Comprehensive study of 226-1000 Hz multifrequency tympanometry measures in infants

Abstract

Objective: In this report, we aimed to compare the results and clinical performance of the 226-1000 Hz multifrequency tympanometry measurements.

Materials and Methods: 0-1 years old 85 babies who had admitted to our otorhinolaryngology clinic were included to the study. After the clinical evaluation of the patients, all tympanometric measurements were done by an audiologist, and the results were classified and compared according to age groups.

Results: In this study, 1000 Hz tympanometry measurements are more sensitive than the 226 Hz tympanometry measurements to discriminate the middle ear effusions. Particularly, 1000 Hz tympanometry measurements are more significant on 0-6 month’s group.

Conclusion: Tympanometry measurements are reliable methods with clinical evaluation to discriminate the middle ear effusions on 0-1 age infants. Success rate of the 1000 Hz tympanometry measurements is better than 226 Hz measurements to identify the middle ear effusions on this age group.
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Introduction

Loss of hearing that occurs at an early age reduces language development, learning skills, and psychosocial development of a child. The newborn hearing screening programs was enacted to detect and correct this situation, and to support their psychosocial development. The middle ear effusion (MEE) causes errors in these newborn hearing screening tests. Otitis media is a pervasive childhood disease posing significant healthcare challenges. Greater Boston studies have shown that 70% of children have at least one episode of acute otitis media attack before 2 years of age.1 Lee’s study has shown that, otomicroscopy is most successful method in evaluation of the middle ear effusion in child group.2 Multi-frequency tympanometry provides more accurate and detailed information about the middle ear dynamics than standard tympanometry. It is based on the analysis of tympanograms at a wide range of frequencies between 226 and 1,000 Hz. Multi-frequency tympanometry measures have been used to more completely describe the tympanometric differences seen in the neonate in comparison to the older child. This technique allows the assessment of the relative contribution of mass, stiffness, and resistive elements to acoustic admittance of sound by employing multiple probe tone frequencies.

Many clinical studies use of higher-frequency probe tones has been recommended when testing young infants, because higher frequency tones appear to be more sensitive to middle ear effusion.3,4 In this study, we aimed to compare the results and clinical performance of the 226-1000 Hz multifrequency tympanometry measurements in babies under 1 year old.

Materials and methods

Eighty-five babies less than 1 year old who applied to Kocaeli University Medical Faculty Otorhinolaryngology Department between years 2012-2013 were the subjects of this study. The average days of age was 121 days ranging 11 to 362 days. Their otoscopic and otomicroscopic examinations were done by otorhinolaryngology specialist. The children who has outer ear and tympanum anomaly or who are in monitor because of uraniscochasma or cleft lip or who are proved or suspected syndromic diseases, are kept out of study. After taking the approval of the babies’ (who are in the appropriate age group) parents, evaluations with their usual probe tone (226 Hz) and high frequency (1000 Hz) probe tone are done with the multifrequency tympanometry tool (Interacoustics® AT235h, Assens, Denmark), and acquired trace shapes and numeric data has been saved. Tympanometry evaluations are classified according to tympanogram classification of Jerger that is summarized below.

Tympanogram Values Classification (Jerger classification 1970):
Type A: Compliance: 0.3 to 1.6 mmHg and pressure: from -100 to +100 daPa.
Type As: Compliance: 0.15 to 0.3 mmHg and pressure: from -100 to +100 daPa.
Type Ad: Compliance: >1.6 mmHg and pressure: -100 to +100 daPa.
Type B: Compliance: none detectable and pressure: none detectable.
Type C: Compliance: 0.3 to 1.6 mmHg and pressure: < -100 daPa.

Type A tympanogram traces was considered healthy, and other tympanogram traces (Type As, Ad, B, C) have been assessed under the abnormal or unhealthy group according to the Jerger’s classification. Data were evaluated in three groups according to the age of the babies; 0-180 days, 181-365 days, and all. Normal and abnormal data (normal and sick patients) distribution, average compliance values, and peak pressure values were analyzed with SPSS version 13.0, Chicago, IL, USA. The results are interpreted with the appropriate statistical test, p<0.05 was considered statistically significant. This study is approved by the Kocaeli University Clinical Research Ethics Committee (Protocol Code: 2012/74), and supported by the Kocaeli University Scientific Research Project Unit in scope of research project.
Results

Eighty-five babies (42 boys and 43 girls) aged between 10-362 days were included. 170 measurements were made including the left and right ears. While 226 Hz tympanometry evaluated 101 ears as normal, and 69 ears as sick; 1000 Hz tympanometry evaluated 54 ears as normal, and 116 ears as sick (Table 1). Distribution rates compared with McNemar test for dependent groups (p=0.000) have shown that 1000 Hz tympanometry determines healthy and sick ears more sensitive than 226 Hz tympanometry. When the same data classified according to the age groups, in the 0-180 days group 226 Hz tympanometry measured 93 ears as healthy, 56 ears as sick; 1000 Hz tympanometry measured 48 ears as healthy, 95 ears as sick (Table 2). When distribution percentages compared with McNemar test for dependent groups (p=0.000) have shown that 1000 Hz tympanometry determines healthy and sick ears more sensitive than 226 Hz tympanometry. When the same data classified according to the age groups, in the 0-180 days group 226 Hz tympanometry evaluated 88 ears as healthy, and 19 ears as sick; 1000 Hz tympanometry evaluated 6 ears as healthy, and 21 ears as sick (Table 3).

Table 1 226 Hz and 1000 Hz tympanometry measurements grouped as normal (Type A trace) and sick (Type Ad, As, B, C traces) in all population, (McNemar test results (p)=0.000; p<0.05) (Hz: Hertz).

| 1000 Hz Tympanogram | Normal | Sick | Total |
|----------------------|--------|------|-------|
| Normal               | Number | 31   | 70    | 101   |
| % in 226 Hz Tympanogram | 30.7  | 69.3 | 100   |
| % in 1000 Hz Tympanogram | 57.4  | 60.3 | 59.4  |
| Sick                 | Number | 23   | 46    | 69    |
| % in 226 Hz Tympanogram | 33.3  | 66.7 | 100   |
| % in 1000 Hz Tympanogram | 42.6  | 39.7 | 40.6  |
| Total                | Number | 54   | 116   | 170   |
| % in 226 Hz Tympanogram | 31.8  | 68.2 | 100   |
| % in 1000 Hz Tympanogram | 100   | 100  | 100   |

Table 2 226 Hz and 1000 Hz tympanometry measurements grouped as normal (Type A trace) and sick (Type Ad, As, B, C traces) in 0-180 days population, (McNemar test results (p)=0.000; p<0.05) (Hz: Hertz).

| 1000 Hz Tympanogram | Normal | Sick | Total |
|----------------------|--------|------|-------|
| Normal               | Number | 30   | 63    | 93    |
| % in 226 Hz Tympanogram | 32.3  | 67.7 | 100   |
| % in 1000 Hz Tympanogram | 62.5  | 66.3 | 65    |
| Sick                 | Number | 18   | 32    | 50    |
| % in 226 Hz Tympanogram | 36    | 64   | 100   |
| % in 1000 Hz Tympanogram | 37.5  | 33.7 | 35    |
| Total                | Number | 48   | 95    | 143   |
| % in 226 Hz Tympanogram | 33.6  | 66.4 | 100   |
| % in 1000 Hz Tympanogram | 100   | 100  | 100   |
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Discussion

Nowadays, early identification of the infants hearing impairment is important. Studies have shown that children identified with hearing loss prior to 6 months of age have a better chance of developing skills equivalent to their peers. For this reason, infant hearing screening programs are widely accepted. Middle ear effusions are the most common reason of the hearing loss; therefore tympanometry measurements become more noteworthy on certain diagnosis and treatment screening.

Narrow external ear canal and immature cartilage structure of the pinna decline the transduced sound level to the tympanic membrane and middle ear system. Different tissue types show specific resistance levels to each frequency as a result of this issue sound transmission levels vary. Furthermore, mass of the middle ear structures and stiffness effect of the bony system determine the sound compliancy. Mass of the tympanic membrane, bony components (malleus, incus, stapes) define the mass reactions. Tympanic membrane flexibility, bone transmission mechanism and perilymphatic fluid strain to the stapes footplate determine the stiffness element. In considering the anatomical and physiological conditions, adult middle ear defined as a stiffness-controlled system at low frequencies and infant middle ear system is a mass-dominated system. Due to the this middle ear resonant hypothesis, recent studies showed multi-frequency tympanometry measurements have a better success rate than classic tympanometry measurements on revealing the middle ear effusions in infants. Zhigi et al., compared 226Hz-1000Hz tympanometry measurements with spiral temporal bone computerized tomography on 0-6 months infants. 1000Hz tympanometry measurements identify presence middle ear effusions with a similar concordance on computerized tomography; furthermore 1000Hz tympanometry measurements are more successful than 226Hz tympanometry measurements. On the other hand, considering potential cancer risk, which is related to the radiation dosage of the spiral computerized tomography, physicians have to pay attention on physical examination for the certain diagnosis. Although, suboptimal physical examination of the infants; tympanometry measurements help to diagnose the middle ear effusions and are preferred by physicians.

The age group distribution of this present study is between 0 and 180 days (first six months). Based on hearing screening programs, hearing loss is expected to be diagnosed during the first six months. The application of conventional hearing aids for rehabilitation of the detected hearing loss usually occurs between 181 and 365 days (6-12 months).

Different compliance or transmission values are expected to be present in tympanometric measurements since different audio frequencies are used. In our study, when the mean compliance measurement values were compared with the general study group and different age groups, statistically significant differences were found between 1,000Hz tympanometry and 226Hz tympanometry. Mean values of the compliance distributions differences based on the age groups of the babies were found to be significantly different at 226 and 1,000 Hz; and increased at 1,000 Hz, except in babies with tympanogram type B or in other words babies suspicious of having otitis media with effusion (OME) present. This suggests that the test measures that the compliance values are better at 1,000Hz and that it could be significant in detecting other middle ear pathologies.

Mean pressure values in high frequency tympanometry were statistically significant compared to low frequency tympanometry. The pressure of development of tympanogram trajectory peak point was compared between the general study group and age groups. This difference may be due to the variability in the pressure values in the patients and that 1,000Hz can more easily detect Type B compared to 226Hz. A real pressure measurement cannot be done because either a peak tympanometry point is absent in OME or due to a straight tympanometry trajectory. When the mean pressures in the babies with tympanogram values were other than Type B, no significantly different values were obtained between 226Hz and 1,000Hz tympanograms. With these results, it can be suggested that 226Hz and 1,000Hz produce similar results in detecting peak point pressure values in patients other than tympanogram Type B. Both hertz frequencies seem to be useful in detection and follow-up of the Type C group in which pressure values are the primary concern.

When this present study groups patient and healthy baby
populations, 1,000Hz tympanometry was found to be more accurate and more sensitive to the differentiation between patient and healthy individuals in all age groups and in both 0-180days and 181-365days old babies compared to 226Hz tympanometry. These findings were found to be compatible with the current literature findings.8,10 The external ear and mastoid bone become harder as the baby grows, which may account for the more accurate compliance measurement in higher frequencies.

In conclusion, this study demonstrates that 1,000Hz tympanometry detects more accurately for the diagnosis and treatment of OME with variable pressure differences and compliance values in the baby population of first six months of life compared to 226Hz tympanometry. 1,000Hz can also be considered as a more sensitive in detecting the compliance values in these age groups and in differentiating the lowest and highest compliance values. Advanced and controlled clinical research is needed in the evaluation of other middle ear pathologies except OME.
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