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Abstract
Drying oils are commonly used in paintings and are prone to yellow discolouration from aging. Although there are numerous studies aimed at understanding their chemical composition, the yellowing process and its effects on the underlying fluorescence emission of the oils are not fully understood. In this study, four different commercially available oils, namely linseed, water-miscible linseed-, stand- and poppy seed oil, were analysed using UV–visible absorption and fluorescence spectroscopy. Both liquid and cured, solid film oils were analysed. Liquid oils show a structured absorption pattern, of which only two weakly absorbing peaks ($\lambda_{\text{ex}}$ 300 and 315 nm) result in fluorescence emission ($\lambda_{\text{em}}$ 330 and 410 nm). The solid film lacks the structured pattern seen in the liquid oil’s absorption spectrum, showing instead a broad absorption peak. At an excitation wavelength ($\lambda_{\text{ex}}$) of 365 nm the cured film normally fluoresces at $\lambda_{\text{em}}$ 440 nm but is seen to shift to $\lambda_{\text{em}}$ 550 nm as a result of yellowing. Artificial aging techniques, applied to the oils in order to cause a large degree of yellow discolouration, were seen to induce a large bathochromic shift in their fluorescence spectra. A correlation between the degree of discolouration and the shift in fluorescence is demonstrated, giving rise to a quantitative method that can be used to monitor the yellow discolouration. By non-destructively quantifying the degree of discolouration, colour-reconstruction of paintings could be performed to identify what the paintings looked like before degradation.
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Introduction
Drying oils have been used in paintings as a binding medium since the 15th century [1–3]. Their ability to dry and form a solid film under ambient conditions [4] can be attributed to the unsaturation in oils, which facilitates polymerization [2]. The properties of each oil are largely dependent on the type of fatty acids present; for example, oils with a high unsaturated fatty acid content dry faster but have a greater tendency to yellow with age [3, 5–8]. Linseed-, poppy seed-, as well as walnut- or safflower-oil, which are commonly used in paintings, contain high concentrations of the unsaturated fatty acids, linolenic and linoleic acid (Table 1) [9]. Linseed oil is extracted from the flax plant (Linum usitatissimum), which contains large amounts of unsaturated fatty acids [3]. Water-miscible linseed oil contains the same oil content as linseed oil with an added emulsifier that increases hydrophilicity and thereby allows for water to rinse paint brushes, instead of toxic organic solvents [2]. Water-miscible oil paints were introduced to the artist’s palette around the 1990s [10] although oil and water paint mixtures had previously been used for centuries [11]. Stand oil is a form of linseed oil that has been pre-polymerised through heat treatment. Poppy seed oil is extracted from Papaver somniferum seeds and has a lower degree of unsaturation [1]. There are numerous manufacturing processes, and thus numerous historical formulations, for the production of drying oils [12, 13], however, the oils used for this study are representative of the 21st century artist’s palette, and therefore commercially available drying oils used were tested.
The discolouration of paintings is of concern, as we can no longer appreciate a painting for its original colours. There are several factors that lead to discolouration such as the degradation of pigments [16–19], formation of lead soaps [20–23], the yellowing of varnish [24, 25] and oil binding media [26–28]. This study focuses on the discolouration of drying oils. Drying oils are clear or faintly coloured when applied to paintings, but gradually develop a strong yellow discoloration over time. This discoloration is an unavoidable property of oil paint [2]. The basis of the yellow colour remains poorly understood, despite the wide range of reported chemical compounds that are suspected to play a role in this regard [2, 4, 26–32]. The currently accepted view is that the yellowing is a result of two compounds, one which reflects yellow light, and another which fluoresces yellow, however, their identities are still unknown. Together these two compounds are thought to make up the characteristic intense yellow colour [29].

Although little is known of the yellowing of oils, there are a few trends associated with the yellowing process. Firstly, a high degree of unsaturation in the oil leads to significant discolouration. Therefore, linseed oil will yellow to a greater extent than poppy seed oil, which is less unsaturated [2, 33]. Secondly, the yellowing has been found to be accelerated by certain metal-containing pigments, such as lead white, copper carbonate and various cobalt pigments [26, 33–36]. Thirdly, the discoloration can be bleached and can go through cycles of yellowing and bleaching, depending on the storage conditions; light exposure reverses the yellowing, while dark conditions promote yellowing, which suggests that photodegradation reactions reverse the discolouration [26, 36]. Lastly, there is a correlation between the fluorescence of drying oils and the degree of yellowing: fluorescence emission shifts from blue to green as the samples yellow [26, 29, 37].

In contrast to yellowing, the fluorescent property of oils is beneficial as it can aid in diagnostic tests that identify areas of previous restoration [38–40].

Fluorescence imaging of a painting is obtained by illuminating its surface with ultra-violet (UV) light and observing the visible-light fluorescence. The development of the LED micro-spectrofluorometer (LEDSµSF) and other handheld spectrofluorometers has allowed for more sophisticated spectroscopic analysis [41–45]. The fluorescence of a painting can now be measured as a spectrum, with exact emission wavelengths, instead of a single colour determined subjectively by the eye. This study aimed to identify changes in yellowing, during the curing of drying oils, through the use of high-sensitivity fluorescence spectroscopy. Four commercially available drying oils were exposed to different light conditions (sun light, UV-light and dark) during the curing process, and afterwards were exposed to artificial aging mechanisms (UV-light and an ammonia vapour chamber respectively) to accelerate yellowing. By correlating the fluorescence changes with the degree of yellowing, digital colour corrections can be performed on paintings without the need to sample. This allows a viewer to see what the colours would likely have looked like before age-related degradation occurred.

### Experimental methods

#### Sample preparation

Solid film samples were prepared by painting the drying oils onto glass microscope slides which were allowed to dry in order for film formation to occur. Four drying oils were tested: linseed oil (LO), water-miscible linseed oil (WLO), stand oil (SO) and poppy seed oil (PO), all from Winsor & Newton, UK. The solid samples were naturally aged for 2 years under ambient temperature (20 ± 5 °C) and humidity (50 ± 20% RH) in a drawer. Fluctuations in temperature and humidity are resultant of seasonal changes. Liquid samples were prepared by dissolving 10 µL of the liquid oil in 1000 µL of ethanol (99.9% from ACE, South Africa) or other solvent. The solvents used were diethyl ether (90% SAR Chem, South Africa), ethanol, dichloromethane and toluene at 95% purity (ACE, South Africa). Acetonitrile, hexane and toluene were HPLC grade (Sigma Aldrich, South Africa), while

| Fatty acids   | Linseed oil (%) | Poppy seed oil (%) | Walnut oil (%) | Safflower oil (%) |
|---------------|-----------------|--------------------|----------------|-------------------|
| Palmitic acid | C16:0*  | 6–8                | 8–12           | 3–7               | 5.5–7             |
| Stearic acid  | C18:0           | 3–6                | 2–3            | 0.5–3             | 2–3               |
| Oleic acid    | C18:1           | 14–24              | 12–17          | 9–30              | 10–35             |
| Linoleic acids| C18:2           | 14–19              | 55–65          | 57–76             | 55–81             |
| Linolenic acid| C18:3           | 48–60              | 3–8            | 2–16              | 0–1               |

*C16 indicates the number of carbons in the chain (in this case, 16 carbons), while the second number indicates the degree of unsaturation (number of double bonds)*
cyclohexane and chloroform were 99.5% purity (Rad-CheM, South Africa).

Solid–liquid extraction was performed on the dried oils by placing 0.500 mg cured oil into a vial with 1000 µL ethanol. The vial was then ultrasonicated at a high frequency (50 Hz) for 30 min using a Scientech ultrasonic cleaner (Labtec, South Africa). Additionally, the solid film on a microscope slide was extracted through Soxhlet extraction, using 100 mL ethanol for 24 h. The liquid extract was yellow coloured, while the remaining solid film was severely cracked.

Samples for artificial aging were prepared by painting the drying oils onto microscope slides covered with pieces of painter’s canvas to facilitate the accelerated aging with minimal alligator-skin formation (wrinkling of paint caused by rapid drying of the upper layers of the oil). These samples were solely used for fluorescence studies and to monitor the changes in fluorescence during artificial aging.

Artificial ageing and curing
Artificial ageing was achieved by exposing the samples to elevated temperatures, artificial light and a saturated chamber of ammonia. An ammonia chamber was prepared to induce yellowing. The wooden chamber (25 × 25 × 60 cm) was sealed with rubber and had a glass window through which the colour change could be monitored. A beaker containing 40 mL of 25% ammonia solution was placed in the chamber to saturate the air with ammonia vapour. Two main sets of samples were treated, the first set of samples was exposed to various ageing environments while wet, and the samples were cured under the ageing conditions. The second set was first cured in a dark chamber for 3 months after which the samples were exposed to the artificial ageing conditions.

Within each set, there were various times for which a sample was exposed to the ageing conditions. The first set of 44 samples were cured under the ageing conditions: two samples for each oil (a total of 8 samples) were cured exposed to an elevated temperature (80°C), with one sample exposed for 3 h and the other for 6 h. Another set of 5 samples per oil were cured for either 24 h, 1 week, 2 weeks, 3 weeks or 1 month. The second set of 24 samples was cured in a dark drawer for 3 months before exposing the samples to the different ageing conditions. Two samples for each oil were placed under the UV lamp for either 24 h or 1 week, and thereafter were placed in a dark drawer.

The HPLC system was fitted with a re-usable online micro solid phase extraction (µSPE) collection unit. Two fractions were manually collected onto the Hyosphere-GP 20 × 2 mm µSPE cartridges (Spark Holland, The Netherlands). A beaker containing 40 mL of 25% ammonia solution was placed in the chamber to saturate the air with ammonia vapour. Two main sets of samples were treated, the first set of samples was exposed to various ageing environments while wet, and the samples were cured under the ageing conditions. The second set was first cured in a dark chamber for 3 months after which the samples were exposed to the artificial ageing conditions.

UV–visible light absorption spectrophotometer
UV–visible absorption was done using a Cary 100-Bio UV–visible spectrophotometer (Varian, USA) equipped with a visible light source lamp and a deuterium lamp, which switched at 350 nm. Scans were measured from 800–200 nm with a Czerny-Turner 0.28 m monochromator equipped with a R928 photomultiplier tube (PMT) detector.

Fluorescence spectrophotometer
Fluorescence measurements were made on a Fluoromax-4 Spectrofluorometer (Horiba, Japan) with a continuous 150 W xenon lamp and photodiode detector. A single monochromator was used for excitation and emission wavelengths, with a slit width of 5 nm for both the light source and the detector.

Chromatographic fractionation
Samples were analysed using a 1260 Infinity binary high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) system (Agilent, USA), equipped with an Agilent 1260 auto sampler and a XSelect® HSS T3 5 µm (4.6 mm × 150 mm) reverse phase column (Waters, South Africa). The 1260 Infinity Photodiode-Array Detector (PDA) (Agilent, USA) used a deuterium lamp (wavelength range 190 to 640 nm), with optical slit of 4 nm. Chromatograms were monitored using select wavelengths: 240, 260, 280, 300, 315 and 400 nm.

Separation was achieved by means of a reverse phase step gradient using acetonitrile (A) and water (B) both with 0.1% formic acid, at a 4.00 µL/min flow rate. Initial conditions were: 20% A: 80% B, ramped up to 100% A within 12 min, held constant for 14 min. Thereafter, the gradient was rapidly changed back to the initial conditions of 20% A in 2 min and then held constant for 2 min. The HPLC system was fitted with a re-usable online micro solid phase extraction (µSPE) collection unit. Two fractions were manually collected onto the Hyosphere-GP 20 × 2 mm µSPE cartridges (Spark Holland, The Netherlands).
Netherlands) and were then eluted using HPLC grade methanol (Sigma Aldrich, South Africa).

**Results and discussion**

**UV-absorption and fluorescence spectroscopic changes due to curing**

The absorption spectra of drying oils were recorded for the liquid oils (dissolved in ethanol) and the solid films. There were few differences in the absorption between the four different drying oils in solution (Fig. 1). All oils have two strongly UV-absorbing peaks, one between 200 and 215 nm while the second, less intense peak occurred at 230 nm. The absorption at 200–215 nm indicates the presence of polyenes and unsaturated α,β-ketones [46, 47]. Between 250 and 350 nm, the oils show weak absorptive peaks indicating the presence of an unconjugated chromophore containing a heteroatom [46].

The spectra of linseed oil (LO) and water-miscible linseed oil (WLO) are the most similar: both show peaks at about 280, 300 and 315 nm, while the peak at 269 nm (in the presence of another peak at 315 nm) is characteristic of WLO. The spectral similarity between LO and WLO are not surprising, considering the fact that WLO is LO with an emulsifier that increases its solubility in water, but other than that, the two are chemically identical [48]. Poppy seed oil (PO) has three additional moderately absorbing peaks, at 258, 269 and 279 nm, of which the first peak is characteristic of PO and does not appear in either LO or WLO. Stand oil (SO) does not have any peaks in the range between 250 and 350 nm, suggesting that the compounds that would normally give rise to peaks in this area may have reacted during the prepolymerization process [3]. In contrast to the absorption spectra of samples in solution, the cured films show only a broad peaked absorption at 300 nm (Fig. 2).

The absorption patterns give an indication of the excitation wavelength which will result in optimal fluorescence. Of the 5 distinct absorption peaks in liquid linseed oil, only two resulted in fluorescence. Excitation (λex) at 300 nm gives a two-peaked fluorescence signal (Fig. 3) while excitation at 315 nm gives only one. The emission at 330 nm only appears upon excitation at 300 nm, while the peak at 410 nm appears when both excitation wavelengths (λex 300 and 315 nm) are used. Although PO and SO show no absorption at 300 and 315 nm, both
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**Fig. 1** Absorption spectra of the liquid drying oils dissolved in ethanol. All spectra were blank corrected and normalised to 1
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**Fig. 2** Fluorescence and absorption spectra of cured drying oils (once they formed a solid film). All oils showed the same peaks
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**Fig. 3** Absorption spectra of liquid linseed oil dissolved in ethanol (red) and corresponding fluorescence spectra, at an excitation wavelength (λex) 300 nm (black) and λex 315 nm (blue), respectively
Excitation wavelengths give the same fluorescence spectra as LO, and no additional fluorescence is observed.

Curing of the liquid-phase samples shifts the fluorescence from a weak ultraviolet emission (330 and ~410 nm) to a strong blue-green fluorescence in the solid film (Fig. 2). Bathochromic shifts (shifts to longer wavelengths) of such magnitude (50 to 100 nm) are not uncommon and are often induced through changes in solvent polarity or hydrogen bonding [49]. Drying oils are cured through an auto-oxidation process which results in a change from a non-polar liquid oil to a polar polymer network [47]. To determine whether the bathochromic shift is a result of a polarity change, the Lippert equation can be used [49]. The equation assumes that no external factors interact with the fluorophore; additional interactions, such as hydrogen bonding or the formation of charge-transfer states, can be detected as deviations from this generalised state. In this case, applying the Lippert equation reveals no trend, indicating that the bathochromic shift is not a result of polarity changes (Additional file 1: Figure S1). Interestingly, the intensity of the two fluorescent peaks from the liquid samples is found to be solvent-dependent. Polar solvents result in both peaks having similar fluorescence intensities, whereas non-polar solvents halve the intensity of the first peak (330 nm) while the second peak (410 nm) remains at a reasonably constant intensity (Additional file 1: Figure S2).

The solvent-extractable components of the cured oil were analysed. The cured linseed oil film does not fully dissolve, regardless of the polarity of solvent used. Ethanol proves to yield the largest fluorescent intensity, confirming literature reports of ethanol being the most efficient solvent for extracting compounds from LO [50]. The extracts (Soxhlet- and ultrasonic-extractions) show a single absorption peak (315 nm) which corresponds to that of the liquid oil, with the absence of the absorption peak at 300 nm. The yellow extract was found to be stable with no noticeable colour changes after a period of a month. The fluorescence of the extract was tested directly after extraction and a month later to determine whether any degradation or instability had occurred, and the fluorescence was found to remain stable at 418 nm.

The fluorescence peak of the extract at 418 nm corresponds to liquid LO and not its solid phase (Table 2). The remaining solid film remains strongly fluorescent under UV light, and shows no change in fluorescence emission. However, the film was severely cracked and could not be used for further absorption studies.

The ethanol extract of the cured oil was fractionated using high performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) coupled to a photodiode array detector (PDA) to identify the most optically active fractions. The chromatograms were monitored using a range of absorption wavelengths, as no peaks were seen using 315 nm. Interestingly, only 280 nm show peaks on the chromatogram. Two fractions were collected corresponding to the detection of two large absorption peaks, at 12.8 min (fraction 1) and 13.2 min (fraction 2; Additional file 1: Figure S3) respectively. These two peaks were found upon analysis of both the liquid oil and cured oil extracts.

Both fraction 1 and 2 have the same strong absorption peak at 275 nm, while fraction 1 has an additional peak at 232 nm (Fig. 4 and Table 2). The fluorescence emission of the two fractions are both at 315 nm (λex 275 nm; ∆40 nm), which does not correspond to that of the liquid or cured oil (Table 2). This suggests that the absorption at 315 nm is highly dependent on the oil matrix, and that

| Table 2: Shifts in absorption and emission bands of the liquid sample, cured film, ethanol extract and the oil film after ethanol extraction, as well as the two purified HPLC fractions in methanol |
|---------------------------------------------------------------|
| **Absorption band maximum; λ_{ex} (nm)** | **Emission band maximum; λ_{em} (nm)** | **Stokes shift; ∆(nm)** |
|------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------|-------------------------|
| Liquid | 207 | – | – |
|       | 236 | – | – |
|       | 283 | – | – |
|       | 300 | 329, 412 | 29, 112 |
|       | 315 | 412 | 97 |
| Cured oil film | 300 | 445 → 550* | 145 → 250* |
| Ethanol extract from cured oil | 318 | 418 | 100 |
| Cured oil film after ethanol extraction | – | 445 | – |
| Fraction 1 | 232 | – | – |
|          | 275 | 315 | 40 |
| Fraction 2 | 275 | 315 | 40 |

* Fluorescence of cured oil changes upon ageing; discussed in “Artificial ageing” section
once the compounds are isolated, they show different excitation spectra. The fractions have a smaller Stokes shift than the liquid oil and the extract of cured oil, suggesting that the isolated compound is not the same as the compound that results in the fluorescence in the cured oil.

The preceding findings indicate that the compound giving rise to the first peak in liquid oil, at $\lambda_{ex}$ 300 nm; $\lambda_{em}$ 330 nm, reacts as part of a polymer structure, and cannot be extracted. While the second compound, which gives rise to the second peak at $\lambda_{ex}$ 315 nm; $\lambda_{em}$ 410 nm, remains unreacted in the cured oil, and emits only in solution. This could be interpreted to mean that the first peak contributes significantly to the strong blue fluorescence in the solid phase, which is stabilised during the polymerization process. This is commonly seen in non-conjugated polymer dots (NCPDs) where poorly fluorescent subfluorophores (heteroatom-containing double bonds; C=O, C=N, N=O) are enhanced through chemical linking or physical immobilisation [51]. Subfluorophores have intrinsically weak fluorescence, although it increases drastically with vibrational and rotational restriction. NCPDs have characteristic blue fluorescence and can develop a bathochromic shift when the electron density over the subfluorophore increases [52]. This bathochromic shift in drying is seen as the oil ages (as is further explained in “Artificial ageing” section).

**Artificial ageing**

Oils that were cured under UVC light (254 nm) showed immediate alligator skin formation and bleaching. The accelerated curing of oils exposed to UV light is due to the radical mechanism of curing which is initiated through light [52–54]. After exposure to UV light, the cured samples were placed in dark drawers, which visibly accelerated the yellowing of the oils (Fig. 5). As the samples aged, the fluorescence was measured in weekly intervals, for 2 months, and then monthly, for another 6 months. It is important to note that the ageing methods employed in this study were extreme exposure to light and dark, which does not represent the typical light conditions in museums. However, the dark conditions could represent the storage areas of museum where paintings will reside for months in the dark. The extreme light conditions accelerated all ageing reactions, which allowed for a short-term monitoring of fluorescence changes [55, 56].

With aging, a bathochromic shift is observed, which can be related to the yellowing effect (Fig. 6) [29, 39, 57–59]. The fluorescence emission maximum is initially at 460 nm but increases exponentially to 500–510 nm (a green colour), where it reaches a plateau (Fig. 7). An additional few months in the dark drawer increases the emission further to 550 nm, imparting a yellow-green fluorescent colour (Additional file 1: Figure S4) to the sample. This is the general trend for samples cured under ultra-violet light. The formation of a plateau of constant emission indicates that there are two processes that cause the bathochromic shift. This confirms that oil paintings are not only continuously changing, but that there are multiple steps in the process that can occur over a period of months.

Drying oils that have been cured under ambient conditions (dark drawer at 20 ± 5 °C and 50 ± 20% RH) and then exposed to UV light after 3 months, show a different trend. Instead of reaching a plateau, a steadily increasing emission wavelength is observed, that passes from blue (450–490 nm), to green (495–570 nm) and to yellow (570–590 nm) fluorescence (Fig. 7). Samples that were cured in sunlight did not show any yellowing, and thus no changes in fluorescence. Aging under dark conditions causes discolouration, however, without sunlight or UV-light exposure, the discolouration takes much longer to develop. Natural aging can take up to 2 years to develop the same kind of discolouration that UV-light causes within 2 weeks.

The curing of drying oils in an ammonia chamber appears to inhibit the drying process, as the oils appeared to be as viscous as when they were initially applied. Drying oils that were cured in the dark showed some degree of drying within the same time frame as those kept in the ammonia chamber. Interestingly, uncured samples that were in the ammonia chamber for a longer period (1 month) showed accelerated yellowing once cured (after 4 months), while a short exposure time to ammonia does not affect the yellowing rate (Fig. 8). This could be because the ammonia is absorbed into the liquid, and
when the oils are cured, the ammonia becomes more readily available, which accelerates the rate of yellowing.

When the ambiently cured oils were placed (after 3 months of curing) in the ammonia chamber, the colour of the oil changed rapidly. Within 24 h, the saturated ammonia chamber changed all four oils to an orange-brown colour. The ammonia chamber caused the same degree of yellowing as UV-light treatment did, but within a 24-h period, rather than a month (Fig. 8). This supports the hypothesis that the presence of ammonia is a main cause for the acceleration of the yellowing found in oil paintings [29], and thus stresses the need to use ammonia-free cleaning products in museum environments. Previous studies have suggested the formation of a fluorescent aminoenamine in oils, which is responsible for bathochromic shifts [29, 30]. However, recent studies have shown that these isolated adducts may in fact not be fluorescent [60]. It is, however, still possible that the nitrogen-containing vapours are responsible for the colour changes through the formation of a fluorescent compound, as there have been studies that demonstrate through infrared spectroscopy that the nitrogen content in drying oils increases as the oils age [32].

All stages of yellowing (identified by green and yellow fluorescence) were reversible in sunlight within 8 h of exposure, as is evident from the hypsochromic shift in fluorescence (Fig. 9). The yellowing is reversed in neither 254 nor 365 nm UV light (in a 24-h period), indicating that a broad light spectrum is needed to reverse the yellowing effects. Artificial aging under UV light accelerates the rate of yellowing but yellowing cannot be used as a measure of age as the painting can be bleached. A bleached painting will thereby appear younger while a freshly painted portrait might look old if stored in the dark. The yellowing cycle can be repeated numerous times and still undergo the same degree of yellowing and bleaching [8], the bleaching process is faster than the yellowing. This suggests a hysteresis curve, in which the extent of yellowing is related to the light exposure history of the painting. Previous studies that have monitored the

![Fig. 5](image_url) Yellowing of oils after 24 h of UV-light exposure, and subsequent storage in a dark drawer. The colour changes that occur over time are evident, from freshly painted oil (a), to 7 days (b), 14 days (c) and 4 months (d) of storage in the dark drawer.
discolouration over several years have found a repetitive nature of the yellowing and bleaching of oils [33, 61]. Even though the bleaching is cyclic, it is important to stress that it is a photodegradation reaction and therefore affects the integrity of the paint and should be avoided.

Although all drying oils showed a similar trend in their rate of yellowing, they did not all yellow to the same extent. Linseed oil (both LO and WLO) shows the most intense colour changes, while poppy seed oil yellows less, and stand oil hardly shows any yellowing. Poppy seed oil reaches a maximum fluorescence emission at 530 nm as a result of yellowing, while stand oil remains at 510 nm (Additional file 1: Figures S5, S6 for poppy seed oil and Additional file 1: Figures S7, S8 for stand oil). WLO takes longer to cure, but once cured, yellows much more rapidly than LO, reaching its maximum fluorescence emission at 570 nm (the same as LO; Additional file 1: Figures S9, S10). During the curing process of all oils, no noticeable yellowing occurred, whereas once cured, the rate of change in yellowing and fluorescence were a result of the aging mechanism employed.
The two-step process in fluorescence development was also observed in the colour changes, whereby the first incline lead to a yellow (Fig. 5a–d) and the second to a brown discolouration (Additional file 1: Figure S4). The brown discolouration became evidently visible with the discoulouration from ammonia vapour, but occured over several months in all samples. A shorter wavelength fluorescence (blue, 460 nm) indicates a yellow discolouration, while a longer wavelength (green or yellow; 500–550 nm) indicates that the oil has started to turn brown in colour. Although these observed changes were drastic, they are not unknown - paintings degrade over time [28, 62], which leads to colour changes. These colour changes can be a result of varnish discoulouration [24], pigment degradation [16–18], or binder discoulouration [26–28]. Pigments are commonly used for colour reconstructions, as they have been found to be irreversible [63]. Varnish is also known to be a major factor in the discoulouration of paintings due to its yellowing tendencies and the formation of micro-cracks which turn the varnish a milky colour [25]. This can be accounted for by having a conservator remove the varnish layer. However, the process to determine the original colour of the binding medium is not as easily achieved, due to the cyclic nature of the discoulouration.

Drying oils are found to bleach in light, whereas dark conditions accelerate discolouration. As there is no measure of the amount of ‘darkness’ a painting receives, the measure of the discolouration of binder is often misinterpreted. By relating the discoulouration of drying oils to the fluorescence, the discoulouration of drying oils can be accurately calculated regardless of the age of the painting or the light conditions to which it has been exposed.

Conclusion

The fluorescence of drying oils is largely dependent on the physical state of the oil. Liquid oils fluoresce in the ultra-violet range, and therefore cannot be seen by the naked eye. Cured oils fluoresce in the blue range, and shift to green (and sometimes yellow) fluorescence, as the oil yellows. Contrary to previous findings it is proposed that the fluorescence of drying oils is a result of subfluorophores which are poorly fluorescent in the liquid phase but become fluorescent once immobilised in the cured oil matrix. The bathochromic shift in fluorescence (from blue to green) is a result of increased rigidity in the cured oil matrix. The yellowing which is linked to the fluorescence of the oil is not a result of age, but rather of storage conditions, as was identified by the extreme storage conditions used in this study. Exposure to ammonia vapour rapidly increases the degree of yellowing, and therefore ammonia-based cleaning products should be avoided in museum environments, as the discoulouration affects the aesthetic value of artworks. Light bleaches the oil and thus reverses the fluorescence shift, while dark storage conditions promote yellowing and fluorescence changes. Although light bleaches the yellowing of drying oils, this is not advised as it could simultaneously cause irreversible photodegradation of certain pigments.

The yellow discolouration of oils is not always visible when pigments are present, and in mixtures with non-fluorescent pigments, the fluorescence can be used to identify the degree of discoulouration. The fluorescence peak colour provides a measure of yellowing and can therefore, be used to do digital colour corrections of paintings. As fluorescence spectroscopy is non-destructive, it can be used to determine the extent of yellowing without the need of sampling and is thus an advisable method for the monitoring of discoulouration in paintings.
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