Fluctuations in brain temperature induced by lipopolysaccharides
Central and peripheral contributions
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Introduction

Brain temperature is an important physiological parameter that affects numerous neural functions. Despite erroneous beliefs in its stability and tight regulation, brain temperature fluctuates within relatively large limits (3–4°C) in the normal physiological and behavioral continuum.1,2 In rats, temperatures in deep brain structures fall to its nadir (~35°C) during slow-wave sleep and increase up to 38–39°C following salient environmental stimulation and during different types of naturally motivated behaviors.3-5 Despite minor structural differences evident with high-resolution recordings, these temperature fluctuations are generally correlative in various brain structures and associated with similar changes in body core temperatures. Therefore, brain and body temperature homeostasis could be altered under physiologically relevant conditions via a purely neural mechanism, involving stimulation of somato-sensory afferents, rapid neurotransmission and subsequent metabolic brain activation.

These physiological temperature fluctuations that appear to be a part of normal organism’s adaptive activity stand apart from fever or “controlled hyperthermia” that is induced by a number of toxins as a part of the organism’s immune response during bacterial or viral infection.6,7 While manifestations of fever greatly vary across different animal species, depending upon the nature and levels of toxins and an organism’s individual responsiveness to them, in rodents fever is usually modeled with systemic administration of lipopolysaccharides (LPSs), the best-known exogenous pyrogens. In contrast to rapid, monophasic and relatively short-term hyperthermic responses induced by salient somato-sensory stimuli, LPS-induced body temperature elevation develops with much longer onset latencies, has several phases, and is continued for at least several hours or more.8,9 While an enhanced metabolism-related heat production and diminished heat dissipation due

In this study, we examined changes in central (anterior-preoptic hypothalamus) and peripheral (temporal muscle and facial skin) temperatures in freely moving rats following intravenous administration of bacterial lipopolysaccharides (LPS) at low doses (1 and 10 μg/kg) at thermoneutral conditions (28°C). Recordings were made with high temporal resolution (5-s bin) and the effects of LPS were compared with those induced by a tail-pinch, a standard arousing somato-sensory stimulus. At each dose, LPS moderately elevated brain, muscle and skin temperatures. In contrast to rapid, monophasic and relatively short hyperthermic responses induced by a tail-pinch, LPS-induced increases in brain and muscle temperatures occurred with ~40 min onset latencies, showed three not clearly defined phases, were slightly larger with the 10 μm/kg dose and maintained for the entire 4-hour post-injection recording duration. Based on dynamics of brain-muscle and skin-muscle temperature differentials, it appears that the hyperthermic response induced by LPS at the lowest dose originates from enhanced peripheral heat production, with no evidence of brain metabolic activation and skin vasoconstriction. While peripheral heat production also appears to determine the first phase of brain and body temperature elevation with LPS at 10 μg/kg, a further prolonged increase in brain-muscle differentials (onset at ~100 min) suggests metabolic brain activation as a factor contributing to brain and body hyperthermia. At this dose, skin temperature increase was weaker than in temporal muscle, suggesting vasoconstriction as another contributor to brain/body hyperthermia. Therefore, although both LPS at low doses and salient sensory stimuli moderately increase brain and body temperatures, these hyperthermic responses have important qualitative differences, reflecting unique underlying mechanisms.
to peripheral vasoconstriction are usually viewed as the primary factors responsible for LPS-induced body temperature elevation, the relationship between these two factors and the role of the brain in mediating the temperature responses remain unclear. It is generally believed that the hypothalamus is the primary site that triggers LPS-induced hyperthermia (reviewed in ref. 7 and 10), but LPSs minimally cross the blood-brain barrier.\textsuperscript{11,12} Instead, they induce the release of multiple endogenous pyrogens (i.e., interleukin 1 and 6, tumor necrosis factor-\(\alpha\), prostaglandins), which could act both in the brain and periphery to increase metabolism and heat production.\textsuperscript{13-15} It is unclear, however, whether this effect is triggered centrally (i.e., via brain metabolic activation and subsequent involvement of sympathetic mechanisms) or results from the direct action of endogenous pyrogens on peripheral heat-producing organs (i.e., liver, muscle, adipose tissue). While data on LPS-induced changes in brain metabolism are limited and inconclusive, LPSs enhance bodily heat production and energy expenditure by increasing expression of uncoupling protein-2 mRNA in peripheral tissues (liver, muscles, white adipose tissue).\textsuperscript{16,17} Numerous substances released following LPS administration (i.e., interleukins, prostaglandins, NO, bradykinin) could also act both centrally and directly on blood vessels to induce peripheral vasoconstriction, another contributor to LPS-induced temperature elevation.

Although there are numerous studies that describe body temperature effects of LPSs in various animal species and at different doses, their effects on brain temperature and their relationships with peripheral temperatures remain unknown. To clarify this issue, we examined changes in hypothalamic (medial preoptic-anterior hypothalamus or MPAH) and peripheral (temporal muscle and facial skin) temperatures induced by LPS in freely moving rats. We used representative Escherichia coli LPSs that were delivered intravenously (iv) at very low doses (1 and 10 \(\mu\)g/kg) that are known to increase body temperatures in rats. LPS and saline were administered to animals intensively habituated to the testing environment via a chronically implanted jugular catheter and from a distant location, thus providing quiet resting conditions for temperature baselines and eliminating the arousing effect of the injection procedure.\textsuperscript{2} Our measurements were conducted at 27–29°C, which correspond to thermoneutral conditions, i.e., when heat production and heat loss are balanced and metabolism is at the lowest rates.\textsuperscript{18,19} LPS-induced temperature changes were compared with those induced by a tail-pinch, a typical arousing stimulus that induces brain and body hyperthermic responses coupled with acute peripheral vasoconstriction via a purely neural mechanism.\textsuperscript{20} To capture rapid fluctuations, temperatures were recorded with high temporal resolution (5-s bin) and supplemented with a simultaneous recording of locomotor activity.

While our primary goal was to evaluate the pattern and time-course of LPS-induced changes in hypothalamic temperature, two other head locations were important for assessing the contributions of arterial blood inflow and the vessel state (vasoconstriction/vasodilatation) to brain temperature fluctuations. Temporal muscle is a non-motor (non-thermogenic) muscle and its temperature depends primarily upon the temperature of arterial blood inflow. Since temporal muscle and the brain receive their arterial blood inflow from the same carotid artery, brain-muscle temperature differentials (i.e., difference in their relative temperatures) can help determine whether brain temperature changes come from central (i.e., intra-brain heat production) or peripheral source. As shown in our previous studies, brain temperature increases induced by salient environmental stimuli and psychomotor stimulants are consistently more rapid and stronger than those in temporal muscle (reviewed in ref. 20 and 21), suggesting metabolic brain activation as a primary triggering factor of brain hyperthermia. In contrast, the relationships between brain and muscle temperatures are opposite during pentobarbital-induced anesthesia, with much stronger decreases in brain structures than in the muscle or body core, pointing at central metabolic inhibition as a leading factor of brain hyperthermia.\textsuperscript{22} Skin temperature depends primarily upon the vessel state and its absolute change indicates the extent of heat loss to the external environment. Since skin temperature is also affected by slower temperature influences from the arterial blood supply, skin-muscle temperature differentials provide more accurate evaluation of vasoconstriction/vasodilatation by excluding this influence. Using this approach, we previously showed that despite a decrease in absolute skin temperature during pentobarbital anesthesia, this change is much weaker than that in temporal muscle, suggesting tonic vasodilatation as an important contributor to drug-induced hypothermia.\textsuperscript{22} Skin-muscle differentials could be especially important for evaluating a vascular change following such slow and prolonged temperature responses as those induced by LPS.

Results

The present data were obtained from 8 rats that were recorded during multiple sessions conducted within a two-week time interval. Each rat received three LPS injections (one at 1 and two at 10 \(\mu\)g/kg), 2–3 injections of saline and two tail-pinch presentations.

Basal temperatures and locomotion: effects of saline and recording time: temperature and motor responses to tail-pinch. Consistent with our previous work, rats intensively habituated to the recording environment were generally inactive and had basal hypothalamic temperatures varying between 36–37°C. Temperatures in temporal muscle were consistently lower than in the MPAH both in each individual animal and as group means (36.02 ± 0.19°C vs. 36.81 ± 0.19°C; \(p < 0.01\)). Facial skin had the lowest temperature (35.20 ± 0.12°C), significantly differing from that in both the muscle and brain.

As shown in Figure 1 (left part), slow saline administration (0.3 ml within 20 s) did not induce any significant changes in temperature and locomotion. However, brain and muscle temperatures slowly and gradually decreased within a session, while skin temperature was either stable or slightly increased (A1 and B2). Importantly, brain-muscle differentials remained highly stable within the entire analysis interval, while skin-muscle differential slightly increased within a two-hour observation period (C1). Despite much higher variability (see standard errors in Fig. 1C), the effect was significant. Although saline injection resulted
in a weak increase in locomotion within ~20 min post-injection, this effect did not reach significance (D).

Although saline was administered via a catheter tubing from a distant location in order to make these injections undetectable by the rat, we could not exclude that a weak locomotor response and transient decrease in skin temperature seen immediately before and after saline administration could result from sensory stimulation related to the injection procedure. A weak increase in skin-muscle differential seen during the session could reflect a gradual weakening of skin vasoconstriction following animal habituation to the warm housing environment.

Consistent with our previous work, tail-pincho-induced locomotor activation and robust temperature responses in each recording location (Fig. 1, right part). Brain and muscle temperatures
Figure 1 (See opposite page). Changes in brain (MPAH), temporal muscle and facial skin temperatures following iv saline administration (left part) and one-min tail-pinch (right part) in freely moving rats maintained at thermoneutral conditions (27–29°C). The figure shows: (A) absolute temperatures; (B) relative temperatures; (C) MPAH-muscle and skin-muscle temperature differentials; and (D) locomotion. Temperature data for saline are shown with 4 min averaging and for tail-pinch with 1-min averaging. Locomotor data for both tests are shown as a number of counts per either 4- (saline) or 1-min (tail-pinch) intervals. Statistical analyses were conducted with one-way ANOVA for repeated measures. The effect of time on temperatures for saline injection was not significant (F(15,45) = 0.75, 0.63 and 1.10, for MPAH, muscle and skin, respectively), but highly significant for tail-pinch (F(15,45) = 19.61, 14.58 and 27.51 for MPAH, muscle and skin, respectively; each p < 0.001). ANOVA values for the effects on brain-muscle differentials: saline-F(15,45) = 4.13 (p < 0.001) and on skin-muscle differentials: saline-F(15,45) = 1.59 (p < 0.03); tail-pinch-F(15,45) = 26.05 (p < 0.001). The effect on locomotion was not significant for saline (p = 0.11), but highly significant for tail-pinch (p < 0.001). Values significantly different from baselines are shown by filled symbols.

Adapted heavily from references: 1-3

Effects of LPSs. As can be seen in Figure 2 (left part), brain, muscle and skin temperatures moderately increased following LPS injection (1 µg/kg). The increase in brain and muscle temperatures (~0.8°C) was about the same as with a tail-pinch, but occurred with ~48 min latencies (which were about the same in both locations) and had three not clearly defined phases (peaks at ~60, ~140 min and in the end of recording). The changes in both temperatures paralleled (A and B) and the MPAH-muscle differential fluctuated around zero for the entire recording interval (C). Increase in skin temperature occurred with a slightly shorter latency (32 min) and showed a transient rise at the time when brain and muscle temperatures showed significant increases. Skin-muscle differentials showed robust fluctuations both before and after drug administration but transiently (and significantly) increased around the time when brain and muscle showed the first temperature elevation. LPSs at the lowest dose did not affect locomotor activity (D).

The changes induced by LPS at 10 µg/kg dose were similar to those seen at a lower dose, but brain and muscle temperature increases were stronger (~1.0°C), three phases were clearly evident (peaks at ~60, 120–140 min and at the recording end) and temperature elevation in the skin was weaker than that in the muscle and brain (A and B). Similar to the low dose, LPS at 10 µg/kg had no effect on locomotor activity (D). There were, however, important differences in temperature differentials (C). Although the increases in brain and muscle temperatures occurred with the same latencies (44 min), which were close to those seen with 1 µg/kg dose, the brain-muscle differential significantly decreased during the first phase of temperature elevation (60–80 min) but then significantly increased, correlating with the second phase of temperature elevation (~80–200 min). Despite a strong increase in absolute skin temperature, skin-muscle differentials phasically decreased during the first phase of temperature elevation and remained tonically at this level for the entire recording interval (C). Because of the 4 hour limit of post-injection recording interval, we were unable to observe the third phase of temperature elevation (onset ~200–210 min) in its entirety. However, it appears that this later phase was also related to the rise in brain-muscle differential and a new phase of vasoconstriction (C).

Because of significant differences in MPAH-muscle differentials found with LPS at 10 µg/kg during group analysis, we evaluated dynamics of this parameter in each tested rat (Fig. 3). For this analysis, two injections were averaged in each rat and the resulting data were smoothed for three consecutive data points. As seen in Figure 3, in 6/8 rats, brain-muscle differential increased at ~80–100 min (arrows) and these increases were consistently preceded by decreases below pre-injection baseline. Despite a later (Rat No. 1, ~190 min) or weaker (Rat No. 5) change, a similar pattern was seen in two remaining rats. Interestingly, being calculated from the lowest point, the increases in MPAH-muscle differentials were evident in each rat, varying from 0.2 to 0.4°C, a range consistently seen with somato-sensory stimuli and psychomotor stimulants.21 Similarly, an average increase in this parameter was about 0.3°C from the preceding lowest point (a hatched horizontal line in Fig. 2C).

It is known that thermogenic effects of LPSs show tolerance with repeated administration.6 Although we had a 48 hour interval after the first LPS injection at the lowest dose and 72-hour intervals between two injections of LPS at 10 µg/kg, we compared temperature effects induced by LPS at 10 µg/kg with the first and second administrations. As shown in Figure 4, temperature dynamics in each recording location was virtually identical with both LPS injections. There were also identical values of basal temperatures in each recording location.

Since our basic analysis of temperature effects of LPS/saline and tail-pinch was conducted with relatively large time bins (3 and 1 min, respectively), it is possible that these stimuli induce rapid, transient changes, which could be revealed with fine temporal resolution. To test for this possibility, we analyzed the immediate effects of tail-pinch, LPS at 10 µg/kg and saline on MPAH and skin temperatures with 5-s time resolution. In contrast to tail-pinch, which induced rapid increase in MPAH temperature (latency to significant increase ~60 s), MPAH temperatures were stable within the first 3 min after both injections. In contrast, skin temperatures showed very rapid decrease during tail-pinch (latency ~20 s). Although skin temperatures also slightly decreased after saline and LPS injections and the effects of time for LPS was significant in both cases, there were no significant differences between saline and LPS. This trend to decrease seen in both injection groups possibly reflects uncontrolled sensory influences associated with the injection procedure.
Figure 2. Changes in brain (MPAH), temporal muscle and facial skin temperatures following iv administration of LPS at 1 μg/kg (left part) and 10 μg/kg dose (right part) in freely moving rats maintained at thermoneutral conditions (27–29°C). The figure shows: (A) absolute temperatures; (B) relative temperatures; (C) MPAH-muscle and skin-muscle temperature differentials; and (D) locomotion. The effect of time on temperatures was significant for both LPS doses (1 μg/kg: F7, 247 = 4.54, 4.13 and 6.99 for MPAH, muscle and skin respectively; 10 μg/kg: F15,495 = 29.12, 29.18 and 19.58 for MPAH, muscle and skin, respectively; each at least p < 0.01). The effect of brain-muscle differentials was not significant for 1 μg/kg (F7, 247 = 1.35, p = 0.11), but highly significant for 10 μg/kg (F15,495 = 4.27, p < 0.001). The effect of skin-muscle differentials was significant for both 1 μg/kg (F7, 247 = 1.86, p < 0.01) and 10 μg/kg (F15,495 = 1.69, p < 0.02). The effect on locomotion was not significant for both doses. Values significantly different from baselines are shown by filled symbols.
Discussion

This study revealed that iv administration of LPSs at low doses that do not affect spontaneous animal locomotion moderately increase temperatures in the hypothalamus, temporal muscle and facial skin. While hypothalamic and muscle temperatures generally paralleled, skin temperature showed different dynamics. The magnitude of brain and muscle temperature elevation induced by LPSs was comparable to that induced by tail-pinch, but their patterns drastically differed. In contrast to relatively short (tens of seconds) latencies seen with tail-pinch, LPS-induced brain and muscle temperature elevation developed with ~40 min latencies despite a rapid drug delivery via an iv route. This finding agrees with other observations (reviewed in ref. 6, 8 and 9), supporting the view that not LPSs per se but some other pyrogenic substances released following LPS impact trigger a temperature increase. Both interleukin-1β and prostaglandins induce hyperthermia, which has shorter onset latencies than that induced by LPS.23-25 The involvement of multiple endogenous pyrogens as well as a relatively slow clearance of LPS after iv injection could determine a long duration of hyperthermia, which well exceeded a 4 hour post-injection recording interval with both low drug doses used in this study. This feature also differs from relatively short, monophasic hyperthermic responses induced by salient environmental stimuli. In contrast, LPS-induced temperature elevation had at least three phases, especially evident with 10 μg/kg dose. Surprisingly, despite a ten-fold difference in dose, the effects of LPSs were comparable in latencies, amplitudes and durations, but with a 10 μg/kg dose the increases in brain and muscle temperature were slightly stronger (~1.0 vs. 0.7°C), three phases were more clearly defined and changes in skin temperature differed from those seen with a 1 μg/kg dose.

Similar to that seen with tail-pinch and other sensory stimuli, LPS-induced fluctuations in hypothalamic temperature generally paralleled those in temporal muscle, suggesting that LPSs similarly affect brain and body temperature homeostasis. However, there were important differences in dynamics of brain-muscle differentials. Changes in brain-muscle differentials, moreover, also differed for 1 and 10 μg LPS doses. While brain and muscle temperatures tightly correlate in quiet resting conditions and brain-muscle differentials remain stable fluctuating around zero (see control in this study), after exposure to somato-sensory stimuli, temperature in the brain increases more rapidly and strongly than in temporal muscle, with a transient

Figure 3. Changes in brain-muscle differentials following LPS administration at 10 μg/kg dose. Each graph shows dynamics of changes in individual rats. Data were averaged for two LPS injections, smoothened (three consecutive values) and shown as a post-injection change. Arrows show time when MPAH-muscle differentials increased above zero line.
rise (-0.2–0.3°C) of brain-muscle differentials. These differentials were surprisingly stable following LPS administration at the lowest dose (see Fig. 2C) despite increases in brain and muscle temperatures. In contrast, LPS at a 10 μg/kg dose produced a biphasic change in brain-muscle differentials, with the initial weak decrease (~40–60 min, at the time when both temperatures increased) followed by a stronger and more prolonged increase (80–200 min). Although these changes were relatively small in amplitude (0.2–0.3°C), they were highly significant and similar in range to those seen following somato-sensory stimulation. While it is quite difficult to prove it by independent measure, such dynamics of brain-muscle differentials may suggest that the first phase of temperature elevation induced by LPSs is mediated preferentially via an increased peripheral heat production. If the peripheral heat production and body core temperature increase, arterial blood temperature also rises, thus promoting intra-brain heat accumulation and increasing brain temperatures. Although there is a long-standing discussion regarding the role of cerebral blood flow in regulating brain temperature (reviewed in ref. 21 and 26), heat per se cannot be delivered to the brain from the periphery because of a constant positive temperature gradient between brain tissue and arterial blood arriving to the brain.1–3,27–29 This temperature gradient is consistently positive in different animal species, including humans and under different experimental conditions, including deep anesthesia when brain and body temperatures fall 3–5°C below their normal, quiet resting baseline.2,30 Although brain circulation is the primary means of heat dissipation from the brain to the body and then to the external environment, the rise in arterial blood temperature due to increased peripheral heat production could influence brain temperature homeostasis by preventing proper dissipation of brain-generated metabolic heat.

While the decrease in MPAH-muscle differentials seen at 60–80 min after LPS injection at 10 μg/kg dose is indicative of a peripheral source of heat production (or of its larger contribution than a central source), the subsequent inversion of these differentials (with stronger temperature increases in the hypothalamus than in muscle) could suggest that the second phase of brain temperature elevation is triggered via metabolic brain activation and subsequent involvement of central mechanisms that increase body metabolism. Careful comparison of temperature dynamics (see Fig. 2B and C) reveals that the second phase of temperature increase and an increase in MPAH-muscle differential are present, albeit at a minimal extent, with the threshold LPS dose. A relative “silence” of the hypothalamus following LPS injections at threshold doses and during the initial stage of hyperthermia at higher (but still low) doses could be viewed as surprising since this brain structure is usually viewed as being critical for triggering a hyperthermic response. However, this finding is supported by recent data suggesting early release of prostaglandins in macrophages of the lungs and liver but not in brain tissue.31 Both prostaglandins as well as interleukins and uncoupling proteins that are released in the periphery increase heat-producing activity in peripheral organs.32–35 In addition, these substances affect multiple afferent pathways to the brain, thus transmitting a signal from the periphery and inducing metabolic brain activation. A relative prevalence of heat-producing activity of MPAH during the second, more prolonged phase of hyperthermia agrees well with profound rise in Fos-like immunoreactivity found in this area at the second hour following LPS administration at comparable doses (5 μg/kg, iv).36 This measure of biochemical or metabolic activation was profoundly enhanced with larger LPS doses. Fos expression was also increased by LPSs at the same time scale in numerous cortical and subcortical structures that project to the hypothalamus and in specific populations of hypothalamic and brainstem neurons that project to the spinal cord.37–38 These latter data point at centrally mediated sympathoexcitation that also leads in peripheral heat production, thus contributing to overall temperature elevation. A relative “silence” of the hypothalamus at the initial stage of LPS-induced temperature elevation does not mean that the hypothalamus and other brain structures are not activated at early stages following LPS impact. Using high-speed amperometry, it was shown that ATP is clearly increased within the anterior hypothalamus at about 18 min and peaked at ~45 min after iv LPS administration in awake rabbits.39

In contrast to the rapid, strong and transient decrease in facial skin temperature induced by tail-pinch (see Fig. 1), LPSs at both doses increased these temperatures, suggesting enhanced heat loss from these skin surfaces. However, changes in skin-muscle differentials, which allow for a more accurate evaluation of a vascular effect (vasoconstriction vs. vasodilatation) by excluding...
temperature influence of incoming arterial blood, showed quite different dynamics with each LPS dose. Surprisingly, with a threshold dose of LPS, this parameter transiently but significantly increased (i.e., temperature increase in the skin was stronger than in muscle) at 30–80 min post-injection, suggesting weak skin vasodilatation. Therefore, a transient vasodilatation in facial skin could be viewed as an adaptive mechanism that is invoked by excessive peripheral heat production and aimed at increased heat loss to maintain stability of body temperature. Vasodilative effects of LPS were shown on a number of peripheral vessels.40,41 This effect appears to be mediated via cytokines-, NO- and bradykinin-dependent mechanisms. However, vascular response differed with LPS at 10 μg/kg dose. In this case, skin-muscle differentials clearly decreased at ~20–30 min post-injection, and the decrease was evident for the entire 4 h recording interval. Therefore, the first phase of LPS-induced brain and body temperature elevation in this case coincides with vasoconstriction, which is tonically maintained for many hours after drug administration. Therefore, vasoconstriction does occur following LPS impact and is obviously contributes to overall brain and body temperature elevation. Although rapid vasoconstriction that is induced by somato-sensory stimuli is definitely centrally mediated, based on our data, it is difficult to speculate whether skin vasoconstrictive effect of LPS is central or peripheral.

Our finding of increased facial skin temperatures following iv LPS treatment at low doses contradicts data that revealed strong decreases in tail skin temperature following LPS administration at the same dose range.42 Since tail skin is the primary area of heat dissipation in the rat,43 it is possible that weak warming of facial skin surfaces coexists with tail skin cooling, thus determining a total decrease in whole-body heat loss reported with systemic use of LPS (50 μg/kg, reviewed in ref. 13; note that these data were obtained for larger drug doses). While opposite temperature dynamics in facial and tail skin may suggest regional differences in vascular response to LPS, measurements of tail temperature in Dr. Romanovsky’s study were made in partially restrained rats, with a sensor taped to the skin, and at the ambient temperatures slightly above thermoneutrality (29–31°C), when tail skin vessels are maximally dilated and basal tail skin temperatures are high and close to those in body core. In contrast, basal tail skin and body core temperatures evaluated telemetrically in freely moving rats at normal ambient temperatures were much lower (26–29°C and ~37°C, respectively) than in Romanovsky’s study (35.6°C and 38.4°C).44 Under these conditions, LPS at higher dose (50 μg/kg) increased body core temperature by only 1.5°C, despite a much weaker decrease in tail skin temperatures (~1°C) that was evident only with respect to saline control. To clarify the reasons for this inconsistency, it would be of interest to monitor facial and tail skin temperatures simultaneously in freely moving rats with chronically implanted sensors and examine their changes induced by somato-sensory stimuli and LPS at both normal laboratory and thermoneutral conditions. While our preliminary data using this approach suggest a similar direction of changes in facial and tail skin temperatures following salient environmental challenges, their basal values and response magnitudes drastically differ and were strongly dependent upon ambient temperatures.

Experimental Procedures

Subjects. Long-Evans male rats (Taconic, Germantown, NY), weighing 390–450 g and housed under a 12 h light cycle (lights on at 0700), with ad libitum food and water, were used. Protocols were performed in compliance with the Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals (NIH, Publications
were prepared on the second recording day. This allowed the effects of LPS at 10μg/kg to be prepared fresh, whereas the 10μg/kg LPS solutions were prepared, stored at -20°C, and later thawed out before immediate use. All injections released a 0.3 ml volume over 20 s. To establish thermal baselines, the rats habituated to the testing chamber for approximately 2 hours prior to all injections. Catheters were flushed with saline at the end of each recording session. During the saline sessions, the rats were exposed to a tail-pinching procedure by placing a wooden clothes pin at the base of the rat’s tail for one minute.

**Histology and data analysis.** When recording was completed, all rats were anesthetized and decapitated, and had their brains removed for sectioning and confirmation of probe placement. Brains were cut on a cryostat into 50μm slices and placed on glass slides. All probes were located within the medial preoptic-anterior hypothalamus, as described in Paxinos and Watson (1998).45

Temperature and movement data were analyzed with different time intervals and presented as both absolute and relative changes with respect to the moment of stimulus presentation or LPS/saline administration. Based on relative temperature changes, we also calculated MPAH-muscle and skin-muscle temperature differentials that show the relationships between temperature fluctuations in these pairs of recording locations. While stronger temperature increases in brain vs. muscle are indicative of central triggering of brain temperature response, equal changes or a more rapid increase in muscle vs. brain temperature are indicative of a peripheral source of heat production, suggesting increased temperature of arterial blood inflow as the primary cause of brain hyperthermia. While a decrease or increase in skin temperature indicates increased or decreased heat dissipation to the external environment, skin-muscle differentials provide a more accurate measure of vasoconstriction/vasodilatation by excluding a lower temperature influence from arterial blood.

One-way ANOVA with repeated measures, followed by post-hoc Fisher tests, was used for statistical evaluation of temperature and movement responses. Student’s t-test was used for comparisons of between-site and between-condition (drug vs. saline) differences in temperature and locomotion. Between-treatment differences were evaluated based on statistical comparisons of basal brain temperatures, absolute and relative temperature changes and mean values of locomotor responses. The use of the words “increase” or “decrease” as well as “significant” refers to the presence of a statistically significant change in the parameter or in the differences between the compared groups or conditions (with at least p < 0.05) revealed by either ANOVA or Student’s t-test. For text clarity, most of the quantitative results of statistical data evaluations are shown in Figure captions. While temperature data were recorded with high temporal resolution (5-s bins) and high-resolution analysis was used for evaluating rapid temperature fluctuations, for most analyses, data were averaged for 1 or 3 min to represent temperature dynamics for prolonged time intervals.

**Conclusions**

The present study demonstrates drastic differences in brain temperature response depending upon its triggering factors and underlying mechanisms. When this response is triggered via activation of somato-sensory pathways, i.e., via a clear neural
mechanism, it is rapid, transient, monophasic and coupled with a strong peripheral vasoconstriction. In contrast, LPS-induced brain temperature elevation develops with definite latencies, has several phases, and is long-term at both low doses, pointing at multiple contributing factors and different underlying mechanisms. While our data suggest enhanced peripheral heat production as the primary factor responsible for brain temperature elevation by LPS at threshold doses, at higher doses this factor is supplemented by intra-brain heat production and peripheral vasoconstriction. By enhancing heat production and diminishing heat loss, these factors could determine greater and more prolonged temperature elevations with increased pyrogen doses.
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