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Abstract: Is chronic illness in patients with Lyme disease caused by persistent infection? Three decades of basic and clinical research have yet to produce a definitive answer to this question. This review describes known and suspected mechanisms by which spirochetes of the Borrelia genus evade host immune defenses and survive antibiotic challenge. Accumulating evidence indicates that Lyme disease spirochetes are adapted to persist in immune competent hosts, and that they are able to remain infective despite aggressive antibiotic challenge. Advancing understanding of the survival mechanisms of the Lyme disease spirochete carry noteworthy implications for ongoing research and clinical practice.
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Introduction
Lyme disease (LD) is a tick-borne illness caused by the spirochete Borrelia burgdorferi (Bb). In North America, virulent strains of Bb are transmitted to humans and other animals by the deer tick, Ixodes scapularis. In addition to transmitting Bb into various animal hosts, during a feeding session deer ticks can also transmit strains of Anaplasma, Bartonella, Ehrlichia, or Babesia in combination with Bb.1,2

The illnesses resulting from such transmissions can produce polymicrobial infections whose interaction effects pose serious challenges for researchers investigating the etiopathogenesis of tick-borne illnesses, and for clinicians who would diagnose and treat the illness dynamics caused by them. Some aspects of the morbidity seen in posttreatment Lyme cases are likely caused by postinfectious immune processes, but this line of research is not the subject of this review. This paper reviews the known and suspected mechanisms by which Bb evades the immune systems of animal hosts. The review sections cover notable capabilities of the Bb genome, which encodes Bb’s ability to:

• Exploit tick salivary proteins to delay early host immune responses.
• Deceive alternative complement pathways by masking surface antigens.
• Usurp the host’s plasminogen activating system.
• Continuously vary its surface antigens to frustrate humoral immune responses.
• Translocate using uniquely agile motility skills.
• Use chemotactic and niche-seeking traits to evade host immune traffic.
• Engage in quorum sensing and in biofilm-like behavior.
• Upgrade its genetic code through horizontal gene transfer (HGT).
• Assume atypical morphologies that differ from its spirochetal form.
• Potentially form persister cells able to tolerate antibiotic challenge (thus far unproven).

The review also explores how these mechanisms might cause persistent symptoms despite standard antibiotic therapy, examines three studies that provide credible evidence for Bb persistence despite antibiotic challenge, and identifies implications for ongoing research and for medical practice.

The Infectious Disease Society of America (IDSA) has published guidelines on the diagnosis and treatment of LD. Other publications call into question the adequacy of IDSA’s standards for the diagnosis and treatment of LD. This review explores developments at the intersections of LD research that might lead us toward a resolution of a controversy that frustrates many patients with LD and continues to divide members of the medical profession.

Steere et al authored the first definitive account of LD in 1977, describing a condition that was initially thought to be an outbreak of juvenile rheumatoid arthritis in and around the town of Lyme, Connecticut. By 1982, Burgdorfer et al had determined the cause of the syndrome — a previously unknown spirochete that today is known as Bb. In 1983, Steere et al presented the authoritative account marking Bb as the cause of LD.

In 1988, Asbrink and Hovmark conceived of untreated LD as having three phases. Phase 1 represented early, localized infection, the hallmark of which was erythema migrans (EM) and its variations. Phase 1 included fever, muscle aches, headache, nausea, and fatigue. Phase 2 witnessed early dissemination of the infection with worsening of malaise and fatigue, as well as the appearance of new cardiac and arthritic signs and symptoms including atrioventricular heart block, myocarditis, migratory joint pains, and synovitis. Phase 3 saw late dissemination with the appearance of polyneuropathy, neurocognitive and neuropsychiatric abnormalities, and meningitis, as well as chronic arthritis and debilitating fatigue.

In 1989, Steere defined LD as a diagnosable, fully treatable infectious disease capable of producing persistent signs and symptoms. In his review, the author noted that viable spirochetes were sometimes recovered from joint tissue in phase 3 patients, suggesting that LD could become a persistent infection, but he speculated that Bb “may trigger an immune response with autoreactive features that continues for some time after the organism has been killed.” This marked the formal onset of a debate around a question that persists to this day: are persistent signs and symptoms in LD caused by persistent infection?

As a community-based general medicine physician, I am called upon from time to time to assist patients who definitely have or may have LD. I understand that doctors are divided on how to go about providing such assistance, and that the uncertainty has a tendency to paralyze the way doctors care for such patients. I chose to conduct my own review of the peer-reviewed literature to see how the evidence should guide a physician in my position. To determine if LD is capable of evolving into a persistent infection associated with inflammation, I reviewed published evidence on the immune evasiveness of Bb.

**How Bb evades the immune systems of animal hosts**

Research is clarifying traits by which Bb evades host immune systems and survives antibiotic challenge. Highlights of this research are described in the sections that follow.

**A flexibly adaptive genome**

The Bb genome is relatively small. In 1997, Fraser et al reported the genomic sequence for the B31 strain of Bb. The linear chromosome contains roughly 900 genes encoding proteins for replication, transcription, translation, energy metabolism, and transmembrane transport, but no genes for cellular biosynthesis of amino acids, fatty acids, enzyme cofactors, or nucleotides.

This limited metabolic capacity is overcome with help from genes found on nine linear and 12 circular plasmids, and with help from metabolic pathways in the host. The plasmids together function as a portable incubator for genetic innovation that allows Bb to accomplish immune evasion feats not witnessed elsewhere in the bacterial kingdom.

De Silva and Fikrig described the differential gene expression patterns seen as Bb moves from an arthropod host into a mammalian host. As a feeding tick becomes engorged, Bb ceases production of outer-surface proteins (Osp)A and OspB and begins expressing highly immunogenic OspC. OspA and OspB are the two major lipoprotein components of spirochete’s outer membrane but they play a small infectivity role, if any. Their expression commonly returns during the late dissemination phase as an indicator of late infection. OspA induces a proinflammatory host response during late infection, suggesting that it may be downregulated during the onset of infection to avoid inciting an early inflammatory response.

De Silva and Fikrig subsequently found that when infected ticks fed upon OspA-immunized mice, the antibodies the mice made against OspA found their way to the tick’s midgut and killed Bb in that location, preventing
transmission of the infection to a new host. OspA thus became the first vaccine molecule of its kind – a protein that stimulates antibody production to protect hosts by blocking the vector’s ability to transmit the pathogen.

Two pharmaceutical companies took up the challenge of bringing the OspA vaccine to market. One pulled out early; the other received a Federal Drug Administration license for permissive use of the vaccine in 1998, but then withdrew the product from the market in 2001 in the face of regulatory roadblocks and public health concerns about potentially serious unanticipated side effects in some patients. It remains unclear what kind of vaccine approach can safely and reliably outsmart Bb’s flexibly adaptive genome.

The commandeering of arthropod salivary proteins
Ramamoorthi et al have shown that Bb binds a tick salivary protein (Salp15) on its way from the tick’s midgut to a new animal host. Bb OspC binds to Salp15 while in the salivary gland of the feeding tick. Once inside its new animal host, the OspC–Salp15 complex protects Bb from antibody-mediated killing for an undetermined period of time, buying time for other virulence factors to gain traction.

Tick salivary proteins have been shown to inhibit the activation and proliferation of CD4+ T-cells by binding to the CD4 receptor. They have also been found to inhibit natural killer cells, dendritic cells, macrophages, and neutrophils. Hannier et al detected an 18 kDa protein in the saliva of Ixodes ricinus that inhibits the ability of OspA and OspC to stimulate B-cell proliferation. They found that the action of this B-cell inhibitory protein may aid transmission by preventing B-cell activation. B-cell inhibitory protein halts B-cell proliferation during the G1 phase of the cell cycle. The effect seems to be localized to the feeding site and its activity falls off within 24 hours in vitro. The result could be lowered inflammation at the feeding site that results in misleading antibody information when screening for Bb infection.

Usurpation of the new host’s plasminogen activation system
Önder et al showed that OspC forms a plasminogen receptor on the surface of Bb. By recruiting plasminogen to this receptor, Bb is able to co-opt the action of plasmin for its own purposes. Plasmin enzymatically digests fibrin and large glycoproteins, which can create a path for Bb from the circulation to the extracellular matrix (ECM), where it can escape exposure to immune cells and antibodies patrolling lymph and vascular systems.

Lagal et al used Bb sensu stricto strains to assess variable levels of invasiveness in mice, and found that the invasiveness of Bb in mice depends on genomic variations in OspC. Grab et al used an in vitro model to identify plasmin-associated mechanisms by which Bb might traverse the blood–brain barrier and invade the central nervous system.

Deception of the new host’s alternative complement pathway
The three pathways of the complement system play a primary role in the innate response to pathogens. These pathways consist of circulating protein precursors that, when cleaved, trigger cascades that produce membrane attack complexes (MACs). MACs form transmembrane channels that can effectively lyse and kill microbial cells.

The classic pathway is triggered when complement protein Cq1 binds to immunoglobulin (Ig)M- or IgG-antigen complexes. The alternative complement pathway is triggered when complement protein C3b binds to a pathogen surface, unless factor H is present on the cell surface, in which case that cell will escape destruction by MACs. The lectin pathway is triggered when mannin-binding lectin or ficolins bind to glycosylated molecular patterns on a pathogen’s surface. This activates mannin-binding lectin-associated serine proteases. Mannin-binding lectin-associated serine proteases then amplify both the classical and alternative complement cascades.

Schuijt et al found that Salp15 from Ixodes scapularis and Ixodes ricinus inhibits complement-mediated killing in vitro. Henningson et al found cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) evidence for complement activation via the classical pathway in patients with neuroborreliosis. Such findings suggest that Bb activates, but is able to avoid being killed by, the host’s complement pathways – a capacity that would buy spirochetes time to find immune privileged tissue niches in the early dissemination phase.

Alitalo et al demonstrated that serum-resistant strains of Bb are able to promote factor 1-mediated cleavage of C3b by surface-binding the complement regulating factors, factor H and factor H-like protein 1. Factor H functions like a “hall pass” that allows Bb to escape destruction by alternative complement pathways. Alitalo et al subsequently found that factor H was binding to OspE, and that OspE production depended on the inducible expression of OspE variants by multiple plasmids. They concluded that OspE functions as a complement evasion molecule.

Hefty et al showed that OspE expression is triggered when signals from a blood meal reach the tick’s midgut.
They also reported striking heterogeneity in Bb populations during transmission and infection. This diversity was the result of temporal changes in antigen expression, but also by modulation of surface lipoproteins during infection. Signals from the blood meal prepare Bb to evade the new host’s alternative complement system by finding a factor H badge that, when fastened to OspE, will cause the host to mistake Bb for one of its own cells.

Use of antigenic variation to frustrate host humoral immune responses

Antigenic variation is a common mechanism that helps pathogens evade immune recognition by use of recombinational shuffling of genetic information to produce continually changing surface epitopes. In Bb, this effect is produced by means of a variable major protein-like sequence system at the locus that expresses the surface-exposed lipoprotein, VlsE. Zhang et al localized this mechanism to the Bb linear plasmid lp28-1, a finding corroborated by Kawabata et al.

Norris described the underlying interchangeable cassette mechanism for the recombinational shuffling that allows Bb to vary surface antigen epitopes. A gene cassette is a modular deoxyribonucleic acid (DNA) sequence encoding one or more genes for a single biochemical function. The VlsE central cassette is contiguous to a series of 15 homologous silent cassettes that encode different amino acids in each of six variable lipoprotein regions. Upon entering an animal host, segments of the silent cassettes continuously replace segments of the VlsE central (expression) cassette, producing nonstop variations in VlsE amino acid sequences. Antigenic variation hampers host humoral responses to infection by forcing the host immune system to contend with antigen epitopes that appear just long enough to incite a humoral response before they vanish, leaving B cells confused.

Hastey et al investigated the humoral response to Bb with the goal of elucidating how Bb causes host immune responses to fail. They found an early T cell-independent accumulation of B cells within lymph nodes, followed by a second phase showing short-lived germinal centers without clearly demarcated T and B cell zones. This phase failed to produce long-lived bone marrow plasma cells, but they did find a slow accumulation of long-lived antibody-secreting plasma cells in bone marrow, suggesting a strong but sluggish and ineffective serum antibody response.

These findings suggest that antigenic variation mediates Bb’s ability to interfere with humoral response kinetics and quality. The net effect for the host is production of B-cells and plasma cells whose antibodies seek surface epitopes that are not easy to find, and whose clonal populations accumulate in lymph nodes and bone marrow where one can imagine cross-talk aimed at figuring out which way the suspects went.

Dresser et al identified the first factor known to be essential to antigenic switching in Bb: the branch migrase known as ruvA. Lin et al independently confirmed a central role for ruvA in VlsE recombination in Bb, and showed that in mice, ruvA and ruvB mutants are associated with reduced Bb infectivity and increased clearance of Bb with the help of antibiotics. In immune-deficient mice (severe combined immunodeficiency [SCID] strain), Lin et al found that Bb retained infectivity despite ruvA inactivation, suggesting that the sicker the host’s immune system, the less Bb needs to rely on antigenic switching. Host immune competence is not the only variable here. Work by Lawrenz et al and by Bankhead and Chaconas demonstrated that to be infective, Bb requires variation-competent VlsE functionality.

Advanced motility capabilities

Roughly 6% of the Bb linear chromosome is devoted to motility and chemotactic functions, which is higher than usual for bacteria. Compared to other bacteria, Bb devotes more of its genomic resources to the production of lipoproteins, many of which are antigenic. Norris explored how motility genetics influence the virulence in Borrelia strains. Using a cryoelectron tomography technique, Liu et al ran what are in essence microscopic computed tomography scans of the B31 strain of Bb yielding three-dimensional ultrastructural data on the rotor mechanism that propels flagellar motion in this spirochete. The flagellae have a motor–hook–filament structure similar to that seen in other bacteria, but the filaments form uniquely designed ribbons within the periplasmic space, a feature not seen in prokaryotes outside the spirochaetes phylum.

Bb motility is designed for swimming through liquid environments such as blood, lymph, and CSF, and for squirming and tunneling through viscoelastic gel environments like the ECM and other connective tissues. Kimsey and Spielman described an increase in gyration in the presence of methylcellulose or hyaluronic acid, suggesting that ECM chemoattractants can trigger changes in Bb flagellar coordination.

Charon et al point out that the motility paradigms of other flagellated bacteria do not apply to Bb. The motility capabilities of Bb are somewhat unique in that they contain twin motors, one at the front and the other at the rear of the protoplasmic cylinder. These motors control the flex and
rotation of the flagellar ribbons in the periplasmic space. In the center location of this space, the ribbons overlap, allowing for interactions between front and rear motors. This design creates four swim configurations based on clockwise versus counter-clockwise rotations of the front and rear motors.

Moriarty et al.\textsuperscript{58} were the first to publish real-time high-resolution video of host–\textit{Bb} interactions in living mice.\textsuperscript{38} Using intravital microscopy and four-dimensional spinning disk confocal microscopy methods, they studied the dynamics of \textit{Bb} endothelial cell (EC) adherence and dissemination out of the vasculature in living mice. They noted that infectious spirochetes initially associated with capillaries, postcapillary venules, and larger veins, but not with arterioles, perhaps due to the higher shear forces on the arterial side. They described transient interactions between \textit{Bb} and EC surfaces, followed by a dragging or crawling motion that may represent the spirochete searching for a suitable location to form a stationary adhesion. Stationary adhesions typically occurred at an EC junction, and formation was sometimes associated with a probing-type behavior. Spirochetes would then tend to remain fully or partially embedded in EC regions that expressed platelet EC adhesion molecule-1.

Some spirochetes would transmigrate through the vessel wall, typically at EC junctions, and escape into the surrounding ECM in a process that averaged 10.8 minutes. They could move in either direction as quickly as 624 μm/minute. Moriarty et al.\textsuperscript{59} note, “the great speed of these bacteria in situ might thus have accounted for our difficulty in capturing the initial and final stages of extravasation” and that “the speed of the final escape phase [yielded images] in which spirochetes appeared to burst away from the vessel.”

Advanced imaging techniques make it clear that \textit{Bb} is no ordinary bacterium. It has motility prowess heretofore unseen in the microbial world. It is built to infiltrate, evade, and persist.

Evasive chemotaxis and niche-seeking behaviors

\textit{Bb} contains the most redundant set of chemotaxis-related genes found among eubacteria.\textsuperscript{18} Once inside an animal host, \textit{Bb} uses chemoreceptor arrays at its cell poles to follow chemoattractant trails to reach specific host cells or tissue compartments.\textsuperscript{59,60} Sze et al.\textsuperscript{61} have shown that when \textit{Bb} becomes nonchemotactic to attractants, it abrogates infectivity even when the host is immunodeficient. Chemotaxis and adherence are often the first step in an infectivity sequence by pathogens. Once a favorable destination is reached, \textit{Bb} uses adhesins to bind to target molecules including glycosaminoglycans (GAGs), decorin, and fibronectin.\textsuperscript{62–64}

The ECM is a mesh-like superstructure that consists of interstitial tissue, basement membranes, collagen, and polysaccharide gels, and it appears to be \textit{Bb}’s most favored destination in mammalian hosts. The ECM is rich with GAGs, decorin, and fibronectin. GAGs are long unbranched polysaccharides found on cell surfaces and within the ECM. Fibronectin is a glycoprotein that binds to cellular integrins, activating cytoskeletal changes that allow the cell to deform and move through dense weaves of collagen.

Initial charge interactions likely make GAGs and proteoglycans (PGs) the first cell surface molecules recognized by \textit{Bb}. When covalently bound to protein cores, GAGs become PGs. PGs are some of the largest and most complex molecular structures found in mammalian cells and the ECM.\textsuperscript{65} The PGs found in epithelial, vascular, muscle, and peripheral nerve basement membranes mostly contain GAG side chains of heparan sulfate or chondroitin sulfate.\textsuperscript{66}

The most studied \textit{Bb} adhesins are the decorin binding proteins (Dbp)\textsuperscript{67} and DbpA and DbpB. Decorin is a small leucine-rich proteoglycan (SLRP) that “decorates” collagen.\textsuperscript{67} Small leucine-rich proteoglycans are key regulators of matrix assembly and cellular growth, which helps account for why \textit{Bb} seeks to be near them. Natural selection may favor \textit{Bb}’s predilection for ECM tissue because it contains ample nutrition, pathway support, environmental cues, and less immune cell and antibody traffic than blood or lymph.

Barthold et al.\textsuperscript{68} demonstrated in mice that antibodies against DbpA were able to resolve \textit{Bb}-mediated inflammation in joint and heart tissue, but surprisingly, without reducing the number of spirochetes found in homogenates of these tissues.\textsuperscript{68} Thus \textit{Bb} may, when confronted with certain tissuespecific antibodies, seek cover in more defensive locations within that tissue region and in the process, inflammation resolves at least temporarily.

Barthold et al.\textsuperscript{69} also reported that the adoptive transfer of lymphocytes and the passive transfer of serum and antisera to DbpA had no detectable effect on \textit{Bb} gene transcription, yet immunochemistry studies revealed that spirochetes had moved from heart base tissue and synovium to vessel walls, tendons, and ligaments after the transfers. This is consistent with \textit{Bb}’s chemoattraction for collagenous tissue, and with the findings of Zambrano et al.\textsuperscript{70} that \textit{Bb} binds to, invades, and colonizes native type 1 collagen lattices even in the absence of decorin.

Shi et al.\textsuperscript{70} showed that DbpA and DbpB make similar contributions to infectivity, but dissimilar contributions
to dissemination and tissue colonization. Wormser et al reported that *B. h. burgdorferi*’s capacity for hematogenous dissemination to extravascular locations during early LD depends on genotype. These findings suggest that *B. h.* strains possess a flexible set of chemotactic properties for use in avoiding host immune defenses.

Brisson et al subsequently examined *B. h.* genotypes and found that certain OspC subtypes are more likely to disseminate to particular tissues than others. They showed biodiversity in the OspC allele that was greater among strains found within ticks than within the skin of EM lesions, and that OspC biodiversity in EM lesions was greater than that found in blood, synovial tissue, and CSF. Dissemination capacity, as determined by OspC variations, may interact with chemoreceptor variations to influence *B. h.* predilections for different tissues within animal hosts.

The ECM helps *B. h.* maintain infection by serving as a protective niche, a microhabitat where organisms can evade host immune defenses. Despite strong innate and adaptive immune responses against *B. h.* antigens, immunocompetent animals infected with *B. h.* can fail to sterilize the infection. Barthold et al found abundant amounts of *B. h.* in the tendons and ligaments of mice despite strong humoral responses to *B. h.* antigens. In a 2005 review, Cabello et al summarized the role that ECM tissue plays in *B. h.*’s ability to persist by evading host immune systems and tolerating antibiotics. They raised questions for future research, including:

- Why does the strong host immune response not sterilize tissues of mice infected with *B. h.*?
- What are the interactions of *B. h.* in the ECM with antibodies, complement, immune cells, and antibiotics?
- To what extent is *B. h.* in the ECM metabolically active?
- Is the ECM a source of reinfection of other host tissues by *B. h.*?

While much is yet to be learned about how *B. h.* chemotaxis, adhesion, and niche-seeking varies in response to changes within the terrain of the host, published data show a clear tendency for *B. h.* to seek target molecules in the ECM, where host immune pressures are less intense, making persistent infectivity possible, if not probable.

**Quorum sensing and biofilm-like behavior**

Indiscriminate use of antibiotics leads to the development of antibiotic-resistant microbial pathogens. This growing problem in the management of infectious disease stems from a widespread failure to understand the different natures of acute versus chronic infections. In many acute infections, the microbes responsible involve a single species that disseminates in a planktonic, free-floating fashion. In chronic infections, infectious microbes are found in biofilms – complex polymicrobial communities embedded within an exopolymeric gel.

The late J William Costerton (1934–2012) is widely perceived as the father of biofilm science. He was a prolific researcher and reviewer of advances in the scientific understanding of biofilms and their associations with chronic disease. Costerton et al established that biofilms were a common yet widely unrecognized cause of persistent infection. They warned physicians that biofilm science was raising legitimate concerns about how thoroughly we understand the infections we treat. The authors felt that our planktonic paradigm for antibiotic use applied extremely well to acute, highly virulent infections, but that they may fail when applied to chronic, polyphasic biofilm-associated infections.

Quorum sensing refers to the ability of taxonomically diverse microbes to detect cell density thresholds and release diffusible signals that in turn, induce changes in gene expression involving other species in the vicinity. Quorum quenching refers to the process by which microbes inhibit quorum sensing. Research energy is focusing on what can be learned about microbial quorum sensing inhibitors and then translated into novel forms of antibiotic able to inhibit the formation of, and the ongoing signaling within, biofilm-based polymicrobial communities.

When cell density conditions for a quorum are sensed, a participating microbe will trigger the production of an autoinducer molecule. The primary mechanism for this involves a series of enzymatic reactions that culminates in the use of the LuxS enzyme in the synthesis of a class of pheromones known as autoinducer (AI)-2. Among the three autoinduction molecules so far identified (AI-1, AI-2, and AI-3), AI-2 is present in both gram-negative and gram-positive bacteria, and is therefore considered the “universal” autoinduction signal, although varied mechanisms for bacterial production of AI-2 are suspected.

Stevenson and Babb have shown that *B. h.* encodes a functional LuxS enzyme that would enable *B. h.* to synthesize AI-2 and therefore participate in the potential benefits of quorum sensing. Babb et al subsequently characterized the molecular mechanism involved. Although our scientific understanding of *B. h.*’s capacity to participate in quorum sensing remains fragmentary, Parveen and Cornell have demonstrated the importance of methylthioadenosine/S-adenosylhomocysteine (MTA/SAH) nucleosidase and its ability to drive the formation of autoinducers, noting...
that MTA/SAH nucleoside inhibitors are highly effective at blocking autoinduction by Bb. MTA/SAH nucleosidase inhibitors are therefore also of interest for their potential to disrupt biofilm formation.

Specific interspecies cross-talk patterns within biofilm have coevolved. Bacteria in biofilms persist in animal hosts by a strategy that might be characterized as tenacious survival as opposed to aggressive virulence, and the infections can linger for months, years, or even a lifetime.98 Peleg at al99 described medically important interactions between taxonomically diverse microorganisms, including the exchange of diffusible signal factors between Klebsiella pneumoniae and Candida albicans. Pseudomonas aeruginosa releases a signal that prevents the yeast form of C. albicans from morphing into its hyphal form.90 The yeast form of C. albicans releases a signal that inhibits local macrophage activity, which may allow inocula of P. aeruginosa to become more virulent.91

The familiar mechanisms of antibiotic resistance, such as efflux pumps, modifying enzymes, and target mutations,92 do not seem to apply to bacterial protection within biofilm. Even highly sensitive bacteria with no genetic basis for resistance can, when embedded within biofilm, survive minimum inhibitory concentrations of antibiotics that are effective at stopping the growth of planktonic forms of the same bacteria.93 These findings support the conjecture that the current antibiotic treatment standard for LD may prove ineffective in cases where antibiotic-tolerant colonies of Bb may already be established in a host prior to initial antibiotic treatment.

Quorum sensing induces biodiverse microbes to work together to gain advantages against their host. While local cooperation among biofilm-based bacteria routinely occurs, Nadell et al94 point out that conflict among species within biofilms also occurs. They argue that signs of conflict among species within biofilms are to be expected because conflict provides a mechanism for maintaining balance within polymicrobial communities.

Stoodley et al95 have shown that mixed-species cell clusters can detach from biofilm and disseminate elsewhere within a system. They used a closed laboratory-based system to study how fluid flow dynamics affected the detachment of cell clusters from biofilm. This work has both clinical and public health implications, as it suggests that biofilms within living systems can be exposed to shear forces strong enough to cause detachment and dissemination elsewhere in the host body or ecosystem. As a mechanism for dispersal within animal hosts, this makes biofilm detachment and dissemination analogous to tumor cell metastasis.

Steere at al96 showed that arthritic signs and symptoms show up an average of 6 months after hematogenous dissemination and presumed seeding of joint tissues by Bb. Nowakowski et al97 showed that blood cultures are negative in patients with Lyme arthritis. Synovial fluid samples from Lyme arthritis patients routinely show evidence of Bb DNA by polymerase chain reaction (PCR), yet they have shown an absence of viable spirochetes as determined by negative culture and the absence of messenger ribonucleic acid (mRNA) transcripts.98,99

Persing et al100 used PCR methods to show a disparity between Bb chromosomal and plasmid DNA in synovial fluid samples. They determined that plasmid DNA, but not chromosomal DNA, was present inside blebs (membrane-bound vesicles) that were being shed into the joint space. They raised the question as to whether metabolically active spirochetes could be releasing DNA into their surroundings.

Wormser et al101 published an interesting hypothesis that might account for these findings. They obtained synovial fluid from the knee of a patient with Lyme arthritis prior to antibiotic treatment. They saw intact spirochetes on microscopic examination, which led them to believe that they had for the first time at their center cultivated Bb from synovial fluid. It turned out that the spirochetes were nonmotile and enmeshed in a chemically undefined matrix. They concluded that the spirochetes in this patient's synovial fluid were dead but morphologically preserved "in a manner somewhat analogous to organisms in amber."101 They postulated that upon dissemination to joint tissue, Bb becomes enmeshed in a host-derived matrix of fibrous and collagenous tissue, and that some of this material could eventually detach and make its way into the joint space. Once in the joint space, they theorized, spirochete antigens might stimulate an inflammatory response. The amber theory implies that Lyme arthritis is a sterile, postinfectious process triggered by immunogenic spirochete parts enmeshed in a chemically undefined collagenous matrix.

In light of the progress in biofilm science detailed above, it is also possible to hypothesize that the intact spirochetes seen enmeshed in a matrix were not dead but viable, yet noncultivable due to metabolic activity too low for Barbour–Stoenner–Kelly (BSK) medium to foster growth and division. It is also conceivable that the matrix material was biofilm of microbial origin, perhaps mixed with host matrix elements, clusters of which detached by shear forces on joint tissue and found their way into the synovial fluid. Analysis of synovial fluid and tissue from Lyme arthritis
patients might help determine if both the amber hypothesis (nonviable enmeshed spirochetes) and the biofilm hypothesis (viable enmeshed spirochetes) have the power to explain the clinical evolution of Lyme arthritis. It is worth noting here that not all biofilms have the same composition, and that animal ECM tissue may serve as a suitable biofilm substitute, or a preferred location for biofilm formation.

Sapi et al\textsuperscript{102} analyzed in vitro aggregates of Bb and found signs of biofilm formation. Atomic force microscopy (AFM) showed that multilevel rearrangements took place at different stages of aggregate development, producing a complex that continuously reorganized the structure. Chemical analysis of the extracellular substrate found sulfated and nonsulfated forms of polysaccharide, including alginate, which forms a viscous gum able to provide Bb with a source of nutrition and hydration. Calcium was present and when mixed with alginate developed into a dense outer shell. They also detected extracellular DNA in the aggregates, which is required for bacterial biofilm formation, as shown by Whitchurch et al.\textsuperscript{107} Sapi et al\textsuperscript{102} conclude that based on their in vitro demonstration, Bb likely forms biofilm in vivo and that Bb likely uses biofilm as a refuge from diverse environmental stresses within animal hosts.

**Horizontal gene transfer**

Bacteria can transmit genes vertically to daughter cells, or by HGT. Three forms of HGT have been classified: transformation, conjugation, and transduction. Transformation occurs when exogenous DNA is taken up through cell membranes, incorporated into a host genome, and expressed in the new host. Conjugation occurs when DNA is transferred between host and recipient bacterial cells in direct contact. Transduction refers to phage-mediated transfer of bacterial DNA from one host to another.

The capacity to acquire and incorporate foreign DNA by means of HGT can help bacteria develop improved mechanisms for infectivity, virulence, and antibiotic resistance. Molin and Tolker-Nielsen\textsuperscript{104} showed that gene transfer by plasmid conjugation and DNA transformation happens efficiently in biofilms, and that this process helps stabilize the biofilm structure. Ma and Bryers\textsuperscript{105} used confocal scanning microscopy and noninvasive image analysis to show that biofilm bacteria appear to “sense” the presence of antibiotics to which they are resistant, which causes them to enhance the spread of their resistance genes through plasmid conjugation.

Humphrey et al\textsuperscript{106} documented the first evidence of genetic transduction in a spirochete. They determined that the virus of Serpulina hyodysenteriae (VSH)-1 bacteriophage found in the spirochete Serpulina hyodysenteriae was able to transduce antibiotic-resistance markers efficiently between different strains. Eggers et al\textsuperscript{107} identified a Bb bacteriophage, øBB-1, and showed that it could resist nuclease action and was therefore not to be confused with membrane-bound vesicles containing DNA.

Alitalo et al\textsuperscript{108} found that Bb plasmids harboring OspE paralogs share homology with bacteriophages and that plasmids mediate the horizontal transfer of the genetic material that encodes for the Bb’s resistance to the host alternative complement pathway. Alitalo et al\textsuperscript{108} also found that while many strains of Borrelia garinii (Bg) carry plasmid-derived OspE genes, most do not express factor H-binding proteins, yet certain neuroinvasive strains of Bg can express factor H-binding proteins. Solheim et al\textsuperscript{109} found that biofilm creates a friendly environment for dissemination of plasmid-derived shiga-toxin genes, showing enhancement of virulence by means of transduction.

Madsen et al\textsuperscript{110} describe interactions between biofilm formation and HGT that make it clear what a desirable lifestyle they can create for bacterial communities. Biofilms host vast numbers of densely packed microbes within sessile communities, making them ideal locations for HGT by transformation, plasmid conjugation, and phage transduction. Biofilm communities appear to be incubators for microbial innovation by means of HGT. They create continual de novo mechanisms for adaptations to host immune responses, and in all likelihood, to would-be antibiotic regimens and vaccines as well.\textsuperscript{111}

From the standpoint of evolutionary and ecological theory, it is little wonder that the biofilm life cycle leads to the production of differentiated, highly motile forms known as dispersal cells. McDougald et al\textsuperscript{112} review the life cycle of biofilm and the mechanisms regulating dispersal. Describing analogies between the life histories of biofilm and of eukaryotes, they show that both entail the alternation of sessile and mobile dispersal phases, the generation of variation prior to dispersal, and the programmed production of phase transitions. Eukaryotes appeared on earth somewhere between 1.6 and 2.1 billion years ago.\textsuperscript{113} Prokaryotes like Bb may use biofilm to attain the capacity for dispersal within their hosts, improving the chances of eventual transmission from host to vector.

The cooperation between biofilm, HGT, and bacterial dispersal mirrors one of the major transitions in social evolution, during which the selective advantages of intraspecies genetic variation came to include the selective
advantages of interspecies mutualisms – advantages that play out in microecological niches ranging from alpine streams and coral beds to the matrix-like tissues of animal hosts. In LD, we may be witnessing the evolution of a new form of chronic infection – one that survives within true biofilm or within the biofilm-like matrix tissue of the animal host. Such colonies would be sufficiently protected from host immunity to engage in ongoing gene transfer experiments. Perhaps such experiments resulted in the evolution of adaptive nonspirochetal Bb morphologies.

Atypical forms

Some 100 years ago European researchers suspected that spirochetes could assume more forms than the classical spiral form for which they were known. More recently, electron microscopy has revealed detailed images of spherical and colonial morphologies for Treponema species. In the past several years, advanced imaging methods have been applied to the study of spirochetes. Investigations of Bb morphologies reveal conclusive evidence for nonspirochetal forms that change in response to environmental conditions.

Using dark field AFM and immunohistochemical methods, Miklossy et al observed atypical cystic, rolled, looped, ring-shaped, globular, spherical, and granular forms in two strains of Bb (B31 and ADB1). AFM images showed rolled spirochetes within cystic structures, and rolled spirochetes enveloped by thickened external membranes similar to those observed by dark field microscopy. These membranes bound to the anti-OspA antibody. The atypical forms were induced when exposed to unfavorable conditions including osmotic shock, heat shock, and binding agents.

These findings confirm the Bb pleomorphic previously shown by Mursic et al. Brorsen and Brorsen showed that motile Bb spirochetes inoculated into CSF converted into cystic forms within 1 to 24 hours. In this study, when the cystic forms were transferred into a rich BSK medium, they reverted back into mobile spirochetes within 9 to 17 days of incubation.

The same team also showed that when placed into a BSK culture medium without rabbit serum, motile Bb would convert into cystic forms, and when transferred to a BSK culture medium with rabbit serum, motile spirochetes would reemerge from the cystic forms. The medium without the rich additive of rabbit serum did not significantly change after inoculation with spirochetes, suggesting that the transition from a spirochetal form into a cystic form required little metabolic activity. Transmission electron microscopy images from this study include a series of cross-sectional views of spirochetes within cystic envelopes engaged in behaviors that remain poorly understood. Whatever they are doing within these cyst-like structures they do it without expending much energy.

Miklossy et al studied Bb’s pleomorphic changes both in friendly BSK 2 media and following Bb infection of cultures of chicken and rat neurons, as well as rat and human astrocytes. Atypical forms were seen within 1 hour of exposure to environmental stress. In these induced infections, large colony-like aggregates formed that were anti-Bb positive. Extracellular and intracellular ring-shaped and spherical forms stained positive for OspA antibody. Blebs were seen emerging from coiled as well as rolled spirochete forms. When atypical forms were transferred from stressed neuronal cultures to the friendly BSK 2 medium, typical spiral forms of Bb were eventually recovered.

The authors found similar findings in vivo. Bb cultured from the CSF of three patients with Lyme neuroborreliosis produced atypical forms following environmental stress. Post mortem analyses of the brains of these patients found immunohistochemical evidence for OspA immunoreactivity. They found ring-shaped, rolled, and cystic forms of Bb in frontal cortex sections from each of the three brain specimens. Control postmortem brain sections were negative for microscopic or immunohistochemical evidence of infection with Bb. In one neuroborreliosis patient whose CSF culture had yielded the ADB1 strain of Bb, postmortem immunostaining of microglia and astrocytes revealed reactivity consistent with active inflammation, implicating Bb as the cause.

Livengood and Gilmore documented the ability of Bb to invade human neuronal and glial cells. Miklossy et al reported that Bb induces beta-amyloid deposition and other changes seen in Alzheimer’s disease. In Miklossy’s earlier work, the outer membrane of the cystic form reacts to the anti-OspA antibody, suggesting that cystic forms are either more immune resistant than motile spirochetes, or that they effectively evade the immune system by finding intracellular or immune-privileged tissue locations.

Sapi et al explored the effects of doxycycline, amoxicillin, tigecycline, metronidazole, and tinidazole on various Bb morphologies. They found that doxycycline reduced spirochetal forms by 90% but doubled the number of round body forms. As a group, the five antibiotics reduced the formation of biofilm-like colonies by only 30% to 55%. Tinidazole reduced the number of viable forms by 90%. The other antibiotics reduced viable forms by only 15% to 30%. This study reveals strikingly different effects for different
antibiotics and antibiotic combinations applied to spirochetal and atypical forms of *Bb*. The authors conclude that persistence of viable *Bb* following antibiotic treatment likely represents failure to sterilize a recalcitrant chronic infection suffered by some patients with LD. This accounts for how *Bb* might be able to persist despite antibiotic challenges deemed adequate by published standards. Though cystic or cell wall-deficient forms of *Bb* have yet to be documented in vivo (let alone proven to be infectious), the work of Sapi et al.\(^{127}\) raises the question as to whether *Bb* can induce the persister cells documented in other bacterial species.

**Persister cells**

In 1944, Bigger\(^{126}\) discovered bacteria that were able to survive penicillin and called them “persisters.” For every million bacteria in a colony, Bigger\(^{126}\) found roughly one persister. The relative rarity of these cell types made research on bacterial persistence difficult. In the 1980s, Moyed and Bertrand\(^{127}\) discovered *Escherichia coli* mutants that produced much higher rates of persisters, which made bacterial persistence research more feasible. The data show that as much as 1% of growing bacterial populations are comprised of persisters.

While no published research to date documents a persister capability for *Bb*, discussion in this section is relevant to speculation about whether *Bb* might possess this important trait, an issue relevant to determining the ability of *Bb* to persist in a transmissible form following antibiotic challenge.

The selection advantages of bacterial persistence, and the resulting ability of this mechanism to help microbes survive antibiotic challenge, are altering the paradigm physicians use to understand chronic infection. Jayaraman\(^{128}\) notes that bacterial persistence is attracting the attention of researchers in fields ranging from microbiology and infectious disease to evolutionary and social biology.

Persisters are dormant phenotypic variants that do not resist antibiotics by means of gene mutation, but instead tolerate antibiotics because most antibiotics need actively growing or dividing microbial cells in order to be effective. Resistance mechanisms prevent antibiotics from hitting a target, whereas tolerance mechanisms make the targets invisible, creating small subpopulations of microbes able to withstand antibiotic attack by going dormant.

Lewis\(^{129}\) reviewed the mechanisms of persister formation. Global regulator genes manage the process by which antibiotic-susceptible bacteria become dormant bacteria tolerant to antibiotics. This process entails independent parallel mechanisms for dialing down metabolic activity. A common mechanism makes use of plasmid-derived toxin–antitoxin modules. HipA, the first gene linked to persister formation, is a toxin from a kinase family that phosphorylates an elongation factor—an action that blocks RNA translation and leads to dormancy.\(^{130}\) Hansen et al.\(^{131}\) recently identified the pathways responsible for HipA-mediated persistence, revealing new targets for disrupting HipA’s role in antibiotic tolerance.

Persisters would be especially problematic when located within biofilm or in immune-privileged niche tissues within the host. Spoering and Lewis\(^{132}\) noted that biofilm-based bacteria and planktonic bacteria are equally capable of producing persister cells that are able to tolerate antibiotics. Persisters in biofilm or biofilm-like niches would have the added advantage of residing in locations that are less visible to host immune defenses.

In their review on bacterial quorum sensing, quorum signaling, and biofilms, Jayaraman and Wood\(^{133}\) describe the circuits involved in communication within species, between species, and between kingdoms. They note that antibiotic tolerance is not a heritable trait, but disrupting quorum signaling circuits offers a way to block biofilm formation and thus prevent the induction of persisters.

**Persistent infectivity postantibiotic challenge**

To date, no evidence exists concerning whether *Bb* has the capacity to tolerate antibiotics by virtue of the bacterial persistence pathways described above, yet convincing animal studies demonstrate that viable *Bb* persist in tissues despite adequate antibiotic challenge.\(^{134–138}\)

In a landmark study, Barthold et al.\(^{134}\) used tigecycline to treat mice infected with *Bb* and compared the results to saline-only treatment (negative effect controls) and ceftriaxone treatment (positive effect controls). The treatment groups included the early dissemination phase (1 week), the early immune response phase (3 weeks), and the late dissemination phase (12 weeks). Tissue transplantation from culture-negative, treated mice into uninfected SCID mice resulted in dissemination of *Bb* DNA in the recipient mice. In addition, sterile ticks became infected with *Bb* after taking blood meals from the recipient mice. This showed that noncultivable yet viable *Bb* can persist in antibiotic-treated mice and be transmitted to sterile tick vectors. It raises the possibility that circulating signals triggered by a feeding tick can rouse *Bb* from a noncultivable form to a mobile, signal-tracking form that can move into a fresh vector and perhaps a friendlier...
new animal host. A more definitive step for research on this question would be to show that such newly infected tick vectors can transmit those spirochetes to sterile animal hosts, and that those spirochetes can disseminate within those hosts. And if they prove again to be noncultivable, they should at least yield the characteristic markers of inflammation and antigen-specific host immune responses known to be produced by infective spirochetes.

In the first of three studies, Yrjänäinen et al showed that after antibiotic eradication of B. burgdorferi in mice, persistent arthritis occurs. In a second study, they found that after apparent eradication of spirochetes with ceftriaxone, treatment with immune-suppressing antitumor necrosis factor-alpha (TNF-α) activated viable, previously noncultivable B. burgdorferi spirochetes within 4 weeks. In a third study, Yrjänäinen et al again treated B. burgdorferi-infected mice with ceftriaxone. All postmortem samples were culture-negative, yet they detected B. burgdorferi DNA in the joints of 30%–100% of the treated mice. The authors concluded that joints, or the tissue adjacent to joints, serve as a niche for viable B. burgdorferi in ceftriaxone-treated mice.

Embers et al produced a definitive demonstration of postantibiotic treatment persistence of B. burgdorferi in nonhuman primates. Their team performed two experiments to assess posttreatment persistence by B. burgdorferi in rhesus macaques. They inoculated the animals with laboratory strains of B. burgdorferi (JD1 and B31 isolate 5A19). In the first study, inoculation resulted in positive skin cultures for B. burgdorferi, antibodies to whole-cell B. burgdorferi antigen by enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA), and positive C6 ELISA for B. burgdorferi in all animals. In the second study, infection was confirmed by serology and PCR detection of B. burgdorferi in skin biopsies. Antibiotic treatment was administered at two different phases of disseminated infection: early and late.

In experiment 1 (late dissemination phase), 24 animals were inoculated with the JD1 strain of B. burgdorferi by means of intraperitoneal, subcutaneous, and intradermal injection. At 27 weeks postinoculation, 12 animals were treated with intravenous ceftriaxone (25 mg/kg) for 30 days, followed by 60 days of oral doxycycline (2 mg/kg) twice daily. This regimen recapitulates the regimen used by Klempern et al in their National Institutes of Health (NIH)-sponsored human clinical trials published in 2001. The mean doxycycline concentration in the treated animals reached 6.7-fold the minimum inhibitory concentration of 0.31 mg/L.

The researchers also performed quantitative real-time PCR for the detection of OspA and flaB DNA targets, and for reverse transcriptase PCR and total RNA from heart and brain specimens. In addition, they examined gross pathology and histopathology. Immunofluorescence microscopy found evidence for both spirochetes and inflammation in various heart and brain tissue samples.

Only one of the treated animals was culture positive for B. burgdorferi. In untreated animals, PCR for DNA targets detected spirochetes in dorsal root ganglia in one animal, and heart in another. In one of the treated animals, PCR was positive in the meninges, bladder, spleen, and lungs. Surprisingly, for DNA targets, the difference between treated and untreated animals was not statistically significant.

Two of the untreated animals were positive for RNA transcripts—one in the heart, and one in the brain. Likewise, two of the treated animals were positive for RNA transcripts—one in the heart, and one in both the heart and the brain. Again surprisingly, the difference between treated and untreated was not statistically significant.

No gross lesions were observed in any of the animals. On histopathology, three animals, all of them treated, showed moderate to severe inflammatory lesions in the heart. On immunofluorescence staining, intact spirochetes were not detected (they would have to lie perfectly parallel to the tissue section to be seen intact), but they did find numerous immunofluorescence-positive specimens of spirochetal fragments both in treated and untreated groups. Once again, the difference between groups was not statistically significant, suggesting that antibiotics administered to adhere to current standards possess a limited capacity to produce total eradication of B. burgdorferi from animal hosts.

In experiment 2 (the early dissemination phase), 16 weeks postinoculation, five animals were treated with a 28-day regimen of oral doxycycline as indicated by IDSA guidelines for early-disseminated B. burgdorferi. They were treated at a dose of 12 mg/kg/day to ensure an effective serum level. Then, at 7 or 11 months postinoculation, the researchers secured xenodiagnosis by showing that laboratory-reared, uninfected Ixodes scapularis nymphs became infected after taking a blood meal from macaques that had been treated with doses of doxycycline that IDSA guidelines considers adequate to eradicate all B. burgdorferi in an infected animal host. As with Barthold et al, a next step in documenting infectivity would be to show that such newly infected ticks transmit the spirochetes to sterile animal hosts and produce antigen-specific immune responses in those hosts.
In experiment 2, the researchers also extracted RNA from animal tissues (to remove residual DNA), followed by amplification of transcripts for OspA and bbf26 (a gene found on linear plasmid 28-1, which also encodes the parent gene of the C6 diagnostic peptide). Using specified primers, they documented the presence of metabolically-active spirochetes despite an IDSA-adherent antibiotic challenge. The authors also confirmed that the identity of the metabolically-active strains of Bb found in the tissues of treated animals matched strains used during inoculation.

Serial measures of anti-C6 antibody (ELISA) in treated and untreated animals showed expected decreases in the C6 antibody in treated animals, and two patterns in untreated animals: either sustained elevations or declines that were more gradual than those seen in antibiotic-treated animals.

Taken together, culture, PCR, mRNA transcript, and immunohistochemical studies suggest that while C6 ELISA can be a useful marker for antibiotic-mediated decreases in immunogenic Bb spirochetes, viable, metabolically active, infective Bb spirochetes nonetheless persist in tissues despite standard antibiotic treatment and despite the return of C6 ELISA levels to preinfectious baselines. In other words, elevated C6 ELISA levels are a trustworthy indicator of the presence of viable Lyme spirochetes, but low C6 ELISA levels are not trustworthy indicators of Lyme spirochete eradication.

Embers et al138 addressed several of the key issues identified by Wormser and Schwartz140 in their 2009 review that focused on studies by Bockenstedt et al,141 Hodzic et al,142 and Straubinger et al,143 all of which documented the persistence of Bb in the tissues of animals despite the antibiotic challenge.

Evidence from the studies by Barthold et al,134 Yrjänäinen et al,135–137 and by Embers et al138 raise critical questions about the reliability and generalizability of initial interpretations of the four NIH-sponsored randomized, controlled trials that evaluated antibiotic retreatment in patients with persistent symptoms following standard LD treatment.139,144,145 These studies reported statistically insignificant improvements in function as a result of retreatment, and findings were widely taken as proof that persistent LD is not caused by chronic infection.

Recent analyses cast doubt on these interpretations. Fallon et al146 reviewed published interpretations of the four NIH-sponsored trials. Their review of the Study and treatment of post-Lyme disease (STOP-LD) trial by Krupp et al147 counters prior critiques of this trial and demonstrates that treatment with intravenous ceftriaxone had a statistically significant and clinically meaningful effect on fatigue – the primary outcome measure in this study. They also point out that the Post-Treatment Lyme Encephalopathy trial148 demonstrated statistically significant and clinically meaningful benefits on pain and physical function.

DeLong et al147 performed a biostatistical review of these four trials and concluded that the primary outcomes originally reported as statistically insignificant were likely underpowered. The authors concluded that ceftriaxone treatment produced clinically meaningful improvements in fatigue and cognitive functioning, and that persistent LD patients with worse baseline pain and physical functioning are likely to experience significant and sustained improvement from such treatment. The authors called for additional studies of persistent LD treatment using oral antibiotic regimens, and for eliminating postinfectious LD as a criterion for eligibility, since evidence is mounting that in many patients, the morbidity associated with so-called postinfectious LD can be caused by persistent Bb infection.

Conclusions
Well-established mechanisms for immune system evasion make a highly plausible case for Bb’s ability to establish an infection that could survive host immune defenses during early and late phases of dissemination. Video evidence reveals how quickly Bb can exit the vascular compartment and translocate into ECM tissues. Preliminary evidence suggests that Bb may participate in quorum sensing, biofilm-like behavior, and persister cell induction. Such mechanisms would help explain Bb’s ability to survive not just initial antibiotic therapy, but ongoing aggressive antibiotic therapy as well. Credible evidence supports the conclusion that LD can be a recalcitrant and chronic relapsing infection in animal hosts. While postinfectious immune pathology likely accounts for certain aspects of the morbidity seen in LD patients following standard antibiotic treatment,101,148–150 such mechanisms were not the subject of this review.

Barthold et al134 demonstrated that neither standard nor more aggressive antibiotic treatments are able to clear persisting Bb from mice, implying that Bb survives antibiotic treatment by shifting into nondividing or slowly dividing forms that retain viability, and possibly infectiousness. Yrjänäinen et al136 demonstrated recalcitrant Bb infection by inducing immune inhibition. Embers et al138 demonstrated that viable Bb persists in nonhuman primate tissues despite antibiotic therapy, which is currently viewed as adequate for achieving complete eradication. This evidence confronts physicians with a dilemma: we know that viable and infectious Bb can survive an aggressive antibiotic challenge, yet we lack the evidence needed to guide us on how best to
treat a persistent infection of this kind. As a result, paralysis on the part of physicians will continue, and patients will suffer for a lack of physicians willing to design an empirical care plan for a persistent infection that is difficult to document and treat.

Research in microbiology, immunology, and genetics should further investigate how *Borrelia* spirochetes use mechanisms for immune evasion, biofilm-like niche-seeking behavior, and antibiotic tolerance to survive antibiotic therapies. Animal and clinical research should investigate what happens to LD morbidity when treated with regimens that target such mechanisms. Studies should systematically explore regimens that administer agents in ways designed to fit an emerging paradigm for polymicrobial, polyphasic, chronic infections associated with multiple mechanisms for immune evasion and persistence. Future research on antibiotic treatment for LD in animal hosts should assess the effectiveness of pulsed, variable combinations of antibiotics for their abilities to disrupt the protective niches and evasive methods favored by these flexibly adaptive spirochetes. For example, use of a cephalosporin against spirochetal forms in the vascular compartment might be combined with pulsed dosing of tinidazole to cover spirochetal and atypical forms in matrix compartments. Such a regimen may yield better long-term outcomes than ceftriaxone or doxycycline alone. Botanical antimicrobial agents should be explored for their abilities to disrupt the protective niches and evasive methods favored by these flexibly adaptive spirochetes. Such studies might speed the translation of basic and clinical research into reliably positive results for people with persistent LD.

Based on well-designed post-treatment animal studies and ongoing delineation of *Bb*’s mechanisms for host immune evasion and persistence, we can reasonably conclude that some, possibly many, chronic LD patients suffer from symptoms related to persistent infection with *Bb*. As inevitably happens in the evolution of scientific ideas, new research proves that the reality is more complex than we thought, and the time has now come to move beyond the divisiveness of the past into a more reality-based paradigm for research, education, and patient care. The question is no longer whether LD can survive an antibiotic challenge in order to become a persistent infection. High quality studies show not only that it happens, but they also show how it happens, and why we should not feel surprised that it happens. Our task in the new era is to determine which patients suffer from persistent LD, and to keep pressing for evidence-based wisdom to guide the physicians called upon to treat them.
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