Single ferromagnetic fluctuations in UCoGe revealed by $^{73}\text{Ge}$- and $^{59}\text{Co}$-NMR studies
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$^{73}\text{Ge}$ and $^{59}\text{Co}$ nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) and nuclear quadrupole resonance (NQR) measurements have been performed on a $^{73}\text{Ge}$-enriched single-crystalline sample of the ferromagnetic superconductor UCoGe in the paramagnetic state. The $^{73}\text{Ge}$ NQR parameters deduced from NQR and NMR are close to those of another isostructural ferromagnetic superconductor URhGe. The Knight shifts of the Ge and Co sites are well scaled to each other when the magnetic field is parallel to the $b$ or $c$ axis. The hyperfine coupling constants of Ge are estimated to be close to those of Co. The large difference of spin susceptibilities between the $a$ and $b$ axes could lead to the different response of the superconductivity and ferromagnetism with the field parallel to these directions. The temperature dependence of the nuclear spin-lattice relaxation rates $1/T_1$ at the two sites is similar to each other above 5 K. These results indicate that the itinerant U-5$f$ electrons are responsible for the ferromagnetism in this compound, consistent with previous studies. The similarities and differences in the three ferromagnetic superconductors are discussed.

I. INTRODUCTION

Uranium-based ferromagnetic (FM) superconductors\cite{1,2} have attracted much attention because of the intimate relationship between ferromagnetism and superconductivity. In these systems, the superconducting (SC) phases are inside the FM state, and both of the ordered states are attributed to itinerant U-5$f$ electrons. The pairing state and SC mechanism have been considered to be different from the ordinary $s$-wave pairing mediated by the electron-phonon coupling.

UCoGe is a member of the FM superconductors, and has a Curie temperature $T_{\text{Curie}} \simeq 3$ K and SC transition temperature $T_{\text{SC}} \simeq 0.7$ K.\cite{4} We have shown the relationship between FM fluctuations with the Ising anisotropy and superconductivity from the measurements of the field-angle and field-magnitude dependencies, and suggested that the FM fluctuations induce spin-triplet superconductivity in UCoGe.\cite{19,20,21} Recently, Wu et al. showed that this scenario explains the macroscopic properties of superconductivity in UCoGe quantitatively.\cite{22} An interesting and important question in the FM superconductors is whether this scenario is applicable for UGe$_2$ and URhGe. To answer the question, we need to know similarities and differences of the magnetic properties of three FM superconductors.

The superconductivity of UCoGe is enhanced at the FM critical pressure $P_c \approx 1$ GPa, and the SC phase persists even if the ferromagnetism is suppressed by the pressure\cite{23,24,25}, and this phase disappears at $P \simeq 4$ GPa.\cite{26} In contrast, the SC state in UGe$_2$ terminates at the FM critical pressure.\cite{27} In addition, it seems that the relationship between magnetic properties and superconductivity is also different between URhGe and UCoGe with respect to the enhancement of superconductivity by the field parallel to the $b$ axis in the orthorhombic structure.\cite{28} A reorientation of the magnetic moment occurs in URhGe at $\mu_0H \sim 12$ T\cite{29} which is most likely related to the strong FM fluctuations parallel to the $b$ axis and the re-entrant SC phase is observed in the limited field region around 12 T. In contrast, the above picture that the FM moment rotates under the field does not apply to the enhancement of superconductivity at $\sim 12$ T in UCoGe, since such a moment polarization occurs at $\sim 50$ T along the $b$ axis.\cite{30} Magnetic characters of three FM superconductors have some differences against the pressure and field responses, although they also have intimate similarities, for instance, that their ferromagnetism is in the itinerant regime from the angle resolved photoelectron spectroscopy (ARPES).\cite{31,32} To understand such similarities and differences more precisely, it is crucial to investigate magnetic characters from the same probes throughout these systems. The $^{73}\text{Ge}$-nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) and nuclear quadrupole resonance (NQR) measurements are valuable since Ge are included in all the three compounds, and make it possible to compare these FM superconductors from the microscopic point of view. The $^{73}\text{Ge}$ NMR and NQR have been performed on UGe$_2$\cite{7,33} and URhGe\cite{34} in the previous studies, and in this paper, we report first $^{73}\text{Ge}$ NMR and NQR results on UCoGe.

We also performed $^{59}\text{Co}$ NMR in the same sample of UCoGe for clarifying how the U-5$f$ electrons of UCoGe interact with the $^{59}\text{Co}$ and $^{73}\text{Ge}$ nuclei. Previously, we have shown from $^{59}\text{Co}$ NMR and band calculation on UCoGe as well as a reference compound YCoGe that the Co-3$d$ state is not in the Fermi level, and is in nonmagnetic state.\cite{35} However, there are several reports that the hybridization between U-5$f$ and Co-3$d$ is strong, and the Co-3$d$ contributes the magnetic moment.\cite{36,37} We consider that the comparison between the hyperfine field at the Co and Ge sites gives valuable information about the hybridization between U-5$f$ and Co-3$d$.

In this paper, we show from the comparison between
the $^{59}$Co and $^{73}$Ge NMR and NQR that the magnetic fluctuations are governed by the single-component fluctuations from the U-$5f$ electrons, implying that those arising from the Co-$3d$ electrons are negligibly small below 3 T. These results are in good agreement with previous studies.\textsuperscript{[28]} We also found that the spin susceptibility along the $a$ axis is substantially smaller than that along the $b$ axis. This large difference may have some relation to the different field responses of superconductivity and magnetism along these directions.\textsuperscript{[27,29]} In addition, we show from the comparison of $^{73}$Ge-NQR results in the three FM superconductors that their magnetic fluctuations are similar, but the itinerant degree of U-$5f$ electrons is different, which is consistent with the transport behavior and magnitude of the ordered moment.\textsuperscript{[18]}

II. EXPERIMENT

Crystal structure of UCoGe is shown in Fig. 1. UCoGe has a crystal structure of $Pnma$ space group (\#62, $D_{h}^{16}$)\textsuperscript{29} and the local symmetry of Co and Ge (and also U) atoms are expressed by $[m. m.]$.

![Crystal structure of UCoGe](image)

**FIG. 1.** (Color online) (a) A crystal structure of UCoGe. The largest, middle, and the smallest circles indicate U, Ge, Co atoms, respectively. (b) Atomic positions at $y = 1/4$ plane.

| $^{59}$Co | $^{73}$Ge |
| --- | --- |
| $I$ | $\gamma_{n}/2\pi$ (MHz/T) | $Q$ ($10^{-28}$ m$^2$) | N.A. (%) |
| $7/2$ | 10.03 | +0.42(3) | 100 |
| $9/2$ | 1.4852 | −0.196(1) | 7.76(8) |

\textsuperscript{a} Ref. \textsuperscript{27} 
\textsuperscript{b} Ref. \textsuperscript{28} 
\textsuperscript{c} Ref. \textsuperscript{29}

We used a $^{73}$Ge-enriched single-crystalline UCoGe sample in this study. The resistivity shows a broad hump at around 1.3 K, suggestive of a FM transition. From resistivity and susceptibility measurements, this sample exhibits a SC transition at $T_{SC} \approx 0.48$ K. These transition temperatures are lower than those of the previous higher quality samples [for instance, $T_{SC} \approx 0.57$ K (Ref. \textsuperscript{30}) and $T_{Curie} \approx 3$ K (Ref. \textsuperscript{6})]. The lower $T_{SC}$ and $T_{Curie}$ are due to the quality of the enriched Ge ingredient.

![NQR spectrum](image)

**FIG. 2.** (Color online) $^{73}$Ge NQR spectrum in UCoGe under zero magnetic field at 4.2 K (paramagnetic state). Three out of four peaks expected in $I = 9/2$ are observed. The NQR parameters are deduced as $\nu_{Q} = \{0.968 \pm 0.001\}$ MHz and $\eta = 0.10 \pm 0.01$. The arrows represent the calculated peak positions with these parameters.

We have performed NMR and NQR measurements at the Ge and Co sites down to 1.5 K to investigate spin susceptibility and FM fluctuations, and their anisotropy in the paramagnetic state. The nuclear parameters of $^{59}$Co and $^{73}$Ge nuclei, for which NMR and NQR are possible, are listed in Table I. The NMR measurement was performed with a split-coil SC magnet with a single-axis rotator. The field was applied parallel to the crystallographic $a$, $b$, and $c$ axes, and the directions were determined from the $^{59}$Co NMR spectra. The details of the alignment of the single-crystalline sample were described in a previous paper.\textsuperscript{[28]} NMR and NQR spectra depend on the electric field gradient (EFG) at the nuclear sites, and the EFG tensor has three principal axes. Usually, the EFG is represented with the NQR frequency $\nu_{Q} \propto V_{zz}$ and asymmetric parameter $\eta \equiv [V_{xx} - V_{yy}] / V_{zz}$, where $V_{ii} (i = x, y, z)$ is an eigenvalue of the EFG tensor along the principal axis $i$ and $|V_{zz}| \geq |V_{yy}| \geq |V_{xx}|$. The direction $z$ is referred as “maximum principal axis” hereafter. In the case of Co and Ge in UCoGe, one of the principal axes is parallel to the $b$ axis from the local symmetry, but a degree of freedom remains in the other principal-axis directions.

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Figure 2 shows the $^{73}$Ge-NQR spectrum in UCoGe at 4.2 K at zero field. $^{73}$Ge has $I = 9/2$ nuclear spin, and the observed three peaks correspond to the $\pm 3/2 \leftrightarrow \pm 5/2$, $\pm 5/2 \leftrightarrow \pm 7/2$, and $\pm 7/2 \leftrightarrow \pm 9/2$ transitions. The
TABLE II. The $^{73}$Ge and $^{59}$Co NQR parameters in UCoGe and URhGe; the NQR frequency $\nu_Q$, asymmetric parameter $\eta$, and the angle $\theta_{zz}$ between the crystal a axis and the maximum principal axis. The maximum principal axis lies in the ac plane at all the sites.

|          | $\nu_Q$ (MHz) | $\eta$ | $\theta_{zz}$ ($^\circ$) | Ref. |
|----------|---------------|--------|--------------------------|------|
| UCoGe $^{73}$Ge | $0.968 \pm 0.001$ | $0.10 \pm 0.01$ | $15.5$ | this work |
| $^{59}$Co | $2.85$ | $0.52$ | $10$ | [23] |
| URhGe $^{73}$Ge | $1.06$ | $0.09$ | $9.8$ | [23] |
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FIG. 3. (Color online) Temperature dependence of EFG parameters of $^{73}$Ge. (a) $\nu_Q$ and $\nu_Q\eta = |\nu_{xx} - \nu_{yy}|$, (b) the angle $\theta_{zz}$ between the maximum principal axis of EFG and the crystallographic a axis in the ac plane are shown. $\nu_Q$ and $\eta$ are determined from the NQR spectra at $H = 0$, while $\theta_{zz}$ is deduced from the field-swept NMR spectra.

The lowest peak corresponding to $\pm 1/2 \leftrightarrow \pm 3/2$ transitions could not be detected due to the low frequency beyond the range of our NMR receiver. The $^{73}$Ge quadrupole frequency $\nu_Q$ and the asymmetric parameter $\eta$ of UCoGe at $T = 4.2$ K are determined from the experiments as shown in Table II. These parameters are close to those of URhGe [23] but $\eta$ is much smaller than that at the Co site in UCoGe [23].

The temperature dependence of $\nu_Q$ and $\nu_Q\eta$ are shown in Fig. 3(a), and $\nu_Q$ slightly decreases as temperature increases. At finite temperature, NQR frequency in metals is empirically expressed as $\nu_Q(T) = \nu_Q(0)(1 - \alpha T^{3/2})$, where $\alpha$ is a positive value. Although the present result is not so accurate to distinguish whether this relation holds, the monotonic decrease of $\nu_Q$ with increasing temperature is a conventional behavior. No large temperature variation of the anisotropic parameter was detected in this system.

Figure 4 shows the field-swept spectra of $^{73}$Ge along the three directions. The principal axes of EFG at the Ge site are deduced from these spectra. The best fit to the experimental data was obtained when the maximum principal axis is in the ac plane and tilts $\theta_{zz} = 15.5^\circ$ from the a axis at 20 K, and the second principal axis is parallel to the b axis. The temperature dependence of $\theta_{zz}$ is shown in Fig. 3(b). This change seems to be tiny, but it cannot be neglected for extracting the accurate Knight shift of $^{73}$Ge owing to the small gyromagnetic ratio. Thus, the Knight shift is calculated after subtracting the temperature-dependent EFG for the $^{73}$Ge site. It is interesting that the maximum principal axes of EFG at the Co [23] and Ge sites in UCoGe and the Ge site in URhGe [23] are roughly parallel to the a axis. This feature may originate from the crystal structure because that of UCoGe and URhGe can be regarded as a deformed hexagonal AlB$_2$-type structure, and the hexagonal c axis, which is the maximum principal axis, corresponds to the orthorhombic a axis in UCoGe and URhGe.

Figure 5 shows the $^{73}$Ge and $^{59}$Co Knight shifts of three directions with a fixed field of 3 T at the central line ($1/2 \leftrightarrow -1/2$). The Knight shift in UCoGe is highly anisotropic with the c direction being an easy axis, resulting from the strong Ising anisotropy.

The Knight shift along the i direction ($i = a, b,$ and c) at the m site ($m = 73$ and 59) is described as

$$mK_i = mA_i\chi_{\text{spin},i} + mK_{\text{orb},i},$$

where $mA_i$ is the hyperfine coupling constant, $\chi_{\text{spin},i}$ is the spin Knight shift, and $mK_{\text{orb},i}$ is the orbital Knight shift.
the spin susceptibility, and $m K_{\text{orb}, i}$ is the orbital part of the Knight shift. The latter part is usually temperature independent in $d$-electron systems, because a crystal electric field (CEF) splitting is much larger than room temperature, while it is temperature dependent in $f$-electron systems, where the CEF is not so large as in the $d$-electron systems. We also note that the first term of the right hand side of Eq. (1) is no longer pure spin in the $f$-electron system because of the strong spin-orbit interaction, and this indicates the susceptibility of quasiparticles. Nevertheless, we use the term “spin susceptibility” for simplicity.

Figure 5 shows the relation of Knight shifts between the Ge and Co sites, where temperature is an implicit parameter. When the field is parallel to the $b$ or $c$ axis, good linear relations hold between two sites in a wide temperature range. This result indicates that the dominant temperature dependence of the Knight shift is attributed to the single component of the spin susceptibility from the U-5$f$ electrons, which is in good agreement with the previous reports that the magnetism is carried by U.

In contrast, when the field is parallel to the $a$ axis, the temperature dependence of the Knight shifts is relatively small, and the linear relation is not seen between two sites. These results suggest that the spin susceptibility along the $a$ axis is much smaller than that of $b$ and $c$ axis since $m A_i$ is considered to be isotropic in this system. A possible origin of the anomalous $K_a$ is considered to be isotropic in this system.

The good linearity also implies that the simple treatment of the Knight shifts described as Eq. (1) is valid even in the 5$f$ electron systems since the system has a large spin susceptibility and the temperature dependence of $K_{\text{orb}}$ is relatively small. The hyperfine coupling constants of $^{73}$Ge are estimated from the slopes of the lines showing in Fig. 6 and the hyperfine coupling constants of $^{59}$Co reported previously, and they are $^{73} A_b \simeq (4.3 \pm 0.1) T/\mu_B$ and $^{73} A_c \simeq (4.2 \pm 0.1) T/\mu_B$ along the $b$ and $c$ directions, respectively. These values are 0.8–0.9 times those of $^{59}$Co, suggesting that the U-5$f$ electrons couple to the $^{59}$Co and $^{73}$Ge nuclei almost equally. In addition, if we assume that $^{73} K_{\text{orb}, i} \sim 0.2\%$, which is a typical value of the orbital shift of Ge and similar $p$-electron atoms such as Ga and As and is an order of magnitude smaller than $^{59} K_{\text{orb}, i}$, then $^{59} K_{\text{orb}, b}$ and $^{59} K_{\text{orb}, c}$ are estimated to be 2.2 and 1.7%, respectively. These values are similar to $^{59} K_{\text{orb}} \simeq 1.6\%$ in a non-magnetic metal YCoGe.

In contrast, when the field is parallel to the $a$ axis, the temperature dependence of the Knight shifts is relatively small, and the linear relation is not seen between two sites. These results suggest that the spin susceptibility along the $a$ axis is much smaller than that of $b$ and $c$ axis since $m A_i$ is considered to be isotropic in this system. A possible origin of the anomalous $K_a$ is considered to be isotropic in this system. The good linearity also implies that the simple treatment of the Knight shifts described as Eq. (1) is valid even in the 5$f$ electron systems since the system has a large spin susceptibility and the temperature dependence of $K_{\text{orb}}$ is relatively small. The hyperfine coupling constants of $^{73}$Ge are estimated from the slopes of the lines showing in Fig. 6 and the hyperfine coupling constants of $^{59}$Co reported previously, and they are $^{73} A_b \simeq (4.3 \pm 0.1) T/\mu_B$ and $^{73} A_c \simeq (4.2 \pm 0.1) T/\mu_B$ along the $b$ and $c$ directions, respectively. These values are 0.8–0.9 times those of $^{59}$Co, suggesting that the U-5$f$ electrons couple to the $^{59}$Co and $^{73}$Ge nuclei almost equally. In addition, if we assume that $^{73} K_{\text{orb}, i} \sim 0.2\%$, which is a typical value of the orbital shift of Ge and similar $p$-electron atoms such as Ga and As and is an order of magnitude smaller than $^{59} K_{\text{orb}, i}$, then $^{59} K_{\text{orb}, b}$ and $^{59} K_{\text{orb}, c}$ are estimated to be 2.2 and 1.7%, respectively. These values are similar to $^{59} K_{\text{orb}} \simeq 1.6\%$ in a non-magnetic metal YCoGe.

FIG. 5. (Color online) $^{73}$Ge (closed symbol) and $^{59}$Co (open symbol) Knight shifts measured at the central line (1/2 ↔ –1/2) with the field of 3 T parallel to the $a$ (squares), $b$ (circles), and $c$ (triangles) axes. The inset shows the result of $c$ direction with a different scale.

FIG. 6. (Color online) $^{59}$Co Knight shifts versus those of $^{73}$Ge of three directions with the temperature being an implicit parameter. The Knight shifts along the $c$ axis are scaled to 1/5. The solid lines are the best fit of the linear relation of the Knight shifts for $H \parallel b$ and $c$. 
to 1.5 K in this sample. When the field is parallel to the a or b axis, $1/T_1T$ is close to that at zero field and is enhanced at low temperatures, while it is strongly suppressed with $H \parallel c$. This field-direction dependence of $1/T_1$ is consistent with the previous results, which indicate that the FM fluctuations are strongly anisotropic and are suppressed by $H \parallel c$.

As shown in Fig. 8, the behavior of $1/T_1$ at two sites is essentially similar to each other above 5 K in any direction of the field, although the deviation was observed in the lower temperatures, where the FM fluctuations develop. As discussed in the previous paper, $1/T_1T$ at the $m$ site measured with $H \parallel a$ is expressed in terms of the imaginary part of the dynamic susceptibility along the $\beta$ and $\gamma$ directions $\chi''_{\beta,\gamma}(q,\omega_0)$, perpendicular to $\alpha$, as:

$$m \frac{1}{T_1T} = \frac{m\gamma_n^2k_B}{(\gamma_n h)^2} \sum_q \left[ |m A_{\beta}|^2 \frac{\chi''_{\beta}(q,\omega_0)}{\omega_0} \right] + \sum_{\gamma} \frac{|m A_{\gamma}|^2 \chi''_{\gamma}(q,\omega_0)}{\omega_0},$$

where $m\gamma_n$ and $\omega_0$ are the gyromagnetic ratio at the $m$ site and NMR frequency, respectively. The ratio of $1/T_1$ between $^{59}$Co and $^{73}$Ge site is expected to be $(^{59}\gamma_n/^{73}\gamma_n)^2 \cdot (^{59}A/^{73}A)^2 \approx 59$, shown by the dashed line in Fig. 8 if the magnetic fluctuations consist of a single component. It is noted that the ratio estimated from the NMR measurements is close to the expected one in any field direction, indicating that single magnetic fluctuations arising from the U-5f electrons are dominant at both sites. However, the ratio from the NQR measurements is slightly larger than the expected value. This is considered to be due to the difference of the EFG parameters $\eta$ and $\theta_{z,\gamma}$ as shown in Table II. The relaxation curve in NQR is affected by $\eta$ and the angle difference of the maximum principal axis gives rise to the different weight of the FM fluctuations in $1/T_1$.

Below 5 K, the ratio becomes smaller with decreasing temperature, which is due to the suppression of the increase of $1/T_1T$ at $^{59}$Co compared with that at $^{73}$Ge. As for the $1/T_1$ measurements with NQR, $H \parallel a$, and $H \parallel b$, rf-pulse fields ($H_1$) were applied along the c axis, which is parallel to the direction of the Ising FM fluctuations. In this case, we found that the value of $1/T_1$ near $T_{Curie}$ depends on the intensity of $H_1$, the smaller $H_1$ gives the larger $1/T_1$. Therefore, the deviation from the expected ratio would be due to the difference of the effect of $H_1$ for the NMR measurements between two nuclear sites. When we compare $1/T_1$ of $^{73}$Ge with that of $^{59}$Co, we should be careful for the presence of such differences.

Figure 9 shows the temperature dependence of $1/T_1$ of $^{73}$Ge NQR in the present sample of UCoGe, along with that of UGe$_2$ ($P = 1.2$ GPa) and URhGe$_2$ at $H = 0$. $1/T_1$ of $^{73}$Ge shows similar temperature dependence in three FM superconductors, and, particularly, $1/T_1$ of UCoGe and URhGe becomes constant with the similar values at higher temperatures. This implies that the U-5f is a localized state at higher tempera-
tudes since in general $1/T_1$ is temperature independent in compounds with local moments. However, we note that the development of the FM fluctuations and FM ordering in UCoGe occur after the gradual decrease of $1/T_1$ below $T^*$, where the magnetic susceptibility $\chi$ deviates from the Curie-Weiss behavior and the electrical resistivity along the $c$-axis shows metallic behavior as shown in Fig. 8 (b). As pointed out in the previous study, these behaviors are quite different from those of URhGe. In URhGe, the development of the FM fluctuations and FM ordering occurs where the most U-5$f$ is still in the localized state, which is known from the $1/T_1$ and the electrical-resistivity behaviors shown in Fig. 8 (b). Thus, these results clearly indicate that the itinerant degree of the U-5$f$ is different in UCoGe and URhGe, as discussed in Ref. 1 although the quasiparticle bands with large contributions from U-5$f$ state were observed from ARPES. We suggest that the difference of the itinerant degree is one of the key factors to understand the differences of the superconductivity and ferromagnetism of these compounds. Another interesting difference between UCoGe and URhGe is the anisotropy of the spin susceptibility at low temperatures. As shown in Fig. 8, the strong Ising-type anisotropy with the $c$ axis being the easy axis was observed in UCoGe, but the susceptibilities along the $b$ and $c$ axes are comparable above $T_{\text{Curie}}$ in URhGe. These differences are important to understand the differences of the metamagnetic behavior and field-enhanced superconductivity observed in URhGe and UCoGe.

The anisotropy of the spin susceptibility perpendicular to the Ising axis is considered to be an origin of the different field responses between the $a$ and $b$ axes in the superconductivity and magnetism of UCoGe. The FM phase is suppressed by the field parallel to the $b$ axis as well as the SC phase are reinforced at around $\mu_0 H \sim 12$ T, while the $T_{\text{Curie}}$ and the $A$ coefficient of the resistivity are hardly changed by the field parallel to the $a$ axis. Because the spin susceptibility along the $b$ axis is much larger than that along the $a$ axis, it is expected that the field along the former axis affects the ferromagnetism more seriously. Such anisotropic field responses are also reported in the re-entrant SC region of URhGe: the reorientation of the FM moment occurs and re-entrant SC phase arises along the $b$ axis, and these anomalies are insensitive to the field along the $a$ axis. Thus, UCoGe and URhGe have some similarity concerning the field dependencies of the SC and FM phases. In addition, the anisotropy of the spin susceptibility should be taken into account when determining the $d$ vector in the spin-triplet SC state of these FM superconductors. Spin components of the Cooper pairs are active and perpendicular to the $d$ vector in spin-triplet superconductors. Thus, it is a future task to reveal how the anisotropy of the spin susceptibility in the normal state affects the structure of the order parameter of the SC state in these systems.

Finally, we comment on the relation between the FM and SC phases in UCoGe. The present sample exhibits the FM transition at around $T_{\text{Curie}} \sim 1.3$ K, which is much lower than $\sim 3$ K reported previously. Since $T_{SC} = 0.48$ K is comparable to the previous results [$T_{SC} = 0.57$ K (Ref. 30)], it is considered that the FM phase is more sensitive to the quality of the sample than the SC phase, as pointed out in a previous study. It was reported that the SC phase can exist even without the static FM ordering. Since the SC phase without the FM phase is also induced by the hydrostatic pressure, the FM ordering is not a necessary condition of the SC phase in UCoGe but the FM fluctuations are, as discussed in previously. This is also inferred from the pressure dependence of $T_{SC}$, which exhibits no discontinuity across the FM transition line. In this sense, UCoGe seems to be a typical example of the system where the SC phase is induced by the FM quantum fluctuations. Thus, the order of the FM transition appears to be the second order, although the first-order-like behavior was observed in the previous NQR spectrum. Further studies are still needed to uncover the nature of the FM quantum transition in UCoGe, and the detailed comparison of the NMR results obtained in the different quality of samples will be summarized in a separated paper.

**IV. SUMMARY**

We performed the $^{73}$Ge and $^{59}$Co NMR and NQR measurements on the paramagnetic state of UCoGe, and found that the electric field gradient of the Ge site in
UCoGe is close to that of the isostructural compound URhGe. It was revealed that the static and dynamic spin susceptibilities at these sites are essentially similar to each other, but the spin susceptibility along the a axis is extremely small. This result indicates that the U-5f electrons are the dominant origin of the ferromagnetism in this system and couple to the 73Ge and 59Co nuclei almost equally. In addition, it was found that the contribution of Co 3d electrons probed with 59Co NMR and NQR is negligibly small. Therefore, we can safely say that the 73Ge NMR and NQR give the essentially the same information about 5f electrons as those of 58Co in UCoGe.
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