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Background: The recent observation of the unbound nucleus $^{11}$O offers the unique possibility to study how the structure and dynamics of two-proton (2p) decay is affected by the removal of one neutron from $^{12}$O, and provides important information on the Thomas-Ehrman effect in the mirror pairs $^{11}$O+$^{11}$Li and $^{12}$O+$^{12}$Be, which involve the 2p emitters $^{11}$O and $^{12}$O.

Purpose: We investigate how continuum effects impact the structure and decay properties of $^{11}$O and $^{12}$O, and their mirror partners.

Methods: We solve the three-body core-nucleon-nucleon problem using the Gamow coupled-channel (GCC) method. The GCC Hamiltonian employs a realistic finite-range valence nucleon-nucleon interaction and the deformed cores of $^{8}$C, $^{9}$Li, and $^{10}$Be.

Results: We calculate the energy spectra and decay widths of $^{11}$O and $^{12}$O as well as those of their mirror nuclei. In particular, we investigate the dynamics of the 2p decay in the ground state of $^{12}$O by analyzing the evolution of the 2p configuration of the emitted protons as well as their angular correlations in the coordinate space. We also show how the analytic structure of the resonant states of $^{10}$Li and $^{10}$N impacts the low-lying states of $^{11}$Li and $^{11}$O.

Conclusions: We demonstrate that, in both nuclei $^{11}$O and $^{12}$O, there is a competition between direct and “democratic” 2p ground-state emission. The broad structure observed in $^{11}$O is consistent with four broad resonances, with the predicted $3/2^−$ ground state strongly influenced by the broad threshold resonant state in $^{10}$N, which is an isobaric analog of the antibound (or virtual) state in $^{10}$Li.

I. INTRODUCTION

Weakly bound and unbound drip-line nuclei having a large proton-to-neutron imbalance are susceptible to clustering effects due to the presence of low-lying decay channels [1–6]. Wave functions of such systems often “align” with the nearby threshold and are expected to have large overlaps with the corresponding decay channels. Many examples of threshold phenomena can be found in dripline nuclei [7–15] exhibiting dineutron- and diproton-type correlations, as well as exotic 2n and 2p decay modes [16–24].

To gain insight into the nature of threshold effects, it is useful to study pairs of mirror nuclei whose energy spectra and structure must be identical assuming an exact isospin symmetry. In reality, of course, differences are always present due to electromagnetic effects (primarily Coulomb interaction), which also result in asymmetries between proton and neutron thresholds and different asymptotic behavior of proton and neutron wave functions, both manifested through the Thomas-Ehrman effect [25–30].

The recent observation of the unbound nucleus $^{11}$O [31] provides several unique opportunities in that regard. First, $^{11}$O is a 2p emitter and the mirror to the 2n Borromean halo system $^{11}$Li, which allows for the study of the Thomas-Ehrman effect in the extreme case involving proton-unbound and neutron halo systems. Second, $^{12}$O is also a 2p emitter [32,34] and the mirror of the bound nucleus $^{12}$Be, which is deformed and exhibits cluster effects [35–38]. At a deeper level, new discoveries [31,34] provide important insights on the continuum couplings in 2p emitters and 2n halos. The $^{10,11}$N subsystems of $^{11,12}$O and their mirror nuclei $^{10}$Li and $^{11}$Be all present interesting continuum features. For instance, the nucleus $^{10}$Li has a antibound, or virtual, state [39–43] whose isobaric analog state in $^{10}$N is a broad threshold resonance [44–46]. The nucleus $^{11}$Be shows a celebrated ground-state (g.s.) parity inversion, which is also found in $^{11}$N [47–49]. Consequently, studies of mirror pairs $^{11}$O-$^{11}$Li, $^{12}$O-$^{12}$Be, $^{10}$N-$^{10}$Li, and $^{11}$N-$^{11}$Be, offer unique perspectives on near-threshold clustering phenomena in light exotic nuclei and physics of nuclear open quantum systems in general.

From a theoretical point of view, the 2p emitters $^{11}$O and $^{12}$O can be described as three-body systems made of two valence protons coupled to deformed cores of $^9$C and $^{10}$C, respectively. Their mirror partners $^{11}$Li and $^{12}$Be can be described in a similar way but with two valence neutrons instead. A key ingredient to describe all those systems is the treatment of the continuum space. This is achieved in the GCC method [49,50], which was recently used in Ref. [31] to interpret the first data on $^{11}$O.

The objective of this work is to shed light on the dynamics of 2p decay and on the Thomas-Ehrman effect in extreme mirror nuclei, by studying the structure of the mirror pairs $^{11}$O-$^{11}$Li and $^{12}$O-$^{12}$Be in a common three-body framework including continuum coupling ef-
fects. In particular, we investigate angular correlations and 2p decay dynamics of $^{11,12}$O, which exhibit unique three-body features as well as the presence of strong coupling between the structure and reaction aspects of the three body problem.

This article is organized as follows. Section II contains the description of the model used. In particular, it lays out the framework of the deformed GCC method and defines the configuration space employed. The results for $^{11,12}$O and their mirror partners are presented in Sec. III. Finally, Sec. IV contains the summary and outlook.

## II. THE MODEL

### A. Gamow coupled-channel method

To describe the energy spectra and 2p decay of $^{11,12}$O, we use the three-body core+nucleon+nucleon GCC approach [49, 50]. The core ($^{9,10}$C) is chosen as a deformed rigid rotor. This core can reproduce in a reasonable way the deformed intruder state containing the large $s_{1/2}$ component and allow the pair of nucleons to couple to the collective states of the core through a non-adiabatic rotational-coupling. The three-body Hamiltonian of GCC is defined as:

$$\hat{H} = \sum_{i=c,p_1,p_2} \frac{\hat{p}_i^2}{2m_i} + \sum_{i>j=1}^{3} V_{ij}(\mathbf{r}_{ij}) + \hat{H}_c - \hat{T}_{c.m.},$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

where the second sum captures the pairwise interactions between the three clusters, $\hat{H}_c$ is the core Hamiltonian represented by the excitation energies of the core $E^{c;\pi_c}$, and $\hat{T}_{c.m.}$ stands for the center-of-mass term.

The wave function of the parent nucleus can be written as $\Psi^{J\pi} = \sum_{J\pi} \phi^{J\pi} \cdot \Psi_{J\pi}^{c;\pi_c}$, where $\phi^{J\pi}$ and $\phi^{J\pi_c}$ are the wave functions of the two valence protons and the core, respectively. The wave function of the valence protons $\Phi^{J\pi} = \hat{J}^{c;\pi_c}$ is expressed in Jacobi coordinates and expanded using the Berggren basis [49, 51, 52] which is defined in the complex-momentum $k$ space. Since the Berggren basis is a complete ensemble that includes bound, Gamow and scattering states, it provides the correct outgoing asymptotic behavior to describe the 2p decay, and effectively allows the treatment of nuclear structure and reactions on the same footing.

The antisymmetrization between core and valence protons is taken care of by eliminating the Pauli-forbidden states occupied by the core nucleons using the supersymmetric transformation method [53, 54], which introduces an auxiliary repulsive “Pauli core” in the original core-valence interaction. For simplicity, in this work, we only project out the spherical orbitals corresponding to the deformed levels occupied in the daughter nucleus.

### B. Hamiltonian and model space

The nuclear two-body interaction between valence nucleons is represented by the finite-range Minnesota force with the parameters of Ref. [55], which is supplemented by the two-body Coulomb force in the proton space. The effective core-valence potential has been taken in a deformed Woods-Saxon (WS) form including the spherical spin-orbit term [57]. The Coulomb core-proton potential is calculated assuming the core charge $Z_c$ is uniformly distributed inside the deformed nuclear surface [57].

The deformed cores $^9$O and $^{10}$C (of $^{11}$O and $^{12}$O, respectively) are represented by WS potentials with a quadrupole deformation $\beta_2$. The couplings to the low-lying rotational states are fully included in the present formalism. The core rotational energies are taken from experiment [58]. In the coupled-channel calculations, we included the ground-state (g.s.) band of the even-$A$ core with $J \leq J_c^{\text{max}} = 4^+$ and the odd-$A$ core with $J \leq J_c^{\text{max}} = 11/2^-$, respectively. According to the previous work [50], the higher-lying rotational states have little influence on the final energy spectra. A similar treatment is used for a construction for the deformed cores $^9$Li and $^{10}$Be (of $^{11}$Li and $^{12}$Be, respectively).

Except for the WS depth $V_0$, the parameters of the core-valence potentials were optimized to reproduce the energy spectrum of $^{11}$N [58] using a particle-plus-rotor model including continuum couplings through the Berggren basis. The fitted parameters are: spin-orbit strength $V_{s.o.} = 15.09$ MeV, diffuseness $a = 0.7$ fm, WS (and charge) radius $R = 1.106 A_c^{1/3}$ fm, and quadrupole deformation $\beta_2 = 0.52$. These values are similar to those of Ref. [59] that reproduce the intruder band in $^{11}$Be in a reasonable way. Finally, the WS depth was readjusted to reproduce the energy spectra of the core+nucleon systems $^{10}$N and $^{11}$N; these values were then used in predictions for $^{11}$O and $^{12}$O, as well as for the mirror nuclei $^{11}$Li and $^{12}$Be. For comparison, we have also used a different WS parametrization from Ref. [60] for the $A = 11$ systems $^{11}$O and $^{11}$Li. In this case, the parameters are: $V_0 = -47.50$ MeV ($-35.37$ MeV) for even (odd) orbital angular momentum $\ell$, $V_{s.o.} = -0.1785V_0$, $a = 0.67$ fm, $R = 1.27A_c^{1/3}$ fm, and $\beta_2 = 0$. As the core+valence potential of Ref. [60] is spherical, different depths for different $\ell$-channels are used in order to describe the $2s_{1/2}$ intruder state in this region.

The GCC configurations can be expressed both in the original Jacobi coordinates $(S, \ell_x, \ell_y)$ and in the cluster orbital shell model (COSM) coordinates $(j_1, j_2)$, where $S$ is the total spin of the valence nucleons and $\ell_x$ is the orbital angular momentum of the proton pair with respect to their center of mass and $\ell_y$ is the pair’s orbital angular momentum with respect to the core. The calculations were carried out in a model space defined by $\max(\ell_x, \ell_y) \leq 7$ and for a maximal hyperspherical quantum number $K_{\text{max}} = 20$. In the hyperradial part, we used the Berggren basis for the $K \leq 6$ channels.
and the harmonic oscillator (HO) basis with the oscillator length $b = 1.75 \text{ fm}$ and $N_{\text{max}} = 40$ for the higher-angular-momentum channels. The complex-momentum contour of the Berggren basis is defined by the path $k = 0 \to 0.4 \to 0.2i \to 0.6 \to 2 \to 4 \to 8$ (all in fm$^{-1}$), with each segment discretized by 60 points (scattering states). In order to study antibound states and broad resonances in the core-valence potential, we used the deformed complex-momentum contour as in Refs. 40, 41.

III. RESULTS

A. $^{12}$O and its isobaric analog

1. Spectra

![Energy spectra of $^{12}$O and $^{11}$N](image)

FIG. 1. Energy spectra (with respect to the core) of $^{11,12}$O, their isobaric analogs $^{11}$Li and $^{12}$Be, and neighboring nuclei $^{10,11}$N. The decay widths are marked by gray bars. The GCC results in the top (bottom) panels are for the core+valence potentials whose depths were readjusted to fit the spectra of $^{11}$N ($^{10}$N). The GCC' results were obtained with the spherical model of Ref. 60. The GCC results for $^{11}$Li are fairly close to the GCC' ones. The experimental energies and widths are taken from Refs. 33, 34, 58.

Exotic $p$-shell nuclei with a large proton-neutron asymmetry tend to clusterize, which results in profound structural changes near the drip lines. In Fig. 1 we show the energy spectra of $^{12}$O, $^{11}$N, $^{12}$Be, and $^{11}$Li. As can be seen, the ordering of the lowest $1/2^-$ and $1/2^+$ levels in $^{11}$N has been reproduced. The calculated $2p$ decay energy $Q_{2p}$ of $^{12}$O is 1.973 MeV with a decay width of 1.20 keV while the recently measured energy is 1.688(29) MeV with a decay width of 51(19) keV 34.

The GCC calculations predict several $^{12}$O excited states in the energy range explored experimentally 34, 61. In particular, we predict an excited $J^\pi = 1^-$ state located between the $0^+_1$ and the $2^+_1$ states. This sequence differs from the level ordering in the mirror system $^{12}$Be due to the large Thomas-Ehrman shift. The location of the calculated $1^-_1$ state corresponds to the shoulder in the measured invariant-mass spectrum of $^{12}$O 34. Because the width of the $1^-_1$ state is similar to that of the $0^+_2$ state, it might be hidden in the observed peaks attributed to $0^+_2$ and $2^+_1$ states.

The GCC prediction with the deformed potential shown in Fig. 1 is marked ‘GCC’ in the top panel; that of Ref. 62 is labeled ‘Grigorenko 2002’; and GCC prediction corresponding to the remeasured (2019) $Q_{2p}$ value 34 is marked ‘GCC refit’.

The $2p$ decay energy $Q_{2p}$ can be controlled by varying the depth of the core-proton potential. Figure 2 shows the calculated decay width of the g.s. of $^{12}$O versus $Q_{2p}$. Since in the range of considered $Q_{2p}$ values the g.s. of $^{12}$O lies below the Coulomb barrier, the decay width increases almost exponentially with $Q_{2p}$. To compare with the results of Ref. 62, we calculate the decay width at their reported value of $Q_{2p} = 1.790$ MeV. The resulting decay width is 35.2 keV with a dominant configuration $(K, S, ℓ_x) = (0, 0, 0)$ at 43.3% of the wave function, which is slightly smaller than 66.6% reported in Ref. 62. This difference is caused by different potential parameters, deformation, and configuration mixing of the excited states of the core. By taking the same $(K, S, ℓ_x) = (0, 0, 0)$ amplitude as in Ref. 62, the decay width obtained in our model would be $35.2 \times 66.6\% = 54.1$ keV, which is in agreement with the value of 56.9 keV reported in Ref. 62. Following this idea, one can estimate an upper limit of 81.3 keV for the decay width at $Q_{2p} = 1.790$ MeV by assuming that the valence protons occupy a pure $(K, S, ℓ_x) = (0, 0, 0)$ state.

To compare with experiment 34, we slightly readjusted the depth of core-proton potential to reproduce the remeasured $Q_{2p}$ value of $^{12}$O. The resulting decay width (marked ‘GCC refit’ in Fig. 2) is $18^{+4}_{-3}$ keV, which
is slightly less than the remeasured value. We believe the theoretical predication are quite reasonable considering detector resolution as well as the significant spread of the experimental results [22, 34, 63, 64].

Table 1 shows the dominant configurations in Jacobi and COSM coordinates. The wave functions of the mirror nuclei $^{12}$O and $^{12}$Be differ significantly due to the large Thomas-Ehrman effect. Namely, the contributions from the $\ell = 0$ partial waves are systematically larger in the unbound $^{12}$O. This is in accord with the results of Ref. [62].

2. Angular correlations

As seen in Fig. 1, the g.s. of $^{11}$N lies between those of $^{12}$O and $^{10}$C. This opens a possibility for the competition between the direct and sequential $2p$ decays in $^{12}$O. To illustrate how this affects the decay properties, we now discuss the angular correlation $\rho(\theta)$ [8] [19] [65] [66]. which is defined as the probability to detect the two valence protons with an opening angle $\theta$. The GCC prediction for the g.s. of $^{12}$O is shown Fig. 3. It is interesting to compare this result with $\rho(\theta)$ for $^{6}$Be [19], which can be associated with a direct $2p$ decay [18] [39] [67]. In both cases, a diproton-like structure corresponding to a peak at small opening angles is very pronounced. However, in $^{12}$O, the $2p$ angular correlation shows a rather weak angular dependence at large opening angles, and there is no pronounced minimum around 90°. Moreover, the two valence protons are calculated to form different T-type Jacobi-coordinate configurations in these two nuclei. Namely, in the case of $^{12}$O the dominant $(S, \ell_x, \ell_y)$ configurations are 65% (0, 0, 0) and 20% (1, 1, 1), while they are 83% and 12%, respectively, for $^{6}$Be. This indicates that, besides diproton decay, there is another $2p$ decay mode – “democratic” decay – in the g.s. of $^{12}$O, in which two emitted protons are uncorrelated and may decay sequentially.

| Nucleus | $J^\pi$ | $E(\Gamma_{2p})$ | $(S, \ell_x, \ell_y)$ | $(j_1, j_2)$ |
|---------|-------|----------------|-------------------|-------------|
| $^{11}$Li | $3/2^+_1$ | $-0.242$ | 61% (0, 0, 0) | 63% ($p_{1/2}, p_{1/2}$) |
|          | $5/2^+_1$ | $0.664(0.258)$ | 42% (0, 0, 1) | 94% ($s_{1/2}, p_{3/2}$) |
|          | $3/2^+_1$ | $1.318(1.242)$ | 58% (0, 0, 0) | 61% ($p_{1/2}, p_{1/2}$) |
| $^{12}$O | $3/2^+_1$ | $4.158(1.296)$ | 66% (0, 0, 0) | 54% ($p_{3/2}, p_{1/2}$) |
|          | $5/2^+_1$ | $4.652(1.055)$ | 43% (0, 0, 1) | 84% ($s_{1/2}, p_{3/2}$) |
|          | $3/2^+_1$ | $6.283(1.956)$ | 47% (0, 0, 1) | 87% ($s_{1/2}, p_{3/2}$) |
| $^{12}$Be | $0^+_1$ | $-3.672$ | 43% (1, 1, 0) | 4% ($p_{3/2}, p_{1/2}$) |
|          | $0^+_2$ | $-1.129$ | 42% (1, 1, 1) | 57% ($p_{1/2}, p_{1/2}$) |
|          | $1^+_1$ | $-0.983$ | 31% (0, 0, 1) | 78% ($s_{1/2}, p_{1/2}$) |
|          | $2^+_1$ | $1.125(0.091)$ | 35% (1, 1, 1) | 44% ($p_{3/2}, p_{1/2}$) |
| $^{12}$O | $0^+_1$ | $1.688(0.018)$ | 65% (0, 0, 0) | 36% ($s_{1/2}, s_{1/2}$) |
|          | $0^+_2$ | $3.162(0.818)$ | 90% (0, 0, 0) | 71% ($s_{1/2}, s_{1/2}$) |
|          | $1^+_1$ | $3.256(0.516)$ | 43% (0, 0, 1) | 90% ($s_{1/2}, s_{1/2}$) |
|          | $2^+_1$ | $3.802(0.132)$ | 32% (0, 0, 2) | 65% ($s_{1/2}, s_{1/2}$) |
|          | $2^+_2$ | $5.150(0.027)$ | 19% (1, 1, 1) | 94% ($p_{3/2}, p_{1/2}$) |
An important problem in the description of 2p emitters is the evolution of 2p correlations during the decay process. One way to look at this evolution is to calculate the 2p flux \( j = \text{Im} (\Psi^\dagger \nabla \Psi) \hbar/m \), which shows how the two valence protons evolve within a given state \( \Psi \). In our framework, the 2p flux can be computed readily as the wave function \( \Psi \) is expressed in the Berggren basis. Results for \(^6\text{Be}\) and \(^{12}\text{O}\) are shown in Fig. 4 for both nuclei, the density distribution shows two maxima associated with diproton and cigarlike configurations.

In the case of \(^6\text{Be}\) shown in Fig. 4(a), the competition between diproton and cigarlike configurations exists inside the inner turning point of the Coulomb-plus-centrifugal barrier associated with the core-proton potential. (To estimate the centrifugal potential, we took the angular momentum of the dominant channel.) Near the origin, the dominant diproton configuration tends to evolve toward the cigarlike configuration because of the repulsive Coulomb interaction and the Pauli principle. On the other hand, near the surface the direction of the flux is from the cigarlike maximum toward the diproton one in order to tunnel through the barrier. Moreover at the peak of the diproton configuration which is located near the barrier, the direction of the flux is almost aligned with the core-2p axis, indicating a clear diproton-like decay. Beyond the potential barrier, the two emitted protons tend to gradually separate due to the repulsive Coulomb interaction. The behavior of the two protons below the barrier can be understood by the influence of pairing which favors low angular momentum amplitudes; hence, it effectively lowers the centrifugal barrier and increases the probability for the two protons to decay by tunnelling.

The case of \(^{12}\text{O}\) shown in Fig. 4(b) nicely illustrates the competition between direct and “democratic” 2p decay. Indeed, a significant part of the flux from the diproton configuration toward the cigarlike configuration persists up to the potential barrier and beyond. This indicates that some fraction of the decay is “democratic” despite the cigarlike configuration being far less dominant in \(^{12}\text{O}\) than in \(^6\text{Be}\). One could thus expect pairing to play a lesser role in the decay process of \(^{12}\text{O}\).

B. \(^{11}\text{O}\) and its mirror partner \(^{11}\text{Li}\)

1. Spectra and angular correlations

In this section, we discuss the mirror pair of the proton-unbound \(^{11}\text{O}\) and the Borromean neutron halo \(^{11}\text{Li}\). Selected GCC results on \(^{11}\text{O}\) can be found in Ref. 51. In order to benchmark the GCC model, we compare our calculations for \(^{11}\text{Li}\) with those using the core-neutron potential of Ref. 60, (GCC’ in Fig. 5). The g.s. energy, which is close to the experimental energy, and the angular correlation shown in Fig. 5 are both similar to the results of Ref. 60. The dineutron peak predicted in GCC’ is slightly broader than that predicted in Ref. 60 where a contact interaction between the valence neutrons was used.

Figure 5 also shows the angular correlations for the second 3/2− and first 5/2+ excited states. There are conspicuous differences between these correlations and those of the ground state. Neither of the excited states in \(^{11}\text{Li}\) has the separate dinucleon peak identifiable in the ground state. The second 3/2− in \(^{11}\text{O}\) displays a conspicuous large angle correlations often referred to as “cigar”-like. Moreover, there are two peaks in the 3/2− state of \(^{11}\text{O}\), while only one broad peak in its isobaric analog.

We point out that our calculated 5/2+ state of \(^{11}\text{Li}\), with \(E_x = 0.906\text{ MeV}\) and \(\Gamma_{2p} = 0.258\text{ MeV}\), is consistent with, and a candidate for, the lowest observed excitation in \(^{11}\text{Li}\) 71, 72.

In Fig. 5(c) we compare GCC and GCC’ results for \(^{10}\text{N}\) and \(^{11}\text{O}\). The results are in a qualitative, if not quantita-
we investigate how the structure of $^{10}$N affects the $3/2^-$ g.s. of $^{11}$O.

In Ref. [31], we analyzed the 2p partial decay widths of the $3/2^-$ g.s. of $^{11}$O as a function of $Q_{2p}$, which is controlled by the depth $V_0$ of the core-proton potential. It has been shown that below the Coulomb barrier the calculated decay width increases rapidly with $Q_{2p}$, as expected. This is accompanied by a rapid change of the dominant configuration with a discontinuity in the $3/2^-$ state trajectory as $Q_{2p}$ changes from 3.6 to 4.1 MeV. At energies above the barrier, the wave function has a small amplitude inside the nuclear volume. For example, when $Q_{2p} > 3.5$ MeV, the $3/2^-$ solution has less than 20% of the total wave function inside a 10 fm radius. As $Q_{2p}$ increases further, this GCC solution cannot be traced anymore as the computation becomes numerically unstable.

In order to understand the role of the continuum in the g.s. of $^{11}$O, the shell model amplitudes $c(k)$ associated with the $s$ ($\ell = 0$) partial wave in the $3/2^-$ state were extracted. As shown in Fig. 6, continuum states have a large $s$-wave amplitude when $Q_{2p}$ approaches the Coulomb barrier at $k = 0.393$ fm$^{-1}$, which indicates strong continuum couplings at this 2p decay energy. This behavior is reminiscent of the situation in the mirror nucleus $^{11}$Li [11], wherein an antibound state in the subsystem $^{10}$Li, viewed as $n+^3$Li, is important for the halo structure of $^{11}$Li [39–43].

The sharp change in the shell model amplitudes around the 2p threshold suggests that the system reorganizes itself as a consequence of the channel opening. To confirm this idea, knowing that the halo structure of $^{11}$Li is strongly affected by the antibound state in $^{10}$Li, we probe the link between the near-threshold resonant poles in $^{10}$N and properties of $^{11}$O. To this end, we follow the trajectory of the antibound state of $^{10}$Li in the complex-$k$ plane by gradually increasing the Coulomb interaction by changing the core charge $-Z_c e$ from zero ($n+^3$Li) to the full $p+^9$C value at $Z_c = 6$. The results are shown in Fig. 7. At $Q_{2p} = 4.13$ MeV, the antibound state of $^{10}$Li at $E = -1.02$ MeV is predicted by our model. With increasing $Z_c$, this pole goes through the region of subthreshold resonances defined by Re$(E) < 0$ and $\Gamma > 0$ and located...
below the $-45^\circ$ line in the momentum plane, and eventually becomes a threshold resonant state in $^{10}\text{N}$ at $Z_c = 6$. This is not surprising as antibound states do not exist in the presence of the Coulomb interaction. It is worth noting that our model also predicts the second subthreshold resonance, which slightly moves down in the complex-$k$ plane with $Z_c$. As the WS potential becomes deeper ($Q_{2p} = 2.09\text{ MeV}$) the antibound state in $^{10}\text{Li}$ becomes a marginally bound halo state, which, with increasing $Z_c$, becomes a decaying threshold resonant pole. (This is reminiscent of what happens in the two-nucleon system.) The examples shown in Fig. 7 suggest that the character of the isobaric analog of the antibound state of $^{10}\text{Li}$ in $^{10}\text{N}$ strongly depends on the strength of the core-nucleon interaction, or, alternatively, $Q_{2p}$.

As illustrated in Fig. 8 with decreasing $|V_0|$, the broad threshold resonant state in $^{10}\text{N}$ is moving towards the unobservable region of subthreshold resonances with distinctively different asymptotic behavior. Since this state contributes the the g.s. wave function of $^{11}\text{O}$, it is difficult to handle its extended wave function numerically when solving for the $3/2_1^+$ state, which can result in a discontinuity. At the experimental value $Q_{2p} = 4.13\text{ MeV}$ ($V_0 = -52.17\text{ MeV}$), the broad $s$-wave threshold resonant state in $^{10}\text{N}$ is located at $k = 0.252 - 0.213i\text{fm}^{-1}$ ($E = 0.38\text{ MeV}$, $\Gamma_p = 4.45\text{ MeV}$), i.e., very close to the $-45^\circ$ line in the complex-$k$ plane. As $|V_0|$ decreases further, a second branch of the $3/2_1^+$ solution appears at higher $Q_{2p}$ values. This solution corresponds to a different configuration but it follows the first branch.

For the $3/2_1^+$ state, the trend is the opposite: the $(s_{1/2})^2$ amplitude in this state decreases rapidly with $Q_{2p}$ and this solution eventually can be associated with an almost pure $(p_{1/2})^2$ configuration.

Using the available experimental information about $^{11}\text{O}$, the GCC calculation of the energy spectrum of $^{11}\text{O}$ shown in Table II yields a g.s. value of $Q_{2p} = 4.158\text{ MeV}$ and a $2\pi$ decay width $\Gamma_{2\pi} = 1.296\text{ MeV}$. The decay widths of the excited states ($5/2_1^+$ and $3/2_2^+$) are all enhanced by about 50% as compared to the original energy spectra in Fig. 1 and are close in energy. At this value of $Q_{2p}$, the configuration of $^{11}\text{O}$ is predicted to be fairly similar to that of $^{11}\text{Li}$. However, we want to emphasize the great sensitivity of the calculated configuration of $^{11}\text{O}$ on the $2\pi$ decay energy. The differences between the structures of $^{11}\text{O}$ and $^{11}\text{Li}$ are clearly seen in different wave functions and angular correlations. For instance, as seen in Fig. 5, the diproton peak in the $3/2_1^+$ state of $^{11}\text{O}$, is very pronounced and most of the contributions to the angular correlation are coming from the pairing part ($S = 0$). In $^{11}\text{Li}$, on the other hand, the
$S = 1$ component of the $3/2^-_1$ state is much larger.

IV. SUMMARY

The deformed core+nucleon+nucleon Gamow coupled-channel (GCC) approach has been used to describe the spectra and $2p$ emission of $^{11,12}$O. The model reproduces experimental low-lying states of $^{12}$O and its mirror system $^{12}$Be. The dynamics of the $2p$ emission has been studied by analyzing the $2p$ flux in the ground states of $^9$Be and $^{12}$O. We conclude that in the case of $^9$Be the $2p$ emission has a diproton character while in $^{12}$O there is a competition between diproton and “democratic” decays.

For $^{11}$O, multiple excited states are predicted within the $Q_{2p}$ energy range from 3 MeV to 6 MeV. Moreover, we found the $3/2^-_1$ g.s. is strongly influenced by the existence of a broad threshold resonant state in $^{10}$N, which can be viewed as the isobaric analog of the antibound state in $^{10}$Li in the presence of the Coulomb potential.

According to our calculations, the energy spectra, shell-model wave-function amplitudes, density distributions, and angular correlations show significant differences between the unbound $^{11,12}$O and their mirror partners. In the case of the $^{11}$O and $^{11}$Li mirror pair, the Thomas-Ehrenm effect is moderate for the GCC Hamiltonian optimized to experiment, but the results are highly sensitive to the $Q_{2p}$ energy assumed.

The future enhancements of the GCC model will pertain to the reliable description of $2p$ correlations in the momentum representation. This will allow a direct comparison between experimental angular distributions and theoretical $2p$ wave functions, and will help further constraining the effective Hamiltonian used.
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