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Abstract:
Clusters of galaxies, the largest collapsed structures in the Universe, are located at the intersection of extended filaments of baryons and dark matter. Cosmological accretion onto clusters through large scale filaments adds material at cluster outskirts. Kinetic energy in the form of bulk motions and turbulence due to this accretion provides a form of pressure support against gravity, supplemental to thermal pressure. Significant amount of non-thermal pressure support could bias cluster masses derived assuming hydrostatic equilibrium, the primary proxy for cluster cosmology studies. Sensitive measurements of Doppler broadening and shift of astrophysical lines, and the relative fluctuations in thermodynamical quantities (e.g., density, pressure, and entropy) are primary diagnostic tools. Forthcoming planned and proposed X-ray (with large etendue, throughput, and high spectral resolution) and SZ observatories will provide crucial information on the assembly and virialisation processes of clusters, involving turbulent eddies cascading at various spatial scales and larger gas bulk motions in their external regions to the depth or their potential wells.
1 Introduction

Clusters of galaxies, the largest collapsed structures in the Universe, are located at the intersection of extended filaments of baryons and dark matter. Cosmological accretion onto clusters through large scale filaments adds material at cluster outskirts, where infalling gas forms a shock [for recent reviews, see 1, 2]. However, due to this filamentary structure of the cosmic web, the gas does not accrete uniformly, and generates inhomogeneities and gas clumps [3–5] that are observed in deep X-ray exposures of cluster outskirts [6–9]. Many substructures are incorporated into the cluster material via ram-pressure and dynamical stripping, while some structures are dense and resilient enough to penetrate to deep radii, such as massive galaxies and small groups [10]. The gas motions due to this infall depend sensitively on the physical processes operating in the intracluster medium (ICM) on such scales [11], such as the cluster magnetic field, viscosity, and thermal conduction.

Figure 1: Simulation of the turbulent intracluster medium in a massive Coma-like cluster. The cross-section shown is the total velocity magnitude in the units of km/s [adapted from 12]. A significant amount of turbulence and gas motions could bias hydrostatic cluster masses, a primary proxy used in cluster cosmology studies. Forthcoming planned and proposed X-ray and SZ observatories will provide crucial information on the assembly and virialization processes in the outskirts of clusters.

Kinetic energy in the form of bulk motions and turbulence provides a form of pressure support against gravity, supplemental to thermal pressure. Cosmological simulations indicate that subsonic chaotic motions are ubiquitous, with turbulent pressure support in the range of 5-35 percent of the total ICM pressure from relaxed to merging clusters [e.g., 13–15]. Significant amount of non-thermal pressure support could bias cluster masses derived assuming hydrostatic equilibrium, the primary proxy for cluster cosmology studies, by up to 20% [16, 17]. Understanding the details of hydrodynamical processes in the low surface brightness outskirts of the macro-scale structures would significantly advance our understanding of how structure forms and evolves in the Universe as well as the use of galaxy clusters as cosmological probes.

In this perspective, the combined use of X-ray data and observations of the Sunyaev-Zeldovich (SZ) effect represent an optimal way to explore the physics of the ICM and estimate the impact of gas motions on hydrostatic mass estimates, especially in the outermost regions where most of the cluster mass resides. Sensitive measurements of Doppler broadening, shifts in astrophysical lines, and the relative fluctuations in thermodynamical quantities (e.g., density, pressure, and entropy) are primary diagnostic tools. These constraints are limited to the cluster cores at modest energy resolution (E/\Delta E\sim 50) with current generation of X-ray observatories. Measurements of these quantities out to the splashback radius can only be made with X-ray telescopes with much larger (10\times) effective area and higher energy-dispersive spectral resolution (E/\Delta E>500) [e.g., 18]. The
measurements of hydro/thermodynamical properties with future high spatial and spectral resolution X-ray missions (Athena, Lynx, and AXIS) will yield a more complete view of ICM dynamics and non-thermal pressure support.

Complementary to X-rays, the thermal Sunyaev-Zeldovich effect provides unique capabilities for probing astrophysical processes at high redshifts and out to the low-density regions in the outskirts of galaxy clusters [for a recent review, see 19]. In the following sections, we highlight how combining X-ray observations with data from current and future SZ instruments (e.g. SPT-3G, AdvACT, the Simons Observatory, CMB-S4, MUSTANG2, NIKA2, TolTEC, ALMA) will provide a major leap forward in understanding the underlying physical processes in cluster outskirts. Additionally, the observed synchrotron emission in cluster radio halos and radio relics can be explained by stochastic acceleration generated by turbulent motions in the ICM and particle acceleration at shocks found in cluster outskirts [see 20, for a review]. Measurements of the thermodynamics and kinematics in the X-ray emitting ICM provide complementary information to the surveys in the radio band (e.g., LOFAR and SKA), that is key in allowing us to answer fundamental questions regarding the physics of particle acceleration in diffuse cosmic plasmas [21].

2 Fluctuation Power Spectrum

Spatially-resolved measurements of the relative fluctuations in X-ray and tSZ 2D distributions of surface brightness, pressure, and entropy are primary probes of the amplitudes of the velocity field [12, 22–25]. The large-scale bulk motions resulting from mergers and accretion have high Reynolds numbers, causing them to drive chaotic turbulent motions [26]. This implies the formation of large-scale eddies that transport the kinetic energy to smaller scales via progressively smaller vortices. The ‘inertial range’ of the turbulence cascade is finally broken at the dissipation scale (< 1 kpc), where viscosity is significant enough to convert kinetic into thermal energy.

The formation and evolution of the turbulence cascade is best unveiled in Fourier space, where each wave mode ($k \sim 1/l$) can be separated. Figure 2 (left) shows the Fourier power spectrum (PS) of turbulent velocities and all the relative thermodynamic perturbations (e.g. $\delta \rho/\rho$ for gas density; black line), arising from an intermediate subsonic turbulence with 3D Mach $\equiv \sigma_v/c_s \sim 0.5$. Evidently, the PS of all thermodynamic perturbations correlate to some degree with the velocity power spectrum. In a stratified halo such as the ICM, the turbulent Mach number linearly increases with the amplitude of density and surface brightness fluctuations [23]. The power spectrum of gas motions can be recovered from the surface brightness (SB) and pressure fluctuations seen in X-ray and tSZ measurements in the cluster outskirts. The slope of the PS is tightly related to the transport processes in the ICM [12]. In the pure hydrodynamical regime, the PS slope of density fluctuations follows a Kolmogorov ($E(k) \propto k^{-5/3}$) or slightly shallower cascade. However, in the presence of significant thermal conduction, the density and temperature fluctuations at small scales are washed out, and the related spectral slope steepens toward the Burgers-like case ($E(k) \propto k^{-2}$). Thereby, measuring the PS slope is a key diagnostic to assess the level of conductivity in the medium.

Applications of the PS method find a substantially suppressed conduction compared to the Spitzer value [23, 27–29]. The Fourier PS method also reveals the thermodynamic mode of the ICM fluctuations. Combining the fluctuation constraints on density, pressure, and entropy provides crucial information on whether the underlying thermo-hydrodynamical processes follow an isobaric or adiabatic effective equation of state [30]. Specifically, the major modes in the ICM
can be grouped into buoyancy waves versus sound waves: the former are tied mostly to entropy fluctuations, while the latter are associated with major pressure fluctuations [12]. The transition between the two regimes typically occurs at Mach > 0.5. Furthermore, significant clumping in cluster outskirts may lead to an increase in the surface brightness power spectrum on relevant scales, as already suggested (although with a low significance) from Chandra observations of the Perseus Cluster [31]. Cross-correlating the density and velocity power spectra will reveal the properties of the surviving clumps which fall onto clusters from surrounding filaments. Initial studies on PS of X-ray surface brightness fluctuations remain limited to the inner cluster cores [22, 23, 32]. The macro-scale PS analysis of pressure fluctuations through low-noise Planck tSZ observations up to the virial radius of Coma cluster revealed for the first time significant amount of non-thermal pressure support in cluster outskirts up to ~45% [25]. On the other hand, a larger sample of (more relaxed) XMM-Newton clusters indicates a more modest average level of non-thermal pressure support [33, 34]. Limitations of current telescopes due to their small mirror effective and collecting area, restrict the studies of density fluctuations in X-ray faint cluster outskirts. Accurate measurements of small scale perturbations (down to dissipation scales of ~5 kpc) require telescopes with high angular resolution, large etendue (or throughput, \( \propto A\Omega \)), and
low instrumental background. The Wide Field Imager (WFI) on board Athena will allow the first accurate measurements of perturbations in thermodynamical properties down to 7 kpc scales in the local Universe [35, 36]. Figure 2 (right) demonstrates that the density fluctuations will be recovered with an accuracy of 4% at ∼1 Mpc in a relatively short 100 ks Athena WFI synthetic observation of a nearby Coma-like cluster. The high quality statistics provided by the WFI will allow us to probe the smallest accessible spatial scales, pushing the constraints toward the Coulomb mean free path around which hydrodynamical turbulence should dissipate. The higher spatial resolution High Definition X-ray Imager on board of Lynx and AXIS will extend fluctuations measurements of the level of fluctuations on much smaller scales (1 kpc) to be determined [37]. Thermal SZ studies will also elucidate the properties of the ICM in the outskirts by inferring the pressure fluctuations and testing the pressure equilibrium of clumps, and measuring, and even imaging, accretion shocks. Additionally, kinetic SZ (kSZ) measurements may provide constraints on turbulent motions within the ICM [as shown in 38]. For many intermediate and high-z systems, such deep, resolved tSZ and kSZ measurements may only be enabled by a new, large-aperture (> 30 meter), wide-field (> 1°) mm/submm-wave telescopes such as AtLAST [39–44]. Such a large facility with a wide field of view is required for sensitive measurements of the tSZ and kSZ that probe out to, and beyond, the splashback radius of a cluster [45].

3 Direct X-ray Spectroscopic Measurements

Dynamical processes impact the X-ray spectrum of the hot ICM, and more specifically the line profiles of the emission from heavy elements (e.g., iron). Lines are broadened by turbulent motions in the gas, and shifted by bulk motions which, when integrated over the line of sight, may produce detectable structure in line profiles. The characterization of emission lines from the hot gas in clusters is therefore a direct measurement of the ICM velocity field. The centroid shift in the energies of emission lines probe the bulk velocity of the gas along the line of sight [e.g., 46], and will constrain the large-scale velocity field and the amplitude of the turbulent power spectrum at the injection scale [e.g., 47]. The imprint of turbulence and small scale motions is contained of the line shape.

The choice of an “unperturbed” model with respect to which the surface brightness fluctuation power spectra are computed affects the inferred amplitude of the perturbations and is therefore a source of significant systematic uncertainty. It is therefore challenging to determine the exact strength of the perturbations, particularly on large scales. Direct measurements through high-resolution spectroscopy are of vital importance to validate and quantify the related uncertainties in the power spectrum analyses. Once these limitations are understood, the results from surface brightness fluctuations analysis and amplitude of the turbulent power spectrum can be combined into a powerful test of the interesting underlying physics in the ICM.

The energy resolution of current CCDs and present-generation grating spectrometers is on the order of 1000 km s⁻¹, limiting the ability to measure ICM motion spectroscopically. Previous attempts of constraining turbulence have been limited to cluster cores through energy-dispersive spectroscopy [49–55]. The Hitomi X-ray observatory [56] (with spectral resolution ∼5 eV) measured bulk and turbulent gas motions for the first time, through shifting and broadening of the 6.7 keV Fe XXV Kα line in the core of the Perseus cluster [57], providing physical insights into the nature of turbulent gas motions driven by cosmic weather and AGN feedback [58, 59]. The forth-
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coming XRISM/Resolve instrument (E/\Delta E\sim 1600) scheduled to be launched in 2022 will perform 
measurements of Doppler broadening of emission lines on limited spatial scales (~100 kpc bins) 
to intermediate cluster radii [60, 61] and measure the hydrostatic mass bias due to the non-thermal 
pressure (out to $R_{500}$) of nearby clusters [62, 63]. However, it will be unable to extend these mea-
surements to cluster outskirts. Accurate measurements of line morphology in faint cluster outskirts 
require deep observations with higher effective area ($10\times$, EA>1 m$^2$) and higher energy-dispersive 
spectral resolution (E/\Delta E>500) instruments. The X-ray Integral Field Unit, X-IFU [64, 65] on-
board Athena is designed to provide spatially-resolved observations of the sky with unprecedented 
joint spectral and spatial resolution. In conjunction with the 1.4 m$^2$ effective area (at 1 keV) of 
the Athena’s mirror, it will provide a transformational leap forward for direct measurements of 
turbulent and bulk motion velocities. The spectral resolution of 2.5 eV FWHM and the precision 
on the energy scale of 0.4 eV will ensure precise measurements down to 10-20 km/s velocities at 
1\sigma confidence level (see Figure 3). Athena XIFU and the microcalorimeter on board Lynx will 
provide a comprehensive understanding of the ICM velocity power spectrum down to several kpc 
scales in the nearby Universe and characterize of the kinematics of the ICM at dissipation scales 
[see 66–68, for feasibility studies for Athena].

4 Concluding Remarks

Combining fluctuations in X-ray and SZ images and high resolution spectroscopy provide a unique 
method for measuring the thermodynamic and kinematic properties of the ICM in the low-density 
regions in the outskirts of galaxy clusters. Cross correlating power spectrum analysis with direct 
measurements of turbulent cascade via Doppler broadening of emission lines through high reso-
lution spectroscopy is the most powerful approach in determining the micro-physics (e.g., con-
duction and viscosity) of the ICM. Forthcoming planned and proposed X-ray observatories with 
large etendue and high spectral resolution will have the ability to recover the power spectra of the 
velocity fields for a large range of spatial scales. The joint observations will provide crucial in-
formation on the assembly and virialization processes of massive halos, involving turbulent eddies 
cascading at various spatial scales and larger gas bulk motions contributing to the thermalization 
of astrophysical objects from their external regions to the depth of their potential wells. These ob-
servations will also aid precision cosmology through the measurements of hydrostatic mass bias, 
one of the major systematic uncertainties in cluster-based cosmological constraints.
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