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INTRODUCTION

Autism spectrum disorders (ASD) are a heterogeneous group of disorders with different causes, phenotypic outcomes and ages of onset. The diagnosis of ASD is based on impairments in reciprocal social communication and restricted, repetitive patterns of behaviors. In addition to these behavioral phenotypes, sensory-motor peculiarities are often present and are now included as one of the possible qualifying behavioral symptoms for a diagnosis of ASD. These include an apparent indifference to pain/heat/cold, adverse response to specific sounds (for example, hyperacusis) or textures, excessive sensation when smelling or touching objects, and fascination with lights or spinning objects. Individuals with ASD also exhibit alterations in sensory processing, including difficulties in the integration of information across different sensory modalities. In addition, motor control abnormalities—for example, poor manual dexterity and coordination—are frequently reported in patients. It has been proposed that these sensory-motor problems—especially those affecting the auditory pathway—might lead to communication impairments and subsequently to autism. Interestingly, mutations of genes related to hearing loss were found in subjects with ASD, but to date, no gene has been directly associated with sensory-motor impairments in ASD and the causes of such clinical features remain unknown.

Genetic studies have demonstrated that hundreds of genes may be involved in the pathogenesis of ASD. The genetic variations include copy-number variants (CNVs) and single-nucleotide variants (SNVs), which can be inherited or de novo. In a subset of patients, ASD appear to be a monogenic trait involving a single mutation with high penetrance. However, in a majority of patients, the heritability of ASD is considered polygenic with a combination of inherited rare and common variants. In these cases, risk variants may not fully segregate...
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with the trait and are usually present in a small subset of patients.21 At least three main biological pathways have been associated with ASD: chromatin remodeling, mRNA translation and synaptic function.25

Among the candidate genes for ASD, Contactin CNTN5 and CNTN6 genes code for neural cell adhesion proteins that promote neurite outgrowth and synaptogenesis.26-31 CNTNs are attached to the cell membrane by a glycosylphosphatidyl inositol anchor and can be found in two active forms, membrane-bound and secreted.26,27 They contain six immunoglobulin-like (Ig) domains followed by four fibronectin type III (FNIII) domains. In mice, CNTN5 (also named Nb-2) and CNTN6 (also named Nb-3) are key proteins for the development of sensory-motor pathways.32-35 CNTN5 contributes to the development of glutamatergic neurons in the auditory brainstem, from the ear through the inferior colliculus to the cortex.34 Mice lacking CNTN5 present with increased auditory brainstem response (ABR) wave latencies.34 CNTN5 is also expressed in mouse retinal neurons,36 and at high levels in the human lingual gyrus, a brain region involved in visual processing.37 CNTN6 is regulated by T-Brain-1,38 an ASD-risk protein, and interacts with cell adhesion molecule L1-like,39 another protein associated with intellectual disability (ID) and language difficulties.40 CNTN6 also interacts with NOTCH1 to produce oligodendrocytes from progenitor cells.40-42 and is highly expressed in the inferior colliculus and in the cerebellum.32 CNTN5 is crucial for appropriate orientation of dendrite growth in mouse cortical pyramidal neurons,41 and for synapse formation in the cerebellum.32 Auditory function has not yet been investigated in mice lacking CNTN6, but they display impaired motor coordination.42

Several lines of evidence suggest that mutations of CNTNs and their binding partners, the Contactin-associated proteins (CNTNAPs), are risk factors for ASD,26,27,44-47 First, heterozygous deletions of CNTN4, CNTN5 or CNTN6 (refs 45–52) have been identified in patients with neuropsychiatric disorders such as ASD and ID. In addition, individuals with CNTNAP2 mutations display ID and epilepsy when mutations are homozygous48 or higher risk for ASD and/or language impairments when mutations are heterozygous.54-58 Finally, heterozygous deletions of CNTNAP4 and CNTNAP5 have been identified in a few cases of ASD.56,58,62 Recently, a large mutation screen has detected de novo mutations of CNTN6 and CNTNAP4 in two unrelated patients with ASD, but no significant association between CNTN and CNTNAP rare SNVs and ASD.55 Nevertheless, the authors of this study did not exclude that deleterious CNTN/CNTNAP variants could increase the risk of ASD in a subset of patients and were soliciting for functional studies to better ascertain the impact of the variants.55

In our study, we assessed the frequency of CNVs and SNVs affecting CNTN5 and CNTN6 in patients with ASD. We then evaluated the functional effects of the SNVs on neurite outgrowth using cultured neurons and addressed the molecular issues of those mutations on protein structure. Finally, given the involvement of CNTN5 and CNTN6 in the development of sensory-motor neuronal pathways, a clinical exploration of motor coordination and ABR was conducted in patients carrying CNTN5 or CNTN6 variants, and their relatives.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Patients and controls

The ASD diagnosis was based on clinical expert assessment including the Autism Diagnostic Interview–Revised (ADI-R)24 and the Autism Diagnostic Observation Schedule.25 In a few cases, the Diagnostic Interview for Social and Communication Disorders (DISCO-10)66 was used instead of the ADI-R. Intellectual quotient was measured using an age-appropriate Wechsler International Sibpair study are described in Supplementary Table 1. Families AUDI001 and AUDI002 carrying CNTN5 CNVs were not part of our initial cohort of patients and therefore were not included in the association analysis (Supplementary Figure 1). Gross and fine motor coordination abilities were assessed during the neurological exam and with the Developmental Coordination Disorder Questionnaire (DCD-Q).67 The local Institutional Review Board at Hôpital Pitié-Salpêtrière (Paris, France) and University of Gothenburg (Sweden) approved the study. Written informed consent was obtained from all participants. For the patients who were unable to consent for themselves, a parent or legal guardian consented to the study on their behalf.

Auditory brainstem response audiometry

An experienced Ear-Nose-Throat specialist examined some of the patients carrying CNTN5 or CNTN6 variants and their first-degree relatives. The exploration included otoscopic examination, tympanogram and a measurement of the stapedius reflex. Insertion of the ABR was performed using the Biologic Navigator-Pro Evoked Potential System (Natus Medical, Mundeileen, IL, USA). We used the Wilcoxon non-parametric test to detect statistical difference in wave latency between carriers and non-carriers of CNTN5 or CNTN6 variants, and between affected and non-affected subjects.

Genetic analyses

For CNV detection, 1534 unrelated individuals with ASD (901 from Pinto et al.63 and 633 from our cohort) and 8936 controls were analyzed using illumina SNP arrays (Supplementary Tables 1 and 2). Two CNV detection algorithms: PennCNV and QuaNTSNP, were used and all samples met stringent quality control criteria as described.68 For SNV detection, 429 individuals (212 independent patients with ASD and 217 controls) were screened for mutations in all exons of CNTN5 and CNTN6 using Sanger sequencing (Supplementary Tables 3 and 4). For replication, we had access to the results of whole-genome sequence from a sample of 289 individuals with ASD (200 trios and 89 sib pairs).18 The whole-genome sequence was obtained as previously described.18 The rare variants were defined as in Murdoch et al.,63 seen in either cases or controls exclusively, missense, nonsense, splice site, or start or stop codon disruptions with a frequency of less than 1% in all populations from the general European (Non-Finnish) population from ExAC (https://exac.broadinstitute.org/). To estimate the frequency of individuals sequenced for CNTN5 or CNTN6 variants in ExAC, we used the median of the number of alleles sequenced divided by a factor of 2 (CNTN5 = 32858; CNTN6 = 33263) and we assumed that a single deleterious CNTN/CNTNAP variants could increase the risk of ASD in a subset of patients and were soliciting for functional studies to better ascertain the impact of the variants.69

In our study, we assessed the frequency of CNVs and SNVs affecting CNTN5 and CNTN6 in patients with ASD. We then evaluated the functional effects of the SNVs on neurite outgrowth using cultured neurons and addressed the molecular issues of those mutations on protein structure. Finally, given the involvement of CNTN5 and CNTN6 in the development of sensory-motor neuronal pathways, a clinical exploration of motor coordination and ABR was conducted in patients carrying CNTN5 or CNTN6 variants, and their relatives.

Cell culture procedures and in vitro analysis of neurite outgrowth

Experiments were performed according to the standardized co-culture assay and automated quantification method, which we published previously.10 Primary rat cortical neurons were prepared from newborn (PD-P1) Sprague–Dawley rats, plated at a density of 4x10^5 cells per ml and cultured for 6 days before adding the HEK293 cells. HEK293 cells were cultured in Minimum Essential Medium containing 100 U ml−1 penicillin, 100 μg ml−1 streptomycin, 2 mM glutamine (Invitrogen, Life Technologies SAS, Saint-Aubin, France) and 10% fetal calf serum (ref. CVF3SF00-01; Eurobio, Courtaboeuf, France). HEK293 cells were transfected with rat CNTN6 cDNAs cloned in pcDNA3.1 vector (CNTN6 GenBank accession number: D87248) using the jet PRIME® kit (POL114-15 Polyplus-transfection SA, Illkirch, France). After transfection, 2–3x10⁵ cells were collected and seeded on top of the neurons in culture. The percentage of transfected cells was very similar in all experiments and corresponded to 50% of the
HEK cells. HEK293 and neurons were co-cultured for 2 days before fixation with 4% paraformaldehyde. The secreted CNTN6 were at an estimated concentration of 100 ng ml$^{-1}$. Western blots and immunofluorescence labeling on HEK293 cells were performed 2 days after transfection as previously described. 

Mouse anti-rat NB-3 (2F7) monoclonal antibodies were used at a dilution of 1/500. 

Cells in co-cultures were incubated with the primary mouse anti-MAP2 antibody (ref. MAB3418, Millipore, Molsheim, France) at a dilution of 1/500. The secondary antibody was an Alexa Fluor 594 Goat Anti-Mouse IgG (H+L) used at a dilution of 1/2000, Molecular Probes, Life Technologies). After washing, coverslips were mounted on glass slides with ProLong antifade reagent with DAPI (Invitrogen, Life Technologies). Fluorescence mosaic images were acquired with an inverted microscope Axioscope Observer.Z1 (Carl Zeiss, Le Pecq, France). Constructs carrying a non-synonymous variant were generated by site-directed mutagenesis of the wild-type rat CNTN6 CDNA sequence using the QuikChange XL II Site-Directed Mutagenesis Kit from Agilent (Santa Clara, CA, USA). Primers were designed using Agilent’s QuikChange Primer Design program (Supplementary Table 5). Mutated plasmids were then purified using NucleoBond Xtra Maxi EF from Machery-Nagel, and sequenced.

Immunoglobulin and fibrinectin domains: homology modeling CNTN6$^{31,4}$ were homology modeled, using the model-building software Modeller (mod9v7) from the solved X-ray template of mouse CNTN4$^{9,4}$ (Protein Data Bank ID: 3KLD). Each CNTN6 variant was introduced in the alignment file of the corresponding wild-type protein. Models (N=50) were generated using Modeller, to satisfy the spatial restraints issued from the alignment with the target protein mouse CNTN4$^{9,4,71}$ Models with the lowest score function values and best stereochemistry, checked by Molprobity (http://molprobity.biochem.duke.edu/), were then subjected to energy minimization using CharmM forcefield with the backbone constrained (DSSS; Accelrys, San Diego, CA, USA). Similarly, each FNIII sequence was three-dimensionally aligned using Espript (http://espript.Draw/Pfam/) with its template selected using HHPred server (http://toolkit. tuebingen.mpg.de/hhpred). For each FNIII building model, 100 homology models were generated using Modeller. After minimization with CharmM, all resulting WT and variant models were manually analyzed using Pymol (https://www.pymol.org/).

RESULTS

Frequency of CNTN5 and CNTN6 variants in ASD and controls

We first screened for CNVs affecting exons of CNTN5 and CNTN6 in our cohort of 633 individuals with ASD. We identified one patient with a deletion of CNTN5 and four patients with a deletion of CNTN6 (Figures 1 and 2; Supplementary Figures 2 and 3). None of the patients had a second deleterious CNTN5/6 variant on the remaining allele. In the cohort of the Autism Genome Project from Pinto et al.,$^{58}$ we observed 2 CNTN6 deletions and 2 CNTN6 duplications out of 901 patients with ASD. In our sample of 8936 individuals from the general population (Supplementary Table 2), we observed 1 deletion and 3 duplications of CNTN5 as well as 1 deletion and 12 duplications of CNTN6. Overall, CNTN6 deletions were more frequent in patients compared with controls (ASD 6/1534 (0.39%) vs controls 1/8936 (0.01%); $P=6 \times 10^{-5}$).

We also had access to the phenotypes of the patients from the Brain & Body Genetic Resource Exchange (BBGRE version 3.0; https://bbgre.brc.iop.kcl.ac.uk/) database that includes 5891 patients (776 with ASD). We found a total of 14 CNTN6 deletions out of the 5891 patients (Supplementary Table 11) and a significant excess of CNTN6 deletions in patients with ASD (6/776; 0.77%; $P=0.02$). This excess of CNTN6 deletions in ASD is even more significant when only small deletions (<500 kb) are considered. There are 7 small CNTN6 deletions out of 5891 patients listed in BBGRE version 3.0 and 6 out of 776 in patients with ASD ($P=0.002$). Finally, in the Decipher database (https://decipher.sanger.ac.uk/index), a total of 47 CNTN6 deletions are listed among 18506 patients (0.25%). In contrast to the BBGRE database, the phenotype for autism is rarely indicated, but several patients carrying CNTN6 deletions have cognitive impairments, ID or ASD (Supplementary Table 12).

In our cohort of patients, we had access to the DNA from the parents and all CNVs were inherited. Interestingly, two father carriers of a CNTN6 deletion were diagnosed with ASD. After this initial screen, our collaborators (ALMB, LF) identified two additional families with CNTN5 CNVs (Supplementary Figure 1). In family AUDIJ001, the mother, who had ASD, transmitted a deletion of CNTN5 to her daughter with specific language impairment. In family AUDIJ002, a girl with ASD and attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder carried five copies of CNTN5 transmitted by her mother, who had specific learning disorder (reading).

We then sequenced all coding exons of the CNTN5 and CNTN6 genes in 429 individuals, including 212 independent patients with ASD and 217 controls from France and Sweden (Figures 1 and 2, Supplementary Figure 4 and Supplementary Tables 6 and 7). For CNTN5, we observed private variants in 5/212 (2.35%) patients compared with 4/217 (1.84%) controls ($P=0.36$). For CNTN6, we observed 9/212 (4.24%) individuals with ASD carrying a private variant compared with 2/217 (0.92%) controls ($P=0.03$, odds ratio = 4.68, 95% confidence interval = 1–21.8). Among the affected carriers, we observed a de novo CNTN6P770L variant predicted as deleterious by all algorithms (Figure 3). We then confirmed the frequency of rare variants of CNTN5 and CNTN6 in an independent cohort of 289 patients with ASD from Canada (Supplementary Figure 5). We found very similar frequencies for CNTN5 (7/289; 2.4%) and CNTN6 (9/289; 3.1%) rare variants in the Canadian cohort of patients with ASD compared with our patients with ASD. In one family, two affected brothers with ASD carried a CNTN6W923X stop mutation transmitted by the mother.

In summary, both CNTN5 CNVs and rare SNVs were more frequent in patients with ASD compared with the general population. Given our sample and effect sizes, our achieved statistical power was 92% for the CNVs, but only 62% for the SNVs. To increase our statistical power for the SNVs, we used the sequencing data obtained in >30,000 individuals from the ExAC database (Supplementary Figure 6). The frequency of rare CNTN5 variants in individuals from the general European population from ExAC (533/33263; 1.6%) was not significantly different from our controls (2/217; 0.92%; $P=0.6$). Using the cohorts from PARIS and Canada and the ExAC data set, the enrichment of rare CNTN6 variants in individuals with ASD compared with the general population was highly significant (ASD: 18/501; 3.59%; controls: 535/33480; 1.6%; $P=0.0005$) and with an achieved power to observe such a difference of 87%.

Additional ASD-risk gene deleterious variants in patients carrying CNTN6 variants

As often found in genetic studies of ASD, the risk variants do not always co-segregate with the phenotypes. We therefore investigated whether individuals with ASD carrying a rare CNTN6 variant had other rare (minor allele frequency <1% in 1000 genomes or ExAC) and deleterious (considered as damaging by two algorithms) variants in genes known to be associated with ASD (for the ASD-risk genes see Supplementary Figure 7 and Supplementary Table 10). For all patients carrying CNTN6 variants, we searched for rare CNVs and screened for exonic SNVs in CNTN3, CNTN4, and CNTNAP2. Finally, we analyzed the data from whole-exome sequencing (N=9 families) and whole-genome sequencing (N=11 families) to identify deleterious variants in known ASD-risk genes. Among the CNVs that we identified (Table 1), we found a maternal deletion of 29 kb including the fifth exon of the X-linked Duchenne muscular dystrophy (DMD) gene in a patient carrying a paternal CNTN6 duplication, a paternal duplication of 285 kb including all exons of Nephrocystine 1 (NPHTP1) gene in a patient carrying a maternal CNTN6 deletion, and a paternal duplication of 873 kb including the first exon of the glutamate receptor ionotrophic delta 2 gene (GRID2) in a patient carrying a de
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novo CNTN6 variant. For the families with whole-exome/genome sequencing data, we found a X-linked stop mutation of the Ras-Associated (RAS) RAB39B gene causing X-linked ID 73 in two brothers carrying the stop mutation CNTN6 W923X. A paternally transmitted frameshift mutation of the histone deacetylase (HDAC) HDAC4 gene associated with ID68 was identified in a patient carrying the CNTN6I529L variant. In summary, although our study is underpowered to identify specific biological pathways mutated in patients carrying CNTN6 variants, we could detect several additional rare deleterious variants in known risk genes for ID or ASD.

Functional impact of CNTN6 variants

CNTN6 is known to enhance neurite outgrowth both in vitro and in vivo. In order to estimate the functional impact of CNTN6...
variants, we used five prediction algorithms, an in vitro assay for the effect of CNTN6 variants on neurite outgrowth and a homology modeling of the protein (Figure 3 and Supplementary Figures 8 and 9). Several CNTN6 variants identified in this study were considered deleterious by at least two algorithms (Figure 3). Using an in vitro assay, we showed that some variants (CNTN6G310S, CNTN6G683S, CNTN6I529L) could affect the promoting effect of CNTN6 on neuritogenesis, whereas others did not (CNTN6S419C, CNTN6I683S, CNTN6P770S; Figure 3 and Supplementary Figure 8). Based on the homology model of the CNTN6 protein structure, the variant CNTN6G310S, observed in the French and Canadian cohorts of patients, might induce a molecule distortion (Supplementary Figures 8 and 9). The CNTN6I529L variant located in the fibronectin domains and identified in a patient with ASD, corresponds to the position of a critical amino acid (L1046) of the neogenin, a receptor of the axon guidance molecule netrin and a binding partner of the repulsive guidance molecule family members.74 For this CNTN6I529L variant, we observed a putative secreted dimeric form suggested by the presence of an additional band of twice the molecular weight of the CNTN6 protein on western blot analysis (Supplementary Figure 8). However, further molecular studies would be required to firmly establish impaired ligand interactions or protein folding.

Clinical characterization of patients carrying CNTN5 or CNTN6 variants

CNTN5 and CNTN6 are interesting for ASD because of their role in the development of sensory-motor neuronal pathways.26–31 We therefore explored sensory-motor abnormalities in the patients carrying CNTN5 or CNTN6 variants.

Based on the ADI-R and clinical evaluation, the vast majority of the patients carrying a CNTN5 or CNTN6 variant presented with fine or gross motor coordination problems, but did not statistically differ from the overall cohort for these symptoms (P = 0.44). When considering the item of the ADI-R related to excessive sensibility to noise (Figure 4), we found that probands carrying CNTN5 or CNTN6 variants were more prone to suffer from hyperacusis (39/48; 81%) than the rest of the cohort (360/548; 66%; P = 0.036). They also displayed more abnormal idiosyncratic-negative response to specific sensory stimuli (23/41; 56%) than the rest of the cohort (153/505; 30%; P = 0.001).

We then ascertained ABR for a subset of families with CNTN variants that were re-evaluated on this purpose (Figure 4). A total of 24 individuals (8 probands with ASD, 2 siblings with ASD, 2 fathers with ASD and 12 unaffected relatives) from 8 independent families were enrolled in this study. Three probands carried a CNTN6 deletion, three carried a CNTN6-coding sequence variant affecting neurite outgrowth (CNTN6S1301D, CNTN6G683S and CNTN6I683S), one carried a CNTN5 deletion and one carried a CNTN5L234F variant predicted as deleterious. The clinical description of the probands is presented in Supplementary Table 8. Remarkably, except the carrier of CNTN6G683S, all probands suffered from hyperacusis (which was painful during ear examination). None of the individuals without variants and none the unaffected relatives carrying variant showed over sensitivity to sound. ABRs were recorded for intensities of 60 and 80 dB and frequencies of 29 clicks per second. Wave latencies tended to be shorter in subjects carrying a CNTN5 or CNTN6 variant compared with non-carriers (Figure 4 and Supplementary Table 9).

DISCUSSION

In the present study, we identified CNTN5 and CNTN6 rare variants in individuals with ASD and investigated their impact on clinical phenotypes. Although we observed CNTN5 genetic abnormalities in patients with ASD, the demonstration of an association between this gene and neuropsychiatric disorder would require larger cohorts of patients. In contrast, we provide further support that CNTN6 mutations are risk factors for ASD. Our results confirm data from previous reports describing patients diagnosed with ASD and/or ID carrying inherited or de novo CNTN6 CNVs or SNVs.49–52

Interestingly, two previous studies from Van Daalen et al.49 and Hu et al.52 reported that relatives of patients carrying CNTN5 or CNTN6 CNVs were diagnosed with neuropsychiatric disorders or had deficits in social interactions.49,52 Similarly, in our study, several parents carrying CNTN6 variants were diagnosed with ASD. This co-segregation between CNTN6 variants and the presence of neuropsychiatric disorders in the relatives could explain why Murdoch et al.63 did not find a significant association between CNTN6 rare variants and ASD in the Simons Simplex Collection. Indeed, having first-degree relatives on the autism spectrum is an exclusion criterion of the Simons Simplex Collection.75
Table 1. Multiple hits identified in patients with ASD carrying CNTN6 rare variants

| ID         | Status  | Sex | Cohort   | CNTN6 variant                        | Maternally inherited variants                                                                 | Paternally inherited variants                      |
|------------|---------|-----|----------|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------|
| AU-RD-LAB-192-003 | ASD     | M   | FR       | CNTN6 chr3:1336137-1368840 32 kb deletion (MI) |                                                                                                  | NPHP1-chr2:110863095-11148771 285 kb duplication (PI) |
| AU-FRA-MIC-033-003 | ASD     | M   | FR       | CNTN6-I683S (PI)                      |                                                                                                  |                                                   |
| AU-RD-BOR-104-003  | ASD     | M   | FR       | CNTN6-S519C (PI)                      | TAFI1-L1335T, R81CC1-1-513H                                                                  |                                                   |
| AU-RD-BOR-233-005  | ASD     | M   | FR       | CNTN6-S419C (MI)                      |                                                   | ANK2-E1449C                                       |
| 1-0232-003/5241-3  | ASD     | M   | CA       | CNTN6-chr3:3:464181-1251877 787 kb duplication (PI) |                                                   |                                                   |
| 1-0366-003         | ASD     | M   | CA       | CNTN6-G310S (MI)                      |                                                   |                                                   |
| 1-0366-004         | ASD     | M   | CA       | CNTN6-G310S (MI)                      |                                                   |                                                   |
| 2-1335-004         | ASD     | M   | CA       | CNTN6-G310S (MI)                      |                                                   |                                                   |
| 2-0018-003         | ASD     | M   | CA       | CNTN6-W922X (MI)                      |                                                   |                                                   |
| 2-1380-proband     | ASD     | M   | CA       | CNTN6-I529L (PI)                      |                                                   |                                                   |
| 1-0366-006         | ASD     | M   | CA       | CNTN6-I529L (MI)                      |                                                   |                                                   |
| 2-1222-proband     | ASD     | M   | CA       | CNTN6-I529L (MI)                      |                                                   |                                                   |
| 2-1357-003         | ASD     | M   | CA       | CNTN6-S995T (PI)                      |                                                   |                                                   |
| 2-1195-proband     | ASD     | M   | CA       | CNTN6-A827V (PI)                      |                                                   |                                                   |
| 1-0273-004         | ASD     | M   | CA       | CNTN6-P38A (MI)                       |                                                   |                                                   |

Abbreviations: ASD, autism spectrum disorder; CA, Canada; FR, France; M, male; MAF, minor allele frequency; MI, maternally inherited; PI, paternally inherited. When both parents are carriers of the variant, the inheritance is indicated as unknown. For CNVs, only the rare and exonic CNVs are indicated. For SNVs, only the rare variants (MAF < 1% in 1000 genomes and ExAC) and considered deleterious by at least 2 algorithms (CADD Phred score ≥ 20; SIFT ≤ 0.05, PolyPhen2 ≥ 0.453, Mutation Assessor ≥ 2, vertebrate PhyloP ≥ 2) are indicated. The complete list of ASD-risk genes is available in Supplementary Table 10. Individuals with no available WES/WGS information. Likely gene disrupting mutations are indicated in bold.
We, and others, showed that CNTN6 mutations are not fully penetrant, but they might represent risk factors for ASD in specific genetic backgrounds. Using additional genotyping and sequencing data, we could detect multiple hits in the patients carrying the CNTN6 variants. Several mutations were affecting genes such as GRID2, DMD and RAB39B that are known to be risk factors for ID, neuromuscular disorder, epilepsy and in some cases ASD. It would now be interesting to ascertain if patients with some genetic backgrounds are more sensitive to CNTN6 mutations. Testing for such association would, however, require very large cohorts of patients and controls with genetic and phenotypic data.

Given the expression patterns and the roles of CNTN5 and CNTN6 in the development of sensory-motor neuronal pathways, either loss or gain of neurite outgrowth might perturb the sensory-motor functions of patients with ASD. CNTN6 is highly expressed in the granule cells of the cerebellum (but not in Purkinje cells) and is involved in the postnatal synapse formation. This expression pattern in the cerebellum is similar to that of SHANK3, another gene associated with ASD. Both CNTN5 and CNTN6 are also expressed in the inferior colliculus, the principal midbrain nucleus of the auditory pathway. This structure receives input from several peripheral brainstem nuclei in the auditory pathway, as well as from the auditory cortex. Remarkably, mice lacking CNTN5 display disorganized tonotopy and auditory brain response abnormalities. Accordingly, we observed that almost all the patients who we reassessed suffered from painful hypersensitivity to noise. Some of these patients also displayed shortened waves latencies in their ABRs. Thus, abnormal gene dosage of CNTN5 or CNTN6 might represent a risk factor for the auditory problems recurrently reported in patients with ASD.

In conclusion, we identified rare CNTN5 and CNTN6 deleterious mutations in a subset of individuals with ASD. Both CNTN5 and CNTN6 are expressed at high levels in the auditory pathway. This is in accordance with the fact that a majority of patients with mutations displayed painful hyperacusis. There is an emerging literature on the prominent role of sensory dysfunctions in the development of ASD. A better understanding of the genetic pathways associated with these abnormalities should lead to better clinical interventions in ASD.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was funded by the Institut Pasteur, the Bettencourt-Schueller Foundation, Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique, University Paris Diderot, Agence Nationale de la Recherche (ANR-08-MNPS-037-01- SynGen), the Conny-Maeva Charitable Foundation, the Cognacq Jay Foundation, the Orange Foundation, the Fondamental Foundation, the GenMed Labex and the BioPsy labex. O Mercati was supported by an undergraduate fellowship from the Neur特色产业 Grancien (NeRF) and the Orange Foundation. The research leading to these results has also received support from the Innovative Medicines Initiative Joint Undertaking under grant agreement no. 115300, resources of which are composed of financial contribution from the European Union’s Seventh Framework Program (FP7/2007-2013) and EFPIA companies’ kind contribution. Control data sets were obtained, along with permission for use, from the database of Genotypes and Phenotypes (dbGaP) found at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Genome.utoronto.ca/gap through accession numbers phs000169.v1.p1 (Whole Genome Association Study of Visceral Adiposity in the HABC Study), phs000303.v1.p1 (Genetic Epidemiology of Refractive Error in the KORA Study) and phs000404.v1.p1 (COGEND; the Genetic Architecture of Smoking and Smoking Cessation). Support for the ‘CIDR Visceral Adiposity Study’ was provided through the Division of Aging Biology and the Division of Geniatrics and Clinical Gerontology, National Institute on Aging. Assistance with phenotype harmonization and genotype cleaning, as well as with general study coordination, was provided by Health ABC Study (HABC) Investigators. The KORA data set was obtained from the NEI Refractive Error Collaboration (NIEREC) Database, support for which was provided by the National Eye Institute. Support for genotyping of the COGEND samples, which was performed at the Center for Inherited Disease Research (CIDR), was provided by 1 X01 HG005274-01. Assistance with genotype cleaning of the COGEND samples, as well as with general study coordination, was provided by the Gene Environment Association Studies (GENEVA) Coordinating...
REFERENCES

1 Kanner L. Autistic disturbances of affective contact. Nerv Child 1943; 2: 217–250.
2 Asperger H. Die “autistischen Psychopathen” im Kindesalter. Arch Psychiatr Nervenkr 1944; 177: 76–137.
3 Coleman M, Gillberg C. The Autism. Oxford University Press: USA, 2012, 432 pp.
4 American Psychiatric Association. Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders (DSM-5). 5th edn. American Psychiatric Association: Washington, DC, 2013.
5 Rogers SJ, Ozonoff S. Annotation: what do we know about sensory dysfunction in autism? A critical review of the empirical evidence. J Child Psychol Psychiatry 2005; 46: 1255–1268.
6 Foxe JJ, Molholm S, Del Bene VA, Frey HP, Russo GC, Wagner GC. Prevalence of motor impairment in autism spectrum disorder (ASD) and their resolution during early adolescence. Cereb Cortex 2013; 23: 298–312.
7 Marco EJ, Hinkley LB, Hill SS, Nagajaran SS. Sensory processing in autism: a review of neurophysiologic findings. Pediatr Res 2011; 69: 48R–54R.
8 Ming X, Brimacombe M, Wagner GC. Prevalence of motor impairment in autism spectrum disorders. Brain Dev 2007; 29: 565–570.
9 Gillberg C, Steffenburg S, Jakobsson G. Neurobiological findings in 20 relatively gifted children with Kanner-type autism or Asperger syndrome. Dev Med Child Neurol 1987; 29: 641–649.
10 Khalfa S, Bruneau N, Roge B, Georgieff N, Veuillet E, Adrien JL. Boddaert N, Chabane N, Belin P, Bourgeois M, Royer V, Barthelemy C. Multisensory speech integration deficits in high-functioning school-aged children with autism spectrum disorder (ASD) and their resolution during early adolescence. Cereb Cortex 2013; 23: 298–312.
11 Ebert DH, Greenberg ME. Activity-dependent neuronal signalling and autism spectrum disorder. Nature 2013; 493: 327–337.
12 Zuko A, Klejer KT, Oguro-Ando A, Kas MJ, van Daalen E, van der Zwaag B et al. Contactins in the neurobiology of autism. Eur J Pharmacol 2013; 719: 63–74.
13 Teder-Salejarvi WA, Pierce KL, Courchesne E, Hillyard SA. Auditory spatial localization and attention deficits in autism. Percept Psychophys 2003; 65: 1298–1308.
14 Chuang HC, Huang TN, Hsupe YP, T-Brain-1: A potential master regulator in autism spectrum disorders. Autism Res 2015; 8: 412–426.
15 Tassano E, Biancheri R, Denegri L, Porta S, Novara F, Zuffardi O et al. Heterozygous deletion of CHL1 gene: detailed array-CGH and clinical characterization of a new case and review of the literature. Eur J Med Genet 2015; 58: 626–629.
16 Cong WM, Yang W, Lee JT, Huang Z, Wu D, Xu A et al. Adropin is a brain membrane-bound protein regulating physical activity via the NB-3/Notch signaling pathway in mice. J Biol Chem 2014; 289: 25976–25986.
17 van Daalen E, Kemner C, Verbeek NE, van der Zwaag B, Dijkhuizen T, Rump P et al. Contribution of the neural cell recognition molecule NB-3 to synapse formation between parallel fibers and Purkinje cells in mice. Dev Neurobiol 2009; 69: 811–824.
18 Poot M. A candidate gene association study further corroborates involvement of contactin genes in autism. J Autism Dev Disord 2013; 43: 257–266.
19 Stocekli ET. Ig superfamily cell adhesion molecules in the brain. Handb Exp Pharmacol 2004; 373–401.
20 Shimoda T, Watanabe K. Contactins emerging key roles in the development and function of the nervous system. Cell Adh Migr 2009; 3: 1–7.
21 Stoeckli ET. Neural circuit formation in the cerebellum is controlled by cell adhesion molecules of the Contactin family. Cell Adh Migr 2010; 4: 523–526.
22 Mercati O, Danckaert A, Andre-Louergo G, Bellinzoni M, Gouder L, Watanabe K et al. Contactin 4, -5 and -6 differentially regulate neurotogenesis while they display identical FTPTRG binding sites. Biol Open 2013; 2: 324–334.
23 Takeda Y, Akasaka K, Lee S, Kobayashi S, Kawano H, Murayama S et al. Impaired motor coordination in mice lacking neural recognition molecule NB-3 of the contactin/F3 subgroup. J Neurobiol 2003; 56: 252–265.
24 Ye H, Tan YL, Ponniah S, Takeda Y, Wang SQ, Schachner M et al. Neural recognition molecules CHL1 and NB-3 regulate apical dendrite orientation in the neocortex via FTP alpha. EMBO J 2008; 27: 188–200.
25 Toyoshima M, Sakurai K, Shimazaki K, Takeda Y, Shimoda Y, Watanabe K. Deficiency of neural recognition molecule NB-2 affects the development of gluta-mergic auditory pathways from the ventral cochlear nucleus to the superior olivary complex in mouse. Dev Biol 2009; 336: 192–200.
26 Huang X, Sun J, Zhao T, Wu KW, Watanabe K, Xiao ZC et al. Loss of NB-3 aggravates cerebral ischemia by impairing neuron survival and neurite growth. Stroke 2011; 42: 2910–2916.
27 Yamagata M, Sanes JR. Expanding the Ig superfamily code for laminar specificity in retina: expression and role of contactins. J Neurosci 2012; 32: 14402–14414.
28 Hawrylcz MJ, Lein ES, Guillozet-Bongaarts AL, Shen EH, Ng L, Miller JA et al. An anatomically comprehensive atlas of the adult human brain transcriptome. Nature 2012; 489: 391–399.
CNNT6 mutations are risk factors for ASD

O Mercati et al

Supplementary Information accompanies the paper on the Molecular Psychiatry website (http://www.nature.com/mp)