Decoration of silver nanoparticles on nitrogen-doped nanoporous carbon derived from zeolitic imidazole framework-8 (ZIF-8) via in situ auto-reduction†
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We discovered an in situ auto-reduction method to embed silver nanoparticles onto a nanoporous carbon (NC) derived from the zeolitic imidazole framework-8 (ZIF-8), without any requirement of the reducing agents. The detailed analysis demonstrated the formation of Ag NPs by the replacement of the metallic Zn residue in the NC with Ag ions. The synthesized Ag@NC exhibited a superior catalytic activity toward the reduction reaction of 4-nitrophenol into 4-aminophenol.

Introduction

Noble metal nanoparticles (NPs), such as Pd, Pt, Ag and Au, play an important role in diverse applications because of their high reactivities and selectivities. Among these noble metal NPs, Ag NPs are extensively used for the catalytic reactions under mild conditions owing to their intrinsic physical and chemical properties. However, it is well known that the naked metal NPs are unstable because of their ease in aggregation, which leads to diminishing catalytic activities and catalyst recycling. This drawback is unappealing for industrial applications. Therefore, metal NPs have been immobilized on templates (i.e. zeolite,4 metal oxide,5 and carbon materials6) or polymers used, which serve as a protecting agent to prevent the metal NPs from agglomeration. In addition, to embed noble metal NPs on supports, the researchers have been using various types of reducing agents, such as hydrogen gas at high temperature, sodium borohydride, and sodium citrate, for reducing the metal ions to metals. Moreover, the plant extract, polyol group, chemical vapor deposition (CVD) and plasma synthetic strategy were investigated for the synthesis of the metal NPs. However, these synthetic strategies required the addition of reducing agents and higher operating temperature and pressure of the tubular furnace, which should be considered in practical applications. Thus, the green approaches to synthesize metal nanoparticles (NPs), such as an in situ auto-reduction and an ion exchange method, have become an interesting topic of study.

Metal–organic frameworks (MOFs), known as porous coordination polymers, are hybrid materials of inorganic metal ions and organic ligands, which are extensively used in diverse applications such as drug delivery, gas storage, sensors and catalysis owing to their numerous favourable properties like tunable chemical properties, larger internal surface area and the different types of cavities. Compared with other MOF materials, the synthesis of a high surface area zeolitic imidazole framework-8 (ZIF-8) is relatively easy, and its preparation can be performed in either aqueous or organic solvent systems. After thermal treatment, the framework of a ZIF-8 would be broken and converted into a nitrogen-enriched nanoporous carbon (NC), which was employed as an efficient metal-free catalyst for a chemical reaction or an electrochemical reaction. Moreover, the NC could be considered as a green template for loading metal/metal oxide on the framework. However, to obtain a pure NC material or metal@NC, the residual zinc atoms within the NC material were removed using concentrated acids (i.e., HCl or H2SO4), which raised a concern about the corrosion and environmental issues. Therefore, to take advantage of the zinc residual in the NC material and to decrease the production cost by ignoring the reducing agents and post-treatment, herein we proposed an in situ auto-reduction method to synthesize Ag NPs in the NC at room temperature.
Fig. 1A shows the simple process of preparing the Ag NPs on the ZIF-8 derived nitrogen-enriched nanoporous carbon (Ag@NC) composite. It was the first time that the synthesis of the Ag NCs does not require any acid treatment or addition of any reducing agents. Briefly, the Zn@NC sample was obtained by the pyrolysis of the ZIF-8 nanocrystal. Without the removal of the residual metallic Zn from the nitrogen-enriched nanoporous carbon, the Ag(NO₃)₂ solution was then added into Zn@NC and stirred at RT for 3 h. The ionic Ag⁺ could automatically exchange with the metallic Zn to form the Ag NPs in NC (named Ag@NC). The presence of Ag@NC material was confirmed using various physicochemical studies and its catalytic behaviour was tested. Owing to its catalytic activity, the synthesized Ag@NC was used as the effective catalyst for the reduction reaction of 4-nitrophenol (4-NP) into 4-aminophenol using NaBH₄ as the hydrogen donor. Here, NaBH₄ was used as the additive reagent for the reduction reaction, but no observations of the diffraction peaks of Zn in both the Zn@NC and the Ag@NC samples (Fig. 2A). However, the XPS survey spectra (Fig. 2C) and the EDS mapping (Fig. S3†) of the Zn@NC sample indicated the existence of the Zn residue. It was supposed that the particle size of Zn was too small and located inside the NC framework. Ag@NC revealed the diffraction peaks at 38.2°, 44.3°, 64.3°, 75.5° and 81.4°, which corresponded to the (111), (200), (220), (311), and (222) planes of the metallic Ag. According to the ICP-OES measurements, the Ag content in the Ag@NC sample was 8.46 wt% (Table S1†), which suggested the presence of the Ag NPs in the NC.

Furthermore, the presence of the pore characteristics of the prepared samples is evaluated by using the N₂ adsorption–desorption isotherms, and the data are shown in Fig. 2B, S4 and S5. The obtained specific surface area, total pore volume, and pore size value are provided in Table S1. The surface area of the Ag@NC decreased to 534.9 m² g⁻¹, compared to the NC and the Zn@NC that were 807.9 m² g⁻¹ and 792.5 m² g⁻¹, respectively. Moreover, the pore size of the Ag@NC still remained similar to that of the Zn@NC, as shown in Table S2. We supposed that the Ag NPs substituted for the metal Zn and occupied the pores of the NC. Based on the Raman analysis (Fig. S2†), the ratios of the D band over the G band (I_D/I_G) were calculated to be 0.99 and 1.06 for the Zn@NC and the Ag@NC samples, respectively, indicating that the carbon structure had higher defects after the reduction process. The high-resolution TEM image further confirmed that the Ag NPs were uniformly embedded on the NC (Fig. 1C). The average particle size of the Ag NP was evaluated to be approximately 6 nm. The X-ray diffraction patterns of the as-synthesized samples (ZIF-8, Zn@NC and Ag@NC) are shown in Fig. 2A. The Zn@NC sample displayed two broad peaks at 24° and 44°, which corresponded to the graphitic carbon and the low degree of disordered carbon, respectively, which were confirmed by the Raman spectrum (Fig. S2†), thereby indicating that the ZIF-8 was carbonated to carbon. There were no significant changes in the diffraction peaks of Zn in both the Zn@NC and the Ag@NC samples (Fig. 2A). However, the XPS survey spectra (Fig. 2C) and the EDS mapping (Fig. S3†) of the Zn@NC sample indicated the existence of the Zn residue. It was supposed that the particle size of Zn was too small and located inside the NC framework. Ag@NC revealed the diffraction peaks at 38.2°, 44.3°, 64.3°, 75.5° and 81.4°, which corresponded to the (111), (200), (220), (311), and (222) planes of the metallic Ag. According to the ICP-OES measurements, the Ag content in the Ag@NC sample was 8.46 wt% (Table S1†), which suggested the presence of the Ag NPs in the NC.

Results and discussion

Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM) was performed to observe the shape of the synthesized material (Fig. S1†). After the carbonation process, Zn@NC still retained its polyhedron morphology similar to that of the ZIF-8. However, we could observe roughness on the surface of the carbon sample (Fig. S1B and C†). The transmission electron microscopic (TEM) images of the Zn@NC and the Ag@NC are shown in Fig. 1B and C. The Zn particles were not observed, whereas the images clearly exhibited that the Ag NPs were uniformly embedded on the NC (Fig. 1C). The average particle size of the Ag NP was evaluated to be approximately 6 nm. The X-ray diffraction patterns of the as-synthesized samples (ZIF-8, Zn@NC and Ag@NC) are shown in Fig. 2A. The Zn@NC sample displayed two broad peaks at 24° and 44°, which corresponded to the graphitic carbon and the low degree of disordered carbon, respectively, which were confirmed by the Raman spectrum (Fig. S2†), thereby indicating that the ZIF-8 was carbonated to carbon. There were no significant changes in the diffraction peaks of Zn in both the Zn@NC and the Ag@NC samples (Fig. 2A). However, the XPS survey spectra (Fig. 2C) and the EDS mapping (Fig. S3†) of the Zn@NC sample indicated the existence of the Zn residue. It was supposed that the particle size of Zn was too small and located inside the NC framework. Ag@NC revealed the diffraction peaks at 38.2°, 44.3°, 64.3°, 75.5° and 81.4°, which corresponded to the (111), (200), (220), (311), and (222) planes of the metallic Ag. According to the ICP-OES measurements, the Ag content in the Ag@NC sample was 8.46 wt% (Table S1†), which suggested the presence of the Ag NPs in the NC.

Furthermore, the presence of the pore characteristics of the prepared samples is evaluated by using the N₂ adsorption–desorption isotherms, and the data are shown in Fig. 2B, S4 and S5. The obtained specific surface area, total pore volume, and pore size value are provided in Table S1. The surface area of the Ag@NC decreased to 534.9 m² g⁻¹, compared to the NC and the Zn@NC that were 807.9 m² g⁻¹ and 792.5 m² g⁻¹, respectively. Moreover, the pore size of the Ag@NC still remained similar to that of the Zn@NC, as shown in Table S2. We supposed that the Ag NPs substituted for the metal Zn and occupied the pores of the NC. Based on the Raman analysis (Fig. S2†), the ratios of the D band over the G band (I_D/I_G) were calculated to be 0.99 and 1.06 for the Zn@NC and the Ag@NC samples, respectively, indicating that the carbon structure had higher defects after the reduction process. The high-resolution TEM image further confirmed that the Ag NPs were uniformly embedded on the NC (Fig. 1C). The average particle size of the Ag NP was evaluated to be approximately 6 nm. The X-ray diffraction patterns of the as-synthesized samples (ZIF-8, Zn@NC and Ag@NC) are shown in Fig. 2A. The Zn@NC sample displayed two broad peaks at 24° and 44°, which corresponded to the graphitic carbon and the low degree of disordered carbon, respectively, which were confirmed by the Raman spectrum (Fig. S2†), thereby indicating that the ZIF-8 was carbonated to carbon. There were no significant changes in the diffraction peaks of Zn in both the Zn@NC and the Ag@NC samples (Fig. 2A). However, the XPS survey spectra (Fig. 2C) and the EDS mapping (Fig. S3†) of the Zn@NC sample indicated the existence of the Zn residue. It was supposed that the particle size of Zn was too small and located inside the NC framework. Ag@NC revealed the diffraction peaks at 38.2°, 44.3°, 64.3°, 75.5° and 81.4°, which corresponded to the (111), (200), (220), (311), and (222) planes of the metallic Ag. According to the ICP-OES measurements, the Ag content in the Ag@NC sample was 8.46 wt% (Table S1†), which suggested the presence of the Ag NPs in the NC.
3d_{3/2} at 368.3 eV and Ag 3d_{3/2} at 374.4 eV, which indicate the presence of the metallic Ag on the nanoporous carbon. The results demonstrated the successful preparation of Ag@NC.

It was a matter of concern as what reducing agent could be used to reduce Ag ions into Ag metal? To clarify this point, we analysed the materials in detail. The survey XPS spectrum of the Zn@NC revealed the presence of Zn species (Fig. 2C) but Ag species were not observed. After the reduction process, the Ag peak was detected by the XPS (Fig. 2C) with 8.46 wt% (Table S1†). In addition, the Zn residue in the Zn@NC was 33.6 wt%, while the value in the Ag@NC sample was 10.5 wt% (Table S1†). The high-resolution XPS of Zn demonstrated that the binding energy of the Zn species in the Ag@NC (i.e. Zn 2p_{1/2} = 1022.2 eV, 2p_{3/2} = 1045.1 eV) was higher than that of the Zn@NC (i.e. Zn 2p_{1/2} = 1020.8 eV, 2p_{3/2} = 1044.7 eV) (Fig. S7†), which suggested that the Ag NPs were formed by the ion exchange of Ag⁺ ions with the metallic Zn residual present in the NC. It was well known that the standard reduction potential of Zn/Ag species was −0.76/+0.80 V. We presumed that Ag⁺ was automatically reduced by the reaction between Zn and ionic Ag⁺. Furthermore, we used NCW (NCW = NC was washed by HCl to remove Zn) for the synthesis of the Ag NPs using a similar procedure (see Experimental section). The residual Zn component (8.2 wt%) was still present in the NCW sample; however, the Ag NPs do not form in the nanoporous carbon (Table S1†). In addition, the XRD pattern showed no diffraction peaks of the Ag NPs (Fig. S4A†). We supposed that the Zn content in NCW was not metallic Zn due to the influence of the HCl treatment; thus, it was unable to reduce the Ag ions into Ag metal. These results demonstrated that the Ag NPs were produced by the auto-reduction reaction between the metallic Zn and the ionic Ag⁺.

4-Nitrophenol (4-NP) is an aromatic nitro compound, and it is highly harmful to humans and causes many sicknesses such as headaches, drowsiness, nausea, and cyanosis. In contrast, 4-aminophenol (4-AP) is an important and a valuable product obtained from the reduction of 4-NP. It is a useful intermediate as headaches, drowsiness, nausea, and cyanosis.

To further investigate, the kinetic reaction was studied. Fig. 3D and S6† represent the reaction conversion and the UV-vis spectra for 4-NP reduction at various temperatures, respectively. The kinetic rate constant (k) value could be calculated from the following equation: 
\[ k' = -\ln(C_t/C_0) \] 
where ‘t’ was the reaction time and C_t/C_0 were the initial (C_0) and final (C_t) concentrations of 4-NP. From Fig. 4A, the linear correlation is obtained between the plot of −ln(C_t/C_0) vs. reaction time; hence, it suggests that the ensuing reaction is a pseudo-first order kinetic reaction. Accordingly, the calculated kinetic rate

![Fig. 3](image-url) | **Fig. 3** (A) UV-vis spectra of 4-nitrophenol reduction recorded every 2 min using the Ag@NC (1.0 mg) as the catalyst. (B) The reaction scheme and its corresponding photograph images of the color change from a bright yellow to a colorless solution. (C) The catalytic conversion to 4-AP from 4-NP over different catalyst materials. (D) Catalytic conversion to 4-AP from 4-NP at different temperatures using the Ag@NC (1.0 mg) as the catalyst.

![Fig. 4](image-url) | **Fig. 4** (A) The relationship of ln(C_t/C_0) versus reaction time for the reduction of 4-NP to 4-AP using the Ag@NC (1.0 mg) catalyst at different temperatures. (B) Arrhenius plot ln(k) vs. 1/T (K⁻¹) for the estimation of the activation energy.
constant \((k)\) values were \(4.2 \times 10^{-3}\), \(6.5 \times 10^{-3}\), and \(11.4 \times 10^{-3}\) \(\text{s}^{-1}\) at various temperatures of 27, 35 and 45 °C, respectively. The high reaction rate constant indicated the high reductive activity of the Ag@NC catalyst (Table S3†). The obtained rate constant value was higher than that of the Au@Ag core–shell nanoparticles stabilized on a metal–organic framework. In addition, the rate constant value was compared with some earlier published Ag NP-based nanocomposite materials.29,32,39

Furthermore, the activation energy was an important parameter to evaluate their catalytic behavior, and it could be calculated from a classic Arrhenius theory. The equation is shown in below:

\[
\ln k = \ln A - \left( \frac{E_a}{RT} \right)
\]

where, \(k\), \(A\), \(E_a\), \(R\) and \(T\) are the first-order rate constant, pre-exponential factor, activation energy, the universal gas constant, and reaction temperature, respectively. While increasing the reaction temperature, the conversion ability of 4-AP increased (Fig. 4B and S6†) due to the rapid reducibility of \(\text{N}_2\text{H}_4\) at a higher temperature.29 The plot of \(k\) vs. \(1/T\) was linear, and the “\(E_a\)” value was calculated to be 44.7 \(\text{kJ mol}^{-1}\) from the slope of the plot (Fig. 4B). The low \(E_a\) value indicated that the Ag@NC was highly active for the 4-NP reduction. Insight into the above studies led us to conclude that the utilization of the nitrogen-enriched nanoporous carbon enabled the easy adsorption of 4-NP on the surface,7 and the further enhancement of the catalytic activity was due to the Ag NPs on the surface of the nanoporous carbon. This might be the reason for the efficient catalytic activity of the Ag@NC nanocomposites.

To compare the catalytic activity of the Ag@NC catalyst, we synthesized a Ag/NC-SB catalyst using sodium borohydride as the reducing agent (SB: sodium borohydride, see details in synthetic experiment in ESI†). As shown in Fig. S7,† the 100% 4-NP conversion can be afforded in 10 min at RT in the presence of the Ag/NC-SB as the catalyst, which is similar to that of the Ag@NC catalyst. However, the synthesis of the Ag/NC-SB catalyst required an additional amount of sodium borohydride as the reducing agent to reduce Ag ions to Ag nanoparticles. This indicated the novel synthesis of the Ag@NC material using the auto-reduction approach. Moreover, we extended our study to test with other metal catalysts, such as Pt/NC, Au/NC, and Ru/NC, and their corresponding data are shown in Fig. S8.† The results indicated that the catalytic activity of the Ag@NC was almost similar to that of the Pt/NC, Au/NC, and Ru/NC catalysts.

The recyclability and stability of the Ag@NC catalyst were examined. The catalyst was collected and washed several times with deionized water after the reduction reaction. Then, the reused catalyst was activated under vacuum condition before performing the next run. As shown in Fig. 5, the activity of the Ag@NC catalyst remained high after five runs of the reaction.

**Conclusions**

We reported a simple route for the synthesis of the Ag@NC nanocomposites without using any reducing agents. The metallic Zn residue played an important role in reducing the Ag ions into metallic Ag NPs, which were formed by the pyrolysis of the ZIF-8 precursor. The Ag NPs embedded into the nitrogen-enriched nanoporous framework and exhibited a high catalytic activity for the 4-NP reduction. The concept of our work might inspire further studies to utilize the metal residue in the carbon framework after the treatment of the high temperature of the metal–organic frameworks.

**Experimental section**

**Chemicals**

2-Methylimidazole (2-MIM), zinc nitrate hexahydrate (\(\text{Zn(NO}_3\text{)}_2 \cdot 6\text{H}_2\text{O}\)), silver nitrate (\(\text{AgNO}_3\)), sodium borohydride (NaBH₄) and methanol anhydrous were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich and were used without further purification. Poly(vinylpyrrolidone) (PVP) was purchased from TCI Chemicals. 4-Nitrophenol (4-NP) was purchased from Acros. Deionized water was purified with a Milli-Q system (Millipore, Bedford, MA, USA).

**Synthetic procedure of nitrogen-enriched nanoporous carbon (NC)**

The nitrogen-enriched nanoporous carbon (NC) was synthesized as described in the previous literature.1 Briefly, poly(vinylpyrrolidone) (12 g) and 2-methylimidazole (4.2 g) were dissolved in 150 mL methanol solution, and \(\text{Zn(NO}_3\text{)}_2 \cdot 6\text{H}_2\text{O}\) (4.4 g) was dissolved in an another 150 mL methanol solution. The two solutions were stirred separately using a magnetic stirrer for 10 min. Subsequently, the zinc containing solution was added into the 2-methylimidazole solution and stirred for another 1 h. After 24 h of aging, the white sediment was collected by centrifugation and washed with methanol solution. Finally, the white powder was dried in vacuum at RT for 24 h. Furthermore, the ZIF-8 powder was carbonized by using a tubular furnace at 900 °C for 8 h under \(\text{N}_2\) atmosphere at a heating rate of 5 °C min⁻¹. The as-synthesized carbon samples were labelled as Zn@NC.

**Synthesis of Ag NPs on the nanoporous carbon by auto-reduction method (Ag@NC)**

For the synthesis of Ag@NC nanocomposites, 0.1 g of Zn@NC was dissolved in 30 mL of water. (Notes: Zn@NC sample was
used immediately after removing from the tubular furnace to prevent the oxidation of Zn into ZnO. Further, the aqueous solution of silver nitrate (1 mL; 0.1 M) was directly added to the above solution and stirred for 3 h at room temperature. After that, the black powder was collected by centrifugation and washed using DI water and methanol solution. Finally, the sample was dried under vacuum for 1 day and labelled as Ag@NC.

Similarly, we tried synthesizing Ag@NCW (NCW is a nitrogen-doped carbon after washing with HCl). About 0.1 g of NCW was dissolved in 30 mL of water. Further, the aqueous solution of silver nitrate (1 mL; 0.1 M) was directly added to the above solution and stirred for 3 h at room temperature. After that, the black powder was collected by centrifugation and washed using DI water and methanol solution. Finally, the sample was dried under vacuum for 1 day and labelled as Ag@NCW. However, the Ag NPs could not form on the nanocomposite used for the hydrogenation reaction of 4-NP.
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