Monitoring epidermal growth factor receptor C797S mutation in Japanese non–small cell lung cancer patients with serial cell-free DNA evaluation using digital droplet PCR
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Abstract
Osimertinib is a third-generation epidermal growth factor tyrosine kinase inhibitor (EGFR-TKI) that is effective in treating both naïve and T790M-mutated EGFR-TKI-resistant non–small cell lung cancer patients. The EGFR C797S mutation is the major osimertinib resistance mechanism. The present study monitored the EGFR C797S mutation during osimertinib treatment in Japanese patients using droplet digital PCR (ddPCR). In our first cohort, C797S detection was validated with tumor specimens and/or plasma samples from 26 patients using ddPCR with custom-designed probes detecting and discriminating T790M and C797S in cis and trans positions. In the second cohort, 18 patients with EGFR-T790M who were going to start osimertinib were analyzed using ddPCR by collecting the plasma samples every month from the beginning of the course of osimertinib. In our first cohort, C797S detection was validated with tumor specimens and/or plasma samples from 26 patients using ddPCR with custom-designed probes detecting and discriminating T790M and C797S in cis and trans positions. In our second cohort, serial cfDNA evaluation revealed that the rate of EGFR mutation changes with disease state. Increases of EGFR mutation were detected, including C797S several months before the diagnosis of disease progression. As with the first cohort, C797S was detected in 15.4% of patients. C797S and T790M in cis and trans positions were distinguished using ddPCR. In the second cohort, serial cfDNA evaluation revealed that the rate of EGFR mutation changes with disease state. Increases of EGFR mutation were detected, including C797S several months before the diagnosis of disease progression. As with the first cohort, C797S and T790M in cis and trans position were distinguished by ddPCR at disease progression. Coincidentally, in the first cohort, next generation sequencing detected NRAS Q61K mutation and the resistance with NRAS Q61K mutation was overcome by trametinib. In the second cohort, serial
cfDNA analysis was useful for evaluating bone oligo-progression and local radiation therapy.
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1 | INTRODUCTION

Epidermal growth factor receptor tyrosine kinase inhibitors (EGFR-TKI) inhibit the EGFR and its downstream signaling pathways by binding to the adenosine triphosphate (ATP)-binding pocket of the EGFR-tyrosine kinase domain, which strongly suppresses the growth of EGFR-mutant non–small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) cells. EGFR-TKI have been shown to prolong the progression-free survival (PFS) of patients with EGFR-mutant NSCLC. However, cancer cells acquire resistance to EGFR-TKI. One of the resistance mechanisms is via the secondary point mutation Thr790Met (T790M), which decreases the relative binding affinity of TKI to the ATP-binding site of EGFR.

Osimertinib, a third-generation EGFR-TKI, was developed to overcome this resistance by covalently binding to T790M-mutated EGFR. Its efficacy in T790M-positive patients with advanced NSCLC has been evaluated in a phase 3 clinical trial. The results showed that the median PFS was significantly longer with osimertinib than that with platinum-based chemotherapy. However, a wide variety of osimertinib-resistant mechanisms have been reported (eg, C797S, G796D, L718Q, MET amplification, BRAF V600E mutation, and small-cell lung cancer [SCLC] transformation). C797S is one of the major resistance mechanisms that impair the covalent binding between EGFR and osimertinib. Preclinical model studies suggested some strategies to overcome resistance due to C797S. Detection of C797S and understanding osimertinib resistance mechanisms are important.

Cell-free DNA (cfDNA) from plasma samples contains the DNA of malignant tumors. Thus, it is possible to detect EGFR mutations, not only activating mutations but also resistance mutations like T790M from plasma cfDNA. A clinical trial confirmed the efficacy of osimertinib treatment based on EGFR mutation status in plasma cfDNA. Moreover, the amount of cfDNA with EGFR mutation has been reported to change with treatment response and disease progression. For example, after EGFR-TKI treatment, the EGFR mutation becomes negative in plasma cfDNA, whereas after the disease progression, the EGFR mutation becomes positive again. However, EGFR mutation in cfDNA has not been fully evaluated during osimertinib treatment. For example, the mechanism of each EGFR mutation status change (activating mutation, T790M, and C797S) under osimertinib treatment beyond progression or after local radiation therapy remains unknown.

Therefore, in our first cohort we validated the C797S detection from plasma cfDNA using digital droplet PCR (ddPCR) by evaluating the concordance between tissue and plasma clinical samples. In addition to a commercially available independent ddPCR probe for C797S and T790M, a novel original probe set that could detect and distinguish C797S and T790M mutations in cis and trans positions was used. In the second cohort, we checked the EGFR mutation profile (exon 19 deletion, L858R, T790M, and C797S) in the plasma cfDNA during and after the osimertinib treatment in the serial analysis. Serial EGFR mutation examination with cfDNA in the plasma enables early detection of resistance mutation emergence, including EGFR C797S, some months earlier than the radiological progression. Thus, serial monitoring of the EGFR mutation status, including C797S, would be useful in developing an appropriate treatment strategy involving the administration of osimertinib.

2 | MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 | Patients and samples

The first cohort included 60 Japanese patients with NSCLC with EGFR T790M mutation who started osimertinib treatment after the first or second generation EGFR-TKI treatment in the Department of Thoracic Medical Oncology at the Cancer Institute Hospital of the Japanese Foundation for Cancer Research (JFCR) from June 2014 to January 2018. Among these patients, 50.0% had EGFR exon 19 deletion, 48.3% had EGFR L858R, and 1.7% had EGFR L861Q. A total of 26 patients agreed to undergo a tumor specimen assay and/or plasma sample analysis after the disease progression.

In the second cohort, 18 Japanese patients with NSCLC with EGFR T790M mutation started osimertinib treatment after the first-generation or second-generation EGFR-TKI treatment at the Cancer Institute Hospital of the JFCR from December 2017 to November 2018. Among them, 83.3% had EGFR exon 19 deletion, and 16.7% had EGFR L858R. Plasma samples were collected almost every month from the start to 6 months after the osimertinib treatment.

The systemic response to osimertinib was evaluated using RECIST v1.1. All patients underwent computed tomography every 2-3 months, with a few exceptions. All patients provided written informed consent, and this study was approved by the Institutional Review Board of the Cancer Institute Hospital in the JFCR.

2.2 | Nucleic acid extraction

DNA was extracted from cytology or histology samples using the cobas EGFR Mutation Test kit (Roche Molecular Systems), the RNasy Mini Kit (Qiagen), or the DNeasy Blood & Tissue
Kit (Qiagen) as per the manufacturer’s instructions. cfDNA was extracted from plasma samples using the Maxwell RSC cfDNA Plasma Kit (Promega) or the QIAamp MinElute cfDNA Kit (Qiagen) following the manufacturer’s instructions. DNA concentration was measured using the Qubit dsDNA HS Assay Kit (Invitrogen, Life Technologies) on a Qubit 2.0 Fluorometer (Invitrogen, Life Technologies).

2.3 | Digital droplet PCR for detecting C797S

The method used in ddPCR for detecting EGFR exon 19 deletion, L858R, and T790M is described in our previously published report. In brief, a probe was obtained from Riken Genesis to detect C797S. Cycling conditions for PCR were determined according to the manual. Assays were considered positive if ≥10 copies were observed per reaction (20 µL) based on false-positive droplet counts from human reference genomic DNA (average C797S copies, 2.36). For the detection of cis-trans-C797S with T790M, novel probes were designed. Forward primer: CATCTGCCATCCCTCAC, reverse primer: CGTATCTCCCTCTCCTGATTAC. T790M mutation probe: FAM/IBFQ, CA+TC+A+T+GC+A+GC. C797S mutation probe-1 (c.2390): FAM/IBFQ, CG+GC+T+C+CCTC. C797S mutation probe-2 (c.2389): FAM/IBFQ, TCGG+G+C+A+GCCT+C. WT probe: HEX/IBFQ, TCA+TC+A+C+GC+A+GC.

2.4 | Deep sequencing

A targeted amplicon sequencing library was prepared by amplifying exon 20 of EGFR by PCR. Paired-end sequencing (2 × 150 bp) was performed using the MiSeq platform. To test whether the two mutations, T790M and C797S, were located in the same allele (cis) or different alleles (trans), four reference FASTA files with or without these mutations were prepared. The obtained sequence reads were then mapped to these four reference sequences using BLAT and the reads with lowest mismatches were counted.

For the target sequencing analysis, the library was prepared using a Haloplex custom panel (Agilent), which was designed to detect well-known cancer-associated somatic mutations. Paired-end sequencing (2 × 150 bp) was performed on the MiSeq platform. Sequence reads were aligned to the UCSC hg19 reference genome using the Burrows-Wheeler Aligner (BWA) (version 0.7.10). Read pairs with a mapping quality of <30 and with mismatches of more than 5% of the read length were excluded. Somatic variants were called by in-house pipeline.

2.5 | Establishment of osimertinib-resistant PC9 cells with NRAS Q61K

PC9 cells, harboring the EGFR del19 mutation, were treated with increasing concentrations of osimertinib for 6-12 months and several osimertinib-resistant PC9 cell lines were established. From the in-house NGS analysis detecting cancer-related 108 genes, NRAS Q61K mutation was found in osimertinib-resistant PC9 cells.

2.6 | Cell viability assays

We carried out 3-day cell viability assays by plating 2000 cells per well into black transparent-bottom 96-well plates. On the following day, the cells were treated with the indicated TKI across a 10-dose range from 0.3 nmol/L to 10 µmol/L. After 72 hours of drug treatment, cell viability was measured using the CellTiter-Glo assay (Promega).

2.7 | Statistical analysis

Fisher’s exact and χ² tests were used for categorical comparison of data, based on group number, and the Mann-Whitney U-test was used to compare differences in continuous data. Paired t tests were used to compare differences in continuous and paired data. All P-values were based on a two-sided hypothesis. We performed all statistical analyses using the JMP statistical software package 13 (SAS Institute).

3 | RESULTS

3.1 | Concordance of C797S, T790M mutation detection between tumor cell DNA and plasma cfDNA

Osimertinib-resistant tumor cell DNA and/or plasma cfDNA of 26 patients was analyzed in the first cohort. Patient characteristics, a flow chart representing the availability of each examination, a summary of treatment history, and the resistance mechanism of 26 patients are shown in Table S1, Figures S1-S3. C797S was detected by ddPCR in 15.4% (4/26) of patients, and T790M loss was detected in 65.4% (17/26) (Figure 1A). The concordance rate between tumor cell DNA and plasma cell-free DNA was 88.9% (8/9) for detecting C797S and 100% (9/9) for detecting T790M (Figure 1B).

3.2 | Validation to detect and discriminate EGFR-T790M/C797S in cis and trans positions with droplet digital PCR

We validated the detection of C797S by ddPCR. JFCR163 is a cell line from the specimen of osimertinib-resistant patient No. 20. The EGFR of this cell line was sequenced, and C797S was detected (Figure 2A). Using this sample, ddPCR was performed and showed “a positive” result in the cis position (Figure 2B). Next, we assayed
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3.3 NRAS Q61K mutation is an osimertinib-resistant mechanism, which is overcome with trametinib and osimertinib treatment

While we were analyzing the osimertinib resistance mechanism including C797S in the first cohort, coincidentally NGS sequencing detected the NRAS Q61K mutation in 1 patient (Figure 3A). As an independent experiment, we established osimertinib-resistant PC9 cells that harbored the NRAS-Q61K mutation; resistance was overcome with trametinib treatment combined with osimertinib (Figure 3B).

3.4 Fractional abundance of epidermal growth factor receptor mutation including C797S in cfDNA changes with osimertinib treatment response and disease progression

In the second cohort, 18 patients started osimertinib treatment after T790M detection. In 44.4% (8/18) of patients, EGFR-activating mutation in the plasma cfDNA was positive with ddPCR at least once during the follow-up period. All patients’ clinical backgrounds are shown in Table S2. The EGFR-activating mutation tended to be negative, especially when disease was limited to the intrathoracic region and the number of metastatic organs was small.
The fractional abundance chart of the EGFR mutation in 8 patients is depicted in Figures 4 and 5. Pretreatment, EGFR-activating mutation in plasma cfDNA was positive in 7 patients, whereas posttreatment, the fractional abundance of EGFR-activating mutation in plasma cfDNA decreased to almost zero in all 7 patients. Disease progression was confirmed in 6 patients, and 5 of them had EGFR-activating mutations. EGFR C797S mutation was detected in cfDNA before disease progression in 3 cases. EGFR T790M/C797S in cis and trans positions was also discriminated with ddPCR using our original probes (both case A7 and case A19 harbored EGFR T790M/C797S in cis). Fractional abundance changes of EGFR-activating mutation are significantly more correlated with the treatment response and disease progression than carcinoembryonic antigen (CEA), a major clinical tumor marker (Figure 6).
3.5  Fractional abundance changes of epidermal growth factor receptor mutation in cases of bone oligo-progression and after a local radiation therapy

We focused on 2 patients. In both cases, EGFR mutation in the plasma cfDNA increased a few months before the radiological progression. It became negative after the local radiation therapy for bone oligo-progression.

Case A17 was a 56-year-old woman with NSCLC with EGFR exon 19 deletion. She was treated with erlotinib; however, the disease progressed with multiple brain metastases. EGFR T790M mutation was confirmed in the plasma cfDNA, and she started osimertinib treatment. The EGFR mutation in the plasma cfDNA became negative and she continued osimertinib treatment. The EGFR mutation in the plasma cfDNA started to increase after 8 months of continuous treatment; however, her routine CT and brain MRI examination did not reveal disease progression. After that, she complained of left leg pain and PET-CT examination revealed disease progression of left femur bone metastasis; however, the other metastases remained in remission. Local radiation therapy (30 Gy/10 Fr) was performed on the left femur bone for pain control. Consequently, the EGFR mutation in the plasma cfDNA became negative again. The patient continued osimertinib treatment beyond progression, and the disease remained stable for several months without disease progression (Figure 5A).

Case A19 was a 76-year-old woman with NSCLC with EGFR exon 19 deletion. Disease progression was confirmed as an S1 vertebrae metastasis under afatinib treatment. The patient started osimertinib after detection of T790M mutation in the plasma cfDNA. The EGFR mutation in the plasma cfDNA became negative but soon increased again. Routine CT scans did not detect disease progression at around 1-year posttreatment. However, back pain occurred after 8 months of treatment, and repeat MRI examination revealed L5 vertebrae metastasis progression. Local radiation therapy (39 Gy/13 Fr) was performed on the L5 vertebrae for pain control. Consequently, EGFR mutation in the plasma cfDNA decreased to zero, and the patient continued osimertinib treatment beyond progression for 6 months without disease progression (Figure 5B).

4  DISCUSSION

We first validated the C797S detection from plasma cfDNA with ddPCR using clinical samples. The concordance rate between tumor cell DNA and plasma cfDNA was high at disease progression after osimertinib treatment. Then, ddPCR was used to distinguish cis and
trans C797S from T790M using novel specific probes. Past reports also showed the efficacy of ddPCR in detecting C797S; however, the efficacy of ddPCR in distinguishing EGFR-T790M/C797S in cis and trans, validated with clinical samples, has not been reported. A difference in treatment strategies was observed between T790M and C797S in the cis and trans positions: while brigatinib with an anti-EGFR antibody has been a promising treatment option for tumors with cis-C797S/T790M, osimertinib combined with gefitinib has been found to be effective against trans-C797S and T790M. Therefore, detection of C797S in the cis and trans positions using ddPCR would be useful in clinical practice. At present, treatment targeting C797S has not been approved in Japan; therefore, we are trying to include these patients in clinical trials. NGS has been widely used to detect multiple gene mutations. Although NGS can also distinguish EGFR T790M/C797S in cis and trans positions, ddPCR is cheaper to use and has a faster turnaround time than NGS. Therefore, ddPCR would be useful, especially in serially monitoring EGFR mutations during osimertinib treatment.

Coincidentally, we found the NRAS Q61K mutation through the NGS in analyzing the osimertinib-resistant tumor cells. Trametinib with osimertinib was effective against tumors with NRAS Q61K mutation in the cell line model. Therefore, a clinical trial to evaluate the effect of trametinib combination treatment for NSCLC patients resistant to osimertinib is expected.

After validating the detection of the EGFR C797S mutation in plasma cfDNA, serial evaluation of EGFR mutation (active mutation, T790M and C797S) was performed in the plasma cfDNA during and after osimertinib treatment in the second cohort. EGFR mutation in the plasma cfDNA has been reported to decrease with EGFR-TKI treatment and to increase with disease progression, but a serial evaluation of EGFR T790M and C797S status during the osimertinib treatment has not been fully reported. We found that C797S in
cfDNA also changes with treatment response, and confirmed that the EGFR T790M and C797S in cis or trans position were detectable in plasma cfDNA some months before clinical disease progression. We further found that the fractional abundance of EGFR mutation in the plasma cfDNA might be a more reliable plasma biomarker of osimertinib response than CEA, a widely used tumor marker. While we were preparing this manuscript, Romero et al reported on the EGFR mutation, including C797S monitoring during osimertinib treatment. The present study has some significance because we validated the detection of EGFR C797S mutation with clinical samples, showed C797S monitoring including cis/trans analysis, conducted closed and periodic monitoring, and illustrated the relationship between EGFR mutation and CEA in a Japanese cohort.

We focused on 2 patients who had disease progression with bone oligo-progression, who were treated with local radiation therapy and continued osimertinib treatment. Several reports showed the usefulness of cfDNA for early detection of disease progression, and local radiation therapy decreases the amount of mutant EGFR DNA. Indeed, not routine CT scans but PET-CT and MRI successfully detected the bone metastasis in 2 patients. Therefore, the usefulness of cfDNA in detecting bone oligo-progression should be emphasized. Second, the treatment strategy for oligo-progression is still debatable. There is no reliable biomarker to continue osimertinib treatment after local therapy. We clearly showed that EGFR mutation in the plasma cfDNA became negative after the local radiation therapy, and patients continued the osimertinib treatment over several months without disease progression. During the osimertinib treatment beyond progression, EGFR mutation in the plasma cfDNA was maintained at low levels, which might indicate that it could be a reliable biomarker to continue osimertinib beyond progression.

This study had certain limitations. First, the number of patients in this study is small, which decreases the importance of our results. Increasing the cohort size would be necessary in a future study. Second, the detection rate of EGFR mutation in the plasma cfDNA was not high, and the negative result in liquid biopsy can be a sensitivity issue and not a real negative. The technical improvement would be desirable to introduce it into clinical practice. A past
report showed that the sensitivity of EGFR mutation detection in cfdNA is low when diseases are limited to the intrathoracic region.\textsuperscript{33} Therefore, we also evaluated the disease state in the second cohort. We found that EGFR mutation in cfdNA tended not to be detected when the disease was in intrathoracic areas. Therefore, analysis of the cfdNA from patients with intrathoracic disease requires careful interpretation.

In conclusion, we found that EGFR-T790M/C797S mutations in \textit{cis} and \textit{trans} positions are discriminately detectable, distinguished by ddPCR from plasma cfDNA. Serial evaluation of EGFR mutation in plasma cfDNA showed the emergence of EGFR mutations, including C797S, during osimertinib treatment before disease progression.
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