Structural and biochemical impact of C8-aryl-guanine adducts within the NarI recognition DNA sequence: influence of aryl ring size on targeted and semi-targeted mutagenicity
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ABSTRACT

Chemical mutagens with an aromatic ring system may be enzymatically transformed to afford aryl radical species that preferentially react at the C8-site of 2′-deoxyguanosine (dG). The resulting carbon-linked C8-aryl-dG adduct possesses altered biophysical and genetic coding properties compared to the precursor nucleoside. Described herein are structural and in vitro mutagenicity studies of a series of fluorescent C8-aryl-dG analogues that differ in aryl ring size and are representative of authentic DNA adducts. These structural mimics have been inserted into a hotspot sequence for frameshift mutations, namely, the reiterated G3-position of the NarI sequence within 12mer (NarI(12)) and 22mer (NarI(22)) oligonucleotides. In the NarI(12) duplexes, the C8-aryl-dG adducts display a preference for adopting an anti-conformation opposite C, despite the strong syn preference of the free nucleoside. Using the NarI(22) sequence as a template for DNA synthesis in vitro, mutagenicity of the C8-aryl-dG adducts was assayed with representative high-fidelity replicative versus lesion bypass Y-family DNA polymerases, namely, Escherichia coli pol I Klenow fragment exonuclease I (Kf) and Sulfolobus solfataricus P2 DNA polymerase IV (Dpo4). Our experiments provide a basis for a model involving a two-base slippage and subsequent realignment process to relate the miscoding properties of C-linked C8-aryl-dG adducts with their chemical structures.

INTRODUCTION

The genetic integrity of a living cell is constantly assaulted by various chemical species reacting with DNA. A common means of DNA damage is the formation of bulky DNA adducts (addition products), which can initiate cancer when occurring at either protooncogene or tumor suppressor gene segments of the genome (1,2). Arylamines and heterocyclic amines (3) are known human carcinogens that undergo metabolic activation to produce bulky DNA adducts with C8-substituted 2′-deoxyguanosine (C8-dG) adducts being the major lesions detected in vivo (4). The resulting N-linked C8-dG adducts contain a flexible amine tether separating the dG component from the aryl ring and there is a strong established relationship between the resulting conformational heterogeneity and biological effects (5,6). The structurally related aromatic organic carcinogens, such as polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) (7–9), arylhydrazines (10–12) and phenols (13–16), may also undergo bioactivation, producing aryl radical species that covalently attach to the C8-site of dG to produce carbon-linked C8-aryl-dG adducts. In these cases, the adducts are similar in structure to their N-linked counterparts in terms of aryl ring size and shape, but do not have an amine linkage, thereby altering the orientation of the modification in the DNA duplex as well as reducing conformational flexibility. Furthermore, in contrast to N-linked C8-dG adducts, there is limited understanding of the relationship between the struc-
tures of C-linked C8-dG adducts and their miscoding potential.

N-Linked C8-dG adducts can adopt three distinct conformations depending on the orientation about the glycosidic bond (anti or syn) and the location of the bulky aryl ring within the duplex (Figure 1a) (5,6). In the B-type conformation, the adduct maintains Watson–Crick (W-C) hydrogen (H)-bonding with the opposing cytosine (C), which directs the C8-aryl moiety into the solvent-exposed major groove (17,18). In the base-displaced stacked (S-type) conformation, the base adopts the syn conformation and stacks the aryl ring between the neighbouring base pairs, which ruptures W-C H-bonding with the opposing C to displace it out of the helix (19–22). In the wedge (W-type) conformation (23,24), the C8-dG adduct also adopts the syn conformation, but steric clash at the lesion site, or Hoogsteen H-bonding with the opposing base, places the aryl moiety in the minor groove. The interplay between aryl ring size (18), adduct planarity (17) and DNA sequence (25,26) dictate conformational distribution, which are important factors that affect repair propensity (27,28) and mutational outcomes (29–33).

Carbon-linked C8-aryl-dG adducts demonstrate a relatively strong preference for the syn conformation (defined by the angle $\chi$, Figure 1b) (34). In addition, C-linked adducts are non-planar and exhibit a high degree of twist between the nucleobase and C8-aryl group (defined by the angle $\theta$, Figure 1) in order to reduce steric interactions that arise due to decreased flexibility (34). Similar to N-linked adducts, the formation of C-linked C8-dG adducts has been implicated in a wide variety of mutagenic outcomes. For example, the PAH benzo[a]pyrene (B[a]P) undergoes peroxidase-mediated oxidation to afford radical cations (7,8), where the potential involvement of the C8-B[a]P-dG lesion produces G $\rightarrow$ T and G $\rightarrow$ C transversion mutations in yeast (9). Additionally, arylhydrazines generate phenyl radicals, produce C8-phenyl-dG adducts (10–12) and are mutagenic in bacteria (35). Finally, the chlorophe

deolic mycotoxin ochratoxin A (OTA) gives rise to a C-linked C8-aryl-dG adduct in vivo (14,15) and deletion mutations have been observed in the kidney tissue of male rats exposed to OTA (36–38).

The progress of DNA synthesis by high-fidelity (replicative) DNA polymerases—Escherichia coli DNA polymerase I Klenow fragment exo$^{-}$ (K$^{+}$), and mammalian DNA pol $\alpha$ is strongly blocked by C8-phenyl-dG (PhG) (39). High-fidelity polymerases can fit one templating nucleotide in their active site, favouring accurate replication when correct W-C base pairing is established with the incoming dNTP (40). Due to this induced-fit mechanism of replication, bulky adducts in the template strand often stall or block the progression of replication by high-fidelity polymerases through distortion of the DNA duplex and polymerase active site. Such stalling in vivo is believed to be a signal for the recruitment of Y-family translesion polymerases for potential error-free extension past the bulky adduct after polymerase switching (40–43). Translesion polymerases have a solvent-exposed active site that is spacious enough to accommodate bulky DNA lesions, while facilitating low-fidelity DNA replication. Thus, potential mutagenic bypass of PhG that may occur in vivo is anticipated to rely on translesion polymerases.

The DNA polymerase IV from Sulfolobus solfataricus P2 (Dpo4) is a prototypical Y-family polymerase and is regarded as an excellent model for investigating how structural features of adducts determine lesion bypass efficiency and fidelity (40–43). Dpo4-catalyzed bypass of modified oligonucleotides containing N-linked C8-dG adducts may proceed in an error-free or error-prone manner (31,33). In non-iterated sequences, the C8-dG adduct produced by 2-amino-3-methylimidazo[4,5-f]quinoline (IQ) undergoes error-free bypass by Dpo4 (31), while extension past the C8-dG adduct produced by 2-aminofluorene (AF) leads to both full-length product and mis-elongated products containing targeted (at the lesion site, i.e. base substitution) and semitargeted (in the vicinity of the lesion site, i.e deletion) mutations (33). Extension past the C8-IQ-dG adduct with the lesion present in a reiterated (CG-dinucleotide repeat) sequence has also been assessed using Dpo4 and found to result in two-base deletion mutations (31). Thus, K$^{+}$ and Dpo4 were employed in the present work to investigate how C-linked C8-aryl-dG adducts with different aryl rings impact replication by high-fidelity and translesion polymerases. The results were expected to demonstrate the mutagenic potential of such lesions, establish the impact of aryl ring size and permit comparison to their N-linked C8-dG counterparts.

C-Linked C8-aryl-dG adducts are difficult to incorporate into oligonucleotides in a site-specific manner because they are sensitive to acid-catalyzed deglycosylation (44), thereby limiting the adaptation of standard solid-phase synthesis with 5′-O-DMT protection for this purpose (45). To overcome this limitation, we developed an efficient solid-phase protocol for inserting representative C8-aryl-dG adducts into the reiterated G3-position (X) of NarI Type II restriction endonuclease recognition sequence (5′-CTCG1G2CXCCA1TC) (46). The NarI sequence was used for in vitro mutagenicity studies of alylamines (31), and the reiterated G3-position (X) is a hotspot for frameshift mutations induced by N-linked C8-dG adducts (47) via a two-base slippage mechanism (48).

The C8-aryl-dG adducts (viz. C8-aryl = furyl (FurG), phenyl (PhG), 4-cyanophenyl (CNPhG) and quinolyl (QG), Figure 1b) chosen for this study differ in their ring size (5-membered ring in FurG versus 6-membered ring in PhG and CNPhG versus fused ring in QG), type of substituent (PhG versus CNPhG) and ring type (carbocyclic rings in PhG and CNPhG versus heterocyclic rings in FurG and QG). This series of adducts was designed on the basis of their structural similarities to natural carcinogen DNA-adducts, but having interesting fluorescence properties that we could exploit to define their conformations in DNA (49–51) and establish the relationship between adduct structure and mutagenicity in vitro. Among these adducts, PhG is a known adduct derived from the carcinogen phenylhydrazine (vide supra). CNPhG is a mimic for adducts arising from para-substituted aryl hydrazines, such as para-CH$_2$PhG, para-HOOC-PhG and para-H$_2$COC-PhG (10–12). QG is a mimic for a portion of PAH lesions (e.g. C8-B[a]P-dG) (7,8) where additional phenyl rings are 2,3-fused to the C8-phenyl group, which extends the C8-substituent.
Figure 1. (a) Depictions of the three major conformations produced by N-linked C8-dG adducts. (b) Structures of C-linked C8-aryl-dG adducts and oligonucleotide sequences of NarI(12) and NarI(22). The dihedral angle $\angle$(O4' C1' N9C4) defines the glycosidic bond orientation to be anti when $\chi = 180 \pm 90^\circ$ or syn when $\chi = 0 \pm 90^\circ$; $\theta$ ($\angle$(N9C8C10C11) for PhG, CNPhG and QG and $\angle$(N9C8C10O11) for FurG) defines the degree of twist between the nucleobase and the C8-aryl group.

in a bent-fashion. In the present study, we performed optical experiments (ultraviolet (UV) thermal melting, circular dichroism (CD) and fluorescence) combined with molecular dynamics (MD) simulations to define the structural features of all four adducts within the NarI(12) duplexes. Primer elongation experiments were then carried out using the NarI(22) template containing the three adducts with different ring types (FurG, PhG and QG) to assess adduct size and shape on DNA replication in vitro by the polymerases Kf and Dpo4. The results of these studies demonstrate for the first time the relationships between C8-aryl ring size, adduct conformation and mutagenic outcome for C-linked C8-aryl-dG adducts.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Materials

All adducted NarI(12) and NarI(22) oligonucleotide substrates were prepared on a 1 µmol scale using a BioAutomation MerMade 12 automatic DNA synthesizer using standard or modified β-cyanoethylphosphoramidite chemistry. Full synthetic details of modified phosphoramidites and electrospray ionization mass spectrometry (ESI-MS) analysis of modified NarI oligonucleotides have been previously published (46). Unmodified oligonucleotides (NarI(12), NarI(22), complementary strands for NarI(12) and 15mer primer) were purchased from Sigma Genosys and were purified by Sigma using polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis. E. coli pol I Klenow fragment exo− (Kf−) and T4 polymerase kinase were purchased from New England Biolabs, while Sulfolobus solfataricus P2 DNA polymerase IV (Dpo4) was purchased from Trevigen Inc. Isotopically labelled adenosine triphosphate (ATP) ([γ-32P]-ATP) was purchased from PerkinElmer.

X-ray diffraction study of QG

The free nucleoside was prepared by Suzuki–Miyaura cross-coupling of 8-Br-dG and quinoline-8-boronic acid in 2:1 H2O:CH3CN. The reaction mixture was diluted with 20 ml H2O and the pH adjusted to 6–7 with 1 M HCl (aq). The mixture was allowed to cool to 0°C for several hours before pale yellow crystals were recovered by vacuum filtration. A thick plate from the bulk crystalline product was studied at 150 K on a SuperNova Agilent single-crystal X-ray diffrac-
UV thermal melting

All melting temperatures ($T_m$) of NarI(12) oligonucleotides were measured using a Cary 300-Bio UV-Vis spectrophotometer equipped with a 6 × 6 multicell Peltier block-heating unit using Hellma 114-QS 10 mm light path cells. Oligonucleotide samples were prepared in 50 mM phosphate buffer, pH 7, with 100 mM NaCl, using equivalent amounts (6.0 μM) of the unmodified or C8-aryl-dG modified NarI(12) oligonucleotide and its complementary strand. The UV absorption at 260 nm was monitored as a function of temperature and consisted of forward-reverse scans from 10°C to 90°C at a heating rate of 1°C/min, which was repeated five times. The $T_m$ values of the duplexes were determined using hyperchromicity calculations provided in the Varian Thermal software.

CD measurements

Spectra were recorded on a Jasco J-815 CD spectropolarimeter equipped with a 1 × 6 multicell block thermal controller and a water circulator unit. Measurements were carried out in 50 mM phosphate buffer, pH 7, with 100 mM NaCl, using equivalent amounts (6.0 μM) of the unmodified or C8-aryl-G modified NarI(12) oligonucleotide and its complementary strand. Quartz glass cells (110-QS) with a light path of 1 mm were used for measurements. Spectra were collected at 10°C between 200 and 400 nm, with a bandwidth of 1 nm and scanning speed at 100 nm/min. The acquired spectra were the averages of five accumulations that were smoothed using the Jasco software.

Fluorescence studies of NarI(12) oligonucleotides

All fluorescence spectra were recorded on a Cary Eclipse Fluorescence Spectrophotometer equipped with a 1 × 4 multicell block Peltier stimmer and temperature controller. NarI(12) samples were prepared in 50 mM phosphate buffer, pH 7, with 100 mM NaCl. In each case, both excitation and emission spectra were recorded for the C8-aryl-G modified NarI(12) oligonucleotide hybridized to its complementary strand at 10°C. All single-strand oligonucleotide samples were prepared to a final concentration at 6.0 μM, and duplex samples were prepared using equivalent amounts (6.0 μM) of the C8-aryl-G modified NarI oligonucleotide and its complementary strand. All measurements were made using quartz cells (108.00F-QS) with a light path of 10 × 2 mm, and excitation and emission slit-widths were kept constant at 5 nm. All fluorescence excitation spectra were recorded at the emission wavelength (maximum) of the C8-aryl-G adduct, from 200 to 10 nm below the emission wavelength, while fluorescence emission spectra were recorded at the excitation wavelength (maximum) of the adduct, from 10 nm above the excitation wavelength to 600 nm.

Computational details

MD simulations were performed on the NarI(12) (5′-CTCGTGACGAGGCACTGC) oligonucleotide containing the adducted nucleoside (FurG, PhG, CNPhG or QG) at the G3-position. Initial structures were built using both syn and anti conformations of the adducted nucleosides. For FurG and QG, the syn and anti conformations were built using two different orientations of the asymmetric C8-ring system with respect to the nucleobase, which are related by an approximate 180° flip along the θ torsion angle. The starting DNA structure was built using the NAB module of AMBER 11 (52), and the C8-modification was introduced at the G3-position using Gaussview (53). The RED.v.III.4 (54) program was used to calculate the partial charges of the adducted nucleosides, while parameters for bonded terms were taken from the generalized AMBER force field (55) according to atom types assigned using ANTECHAMBER 1.4 (56). The parmbsc0 (57) modification to the parm99 (58) force field was used for the natural nucleosides. Each DNA system was neutralized with 22 sodium ions and solvated with an 8 Å TIP3P (59) truncated octahedral water box. Initial minimization was performed on the water molecules and sodium ions with the DNA fixed, using a steepest descent algorithm for the first 500 steps, and a conjugate gradient algorithm for the next 500 steps. Subsequently, the entire energy of the system was minimized for 2500 steps using 1000 steps of steepest descent followed by 1500 steps of conjugate gradient minimization. The system was then heated from 0 to 300 K using Langevin temperature equilibration during which the DNA was weakly restrained with a force constant of 10 kcal mol$^{-1}$ Å$^{-2}$ to avoid large fluctuations within the DNA, and simulated for 20 ps at constant volume. Subsequently, a 40 ns constant pressure MD simulation was carried out on each system using the PMEMD module of AMBER 11 (52) or 12 (60). A representative structure was chosen for analysis from each simulation by clustering the entire corresponding simulation with respect to the θ and χ dihedral angles of the adducts using the ptraj module of AMBER. Free energies were calculated from each simulation trajectories within the MM-PBSA approximation (61). In these calculations, the molecular mechanics and solvation-free energy terms were evaluated using the Poisson–Boltzmann approach and 1000 snapshots (1 snapshot every 20 ps from the simulation trajectory), while the entropy term was evaluated from normal mode calculations using 100 snapshots (1 snapshot every 200 ps).
Radiolabelling and annealing

T4 polynucleotide kinase and [γ-32P]ATP were used to label the 15mer primer strands at the 5’-end. The unmodified and modified DNA primer/template duplexes were prepared by annealing the 15mer primer and NarI(22) template strands (50% excess of template strand) through heating the mixtures to 95°C for 10 min, followed by slow cooling to room temperature overnight.

Single-nucleotide incorporation assays

KF- or Dpo4 were used to perform primer extension reactions on each previously labelled and annealed primer/template duplex in the presence of dCTP, dGTP, dATP or dTTP. Reactions were initiated by the addition of the dNTP (at a final concentration of 25, 50, 75 or 100 μM) to enzyme/DNA mixtures to give a final reaction volume of 10 μl. The final concentrations for KF- assays were 50 mM NaCl, 10 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.9), 10 mM MgCl₂, 1 mM dithiothreitol (DTT), 100 nM duplex and 1 nM KF- for the unmodified duplex, and 10 nM KF- for each of the modified duplexes. The final concentrations for Dpo4 assays were 50 mM NaCl, 50 mM Tris (pH 8.0), 2.5 mM MgCl₂, 5 mM DTT, 100 μg/ml bovine serum albumin, 5% glycerol, 100 nM duplex and 10 nM Dpo4. Reactions were incubated at 37°C for 1 h with KF-, and 30 min with Dpo4, followed by 4 μl transferred and mixed with 36 μl of loading dye (95% formamide, 20 mM ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid, 0.05% xylene cyanol and bromophenol blue) to terminate the reaction. Note that 4 μl of these quenched reactions were then subjected to 15% polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis in the presence of 7 M urea and incorporation products were visualized from a phosphorimaging screen using a Bio-Rad phosphorimager. Band quantification was performed using Quantity One® software. Density analysis was performed by circumscribing each band of interest, followed by the software generating density values for each. Using Excel, the relative intensity of each band was determined as a percentage by dividing the density of a single band by the total density of all bands in the specific lane and multiplying by 100.

Full-length extension assays

KF- or Dpo4 were used to perform primer extension reactions on each previously labelled and annealed primer/template duplex in the presence of a 100 μM 4dNTP mix (25 μM each dNTP). Increasing concentrations of each enzyme was used for a final concentration of 5, 10, 20 nM of KF- or Dpo4, except a final concentration of 0.5, 1, 2 nM of KF- was used with the unmodified duplex. All other final concentrations in each reaction were the same as outlined for the single nucleotide incorporation assays. Reactions were initiated by the addition of the dNTP mix to enzyme/DNA mixtures to give a final reaction volume of 10 μl and were incubated at 37°C for 1 h with KF-, or 30 min with Dpo4. Following incubation, reactions were quenched and incorporation products were resolved in the same manner as above.

RESULTS

NarI(12) properties

UV thermal melting. Phosphoramidite chemistry was used to incorporate the C-linked C8-aryl-dG adducts into the G3-position of NarI(12) (Figure 1b) (46). UV-deriv derived thermal melting parameters for the modified NarI(12) oligonucleotides are summarized in Table 1. The unmodified duplex had a Tm = 63.6°C under our experimental conditions. The C8-aryl-dG adducts paired opposite C resulted in universal destabilization of all duplexes (ΔTm = −9.1°C to −18.6°C), which increased with increasing C8-aryl ring size, i.e. FurG < CNPhG ≈ PhG << QG. The benzo[a]pyrene radical cation-derived adducts (9) and PhG (39) are known to promote G insertion in the complementary strand, thereby introducing a G:G mismatch. When the modified NarI(12) oligonucleotides were paired opposite strands containing an opposing G in order to analyse the degree of G:G mismatch stabilization, the C8-aryl-dG adducts had variable influences on duplex stability. Compared to the unmodified G:G mismatched duplex, the stability of duplexes with G paired opposite FurG (+3.6°C), CNPhG (+1.5°C) and PhG (+0.7°C) were stabilized, while with QG (−9°C) the mismatched duplex was destabilized. To assess the influence of π-stacking interactions in the absence of H-bonding, we paired adducts opposite the stable tetrahydrofuran (THF) model of an abasic site (62). The ΔTm values ranged from QG (−5.8°C) < PhG (−3.5°C) < FurG (−3°C) < CNPhG (−1.6°C). The stabilization resulting from the electron-withdrawing cyano group may be rationalized on the basis of increased conjugation and enhanced duplex-stabilizing stacking interactions. In contrast, the extended π-surface of QG decreased duplex stability, but in this case, the large degree of twist between the quinolyl moiety and G may preclude favourable π-stacking interactions within the duplex.

Hybridizing the modified NarI(12) sequences with the truncated 10-mer sequence (−2) provides a bulged duplex that models the intermediate state involved in a slippage-mediated mechanism of mutation (48). Enhanced stability of the slipped mutagenic intermediate (SMI) is believed to promote −2 frameshift mutagenesis mediated by N-linked C8-dG adducts in the NarI sequence (63–66). For example, the truncated NarI(12) duplex containing the C8-IP dG lesion at G3 (X) exhibits a ΔTm value of +10°C compared to the unmodified control in 0.1 M NaCl (63). Under similar conditions the N-linked C8-dG adduct of N-acetyl-2-aminofluorene (AAF) affords a ΔTm value of +15°C (64,65). In the SMI, the N-linked C8-dG adducts adopt a syn conformation that promotes intercalation of the extended C8-aryl ring system (66). In contrast, the C-linked C8-aryl-dG lesions fail to stabilize the truncated NarI(12) duplex compared to the unmodified control (Table 1). The Tm values increase in the order PhG (36.5°C) ≈ FurG (36.8°C) < CNPhG (38.8°C) < QG (39.4°C).

Circular dichroism. The NarI(12) duplexes were analysed using CD spectroscopy to determine the impact of the adduct on the global tertiary structures. All duplexes showed characteristics of B-form DNA (67), with positive (275 nm) and negative (244 nm) sigmoidal CD curves and a crossover at ~260 nm (Figure 2). For NarI(12) paired op-
Table 1. Thermal melting parameters of C8-aryl-dG modified NarI(12).

| X                     | N     | \( T_m (^\circ C) \) | \( \Delta T_m \) |
|-----------------------|-------|-----------------------|------------------|
| G                     | C     | 63.6                  | –                |
| FurG                  | C     | 54.5                  | –1.9             |
| PhG                   | C     | 47.8                  | –15.7            |
| CNPhG                 | C     | 48.9                  | –14.6            |
| QG                    | C     | 45.0                  | –18.6            |
| G                     | G     | 54.0                  | –                |
| FurG                  | G     | 57.6                  | +3.6             |
| PhG                   | G     | 54.7                  | +0.7             |
| CNPhG                 | G     | 55.5                  | +1.5             |
| QG                    | G     | 45.0                  | –9.0             |
| G                     | THF   | 45.7                  | –                |
| FurG                  | THF   | 42.7                  | –3.0             |
| PhG                   | THF   | 42.2                  | –3.5             |
| CNPhG                 | THF   | 47.3                  | +1.6             |
| QG                    | THF   | 39.9                  | –5.8             |
| G                     | –2    | 39.4                  | –                |
| FurG                  | –2    | 36.8                  | –2.6             |
| PhG                   | –2    | 36.5                  | –2.9             |
| CNPhG                 | –2    | 38.8                  | –0.6             |
| QG                    | –2    | 39.4                  | 0.0              |

\( a \) \( T_m \) values of duplexes (6.0 \( \mu \)M) measured in 50 mM sodium phosphate buffer, pH 7, with 0.1 M NaCl, heating rate of 1\( ^\circ \)C/min, errors are \( \pm 1^\circ \)C.

\( b \) \( \Delta T_m = T_m \) (modified duplex) – \( T_m \) (unmodified duplex).

Figure 2. CD spectral overlays of NarI(12) duplexes with \( X = G \) (solid black lines), \( X = \) FurG (dashed red lines), \( X = \) PhG (dashed brown lines), \( X = \) CNPhG (dotted blue lines) and \( X = \) QG (dashed green lines). All spectra of duplexes (6 \( \mu \)M) were recorded in 50 mM sodium phosphate buffer, pH 7, with 0.1 M NaCl at 10\( ^\circ \)C.

Positive C, modified duplexes displayed significant decreases in the positive CD band at 275 nm (Figure 2a). This spectral change has been observed during DNA denaturation (67), and in conditions that increase the winding angle of the DNA base pairs (68), with less optimized stacking interactions. Conversely, the amplitudes of the bands at 240 and 275 nm in the CD spectra of NarI(12) duplexes in which the adducts were paired with G or THF were changed minimally from unmodified duplexes. This lack of perturbation suggests the global and local DNA structure at the site of the lesion is retained from the unmodified helix. For NarI(12) hybridized with the truncated 10mer oligonucleotide, amplitudes at 275 nm were variable, with FurG and CNPhG adducted DNA and the unmodified duplex exhibiting similar peak heights in the CD spectra. The amplitude at 275 nm was diminished for PhG and QG modifications. In all spectra, it was not possible to resolve ellipticities due to the C8-aryl-dG modified bases.

DFT calculations and MD simulations. Structural parameters for the free nucleoside adducts were determined using DFT calculations at the B3LYP/6–31G(d) level. Fully optimized \( anti \) and \( syn \) minima and transition states on the potential energy surface for the PhG and QG nucleoside.
Adducts paired against C. Analysis of the MD trajectories reveals that the anti orientation of all adducts allows them to maintain W-C H-bonding with the complementary C (more than 98% occupancy of H-bonds; Supplementary Table S2 and S1), which places the C8-moiety in the major groove (Figure 4, Supplementary Figures S18a and b, S22a and b, S24a and b and S26a and b). However, depending on the size of the C8-moiety and the orientation of the θ angle, the syn conformations have different structural characteristics. Specifically, the syn orientations of all adducts can adopt two different conformations (details in Supplementary Data), out of which only one maintains Hoogsteen H-bonding with the opposing C thus displaying the W-type conformation (Figure 4, Supplementary Figures S18c and d, S22b, S24b and S26c and d). However, except for QG, the S-type structure was not observed for any other adduct. In addition, the observed S structure of QG was ranked energetically higher than the W conformation (details in Supplementary Data).

The calculated free energies (Supplementary Table S3) suggest that in the DNA duplex PhG, CNPhG and QG favour the anti conformation over the syn conformation(s), by at least 25 kJ mol⁻¹. This preference indicates that the loss of W-C H-bonding upon adopting the syn conformation is not adequately compensated by relatively weaker Hoogsteen H-bonding and/or stacking interactions with the C8-moiety. On the other hand, the smaller anti/syn energy difference (14 kJ mol⁻¹) calculated for FurG indicates greater accessibility of the syn conformation for this adduct (Figure 4). The enhanced stability of the syn conformation when FurG is paired opposite C can be accounted for with the greater persistence of two Hoogsteen H-bonds over the simulation time (~70% occupancy of both bonds) compared to PhG (64% and 59% occupancy), CNPhG (65% and 44% occupancy) and QG (77% and 53% occupancy, Supplementary Table S2).

Adducts paired against G and THF. In order to understand the structural characteristics of guanine mismatch stabilization by the C-linked adducts, adducts in the syn orientation were paired against anti-G, while the anti orientations of the adducts were paired against syn-G to allow H-bonding interactions with the opposing G. Although both syn and anti conformations of the adducts accommodate Hoogsteen H-bonding with the opposing G (Supplementary Figures S19, S22and d, S24c and d and S27), the calculated free energies obtained from the simulations of the ensemble of structures indicate that when paired opposite G, all four adducts prefer a syn conformation by 24–113 kJ mol⁻¹ depending on the identity of the C8-substituent (Figure 5, Supplementary Table S3).

The adducts in their syn and anti conformations were also paired against THF (Figure 5) as a model abasic site (62). In the anti conformations of all adducts, the C8-substituent remains in the major groove and has little possibility of forming additional stacking interactions with the flanking bases (Supplementary Figures S20a and b, S23a, S25a and S28a with respect to the calculated free energies. Detailed results from the MD simulations and the associated free energy rankings are provided in Supplementary Data.
Figure 4. Representative structures corresponding to lowest energy anti and syn conformations for the studied adducts paired against C. The relative free energies (kJ mol$^{-1}$) of two competing conformations are provided in bold. H-Bonding contacts are indicated by dashed lines.

Figure 5. Representative structures corresponding to lowest energy syn conformations for the studied adducts paired against THF and G. H-Bonding contacts are indicated by dashed lines.
and b). On the other hand, when paired in their syn conformation against THF, the adducts have greater propensity to intercalate their C8-substituents between the flanking base pairs within the DNA helix (Figure 5, Supplementary Figures S20c and d, S23b, S25b and S28c and d). The calculated relative free energies indicate that all adducts prefer the syn conformation against THF, mainly due to additional stacking interactions with the flanking bases.

**Adducts paired against −2.** The NarI(12) strands containing the adducts in the syn and anti conformations were also paired against the truncated 10-mer strand (−2, see Table 1 for 10-mer sequence). The free energy calculations indicate that FurG and PhG prefer the anti conformation within the 2-base bulge (Figure 6). However, the syn conformation ranks lower in energy in the case of CNPhG and QG (Figure 6, Supplementary Table S3). FurG and PhG adopt the anti conformation because it is stabilized by H-bonds between the C that is opposite the adduct and 5′ with respect to the bulge (Figure 6). Although such H-bonding interactions are also present in the anti conformation of CNPhG-adducted DNA, the corresponding syn conformation gains stability from additional stacking interactions between the adduct and the unpaired C on its 5′-side (Figure 6). QG prefers the syn conformation due to optimal stacking interactions between the C8-quinolyl moiety and the paired C at its 3′-side, and the paired G at its 5′-side (highlighted in orange, Figure 6). The unpaired C present at the 5′-side of QG is in an extrahelical position and does not participate in H-bonding or π-stacking interactions (5′-C highlighted in blue, Figure 6).

**Fluorescence spectroscopy.** The emissive properties of the C-linked C8-aryl-dG adducts were employed to further probe their conformational preferences in the NarI(12) duplexes. In the nucleoside form, CNPhG (50) possess donor-acceptor (D–A) character and exhibits fluorescence that is quenched in water (i.e. \( \Phi_{fl} = 0.04 \) in H$_2$O versus 0.43 in CH$_3$CN, Table 2) and sensitive to solvent polarity (i.e. \( \lambda_{em} = 468 \mathrm{nm} \) in H$_2$O versus 424 nm in CHCl$_3$, Table 2). The QG adduct also exhibits D–A character, as exemplified by the orbital density plots (Figure 3) in which the LUMO has significant greater density on the quinolyl moiety, which is consistent with D–A character (50). The QG adduct also exhibited dual emission in CH$_3$CN at 384 and 510 nm following excitation at 315 nm (Table 2). A rationale for the emissive properties of QG in H$_2$O, CH$_3$CN and CHCl$_3$ is provided in Supplementary Figure S34. In contrast, FurG and PhG lack D–A character and are strongly emissive in water (\( \lambda_{em} \sim 390 \mathrm{nm}, \Phi_\lambda \sim 0.5 \)) but exhibit emission wavelengths that are weakly sensitive to solvent polarity. Since the relative exposure of the adduct to the bulk solvent (water) will depend on its conformation in DNA (Figure 1a), the unique fluorescent properties of these adducts will help determine adduct positioning within the NarI(12) duplexes.

Emission and excitation parameters of the adducted NarI(12) oligonucleotides in the single-strand state, and upon hybridization to the various complementary strands are given in Table 3. Fluorescence emission spectra of the single strands and duplexes are displayed in Supplementary Figure S35. For FurG and PhG the wavelengths of emission in the duplex structures did not differ significantly from the single-strand emission wavelength (Table 3, \( \Delta \lambda_{em} \)). These characteristics are not informative for predicting syn/anti conformational equilibria. However, the relative emission intensity (\( I_{em} \)) in the duplex versus the single strand for both FurG and PhG exhibit changes that are informative. Specifically, both adducts display quenched emission intensity when paired opposite C and G. Free nucleosides that lack D–A character exhibit quenched emission with increased solvent viscosity (49). Compared to the single-strand environment, the adducts will experience increased rigidity in the duplex due to increased π-stacking and H-bonding of the G moiety to the opposing base in the helix. Many fluorescent nucleobase analogues show quenched emission in oligonucleotides and duplex structures that have been ascribed to base-stacking effects (70,71).

When paired opposite THF and −2, FurG exhibited increased emission intensity (\( I_{em} \sim 2–3 \)), while PhG displayed similar emission intensity to the single strand (\( I_{em} \sim 0.8 \)). In these duplexes, the emissive nucleobase should have greater flexibility due to the lack of H-bonding interactions with an opposing base. In the −2 duplex, MD simulations favour an anti conformation for both FurG and PhG (Figure 6) and indicate diminished π-stacking interactions compared to the full-length complement. Thus, the lack of H-bonding

### Table 2. Structural and optical properties of C8-aryl-dG nucleoside adducts.

| Adduct  | \( E_{anti} \)−\( E_{syn} \)\(^a\) (kJ mol\(^{-1}\)) | \( \chi /\phi^{b}\) | \( \mu \) | \( \lambda_{ex,\lambda_{em}} (\Phi_{fl}) \) | \( \lambda_{ex,\lambda_{em}} (\Phi_{fl}) \) | \( \lambda_{ex,\lambda_{em}} (\Phi_{fl}) \) |
|---------|-----------------------------------------------|----------------|--------|-----------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|
| FurG\(^7\) | 19.3 | 50.1/343.8 | 4.3 | 292, 384 (0.49) | 292, 371 (0.18) | 298, 366 (0.03) |
| PhG | 25.1 | 66.8/41.2 | 4.8 | 277, 395 (0.44) | 292, 384 (0.49) | 289, 373 (0.22) |
| CNPhG\(^6\) | 26.9 | 68.4/37.9 | 8.9 | 308, 468 (0.04) | 327, 454 (0.43) | 325, 424 (0.35) |
| QG | 29.5 | 52.2/305.6 | 4.3 | 313, 407 (0.03) | 315, 384,510 (0.05) | 318, 468 (0.19) |

\(^{a}\)Optimized at the B3LYP/6–31G(d) level, with values corresponding to a syn conformation with a relative energy of 0 kJ mol\(^{-1}\).

\(^{b}\)The dihedral angle \( \chi (O4’C1’N9C4) \) defines the glycosidic bond orientation to be anti when \( \chi = 180 \pm 90^\circ \) or syn when \( \chi = 0 \pm 90^\circ \); \( \phi (N9C8C10C11) \) for PhG, CNPhG and QG and \( \phi (N9C8C10C11) \) for FurG defines the degree of twist between the nucleobase and the C8-aryl group.

\(^{c}\)Excitation and emission maxima in nm.

\(^{d}\)Determined using the comparative method with quinine bisulfate in 0.5 M H$_2$SO$_4$ (\( \Phi_{fl} = 0.55 \)).

\(^{e}\)Determined in aqueous 10 mM MOPS buffer, pH 7, \( \mu = 0.1 \) M NaCl.

\(^{f}\)Optical data in H$_2$O and energy calculations for FurG taken from (49).

\(^{g}\)Optical data in H$_2$O and energy calculations for CNPhG taken from (50).
interactions coupled with reduced \( \sigma \)-stacking in these duplex structures would decrease adduct rigidity and lead to enhanced emission intensity.

In the single strand, CNPhG emits at 464 nm with a Stokes’ shift of 8388 cm\(^{-1}\) (Table 3). Paired opposite C, CNPhG exhibits emission intensity almost identical to the single strand \( (I_{\text{rel}} = 1.04) \) with a comparable Stokes’ shift. These observations are consistent with exposure of the cyanophenyl moiety to the aqueous environment. For CN-PhG paired opposite G and THF, the MD simulations strongly favour the \( \text{syn} \) conformation. CNPhG can form Hoogsteen H-bonding with the opposing \( \text{anti}-\text{G} \), which positions the cyanophenyl moiety in the minor groove. Opposite THF, the absence of an opposing base locates the C8-moiety towards the interior of the helix and enhances stacking interactions with the neighbouring bases (Figure 5). Thus, CNPhG paired opposite THF would be expected to exhibit a greater blue-shift in emission wavelength com-
pared to CNPhG paired opposite C and G, as it is more sequestered from the aqueous environment. Inspection of the photophysical data (Table 3) is consistent with the MD simulations. The Stokes’ shift for CNPhG paired opposite THF is strongly diminished due to the blue-shift in emission wavelength (Δλ_em = −9 nm compared to CNPhG paired opposite C and G). Finally, for CNPhG paired opposite −2, the calculations favour the syn conformation, which places the cyanophenyl moiety in the interior of the helix (Figure 6). The emission wavelengths for CNPhG paired opposite −2 are similar to the corresponding wavelengths for syn-CNPhG paired opposite THF. However, CNPhG is more emissive within the 2-base bulge (I_rel = 3.92), due to the loss of effective π-stacking interactions compared to the full-length duplex.

In the single strand, QG emits at 477 nm in NarI(12) with a Stokes’ shift of 10 189 cm⁻¹ (Table 3). This emission was ascribed to a charge-transfer state. Paired opposite C, the emission of QG was strongly quenched (I_rel = 0.41) with relatively little change in Δν compared to the single strand. The calculations strongly favour the anti conformation for QG paired with C (Figure 4) and the fluorescence data is consistent with exposure of the quinolyl moiety to the aqueous environment, which quenches emission intensity (Table 2). With QG opposite G, MD simulations predict a syn conformation with the bulky quinolyl group positioned in the minor groove (Figure 5). Opposite THF (Figure 5) and −2 (Figure 6), QG is expected to adopt the syn conformation with the quinolyl moiety within the π-stack sequestered from the aqueous solvent environment. In these duplexes, the emissive QG exhibits a significant decrease in Stokes’shift (Table 3) due to the blue-shift in emission wavelength, which is consistent with its placement in a non-polar environment.

**Primer extension of NarI(22)**

*Extension by Klenov exo⁻* (Kf⁻). To determine the miscoding potential of C-linked C8-dG adducts, single-nucleotide insertion assays were performed with the NarI(22):15mer template:primer and Kf⁻ in the presence of 25–100 μM individual dNTP (Figure 7). For the unmodified NarI(22) template (X = G), only the correct base C was incorporated. However, for the NarI(22) template modified to contain the smallest adduct (X = FurG), the polymerase inserted C twice. Thus, in the presence of 100 μM dCTP, we observed 63% of the product containing two C’s, relative to 15% of the single C insertion product and 22% unreacted primer. When extension past N-linked C8-dG adducts at the G3-position of NarI was examined previously (33), incorporation of a second C has been categorized as a so-called two-base slippage phenomenon and implies pairing of CC with GG one position removed to the 5’-side of the adduct site (positions 3 and 4 in the template strand, Figure 7a). A similar magnitude incorporation of one A (65%) and small amounts of G (14%) and T (3%) were also observed. For the templates containing X = PhG and QG, the two-base slippage phenomenon with incorporation of two C bases was also observed, but to a lesser degree (PhG, 26%; QG, 13%). PhG and QG also diminished the extent of misincorporation of A by Kf⁻ (Figure 7b).

In the presence of all four dNTPs (Figure 8), extension
past the C8-aryl-dG adducts became increasingly blocked as the size of the C8-aryl substituent increased. For each adduct, extension one-base past the adduct site was clearly more hindered than incorporation opposite the adduct. This observation is in agreement with previous reports that bulky N-linked C8-dG adducts provide significant kinetic barriers to extension by Kf\(^{-}\) following base insertion opposite the lesion (72). For example, relative extension frequencies for inserting one base after a C8-AF-G:C or C8-AAF-G:C at the primer terminus are \(\sim 0.032\) and \(1.4 \times 10^{-6}\) relative to a value of 1 for G:C (72). For the C-linked C8-dG adducts, the smallest adduct FurG was extended most efficiently by Kf\(^{-}\), resulting in a +7 band for the full-length 22mer extension product (Figure 8). A +8 band was also observed and was ascribed to blunt-end extension, which is independent of the polymerase employed and nature of the template strand (modified or unmodified) (73). For extension past FurG, additional partial extension bands were also detected that migrated with different mobilities than those arising from the control unmodified NarI(22) template. For example, at the second incorporation site the unmodified template exhibits a single product indicated by the green triangle (Figure 8). However, at the same position, two products were detected for extension past FurG. The major product labelled with the red triangle migrated faster on the gel than the product observed for the unmodified template. The same product peak (labelled with red triangle) was also detected for extension past PhG. The observed mixture of products for extension past FurG and PhG suggests that these lesions promote both error-free and error-prone extension by Kf\(^{-}\) (33).

**Translesion synthesis by Dpo4.** Given that the C-linked C8-dG adducts strongly block extension by the high-fidelity Kf\(^{-}\), it was desirable to examine replication of the NarI(22) templates using Dpo4 as a model lesion-bypass DNA polymerase. In single-nucleotide insertion assays (Figure 9), Dpo4 exhibited low fidelity with the unmodified NarI(22) template (X = G), on the basis of significantly misincorporating bases opposite G. The single-base insertion products and their abundance relative to unreacted primer were: G (59%), or GG (34%), T (82%) or A (22%), in the presence of dGTP, dTTP or dATP, respectively. When provided only with the correct option dCTP, the polymerase primarily inserted a single C (71%). However, bands for incorporation of 2, 3 or 4 C bases were also observed. The low fidelity observed for Dpo4, even with the unmodified NarI(22) template, is consistent with its established low geometric selection for correct base pairs (74).

The extent of polymerase misincorporation of G and T decreased considerably between the modified NarI(22) templates compared to the unmodified control (Figure 9b). Weak bands for misincorporation of G (also weak band for a second G), A and T were observed (5–17%). The presence of C-linked C8-aryl-dG adducts in the template also promoted a large extent of multiple incorporations of C by Dpo4, suggesting promotion of slippage compared to the unmodified template. Both FurG and PhG promoted incorporation of the 4 C’s, while the bulkier QG adduct promoted incorporation of two C’s.

In the presence of all four dNTPs (Figure 10), Dpo4 was
able to extend past the lesion site, whereas Kf− was clearly blocked (Figure 8). Full-length extension was observed for the modified template containing FurG. However, PhG and especially QG inhibited the ability of Dpo4 to extend the primer to full-length 22mer product. For the bulkiest QG lesion, primer extension was strongly blocked following insertion of three bases to afford an 18mer product (33% for +3 incorporation band). As noted in full-length extension by Kf− (Figure 8), mutagenic extension by Dpo4 (33) was indicated by additional truncation bands that migrated with different mobilities (highlighted by red triangles) than the truncation bands arising from the unmodified NarI(22) template (highlighted by green triangles).

**DISCUSSION**

**Conformational preferences of C-linked C8-aryl-dG adducts within NarI(12) duplexes**

The MD simulations predict that all C-linked C8-aryl-dG adducts exhibit an anti preference paired opposite C (Figure 4), despite the strong syn preference of the free nucleosides (Table 2). This conformation places the C8-aryl ring in the major groove exposed to the aqueous environment. The fluorescence data for CNPhG and QG support this model, as both D-A adducts exhibit emissive characteristics within the duplexes that are similar to the single strand, suggesting that both adducts are exposed to water. Thus, increasing C8-aryl ring size decreases duplex stability when the adducts are paired opposite C (Table 1) because the larger adducts are more lipophilic and have greater intrinsic syn preference (Table 2). Therefore, the smallest adduct (FurG) is the most flexible and can better access the syn conformation when paired opposite C (Figure 4). The low-energy syn conformations are W-type (Figure 1a) with the C8-aryl moiety in the minor groove (Figure 4), which are structurally similar to the favoured syn conformations of the adducts mismatched with G (Figure 5). In both duplexes (matched and mismatched) the C8-aryl group is either too small or too twisted with respect to the nucleobase to effectively intercalate into the DNA helix and extrude the opposing base into an extrahelical position. This lack of effective intercalation is also reflected in the inability of the adducts to stabilize the duplexes when paired opposite THF and within the 2-base bulge (Table 1). Our results demonstrate that the conformational features of the C-linked C8-aryl-dG adducts within the NarI sequence clearly differ from those established for the polycyclic N-linked C8-dG counterparts (5,19–22).

**Rationale for Dpo4 slippage mediated by C-linked C8-aryl-dG adducts**

At the reiterated G3-position of the NarI sequence the current model for 2-base slippage (Figure 11a) induced by N-linked C8-dG adducts involves initial insertion of C opposite the C8-dG adduct (X, step 1), that is followed by 2-base slippage (step 2) and base-pairing of the inserted C with G at position 3 in the template strand (Figure 11a, inserted primer bases are highlighted in bold and numbering of the template strand is provided) (48). In this model, the slowed progression of DNA replication due to the presence of damaged DNA bases is thought to permit the inserted C to switch base-pairing positions and generate the 2-base bulged SMI. In a syn conformation, the aryl moiety of the N-linked C8-dG adduct can intercalate into the truncated duplex to stabilize the 2-base bulged SMI (63–66). The polymerase extends the SMI to produce the 2-base deletion product (step 3) that is two bases shorter than the expected full-length extended oligonucleotide (31).

For the C-linked C8-aryl-dG adducts at the G3-position of NarI(22), Dpo4 inserted multiple C bases (Figure 9), which strongly suggested a slippage mechanism as outlined in Figure 11b. In the starting NarI(22):15mer template:primer, we anticipate the adducts to be present in a syn conformation, given their strong syn preference (Table 2) and that there is no opposing base (MD simulations and emission spectra favour the syn conformation for the adducts paired opposite THF in NarI(12), Figure 5, Supplementary Table S3). Insertion of C (step 1) requires that the C-linked C8-aryl-dG adduct adopt the anti geometry, which forces the polymerase to flip the adduct from its stable syn conformation into the energetically destabilized anti conformation. The barrier for this process is expected to depend on C8-aryl ring size (see E<sub>anti</sub>-E<sub>syn</sub>, Table 2) and this conformational change is expected to stall DNA replication compared to replication of the unmodified template. The stall in replication caused by the C-linked C8-aryl-dG adducts would allow for slippage and production of the 2-base bulge SMI (step 2), as proposed for the N-linked C8-dG adducts (Figure 11a) (48).

Following 2-base bulge formation, the polymerase can insert a second C opposite G at position 4 in the template strand (included in step 2, Figure 11b). The resulting 2-base bulged duplexes are anticipated to exist as an equilibrium mixture of the anti or syn conformations. Our MD simulations suggest that both FurG and PhG energetically favour the anti geometry within the 2-base bulge, while the bulkier QG favours the syn conformation (Figure 6, Supplementary Table S3). Thus, we propose that the SMI with FurG and PhG can undergo a realignment process (step 3) to permit additional C incorporation by Dpo4 across from positions 3 and 4 in the template strand (step 4). The MD-simulated structures of the 2-base bulged duplexes with FurG and PhG suggest that realignment would be facile because both adducts are in the correct anti geometry to W-C base pair with C in the extended primer and neither adduct stabilizes the 2-base bulge (Table 1). This proposal provides a rationale for incorporation of four C bases in the single-nucleotide incorporation assays by Dpo4 for X = FurG and PhG (Figure 9). The end result is the formation of a C:C mismatch at position 2 of the template strand. Dpo4 has a tendency to generate C:C mismatches, especially when the C in the template has a 5′-neighbouring G (74), as present in NarI(22).

In contrast, realignment of the primer:template when the adduct QG is present is anticipated to be disfavoured because QG energetically favours the syn conformation within the SMI (Figure 6, Supplementary Table S3). Thus, QG is not expected to undergo realignment, as the QG adduct would need to flip from its favoured syn conformation in the SMI into an unstable anti geometry. Consistent with
this model, only two C bases were inserted by Dpo4 under single-nucleotide incorporation conditions with X = QG (Figure 9), which is classical evidence for 2-base slippage (31).

**Biological implications: C- versus N-linked C8-G adducts**

The vast literature concerning the N-linked C8-dG adducts demonstrate that all of the derivatives with potent mutagenicity have polycyclic structures (75). None of the single-ringed aniline derivatives are potent mutagens (76). Polycyclic N-linked adducts, such as C8-AAF-dG (19), C8-AF-dG (20,21) and C8-IQ-dG (22), can adopt the S-type conformation (Figure 1a) when paired opposite C in duplex DNA, which is regarded as a pro-mutagenic conformation (5,6). For the N-linked C8-aniline-dG adduct the aniline ring system is too small to effectively intercalate and so the base readily adopts the anti conformation when paired opposite C in duplex DNA and favours the B-type structure with minimal distortion to the helix (18). The B-type structure is also favoured for the C-linked C8-aryl-dG adds paired opposite C (Figure 4). However, C-linked C8-dG adducts possess a strong syn preference (Table 2) and C8-aryl ring size governs their conformational flexibility between syn (W-type) and anti (B-type) structures (Figure 4). In the present study, the smallest adduct tested (FurG) exhibited the easiest accessibility to the syn conformation when paired opposite C (Figure 4). Consequently, FurG produced the greatest levels of A and G incorporation by Kf− (Figure 7) and G incorporation by Dpo4 (Figure 9), as it can more readily adopt a pro-mutagenic syn conformation in which the Hoogsteen H-bonding face of G can base pair with G (Figure 5) and A (77). Thus, the syn conformational preference of C-linked C8-dG adducts provides a rational for their ability to induce G → T and G → C transversion mutations (9,39).

Polycyclic N-linked C8-dG adducts at the reiterated G3-position of the NarI sequence are known to generate −2 frameshift mutations (31,48) which correlates with their ability to stabilize a 2-base SMI (63–66). In contrast to their N-linked counterparts, none of the C-linked C8-dG adducts studied herein stabilized the SMI compared to the unmodified control (Table 1). However, a key mechanistic feature of slippage is the ability of the lesion to stall progression of DNA synthesis (48). The C-linked C8-dG adducts effectively blocked full-length extension by Kf− (Figure 8), which suggests recruitment of lesion-bypass polymerases, such as Dpo4. Extension by Dpo4 resulted in a modified slippage phenomenon that suggested a realignment process to produce a C:C mismatch distal to the adduct site (Figure 11b). The tendency of C-linked C8-aryl-dG adducts to promote slippage at reiterated sequences during translesion synthesis by Y-family polymerases may also represent a basis for their mutagenic properties.

**CONCLUSIONS**

The current study has allowed us to conclude the following: (i) at the reiterated G3-position of a 12mer NarI oligonucleotide (NarI(12)), carbon-linked C8-aryl-dG adducts prefer to adopt an anti conformation paired opposite C, despite the strong syn preference of the free nucleosides. Forcing the C8-aryl-dG adduct into the anti conformation strongly decreases duplex stability in the order of increasing C8-aryl ring size. Thus, the smallest FurG lesion is the most flexible and exhibits greater accessibility to the syn conformation when paired opposite C. (ii) When the modified NarI(12) sequences were hybridized with the truncated 10-mer sequence (−2), i.e. mimicking a SMI, none of the C-linked C8-dG adducts stabilized the truncated duplex compared to the unmodified control, suggesting the inability of these lesions to induce −2 frameshift mutations. (iii) Primer extension reactions with an adducted NarI(22) template:15mer primer catalyzed by the high-fidelity replicative polymerase E. coli pol I Klonev fragment exo− (Kf−), indicated that increased C8-aryl ring size inhibits error-prone synthesis by Kf−. Thus, the greatest levels of A and G misincorporation by Kf− occurred when the smallest adduct FurG was present in the template. This observation is consistent with
its greater conformational flexibility (interchange between syn and anti structures) compared to the other C-linked C8-aryl-dG adducts with larger C8-aryl substituents. In the syn conformation the Hoogsteen H-bonding face of G can base pair with G and A, which provides a rational for the ability of C8-aryl-dG adducts to induce G → T and G → C transition mutations. (iv) When DNA synthesis was performed by Dpo4, there was a strong tendency for template slippage to occur, blocking full-length extension by the polymerase. For FurG and PhG in the template, we propose that the template:primer complex realigns following 2-base slippage to create a C:C mismatch downstream of the adduct site. Overall, our results suggest that C-linked C8-aryl-dG adducts promote G → T and G → C transition mutations due to their syn conformational preference and effectively stall DNA replication to permit slippage of the primer at reiterated sequences to afford mutations in the vicinity of the adduct (semi-targeted mutations). These observations provide a chemical basis for the mutagenic potential of C8-aryl-dG adducts.
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