Excretion and detection of SARS coronavirus and its nucleic acid from digestive system
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INTRODUCTION
By the end of 2002, there were reports from Guangdong Province in southern China of cases of severe acute respiratory syndrome (SARS). Over 8,439 SARS cases and 812 SARS-related deaths were reported to WHO from 32 countries around the world till 5th July, 2003[1,2]. In response to this outbreak, WHO coordinated an international collaboration that included clinical, epidemiological, laboratory investigations, and initiated efforts to control the spread of SARS. Attempts to identify the etiology of SARS outbreak were successful during the 3rd wk of March 2003, when laboratories in the USA, Canada, Germany, Hong Kong, and China isolated a novel coronavirus from SARS patients[3-6]. Unlike other human coronaviruses, it was possible to isolate the novel coronavirus in Vero cells. Evidence of the coronavirus infection was documented in SARS patients throughout the world. The coronavirus RNA was frequently detected in respiratory specimens, and convalescent-phase serum specimens from SARS patients containing antibodies that reacted with the coronavirus. There was a strong evidence that this new virus was etiologically linked to the outbreak of SARS[7-9].

Investigations of the global outbreak of SARS have shown that the major mode of transmission of SARS virus was through close personal contact, in particular exposure to droplets of respiratory secretions from an infected person[10,11,12]. While in a cluster of SARS cases in an apartment block in Hong Kong, sewage was believed to have played a role through droplets containing coronavirus from the sewage system[13,14]. However, there is no direct evidence to prove that the coronavirus exists in sewage system and is contagious.

In order to confirm whether the digestive system was a possible major transmission way of SARS-CoV, cell culture and the semi-nested RT-PCR were used to directly detect SARS-CoV and its RNA. A kind of electropositive filter media particle[15] was used to concentrate the SARS-CoV from the sewage of hospitals receiving SARS patients in Beijing of China, and then the virus and its RNA were detected.

Abstract
AIM: To study whether severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus (SARS-CoV) could be excreted from digestive system.

METHODS: Cell culture and semi-nested RT-PCR were used to detect SARS-CoV and its RNA from 21 stool and urine samples, and a kind of electropositive filter media particles was used to concentrate the virus in 10 sewage samples from two hospitals receiving SARS patients in Beijing of China.

RESULTS: It was demonstrated that there was no live SARS-CoV in all samples collected, but the RNA of SARS-CoV could be detected in seven stool samples from SARS patients with any one of the symptoms of fever, malaise, cough, or dyspnea, in 10 sewage samples before disinfection and 3 samples after disinfection from the two hospitals. The RNA could not be detected in urine and stool samples from patients recovered from SARS.

CONCLUSION: Nucleic acid of SARS-CoV can be excreted through the stool of patients into sewage system, and the possibility of SARS-CoV transmitting through digestive system cannot be excluded.
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MATERIALS AND METHODS

Viruses and culture methods
To identify viruses that existed in stools, urine samples, and sewage system, we inoculated a variety of specimens onto Vero E6. Because of the toxicity of sewage concentrates, all cell cultures were inoculated in the presence of growth medium for 1 h at 37 °C. This procedure virtually eliminated problems with the toxicity of sewage concentrates. Medium was replaced after 1-2 d of incubation. Culture was terminated 7 d after inoculation, and the culture was observed daily for cytopathic effects. Cultures exhibiting identifiable cytopathic effects were subjected to several procedures to identify the cause of the effect[15-18]. If there was no cytopathic effect on the cell culture, the supernate was harvested and added into additional flasks to isolate viruses. The cultures were then used until three generations without cytopathic effects.

Stools and urine samples of SARS patients
Twenty-one stool and urine samples were collected from the Xiao Tang Shan Hospital and 309 Hospital of PLA, which were specially assigned to receive SARS patients in Beijing in 2003, among which 11 samples were collected from the SARS patients with any one of the symptoms of fever, malaise, cough, or dyspnea, and 10 samples were from recovered patients.

Sewage and disinfection
Ten sewage samples were collected at 7 o’clock in the morning from Xiao Tang Shan Hospital and 309 Hospital of PLA for 7 d. Two thousand and five hundred milliliters of sewage before disinfection or 25 000-50 000 mL after disinfection by chlorine was collected.

Electropositive filter media particle
The positively charged filter media particles, which were used to concentrate SARS-CoV from sewage, were prepared as previously described[19].

Detection of residual chlorine
The residual chlorine in sewage was determined by the N, N-diethyl-p-phenyldiamine colorimetric method[20].

Concentration of SARS-CoV from sewage
Two thousand and five hundred milliliters and 25 000 mL sewage from the hospitals before or after disinfection by chlorine were placed in a 25-L capacity plastic bucket, and 10 mL Na₂S₂O₃ (100 g/L) was added to neutralize the residual chlorine. Five hundred or 820 g filter media was packed in a polymethyl methacrylate column (89 or 130 mm i.d.). The filter media bed height was 14 cm. The flow rate was kept at 10 mL/min per cm² of the filter surface area. The adsorbed viruses were eluted from filter media with 700 or 900 mL 6× nutrient broth (pH 7.2). The collected eluates were concentrated by PEG precipitation and centrifugation. The pellets were resuspended in 40 mL PBS and assayed.

RNA extraction
Virus RNA extracting kit (TRIzol Reagent) made by Invitrogen™ Life Technologies for extraction of exceedingly pure viral RNA was utilized in our experiment to extract virus RNA, and all procedures were strictly implemented in accordance with the reagent instruction manual.

Primer design for assay of SARS-CoV nucleic acid
Three sets of primers from WHO Network Laboratories[23] were used to detect the SARS-CoV RNA: Cor-p-F2 (+) 5’-CTAACATGGTTAGATAATGG-3’, Cor-p-F3 (+) 5’-GGCTCTCTTTGTCCTGCCC-3’, and Cor-p-R1 (-) 5’-CAGGTAAGCGTAAAATTACCTCTC-3’. Cor-p-F2/Cor-p-R1 gave a 348-bp product, and Cor-p-F3/Cor-p-R1 yielded a 348-bp segment.

Detection of PCR products
PCR products were analyzed by electrophoresis with 15 g/L agarose gels containing 0.5 µg of ethidium bromide per mL, and visualized with UV illumination and photographed. DNA molecular size standards (100-bp ladder, Gibco/BRL) were included in each run of agarose gel electrophoresis. In view of the serious nature of SARS and the person-to-person transmission, all clinical specimens were treated in a biosafety level 3 environment. All divisions into aliquots, pipetting, concentration for small sewage and culture attempts were performed in laminar-flow safety cabinets. A similar environment was used when specimens from which nucleic acid was to be extracted and placed in a buffer solution.

Nucleotide sequence analysis
The PCR products from four different samples were purified.
with the QIAquick PCR purification kit (QIAGEN, Inc.) and sequenced with the ABI PRISM dye terminator cycle sequencing ready reaction kit with AmpliTaq DNA polymerase FS (Perkin-Elmer, Applied Biosystem) following the manufacturer’s instructions. The sequences were compared with the genome of SARS-CoV in the GenBank and EMBL databases by using the FASTA program of the GCG.

RESULTS
Detection of SARS-CoV by the semi-nested RT-PCR
The detection specificity and sensitivity of semi-nested RT-PCR were confirmed by the isolated SARS-CoV (BJ-01) from Institute of Microbiology and Epidemiology, Academy of Military Medical Sciences, Beijing, China. It was shown that two amplicons were yielded, which were in agreement with the information on the designed primers (Figure 1). The minimum amount of SARS-CoV RNA detected by semi-nested PCR was equivalent to 10 TCID\(_{50}\) (Figure 2).

Isolation of SARS-CoV and detection of SARS-CoV RNA from stool samples of patients
All the 21 stool samples tested for the presence of infectious SARS-CoV in cell culture were negative. SARS-CoV RNA could be detected in 7 of 11 stool samples from patients with symptoms by semi-nested RT-PCR (Figure 3). However, SARS-CoV RNA could not be detected from the samples of patients who recovered.

Concentration and detection of SARS-CoV from sewage before disinfection
All sewage samples tested for the presence of infectious SARS-CoV in cell culture were negative. SARS-CoV RNA could be found in the concentrates of sewage from the two hospitals by semi-nested PCR, and in the inoculated cells of the sewage concentrates from 309 Hospital but not from Xiao Tang Shan Hospital. However, SARS-CoV RNA copies in the samples were too low to be detected by the first amplification reaction, the semi-nested RT-PCR in which the products of first amplification reaction were the template of the second PCR, gave the positive amplification results (Tables 1 and 2).

| Table 1 | Concentration and detection of SARS-CoV in 2 500-mL sewage before disinfection in Xiao Tang Shan Hospital\(^1\) |
|--------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Date    | Cell cult | Concentrate +PCR | Inoculated cells+PCR | Enterovirus +PCR |
|---------|-----------|------------------|-----------------------|------------------|
| 10 June | -         | +                | -                     | -                |
| 11 June | -         | +                | -                     | -                |
| 12 June | -         | +                | -                     | -                |
| 13 June | -         | +                | -                     | -                |
| 14 June | -         | +                | -                     | -                |
| 15 June | -         | +                | -                     | -                |

\(^1\)Glass column diameter: 19 mm, bed height: 14 cm, eluate volume: 500 mL; \(^2\)Cell culture was maintained for 14 d to observe the cytopathic effect; PCR template was from the concentrates; \(^3\)PCR template was from the cultured cells; \(^4\)Enteroviruses were detected by general primer RT-PCR for enteroviruses.

| Table 2 | Concentration and detection of SARS-CoV in 2 500-mL sewage before disinfection in 309 Hospital of PLA\(^1\) |
|--------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Date    | Cell cult | Concentrate +PCR | Inoculated cells+PCR | Enterovirus +PCR |
|---------|-----------|------------------|-----------------------|------------------|
| 11 June | -         | +                | -                     | -                |
| 12 June | -         | +                | -                     | -                |
| 13 June | -         | +                | -                     | -                |
| 14 June | -         | +                | -                     | -                |
| 15 June | -         | +                | -                     | -                |
| 16 June | -         | +                | -                     | -                |

\(^1\)All explanatory notes are same as in Table 1.
Concentration and detection of SARS-CoV from sewage after disinfection

The samples (25,000 or 50,000 mL) from the two hospitals were all negative by the infectivity methods. SARS-CoV RNA was detected from the concentrates and inoculated cells in three samples (June 11, 13, and 15) from 309 Hospital by semi-nested RT-PCR, while the other samples were negative (Tables 3 and 4).

Table 3 Concentration and detection of SARS-CoV in 25,000- or 50,000-mL sewage after disinfection in Xiao Tang Shan Hospital

| Date       | Concentrate +PCR | Inoculated cells +PCR | Enterovirus PCR |
|------------|------------------|-----------------------|-----------------|
| 11 June    | -                | -                     | -               |
| 12 June    | -                | -                     | -               |
| 13 June    | -                | -                     | -               |
| 14 June    | -                | -                     | -               |
| 15 June    | -                | -                     | -               |

Table 4 Concentration and detection of SARS-CoV in 25,000-mL sewage after disinfection in 309 Hospital of PLA

| Date       | Concentrate +PCR | Inoculated cells +PCR | Enterovirus PCR |
|------------|------------------|-----------------------|-----------------|
| 11 June    | -                | -                     | -               |
| 12 June    | -                | -                     | -               |
| 13 June    | -                | -                     | -               |
| 14 June    | -                | -                     | -               |
| 15 June    | -                | -                     | -               |

Result of nucleotide sequence analysis

The PCR products from the sewage samples of the two hospitals were sequenced, and submitted to GenBank. The accession numbers are bankit579728 and bankit579738, respectively. Comparison of the nucleotide sequences of PCR products with data from GenBank revealed that the sequences of the PCR products were close to those of SARS-COV genomes, showing about 99% nucleotide homolog.

DISCUSSION

Most SARS cases to date have occurred in young adults. The health care workers in hospitals, patient family members and international travelers were the commonly infected people[22,23].

The isolation of a novel coronavirus was obtained from the respiratory secretions of patients with SARS, and points to the etiologic association with SARS[24,28,29].

The mechanism of transmission of SARS-CoV is not yet understood completely. However, the fact that transmission has been limited to close contacts with patients, such as household members, health care workers, or other patients who were not protected with contact or respiratory precautions, suggests that either droplet secretions or direct or indirect contact probably has a role[1,10-14,27].

On 15th April, 2003, health authorities reported a total of 321 individuals infected with SARS virus who were residents in Amoy Gardens. A large proportion of cases were concentrated in vertically linked flats in a single building, Block E. On April 17, the Hong Kong Government announced that not one single factor could account for the outbreak in Block E of Amoy Gardens, and attention was focused on possible transmission via the sewage system because laboratory studies showed that patients with the disease excreted coronaviruses in their stools and these viruses were able to survive much longer in feces than on ordinary surfaces, and noted a swab sample taken from the toilet of an infected resident showed a positive test for the coronavirus' genetic material, and about 60% of patients in Amoy Gardens had diarrhea during their illness, and probably would have discharged a large amount of viruses into the soil stacks. Finally, the virus would spread with water droplets through the U-traps of the floor drains, which were dried up in many cases[11,24,28,29].

The elevated levels of aspartate aminotransferase and lactate dehydrogenase indeed suggest that SARS-CoV was also replicating outside the respiratory tract[13]. Electron microscopic examination showed that virus-like particles with 100-150 nm in diameter were found in cytoplasm and dilated reticular endoplasm of the infected alveolar epithelial cells and endothelial cells[13,26,29,31]. Shedding of the virus in feces might be an additional source of spreading, provided the virus was stable in this environment[14].

Tsang et al., reported that there were three nurses who worked at Hospital B, where a patient was admitted and remained for 6 d for treatment of pneumonia before he was transferred to Hospital C. During this period, the nurses spent five 8-h shifts stationed on the general ward where the patient was hospitalized. The three nurses recalled close encounter with the patient during which they cleaned him when he had fecal incontinence after an episode of diarrhea on March 3, 2003. The nurses did not wear masks or gowns during their routine nursing care of any patients on the ward and finally were all infected[14].

The detection of SARS-CoV in fecal and serum samples from patients, as well as in respiratory specimens, suggested that this virus, like many animal coronaviruses, might spread both by fecal contamination and by respiratory droplets[14].

Zhang reviewed the data of SARS transmission and believed that, as previously described, most coronaviruses could cause either a respiratory or an enteric disease, which is also transmitted by the fecal-oral route. During this outbreak of SARS, symptoms of the gastrointestinal tract in patients were noticed. Many investigators[14,32] found that gastrointestinal symptoms, including diarrhea (19-50%), nausea and vomiting (19.6%), and abdominal pain (13%) were common in SARS patients.

All the above reports suggested that stools of SARS patients or sewage containing stools of SARS patients would transmit the coronavirus. However, except that the positive PCR results were obtained in some patient stools, there were no reports that live viruses were present in patient stool or sewage.

In this study, we isolated and detected the SARS-CoV in stools, urine samples, and sewage from hospitals which were assigned specially to receive SARS patients in Beijing of China. Just as expected, SARS-CoV RNA was detected from
stoools of patients, but no live viruses were isolated from stool samples, and no SARS-CoV RNA was found in all stools from patients who recovered. It is suggested that the nucleic acid of SARS-CoV could be really excreted from stools of patients, but infectious SARS-CoV could not be confirmed to excrete through the digestive system. No live virus and its RNA were isolated from the urine samples of patients. It is suggested that SARS-CoV and its RNA could not be excreted from the urinary system.

In order to explore the growth and decline of SARS-CoV and its RNA in environment, SARS-CoV and its RNA were isolated from sewage of hospitals. Although the concentration method of SARS-CoV from sewage has not been reported yet, the concentration of enteroviruses from water using different methods was reported, and the electropositive filters have been considered as the most promising method[14,15].

We developed a simple method for concentration of enteroviruses from water with electropositive particles, the adsorption of bacteriophage \( \phi_2 \) was reliable and efficient, not affected by the \( \text{pH} \) value, temperature, turbidity, and organic materials in water, and gave a recovery of 88.7% for poliovirus I and a comparable recovery of HAV, CoxB\(_6\) and Echo 7 from 100 L of tap water[15].

We attempted to concentrate SARS-CoV in sewage from Xiao Tang Shan Hospital and 309 Hospital in Beijing by the electropositive particle adsorption method. The sewage systems in these two hospitals were similar, i.e. the sewage was collected from each isolation ward and converged into the reaction sedimentation basin, and disinfectant (chlorine) was added to inactivate SARS-CoV and other pathogenic microorganisms; finally, the sewage was discharged from the reaction sedimentation basin after a 60-min reaction.

Results of testing for the presence of SARS-CoV in the sewage indicated that no infectious SARS-CoV or live virus could be recovered in these two hospitals. The nucleic acid of SARS-CoV was found in the sewage before disinfection from both hospitals by semi-nested RT-PCR, while after disinfection of sewage by chlorine, SARS-CoV RNA could only be detected in the samples taken on 11\(^{th}\), 13\(^{th}\), and 15\(^{th}\) June, 2003 from 309 Hospital.

Cell culture is a very demanding test. However, negative cell culture results or RT-PCR results could not exclude the presence of SARS-CoV. The detection of SARS-CoV from SARS patients could be negative for the following reasons [17]. Patients were not infected with SARS coronavirus, the illness was due to another infectious agent (virus, bacterium, fungus) or a non-infectious cause. The test results were incorrect. Current tests need to be further developed to improve their sensitivity. SARS-CoV was so susceptible to environments that it was inactivated quickly out of the body. SARS-CoV might have been inactivated or eliminated by immunoglobulins (antibody) from the recovered patients before excretion, or in the sewage. Palmer et al.[18] reported that human imm-unoglobulins were used to eliminate the enteroviruses in concentrated sewage when they evaluated the immunodeficiency virus (HIV) in sewage effluent by infectivity assay and RT-PCR.

Hong Kong Government explained the reasons of a cluster of SARS cases in Amoy Gardens and believed that there was a combination of factors, including the presence of an index patient who caused the first batch of infections, person-to-person spread, transmission via the sewage system, and environmental contamination[12,20]. This study demonstrated that SARS-CoV RNA could be excreted through the feces or/and urine samples of patients into sewage system.

In conclusion, this study demonstrated that there was SARS-CoV RNA in stool samples of patients with symptoms and in sewage of hospitals though there was no live SARS-CoV isolated from all samples. It provides evidence that the nucleic acid of SARS-CoV can be excreted through the stools of patients into sewage system, but cannot exclude the possibility of SARS-CoV transmitting through the digestive system. Much attention should be paid to the treatment of stools of patients and the sewage of hospitals receiving SARS patients.
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