INTRODUCTION

In varicocele treatment, surgical intervention including ligation or occlusion of the internal spermatic vein (ISV) is the primary treatment option. Since varicocele is basically a problem of the veins, ligation of spermatic arteries might not be the appropriate approach, in theory. Nevertheless, it has been suggested that artery-sparing varicocelectomy (ASV) can increase the failure rate of corrective surgery by preventing complete removal of the ISV.1

To overcome this drawback of ASV, we use intraoperative venography in our institution. In 1987, Levitt, et al.2 administered post-ligation intraoperative venography to 26 children to detect occult communications, and doing so led to a low varicocele recurrence rate of 3.6%. Keene and Cervellione3 administered post-ligation intraoperative venography with intravenous
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Purpose: In young patients with varicocele, preservation of the internal spermatic artery may be advantageous for catch-up growth, but it may also increase the likelihood of treatment failure. Intraoperative venography reduces the likelihood that unsealed veins will remain after varicocelectomy. We analyzed the characteristics of remnant veins visualized through intraoperative venography to investigate the cause of surgical failure in artery-sparing varicocelectomy (ASV).

Materials and Methods: We retrospectively analyzed clinical characteristics and outcomes of patients aged 18 years or younger who underwent varicocelectomy with intraoperative venography from January 2005 to December 2017. During varicocelectomy, intraoperative venography was performed to distinguish veins from other structures. Any unsealed veins that were discovered were ligated and classified using the Bähren system.

Results: One hundred and sixty-two patients underwent intraoperative venography: 153 cases (94.4%) were for primary varicocelectomy, and 9 cases (5.6%) were for repeat varicocelectomy. Open varicocelectomy was performed in 105 cases (64.8%), and laparoscopic varicocelectomy was performed in 57 cases (35.2%). Venography revealed remnant veins after the first ligation in 51 cases (31.2%), 46 (90.2%) and 5 (9.8%) of which were Bähren types 3 and 4, respectively. Five patients (3.1%) experienced varicocele recurrence, classified as persistence in 1 patient (0.6%) and relapse in 4 patients (2.5%).

Conclusion: Remnant collateral veins of the internal spermatic vein (ISV) (Bähren type 3) are the most common cause of failure in ASV. In a few patients, an external spermatic vein merges with the ISV at a higher level (Bähren type 4) and is unidentifiable without venography.
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methylen blue injection to 24 patients undergoing ASV, and found that it helped to increase the success rate of ASV and lower the incidence of complications. In our institution, intraoperative venography is performed to confirm the absence of unsealed veins after ASV. When venography reveals remnant veins, those veins are ligated, and venography is performed again until no more remnants are found. In this study, we analyzed the vessel types of the remnant veins discovered by postligation venography, and by analyzing the anatomy of these remnant veins, we aimed to determine the types of veins that can be easily missed.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study population
With the approval of our Institutional Review Board (IRB No. 4-2019-0042), we collected data of patients who underwent Palomo or laparoscopic varicocelectomy before 18 years of age at our institution from January 2005 to December 2017 and were followed for more than 1 year postoperatively; this study was conducted on patients who underwent varicocelectomy by full-time pediatric urologists working at Severance Children’s Hospital, and that is why there was an age limitation. In our institution, standard indications for varicocele repair are testis atrophy and pain. Testis atrophy was defined as a size reduction of more than 20% on ultrasonography. Testicular volume was calculated using the formula length (L)×width (W)×height (H)×0.71, which is known as the best method for measuring the actual size of the testicles. The degree of asymmetry was measured as [(right testis volume)-(left testis volume)]/right testis volume]×100, and testicular asymmetry was defined as 15% or greater. All cases were followed by testis ultrasonography after surgery. Catch-up growth of the testis was determined as less than 15% of testicular asymmetry. Intraoperative venography was attempted in 176 patients during that period. Exclusion criteria were cases in which venography was not performed for any reason or cases in which available images could not be interpreted due to poor quality. Catheterization was unavailable in five patients, and extravasation occurred in another nine patients. These patients were excluded from our study. The remaining 162 patients were included in the final study group. Data on the characteristics of the patients, including age at operation, surgical indication, and postoperative outcomes, were collected. We followed up with the patients by asking whether they had any symptoms on the scrotum and by performing a physical examination and ultrasonography. We defined recurrence as grade 2 or grade 3 varicoceles that could be confirmed by palpation.

Operation technique
The operational technique for each patient was determined according to surgeon preference. Open Palomo varicocelectomy was performed by four surgeons, and laparoscopic varicocelectomy was performed by a single surgeon (LYS).

In open Palomo varicocelectomy, the largest ISV was isolated and ligated, and a pediatric double-lumen 4-Fr×13-cm central venous catheter (AR-CS-14402, Teleflex, PA, USA) was inserted into the ISV. In laparoscopic varicocelectomy, two 5-mm trocars and one 3-mm trocar were inserted and introduced into the peritoneal space. After exposure of the left psoas muscle, the largest ISV was selected and ligated. In open varicocelectomy, the ligation level was L5-S1, and in laparoscopic varicocelectomy, it was L3-L4. Then, a Chiba Biopsy Needle (G03020, EA-COOK, Bloomington, IN, USA) was introduced into the abdominal cavity percutaneously while securing the field of view with a laparoscope camera. A 3-Fr×70-cm open-end ureteral catheter (020013, EA-COOK) was inserted into the Chiba Biopsy Needle. An incision was made to the side of the stump of the ligated ISV, and the 3-Fr catheter was put into it (Fig. 3A). Then, the selected ISV was sealed using Laploop® (Polyglycolic acid, Sejong Medical, Paju, South Korea). After catheterization, all visually distinct veins were ligated. Vessels that were questionable as to whether or not they were a vein were left unligated, and venography was performed to confirm that they were a vein. In case of indistinguishable vessel-like structures, indigo carmine was injected through the catheter to determine if the structures were veins. In particular, spiral veins around the spermatic artery and other visible veins were ligated while the artery was preserved. After all of the identified veins in the operation field were ligated, 30 cc of omnihexol 350 inj (Iohexol, A12958421, Korea United Pharm. Inc, Seoul, South Korea) was forcefully injected by hand for venography to determine if there were any remaining veins that were missed. A few minutes after the indigo carmine injection, lymphatics could also become stained. Therefore, indigo carmine injection can only be used once or twice during the operation. If there were still any ambiguous structures after two indigo carmine injections, further ligation was not performed until the structures were clearly identified by venography. When venography revealed remaining unsealed veins, ligation and venography were repeated until there were no more unsealed veins. In total, kidney, ureter, and bladder (KUB) radiography was performed once for the scout film, once in venography, and once again to determine if there were any remaining veins, usually two to three times.

Bähren classification
We used the Bähren classification, which was first introduced by Bähren, et al. in 1992, to classify the types of veins in varicocele. The Murray classification, another classification system of varicocele anatomy, was not used in this analysis since only remnant veins appeared in the intraoperative venography, so the classification could not be applied (Fig. 1B). For the same reason, Bähren types 0, 1, and 5 were not seen in intraoperative venography, and only Bähren types 2, 3, and 4 could be observed (Fig. 1A).
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RESULTS

Patient characteristics are listed in Table 1. Of the 162 patients included in the study (mean age, 13.6±2.4 years), 9 (5.6%) had a history of previous varicocelectomy. One hundred 5 (64.8%) of the patients underwent open varicocelectomy, and 57 (35.2%) underwent laparoscopic varicocelectomy.

Varicocele was present on the left side in 152 patients, and was bilateral in 10 patients. There was no patient with right side-only varicocele. Since right varicocele is affected and caused by the left side, we only operated on the left side, except for one case, even when both sides were present. In one case, varicocelectomy was performed on both sides since the right varicocele was severe enough to cause discomfort and pain. There was no case of conversion from laparoscopic varicocelectomy to open surgery.

Table 1. Baseline Characteristics of Patients Who Underwent Varicocelectomy with Intraoperative Venography

| Variable                  | Value     |
|---------------------------|-----------|
| Age (yr)                  | 13.6±2.4  |
| Surgical indication       |           |
| Testicular atrophy        | 134 (82.7)|
| Pain                      | 38 (23.5) |
| Side of varicocele        |           |
| Left                      | 152 (93.8)|
| Bilateral                 | 10 (6.2)  |
| Side of operation         |           |
| Left                      | 161 (99.4)|
| Bilateral                 | 1 (0.6)   |
| Operation method          |           |
| Open                      | 105 (64.8)|
| Laparoscopy               | 57 (35.2) |

Data are presented as n (%) or mean±SD.
The first session of post-ligation intraoperative venography revealed remnant veins in 51 (31.5%) patients. After those remnant veins were ligated, a second session of intraoperative venography revealed remnant veins in 4 (7.8%) patients. No remnant veins were found in any patients after the second session of venography. Of the 51 patients who had remnant veins identified by the first venography, 46 (90.2%) had Bähren type 3 anomalies, and 5 (9.8%) had Bähren type 4 anomalies.

We also performed a separate analysis of the 56 cases of laparoscopic varicocelectomy, which were performed by a single surgeon. In the first 28 cases, five patients had remnant veins (four Bähren type 3 anomalies and one Bähren type 4 anomaly) identified by the first venography. However, in the next 28 cases, only one patient had remnant veins (Bähren type 3 anomaly) identified after the initial ligation.

Regarding the operation time, it took an average 129.3±4.4 minutes for laparoscopic varicocelectomy and an average of 125.1±4.5 minutes for open varicocelectomy. There was no significant difference between them. However, in the first 30 cases of laparoscopic varicocelectomy, it took an average of 142.9±6.4 minutes, while in the remaining 27 cases, it took an average of 111.8±4.4 minutes to complete the procedure, a difference that was significant (p<0.05).

During follow-up (median, 21 months), 5 patients (3.2%) experienced recurrence. One recurrence was due to persistence after surgery, and the other four were due to relapse. There were 6 cases (10.5%) of hydrocele in the laparoscopy group and 10 (9.5%) in the open group. None of the cases required any further treatment, such as surgery or any invasive treatment, and all cases spontaneously regressed. As for catch-up growth, 19 cases (18.1%) in the open group and 8 (14%) in laparoscopy group did not achieve catch-up growth (Table 2). Interestingly, in one of the early laparoscopic cases, orchialgia persisted for about 1 year and then regressed.

**DISCUSSION**

There are several surgical methods for treating varicocele, including microsurgical subinguinal varicocelectomy, inguinal varicocelectomy, laparoscopic varicocelectomy, and percutaneous varicocele embolization. The recommended treatments of choice are subinguinal or inguinal microsurgical (microscopic) repairs and suprainguinal open or laparoscopic lymphatic-sparing repairs. The former has the advantage of being a less invasive procedure, whereas the latter has the advantage of requiring fewer veins to be ligated and providing a safety benefit of incidental division of the artery at the suprainguinal level. In our institution, open microsurgical, open Palomo, and laparoscopic varicocelectomy are performed according to the surgeon’s preference. Since only cases with intraoperative venography were included in the study, microsurgical cases were excluded.

There is a debate over whether or not to save the artery in adolescent patients with varicocele. For open Palomo varicocelectomy, Fast, et al. reported that artery-sparing surgery resulted in higher recurrence and persistence rates compared to surgery in which arteries were ligated (12.2% vs. 5.4%), and the overall success rate of ASV was low. Feber and Kass reported that patients that underwent artery-ligation varicocelectomy had a lower recurrence rate than patients that underwent ASV, since small collateral vessels around arteries could be ligated perfectly in artery-ligation surgery, but not in ASV. Also, Huk, et al. performed laparoscopic varicocelectomy and found that artery-ligating surgeries had better results in terms of semen characteristics and fertility compared to artery-preserving surgeries, and they suggested that collateral veins that were missed and remained unligated in the artery-preserving surgeries were the causes of such results. According to Yu, et al. in laparoscopic varicocelectomy, there is no significant difference in outcomes between artery-sparing procedures and artery-ligating procedures, although the 12-month catch-up growth rate appears to be higher after artery-sparing procedures. Therefore, they recommended an artery-sparing technique as a first-choice treatment for varicocele.

There have been several attempts to develop techniques to increase the success rate of varicocelectomy while also saving arteries. One such technique is intraoperative venography. In

| Table 2. Data on Intraoperative Venography and Operation Time |
|----------------|----------------|
| **Variable**   | **Value**      |
| Number of venography sessions |                  |
| 1               | 111 (68.5)     |
| 2               | 47 (29.0)      |
| 3               | 2 (1.2)        |
| 4               | 2 (1.2)        |
| Bähren type     |                  |
| III             | 46 (90.2)      |
| IV              | 5 (9.8)        |
| Recurrence      |                  |
| Persistence     | 4 (2.6)        |
| Relapse         | 1 (0.6)        |
| Hydrocele       |                  |
| Open            | 10 (9.5)       |
| Laparoscopy     | 6 (10.5)       |
| Catch-up growth |                  |
| Open            | 86 (81.9)      |
| Laparoscopy     | 49 (86)        |
| Average operation time (min) |              |
| Open            | 125.1±4.5      |
| Laparoscopy     | 129.3±4.4      |
| Operation time of laparoscopic varicocelectomy (min) |              |
| Initial 30 cases | 142.9±6.4      |
| Last 27 cases   | 111.8±4.4      |

Data are presented as a n (%) or mean±SD.
1992, Hart, et al. reported an overall varicocele persistence rate of 9% among 64 patients who underwent intraoperative venography and a 17% increase in left testicular volume on average among 24 of 30 patients who were followed up for a sufficient period of time, which led to them performing intraoperative internal spermatic venography as a routine procedure. In 2001, Niedzielski and Paduch reported that intraoperative venography using a high retroperitoneal approach with artery sparing reduced recurrence rates.

In our institution, intraoperative venography is performed routinely. To our knowledge, this is the first report of intraoperative venography in laparoscopic varicocelectomy. The most common type of remaining veins discovered in the first venography were Bähren type 3 anomalies (Fig. 2A), which involve collateral veins running parallel to the ISV. Theoretically, if all collateral veins are ligated, there should be no remnant veins on the first venography. The greater the proficiency of the surgeon, the more likely it is that all small collateral veins will be identified and ligated, which may lower the failure rate. For example, in our institution where all laparoscopic varicocelectomies are performed by a single surgeon, primary venography revealed remnant veins in five of the first 28 patients (8.9%) who underwent the procedure, but in only one of the next 28 patients (1.8%) who underwent the same procedure performed by the same surgeon. Since repeated experience with post-ligation venography trained the surgeon as to where the remnant collateral vessels tended to be located, it appeared to become more likely that the surgeon would ligate all collateral veins in the first attempt. In our institution, the operation is performed with an emphasis on the ligation of spiral veins, which are very thin collateral vessels that run just around the artery. As a result, there are few remaining veins on the venography when spiral veins are removed well.

Bähren type 3 corresponds to the P type when assigned to Murray classification (Fig. 1). The P type is divided into high, mid, and low. In case of P (mid, B) and P (low, C), if the varicocelectomy of Palomo incision is performed, accessory veins will be located below the position of ligation, whereas P (high, A) will not. Therefore, in this surgical method, P (high, A) will most likely be missed. In the case of P (high, A), it is particularly easy for a beginner to miss accessory veins, although any missing veins can be addressed through intraoperative venography.

Bähren type 4 anomalies, in which an external spermatic vein (ESV) merges with the ISV at a higher level, were present in about 3.2% of the patients in our study (Fig. 2B). It is known that leaving ESV contributes to varicocele persistence and can be a factor in varicocelectomy failure. In addition, ESV can be difficult to identify in any surgical procedure without venography. Therefore, although it is an anatomy existing only in a minority of patients, if ESV is detected, ligation of those vessels can reduce the likelihood that treatment will fail.

In laparoscopic varicocelectomy, ISVs are ligated at a higher level (L3–4) than that in open varicocelectomy (L5–S1), laparoscopic varicocelectomy has a clinically useful aspect espe-

Fig. 2. Bähren types 3 and 4 on intraoperative venography. (A) Bähren type 3, reflux into the testicular vein is duplicated caudally, coalescing into a single trunk at the junction of the renal vein (black arrow). There are collateral veins of ISVs. (B) Bähren type 4, an external spermatic vein merges with the ISVs at a higher level (white arrows). Type 4 shows a competent valve at the renal vein and testicular vein junction, but reflux into renal hilar or capsular collateral vessels that communicate with the testicular vein. ISV, internal spermatic veins.
cially for young adolescents of small stature and with thin blood vessels. A recent study has shown that a high level ligation of the ISVs may lead to reasonable low hydrocele and recurrence rates, regardless of the varicocelectomy technique used. Also, laparoscopic varicocelectomy may have an advantage in that it can detect Bähren type 4 vessels that can be easily missed in open varicocelectomy without venography.

When patients require reoperation, a Palomo incision and laparoscopic approach are both used at our institution regardless of the method used previously. There was no recurrence after reoperation among the patients in our study. This suggests that remnant veins can be ligated again even with a Palomo incision, since the cause of failure of the first operation is due to a failure to remove all collateral vessels, in most cases.

Nevertheless, there is still controversy regarding which approach should be used for repeat varicocelectomy. Çayan and Akbay performed microsurgical subinguinal repeat varicocelectomy in cases of recurrence and reported that the patients that received the repeat procedure had increased sperm parameters, total serum testosterone levels, and fertility, compared to an observation group. Glassberg, et al. recommended that repeat varicocelectomy should be performed in a different way than the previous varicocelectomy so that the veins can be exposed in a different way and to prevent devascularization of the affected testis. However, in our experience, we were able to obtain good results using either a Palomo approach or laparoscopic approach even when the same method was used previously. In such cases, we used the same ap-

**Fig. 3.** Procedure of laparoscopic intraoperative venography. (A) Laparoscopic intraoperative venography. (B and C) Comparison of injections of 20 cc (B) of dye and 30 cc (C) of dye during intraoperative venography.
In conclusion, the most common reason why varicocelectomies fail is that collateral veins to the ISV are not ligated. This source of treatment failure can be overcome by performing the surgery at a higher level and by increasing the proficiency of the surgeon. In about 3.2% of patients, an ESV merges with the ISV at a higher level than normal and is considered to be an unidentifiable structure if venography is not performed.
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