Abstract. Paxillin encodes a focal adhesion-associated protein and is involved in the progression and aggressive phenotypes of malignancies through its interactions with the actin cytoskeleton and key signal transduction oncogenes. The present study aimed to investigate the clinicopathological and prognostic significance of paxillin in gastric cancer. The expression of paxillin was evaluated using tissue microarrays of gastric adjacent non-cancerous mucosa, adenoma and carcinoma specimens by immunohistochemistry. Paxillin expression was compared against clinicopathological parameters and the survival time of the patients. Paxillin expression was higher in gastric adenoma compared with that in non-neoplastic mucosa and carcinoma (P<0.05). Paxillin expression was lower in the younger carcinoma patients compared with that in the elder carcinoma patients (P<0.05). Paxillin expression was negatively correlated with tumor size, depth of invasion and lymph node metastasis, but not with patient gender, lymphatic or venous invasion, or TNM staging (P>0.05). Higher paxillin expression was observed in intestinal-type compared with diffuse-type carcinoma (P<0.05). Kaplan-Meier analysis indicated a positive association between paxillin expression and cumulative survival rate in all, advanced and intestinal-type carcinoma patients (P<0.05). Multivariate analysis using the Cox proportional hazards model indicated that patient age, depth of invasion, lymphatic invasion, lymph node metastasis, TNM staging and Lauren classification were independent prognostic factors for all gastric carcinomas (P<0.05). Aberrant paxillin expression may be involved in the growth, invasion, metastasis and differentiation of gastric carcinoma. Altered paxillin expression may, therefore, be employed as an indicator of pathobiological behaviors and prognosis of gastric carcinomas.

Introduction

Despite a global decline in the incidence and mortality of gastric cancer in the last 60 years, it remains the fourth most common and second most frequent cause of cancer-related mortality. Gastric cancer continues to be a major health concern due to the slow decrease in incidence in Asia and high mortality from diagnosed gastric carcinomas in the West, despite the advanced diagnostic and operative techniques that are commonly used in clinical practice (1,2). An increased understanding of the changes that occur in gene expression in gastric cancer, particularly the identification of novel biomarkers for cancer diagnosis and novel targets for treatment, is required for the improvement of diagnosis, treatment and prevention.

Paxillin is a focal adhesion-associated, phosphotyrosine-containing 68-kDa adaptor protein discovered in 1990 by Turner et al (3). Paxillin contains a number of motifs that mediate protein-protein interactions, including C-terminal LIM domains resembling a double zinc-finger domain, N-terminal LD motifs, SH3 and SH2 domain-binding sites, whose motifs serve as docking sites for cytoskeletal proteins, tyrosine kinases, serine/threonine kinases, GTPase activating proteins and other adaptor proteins that recruit additional enzymes into complex with paxillin (4). Multiple tyrosine, serine and threonine phosphorylation sites exist throughout the paxillin molecule, and are targeted by a diverse array of kinases that are activated in response to various adhesion stimuli and growth factors (PDGF, EGF and IL-3). These include p21-activated kinase, FAK-Src, receptor for activated C kinase 1, c-Jun N-terminal kinase, extracellular-signal-regulated kinase, p38 mitogen-activated protein kinase, cyclin-dependent kinase 5 and c-Abl. Paxillin is tyrosine-phosphorylated upon integrin engagement or growth factor stimulation, creating binding sites for the Crk adapter protein (5,6). Thus, paxillin may be involved in signal
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transduction, regulation of cell morphology and the recruit-
ment of structural and signaling molecules to focal adhesions
to control cell spread and migration (7,8).

Previous studies have demonstrated that paxillin was
overexpressed in esophageal squamous cell carcinoma, lung
carcinoma, breast cancer and prostate cancer (9-13). In breast
cancer, it has been found that the overexpression of paxillin
may represent a useful prognosticator and be employed to
predict the clinical response to chemotherapy (12,14). To
better understand the clinicopathological and prognostic
significance of paxillin, we observed its expression in gastric
non-neoplastic mucosa, adenoma and carcinoma using a
combination of tissue microarray and immunohistochemistry.

Paxillin expression was compared with the clinicopathological
and prognostic features of gastric cancer.

Materials and methods

Patients. This retrospective study was carried out using curatively resected specimens of gastric cancer (n=392)
and adjacent non-neoplastic mucosa (n=197) collected at
Toyama University Hospital (Toyama, Japan) from 1993 to
2006. The adenoma samples were resected from endoscopic
biopsy at Toyama University Hospital from 1997 to 2008.
The patients with gastric carcinomas were 120 males and 272
females (38-88 years; mean, 66.7 years). Archival materials
were obtained from the Department of Pathology of Toyama
University Hospital. In 151 cases, tumor development was
accompanied by lymph node metastasis. None of the patients
underwent chemotherapy, radiotherapy and adjuvant treatment
prior to surgery. All patients were followed up by consulting
their case documents and by telephone.

Pathology. All tissues were fixed in 10% neutralized formalin,
embedded in paraffin, cut into 4-μm sections and stained
with hematoxylin and eosin (H&E) in order to confirm the
histological diagnosis and microscopic characteristics of the
specimens. The staging for each gastric carcinoma was evalu-
ated according to the Union for International Cancer Control
system, which indicates the extent of tumor spread (15). Histological architecture was defined using the Lauren clas-
sification (16,17). The tumor size, depth of invasion, lymphatic
and venous invasion, and lymph node metastasis of tumors
were also determined.

Tissue microarray (TMA). From H&E-stained sections of the
tumor cases, representative areas of solid tumor were selected
for sampling and 2-mm diameter tissue cores per donor block
were punched out and transferred to a recipient block with
a maximum of 48 cores using a tissue microarrayer (KIN-1;
Azumaya, Tokyo, Japan). Sections (4-μm) were consecutively
cut from the microarrays and transferred to poly-lysine-coated
glass slides.

Immunohistochemistry. Serial sections of TMA were deparaf-
finized with xylene, rehydrated with alcohol, and subjected to
immunohistochemical staining with intermittent microwave
radiation, as previously described (18). Rabbit anti-human
paxillin antibody (Epitomics, Inc., Burlingame, CA, USA) was
used at 1:100 dilution to detect the respective proteins, with
anti-rabbit Envison-PO (Dako, Carpinteria, CA, USA) as the secondary antibody. Binding was visualized with 3,3'-diaminobenzidine and counterstaining with Mayer's hematoxylin was performed to aid orientation. Omission of the primary antibody was used as a negative control.

Immunoreactivity for paxillin showed a cytoplasmic pattern (Fig. 1). One hundred cells were randomly selected and counted from five representative fields of each section, blindly, by three independent observers (L.J. Xiao and H.C. Zheng). The inconsistent data were confirmed by both observers until

| Table I. Paxillin expression in gastric carcinomas. |
|-----------------------------------------------|
| Groups                              | n    | -   | +   | ++  | +++  | PR (%) |
|-------------------------------------|------|-----|-----|-----|------|--------|
| Non-cancerous mucosa                | 197  | 70  | 91  | 26  | 10   | 64.5   |
| Adenoma                             | 67   | 7   | 21  | 28  | 11   | 92.3*  |
| Carcinoma                           | 392  | 130 | 164 | 59  | 39   | 66.8   |

*P<0.001, compared with non-cancerous mucosa or carcinoma. PR, positive rate.

| Table II. Correlation between paxillin expression and clinicopathological features of gastric carcinomas. |
|---------------------------------------------------------------|
| Clinicopathological features                  | n    | -   | +   | ++  | +++  | PR (%) | P-value |
|-----------------------------------------------|------|-----|-----|-----|------|--------|---------|
| Age (years)                                    |      |     |     |     |      |        | 0.027   |
| <65                                            | 156  | 56  | 68  | 19  | 13   | 64.1   |         |
| ≥65                                            | 236  | 74  | 96  | 40  | 26   | 68.6   |         |
| Gender                                         |      |     |     |     |      |        | 0.060   |
| Male                                           | 272  | 89  | 105 | 46  | 32   | 67.3   |         |
| Female                                         | 120  | 41  | 59  | 13  | 7    | 65.8   |         |
| Tumor size (cm)                                |      |     |     |     |      |        | 0.001   |
| <4                                             | 204  | 58  | 80  | 40  | 26   | 71.6   |         |
| ≥4                                             | 188  | 72  | 84  | 19  | 13   | 61.7   |         |
| Depth of invasion                              |      |     |     |     |      |        | <0.001  |
| T_{in situ}                                    |      |     |     |     |      |        |         |
| T_{m}                                          | 200  | 59  | 85  | 35  | 21   | 70.5   |         |
| T_{m}                                          | 192  | 71  | 79  | 24  | 18   | 63.0   |         |
| Lymphatic invasion                             |      |     |     |     |      |        | 0.799   |
| -                                               | 250  | 80  | 111 | 32  | 27   | 68.0   |         |
| +                                               | 142  | 50  | 53  | 27  | 12   | 64.8   |         |
| Venous invasion                                |      |     |     |     |      |        | 0.287   |
| -                                               | 335  | 113 | 142 | 48  | 32   | 66.3   |         |
| +                                               | 57   | 17  | 22  | 11  | 7    | 70.2   |         |
| Lymph node metastasis                          |      |     |     |     |      |        | 0.006   |
| -                                               | 241  | 70  | 102 | 39  | 30   | 71.0   |         |
| +                                               | 151  | 60  | 62  | 20  | 9    | 60.3   |         |
| UICC staging                                    |      |     |     |     |      |        | 0.352   |
| 0-1                                            | 215  | 68  | 89  | 36  | 22   | 68.4   |         |
| II-IV                                          | 177  | 62  | 75  | 23  | 17   | 65.0   |         |
| Lauren classification                           |      |     |     |     |      |        | <0.001  |
| Intestinal type                                | 210  | 46  | 98  | 36  | 30   | 78.1   |         |
| Diffuse type                                   | 172  | 40  | 62  | 22  | 8    | 53.5   |         |

PR, positive rate; T_{in situ}, carcinoma in situ; T_{m}, lamina propria and submucosa; T_{m}, muscularis propria and subserosa; T_{m}, exposure to serosa; T_{m}, invasion into serosa; UICC, Union for International Cancer Control.
final agreements were reached. The expression positivity was graded and counted as follows: 0 = 0%; 1 = 1‑49%; 2 = 50‑74%; and 3≥ 75%. The staining intensity score was graded as follows: 1 = weak; 2 = intermediate; and 3 = strong. The scores for paxillin positivity and staining intensity were multiplied to obtain a final score, which determined their expression as - = 0; + = 1-2; ++ = 3-4; or +++ = 6-9.

Statistical analysis. Statistical evaluation was performed using Spearman's rank correlation test. Kaplan-Meier survival plots were generated and comparisons between survival curves were made with the log-rank test. Cox proportional hazards model was employed for multivariate analysis. SPSS 17.0 software (SPSS, Inc., Chicago, IL, USA) was applied to analyze all data, and P<0.05 was considered to indicate a statistically significant difference.

Results

As indicated in Fig. 1, paxillin was positively expressed in the cytoplasm of gastric superficial epithelium, intestinal metaplasia, adenoma and carcinoma. The levels of paxillin expression was detected in gastric non-neoplastic mucosa (64.5%, 127/197), adenoma (92.3%, 60/67) and carcinoma (66.8%, 262/392), respectively. The expression of paxillin was significantly more highly expressed in gastric adenoma than in non-neoplastic mucosa and carcinoma (P<0.05, Table I). As shown in Table II, paxillin expression was negatively correlated with tumor size, depth of invasion, and lymph node metastasis, but not with gender, lymphatic or venous invasion, or TNM staging (P>0.05). P:axillin expression was higher in the elder carcinoma patients than in the younger carcinoma patients (P<0.05). There was higher paxillin expression in intestinal- compared with diffuse-type carcinoma (P<0.05).

Follow-up information was available on 392 of the gastric carcinoma patients for periods ranging from 0.2 months to 121 months (mean, 70.4 months). Fig. 2 shows survival curves stratified according to paxillin expression. Univariate analyses using the Kaplan-Meier method indicated a higher cumulative survival rate in all, advanced and intestinal-type gastric carcinoma patients with weak, moderate and strong paxillin expression than in those without paxillin expression (P<0.05). Multivariate analysis using the Cox proportional hazards model indicated that patient age, depth of invasion, lymphatic invasion, lymph node metastasis, TNM staging and Lauren classification (P<0.05), but not patient gender, tumor size, venous invasion or paxillin expression (P>0.05), were independent prognostic factors for all gastric carcinomas (Table III).

Discussion

Paxillin is a cytoskeletal protein that was recently identified as a component of focal adhesions and links between F-actin and integrin (19). In the present study, the cytoplasmic expression pattern of paxillin was observed in the gastric non-neoplastic...
epithelial cells, adenomas and adenocarcinomas. Statistically, paxillin expression was increased in gastric adenoma in comparison with that in the non-neoplastic mucosa and carcinoma. The adenoma can progress into and be incorporated with gastric well-differentiated carcinoma when it grows larger and de novo carcinogenesis is well understood, particularly in diffuse-type gastric carcinomas. These findings suggested that aberrant paxillin expression may be involved in the progression from gastric adenoma to adenocarcinoma. Higher paxillin expression in adenoma and intestinal-type carcinoma indicated that paxillin overexpression may be closely linked to the intestinal carcinogenic pathway of gastric cancer.

Cai et al (21) found that paxillin mRNA expression levels were significantly correlated with the differentiation degree, depth of invasion and lymph node metastasis of esophageal carcinoma. A previous study indicated that paxillin expression was correlated with distant metastasis and clinical stage of salivary adenoid cystic carcinoma (22). Li et al (23) documented that positive paxillin expression was significantly associated with low differentiation, with the presence of portal vein thrombosis, and with extra-hepatic metastasis of hepatocellular carcinoma. Li et al (24) found that paxillin positivity in human gastric cancer was associated with tumor stage, and siRNA targeting paxillin decreased the phosphorylation of paxillin (tyr118) and the invasiveness of AGS cells significantly compared with controls. Previously, it was identified that overexpression of wild-type paxillin plasmids promoted cell proliferation and also enhanced migration, invasive capacity and metastasis of the colorectal cancer cells (25). However, paxillin expression was negatively correlated with tumor size, depth of invasion and lymph node metastasis of gastric cancer in the present study. The contradictory phenomena should be further investigated in the future.

Although all types of gastric cancer are malignant tumors that originate from the same gastric epithelium, the morphological features of the cancers vary substantially in individual patients. According to Lauren classification, gastric intestinal-type carcinoma is characterized by cohesive carcinoma cells that form gland-like tubular structures, such as well- and moderately differentiated carcinoma; while cell cohesion is less apparent or absent in diffuse-type carcinoma, such as poorly differentiated or signet ring cell carcinoma (16,17). Our findings demonstrated that paxillin was more highly expressed with a higher incidence in intestinal-type gastric cancer, which is presumed to arise from preceding dysplastic lesions, than diffuse-type ones, which evolve without any precedent dysplastic changes, indicating that distinct paxillin expression underlies the molecular mechanisms for the differentiation of intestinal- and diffuse-type carcinomas.

To date, there have been several studies describing the prognostic significance of paxillin expression in malignancies (11,12,14,26). In the present study, for the first time, we analyzed the correlation between paxillin expression and the survival rate of 392 patients with gastric carcinoma. The results revealed a close association between its overexpression and favorable survival. When stratified according to the depth of invasion, the significant correlation disappeared in the early gastric cancers, but not in the advanced ones, indicating that the association between paxillin expression and prognosis depends on the depth of invasion. The multivariate analysis demonstrated that patient age, depth of invasion, lymphatic invasion, lymph node metastasis, TNM staging and Lauren classification, but not patient gender, tumor size, venous invasion or paxillin expression, were independent prognostic factors for all gastric carcinomas. These findings suggested that paxillin expression is a good indicator for the favorable prognosis of gastric carcinoma patients, albeit it is not independent. By contrast, Li et al (11) found that no correlation occurred between expression of paxillin and patient survival of these patients with esophageal cancer. Zuo et al (26) found that paxillin expression was closely correlated with the prognosis of non-small cell lung carcinoma.

In conclusion, aberrant paxillin expression may be important in the malignant transformation of gastric epithelial cells. Its reduced expression was closely correlated with growth, invasion, metastasis and a worse prognosis of gastric carcinomas. Its expression may be employed to differentiate between the intestinal- and diffuse-type carcinomas. It was considered as a promising marker to indicate the pathobiological behaviors and prognosis of gastric carcinomas.
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