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Highlights
- Implementing Nearest Level Modulation technique to modified SCL qZS-CMI.
- Voltage gain vs switching stress is analyzed.
- Simulation results are analyzed with existing quasi Z-Source Cascaded Multilevel Inverter.
- Prototype model is designed for modified SCL qZS-CMI and analyzed.

Abstract
This paper proposes Switched coupled inductor (SCL) based quasi-Z-Source cascaded multilevel inverter (qZS-CMI), (SCL qZS-CMI) using nearest level modulation (NLM) technique in this paper. The proposed inverter introduces appealing advantages over conventional qZS-CMI such as lower component voltage stress, improved output power quality and efficiency. Both simulation and experimental verification have been carried out for SCL qZS-CMI with a power rating of 250W to validate the above claims.

1. INTRODUCTION

Traditional Voltage-source-inverter (VSI) and Current-source-inverter (CSI) have a huge demand in industrial applications but still, face some disadvantages. VSIs can implement only buck operation while CSIs can perform only voltage boost inversion [1]. To quell the drawbacks of VSI and CSI, Z-Source Inverter (ZSI) is introduced [2]. ZSI performs a single-stage operation for buck and boost in voltage conversion. ZSI also easily accord a wide range of operation even though fluctuations are present, whereas in traditional dc-dc converter it is achieved in two-stage operation [3-5]. In improving ZSI further, it is integrated with Cascaded Multilevel Inverter (CMI) [6-7]. The main reason for choosing CMI over the other two types of MLI namely, Diode Clamped MLI and Flying Capacitor MLI are, the diode clamped MLI has more diodes with a combination of switches. Similarly, flying capacitor MLI has multiple capacitors with switches. The main advantage of CMI is, it has only switches, without any diodes and capacitors [8-10]. Z-Source-CMI (ZS-CMI) overcome the disadvantages of traditional CMI with single-stage inversion [11-14]. Further, with small inductance value, there will be a discontinuity in ZSI [15]. To overcome the above condition quasi Z-Source inverter (qZSI) and qZS-CMI have been introduced as shown in Figure 1. Consequently, by utilizing qZS-CMI many attempts are made to increase the boost capability by applying various PWM methods such as simple boost control, maximum boost control and constant boost control [16-17]. But these techniques have limitations over the boost capability of voltage. In sequence to boost the voltage, the only option is to improve the circuit with various circuit topologies such as switched-capacitor (SC), switched-inductor (SL) [18-22]. In further combination with switched capacitor and switched inductor is named as Switched Coupled Inductor quasi Z-Source Inverter, where it overcome
the disadvantages which are present in above mentioned inverter topologies of quasi Z-Source network. By implementing quasi Z-Source Inverter to Neutral Point Clamped (NPC) it is more complex to deal with diodes of NPC and qZSI while increasing for higher levels.

In this paper, the integration of Switched coupled inductor (SCL) and qZS-CMI is proposed. Nearest Level Modulation (NLM) technique is applied to obtain pulses for switches because this method uses a large step number that helps to uplift the calibre of the output voltage [23]. This paper organizes as follows; Section 2 describes SCL qZS-CMI, Implementation of Nearest Level Modulation (NLM) technique is briefed in section 3, Simulation results are discussed in section 4, Verification and validation of experimental results are done in section 5, key results and inferences are discussed in section 6.

![Figure 1. Quasi Z-Source Cascaded Multilevel Inverter](image)

The existing quasi Z-Source Cascaded Multilevel Inverter is shown in Figure 1. The shoot-through time interval is $T_{sh}$, during switching period $T_s$, the shoot-through duty cycle is defined as $D = T_{sh} / T_s$.

\[ V_{c1} = \frac{1-D}{1-2D} V_{in} \]  
\[ V_{c2} = \frac{D}{1-2D} V_{in} \]  
\[ V_{DC} = V_{c1} + V_{c2} = \frac{1}{1-2D} V_{in} \]

$V_{c1}$ and $V_{c2}$ are the voltages of capacitors 1 and 2, $V_{in}$ is the input voltage, where $V_{DC}$ is the DC link voltage. In non-shoot through there is no contribution of the voltage, where $V_{DC} = 0$.

For qZS- CMI the synthesize voltage is;
\[ V_H = \sum_{k=1}^{n} V_h = \sum_{k=1}^{n} S_k V_{in} \]  

(4)

\[ V_H \] is the input voltage of the H-Bridge module, \( V_h \) is the output voltage of each H-Bridge module [23]. Figures 2 and 3 represent the shoot-through and non-shoot-through operation of existing qZS-CMI.

2. MODIFIED SCL qZS-CMI

In this proposed circuit, each H-Bridge module has the same capacitor values and inductor values. In each H-Bridge module, coupled inductor of each bridge is connected with a pair of diodes i.e., \((D_2, D_3), (D_5, D_6)\) and \((D_8, D_9)\) as shown in Figure 4. The coupled inductor has the same no.of turns with \( N_{xa} = N_{xb} \) turns ratio of winding is \( n = N_{xa}/N_{xb} = N_{xc}/N_{xb} \). The turn’s ratio of windings is the same for the other two H-bridges.

SCL combines with one capacitor, two diodes in the existing model. It achieves high boost factor of \( 3/(1-4D) \) in comparing with traditional qZS-CMI. SCL has low stress on the components of the circuit. By comparing with the traditional qZS-CMI [24] the size of the inductor is reduced. SCL qZS-CMI will operate in shoot-through and non-shoot-through states. In shoot-through state, which is shown in Figure 5, diodes \( D_1, D_4, D_7 \) will be OFF. whereas diodes \( (D_2, D_3), (D_5, D_6) \) and \( (D_8, D_9) \) will be ON. Windings \( (N_{xa}, N_{xb}) \), \( (N_{ya}, N_{yb}) \) and \( (N_{za}, N_{zb}) \) are charged by parallel capacitors \( C_1, C_4, C_7 \). \( N_{xc}, N_{yc} \) and \( N_{za} \) produces energy to \( C_1, C_4, C_7 \) and capacitors \( C_3, C_6, C_9 \) will obtain energy. The leakage inductance is limited by charging current of capacitors \( C_3, C_6, C_9 \).
Figure 4. Circuit diagram of proposed SCL qZS-CMI
Figure 5. Shoot-through of the proposed modified SCL qZS-CMI

During non-shoot-through, it has six operating states and two non-operating states for SCL qZS-CMI. Diodes (D₂, D₃), (D₅, D₆) and (D₈, D₉) will be OFF, diodes D₁, D₄, D₇ will be ON. The capacitors (C₁, C₂), (C₄, C₅) and (C₇, C₈) will be charged. The windings (Nₓa, Nₓb, Nₓc), (Nᵧa, Nᵧb, Nᵧc) and (Nₚₐ, Nₚₐ, Nₚₐ) are in series with capacitors C₃, C₆, C₉ to transfer energy to the main circuit. The leakage inductance of SCL qZS-CMI is absorbed by C₂, C₅, and C₈ and recycled to avoid stress on switches. SCL qZS-CMI produces a step waveform with the smooth quality of output voltage. Non shoot- through operation is shown in Figure 6.

Figure 6. Non shoot-through of the proposed modified SCL qZS-CMI

The reason for separate DC sources is the CMI connection needs separate DC sources initially. The switching sequence for SCL qZS-CMI is given in Table 1.

SCL qZS-CMI is connected in series with individual dc sources. The switch count of the circuit is `4n' where `n' is no.of dc sources. Three dc sources have the same voltage and the AC output voltage is attained by 2n+1 levels. The equation of SCL qZS-CMI with DC source is given as:

\[ V_{dcg} = V_{dc}, \text{ where } g=1, 2, 3,...,n. \]

The voltage level of three H-Bridge of SCL qZS-CMI is generated as follows:

- \[ V_{dc1} \text{ for } V_{dc1}, V_{dc1}+V_{dc2} \text{ for } 2V_{dc1}, \text{ and } V_{dc1}+V_{dc2}+V_{dc3} \text{ for } 3V_{dc1}. \]

In comparison, the switching stress of voltage vs voltage gain for qZS-CMI and SCL qZS-CMI is shown in Figure 7. In terms of switching stress and voltage gain for SCL qZS-CMI, it is given as, where \( V_g \) is Voltage gain and \( V_{sw} \) is switching stress;
\[ V_{SW} = \left( \frac{4V_S - 3}{3} \right)V_{in}. \] (5)

Table 1. Switching Sequence of modified SCL qZS-CMI

| Voltage | 0 | \(V_{dc,m}\) | 2\(V_{dc,m}\) | 3\(V_{dc,m}\) | -3\(V_{dc,m}\) | -2\(V_{dc,m}\) | -\(V_{dc,m}\) | 0 |
|---------|---|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|---|
| \(Q_{ae}\) | ON | ON | ON | ON | OFF | OFF | OFF | OFF |
| \(Q_{ab}\) | ON | OFF | OFF | OFF | ON | ON | ON | OFF |
| \(Q_{ac}\) | OFF | OFF | OFF | OFF | ON | ON | ON | ON |
| \(Q_{ad}\) | OFF | ON | ON | ON | OFF | OFF | OFF | OFF |
| \(Q_{ba}\) | ON | ON | ON | ON | OFF | OFF | OFF | OFF |
| \(Q_{bc}\) | ON | ON | OFF | OFF | ON | ON | OFF | OFF |
| \(Q_{bd}\) | OFF | OFF | OFF | OFF | ON | ON | ON | ON |
| \(Q_{ca}\) | ON | OFF | OFF | OFF | ON | ON | OFF | OFF |
| \(Q_{cb}\) | ON | ON | ON | OFF | ON | OFF | OFF | OFF |
| \(Q_{cc}\) | OFF | OFF | OFF | OFF | ON | ON | ON | ON |
| \(Q_{cd}\) | OFF | OFF | OFF | ON | OFF | ON | ON | ON |

Figure 7. The plot of Voltage Gain vs Switching stress

3. NEAREST MODULATION TECHNIQUE

This technique directly controls the phase voltage of the inverter and keeps it constant [25-27]. Also, this technique has less grievance in computation and has more flexibility in choosing the pattern of switching operation. The nearest-level modulation technique regulates in two switching modes such as increment and decrement mode as shown in Figure 8(a),(b).
Figure 8. Nearest Level Modulation Technique : (a) Incremental operation, (b) Decremental operation

The switching pattern of each phase is incremented from $K_w$ to $K_{w+1}$, and decremented from $K_{w+1}$ to $K_w$ to alleviate the harmonics in phase voltages for increment and decrement modes, the switching pattern should be similar for each cycle [28]. The general equation for NLM technique is;

$$x_i = \arcsin\left(\frac{1-0.5}{n}\right); \text{ for } i=1,2,3,\ldots n$$

where $n = \frac{l-1}{2}$,

$l$ = number of levels in modified SCL qZS-CMI. For a 7 level cascaded multilevel inverter, $n=3$. On substituting the value of $l$ in (6), the switching angles obtained are $x_1=9.60^\circ$, $x_2=30.00^\circ$, $x_3=56.44^\circ$. The NLM technique can also be used in high voltage applications. NLM is used in CMI for controlling the output voltage easily.

4. SIMULATION RESULTS

In prior to the experimental verification, simulation of the existing topology qZS-CMI and proposed SCL qZS-CMI is performed. The inductors value and capacitors value of qZS-CMI are taken based on literature [24]. The value of capacitors and inductors for the dc link in the proposed topology is considered based on literature [19]. The coupled inductors are in the ratio 1:2:2 with the no.of turns N, where the inductor value of the coupled inductor is 200µH, and the switching frequency, $f_s=10$kHz. Here the R-load is considered for calculations. Tables 2 and 3 represents the values of existing qZS-CMI and proposed SCL qZS-CMI.

Table 2. Parameter values of the existing qZS-CMI

| S.No | Parameter                  | Value   |
|------|---------------------------|---------|
| 1    | Inductor (L_1 to L_6)     | 760µH   |
| 2    | Capacitor (C_1 to C_6)    | 4400µF  |

Table 3. Parameter values of the proposed modified SCL qZS-CMI

| S.No | Parameter                  | Value   |
|------|---------------------------|---------|
| 1    | Inductors (L_1 to L_3)    | 500µH   |
| 2    | Capacitors (C_1 to C_8)   | 440µF   |
| 3    | Coupled Inductor (1:2:2)  | 200µH   |
The simulation results of output voltage and output current of existing qZS-CMI are shown in Figures 9 and 10. The output voltage of the qZS-CMI is 114.4 V and output current is 1.98 A.
The simulation results of the output voltage and the output current are shown in Figures 11 and 12. The output voltage is 166.6 V and the output current is 1.4 A. The output voltage of the existing qZS-CMI is boosted 1.25 times of the given input voltage, whereas the proposed topology SCL qZS-CMI is boosted 1.85 times of the input voltage. The SCL qZS-CMI is increased due to the presence of coupled inductor in the circuit.

The system is designed for R-load. THD comparison is shown in Figures 13 and 14, which is represented using FFT analysis. In comparison of THD, the traditional qZS-CMI has 3.33% and proposed modified SCL qZS-CMI has 2.18%.
For traditional qZS-CMI and proposed modified SCL qZS-CMI the values of $C_f=2000\text{uF}$ and $L_f=5\text{mH}$ are used for the filter. The equation used for calculating the values of capacitor and inductor for the filter are as follows

$$f = \frac{1}{2\pi \sqrt{L_f C_f}},$$

$$C_f = \left(\frac{1}{2\pi \times 50 \sqrt{5 \times 10^{-3}}}\right)^2.$$

By considering $L_f$ as $5\text{mH}$ and substituting in (4), $C_f$ is found as, $C_f=200\text{uF}$. The output voltage with the filter of the proposed SCL qZS-CMI is shown in Figure 15.

![Simulation output voltage of modified SCL qZS-CMI with the filter](image)

**Figure 15. Simulation output voltage of modified SCL qZS-CMI with the filter**

5. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

![Experimental setup of modified SCL qZS-CMI](image)

**Figure 16. Experimental setup of modified SCL qZS-CMI**
Experimental validation 250W is performed for the proposed modified SCL qZS-CMI. My-RIO (National Instruments) board is utilized to implement the control scheme (NLM technique). Apart from My-RIO, the proposed system is built with TLP-350 optocoupler driver circuit. The TLP-350 driver circuit is used for driving the pulses to the switches through connecting My-RIO pins.

The power supply for driver circuit is given by using transformer 230/18V and it is stepped down to DC 15V which is sufficient for TLP-350. In Figure 16 the experimental setup of modified SCL qZS-CMI is shown. The parameters chosen for the proposed modified SCL qZS-CMI are the same that have been implemented in simulation. In the experimental setup, the switches used are IGBT H20R1203. In detail the input voltage for each module is given as 30V and combining of the three sources, the total input voltage is 90V.

![Figure 17. Experimental output voltage of modified SCL qZS-CMI](image1)

The output voltage is 166.64V and the output current is 1.40 A are shown in Figures 17 and 18. In detail, the output voltage is boosted 1.85 times the input voltage.

![Figure 18. Experimental output current of modified SCL qZS-CMI](image2)
The comparative analysis for THD is done by utilizing single-phase power quality analyser, where the THD of qZS-CMI is 3.33% and modified SCL qZS-CMI is 2.18 %, which are shown in Figures 19 and 20. Due to the smooth waveform and lower component rating in the proposed topology, the THD is getting reduced.

The efficiency is calculated based on the input power ($P_{dc}$) and output power ($P_{ac}$). The efficiency calculation of the existing system is as follows:
Efficiency ($\eta$) = \[ \frac{P_{dc}}{P_{ac}} \]

\[ \eta = \frac{V_{ac} \times I_{ac}}{V_{dc} \times I_{dc}} \]

In calculating the efficiency, proposed topology SCL qZS-CMI, input voltage of the system is 90 V and input current of 2.76 A. The output voltage of the proposed topology is 166.64 V and 1.4 A. Efficiency calculation of the proposed system:

\[ \eta = \frac{166.64 \times 1.4}{90 \times 2.76} = 93.34\% \]

In comparison, the efficiency is shown in Figure 21, the traditional qZS-CMI is 90.23% and proposed modified SCL qZS-CMI is 93.34%.

6. CONCLUSION

This paper has proposed, modified SCL qZS-CMI. Performance analysis is done by comparing with traditional qZS-CMI for switching stress, THD and efficiency. The parameters are well designed and the experimental setup is built for proposed modified SCL qZS-CMI with a power rating of 250 W. The proposed system is built for seven-level output voltage by implementing low frequency NLM technique. Simulation and experimental results are found to be same for proposed modified SCL qZS-CMI. In terms of better efficiency and power quality, this can be utilized for tool box application. In future it can be implemented for weak grid application with renewable energy (PV) systems.
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