C-Reactive Protein and Serum Procalcitonin Levels as Markers of Bacterial Upper Respiratory Tract Infections
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Abstract: Problem statement: Upper Respiratory Tract Infections (URTIs) are the most frequent infections of the community with major social and financial impact. Hence, early and proper diagnosis is of utmost importance. Biomarkers such as the circulating levels of Procalcitonin (PCT) have been shown to be elevated in systemic bacterial infections, but remain relatively low in viral infections and inflammatory diseases and have been suggested as signals for the initiation of antimicrobial therapy. Experience evaluating the value of PCT as a marker of URTIs is limited.

Approach: Forty patients with bacterial URTIs were studied in order to assess the role of PCT, measured by using the semi-quantitative test, as bacterial inflammation marker and to compare with other markers, such as C-Reactive Protein (CRP), White Blood Cell (WBC) counts and Polymorphonuclear (PMN) cell counts.

Results: In all cases, 22 with tonsillopharyngitis, 10 with peritonsillar abscess and 8 with rhinosinusitis, PCT levels remained below detection limits. On the other hand, serum CRP levels strongly correlated with body temperature, WBC and PMN cell counts. Patients with rhinosinusitis were older than those with peritonsillar abscess, had lower body temperature than those with tonsillopharyngitis and had lower serum CRP levels than patients with either peritonsillar abscess or tonsillopharyngitis.

Conclusion: Therefore CRP could be used as a marker to predict the severity of URTIs while PCT, although promising in cases of other severe bacterial infections, did not prove to be suitable for patients with less severe or localized infections, such as URTIs.
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INTRODUCTION

Upper Respiratory Tract Infections (URTIs) are the most frequent infections of the community, with major social and financial impact[1]. They represent a heterogeneous group of diseases ranging from common cold to complicated rhinosinusitis and acute otitis media. The main challenge for the physician is to distinguish the uncomplicated cold from cases of streptococcal pharyngitis and secondary bacterial sinusitis and otitis media in order to avoid overprescribing of antibiotics, limit complications, costs and selection of resistant microorganisms. It is estimated that physicians in such cases overprescribe antibiotics by 50%[2-4].

Among URTIs tonsillopharyngitis, peritonsillar abscess and rhinosinusitis account for a significant number of infections of bacterial origin. The diagnosis is mainly based on clinical criteria, especially in an ENT outpatient office. The most precise way to diagnose a bacterial infection is by culture of the causative agent[5-7]. However, a novel approach for confirmation of the bacterial origin of infections and proper selection of antimicrobial therapy is based on the level of biomarkers, specifically Procalcitonin (PCT)[8-15].

Circulating levels of PCT are elevated in systemic bacterial infections but remain relatively low in viral infections and inflammatory diseases[8-15].

Hence, the aim of the current study was to assess the role of PCT as a bacterial inflammation marker in patients with tonsillopharyngitis, rhinosinusitis and peritonsillar abscess and compare it with other markers, such as CRP, White Blood Cells (WBC) and Polymorphonuclear (PMN) cell counts.
MATERIALS AND METHODS

The study was performed prospectively at the University Hospital of Heraklion Crete, Greece, was approved by the Ethics Committee, while consent was obtained by all patients. Subjects were first seen at the ENT outpatient clinic and were treated either as out-patients according to standard protocols.

A total of 40 individuals were enrolled, divided into three groups according to clinical diagnosis: Tonsillopharyngitis (n = 22), peritonsillar abscess (n = 10) and bacterial rhinosinusitis (n = 8).

Diagnosis of tonsillopharyngitis was based on pain and difficulty in swallowing, otalgia, fever (>38.5°C) accompanied by rigor, change in voice, tender cervical lymph nodes and swollen reddish tonsils with whitish exudate.

Diagnosis of peritonsillar abscess was based on pus, located deep into the tonsillar capsule between the tonsil and the superior constrictor muscle, deviation of the tonsil and uvula, swelling of the soft palate, trismus and drooling. Diagnosis was established by culture of aspiration specimen.

Diagnosis of rhinosinusitis was based on the presence of at least two symptoms, such as nasal blockage or congestion, anterior discharge or post nasal drip, facial pain or pressure and reduction or loss of smell accompanied by endoscopic signs and/or relevant computed tomography findings, according to the criteria of the European rhinosinusitis Committee[16].

All patients were interviewed and a detailed medical history was obtained, including demographic data (age, sex, occupation, residence), medical history, symptoms and use of medication with focus on use of antimicrobials. Body temperature was recorded at the time of initial examination. A full and thorough head and neck physical examination was performed and recorded. Patients were treated as out or in-patients according to the severity of the infection. All patients were immunocompetent, with no concurrent diseases, except for one with bronchial asthma. Antimicrobial treatment was initiated or continued in all patients after the first examination.

Specimens were cultured from all patients, including tonsillar swabs from those with tonsillopharyngitis, aspirated pus from those with peritonsillar abscess and secretions from middle meatus from those with rhinosinusitis. All samples were inoculated onto Columbia blood agar, chocolate agar, MacConkey agar and Sabouraud’s agar plates (all media products of Becton Dickinson Diagnostics, Heidelberg, Germany) and incubated in aerobic atmosphere at 36°C. Additionally, one CDC-anaerobic blood agar plate (bioMérieux, Marcy L’ Etone, France) was inoculated and incubated anaerobically at 36°C for 48 h. The identification of pathogens was performed by standard microbiological methods and the API system (bioMérieux, Marcy L’ Etone, France). Susceptibility to antimicrobial agents was determined by the disk diffusion method recommended by the Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI).

Blood samples were obtained from all patients for complete blood count, CRP and PCT. PCT measurement was based on the BRAHMS PCT-Q test, which is an immunochromatographic test for the semi-quantitative detection of PCT for diagnosis and control of therapeutic results in cases of microbial infections and sepsis.

Statistical analysis and presentation of results:
Values are expressed as median and range. All p values are two-tailed. Correlation was assessed by Spearman’s correlation (r_s). Results between groups were assessed by Mann-Whitney tests. Frequency analysis was assessed by χ² test, with Yate’s correction. A p-value less than 0.05 was regarded as statistically significant.

RESULTS

The study comprised of 40 patients, 24 male (60%) and 16 female (40%) with a median age of 25 (range: 16-56) years. Fever upon admission was seen in 34 patients (85%). Among the 40 patients, 10 (25%) were already taking antibiotics for at least one day prior to first examination. Streptococcus spp. were isolated in 4 patients, Fusobacterium necrophorum in 3 patients, while Arcanobacterium haemolyticum, Prevotella loescheii and Haemophilus influenzae were the strains isolated from each of the rest patients with peritonsillar abscesses.

Eight out of 40 patients (20%) were diagnosed with rhinosinusitis. Among them 2 (25%) were already taking antimicrobial treatment at the time of first examination. Only 3 out of 8 patients with rhinosinusitis (37.5%) had a positive culture. The organisms isolated were Escherichia coli, Streptococcus pneumoniae and Staphylococcus epidermidis. The remaining 22 patients (55%) had tonsillopharyngitis. Among them, 10 (45.5%) had a positive culture. Streptococcus pyogenes grew in all of them. Eight patients with tonsillopharyngitis (36.4%) were taking antimicrobial therapy at the time of first examination.
Table 1: Patient’s characteristics

| Peritonsillar abscess | Rhinosinusitis | Tonsillopharyngitis |
|-----------------------|---------------|---------------------|
| Age (years)           | 22            | 31**               | 24                   |
| Range (n = 10)        | (16-33)       | (23-42)            | (17.56)              |
| Men                   | 6             | 5                  | 13                   |
| Percent               | -60           | -62.50             | -59.10               |
| Body temperature (°C range) | 37.6          | 38.5*              |                      |
| Positive culture      | 10**          | 3                  | 10                   |
| Percent               | -100          | -37.50             | -45.50               |
| Already               | 8*            | 2                  | 8                    |
| Receiving            | -80           | -25                | -36                  |
| CRP (mg mL⁻¹)        | 13.9          | 1.77**             | 8.52                 |
| range (1.20-24.10)    | (0.12-9.80)   | (0.31-22.60)        |                      |
| WBC (µL⁻¹)           | 17150         | 11900*             | 14500                |
| range (7700-23500)    | (8000-13400)  | (7900-25000)        |                      |
| PMN (µL⁻¹)           | 13969         | 8418*              | 11427                |
| range (5159-19270)    | (5200-11500)  | (5336-21500)        |                      |

CRP: C-Reactive Protein; WBC: White Blood Cell count; PMN: Polymorphonuclear cell count; *: p<0.05; **: p<0.01; All values are expressed as number (%) or median (range). Between group results were compared by Mann-Whitney test or by χ² test with Yate’s correction, as appropriate.

Patients with rhinosinusitis were older than those with peritonsillar abscess (p = 0.01), had lower body temperature than those with tonsillitis (p<0.05) and had lower serum CRP levels than patients with either peritonsillar abscess (p<0.01) or tonsillitis (p<0.01). There was no difference in the sex distribution between the 3 groups (Table 1).

Overall 22 patients (55%) was not under antimicrobial treatment on initial examination, whereas 11 (27.5%) and 7 (17.5%) had received antibiotics for one and two days prior to examination, respectively. In 23 patients (57.5%) a causative microorganism grew from specimens sent for culture. Patients with rhinosinusitis had lower WBC (p<0.05) and PMN (p<0.05) counts and CRP serum levels (p<0.01) than both other groups (Table 1).

Serum CRP levels were significantly correlated with body temperature (r = 0.553; p<0.001), WBC (r = 0.560; p<0.001), PMN (r = 0.594; p<0.001). Body temperature was correlated with WBC (r = 0.339; p<0.05) and PMN (r = 0.367; p<0.05). In all cases measurement of PCT yielded levels below 0.5 ng mL⁻¹, which is the detectable limit. All patients received antimicrobial therapy after initial examination.

On follow up, performed 1-3 weeks after initial examination, no sequelae were noted and all patients were free of any clinical signs and symptoms of infection.

DISCUSSION

In the present study we have shown that PCT was not proven to be a marker of bacterial URTIs while, CRP could be used as a marker of severity of these type of infections.

URTIs are common with substantial financial and social costs[1]. Though mainly viral in origin, their etiologic diagnosis is seldom and the pathogen remains unidentified in many cases[5-7]. The clinical diagnosis of a bacterial URTI is a challenge even for the most experienced physician. Hence, several diagnostic markers have been tested for their efficacy to accurately distinguish bacterial from non-bacterial disease. These markers include WBC count and other biomarkers such as troponin, B-type Natriuretic Peptide (BNP), N-terminal proBNP, CRP, PCT, cholesterol and coagulation related markers[13]. The goal is to limit the use of antimicrobials and the concurrent development of bacterial resistance. Additionally there is always need for the physician to predict whether a URTI may become complicated, especially in susceptible populations, such as children and the elderly.

Among the proposed markers for rapid distinction between bacterial and non-bacterial etiology, PCT is thought to be the most promising for infections of the upper respiratory tract[13-15]. There are four available commercial kits for the measurement of PCT[17]. The semi-quantitative test (BRAHMS PCT-Q), was used in the present study because it is cheap, easy, suitable for office procedures and fast, since results are available in less than an hour. The BRAHMS PCT-Q test has 90-92% sensitivity and 92-98% specificity and detects PCT levels above 0.5 ng mL⁻¹[15].

PCT is a protein of 116 amino-acids, with molecular weight of 13 KDa, discovered in 1975 by Moya et al.[18], as a prohormone of calcitonin, produced by C-cells of the thyroid. Experimental data from both in vivo and in vitro studies have shown that not only bacterial endotoxins, but also various other mediators, such as TNFα, IL-2, IL-6 and phytohemagglutinin induce PCT production[19,20]. After administration of endotoxin, TNF rises first, reaching its peak in 90 min, followed by IL-6 with its peak at 180 min, while PCT reacts only after 3-6 h later[21]. Due to their long half-life, PCT levels culminate 12-48 h after the administration of endotoxin. The probable sites of PCT production in inflammation are the neuroendocrine cells in the lungs or intestine, when stimulated by endotoxin or inflammatory cytokines. Once induced, PCT acts as a chemokine in the area of production, attracting further monocytes, lasting for a few hours. Later on, parenchymatous cells are a major source for PCT during sepsis, but only when they come in contact with activated monocytes[23].

The biological activity of PCT has significant influence on the course of systemic inflammation and
sepsis. The mortality of hamsters decreased when calcitonin precursors were neutralized[22]. On the other hand, sepsis, infections, prolonged ICU treatment and poor outcome were more frequent in patients with initially high PCT (>1 ng mL$^{-1}$). Higher PCT concentrations correlate with severe trauma and a higher frequency of complications, whereas increases of CRP showed no positive correlation in trauma patients[23]. Long before PCT, WBC count has been used as an indicator of both infection and its severity. WBC elevation, while worrisome, is neither sensitive nor specific indicator of bacteraemia and other serious bacterial infection[23]. PMN cell count is a fraction of WBC’s and is considered to be a more accurate marker of bacterial infection.

CRP is an acute phase protein that quickly rises in the face of infection. First was discovered by Tillet and Francis in 1930, in patients infected with _S. pneumoniae_ [24]. It appears to be produced by hepatocytes within 4-6 h after onset of tissue injury or inflammation, doubling every 8 h, before peaking at around 36 h. Its half-life is short (4-8 h) [24,26]. CRP is synthesized in response to IL-6, which is produced not only in infection, but also in other types of inflammation [25]. It binds to polysaccharides in pathogens, thus activating the classical complement pathway.

PCT and CRP have been used as markers for distinguishing bacterial from viral infections [10,12,22]. Based on data from a meta-analysis Simmon _et al._ [28] have found that PCT as a marker has a sensitivity of 92% and specificity of 73%, whereas CRP a sensitivity of 86% and specificity of 70%. This means that when a bacterial infection is suspected, measurement of PCT would be the most accurate way of verification.

In the present study patients with bacterial URTIs, were enrolled. Usual clinical criteria were used for the diagnosis. In all cases measurement of PCT yielded levels below 0.5 ng mL$^{-1}$, which is the lowest concentration that can be traced.

Few studies exist in the literature regarding URTIs and PCT levels. Elsammak _et al._ [20] studied PCT and CRP in children with tonsillolaryngitis, using the PCT LUMItest. They found that median levels of PCT in children with Streptococcal tonsillolaryngitis compared to non bacterial tonsillolaryngitis were 0.374 and 0.105 ng mL$^{-1}$ respectively PCT also had a greater specificity than CRP for detection of bacterial tonsillolaryngitis.

All patients in our series had PCT levels below 0.5 ng mL$^{-1}$. The low levels of PCT could be attributed to two main reasons. First, blood samples might have been taken later than the peak of PCT, which is 6-8 h after the onset of infection, while 48 h later PCT falls below 1 ng mL$^{-1}$, especially when antibiotics have already been administered, as in many of our cases (11 out of 23) [20]. Second, sensitivity of the PCT-Q test in localized infections of moderate severity such as URTIs is usually lower, compared to patients with generalised infections and positive blood cultures. Therefore, subtle elevations of circulating PCT, are not detected [30].

It is of interest that even in peritonsillar abscess cases, a most severe infection compared to tonsillolaryngitis and rhinosinusitis, PCT levels were below detection limit. On the other hand, WBC, PMN, CRP and body temperature were more elevated in this group than in the others. The fact that use of the semi-quantitative method of PCT measurement in URTI’s in the office setting did not prove useful, comes in agreement with the conclusion of the study of Korppi _et al._ [31] who measured PCT using the LUMI test procalcitonin kit in children with Community Acquired Pneumonia (CAP).

We found a correlation of CRP with body temperature, with higher fever resulting in higher CRP levels. There was also a strong correlation between CRP and WBC and PMN counts, which was anticipated. CRP was found to be more elevated in cases of peritonsillar abscess (p<0.01), ranging from 1.2-24.1 mg mL$^{-1}$, whereas in tonsillolaryngitis CRP levels were somewhat lower. Therefore CRP could be used as a marker to predict the severity as well as any sequela of URTI’s, although a double blind study is needed to confirm this finding. Stolz _et al._ [11] have found that when the cutoff value of CRP for bacterial lower respiratory tract infections was set to 50 mg mL$^{-1}$ sensitivity was 94% and specificity 72%.

A limitation of the present study was that the bacterial origin of URTIs, although clinically strongly suspected, was proven only in 57.5% of patients studied. The main reason which explains why a negative culture was obtained in nearly half of our patients is that 45% of them had already been receiving antibiotics on the day of the initial examination.

**CONCLUSION**

PCT, although promising in cases of critically ill patients, did not prove to be diagnostic for those with less severe or localized infections, such as URTI’s. In contrast, CRP levels were much more accurate markers for bacterial URTIs. It is clear that further investigation is needed to determine the usefulness of PCT in the field of infectious diseases.
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