Deep RGB-D Saliency Detection with Depth-Sensitive Attention and Automatic Multi-Modal Fusion

Peng Sun  Wenhu Zhang  Huanyu Wang  Songyuan Li  Xi Li*

College of Computer Science & Technology, Shanghai Institute for Advanced Study, Zhejiang University
{sunpeng1996,wenhuzhang,huanyuhello,leizungjyun,xilizju}@zju.edu.cn

Abstract

RGB-D salient object detection (SOD) is usually formulated as a problem of classification or regression over two modalities, i.e., RGB and depth. Hence, effective RGB-D feature modeling and multi-modal feature fusion both play a vital role in RGB-D SOD. In this paper, we propose a depth-sensitive RGB feature modeling scheme using the depth-wise geometric prior of salient objects. In principle, the feature modeling scheme is carried out in a depth-sensitive attention module, which leads to the RGB feature enhancement as well as the background distraction reduction by capturing the depth geometry prior. Moreover, to perform effective multi-modal feature fusion, we further present an automatic architecture search approach for RGB-D SOD, which does well in finding out a feasible architecture from our specially designed multi-modal multi-scale search space. Extensive experiments on seven standard benchmarks demonstrate the effectiveness of the proposed approach against the state-of-the-art.

1. Introduction

Recent years have witnessed a great development of RGB-D salient object detection (SOD) due to its diverse applications, e.g., image retrieval [25, 36], video segmentation [20, 55], person re-identification [62], visual tracking [27, 41]. With the multi-modal input (i.e., RGB and depth channels), RGB-D SOD aims to localize and segment the visually salient regions in a scene, and is typically cast as an image-to-mask mapping problem within an end-to-end deep learning pipeline [22, 23, 45, 49].

In RGB-D SOD, depth maps, which provide useful cues such as spatial structure, 3D layout, and object boundary, are important complementary information to RGB channels. For the sake of effective learning, there are usually two key issues to solve for RGB-D SOD: 1) how to fully exploit the rich depth geometry information for saliency analysis, and 2) how to carry out the multi-modal feature fusion effectively between RGB and depth features. In this paper, we focus on building a depth-sensitive SOD model that is capable of learning the RGB-D feature interaction architecture automatically.

In the recent literature, RGB-D SOD methods usually treat the depth channel as an auxiliary input channel, which is directly fed into a convolutional neural network (CNN) for feature extraction [7, 21, 31, 43, 59]. As a result, they are incapable of well utilizing the depth prior knowledge to capture the corresponding geometric layouts of salient objects. As shown in Fig. 1, salient objects are often distributed within several particular depth intervals, and thus can be roughly detected by regularly sliding the depth interval window. Inspired by this observation, we have an intuitive idea that we can extract RGB features w.r.t. depth for effectively capturing the depth-wise geometric prior on salient objects while reducing the background distraction (e.g. cluttered objects or similar texture). With this motivation, we propose to decompose the raw depth map into multiple regions, and each region contains a set of pixels from the same depth interval. Then, we propose a depth-sensitive attention module (DSAM) to perform RGB feature extraction in different regions, thereby leading to the RGB feature enhancement with depth-wise geometric prior.

Furthermore, designing an effective feature interaction architecture between RGB and depth branches is crucial...
for multi-modal feature fusion in RGB-D SOD. In general, the existing literature relies heavily on human expertise knowledge through enormous trial and error, e.g., flow ladder module [59] and fluid pyramid integration module [61]. Moreover, the multi-source information on RGB and depth channels is extremely heterogeneous, making the feature fusion design rather difficult and heuristic. Based on this observation, we leverage neural architecture search (NAS) [3, 13, 37] to automatically explore an effective feature fusion module. However, simply porting existing NAS ideas from image classification/segmentation to RGB-D SOD would not suffice, as the task requires nested combinations of multi-modal multi-scale features. To this end, we construct a new search space tailored for the multi-modal feature fusion across multiple scales for RGB-D SOD. As a result, the automatically-found feature fusion architecture equipped with the commonly used backbone VGG-19 [53] achieves the state-of-the-art performance.

Our contributions can be summarized as follows:

- We propose a depth-sensitive attention module to explicitly eliminate the background distraction and enhance the RGB features by depth prior knowledge.
- We design a new search space tailored for the heterogeneous feature fusion in RGB-D SOD and present the first attempt to introduce NAS for RGB-D SOD.
- Finally, we conduct extensive experiments on seven benchmarks, which demonstrates that our method outperforms other state-of-the-art approaches.

2. Related Work

2.1. RGB-D Salient Object Detection

Early RGB-D saliency detection methods [23,30,45,51] design handcrafted features, such as contrast [45], shape [15], local background enclosure [23] and so on. Recently, CNN-based RGB-D approaches have achieved a qualitative leap in performance due to the powerful ability of CNNs in discriminative feature representation. The existing RGB-D approaches can be roughly divided into single-stream models [39, 45, 52, 54, 63, 64] and multi-stream models [7–11, 21, 43, 59]. The single-stream architecture adopts a straightforward way to fuse RGB images and depth cues. For example, Peng et al. [45] directly concatenate RGB-D pairs as 4-channel inputs to predict saliency maps. DANet [63] uses a single-stream network with the depth-enhanced dual attention for salient object detection. For the multi-stream models, the frameworks employ two parallel networks to extract RGB and depth features respectively, and then fuse the multi-modal features with various dazzling strategies. For example, Chen et al. [9] design a multi-branch network to fuse the deep and shallow cross-modal complements in separate paths, and then propose to use residual connections and complementarity-aware supervision to explicitly expose cross-modal complements in [7]. Lately, Zhang [59] proposes an asymmetric two-stream architecture, and designs a flow ladder module for the RGB stream and a depth attention module for the depth stream.

Although these methods have achieved huge success, depth cues are only direct as the input of the feature extractor. In this paper, motivated by our observation, we further exploit the depth information, which contains abundant geometric prior knowledge. Then, we utilize the depth cues to explicitly eliminate the background distraction and propose an effective depth-sensitive attention module for RGB-D salient object detection.

2.2. Neural Architecture Search

Neural architecture search (NAS) aims at automating the network architecture design process. Early NAS works are based on either reinforcement learning [3, 66] or evolutionary algorithms [13, 50]. Despite achieving satisfactory performance, they have consumed hundreds of GPU days. Recently, one-shot methods [4, 6] have greatly solved the time-consuming problem by training a parent network from which each sub-network can inherit the weights. DARTs [37] is the pioneering work for gradient-based NAS, which uses gradients to efficiently optimize the search space. After that, NAS has been widely applied to many computer vision tasks, such as object detection [26, 56], semantic segmentation [34, 35], and so on.

However, in RGB-D salient object detection, the multi-modal feature fusion architectures are still designed by hand. Although there are several NAS works [46, 57] for multi-modal fusion, their design purpose is especially for the visual question answering task [57] or image-audio fusion task [46]. As far as we know, our work is the first attempt to utilize the NAS algorithms to tackle the multi-modal multi-scale feature fusion problem for RGB-D SOD.

3. Method

In this section, we illustrate the proposed depth-sensitive attention and automatic multi-modal fusion (DSA²F) framework in detail. First, we briefly introduce an overview of the proposed framework. Then, we describe the proposed depth-sensitive attention. Next, we elaborate on the task-specific module for the automatic multi-modal multi-scale feature fusion. Finally, we illustrate the whole optimization strategy.

3.1. Overview

In DSA²F, the whole network consists of an RGB branch, a depth branch, and a specially-designed fusion module, as shown in Fig. 2. The RGB branch is based on
VGG-19 [53], and the depth branch is a lightweight depth network to obtain the depth features of different scales.

We plug in a depth-sensitive attention module (DSAM) following each down-sampling layer in the RGB branch. Each DSAM utilizes a raw depth map to enhance the RGB features. Specifically, we decompose the raw depth map into multiple regions. Each region, which contains the pixel values from the same depth distribution mode, is considered as a spatial attention map to extract the corresponding RGB features.

To fuse the enhanced RGB features and the depth features automatically, we propose a multi-modal multi-scale feature fusion module. In the RGB-D SOD literature [21, 31, 32, 47, 59, 60, 63], three consistent principles are noticeable: 1) The features from different modalities of the same scale are always fused, while features in different scales are selectively fused. 2) Low-level features are always combined with high-level features before the final prediction, as low-level features are rich in spatial details but lack semantic information and vice versa. 3) Attention mechanism is necessary when performing the feature fusion of different modalities. With these common practices, we design a new search space adapted to the multi-modal multi-scale fusion, which contains four different architectures i.e., the multi-modal fusion (MM), multi-scale fusion (MS), global context aggregation (GA) and spatial information restoration (SR) cells.

### 3.2. Depth-Sensitive Attention

We propose a depth-sensitive RGB feature modeling scheme, including the depth decomposition and the depth-sensitive attention module. The raw depth map is decomposed into $T+1$ regions with the following steps. First, we quantize the raw depth map into the depth histogram, and choose the $T$ largest depth distribution modes (corresponding to the $T$ depth interval windows) of the depth histogram. Then, using these depth interval windows, the raw depth map can be decomposed into $T$ regions, and the remaining part of the histogram naturally forms the last region, as shown in Fig. 3(a). Finally, each region is normalized into $[0,1]$ as a spatial attention mask for the subsequent process.

After obtaining these attention masks, we describe the depth-sensitive attention module in detail. In DSAM, the obtained attention masks give rise to $T+1$ sub-branches in the RGB branch, as shown in Fig. 3(b). Formally, let $F_{k}^{rgb} \in \mathbb{R}^{C_{k} \times H_{k} \times W_{k}}$ be the RGB feature maps in the $k$-th stage of the RGB branch, where $C_{k}$, $H_{k}$, and $W_{k}$ represent the number of channels, the height, and width, respectively. Denote $b_t$ as the $t$-th attention mask obtained in the above depth decomposition process. We utilize the max-pooling operation to align the masks to the size of $F_{k}^{rgb}$ as

$$p_{t} = \text{MaxPool}(b_{t}), \quad (1)$$

where $p_{t} \in \mathbb{R}^{H_{k} \times W_{k}}$. Next, we utilize the resized masks, $\{p_1, p_2, \cdots, p_{T+1}\}$, to extract the depth-sensitive features in $T+1$ parallel sub-branches. Specifically, we multiply each mask $p_{t}$ with each channel of RGB features $F_{k}^{rgb}$ and...
use a $1 \times 1$ convolution layer in $t$-th sub-branch as a transition layer, to refine the RGB features from various depth intervals. After that, we aggregate all the depth-sensitive features from $T+1$ sub-branches by an element-wise summation operation,

$$F_k^{enh} = \sum_{t=1}^{T} \text{Conv}_{1 \times 1}(p_t \otimes F_k^{rgb})$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

where $F_k^{enh}$ is the enhanced RGB features and $\otimes$ indicates the element-wise multiplication. Finally, we introduce a residual connection and get the final output features,

$$r_k = F_k^{enh} + F_k^{rgb}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

In this way, DSAM not only provides depth-wise geometric prior knowledge for RGB features, but also eliminates the intractable background distraction (e.g. cluttered objects or similar texture). Furthermore, the ablation experiments in Section 4.4 also verify the effectiveness of our DSAM.

3.3. Auto Multi-Modal Multi-Scale Feature Fusion

We propose an automatic multi-modal multi-scale fusion module for RGB-D SOD. First, we describe the designed four types of cells, i.e., MM, MS, GA, SR cells, and they build up the entire task-specific search space. Then, we elaborate on the search space of the fusion module, in which the cells of four types cooperate in a sequential pipeline. Finally, we describe the internal structure of each cell.

**Cell types.** For RGB-D SOD, we design four types of cells and each cell is a searchable unit in NAS. First, we use MM cells to directly perform multi-modal feature fusion between RGB and depth branches. Second, we use MS cells for the dense multi-scale feature fusion. Third, we utilize GA cell to aggregate seamlessly the outputs of the MS cells for capturing the global context. Finally, we introduce SR cells to combine the low-level and high-level features to remedy the spatial detail loss caused by downsampling. The whole execution process in the proposed search space is detailed as follows.

**Search space.** By the searchable fusion module, we fuse the RGB features $\{r_1, r_2, \cdots, r_5\}$ with depth features $\{d_1, d_2, \cdots, d_5\}$ as shown in Fig. 2. Specifically, first, we take the adjacent features from both branches as the input of MM cells, to obtain the multi-modal features:

$$C_n = \text{MM}_n(r_{n+1}, r_{n+2}, d_{n+1}, d_{n+2}), n \in \{1, 2, 3\},$$ \hspace{1cm} (4)

where $C_n$ is the output of the $n$-th CM cell.

Next, we carry out further dense feature fusion through MS cells. There are two kinds of multi-scale fusion, i.e., to fuse each multi-modal feature with original features in different scales by three MS cells, and to fuse all the derived multi-modal multi-scale features by another MS cell. The process can be represented as:

$$D_m = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll}
\text{MS}_m(r_4, C_1, d_4), & m = 1, \\
\text{MS}_m(r_5, C_2, d_5), & m = 2, \\
\text{MS}_m(r_3, C_3, d_3), & m = 3, \\
\text{MS}_m(C_1, C_2, C_3), & m = 4,
\end{array} \right.$$ \hspace{1cm} (5)

where $m$ is the index of the MS cells.

After that, a GA cell is introduced to seamlessly integrate the outputs of the above four MS cells for global context aggregation, which is calculated by:

$$G = \text{GA}\{\{D_m\}\}, m \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}.$$ \hspace{1cm} (6)

Finally, to compensate the loss of spatial detail caused by downsampling, we use two sequential SR cells to fuse the high-level features $G$ and the low-level features (i.e. $r_1$, $d_1$ or $r_2$, $d_2$) as:

$$L_1 = \text{SR}_1(\sigma(G), d_2, r_2),$$

$$L_2 = \text{SR}_2(\sigma(L_1), d_1, r_1),$$ \hspace{1cm} (7)

where $\sigma$ indicates the upsampling function. In the end, a simple decoder is adopted for supervision. The decoder
contains two bilinear upsampling functions, each of which is followed by three convolutional layers.

**Cell structure.** Each aforementioned cell can be formulated by a unified structure, which is a directed acyclic graph (DAG) consisting of an ordered sequence of $N$ nodes, denoted by $\mathcal{N} = \{x^{(1)}, \ldots, x^{(N)}\}$. Each node $x^{(i)}$ is a latent representation (i.e., feature map), and each directed edge $(i, j)$ is associated with some candidate operations $\alpha^{(i, j)} \in \mathcal{O}$ (e.g., conv, pooling), representing all possible transformations from $x^{(i)}$ to $x^{(j)}$. Each intermediate node $x^{(j)}$ is computed based on all of its predecessors:

$$x^{(j)} = \sum_{i<j} \alpha^{(i, j)}(x^{(i)}). \quad (8)$$

To make the search space continuous, we relax the categorical choice of a particular operation to a softmax over all possible operations [37]:

$$\tilde{\alpha}^{(i, j)}(x) = \sum_{o \in \mathcal{O}} \text{Softmax}(\alpha_o^{(i, j)} o(x)), \quad (9)$$

where $o(\cdot)$ is an operation in the operation set $\mathcal{O}$, and $\alpha_o^{(i, j)}$ is the learnable architecture parameter of the operation selection for edge $(i, j)$. Thus, each cell architecture is denoted by $\{\alpha^{(i, j)}\}$. The whole searchable fusion module can be represented as $\alpha = \{\alpha_{mm}, \alpha_{ms}, \alpha_{ga}, \alpha_{sr}\}$. Cells of the same type share the same architecture parameters, but with different weights. After the searching phase, an optimal operation can be determined by replacing each mixed operation $\tilde{\alpha}^{(i, j)}$ with the most likely operation (i.e., $\arg\max_{o \in \mathcal{O}} \alpha_o^{(i, j)}$).

**Discussion.** Let us retrospect the three consistent principles in the RGB-D literature, as discussed in Section 3.1. Our task-specific search space is general enough to cover the above mentioned common practices. To be specific, the design philosophy for MM and MS cells meets the requirement of multi-modal feature fusion in not only the same scale but also different scales. Then, the GA cell introduces the low-level spatial information to the high-level features. Moreover, we add the spatial and channel attention operations into the candidate operation set $\mathcal{O}$ to explore the collocation of attentions, and detailed analysis can be found in Section 4.4.

### 3.4. Optimization

The optimization of our framework consists of two stages. First, we search the multi-modal fusion module. Then, we optimize the whole network.

**Multi-modal fusion module search.** During the search progress, we hold out half of the original training data as the validation set. We use the bi-level optimization [2, 16] to jointly optimize architecture parameter $\alpha$ and network weights $w$:

$$\min_{\alpha} \mathcal{L}_{\text{val}}(w^*(\alpha), \alpha) \quad \text{s.t.} \quad w^*(\alpha) = \arg\min_w \mathcal{L}_{\text{train}}(w, \alpha), \quad (10)$$

where $\mathcal{L}_{\text{val}}$ and $\mathcal{L}_{\text{train}}$ denote validation loss and training loss (both are the cross-entropy loss), respectively. Then the fusion module is obtained by the discrete $\alpha$ by Eq. (10).

**The whole network optimization.** With the obtained fusion module, the whole network is optimized on the whole training data by the standard cross-entropy loss for the saliency detection.

$$w^* = \min_w \mathcal{L}_{\text{train}}(w, \alpha). \quad (11)$$

### 4. Experiments

In this section, we conduct extensive experiments to verify the effectiveness of our method. Firstly, we compare our DSA$^2$F with other state-of-the-art methods on seven standard benchmarks. Secondly, we perform a series of ablation studies to evaluate each component of our framework.

#### 4.1. Datasets and Evaluation Metrics

**Datasets.** We perform our experiments on seven widely used RGB-D datasets for fair comparisons, including DUT-RGBD [47], NJUD [29], NLPR [45], SSD [65], STEREO [42], LFSD [33] and RGBD135 [14]. To guarantee fair comparisons, we choose the same 800 samples from DUT- RGBD, 700 samples from NLPR and 1485 samples from NJUD as ATSA [59] to train our model. The remaining images and other datasets are for testing to comprehensively verify the generalization ability of saliency models.

**Evaluation metrics.** To comprehensively and fairly evaluate various methods, we employ four widely used metrics, including mean F-measure ($F_\beta$) [1], mean absolute error ($\mathcal{M}$) [5], S-measure ($S_\alpha$) [17], E-measure ($\xi$) [18]. Specifically, the F-measure can evaluate the overall performance based on the region similarity. The $\mathcal{M}$ measures the average of the per-pixel absolute difference between the saliency maps and the ground truth. The S-measure that is recently proposed can evaluate the structural similarities. The E-measure can jointly utilize image-level statistics and local pixel-level statistics for evaluating the binary saliency map.

#### 4.2. Implementation Details

Our method is implemented with PyTorch toolbox [44]. For the depth branch, we use the DepthNet [59] which is a lightweight network compared with VGG-19. For the depth-sensitive attention module, the number of depth decomposition regions is 3. In the search process, the node numbers of the MM, MS, GA, SR cells are 8, 8, 8, 4, respectively. For the candidate operation set $\mathcal{O}$, we collect the
O as follows: max pooling, skip connection, 3 × 3 conv, 1 × 1 conv, 3 × 3 separable conv, 3 × 3 dilated conv (dilation=2), 3 × 3 spatial attention and 1 × 1 channel attention. For the training hyper-parameters, the batch size is set to 8. The architecture parameters α are optimized by Adam, with an initial learning rate 3e-4, a β = (0.5, 0.999) and a weight decay 1e-3. The network parameters are optimized using SGD with an initial learning rate of 0.025, a momentum of 0.9 and a weight decay of 3e-4. The search process contains 50 epochs and takes approximately 20 hours on 4 GTX 1080Ti GPUs.

After searching, the network is trained on a GTX 1080Ti GPU, and the input images are uniformly resized to 256 × 256. The momentum, weight decay and learning rate of our network are set as 0.9, 5e-4 and 1e-10, respectively. The network converges after 60 epochs with mini-batch size 2. To reduce overfitting, we augment the training set by randomly flipping, cropping and rotating the training images.

4.3 Comparison with State-of-the-Art

We compare our DSA^2F with 18 other state-of-the-art methods on seven widely-used benchmarks, and for a fair comparison, we recalculate the mean F-measure of other methods according to their provided saliency maps if they report the max F-measure in the paper.

Quantitative comparison. Table 1 shows the quantitative comparison in terms of four evaluation metrics on seven datasets. All results in the table are quoted or tested by VGG-19 [53] backbone for a fair comparison. It can be seen that DSA^2F significantly outperforms the competing methods across all the datasets in most metrics. Especially, DSA^2F outperforms all other methods by a dramatic margin on the LSFD and DUT-RGBD dataset, which are considered as more challenging datasets due to the large number of complex scenes like similar foreground and background, low-contrast and transparent object. Moreover, DSA^2F consistently surpasses all other state-of-the-art methods in seven datasets in terms of the overall performance metric (i.e., Fβ).

Qualitative comparisons. To further illustrate the superior performance of our method, Fig 4 shows some visual results of the proposed method and other state-of-the-art methods. From those results, we can observe that our method is able to accurately segment salient objects under various challenging scenarios, including images with low contrast foreground and background (1st and 2nd rows), cluttered distraction objects (3rd, 4th and 5th rows), blurry depth (9th and 9th rows), and fine structures (10th and 11th rows). These results further demonstrate our approach could eliminate the background distraction obviously in utilizing the depth prior knowledge. Moreover, the object
Figure 4. Qualitative comparison of the state-of-the-art RGB-D SOD methods and our approach. Obviously, saliency maps produced by our model are clearer and more accurate than others in various challenging scenarios.

Table 2. Ablation study for DSAM on three widely-used datasets.

| #  | Settings          | DUT-RGBD | NLPR | SSD       |
|----|-------------------|----------|------|-----------|
|    |                   | $\mathcal{F}_\beta \uparrow \mathcal{M} \downarrow$ | $\mathcal{F}_\beta \uparrow \mathcal{M} \downarrow$ | $\mathcal{F}_\beta \uparrow \mathcal{M} \downarrow$ |
| 1  | Baseline (B)      | .830     | .732 | .736 .091 |
| 2  | B + DSAM[+]       | .875     | .771 | .747 .077 |
| 3  | B + DSAM[c]       | .873     | .790 | .753 .071 |
| 4  | B + DSAM[*]       | .889     | .813 | .810 .062 |

boundaries (6th and 7th rows) of our results are more clear and sharper than others, which preserves more details.

4.4. Ablation Analysis

In this section, we perform a series of ablation studies to further investigate the relative importance and specific contribution of each component in the proposed framework. Effectiveness of depth-sensitive attention module. In order to verify the effectiveness of the proposed depth-sensitive attention module, we conduct a series of experiments with different strategies: 1) Baseline. The network contains a VGG-19 backbone for the RGB branch and a DepthNet for the depth branch, as shown in Fig. 5 (a). 2-4) The network consists of the VGG-19 backbone equipped with different DSAMs and the DepthNet. As for the strategies 2-4, as shown in Fig. 5 (b), we try different fusion operations of the depth masks and the RGB features for DSAM. The strategies 2,3,4 represent ‘element-wise sum-
and DSAM achieves the best accuracy when $T + 1$ in our method, thus we perform the experiments with different number of depth decomposition is an important hyper-parameter.

### Table 4. Ablation analysis for the different region numbers $T + 1$.

| #  | Settings   | DUT-RGBD $F_\beta \uparrow$ | NIU $\downarrow$ | NLPR $F_\beta \uparrow$ | SSD $F_\beta \uparrow$ | STERE $F_\beta \uparrow$ | LFSD $F_\beta \uparrow$ | RGBD135 $F_\beta \uparrow$ |
|----|------------|-------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------------|---------------------|---------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| 1  | Baseline (B) | .830 .069                     | .821 .066       | .732 .056             | .736 .091           | .786 .073           | .801 .092         | .762 .047         |
| 2  | B + DSAM   | .889 .051                     | .853 .055       | .813 .039             | .810 .062           | .816 .064           | .823 .083         | .823 .035         |
| 3  | B + DSAM + ACMF | **.926 .030**               | **.901 .039**  | **.897 .024**         | **.852 .045**       | **.898 .036**       | **.882 .054**     | **.896 .021**     |

Figure 6. Visualization results of depth decomposition. Row (a), (b), (c) represent the RGB image, ground truth, depth map. Row (d), (e), (f) show the three regions of the depth decomposition.

### Table 3. Ablation study of each module in DSAF.

| #  | Settings | DUT-RGBD $F_\beta \uparrow$ | NIU $\downarrow$ | NLPR $F_\beta \uparrow$ | SSD $F_\beta \uparrow$ | STERE $F_\beta \uparrow$ | LFSD $F_\beta \uparrow$ | RGBD135 $F_\beta \uparrow$ |
|----|----------|-------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------------|---------------------|---------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| 1  | Baseline (B) | .830 .069                     | .821 .066       | .732 .056             | .736 .091           | .786 .073           | .801 .092         | .762 .047         |
| 2  | B + DSAM   | .889 .051                     | .853 .055       | .813 .039             | .810 .062           | .816 .064           | .823 .083         | .823 .035         |
| 3  | B + DSAM + ACMF | **.926 .030**               | **.901 .039**  | **.897 .024**         | **.852 .045**       | **.898 .036**       | **.882 .054**     | **.896 .021**     |

Table 5. Ablation study for the designed search space. MM, MS, GA, SR are four types of cells mentioned above. AT represents the attention operations in the search space.

| MM | MS | GA | SR | AT | DUT-RGBD $F_\beta \uparrow$ | NLPR $F_\beta \uparrow$ |
|----|----|----|----|----|----------------------------|---------------------|
| ✓  | ✓  | ✓  | ✓  | ✓  | .889 .051                   | .813 .039           |
| ✓  | ✓  | ✓  | ✓  | ✓  | .908 .043                   | .837 .033           |
| ✓  | ✓  | ✓  | ✓  | ✓  | .912 .041                   | .846 .033           |
| ✓  | ✓  | ✓  | ✓  | ✓  | .918 .037                   | .857 .030           |
| ✓  | ✓  | ✓  | ✓  | ✓  | .919 .035                   | .868 .028           |

Effect of the number of depth regions. The region number of depth decomposition is an important hyper-parameter in our method, thus we perform the experiments with different $T + 1$ values. Table 4 lists the performance as $T$ varies, and DSAM achieves the best accuracy when $T + 1$ is 3.

Effectiveness of the task-specific search space. In this part, we conduct the corresponding ablation studies to evaluate the effectiveness of each type of cell in our multi-modal search space. We perform the architecture search process and retrain the whole network under different search spaces. The corresponding results are shown in Table 5.

Effectiveness of the attentions in our search space. To demonstrate the effectiveness of the attention operations, we perform the searching process with or without the spatial and channel attention operations. The corresponding results are shown in Table 5. With the injection of the attention operations, the performance of the model has a large improvement, which demonstrates that the attention mechanism plays an important role in RGB-D SOD.

### 5. Conclusion

In this paper, we have proposed a two-stream framework named DSAF for RGB-D saliency detection. In the framework, we have introduced a depth-sensitive attention module (DSAM) to effectively enhance the RGB features and reduce the background distraction by utilizing the depth geometry information. Furthermore, we have designed a task-specific search space tailored for the multi-modal multi-scale feature fusion and obtained a powerful fusion architecture automatically. Extensive experiments have demonstrated the effectiveness of our framework against previous state-of-the-art methods, and the visualization results have proved that our network is capable of precisely capturing salient regions in challenging scenes.
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