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In this paper, we will give a short review on quantum spring, which is a Casimir effect from the helix boundary condition that proposed in our earlier works. The Casimir force parallel to the axis of the helix behaves very much like the force on a spring that obeys the Hooke’s law when the ratio \( r \) of the pitch to the circumference of the helix is small, but in this case, the force comes from a quantum effect, so we would like to call it quantum spring. On the other hand, the force perpendicular to the axis decreases monotonously with the increasing of the ratio \( r \). Both forces are attractive and their behaviors are the same in two and three dimensions.
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1. Introduction

The Casimir effect firstly founded by Casimir\(^1\) has been extensively studied\(^2\) for more than 60 years. Essentially, the casimir effect is a polarization of the vacuum of some quantized fields, and it may be thought of as the energy due to the distortion of the vacuum. Such a distortion may be caused either by the presence of boundaries in the space-time manifold or by some background field like the gravity. Early works on the gravity effect were performed by Utiyama and DeWitt\(^3\)\(^4\). In history, Casimir firstly predicts the effect of the boundaries and he found that there is an attractive force acting on two conducting plan-parallel plates in vacuum, and this phenomenon inspires much theoretical interest as macroscopic manifestation of quantum fluctuation. Since the last decade, the Casimir effect has been paid more attention due to the development of precise measurements\(^5\) and it has been applied to the fabrication of microelectromechanical systems (MEMS)\(^6\). Recently, some new methods have developed for computing the Casimir energy between a
finite number of compact objects. The nature of the Casimir force may depend on (i) the background field, (ii) the spacetime dimensionality, (iii) the type of boundary conditions, (iv) the topology of spacetime, (v) the finite temperature. The most evident example of the dependence on the geometry is given by the Casimir effect inside a rectangular box. The detailed calculation of the Casimir force inside a D-dimensional rectangular cavity was shown in Refs.9,10, in which the sign of the Casimir energy depends on the length of the sides. The Casimir force arises not only in the presence of material boundaries, but also in spaces with nontrivial topology. For example, we get the scalar field on a flat manifold with topology of a circle $S^1$. The topology of $S^1$ causes the periodicity condition $\phi(t,0) = \phi(t,C)$, where $C$ is the circumference of $S^1$, imposed on the wave function which is of the same kind as those due to boundary. Similarly, the antiperiodic conditions can be drawn on a Möbius strip. The $\zeta$-function regularization procedure is a very powerful and elegant technique for the Casimir effect. Rigorous extension of the proof of Epstein $\zeta$-function regularization has been discussed in Ref.11. Vacuum polarization in the background of on string was first considered in Ref.12. The generalized $\zeta$-function has many interesting applications, e.g., in the piecewise string. Similar analysis has been applied to monopoles, p-branes or pistons.

It is well known that there are many things that look like the spring, for instance, DNA has the helix structure in our cells. Thus, it is interesting to find the effect of the helix configuration presenting in the space-time manifold for quantum fields and we have found that the behavior of the force parallel to the axis of the helix is very much like the force on a spring that obeys the Hooke’s law in mechanics when the $r \ll 1$, which is the ratio of the pitch $h$ to the circumference $a$ of the helix. However, in this case, the force comes from a quantum effect, and so we would like to call the helix structure as a quantum spring. We also generalized it to higher dimensions and also considered the anti-boundary conditions imposed on a quantum field.

This paper is organized as follows. In next section we shall present a topological view of helix configuration and show the calculation results of the vacuum energy density and its corresponding Casimir force in Sec.3 and in the last section, we will give some conclusion and discussion.

2. Topology of the flat (D+1)-dimensional spacetime

The Casimir effect arise not only in the presence of material boundaries, but also in spaces with nontrivial topology. The simplest example of the Casimir effect of topological origin is the scalar field on a flat manifold with topology $S^1$ as we mentioned before. Before we consider complicated cases in the flat spacetime, it is worth noting that the concept of quotient topology is very useful for concrete application and then we shall present a topological view of helix configuration. Now, we consider a surjective mapping $f$ from a topological space $X$ onto a set $Y$. The
quotient topology on $Y$ with respect to $f$ is given in Ref. [24]. Surjective mapping can be easily obtained when we use the equivalence classes of some equivalence relation $\sim$. Thus, $X/\sim$ is defined to be the set of equivalence classes of elements of $X$, and the mapping $f : X \rightarrow X/\sim$ could be denoted by $f(x) = [x]$, which is the equivalence class containing $x$. For example, consider the unit square $I^2 = [0, 1] \times [0, 1]$ and the equivalence relation $\sim$ generated by the requirement that all boundary points be equivalent, thus identifying all boundary points to a single equivalence class. Then, $I^2/\sim$ is homeomorphic to the unit sphere $S^2$.

Here, we will consider topology space $X$ as follows

$$X = \cup \{ C_0 + u | u \in \Lambda'' \}$$

in $\mathcal{M}^{D+1}$ spacetime and define an equivalence relation on $X$ by

$$(x^1, x^2) \sim (x^1 - a, x^2 + h),$$

then $X/\sim$ with this quotient topology is homomorphic to helix topology. Here $\Lambda''$ and the unit cylinder cell $C_0$ are given by [21][23]

$$\Lambda'' = \{ n(\varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2) | n \in \mathbb{Z} \}.$$ (3)

and

$$C_0 = \left\{ \sum_{i=0}^D x^i \varepsilon_i | 0 \leq x^1 < a, -h \leq x^2 < 0, \right.$$  
$$-\infty < x^0 < \infty, -\frac{L}{2} \leq x^T \leq \frac{L}{2} \right\},$$ (4)

where $T = 3, \cdots, D$. This topology causes the helix boundary condition for a Hermitian massless scalar field

$$\phi(t, x^1 + a, x^2, x^T) = \epsilon \phi(t, x^1, x^2 + h, x^T),$$ (5)

where $\epsilon$ is a constant that takes $+1$ or $-1$. Here, one can see that if $a = 0$ or $h = 0$, it returns to the periodicity ($\epsilon = 1$) or anti-periodicity ($\epsilon = -1$) boundary condition. In the following, we will focus on the case of $\epsilon = 1$. For the case of $\epsilon = -1$, see Ref.[23]

In calculations on the Casimir effect, extensive use is made of eigenfunctions and eigenvalues of the corresponding field equation. A Hermitian massless scalar field $\phi(t, x^\alpha, x^T)$ defined in a $(D+1)$-dimensional flat spacetime satisfies the free Klein-Gordon equation:

$$\left( \partial_t^2 - \partial_i^2 \right) \phi(t, x^\alpha, x^T) = 0,$$ (6)

where $i = 1, \cdots, D; \alpha = 1, 2; T = 3, \cdots, D$. Under the boundary condition (5), the modes of the field are then

$$\phi_n(t, x^\alpha, x^T) = \mathcal{N} e^{-i\omega_n t + ik x^z + ik x^T},$$ (7)
where $N$ is a normalization factor and $x^1 = x, x^2 = z$, and we have
\[ w_n^2 = k_T^2 + k_x^2 + \left( -\frac{2\pi n}{\hbar} + \frac{k_x}{\hbar} a \right)^2 = k_T^2 + k_z^2 + \left( \frac{2\pi n}{a} + \frac{k_z}{a} h \right)^2. \] (8)

Here, $k_x$ and $k_z$ satisfy
\[ ak_x - h k_z = 2n\pi, \quad (n = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \cdots). \] (9)

In the ground state (vacuum), each of these modes contributes an energy of $w_n/2$. The energy density of the field is thus given by
\[ E^{D+1} = \frac{1}{2a} \int \frac{d^{D-1}k}{(2\pi)^{D-1}} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \sqrt{k_T^2 + k_x^2 + \left( \frac{2\pi n}{a} + \frac{k_z}{a} h \right)^2}, \] (10)

where we have assumed $a \neq 0$ without losing generalities.

3. Evaluation of the Casimir energy

3.1. Massless scalar field in $2+1$ dimension

In the $2+1$ dimensional spacetime, we have the following boundary condition to mimic the helix structure:
\[ \phi(t, x+a, z) = \phi(t, x, z+h), \] (11)

where $h$ is regarded as the pitch of the helix, and we call this condition the helix boundary condition. One can see from eq.(11) that it would return to the cylindrical boundary conditions when $h$ vanishes and for $h \neq 0$, the whole system (the spring) does not have the cylindrical symmetry. Therefore, the vacuum energy density is given by
\[ E(a, h) = \frac{1}{2a} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{dk}{2\pi} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \sqrt{k^2 + \left( \frac{2\pi n}{a} + \frac{k}{a} h \right)^2}, \] (12)

which is divergent, so we should regularize it to get a finite result. There many regularization method could be used to deal with the divergence, but in this paper we would like to use the zeta function techniques, which is a very useful and elegant technique in regularizing the vacuum energy. To use the $\zeta$-function regularization, we define $E(s)$ as
\[ E(a, h; s) = \frac{\sqrt{7}}{\pi a} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{\infty} dk \left( k^2 + 1 \right)^{-s/2} \left( \frac{2\pi n}{a \gamma} \right)^{1-s}, \] (13)

for $Re(s) > 1$ to make a finite result provided by the $k$ integration, and here we have defined
\[ \gamma \equiv 1 + r^2, \quad r = \frac{h}{a}. \] (14)
We will see in the following that the analytic continuation to the complex $s$ plane is well defined at $s = -1$. Thus, the regularized Casimir energy density is $E_R(a, h) = \mathcal{E}(a, h; -1)$. After integrating $k$ in eq.(13), we get

$$E(a, h; s) = \frac{1}{2a} \sqrt{\frac{\gamma}{\pi}} \left(2\pi\right)^{1-s} \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{s-1}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{s}{2}\right)} \zeta(s - 1),$$

(15)

where $\zeta(s)$ is the Riemann zeta function. The value of the analytically continued zeta function can be obtained from the reflection relation

$$\Gamma\left(\frac{s}{2}\right) \zeta(s) = \pi^{s-\frac{1}{2}} \Gamma\left(\frac{1-s}{2}\right) \zeta(1-s).$$

(16)

Taking $s = -1$, we get

$$\lim_{s \to -1} \Gamma\left(\frac{s-1}{2}\right) \zeta(s - 1) = \frac{\zeta(3)}{2\pi^2},$$

(17)

then we have

$$E_R(a, h) = -\frac{\zeta(3)}{2\pi a^3} r^{-3/2} = -\frac{3\zeta(3)}{2\pi a^4} \left(1 + r^2\right)^{-5/2},$$

(18)

where we have used $\Gamma(-1/2) = -2\sqrt{\pi}$ and if $r = 0$, it come back to the cylindrical case with periodical boundary, see eq.(11). The Casimir force on the $x$ direction of the helix is

$$F_x = -\frac{\partial E_R(a, h)}{\partial a} = -\frac{3\zeta(3)}{2\pi a^4} \left(1 + r^2\right)^{-5/2},$$

(19)

which is always an attractive force and the magnitude of the force monotonously decreases with the increasing of the ratio $r$. Once $r$ becomes large enough, the force can be neglected. While, the Casimir force on the $z$ direction is

$$F_h = -\frac{\partial E_R(a, h)}{\partial h} = -\frac{3\zeta(3)}{2\pi a^4} \frac{r}{(1 + r^2)^{5/2}},$$

(20)

which has a maximum magnitude at $r = 0.5$. When $r < 0.5$, the magnitude of the force increases with the increasing of $r$ until $r = 0.5$, and the force is almost linearly depending on $r$ when $r \ll 1$. So, it is just like the force on a spring complying with the Hooke’s law, but in this case, the force originates from the quantum effect, namely, the Casimir effect. Once $r > 0.5$, the magnitude of the force decreases with the increasing of $r$. To illustrate the behavior of the Casimir force in this case, we plot them for each direction in Fig.1.

It should be noticed that in Fig[1] the behavior of the forces are different with respect to the ratio $r$, but this dose not conflict with the relation [8], which shows that labeling the axes is a matter of convention, namely the final result should have the the symmetry of $a \leftrightarrow h$. The reason is the following, eq.(18) could be rewritten in terms of $a$ and $h$:

$$E_R(a, h) = -\frac{\zeta(3)}{2\pi} \left(a^2 + h^2\right)^{-3/2},$$

(21)
Fig. 1. The Casimir force on the \( x \) (left) and \( z \) (right) direction in the unit \( 3\zeta(3)/(2\pi a^4) \) vs. the ratio \( r \) in \( 2 + 1 \) dimension. The point corresponds to the maximum magnitude of the force at \( r = 0.5 \).

which respects the symmetry of \( a \leftrightarrow h \) in deed. And, one can easily see that eqs. (19) and (20) are also under this symmetry, if one rewritten these equations as

\[
F_a = -\frac{3\zeta(3)}{2\pi} \frac{a}{(a^2 + h^2)^{5/2}},
\]

\[
F_h = -\frac{3\zeta(3)}{2\pi} \frac{h}{(a^2 + h^2)^{5/2}},
\]

which are all consistent with the relation (8).

3.2. Massless scalar field in \( 3 + 1 \) dimension

As in the \( 2 + 1 \) dimension case, the vacuum energy density in \( 3 + 1 \) dimension is given by

\[
E(a, h) = \frac{1}{2a} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{dk_y dk_z}{(2\pi)^2} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \sqrt{k^2 + \left( \frac{2\pi n}{a} + \frac{k_y}{a} \right)^2},
\]

where \( k^2 = k_y^2 + k_z^2 \). Again, to use the \( \zeta \)-function regularization, we define \( \mathcal{E}(s) \) as

\[
\mathcal{E}(a, h; s) = \frac{\gamma}{4\pi a} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{\infty} k dk \left( k^2 + 1 \right)^{-s/2} \left( \frac{2\pi n}{a\gamma} \right)^2 \left( \frac{2\pi n}{a\gamma} \right)^2
\]

and for \( \text{Re}(s) > 1 \). We will see in the following that the analytic continuation to the complex \( s \) plane is also well defined at \( s = -1 \) in this case. Thus, the regularized Casimir energy density is \( E_R(a, h) = \mathcal{E}(a, h; -1) \). After integrating \( k \) in eq. (25), we get

\[
\mathcal{E}(a, h; s) = -\frac{\zeta(s-2)}{2\pi(2-s)a} \left( \frac{2\pi}{a\gamma} \right)^{2-s},
\]

Taking \( s = -1 \), we get \( \zeta(-3) = \frac{1}{120} \) from (16), and then

\[
E_R(a, h) = -\frac{\pi^2}{90a^2\gamma^2} = -\frac{\pi^2}{90(a^2 + h^2)^2}.
\]
Therefore, the Casimir force on the $x$ direction of the helix is

$$F_a = -\frac{2\pi^2}{45a^5} (1 + r^2)^{-3}$$

(28)

which is always attractive and its magnitude monotonously decreases with the increasing of the ratio $r$ and the force will be vanished when $r$ goes to infinity. On the other hand, the Casimir force on the $z$ direction is

$$F_h = -\frac{2\pi^2}{45a^5} \frac{r}{(1 + r^2)^3} ,$$

(29)

which has a maximum magnitude at $r = 1/\sqrt{5}$. And for $r \ll 1$, we have

$$F_h|_{r \ll 1} = -\frac{2\pi^2}{45a^5} \left[ r - 3r^3 + \mathcal{O}(r^5) \right] ,$$

(30)

Therefore, for small $r$, the force linearly depends on $r$, namely,

$$F_h = -Kr, \quad K = \frac{2\pi^2}{45a^5}, \quad (r \ll 1) ,$$

(31)

which is very much like a spring obeying the Hooke’s law with spring constant $K$ in classical mechanics, but in this case, the force comes from a quantum effect, and we would like to call it *quantum spring*, see Fig.2 To illustrate the behavior of the forces on the helix, we plot them for each direction in Fig.3.

![Fig. 2. Illustration of the Quantum spring.](image)

It should be noticed that this results are also under the symmetry of $a \leftrightarrow h$ if one rewrite the force in terms of $a$ and $h$ as that in $2+1$ dimensional spacetime.

4. Conclusion and discussion

In conclusion, we have investigated the Casimir effect with a helix configuration in two and three dimensions, and it can be easily generalized to $D + 1$ dimensional spacetime and the energy is given by

$$E^D = -\frac{\pi^D}{a^{D+1} \Gamma \left( \frac{D}{2} \right)} \Gamma \left( -\frac{D}{2} \right) \zeta(-D) .$$

(32)
Fig. 3. The Casimir force on the $x$ (left) and $z$ (right) direction in the unit $\pi^2/45a^2$ vs. the ratio $r$ in $3+1$ dimension. The point corresponds to the maximum magnitude of the force at $r = 1/\sqrt{5}$.

It should be noticed that when $D$ is odd, one can rewriter the above equation in terms of the Bernoulli numbers, while $D$ is even, one should use the reflect relation (16) to get the final result, for more details see Ref. 22. Then, the corresponding Casimir forces can be straightforwardly obtained and one can see that the force parallel to the axis of the helix has a particular behaviors that the Casimir force in the usual case do no possesses. It behaves very much like the force on a spring that obeys the Hooke’s law in mechanics. Furthermore, the The magnitude of this force has a maximum values at $r = 0.5$ (2D) or $r = 1/\sqrt{5}$ (3D). For high dimensions, the critical ratio is at $r = 1/\sqrt{D + 2}$.

Therefore, we would like to call this helix configuration as a quantum spring, see Fig.2. On the other hand, the force perpendicular to the axis decreases monotonously with the increasing of the ratio $r$. Both forces are attractive and their behaviors are the same in two and three or even higher dimensions.

In this paper, we have considered the massless scalar field, and one can easily generalize it to a massive scalar field or a massive spinor. As is known that the Casimir effect disappears as the mass of the field goes to infinity since there are no more quantum fluctuation in this limit, but of course, how the Casimir force varies as the mass changes is worth studying. Since this quantum spring effect may be detected in the laboratory and be applied to the microelectromechanical system, we suggest to do the experiment to verify our results. It should be noticed that, in the experiment or the real application, the spring like Fig.2 should be soft, which means the force coming from the classical mechanics must be small enough, and the quantum effect dominates the behavior of the spring.
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