Polarisation of magnetospheric curvature radiation in repeating fast radio bursts
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ABSTRACT

Fast radio bursts (FRBs) can exhibit a wide variety of polarisation properties, not only between sources but also from burst to burst for a same one. In this work, we revisit the polarisation characters of coherent curvature radiation from a bulk of charged bunches in the magnetosphere of a highly magnetized neutron star. FRBs have been observed to have a variety of polarisation features, such as high levels of circular polarisation or a sign change of circular polarisation. High linear polarisation would appear when the line of sight is inside the emission beam (the on-beam geometry), whereas high circular polarisation would be present when it is outside (the off-beam geometry). By considering two scenarios of the “bulk shapes” (thick vs. thin), we apply the model to explain the polarisation features of four repeating FRBs (FRB 20121102A, FRB 180916B, FRB 20190520B and FRB 20201124A). Most bursts are dominated by linear polarisation and negligible events have sign changes in circular polarisation, suggesting that such FRBs are most likely to be emitted by the “thin” bulks with large opening angles. The higher probability of “thin” bulks could be meaningful for understanding repeating FRB central engine, i.e., the sparking dynamics to produce different bulks of energetic bunches on a neutron star surface.
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1 INTRODUCTION

Fast radio bursts (FRBs) are millisecond-duration radio flashes with extremely high brightness temperatures (Lorimer et al. 2007; Thornton et al. 2013, also see Cordes & Chatterjee 2019; Petroff et al. 2019 for reviews). At present, hundreds of FRB sources have been discovered1, and a small proportion of them are repeaters. Even though the observed sample is growing and many mechanisms have been proposed to explain the diverse observed properties (see Platts et al. 2019; Zhang 2020 for reviews), the underlying physical origin(s) of these bursts still remains an open question.

Polarisation measurements are tools to shed light on the possible radiation mechanisms of FRBs. Most FRBs have linear polarisation (LP) fractions from dozens of percent up to 100% (Masui et al. 2015; Michilli et al. 2018; Luo et al. 2020), but significant circular polarisation (CP) has also been discovered in some bursts (Masui et al. 2015; Day et al. 2020; Xu et al. 2021; Kumar et al. 2022b; Jiang et al. 2022). For some FRBs, the polarisation position angle (PA) for the LP remains constant across each burst (Michilli et al. 2018; Nimmo et al. 2021). However, in some other FRBs, variable PAs across each burst have been observed, and the swing patterns are quite diverse among bursts (Cho et al. 2020; Luo et al. 2020; Jiang et al. 2022). These properties are reminiscent of the polarisation properties seen from magnetars (both transient radio bursts and normal pulsations, e.g., Camilo et al. 2016; Kirsten et al. 2021), which are a group of highly magnetized neutron stars. Notably, a mega-Jansky FRB-like burst was discovered from a known Galactic magnetar SGR J1935+2154 (Bochenek et al. 2020; CHIME/FRB Collaboration et al. 2020). Motivated by these observations, magnetars have emerged as the most likely origin for at least some repeating FRBs (Beloborodov 2020; Ioka 2020; Lu et al. 2020; Wadiasingh & Chirenti 2020; Yang & Zhang 2021; Yuan et al. 2022).

There have been several mechanisms proposed to interpret these polarisation properties, of particular interest here is the CP characteristics. Within the framework of magnetospheric curvature radiation model, we proposed that emission would have significant CP fractions if the line of sight (LOS) is not inside the emission beam (Wang et al. 2022a,b). Other intrinsic mechanisms, e.g. inverse Compton scattering (Zhang 2022a), may also create circularly polarized emission by adding many scattered linearly polarized waves (Xu et al. 2000), however it is difficult for this model to reproduce observed CP fractions of tens of percent. Models invoking propagation effects, e.g., multi-path processes (Beniamin, Kumar, & Narayan 2022) or
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the polarisation dependent radiative transfer mechanisms (e.g., Faraday conversion, see Gruzinov & Levin 2019; Vedantham & Ravi 2019; Kumar et al. 2022c) could also produce CP. Observationally, polarisation profile oscillation with wavelengths is expected for the latter models. In any case, the outgoing wave through the plasma medium requires a highly circularly polarized incoming wave to interpret an FRB with high CP fractions.

In this paper, we mainly consider the intrinsic CP model, which invokes coherent curvature radiation by charged bunches. Curvature radiation from charged bunches has been proposed to account for coherent radio emission of both pulsars (e.g., Ruderman & Sutherland 1975; Sturrock, Petsiosian, & Turk 1975; Elsaeesser & Kirk 1976; Cheng & Ruderman 1977; Melikidze, Gil, & Pataraya 2000; Gil, Lyubarsky, & Melikidze 2004; Gangadhara, Han, & Wang 2021) and FRBs (e.g., Katz 2014; Kumar, Lu, & Bhattacharya 2017; Yang & Zhang 2018; Ghisellini & Locatelli 2018; Katz 2018; Lu & Kumar 2018; Wang, Xu, & Chen 2020; Cooper & Wijers 2021; Wang et al. 2022b). The model is developed from a work (Wang et al. 2022b) by deriving different geometric conditions of the emitting bulk. We attempt to demonstrate the variety of polarisation properties of repeating FRBs that can be reproduced from this model. Other intrinsic models invoking synchrotron maser coherent mechanism in a magnetar-wind-driven external shock can interpret ~ 100% LP but it is unclear how CP may be created in these models (e.g., Metzger, Margalit, & Sironi 2019). The paper is organized as follows. We discuss polarisation and temporal properties in Section 2. A comparison with observations and some implications are demonstrated in Section 3. The results are discussed and summarized in Section 4. The convention $Q_x = Q/10^x$ in cgs units is used throughout the paper.

2 POLARISATION PROPERTIES

2.1 Coherent curvature radiation by bunches

Within the coherent curvature radiation model of FRBs, FRBs are triggered by a sudden and violent “sparking” process in contrast to a continuous process required to power a normal pulsar (Ruderman & Sutherland 1975). These sparking particles can form charged bunches, such that the total observed radiation is coherently enhanced significantly when the size of the charged bunch is smaller than the half-wavelength in the observer frame. The bunch formation mechanisms have been explored extensively in the pulsar context within the neutron star magnetosphere, which generally invoke a two-stream instability (e.g., Usov 1987; Asseo & Melikidze 1998; Melikidze, Gil, & Pataraya 2000; Benáček et al. 2021; Kumar, Gil, & Lu 2022). The mechanism for FRBs may be similar but the bunch needs to have a much larger number of net charges so that FRB emissions are much brighter.

Since particle momentum perpendicular to the field line drops to zero rapidly, the particle trajectories essentially track with the magnetic field lines. Charged particles, which may be produced by a sudden trigger in the inner gap, stream outwards along curved magnetic field lines and emit curvature photons. An electric field $E \parallel$ parallel to the magnetic field line may exist in the charge starvation region to continuously inject energy to the bunches to maintain the observed luminosity for the typical FRB duration (Kumar, Lu, & Bhattacharya 2017). The balance between the radiation power and $E \parallel$ can be established quickly (Wang et al. 2019), therefore, a constant Lorenz factor distributed in a charged bunch is considered in our following calculation.

The observed emission intensity cannot be simply demonstrated by the summation of the curvature radiation amplitude of individual bunches, because FRB emissions are significantly coherent. Basically, curvature radiation from a single charge can be described by two orthogonal polarized components, i.e., $A_{\parallel}$ and $A_{\perp}$, in which $A_{\parallel}$ is earlier than $A_{\perp}$ by $\pi/2$ in phase. The unit vector $\epsilon_{\parallel}$ is pointing to the direction of the instantaneous curvature radius of the field line, and $\epsilon_{\perp} = n \times \epsilon_{\parallel}$ is defined, where $n$ denotes the unit vector of the LOS. For a single charge with identifiers $i$, $j$, $k$, we define

$$
\xi = \frac{\omega \rho}{3c} \left( \frac{1}{\gamma^2} + \chi_{ik}^2 + \chi_{ij}^2 \right)^{3/2},
$$

where $\omega$ is the angular frequency, $\gamma$ is the Lorentz factor, $\rho$ is the curvature radius, $\chi_{ij}$ is the angle between the considered trajectory
and the trajectory at $t = 0$, $\phi_k$ is the angle between the LOS and the trajectory plane. The critical angular frequency of curvature radiation is defined as $\omega_c = 3c\gamma^3/(2\rho)$. The amplitudes for one charged particle are given by

$$A_{∥,ijk} = \frac{2\rho}{\sqrt{3}} c \left( \frac{1}{\gamma^2} + \phi_k^2 + \chi_{ij}^2 \right) K_\frac{1}{2}(\xi),$$

$$A_{⊥,ijk} = \frac{2\rho}{\sqrt{3}} c \chi_{ij} \left( \frac{1}{\gamma^2} + \phi_k^2 + \chi_{ij}^2 \right)^{1/2} K_\frac{1}{2}(\xi),$$

where $K_\nu(\xi)$ is the modified Bessel function (Jackson 1998).

We introduce the concept of “bulk of bunches” to closely discuss their dynamical and radiation properties, as shown in Figure 1. A parallel electric field may form and propagate outward like a traveling wave along the unperturbed magnetic field, so that the charged particles are accelerated to move in the same direction (Kumar et al. 2022b). Charges in a bunch are suggested to move along nearly identical orbits, therefore they act like a single macro charge. The intrinsic duration, therefore they act like a single macro charge. The number of net charges in one bunch is $N_{\phi}$, where $N_φ$ is the number of net charges in one bunch. Within a bulk, on the other hand, there could be $N_{bl}$ bunches contributing to the observed instantaneous radiation, with the emissions from them added incoherently. The total energy radiated per unit solid angle per unit frequency interval can be written as

$$\frac{d^2W}{d\omega d\Omega} = N_{bl} e_{\ell}^2 c \sum_{i,j,k} \epsilon_{∥} A_{∥,ijk} + \epsilon_{⊥} A_{⊥,ijk}^2,$$

where $(i, j, k)$ are three subscripts to identify a particle, and the number of net charges in one bunch is $N_{φ} = N_{bl} N_{\phi}$ (Wang et al. 2022b).

The spectra can evolve as bunches move and the line of sight sweeps, which are generally characterized by multifractal power laws (Yang et al. 2022b). Drifting pattern is a natural consequence of magnetospheric curvature radiation (Wang et al. 2019; Wang, Xu, & Chen 2020). The amplitude of $A_\perp$ has been investigated by invoking off-beam LOS, which may lead to CP (Wang et al. 2022b). In this paper, we focus on polarisation features of curvature radiation by deriving different “shapes” of the emitting bulk.

2.2 Burst duration

Let us consider that the LOS is inside the emission beam of the bunches. The intrinsic duration, $t_\text{int}$, of an FRB observed in the co-rotation frame is determined by the number of bunches that continuously sweep across the LOS. Thus, the total number of persistent bunches traveling through the emitting region during the FRB emission can be estimated as $N_{bl} \approx 2 \times 10^9 \omega_{\text{int}}^{-3}$ (Wang et al. 2022b), where $t_\text{int}$ is the burst width and $\nu = \omega/(2\pi)$.

Even if the radial size is limited by the half-wavelength, the transverse size can be much larger. Emission from such ultra-relativistic particles is mainly confined in a conal region. The angle of the emission cone for a bunch is defined as $\theta_b = \phi_i + \theta_c$, where

$$\theta_c(\omega) = \frac{1}{3} \left( \frac{2\omega}{\gamma} \right)^{1/3} \omega \ll \omega_c,$$

$$\frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{2\omega}{\gamma} \right)^{1/2} \omega \gg \omega_c.$$

The angle can be estimated as $\theta_b \approx \phi_i + 1/\gamma$ at $\omega = \omega_c$. Note that we define $\varphi < \theta_b$ as on-beam and $\varphi > \theta_b$ as off-beam. This definition is more general than treating $\theta_c$ as the angle of the emission cone in Wang et al. (2022b), by considering the transverse bunch size.

Emitting bunches essentially corotate with the magnetosphere. The observed duration of an FRB in an observer frame reads

$$w \approx (1 + \cos(t_\text{int} \cdot \theta_{\text{rad}} / \Omega)), \quad (5)$$

where $\theta_{\text{rad}}$ is the radiation beaming angle shown as panel (c) of Figure 1, $\Omega$ is the angular frequency of the neutron star, and $\chi$ is the redshift (Yang, Zhang, & Wei 2019). Spherical coordinates $(r, \theta, \varphi)$ with respect to the magnetic axis and $(r, \Theta, \Phi)$ with respect to the spin axis are used. If the LOS can sweep the beam center, the radiation beaming angle can be written as

$$\theta_{\text{rad}} = 2(\Phi_r + \theta_c), \quad (6)$$

in which $\varphi_i$ is the half opening angle, and $\zeta$ is the angle between the LOS and the spin axis.

We consider two possible scenarios of the bulk of bunches. According to Equation (5), one can define $\theta_{\text{rad}} / \Omega \approx t_\text{int}$ as a “thick” bulk and $t_\text{int} \ll \theta_{\text{rad}} / \Omega$ as a “thin” bulk, as shown in Figure 1. The “thickness” here is determined by radial and transverse observing time rather than the true spatial size for the two dimensions. So the “thick” and “thin” cases here are of the visual effects from an observer, not representing the intrinsic geometry of the bulk itself. If the angle $\theta_{\text{rad}}$ is almost constant, a “thick” bulk would be observed for a rapidly spinning object, while a “thin” bulk would be observed for a slowly spinning one.

2.3 Polarisation Profile

Polarisation properties can reveal the information about the particle trajectories and the magnetic configuration. The evolution patterns of the Stokes parameters as the LOS sweeps the emission beam, i.e., the polarisation profiles, are derived to study the polarisation properties of bunched curvature radiation. The Stokes parameters can be calculated as

$$I = \mu \left( A_{∥}^2 + A_{⊥}^2 \right),$$

$$Q = \mu \left( A_{∥} A_{⊥} \right),$$

$$U = \mu \left( A_{∥} A_{∥} - A_{⊥} A_{⊥} \right),$$

$$V = -i \mu \left( A_{∥} A_{⊥} - A_{⊥} A_{∥} \right),$$

where $\mu = \omega^2 e^2/(4\pi^2 R^2 c^3 T)$ is the proportionality factor. The factor is such chosen that $I$ is the flux density averaged over a timescale $T$, and $R$ is the distance from the emitting source to the observer. The corresponding linearly polarized component and the PA read

$$L = \sqrt{Q^2 + U^2},$$

$$\psi = \frac{1}{2} \tan^{-1} \left( \frac{U}{Q} \right). \quad (9)$$

We assume that the curvature radius is a constant in the bulk and $\chi' = 0.001$. In the emitting bulk, there are $N_{bl}$ bunches that can contribute to instantaneous radiation, whose electric fields are added incoherently. Therefore, the dimensionless parameter denoting the enhancement factor due to coherence is $F_{\omega} \approx N_{N_{bl}}^2$ (Yang &
Figure 2. Simulated polarisation profiles for “thick” bulks: (a) $\varphi_t = 0.1/\gamma$; (b) $\varphi_t = 1/\gamma$; (c) $\varphi_t = 10/\gamma$. Top panels: The PA envelope across the burst in black solid line. Bottom panels: The Stokes parameters $I$ and $V$ are plotted in black solid and blue dotted-dashed curves. They are normalized to the value of $I$ at $\varphi = 0$. The linearly polarized component $L$ is plotted in red dashed curves. The parameters are adopted as $\gamma = 100$ and $\omega = \omega_c$. The grey regions show six observational windows as examples. The width of each observational window is $2\sigma_{\omega_c}$.

Figure 3. The average CP fraction as a function of opening angle with different frequencies: $\omega = 1/2\omega_c$ (red solid curve), $\omega = \omega_c$ (black solid curve), $\omega = 2\omega_c$ (blue solid curve). The grey dashed line denotes the average CP fraction of a single charge for $\omega = \omega_c$.

In general, highly circularly polarized waves can appear at off-beam cases due to the nonaxisymmetric summation of $A_\perp$ (Wang et al. 2022a,b). In general, highly circularly polarized waves can appear at off-beam cases, which tend to have lower fluxes than the on-beam cases under the same condition. However, from Equation (10), one can see that the emission amplitudes sensitively depend on $N_e$, $N_b$, and $\eta$. Thus, the sparking process can create charged particles with random numbers and bulk sizes, leading to large fluctuations of $N_e$, $N_b$, and $\eta$. Therefore, for the burst waves with a certain CP, the value of the observed flux may have a scattered distribution.

2.3.1 Polarisation profile for a “thick” bulk

For a “thick” bulk, the time for persistent bunches to travel through the emitting region is much longer than that for the LOS to sweep the whole $\theta_{\text{rad}}$ due to rotation, thus one can observe emission from the entire radiation beaming region, as shown in panel (c) of Figure 1. We...
simulate the polarisation profile for a "thick" bulk in three opening angle cases ($\varphi_1 = 0.1/\gamma, \varphi_2 = 1/\gamma, \varphi_3 = 10/\gamma$) at $\omega = \omega_c$. Since the flux drops to a small number rapidly when $\chi > 1/\gamma$ and $\varphi > 1/\gamma$, it is required that either $\chi < 1/\gamma$ or $\varphi < 1/\gamma$. For simplicity, we assume $\chi = 0.001$ here. The Stokes parameters are considered in the spherical coordinates with respect to magnetic axis for a general discussion.

The simulated polarisation profiles are shown in Figure 2. Emissions for all three cases retain high levels of LP as the LOS is inside the beam within an angle of $\theta_b$ (on-beam), and the CP fraction becomes significant when the LOS is off-beam. The case with $\varphi < 1/\gamma$ shares similar polarisation properties with that for $\varphi \sim 1/\gamma$ (e.g., Tong & Wang 2022). Waves are LCP at $\varphi < 0$ but change to RCP when $\varphi > 0$. If $\varphi > 1/\gamma$, there is a large phase space where the summation of $A_\perp$ cancels out, so that the emission has roughly 100% LP when the LOS is inside the large beam angle. A rapid polarisation conversion from LP to CP occurs at $|\varphi| = \theta_b$, and emission becomes ~100% CP for the off-beam case.

The average LP and CP fractions within the pulse width $\langle L/I \rangle$ and $\langle |V|/I \rangle$ are adopted to characterize the polarisation properties. For $\omega = \omega_c$, the average CP fraction is $\langle |V|/I \rangle \approx 38\%$ for $\varphi_1 = 1/\gamma$ and is smaller than 10% when $\varphi_1 \gg 1/\gamma$. The average CP fraction can reach 55% when $\varphi_1 \ll 1/\gamma$, which is the same as $\langle |V|/I \rangle$ of a single charge within $-1/\gamma < \varphi < 1/\gamma$ at $\omega = \omega_c$. Charged bunches with $\varphi_1 \ll 1/\gamma$ can share similar polarisation properties as a single charge. In general, the average CP fraction continuously decreases as the opening angle of the bulk increases. We simulate the average CP fraction as a function of $\varphi_1$ in three frequency cases ($\omega = 1/2\omega_c, \omega = \omega_c, \omega = 2\omega_c$) as shown in Figure 3. A burst tends to have a smaller $\langle |V|/I \rangle$ in higher frequencies because the radiation beaming angle decreases with frequency. The average CP fraction tends to be the same regardless of the emission frequency if $\varphi_1 \gg 1/\gamma$.

2.3.2 Polarisation profile for a "thick" bulk

For a "thick" bulk, all the bunches have traveled through the emission region before the LOS sweeps the whole radiation beaming region, so that one may observe a significant part of the radiation cone. The trajectories of LOS do not rotate around the spin axis in the frame of the moving bulk, as shown in the panel (d) of Figure 1. Noticeably different polarisation properties from the "thick" bulk scenario will show up, since the width of observational window is narrower than $\theta_{rad}$.

The triggered photon-pair production cascade is a complex process. We assume that the number density of pairs obeys a Gaussian function in terms of time and the LOS can sweep at the beam center. Thus, the observed electric vectors are modulated by the number density when the bunches travel through the emission region. The modulation function for the observed electric vectors can be written as

$$f(\varphi) = f_0 \exp \left[ -\frac{(\varphi - \varphi_p)^2}{\sigma_w^2} \right],$$

where $\varphi_p$ is the peak location of the Gaussian function, $f_0$ is the amplitude and $\sigma_w$ is the Gaussian width. We fix $f_0 = 1$ in the following calculation.

Consider observational windows appearing at different phases within the radiation beaming region. Adopting $\sigma_w = 0.005$, we simulate the polarisation profile and PA across the burst envelope in three cases ($\varphi_p = -0.005, \varphi_p = 0$ and $\varphi_p = 0.01$), under the assumption of $\varphi_1 = 0.01, \gamma = 100$ and $\omega = \omega_c$ as shown in Figure 4. The width of observational window is $2\sigma_w$, as shown in panel (b) of Figure 2. A wide variety of polarisation properties between observational widows may be exhibited. The waves have a purely LCP in panel (a) and a purely RCP in panel (c). The sign of CP will change when the symmetric axis of the bulk is inside the observational window. The average CP fraction for panels (a), (b) and (c) of Figure 4 are 32.3%, 13.5% and 60.2%, respectively, but all of them have constant PAs across the burst envelope. We assume that the observational window is located at one side of the bulk central axis. The intensity of $A_\parallel$ becomes smaller and be close to $A_\perp$ as the window gets wider. There may be highly circularly polarized waves ($\langle |V|/I \rangle > 55\%$ at $\omega = \omega_c$) being seen if the LOS only sweeps part of $\theta_{rad}$.

For a "thin" bulk with $\varphi_1 = 0.1$, the simulation in three cases ($\varphi_p = -0.1, \varphi_p = 0$ and $\varphi_p = 0.1$) are shown in Figure 5 and the observational windows are shown in panel (c) of Figure 2. The average CP fraction for panels (a), (b) and (c) of Figure 5 are 25.4%, 0% and 25.4%, respectively. The sign of CP does not change regardless of the width of the observational window. If the center of the observational window is normally distributed within in the radiation beaming region, one would have a high probability of seeing a ~100% linearly polarized burst. The chance for detecting CP decreases as the opening angle becomes larger.

Consider that the Gaussian peak overlaps with the central axis of the bulk coincidently. The width of the observational window can be different. Adopting $\varphi_p = 0$, we simulate the polarisation profile and PA across the burst envelope in three cases ($\sigma_w = 0.001, \sigma_w = 0.002$ and $\sigma_w = 0.01$), under the assumption of $\varphi_1 = 0.01, \gamma = 100$ and $\omega = \omega_c$ as shown in Figure 6. The average CP fraction for panels (a), (b) and (c) of Figure 6 are 2.8%, 5.6% and 23.3%, respectively. Within the pulse window, all three panels in Figure 6 exhibit sign change of CP. One can infer that the average CP fraction increases as the observational window gets wider when the Gaussian peak appears at the central axis of the bulk with $\varphi_1 \ll 1/\gamma$.

The polarisation profiles have the largest derivative of CP fraction with respect to $\varphi$ at $\varphi = 0$. This quantity can reach the largest value when it is a single charge:

$$P \frac{\Delta(V/I)}{\Delta \varphi} < \frac{d(V/I)}{d\varphi} \leq 1.24\gamma,$$

where $P$ is the period of the neutron star. From Equation (12), one can obtain $P \leq 1.24\gamma_2 \Delta t_{-3}\langle (V/I) \rangle_{-1}^{-1}$. Note that this constraint is independent of whether the emitting bulk is "thin" or "thick". In order to generate "thin" bulks, combining Equation (5) and (6), one can derive the period of the neutron star $P > 0.3\gamma_2 w_{-3}$ s. The neutron star may be a slowly rotating pulsar or a magnetar.

3 IMPLICATIONS FROM OBSERVATIONS

Bursts from most FRBs are polarized. They exhibit noticeable differences between different sources and different bursts from the same source. Some polarisation properties of the well-observed repeaters

2 It could be extremely difficult to measure the spin period of these slowly rotating neutron stars manifested in the form of FRBs, compared with that of regular radio pulsars. (1) The radiation window would be large, though for a slow rotator, due to emission at low altitude of a star with significant multi-pole magnetic fields, and a radio burst with millisecond-duration may appear almost randomly in the window. (2) Large timing irregularities could also result from an enhanced spindown caused by high radiation power in clean magnetosphere (Wang et al. 2022a), as well as quake-induced activity.
3.1 FRB 20201124A

FRB 20201124A is a highly active repeater. The source enters a newly active episode during September to October 2021. A series of works on FRB 20201124A focused on the morphology (Zhou et al. 2022), energy distribution (Zhang et al. 2022b), polarisation (Jiang et al. 2022) and waiting time properties (Niu et al. 2022b) during the active episode. Narrow emission spectra and a lot of drifting pattern events from the bursts are found, which are similar to other repeaters (e.g., Pleunis et al. 2021a). Bursts during the active episode exhibit an exponentially connected broken-power-law cumulative burst energy distribution, and a double-peak distribution of the waiting time, with no-detection of a credible spin period. The source exhibits a variety of polarisation properties. More than 90% of the bursts have a total degree of polarisation larger than 90%, and the average value among the sample is (97.0 ± 0.5)%, suggesting that most bulks may have an opening angle $\varphi_i > 1/\gamma$. The luminosities for most bursts are estimated as $\sim 10^{39}$ erg s$^{-1}$ so that the waves can escape intact rather than scattered by electron-positron pairs in the magnetosphere (Qu, Kumar, & Zhang 2022).

Among 556 bright bursts, dozens show apparent sign change of CP. However, these bursts also have sub-pulse structures, which exhibit drifting patterns (e.g., Hessels et al. 2019). The interval of the neighboring sub-pulses with different signs of CP is comparable with the sub-pulse duration, so that the CP sign change occurs at the bridge between sub-pulses. The sign change of CP from sub-pulses are caused by multiple bulks of bunches. This is essentially different from the scenario discussed in Section 2. These sub-pulses are produced by separate bulks of bunches during one trigger (Wang, Xu, & Chen 2020). The bursts of FRB 20201124A with high CP fractions do not show sign change of CP and the largest CP fraction can reach $\sim 90\%$ (Jiang et al. 2022). This is in tension with the “thick” bulk scenario.

We assume that the bulk length has $L = A\varphi_i$. The duration of trigger is proportional to the size of the triggering region when $A$ is a constant. For the “thick” bulk case, the average CP fraction is larger as the pulse width decrease. However, for the “thin” bulk case, a burst (without sign change of CP) with certain pulse width can correspond to many $\langle |V|/I \rangle$, and the smallest value of them $\langle |V|/I \rangle_{\min}$ decreases with $\varphi_i$. One can infer that the possibility of sign change of CP

\[\begin{align*}
(a) &:\ \phi
(b) &:\ \phi
(c) &:\ \phi
(d) &:\ \phi
(e) &:\ \phi
(f) &:\ \phi
\end{align*}\]
increases as $\varphi_t$ gets larger (for $\varphi_t \lesssim 1/\gamma$). We investigate the average LP and CP fractions as functions of pulse width for FRB 20201124A, as shown in Figure 7. Although the sample with high CP is rare, there is no apparent trend that all of $\langle L/I \rangle$, $\langle |V|/I \rangle$ and $\langle |V|/I \rangle_{\text{min}}$ evolve with pulse width, which is inconsistent with a constant $A$ for both of the “thick” and “thin” bulk cases.

3.2 FRB 20121102A

FRB 20121102A is the first confirmed repeater and has been intensively studied in polarisation measurements. The source has been measured to have a large and variable Faraday rotation measure, which is indicative of a complex magneto environment. The bursts are $\sim 100\%$ linearly polarized with flat PA curves as measured at $4-8$ GHz (Michilli et al. 2018; Gajjar et al. 2018). Depolarisation could be neglected according to the RM scattering relation extrapolated to L-band (Feng et al. 2022). The non-detection of CP for FRB 20121102A is consistent with the “thin” bulk case, which has a large opening angle ($\varphi_t \gg 1/\gamma$, e.g., panel (b) of Figure 5).

The flat PA across burst profiles may be the consequence of a small horizontal-size bulk or a slow rotating neutron star. A triple subpulse burst (burst 6, MJD 58075) shows dramatically variable PAs across burst profile (Hilmarsson et al. 2021). The burst has an upward-drifting pattern between the first two components, while downward

Table 1. Some polarisation properties of repeaters

| FRB Source | Band (GHz) | LP (%) | CP (%) | PA | Ref. |
|------------|------------|--------|--------|----|------|
| 20121102A  | 3–5        | $\sim 100$ | 0      | Constant$^a$ | Michilli et al. (2018); Hilmarsson et al. (2021) |
|            | 1–1.5      | $< 20$  | $< 15$ | -  | Plavin et al. (2022) |
| 20180301A  | 1–1.5      | 36–80   | $< 10$ | Either constant or varying | Luo et al. (2020) |
| 20180916B  | 0.3–1.7    | $\geq 80$ | $\leq 15$ | Constant | Nimmo et al. (2021); Sand et al. (2021) |
| 20190303A  | 0.1–0.2    | 30–70   | 0      | Constant | Pleunis et al. (2021b) |
| 20190417A  | 0.4–0.8    | $\geq 20$ | -  | Constant | Fonseca et al. (2020) |
| 20190417A  | 1–1.5      | 52–86   | -  | Constant | Feng et al. (2022) |
| 20190520B  | 2.8–8      | 15–80   | $< 15^b$ | Constant | Anna-Thomas et al. (2022); Dai et al. (2022); Niu et al. (2022a) |
| 20190604A  | 0.4–0.8    | $\sim 100$ | 0      | Constant | Fonseca et al. (2020) |
| 20201124B  | 0.7–1.5    | 30–100  | 0–90   | Either constant or varying | Xu et al. (2021); Kumar et al. (2022b); Jiang et al. (2022) |

$^a$ Only one burst of FRB 20121102A has varying PA (Hilmarsson et al. 2021).
$^b$ There is one burst showing CP fraction of $42 \pm 7\%$ (Anna-Thomas et al. 2022).
drifting pattern for the second and third components. This could be well understood if the LOS crosses the minimum impact angle.

### 3.3 FRB 20180916B and 20190520B

FRB 20190520B has been regularly detected as active during the several-month monitoring by FAST (Niu et al. 2022a). The total polarisation fraction of the source is smaller than 100%, indicating that significant absorption may occur at the complex magneto environment. Most of the bursts are dominated by LP and a sign change of CP has not been reported. These similar polarisation properties were also found in FRB 20180916 (Nimmo et al. 2021; Sand et al. 2021; Pleunis et al. 2021b). All these observations are consistent with a total polarisation degree smaller than 100% due to some possible significant absorption may occur at the complex magneto environment. However, it is hard to know whether the bulks are “thick” or “thin”. Similar with FRB 20201124A, we also investigate the average LP and CP fractions as functions of pulse width for FRB 20190520B at C-band, as shown in Figure 7. All of \( \langle L/I \rangle \), \( \langle |V|/I | \rangle \) and \( \langle |V|/I \rangle_{\text{min}} \) are independent of pulse width, which is not consistent with the duration of trigger being proportional to the size of the triggering region. Both FRB 20180916B and FRB 20190520B have a total polarisation degree smaller than 100% due to some possible propagation effects. The general trend for both sources is that most bursts are dominated by LP, which may be caused by the intrinsic mechanism.

### 4 DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS

We investigated the polarisation properties of coherent curvature radiation from charged bunches in the magnetosphere of a highly magnetized neutron star and applied the model to interpret the polarized emission properties of repeating FRBs. Emission from a charged bulk of bunches can exhibit a variety of polarisation properties. We consider a more general expression of the angle of the emission cone by deriving the transverse bunch size. If the LOS is confined to \( \theta_b \), i.e. \( \varphi < \theta_b \) (on-beam case), the observed burst retains high linear polarisation. The bursts with high levels of CP tend to have low flux when \( \varphi > \theta_b \) (off-beam cases) under the same condition. As shown in Figure 2, CP-dominated waves appear at both sides of the beam, where the total flux can be up to the same magnitude as the peak of the profile. However, if the fluctuation of any of \( N_e, N_b \) or \( \varphi_t \) exceeds an order of magnitude, the observed flux of waves would have more than one order of scattering, so that the trend of faint burst with high CP may be not apparent.

We apply this mechanism to explain the polarisation properties of repeating FRBs by considering two scenarios: (a) “thick” bulk \( t_{\text{int}} < \theta_{\text{rad}}/\Omega \); and (b) “thin” bulk \( \theta_{\text{rad}}/\Omega < t_{\text{int}} \). The whole beaming radiation from the bulk could be observed for the “thick” bulk. The average CP fraction of the burst is frequency dependent which can be up to tens of percent for \( \varphi_t \approx 1/\gamma \) while decrease to be smaller than 10% when \( \varphi_t \gg 1/\gamma \). For \( \omega = \omega_c \), the average CP fraction is smaller than 55% and it decreases as the pulse widths become larger. However, one may only observe part of the radiation beaming region when it is an apparently “thin” bulk. If so, highly circularly polarized emission \( \langle |V|/I \rangle > 55\% \) might also be observed. Bursts with CP sign change would be more circularly polarized as the observational window becomes wider. Consequently, the model predicts that it is hard to observe a burst which has \( \langle |V|/I \rangle > 55\% \) with sign change of CP near the central frequency. If the emission is generated from
The size of the FRB triggering region seem to be proportional to its transverse size, so that the duration and find no apparent trend for all of functions of pulse width for FRB 20201124A and FRB 20190520B, some bursts. We investigated the average LP and CP fractions as for most bursts but the condition of CP. The "thin" bulks with the same. the same pole, the orientation of sign change of CP would also be the same.

Most FRBs are dominated by LP and rare events have sign change of CP. The “thin” bulks with \( \varphi_t > 1/\gamma \) are the most likely cases for most bursts but the condition of \( \varphi_t \leq 1/\gamma \) may work for at least some bursts. We investigated the average LP and CP fractions as functions of pulse width for FRB 20201124A and FRB 20190520B, and find no apparent trend for all of \( \langle L/I \rangle \), \( \langle |V|/I| \rangle \) and \( \langle |V|/I \rangle_{\text{min}} \) in terms of the width. The radial size of the emitting bulk does not seem to be proportional to its transverse size, so that the duration of an FRB trigger mechanism may not be directly related to the size of the triggering region. The size of the FRB triggering region is comparable with the transverse bulk size on the stellar surface, i.e., \( L_r \gtrsim R \Phi_{P} = 3.1 \times 10^{15} R_{\odot} w_{-3} P_{-1}^{-1} \sin \zeta/\sin \theta \) cm, where \( R \) is the radius of the neutron star. A high-tension point discharge on the surface may trigger bunches of electron-positron pairs during the oscillation-driven magnetospheric activity due to starquakes (Lin, Xu, & Zhang 2015). The different bulk cases may be caused by different mechanisms to trigger energetic bunches on a neutron star surface.

For FRB 20201124A, the burst with \( \langle |V|/I \rangle \approx 90\% \) may be attributed to the observational window appearing at the side of the beam. However, some bursts show polarisation profiles oscillating with wavelength due to radiative transfer (Xu et al. 2021). We cannot exclude the possibility that propagation effects contribute to CP for some bursts.

The intrinsic time depends on the number of bunches that travel through as the LOS sweeps the radiation region. The bulk length may become larger as the bulk moves to a higher altitude, so that the continuous plasma flow emits for a duration of \( t_{\text{int}} \approx r \propto \nu^{-1} \). Consequently, for sub-pulses emitted by the “thin” bulks with drifting structure, the drift rate is \( \dot{\nu} \propto \nu/w \propto \nu^2 \) (Wang et al. 2022b). The relationship is different from a “thick” bulk emitter, in which \( w = \theta_{\text{rad}}/\Omega \), thus, \( \dot{\nu} \propto \nu \). Bursts are thought to be emitted at low heights, where multipolar fields may exist (e.g., Bilous et al. 2019; Kalapotharakos et al. 2021), leading to non-detection of periodicity of the underlying neutron star and a complex magnetic configuration.

Emission from charged bunches that is projected in the horizontal plane would be added coherently and the waves would have 100\% total polarisation degree. However, fluctuations of photon arrival delay could arise from the charges moving in different trajectories due to the curved magnetic field lines, so that waves are added slightly incoherently. The emission at the polarisation profile boundary is consequently depolarized \( (\sqrt{V^2 + |V|^2}/I < 100\%) \). Alternatively, depolarisation may also caused by finite temporal and spectral resolution or propagation effects (Beniamini, Kumar, & Narayan 2022).

Since the plane of LP is determined by the orientation of the local magnetic field, PAs track down the geometry of the magnetic field lines in the emission region. Most FRBs have flat PA curves but some others show varying PAs. Within the magnetospheric model, the observed PA is calculated as \( \Psi = \psi + \psi_{\text{RVM}} \), where \( \psi_{\text{RVM}} \) is given by the rotation vector model (Radhakrishnan & Cooke 1969). The PA is generally flat for a slow-rotating pulsar, but is varying when the impact angle is the smallest. The bulk with a large \( \Delta \chi_{ij}^2 \) can also bring varying PAs across the burst profile. The radial size of a bunch should be comparable or smaller than the half wavelength in order to allow coherent radiation, but the transverse size is at least \( \gamma \ell \). The transverse size can be as large as the Fresnel length \( \sim \sqrt{\Delta \chi} \), leading to \( \Delta \chi \sim 10^{-3}(\nu_\odot r_\odot)^{1/2} \). Another radiation model invoked relativistic shocks far outside the magnetosphere can explain constant PA curves when the upstream ordered magnetic field has a fixed direction (Metzger, Margalit, & Sironi 2019). However, the model requires a fine-tuned magnetic field configuration to interpret the PA variation and is challenged by the observation of the high CP. An FRB object may have a vacuum-like clean magnetosphere during a radio-quiet state, but electronic plasma can suddenly erupt from the stellar surface when the star becomes active. The curvature model requires that positively (or negatively) charged dominated bulk can be produced via some trigger mechanisms. The formation of charge bulk is essentially a question relevant to the nature of neutron star surface.
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