Could early septal involvement in the remodeling process be related to the advance hypertensive heart disease?
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ABSTRACT

Background: Quantitative imaging analyses showed an earlier septal wall involvement in hypertension. We planned to determine the effect of hypertension on regional myocardial performance index (MPI) in a hypertensive patient population.

Methods: We evaluated 119 hypertensive patients who were divided into gr. I: 57 patients without left ventricular hypertrophy (LVH), (53.1 ± 10 years), and gr. II: 62 patients with LVH (55.1 ± 9 years) using conventional and tissue doppler imaging. They were compared with gr. III, a sex-age-matched normal control group (37 subjects, 53.0 ± 10 years).

Results: We detected basal septal and basal lateral contraction time (CT), isovolumetric CT and relaxation time (IVRT) and MPI. EF was 68 ± 5 % in gr. I, 69 ± 5 % in gr. II, 69 ± 4 % in gr. III. LV mass index was 122 ± 11 g/m2 in gr. I, 148 ± 13 g/m2 in gr. II and 118 ± 13 g/m2 in gr. III. Concentric LVH was detected in gr. II (relative wall thickness = 0.49 ± 0.8). LV septal and lateral MPI were abnormal in both hypertensive groups (p < 0.0001). Septal MPI was correlated moderately with septal wall thickness (r = 0.447, p < 0.001).

Conclusions: LV diastolic dysfunction becomes more severe in septal wall than lateral wall in hypertensive LVH. Septal myocardial performance is more dominantly affected by hypertension possibly due to earlier septal involvement in disease course. Septal MPI is correlated moderately with septal wall thickness.

© 2015 Published by Elsevier Ireland Ltd. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

1. Introduction

Hypertension is one of the major risk factors for cardiovascular and cerebrovascular disease. Optimal antihypertensive medication is well described in the management of patients with cardiovascular complications due to chronic hypertension [1]. Hypertension leads to hypertensive LV hypertrophy (LVH) and ultimately results in overt heart failure [2]. Mid-basal segmental myocardial involvement as the early response was noted in the volume overload-mediated LVH in experimental studies [3]. It was suggested that the septal wall is first involved in hypertension and becomes hypertrophic in a clinical setting [4]. We and the others confirmed the old findings and described the diminished segmental cavity and dominant hypertrophy of LV base, respectively in hypertensive LVH which is different from cardiomyopathy using real-time 3 dimensional echocardiography [5,6]. The early documentation of the disease process in hypertension recently has gained more importance [7]. The precise analysis by novel tissue imaging has documented earlier involvement of LV septal base even in mild hypertension despite preserved global LV contractility [8]. We aimed to detect the severity of segmental functional involvement in a population of patients with hypertension and hypertensive LVH, relatively advance stage of the hypertensive heart disease. We performed systolic and diastolic functional analysis of regional myocardial tissue and determined regional myocardial performance of the different cardiac walls in these patient groups.

2. Materials and methods

Total 150 consecutive patients with hypertension were evaluated. Blood pressure measurement was taken from both arms twice after the patients rested, and the patients whose blood pressure value was >140/90 mm Hg or those who were on antihypertensive medication were included. Patients with sinus rhythm and optimal acoustic window by two-dimensional echocardiography were selected. 119 patients were eligible for inclusion and were on antihypertensive medication (Table 1). Patients with coronary artery disease were excluded using exercise stress test. Patients with secondary hypertension, valvular heart disease, left ventricular dysfunction, diabetes mellitus, liver disease, renal disease, malignancy, recent (<3 months) arterial or venous thromboembolic disease, active infections and/or a history of inflammatory or connective tissue disorders were also excluded. The selected patients were divided into two groups according to LV mass index. We
studied 57 hypertensive patients without LVH (group 1) and 62 hypertensive LVH patients (group 2) and compared them with 37 age-sex matched normal controls. We obtained approval from local ethics committee of Mustafa Kemal University and all findings were evaluated in close collaboration with Johns Hopkins Medicine. The study was supported by institutional facilities without any specific fund and all patients gave written consent to the study and the protocol was consistent with the Declaration of Helsinki.

2.1. Echocardiographic protocol

Patients were studied in the left lateral decubitus position (Vingmed System 5, 1.5–2.5 MHz transducer; GE Vingmed, Horten, Norway). The ECG was recorded simultaneously. Digital data were acquired during passively held end-expiration and transferred to a Macintosh computer for off-line measurement. All authors had full access to all the data in the present study and take responsibility for the integrity of the data and accuracy of the data analysis.

Standard resting echocardiographic studies consisted of M-mode, cross-sectional, and transmission Doppler blood flow velocity measurements (mean of three consecutive beats). M-mode tracings from the parasternal long-axis view were used to measure the diameter of septal thickness, LV intracavitary diameter, and posterior wall thickness in systole and diastole. LV volumes and ejection fraction were calculated by the modified biplane Simpson’s method. LV mass was estimated by the method of Devereux and patients with greater LV mass index than 134 g/m² in men and 110 g/m² in women were accepted as LVH. Relative wall thickness (RWT) was calculated by the formula (2*PWT)/LVEDD, where PWT is posterior wall thickness and LVEDD is LV end-diastolic diameter; LVH was classified accordingly as either concentric (RWT > 0.42) or eccentric (RWT ≤ 0.42), [9].

2.2. Pulsed tissue doppler imaging

Tissue Doppler Imaging (TDI) permits a quantitative assessment of both global and regional function and timing of myocardial velocities. Pulsed TDI was performed at transducer frequencies of 3.5-4.0 MHz, adjusting spectral Doppler filters until a Nyquist limit of 15-20 cm/s was reached, and using minimal adequate gain. TDI was performed using LV apical 4-chamber imaging and sample volume was subsequently placed on the basal septal (Fig. 1) and basal lateral segment walls in the apical four-chamber view. It is documented that the basal region is associated with the greatest and the most reliable tissue velocity compared with other regions [10]. The imaging angle was adjusted to ensure a parallel alignment of the sampling window with the myocardial segment of interest. Color noise reduction was adjusted, and a color Doppler scanning frame rate of 100 to 140 Hz was used. Myocardial E’ and A’ waves were obtained from LV septal wall, than E/E’ was calculated. Isovolumetric contraction time (IVCT), contraction time (CT), and isovolumetric relaxation time (IVRT) were measured and myocardial performance index (MPI) calculated from the base of septal and lateral walls using the Tei Index which was shown to be valuable in hypertensives [11].

3. Statistical analysis

Measurement results are presented as mean and standard deviation. Data comparison of both groups was performed with ANOVA and independent t-test using SPSS software 16.0 (SPSS, Inc). Correlation between variables was determined using the Pearson correlation test. A value of p < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

4. Results

Demographic features of 3 groups were pointed out in Table 1. Two dimensional echocardiography was performed in all groups and

| Table 1
| Demographic features and antihypertensive treatments of the patient groups. |
|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
|                | Group I (n = 57) | Group II (n = 62) | Group III (n = 37) |
| Age (years)    | 53.1 ± 10       | 55.1 ± 9         | 53.0 ± 10         |
| Male sex (n (%)) | 23 (40)        | 25 (40)          | 15 (40)           |
| BMI (kg/m²)    | 27.9 ± 5.1      | 28.4 ± 4.7       | 26.5 ± 4.2        |
| DHT (>10 years), (n (%)) | 32 (56) | 62 (100) | – |
| ACE I./ARB, (n (%)) | 54 (95) | 58 (94) | – |
| CCB, (n (%))   | 43 (75)         | 49 (79)          | –                |
| Diuretics, (n (%)) | 32 (56) | 38 (61) | – |
| Beta blockers, (n (%)) | 19 (33) | 25 (40) | – |

BMI: body mass index, DHT: duration of hypertension, ACE I.: angiotensin converting enzyme inhibitors ARB: angiotensin receptor blockers, CCB: calcium channel blockers.

Fig. 1. Evaluation of isovolumetric contraction time, contraction time and isovolumetric relaxation time of the LV septal base by tissue Doppler imaging in a hypertensive patient (MPI = 51).
there was no difference in global LV systolic function. There was no difference in heart rate and blood pressure between the groups during echocardiographic evaluation (Table 2). Mean ejection fraction was similar among the groups, while LV mass index was greater in group II compared with group I and group III (p < 0.001), (Table 2). We determined thickened walls at parasternal long-axis view in the group II (Fig. 2a). The LV geometric pattern was detected as concentric hypertrophy in this group. (RWT: 0.49 ± 0.8, Table 2). In addition to thickened walls, before functional quantification of regional myocardial tissue using TDI in apical view, we observed LV cavity obliteration during systolic contraction in the patients with LVH (Fig. 2b, c) which is most likely related to thickened walls compared to the other groups.

Transmitral Doppler blood flow velocity measurements were completed in all groups and showed that the blunted transmitral E wave velocity in group II compared to other groups (Table 2). The mean mitral A wave velocity was significantly greater in the hypertensive groups than the control group. We detected that the mean E deceleration time of the transmitral E wave velocity was shorter in the control group compared with the patient groups (p = 0.003). We also determined that the difference in mean E deceleration time between groups I and II was not statistically significant (Table 2).

The evaluation using TDI showed that E’ wave was lower in group II (p = 0.004) but there was no difference for A’ wave in three groups. E/E’ ratio was significantly higher in group II when compared with group I and group III (p = 0.007). Tissue Doppler-derived septal and lateral IVCT were significantly longer in group I and group II compared with control group (Table 3). Septal and lateral CT were significantly lower in the patient groups compared with group III. There was no significant difference in septal and lateral CT between group I and group II. Septal IVRT was longer in group I and group II compared with group III (p = 0.0001). Lateral IVRT was significantly longer in group II compared to controls (p < 0.0001, Table 3). IVRT was more severely abnormal in the septal wall compared to the lateral wall in hypertensives with LVH (p = 0.05).

We calculated TDI-derived regional MPI using the values of both systolic and diastolic parameters at LV septal base (Fig. 3), then lateral base and found that MPI values were significantly abnormal at both region in group II compared with those in group I and group III (both p < 0.0001, Table 3). However, despite abnormal MPI in both hypertrophied LV walls, septal MPI was more severely impaired compared with that of lateral MPI in group II (p = 0.012). Septal MPI was correlated moderately with septal wall thickness (r = 0.447, p < 0.001, Fig. 4).

Table 2
Echocardiographic findings of participants.

|            | Group I (n = 57) | Group II (n = 62) | Group III (n = 37) |
|------------|-----------------|------------------|-------------------|
| HR (/min)  | 75              | 78               | 72                |
| SBP (mm Hg)| 128 ± 9         | 131 ± 8          | 126 ± 6           |
| DBP (mm Hg)| 81 ± 7          | 84 ± 6           | 80 ± 5            |
| LVESD (cm) | 2.92 ± 0.39     | 2.91 ± 0.40      | 2.78 ± 0.37       |
| LVEDD (cm) | 4.74 ± 0.45     | 4.79 ± 0.48      | 4.62 ± 0.43       |
| Septum (cm)| 0.95 ± 0.09     | 1.25 ± 0.10**    | 0.93 ± 0.11       |
| Posterior wall (cm)| 0.91 ± 0.10  | 1.17 ± 0.16**  | 0.91 ± 0.11       |
| LVEF (%)   | 68 ± 5          | 69 ± 5           | 69 ± 4            |
| LV mass (g) | 153 ± 31       | 226 ± 51**       | 145 ± 30          |
| LV mass index (g/m²) | 122 ± 11   | 148 ± 13**       | 118 ± 13          |
| RWT        | 0.39 ± 0.6      | 0.49 ± 0.8**     | 0.38 ± 0.5        |
| E wave (cm/s)| 0.70 ± 0.25   | 0.58 ± 0.11*     | 0.78 ± 0.14       |
| A wave (cm/s)| 0.76 ± 0.14*  | 0.77 ± 0.14*    | 0.68 ± 0.11       |
| E/A        | 0.84 ± 0.21     | 0.76 ± 0.16*     | 1.14 ± 0.23       |
| E deceleration time (ms)| 238 ± 48* | 247 ± 48*       | 217 ± 44          |

HR: heart rate, SBP: systolic blood pressure, DBP: diastolic blood pressure, LV: left ventricular, ESD: enddiastolic dimension, EDD: enddiastolic dimension, EF: ejection fraction, RWT: relative wall thickness.

⁎ p < 0.005 vs. group III.

⁎⁎ p < 0.0001 vs. group III.

5. Discussion

In the current study, the patients were on different combinations of antihypertensive medications and parameters of diastolic function using TDI in both hypertensive groups were abnormal; however, it was more severely abnormal in the septal wall compared with lateral wall in hypertensives with LVH. We and the others previously observed that TDI is relatively independent from preload for diastolic, systolic function and can be combined with stress induction for systolic
Dominant septal diastolic dysfunction in this study could be related to earlier septal involvement. In fact, LV septal base is associated with more severe diastolic dysfunction in LVH compared with other myocardial regions [16]. We also detected increased E/E' in hypertensives with concentric LVH that was previously documented to be associated with the unfavorable outcome compared to the other groups [17].

We determined that TDI-derived IVRT and CT were abnormal in hypertensive groups. Both septal and lateral MPI were also abnormal in these groups. Our study revealed that MPI values measured from the septal and lateral walls are significantly greater in hypertensives and septal MPI is significantly greater in patients with LVH than that in patients without LVH. Despite abnormal MPI in both hypertrophied LV walls, LV septum was associated with more severely impaired myocardial performance which may be related to earlier effect of hypertension on septal wall regionally. We previously demonstrated that hypertrophy of septal base could be detected early stage of hypertensive process and related to high pressure–heart rate product under stress [18–20]. We also mentioned the importance of exaggerated hypertension under stress in hypertensive patients with LV regional remodeling [15,21–23]. This early focal hypertrophy could be related to increased stress, since internal LV diameter at the LV base normally is the largest LV cavity section and has greater wall stress which could possibly cause to earlier stimulus for the induction of cellular hypertrophy compared with mid-apical LV cavity [24].

In fact, very interesting animal study, recently, has revealed that pressure-overload specifically leads to the functional impairment of LV base in disease process [25]. These current quantitative findings showed that more dominantly blunted septal wall performance is the case in relatively advance hypertensive disease and may be implicated earlier involvement of this region due to longstanding wall stress. It was shown that segmental wall motion abnormalities in hypertensive patients with LV remodeling and no history of cardiovascular disease who are on antihypertensive treatment are associated with increased likelihood of subsequent cardiovascular events independent of age, gender, blood pressure lowering treatment modality, and in-treatment LV mass index [26].

### Table 3

|                          | Group I (n = 57) | Group II (n = 62) | Group III (n = 37) |
|--------------------------|-----------------|------------------|-------------------|
| E' (cm/s)                | 7.8 ± 1         | 6.7 ± 2*         | 8.0 ± 2           |
| A' (cm/s)                | 10.7 ± 3        | 9.9 ± 2          | 9.0 ± 2           |
| E/E'                     | 8.2 ± 2.0       | 9.5 ± 3.4*       | 7.8 ± 1.9         |
| LV septal wall           |                 |                  |                   |
| IVCT (ms)                | 61 ± 16**       | 62 ± 16**        | 51 ± 11           |
| CT (ms)                  | 272 ± 35*       | 270 ± 28*        | 288 ± 21          |
| IVRT (ms)                | 82 ± 18*        | 94 ± 20**        | 66 ± 12           |
| MPI                      | 0.51 ± 0.10*    | 0.58 ± 0.11**    | 0.35 ± 0.05       |
| LV lateral wall          |                 |                  |                   |
| IVCT (ms)                | 67 ± 18**       | 64 ± 16**        | 52 ± 12           |
| CT (ms)                  | 272 ± 35*       | 269 ± 37*        | 290 ± 27          |
| IVRT (ms)                | 72 ± 19*        | 79 ± 21*         | 55 ± 12           |
| MPI                      | 0.50 ± 0.14**   | 0.53 ± 0.10**    | 0.36 ± 0.06       |

LV: left ventricular, IVCT: isovolumetric contraction time, CT: contraction time, IVRT: isovolumetric relaxation time, MPI: myocardial performance index.

* p < 0.005, vs. group III.

** p < 0.0001 vs. group III.

---
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associated with increased cardiovascular events, quantitative determina-
tion of segmental performance could be important and contribute to
establish optimal medical management before development of global
LV dysfunction.

In conclusion, hypertension is associated with LV diastolic dysfunc-
tion which becomes more severe in the septal wall than the lateral
wall in hypertensive LVH. Abnormal regional MPI in both walls is ob-
served in patients with hypertensive LVH. However, septal MPI is
more prominently impaired compared to lateral MPI, and this finding
could be related to earlier involvement of septum in hypertensive
remodeling.

5.1. Limitations of the study

The present study has its obvious limitations. Although we detected
regional abnormality of myocardial tissue performance using TDI-
derived MPI, we did not use midwall fractional shortening, since we
aimed to focus TDI parameters and to compare regional myocardial
performance using MPI. Nevertheless, this information was provided ear-
er in the literature for this group of patients [26]. No attempts were
made to use other novel cardiac imaging techniques including strain
and real-time 3 dimensional cardiac imaging. Diminished regional
intracavity volume and predominant involvement of myocardial tissue
of LV base in hypertensive LVH using real-time 3 dimensional cardiac
imaging were previously documented [5,6] Regional diastolic function
of septal base using TDI in hypertensive LVH and regional abnormal sep-
tal basal function using strain in the early phase of hypertensive disease
were also reported [8–16].
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