Differential expression of mucins in Middle Eastern patients with colorectal cancer
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Abstract. Mucin overexpression has been implicated in the tumorigenesis and progression of colorectal carcinoma (CRC). However, data obtained on the prognostic importance of mucin expression in CRC is inconsistent. Due to lack of data on mucin expression and the increase in CRC incidence in Saudi Arabia, the aim of the present study was to analyze the mucin expression profile in patients with CRC in this ethnic group. The present study consisted of 22 patients that underwent surgery for CRC. Histopathological and immunohistochemical staining was performed on CRC tumor and adjacent normal tissues. A tissue microarray was prepared from the tumor and normal adjacent samples to investigate the mucin expression profile using immunohistochemistry. Formalin-fixed paraffin-embedded human colorectal cancer tissues were immunostained with mucin 1 (MUC1), mucin 2 (MUC2) and mucin 5AC (MUC5AC) antibodies. Associations between mucin expression and histopathological variables were evaluated. The present study indicated that MUC1 was highly expressed in early (stage I and II; P=0.0016) and late (stage III and IV; P<0.0001) stage CRC tissues compared to normal adjacent tissues. However, MUC2 expression was observed to be downregulated in early and late stage CRC tissues compared to normal and adjacent tissues. Furthermore, serum MUC1 levels were observed to be increased in early and late stage CRC. The present findings indicate that MUC1 expression was significantly higher in early and late stage CRC tissues and MUC2 was downregulated in CRC tissues compared with normal adjacent tissues, and serum MUC1 protein was significantly higher in CRC patients compared to control serum. In conclusion, during colorectal tumorigenesis the pattern of MUC1 and MUC2 expression is altered in Saudi Arabian patients with CRC compared with normal. A higher expression of MUC1 may be used as an independent biomarker in various stages of CRC tumors, which would aid in the early detection of CRC.

Introduction

The mucin family of proteins are high-molecular-weight glycoproteins, which provide protection for the epithelial cell lining that is exposed to the external environment (1). The mucin family is classified into secreted and transmembrane mucins, and is involved in the protection of the epithelial lining of the gastrointestinal and respiratory tracts and duct linings present in the pancreas, kidney, liver and mammary gland. Secreted mucins form a mucous layer that provides a physical barrier, and transmembrane mucins provide the physical barrier with ectodomains that are made up of O-glycosylated tandem repeats (1). Transmembrane mucins extend inside the cell through single membranous regions and the cytoplasmic tail of the mucins transduce signals to promote the growth and survival of the cell in response to stress (1).

Mucin 1 (MUC1) is a transmembrane glycoprotein that is overexpressed in various types of human carcinoma, including breast, colon, lung and prostate cancer (2). MUC1 is synthesized as a single polypeptide that undergoes auto-cleavage into two subunits, MUC1-N and MUC1-C, which form a stable heterodimer at the cell surface (3). The MUC1-N terminal subunit contains variable numbers of glycosylated tandem repeats (4), while the MUC1-C terminal subunit consists of a 58-amino acid extracellular domain, 28-amino acid transmembrane domain and a 72-amino acid cytoplasmic domain (2). MUC1 expression is induced by certain cytokines, including tumor necrosis factor (TNF) α, interferon (IFN) γ and interleukin (IL)-6. Alterations in MUC1 expression may contribute to chronic inflammation and cancer. The activation of the nuclear factor kappa-light-chain-enhancer of activated B cells (NF-κB) pathway is a clear mediator of inflammation-induced cancer progression (5), and MUC1-C has been demonstrated to bind IkB kinase (IKK) β (6) and NF-κB p65 (7). Helicobacter pylori infection has been associated with MUC1 expression in inducing inflammation and the development of gastric cancer (8). In
addition, MUC1 interacts with β-catenin and contributes to the activation of Wnt target genes leading to tumorigenesis (9). Prolonged MUC1 activation in chronic inflammation leads to growth and survival of cells undergoing a stress response (8).

The synthesis of secretory mucins is also regulated by cytokines, growth factors and bacterial products (10,11). Mucin 2 (MUC2) is a secretory mucin that forms the major component of the intestinal mucus lining (1). MUC2 is primarily expressed in colorectal goblet cells. Dereglulation of MUC2 expression at the epithelial cell surface provides a microenvironment where bacteria initiate an inflammatory response (12). Ulcerative colitis is a major inflammatory bowel disease (IBD), which is characterized by significant inflammation and depletion of mucin from goblet cells (13). Therefore, IBD-associated chronic inflammation increases the risk factor for colorectal cancer (CRC), potentially by promoting genomic instability in a microenvironment (14). Overexpression of MUC2 and other secreted mucins by tumors also protects tumor cells from recognition by anti-tumor immune effectors and therefore contributes to cell transformation leading to cancer (15). MUC2 in combination with mucin 5AC (MUC5AC) is clustered on chromosome 11 (16). MUC5AC is predominantly expressed in the mucus lining of the stomach and lung. Alterations in MUC2 and MUC5AC expression are reported in lung, gastrointestinal, pancreatic and liver cancer (17). MUC5AC is downregulated in non-small cell lung carcinoma (NSCLC) (18). Sialyl Lewis x antigen expression is associated with MUC5AC in NSCLC, with those patients exhibiting a poor survival time (19). MUC5AC expression in liver cancer has been shown to be associated with high lymph node metastasis (20). Alterations in mucin expression may be significantly associated with histological grade, clinical staging and prognosis of patients with CRC (17).

The majority of studies have reported that the expression of mucins in CRC is primarily confined to the late stage of the disease (20,21). The incidence of CRC is increasing in young patients, specifically in the Middle East (22,23). The average age of CRC detection in Saudi Arabia was 58 years between the years 2000-2006; this is younger than the age of CRC detection in the UK between the years 1996-2004, which was 68 years (22). Demographically, this indicates that the occurrence of CRC develops earlier in patients from Saudi Arabia. Although no studies have currently reported on CRC detection in young patients, the publication of the present study, and others, may mean that similar studies become more frequent. Consequently, a biomarker is required to identify CRC in young patients from Saudi Arabia. Due to the lack of data on mucin expression in Saudi CRC patients, the present study aimed to analyze the mucin expression profile in this ethnic group. The study presented the expression profile of MUC1, MUC2 and MUC5AC in various stages of CRC tissue using immunohistochemical staining. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first study where transmembrane MUC1 and secretory MUC2 and MUC5AC expression has been compared at various stages of CRC in patients from Saudi Arabia.

Materials and methods

Patient samples. The present study consisted of 22 patients that underwent surgical resection of histologically confirmed CRC at King Khalid University Hospital, King Saud University (Riyadh, Saudi Arabia) between November 2012 and November 2013. The demographics of the patients, including age, gender, tumor site and histological stages were recorded in a database according to the Union International Contre le Cancer-Tumor-Node-Metastasis Staging System and grading of CRC was in accordance with WHO classification (24-26). Histologically adjacent normal tissue from the margins of the tumors served as control tissue. All tissue samples were diagnosed and classified by two pathologists and one expert pathologist from the Department of Pathology, King Khalid University Hospital, King Saud University. In order to minimize the effect of radiotherapy and chemotherapy, all patients that had undergone neoadjuvant or adjuvant therapy were excluded from the present study. Control breast tumor samples and blood samples were obtained from the Department of Surgery, King Khaled University Hospital, King Saud University. Control blood samples were obtained from Colorectal Research Center, Department of Surgery, College of Medicine, King Khaled University Hospital, King Saud University.

The present study was approved by the Ethics Committee of King Saud University. Written informed consent was obtained from the patients for this study.

Tissue microarray (TMA). The TMA was performed as previously described (27). Formalin-fixed paraffin-embedded (FFPE) CRC tissue blocks of the 22 patients were retrieved from the archives of King Khalid University Hospital. The TMA was performed on the FFPE tumor blocks using a manual tissue arrayer (Arraymold Tissue Microarrayer kit D; IHC World LLC, Woodstock, MD, USA). Invasive carcinoma areas were identified using hematoxylin (Leica Biosystems, Inc., Buffalo Grove, IL, USA) and eosin Y (Sigma–Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA) (H&E) stained slides by the aforementioned expert pathologist from the Department of Pathology, King Khalid University Hospital, King Saud University. To construct the TMA a 1 mm diameter needle was used to take three cores from each FFPE block corresponding to the rich tumor areas detected on the H&E slide. The cores were inserted into the TMA paraffin block, which were subsequently incubated at 37°C for 30 min to enhance the adhesion between the cores and paraffin. TMA blocks were micro-dissected into 5-µm thick sections using a semi-automatic microtome (Leica RM223; Leica Microsystems GmbH, Wetzlar, Germany), and mounted on glass slides.

Immunohistochemistry. Immunohistochemistry was performed as previously described (28). The slides with 5-µm sections of tumor tissues and adjacent normal tissues were deparaffinized in xylene (Sigma–Aldrich) and rehydrated using a graded ethanol series (Sigma–Aldrich). Antigen was retrieved by boiling the slides in a microwave oven for 15 min in 0.01 mol/l citrate buffer (pH 6.0; Sigma–Aldrich). Endogenous peroxidase was blocked with a 3% H2O2-methanol solution (Sigma–Aldrich), and the slides were incubated in 10% normal goat serum (Sigma–Aldrich) for 30 min to prevent nonspecific staining. The tissue sections were incubated overnight at 4°C with the following primary antibodies from Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc. (Dallas, TX, USA) at a dilution of 1:100: Rabbit polyclonal anti-MUC1 (catalog no., sc-15333), rabbit
polyclonal anti-MUC2 (catalog no., sc-15334) or mouse monoclonal anti-MUC5AC (catalog no., sc-33667). The standard biotin-streptavidin-peroxidase method was subsequently used with affinity purified goat anti-mouse IgM secondary antibody (catalog no., RE7103; dilution, 5 µg/ml; Leica Biosystems, Inc.), and the sections were lightly counterstained with hematoxylin. Breast tumor was histologically used as positive controls for MUC1, MUC2 and MUC5AC. As a negative control, the same procedure was conducted without primary antibody. The expression of MUC1, MUC2 and MUC5AC in tumor and adjacent normal samples was analyzed using an eSlide capture device (Aperio CS2; Leica Microsystems GmbH).

**Image analysis.** High-resolution, whole-slide digital scans of all TMA glass slides were created using the eSlide capture device. The digital slide images were viewed by ScanScope slide scanner (ScanScope CS; Aperio ImageScope; Leica Microsystems GmbH), and analyzed using Aperio's Image Analysis Algorithms (Leica Microsystems GmbH). For each core, five fields of 0.2645 µm² were randomly selected. Color Deconvolution Algorithm (Aperio; Leica Microsystems GmbH) was run on the selected area and this generated an intensity range color markup image, segmenting and color-coding various parts of the image according to the intensity of positive staining. The area for each of these four intensity categories (expressed as a percent relative to the total analysis area), together with the average positive intensity and the average optical density, was also provided as numerical output. The algorithm output also included a score (0-60) of mucin expression based on the percent strong positive and percent medium positive. These values were combined and named as ‘percent strong positive’. The analysis output results were exported to Excel 2010 spreadsheets (Microsoft Corporation, Redmond, WA, USA) and subjected to statistical analysis, focusing primarily on the percentage of the total positive cells as the parameters to be statistically analyzed and compared.

**Serum MUC1 determination.** Serum MUC1 levels were measured using Human Carbohydrate Antigen 15-3 / Mucin-1 ELISA kit (catalog no., RAB0375; Sigma-Aldrich), according to the manufacturer's protocol.

**Statistical analysis.** Data was presented with mean ± standard deviation. Statistical analysis was performed using Microsoft Excel (Microsoft Corporation). The means between the CRC tissue and normal adjacent tissue were compared using Student's t-test. P<0.05 was considered to indicate a statistically significant difference.

**Results**

**Clinicopathological features of the patients.** In total, ~90% of the tumor samples (20/22) were advanced CRC at stage II and III. Additionally, the majority of the tumors were high grade (grade 2 and Grade 3). A total of 8 patients out of 22 already had developed lymph node metastases (Table I).

**Mucin staining.** Mucin protein expression in the CRC and normal adjacent tissues was observed using immunohistochemical staining, and the results are summarized in Table II. The expression of MUC1 and MUC2 varied between normal tissues and different stages of colorectal tumor. The majority of the staining was prominently characterized by diffuse cytoplasmic staining. MUC1 protein was observed to be weakly expressed in the adjacent normal tissue (Fig. 1A and B). However in the colorectal tumor group, the majority of staining for MUC1 was observed within the cytoplasm and cell membrane (Fig. 1C and D). For MUC1, positive staining was observed in 82% (18/22) of tumor tissues and no staining was observed in 18% (4/22) tumor tissues (Table II). There was a significant difference between MUC1 positive staining in tumor and negative adjacent normal tissue (P<0.0001). There was clear MUC2 staining in the lumen and cytoplasm of goblet cells in adjacent normal tissue (Fig. 2A and B); however it was negative or weak in

| Characteristic                        | Value |
|---------------------------------------|-------|
| Total, n                              | 22    |
| Gender, n (%)                         |       |
| Male                                  | 11 (50)|
| Female                                | 11 (50)|
| Age, years                            |       |
| Median                                | 57    |
| Range                                 | 36-81 |
| Site of cancer, n (%)                 |       |
| Colon                                 | 9 (41)|
| Rectum                                | 2 (9) |
| Sigmoid                               | 5 (23)|
| Rectosigmoid                          | 6 (27)|
| Adenocarcinoma, n (%)                 | 22 (100)|
| Histological grade, n (%)             |       |
| I                                      | 1 (5) |
| II                                     | 12 (55)|
| III                                    | 8 (36)|
| IV                                     | 1 (5) |
| Tumor staging, n (%)                  |       |
| T2                                     | 3 (14)|
| T3                                     | 17 (77)|
| T4                                     | 2 (9) |
| Lymph node status, n (%)              |       |
| N0                                     | 14 (64)|
| N1                                     | 6 (27) |
| N2                                     | 2 (9) |
| Metastasis, n (%)                     |       |
| Yes                                    | 9 (41)|
| No                                     | 13 (59)|
colorectal tumor tissues (Fig. 2C and D). The majority of the tumor tissues (90%) exhibited negative MUC2 staining. In total, 86% of the adjacent normal tissues exhibited clear positive staining for MUC2. There was a significant difference between MUC2 negative staining in tumor tissues and positive staining in normal tissue (P=0.0005). The MUC5AC staining was observed to be negative in tumor and adjacent normal tissues. Collectively, these findings demonstrate that MUC1 was highly expressed in colorectal tumor compared to normal tissue, and MUC2 protein expression was downregulated in tumor tissues compared with adjacent normal tissues. Colorectal tumors did not express MUC5AC.

Table II. Frequency of mucin expression in colorectal tumors and adjacent normal tissues from 22 patients with colorectal cancer.

| Staining score | Tumor, n (%) | Adjacent normal, n (%) | P-value |
|----------------|--------------|------------------------|---------|
| MUC1           |              |                        | <0.0001 |
| Positive       | 18 (82)      | 5 (23)                 |         |
| Negative       | 4 (18)       | 17 (77)                |         |
| MUC2           |              |                        | 0.0005  |
| Positive       | 2 (9)        | 19 (86)                |         |
| Negative       | 20 (91)      | 3 (14)                 |         |

Student's t-test used for analysis of difference in expression. MUC, mucin.

Table III. Tumor stage and MUC1 expression in patients with colorectal carcinoma according to age.

| Pathological stage | Young patients, ≤50 years, n (%) | Old patients, >50 years, n (%) |
|--------------------|----------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Total              | 7 (31)                           | 15 (68)                       |
| Clinical staging   |                                  |                               |
| Early (I and II)   | 3 (43)                           | 10 (67)                       |
| Late (III and IV)  | 4 (57)                           | 5 (33)                        |
| MUC1 positive expression | 7 (100)            | 14 (93)                       |

MUC, mucin.

Figure 1. Immunohistochemical staining of representative tissue microarray cores with MUC1 antibody. (A and B) Negative MUC1 staining in normal adjacent tissue at x10 and x40 magnification, respectively. (C and D) Positive MUC1 staining in colorectal carcinoma tumor tissue at x10 and x40 magnification, respectively. MUC, mucin.
MUC1 positive staining was similar across all ages of CRC patients (Table III). It was observed that MUC1 expression was also positive in young CRC patients and old CRC patients from Saudi Arabia. There was no significant difference between MUC1 staining the ages of CRC patients (P=0.0820).

**Immunohistochemical analysis of MUC1, MUC2 and MUC5AC.** MUC1 was demonstrated to have an increased expression in colorectal tumor compared with adjacent normal tissue. In adjacent normal tissue, MUC1 staining was extremely weak (Fig. 1A and B). MUC1 expression in colorectal tumor was clearly observed to be localized in the cytoplasm (Fig. 1C and D). The expression frequency and strong positive staining of MUC1 was significantly higher in early (stage I and II) and late stage (stage III and IV) tumor tissue compared with adjacent normal tissue (Fig. 3A). When MUC1 expression was compared between adjacent normal and early stage tumor tissue, MUC1 was found to be overexpressed (P=0.0016). However, MUC1 expression was significantly increased in late stage colorectal tumors compared with normal adjacent tissue (P<0.0001). The frequency of MUC2 strong positive staining was increased in adjacent normal tissue compared with colorectal tumor tissue. MUC2 staining was primarily in the lumen and cytoplasm of adjacent normal tissue (Fig. 2A and B). MUC2 positive staining was found to be significantly downregulated in early stage (P=0.0240) and late stage (P=0.0068) colorectal cancer compared with adjacent normal tissue (Fig. 3B). The MUC2 expression profile for colorectal tumor and adjacent normal tissue identified in the present study are consistent with other reports (17,29).

In the present study, MUC5AC expression was undetected in tumor and adjacent normal tissue (data not shown). These
results demonstrate that MUC1 was highly expressed in tumor samples and localized in the cytoplasm; however, MUC2 expression was high in normal samples and was decreased in tumor samples. Therefore, MUC1 and MUC2 expression are inversely associated in Saudi patients with CRC and these colorectal tumors are negative for MUC5AC expression.

**Serum MUC1 levels in colorectal tumor.** Serum MUC1 levels were measured in control individuals and patients with various stages of CRC (stage I, II, III, and IV). MUC1 serum levels were increased in stage II (P=0.0003) and stage IV (P=0.0083) serum compared with control serum (Fig. 3C). Serum MUC1 levels were associated with higher MUC1 staining in early and late stage CRC. These findings indicate that MUC1 is highly expressed in early and late stage tumors and was demonstrated to be cleaved during early and late stage CRC. Overall, the present results collectively indicated that MUC1 is clearly expressed in CRC tissues and MUC2 is downregulated during tumor transformation in CRC. MUC1 gene expression was demonstrated to be increased in tumor tissue and serum MUC1 levels were high in early and late stage patients with CRC.

**Discussion**

Mucins are high molecular weight glycoproteins with 20 amino acid tandem repeats, which undergo glycosylation (1). Mucins are known to be aberrantly expressed in CRC with aggressive phenotypes (17,20,21). However, the mucin expression profile of patients with CRC is not known in the Middle East, specifically in Saudi Arabia and, to the best of our knowledge, there has only been one report that studied the impact of mucin production on the prognosis of patients with CRC (30). Therefore, the present study investigated the expression of MUC1, MUC2 and MUC5AC as a biomarker in various stages of CRC in Saudi patients. In the present study population, ~40% of tumors were identified in the colon, and ~60% were in the rectum, sigmoid and rectosigmoid. All these tumors were high-grade adenocarcinomas consisting of early stage (stage I and II, ~60%) and late stage (stage III and IV, ~40%). In total, ~37% of the patients were positive for lymph node metastases. Almost 81% of the CRC tumor samples were positive for MUC1 in the present study. The majority of these positive MUC1 tumors expressed MUC1 in the cytoplasm of tumor cells. Adjacent normal tissues from the same patients were negative for MUC1 staining. In addition, MUC1 staining was positive in patients with early-stage CRC (stage I and II; P=0.0016) compared with normal adjacent tissues. This is in contrast to previous studies performed in Europe, which reported that MUC1 is only upregulated in late-stage metastatic CRC (20,31); however, in the present study, it is significantly higher in late stage tumor (P<0.0001). In the present study, the expression of MUC1 in late stages is significantly higher than early stage colorectal cancer (P=0.0460). It appears that the expression profile of MUC1 in Saudi CRC patients is different, since there is MUC1 expression in early-stage CRC tumors. Therefore, MUC1 expression may be dependent on ethnicity and demographic location of individuals.

MUC1 forms the mucosal barrier of the intestinal tract and protects the epithelial lining from ingested toxins, pathogenic bacteria and inflammatory cytokines (1). However, pro-inflammatory cytokines, including TNFα, IFNy and IL-6, are known to induce MUC1 expression during chronic inflammation. Overexpression of MUC1 during chronic inflammation induces pro-tumorigenic effects and leads to the development of CRC (1,15). The IKKβ-NFκB pathway is a primary mediator of inflammation-induced cancer progression (1), and notably, MUC1 binds IKKβ (6) and NFκB p65 (7) and contributes to the constitutive activation of the NFκB pathway. In this regard, during chronic inflammation MUC1-induced activation of the NFκB pathway may lead to CRC. In addition, MUC1 interacts with β-catenin during cell adhesion (9). NFκB is constitutively activated in CRC, which is important in promoting tumor growth (32), and β-catenin is has been demonstrated to be involved in CRC tumorigenesis (33). Consequently, it is possible that MUC1 may be involved in activating β-catenin and NFκB signaling pathways; thereby contributing to CRC progression.

The expression of the secretory mucin MUC2 is restricted to normal tissue, mostly in the lumen and cytoplasm. In the present study, MUC2 was expressed in >85% of adjacent normal tissue, and was not expressed or had an extremely low expression in early and late stage CRC tumor tissues when compared with normal tissue (P=0.0240 and P=0.0068, respectively). This is consistent with other studies that demonstrated that there was a reduced expression of MUC2 in patients with CRC (17,29,31,34). This decrease or absence of MUC2 expression may be due to MUC2 promoter methylation in CRC cells (35). A reduced MUC2 expression in colon cancer contributes to the pro-survival pathway in cells; p53 protein regulates MUC2 transcription as MUC2 staining is reported to be inversely associated with p53 expression in mucinous carcinoma (36). The intestinal epithelial lining is covered by a mucous layer, which is primarily composed of secreted mucins. This mucous layer acts as a barrier that prevents the epithelial lining from damage and blocks the activation of the immune response. MUC2 is a primary component of the mucous layer of the normal intestine. In the absence of MUC2, an inflammatory process is initiated at the cell surface, and a loss of MUC2 in goblet cells during chronic inflammation is associated with ulcerative colitis (1). Therefore, depletion of MUC2 production results in the increased risk of colorectal cancer.

In the present study, MUC5AC was not identified in either normal adjacent or CRC tumor tissues. This is not notable considering that previous studies have demonstrated that MUC5AC is not expressed in poorly-differentiated colorectal tumors (16,17,19,21). By contrast, MUC5AC has been reported to be expressed in well-differentiated tumors (17,21). CRC patients with MUC5AC negative tumors have a poor prognosis with a low survival rate compared with patients with MUC5AC positive tumors (17,21). This indicates that an absence of MUC5AC expression is a prognostic factor for highly aggressive colorectal cancer.

The majority of the present study sample consisted of patients with higher grade colorectal tumors. Mucin expression has been correlated with high levels of microsatellite instability, in particular an increased expression of MUC2 and MUC5AC in sporadic cancer (31). Mucin gene expression may contribute to cell transformation, and consequently...
tumorigenesis, due to the loss of tumor suppressor genes; mucin expression has been associated with mutations in mismatch repair genes or mtUL homolog 1 (MLH1) hypermethylation (29). MUC1 is a well-known interacting protein that may interact with certain histone methyl transferases, and therefore lead to hypermethylation of MLH1. In the present study, serum MUC1 levels were higher in early and late stage CRC tissues compared with normal adjacent tissues. MUC1 expression has been reported in the serum of patients with head and neck squamous cell carcinoma (HNSCC); MUC1 serum levels were higher in HNSCC patients compared with control individuals, and was positively associated with MUC1 staining in the HNSCC tumors (37).

The incidence of CRC and mortality rates are decreasing among in patients aged >50 years worldwide; however, the incidence of CRC is increasing in young patients and is termed as young onset CRC. A similar trend has been observed in Saudi Arabia, where there has been an increase in the incidence of young onset CRC (21). Young onset CRC is characterized by microsatellite stability primarily identified in the distal colon and rectum with poor differentiation (38). Furthermore, patients with young onset CRC present with advance staged CRC with mucinous and signet ring features.

The present study demonstrates a role for MUC1 in the progression of CRC using a TMA in CRC patients from Saudi Arabia. Therefore, MUC1 expression may be used as an independent prognostic marker in CRC. The present findings implicate MUC1 expression as possessing diagnostic, prognostic and therapeutic significance in CRC. MUC1 overexpression in early and late stage CRC may be a useful biomarker, particularly in young onset CRC patients. Specifically in Saudi CRC patients, MUC1 appears to be expressed significantly in early stage cancer, which will aid in the early diagnosis of CRC. MUC1 expression in young CRC patients from Saudi Arabia may be due to a differences observed in the ethnic population, including genetic predisposition, diet and smoking. In conclusion, the present study demonstrates that MUC1 may be a useful biomarker for the detection of early as well as late stage CRC. Additional studies are required with a larger sample size to evaluate the biomarker capability of MUC1 in CRC, particularly in patients with a young onset CRC phenotype.
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