Reduction of unwanted submental fat with ATX-101 (deoxycholic acid), an adipocytolytic injectable treatment: results from a phase III, randomized, placebo-controlled study*
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Summary

Background Unwanted submental fat (SMF) is aesthetically unappealing, but methods of reduction are either invasive or lack evidence for their use. An injectable approach with ATX-101 (deoxycholic acid) is under investigation.

Objectives To evaluate the efficacy and safety of ATX-101 for the reduction of unwanted SMF.

Methods In this double-blind, placebo-controlled, phase III study, 363 patients with moderate/severe SMF were randomized to receive ATX-101 (1 or 2 mg cm⁻²) or placebo injections into their SMF at least a maximum of up to four treatment sessions ~28 days apart, with a 12-week follow-up. The co-primary efficacy endpoints were the proportions of treatment responders [patients with ≥1-point improvement in SMF on the 5-point Clinician-Reported Submental Fat Rating Scale (CR-SMFRS)] and patients satisfied with their face and chin appearance on the Subject Self-Rating Scale (SSRS). Secondary endpoints included skin laxity, calliper measurements and patient-reported outcomes. Adverse events were monitored.

Results Significantly more ATX-101 recipients met the primary endpoint criteria vs. placebo: on the clinician scale, 59.2% and 65.3% of patients treated with ATX-101 1 and 2 mg cm⁻², respectively, were treatment responders vs. 23.0% for placebo (CR-SMFRS; P < 0.001); on the patient scale, 53.3% and 66.1%, respectively, vs. 28.7%, were satisfied with their face/chin appearance (SSRS; P < 0.001). Calliper measurements showed a significant reduction in SMF (P < 0.001), skin laxity was not worsened and patients reported improvements in the severity and psychological impact of SMF with ATX-101 vs. placebo. Most adverse events were transient and associated with the treatment area.

Conclusions ATX-101 was effective and well tolerated for nonsurgical SMF reduction.

What’s already known about this topic?

- Unwanted submental fat (SMF) is considered aesthetically unappealing.
- Liposuction and face-lift are effective treatments for SMF reduction but are invasive and not suitable for all patients, whereas nonsurgical alternatives lack robust clinical evidence related to their safety and efficacy.
Loss of definition in the submental area and an obtuse cervicomental angle may result from unwanted submental fat (SMF), which is considered aesthetically unappealing.1,2 Undesirable SMF can have a psychological impact, particularly because it is suggestive of ageing or obesity.1–3 This SMF may derive from a genetic predisposition,4 ageing5 and/or lifestyle and diet.4,5

Unwanted SMF can be addressed surgically as part of a platysmaplasty (with or without face-lift) or liposuction of the neck and chin.1,6 These procedures are effective but may not be suitable for all patients,5 and the need for anaesthesia, an operating room and qualified staff substantially increases costs and risks.1 Liposuction, with or without an accompanying energy device,7,8 is the most commonly used intervention and, although less invasive than platysmaplasty or face-lift, may result in postoperative complications and an unfavourable result, such as prominent anterior platysmal banding.6,9 Furthermore, invasive procedures may require significant recovery times, occasionally up to 1 year.10 Nonsurgical energy devices exist,7 but clinical evidence supporting their efficacy is limited. An efficient, evidence-based, minimally invasive treatment approach may be an appropriate option for reducing unwanted SMF.

Unregulated injectables for localized fat reduction, often based on a combination of phosphatidylcholine and deoxycholate, have been available for some time. Deoxycholate, and not phosphatidylcholine, has been shown to be the active lytic agent in these preparations.11 ATX-101, a proprietary formulation of synthetically derived deoxycholic acid (DCA), is the first nonsurgical treatment for SMF reduction to be investigated in randomized, placebo-controlled clinical studies. ATX-101 demonstrated efficacy in the reduction of SMF with appropriate tolerability in phase I and II studies,15–18 and is the first pharmacological adipocytolytic formulation to be investigated in large-scale randomized, controlled clinical studies. Results from the first European phase III study of ATX-101 for the reduction of unwanted SMF are presented here.

What does this study add?

- This study provides the first data from a large-scale, randomized, placebo-controlled, phase III study of an injectable therapy for SMF reduction in a field currently lacking a sound evidence base.
- ATX-101 was superior to placebo for the clinician- and patient-evaluated reduction of unwanted SMF and led to improved patient perception about their appearance.
- ATX-101 was well tolerated; treatment-associated adverse events were mainly transient and localized injection-site reactions.

Methods

Study design

This multicentre, phase III, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled, parallel-group study evaluated the efficacy and safety of ATX-101 administered at fixed doses of 1 and 2 mg cm−2. The study was divided into a screening period (week −8 to baseline; visit 1), followed by a 12-week treatment period (visits 2–5) and a 12-week efficacy and safety follow-up period (visits 6 and 7). Patients were recruited at 28 centres in Belgium, France, Germany, Spain and the United Kingdom. Written informed consent was obtained from all patients in accordance with the ethical principles established in the Declaration of Helsinki and the International Conference on Harmonisation guideline E6: Good Clinical Practice, and all local legal and regulatory requirements were followed.

Inclusion and exclusion criteria

Men and women aged 18–65 years were eligible to participate if they presented with moderate or severe SMF [grade 2 or 3 on the 5-point Clinician-Reported Submental Fat Rating Scale (CR-SMFRS)] and expressed dissatisfaction with the appearance of their submental area [Subject Self-Rating Scale (SSRS) score 0–3] at visit 2. Patients agreed to undergo clinical evaluations and laboratory tests and to maintain stable body weight, diet and exercise practices during the study. Women of reproductive age were required not to be pregnant or lactating and to practise birth control during the study. The principal exclusion criteria were: previous
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intervention to treat SMF; anatomical features or previous trauma liable to interfere with SMF evaluation or result in an aesthetically unacceptable outcome after treatment; evidence of any cause of submental enlargement other than SMF; and any medical condition likely to affect safety or efficacy assessments or the patient’s ability to undergo study procedures or provide informed consent. Patients with a body mass index (BMI) > 30 kg m⁻², those undergoing or considering a weight-reduction programme, and patients with a history of sensitivity to any components of the study material or topical or local anaesthetics were also excluded.

Randomization

Patients were randomized (1:1:1) at visit 2, after completion of baseline evaluations, through allocation of a unique randomization number using a computerized web/voice-response system. ATX-101 and placebo treatment kits had an identical appearance and carried a blinded label with a random kit number; these were assigned to patients using the computerized system.

Interventions

All randomized patients were to have at least one treatment session, with a maximum of four sessions, separated by 28 ± 5 day intervals (visits 2–5). Patients received up to 10 mL of the study drug per treatment session, and the number of sessions was dependent on the amount of remaining SMF and each patient’s satisfaction with the appearance of their face and chin. Injections were administered subcutaneously directly into the pre-platysmal SMF at a volume of 0.2 mL per injection and spaced approximately 1–0 cm apart using a grid to provide even coverage. Topical anaesthesia was provided, if needed. Premature treatment discontinuation could occur owing to adverse events (AEs), early therapeutic success or at the patient’s request. The treatment area was evaluated 7 ± 3 days after each treatment and concomitant medication use was reported. Patients attended two follow-up visits (visits 6 and 7) 4 weeks (± 5 days) and 12 weeks (± 7 days) after the final treatment session.

Efficacy outcome measures

Efficacy endpoints (Table 1) were evaluated at visit 7 (12 weeks after final treatment). There were two co-primary efficacy endpoints: the proportion of treatment responders, i.e. with a reduction in SMF of ≥ 1 point on the 5-point CR-SMFRS relative to baseline, and the proportion of patients satisfied with their appearance in association with their face and chin (i.e. with a score of ≥ 4 on the 7-point SSRS rating scale). To confirm the primary endpoint results, sensitivity analyses were conducted on the secondary parameters of change from baseline in CR-SMFRS and SSRS scores, and changes in caliper measurements of SMF thickness, by treatment session. The effect of treatment on skin laxity (Skin Laxity Rating Scale, SLRS) was evaluated, and patient-reported outcomes were assessed using the Patient-Reported Submental Fat Rating Scale (PR-SMFRS) and Patient-Reported Submental Fat Impact Scale (PR-SMFIS). Additional patient-reported instruments were also used but outcomes are not discussed here.

Safety outcome measures

AEs were evaluated at each visit and approximately 7 days after each treatment session, and were characterized descriptively by the day on which they started and stopped, and by severity and intensity. Treatment-emergent AEs were defined as those with onset or exacerbation after the first treatment dose. Changes from baseline in clinical laboratory parameters and other tests, and variations in vital signs, body temperature and body weight, were measured.

Statistical methodology

Using 80% of the effect size observed in two previous placebo-controlled phase II studies (NCT00618722 and NCT00618618) the proportion of patients with a reduction in SMF of ≥ 1 point on the 5-point CR-SMFRS relative to baseline, and the proportion of patients satisfied with their appearance in association with their face and chin (i.e. with a score of ≥ 4 on the 7-point SSRS rating scale). To confirm the primary endpoint results, sensitivity analyses were conducted on the secondary parameters of change from baseline in CR-SMFRS and SSRS scores, and changes in caliper measurements of SMF thickness, by treatment session. The effect of treatment on skin laxity (Skin Laxity Rating Scale, SLRS) was evaluated, and patient-reported outcomes were assessed using the Patient-Reported Submental Fat Rating Scale (PR-SMFRS) and Patient-Reported Submental Fat Impact Scale (PR-SMFIS). Additional patient-reported instruments were also used but outcomes are not discussed here.

Table 1 Efficacy parameters and scales used in the study

| Efficacy outcome | Evaluator | Outcome measure | Method of evaluation | Rating scale (range) |
|------------------|-----------|----------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| Primary          | Physician | SMF severity (submental convexity and amount of SMF) | Clinician-Reported SMF Rating Scale (CR-SMFRS) | 0 (absent) to 4 (extreme) |
| Primary          | Patient   | Satisfaction with appearance in association with the face and chin | Subject Self-Rating Scale (SSRS) | 0 (extremely dissatisfied) to 6 (extremely satisfied) |
| Secondary        | Physician | Skin laxity | Skin Laxity Rating Scale (SLRS) | 1 (no laxity) to 4 (very lax) |
| Secondary        | Patient   | Perceived SMF severity | Patient-Reported SMF Rating Scale (PR-SMFRS) | 1 (absent) to 5 (very large amount) |
| Secondary        | Patient   | Psychological impact of SMF appearance on feelings and perceived visual self-image | Patient-Reported SMF Impact Scale (PR-SMFIS) | 0 (not at all) to 10 (extremely) |
| Secondary        | Objective | SMF thickness | Calliper measurement | Measured continuously (mm) |

SMF, submental fat.
and a 10% dropout rate per treatment group with a Pearson chi-square test for two proportions (two-sided test with $\alpha = 0.025$), we determined a conservatively rounded sample size of 120 patients per treatment group to guarantee a power of 90%.

The efficacy analyses were based on the intention-to-treat population (all randomized patients who had at least one efficacy assessment at baseline), with missing values at visit 7 imputed using last observation carried forward. The null hypothesis for the treatment comparisons was that there was no difference between each dose of ATX-101 and placebo for the two co-primary efficacy endpoints. Comparisons of the two ATX-101 dose groups with placebo were made via odds ratios from binary logistic regression. An adjustment for multiplicity was performed. Because there were two co-primary endpoints, the null hypotheses for both variables had to be rejected at the same significance level ($\alpha = 0.05$). This was accounted for by using the larger of the two $P$-values in the Bonferroni–Holm procedure.

For the secondary endpoints, changes from baseline in CR-SMFIRS and calliper scores were analysed using a mixed-models repeated-measures analysis. Changes from baseline in SSRS scores were analysed by an analysis of variance (ANOVA). PR-SMFIRS improvements of ≥1 point were analysed by binary logistic regression. Changes from baseline in SLRS scores were recorded in frequency tables and Pearson’s chi-square test was applied to compare each ATX-101 group with placebo. Descriptive statistics were calculated for PR-SMFIS scores, with the change from baseline analysed by a post hoc Fisher’s least-square difference test for continuous variables, only if ANOVA showed an overall treatment effect. Statistical summaries for AEs were based on treatment-emergent AEs in the safety population (all patients who received at least one treatment with study drug). The numbers of AEs and patients presenting with each AE were categorized according to association with treatment, study withdrawal, death, severity, intensity, system organ class and preferred term.

**Results**

**Baseline characteristics**

Between December 2010 and January 2012, 363 patients successfully completed screening and baseline evaluations and were randomized to receive ATX-101 1 mg cm$^{-2}$ ($n = 120$), ATX-101 2 mg cm$^{-2}$ ($n = 121$) or placebo ($n = 122$) (Fig. 1). One patient randomized to the lower ATX-101 dose did not receive treatment; therefore, 362 patients were treated (safety population). Baseline characteristics were similar between treatment groups (Table 2). Overall, the majority of patients were female (76.5%) and white (94.8%), although other Fitzpatrick skin types were represented. Patients’ mean BMI was 25.7 kg m$^{-2}$, and the mean age was 46.4 years. At baseline, 68% of patients in the intention-to-treat population had a baseline CR-SMFIRS score of 2 (moderate submental convexity) and 32% had a score of 3 (severe convexity). 94% of patients had a baseline SSRS score between 0 (extremely dissatisfied) and 2 (slightly dissatisfied). No significant demographic differences were detected between treatment groups, confirming an unbiased randomization.

**Fig 1**. Disposition of randomized subjects. Premature treatment discontinuation: patient still completed study follow-up visits. Did not complete study: patient did not complete follow-up visits. AE, adverse event.

---

**Table 2**: Baseline demographics of randomized patients. Values are calculated as a percentage of the safety population (all patients who received at least one treatment with study drug).

| Characteristic | ATX-101 1 mg cm$^{-2}$ | ATX-101 2 mg cm$^{-2}$ | Placebo |
|---------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|---------|
| Gender Female | 76.5%                   | 76.5%                   | 76.5%   |
| Race White    | 94.8%                   | 94.8%                   | 94.8%   |
| BMI           | 25.7 (4.3)              | 25.7 (4.3)              | 26.0 (4.3) |
| Age           | 46.4 (13.8)             | 47.2 (14.7)             | 46.6 (13.5) |
| Baseline SSRS | 2.0 (1.0)               | 2.0 (1.0)               | 2.0 (1.0) |
| Baseline CR-SMFIRS | 2.0 (1.0) | 2.0 (1.0) | 2.0 (1.0) |

**ANOVA**: Analysis of variance. Two-tailed test with a 10% dropout rate per treatment group was used.
Table 2 Patient baseline characteristics (safety population)

| Variable          | Placebo (n = 122) | ATX-101 1 mg cm⁻² (n = 119) | ATX-101 2 mg cm⁻² (n = 121) | Overall (N = 362) |
|-------------------|-------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|------------------|
| Age (years); mean (SD) | 46.6; (10.17)    | 45.9; (9.96)                | 46.7; (9.78)                | 46.4; (10.29)   |
| Sex (%)           | Female; 71.3; 95.9 | Male; 28.7; 4.1             |                             |                  |
| Race (%)          | White; 95.9; 25.5  | Other; 4.1; 2.7             |                             |                  |
| BMI (kg m⁻²); mean (SD) | 25.5; (2.76)   | 25.9; (2.72)                | 25.7; (3.06)                | 25.7; (2.85)    |

B.M.I, body mass index.

Similar to placebo (91.8%), more than 90% of patients treated with ATX-101 completed the study. Premature treatment discontinuation (fewer than four treatment sessions) was more frequent in both ATX-101 groups (25.8% and 28.1%) than with placebo (11.5%) (Fig. 1). In total, 284 (78.2%) patients completed all four treatment sessions. Both early treatment success and AEs resulted in a higher rate of treatment discontinuation with ATX-101 compared with placebo (Fig. 1).

**Primary efficacy outcomes**

At 12 weeks after the final treatment, the proportion of treatment responders (≥1-point improvement in the 5-point CR-SMFRS) was significantly greater in both ATX-101 groups than in the placebo group, with a trend towards greater efficacy with the higher ATX-101 dose (1 mg cm⁻²: 59.2%; 2 mg cm⁻²: 65.3%; placebo: 23.0%; P < 0.001 for both ATX-101 doses vs. placebo). Odds ratios were 4.73 [95% confidence interval (CI) 2.70–8.28] for ATX-101 1 mg cm⁻² and 6.21 [95% CI 3.52–10.94] for ATX-101 2 mg cm⁻² (Fig. 2). The proportion of patients satisfied with the appearance of their face and chin after treatment (score ≥ 4 on the 7-point SSRS) was significantly greater in both ATX-101 groups compared with placebo (53.3% and 66.1%, respectively, vs. 28.7%; P < 0.001 for both ATX-101 doses vs. placebo) (Fig. 3). Odds ratios were 2.99 [95% CI 1.74–5.14] for ATX-101 1 mg cm⁻² and 5.22 [95% CI 2.99–9.11] for ATX-101 2 mg cm⁻², respectively, vs. placebo. According to the predefined confirmatory testing procedure, statistically significant efficacy was achieved for both ATX-101 dose groups (P < 0.001).

Photographs of representative patients treated with ATX-101 2 mg cm⁻² are shown in Figure 4.

**Secondary efficacy outcomes**

Mean changes from baseline at visit 7 in CR-SMFRS score were statistically greater with ATX-101 than placebo (–0.7 points and –0.9 points for ATX-101 1 and 2 mg cm⁻², respectively, vs. –0.2 points for placebo; P < 0.001 for both ATX-101 doses vs. placebo). Reductions in the amount of SMF were more pronounced after the first two or three ATX-101 treatments but continued throughout the treatment period (Fig. 5). For some ATX-101 recipients (7.5% and 10.7%, respectively), but no placebo recipients, fewer than four treatment sessions were required owing to early therapeutic success. SMF reduction was further confirmed by mean changes from baseline in SMF thickness (measured using callipers), which were significantly greater with ATX-101 than placebo (–3.8 and –4.2 mm, respectively, vs. –1.7 mm; P < 0.001 for both ATX-101 doses vs. placebo). Most patients treated with ATX-101 and placebo showed either no change (61.3% and
66.4%, respectively, vs. 75.9%), or an improvement (30.2% and 21.8%, respectively, vs. 13.4%) in skin laxity (SLRS).

Significantly more patients treated with ATX-101 than placebo reported an improvement of ≥1 point in PR-SMFRS score vs. baseline at visit 7 (67.0% and 73.6% for ATX-101 1 and 2 mg cm⁻², respectively, and 32.4% for placebo; \( P < 0.001 \) for both ATX-101 doses vs. placebo). ATX-101 recipients (vs. placebo) also reported statistically significant improvements in the psychological impact of their SMF regarding the PR-SMFIS criteria of feeling happier, less bothered, less self-conscious, less embarrassed, looking less old and looking less overweight (\( P < 0.001 \) overall and for each

Fig 4. Representative images of the aspect of the submental fat of patients before treatment (baseline) and after treatment (12 weeks after the final treatment) with ATX-101 2 mg cm⁻². Note: the patients shown here have provided written, informed consent for their images to be published. CR-SMFRS, Clinician-Reported Submental Fat Rating Scale; SMF, submental fat; SSRS, Subject Self-Rating Scale (satisfaction in association with the appearance of the face and chin).
Fig 5. Change (mean ± SD) in CR-SMFRS from baseline by study visit. *P < 0.001 vs. placebo. Intention-to-treat population. Changes from baseline analysed using an overall analysis of variance (ANOVA).

CR-SMFRS, Clinician-Reported Submental Fat Rating Scale.

Safety outcomes

Treatment-emergent AEs related to the study treatment were experienced by 50-8% of patients receiving placebo, compared with 90-8% and 95-0% of patients receiving ATX-101 1 and 2 mg cm\(^{-2}\), respectively. Most treatment-emergent AEs were associated with the treatment area (in 59-0% vs. 93-3% and 95-0% of patients, respectively). Among AEs with the highest incidence, injection-site pain, swelling, numbness, bruising, erythema and induration occurred more frequently in the ATX-101 groups than with placebo (Table 3). These AEs were predominantly transient and commonly resolved within the 28-day interval between treatments. The median duration of pain was 1-0 day for all groups. Swelling had a median duration of 9-0 and 10-0 days for the ATX-101 1 and 2 mg cm\(^{-2}\) groups, and 3-0 days for placebo. Numbness and induration generally resolved within 17–25 days after treatment. Most treatment area-associated events were mild or moderate in intensity in the placebo group (57-4% of patients) and in the ATX-101 1 and 2 mg cm\(^{-2}\) treatment groups (67-2% and 65-2%, respectively). The exception was injection-site pain occurring during and after the injections, with a higher proportion of patients who received ATX-101 reporting moderate (32-8% and 35-5% for ATX-101 1 and 2 mg cm\(^{-2}\), respectively, vs. 10-7% for placebo) to severe intensity (26-1% and 27-3%, respectively, vs. 0-8%).

No deaths occurred, and of the seven serious AEs reported (abdominal adhesions, gastric cancer, spontaneous abortion, depression, chronic tonsillitis, non-Hodgkin lymphoma and sleep apnoea syndrome), all were outside the treatment area and none was related to the study drug. Twenty patients discontinued the study drug owing to treatment-emergent AEs; most received ATX-101 (9 and 10 patients in the ATX-101 1 and 2 mg cm\(^{-2}\) groups, respectively, vs. one patient in the placebo group). In 18 of these patients, an AE that was drug-related and associated with the treatment area was recorded as at least one of the reasons for discontinuation [most commonly injection-site pain (13 patients)]. Clinical laboratory and other parameters generally remained in the normal range, and no clinically relevant differences were observed between the treatment groups.

Discussion

ATX-101 (vs. placebo) resulted in significantly reduced SMF severity and increased patient satisfaction with the appearance of the face and chin, evaluated using primary efficacy outcome

Table 3 Treatment-emergent adverse events at the injection site (incidence ≥ 10%)

| Adverse event by injection-site category | Incidence (%) |
|----------------------------------------|--------------|
|                                       | Placebo | ATX-101 1 mg cm\(^{-2}\) | ATX-101 2 mg cm\(^{-2}\) |
| Pain including burning | 25-4 | 77-3 | 80-2 |
| Swelling including oedema | 30-3 | 65-5 | 66-1 |
| Bruising including bleeding | 41-0 | 55-5 | 53-7 |
| Numbness | 2-5 | 47-9 | 51-2 |
| Erythema | 23-0 | 38-7 | 37-2 |
| Induration including fibrosis | 2-5 | 18-5 | 26-4 |

Fig 6. Change in PR-SMFRS single-item scores (assessing psychological impact of SMF appearance on feelings and perceived visual self-image) from baseline to visit 7 (12 weeks after the final treatment). *P < 0.001. Changes from baseline were analysed by an overall analysis of variance (ANOVA) and pairwise Fisher’s Least Significant Difference tests for continuous variables. Intention-to-treat population. PR-SMFRS, Patient-Reported Submental Fat Impact Scale.
measures. Significant efficacy was achieved for both ATX-101 dose groups. Secondary efficacy outcomes, including calliper measurements, demonstrated significant reductions in SMF with ATX-101 compared with placebo. No overall worsening of skin laxity was seen. ATX-101 treatment was associated with improvements in the psychological impact of SMF. Overall, the ATX-101 2 mg cm\(^{-2}\) dose showed a trend towards better efficacy outcomes compared with the 1 mg cm\(^{-2}\) dose but it should be noted that this study was not designed to compare the two ATX-101 doses.

The efficacy measures used in this study (clinician and patient ratings) were found to be appropriate for the assessment of SMF following formal validation studies (data on file). Although a relatively simple tool that is potentially subject to investigator-dependent variability, calliper measurements demonstrated a reduction in SMF with ATX-101 compared with placebo. These results are consistent with observations from a phase II study (currently unpublished), in which the objective measurement tool was magnetic resonance imaging. Although magnetic resonance imaging would have been more precise, it is laborious and would not have added greatly to the evidence provided by the clinical efficacy scales.

Both ATX-101 doses were well tolerated. Most AEs occurred in association with the treatment area, were temporally associated with treatment, and were mild or moderate in intensity. Most AEs resolved in the interval between treatments and generally did not lead to treatment discontinuation. Although more treatment area-associated AEs occurred with ATX-101 than with placebo, and it might be expected that patients could infer that they were receiving active treatment based on AEs, the distribution of events among the ATX-101 and placebo groups suggested that the integrity of the blinding was maintained. Furthermore, patients receiving active treatment had no basis for comparison with placebo treatment, and positive treatment responses using patient outcome measures, including satisfaction with appearance of the face and chin, were recorded in all groups.

Of the most common injection-site AEs, localized pain, swelling, bruising and erythema may result partially from a response to the injections, as suggested by the relatively high frequency of these events with placebo. However, the greater number of such events, and also of numbness and induration, in the ATX-101 groups may also relate to the adipocytolytic action of DCA. Adipocytolysis leads to a mild inflammatory response and clearance of the lysed cellular material from the injection site. Therefore, these AEs may result from a combination of both the mechanism of delivery and action of the agent. It is possible that higher doses of DCA might be associated with more severe AEs affecting nonadipose cell types. However, this would be unlikely with the concentrations of DCA used in this study.

In this study, a substantial placebo effect was observed, exceeding 30% for some outcome measures. The placebo effect is a well-known phenomenon, particularly with aesthetic treatments, and should be taken into account when looking at case series data from other interventions for the reduction of SMF. Perhaps unsurprisingly, the placebo response was greatest for the patient-reported outcomes (e.g. SSRS, PR-SMFRS). Nevertheless, ATX-101 treatment was associated with significantly better outcomes than placebo across the range of efficacy measures evaluated and, although the CR-SMFRS response for placebo remained fairly constant over the four treatment sessions, a consistent improvement was recorded in the active treatment groups (Fig. 5).

ATX-101 is a proprietary formulation of synthetically derived DCA and is the first injectable drug for SMF reduction to undergo comprehensive clinical evaluation. Although injectable lipolytic therapies have existed since the 1950s, no previous formulation has been subject to appropriate controlled clinical testing and thorough pharmaceutical development. Small-scale studies of deoxycholate with or without phosphatidylcholine for the reduction of small areas of fat have been reported previously. Concerns were expressed about the unregulated nature of these formulations and many health authorities banned their use. In contrast, this study and three additional phase III clinical studies of ATX-101 (results to be published) will provide the first true evidence base for adipocytolytic therapy with a specific formulation of DCA alone. Given that surgical procedures, such as face-lift and liposuction, are invasive and not appropriate for all patients, and that energy devices have been subject to minimal clinical study, a state-of-the-art and thoroughly tested nonsurgical alternative is warranted.

In this phase III, randomized clinical study, ATX-101 showed a favourable efficacy profile for the nonsurgical reduction of unwanted SMF and was well tolerated.
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