Phylogenetic and Pathotypical Analysis of Two Virulent Newcastle Disease Viruses Isolated from Domestic Ducks in China
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Abstract

Two velogenic Newcastle disease viruses (NDV) obtained from outbreaks in domestic ducks in China were characterized in this study. Phylogenetic analysis revealed that both strains clustered with the class II viruses, with one phylogenetically close to the genotype VII NDVs and the other closer to genotype IX. The deduced amino acid sequence of the cleavage site of the fusion (F) protein confirmed that both isolates contained the virulent motif \(112RRQK/RRF117\) at the cleavage site. The two NDVs had severe pathogenicity in fully susceptible chickens, resulting in 100% mortality. One of the isolates also demonstrated some pathogenicity in domestic ducks. The present study suggests that more than one genotype of NDV circulates in domestic ducks in China and viral transmission may occur among chickens and domestic ducks.

Introduction

Newcastle disease virus (NDV) belongs to genus Avulavirus in the family Paramyxoviridae and has also been designated as avian paramyxovirus I [1]. Its genome is a non-segmented, single-stranded, negative-sense RNA molecule of approximately 15,186 nucleotides (nt) that contains six genes encoding the six structural proteins (from the 3’ to 5’ terminus): nucleoprotein (NP), phosphoprotein (P), matrix (M), fusion (F), hemagglutinin-neuraminidase (HN) and the large protein (L) [2]. Additionally, two nonstructural proteins (V and W) may be generated due to an mRNA-editing event in which one (V) or two (W) G residues are inserted at a specific position within the P gene mRNA [3,4].

NDV strains are classified as high virulence (velogenic), intermediate (mesogenic) or low virulence (lentogenic) based on some biological parameters, such as the mean death time (MDT) of chicken embryos infected with the minimum lethal dose of virus, the intracerebral pathogenicity index (ICPI) in 1-day-old chicks and the intravenous pathogenicity index (IVPI) in 5-week-old chickens. The velogenic strains are involved in fatal infections of chickens. The mesogenic strains cause moderate respiratory signs with occasional nervous signs while the lentogenic strains typically cause subclinical infections or mild respiratory disease [5,6,7]. The molecular basis for NDV pathogenicity is dependent on the cleavability of precursor F (F0) to active F1 and F2 polypeptides by cellular proteases [2,8].

Phylogenetic analysis revealed that NDV strains consist of two distinct classes (class I and class II) within a single serotype. Class I viruses comprise at least nine (1–9) genotypes and have been recovered primarily from wild waterfowl and live bird markets. Class II viruses comprise the vast majority of the sequenced NDVs and include isolates recovered from poultry, pet birds and wild birds, and are further categorized into genotypes I–XI [9,10,11,12,13,14,15].

NDV has a wide host range with most orders of birds reported to have been infected by the virus, the more commonly affected species include chickens, turkeys, pigeons and ducks. Other species can be infected, and this occasionally includes mammals [3,16]. Chicken infection with virulent NDVs can be devastating due to the resulting high mortality or significant egg drop, and is characterized by very rapid spread. The disease remains one of the major problems affecting existing or developing poultry industries in many countries. In general, ducks are considered natural reservoirs of NDV and show few or no clinical signs after infection even for NDV strains lethal to chickens [13,17,18,19]. Many NDVs have been isolated from domestic ducks in recent years [12,13,20]. Most of these are low-virulence strains, occasionally a high-virulence strain is isolated but little is known about their potential to cause disease in domestic ducks.

In the present study, two velogenic NDVs obtained from outbreaks in domestic ducks in China were pathotypically and genotypically characterized. We also discuss the evolutionary relationship of NDVs from different origins.
Results

Biological characteristic assessment of the two isolates

As determined by the MDT and IVPI, both NDV isolates were velogenic strains. The MDT/IVPI values and other details are shown in Table 1.

RT-PCR and sequence analysis

The RT-PCRs performed with all primers (Table 2) resulted in amplification of the expected products. The amplified products were sequenced, annotated and assembled to obtain the entire nucleotide sequences of two isolates. The nucleotide sequence data were deposited into the Genbank database and the accession numbers were HQ317394 (GD09-2) and HQ317395 (SD09). The coding regions of both strains were 14,879 nt in length. Compared with NDV Lasota, the two isolates bear a 6 nt insertion (CCCCCG or TCCCAAC) in positions 1647–1648 nt of the NP gene.

Phylogenetic analysis

The RT-PCRs performed with all primers (Table 2) resulted in amplification of the expected products. The amplified products were sequenced, annotated and assembled to obtain the entire nucleotide sequences of two isolates. The nucleotide sequence data were deposited into the Genbank database and the accession numbers were HQ317394 (GD09-2) and HQ317395 (SD09). The coding regions of both strains were 14,879 nt in length. Compared with NDV Lasota, the two isolates bear a 6 nt insertion (CCCCCG or TCCCAAC) in positions 1647–1648 nt of the NP gene.

Phylogenetic analysis

The predicted amino acid sequences of the two isolate were compared. Nucleotide and amino acid sequence data of 63 NDV reference strains obtained from the Genbank database (Table 3) were used for comparison. The GD09-2 isolate showed greatest nucleotide and amino acid identities (99.75%) with the velogenic strain F48 (Accession number FJ436302). Strain SD09 was highly similar to GM (97.78%; Accession number DQ486859), a classic genotype VII virus. The two isolates (GD09-2 and SD09) had sequence homologies of 87.70% and 82.64% respectively at the nucleotide level with strain LaSota, the common vaccine strain used in China.

Phylogenetic analysis was conducted based on nucleotide sequences of the two important surface genes of NDV, the F and HN genes. Both isolates clustered within class II NDVs, as shown in Fig. 1. Within class II, strain SD09 was phylogenetically close to genotype VII NDVs, which displayed a high nucleotide sequence homology of 95.59–97.70%. Strain GD09-2 was phylogenetically close to genotype IX NDVs. However, both viruses were genetically distinct and phylogenetically distant from the vaccine strains (Lasota, AF077761; B1, AF309418), and clustered in different groups.

Pathogenicity in chickens

Birds infected with SD09 or GD09-2 exhibited severe clinical disease. Slight depression and head tremor were evident in some birds at 2 dpi. Whereas at 3 dpi all birds were depressed, and some had severe nervous signs such as incoordination accompanied by leg paralysis. All infected birds were dead by 5 dpi (Fig. 2). At necropsy, severe hemorrhage could be seen in the gastrointestinal tract, especially in the proventriculus, duodenum and appendix. Hemorrhaging of liver, spleen, trachea and kidney could also be seen occasionally. Small intestine, proventriculus, spleen and kidney tissues were collected for histological observation.
Table 3. NDV strains and their accession numbers used for phylogenetic analysis.

| Virus strains                  | Year | Country     | Genotype | Accession number |
|-------------------------------|------|-------------|----------|------------------|
| GM                            | 2007 | China       | VII      | DQ486859         |
| Muscovy duck/China(Fujian)/FP1/02 | 2009 | China       | VII      | FJ827531         |
| Chicken/China/Guangxi/9/2003  | 2008 | China       | VII      | DQ485230         |
| Chicken/China/Guangxi/11/2003 | 2008 | China       | VII      | DQ485231         |
| SF02                          | 2005 | China       | VII      | AF473851         |
| JSD0812                       | 2009 | China       | VII      | GQ849007         |
| NA-1                          | 2006 | China       | VII      | DQ659677         |
| ZJ1                           | 2007 | China       | VII      | AF431744         |
| Mallard/China/HLJ-07-05       | 2007 | China       | VII      | EF592500         |
| Mallard/China/HLJ-50-06       | 2007 | China       | VII      | EF592505         |
| DFQS/Beijing/08               | 2010 | China       | VII      | FJ608350         |
| WF00D                         | 2009 | China       | VII      | FJ754272         |
| WN/Tianjin/03                 | 2010 | China       | VII      | FJ608334/FJ608352|
| Goose/China/HLJ-48-06         | 2007 | China       | VII      | EF592504         |
| YZCQ/Liaoning/08              | 2010 | China       | VII      | FJ608351         |
| GM/Shandong/01                | 2010 | China       | VII      | FJ608361         |
| XD/Shandong/08                | 2010 | China       | VII      | FJ608365         |
| JAU04                         | 2006 | China       | VII      | EF141104         |
| TW-03-332                     | 2010 | Taiwan,China| VII      | EU526308         |
| TW-03-333                     | 2010 | Taiwan,China| VII      | EU526309         |
| QG/Hebei/07                   | 2010 | China       | VII      | FJ608355         |
| HG/Beijing/2009               | 2010 | China       | VII      | FJ882015         |
| PX2/03                        | 2007 | China       | VII      | EF175145         |
| HZ                            | 2005 | China       | VII      | DQ114478         |
| Taiwan/95                     | 1996 | Taiwan,China| VII      | U62620           |
| JS/1/03/Go                    | 2008 | China       | VII      | DQ642437         |
| P4                            | 2010 | Italy       | VI       | AY562989         |
| Pigeon/Italy/1166/00          | 2004 | USA         | VI       | AY288996         |
| Turkey/USA/(ND)/43084/92      | 2004 | USA         | IV       | AY289001         |
| Herts/33                      | 2005 | Netherlands | IV       | AY741404         |
| Italien                       | 2008 | Italy       | IV       | EU293914         |
| F4BE9                         | 2005 | China       | IX       | AY508514/AY997298|
| CK/CH/GD/1/05                 | 2008 | China       | VII      | FJ480789         |
| JS/1/02/Du                    | 2009 | China       | IX       | FJ436306         |
| AUS32                         | 2003 | Austria     | III      | AF542891         |
| D26/76                        | 1999 | Austria     | I        | M24692           |
| V4                            | 2003 | Austria     | I        | AF542946         |
| FJ0801                        | 2009 | China       | I        | FJ600541         |
| ND-XO08                       | 2009 | China       | VII      | GQ833450         |
| JS/1/04/Go                    | 2008 | China       | VII      | DQ682448         |
| SD/1/04/Go                    | 2008 | China       | VII      | DQ682450         |
| Ulster 2C                     | 1994 | U.K         | I        | Z30084           |
| TexasGB                       | 1988 | USA         | II       | M23407           |
| B1                            | 2000 | USA         | II       | AF309418         |
| MET95                         | 2003 | Japan       | II       | AY1143159        |
| Clone 30                      | 2005 | Germany     | II       | Y18898           |
| HN0801                        | 2009 | China       | II       | FJ600543         |
| Lasota                        | 1999 | Netherlands | II       | AF077761         |
| GPMV/QY97-1                   | 1999 | China       | VI       | AF192406         |
Table 3. Cont.

| Virus strains          | Year | Country | Genotype | Accession number |
|------------------------|------|---------|----------|-----------------|
| ZJ1                    | 2007 | China   | VII      | AF431744        |
| JS/1/97/Ch             | 2009 | China   | IX       | FJ436305        |
| HB92                   | 2003 | China   | II       | AY225110        |
| D26                    | 1993 | Japan   | I        | M19432          |
| JS-1-05                | 2006 | China   | VII      | DQ469830        |
| SRZ03                  | 2005 | China   | VII      | DQ234584        |
| Duck/1/05              | 2008 | China   | VII      | EU649675        |
| ZJ/1/86/Ch             | 2009 | China   | IX       | FJ436303        |
| Duck/China/SD27/2008   | 2010 | China   | Class I  | FJ492893        |
| Duck/China/SD23/2008   | 2010 | China   | Class I  | FJ492892        |
| Duck/China/SD08/2008   | 2010 | China   | Class I  | FJ492891        |
| Duck/China/SD26/2008   | 2010 | China   | Class I  | FJ492894        |
| Duck/China/08-004/2008 | 2008 | China   | Class I  | EU589149        |

Figure 1. Phylogenetic tree based on the nucleotide sequences of the fusion gene (A) (nt 1–1662) and hemagglutinin-neuraminidase gene (B) (nt 1–1713 or 1731) of NDV. The phylogenetic tree was constructed by the Neighbor-Joining method with 1000 bootstrap replicates (bootstrap values are shown on the tree).
doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0025000.g001
Characteristics of Two NDVs from Ducks in China

Sera were collected at 14 dpi from surviving ducks and hemagglutination-inhibition (HI) tests were performed to detect specific NDV antibody (Fig. 4B). All sera were positive for NDV HI antibody after SD09 or GD09-2 inoculation, and the average HI titers were 6.0log2 and 3.6log2 respectively.

Discussion

Outbreaks of Newcastle disease (ND) were first reported in poultry in 1926 [5]. Since then, vaccination has been widely used for prevention and control of the disease in many countries including China, but the disease is still enzootic in some areas and is recognized as major disease of poultry [2]. The prevailing NDV strains have significant differences from the current vaccine strains in their biology, serology and genetics, which might be considered as reasons for the outbreaks [2,22,28,30]. In the past decade, the predominant NDV strain was genotype VII viruses in China, while the most commonly used live vaccine LaSota and Clone-30 belong to genotype II. Up to 8-fold titer differences might be observed between the vaccine and field strains on mean HI titers, which may reflect apparent antigenic differences among them.

Phylogenetic analysis reveals that NDVs are continually evolving due to immune pressure and the broad genetic diversity of NDV. Some reports have revealed that waterfowl and wild birds may play an important role in the evolution of NDV [6,12,23,24,25,26,27]. However, virological and epidemiological information about NDV strains circulating in waterfowl and wild birds is still extremely limited. In ducks, many NDVs have been isolated in recent years [12,13,17,18,19,20]. Most of them belong to class I NDVs and are low-virulence strains, occasionally a high-virulence strain is isolated but little is known about their potential to cause disease in domestic ducks.

Two representative NDV isolates obtained from outbreaks in domestic ducks in China were characterized both pathotypically and genotypically in the study. Pathogenicity tests showed that both isolates (SD09 and GD09-2) were velogenic strains. They had severe pathogenicity in fully susceptible chickens, resulting in 100% mortality. The GD09-2 strain also demonstrated some genetic proximity between SD09 and GM indicates that viral transmission may occur among chickens and domestic ducks, although further investigation is required. In addition, GD09-2 strain was found to be a genotype IX virus, which has been seldom isolated from chicken flocks in recent years [12,13,17,18,19,20]. Most of them belong to class I NDVs and are low-virulence strains, occasionally a high-virulence strain is isolated but little is known about their potential to cause disease in domestic ducks.

Over the past decade, previous studies have shown that genotype VII viruses, circulating predominantly in many Asian countries including China, were responsible for disease outbreaks in chicken flocks [2,28,29]. Phylogenetic analysis in this study revealed that the SD09 strain had highest similarity (97.78%) to the GM isolate (Accession number DQ486859), which is a classic genotype VII virus from chickens in China. The close phylogenetic proximity between SD09 and GM suggests that viral transmission may occur among chickens and domestic ducks, although further investigation is required. In addition, GD09-2 strain was found to be a genotype IX virus, which has been seldom isolated from chicken flocks in recent years. The results further indicate that genotype IX viruses still cause sporadic infections in domestic ducks in China.

In summary, we have demonstrated that there is more than one genotype of NDV circulating in the domestic ducks of China, and some strains have obvious pathogenicity to domestic ducks suggesting that ducks may play an important role in driving the evolution of NDVs. More studies are needed to further clarify the relationship and origin of NDVs in chickens and domestic ducks.
Viral genomic RNA was extracted from allantoic fluid using Trizol reagent (Invitrogen, San Diego, USA) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Reverse transcription was performed at 37 °C for 1 h using 3 μg total RNA, 1 μL random primers (500 μg/mL random hexadeoxynucleotides) (Promega, Madison, WI, USA) and 0.5 μL M-MLV reverse transcriptase (200 U/μL) (Promega). The PCRs were performed in a thermocycler (Biometra, Germany) with 100 ng cDNA as template in a 20 μL reaction volume containing 10 pmol of each primer and 1 U Taq DNA polymerase (Promega). Reactions were performed according to the following protocol: 95 °C for 5 min, followed by 35 cycles of 95 °C for 45 s, 55 °C or 55 °C for 45 s, 72 °C for 2 min, and a final elongation step of 10 min at 72 °C [30]. PCR products were examined by electrophoresis on a 1.5% (w/v) agarose gel and visualized after Goldview staining.

Cloning and sequencing of PCR products
PCR products of the expected length were purified with a Gel Extraction kit (OMEGA, USA), then cloned into the PMD18-T vector (TaKaRa, Japan) according to the manufacturer’s instructions and sequenced at BGI (Beijing, China). At least three clones of each segment were sequenced to control for Taq DNA polymerase misincorporation errors.

Phylogenetic analysis
Complete NDV genomic sequences were obtained from GenBank (Table 3), and these included current vaccine strains, typical prevailing isolates in China and the reference strains for each known NDV genotype. These NDV sequences and the complete coding sequences of the two NDV isolates were aligned and analyzed using the ClustalW multiple alignment algorithm in the MEGAAlign program of the DNASTAR software suite (version 3.1; DNastar, Madison, WI, USA).

A phylogenetic tree was constructed using MEGA4.0 software (Molecular Evolutionary Genetics Analysis, version 4.0) by Neighbor-Joining method (1000 replicates for bootstrap). The evolutionary distances were computed by Pairwise Distance method using the Maximum Composite Likelihood Model [31].

Clinicopathologic assessment in chickens
Three groups, each containing ten 1-week-old SPF White Leghorn chickens were inoculated via the intranasal route with 0.3 mL of one of the viruses (SD09 or GD09-2) or phosphate-
buffered saline (PBS) as a non-infected control. Each bird received approximately 10^{5.0} (SD09) or 10^{7.0} (GD09-2) EID_{50} of viral inoculum based on titrations in embryonated eggs to confirm the administered dose.

All birds were monitored clinically every day for signs of disease (disheveled feathers, lethargy, fever or paralysis) and mortality. Tissues (trachea, lung, brain, spleen, small intestine, proventriculus and kidney) were collected and fixed by immersion in 10% neutral buffered formalin for approximately 72 h, then 3 μm sections were prepared for histological observation.

Clinicopathologic assessment in ducks

Thirty 1-week-old Peking NDV antibody-negative ducks were randomly divided into three groups. The challenge procedure was

Figure 5. Histopathology on tissues from 1-week-old ducks infected with NDV GD09-2 or SD09 (H&E). B and C: hemorrhage (group GD09-2, black arrow) or degeneration of renal tubules epithelial cells (group SD09, black arrow) and eosinophil infiltration (group SD09, white arrow) in the kidneys; E and F: necrosis and disappear of lymphocyte (black arrow) or coagulation necrosis of massive tissue (white arrow) in the spleens; H and I: villus missing (white arrow) and necrosis of epithelial cell infiltration (black arrow) in the small intestines; K and L: dilatation of hepatic sinus and thrombus (group GD09-2, black arrow), lymphocyte infiltration (group GD09-2, white arrow), dilatation of hepatic sinus (group SD09, black arrow) and venous congestion (group SD09, white arrow) in the livers. A, D, G and J: Corresponding control tissues. A–I: scale bar = 100 μm, J–L: scale bar = 50 μm.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0025000.g005

Figure 6. Immunohistochemical detection of NDV antigens in liver after experimental infection with NDV GD09-2. B: Viral antigen was detected extensively in the liver cells (black arrow). A: Corresponding control tissues. Scale bar = 50 μm.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0025000.g006
Table 4. Virus shedding from ducks by the cloacal route following inoculation with GD09-2 virus.

| Group | No. viral RNA* | No. ducks tested |
|-------|---------------|------------------|
|       | Days postinoculation | Day 1 | Day 3 | Day 5 | Day 7 |
| GD09-2 | 0/10 | 5/10 | 6/10 | 8/10 |
| Control | 0/10 | 0/10 | 0/10 | 0/10 |

*By reverse transcriptase-polymerase chain reaction.
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