Xpert MTB/RIF Assay Shows Faster Clearance of Mycobacterium tuberculosis DNA with Higher Levels of Rifapentine Exposure
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The Xpert MTB/RIF assay is both sensitive and specific as a diagnostic test. Xpert also reports quantitative output in cycle threshold ($C_T$) values, which may provide a dynamic measure of sputum bacillary burden when used longitudinally. We evaluated the relationship between Xpert $C_T$ trajectory and drug exposure during tuberculosis (TB) treatment to assess the potential utility of Xpert $C_T$ for treatment monitoring. We obtained serial sputum samples from patients with smear-positive pulmonary TB who were consecutively enrolled at 10 international clinical trial sites participating in study 29X, a CDC-sponsored Tuberculosis Trials Consortium study evaluating the tolerability, safety, and antimicrobial activity of rifapentine at daily doses of up to 20 mg/kg of body weight. Xpert was performed at weeks 0, 2, 4, 6, 8, and 12. Longitudinal $C_T$ data were modeled using a nonlinear mixed effects model in relation to rifapentine exposure (area under the concentration-time curve [AUC]). The rate of change of $C_T$ was higher in subjects receiving rifapentine than in subjects receiving standard-dose rifampin. Moreover, rifapentine exposure, but not assigned dose, was significantly associated with rate of change in $C_T$ ($P = 0.02$). The estimated increase in $C_T$ slope for every additional 100 mg h/ml of rifapentine drug exposure (as measured by AUC) was 0.11 $C_T$/week (95% confidence interval [CI], 0.05 to 0.17). Increasing rifapentine exposure is associated with a higher rate of change of Xpert $C_T$, indicating faster clearance of Mycobacterium tuberculosis DNA. These data suggest that the quantitative outputs of the Xpert MTB/RIF assay may be useful as a dynamic measure of TB treatment response.

The best available intermediate markers of tuberculosis (TB) treatment response for individual patient monitoring and for TB drug development are currently sputum smear microscopy and sputum culture conversion. Sputum culture at intermediate time points, although demonstrated in prior studies to have some utility for predicting treatment success or failure (1), has been called into question as a surrogate marker, given its limited ability to predict relapse (2, 3). Sputum smear microscopy at 2 months, though currently recommended by the World Health Organization (WHO), has a sensitivity as low as 24% for relapse (4).

The Xpert MTB/RIF assay (Xpert; Cepheid, Sunnyvale, CA), an automated, cartridge-based semiquantitative PCR (sqPCR) assay targeting the $rpoB$ locus of Mycobacterium tuberculosis DNA, received WHO endorsement as a preferred TB diagnostic in 2010 (5, 6). Since endorsement, a massive rollout of Xpert has been undertaken, delivering the assay to 116 countries, with over 10 million cartridges procured under concessional pricing in the public sector (5). According to WHO estimates, the costs of Xpert are similar to those of mycobacterial culture, but large-scale implementation of Xpert will not require the infrastructure of specialized laboratories and personnel training needed for mycobacterial culture technologies (7). Some national TB programs have already replaced sputum smear microscopy with Xpert as the primary diagnostic (8–11). It is thus important to determine if there is a role for serial Xpert assays in monitoring TB treatment response. Previous studies have demonstrated that the quantitative output of Xpert in cycle threshold ($C_T$) values, indicating the number of rounds of PCR amplification required to detect $M$. tuberculosis DNA, correlates closely with bacillary burden in sputum in vitro (12). While the routine Xpert results report only a semiquantitative output (negative, very low, low, medium, high), the numerical $C_T$ also may be obtained from the GeneXpert platform by an algorithm without additional software. A recent study evaluating the longitudinal use of Xpert in clinical trial participants on TB therapy found high sensitivity but very low specificity for the assay; however, this study interpreted Xpert results as a dichotomous marker (i.e., $M$. tuberculosis DNA detected versus not detected) compared to binary microbiologic measures of TB...
treatment response (i.e., smear and culture results) (13). Another study found an association between numerical CT and same-day culture status and with treatment failure (14).

In this study, we evaluated serial Xpert sputum assays over 12 weeks of TB treatment within a cohort of patients enrolled in Tuberculosis Trials Consortium (TBTC) study 29X, a phase 2 randomized clinical trial comparing dose-escalating rifapentine-based regimens with standard rifampin-based therapy for drug-sensitive TB (15). We took advantage of the parent study’s pharmacokinetic (PK) measures to identify clinical and treatment-related factors associated with Xpert CT trajectory.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Study sites, population, and treatments.** Of the 20 international sites participating in TBTC study 29X (ClinicalTrials registration number NCT00694629), the Xpert substudy consecutively enrolled consenting participants at 10 sites in 5 countries: Barcelona, Spain; Lima, Peru; Kisumu, Kenya; Soweto and Cape Town, South Africa; San Francisco, California, and 4 sites in Texas, United States. Adult (age, ≥18 years) ambulatory patients with smear-positive pulmonary TB participating in study 29X were enrolled. All participants underwent HIV testing. Participants were randomly assigned to one of four treatment arms, containing rifapentine at 10, 15, or 20 mg/kg of body weight or rifampin at 10 mg/kg in addition to isoniazid, ethambutol, and pyrazinamide at standard doses during the intensive phase. After completing intensive-phase treatment, participants continued treatment with a conventional continuation-phase regimen, typically isoniazid plus rifampin for 4 additional months (15, 16). Informed consent was obtained from all participants for the parent trial, and the study was approved by institutional review boards at the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention and at each participating site. None of the Xpert data obtained for this substudy were used for clinical decision-making. Detailed clinical, radiologic, and laboratory-specific information was recorded on standardized case record forms and captured using double data entry as part of the parent trial. A standardized protocol was developed and used at all 10 participating sites involved in the longitudinal evaluation of Xpert. Information regarding the design, conduct, and results of TBTC study 29X has been published previously (15).

**Sputum sample collection and processing.** Participants provided sputum samples at time of enrollment (pretreatment) and at weeks 2, 4, 6, 8, and 12 of anti-TB therapy. Per protocol, a single specimen was obtained at all time points, except for week 8 when two separate specimens were obtained. Sputum induction was performed for patients unable to expectorate. Laboratory technicians recorded the volume (which ranged to a maximum of 10 ml) and quality of each sputum sample (salivary, mucoid, or purulent) and then performed decontamination with conventional 1% to 2% sodium hydroxide methods (final NaOH concentration, 1% to 2%) (17). Centrifugation was performed for 15 min at 3,000 × g, and the resulting pellet was resuspended with a phosphate buffer solution, pH 6.8, to a total volume of 2 to 2.5 ml (17). For smear microscopy, 0.1 ml of the suspension was used, and 0.2 ml and 0.5 ml were inoculated into solid (Lowenstein-Jensen) and liquid (Bactec mycobacterial growth indicator tube [MGIT] 960; Becton Dickinson, Sparks, MD, USA) culture media, respectively. The residual sputum pellet was tested with Xpert using the standardized procedures described below.

**Xpert MTB/RIF procedure.** Following sampling for other microbiological outcomes, 0.5 ml of the resuspension from the residual pellet was combined with Sample Reagent (SR; Cepheid) in a 1:3 ratio, and 2 ml of sample in SR were pipetted into an Xpert MTB/RIF test cartridge. The cartridge was loaded into the instrument, and Xpert testing was performed automatically by instrument and software according to the manufacturer’s recommendations. For the five target probes within the rpoB sequence, the ssqPCR per-probe threshold cycle was archived and converted to tabular format for analysis. The assay was validated with the use of positive controls (provided by the manufacturer to each participating laboratory), prepared by spiking with a known M. tuberculosis DNA copy number, in order to verify the correct performance of the assay for the various targets.

**Statistical analysis.** Longitudinal Xpert CT data were modeled using a nonlinear mixed effects approach and performed in NONMEM version 7.3 (Icon Development Solutions, Ellicott City, MD, USA). The likelihood ratio test, which compares log likelihood between two nested models, was used to assess significance. Of the five probe CT values reported for each assay, the minimum CT value was used in our analysis as recommended by the manufacturer. A likelihood-based method (M3) was implemented to handle upper censoring for sputum samples in which no M. tuberculosis DNA was detected.

**TABLE 1 Demographic and clinical characteristics of Xpert study participants at time of enrollment (n = 115)**

| Characteristic | No. of participants | % of participants |
|---------------|---------------------|------------------|
| Male          | 77                  | 67               |
| Age (years)   |                     |                  |
| 18–35         | 54                  | 47               |
| 36–50         | 29                  | 25               |
| >50           | 32                  | 28               |
| HIV infected  | 8                   | 7                |
| CD4 lymphocyte count (cells/mm³) | | |
| <50           | 1                   | 1                |
| 50–199        | 0                   | 0                |
| 200–350       | 1                   | 1                |
| >350          | 6                   | 5                |
| History of smoking | | |
| Asian         | 3                   | 3                |
| Black         | 75                  | 65               |
| White         | 25                  | 22               |
| Multiracial   | 2                   | 2                |
| Not reported  | 10                  | 9                |
| Cavitation on chest radiograph at enrollment | | |
| No cavities   | 30                  | 26               |
| Cavities, <4 cm in aggregate | 40 | 35 |
| Cavities, ≥4 cm in aggregate | 45 | 39 |
| Treatment arm | | |
| Rifampin (10 mg/kg/day) | 27 | 23 |
| Rifapentine (10 mg/kg/day) | 34 | 30 |
| Rifapentine (15 mg/kg/day) | 25 | 22 |
| Rifapentine (20 mg/kg/day) | 29 | 25 |
| Culture negative at week 8 | | |
| Solid medium  | 89                  | 77               |
| Liquid medium | 79                  | 69               |
| Both solid and liquid media | 78 | 68 |
tuberculosis DNA was detected (18). For those few patients who had multiple assay results at a single time point other than 8 weeks, replicate data were retained in our final model to increase precision. Analyses conducted with the exclusion of these replicates did not affect results. CT trajectories were best fit by a model, whereas baseline CT and linear rate of change in CT could be estimated. A baseline model for the control (rifampin) arm was developed first, followed by the addition of dose-ranging rifapentine data. Comparative CT changes for rifapentine versus rifampin were modeled as functions of regimen (dose measured in milligrams per kilogram), dose (600 mg, 900 mg, or 1,200 mg of rifapentine), or drug exposure as measured by area under the plasma concentration-time curve (AUC), which was estimated from a population pharmacokinetic model incorporating plasma rifapentine levels measured at a single time point (15).

RESULTS

A total of 786 sputum samples were obtained from 115 consecutively enrolled study participants from weeks 0 to 12 after initiation of TB treatment. Of these specimens, 217 contained no detectable M. tuberculosis DNA and were subject to upper censoring. The bulk of undetectable specimens occurred toward the end of the study period; 30% of week 8 samples and 40% of week 12 samples were Xpert negative. Table 1 describes the demographic and clinical characteristics of the study participants.

Clinical factors affecting CT trajectory. In univariate analysis, smoking within the past year and disease extent on chest radiograph were the only clinical covariates that had a significant association with Xpert results. Participants reporting any history of smoking had a lower baseline CT, indicating a higher bacterial burden at the time of enrollment (P < 0.01), than those who did not smoke. There were no subsequent differences in rate of change in CT based on smoking status. Subjects with a baseline chest radiograph indicating high disease extent (defined as more than half of the chest affected by TB) had a lower baseline CT and a lower CT slope on treatment, indicating higher bacterial burden at baseline (P = 0.05) and a slower subsequent rate of change (P = 0.04); however, in multivariate analyses, associations between disease extent on chest radiograph and rate of CT change were no longer significant.

Treatment arm, drug dose, and drug exposure effects on CT trajectory. Median baseline CT was estimated in our model to be 19.81 (95% confidence interval [CI], 18.64 to 20.98) with 24% between-subject variability. Figure 1 depicts raw CT results across treatment arms, highlighting these significant within-subject and between-subject variabilities. From week 0 to week 12, the median rate of change in CT for the rifampin arm was estimated to be 0.88 CT/week (95% CI, 0.61 to 1.14). Comparing participants who received rifampin-based therapy with all of those who received rifapentine-based therapy, irrespective of the dosing, a significant difference in CT trajectory was found, with faster M. tuberculosis DNA clearance in those receiving rifapentine at a median rate of change of 1.18 CT/week (P = 0.05). However, the between-subject variability across all treatment arms was high (coefficient of variation, 102%).

Since the difference in CT trajectory between those who received rifampin and those who received rifapentine may have been due to the higher dosages of rifapentine administered during the study, we investigated the direct association of drug exposure with M. tuberculosis DNA clearance. Using a popula-
tion pharmacokinetic model developed for the parent trial, rifapentine exposure (AUC) was derived for each individual (15). We found that the derived AUC was a significant predictor of the rate of change in CT (P = 0.02). The estimated increase in CT slope for every additional 100 μg - h/ml of rifapentine AUC was 0.11 CT/week (95% CI, 0.05 to 0.17). Figure 2 depicts the positive correlation between CT slope and median rifapentine AUC. However, CT trajectories did not vary significantly across rifapentine treatment arms (1.34, 1.38, and 1.10 CT/week for rifapentine at 10 mg/kg, 15 mg/kg, and 20 mg/kg, respectively; P = 0.25) or across administered dosage groups (1.19, 1.09, and 1.10 CT/week at 600, 900, or 1,200 mg, respectively; P = 0.13). Study 29X showed a similar association between treatment and sputum culture conversion in the liquid culture medium, in which higher rifapentine exposure, but not rifapentine dose, was significantly associated with faster culture conversion (15). Table 2 shows previously published data by Dorman et al. (15) from their larger parent study data set cohort. We found that a lower CT (corresponding to higher M. tuberculosis DNA load in sputum) at baseline may predict faster conversion over the course of early treatment. The Xpert MTB/RIF technology incorporates a novel filtering mechanism that primarily allows intact bacilli to be assayed in the sample, yet concerns have remained regarding the measurement of DNA from nonviable M. tuberculosis if Xpert is used in patients while on TB treatment. Our results suggest that the assay may in fact be able to measure the rapid killing of mycobacteria seen early in treatment.

**Table 2** Overall P values for comparisons of Xpert CT slope obtained in 115 substudy participants as compared to the time to stable culture conversion on a solid medium and time to stable culture conversion on a liquid medium in 195 participants in the parent clinical trial by treatment arm (rifapentine 10, 15, and 20 mg/kg versus rifampin; rifapentine dose (600 mg, 900 mg, 1,200 mg versus rifampin); and rifapentine exposure (area under the concentration time-curve tertiles)

| Variable                  | Xpert CT slope$^a$ (n = 115) | Time to stable culture conversion on solid medium$^a$ (n = 195) | Time to stable culture conversion on liquid medium$^b$ (n = 195) |
|---------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------|
| Treatment arm             | 0.25                          | 0.01                                                          | 0.32                                                          |
| Rifapentine dose          | 0.13                          | 0.01                                                          | 0.38                                                          |
| Rifapentine exposure      | 0.02                          | <0.001                                                        | <0.001                                                        |

$^a$ P values were derived using the likelihood ratio test based on the current substudy of 115 patients.

$^b$ P values were reported previously by Dorman et al. in Supplemental Table E7 (in reference 15) based on parent study cohort.

**FIG 2** Relationship between CT slope and AUC (μg · h/ml) in 115 study participants. As rifapentine exposure increases, rate of change in CT also increases, indicating faster M. tuberculosis DNA clearance.

In this study, we have shown that increasing rifapentine exposure is associated with faster M. tuberculosis DNA clearance, as measured by longitudinal Xpert CT data, over the first 12 weeks of therapy. The association found between rifapentine exposure and longitudinal Xpert CT trajectory is consistent with the biologically plausible idea that higher serum levels of effective medication lead to faster bacterial killing and supports the ability of the Xpert MTB/RIF assay to measure such a relationship. In addition, our study demonstrates the feasibility of using PK parameters as a novel alternative to comparing the assay’s predictive performance with intermediate microbiologic outcomes, which can be unreliable and insensitive for predicting relapse. Interestingly, the results in this substudy mirror the data from the parent study on the relationship between drug exposure and culture conversion, suggesting that despite Xpert’s lower specificity for viable mycobacteria, the overall behavior of the assay is similar to that of sputum culture in longitudinal use (15). We also found that clinical factors, including smoking status and radiographic extent of disease were associated with Xpert CT measurements in a univariate analysis. While these factors were not retained in our final statistical model based on predefined criteria, this nonetheless suggests that greater mycobacterial burden associated with these important clinical features is additionally quantified by the Xpert assay. Finally, we found that Xpert CT measurements demonstrate a high degree of within-subject and between-subject variability. Variation in Xpert’s semiquantitative estimates among sputum samples within the same acid-fast bacilli grade has been previously described (19). Such variability is inherent in any quantitative sputum-based assay in which specimen collection depends on the strength of the cough, method of induction or expectoration, and many other clinical factors. Yet, even given this variability, the association between M. tuberculosis DNA clearance and rifapentine exposure was significant.

**DISCUSSION**

In this study, we have shown that increasing rifapentine exposure is associated with faster M. tuberculosis DNA clearance, as measured by longitudinal Xpert CT data, over the first 12 weeks of...
ever, this association no longer holds true when upper-censored data, i.e., samples in which *M. tuberculosis* DNA is undetectable, are included. It has been shown that a minimum of 100 to 150 bacteria are required in the Xpert cartridge to classify a sample as positive for *M. tuberculosis* (12). This effect may therefore be subject to bias introduced by the inclusion of only observable data, and speaks to the importance of statistical methods that can incorporate nonobservable data, when dealing with data sets that have a high proportion of values outside the limits of quantification.

Our findings do not answer the question of how Xpert can be used to monitor the individual patient and predict the risk of poor treatment outcome, particularly treatment relapse. It is clear that Xpert MTB/RIF, when interpreted as a dichotomous test toward the end of the intensive phase of TB treatment, is insufficiently specific for identifying patients at high risk for poor long-term outcomes. Our findings mirror results previously published by Friedrich et al., in which Xpert remained positive in approximately 80% and 60% of patients at 8 and 12 weeks (13). However, it remains possible that Xpert cycle thresholds near or at the end of treatment at weeks 20 and beyond, when TB cultures are negative, may be informative for determining the risk of relapse.

Our study has limitations. The study contained a small proportion of HIV-infected participants, limiting its generalizability to high-HIV-prevalence populations. Second, and importantly, the parent study lacked long-term follow-up after treatment completion, and this precluded us from evaluating whether Xpert monitoring during treatment could predict a durable cure. Conversely, this study benefits from being nested within a clinical trial, which provided rigorously standardized and monitored study conditions, including the use of directly observed therapy. In addition, to our knowledge, this is the first published study to include pharmacokinetic parameters in the modeling of Xpert results. Finally, our study included patients from 10 international sites, comprising the most diverse population yet studied for Xpert longitudinal monitoring. In our results, study site was not associated with change in Xpert *C*\textsubscript{T}, suggesting that site-specific technical factors did not contribute to our findings.

In sum, this study demonstrates that rifapentine exposure is associated with rate of change in quantitative Xpert *C*\textsubscript{T} over the first 12 weeks of TB therapy, suggesting that *C*\textsubscript{T} may be a useful tool for monitoring treatment effect. This mirrors data from the parent trial, study 29X, which indicated faster sputum culture conversion based on rifapentine exposure rather than flat or weight-based dosage. Moreover, Xpert utilizes molecular technology that is quick, easily interpretable, and not prone to contamination compared with culture. Further evaluation of Xpert in a larger study with long-term follow-up after cessation of treatment, including later Xpert measurements, pharmacokinetics, and measures of clinically meaningful treatment outcomes, would be needed to determine the performance of this technology for predicting relapse.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank all of the study participants who donated their time and resources to this study. We are also profoundly grateful to David Persing, Fred Tenover, Ellen Jo Baron, Pamela Johnson, Martin Jones, and others at Cepheid for their scientific contributions as well as donations of Xpert MTB/RIF assay materials. Finally, we thank the researchers and staff at all of the following study sites. Stellenbosch University, Cape Town, South Africa: Anneke Hesseling, Andreas Diacon, Marc Cotton, Zoya Yolisa Xuza, and Sven Friedrich; KEMRI-CDC, Kisumu, Kenya: Kevin Caiin, Kayla Laserson, Lena Matata, Janet Agaya, and Fred Orinta; Perinatal HIV Research Unit, Soweto, South Africa: Richard E. Chaisson, Neil Martinson, Jessica Trusler, and Neeshan Ramdin; South Texas Audie Murphy VA Hospital Research Collaboration, Harlingen, TX: Marc Weiner, Richard Wing, Diane Wing, and Lee C. Sadkowski; University of North Texas Health Science Center, Fort Worth, TX: Michel Fernandez, Stephen Weis, Denise Dunbar, Ken Jost, and Le Turk; Audie L Murphy VA Hospital, San Antonio, TX: Marc H. Weiner, Melissa Engle, and Lee C. Sadkowski; Universidad Peruana Cayetano Heredia, Lima, Peru: Eduardo Jose Gotuzzo, Carlos Zamudio, Vanessa Barros, and Tatiana Caceres; University of California, San Francisco, CA: Payam Nahid, Cindy Merrifield, and Anna Babs; Spain TB Investigation Unit of Barcelona—University of North Texas Research Collaboration, Barcelona, Spain: Joan A. Cayla, Jose M. Miro, Julian Gonzalez-Martín, Antonino Moreno, Laia Fina, Juan Pablo Millet, Lucía del Bano, Griselda Tudo, Fernando Alcaide, and Teresa Tortola Fernandez; and Baylor College of Medicine, Houston, TX: Elizabeth Guy, Ruby Nixon, and Kathleen Goodrich.

This work was supported by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Tuberculosis Trials Consortium contracts, and the National Institutes of Health (5R01AI104589 to P.N., F32 AI097005-01 to C.K.E., and 5T32HL007185-37 to A.J.).

All authors meet the criteria for authorship based on the following four requirements: substantial contributions to the conception or design of the work or the acquisition, analysis, or interpretation of data for the work; drafting the work or revising it critically for important intellectual content; final approval of the version to be published; and agreement to be accountable for all aspects of the work in ensuring that questions related to the accuracy or integrity of any part of the work are appropriately investigated and resolved.

D.A. has received contracts for research from Cepheid, and he is one of a group of coinvestigators who invented molecular beacon technology and who receive income from licensees, including a license to Cepheid for *M. tuberculosis* detection. However, the income attributable to the Xpert MTB/RIF assay, which he may receive, has been irrevocably capped at $5000 per year as a management of this conflict of interest. The Perinatal HIV Research Unit (N.A.M.) has received funding from Abbott.

References in the manuscript to any specific commercial products, process, service, manufacturer, or company do not constitute its endorsement or recommendation by the U.S. Government or the CDC. The findings and conclusions are those of the authors and do not necessarily represent the views of the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention.

FUNDING INFORMATION

This work, including the efforts of Archana Jayakumar, was funded by HHS | National Institutes of Health (NIH) (5T32HL007185-37). This work, including the efforts of Charles K. Everett, was funded by HHS | National Institutes of Health (NIH) (AI097005). This work, including the efforts of Payam Nahid, was funded by HHS | NIH | National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases (NIAID) (R01AI104589). This work, including the efforts of Debra Benator, Charles M. Heilig, Marc Weiner, Neil Martinson, Amy Kerrigan, Carlos Zamudio, Stefan V. Goldberg, William C. Whitworth, and Payam Nahid, was funded by HHS | Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC).

REFERENCES

1. Mitchison DA. 1993. Assessment of new sterilizing drugs for treating pulmonary tuberculosis by culture at 2 months. Am Rev Respir Dis 147: 1062–1063. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1164/ajrccm/147.4.1062].

2. Wallis RS, Kim P, Cole S, Hanna D, Andrade BB, Mauerer M, Schito M, Zumla A. 2013. Tuberculosis biomarkers discovery: developments, needs, and challenges. Lancet Infect Dis 13:362–372. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S1473-3099(13)70084-3].
3. Nahid P, Saukkoenen J, Mac Kenzie WR, Johnson JL, Phillips PP, Andersen J, Bliven-Sizemore E, Belisle JT, Boom WH, Luetkemeyer A, Campbell TB, Eisenach KD, Hafner R, Lennox JL, Makhene M, Sindows S, Villarino ME, Weiner M, Benson C, Burman W. National Institutes of Health, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. 2011. CDC/NIH workshop. Tuberculosis biomarker and surrogate endpoint research roadmap. Am Rev Respir Dis 184:972–979.

4. Horne DJ, Royce SE, Gooolz L, Narita M, Hopewell PC, Nahid P, Steingart KR. 2010. Sputum monitoring during tuberculosis treatment for predicting outcome: systematic review and meta-analysis. Lancet Infect Dis 10:387–394. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S1473-3099(10)70071-2.

5. World Health Organization. 2016. TB diagnostics and laboratory strengthening. World Health Organization, Geneva, Switzerland.

6. World Health Organization. 2011. Policy statement: automated realtime nucleic acid amplification technology for rapid and simultaneous detection of tuberculosis and rifampin resistance: Xpert MTB/RIF system. World Health Organization, Geneva, Switzerland.

7. World Health Organization. 2015. Frequently asked questions on Xpert MTB/RIF assay. World Health Organization, Geneva, Switzerland. http://www.who.int/tb/laboratory/xpert_faq.pdf.

8. Qin ZZ, Pai M, Van Gemert W, Sahu S, Ghiasi M, Creswell J. 2015. How is Xpert MTB/RIF being implemented in 22 high tuberculosis burden countries? Eur Respir J 45:549–554. http://dx.doi.org/10.1183/09031936.00147714.

9. Durvovini, Saracenii V, van den Hof S, Trajman A, Cordeiro-Santos M, Cavalcante S, Menezes A, Cobelens F. 2014. Impact of replacing smear microscopy with Xpert MTB/RIF for diagnosing tuberculosis in Brazil: a stepped-wedge cluster-randomized trial. PLoS Med 11:e1001766. http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pmed.1001766.

10. Cox HS, Mbhele S, Mohess N, Whitelaw A, Muller O, Zemany W, Little F, Azevedo V, Simpson J, Boehme CC, Nicol MP. 2014. Impact of Xpert MTB/RIF for TB diagnosis in a primary care clinic with high TB and HIV prevalence in South Africa: a pragmatic randomised trial. PLoS Med 11:e1001760. http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pmed.1001760.

11. Osman M, Simpson JA, Caldwell J, Bosman M, Nicol MP. 2014. GeneXpert MTB/RIF version G4 for identification of rifampin-resistant tuberculosis in a programmatic setting. J Clin Microbiol 52:635–637. http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/JCM.02517-13.

12. Blakemore R, Story E, Helb D, Kop J, Banada P, Owens MR, Chakravorty S, Jones M, Alland D. 2010. Evaluation of the analytical performance of the Xpert MTB/RIF assay. J Clin Microbiol 48:2495–2501. http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/JCM.00128-10.

13. Friedrich SO, Rachow A, Saathoff E, Singh K, Mangu CD, Dawson R, Phillips PP, Venter A, Bateson A, Boehme CC, Heinrich N, Hunt RD, Boeree MJ, Zumla A, McHugh TD, Gillespie SH, Diacon AH, Hoelkers M, Pan African Consortium for the Evaluation of Anti-tuberculosis Antioxidants (PanACEA). 2013. Assessment of the sensitivity and specificity of Xpert MTB/RIF assay as an early sputum biomarker of response to tuberculosis treatment. Lancet Respir Med 1:462–470. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S2213-2600(13)70119-X.

14. Shenai S, Ronacher K, Malherbe S, Stanley K, Kriel M, Winter J, Peppard T, Barry CE, Wang J, Dodd LE, Via LE, Barry CE, III, Walzl G, Alland D. 2016. Bacterial loads measured by the Xpert MTB/RIF assay as markers of culture conversion and bacteriological cure in pulmonary TB. PLoS One 11:e0160062. http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0160062.

15. Dorman SE, Savic RM, Goldberg S, Stout JE, Schluter N, Muzanyi G, Johnson JL, Nahid P, Hecker EJ, Heilig CM, Bozeman L, Feng PJ, Moro RN, MacKenzie W, Dooley KE, Nuerberger EL, Vernon A, Weiner M, Tuberculosis Trials Consortium. 2015. Daily rifapentine for treatment of pulmonary tuberculosis. A randomized, dose-ranging trial. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 191:333–343. http://dx.doi.org/10.1164/rccm.201410-1843OC.

16. Nahid P, Dorman SE, Alipanah N, Barry PM, Brozek JL, Cattamanchi A, Chaisson LH, Chaisson RE, Daley CL, Grzemska M, Higashi JM, Ho CS, Hopewell PC, Keshavjee SA, Lienhardt C, Menzies R, Merrifield C, Narita M, O’Brien R, Peacock CA, Raaffy A, Saukkoenen J, Schaaf HS, Sotgiu G, Starke JR, Migliori GB, Vernon A. 2016. Official American Thoracic Society/Centers for Disease Control and Prevention/Infectious Diseases Society of America Clinical Practice Guidelines: treatment of drug-susceptible tuberculosis. Clin Infect Dis 63:e147–e195. http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/cid/ciw376.

17. Kent PT, Kubica GP. 1985. Public health mycobacteriology: a guide for the level III laboratory. U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Public Health Service, Centers for Disease Control, Atlanta, GA.

18. Beal SL. 2001. Ways to fit a PK model with some data below the quantification limit. J Pharmacokin Pharmacodyn 28:481–504. http://dx.doi.org/10.1023/A:1012299915120.

19. Blakemore R, Nabela P, Davidow AL, Vadwai V, Tahiril R, Mansamy V, Nicol M, Jones M, Persing DH, Hilleman D, Ruesch-Gerdes S, Leisegang F, Zamudio C, Rodrigues C, Boehme CC, Perkins MD, Alland D. 2011. A multisite assessment of the quantitative capabilities of the Xpert MTB/RIF assay. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 184:1076–1084. http://dx.doi.org/10.1164/rccm.201103-0536OC.