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Abstract—As one of the important new agricultural operators in China, farmers’ professional cooperatives (hereinafter referred to as cooperatives) is an important carrier to increase the income of farmers and promote poverty alleviation. The effect of income increase of cooperatives directly affects the process of poverty alleviation in poor areas. Therefore, based on the survey data of 700 households in poverty-stricken county of Qinba mountain area of Sichuan province, this paper used the propensity score matching method (PSM) to analyze the income increasing effect of cooperatives in poverty-stricken areas and explore the influence of cooperatives on household agricultural net income. It is found that cooperatives in Qinba mountainous area of Sichuan province have a significant role in promoting the increase of farmers’ agricultural net income, and there are differences in the effect of income increase among different income groups. Whether the local farmers have received technical training, education, health status, and distance to the county are all factors that affect the local farmers to join the cooperatives.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Poverty is a social problem that exists and concerns all over the world, improving people's livelihood and gradually realizing common prosperity are regarded as the essential requirements of socialism. As the main battlefield of poverty alleviation, the whole poverty alleviation in this area is the "hard bone" that needs to be chewed in order to build a well-off society in an all-round way. The institutional arrangement of farmers’ professional cooperatives (hereinafter referred to as cooperatives) is naturally beneficial to poverty, and is an ideal carrier to help rural poor people out of poverty under the market economy environment [1]. The effect of promoting agricultural income increase of cooperatives not only directly influences the effect of poverty reduction in poor areas, but also serves as an important indicator and basis to measure the quality of their own development. By the end of April 2019, there have been 2.207 million farmers' cooperatives registered in accordance with the law in China, radiating nearly half of the farmers in the country. At the same time, under the background of high-quality development of agriculture, the academic circles pay more and more attention to the development quality of cooperatives. Does the cooperative have the effect of increasing income? If so, what is the effect of income increase of cooperatives?

It has been pointed out that cooperatives play an important role in promoting farmers' income [2]. Some scholars believe that cooperatives can reduce agricultural production costs by providing various agricultural socialized services to farmers, enhance farmers’ market discourse power [3], promote the rational transfer of rural surplus labor force [4], and improve farmers’ self-development ability [5], so as to increase farmers' operating income, wage income and property income of households [6].

There are still some scholars who say that cooperatives do not necessarily increase the income of their members. Some problems restrict the effect of cooperatives in helping farmers increase their income, such as the irregular development of cooperatives [7], the unreasonable distribution of interests [8], and the lack of close relationship between agricultural cooperatives [9]. So, in poor areas with weak economic foundation and poor geographical location, what is the effect of cooperatives on Farmers’ income?

However, the current research on cooperatives in poverty-stricken areas mainly focuses on the problems existing in cooperatives [10], cooperative poverty alleviation model [11], farmers’ willingness to participate in cooperatives [12] and behavior mechanism [13]. A few literatures have discussed the role of cooperatives in poverty
reduction and income increase in poverty-stricken areas [14], but mainly used qualitative analysis methods [15].

It is not difficult to find that there is a lack of research focusing on the effect of income increase of cooperatives in such special areas as poverty-stricken areas, and qualitative analysis is often used, while empirical analysis based on micro survey data is rare. Therefore, this paper takes Qinba mountain area of Sichuan province as an example to analyze the effect of income increase of cooperatives in poor areas.

II. RESEARCH METHODS

A. Data Sources

The data used by this research institute comes from the field survey conducted by the research group in Qinba mountain area of Sichuan province in July 2016. The sample counties are selected by random sampling method. The cooperative members and non-members are distributed in 13 poor counties of 4 cities in Qinba mountain area of Sichuan province, which are well representative in the poor areas of Qinba mountain area of Sichuan province. The survey takes the form of one-to-one interview. The content of the questionnaire involves the personal characteristics and family characteristics of farmers.

B. Variable Selection

On the basis of previous studies, combined with the actual research situation, this paper, based on the research of scholars, comprehensively considers that the net income of farmers' family agriculture is selected as the outcome variable, and the gender, age, whether they have received technical training, degree of education, health status, number of family labor force and the distance from home to the county seat are selected as the matching variables. The net income of households is a group variable.

C. Model Setting

As a rational economic person, when considering whether to join the cooperative or not, the farmers have considered the influence of many factors, and finally formed the choice of maximizing their own interests. However, the factors that affect whether farmers choose to become members of cooperatives may also affect farmers' agricultural income, which is, whether to join cooperatives is the result of farmers' self-selection. In the existing studies, the simple comparison between the income of members and non-members are distributed in 13 poor counties of 4 cities in Qinba mountain area of Sichuan province, which are well representative in the poor areas of Qinba mountain area of Sichuan province. The survey takes the form of one-to-one interview. The content of the questionnaire involves the personal characteristics and family characteristics of farmers.

In order to analyze the impact of joining cooperatives in poverty-stricken areas on the net agricultural income of farmers, it is necessary to observe the income difference of the same farmers in the two states of joining cooperatives and not joining cooperatives. But this kind of income difference can't be directly observed, because the identity of farmers at the same time can only be members or non-members. PSM can find the non-members who are similar to the members' characteristics as much as possible to observe the members' family agricultural net income in the counterfactual state (non-members). However, it is worth noting that under the condition of non-experimental intervention, farmers' decision to join cooperatives in poverty-stricken areas is not random, so the problem of selection bias should also be considered.

The basic idea of PSM is to find the treated and the untreated that have the same factors that affect the household agricultural net income except for joining the cooperative. By comparing the mean value of the family agricultural net income of the treated and the untreated, the net effect of joining the cooperative is obtained. In view of the difficulty of one-to-one matching according to multi-dimensional criteria, this paper uses logit model to calculate the propensity score to reduce the dimension, and uses different matching methods to find the samples with the closest propensity score for matching. The propensity score is the conditional probability of farmers i joining cooperatives in poverty-stricken areas when the sample characteristic Xi is given, as follows:

$$P(X_i) = E(D_i = 1|X_i) = E[D_i|X_i]$$

(1)

In equation (1), when Di is taken as 1, it is the processing group (member group), when Di is taken as 0, it is the untreated (non member group), and Xi represents the observable characteristics of members (matching variables). After matching according to the tendency score, the average treatment effect of joining cooperatives on the net agricultural income of farmers can be expressed as follows:

$$ATT = E[Y_{1i} - Y_{0i}|D_i = 1] = E[E[Y_{1i} - Y_{0i}|D_i = 1, P(X_i)]]$$

(2)

$$= E[E[Y_{1i}|D_i = 1, P(X_i)] - E[Y_{0i}|D_i = 0, P(X_i)]|D_i = 1]$$

In equation (2), y1i and y0i represent the net agricultural income level of the ith peasant household in the two cases of joining the cooperative and not joining the cooperative.

At present, the commonly used matching methods include k-nearest neighbor matching, radius matching, kernel matching and so on. In this paper, the most direct and commonly used matching method, one-to-one matching method in k-nearest-neighbor matching method, is selected to match the samples with the closest tendency score. At the same time, in order to ensure the robustness of the results, radius matching method and kernel matching method are selected to verify the results.
III. EMPIRICAL RESULTS AND ANALYSIS

A. Logit Model Estimation

"Table I" Logit estimates show that farmers who have received technical training are more inclined to increase their own income in agricultural or non-agricultural fields by their own technology and technology, and are less inclined to join cooperatives. The more educated farmers are, the more they tend to accept cooperatives and join them. The better the health status is, the more willing the farmers are to join the cooperative. The farther away from the county, the more dependent farmers are on cooperatives, the more inclined they are to join cooperatives. Gender, age and family labor force have no significant influence on whether the farmers join the cooperative or not.

B. Sample Matching Effect

In this paper, one-to-one matching method of k-nearest-neighbor matching is used to match the matching variables. In order to investigate the scientificity of the matching results, the balance hypothesis test is carried out. The test results show the error changes of samples before and after matching. Compared with the results before matching, the standard deviation of most variables has been reduced to varying degrees, and the absolute value of the standard deviation after matching is less than 10%. The minimum reduction of the standard deviation of gender is 34.2%, and the maximum reduction of health status is 100%. It can be seen that the sample difference between the treated and the untreated is partially eliminated, and the matching effect is satisfactory and acceptable. (See "Table II")

C. Effect of Increasing Income

Under the k nearest neighbor matching one-to-one matching method, the average processing effect of farmers joining cooperatives in Qinba mountain area of Sichuan province is positive, and it has passed the significance test with 99% confidence. That is to say, in the case of keeping other control variables similar to individuals, the net income of household agriculture of farmers increased by 22,880 yuan because of joining cooperatives. Under the methods of radius matching and kernel matching, the treatment effect of joining cooperatives on the agricultural net income of peasant households is 24,460 yuan and 24,340 yuan respectively, which are significant at the level of 1%. The results of the three matching methods are consistent and robust. The estimated results confirm that cooperatives in poor areas have a significant role in promoting the increase of farmers' net agricultural income, which is consistent with the conclusions of Zhang Jinhua [16] and other scholars. (See "Table III")

---

### TABLE I. LOGIT RESULTS ABOUT PROPENSITY SCORE MATCHING

| Variables      | Coefficients | Standard error |
|----------------|--------------|----------------|
| Gender         | 0.150        | 0.250          |
| Age            | 0.009        | 0.008          |
| Technical training | 3.531***     | 0.474          |
| Education      | 0.098***     | 0.031          |
| Health         | 0.344***     | 0.088          |
| Household labor force | 0.020        | 0.069          |
| Distance to county | 0.011**      | 0.005          |
| Cons           | 0.647        | 0.807          |

*(**, *** represent statistical significance at the 5%, and 1% levels, respectively.)*

### TABLE II. RESULTS OF BALANCE HYPOTHESIS TEST

| Variables              | mean | % reduc | t   | p > | t |
|-----------------------|------|---------|-----|-----|---|
| Gender                |      |         |     |     |   |
| Unmatched             | 0.866| 0.828   | 10.4| 1.36| 0.173|
| Matched               | 0.835| 0.831   | 6.8 | 0.91| 0.361|
| Age                   |      |         |     |     |   |
| Unmatched             | 54.633| 55.787 | 9.7 | 1.28| 0.201|
| Matched               | 55.112| 56.322 | 10.2| 1.24| 0.214|
| Technical Training    |      |         |     |     |   |
| Unmatched             | 0.712| 0.983   | 81.2| 9.90***| 0.000|
| Matched               | 0.795| 0.795   | 0.1 | 0.01| 0.993|
| Education             |      |         |     |     |   |
| Unmatched             | 7.095| 6.351   | 22.9| 2.99***| 0.003|
| Matched               | 6.962| 7.090   | 4.0 | 0.47| 0.640|
| Health                |      |         |     |     |   |
| Unmatched             | 3.731| 3.443   | 26.8| 3.51| 0.000|
| Matched               | 3.667| 3.667   | 0.0 | 100.0| 0.000|
| Household labor force |      |         |     |     |   |
| Unmatched             | 2.804| 2.732   | 5.7 | 0.74| 0.459|
| Matched               | 2.806| 2.506   | 39.1| 4.72***| 0.000|
| Distance to county    |      |         |     |     |   |
| Unmatched             | 26.356| 24.034 | 14.6| 1.91***| 0.056|
| Matched               | 25.940| 26.135 | 1.2 | 0.16| 0.871|

*(**, *** represent statistical significance at the 5%, and 1% levels, respectively.)*
only controls the impact of observable variables on the net income of farmers' households in poverty-stricken areas. If there is a choice based on unobservable variables, it will still bring “hidden deviation”, which makes the unobservable heterogeneity in the regression equation caused by the error term become non-random. Therefore, in order to further analyze the influence of recessive bias on the above estimation results, the author uses stata14.0 software and wilcoxon signed rank test method to analyze the sensitivity of the above estimation results. It can be seen from “Table IV” that when the gamma coefficient increases to 1.6, the existing conclusion is not significant at the level of 0.05. It can be determined that the unobservable factors may exist, but the estimated processing effect is not very sensitive to these unobservable factors. Therefore, the unobservable variables have no big deviation to the PSM estimation results, so this study can't further analyze the hidden deviation.

**D. Sensitivity Analysis**

Although PSM model can reduce the deviation of observable variables to a great extent, the above analysis

**TABLE III. ESTIMATED RESULTS OF THREE MATCHING METHODS**

| Matching method | Treatment effect | Mean (ten thousand yuan) | Difference (ten thousand yuan) | S.E. | t-stat |
|-----------------|------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------------|------|--------|
| K nearest neighbor matching | ATT | 3.168 | 0.880 | 2.288 | 0.497 | 2.720*** |
| Radius matching | ATT | 3.193 | 0.747 | 2.446 | 0.498 | 3.800*** |
| Kernel matching | ATT | 3.168 | 0.734 | 2.434 | 0.503 | 3.820*** |

a. *** represent statistical significance at the 1% levels, respectively.

b. The standard error of ATT in the table is the result of repeated sampling (Bootstrap) for 500 times.

**E. Income Difference of Different Income Groups**

In order to further test the effect of cooperatives on different income farmers in poverty-stricken areas, this paper divides the sample farmers into low-income group and high-income group according to household agricultural net income. Under the k nearest neighbor matching one-to-one matching method, the average agricultural net income of farmers with higher family net income is higher no matter whether they join the cooperative or not; from the perspective of income growth, the increase of agricultural net

**TABLE IV. RESULTS OF SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS**

| Gamma | Sig - | Sig + |
|-------|-------|-------|
| 1.0   | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| 1.1   | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| 1.2   | 0.000 | 0.002 |
| 1.3   | 0.000 | 0.010 |
| 1.4   | 0.000 | 0.038 |
| 1.5   | 0.000 | 0.104 |
| 1.6   | 0.000 | 0.000 |

a. Gamma represents the logarithmic occurrence ratio of different arrangements caused by uncontrolled factors;

b. Sig - represents the lower bound of significance level, while sig+ represents the upper bound of significance level.

The above grouping results (“Table V”) show that although some farmers have achieved income growth by joining cooperatives, there are differences in the effect of income growth among farmers of different income levels. It is found that cooperatives in this area are mainly established by "rural elites” with certain economic strength. For different reasons, such farmers usually invest more capital, land and other production factors in cooperatives. Under such circumstances, this part of high input farmers gets higher factor returns and higher decision-making rights in cooperatives. Even as Lin Jian and Huang Shengzhong [17] pointed out, owning the main residual control rights and

**TABLE V. RESULTS OF K NEAREST NEIGHBOR MATCHING ESTIMATION FOR DIFFERENT INCOME GROUPS**

| Groups | Treatment effect | Mean (ten thousand yuan) | Difference (ten thousand yuan) | S.E. | t-stat |
|--------|------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------------|------|--------|
| Low income | Unmatched | 1.079 | 0.441 | 0.638 | 0.161 | 3.970*** |
| ATT | 1.111 | 0.424 | 0.687 | 0.163 | 3.540 |
| High income | Unmatched | 7.102 | 2.292 | 4.810 | 1.653 | 2.910*** |
| ATT | 7.451 | 3.019 | 4.432 | 1.771 | 2.700*** |

a. *** represent statistical significance at the 1% levels, respectively.

b. The standard error of ATT in the table is the result of repeated sampling (Bootstrap) for 500 times.

c. This is the estimation result based on k-nearest neighbor matching, and the estimation result using other two matching methods is relatively close to it.
residual claim rights of cooperatives makes it difficult for low input low-income farmers to protect their interests in cooperatives. This is also one of the main reasons for the difference in the effect of cooperatives on the income of farmers with different income levels.

IV. CONCLUSION
As an important organization carrier of industrial poverty alleviation, the effect of income increase of cooperatives directly affects the process of poverty alleviation campaign in poor areas. In order to evaluate the effect of increasing income of cooperatives in poverty-stricken areas of Sichuan Province, this paper uses PSM to analyze the effect of increasing income of cooperatives in poverty-stricken areas of Qinba mountain area of Sichuan province. It is found that in poor areas where the economy is relatively backward and the natural environment is more complex, joining cooperatives can significantly promote the increase of agricultural net income of farmers; however, for different income groups of farmers, there are differences in the effect of increasing income. The increase of low-income group is more obvious, while the absolute value of the increase of high-income group is greater; the farmers who have not received technical training are more inclined to join the cooperatives, the higher the education level, the better the health status, and the farther away from the county, the farmers are more willing to join the cooperatives.

Therefore, it is necessary to increase the support for education and medical care in poor areas. The government should improve the comprehensive quality of farmers in poverty-stricken areas from education, health and other aspects, enhance the awareness and ability of farmers in poverty-stricken areas to participate in cooperatives, so as to improve the probability of farmers in poverty-stricken areas to join cooperatives. At the same time, we should fully protect the legitimate interests of low-income farmers, especially the poor ones, and give full play to the role of cooperatives in promoting agricultural income in poor areas.
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