Exercise tolerance in asymptomatic patients with moderate-severe valvular heart disease and preserved ejection fraction
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Abstract

Introduction: For asymptomatic patients with moderate-severe valvular heart disease, in whom symptoms may be obscured, objective exercise tolerance measures are warranted for decisions concerning physical activities and surgical treatment.

Material and methods: We compared 61 patients (39 with aortic stenosis, 22 with aortic or mitral regurgitation) to 23 controls without valvular heart disease but with indications for stress testing. All participants underwent cardiopulmonary function testing and dobutamine stress echocardiography. Blood was drawn before as well as after bicycle stress to assess high-sensitivity cardiac troponin T (hsCTnT). Patients who underwent surgery were re-evaluated 1.5 ±0.9 years after the operation.

Results: Conventional bicycle test following guideline criteria revealed a pathologic result in 26% of the patients, whereas spiroergometry showed an objectively reduced exercise tolerance in 59%, reaching a prognostically relevant feature in 39%. Stress echocardiography detected a reduced systolic reserve in 33% and elevated filling pressures in 62%. These abnormalities were significantly less present in the control group (4, 17, 9, 9, 4% respectively, \(p < 0.05\) each). Baseline hsCTnT detected patients with the prognostically important feature of reduced exercise tolerance (area under the curve 0.689 (95% CI: 0.546-0.831), \(p = 0.015\)). Objective preoperative exercise tolerance predicted sustained cardiocirculatory and myocardial dysfunction postoperatively.

Conclusions: Cardiopulmonary function testing and dobutamine stress echocardiography identify exercise intolerance in patients with asymptomatic valvular heart disease beyond stress-test criteria recommended in recent guidelines. High-sensitivity cardiac troponin I may be of additional value. Results of these tests presage post-operative function.
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Introduction

In patients with higher-degree valvular heart disease (VHD), symptoms determine prognosis, e.g. the timing of surgery, but symptoms may be obscured by comorbidities. Thus, a stress test to objectivate exercise tol-
The aim of our study was to investigate whether s
should be done for patients reporting to be asymptomatic is recommended [1].

The inclusion and exclusion criteria for this study have been reported in detail recently [2]. We consecutively included patients with VHD and preserved left ventricular ejection fractions (EF, ≥ 50%) who denied cardiovascular symptoms. Patients with aortic stenosis required a mean pressure gradient > 35 mm Hg or an aortic valve area < 1 cm². For patients with aortic or mitral regurgitation, the severity of regurgitation required was > grade 2 established by a comprehensive evaluation of color and conventional Doppler criteria. Exclusion criteria were an indication for surgical treatment of VHD [1], concomitant additional valvular lesions > grade 2, significant coronary artery disease, atrial fibrillation, an inability to perform stress testing, or other severe noncardiac comorbidities. In the control group we included subjects referred for stress testing due to suspicious symptoms. However, serious, exercise-limiting diseases (e.g. VHD, myocardial ischemia, reduced EF < 50%) or myocarditis could be excluded. All subjects provided written informed consent. The local ethics committee approved the study.

All patients and controls underwent a double stress protocol including a symptom-limited semi-supine bicycle exercise test with assessment of cardiopulmonary function and a dobutamine-stress echocardiogram stepwise to a maximum heart rate of 120/min on separate days within 1 week.

**Stress tests**

Cardiopulmonary function analysis was performed by using a computerized breath-by-breath analyzer QuarkPFT® (Cosmed, Rome, Italy). Patients were exercised until symptoms or exhaustion or to at least a respiratory quotient of 1.1. Twelve-lead ECG was monitored during testing and ST-segment analysis was performed by signal averaging using the software Cardiosoft® (GE, Fairfield, Connecticut). Blood pressure was measured at each exercise level. Anaerobic threshold was assessed using graphs 5, 6, 8 and 9 from the Wasserman plot, with preference to the V slope (plot 5). O₂ consumption was estimated at peak exercise (VO₂peak) and at the anaerobic threshold (VO₂AT). The percentage of VO₂peak to the predicted age and gender was determined (VO₂%pred) by nomogram [3]. The slope of the ratio of ventilation to carbon oxide production (VE/VCO₂ slope) was assessed based on established criteria [4].

Echocardiographic measurements were performed on a Vivid 5® ultrasound machine (GE Healthcare, Milwaukee, WI) according to guidelines [5]. Left ventricular mass was estimated in accordance with [6]. Ejection fraction was assessed visually and by the Simpson method. For further analysis, visually assessed values were used.

Conventional PW tissue Doppler measures of systole (S’), and diastole (E’ and A’) were derived from the septal and lateral border of the mitral annulus. Aortic valve area was calculated by continuity equation. Transmitral inflow E/ E’ [7] and E/flow propagation velocity [8] were determined to estimate left ventricular filling pressure. Doppler parameters were acquired by averaging data from 3 and flow propagation velocity from 5 cardiac cycles. Parameters were indexed to body surface area, where appropriate. During dobutamine stress, left ventricular function was assessed at each stress level. At peak heart rate, all echocardiographic parameters were measured repeatedly.

**Measurement of high-sensitivity cardiac troponin T (hsTnT)**

Blood was drawn before and 3 h and/or 5 h after bicycle exercise. We measured hsTnT with the Elecsys-2010® analyzer, Roche Diagnostics, Mannheim, Germany (limit of the blank 3 pg/ml, the 99th percentile and 10% coefficient of variation 13 pg/ml). Baseline and the latest post-stress values were presented. For values below the limit (< 3 pg/ml) we assumed a value of 0.01 pg/ml to avoid exclusion during log-transformation.

**Definitions of stress response for all patients**

A guideline-related positive test (GRPT) was considered if one of the parameters mentioned in recent recommendations such as symptoms, an inadequate blood pressure response, complex ventricular arrhythmia or > 0.2 mV ST depression occurred during bicycle stress [9]. Reduced exercise tolerance (RET) was defined when VO₂ peak was < 80% of predicted [3]. A prognostically relevant feature of reduced exercise tolerance (PRET) was diagnosed if VO₂ peak, VO₂AT or VE/VCO₂ slope values reached values associated with adverse outcomes in heart failure (< 14 [10], < 11 [11], > 33 [12]). Reduced systolic reserve was considered present if
the increase in EF after dobutamine was < 10% [13, 14]. E/’E’ septal and lateral as well as E/flow propagation velocity were defined as elevated if they were > 15 [7], > 10 [15] and > 1.5 [8]. An elevated filling pressure was diagnosed if two of these were elevated.

Postoperative follow-up
Patients who underwent surgery underwent repeated echocardiography and spiroergometry postoperatively as soon as they perceived that their cardiopulmonary function was no longer affected by surgery.

Statistical analysis
SPSS 13.0® (SPSS Institute Inc., Chicago Il.) and SAS 9.2® (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC) programs were used. Reference parameters are reported as mean ± SD. Biomarker data are presented as median and interquartile ranges. For statistical calculations log10-transformed values were used. Differences between groups are calculated by unpaired t test for continuous variables. differences between measured points before and after exercise, and after surgery respectively, were analyzed by the Wilcoxon signed rank test. Associations between variables of exercise tolerance and hscTnT as well as with postoperative outcome were tested by linear (for continuous) and logistic (for categorical dependent variables) backward regression analysis. Age, gender, creatinine, hscTnT, contractile reserve, filling pressure (or alternatively PRET) were used as independent variables. Differences between measured points before and after exercise, and after surgery respectively, were analyzed by the Wilcoxon signed rank test. Associations between variables of exercise tolerance and hscTnT as well as with postoperative outcome were tested by linear (for continuous) and logistic (for categorical dependent variables) backward regression analysis. Age, gender, creatinine, hscTnT, contractile reserve, filling pressure (or alternatively PRET) were used as independent variables.

A receiver-operator characteristic curve was constructed for hscTnT and exercise tolerance parameters.

Results
From 76 screened patients with valvular heart disease 61 could be included. Reasons for not including/terminating the study were: 5 patients refused, 1 patient moved. Clinical reasons were detection of coronary artery disease (n = 5), reevaluation of symptoms, development of atrial fibrillation shortly after inclusion, report of a psychiatric disease by the general practitioner, ultimate exclusion of myocarditis (each n = 1).

All patients underwent the double-stress protocol without any complications. Baseline characteristics of the population and echocardiographic values are shown in Table I.

Hemodynamics during bicycle stress and dobutamine stress echocardiography are tabulated in Tables II and III. Due to the restrictions in our dobutamine echocardiographic protocol recommended by the ethical review board, patients with VHD reached a lower heart rate than controls. All other hemodynamic parameters were comparable.

Bicycle stress test and exercise capacity
During bicycle stress testing, 16 patients (26.2% of the VHD group) had a GRPT: 13 (21.3%) patients endorsed dyspnea during bicycle stress testing. No patient reported angina or dizziness. Seven patients manifested significant ST depression. No complex arrhythmias or abnormal arterial pressure responses occurred. Amongst controls, only one patient reported dyspnea. The occurrence of GRPT was higher in VHD patients (p = 0.0320), particularly in those with aortic stenosis (p = 0.0235).

Patients with VHD were able to climb one flight of stairs fewer than controls. Objectively, they achieved a 30 Ws lower level during bicycle stress (p < 0.05 for both). During cardiopulmonary exercise (Table II), a respiratory quotient of 1.22 ±0.16 was reached. Reduced exercise tolerance occurred in 59% of patients with VHD. In 39.3% of patients the reduction of exercise tolerance reached the range associated with poorer outcomes (PRET group) [10-12].

Dobutamine stress, contractile reserve and filling pressures
Assessment of systolic reserve and left ventricular filling pressures by stress echocardiography are tabulated in Table III. Sixty-two percent of VHD patients met at least two of three criteria for prognostic elevations of left ventricular filling pressures [7, 8, 15] before dobutamine and 36.7% after. Thirty-three percent of patients with VHD and 8.7% of controls lacked systolic reserve.

Prognostically relevant reduction in exercise tolerance (PRET) and hscTnT
Patients with PRET (n = 37) were older (67 ±12 years vs. 59 ±15 years; p = 0.0198), more often female (46% vs. 22%; p = 0.0132), and more often received vasodilators (54% vs. 16%; p = 0.0039). E/flow propagation velocity after dobutamine was lower (1.4 ±0.4 vs. 1.8 ±0.6; p = 0.0106), which was associated with lower E after dobutamine (95.7 ±23.9 cm/s vs. 113.2 ±32.6 cm/s; p = 0.0187). Flow propagation was not different. E’ septal was lower (6.4 ±1.5 cm/s vs. 7.6 ±3.0 cm/s; p = 0.0338) in PRET, too. The type of VHD did not differ significantly between patients with or without PRET. However, 46% of patients with aortic stenosis, but only 27% of patients with regurgitation, belonged to the PRET group (p = 0.18). There were no other differences in measures of systolic reserve or disas-
tolic filling. The frequencies of symptoms during stress tests, of ST-segment depression and of the combined parameter GRPT did not differ between patients with and without PRET.

Patients with VHD manifested higher values of hscTnT before and after stress than controls (p at least < 0.01, Figure 1) without differences in patients with aortic stenosis and regurgitation. A small exercise-induced increase was found for hscTnT in patients with VHD (p < 0.01). Regression models revealed that VO₂AT was predicted by baseline hscTnT (p = 0.0194). PRET was predicted by the resting hscTnT value (p = 0.0028). Receiver-operator characteristics to predict PRET with hscTnT demonstrated an area under the curve of 0.689 (95% CI: 0.546 - 0.831), p = 0.015. A hscTnT concentration of 6.96 pg/ml had a sensitivity of 0.696 and a specificity of 0.694. In the subgroup with aortic stenosis, prediction of PRET by hscTnT showed an area under the curve of 0.700 (95% CI: 0.529 - 0.871), p = 0.036. The best cut-off value to predict PRET was 6.39 pg/ml, which had a sensitivity of 0.706 and a specificity of 0.667.

Table I. Baseline characteristics

| Parameters | Controls | VHD | Aortic stenosis | Regurgitation |
|------------|----------|-----|----------------|--------------|
| n | 23 | 61 | 39 | 22 |
| Male (%) | 48 | 66 | 64 | 64 |
| Age [years] | 56 ±11 | 62 ±15* | 67 ±11‡ | 54 ±17 |
| Body mass index [kg/m²] | 24.7 ±2.9 | 26.9 ±3.4‡ | 27.5 ±3.6‡ | 25.7 ±2.7 |
| Creatinine [µmol/l] | 73.0 ±13.5 | 82.9 ±16.6‡ | 79.2 ±14.1 | 86.2 ±19.0* |
| Concomitant diseases, n: | | | | |
| Arterial hypertension | 9 | 30 | 23 | 7 |
| Diabetes mellitus | 0 | 5 | 2 | 3 |
| Medication, n: | | | | |
| ACE inhibitors/ARB | 4 | 46† | 27‡ | 19‡ |
| β-Blockers | 10 | 27 | 16 | 11 |
| Calcium channel blockers/nitrates | 1 | 19‡ | 13‡ | 6* |
| Diuretics | 0 | 29‡ | 15‡ | 14‡ |
| Echocardiography: | | | | |
| Left ventricular ejection fraction [%] | 65.5 ±4.4 | 65.5 ±5.7 | 67.9 ±5.0 | 61.7 ±4.5* |
| End systolic diameter/BSA [mm/m²] | 15.6 ±2.7 | 15.4 ±4.2 | 13.8 ±3.4* | 18.3 ±4.0* |
| Left atrial diameter/BSA [mm/m²] | 21.9 ±2.3 | 23.6 ±3.8‡ | 23.4 ±3.8 | 24.0 ±3.9* |
| Left ventricular mass/BSA [g/m²] | 88 ±25 | 133 ±38‡ | 128 ±33‡ | 142 ±46‡ |
| Pressure gradient peak; mean [mm Hg] | 90 ±18; 54 ±11 | | | |
| Aortic valve area; -index [cm²; cm²/m²] | 0.71 ±0.27; 0.38 ±0.12 | | | |
| Regurgitation aortic; mitral [%] | 2.8 ±0.3; 2.5 ±0.5 | | | |
| Vena contracta aortal; mitral [cm] | 0.70 ±0.17; 0.67 ±0.12 | | | |

*p < 0.05, †0.01, ‡0.001 in comparison to controls. ACE – angiotensin-converting enzyme, ARB – angiotensin receptor blocker, BSA – body surface area, VHD – valvular heart disease

Postoperative functional outcome

Fifty-two percent (32/61) of patients eventually underwent surgery. Of these, 6 patients were not able to perform the postoperative stress test (postoperatively, 1 patient died due to suspected acute ischemia, 1 suffered from a stroke, 2 from new atrial fibrillation, two patients reported progressive symptoms of heart failure). The remaining 26 patients were reevaluated 535 ±340 days after surgery. Echocardiographically, we observed a reduction of left ventricular mass index (113 ±33 g/m² vs. 145 ±46 g/m²; p = 0.0026), and a borderline reduction of end diastolic diameter (26.9 ±27.6 mm/m² vs. 29.4 ±4.6 mm/m²; p = 0.0511). Ejection fraction (61.6 ±6.6% vs. 66.2 ±4.2%; p < 0.0001) and heart rate at rest (69.1 ±11.5 bpm vs. 77.3 ±12.3 bpm; p = 0.0063) were lower after surgery but heart rate was higher after exercise (130 ±16 bpm vs. 122 ±19 bpm; p = 0.0449), indicating greater chronotropic reserve. The number of patients with VO₂%pred < 80% (cutoff for RET) was reduced from 15 to 7; p = 0.0483. However, there was no improvement in the other single cardiopulmonary function param-
### Table II. Bicycle stress test

| Variables                              | Control (n = 23) | VHD (n = 61) | Value of p | AS (n = 39) | Value of p | Reg (n = 22) | Value of p | Value of p |
|----------------------------------------|-----------------|-------------|------------|-------------|------------|--------------|------------|------------|
| Flights of stairs, able to climb       | 5.3 ±1.9        | 4.2 ±1.6    | 0.0217     | 4.0 ±1.3    | 0.0084     | 4.5 ±1.9     | 0.20       | 0.27       |
| Symptoms after stress (dyspnea), n (%)| 1.4 (3.3)       | 13 (21.3)   | 0.10       | 9 (23.3)    | 0.08       | 4 (18.2)     | 0.19       | 0.75       |
| ST-segment depression > –0.2 mV, n (%) | 0               | 7 (11.5)    | 0.18       | 6 (15.4)    | 0.08       | 1 (4.5)      | 0.49       | 0.40       |
| Guideline-related positive test (GRPT), n (%) | 1.4 (3.3) | 16 (26.2) | 0.0321 | 11 (28.2) | 0.0235 | 5 (22.7) | 0.10 | 0.77 |
| Maximal exercise level [W]              | 134.9 ±50.4     | 104.7 ±47.3 | 0.0174     | 96.1 ±36.1  | 0.0027     | 120.0±60.3   | 0.37       | 0.10       |
| Heart rate [beats/min]                 | 76.5 ±14.6      | 76.0 ±13.6  | 0.88       | 74.6 ±13.2  | 0.61       | 78.5 ±14.1   | 0.65       | 0.30       |
| Peak stress                            | 139.7 ±25.0     | 129.5 ±24.2 | 0.10       | 124.6 ±22.5 | 0.0220     | 138.1 ±25.3  | 0.84       | 0.0439     |
| Value of p baseline-peak               | < 0.0001        | < 0.0001    | < 0.0001   | < 0.0001    | < 0.0001   | < 0.0001     | < 0.0001   | < 0.0001   |
| Systolic blood pressure [mm Hg]        | 128.7 ±17.9     | 131.6 ±15.0 | 0.49       | 134.1 ±15.5 | 0.23       | 127.1 ±13.2  | 0.74       | 0.07       |
| Baseline                               | 185.6 ±29.3     | 178.3 ±21.7 | 0.29       | 175.2 ±20.0 | 0.14       | 183.8 ±23.9  | 0.11       | 0.16       |
| Peak stress                            | < 0.0001        | < 0.0001    | < 0.0001   | < 0.0001    | < 0.0001   | < 0.0001     | < 0.0001   | < 0.0001   |
| Diastolic blood pressure [mm Hg]       | 74.3 ±112       | 77.9 ±11.5  | 0.59       | 80.1 ±11.4  | 0.81       | 74.0 ±10.9   | 0.11       | 0.0440     |
| Baseline                               | 88.3 ±10.4      | 88.3 ±11.8  | 0.99       | 90.6 ±12.5  | 0.46       | 84.4 ±9.6    | 0.19       | 0.0345     |
| Peak stress                            | < 0.0001        | < 0.0001    | < 0.0001   | < 0.0001    | < 0.0001   | < 0.0001     | < 0.0001   | < 0.0001   |
| Value of p baseline-peak               | < 0.0001        | < 0.0001    | < 0.0001   | < 0.0001    | < 0.0001   | < 0.0001     | < 0.0001   | < 0.0001   |
| VO2peak [ml/min/kg]                    | 26.7 ±6.8       | 20.2 ±6.7   | 0.0004     | 19.0 ±6.0   | < 0.0001   | 22.4 ±7.5    | 0.0483     | 0.08       |
| Value of p                              | < 0.0001        | < 0.0001    | < 0.0001   | < 0.0001    | < 0.0001   | < 0.0001     | < 0.0001   | < 0.0001   |
| VO2AT [ml/min/kg]                      | 94.7 ±20.0      | 79.9 ±27.7  | 0.0090     | 81.6 ±31.3  | 0.0496     | 76.7 ±20.2   | 0.0046     | 0.46       |
| Value of p                              | < 0.0001        | < 0.0001    | < 0.0001   | < 0.0001    | < 0.0001   | < 0.0001     | < 0.0001   | < 0.0001   |
| VE/VCO2 slope                          | 28.8 ±5.6       | 30.1 ±7.7   | 0.41       | 30.4 ±7.7   | 0.34       | 29.5 ±7.7    | 0.74       | 0.65       |

Data are presented as mean ± SD, if not indicated otherwise. AS – aortic stenosis, Reg – regurgitation, VHD – valvular heart disease.
### Table III. Dobutamine stress test

| Variables                        | Control (n = 23) | VHD (n = 61) | Value of p VHD vs. Con | AS (n = 39) | Value of p AS vs. Con | Reg (n = 22) | Value of p Reg vs. Con | Value of p AS vs. Reg |
|----------------------------------|------------------|--------------|------------------------|------------|------------------------|-------------|------------------------|-----------------------|
| Symptoms after dobutamine, n (%)| 1 (4.3)          | 11 (18.0)    | 0.16                   | 6 (15.4)   | 0.18                   | 5 (22.7)    | 0.08                   | 0.35                  |
| Heart rate [beats/min]           | Baseline         | 67.4 ±13.4   | 719 ±9.0               | 0.15       | 72.3 ±9.7              | 71.1 ±7.7   | 0.26                   | 0.60                  |
|                                  | Peak stress      | 119.7 ±5.3   | 116.0 ±9.0             | 0.0240     | 114.6 ±9.0             | 118.4 ±8.8  | 0.56                   | 0.12                  |
|                                  | Value of p baseline-peak | < 0.0001  | < 0.0001               | < 0.0001   | < 0.0001               | < 0.0001    | < 0.0001               | < 0.0001              |
| Systolic blood pressure [mm Hg]  | Baseline         | 132.0 ±16.7  | 136.4 ±17.1            | 0.29       | 138.3 ±16.4            | 133.2 ±18.1 | 0.81                   | 0.28                  |
|                                  | Peak stress      | 149.6 ±18.6  | 144.3 ±22.6            | 0.26       | 139.5 ±20.4            | 153.0 ±24.1 | 0.60                   | 0.0330                |
|                                  | Value of p baseline-peak | 0.0001  | 0.0056                 | 0.67       | 0.0006                 | 0.0006      |                        |                       |
| Diastolic blood pressure [mm Hg]| Baseline         | 81.7 ±9.4    | 77.7 ±8.7              | 0.06       | 79.6 ±9.2              | 75.0 ±7.1   | 0.0093                 | 0.053                 |
|                                  | Peak stress      | 78.7 ±11.8   | 75.6 ±13.8             | 0.28       | 78.8 ±13.3             | 70.0 ±13.1  | 0.0243                 | 0.0160                |
|                                  | Value of p baseline-peak | 0.1574  | 0.1623                 | 0.83       | 0.07                   | 0.0001      | 0.0001                 |                       |
| EF [%]                           | Baseline         | 64.0 ±4.4    | 65.3 ±4.7              | 0.27       | 67.1 ±3.9              | 62.0 ±4.4   | 0.14                   | < 0.0001              |
|                                  | Peak stress      | 75.9 ±5.9    | 74.4 ±4.8              | 0.27       | 75.5 ±4.7              | 72.4 ±4.5   | 0.0328                 | 0.58                  |
|                                  | Value of p baseline-peak | < 0.0001  | < 0.0001               | < 0.0001   | < 0.0001               | < 0.0001    | < 0.0001               |                       |
| S’ septal [cm/s]                 | Baseline         | 8.55 ±1.25   | 8.39 ±2.4              | 0.73       | 7.85 ±2.13             | 9.36 ±2.60  | 0.19                   | 0.0254                |
|                                  | Peak stress      | 16.4 ±5.23   | 14.2 ±5.6              | 0.12       | 12.1 ±4.41             | 17.9 ±5.6   | 0.35                   | 0.0002                |
|                                  | Value of p baseline-peak | < 0.0001  | < 0.0001               | < 0.0001   | < 0.0001               | < 0.0001    | < 0.0001               |                       |
| Transmitral E [cm/s]             | Baseline         | 80.7 ±13.2   | 102.0 ±31.4            | < 0.0001   | 103.1 ±30.1            | 100.1 ±34.1 | 0.0190                 | 0.74                  |
|                                  | Peak stress      | 82.9 ±16.6   | 106.3 ±30.5            | < 0.0001   | 101.7 ±28.8            | 114.5 ±32.5 | 0.0003                 | 0.13                  |
|                                  | Value of p baseline-peak | 0.4903  | 0.3323                 | 0.79       | 0.10                   | 0.0001      | 0.0001                 |                       |
| E/E’ septal                      | Baseline         | 8.76 ±2.11   | 15.7 ±6.5              | < 0.0001   | 17.1 ±6.2              | 13.1 ±6.3   | 0.0048                 | 0.208                 |
|                                  | Peak stress      | 8.90 ±2.91   | 14.5 ±7.01             | < 0.0001   | 16.0 ±6.09             | 11.9 ±3.2   | 0.0023                 | 0.0065                |
|                                  | Value of p baseline-peak | 0.8062  | 0.1255                 | 0.24       | 0.34                   | 0.0001      | 0.0001                 |                       |
| E/E’ lateral                     | Baseline         | 6.69 ±1.68   | 112 ±4.8               | < 0.0001   | 12.5 ±4.8              | 8.8 ±3.7   | 0.0328                 | 0.0022                |
|                                  | Peak stress      | 6.24 ±2.07   | 9.63 ±4.09             | < 0.0001   | 9.71 ±4.83             | 9.70 ±3.17  | 0.81                   | 0.99                  |
|                                  | Value of p baseline-peak | 0.3458  | 0.0164                 | 0.0015     | 0.17                   | 0.0001      | 0.0001                 |                       |
| E/flow propagation velocity      | Baseline         | 153 ±6.5     | 2.03 ±0.85             | 0.0058     | 190 ±0.76              | 2.25 ±0.99  | 0.0071                 | 0.17                  |
|                                  | Peak stress      | 1.22 ±0.41   | 162 ±0.58              | 0.0008     | 1.47 ±0.47             | 1.90 ±0.67  | 0.0002                 | 0.0111                |
|                                  | Value of p baseline-peak | 0.3693  | 0.0004                 | 0.0009     | < 0.0001               | 0.0001      | 0.0001                 |                       |

Data are presented as mean ± SD, if not indicated otherwise. EF – ejection fraction. Other abbreviations – see Table II.
The number of patients with PRET did not change significantly.
Preoperatively assessed VO₂pred predicted postoperative VO₂pred (p = 0.002, β = 0.528, R² = 0.426). Preoperatively present PRET predicted postoperative left ventricular EF (p = 0.022, β = 0.448, R² = 0.246) and remodeling (by mass index (p = 0.040, β = 0.545, R² = 0.425) and end diastolic diameter index (p = 0.047)). Baseline hscTnT predicted postoperative EF (p = 0.022, β = 0.451, R² = 0.246).

Discussion

Our data demonstrated that cardiopulmonary function testing and dobutamine stress echocardiography detected features of stress intolerance more often than a guideline-based bicycle stress test [1]. These data are in agreement with results in patients with asymptomatic mitral regurgitation [16] and extend them to other valvular lesions. Many of these findings are associated with elevated hscTnT values, which are known to have prognostic importance [17].

Potential impact of stress tolerance on outcome

Recent reviews and guidelines highlighted that studies are needed to evaluate the prognostic value of measures from exercise testing in patients with diastolic dysfunction/heart failure and preserved EF [18], especially in patients with VHD [19, 20]. Approximately 40% of our patients (group with PRET) showed a prognostically unfavorable feature of cardiopulmonary function [10-12]. In addition, abnormalities in pre-operative testing predicted the subsequent remodeling and cardiovascular function response in many patients. With the development of less invasive (e.g. transcatheter) procedures for valve replacements which possibly extend their indications, recently limited to very severe patients [21], these sorts of data should be valuable in helping to define subsets of patients with VHD who should be considered for earlier surgery.

Role of hscTnT

The finding that hscTnT was predictive for PRET in these patients was not unexpected. Elevations in hscTnT are sensitive to left ventricular hypertrophy and dilation [17]. In addition, stress-induced changes in troponin may be related to an acute stretch mechanism [22] or to integrin-mediated release [23]. Finally [22], elevations can occur with apoptosis, which has now been shown to be common in those with pathological hypertrophy [24, 25]. Regardless of mechanism, they suggest that an evaluative strategy might include hscTnT measurements.

Role of systolic and diastolic function

The group with PRET was more likely to have a reduced tissue Doppler E’ in consistence with the exercise capacity data reported in patients with heart failure and preserved ejection fraction [26]. These data, including reduced transmirtal E values, reflect deficient early diastolic left ventricular recoil in patients with heart failure and preserved EF [27]. There was no association with measures of elevated filling pressures and reduced contractile reserve, in contrast to other studies with heart failure and preserved EF [28, 29]. However, there is controversy [30, 31]; perhaps the association develops later. Improvements in exercise tolerance induced by physical training were not associated with lower filling pressures as assessed by E/flow propagation velocity [32] or with diastolic function in a recent
meta-analysis [33]. The contribution of contractile depression/blunted LV contractile reserve to exercise intolerance is also equivocal [27].

Potential impact on clinical management

Our data may be helpful in managing patients with asymptomatic VHD. First, they may help to define physical activities for professional and recreational lives. It is clear that objective limitations often exceed patient reported symptoms. In addition, those with prognostically relevant reductions in exercise and associated increases in hscTnT should be scrutinized carefully for symptoms. For patients with asymptomatic severe aortic stenosis it is known that more than 30% will have either an event or surgical therapy by one year [34]. Our data may be particularly helpful for patients with aortic regurgitation. They can be asymptomatic for long periods before clinical deterioration. A more objective assessment may be helpful in deciding when to suggest surgical intervention. New surgical techniques have shifted the risk-benefit ratio for patients with mitral regurgitation, allowing for earlier intervention [35]; and these techniques are now being applied to the aortic valve [36]. In the longer term, suggested exercise tests and biomarker evaluations may give the chance to optimize decision-making and to prevent further remodeling and functional deterioration in patients with asymptomatic VHD.

This is an exploratory, hypothesis-generating study, conducted in a single center on a limited number of patients.

In conclusion, among patients with asymptomatic moderate-severe VHD, spiroergometry as well as dobutamine stress echocardiography provide more sensitive detection of exercise intolerance than stress test criteria recommended in guidelines. In 39% of patients with VHD, the reduction of exercise tolerance occurred over a range of values associated in other studies with worse outcomes. This subgroup of patients can be detected by elevations in hscTnT. Additionally, preoperative exercise tolerance predicted postoperative exercise capacity, left ventricular remodeling and function, and hscTnT predicted postoperative EF. Our data suggest that exercise tolerance as well as hscTnT may be helpful in evaluating patients with asymptomatic VHD.
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