INTRODUCTION

Swallowing disorders in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) have become a major topic of concern in recent years. It is known that breathing–swallowing coordination is affected in COPD patients and, in addition to the effects of tobacco smoking, lung hyperinflation, aging, sarcopenia, gastroesophageal reflux disease, and dry mouth, breathing–swallowing coordination is likely an important factor related to swallowing disorders in these patients.1,2 Dysphagia is increasingly recognized as a critical phenotype in COPD that is prone to exacerbation.3 In our previous study, we investigated the efficacy of the repetitive saliva swallowing test (RSST) in screening for COPD patients who are at risk of exacerbation.4,5 The RSST, in which patients are asked to swallow as many times as possible in 30 s, is a simple and noninvasive method used to screen for dysphagia. Patients with an RSST score of 5 or less were found to be at risk of COPD exacerbation.5

The mechanisms and appropriate screening methods for COPD exacerbation are being clarified, and the next step is to investigate effective ways to treat this population; however,
evidence on interventions to improve swallowing function is still extremely limited. It is hoped that physiotherapy and swallow training may be effective. However, the fatigability of patients with COPD makes it difficult for them to perform exercises; moreover, the availability of speech therapists is often limited. Therefore, intervention that does not require physical effort by the patient or the involvement of a speech therapist is desired.

Two electrical stimulation methods have been developed in dysphagia rehabilitation: neuromuscular electrical stimulation (NMES) and transcutaneous electrical sensory stimulation (TESS). NMES evokes muscle contractions to improve motor function and has proven to be effective in a variety of causative conditions. NMES is already widely utilized in clinical practice. In contrast, TESS stimulates the peripheral sensory nerves in the larynx and the pharynx to improve sensory function. Interferential current (IFC)-TESS is emerging as a way to enhance airway protection and increase swallowing frequency.

In basic animal research with guinea pigs, IFC-TESS shortened the swallow delay time, suggesting that it could enhance the pharyngolaryngeal sensory afferent pathway, subserving excitatory inputs to the swallowing pattern generator, and hence facilitate the swallowing reflex. In clinical studies, IFC-TESS was reportedly effective in dysphagic patients with dementia, aspiration pneumonia, and other conditions. However, its efficacy in COPD patients is unknown. As a noninvasive method of swallow training that does not require the presence of a speech therapist and does not require any patient effort, IFC-TESS may be a suitable method for swallow training in patients with COPD. Consequently, we performed an exploratory study to investigate the safety and feasibility of IFC-TESS in patients with COPD. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first study in which IFC-TESS was investigated in patients with COPD.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Patients**

This was a single-center, prospective, cohort study performed at Iizuka Hospital Department of Respiratory Medicine. Patients with stable COPD who were hospitalized for yearly evaluation and rehabilitation between August 1, 2020, and February 28, 2021, were recruited. COPD was diagnosed according to the GOLD 2017 criteria. The inclusion criteria were patients with an RSST of five or less per 30 s because this group is at high risk of COPD exacerbation. Patients with a pacemaker or implantable cardioverter defibrillator were excluded because IFC-TESS is contraindicated in such patients. Patients with apparent swallowing disorder caused by other conditions were also excluded. Ethical approval was provided by the Iizuka Hospital Ethics Committee in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki (Number 20088), and written informed consent was obtained from all patients.

**Methods**

The study design is shown in Fig. 1. Demographic information and lung function data were extracted from medical records. Any history of moderate to severe COPD exacerbation in the previous year was assessed from medical records and patient recollection. COPD exacerbation was defined as the presence of new or worsening COPD symptoms (cough, sputum, wheezing, dyspnea, or chest tightness), at least one of which lasted for 3 days or longer.

Upon inclusion, patients underwent swallow screening with the 10-item Eating Assessment Tool (EAT-10), the Frequency Scale for the Symptoms of Gastroesophageal Reflux Disease (FSSG), RSST, the water swallowing test (WST), and the simple swallow provocation test (SSPT); tongue pressure measurement using a convenient disposable probe (JM-TPM; JMS, Hiroshima, Japan); and skeletal muscle mass index measurement using the InBody 770 (Bio-space, Seoul, Korea). These screening tests were carried out on the same day.

**Sensory Stimulation**

For IFC-TESS intervention, Gentle Stim (Careido, Kanagawa, Japan; Medical device certification number: 227AHBZX00026000) was used. It is a portable device that generates IFC with a beat frequency of 50 Hz. After wiping the anterior cervical region with a wet towel (and shaving any excess body hair on this region), two pairs of electrodes were applied as specified in the Gentle Stim instructions. The intensity was set at the sensory threshold (<3.0 mA, typically around 2.0 mA) where the subject felt a slight vibrating or tickling sensation. No muscle contraction was observed at this stimulation intensity. The stimulation was performed for 30 min per session. Two sessions were carried out each day, i.e., during the patients’ lunch time and dinner time. This intervention was continued for 10 consecutive days. The first session was performed under the supervision of the respiratory physician, during which the nurse who was assigned to the patient’s care on that day was instructed on how to use the device using a checklist. The checklist was posted on the patient’s bedside. From the second session, nurses in the respiratory ward carried out the procedure, and physicians
were contacted whenever necessary.

After the 10-day intervention, the swallow screening tests (EAT-10, RSST, WST, and SSPT and tongue pressure measurement) were repeated. Then the test results before and after IFC-TESS intervention were compared.

**Statistical Analyses**

Descriptive statistics for baseline data are presented as medians and ranges. Differences between the data before and after IFC-TESS intervention were examined using McNemar’s Chi-squared test or the Wilcoxon signed-rank test. A P-value of <0.05 was considered to indicate a statistically significant difference. All data analyses were conducted using JMP Pro software (ver. 15; SAS Institute, Cary, NC, USA).

**RESULTS**

Ten patients were included in the study, eight men and two women. The patient background data are shown in Table 1. The median age was 80 years (range, 71–86 years), and the median body mass index was 17.3 kg/m² (range, 14.9–23.9 kg/m²). In our patients, the severities of air-flow obstruction based on GOLD staging were as follows: I, 1; II, 1; III, 1; and IV, 7. The median number of exacerbations in the previous year was 2 (range, 0–5), and eight patients had experienced one or more exacerbations in the previous year. All patients had stable COPD and were independent in their daily lives in terms of both physical ability and cognitive status. There were no subjective symptoms of dysphagia.

The intervention was performed safely: there were no adverse events related to respiratory condition or skin irritation. There were two cases in which the physician was called because the stimulation did not attain the designated intensity. In both cases, reinforcing the tape fixation enabled the stimulation to work as intended. Otherwise, the nurses

---

**Table 1. Patient characteristics**

|                        | n=10  |
|------------------------|-------|
| Age, years             | 80 (71–86) |
| Male / female          | 8 / 2  |
| BMI, kg/m²             | 17.3 (14.9–23.9) |
| Serum albumin, g/dl    | 3.6 (2.3–4.7) |
| SMI, kg/m²             | 4.9 (4.0–7.6) |
| GOLD stage, I/II/III/IV| 1 / 1 / 1 / 7 |
| Exacerbations in the past year | 2 (0–5) |

Data other than sex and GOLD stage are shown as median (range). BMI, body mass index; SMI, skeletal muscle mass index.
were able to use the device without difficulty. No reports of discomfort, complaints, or concerns regarding the intervention were made by patients or nurses.

The swallow screening test results before and after IFC-TESS intervention are shown in Fig. 2 and Table 2. The EAT-10 and RSST scores improved significantly after the intervention (Fig. 2A,C). The EAT-10 score decreased in eight patients, remained unchanged in one patient, and increased in one patient. There was a tendency for the subjective symptoms to improve; however, questions related to weight change and the ability to go out for meals remained unchanged. For RSST, the swallowing frequency increased in nine patients and did not change in one patient. There was no change in the number of patients who presented with abnormal results in the EAT-10, FSSG, and SSPT tests (Table 2). After the intervention, the number of patients showing abnormalities in RSST and EAT-10 tended to decrease, but the differences were not statistically significant (Table 2). Tongue pressure also showed a strong tendency to increase (Fig. 2F). The average of the three tongue pressure measurements increased in eight patients but decreased in the other two patients.

DISCUSSION

This was a prospective cohort study of the use of IFC-TESS in stable hospitalized COPD patients. The results showed that IFC-TESS in the acute respiratory ward was safe and feasible.
IFC-TESS could be performed safely in patients with COPD. The contraindications for IFC-TESS do not include any aspects related to respiratory condition; nonetheless, there has been some speculation that IFC-TESS may entail risk in patients with an unstable respiratory condition. However, its safety was demonstrated in a previous retrospective study involving patients with acute aspiration pneumonia.16) In comparison with a similar group of patients who did not undergo the intervention, the IFC-TESS group had no significant differences in their vital signs. Our results show additional prospective data for stable COPD patients, among which there were seven patients with stage IV COPD.

Regarding its feasibility, IFC-TESS could be performed daily without problems in a respiratory medicine ward of an acute tertiary hospital. We chose to apply the stimulation at mealtimes, during which pharyngeal movement is at its peak. The application of IFC-TESS during eating is expected to show similar effectiveness as applying it during swallow training with a speech therapist. No individual nurse was designated for the purpose of applying IFC-TESS; whichever nurse was responsible for the patient’s care applied the electrodes, set the intensity, and remove the electrodes after the session. For the nurses, the time required for each session was no more than a few minutes in total, and it was manageable as a part of the daily care. The cost of the device plus the electrodes and tape for individual patients cannot be ignored. However, because the use of IFC-TESS is feasible without the intervention of specialists such as a speech therapist, we believe it to be a valuable option for patients and healthcare institutions. IFC-TESS also constitutes suitable and sustainable training for COPD patients who are often breathless, weak, and easily fatigued. Utilization of IFC-TESS in nursing homes and home or outpatient care may also be a future topic of interest.

The effectiveness of IFC-TESS has been reported in patients with dysphagia7,8,17) and aspiration pneumonia.16) IFC-TESS also reportedly enhances saliva production in patients with dry mouth,18) shortens pharyngeal latency in dysphagic patients,19) and increases swallowing frequency in healthy men.17) These changes are suspected to improve airway defense while also increasing oral intake.7,8) In the current study, the EAT-10 and RSST scores improved significantly after the intervention, as shown in Fig. 2. However, the number of patients who cleared the normal standard thresholds did not change significantly, as shown in Table 2. This is probably because the common threshold of the EAT-10 and RSST are relatively strict. As shown in our previous study, the optimal cutoff value of swallow screening tests is different in stable COPD patients (in whom the swallowing disorder is often subclinical) than in more apparent swallowing disorders associated with stroke or neurological conditions.4,5) Therefore, the significant differences shown in Fig. 2 may be of importance even if the results were unchanged with respect to the commonly applied thresholds (as in Table 2). Likewise, the lenient threshold of SSPT may be one of the reasons that the results of the SSPT were unchanged. There was also a strong tendency for the tongue pressure to improve by a small amount. The reported effects of IFC-TESS, such as enhanced saliva production, shortened pharyngeal latency, and increased swallowing frequency, all contribute toward the ability to perform more dry swallows in the RSST. Because EAT-10 is an indicator of the patients’ subjective symptoms, it appears that these functional changes may also have a positive impact on the patients subjective

### Table 2. Results of dysphagia screening before and after IFC-TESS intervention

| Test   | Criteria                  | Before intervention | After intervention | P value* |
|--------|---------------------------|---------------------|--------------------|----------|
| EAT-10 | ≥3                        | 9                   | 9                  | NA       |
| FSSG   | ≥8                        | 2                   | 2                  | 1.0      |
| RSST   | ≤5 times/30 s             | 10                  | 8                  | NA       |
| WST    | (A) Vocal change          | 6                   | 3                  | 0.25     |
|        | (B) Drinking pattern** 2–5| 8                   | 8                  | NA       |
| SSPT   | (A) 0.4 ml, ≥3 s          | 5                   | 5                  | 1.0      |
|        | (B) 2.0 ml, ≥3 s          | 1                   | 1                  | NA       |

Data are the number of patients who screened positive for each test.
NA, not applicable.

*McNemar’s chi-squared test.

**Drinking patterns are defined as follows: 1, drinks 30 ml in one swallow without choking; 2, drinks 30 ml in multiple swallows without choking; 3, drinks 30 ml in one swallow with some choking; 4, drinks 30 ml in multiple swallows with some choking; 5, chokes and has difficulty drinking 30 ml.
swallowing issues. Moreover, it is possible that the increased swallowing frequency caused the tongue musculature to function more effectively, as reflected in the tendency for the tongue pressure to increase. However, there may be multiple factors, such as the patient becoming more familiar with the measurement technique and the effect of pulmonary rehabilitation being performed during hospitalization.

In two patients, the RSST increased to above the threshold of 5 times. When the RSST is 5 or less in patients with stable COPD, they are more prone to exacerbation in the following year. In the same study, we showed that patients with an RSST of 6 or more had no moderate or severe exacerbations in the following year. Whether an improvement in the RSST decreases the risk of COPD exacerbation is a topic for further investigation.

In patients with COPD, the unique changes in breathing–swallowing coordination puts them at risk of aspiration. Whereas swallowing usually occurs during exhalation, patients with COPD tend to inhale before or after the swallow, which risks residue and oral microbiota being aspirated. Aspiration should be prevented as much possible in these patients because their lung capacities are already compensated. Therefore, airway protection mechanisms are an important target for training in COPD patients. It is hoped that IFC-TESS will be a feasible option to attain this, while also increasing oral intake and improving or preventing sarcopenia and other comorbidities of COPD.

There are several limitations to this study. First, it was a single center study with a small group of patients. The indicated feasibility and other results may differ depending on the setting. We believe that these data are of value as a pilot study. Second, there was no control group. Other factors such as medication, physiotherapy, or a placebo effect may have also affected the test results. However, there was no speech therapist involved in the study, and no specific swallow training was performed. Because the patients were in a stable state, the effect of other factors are believed to be minimal. Third, only the short-term effects of the IFC-TESS intervention are currently available. It is not clear whether the improvement in RSST will decrease the risk of future COPD exacerbation. However, it has been shown that a low RSST has a high impact on the risk of future exacerbation. The long-term effects of IFC-TESS and improvements in RSST will be determined in the future.

This was the first study to investigate the utility of IFC-TESS in stable COPD patients and also the first study to investigate its use during mealtimes. The 10-day intervention was safe, feasible, and significantly effective in improving EAT-10 and RSST scores. The long-term effects of IFC-TESS await clarification in further studies with a statistically determined sample size and an adequate control group. Objective indicators, such as videendoscopy and videofluoroscopy, and more precise indicators of oropharyngeal sensory function are also necessary. Additionally, the effectiveness of longer-duration sessions, more frequent sessions, a longer intervention period, or yearly interventions may also be of interest.

CONCLUSION

This study shows the safety, feasibility, and short-term effectiveness of IFC-TESS carried out during mealtimes in stable COPD patients. The long-term effects of IFC-TESS in these patients will be clarified in future studies.
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