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In this paper, we study the periodic averaging principle for neutral stochastic delay differential equations with impulses under non-Lipschitz condition. By using the linear operator theory, we deal with the difficulty brought by delay term of the neutral system and obtain the conclusion that the solutions of neutral stochastic delay differential equations with impulses converge to the solutions of the corresponding averaged stochastic delay differential equations without impulses in the sense of mean square and in probability. At last, an example is presented to show the validity of the proposed theories.

1. Introduction

Delay, impulse, and noise are natural phenomena in most practical issues and those phenomena are generally modeled by stochastic delay differential equations with impulses, and noise can be described by Brownian motion. Recent theoretical and computational advancements indicate that stochastic delay differential equations with impulses tend to generate rich and complex dynamics. However, because of the complexity of the system, it is difficult to obtain the exact solution of the vast majority of stochastic delay differential equations with impulses. In this background, it is very important to look for an approximate system which is more amenable for analysis and simulation, and it governs the evolution of the original system over a long time scale.

The averaging principle is an effective method to understand the main part of the behavior of dynamical systems. It allows to avoid the detailed analysis of complex original systems and consider the simplified equations. The first analysis for averaging principle for stochastic differential equations was deeply addressed by Khasminskij [1]. And then the averaging principle has been applied for various types of stochastic differential equations. Generally speaking, the results of averaging principle in standard form mainly fall into two categories. The first one is considered in slow-fast systems or two-time-scale systems. It approximates coupled slow component equation in two-time-scale systems by a noncoupled equation often called an averaged equation. Many important results for averaging principle for two-time-scale stochastic differential equations have been carried out, see, for example, [2–6]. The second is approximating a nonautonomous stochastic differential equation by an autonomous stochastic differential equation. The results obtained by this method can be referred to the papers [7–9] and the references therein. However, there are few results on average principle for neutral stochastic delay differential equations. Recently, averaging principle for stochastic delay differential equations of the neutral type driven by G-Brownian motion was studied [10], in which the impulses are not considered in the system.

Motivated by the previous discussion, in this paper, we study the average principle for the neutral stochastic delay differential equations with impulses. We overcome the difficulties caused by the delay term which is included under the differentiation at the left-hand side, and the impulse appears in neutral stochastic differential equations.

The structure of the paper is the following. In Section 2, we introduce some basic concepts, notations, and necessary hypotheses. In Section 3, under several sufficient conditions, we obtain the main results that the solutions of neutral
stochastic delay differential equations with impulses converge to the solutions of the corresponding averaged stochastic delay differential equations without impulses in the sense of mean square and in probability. In Section 4, we offer an example to illustrate the effectiveness of the obtained results.

2. Model Description and Preliminaries

In this section, we will introduce the basic concepts, the model, and some preliminary lemmas.

Let \((\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \{\mathcal{F}_t\}_{t \geq 0}, P)\) be a complete probability space with a filtration \{\mathcal{F}_t\}_{t \geq 0} satisfying the usual conditions (i.e., it is right continuous and \(\mathcal{F}_0\) contains all \(P\)-null sets) and \(B(t)\) is \(m\)-dimensional Brownian motion defined on the space. \(\mathcal{F}\) denotes the family of all continuous nondecreasing functions \(\alpha: \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+\) such that \(\alpha(0) = 0, \int_0^t (ds/\alpha(s)) = \infty\). Let \(C((-\infty, 0]; \mathbb{R}^d)\) denote the family of all continuous functions \(\xi: (-\infty, 0] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d\) with the norm \(\|\xi\| = \sup_{-\infty < \theta < 0} \xi(\theta)\) and \(\|\cdot\|\) denote any norm in \(\mathbb{R}^d\). Let \(C^2_{\mathcal{F}}((-\infty, 0]; \mathbb{R}^d)\) denote the family of all \(\mathcal{F}_-\)-measurable, \(C((-\infty, 0]; \mathbb{R}^d)\)-valued random variables \(\xi = \{\xi(\theta): (-\infty < \theta < 0)\) such that \(E\|\xi\|^2 < \infty\).

In this paper, we will discuss the following neutral stochastic delay differential equations with impulses:

\[
\left\{ \begin{array}{l}
d[x(t) + c(t)x(t - \tau)] = f(t, x(t), x(t - \tau))dt + g(t, x(t), x(t - \tau))dB(t), \\
x(t_j^+ - x(t_j^-)) = I_j(x(t_j^-)), \\
t \neq t_j, j = 1, 2, \ldots, l, \\
t = t_j, j = 1, 2, \ldots, l,
\end{array} \right.
\]

where \(c \in C((-\infty, U], [0, 1])\) is a \(T\)-periodic function, \(0 < U < \infty\). The mappings \(f: [0, U] \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d\) and \(g: [0, U] \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{d \times m}\) are Borel measurable and \(T\)-periodic in the first argument. \(f: \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d, x(t_j^+)\) and \(x(t_j^-)\) represent the right and the left limits of \(x(t_j\), \(j \in \{1, 2, \ldots, l\}\). We assume that there exists a positive constant \(l\) such that \(t_{j+1} = t_j + T, I_{j+1}(x(t_{j+1})) = I_j(x(t_j)), j \in \mathbb{Z}^+,\) and \([0, T] \cap \{j, j \in \mathbb{Z}^+\} = \{t_1, t_2, \ldots, t_l\}\). The initial condition \(x_0\) is defined by

\[
x_0 = \xi = \{\xi(t): -\infty < t \leq 0\} \in C^2_{\mathcal{F}}((-\infty, 0]; \mathbb{R}^d).
\]

The following lemmas are important to obtain our results.

**Lemma 1** (see [11]). Suppose \(H\) is a bounded linear operator on Banach space \(X\); if \(\|H\| < 1\), then \(I - H\) has bounded inverse \((I - H)^{-1}\) and \(\|\(I - H\)^{-1}\| \leq (1/(1 - \|H\|))\).

**Lemma 2** (see [11]). Suppose \(H\) is a bounded linear operator on Banach space \(X\) and has an inverse bounded operator, for arbitrary \(\Delta H: X \rightarrow X\), if \(\|\Delta H\| < (1/\|H^{-1}\|)\), then \(S = H + \Delta H\) has a bounded inverse and \(S^{-1} = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (-1)^j H^{-1}(H^{-1}\Delta H)^j\).

Let

\[
\left\{ \begin{array}{l}
du(t) = f(t, (\Phi^{-1}u)(t), (\Phi^{-1}u)(t - \tau))dt + g(t, (\Phi^{-1}u)(t), (\Phi^{-1}u)(t - \tau))dB(t), \\
u(t_j^+) - u(t_j^-) = (\Phi f(\Phi^{-1}))(u(t_j^-)), \\
u(0) = (\Phi^{-1}x)(0) = u_0.
\end{array} \right.
\]

Hence, \(u(t)\) is an \(T\)-periodic solution of system (2) if and only if \((\Phi^{-1}u)(t)\) is an \(T\)-periodic solution of system (1).
(H1) For all $x_1, y_1, x_2, y_2 \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $t \in [0, U]$, there exist $a \in \mathcal{H}$ such that
\[
|f(t, x_1, y_1) - f(t, x_2, y_2)|^2 + |g(t, x_1, y_1) - g(t, x_2, y_2)|^2 \\
\leq a(|x_1 - y_1|^2 + |x_2 - y_2|^2),
\]
where $a \vee b = \max\{a, b\}$.

Furthermore, by the definition of $\alpha$, there must exist positive constants $k_1$ and $k_2$ such that
\[
\alpha(r) \leq k_1 r + k_2, \quad \text{for all } r \geq 0.
\]

(H2) For every $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, there exists a positive constant $N_1$ such that $|I_j(x)| \leq N_1$.

Consider the standard form of system (2)

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{du}{dt}(t) &= \varepsilon f(t, \Phi^{-1} u) + \sqrt{\varepsilon} g(t, \Phi^{-1} u) dB(t), \\
\begin{cases}
\Phi(t) = \Phi(I_j(t)), \\
\Phi(0) = u_0,
\end{cases}
\end{align*}
\]

where the functions $f, g, I_j, j \in \{1, 2, \ldots, l\}$ have the same conditions as in (H1) and (H2), and $\varepsilon \in [0, \varepsilon_0]$ is a positive small parameter with $\varepsilon_0$ is a fixed number.

Let $\mathcal{F}(x, y): \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$, $\mathcal{G}(x, y): \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$, and $\Phi I \Phi^{-1} : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ be measurable functions and satisfy the conditions in (H1) – (H2) and the following definitions:

\[
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{F}(x, y) &= \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T f(s, x, y) ds, \\
\mathcal{G}(x, y) &= \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T g(s, x, y) ds, \\
\Phi I \Phi^{-1}(x) &= \frac{1}{T} \sum_{j=1}^l (\Phi I_j \Phi^{-1})(x).
\end{align*}
\]

We also assume that the following hypothesis is satisfied.

(H3) There exists a constant $M > 0$ such that $|f(t, x, y)|^2 < M$, $|g(t, x, y)|^2 < M$, $|\mathcal{F}(x, y)|^2 < M$, and $|\mathcal{G}(x, y)|^2 < M$ for every $t \in [0, U]$.

Now, consider the following averaged stochastic delay differential equations which correspond to the original standard form (10):

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{dv}{dt}(t) &= \varepsilon \mathcal{F}(\Phi^{-1} v) + \sqrt{\varepsilon} \mathcal{G}(\Phi^{-1} v) dB(t), \\
\begin{cases}
\Phi(t) = \Phi(I_j(t)), \\
\Phi(0) = u_0,
\end{cases}
\end{align*}
\]

Let $(\Phi^{-1} v)(t) = y(t)$, for system (11), we consider averaged stochastic delay differential equations:

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{dy}{dt}(t) &= \varepsilon \mathcal{F}(\Phi^{-1} y) + \sqrt{\varepsilon} \mathcal{G}(\Phi^{-1} y) dB(t), \\
y(0) &= x_0.
\end{align*}
\]

3. Main Results and Proofs

In this section, we will use the periodic averaging principle to investigate the neutral stochastic delay differential equations with impulses.
In the rest of the paper, $C_i$ and $O_j, i = 1, 2, 3$, and $P_j, j = 1, 2, 3, 4$ are all constants. Our main results are the following.

**Theorem 1.** Suppose hypotheses $(H_1)$ -- $(H_3)$ are satisfied and systems (10) and (13)–(11) and (14) have solutions $u_ε, v_ε, x_ε$, and $y_ε$, respectively, where $ε \in (0, ε_0]$ is a positive small parameter with $ε_0$ a constant, then there exist constants $ε_1 \in (0, ε_0], \mu_1 > 0$, and $\mu_2 > 0$ such that, for any $ε \in (0, ε_1], t \in [0, \mu_2 ε^{-1}],$

$$E \sup_{t \in [0, \mu_2 ε^{-1}]} |u_ε(t) - v_ε(t)|^2 \leq \mu_1 ε,$$  

and then, we obtain

$$E \sup_{t \in [0, \mu_2 ε^{-1}]} |x_ε(t) - y_ε(t)|^2 \leq \|Φ^{-1}\|_2^2 \mu_1 ε.$$  

**Proof.** By using the elementary inequality, for any $t \in [0, U]$, we have

$$E \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} J_1$$

$$\leq 6ε^2 E \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} \left| \int_0^t \left[ f(\hat{\theta}, (Φ^{-1}u_ε)(\theta), (Φ^{-1}u_ε)(\theta - τ) - f(\hat{\theta}, (Φ^{-1}v_ε)(\theta), (Φ^{-1}v_ε)(\theta - τ)) \right] d\theta \right|^2$$

$$+ 6ε^2 E \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} \left| \int_0^t \left[ f(\hat{\theta}, (Φ^{-1}v_ε)(\theta), (Φ^{-1}v_ε)(\theta - τ) - f(\hat{\theta}, (Φ^{-1}v_ε)(\theta), (Φ^{-1}v_ε)(\theta - τ)) \right] d\theta \right|^2.$$  

According Hölder’s inequality and hypothesis $(H_1)$, we arrive at

$$6ε^2 E \left| \int_0^t \left[ f(\hat{\theta}, (Φ^{-1}u_ε)(\theta), (Φ^{-1}u_ε)(\theta - τ) - f(\hat{\theta}, (Φ^{-1}v_ε)(\theta), (Φ^{-1}v_ε)(\theta - τ)) \right] d\theta \right|^2$$

$$\leq 6ε^2 t k_1 \int_0^t E \sup_{0 \leq \theta \leq s} \left| (Φ^{-1}u_ε)(\theta) - (Φ^{-1}v_ε)(\theta) \right|^2 + \left| (Φ^{-1}u_ε)(\theta - τ) - (Φ^{-1}v_ε)(\theta - τ) \right|^2 \| Φ^{-1} \|_2^2 ds + 6ε^2 t^2 k_2$$

$$\leq 6ε^2 t k_1 \int_0^t E \sup_{0 \leq \theta \leq s} \left[ |u_ε(\theta) - v_ε(\theta)|^2 + |u_ε(\theta - τ) - v_ε(\theta - τ)|^2 \right] ds + 6ε^2 t^2 k_2$$

$$\leq 12ε^2 t k_1 \| Φ^{-1} \|_2^2 \int_0^t E \sup_{0 \leq \theta \leq s} |u_ε(\theta) - v_ε(\theta)|^2 ds + 6ε^2 t^2 k_2.$$  

Let $n$ be the largest integer such that $nT ≤ t$. Then, for every $i = 1, \ldots, n$, we obtain
\[6\varepsilon^2 E \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \left| \int_0^t \left[ f(\theta, (\Phi^{-1}v_\varepsilon)(\theta), (\Phi^{-1}v_\varepsilon)(\theta - \tau)) - \overline{f}(\Phi^{-1}v_\varepsilon)(\theta), (\Phi^{-1}v_\varepsilon)(\theta - \tau)) \right] d\theta \right|^2\]

\[\leq 12\varepsilon^2 E \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \sum_{i=1}^n \int_{(i-1)T}^{iT} \left[ f(\theta, (\Phi^{-1}v_\varepsilon)(\theta), (\Phi^{-1}v_\varepsilon)(\theta - \tau)) - \overline{f}(\Phi^{-1}v_\varepsilon)(\theta), (\Phi^{-1}v_\varepsilon)(\theta - \tau)) \right] d\theta \right|^2\]

\[+ 12\varepsilon^2 E \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \int_{iT}^T \left[ f(\theta, (\Phi^{-1}v_\varepsilon)(\theta), (\Phi^{-1}v_\varepsilon)(\theta - \tau)) - \overline{f}(\Phi^{-1}v_\varepsilon)(\theta), (\Phi^{-1}v_\varepsilon)(\theta - \tau)) \right] d\theta \right|^2\]

\[\leq 72\varepsilon^2 nT \int_0^T E \sup_{0 \leq s \leq s_0} \left[ \left( (\Phi^{-1}v_\varepsilon)(\theta) - (\Phi^{-1}v_\varepsilon)(iT) \right)^2 + \left| (\Phi^{-1}v_\varepsilon)(\theta) - (\Phi^{-1}v_\varepsilon)(iT - \tau) \right|^2 \right] ds\]

\[+ 36\varepsilon^2 E \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \sum_{i=1}^n \int_{(i-1)T}^{iT} \left[ f(\theta, (\Phi^{-1}v_\varepsilon)(\theta), (\Phi^{-1}v_\varepsilon)(\theta - \tau)) - \overline{f}(\Phi^{-1}v_\varepsilon)(\theta), (\Phi^{-1}v_\varepsilon)(\theta - \tau)) \right] d\theta \right|^2\]

\[+ 12\varepsilon^2 E \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \int_{iT}^T \left[ f(\theta, (\Phi^{-1}v_\varepsilon)(\theta), (\Phi^{-1}v_\varepsilon)(\theta - \tau)) - \overline{f}(\Phi^{-1}v_\varepsilon)(\theta), (\Phi^{-1}v_\varepsilon)(\theta - \tau)) \right] d\theta \right|^2.

By the Hölder’s inequality, Burkholder-Davis-Gundy’s inequality, and hypotheses \((H_1)\) and \((H_3)\), it follows that

\[E \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \left| (\Phi^{-1}v_\varepsilon)(s) - (\Phi^{-1}v_\varepsilon)(iT) \right|^2 + E \sup_{0 \leq s \leq s_0} \left| (\Phi^{-1}v_\varepsilon)(s) - (\Phi^{-1}v_\varepsilon)(iT - \tau) \right|^2\]

\[\leq \left\| \Phi^{-1} \right\|^2 E \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \left| v_\varepsilon(iT) - v_\varepsilon(iT - \tau) \right|^2 + 2\left\| \Phi^{-1} \right\|^2 E \sup_{0 \leq s \leq s_0} \left| v_\varepsilon(s) - v_\varepsilon(iT) \right|^2\]

\[\leq C_1 + C_2 \varepsilon^2 E \int_0^T \left| \overline{f}(\Phi^{-1}v_\varepsilon)(\theta), (\Phi^{-1}v_\varepsilon)(\theta - \tau) \right|^2 d\theta\]

\[+ C_2 \varepsilon E \int_0^T \left| \overline{f}(\Phi^{-1}v_\varepsilon)(\theta), (\Phi^{-1}v_\varepsilon)(\theta - \tau) \right|^2 d\theta\]

\[\leq C_1 + C_2 \varepsilon^2 MT + C_2 \varepsilon MT,
\]

\[t \in [(i - 1)T, iT].\]

The definition of \(\overline{f}\) implies that

\[E \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \sum_{i=1}^n \int_{(i-1)T}^{iT} \left[ f(\theta, (\Phi^{-1}v_\varepsilon)(iT), (\Phi^{-1}v_\varepsilon)(iT - \tau)) - \overline{f}(\Phi^{-1}v_\varepsilon)(iT), (\Phi^{-1}v_\varepsilon)(iT - \tau)) \right] d\theta \right]^2\]

\[\leq n \sum_{i=1}^n E \sup_{0 \leq s \leq s_0} \left| \int_0^T f(\theta, (\Phi^{-1}v_\varepsilon)(iT), (\Phi^{-1}v_\varepsilon)(iT - \tau)) d\theta - T\overline{f}(\Phi^{-1}v_\varepsilon)(iT), (\Phi^{-1}v_\varepsilon)(iT - \tau)) \right|^2\]

\[= 0.\]
Thus, we have
\[
E \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} \| \Phi^{-1} t \|^2 \int_0^t E \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} | u_x (\theta) - v_x (\theta) |^2 ds + 6 \varepsilon^2 t^2 k_2
\]
\[
+ 72 \varepsilon^2 n k_1 \int_0^T E \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} \left[ k_1 \left( \left| \Phi^{-1} v_x (\theta) - \Phi^{-1} u_x (\theta) \right| \right) + \left| \Phi^{-1} v_x (\theta - \tau) - \Phi^{-1} u_x (\theta - \tau) \right| \right] \right] ds + 48 \varepsilon^2 T MT
\]
\[
\leq 12 \varepsilon^2 t k_1 \| \Phi^{-1} t \|^2 \int_0^t E \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} | u_x (\theta) - v_x (\theta) |^2 ds + 6 \varepsilon^2 t^2 k_2
\]
\[
+ 72 \varepsilon^2 n k_1 T^2 (C_1 + C_2 \varepsilon^2 MT + C_2 \varepsilon^2 MT) + 72 \varepsilon^2 n k_2 T^2 + 48 \varepsilon^2 T MT
\]
\[
= \varepsilon O_1 \int_0^t E \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} | u_x (\theta) - v_x (\theta) |^2 ds + \varepsilon P_1.
\]
(23)

For the second term \( J_2 \), apply Burkholder–Davis–Gundy’s inequality to deduce

\[
E \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} \left| g(\theta, (\Phi^{-1} v_x (\theta), (\Phi^{-1} u_x (\theta - \tau)) - \Phi^{-1} v_x (\theta), (\Phi^{-1} u_x (\theta - \tau)) \right|^2 d\theta
\]
\[
\leq 3 \varepsilon C_3 E \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} \left| g(\theta, (\Phi^{-1} v_x (\theta)) - 
\Phi^{-1} v_x (\theta), (\Phi^{-1} u_x (\theta - \tau)) \right|^2 d\theta
\]
\[
\leq 6 \varepsilon C_3 E \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} \left| g(\theta, (\Phi^{-1} u_x (\theta)) - 
\Phi^{-1} v_x (\theta), (\Phi^{-1} u_x (\theta - \tau)) \right|^2 d\theta
\]
\[
+ 6 \varepsilon C_3 E \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} \left| g(\theta, (\Phi^{-1} v_x (\theta)) - 
\Phi^{-1} v_x (\theta), (\Phi^{-1} v_x (\theta - \tau)) \right|^2 d\theta.
\]
(24)

Furthermore, on account of hypothesis \((H_1)\), we have

\[
E \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} \int_0^T \left| g(\theta, (\Phi^{-1} v_x (\theta)) - 
\Phi^{-1} v_x (\theta), (\Phi^{-1} v_x (\theta - \tau)) \right|^2 d\theta
\]
\[
\leq E \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} \sum_{i=1}^n \int_{(i-1)T}^{iT} \left| g(\theta, (\Phi^{-1} v_x (\theta)) - 
\Phi^{-1} v_x (\theta), (\Phi^{-1} v_x (\theta - \tau)) \right|^2 d\theta
\]
\[
+ E \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} \int_0^T \left| g(\theta, (\Phi^{-1} v_x (\theta)) - 
\Phi^{-1} v_x (\theta), (\Phi^{-1} v_x (\theta - \tau)) \right|^2 d\theta
\]
\[
\leq 3E \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} \sum_{i=1}^n \int_{(i-1)T}^{iT} \left| g(\theta, (\Phi^{-1} v_x (\theta)) - 
\Phi^{-1} v_x (\theta), (\Phi^{-1} v_x (\theta - \tau)) \right|^2 d\theta
\]
\[
+ 3E \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} \sum_{i=1}^n \int_{(i-1)T}^{iT} \left| g(\theta, (\Phi^{-1} v_x (\theta)) - 
\Phi^{-1} v_x (\theta), (\Phi^{-1} v_x (\theta - \tau)) \right|^2 d\theta
\]
\[
+ 3E \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} \sum_{i=1}^n \int_{(i-1)T}^{iT} \left| g(\theta, (\Phi^{-1} v_x (\theta)) - 
\Phi^{-1} v_x (\theta), (\Phi^{-1} v_x (\theta - \tau)) \right|^2 d\theta + 4MT
\]
\[
\leq 3n \int_0^T E \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} \left| g(\theta, (\Phi^{-1} v_x (\theta)) - 
\Phi^{-1} v_x (\theta), (\Phi^{-1} v_x (\theta - \tau)) \right|^2 d\theta + 12nMT + 4MT.
\]
(25)
Hence, we obtain

\[
E \sup_{0 \leq s \leq T} |u_s(t) - v_s(t)|^2 \leq \varepsilon (O_1 + O_2) \int_0^T E \sup_{0 \leq s \leq s} |u_s(t) - v_s(t)|^2 ds + \varepsilon (P_1 + P_2 + P_3) = \varepsilon O_1 \int_0^T E \sup_{0 \leq s \leq s} |u_s(t) - v_s(t)|^2 ds + \varepsilon P_4, \tag{28}
\]

and in addition, using Gronwall’s inequality, we have

\[
E \sup_{0 \leq s} |u_s(t) - v_s(t)|^2 \leq \varepsilon P_4 e^{O_1 t}. \tag{29}
\]

Therefore, selecting \( \mu_2 > 0 \) such that, for every \( t \in [0, \mu_2^{-1} e^{-1}] \subseteq [0, U] \), setting \( \mu = \varepsilon P_4 e^{O_1} \), we can choose \( \varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0) \) such that, for each \( \varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_1) \) and \( t \in [0, \mu_2^{-1} e^{-1}] \),

\[
E \sup_{0 \leq s \leq T} |u_s(t) - v_s(t)|^2 \leq \mu_2 e, \tag{30}
\]

and then, we obtain

\[
E \sup_{t \in [0, \mu_2 e^{-1}]} |u_s(t) - v_s(t)|^2 = E \sup_{t \in [0, \mu_2 e^{-1}]} \left| (\Phi^{-1} u_s(t) - \Phi^{-1} v_s(t))^2 \right| \leq \left\| \Phi^{-1} \right\|^2 \mu_2 e. \tag{31}
\]

**Corollary 1.** Suppose hypotheses \((H_1) - (H_3)\) are satisfied. Then, for any parameter \( \gamma > 0 \), we have

\[
\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} P(|x_\varepsilon(t) - y_\varepsilon(t)| > \gamma) = 0. \tag{32}
\]

**Proof.** In fact, under the consequence of Theorem 1, by using Chebyshev–Markov inequality, for any given number \( \gamma > 0 \), we can derive

\[
P(|x_\varepsilon(t) - y_\varepsilon(t)| > \gamma) \leq \frac{1}{\gamma^2} E|x_\varepsilon(t) - y_\varepsilon(t)|^2 \leq \frac{\left\| \Phi^{-1} \right\|^2 \mu_2 e}{\gamma^2}. \tag{33}
\]

The conclusion follows by letting \( \varepsilon \to 0 \). The proof is complete. \( \square \)

### 4. Illustrative Example

**Example 1.** Consider the following neutral stochastic delay differential equations with impulses:

\[
\left\{
\begin{array}{l}
    \frac{d}{dt} x(t) + \frac{1}{4} x(t - \tau) = \varepsilon (x(t) + x(t - \tau)) dt + 2\sqrt{\varepsilon} \cos^2 t x(t - \tau) dB(t), \quad t \neq t_j, j = 1, 2, \ldots, l, \\
    x(t_j^+) - x(t_j^-) = -0.04 x(t_j),
\end{array}
\right.
\]

\( t = t_j, j = 1, 2, \ldots, l, \) \( \tag{34} \)
where $x_{\varepsilon}(t) = \xi(t), t \in (-\infty, 0]$.

Let $(\Phi x)(t) = x(t) + (1/4)x(t - \tau) = u(t)$ and consider the following stochastic delay differential equations with impulses:

$$d[u_{\varepsilon}(t)] = \epsilon \left( \sum_{i \geq 0} \left( -\frac{1}{4} \right)^i u_{\varepsilon}(t - ir) + \sum_{i \geq 0} \left( -\frac{1}{4} \right)^i u_{\varepsilon}(t - (i + 1)r) \right) dt$$

$$+ 2\sqrt{\epsilon} \cos^2 t \sum_{i \geq 0} \left( -\frac{1}{4} \right)^i u_{\varepsilon}(t - (i + 1)r) dB(t), \quad t \neq t_j, j = 1, 2, \ldots, l,$$

$$u_{\varepsilon}(t_j^+) - u_{\varepsilon}(t_j^-) = -0.04u_{\varepsilon}(t_j), \quad t = t_j, j = 1, 2, \ldots, l,$$

(35)

Then,

$$\bar{f}(\Phi^{-1}v_{\varepsilon})(t), (\Phi^{-1}v_{\varepsilon})(t - \tau) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^\pi f(t, (\Phi^{-1}v_{\varepsilon})(t), (\Phi^{-1}v_{\varepsilon})(t - \tau)) dt$$

$$= \sum_{i \geq 0} \left( -\frac{1}{4} \right)^i v_{\varepsilon}(t - ir) + \sum_{i \geq 0} \left( -\frac{1}{4} \right)^i v_{\varepsilon}(t - (i + 1)r),$$

$$\bar{g}(\Phi^{-1}v_{\varepsilon})(t), (\Phi^{-1}v_{\varepsilon})(t - \tau) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^\pi g(t, (\Phi^{-1}v_{\varepsilon})(t), (\Phi^{-1}v_{\varepsilon})(t - \tau)) dt$$

$$= \sum_{i \geq 0} \left( -\frac{1}{4} \right)^i v_{\varepsilon}(t - (i + 1)r),$$

$$\overline{\Phi I_f\Phi^{-1}}(v_{\varepsilon}(t)) = \frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{j=1}^d \left( \Phi I_f\Phi^{-1}(v_{\varepsilon}(t_j)) \right),$$

(36)

and define a new averaged stochastic delay differential equations:

$$dv_{\varepsilon}(t) = \epsilon \left( \bar{f}(\Phi^{-1}v_{\varepsilon})(t), (\Phi^{-1}v_{\varepsilon})(t - \tau) + \overline{\Phi I_f\Phi^{-1}}(v_{\varepsilon}(t)) \right) dt$$

$$+ \sqrt{\epsilon} \bar{g}(\Phi^{-1}v_{\varepsilon})(t), (\Phi^{-1}v_{\varepsilon})(t - \tau) dB(t),$$

(37)
Complexity

namely,

\[
\dot{v}_\varepsilon(t) = \varepsilon \left( \sum_{i \geq 0} \left( -\frac{1}{4} \right)^i v_\varepsilon(t - ir) + \sum_{i \geq 0} \left( -\frac{1}{4} \right)^i v_\varepsilon(t - (i + 1)r) + \frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{j=1}^q (-0.04)v_\varepsilon(t_j) \right) dt \\
+ \sqrt{\varepsilon} \sum_{i \geq 0} \left( -\frac{1}{4} \right)^i v_\varepsilon(t - (i + 1)r) dB(t).
\]

(38)

Let \((\Phi^{-1}v_\varepsilon)(t) = y_\varepsilon(t)\), we have

\[
\dot{y}_\varepsilon(t) = \varepsilon \left( \sum_{i \geq 0} \left( -\frac{1}{4} \right)^i y_\varepsilon(t - ir) + \sum_{i \geq 0} \left( -\frac{1}{4} \right)^i y_\varepsilon(t - (i + 1)r) + \frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{j=1}^q (-0.04)y_\varepsilon(t_j) \right) dt \\
+ \sqrt{\varepsilon} \sum_{i \geq 0} \left( -\frac{1}{4} \right)^i y_\varepsilon(t - (i + 1)r) dB(t).
\]

(39)

When \(t \in [0, r]\), the solution of system (3) can be deduced as

\[
y_\varepsilon(t) = e^t \left\{ y_\varepsilon(0) + \varepsilon \int_0^t e^{-s} \sum_{i \geq 0} \left( -\frac{1}{4} \right)^i y_\varepsilon(s - ir) ds + \varepsilon \int_0^t e^{-s} \sum_{i \geq 0} \left( -\frac{1}{4} \right)^i y_\varepsilon(s - (i + 1)r) ds \\
+ \varepsilon e^{-t} \sum_{j=1}^q (-0.04)y_\varepsilon(t_j) + \sqrt{\varepsilon} \int_0^t e^{-s} \sum_{i \geq 0} \left( -\frac{1}{4} \right)^i y_\varepsilon(s - (i + 1)r) dB(s) \right\}.
\]

(40)

When \(t \in [r, 2r]\), the solution of system (3) is deduced as

\[
y_\varepsilon(t) = e^{t-r} \left\{ y_\varepsilon(r) + \varepsilon \int_r^t e^{-(s-r)} \sum_{i \geq 0} \left( -\frac{1}{4} \right)^i y_\varepsilon(s - ir) ds \\
+ \varepsilon \int_r^t e^{-(s-r)} \sum_{i \geq 0} \left( -\frac{1}{4} \right)^i y_\varepsilon(s - (i + 1)r) ds + \varepsilon e^{-(t-r)} \sum_{j=1}^q (-0.04)y_\varepsilon(t_j) \\
+ \sqrt{\varepsilon} \int_r^t e^{-(s-r)} \sum_{i \geq 0} \left( -\frac{1}{4} \right)^i y_\varepsilon(s - (i + 1)r) dB(s) \right\}.
\]

(41)

Repeat the steps above on \([2r, 3r], [3r, 4r], \ldots\), and we can get the solution \(y_\varepsilon(t)\) on the whole interval \(y_\varepsilon(t)\). In addition, it is easy to verify that the hypotheses of Theorem 1 and Corollary 1 holds; hence, the solution of the averaged system (3) converges to that of the standard system (1) in the sense of mean square and in probability.

5. Conclusion

In this paper, we generalize the periodic averaging principle for neutral stochastic delay differential equations with impulses. The main difficulties in the application of periodic averaging principle for neutral system are caused by the
delay term which is included under the differentiation at the left-hand side of the system. Using the linear operator theory, Theorem 1 and Corollary 1 show that the solutions of neutral stochastic delay differential equations with impulses converge to the solutions of the corresponding averaged stochastic delay differential equations without impulses in the sense of mean square and in probability. We remark that when the noises are Lévy processes other than Brownian motion considered in this paper, and the approach presented in the paper remains valid.
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