Introduction

Aonla (Emblica officinalis Gaertn.) belongs to the family Euphorbiaceae indigenous to tropical south-eastern Asia is a small to medium deciduous tree. It is more popular in India and is commercially cultivated in Uttar Pradesh, Maharashtra, Gujarat, Rajasthan, Andhra Pradesh, Karnataka, Tamil Nadu, and Himachal Pradesh etc. (Anonymous, 2017). It is known as Amla, Amlaki, Amali, Ambala, Amalakama, Heikru and Nelli in different parts of India. India ranks first in the world in area (91000 ha), production (989000 MT/ha) and productivity (10.86 MT/ha) (Anonymous, 2017). It has acquired wide popularity all over the world for its medicinal and nutritional properties and has a great potentiality for processing into quality products. It is one of the richest sources of vitamin C next to Barbados cherry (Chauhan et al., 2005). Aonla becomes ready for harvesting from mid-October to mid-January. Huge harvest of produce during peak harvesting seasons creates glut and the growers are compelled to sell it at throw away prices. Pathak et al., (2009) mentioned it to have highly perishable in nature and short storage life. Therefore, it needs processing for increasing shelf life and value addition particularly during the glut
period. Processing not only reduces the post-harvest losses but also provides higher returns to the growers. Appropriate storage and processing methods can curtail the post-harvest losses to 30% (Goyal et al., 2008) and make the fruit available for longer period (Singh et al., 2009). A few post-harvest technologies that exist are complex and are unaffordable to the marginal and small farmers at the farm level (Kumar and Nath, 1993). Therefore, a number of economically cheaper techniques have been evaluated to improve the quality of the dried fruits.

The sun drying is cheaper method of preservation whereas the oven drying is more convenient method of lowering moisture from the product. It is also simple and cheaper to fabricate and well suited to rural conditions for small scale food processing industries. Dried fruits are useful in chronic dysentery, haemorrhages, diarrhoea, diabetes, dyspepsia, cough, anaemia and jaundice (Kirtikar and Basu, 1993). Studies have been carried out to prepare dried whole fruit (Verma and Gupta, 2004), slice (Alam and Singh, 2010), supari (Damame et al., 2002), shreds (Sagar and Kumar, 2006), flakes (Verma and Gupta, 2004) and powder (Sharma et al., 2002; Alam and Singh 2005; Vijayanand et al., 2007). Keeping these points in view, the proposed investigation was carried out to study the effect of different concentrations of salt and drying methods on the quality of processed dried aonla products.

**Materials and Methods**

The present investigation was carried out in the postharvest laboratory of ‘Department of Horticulture and Postharvest technology, Institute of Agriculture, Visva-Bharati, Sriniketan’ during 2017 to 2018. The experimental region is located at an elevation of 40 m above mean sea level at 23° 42’ N latitude and 87° 47’30” E longitudes, representing humid sub-tropical region under ‘Red lateritic’ region of West Bengal.

Fresh, uniform size, shape, colour and free from disease and bruises aonla fruits were collected from the Horticulture farm during November 2017 and thoroughly washed with running tap water. The whole fruits were manually cut into segments. Some of the fruits segments were taken for initial fresh fruit chemical analysis and rest of the segments were dipped in 2% ‘Alum’ solution for 24 hours to remove the astringency of fresh fruits and cleaned it with water. For each treatment 500g of fruits were taken and replicated for 3 times. Segments were first given pre-treatment for 12 hours in different concentration of salt solution for osmotic dehydration. Thereafter, the segments were dried under the sun and in the hot air oven at 60°C.

**Treatment combinations**

- T<sub>1</sub> = Salt – 2% + Sun drying
- T<sub>2</sub> = Salt – 4% + Sun drying
- T<sub>3</sub> = Salt – 6% + Sun drying
- T<sub>4</sub> = Without salt + Sun drying
- T<sub>5</sub> = Salt – 2% + Oven drying
- T<sub>6</sub> = Salt – 4% + Oven drying
- T<sub>7</sub> = Salt – 6% + Oven drying
- T<sub>8</sub> = Without salt + Oven drying

Observations were recorded for fresh fruits and product just after drying. The total soluble solids (TSS) level of the fruits was determined using a digital refractometer (AR-2008, Kruss, Germany) according to the method of Daramola and Asunni (2007). The measured value was expressed as °Brix. Sugar’s content was determined according to AOAC (2000). Titratable acidity was determined from juices extract and expressed as lactic acid (%) according to the standard methods in AOAC (2000) and the ascorbic acid content was also estimated by the method described in AOAC (2000). The sun drying and the oven drying
time was recorded by keeping the count of drying hours every day until the drying was done till a constant weight was achieved. Moisture content of the fresh and dried fruit segment was also determined according to AOAC (2000). For fresh weight and dry weight, weight of the segment before drying and weight of the segment after drying was taken. Dehydration ratio was determined as the ratio of weight of the segment before drying to the dried weight of the segment. Rehydration ratio was determined as the ratio of the weight of the rehydrated segment to that of dried weight of segment. Organoleptic qualities viz., colour, flavour, and texture were evaluated from by a panel of five experts who scored on 10 point Hedonic scale (Amerine et al., 1965).

The experiment was carried out in completely randomized block design and each treatment was replicated thrice. Data were subjected to one way analysis of variance (ANOVA) as suggested by (Gomez and Gomez, 1984); and to mean separation with the Fisher’s Least Significant Differences (LSD) test with P≤0.05, using the statistical analysis program (SPSS).

Results and Discussion

Physicochemical characteristics of fresh fruits

The data presented in Table 1 illustrated the physicochemical characteristics of fresh fruits of aonla that were used for the preparation of dried aonla product. 500g fresh weight fruit samples having 84 to 88% moisture content were taken in each treatment for the experiment. The chemical analyses of the fresh fruit revealed that it contains 9.31°B TSS, 421mg/100g vitamin C, 2.26% of acidity, 8.14% total sugar, 2.71% reducing sugars and 5.43% non-reducing respectively.

Effect on dry weight, drying time and moisture content

According to the data presented in Table 2, maximum dry weight is recorded in T₄ and T₈ (57.6g) and lowest in T₇ (49.4g). The highest moisture content was recorded in T₆ and T₇ (8%). The loss of moisture in case of the sun drying is more when compared to the oven drying; it may be due to extended time of drying in case of the sun drying. But the rate of moisture loss was faster in the oven drying than the sun drying. The oven dried fruit took about 28.50 - 32 hours to reach 4 – 8% moisture content while the sun drying took 36.30 - 40 hours to attain the moisture content of 4 – 6%. These results are in agreement with the finding of Rahman and Lamb (1991) who reported that the oven drying enhances moisture loss when compared with the sun drying.

The sun drying requires longer drying time (Rajkumar, 2007). Osmotic pre-treatment showed to be an effective way to significantly reduce the processing time when applied prior to freeze drying (Valentina and Ian, 2017). Pre-treatment partially removes water and thus reduces water removal load during the drying process (Yadav and Singh, 2012).

Effect on dehydration and rehydration ratio

The highest rehydration ratio was found in T₇ (3.10) and lowest in T₄ (1.80) (Figure 1). These results revealed that segments which were dried without pre-treatment had minimum weight loss compared to pre-treatment with different concentration of salt and had the maximum dehydration ratio. The maximum rehydration ratio was found in oven dried pre-treated with 6 % salt. The sun dried sample has the maximum weight compared to the oven dried.
The dehydration and rehydration ratio of the oven dried segments is slightly higher than the sun dried segments. This difference may be due to the difference of the weight of dehydrated and rehydrated segments in different drying methods. The same results were observed by Pratibha et al., (2010) and Sneha and Deb (2018) which recorded the sun drying to have lower rehydration ratio compared to the others.

**Effect on Organoleptic qualities**

In the present study, all the treatments exhibited significantly higher organoleptic acceptability of the dried product. As persual of data in Table 3 highest mean score for colour (6.75), taste (7.70), appearance (6.66) and overall acceptability (7.04) was found in T7. This may be because of the reduced drying time in the hot air oven drier and less exposure of the segments to the hot air. The sun dried product had least organoleptic acceptability than the others. This result is in accordance with the findings of Pratibha et al., (2010) and Damame et al.,(2002) which reported pre-treated and mechanically dried are better than the others drying methods.

**Effect on TSS and sugars of the dried aonla segments**

It is evident from the data given in Table 4 that, there is a significant influence of pre-treatment and the drying methods on TSS and the sugars content of dried aonla segments. The highest TSS was found in the T7 (12.77°B), which is at par with the T6 (12.60°B). The oven dried aonla segments exhibited more TSS than the sun dried segments, this may be due to low removal of moisture and high moisture content of produce in the sun drying. A similar result of more TSS content in the oven dried aonla product compare to the sun dried has been earlier reported by Workneh et al., (2012) and Monalisa et al., (2017).

Fig.1 Effect of different concentrations of salt and drying methods on dehydration and rehydration ratio of dried aonla products
### Table 1: Physico-chemical characteristics of fresh fruits of aonla

| Sl. No. | Constituents                        | Values          |
|--------|------------------------------------|-----------------|
| 1      | Fresh weight (sample taken)         | 500g            |
| 2      | Moisture content                    | 84 – 88%        |
| 3      | TSS                                | 9.31°Brix       |
| 4      | Acidity                            | 2.26%           |
| 5      | Total sugar                         | 8.14%           |
| 6      | Reducing sugar                      | 2.71%           |
| 7      | Non-Reducing sugar                  | 5.43%           |
| 8      | Sugar : acid                        | 4.11%           |
| 9      | Vitamin C                           | 421mg /100g     |
| 10     | pH                                 | 2.9             |

### Table 2: Effect of different concentrations of salt and drying methods on dry weight, drying time and moisture content of dried aonla products

| Treatments | Dry weight (g) | Drying time (hours) | Moisture content (%) |
|------------|----------------|---------------------|----------------------|
| T1         | 57.2           | 38.3                | 4                    |
| T2         | 55.4           | 37.3                | 4                    |
| T3         | 53.8           | 36.3                | 6                    |
| T4         | 56.6           | 40.0                | 4                    |
| T5         | 53.0           | 30.3                | 6                    |
| T6         | 52.2           | 29.3                | 8                    |
| T7         | 49.4           | 28.3                | 8                    |
| T8         | 57.6           | 32.0                | 4.5                  |
| CD(p=0.05) | 1.82           | 2.22                | 0.22                 |
| SEm ±      | 0.60           | 0.74                | 0.07                 |

### Table 3: Effect of different concentrations of salt and drying methods on sensory evaluation of dried aonla products

| Treatments | Colour | Taste | Appearance | Overall acceptability |
|------------|--------|-------|------------|-----------------------|
| T1         | 6.23   | 6.20  | 4.16       | 5.53                  |
| T2         | 6.34   | 6.24  | 4.33       | 5.64                  |
| T3         | 6.35   | 7.48  | 4.16       | 6.00                  |
| T4         | 4.50   | 1.84  | 2.50       | 2.95                  |
| T5         | 6.23   | 6.25  | 6.50       | 6.33                  |
| T6         | 6.63   | 6.35  | 4.66       | 5.88                  |
| T7         | 6.75   | 7.70  | 6.66       | 7.04                  |
| T8         | 4.65   | 2.32  | 3.50       | 3.49                  |
| CD(p=0.05) | 0.16   | 0.14  | 0.15       | 1.96                  |
| SEm ±      | 0.05   | 0.05  | 0.05       | 0.66                  |
Table 4: Effect of different concentrations of salt and drying methods on quality of dried aonla products

| Treatments | TSS (°B) | Acidity (%) | pH  | Sugar/acid Ratio | Ascorbic acid (mg/100g) | Total sugar (%) | Reducing sugar (%) | Non-reducing sugar (%) |
|------------|----------|-------------|-----|------------------|--------------------------|-----------------|-------------------|-----------------------|
| T₁         | 10.19    | 6.15        | 3.35| 1.65             | 193.45                  | 18.00           | 6.14              | 11.85                 |
| T₂         | 11.10    | 6.08        | 3.35| 1.82             | 215.58                  | 18.37           | 6.20              | 12.17                 |
| T₃         | 11.07    | 5.91        | 3.25| 1.87             | 198.34                  | 18.19           | 6.18              | 12.02                 |
| T₄         | 7.73     | 5.85        | 3.05| 1.32             | 180.45                  | 20.12           | 6.11              | 14.00                 |
| T₅         | 10.77    | 6.13        | 3.50| 1.75             | 209.65                  | 18.10           | 6.16              | 11.93                 |
| T₆         | 12.60    | 5.83        | 3.50| 2.16             | 214.58                  | 18.33           | 6.20              | 12.16                 |
| T₇         | 12.77    | 6.00        | 3.35| 2.12             | 220.35                  | 18.45           | 6.25              | 12.20                 |
| T₈         | 8.63     | 5.79        | 3.05| 1.49             | 201.17                  | 20.14           | 6.13              | 14.01                 |
| CD(p=0.05) | 0.658    | 0.198       | NS  | 0.025            | 5.717                    | 0.257           | NS                | 0.199                 |
| SEm ±      | 0.217    | 0.066       | 0.12| 0.008            | 1.891                    | 0.085           | 0.067             | 0.066                 |
As persual of data in Table 4 pre-treatment has no significant effect on the total and the non-reducing sugars content of the dried aonla product but only drying methods significantly influence in increasing of all the sugars contents of the dried aonla product. Maximum total sugar (20.14%) and non-reducing sugar (14.01%) was observed in T₈ whereas the maximum non-significant reducing sugar (6.25%) content was observed in T₇.

This result is in conformity with the finding of Prajapati (2009) which reported untreated control shreds recorded significantly higher total sugar content of dried aonla product and the finding of Pragati et al., (2000) which reported increased in sugars content of dehydrated aonla products by various drying methods.

**Effect on pH, acidity, sugar/ acid ratio and ascorbic acid content of the dried aonla segments**

Maximum pH value (3.5) was recorded in T₅ and T₆. The oven dried fruit had more pH value than the sun dried aonla segment. This may be due to the synthesis of organic acid from carbohydrate during the extended period of the sun drying. Similar result of maximum pH in the oven dried products has also been earlier reported by Monalisa et al., (2017) and Workneh et al., (2012).

Data pertaining in the Table 4 revealed that titratable acidity of the sun dried product that was pre-treated with 2% salt has more acidity than other product. The increment of titratable acidity in the sun drying samples may be due to the conversion of carbohydrate to acid through an extended time of drying. Pre-treatment with salt also significantly affected the titratable acidity this may be due to the phenomenon of ‘more the salt concentration less is the acidity’ due to leaching of acids during osmosis. The result is in agreement with Workneh et al., (2012) and Pragati et al., (2000) which observed highest titratable acidity in the sun dried fruits then oven dried fruit.

Pre-treatment coupled with drying processes had significant effect on the sugar/acid ratio of dried aonla product. Data presented in Table 4 clearly shows that sugar/acid ratio was found highest in T₆ (2.16) and lowest in T₄ (1.32). The oven dried aonla segments that was pre-treated with 4% salt had more sugar acid. This may be due to more TSS and less titratable acidity of the oven dried product than the sun dried product. This result is in accordance with Workneh et al., (2012).

As persual of data in Table 4 there is a significant effect of pre-treatments and drying methods on ascorbic acid content of the dried aonla product. Maximum ascorbic acid (220.35mg) was observed in T₇. High retention of ascorbic acid in the oven dried product may be due to faster drying rate and less exposure time of segments for oxidation. The results are in agreement with the findings of Workneh et al., (2012) and Monalisa et al., (2017) which reported the oven dried fruits to have significant higher ascorbic acid than the sun dried fruits.

On the basis of results obtained in the present investigation it can be concluded that application of pre-treatments and drying methods had significant effect on preparation of dried aonla product.

The oven dried pre-treated with 4 and 6% salt and the sun dried pre-treated with 2% salt exhibit superior quality of the dried aonla products. However, these treatments need further evaluation with more parameters, different concentrations and different drying methods prior to recommendation to the peoples.
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