Cooling Performances on Rainless Days of Extensive Green Roofs Planted with Different Ornamental Species
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Abstract. This study investigated the cooling performances of extensive green roofs (EGRs) planted with 12 ornamental plants on rainless days in a subtropical city for 1 year. Imitating the construction of an EGR, 48 modules were constructed and each module was planted as a monoculture with 100 plants each. Plant growth and greening performance were measured every 2 weeks. Temperatures, solar radiation intensities, and substrate water contents were measured continuously and recorded every 5 minutes. The analyzed results showed that both plant species selection and seasonal variation had a significant impact on the noontime cooling benefit. The modules planted with taller plants, more extensive plant cover, higher albedo, and greater canopy volume had a greater noontime cooling benefit. As the seasons changed, the albedo and canopy volume of the modules were primarily responsible for differences in the noontime cooling benefit provided by the different plant species. Over an entire year of observation, the results of this research could inform the selection of plant species by landscape designers for EGRs with the aim of providing greater cooling benefits and aesthetic quality overall four seasons.

Many studies have proven that green roofs provide ecological services such as regulating temperature (Bevilacqua et al., 2016; Buckland-Nicks et al., 2016; Dvorak and Volder, 2013; Lin et al., 2013; Kumar and Kaushik, 2005; Wong et al., 2007), managing stormwater (Mentens et al., 2006; Razzaghmanesh et al., 2014; Schroll et al., 2011; Stovin et al., 2015), improving air quality (Baik et al., 2012; Jun et al., 2008; Rowe, 2011; Tong et al., 2016), and enhancing urban biodiversity (Francis and Lorimer, 2011; Gedge and Kadas, 2005; Madre et al., 2014; Van Mechelen et al., 2014). However, mitigating the urban heat island effect and managing stormwater are the primary reasons that various cities promote green roofs.

The vegetation layer is one of the most important structural components of an EGR and is the outermost layer of the green roof structure. Its design is influenced by environmental factors that are detrimental to plant growth, such as the thickness of the substrate layer, drought, strong winds, and large variations in temperature, so there are many limitations affecting plant selection. Suitable plant species must be heat tolerant, drought tolerant, wind tolerant, and cold tolerant as well as tolerant of barren substrates and diseases and pests (Dunnett and Kingsbury, 2004; Getter and Rowe, 2006). Suitable plant species are most commonly short and creeping in appearance with shallow roots; they are also easy to propagate, have a strong capacity for self-seeding, and provide extensive plant cover (White and Snodgrass, 2003). Therefore, common suitable species include plants of the family Crassulaceae, succulents, groundcover plants, bryophytes, and short shrubs; shallow-rooted native plants can also be used for EGRs (Getter and Rowe, 2006).

The selection of plant species is also quite important to the cooling and energy-saving benefits provided by EGRs and has been one of the key subjects of recent research (Blanusa et al., 2013; Lin, 2010; Lundholm, 2015; Lundholm et al., 2014; Wong et al., 2007; Zhao et al., 2014). Studies have shown that the cooling performance of a green roof differs with the use of different plant species (Blanusa et al., 2013; Getter et al., 2011; Lin, 2010; Wong et al., 2007; Zhao et al., 2014). Researchers have recently focused on quantifying the effects of plant characteristics on cooling (Benvenuti, 2014; Bevilacqua et al., 2015; Jim, 2014; Olivieri et al., 2013; Tabares-Velasco and Srebric, 2011; Yaghoobian and Srebric, 2015). These characteristics include plant coverage, plant height, albedo, stomatal resistance, and photosynthetic pathway, and the leaf area index (LAI), which represents foliage density and is the ratio of area of the leaves to the area of the ground under the canopy (Kumar and Kaushik, 2005).

Research on EGRs also includes such subjects as personal landscape preference (Lee et al., 2014; Loder, 2014; Jungels et al., 2013) and other psychological benefits (Lee et al., 2014). In terms of visual aesthetics, plant characteristics such as foliage density, green coverage, foliage color, flowering habit, and plant height impact the visual
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Fig. 1. Weather statistics for Taipei in the period of Mar. 2012–Feb. 2013.
| Scientific name                      | Common name | Plant habit | Plant density | Growth rate | Leaf color                  | Flower                      |
|-------------------------------------|-------------|-------------|---------------|-------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------|
| Codiaeum variegatum var. pictum     | Croton      | Upright     | Moderate      | Slow        | Yellow                      | Inconspicuous              |
| Ophiopogon japonicus ‘Nanus’        | Mondo grass | Spreading   | Dense         | Slow        | Dark green                  | Summer/white               |
| Alternantha ficoida ‘New Red’       | Red threads | Spreading   | Moderate      | Medium      | Red                         | Year-round/white           |
| Wedelia trilobata (L.) Hitch.       | Wedelia     | Spreading   | Dense         | Fast        | Green                       | Year-round/yellow          |
| Zebrina pendula Schnizl.            | Wandering jew | Spreading  | Moderate      | Fast        | Alternate purplish red and green stripes | Year-round/pink |
| Belamcanda chinensis                | Blackberry lily | Upright     | Moderate      | Medium      | Green                       | Summer to autumn/yellow    |
| Schizocentron elegans               | Spanish shawl | Spreading | Moderate      | Fast        | Green                       | Summer to autumn/pink      |
| Plectranthus amboinicus             | Spanish thyme | Upright    | Dense         | Fast        | Green                       | Spring/light purple        |
| Sansevieria trifasciata ‘Laurentii’ | Snake plant | Upright     | Dense         | Medium      | Dark green with yellow leaf edges | Late autumn/light yellow |
| Sedum lineare ‘Variegatum’          | Carpet sedum | Spreading   | Moderate      | Fast        | Light green with white leaf edges | Spring/yellow |
| Tulbaghia violacea                  | Wild garlic | Upright     | Moderate      | Slow        | Dark green                  | Year-round/purple          |
| Ophiopogon intermedius ‘Argenteo-marginatus’ | Lily turf | Spreading | Dense         | Slow        | Dark green                  | Summer/white               |

Referring to Chang (2004) and Hsueh and Yang (2014).

Fig. 2. The schematic diagram of an extensive green roof module and measuring positions.

Experimental roof. The roof of the Landscape Architecture Building (25°00′N, 121°32′E) of the National Taiwan University in Taipei, Taiwan, was chosen for the experiment. This roof is a flat roof with a total area of 536.5 m². To avoid the influence of the shade of the parapet, water towers, and other extensions of the building, the shadow on the roof was analyzed, and the area that was not influenced by shadow was designated as the experimental area.

During the observation period, the mean temperature in Taipei was ≈23.4 °C; the highest temperature was ≈38.3 °C (July, summer); and the lowest temperature was ≈8.6 °C (December, winter). The total precipitation for the year was ≈2611.5 mm, and the mean wind speed and relative humidity were 2.5 m s⁻¹ and 73%, respectively (Fig. 1).

Plant materials. Twelve ornamental plants that are most commonly used for EGRs in Taiwan were selected for the study (Table 1). A completely randomized design was adopted, and four replicates were designed for the 12 plant species. Therefore, 48 modules were constructed, and each module was planted as a monoculture with 100 plants each. Planting materials were purchased from a commercial nursery, and the plants were cultivated in 7.5-cm flowerpots in a substrate with a volume ratio of peat soil:rice hulls:sand of 3:1:1. Before being transplanted from the flowerpots to the modules, the plants were shaken to remove the excess substrate.

Construction of the modules. Imitating the construction of an EGR, each module included a protective pad (polyvinyl chloride waterproofing membranes, 1.5 mm thick, 300 g m⁻²), four reservoir drainage boards [dimensions: 50 × 50 × 6.5 cm (width × depth × height, WDHI)]; water retention: 4500 cc (board), a permeable pad (nonwoven polypropylene geotextiles, 1.2 mm thick, 155 g m⁻²), a substrate layer (10-cm thick), and a vegetation layer (bottom-up). The frame of each module had inner dimensions of 100 × 100 × 17.5 cm (WDH) and was constructed with southern pine lumber (2.5 cm thick).

The substrate formula followed that proposed by the Taipei His Liu Environmental Greening Foundation (2007), and the mix had a volume ratio of peat soil:coir:perlite: sand of 2:1:1:1. The bulk density and albedo of the substrate mix were 0.42 g cm⁻³ and 0.075, respectively, and the volumetric water content (VWC) of the saturated substrate was ≈0.465 m³ m⁻³.

Observations and data collection were conducted after 1 month of maintenance. An automatic irrigation system was used for water management during the observation period; four Shrubberl 360° drippers (flow: 0.6612 L min⁻¹; Antelco Pty Ltd., South Australia, Australia) were mounted in the center of the four quarters of each module. The plants were automatically irrigated for 5 min at 6 AM every day as early results showed that it took 5 min for the substrate to reach full capacity (irrigation controller: YIU TSAY Co., LTD., Taipei, Taiwan).

Measurement of plant growth and greening performance. Plant growth and greening performance were measured every 2 weeks. Measurements included plant height, plant coverage, and albedo. A measuring tape was used to measure the plant height of three randomly selected plants from each module. A photographic method...
was used to determine plant coverage; a Nikon D7000 camera (Nikon Inc., Long Island, NY) was used to take a photograph of each module with the camera lens positioned parallel to the ground. Adobe Photoshop software for Windows (Version CS4; Adobe Systems Incorporated, San Jose, CA) was then used to analyze the plant coverage in each module as follows:

1) The Crop tool was used to trim the image to show only the module.
2) A black-and-white adjustment layer was created, and the color filter presets were adjusted to distinguish the plant portions (white) from the substrate portions (black) as clearly as possible.
3) In the black-and-white adjustment layer, the Magic Wand tool was used to select the white portions of the image. Switch to the color image layer, the selection was inverted to select the substrate portion. The Eraser tool was used to erase the substrate portions.
4) The Magic Wand tool was used to select the blank portions, and the selection was inverted to select the plant portions.
5) In the menu, Analysis > Set Measurement Scale > Custom was chosen, and the tool was dragged to measure the length of the module in pixels. One was entered for Logical Length.
6) In the menu, Analysis > Record Measurements was chosen to show the measurement log. The value in the area column was the plant coverage of the module.

An NR01 four-component net radiation sensor (Campbell Scientific, Inc., Logan, UT; accuracy: ±10% for daily sums) was used to measure the albedo of each module. The sensor was placed perpendicularly in the center of the module at a distance of ≈13.4 cm above the surface of the vegetation.

Previous studies have indicated that LAI is an important factor in determining the cooling effect provided by plants (Lin and Lin, 2010; Sailor, 2008). According to Olsoy et al. (2015), canopy volume is a good predicator of LAI, so canopy volume was used instead of LAI in this study. The canopy volume was calculated as plant coverage area multiplied by plant height.

**Monitoring of the cooling benefits.** A location within the experimental area, a bare white roof with no experimental modules, was set as a reference point. The temperature at the reference point was measured with an SI-111 Precision Infrared Radiometer (Campbell Scientific, Inc.; uncertainty: ±0.2 °C) was mounted perpendicular to the surface of the reference point at a height of 20 cm, and the measurement area was 267.5 cm². A thermocouple wire (type T, uncertainty (k = 2): ±0.4 °C) was used to measure the temperature of the roof surface below each module.

The four components of solar radiation including incoming shortwave radiation (SRin), outgoing shortwave radiation (SRout), incoming longwave radiation (IRin), and outgoing longwave radiation (IRout) reaching the roof were monitored by an NR01 four-component net radiation sensor. Net radiation (Rn) was defined as the balance between the incoming and outgoing radiation: \( R_n = (SR_{\text{in}} - SR_{\text{out}}) + (IR_{\text{in}} - IR_{\text{out}}) \).

The substrate water content of each module (VWC) was monitored using an EC-5 soil moisture sensor (Decagon Devices, Inc., Pullman, WA; uncertainty: ±0.02 m²·m⁻³).

The SI-111 Precision Infrared Radiometer, the thermocouple wires, the EC-5 sensor, and the NR01 four-component net radiation sensor were connected to CR-1000 data loggers (Campbell Scientific, Inc.) to record the measurements every 5 min. Figure 2 shows the schematic diagram of the measurement positions for the modules and the reference point.

**Statistical analysis.** According to the Central Weather Bureau (2012–13), days are considered rainy when the daily cumulative precipitation is greater than 0.1 mm. Based on precipitation data from the nearby Taipei Weather Station (Central Weather Bureau, 2012–13), the data from each rainy day and the following day were excluded. Data from a total of 101 d were analyzed including...
temperature, radiation intensity, and VWC, which were recorded every 5 min on rainless days and averaged for each hour.

Since there was no practical reason to simulate an EGR without vegetation, the differences in cooling performance among the 12 ornamental plants were compared by quantifying the entire cooling benefit of each module, which was defined as the temperature of the module subtracted from the temperature at the reference point. The amplitude of the difference in the cooling benefit among the modules planted with the 12 plant species was defined as the lowest cooling benefit subtracted from the highest cooling benefit during each hour period of the day. A t-test was used to test the significance of the temperature differences between the modules and the reference point. A two-way repeated measures analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used to test for significant differences among the modules planted with the 12 species in terms of the reduction in rooftop surface temperatures and whether there was an interaction between species and seasonal variance on this reduction in temperature.

Results

Plant characteristics and greening performance and their seasonal variation. The 12 plant species differed in their characteristics and greening performance. As the seasons changed, differences between and within plant species were observed.

Plant height. Codiaeum variegatum var. pictum (mean height = 29.15 cm) and Tulbaghia violacea (mean height = 27.01 cm) were relatively tall, whereas Ophiopogon japonicus ‘Nanus’ (mean height = 5.53 cm) and Sedum lineare ‘Variegatum’ (mean height = 6.49 cm) were relatively short.

Plant height varied seasonally; most of the plants were taller in the summer and autumn and shorter in the spring and winter. Among the 12 plant species, the heights of O. japonicus ‘Nanus’ (SD = 0.92), Sansevieria trifasciata ‘Laurentii’ (SD = 1.31), Schizocodon elegans (SD = 1.74), and Plectranthus amboinicus (SD = 1.83) only changed slightly over the four seasons. The height of Zebrina pendula Schnizl. changed the most (SD = 8.67) over the four seasons, being ≈34.74 cm in summer and 11.86 cm in winter (Fig. 3).

Plant coverage. The plant coverage of a module was related to the inherent characteristics of the study plant. Creeping plants with dense branches and leaves that grow quickly can easily attain extensive plant cover, but the coverages of the different plant species during the four seasons were also related to growth conditions. Coverage increased the most in plants that grew luxuriantly during the growing season, while the coverages of plants that withered significantly after the growing season rapidly decreased.

Among the 12 plant species, C. variegatum var. pictum, O. japonicus ‘Nanus’, Alternanthera ficoidea ‘New Red’, P. amboinicus, Se. lineare ‘Variegatum’, T. violacea, and Ophiopogon intermedius ‘Argenteo-marginatus’ exhibited relatively extensive plant cover (all were above 80%). In contrast, the coverage of Z. pendula Schnizl. showed large seasonal variations; its foliage withered in autumn, resulting in a significant decrease in coverage but increased again in winter. The Belamcanda chinensis plants exhibited relatively low seasonal plant coverage, lower than 70% for all seasons. In fact, among the 12 plant species, C. variegatum var. pictum, O. japonicus ‘Nanus’, A. ficoidea ‘New Red’, P. amboinicus, Se. lineare ‘Variegatum’, T. violacea, and Ophiopogon intermedius ‘Argenteo-marginatus’ exhibited relatively extensive plant cover (all were above 80%). In contrast, the coverage of Z. pendula Schnizl. showed large seasonal variations; its foliage withered in autumn, resulting in a significant decrease in coverage but increased again in winter. The Belamcanda chinensis plants exhibited relatively low seasonal plant coverage, lower than 70% for all seasons. In fact, among the 12 plant species, only the coverage of B. chinensis showed a decreasing trend due to its inherent plant characteristics (Fig. 4).

Canopy volume. The canopy volume of the modules was also highly correlated with growth conditions. The canopy volumes of the modules planted with C. variegatum var. pictum, O. japonicus ‘Nanus’, A. ficoidea ‘New Red’, Wedelia trilobata (L.) Hitchc.,
Sc. elegans, P. amboinicus, Sa. trifasciata ‘Laurentii’, and T. violacea showed an increasing trend from spring to autumn, but those of the modules planted with Z. pendula Schnizl. significantly decreased in autumn and winter. Over the course of four seasons, the modules planted with B. chinensis showed a decreasing trend in canopy volume (Fig. 5).

Albedo. The albedos of the modules changed with the different plant species and seasons. The modules planted with Se. linearis ‘Variegatum’ (mean albedo = 0.151) and O. intermedius ‘Argenteo-marginatus’ (mean albedo = 0.142) had relatively high annual mean albedos, whereas the modules planted with O. japonicus ‘Nanus’ (mean albedo = 0.096), W. trilobata (L.) Hitchc. (mean albedo = 0.097) and Z. pendula Schnizl. (mean albedo = 0.097) had relatively low annual mean albedos.

Seasonal variation in the albedo of each module varied positively with the brightness of the plant leaf and flower color and plant coverage. There was a relatively large variation in the albedo of the module that planted with Se. linearis ‘Variegatum’ during the four seasons (SD = 0.02). The Se. linearis ‘Variegatum’ plants grew most quickly during the summer, and their leaves were brightest in summer. The albedo of their module was, therefore, highest in summer (albedo = 0.167). However, the foliage of Se. linearis ‘Variegatum’ withered in autumn and winter, so its cover decreased, and the leaves were maroon in color during these two seasons. This resulted in decreased module albedo in both autumn (albedo = 0.138) and winter (albedo = 0.111). There was little variation across the four seasons in the albedos of the modules planted with C. variegatum var. pictum (SD = 0.01) (Fig. 6).

Variation and trends in daily radiation intensity and cooling benefits. In all four seasons, the intensity of the SR_{in} on sunny days began to climb between 05:00 and 06:00 and decreased to \( \approx 0 \) between 17:00 and 18:00; it peaked between 11:00 and 12:00 in all seasons. On average, the rates and peak intensities of the SR_{in} were highest in summer (mean = 906 W·m\(^{-2}\)) followed by spring (mean = 771 W·m\(^{-2}\)), autumn (mean = 687 W·m\(^{-2}\)) and winter (mean = 620 W·m\(^{-2}\)). The R_{n} on sunny days during the four seasons was mainly affected by the SR_{in}, so the daily variation in R_{n} was similar to that of the SR_{in}. The R_{n} peaked between 11:00 and 12:00. On average, the highest intensity occurred in summer (mean = 769 W·m\(^{-2}\)) followed by spring (mean = 635 W·m\(^{-2}\)), autumn (mean = 531 W·m\(^{-2}\)) and winter (mean = 485 W·m\(^{-2}\)) (Fig. 7).

The mean daily variation in the cooling benefits of the modules exhibited bell-shaped temperature curves during all four seasons. Cooling began to occur between 07:00 and 08:00, increased throughout the morning, peaked between 12:00 and 13:00, decreased during the afternoon, and fell to 0 between 18:00 and 19:00. At noontime, the cooling benefits of the EGRs were highest in summer followed by spring, autumn, and winter, so the daily and seasonal trend in the variation of the cooling benefits of the modules planted with different plant species was unaffected by SR_{in}.

Noontime cooling benefits of the modules. According to the peak hour of solar radiation and the cooling benefits of the modules, the period between 11:00 and 13:00 was selected to analyze the noontime cooling benefits. The noontime roof surface temperature at the reference point was highest in summer (57.4 °C) followed by spring (48.1 °C), autumn (46.9 °C), and winter (39.5 °C), and the mean noontime roof surface temperature beneath the modules was also highest in summer (29.4 °C) followed by autumn (23.9 °C) and spring (23.5 °C). The mean noontime roof surface temperature beneath the modules was lowest in winter (18.2 °C).

In summer, the high radiation quantity caused the surface temperature at the reference point and the surface temperatures beneath the modules to be much higher than those during the other seasons. However, the seasonal variation in the surface temperature...
Table 2. Analysis of the mean noontime temperatures beneath the extensive green roof modules and at the reference point over the course of four seasons.

| Temperature (°C) | Reference point | Module | Temperature difference |
|------------------|-----------------|--------|------------------------|
|                  | Mean            | sd     | Mean                   |
| Spring           | 48.1            | 0.5    | 23.5                   |
| Summer           | 57.4            | 0.9    | 29.4                   |
| Autumn           | 46.9            | 0.6    | 23.9                   |
| Winter           | 39.5            | 1.7    | 18.2                   |
| Average          | 48.0            | 6.5    | 23.8                   |

The analysis period was 11:00 to 13:00.

***refers to $P < 0.001$.

Fig. 9. Analysis of the noontime cooling benefits of different plant species in the extensive green roof modules over the course of four seasons.

Table 3. Mean cooling benefits of the extensive green roof modules planted with the 12 plant species over the course of four seasons.

| Plant Species                        | Summer AT (°C) | Autumn AT (°C) | Winter AT (°C) |
|--------------------------------------|----------------|----------------|---------------|
| Plectranthus amboinicus              | 25.4           | 28.5           | 23.5          |
| Schizocentron elegans                | 24.9           | 28.0           | 22.9          |
| Alternanthera ficoidea 'New Red'     | 24.6           | 28.0           | 22.9          |
| Belamcanda chinensis                 | 24.1           | 27.8           | 22.8          |
| Sasanvieria trifasciata 'Laurentii'  | 24.1           | 27.6           | 22.8          |
| Sedum lineare 'Variegatum'           | 24.0           | 27.6           | 22.8          |
| Zebrina pendula Schnizl.             | 23.9           | 27.6           | 22.5          |
| Ophiopogon japonicus 'Nanus'         | 23.6           | 27.5           | 22.0          |

The analysis period was 11:00 to 13:00.
radiation intensity, a more sophisticatedly designed EGR could be expected to contribute more cooling benefits. This study also demonstrated that the cooling benefits of the modules planted with different plant species were significantly different at any given time of day (data not shown), but these differences were unaffected by radiation intensity (Fig. 8). The different cooling effects of different plants resulted from their inherent, species-specific characteristics. The study results reconfirmed that plant selection is a crucial aspect influencing the magnitude of the cooling effect of an EGR (Blamusa et al., 2013; Lin, 2010; Wong et al, 2007; Zhao et al., 2014).

**Effects of seasonal variation and greening performance on cooling benefit.** In response to the lack of studies investigating the seasonal and diurnal patterns of the thermal performance of EGRs, Peng and Jim (2015) conducted monitoring for a 2-year period: 1 year before roof greening and 1 year after. In their study, *Arachis pintoi* (perennial peanut) was the only plant species used, and the study results indicated notable seasonal and diurnal patterns in EGR thermal performance. The present study further identified the effects of plant selection and seasonal variation on the cooling effects of EGRs. This study indicated that the inherent characteristics of plants and their seasonal variation in greening performance are both key factors in the selection of plant species to create an EGR with better cooling benefits. Plants with greater canopy volume provided a larger shaded area, which meant that less solar radiation reached the substrate surface. The results also confirmed the findings of studies indicating that EGRs with higher plant coverage (D’Orazio et al., 2012; Tabares-Velasco and Srebric, 2011; Yaghoubian and Srebric, 2015) and larger LAI (Olivieri et al., 2013; Tabares-Velasco and Srebric, 2011) had greater cooling effects.

Plants had higher albedos which meant that more SR was reflected into the atmosphere, so less radiation was absorbed by or transmitted to the modules, lowering temperatures and confirming the results of D’Orazio et al. (2012) and Takebayashi and Moriyama (2007). In other words, plant species with foliage cover that persisted for a relatively long period of time after greening, that had bright leaf and flower colors as well as long flowering periods also had higher albedos and therefore provided greater cooling effects.

**Plant selection for EGR.** From the applied perspective, this study intended to propose criteria to select ornamental plants for EGR that have optimal noontime cooling performances over all four seasons. The noontime cooling benefits and the rankings of the 12 plant species over the four seasons were further compared by calculating the cumulative ranking, the cumulative cooling difference from the previous season, and the variation in the cooling difference between the previous and current season of the 12 plant species (data not shown). Although the sample size was insufficient to propose a clear and well-developed set of criteria, three plant types could be suggested for use in EGRs based on the results of the present study.

The first type of plant exhibited excellent noontime cooling effects in all four seasons, and *P. amboinicus* was an example. The *P. amboinicus* plants were relatively tall and had relatively extensive and stable plant coverages and high albedos during all four seasons. The second type of plant had relatively poor cooling benefit rankings in spring but better noontime cooling benefit rankings in summer, autumn, and winter. *A. ficoidea* ‘New Red’, *Sa. trifasciata* ‘Laurentii’, and *Se. lineare* ‘Variegatum’ were examples of this type. The plant height, plant coverage, canopy volume, and albedo of these plants increased significantly during the peak growth periods of summer and autumn. The third type of plant exhibited extremely large variations in noontime cooling benefit rankings over the four seasons, and *Z. pendula* Schnizl. and *B. chinensis* were examples of this type. The noontime cooling benefit of *Z. pendula* Schnizl. ranked 11th in spring, 1st in summer, 6th in autumn, and 11th in winter, and this large variation was related to its growth and greening performance. Furthermore, *Z. pendula* Schnizl. grew better in summer than in other seasons, and its growth was better overall than that of the other plant species, which resulted in *Z. pendula* Schnizl. providing a very good noontime cooling benefit in summer.

According to the results of this study, the first and second types of plant species would be recommended for an EGR designed to have a better cooling effect. Among the 12 plant species studied, *P. amboinicus*, *A. ficoidea* ‘New Red’, *Sa. trifasciata* ‘Laurentii’, and *Se. lineare* ‘Variegatum’ would be included. However, more plant species must be studied to provide more comprehensive recommendations for green roof vegetation. Additional research aimed at categorizing ornamental plants for use in EGRs in terms of their noontime cooling performances over all four seasons are especially required. Based on the results of previous studies (Fernandez-Cañero et al., 2013; Loder, 2014), the willingness of the public to construct a green roof is influenced by its visual aesthetics. Therefore, the present study focused on 12 plant species with relatively high ornamental value for an entire year and linked their characteristics and greening performance to their cooling benefits. To quantify the cooling performances of EGRs...
planted with the 12 plant species studied, a monoculture approach was adopted, but diverse planting schemes could increase the ecological services provided by a green roof (Cook-Patton and Bauerle, 2012). Therefore, more research aimed at understanding the multiple benefits of combination planting is required. In addition, long-term study is especially needed to document and analyze the multiple benefits of EGRs more comprehensively.

Conclusions

This study investigated the cooling performance on rainless days of EGRs planted with different ornamental plants in a subtropical city to suggest criteria for plant species selection. The results supported the following conclusions:

- The cooling benefit of an EGR was greater when the quantity of the SR_m was greater. However, the amplitude of the difference in the cooling benefits of EGRs planted with different plant species was unaffected by radiation intensity.
- Noontime was the period during which an EGR exhibited its highest cooling benefit during the day. This noontime cooling benefit varied with season and the species planted.
- An EGR planted with species with higher greening performance over four seasons resulted in a greater noontime cooling effect. Therefore, it is necessary to use plant species with appropriate levels of greening performance throughout all four seasons in areas that require cooling. Brighter leaf color, taller height, higher coverage, and greater canopy volume were the main characteristics for plant species selection.
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