The treatment methods for post-stroke visual impairment: A systematic review
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Abstract
Aim: To provide a systematic overview of interventions for stroke related visual impairments.

Method: A systematic review of the literature was conducted including randomized controlled trials, controlled trials, cohort studies, observational studies, systematic reviews, and retrospective medical note reviews. All languages were included and translation obtained. This review covers adult participants (aged 18 years or over) diagnosed with a visual impairment as a direct cause of a stroke. Studies which included mixed populations were included if over 50% of the participants had a diagnosis of stroke and were discussed separately. We searched scholarly online resources and hand searched articles and registers of published, unpublished, and ongoing trials. Search terms included a variety of MESH terms and alternatives in relation to stroke and visual conditions. Article selection was performed by two authors independently. Data were extracted by one author and verified by a second. The quality of the evidence and risk of bias was assessed using appropriate tools dependant on the type of article.

Results: Forty-nine articles (4142 subjects) were included in the review, including an overview of four Cochrane systematic reviews. Interventions appraised included those for visual field loss, ocular motility deficits, reduced central vision, and visual perceptual deficits.

Conclusion: Further high quality randomized controlled trials are required to determine the effectiveness of interventions for treating post-stroke visual impairments. For interventions which are used in practice but do not yet have an evidence base in the literature, it is imperative that these treatments be addressed and evaluated in future studies.
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1 | INTRODUCTION

Visual impairments following stroke may include abnormalities of central and/or peripheral vision, eye movements and a variety of visual perception problems such as inattention and agnosia. The visual problems (types of visual impairment) can be complex including ocular as well as cortical damage (Jones & Shinton, 2006; Rowe et al., 2009a). Visual impairments can have wide reaching implications on daily living.
independence, and quality of life. Links with depression have also been documented in the literature (Granger, Cotter, Hamilton, & Fiedler, 1993; Nelles et al., 2001; Ramrattan et al., 2001; Tsai et al., 2003; West et al., 2002). The estimation of the overall prevalence of visual impairment is approximately 60% at the acute stage following stroke (Ali et al., 2013; Barrett et al., 2007; Clisby, 1995; Freeman & Rudge, 1987; Isaeff, Wallar, & Duncan, 1974; Rowe et al., 2009b; Rowe et al., 2013). A review of the individual prevalence figures and the recovery rates for each of the possible post-stroke visual impairments has been reported elsewhere in the literature (Hepworth et al., 2016).

In order to treat and manage visual impairments caused by stroke it is important to establish the range and effectiveness of the available treatment options. The aim of this literature review is to provide a comprehensive synthesis of the evidence relating to treatment of visual problems after stroke.

2 | METHODS

We planned an integrative review, aiming to bring together all evidence relating to intervention of stroke-related visual problems. A detailed protocol was developed prior to the review. This review was carried out as part of a larger synthesis of evidence relating to visual problems after stroke.

2.1 | Inclusion criteria for considering studies for this review

2.1.1 | Types of studies

The following types of studies were included: systematic reviews, randomized controlled trials, controlled trials, cohort studies, observational studies, and retrospective medical note reviews. Case reports were excluded due to the high risk of bias associated with these types of reports. All languages were included and translation obtained.

2.1.2 | Types of participants

We included studies of adult participants (aged 18 years or over) diagnosed with a visual impairment as a direct cause of a stroke. Studies which included mixed populations were included if over 50% of the participants had a diagnosis of stroke and data were available for this subgroup. Studies were also included if the participant group comprised of health care professionals who worked with and treated visual impairment problems associated with stroke.

2.2 | Search methods for identification of studies

We used systematic search strategies to search key electronic databases and contacted known experts in the field.

We searched the Cochrane Stroke Group Trials Register, the Cochrane Eyes and Vision Group Trials Register, and the following electronic bibliographic databases:

1. The Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials (CENTRAL) (The Cochrane Library September 2015);
2. MEDLINE (1950 to February 2016);
3. EMBASE (1980 to February 2016);
4. CINAHL (1982 to February 2016);
5. AMED (1985 to February 2016);
6. PsycINFO (1967 to February 2016);
7. Dissertations & Theses (PQDT) database (1861 to February 2016);
8. British Nursing Index (1985 to February 2016);
9. PsycBITE (Psychological Database for Brain Impairment Treatment Efficacy, www.psycbite.com). (July 2004 to February 2016)

In an effort to identify further published, unpublished and ongoing trials, we:

1. Searched the following registers of ongoing trials:
   i. ClinicalTrials.gov (http://clinicaltrials.gov/);
   ii. Current Controlled Trials (www.controlledtrials.com);
   iii. Trials Central (www.trialscentral.org);
   iv. Health Service Research Projects in Progress (www.cf.nim.nih.gov/hsr_project/home_proj.cfm);
   v. National Eye Institute Clinical Studies Database (http://clinicalstudies.info.nih.gov/cgi/protinstitution.cgi?NEID0.html);
2. Hand-searched the British and Irish Orthoptic Journal, Australian Orthoptic Journal, and proceedings of the European Strabismological Association (ESA), International Strabismological Association (ISA), International Orthoptic Association (IOA) (http://pcwww.liv.ac.uk/~rowef/index_files/Page646.htm) and proceedings of Association for Research in Vision and Ophthalmology (www.arvo.org);
3. Performed citation tracking using Web of Science Cited Reference Search for all included studies;
4. Searched the reference lists of included trials and review articles about vision after acquired brain injury;
5. Contacted experts in the field (including authors of included trials, and excluded studies identified as possible preliminary or pilot work).

Search terms included a variety of MESH terms and alternatives in relation to stroke and visual conditions (Table 1).

2.3 | Selection of studies

The titles and abstracts identified in the primary review were independently screened by two authors (FR, LH) using the inclusion criteria discussed previously.

Where it was not possible to establish if a study met these criteria from the title or abstract, the full paper was obtained. A secondary review of the full papers was then undertaken independently by two authors (FR, LH) to determine which studies should be included. In the case of disagreement for inclusion of studies, an option was available to obtain a third author opinion (KH). In practice, this was not required as no disagreements occurred for inclusion of papers.
The CONSORT statement was used. The CONSORT statement covers 25 items within the following domains: title/abstract, introduction, methods, results, discussion, and other information (Moher et al., 2010). An adapted version of the STROBE (Strengthening the Reporting of Observational Studies in Epidemiology) statement was used to assess the quality of cross-sectional, cohort, and control studies. The STROBE statement covers 27 items within the domains of data and methods. There is no formal scoring system used in this checklist, but it is suggested that if a paper addresses the majority of the checklist items, then it is deemed reliable (Dreyer, Velentgas, Westrich, & Dubois, 2014).

The adapted version of the STROBE statement used in this review included 18 items. Only the information pertinent to quality appraisal of the studies was included. The items excluded were not considered relevant information i.e. the title/abstract, background, setting, and funding. The adapted version of the CONSORT statement included 31 items of relevance.

All domains covered in these checklists are important factors to consider when evaluating the quality of evidence and risk of bias in the reported articles. These domains were graded ‘high risk’, ‘low risk’, or ‘unclear risk’. If it was clear the domain was performed then this would be described as “reported” and would be recorded as having a low risk of bias. If the domain was not included this would be described as “not reported” and deemed a high risk of bias. Insufficient evidence would be labeled as an “unclear” risk.

### 3 | RESULTS

Figure 1 illustrates the results of the search. Forty-nine articles (3,613 participants and 529 health care professionals) were included. This number includes four Cochrane reviews relating to interventions available for visual problems following stroke. In view of the high standard and rigorous methods of Cochrane reviews, the findings of these four papers are summarized as an overview, followed by a review of trials and studies not included in the Cochrane reviews. Tables 2–6 display key characteristics of the included studies. The 49 included studies consisted of four Cochrane systematic reviews, seven randomized trials, one randomized crossover trial, two non-randomized controlled trials, 27 prospective observational studies, three retrospective analysis, four prospective surveys/questionnaires and one prospective observational study with a questionnaire. One study only used a control group for the pre-treatment data and so was treated as a prospective observational study and not a controlled trial (Woodhead et al., 2013).

The included articles reported on interventions for one or a combination of two or more visual impairments. Thirty-three studies (2,233 participants and 69 health care professionals) reported on interventions for visual field loss (Table 2). Nine reported on interventions for visual inattention/neglect (227 participants and 732 health care professionals, Table 3). Seven of the studies (1,029 participants and 529 healthcare professionals) reported on intervention for ocular motility
or alignment defects (Table 4). Six studies (1,085 participants and 55 healthcare professionals) reported on intervention for reduction of central vision (Table 5) and two (187 participants) reported on interventions for visual perceptual defects (Table 6).

3.1 | Quality of the evidence

A total of 49 articles were included in this review paper and the quality of evidence was assessed for each (Tables S1–S4). Evidence was deemed to be of good quality if the article reported ≥75% of the items on the relevant assessment checklist. Overall, nine of the reported articles scored 100% in the quality of evidence assessment. Thirty-four out of the 49 articles included in this review reported between 75 and 99% of the checklist items assessed and were deemed to have good quality. Five reported between 50 and 74% of the items. The remaining one article failed to reach 50%, achieving 26% respectively (Zihl & von Cramon, 1979).

4 | INTERVENTIONS

4.1 | Visual field loss

Visual field loss can affect the peripheral and/or central field of vision following stroke although, less frequently, the central visual field may present as an isolated defect. Visual field defects can often present with visual perceptual disorders, such as visual inattention and/or agnosia, further complicating the treatment of the visual field loss. One Cochrane review relating to visual field loss following stroke focused on three types of interventions: restitutive, compensatory and substitutive (Pollock et al., 2012a). Functional ability in performing activities of daily living was used as a primary outcome measure. Thirteen trials were identified as meeting the inclusion criteria (Bainbridge & Reding, 1994; Carter, Howard, & O’Neil, 1983; Jobke, Kasten, & O’Neil, 2009; Kasten, Bunzenthal, Muller-Oehring, Mueller, & Sabel, 2007; Kasten, Wurst, Behrens-Baumen, & Sabel, 1998; Plow et al., 2010; Poggel, Kasten, & Sabel, 2004; Rossi, Kheyfets, & Reding, 1990; Roth et al., 2009; Spitzyna et al., 2007; Szlyk, Seiple, Stelmack, & McMahon, 2005; Weinberg et al., 1977, 1979). Limited meta-analyses were possible and were only completed for compensatory interventions. A key finding was the limited evidence for all interventions related to visual field loss following stroke. It was not possible to comment on the effectiveness of restitutive or substitutive interventions. Pollock, Hazleton, & Brady (2011b) reported that at least half of Orthoptists in Scotland provided typoscopes, Peli prisms, reading aids and scanning therapy to stroke patients with field loss, with advice on head postures and general information being the most frequently reported strategy. Concurrently, Rowe et al. (2013a) reported that advice and raising awareness of the field loss were the most common forms of treatment (52.7%). Advice included reading strategies, scanning eye and head movements, use of lighting, compensatory head posture, and registration for visual impairment. Further treatments of field loss included typoscopes (43.9%) and Peli prisms (28.6%) (Rowe et al., 2013a).
| Study                                      | Study design       | Aim/ objective                                                                 | Sample size (n) | Population                  | Intervention                                                                 | Time/ duration of intervention                                                                 |
|-------------------------------------------|--------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Aimola et al. (2014)                      | RCT Parallel design| Evaluate the efficacy and feasibility of an unsupervised reading and exploration computer training | 52              | Mixed Ischemic stroke n = 39, hemorrhage n = 6, TBI n = 6, tumor n = 1 At least 3 months post stroke | Compensatory: Computer based reading and visual exploration training versus sham exploration task | Experimental group = 14 blocks of training per day. Control group = 10 blocks per day. One hour sessions for up to 10 weeks |
| Bainbridge and Reding (1994)              | RCT                | To assess the effect of full field prisms for hemi-field visual impairments     | 18              | Stroke                      | Substitutive: 15 δ prism versus hemifield prisms                              | Prism wear while awake for 4 weeks                                                             |
| Bainbridge and Reding (1994)              | Article taken from Cochrane Review |                                                |                 |                             |                                                                              |                                                                                              |
| Pollock et al. (2012a)                    |                    |                                                                                   |                 |                             |                                                                              |                                                                                              |
| Bergsma et al. (2012)                     | Cohort study       | Determine whether peripheral training also causes improvement in color and shape perception and reading speed | 12              | Chronic stroke (6-102 months post stroke) | Restitutive: VRT                                                             | 40× 1 hr sessions of training. For 10 weeks                                                   |
| Bowers et al. (2014)                      | Double masked, multi-center, randomized crossover trial | Evaluate efficacy of real relative to sham peripheral prism glasses | 61              | Stroke                       | Substitutive: 57δ prism placed above and below the visual axis versus sham (5°). Horizontal versus oblique positioning | Each set of prisms were worn for 4 weeks. Measured at 6 months                                |
| Carter et al. (1983)                      | RCT                | To test the effect of cognitive skill remediation training versus control/ standard care | 33              | Stroke With or without visual field defect or neglect | Compensatory: Cognitive skill remediation training                              | 30–40 min 3× weekly for 3–4 weeks                                                             |
| Carter et al. (1983)                      | Article taken from Cochrane Review |                                                |                 |                             |                                                                              |                                                                                              |
| Pollock et al. (2012a)                    |                    |                                                                                   |                 |                             |                                                                              |                                                                                              |
| Freeman and Rudge (1987)                  | Prospective observational study | Identify the Orthoptists’ role in stroke management | 76              | Stroke                      | Advice (for field defect and inattention, n = 4) occlusion (n = 10), prisms (n = 7), registered blind (n = 2), observation (n = 20), glasses (n = 5) | Within 1 week post stroke. Follow-up ranged from 1 week to 4 years                               |
| Freeman and Rudge (1987)                  |                    |                                                                                   |                 |                             |                                                                              |                                                                                              |
| Gall and Sabel (2012)                     | Prospective non-controlled trial | Examine whether increased visual functioning after VRT coincides with improved reading abilities | 11              | Mixed Infarct n = 7, hemorrhage n = 1, AVM n = 1, subarachnoid hemorrhage n = 1, encephalitis n = 1 | Restitutive: VRT                                                              | 30 min 2× daily, 6 days a week, for 6 months                                                  |
| Gall and Sabel (2012)                     |                    |                                                                                   |                 |                             |                                                                              |                                                                                              |

(Continues)
| Study                                      | Study design               | Aim/ objective                                                                 | Sample size | Population                                                                 | Intervention                                                                 | Time/ duration of intervention |
|-------------------------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Giorgi et al. (2009)                      | Cohort study               | Evaluate Peli prisms as a low vision optical device for hemianopia in an extended wearing trial | 23          | Mixed Stroke n = 16, surgery n = 4, TBI n = 2, congenital n = 1              | Substitutive: 40° prism placed above and below the visual axis                  | Peli prisms worn for 6 weeks, 3 months and long-term. "Long-term" follow-up not specified |
| Hayes et al. (2012)                       | Interventional case series | Evaluate functional changes following the NVT program for homonymous hemianopia after stroke | 13          | Stroke Within 2 weeks – 6 months post stroke                               | Compensatory: NVT                                                            | One hour per session, 3x per week for 7 weeks                                |
| Jacquin-Courtois et al. (2013)            | Prospective observational study | Test the effect of a compensatory eye movement training                         | 7           | Mixed Stroke n = 5, Tumor n = 2, Chronic field loss, approx. 2.9 years post stroke | Compensatory: Visual search                                                 | 1 x 30 min session              |
| Jobke et al. (2009) Article taken from cochrane review Pollock et al. (2012a) | Randomized, double blinded, crossover study | To compare extrastriate versus conventional VRT in patients with visual field loss | 21          | Mixed Stroke/ ischemia n = 10, cranio-cerebral injury n = 3, brain surgery n = 3, tumor n = 1, meningitis n = 1 | Restitutive: Extrastriate VRT versus Conventional VRT | Extrastriate 30 min daily for 90 days. Then crossover of conventional VRT for 90 days |
| Kasten et al. (1998) Article taken from cochrane review Pollock et al. (2012a) | RCT, double blinded       | To assess the effect of computer based training to treat partial blindness      | 19          | Mixed Stroke n = 10, trauma n = 4, other n = 5                             | Restitutive: VRT                                                           | 1 hr per day, 6 days per week for 6 months (total = 150 hr)                  |
| Kasten et al. (2007) Article taken from cochrane review Pollock et al. (2012a) | RCT                        | To test the hypothesis that VRT does not benefit from co-stimulation           | 23          | Mixed Stroke, ischemia, cerebral hemorrhage, vascular disease (n = 14 combined), trauma (n = 8), inflammation (n = 1) | Restitutive: Parallel co-stimulation, moving co-stimulation or single stimulus | All groups had 30 min 2x daily for 3 months                                   |
| Lane et al. (2010)                        | Non-randomized controlled trial | Explore the efficacy of a visual exploration training                          | 42          | Mixed Ischemic n = 28, hemorrhage n = 10, TBI n = 4                        | Compensatory: Visual exploration training Visual attention training          | Exploration training = 40 min sessions, over 2–9 weeks. Attention raining = 30 min sessions, over 2–7 weeks. |
| Mannan et al. (2010)                      | Prospective observational study | Characterize changes in eye movements resulting from training                  | 29          | Mixed Infarct n = 22, hemorrhage n = 6, surgery n = 1, tumor n = 2         | Compensatory: Visual search training                                         | 20 x 40 min sessions for 1 month                                              |
| Study            | Study design     | Aim/ objective                                                                 | Sample size \((n)\) | Population                  | Intervention                                                                                     | Time/ duration of intervention |
|------------------|------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| Marshall et al. (2010) | Longitudinal cohort | Determine whether visual field expansion occurs with VRT                       | 7                    | Stroke                       | Restitutive: VRT using microperimetry                                                            | 20–30 min 2× daily, 6 days a week, for 3 months |
| Mazer et al. (2003) | RCT              | To compare driving performance after useful field of view retraining (UFOV) compared to traditional visuoperceptual retraining | 84                   | Stroke                       | Compensatory UFOV versus commercially available computer based visuoperceptual retraining (control) | Both received 20 sessions (each session 30–60 min long) at a rate of 2–4 sessions per week |
| Mueller et al. (2007) | Prospective observational study | Evaluate the outcome of VRT in a larger sample                                 | 302                  | Mixed                        | Restitutive: VRT                                                                               | 1 hr of training, 6 days a week, for 6 months   |
| Nelles et al. (2001) | Prospective observational study | Investigate whether training eye movements would induce change in the neural activity of cortical visual areas | 21                   | Stroke                       | Compensatory: Eyes fixating versus exploratory eye movements                                    | 30 min per session, 2× daily, for 4 weeks |
| Nelles et al. (2010) | Prospective observational study | Can the internet be used as a resource so that suitable patients can build-up practice to improve | 8                    | Ischemic stroke              | Compensatory: Eye movement training                                                              | 30 min session 1× daily for 4 weeks               |
| Ong et al. (2012)  | Longitudinal cohort study | To see if Eye-search web based hemifield search training improves patients search time and "real world" outcomes | 33                   | Stroke participants with right homonymous hemianopia, Infarct n = 14, Hemorrhage n = 15, AVM n = 1, Unknown n = 15 | Compensatory: OKN therapy - "Read right" | 20 min of therapy per day (suggested). Patients prompted to test reading speed after 5 hr of therapy accrued. |
| Ong et al. (2015)  | Prospective observational study | Evaluate efficiency of eye movements following visual search training           | 78                   | Hemianopic patients with no neglect, 77% = stroke patients (8% = tumor, 3% TBI, 13% = other) | Compensatory: Eye-search scanning exercises online                                               | 11 days of therapy (length of each session not specified) |
| Pambakian et al. (2004) | Prospective observational study | Examine whether directing attention to ARV using a visuospatial cue also increases long-term neural plasticity | 31 (29 completed training) | Mixed                        | Compensatory: Visual search training                                                              | 20× 40 min sessions, in 1 month |

(Continues)
| Study                                      | Study design   | Aim/ objective                                                                 | Sample size (n) | Population          | Intervention                                                                 | Time/ duration of intervention |
|--------------------------------------------|----------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------|---------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| Plow et al. (2010) Article taken from cochrane review | RCT            | To test the effect of transcranial direct current stimulation to enhance VRT  | 8               | Stroke              | Restitutive: VRT with active tDCS versus VRT with sham tDCS                  | VRT = 30 min 2× daily for 3 months Active tDCS = 2 mA/min along with VRT sham tDCS = 30 seconds ramped down to 0 then turned off, along with VRT |
| Pollock et al. (2012a)                     | Pilot, double blinded RCT | Investigate whether training eye movements would induce change in the neural activity of cortical visual areas | 12 (8 included in final analysis) | Mixed Stroke n = 10, surgical trauma n = 2 At least 3 months post stroke | Restitutive: VRT compared with active tDCS (control group received sham tDCS) | 30 min of training, 3× a week, for 3 months. |
| Poggel et al. (2004) Article taken from cochrane review | RCT            | To assess whether or not attentional cueing improves VRT                       | 20              | Mixed post-genicular lesions | Restitutive: VRT with attentional cueing versus VRT with no attentional cueing | 30–35 min 2× daily, for 56 sessions lasting approx. 1 month |
| Poggel et al. (2007)                       | Retrospective analysis of a prospective clinical trial. Retrospective analysis of questionnaire | Assess the possible efficacy of tDCS combined with VRT | Trial = 19 questionnaire = 121 | Mixed Infarct n = 15, vascular n = 3, TBI n = 1 | Restitutive: VRT | 30–35 min of training, 2× daily, for 6 months. |
| Pollock, Hazelton, & Brady (2011a)         | Survey         | To explore the current assessments, protocols, referrals, and treatments of visual problems after stroke by OTs | 55              | Occupational therapists | Visual field, eye movement disorders and visual neglect (scanning training, patching/prisms, ADL training, reading aids/magnifiers, information, environment modification) | 45% of OTs said they would treat within 2 weeks of stroke. 75% said they would treat patients within 6 weeks of stroke. 38% said they would continue treatment up to 3 months |
| Pollock, Hazelton, & Brady (2011b)         | Survey         | To explore the current assessments, protocols, referrals, and treatments of visual problems after stroke by Orthoptists | 14              | Orthoptists | Visual field, eye movement disorders and visual neglect (scanning training, patching/prisms, ADL training, reading aids/magnifiers, information, environment modification) | Time of intervention not stated. 86% did not have a protocol/management plan for visual treatment of stroke patients |
| Study                  | Study design               | Aim/ objective                                                                 | Sample size (n) | Population                          | Intervention                                                                 | Time/ duration of intervention |
|------------------------|----------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Pollock et al. (2012a) | Cochrane systematic review | To determine the effects of interventions for visual field defects after stroke | 13 studies n = 344 | Mixed Stroke n = 285 | Various (studies listed individually)                                        | Restitutive n = 5, compensatory n = 5, substitutive n = 3.                   |
| Reinhard et al. (2005) | Prospective observational study | Examine if VRT is able to change absolute homonymous field defects             | 17              | Mixed ischemia n = 11, trauma/surgery n = 4, hemorrhage n = 2 | Restitutive: VRT using scanning laser ophthalmoscope                           | 1 hr of training, 6× per week, for 6 months.                               |
| Romano et al. (2008)  | Retrospective analysis     | Determine the effect of a visual rehabilitation intervention on visual field defects | 161             | Mixed stroke 84%, TBI 9%, surgery 3%, other/unknown 4% | Restitutive: VRT                                                             | 30 min of training, 6 days per week, for 26–30 weeks.                      |
| Rossi et al. (1990)   | RCT                        | To see if Fresnel prisms improve visual perception                            | 30              | Stroke                              | Substitutive: 15 dioptre hemi-circular Fresnel prisms applied to glasses along with standard rehabilitation | Worn all day for 4 weeks                                                  |
| Roth et al. (2009)    | RCT                        | Comparing explorative saccade and flicker training                            | 30              | Mixed stroke/hemorrhage n = 26, other n = 4 | Compensatory: exploratory eye scanning training Restitutive: flicker-stimulation training | Both = 30 min 2× daily, 5 days a week for 6 weeks.                           |
| Rowe et al. (2009a)   | Prospective multicenter cohort trial | To profile the site of stroke, type and extent of field loss, treatment and outcome | 915 n = 479 with field loss n = 151 with field loss as only complaint | Stroke                              | Compensatory: tyroscope, orthoptic exercises, advice (awareness of visual field loss, reading strategies, scanning eye and head movements, use of lighting, compensatory head posture, and registration for visual impairment) Substitutive: Pelli prisms, diplopia prisms, occlusion, low vision aids | Follow-up between 2 weeks and 3 months Duration of individual treatments not specified |
| Sabel et al. (2004)   | Prospective observational study | Evaluate the efficacy of VRT using different perimetry methods                | 16              | Mixed Ischemia n = 11 Surgery n = 3 Hemorrhage n = 2 At least 15 months post stroke | Restitutive: VRT measured with different methods of perimetry: Tubinger, automated and scanner laser ophthalmoscope | Between 30 – 60 min per session, and performed between daily – 6 weeks |
| Study | Study design | Aim/ objective | Sample size (n) | Population | Intervention | Time/ duration of intervention |
|-------|-------------|----------------|----------------|------------|-------------|-----------------------------|
| Sabel et al. (2013) | Prospective observational study | Investigate the role of residual vision in recovery | 23 | Stroke - at least 1 month post stroke | Restitutive: VRT | 6 months of training (length and duration of training sessions not explained) |
| Schmielau and Wong (2007) | Cohort study | To evaluate whether restoration of VF in patients with homonymous hemianopia is possible using the LRP | 20 | Mixed Infarction n = 11, hemorrhage n = 7, trauma n = 2 | Restitutive: VRT using the Lubeck reaction perimeter | 45 min of training, 2× a week. Average length of training = 8.2 months (range = 2–27 months) |
| Spitzyna et al. (2007) Article taken from cochrane review Pollock et al. (2012a) | RCT | To see if optokinetic therapy improves test reading for hemianopic dyslexia | 22 | Mixed | Compensatory: optokinetic nystagmus inducing reading therapy | 4 weeks of training (minimum of 400 min of rehabilitation) 20× 20 min sessions |
| Szlyk et al. (2005) Article taken from cochrane review Pollock et al. (2012a) | Randomized crossover design | To assess the use of prisms for navigation and driving for patients with hemianopia | 10 | Mixed population injury involving occipital lobe only | Restitutive: Gottlieb visual field awareness system 18.5 dioptre lens versus 20³ Fresnel prisms | VFAS = training of 4× 2–3 hr indoor sessions with LVA specialist and 8× 2 hr outdoor sessions behind the wheel. Prisms were worn for 3 months |
| Weinberg et al. (1977) Article taken from cochrane review Pollock et al. (2012a) | RCT | To test the effect of visual scanning training on reading related tasks | 57 | Stroke | Compensatory: visual scanning training | 1 hr a day for 4 weeks (20 hr of training) |
| Weinberg et al. (1979) Article taken from cochrane review Pollock et al. (2012a) | RCT | To test the effect of visual scanning training on reading related tasks | 53 | Stroke | Compensatory: visual scanning training | 1 hr a day for 4 weeks (20 hr of training) |
| Zihl and von Cramon (1979) | Prospective observational study | Present evidence that diminished visual function can be improved by systematic stimulation of impaired areas of the visual field. | 12 | Mixed Infarct n = 6, hemorrhage n = 2, tumor n = 3, hypoxia n = 1 | Restitutive: VRT | 1 hr of training per day. Total length of treatment not specified |
| Zihl and von Cramon (1982) | Prospective observational study | To test the hypothesis that recovery takes place at the level of the striate cortex | 30 | Mixed Vascular n = 24, surgery n = 6 | Comparing restitutive VRT and compensatory eye movement training; Light detection versus Saccadic localization | Treatment started between 1–6 months of onset of field defect. Total length of treatment not specified |
4.1.1 Compensatory treatment

A variety of different visual scanning and search training methods have been reported in the literature. These include computer and paper-based search and scanning training programmes and use of word search games. They aim to facilitate the patient in learning to compensate for difficulties by improving the speed and accuracy of eye movements made into the visual field defect side. A number of studies have explored the effect of scanning eye movements into the affected visual field. In a study attempting to regain driving ability in hemianopic stroke survivors (Mazer et al., 2003), there were no significant differences in improved driving performance between those undertaking the useful field of view attention retraining programme (UFOV) and those receiving general computer-based training. In the Cochrane review on interventions for visual field loss (Pollock et al., 2012a), a recommendation was reached that compensatory interventions were more favorable than a placebo or control at improving specific tasks but not at aiding recovery of the visual field.

Expansion of the field by 1–48 degrees has been reported (Zihl & von Cramon, 1985), however, expansion of the visual field due to natural recovery early after stroke onset cannot be ruled out. Specific improvements, however, relate more to speed and accuracy of eye movements into the affected visual field after training with increased reaction times (Aimola et al., 2014; Jacquin-Courtois, Bays, Salemme, Leff, & Husain, 2013; Lane, Smith, Ellison, & Schenck, 2010; Ong et al., 2012, 2015; Pambakian, Mannan, Hodgson, & Kennard, 2004) and increased number of saccades into the blind field (Mannan, Pambakian, & Kennard, 2010) with some training available freely e.g. Eye-search (www.eyesearch.ucl.ac.uk) and Read-right (www.readright.ucl.ac.uk) (Ong et al., 2015). Subjective improvements in ADL, such as reading speed and accuracy, have also been reported by participants (Aimola et al., 2014; Hayes, Chen, Clarke, & Thompson, 2012; Jacquin-Courtois et al., 2013; Nelles et al., 2001; Ong et al., 2015).

Nelles et al. (2010) reported that such training was associated with increased activity in the ipsilateral cortex to the insult after training with reports that training is task specific. Eye search training improves eye scanning into the affected side with little objective improvement in reading, whilst reading training improves reading ability with little objective improvement on visual search (Ong et al., 2012; Zihl, 1995). In a recent trial, combined training resulted in an improvement in both eye search and reading (Aimola et al., 2014).

Other compensatory interventions listed in the literature are the use of typoscopes, rulers, and vertical reading. Vertical reading was initially mentioned in the literature as an anecdotal report by a patient describing this as helpful with their hemianopia (Wang, 2003). It has since been stated as a rehabilitation option in review articles but no empirical evidence has been published (Sabel & Trauzettel-Klosinski, 2005; Schuett, 2009; Trauzettel-Klosinski, 2010).

An ongoing randomized controlled trial acknowledged in the above Cochrane review is currently comparing compensatory intervention (visual search training), substitutive intervention (Peli prisms) and standard care in the form of verbal and written advice, for the treatment of hemianopia following stroke (Rowe, Barton, et al., 2014).
| Study                          | Study design       | Aim/ objective                               | Number of participants | Type of population | Intervention                                                                                                                                                                                                 | Time/ duration of intervention |
|-------------------------------|-------------------|----------------------------------------------|------------------------|--------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Beis et al. (1999)            | RCT               | Compare control with occlusion               | n = 22                 | Right sided vascular lesion. 42–56 days post stroke. | Half eye patches versus full eye patches Glasses with occlusion were worn 12 hr a day for 3 months                                                                                                      |                                |
| Bowen et al. (2013)           | Cochrane systematic review | Assess whether cognitive rehabilitation improved neglect | 23 studies n = 628  | Stroke             | Top-down approaches Bottom-up approaches Mixed approaches Various dependant on intervention type (4 days–2 months)                                                                                     |                                |
| Chemey et al. (2002)          | RCT               | A comparison of two approaches to treat unilateral neglect (top down approach) | n = 4                  | Stroke Right hemisphere | Visual scanning, practising letter and word cancellation tasks versus repetitive practise of functional task/ oral reading Both groups = 20 sessions Frequency of sessions unknown |                                |
| Cottam (1987)                 | RCT               | Assessing visual scanning training for left hemispatial neglect (top down approach) | n = 12                | Stroke             | Visual scanning in three separate phases: Scanning a light board when stationary, while self-propelling, and naming objects present on both sides Each phase = 5× 5 hr sessions (5 days)                                      |                                |
| Datié et al. (2006)           | Prospective observational study | Investigate the use of prisms for neglect | n = 20 patients n = 15 healthy volunteers | Unilateral vascular lesion with left sided neglect | Prisms 15 min of prism adaptation                                                                                                                                         |                                |
| Edmans et al. (2000)          | RCT               | To compare the effectiveness of the transfer of training and functional approaches in improving perceptual and functional abilities after stroke (top down approach) | n = 42                | Stroke             | Cueing and feedback teach compensation versus functional approaches Both groups = 2.5 hr of training per week for 6 weeks                                                                                     |                                |
| Fanthome et al. (1995)        | RCT               | The treatment of neglect using feedback eye movements (top down approach) | n = 18                | Stroke Right hemispheric | Specially adapted glasses with auditory signal versus no treatment 2 hr 40 min per week for 4 weeks                                                                                   |                                |
| Ferreira et al. (2011)        | RCT               | To compare mental practice versus visual scanning to treat neglect (top down approach) | n = 10                | Stroke Right hemispheric | Visual scanning versus mental practice 10× 1 hr sessions over 5 weeks                                                                                                                              |                                |
| Fong et al. (2007)            | RCT               | To assess the effect of trunk rotation with and without hemifield eye patching to treat neglect (bottom up approach) | n = 60                | Stroke             | Voluntary trunk rotation versus Trunk rotation with hemi field eye patching versus conventional OT (control) Trunk rotation = 1 hr per day (15 min ADLs and 45 min trunk rotation) for 5 day per week for 30 days (30 hr) |                                |
| Freeman and Rudge (1987)      | Prospective observational study | Identify the orthoptic problems associated with stroke | n = 76                | Stroke             | Advice for field defect and inattention, occlusion (n = 4), prisms (n = 7), registered blind (n = 2), observation (n = 20), glasses (n = 5) Within 1 week post stroke. Follow-up ranged from 1 week to 4 years |                                |
| Kalra et al. (1997)           | RCT               | To evaluate the effectiveness of spatial cueing during motor activity on functional outcome and resource use in neglect patients (bottom up approach) | n = 50                | Stroke             | Conventional therapy versus spatial-motor cueing 47.7 hr of conventional therapy over 64 days versus 27.8 hr of therapy with spatial-motor cueing over 36 days |                                |

(Continues)
| Study                                           | Study design   | Aim/ objective                                                                 | Number of participants | Type of population | Intervention                                                                 | Time/ duration of intervention |
|------------------------------------------------|----------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|-------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Kerkhoff et al. (2012) Article taken from cochrane review Bowen et al. (2013) | RCT            | To compare the effect of OKS (bottom up) and visual scanning training (top down) in the treatment of neglect | $n = 6$ Stroke        | Stroke             | Optokinetic stimulation (OKS) versus Visual scanning training                | Both = 20× treatment sessions for 50 min, 5 sessions per week |
| Kerkhoff et al. (2013) | RCT            | Compare the effects of smooth pursuit eye movement therapy on auditory and visual neglect in chronic stroke patients | $n = 50$ Stroke        | Stroke             | Smooth pursuit eye movement training $n = 24$ versus Visual scanning training $n = 21$ | 5× 50 min sessions, over period of 7–9 days. |
| Luukkainen-Markksula et al. (2009) Article taken from cochrane review Bowen et al. (2013) | RCT            | Comparing visual scanning training (top down) and arm activation training (bottom up) | $n = 12$ Stroke        | Stroke             | Visual scanning training versus left arm activation training                  | Arm activation = 20–30 hr of left arm activation Visual scanning = 1 hr 4× weekly (10 hr) with OT training 1 hr 2× daily both groups = 48 hr of treatment in 3 weeks |
| Machner et al. (2012) | RCT            | To establish if hemifield eye patching or OKS is an effective therapy for neglect in acute stroke patients | $n = 21$ Acute right hemispheric stroke patients | Stroke             | Hemifield eye patching and optokinetic stimulation therapy                   | OKS = 15 min sessions daily for one month. Eye patch to be worn full time. |
| Menon-Nair et al. (2007)                     | Survey         | To obtain a response from 61 stroke inpatients                                  | $n = 663$ Occupational Therapists | Perceptual training, scanning training, activation treatment, cognitive therapy, eye patch, constraint-induced therapy, prisms, trans-electrical nerve stimulation | Not specified                   |
| Mizuno et al. (2011) Article taken from cochrane review Bowen et al. (2013) | RCT, multi center, double blinded | Comparing search training with and without prisms (bottom up approach) | $n = 38$ Stroke        | Stroke             | Training = pointing at targets whilst sitting – 30× without prisms, 90× with, then 60× without Prisms shift field 12 right | 2× daily 20 min sessions, 5 days a week for 2 weeks (20 sessions) |
| Nys et al. (2008) Article taken from cochrane review Bowen et al. (2013) | RCT, single blinded | To assess the effect of prism adaptation on neglect rehabilitation (bottom up) | $n = 16$ Stroke        | Stroke             | Prism adaptation                                                              | 30 min sessions for 4 days in a row versus placebo |
| Polanowska et al. (2009) Article taken from cochrane review Bowen et al. (2013) | RCT, double blinded | To assess the effectiveness of left hand stimulation (bottom up) combined with scanning training (top down) to treat neglect | $n = 40$ Stroke        | Stroke             | Electrical somatosensory stimulation to left hand with conventional visual scanning training | 45 min per sessions for 5 days weekly for 1 month (20 sessions) |

(Continues)
| Study | Study design | Aim/ objective | Number of participants | Type of population | Intervention | Time/ duration of intervention |
|-------|--------------|----------------|------------------------|--------------------|--------------|-------------------------------|
| Pollock, Hazelton, & Brady, (2011a) | Survey | To explore the current assessments, protocols, referrals, and treatments of visual problems after stroke by OTs | n = 55 | Occupational Therapists | Visual field, eye movement disorders and visual neglect (scanning training, patching/prisms, ADL training, reading aids/magnifiers, information, environment modification) | 45% of OTs said they would treat within 2 weeks of stroke. 75% said they would treat patients within 6 weeks of stroke. 38% said they would continue treatment up to 3 months |
| Pollock, Hazelton, & Brady (2011b) | Survey | To explore the current assessments, protocols, referrals, and treatments of visual problems after stroke by Orthoptists | n = 14 | Orthoptists | Visual field, eye movement disorders, and visual neglect (scanning training, patching/prisms, ADL training, reading aids/magnifiers, information, environment modification) | Time of intervention not stated. 86% did not have a protocol/management plan for visual treatment of stroke patients |
| Robertson (1990) | Article taken from cochrane review Bowen et al. (2013) | RCT | To assess the effect of microcomputer based rehabilitation on left sided visual neglect (top down) | n = 30 | Stroke | Computerized scanning and attention training versus Recreational computing | 14× 75 sessions, 2× weekly for 7 weeks (15 ½ hr) versus 11.4 hr of recreational computing |
| Robertson et al. (2002) | Article taken from cochrane review Bowen et al. (2013) | RCT | To explore whether or not limb activation rehabilitation reduces left sided motor impairment in neglect patients (bottom up) | n = 40 | Stroke | Wearing a limb activation device during perceptual training versus Perceptual training with inactive limb device | 45 min training per week for 12 weeks |
| Rossi et al. (1990) | Article taken from cochrane review Bowen et al. (2013) | RCT | To assess the use of Fresnel prisms to improve visual perception (bottom up approach) | n = 39 | Stroke | 15° base out hemi-field prism versus placebo | Worn for all daytime activities |
| Rusconi et al. (2002) | Article taken from cochrane review Bowen et al. (2013) | RCT | To investigate the effect of cueing on visual scanning therapy to treat neglect (top down) | n = 24 | Stroke | Visual scanning with and without verbal and visuospatial cueing | 5× 1 hr sessions per week for 2 consecutive months (40 sessions) |
| Schroeder et al. (2008) | Article taken from cochrane review Bowen et al. (2013) | RCT | A comparison of visual exploration training with and without OKN in the treatment of neglect (combined = bottom up, scanning alone = top down) | n = 30 | Stroke | Visual exploration versus Visual exploration and OKS | Both = 20× 25-40 min sessions over 4 weeks |
| Tsang et al. (2009) | Article taken from cochrane review Bowen et al. (2013) | RCT | To investigate the efficacy of right half-field eye patching in treating subacute stroke patients with neglect trial. (bottom up) | n = 35 | Stroke | Conventional OT training with or without half-field eye patching (right sided) | 5× 60 min OT sessions per week, with or without hemifield eye patching worn for an average 12 hr daily for 4 weeks |
| Turton et al. (2010) | RCT, single blinded | To assess if prism adaptation therapy helps improve self-care in stroke patients (bottom up) | n = 37 | Stroke | Prism adaptation training (10°) with repeated pointing movements to targets | Training once a day each working day for 2 weeks |

(Continues)
The results are yet to be reported but intend to provide a comparison of the above treatments with regard to effectiveness.

### 4.1.2 Substitutive treatment

Peli prisms use one or two high strength prisms, placed above and/or below the pupil, with the prism base out on the spectacle lens to the side of visual field loss (Peli, 2000). These prisms create a shift of images on the side of the visual field loss so they move to overlay on the seeing field. This in turn acts as a cue for the patient to look toward the affected side.

In a study of Peli prisms, Giorgi, Woods, and Peli (2009) found that the majority (74%) of participants wearing Peli prisms reported a positive difference over six weeks. Of these, 93% continued to wear the prisms for up to three months and 42% at an unspecified ‘long-term follow-up’. However, there were no changes to participant responses in the quality of life questionnaire (NEI VFQ-25) completed over the initial six week period. In a subsequent trial Bowers, Keeney, and Peli (2014) investigated the efficacy of real Peli prisms (57∆) versus sham Peli prisms (5∆), and further compared horizontal versus oblique positioning of the prisms. Sixty one percent continued prism wear with an equal number from the oblique and horizontal position groups. A significantly higher proportion wished to continue wearing the real prisms with the most common reason being that prisms helped when walking (92%). However, the analysis of this study demonstrated a possible period effect as the participants were aware they would switch to a second prism. As a result, only 12% reported that they would continue to wear the first prism until they had made a comparison with the second, rather than a comparison against no prisms. Forty-four percent continued wear after trialling the second prism (Bowers et al., 2014).

### 4.1.3 Visual restoration treatment

Visual restoration therapy (VRT) involves presenting light stimuli at the border area of visual field loss (Pollock et al., 2012a). One key difference between reported studies is the amount of training prescribed. Some studies (n = 7) prescribed a set amount of training for the whole cohort and others had allowed a range in the amount of training completed by their participants (n = 6). Not one of the studies prescribed exactly the same amount of training, rendering it difficult to make direct comparisons.

Three studies prescribed specific session length and number per week but did not specify the total length of treatment (Schmielau & Wong, 2007; Zihl & von Cramon, 1979, 1982). Across these studies, the mean reported expansion of the visual field border ranged from 1 to 11.3 degrees. Eye movement recordings were not undertaken and thus improvement in the visual field due to eye movements could not be excluded.

The majority of studies (n = 7) prescribed variable session lengths and numbers. The length of session varied from 30 min to 1 hr for around six months of training (Gall & Sabel, 2012; Mueller, Mast, & Sabel, 2007; Poggel et al., 2007; Reinhard et al., 2005; Romano, Schulz, Kenkel, & Todd, 2008; Sabel, Kenkel, & Kasten, 2004; Sabel,
Kruse, Wolf, & Guenther, 2013). The shorter sessions were repeated more than once per day, adding up to a possible maximum per day commitment of 70 min. The frequency of training varied between six times per week and daily.

A number of studies reported expansion of the visual field following treatment (Bergsma, Elshout, van der Wildt, & van den Berg, 2012; Mueller et al., 2007; Romano et al., 2008). However, for studies in which fixation was controlled and assessed using the scanning laser ophthalmoscope, little or no change in the visual field area was noted (Marshall, Chmayssani, O’Brien, Handy, & Greenstein, 2010; Reinhard et al., 2005; Sabel et al., 2004). Despite little or no improvement in the visual field area, patients reported an improvement in quality of life and ADL, such as mobility and reading (Bergsma et al., 2012; Gall & Sabel, 2012; Mueller et al., 2007; Plow, Obretenova, Fregni, Pascual-Leone, & Merabet, 2012; Sabel et al., 2004). Although not statistically significant, reports of visual hallucination or less dense areas of visual field loss were also more likely to show improvement (Poggel et al., 2007; Sabel et al., 2013). The majority of studies recruited patients with chronic homonymous hemianopia (longer than six months post onset). Recruitment within three to six months post-stroke could not rule out natural recovery (Mueller et al., 2007). Thus, subjective improvements noted by patients are more likely to represent adaptation to the visual field defect.

Although a variety of interventions exist for the treatment of visual field loss, not enough high quality research exists to decipher the true efficiency of a number of these treatment options. The current recommendation would be for compensatory strategies to treat post-stroke visual field loss. Future, longitudinal studies would need to control for spontaneous recovery of visual field loss when determining the validity of restitutive treatments.

### 4.2 | Strabismus and ocular motility

Strabismus pertains to misalignment of the two eyes such that one eye does not point in the same direction as the fellow eye. Ocular motility abnormalities can relate to ocular cranial nerve palsies, gaze palsies, nystagmus, and vergence disorders. There are several extensively used interventions for the treatment of various ocular motility problems in mixed etiology populations such as prisms and occlusion/patching. Many interventions have been tested on non-stroke populations, as the ocular motility defects that arise as a result of stroke can also be caused by other neurological conditions.

#### 4.2.1 | Pharmacology treatment

A Cochrane review relating to eye movement defects following stroke focused solely on pharmacologic interventions for nystagmus, as no trials relating to restitutive, compensatory or substitutive treatments were found specifically for stroke populations with other ocular motility disorders (Pollock et al., 2011). Functional ability in performing activities of daily living was used as a primary outcome measure. Two trials were identified as meeting the inclusion criteria, which included a limited number of stroke patients \( n = 5 \) (Leigh, Burnstine, Ruff, & Kasmer, 1991; Strupp et al., 2003). In view of the limited number of trials identified and the limited number of stroke patients included, the authors recommended a wider review of interventions in acquired brain injury (ABI) populations. This Cochrane review is now on-going (Rowe, Noonan, et al., 2014).

Further temporary intervention for ocular misalignment is botulinum toxin (BT) which has been reported widely in the literature for its use with strabismus (Rowe & Noonan, 2012). Its effects are reported to last for around three months. BT can also be helpful when planning a more permanent intervention such as ocular muscle surgery. Choudhuri, Sarvananthan, and Gottlob (2007) conducted a survey of neurologists and ophthalmologists across the UK regarding treatment preferences for nystagmus. The response rate could be viewed as low with 34% of neurologists and 37% of ophthalmologists returning the survey. Neurologists (60.8%) more commonly prescribed pharmacological agents as management options: Gabapentin and Baclofen were used most often.

#### 4.2.2 | Substitutive treatment

Prisms are commonly used in clinical practice for the treatment and amelioration of the symptom of diplopia. Prisms may take the form of a temporary Fresnel prism or with a permanent prism ground into a spectacle lens. The theory of prisms is that the image of the object is shifted by a magnitude proportional to the strength of the prism, thus compensating for the eye misalignment (Firth & Whittle, 1994). The images are moved such that they overlap and allow the brain to fuse the images back to one image, in cases where the patient has potential for binocular single vision. Alternatively, the images are moved so they are separated to place the second image into a pre-existing visual suppression area or, separated to an extent so that the second image can be ignored and/or is less troublesome for the patient.

In surveys of treatment provision for stroke survivors Pollock, Hazleton, & Brady (2011b) reported prisms to be the most common management provided (93%) followed by advice on head postures (64%) and convergence exercises (50%). Concurrently, Rowe, et al. (2013b) reported prisms and/or occlusion to be the most commonly prescribed intervention with the purpose to alleviate diplopia. A number of observational studies report the positive benefit of prisms and occlusion for relief of diplopia in stroke survivors (Rowe et al., 2011a). Furthermore, advice is frequently provided, primarily consisting of adaptive alternative head postures (AHPs) to avoid the direction of gaze associated with diplopia (Rowe et al., 2011a; Rowe et al., 2013b).

#### 4.2.3 | Compensatory treatment

There are occasions when the use of prisms is not suitable, such as the deviation being too large and the presence of torsion or variable deviations (Firth & Whittle, 1994). In these circumstances occlusion can be used, which is frequently in the form of an opaque patch to eradicate the second image. Other options for occlusion include Bangert foil or frosted tape which aim to blur the second image so it may be
| Study | Study design | Aim / objective | Number of participants | Type of population | Intervention | Time/ duration of intervention |
|-------|-------------|-----------------|------------------------|--------------------|--------------|-------------------------------|
| Choudhuri et al. (2007) | Survey | Determine current management of acquired nystagmus by ophthalmologists and neurologists | n = 312 ophthalmologists n = 148 neurologists | Ophthalmologists and neurologists | Pharmacological Surgical | Not specified |
| Freeman and Rudge (1987) | Prospective observational study | Identify the orthoptic problems associated with stroke | n = 76 excluded = TIA and other medical conditions | Stroke | Advice (for field defect and inattention, n = 4) occlusion (n = 10), prisms (n = 7), registered blind (n = 2), observation (n = 20), glasses (n = 5) | Within 1 week post stroke. Follow-up ranged from 1 week to 4 years |
| Leigh et al. (1991) | Randomized double blinded crossover trial | To compare the effect of trihexyphenidyl 5 mg versus tridihexethyl chloride 25 mg on acquired nystagmus | n = 10 | Mixed (stroke n = 2) | Trihexyphenidyl 5 mg (DrugA) versus tridihexethyl chloride 25 mg (Drug B) | Both drugs = 1 capsule per day. Drug dosage increased by 1 tablet per week until patient is taking 4 tablets per day. 1–2 week washout, then drug crossover |
| Pollock, Hazleton, & Brady, (2011a) | Survey | To explore the current assessments, protocols, referrals and treatments of visual problems after stroke by OT’s | n = 55 | Occupational Therapists | Visual field, eye movement disorders and visual neglect (scanning training, patching/prisms, ADL training, reading aids/magnifiers, information, environment modification) | 45% of OTs said they would treat within 2 weeks of stroke. 75% said they would treat patients within 6 weeks of stroke. 38% said they would continue treatment up to 3 months |
| Pollock, Hazleton, & Brady (2011b) | Survey | To explore the current assessments, protocols, referrals, and treatments of visual problems after stroke by Orthoptists | n = 14 | Orthoptists | Visual field, eye movement disorders and visual neglect (scanning training, patching/prisms, ADL training, reading aids/magnifiers, information, environment modification) | Time of intervention not stated. 86% did not have a protocol/management plan for visual treatment of stroke patients |
| Pollock et al. (2011) | Cochrane systematic review | Determine the effects of interventions for eye movement disorders | 2 studies n = 28 | 2 studies with mixed population n = 28 (stroke n = 5) | Pharmacological | Not specified |
| Rowe et al. (2011a) | Prospective observational cohort | Determine prevalence of ocular motor cranial nerve palsies | n = 915 (n = 89 with cranial nerve palsy) | Stroke | Occlusion (n = 30), prisms (n = 30), advice (n = 59), compensatory mechanisms | Treatment offered after approx. 22 days (0–2,543 days) Duration of individual treatments not specified Only half followed up for review |
4.2.4 | Restorative treatment

Conservative treatment options for specific ocular motility problems, such as convergence insufficiency, include orthoptic exercises (Rowe et al., 2009b) to improve binocular vision. For patients with diplopia, prisms can be prescribed to provide partial sector occlusion for patients where diplopia is only bothersome in one direction of gaze (Routt, 2011). Furthermore, advice on compensatory strategies include adaptive head postures, reading options, and the use of appropriate task lighting to optimize visual function (Rowe et al., 2013a).

4.3 | Central vision

Impaired central vision includes reduced visual acuity and contrast sensitivity. Pollock et al. (2011b) completed a prospective RCT, double blinded, crossover trial to assess whether interventions used to treat other visual problems which are age-related also improved the functional outcome following stroke. The authors reported that interventions such as prisms and occlusion may not be effective in reducing the symptoms of diplopia without the need for clinical trials to prove so.

| Study | Study design | Aim / objective | Number of participants | Type of population | Intervention | Time / duration of intervention |
|-------|-------------|-----------------|------------------------|--------------------|-------------|-----------------------------|
| Rowe et al. (2013b) | Prospective observational cohort | To evaluate the profile of ocular gaze abnormalities occurring following stroke | n = 915 (n = 207 with gaze abnormalities) | Stroke | Occlusion (n = 40), prisms (n = 27), refraction (n = 22), orthoptic exercises (n = 1), advice (n = 69) | 37 discharged after initial assessment and treatment, 29 referred onto ophthalmology service; 141 offered review appointments (28 did not attend). Follow-up lasted 2 weeks – 6 months. Duration of individual treatments not specified |
| Strupp et al. (2003) | Prospective RCT, double blinded, crossover. | Assessing the effect of 3,4 diaminopyridine (DAP) on downbeat nystagmus | n = 18 | Mixed (stroke n = 3) | 3,4 diaminopyridine (DAP) and lactose 20 mg versus placebo lactose capsule | 1 capsule taken Eye movements measured 30 min after taking capsule. Questionnaire undertaken 30 and 60 min after taking capsule. |
| Pollock et al. (2011b) | Article taken from Cochrane review | Pollock et al. (2011) | To evaluate the profile of ocular gaze abnormalities occurring following stroke | n = 207 with gaze abnormalities | n = 207 with gaze abnormalities | |
| Study | Study design | Aim/Objective | Number of participants | Type of population | Intervention | Time/ duration of intervention |
|-------|--------------|---------------|------------------------|--------------------|--------------|-------------------------------|
| Beasley and Davies (2013) | Randomized crossover study | Consider the use of spectral filters on visual search in stroke patients | $n = 17$ | Stroke | Spectral filters and visual search training | 2 weeks using the filters, 2 weeks washout, 2 weeks of using placebo filters |
| Freeman and Rudge (1987) | Prospective observational study | Identify the orthoptic problems associated with stroke | $n = 76$ excluded = TIA and other medical conditions | Stroke | Registered blind ($n = 2$), observation ($n = 20$), glasses ($n = 5$) | Within 1 week post stroke. Follow-up ranged from 1 week to 4 years |
| Lottery et al. (2000) | Prospective Observational | Examine visual status of patients after stroke | $n = 77$ | Stroke | Glasses | Within 2 weeks of admission with stroke |
| Pollock, Hazelton, & Brady, (2011a) | Survey | To explore the current assessments, protocols, referrals, and treatments of visual problems after stroke by OTs | $n = 55$ | Occupational Therapists | Visual field, eye movement disorders and visual neglect (scanning training, patching/prisms, ADL training, reading aids/magnifiers, information, environment modification) | 45% of OTs said they would treat within 2 weeks of stroke. 75% said they would treat patients within 6 weeks of stroke. 38% said they would continue treatment up to 3 months |
| Pollock et al. (2012b) | Cochrane systematic review | Determine if interventions for age-related visual problems improve functional ability following stroke | 0 studies found | - | - | - |
| Rowe & VIS (2011) | Prospective multicenter cohort | To identify all patients referred with suspected visual impairment who had reported reading difficulty to establish the prevalence of ocular and non ocular causes | $n = 915$ ($n = 177$ with reading difficulty) | Stroke | Advice, reading strategies, typoscopes, low vision aids, occlusion, prisms, exercises, CVI registration. | Review appointments within 3 months. Duration of individual treatments not specified |
Gehlbach, Li, & Hatel, 2012; Geltzer, Turalba, & Vedula, 2013; Giansanti, Eandi, & Virgili, 2009; Lawrenson & Evans, 2012; Parodi, Virgili, & Evans, 2009; Reddy & Krzystalik, 2006; Vedula & Krzystalik, 2008; Virgili & Bini, 2007; Williams, McKay, & Chakravarty, 2014; Wormald, Evans, Smeeth, & Henshaw, 2007), cataracts (Alhassan, Kyari, & Ejere, 2008; Ang, Evans, & Metha, 2012; Calladine, Evans, Shah, & Leyland, 2012; Davison, Padroni, Bunce, & Rüschten, 2007; De Silva, Riaz, & Evans, 2014; Do, Gichuci, Vedula, & Hawkins, 2013; Fedorowicz, Lawrence, Gutierrez, & van Zuuren, 2011; Keay, Lindsley, Tielsch, Katz, & Schein, 2012; Mathew, Ervin, Tao, & Davis, 2012; Ong, Evans, & Allan, 2014; Riaz, de Silva, & Evans, 2013; Riaz, Mehta, Wormald, & Foster, 2006; Sivaprasad, Bunce, & Crosby-Nwaoobi, 2012), diabetic retinopathy (Grover, Li, & Chong, 2008; Lopes de Jesus, Atallah, Valente, & Moça Trevisani, 2008a; Lopes de Jesus, Atallah, Valente, & Moça Trevisani, 2008b; Smith & Steel, 2011; Virgili, Parravano, Menchini, & Brunetti, 2012), and glaucoma (Burr, Azuara-Bianco, Avenell, & Tuulonen, 2012; Eldaly, Bunce, El Sheikh, & Wormald, 2014; Friedman & Vedula, 2006; Green, Wilkins, Bunce, & Wormald, 2014; Hatt, Wormald, & Burr, 2006; Kirwan, Rennie, & Evans, 2012; Law & Li, 2013; Minckler et al., 2006; Rolim de Moura, Paranhos, & Wormald, 2007; Sena & Lindsley, 2013; Simha, Braganza, Abraham, Samuel, & Lindsley, 2013; Vass et al., 2007; Waterman, Evans, Gray, Henson, & Harper, 2013; Wilkins, Indar, & Wormald, 2005). They recommended signposting readers to these Cochrane reviews covering different aspects of the specific conditions.

It is well-recognized that many stroke survivors wore glasses prior to their stroke and it is important that they have access to their glasses, or receive a retest for glasses after their stroke (Lotery et al., 2000). For those patients who still have reduced central vision even with glasses correction, low visual aids (LVAs) such as magnifiers may be helpful (Rowe et al., 2011b). LVAs have been shown to be effective amongst patients suffering visual impairment for a variety of reasons, such as cataracts and macular degeneration. Information on reading aids such as electronic and non-electronic optical aids, magnifiers and colored filters is available (Beasley & Davies, 2013; Virgili, Acosta, Grover, Bentley, & Giacomelli, 2013). A further systematic review addresses the use of low vision services, such as standard hospital-based services, multidisciplinary services and services with an emphasis on the psychological needs of the patient (Binns et al., 2012). Further modifications to light and environment to aid visually impaired people at home include the use of color and contrast, avoiding clutter and using accessible appliances (Cooper, 2013; Joule, Levenson, & Brown, 2014). However, these have yet to be validated in the literature for their use in a stroke population.

Overall, advice and visual aids may be of benefit to stroke survivors with central visual impairment, however, these have not yet been evaluated within a specific stroke population. Further research is required to determine the benefit of these therapies following stroke.

### 4.4 Visual inattention / neglect

Unilateral visual inattention is the difficulty attending to one side of space (Bowen, Hazelton, Pollock, & Lincoln, 2013). A Cochrane review relating to spatial neglect following stroke focused on cognitive rehabilitation programs, encompassing a variety of bottom-up and
top-down interventions (Bowen et al., 2013). Measures of functional ability / disability as a primary outcome measure were used. Twenty-three trials were identified as meeting the inclusion criteria, eleven of which were new to this update (Cherney, Halper, & Papachronis, 2002; Cottam, 1987; Edmans, Webster, & Lincoln, 2000; Fanthome, Lincoln, Drummond, & Walker, 1995; Ferreira, Leite Lopes, Luiz, Cardoso, & André, 2011; Feng et al., 2007; Kalra, Perez, Gupta, & Wittink, 1997; Kerkhoff et al., 2012; Luukkainen-Markkula, Tarkka, Pitkanen, Sivenius, & Hamalainen, 2009; Mizuno et al., 2011; Nys, Seurinck, & Dijkerman, 2008; Polanowska, Seniow, Paprot, Leniak, & Czonkowska, 2009; Robertson, 1990; Robertson, McMillan, MacLeod, Edgeworth, & Brock, 2002; Rossi et al., 1990; Rusconi, Meinecke, Sbrissa, & Bernardini, 2002; Schroder, Wist, & Homberg, 2008; Tsang, Sze, & Fong, 2009; Turton, O’Leary, Gabb, Woodward, & Gilchrist, 2010; Weinberg et al., 1977; Welfringer, Leifert-Fiebach, Babinsky, & Brandt, 2011; Wiart et al., 1997; Zeloni, Farne, & Baccini, 2002). Meta-analyses showed no significant persistent effect either on standardized assessments or for functional ability.

### 4.4.1 Substitutive treatment

Menon-Nair, Kornier-Bitensky, & Ogourtsova (2007) conducted a survey of Occupational Therapists in Canada asking what rehabilitation they perform for unilateral spatial neglect. The most commonly used interventions were perceptual retraining (33.2%) and visual scanning training (16.2%). No details were collected on how these interventions were performed. A subsequent survey engaged Orthoptists working in stroke care in Scotland and reported a high proportion would provide advice or explanation of neglect (72%). Other methods included typoscopes, reading aids, non-computerized scanning therapy and onward referral to other professionals, although these methods were issued less frequently (21%) (Pollock, Hazleton, & Brady, 2011b).

A subsequent trial (Machner et al., 2012) examined the effect of hemifield eye patching and optokinetic stimulation (OKS). This treatment was described as a “forced-use” therapy comprising of sector occlusion over the non-neglecting side of plano lenses and removed when completing the OKS. The results showed that both the control group and those receiving treatment had an equal improvement in neglect-related functional disability over time.

### 4.4.2 Compensatory treatment

A survey of Occupational Therapists (Pollock, Hazleton, & Brady, 2011a) reported a high proportion delivered treatment for visual neglect (89%) and visual field defects (69%), most commonly non-computerized scanning training, activities of daily living training and provision of aids and modifications. Other compensatory methods of rehabilitation of visual neglect / inattention include occlusion and prism adaptation (Beis, André, Baumgarten, & Challier, 1999; Datié et al., 2006).

A Cochrane review meta-analysis initially showed cognitive rehabilitation to have a significant immediate effect on standardized assessments (Bowen et al., 2013). The analysis was repeated with only high quality trials included. This significant effect was not maintained. In addition, trials which compared cognitive rehabilitation with visual scanning therapies were too heterogeneous to enable the authors to draw conclusions. In view of these findings the authors could not support or refute the interventions covered by the review. The recommendations were that clinicians should continue to follow national guidelines until further high quality evidence is available.

A further trial aimed to investigate whether or not smooth pursuit therapy is superior to standard scanning therapy (Kerkhoff et al., 2013). The authors reported more improvement following smooth pursuit training in both auditory and visual outcomes. These improvements were also seen for both mild and severe degrees of neglect with stability of improvement up to two weeks following training.

A variety of treatments have been described for visual neglect/ inattention after stroke, with compensatory scanning therapies appearing most favorable. However, due to lack of high quality evidence, these treatments cannot be recommended in clinical guidelines at present.

### 4.5 Visual perceptual deficits

Visual neglect/inattention is the most frequently occurring visual perceptual disorder following stroke (Hepworth et al., 2016). Additional deficits include visual hallucinations, object agnosia, color detection problems, and difficulty judging depth (Rowe et al., 2009b). Spontaneous recovery may occur for perceptual deficits. However, patients reported a benefit from verbal advice and coping strategies, as well as the relief associated with diagnosis and recognition of the impairment which can cause significant distress to the patient. A Cochrane review reported on the interventions for perceptual disorders following stroke (Bowen, Knapp, Gillespie, & Nicolson, 2011), however, the relevant papers for this review have been extracted and discussed elsewhere (Edmans et al., 2000; Mazer et al., 2003).

Interventions for perceptual deficits are often reported as case studies or small retrospective cohorts. One prospective observational study used cross-modal word recognition training with a group of patients with pure alexia, which involved single words presented visually and via audio simultaneously. The group of patients were reported to read words from the training program quicker than untrained words, especially for the longer words. There was no transfer following training to letter or sentence reading. The improvement seen with words in the training program was not maintained at the follow-up visit at two to four weeks after training had finished (Woodhead et al., 2013).

A range of visual perceptual disorders can occur following stroke however, very few treatments for these have been discussed in the current literature. It is possible that a number of treatments including advice are being used in practice with no clear evidence base and as such, further research is required to establish these treatments.

### 5 Conclusion

Overall, the findings from this review highlight implications for further research. There is a strong requirement for further high quality randomized controlled trials to determine the effectiveness of interventions...
when treating post-stroke visual impairments. Furthermore, the majority of studies included in this review used a small number of patients in their study populations. Future research must address these issues and should consider the impact of interventions.

It is important to note that some interventions have been tested on broader populations and not an isolated stroke survivor population. However, in many visual conditions, the evidence can be applied to stroke survivors; for example, prisms have been shown to be effective in a general diplopia population and are an accepted and effective treatment.

The focus of future research should be relevant to activities of daily living, visual function, and vision-related quality of life. Studies should aim to include long-term follow-up of the stroke survivors being offered visual treatment in order to accurately capture the effectiveness of these interventions and the transferability of these skills to activities of daily living. The current reported research has touched on recently developed, free web-based treatments for visual search training and improving reading speeds. However, there is limited literature on these treatments and more research, preferably with control groups and larger population sizes, are required to investigate the effectiveness of these treatments further. Moreover, there are various treatment options currently used in clinical and social care to aid post-stroke visual impairments such as environmental and lighting modifications, vertical reading, line guides, and typoscopes. These have yet to be thoroughly investigated. It is imperative that these treatments be addressed and evaluated in future studies to establish their effectiveness and provide an evidence-base to inform clinicians and health professionals of all treatment options available.
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