Geochronology of the Wrangell Arc: Spatial-temporal evolution of slab-edge magmatism along a flat-slab, subduction-transform transition, Alaska-Yukon
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ABSTRACT

The Wrangell Arc in Alaska (USA) and adjacent volcanic fields in the Yukon provide a long-term record of interrelations between flat-slab subduction of the Yakutat microplate, strike-slip translation along the Denali–Totschunda–Duke River fault system, and magmatism focused within and proximal to a Cretaceous suture zone. Detrital zircon (DZ) U-Pb (n = 2640) and volcanic lithic (DARL) ⁴⁰Ar/³⁹Ar dates (n = 2771) from 30 modern river sediment samples document the spatial-temporal evolution of Wrangell Arc magmatism, which includes construction of some of the largest Quaternary volcanoes on Earth. Mismatches in DZ and DARL date distributions highlight the impact of variables such as mineral fertility and downstream mixing/dilution on resulting provenance signatures. Geochronologic data document the initiation of Wrangell Arc magmatism at ca. 30–17 Ma along both sides of the Totschunda fault on the north flank of the Wrangell–St. Elias Mountains in Alaska, followed by southeastward progression of magmatism at ca. 17–10 Ma along the Duke River fault in the Yukon. This spatial-temporal evolution is attributable to dextral translation along intra-arc, strike-slip faults and a change in the geometry of the subducting slab (slab curling/steepening). Magmatism then progressed generally westward outboard of the Totschunda and Duke River faults at ca. 13–6 Ma along the southern flank of the Wrangell–St. Elias Mountains in Alaska and then northwestward from ca. 6 Ma to present in the western Wrangell Mountains. The 13 Ma to present spatial-temporal evolution is consistent with dextral translation along intra-arc, strike-slip faults and previously documented changes in plate boundary conditions, which include an increase in plate convergence rate and angle at ca. 6 Ma. Voluminous magmatism is attributed to shallow subduction-related flux melting and slab edge melting that is driven by asthenospheric upwelling along the lateral edge of the Yakutat flat slab. Magmatism was persistently focused within or adjacent to a remnant suture zone, which indicates that upper plate crustal heterogeneities influenced arc magmatism. Rivers sampled also yield subordinate Paleozoic–Mesozoic DZ and DARL age populations that reflect earlier episodes of magmatism within underlying accreted terranes and match magmatic flare-ups documented along the Cordilleran margin.

INTRODUCTION

Junctions between magmatic arcs and transform margins are a fundamental feature of modern and ancient plate tectonics (e.g., Wakabayashi, 1996; Maury et al., 2004; Harrison et al., 2004; Cooper et al., 2010; Wang et al., 2009). Many active volcanic arcs transition laterally into transform margins in diverse oceanic settings (Kamchatka, Portnyagin et al., 2005; South Philippine Sea, Fitch, 1972; New Zealand, Lebrun et al., 2000; Papua New Guinea, Baldwin et al., 2012; Southern Patagonia, Polonia et al., 2007; East Scotia Sea, Leat et al., 2004; Tonga, Cooper et al., 2010). Compared to oceanic settings, active arc-transform junctions are less common in continental settings with notable examples in eastern Alaska (Wrangell Arc, Brueseke et al., 2019), Myanmar (Lee et al., 2016), and Cyprus-Turkey (Symeon et al., 2018). Arc-transform junctions exhibit complex spatial transitions from typical subduction-related arc magmatism to upwelling of asthenosphere along subducting slabs edges, tears, windows, and transform faults (e.g., Park et al., 2002; Leat et al., 2004; Thorkelson et al., 2011; Lee et al., 2016; Grebennikov and Khanchuk, 2020). Active arc-transform junctions offer a unique geological record of both convergent and transform margin processes, yet the nature of such junctions and their influence on the evolution of orogenic belts is seldom discussed in the literature.

Subhorizontal (flat) subduction has shaped numerous modern and ancient plate margins (e.g., Gutscher et al., 2000; Lawton, 2008; Kapp and DeCelles, 2019). Slab flattening has been attributed to the subduction of buoyant oceanic lithosphere, rapid trenchward progression of the overriding plate, and mantle wedge dynamic pressure (slab suction) (e.g., Kay and Mpodozis, 2002; Guillaume et al., 2004; Martinod et al., 2010; Schellart, 2020). Slab flattening induces upper plate compressional stresses that prompt intensification and inboard progression of upper plate shortening, exhumation, and sediment accumulation (e.g., Fan and Carrapa, 2014; Stevens Goddard and Carrapa,
2018; Capaldi et al., 2020) and can drive continental strike-slip fault motion (Giambiagi et al., 2017). Arc magmatism often shifts inboard and wanes or ceases in response to inboard progression of the locus of dehydration melting above the hinge of the subducting flat slab and displacement of lower continental mantle lithosphere into the asthenospheric wedge (e.g., Bishop et al., 2017; Schellart, 2017; Axen et al., 2018). Whereas recent studies elucidated processes that shape the medial portions of overriding plates above subducted flat slabs, time-space studies from the lateral margins (edges) of flattened slabs are generally lacking.

The Yakutat microplate is actively colliding and subducting shallowly (i.e., flat-slab subduction, though we recognize here that the sub-horizontal portion of the slab dips at an angle of ~20° and thus is not truly “flat”) beneath south-central Alaska and adjacent to a complex tectonic corner. The corner, referred to as the St. Elias syntaxis, marks a west-to-east transition from chiefly collision and flat-slab subduction of the Yakutat microplate to strain-partitioned, strike-slip deformation along transform faults (Fig. 1; Pavlis et al., 2019). Recent research provides insight into processes within and outboard (south) of the collision zone (e.g., Enkelmann et al., 2015a, 2015b; Gulick et al., 2015; Bootes et al., 2019; Schartman et al., 2019). Inboard of the collision zone, the Wrangell Arc spans the transitional position between flat-slab subduction of the Yakutat microplate and transform tectonics along the continental margin of western North America (Fig. 1). Wrangell Arc eruptive centers are superbly exposed where they are not covered by glacial ice, largely undeformed, and imaged with modern geophysical techniques, which thus makes the region a prime locale to study magmatism over a flat-slab transform environment.

This study quantifies the temporal and spatial evolution of Wrangell Arc magmatism and evaluates the role of tectonic processes and structures on magmatism. We present abundant new detrital zircon (DZ) U-Pb and detrital volcanic lithic (DARL) 40Ar/39Ar dates from modern river sediment samples and integrate these results with existing and new igneous bedrock geochronologic data. The new dates also better quantify Mesozoic-Paleozoic magmatism that shaped the lithosphere prior to Wrangell Arc magmatism. Collectively, new DZ and DARL data reveal persistent magmatism since 30 Ma within a remnant suture zone and spatial changes in the location of magmatism that are interpreted to reflect changes in plate interactions and dextral translation of the overriding plate along regional strike-slip faults spanning the arc-transform junction.

More broadly, the present study highlights the utility of multi-proxy methods in sedimentary sinks located proximal to magmatic arc sources. Detrital zircon U-Pb geochronology has become a fundamental, widely used provenance tool in reconstructing sedimentary provenance, sediment dispersal pathways, and geologic/tectonic histories (e.g., Cawood et al., 2012; Gehrels, 2014). Despite the widespread utility of DZ data, there are limitations in interpreting sediment provenance from DZ. For example, detrital contributions may not be proportional to source unit exposure area due to heterogeneous zircon fertility among bedrock sources (e.g., Dickinson, 2008; Malusà et al., 2016; Spencer et al., 2018), irregular bedrock erodibility (Capaldi et al., 2017), and variable lithologic breakdown by weathering, erosion, and climate (Amidon et al., 2005). In the present study, differences between DZ and DARL dates highlight the utility of the combined techniques for deciphering the evolution of magmatic provinces.

### REGIONAL TECTONIC SETTING

The northern Pacific plate margin is characterized by a west-to-east transition from typical subduction to flat-slab subduction to transform tectonics. Along-strike variations in seismicity and volcanism indicate two subducting plates with varying dip angles (e.g., Li et al., 2016; Yang and Gao, 2020). In the western region, northwesterly subduction of the Pacific plate beneath the North American plate along the Aleutian megathrust produces a well-defined trench, moderately dipping slab (~40°) that reaches depths of 100–150 km within ~400 km of the trench, and linear Aleutian volcanoes that are attributed to subducted-related arc magmatism (Jicha et al., 2006; Syracuse and Abers, 2006; Nye et al., 2018). Aleutian arc volcanoes are chiefly stratovolcanoes with increasing arc-trench distance from southwest to northeast along strike (Fig. 1; Miller et al., 1998).

The central region is distinguished by a shallowly dipping slab resulting from collision and relatively flat subduction of the Yakutat microplate. The Yakutat microplate is a 15–30-km-thick oceanic plateau that thins inboard (northwestward) (Worthington et al., 2012). A subducted Yakutat slab extends subhorizontally (“flat”) for ~250 km northwestward beneath Alaska at a subduction angle of ~6° before dip angle increases to ~20° and reaches a depth of 150 km >600 km inboard of the Aleutian trench (Fig. 1; Eberhart-Phillips et al., 2006). The Pleistocene–Holocene Buzzard Creek-Jumbo Dome volcanoes, which are much smaller than the Aleutian arc volcanoes, occur along the northern edge of the subducted slab (JD and BM in Fig. 1) and reflect subducted-related continental arc magmatism (Albanese, 1980; Andronikov and Mukasa, 2010; Nye et al., 2018). A region with no active volcanism, referred to as the Denali volcanic gap, separates the Aleutian arc and the Buzzard Creek-Jumbo Dome volcanoes (Fig. 1; Rondenay et al., 2010).

The eastern region, the focus of this study, is a tectonic corner where the plate margin transitions from fully convergent to a transpressional transform system (Schartman et al., 2019). Deformation transitions from an east-west–trending, fold-thrust belt above the colliding Yakutat microplate to a system of northwest-trending, strike-slip faults along the eastern edge of the terrane (Fig. 1; Pavlis et al., 2019). The dominantly strike-slip Fairweather fault accommodates dextral motion of the Yakutat microplate relative to North America (Figs. 1 and 2). Right lateral shear is currently transferred inboard to the central Denali fault via the Totschunda fault and perhaps via an inferred Connector fault (Figs. 1 and 2; Haeussler et al., 2017; Marechal et al., 2018). Along the northern, inboard margin of the tectonic corner, the edge of the subducted Yakutat microplate dips northerly from ~11° to ~16° and projects to ~80 km beneath clustered volcanoes comprising the Wrangell Arc (Bauer et al., 2014).
Figure 1. Tectonic framework of southern Alaska, the Yukon, and coastal British Columbia shows regional faults with known or suspected Neogene and younger displacement (from Plafker et al., 1994; Koehler et al., 2012; Yukon Geological Survey, 2020), exposed (light yellow) and interpreted subducted extent (region within bold dashed yellow line) of the Yakutat microplate and volcanic fields comprising the Wrangell Arc (transparent red) in Alaska (SCVF—Sonya Creek field, WF—Wrangell field) and Canada (AF—Ateek field, NF—Nines Creek field, SF—St. Clare field) including <5 Ma volcanoes denoted by red asterisks (Cameron, 2005). Solid red line denotes the region of flat-slab subduction of Yakutat microplate. Yellow line denotes loosely constrained edge of subducted Yakutat microplate based chiefly on tomography data (adapted from Eberhart-Phillips et al., 2006). Blue line denotes loosely constrained edge of subducted Yakutat microplate based chiefly on plate kinematics (adapted from Pavlis et al., 2019). Dashed magenta curve in index map in upper left shows Fairweather–Transition–Queen Charlotte fault intersection triple junction track during the past 25 m.y. (from Pavlis et al., 2019, using Doubrovine and Tarduno, 2008, plate model). Yellow crosses show Fairweather–Transition–Queen Charlotte triple junction location at time points. Inset at upper right shows Cretaceous suture zone separating Insular terranes from inboard terranes. Abbreviations: A—Anchorage, B.C.—British Columbia, BM—Buzzard Creek maar, CAR—central Alaska Range, CB—Copper River basin, CF—Connector fault (inferred), CMF—Castle Mountain fault, CSEF—Chugach-St. Elias fault, DRF—Duke River fault, EAR—eastern Alaska Range, FF—Fairweather fault, HCF—Hines Creek fault, JD—Jumbo Dome volcano, KB—Kahiltna basin, NB—Nutzotin basin, QFF—Queen-Charlotte-Fairweather fault, PWS—Prince William Sound, SEM—St. Elias Mountains, TAF—Talkeetna fault, TM—Talkeetna Mountains, TF—Totschunda fault, UTi—undifferentiated terranes and igneous rocks, UTs—unidentified terranes and sedimentary rocks, WAR—western Alaska Range, WF—Wrangell volcanic field, YTT—Yukon-Tanana terrane.
Figure 2. Geological map shows the Wrangell Arc (orange and red polygons) and older bedrock in the Wrangell–St. Elias Mountains of eastern Alaska and the Yukon. Orange polygons show Wrangell Arc volcanics, which include the Wrangell volcanic field (large field southwest of the Totschunda fault) and smaller fields to the east (NF—Nines Creek field, SCVF—Sonya Creek field, SF—St. Clare field, Na—town of Nabesna, My—town of McCarthy). Inset at upper right shows map location (pink polygon); refer to Figure 1 for additional location information. Geology is from Richter et al. (2006), Wilson et al. (2015), and Yukon Geological Survey (2020).
WRANGELL ARC

The Wrangell volcanic belt consists of a >450-km-long and up to 190-km-wide belt of ca. 30 Ma to 1500 ka volcanoes in the Wrangell–St. Elias Mountains in eastern Alaska, southwestern Yukon, and northwestern British Columbia (Figs. 1–2). Wrangell Arc eruptive centers occur within and immediately outboard (south) of a Cretaceous suture zone that separates the accreted Insular terranes from older terranes exposed inboard (northeast) of the Denali fault (Figs. 1–2).

Wrangell volcanic belt lavas, domes, and pyroclastic deposits erupted from large shield volcanoes and subordinate stratovolcanoes, caldera complexes, and scoria cones after ca. 30 Ma (Figs. 1–3 and Item S1 in the Supplemental Material; Richter et al., 1990, 2006; Brueseke et al., 2019). Previously reported geochronological data consist chiefly of whole rock K-Ar dates and subordinate whole rock and single crystal U-Pb and 40Ar/39Ar dates; vast, ice-covered areas lack geochronologic data.

At least six volcanic fields make up the belt: the Wrangell, Sonya Creek, St. Clare, Nines Creek, Alsek, and Stanley Creek fields (Fig. 1). The largest of the fields, the Wrangell field in Alaska (WF in Fig. 1), reflects subduction-related arc magmatism and slab-edge upwelling of asthenosphere based on transitional to calc-alkaline geochemical compositions (Preece and Hart, 2004; Brueseke et al., 2019) and the spatial association of Quaternary shield and stratovolcanoes above a geophysically imaged, northeastward-dipping subducting slab along the northeastern edge of the Yakutat microplate (Bauer et al., 2014). Geochemical spatial trends in <5 Ma volcanoes in the western Wrangell field are consistent with north-dipping subduction, including calc-alkaline to tholeiitic suites related to intra-arc extension within the interior and northern back-side of the Wrangell Arc, a calc-alkaline suite that crops out throughout the arc, and a calc-alkaline adakite suite found only along the front-side of the arc during this time period.

In the eastern part of the Wrangell field, 13–5 Ma calc-alkaline to tholeiitic lavas, pyroclastic deposits, shallow intrusives, and sedimentary strata record intra-arc transtensional basin development attributed to subduction of oceanic lithosphere of the Yakutat microplate and strike-slip deformation (Trop et al., 2012). The Sonya Creek field (SCVF in Figs. 1–2), located immediately west of the Yukon-Alaska border, comprises ca. 30–19 Ma calc-alkaline, transitional-tholeiitic, and adakite-like, volcanic-intrusive suites and represents the earliest phase of arc magmatism related to subduction of Yakutat microplate oceanic lithosphere beneath North America (Berkelhammer et al., 2019; Brueseke et al., 2019).

In Canada, four volcanic fields composed of Miocene lavas, pyroclastic rocks, and intrusions crop out for >300 km along the Duke River fault (AF, SF, SCF, and NF in Fig. 1). The St. Clare field consists of 18–10 Ma transitional and minor calc-alkaline and calc-alkaline lavas, and 16–13 Ma transitional lavas make up the Nines Creek field (Skulski et al., 1992). The Alsek field consists of 14–11 Ma calc-alkaline and minor transitional and alkaline lavas (Dodds and Campbell, 1988), whereas transitional and minor alkaline lavas make up the Stanley Creek field (Skulski et al., 1991). Wrangell Arc volcanic fields in Canada are interpreted as the product of both subduction-related arc magmatism and intraplate-type magmatism; eruptions occurred along strike-slip faults judging from geochemical compositions and the close spatial association of volcanoes and strike-slip faults (Skulski et al., 1992; Thorkelson et al., 2011).

In summary, the Wrangell and Sonya Creek fields in Alaska record subduction-related arc volcanism and are thus referred to as the Wrangell Arc, whereas volcanic fields in Canada record both subduction-related arc magmatism and magmatism sourced from mantle unaffected by arc processes. Magmatic products in both Canada and Alaska erupted along “leaky” strike-slip faults that were active conduits for magma ascent.

METHODS

Modern river detrital geochronology and targeted bedrock dating was used to maximize spatial coverage and access material eroded beneath glacial ice. Modern river bars composed of unconsolidated sand and gravel were sampled from 22 transverse rivers and eight tributaries (Fig. 3, Table 1, and Items S2–S3 [see footnote 1]). Sand and cobble fractions were sampled for detrital zircon and volcanic lithic geochronology. Unlike detrital minerals such as zircon, dates from volcanic lithics provide direct lithologic information about the volcanic source region. Dating of volcanic lithics also addresses mineral fertility concerns associated with zircon-poor mafic to intermediate composition igneous rocks that are common in the study area (Richter et al., 2006). At each sample site, a petrological survey of the cobble fraction was completed prior to sampling cobbles that are representative of all observed igneous lithologies; ~15–20 cobbles were sampled per site for companion geochemical analyses. Individual cobbles were then split by hammer and prepared for 40Ar/39Ar geochronologic analyses (DARL) and wavelength dispersive X-ray fluorescence (XRF) geochemical analyses (refer to Morter, 2017, for XRF results). From the same river bars, several kilograms of sand were collected for U-Pb geochronology on sand-sized DZ grains and 40Ar/39Ar geochronology on sand-sized DARL grains. Bedrock samples were also collected from some previously unsampled portions of the study region to provide a framework to compare more abundant and inclusive detrital dates from modern river sediments reported herein.

ArcMap Geographic Information System (GIS) software was used to plot sample locations, delineate watershed areas upslope of detrital samples, and calculate the areal extent of ice, surficial deposits, and bedrock units within the watersheds sampled. Refer to Items S4–S5 (footnote 1) for GIS methods and results.

40Ar/39Ar geochronologic analyses were carried out at the University of Alaska Fairbanks Geochronology Lab using the single grain and multi-grain fusion method to optimize the number of samples versus the precision and full isotopic evaluation that step-heating analysis allows. The new fusion (total gas) data yield uncertainties comparable to legacy K-Ar data reported from the Wrangell Arc. U-Pb geochronology was carried out on zircon grains by Laser Ablation-Inductively Coupled Plasma-Mass Spectrometry (LA-ICP-MS) at the University of Arizona LaserChron Center. Refer to Items S6–S12 (footnote 1) for full 40Ar/39Ar and U-Pb analytical details and results.
Figure 3. (A) Google Earth and (B) geologic map show watershed boundaries upslope of modern river samples (pink lines), exposed Wrangell Arc volcanics (orange polygons) and intrusions (red polygons), and regional strike-slip faults (black lines). Note ice (white in B) making up 29% of the surface area of the sampled watersheds. Refer to Figures 2 and Item S5 (text footnote 1) for location and additional geologic context.
### TABLE 1. SUMMARY OF DETRITAL DATES FROM MODERN RIVERS DRAINING THE WRANGELL ARC, WRANGELL–ST. ELIAS MOUNTAINS, ALASKA-CANADA

| Catchment name | Catchment type | Latitude | Longitude | Detrital zircon (DZ) U-Pb dates | Detrital $^{40}$Ar/$^{39}$Ar volcanic lithics (DARL) (sand-sized) | Detrital $^{40}$Ar/$^{39}$Ar volcanic lithics (DARL) (cobble-sized) |
|----------------|----------------|----------|-----------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------|
| **Northeastern Watershed** | | | | | | |
| Francis | Tributary (Ptarmagin) | N61°52'16.47" | W141°09'21.22" | 133 111 98 | n/a n/a n/a | 113 111 98 |
| Rocker | Tributary (Ptarmagin) | N61°48'25.60" | W141°18'43.39" | 119 83 70 | n/a n/a n/a | 418 86 21 |
| Rock Lake | Tributary (Ptarmagin) | N62°54'46.08" | W141°03'43.22" | 37 33 89 | n/a n/a n/a | 37 33 89 |
| Ptarmagin | Main River | N61°54'48.99" | W141°04'15.21" | 108 107 99 | n/a n/a n/a | 417 136 33 |
| Willow | Main River | N62°00'18.61" | W141°44'30.73" | 28 14 50 | n/a n/a n/a | 28 14 50 |
| Cross | Tributary (Chisana) | N60°07'56.29" | W142°18'11.36" | 115 20 17 | 11 4 36 | 126 24 19 |
| Chisana | Main River | N62°11'25.09" | W142°05'45.75" | 110 49 45 | 19 17 89 | 185 72 39 |
| **Totals/Means** | | | | 664 38 6 | 630 417 66 | 30 21 70 |
| **Eastern** | | | | | | |
| Duke River | Main River | N61°22'32.54" | W139°08'40.41" | 290 10 3 | n/a n/a n/a | 290 10 3 |
| Donjek | Main River | N61°40'46.14" | W139°45'02.09" | 297 21 7 | n/a n/a n/a | 297 21 7 |
| Lime | Tributary (U. White) | N62°45'25.60" | W141°49'56.50" | 101 29 29 | n/a n/a n/a | 101 29 29 |
| Upper White | Tributary (L. White) | N61°43'37.94" | W141°17'15.88" | 120 77 64 | 14 10 71 | 442 150 34 |
| Lower White | Main River | N61°59'15.80" | W140°33'29.03" | 291 133 46 | n/a n/a n/a | 291 133 46 |
| **Totals/Means** | | | | 1186 227 19 | 221 106 48 | 0 14 10 71 |
| **Southern** | | | | | | |
| Kuskulana | Main River | N61°36'42.48" | W143°42'29.72" | 109 78 72 | 16 16 100 | 211 180 85 |
| Kotsina | Main River | N61°42'58.03" | W144°16'52.77" | 109 85 78 | 13 10 77 | 148 110 74 |
| Kennicott | Main River | N61°26'02.36" | W142°56'26.90" | 111 72 65 | n/a n/a n/a | 256 195 76 |
| Nizina | Main River | N61°29'30.03" | W142°34'32.95" | 105 52 50 | n/a n/a n/a | 410 103 25 |
| Chitistone | Main River | N61°27'35.26" | W142°28'26.69" | 94 37 39 | n/a n/a n/a | 351 197 56 |
| Hawkins | Main River | N61°08'36.73" | W142°03'10.52" | 108 37 34 | n/a n/a n/a | 387 272 70 |
| **Totals/Means** | | | | 1098 670 61 | 636 361 57 | 29 26 90 |
| **North Central** | | | | | | |
| Jacksina | Tributary (Nabesna) | N62°21'36.70" | W142°57'13.10" | 115 114 99 | n/a n/a n/a | 115 114 99 |
| Monte Cristo | Tributary (Nabesna) | N62°13'26.97" | W142°55'30.73" | 100 93 93 | n/a n/a n/a | 100 93 93 |
| Bond | Tributary (Nabesna) | N62°16'26.10" | W142°51'00.00" | 108 13 12 | n/a n/a n/a | 108 13 12 |
| Nabesna | Main River | N62°29'22.27" | W142°47'46.93" | 119 12 94 | 12 10 83 | 238 128 54 |
| **Totals/Means** | | | | 107 6 6 | 442 332 75 | 12 10 83 |
| **Northwestern** | | | | | | |
| Copper | Main River | N62°34'06.10" | W143°41'48.80" | 101 101 100 | n/a n/a n/a | 178 161 90 |
| Drop | Main River | N62°32'14.00" | W143°47'31.70" | 110 110 100 | n/a n/a n/a | 361 344 95 |
| Boulder | Main River | N62°31'42.50" | W144°21'54.10" | 48 48 100 | n/a n/a n/a | 170 82 48 |
| Sanford | Main River | N62°11'06.16" | W144°29'54.45" | 117 117 100 | 18 18 100 | 293 165 56 |
| Nadina (east) | Main River | N61°58'35.97" | W144°44'48.67" | 84 51 66 | n/a n/a n/a | 84 51 66 |
| Nadina (west) | Main River | N62°00'27.24" | W144°32'33.60" | 114 114 100 | 11 11 100 | 208 130 63 |
| Dadina | Main River | N61°57'29.60" | W144°40'12.44" | 221 212 96 | 9 7 78 | 340 219 64 |
| Chetaslina | Main River | N62°45'02.66" | W144°41'01.92" | 771 702 99 | 46 44 96 | 1642 1190 72 |
| **Totals/Means** | | | | 805 444 50 | 711 702 99 | 46 44 96 |

**Total** | 3940 1385 35 | 2640 1918 73 | 131 111 85 | 6711 3414 51

**Note:** n/a—data not collected.
Summary of New Bedrock Dates

This study reports a total of 61 new single grain fusion and multi-grain fusion bedrock $\text{^{40}Ar} / \text{^{39}Ar}$ groundmass dates (Item S7 [footnote 1]). These dates both confirm and supplement previously published bedrock dates (n = 348; Item S8). New bedrock dates document magmatism between 30 Ma and <1 Ma among the sampled watersheds and are consistent with previous bedrock dates reported from the Wrangell Arc (Item S8). These new bedrock dates are integrated with abundant new detrital dates that are presented below in the Discussion.

Summary of Modern River Sediment Detrital Dates

This study reports a total of 3940 sand-sized DZ U-Pb, 2640 sand-sized DARL $\text{^{40}Ar} / \text{^{39}Ar}$, and 131 cobble-sized DARL $\text{^{40}Ar} / \text{^{39}Ar}$ dates from modern sediment from 22 major rivers and eight tributaries. Figure 4 summarizes the geology with the watersheds that were sampled. Figures 5–9 display relative age probability plots of modern river sediment samples. Figures 10–12 display composite probability plots of all samples. Figures 13–15 show the spatial distribution of <35 Ma detrital dates. The following sections summarize key age results from the overall study region followed by age patterns from five sub-regions.

Detrital dates (n = 6711) from the modern rivers sampled are chiefly Cenozoic (58% of total age population) with subordinate Mesozoic (25%), Paleozoic (15%), and Precambrian (2%) populations. Detrital dates of <35 Ma compose the dominant age population, comprising 51% of all detrital dates, including 35% of DZ dates, 73% of sand-sized DARL dates, and 85% of cobble-sized DARL dates (Table 1). Ages of <35 Ma make up the dominant age population of 19 of 30 sand-sized DARL samples, nine of 10 cobble DARL samples, and eight of 21 DZ samples. For sediment samples with both DZ and DARL dates, 14 of 17 rivers yield a higher proportion of <35 Ma DARL dates than <35 Ma DZ dates. Subordinate detrital populations are >320 Ma (4%), 320–270 Ma (early Pennsylvanian–Early Permian; 12% of total population), 270–220 Ma (Late Permian–Late Triassic; 2%), 220–160 Ma (Late Triassic–Late Jurassic; 4%), 160–130 Ma (Early Cretaceous–Late Jurassic; 9%), 130–110 Ma (Early Cretaceous; 5%), 90–50 Ma (early Eocene–Late Cretaceous; 10%), and 50–35 Ma (Paleogene; 0.6%).

Spatial Variations in Modern River Detrital Dates

Northeastern Rivers

Modern river sediment samples along the northeastern flank of the Wrangell–St. Elias Mountains show abundant Cenozoic (60%) detrital dates and subordinate Mesozoic (37%), Paleozoic (2%), and Precambrian (1%) detrital dates (n = 1421) (Figs. 5, 10 and Items S9–S12 [footnote 1]). Detrital dates >35 Ma include three main populations: 235–185 Ma (Triassic–Jurassic), 118–100 Ma (Early Cretaceous), and 70–58 Ma (Late Cretaceous–Paleogene). Detrital dates >35 Ma are substantially more common within the DZ sand fraction (94%) than in the DARL sand fraction (44%). Detrital dates <35 Ma represent 36% of all detrital dates, including ~6% of DZ dates, ~66% of sand-sized DARL dates, and ~70% of cobble-sized DARL dates (Table 1). Seven rivers yield dominant apparent age peaks between 17 Ma and 35 Ma, whereas three rivers show dominant apparent age peaks that fall between 6 Ma and 13 Ma (Fig. 5).

Detrital dates from northeastern river sediment samples overlap with <35 Ma bedrock dates reported from their watersheds, although some detrital age results are not present in the available bedrock data (Fig. 5). Samples from the Ptarmigan, Francis, Willow, and Rock Lake drainages yield dominant detrital age populations between 17 Ma and 35 Ma that match bedrock dates reported from their watersheds. Samples from the Chisana and Cross drainages show detrital dates between 17 Ma and 35 Ma and 1 Ma to 3 Ma that correspond with bedrock dates from those watersheds. However, the Chisana and Cross samples also yield 13–17 Ma and 6–8 Ma detrital dates that are not present among the available bedrock dates within their watersheds.

Eastern Rivers

Modern sediment samples from five watersheds spanning the Alaska-Yukon border reveal Cenozoic (28%), Mesozoic (11%), Paleozoic (84%), and Precambrian (7%) detrital dates (n = 1421) (Figs. 6, 10, and Items S9–S12 [footnote 1]). Detrital dates >35 Ma comprise five main populations between 65 Ma and 54 Ma (Paleogene), 127–110 Ma (Cretaceous), 312–271 Ma (Pennsylvanian–Permian), 2110–1710 Ma (Proterozoic), and 2840–2460 Ma (Proterozoic–Archean). The Duke, Donjek, and Lower White Rivers yield the majority of Proterozoic detrital dates. Detrital dates >35 Ma are more common in the DZ sand fraction (81%) than in the DARL sand fraction (52%). Dates of <35 Ma comprise 24% of the total age population in eastern river samples. Samples from the Upper White, Lower White, and Donjek drainages yield dominant DZ populations between 8 Ma and 13 Ma, whereas samples from the Duke drainage show dominant DZ populations between 17 Ma and 35 Ma. Samples from the Lime and Upper White drainages show dominant DARL populations between 17 Ma and 35 Ma and 8 Ma to 13 Ma, respectively.

Detrital dates from eastern sediment samples broadly correspond with 8–35 Ma bedrock dates reported from their watersheds. Dominant detrital age populations between 17 Ma and 35 Ma and 13 Ma to 11 Ma in the Lime and Upper White samples match dominant bedrock dates reported from those watersheds. However, minor populations of <11 Ma detrital dates from those drainages are not present in the available bedrock data. Detrital dates in samples from the Lower White, Duke, and Donjek Rivers match bedrock dates in spatially associated watersheds. However, sparse 17–35 Ma bedrock dates in the Donjek watershed are not among the Donjek detrital dates.
Figure 4. Summary of ice, surficial deposits, and bedrock units within the sampled watersheds is provided. Refer to Figures 2 and Item S5 (text footnote 1) for additional geologic context.
Sediment samples from six modern rivers that drain the south flank of the Wrangell Mountains yield chiefly Cenozoic (63%) and subordinate Mesozoic (28%), Paleozoic (10%), and Precambrian (<1%) detrital dates \((n = 1763)\) (Figs. 7, 10, and Items S9–S12 [footnote1]). Ages >35 Ma represent 40% of the population and consist of three main populations between 57 Ma and 51 Ma (Paleogene), 174 Ma and 137 Ma (Jurassic–Cretaceous), and 320 Ma to 288 Ma (Pennsylvanian–Permian). The proportion of >35 Ma dates is comparable among DZ (39%) and DARL (43%) sand fractions. Ages of <35 Ma represent 60% of the detrital population in sediment sampled from southern rivers, including 61% of DZ dates, 57% of sand-sized DARL dates, and 90% of cobble-sized DARL dates. Ages between 12 Ma and 3 Ma are most common within the <35 Ma detrital population. Detrital dates generally young northwesward among the rivers sampled. Samples from the Hawkins, Chitistone, and Nizina Rivers yield dominant DZ populations between 3 Ma and 6 Ma, 8 Ma and 13 Ma, and 6 Ma and 8 Ma, respectively. To the northwest, the Kennicott, Kotsina, and Kuskulana Rivers show dominant DZ populations between 3 Ma and 6 Ma. DARL dates show a broadly similar trend. In the southeast, samples from the Hawkins, Chitistone, and Nizina Rivers reveal DARL dates that are dominantly <1 Ma, 3–6 Ma, and <1 Ma, respectively. Southern river detrital dates overlap with 3–13 Ma bedrock dates reported from southern watersheds. However, detrital samples yield a much broader distribution of dates than bedrock data reported from southern watersheds. DZ dates between 1 Ma and 3 Ma and 3 Ma to 6 Ma in the Kennicott River, and 6–8 Ma DZ dates in the Nizina and Chitistone Rivers, lack matching bedrock dates in their watersheds. Moreover, DARL dates between 1 Ma and 3 Ma in the Kotsina River; 1–3 Ma, 3–6 Ma, and >8 Ma in the Kennicott River; 6–8 Ma in the Nizina River; and 17–35 Ma in the Chitistone River lack corresponding bedrock dates within their watersheds.
Northwestern Rivers

Sediments from seven modern rivers traversing the northwestern Wrangell Mountains reveal Cenozoic (73%), Mesozoic (24%), and Paleozoic (3%) detrital dates (n = 1642) (Figs. 8, 10, and Items S9–S12 [footnote 1]). Dates >35 Ma represent 28% of the detrital population and include three main populations between 136 Ma and 120 Ma (Cretaceous), 152 Ma and 141 Ma (Jurassic—Cretaceous), and 313 Ma and 289 Ma (Pennsylvanian—Permian). Dates >35 Ma are more common in the DZ sand fraction (50%) than in the DARL sand fraction (1%). Dates of <35 Ma represent 72% of the population in northwestern river samples, including ~50% of DZ dates, 99% of sand-sized DARL dates, and ~96% of cobble-sized DARL dates. DARL dates of <3 Ma dominate, making up ~41% of DARL dates and ~81% of DZ dates. Samples from the Nadina, Sanford, Boulder, Drop, and Copper Rivers yield dominant <1 Ma populations along with subordinate 1–3 Ma populations for both DZ and DARL. The Dadina River shows dominant populations of <1 Ma and 1–3 Ma for DARL and DZ, respectively. The Chetaslina River exhibits a dominant population of <1 Ma DARL dates and no <35 Ma DZ dates.

Northwestern rivers yield chiefly <3 Ma dates that correspond with dominantly <3 Ma bedrock dates among northwestern watersheds. However, several rivers show subordinate 1–3 Ma dates in watersheds that lack 1–3 Ma bedrock dates. Moreover, minor populations of >3 Ma DZ and DARL dates are absent in the available bedrock data.

North-Central Rivers

Sediment samples from seven modern rivers traversing the north-central Wrangell Mountains show Cenozoic (62%), Mesozoic (32%), Paleozoic (5%), and Precambrian (1%) detrital dates (n = 561) (Figs. 9, 10, and Items S9–S12 [footnote 1]). Dates >35 Ma represent 38% of the population and include a major population between 123 Ma and 115 Ma (Early Cretaceous), as well as sparse
dates between 340 Ma and 130 Ma (Early Cretaceous–Mississippian). Ages >35 Ma are substantially more common in the DZ sand fraction (94%) than in the DARL sand fraction (25%). Ages of <35 Ma dominate north-central rivers overall (62% of all detrital dates) but the proportion of <35 Ma ages varies from 6% of DZ dates to 75% of sand-sized DARL dates and ~83% of cobble-sized DARL dates. The Nabesna River watershed exhibits substantial variations in detrital dates. Sparse DZ dates from the Nabesna River are chiefly >17–35 Ma along with subordinate 11–13 Ma and 3–6 Ma dates. DARL dates from the Nabesna River include a dominant population between 3 Ma and 6 Ma and minor populations of <3 Ma, 6–8 Ma, and 17–35 Ma. Nabesna River tributaries exhibit these variations; the Jacksina, Monte Cristo, and Bond tributaries show dominant DARL dates of <1 Ma, 1–3 Ma, and 17–35 Ma, respectively.

Detrital dates obtained from north-central river sediment samples generally overlap with bedrock dates reported from watersheds. However, mismatches occur locally. Specifically, Nabesna River DZ samples lack <3 Ma and 6–8 Ma dates, which are evident in bedrock data, and the Jacksina tributary yields 3–6 Ma DARL dates that are not captured in the available bedrock age data.

**DISCUSSION**

Provenance

DZ and DARL dates from modern river sediments in the watersheds sampled reflect derivation chiefly from primary volcanic and plutonic sources with smaller contributions from secondary sedimentary and metasedimentary sources. Sparse populations of early Paleozoic (440 Ma apparent age peak) and Precambrian detrital dates (1710–2110 Ma, 2460–2840 Ma) recovered chiefly from eastern rivers are comparable to bedrock age populations reported from the Alexander and Yukon-Tanana terranes within the sampled watersheds as well as outside the watersheds in eastern Alaska, Yukon Territory, and southeastern Alaska (Pz in Figs. 2, 4, and Item S5). Alexander terrane Paleozoic–Proterozoic rocks show dominant apparent age peaks between 490 Ma and 410 Ma and 610 Ma and 520 Ma, and minor peaks span 2300–900 Ma (Beranek et al., 2014; Tochilin et al., 2014; White et al., 2016). Yukon-Tanana terrane Paleozoic metasedimentary strata yield 2000–1700 Ma apparent age peaks.

![Figure 9. Normalized distribution plots of detrital samples from modern rivers in the draining north-central flank of Wrangell–St. Elias Mountains are shown. Bottom plots show <400 Ma dates; top plots show details of <35 Ma dates. Note that the detrital zircon age spectra >50 Ma is vertically exaggerated 5x. DARL — volcanic rock lithic, DZ — detrital zircon.](image1)

![Figure 10. Probability density plots summarize <35 Ma detrital dates from modern rivers that drain the Wrangell–St. Elias Mountains. Plots include all detrital zircon (DZ) U-Pb dates as well as sand- and cobble-sized volcanic lithic (DARL) $^{40}$Ar/$^{39}$Ar dates.](image2)
peaks and 380–340 Ma intrusive suites (Aleinikoff et al., 1981, 1984, 1986; Dusel-Bacon et al., 2006; Nelson and Gehrels, 2007; Dusel-Bacon and Williams, 2008; Day et al., 2014; Pecha et al., 2016).

Subordinate 320–270 Ma detrital dates (301 Ma apparent age peak) from the sampled rivers correspond with late Paleozoic magmatism in the Insular terranes (Skolai Arc in Fig. 12). In the watersheds sampled and adjacent parts of eastern Alaska and Yukon, the late Paleozoic magmatic suite consists of ca. 360–273 Ma volcanic and volcaniclastic rocks, intrusions, and sedimentary strata (PP in Figs. 2, 4, and Item S5 [footnote 1]; Smith and MacKevett, 1970; MacKevett, 1971; Read and Monger, 1976; Beranek et al., 2014) that record island arc magmatism, slab breakoff, and post-collision arc magmatism (Greene et al., 2009; Beranek et al., 2014; Israel et al., 2014).

Sparse 270–220 Ma detrital dates record erosion of 233–222 Ma plume-related volcanics, intrusions, and associated sedimentary rocks (JTr in Figs. 2, 4, and Item S5 [footnote 1]; Wrangellia flood basalt in Fig. 12) that formed within the remnant late Paleozoic island arc (Mortensen and Hulbert, 1992; Greene et al., 2010). The paucity of both DZ and DARL dates matching the emplacement age of this igneous suite is consistent with the low zircon fertility that typifies basaltic igneous rocks, evidence for thermal resetting of the argon thermochronologic system within the Triassic igneous rocks (Greene et al., 2010), and our DARL sampling strategy that targeted fresh, unaltered volcanic lithics.

A minor population of 160–140 Ma (apparent age peak of 145 Ma) DZ and DARL dates reflects erosion of Late Jurassic–Early Cretaceous magmatic arc igneous rocks and associated sedimentary strata (Chitina arc in Fig 12; Ji and KJs in Figs. 2, 4, and Item S5 [footnote 1]). In the sampled watersheds and adjacent parts of eastern Alaska, Chitina Arc plutons yield 150–138 Ma dates (Pflaiker et al., 1989; Roeske et al., 2003). Late Jurassic detrital dates also reflect erosion of Mesozoic sedimentary and volcanic rocks that crop out within the sampled watersheds (JTr and KJs in Figs. 2, 4, and Item S5 [footnote 1]) and yield abundant 160–140 Ma detrital zircon dates (Fasulo et al., 2020) and 191–145 Ma 40Ar/39Ar dates (Greene et al., 2010). Chitina Arc magmatism overlapped with subduction, arc magmatism, and deformation across the Insular terranes between 160 Ma and 140 Ma in the Yukon, British Columbia, and southeastern Alaska (van der Heyden, 1992; Gehrels et al., 2009; Berenek et al., 2017) and between 180 Ma and 140 Ma in south-central Alaska (Rioux et al., 2007; Finzel and Ridgway, 2017; Stevens Goddard et al., 2018).

A subordinate population of 130–110 Ma (apparent age peak of 117 Ma) DZ and DARL dates corresponds with erosion of late Early Cretaceous arc igneous rocks and associated sedimentary strata (Chisana Arc in Fig. 12; Kvi and KJs in Figs. 2, 4, and Item S5 [footnote 1]). The largest 130–110 Ma apparent age peaks are in samples from north-central and northeastern rivers draining watersheds with aerially extensive Chisana Arc igneous rocks (e.g., Chisana, Cross, and Nabesna Rivers in Figs. 3, 4, and Item S5 [footnote 1]; Wrangellia flood basalt in Fig. 12) that formed within the remnant late Paleozoic island arc (Mortensen and Hulbert, 1992; Greene et al., 2010). The paucity of both DZ and DARL dates matching the emplacement age of this igneous suite is consistent with the low zircon fertility that typifies basaltic igneous rocks, evidence for thermal resetting of the argon thermochronologic system within the Triassic igneous rocks (Greene et al., 2010), and our DARL sampling strategy that targeted fresh, unaltered volcanic lithics.

Figure 11. Probability density plots of <35 Ma detrital dates from modern rivers are shown. (A) All detrital dates, (B) detrital zircon (DZ) U-Pb dates, (C) sand-sized volcanic rock lithic 40Ar/39Ar (DARL) dates, and (D) cobble-sized volcanic rock lithic 40Ar/39Ar DARL dates.

(A) All detrital dates, (B) detrital zircon (DZ) U-Pb dates, (C) sand-sized volcanic rock lithic 40Ar/39Ar (DARL) dates, and (D) cobble-sized volcanic rock lithic 40Ar/39Ar DARL dates.
as well as recycling of late Early to Late Cretaceous sedimentary strata that bear apparent age peaks of 130–110 Ma (Fasulo et al., 2020; Trop et al., 2020). Correlative igneous and sedimentary strata crop out regionally to the east in southeastern Alaska and Canada (Gehrels, 2000; Yokelson et al., 2015) and to the northwest in the Talkeetna Mountains and the Alaska Range (Hampton et al., 2010; Reid et al., 2018; Stevens Goddard et al., 2018; Trop et al., 2019; Box et al., 2019). Subordinate 70–55 Ma (apparent age peak of 62 Ma) DZ dates correspond with erosion of latest Cretaceous–Paleocene arc igneous rocks that are uncommon within the sampled watersheds but crop out regionally in the Alaska Range and the Yukon (Kluane Arc of Plafker and Berg, 1994).

The <35 Ma dominant population of DZ and DARL dates reflects erosion of Oligocene–Quaternary Wrangell Arc volcanic-intrusive rocks, which constitute ~16% of the surface area of watersheds sampled (Figs. 2–4 and Item S5 [footnote 1]). Notably, Wrangell Arc volcanic-intrusive rocks likely underlie extensive portions of ice that make up 29% of the watersheds sampled (Item S5), judging from Wrangell Arc bedrock exposures protruding above ice (Figs. 2–3) and the dominance of <35 Ma DARL dates in modern rivers located downslope of glacial ice. Below, the Discussion summarizes the spatial-temporal evolution of the Wrangell Arc as inferred from the available geochronologic data.

In summary, modern river detrital and bedrock dates obtained during the present study, with previously reported bedrock dates, document erosion of magmatic arcs with the following apparent age peaks: 301 Ma, 145 Ma, 132 Ma, 116 Ma, 62 Ma, and <35 Ma (Fig. 12). Sparse detrital dates also record a single phase of Triassic plume magmatism that is associated with mafic large igneous province development.

**Implications for Sediment Provenance Analysis**

Assessment of DZ and DARL dates from modern river sediment in the Wrangell Arc adds to the growing number of studies demonstrating the additional insight afforded by multi-proxy detrital geochronology (e.g., Nie et al., 2012; Bush et al., 2016; Di Giulio et al., 2017; Arboit et al., 2020). DZ populations reveal higher proportions of Mesozoic–Paleozoic arc igneous sources that are missing or incomplete in DARL populations, whereas DARL populations record higher proportions of Neogene arc igneous sources that are missing or incomplete in DZ age spectra (refer to Item S13 for details [footnote 1]). Higher zircon fertility from plutons with ages >35 Ma and recycling from sedimentary deposits contribute a disproportionate and greater volume of detrital zircon grains relative to generally mafic <35 Ma volcanic sources. This interpretation is compatible with higher average zircon concentrations reported from the majority of >35 Ma igneous rocks in the study region compared with <35 Ma Wrangell Arc igneous rocks (Berkelhammer et al., 2019; Brueseke et al., 2019; Manselle et al., 2020), and we acknowledge that geochemical data from >35 Ma rocks are not abundant. Although not unexpected, this relationship underscores the potential for disproportionate detrital zircon contributions eroded from older, mainly felsic arc plutons or recycled from sediments.
Arc Episodicity and Flare-Ups

Assessment of geochronological records from the Wrangell Arc in eastern Alaska adds to the growing evidence for non-steady-state behavior in arc magmatism along the eastern Pacific margin. Wrangell Arc geochronologic data indicate episodes of high-volume arc magmatism alternating with periods of reduced magmatism during Paleozoic–Holocene time (Fig. 12), which is comparable to the high-volume arc magmatism with apparent cyclicity of ~15–35 m.y. documented in other Alaskan arc segments in the Aleutian Islands (Jicha et al., 2006), Alaska Peninsula (Finzel and Ridgway, 2017), Alaska Range (Fasulo, 2018; Jones et al., 2021), and Talkeetna Mountains (Reid et al., 2018; Stevens Goddard et al., 2018). Further south, high-volume arc magmatism with apparent cyclicity of ~25 m.y. to >50 m.y. is evident along the Cordilleran margin from western Canada to South America (Ferrari et al., 1999; Haschke et al., 2006; Trumbull et al., 2006; Gehrels et al., 2009; Girardi et al., 2012; Paterson et al., 2014; Premo et al., 2014; DeCelles et al., 2015; Beranek et al., 2017). Models explaining arc episodicity invoke plate motion controls (Jicha et al., 2018), intra-arc processes (Ducea and Barton, 2007; DeCelles et al., 2009), or crustal modulation of mantle power input (de Silva et al., 2015).

Wrangell Arc geochronologic data and offshore Gulf of Alaska tephra records (Benowitz and Addison, 2017) indicate an apparent increase in magmatic flux at ca. 1 Ma, although the trend may be impacted by decreased marine core recovery with depth-time, sample biases, the better preservation of younger volcanic rock products, and the younger eruptive centers covering older volcanic centers. A ca. 1 Ma flare-up would be coeval with increased amplitude glacial-interglacial variability documented during the mid-Pleistocene transition (1.25–0.7 Ma; Willeit et al., 2019) across the Northern Hemisphere and southern Alaska (Gulick et al., 2015). Volcanic activity increased worldwide during this time period as a result of glacial-interglacial cycles ("glacial pumping"; Wilson and Russell, 2020).
Strike-Slip Deformation along Intra-Arc Faults

Geologic observations indicate that dextral-oblique slip occurred along the Denali and Totschunda faults (Figs. 1–3) throughout 30 Ma to Holocene Wrangell Arc magmatism. Offset features along the Denali and Totschunda faults indicate dextral-oblique slip during Paleocene–Holocene time (Haeussler et al., 2017). Metamorphic complexes exposed adjacent to the easterly Denali fault reflect dextral transpressional exhumation at ca. 20–6 Ma (Richter et al., 1975; Benowitz et al., 2011, 2012, 2014, 2019; Tait et al., 2016). Estimated Cenozoic displacements along the strike-slip easterly Denali fault system are ~400 km (Denali and Totschunda fault combined) since 57 Ma (Lowey, 1998; Riccio et al., 2014) and ~305 km since 33 Ma (Waldien et al., 2018). Along the western Denali fault, where a larger component of thrusting accommodates fault displacement (Fitzgerald et al., 2014), offset markers indicate ~150 km of dextral slip since 29 Ma (Trop et al., 2019). Collectively, the available observations indicate the eastern Denali fault accommodated ~180 km of dextral slip between ca. 30 Ma and 6 Ma at a time-averaged rate of ~7.6 mm/yr with minimum and unknown slip after ca. 6 Ma.

The Totschunda fault was active during Wrangell Arc magmatism, considering evidence for diking within the fault zone at ca. 29 Ma (Brueseke et al., 2019), rapid exhumation along the fault at ca. 25 Ma (Milde et al., 2013), and transtensional basin development between 13 Ma and 10 Ma (Trop et al., 2012). Offset geologic piercing points along the Totschunda fault indicate ~90 km of dextral slip since 7 Ma (Waldien et al., 2018), as much as ~85 km of dextral slip since ca. 18 Ma (Berkelhammer et al., 2019), and a Pleistocene rate of 14 mm/yr (Marechal et al., 2018). The available geologic data indicate the following dextral slip estimates along the Totschunda fault: ~14 mm/yr offset totaling 85 km from 6 Ma to present and 0.6 mm/yr totaling ~15 km between 30 Ma and 6 Ma. In the following section, we combine these offset estimates with geochronologic data from the Wrangell Arc to reconstruct the spatial-temporal evolution of Wrangell magmatism.
Spatial-Temporal Evolution of Wrangell Magmatism

Wrangell Arc magmatism initiated along the northern flank of the Wrangell–St. Elias Range at ca. 30 Ma, based on currently available geochronologic data (Berkelhammer et al., 2019; Brueseke et al., 2019; this study). The oldest known Wrangell Arc eruptive centers crop out on both sides of the Totschunda fault within the north-central and northeastern river watersheds (Figs. 16–17). North of the fault, 30–19 Ma magmatism prompted construction of the Sonya Creek volcanic field (SCVF in Figs. 1, 16, and 17), judging from new DZ and DARL dates from Ptarmigan, Rocker, Francis, and Rock Lake and recently reported volcanic/intrusive bedrock dates (Berkelhammer et al., 2019). The Upper White and Lower White Rivers also yield minor populations of 30–17 Ma DZ and DARL dates that may reflect sediment contributions from tributaries that extend into the SCVF. However, much of the White and Lower White drainages traverse bedrock southwest of SCVF. At ca. 30–17 Ma, eruptive centers also formed along the west side of the Totschunda fault; north-central watersheds yield 30–17 Ma DZ and DARL dates (Bond, Chisana, and Cross tributaries) and several K-Ar intrusive bedrock dates. Ca. 30–17 Ma eruptive centers exposed on opposite sides of the Totschunda fault were likely proximal to one another and subsequently offset by dextral displacement along the Totschunda fault (B and SCVF in Figs. 16–17).

Wrangell magmatism progressed from northwest to southeast ca. 18 Ma based on geochronologic data within eastern river watersheds spanning the Alaska-Yukon border (Figs. 16–17). Volcanic bedrock dates document the construction of 18–10 Ma eruptive centers presently exposed along the Duke River fault (St. Clare, Alsek, and Nines Creek fields in Figs. 1–2). The youngest population of DZ dates from the Duke (18–16 Ma) and Donjek (10–9 Ma and 16–15 Ma) watersheds overlap 18–10 Ma bedrock dates from the St. Clare field (Fig. 16), which indicates that magmatism persisted there ~1 m.y. longer than was previously recognized.

Between ca. 13 Ma and 6 Ma, Wrangell Arc magmatism generally migrated from southeast to west away from the Alaska-Yukon border region.
Figure 16. Maps show bedrock and detrital samples that yield dates within the time slices shown. Detrital samples are centered within watersheds to approximate sediment source locations; refer to Figure 3 for detrital sample locations. Refer to Figures 2–4 for details on watershed geology and geography.
to the Wrangell Mountains (Figs. 16–17). After ca. 6 Ma, Wrangell magmatism migrated northwestward into Holocene time (Figs. 16–17; Richter et al., 1990) demonstrated this northwestward progression using bedrock dates primarily from <3 Ma volcanics in northwestern watersheds. Trop et al. (2012) expanded the evidence with bedrock and detrital dates from 13–5 Ma volcanics in the southern watersheds. New detrital data sets in the current study add additional support for westward to northwestward progression of magmatism since 13 Ma and document previously unrecognized complexities. Some eruptive centers remained active following the progression of magmatism away from a region; moreover, some areas experienced magmatism following the general migration of magmatism away from the region. For example, magmatism persisted from 9 Ma until 2 Ma in the Kennicott watershed, following the northwestward progression of magmatism from 6 Ma until 3 Ma into the adjacent Kotsina watershed and until <3 Ma in the northwestern watersheds. Also, magmatism occurred in the Hawkins watershed from 7 Ma until 4 Ma, following the northwestward progression of magmatism away from the adjacent 12–7 Ma Chitistone and Nizina watersheds. Another exception to the apparent progression of volcanism from southeast to northwest is Mt. Churchill, a stratovolcano located tens of kilometers east of other Wrangell Arc active volcanoes (MC in Figs. 3 and 16). The volcano has produced highly explosive, volumetrically significant ash eruptions over the past 2000 years (Lerbekmo, 2008; Preece et al., 2014; Jensen et al., 2014), unlike the andesitic lavas that have erupted from most Wrangell Arc volcanoes (Richter et al., 1990). Given its location above the eastern edge of the subducting slab (Bauer et al., 2014), we posit that Mt. Churchill may be related to slab edge magmatism and that the Connector-Duke River-Totschunda fault intersection serves as a magma conduit (Fig. 2).

In summary, modern river and bedrock dates document the initiation of Wrangell Arc magmatism at ca. 30–17 Ma along both sides of the Totschunda fault, the southeastward progression of magmatism at ca. 17–10 Ma along the Duke River fault, the westward progression of magmatism outboard (southwest) of the Totschunda and Duke River faults at ca. 13–6 Ma, and a rapid northwestward progression of magmatism from ca. 6 Ma to present.

Tectonic Evolution of Wrangell Arc Magmatism

In the context of the offset history of the Denali–Duke River–Totschunda fault system, we present a model that relates long-term localization of Wrangell magmatism within and adjacent to the Cretaceous Insular terranes–North America suture zone and shifts in magmatism through time to tectonic processes (Figs. 16–19).

Arc Initiation (30–18 Ma)

Subduction-related arc magmatism initiated at ca. 30 Ma based on new detrital dates from the present study and recently reported geochronological-geochemical data from eruptive centers exposed on both sides of the Totschunda fault along the northern flank of the Wrangell Mountains (Figs. 16–17; Berkelhammer et al., 2019; Brueske et al., 2019). Bedrock samples from the 30–19 Ma Sony Creek volcanic field (SCVF) indicate hydrous, subduction-related, calc-alkaline magmatism along with an adakite-like component that is attributed to slab-edge melting. A minor westward progression of volcanism within the SCVF at ca. 25 Ma was accompanied by continued subduction-related magmatism without the adakite-like component (i.e., mantle-wedge melting), which is represented by 25–20 Ma basaltic-andesite to dacite domes and associated diorites and transitional-tholeiitic, basaltic-andesite to rhyolite lavas and tuffs of the 23–19 Ma Sony Creek shield volcano (Richter et al., 2000; Berkelhammer et al., 2019). The Beaver Lake area, ~10 km west of the SCVF, is also characterized by 30–20 Ma magmatism (Fig. 3). Initial arc magmatism is also recorded along the southwestern side of the Totschunda fault west of the SCVF and Beaver Lake. Also, ca. 30–20 Ma calc-alkaline and adakitic Wrangell Arc intrusions occur proximal to the Totschunda fault in the Chisana, Cross, and Bond Creek drainages (Richter, 1976; Weber et al., 2017).

Geologic data sets indicate dextral displacement of ca. 30–20 Ma eruptive centers exposed on both sides of the presently active Totschunda fault. Dikelets that are 29.7 Ma in age and injected into Totschunda fault gouge indicate fluid flow into an active fault zone during initial arc magmatism (Brueske et al., 2019). The fault system existed prior to Wrangell Arc magmatism, based upon 114 Ma dikelets that are injected into Totschunda fault gouge (Trop et al., 2020). Reconstructing the inferred initial alignment of the ca. 30–18 Ma eruptive centers exposed on opposite sides of the Totschunda fault requires ~85 km of dextral offset since ca. 18 Ma (Waldien et al., 2018b; Berkelhammer et al., 2019).

We attribute 30–18 Ma arc magmatism to the subduction of oceanic lithosphere along the northeastern margin of the Yakutat microplate (Figs. 1 and 17–19) during a transition in the tectonic configuration of southern Alaska between 30 Ma and 20 Ma. Regional exhumation within and proximal to the Alaska Range suture zone (Benowitz et al., 2012a; Riccio et al., 2014; Lease et al., 2016; Terhune et al., 2019; Waldien et al., 2021), basin inversion and drainage reorganization related to topographic development (Ridgway et al., 2007; Finzel et al., 2016; Brennan and Ridgway, 2015; Benowitz et al., 2019), and shortening within the Yakutat microplate (Pavlis et al., 2012, 2019) are interpreted as upper-plate responses to initial flat-slab subduction and collision of the Yakutat microplate with southern Alaska (Finzel et al., 2011; Haynie and Jadamec, 2017).

Initiation of flat-slab subduction and Wrangell Arc magmatism was coincident with the cessation of arc magmatism throughout most of the region underlain by the subducting Yakutat flat slab. Neogene arc magmatic products in the central Alaska Range are limited to a handful of small volume eruptive centers (Fig. 1; Triplehorn et al., 1999; Atthey et al., 2006; Andronikov and Mukasa, 2010; Cameron et al., 2015). The 30 Ma age of the initiation of Wrangell Arc magmatism along the northeastern edge of the subducted Yakutat flat slab postdates the 33 Ma age of the youngest arc pluton in the Central Alaska Range (Regan et al., 2020), overlaps with the 29 Ma age of the youngest pluton in
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Figure 17. Maps reconstruct the evolution of Wrangell Arc magmatism and intra-arc strike-slip along the Totschunda fault. Reconstruction is based on dates summarized in Figure 15 and structural data sets summarized in Benowitz et al. (2012b), Allen et al. (2014), Allen (2016), Riccio et al. (2014), Berkelhammer et al. (2019), and Regan et al. (2021). Refer to text for discussion.
Figure 18. Schematic palinspastic reconstructions of plate interactions, magmatism, and strike-slip deformation in eastern Alaska and the Yukon from 30 Ma to present are shown. The leading northeastern edge of the Yakutat slab and Wrangell Arc magmatism track with the Totschunda-Duke River fault suture zone region from 30 Ma to 6 Ma as the Insular terranes are translated northwestward by right-lateral slip along the Denali fault. White pins demonstrate 182 km of right-lateral slip on eastern Denali fault from 30 Ma to 6 Ma (7.6 mm/yr) (Benowitz et al., 2012b; Waldien et al., 2018b; Regan et al., 2021). Blue pins demonstrate 85 km of right-lateral slip on the Totschunda fault from 6 Ma to present (14 mm/yr) (Waldien et al., 2018a, 2018b; Berkelhammer et al., 2019). Not demonstrated with pins are 15 km of allowable right-lateral slip on the Totschunda fault from 30 Ma to 6 Ma (0.6 mm/yr), but the reconstruction accounts for the additional slip on the Totschunda fault based upon the reconstruction shown in Figure 17. Slip amount on the eastern Denali fault from 6 Ma to present is not well constrained and thus is not depicted in the reconstruction, though slip likely occurred based on Holocene offset constraints (Haeussler et al., 2017). Refer to text for additional discussion of strike-slip constraints along the Denali and Totschunda faults. The Yakutat slab is pulled out based on initial subduction by 30 Ma (Brueseke et al., 2019) and insertion at modern GPS rates of 50 mm/yr (Elliot et al., 2010). Imaged outboard thickness variations (orange text) of the unsubducted Yakutat microplate are from Worthington et al. (2012). The inboard extent of the subducted Yakutat slab is shown in Figure 1. The convergence angle of subduction pre-6 Ma is shown on the 6 Ma panel (Engbretson, 1985). A–A′ represents the line of cross section shown in Figure 19. Base map is modified from Waldien et al. (2018b). Abbreviations: BRF—Border Range fault system, CF—Connector fault (inferred), CSEF—Chugach-St. Elias fault, DRF—Duke River fault, GCFF—Queen-Charlotte-Fairweather fault, PAC-NA—Pacific-North America, YAK-NA—Yakutat-North America, TF—Totschunda fault, UTi—undifferentiated terranes and igneous rocks, WA—Wrangell Arc, YTT—Yukon-Tanana terrane.
the Western Alaska Range (Jones et al., 2021), and overlaps the 38–25 Ma age range of dike swarms emplaced along the Denali fault in the Central Alaska Range (Trop et al., 2019). Thus, arc magmatism was essentially shut off in the Central and Western Alaska Range by ca. 25 Ma. This scenario is attributed to flat-slab subduction of the Yakutat microplate and changes in Pacific plate relative plate motion that prompted a more highly convergent Denali fault system (Jicha et al., 2018; Trop et al., 2019).

**Southeastward Progression (18–13 Ma)**

Wrangell Arc magmatism progressed southeastward from Alaska to Canada from ca. 18 Ma to 13 Ma, based upon new detrital dates in the present study and previous bedrock dates from volcanic centers and strike-slip basin fills exposed along the Duke River fault (Figs. 16–17). Strike-slip basin development along the Duke River fault is evident from Eocene–Oligocene alluvial fan, fan-delta, and lacustrine strata that are overlain by Miocene (18–13 Ma) lavas and pyroclastic rocks (Fig. 1; Ridgway and DeCelles, 1993; Cole and Ridgway, 1993). The limited spatial distribution of the volcanic rocks along strike-slip faults, with the predominance of small volume, fissure-vent–sourced alkaline volcanic rock compositions, has been attributed to leaky transform volcanism, with magmas being sourced from both mantle affected by subduction (e.g., hydrous mantle wedge like the Alaskan Wrangell Arc) and underlying anhydrous asthenospheric mantle (Skulski et al., 1992; Thorkelson et al., 2011; Bruseke et al., 2019). Age equivalent volcanic centers in the Saint Clare field (Yukon) and Sonya Creek–Rocker Creek area (Alaska) (Fig. 1) appear...
to record a spatial transition from chiefly strike-slip to subduction tectonics. Overall, geochemical and isotope variations indicate that many Wrangell volcanic belt products exposed in the Yukon formed via a different melting regime and from different mantle sources than Wrangell Arc magmatism in Alaska. This scenario is consistent with Yukon magmatism occurring along the eastern edge of the subducted slab along the arcuate arc-transform continental margin. We infer that formation of 18–13 Ma volcanic centers along northwest-striking, strike-slip faults in the Yukon reflects oblique convergence and the subduction of oceanic crust along the inboard margin of the Yakutat microplate coeval with dextral-oblique slip on the Denali and Totschunda faults (Figs. 17–18).

We attribute the observed southeastward progression in Wrangell magmatism from Alaska ca. 30–18 Ma to Canada ca. 18–13 Ma (Figs. 16–17) to northwestward translation of the overriding plate via dextral-oblique displacement along the Denali and Totschunda faults (Fig. 18). However, strike-slip translation along the Denali and Totschunda faults alone does not account for the magnitude of southeastward progression in magmatism between 18 Ma to 13 Ma. Given that the pace or orientation of relative plate convergence was relatively consistent along the southern Alaska-western Canada margin between 26 Ma and 13 Ma (Fig. 1; Doubrovine and Tarduno, 2008; Pavlis et al., 2019), we hypothesize that the observed southeastward shift in magmatism also reflects slab steepening, possibly via slab curling related to gravitational pull on the edge of the subducted slab (e.g., Park et al., 2002).

**Generally Westward Progression (13 Ma to 6 Ma)**

We postulate that slab shallowing (perhaps via uncurling) of the subducted Yakutat slab prompted the observed generally westward progression of volcanic centers and transtensional intra-arc basins along strike-slip faults between 13 Ma and 6 Ma (Totschunda and Duke River faults in Figs. 17–19). Shallowing of the Yakutat slab may reflect slight flattening of the slab edge in response to the progressive subduction of thicker parts of the outboard-thickened, southward Yakutat microplate (Worthington et al., 2012).

**Generally Northwestward Progression (6 Ma to 200 ka)**

Similar to Richter et al. (1990) and Preece and Hart (2004), we interpret northwestward progression of Wrangell Arc magmatism from 6 Ma to present (Figs. 16–17) as a response to a change in Pacific plate vectors at this time to more rapid (~37%) and with a higher angle of convergence (18° northerly shift) (Fig. 18; Engebretson, 1985; Doubrovine and Tarduno, 2008). Previous geologic and geochronologic studies document a ca. 6 Ma tectonic event across southern Alaska (Fitzgerald et al., 1995; Ridgway et al., 2007; Enkelmann et al., 2008; Allen et al., 2014; Walden et al., 2018b), which is consistent with a more convergent Pacific/Yukutat-North American interface.

**Reduction in Wrangell Arc Magmatism by 200 ka**

Richter et al. (1990) postulates a reduction in Wrangell Arc magmatism at ca. 200 ka related to northward progression of the Yakutat microplate. A geophysically imaged slab tear separates eastern (under the Wrangell Arc) and central (under south-central Alaska) segments of the subducted Yakutat slab (Fuji et al., 2008). The spatial association of most <1 Ma Wrangell Arc volcanoes directly southeast of the tear (Fig. 1) and rejuvenated magmatism northwest of the tear in the Alaska Range starting at ca. 1 Ma (Jumbo Dome, Buzzard Maar in the Alaska Range in Fig. 1) implies a change in slab geometry. We posit that these changes in magmatic flux may reflect development of the slab tear at ca. 1 Ma and concurrent with jamming of the trench (Gulick et al., 2013).

**Suture Zone Localization of Wrangell Arc Magmatism**

Structures are known to facilitate volcanism by acting as conduits (e.g., Gómez-Vasconcelos et al., 2020). The Totschunda-Duke River and Denali faults bracket a Cretaceous suture zone that separates the Insular terranes from inboard terranes in the study area (Figs. 1 and 17–19). The Totschunda and Duke River faults acted as conduits that facilitated magma focusing and rise, as is documented by the alignment of Wrangell Arc volcanoes with these structures (Figs. 1 and 17–19). For example, the 2.4 Ma Euche Mountain volcano lies along the Totschunda fault and is a classic example of a volcanic edifice forming adjacent to a strike-slip fault (Figs. 3 and 17; Keast et al., 2016). Given that the suture zone has been translated ~180 km along the eastern Denali fault since 30 Ma (Fig. 18), and much of the Wrangell Arc magmatism is away from these faults (Fig. 17), the presence of these long-lived suture zone faults alone does not explain localization of Wrangell Arc magmatism within and adjacent to the Insular terranes-North America suture zone. The suture zone is defined by lithologic and thickness variations of the crust (Fitzgerald et al., 2014), which include crustal thickness variations across the suture-bounding Denali, Totschunda, and Duke River faults (Fig. 19). Throughout its 30 m.y. evolution, Wrangell Arc magmatism never migrated into crust north of the Denali fault (Yukon-Tanana terrane in Figs. 18–19). Given that the trench outboard (south) of the Wrangell Arc trends essentially the same as the eastern Denali fault, the distance between the Wrangell Arc and the trench was relatively constant (~300 km) during translation of the Insular terranes-North America suture zone along the Denali fault during the 30 Ma history of Wrangell Arc magmatism (Fig. 18).

Late Cretaceous–Paleogene arc magmatism in the Western and Central Alaska Range (Fig. 1) was similarly localized along the Insular terranes-North America suture zone (Jones et al., 2021), and crustal variations within the suture zone likely played a hydrostatic role in flattening the underlying slab(s) regardless of slab characteristics (Trop et al., 2019). We posit that similar geodynamic processes prompted the dewatering depth zone along the eastern edge of the subducted Yakutat slab to track with the migrating upper plate suture zone until
6 Ma. After the increase in the Pacific–North America plates’ convergence rate at ca. 6 Ma (Doubrovine and Tarduno, 2008), the leading lateral (northeastern) edge of the Yakutat slab was apparently less influenced by crustal thickness variations, and magmatism drifted away from the suture zone proper.

In summary, the reconstructed spatial-temporal evolution of arc-transform magmatism documented in the Wrangell Arc is attributed to dextral translation of the overriding plate, changes in the subducting slab geometry, variations in relative plate convergence, and the influence of a suture zone and intra-arc, strike-slip faults on magma-surface flux.

**Voluminous Wrangell Arc-Transform Magmatism**

Based on the enormous sizes of Wrangell Arc volcanoes, melt production along the arc-transform margin was voluminous (Jadamec and Billen, 2010). Although STEP faults have not been recognized in the present study, they may be a previously overlooked hallmark of the arc-transform margin, especially for those influenced by flat-slab subduction.

**CONCLUSIONS**

The Wrangell volcanic belt in eastern Alaska and the Yukon provides an archetypical environ in which to examine the relations between magmatism, deformation, and plate interactions along an active continental arc-transform junction. Abundant new DZ U-Pb and DARL 40Ar–39Ar dates from modern rivers and bedrock dates demonstrate that the Wrangell Arc was continuously active from ca. 30 Ma to present. Palinspastic reconstruction of the arc along regional strike-slip faults (Figs. 17–18) allows the spatial migration of arc magmatism during its evolution to be documented. First-order findings from our study are:

1. DARL dates provide a more robust record of the generally mafic <30 Ma Wrangell Arc than DZ dates, which better document older episodes of more felsic magmatism that shaped the crust and match Paleozoic–Mesozoic magmatic flare-ups documented along the Cordilleran margin.
2. Wrangell Arc magmatism was emplaced within or adjacent to a suture zone separating the Insular terranes to the south and the Yukon-Tanana terrane to the north. The spatial association of magmatism with the suture zone indicates localization of magmatism in response to upper plate crustal heterogeneities and pre-existing structural zones that served as active magma conduits.
3. The history of magmatism, combined with regional constraints, is interpreted to be most consistent with the following tectonic model (Figs. 17–19). The Wrangell Arc is interpreted to have initiated at ca. 30–17 Ma in response to northward convergence and subduction of the lithosphere of the oceanic plateau along the inboard northeastern lateral edge of the Yakutat microplate. The Totschunda fault, an intra-arc, strike-slip fault, was an active conduit for magmas during this time. Southeastward progression of magmatism from ca. 17 Ma to 10 Ma is attributable to strike-slip translation of the Wrangell Arc and slab steepening/curling. Magmatism was focused along the Duke River fault, which was an active conduit for magma ascent. Generally westward and northwestward shifts in magmatism from ca. 13 Ma to 6 Ma reflect translation of the Wrangell Arc along strike-slip faults and slab shallowing/uncurling during the subduction of progressively thicker parts of the outboard (southward) thickened Yakutat microplate. A previously documented increase in plate convergence rate and angle at ca. 6 Ma prompted the northwestward migration of magmatism from ca. 6 Ma to Present and was accompanied by translation of older sectors of the Wrangell Arc along the rejuvenated Totschunda fault.
4. The exceptional volume of Wrangell Arc volcanoes is attributed to shallow subduction-related flux melting and slab edge melting driven by asthenospheric upwelling along the lateral edge of the Yakutat flat slab.
5. The observed spatial age patterns of magmatism demonstrate that the Wrangell Arc varied from ~1 10 km to ~190 km in length, which is substantially shorter than most modern arcs. The short arc length reflects subduction of a relatively short slab along the lateral edge of the slowly subducting Yakutat microplate within an arc-transform junction, which is unlike longer arcs that formed along extensive trenches.
6. Arc-transform junctions may be identified in the geologic record by the presence of short arc lengths with voluminous magmatism, volcanic products with calc-alkaline to transitional geochemical signatures, and complex age patterns resulting from strike-slip displacements and changes in plate interaction/slab geometry.
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