Larviculture of two neotropical species with different distributions in the water column in light- and dark-colored tanks
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The influence of tank color on the visual perception of fish larvae and the success of their cultivation depends on the characteristics of each species combined with environmental factors. In this study, we determined the effect of light and dark tank colors on the larviculture of pacamã (*Lophiosilurus alexandri*), a species with a benthonic habit, and curimatá-pioa (*Prochilodus costatus*), which swims actively in the water column. Larvae of pacamã and curimatá-pioa were cultivated for 10 days in 5-L tanks, at a density of 15 larvae L⁻¹ and luminosity of 141.7 ± 8.95 lux, and fed *Artemia* nauplii. Four tank colors were used: green, light blue, brown, and black (with four replications). Survival, biomass and Fulton’s condition factor for pacamã larvae were similar in the different colored tanks. However, the larvae in the green tanks showed lower weight than those cultivated in black and brown tanks, as well as shorter total length than that of larvae in the brown-colored tanks. These results are probably due to the association between tank color and benthonic habitat of the pacamã. For the curimatá-pioa, survival and biomass were similar for the different colors. The weight and Fulton’s condition factor were higher for the larvae cultivated in green and blue tanks. This result could be associated with the adaptation of curimatá-pioa larvae to active swimming in the water column, searching for prey.

A interferência da cor do tanque na percepção visual da larva de peixe e no sucesso do seu cultivo depende da caraterística de cada espécie combinada com fatores ambientais. Neste estudo foi investigado o efeito de tanques de cores claras e escuras na larvicultura do pacamã *Lophiosilurus alexandri*, espécie de hábito bentônico, e, curimatá-pioa *Prochilodus costatus*, que nada ativamente na coluna da água. Larvas de pacamã e de curimatá-pioa foram cultivadas por 10 dias, em tanques contendo 5 L de água, a uma densidade de 15 larvas L⁻¹, luminosidade de 141.7 ± 8.95 lux, alimentados com náuplios de *Artemia*. Quatro cores de tanques foram usadas: verde e azul claras, marrom e preta (com quatro repetições). Para as larvas de pacamã, a sobrevivência, biomassa e o fator de condição de Fulton foram similares entre os tanques de diferentes cores. Entretanto, as larvas nos tanques verdes apresentara um peso menor do que as cultivadas nos tanques de cor preta e marrom, assim como um menor comprimento total, que as larvas cultivadas em tanque marrom. Estes resultados provavelmente têm origem da associação da cor do tanque com o hábito bentônico do pacamã. Para o curimatá-pioa, a sobrevivência e a biomassa foram similares entre as cores. O peso e o fator de condição de Fulton foram maiores para as larvas cultivadas nos tanques de cor verde e azul, seguidas pelo marrom e preto. Este resultado pode estar associado a adaptação das larvas de curimatá-pioa a natação ativa na coluna da água à procura de presa.
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Introduction

The pacamã, *Lophiosilurus alexandri* Steindachner, 1876, and the curimatá-pioa, *Prochilodus costatus* Valenciennes, 1850, are species native to the São Francisco basin and are prominent in regional fishing (Barbosa & Soares, 2009), stimulating an interest in their aquaculture. However, each has a different biology. Pacamã is a piscivorous species (Alvim & Peret, 2004) that is distributed on the bottom, with the adults building nests in sandy ponds where they spawn (Travassos, 1959). The curimatá-pioa are detritivorous (Luz et al., 2009) and active swimmers, migrating upriver to reproduce (Silva et al., 2006; Alves, 2007), and their larvae swim in all directions and levels of the water column, from the fourth post-hatching day (Godinho et al., 2003).
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Vision seems to be the dominant sense in feeding for fish larvae (Arthur, 1976), but beyond their visual capacity, catfish larvae can chemically locate their food through their barbels (Brito & Pienaar, 1992; Weingartner & Zaniboni-Filho, 2004), showing better performance under low luminosity (Behr et al., 1999; Feiden et al., 2005).

Many species of larvae hatch with eyes regarded as nonfunctional until the start of exogenous feeding (Blaxter & Hunter, 1982), a condition that emerges with the development of the organism. Pacamã larvae hatch with their eyes slightly pigmented (Nakatani et al., 2001), while larvae of curimatá-pioa begin to develop eye pigment on the third day, when they begin to open their mouth (Godinho et al., 2003).

The aim of this work was to study the effect of light and dark colors on the survival and growth of larvae of pacamã, a species with benthic habits, and curimatá-pioa, which swims actively in the water column.

Material and Methods

The experiments were conducted at the Centro Integrado de Recursos Pesqueiros e Aquicultura de Três Marias da Companhia de Desenvolvimento do Vale do São Francisco e do Parnaíba - CODEVASF, in Três Marias - MG, Brazil. Larvae of pacamã (Lophiosilurus alexandri) and curimatá-pioa (Prochilodus costatus) were obtained from the same breeder and cultivated for 10 days. Pacamã larvae had a total length of 13.3 ± 0.5 mm and weight of 15.5 ± 3.3 mg, and curimatá-pioa had a total length of 6.9 ± 0.4 mm and weight of 1.7 ± 0.8 mg.

The larvae were counted individually and distributed in 7-L tanks, with 5 L of water, at a density of 15 larvae L⁻¹ (75 larvae tank⁻¹). The tanks were continuously aerated and luminosity was 141.7 ± 8.95 lux on the water surface, obtained from two 40-W fluorescence lamps set at a height of 2.5 m. The photoperiod was 12L:12D.

The larvae species were submitted to four tank colors: two light colors (green and blue) and two dark colors (brown and black), with four replications each, in a completely randomized design.

A Minolta CR400 colorimeter was used to measure color and lightness of the tanks (Table 1). The colors were expressed in CIELab coordinates. In this system, L* represents the lightness of color points on a 0-100 scale from black to white, a* is the position between red (+) and green (-), while b* is the position between yellow (+) and blue (-), and thus, a* and b* are chromaticity coordinates. Color intensity is expressed by a Chroma C*ab value, which is the purest and most intense color, and is influenced by coordinates a* and b*, and H°ab corresponds to the hue. These values were calculated according to the formulas:

\[ C_{ab}^* = (a^*+b^*)^{1/2} \]
\[ H_{ab}^° = \arctan(b^*/a^*) \]

Water temperature, dissolved oxygen (YSI 55), redox potential, pH (Quimis Q400H) and electrical conductivity (Lutron 4301) were measured daily at 7 am in each tank. After the reading of water parameters, waste was removed, and 10% of the water volume was exchanged.

The fish larvae were fed 600 Artemia nauplii pacamã larva⁻¹ and 400 Artemia nauplii curimatá-pioa larva⁻¹, offered twice a day (8 am and 2 pm). On the sixth day, there was a 50% increase in the amount of offered prey per larva; this amount was maintained until the end of the experiment.

The Artemia nauplii were obtained from cysts maintained for 24 h in salinity of 28.5‰. The nauplii were counted under a stereoscopic microscope for the verification of concentration (nauplii mL⁻¹), and the number of larval food was determined. At the end of the experiment, all larvae were counted and their survival calculated. Their biomass was obtained by weighing all larvae in the tank, on a scale with a precision of 0.02 mm and average weight of the larvae (obtained using a scale with a precision of 0.1 mg) were taken for 15 larvae tank⁻¹.

All larvae were captured at the same time and preserved in 10% formalin. Afterwards, the number of the prey larva⁻¹ was obtained by intestinal dissection of four larvae tank⁻¹, under a stereomicroscope. The entire gut was removed intact from each specimen and placed in a drop of water on a glass slide. The digestive tracts of the larvae were opened lengthwise with a needle, and the items in the gut of each larva were counted (Arthur, 1976). The stage of digestion of the prey was high (ranking based on Daan, 1973 and Scrimgeour & Winterbourn, 1987).

During the experiment, it was observed if larvae swam continuously or not, dispersed or grouped, or showed aggressive behavior (agonistic patterns, damaged fins, or other signs of attack).

Using the total weight and standard length data obtained at the end of the experiment, Fulton’s condition factor was calculated by the expression: \[ K = \frac{W}{L^3} \times 100 \]

Table 1. Averages (± standard deviation) of L* (lightness), C*ab (Chroma = color intensity) and H°ab (hue) of the tanks employed in the cultivation of the larvae of curimatá-pioa (Prochilodus costatus) and pacamã (Lophiosilurus alexandri), for 10 days. Averages in the same column followed by different letters differed significantly (P<0.05) by Tukey’s test.

| Color  | a*       | b*       | L*       | C*ab  | H°ab  |
|--------|----------|----------|----------|-------|-------|
| Green  | -25.92 ± 0.24a | 43.32 ± 0.51a | 66.49 ± 0.29a | 50.48 ± 0.53a | 65.45a |
| Blue   | -14.33 ± 0.13c | -31.99 ± 0.51d | 53.61 ± 0.48c | 34.51 ± 0.51c | -59.10c |
| Brown  | 24.60 ± 2.03c | 25.19 ± 1.82c | 43.21 ± 0.39c | 35.21 ± 2.73c | 45.68c |
| Black  | 0.08 ± 0.02e | -0.03 ± 0.04e | 25.43 ± 1.46e | 0.09 ± 0.03e | -10.48e |
The survival percentage values were arcsine transformed for statistical analysis. The evaluations of the effect of tank color and water quality were compared to the average values of the larvae by the F test, followed by Tukey’s test at 5% significance, using SigmaStat 3.5 software.

Results and Discussion

Water quality parameters (Table 2) were similar to those observed by other authors for the culture of larvae of pacamã (Pedreira et al., 2008a; Pedreira et al., 2009) and curimatá-pioa (Luz et al., 2009), and were similar between treatments, not interfering with the observed differences. The luminosity (L*) differed between tanks with different colors, which influenced the development and survival of pacamã and curimatá-pioa larvae alike.

The pacamã larvae were constantly on the bottom, forming clusters and searching for points with low luminosity. In the green tank they showed smaller weight and length, under the higher reflected light. However, survival, biomass, Fulton’s condition factor (Table 3) and consumption of prey (Table 4) did not differ.

The curimatá-pioa larvae swam actively in the water column and showed the lowest consumption of prey in the black tanks and better results for weight, length and Fulton’s condition factor in the light-colored tanks (blue and green), but biomass and survival did not differ between treatments (Table 3).

The distribution of pacamã larvae on the bottom in this experiment was the same behavior observed for pacamã in the adult phase (Travassos, 1959). It explains the lower weight and length yield in the green tank with better reflection of light. Tenório et al. (2006) observed better pacamã growth when they were submitted to 24 hours of darkness than when submitted to 24 hours of light. These results are in agreement with the idea that pacamã larvae are adapted to darker environments, as evidenced by the present work when they crowded around points with low luminosity.

Continuous darkness enhances the growth of Clarias gariepinus larvae, another siluriform with similar behavior as pacamã, during and after metamorphosis (Appelbaum & McGeer, 1998). The growth rate of larval C. gariepinus was found to increase with shorter light periods, the highest being recorded in continuous darkness (0L:24D photoperiod). The behavior of larval and juvenile catfish differs markedly in continuous light and darkness. When subjected to a continuous light period, a strong negative phototaxis, long rest periods interspersed with disturbed activity, refuge-seeking behavior and increased incidence of territorial aggression were observed (Brito & Pienaar, 1992). However, it should be noted that in the present work, the pacamã larvae did not show signs of aggressiveness.

For curimatá-pioa, the best results were obtained in the light-colored tanks (blue and green), whereas less consumption of prey occurred in the black tanks. These results suggest that the larvae are adapted to more intense luminosity, normally found in the water column, where they swim actively starting on the fourth day of life (Godinho et al., 2003) and retain this behavior during the adult phase when they migrate for reproduction (Silva et al., 2006; Alves, 2007).

Receptivity of fish to light profoundly changes according to species and developmental status (Boeuf & Pierre-Yves, 1999). Also, increasing or decreasing light intensity interferes with the visual detection of larvae by the prey as well (Tamazouzt et al., 2000). In the natural habitat, pacamã larvae are distributed on the bottom and are submitted to lower luminosity than curimatá-pioa larvae, which are distributed throughout the water column. This difference in behavior is related to light intensity for these species, since pacamã are better adapted than curimatá-pioa to places with lower luminosity. The ability and position of the eyes in fish larvae are likely due to an adaptation to the habitat. Usually, the larvae of species that live on the bottom have their eyes turned upward - including sole after its transformation to benthic (Osse & Van den Boogaart, 1997; Sæle et al., 2003; Pedro-

Table 2. Average values (± standard deviation) of water quality parameters of cultivation of the larvae of pacamã (Lophiosilurus alexandri) and curimatá-pioa (Prochilodus costatus) in tanks of four different colors, for 10 days. Averages in the same row did not differ (P>0.05) significantly by Tukey’s test.

| Lophiosilurus alexandri | Prochilodus costatus |
|-------------------------|----------------------|
| **Color of tank**       | **Color of tank**    |**Color of tank** |**Color of tank** |
| **Temperature (°C)**   | **Temperature (°C)** | **Temperature (°C)** |**Temperature (°C)** |
| **Redox (mV)**         | **Redox (mV)**       | **Redox (mV)**     | **Redox (mV)**     |
| **pH**                 | **pH**               | **pH**             | **pH**             |
| **Conductivity (mS cm⁻¹)** | **Conductivity (mS cm⁻¹)** | **Conductivity (mS cm⁻¹)** |**Conductivity (mS cm⁻¹)** |
| **Oxygen (mg L⁻¹)**    | **Oxygen (mg L⁻¹)**  | **Oxygen (mg L⁻¹)** |**Oxygen (mg L⁻¹)** |
| **Oxygen (%)**         | **Oxygen (%)**       | **Oxygen (%)**     | **Oxygen (%)**     |
| **Color of tank**      | **Color of tank**    | **Color of tank**  | **Color of tank**  |
| **Temperature (°C)**   | **Temperature (°C)** | **Temperature (°C)** |**Temperature (°C)** |
| **Redox (mV)**         | **Redox (mV)**       | **Redox (mV)**     | **Redox (mV)**     |
| **pH**                 | **pH**               | **pH**             | **pH**             |
| **Conductivity (mS cm⁻¹)** | **Conductivity (mS cm⁻¹)** | **Conductivity (mS cm⁻¹)** |**Conductivity (mS cm⁻¹)** |
| **Oxygen (mg L⁻¹)**    | **Oxygen (mg L⁻¹)**  | **Oxygen (mg L⁻¹)** |**Oxygen (mg L⁻¹)** |
| **Oxygen (%)**         | **Oxygen (%)**       | **Oxygen (%)**     | **Oxygen (%)**     |
| **Green**              | **Green**            | **Green**          | **Green**          |
| **Blue**               | **Blue**             | **Blue**           | **Blue**           |
| **Brown**              | **Brown**            | **Brown**          | **Brown**          |
| **Black**              | **Black**            | **Black**          | **Black**          |
| 26.7 ± 0.6             | 26.7 ± 0.5           | 26.6 ± 0.5         | 26.6 ± 0.5         |
| 75.6 ± 61.1            | 72.5 ± 58.4          | 72.5 ± 63.8        | 72.4 ± 60.4        |
| 7.8 ± 0.14             | 7.8 ± 0.08           | 7.8 ± 0.15         | 7.8 ± 0.18         |
| 739 ± 556              | 699 ± 509            | 711 ± 522          | 842 ± 656          |
| 5.3 ± 0.6              | 5.3 ± 0.5            | 5.7 ± 0.7          | 5.3 ± 0.5          |
| 66.5 ± 7.5             | 66.7 ± 6.8           | 67.3 ± 5.9         | 66.4 ± 6.0         |
| 26.7 ± 0.5             | 26.7 ± 0.5           | 26.6 ± 0.5         | 26.6 ± 0.5         |
| 59 ± 78                | 57 ± 83              | 57 ± 82            | 58 ± 85            |
| 7.7 ± 0.1              | 7.8 ± 0.1            | 7.8 ± 0.1          | 7.8 ± 0.2          |
| 601 ± 392              | 581 ± 379            | 618 ± 397          | 678 ± 459          |
| 5.0 ± 0.8              | 5.1 ± 0.8            | 5.2 ± 0.6          | 5.3 ± 0.4          |
| 64.6 ± 12.5            | 64.2 ± 9.5           | 64.9 ± 8.2         | 66.3 ± 4.4         |
Table 3. Average values (± standard deviation) of the larvae of pacamã (Lophiosilurus alexandri) and curimatá-pioa (Prochilodus costatus) cultivated in tanks of four different colors, for 10 days. K = Fulton’s condition factor. Averages in the same column followed by different letters differed significantly (P<0.05) by Tukey’s test.

| Color of tank | Survival (%) | Length (mm) | Weight (mg) | Biomass (g) | K |
|---------------|--------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|---|
| Green         | 97 ± 0.8*    | 16.7 ± 0.9* | 27.6 ± 3.1* | 2.21 ± 0.2* | 5.8 ± 1.0* |
| Blue          | 96 ± 1.9*    | 17.2 ± 1.6* | 30.1 ± 3.0* | 2.13 ± 1.7* | 5.9 ± 1.1* |
| Brown         | 94 ± 10.7*   | 17.7 ± 0.7* | 30.9 ± 5.2* | 2.18 ± 0.3* | 5.6 ± 1.0* |
| Black         | 97 ± 5.8*    | 17.2 ± 0.6* | 31.0 ± 4.9* | 2.12 ± 1.1* | 6.6 ± 1.6* |

Table 4. Average values (± standard deviation) of the larvae of pacamã (Lophiosilurus alexandri) and curimatá-pioa (Prochilodus costatus) cultivated in tanks of four different colors, after 10 days. Averages in the same column followed by different letters differed significantly (P<0.05) by Tukey’s test.

| Color of tank | Lophiosilurus alexandri | Prochilodus costatus |
|---------------|-------------------------|----------------------|
| P. costatus   | L. alexandri            |                      |
| Green         | 11.2 ± 5.9*             | 5.9 ± 7.9*           |
| Blue          | 12.8 ± 5.4*             | 12.3 ± 13.1*         |
| Brown         | 8.4 ± 5.6*              | 7.4 ± 6.1*           |
| Black         | 0.2 ± 0.4*              | 14.1 ± 15.4*         |

Cañavate et al., 2006 and some Siluriformes (Oliveira et al., 2008), such as pacamã. On the other hand, pelagic species have eyes placed laterally (Kirchheim & Goulart, 2010).

The contrast between the color of the food organism and the wall of the tank is important for the visual perception of the prey by the larvae (Krise & Meade, 1986; Ostrowsky, 1989), providing higher or lower visibility and consumption of prey (Pedreira & Sipaúba-Tavares, 2001; Strand et al., 2007), and also affecting survival (Tamazoutz et al., 2000; Pedreira et al., 2008b), growth (Jentoft et al., 2006; Strand et al., 2007; Monk et al., 2008), biochemical parameters (Karakatsouli et al., 2006) and some Siluriformes (Oliveira et al., 2008), such as pacamã. On the other hand, pelagic species have eyes placed laterally (Kirchheim & Goulart, 2010).

Table 4. Average values (± standard deviation) of the larvae of pacamã (Lophiosilurus alexandri) and curimatá-pioa (Prochilodus costatus) cultivated in tanks of four different colors, after 10 days. Averages in the same column followed by different letters differed significantly (P<0.05) by Tukey’s test.
their capture efficiency by the larvae, and consequently resulting in a better yield. According to these authors, the higher survival rate occurred due to the better contrast between the tank color and the strong coloration of tropical freshwater plankton.

Pedreira et al. (2008b) recommended the larviculture of “matrinxã do São Francisco” (Brycon orthotaenia) in light-colored tanks (white, light blue and light green), which provided better survival and growth. Tamazouzt et al. (2000) observed that perch (Perca fluviatilis) reared in white and gray tanks, ingested more food items than in black tanks, with the growth rate being higher in white tanks than in black ones. They found that the food level of the remaining perch larvae increased in black tanks compared to the white tank under lower luminosity conditions. Under higher light intensity, the high ingestion rates resulted from the increased visibility of the food, probably due to the higher contrast between the prey and tank color. The authors concluded that the interaction between color and light intensity is an important factor to be considered for performance optimization in perch larviculture.

The larvae of curimatá-pioa (Prochilodus costatus) showed a higher Fulton’s condition factor when submitted to green and blue tanks, indicating that the light-colored tanks should be employed in the larviculture of this species. A similar result was observed by Pedreira et al. (2008b) working with larvae of Brycon orthotaenia reared in light-colored tanks (white, light blue and light green). This is a species from the same basin as curimatá-pioa, and it is a neotropical characiform that swims in the water column. The larvae of Perca fluviatilis reared in black tanks showed an improved Fulton’s condition factor compared to the gray tanks (Jentoft et al., 2006), suggesting the response can vary for some species.

In addition to the contrast between prey and their environment, tank color can affect the welfare of larvae. For perch larvae, tank color and light intensity influences behavioral and physiological stress, which can result in reduced growth rate and feeding (Strand et al., 2007). Common carp larvae reared in white tanks showed higher specific growth and lower food conversion rates and plasma cortisol levels than those kept in black and green tanks, suggesting lower stress in white tanks (Papoutsoglou et al., 2000). It is possible that better results with pacamã larvae in brown tanks, followed by black, blue and green, are also associated with animal welfare. This may be one of the research lines to follow, as suggested by Peña et al. (2004), who reported on the perception capacity of fish larvae and their development of physiological responses. Volpato & Barreto (2001) observed that rearing in green and white light increased cortisol levels in Nile tilapia (Oreochromis mossambicus) adults, but there was no effect when these fish were maintained under blue light. According to the author, the study showed that blue light prevents an increase in cortisol-induced stress in Nile tilapia.

We conclude that the brown and black dark-colored tanks are more suitable for rearing pacamã larvae compared to light green- and blue-colored tanks. This seems to be associated with their distribution on the bottom in the natural environment, where the light is less intense. However, for curimatá-pioa larvae, which swim actively in the water column searching for prey, light green and blue tanks are more suitable for rearing, in which they seem to see their prey better, resulting in better growth.
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