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Abstract — This article presents a set of patterns that can be found to perform best practices in software processes that are directly related to the problem of implementing the activities of the process, the roles involved, the knowledge generated and the inputs and outputs belonging to the process. In this work, a definition of the architecture is encouraged by using different recurrent configurations that strengthen the process and yield efficient results for the development of a software project. The patterns presented constitute a catalog, which serves as a vocabulary for communication among project participants [1], [2], and also can be implemented through software tools, thus facilitating patterns implementation [3]. Additionally, a tool that can be obtained under GPL (General Public license) is provided for this purpose.
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I. INTRODUCTION
The goal of software development is to generate products, with high levels of productivity and efficiency, that ensure good levels of quality. To achieve this, it is necessary to use different strategies. Among such set of strategies, the use of patterns stands out as one of the most popular one. There is already an important and recognized work about patterns in different areas of software engineering, such as design patterns [4], [5], architectural patterns [6], [7], [8], patterns analysis [9] and others. However, to our knowledge, there is no work addressing software process patterns. There is a wide range of software processes and methodologies, and some concepts that can be compiled to promote recurrent usage have been adopted, which may represent a sort of process patterns. This article proposes a set of patterns, which can be found when we use different software processes and methodologies, which can be evidenced in their conceptual cores.

II. UNDERSTANDING PATTERNS
A pattern has a recognizable structure that makes it special and general. Using a software pattern prevents developers from “reinventing the wheel”, also preventing out-of-date reinventions that create more problems than what they really solve (e.g. reinventing a square wheel). The pattern has another key ingredient, namely the ease it provides when communicating an idea, because the pattern itself turns into a vocabulary, not only accepted but also widely recognized. Nevertheless, the most important contribution of patterns might lie in their predictive power. A pattern is a sort of time machine, it is like the Rosetta Stone that allows the understanding of the way an application was created, initially employing a language that is difficult to follow – namely, programming language, design language, the language of architecture, language processes – and translates it into the original idea behind such implementation through the vocabulary of patterns. This means you can see the past of software applications and the intention with which they were developed; similarly, you can see into the future of the applications and attain one of the most desirable software features, namely scalability. It is possible to structure future applications to maintain a predictable behavior, and allow for evolution. One can say that the patterns become more powerful because beyond being good practice, patterns become a widely-accepted, spoken vocabulary used by a whole community that has found a simple and effective way of communication [10].

III. SOFTWARE DEVELOPMENT PATTERNS
Software processes have produced a framework of concepts that originate familiar, widespread recurrent structures that are used over and over again when developing software projects. These patterns can be categorized as process workflow between the different activities that constitute the process architecture. Such categories involve the participants and stakeholders within the software process according to the inputs and outputs that affect software development and also according to the knowledge involved. The form [11] of the process pattern is given by its definition, consequences, its advantages and disadvantages. The definition establishes the concept of pattern, the consequences define the effects that the pattern causes; the advantages establish all positive contributions of the pattern, while the disadvantages set unfavorable situations caused by the pattern. It is important to note that, unlike other forms of patterns, these patterns indicate their own potential problems and thus alert developers so as to be aware. This is because in the application of software process patterns, it is important to note the potential risks entailed.

A. Workflow Patterns

With regard to the desired process architecture, there are three possible configurations for the activities, namely parallel, linear or cyclic. Out of these configurations, combinations that produce more complex processes can be obtained in turn.
1. Linear Workflow Pattern

The first and most important antecedent of linearity was in Royce's proposal, about his waterfall model [12]. The fact of the matter is that, despite much progress, the waterfall model isn’t quite dead yet [13]. Linear Workflow pattern suggests that software processes should focus on a linear workflow [14], that is, a set of activities is clearly identified and linked so that each link is used to configure a chain. Under these conditions there is a permanent pre and post activity for almost every activity, except for the initial and final activities (Figure 1).

![Figure 1. Linear Workflow](image)

**Consequences:**
Running parallel activities may produce effects such as the need for activity synchronization and the addition of results to configure unified inputs.

**Advantages:**
- Clear identification of activities.
- Optimization of resources by the simultaneous execution of activities.
- Creation and integration of roles society.

**Disadvantages:**
- Proper activity synchronization is a difficult task.
- Collaboration between roles requires prior training (as its nurturing factor), which is something most teams lack.

2. Parallel Workflow Pattern

Process models, such as the model V [15] propose to mitigate the problem of linearity through the simultaneous development of activities. In the case of V model, activities are confronted with tests during the process. Parallel Workflow pattern suggests that software processes focus on a parallel workflow. The particularity of this model is the execution of parallel activities, at least two activities. The results of the activities that are performed in parallel add their outputs to the next tuple of activities, and so on, until reaching a final result (Figure 2).

![Figure 2. Parallel workflow](image)

**Advantages:**
- There is order and control over the activities
- It is possible to budget resources for activities.
- There is clarity in both roles and disciplines involved.

**Disadvantages:**
- Activity i is highly dependent on activity i-1 and is also the starting point for an activity i +1 in such a way that a domino effect is created between activities whenever there is a problem.
- Time is the most difficult resource to estimate, due to the holistic effect resulting from the integration of activities.
- There are overloaded times for a the roles associated to the activities in execution; when such activities finish, there is overutilization, wasting a considerable amount of human resources.
- The fall of an activity produces a fall in activity i +1, i +2, ..., i + n, which makes requirements engineering the most critical activity.

3. Cyclic Workflow Pattern

The cyclical nature of the software process is a recurring concept in different software process proposals [16], [17], [18], [19]. Process models such as the spiral model [20], offer feedback processes which suggest the cyclical nature present not only in this model. Cyclic Workflow pattern suggests that software development processes focus on a workflow with feedback, that is, the pattern clearly identifies a set of interlinked activities and closes a loop with them. It is clear that there is an initial activity that can recycle the product of a final activity (Figure 3).
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Figure 3. Cyclic Workflow

**Consequences:**
This cyclic configuration identifies a loop in which the output of an activity is the input of another previous activity; such a feedback can even occur with respect to the same activity.

**Advantages:**
- Settings of product refinement cycles are possible.
- Allows activity repair whenever mistakes are made.
- Enhances knowledge of the process as a result of repetition.

**Disadvantages:**
- Developers may fall into indefinite cycles and therefore lose control of the process.
- It is necessary to make a big efforts and large investments.

B. Patterns according to Participants

Modeling of participants allows to reflect the most important resource in a software process [21]. Individuals and interactions over processes and tools [22]. According to the stakeholders of the process, developers, their communication and role rotation can be modeled.

1. Doer Pattern

Stakeholder theory is an area of strategic management that defines a stakeholder as someone who affects or is affected by the actions of the organization [23], [24], [25], then the processes of the organization are reflected in the software process and these in turn, by the individuals according to Conway's law. Doer pattern allows clear identification of the key parts present when in the execution of the process, namely the doers of the software process and also the consumers along the process. A doer of the software process directly executes an activity of the process and is responsible for carrying it out, while a consumer is the one who benefits from the products of the process without directly affecting the corresponding activities, except for the activities perception. In other words, while a director is an active performer, a consumer is passive. (Figure 4).

Figure 4. Doers

**Consequences:**
Defining who executes the software development process is essential to define roles and responsibilities and clear roles. Roles also isolate the possibility of noise that is caused by ghost roles (non-doers), who appear in the process due to poor scope estimation.

**Advantages:**
- It is clearly identified who will perform the process, allowing a good estimate of resources.
- This pattern encourages the identification of roles, functions and responsibilities.
- Ghost roles, which generate noise in the process, are removed.

**Disadvantages:**
- The identification of roles should be consistent with their own process-specific creation.
- Ensuring the existence of doers for a particular software process means a considerable investment in skilled labor.
- Organizations do not have the wide range of doers that may arise in the process.

2. Communication Pattern

Communication, or rather lack thereof, leads to tremendous problems in the workplace and in software [26], the quality of communication within the development team and between the development team and external entities impacts on the performance of the software project [27]. Communication undoubtedly impact the software development [28]; this development may flow better if the interactions of the participants allow effective exchange that is regulated by good communication mechanisms. Communication pattern enhances the communication structure within the process. It identifies the communication transmitter and receiver as well as the channel and the message. Communication is the key to maintaining synergy in the process; it is also the best mechanism to maintain integrity within a project. See Figure 5.

Figure 5. Communication Pattern

**Consequences:**
Defining who executes the software development process is essential to define roles and responsibilities and clear roles. Roles also isolate the possibility of noise that is caused by ghost roles (non-doers), who appear in the process due to poor scope estimation.
Figure 5. Communication

**Consequences:**
Keeping a communication scheme facilitates the monitoring and the process control. It eliminates the noise generated by information islands and promotes strengthening knowledge management processes.

**Advantages:**
- It facilitates the exchange of experiences and insights within the project.
- There is elimination of the noise generated by information islands.
- It promotes role societies and the creation of collaborative communities.

**Disadvantages:**
- Difficulties arise when there are particular interests.
- It is difficult when developers do not speak within the same knowledge domain and there is no attempt to use interfaces in such cases.

3. **Role Rotation Pattern**

Roles are very useful in modeling the authority, responsibility, functions, and interactions associated with managerial positions within organizations [29]. Roles in software projects should be similar to a surgical team where there is clarity in the responsibilities with a hierarchical collaboration [30]. There have been studies that show the importance of the dynamics that should have the roles within the organization and the possibility of change as a way of empowering their activities [31]. Role Rotation pattern defines the impact one role may have on the transformation of another role, motivated by doers engaging in a new activity that is different from their previous activity. To take this step, it must be taken into account that the role is competent to assume such a responsibility. This can be seen in two ways. In the first approach, a role produces certain qualities that will be used by a role that accepts them as input. A role played by the same author who assumes another role. (Figure 6).

![Role Rotation](image)

**Consequences:**
For an organization, stepping from one role to another within the mind of one actor is essential so that the actor has a broader view of the process he is running. This results in maintaining continuity and consistency in the development process.

**Advantages:**
- The actor who rotates activities maintains a more complete understanding of the process.
- It is possible to further extend the human resource.

**Disadvantages**
- Actors get overloaded.
- There is partial and collaborative invasion on actors.

C. **Patterns based on Inputs - Outputs**

In a process, some settings may appear, such as the input-output setting, the document-management setting and the traceability setting, each emphasizes in some features related to the input-output of the process.

1. **Input-Output Pattern**

The classical Leontief model on the correlation of the economy in different industries with respect to their inputs and outputs [32], is also a generalized model of software processes, for example in testing [33], [34], requirements engineering [35], programming [36], among other activities of the development process. Input-Output pattern allows clear identification of the process inputs that are required for its execution and that will be transformed to achieve the expected outputs (Figure 7).

![Input - Output](image)

**Consequences:**
Defining inputs and outputs allows estimating the resources that will be transformed by the process into products and / or services to be consumed. It is important to understand what enters the process and what exits in order to plan how the process will behave and so determine the needs and outcomes.

**Advantages:**

---
- It clearly identifies the resources used for the process and the results to be obtained after completion of the process.
- It encourages the planning of the software process since the available resources as well as the desired outcomes are known.
- It promotes the structuring of the process to transform inputs into outputs, represented in products and / or services.

Disadvantages:
- It is not always easy to identify inputs and outputs.
- Traceability of an input into a product is a wasteful and costly task.

2. Document Management Pattern

Documentation is a factor to consider when you want to succeed in a software project [37], people within a development process tend to have a shared understanding of the software documentation [38], creating the channel through which communication flows and provides support for the project; there are patterns of documentation which detail problems related to intensive use and interaction between the documents [39], the pattern proposed in this paper is a general pattern present in the software development process from the perspective of its use and generation. Document Management pattern clearly identifies the documentation required for the process and the resulting documents after execution of the process (Figure 8).

![Figure 8. Document Management](image)

Consequences:
Defining the documentation used and also the resulting documentation in the software process is critical to support each of the activities carried out and to support the decisions that are defined as the process unfolds. A document sets the source and history that deals with the project management. When you lose a role, that role represented the mind of an expert. A document that builds a good description of the tasks performed by this role and also of his decisions and experiences in a single record, is a key asset for the organization.

Advantages:
- It supports decision making.
- It storages requirements, contracts and agreements.
- It allows retaking actions based on decisions previously recorded.
- Corrections and defect tracking are recorded.

Disadvantages:
- The cost is too high for its realization.

- Updating and maintenance is wasteful.
- The documentation becomes another project that is parallel to obtaining the code. Documents and code should match and support each other 100%.

3. Traceability Pattern

Traceability plays an important role in facilitating software evolution [40], in software maintenance and reengineering [41]; in general, traceability is critical to maintain consistency between business processes and system software [42]. Traceability pattern establishes the way artifacts are linked in a process to illustrate how an idea can be transformed into a product resulting from a concept that starts from an abstraction until getting a concrete product (Figure 9).

![Figure 9. Traceability](image)

Consequences:
Traceability enables actors to establish a road map of the different elements that are developed within the software process. Each concept sets a milestone that can be woven together with the others to create a consistent tissue that is visible and understandable.

Advantages:
- It allows displaying the process.
- It establishes a roadmap, based on the tissue, which forms traceable items.
- There is support to repair errors due to the easy identification of their causes.

Disadvantages:
- There is difficulty in the necessary traceability that must be carried out from the idea itself to its realization.
- There is a lack of inclusive language in the different layers of abstraction.

D. Knowledge Patterns

Software companies can decrease the time and cost for development, increase quality, and make better decisions if they manage their knowledge better[43]. There is a direct implication of knowledge management as a theory support for many aspects of software engineering, one of these trends is the school of engineering toward the process [44]. Software process from the perspective of knowledge, can produce knowledge, change their states, and reside in process participants, this is reflected as patterns.
1. Knowledge Production Pattern

Knowledge is produced by the interactions of participants through the processes they run [45]. If we see software engineering as a process it is clear that their results are also knowledge. Knowledge Production pattern provides the knowledge used within a process and the knowledge that is produced: On one hand, the knowledge used is the conceptual framework necessary for carrying out the process, while the knowledge gained is the result of empirical experimentation, resulting in the execution of the process. See Figure 10.

![Figure 10. Knowledge Production Pattern](image)

**Consequences:**

Defining the conceptual framework to be enlarged in a process is critical because it establishes the characteristics that qualify the process and that will be the input to obtain a wealth of experience, which ultimately forms the generated knowledge.

**Advantages:**

- This pattern promotes clear identification of the concepts to substantiate and characterize the process.
- It encourages the establishment of the roles that contain knowledge.
- It generates new knowledge from the experience gained when implementing the process.
- Knowledge sets the differentials in the use and performance of processes.

**Disadvantages:**

- Knowledge is difficult to appropriate by the organization.
- Knowledge is volatile when those responsible for assuming the roles are also volatile in the process.
- Knowledge and experience are not easily transferable to new scenarios and projects.

2. Knowledge States Pattern

With this pattern, the possible states of knowledge are set, and the processes that affect such states are formed by states and transactions. In the software process, this is a valuable resource for monitoring the development from the perspective of the artifacts produced, as it is typically done, but adding a description of the knowledge involved to obtain such results (Figure 11).

![Figure 11. Knowledge States](image)

**Consequences:**

A definition from the perspective of knowledge management proposed by Nonaka [9]. Socialization processes between aspects such as: tacit knowledge, processes of combination between explicit knowledge, externalization processes from tacit to explicit knowledge, and internalization from the tacit to explicit knowledge; form an important conceptual framework for managing organizational knowledge.

**Advantages:**

- Defining the states knowledge passes through allows identifying not only the principles that affect it, but also the conditions that surround such knowledge within the software process.
- It is clear that distinguishing tacit knowledge from explicit knowledge allows locating the origin of knowledge, provided it is possible to encrypt it through computer systems, or else, provided it resides in people.

**Disadvantages:**

- Managing knowledge is not always clear and requires greater effort on the process.
- An additional knowledge-management expert role is necessary for the process.

3. Knowledge Bowl Pattern

The software crisis is due to a knowledge gap resulting from the discrepancy between the knowledge integrated in software systems and the knowledge owned by organizational actors [47], people involved play a major role, because upon them rests the knowledge. Knowledge Bowl pattern establishes who the source of knowledge is. Such a source may reside in an author or a role, and knowledge can be soft or hard knowledge (Figure 12).

![Figure 12. Knowledge Bowl](image)

**Consequences:**

Defining the source of knowledge present in a software process is critical because it allows identifying both the actors and the important roles within the process, like for example knowledge systems and networks that are being developed during the management of the process.
Advantages:
- Prioritizing the roles and actors who possess valuable knowledge allows managing the knowledge that resides in them.
- The process is guided in its implementation by using the knowledge being generated.
- A knowledge-based process is more reliable and robust.

Disadvantages:
- It is not easy to identify the knowledge repository.
- Knowledge management is a task that goes beyond the engineering discipline.

IV. PROCESS PATTERNS SUPPORT THROUGH SOFTWARE

![Pattern Diagram](image)

Figure 13. Coloso Software, www.colosof.com.co

One of the advantages of having a catalog of patterns for software processes is the power to implement the catalog using automated tools, in this case, a process patterns component has been developed for the Coloso platform [10] - (Figure 13). Patterns implemented on the Coloso PSEE [49] - Process-centered Software Engineering Environments - are modeled with the Process Modeling Language PML [50] that is not matter in this paper but can be used in the tool.

V. CONCLUSION

In the same way software patterns have been proposed in other engineering disciplines such as software design, software architecture, analysis, and so on; in the area of software processes, a proposal that compiles a set of best practices that occur repeatedly in the software development process is also needed. The patterns proposed in this article, constitute a valuable vocabulary to facilitate communication among the participants in a software process, who will be able to quickly and accurately identify the way a software development process has been structured.

The proposed patterns, compile common elements that are present in the software development processes and methodologies. Additionally, these patterns clearly outline aspects such as the structure, the participants, the knowledge and the input-output, which constitute a software development process and through which it is possible to trace a path towards good practice and implementation of a software process.
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