Resistance of parvalbumin to gastrointestinal digestion is required for profound and long-lasting prophylactic oral tolerance
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Abstract

Background: Early introduction of food allergens into children’s diet is considered as a strategy for the prevention of food allergy. The major fish allergen parvalbumin exhibits high stability against gastrointestinal digestion. We investigated whether resistance of carp parvalbumin to digestion affects oral tolerance induction.

Methods: Natural Cyp c 1, nCyp c 1, and a gastrointestinal digestion-sensitive recombinant Cyp c 1 mutant, mCyp c 1, were analyzed for their ability to induce oral tolerance in a murine model. Both antigens were compared by gel filtration, circular dichroism measurement, in vitro digestion, and splenocyte proliferation assays using synthetic Cyp c 1-derived peptides. BALB/c mice were fed once with high doses of nCyp c 1 or mCyp c 1, before sensitization to nCyp c 1. Immunological tolerance was studied by measuring Cyp c 1-specific antibodies and cellular responses by ELISA, basophil activation, splenocyte proliferations, and intragastric allergen challenge.

Results: Wild-type and mCyp c 1 showed the same physicochemical properties and shared the same major T-cell epitope. However, mCyp c 1 was more sensitive to enzymatic digestion in vitro than nCyp c 1. A single high-dose oral administration of nCyp c 1 but not of mCyp c 1 induced long-term oral tolerance, characterized by lack of
parvalbumin-specific antibody and cellular responses. Moreover, mCyp c 1-fed mice, but not nCyp c 1-fed mice developed allergic symptoms upon challenge with nCyp c 1. **Conclusion:** Sensitivity to digestion in the gastrointestinal tract influences the capacity of an allergen to induce prophylactic oral tolerance.
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**GRAPHICAL ABSTRACT**
The calcium-binding protein parvalbumin, a major and cross-reactive allergen for fish allergic patients, induces robust and long-lasting immunological and clinical oral tolerance in a murine model of fish allergy. A recombinant parvalbumin mutant, that resembled the wild-type parvalbumin regarding biochemical and immunological properties, but was more sensitive to in vitro digestion, failed to induce oral tolerance. Sensitivity to digestion in the gastrointestinal tract influences the capacity of an allergen to induce prophylactic oral tolerance.

---

**1 | INTRODUCTION**

Food allergy represents one of the important clinical manifestations of IgE-associated allergy. It often starts in early childhood and can induce severe and life-threatening anaphylaxis. Potent allergen sources are peanuts, tree nuts, cow’s milk, egg, soy, wheat, shellfish, and fish.\(^1,2\) Diagnosis of the disease-causing food allergens is extremely important because it guides allergen-specific forms of treatment, such as avoidance, diet, introduction of hypoallergenic formulas, and allergen-specific immunotherapy often performed by the oral route (i.e., oral allergen-specific immunotherapy, OIT).\(^3,5\) In addition, several clinical studies indicate that early introduction of allergen-containing food into the diet of sensitized but not yet allergic children may prevent the development of food allergy.\(^5,7\) The development of early allergen-specific forms for the prevention of allergy such as oral tolerance induction and/or early allergen-specific immunotherapy (AIT) has become an important topic because it may prevent allergic sensitization, the transition from silent sensitization to symptomatic allergy and the progression from mild to severe forms of allergy especially early in childhood.\(^8-12\) Fish represents one of the most important food allergen sources which can induce severe anaphylactic reactions.\(^12\) The calcium-binding protein parvalbumin has been identified as the major and cross-reactive allergen in different fish species and is available as recombinant allergen to identify individuals with specific IgE sensitization.\(^13\) We have developed a recombinant mutant of carp parvalbumin, mCyp c 1, which differs from the wild-type allergen only in four amino acids but shows strongly reduced allergenic activity.\(^14,15\) mCyp c 1 has been used for subcutaneous AIT (SCIT) and induced allergen-specific blocking antibodies (Clinicaltrials.gov identifier: NCT02017626 and NCT02382718).\(^16,18\) Using a mouse model for fish allergy, we have recently shown that the passive administration of mCyp c 1-specific IgG antibodies prevented the development of fish allergy\(^19\) as has been shown in a clinical trial for Fel d 1-specific IgG antibodies in cat allergic patients.\(^20\) Passive immunization with Bet v 1, Phl p 1, and Phl p 5-specific IgG antibodies prevented the development of pollen allergy but the duration of the effect has not been investigated.\(^21\)
In this study, we used wild-type Cyp c 1 and mCyp c 1 to investigate if early oral administration of the antigens can induce robust and long-lasting immunological and clinical tolerance in the murine model of fish allergy. In particular, we were interested to study if sensitivity to digestion of the tolerogens may affect the outcome of tolerance induction.

2 | MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 | Natural and recombinant antigens, synthetic peptides

Carp extract was prepared from homogenized carp muscle tissue by extraction in phosphate-buffered saline (pH 7.4) at 4°C. For enrichment of natural Cyp c 1 (nCyp c 1), the raw extract was boiled for 30 minutes and precipitated proteins were removed by filtration. The presence of the Cyp c 1.01 isoform in nCyp c 1 was confirmed by electrospray ionization-liquid chromatography (mass spectrometry LC-ESI-MS/MS). The recombinant mutant Cyp c 1 (mCyp c 1), based on the Cyp c 1.01 sequence, was expressed in E. coli BL21 and purified by ion exchange and hydrophobic interaction chromatography as previously described. The amino acid sequence of recombinant mutant Cyp c 1 differs from wild-type Cyp c 1 by 4-point mutations (D → A) in the calcium-binding sites of the protein (Figure 1A). Recombinant wild-type Cyp c 1 (rCyp c 1) was obtained from Biomay AG. rCyp c 1 was expressed in E. coli and purified by conventional biochemical methods. Endotoxin levels for nCyp c 1 (>1.35 EU/µg), mCyp c 1 (>100 EU/µg), and rCyp c 1 (0.155 EU/µg) were measured on an Endosafe-PTS detection system (Charles River Laboratories Int.). An E. coli-expressed, recombinant hypoallergenic hybrid molecule derived from the major timothy grass pollen allergens Phl p 2 and Phl p 6 (hP62) served as control antigen. Synthetic peptides spanning the Cyp c 1.01 sequence (Table S1) were produced by solid phase peptide chemistry, purified to homogeneity, and characterized by mass spectrometry as described.

2.2 | In vitro digestion assays

Enzyme cleavage sites in nCyp c 1 and mCyp c 1 amino acid sequence were analyzed using ExPASy—PeptideCutter program. Positions for cleavage sites for pepsin (pH > 2, n = 28, black), trypsin (n = 12, blue), chymotrypsin high specificity (n = 11, gray) are indicated in Figure 1A. Further, potential carboxypeptidase A cleavage sites at aromatic and hydrophobic side chains are indicated in red (nCyp c 1: n = 44; mCyp c 1: n = 24) and for other amino acids in light red (nCyp c 1: n = 47; mCyp c 1: n = 21). Similarly carboxypeptidase B cleavage sites (n = 11) are indicated in the amino acid sequence in purple.

Gastric and pancreatic digestion was mimicked in vitro as previously described. Briefly, 600 µg of nCyp c 1 and mCyp c 1 were incubated with gastric (pepsin) or pancreatic (trypsin, chymotrypsin, carboxypeptidase A and B) enzyme solution (Solvay Pharma) at 37°C/300 rpm to mimic peristalsis in the digestive tract. Samples were taken at different time points, aliquots of 5 µg protein were loaded on SDS-gels for analysis of degradation and gels were stained with Coomassie Brilliant Blue.

2.3 | Subcutaneous immunization of BALB/c mice using rCyp c 1 or mCyp c 1, splenocyte proliferations

All mouse experiments were approved by the ethical review board of the Medical University of Vienna. Mice were maintained at the Department of Pathophysiology and Allergy Research, Medical University of Vienna, according to the local guidelines for animal welfare. Female BALB/c mice were purchased from Charles River at 6-8 weeks of age. For studying T-cell responses to wild-type and mutant Cyp c 1, groups of mice (n = 4) received two subcutaneous immunizations with 50 µg rCyp c 1 or mCyp c 1 adsorbed to 75 µL aluminum hydroxide (Alu-Gel-S; SERVA Electrophoresis GmbH) in a three-week interval (days 1 and 21). Mice were sacrificed on day 96 and allergen-specific splenocyte proliferation was analyzed. For this purpose, single-cell suspensions were prepared from spleens using a 70-µm cell strainer sieve (Falcon) BD Biosciences) under sterile conditions. Cells were seeded into 96-well round bottom cell culture plates (2 × 10³ cells/well) (Corning, Costar) in the presence or absence of stimuli in RPMI medium (Biochrom, Merck), 10% FCS, 0.1 mg/mL Gentamycin, 2 mmol/L L-Glutamin, 50 µmol/L β-ME (Gibco, Thermo Fisher Scientific). Splenocytes were stimulated with 10 µg/mL rCyp c 1 or nCyp c 1 or 1.8 µg/mL of each of the Cyp c 1-derived peptides or, for control purposes, with 2.5 µg/mL canancalin A (Sigma-Aldrich) in triplicates. Cells were grown for 3 days, followed by addition of 0.5 µCi/well ³H-Thymidine (PerkinElmer). Thymidine incorporation was measured in a β-radiation counter (MicroBeta TriLux scintillation counter, PerkinElmer). The ratio of the mean counts per minute (cpm) values after antigen stimulation and medium values were calculated as stimulation index (SI) for each mouse.

2.4 | Prophylactic oral tolerance induction in a mouse model of fish allergy

For tolerance induction experiments, female BALB/c mice were purchased from Charles River at three weeks of age. Groups of mice (n = 8) were fed intragastrically (i.g.) with 10 mg nCyp c 1 or mCyp c 1, resolved in PBS pH 7.4, or PBS on day 1 using a 13 gauge stainless steel feeding needle (Harvard Apparatus). Then mice were immunized twice subcutaneously with 20 µg nCyp c 1 and 20 µg control antigen (hP62) adsorbed to 75 µL aluminum hydroxide (days 5 and 19). The two proteins were administered at two different injection sites in the neck. Control groups either received intragastric gavage or subcutaneous (s.c.) sensitization only. Allergen challenge was performed with 10 mg nCyp c 1 in each mouse group (day 177) and allergic symptoms were recorded. A previously established symptom scoring model for food anaphylaxis was applied. Body temperature was measured using a digital rectal thermometer shortly before the challenge and for one hour in intervals of 10 minutes (DT-610B; ATP Instrumentation).
FIGURE 1  Comparison of mutant Cyp c 1 (mCyp c 1) and wild-type Cyp c 1. A, Sequence alignment of Cyp c 1.01 and mCyp c 1. Identical amino acids are indicated by dots. Black boxes and red letters indicate the four-point mutations in mCyp c 1. The major T-cell-reactive peptide 2 is boxed in red. Gastric and pancreatic enzyme cleavage sites are depicted in the amino acid sequence of Cyp c 1 (upper panel). B, Position of calcium-binding sites and Cyp c 1-derived synthetic peptides P1-P7 within the Cyp c 1 amino acid sequence. C, D, Splenocyte proliferations (y-axes: stimulation indices) in response to synthetic peptides (x-axes: P1-P7; Table S1) after two subcutaneous injections with rCyp c 1 (C) or mCyp c 1 (D) in BALB/c mice. Coomassie-stained SDS-gels showing E, the gastric and F, the pancreatic digestion of nCyp c 1 (upper panels) and of mCyp c 1 (lower panels). Samples were taken before digestion (lane: und) and at different time points during digestion (lanes: 1-120 and 1-45 min). Molecular masses (kDa) and molecular mass marker (lane: M) are displayed on the left side.

2.5 | Analysis of Cyp c 1-specific antibody responses

ELISA plates (Nunc Maxisorp) were coated with 3 μg/mL rCyp c 1 in bicarbonate buffer (pH 9.6). Mouse sera dilutions (1:20 IgE; 1:500 IgG1; 1:50 IgG2a, IgG3, IgM, and IgA) were added to the plates and incubated overnight at 4°C. Plates were washed 5 times with PBST and incubated with either rat anti-mouse IgE, IgG1, IgG2a, IgG3, IgM, or IgA antibody (1:1000; GE Healthcare) overnight at 4°C. Bound antibodies were detected with a HRP-labeled goat anti-rat IgG antibody (1:2000; BioLegend). OD values were measured in duplicates and are presented as mean ± SD per mouse group.

2.6 | Rat basophil leukemia assay

Rat basophil leukemia (RBL)-2H3 cells were seeded (6 × 10^5 cells/well) to 96-well cell culture plates (Corning) and allowed to grow at 37°C (5% CO2) for 16 hours. Cells were exposed to serum from each individual mouse (1:10) in triplicates, washed twice with Tyrode’s buffer/0.1% BSA and incubated with 0.3 μg/mL rCyp c 1 or control antigen for 1 hour at 37°C (5% CO2). Allergic mediator release in cell culture supernatants was detected by the addition of 4-methylumbelliferyl β-D-galactopyranoside (4-MUG; Sigma-Aldrich). Cells, which were lysed with 10% v/v Triton X-100 (Merck Millipore) served as 100% release value. Fluorescence measurement (360-465 nm) of beta-hexosaminidase release was performed on an Infinite 200 PRO microplate reader (Tecan). Based on the numeric values measured for the lysed cells, the percentage of beta-hexosaminidase release from cells loaded with the individual mouse sera was calculated. Percentages of beta-hexosaminidase release are displayed for each mouse group (mean ± SD).

2.7 | Statistical analysis

Data were analyzed using GraphPad Prism software 5.0 (GraphPad Software). Significant differences between 2 groups were calculated using a Mann-Whitney U test. Significant differences between more than 2 groups were calculated using a Kruskal-Wallis test and Dunn’s posttest. Paired data were analyzed by Wilcoxon signed-rank test. Scatter plots represent mean ± SD. (*) P-value < 0.05, (**) P-value < 0.01, (***) P-value < 0.001.

3 | RESULTS

3.1 | nCyp c 1 and mCyp c 1 show similar physicochemical properties and share the major T-cell epitope

The major fish allergen Cyp c 1 differs from its recombinant mutant, mCyp c 1, only regarding 4 amino acid exchanges (ie, changes of two aspartic acids by alanines) in two calcium-binding sites (Figure 1A). The E coli-expressed recombinant mCyp c 1 and natural Cyp c 1 were purified and subjected to physicochemical characterization. Both molecules migrated as 11 kDa proteins in SDS-PAGE and were recognized by mCyp c 1-specific rabbit IgG antibodies (Figure S1A-C). Gel filtration experiments revealed that both proteins occur as monomers and low molecular weight aggregates (nCyp c 1 peak fractions: 14, 34 kDa; mCyp c 1 peak fractions: 11, 21, 45 kDa). In addition, mCyp c 1 contained low amounts of aggregates in the range of 104 kDa (Figure S1D). Circular dichroism measurements indicated that both proteins were folded, dominated by α-helices (nCyp c 1: minima at 207 and 220 nm; mCyp c 1: minima at 207 and 221 nm) and were able to refold to their initial shape after heating up to 90°C and cooling down to 20°C (Figure S1E-F). The mapping of the T-cell epitopes with overlapping Cyp c 1-derived peptides in BALB/c mice sensitized with nCyp c 1 and mCyp c 1 indicated that peptide 2 (Figure 1B, Table S1) contained the major T-cell epitope for nCyp c 1 and mCyp c 1-sensitized mice. However, the presence of other T-cell epitopes cannot be completely excluded due to the short overlap of peptides (Figure 1B-D).

3.2 | nCyp c 1 shows higher resistance to pancreatic digestion as compared to mCyp c 1

The resistance of nCyp c 1 and mCyp c 1 to digestion was studied by in vitro gastric and pancreatic digestion assays. The proteins were incubated with a cocktail of gastric or pancreatic enzymes and samples taken at different time points were analyzed by SDS-PAGE. nCyp c 1 (Figure 1E-F; upper panel) and mCyp c 1 (Figure 1E-F; lower panel) showed a similar degradation profile in the in vitro gastric digestion assay. By contrast, nCyp c 1 demonstrated an increased resistance against pancreatic digestion (ie, up to 45 minutes of incubation) (Figure 1F; upper panel) compared to mCyp c 1 which was completely degraded after 10 minutes (Figure 1F; lower panel). The amino acid sequences of Cyp c 1 and mCyp c 1 were analyzed regarding cleavage sites recognized by pepsin, trypsin, chymotrypsin, and carboxypeptidase A and B (Figure 1A). The point mutations in mCyp c 1 had no apparent effect on the cleavage sites (Figure 1A).
Next, we compared the ability of nCyp c 1 and mCyp c 1 to prevent allergic sensitization to nCyp c 1 by prophylactic feeding in a mouse model of fish allergy (Figure 2A). According to the protocol given in Figure 2A, a single high-dose feeding of either nCyp c 1, mCyp c 1, or PBS was followed by sensitization to nCyp c 1 and an unrelated control antigen or sham treatment (Figure 2B). On day 36, rCyp c 1- and control antigen-specific IgE and IgG1 antibody responses were measured by ELISA in sera from the different mouse groups (Figure 3A-D). BALB/c mice, which received only PBS i.g. on day 1 developed a robust Cyp c 1- and control antigen-specific IgE and IgG1 response (group 1; Figure 3A-D). In contrast, the Cyp c 1-specific but not control antigen-specific IgE and IgG1 antibody responses were significantly suppressed in mice fed with nCyp c 1 (group 2; Figure 3A-D). Interestingly, mice having received mCyp c 1 i.g. before sensitization were not protected from the development of Cyp c 1-specific antibodies (group 3; Figure 3A,B). Feeding of nCyp c 1 or mCyp c 1 alone did not induce an allergen-specific antibody response because mice which were fed but not sensitized did not mount Cyp c 1-specific IgE or IgG1 responses (groups 4 and 5; Figure 3A,B).

Next, we studied the effect of prophylactic feeding of nCyp c 1 and mCyp c 1 on IgE-mediated immediate allergic reactions in basophil activation assays on day 80. RBL-2H3 cells loaded with IgE from group 1 which had received only PBS i.g. and challenged with rCyp c 1 showed a mean β-hexosaminidase release of 33%, while there was almost no specific mediator release in group 2 which had been tolerized with nCyp c 1 (mean release: 10%) (Figure 3E). Loading of sera from mice having received mCyp c 1 before sensitization on RBL cells resulted in a mean mediator release of 45% (group 3; Figure 3E) showing that the i.g. application of mCyp c 1 did not suppress allergic sensitization. The analysis of basophil release induced with the control antigen showed that there was no significant difference between mice having received only PBS i.g. or nCyp c 1 demonstrating that the suppression of effector cell activation was indeed allergen-specific (Figure 3F).

### 3.4 | Oral tolerance induction with nCyp c 1 but not with mCyp c 1 protects against anaphylactic symptoms upon allergen challenge

In order to investigate the effects of sensitization and/or tolerance induction on symptoms of food allergy, all mouse groups were challenged by intragastric gavage with nCyp c 1 on day 177. Upon challenge, allergic symptoms and drops of body temperature indicative of systemic allergic reactions were recorded. Mice from group 1 which were sensitized to nCyp c 1 developed upon challenge allergic symptoms (mean symptom score: 2) whereas mice from group 2 which had been tolerized with nCyp c 1 did not develop any symptoms upon allergen challenge (Figure 4A). Mice from group 3 having received i.g. gavage with mCyp c 1 followed by sensitization with nCyp c 1 showed anaphylactic symptoms upon allergen challenge which were comparable to group 1 (mean symptom score: 2) (Figure 4A). Mice of groups 4 and 5 which had received only prophylactic feeding of nCyp c 1 or mCyp c 1 developed no symptoms indicating that high-dose early feeding does not induce food allergic symptoms (Figure 4A). The severity of symptoms corresponded with the drops in body temperature measured in the mouse groups (Figure 4B). Mice from group 2 which had been tolerized with nCyp c 1 as well as mice from groups 4 and 5 which had not been sensitized showed no relevant drops of body temperature. By contrast, mice which were not tolerized (group 1) or had received mCyp c 1 (group 3) showed drops in body temperature upon intragastric allergen challenge (Figure 4B).

### 3.5 | Oral tolerance induction with nCyp c 1 but not with mCyp c 1 induces long-lasting prevention of allergen-specific T-cell and antibody responses

Figure 5 shows the time course of Cyp c 1-specific IgE (Figure 5A) and IgG1 antibody levels (Figure 5B) for each of the mouse groups until day 185 after the allergen challenge. Cyp c 1-specific IgE and IgG1 antibodies were elevated in sera from mice of group 1 which had not been tolerized and in mice from group 3 which had been tolerized with mCyp c 1 until day 185 whereas mice which had been tolerized with nCyp c 1 (group 2) and mice which had never been sensitized (groups 4 and 5) lacked relevant allergen-specific IgE and IgG1 responses. A comparison of allergen-specific IgE, IgG1, IgG2a, IgG2b, IgM, and IgA antibody responses (Figure S2A-F) performed on day 127 showed a significant suppression of responses in all classes and subclasses in mice from group 2 which had been tolerized with...
nCyp c 1 as compared to mice from group 1 which had not been
tolerized and mice from group 3 which had received mCyp c 1 for
tolerance induction (Figure S2).

The Cyp c 1-specific T-cell response was investigated on day
204. We found that no relevant nCyp c 1- and rCyp c 1-specific T-
cell proliferation was observed in mice of group 2 which had been

**FIGURE 3** Suppression of Cyp c 1-specific antibody responses and
basophil activation by oral tolerance induction. Comparison of A, rCyp c 1-
specific IgE and B, IgG1, and C, control antigen-specific IgE and D, IgG1, levels
(y-axes: OD levels, means ± SD) before sensitization (left, gray triangles) and
after sensitization (right, black circles) on day 36 in the mouse groups (x-axes: 1-5).
E, F, β-hexosaminidase release (y-axis: percentages of total release, means ± SD)
from RBL cells loaded with sera from sensitized mice (day 80) and challenged
with E, rCyp c 1 and F, control antigen for the mouse groups (x-axes: 1-5). Significant
differences between the sensitized groups are indicated. (*) P-value < 0.05, (**) P-
value < 0.01, (***) P-value < 0.001

**FIGURE 4** Prophylactic feeding suppresses allergic symptoms induced by challenge with nCyp c 1. Mouse groups 1-5 received 10 mg
of nCyp c 1 intragastric and A, allergic symptoms (y-axis: mean symptom scores ± SD) and B, base line body temperatures and minimal
temperatures after challenge were recorded for each mouse group (x-axes). Maximal delta body temperatures (minimum compared to
baseline T value) are shown on the y-axis. Means ± SDs are indicated. (*) P < 0.05, (***) P < 0.01

(A) (B) (C) (D) (E) (F)
FIGURE 5  Time courses of rCyp c 1-specific IgE and IgG1 antibody responses in mouse groups 1-5. A, IgE and B, IgG1 antibody levels (OD, y-axes: mean ± SD) of the individual mouse groups 1-5 were measured in sera obtained at different time points (days, x-axes). Interventions are indicated: P, prophylactic feeding; S, sensitization; C, challenge.

FIGURE 6  Absence of allergen-specific T-cell proliferation in mice prophylactically fed with nCyp c 1. Splenocyte proliferations in response to stimulation with A, nCyp c 1 and B, rCyp c 1 are shown as stimulation indices (y-axes: SIs, means ± SDs) for mouse groups 1-5 (x-axes) at day 204. (*) P-value < 0.05

tolerized with nCyp c 1 when comparing with mice which had never been sensitized (ie, group 4 and 5) (Figure 6A,B). The nCyp c 1-specific T-cell proliferation in mice of group 1 was significantly higher than that in mice of group 2 (Figure 6A). Only partial suppression of splenocyte proliferation in mice of group 3 was observed (Figure 6A,B).

4  |  DISCUSSION

Our study is the first to demonstrate that the intrinsic sensitivity of an allergen to gastrointestinal digestion affects the ability of the antigen to induce robust and long-lasting immunological and "clinical" oral tolerance. Using two forms of the major fish allergen, the
digestion-resistant wild-type Cyp c 1 and a digestion-sensitive mutant, mCyp c 1, for oral tolerance induction in a murine model of fish allergy, we found that only the wild-type allergen but not the mutant form induced robust and long-lasting immunological tolerance. In our murine study, a single high-dose regimen was used which, of course, may need to be adapted to the human situation.

Tolerance was demonstrated by lack of allergen-specific antibody and cellular responses as well as of “clinical” tolerance as shown by lack of anaphylactic symptoms. The specificity of oral tolerance was shown by the fact that tolerized mice lacking Cyp c 1-specific adaptive immune responses mounted specific IgE and IgG responses against an unrelated control antigen which was used for sensitization at the same time as Cyp c 1. Therefore, bystander suppression which might be mediated by cytokines like IL-10 and TGF-β secreted from regulatory T cells does not seem to play a major role. Several arguments support the assumption that the different sensitivity to digestion of wild-type Cyp c 1 and mCyp c 1 is responsible for their different ability to induce oral tolerance. First, the mapping of the major T-cell epitope of the two proteins with synthetic overlapping peptides suggests that the four point mutations did not affect T-cell recognition in the mouse model. We thus assumed that the difference regarding the four amino acids did not affect the T-cell-based tolerogenic properties of the two antigens. Furthermore, both wild-type Cyp c 1 and mCyp c 1 showed a similar structural fold and were recognized by antibodies raised against mCyp c 1 which also indicates that the different tolerogenic properties of the proteins cannot be due to different immunological characteristics. A tolerogenic effect of endotoxins could also be excluded, as E coliexpressed mCyp c 1 contained higher LPS levels than natural Cyp c 1. By contrast, we found that mCyp c 1 was less resistant to enzymatic digestion. This higher sensitivity to digestion does not seem to be due to the presence of additional protease cleavage sites caused by the mutations because the analysis of the sequences of wild-type and mCyp c 1 showed that both proteins had identical cleavage sites. We therefore think that the exchange of 4 amino acids destabilized the protein because they affect the protein’s ability to bind calcium which is important for the overall stability of the protein and is known to affect the surface exposure of certain amino acids in the calcium-bound and calcium-free apoform of calcium-binding proteins.32,33 Another observation which supports our assumption that the sensitivity to digestion has affected the ability of the proteins to induce oral tolerance is the earlier finding that protection of allergens against digestion by enteric coating allowed to reduce the dose required for tolerance induction.34,35

Our finding that sensitivity to digestion affects oral tolerance induction is important for at least two reasons. First, it may explain why not all clinical studies which have investigated oral tolerance induction had identical outcomes.11 In fact, different food allergen sources contain allergens with different stability and the subjects enrolled in these studies are sensitized against different allergens which may have different stability. Second and importantly, our study identifies sensitivity to digestion as another important factor for successful oral tolerance induction besides dose and timing of administration. Therefore, the development of strategies for protecting allergens or allergen-derived molecules from proteolytic cleavage may facilitate the induction of oral tolerance.11
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