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ABSTRACT

SARS-CoV-2 has become a pandemic disease declared by the World Health Organization, consequently each nation has taken a series of actions managed by the government in order to prevent the spread of this dangerous virus. The most common countermeasure is the use of a mask that should cover the mouth and nose to filter the inhaled and exhaled air. Those masks are medical devices that should be handled properly; unfortunately in our study, observation of the population during the so-called “phase 2” has very often shown an inappropriate use of any type of mask which reduces its effectiveness. The correct dissemination of adequate information on how to use the mask and the strict control by the government not only on staying on the street wearing masks but above all on how they should be worn, could have further reduced the spread of Covid-19.

1. Introduction

In December 2019, new epidemic disease was reported in China, caused by a virus that belongs to the coronavidae called Covid-19. This novel disease is nowadays well known as SARS-CoV-2 capable to cause a severe acute respiratory syndrome which is easily spread among people thanks to its human-to-human transmissibility (Gorbalenya, 2020a, 2020b; Svoboda et al., 2004; Girard, 2003).

In the beginning, this disease represented a huge danger only for the entire China but very soon, because of the great amount of movement of people from China to other countries and vice versa SARS-CoV-2 have become a pandemic disease as declared from the World Health Organization (WHO) (Wilder-Smith, 2006; Aiello et al., 2010).

In an attempt to limit, as much as possible, the spread of this terrible disease, each nation has taken a number of government-run actions based on several factors (Wilder-Smith, 2020; Cetron and Landwirth, 2005; MacIntyre et al., 2010; Jefferson, 2008). This includes a physical separation between citizens who, for example in Italy have been confined to their homes for a certain period with the possibility of going out only for mandatory reasons (Gumel et al., 2004; Rengasamy et al., 2004). After this period, a second phase emerged, during which it was possible to go out but following particular conditions such as the use of masks that would have limited the spread of Covid-19 through the micro-droplets emitted during breathing, coughing or phonation (Girard, 2003; Aiello et al., 2010; MacIntyre et al., 2010; Jefferson, 2008; Rengasamy et al., 2004; Leung et al., 2020; World Health Organization, 2009; Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, 2009; Balazy et al., 2006; Chen et al., 1991; Grinshpun et al., 2009; Oberg and Brosseau, 2008).

The use of masks, which are medical devices, requires correct use, based on medical principles unfortunately not known by the whole population (Kirby, 2020; MacIntyre and Chughtai, 2015; Chen and Willeke, 1992; Myers et al., 1991). This cultural deficiency, linked to the breathing difficulties caused by the use of this filter, has led to incorrect management of these important medical devices, facilitating the commission of errors that can make the masks ineffective or even dangerous because they can become a vehicle for the spread of the disease itself (World Health Organization, 2009; Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, 2009; Balazy et al., 2006; Chen et al., 1991; NIOSH, 1995; Tuomi, 1985; Weber et al., 1993; Wilder-Smith, 2020).

2. Material and method

In this study, conducted in Italy during the so-called phase 2, the behavior of the population outside their homes was observed, focusing on how the mask was worn and managed (Grinshpun et al., 2009; Oberg and Brosseau, 2008; Chen and Willeke, 1992; Myers et al., 1991; NIOSH, 1995; Tuomi, 1985; Weber et al., 1993; Cetron and Landwirth, 2005; Guneel et al., 2004; Svoboda et al., 2004; Wang et al., 2020; Desai and Mehrotra, 2020; Kirby, 2020; MacIntyre and Chughtai, 2015).
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All data were collected in a period of 12 days from 9 am to 8 pm; children and people with special needs were excluded as well as citizen observed while they were inside their cars.

The study was conducted on a sample of 1036 subjects observed in the street for 60 s each.

Most of the people observed were out of the shops waiting for their turn to enter and this facilitated the observation of the subjects who acted naturally not knowing they were being observed.

The parameters examined were the following: the type of mask (surgical mask, filtering mask with valve like FFP2/FFP3 type, filtering mask like FFP2/FFP3 type without valve, self-built mask or replaced by cloth), correctness in wearing it (covering nose and chin), and management (touching or not the mask on the external surface) (Desai and Mehrotra, 2020; Rengasamy et al., 2010; Leung et al., 2020; Wilder-Smith, 2006) (see Table 1). All collected data were statistically analyzed by chi square test.

3. Results

Out of a total of 1034 subjects observed, the following results were noted: 12 subjects were on the street without any mask, therefore the citizens who wore one were 1022; 622 people wore a surgical mask; 118 people wore a FFP2/FFP3 type filter mask without the valve, 129 people wore a FFP2/FFP3 type filter mask with valve, 153 people wore a clearly self-made mask with fabric or had fabrics such as scarves fitted in front of the mouth and/or nose. Only 264 showed an exemplary behavior by wearing the mask correctly, covering the nose and chin, and only 336 people during the entire period of observation (60 s) did not touch it (see Table 2).

4. Discussion

The data collected show important results that indicate how citizens’ behavior may not be perfectly correct; the use of a medical device, such as a mask, which has an extremely important role in preventing the spread of infections in the air, must comply with very precise principles. The filtering mask must be worn and managed very carefully, otherwise it completely loses its effectiveness, even if the filtering power is particularly high as on those FFP2 and FFP3. Any type of mask must adhere perfectly to the nose and along its internal circumference, preventing air from passing sideways without any filtration (MacIntyre and Chughtai, 2020; Chughtai et al., 2013).

At the same time, the air emitted from the lungs should pass through the mask in order not to pollute the surrounding air.

Wearing a mask that does not adhere well to the face or even with the nose or mouth not covered properly, even makes the best device totally useless.
than the same masks without valve probably because the valve makes breathing easier at least during the emission of air to the outside.

Do-it-yourself masks were the most imaginative and were found on 153 subjects (14.97%); they were mostly obtained from fabrics with questionable filtering power and in cases replaced by scarves or raised collars to more or less effectively cover the mouth and nose. Unfortunately, the nose in 63.39% was not covered making the intent, already in itself of doubtful functionality, certainly ineffective (Rengasamy et al., 2010; Chughtai et al., 2013; MacIntyre et al., 2015) (see Tables 3 and 4).

From the analysis of the data it follows that the government’s effort to impose the use of a device particularly effective in preventing the spread of Covid-19 has influenced the lack of adequate medical knowledge by the population.

5. Conclusions

In retrospect, it is clear that in addition to the imposition of such important devices as masks, the education of the population and the diffusion of correct information by the government appears to be fundamental in order to reach even the most distracted citizens; once again it is clear that an enemy as subtle as Covid-19 can be defeated with intelligence and culture.

Correct diffusion of adequate information on how to use the mask and strict control by the government not only on staying in the street wearing the masks but above all on how they should be worn, could have further reduced the spread of Covid-19.

References

Aiello, A.E., Murray, G.F., Perez, V., Coulborn, R.M., Davis, B.M., et al., 2010. Mask use, hand hygiene, and seasonal influenza like illness among young adults: a randomized intervention trial. J. Infect. Dis. 201, 491–498.

Balazy, A., Toivola, M., Adhikari, A., Sivasubramani, S.K., Reponen, T., Grinshpun, S.A., 2006. Do N95 respirators provide 95% protection level against airborne viruses, and how adequate are surgical masks? Am. J. Infect. Control. 34, 51–57.

Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, 2009. Interim public health guidance for the use of facemasks and respirators in non-occupational community settings during an influenza pandemic. (http://www.pandemicflu.gov/plan/community/maskguidanc ecommunity.html).

Cetron, M., Landwirth, J., 2005. Public health and ethical considerations in planning for quarantine. Yale J. Biol. Med. 78, 329–334.

Chen, C.C., Ruuskanen, J., Pilacinski, W., Willeke, K., 1991. Filter and leak penetration characteristics of a dust and mist filtering face piece. Am. Ind. Hyg. Assoc. J. 51 (12), 652–659.

Chen, C.C., Willeke, K., 1992. Characteristics of face seal leakage in filtering facepieces. Am. Ind. Hyg. Assoc. J. 53, 533–539.

Chughtai, A.A., Seale, H., MacIntyre, C.R., 2013. Use of cloth masks in the practice of infection control evidence and policy gaps. Int. J. Infect. Control. 9, 1–12.

Davies, A., Thompson, K., Girli, K., Kafatos, G., Walker, J., Bennett, A., 2013. Testing the efficacy of homemade masks: would they protect in an influenza pandemic? Disaster Med. Public Health Prepared. 7 (4), 415–418.

Desai, A.N., Mehrotra, P., 2020. Medical masks. JAMA. https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.2020.2331.

Girard, N.J., 2003. OR masks safe practice or habit. AORN J. 77.

Gorbaleyna, A.E., 2020. Severe acute respiratory syndrome-related coronavirus the species and its viruses, a statement of the Coronavirus Study Group. BioRxiv. 2020, 1–15.

Grinshpun, S.A., Haruta, H., Eninger, R.M., Reponen, T., McKay, R.T., Lee, S.A., 2009. Performance of an N95 filtering facepiece particulate respirator and a surgical mask during human breathing: two pathways for particle penetration. J. Occup. Environ. Hyg. 6, 593–603.

Gumel, A.B., Ruan, S., Day, T., et al., 2004. Modelling strategies for controlling SARS outbreaks. Proc. Biol. Sci. 271, 2223–2232.

Jefferson, T., et al., 2008. Physical intervention to interrupt or reduce the spread of respiratory viruses: systematic review. Br. Med. J. 336, 77–80.

Kirby, T., 2020. Australian Government releases face masks to protect against coronavirus. Lancet Respir. Med. 8 (3), 239.

Leung, N.H.L., Chu, D.K.W., Shiu, E.Y.C., et al., 2020. Respiratory virus shedding in exhaled breath and efficacy of face masks. Nat. Med. 26, 676–680.

MacIntyre, C.R., Cauchemez, S., Dwyer, D.E., Seale, H., Cheung, P., et al., 2010. Face mask use and control of respiratory virus transmission in households. Emerg. Infect. Dis. 15, 233.

MacIntyre, C.R., Chughtai, A.A., 2015. Facemasks for the prevention of infection in healthcare and community settings. BMJ 350, 694 694.

MacIntyre, C.R., Chughtai, A.A., 2020. A rapid systematic review of the efficacy of face masks and respirators against coronaviruses and other respiratory transmissible viruses for the community, healthcare workers and sick patients. Int. J. Nurs. Stud. (108).

MacIntyre, C.R., Seale, H., Dang, T.C., et al., 2015. A cluster randomized trial of cloth masks compared with medical masks in healthcare workers. BMJ Open 5, e006577.

Myers, W.R., Kim, H., Kadrichu, N., 1991. Effect of particle size on assessment of facemask leakage. J. Int. Soc. Resp. Prot. 6–21.

NIOSH, 1995. National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health. Us Dhhs, Public Health Service. Respiratory Protective Devices; Final Rules and Notices. Federal Register, 60(110), 30335–30393.

Obreg, T., Brousseau, L.M., 2008. Surgical mask filter and fit performance. Am. J. Infect. Control 36, 276–282.

Rengasamy, S., Eimer, R., Ronald, E., Shaffer, R.E., 2010. Simple respiratory protection—evaluation of the filtration performance of cloth masks and common fabric materials against 20–100 nm size particles. Ann. Occup. Hyg. 54 (7), 789–798.

Rengasamy, A., Zhuang, Z., Berryman, R., 2004. Respiratory protection against bioaerosols: literature review and research needs. Am. J. Infect. Control 32, 345–354.

Svoboda, T., Henry, B., Shulman, L., et al., 2004. Public health measures to control the spread of the severe acute respiratory syndrome during the outbreak in Toronto. N. Engl. J. Med. 350, 2352-2361.

Tuomu, T., 1985. Face seal leakage of half masks and surgical masks. Am. Ind. Hyg. Assoc. J. 46, 308–312.

Wang, C., Horby, P.W., Hayden, F.G., Gao, G.F., 2020. A novel coronavirus outbreak of global health concern. Lancet 395, 470–473.

Weber, A., Willeke, K., Marchioni, R., Miyjo, T., McKay, R., Donnelly, J., et al., 1993. Aerosol penetration and leakage characteristics of masks used in the health care industry. Am. J. Infect. Control. 21, 167–173.

Wilders-Smith, A., 2006. The severe acute respiratory syndrome: impact on travel and tourism. Travel Med. Infect. Dis. 4, 53–60.

Wilders-Smith, A., 2020. Freedman Do Isolation, quarantine, social distancing and community containment: pivotal role for old-style public health measures in the novel coronavirus (2019-nCoV) outbreak. J. Travel Med.

World Health Organization, 2009. Advice on the use of masks in the community setting in Influenza A (H1N1) outbreaks. Interim guidance (3 May 2009). (http://www.who.int/csr/resources/publications/Adviceusemaskscommunityrevised.pdf).