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Cerebellar cortical neuron responses evoked from the spinal border cell tract

Pontus Geborek, Anton Spanne, Fredrik Bengtsson and Henrik Jörntell*

Neural Basis of Sensorimotor Control, Department of Experimental Medical Science, Lund University, Lund, Sweden

INTRODUCTION

Spinocerebellar systems are likely to be crucial for cerebellar hallmark functions such as coordination. However, in terms of cerebellar functional analyses, these are perhaps among the least explored systems. The aim of the present study is to achieve activation of a single component of the spinocerebellar systems and to explore to what extent it can influence the spike output of granule cells, Golgi cells, molecular layer (ML) interneurons (stellate and basket cells) and Purkinje cells ( PCs). For this purpose, we took advantage of a unique arrangement discovered in neuroanatomical studies, in which the spinal border cell (SBC) component of the ventral spinocerebellar system was found to be the only spinocerebellar tract which ascends in the contralateral lateral funiculus (coLF) and have terminations in sublobulus C1 of the paramedian lobule in the posterior cerebellum. Using electrical stimulation of this tract, we find a subset of the cerebellar cortical neurons in this region to be moderately or powerfully activated. For example, some of our granule cells displayed high intensity responses whereas the majority of the granule cells displayed no response at all. The finding that more than half of the PCs were activated by stimulation of the SBC tract indicated that this system is capable of directly influencing cerebellar cortical output. The implications of these findings for the view of the integrative functions of the cerebellar cortex are discussed.
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MATERIALS AND METHODS

All experiments (N = 20) were made in the acute decerebrated preparation of the cat. The cats were prepared as previously described (Ekerot and Jorntell, 2001; Jorntell and Ekerot, 2002, 2003). Briefly, following an initial anesthesia with propofol (Diprivan® Zeneca Ltd, Macclesfield Cheshire, UK), the animals were decerebrated at the intercollicular level and the anesthesia
was discontinued. The animals were artificially ventilated and the end-expiratory CO₂, blood pressure and rectal temperature were continuously monitored and maintained within physiological limits. Mounting in a stereotaxic frame, drainage of cerebrospinal fluid, pneumothorax and clamping the spinal processes of a few cervical and lumbar vertebral bodies served to increase the mechanical stability of the preparation. To verify that the animal was decerebrated, we made EEG recordings using a silver ball electrode placed on the surface of the superior parietal cortex. Our EEG recordings were characterized by a background of periodic 1–4 Hz oscillatory activity, periodically interrupted by large-amplitude 7–14 Hz spindle oscillations lasting for 0.5 sec or more. These forms of EEG activities are generally associated with deep stages of sleep (Niedermayer and Lopes da Silva, 1993). The pattern of EEG activity and the blood pressure remained stable, also on noxious stimulation, throughout experiments (see also, Jorntell and Ekerot, 2006).

RECORDINGS AND STIMULATION

Before recordings, the bone and dura covering the posterior part of the left cerebellar paramedian lobule was removed. Laminectomies were made at the level of spinal segments T7–T9 and at the level of the spinal segments L3–L5. We aimed to make in vivo patch clamp recordings from neurons of the cerebellar cortex, in the sublobulus C1. This was done with patch clamp pipettes pulled to 6–19 MΩm potassium-glucuronate based internal solution. Obtaining whole cell recordings from granule cells in the sublobe C1, however, proved more difficult than in the more accessible anterior lobe (Jorntell and Ekerot, 2006). Therefore the present paper includes only loose cell-attached recordings. The general procedures for patch pipette recordings in the granule layer in this preparation have been described previously (Jorntell and Ekerot, 2006). The seal resistances of the recordings of the present material were between 200–2000 MΩm. A HEKA EPC 800 patch clamp amplifier, set to current clamp, was used to amplify the responses from the micropipettes. The signal was converted to a digital signal using the analog-to-digital converter Power 1401 mkII from CED. The software Spike 2 from CED was used to record the digital data. Spike 2 was also used to sort spike activity from noise. Spike shapes were required to have a characteristic spike shape as well as a signal to noise ratio of at least 1:3 in order to verify that it was a neural response rather than ambient noise being analyzed. Peristimulus histograms were made using Matlab. The local field potential analysis was done using a kernel estimation method to interpolate between the recording points in the cerebellum sublobulus C1.

CORTICAL CELL RECORDINGS WITHIN THE MEDIAL PART OF SUBLOBULUS C1

We made loose cell-attached extracellular recordings from granule cells and Golgi cells within the GCL of the medial sublobulus C1, and from PCs and ML interneurons in the overlying Purkinje cell layer (PCL) and ML. The definition of a unit as a granule cell was primarily done using the characteristic spike signature of granule cells, in particular the presence of interspike intervals of < 2.0 ms (Van Dijk et al., 2013), and by verifying that they were located in the granule layer based on field potential recordings (Bengtsson and Jorntell, 2007) and by keeping track of the depths at which PCs were encountered in each experiment for each plane of penetration (this could be done since each experiment involved a high number of electrode tracks). In some experiments, recorded granule cells were recovered morphologically and verified to have the characteristic morphology of granule cells (N = 4). In these experiments, the recording solution of the patch pipettes contained neurobiotin (1.8%) to obtain juxtacellular labeling (Pinault, 1996) of the granule cells we recorded from. In order to increase probability of staining electroporation was done at the end of recording, after all other electrophysiological tests were done. Electroporation was made with 0.1–0.4 nA square pulses with a 300 ms duty, and a 200 ms rest phase, repeated for at least 1 min. At the end of these experiments, the animals were sacrificed by injecting a lethal dose of 3 ml barbiturate and subsequently perfused with paraformaldehyde (4%). The posterior lobe of the cerebellum was excised and stored in paraformaldehyde for up to a week, before the cerebella was sectioned into 60 µm sagittal slices. The slices were incubated with streptavidin conjugated with Alexa 488 Fluor (Molecular Probes, Invitrogen Inc.) and mounted for visualization under the confocal microscope (Zeiss 310 LSM and Zeiss 510 LSM).

QUANTIFICATION OF THE RESPONSES IN CEREBELLAR CORTICAL NEURONS

In order to quantify the responses evoked by coLF stimulation we made peristimulus histograms of the evoked spike responses with 1 ms bin widths. For each histogram, an increase of the response by more than 1 S.D. from the 100 ms prestimulus baseline activity for at least three out of five consecutive bins was taken as an evoked response. Assuming that the frequencies of the Peristimulus time histogram (PSTH) bins are normally distributed, the likelihood of reaching above one standard deviation in a single bin would be approximately 15.9%. By choosing three out of the five PSTH bins as the limit for detection, the risk of a false positive
can be calculated to be 3.07%. This is the combined probability for all permutations where at least three out of five bins have values higher than one standard deviation above the mean value. This test was a good way to measure both slow broad based responses as well as rapid sharp responses. Only responses at 10 ms or shorter response latency time from effective stimulation were considered, since responses evoked at longer latency times were considered unlikely to be due to activation of the SBC tract. In order to calculate the mean net change in firing frequency, spontaneous activity, if it existed, was subtracted from the evoked response and the mean change in spike firing frequency over five consecutive bins was calculated. For the systematic data quantification, we included only the responses evoked by the three pulse stimulations (3 pulses at 3 ms interval) of the coLF.

The experimental procedures were approved in advance by the local Swedish Animal Research Ethics Committee.

RESULTS
We aimed to make loose-patch recordings from the cerebellar cortical neurons of sublobule C1 (Figure 1A), since patch clamp recordings are essentially required to obtain granule cell recordings in vivo (Jorntell and Ekerot, 2006). However, before recording sessions commenced, we delineated the functional organization of the climbing fiber inputs of the paramedian lobule, within which the sublobule C1 is located. We found that the more rostral parts of the C1 zone (not to be confused with the sublobule C1) in the paramedian lobule received climbing fiber input from the ipsilateral distal forelimb, as previously described (Armstrong et al., 1971a,b; Trott and Apps, 1993), and the caudal termination of this representation was found to be a reliable indicator of where the anatomically defined sublobe C1 and the representation of the coLF input began (Figure 1B). This part of the cerebellum was sometimes also found to receive a weak climbing fiber input from the distal ipsilateral hindlimb.

FIELD POTENTIAL RECORDINGS OF coLF RESPONSES IN SUBLOBULUS C1
In order to further maximize our chances of finding cortical neurons activated by putative SBC input, we first conducted a field potential study of responses evoked by stimulation of the coLF. Focussing on sublobe C1, we recorded the distribution of evoked field potentials (Figures 1C,D). The distribution of maximal mossy fiber field potentials was investigated in eight experiments. In all cases, we found that maximal field potentials evoked by coLF stimulation were located in the most medial part of the sublobe C1. The response latency times of the onset of these potentials were $4.3 \pm 0.1$ ms (mean +/- standard deviation) for field potentials evoked from Th8–Th9 ($N = 8$).

ANTIDROMIC ACTIVATION OF SBCs FROM THE CEREBELLAR CORTEX AND THE coLF
In order to verify that our coLF stimulation was effective in activating the SBCs, we made direct tungsten electrode recordings from SBCs ($N = 25$) in the L4 segment of the spinal cord and tested whether they were antidromically activated. To obtain SBC recordings, we used two alternate types of tracks (Figure 2A). In one of the approaches, the electrode had 0° angle in the mediolateral plane and entered the spinal cord at the same mediolateral level as the dorsal root entrance. In the second approach, the electrode was tilted 10° in the mediolateral plane and entered the spinal cord a few 100 µm lateral to the dorsal root entrance. With both approaches, the electrode travelled through white matter...
triple pulse stimulation (three pulses at 3 ms interpulse interval) and a constant response latency time of the SBC spike at both single and triple pulse stimulation (three pulses at 3 ms interpulse interval) using interstimulus intervals of 300 ms (Figures 2A,B). Without antidromic stimulation, they were all (N = 25) completely silent.

For a subset of the recorded SBCs (N = 9), we investigated the points of minimal threshold for antidromic activation from the sublobuli B2, B3 and C1 (see Figure 1A) of the cerebellar cortex. This was done by using a tungsten stimulation electrode. As we tracked for low threshold points, the stimulation electrode was switched to recording mode so that the type of cerebellar layer the electrode was located in could be monitored. For each SBC, we managed to find points of minimal thresholds for antidromic activation within the cerebellar cortex (Figure 2B) with minimal effective intensities below 30 µA, in a few cases below 10 µA. These low threshold points were always located within the GCL of the medial part of sublobulus C1, confirming the findings that we previously made with field potential recordings that the SBC tract terminated primarily medially within the sublobule C1 (Figure 1). The response latency times for antidromic activation of SBCs from the cerebellar cortex was 6.0 +/- 1.9 ms, with a range of 4.2–9.8 ms (N = 9).

The next step was to verify that the SBCs were also antidromically activated by the coLF stimulation (Figure 2C) that we used for evoking activity in the cerebellar cortical neurons. A separate tungsten stimulation electrode was lowered about 1.8–2.0 mm into the ventrolateral quadrant of the spinal cord at the thoracic level 8. The stimulation intensity was adjusted to find the threshold for antidromic activation, which could be as low as 30 µA. A fixed response latency time (with a standard deviation of 0.0 ms in all cases, N = 25) already at threshold stimulation was taken as an indication that these neurons were antidromically rather than synthetically activated. To increase the likelihood that these neurons were not synthetically activated by the stimulation, we compared the highest possible subthreshold stimulation intensity (with no response) with the threshold intensities. The differences were less than 5% in all cases. However, collision tests, where a spontaneous spike blocks the arrival of the antidromically activated spike, were not possible to perform since the SBC neurons in our preparation were completely silent and exhibited no spontaneous spiking. Nevertheless, we believe that the other measures we did obtain are sufficient to make it highly likely that the SBC neurons were indeed antidromically activated by the coLF stimulation, as previously shown (Jankowska et al., 2011a,b; Shrestha et al., 2012a,b). The highest thresholds for antidromic activation of SBCs using coLF stimulation was 0.3–0.5 mA, and this was also the intensity chosen for activation of cerebellar cortical neurons in the subsequent parts of the paper. For coLF stimulation, the response latency times for antidromic activation of SBCs was 1.9 +/- 0.8 ms, with a range of 1.2–2.8 ms (N = 25).

RESPONDEES OF GRANULE CELLS TO coLF STIMULATION
Granule cells (Figure 3A) and the other neurons were recorded in the cell-attached mode. The identification of neurons as single units was made on basis of single spike shape (using templates in software) and a consistent spike amplitude. During the experiments we continuously monitored the spike signals on loudspeakers and computer screens to make sure that the isolated unit was a single unit. Against the background that the cell-
attached mode has been reported to in rare cases generate dual cell recordings (Bengtsson and Jorntell, 2009a; Bengtsson et al., 2013), we here illustrate how we can be sure that we recorded from single granule cells. Figure 3B illustrates the amplitude of a granule cell spike, which could vary over time. Figure 3C illustrates a rare example of a dual cell recording in the GCL, from a Golgi cell and a granule cell. Here, too, the spike amplitude varied over time, but the variation was different for the two neurons, which was an additional means to verify that the two neurons were distinct units and that single neuron recordings were derived from a single neuron. Note that this measurement started already as we approached the neuron, before the seal was established but when we could detect spike activity, which was monitored throughout all electrode tracks. Hence, the possibility that any neural recording labeled as unitary was rather a dual recording must be minimal or negligible.

A subset of the granule cells recorded (N = 164) responded to coLF stimulation with one or two spikes at a regular response latency time of 5–7 ms (Figure 4A). Such responses resulted in very sharp peaks in the peri-stimulus histograms (Figure 4B). In other granule cells, the spike responses were also powerful but consisted of more variable spike response times, which created more broad-based responses in the peristimulus histograms (Figure 4C, black bars). In such cases, the apparent response latency time was typically longer. However, we routinely checked the granule cell responses also to triple pulse stimulation (3 ms interpulse interval). For longer latency responses, the triple pulse stimulation typically provided additional responses at the same time or even before the response evoked by the single pulse stimulation, despite that the stimulations both started at time zero. Since the second pulse added to the response evoked by the single pulse (compare grey and black bars in Figure 4C), the response latency time from the second pulse was taken as the effective response latency in these cases. Based on the antidromic response latency times of the SBCs, which fell in the range of 4–10 ms (Figure 2), only granule cell responses that had an effective response latency time of less than 11 ms were considered to be due to activation of SBCs. In this group of granule cells, the effective response latency time was 6.5 ±/−1.2 ms (range 4.5–9.0 ms, N = 29). The magnitude of the responses was measured from the first 5 ms of the response evoked by the 3 pulse stimulation. Based on the response magnitudes, we could segregate the granule cells into two groups (p < 0.05, t-test); those responding with an average spike intensity of 90 Hz or more and those responding at less than 60 Hz. For the high-intensity group, the firing frequency of the evoked response was 257 ±/−98 Hz (N = 16). For the low-intensity group, the firing frequency of the evoked response was 46 ±/−21 Hz (N = 13).
RESPONSES OF GOLGI CELLS, PURKINJE CELLS AND MOLECULAR LAYER INTERNEURONS TO coLF STIMULATION

We also recorded the evoked spike responses in Golgi cells (N = 27), PCs (N = 16) and ML interneurons (N = 11) in the medial part of sublobule C1. Golgi cells were identified by their location in the granule layer (see description for granule cells in Section Materials and Methods; briefly, the definition relies on the characteristic polarity changes of evoked mossy fiber field potential responses and the location of the PCL where the characteristic complex spikes can be recorded (Eccles et al., 1967; Bengtsson and Jorntell, 2007)) and their long tuning distances as well as their firing characteristics (Van Dijck et al., 2013). PCs were identified by the presence of complex spikes whereas interneurons were identified by their location in the ML interneurons and the absence of complex spikes (Jorntell and Ekerot, 2011). Complex spikes were separated from simple spikes by their distinct secondary depolarization and secondary spikelets within 1–5 ms after the initial spike (cf. Figure 5B).

Among Golgi cells, direct responses to coLF stimulation (Figure 5A) were more common (11/27) than among granule cells, possibly reflecting the large dendritic trees and more widespread input sampling of these cells. Similar to granule cells, the intensity of the response could vary substantially between Golgi cells (Figure 5A). The effective response latency times were 6.5 +/- 1.6 ms (N = 11), also this value was similar to that of granule cells. The net evoked responses were 62 +/- 27 Hz (N = 11), with a considerable range (4–82 Hz). Also some PCs in this region responded quite powerfully to coLF stimulation (Figure 5B), whereas other PCs had weaker input. Only 6 out of the 16 PCs recorded lacked detectable responses to coLF stimulation. The effective response latency time was 8.7 +/- 1.6 ms and the net evoked responses were 40 +/- 32 Hz (range 10–103 Hz). For ML interneurons (Figure 5C), 5 of the 11 recorded neurons had responses with an effective response latency time of 8.8 +/- 1.6 ms and a net response of 42 +/- 26 Hz (range 8–93 Hz).

DISCUSSION

The present paper is the first investigation of the responses evoked in all the major types of cerebellar cortical neurons from a putative single component of the spinocerebellar systems. The approach of directly activating mossy fibers greatly facilitates the interpretation of how the responses are generated as compared to other studies where more complex inputs (i.e., peripheral activation of multiple parallel pathways) or behaviorally generated spike discharges have been analyzed. The analysis of the responses of cerebellar cortical neurons to the direct activation of the SBC mossy fiber pathway indicated that these responses are relatively straight forward reflections of the input, although the synaptic weights of the input may vary across the population. Some of the neurons responded with very powerful responses and the responses evoked in the PCs suggest that the information conveyed by a single component of the spinocerebellar pathways can readily make its way to the cerebellar cortical output. The implications of our findings in relation to our understanding of cerebellar function in general, and the Marr-Albus family of ideas of cerebellar granule layer function in particular, are discussed.

TRANSMISSION OF THE SPINOCEREBELLAR MOSSY FIBER INFORMATION THROUGH THE CEREBELLAR CORTEX

Different neurons had different effective response latency times, which is compatible with our observations that the antidromic
FIGURE 5 | Responses of Golgi cells, PCs and ML interneurons to coLF stimulation. coLF triple pulse stimulation at 0.5 mA was used in all panels. At the top are peristimulus histograms with a bin width of 1 ms of the responses, at the bottom sample raw traces. (A) Peristimulus histograms for two examples of Golgi cells, one with a strong response and a second with a weaker response. Sample raw traces below are from the Golgi cell with strong responses. Dashed lines indicate time of stimulation (three pulses). (B) Peristimulus histograms for two examples of PCs (only simple spike activity was analyzed). Sample raw traces are from the PC with moderate response. Asterisks indicate complex spikes. (C) Peristimulus histograms for two examples of ML interneurons. Sample raw traces are from the ML with a strong response. Due to the very large artefacts from the coLF stimulation (due to the high seal in this recording) software filtering was applied in this case only.

response latency times of the SBCs varied considerably, similar to the antidromic response latency times for other components of the ventral spinocerebellar tract (Geborek et al., 2013). Quite possibly, some granule cells received SBC inputs from units with similar conduction velocity, which would be expected to result in very sharp response profiles in the histograms as in Figure 4B, whereas others received inputs from less well synchronized SBC inputs whereby the response profiles in the histograms would become broader (Figure 4C). Since granule cells typically have a relatively wide gap between the resting membrane potential and firing threshold and requires the summation of three or four mossy fiber synapses to fire (Chadderton et al., 2004; Jorntell and Ekerot, 2006; Duguid et al., 2012), even a low-amplitude broad based response could be considered a strong response. Notably, since we used direct electrical stimulation of a population of mossy fibers, the input generated is artificially synchronous and does of course not reflect the spatiotemporal patterns of activity that these mossy fibers would display during behavior. Nevertheless, it does provide a measure of how effective the synaptic input is and whether it can be transmitted to downstream neurons. The range of different intensities in the responses suggests that we recorded from Golgi cells, PCs and ML interneurons with different locations relative to the clusters of granule cells receiving strong activation from the coLF stimulation. The fact that the
granule cell responses were transmitted, suggests that this population of granule cells (i.e., those activated by the SBC tract) provide relatively effective synapses to the other cortical neuron types.

**IMPLICATIONS FOR MODELS OF CEREBELLAR CORTICAL FUNCTION**

For granule cells, the average response frequency of 260 Hz (in the group of granule cells labeled high-intensity responders) is a very strong response, although higher intensity responses have been reported using more natural types of activation of the mossy fiber input (Jorntell and Ekerot, 2006). Nevertheless, for a stimulation that would be expected to set up only 3 spikes at 333 Hz in each of the activated mossy fibers, such response intensities in granule cells is compatible with that most or all of the mossy fiber inputs to these granule cells were activated from the stimulated tract, in agreement with our previous analysis of granule cells in the cerebellar C3 zone of the anterior lobe (Jorntell and Ekerot, 2006; Bengtsson and Jorntell, 2009b). The present study could however not provide any direct evidence for this since we failed to obtain any intracellular recordings from the high-intensity responders. At any rate, the demonstration that the information is transmitted so powerfully through some of the granule cells, verified by the fact that we also recorded responses in the PCs and MI interneurons (Figure 4) has some important implications for the original Marr-Albus ideas of cerebellar granule layer processing (Marr, 1969; Albus, 1971). In Marr’s important theoretical paper, it was assumed that each of the four mossy fiber synapses that the granule cell received were needed to be activated in conjunction in order to trigger the output of the granule cells, which is also in agreement with findings from in vitro and in vivo studies (D’Angelo et al., 1995; Jorntell and Ekerot, 2006; Bengtsson and Jorntell, 2009b). However, Marr postulated that each of these mossy fibers carried unique information and it was only when input from these separate sources of information were driven coincidentally that the granule cell could be made to fire. Granule cell firing was hence believed to be a rare event and the idea of sparse coding in the GCL, which is a widespread notion in cerebellar theories, was a natural consequence of this line of reasoning. This part of the original Marr-Albus ideas would hence be compatible with our findings for the smaller group of granule cells that were low-intensity responders. It is important to recall that the existence of such granule cells does not change the conclusions with respect to the original Marr-Albus idea and cerebellar function discussed above—the fact that SBC tract stimulation alone can powerfully drive cerebellar output is sufficient to say that these original ideas cannot be correct in this respect.

**ALTERNATIVE SOURCES OF MOSSY FIBER INPUTS TO SUBLOBULE C1**

The region we recorded from could be defined as the physiological C1 zone of the paramedian lobule based on the climbing fiber responses that we recorded. The physiological C1 zone has a second representation in the cerebellar anterior lobe (Apps and Garwicz, 2005). Since the mossy fiber input to different parts of a single climbing fiber zone is at least partly branches from the same systems (Pijpers et al., 2006), the C1 zone of the sublobule C1 may be expected to receive mossy fiber inputs from similar systems as in the C1 zone of the anterior lobe. These systems are the bilateral ventral flexor reflex tract (bVFRT)-component of the lateral reticular nucleus (LRN; Clendenin et al., 1974; Ekerot, 1990), corticopontine input and dorsal column nucleus input from the trunk or hindlimb (Cooke et al., 1971; Gerrits et al., 1985). In addition, the neurons of the Clarke's column of the thoracic segments, i.e., the thoracic component of the dorsal spino cerebellar tract (DSCT), has been shown to terminate in the sublobule C1 (Matsushita and Ikeda, 1980). None of these systems would be directly excited by coLF stimulation, as their fibers are all located on the ipsilateral side of the spinal cord: DSCT ascends ipsilaterally, the dorsal funiculus input ascends ipsilaterally, the bVFRT-input to the LRN ascends ipsilaterally, and the corticospinal fibers that activate the pontine nuclei is located in the ipsilateral dorsolateral funiculus,
although a contribution from the uncrossed corticospinal fibers cannot be excluded. In the latter case, however, the fibers are few, are located ventrally in the spinal cord (Armand and Kuypers, 1980), and the input would involve an extra synaptic relay in the pons, which would imply later responses than the ones we observed. Taken together, this is an unlikely alternative. Activation of other descending motor command systems, such as the tecto- and vestibulospinal tracts, which could be located in the vicinity of the coLF, have bilateral terminations and therefore could activate one of the spinocerebellar systems targeting the sublobule C1, remains a likely alternative. However, this would involve extra synaptic delays and their responses would therefore be expected to occur later than inputs from the SBCs—in fact, in quite a few cases we saw substantial activations well beyond the 12 ms response latency limit we applied, which could correspond to this alternative route of cerebellar activation. For the granule cell spike responses we recorded, with an average effective latency time of 6 ms, direct activation of the ascending SBC axons remains the most probable route.

INFORMATION CONVEYED BY SPINOCEREBELLAR TRACTS

Spinocerebellar neurons integrate information from descending motor command systems with sensory feedback information mediated by spinal premotor interneurons (summarized in Oscarsson, 1973; Jankowska et al., 2010; Hammar et al., 2011; Jankowska et al., 2011a,b; Krutki et al., 2011; Shrestha et al., 2012a,b; Spanne and Jorntell, 2013). Specifically, SBCs receive monosynaptic excitatory inputs from the reticulospinal tract, indirect information from rubro- and corticospinal tracts and primarily inhibitory inputs from interneurons activated by group I and group II muscle afferents (Hammar et al., 2011; Jankowska et al., 2011a; Shrestha et al., 2012a,b). The information conveyed seems to be sensory events and motor command components that applies to multiple limb segments and is therefore likely to be important for the function of coordination (Spanne and Jorntell, 2013). A possible explanation for the relatively powerful activation of the downstream cortical neurons from the SBC tract, despite that only a relatively small part of the granule cell population was activated by this input, is that this type of crucial signals for coordination are given high synaptic weights in a larger population of cortical neurons. In addition, the integration of sensory feedback with internal motor command signals makes these systems ideal substrates for the formation of internal models. The observation that PCs can signal in a fashion compatible with an internal model representation (Pasalar et al., 2006; Popa et al., 2012, 2013) could be due to the information conveyed by the spinocerebellar systems.
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