Use of Pyrazole Hydrogen Bonding in Tripodal Complexes to Form Self Assembled Homochiral Dimers
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Abstract: The 3:1 condensation of 5-methyl-1H-pyrazole-3-carboxaldehyde (MepyrazH) with tris(2-aminoethyl)amine (tren) gives the tripodal ligand tren(MePyrzH) 3. Aerial oxidation of a solution of cobalt(II) with this ligand in the presence of base results in the isolation of the insoluble Co(tren)(MePyrz) 3. This complex reacts with acids, HCl/NaClO 4, NH 4 ClO 4, NH 4 BF 4, and NH 4 I to give the crystalline compounds Co(tren)(MePyrzH) 3(ClO 4) 3, {[Co(tren)(MePyrzH 0.5 ) 3][ClO 4] 1.5 } 2, {[Co(tren)(MePyrzH 0.5 ) 3][BF 4] 1.5 } 2 and [Co(tren)(MePyrzH) 3][Co(tren)(MePyrzH) 3]I 2. The latter three complexes are dimeric, held together by three N pyrazole –H...N pyrazolate hydrogen bonds. The structures and symmetries of these homochiral dimers or pseudodimers are discussed in terms of their space group. Possible applications of these complexes by incorporation into new materials are mentioned.

Keywords: supramolecular; dimer; pyrazole; hydrogen bonding; crystal structure; cobalt

1. Introduction

Tripodal ligands (see Figure 1) discussed in this report are formed from the Schiff base condensation of tris(2-aminoethyl)amine (tren), with three moles of an imidazole-2-carboxaldehyde, imidazole-4-carboxaldehyde or 5-methyl-1H-pyrazole-3-carboxaldehyde. The imidazole rings may have methyl substituents (not illustrated) in the 1,2 or 4 positions, which does not drastically affect reactivity [1,2]. The ligands are triprotic, H 3L, and can coordinate to a metal(II) or metal(III) to give mononuclear complexes [MH 3L] 2+, [MH 3L] 3+ or [ML] in the presence of base [3–7]. The resultant imidazole and imidazolate complexes have been extensively studied in terms of proton coupled electron transfer (PCET) [8–13], tunable redox potentials, spin crossover (SC) between spin(HS) low spin(LS) electronic ground states [14–16] and formation of double salts which exhibit size recognition properties [17,18]. The properties of the metal complexes of the fully protonated (H 3L) or fully deprotonated ligands (L 3−) are certainly important as they have far ranging applications to materials research including switches [19,20], memory storage [21], and magnets [22,23]. The complexes are also chiral as the three arms of the tren ligand can wrap around the metal in a clockwise or counter clockwise fashion to give Δ (delta) or Λ (lambda) complexes.

The supramolecular [24–26] properties of the complexes of partially deprotonated or hemideprotonated ligands (H 2L − , HL 2− , H 1.5 L −1.5 ) are perhaps more important in potential materials science applications. These complexes self assemble to give extended molecular arrays that exhibit very different topologies and preference for homo vs heterochirality.
Figure 1. Line drawings of tripodal azole ligands.

The driving force is the formation of an extensive network of hydrogen bonding interactions between a protonated azole and a deprotonated azole, $N_{azole}^+ - H \cdots N_{azolate}^-$. Examples of complexes of $H_2L^-$ and $HL_2^-$ ligands that give 1D linear and zig-zag chains are illustrated below in Figure 2 [27].

Figure 2. Partially deprotonated complexes have been observed for [Fetren(2-Im)$_2$(2-ImH)]$^+$ (linear, top) and [Cotren(2-ImH)$_2$(2-Im)]$^{2+}$ (zig-zag, bottom) that give 1D hydrogen bound chains. Please see [27] from preceding paragraph.

The greatest number of supramolecular complexes are those of the hemideprotonated ligand with with iron(II), iron(III), cobalt(II) or cobalt(III) as the metal. The hemideprotonated state can be achieved if each of the three N1 azole nitrogen atoms has a hydrogen atom at half occupancy, $NH_{0.5}$, tren(azoleH$_{0.5}$)$_3$$^{-1.5}$ or if the metal complexes average out to 1.5 hydrogen atoms per complex as in a 50:50 compound of [Mtren(azoleH)$_3$]$^{2+}$ or $^+$ and [Mtren(azole)$_3$]. The hemideprotonated tren(2-ImH$_{0.5}$)$_3$$^{-1.5}$ and tren(4-ImH$_{0.5}$)$_3$$^{-1.5}$ systems exhibit a 2D hexagonal sheet structure, which exhibit extensive hydrogen bonding between neighboring complexes [28]. Each hemideprotonated complex is hydrogen bound to three neighboring structures, which give an extended 2D sheet. There is little or no interaction between adjacent layers [29,30]. Examples of these are depicted in Figure 3 [31].
This work describes the preparation and structures of the cobalt complexes of the tren(MepyrrzH)$_3$ ligand.

2. Experimental

2.1. General Information

Tris(2-aminoethyl)amine (tren), cobalt(II) tetrafluoroborate hexahydrate, cobalt(II) perchlorate hexahydrate, ammonium perchlorate, ammonium tetrafluoroborate, ammonium iodide and 0.10 M potassium hydroxide in methanol were obtained from Aldrich (Milwaukee, WI, USA). 5-Methyl-1H-pyrazole-3-carboxaldehyde was obtained from ChemBridge (San Diego, CA, USA). All solvents were of reagent grade and used without further purification. IR spectra were obtained as KBr pellets on a 1600 FT IR spectrometer (Perkin Elmer, Walthem, MA, USA).

2.2. Synthesis

[Co(tren(MepyrrzH)$_3$)(ClO$_4$)$_3$] A solution of HCl (1.32 mL of 0.1 M HCl in methanol, 0.132 mmol) was added to a slurry of the previously prepared [32] Cotren(Mepyrrz)$_3$ (0.021 g, 0.044 mmols) in
methanol (40 mL) in a 100 mL round bottom flask. The mixture was refluxed for an hour and filtered while hot. An excess of NaClO₄ in a few mL of methanol was added. Orange-red crystals precipitated overnight.

\[(\text{Cotren} \cdot \text{MepyrrH})_2(\text{ClO}_4)_{1.5}\]NH₄ClO₄ (0.034 g, 0.29 mmol) was added as a solid to a slurry of \(\text{Cotren} \cdot \text{MepyrrH}\) \((0.023 g, 0.48 \text{ mmol})\) in methanol (30 mL) in a 100 mL round bottom flask. There was no immediate change. The mixture was refluxed for 2 h to give a clear, orange solution. The reaction mixture was filtered while hot and set aside to concentrate. After several hours small red crystals were produced. They were recrystallized from DMF to produce crystals suitable for crystallography.

\[(\text{Cotren} \cdot \text{MepyrrH})_2(\text{ClO}_4)_{1.5}\]NH₄BF₄ (0.029 g, 0.28 mmol) was added as a solid to a slurry of \(\text{Cotren} \cdot \text{MepyrrH}\) \((0.021 \text{ g}, 0.44 \text{ mmol})\) and methanol (30 mL) in a 100 mL round bottom flask. There was no immediate change. The mixture was refluxed for 2 h to give a clear, orange solution. The reaction mixture was filtered while hot and set aside to concentrate. After several hours small red crystals were produced. Two different types of crystals, the title compound and a hydrate) were produced and analyzed.

\[(\text{Cotren} \cdot \text{MepyrrH})_2(\text{ClO}_4)_{1.5}\]I (0.047 g, 0.32 mmol) was added as a solid to a slurry of \(\text{Cotren} \cdot \text{MepyrrH}\) \((0.032 \text{ g}, 0.67 \text{ mmol})\) and methanol (10 mL) in a 100 mL round bottom flask. There was no immediate change. The mixture was refluxed for 2 h to give a clear, orange solution. The reaction mixture was filtered while hot and set aside to concentrate. After several hours small red crystals were produced.

\[(\text{Cotren} \cdot \text{MepyrrH})_2(\text{C}_6\text{H}_6) \cdot \text{CH}_3\text{CN})_2(\text{ClO}_4)_3].\] This complex was isolated in an attempt to repeat the synthesis of \[(\text{Cotren} \cdot \text{MepyrrH})(\text{Mepyrr})_2(\text{ClO}_4)_3\] [33]. Tren \((0.077 \text{ g}, 0.53 \text{ mmol})\) and absolute ethanol (~16 mL) were added to a 25 mL round bottom flask. 5-Methyl-1H-pyrazole-3-carbaldehyde \((0.174 \text{ g}, 1.6 \text{ mmol})\) was added as a solid. The reaction mixture was refluxed for 2 h and filtered. Co(\text{ClO}_4)_2 \cdot \text{H}_2\text{O} \((0.193, 0.53 \text{ mmol})\) was added as a solid to the filtrate. The reaction mixture was refluxed for another 2 h and was set aside to concentrate. Over a week a large quantity of solid had formed. It was filtered, washed sparingly with absolute ethanol and placed in a desiccator over CaCl₂ to dry. After several days the solid \((0.110 \text{ g})\) was dissolved in 15–20 mL acetonitrile with warming. The solution was filtered into a beaker. The beaker was set in a sealed jar with a few mL benzene in jar to allow for slow diffusion of benzene into the acetonitrile solution. Large brown blockish crystals formed over several days.

2.3. Structure Determinations

Crystal data were collected on a SMART 1000 CCD area2 detector Apex II system (Bruker, Madison, WI, USA), or on an Oxford Gemini diffractometer (Oxford, UK). All structures were solved using the direct methods program SHELXS-97 (Univ. of Gottingen, Germany) [34]. All nonsolvent heavy atoms were located using subsequent difference Fourier syntheses. The structures were refined against \(F^2\) with the program SHELXL [35,36], in which all data collected were used including negative intensities. In several of the complexes the perchlorate or tetrafluoroborate anions were conformationally disordered. In these cases each conformation was tetrahedrally idealized and the multiplicities of the conformations were constrained to unity. All nonsolvent heavy atoms were refined anisotropically. All hydrogen atoms were located by Fourier difference. Complete crystallographic details are summarized in Table 1. Selected bond distances and angles are given in Table 2 and hydrogen bonding data is in Table 3. Crystallographic data for all complexes can be obtained free of charge from the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Center. The deposition numbers for the complexes are provided. [Cotren(MepyrrH)](ClO₄)₃ 973179, [Cotren(MepyrrH0.5)₂(π ClO₄)] 973180, [Cotren(MepyrrH0.5)₂(BF₄)] 973182, [Cotren(MepyrrH₂·Mepyrr)] [Cotren(Mepyrr)₂·MepyrrH] (BF₄)₃ 3.81 H₂O 973183, \{(Cotren(MepyrrH0.5)₂(C₆H₆)·CH₃CN)₂(ClO₄)₃ 973181 (123 K), \{(Cotren(MepyrrH0.5)₃(C₆H₆)·CH₃CN)₂(ClO₄)₃ 973178 (150 K), (Cotren(MePyrrH)₃)₂(ClO₄)₁ 973177.\]
|   | a) [Cotren(MepyrzH)]_3[(ClO_4)_2]·3.5 H_2O, b) [Cotren(MepyrzH_0.5)_3]_2[(ClO_4)_3, c) [Cotren(MepyrzH_0.5)_3]_2[(BF_4)_3, d) [Cotren(MepyrzH)_2](Mepyz)] |   |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
|   | Empirical formula | M/g mol^{-1} | Temperature/K | \( \lambda / \AA \) | Crystal System | Space group | Unit cell dimensions | Volume/Å³ |
| a) | C_{21}H_{37}Cl_3CoN_{10}O_{15.5} | 842.89 | 295(2) | 0.71073 | Monoclinic | P21/c | a = 12.9005(9) Å | 3543.3(4) |
| b) | C_{42}H_{59}Cl_3Co_2N_{20}O_{12} | 1258.28 | 123(2) | 0.71073 | Trigonal | R32 | a = 16.7663(2) Å | 4884.55(14) |
| c) | C_{42}H_{59}Cl_3Co_2N_{20}O_{12} | 1220.37 | 150(2) | 1.54178 | Trigonal | R32 | a = 16.6003(3) Å | 4785.25(19) |
| d) | C_{42}H_{59}Cl_3Co_2N_{20}O_{12} | 1292.43 | 123(2) | 0.71073 | Monoclinic | Cc | a = 16.268(2) Å | 5464.7(13) |
| e) | C_{42}H_{59}Cl_3Co_2N_{20}O_{12} | 1496.61 | 1496.61 | 0.71073 | Tetragonal | P4_12_12 | a = 11.82570(10) Å | 6792.70(17) |
| f) | C_{42}H_{59}Cl_3Co_2N_{20}O_{12} | 1496.61 | 1496.61 | 0.71073 | Tetragonal | P4_12_12 | a = 11.8445(18) Å | 6831.4(18) |
| g) | C_{42}H_{59}Cl_3Co_2N_{20}O_{12} | 1213.74 | 1213.74 | 0.71073 | Monoclinic | Cc | a = 11.94(2) Å | 5135.7(4)) |

|   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
|   | Z | 4 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
|   | Abs. Coe/(mm\(^{-1}\)) | 0.791 | 0.697 | 4.776 | 0.710 | 0.682 | 0.679 | 1.900 |
|   | F(000) | 1740 | 1950 | 1878 | 2664 | 3112 | 3112 | 2436 |
|   | Crystal size/mm\(^3\) | 0.53 × 0.47 × 0.08 | 0.47 × 0.43 × 0.28 | 0.26 × 0.24 × 0.19 | 0.295 × 0.05 × 0.045 | 0.39 × 0.39 × 0.32 | 0.21 × 0.30 × 0.44 | 0.22 × 0.135 × 0.11 |
|   | Theta range/° | 5.05 to 26.37 | 5.164 to 32.828 | 5.33 to 75.57 | 1.76 to 22.50 | 3.05 to 35.17 | 2.13 to 25.00 | 1.91 to 27.50 |
|   | Index ranges | −15 ≤ h ≤ 16 | −24 ≤ h ≤ 25 | −20 ≤ h ≤ 20 | −17 ≤ h ≤ 17 | −19 ≤ h ≤ 18 | −14 ≤ h ≤ 13 | −27 ≤ h ≤ 27 |
|   | Reflections Collected | 20,906 | 31,672 | 11,282 | 99,409 | 57,503 | 36,049 |
|   | Independent Reflections | 7193 | 3862 | 2195 | 6970 | 14,527 | 6006 | 11,652 |
|   | R1 | 0.0853 | 0.0294 | 0.0814 | 0.0775 | 0.0724 | 0.0537 | 0.0371 |
|   | WR2 | 0.2031 | 0.0847 | 0.2203 | 0.1913 | 0.1515 | 0.1189 | 0.0774 |
|   | GOF on F² | 1.031 | 1.123 | 1.075 | 1.074 | 1.194 | 1.000 | 1.005 |
Table 2. Selected bond distances (Å) and angles (°) for a) [Cotren(MepyrazH)\textsubscript{3}](ClO\textsubscript{4})\textsubscript{3} 3.5 H\textsubscript{2}O, b) [Cotren(MepyrazH\textsubscript{0.5})\textsubscript{3}](ClO\textsubscript{4})\textsubscript{3} [a, c] [Cotren(MepyrazH\textsubscript{0.5})\textsubscript{3}](BF\textsubscript{4})\textsubscript{3}, [a] d) [Cotren(MepyrazH)\textsubscript{2}(Mepyraz)][Cotren(MepyrazH)(Mepyraz)] (BF\textsubscript{4})\textsubscript{3} 3.81 H\textsubscript{2}O [b], e) [[Cotren(MepyrazH\textsubscript{0.5})\textsubscript{3}](ClO\textsubscript{4})\textsubscript{3}(C\textsubscript{6}H\textsubscript{6}2(CH\textsubscript{3}CN)\textsubscript{2} @123K [c], f) [[Cotren(MepyrazH\textsubscript{0.5})\textsubscript{3}](ClO\textsubscript{4})\textsubscript{3}(C\textsubscript{6}H\textsubscript{6})2(CH\textsubscript{3}CN)\textsubscript{2} @150K, [c] g) [Cotren(MepyrazH)]3[Cotren(Mepyraz)\textsubscript{3}] I\textsubscript{2} [d]. [a] There is only one Co and one arm of tren in the asymmetric unit. [b] There are two Co atoms each with three tren arms in the asymmetric unit, [CoH\textsubscript{2}L\textsubscript{2}]\textsuperscript{2+} (listed first) and [CoHL\textsuperscript{+}] listed second. [c] There is one Co atom and three tren arms in the asymmetric unit. [d] There are two Co atoms each with three tren arms in the asymmetric unit, [CoH\textsubscript{2}L\textsubscript{2}]\textsuperscript{2+} (listed first) and [CoL\textsuperscript{+}] listed second.

|                  | a) | b) | c) | d) | e) | f) | g) |
|------------------|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|
|                  | SG and sym | P\textsubscript{2}\textsubscript{1}/C\textsubscript{2h} | R32 D\textsubscript{3} | R32 D\textsubscript{3} | Cc, Cs | P4\textsubscript{1}2\textsubscript{1}2 D\textsubscript{4} | P4\textsubscript{1}2\textsubscript{1}2 D\textsubscript{4} | Cc, Cs |
| Co-N\textsubscript{ap} non-bonded | T(K) | 295(2) | 123(2) | 123(2) | 150(2) | 123(2) | 150(2) | 150(2) |
| Co-N1(amine)     | 3.339 | 3.468 | 3.468 | 3.432 | 3.462 | 3.492 | 3.492 | 3.306 | 3.300 |
| Co-N2(pyrazole)  | M-M'non-bonded | NA | 5.853 | 5.853 | 5.773 | 5.861 | 5.872 | 5.847 |
| average          | 1.908(3) | 3.9141(15) | 3.910(4) | 3.895(15) | 3.918(15) | 3.908(2) | 3.924(2) | 3.924(2) | 1.979(7) | 2.017(8) |
| N1-Co-N2 (bite)  | 81.32(10) | 81.66(6) | 81.52(17) | 81.6(8) | 81.6(8) | 82.16(10) | 80.94(15) | 77.3(3) | 80.0(3) |
| average          | 81.53(11) | 82.5(7) | 81.3(7) | 81.32(10) | 82.00(15) | 78.3(3) | 80.6(3) |
| N1-Co-N2 (trans) | 175.82(11) | 175.23(6) | 175.43(17) | 175.0(7) | 175.0(7) | 174.95(11) | 173.78(17) | 174.2(4) | 175.8(3) |
| average          | 175.19(11) | 172.6(8) | 173.7(8) | 172.6(8) | 172.6(8) | 172.1(16) | 171.4(3) | 172.9(3) |
| C1-N\textsubscript{ap}-C1 | 118.6(9) | 119.99(9) | 119.99(14) | 131(2) | 130(2) | 120.0(2) | 120.3(4) | 111.6(9) | 116.2(8) |
| average          | 119.5 | 119.988 | 119.994 | 119.3 | 120.0 | 120.0 | 120.0 | 119.1 | 118.7 |
Table 3. Pyrazole hydrogen bond distances (Å) and angles (°) for, [Cotren(MepyrazH0.5)2(ClO4)3, [Cotren(MepyrazH0.5)2(BF4)3, [Cotren(MepyrazH2(MePyrz)]][MepyrazH)][Mepyraz2][BF2]3.81 H2O, [[Cotren(MepyrazH0.5)2][ClO4]3(C6H6)2(13CH3CN)2 @123K, [[Cotren(MepyrazH0.5)2][I2]3(C6H6)2(13CH3CN)2 @150K, [Cotren(MepyrazH3)][Cotren(MepyrazH3)][I2].

| Compound | Interaction | d(D-H) | d(H⋯A) | <(DHA) |
|----------|-------------|--------|--------|--------|
| [Cotren(MepyrazH0.5)2(ClO4)3 | N-H⋯N' | 0.88 | 1.83 | 2.670(3) | 160.1 |
| T = 123(2)K | Average | 0.88 | 1.83 | 2.670 | 160.1 |
| [Cotren(MepyrazH0.5)2(BF4)3 | N-H⋯N' | 0.88 | 1.83 | 2.674(8) | 158.9 |
| T = 123(2)K | Average | 0.88 | 1.83 | 2.67 | 158.9 |
| [Cotren(MepyrazH3)][Mepyraz2] | N-H⋯N' | 0.88 | 1.83 | 2.73(2) | 162 |
| Average | 0.88 | 1.83 | 2.72 | 161 |
| [[Cotren(MepyrazH0.5)2][ClO4]3 | N-H⋯N' | 0.88 | 1.83 | 2.679(3) | 161.9 |
| (C6H6)(13CH3CN)2 @123K | Average | 0.88 | 1.83 | 2.679 | 161.5 |
| [[Cotren(MepyrazH0.5)2][ClO4]3 | N-H⋯N' | 0.88 | 1.83 | 2.643(4) | 160.5 |
| (C6H6)(13CH3CN)2 @150K, | Average | 0.88 | 1.83 | 2.667 | 161.3 |
| [Cotren(MepyrazH3)][Cotren(MepyrazH3)]I2 | N-H⋯N' | 0.88 | 1.98 | 2.819(12) | 160 |
| T = 150(2)K | Average | 0.88 | 1.92 | 2.772 | 152 |

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Synthesis and Initial Characterization

The syntheses of [Cotren(MePyrzH3)][ClO4]3, [Cotren(MePyrzH0.5)2][ClO4]3 and [Cotren(MePyrzH0.5)2][BF4]3 were achieved by the reaction of the previously prepared insoluble Co(tren)(MePyrz)3, with Lewis acids as illustrated below. The insolubility of this species is not understood in comparison to analogous complexes of similar ligands, but does not appear to hinder its reactivity. Lewis acid base reactions can be conducted by adding a solution of a suitable Lewis acid to a slurry of [Cotren(MePyrz3)] which acts as a Lewis base due to its three deprotonated pyrazolate rings. The resulting solution of both reactants is left to stand and the products crystallize from the reaction mixture.

\[
\text{Cotren(MePyrz3)} + 3 \text{HCl/NaClO}_4 \text{ (aq/methanol)} \rightarrow \text{Cotren(MePyrzH3)} + \text{ClO}_4 \equiv 3
\]

\[
2\text{Cotren(MePyrz3)} + 3 \text{NH}_4\text{ClO}_4 \text{ (methanol)} \rightarrow \text{Cotren(MePyrzH0.5)2} \text{[ClO}_4]_3 + 3\text{NH}_3 \quad (1)
\]

\[
2\text{Cotren(MePyrz3)} + 3 \text{NH}_4\text{BF}_4 \text{ (methanol)} \rightarrow \text{Cotren(MePyrzH0.5)2} \text{[BF}_4]_3 + 3\text{NH}_3
\]

The tetrafluoroborate salt crystallizes as both an anhydrous and a hydrated form and both were structurally characterized. The synthesis of the analogous iodide salt is complicated by the fact that the iodide ion can reduce the cobalt(III) to cobalt(II). The product is a cobalt(II)-cobalt(III) mixed valence species [38], [Cotren(MePyrzH3)][Cotren(MePyrzH3)]I2. The likely sequence of reactions is protonation
of the cobalt complex by the ammonium cation, followed by reduction of this species by iodide and
the formation of the pseudo dimer as illustrated below:

$$
2\text{Cotren(MePyrz)(s)} + 6\text{NH}_4\text{I} \rightarrow 2[\text{Cotren(MePyrzH)}_3]\{\text{I}\}_3 + 6\text{NH}_3
$$

$$
2[\text{Cotren(MePyrzH)}_3]\{\text{I}\}_3 \rightarrow 2[\text{Cotren(MePyrzH)}_3]\{\text{I}\}_2 + \text{I}_2
$$

$$\text{NH}_4\text{I} + \text{I}_2 \rightarrow \text{NH}_4\text{I}_3$$

(2)

$$
2[\text{Cotren(MePyrzH)}_3]\{\text{I}\}_2 + 2[\text{Cotren(MePyrz)}_3] \rightarrow 2[\text{Cotren(MePyrzH)}_3][\text{Cotren(MePyrz)}_3]\{\text{I}\}_2
$$

$$4\text{Cotren(MePyrz)(s)} + 7\text{NH}_4\text{I} \rightarrow 2[\text{Cotren(MePyrzH)}_3][\text{Cotren(MePyrz)}_3]\{\text{I}\}_2 + 6\text{NH}_3 + \text{NH}_4\text{I}_3
$$

For completeness an attempt was made to prepare the previously synthesized \[33\] and structurally
characterized \([\text{Cotren(MePyrzH)}(\text{MePyrz})_2]\{\text{ClO}_4\}_3\) by following the literature preparation which grew
crystals by infusion of benzene into an acetonitrile solution of the reaction product. The present
work resulted in the isolation of \([\text{Cotren(MePyrzH)}^{0.5}\{\text{CH}_6\text{CN}\} \{\text{CH}_3\text{CN}\}^2\{\text{ClO}_4\}_3\) despite careful
attempts to reproduce the previously reported synthesis. The present inability to isolate the
reported \([\text{Cotren(MePyrzH)}(\text{MePyrz})_2]\{\text{ClO}_4\}_3\) is not understood, but it is possible that in the earlier
work the purification produced both \([\text{Cotren(MePyrzH)}(\text{MePyrz})_2]\{\text{ClO}_4\}_3\) (which was reported) and
\([\text{Cotren(MePyrzH)}^{0.5}\{\text{CH}_6\text{CN}\} \{\text{CH}_3\text{CN}\}^2\{\text{ClO}_4\}_3\) which was not reported or observed earlier. However,
it is isolated and structurally characterized as part of this work.

The initial characterization of complexes was carried out by IR spectroscopy. The most useful
regions for examination in the IR were the N-H, C=N, and perchlorate Cl-O, and tetrafluoroborate
B-F. The IR spectra of the \([\text{Cotren(MePyrzH)}_3]\{\text{ClO}_4\}_3\) mononuclear complex shows the characteristic
absorption bands attributable to the pyrazole \(\nu_{\text{N-H}}\) (3100–3300 cm\(^{-1}\)), imine \(\nu_{\text{C=N}}\) at ~1640 cm\(^{-1}\)
and perchlorate \(\nu_{\text{Cl-O}}\) (1147–1154 and 625 cm\(^{-1}\)). The position of the imine absorption for the
cobalt complexes increases with the protonation state of the ligand \[39\]. For \([\text{Cotren(MePyrz)}_3]\) the
absorption appears close to 1600 cm\(^{-1}\), consistent with deprotonation of the ligand. This peak shifts
to higher wavenumbers for the dimers/pseudodimers. The \([\text{Cotren(MePyrzH)}_3][\text{Cotren(MePyrz)}_3]\{\text{I}\}_2\)
pseudo-dimer exhibit two imine \(\nu_{\text{C=N}}\) absorptions in the IR spectrum corresponding to the Co(II)
and Co(III) components. In addition to the expected \(\nu_{\text{N-H}}\) and \(\nu_{\text{C=N}}\) absorptions, the IR spectra of all the
pseudo-dimers exhibit broad bands at ~2100–1800 and ~2375 cm\(^{-1}\), which are not observed in the
IR spectra of the mononuclear complexes. These bands have been observed previously in similar
hydrogen bound complexes and are attributed to intermolecular azole-azole hydrogen bonding \[40–42\].

3.2. Structures of the Complexes, General Features

All of the cobalt species reported here are six coordinate distorted octahedral complexes bound to
three facial pyrazole nitrogen atoms and three facial imine nitrogen atoms. The apical nitrogen atom
\((N_{ap})\) of the tren caps the three facial imine nitrogen atoms. In these complexes the Co to \(N_{ap}\) distance
is too large to be considered a bond. However in related complexes the distance is short enough
that the geometry is the seven coordinate capped octahedron \[43\]. The complexes are chiral, \(\Lambda\) or \(\Delta\),
as determined by the twist orientation of the three tren arms. Relevant bond distance and angles are
given for all complexes in Table 2. The above features are illustrated for \([\text{Cotren(MePyrzH)}_3]\{\text{ClO}_4\}_3\)
in Figure 4. This is the only mononuclear complex reported here and the remaining complexes are
dimeric or pseudodimeric species held together by three \(N_{\text{pyrazole}}^{-}\text{H} \cdot \cdot \cdot N_{\text{pyrazolate}}\) hydrogen bonds as
described below. There are earlier reports of mononuclear tren pyrazole complexes \[44–47\].
Figure 4. Structure of the \([\text{Co(tren(MepyrazH)₃)}]^{3+}\) cation. Note that the apical nitrogen atom, labelled N above, caps the face of the three imine nitrogen atoms, N1A, N1B and N1C.

3.3. General Structure of the Dimers/Pseudodimers

Structures of several tren pyrazolate dimers or pseudodimers, \([\text{Fetren(MepyrazH)}₃–\text{Fetren(Mepyraz)}₃]^{2+}\), \([\text{Mntren(MepyrazH)}₃–\text{Fetren(Mepyraz)}₃]^{2+}\), \([\text{Fetren(MepyrazH)}₃–\text{Cotren(Mepyraz)}₃]^{2+}\) \([\text{Mntren(MepyrazH)}₃–\text{Cotren(Mepyraz)}₃]^{2+}\) have been reported previously \([32,37]\). The term dimer is used if there is a single metal complex in the asymmetric unit, meaning that it is a true dimer, made of two identical halves. Even in a dimer that contains two different metals, \(M\) and \(M'\), or the same metal in two different oxidation states the symmetry imposed by the space group may average these which manifests as a \(M_{0.5}M'_{0.5}\) bound to a hemideprotonated ligand, \([\text{tren(MepyrazH)}_{0.5}]^{−1.5}\). The term pseudodimer is used if there are two metal complexes in the asymmetric unit. This too is determined by the symmetry imposed by the space group. In this case the two metal complexes are different, either because the metals are different, in different oxidation states or the levels of protonation on the two ligands are different, such as \([\text{tren(MepyrazH)}₃–\text{tren(Mepyraz)}₃]^{3−}\). Regardless of this distinction between dimer and pseudodimer both complexes exhibit three structural features in common. 1) The dimers have three \(N\)-pyrazole–H⋯\(N\)-pyrazolate hydrogen bonds that link the two halves of the dimer/pseudodimer together. 2) The dimers exhibit \(\pi\)-\(\pi\) stacking of the three pairs of pyrazole rings. The pyrazole rings are not perfectly eclipsed. There is slippage between the two rings but their small interplanar angle (<2°) and a short centroid to centroid distance (~3.4 Å) supports the \(\pi\)-\(\pi\) interaction. And 3) homochirality of both metal complexes of the dimer/pseudodimer, either both \(\Lambda\) or both \(\Delta\). These features are illustrated in Figure 5 for \([\text{Cotren(MepyrazH)}₃][\text{Cotren(Mepyraz)}₃]^{2+}\) cation. This homochirality feature is required for the formation of both the hydrogen bonding and the \(\pi\)-\(\pi\) stacking. There are other examples of complexes that exhibit some of these features individually. A copper Schiff base dimer is held together by hydrogen bonding but lacks the other structural elements \([48]\). The \(\pi\)-\(\pi\) stacking feature is observed in imidazole based supramolecular complexes of manganese(II) and cobalt(II) \([49]\). The intervalence and hydrogen bonding features of the Co(II)-Co(III) pseudodimer were observed in a ferrocene derivative \([50]\). The structures of the present cobalt complexes exhibit these same features but these new systems exhibit previously
unobserved symmetry characteristics due to the crystallization of the complex in Sohncke [51] space groups as discussed in the descriptions that follow.

**Figure 5.** Structure of the [Cotren(MepyrazH)₃][Cotren(Mepyraz)₃]²⁺ cation. All the hydrogen atoms except for the bridging hydrogen atoms, shown in red, have been deleted. Note the three Nₚyrazole–H···Nₚyrazolate hydrogen bonds, shown as dashed blue red bonds, holding the two homochiral halves of cation together and the alignment of the three pairs of pyrazole rings to promote π-π stacking. The apical nitrogen atoms of the tren units are in light blue at the bottom left and upper right of figure. The two octahedral cobalt atoms are in dark blue, the cobalt(III) on left and cobalt(II) on right.

In the case of the tren pyrazole dimers both halves of the dimer must be of the same chirality either delta or lambda in order for the hydrogen bonding and π-π stacking to occur. Another example of polynucleation that must select for homochirality is the tetrahedral tetranuclear complex, [Cotren(4-MeImH)(4-MeIm)₂][Cotren(4-MeImH)₃][ClO₄]₆, which is averaged as [Cotren(4-MeImH₀.₅)₃]₄(ClO₄)₆, and pictured in Figure 6 [52]. In the case of both the dinuclear and tetranuclear complex homochirality within the polynuclear unit, dimer or tetramer, is required to form the three (dimer) or six hydrogen bonds (tetramer) that hold the polynuclear unit together. In both cases each monomer forms three hydrogen bonds. In the dimer the three hydrogen bonds are to the same molecule and in the tetramer the three hydrogen bonds are to three different complexes. Extension of homochirality throughout the entire crystal can only occur in a Sohncke space group which is non-centrosymmetric and contains a single enantiomer.
Figure 6. Structure of [Cotren(4MeImH$_{0.5}$)$_3$]$_4$(ClO$_4$)$_6$ (average level of protonation per Co) showing the tetrahedral array of cobalt complexes and the six hydrogen bonds folding the cluster together. All hydrogen atoms, except for the hydrogen bound bridging hydrogen atoms, shown in red, and the perchlorate anions have been omitted for clarity. Note that there are twelve hydrogen bound imidazole atoms which require six hydrogen atoms to link all the imidazoles together. Each cobalt complex forms three hydrogen bonds (through its three arms) to each of the other three cobalt complexes.

Broadly speaking there are two types of symmetry elements that could be observed in these M tren(Mepyraz)$_3$ dimers/pseudodimers. A three-fold axis along the apical tren nitrogen atom and the metal atom (making the three tren arms identical) or a symmetry element that interchanges the two metal sites such as a two fold rotation axis. The former would result in a single tren arm per metal complex in the asymmetric unit and the latter would give three tren arms but an average metal site, [M$_{0.5}$M$_{0.5}$L]. The presence of both symmetry elements would give a single tren arm and a single metal atom in the asymmetric unit. Some previously prepared dimers/pseudodimers crystallized in C$_{2h}$ (C$_{2h}$) and P$_{ben}$ (D$_{2h}$). These space groups are centrosymmetric, contain both enantiomers and exhibit one metal and three tren arms in the asymmetric unit due to a two fold proper rotation axis. Others crystallize in C$_s$ (C$_s$) (non centrosymmetric, both enantiomers) and Pbar1 (C$_1$) (centrosymmetric, both enantiomers). The dimers in these groups exhibit two metal sites and three tren arms/metal in the asymmetric unit. None of these space groups are of the Sohncke classification. In the present collection of homonuclear cobalt complexes Sohncke space groups are observed for three of the dimers which alters the symmetry considerations significantly.

[Cotren(MepyrazH$_{0.5}$)$_3$]$_2$ X$_3$ (X = ClO$_4^-$ and BF$_4^-$). The structures of [Cotren(MepyrazH$_{0.5}$)$_3$]$_2$ X$_3$ (X = ClO$_4^-$ and BF$_4^-$) dimers are isomorphous in Sohncke space group R32 which has D$_3$ symmetry. There is a single cobalt(III) ion and a three fold rotation axis (shown in red) that runs through the apical tren nitrogen and the cobalt atoms. They also possess three two fold rotation axis (shown in blue) that bisects the cobalt-cobalt non bonded axis. This is illustrated in Figure 7.
Figure 7. The unit cell (space group R32) of \([\text{Cotren(MepyrzH}_{0.5})_3]_2^{3+}\) is depicted above with one of the dimers (all H atoms and counterions omitted for clarity) that it contains. The red line is a three fold rotation axis and also an axis of the cell. Note that the three fold axis (red) runs through the apical tren nitrogen and cobalt atoms which result in a single tren arm in the asymmetric unit. R32 (D\(_3\)) requires a three fold rotation axis (red) and three perpendicular two fold rotation axis (blue). The two fold rotation (blue) bisects the non bonded cobalt-cobalt axis and is perpendicular to a N\(_{\text{pyrazole}}\)–H⋯N\(_{\text{pyrazolate}}\) hydrogen bond. This results in a single cobalt atom in the asymmetric unit by a two fold rotation. The effect of both of these symmetry elements is that the asymmetric unit contains a single cobalt atom (not two) and a single tren arm (not three).

There are no mirror planes or glide planes as R32 is a Sohncke space group that allows for the crystallization of a single enantiomer of a chiral molecule. A mirror plane is equivalent to an improper axis of rotation which a chiral molecule cannot have. This type of symmetry, imposed by a Sohncke space group, has not been observed previously in the earlier pyrazole dimers/pseudodimers. The entire crystal is homochiral (resolved at the level of the crystal) but the entire sample is likely achiral as it contains equal numbers of molecules of opposite chirality (racemic conglomerate). Crystals of this type could be separated by hand into the lambda and delta isomers as was done by Pasteur for tartrate salts.

\([\text{Cotren(MepyrzH}_{0.5})_3]_2 (\text{BF}_4)_3\) also crystallizes as a hydrate, \([\text{Cotren(MepyrzH)}_2\text{(Mepyrz)}\] [\text{Cotren(Mepyrz)}_2\text{(MepyrzH)}] (\text{BF}_4)_3\cdot3.81\text{H}_2\text{O}, \text{in Cc. It is neither isomorphous or a polymorph of [Cotren(MepyrzH}_{0.5})_3]_2. In this case the space group requires that the two metal sites be distinct and that there is no three fold axis along the apical tren nitrogen and cobalt atoms. These differences are achieved by having different levels of protonation on the two pyrazolate rings of the two ligands in the pseudodimer to give the \([\text{Cotren(MepyrzH)}_2\text{(Mepyrz)}\] [\text{Cotren(MepyrzH)}_2\text{(MepyrzH)}]^{3+}\) cation. This complex resulted from the attempt to repeat the earlier synthesis of \([\text{Cotren(MepyrzH)}_3\text{(C}_6\text{H}_6)\text{CH}_3\text{CN}])_2(\text{ClO}_4)_3\). This attempt resulted in the preparation of a new compound that crystallized in P\(_4\)\(_3\)\(_2\)\(_1\) which has D\(_4\) symmetry. In this dimer the two cobalt complexes are equivalent as a two-fold rotation axis bisects a N\(_{\text{pyrazole}}\)–H⋯N\(_{\text{pyrazolate}}\) hydrogen bond axis and the non-bonded cobalt-cobalt axis as shown in Figure 8. The three tren arms are not equivalent. The four fold rotation axis is a screw axis of the cell (not the molecule) There are no mirror planes as this is a Sohncke space group that allows for crystallization of a single enantiomer as was discussed earlier for \([\text{Cotren(MepyrzH}_{1.5})_3]_2 X_3 (X = \text{ClO}_4^- \text{ and BF}_4^-)\) that crystallizes in R32 (racemic conglomerate). The situation here is more complicated as P\(_4\)\(_2\)\(_2\)\(_1\) is an entantiomorphous (chiral) space group which means that if one enantiomer crystallizes in P\(_4\)\(_2\)\(_2\)\(_1\) the other enantiomer cannot crystallize in the same space group and must crystallize in the entantiomorphous space group, P\(_4\)\(_2\)\(_2\)\(_1\) in this case. This could result in total spontaneous resolution. While it was not possible to examine each crystal a structural determination was made of another crystal from the same batch and it was identical to the first. Both results are reported here.
Figure 8. Structure of the pyrazole dimer in P4_3212. The unit cell axes are not depicted here. The hydrogen atoms and counterions have been omitted for clarity. Note the three hydrogen bonds (dashed blue) connecting the tree pairs of pyrazole rings and the alignment of rings for π-π stacking. The red line is a C₂ proper rotation axis that bisects one of the three hydrogen bonds and the non bonded cobalt-cobalt axis. This two fold rotation axis (the only symmetry element in the molecule) means that there is a single cobalt atom and three tren arms in the asymmetric unit. This contrasts with the previous molecule in R32 in that it lacks a three fold rotation axis on the molecule. The four fold rotation axis in this space group (D₄ symmetry) is not a rotation axis of the molecule itself but a screw axis of the cell. Clearly a tripodal ligand could not have a fold fold proper rotation axis.

[Cotren(MePyrzH)₃][Cotren(MePyrz)₃]I₂. This compound was prepared by the same method as were [Cotren(MePyrzH₀.₅)₃]₂ X₃ (X = ClO₄⁻ and BF₄⁻). The reaction was simply adding a solution of ammonium iodide (rather than perchlorate or tetrafluoroborate) to the insoluble Cotren(MePyrz)₃. The result in this case was different as the product was the mixed valent cobalt(II)-cobalt(III) pseudodimer in Cc (Cs symmetry). There are two cobalt complexes in the asymmetric unit each with three unique tren arms. In this case one complex has all three pyrazolates protonated and the other has all three deprotonated. These are assigned as cobalt(II) and cobalt(III) respectively due to the fact that reduction is easier for a positively charged species over a neutral assuming other factors are unchanged. This species is an intevalence compound as it contains a cobalt(II) and a cobalt(III) or an average oxidation state of Co²⁺. In this case the rate of electron transfer between the two cobalt atoms would be slow as this is a Class I intervalence compound as the metals are not in identical structural fields. However a small change in crystallization conditions (presence of a two-fold rotation axis) would mean that the compound was a Class III intervalence system with a non-localized electron.

3.4. Correlation of Spin State with Structural Parameters

Structural signatures of high spin (HS) and low spin (LS) iron(II) and iron(III) [53] tripodal tren imidazole complexes have been investigated previously from experimental and computational approaches [54,55]. The signatures are 1) the Fe-N_{imidazole} and Fe-N_{imine} bond distances 2) the N_{imidazole}-Fe-N_{imine} bite angle 3) the N_{imidazole}-Fe-N_{imine'} trans angle 4) the Fe-N_{ap} distance and 5) the N_{ap} conformation. In general the HS state correlates with long Fe-N_{imidazole} and Fe-N_{imine} bond distances (>2.10 Å), N_{imidazole}-Fe-N_{imine} bite angles of ~76°, N_{imidazole}-Fe-N_{imine'} trans angles of ~166°, short M-N_{ap} distances (<3.1 Å) and the conformation of the apical nitrogen atom of the tren bent in (“Nin”)towards the iron atom. In contrast the LS state correlates with short Fe-N_{imidazole} and Fe-N_{imine} bond distances (<2.00 Å), N_{imidazole}-Fe-N_{imine} bite angles of ~81°, N_{imidazole}-Fe-N_{imine'} trans angles of ~175°, long Fe-N_{ap} distances (>3.1 Å) and “N out” or planar conformation of the apical tren nitrogen atom. The above structural signatures are so pronounced that LS structures of iron(II) and iron(III) are
essentially identical with these ligands as are HS structures. In other words the effect of oxidation state is minor relative to spin state on structural parameters.

Comparison of the above structural parameters of iron(III) (d^5) and iron(II) (d^6) with the analogous values for all of the cobalt(III) (d^6) complexes in Table 2 clearly suggests that all of the cobalt(III) complexes are LS. This is hardly surprising as all but a few cobalt(III) complexes are LS. This does not mean that structural data is a true measurement of the electronic ground state but it does suggest that the above parameters correlate extremely well with spin state selection in this class of complexes. The [Cotren(MePyrzH)]_3][Cotren(MePyrz)_3]I requires further comment as it contains both a cobalt(II) and a cobalt(III). The Co-pyrazole bond distance is the longest (2.048 Å) and the bite (77.2°) and trans (172.1°) angles are the smallest for Cotren(MePyrzH)_3 of all the complexes listed in Table 2. The lengthening of the Co-pyrazole bond distance can be explained on the fact that pyrazolate is a stronger binder than a pyrazole. The bite and trans angles are not completely in the LS regime but have moved in that direction. More definitive comments on the spin state of the Co(II) in this complex requires a separate investigation to synthesize, isolate and magnetostructurally characterize a mononuclear [Cotren(MePyrzH)_3]^{2+} species. It is possible that the Co(II) d^7 species is LS or close to the equilibrium:

\[ ^2 \text{E (LS)} \rightleftharpoons ^4 \text{T (HS)} \]

4. Summary

One aspect of materials science work is to incorporate features into a molecular system that have potential for applications. Two aspects that may be desirable to incorporate into a molecular system are spin crossover (SC) and intervalence (IV). A SC molecule can switch between two electronic states with different magnetic properties due to a change in temperature, pressure, optical stimulation or other environmental features such as pH. Such molecules can be thought of as a switch or memory storage device. A metal(II)-metal(III) intervalence molecule has a non integral average oxidation state and can serve as a storage site for an electron or could be used to promote rapid electron transfer. If the symmetry of the intervalence compound resulted in a single metal in the asymmetric unit then the compound would be a Class III intervalence species in which the electron is not localized and the metal ions are in an average non integral oxidation state. Well know examples of these are magnetite, Fe_3O_4, basic iron acetate, Fe_3O(OAc)_6(H_2O)_3, and the Creutz Taube ion, [(NH_3)_5Ru(pyrazine)Ru(NH_3)_5]^{5+}. The pyrazole dimers/pseudodimers discussed here have the features of SC and IV. In addition they have the ability to self-assemble through a stereochemical (chiral) molecular recognition process which means that one tren pyrazole complex can bind selectively to only the same enantiomer of a racemic mixture. If these molecules were incorporated into a material then the door is open to fully exploit the stereochemical and symmetry features as well as the SC and IV aspects. An example of how this incorporation could be achieved is as follows. The central nitrogen atom of the tren can be alkylated by reaction with an alkyl iodide, RI, or other agent. A silicon (or other) based material, which had incorporation of this functionalization, -Si-Si-C(I)-Si-Si- could be reacted with tren to give -Si-Si-C(N(CH_2CH_2NH_2)_3-Si-Si-. Further reaction of this with MepyrazH followed by a metal would incorporate half of the dimer (monomer) into the material The other half of the dimer can self-assemble onto the first half by chiral recognition and formation of hydrogen bonds to give dimer/pseudodimer attached to the original material. Incorporation of the dimer/pseudodimer into the original material allows for possible exchange reactions such as selective removal of a single enantiomer from solution. There are many potential uses of such a material that could exploit the SC and IV properties. The complexes presented here and in earlier work show that subtle variations in reaction and or crystallization conditions have a profound effect on the molecular symmetry by altering the space group that is exhibited. Any of these features could be exploited by incorporating these molecules into a new material.
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