Influence of Humidity and Temperature on Postharvest Needle Abscission in Balsam Fir in the Presence and Absence of Exogenous Ethylene
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Abstract. Ethylene accumulation increases after harvest and culminates in needle abscission in balsam fir [Abies balsamea (L.) Mill.]. We hypothesize that water deficit induces ethylene evolution, thus triggering abscission. The purpose of this research was to investigate the role of temperature and humidity on postharvest needle abscission in the presence and absence of exogenous ethylene and link vapor pressure deficit (VPD) to postharvest needle abscission in balsam fir. In the first experiment, branches were exposed to 30%, 60%, or 90% humidity while maintained at 19.7 °C (VPD of 1.59, 0.91, or 0.23 kPa, respectively); in the second experiment, branches were exposed to 5, 15, or 25 °C (VPD of 0.35, 0.68, or 1.26 kPa, respectively) while maintained at 60% relative humidity. Needle retention duration, average water use, xylem pressure potential relative water content, and ethylene evolution were response variables. Reducing water loss or xylem tension by changing temperature or humidity effectively delayed needle abscission, although the 90% humidity treatment had the most profound effects. In the absence of exogenous ethylene, branches placed in 90% humidity had a fivefold increase in needle retention, 67% decrease in average water use, and had a final xylem pressure potential of −0.09 MPa. There was a near perfect relationship between VPD and needle retention (R² = 0.99). These findings suggest that increasing xylem tension or decreasing water status may trigger ethylene synthesis and needle abscission. In addition, these findings demonstrate an effective means of controlling postharvest needle abscission by modifying temperature and/or relative humidity.

Balsam fir is an important horticultural crop for provinces in Atlantic Canada, including Nova Scotia, New Brunswick, Prince Edward Island, and Newfoundland. Each year the region supplies over 3 million trees for local and international use as Christmas trees (MacDonald, 2010). However, a major challenge for the Christmas tree industry is early postharvest needle abscission, attributable possibly to earlier harvest dates, reduced cold acclimation periods, and longer shipping distances (Chastagner and Riley, 2003; MacDonald and Lada, 2008). As a result, there has been more interest in understanding the physiology of needle abscission in postharvest balsam fir.

Although several factors have been considered, the role of ethylene has contributed most to our understanding of postharvest needle abscission in balsam fir. Endogenous ethylene evolution reaches detectable limits (0.1 ppm with ethylene analyzer) 1 week after harvest and then peaks at ≈15 μL·g⁻¹·h⁻¹ before abscission occurs (MacDonald et al., 2010, 2011b). If a branch is instead exposed to exogenous ethylene, then abscission occurs 30% to 70% earlier than a control and is strongly dependent on the concentration of ethylene (MacDonald et al., 2010, 2011a). The use of an ethylene synthesis or action inhibitor such as aminoethoxyvinylglycine or 1-methylcyclopene negates the effect of ethylene and doubles the time required for abscission (MacDonald et al., 2010). Endogenous ethylene accumulation or exposure to exogenous ethylene subsequently increases cellulase activity in needle tissue by ≈10-fold, weakening the cell walls and promoting needle abscission (MacDonald, 2010, MacDonald et al., 2011a).

Although a portion of the abscission pathway is understood, the trigger for ethylene evolution is still not known. Initially, it was thought that mechanical wounding from harvest and shipping would trigger ethylene, as observed in other species (O’Donnell et al., 1996). However, the considerable 1-week lag time between balsam fir harvest and ethylene evolution observed by MacDonald et al. (2010, 2011b) has caused doubt on the role of mechanical stress-induced abscission in postharvest Christmas trees. A second theory is that postharvest water deficit induces ethylene evolution and abscission, which has also been demonstrated in several other species (Morgan et al., 1990; Taylor and Whitelaw, 2001). There is some evidence to suggest that postharvest balsam fir branches are experiencing water deficit, because xylem pressure potential (XPP) in balsam fir decreases after harvest and is negatively correlated with needle retention (MacDonald, 2010), but these studies did not directly explore the link between water status and ethylene evolution. If decreased water status is the cause, then minimizing transpiration (thus maintaining plant water status) by manipulating relative humidity or temperature should reduce or delay ethylene evolution and needle abscission in balsam fir. Thus, the objectives of this study were to 1) determine the effects of temperature and humidity, independently, on ethylene evolution and needle abscission in balsam fir; 2) to determine if temperature or humidity could offset the effect of ethylene on needle abscission; and 3) to link VPD to needle abscission.

Materials and Methods

Sample collection. A total of 48 branches were collected from a 14-year-old (at the time of experiment) balsam fir orchard at the Tree Breeding Center, Department of Natural Resources, Debert, Nova Scotia, Canada (lat. 45°25’ N, long. 63°28’ W). Each branch served as a sample and was cut from 2-year growth at 1.5 m aboveground. Samples were immediately placed in a container with distilled water for transport to a growth chamber. Once in a growth chamber, branches were given a fresh cut 2.5 cm above the previous cut (while submerged in water to reduce risk of cavitation), weighed, and then placed in a 250-mL flask filled with 200 mL of distilled water. The neck of each flask was plugged with cotton wool to reduce direct water evaporation and provide added stability to a branch. Afterward, the entire apparatus was weighed, which allowed for quantification of needle loss and water use throughout the experiment without disturbance to the branches. To monitor ethylene concentrations, each apparatus was then placed in an ethylene incubation chamber (EIC) housed within the
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growing chamber. A detailed description of ethylene incubation chamber construction is provided in MacDonald et al. (2010, 2011b).

**Experimental design.** Two similar experiments were conducted to investigate the effect of humidity and temperature on needle abscission. The first experiment was a 2 x 3 factorial design with four replicates, in which a balsam fir branch served as a replicate. The first factor was ethylene concentration (0 or 1000 ppm), which was selected based on the results of MacDonald et al. (2010). Each branch was placed in an EIC (within a growth chamber) and exposed to either 0 or 1000 ppm exogenous ethylene. After 24 h, each EIC was opened for branch inspection, which allowed exogenous levels of ethylene to drop to 0 ppm. Then branches were placed into an EIC and exposed to respective ethylene concentrations again. This process continued until complete abscission occurred. The second factor was humidity (30%, 60%, or 90%). Humidity was maintained using misting fans with controlled water flow in the growth chamber and never changed by more than 1% of the assigned value (measured by two independent sensors inside and outside the ethylene incubation chamber). The EIC was kept at an average temperature of 19.7 °C with a light intensity of 80 μmol·m⁻²·s⁻¹ supplied by incandescent and fluorescent lights. The second experiment had a similar experimental design, but the second factor was temperature (5, 15, or 25 °C). During the second experiment, humidity in each chamber was maintained at 60% in all treatments. As indicated previously, temperature and humidity were monitored inside and outside.

In each experiment, branches were monitored until complete needle abscission occurred. Response variables were needle retention duration (NRD), average water use (AWU), XPP, relative water content (RWC), day of peak ethylene evolution (DPE), and peak ethylene evolution rate. In addition, VPD was calculated for growth chamber conditions in each experiment.

**Needle retention duration.** The primary measurement of abscission was NRD, which was initially defined as the number of days required for complete needle abscission. Previous experiments determined that the mass of needles on a 2-year-old branch accounts for ≈50% of the total fresh weight (MacDonald, 2010; MacDonald and Lada, 2008; MacDonald et al., 2010). Thus, NRD was quantified as the length of time (days) to lose 50% of a branch’s initial fresh weight through abscission.

**Water use and status.** The primary indicator for water loss was AWU (ml·g⁻¹·d⁻¹) and determined gravimetrically. Because each flask was sealed around a standing branch, any change in mass should be the result of either transpiration or needle loss. Each flask with branch was weighed daily, where the measurements were adjusted to account for needle loss that may have occurred overnight. AWU was calculated as:

\[
\sum \frac{(M_0 - M_i) - M_n}{M_i \cdot \text{NRD}}
\]

where \(M_0\) is the initial fresh weight of the flask and branch, \(M_i\) is the fresh weight on each day, and \(M_n\) is the mass of needles lost on each day.

Response variables XPP and RWC were used to indicate water status in balsam fir. Xylem pressure potential of branches was measured using a Plant Moisture System Pressure Bomb (PMS Instrument Co., Corvalis, OR). A clipping from the primary branch was cut on the day of complete needle shed and was mounted upside down inside a pressure chamber and pressure was increased gradually at 0.01 MPa per minute until the water droplets appeared on the cut surface. The pressure required to release a water droplet was recorded. Relative water content was measured on the day of complete needle shed. Approximately 0.1 g of needles were weighed \(M_0\) and then placed in deionized water to reach full turgidity. After 24 h, the needles were removed from water, surface moisture was removed by dabbing with tissue paper, and needles were weighed \(M_1\). The needles were then dried at 90 °C for 24 h and then weighed again \(M_2\). The following calculation was used for RWC:

\[
RWC = \frac{M_f - M_x}{M_t - M_d} \times 100
\]

Ethylene evolution. Ethylene evolution was determined by transferring each branch to a separate EIC for 3 h to allow ethylene to increase to a detectable concentration. The EIC remained in the growth chamber and environmental conditions were monitored within EIC to ensure the same temperature and humidity as the growth chamber. Ethylene concentration was measured with a portable ethylene analyzer (Levitt-Safety, Moncton, New Brunswick, Canada) with a sensitivity of 0.1 ppm as described in MacDonald et al. (2010, 2011a). Ethylene evolution rates could be calculated by the following equation:

\[
\text{Ethylene evolution} = \frac{\text{Concentration} \times 80L}{3h \times \text{Mass}}
\]

where ethylene evolution is reported in μL·g⁻¹·h⁻¹, concentration is μL·L⁻¹, and mass is the initial fresh weight (g) of a branch. Ethylene evolution was measured each day; DPE represents the day ethylene evolution was highest.

**Vapor pressure deficit.** Vapor pressure deficit, which measures the difference between saturated vapor pressure and ambient vapor pressure, is one of the primary factors governing transpiration (Jolliet and Bailey, 1992). VPD is dependent on temperature and humidity and was calculated for each treatment based on equations provided by Monteith and Unsworth (1990):

\[
VPD = \frac{(P_{sat})(100 - RH)}{100}
\]

\[
P_{sat} = 610.7 \times 10^{0.237 + \frac{T}{237.3}}
\]

where VPD represents vapor pressure deficit (Pa), \(P_{sat}\) represents saturation vapor pressure (Pa), RH represents relative humidity (%), and \(T\) represents temperature (K). Based on this equation, the first experiment had an average daily temperature of 19.7 °C and treatments of 30%, 60%, or 90% humidity, which corresponded with VPDs of 1.59, 0.91, or 0.23 kPa. The second experiment had an average humidity of 60% and treatments of 5, 15, or 25 °C, which corresponded with VPDs of 0.35, 0.68, or 1.26 kPa.

**Statistical analysis.** Data were submitted to an analysis of variance using SAS 9.1 (SAS Institute, Cary, NC) to determine main and interaction effects significant at α = 0.05. Assumptions of normality, homogeneity, and independence were confirmed. Significant effects were separated using least squares means. Measurements of NRD, XPP, and AWU for each experiment were submitted to linear or non-linear regression analysis to
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Fig. 1. Comparison of branches stored at (A) 30% (left) and 90% (right) humidity on Day 1; (B) 30% (left) and 90% (right) humidity on Day 30; and (C) 90% humidity on Day 60 in the absence of exogenous ethylene. (D) All replications stored at 90% humidity in the absence of exogenous ethylene are still vibrant and green at Day 90.
determine potential relationships. In addition, non-linear regression analysis was used to determine the relationship between NRD and VPD.

Results

Effect of humidity on needle abscission. There was a significant (P < 0.001) interaction between ethylene concentration and humidity for both NRD and DPE. Humidity had no effect on needle retention in the presence of 1000 ppm ethylene. However, the highest humidity (90%) favored needle retention in the absence of ethylene exposure (Fig. 1). A 60% humidity increased NRD and DPE by ≈10% when compared with 30% humidity. However, 90% humidity increased NRD and DPE by more than fivefold when compared with 30% humidity (Table 1). Neither ethylene concentration nor humidity had any significant (P > 0.05) effect on the peak endogenous ethylene evolution rate.

AWU was only affected by humidity. Exposure to 90% humidity (as opposed to 30% humidity) resulted in a 50% decrease in AWU in the presence of ethylene and a 67% decrease in AWU in the absence of ethylene (Table 2). Under 0 ppm exogenous ethylene, XPP was generally lower at low humidity, whereas humidity has no significant effect on XPP in 1000 ppm treatments. XPP was lower in the presence of exogenous ethylene regardless of humidity. XPP was highest in the absence of ethylene when exposed to 90% humidity (Table 2). RWC followed a similar pattern as XPP. In the absence of ethylene, RWC was maintained at 86.7% when exposed to 90% humidity but decreased by 34.1% when exposed to 30% humidity. There were no significant differences in RWC in the presence of exogenous ethylene (Table 2).

Effect of temperature on needle abscission. NRD and DPE were significantly affected by both temperature (P < 0.001) and ethylene (P < 0.001) regimes. Continuous exposure to exogenous ethylene reduced NRD by 47% to 65%, depending on the temperature regime (Table 3). However, NRD was significantly higher at 5 °C in the presence or absence of ethylene (Table 3; Fig. 2).

The rate of endogenous ethylene evolution was significantly (P < 0.001) affected by temperature alone (Table 3). There was no difference in ethylene evolution at 5 and 15 °C, which had a combined average evolution rate of 5.2 μL·g⁻¹·h⁻¹. However, there was a 51% increase in ethylene evolution at 25 °C, resulting in a rate of 7.7 μL·g⁻¹·h⁻¹ when compared with 5 °C. Exogenous ethylene did not influence the endogenous ethylene evolution rate.

AWU, XPP, and RWC were each significantly (P < 0.001) influenced by temperature alone. In the absence of ethylene, AWU was 40% lower, XPP was 124% higher, and RWC was 17.4% higher at 5 °C than 25 °C. In the presence of ethylene, AWU was 51% lower, XPP was 76% higher, and RWC was 21% higher at 5 °C than 25 °C (Table 4).

Table 1. Effect of exogenous ethylene and relative humidity on needle retention duration (NRD), days until peak ethylene evolution (DPE), and peak ethylene evolution rates in root-detached balsam fir branches while temperature was maintained at 19.7 °C.

| Ethylene (ppm) | Humidity (%) | VPD (kPa) | NRD (days) | DPE (days) | C₂H₄ evolution (μL·g⁻¹·d⁻¹) |
|---------------|--------------|-----------|------------|------------|-------------------------------|
| 0             | 30           | 1.59      | 28.5 ± 1.0 c  | 27.0 ± 0.9 c  | 7.5 ± 0.6 a                  |
| 60            | 0.91         | 32.3 ± 1.1 b  | 30.5 ± 1.0 b  | 6.4 ± 1.0 a  | 25 /C176                     |
| 90            | 0.23         | 149.8 ± 2.1 a | 145.8 ± 3.1 a | 6.1 ± 0.5 a  | 1000                         |
| 30            | 1.59         | 11.8 ± 0.9 d  | 10.3 ± 0.6 d  | 5.8 ± 0.7 a  | 25 /C176                     |
| 60            | 0.91         | 12.0 ± 0.4 d  | 10.3 ± 0.3 d  | 5.4 ± 0.2 a  | 25 /C176                     |
| 90            | 0.23         | 13.8 ± 0.5 d  | 12.3 ± 0.8 d  | 7.1 ± 0.5 a  | 25 /C176                     |

P "ethylene" <0.001 <0.001 0.272
P "humidity" <0.001 <0.001 0.451
P "ethylene × humidity" <0.001 <0.001 0.111

"Treatment means ± se were calculated from four replicates.
"Any two means within a column not followed by the same letter are significant at P ≤ 0.05 as determined by least squares means separation.

Table 2. Effect of exogenous ethylene and relative humidity on average water use (AWU), xylem pressure potential (XPP), and relative water content (RWC) in root-detached balsam fir branches while temperature was maintained at 19.7 °C.

| Ethylene (ppm) | Humidity (%) | VPD (kPa) | AWU (mL·g⁻¹·d⁻¹) | XPP (MPa) | RWC (%) |
|---------------|--------------|-----------|-------------------|-----------|---------|
| 0             | 30           | 1.59      | 0.061 ± 0.003 a  | 0.046 ± 0.007 bc | 52.6 ± 5.3 c |
| 60            | 0.91         | 0.038 ± 0.005 b  | 0.040 ± 0.012 ab | 69.8 ± 3.3 b  | 90 /C176                     |
| 90            | 0.23         | 0.021 ± 0.001 c | 0.009 ± 0.012 d  | 86.7 ± 1.1 a  | 1000                         |
| 30            | 1.59         | 0.058 ± 0.002 a | 0.094 ± 0.012 a  | 39.7 ± 1.4 d  | 25 /C176                     |
| 60            | 0.91         | 0.041 ± 0.002 b  | 0.084 ± 0.006 b  | 47.1 ± 2.0 ed | 25 /C176                     |
| 90            | 0.23         | 0.029 ± 0.007 bc | 0.081 ± 0.04 c  | 47.6 ± 2.1 cd | 25 /C176                     |

P "ethylene" 0.469 0.047 <0.001
P "humidity" <0.001 <0.001 <0.001
P "ethylene × humidity" 0.427 <0.001 0.001

"Treatment means ± se were calculated from four replicates.
"Measurements taken on last day of needle abscission.
"Any two means within a column not followed by the same letter are significant at P ≤ 0.05 as determined by least squares means separation.

Table 3. Effect of exogenous ethylene and temperature on needle retention duration (NRD), days until peak ethylene evolution (DPE), and peak ethylene evolution rates in root-detached balsam fir branches while humidity was maintained at 60%.

| Ethylene (ppm) | Temp (°C) | VPD (kPa) | NRD (days) | DPE (days) | C₂H₄ evolution (μL·g⁻¹·d⁻¹) |
|---------------|-----------|-----------|------------|------------|-------------------------------|
| 0             | 5         | 0.35      | 65.0 ± 2.2 a  | 61.5 ± 1.9 a  | 4.9 ± 0.3 b                  |
| 15            | 0.68      | 37.5 ± 1.2 b  | 34.5 ± 1.0 b  | 4.7 ± 0.3 b  | 25 /C176                     |
| 25            | 1.26      | 29.5 ± 1.0 c  | 27.8 ± 1.5 c  | 7.4 ± 0.5 a  | 1000                         |
| 60            | 0.91      | 20.8 ± 0.6 d  | 18.0 ± 0.6 d  | 5.3 ± 0.5 b  | 25 /C176                     |
| 15            | 0.68      | 13.3 ± 0.6 e  | 11.5 ± 0.3 e  | 5.8 ± 0.9 b  | 25 /C176                     |
| 25            | 1.26      | 11.0 ± 0.9 e  | 9.5 ± 1.0 e  | 8.0 ± 0.4 a  | 25 /C176                     |

P "ethylene" <0.001 <0.001 0.127
P "temp" <0.001 <0.001 <0.001
P "ethylene × temp" <0.001 <0.001 0.802

"Treatment means ± se were calculated from four replicates.
"Any two means within a column not followed by the same letter are significant at P ≤ 0.05 as determined by least squares means separation.

Relationships among vapor pressure deficit, water use, and needle abscission. In both experiments, exogenous ethylene significantly reduced NRD. However, in the absence of exogenous ethylene, several significant (P < 0.001 for all) relationships were found. There was a strong (R² = 0.71) positive linear relationship between VPD and AWU and a strong (R² = 0.68) negative exponential relationship between VPD and XPP (Fig. 3). That is, when VPD is relatively high, AWU tends to be high, whereas XPP becomes more negative. In addition, VPD has a nearly perfect (R² = 0.99) negative exponential relationship with NRD (Fig. 3). Consequently, both AWU and XPP

Fig. 2. Comparison of branches stored at 25 °C (left), 15 °C (middle), and 5 °C (right) on Day 35 in the absence of exogenous ethylene.
Table 4. Effect of exogenous ethylene and temperature on average water use (AWU), xylem pressure potential (XPP), and relative water content (RWC) in root-detached balsam fir branches while humidity was maintained at 60%.a

| Ethylene (ppm) | Temp (°C) | VPD (kPa) | AWU (mL·g⁻¹·d⁻¹) | XPP (MPa) | RWC (%) |
|---------------|-----------|-----------|------------------|-----------|---------|
| 0             | 5         | 1.59      | ± 0.035 ± 0.004 c | –0.34 ± 0.03 c | 69.0 ± 2.0 a |
| 15            | 0.91      | 0.045     | ± 0.02 b         | –0.64 ± 0.06 b | 57.2 ± 3.3 b |
| 25            | 0.23      | 0.058     | ± 0.04 a         | –0.76 ± 0.06 ab | 51.6 ± 2.5 c |
| 1000          | 5         | 1.59      | ± 0.03 c         | –0.51 ± 0.07 b | 64.5 ± 3.8 a |
| 15            | 0.91      | 0.042     | ± 0.02 bc        | –0.60 ± 0.05 b | 60.5 ± 3.6 ab |
| 25            | 0.23      | 0.065     | ± 0.01 a         | –0.90 ± 0.07 a | 43.5 ± 3.5 d |

P “ethylene” 0.052 0.066 0.250

A treatment means ± SE were calculated from four replicates.

Discussion

Previous studies have identified XPP as a potential trigger for postharvest abscission in balsam fir (MacDonald et al., 2010, 2011a, 2011b). After a branch is cut, daily water use rapidly declines over the 1 to 2 weeks before reaching a stable rate (MacDonald, 2010; MacDonald et al., 2010). Similarly, XPP typically decreases from ≈0.1 MPa to –1.0 MPa (MacDonald et al., 2011a, 2011b). Once water use has stabilized, endogenous ethylene evolution begins, increases cellulase activity in needles, and triggers needle abscission (MacDonald et al., 2011a). Several studies have linked declining XPP to needle abscission in conifers (Chastagner and Riley, 2003; Hinesley and Snelling, 1991; Montano, 1985); thus, it is logical to suggest that XPP may act as a biophysical trigger for ethylene synthesis. Our results tend to support this hypothesis. When water loss was limited in the absence of exogenous ethylene, NRD significantly increased. Branches stored at 5 °C and 60% humidity had a 120% increase in NRD, whereas NRD increased more than fivefold at 90% humidity and 19.7 °C. Mitcham-Butler et al. (1988) previously reported storage at lower temperature as an effective method of delaying abscission in Fraser fir [Abies fraseri (Pursh) Poir.], but our study is the first known instance of using high humidity to delay needle abscission in conifers. In addition, low temperatures and high humidity significantly delayed the evolution of ethylene and maintained higher XPP, which suggests a potential role for water status in postharvest abscission.

There remain some problems in definitively identifying XPP as the trigger for ethylene synthesis and needle abscission in conifers. First, if we know that limiting water loss through humidity or temperature decreases abscission, we would expect antitranspirant compounds to have a similar effect. However, in most cases, there was no improvement noted (Chastagner and Riley, 1991) or there was a reduction in transpiration, but not enough to be of any practical significance to delay abscission (Davis and Fretz, 1972; Duck et al., 2003). Second, branches stored at 90% humidity still eventually lost needles despite having a final XPP of –0.1 MPa and continued water uptake throughout the experiment. Finally, XPP was not nearly as low as the expected damage threshold. The damage threshold is the moisture content at which tree tissue experiences irreversible damage such as dryness, brittleness, discoloration, and abscission (Hinesley and Snelling, 1995; Montano and Proebsting, 1985). The lowest water potential observed in balsam fir during complete abscission was only –1.0 MPa, which is considerably higher than damage threshold water potentials of –3.0 MPa to –4.0 MPa observed in closely related species such as nordmann fir [Abies nordmanniana (Steven) Spach] and Fraser fir (Chastagner and Riley, 2003; Mitcham-Butler et al., 1988). This final point was also observed by Heiligmann and Brown (2005), who monitored five species of Christmas trees for postharvest needle and moisture retention and found that no tree experienced XPP as low as the damage threshold. Heiligmann and Brown (2005) speculated that damage thresholds may vary between individual trees; thus, some sample tissues may become damaged at much higher moisture contents. However, in that study, average XPP was recorded in the range of –1.5 to –2.5 MPa during abscission; in our study, average XPP was recorded in the range of –0.3 to –0.7 MPa, which is much farther from the damage threshold and less likely to be the result of individual variation in trees. It is also noteworthy that the study from Heiligmann and Brown (2005) used branches cut from a full tree in water as opposed to individual branches placed in water.

![Diagram](image)

Fig. 3. Relationships between vapor pressure deficit (VPD) and (A) average water use (AWU) – linear described by AWU = 0.021 + 0.026·VPD; (B) xylem pressure potential (XPP) – exponential decay described by XPP = –0.72 + 1.08·e⁻⁰.⁵⁵·VPD; or (C) needle retention duration (NRD) – exponential decay described by NRD = 31.51 – 1277.74·e⁻⁰.⁵⁵·VPD in the absence of exogenous ethylene. In each graph, N = 24 and P < 0.0001.

Fig. 4. Exponential decay relationships between needle retention duration (NRD) and (A) average water use (AWU), described by AWU = 32.66 + 2072.₈₁·e⁻¹°.₂₈·AWU or (B) xylem pressure potential, described by NRD = 25.₈₁ + 17₉.₃₁·e⁻¹°.₃₀·XPP in the absence of exogenous ethylene. In each graph, N = 24 and P < 0.0001.

Discussion

Previous studies have identified XPP as a potential trigger for postharvest abscission in balsam fir (MacDonald et al., 2010, 2011a, 2011b). After a branch is cut, daily water use rapidly declines over the 1 to 2 weeks before reaching a stable rate (MacDonald, 2010; MacDonald et al., 2010). Similarly, XPP typically decreases from ≈0.1 MPa to –1.0 MPa (MacDonald et al., 2011a, 2011b). Once water use has stabilized, endogenous ethylene evolution begins, increases cellulase activity in needles, and triggers needle abscission (MacDonald et al., 2011a). Several studies have linked declining XPP to needle abscission in conifers (Chastagner and Riley, 2003; Hinesley and Snelling, 1991; Montano, 1985); thus, it is logical to suggest that XPP may act as a biophysical trigger for ethylene synthesis. Our results tend to support this hypothesis. When water loss was limited in the absence of exogenous ethylene, NRD significantly increased. Branches stored at 5 °C and 60% humidity had a 120% increase in NRD, whereas NRD increased more than fivefold at 90% humidity and 19.7 °C. Mitcham-Butler et al. (1988) previously reported storage at lower temperature as an effective method of delaying abscission in Fraser fir [Abies fraseri (Pursh) Poir.], but our study is the first known instance of using high humidity to delay needle abscission in conifers. In addition, low temperatures and high humidity significantly delayed the evolution of ethylene and maintained higher XPP, which suggests a potential role for water status in postharvest abscission.

There remain some problems in definitively identifying XPP as the trigger for ethylene synthesis and needle abscission in conifers. First, if we know that limiting water loss through humidity or temperature decreases abscission, we would expect antitranspirant compounds to have a similar effect. However, in most cases, there was no improvement noted (Chastagner and Riley, 1991) or there was a reduction in transpiration, but not enough to be of any practical significance to delay abscission (Davis and Fretz, 1972; Duck et al., 2003). Second, branches stored at 90% humidity still eventually lost needles despite having a final XPP of –0.1 MPa and continued water uptake throughout the experiment. Finally, XPP was not nearly as low as the expected damage threshold. The damage threshold is the moisture content at which tree tissue experiences irreversible damage such as dryness, brittleness, discoloration, and abscission (Hinesley and Snelling, 1995; Montano and Proebsting, 1985). The lowest water potential observed in balsam fir during complete abscission was only –1.0 MPa, which is considerably higher than damage threshold water potentials of –3.0 MPa to –4.0 MPa observed in closely related species such as nordmann fir [Abies nordmanniana (Steven) Spach] and Fraser fir (Chastagner and Riley, 2003; Mitcham-Butler et al., 1988). This final point was also observed by Heiligmann and Brown (2005), who monitored five species of Christmas trees for postharvest needle and moisture retention and found that no tree experienced XPP as low as the damage threshold. Heiligmann and Brown (2005) speculated that damage thresholds may vary between individual trees; thus, some sample tissues may become damaged at much higher moisture contents. However, in that study, average XPP was recorded in the range of –1.5 to –2.5 MPa during abscission; in our study, average XPP was recorded in the range of –0.3 to –0.7 MPa, which is much farther from the damage threshold and less likely to be the result of individual variation in trees. It is also noteworthy that the study from Heiligmann and Brown (2005) used branches cut from a full tree in water as opposed to individual branches placed in water.
It must be considered that another variable, although perhaps linked with water status, may be acting as the trigger for abscission. We speculate that changes in postharvest cytokinin concentration may be a factor. Cytokinins are produced, in part, by meristematic root tissue and then transported to shoot and leaves (Bano et al., 1993). Generally, the concentration of cytokinins is relatively high but will quickly decrease during periods of water stress (Bano et al., 1993) such as declining XPP observed in balsam fir, possibly contributing to abscission. In contrast, exogenous application of certain cytokinins can delay or negate abscission in some species (Meir et al., 2007). Postharvest balsam fir trees are separated from roots, perhaps reducing cytokinin translocation and synthesis as well as triggering cytokinin degradation. Any method to reduce transpiration such as high humidity or low temperature could slow the degradation of existing cytokinins and delay abscission. Still, the concentration of cytokinins will eventually decrease without roots to synthesize new cytokinins, which may explain why abscission occurred even when XPP was maintained at −0.10 MPa.

Previous studies with exogenous ethylene-induced abscission reveal that low XPP may be a consequence of ethylene evolution as opposed to a trigger. Continuous exposure to exogenous ethylene induced rapid abscission and a significantly lower XPP than a control, although daily water use had not stabilized (MacDonald, 2010). It has been suggested that ethylene causes stomatal dysfunction in some species, resulting in increased stomatal conductance and transpiration (Azuma et al., 2003; Merritt et al., 2001; Tanaka et al., 2005). It was speculated that ethylene evolution occurs after harvest and causes some stomatal dysfunction, which may result in abscission attributable to elevated transpiration and limited water uptake, although there remain some problems with this hypothesis. If this is the case, exogenous ethylene should not induce abscission during times of reduced transpiration. However, when water loss (and consequently, transpiration) was successfully mitigated using high humidity or low temperature, exogenous ethylene still induced needle abscission. These results do not necessarily dispute that continuous exposure to ethylene may result in some degree of stomatal dysfunction, because XPP was significantly lower in the presence of ethylene, but stomatal dysfunction is clearly not the major contributor to postharvest needle abscission.

In conclusion, both the 90% humidity and 5 °C treatments were effective at delaying abscission in root-detached balsam fir in the absence of ethylene. The 90% humidity treatment was particularly effective with more than a fivefold improvement in NRD, although neither treatment improved NRD in the presence of exogenous ethylene. In addition, NRD was strongly linked to VPD. These results support the hypothesis that declining water status may be a trigger for ethylene evolution and abscission under normal postharvest conditions. However, water status is unlikely the only trigger because abscission eventually occurred in branches that maintained high XPP and RWC. Although the results may not have practical application once trees are displayed in consumer homes (because maintaining low temperature or high humidity may be unrealistic), there may be a practical application to producers, who may be able to incorporate humidity or temperature control in storage or transport.
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