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In this paper we employ a combined *ab initio* and tight-binding approach to obtain the electronic and optical properties of hydrogenated InN nanowires. We first discuss InN band structure for the wurtzite structure calculated at the LDA+U level and use this information to extract the parameters needed for an empirical tight-binding implementation. These parameters are then employed to calculate the electronic and optical properties of InN nanowires in a diameter range that would not be affordable by *ab initio* techniques. The reliability of the large nanowires results is assessed by explicitly comparing the electronic structure of a small diameter wire studied both at LDA+U and tight-binding level.

PACS numbers:

I. INTRODUCTION

Indium nitride (InN) has received considerable attention in recent years due to its direct bandgap in the infrared range and the high electron mobilities. The possibility of fabricating low-dimensional structures such as nanowires (NWs) makes desirable the simulation of the electronic structure and optical properties of these system with atomistic approaches. *Ab initio*-based calculations are in principle capable of reproducing the band structure of bulk and systems of few atoms with a great accuracy. However, the computational time turns out to be a limiting factor if the number of atoms increases, making this methods impractical for the study of dependencies with the size and composition (alloying) of the system. However, the valuable *ab initio* information can be used for the development of empirical tight-binding (TB) or pseudopotential methods. These approaches are expected to describe with good precision the optical properties of both bulk and small systems, and at the same time, to allow quantitative studies of large systems in reasonable computational times. Moreover, as opposed to the approaches based on the effective mass approximation (EMA), the empirical atomistic methods are able to incorporate the true symmetry of the nanostructures.

*Ab initio* methods have been widely employed for the study of InN (see e.g. Refs. 9 and 10). In particular it has been shown that in order to get a correct description of its band structure close to the Γ point within the Density Functional Theory (DFT) it is important to repair the deficiency of Local Density Approximation (LDA) or Generalized Gradient Approximation (GGA) functional in describing the Coulomb interaction between the localized d electrons of Indium. To this end, various approaches have been built on the DFT basis and, among others we mention the self-interaction correction methods and the LDA with the Hubbard U correction (LDA+U). In our work, we adopt an LDA+U approach, which has been recently discussed and applied to the case of InN. Beyond DFT calculations, the GW methods provides good estimation of the nitrides band gap, opening it up the experimental value. However, this method is computationally more complex than LDA+U, making difficult its application in large systems.

Among the various empirical approaches, we have chosen to work with the tight-binding method, that has demonstrated its applicability in III-N nanowires. Moreover, this method allows to deal easily with the problem of dangling bonds at the free surface of the nanowires and gives an intuitive physical picture of the wave functions in terms of the atomic orbitals. The TB parameters are obtained by fitting the LDA+U bulk band structure to some selected points of the Brillouin zone, with special care in a faithful description of the neighborhood of the top of the valence band, because of its dominant role in the determination of the optical properties.

Since there is no *a priori* guaranty of the transferability of the fitted TB parameters for their use in nanostructures calculations, we have compared the band structure of a small InN nanowire (diameter 16.2 Å) calculated with the LDA+U and TB approaches, and obtain a very good agreement. The use of this empirical tight-binding model in larger InN nanowires has been illustrated by calculating the dependence of the confinement energy on the NW size, and the polarization dependence optical spectra for a nanowire size beyond the range accessible by *ab initio* calculations.
II. INDIUM NITRIDE BULK

InN has been studied by employing DFT-LDA calculations with on-site Hubbard U correction (LDA+U), using ultra-soft pseudopotentials as realized in Quantum Espresso and expanding the electronic wave functions in plane waves. To describe correctly the structural properties of InN, the 4$d$ electrons of the Indium are explicitly considered as valence electrons. For all calculations, the plane wave cutoff is 30 Ry, and a $(8\times8\times8)$ Monkhorst-Pack mesh is used.

It is known that LDA and GGA underestimate the binding energy of the cation semicore $d$ states and overestimate their hybridization with the anion $p$ valence states. As a result, an artificially large $p$-$d$ coupling pushes up the valence band maximum reducing the calculated band gap; in particular, in the case of InN, DFT-LDA gives null or negative band gap (the experimental band gap is $\sim 0.67$ eV). In this work we use the LDA+$U$ method to correct this deficiency. To describe correctly the main InN band features, we have applied the $U$ correction both to indium 4$d$ electrons and to nitrogen 2$p$ electrons. We note that in the case of InN, similarly to some oxides compounds, the inclusion of the $U$ correction on the anion (the N $p$-shell) is important for a better description of $p$-$d$ interaction and, besides inducing the band gap opening, it gives the correct symmetry of the states close to the top of the valence band. The spin-orbit interaction is not taken into account in these calculations. The selected $U$ parameters are $U_d=6.0$ eV for In, $U_p=1.5$ eV for N, as discussed in details elsewhere. Within this computational scheme, we obtained equilibrium lattice parameters for InN bulk in the wurtzite structure of $a = 3.505$ Å, $c/a = 1.616$, $u = 0.378$. These values are close to the experimental data ($a = 3.538$ Å, $c/a = 1.612$, $u = 0.374$). In Fig. 1 (a) the band structure for the InN bulk is presented: the band gap at $\Gamma$ is 0.34 eV, the valence band width is about 6.3 eV and the 4$d$ indium states lie 16 eV below the valence band maximum (VBM). The bandgap becomes positive but it is still underestimated as compared with the experimental value.

Another remarkable improvement with respect to LDA consists of the correct description of the energy level ordering and symmetry at the top of the valence band, which are essential to derive reliable TB parameters.

![Table 1: TB parameters (in eV) of InN proposed in this work. We follow the standard TB notation also used in Ref. 28.](image)

Concerning the empirical TB method, we have selected a basis of four orbital per atom, $s, p_x, p_y, p_z$ ($sp^3$ model), as described in Ref. 28. It is known that a better description of the conduction bands far from the $\Gamma$ point would require at least the use of an $sp^3s^*$ model. However, we will focus our study in the optical properties near $\Gamma$, and to keep the number of fitting parameters reduced, we avoid the addition of the $s^*$ excited orbital. As we only include interaction between nearest neighbors, the crystal-field splitting at the top of the valence band cannot be reproduced, since this is an effect caused by the interaction with second and third neighbors. This limitation is corrected by the introduction of one ad-hoc asymmetry between $p_x - p_y$ and $p_z$ orbitals. Moreover, the deviation from the ideal wurtzite has been introduced with the Harrison’s rule, applied to the interatomic parameters:

$$V(d) = \left( \frac{d_0}{d} \right)^\eta V(d_0),$$

where $d$ is the relaxed LDA+$U$ distance, $d_0$ the ideal wurtzite distance, and $\eta$ an exponent that depends on the orbital. In most of the literature, the accepted value for the exponent is around 2, although some authors make a discretionary use of such exponents in order to obtain a good description of the band structure under deformation (see Ref. 33 or a better agreement over the whole Brillouin zone (see in Ref. 34). In an attempt to limit the number of additional parameters we restrict the $\eta$ to be different only for the overlap $s - p_z$. A optimized set of TB parameters fitted with this procedure against the LDA+$U$ band structure is shown in Table I and the corresponding TB band structure is represented in Fig. 1 (b). Nevertheless, the bandgap has been fixed to the experimental value. The obtained valence band reproduces well the LDA+$U$ results. The discrepancies at around -6 eV below the top of the valence band are attributed to the small basis set used in the $sp^3$ TB method.

In Fig. 2 we report the details of the top of the valence band at $\Gamma$, comparing LDA+$U$ (open circles) with TB calculations (lines), along the $\Gamma$A and $\Gamma$M directions. We observe a very accurate fitting for the A- C bands, whereas the B-band shows a slight deviation for $k > 0.1$ in the $M$ direction. Along the $\Gamma$A direction, A and B bands are degenerate and both calculations match perfectly. The anti-crossing between B and C bands is also well captured by the TB method. The TB effective mass of the top of the valence band at $\Gamma$ are $m^*_{\Gamma} = 2.80$, $m^*_{\Gamma} = 1.86$, $m^*_{\Gamma} = 0.07$, $m^*_{\Gamma} = 1.86$, $m^*_{\Gamma} = 0.57$ and $m^*_{\Gamma} = 0.07$. Regarding the symmetry of the wave functions at $\Gamma$, the degenerate states belong to the representation $\Gamma_{6e}$. They have a pure composition of $p_x$ and $p_y$ orbitals, that coincides with both LDA+$U$ and TB results. The second state in energy belongs to the representation $\Gamma_{10}$, being here 100 % $p_z$ for both calculations. Note that the bottom of the conduction band state also belongs to this representation, although the predominant orbital is in this case $s$-type. The TB conduction effec-
tive masses are $m^*_x = 0.07$ and $m^*_z = 0.08$, in agreement with the data of Ref. [36]. The achieved good agreement at $\Gamma$ is of special relevance for the eventual use of the TB band structure in the analysis of optical and transport experiments.

III. INDIUM NITRIDE NANOWIRES

To asses the behavior of the TB parametrization in nanostructures, a comparison between the electronic states, calculated with LDA+U and TB approaches, has been performed, for a thin NW. Afterwards, a study in larger NWs with the TB method has been carried out, by exploring the bandgap evolution with the NWs diameter and examining the optical response for a selected diameter.

The nanowire employed in the comparison has a diameter of 16.2 Å (see sketch in the left upper part of Fig. [3]), and the dangling bonds at the free surfaces are passivated with hydrogen atoms in order to avoid the presence of surface states within the gap.27 In the ab initio calculation, the nanowire structure has been fully optimized until forces on atoms are less than 0.001 Ry/bohr per atom. We use a Monkhorst-Pack mesh of 6 points for the one dimensional nanowire Brillouin zone. The indium and nitrogen atoms placed at the surface modify slightly its tetragonal bond due to the presence of the passivant hydrogen atoms, changing slightly their interatomic distances. This surface reconstruction is not taken into account in the TB calculation, which assumes a perfect wurtzite everywhere.28 The topmost valence band states, labeled in increasing energy as $v_1$, $v_2$, …, are shown in Fig. [3] (a) LDA+U and (b) TB method. The states $v_1$ to $v_4$ are within a range of 150 meV in both calculation. The TB result yields in addition the value of -130 meV for the confinement energy of the states $v_1$ and $v_2$ with respect to the top of bulk valence band. In the case of the LDA+U calculation, the degeneracy between $v_1$ and $v_2$ is broken due to the exact consideration of the atomic distances when we relax the structure, an effect that the TB method ignores (such splitting has the small value of 3 meV). In any case, the portion of the band structure framed by a dashed green line, that contains the $v_1$, $v_2$, and $v_3$ sub-bands, exhibit a remarkable similarity in both calculations. In particular, the curvature of the bands are identical and only a slight difference between the $v_1$ and $v_3$ states (26 meV and 18 meV for LDA+U and TB calculation, respectively) is observed. Concerning the $v_4$ state, one can perceive that is closer in energy to $v_3$ in the TB calculations than in the LDA+U approximation. Despite that energy is underestimated, $v_4$ has the same curvature in both approaches. Another difference between both methods is the existence of more states in the range of -150 meV from the state $v_1$, in the case of TB valence band. In order to exclude the relaxation as a source of error in our comparison, calculations with LDA+U in a nanowire, assuming perfect wurtzite everywhere were performed, without finding any substantial difference.

In the lower part of Fig. [3] we show the square of the $\Gamma$ wave function, $|\Psi|^2$, for the valence band states, $v_1$ to $v_4$. The TB wave function can be expressed as:

$$\Psi_\Gamma(r) = \sum_{\alpha,j} A_{\alpha,j} \phi_j(r - r_\alpha),$$

here the index $\alpha$ runs over atoms and $j$ over orbitals. For the sake of simplicity, the orbitals are represented here with the hydrogen wave functions that share the same symmetry.22 Figure [3] shows that the density is localized on the indium and nitrogen atoms, without spreading on the hydrogen atoms. The first two degenerate valence band states ($v_1$ and $v_2$) exhibit the electron density elongated along two perpendicular directions ($x$ and $y$). Moreover, by looking closely to the density of each atom, it is evident that it comes from the $p_x$ and $p_y$ orbitals, for the $x$-elongated ($v_1$) and $y$-elongated ($v_2$) states, respectively. In the next valence band state, $v_3$, the wave function is notably confined at the center of the NW, being the $p_z$-orbital component predominant. In the case of the $v_4$ state, we find that the wave function has a node in the nanowire center, and a mixed composition of $p_x - p_y$ orbitals. One can distinguish that TB charge densities are more delocalized towards the NW surface if compared to the LDA+U picture. Even so, TB method reproduces exactly the qualitative features of the charge density in terms of symmetry and orbital composition. The observed differences are acceptable because of the restricted TB basis and the small sizes of the NW. For larger NWs, these small differences between the TB method and the LDA+U approximation are expected to be attenuated. We thus conclude that the TB parameters obtained and tested here are suitable to be used in the calculation of optical properties of InN-based nanostructures.

Once demonstrated the reliability of the TB approach and the quality of the parameters, we have performed TB calculations for larger NWs. In the first place, we show in Fig. [3] the confinement energy, defined as the difference between the nanowire and bulk bandgap, versus $1/r$, being $r$ the NW radius. The full circles correspond to the TB results and the bandgap energies calculated with LDA+U for two NWs are drawn with full rectangles. The confinement energy calculated with the effective mass approximation, assuming parabolic bands, is:

$$\varepsilon_{EMA} = \left( \frac{\hbar^2}{2m^*_x} + \frac{\hbar^2}{2m^*_z} \right) \left( \frac{k_0^0}{r} \right)^2,$$

$k_0^0 = 2.4048$, being the first zero of the Bessel function $J_0(x)$, and the effective masses are reported in Sec. [1]. For large radii, when $1/r < 0.03$ Å$^{-1}$, the TB method and the EMA follow the same trend, proportional to $1/r^2$. For decreasing radii ($1/r > 0.03$ Å$^{-1}$) EMA overestimate the confinement energy as compared with the TB
results, that changes in this range the $\sim 1/r^2$ behavior to $\sim 1/r$. Moreover, the TB results connect perfectly with the \textit{ab initio} computed values, represented by full squares, at radii 8.1 Å and 5.1 Å. This smooth interpolation confirms the suitability of the TB method to link the NWs size ranges of 10 Å, where \textit{ab initio} are practical and 100 Å, where the (EMA) start to be applicable. In this intermediate size range the TB approach has the advantages of keeping the atomistic nature of the system and be efficient in terms of computational effort.

In addition the TB method offers the possibility of calculating the optical absorption spectra without introducing new parameters in the model. The absorption coefficient for light with polarization vector $\mathbf{e}$ can be written as:  

$$\alpha(\hbar \omega) \propto \int_{BZ} f_c^e(k) \delta(E_{c,k} - E_{v,k} - \hbar \omega), \quad (4)$$

where we integrate over the one-dimensional Brillouin zone, and the oscillator strength is calculated as:

$$f_c^e(k) \propto \left| \langle \Psi_c | \mathbf{e} \cdot \mathbf{p} | \Psi_v \rangle \right|^2. \quad (5)$$

The momentum matrix element, $\langle \Psi_c | \mathbf{e} \cdot \mathbf{p} | \Psi_v \rangle$, is calculated as in Ref. [11], for two light polarizations: in-plane (perpendicular to NW axis), $\mathbf{e}_\perp = 1/\sqrt{2}(\hat{x} + i\hat{y})$, and in-axis (parallel to NW axis), $\mathbf{e}_z = \hat{z}$. The delta function is replaced by a Lorentz function of width 7 meV. In Fig. 5 we represent the absorption spectrum of a NW of diameter 70.8 Å for the defined light polarizations. The valence and conduction wave functions that participate in the transitions at the absorption edge are also shown. In both spectra it is recognized the one-dimensional density of states (modulated by the oscillator strength), the $\mathbf{e}_z$ spectra exhibiting a larger separation between the absorption peaks. By analyzing more in more detail the $\mathbf{e}_\perp$ spectra, one can appreciate that absorption edge does not take place at the energy of the fundamental bandgap (corresponding to the transition $v_1 - c_1$). This is because the symmetry valence state $v_1$ (see Fig. 5), whose charge density has a node in the at the NW center, making negligible the spatial overlap between the states $v_1$ and $c_1$. The first optically active transition, blue-shifted 10 meV with respect to the fundamental gap, involves the degenerate states $v_2$ and $v_3$, shown in Fig. 5. On the other hand, the absorption edge of the $\mathbf{e}_z$ spectra is shifted 24 meV with respect the $\mathbf{e}_\perp$ spectra, since the first state with significant $p_z$ orbital component is $v_8$.

\section{IV. CONCLUSIONS}

In this work, we have obtained an InN band structure with a fundamental bandgap of 0.34 eV, by means of LDA+U calculations. The Hubbard $U$ correction to the $d$ orbitals of indium and $p$ orbitals of nitrogen has palliated the zero bandgap problem of InN, present in LDA or GGA calculations. The LDA+U band structure has been fitted with a $sp^3$ tight-binding model obtaining a very reasonable overall agreement despite the small size of the TB basis. It is specially noticeable the satisfactory coincidence between the energy and symmetry of the wave functions at $\Gamma$ point.

This fitted set of TB parameters is in principle usable for calculations of the electronic structure of quantum wells, wires or/and dots. In order to test the suitability of this empirical approach, a band structure calculation is performed of a InN NW of 16.2 Å diameter and compare with the corresponding LDA+U calculation, which includes a previous relaxation of the atomic positions. This comparison shows that, without any additional fitting, the TB band structure and wave functions matches adequately with their \textit{ab initio} counterparts. Possibly, the remaining differences between the two models could be reduced by employing a TB model with an extended orbital basis set, although this would increase the number of parameters and computational time. The study the evolution of the NW bandgap with the radius confirms the adequacy of TB method to connect efficiently very small sizes nanoobjects (a few Å) accessible with \textit{ab initio} approaches, with large sizes nanostructures (hundreds of Å), where continuous methods are commonly employed. Finally, the potential of this empirical atomistic approach is illustrated by the analysis of the absorption of a large nanowire.
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FIG. 1: (Color online) Band structure of InN bulk obtained with (a) LDA+U and (b) TB approaches. The symmetry group labels of some relevant states are indicated in (a).
FIG. 2: (Color online) Top of the InN valence band. The empty circles correspond to the LDA+U bands, and the lines represent the TB bands. The component \( k_z \) of the wave vector \( \mathbf{k} \) is normalized to \( \pi \), such that \( k_z = 1 \) correspond to A. The wave vector in the \( M \) direction is expressed as \( \frac{\pi}{a} (\xi, \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \xi, 0) \), where \( 0 \leq \xi \leq 1 \). The symmetry group of the states at \( \Gamma \) are indicated and the bands are denoted as A, B and C.
FIG. 3: (Color online) In the left upper part, nanowire represented with ball-and-sticks of diameter 16.2 Å. As well in the upper part, top of the valence band calculated with (a) LDAU (b) and TB method. In the lower part, we represent the square of the wave function for the valence band states $v_1$, $v_2$, $v_3$ and $v_4$ calculated with both approaches.
FIG. 4: (Color online) Dependence of the confinement energy on the nanowire size. The limit of $1/r \to 0$ is the bulk bandgap.

FIG. 5: (Color online) Optical absorption spectra for in-plane ($\mathbf{e}_\perp$ multiplied by two) and on-axis ($\mathbf{e}_z$) light polarization (see main text). The wave functions that participate in the relevant optical transitions are also represented (both spectra are displayed in the same scale).