Demographic and Clinical Differences Between Bipolar Disorder Patients With and Without Alcohol Use Disorders
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Background: Bipolar disorder (BD) and alcohol use disorder (AUD) are two major independent causes of psychopathology in the general population. The prevalence of AUD in BD is high. Identifying the clinical and demographic features of patients with BD who may develop AUD could help with early identification and intervention.

Methods: Data from 238 patients diagnosed with BD were gathered on alcohol use, social demographics, longitudinal course of BD, clinical features of the most severe lifetime manic and depressive episodes, comorbid physical diseases, anxiety disorders, and other substance use disorders.

Results: We found that 74 of 238 BD patients had AUD (67 with alcohol dependence and 7 with alcohol abuse). Bivariate logistic regression analysis and multivariate logistic regression analysis found that the best predictors of AUD in patients with BD were being male (OR = 2.086, 95% CI = 1.094–3.979, p = 0.001), younger (OR = 0.965, 95% CI = 0.935–0.996, p = 0.026), and comorbidity with other unclassified substance dependence (OR = 10.817, 95% CI = 1.238–94.550, p = 0.031).

Conclusions: Male, younger current age, and having other substance use disorders were independently associated with AUD.
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INTRODUCTION

Bipolar disorder (BD) and alcohol use disorder (AUD) are two independent major causes of psychopathology in the general population. BD is a mental health disorder that causes periods of depression and periods of abnormally elevated mood (1). It includes bipolar I disorder (which represents classic manic depressive disorder), bipolar II disorder (which is typically characterized by a hypomanic and a depressive episode) and cyclothymic disorder (which is a milder form of bipolar
disorder fluctuating between mild depressive and hypomanic symptoms) (2). BD affects 1–4% of the global population (3–5).

AUD is a broad term for any drinking of alcohol that results in mental or physical health problems. The disorder is divided into two types: alcohol abuse and alcohol dependence (6). Alcohol abuse encompasses a spectrum of unhealthy alcohol drinking behaviors, ranging from binge drinking to alcohol dependence. Alcohol dependence is a previous psychiatric diagnosis in which an individual is physically or psychologically dependent upon alcohol. Alcohol abuse has been merged with alcohol dependence into alcohol use disorder in the DSM-5 (6).

AUD is one of the most common comorbid disorders among BD patients (7, 8). AUD is highly prevalent in BD patients with a comorbidity rate ranging from 44 to 62% (3, 9). The comorbidity of AUD in bipolar I disorder (BD I) is higher than in bipolar II disorder (BD II). Lifetime AUD ranges from 46 to 58% in BD I patients, and from 19 to 39% of those with BD II (8, 10). The comorbidity of BD in individuals initially identified with AUD is also high (11).

Several studies have reported that the co-occurrence of AUD and BD is associated with numerous negative consequences including increased severity of manic and depressive symptoms (8, 12, 13), slower recovery from mood episodes, suicide attempts, elevated use of medications and hospitalization, an increased risk for other psychiatric and physical disorders, social dysfunction, and poor quality of life (12–20). A systematic review and meta-analysis showed that comorbid AUD in individuals with BD are significantly associated with suicide attempts (21).

Despite the fact that AUD and BD are associated, the nature of the relationship between these two conditions remains unclear. Previous studies have reported that alcohol has been used to induce pleasurable feelings (22) or to alleviate negative affect and psychiatric symptoms in BD (23). However, Carmiol et al. found that there is a genetic correlation between BD and AUD after statistically controlling for comorbid anxiety, suggesting that genetic factors independent of anxiety influence the risk of BD comorbidity with AUD (24).

The current study aimed to compare a broad range of demographic and clinical features between BD patients with and without comorbid AUD in order to identify the characteristics of patients at a high risk of developing AUD.

METHODS

Participants

The current study was a cross-sectional design. Between February 2006 and November 2013, a convenience sample of 238 successive patients with BD were recruited from the Depression and Bipolar Clinic of the Black Dog Institute, which is a not-for-profit facility in Sydney, Australia for the diagnosis, treatment, and prevention of mood disorders such as depression and bipolar disorder. Inclusion criteria for BD patients were a BD diagnosis using the DSM-IV and aged between 18 and 85 years old. Participation was voluntary and no eligible patients declined to participate. Patients with comorbid anxiety disorders or other substance abuse or dependence were included. Those with significant neurological or non-psychiatric medical problems were excluded. This study was approved by the Human Research Ethics Committee of University of New South Wales. Written informed consent was obtained from all participants.

Statistical Analyses

Participants were divided into two groups according to the presence or absence of a comorbid AUD [alcohol abuse (DSM-IV diagnosis code 305.00) or dependence (DSM-IV diagnosis code 303.90)], which was the dependent variable in the current study.

For the independent variables, categorical variables are presented as percentages, and continuous variables are presented as mean±standard deviation (SD). The categorical variables in the demographic and clinical variables between the
two groups were compared using chi-square tests. The continuous variables were compared by Mann Whitney-U tests as they were not normally distributed.

As the differences in age and gender between two groups were significant, odds ratios (ORs) and 95% confidence intervals (CI) were determined after adjustment for those two variables for both continuous and categorical comparisons, using binary logistic regression analysis. A stepwise multivariate logistic regression analysis of significant bivariate results was then performed (33). A confidence level of \( p < 0.05 \) was used for all comparisons. Data analyses were performed using SPSS 22.0 for Windows.

**RESULTS**

Of the 238 participants, 74 (31%) had comorbid AUD (67 with alcohol dependence and 7 with alcohol abuse). The mean reported age at onset of AUD was 19 years. The mean reported consumption of alcohol was 7.66 drinks per day in the 12 months prior to assessment, compared to 1.99 drinks per day for the remaining non-AUD participants.

**Features and Potential Risk Factors of Bipolar Patients With and Without Alcohol Use Disorder**

In comparisons of the sociodemographic features between two groups, participants with AUD were more likely to be men (\( \chi^2 = 3.994, p = 0.046 \)); not currently married (\( \chi^2 = 7.780, p = 0.020 \)); employed (\( \chi^2 = 4.781, P = 0.029 \)); and younger at enrolment (\( U = 4897.000, p = 0.034 \)). For physical health conditions, participants with AUD tended to not have thyroid problems (\( \chi^2 = 6.182, p = 0.013 \)) and not be allergic to medications (\( \chi^2 = 5.667, p = 0.017 \)). Additionally, participants with AUD were more likely to: have rapid cycling BD (\( \chi^2 = 4.106, p = 0.043 \)); report a younger age of their first depressive episode (\( U = 3906.000, p = 0.024 \)); more episodes of self-harm (\( U = 808.000, p = 0.028 \)); more estimated drinks per day in the last year (\( U = 1589.000, p < 0.001 \)); cannabis dependence (\( \chi^2 = 9.384, p = 0.002 \)); other substance dependence (unclassified, \( \chi^2 = 11.915, p = 0.001 \)); show symptoms of PTSD (\( \chi^2 = 7.545, p = 0.006 \)) (Supplementary Tables 1–4).

**Logistic Regression Analysis of Potential Risk Factors for Bipolar Patients With Alcohol Use Disorder After Adjusting for Age and Gender**

As the differences in age and gender between two groups were significant, they were adjusted in the logistic regression analysis. For sociodemographic features, participants were more likely to be male (OR = 2.256, 95% CI = 1.238–4.111, \( p = 0.008 \)) and separated, divorced, or widowed marital status (OR = 2.657, 95% CI = 1.137–6.206, \( p = 0.024 \)). They were two or more times more likely to inflict self-harm (OR = 3.719, 95% CI = 1.220–11.340, \( p = 0.021 \)); recently report irritability in a past major depressive episode (OR = 2.433, 95% CI = 1.128–5.249, \( p = 0.023 \)) and at a lower age (OR = 0.965, 95% CI = 0.940–0.990, \( p = 0.006 \)) (Table 1).

In the logistic regression analysis of potential risk factors in comorbidities with substance abuse disorders and anxiety disorders of bipolar patients, cannabis dependence (OR = 5.252, 95% CI = 1.556–17.720, \( p = 0.008 \)), other unclassified substance dependence (OR = 18.900, 95% CI = 2.241–159.381, \( p = 0.007 \)), and PTSD (OR = 2.475, 95% CI = 1.195–5.128, \( p = 0.015 \)) all showed a relationship with the onset of AUD in bipolar patients (Table 2).

**Multivariate Logistic Regression Analysis of Potential Risk Factors for Bipolar Patients With Alcohol Use Disorder**

The initial stepwise multivariate logistic regression suggested that number of suicide attempts, and irritability in the most severe lifetime depressive episode strongly interfered with other variables due to missing values. So, these two variables were deleted from the final logistic regression model (33).

Multivariate logistic regression analysis of discrepant factors (Table 3) demonstrated that being male (OR = 2.086, 95% CI = 1.094–3.979, \( p = 0.001 \)), younger current age (OR = 0.965, 95% CI = 0.935–0.996, \( p = 0.026 \)), and comorbidity with other unclassified substance dependence (OR = 10.817, 95% CI = 1.238–94.550, \( p = 0.031 \)) were most strongly associated with AUD in BD (Table 3).

**DISCUSSION**

This study found that 74 (31%) of 238 BD patients had comorbid AUD. AUD participants were more likely to be single men who had harmed themselves more often, had their first depressive episode younger, experienced irritability during their most severe depressive episode, reported substance dependence additionally on something other than alcohol, and reported PTSD.

Many international studies have reported high rates of comorbidity between AUD and BD. Similar rates of BD with comorbid AUD have been reported in recent epidemiological surveys in Australian communities (27 and 32% respectively reported by Mitchell et al. (5) and Morgan et al. (34)).

Here, male BD patients had a 2.26 times greater risk of comorbid AUD than female BD patients, which is consistent with several other studies (7, 8, 12–14, 33, 35). A meta-analysis of clinical studies found that being male was associated with double the lifetime prevalence of AUDs in BD (44%), compared to being female (22%) (35). As in the present study, male BD patients had 2–3 times greater risk of lifetime AUD. Frye et al. found that more men with BD met the criteria for lifetime alcoholism in the general population. However, compared to general population rates, Frye et al. found women with BD (OR = 7.35) have a higher risk of comorbid AUD than for men with BD (OR = 2.77) (11).

We found that those BD patients with comorbid AUD were 2.66 times more likely to be divorced, separated, or widowed. This finding is consistent with results from the US National Epidemiologic Survey on Alcohol and Related Conditions, which reported that rates of substance abuse and dependence were generally greater among men, native Americans, respondents...
aged 18 to 44 years, those of lower socioeconomic status, those residing in the Western United States, and those who were never married or were widowed, separated, or divorced (14). Here, levels of educational attainment or income source (i.e., personal income or government social support) did not differ between groups.

The prevalence of BD subgroups (particularly BD-I and BD-II) did not differ between the two groups in this study, a finding inconsistent with some previous reports. Several studies have reported a higher prevalence of AUD in patients with BD-I compared to BD-II disorder (Chengappa et al: 49.3% vs. 38.9% (36), Frye et al: 41.1% vs. 25.0% (11), Regier et al: 46.2% vs. 39.2% (37)). But the prevalence of AUDs was higher in BD-II disorder than in BD-I (26.8% vs. 14.9%) in Simhandl’s research (8). It is possible that this lack of difference may have been due to the relatively low proportion of BD-II patients in this sample (only 31% had BD-II).

For those BD patients with comorbid AUD who had attempted suicide, the number of reported self-harming events was higher than those without AUD who had also attempted suicide. Chen and Dilsaver reported that 25–60% of BD patients had at least one suicide attempt (38). Cardoso et al. found that BD patients with AUD were more likely to have attempted suicide and a trend that the more severe the alcohol comorbidity, the higher the number of suicide attempts (13). A more recent Brazilian study has reported that more BD patients with AUD had at least one suicide attempt and had also attempted suicide more frequently (33). This finding is also consistent with results from the US National Epidemiologic Survey on Alcohol and Related Conditions (9).

There were more patients with AUD who reported irritability in their most severe lifetime depressive episode. This is a novel finding, which has not been previously reported. This observation may be related to the common causal factors related to the genetics of AUD and BD. And patients are prone to heavier drinking when they are depressed. The irritability is a common result of hangovers.

We did not find any differences in the age of first onset of mood episodes, number of lifetime episodes, likelihood of

| TABLE 1 | Logistic regression analysis of potential risk factors in sociodemographic and clinical features of bipolar patients after adjusting for age and gender. |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| Variables | Without AUD (N = 164) | With AUD (N = 74) | OR (95% CI) | P value |
| Gender (male) | 35.0 | 48.6 | 2.256 (1.238–4.111) | 0.008** |
| Marital status | | | | |
| Married or de facto | 52.2 | 32.4 | 2.657 (1.137–6.206) | 0.024* |
| Separated, divorced, or widowed | 15.5 | 21.1 | 1.785 (0.861–3.618) | 0.108 |
| Never married | 32.3 | 46.5 | 1.878 (0.982–3.254) | 0.057 |
| Labor force status (employed) | 47.8 | 63.4 | 0.713 (0.279–1.822) | 0.479 |
| Education for at least 10 years | 89.3 | 88.2 | 1.071 (0.279–4.396) | 0.922 |
| Social benefit | 25.2 | 25.4 | 1.071 (0.279–4.396) | 0.922 |
| Born in Australia | 77.8 | 70.6 | 0.701 (0.361–1.362) | 0.701 |
| Primary diagnosis | | | | |
| Bipolar I | 71.3 | 63.4 | 0.442 (0.059–3.300) | 0.426 |
| Bipolar II | 27.4 | 33.8 | 0.587 (0.076–4.536) | 0.610 |
| Bipolar disorder due to substance use or general medical condition | 0.0 | 1.4 | 1.071 (0.279–4.396) | 0.922 |
| Bipolar disorder NOS | 1.2 | 1.4 | 0.945 (0.520–1.717) | 0.853 |
| Number of times patient hurt self on purpose in patients who had attempted suicide | | | | |
| Once | 18.1 | 7.2 | 3.719 (1.220–10.852) | 0.021* |
| Two or more times | 27.1 | 37.7 | 11.340 (3.719–33.300) | 0.006** |
| Feeling irritable in a past major depressive episode | 50.9 | 74.5 | 2.433 (1.128–5.249) | 0.023* |
| Family history | | | | |
| Bipolar disorder | 31.0 | 26.6 | 0.907 (0.458–1.796) | 0.779 |
| Unipolar disorder | 56.8 | 62.5 | 1.103 (0.582–2.087) | 0.764 |
| Hospitalization for BD | 69.5 | 56.5 | 0.632 (0.343–1.165) | 0.141 |
| Age at enrolment | 40.556 ± 13.205 | 36.466 ± 10.750 | 0.965 (0.940–0.990) | 0.006** |
| Age for first depression episode | 21.830 ± 11.075 | 18.060 ± 8.582 | 0.974 (0.936–1.013) | 0.192 |
| Age for first (hypo)mania episode | 25.850 ± 11.210 | 23.090 ± 10.195 | 0.992 (0.956–1.029) | 0.657 |
| Age for first time to hurt oneself | 26.440 ± 25.101 | 20.780 ± 10.643 | 0.972 (0.973–2.539) | 0.313 |
| Onset age of AUD | NA | 18.930 ± 12.655 | 1.071 (0.279–4.396) | 0.922 |
| Estimated drinks per day last year | 1.990 ± 3.416 | 7.660 ± 5.628 | 1.071 (0.279–4.396) | 0.922 |

*Value is statistically significant (p < 0.05) (in bold).
**Value is statistically significant (p < 0.01) (in bold).
NA, not applicable.
TABLE 2 | Logistic regression analysis of potential risk factors in comorbidities with substance abuse disorders and anxiety disorders of bipolar patients after adjusting for age and gender.

| Comorbid diseases | Without AUD (N = 164) (%) | With AUD (N = 74) (%) | OR (95% CI) | P value |
|-------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------|-------------|---------|
| **Substance abuse disorders** |                           |                       |             |         |
| Cannabis dependence | 2.4                       | 13.5                  | 5.252 (1.556–17.720) | 0.006** |
| Other unclassified substance dependence | 0.6                       | 10.8                  | 18.900 (2.241–159.381) | 0.007** |
| Opioid dependence | 0.6                       | 1.4                   | 1.905 (0.108–33.670) | 0.660 |
| Opioid abuse | 0.0                       | 1.4                   | 48,535.353.15 (0.000–) | 0.995 |
| Cannabis abuse | 1.2                       | 0.0                   | 0.000 (0.000–) | 0.997 |
| Sedative dependence | 4.3                       | 9.5                   | 12,532 (0.814–7.875) | 0.109 |
| Sedative abuse | 1.2                       | 2.7                   | 3,208 (0.370–27.813) | 0.290 |
| Cocaine dependence | 0.6                       | 1.4                   | 1,703 (0.102–28.356) | 0.710 |
| Amphetamine dependence | 0.6                       | 1.4                   | 2,795 (0.151–51.681) | 0.490 |
| Hallucinogen abuse | 0.0                       | 1.4                   | 10,730,842.03 (0.000–) | 0.996 |
| **Anxiety disorders** |                           |                       |             |         |
| PTSD | 11.6                       | 25.7                  | 2.475 (1.195–5.128) | 0.015* |
| OCD | 6.7                       | 12.2                  | 1.807 (0.621–4.157) | 0.328 |
| Panic disorder without agoraphobia | 4.9                       | 9.5                   | 2.006 (0.678–5.964) | 0.210 |
| Panic disorder with agoraphobia | 3.7                       | 2.7                   | 0.788 (0.148–4.210) | 0.781 |
| Agoraphobia without panic disorder | 4.3                       | 1.4                   | 0.350 (0.041–3.005) | 0.338 |
| Social phobia | 17.1                      | 25.7                  | 1.519 (0.770–2.998) | 0.228 |
| Special phobia animal | 4.3                       | 6.8                   | 1.604 (0.474–5.426) | 0.447 |
| Special phobia natural | 3.7                       | 2.7                   | 0.686 (0.131–3.583) | 0.665 |
| Special phobia blood | 2.4                       | 5.4                   | 1.980 (0.469–8.359) | 0.352 |
| Special phobia situation | 4.3                       | 2.7                   | 0.562 (0.111–2.840) | 0.486 |

*Value is statistically significant (p < 0.05) (in bold).
**Value is statistically significant (p < 0.01) (in bold).

TABLE 3 | Multivariate logistic regression analysis of potential risk factors for bipolar patients with alcohol use disorder (AUD).

| Variables | OR | 95% CI | P value |
|-----------|----|--------|---------|
| Male | 2.086 | 1.094–3.979 | 0.028* |
| Age at enrollment | 0.965 | 0.935–0.996 | 0.028* |
| Other unclassified substance dependence | 10.817 | 1.238–94.550 | 0.031* |
| Cannabis dependence | 3.596 | 0.960–13.478 | 0.058 |
| PTSD | 1.700 | 0.744–3.887 | 0.208 |
| Separated, divorced, or widowed | 2.245 | 0.922–5.466 | 0.075 |

*Value is statistically significant (p < 0.05).

psychotic symptoms, or rates of hospitalization in the AUD group. This is not consistent with previous studies, which have tended to show a worse prognosis in BD patients with AUD (8, 12, 13, 33, 39, 40). Those studies have reported that individuals with comorbid BD and substance-use disorders have a more severe course of illness, including earlier onset, more frequent episodes, and more complications, including anxiety- and stress-related disorders, aggressive behavior, legal problems, and suicide (40). Compared to the present study, these studies tend to have used longer data collection periods. It has been reported that BD patients with AUD have more psychiatric hospitalizations after adjusting for gender, race, employment status, education level, and duration of illness (41). The study from Simhandl et al. suggested that comorbid AUD had a negative impact on bipolar I participants, increasing depressive episodes (8). A recent study also confirmed the role of the male gender, additional substance use disorder, and irritable and hyperthymic temperaments as predictors of AUD in BD (12).

There were higher rates of rapid cycling in the AUD group, which was not significant using a conservative approach of controlling for age and gender. There were no significant differences in the severity of cross-sectional symptoms. Other groups have reported that BD patients with AUD have higher rates of mixed or dysphoric mania, rapid cycling, increased severity of manic and depressive symptoms (42, 43), and higher levels of novelty seeking, suicidality, aggressiveness, and impulsivity (14). Cardoso et al. found that BD patients with AUD showed more depressive symptoms and poorer functioning, as indicated by higher Hamilton Depression Rating Scale scores and lower scores on the Global Assessment of Functioning Scale (13). Other groups have also reported rapid cycling to be associated with AUD in BD (33, 39, 44, 45). Cruz et al. found that a greater percentage of BD patients with rapid cycling had a history of AUD than those without rapid cycling (44). BD patients with AUD were more likely to present with rapid cycling in a Brazilian sample (33). These studies had larger sample sizes than the present study, so may have been more sensitive to detect small effects.

We found higher rates of comorbidity with PTSD, cannabis dependence, and other substance dependence in those with AUD. These findings are consistent with a number of prior reports (7, 13, 33, 46–48). Nery et al. found that BD patients with AUD had a significantly higher prevalence of comorbid substance (non-alcohol) use disorders, PTSD, and anorexia (33). More than 50% of patients with co-occurring BD and
alcohol dependence were diagnosed with at least one anxiety (76.7%) or drug dependence disorder (60.0%) in the study by Prisciandaro et al. Comorbid anxiety disorders were prospectively associated with increased depressive symptoms and alcohol use (48).

Here, multivariate logistic regression showed that male, younger age, and with other unclassified substance dependence (DSM-IV diagnosis code 304.90) were strongly related to AUD with BD. Few other studies of AUD in BD have reported the findings of multivariate logistic regression analyses. This finding is partly consistent with results from one of the few other studies (33). In this study, variables including male gender, younger age at onset of the mood disorder, a lifetime substance (non-alcohol) use disorder, lifetime PTSD diagnosis, history of lifetime suicide attempts, and a family history of substance use disorders were most strongly associated with a lifetime AUD diagnosis in BD patients. Drinking and depression appeared to have a positive relationship among men (49).

Limitations

First, the study features a relatively small sample size. More participants will be recruited in a future study. Second, the study was cross-sectional, so the findings can only demonstrate associations rather than directional causality. Third, participants were all recruited from a single clinic. The results of this study cannot necessarily be extrapolated to people from other geographical locations or other types of service. Fourth, the present study did not determine whether BD manifested before AUD or vice versa and the CIDI-AUTO’s measures of alcohol intake is relatively crude. Some form of diary or quantity-frequency measure would be preferable. Fifth, there was no comparison of BD I versus BD II in the current analysis due to lack of data (or concern of the lack of power in the subgroup analysis). Sixth, the ethnicity of the participants was not investigated in this study, therefore it may not be possible to extend the results to people from diverse ethnic backgrounds. Seventh, data on medications was not gathered, although medication can affect brain structure (50), behavior (51), and substance abuse (52), which will be explored in the future study.

CONCLUSIONS

This study found that being male, younger, and having another unclassified substance dependence were strongly related to AUD in BD. In the BD clinic, younger single men who have self-harmed more than once and are known to be polysubstance users may be at higher risk of AUD. This knowledge could help early identification and intervention.
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