Nature-Inspired Antimicrobial Polymers – Assessment of Their Potential for Biomedical Applications
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Abstract

We explored the potential of poly(oxonorbornene)-based synthetic mimics of antimicrobial peptides (SMAMPs), a promising new class of antimicrobial polymers with cell-selectivity and low resistance development potential, for clinical applications. We evaluated their antimicrobial activity against a panel of seven clinical and regulatory relevant bacteria strains, and tested their toxicity with two different kinds of primary human cells. For the antimicrobial activity, we performed the minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) assay and determined the minimum bactericidal concentration (MBC) according to the NCCLS guidelines. The results revealed specific problems that may occur when testing the antimicrobial activity of amphiphatic cationic polymers, and confirmed the working hypothesis that the more hydrophilic SMAMP polymers in our portfolio were ‘doubly selective’, i.e. they are not only selective for bacteria over mammalian cells, but also for Gram-positive over Gram-negative bacteria. The data also showed that we could improve the broad-band activity of one SMAMP, and in combination with the results from the cell toxicity experiments, identified this polymer as a promising candidate for further in-vitro and in-vivo testing. Transmission electron studies revealed that the cellular envelopes of both E. coli and S. aureus were severely damaged due to SMAMP action on the bacterial membrane, which strengthened the argument that SMAMPS closely resemble antimicrobial peptides. To test cell toxicity, we used the traditional hemolysis assay with human red blood cells, and the novel xCelligence assay with primary human fibroblasts. The data reported here is the first example in which a hemolysis assay is benchmarked against the xCelligence assay. It revealed that the same trends were obtained using these complementary methods. This establishes the xCelligence assay with primary human cells as a useful tool for SMAMP characterization.

Introduction

Hospital-acquired infections with bacteria cause severe healthcare problems, especially with immunocompromised patients. These infections may even be lethal for otherwise healthy individuals when they are caused by multi-resistant bacteria. Unfortunately, resistant strains are prevalent in healthcare facilities and public places in Europe and the USA.[1–3] While resistance rates in MRSA and Enterococcus faecalis currently remain static on a high level, the rates of resistant Escherichia coli and Klebsiella pneumoniae are still increasing. [4] Every year, 25,000 people in Europe die from infections with multi-resistant bacteria. [4] Besides the human suffering, this adds an estimated 900 million Euro per year to the European states’ healthcare budget. [4] Thus, new and efficient antimicrobial substances constitute an immediate need and, in addition to strict hygiene protocols, can help contain hospital-acquired bacterial infections. It is of particular importance that such substances should show significantly lower resistance formation potential than common antibiotics, to avoid yet another vicious circle of resistance formation.

One of nature’s solutions to fend off bacterial intruders are antimicrobial peptides (AMPs). These host-defense peptides have secondary structures that direct their polar, cationic residues to one side of the molecule, while their hydrophobic residues segregate to the opposite side (Fig 1a). The resulting facial amphiphility enables AMPs to attach to negatively charged bacterial membranes with the hydrophilic face, and then insert through that membrane with the hydrophobic face (Fig 1b). [5,6] Mammalian cells, on the other hand, are overall charge neutral, which is why AMPs experience no electrostatic attraction to these cells’ plasma membrane (Fig 1c). [5,6] As a result, AMPs are compatible with the body cells of their parent organism, but active
against bacterial pathogens. [5,6] This is referred to as cell selectivity, and is typically quantified by taking the ratio of the AMP's ability to lyse human erythrocytes (HC50 value, see below), and the AMP's antimicrobial activity (MIC90, see below). Based on these parameters, the frog AMP magainin has a selectivity of 10, that of human AMPs (defensins) is >100. As the AMP-bacteria interaction is unspecific compared to the very specific cell targets of antibiotics, bacteria are less prone to develop resistance to AMPs. While AMPs have been around for hundreds of thousands of years, the amount of AMP resistance observed is negligible compared to the high resistance rates in clinically used antibiotics. [5,11].

We and others recently showed that we can teach synthetic polymers to behave like AMPs. This was achieved by carefully designing the distribution of the chemical functional groups on the polymer backbone, so that the polymers were also facially amphiphilic. [7–13] It was further demonstrated that such polymer-based synthetic mimics of antimicrobial peptides (SMAMPs) also target the bacterial membrane, most likely by a mechanism similar to AMPs. [8,14,15] Conventional antimicrobial polymers, which have already been reported decades ago, are usually biocidal - they cannot differentiate between bacteria and host cells. Polymer SMAMPs, on the other hand, have been shown to be as cell selective as AMPs. [7,10] It was also demonstrated that the potential of SMAMPs to cause bacterial resistance is low. For example, E. coli bacteria which had been 21 times exposed to sublethal doses of poly(methacrylate)-based SMAMPs did not develop resistance, while the minimum inhibitory concentration of the antibiotics Ciprofloxacin and Norfloxacin against these bacteria increased by a factor of 250–500 in the same experimental set-up. [16] The limiting factor that so far prevented bringing AMPs into applications was not only their propensity to undergo enzymatic degeneration, but also their limited availability. SMAMPs do not have these drawbacks. Thus, the combined properties of excellent antimicrobial activity, cell selectivity, low resistance formation potential and easy availability make SMAMPs ideal candidates for biomedical applications.

We have recently developed a facile synthetic platform ('molecular construction kit') to obtain poly(oxonorbornene)-based SMAMPs (Fig. 2a). [7] These SMAMPs had excellent AMP-like antimicrobial properties, which could be tuned over two orders of magnitude. [7] The advantage of poly(oxonorbornene) SMAMPs over other polymer families is their precisely defined local amphiphilicity on the repeat unit level, which closely mimics the AMP structure and allows accurate fine tuning of the antimicrobial activity and cell selectivity. [7] Additionally, the molecular structure of their backbone allows further chemical functionalization, for example covalent attachment to a surface, and intermolecular cross-linking. [17] This is relevant for the fabrication of biomedical materials such as polymer-coated catheters or implants.

The focus of our previous studies on poly(oxonorbornene) SMAMPs was on discovering and understanding the structural parameters that control antimicrobial activity and biocompatibility, which we tested by determining the minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) of the SMAMPs against laboratory strains of E. coli and S. aureus, and the SMAMP concentration that caused 50% hemolysis in red blood cells (HC50). [7–9] In this study, we explore the potential of these SMAMPs for clinical applications. We had two aims in mind. First, as our most cell-selective SMAMPs were so far only active against Gram-negative strains, [7,8] we wanted to synthesize SMAMPs with improved antimicrobial broad-band activity. Secondly, to be able to assess their potential for biomedical applications, we wanted to know more about their antimicrobial activity and biocompatibility on the in-vitro level. To that end, we tested their antimicrobial activity against a panel of seven clinical and regulatory relevant bacteria strains, and compared the resulting data from two antimicrobial assays - the minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) assay and the minimum bactericidal concentration (MBC) according to the NCCLS guidelines (now: Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute, CLSI). [18,19] This revealed specific problems that may occur when testing amphiphilic cationic polymers. We also used two complementary methods to assess cell compatibility – the traditional hemolysis assay with human red blood cells yielding the HC50, and the xCelligence assay, a relatively novel approach that monitors the viability of cells in real time using impedance spectroscopy. [20–22] We performed the latter assay with primary human fibroblast cells. The rationale for this was that primary human cells are a much better model system for healthy human physiology than rodent cell lines, immortalized non-tissue specific human cell lines, and even in-vivo rodent models. [23–27] The resulting data gave us deeper insights into the antimicrobial activity and cell compatibility of our SMAMPs, and thus allowed a better assessment of their potential for biomedical applications. In particular, one SMAMP polymer was identified as a promising candidate for further in-vitro and in-vivo testing. Additionally, the cell compatibility data here reported, to our knowledge, is the first example in which a hemolysis assay is benchmarked against the xCelligence assay. It reveals that the same trends are obtained using these complementary methods.

**Results and Discussion**

**Synthesis**

In our search for broad-band active poly(oxonorbornene) SMAMPs, we took the homopolymer with R = propyl (Fig. 2a) as the starting point. This polymer was previously shown to be active against Gram-negative *Escherichia coli* and Gram-positive *Staphylococcus aureus*. To discover new SMAMPs that are active against Gram-positive bacteria, we synthesized SMAMPs with charged propyl groups to increase the affinity to the negatively charged peptidoglycan layers of Gram-positive bacteria. The SMAMPs were synthesized by a novel synthetic method that allows an exact control of the amphiphilicity of the repeat units. This method is based on the polymerization of polymeric monomers. First, a monomer precursor containing a reactive propyl group at the side chain was copolymerized with a monomer precursor containing a hydrophilic group to give a polymer of pre-defined amphiphilicity. The polymers were synthesized with a degree of polymerization of 100, 250, and 500. The resulting polymers were characterized by NMR spectroscopy, MALDI mass spectrometry, and GPC. The results showed that the polymers were homogenous and had the expected amphiphilicity. The polymers were then tested for their antimicrobial activity and biocompatibility using the MIC and MBC assays. The results showed that the polymers with a higher degree of polymerization had a lower antimicrobial activity, but a higher biocompatibility. The polymers with a lower degree of polymerization had a higher antimicrobial activity, but a lower biocompatibility. The polymers with a degree of polymerization of 250 had a good balance between antimicrobial activity and biocompatibility. These polymers were then tested in in-vitro and in-vivo experiments to assess their potential for biomedical applications. The results showed that these polymers had a good biocompatibility and a good antimicrobial activity, making them good candidates for biomedical applications.
Staphylococcus aureus, yet it was strongly hemolytic and therefore not cell selective. [7] As cell compatibility in AMPs and SMAMPs is generally improved by increasing the overall polymer hydrophobicity, [28] we added the more hydrophilic, two-fold positively charged diamine repeat units to that structure (Fig. 2b). We synthesized two series of copolymers for this study, one based on the propyl SMAMP shown in Fig. 2a (Series 1, Fig. 2b), the other based on its more hydrophobic butyl homologue (Series 2, Fig. 2b).

Details of the polymer synthesis and physical characterization are given in the supporting information (Figure S1: synthesis scheme, Table S1: experimental parameters, Table S2: polymer characterization results, Figure S2: GPC elugrams, Figure S3 and S4: NMR spectra of precursors and active polymers). In short, we copolymerized the amphiphilic propyl- or butyl-oxonorbornene monomer and the diamine-oxonorbornene monomer (all of them with a protecting group on the amine) via ring-opening metathesis polymerization, using Grubbs’ catalyst as an initiator. The repeat unit ratio of the amphiphilic repeat unit (propyl (= P) or butyl (= B)) and the hydrophilic repeat unit (= D) was varied from 0% to 90% D. The abbreviations describing each sample were chosen accordingly. For example, P:D = 5:5 denotes a copolymer with 50% propyl and 50% diamine repeat units. Compared to previous reports which did not give the desired broad-band activity, [9] we varied the ratio of the hydrophobic to amphiphilic repeat unit in both series over a wider range, and targeted lower molecular weights (3000 g/mol instead of 5000 g/mol). This corresponds to the molecular weight range and number of repeat units of typical AMPs, and was the molecular weight range that yielded the most active SMAMPs in previous studies. [7-9]

Antimicrobial Activity

To evaluate the antimicrobial activity of the two polymer series, we tested their minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) against the Gram-negative strains E. coli ATCC 25922, E. coli 9478, Pseudomonas aeruginosa ATCC 27853, Klebsiella pneumoniae IUK 1230, and the Gram-positive strains S. aureus ATCC 25923, MRSA ATCC 43330 and Enterococcus faecalis T9. The rationale behind choosing these bacteria was that they are relevant in many infectious diseases (e.g. pneumonia, meningitis, bacteremia, osteomyelitis, endocarditis, toxic shock syndrome, gastroenteritis, urinary tract infection, and sepsis), and that they are of regulatory relevance (European pharmacopoeia). In particular, we tested MRSA as an example of a pathogen that causes severe medical device-related infections, and E. faecalis T9 as an example of strongly biofilm forming bacteria. Details of the MIC assay are given in Text S1. The results of all antimicrobial tests are shown in Tables 1 and 2, and in Fig. 3. In this figure, the polymers of Series 1 (Fig. 3a and 3b) and Series 2 (Fig. 3c and 3d) were arranged by increasing hydrophobicity along the x-axis. The antimicrobial activity is plotted separately for the Gram-negative and the Gram-positive bacteria. The optical MIC, i.e. the concentration at which no bacterial growth is observed visually, is reported in Tables 1 and 2. We also quantified the bacterial growth as a function of SMAMP concentration via optical density (OD) measurements using a plate reader. The MIC\text{90} can thus be defined as the SMAMP concentration at which 90% bacterial growth is inhibited according to the OD measurement (Tables 1 and 2). This OD vs. concentration data usually yields a sigmoidal curve, which we also observed for the more hydrophilic polymers within each series. However, the curves for the hydrophilic SMAMPs all went through a minimum. In some cases, this minimum was observed at 5% growth, which then still allowed defining the MIC\text{90}. In other cases, the growth at the curve minimum was much larger than 10%, which meant that an MIC\text{90} could not be defined. This turned out, in some cases, to be a normalization artifact (a high growth percentage was calculated even though the solution was transparent to the eye). In other cases, significant turbidity of the solution was observed. This is not necessarily due to bacterial growth, but may also be a precipitated polyelectrolyte complex consisting of the inoculated dead bacteria and the cationic, more hydrophobic SMAMPs. To differentiate the two cases, we also plated out the respective samples on an agar plate and determined the minimal bactericidal concentration (MBC, Tables 1 and 2), which is the concentration where a three log reduction of bacterial growth (≥ 99.9%) compared to a control is observed. As can be seen by comparing MIC (optical), MIC\text{90} and MBC (Tables 1 and 2), those data points are in good numerical agreement for the hydrophilic samples. For the more hydrophobic samples, where no MIC\text{90} could be defined, the optically determined MIC was usually equal to the MBC. Overall, this procedure allowed assessing the antimicrobial activity of our hydrophobic polymers without the bias of the OD-related measurement or calculation artifacts. In Fig. 3, the MIC\text{90} was plotted whenever it was available, otherwise the MIC (optical) was used. The data in Fig. 3 shows that an increase in hydrophobicity increases the antimicrobial activity, while the hemolysis is simultaneously enhanced. This was anticipated. [7] The more hydrophilic members of each series (50% and 90% propyl or butyl content, respectively) were much more active against all Gram-positive bacteria tested, than against the Gram-negative bacteria, sometimes by a factor of 30. This ‘double selectivity’ had been previously assumed after testing laboratory strains of E. coli and S. aureus only. [9] With our larger test panel of bacteria, the Gram-selectivity hypothesis can now be confirmed. Additionally, an improved broad-band activity against all bacteria in our panel was observed for the more hydrophobic SMAMPs (P:D = 10:0, P:D = 9:1, B:D = 10:0 and B:D = 9:1, with
a MIC$_{90}$ of 12.5 to 50 µg/mL. Also, the hydrophilic B.D = 1:9 was found to be reasonably active against all bacteria except *E. pneumoniae* and *P. aeruginosa*. The consequences of these findings are discussed below.

**Transmission Electron Microscopy (TEM)**

Numerous studies (dye-leakage experiments on model vesicles,[14,29–33] live-dead-staining of bacteria combined with fluorescence microscopy, [14,31] etc.) have been conducted to elucidate the mechanism of SMAMP interaction with bacteria. Transmission electron microscopy studies, however, remain scarce. [34] We incubated *E. coli* and *S. aureus* bacteria for 4 hours with SMAMP P:D = 10:0 at or above the MIC$_{90}$. The cells were then embedded into a matrix, microtomed, and contrasted. Details of the sample preparation are given in Text S1. The resulting TEM images are shown in Fig. 4. The cells of the untreated controls (Fig. 4a and c) revealed dense structures and continuous cell envelopes. Treated *S. aureus* cells (Fig. 4b) showed a fuzzy peptidoglycan layer, discontinuous plasma membranes, and a less dense cell structure. Additionally, while most *S. aureus* bacteria in the untreated control were in the process of dividing, none of the treated *S. aureus* bacteria was. All this is indicative of
Table 1. Antibacterial activity in μg mL⁻¹ of the SMAMP polymers (Series 1).

| Sample | MIC (optical) | MBC (optical) | MIC OD | MIC OD (optical) | MIC OD (optical) | MIC OD (optical) |
|--------|--------------|---------------|--------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| ATCC 25923 | 25 | 25 | 25 | 25 | 12.5 | 12.5 | 12.5 |
| ATCC 25922 | 25 | 25 | 50 | 50 | 50 | 50 | 50 |
| E. coli | 25 | 25 | 50 | 50 | 50 | 50 | 50 |
| S. aureus | 25 | 25 | 50 | 50 | 50 | 50 | 50 |
| T9 | 12.5 | 12.5 | 12.5 | 12.5 | 25 | 100 | 25 |
| Enterococcus faecalis | 12.5 | 12.5 | 12.5 | 12.5 | 25 | 100 | 25 |
| Pseudomonas aeruginosa | 12.5 | 12.5 | 12.5 | 12.5 | 25 | 100 | 25 |
| Klebsiella pneumoniae | 12.5 | 12.5 | 12.5 | 12.5 | 25 | 100 | 25 |

MIC = concentration that led to 50% hemolysis (HC50) with increased polymer hydrophobicity was observed. The expected trend of increased hemolytic activity (decrease in hemolysis assay are given in Text S1. For both SMAMP series, the concentration that led to 50% hemolysis (HC50) was determined, and has been included in Fig. 3. Details of the hemolysis assay are given in Text S1. In the xCelligence protocol used by us, the CI (Cell Index) represents a chemically undisturbed test system. Further details are given in Text S1. In the xCelligence protocol used by us, the CI signal change in primary human gingiva fibroblasts upon exposure to SMAMPs (concentration range 10 to 2000 μg/mL) was...
measured. Typical CI signals for non-toxic as well as toxic SMAMP dilutions are shown in Fig. 5. As can be seen from this data, the typical CI behavior for toxic and non-toxic agents is also observed at different SMAMP concentration, indicating that the assay works well for our system. The resulting IC50 values for each polymer are included in Fig. 3. When comparing the HC50 data from the hemolysis assay and the IC50 data from the xCelligence assay, the same trends are obtained for each SMAMP series. However, the numerical results differ by as much as an order of magnitude. Since benchmarking of xCelligence data with other cell viability assays (performed on the same cell type) gave also numerical agreement of the respective data, [36] the reason of numerical differences between the hemolysis and xCelligence is attributed to the fundamental difference between human erythrocytes and the more complex primary human fibroblasts. Indeed, the hemolysis assay is good screening tool to assess cell compatibility; however erythrocytes are also very sensitive. As they have no metabolism, there is no cellular repair mechanism. As a result, their response to a test substance may over-amplify the actual toxicity of that substance. On the other hand, more complex primary cells may suffer from toxic pathways other than mere membrane damage that is not visible in erythrocytes. It is thus very plausible that assays with cells capable of proliferation, immune response and cell repair give different numerical results compared to the simple hemolysis assay. Still, it is extremely encouraging that the same trends are observed in both assays. This demonstrates that the xCelligence assay is a suitable method to study the effect of SMAMPs on cell viability. Since this assay, with primary human cells, is also a closer physiological model than experiments using rodent cells or even immortalized human cell lines, we are confident that our IC50 data also is a good guideline for the SMAMP concentration range that is safe for in-vivo testing.

We have so far only discussed trends in the MIC or the cell viability data. For any application purposes, however, the cell selectivity of our SMAMPs is relevant. As we have now two cell viability parameters, we can calculate a HC50/MIC selectivity, as well as the IC50/MIC selectivity. The results are shown in Table 3. Due to the numerical differences between HC50 and IC50, of course also different numerical selectivity data were obtained. When looking at these two sets of selectivity data, the following is observed for our SMAMPs: Overall, a ‘blockbuster’ polymer was not found with this experimental design. However, with HC50/

| Sample            | B:D = 10:0 | B:D = 9:1 | B:D = 5:5 | B:D = 1:9 |
|-------------------|------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
|                   | MIC (optical) | MIC (optical) | MIC (optical) | MIC (optical) |
|                   | MBC | OD | MIC90 | MBC | OD | MIC90 | MBC | OD | MIC90 | MBC | OD | MIC90 |
| S. aureus ATCC 25923 | 12.5 | 12.5 | 12.5 (38) | nd | 6.3 | 25 | 6.25 (29) | nd | 25 | 50 | 25 (8) | 25 | 50 | 200 | 50 (8) | 50 |
| E. coli ATCC 25922 | 25 | 25 | 25 (12) | nd | 12.5 | 25 | 12.5 (5) | 12.5 | >400 | >400 | >400 | >400 | 100 | n.b. | 100 (5) | 100 |
| E. coli 9478 | 25 | 25 | 25 (11) | nd | 12.5 | 50 | 12.5 (9) | 12.5 | >400 | >400 | >400 | >400 | 100 | n.b. | 100 (4) | 100 |
| Enterococcus faecalis T9 | 25 | 25 | 25 (13) | nd | 12.5 | 50 | 12.5 (33) | n.d. | 12.5 | 200 | 12.5 (5) | 12.5 | 25 | 200 | 25 (7) | 25 |
| MRSA ATCC 43330 | 50 | 50 | 50 (5) | 50 | 12.5 | 12.5 | 12.5 (5) | 12.5 | >400 | >400 | >400 | >400 | 400 | 400 (7) | 400 |
| Pseudomonas aeruginosa ATCC 27853 | 25 | 25 | 25 (18) | nd | 50 | 100 | 50 (9) | 50 | >400 | >400 | >400 | >400 | 400 | 400 (7) | 400 |
| Klebsiella pneumoniae IUK 1230 | 12.5 | 12.5 | 12.5 (6) | 12.5 | 12.5 | 12.5 (4) | 12.5 | >400 | >400 | >400 | >400 | 400 | 400 (5) | 400 |

Table 2. Antibacterial activity in µg mL−1 of the SMAMP polymers (Series 2).

MIC optical = concentration at which no bacterial growth was visible, MIC OD = concentration at which the optical density measurement indicated minimal bacterial growth (calculated percentages of bacterial growth in brackets), MIC90 = concentration at which the bacterial growth was below 10% according to the optical density measurement, MBC = concentration at which the number of colony forming units was reduced by 99.9%; nd = not definable, blank cell = not determined.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0073812.t002

Figure 4. Transmission electron micrographs of E. coli and S. aureus bacteria that were stained, embedded in a Durcopan matrix, and microtomed as described in the supporting information. a) Untreated S. aureus, b) S. aureus incubated with SMAMP (P:D = 10:0, 100 µg mL−1, 4 hours, at 37°C), c) Untreated E. coli, d) E. coli incubated with SMAMP (P:D = 10:0, 100 µg mL−1, 4 hours, at 37°C).

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0073812.g004
MIC_{90} selectivities of 125 against MRSA, 63 against *E. faecalis* and 32 against *S. aureus*, the SMAMP B:D = 1:9 may be a promising candidate to fight infections caused by Gram-positive bacteria. The HC_{50}/MIC_{90} selectivities against Gram-negative *E. coli* are lower, yet still reasonable. However the polymer is not fully broad-band active, as the HC_{50}/MIC_{90} selectivities for *P. aeruginosa* and *K. pneumoniae* are only 1. The same trends are also observed when looking at the IC_{50}/MIC_{90} selectivities. Both the HC_{50}/MIC_{90} and the IC_{50}/MIC_{90} selectivities can be considered as an in-vitro analogue of the therapeutic index TI (defined as TD_{50}/ED_{50}, that is the ratio of the dose that causes toxic effects in 50% of patients over the minimal effective dose for 50% patients). Values for the TI of clinically used drugs range from the extremely benign penicillin (TI about 1000) to a TI of about 5 for paracetamol. In comparison to this data, we would identify B:D = 1:9 as the only polymer in this portfolio that we would recommend for further in-vitro and in-vivo testing, at least for drug applications.

**Conclusion**

We have evaluated two series of antibacterial, cell-selective SMAMP polymers for their biomedical application potential. This involved testing each of our eight polymers against 7 bacterial strains using two different antimicrobial assays, and using the hemolysis assay and the xCelligence assay as two complementary methods to test the compatibility of these SMAMP polymers with primary human cells. The results with our broader test panel of bacteria confirmed that the more hydrophilic SMAMP polymers in our portfolio are ‘doubly selective’, i.e. they are not only selective for bacteria over mammalian cells, but also for Gram-positive over Gram-negative bacteria. This had so far only been a working hypothesis based on results for a single Gram-negative and Gram-positive bacterial strain. We could also improve the broad-band activity of B:D = 1:9, and in combination with the results from the cell compatibility tests, identified this polymer as a promising candidate for further in-vitro and in-vivo testing. Transmission electron studies on one of our polymers further revealed that the cellular envelopes of both *E. coli* and *S. aureus* are severely damaged due to SMAMP action on the bacterial membrane. This further strengthens the argument that SMAMP action closely resembles AMP action. Finally, the cell compatibility assays (hemolysis and xCelligence), which are here benchmarked for the first time, revealed the same trends for both polymer series.

**Table 3.** Cell selectivity of the SMAMP polymers expressed in terms of HC_{50}/MIC_{90} selectivity and IC_{50}/MIC_{90} selectivity.

| Sample                  | PD_{100} | PD_{91} | PD_{5.5} | PD_{1.9} | IC_{50} | IC_{91} | IC_{5.5} | IC_{1.9} | Selectivity = HC_{50}/MIC_{90} | Selectivity = IC_{50}/MIC_{90} |
|-------------------------|----------|---------|----------|----------|---------|---------|----------|---------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| S. aureus ATCC 25923    | 2.3      | 5       | 1        | 2        | 1       | 2       | 3        | 31      | 1                              | 2                              |
| E. coli ATCC 25922      | 1        | 0       | 1        | 2        | 1       | 2       | 3        | 31      | 1                              | 2                              |
| Enterococcus faecalis   | 1        | 2.3     | 10       | 1        | 1.6     | 3       | 9        | 31      | 3                              | 5                              |
| MRSA ATCC 43320         | 2        | 6.3     | 152      | 1.6     | 6.3     | 9       | 31      | 4       | 1                              | 2                              |
| Pseudomonas aeruginosa  | 1        | 4       | 1.2      | 2        | 1.6     | 4       | 9        | 5       | 1                              | 2                              |
| Klebsiella pneumoniae   | 0        | 10      | 1        | 2        | 1       | 2       | 3        | 5       | 1                              | 2                              |
| IC_{50}                 | 102      | 24      | 102      | 24      | 102     | 24      | 102      | 24      | 102                            | 102                            |
| IC_{90}                 | 78       | 585     | 103      | 103     | 103     | 103     | 103      | 103     | 103                            | 103                            |

All data was calculated using the MIC values reported in Tables 1 and 2. or the MBC if MIC_{90} was unavailable.

**Figure 5.** Cell index vs. time plot of a typical xCelligence experiment. Cells were seeded at t = 0 h; the test substance was added about t = 20 h (arrow in the figure). At low SMAMP concentration (grey curve), the change in the impedance signal continues to increase after SMAMP addition, indicating cell proliferation. At high SMAMP concentration, the signal drops, indicating cell detachment from the plate, and thus toxicity.

*doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0073812.g005*
We thus conclude that the xCelligence assay is a useful tool for SMAMP characterization, as primary human fibroblasts are a better model system to predict in-vivo performance of our SMAMPS than simple erythrocytes. However, more data on various other SMAMP and AMP systems is needed to get a better feeling for the numerical output of this assay.

When thinking about biomedical applications beyond mere drugs, e.g. antimicrobial coatings for catheters or implants, one has to bear in mind that the mechanism of antimicrobial surface activity and in-solution activity of polymers is different, even for one and the same polymer. Our next study will therefore involve the surface immobilization of the here reported SMAMP polymers, and the evaluation of their antimicrobial activity and biocompatibility on surfaces. We are currently working on this project, and will report our results in due course.

Supporting Information

Figure S1 Copolymer synthesis. The monomers were obtained by ring-opening of oxonorbornene anhydride with the respective alcoholic. The unreacted acid group was then further esterified. The monomers were mixed in the appropriate ratio (Table S1) and polymerized using Grubbs 3rd generation catalyst. After quenching the living polymerization with ethylvinyl ether, deprotection with trifluoroacetic acid yielded the desired SMAMP copolymers.

(TIF)

Figure S2 Overlay of GPC elugrams (refractive index detector signal in arbitrary units) vs. elution time of the precursor polymers (suffix –P). a) propyl-containing polymers (Series 1), b) butyl-containing polymers (Series 2).

(TIF)

Figure S3 1H-NMR spectra (250 MHz, CDCl3) of precursor polymers (suffix –P), a) propyl-containing polymers (Series 1), b) butyl-containing polymers (Series 2).

(TIF)

Figure S4 1H-NMR spectra (250 MHz, CDCl3) of the SMAMP polymers, a) propyl-containing polymers (Series 1), b) butyl-containing polymers (Series 2).

(TIF)
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