Rising prevalence of multidrug-resistant uropathogenic bacteria from urinary tract infections in pregnant women
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Abstract

Objectives: This study aimed to determine the prevalence of urinary tract infections (UTI) in pregnant women and characterise the uropathogenic bacterial strains associated with symptomatic and asymptomatic bacteriuria in Lahore, Pakistan.

Methods: Between December 2018 and June 2019, we analysed the uropathogenic bacterial strains from midstream urine samples in 80 pregnant women. The age of the pregnant women ranged from 19 to 45 years, and they resided in urban and rural areas. We also recorded socioeconomic factors in this cohort. The isolated strains were phenotypically identified and evaluated for multiple drug resistance (MDR) patterns against recommended antimicrobial drugs.

Results: Of the 80 pregnant women, 65 had UTI, reflecting an 81% prevalence of UTI in women during pregnancy. The majority of participants aged 24–35 years, were multipara, and were in their third trimester. Results showed that 67 uropathogenic bacterial strains belonged to Escherichia (31%), Klebsiella (23%), Pseudomonas (16%), Streplococcus (4%), Enterococcus (4%), Staphylococcus (4%), and Proteus (3%) genera, as identified using biochemical characterisation. The highest overall resistance of Escherichia was seen against...
Introduction

Urinary tract infections (UTIs) are the most frequently occurring infections affecting the human population. They cause severe complications in millions of individuals annually. Estimates state that over seven million cases of UTI have been reported in emergencies, while around 100,000 cases of nosocomial infections have been reported in healthcare units annually. Infections induced by bacteria have or develop the ability to circumvent the mechanism, which drugs use against them. The resistance of uropathogenic bacteria against antimicrobials is rising globally over time. It is directly dependent on the use and misuse of antimicrobial drugs, along with geographical location. Knowing the consequences of resistance is vital as the varying rates of resistance exert a massive influence on empirical therapies of UTIs.

Microorganisms such as Acinetobacter, Escherichia, and Pseudomonas aeruginosa are notably distinguished due to their intrinsic capability of exposing multidrug resistance (MDR). Microbes that have been treated for several years have been showing resistance to drugs in recent times. Colonisation with MDR bacteria can lead to infection that is more difficult to treat. The conditions are even more challenging with the increasing prevalence of UTI as well as the reduced discovery of new antibiotics. Dammeyer et al. reported a higher risk of colonisation of methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus and extended-spectrum beta-lactamase-producing E. coli transmitted from mother to child during pregnancy and after childbirth. The MDR in bacteria could be due to various mechanisms of action including antibiotic destruction, modification, alterations, and reduction in antibiotic accumulation due to inhibition of permeability and increased efflux. Genes are transmitted within bacteria by various means, and the most vital means is conjugation. Reduced permeability prevents the antimicrobial agent from entering into the cell wall of bacteria, because of which the intracellular concentration of the antimicrobial agent is reduced. Antibiotic pressure explains the fact that resistance towards antibiotics might arise with the emergence of resistant strains and the killing of susceptible strains. In patients infected with resistant strains, antimicrobial usage may inhibit susceptible strains and sanction resistant strains to multiply. Therefore, the current study aimed to determine the prevalence of UTI and the frequency of MDR uropathogenic bacterial strains in pregnant women in Lahore, Pakistan. These uropathogenic bacterial strains were characterised biochemically and identified using 16S rRNA gene sequencing.

Materials and Methods

A cross-sectional study was conducted from December 2018 to June 2019. Urine samples (n = 80) were collected from secondary care, Hussain Memorial Hospitals, Lahore, Pakistan, and informed consent of participants was recorded on a form that included explicit consent for the collection, storage, and testing of the samples. In this study, pregnant women aged ≥18 years attending the antenatal clinics with symptomatic (dysuria, burning micturition, frequency, urgency, lower abdominal pain, and fever) and asymptomatic UTIs were included. Normally, patients with more than four to five pus cells, estimated through urine microscopy, which serves as a baseline antenatal visit, were investigated to
determine the prevalence of UTI and isolation of uropathogenic bacteria. Urine microscopy was performed by taking 10 mL of urine in a test tube that was then centrifuged at 3000 rpm for 10 min. The supernatant was decanted, and a drop of sediment was placed on microscopic slides. Microscopic slides were covered with a coverslip and observed under a light microscope. UTI patients who had taken antibiotics in the last two weeks were not included in the study. Socio-demographic characteristics, including medical and obstetrical history, clinical signs, and obstetric characteristics of the index pregnancy, were collected using a structured questionnaire.

**Urine collection and isolation of uropathogenic bacteria**

Midstream random voided urine samples (10–15 mL) were collected in sterile disposable containers and transferred to the Microbiology Laboratory, Institute of Molecular Biology and Biotechnology, The University of Lahore, Lahore, Pakistan, for bacterial isolation within two to 3 h. Bacterial strains were isolated through serially diluting (10−3) urine samples and spreading on autoclaved cysteine-lactose-electrolyte-deficient (CLED) agar. Petri plates were incubated at 37 °C for 72 h in an incubator. The resulting colonies were manually counted to record the colony-forming units (CFUs) of bacteria in the urine sample. Distinctly different morphological colonies were purified using the multiple-streak method and preserved in glycerol stock at −20 °C for further culturing and characterisation experiments.

**Characterisation of uropathogenic bacteria**

For the identification of bacterial genera, strains were characterised through various morphological and biochemical tests as recommended by Hafeez and Aslanzadeh.20 The strains were screened using Gram staining and differentiated into gram-positive and gram-negative by observing whether they were purple- or pink-stained, respectively.21 Bacterial morphology was determined through a simple staining method as described by Cappuccino and Sherman.22 The bacterial strains were cultured on mannitol salt agar (MSA); yellow colonies that fermented mannitol and exhibited coagulase and deoxyribonuclease (DNase) activity were considered to be *S. aureus*.23 The strains were further cultured on MacConkey agar to differentiate lactose fermenting bacterial strains that appeared in the form of pink colonies.24 The standard microbiological methods for triple sugar iron (TSI), hydrogen sulphide (H₂S), indole production, catalase, oxidase, urease, and citrate utilisation, bile-esculin, coagulase, and DNase tests were performed in triplicate by following the methods of Cappuccino and Sherman.22

**MDR assay**

The MDR assay of uropathogenic bacterial strains was performed using the disk diffusion method.25 All identified strains in the *Escherichia, Klebsiella, Pseudomonas, Streptococcus, Enterococcus, Staphylococcus*, and *Proteus* genera were tested for MDR. Autoclaved Mueller-Hinton (MH) agar plates (90-mm diameter) were prepared, and the bacterial inoculum of 10⁸ CFU mL⁻¹ was heavily streaked using a sterile cotton swab. For quality control, *E. coli* strain ATCC 25922 was also run parallel to the antibiotic assay. A total of 28 commercially-available paper antibiotic disks including amikacin (AK), amoxicillin (AML), amoxicillin clavulanate (AMC), ampicillin (AMP), aztreonam (ATM), cefazidime (CAZ), cephradine (CE), ciprofloxacin (CIP), gentamycin (CN), ceftriaxone (CRO), cefotaxime (CTX), cefoxoraxine (CMX), doxycycline (DO), cefipime (FEP), fosfomycin (FOS), imipenem (IPM), levofloxacin (LEV), linezolid (LZD), meronem (MEM), moxifloxacin (MXF), nitrofurantoin (NV), novobiocin (NV), penicillin (P), pipemidic acid (PIP), tetracycline (TE), tobramycin (TOB), piperacillin tazobactam (TZP), and vancomycin (VA) of fixed concentration (Oxoid, UK) were placed on the inoculated agar surface and incubated for 24 h at 37 °C. The standard tested antibiotics were selected from the tables provided by the Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI) appropriate for testing *Enterobacteriaceae, Pseudomonas, Staphylococci*, *Enterococci*, and *Streptococci* spp.26,27 After incubation, the diameter of zones of growth inhibition around the antibiotic disc were measured in millimetres. The diameter of the zone of growth inhibition was interpreted as sensitive, intermediate, or resistant as reported by CLSI.26

**Molecular characterisation**

The most MDR strains from the *Escherichia, Klebsiella*, and *Pseudomonas* genera were selected for identification using 16S rRNA partial gene sequencing. The selected strains were identified using genomic DNA isolation, amplification, sequencing of nucleotides, and phylogenetic analysis. The bacterial biomass was treated with proteinase-K treatment for the isolation of genomic DNA.28 For PCR, 2.5 μL genomic DNA was used. The PCR reaction was performed using primers 27F 5' (AGA GTT TGA TCM TGG CTC AG) 3' and 1492R 5' (TAC GGY TAC CTT GTT AGC ACT T) 3'. The amplified PCR product was run on 1% agarose gel with GeneRuler 1 kb DNA (Fermentas) to confirm the size of the amplified 16S rRNA and further purified using a PCR purification kit (Favorgen, Taiwan). The amplified PCR products were sequenced using the commercial services of MACROGEN Seoul, Korea (http://macrogen.com/eng/). The resulting nucleotide sequences were blasted on NCBI servers by optimising highly similar sequences (Megablast). The sequences of closely related strain types were selected, and the phylogenetic tree was drawn using MEGA 7.0.14.29

**Results**

The demographic data of the pregnant women who underwent antenatal check-ups are summarised in Table 1. The study revealed a UTI prevalence of 65 out of 80 (81.25%) pregnant women. The prevalence of symptomatic bacteriuria in women was 62.5%, whereas the prevalence of asymptomatic bacteriuria was 37.5%. The assessment of
factors associated with UTI according to the previous and current history of patients was concluded as bacterial vaginosis (19%), preterm labour, premature rupture of membrane (PROM; 6%), intrauterine growth restriction (IUGR; 6%), and history of UTI in current pregnancy (20%). The majority of pregnant women (58.5%) were in the third trimester during the sample collection period (Table 1).

Characterisation of uropathogenic bacterial strains

Morphological and biochemical characterisation of 67 uropathogenic bacterial strains were performed. Based on the results, strains were classified into various bacterial genera including Escherichia, Klebsiella, Pseudomonas, Staphylococcus, Streptococcus, Enterococcus, and Proteus. The characterisation results are listed in Table 2. The

| Demographic characteristic | Number of patients (n = 80) | Percentage (%) |
|----------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------|
| Age group                  |                             |                |
| 19–24                      | 14                          | 17.5%          |
| 25–34                      | 62                          | 77.5%          |
| 35–45                      | 4                           | 5.0%           |
| Socio-economic status      |                             |                |
| Poor                       | 40                          | 50.0%          |
| Middle                     | 31                          | 38.8%          |
| Upper middle               | 9                           | 11.2%          |
| Employment                 |                             |                |
| Housewives                 | 50                          | 62.5%          |
| Employed                   | 30                          | 37.5%          |
| Residence                  |                             |                |
| Rural                      | 36                          | 45.0%          |
| Urban                      | 44                          | 55.0%          |
| Level of education         |                             |                |
| Illiterate                 | 15                          | 18.8%          |
| Primary to Intermediate    | 40                          | 50.0%          |
| Secondary to Masters       | 25                          | 31.3%          |
| Parity                     |                             |                |
| Multigravida               | 46                          | 57.5%          |
| Primigravida               | 34                          | 42.5%          |
| UTI diagnosis              |                             |                |
| Symptomatic                | 50                          | 62.5%          |
| Asymptomatic               | 30                          | 37.5%          |
| UTI Symptoms               |                             |                |
| Bacterial vaginosis        | 15                          | 18.8%          |
| Intrauterine growth retardation | 5                        | 6.3%          |
| Preterm labour and PROM    | 5                           | 6.3%           |
| Recurrent UTI              | 16                          | 20.0%          |
| Trimesters                 |                             |                |
| First trimester            | 19                          | 23.8%          |
| Second trimester           | 14                          | 17.5%          |
| Third trimester            | 47                          | 58.8%          |
| Bacterial genera frequency (n = 67) |               |                |
| Escherichia                | 25                          | 37.3%          |
| Klebsiella                 | 18                          | 26.9%          |
| Pseudomonas                | 13                          | 19.4%          |
| Staphylococcus             | 3                           | 4.5%           |
| Streptococcus              | 3                           | 4.5%           |
| Enterococcus               | 3                           | 4.5%           |
| Proteus                    | 2                           | 3.0%           |

UTI = urinary tract infection; PROM = premature rupture of membranes.

Table 2: Characterisation of uropathogenic bacterial strains isolated from UTI in pregnant women.

| Gram-staining | Escherichia | Klebsiella | Pseudomonas | Staphylococcus | Streptococcus | Enterococcus | Proteus |
|---------------|-------------|------------|-------------|----------------|---------------|--------------|---------|
| Cell shape    | Rods        | Rods       | Rods        | Cocci in clusters | Diplococci | Diplococci | Rods |
| TSI           | Y/Y, +ve gas| Y/Y, +ve gas| R/R, -ve gas| -ve             | -ve           | -ve         | R/Y, +ve gas|
| H₂S production| -ve         | -ve        | -ve         | -ve             | -ve           | -ve         | +ve    |
| Indole test   | +ve         | -ve        | -ve         | -ve             | -ve           | -ve         | +ve    |
| Catalase test | -ve         | +ve        | -ve         | +ve             | -ve           | -ve         | +ve    |
| Oxidase test  | -ve         | -ve        | +ve         | -ve             | -ve           | -ve         | -ve    |
| Citrate utilisation | -ve       | +ve       | +ve         | -ve             | -ve           | -ve         | +ve    |
| Urease test   | -ve         | -ve        | +ve         | -ve             | -ve           | -ve         | +ve    |
| Bile-esculin test | -ve       | -ve       | -ve         | -ve             | -ve           | +ve         | -ve    |
| Coagulase test| -ve         | -ve        | +ve         | -ve             | -ve           | -ve         | -ve    |
| DNase test    | -ve         | -ve        | +ve         | -ve             | -ve           | -ve         | -ve    |
| Growth on MSA | NG          | NG         | NG          | Yellow growth   | NG            | NG          | NG      |
| Growth on MACA| Dark pink   | Light pink | Pink to green| NG              | NG            | NG          | NG      |

TSI = triple sugar iron; Y/Y = yellow slant-yellow butt; R/R = red slant-red butt; R/Y = red slant-yellow butt; DNase = deoxyribonuclease; MSA = mannitol salt agar; MACA = MacConkey agar; NG = no growth; +ve = presence of the traits; -ve = absence of the trait.
frequency of these isolated uropathogenic bacterial strains is given in Table 1. The majority of strains were gram-

negative, rod-shaped cells, with a yellow slant and butt

with gas production, and were negative for H₂S

generation, indole test, catalase, oxidase, urease, coagu-
lase, and bile-esculin test. The genus *Escherichia*, *Klebsi-
ella*, and *Pseudomonas* cultures were able to grow on

MacConkey agar demonstrating dark pink, light pink, and

pink to green colour colonies, respectively.

Prevalence of multidrug resistance uropathogenic bacterial strains

Overall, 67 uropathogenic bacteria strains of the *Escher-
ichia*, *Klebsiella*, *Pseudomonas*, *Staphylococcus*, *Strepto-
coccus*, *Enterococcus*, and *Proteus* genera were evaluated for

MDR, and the results are reported in terms of susceptibility,

intermediate resistance, and resistance to antimicrobial drugs

(Tables 3 and 4). The highest MDR was observed in

*Escherichia* and *Klebsiella* strains compared with in strains

of other genera. A total of 25 uropathogenic *Escherichia*

strains were tested for MDR, and their results are depicted in

Table 3. The results revealed that 90–100% of

*Escherichia* strains were resistant to AML, AMP, CIP,

FEP, and PIP, 80–90% of *Escherichia* strains were resistant
to CTX and CRO, and 55–80% of *Escherichia* strains were resistant to AK, AMC, CAZ, CN, and CXM.

Out of the 25 *Escherichia* strains, 16 strains were sensitive
to MEM, while 40–50% of *Escherichia* strains were sensitive to FOS, IMP, SCF, and TZP. A total of 18
*Klebsiella* strains were screened against antimicrobial
drugs, and the results are shown in Table 3. The highest

MDR in the 16 *Klebsiella* strains was found against

AMP. More than 55% of *Klebsiella pneumoniae* strains were resistant to AK, AMC, CIP, CRO, CTX, FEP, and

PIP. Additionally, 33% of *Klebsiella* strains had intermediate resistance against AMC, NF, and CAZ. The

highest antimicrobial drug sensitivity was found in 67% of

*Klebsiella* strains for DO, MEM, and SCF, while 55% of
*Klebsiella* strains were sensitive to IMP and TZP.

A total of 13 uropathogenic *Pseudomonas* strains were screened against various antimicrobial drugs to determine

their MDR pattern, and the results are depicted in Table 3.

Table 3: Antibiotic resistance pattern in *Escherichia*, *Klebsiella*, and *Pseudomonas* spp. against various antimicrobial drugs.

| Drugs | *Escherichia* (n = 25) | *Klebsiella* (n = 18) | *Pseudomonas* (n = 13) |
|-------|------------------------|-----------------------|------------------------|
| AK    | 5                      | 6                     | 3                      |
| AML   | 0                      | 15                    | 3                      |
| PIP   | 0                      | 12                    | 5                      |
| AM    | 0                      | 25                    | 5                      |
| DO    | 6                      | 12                    | 3                      |
| FEP   | 2                      | 23                    | 5                      |
| CTX   | 2                      | 21                    | 5                      |
| CAZ   | 3                      | 18                    | 5                      |
| CRO   | 4                      | 21                    | 6                      |
| CXM   | 3                      | 15                    | 6                      |
| SCF   | 11                     | 12                    | 3                      |
| CN    | 5                      | 15                    | 3                      |
| IMP   | 13                     | 10                    | 3                      |
| MEM   | 16                     | 9                     | 3                      |
| TZP   | NT                     | NT                    | NT                     |
| NF    | NT                     | NT                    | NT                     |
| ATM   | NT                     | NT                    | NT                     |

NT = not tested; S = sensitive, IR = intermediate resistant, R = resistant, AK = amikacin, AMC = amoxicillin clavulanate, AML = amoxicillin, AMP = ampicillin, ATM = aztreonam, CAZ = ceftazidime, CIP = ciprofloxacin, CN = gentamicin, CRO = ceftriaxone, CTX = cefotaxime, CXM = cefuroxime, DO = doxycycline, FEP = cephalopin, FOS = fosfomycin, IPM = imipenem, MEM = meronem, NF = nitrofurantoin, PIP = pipemidic acid, TZP = piperacillin tazobactam.

Table 4: Antibiotic resistance pattern in *Staphylococcus*, *Streptococcus*, *Enterococcus*, and *Proteus* spp. against various antimicrobial drugs.

| Sensitive | Intermediate | Resistant |
|-----------|--------------|-----------|
| *Staphylococcus* (n = 3) | n = 3 [VA, LEV, MXF, CIP, NF, NV, CN] | n = 3 [PIP] | n = 3 [TE, DO, TOB] |
| *Streptococcus* (n = 3) | n = 2 [FOS, MXF, NF] | n = 1 [CTX, MXF, NF] | n = 3 [CE, LEV, TE, P, DO, CIP] |
| *Enterococcus* (n = 3) | n = 2 [LZD] | n = 1 [FOS, CTX, MXF, NF] | n = 3 [CRO, VA, CE, LEV, P, CIP] |
| *Proteus* (n = 2) | n = 2 [IMP, MEM, SCF] | n = 1 [TZP] | n = 2 [PIP, NF, FOS] |

VA = vancomycin, LEV = levofloxacin, AMP = ampicillin, AMC = amoxicillin clavulanate, MXF = moxifloxacin, CIP = ciprofloxacin, NF = nitrofurantoin, NV = novobiocin, CN = gentamicin, PIP = pipemidic acid, TE = tetracycline, DO = doxycycline, TOB = tobramycin, P = penicillin, FOS = fosfomycin, CRO = ceftriaxone, LZD = linezolid, CTX = cefotaxime, CE = cephradine, IPM = imipenem, MEM = meronem, AK = amikacin, CAZ = ceftazidime.
Approximately all tested *Pseudomonas* strains were sensitive to MEM, whereas more than 60% of *Pseudomonas* strains were sensitive to CAZ, CN, IMP, PIP, and TZP. More than 50% of *Pseudomonas* strains had MDR patterns against ATM, CIP, and CTX. Three strains each from the *Staphylococcus*, *Streptococcus*, and *Enterococcus* genera, and two from the *Proteus* genus were evaluated for sensitivity to and resistance against various antimicrobial drugs, and their results are given in Table 4. Among the three strains of *Staphylococcus*, all strains were resistant to DO, TE, and TOB, and they had intermediate resistance against PIP. All strains of *Staphylococcus* were sensitive to
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CIP, CN, LEV, MXF, NF, NV, and VA. In case of *Streptococcus* (n = 3), all strains were resistant to CE, CIP, DO, LEV, P, and TE. Two strains of *Streptococcus* were sensitive to FOS, MXF, and NF. All strains of *Enterococcus* were resistant to CE, CIP, CRO, LEV, P, and VA and were sensitive to LZD. Two *Proteus* strains were resistant to FOS, NF, and PIP and were sensitive to IMP, MEM, and SCF.

**Identification of selected strains using 16S rRNA gene sequencing**

Three uropathogenic bacterial strains, UA17, UA32, and UA47, were selected on the basis of their high MDR and identified using 16S rRNA partial gene sequencing. The selected strains were identified as *Pseudomonas* strain UA17, *Escherichia* strain UA32, and *Klebsiella* strain UA47. The accession numbers of these strains are MT071444, MT071445, and MT071446, respectively, obtained after submission in the NCBI browser. *Pseudomonas* strain UA17 showed a close resemblance to strains of *P. aeruginosa* with a similarity index of 100%, and its phylogenetic tree is presented in Figure 1. A combined phylogenetic tree of *Escherichia* strain UA32 and *Klebsiella* strain UA47 was drawn and is shown in Figure 2. *Escherichia* strain UA32 showed a close resemblance to *E. coli* with a 99.86% similarity index. *Klebsiella* strain UA47 was found to be similar to *K. pneumoniae* with a similarity index of 99.80%.

**Discussion**

MDR bacteria can resist the action of antimicrobial drugs, which causes treatment failure of diseases and spread of infections. MDR in bacteria is a natural phenomenon that is extensively promoted in immunosuppressed conditions. It is enhanced due to prolonged drug exposure and the persistence of infections despite therapy. Among infections, UTI is a severe threat in our community, especially to pregnant women. Asymptomatic bacteriuria is a frequently occurring condition. Among infections, UTI is a severe threat in our community, especially to pregnant women.31 Maternal age, parity, and morbid obesity have physiological variations that emerge in pregnancy due to prolonged drug exposure and the persistence of infections despite therapy. Among infections, UTI is a severe threat in our community, especially to pregnant women.31 Maternal age, parity, and morbid obesity have physiological variations that emerge in pregnancy due to UTIs.31 Maternal age, parity, and morbid obesity have been formerly witnessed as threat elements for UTI in pregnant women.32 The prevalence of UTIs in our study population was comparable to that in other studies. In our study, we sampled pregnant women, including both urban and rural residents during all trimesters with the majority in the third trimester in the study catchment zone, presenting at antenatal check-ups in Lahore, Pakistan. Sarwar et al.33 isolated 370 pathogenic bacterial isolates from 520 pregnant female genitalia residing in various areas of Punjab, Pakistan. They reported that 71% of gynaecological infections were caused by *E. coli* (41.6%), *S. aureus* (15.4%), and coagulase-negative staphylococci (12.2%). Similarly, Kumarasamy et al.34 isolated *Enterobacteriaceae* isolates from UTI, pneumonia, and bloodstream infection and reported 239 metallo-β-lactamase 1 producing isolates from India, 37 isolates from the United Kingdom, and 25 isolates from Pakistan, which were found to be carbapenem-resistant. Recently, a study conducted in Bangladesh on UTI in pregnant women reported high-burden bacteriuria (5.7%) in both symptomatic and asymptomatic cases.35 Similarly, Dashtizade et al.36 also reported the prevalence of UTI in Iranian pregnant women. They reported that the population most commonly affected by UTIs were those aged between 21 and 30 years (48.3%), with high school education (38.8%), and who were housewives (90.8%). In the current study, both asymptomatic and asymptomatic UTI cases were investigated. Previously, both symptomatic and asymptomatic bacteriuria studies have also been conducted in Bangladesh,37 Ethiopia,38 and Iran.36 Symptomatic bacteriuria, similar to the current study, was also reported in Pennsylvania,39 Iran,40 and India.41

In the present study, uropathogenic bacterial strains were isolated from 80 pregnant women with bacteriuria. A total of 67 uropathogenic isolates underwent a series of biochemical characterisations (Table 2) based on the recommendations of Lennox and Ackerman.42 Based on these biochemical characteristics, the uropathogenic bacterial strains were identified at the genus level and grouped into *Escherichia*, *Klebsiella*, *Pseudomonas*, *Staphylococcus*, *Streptococcus*, *Enterococcus*, and *Proteus*. Similarly, Rave et al.43 also adopted biochemical identification techniques before profiling the antibiotic susceptibility pattern. In addition, the molecular identification of selected uropathogenic bacterial strains was also conducted in this study by performing 16S rRNA partial gene sequencing to verify the correct identification of strains of the bacterial genus. The UTI aetiology in the current study revealed that 81.3% of participants were affected by UTIs caused by uropathogenic gram-negative and gram-positive bacterial strains (Tables 1 and 2). In this population, 90% of the isolated uropathogenic strains belonged to gram-negative bacteria. There was a high rate of bacterial isolation from the *Escherichia*, *Klebsiella*, and *Pseudomonas* genera. Similarly, other UTI aetiology studies have reported the predominance of gram-negative bacteria, especially strains of *E. coli* and *Klebsiella* spp. Recently, Lee et al.35 reported that 50% of bacteriuria was caused by *E. coli* and *Klebsiella* spp. in pregnant women in Bangladesh. They also reported bacteriuria due to staphylococcal (23% of isolates) and Group B streptococcus species (5.3% of isolates).35 Similarly, Majumder et al.44 and Haque et al.45 reported a predominance of *E. coli* comprising up to 75% of isolates and *Klebsiella* species accounting for up to 11% of isolates. Untreated recurrent UTI could be a major cause of foetal and maternal adverse effects such as low birth weight, preterm delivery, cystitis, and pyelonephritis.46 Uropathogenic bacteria might enter the urethra, thus avoiding normal clearance during emptying of the bladder, which later causes recurrent UTI.47
Rising antibiotic resistance is a global concern, particularly in low-income countries, including Pakistan. The current study demonstrates the higher rates of antibiotic resistance to common antimicrobial agents for the treatment of UTI in pregnancy. The general pattern was that *Escherichia* spp. were resistant against AML, PIP, and AMP; *Klebsiella* spp. were resistant against PIP, AMP, and CTX; and *Pseudomonas* spp. were resistant against CIP and CTX (Table 3). Other strains from the *Staphylococcus*, *Streptococcus*, *Enterococcus*, and *Proteus* genera also showed a variable MDR pattern (Table 4). The current study concluded that gram-negative uropathogenic bacterial strains were highly resistant to third-generation cephalosporin antibiotics. Similarly, the World Health Organization (WHO) also reported the resistance of *E. coli* (68%) and *Klebsiella* (81%) isolates to third-generation cephalosporin antibiotics.\(^{53,56,41,43,50–52}\) The current study revealed that for uncomplicated and complicated bacteriuria, carbapenems should be preferred over fluoroquinolones and cephaplosporins. The data from the current study highlights the need for antibiotic stewardship and the development of new effective antimicrobials that are safe for use in pregnancy.

**Conclusion**

In the present study, the uropathogenic strains of the *Escherichia*, *Klebsiella*, and *Pseudomonas* genera showed significant resistance to PIP, AMP, AML, FEP, and CTX, and less resistance to MEM and TZP. Because of uropathogenic strains with emerging MDR, our conclusion highlights the limited use of antibiotics and recommends physicians to perform culture examination and identify some genetic mechanisms for UTI treatment during pregnancy.

**Recommendations**

The increase in antibiotic-resistant UTI in pregnant women from Lahore, Pakistan has been observed due to the increased use of antibiotics. National efforts are needed to control MDR UTI in pregnant women in the country by implementing evidence-based actions monitoring UTI treatment. Our study suggests that raising awareness of the judicious use of antibiotics is essential to limit the increase in resistance levels.
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