Strategies for Targeted Delivery to the Peripheral Nerve
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Delivery of compounds to the peripheral nervous system has the potential to be used as a treatment for a broad range of conditions and applications, including neuropathic pain, regional anesthesia, traumatic nerve injury, and inherited and inflammatory neuropathies. However, efficient delivery of therapeutic doses can be difficult to achieve due to peripheral neuroanatomy and the restrictiveness of the blood-nerve barrier. Depending on the underlying integrity of the blood-nerve barrier in the application at hand, several strategies can be employed to navigate the peripheral nerve architecture and facilitate targeted delivery to the peripheral nerve. This review describes different applications where targeted delivery to the peripheral nervous system is desired, the challenges that the blood-nerve barrier poses in each application, and bioengineering strategies that can facilitate delivery in each application.
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INTRODUCTION

Delivery of therapeutic compounds to the peripheral nervous system (PNS) is difficult to achieve due to complexities of the peripheral neuroanatomy and the restrictiveness of the blood-nerve barrier (BNB). The endothelial cells that line the endoneurial vasculature are non-fenestrated and linked by specialized tight junctions, forming a restrictive barrier that protects the endoneurial microenvironment (Kanda, 2013) and ensures that molecules and ions in the systemic circulation will not interfere with sensory and motor signal transduction. Groups of axons and endoneurial blood vessels together form fascicles, which are further protected from the periphery by the perineurium, a component of the BNB composed of concentric layers of basement membrane and sheets of perineurial cells (Figure 1). The entire nerve is surrounded by the collagenous epineurium, which provides tensile strength but does not contribute to the BNB (Peltonen et al., 2013).

While the BNB is fundamental for normal function it hinders the transport of therapeutic compounds from the vasculature into the nerve. The sciatic nerve itself is impermeable to hydrophilic small molecules (Rechthand et al., 1987; Abram et al., 2006) as well as larger biologics (Liu et al., 2018); only small, lipophilic molecules can easily access the endoneurium. While this restrictiveness facilitates normal function, it is an issue in the clinic when considering delivery of therapeutics to peripheral nerves. The BNB serves as a major hurdle for access to drug targets that are in the peripheral nerves.

There are several scenarios where targeted delivery to the peripheral nerves is desired, and the features of BNB dictate the choice of an appropriate drug delivery strategy (Figure 1). For example,
injury or traumatic damage to the nerve can breach the BNB. In these cases, regrowth of axons and repair of connections can be facilitated by local delivery of factors that promote a growth permissive environment (Madduri and Gander, 2012). Here, biomaterials can be directly implanted into the peripheral nerve environment providing bypassing the BNB. The biomaterials are a substrate for guided nerve regeneration while providing a platform for delivery of therapeutic molecules. These polymers can increase the half-life of labile growth factors and facilitate release at the site of injury, exploiting the loss of barrier integrity.

Local, controlled delivery at the site of repair also allows for administration of an experimental therapy that may otherwise be contraindicated as a systemic treatment (Lyons et al., 1994; Tajdaran et al., 2015; Langert et al., 2017; Nishihara et al., 2018). Mechanistic and preclinical studies frequently reveal novel therapeutic targets of interest; however, follow-up experiments often require high-dose, systemic administration (up to 100 mg/kg) of compounds that cannot be directly translated to the clinic (Han et al., 2014; Xiao et al., 2014; Pottabathini et al., 2016). In this regard, targeted delivery of therapeutic molecules to the PNS has the potential to increase therapeutic options for patients and minimize side effects.

In another example, local, controlled delivery of anesthetics or analgesics to peripheral nerves is necessary to provide a nerve block or relieve neuropathic pain. Here, the perineural and endoneurial barriers are intact and restrictive. Local anesthesia bears several advantages over general anesthesia for surgical procedures of the limbs (including the surgical repair of the injured nerves described above), and local peripheral nerve blocks can also be used to treat chronic neuropathic pain (Shankarappa et al., 2012). Strategies are necessary to facilitate diffusion of a compound across the intact perineural and endoneurial barriers. In the case of conventional local anesthetics, strategies are necessary to minimize toxicity while providing an adequate nerve block.

Strategies based in gene therapy can also be exploited to target peripheral nerves in the presence of an intact barrier. Here, targeting can be conveyed by incorporating bacterial toxins that display natural neurotropism for ganglioside receptors that are enriched at presynaptic terminals. Retrograde transport can facilitate delivery of encapsulated cargo along the axon, to the dorsal root ganglia, or spinal cord. This approach has been investigated in models of regeneration (Lopes et al., 2017), inherited neuropathies (Wolfe et al., 2012), and neuropathic pain (Lopes et al., 2016).

In each of the aforementioned scenarios, the BNB poses a challenge in the delivery of therapeutic cargo (e.g., growth factors, small molecules, nucleic acids) to the endoneurial compartment. In this review, we describe bioengineering strategies that can be used for therapeutic, targeted delivery to the peripheral nerve. These strategies (illustrated in Figure 1 and outlined in Table 1) vary depending on the underlying integrity of the BNB, the properties of the agents to be delivered, and the nature of the associated clinical scenarios. We discuss advancements that have been made in preclinical studies and limitations in translating these findings to the clinic. Future opportunities for refinement of delivery strategies and the role of bioengineering in these opportunities are a matter of final discussion.

### STRATEGY I- DIRECT PLACEMENT AT A BREACHED BARRIER

The restrictiveness of the BNB is not a primary matter of concern when considering delivery after injury or trauma, as these events are associated with subsequent disruption of the BNB (Abram et al., 2006; Moreau et al., 2016). In addition, many injuries require surgical exposure of the nerve for assessment and repair. This provides an opportunity for direct placement of a graft or guide to facilitate healing and deliver proteins that would otherwise not be able to cross the BNB. Thus, access to the endoneurium and localized administration are not difficult to achieve in this scenario. Similarly, in vivo testing of drug delivery systems involves surgical exposure of the nerve at the time of injury modeling (typically crush or transection) allows for direct application of the nerve conduit or graft. Both clinically and experimentally, biomaterials incorporated into nerve conduits facilitate controlled release and protection of protein cargo, while providing structural support to regenerating axons.

Bioengineered nerve conduits can be synthesized from a broad range of natural [e.g., collagen (Catrina et al., 2013; Liodaki et al., 2013), laminin (Hsu et al., 2013), chitosan (Huang et al., 2011), alginate (Quigley et al., 2013)] and synthetic [e.g., poly (lactic-co-glycolic) acid (PLGA) (de Boer et al., 2012), polycaprolactone (PCL) (Reid et al., 2013)3 biomaterials. Bioengineered grafts overcome concerns of available donor tissue and morbidity at the donor surgical site which is an improvement of the current standard of care, autologous nerve grafts, even in the absence of encapsulated factors. However, successful functional outcomes may be more frequently achieved with simultaneous delivery of trophic support in the form of growth factors and other growth-permissive pharmacological compounds (Piotrowicz and Shoichet, 2006; Madduri and Gander, 2012). Candidates for local delivery within a nerve conduit include nerve growth factor (NGF) (Lee et al., 2003), brain-derived neurotrophic factor (BDNF) (Zhao et al., 2014) and glial-derived neurotrophic factor (GDNF) (Fu et al., 2011).

While the basic structure of a bioengineered nerve conduit is a hollow tube, several strategies can be employed to incorporate cargo resulting in tunable release kinetics (Madduri and Gander, 2012). Different fabrication techniques can yield a tube filled with channels, longitudinal fibers (Dinis et al., 2015), or a matrix (Black et al., 2015). The lumen of the tube can be filled with growth factor-containing solution, a gel containing free growth factors or a gel containing polymeric microspheres providing a sustained delivery of factors. Alternatively, the inner wall of the nerve conduit can be coated with growth factor by physical adsorption, covalent coupling, or the scaffold wall can be embedded or coated with growth factor-containing microspheres (Piotrowicz and Shoichet, 2006).

Each of the different methods for incorporating cargo into an engineered nerve conduit described above is associated with different release mechanisms and release kinetics. When a growth...
FIGURE 1 | Strategies for targeted delivery to the peripheral nervous system. (I) Direct placement of a bioengineered nerve conduit in the presence of breached perineurial and blood-nerve (endoneurial) barriers. (II) Administration of anesthetic or analgesic by injection at or outside the epineurium (a), outside the perineurium (b), or within a fascicle (c). Administration of a peptide or protein requires localized, transient disruption of perineurial and blood-nerve barriers. (III) Presynaptic uptake and retrograde trafficking at peripheral nerve terminals results in delivery of cargo along individual axons within a fascicle. (IV) Endothelial targeting of systemically administered nanoparticles can preferentially target the endoneurial vasculature.

factor is simply in solution in the lumen of the conduit, release will occur via diffusion at a rate more rapid than desired for most applications. Incorporation of growth factor into degradable microspheres typically results in an initial burst release from the surface, followed by sustained release as the polymer breaks down and releases the encapsulated payload. Depending on the desired application, the release kinetics can be further tuned by modifying polymer composition, producing layered microspheres or conduits (Ahmed et al., 2012) or co-encapsulating a protein stabilizer, such as bovine serum albumin (BSA) (Coleman and Lowman, 2012). Piotrowicz and Shoichet investigated three different methods of incorporating NGF into a nerve conduit and quantifying release over a period of 28 days (Piotrowicz and Shoichet, 2006). A nerve conduit loaded by soaking in an NGF-containing solution released 95% (990 pg/cm) of the imbibed NGF by day 1, with only an additional 50 pg released through day 28. Embedding NGF-encapsulating microspheres into the inner wall of the conduit resulted in ∼30% burst release of NGF by day 1 and sustained release over the 28 day period, but only 220 pg/cm released overall. Optimal growth factor release was observed when NGF was directly entrapped within the inner wall of the conduit during synthesis. This method yielded the lowest burst release (∼23%) and the most consistent sustained release, with a total of 8624 pg/cm of released NGF during the study. This study demonstrates the differences in release kinetics that can be achieved with minor modifications to the fabrication of the nerve conduit.

The lack of an intact BNB can result in exposure of the nerve to undesirably high concentrations of delivered cargo. Basic strategies described above that deliver a single factor with rapid diffusion from the biomaterial scaffold can result in uncontrolled and aberrant axonal growth and improper innervation of peripheral targets (Liuzzi and Tedeschi, 1991). These issues can be due, in part, to poorly controlled release

| Strategy | I | II | III | IV |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Status of BNB | Barrier breached | Barrier intact | Barrier intact | Barrier intact |
| Clinical example | Peripheral nerve trauma | Anesthesia, neuropathic pain | Gene therapy | Inflamed vasculature |
| Mechanism of access | Direct placement | Diffusion across BNB, with or without disruption | Retrograde axonal transport | Transendothelial migration |

The underlying status of the blood nerve barrier (BNB) and clinical application dictate the mechanism of access to the endoneurium.
kinetics (including high burst release), difficulty of delivering the optimal dose in vivo (Kemp et al., 2011), and the administration of a single growth factor (Madduri et al., 2010) which may not properly capture the complex physiological milieu that is conducive to regeneration and repair. In response to these issues, recent strategies to engineer nerve conduits focus on more complex release kinetics by engineering systems to release several growth factors in a coordinated sequence or synergistically (Madduri et al., 2010; Zhang et al., 2014). For example, in a recent study the cytokine erythropoietin was incorporated into a NGF delivery system in a manner that facilitated their synergism through coordinated release (Zhang et al., 2017). The nerve conduit contained two different preparations of microspheres: erythropoietin was encapsulated in PLGA microspheres to allow for a burst release followed by sustained release, and NGF was encapsulated in BSA-containing PLGA microspheres which resulted in its delayed release. Dual delivery of the compounds resulted in a higher sciatric functional index and motor nerve conduction velocity both 4 and 8 weeks post-injury as compared to animals receiving either treatment alone. In addition, an increased number of myelinated axons and thicker bands of myelin were observed in animals receiving both preparations of microspheres after 8 weeks of treatment.

Another recent study utilized a core-shell layering strategy to deliver vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) followed by NGF (Xia and Lv, 2018). In this system, NGF was emulsified into the poly (L-lactic acid) (PLLA) core of an electrospun, nanofibrous scaffold. Following synthesis of the scaffold, a shell of VEGF was established by physical adsorption onto the surface. The nanofibrous scaffold provided a large surface area for adsorption of a maximal amount of VEGF, and ensured rapid, local release of the angiogenic factor. The initial burst release of VEGF promoted vascularization of the scaffold, and the sustained release of NGF promoted nerve regeneration. A rat sciatic nerve transection model revealed that this layered dual-delivery resulted in improved neovascularization and nerve healing as compared to either growth factor alone, with VEGF potentiating the ability of NGF to promote regeneration.

In addition to locally administering endogenous growth factors, engineered nerve conduits can be employed to deliver small molecules that may be intolerable at high systemic doses. Many of these compounds are approved at low doses for other applications, and have been identified for possible off-label use in research. For example, tacrolimus (FK506) is an immunosuppressant used in conjunction with cyclosporin A following organ transplantation that has several non-immune effects attributed to its use. Early studies demonstrated that FK506 promotes neurite outgrowth in vitro (Lyons et al., 1994) and enhances axonal regeneration in vivo (Gold et al., 1995). These effects are dose-dependent, and there are side-effects associated with high-dose or long-term administration (Yan et al., 2012). In Labroo et al. (2016) designed a double-walled PLGA nerve conduit that released active FK506 for several weeks and enhanced dorsal root ganglion (DRG) outgrowth in vitro. In a subsequent study, administration of FK506 along with NGF and/or GDNF exhibited a potentiating effect, with significantly enhanced nerve elongation and branching in vitro (Labroo et al., 2017). The future for FK506 in targeted treatment of peripheral nerve regeneration lies in more sophisticated drug delivery systems that facilitate co-administration in vivo along with the growth factors described above.

In the above described delivery systems, the presence of a breached barrier and surgical placement of a nerve graft allow for the use of a complex delivery systems that incorporate coordinated release of multiple, synergistic factors. Optimization of this approach is primarily focused on identifying the best compound, or combination of compounds, for delivery and determining optimal release kinetics. Here, the “targeting” mechanism is simple: direct placement at the nerve and unobstructed access to the endoneurium. This is not the case for delivery in the absence of injury.

**STRATEGY II: DIFFUSION ACROSS AN INTACT BARRIER**

While injury and a breached barrier influence the bioengineering strategies described in the previous section, situations requiring administration of anesthesia or analgesia to peripheral nerves necessitate a different approach. In these cases, the perineurium and BNB are often intact at the desired site of administration, surgical administration is unnecessary or contraindicated, and the anatomy of the peripheral nerve becomes a key hurdle to overcome for delivery of compounds to affected axons (Vadhanan et al., 2015). Strategies for administration of peripheral nerve block or relief of neuropathic pain depend on the ability of a compound to diffuse freely across the perineurium and the BNB, which is related, in part, to its solubility. For the purposes of this review, we will discuss strategies to deliver both conventional local anesthetics and peptide or protein biologics to the endoneurium.

**Local Anesthetics**

After peripheral injection, conventional local anesthetics can diffuse across the barriers of the PNS and access motor and sensory axons due to their hydrophobic nature. Nerve stimulation or ultrasound guidance enable precise localization of the injection with respect to peripheral nerve architecture: outside of the epineurium, outside of the perineurium, or within the perineurium (intradural injection) (Figure 1). Nerve injury after peripheral nerve block can occur as a result of many factors, including toxicity, dose, volume, pressure, and blunt forces of the needle. Intradural injection may lead to a longer lasting nerve block than extrafascicular injection (Damjanovska et al., 2015); however, local anesthetics can also cause apoptosis, injury, or long-term neurological deficits when injected in this manner (Farber et al., 2013; Brull et al., 2015). Sustained release formulations, such as liposomal bupivacaine, may decrease the incidence of systemic toxicity (Santamaria et al., 2017), but conflicting data surround the application of such formulations to minimize local toxicity (McAlvin et al., 2013; Damjanovska et al., 2015). While the occurrence of permanent nerve damage following peripheral nerve block is rare (Link et al., 2011), it remains difficult to
balance desired properties of a nerve block (fast onset) with adverse effects (toxicity and transient nerve injury), particularly in patients with preexisting neurological disease (Lirk et al., 2011).

A strategy to advance peripheral anesthesia and pain control methods incorporates the use of stimulus-responsive biomaterials. Such a delivery system would release minimal drug in the absence of an external stimulus, allowing for on-demand adjustment of the local dose of anesthesia according to the patient’s needs. Several methods of external triggering can be engineered into delivery systems to facilitate on-demand delivery of a payload, including near infrared (NIR) light (Rwei et al., 2015) and ultrasound (Epstein-Barash et al., 2010).

Ultrasound has recently been investigated as a trigger for an on-demand system to release local anesthetic. An attractive feature of this system is that ultrasound is already incorporated into clinical practice to guide the placement of epinephrine and intraneural injections of local anesthetics (Marhofer et al., 2010). Rwei et al. (2017a) synthesized liposomes containing a sonosensitizer which, with the application of ultrasound energy, released reactive oxygen species that peroxidated the unsaturated lipid component of the liposome. This led to release of the encapsulated anesthetic, tetrodotoxin (TTX), and subsequent nerve block. When co-administered with an α2-adrenergic agonist, dexmedetomidine, the duration of the nerve block could be controlled by varying the duration of the applied insonation.

The same investigators have also explored local anesthetic delivery systems that are triggered by near-infrared light (Rwei et al., 2015, 2017b). In this case, the liposomal formulation contains a NIR-absorbing photosensitizer that triggers release of TTX in the presence of 730 nm light. While the system produced a nerve block with distinct on-off states, NIR light does not penetrate tissue to the depth that ultrasound does, and is not a component of the standard of care, which may make NIR-triggered systems less desirable than ultrasound mediated delivery. Incorporation of dexmedetomidine may potentiate the effect of TTX, facilitating release with decreased intensity and duration of irradiation (Rwei et al., 2017a).

**Hydrophilic Compounds**

An alternative to the application of potentially toxic local anesthetics for the management of pain or production of sustained nerve block is the use of endogenous, hydrophilic opioid peptides. Mu-opioid receptors are expressed along the axons of peripheral nerves and are upregulated after injury or inflammation (Truong et al., 2003; Schmidt et al., 2013; Mousa et al., 2017). While mu-opioid receptors are expressed and functional in non-injured conditions (Mambretti et al., 2016), they are quiescent, as their ligands cannot breach the intact BNB and access the axons of sensory neurons. Thus, the local application of opioids as a pain management strategy requires targeted disruption of the BNB.

Several strategies exist to disrupt the perineural and endoneurial barriers and facilitate targeted delivery of hydrophilic compounds. One strategy extends earlier findings that transient opening of the blood-brain barrier can be achieved through infusion of a hypertonic solution (Rapoport, 2000). Initial studies with peripheral nociception demonstrated that plantar injection of hypertonic saline resulted in sustained but transient BNB opening and potent peripheral antinociception after plantar administration of the endogenous opioids met-enkephalin and β-endorphin (Rittner et al., 2009). Subsequent studies incorporating small interfering RNA (siRNA) knockdown of components of peripheral nerve tight junctions demonstrated that perisciatic injection of hypertonic saline opens the BNB by a mechanism involving reduced expression of claudin-1, but not claudin-5 or occludin tight junction proteins. Perineural administration of siRNA to claudin-1 facilitated local delivery of hydrophilic opioids and subsequent nociception (Hackel et al., 2012).

Following the determination that the barrier-opening effects of hypertonic saline were mediated through claudin-1, subsequent studies demonstrated that perineural application of a claudin-1 peptidomimetic facilitated barrier opening and transport of hydrophilic analgesics to axonal nociceptors in normal rats (Zwanziger et al., 2012; Sauer et al., 2014; Staat et al., 2015). Further, perineural application of recombinant tissue plasminogen activator has similarly been demonstrated to downregulate claudin-1 expression and increase permeability of the BNB via a separate mechanism which is, in part, regulated by specific microRNAs (Yang et al., 2016). In summary, these studies elegantly identify several mechanistic pathways that can be targeted to transiently open the BNB to facilitate delivery of hydrophilic small molecules or compounds to peripheral nerves. Future studies may incorporate these barrier-opening strategies into on-demand delivery systems to clinically advance patient-centered pain treatment.

**STRATEGY III: RETROGRADE AXONAL TRANSPORT, CIRCUMVENTING THE BARRIER**

One strategy that confers targeted delivery to the PNS despite the presence of an intact BNB employs basic principles of gene therapy. Initial approaches to nerve-targeted gene therapy exploited the natural neurotropism exhibited by many viral vectors, such as the affinity of herpes simplex virus for peripheral sensory neurons of the DRG (Wolfe et al., 2012). While results have been promising, viral gene delivery is not ideal for in vivo or clinical application due to toxic, inflammatory, and immunogenic complications and safety concerns.

Several natural toxins exist that bind to receptors located within the central and peripheral nervous systems and could be used to engineer a targeted delivery system (Lencer and Tasi, 2003; Surana et al., 2018). Targeted uptake by presynaptic peripheral nerve terminals can be achieved with bacterial neurotoxins, either with a cell-penetrating peptide derived from the toxin (Liu et al., 2005) or an inactive fragment of holotoxin (Lopes et al., 2017). While this component conveys target specificity to the PNS, incorporation of synthetic (Lopes et al., 2016) or naturally occurring (Oliveira et al., 2010) biomaterials into the delivery system facilitates the formation of nanocomplexes which will protect delivery cargo (e.g., nucleic
acids) from degradation as well as facilitate cell-specific uptake. In this manner, intramuscularly injected cargo can be delivered to peripheral nerves in the presence of an intact BNB, exploiting natural retrograde axonal transport mechanisms employed by toxins.

Tetanus toxins are clostridial toxins that exhibit high affinity binding to ganglioside receptors, primarily the ganglioside GT1b receptor, on the presynaptic terminals of peripheral nerves (Surana et al., 2018). This high affinity binding conveys extreme potency, with lethal doses as low as 0.1 ng/kg of body weight. The primary mechanism by which tetanus toxin exerts effects on the PNS involves efficient retrograde transport from the neuromuscular junction to the cell body of motor neurons; however, it is also taken up and transported by sensory and sympathetic neurons (Wilson and Ho, 2014; Surana et al., 2018). The C-terminal binding domain of tetanus toxin has been demonstrated to mediate the effects of the holotoxin (Surana et al., 2018). Inactive mutants of, or peptides derived from, the C-terminal binding domain have been successfully engineered to target cargo to the peripheral nerves.

Tet1, a 12-amino acid peptide, was identified with phage display as exhibiting both an affinity for ganglioside GT1b and binding properties similar to the C-terminal binding domain of tetanus toxin (Liu et al., 2005). Subsequent studies demonstrated the in vitro (Federici et al., 2007) and in vivo uptake and retrograde transport of Tet1-conjugated green fluorescent protein (GFP) to the motor neuron cell body from the site of injection (lumborum of latissimus dorsi) (Kassa et al., 2013). To test the delivery of nucleic acids in a targeted system, Chu et al. (2013) prepared copolymers of N-(2-hydroxypropyl)methacrylamide (HPMA) and oligolysine/Tet1 macromonomers that formed complexes with plasmid DNA. In this system, Tet1 serves as the neuron-specific targeting entity, oligolysine serves to bind and protect nucleic acid, and HPMA provides stability. The authors demonstrated successful gene delivery to neurons in vitro (Chu et al., 2013). Complexes of Tet1 and polyethyleneimine (PEI), as well as polyamidoamines composed of poly(E-caprolactone)-block-poly(ethylene glycol) (PEG-b-PCL) have also been demonstrated to deliver nucleic acids to central nervous system (CNS) neurons when utilizing Tet1 as a targeting moiety (Park et al., 2007; Zhang et al., 2012).

While Tet1 has been incorporated as a targeting moiety into neuron-specific delivery systems, further advances have been made with inactive, nontoxic mutants or fragments of the tetanus toxin holoenzyme. Lopes et al., 2016 prepared PEI-based nanoparticles functionalized with PEGylated nontoxic c-terminal fragments of tetanus toxin. The PEI formed complexes with DNA encoding GFP and β-galactosidase. Noninvasive injection into the footpad resulted in GFP expression in DRG cell bodies three days later, with minimal expression in non-neuronal tissues.

More recently, the investigators employed a similar delivery system to investigate delivery of a neurotrophic factor in a clinically relevant in vivo nerve crush injury model (Lopes et al., 2017). Instead of PEI, which can be toxic, the biodegradable cationic polymer chitosan was used to form nanocomplexes with DNA encoding BDNF. Nanocomplexes were then conjugated with PEGylated c-terminal fragments of tetanus toxin, forming nanoparticles. Animals received an intramuscular (gastrocnemius) injection of nanoparticles eight days prior to nerve crush injury. Delivery of BDNF plasmid DNA through this chitosan-based vector resulted in increased BDNF protein expression in DRG, lumbar spinal cord, and sciatic nerve at the end of the 21-day study, as well as significant sensorimotor functional recovery. This marks one of the first studies to demonstrate successful delivery of a neurotrophic gene selectively to peripheral neurons, leading to functional recovery in a nerve injury model.

Botulinum toxin is another member of the clostridium neurotoxin family that can target delivery to the peripheral nerves in the presence of an intact BNB following a noninvasive peripheral injection. Botulinum toxin is taken up by motor neurons where it blocks acetylcholine release at the neuromuscular junction (Surana et al., 2018). While it was initially thought that all isoforms of botulinum toxin remained at the nerve terminal, it has been established that a particular isoform, botulinum neurotoxin A (BoNT/A), is subject to retrograde trafficking, making it a candidate for targeting to the soma (Restani et al., 2012).

Retrograde trafficking of BoNT/A was investigated in vivo in a mouse model of neuropathic pain (Marinelli et al., 2012). Animals received a noninvasive intraplantar injection of BoNT/A five days after chronic constriction injury. The dose of BoNT/A was such that paralysis was not induced. Botulinum activity was detected in the peripheral nerve endings, along the axons, and in DRG, as well as in spinal cord and spinal astrocytes. Further, animals displayed significant reduction in mechanical allodynia as well as an increase in functional recovery, suggesting that botulinum toxin may exert central effects beyond cholinergic synapse modulation that result in analgesia and attenuation of pain pathways (Pavone and Luvisetto, 2010). A recent study by the same investigators (Finocchiaro et al., 2018) studied the effects of BoNT/B isoform on mechanical allodynia and functional recovery. They observed analgesic effects, but not functional recovery, highlighting differences between the subtypes of botulinum isoforms that may have implications in the design of targeting strategies.

Cholera toxin has an atoxic subunit (cholera toxin subunit B, or CTB) which displays a natural neurotropism through its binding to the ganglioside GM1. The specificity of CTB for GM1 has recently been exploited to target mesoporous silicone nanoparticles (MSNPs) to motor neurons (Gonzalez Porras et al., 2016). This system improves upon the cationic complexes described above by allowing for a larger payload capacity within the cylindrical pores of the nanoparticle. After loading with a model small molecule drug, MSNPs were coated with a preparation of phospholipids and cholesterol, and biotin-CTB was conjugated to the surface of the nanoparticles. CTB functionalized MSNPs displayed motoneuron cell specificity and delivered a small molecule to motoneurons in vitro, while remaining nontoxic. Recently, the authors tested the application of MSNPs in vivo (Gonzalez Porras et al., 2018), and demonstrated the presence of fluorescent CTB...
functionalyzed MSNPs in the phrenic nerve 24h after intrapleural injection. The particles were taken up by endocytosis at lipid rafts, and evaded lysosomal degradation once inside the cell.

The next wave of neuron-targeted delivery strategies that exploit mechanisms of retrograde trafficking lies in delivery of diverse cargo that facilitates functional improvement in different disease models. In this regard, the payload capacity of mesoporous silicon nanoparticles may serve as a distinct advantage over cationic nanocomplexes, enabling the delivery of small molecules and proteins along the axon. However, as demonstrated by Lopes et al., delivery of plasmid DNA encoding a neurotrophic factor can result in increased protein expression and functional protection in vivo. Future successful delivery systems may combine aspects of each strategy to facilitate coordinated release of multiple factors. The emergence of these studies in just the past year or two suggests that this field will be rapidly expanding.

STRATEGY IV: ENDOTHELIAL TARGETING FROM THE SYSTEMIC CIRCULATION

Despite advances in bioengineering to deliver compounds to the peripheral nerve as described above, targeted delivery for treatment of diseases of the PNS remain elusive. The sheer length of peripheral nerves may necessitate systemic delivery, while the selectivity of the BNB restricts access of systemically administered agents to the cells of the PNS. The most promising strategies will incorporate PNS-specific modifications to systemically administered nanocarriers, such that the administered compounds will (I) be protected from degradation/phagocytosis/opsonization within the circulation, (II) identify and recognize the targeted peripheral nerves, and (III) be able to cross the BNB.

A novel preparation of dioleoyl-phosphatidylcholine (DOPC) based liposomes preferentially targeted peripheral neurons and Schwann cells when conferred with some key modifications that mimic properties of neural cell membranes. Investigators added Poloxamer 188, a nonionic emulsifier that has been demonstrated to facilitate transport across the blood brain barrier, and supplemented liposomes with cholesterol, which is expressed at high levels in neuronal cells, especially myelinating glia (Lee et al., 2013). In vitro experiments demonstrated that fluorescently labeled DOPC liposomes were internalized by Schwann cells, sensory neurons, and motor axons, but not skeletal muscle cells. When administered intravenously, DOPC liposomes were detected at elevated levels in sciatic nerve Schwann cells as well as in highly vascularized regions of the brain. Detection of DOPC liposomes in the liver and kidney indicated clearance through these routes; however, collectively the data suggest that modified liposomes do cross the BNB and are taken up by myelinated peripheral nerves.

While liposomal preparations may confer specificity to neurons, it may be necessary for a delivery system to target PNS-specific receptors expressed by BNB endothelial cells, such that they may be accessed from the circulation. Several strategies have demonstrated delivery of cargo across the blood-brain barrier by targeting CNS-specific endothelial receptors, a situation analogous to that encountered in the periphery. The localized expression pattern of transporters and receptors to brain endothelial cells facilitates carrier-mediated transport of circulating particles with a surface modified to express the ligand (Thuenauer et al., 2017). Delivery across the blood-brain barrier has been successful with nanoparticles functionalized to express apolipoprotein E (Dal Magro et al., 2017) or angiopoet-2 peptide (Wang et al., 2015), which both target upregulated low-density lipoprotein receptors on brain endothelial cells, or with nanoparticles modified to express a monoclonal antibody to the transferrin receptor (Wiley et al., 2013).

The unique molecular composition of transporters, receptors, and tight junction associated molecules that make up the BNB are not fully defined. Much of the current knowledge of the BNB is derived from in vitro cultures (Ubogu, 2013), and, more recently, in situ observations (Palladino et al., 2017). The glucose transporter GLUT-1 is highly expressed in perineural and endoneurial endothelial cells, while endoneurial endothelial cells also express the creatine (CRT) and monocarboxylic acid (MCT-1) nutrient transporters (Yosef and Ubogu, 2013). The cell membrane localization of these transporters and potential for use as a PNS targeting mechanism remains to be elucidated.

Inflammatory neuropathies are characterized by upregulated expression of cell adhesion molecules and other inflammatory markers that may be exploited to target therapies to the inflamed peripheral nerves. For example, localized expression of intercellular adhesion molecule (ICAM)-1 by endoneurial endothelial cells during inflammation has been observed in vitro (Langert et al., 2013) and in vivo (Putzu et al., 2000). Nanoparticles have been engineered to express a peptide fragment of leukocyte function antigen (LFA)-1, the high affinity ligand for ICAM-1 (Zhang et al., 2008). LFA-1-peptide functionalized PLGA nanoparticles were used to deliver the chemotherapeutic drug, doxorubicin, to ICAM-1-expressing carcinomic alveolar epithelial cells in vitro (Chittasupho et al., 2009). Similarly, nanoparticles coated with a monoclonal antibody to ICAM-1 bound to and were internalized by brain microvascular endothelial cells (Hsu et al., 2014). While in vivo targeting of adhesion molecules is just beginning to be explored for other applications (Khodabandehlou et al., 2017), ICAM-1 targeted nanoparticles may represent a novel means of targeting the inflamed PNS from the systemic circulation.

CONCLUSION

Bioengineering has fueled advances in delivery of therapeutic cargo to the PNS, which can be difficult due to the presence of the BNB. The strategies that will be incorporated by a drug delivery system are dictated by the status of the BNB during injury, disease, or homeostatic conditions. These diverse strategies include coordinated release of multiple growth factors at the site...
of injury to facilitate repair in the presence of a breached barrier, or transient, localized barrier permeabilization and on-demand release to deliver peripheral nerve anesthesia or analgesia. In addition, the neurotropism of certain bacterial toxins can be exploited to deliver a customizable array of encapsulated cargo to the peripheral nerve via retrograde axonal transport for treatment of inherited neuropathies, neuropathic pain, and nerve injury. Finally, methods of targeting the BNB from the systemic circulation may include specific formulations of liposomes and injury. Methods of targeting the BNB from the systemic circulation may include specific formulations of liposomes and surface functionalization with endothelial ligands. While much progress has been made, future clinical applications of targeted delivery to the peripheral nerve rely on continued advancement of biomaterials-based drug delivery systems, as well as further elucidation of the molecular characteristics of the BNB during health and disease.
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