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Abstract: The naturally formed aeolian sand dunes in northern Shaanxi have unique engineering characteristics. Several difficulties restrict the construction of road tunnels under this stratum, such as the poor self-stabilization ability of the surrounding rock, difficulty in injecting grout, and insufficient construction experience. Therefore, in this study, a case study of the Shenmu No. 1 tunnel was conducted to investigate the engineering characteristics of aeolian sand tunnels, compare the grouting effects of commonly used grouting materials, and discuss the reinforcement effects of different construction schemes in aeolian sand tunnels. Based on a field grouting test, it was found that it is difficult to inject ordinary cement grout into an aeolian sand layer; superfine cement grout and modified sodium silicate grout can be injected, but the former has a poor reinforcement effect. Through numerical analysis, it is found that an approach based on a concept of “horizontal jet grouting pile + benching partial excavation method with a temporary invert” is suitable for the construction of tunnels in aeolian sand in China.
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1 Introduction

Deserts and sandy land cover an area of total 1.54 million square kilometers in China, accounting for approximately 16% of the total territorial area. They are distributed in Xinjiang, Inner Mongolia, Qinghai, Gansu, and other northwestern regions, and accounting for 95.37% of these areas. An aeolian sand series results from the flow and movement of sand, and is characterized by its strong fluidity and ease of slip (Zheng, 2020; Qi et al., 2020; Yang et al., 2021; Ye et al., 2021;). Aeolian sand is the main landform in the desert areas. Owing to its engineering nature, transportation construction in desert areas has always been extremely difficult. Since the 1990s, China has completed aeolian sand tunnel projects such as the Xingshumao Tunnel, Jingpeng Tunnel, and Qiansongba Tunnel. However, because aeolian sand has strong fluidity and
significantly different engineering properties from those of general strata, the factors to be considered during the design phase, construction phase, and accident management are relatively complicated. Accordingly, progress on projects in aeolian sand strata has been slow.

Many studies have been conducted on aeolian sand (Yuan et al., 2016; Zhang et al., 2020; Wang et al., 2019; Liu et al., 2019). However, because projects related to aeolian sand are relatively rare, the contributions of the current research are concentrated on the physical and mechanical properties of aeolian sand. Zhang (2009) studied the physical and chemical properties of aeolian sand in the Mu Us Desert and its engineering applicability. Liu (2012) thoroughly and systematically analyzed the feasibility of applying aeolian sand to highways from the perspectives of strength, compatibility, and stability. Wang (2018) identified the geochemical characteristics of surface fine-grained sediments in the mid-latitude deserts of Asia. Gao (2019) conducted a series of indoor mechanics experiments to analyze the influences of moisture content and density on the mechanical properties of aeolian sand, and summarized the mechanical characteristics and parameter change laws of aeolian sand. Zhang (2020) conducted experimental and numerical analyses to study the influence of sand deposition on a track structure. Li (2020) studied the utilization of aeolian sand for concrete production, and analyzed its workability and mechanical properties.

Factors such as sand leakage and sliding in the aeolian sand stratum can easily cause safety accidents such as large deformations of supports, collapses, and roof falls. As such, the construction of aeolian sand tunnels is considered very difficult and risky, and essentially can act as a bottleneck in engineering construction. In addition, there is no special and complete construction plan for aeolian sand. The responses to corresponding emergencies are insufficient. More generally, there is no well-formed, systematic, and available construction mode. At present, the number of aeolian sand tunnels being built (or that have been built) is relatively small; in most cases, the excavation can be barely be completed, and usually requires some means of strong support. However, with the increase in the number of tunnels being constructed in aeolian sandy strata in China, the shortcomings (such as insufficient construction experience and the lack of a well-formed systematic construction mode) have become increasingly prominent. Therefore, relevant research is urgently needed to guide the design and construction of tunnels in aeolian sand strata.

Scholars have conducted related research worldwide (Liu, 2009; Dong et al., 2011; Fan, 2017; Wan, 2019; Li, 2019; Li, 2021). Jin et al. (2006) adopted a pipe shed advanced support and found that the larger the effective range of the one-time support, the better the integrity of the support, and the higher the safety of the construction process. Qiu et al. (2012) and Zheng et al. (2012) analyzed the mechanical behaviors of aeolian sand tunnels during the construction process using Fast Lagrangian Analysis of Continua 3D (FLAC\(^3\)D) based on field monitoring data. The research primarily focused on an in-depth analysis of the supporting effects of horizontal jet grouting piles and the
influences of construction steps on surrounding rock deformations under the action of support. Yan et al. (2014) identified and assessed the risk sources of collapse in aeolian sand tunnels based on a fuzzy evaluation method, expert investigation method, and analytic hierarchy process, and determined risk indicators. They indicated that construction/design factors and the choice of advanced support were the most important one-level and two-level factors, respectively. Wang et al. (2017) used the discrete element software UDEC to analyze the reinforcement effects of vertical jet grouting piles and horizontal jet grouting piles in aeolian sand tunnels. Many researchers have studied the feasibility of jet grouting in tunneling projects (e.g., Wang et al., 2019). Wang et al. (2020) proposed a theoretical approach for evaluating the variations in the excess pore water pressure caused by the installation of a jet grouting column in clay. Shen et al. (2021) proposed a framework for incorporating a bidirectional long short-term memory and data sequencing to predict the diameters of jet grouted columns in soft soil in real time.

However, relatively few studies have considered the applicability of grout and advanced grouting technology in aeolian sand, especially based on in situ tests. Moreover, the construction technologies have not been compared in detail, nor has an optimum technology been identified. Accordingly, this study uses the Shenmu No. 1 tunnel as an example to conduct research on a construction technology for shallow highway tunnels in aeolian sand strata, aiming to solve technical problems in aeolian sand tunnel grouting and construction technology, and to ensure the construction safety and engineering quality of aeolian sand tunnels; these issues are of great engineering practical significance.

2 Background

2.1 Geological characteristics of aeolian sand for Shenmu No. 1 tunnel

2.1.1 Physical properties

The Shenmu No. 1 Tunnel in the Yu-Shen Highway is located on the edge of the Mu Us Desert. The aeolian sand in that location is generally brownish-yellow, loose, and slightly wet. It is primarily composed of feldspar (73%) and fine quartz sand (23%), followed by silt sand and silty soil, which are concentrated at the tunnel exit and in the surface above the tunnel, with a thickness of the covering layer between 15 and 35 m. The main physical and mechanical indexes as obtained through field experiments are listed in Table 1, and the particle size distributions are listed in Table 2. In this study, the method adopted for separating particles was a sieving method (Test Methods of Soils for Highway Engineering JTG E40—2007). The particles were mostly composed of fine sand (0.075–0.25 mm), followed by very fine sand (0.01–0.075 mm), and medium sand (0.25–0.5 mm); sand with a size greater than 0.5 mm was rare. The uneven coefficient $C_u$ was 3.5, and the curvature coefficient $C_c$ was 0.64, indicating poor gradation.
Table 1

Physical and mechanical indexes of aeolian sand.

| Sampling location | Water content (%) | Natural void ratio | Natural bulk density (kN/m³) | Cohesive (MPa) | Internal friction angle (MPa) | Modulus of deformation (MPa) | Permeability coefficient (cm/s) |
|-------------------|-------------------|--------------------|------------------------------|----------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------------|
| ZK91+23           | 4.4               | 0.447              | 17.155                       | 0.011          | 26.88                       | 21.0                        | 3.56                          |
| K91+240           | 4.8               | 0.456              | 17.165                       | 0.012          | 27.65                       | 22.0                        | 3.50                          |

Note: tests for physical and mechanical indexes were carried out based on Test Methods of Soils for Highway Engineering (JTG E40—2007).

Table 2

Gradation of grain of aeolian sand (%)

| Sampling location | Grain size (d/mm) |
|-------------------|-------------------|
|                   | >1.0 | 1.0–0.5 | 0.5–0.25 | 0.25–0.075 | < 0.075 |
| ZK91+23           | 0    | 2.1     | 19.7     | 47.6       | 30.6    |
| K91+240           | 0    | 4.3     | 18.5     | 47.9       | 29.3    |

From the physical and mechanical indexes and gradation of the aeolian sand, it can be seen that its particles have the characteristics of a small cohesive force, poor gradation, low compressibility, inclination to disturbances owing to excavation, strong water permeability, and a relatively low shear strength.

2.1.2 Mechanical properties

(1) Compressibility

Aeolian sand has a single-grain structure, and its compression primarily depends on the rearrangement and fragmentation of the particles. Under the action of low pressure, the particles slip and roll, making the soil denser and more stable. The amount of compression is determined by the frictional resistance between the particles against displacement. The better the gradation and the higher the density, the greater the resistance and the smaller the compression deformation. The compression process of aeolian sand is almost instantaneous sinking, followed by long-term deformation with a deceleration rate; this represents the process of gradually adjusting the position of the particles to overcome resistance. The compressibility coefficient of aeolian sand is generally small (less than 0.1 MPa⁻¹), showing low compressibility.
(2) Strength characteristics

The strength characteristics, especially the density values under various water contents, play an important role in grout injection. Under the same water content, when the dry density of aeolian sand is higher, the corresponding internal friction angle and cohesion are higher. Under the condition of the same dry density, when the water content is smaller, the internal friction angle and cohesion are smaller. Furthermore, the higher the dry density, the higher the shear strength. Under the same dry density and vertical pressure, the water content has little effect on the shear strength; the difference in the strength value is approximately 10 kPa. Under the influence of capillary force, given that the vertical pressure is small, when the water content is less than a certain value (14%), the shear strength increases with an increase in water content, and when the water content is greater than a certain value (14%), the shear strength decreases with an increase in water content.

2.2 General information of Shenmu No. 1 tunnel

The Shenmu No. 1 Tunnel adopts the form of two independent single-hole tunnels separated from the upper and lower sides. The starting and ending pile numbers of the left line are ZK90+998–ZK91+360, and the length is 362 m. The starting and ending pile numbers of the right line are K90+993–K91+345, and the length is 352 m. The tunnel was constructed using a shallow tunneling method, with a steel arch, steel mesh, and shotcrete as the initial support, and molded concrete as the secondary lining. The horizontal profile is shown in Fig. 1.

According to the results from a ground survey, drilling, and geophysical prospecting, there is Quaternary Holocene aeolian sand (Q$_4^{eol}$) overlying the tunnel site area, and Triassic fine sandstone underlying the tunnel. The soil layers from top to bottom are as follows: aeolian sand layer, and then fully weathered-strongly weathered fine sandstone.

Fig. 1 Horizontal profile of Shenmu No. 1 tunnel

3 Research on grouting technology in aeolian sand stratum

An advanced small pipe grouting support method was adopted in the early construction
stage of the Shenmu No. 1 tunnel, in combination with a four-step excavation method. During the construction, severe sand leakage and sand sliding occurred in the tunnel face and side walls; the primary support sank overall and invaded the tunnel clearance, and a depression cone (up to 10 m in diameter) and cracks (up to 3 cm wide) appeared on the surface (Fig. 2 and Fig. 2). There were two potential causes considered for the sand leakage and development of cracks: (1) the sliding surface of the sand body extended to the ground, indicating that the sliding surface of the sand body may have exceeded the scope of the advance support and pre-reinforcement; and (2) the grouting of the advance support failed to achieve the designed reinforcement effect, and the soil above the vault slid into the tunnel along the gap between the grouting pipes.

Fig. 2 Cracks on the surface  
Fig. 3 Depression cone on the surface

In summary, the key problem in the construction of aeolian sand tunnels is sand leakage. This section discusses field grouting tests conducted using different grouting methods and materials. The geological characteristics, grouting mechanism(s) of aeolian sand strata, and existing problems in the grouting process are summarized and studied, hoping to promote the further development of grouting technology, expand its application range, and solve technical problems in similar projects.

3.1 Field grouting test

With respect to the geological conditions of the Shenmu No. 1 tunnel, the effect of grouting is a key factor in controlling the surface settlement and ensuring construction safety. Simply using an engineering analogy method and/or semi-empirical engineering method to determine the grouting parameters will bring great risks. Therefore, to better understand the grouting characteristics of the formation, obtain the necessary technical and economic data, and demonstrate the rationality of the grouting scheme, a representative section should be selected for field grouting tests before grouting construction (Fig. 4).
Based on the understanding of the basic properties of various grouting materials, in this study, the test combined the engineering geological conditions, hydrogeological conditions, and criticality class of the grouting in the aeolian sand section of the Shenmu No. 1 Tunnel. A penetration grouting method was selected to evaluate the advantages and disadvantages of each grouting material and grouting method through the analysis of test data, and to optimize the grouting ratio scheme.

(1) Ordinary Portland cement grout

At K91+225 of the right tunnel, a series of $\varnothing50$ small pipes were installed outside the excavation contour line of the upper part of the tunnel. The circumferential spacing of the pipes was 30 cm. A total of 51 pipes were installed in three sections, with a range of 120° in the upper tunnel profile, i.e., 17 pipes were located in each pilot area, with the whole section being divided into three pilot areas on the left, middle, and right (Fig. 5). Three grouts with various water-cement ratios, i.e., $m_w:m_c = 0.8:1$, 1:1, and 1.5:1, were adopted to carry out in-situ tests in the three pilot areas. All grouting construction was completed on the first day, and the excavation was conducted the next day to examine the grouting effect.

During the test, the value of the grouting pressure gauge increased rapidly and reached the final pressure value within a short time (10 s–20 s). Once the grouting volume no longer changed, it was found that the final volume was much smaller than the design grouting amount. The grout failed to spread, and did not meet the design reinforcement requirements. After excavation, it was revealed that there was no penetration of grout into the aeolian sand layer. However, grout clusters with diameters of 2–10 cm appeared around the holes of the small tremies. These grout clusters failed to form a whole...
reinforced body; therefore, the sand leakage remained serious during the excavation. Although the grouting amounts of the three grouts were different, the reinforcement effects were not significantly different. Ordinary Portland cement grout has difficulty permeating into aeolian sand formations; this is because ordinary Portland cement has a large particle size, and is therefore difficult to inject into an aeolian sand layer with pores of 10 μm. Furthermore, the cement particles are suspended in the slurry; thus, even if the particles are smaller than the pores of the sand layer, owing to the filtering effect of the sand layer, the penetration range is extremely small, and sometimes the slurry consolidates around the grouting holes. Therefore, based on the principle of particle size matching, this grout was considered as only suitable for broken rock layers or coarse gravel sand layers, and was not suitable for aeolian sand layers.

Fig. 5 Layout of grouting pipes in vault area

(2) Superfine cement grout

At K91+245 of the left tunnel, the ordinary cement was changed to superfine cement for testing. Three grouts with various water-cement ratios, that is, \( m_w : m_c = 1:1, 1.5:1, \) and 2:1, were adopted to conducted in-situ tests in the three pilot areas (Fig. 6).

During the test, the value of the grouting pressure gauge increased rapidly and reached the final pressure value in a relatively short time (20 s–30 s). Once the grouting volume no longer changed, it was found that the final volume was smaller than the design.
The grouting amount. The grout failed to spread well, and it could not meet the design reinforcement requirements. After excavation, it was revealed that a heterogeneous penetration of grout existed in the aeolian sand layer, and that the radius of penetration was small. Similar to the results from the test of the ordinary Portland cement grout, grout clusters with diameters of 2–10 appeared cm around the holes of the small pipes. Although the grouting amounts of the three grout were different, the reinforcement effects were not significantly different. The superfine cement grout had a small particle size, with an average particle size of 4 μm. Theoretically, it could be injected into the aeolian sand layer with a pore size of 10 μm; however, the difference in the reinforcement effect compared with that of ordinary cement grout was not large, primarily because the superfine cement grout was prone to sediment, and the self-stability was poor. Superfine cement grout can penetrate aeolian sand formations, and could potentially be adopted as a high-quality grouting material; however, its stability and grouting technology need to be further improved to make good use of its advantages.

(3) Modified sodium silicate grout

After the superfine cement grouting test was completed, to avoid affecting the normal construction in the tunnel, a modified sodium silicate grouting test was selected for the roadbed outside the exit of the right tunnel. In particular, φ50 small pipes were placed horizontally along the side slope of the roadbed. The pipe length was 4.5 m and the spacing was 30 cm, for a total of 15 pipes. The test was divided into three groups, with five small pipes in each group (Fig. 7).

During the test, the injectability of the grout was significantly improved, and the permeability was better than the above two grouting tests in the aeolian sand formations; however, the gelation time of the modified sodium silicate grout was difficult to control, and the grouting process was complicated, causing the grout volume to be less than the design grouting amount.

![In-situ test of modified sodium silicate grout](image)

After excavation, it was revealed that there was uniform grout penetration in the aeolian sand formation. Grout clusters with diameters of 8–10 cm around the holes of the small pipes appeared; the strength of these was so low that they could be broken if subjected
to pressure by hand. Furthermore, the grout condensed into white flocs after being exposed to air for a period of time.

A reinforced modified sodium silicate grout specimen was made for indoor testing (Fig. 8(a) and (b)). The physical and mechanical indices of the reinforced modified sodium silicate grout are listed in Table 3.

| Water content (%) | Unit weight (N/m³×10⁴) | Coefficient of compressibility (MPa⁻¹×10⁻³) | Modulus of compression (MPa) | Internal friction angle (°) | Cohesive (MPa) | Void ratio |
|-------------------|------------------------|--------------------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------|-----------|
| 17.33             | 1.24                   | 1.3                                       | 13.15                       | 25.31                       | 0.05          | 0.751     |

The sand specimen was cured in air for two days. Its uniaxial compressive strength was 0.2 MPa, and its permeability coefficient was 6.83×10⁻⁶; thus, it was essentially an impermeable body. In addition, the durability values of the sand specimen were different after curing in water, air, and buried sand. The sand specimen was buried in the water and sand layers, and its strength did not decrease after three months. After curing in air for one day, white crystals appeared on the surface of the specimen; moreover, the surface was loose, and peeled off after one week.

### 3.2 Analysis of grouting effect

#### 3.2.1 Study on the injectability of cement grout under different water-cement ratios

Increasing the fluidity of a grout is an effective measure for improving its permeability. One commonly used method for cement grout is to increase the water-cement ratio. This is generally based on using large water-cement ratio cement suspension, especially
for grouting in smaller cracks, so as to improve the fluidity and dispersion of the grout.

As shown in Table 4, when the water-cement ratio of the superfine cement grout was 1:1, the grouting volume was 16 L, and when the water-cement ratio was 1.5:1, the grouting volume was 28.5 L. For ordinary Portland cement grout, the small increase was ascribed to the poor injectability of the grout, i.e., the ordinary cement had large particles, and could not penetrate into the gaps of the aeolian sand formations.
Table 4

Grout volumes of grouts with various water-cement ratios

| Water-cement ratio | Grout Volumes, L |
|--------------------|------------------|
| Ordinary cement grout |                 |
| 0.8:1              | 13.6             |
| 1:1                | 14.5             |
| 1.5:1              | 14.5             |
| Superfine cement grout |            |
| 1:1                | 16               |
| 1.5:1              | 28.5             |
| 2:1                | 29.4             |

The deposition for the cement grout with the high water-cement ratio occurred throughout the movement in the fissures. As the deposition thickness increased, the pressure transmission and flow velocity changed until a certain section was blocked and closed. Both the grouting pressure and consistency affected the compactness of the filling body. When the water-cement ratio reached a certain level, the fluidity of the cement grout was no longer significantly improved, as the deposition process had become a controlling factor affecting its movement in the fissures. Increasing the water-cement ratio to a very high level did not make much sense for improving the fluidity of the grout. As shown in Table 4, when the water-cement ratio of the superfine cement grout increased from 1.5:1 to 2:1, the grouting volume only increased by 4.5%.

3.2.2 Analysis of the injectability of different materials in aeolian sand layer

Table 5 shows the grouting volumes of the three different grouting materials for a single pipe. The water-cement ratios of the ordinary cement grout and superfine cement grout were 1:1 and 1.5:1, respectively. With regard to injectability in aeolian sand formations, the chemical grout was stronger than the suspension-type grout, and the grouting volume was three to four times that of ordinary cement grout.

It can be seen from Table 5 that the permeability of the modified sodium silicate was the best at up to 10 cm, followed by superfine cement at approximately 4 cm, and
ordinary cement grout had the smallest penetration radius of approximately 2 cm, i.e.,
it was almost non-permeable. This was related to the type and particles of the grout.

Table 5

Grout volumes of grouts with various water-cement ratios

| Grouting material                     | Grout Volumes, L | Diffusion radius, cm |
|---------------------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
| Ordinary cement grout                 | 14.5             | 3                    |
| Superfine grout                       | 28.5             | 5                    |
| Modified sodium silicate grout        | 50.1             | 10                   |

In general, the permeability of a suspended grout composed of solid particulate
materials, for example, cement, clay, and fly ash, primarily depends on the particle size
and fluidity of the grout. When the sizes of the cracks or pores are smaller than the
diameter of the grout particles, effective grouting cannot be implemented. It is generally
believed that only when the cracks or pores are more than three times larger than the
coarsest particles in terms of size can it be used for grouting. If they are smaller than
this limit, the coarsest particles may be blocked in the cracks; thus, they will rapidly
form a filter layer, so that other smaller particles cannot penetrate. At present, the
ordinary cement produced in China has a particle diameter of approximately 50 μm as
the main component, and the thickest particles reach 80 μm. The particle diameter of
the aeolian sand of the Shenmu No. 1 Tunnel is primarily distributed between 75 and
500 μm, and the distance between particles is 10–20 μm. As such, particles of ordinary
cement grout cannot be injected; the grout consolidates around the steel pipe, and
cannot penetrate and diffuse.

The average particle size of the superfine cement grout was 4 μm. Therefore, according
to theoretical calculations, it could be injected. However, owing to the small particles
of the grout, segregation and sedimentation during the penetration process tended to
occur, and grout accumulated at the entrance of the fissure to form a grout layer.
Therefore, the penetration depth was limited, and the reinforcing effect was not
satisfactory.

Compared with suspended grout, the modified sodium silicate grout had low viscosity
and the best pourability, but the gel time had a great influence on the permeability. The
control of the gel time should be strengthened to obtain an ideal penetration range.

4 Construction technology of aeolian sand tunnel

An aeolian sand stratum has low cohesion and poor self-stability, collapses easily
during excavation, and construction therein is difficult. Until now, there have been
relatively few tunnel projects in the aeolian sand areas of China. In addition, many
issues need to be addressed urgently, such as a lack of construction experience,
imperfect construction technologies and methods, and a lack of well-formed, systematic,
and applicable construction modes. To discuss the construction technologies for aeolian
sand tunnels, we first investigated the construction approaches to related aeolian sand
tunnels. The projects that have been completed recently are listed in Table 6.

Table 6
Projects in aeolian sand formations in China

| Tunnel          | Type of advance support                                           | Construction method                             | Notes                                      |
|-----------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------|
| New Xingshumao Tunnel | Horizontal jet grouting pile, advanced large pipe shed grouting, advanced small pipe grouting | Cross diaphragm (CRD), bench construction        | Shenmu-shuozhou Railway                    |
| Shahalamao Tunnel   | Horizontal jet grouting pile                                     | Reserved core soil and bench construction       | Baotou-Xi’an Railway                       |
| Liuwu Tunnel       | Advanced large pipe shed grouting                                | bench construction                              | Qinghai-Tibet Railway                      |
| New Xiangshawan Tunnel | Advanced large pipe shed grouting, advanced small pipe grouting | Reserved core soil and short bench construction | Double track electrified railway tunnels   |
| Huoshatu Tunnel    | Double-layer advanced small pipe grouting                        | Short bench construction                        | New Baotou-shenmu Railway                  |

4.1 Numerical model
As per the majority of the existing numerical studies (e.g. Qiu et al., 2012; Zheng et al., 2012:), a finite difference method can effectively solve the problems of construction technology. In this study, FLAC\(^3D\) was adopted for the analysis. In the establishment and analysis of the numerical model, we made the following assumptions. First, the initial stress field of the formation did not consider tectonic stress; only its geostatic stress was considered. The effects of the advanced support and bolt reinforcement were achieved by increasing the surrounding rock parameters. To fully reflect and compare the effect of the advanced support, the initial support was activated after the excavation calculation was completed.

To ensure sufficient solution accuracy, the general model boundary was three to five
times the tunnel diameter, and the semi-infinite boundary was simplified to a finite boundary, so as to eliminate the influence of boundary effects. Simultaneously, the normal displacement of each boundary surface was constrained around the model, the bottom surface was completely constrained, and the top surface of the model was a free surface. Based on this, the width direction (x direction) of this model was 100 m, and the height direction (z direction) was 36.4 m below the bottom of the invert and 27 m above the vault; that is, the vertical direction was, in total, 73.8 m. The length direction (y-direction) was 1 m.

In this numerical simulation, the physical and mechanical parameters of the aeolian sand were determined through experiments; the parameters of the bottom sandstone and each reinforcement ring were determined by a combination of field experiments and literature data. The parameters of the shotcrete and molded concrete were determined in accordance with the “Specifications for Design of Highway Tunnels” (JTG D70/2-2014). The detailed parameters are listed in Table 7.

Table 7

| Element                                  | Unit weight (kN/m³) | Poisson ratio μ | Elastic modulus (GPa) | Cohesion (MPa) | Internal friction angle (°) | Depth of reinforcing ring (m) |
|------------------------------------------|--------------------|----------------|-----------------------|----------------|----------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Aeolian sand                             | 17.2               | 0.4            | 0.021                 | 0.011          |                            | 27                            |
| Bottom sand                              | 19.1               | 0.35           | 1                     | 0.1            |                            | 27                            |
| Reinforcing ring by large pipe shed      | 18.7               | 0.35           | 0.24                  | 0.06           |                            | 30                            |
| Reinforcing ring by small pipe           | 18.2               | 0.35           | 0.13                  | 0.05           |                            | 30                            |
| Reinforcing ring by horizontal jet grouting pile | 19          | 0.3            | 4                     | 0.58           |                            | 35                            |
| Strengthening area by anchors            | 17.5               | 0.38           | 0.04                  | 0.02           |                            | 28                            |
| Shotcrete                                | 23                 | 0.25           | 23                    |                |                            |                               |
| Secondary                                | 25                 | 0.2            | 31                    |                |                            |                               |
lining

The thickness of the reinforcement ring referred to the radial size of the effective reinforcement shell formed by the advance support and anchor in the formation, comprehensively considering the design parameters of the large pipe shed, small pipe, horizontal jet grouting pile, and anchor (including the pipe diameter, pipe length, and separation distance) and grouting diffusion radius. By combining the physical and mechanical properties of aeolian sand formation and the purpose of numerical simulation, the soil and the secondary lining adopted the Mohr-Coulomb model and the elastic model, respectively, and solid elements were used for simulation. The initial support adopted the shell element of the structural elements for the simulation.

The numerical model is shown in Figs. 9–14. Among them, the difference in the advanced support model is only shown in the size of the reinforcement ring; therefore, only one of them is listed as an example.

Fig. 9 Numerical model of advanced support

Fig. 10 Double-sided pit method

Fig. 11 Cross diaphragm (CRD) method

Fig. 12 Bench cut method
Fig. 13 Three-bench method with temporary invert  Fig. 14 Benching partial excavation method with temporary invert

4.2 Analysis of numerical simulation results

4.2.1 Comparison of advanced support methods

Table 8

| Support methods                  | Surface subsidence | Vault settlement | Heave at the bottom plate | Horizontal settlement |
|----------------------------------|--------------------|------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------|
| Non advance support              |                   |                  |                           |                       |
| Advanced small pipe grouting     |                   |                  |                           |                       |
| Advanced large pipe shed grouting|                   |                  |                           |                       |
| Horizontal jet grouting pile     |                   |                  |                           |                       |

Table 9

| Support methods                  | Percentage decline of vault settlement at different support methods |
|----------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Non advance support              | /                                                                   |
| Advanced small pipe grouting     | 8% compared with non-advance support                               |
| Advanced large pipe shed grouting| 12% compared with non-advance support, 3% compared with advanced small pipe grouting |
Horizontal jet grouting pile 25% compared with non-advance support, 18% compared with advanced small pipe grouting, 15% compared with advanced large pipe shed grouting

| Support methods                           | Maximum bend moment (kN·m) | Maximum axial force (kN) |
|-------------------------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------|
| Non advance support                       |                             |                          |
| Advanced small pipe grouting              |                             |                          |
| Advanced large pipe shed grouting         |                             |                          |
| Horizontal jet grouting pile              |                             |                          |

Table 10

Internal force of primary support at different support methods
Table 11

Stress of secondary lining at different support methods (unit: MPa)

| Support methods            | Maximum tension stress | Maximum compression stress |
|----------------------------|------------------------|---------------------------|
| Non advance support        | 0.57                   | 2.57                      |
| Advanced small pipe grouting | 0.57                   | 2.57                      |
| Advanced large pipe shed grouting | 0.65                 | 2.65                      |
| Horizontal jet grouting pile | 0.75                   | 3.65                      |

From Tables 8–11, it can be seen that with the increase in the stiffness of the advanced support, the axial force of the initial support increases, the bending moment decreases, the compressive stress of the second lining increases, and the tensile stress decreases. In short, it can be seen that the horizontal jet grouting pile method has the best control effect on surrounding rock deformation and settlement, followed by the large pipe shed grouting method; the small pipe grouting method has the worst effect.

In actual projects, the horizontal jet grouting pile has a complicated construction technology and a long construction period, but its sand-fixing effect, deformation, and settlement control effects are better than those of advanced small and large pipe sheds, and can effectively solve the technical problems in the construction of aeolian sand tunnels, while ensuring the stability of the surrounding rock and construction safety. Compared with horizontal jet grouting piles, the construction technology of advanced large pipe sheds is relatively simple and the construction period is relatively short, meeting the practical requirements for many projects. The key to its successful application in aeolian sand tunnels is the grouting effect. The annular distance and length of the small pipe should be determined reasonably, depending on the diffusion range and sliding surface of the sand body.

4.2.2 Comparison of construction methods

(1) Double-sided pit method

It can be seen from the numerical simulation results of the construction process of the double-sided pit method that there are many divisions during the construction process that cause large disturbances. The full-section closure time of the primary support is long, but each section is closed immediately after excavation; therefore, the deformation caused by construction is small, the ability to control the surrounding rock deformation is strong, and the construction safety is high. Notably, the structure is
subject to complex forces, and part of the structure bears a relatively large force. The
following points should be noted during the construction.

- The vault settlement caused by the excavation of the upper part of the middle head
  pit accounts for approximately 65% of the final settlement. Attention should be paid
during construction, and temporary support should be added when necessary to control
the settlement of the vault in a timely manner.

- During construction, the primary support bears large forces locally; thus, the
deformation monitoring and observation of the primary support should be strengthened.
Meanwhile, reinforcement must be performed when necessary to prevent local damage.

(2) Cross diaphragm (CRD) method

From analyzing the numerical results from the cross diaphragm (CRD) method, it can
be seen that the CRD method adopts partial construction, and adds temporary support.
Its ability to control the surrounding rock deformation is relatively strong, the
deformation is small, and the construction safety is high, but the structural force is also
relatively high and complex. Compared with the double-sided pit method, the CRD
method has a larger construction area, and the deformation caused by the construction
is also relatively large. In addition, the vertical temporary support is subject to greater
stress during construction; consequently, monitoring and protection should be
strengthened.

(3) Bench-cut method

By analyzing the numerical results from the bench cut method, it can be seen that the
bench cut method has poor control ability regarding the surrounding rock deformation,
leading to wide distribution area of the plastic zone. The following points should be
noted during such construction.

- The vault settlement caused by the construction of the upper bench accounts for the
  largest proportion of the final settlement (approximately 75%).

- During construction, stress concentrations are likely to occur at the bottom corners
  of every bench; these can be reinforced by grouting, or by adding lock-foot anchors and
  spraying concrete at the bottom of the primary support to increase the strength of the
  surrounding rock or reduce the load.

- The trend of the vault and surrounding rock moving to the tunnel clearance is evident,
  and the deformation is large; therefore, monitoring and measurement should be
  strengthened to prevent invasion.

(4) Three-bench method with a temporary invert

By analyzing the numerical results of the three-bench method with a temporary invert,
it can be seen that this method increases the temporary invert, and its surrounding rock
deformation control ability is improved relative to that the bench cut method. However,
the deformation is still large, and the plastic zone is wider. The main reason is that the
development of the vault caused by construction primarily occurs during the construction
of the upper step, but the temporary invert is placed at the bottom of the middle step,
making it difficult to effectively play a role. The recommended construction precautions
are the same as those for the bench cut method.

(5) Benching partial excavation method with a temporary invert

From the analysis of the numerical simulation results of the construction process of the
benching partial excavation method with a temporary invert, it can be seen that the
surrounding rock deformation control ability is greatly improved relative to that of the
bench cut method and three-bench method with a temporary invert. The main reason is
that it places the temporary invert at the bottom of the upper step; thus, the deformation
of the surrounding rock and the settlement of the vault can be controlled in time.
Simultaneously, the core soil of the lower step provides effective support to the closed
support system of the upper step.

The settlement of the vault caused by the upper step construction accounts for
approximately 89% of the final settlement. Therefore, settlement control of the upper
step should be considered during such construction. In addition, the soil in the middle
of the lower step has a good supporting effect on the supporting system, and protection
should be strengthened during construction; shotcrete can be used for sealing if
necessary.

(6) Comparison of construction methods

From the perspective of the surrounding rock deformation, the double-sided pit method
has more section divisions and the best control effect on the surrounding rock
deformation. The CRD method has relatively large section divisions, and the settlement
control effect is the second-best. The bench cut method has the worst ability to control
deformation. The three-bench method with a temporary invert improves the control
effect compared with the bench cut method owing to the addition of the temporary
invert, but the disturbance of upper step excavation is an important part of its
deformation causes, and accounts for the largest proportion of the final deformation;
moreover, placing the temporary invert at the bottom of the middle step makes it miss
the best opportunity for deformation control. The benching partial excavation method
with a temporary invert shows improvement over the three-bench method with a
temporary invert, that is, the temporary invert is placed at the bottom of the upper step
to control the deformation of the surrounding rock in time; consequently, its
deformation control ability is greatly enhanced. (Table 12)

Table 12

| Construction method | Surface settlement | Vault settlement | Bottom heave | Horizontal |
|---------------------|--------------------|-----------------|--------------|------------|
|                     |                    |                 |              |            |
### Analysis of Construction Schemes

#### 4.3.1 Different Construction Schemes

1. Advanced small pipe grouting (circular spacing 20 cm) + four-step method

At the beginning of construction, a comprehensive analysis was conducted according to the tunnel geological conditions, construction period, project investment, and so on. It was believed that the small pipe grouting combined with the four-step core soil method has a low construction cost and fast excavation speed. Therefore, this scheme was preferred for construction purposes. However, through practical application, it was

|   | Subsidence | Deformation |
|---|------------|-------------|
| a | ![Image]   | ![Image]    |
| b | ![Image]   | ![Image]    |
| c | ![Image]   | ![Image]    |
| d | ![Image]   | ![Image]    |
| e | ![Image]   | ![Image]    |

Note: Here, a denotes the double-sided pit method, b denotes the CRD method, c denotes the bench cut method, d denotes the three-bench method with a temporary invert, and e denotes the benching partial excavation method with a temporary invert.

In terms of the initial support force, the double-sided pit method and CRD method effectively control the surrounding rock deformation by reducing the excavation area and increasing the temporary support. Simultaneously, the internal force of the initial support increases, and the structural force becomes complicated. In the bench cut method, the internal force of the structure is relatively small after the surrounding rock pressure is released to a certain extent.

In summary, the double-sided pit method and CRD method have the best settlement control effects, the highest construction safety, complex structural forces, and large internal forces; the settlement control effect of the benching partial excavation method with a temporary invert is the second-best, and the construction safety is general; the three-bench method with a temporary invert has a poor settlement control effect and poor construction safety; and the bench cut method has the worst settlement control effect and worst construction safety.
found that this scheme is not suitable for aeolian sand formations. During construction, the sand leakage and sand sliding at the tunnel face and side walls were serious, resulting in a funnel (approximately 2 m in diameter and 1.5 m in depth). There were several transverse cracks on the surface in front of the face. The crack spacing was approximately 0.5–1.0 m and the widths were 2–4 cm. After excavation of the tunnel, the initial support settlement was excessively large, and the limit was severely invaded. The maximum intrusion limit was 90 cm, and the steel arch changed. The construction progress was very slow, and only 20 m was constructed for more than three months.

(2) Advanced large pipe shed grouting + three-bench method with a temporary invert

In response to the problems in the construction, the advanced small pipe grouting was changed to advanced large pipe shed grouting. In terms of construction methods, i.e., the comprehensive comparison of the double-sided pit method, CRD method, and bench method with a temporary invert, it was believed that although the double-sided pit method and CRD method could effectively control deformation, the construction cost is high, and the process is relatively complicated and slow. Therefore, based on the original bench cut method, a temporary invert was added, and the four steps were changed to a three-step excavation, that is, the three-bench method with a temporary invert. This method not only had the characteristics of a simple procedure and fast progress of the bench method, but also added a temporary invert and enhanced the ability to control deformation.

(3) Horizontal jet grouting piles + benching partial excavation method with a temporary invert

Through timely adjustment, the large pipe shed was changed to horizontal jet grouting piles. Through practical application, the sand-fixing effects of the horizontal jet grouting piles were evident, and the phenomenon of sand leakage was effectively contained. At the same time, the three steps were adjusted to two steps: improving the initial support force of the upper step, reducing the initial support joints, increasing the vertical braces, and controlling the settlement and deformation of the vault in time. The actual application proved the expected results.

4.3.2 Comparison of controlling deformation at different construction schemes

Three representative tunnel sections were selected for analysis of the deformation control ability (Table 13). The measured data regarding the peripheral displacement convergence and vault settlement are shown in Fig. 15 and Fig. 16.

Table 13

| Mileage     | K91+180 | K91+215 | ZK91+220 |
|-------------|---------|---------|----------|
| Construction| Small   | Large   | Horizontal jet |
| schemes                      | grouting + four-step method | three-bench method with temporary invert | grouting pile + benching partial excavation method with temporary invert |
|------------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Cover depth                  | 29 m                         | 29 m                                     | 29 m                                                                   |

Fig. 15 Curve of peripheral displacement convergence

Fig. 16 Curve of vault settlement
Through the numerical comparison of the convergence and the vault settlement, it can be found that the vault settlements caused by the “small pipe grouting + four-step method” and the “large pipe shed grouting + three-bench method with a temporary invert” are 3.9 times and 4.2 times that caused by the “horizontal jet grouting pile + benching partial excavation method with a temporary invert”, respectively, and the data for convergence are 3 times and 3.6 times, respectively. Therefore, it can be considered that in the construction of aeolian sand tunnels, the “horizontal jet grouting pile + benching partial excavation method with a temporary invert” has the best effect in regards the control of settlement and deformation, and has evident advantages relative to the other two schemes.

4.4 Suggestions for tunnel construction in aeolian sand strata

Through the above research, the following suggestions are provided.

(1) Advanced support

Horizontal jet grouting piles should be adopted for advance support; when conditions are restricted, such as by capital or the construction period, under the premise of ensuring the grouting effect, advanced large pipe shed grouting can be selected. The advanced small pipe grouting method should be used as a supplement to solve the problems of sand leakage caused by the increase in the spaces between pipe sheds with the progress of the pipe shed system; when advanced small pipe grouting is used as the primary advanced support method, it should be arranged densely, or in the double-layer form.

(2) Construction method

In the case of strict control requirements for surface subsidence, the double-sided pit method or CRD method should be adopted, and when there is no strict control requirement for the surface subsidence, it is recommended to adopt the benching partial excavation method with a temporary invert. These feature few excavation steps and flexible construction systems that can control the settlement and deformation of the vault in time; the three-bench temporary invert method and the bench method without a temporary invert should not be used.

5 Conclusions

(1) Ordinary cement grout has a large particle size, and is difficult to inject into aeolian sand layers with a pore size of 10 μm; moreover, the cement particles are suspended in the slurry. Even if the particle sizes are smaller than the pores of the sand layer, the filtration of the sand layer causes an extremely small permeability range, and the grout may consolidate on the surroundings of the grouting holes.

(2) The superfine cement grout has a small particle size, with an average particle size of 4 μm. Theoretically, it can be injected into an aeolian sand layer with a pore size of
10 μm, but actual field tests show that the grouting effect is not significantly different
from that of ordinary cement; this is because sedimentation is prone to occur, resulting
in poor stability.

(3) The modified sodium silicate grout has good permeability, but the gel time is short,
blockage in the pipe often occurs in the test, and the proportioning process is more
complicated. After grouting, the strength of the reinforced body is low (0.2 MPa), and
it can easily weather and break after exposure.

(4) Horizontal jet grouting piles should be adopted for advance support; to ensure the
grouting effect, advanced large pipe shed grouting should be selected, and the advanced
small pipe grouting method should be used as a supplement.

(5) In the case of strict control requirements for surface subsidence, the double-sided
pit method or CRD method should be adopted, and when there is no strict control
requirement for surface subsidence, it is recommended to adopt the benching partial
excavation method with a temporary invert. There are few excavation steps and flexible
construction systems that can control the settlement and deformation of the vault in
time. The three-bench temporary invert method and bench method without a temporary
invert should not be used.
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