Modulational instability (MI), known as the Benjamin-Feir instability in water waves, is a ubiquitous phenomenon in focusing nonlinear media that is manifested in the growth of small, long-wavelength perturbations of a constant background [1–9]. The linear stage of MI is characterized by an exponential growth of all the perturbations falling in the region of the Fourier spectrum below a certain cut-off wave number [5]. This simple picture ceases to be valid when the amplitude of the growing perturbation becomes comparable to the background, i.e., at the nonlinear stage of MI.

In the nonlinear regime, MI exhibits a rich spatiotemporal dynamics that has recently been the subject of significant interest in several areas of experimental and theoretical physics [10–20]. In this respect, the focusing one-dimensional nonlinear Schrödinger equation (1D NLSE) plays a prominent role as a universal mathematical model describing at leading order wave phenomena relevant to many fields of nonlinear physics such as, e.g., optics and hydrodynamics [21]. A particular scenario of the MI development strongly depends on the type of initial conditions considered. In the majority of the existing analytical, numerical, and experimental studies of MI, periodic or random initial modulations of a constant background have been considered [10–13,18–20,22]. For these types of initial conditions the nonlinear stage of the MI development was shown to be dominated by breatherlike structures such as the Akhmediev, Kuznetsov-Ma, Peregrine breathers and their generalizations. The role of NLSE breather solutions has been extensively discussed in recent years in the context of the formation of rogue waves [23,24]. A particular type of breather solutions of the 1D NLSE, the so-called superregular solitonic solutions, have been shown in Refs. [25,26] to describe the development of a certain type of small localized perturbations of the plane wave [27]. In the inverse scattering theory of the 1D NLSE regular and superregular solitonic solutions have a discrete spectrum component associated with their soliton content [25,26].

When a localized (and not necessarily small) initial perturbation of a plane wave has an arbitrary shape (within the class of “solitonless” perturbations with no discrete spectrum), it was recently shown using the inverse scattering transform solutions of the 1D NLSE that the nonlinear dynamics of MI is characterized by a longtime hyperbolic scenario, where a universal (not depending on the shape of the initial localized perturbation to leading order) nonlinear oscillatory structure develops and expands in time with finite speed [28–30]. In sharp contrast with the previously mentioned MI scenarios involving the formation of various breathers, this scenario involves the formation of a symmetric expanding nonlinear wave structure described by the modulated elliptic solution of the 1D NLSE. The modulation provides a gradual transition from a fundamental soliton (realized only asymptotically as a local approximation of the solution) resting at the center to small-amplitude dispersive waves propagating away from the center with linear group velocity. This universal modulated elliptic solution of the 1D NLSE saturating the MI was first obtained in Ref. [31] in the framework of the Whitham modulation theory [32].

It has been demonstrated in Ref. [33] that the qualitative behaviors found within the integrable NLSE framework are
robust and the considered nonlinear stage of MI can also be found in a variety of other wave systems being not necessarily integrable. In view of the fundamental significance of the 1D NLSE and its generalizations, the question of the physical relevance of this universal scenario of the MI development and the possibility of its realization in an experiment are of major importance for nonlinear physics.

In this Letter, we report the experimental observations of the space-time dynamics of a modulationaly unstable plane wave modified by two types of localized perturbations: a hump and a well. Using a recirculating fiber loop as the experimental platform, we observe the expansion of a nonlinear oscillatory structure going along with the amplification of the small optical noise that surrounds the perturbed plane wave at the initial stage. The behaviors observed experimentally are quantitatively very well described by the 1D NLSE with a small linear damping term, and our experimental observations reveal that the “hyperbolic” scenario considered in Refs. [28,29,31,33,34] exhibits robustness to noise, to weak dissipation, and to some deviations from integrability that are inherent in any experimental system.

Even though modern single mode fibers (SMFs) represent propagation media with very small linear losses (typically \(\sim 0.2\) dB/km at the telecommunication wavelength of 1550 nm), their attenuation cannot be considered as being fully negligible over propagation distances of a few kilometers. Many of the optical fiber experiments realized in recent years for the observation of breather solutions of the 1D NLSE have encompassed this constraint by using waves with an optical power of the order of \(\sim 1\) W. With this power, the characteristic nonlinear length typically ranges between 100 m and 1 km so that single-pass propagation experiments reasonably well described by the 1D NLSE can be performed within propagation lengths between one to several kilometers. In all single-pass fiber geometries where Watt-level powers are required, the generated nonlinear structures have typical durations falling between \(\sim 1\) and \(\sim 10\) ps. This requires the use of fast optical detection devices like optical sampling oscilloscopes or time lenses [11,35–38]. Moreover, the observation of the space-time dynamics in single-pass optical fiber experiments often represents a difficult task since it relies on destructive cutback techniques [39] or, alternatively, on nonlinear digital holography methods [40,41].

In our work, we have adopted another strategy by implementing a recirculating fiber loop that presents the “slow” evolution of the perturbed plane wave, round-trip after round-trip inside the fiber loop. In our recirculating fiber loop, the optical power is kept typically around only \(\sim 10\) mW and the propagation distances that are reached can be as large as hundreds of kilometers. With this experimental approach, all the physically relevant characteristic lengths and durations are rescaled by one or two orders of magnitude; i.e., the nonlinear length becomes of the order of \(\sim 100\) km and the typical duration of soliton structures becomes \(\sim 50\) ps.

FIG. 1. Schematic representation of the experimental setup. PC, polarization controller; EDFA, erbium-doped fiber amplifier; AOM, acousto-optic modulator. The bottom part represents the decay of the plane wave power measured in the absence (blue line) and in the presence (red line) of Raman amplification at a pump power of 535 mW.
The linear losses of the SMF are around ~0.2 dB/km. They are partially compensated by Raman amplification in a section of the loop that is 2 km long. Following the method used in Refs. [20,35], a pump beam at 1450 nm is launched in a counterpropagating (clockwise) direction to provide Raman gain with weak relative intensity noise. The pump power \( P_p \) at 1450 nm is typically around ~500 mW, which is much greater than the power \( (P_0 \sim 10 \text{ mW}) \) of the plane wave circulating inside the loop. The pump radiation at 1450 nm is coupled in and out of the fiber loop by using two wavelength dense multiplexers (WDMs). Increasing the power \( P_p \) of the pump beam at 1450 nm from zero to a few hundreds of mW, the decay time of the square pulse that propagates inside the loop and that is measured by the photodiode PD2 at the output of the fiber coupler dramatically increases from \( \sim 40 \mu s \) to \( \sim 1 \text{ ms} \), as shown in the bottom part of Fig. 1. Let us emphasize that our ring cavity is conceptually different and also simpler than coherently driven passive cavities used, e.g., in Refs. [49,50] as intrinsically bistable devices that can support dissipative cavity solitons.

Figure 2 (left) shows the space-time evolution of an optical plane wave initially perturbed by a localized bright (positive) perturbation having a duration of \( \sim 30 \text{ ps} \) and a peak power twice as large as the mean power \( (14 \text{ mW}) \) of the plane wave; see Fig. 2(b). As shown in Fig. 2(a), the experiment reveals that a nonlinear oscillatory structure develops from the initial localized perturbation and expands with propagation distance, in qualitative agreement with the scenario theoretically described in Refs. [28,29,31,33]. Additionally, the real-time single-shot measurement of the evolution of the perturbed plane wave reveals that the small optical noise that surrounds the plane wave at the initial stage [see Fig. 2(b)] is significantly amplified due to MI [see Figs. 2(a) and 2(c)].

In fact, Fig. 2 provides the experimental evidence of the inherent competition between the well-known process of noise amplification by MI and the process of the development of a nonlinear oscillatory structure within the wedge-shaped region. In our experiments we have observed that the nonlinear oscillatory structure shown Fig. 2(a) can be completely overtaken by the process of the exponential amplification of the small optical noise that perturbs the laser field at the initial stage. To obtain the space-time diagram of Fig. 2(a), the power \( P_0 \) of the initial plane wave and the power \( P_p \) of the 1450 nm pump laser have been carefully adjusted to 14 and 535 mW, respectively. With these values, the growth rate of the oscillatory structure that emerges from the local perturbation, the noise amplification rate, and the cavity loss rate are sufficiently well balanced for the nonlinear oscillatory structure to be observed over a propagation distance of \( \sim 500 \text{ km} \) [corresponding to \( \sim 9 \) times the nonlinear length \( L_{NL} = 1/(\gamma P_0) \)]. Taking some other values of \( P_0 \) and \( P_p \), space-time evolutions similar to the one plotted in Fig. 2(a) can be observed over some larger or smaller physical propagation distances. However, the growth rate of the nonlinear oscillatory structure and the noise amplification rate are inherently comparable and it always happens that the nonlinear oscillatory structure never survives beyond a limited (typically between \( \sim 5 \)
and \( \sim 10 \) number of nonlinear lengths before being destroyed by noise amplification. This feature has already been discussed and evidenced in simulations of the 1D NLSE where the numerical noise was shown to destroy the structure in finite time [29].

Behaviors found in the experiments are retrieved with a good quantitative agreement from the numerical integration of the 1D NLSE with small linear damping,

\[
i \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial z} = \beta_2 \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial t^2} - \gamma |\psi|^2 \psi - i \frac{\alpha_{\text{eff}}}{2} \psi,
\]

and with parameters corresponding to the experiments. \( \psi(z,t) \) represents the complex envelope of the electric field that slowly varies in space \( z \) and time \( t \). At 1550 nm the group velocity dispersion coefficient of the SMF is \( \beta_2 = -22 \text{ ps}^2/\text{km} \). The Kerr coefficient is \( \gamma = 1.3 \text{ W}^{-1} \text{ km}^{-1} \) and the effective power losses \( \alpha_{\text{eff}} \) measured from the decay rate of the plane wave inside the ring cavity are \( 4.2 \times 10^{-3} \text{ km}^{-1} \) (equivalently 0.018 dB/km).

Figure 2 (right) shows the result of the numerical integration of Eq. (1) by taking \( \psi(z = 0,t) = \sqrt{P_0[1 + \exp\left(-\left(t/T_0^2\right)\right]} \) as initial condition. Taking \( P_0 = 14 \text{ mW} \) and \( T_0 = 30 \text{ ps} \), this expression fits quite well the experimental profile plotted in Fig. 2(b). There is a good quantitative agreement between right (numerical) and left (experimental) parts of Fig. 2, which indeed confirms that our experiment is well described by Eq. (1) where power losses have been introduced in a phenomenological way.

It has been shown in Refs. [28,29] that the development of the oscillation behavior in the nonlinear stage of MI does not depend on the exact shape of the localized perturbation, provided some (relatively mild) conditions necessary for a rigorous treatment are satisfied. We have investigated this point from our experiments. Figure 3 shows space-time evolution of an optical plane wave initially perturbed by a localized dark (negative) perturbation. The experimental results plotted in Figs. 3(a)–3(c) reveal that a nonlinear oscillatory structure grows from the initial perturbation. One can see that the detailed structure exhibiting two symmetric solitary waves separated by a narrow “vacuum” region in the central part slightly differs from the one observed in the positive perturbation case. However, the leading order modulation solution describing this structure is the same as in the positive perturbation case. This can be readily understood by noticing that the dynamics of the plane wave under sufficiently negative localized perturbation can be viewed as a combination of two focusing dam breaks of opposite signs located close to each other [15,51]. It follows from the results of Refs. [15,34,52] that the modulation solution describing such a “double dam break” problem is exactly the same as the one from Refs. [28,29,31] for the positive perturbation case [47], confirming the universality of the observed structure.

As shown in Fig. 3 (right), the behavior observed experimentally is also quantitatively well described by the numerical simulation of Eq. (1) taking \( \psi(z = 0,t) = \sqrt{P_0[1 - \beta \exp\left(-\left(t/T_0^2\right)\right]} \) as the initial condition \((P_0 = 16 \text{ mW}, \beta = 0.93, T_0 = 50 \text{ ps})\) that fits the experimental profile shown in Fig. 3(b).

As shown in Refs. [28,31], the boundaries of the region separating the nonlinear oscillatory solution from the plane wave region are expanding linearly with the
evolution variable. When rephrased in physical variables, these boundaries are given by \( t_{\pm} = \pm 2\sqrt{2\beta_2 y P_0 z} \) (see Supplemental Material [47]). They are plotted with white straight lines in Figs. 2(a), 2(d), 3(a), and 3(d). Even though the oscillatory structure is effectively located within the linear boundaries, the edges of the nonlinear oscillating structure are relatively far from the boundaries predicted by the asymptotic (longtime) theory. This quantitative difference between experiment and theory arises from the fact that the theoretical result has been established in the framework of the purely integrable and nondissipative 1D NLSE; see Supplemental Material [47]. Comparing Figs. 2(a) and 2(d) and Figs. 3(a) and 3(d), it is clear that dissipation has a stronger effect on the expansion of the bright perturbation than on the expansion of the dark one. At the qualitative level, this can be understood by realizing that the nonlinear wave structure establishes itself much faster due to the low background at the center, naturally fitting the rapidly decaying field in the fundamental soliton. Further theoretical work is needed to quantify the influence of small dissipation but also vectorial (polarization) effects on the universal oscillatory solution [28,29,31,33] and its manifestation in experiment; see Ref. [53].

In summary, we have reported an optical fiber experiment in which we have observed the space-time dynamics of a modulationaly unstable plane wave perturbed by a localized peak. Our experimental results demonstrate the robustness to noise and small dissipation of the expanding modulated solution theoretically found in Refs. [28,29,31]. Our experimental platform could be further used to explore other scenarios of the nonlinear stage of MI, including integrable turbulence or soliton gas [54–57].
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