Abstract

The Warm Front End (WFE) of the Proton Improvement Plan II Injector Test [1] at Fermilab has been constructed to its full length. It includes a 15-mA DC, 30-keV H+ ion source, a 2 m-long Low Energy Beam Transport (LEBT) with a switching dipole magnet, a 2.1 MeV CW RFQ, followed by a Medium Energy Beam Transport (MEBT) with various diagnostics and a dump. This report presents the commissioning status, focusing on beam measurements in the MEBT. In particular, a beam with the parameters required for injection into the Booster (5 mA, 0.55 ms macro-pulse at 20 Hz) was transported through the WFE.

**MEBT COMMISSIONING**

Reports on commissioning activities for the Ion Source, LEBT and RFQ can be found in Refs. [4,5,11,12] and therein. This section focuses on the MEBT.

**MEBT Chopping System**

The chopping system synchronously uses two kickers to provide a 6-σ separation at the absorber (where σ is the beam rms vertical width at that location) between the bunches intended to be removed and those making their way past it. Thus, two kicker prototypes of different designs, termed “50-Ohm” and “200-Ohm” [13] in accordance with their respective impedance, have been installed in the beam line for evaluation.

To characterize them individually, dipole correctors, both before and after the kicker being tested, are set such that the beam is transported to the dump with low losses whether the kicker is on or off (i.e. 2 separate trajectories simultaneously). Note that this was only possible before installation of the DPI, which creates a severe aperture restriction, incompatible with this manipulation.
This configuration permitted demonstrating operation of the 200-Ohm kicker for an extended period of time at 5 mA \times 0.55 \text{ ms} \times 2.1 \text{ MeV} \times 20 \text{ Hz} = 115 \text{ W}, a.k.a. “CDR MEBT parameters” [14] for injection into the Booster. While similar trajectories were devised for testing the 50-Ohm kicker, no long run was attempted.

To measure the deflection strength of the kickers, scraper profiles of the beam downstream are recorded (Fig. 3) when every other bunch is deflected (81.25 MHz waveform). As the scraper moves into the beam, it first intercepts one of the trajectories, then the second. The dump current is plotted against the scraper position and, if the two trajectories are well separated, shows two ‘steps’ (Fig. 3a). On the other hand, if the deflection is small, the two ‘steps’ start merging as shown on Figure 3b.

Initially, phasing of the kickers w.r.t. the beam is done by recording the signal of a single BPM plate downstream of the kicker on an oscilloscope and maximizing the peak amplitude difference between successive bunches by adjusting the relative delay.

Quantitatively, the scraper profiles are fitted with a function corresponding to the sum of 2 Gaussian 1D profiles, where the fitting parameters are the mean value of each Gaussian and \( \sigma \), which is assumed to be the same for bunches belonging to either trajectory. The difference between the 2 mean values is the trajectory or bunch separation. From this, both the optimum phase of the kicker w.r.t. the beam and the deflection angle at the kicker exit can be inferred. Note that because a single kicker only provides half of the design separation for the nominal beam, for the 2 mean values to be well separated at nominal voltage, the beam is scraped off in the direction of the kick (vertical) with the first scraping station (resulting in a so-called flat beam).

In this arrangement, both designs met the deflection specification of >7 mrad between passing and removed bunches, which for the 200-Ohm kicker corresponds to a nominal voltage difference of 1kV between the 2 opposite electrodes.

The higher impedance of the 200-Ohm kicker allows using state-of-the-art fast switches developed at Fermilab [15], and, in turn, demonstrating arbitrary bunch selection without the need to invest in expensive power amplifiers that would be required to fully test a 50-Ohm system. The present version of the switch-driver can deliver 0.6 ms bursts of arbitrary pulses with 4 ns rise/fall time, average switching frequency of up to 45 MHz inside the burst and 20 Hz bursts repetition rate as required for Booster injection. This is illustrated in Figure 4 with the waveform of the RWCM located downstream of the 200-Ohm kicker.

A flat beam was used, and the F-scraper is partially inserted into the beam pipe such that deflected bunches get intercepted by the scraper paddle.

Finally, both kickers were used together synchronously using 81.25 MHz waveforms. In that case, one of the 2 trajectories created by the 50-Ohm kicker was further deflected by the 200-Ohm kicker, while losses to the kicker masks, electrically isolated metal sheets which protect their electrodes, were kept to <20 \( \mu \text{A} \) altogether. This configuration is illustrated on Figure 5, which shows the \( \pm 3 \sigma \) vertical envelopes from Tracewin [16] simulations for both the passing beam (pink trace) and the beam being deflected onto the F-scraper (green trace).

Note that for the simulations shown in Figure 5, the focusing settings are those used experimentally and were not completely optimized.
was located just upstream of the 3rd bunching cavity. Measurements of the rms longitudinal emittance have been performed with the Fast Faraday Cup (FFC) when it was located just upstream of the 3rd bunching cavity (Section 7, Fig. 2). A first estimate gives a value of 1.06 eV μs (i.e. 0.34 mm mrad normalized) at 5 mA for a 10 μs pulse, ~10% higher than expectations.

Measurements of the rms longitudinal emittance have been performed with the Fast Faraday Cup (FFC) when it was located just upstream of the 3rd bunching cavity (Section 7, Fig. 2). A first estimate gives a value of 1.06 eV μs (i.e. 0.34 mm mrad normalized) at 5 mA for a 10 μs pulse, ~10% higher than expectations.

**Beam Properties**

While operation of the Warm Front End was demonstrated for a beam with “CDR parameters” (i.e. 1.1% duty factor), most beam properties measurements are carried out with short pulses (10 μs). Scrapers, the Fast Faraday Cup (FFC) and the emittance scanner are all intercepting devices that cannot sustain large beam power deposition.

Transverse beam size measurements with the scrapers and emittance scanner in conjunction with magnetic measurements of the focusing elements indicate that the MEBT optics are understood and predictable at the 10% level [17]. As mentioned previously, this knowledge allows transporting the beam through the MEBT with <2% loss while going through the tight apertures of the 2 kickers and, even more so, of the DPI. For the nominal beam current of 5 mA (out of the RFQ), with the kickers off, the transverse emittance measured at the exit of the MEBT is within the specifications (< 0.22 mm mrad, rms, normalized) and nearly the same as when it was measured at the exit of the RFQ (earlier configuration when there were only 2 quadrupole doublets followed by the emittance scanner [11]). In addition, when every other bunch is either kicked out or passes through, the emittance of the ‘passing’ bunches show <10% increase.

Measurements of the emittance of the ‘passing’ bunches show <10% increase. The authors are thankful to the many people who contributed to building PIP2IT and helped with its operation, including but not limited to K. Carlson,

**HIGH POWER OPERATION**

As mentioned in Ref. [11] operation of the RFQ with CW RF was put on hold after the RFQ couplers developed vacuum leaks. While pulsed RF operation continued with spare couplers, new units in which the ceramic windows that isolate the vacuum from atmosphere are not brazed to the coupler chamber but sealed with O-rings instead, have been designed and installed recently. As a result, CW RF operation of the RFQ is now standard, which allows exploring operation at higher beam power. Nevertheless, even for pulsed beam operation, uncontrolled losses in the MEBT can damage the beam line components when the beam pulse > 0.1 ms. Therefore, a robust Machine Protection System (MPS) is needed.

With low energy protons/H+, traditional beam loss monitors that rely on ionizing radiation produced by the beam when it interacts with the vacuum chamber is not possible. Thus, the PIP2IT MPS is based on comparing beam current monitor readings along the beam line (similarly to SNS’s MPS scheme [18]) and direct measurement of losses onto various electrodes.

For beam loss measurements, there are 4 capacitive Ring Pick-Ups (RPU), similar to button BPMs, dedicated to the Machine Protection System (MPS): one pair measures the beam lost upstream of the absorber and the second, downstream of the absorber. Because RPUs are not direct current measuring devices, they are calibrated in-situ with short beam pulses using AC current transformers for reference. One advantage of the RPU is that the signal output does not depend on the pulse length including purely CW.

In addition to RPUs, limits on beam loss are imposed by measuring the current of all scraper paddles, as well as the kicker masks and the DPI, which is also electrically isolated. Presently, noise in the RPUs limit the protection scheme to the ~3% level.

With the RFQ operating in CW mode, increasing the beam power transported through the RFQ, MEBT and to the dump, is carried out by increasing the macro-pulse length that the LEBT chopper delivers. So far, a beam of up to 1 kW was successfully transported to the dump over more than 24 hours with 96% uptime. Current efforts aim at increasing the power delivered to the dump to 10 kW.

**PLANS**

With both kickers performing well with beam, the kicker technology for PIP-II was chosen to be the 200-Ohm version for which the driver has also been proven to be capable of generating arbitrary bunch patterns. Two new (production) kickers will be fabricated, with minor design modifications based on the experience with the prototype.

The MEBT absorber rated for 21 kW CW has been designed and is being fabricated. It is expected to be tested by the end of the year.

Then operation will be stopped for installation of the cryogenics distribution system and cryomodules. During the shutdown, the final version of the kickers, absorber, fast vacuum protection of cryomodules, and particle-free components of the MEBT will be installed. Beam operation will resume in 2020, with the warm front end initially delivering a pulsed beam into the cryomodules. At that stage, focus will be brought to vacuum management near the SRF structure for normal operation with “CDR” beam parameters.
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