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IDENTIFICATION OF FOUR COMMON CULEX (CULEX) (DIPTERA: CULICIDAE) SPECIES FROM FLORIDA WITH ISOENZYME ANALYSIS
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IFAS/University of Florida, 200 9th Street, S.E., Vero Beach, FL 32962

ABSTRACT

Females of four common Culex (Culex) species from Florida were analyzed for isoenzymes using polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis. Ten enzymes that yielded 11 putative loci were studied. Most of the loci showed diagnostic characteristics in the four species, but four of the loci (glycerol-3-phosphate dehydrogenase [Gpd-2], hexokinase [Hk], isocitrate dehydrogenase [Idh-1], and malate dehydrogenase [Mdh]) could be used in sequence to identify the four Culex species. Culex salinarius and Cx. p. quinquefasciatus could be separated from Cx. restuans and Cx. nigripalpus by Mdh locus. Culex salinarius could be distinguished from Cx. p. quinquefasciatus by Hk locus and Cx. nigripalpus could be distinguished from Cx. restuans, by Idh-1 and/or Gpd-2 loci. Randomly combined specimens of these four Culex species were identified accurately by using these enzyme loci.
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RESUMEN

Las hembras de cuatro especies comunes de Culex (Culex) de Florida fueron analizadas para isoenzimas usando un gel poliacrilamida de electroforesis. Diez enzimas que produjeron 11 loci (lugares) putativos fueron estudiados. La mayoría de los loci mostraron características diagnosticadas en las cuatro especies, pero cuatro de los loci (glicerol-3-fosfato-deshidrogenasa [Gpd-2], hexocinasa [Hk], isocitrato-deshidrogenasa [Idh-1], y el malato-deshidrogenasa [Mdh]) pudieron ser utilizados en secuencia para identificar las cuatro especies de Culex. Culex salinarius y Cx. p. quinquefasciatus pudieron ser separadas de Cx. restuans y Cx. nigripalpus por el locus de Mdh. Culex salinarius pudieron ser distinguidas de Cx. p. quinquefasciatus por el locus de Hk y Cx. nigripalpus pudieron ser distinguidas de Cx. restuans, por los loci Idh-1 y/o Gpd-2. Especímenes de las cuatro especies de Culex, combinados al azar fueron identificados correctamente utilizando estos loci de enzimas.

Mosquitoes belonging to the Culex (Culex) species have been shown to be among the important epizootic or epidemic vectors of arboviruses including St. Louis encephalitis (SLE) virus and West Nile Virus (WNV) in the United States (Tsai & Mitchell 1989, CDC 2002). Accurate identification of field-collected Culex mosquitoes is essential for epidemiological and control efforts. Field-collected specimens of females of Culex (Culex) species are often difficult to identify, because adult collections are commonly made with various trapping methods and, unfortunately, the characteristic patterns of scales used to identify Culex adult females are frequently rubbed off by the devices or simply lost as the mosquito ages with the result that unidentified Culex species are lumped together as Culex spp. for identification and for virus analysis. During the last 30 years, several attempts have been made to identify field-collected Culex mosquitoes by methods other than the morphological methods. These include identification of Culex species by isoenzyme electrophoresis in Indiana (Saul et al. 1977; Corsaro & Munstermann 1984) and by a species-diagnostic polymerase chain reaction assay (Crabtree et al. 1995; Miller et al. 1996; Crabtree et al. 1997). Since some Culex species present in Florida are different from species found in other parts of the United States, the objective of this study was to identify females of Florida’s four common Culex (Culex) species (Cx. nigripalpus Theobald, Cx. pipiens quinquefasciatus Say, Cx. restuans Theobald and Cx. salinarius Coquillett) by using isoenzyme electrophoresis.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Mosquito Collection

Egg rafts of the four Culex species were collected in oviposition pans containing oak leaf and/or hay infusion from the field at the Florida Medical Entomology Laboratory (Knight & Nayar 1999) from January through April 2003 when all four species are present (O’Meara & Evans, 1983; Provost 1969). Individual egg rafts were allowed to hatch in the laboratory in vials and the first instars of each species were identified (Dodge 1966;
Haeger & O’Meara 1983). Larvae from 16 to 20 egg rafts from each species were reared, one raft per tray, to the adult stage. The identification of newly emerged adults was reconfirmed by morphological characters before samples of females were frozen to be used later in polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis.

In order to confirm our results, 6 individuals/gel of each of the four Culex species, each individual representing a different family, were randomly processed for the previously determined four diagnostic enzyme loci as described in the Results section below. A total of 24 individuals of each Culex species, each individual representing a different family, were processed.

Electrophoretic Methods

Preparation of individual mosquitoes, buffer systems and electrophoretic protocols were the same as were described by Black and Munstermann (1996). Mini-Protean II Cell® (Mini-vertical electrophoretic system from Bio-Rad Laboratories, Hercules, CA) was used for these studies. Each female was homogenized in 30 µl of loading buffer (20% sucrose, Triton X-100 [0.5%], Tris-citrate pH 7.0 electrode buffer and trace amount of bromophenol blue tracking dye), and centrifuged for 10 min at 2,000 g. The supernatant (24 µl) was dispensed equally (3 µl) into 8, 0.5-ml Eppendorf tubes and frozen at -80°C until used for electrophoresis. At the time of electrophoresis, 2 µl of enzyme mix (20 µg each of adenylate kinase, aconitase hydratase, citrate synthase, isocitrate dehydrogenase, malate dehydrogenase, glyceraldehyde phosphate dehydrogenase, glucose-6-phosphate isomerase, hexokinase, malic enzyme and malate dehydrogenase) was added to each tube and centrifuged for 10 min at 2,000 g. The supernatant (2 µl) was dispensed equally (0.5 µl) into 8, 0.5-ml Eppendorf tubes and frozen at -80°C until used for electrophoresis. The gel of each of the four diagnostic enzyme loci as described in the Results section below. A total of 24 individuals of each Culex species were reared, one raft per tray, to the adult stage. The identification of newly emerged adults was reconfirmed by morphological characters before samples of females were frozen to be used later in polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis.

In order to confirm our results, 6 individuals/gel of each of the four Culex species, each individual representing a different family, were randomly processed for the previously determined four diagnostic enzyme loci as described in the Results section below. A total of 24 individuals of each Culex species, each individual representing a different family, were processed.

Electrophoretic Methods

Preparation of individual mosquitoes, buffer systems and electrophoretic protocols were the same as were described by Black and Munstermann (1996). Mini-Protean II Cell® (Mini-vertical electrophoretic system from Bio-Rad Laboratories, Hercules, CA) was used for these studies. Each female was homogenized in 30 µl of loading buffer (20% sucrose, Triton X-100 [0.5%], Tris-citrate pH 7.0 electrode buffer and trace amount of bromophenol blue tracking dye), and centrifuged for 10 min at 2,000 g. The supernatant (24 µl) was dispensed equally (3 µl) into 8, 0.5-ml Eppendorf tubes and frozen at -80°C until used for electrophoresis. At the time of electrophoresis, 1.0-µl sample was loaded into each lane of the gel. Using this method we could analyze up to 16 enzyme loci from each mosquito (Nayar et al. 2002).

Ten enzyme systems were analyzed and are listed by name, abbreviation and Enzyme Commission number: aconitase hydratase (Acoh, EC 4.2.1.3); adenylate kinase (Ak-2, EC 2.7.4.3); glyceraldehyde phosphate dehydrogenase (Gpd-2, EC 5.3.1.9); glucose-6-phosphate isomerase (Gpi, EC 5.3.1.9); isocitrate dehydrogenase (Idh-2, EC 1.1.1.42); malate dehydrogenase (Mdh, EC 1.1.1.37); malate dehydrogenase (NADP+); malic enzyme (Mdhp-2/Me, EC 1.1.1.40); phosphoglucose dehydrogenase (Pgd, EC 1.1.1.44), and phosphoglucomutase (Pgfm, EC 5.4.2.2).

Three females, each from a separate family, were analyzed on each gel, and eight gels were assayed for each group of four species plus controls. Reference females of Aedes aegypti L. (ROCK strain) were also included in each run.

Statistical Analysis

Genetic variation was analyzed with a BIOSYS-2 Program for desktop computer (Black 1997). This program is a modification of BIOSYS-1 (Swofford & Selander 1981).

| Locus & Rf values* | Species |
|------------------|---------|
|                  | CS      | CR     | CQ     | CN     |
| Acoh             | 95      | 0.000  | 0.000  | 0.083  | 1.000  |
|                  | 100     | 0.875  | 0.208  | 0.917  | 0.000  |
|                  | 105     | 0.125  | 0.792  | 0.000  | 0.000  |
| Ak-2             | 90      | 1.000  | 1.000  | 0.000  | 0.000  |
|                  | 95      | 0.000  | 0.000  | 1.000  | 0.000  |
|                  | 100     | 0.000  | 0.000  | 1.000  | 0.000  |
| Gpd-2            | 100     | 1.000  | 1.000  | 1.000  | 0.083  |
|                  | 120     | 0.000  | 0.000  | 0.000  | 0.917  |
| Gpi              | 84      | 0.000  | 0.000  | 0.000  | 0.042  |
|                  | 95      | 1.000  | 0.000  | 0.000  | 0.000  |
|                  | 100     | 0.000  | 0.083  | 1.000  | 0.833  |
|                  | 105     | 0.000  | 0.917  | 0.000  | 0.125  |
| Hk               | 86      | 0.917  | 0.000  | 0.000  | 0.000  |
|                  | 93      | 0.083  | 0.000  | 0.000  | 0.375  |
|                  | 100     | 0.000  | 1.000  | 1.000  | 0.625  |
| Idh-1            | 100     | 0.000  | 0.000  | 1.000  | 0.000  |
|                  | 107     | 0.000  | 1.000  | 0.000  | 0.000  |
|                  | 133     | 0.625  | 0.000  | 0.000  | 1.000  |
|                  | 147     | 0.292  | 0.000  | 0.000  | 0.000  |
|                  | 153     | 0.083  | 0.000  | 0.000  | 0.000  |
| Idh-2            | 94      | 0.667  | 0.000  | 1.000  | 0.000  |
|                  | 97      | 0.000  | 1.000  | 0.000  | 1.000  |
|                  | 100     | 0.167  | 0.000  | 0.000  | 0.000  |
|                  | 111     | 0.167  | 0.000  | 0.000  | 0.000  |
| Mdh              | 83      | 0.000  | 1.000  | 0.000  | 1.000  |
|                  | 100     | 1.000  | 0.000  | 1.000  | 0.000  |
| Mdhp-2           | 95      | 0.042  | 1.000  | 0.000  | 1.000  |
|                  | 100     | 0.333  | 0.000  | 0.875  | 0.000  |
|                  | 103     | 0.000  | 0.000  | 1.250  | 0.000  |
|                  | 108     | 0.625  | 0.000  | 0.000  | 0.000  |
| Pgd              | 67      | 0.792  | 0.083  | 0.125  | 0.000  |
|                  | 100     | 0.208  | 0.917  | 0.875  | 1.000  |
| Pgfm             | 87      | 0.167  | 0.458  | 0.000  | 0.333  |
|                  | 100     | 0.833  | 0.542  | 0.958  | 0.542  |
|                  | 109     | 0.000  | 0.000  | 0.042  | 0.125  |

*The eleven variable enzymes are Acoh = aconitase hydratase; Ak-2 = adenylate kinase; Gpd-2 = glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate dehydrogenase; Gpi = glucose-6-phosphate isomerase; Idh-1 and Idh-2 = isocitrate dehydrogenase; Hk = hexokinase; Mdh = malate dehydrogenase; Mdhp-2 = malate dehydrogenase (NADP+); Pgd = phosphogluconate dehydrogenase; and Pgfm = phosphoglucomutase.
Fig. 1. Isoenzyme profiles of four enzymes (six loci, Mdh, Hk, Idh-1 and Idh-2, and Gpd-1 and Gpd-2). In Figs. 1a-1d, individuals numbered 1-3, 4-6, 8-10 and 11-13 represent known Culex salinarius (CS), Cx. restuans (CR), Cx. p. quinquefasciatus (CQ) and Cx. nigripalpus (CN), respectively. Individual numbered 7 (Aa) is Aedes aegypti control. Figs. 1e-1h, are used to identify unknown individuals as described in the text, except that individual numbered 7 (CQ) Cx. p. quinquefasciatus was used as a control.
RESULTS

Allele frequency data for four Culex species from Florida are presented in Table 1. Comparison of the frequency values of enzyme loci showed that even though most of the enzyme loci have differences in $R_f$ values that could separate different species from each other, the $R_f$ values in only four of the loci (Gpd-2, Hk, Idh-1 and Mdh) were distinctive enough to be used to separate the four species (Table 1; Fig. 1). These four loci are as follows: malate dehydrogenase (Mdh) is monomorphic in Cx. salinarius and Cx. p. quinquefasciatus at Mdh$^{83}$, and in Cx. nigripalpus and Cx. restuans at Mdh$^{84}$ (Table 1; Fig. 1a). Hexokinase (Hk), that is represented by three-banded pattern and sometimes by a six-banded polymorphic pattern (Tabachnick & Howard 1982), is slower in Cx. salinarius (Hk$^{85,86,89}$) than in the other three Culex species (Cx. restuans Hk$^{100}$, Cx. p. quinquefasciatus Hk$^{101}$ and Cx. nigripalpus Hk$^{91,100,92,100}$) (Table 1; Fig. 1b). Isocitrate dehydrogenase-1 (Idh-1) is polymorphic in Cx. salinarius Idh-1$^{133}$, 135,147,120,133 but homozygous in the other three species (Cx. restuans Idh-1$^{107}$, Cx. p. quinquefasciatus Idh-1$^{109}$ and Cx. nigripalpus Idh-1$^{125}$) (Table 1; Fig. 1c). Glycerol-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (Gpd-2$^{110,109}$) is moving faster in Cx. nigripalpus in one allele than the other three species (Cx. restuans Gpd-2$^{100}$, Cx. salinarius Gpd-2$^{100}$, and Cx. p. quinquefasciatus Gpd-2$^{100}$) (Table 1; Fig. 1d). Since Gpd-2 in Cx. nigripalpus is sometimes heterozygous, caution is needed in using it as a distinguishing character. From this information we developed a key to separate the four Culex species (Table 2).

Further analysis of the data in Table 1 showed that Cx. p. quinquefasciatus exhibited a low number of alleles per locus (1.3 ± 0.1), the lowest percentage of polymorphic loci (23.1%) and the lowest Hardy-Weinberg heterozygosity (0.054 ± 0.03) from the other three species (Cx. nigripalpus, 1.6 ± 0.2, 46.2% and 1.95 ± 0.07; Cx. restuans, 1.3 ± 0.1, 30.8% and 0.091 ± 0.05; and Cx. salinarius, 1.8 ± 0.2, 69.2% and 0.207 ± 0.05, respectively). Since Cx. p. quinquefasciatus was monomorphic for the four enzyme loci chosen to be used in the key (Table 2), we used it as a control instead of Ae. aegypti (ROCK strain) to identify other Culex species. Thus, using Cx. p. quinquefasciatus as a control (#7 in Figs. 1e-1h) and the key (Table 2), we were able to identify correctly 24 randomly selected individuals of all four Culex species (Figs. 1e-1h, only 12 individuals are shown in these Figs.). Individuals numbered 3, 5, 8, 10, 11 and 13 (Fig. 1e) had a faster moving Mdh allele and represented either Cx. salinarius or Cx. p. quinquefasciatus, whereas individuals numbered 1, 2, 4, 6, 9 and 12 had a slower Mdh allele representing either Cx. restuans or Cx. nigripalpus. Individuals numbered 5, 8, 10 and 13 (Fig. 1f) had a slower moving Hk allele that identified it as Cx. salinarius, and distinguished it from the other two faster moving individuals numbered 3 and 11 that were identified as Cx. p. quinquefasciatus. Individuals that represented either Cx. restuans or Cx. nigripalpus and were numbered 1, 4, 9 and 12 (Fig. 1g) had a faster moving Idh-1 allele that identified it as Cx. nigripalpus, and distinguished it from a slower moving Idh-1 allele in individuals numbered 2 and 6 that were identified as Cx. restuans. Culex nigripalpus individuals numbered 1, 4, 9 and 12 were identified by using Gpd-2 enzyme loci. The most common Gpd-2 in Cx. nigripalpus was faster than Gpd-2 in the other three Culex species (Fig. 1h).

CONCLUSION

Our results show that Culex (Culex) species from Florida can be unambiguously distinguished from each other by using four isozymes (Mdh, Hk, Idh-1 and Gpd-2) in sequence. These studies suggest that from various types of trapping collections for Culex species, those individuals that cannot be identified to separate species with standard morphological characters can be identified by isoenzyme analysis, instead of pooling them together as Culex spp. It is worth pointing out here that the four species of mosquitoes used in this study were collected from January through April, when all four species were present in Florida. It is possible that some of the isoenzyme systems may show some degree of polymorphism when these species of mosquitoes are collected at different times of the year or from different locations as observed in Cx. nigripalpus (Nayar et al. 2002) and Cx. p. quinquefasciatus (Nayar et al. 2003).

Table 2. Electrophoretic key for identification of our common Culex (Culex) species in Florida.

|   |                       | Cx. salinarius or Cx. p. quinquefasciatus | Cx. restuans or Cx. nigripalpus |
|---|-----------------------|------------------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| 1 | Mdh, faster, monomorphic | C. salinarius or Cx. p. quinquefasciatus | Cx. restuans or Cx. nigripalpus |
|   | Slower, monomorphic    | Cx. restuans or Cx. nigripalpus           |                                 |
| 2 | Hk, slower             | C. salinarius                            |                                 |
|   | Faster, monomorphic    | C. p. quinquefasciatus                  |                                 |
| 3 | Idh-1, faster, monomorphic; Gpd-2, faster, usually monomorphic | Cx. nigripalpus |                                 |
|   | Both Idh-1 and Gpd-2 slower, monomorphic | Cx. restuans |                                 |
Therefore, a word of caution may be appropriate. A broader application of this technique to identify Culex species from other areas must be confirmed with samples from different localities before this technique should be used outside Florida.

Isoenzyme analysis by electrophoresis technique is reliable, accurate and simple to perform once the electrophoretic equipment is set-up in the laboratory (Black & Munstermann 1996) and a person is trained to run the equipment. This technique is especially useful when freshly collected or frozen Culex mosquitoes are to be used for virus analysis or surveillance during different seasons of the year; however, this technique cannot be used for dead or dried specimens. Isoenzyme analysis is less expensive and faster than the PCR technique for DNA identification of different Culex species (Miller et al. 1996; Crabtree et al. 1995, 1997), but DNA analyses can be used for dead or dried specimens.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This article is Florida Agricultural Experimental Station Journal Series No. R-09582.

REFERENCES CITED

BLACK, W. C. IV. 1997. Biosys-2. A Computer Program for the Analysis of Allelic Variation in genetics. Colorado State University, Ft. Collins, CO.

BLACK, W. C. IV., AND L. E. MUNSTERMANN. 1996. Molecular taxonomy and systematics of arthropod vectors, pp. 438-470. In B. J. Beaty, and W. C. Marquardt (eds.). The Biology of Disease Vectors. University Press of Colorado, Niwot, CO.

CDC. 2002. Provisional surveillance summary of the West Nile Virus epidemic—United States, January to November. MMWR. 51: 1129.

CORSARO, B. G., AND L. E. MUNSTERMANN. 1984. Identification by electrophoresis of Culex adults (Diptera: Culicidae) in light-trap samples. J. Med. Entomol. 21: 648-655.

CRABTREE, M. B., H. M. SAVAGE, AND B. R. MILLER. 1995. Development of a species-diagnostic polymerase chain reaction assay for the identification of Culex vectors of St. Louis encephalitis virus based on interspecies sequence variation in ribosomal DNA spacers. Am. J. Trop. Med. Hyg. 53: 105-109.

CRABTREE, M. B., H. M. SAVAGE, AND B. R. MILLER. 1997. Development of a polymerase chain reaction assay for differentiation between Culex pipiens pипiens and Cx. p. quinquefasciatus (Diptera: Culicidae) in North America based on genomic differences identified by subtractive hybridization. J. Med. Entomol. 34: 532-537.

DODGE, H. R. 1966. Studies on mosquito larvae. II. The first-stage larvae of North American Culicidae and of world Anophelinae. Can. Entomol. 98: 337-393.

HÆGER, J. S., AND G. F. O'MEARA. 1983. Separation of first-instar larvae of four Florida Culex (Culex). Mosquito News 43: 76-77.

KNIGHT, J. W., AND J. K. NAYAR. 1999. Colonization of Culex nigripalpus Theobald (Diptera: Culicidae) by stimulation of mating using males of other mosquito species. J. Am. Mosq. Control Assoc. 15: 72-73.

MILLER, B. R., M. B. CRABTREE, AND H. M. SAVAGE. 1996. Phylogeny of fourteen Culex mosquito species, including the Culex pipiens complex, inferred from the internal transcribed spacers of ribosomal DNA. Insect Mol. Biol. 5: 93-107.

NAYAR, J. K., J. W. KNIGHT, AND L. E. MUNSTERMANN. 2002. Temporal and geographic genetic variation in Culex nigripalpus Theobald (Diptera: Culicidae), a vector of St. Louis encephalitis, from Florida. J. Med. Entomol. 39: 854-860.

NAYAR, J. K., J. W. KNIGHT, AND L. E. MUNSTERMANN. 2003. Temporal and geographic genetic variation in Culex pipiens quinquefasciatus Say (Diptera: Culicidae) from Florida. J. Med. Entomol. 40: 882-889.

O'MEARA, G. F., AND F. D. S. EVANS. 1983. Seasonal patterns of abundance among three species of Culex mosquitoes in a south Florida wastewater lagoon. Ann. Entomol. Soc. 76: 130-133.

PROVOST, M. W. 1969. The natural history of Culex nigripalpus. In St. Louis encephalitis in Florida. Florida State Board of Health. Monogr. No. 12: 46-62.

SAUL, S. H., P. R. GRIMSTAD, AND G. B. CRAIG, JR. 1977. Identification of Culex species by electrophoresis. Am. J. Trop. Med. Hyg. 26: 1009-1012.

Swofford, D. L., AND R. B. SELANDER. 1981. BIOSYS-1: a FORTRAN program for the comprehensive analysis of electrophoretic data in population genetics and systematics. J. Heredity 72: 281-283.

Tabachnick, W. J., AND D. J. HOWARD. 1982. Genetic control of hexokinase variation in insects. Biochem. Genet. 20: 47-57.

Tsai, T. F., AND C. J. MITCHELL. 1989. St. Louis encephalitis, pp. 113-143. In T. P. Monath (ed.), The Arboviruses: Epidemiology and Ecology. Vol. IV. CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL.