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This paper reviews the properties of the magnetic and plasma structure of the solar wind in the inertial range of spatial scales (500–5 × 10^6 km), corresponding to spacecraft timescales from 1 s to a few hr. Spacecraft data sets at 1 AU have been statistically analyzed to determine the structure properties. The magnetic structure of the solar wind often has a flux-tube texture, with the magnetic flux tube walls being strong current sheets and the field orientation varying strongly from tube to tube. The magnetic tubes also exhibit distinct plasma properties (e.g., number density, specific entropy), with variations in those properties from tube to tube. The ion composition also varies from tube to tube, as does the value of the electron heat flux. When the solar wind is Alfvénic, the magnetic structure of the solar wind moves outward from the Sun faster than the proton plasma does. In the reference frame moving outward with the structure, there are distinct field-aligned plasma flows within each flux tube. In the frame moving with the magnetic structure the velocity component perpendicular to the field is approximately zero; this indicates that there is little or no evolution of the magnetic structure as it moves outward from the Sun. Large sudden velocity shears are seen across the boundaries between the magnetic flux tubes as the magnetic field rotates and the field-aligned flow rotates. The effect of the solar-wind current sheets on the magnetic power spectral density of the solar wind is examined: the current sheets are found to dominate the spectral properties of the solar wind.
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INTRODUCTION

This review examines the plasma and magnetic-field structure of the inner heliosphere in the “inertial range” of scale sizes, corresponding to timescales in the solar wind data from about 1 s to about 3 h, equivalent to advected spatial scales of about 500 km to 5 × 10^6 km. These are MHD scales sizes, larger than ion gyroradii r_\text{gi} \sim 20–100 km and larger than ion-inertial lengths c/\omega_\text{pi} \sim 50–150 km in the solar-wind plasma at 1 AU.
In this range of timescales, the magnetic-field time series is dominated by strong current sheets (directional discontinuities) and calmer regions between the current sheets. The plasma time series is dominated by sudden jumps in the plasma parameters (e.g., number density, specific entropy, proton temperature, plasma beta, magnetic-field strength, etc.) at the locations of strong current sheets. The proton flow time series is dominated by sudden jumps of the flow vector (abrupt flow shears) at the location of the current sheets, especially for the more-Alfvénic types of solar-wind plasma.

This review explores the properties of the structure of the solar wind in the inner heliosphere as gleaned from spacecraft time-series measurements. This paper is organized as follows. In Section The Flux-Tube Texture of the Heliospheric Magnetic Field the magnetic flux-tube texture of the solar wind is discussed. Section The Motion of the Magnetic Structure through the Solar-Wind Plasma examines the outward motion of the magnetic structure through the solar-wind proton plasma. Section The Alpha-to-Proton Ionic Composition and Magnetic Flux Tubes discusses how the alpha-to-proton ionic composition varies from tube to tube and Section The Electron Heat Flux (Strahl) and Magnetic Flux Tubes discusses how the electron strahl (heat flux) changes from tube to tube. Section Squashing and Stretching of Magnetic Flux Tubes describes the squashing and stretching of magnetic flux tubes in compression and rarefaction regions. Section Mixing discusses the lack of evidence of mixing in the solar wind as it moves outward through the inner heliosphere. Section Current Sheets and the Fourier Power Spectrum explains the impact of solar-wind current sheets on the magnetic power spectrum of the solar wind. Section Current Sheet Thicknesses discusses the thicknesses of the current sheets in the magnetic structure. Abrupt velocity shears in the solar wind are discussed in Section Intense Velocity Shears. Section Alfvénic Domains in Coronal-Hole-Origin Plasma discusses spatial domains of Alfvénicity that are found in the solar wind from coronal holes. Magnetic switchbacks in the solar wind are discussed in Section Magnetic Switchbacks. Section Types of Solar-Wind Plasma and the Inertial-Range Structure reviews the systematic differences in the inertial-range structure of the solar wind in four different types of solar-wind plasma originating from four different types of regions on the Sun. The review is summarized in Section Summary and Discussion, which also contains some suggestions about changes in nomenclature.

THE FLUX-TUBE TEXTURE OF THE HELIOSPHERIC MAGNETIC FIELD

Figure 1 contains a binning of the temporal angular change in the direction of the solar-wind magnetic field in 64-s time-resolution time-series measurements at 1 AU during the years 1998–2008. Two distinct populations can be seen in the occurrence distribution, both fit by exponential functions: a population of large angular changes and a population of smaller angular changes. The large-angular-change population is consistent with the spacecraft crossing strong current sheets in the solar-wind plasma, and the small-angular-change population is consistent with magnetic field directional wiggles in the spatial regions between the current sheets. The two distributions are consistent with a picture of magnetic-flux tubes separated by current sheets, with the direction of the magnetic field changing from tube to tube. For a plot similar to Figure 1 closer to the Sun see the 1-s curve in Figure 3 of Chhiber et al. (2020) and for a plot further from the Sun see Figure 6 of Miao et al. (2011), indicative of a flux-tube texture throughout the inner heliosphere.

A flux-tube texture of the solar wind has been realized since early in situ measurements of the solar wind were available (Bartley et al., 1966; McCracken and Ness, 1966; Ness et al., 1966; Michel, 1967). The flux tubes were evident from the sudden changes in the anisotropy directions of energetic particles (Bartley et al., 1966; McCracken and Ness, 1966), from the sudden changes of direction of the magnetic field (Ness et al., 1966; Michel, 1967; Siscoe et al., 1968), and from sudden changes in the plasma flow vector (Thieme et al., 1989).

Depictions of this spaghetti of magnetic flux tubes can be found throughout the literature [cf. Figure 3 of McCracken and Ness (1966); Figure 6 or Bartley et al. (1966); Figures 1, Figure 5, 9 of Michel (1967); Figure 30H of Schatten (1971); Figure 5 of (Bruno et al., 2001); Figure 1A of Borovsky (2008); Figure 22 of Borovsky (2010a); Figures 7, 8 of Bruno and Carbone (2016); Figure 7 of Bruno (2019)]. In the flux-tube structure the walls of the flux tubes are current sheets wherein the magnetic-field direction changes suddenly. At 1 AU the current sheet thicknesses are on the order of 10^3 km (Siscoe et al., 1968; Vasquez et al., 2007) and the flux-tube diameters are on the order of 5 × 10^3 km (Borovsky, 2008; Zheng et al., 2017). In streamer-belt-origin plasma and coronal-hole-origin plasma the flux tubes meander along the Parker-spiral direction, with a
spread in flux-tube orientations of about 40° about the Parker-spiral direction (Borovsky, 2010a). At 1 AU Tong et al. (2016) statistically measured the characteristic spatial scale $L_{||}$ of flux-tube meandering to be $L_{||} \sim 2 \times 10^6$ km $= 370$ R$_{E}$ = 0.016 AU along the Parker-spiral direction.

The origin of the flux-tube magnetic structure of the inner heliosphere is not known (Neugebauer and Giacalone, 2010, 2015; Li and Qin, 2011; Owens et al., 2011; Telloni et al., 2016; Tu et al., 2016; Viall and Borovsky, 2020). Among the possibilities are (a) active MHD turbulence (Dmitruk et al., 2004; Greco et al., 2009), (b) turbulence that has exhausted its energy and left behind a structured magnetic field (Dobrowolny et al., 1980; Telloni et al., 2016), (c) fossil magnetic structure from the corona (Huang et al., 2014; Burkholder et al., 2019; Escelevich, 2019), and (d) steepened Alfvén waves (Malara et al., 1996; Vasquez and Hollweg, 1999).

The flux-tube texture of the heliospheric magnetic field impacts energetic-particle transport in the heliosphere and the physics of particle scattering (Michel, 1967; Qin and Li, 2008), with ducting and weak scattering in the interiors of flux tubes where there are low levels of magnetic fluctuations (Trenchi et al., 2013a, b) and with energetic particles with non-zero gyroradii passing close to the flux-tube walls suffering large-angle scattering. Energetic particles with gyroradii comparable to or larger than flux-tube diameters (cf. Table 4 of Viall and Borovsky (2020)) will suffer scattering associated with the distribution of flux-tube orientations. The $L_{||} \sim 0.16$ AU wiggle of the flux-tube orientations about the Parker spiral will also produce a scattering of energetic particles (e.g., (Webb et al., 2006)).

The driving of the Earth’s magnetosphere by the solar wind is very sensitive to the direction of the solar-wind magnetic field (Sonnerup, 1974; Komar et al., 2015). From one flux tube to the neighboring flux tube the magnetic-field direction of the solar wind changes (Bruno et al., 2001; Borovsky, 2008; Bruno and Carbone, 2016), with sudden strong jumps in the magnetic-field direction as a flux-tube wall is crossed. The advection of the flux-tube structure past the Earth produces a magnetic direction that is quasi-steady for 15 min or so, followed by a strong change in the field direction. Depending chiefly on the orientation of each flux tube, some flux tubes are geoeffective and some are not, with the flux-tube structure of the solar wind resulting in an intermittent driving of convection and geomagnetic activity in the Earth’s magnetosphere (Borovsky, 2020a).

Statistical analysis of the orientations of the flux tubes at 1 AU finds two populations (cf. Figure 18 of Borovsky (2010a)): a population that is on average aligned in the Parker-spiral direction with a spread of flux-tube orientations of about 40° about the Parker-spiral direction and a second, smaller, population of flux tubes that are quasi-isotropically oriented (cf. Section Types of Solar-Wind Plasma and the Inertial-Range Structure).

The magnetic-flux-tube structure of the solar wind also corresponds with a plasma-tube structure of the solar wind. When the occurrence distributions of changes of plasma properties are examined (e.g., Figure 3 of Borovsky (2008)), a dual population is seen: a population of large changes and a population of small changes, as in Figure 1. This holds for changes in the plasma number density, changes in the specific entropy, changes in the magnetic-field strength, changes in the plasma-$\beta$, etc. The locations of the large changes correspond to the locations of current sheets ([Borovsky, 2008; Owens et al., 2011]), i.e., the plasma properties change from flux tube to flux tube. In the slower types of solar wind these plasma changes across the magnetic-tube walls are robust; in the faster coronal-hole-origin wind the plasma changes are more subtle ([Borovsky, 2016]). As will be discussed in later sections, the magnetic-flux-tube structure also corresponds to a structure in the ion composition of the solar-wind plasma and to a structure in the electron heat flux (strahl intensity) of the solar wind.

Plasma boundaries and magnetic-flux-tube boundaries are, in the MHD nomenclature, discussed as “tangential discontinuities.” In Section The Motion of the Magnetic Structure through the Solar-Wind Plasma it will be pointed out that the magnetic structure of the solar wind moves en mass away from the Sun faster than the proton plasma moves outward. It is often stated to be the case that tangential discontinuities do not propagate relative to the plasma (e.g., (Burlaga and Ness, 1969; Tsurutani and Ho, 1999)), but in the case of flux tubes a perturbation transverse to the axis of the tube will propagate axially along the tube relative to the plasma in the tube at a speed related to the Alfvén speed (Edwin and Roberts, 1983; Ruderman and Roberts, 2006; Goossens et al., 2009). Perturbations of interest for the solar wind are the shuffling of flux tubes (= plasma tubes) at the Sun, with this shuffling pattern propagating outward faster than the plasma outflow.

**THE MOTION OF THE MAGNETIC STRUCTURE THROUGH THE SOLAR-WIND PLASMA**

In Alfvénic solar wind [i.e., in coronal-hole-origin plasma and in streamer-belt-origin plasma (Xu and Borovsky, 2015; D’Amicis et al., 2019)] the flux-tube structure of the magnetic field moves outward from the Sun at a higher speed than the proton plasma does (Borovsky, 2020b; Nemecek et al., 2020). For an interval of solar-wind data, a single reference frame can be found wherein $v \times B \approx 0$ where $v(t)$ is the measured solar-wind proton flow vector and $B(t)$ is the measured magnetic-field vector. That single reference frame moves with the magnetic structure and it is found to move typically at about 0.7$v_A$ in the Parker-spiral direction relative to the proton flow vector of the solar wind, where $v_A = B/(4\pi n_p m_p)^{1/2}$ is the proton Alfvén speed of the solar-wind plasma with number density $n_p$ (Borovsky, 2020b). Nemecek et al. (2020) refer to this frame as the DeHoffman-Teller frame of the solar wind and they find that the alpha-particle beam of the solar wind is at rest in this magnetic-structure reference frame.

In this reference frame of the magnetic structure, there is a Sunward flow of proton plasma within the flux tubes that is everywhere parallel to the local magnetic field, with the flow vector changing across the walls of the flux tubes as the orientation of one flux tube changes relative to its neighboring flux tube. In Alfvénic intervals of solar wind, the perpendicular-to-$B$ plasma velocity $v_{\perp}$ within the structure is found to be in the
noise of the measurements. The fact that \( v_{\perp} \approx 0 \) in the reference frame of the magnetic structure indicates that there is little or no evolution of the magnetic structure as it moves outward from the Sun through the inner heliosphere.

The heliospheric magnetic structure with its spaghetti of flux tubes moves en mass through the plasma in the Parker-spiral direction. This outward-moving magnetic structure could be the outward propagation of flux-tube dynamics happening in the corona: the shuffling of flux tubes as they become rearranged by reconnection in the dynamic corona.

When the solar wind at 1 AU is not Alfvénic, a reference frame where \( \mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{B} \approx 0 \) cannot be found. When the solar wind is not Alfvénic, the solar-wind plasma is found to be inhomogeneous (lumpy) with variations in the proton number density \( n_p(t) \). In this inhomogeneous plasma there are strong variations in the local Alfvén speed from flux tube to flux tube. It could be the case that owing to spatial variations in the Alfvén speed the spaghetti of magnetic flux tubes cannot coherently propagate relative to the proton plasma in the Parker-spiral direction; Alfvénic fluctuations from the Sun are not expected to survive into the inner heliosphere when the flux tubes have substantially different internal Alfvén speeds [cf. (Heyvaerts and Priest, 1983; Magyar et al., 2017)]. In these non-Alfvénic-wind cases, the pattern of shuffling of flux tubes at the Sun appears to be advected out into the heliosphere at the solar wind (proton) speed.

THE ALPHA-TO-PROTON IONIC COMPOSITION AND MAGNETIC FLUX TUBES

The alpha-to-proton number-density ratio \( \alpha/p \) varies with time in the solar wind, characterized by sudden jumps in the ratio [e.g., (Safrankova et al., 2013; Zastenker et al., 2014)]. [See also Figure 3 of Borovsky (2008)] The jumps in the \( \alpha/p \) ratio at 1 AU are statistically co-located with the magnetic walls of flux tubes (Borovsky, 2020c). This is demonstrated in Figure 2. Here strong jumps in the alpha-to-proton number-density ratio \( \alpha/p \) are collected with the ACE spacecraft and with the WIND spacecraft, these jumps representing boundaries of the ion composition of the solar-wind plasma. For each spacecraft the angular change \( \Delta \theta \) of the magnetic-field vector (every 64-s on ACE and every 97-s on WIND) is measured and the superposed-epoch average of \( \Delta \theta \) is plotted (in green for ACE and in red for WIND), with the zero epoch being the crossing of each \( \alpha/p \) boundary. Figure 2 shows that the magnetic field tends to undergo a strong change in direction at the \( \alpha/p \) boundaries, with a strong change in direction being indicative of the crossing of a strong current sheet (a magnetic-flux-tube wall). Jumps in \( \alpha/p \) represent ion-composition boundaries in the solar-wind plasma and ion-composition boundaries can only be created at the Sun; they can be stretched and folded in the solar wind, but they cannot be formed in the solar wind. Hence, the \( \alpha/p \) boundaries are fossils from the Sun. This implies that the magnetic boundaries at 1 AU that are co-located with the \( \alpha/p \) boundaries (the flux-tube walls) are also fossils from the Sun not created in the solar wind.

Note that Owens et al. (2011) analyzed fractional changes in the alpha-to-proton number-density ratio \( \alpha/p \) in the 64-s ACE data set in comparison with angular changes in the magnetic-field direction and found that about 25% of strong current sheets were associated with strong changes in \( \alpha/p \). Two comments are relevant to this finding. First, there are solar-wind types that exhibit strong plasma inhomogeneity (i.e., sector-reversal-region plasma and streamer-belt-origin plasma) and solar wind that exhibits weak inhomogeneity (coronal-hole-origin plasma), with all exhibiting strong current sheets; the more-homogeneous types of plasma will only rarely show strong fractional jumps in the plasma properties such as \( \alpha/p \). Secondly, the measurements of \( \alpha/p \) at 1 AU are notoriously noisy and the measurements from different instruments do not agree [cf. Figure 7E of Borovsky (2016) and discussion therein]; this means that the jumps in the measured values of \( \alpha/p \) at current sheets are not that different from the jumps in the measured values of \( \alpha/p \) away from the current sheets. The study of Borovsky (2020c) only focused on distinct \( \alpha/p \) changes that were above the noise level; those \( \alpha/p \) changes are overwhelmingly occurring at strong current sheets.

THE ELECTRON HEAT FLUX (STRAHL) AND MAGNETIC FLUX TUBES

The electron strahl is a magnetic-field-aligned distribution of energetic electrons moving outward from the Sun (Feldman et al., 1976), representing the electron heat flux from the Sun. At 1 AU the measured intensity of the energetic-electron strahl of the solar wind is not steady, rather it undergoes sudden temporal jumps in intensity. Those sudden intensity changes are statistically co-located with the walls of the magnetic flux tubes (Borovsky, 2020c); a superposed-epoch average of the magnetic-field direction change associated with events where the strahl-intensity jump (strahl-intensity boundaries) looks very much
like Figure 2 for \( \alpha/p \) boundaries does. I.e., different magnetic flux tubes have different strahl intensities (different values of the heat flux).

The co-location of strahl-intensity boundaries and flux-tube walls implies that there is a long-distance coherence of the flux tubes seen at 1 AU going back toward the Sun. The confinement of solar energetic particles by flux tubes (Trenchi et al., 2013a,b) also implies a long-distance coherence of the magnetic tubes.

The electron strahl is associated with an electron-mobility-driven (electron-pressure-gradient driven) ambipolar interplanetary electric field \( E_{||} \) that points outward from the Sun to retard electrons (Lin, 1998; Pierrard et al., 2001; Maksimovic et al., 2005). This \( E_{||} \) acts to accelerate solar-wind ions outward to increase the solar wind speed in the inner heliosphere (Jockers, 1972; Lemaire and Pierrard, 2001; Pierrard and Peters, 2014). Between 0.3 AU and 1 AU this interplanetary electric field produces an observed statistical increase in the speed of the slow solar wind (Schwenn et al., 1981; Arya and Freeman, 1991; Lemaire, 2010). The fact that the strahl intensity varies from flux tube to flux tube implies that the interplanetary electric field may act independently in each tube. The acceleration of the solar wind in the heliosphere might vary from tube to tube.

**SQUASHING AND STRETCHING OF MAGNETIC FLUX TUBES**

When a spacecraft crosses the wall between two flux tubes in the solar wind, the orientation of the current sheet separating the two flux tubes can be obtained using the cross-product method (Burlaga and Ness, 1969; Knetter et al., 2004), taking the normal to the current sheet being in the \( B_1 \times B_2 \) direction where \( B_1 \) and \( B_2 \) are the magnetic-field vectors on either side of the current sheet. At 1 AU, the statistical orientations of the current sheets indicate that the magnetic flux tubes are on average round (cylindrical) (Borovsky, 2008). However, in compression and rarefaction regions of the solar wind at 1 AU the magnetic-flux tubes are no longer statistically round, rather they are squashed and stretched into flattened shapes (Borovsky and Denton, 2016). This effect is outlined in Figure 3. The top panel depicts the cross section of a round flux tube with red arrows showing a sampling of the orientations of the normals to the current-sheet walls. In the middle and bottom panels a round flux tube that was compressed (middle) and rarefacted (bottom) are depicted along with a sampling of the orientations of the normals. Comparing in Figure 3 these orientations to the orientations...
for the round flux tube, the normals of the compressed tube are more-concentrated toward the equatorial plane and the normals of the rarefacted tube are more-concentrated normal to the ecliptic plane. In corotating interaction regions (CIRs) of the solar wind the compression factor of the solar-wind plasma can be measured by the increase in the magnetic-field strength and in the trailing edges of high-speed streams the rarefaction factor of the solar-wind plasma can be measured by the decrease of the magnetic-field strength. The squashing factor for an initially round flux tube in a compression region and the stretching factor for an initially round flux tube in a rarefaction region can both be predicted knowing the compression or rarefaction factor. Hence, the shape changes of the flux tubes can be predicted, and the systematic variation in the statistical orientations of the current sheets can be predicted. For CIRs and for trailing edges, those flux-tube shape predictions agree with the measured statistics of the current-sheet orientations [cf. Figures 3, 14, and A1 of Borovsky and Denton (2016)].

In compression regions the normals of the walls of the flux tubes tend to be concentrated toward the ecliptic plane and in rarefaction regions the normals of the walls of the flux tubes tend to be concentrated perpendicular to the ecliptic plane. In reality, because the flux tubes are pressed against each other an unperturbed flux tube will not be round, rather it will have a cross section more like the shape of a cell in a Voronoi pattern [cf. Figure 8 of Borovsky (2018a)]. Nevertheless, the statistics of the current-sheet orientations will follow the statistics for round flux tubes and compressed or rarefactive round flux tubes.

If current sheets were being newly created in the solar wind (e.g., by an active MHD turbulence), then the current sheets would be created isotropically giving the statistical impression of round-shaped flux tubes. At 1 AU, CIR compressions and trailing-edge rarefactions have been ongoing for about 100 h. In that 100 h the current sheets were not newly created; rather their orientations reflect the ~100-h evolution of the compression or rarefaction.

### MIXING

A universal process occurring in active turbulence is mixing (Liepmann, 1979; Ottino, 1990; Dimotakis, 2005), involving (1) the stretching and folding of structure and (2) the eventual homogenization of the medium. These two stages of mixing are denoted as mesomixing and micromixing (Paul et al., 2003). In the inhomogeneous slow solar wind, Borovsky (2012a) attempted to quantify these two processes using solar-wind plasma measurements from 0.3 to 1 AU.

The stretching and folding processes produce a temporal evolution of the distribution of structure sizes, with structure sizes decreasing as time increases (cf. Figure 7 of Corrsin (1959)]. Between 0.3 and 1 AU Borovsky (2012a) found no evolution of the distribution of plasma “chunk” sizes, i.e., no evidence for ongoing stretching and folding in the solar-wind plasma.

The homogenization process produces a temporal evolution in the distribution of passive-scalar values in the medium, with the occurrence distribution narrowing with time toward a single value. Between 0.3 and 1 AU Borovsky (2012a) found no evolution in either the occurrence distribution of solar-wind proton number density or the occurrence distribution of solar-wind proton specific entropy, i.e., no evidence for ongoing homogenization of the solar-wind plasma. (A passive scalar is a scalar quantity that is convected by the fluid without perturbing the fluid behavior; density, strictly speaking is not a passive scalar but is still expected to homogenize under the action of stretching and folding followed by diffusion).

The absence of measured mixing seen in the statistics of plasma structure sizes agrees with the observation of the survival of periodic density structures in the solar wind from the corona to the Earth (Kepko and Viall, 2019). Periodic density structures emitted by the corona are imaged by white-light cameras (Viall and Vourlidas, 2015) and seen advecting into the inner heliosphere. Upstream solar-wind monitors at L1 pick up these periodic density structures at Earth, where they excite periodic ULF disturbances in the Earth's magnetosphere (Kepko et al., 2002; Kepko and Spence, 2003). The frequencies of these periodic solar-wind structures are typically $1 \times 10^{-3} - 5 \times 10^{-3}$ Hz (4–17 min periods), in the inertial range of the solar wind. In the inertial range of an MHD turbulence one expects modes to be destroyed and the energy of the modes to be cascaded to higher frequencies (higher wavenumbers), but the action of MHD turbulence in the solar wind does not destroy these periodic perturbations in the ~100-h travel time from the Sun to the Earth.

### CURRENT SHEETS AND THE FOURIER POWER SPECTRUM

The solar wind’s magnetic power spectral density in the inertial range of frequencies comes dominantly from the strong current sheets (directional discontinuities) in the solar wind’s magnetic structure (Siscoe et al., 1968; Sari and Ness, 1969; Borovsky, 2010b); since the solar wind’s magnetic correlation function is the Fourier transform of the magnetic power spectral density, magnetic correlation functions of the solar wind are also dominated by the current sheets. By creating an artificial time series that only contained the occurrence statistics (waiting times) and the amplitudes of the current sheets seen in solar-wind measurements, Borovsky (2010b) was able to reproduce the amplitude and spectral slope of the inertial range of frequencies of the solar wind's trace-B power spectral density with the artificial time series. An implication of this finding is that understanding the origin of the strong current sheets in the solar wind is key to understanding the inertial range of the solar-wind magnetic-field spectra.

At the high-frequency end of the inertial range (at about 1 Hz), the magnetic power spectral density of the solar wind exhibits a breakpoint to a steeper magnetic spectrum above the breakpoint. Using artificial time series wherein the current-sheet thicknesses of the solar wind were stretched in time, Borovsky and Podesta (2015) demonstrated that the frequency at which the magnetic spectral breakpoint occurs is governed by the thicknesses of the strong current sheets in the solar wind.
wind. When the strong current sheets in the solar-wind magnetic time series are thickened by a multiplicative factor \(X\), the magnetic power spectral breakpoint terminating the inertial-range spectrum shifts to lower frequencies by a factor of \(1/X\) (Borovsky and Podesta, 2015; Podesta and Borovsky, 2016). An implication of this finding is that the physics of what governs the breakpoint frequency of the solar-wind spectrum is the physics of what governs current-sheet thicknesses in the solar wind.

With the strong current sheets of the solar wind dominating the Fourier power, and with the thicknesses of the current sheets determining the breakpoint frequency, it follows that the temporal shapes (profiles) of the current sheets should determine the Fourier spectrum at frequencies above the breakpoint. By statistically examining the Fourier transforms of Gaussian-windowed current sheets in the solar-wind magnetic-field measurements, Borovsky and Burkholder (2020) demonstrated the consistency (amplitude and shape) of the high-frequency spectrum of the solar wind with the Fourier spectrum of solar-wind current sheets. An implication of this demonstration is that physical mechanisms acting within solar-wind current sheets should be investigated to understand the high-frequency spectra of the solar wind.

**CURRENT SHEET THICKNESSES**

At 1 AU the current-sheet (directional-discontinuity) thicknesses are on the order of \(10^3\) km (Siscoe et al., 1968; Vasquez et al., 2007), about 1–3 s in the time series. [The solar-wind current sheets appear to be thicker further from the Sun [cf. Figure 7 of Miao et al. (2011)], and since the high-frequency magnetic Fourier breakpoint appears at higher frequencies closer to the Sun (Bruno and Trenchi, 2014; Duan et al., 2020), the current sheets are probably thinner closer to the Sun]. At 1 AU the current sheets are many times thicker than typical proton gyroradii \(r_{gp}\) and typical ion-inertial lengths \(c/\omega_{pi}\) [cf. Figures 3A,B of Borovsky et al. (2019)]. The thicknesses of solar-wind current sheets may be more consistent with Bohm diffusion \(D_B = c k_B T_p/16 e B\) (Borovsky, 2006) or gyro-Bohm diffusion \(D_{bg} = (c k_B T_p/16 e B) (r_{gp}/L)\) acting over the age of the solar-wind plasma. When the scalesizes of gradients in a plasma are much larger than ion gyroradii, it has been argued that Bohm diffusion transitions over to gyro-Bohm diffusion (Perkins et al., 1993; Hannum et al., 2001), which is weakened by a term proportional to the ratio of the gyroradius to the gradient scalelength: \(r_{gp}/L\).

It is well-known that the solar-wind plasma contains weak double layers (time domain structures) [e.g., (Mangeney et al., 1999; Lacombe et al., 2002; Salem et al., 2003a,b; Mozer et al., 2020a)]. Malaspina et al. (2013) point out that the solar-wind time domain structures are localized in the strong current sheets of the solar wind.

**INTENSE VELOCITY SHEARS**

Figure 4 plots the occurrence distribution (black points) of the 64-s change in the solar-wind flow vector for 11 years of measurements by the ACE spacecraft at 1 AU. As was the case for the magnetic-field direction change distribution in Figure 1, the velocity-change distribution shows two distinct populations, both fit by exponential functions: a population of large velocity changes and a population of smaller velocity changes. The population of large velocity changes are abrupt wind shears in the solar-wind plasma that are co-located with the strong current sheets that form the walls of the flux-tube structure [cf. top panels of Figure 3 of Owens et al. (2011)]. As was pointed out in Section The Motion of the Magnetic Structure through the Solar-Wind Plasma, the abrupt wind shears are field-aligned flows in the flux tubes that spatially change direction at the boundaries between flux tubes owing to the change in the magnetic-field direction. The vorticity \(\omega = \nabla \times v\) of these velocity shears is perpendicular to the local magnetic field direction.

The thicknesses of the velocity shear layers in the solar wind are the same as the thicknesses of the current sheets, about \(10^3\) km (Borovsky and Steinberg, 2014). Note the intense velocity shear in Figure 3A of Borovsky (2020b) at time 9.8 UT where the flow vector of the solar wind is observed to change by 98 km/s in 3 s.

Very strong wind shears, which occur on average about once per day at Earth, can lead to comet-like disconnections of the Earth’s magnetotail (Borovsky, 2012b, 2018b).

**ALFVÉNIC DOMAINS IN CORONAL-HOLE-ORIGIN PLASMA**

In the Alfvénic solar wind from coronal holes, there are patches of solar wind that are highly Alfvénic separated by abrupt non-Alfvénic transitions. Within each patch, the Alfvénicity is very high as measured by a high correlation between the vector \(v\) and the vector \(v_A = B/(4\pi n_p m_p)^{1/2}\). A set of these Alfvénic domains in coronal-hole-origin plasma appears in Figure 14 of Borovsky...
Borovsky (2016) with the Alfvénic correlation in each domain shown in Figure 14A. Each domain lasts a few hours (corresponding to the lower-frequency end of the inertial range). The Alfvénic domains may represent some larger-than-flux-tube structure of the solar wind at the low-frequency (large-spatial-scale) edge of the inertial range: the Alfvénic-domain spatial scales magnetically map to the expected sizes of supergranules in the coronal-hole photosphere.

### MAGNETIC SWITCHBACKS

Localized magnetic-field foldings (magnetic switchbacks, field reversals) are well-known in the coronal-hole plasma at 1 AU [e.g., (Kahler et al., 1996)], further out in the polar coronal-hole plasma of the Ulysses data set [e.g., (Balogh et al., 1999; Yamauchi et al., 2004; Neugebauer and Goldstein, 2013)], at 0.3 AU in the Helios data set (Borovsky, 2016; Horbury et al., 2018), and at 0.17 AU in the Parker Solar Probe data set (Bale et al., 2019). These magnetic-field deviations from the Parker spiral are associated with localized increases in the solar-wind radial velocity: a “velocity excess” [cf. Figure 5 of Michel (1967)], “onesided Alfvénic fluctuations” (Gosling et al., 2009), or “pulsed Alfvénic fluctuations” (Gosling et al., 2011). An example with three switchbacks appears in Figure 5, where 0.1 day = 2.4 h of measurements from the Helios 1 spacecraft at 0.31 AU are plotted. The switchbacks are labeled in red. Figure 5 (bottom panel) plots the direction of the magnetic field with respect to the local Parker-spiral direction: the switchbacks are noted by the reversal of the field from the Parker-spiral direction. Figure 5 (bottom panel) plots the radial proton flow velocity, which is locally increased within each switchback. A sketch of a magnetic switchback appears in Figure 6, where the flow within a folded flux tube is examined. With the magnetic structure of the solar wind moving en mass outward from the Sun faster than the average proton plasma flow [cf Section The Motion of the Magnetic Structure through the Solar-Wind Plasma], in the reference frame of the magnetic structure there is a Sunward flow of plasma within the magnetic structure that is locally field aligned (red arrows in Figure 6). Where there is a fold in the magnetic structure, the flow within the structure is locally anti-Sunward. A spacecraft sees this flow within the field folding as a local increase in the solar-wind speed. These magnetic and velocity structures can often be quite small (10’s of Rₚ), since the switchback structure seen by the WIND and ACE spacecraft, both upstream of the Earth near L1, can be very different [cf. Figure 10 of Borovsky (2016)]. Magnetic switchbacks close to the Sun are presently of great interest for Parker Solar Probe observations [e.g., (Bale et al., 2019; Kasper et al., 2019; de Wit et al., 2020; Horbury et al., 2020; Mozer et al., 2020b; Rouillard et al., 2020; Tenerani et al., 2020)].

Near the Sun (0.3 AU) the magnetic field in coronal-hole-origin plasma tends to be aligned with the Parker spiral direction, but with increasing distance from 0.3 to 2.3 AU the coronal-hole-origin solar-wind magnetic field evolves into a less-aligned state [cf. Figure 13D of Borovsky (2016)].

### TYPES OF SOLAR-WIND PLASMA AND THE INERTIAL-RANGE STRUCTURE

Based on unambiguous collections of solar wind from different origins on the Sun, Xu and Borovsky (2015) developed a solar-wind categorization scheme applicable to solar-wind data at 1 AU [see also (Camporeale et al., 2017)]. The scheme categorizes solar wind into four types: (1) coronal-hole-origin plasma, (2) streamer-belt-origin plasma, (3) sector-reversal-region plasma, and (4) ejecta.

In Table 1 some of the statistical properties of the four types of plasma at 1 AU are collected. The first 3 rows are the wind speed, the number density, and the Alfvén speed, with mean values ± standard deviations as taken from the OMNI2 data set (King and Papitashvili, 2005) from the years 1995–2018. Note the low average Alfvén speed in sector-reversal-region plasma and the high average Alfvén speed in ejecta. For the inertial range of timescales the homogeneity (lumpiness) of the plasma, the magnetic-field orientation, and the Alfvénicity are noted in rows 4–6 of Table 1. Coronal-hole-origin plasma and streamer-belt-origin plasma are quasi-homogeneous, they have magnetic fields that tend to be Parker-spiral oriented (plus variations about the Parker-spiral direction), and they tend to be Alfvénic. [Streamer-belt-origin plasma is the “Alfvénic slow wind” (D’Amicis and Bruno, 2015; Bale et al., 2019; D’Amicis et al., 2019; Perrone et al., 2020)]. On the contrary, sector-reversal-region plasma and ejecta tend to be inhomogeneous, with non-Parker-spiral-oriented magnetic fields, and tend to be non-Alfvénic. A Parker-spiral magnetic-field orientation is consistent with a plasma that is continuously emitted from a spot on the Sun; non-Parker-spiral fields are characteristic of impulsive emission of plasma from the Sun. Consistent with this is the strahl (row 7 of Table 1): coronal-hole-origin plasma and streamer-belt-origin
plasma have unidirectional strahls indicative of one magnetic footpoint on the Sun, sector-reversal-region plasma tends to have no strahl indicative of no magnetic connection to the Sun, and ejecta often has a bidirectional strahl indicative of both magnetic footpoints on the Sun. Row 8 of Table 1 indicates the age of the plasma at 1 AU (approximated using the velocity of the plasma at 1 AU). Row 9 is the fraction of time each type of plasma was seen at Earth in the years 1995–2018. These fractions vary strongly through the 11-year solar cycle (Xu and Borovsky, 2015).

## SUMMARY AND DISCUSSION

A coherent picture of the structure of the magnetic field and plasma of the solar wind in the inner heliosphere is being uncovered. Many of the properties of that structure are as yet underappreciated. The multiple properties of the structure that were discussed in this review are summarized in the final paragraph of Section Introduction.
Examination specifically of the solar-wind spatial structure leads to a description that differs from the description of physics common in the solar wind in the literature, that common description dominated by a Fourier analysis in frequency or a structure-function analysis of time differences (Viall and Borovsky, 2020). Some common terminology in the literature may be refocused on the inhomogeneity of the solar wind and the fact that the ion composition, the specific entropy, the strahl, etc. can change across the boundary between two flux tubes, whereas a pressure balanced structure could be created by a localized heating of the plasma accompanied by expansion. There will, of course, be pressure balance across a plasma boundary.

(2) The interpretation of plasma number density variations or magnetic-field-strength variations as a signature of “compressibility” [e.g., (D’Amicis and Bruno, 2015)] might be better described as “inhomogeneity” of the solar-wind plasma, or lumpiness of the plasma.

(3) The high-frequency spectral breakpoint of the solar-wind representing an “onset of dissipation” in an eddy-cascade picture of the Fourier fluctuations [e.g., (Gary, 1999)] might be better described as the “physics of current-sheet thicknesses” in collisionless plasmas.

(4) Some of the concern with wave-vector anisotropy in the solar wind [e.g., (Oughton et al., 2015)] might be refocused on the question of current-sheet orientations.

(5) The focus on high-frequency plasma-wave dispersion relations to explain the shape of the high-frequency magnetic spectrum of the solar wind [e.g., (Podesta et al., 2010)] might be refocused on the shapes (profiles) of current sheets in the solar wind.
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