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Abstract

We examine the energy surfaces of the driven Rabi model, also known as the biased or generalized Rabi model, as a function of the coupling strength and the driving term. The energy surfaces are plotted numerically from the known analytic solution. The resulting energy landscape consists of an infinite stack of sheets connected by conical intersection points located at the degenerate Juddian points in the eigenspectrum. Trajectories encircling these points are expected to exhibit a nonzero geometric phase.
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The driven, biased or generalized quantum Rabi model has Hamiltonian \[1\text{–}3\]

\[H = \omega a^\dagger a + g \sigma_x (a^\dagger + a) + \Delta \sigma_z + \epsilon \sigma_y,\]

where \(\sigma_x\) and \(\sigma_z\) are Pauli matrices for a two-level system with level splitting \(\Delta\). The interaction between the spin and the single-mode bosonic field of frequency \(\omega\) is via the coupling \(g\). The bosonic creation and destruction operators \(a^\dagger\) and \(a\) satisfy \([a, a^\dagger] = 1\). The Rabi model is well known as arguably the simplest model for light interacting with matter.
The eigenspectrum is symmetric in \( \epsilon \). For realizations in ion traps and in both cavity and circuit quantum electrodynamics, the reader is referred to [6, 7]. In particular, of a micromechanical resonator via coupling to a Cooper-pair box [6–9].

Using the analytic solution obtained by Braak [1] for the energy eigenspectrum, we explore the energy levels of this model as a function of the parameters \( g \) and \( \epsilon \). Specifically, the \( N \)th eigenvalue is given by \( E_N = x_N - g^2/\omega \), where \( x_N \) is the \( N \)th zero of

\[
G_\epsilon(x) = \Delta^2 R_\epsilon(x) \bar{R}_\epsilon(x) - R_\epsilon(x) R_\epsilon(x),
\]

where

\[
R_\epsilon(x) = \sum_{n=0}^\infty K_\epsilon^n(x) \left( \frac{g}{\omega} \right)^n,
\]

\[
\bar{R}_\epsilon(x) = \sum_{n=0}^\infty \frac{K_\epsilon^n(x)}{x-n \omega \pm \epsilon} \left( \frac{g}{\omega} \right)^n.
\]

\( K_\epsilon^n(x) \) is defined recursively by \( nK_\epsilon^n = f_\epsilon^n(x) K_\epsilon^{n-1} - K_\epsilon^{n-2} \) with initial conditions \( K_\epsilon^0 = 1 \), \( K_\epsilon^1(x) = f_\epsilon^1(x) \), and

\[
f_\epsilon^n(x) = \frac{g}{\omega} + \frac{1}{2} \left( n\omega - x \pm \epsilon + \frac{\Delta^2}{x-n \omega \pm \epsilon} \right).
\]

The function \( G_\epsilon(x) \) is obtained as a consistency condition for two different series expansions in a representation of the bosonic creation and annihilation operators in the Bargmann space of analytical functions [1]. It can also be derived using the extended coherent states approach [2] and written in terms of confluent Heun functions. The eigenstates have also been obtained in terms of confluent Heun functions [7, 10].

The lowest energy levels are shown in figure 1 as a function of \( g \) for fixed \( \Delta \) and \( \epsilon \). For \( \epsilon = 0 \) this is the well known plot featuring the Juddian crossing points [11] for which Kus [12] provided a proof that for each value of \( N \) there are \( N \) crossings if \( \Delta \) is in the range \( 0 < \Delta/\omega < 1 \). More generally for \( k < \Delta/\omega < k+1 \) there are \( N-k \) crossing points [12]. There are also such crossing points in the eigenspectrum when \( \epsilon \) is an integer multiple of \( \frac{1}{2} \omega \) [1, 7]. These are shown in figure 1 for \( \epsilon = \frac{1}{2} \) and \( \epsilon = 1 \). It has also been argued that for a given value of \( N \) there are \( N \) level crossings for \( 0 < \Delta/\omega < \sqrt{1 + 2\epsilon/\omega} \), reducing to \( N-k \) crossing points for \( \Delta \) in the range [13] \(^5\)

\[
\sqrt{k^2 + 2k\epsilon/\omega} < \Delta/\omega < \sqrt{(k+1)^2 + 2(k+1)\epsilon/\omega}.
\]

Here we explore the energy landscape as a function of the parameters \( g \) and \( \epsilon \) for fixed \( \Delta \). As we shall see, the effect of varying the parameter \( \epsilon \) is to induce conical intersection points. The tips of the cones are precisely the degenerate Juddian points. Figure 2 shows the structure of the interconnected energy surfaces as a function of \( g \) and \( \epsilon \) for \( \Delta = 0.7 \). This figure should be viewed in conjunction with figure 1, which gives the cross-sections at \( \epsilon = 0 \), \( \pm \frac{1}{2} \), \( \pm 1 \) of the energy landscape shown in figure 2. This figure also shows crossing points for \( g = 0 \) as the parameter \( \epsilon \) is varied. These are determined by the energies

\[^4\] For realizations in ion traps and in both cavity and circuit quantum electrodynamics, the reader is referred to [4, 5] and references therein.

\[^5\] The eigenspectrum is symmetric in \( \epsilon \), so this relation holds in general with \( \epsilon \) replaced by \( |\epsilon| \).
Two more refined views of the energy surfaces are shown in figure 3.\footnote{Note that the overall structure of these figures can be made simpler by plotting $E + g^2/\omega$ on the $z$-axis which has the effect of turning the paraboloids into planes.} It is clear that the energy surfaces for $g > 0$ are connected by isolated conical intersection points.\footnote{Note that the overall structure of these figures can be made simpler by plotting $E + g^2/\omega$ on the $z$-axis which has the effect of turning the paraboloids into planes.}

The overall structure of the energy landscape is an infinite stack of sheets labelled by integers $N = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$ connected for $g > 0$ by conical intersection points for $N \geq 1$. The

$$E = N\omega \pm \sqrt{\Delta^2 + \epsilon^2}. \quad (7)$$

Two more refined views of the energy surfaces are shown in figure 3. It is clear that the energy surfaces for $g > 0$ are connected by isolated conical intersection points. These are shown further in figure 4, which illustrates the elementary cones.
conical intersection points are exceptional points in the eigenspectrum which are located on lines in the planes defined by $\epsilon = \pm \frac{1}{2} n \omega$, $n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$. These lines are the intersections of the surfaces $E = N_1 \omega - \frac{g^2}{\omega} - \epsilon$ and $E = N_2 \omega - \frac{g^2}{\omega} + \epsilon$ for different $N_1, N_2 \geq 1$ with

**Figure 3.** Close up views of the energy landscape depicted in figure 2 showing the energy surfaces connected by conical intersection points.

**Figure 4.** Elementary cones in the energy spectrum for $\Delta = 0.7$ with $\omega = 1$. The plot on the left shows the ‘lowest’ cones at $\epsilon = 0, \frac{1}{2}$ and 1. Also shown is a magnification of the cone centred at $\epsilon = 0$. 
$N_1 - N_2 = 2\epsilon/\omega$. The precise locations of the conical intersection points along these lines are determined by the solutions of the constraint relations [7, 13]. Their number is dependent on the value of $\Delta/\omega$. From the above consideration of the number of crossing points, the number of conical intersection points can be tabulated for given $\Delta/\omega$ and $\epsilon/\omega$. In this sense the precise geometry of the energy landscape is thus known. For example, for the value $\Delta = 0.7$ considered in the figures, there are $N$ conical intersection points for a given value of $N$ located in each of the planes where $\epsilon = \pm \frac{1}{2}n\omega$, $n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$. Figure 4 identifies the most elementary of the cones, with a magnification of the cone centred at $\epsilon = 0$. From the general result (6) for the number of crossing points we know that this particular cone vanishes for $\Delta/\omega > 1$.

Trajectories encircling conical intersection points are expected to exhibit a nonzero geometric phase. Geometric phases [14, 15] have been investigated in the context of the Rabi model for some time, and not without debate (see, e.g., [16–18] and references therein). For systems like the Rabi model the Berry phase is induced by integration over a unitary transformation parameter $\varphi$ with the angle variable $\varphi$ varying slowly from 0 to $2\pi$ [19]. Here we have demonstrated conical intersection points in the energy landscape for the driven Rabi model by varying the system parameters $g$ and $\epsilon$. Since analytic expressions for the corresponding eigenstates are known for this model, the geometric phases obtained by integrating trajectories encircling the conical points should, in principle, be calculable.

As a final remark, we note that the original justification for studying Juddian points was that, because they were exact solutions, they could be useful in testing and improving various approximation schemes. Here, using the analytic solution of the driven Rabi model, we have demonstrated that the degenerate Juddian points are the conical intersection points in the energy landscape. Degenerate Juddian points exist in a range of models related to the Rabi model. It is hoped that these models can be added to the different contexts in which conical intersection points have been observed [7]. The conical intersection points are expected to play a crucial role in the dynamics of the driven Rabi model, which is as yet only partially explored.
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