The Non-Peptide Arginine-Vasopressin v1a Selective Receptor Antagonist, SR49059, Blocks the Rewarding, Prosocial, and Anxiolytic Effects of 3,4-Methylenedioxymethamphetamine and Its Derivatives in Zebra Fish
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3,4-Methylenedioxymethamphetamine (MDMA) and its derivatives, 2,5-dimethoxy-4-bromo-amphetamine hydrobromide (DOB) and para-methoxyamphetamine (PMA), are recreational drugs whose pharmacological effects have recently been attributed to serotonin 5HT2A/C receptors. However, there is growing evidence that the oxytocin (OT)/vasopressin system can modulate some of the effects of MDMA. In this study, MDMA (2.5–10 mg/kg), DOB (0.5 mg/kg), or PMA (0.005, 0.1, or 0.25 mg/kg) were administered intramuscularly to adult zebra fish, alone or in combination with the V1a vasopressin antagonist, SR49059 (0.01–1 ng/kg), before carrying out conditioned place preference (CPP), social preference, novel tank diving, and light–dark tests in order to evaluate subsequent rewarding, social, and emotional-like behavior. The combination of SR49059 and each drug progressively blocked: (1) rewarding behavior as measured by CPP in terms of time spent in drug-paired compartment; (2) prosocial effects measured on the basis of the time spent in the proximity of a nacre fish picture; and (3) anxiolytic effects in terms of the time spent in the upper half of the novel tank and in the white compartment of the tank used for the light–dark test. Antagonism was obtained at SR49059 doses which, when given alone, did not change motor function. In comparison with a control group, receiving vehicle alone, there was a three to five times increase in the brain release of isotocin (the analog of OT in fish) after treatment with the most active doses of MDMA (10 mg/kg), DOB (0.5 mg/kg), and PMA (0.1 mg/kg) as evaluated by means of bioanalytical reversed-phase high-performance liquid chromatography. Taken together, these findings show that the OT/vasopressin system is involved in the rewarding, prosocial, and anxiolytic effects of MDMA, DOB, and PMA in zebra fish and underline the association between this system and the behavioral alterations associated with disorders related to substance abuse.
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INTRODUCTION

New psychoactive substances are available in various formulations and are mainly used as legal substitutes for traditional drugs of abuse. One of the largest and most important groups are psychostimulants, which affect a range of behavioral patterns in humans (1) and laboratory animal models (2, 3). It has been demonstrated that the repeated administration of psychostimulants to rodents (2, 4–8) and humans (9) can lead to addiction, induce changes in emotional states such as fear, anxiety, and depression, interfere with social behavior, and cause cognitive impairment. It has also been found that the repeated administration of cocaine and methamphetamine are anxiogenic in mice performing the elevated plus maze task (2), lead to cognitive deficit in rats when using the novel object recognition test, and induce depressive-like behavior as evaluated by the forced swimming task (4). The repeated administration of 3,4-methylenedioxyamphetamine (MDMA) decreases social investigation and increases anxiety-like behavior (5). Rats that are prenatally exposed to (6), or neonatally treated with methamphetamine (P11-P15) (7), and subsequently given a subthreshold dose of methamphetamine in adulthood show impaired working memory. Although no working memory deficit has yet been documented in rats prenatally exposed to MDMA in the Morris water maze when a fixed platform schedule is used, they do show perseverative behavior using a cued platform schedule (8). Finally, the repeated use of MDMA by humans has been associated with sleep, mood, and anxiety disturbances, increased impulsiveness, memory deficits and attention problems, which may persist for up to 2 years after cessation (9).

Increasing attention has been given to MDMA and its phenylethylamine derivatives over the last few years because, although illegal, they are sold openly through internet websites (10–15) despite their significant toxicity (13, 16, 17). It is known that MDMA is prosocial and enhances empathy in humans (18), but it evokes hyperlocomotion and anxiety in rodents (5, 19, 20) and, depending on the dose, can have an anxiogenic or anxiolytic effect on mice, rats, and zebra fish (21–23). However, there has been controversy concerning its effects on anxiety in humans as reduced anxiety has been observed in a clinical setting (24), whereas Schifano (25) found a high level of anxiety after chronic use.

Among the MDMA derivatives, 2,5-dimethoxy-4-bromoamphetamine hydrobromide (DOB) and para-methoxymethamphetamine (PMA) are widely used as substitutes in “ecstasy” tablets because of their similarity to MDMA (26).

2,5-Dimethoxy-4-bromoamphetamine hydrobromide was first synthesized by Shulgin and Shulgin (27), and its recreational use increased in the mid-1980s as it was best alternative to LSD and psilocybin. Its psychoactive effects are mediated by 5HT2A/C receptor interactions within the central nervous system (28–30) and are similar to those of other hallucinogenic phenylalkylamines such as mescaline (30, 31). At an oral dose of 2 mg, it is emotionally stimulating and enhances perceptions without giving rise to perceptual distortions or hallucinations (32); however, the uncontrolled illegal use of higher doses may cause hallucinations, panic, vasospasms, coma, and even death (29–32). DOB-related fatal and non-fatal intoxication has also been reported (32–36).

Para-methoxymethamphetamine is cheaper and more readily available than MDMA, which it was designed to replace. However, as suggested by its street name of “death,” PMA poisoning has been reported in various countries (37, 38). It is stronger than MDMA, but users tend to take more because it takes longer to act (39). In humans, it induces life-threatening hyperthermia, rhabdomyolysis, breathing difficulties, and acute renal failure (40); in rats, its acute administration increases dopamine (DA) and 5HT release in the striatum, nucleus accumbens (NAC) and frontal cortex (41). Despite their well-documented toxicity, MDMA and hallucinogens such as psilocybin, have recently been proposed as new treatments for alcohol addiction, post-traumatic stress disorder, anxiety, and depression (27, 42).

Zebra fish (Danio rerio) is a valuable model for high-throughput drug discovery and screening and can be used to investigate some aspects of neuropsychiatric disorders, including hallucinogen-evoked states (43). It has been found that MDMA and hallucinogens such as salvinorin A are rewarding for zebrafish undergoing the conditioned place preference (CPP) test, a widely used means of evaluating rewarding effects of different compounds (44, 45). In addition, the innate tendency of zebra fish to form shoals has often been used to examine the effects of drugs on social preference, and it has been shown that LSD, ibogaine, PCP, MK801, and MDMA markedly decrease shoal cohesion (44). It is also possible to assess anxiety by analyzing the habituation of zebrafish to novelty (46) and their response to brightly lit environments (47). The novel tank test has shown that zebrafish exposed to various doses of MDMA, LSD, mescaline, ibogaine, phencyclidine, and ketamine show anxiolytic-like responses; i.e., they spend a longer time in the upper half of the tank and there is a reduction in the latency to get to the top of the tank (48–52). The light-dark test has shown no change in zebrafish exposed to LSD (52) or psilocybin (43), but an anxiolytic effect in those exposed to ibogaine (49).

The recently discovered, dose-dependent rewarding, anxiolytic, and prosocial effects of MDMA, DOB, and PMA on zebra fish (23, 53) can be completely blocked by ritanserin, thus suggesting the involvement of 5-HT2A/C receptors. However, there is growing evidence that the neuropeptide oxytocin (OT) can modulate drug-related reward and may act as a pharmacological treatment of drug dependence (54). Accordingly, use of the CPP or self-administration paradigm has shown that peripheral OT injections in mice, or intracerebroventricular (ICV) OT microinjections into mouse NAc or subthalamic nucleus, attenuate methamphetamine-induced reward (55). It is also interesting to note that the prosocial effect of MDMA has been related to central OT release in both rat and human studies (56, 57).

Abbreviations: 5 HT2A/C serotonin receptor type 5, subtype A and C; AVP, arginine-vasopressin system; AVT: arginine vasotocin; DOB: 2,5-dimethoxy-4-bromoamphetamine hydrobromide; IM: intramuscularly; IT: isotocin; MDMA: 3,4-methylenedioxyamphetamine; OT: oxytocin; NAc: nucleus accumbens; PMA, para-methoxymethamphetamine; SR49059: ((2S)-1-[(2R,3S) 5-chloro-3(2-chlorophenyl)-1-(3,4-dimethoxyphenyl) sulfonyl]-2,3-dihydro-3-hydroxy-1H-indol-2-yl]carbonyl]-2-pyrrolidine carboxamide); V1a: vasopressin receptor, type1a; V1b: vasopressin receptor, type1b.
The characteristic changes in rat adjacent lying and anogenital sniffing induced by MDMA, vasopressin (AVP), and OT can be reversed by pretreatment with SR49059 (56), thus indicating that OT and AVP may directly act on V₁₅ vasopressin receptors to induce prosocial effects. At the same time, MDMA may indirectly stimulate V₁₅ vasopressin receptors as a result of serotonin-induced OT and/or AVP release in the hypothalamus (58).

It has emerged that nonapeptides of the vasotocin family are key regulators of social behavior in a wide range of vertebrate species: AVP and OT in mammals, and arginine vasotocin (AVT) and isotocin (IT) in teleosts (59). In order to investigate the mechanism(s) underlying the activity of amphetamine derivatives and the possibility that some of the effects of MDMA, DOB, and PMA are influenced by IT and AVT, we tested the effects of the selective V₁₅ vasopressin receptor antagonist, SR49059, on various aspects of behavior that can be successfully evaluated in zebra fish: i.e., reward, anxiety, and social behavior. We also investigated the changes in IT release within the brain after treatment with these drugs.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Animals

Adult wild-type short-finned zebra fish (D. rerio) of different genetic backgrounds (weight 0.4–1 g; age 6 and 12 months) were obtained from a local aquarium supplier (Aquarium Center, Milan, Italy). The males and females were distinguished as previously described (60, 61) and were used in a 1:1 ratio in all of the experiments. The fish were kept at a temperature of 28°C using a 14-h (from 8.00 am to 10.00 pm) light and 10-h dark cycle and were fed daily with brine shrimp and flake fish food [tropical fish food, Consorzio G5, Casatenovo (LC), Italy]. The tank water consists of deionized H₂O and sea salts (0.6 g/10 L of water; Instant Ocean, Aquarium Systems, Sarrebourg, France). Each home tanks contained about 30 fish each and were constantly filtered and aerated. All of the fish were drug naive, and each fish was only used once. The experiments were started one month after the fish arrived in the laboratory in order to minimize stress, and they were habituated to the experimental apparatus for 1 h a day during the week preceding the experiments. During the experiments, the observer was blinded to the treatment allocation and was 2 m from the tank. Behavioral testing was carried out between 9.00 am and 2.00 pm, using 10 fish per group. All of the tests were video-recorded (Canon Digital MV900) for subsequent video-aided analysis by trained observers who were also blinded to the treatments. The experiments were carried out in accordance with European Community Council Directive No. 86/609/EEC, and the subsequent Italian law governing the protection of animals used for experimental and other scientific purposes. The experimental protocol was approved in accordance with Italian Governmental Decree Nos. 18/2013 and 34/2017. Every effort was made to minimize the number of animals used and their discomfort.

Drugs

Figure 1 shows the chemical structures of MDMA, DOB, and PMA (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA), which were dissolved in sterile saline at doses of, respectively, 10, 0.5, and 0.1 mg/kg and administered intramuscularly (IM) 5 min before each test. The doses were chosen on the basis of their known ability to maximize the drugs’ rewarding, social, and anxiolytic effects (23, 53). Given the unavailability of specific antagonists of zebra fish IT/AVT receptor subtypes and selective OT antagonists, we used (2S)-1-[(2R,3S)-5-chloro-3-(2-chlorophenyl)-1-[(3,4-dimethoxyphenyl)sulfonyl]-2,3-dihydro-3-hydroxy-1H-indol-2-yl]carbonyl]-2-pyrrolidine carboxamide (SR49059; Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA), the most selective antagonist of human and rat vasopressin V₁₅ receptors (60), at doses of 0.01, 0.1, and 1 mg/kg, which are known to block the prosocial effects of IT and AVT in zebra fish (62). When multiple treatments were required, the drug solutions were put into the same syringe in order to avoid unnecessary tissue trauma. The drug doses were calculated as salts, and all of the drugs were freshly prepared on a daily basis. The control group received saline 2 µL/g.

Treatments

The injections were given along the posterior axis of the caudal musculature (61), in the area below the caudal fin on the left side of each fish, using a Hamilton syringe (Hamilton Bonaduz AG, Bonaduz, Switzerland).

Conditioned Place Preference

The fish were tested in a 10 cm × 20 cm × 15 cm tank divided into two 10 cm × 10 cm halves, one of which had three black
polka dots on the bottom; the two halves were separated by a perforated wall that allows the fish to pass from one side to the other, albeit in a slightly obstructed manner as previously described (45) (Figure 2). During the week before the start of the CPP test, the fish were given subcutaneous injections of colored dyes (Sigma-Aldrich) so that they could be easily distinguished, as suggested by Cheung et al. (63). On the first day, after the fish had been introduced to the tank, baseline preference was established by recording the percentage of time spent in one side or the other during a 15-min trial (the pre-conditioning phase). After 6 h, the fish were intramuscularly injected with the different drugs, and then confined to the least preferred side for 30 min. After 24 h, the fish receiving the vehicle were confined to the opposite compartment for 30 min. The drug-texture pairings were always counterbalanced. On the third day (postconditioning phase), the fish were allowed to pass freely from one side of the tank to the other for 15 min, and the time spent in each half was recorded.

**FIGURE 2** | The apparatuses used in the study. The conditioned place preference test apparatus was used to evaluate the rewarding effects of 3,4-methylenedioxymethamphetamine (MDMA), 2,5-dimethoxy-4-bromo-amphetamine hydrobromide (DOB), and para-methoxyamphetamine (PMA). The social preference test apparatus was used to evaluate shoaling behavior. Anxiety-like behavior was studied using the novel tank diving and light–dark tests. For further explanation, see Material and Methods.
offline. The rewarding or aversive effects of the drugs on the fish were determined by subtracting the baseline values from the final values.

**Social Behavior**

The shoaling preference test was carried out as previously described (23) in a glass tank that was 122 cm long, 55 cm high, and 32 cm wide and divided into three equal compartments (Figure 2). There was a picture of six 3 cm long nacre fish on a blue background on the left side of the tank and an unaltered picture of six zebra fish [taken from Ref. (64)] on the right side of the tank, and the lateral compartments (or stimulus areas) were separated from the central compartment. The glass tank was divided into three zones of equal volume: a left preference area, a central no-preference area, and a right preference area. The tank had two 250 W halogen lamps above either side of the tank, the light of which reflected off two sheets of Teflon positioned at an angle of 45° on the top of the tank in order to ensure that the whole of the tank received even, full-spectrum lighting. The depth of the water was 25 cm. At the beginning of the experimental trials, thin sheets of opaque plastic were placed on either side of the central compartment to act as temporary visual barriers and, immediately after undergoing drug treatment, each fish was placed in the central compartment and allowed 5 min to acclimatize. The opaque barriers were then removed and, when a fish swam parallel to one of the shoal members, it was assumed it had recognized the stimulus shoal (65). The fish were given up to 15 min to recognize both stimuli and, if they failed to do so, the test was postponed until the following week: only 0.1% of the fish failed to recognize both stimuli within the first 5 min. Shoaling preference was quantified by recording the total time each fish spent in proximity to each stimulus shoal within a period of 5 min. The data were expressed as the difference (Δ) between the time spent close to the nacre and wild-type fish pictures.

**Novel Tank Diving Test**

The novel tank diving test of Egan et al. (66) was used to evaluate the anxiety-like behavior evoked by novelty. After a 1-h period of acclimatization in the experimental room, each fish was gently transferred to a transparent 1.5-L tank that had a line drawn on the outside walls midway between the surface of the water and the bottom of the tank (Figure 2). Zebra fish typically have vertical exploratory behaviors that gradually tend to increase over time (44, 66). The time spent in the upper and lower halves during the first 5 min was recorded, together with the number of times the fish moved from the lower to the upper half.

**Light–Dark Test**

In order to investigate anxiety-related behaviors further, the fish underwent a previously described light/dark test (23). The test apparatus consisted of a rectangular half-black, half-white acrylic tank (20 cm × 10 cm × 15 cm) separated by a gray divider (Figure 2), and filled with water to a depth of 13 cm. The room was lit overhead (250 lux), and a 9-watt lamp was positioned above the white half of the tank in order to ensure further constant, uniform and shadow-free lighting. After being individually placed in the white compartment and allowed to acclimatize for 5 min, the divider was removed and the fish were given 5 min to swim freely between the compartments. The analyzed parameters were the time spent in the white compartment and the number of crossings between the two compartments, and the data were expressed as the difference between the time spent in each compartment. Greater exploration of the white compartment reflects a low state of anxiety.

**Brain IT Assay**

The brain IT assay was restricted to the fish receiving the maximum active doses of the compounds alone, and those receiving MDMA combined with SR49059. Five minutes after being intramuscularly injected with vehicle, MDMA 10 mg/kg, PMA 0.1 mg/kg, DOB 0.5 mg/kg alone, or MDMA 10 mg/kg + SR49059 1 mg/kg, the fish were sacrificed by means of an overdose of tricaine solution (500–1,000 mg/L), and their whole brains were removed within 2 min of death. Each brain was weighed, immediately frozen on dry ice, and then stored at −80°C until analysis. IT concentrations were measured by means of bio-analytical, reversed-phase high-performance liquid chromatography with fluorescence detection. Solid-phase extraction and peptide derivatization were carried out as described in Ref. (67). The chromatographic equipment consisted of an Agilent 1100 series system (Agilent Technologies, Inc., Santa Clara, CA, USA) and Ascentis Express C18 column (4.6 mm × 150 mm, particle size 2.7 µm) operating in isocratic mode using acetonitrile and 0.05 M Na2HPO4 (80:20 v/v) as mobile phase (0.4 mL/min). The eluent was monitored at 530 nm (470 nm excitation).

**Statistical Analysis**

The data are expressed as mean values ± the standard error of the mean (SEM). Between-group differences were assessed using one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) for repeated measures followed by Tukey’s *post hoc* test. All of the statistical analyses were made using Prism 6 software (GraphPad Inc., La Jolla, CA, USA).

**RESULTS**

**Conditioned Place Preference**

During the preconditioning phase, a simple paired *t* test revealed no significant difference between the time spent in the polka dot chamber (432.8 ± 15.3 s) and dot-free compartment (469.2 ± 14.84 s) (F1,58 = 0.236, *p* = 0.81). One-way ANOVA revealed between-group differences after treatment with MDMA, PMA, or DOB alone or in combination with SR49059: MDMA (F5,36 = 4.82, *p* = 0.01), DOB (F5,36 = 6.94, *p* = 0.003), PMA (F5,36 = 5.57, *p* = 0.007) (Figure 3). *Post hoc* analysis showed a significant increase in postconditioning time after treatment with MDMA 10 mg/kg, DOB 0.5 mg/kg, or PMA 0.1 mg/kg, whereas SR49059 completely blocked MDMA-, DOB-, and PMA-induced CPP. SR49059 antagonism was obtained at doses that did not affect CPP, except for the highest dose that induced a rewarding effect (F5,36 = 8.00, *p* = 0.0003) (Table 1).
SR49059 antagonism was obtained at doses that did not affect induced by MDMA, DOB, or PMA in a dose-dependent manner. SR49059 blocked the social preference significantly increased the time spent near the nacre picture in comparison with the vehicle group. SR49059 was given intramuscularly 5 min before the start of each test (n = 10 for each). *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001 vs. the corresponding drug alone (Tukey’s post hoc test).

**Figure 3** | SR49050 blocks 3,4-methylenedioxymethamphetamine (MDMA)-, 2,5-dimethoxy-4-bromo-amphetamine hydrobromide (DOB)-, and para-methoxymethamphetamine (PMA)-induced conditioned place preference (CPP). Mean values ± SEM of differences in the time spent (s) by each zebrafish in the drug-paired compartment before and after conditioning. Each drug (mg/kg) plus SR49050 (ng/kg) or vehicle was administered intramuscularly (IM) immediately before the conditioning session. n = 10 fish per group. *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001 vs. the vehicle group.

**Table 1** | Effect of SR40059 on different behaviors in zebrafish.

| Treatment | Dose (ng/kg) | CPP (postconditioning-preconditioning) (s) | Treatment | Dose (ng/kg) | Social preference (time) |
|-----------|--------------|-------------------------------------------|-----------|--------------|-------------------------|
| Vehicle   | 0            | 28.48 ± 46.01                             | Vehicle   | 0            | -54.25 ± 10.90          |
| SR49059   | 0.01         | -50.33 ± 42.06                            | SR49059   | 0.1          | -103.70 ± 4.25          |
| SR49059   | 0.01         | 96.2 ± 23.83                              | SR49059   | 0.1          | -49.50 ± 17.00          |

Mean values ± SEM.
SR49059 was given intramuscularly 5 min before the start of each test (p = 10 for each dose).

**Social Preference**

There were significant between-group differences in the time spent by each fish near the con-specific or nacre picture when SR49059 or vehicle were given in combination with the different drugs: MDMA (F3,36 = 29.82, p < 0.0001), DOB (F3,36 = 39.67, p < 0.0001), and PMA (F3,36 = 30.58, p < 0.0001) (Figure 4). Post hoc comparisons showed that all of the drugs given alone significantly increased the time spent near the nacre picture in comparison with the vehicle group. SR49059 blocked the social preference induced by MDMA, DOB, or PMA in a dose-dependent manner. SR49059 antagonism was obtained at doses that did not affect social preference (F3,36 = 1.14, p = 0.36) (Table 1).

**Novel Tank Diving Test**

There were significant between-group differences in the time spent in the upper half of the novel tank during the 5 min after treatment (Figure 5A): MDMA (F3,36 = 42.99, p < 0.0001), DOB (F3,36 = 25.45, p < 0.0001), and PMA (F3,36 = 54.81, p < 0.0001). Post hoc analysis showed that treatment with the drugs alone significantly increased the time spent in the upper half in comparison with vehicle group, but this behavior was blocked by the coadministration of SR49059. Acute treatment with MDMA, DOB, and PMA decreased the number of transitions from the lower to the upper half of the tank during the 5 min after treatment: MDMA (F3,36 = 24.54, p < 0.0001), DOB (F3,36 = 22.43, p < 0.0001), and PMA (F3,36 = 35.76, p = 0.0001) (Figure 5B). SR49059 antagonized the decrease in the number of transitions induced by the drugs, except for those induced by the highest dose of PMA. SR49059 antagonism was obtained at doses that did not affect the time spent in the upper and lower halves of the tank (F3,36 = 0.56, p = 0.64) or the number of transitions (F3,36 = 0.33, p = 0.81) (Table 2).

**Light–Dark Test**

The difference in the time spent in the white and black compartments after treatment revealed between-group differences in emotional-like behavior: MDMA (F3,36 = 19.30, p < 0.0001), DOB (F3,36 = 13.06, p < 0.0001), and PMA (F3,36 = 19.37, p < 0.0001) (Figure 6A). Post hoc analysis showed that treatment with the drugs alone significantly increased the time spent in the white compartment in comparison with the vehicle group, but the addition of SR49059 reduced this time in a dose-dependent manner. The increased time spent in the light–dark compartment was not due to motor impairment as there was no change in the number of transitions from one compartment to the other: MDMA (F3,36 = 0.42, p = 0.74), DOB (F3,36 = 0.77, p = 0.52), and PMA (F3,36 = 1.9, p = 0.14) (Figure 6B). When given alone, SR49049 did not affect either parameter (time: F3,27 = 1.83, p = 0.18; transitions: F3,27 = 2.29, p = 0.12) (Table 2).

**Brain IT Levels**

The drugs significantly increased brain IT levels in comparison with the vehicle group (F4,25 = 13.88, p < 0.0001) (Figure 7),
**Figure 4** SR49050 dose-dependently antagonizes 3,4-methylenedioxymethamphetamine (MDMA)-, 2,5-dimethoxy-4-bromo-amphetamine hydrobromide (DOB)-, and para-methoxyamphetamine (PMA)-induced social preference in zebra fish. Mean values ± SEM of the differences in the time spent (Δ) in the compartment near to the nacre picture and the time spent near to the WT picture (Δ). The combination of SR49059 (ng/kg) or vehicle and each drug (mg/kg) was given intramuscularly (IM) immediately before the test. n = 10 fish per group. *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001, ****p < 0.0001 vs. saline (0 + 0); $$$p < 0.001 vs. the corresponding drug alone (Tukey's test).

**Figure 5** SR49050 dose-dependently reduces the anxiolytic effect induced by 3,4-methylenedioxymethamphetamine (MDMA), DOB, or para-methoxyamphetamine (PMA) in the novel tank diving test. The time spent in the upper half of the tank (A) and the number of transitions from the lower half to the upper half (B) were recorded during the 5-min sessions. The combination of SR49059 (ng/kg) or vehicle and each drug (mg/kg) was given intramuscularly (IM) immediately before the test. Mean values ± SEM; n = 10 fish per group. **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001, ****p < 0.0001 vs. the corresponding saline group (0 + 0); $$$p < 0.0001 vs. the corresponding drug alone (Tukey's post hoc test).
whereas the coadministration of SR49059 and MDMA significantly reduced the MDMA-induced increase.

**DISCUSSION**

This study investigated the modulatory role of V_{1a}-like subtype receptors on MDMA-, DOB-, and PMA-induced rewarding, prosocial, and anxiolytic effects in zebrafish. The selective antagonist of vasopressin V_{1a} subtype receptors, SR49059, reduced the effects induced by all of the tested drugs (which were associated with increased IT concentrations in the brain), whereas SR49059 completely blocked the brain IT release induced by MDMA.

It has been previously shown that SR49059 blocks the prosocial and anxiolytic effects induced by the injection of neurohypophyseal OT/AVP hormones and their teleost fish homologs IT/AVT (60). AVT receptors have been identified in non-mammalian vertebrates such as teleosts, and it has been shown that they are involved in water balance, osmotic homeostasis, sociality, aggression and sexual behavior (68, 69). Although teleost fish receptors have not yet been fully characterized, like mammalian OT and V_{1a}/V_{1b} receptor subtypes, AVT and IT receptors may act through a phospholipase C/inositol 1,4,5-trisphosphate intracellular signaling pathway (70). It has been previously shown (71) that SR49059 is a more selective and potent antagonist of V_{1a} than V_{1b} receptors, but its affinity for V_{1A} and OT receptors is similar at least in mice (Ki = 0.94 ± 22 and 13.2 ± 19, respectively). However, further studies are needed to investigate its affinity for zebrafish IT/AVT receptors.

**TABLE 2** Effect of SR40059 on anxiety-like behavior in zebrafish.

| Treatment | Dose (ng/kg) | Novel tank diving test (s) | Transitions to upper half (No.) |
|-----------|-------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------------|
| Vehicle   | 0           | 123.80 ± 17.40              | 3.87 ± 1.40                    |
| SR49059   | 0.01        | 61.80 ± 23.40               | 4.00 ± 1.90                    |
| SR49059   | 0.1         | 102.32 ± 4.86               | 4.86 ± 1.80                    |
| SR49059   | 1           | 94.00 ± 37.70               | 2.25 ± 0.95                    |

| Treatment | Dose (ng/kg) | Light-dark test (s) | Transitions (No.) |
|-----------|-------------|---------------------|-------------------|
| Vehicle   | 0           | −122.70 ± 17.59     | 58.59 ± 4.19      |
| SR49059   | 0.01        | −74.25 ± 22.31      | 66.50 ± 16.60     |
| SR49059   | 0.1         | −74.50 ± 4.85       | 37.5 ± 9.30       |

Mean values ± SEM (n = 10 for each treatment).

**FIGURE 6** SR49050 dose-dependently blocks the anxiolytic effect induced by 3,4-methylenedioxymethamphetamine (MDMA), 2,5-dimethoxy-4-bromo-amphetamine hydrobromide (DOB), and para-methoxyamphetamine (PMA) in the light dark test. Mean values ± SEM of the differences (Δ) in the time spent in the light and dark compartments (A) and the number of transitions between them (B) during the 5-min sessions. The combination of SR49059 (ng/kg) or vehicle and each drug (mg/kg) was given intramuscularly (IM) immediately before each test. n = 10 fish per group. *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001, ****p < 0.0001 vs. the corresponding saline group (0 + 0); $p < 0.05, $$$p < 0.01, sss p < 0.001 vs. the corresponding drug alone (Tukey’s test).
Our findings show that IT/AVT receptors are involved in MDMA-, PMA-, DOB-induced reward in zebrafish, as shown by the reduction in CPP when SR49059 was coadministered with the drugs. Previous studies of the interactions between OT-like systems and the rewarding effects of drugs have found that OT receptor density or mRNA expression change differently depending on the dose (72) and the considered brain area (73–81). The OT antagonist atosiban reduces MDMA-induced drug discrimination in rats, and the OT analog carbetocin partially generalizes to the MDMA training cue (82), thus suggesting that OT receptor activation is a major factor in the subjective effects of MDMA. It is known that OT modulates DA turnover, and it has been shown that OT receptors functionally interact with DA D2 receptors in the NAc, one of the most important brain areas for reward (83). On the basis of these findings, there is increasing interest in using OT to treat alcohol and nicotine dependence (84, 85). A significant rewarding effect has been observed when a high dose of SR49059 is administered alone. As suggested by Baracz et al. (54) who found that the OT receptor antagonist des-Gly-NH2,d(CH2)5[D-Tyr2,Thr4] had a similar effect on rats undergoing the CPP test, a tonic level of endogenous neurohypophyseal hormones could be a contributory factor, but more studies are needed to clarify the mechanism.

Our social preference test findings show that the IT/AVT system also modulates the social behavior of zebrafish as the coadministration of SR49059 with MDMA, DOB, or PMA significantly blocked the increased sociability induced by the drugs alone. Drug abuse is closely associated with social contexts in which OT plays an important role. No data are available concerning PMA and DOB, but the prosocial effects of MDMA have been associated with central OT release in rat and human studies (18). MDMA increases plasma OT levels in human MDMA users at dance parties and in humans given MDMA in placebo-controlled laboratory experiments, and this increase has been related to increased subjective feelings of sociability (57, 86). The increased OT levels have been attributed to the ability of MDMA to release serotonin via hypothalamic 5-HT terminals opposed to OT-containing perikarya (87). The 5-HT1A antagonist WAY 100,635 reduces the increase in plasma OT levels and the adjacent lying behavior of rats induced by MDMA (88). In line with this, the selective OT receptor antagonist L-366899 abolishes the prosocial effects of MDMA in mice, and quantitative analyses of brain proteome have revealed changes in 21 proteins associated with sociability (89). In addition, the MDMA-induced increase in rodent prosocial behavior is prevented by the same dose of WAY 100,635 and the 5-HT2B/2C receptor antagonist SB 206553 (90), thus suggesting that the prosocial effect of MDMA in rodents may be mediated by OT release as a result of 5-HT1A and 5-HT2B/2C receptor interactions. The involvement of 5-HT2B/2C serotonin receptors in the social preferences of zebrafish has recently been confirmed by the blockade obtained using ritanserin coadministered with MDMA, DOB, or PMA (23).

Treatment with the vasopressin V1a antagonist SR49059 attenuates the increase in adjacent lying elicited in rats by MDMA, OT, and AVP, thus suggesting that a common mechanism mediated by V1a receptors underlies their prosocial behavioral effects (56). It has also been shown that the ICV administration of OT reverses the social deficits observed in OT receptor knockout mice and that this is also probably due to the functional activity of OT at V1a receptors (91). It is thought that the prosocial effects of OT are at least partially mediated by its ability to alleviate anxiety. We used both the novel tank diving test and the light–dark test to evaluate emotional-like behavior as previous studies have shown that one test alone does not adequately capture the information necessary to interpret the results (92, 93). In both paradigms, our findings indicate that SR49059 reduced the anxiolytic effects induced by MDMA, DOB, and PMA. The reduced diving and bottom dwelling observed in our tank diving experiments after this treatment is in line with the typical top dwelling responses induced by MDMA dissolved in tank water (40 and 80 mg/L) (44). The possibility that the SR49059-induced blockade of the anxiolytic effects of the drugs was due to altered locomotion can be excluded because the number of transitions from one level to the other by the control and treated zebrafish was similar.

The biochemical evaluation of brain IT levels after MDMA, PMA, and DOB treatment revealed a significant increase in comparison with the vehicle group, when using the highest active dose of each drug; one of the limitations of this study is that IT levels were not evaluated after increasing drug doses. However, it was found that the coadministration of SR49059 significantly blocked IT release, thus supporting the involvement of the IT/AVT system in the drug-elicited effects. Further studies are now needed to evaluate IT release after the combined administration of SR49059 and DOB/PMA, and after treatment with increasing doses of each compound. The only previously published study of brain nonapeptide levels in zebrafish (67) evaluated the relationship between social status and the IT/AVT system in different brain areas, and found that nonapeptide levels rapidly increased after an acute social interaction. Our findings indicate that SR49059 reduced the anxiolytic effects induced by MDMA, DOB, and PMA. The reduced diving and bottom dwelling observed in our tank diving experiments after this treatment is in line with the typical top dwelling responses induced by MDMA dissolved in tank water (40 and 80 mg/L) (44). The possibility that the SR49059-induced blockade of the anxiolytic effects of the drugs was due to altered locomotion can be excluded because the number of transitions from one level to the other by the control and treated zebrafish was similar.

The biochemical evaluation of brain IT levels after MDMA, PMA, and DOB treatment revealed a significant increase in comparison with the vehicle group, when using the highest active dose of each drug; one of the limitations of this study is that IT levels were not evaluated after increasing drug doses. However, it was found that the coadministration of SR49059 significantly blocked IT release, thus supporting the involvement of the IT/AVT system in the drug-elicited effects. Further studies are now needed to evaluate IT release after the combined administration of SR49059 and DOB/PMA, and after treatment with increasing doses of each compound. The only previously published study of brain nonapeptide levels in zebrafish (67) evaluated the relationship between social status and the IT/AVT system in different brain areas, and found that nonapeptide levels rapidly increased after an acute social interaction. Our findings indicate that SR49059 reduced the anxiolytic effects induced by MDMA, DOB, and PMA. The reduced diving and bottom dwelling observed in our tank diving experiments after this treatment is in line with the typical top dwelling responses induced by MDMA dissolved in tank water (40 and 80 mg/L) (44). The possibility that the SR49059-induced blockade of the anxiolytic effects of the drugs was due to altered locomotion can be excluded because the number of transitions from one level to the other by the control and treated zebrafish was similar.
blockade of this effect of MDMA, are not clear. SR49059 has more affinity for V₁₅ than V₁₆ or V₂ receptors, and a weak affinity for OT receptors (71). Our findings suggest that MDMA (and perhaps also DOB and PMA) indirectly stimulates V₁₅ receptors as a result of serotonin-induced IT/OT and/or AVP/AVT release in the hypothalamus, as previously suggested by Jørgensen et al. (58). It has been reported that 5-HT, serotonin precursors, serotonin releasers, serotonin reuptake inhibitors and serotonin receptor agonists stimulate the release of vasopressin and OT into peripheral blood (58). Furthermore, 5-HT stimulates vasopressin secretion via 5-HT₁₅ and 5-HT₁₆ receptors, whereas 5-HT₁₆ receptors are also involved in OT secretion (58). In zebra fish, 5-HT₁₅-like, 5-HT₁₆ and 5-HT₂ receptors have been found in homologous regions in the brain, including the hypothalamus (95, 96), where it has been shown that neuropeptides and 5-HT receptors are associated with fear and anxiety (97–99). The decrease of IT operated by SR49059 in combination with MDMA appears difficult to be explained with a simple effect through V₁₅ receptors. It can be argued that SR49059 acts directly to inhibit IT release through 5-HT receptors. Experiments using 5HT receptor antagonists on IT release could better explain the mechanism. In line of our findings, SR49059 partially reversed MDMA-induced increases in adjacent lying, a measure of social behavior in rats (56).

In conclusion, our findings show for the first time that there is an interaction between IT/OT and the rewarding, social, and anxiolytic effects of PMA and DOB in zebra fish. Taken together, they suggest that the IT/OT system may be an important target for the development of new pharmacotherapies for the treatment of the misuse of MDMA and its phenylethylamine derivatives and related affective disorders (sociability, anxiety). Although further studies are required to clarify the mechanism underlying the effects of the OT system on drug abuse, the findings of this study support the view that that the zebra fish model is a highly sensitive means of screening new OT-like agonists and antagonists.
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