-(1-phenylethoxy)phenyl)methanol (4b).

Isolated yield, 0.19 g, 22%, colorless oil, slowly solidifying after a few weeks, eluent: hexane/AcOEt, 9:1. 1H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl3) δ 7.37–7.13 ppm, 15, 9H), 5.35–5.04 (m, J = 13.6, 7.2 Hz, 2H), 3.44 (d, J = 6.2 Hz, 6H). 13C{1H} NMR (75 MHz, CDCl3) δ 157.5, 157.4, 157.1, 148.1, 143.3, 134.2, 133.9, 129.6, 128.4, 125.8, 120.3, 114.7, 112.4, 81.2, 75.6, 55.4, 24.3 ppm. ATR-IR 3511, 3061, 2976, 2931, 2835, 1736, 1597, 1581, 1484, 1455, 1434, 1371, 1284, 1231, 1045, 751, 699, 638 cm−1. ESI HRMS m/z calc for C29H23O2Na+ [M + Na]+: 436.1880, found 436.1871. [α]D = +5.4 (c 0.5, MeCN). Mp 55–55.5 °C.
1031, 935, 831, 813, 755, 704, 606 cm⁻¹. ESI HRMS m/z calcld for C₅₇H₉₅O₂Na [M + Na⁺]: 643.1880, found 463.1888. [α]D = +59.5 (c 0.43, MeCN). Mp 171–172 °C.

(R)-(4-methoxyphenyl)(3-(1-phenylethoxy)phenyl)methanol (6b). Isolated yield, 0.31 g, 36%, colorless oil, eluent: hexane/AcOEt, 9:1. 1H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.44–7.19 (m, 6H), 7.20 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 1H), 6.79 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 1H), 6.71 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 1H), 5.87 (q, J = 6.4 Hz, 1H), 4.77 (q, 2H), 2.42 (s, 1H), 1.67 (d, J = 6.4 Hz, 3H). 13C{1H} NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 158.8, 152.8, 148.7, 143.5, 130.1, 128.6, 127.4, 127.3, 125.8, 119.1, 114.9, 114.3, 75.8, 65.1, 24.4. 1H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.46–7.07 (m, 6H), 7.00–6.81 (m, 2H), 6.77 (dd, J = 8.2, 2.3 Hz, 1H), 5.33 (q, J = 6.4 Hz, 1H), 4.59 (s, 2H), 1.63 (d, 4H). ESI HRMS m/z calcld for C₅₉H₆₅O₂Na [M + Na⁺]: 251.1043, found 251.1044. [α]D = +4.3 (c 0.64, MeCN).

(R)-(3-(1-phenylethoxy)phenyl)methanol (6d). Isolated yield, 0.18 g, 79%, colorless oil, eluent: dichloromethane. 1H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.47–7.02 (m, 7H), 6.84 (d, J = 6.8 Hz, 2H), 5.30 (q, J = 6.4 Hz, 1H), 4.55 (s, 2H), 1.65 (d, J = 6.4 Hz, 3H), 1.54 (s, 1H). 13C{1H} NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 157.5, 143.0, 133.0, 128.6, 128.5, 127.4, 125.5, 115.9, 75.9, 65.0, 24.4. ATR-IR 3319, 3061, 3030, 2977, 2927, 2867, 1583, 1486, 1446, 1372, 1256, 1151, 1076, 998, 952, 879, 760, 747, 696 cm⁻¹. ESI HRMS m/z calcld for C₂₈H₂₉O₂Na [M + Na⁺]: 301.1043, found 301.1040. [α]D = +15.1 (c 0.47, MeCN).

(R)-(4-(1-phenylethoxy)phenyl)methanol (6e). Isolated yield, 0.17 g, 75%, colorless oil, eluent: dichloromethane. 1H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.47–7.02 (m, 7H), 6.84 (d, J = 6.8 Hz, 2H), 5.30 (q, J = 6.4 Hz, 1H), 4.55 (s, 2H), 1.65 (d, J = 6.4 Hz, 3H), 1.54 (s, 1H). 13C{1H} NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 157.5, 143.0, 133.0, 128.6, 128.5, 127.4, 125.5, 115.9, 75.9, 65.0, 24.4. ATR-IR 3319, 3061, 3030, 2977, 2927, 2869, 1610, 1585, 1504, 1449, 1297, 1234, 1176, 1074, 819, 790, 759, 698 cm⁻¹. ESI HRMS m/z calcld for C₃₀H₃₀O₂Na [M + Na⁺]: 301.1043, found 301.1040. [α]D = −16.1 (c 0.47, MeCN).

General Procedure for the Synthesis of Derivatives 9. In a 25 mL round-bottomed flask, 0.25 mmol of the respective triarylmethanol derivative was dissolved in 5 mL of CDCl₃. To the flask, trifluoroacetic acid (19 µL, 1 mmol, 4 equiv) was added using an automatic pipet. The initial, intense color of the resulting mixture disappeared within seconds. The subsequent thin layer chromatography of reaction mixture revealed total conversion of the substrate. The solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure and the crude product was purified using column chromatography on silica gel (eluent: hexane/AcOEt, 9:1).

2-(Diphenylmethyl)phenylmethanol (9a). Isolated yield, 45 mg, 70% (eluent: hexane/AcOEt, 9:1), white solid. 1H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.44–7.19 (m, 6H), 7.15 (dd, J = 9.9, 4.5 Hz, 5H), 6.94–6.68 (m, 3H), 5.74 (s, 1H), 4.65 (s, 1H). 13C{1H} NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 153.3, 142.5, 130.4, 129.3, 128.5, 127.9, 126.7, 120.7, 116.0, 107.5, 50.7. ATR-IR 3573, 3060, 3022, 2932, 2851, 1595, 1489, 1446, 1325, 1266, 1173, 1086, 1042, 924, 921, 877, 814, 747, 725, 697 cm⁻¹. ESI HRMS m/z calcld for C₂₀H₂₁O[Na + Na⁺]: 283.1093, found 283.1093. Mp 121–124 °C.

2-(Bis(methylthiomethyl)phenyl)methanol (9b). Isolated yield, 63 mg, 79% (eluent: hexane/AcOEt, 9:5), white solid. 1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.24 (dd, J = 8.4, 6.7, 2.4 Hz, 2H), 7.15–7.07 (m, 7H), 6.92–6.84 (m, 6H), 6.84–6.76 (m, 2H), 6.73 (dd, J = 7.6, 1.8 Hz, 6H), 6.26 (s, 1H), 4.90 (s, 1H), 3.71 (s, 6H). 13C{1H} NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 157.2, 153.5, 130.4, 129.8, 129.4, 127.8, 127.4, 124.0, 120.3, 115.8, 110.9, 55.7, 37.2. ATR-IR 3524, 3011, 2931, 2836, 1583, 1487, 1452, 1435, 1328, 1287, 1236, 1182, 1165, 1041, 1049, 926, 898, 877, 814, 775, 747, 697 cm⁻¹. ESI HRMS m/z calcld for C₂₃H₂₃O[Na + Na⁺]: 343.1305, found 343.1303. Mp 155–156 °C.

General Procedure for in Situ Generation of Carboxations from Triarylmethanol Derivatives. In a 10 mL volumetric flask, 1 mg of triarylmethanol derivative was dissolved in 10 mL of dry MeCN. To the flask was added 20 µL of 0.1 M solution of trifluoroacetic acid (TFA) in MeCN containing 10% mol of trifluoroacetic anhydride (in relation to TFA) as an additive. The ECD spectra were measured directly after an addition of each portion of acid. The addition of acid was continued until there was no observable change in the ECD spectra or the analyzed compound decomposed.

The NMR spectra of in situ generated carboxations 6a* and 6b* were measured in dry CDCl₃ and with the use of TFA as acidifying agent. After dissolving respective triarylmethanol 6a or 6b in dry CDCl₃ and under an inert atmosphere, two equivalents of TFA were added in one portion. The NMR spectra were measured directly after the addition of the acid.
NMR Data for in Situ Generated Carbocations 6a+ and 6b+.

6a+:

1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl3) δ 7.74–7.68 (dd, 1H), 7.66 (d, J = 9.1 Hz, 2H), 7.57 (d, J = 8.6 Hz, 2H), 7.29–7.21 (m, 1H), 7.19–7.10 (m, 3H), 7.08–7.03 (m, 2H), 6.98–6.92 (m, 2H), 5.22 (q, J = 6.4 Hz, 1H), 4.10 (d, J = 20.7 Hz, 6H), 1.21 (d, J = 6.4 Hz, 3H). 13C{1H} NMR (101 MHz, CDCl3) δ 192.8, 172.1, 159.7, 143.3, 140.8, 139.2, 138.9, 128.9, 128.1, 125.3, 121.2, 114.8, 78.0, 65.7, 57.1, 24.5.

6b+:

1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl3) δ 7.59–7.47 (m, 4H), 7.33 (m, J = 5.5, 4.3, 1.5 Hz, SH), 7.29–7.21 (m, 4H), 7.08 (s, 2H), 6.96 (dt, J = 7.0, 1.7 Hz, 1H), 6.64 (d, J = 2.2 Hz, 1H), 5.29 (q, J = 6.4 Hz, 1H), 4.11 (s, 6H), 1.66 (d, J = 6.4 Hz, 3H). 13C{1H} NMR (101 MHz, CDCl3) δ 194.6, 171.9, 158.2, 144.0, 141.9, 139.8, 131.2, 130.3, 128.9, 128.5, 127.9, 126.3, 125.3, 123.6, 116.5, 76.8, 57.1, 24.5.
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