Network Pharmacology-Based Validation of Caveolin-1 as a Key Mediator of Ai Du Qing Inhibition of Drug Resistance in Breast Cancer
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Chinese formulas have been paid increasing attention in cancer multidisciplinary therapy due to their multi-targets and multi-substances property. Here, we aim to investigate the anti-breast cancer and chemosensitizing function of Ai Du Qing (ADQ) formula made up of Hedyotis diffusa, Curcuma zedoaria (Christm.) Rosc., Astragalus membranaceus (Fisch.) Bunge, and Glycyrrhiza uralensis Fisch. Our findings revealed that ADQ significantly inhibited cell proliferation in both parental and chemo-resistant breast cancer cells, but with little cytotoxicity effects on the normal cells. Besides, ADQ was found to facilitate the G2/M arresting and apoptosis induction effects of paclitaxel. Network pharmacology and bioinformatics analysis further demonstrated that ADQ yielded 132 candidate compounds and 297 potential targets, and shared 22 putative targets associating with breast cancer chemoresponse. Enrichment analysis and experimental validation demonstrated that ADQ might improve breast cancer chemosensitivity via inhibiting caveolin-1, which further triggered expression changes of cell cycle-related proteins p21/cyclinB1 and apoptosis-associated proteins PARP1, BAX and Bcl-2. Besides, ADQ enhanced in vivo paclitaxel chemosensitivity on breast cancer. Our study not only uncovers the novel function and mechanisms of ADQ in chemosensitizing breast cancer at least partly via targeting caveolin-1, but also sheds novel light in utilizing network pharmacology in Chinese Medicine research.
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INTRODUCTION

Breast cancer is the most common female malignancy and one of the leading causes of cancer-related deaths, with 252,710 new cases of invasive breast cancer and 40,610 breast cancer-related deaths diagnosed in women in the United States in 2017 (DeSantis et al., 2017). Chemotherapy is one of the main therapeutic approaches for treating this disease, but it remains non-selectively toxic to normal tissues (Li et al., 2005). Paclitaxel (taxol) is the first-line treatment for metastatic breast cancer. The activity of paclitaxel is primarily due to its inhibitory effects on microtubule assembly, which leads to arrest of the mitotic phase of the cell cycle and subsequent apoptosis. Nevertheless, paclitaxel application is still limited due to its systemic toxicity and acquired resistance (Janczar et al., 2017; Stage et al., 2018). Thus, there is an urgent need for novel and safe chemosensitizing strategies. Currently, increasing attention has been paid to the synergistic effects of natural phytochemicals in enhancing the chemoresponse and relieving cytotoxic effects (Wang et al., 2014).

Traditional Chinese Medicine (TCM) has attracted worldwide attention from clinicians and researchers due to its multi-target and multi-substance characteristics and good safety profile (Gupta et al., 2013). In contrast to Western medicine, TCM prescriptions are composed of several herbs and are called formulas. Originating from Yellow Emperor’s Classic theory (Chinese name Huang Di Nei Jing), the golden principle of formula composition should be based on Jun-Chen-Zuo-Shi (Lu, 1985; Fan et al., 2006). The ADQ formula was created by Prof. Zhiyu Wang based on the Jun-Chen-Zuo-Shi principle and long-term clinical experience. The ADQ formula is mainly composed of four herbs: Jun (Emperor) herb Hedyotis diffusa (Chinese name Bai Hua She Cao, BHSSC), Chen (Minister) herbCurcuma zedoaria (Christm.) Rosc. (Chinese name E Zhu, EZ), Zuo (adjuvant) herb Astragalus membranaceus (Fisch.) Bunge (Chinese name Huang Qi, HQ), and Shi (courier) herb Glycyrrhiza uralensis Fisch. (Chinese name Gan Cao, GC). Each herb in the formula can inhibit cancer growth via cell cycle arrest, apoptosis induction, and immune regulation (Fu et al., 2014a; Gao et al., 2014; Wang et al., 2014, 2015b; Feng et al., 2017). In addition, each herb is a drug that is frequently prescribed to cancer patients according to statistical analyses of the medication rules of national TCM masters (Song et al., 2015). However, the multi-target and multi-substance properties of this formula have made it very challenging to explore its underlying mechanisms.

In the past decade, multi-omic technologies including genomics, transcriptomic, proteomics, metabolomics, and serum pharmacokinetics have been developed for the high-throughput screening and identification of targets involved in TCM formulas (Li et al., 2014). However, these traditional approaches are expensive and require multidisciplinary collaboration and complex analytical procedures (Xu et al., 2017). With the development of bioinformatics, systems biology is emerging as a more holistic approach for integrating compound–target interactions from a molecular to system level. One of the most significant applications of systems biology is to use network pharmacology to understand the complex mechanism of actions of TCM formulas. Following ingredient collection and screening, pharmacokinetic evaluation (absorption, distribution, and metabolism), target prediction, and network analysis (Liu et al., 2013), it is becoming faster and easier to present an entire drug-target interaction network and determine the involved core molecule and pathways. In addition, by intersecting with a disease target database, it is more efficient to elucidate how formulas intervene with critical targets that facilitate disease occurrence and progression (Ru et al., 2014).

The current study was designed to determine the preclinical efficacy of ADQ against breast cancer in vitro and in vivo. To this end, we investigated the anti-cancer and chemosensitizing functions of ADQ extracts in this disease. The results showed that ADQ effectively and safely enhanced breast cancer chemosensitivity in vitro and in vivo. To determine the mechanism of action, we constructed a “drug–target–disease” network among ADQ components (drug), ADQ targets (target), and genes in breast cancer chemoresistance (disease). The results of the network analysis and biological experimental findings suggested that ADQ mainly targets CAV1 to induce chemosensitizing effects. The results of this study not only provide scientific evidence to support the application of ADQ formula in the treatment of breast cancer but also highlight the novel role of network pharmacology in the modernization of TCM.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Preparation and Quality Control of ADQ

For ADQ preparation, BHSSC, EZ, HQ, and GC were mixed at a 1:1:1:1 ratio and then subjected to a grinding machine. The mixture was extracted with 95% alcohol by reflux extraction for 1 h and repeated three times. Then the supernatants were concentrated by rotary evaporation and evaporated to dryness in a water bath to obtain raw ethanol extract powder. The production ratio was calculated as 7.2–9.6%. For quality control analysis, the Agilent 1260 System (Agilent, Palo Alto, CA, United States) with DAD was applied for HPLC analysis. The Agilent C18 Column (5 µm, 250 mm × 4.6 mm) with the SecurityGuard Cartridge System (Phenomenex, Sacramento, CA, United States) with DAD was applied for HPLC analysis. The mobile phases consisted of acetonitrile (A) and 0.05% (v/v) phosphoric acid (B) using a gradient program of 15% A in 0–23 min, 15–38% A in 23–40 min, 38% A in 40–50 min, 38–61% A in 50–60 min, and 61% in 60–75 min. The flow rate was 1.0 mL/min and the column temperature was set at 30°C. The DAD detector was set at 216, 236, 260, 276, and 308 nm. P-coumaric acid, calycosin-7-glucoside, liquiritin, glycyrrhizic acid, and curcumol were prepared and diluted with methanol for the preparation of standard solutions. A volume of 10 µL of these solutions was analyzed with HPLC, and the calibration curves were finally established. For the preparation of sample solutions, 0.1 g ADQ was dissolved in 20 mL methanol. After sonication for 60 min, the sample solution was filtrated through a 0.2 µm membrane filter for HPLC analysis.
Cell Culture
The human breast cancer cell lines MDA-MB-231 and MCF-7 were purchased from the American Type Culture Collection (Manassas, VA, United States). MDA-MB-231/T and MCF-7/T cells were derived from parental cells by gradually increasing paclitaxel (taxol) treatments for 6 months in the laboratory. MDA-MB-231, MCF-7, MDA-MB-231/T, and MCF-7/T cells were maintained in Dulbecco’s Modified Eagle Medium (DMEM) containing 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS) and 1% penicillin and streptomycin (Gibco Life Technologies, Lofer, Austria). Primary human mammary epithelial cells (HUMECs) and its Ready Medium (Catalog No. 12752010) were purchased from Gibco, and human umbilical vein endothelial cells (HUVECs) were purchased from the National Infrastructure of Cell Line Resource1. The cells were maintained in DMEM supplemented with 10% FBS, 1% penicillin, 1% streptomycin (Gibco), 40 U/L insulin (Sigma, St. Louis, MO, United States), 40 U/mL heparin (Sigma), and 1% non-essential amino acids (Cyagen Biosciences, Santa Clara, CA, United States).

Cell Number and Colony Formation Assay
After the indicated drug treatment, cell numbers were counted using trypan blue exclusion on a Cellometer Mini device (Nexcelom, Boston, MA, United States). Experiments were performed in triplicate. For the colony formation assay, cells at a density of 1 x 10^3 cells/well were seeded into 6-well plates. After cell attachment, paclitaxel or ADQ was added to the wells alone or in combination for 4 h. Then the cells were cultured with fresh medium for 2 weeks. The colonies were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde, stained with Coomassie Blue, photographed, and counted under a microscope.

Flow Cytometry Analysis
For the drug efflux assay, MDA-MB-231 or MCF-7 cells were pretreated with ADQ for 24 h, followed by incubation with epirubicin for 60 min at 37°C. After drug exposure and washing, the cells were released in drug-free medium for 90 min and harvested for flow cytometry analysis. For cell cycle analysis, cells were fixed in ice-cold 70% ethanol at −20°C overnight. Then cells were washed with phosphate-buffered saline, stained with 50 mg/mL propidium iodide (Sigma), and dissolved in 100 mg/L RNase A (Sigma). For apoptosis analysis, the cells were stained with the Annexin V-FITC Apoptosis Staining/Detection Kit (BD Biosciences, San Jose, CA, United States). All flow cytometry analyses were conducted with FACSARia SORP (BD Biosciences) and analyzed by Modifit LT or FlowJo software.

Immunofluorescence Analysis and Hoechst 33258 Staining
For measurements of phosphorylated histone p-H2AX expression, cells were incubated with 4% paraformaldehyde and 0.2% triton X-100 for 10 min. Following blocking in goat serum for 60 min, the samples were co-incubated with primary antibodies against p-H2AX (ABclonal Technology, Boston, MA, United States) at 4°C overnight and subsequently labeled with fluorescence-conjugated secondary antibodies for 2 h at room temperature. Then DAPI was applied for nuclear staining, and the signals were detected by fluorescence microscopy (TS2R; Nikon, Tokyo, Japan). With regard to Hoechst 33258 detection, cells were seeded at 60–70% confluency in 6-well plates, and then treated with the indicated drug for 48 h. Then Hoechst 33258 staining was conducted according to the manufacturer’s instructions.

Establishment of the Herb–Ingredient–Target Interaction
The chemical ingredients were collected from TCM databases including the TCMSP Database2 the TC MID3, and the BATMAN-TCM4. The ingredients were screened according to drug likeness (DL) and OB values, and the ingredients were retained if DL ≥ 0.18 and OB ≥ 30, a criterion suggested by the TCMSP database. The ingredient–target networks were constructed for these herbs using Cytoscape software (version 3.2.1).

Gene Ontology and Pathway Enrichment Analysis
Gene expression data were retrieved from the NCBI GEO database5, and then analyzed with the GEO2R online analysis tool6. The dataset GSE41112 includes 24 tumors of breast cancer patients with chemotherapy and 37 without chemotherapy, and the GSE87455 dataset includes human breast cancer samples with “no treatment” (n = 122) and “chemo only” (n = 83) groups. The DEGs were screened with P ≤ 0.05 and fold control (FC) ≥ 1.5 criteria, delivered to the Search Tool for the Retrieval of Interacting Genes/Proteins (STRING) database to evaluate the PPI information, and also submitted to the DAVID7 for enrichment analysis. The significant enrichment analysis of DEGs was assessed based on the GO and KEGG8.

Plasmids and Small Interfering RNA Construction and Transfection
The pcDNA 3.1(+)–CAV1 was provided by Vigene Company (Jinan, China) and transfected into cells using Lipofectamine 2000 (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, United States). After 24 h, the transfected cells were passaged and selected for 2 weeks with 10 μg/mL puromycin (Invitrogen). Pooled populations of positive cells were used for subsequent experiments. Negative control cell lines were generated by transfecting cells with scrambled plasmids. The small interfering RNAs (siRNAs) targeting CAV1 or scrambled siRNAs were purchased from [2]http://lsp.nwu.edu.cn/tcmsp.php
[3]https://academic.oup.com/nar/article/41/D1/D1089/1057998
[4]http://bionet.ncpsb.org/batman-tcm/
[5]http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo
[6]http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/geo2r/
[7]http://david.abcc.ncifcrf.gov/
[8]http://www.genome.jp/kegg/kegg2.html
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FIGURE 1 | Effects of ADQ on cell proliferation in the paclitaxel-sensitive breast cancer cells and paclitaxel-resistant human breast cancer cells. (A–C) Exponentially growing cells of MDA-MB-231, MCF-7, MDA-MB-231/T, and MCF-7/T were treated with ADQ at the indicated concentrations (0–140 µg/mL) for 24, 48, and 72 h; (D) The IC50s of ADQ for MDA-MB-231, MDA-MB-231/T, MCF-7, and MCF-7/T cells; (E,F) Exponentially growing cells of HUMECs and HUVECs were treated with ADQ at the indicated concentrations (0–140 µg/mL) for 24, 48, and 72 h.

Invitrogen (Carlsbad) and transfected using the X-tremeGene siRNA transfection reagent (Roche Diagnostics, Indianapolis, IN, United States) according to the manufacturer’s instructions.

**Western Blotting**

To determine the protein concentration, cells were lysed in RIPA buffer (Sigma) containing a protease inhibitor mixture (Roche Diagnostics). The protein concentration was measured with the bicinchoninic acid assay (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Bonn, Germany). Quantified protein lysates (15 µg) were subjected to sodium dodecyl sulfate polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis and resolved on 12% polyacrylamide gels. Then the proteins were transferred onto a PVDF membrane (GE Healthcare, Freiburg, Germany). The membrane was probed with primary antibodies including CAV1, p21, cyclin B1, cleaved poly (ADP-ribose) polymerase (PARP), Bcl2-associated X protein (BAX), B-cell lymphoma 2 (Bcl-2), p53, and phosphorylated p53 (p-p52, ser 15), and β-actin (Cell Signaling Technology, Beverly, MA, United States) at 4°C overnight. After washing three times with Tris-buffered saline and 0.05% Tween-20, the membrane was incubated with secondary anti-rabbit or anti-mouse antibodies for 2 h at room temperature. The signals were visualized using the ECL Advance Western Blotting Detection Reagent (GE Healthcare) and quantified with FlowJo software.
ADQ significantly enhances the chemosensitivity of breast cancer cells. (A) The synergistic effects of ADQ (50 \mu g/mL) with paclitaxel (50 ng/mL) on MDA-MB-231 and MCF-7 cells, and the inhibitory effects of ADQ (50 \mu g/mL) on MDA-MB-231/T and MCF-7/T cells were studied by the cell counting assay after 48h treatment (*P < 0.05 v.s. control, **P < 0.01 v.s. control, values represented as the mean ± SD, n = 3). (B) Colony formation assay for evaluating the long-term inhibitory effects of ADQ (50 \mu g/mL) with or without paclitaxel (50 ng/mL) on MDA-MB-231 and MCF-7 cells. (C) Colony formation assay for evaluating the long-term inhibitory effects of ADQ (50 \mu g/mL) on MDA-MB-231/T and MCF-7/T cells (*P < 0.05 v.s. control, **P < 0.01 v.s. control, values represented as the mean ± SD, n = 3); (D) the drug efflux assay revealed that ADQ (50 \mu g/mL) could enhance the epirubicin intake into breast cancer cells, as shown by increased fluorescence intensity of epirubicin in ADQ-treated cells by flow cytometry analysis.
Breast Cancer Mice Models and Drug Treatment

All animal procedures were performed in accordance with institutional guidelines for the care and use of laboratory animals approved by the Animal Care and Use Committee of Guangzhou University of Chinese Medicine and the National Institutes of Health guide for the care and use of laboratory animals. The mouse mammary tumor virus (MMTV)-PyMT mouse model of breast cancer spontaneously develops 100% multiple and luminal-like breast tumors from normal mammary epithelia by 8–12 weeks, similar to the pathological processes and characteristics in human breast cancer (Lin et al., 2003; Wang et al., 2013). In this study, 9-week-old MMTV-PyMT mice were randomly divided into four groups, and treated with saline (Ctrl group), 10 mg/kg paclitaxel (paclitaxel group), 100 mg/kg ADQ (ADQ group), or 10 mg/kg paclitaxel plus 100 mg/kg ADQ (paclitaxel + ADQ group) for 26 days (n = 6 mice, total of 60 glands), respectively. Paclitaxel was given by intraperitoneal injection at 10 mg/kg every 3 days, and ADQ was given by oral gavage at 100 mg/kg once a day. The body weight and tumor volumes were recorded throughout the whole experimental period.

Hematoxylin and Eosin Staining, Immunohistochemistry, and Terminal Deoxynucleotidyl Transferase dUTP Nick End Labeling

Hematoxylin and eosin staining and IHC were conducted according to the protocol provided by Wang et al. (2017a). The terminal deoxynucleotidyl transferase dUTP nick end labeling (TUNEL) assay was conducted according to the manufacturer’s instructions (Catalog No. KGA7051; KeyGen Biotech, Nanjing, China).

Statistical Analysis

The data are shown as the mean ± standard deviation. The two-tailed Student’s t-test or one-way analysis of variance
FIGURE 4 | ADQ augments paclitaxel-induced apoptosis in breast cancer cells. Representative apoptosis analysis of (A) the MDA-MB-231 and MCF-7 cells treated with ADQ (50 µg/mL) and/or paclitaxel (50 ng/mL), as well as (B–D) the MDA-MB-231/T and MCF-7/T cells treated with ADQ (50 µg/mL) for 48h using flow cytometry (*P < 0.05 v.s. control, **P < 0.01 v.s. control, values represented as the mean ± SD, n = 3); (E) Hoechst 33258 staining showed typical apoptotic morphology changes of cells after indicated treatment.

was used to determine the significance of the data between groups. P-values less than 0.05 were considered statistical significant.

RESULTS

ADQ Markedly Inhibits Growth in Paclitaxel-Sensitive and Paclitaxel-Resistant Human Breast Cancer Cells

For quality control of ADQ, the chromatographic fingerprinting of ADQ was conducted and quantitative analysis of p-coumaric acid (peak 1), calycosin-7-glucoside (peak 2), liquiritin (peak 3), glycyrrhizinic acid (peak 4), and curcumol (peak 5) in ADQ was compared among different batches (Supplementary Figure S1). Based on an established HPLC method, good linearity of five compounds was achieved with a correlation coefficient of $R^2 \geq 0.9995$ (Supplementary Table S1). The retention times of these compounds were determined to be 19.8, 23.9, 25.8, 50.7, and 69.5 min, respectively. The contents of the five compounds in the ADQ samples were also determined (Supplementary Table S2). We evaluated the influence of ADQ on the proliferation of breast cancer cell lines including MDA-MB-231 and MCF-7, as well as their derived paclitaxel-resistant cell lines MDA-MB-231/T and MCF-7/T. Significant inhibition of growth was observed in both parental and resistant cells at 24 h (Figure 1A),
48 h (Figure 1B), and 72 h (Figure 1C). IC$_{50}$ of ADQ was shown in Figure 1D for the indicated cell lines. Specifically, the IC$_{50}$ values of ADQ at 48 h for MDA-MB-231, MDA-MB-231/T, MCF-7, and MCF-7/T were 49.809, 57.789, 65.799, and 70.964 µg/mL, respectively, suggesting that ADQ had similar suppressive effects on both sensitive and resistant breast cancer cells. To determine the cytotoxic effects of ADQ on normal cells, we also investigated its effects on HUMECs and HUVECs, and found that ADQ did not have cytotoxic inhibitory effects on both types of normal cells (Figures 1E,F). These findings indicated that ADQ exerted selective toxic effects on breast cancer cells.

**ADQ Significantly Enhances the Chemosensitivity of Breast Cancer Cells**

To determine the synergistic activities of ADQ with paclitaxel in breast cancer, MDA-MB-231 and MCF-7 cells were treated with ADQ and paclitaxel for 48 h. As presented in Figure 1 and Supplementary Figure S2, the tested concentrations of taxol and ADQ were set according to their IC$_{50}$ at 48 h. ADQ significantly enhanced paclitaxel-induced death in MDA-MB-231 and MCF-7 cells. Interestingly, ADQ administration at 50 µg/mL also caused a clear reduction in the number of resistant breast cancer cells (Figure 2A). We also evaluated the long-term inhibitory effects of ADQ on the colony formation capabilities of breast cancer cells with or without paclitaxel. The results showed that the combination group exhibited a substantial reduction in the colony numbers of parental MDA-MB-231 and MCF-7 cells (Figure 2B). In addition, the growth of paclitaxel-resistant MDA-MB-231/T and MCF-7/T cells was greatly suppressed in the presence of ADQ (Figure 2C). The drug efflux assay revealed that ADQ could enhance epirubicin influx into breast cancer cells, as shown by the increased fluorescence intensity of epirubicin in ADQ-treated cells (Figure 2D).
These results indicated that ADQ could chemosensitize both paclitaxel-sensitive and paclitaxel-resistant human breast cancer cells.

**ADQ Induced Breast Cancer Cell Cycle Arrest at the G2/M Checkpoint**

Uncontrolled cell mitosis represents one of the hallmarks of cancer. Thus, we used PI staining to examine the effects of ADQ on the cell cycle distribution of both paclitaxel-sensitive and paclitaxel-resistant cells. As labeled in Figure 3A, the flow cytometry results revealed that paclitaxel or ADQ alone could induce G2/M checkpoint arrest in both breast cancer cell lines. In addition, ADQ and paclitaxel combination increased G2/M arrest by 26% in MDA-MB-231 cells and by 66% in MCF-7 cells (Figure 3A). Furthermore, the G2/M population of MDA-MB-231/T and MCF-7/T cells was also arrested by ADQ with a 56 and 63% increase, respectively (Figure 3B). Previous studies have shown that perturbation of the G2/M transition was largely due to DNA damage, and p-H2AX could be a marker for monitoring DNA damage (Sancar et al., 2004). The immunofluorescence results showed that p-H2AX intensity was significantly elevated following paclitaxel treatment in both breast cancer cell lines. Consistent with the cell cycle findings, ADQ synergistically interacted with paclitaxel to enhance p-H2AX expression in both breast cancer cell lines (Figure 3C). Furthermore, the expression of p-H2AX in MDA-MB-231/T and MCF-7/T cells was also significantly enhanced following ADQ administration, indicating that the chemosensitizing effects of ADQ might be attributed to DNA damage-induced G2/M checkpoint arrest (Figure 3D).

**ADQ Augmented Paclitaxel-Induced Apoptosis in Breast Cancer Cells**

Apoptosis is another important mechanism that causes cell death induced by chemotherapy drugs (Johnstone et al., 2002; Li et al., 2010). To determine if ADQ could synergistically aggravate paclitaxel-induced apoptosis, Annexin V/PI staining was applied to detect the apoptotic events. As shown in Figure 4A, the percentage of early and late apoptotic events in MDA-MB-231 and MCF-7 cells reached about 20 and 25%, respectively, after exposure to 50 ng/mL paclitaxel. Interestingly, when ADQ was administrated with paclitaxel concurrently, the percentage of MDA-MB-231 and MCF-7 apoptotic cells was increased to approximately 60% and 51%, respectively. Notably, ADQ was also capable of inducing apoptosis in paclitaxel-resistant breast cancer cells. The percentage of apoptotic cells in MDA-MB-231/T reached 45% following ADQ treatment after 48 h, and MCF-7/T cells reached 30% (Figures 4B–D). Based on flow cytometry results, Hoechst 33258 staining was utilized to observe the morphological changes of apoptotic cells by fluorescence imaging (Zhang et al., 2010). In paclitaxel-sensitive breast cancer cells, ADQ
synergistically enhanced Hoechst 33258 staining intensity induced by paclitaxel in both MDA-MB-231 and MCF-7 cells. In paclitaxel-resistant cell models, typical morphological characteristics of apoptosis, such as chromatin condensation and cell pyknosis, were more easily observed following ADQ treatment (Figure 4E). Together, these findings confirmed that ADQ promoted paclitaxel-induced apoptosis in breast cancer cells.

**Network Pharmacology Analysis of ADQ**

ADQ consists of four herbs including BHSSC, EZ, HQ, and GC. To establish the ingredient–target network of ADQ, we screened candidate compounds for (OB ≥ 30%) and DL (DL ≥ 0.1) in each herb. There were 12 compounds in BHSSC targeting 225 genes (Figure 5A), 14 compounds in EZ targeting 41 genes (Figure 5B), 21 compounds in HQ targeting 222 genes (Figure 5C), and 94 compounds in GC targeting 241 genes (Figure 5D). The “candidate active compounds” are listed in Supplementary Table S3. Overall, our results showed that ADQ yielded 132 candidate compounds and 297 potential targets after eliminating all duplicates (Figure 6). Specifically, the network included 429 nodes and 2874 ingredient–target interactions, of which 132 candidate compounds had a median of 10 target correlations, suggesting the existence of complex correlations among different compounds and targets.

**Establishment of the Compound–Target–Disease Network of ADQ**

To determine the pharmacological mechanisms of ADQ against chemoresistance, the DEGs of breast cancer patients before and after chemotherapy were extracted from GSE41112 and GSE87455 microarray sets. A total of 3286 genes in GSE5764 and 4877 genes in GSE87455 were identified using the GEO2R analysis tool (P ≤ 0.05, FC ≥ 1.5). The Venn diagram analysis showed that ADQ shared 22 putative targets with the two datasets (Figure 7A). These hub genes included CAV1, HIF1A, CCNB1, BAX, BCL2, PARP1, ERBB3, MCL1, PRKCA, CDCA7, CDKN1A,
CDKN2A, TOP1, TOP2A, SELE, ATP5B, RUNX2, BIRC5, ATF2, RUNXIT1, MT2A, and EIF5B (Figure 7B), among which CAV1 was the key target with the largest node size according to “Degree” in the node size mapping (Figure 7C). We further extracted the 22 significant targets to construct the PPI containing 22 nodes and 71 edges based on the STRING database, and the PPI enrichment P-value of these hub genes was $2.22 \times 10^{-16}$, indicating that they were at least partially biologically connected (Figure 7D). All of these findings suggested that CAV1 might be one of the the most likely mechanisms affecting ADQ regulation network.

Thus, we continued to identify candidate targets by setting all human genes as background, and using GO and pathway enrichment analysis. GO classified function into categories of cellular components, molecular function, and biological process. The top three enrichments in the cellular components category were organelle lumen, nuclear lumen, and membrane-enclosed lumen (Figure 8A); in the molecular function category were enzyme binding, protein binding, and transcription factor binding (Figure 8B); and in the biological process category were cell death, cell proliferation, and cell differentiation (Figure 8C). In Figure 8D, KEGG analysis ($P < 0.05$) indicated that...
multiple cancer-related pathways were significantly involved in the mechanisms of ADQ including focal adhesion, apoptosis, cell cycle, p53, HIF-1, ErbB, phosphoinositide 3-kinase (PI3K)/Akt, Janus kinase/signal transducer and activator of transcription, mammalian target of rapamycin, NF-kappa B, and tumor necrosis factor signaling pathways. Notably, It was various targets in p53 signaling were tightly associated with ADQ pharmacological action ($P = 0.00003896097$, red rectangle, Figure 8D). P53 signaling can be stimulated by a number of stress signals including DNA damage, oxidative stress, and activated oncopgenes, consequently leading to cell cycle arrest and apoptosis (Mello and Attardi, 2017). Meanwhile, accumulating evidence has demonstrated that CAV1, the leading hub target of ADQ, is correlated with various stressors including chemotherapy, radiotherapy, fluid shear, oxidative stress, and ultraviolet exposure (Wang et al., 2015c). This novel stress response protein plays significant roles in modulating cell survival, proliferation, and apoptosis (Wang et al., 2017d). In Figure 9, we postulated that CAV1 might be activated in response to ADQ treatment, subsequently influencing the cell cycle regulatory proteins p21 and cyclin B1, and apoptotic markers such as BAX.

Validation of CAV1 as a Major Chemosensitizing Target of ADQ in Breast Cancer

We continued to validate whether the chemosensitizing activity of ADQ was CAV1-dependent. Among the multiple breast cancer cell lines tested, ZR75–1, SKBR3, and MCF7 had no or low CAV1 expression, whereas MDA-MB-231 and MDA-MB-436 had strong CAV1 expression (Shi et al., 2015). In this study, we detected the expression of CAV1 among a series of breast cancer and normal mammary cell lines. The results showed that CAV1 expression was significantly downregulated in the MDA-MB-231 and MCF-7 breast cancer cells, and was enhanced in their taxol-resistant counterparts (Supplementary Figure S3). This phenomenon was consistent with the oncogenic and tumor suppressor roles of CAV1 in breast cancer development (Wang et al., 2015c). To directly examine whether CAV1 was critical for ADQ action, we elevated CAV1 levels by transfecting recombinant CAV1 plasmid in CAV1-deficient MCF-7 cells, and decreased its expression with siCAV1 in CAV1-expressing MDA-MB-231 cells. CAV1 expression in the gene-modified cells was confirmed by western blot analysis (Supplementary Figure S4A). Then we determined if CAV1 overexpression abrogated the anti-cancer and chemosensitizing effects induced by ADQ in breast cancer cells. As shown in Figure 10A, ADQ synergistically interacted with paclitaxel to suppress MCF-7 cell proliferation, whereas CAV1 overexpression obviously abrogated the synergistic effects of ADQ with paclitaxel in suppressing breast cancer growth ($p \leq 0.01$). To determine if CAV1 signaling was involved in ADQ chemosensitizing activity, the indicated proteins were analyzed (Figure 10B). Western blot analysis revealed that ADQ alone could inhibit CAV1 expression, accompanied by the increased expression of p21 and decreased expression of cyclin B1. In addition, the expression of cleaved PARP1 and BAX were enhanced by ADQ, whereas Bcl-2 expression was decreased following ADQ treatment. Notably, CAV1 overexpression resulted in decreased p21 and increased cyclin B1 expression. Furthermore, CAV1 overexpression inhibited apoptosis, as shown by the decreased expression of cleaved PARP1 and BAX and increased Bcl-2 expression. Cell cycle analysis further revealed that CAV1
overexpression relieved the G2/M arresting effects of ADQ from 68.08 to 57.08% in MCF-7 cells (Figure 10C). CAV1 overexpression also significantly reduced the apoptotic events induced by paclitaxel with ADQ treatment from 47.89 to 36.78% (Figure 10D). These data showed that ADQ inhibited CAV1 to improve breast cancer chemosensitivity.

In CAV1-high MDA-MB-231 cells, CAV1 knockdown did not significantly aggravate the inhibitory ability of ADQ in the presence of paclitaxel, suggesting that ADQ might target CAV1 to chemosensitize breast cancer (Figure 11A). Similarly, siCAV1 did not significantly change the expression levels of p21, cyclin B1, PARP1, BAX, and Bcl-2 when administrated together with paclitaxel and ADQ (Figure 11B), nor were significant changes observed in cell cycle distribution and apoptotic cells (Figures 11C,D). Furthermore, MDA-MB-231/T and MCF-7/T showed strong CAV1 expression compared with their parental cell lines (Supplementary Figure S4B). In paclitaxel-resistant breast cancer cell models, both CAV1 silencing and ADQ treatment resulted in the significant suppression of cell proliferation, but CAV1 silencing did not increase the effects of ADQ, namely inhibition of proliferation, cell cycle arrest, or apoptosis induction in MDA-MB-231/T and MCF-7/T cells (Figures 12A–D). These findings demonstrated that ADQ could target CAV1 to induce cell cycle arrest and apoptosis, thereby chemosensitizing paclitaxel-resistant breast cancer cells.

**ADQ Enhanced in vivo Paclitaxel Chemosensitivity on Breast Cancer**

We finally evaluated the in vivo efficacy of ADQ on breast cancer with the MMTV-PyMT transgenic mouse model, which spontaneously develops 100% multiple and luminal-like breast tumors from normal mammary epitheliums by 8–12 weeks, similar to the pathological processes and characteristics in human breast cancer (Lin et al., 2003; Wang et al., 2013). Therefore, it is an appropriate preclinical model for investigating the chemosensitivity effects of ADQ with paclitaxel (Figure 13A). The results of the in vivo experiments revealed that ADQ alone could significantly inhibit breast tumor growth (\( P = 0.0444 \), Figure 13C) and reduce tumor volume (\( P = 0.0104 \), Figure 13D), while with little influence
FIGURE 11 | CAV1 knockdown exerted no additive anti-cancer and chemosensitivity effects induced by ADQ on breast cancer cells. CAV1-expressing MDA-MB-231 cell were exposed to siCAV1 for 24 h and treated with or without ADQ (50 µg/ml) and/or paclitaxel (50 ng/ml) for additional 24 h. (A) the cell counting assay demonstrated that siCAV1 administration did not further increase the chemosensitivity of ADQ; (B) The expressions of CAV1, p21, cyclinB1, PARP1, BAX and Bcl-2 using western blotting analysis after indicated treatment; siCAV1 did not significantly enhance the chemosensitivity of ADQ by altering (C) cell cycle distribution and (D) apoptotic population with flow cytometry assay.

on mouse body weight (Figure 13B). Meanwhile, ADQ alone caused an increase in the apoptotic ratio and a decrease in Ki67 expression, further demonstrating its tumor suppressive functions (Figure 13E). The results also revealed that the combination of paclitaxel and ADQ exerted stronger inhibitory effects on overall tumor growth than paclitaxel alone (Figure 13C). Notably, ADQ did not lead to significant weight loss throughout the experiment, whereas a slight reduction of body weight was found in the paclitaxel-treated mice (Figure 13B). Furthermore, both H&E staining and the TUNEL assay revealed that the synergistic use of paclitaxel and ADQ resulted in significant increases of apoptosis in tumor tissues, accompanied by the decreased expression of Ki67 and CAV1 (Figure 13E). These results indicate that ADQ is a potential adjuvant drug for breast cancer treatment with good safety.

DISCUSSION

With increasing attention being paid to multi-target strategies for cancer treatment, TCM has become a valuable resource. In this study, we investigated the anti-cancer and chemosensitizing functions of ADQ extracts in breast cancer. Our findings revealed that ADQ significantly inhibited the growth of breast cancer cells by cell cycle arrest and apoptosis induction. In particular, ADQ did not cause cytotoxic effects in normal cells. Similar findings have also been shown for other herbs including Huangqi, Ginseng, Banzhilian, Huachansu injection, TJ-48, Shenqi fuzheng injection, and Kanglaite injection (Qi et al., 2015). Notably, the four-herb formula PHY906 was developed as an adjuvant therapy with chemosensitizing effects. Currently, three phase I and one phase II clinical trial on PHY906 have been completed in the United States. In these clinical studies, PHY906 administration led to the reduction of chemotherapy-associated side effects in patients with metastatic colorectal cancer (PHY906+CPT-11/5FU/LV) and advanced pancreatic cancer (PHY906+capecitabine) (Lam et al., 2015). Omics studies have demonstrated that PHY906 can inhibit colon cancer growth by modulating cell apoptosis by intervening interferon-gamma production and responses to steroid hormone stimulus. Our study also demonstrated that ADQ could increase breast cancer chemosensitivity to paclitaxel by increasing breast cancer cell apoptosis and cell cycle arrest at the G2/M checkpoint. In addition, in vivo findings further confirmed the anti-breast
cancer and chemosensitizing effects of ADQ with good safety. Therefore, it is of great value to explore the effects and mechanisms of ADQ in breast cancer.

The development of network pharmacology has shifted our traditional view from the “one drug, one target” model to the “drug–target network” (Hopkins, 2008). Bioinformatics analysis has further optimized the high-throughput screening strategy to validate candidate targets associated with diseases (Eichler, 2012). A target fishing approach has been applied by a number of TCM studies. For example, Wang et al. (2017b) identified tumor-associated macrophages/C-X-C motif chemokine ligand 1 as key modulators of XIAOPI formula in the prevention of breast cancer metastasis based on network pharmacology analysis and cytokine array screening. Chemoinformatics, bioinformatics, and network biology were applied together to predict the active compounds of Tianfoshen oral liquid and to validate its therapeutic targets against colorectal cancer (Wang et al., 2017c). In this study, network analysis revealed a total of 132 active compounds and 297 genes in the ADQ formula, and bioinformatics analysis further identified 22 genes closely correlated with the chemosensitizing activities of ADQ through Venn diagram analysis with breast cancer chemoresponsive genes extracted from GSE41112 and GSE87455. These results suggested that ADQ efficacy was through the synergistic effect of multi-compounds, multi-targets, and multi-pathways. Among these hub molecules, CAV1 was the key target node with the largest “Degree,” indicating that it may be one of the most likely mechanisms affecting the ADQ regulation network. Meanwhile, pathway enrichment analysis demonstrated that CAV1 acted as a key upstream node influencing cell cycle, apoptosis, and p53 signaling. Interestingly, CAV1 has also been considered an important stress-responsive molecule by recent studies and our previous report (Lavie et al., 1998; Yang et al., 1998; Glait et al., 2006; Wang et al., 2015c). Therefore, it was selected for subsequent validation. Interestingly, a lot of work has demonstrated that CAV1 is necessary for p53 activation. For example, Volonte et al. (2009) validated that CAV1 expression is required for the activation of ATM-p53-p21 pathway, and Bartholomew et al. (2009) indicated that CAV1 expression is a novel binding protein for mouse double minute 2 homolog, thereby preventing p53 proteasome degradation and stabilizing its cellular expression. Our study demonstrated that p53 expression in both MDA-MB-231 and MCF-7 cells was downregulated compared with primary mammary epithelial cells, accompanied by CAV1 reduction (Supplementary Figure S5). However, although ADQ administration led to CAV1 inhibition, the expression of p53 and p-p53 (ser15) did not significantly change, indicating that ADQ-induced p21 and apoptosis activation might not be related to p53 (Supplementary Figure S6). With regard to the genetic status of p53, MDA-MB-231 was mutated and MCF-7...
FIGURE 13 | ADQ enhanced in vivo paclitaxel chemosensitivity on breast cancer. 9-week-old MMTV-PyMT mice were randomly divided into four groups, and were treated with vehicle (Ctrl group), 10 mg/kg paclitaxel (Paclitaxel group), 100 mg/kg ADQ (ADQ group), or 10 mg/kg paclitaxel plus 100 mg/kg ADQ (Paclitaxel + ADQ group) according to designated treatment schedule. (A) Representative images of tumors dissected, (B) Body weight, and (C,D) Tumor volumes, and (E) H&E staining, TUNEL detection, and IHC detection of Ki67 and CAV1 expressions from the indicated groups (n = 6 mice, total of 60 glands, *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, ***P < 0.0001 v.s. control).
transformation may be accelerated due to CAV1 loss, which may be upregulated to protect cancer cells from escaping death by speeding aerobic glycolysis, increasing stem cell populations, or overexpressing ATP-binding cassette transporters (Wang et al., 2015c).

CONCLUSION

The results of our study demonstrated that ADQ improved the cancer chemoresponse, and that CAV1 was at least in part responsible for these chemosensitizing effects. Our work has great implications for the discovery of breast cancer therapeutic targets by integrating bioinformatics and network pharmacology followed by experimental validation. This study not only provides experimental evidence and molecular mechanisms that may facilitate the safe and effective therapeutic use of herbal medicines for breast cancer, and may lead to CAV1-based therapeutic strategies for mammary malignancies. However, preclinical studies are needed to confirm its chemosensitizing effects and active ingredients.
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FIGURE S1 | The chromatographic fingerprints of ADQ. HPLC chromatograms on 216 nm detection for (A) standard compounds, (B) ADQ (1: p-Coumaric acid; 2: Calycosin-7-glucoside; 3: Liquiritin; 4: Glycyrrhizic acid; 5: Curcumuracin).

FIGURE S2 | The IC50s of paclitaxel for MDA-MB-231, MDA-MB-231/T, MCF-7, and MCF-7/T cells. The parental/resistant cells of FIGURE S2. (A) The expressions of CAV1, p53 and p-p53 (ser15) were determined by western blot analysis. (B) The expressions of CAV1 using western blotting analysis. (C) The establishment of calibration curves for HPLC analysis. TABLE S2 | The contents of five components in ADQ.

TABLE S3 | Information on candidate active compounds from BHSSC, EZ, HQ and GC herbs of ADQ decoration.
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