Sensory and Motor Systems

Stress Increases Peripheral Axon Growth and Regeneration through Glucocorticoid Receptor-Dependent Transcriptional Programs

Jessica K. Lerch,1*, Jessica K. Alexander,1* Kathryn M. Madalena,1 Dario Motti,2,4 Tam Quach,1 Akhil Dhamija,1 Alicia Zha,1 John C. Gensel,1,5 Jeanette Webster Marketon,6 Vance P. Lemmon,2 John L. Bixby,2,3 and Phillip G. Popovich1

DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.1523/ENEURO.0246-17.2017

Abstract

Stress and glucocorticoid (GC) release are common behavioral and hormonal responses to injury or disease. In the brain, stress/GCs can alter neuron structure and function leading to cognitive impairment. Stress and GCs also exacerbate pain, but whether a corresponding change occurs in structural plasticity of sensory neurons is unknown. Here, we show that in female mice (Mus musculus) basal GC receptor (NR3C1, also known as GR) expression in dorsal root ganglion (DRG) sensory neurons is 15-fold higher than in neurons in canonical stress-responsive brain regions (M. musculus). In response to stress or GCs, adult DRG neurite growth increases through mechanisms involving GR-dependent gene transcription. In vivo, prior exposure to an acute systemic stress increases peripheral nerve regeneration. These data have broad clinical implications and highlight the importance of stress and GCs as novel behavioral and circulating modifiers of neuronal plasticity.
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Introduction

Stress, a ubiquitous and recurrent part of daily life, activates complex regulatory mechanisms in the body that cause glucocorticoids (GCs) to increase in the circula-
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Nerve injury-induced pain affects millions and is a comorbidity factor for individuals living with traumatic spinal cord or peripheral nerve injuries. Pain is associated with aberrant plasticity and sprouting in the injured peripheral and central nervous systems. However, the mechanisms underlying these structural changes are not understood. Here, new data implicate stress hormones (steroids) and GR activation as a novel mechanism underlying enhanced sensory neuron plasticity in injured peripheral nerves. These data also have important implications for the development and care of nerve-injury induced pain, including the use of steroids as a treatment for inflammatory pain.
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and subcortical structures (e.g., hippocampus, amygdala) express high levels of GRs (Sapolsky et al., 1984) and GCs/stress can modulate their structural integrity. For example, GCs cause dendritic hypertrophy in amygdala neurons (Vyas et al., 2002) and dendritic atrophy in hippocampal neurons (Watanabe et al., 1992; Mitra and Sapolsky, 2008). These structural changes alter long-term potentiation and depression (Kerr et al., 1992; De Kloet et al., 1998; Yang et al., 2004; Ahmed et al., 2006) and are associated with increased anxiety and age-related cognitive impairment (Watanabe et al., 1992; Lupien et al., 1998; Revest et al., 2005). Neurons in the dorsal root ganglia (DRGs) also express GRs (DeLeón et al., 1994) but the relative level of GR expression in DRG compared to brain neurons is unknown. It is also not known whether stress/GCs can affect the structure or function of DRG neurons.

Peripheral nerve injury (e.g., axotomy or nerve ligation) activates transcriptional programs that enhance DRG neuron regenerative growth and sprouting (Smith and Skene, 1997; Neumann and Woolf, 1999). Peripheral nerve injury also causes neuropathic pain (Decosterd and Woolf, 2000; Shields et al., 2003), a condition that may develop because aberrant growth programs are elicited in sensory neurons causing sprouting into the superficial layers of the spinal cord dorsal horn (Woolf et al., 1992; Ondarza et al., 2003; Hughes et al., 2008; Zhang et al., 2015) or the nucleus gracilis and cuneatus (Persson et al., 1995; Ma and Bisby, 1998, 2000). In animal models, stress and GCs enhance nerve injury-induced pain (Wang et al., 2004; Alexander et al., 2009), although the mechanisms underlying these changes in sensation are unknown.

Our new data indicate that GCs act as circulating modulators of sensory neuron structure and function and that DRG neurons express surprisingly high levels of GRs, even compared to hippocampal neurons, which have been considered the most GR-rich and stress-responsive brain neurons is unknown. It is also not known whether stress/GCs can affect the structure or function of DRG neurons.

Peripheral nerve injury (e.g., axotomy or nerve ligation) activates transcriptional programs that enhance DRG neuron regenerative growth and sprouting (Smith and Skene, 1997; Neumann and Woolf, 1999). Peripheral nerve injury also causes neuropathic pain (Decosterd and Woolf, 2000; Shields et al., 2003), a condition that may develop because aberrant growth programs are elicited in sensory neurons causing sprouting into the superficial layers of the spinal cord dorsal horn (Woolf et al., 1992; Ondarza et al., 2003; Hughes et al., 2008; Zhang et al., 2015) or the nucleus gracilis and cuneatus (Persson et al., 1995; Ma and Bisby, 1998, 2000). In animal models, stress and GCs enhance nerve injury-induced pain (Wang et al., 2004; Alexander et al., 2009), although the mechanisms underlying these changes in sensation are unknown.
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basis of micrograms loaded. For the hippocampus, 2 &micro;g of protein was loaded for the β-actin blot, and 20 &micro;g for the GR blot. For the DRG, 5 &micro;g of protein was loaded for the β-actin and 1 &micro;g for the GR blot; N = 4 per condition for each experiment.

Restraint stress protocol
We previously showed that placing mice into well-ventilated polypropylene tubes (2.8 × 9.7 cm) for 1 h elicits a transient, but significant increase in circulating corticosterone (cort; Alexander et al., 2009). This method of acute restraint stress was used throughout the experiments described in this manuscript. Nonstressed mice remained undisturbed in their home cages.

Drugs
For in vivo experiments, mifepristone (RU486; 50 mg/kg, Sigma, catalog M8046), cort (1.5 mg/kg, Sigma, catalog C174), and dexamethasone (dex; 2 mg/kg, Sigma, catalog D1756) were prepared in a sterile peanut oil vehicle (veh) and then injected in a 0.1-ml volume 1 h before cell harvest. Cells were plated and kept in Neurobasal A media for 72 h. The dose of injected cort was previously determined to reproduce stress-induced plasma cort concentrations (Alexander et al., 2009). All drugs were prepared fresh daily and delivered via intraperitoneal injection.

In vitro DRG neuron experiments
Mouse DRG were harvested after 1 h of restraint (stress) or without (nonstressed; ns), as described previously (Gensel et al., 2009). Briefly, we dissected cervical, thoracic and lumbar DRG neurons from terminally anesthetized adult mice and incubated them in dispase 2 (5 U/ml; Roche) and collagenase type 2 (200 &micro;g/ml; Worthington) for 45-60 min at 37°C in HBSS (Mediatech), followed by DNase 1 type 2 (250 &micro;g/ml; Sigma) treatment for 5 min. Next, DRG were triturated in 0.5 ml of HBSS media through fire-polished Pasteur pipettes and spun at 3000 rpm for 3 min. The neuron-enriched pellet was resuspended in 0.1 ml of Neurobasal A media supplemented with 2% B27, 1% Glutamax, and 1% penicillin-streptomycin. Neurons were plated onto coverslips at 400 cells/coverslip, or for high-density growth analysis at 10,000 cells/coverslip. Cells assessed using automated microscopy were plated into 12-well tissue culture plates. All coverslips and tissue culture plates were pre-coated with 0.1 mg/ml of poly-L-lysine (Sigma) and 10 &micro;g/ml of laminin (Invitrogen). DRG neurons grew for 15, 24, or 72 h at 37°C in a 5% CO2 humidified incubator. Different culture durations were used to interrogate different mechanisms of GC-GR-dependent growth (see Results). All DRG neurons were fixed with 2% paraformaldehyde (PFA) in PBS for subsequent immunohistochemistry. For each experiment, DRG neurons were prepared from three animals, and at least three coverslips or wells were used to quantify neurites using either Scholl Analysis or high-density neurite growth assays (below).

Rabbit polyclonal anti-β-tubulin III antibody (1:2000; Sigma, #T2200, RRID: AB_262133) or a combination of chicken anti-neurofilament (NF) 200 and 68-kDa antibodies [1:1000; Aves Labs, #NFL, NF heavy (NFH), RRID:
AB_2313553 and AB_2313552] were used to visualize DRG neurons and processes. Alexa Fluor 546-conjugated goat anti-rabbit or anti-chicken IgG secondary antibodies were paired with primary antibodies to detect DRG neurons (1:1000; Invitrogen). For high-density assays at least 45% of the coverslip was randomly sampled and digitized to quantify neurites (~100 fields; MCID 6.0 Elite). Using automatic densitometric threshold detection, neurons that were positively stained for β-tubulin III or NF were quantified then neurite density was normalized to soma number in each field. Individual neuron neurite length in low-density cultures was quantified randomly on isolated DRG neurons after digitizing them on a light microscope followed by unbiased automated Sholl analysis (Gensel et al., 2011). Templates of concentric circles of 50-μm intervals were overlaid onto the center of a digitized DRG soma. For each neuron, densitometric thresholds were set to remove background labeling and clearly identify detailed cellular processes. The total number of objects above threshold intersecting each circle was tallied using an automated macro. The maximal ring with an intersecting process (max distance) and sum of the number of intersections (branching complexity or sprouting index) for all rings were generated for each cell and compared between groups. For automated analysis, at least 500 neurons in three technical replicates per experimental condition were examined, and each experiment was performed at least three times. In Figure 2D-G, individual DRG neurite length and branching were quantified using the Neuronal Profiling Algorithm (v4.1) on an ArrayScanXTi High Content Analysis Microscope (Thermo Fisher). At least 500 neurons per condition were analyzed.

**Immunohistochemistry**

Mice were anesthetized with 80 mg/kg of ketamine and 10 mg/kg of xylazine before transcardial perfusion with 30 ml 0.1 M PBS (pH 7.4) followed by 100 ml of 4% PFA in PBS. DRGs were embedded in optimal cutting temperature compound (OCT; Tissue-Tek, VWR International) and frozen at -80°C; 10- to 20-μm sections were cut on a cryostat and thaw-mounted on SuperFrost Plus slides (Fisher Scientific), then stored at -20°C until use. After drying at RT, slides were rinsed with 0.1 M PBS and overlaid with blocking solution for 1 h. Sections were subsequently washed and incubated overnight with the primary antibody and appropriate secondary antibodies. We used the following primary and secondary antibodies: GR: 1:200, #PA1-511A, Thermo Fisher, RRID: AB_2236340 or sc-8992, Santa Cruz Biotechnology, RRID: AB_2155784; SCG10, 1:500, Novus Biotechnologies anti-stathmin-2, NBP1-49467, RRID: AB_10011568; ATF3: 1:500, #A11035, Invitrogen. Hoechst or DAPI was applied in the final rinse to visualize nuclei.

**Generation of samples for qPCR analysis**

A 30-s sciatic nerve crush was performed as described below. In stress + injury groups, 1 h of restraint stress (see above) was performed before injury. L3-L5 DRGs ipsilateral to the injury were removed, trimmed of their axons and then placed into Trizol for RNA extraction. A total of 500 ng of RNA was used to prepare cDNA with the RT-for-PCR cDNA kit (Clontech), and qPCR was performed as described below.

**qRT-PCR**

Gene-specific primers were used for qRT-PCR to compare expression between ns and stress groups. All primers are listed 5’-3’: Ankr1d: CAATGGGGCCGCGAGGGGAAT, GCTTCAGCGTCTGGCCGGA; Atf3: AAGGATGTGACCAA CGCGCT, CGCGGGTTAGCGATTGCT; Jun: GAGTTGGAAGAAGACTGGCCG, TCCATCGTCTCTG TGGCCCG; Gapd3: GGGGCTCGAGGAAAGGCG, TGCTGGGCCCATTCCAGG; Il6: GCTTCTTTGAGACTGAT GCT, AGTCTCTCCCTCGACGTGTG; Spp1a: CCATTGGCTTGCTAAGCAA, TCGAGAGCCTTGGAAGATGAG; Tp53: AGCAGGGCTACACTCAGTACC, GGCTGGT GATGGGACCGGAT; Stat3: CGCCGACGCTGTGCAGG AAGT, ACCAGGCAATCACATTGGCAGC; Tsc2d3: bhCTCGAGGGGTGACGAGGGACCG, AGGTGAGCG GCACCTGGCT; Sgf: GCGGAGAGCACATTGTCTTCC CGATGCGGAAAGAGCC; Cebpa: GTGAGCG CGGGGAACGCACA, GAAGATGGCGCAGAGGGT; Hspb2: GGCTTCAGTGGCGCATTCC, GCGGCCGCTG TCAGATGCT; Cebpb: CGGATTGATGAGCAACCTGCGT; ATF3, 1:500, sc-188, Santa Cruz, or sc-8992, Santa Cruz; SCG10, 1:500, Aves Labs, RRID: AB_2236340; or Cebpd, TGTCCGGAGAGCCATTGCTGATCAAG, TGGGCACTGGATCAT CAGT; Cebpc, 1:500, #A11035, Invitrogen. Hoechst or DAPI was applied in the final rinse to visualize nuclei.

**Luciferase assays**

The promoters of Cebpa, Cebpβ, Cebpδ, Stat3, Hif1α, Il6, and Tp53 were amplified with promoter-specific primers which also contained restriction sites so that the promoter fragments (-1000 to +300 bp from the transcription start site) could be cloned into the pLightSwitch_prom plasmid (SwitchGear Genomics) using the In-Fusion HD Cloning Kit (Clontech). The promoters are shown 5’-3’: Hif1a: TACTGTTG. Primer sequence specificity was confirmed by performing BLAST analysis for similar sequences against known sequence databases. PCRs were conducted in triplicate using 0.001 g of cDNA/reaction and Power SYBR Green master mix (Applied Biosystems) in 0.10-ml reactions. PCR product was measured using SYBR Green fluorescence on an Applied Biosystems 7900HT system. Standard curve and melting point analyses were performed to confirm amplification efficiency and single amplified products, respectively. The ΔΔCt analysis was used to normalize gene data to 18s ribosomal RNA reference gene expression. In all cases, expression was normalized to cDNA derived from L3-L5 DRGs from ns and noninjured mice; N = 4 mice per group.
Figure 2. Acute stress promotes neurite growth via a GC-GR-dependent mechanism. **A**, **B**, DRG neurons were isolated from ns or stressed mice and grown in vitro for 72 h. Scale bar, 100 μm. **C**, Stress increased neurite length. ***p < 0.0001 versus ns, one-way ANOVA. **D**-**F**, Stress increases neurite growth during the “arborizing” phase of DRG neurite outgrowth. **D**, DRG neurons from Thy1-GFP-M transgenic mice were isolated from ns adult mice or adult mice subjected to 1 h of restraint stress and grown for 15 h in vitro. Scale bar, 100 μm. **E, F**, Stress increased neurite total length and the number of branches per neurite, **p < 0.05, ***p < 0.0001, mean and SEM are shown. **G**, The percentage of neurons extending neurites was unaffected by stress. All parameters were defined by the Neuronal Profiling Algorithm v4.1 (Cellomics ArrayScanXTI, Thermo Fisher). N ≥ 550 neurons per condition. **H, I**, Corticosterone administration (1.5 mg/kg) 1 h before DRG harvest increased neurite elongation and sprouting measures 24 h after plating in vitro. **J**, The synthetic GC, dexamethasone (2 mg/kg) increased neurite sprouting, **p < 0.05, ***p < 0.01. **K**, Representative images of high-density DRG neuron cultures (72 h in vitro) from vehicle-treated and RU486-treated (RU) stressed mice. Scale bar, 200 μm. **L**, The GR antagonist, RU486 blocked stress enhanced neurite growth, ***p < 0.0001 versus ns, mean ± SEM are shown.
then the luciferase assay was performed following the manufacturer’s recommendations (SwitchGear Genomics). For each experiment 8 replicate wells were transfected for each condition (e.g., promoter alone, promoter + wtGR, promoter + caGR) and the values attained from the luminometer were normalized to the average of the promoter only wells to generate a fold change value; N = 8 replicates per plate, N = 3 independent experiments.

Quantification of GR nuclear localization  GR was visualized in DRG sections using an Axioplan 2 imaging microscope (Zeiss). All images were captured using identical exposure times, which were optimized for detecting GR in DRG from ns mice. Ganglia from L3-L5 were collected and imaged from three separate mice for each condition, and at least two sections were imaged from each ganglion. GR intensity was quantified in at least 50 randomly selected neurons using the Measure tool in ImageJ (Schneider et al., 2012). Neuron size was also measured and used to compare differences between small (10-26 µm), medium (27-42 µm), and large (43-58 µm) DRG neurons. Hoechst (Sigma) staining was used to visualize nuclei. The estimated ratio of cytoplasmic to nuclear GR intensity was calculated then these values were normalized to the average value in ns ganglia.

In vivo analysis of sciatic nerve regeneration  Eight-week-old female C57BL/6 mice (Taconic) were anesthetized (80 mg/kg ketamine; 5 mg/kg xylazine) then, following shaving and aseptic preparation of the right hind leg, a Dumont #5 forceps was used to crush (30-s duration) the sciatic nerve. Stressed mice underwent 1 h of restraint just before injury. The site of nerve injury was marked by charcoal. This crush duration did not produce gross motor impairment (e.g., foot drop) postoperatively. The muscle/fascia layer was pulled together and the skin was sealed with a single wound clip (Stoelting). Three days after crush injury, mice were perfused, and sciatic nerves were harvested then prepared for histology as described above. Slides were incubated overnight at 4°C with the anti-SCG10 antibody. The next day, sections were washed then incubated for 1-2 h with Alexa Fluor 555- or 488-conjugated goat anti-rabbit IgG antibodies. Nerves were imaged with identical exposure times (Axioplan 2 imaging microscope, Zeiss). A photo montage was created in Photoshop then SCG10 intensity was quantified using ImageJ as described previously (Abe et al., 2010; Shin et al., 2012; Cho et al., 2013). Briefly, the width of each nerve was measured every 500 µm then averaged. The raw intensity every 100 µm was measured then normalized by dividing by average nerve width. The crush...
site was identified as the point with the highest intensity as in (Shin et al., 2014). The regeneration index was calculated as the farthest point from the site of injury where pixel intensity was at least 50% of the intensity at the crush site (Abe et al., 2010); N = 3 mice/group.

A separate cohort of mice was used for 3-dimensional (3D) imaging and axon quantification. The same 30-s unilateral sciatic nerve crush injury was performed on eight-week-old female Thy1-GFP-M (The Jackson Laboratory, stock #007788) mice (Feng et al., 2000). These mice were perfused at 14 d postinjury (dpi). After perfusion, sciatic nerves were rapidly removed and postfixed overnight at 4°C, followed by a rinse in 100 mM PBS. Sciatic nerves were cleared using 50% tetrahydrofuran (THF) for 25 min, 80% THF for 30 min, 100% THF 2Xs for 30 min, 100% dichloromethane for 20 min, and finally in Dibenzyl ether (Sigma-Aldrich) for 15 min (Ertürk et al., 2012). The whole sciatic nerve was imaged using a fluorescence light sheet microscope (LaVision BioTec Ultra-microscope). Axon quantification was performed with an unbiased approach, using the Spots algorithm in Bitplane Imaris Scientific 3D/4D Image Processing Software (Oxford Instruments) at 0.5-mm intervals from the crush site to the distal end of the nerve. The number of “spots” at each interval was entered for each mouse (N = 3/group) then compared between groups (stress vs no-stress).

Statistics In vitro and in vivo neurite/axon growth was analyzed using one- or two-way repeated measures analysis of variance with Bonferroni or Fisher post hoc analyses. Student’s t test was used to analyze data from histologic experiments comprising only two groups. A ratio t test for paired data were applied to Western blot analyses to compare fold change between groups. An α-level of p < 0.05 was used to indicate statistical significance.

Figure 4. Stress reduces ATF3 immunostaining in DRG neurons. A, B, ATF3 was nearly undetectable in sensory neuron axons and (C, D), DRG neurons from ns mice. E, F, DRG collected 1 dpi showed increased ATF3 immunoreactivity compared to DRGs collected from mice receiving stress + injury, G, H, Arrowheads indicate ATF3 nuclear immunoreactivity. B, D, F, H, NFH is shown in green, ATF3 in red, and Hoechst in blue. Scale bar, 100 μm.
Results

Sensory neurons express high levels of GRs

Stress activates the hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal axis leading to an increase in the synthesis and release of GCs into the circulation. High levels of circulating GCs directly regulate gene transcription by binding to GRs. Hippocampal neurons express high levels of GRs, and GC-GR interactions profoundly affect hippocampal neuron structure/function (Sapolsky et al., 1984; Joëls et al., 2003; Fitzsimons et al., 2013). In sensory neurons, however, neither the relative abundance of GRs nor the effects of GC-GR interactions are known. We used Western blotting to compare the relative amounts of GRs in spinal cord, hippocampus, DRG and cortex. Although GRs were found in all regions, GR levels in DRG were ~15-fold greater than in the hippocampus (Fig. 1A,B). Whole DRGs or purified adult DRG neurons labeled with anti-GR antibodies confirmed GR localization predominantly in neurons (Fig. 1C,D). These data indicate that DRG neurons express surprisingly high levels of GRs, suggesting that circulating or exogenous GCs may exert potent effects on DRG neurons through GRs.

Stress induces sensory neurite growth

Stress and GCs induce structural plasticity in hippocampal neurons with corresponding behavioral changes that manifest as impaired cognition and anxiety. Previously, we found that stress and GCs enhance nerve injury-induced pain (Alexander et al., 2009). To test whether the enhanced nociceptive effects of stress and GCs were also associated with structural changes in sensory neurons, adult DRG neurons were isolated from stressed or ns mice, then morphologic features of neurite growth were analyzed. Immediately after stress, DRG neurons were isolated and placed in culture for 72 h, a time in which most DRG neurons have extended neurites. Neurite length and complexity were analyzed using unbiased automated Sholl analysis (Gensel et al., 2011). Stress consistently and significantly increased the complexity (number of Sholl crossings; indicative of arborization) and overall length of neurites in vitro (Fig. 2A-C).

Stress promotes neurite growth via GC-GR-dependent regulation of genes that are constitutively expressed in sensory neurons

Previous data indicate that neurite outgrowth from uninjured adult DRG occurs in two phases (Smith and...
In the early phase (~12-16 h after plating), small numbers of neurons exhibit highly branched arborizing neurites but limited extension of any individual neurite. Genes that are constitutively expressed in vivo by DRG neurons regulate this early (arborizing) phase of neurite growth. In the second phase (~24 h), most DRG neurons develop the capacity for rapid and sustained axonal elongation. This later and distinct phase of axon growth requires transcription of new genes including various canonical RAGs (e.g., GAP43; Smith and Skene, 1997; Frey et al., 2000; Cafferty et al., 2001; Bonilla et al., 2002; Campbell et al., 2005; Qiu et al., 2005; Seijffers et al., 2007).

Because data in Figure 2A-C were generated from DRG neurons cultured for 72 h, it is not possible to know whether stress affected the constitutively expressed genes that control early neurite growth or the later RAG-dependent growth programs. Thus, in a follow-up experiment, DRG neurons were isolated from ns or stressed mice, then grown in culture for 15 h, a time preceding the delayed induction of RAG expression (Smith and Skene, 1997). After 15 h, neurons from ns mice extended short neurites (~200 &micro;m) with few arborizations (Fig. 2D). In contrast, stress increased the overall length and branching complexity of DRG neurites (Fig. 2E,F); the total number of DRG neurons with multibranched neurites tripled, and the total number of branches/neuron increased 6-fold. Stress did not affect the percentage of neurons that extended neurites (Fig. 2G), indicating that stress acts on existing neurite outgrowth pathways to induce neurons to extend neurites at a faster rate.

To determine if these acute effects of stress could be mediated by GCs, in lieu of stress, naïve mice were injected intraperitoneally with cort (1.5 mg/kg) or a synthetic GC (dex; 2 mg/kg) 1 h before DRG harvest. Neurons were evaluated at 24 h, well before the marked increases in RAG expression that occurs in cultured DRG neurons (Smith and Skene, 1997). Structural plasticity increases similar to those caused by stress were seen with either cort or dex; cort increased neurite length and complexity, whereas dex increased only neurite sprouting (Fig. 2H,I). To determine if the stress/GC effects were mediated via binding to GR in vivo, mice were injected with the GR-antagonist RU486 (50 mg/kg) before stress. High-density DRG neuron cultures were used since they require less effort to prepare and analyze, and because stress and cort were found to increase the density of neurites in high-density cultures (data not shown). As shown in Figure 2J,K, acute GR block with RU486 prevented the increase in neurite outgrowth caused by stress.

Combined, these data indicate that stress enhances axon outgrowth from sensory neurons in part via GC-GR-dependent interactions. These changes occur early (~24 h), before axotomy induced transcriptional programs, and thus might work through GC-GR-dependent transcription pathways.

**Stress promotes DRG neurite growth independently of RAGs**

The ability of stress to induce vigorous neurite growth in DRG neurons is reminiscent of the “conditioning effects” of a peripheral nerve injury. Indeed, DRG neurons that have been previously injured have an increased ability to initiate regenerative axon growth and this is dependent on new gene transcription (i.e., RAGs; Smith and Skene, 1997; Frey et al., 2000; Cafferty et al., 2001; Bonilla et al., 2002; Campbell et al., 2005; Qiu et al., 2005; Seijffers et al., 2007). To test whether expression of canonical RAGs that are normally induced by nerve injury (e.g., Att3, Ankrd1, Sprr1a, Il-6, Gap43, and Jun) also are upregulated by stress, mRNA was prepared from DRG neurons that were isolated from mice immediately following stress (0 d), or 1 or 3 d after exposing them to 1 h of restraint stress (Fig. 3A-F, open circles). Stress increased the expression of Ankrd1, Sprr1a, Gap43, and Jun mRNA; however, the magnitude and temporal progression of these changes varied by gene. Both Gap43 and Jun mRNA were immediately increased (relative to ns mice, 0 d; Fig. 3E,F, open circles). Conversely, Ankrd1 and Sprr1a increased but not until 3 d after stress. Although Jun expression increased immediately after stress, Jun expression was significantly reduced by 1 d (relative to ns), a finding consistent with GR-dependent regulation of Jun (Wei et al., 1998). Jun expression returned to baseline by 3 d (Fig. 3F). Overall, stress had only a mild effect on inducing expression of a subset of RAGs. By comparison, RAG

### Table 1. TFs associated with regeneration whose expression is also impacted by the GR (transcriptionally activated/repressed by GR)

| Transcription factor | Effect of GR on transcription |
|----------------------|------------------------------|
| C/EBPα               | +                            |
| C/EBPβ               | +                            |
| COUP-TFII            | +                            |
| c-Rel (NF-κB subunit)| +                            |
| HIF1A                | +                            |
| IRF1                 | +                            |
| NURR1                | +                            |
| p73                  | +                            |
| PPAR-γ               | +                            |
| SF1                  | +                            |
| STAT3                | +                            |
| STAT5                | +                            |
| STAT5A               | +                            |
| STAT5B               | +                            |
| TBP                  | +                            |
| c-Jun/c-Fos          | -                            |
| c-Rel (NF-κB subunit)| -                            |
| E2A                  | -                            |
| NF-AT2(NFATC1)       | -                            |
| NF-κB                | -                            |
| NF-κB1 (p50)         | -                            |
| p53                  | -                            |
| p73                  | -                            |
| PIT1                 | -                            |
| RelA (p65 NF-κB subunit)| -                          |
| SMAD3                | -                            |
| STAT6                | -                            |
| T-bet                | -                            |
| ZNF307               | -                            |

Interactions were determined using MetaCore software and were identified in mouse, rat, and human.
Table 2. GR regulated TFs in column A (interaction from) and the targeted downstream RAGS in column B (interaction to)

| Interaction from | Interaction to   | Interaction effect | Interaction type | Organisms          |
|------------------|------------------|--------------------|------------------|--------------------|
| c-Rel (NF-κB subunit) | c-Myb            | +                  | TR               | Homo;Mus;Rattus    |
| c-Rel (NF-κB subunit) | STAT1            | u                  | TR               | Homo;Mus;Rattus    |
| c-Rel (NF-κB subunit) | STAT5A           | u                  | TR               | Homo;Mus;Rattus    |
| c-Rel (NF-κB subunit) | IL-6             | +                  | TR               | Homo;Mus;Rattus    |
| C/EBPα            | RNF12            | u                  | TR               | Homo;Mus;Rattus    |
| C/EBPα            | c-Fos            | +                  | TR               | Homo;Mus;Rattus    |
| C/EBPβ            | STAT6            | +                  | B                | Homo;Mus;Rattus    |
| C/EBPβ            | b-Myb            | u                  | TR               | Homo;Mus;Rattus    |
| C/EBPβ            | LTBP2            | u                  | TR               | Homo;Mus;Rattus    |
| C/EBPβ            | HNF1-α           | +                  | B                | Homo;Mus;Rattus    |
| C/EBPβ            | HNF1-β           | +                  | B                | Homo;Mus;Rattus    |
| C/EBPβ            | PAX2             | u                  | TR               | Homo;Mus;Rattus    |
| C/EBPβ            | c-Jun            | +                  | B                | Homo;Mus;Rattus    |
| C/EBPβ            | Ghrelin          | u                  | TR               | Homo;Mus;Rattus    |
| C/EBPβ            | IL-6             | +                  | TR               | Homo;Mus;Rattus    |
| C/EBPβ            | c-Fos            | +                  | TR               | Homo;Mus;Rattus    |
| C/EBPβ            | c-Jun/c-Fos      | u                  | TR               | Homo;Mus;Rattus    |
| COUP-TFII         | HNF1-β           | +                  | TR               | Homo;Mus;Rattus    |
| E2A               | ZNF143           | u                  | TR               | Homo;Mus;Rattus    |
| E2A               | c-Fos            | u                  | TR               | Homo;Mus;Rattus    |
| GCR-α             | STAT5A           | +                  | B                | Homo;Mus;Rattus    |
| GCR-α             | TBP              | +                  | B                | Homo;Mus;Rattus    |
| GCR-α             | STAT6            | -                  | B                | Homo;Mus;Rattus    |
| GCR-α             | c-Jun/c-Fos      | -                  | B                | Homo;Mus;Rattus    |
| GCR-α             | SMAD3            | -                  | B                | Homo;Mus;Rattus    |
| GCR-α             | IL-6             | -                  | TR               | Homo;Mus;Rattus    |
| HIF1A             | ZNF167           | u                  | TR               | Homo;Mus;Rattus    |
| HIF1A             | IL-6             | +                  | TR               | Homo;Mus;Rattus    |
| HIF1A             | SMAD3            | +                  | B                | Homo;Mus;Rattus    |
| HIF1A             | Mxi1             | +                  | TR               | Homo;Mus;Rattus    |
| HIF1A             | RNF183           | u                  | TR               | Homo;Mus;Rattus    |
| HIF1A             | c-Jun            | +                  | B                | Homo;Mus;Rattus    |
| HIF1A             | Junctin          | +                  | TR               | Homo;Mus;Rattus    |
| IRF1              | RNF168           | u                  | TR               | Homo;Mus           |
| IRF1              | c-Myb            | -                  | TR               | Homo;Mus;Rattus    |
| IRF1              | RNF12            | u                  | TR               | Homo;Mus;Rattus    |
| IRF1              | c-Jun            | +                  | B                | Homo;Mus;Rattus    |
| NF-AT2(NFATC1)    | LTBP3            | +                  | TR               | Homo;Mus;Rattus    |
| NF-κB             | PAX2             | u                  | TR               | Homo;Mus;Rattus    |
| NF-κB             | PTBP1            | u                  | TR               | Homo;Mus;Rattus    |
| NF-κB             | FosB             | u                  | TR               | Homo;Mus;Rattus    |
| NF-κB             | JunB             | u                  | TR               | Homo;Mus;Rattus    |
| NF-κB             | Ghrelin          | u                  | TR               | Homo;Mus;Rattus    |
| NF-κB             | Junctin          | u                  | TR               | Homo;Mus;Rattus    |
| NF-κB             | STAT5A           | u                  | TR               | Homo;Mus;Rattus    |
| NF-κB             | IL-6             | +                  | TR               | Homo;Mus;Rattus    |
| NF-κB             | SMAD3            | +                  | B                | Homo;Mus;Rattus    |
| NF-κB             | c-Fos            | -                  | B                | Homo;Mus;Rattus    |
| NF-κB1 (p50)      | c-Myb            | +                  | TR               | Homo;Mus;Rattus    |
| NF-κB1 (p50)      | JunB             | u                  | TR               | Homo;Mus;Rattus    |
| NF-κB1 (p50)      | IL-6             | +                  | TR               | Homo;Mus;Rattus    |
| NF-κB1 (p50)      | FosB             | u                  | TR               | Homo;Mus;Rattus    |
| NF-κB1 (p50)      | c-Jun            | -                  | B                | Homo;Mus;Rattus    |
| Oct1              | JunB             | u                  | TR               | Homo;Mus;Rattus    |
| Oct1              | IL-6             | u                  | TR               | Homo;Mus;Rattus    |
| Oct1              | FosB             | u                  | TR               | Homo;Mus;Rattus    |
| Oct1              | STAT4            | u                  | TR               | Homo;Mus;Rattus    |
| Oct1              | LTBP3            | u                  | TR               | Homo;Mus;Rattus    |
| Oct1              | HNF1-β           | u                  | TR               | Homo;Mus;Rattus    |
| Oct1              | HNF1-α           | +                  | B                | Homo;Mus;Rattus    |
| p53               | RNF10            | u                  | TR               | Homo;Mus;Rattus    |

(Continued)
expression was markedly increased 1 and 3 d after a peripheral nerve injury in ns mice (Fig. 3A-F, black circles).

These data indicate that stress increases neuronal expression of a subset of RAGs, but that these effects are delayed and are orders of magnitude lower than the effects of a peripheral nerve injury. Thus, GR-dependent induction of canonical RAGs alone is unlikely to explain the consistent and substantial increase in neurite growth caused by stress (Fig. 2). In fact, based on timing and the relative magnitude of changes in RAG expression, stress/GCs and nerve injury appear to regulate neurite growth via independent transcriptional mechanisms.

To test this hypothesis, acute stress was applied for 1 h before a sciatic nerve crush. One or three days later, DRGs were dissected and gene expression was analyzed using qPCR. Using this approach, gene expression changes caused by stress and injury will occur together and interact in DRG neurons in vivo. Remarkably, restraint stress (1 h) before nerve injury caused a partial or complete block of the injury-induced increase in RAG expression (Fig. 3A-F, gray circles). Only nerve injury-induced changes in Jun expression were unaffected by stress (Fig. 3F). When compared to the effects of injury alone, stress significantly reduced the expression of most injury-induced RAGs; Atf3, Ankrd1, and Il6 levels were significantly reduced in DRG neurons from stressed mice as compared to injury only mice (Fig. 3A,B,D, compare gray circles with black circles).

The effects of stress on reducing nerve injury-induced gene expression were most prominent for Atf3, with near complete inhibition of Atf3 upregulation at 1 dpi (Fig. 3A). To determine if reduced Atf3 expression was matched with a reduction in ATF3 protein, anti-ATF3 antibodies were used to label sections of DRG (Fig. 4). No ATF3 was detected in axons or neuronal somata from uninjured ns mice (Fig. 4A-D). As expected, nerve injury increased

| Interaction from | Interaction to | Interaction effect | Interaction type | Organisms |
|------------------|---------------|-------------------|-----------------|-----------|
| p53              | STAT4         | u                 | TR              | Homo;Mus;Rattus |
| p53              | b-Myb         |                   | B               | Homo;Mus;Rattus |
| p53              | TBP           |                   | TR              | Homo;Mus;Rattus |
| p53              | A-Myb         | u                 | TR              | Homo;Mus;Rattus |
| p53              | c-Myb         |                   | B               | Homo;Mus;Rattus |
| p53              | Large T antigen (SV40) | + | B | Homo;Mus;Rattus |
| p53              | STAT5A        | -                 | Unspecified     | Homo;Mus;Rattus |
| p53              | c-Fos         | u                 | TR              | Homo;Mus;Rattus |
| p53              | IL-6          | -                 | TR              | Homo;Mus;Rattus |
| p73              | ZNF143        | +                 | TR              | Homo;Mus;Rattus |
| p73              | STAT1         | +                 | TR              | Homo;Mus;Rattus |
| PIT1             | c-Fos         | +                 | TR              | Homo;Mus;Rattus |
| PPAR-γ           | SMAD3         |                   | B               | Homo;Mus;Rattus |
| RelA (p65 NF-κB subunit) | STAT6 | u | TR | Homo;Mus;Rattus |
| RelA (p65 NF-κB subunit) | RhoA | + | TR | Homo;Mus;Rattus |
| RelA (p65 NF-κB subunit) | JunB | + | TR | Homo;Mus;Rattus |
| RelA (p65 NF-κB subunit) | STAT5A | + | TR | Homo;Mus;Rattus |
| RelA (p65 NF-κB subunit) | FoxB | + | TR | Homo;Mus;Rattus |
| RelA (p65 NF-κB subunit) | IL-6 | + | TR | Homo;Mus;Rattus |
| RelA (p65 NF-κB subunit) | ZNF143 | u | TR | Homo;Mus;Rattus |
| SMAD3            | c-Jun         | +                 | TR              | Homo;Mus;Rattus |
| SMAD3            | JunB          | +                 | TR              | Homo;Mus;Rattus |
| SMAD3            | c-Jun/c-Fos   | +                 | B               | Homo;Mus;Rattus |
| STAT3            | ZNF148        |                   | TR              | Homo;Mus;Rattus |
| STAT3            | STAT1         | u                 | TR              | Homo;Mus;Rattus |
| STAT3            | IL-6          | +                 | TR              | Homo;Mus;Rattus |
| STAT3            | JunB          | +                 | TR              | Homo;Mus;Rattus |
| STAT3            | LTBP3         | +                 | TR              | Homo;Mus;Rattus |
| STAT3            | STAT2         | u                 | TR              | Homo;Mus;Rattus |
| STAT3            | c-Fos         | +                 | TR              | Homo;Mus;Rattus |
| STAT5            | c-Fos         | +                 | TR              | Homo;Mus;Rattus |
| STAT5            | STAT1         |                   | C               | Homo;Mus;Rattus |
| STAT5A           | c-Fos         |                   | TR              | Homo;Mus;Rattus |
| STAT5B           | STAT1         |                   | C               | Homo;Mus;Rattus |
| STAT6            | STAT1         |                   | C               | Homo;Mus;Rattus |
| STAT6            | RhoA          |                   | TR              | Homo;Mus;Rattus |
| T-bet            | STAT1         | +                 | TR              | Homo;Mus;Rattus |
| T-bet            | SETBP1        | +                 | TR              | Homo;Mus;Rattus |

The effect of the interaction on target gene expression is shown in column C, and the type of interaction is in column D. The organism this interaction was reported in is listed in column E. + indicates activation; - indicates inhibition; u indicates unspecified interaction. TR indicates transcription regulation; B indicates binding; C indicates competition. Homo: *Homo sapiens*, Mus: *Mus musculus*; Rattus: *Rattus norvegicus*.
somal ATF3 at 1 dpi (Fig. 4E,F). In contrast, minimal ATF3 was evident in DRG tissue sections from injured mice that had been stressed before nerve injury (Fig. 4G,H, arrowheads).

Together, data in Figures 2-4 indicate that stress increases neurite growth in a GC-GR-dependent manner; however, these effects occur without inducing the expression of canonical RAGs. In fact, stress blocks or suppresses the expression of RAGs that are normally increased by nerve injury.

Table 3. GR (Nr3c1) TF binding sites predicted by the JASPAR database (Wasserman and Sandelin, 2004)

| Gene      | Chromosome | Strand | Number of sites | Relative score | Position in promoter | Predicted binding site sequence |
|-----------|------------|--------|-----------------|----------------|----------------------|--------------------------------|
| Ankrd1    | 19         | -1     | 2               | 0.8            | 723                  | CAAAGCACAAGCTCCAC         |
|           |            |        |                 | 0.82           | 738                  | AGAAAAAGCGAGCCCAA         |
| Atf3      | 1          | -3     | 3               | 0.75           | 731                  | GAGGCCAGTTTCTCCAG         |
|           |            |        |                 | 0.75           | 1022                 | AAAAAAGTGTGTTGGTG         |
|           |            |        |                 | 0.83           | 1163                 | GAGATCAAGCTCCTCCT         |
| Cebp-α    | 7          | +3     | 3               | 0.77           | 216                  | AAAAAAGAGTTTTCCAC         |
|           |            |        |                 | 0.75           | 336                  | GGGACCCCTGATTCTAG         |
|           |            |        |                 | 0.75           | 412                  | GAGAAAAAGCGCACAAT         |
| Cebp-β    | 2          | +0     | 0               | 0.75           | 102                  | GGACAGATGATTTCTTG         |
| Cebp-δ    | 16         | +2     | 2               | 0.75           | 348                  | AGAAAACGCAAGTGCTA         |
| Gap43     | 16         | -3     | 3               | 0.76           | 29                   | AAAAAAGATTTTTTTT          |
|           |            |        |                 | 0.75           | 381                  | AGAGAGAGATGTGGAGTG         |
|           |            |        |                 | 0.75           | 1209                 | AAAAGAATACACACT           |
| Hif1α     | 12         | +3     | 3               | 0.75           | 672                  | CAGTTCCCTCATGTCGTTG       |
|           |            |        |                 | 0.77           | 758                  | AAAATCATATAAGTAAAT         |
|           |            |        |                 | 0.76           | 879                  | AAAAAAAATCTACGTG          |
| Hspbp1(Hsp27) | 9    | +2     | 2               | 0.75           | 600                  | CAAAACACTCACTCCAGA        |
| IL-6      | 5          | +1     | 3               | 0.84           | 352                  | AAAGAAACCTGGTGCTGA         |
| Jun       | 4          | -5     | 5               | 0.75           | 331                  | GTGACATATGGGCTAT          |
|           |            |        |                 | 0.79           | 419                  | AGAGAGAGATGTGGAGTG         |
|           |            |        |                 | 0.79           | 841                  | AGATCCATGACGCTTTT          |
|           |            |        |                 | 0.77           | 1003                 | CGAAACTAAACTCCAA          |
|           |            |        |                 | 0.77           | 1101                 | GAGATAAAGGTTGGTG          |
| NFκBia    | 12         | -1     | 6               | 0.77           | 131                  | AGGCAGAACGAGGCTTTT        |
| p21(Cdkn1a) | 17    | +6     | 6               | 0.77           | 184                  | GAAATCATGCGTGCTTG         |
|           |            |        |                 | 0.83           | 233                  | GGGGAAAAATCTCCAGA         |
|           |            |        |                 | 0.77           | 616                  | AGGCCAAGACCTGCCCAA        |
|           |            |        |                 | 0.81           | 685                  | GAAAGAGTGTGCTCTC          |
|           |            |        |                 | 0.75           | 740                  | CAGCTCAACTGACTG          |
| p53       | 11         | +1     | 3               | 0.85           | 403                  | GAAAACAGCTTTACAGA         |
| Sgk1      | 10         | +3     | 3               | 0.76           | 579                  | GAGGCCATCAGCTGCTC         |
|           |            |        |                 | 0.77           | 605                  | AGGCCACATTATTTTATT         |
|           |            |        |                 | 0.75           | 766                  | AAGAAAAACCTTCCAA          |
| Sprr1a    | 3          | -2     | 0               | 0.79           | 987                  | GGAATGCGAAGTACTGT          |
| Stat3     | 11         | -2     | 2               | 0.76           | 1089                 | GGAACAGAATGGTGCAA        |

For each gene, the promoter was defined as -1000 and +300 bp from the transcription start site.

markedly increased the ratio of nuclear to cytoplasmic GR intensity. These data indicate that stress promotes nuclear translocation of GR, and supports the notion that axon growth programs are affected by GR-dependent regulation of gene transcription.

Because phenotypically and functionally distinct classes of sensory neurons exist within DRGs (Usoskin et al., 2015; Li et al., 2015), stress or GCs may not uniformly affect nuclear translocation of GRs in these varied DRG neuron subtypes. To test this hypothesis, the mean GR signal intensities in Figure 5C were binned as a function of neuron diameter [e.g., small (10-26 &micro;m), medium (27-42 &micro;m), or large (43-58 &micro;m)], a classification scheme that roughly correlates with division of DRG subtype by Trk family receptor expression (i.e., TrkA are small, TrkB are medium, TrkC are large diameter; McMahon et al., 1994; Usoskin et al., 2015). As shown in Figure 5F, GR nuclear intensity increased across all neuronal size classes, suggesting that GC/GR-dependent changes in gene expression affect all sensory neurons.

Stress increases nuclear translocation of the GR

A stress-induced change in gene transcription should be associated with translocation of GR from the cytoplasm to the nucleus. To determine if this occurs in stressed DRG neurons, mice were subjected to acute stress for 1 h then 1 d later, DRGs were removed and stained with antibodies that label GR (Fig. 5A-E). In naive mice, GR labeling intensity was low (blue coloring; also see Materials and Methods; Fig. 5A-D). In contrast, stress
Predicted transcriptional regulation of RAGs by the GR

To identify genes in sensory neurons that are directly activated or repressed by GRs, we first used GeneGo to analyze a list of 400 genes that had already been identified in injured DRG neurons (Seijffers et al., 2007; Stam et al., 2007; Hajji et al., 2008; Wu et al., 2008; Moore et al., 2009; Michaelevski et al., 2010). Using this approach, 28 transcription factors (TFs) were identified whose expression is directly increased or decreased by GR transcriptional activity or binding (Table 1). Next, we evaluated the list of 400 genes to identify genes regulated by these TFs. This approach identified an additional 100 genes (Table 2). In addition to known targets, several potentially novel GR targets were identified by scanning the putative promoter regions (-1000 bp, +300 bp from the transcription start site) of genes for predicted GR-response elements (GREs), i.e., short sequences bound by GRs (http://jaspar.genereg.net). GREs were identified in the promoters of several TFs and RAGs expressed in DRG neurons (Table 3), suggesting that GRs can exert a surprisingly large and diverse effect on the transcriptional machinery that controls sensory neuron growth and function.

To validate the in silico analysis above, qPCR was used to quantify a subset of genes/TFs in DRG neurons from control (ns) or stressed mice. Expression of Tsc22d3 (also known as Gilz), a stress-induced gene with GREs in its promoter region, was analyzed as a positive control gene (Fig. 6A; Riccardi et al., 2000). Stress increased the expression of Tsc22d3 and also Sgk1, Cebpα, and Cebpβ (Fig. 6A-D). Other RAGs, many of which have been described as potent transcriptional regulators of axon regeneration including Hspb2 (also known as Hsp27), Il-6, Hif1α, Tp53 (also known as p53), and Stat3, were also affected by stress, although gene expression changes were highly variable and were not significantly different from controls (Fig. 6E-I).

Figure 6. Stress and GRs activate Cebp TF expression. A-D, Stress (1 h) increases Tsc22d3 (A), Sgk1 (B), Cebpα (C), and Cebpβ (D) expression in DRG. Hspb2 (E), Il6 (F), Hif1α (G), Tp53 (H), and Stat3 (I) expression were unaffected; N = 3. J-L, caGR increased luciferase expression from the Cebpα (J), Cebpβ (K), and Cebpδ (L) promoter; N = 8 replicates for each condition per experiment; N = 3 experiments. ***p < 0.0005, one-way ANOVA followed by Dunnett’s multiple comparison test with the promoter only as the control. Mean and SEM are shown.
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Figure 7. Stress enhances regeneration of injured sensory axons in vivo. A, B. Representative longitudinal sections of sciatic nerves from mice that received a crush injury alone or 1 h of restraint followed by crush injury. Nerves were immunostained with SCG10. Arrow indicates crush site. Scale bar, 500 µm. C. Stress increased SCG10⁺ intensity distal to the crush site by 3 dpi. Results are expressed as mean ± SEM. ***p < 0.001, ****p < 0.0001 for two-way ANOVA for distance and condition; N = 3 per group, four sections per animal. D, Stress increases the regeneration index. Results are expressed as mean ± SD. *p < 0.01, unpaired t test. E-I, Representative images of cleared intact sciatic nerve distal to the crush site in ns (E) and stressed (F) mice. The plane through the nerve (black box with white outline) and the white dots show the results of the Spots algorithm (Bitplane) used for axon quantification. Scale bar, 70 µm. G, H, A single cross section view through the intact sciatic nerve rendered in black and white for easy viewing (left panel; axons: ax). Green dots indicate axon cross sections and show the outcome of the spots algorithm (sp). I, Summary
statistics for the experiment are shown in a box plot for the average number of axons measured every 0.5 mm distal to the crush site in the sciatic nerve in ns and stressed mice. Average, 33 ns versus 64 stressed, ***p < 0.0001; minimum, 15 ns versus 34 stressed; median, 30 ns versus 63 stressed; maximum, 68 ns versus 89 stressed; N = 3 per group.

To show that GC-GR interactions could play a role in inducing expression of these genes, we tested the ability of caGR to drive luciferase expression from the promoters of Cebpα, Cebpβ, Cebpδ, Stat3, Hif1α, Sgk1, Hspb2, Il-6, and Tp53. Luciferase activity was not changed when Hif1α, Sgk1, Hspb2, or Tp53 were coexpressed with caGR and inconsistent luciferase activity was observed for Stat3 and Il-6 (data not shown). In contrast, transfection with caGR consistently increased luciferase activity from the promoters of Cebpα, Cebpβ, and Cebpδ (Fig. 6J–L). These data indicate that GR can bind to the promoters of Cebpα and Cebpδ (Table 3), presumably through the predicted GREs, and that GRs can activate transcription of these genes.

Stress increases peripheral nerve axon regeneration

The experiments above indicate that stress increases sensory axon growth via GC-GR-dependent mechanisms. To determine if stress/GCs cause similar effects on axon growth in vivo, peripheral nerve regeneration was evaluated in ns and stressed mice that received a sciatic nerve crush. Sections of injured sciatic nerves (3 dpi) from stressed or ns mice were labeled with SCG10, a protein that is preferentially expressed in regenerating sensory axons (Shin et al., 2014). SCG10 intensity was significantly higher compared to ns mice (Fig. 7A–C). Also, the axon regeneration index, the point along the nerve at which the SCG10 labeling was half the intensity found at the crush site (Abe et al., 2010), was increased by stress (Fig. 7D).

To eliminate any variability or unintended sampling bias that might be associated with immunostaining or tissue cutting/processing, we also evaluated axon regeneration using unbiased 3D microscopy. Thy1-GFP-M transgenic mice in which ~10% of neurons, including DRG neurons, express GFP (Feng et al., 2000) were divided into stress or no-stress groups; all mice then received a sciatic nerve crush. Whole sciatic nerves were collected and cleared (3DISCO; Ertürk et al., 2012) and all axons were quantified using fluorescence light sheet microscopy and Imaris 3D Visualization Software (Bitplane, Oxford Instruments; Fig. 7E,F; Movies 1, 2; Ertürk et al., 2012; Renier et al., 2014). Axon profiles in the distal nerve segment were quantified at 14 dpi, a time when injured axons and myelin debris are normally cleared from the injury site (Vargas et al., 2010). This provides a “clean” background for assessing total numbers of regenerating axons. Using this approach, we found that stress increased the overall number of GFP + axons ~2-fold throughout the 5-cm distance examined distal to the crush site when compared with injured nerves from ns mice (Fig. 7G–I). Together, these data show that acute stress augments regenerative growth of injured peripheral nerves in vivo.

**Discussion**

Novel data in this report show that stress and GCs enhance the sprouting and regenerative growth of adult sensory axons, in vitro and in vivo. Using in silico modeling strategies, we identified several TFs and RAGs with GC-response elements and show that some of these genes are regulated by stress via GR-dependent mechanisms.

In the brain, stress and GCs enhance structural plasticity (e.g., dendrite growth/retraction), neurogenesis, memory, and neuronal excitability (Imperato et al., 1991; Kaufer et al., 1998; Kirby et al., 2013). Because hippocampal neurons express high basal levels of GRs, they are thought to be uniquely responsive to circulating GCs (Fuxe et al., 1985; Reul and De Kloet, 1985). However, our data indicate that sensory neurons also express high GR levels, more so than hippocampal neurons, and are exquisitely sensitive to stress and circulating GCs.

The axon growth promoting effects of stress and GCs are reminiscent of the effects of injuring the peripheral branch of DRG sensory neurons (McQuarrie and Graf-
stein, 1973; Lasek et al., 1984; Smith and Skene, 1997). These “conditioning” lesions promote axon growth in part by activating developmental growth programs and transcription of RAGs (McQuarrie and Grafstein, 1973; Bonilla et al., 2002; Qiu et al., 2005). Because GRs are TFs that are activated by stress (or circulating GCs), we hypothesized that they would similarly enhance RAG expression, providing an explanation for the ability of stress/GCs to augment sensory axon growth in vitro and in vivo. Instead, we found that although stress enhances axon outgrowth through GC-GR-dependent mechanisms, these effects likely occur rapidly (immediately poststress) and do not involve transcriptional regulation of traditional RAGs. Indeed, stress only modestly increased expression of a subset of RAGs and such changes typically took 1-3 d. Other traditional RAGs (e.g., Jun, Ile6, Atf3) were repressed. GR repression of Ile6 and Atf3 is consistent with previous studies of Ile6 in COS cells and Atf3 in macrophages (Verhoog et al., 2011; Chinenov et al., 2014). Moreover, when paired with a conditioning lesion, stress partially inhibited or delayed the injury-evoked increases in RAG expression. Thus, GRs likely power sensory axon growth by controlling the transcription of genes that are constitutively expressed in vivo by DRG neurons and not traditional RAGs. Using in silico analyses, we identified several candidate genes (Tables 1-3) and demonstrate that the CCAAT/enhancer binding proteins (Cebp) are at least one gene family that is positively regulated by GC-GR binding. Cebps are transcriptional regulators of cellular differentiation, terminal function and responses to inflammation and tissue injury (Polli, 1998; Ménard et al., 2002; Calella et al., 2007). In the nervous system, Cebp expression increases in regenerating facial motor neurons and may control the expression of other genes necessary for axon growth (Nadeau et al., 2005). Loss of Cebp function in mice delays regeneration of injured peripheral nerve (Lopez de Heredia and Magoulas, 2013). Although we did not prove that Cebps are responsible for the axon growth promoting effects of stress, our data do show that Cebp and Cebpb expression are induced by acute stress and that GC-GR interactions drive expression of Cebp-a, -b, and -d. Although these data implicate Cebps as regulators of stress-induced neurite growth, additional studies are needed to unequivocally prove a causal role for these TFs in regulating stress-induced axon plasticity.

The current experiments focused on the effects of GC-GR interactions in adult sensory neurons. Other neurons and glia also express GRs, and in response to injury or stress, GCs undoubtedly affect structure and function in these cells. As an example, after spinal cord injury basal GR expression increases in spinal neurons, but it is not known how GR activation influences neural plasticity (Ferrini et al., 1993; Wang et al., 2004). GR activation in microglia and astrocytes can induce or repress cell cycle, proliferation, and inflammatory processes (Crossin et al., 1997; Hwang et al., 2006; Frank et al., 2010; Carter et al., 2012); and GC-GR interactions influence Schwann cell proliferation (Neuberger et al., 1994), which is required for efficient peripheral nerve regeneration (Hall, 1986). In future studies, conditional targeting of GRs will reveal the range of mechanisms and cell types that underlie stress-enhanced growth and plasticity after nerve injury.

The current data may have broad clinical implications, since stress is ubiquitous and synthetic corticosteroids are widely used as anti-inflammatory agents to treat various diseases in animals and humans. Perhaps most notable are the consequences that the present data could have for the diagnosis and treatment of neuropathic pain. Consider that in animal models of nerve injury or inflammatory pain (Wang et al., 2004; Khasar et al., 2005, 2008; Alexander et al., 2009), stress and GCs exacerbate pain behaviors and these effects are reversed by GR inhibitors. Stress can also elicit or exacerbate pain in human disease (Ashkinazi and Vershinina, 1999; Turner et al., 2002; Greco et al., 2004; Nicholson and Martelli, 2003; DeLeo, 2006). The mechanisms underlying chronic pain are complex and incompletely understood; however, a number of publications indicate that nerve injury causes functional reorganization of injured sensory neurons, with sprouting of sensory axons, mostly nociceptive afferents, into the superficial layers of the spinal cord dorsal horn (Woolf et al., 1992; Okamoto et al., 2001; Ondarza et al., 2003; Hughes et al., 2008; Woodbury et al., 2008; Zhang et al., 2015). Perhaps stress and GCs exacerbate this nerve-injury induced phenomenon of axonal plasticity within the superficial dorsal horn. We also cannot rule out the possibility that stress-induced increases in GCs could enhance pain responses by increasing axonal sprouting (“plasticity”) in other areas of the CNS involved in sensory processing (e.g., nucleus cuneatus and gracilis; Persson et al., 1995; Ma and Bisby, 1998, 2000). Overall, our data highlight the importance of stress and GCs as novel behavioral and circulating modifiers of sensory neuron plasticity and should prompt new discussion about whether the inflammation associated with pain should be treated with steroids.
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