Identification of tumor markers using two-dimensional electrophoresis in gastric carcinoma
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AIM: To study the differential expression of proteins in normal and cancerous gastric tissues, and further identify new molecular markers for diagnosis and prognosis of gastric carcinoma, as well as develop new therapeutic targets of the disease.

METHODS: Matched pairs of tissues from 6 gastric cancer patients were analyzed for their two-dimensional electrophoresis (2DE) profiles. Soluble fraction proteins from human normal and cancerous gastric tissue were separated in the first dimension by isoelectric focusing on immobilized pH gradient (IPG, pH3-10) strips, and by 125 g/L sodium dodecyl sulfate polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE) in the second dimension with silver nitrate staining. Protein differential expression was analyzed by use of image analysis software to find out candidates for gastric cancer-associated proteins.

RESULTS: Nine protein spots overexpressed in tumor tissues as compared with noncancerous regions. In the next step, 9 tumor-specific spots were cut off from Coomassie Brilliant Blue staining gels, digested in gel with L-1-tosylamide-2-phenylthyl chloromethyl ketone (TPCK)-trypsin. Protein identification was done by peptide mass fingerprinting with matrix assisted laser desorption/ionization-time of flight-mass spectrometry (MALDI-TOF-MS). In total, 5 tumor-specific protein spots corresponding to 5 different polypeptide chains were identified, including annexin V, carbonic anhydrase, prohibitin, fibrin beta and fibrinogen fragment D. Among these 5 spots, the potential significance of the differential expressions is discussed.

CONCLUSION: Differential expression analysis of proteomes may be useful for the development of new molecular markers for diagnosis and prognosis of gastric carcinoma.
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INTRODUCTION
Gastric cancer is a prevalent tumor worldwide. It is a multistage process involving multiple factors in etiology and many gene-environment interactions. It is important to emphasize the heterogeneity of the histological background on which the tumor develops. Methods have been developed to identify tumor associated antigens such as molecular cloning in expression system or using a biochemical strategy based on the extraction of antigenic peptides bound to major histocompatibility complex class I molecules from tumor cells. These methods have allowed the recognition of certain human tumor antigens[1]. Several tumor markers of gastric cancer have been identified[2-4], including Lewis antigen, sulfomucin, CA50. However, no evidence has been obtained indicating that the detection of these markers precedes clinical diagnosis of gastric cancer. Proteomics studies of clinical tumor samples have led to the identification of cancer-specific protein markers, which provides a basis for developing new methods for early diagnosis and early detection of cancers as well as clues to understanding the molecular mechanism of cancer progression[5]. In order to identify proteins that elicit humoral responses in gastric cancer patients, proteome-based approach was used. A number of proteins from gastric tumor tissues were separated by 2DE and identified by using mass spectrometry. It includes the systematic cataloging of protein expression on a large scale. Such studies could help elucidate the molecular mechanism of cellular events associated with cancer progression, such as cellular signaling[6,7].

MATERIALS AND METHODS
Tissue samples and preparation[8]
Six pairs of primary, and advanced poorly differentiated gastric adenocarcinoma tissues and corresponding adjacent noncancerous gastric tissues were obtained with informed consent from patients who underwent gastrectomy at the First Affiliated Hospital of Zhengzhou University and Beijing Cancer Hospital. Cancer samples were obtained from the “core” part of the tumor to avoid the adjacent noncancerous tissue. For each of the normal tissues, surface epithelium was selectively procured by dissection with special care for minimal contamination of nonepithelial cells, and samples were immediately snap-frozen in liquid nitrogen. They were classified histologically according to Lauren’s classification after H&E staining.

Fragments of normal and malignant tissues were sharp dissected and homogenized with a homogenizer in 2 mL fresh lysis buffer [2 g/L dithiothreitol (Amersham Bioscience, USA), 200 mL/L trichloroacetic acid (Sigma, USA) and 800 g/L acetone], then placed into tubes at 4-8 °C for 8-10 h. The mixture was centrifuged at 1 000 r/min for 5 min to remove tissue and cell debris, then centrifuged in a Beckman TL-100 table top ultracentrifuge at 430 000 g in a TLA-100.2 rotor for 30 min at 4 °C. The supernatant was taken as soluble fraction. Protein was lyophilized, resuspended in isoelectric focusing gel rehydration solution [7 mol/L urea, 2 mol/L thiourea, 40 g/L 3-(3-cholamidopropyl) dimethylammonio] -1-propanesulfonate
(CHAPS), 50 mM dithiothreitol, 20 g/L IPG buffer pH 3-10, L) and stored at -80 °C until use. These were used as the 2DE samples for the soluble fraction. Protein concentration of 2-DE samples was estimated according to a commercial Bradford reagent. BSA was used as standard.

### 2DE with IPG strips

First-dimension bioelectric focusing was carried out on Multiphor II system basically as described by the manufacturer Amersham Bioscience Inc. Samples containing up to 200 µg protein for analytical gels were diluted to up to 450 µL with dehydration solution (8 mol/L urea, 20 g/L CHAPS, 100 mol/L dithiothreitol, 5 g/L IPG buffer). Pre-cast Immobilized pH gradient (IPG) strip (24 cm, pH 3-10, linear gradient) was used for the first-dimensional separation. Strips were applied by overnight rehydration at 50 V, and for 1 h at 1000 V. Then a gradient was applied from 1000 to 8000 V for 8 h to give a total of 180 000 Vh. All IEF steps were carried out at 20 °C After the first-dimensional IEF, IPG gel strips were placed in an equilibration solution (6 mol/L urea, 200 g/L SDS, 300 g/L glycerol, 50 mol/L Tris-HCl, pH 8.8) containing 10 g/L dithiothreitol and shaken for 15 min. The gels were then transferred to the equilibration solution containing 25 g/L iodoacetamide to alkylate thiols and shaken for a further 15 min before being placed on a 125 g/L polyacrylamide gel slab. Separation in the second dimension was carried out using Tris-glycine buffer containing 1 g/L SDS, at a current setting of 5 mA/gel for the initial 0.5 h and 18 mA/gel thereafter and a temperature of 20 °C.

For silver staining, gels were immersed in ethanol: acetic acid: water (35:7:58) for 1.5 h, followed by washed twice in deionized water for 20 min. Gels were pretreated for 1 min in a solution of 0.2 g/L Na2S2O3 and followed by 3 of 1-min washes in deionized water. Proteins were stained in a solution containing 25 g/L AgNO3 and 0.075% formalin (37 g/L formaldehyde in deionized water) for 20 min, and washed twice in deionized water for 1 min. Subsequently, gels were developed in a solution of 0.6 g/L formaldehyde, 20 g/L Na2S2O3, and 0.004 g/L Na2S2O4. When the desired intensity was attained, the developer was discarded and reaction stopped by 10 g/L EDTA-Na2. For Coomassie Brilliant Blue staining of gels, gels were equilibrated in a solution containing 500 mL/L methanol, 50 mL/L acetic acid and 25 g/L Coomassie Brilliant Blue R-250. Gels were rinsed in 300 mL/L ethanol containing 70 mL/L acetic acid.

Protein patterns in the gels after silver staining were recorded as digitalized images using a high-resolution scanner. Gel image matching was done with PDQuest software.

### In-gel protein digestion

Protein spots on Coomassie blue stained gel was performed essentially as described. After the completion of staining, the gel slab was washed twice with water for 10 min. The spots of interest were excised with a scalpel and put into 1.5 mL microtubes. The particles were washed twice with water and then twice with water/acetonitrile (1:1) for 15 min. The solvent volumes were about twice of the gel. Liquid was removed, acetonitrile was added to the gel particles and the mixture was left for 2 h. After that, liquid was removed and the particles were rehydrated in 25 mM NH4HCO3, for 5 min. Acetonitrile was added to produce a 1:1 mixture of 25 mM NH4HCO3 / acetonitrile and the mixture was incubated for 15 min. All liquid was removed. Gel particles were dried in a vacuum centrifuge, reswelled in 10 mM NH4HCO3 and 25 mM/L of NH4HCO3, and incubated for 30 min at 56 °C to reduce the peptides. After chillness of tubes to room temperature and removal of the liquid, 55 mM L-iodoacetamide in 25 mM/L NH4HCO3 was added. The tubes were incubated for 30 min at room temperature in the dark to S-alkylate the peptides. Then iodoacetamide solution was removed, the particles were washed with 25 mM NH4HCO3 and acetonitrile, dried in a vacuum centrifuge, rehydrated in digestion buffer containing 50 mM/L NH4HCO3 and 12.5 mg/mL trypsin (TPCK-treated, proteomics grade, Sigma, USA), incubated for 8-12 h at 37 °C. After digestion, 25 mM/L NH4HCO3 was added, and the tube was incubated for 15 min. Acetonitrile was added and the tube was incubated for another 15 min. The supernatant was recovered, and the extraction was repeated twice with 50 g/L TFA/acetonitrile (1:1). The three extracts were pooled and dried in a vacuum centrifuge.

### MALDI-TOF-MS analysis

One µL sample with 1 µL matrix solution CCA (α-cyan-4-hydroxycinamamic acid) was spotted on the target and dried. Dried spots were analyzed in an REFLEX-III (Bluker) MALDI-TOF mass spectrometer. The spectrometer was run in positive ion mode and in reflector mode with the setting: accelerating voltage, 20 kV; grid voltage, 76%; guide wire voltage, 0.01%; and a delay time of 150 ns. The low mass gate was set at 500 m/z.

Proteins were identified by peptide mass fingerprinting with the search programs Mascot (http://www.matrixscience.com/cgi/index.pl?page=). The following search parameters were applied: SWISS-PROT and NCBI were used as the protein sequence databases, a mass tolerance of 50 ppm and one incomplete cleavage were allowed; acetylation of the N-terminus, alkylation of lysine by carboxamidomethylation were considered as possible modifications.

### RESULTS

#### Evaluation of the reproducibility

Mini 2DE gels (7 cm, pH3-10) were used to evaluate the reproducibility of the soluble protein preparations and to quantify the protein extracts for 2DE gel analysis. To ensure quality and reproducibility of results, 2DE maps (24 cm) were established from each sample based on silver staining of at least three independent gels, pairs of gels were run simultaneously with the same power supply and subjected to subsequent image analysis. Protein extracts prepared from tissues were compared in this way and found to be highly reproducible and similar amounts of total soluble protein were yielded when analyzed on the gels.

#### Overview analysis of the protein expression profiles of paired samples

2DE Gel separation of proteins from 6 pairs of normal and cancerous epithelial cells was procured from the same gastric carcinoma specimen respectively. A series of 2DE maps were constructed for the soluble fraction proteins of human gastric tissue. A representative 2DE gel images following silver staining of cancer tissues (Figure 1A) and normal tissues ( Figure 1B) were produced in a 2DE imageMaster. Comparison of the differential protein expression between cancer and normal tissues shown in 2DE images was carried out using the AutoDetect Spots menu of PDQuest software. Figure 1A (from cancer tissues) contains a total of 356 spots, whereas Figure 1B (from normal tissues) contains a total of 382 spots. A total of 323 spots from cancer tissues could be matched to those from normal tissues. In total, we were able to identify 9 cancer-specific spots in 2DE gels. The positions of the identified proteins are shown in Figure 1A. These differences were observed in other cancerous samples. Because all of the identified spots were detectable with Coomassie Blue staining, they could be considered as abundant proteins.

### Protein identification

The resulting spot identification was mapped onto the analytical
gels stained by Coomassie Brilliant Blue. On the map, 9 cancer-specific spots were excised and subjected to in-gel digestion followed by peptide mass fingerprinting for protein identification. Figure 2 shows the identification of the spot No. 18 as an example. We identified proteins by peptide mass fingerprinting, MS-Fit of UCSF. The criteria used to accept identifications included the extent of sequence coverage, the number of peptides matched, the probability score, and whether human protein appeared as the top candidates in the first pass search where no restriction was applied to the species of origin.

Figure 2 MALDI-TOF MS of tryptic digests of protein spot No. 18 resolved on 2DE gel, REFLEX III (BLuker). The data were collected on positive ion and reflector mode. Experimentally determined mass values are labeled on peptides. Spot 10 matched peptide 11; amino acid coverage, 60%; the spot was identified as carbonic anhydrase I.

The results of identification are summarized in the Appendix Table 1. For identified protein, probability based score greater than 73 was significant \((P<0.05)\). For example, in the case of annexin V, the protein score is 163. Some of these proteins, such as carbonic anhydrase I; chain B, crystal structure of fibrinogen fragment D; fibrin beta and prohibitin, have already been detected in gastric cancer tissues.

**DISCUSSION**

Proteome based profiling employs the measurement of protein expression pattern for the identification of individual proteins and clusters of proteins that mediate particular aspects in a physiological and pathophysiological process. The measurement of protein expression patterns of normal and disease tissues or cell populations will lead to the characterization of diagnostic and prognostic markers, and it can be further employed for the analysis of the disease stage which might also have an impact on the therapy. Thus, preferably small clusters of proteins represent the ideal diagnostic markers enabling an easier and more accurate diagnosis of diseases for better therapy [10]. This study was based on an ongoing proteomic analysis of gastric cancer aiming at screening the protein markers in the proteome for diagnosis of gastric cancer. With the availability of high-throughput 2DE gels and initial screening by using automated procedures, identification of changes in the proteome in various tissues will be possible. The approach we described in this study has shown that high-throughput analysis will be a valuable tool. An effort is currently being made using proteome based techniques [11]. 2DE pattern of normal and diseased tissues revealed a number of polypeptides
associated with gastric cancer, which were expressed in gastric cancer tissues, but absent in normal gastric tissues. Some of them might possibly be identified and serve as diagnostic and prognostic markers in gastric cancer.

In the preparation of 2DE maps presented in this study, tissue samples from different individuals were used without pooling of samples. The total homogenate was fractionated by ultracentrifugation into soluble fraction[12]. In order to minimize the influence of the methodology, we attempted, when possible, to make protocols for 2DE PAGE alike. Our initial analyses of six normal tissue samples indicated the overall protein pattern remained very similar across the samples.

We identified nine protein spots which were expressed in cancerous tissues but absent in normal gastric tissues. Of the 9 position identifications, spots 4 and 5 were found as multiple spots on the 2DE gels. Those included the subunits of the proteins, both of which focused in several pl positions but had the same molecular mass. It indicated that these gene products were present as isoforms with post-translation modification[13].

Annexins are Ca²⁺ and phospholipid binding proteins forming an evolutionarily conserved multigene family. For some annexins, it appears that they participate in the regulation of biological functions. CAI protein is associated with cell metabolism and to maintain pH, water, and ion equilibrium in the body[20]. CAs show various levels of catalytic activity and not be documented.

Carbonic anhydrase (CA) is the zinc-containing metalloenzyme that catalyzes the reversible hydration of CO₂. The role of the enzyme has been thoroughly investigated. The main functions of the enzyme are to produce HCO₃⁻ for the intermediate metabolism and to maintain pH, water, and ion equilibrium in the body[20]. CAs show various levels of catalytic activity and binding to inhibitors. They have considerable diversity in tissue distribution and cellular localization, and they perform a variety of biological functions. CAI protein is associated with cell growth. It is likely expressed by rapidly proliferating tumor cells or cells that are about to enter the proliferative state, because the CA domain and other elements of the molecule take part in the regulation of cell growth in certain tumor cell types[21].

The presence of fibrin (ogen) within the tumor stroma likely affects the progression of tumor cell growth and metastasis[22]. The deposition of fibrin (ogen), along with other adhesive glycoproteins, into the extracellular matrix serves as a scaffold to support binding of growth factors and to promote the cellular responses of adhesion, proliferation, and migration during angiogenesis and tumor cell growth. Inappropriate synthesis and deposition of extracellular matrix constituents are linked to altered regulation of cell proliferation, leading to tumor cell growth and malignant transformation[23,24]. Fibrin deposition occurs within the stroma of a majority of tumor types. Fibrin (ogen) content was significantly higher in malignant tumor patients than that in benign disease patients, significant reduction was observed after treatment and became elevated again when there was recurrence or metastasis[25]. Biggerstaff et al.[26] suggested that coagulation activation and the subsequent increase in circulating fibrin may enhance platelet adhesion to circulating tumor cells and thereby facilitate metastatic spread. Assessment of fibrin (ogen) not only helps to diagnose cancers but also evaluates the therapeutic effect and prognosis[27].

Prohibitin proteins have been implicated in cell proliferation, ageing and the maintenance of mitochondria integrity[28], prohibitins are present in the inner mitochondrial membrane and always bound to each other. They are expressed during development and their expression levels are indicative of a role in mitochondrial metabolism[29]. High level expression of the proteins is consistently seen in primary human tumors. The prohibitin protein has been found having various functions, including cell cycle regulation, apoptosis, assembly of mitochondrial respiratory chain enzymes and ageing. We are currently trying to identify the additional proteins whose expression is significantly altered in cancerous tissue.

This study also confirms that proteomic analysis is a powerful tool for the discovery of such molecular markers. Proteomic analysis allows the characterization of picoproportions of proteins with MS and changes in the levels inherent to the pathophysiology of any cell type, tissue, or whole organism. It is hoped that the identification of protein markers by this approach could discriminate cancers from normal cells. As demonstrated here, proteomic analysis may be efficiently used to identify new indicators for the diagnosis and prognosis of cancer progression.

In conclusion, the differences of the proteins between normal gastric epithelial cell and malignant cells are complex. Our data only show a few of the highly expressed spots. Further basic and clinical investigation will be needed to demonstrate if these proteins could be markers for GC and to evaluate other non-constant spots in relation to the clinical condition of the patient.
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