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Abstract

Objective: To determine the risk factors for developing multidrug resistant tuberculosis in Bangladesh.

Methods: This case-control study was set in central, district and sub-district level hospitals of rural and urban Bangladesh. Included were 250 multidrug resistant tuberculosis (MDR-TB) patients as cases and 750 drug susceptible tuberculosis patients as controls. We recruited cases from all three government hospitals treating MDR-TB in Bangladesh during the study period. Controls were selected randomly from those local treatment units that had referred the cases. Information was collected through face-to-face interviews and record reviews. Unadjusted and multivariable logistic regression were used to analyse the data.

Results: Previous treatment history was shown to be the major contributing factor to MDR-TB in univariate analysis. After adjusting for other factors in multivariable analysis, age group “18–25” (OR 1.77, CI 1.07–2.93) and “26–45” (OR 1.72, CI 1.12–2.66), some level of education (OR 1.94, CI 1.32–2.85), service and business as occupation (OR 2.88, CI 1.29–6.44; OR 3.71, CI 1.59–8.66, respectively), smoking history (OR 1.58, CI 0.99–2.5), and type 2 diabetes (OR 2.56 CI 1.51–4.34) were associated with MDR-TB. Previous treatment was not included in the multivariable analysis as it was correlated with multiple predictors.

Conclusion: Previous tuberculosis treatment was found to be the major risk factor for MDR-TB. This study also identified age 18 to 45 years, some education up to secondary level, service and business as occupation, past smoking status, and type 2 diabetes as comorbid illness as risk factors. National Tuberculosis programme should address these risk factors in MDR-TB control strategy. The integration of MDR-TB control activities with diabetes and tobacco control programmes is needed in Bangladesh.
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Introduction

Despite an overall decreasing incidence and mortality rate for tuberculosis (TB), multidrug resistance tuberculosis (MDR-TB) continues to be a serious threat to the current global tuberculosis control effort [1,2]. MDR-TB is caused by bacteria that are resistant to at least isoniazid and rifampicin, the most effective anti-TB drugs for treating TB [3]. MDR-TB does not respond to standard six-month treatment with first-line anti-TB drugs; extended treatment is required involving drugs that are more toxic and more expensive [3]. Cure rate of MDR-TB is 50 to 70% which is lower than the drug-susceptible TB [4]. Failure to control MDR-TB may lead to another era with TB being regarded as a fatal disease.

Bangladesh is one of the 27 high burden countries for MDR-TB [5]. In Bangladesh, 1.4% of new tuberculosis patients, and 29% of previously treated tuberculosis patients are estimated to be MDR-TB [1]. Although the proportion of MDR-TB is still low, due to the overall high TB burden in Bangladesh the absolute number of MDR cases is quite large (estimated 1900 for new and 2300 for previously treated patients) [1]. Bangladesh is unique in that it has one of the highest population densities in the world, is one of the high burden countries for TB, but has a low prevalence of HIV [6].

Identifying the population at risk of MDR-TB is essential and may help in developing appropriate case finding strategies [7]. Previous studies identified some risk factors associated with MDR-TB, namely previous TB treatment [8,9,10,11,12], poor past
Table 1. Socio-demographic and clinical characteristics of the study participants.

| Variables                        | Case       | Control    | Total       | p*         |
|----------------------------------|------------|------------|-------------|------------|
| **Age**                          |            |            | 0.0001      |            |
| Mean                             | 33.9       | 37.9       | 36.9        |            |
| Median                           | 30         | 35         | 35          |            |
| SD                               | 12.3       | 14.1       | 13.8        |            |
| **Sex**                          |            |            | 0.027       |            |
| Male                             | 167 (66.8%)| 442 (58.9%)| 609 (60.9%) |            |
| Female                           | 83 (33.2%) | 308 (41.1%)| 391 (39.1%) |            |
| **Education**                    |            |            | <0.0001     |            |
| None                             | 55 (22%)   | 298 (39.7%)| 353 (35.3%) |            |
| Secondary and below              | 175 (70%)  | 398 (53.1%)| 573 (57.3%) |            |
| Higher secondary and above       | 20 (8%)    | 54 (7.2%)  | 74 (7.4%)   |            |
| **Occupation**                   |            |            | <0.0001     |            |
| None                             | 9 (3.6%)   | 58 (7.7%)  | 67 (6.7%)   |            |
| Service                          | 74 (29.6%) | 135 (18%)  | 209 (20.9%) |            |
| Others b                         | 108 (43.2%)| 447 (59.6%)| 555 (55.5%) |            |
| Business                         | 46 (18.4%) | 79 (10.5%) | 125 (12.5%) |            |
| Transport worker                 | 13 (5.2%)  | 31 (4.1%)  | 44 (4.4%)   |            |
| **Income (BDT)c**                |            |            | 0.1206      |            |
| Mean                             | 13066.0    | 11820.2    | 12132.0     |            |
| Median                           | 10000      | 10000      | 10000       |            |
| SD                               | 11016.3    | 10965.8    | 13.8        |            |
| **Person living per room**       |            |            | 0.069       |            |
| Four or less                     | 215 (86%)  | 676 (90.1%)| 891 (89.1%) |            |
| More than four                   | 35 (14%)   | 74 (9.9%)  | 109 (10.9%) |            |
| **Weight (kilogram)**            |            |            | 0.002       |            |
| Mean                             | 42.5       | 44.6       | 44.0        |            |
| Median                           | 41.0       | 44.0       | 43.0        |            |
| SD                               | 9.7        | 9.1        | 9.3         |            |
| **BCG vaccination status**       |            |            | 0.056       |            |
| Absent                           | 123 (49.2%)| 317 (42.3%)| 440 (44%)   |            |
| Present                          | 127 (50.8%)| 433 (57.7%)| 560 (56%)   |            |
| **Previous history of TB treatment** |            |            | <0.0001     |            |
| No                               | 5 (2%)     | 702 (93.6%)| 707 (70.7%) |            |
| Yes                              | 245 (98%)  | 48 (6.4%)  | 293 (29.3%) |            |
| **Cavitation in chest X-ray d**  |            |            | <0.0001     |            |
| Absent                           | 136 (90.7%)| 330 (98.2%)| 466 (95.9%) |            |
| Present                          | 14 (9.3%)  | 6 (1.8%)   | 20 (4.1%)   |            |
| **History of Health care work**  |            |            | 0.144       |            |
| Absent                           | 246 (98.4%)| 722 (96.3%)| 968 (96.8%) |            |
| Present                          | 4 (1.6%)   | 28 (3.7%)  | 32 (3.2%)   |            |
| **Contact of TB patient**        |            |            | 0.496       |            |
| Absent                           | 153 (61.2%)| 477 (63.6%)| 630 (63%)   |            |
| Present                          | 97 (38.8%) | 273 (36.4%)| 370 (37%)   |            |
| **Smoking status**               |            |            | <0.0001     |            |
| Never smoked                     | 125 (50%)  | 409 (54.5%)| 534 (53.4%) |            |
| Current smoker                   | 10 (4%)    | 82 (10.9%) | 83 (8.3%)   |            |
| Past smoker                      | 124 (49.6%)| 259 (34.5%)| 383 (38.3%) |            |
| **Substance misuse**             |            |            | 0.013       |            |
| Absent                           | 213 (85.2%)| 681 (90.8%)| 894 (89.4%) |            |
| Present                          | 37 (14.8%) | 69 (9.2%)  | 106 (10.6%) |            |
compliance with treatment [12,13], HIV infection [9,14], younger age-group [9,15,16], gender [9,13], foreign born people [9,16], living in an urban area [15], working in health care [14], type by bacteriology and pulmonary site of TB [14], presence of cavitation in lungs [12], contact with a TB patient [11], smoking or other substance misuse [14,17,18], chronic renal failure [19], diabetes [20], use of other anti-microbial medicine [19], being an asylum seeker [14], living in a nursing home [14], being a prisoner [14], and hospitalization history [21]. Inappropriate medical management, absence of directly observed treatment, lack of uniformity between public and private sectors, limited or interrupted drug supply, poor quality and widespread availability of anti-tuberculosis drugs, were also reported as important causes associated with MDR-TB [10,22,23]. However, findings related to some risk-factors such as HIV status [10,24], age group [10] and gender of the patients [8,9,13] differed. Moreover, study designs varied assuming prevalence in the controls of 40% (with greater power for MDR-TB treatment in the country during the study period between September 2012 and April 2013 were included in the study. Controls were selected randomly from those local treatment units that had referred the cases.

Methods

Ethics considerations

The study was approved by the Human Research Ethics Committee (HREC) of the University of Newcastle (UoN), Australia and the Bangladesh Medical Research Council (BMRC), Dhaka, Bangladesh. An information sheet describing the purpose of the study and the individuals’ rights as study participants was handed to the participants to read. For individuals with inadequate literacy, the information sheet was read out by the interviewers. Written informed consent was then obtained from each person. A thumb impression was obtained from those who were unable to sign the consent form. All patients had been treated through the National TB Control Programme (NTP).

Study population and design

Patients were recruited from central, district and sub-district level government hospitals and Non-governmental organization (NGO) clinics in rural and urban Bangladesh. This case-control study includes 250 MDR-TB patients as cases and 750 drug-sensitive TB patients as controls. We designed the study to have 80% power to detect at least a 10% difference in the prevalence of any of our exposure variables at 5% significance threshold, assuming prevalence in the controls of 40% (with greater power and smaller effects detectable for exposures with lower control prevalence). This sample allowed us to accommodate the multivariable analysis for multiple factors.

MDR-TB patients aged between 18 to 65 years who gave their informed consent were included in the study. Patients who

| Variables                  | Case          | Control       | Total         | p*    |
|----------------------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|-------|
| **Type-2 Diabetes**        |               |               |               |       |
| Absent                     | 216 (86.4%)   | 701 (93.5%)   | 917 (91.7%)   | -0.0001 |
| Present                    | 34 (13.6%)    | 49 (6.5%)     | 83 (8.3%)     |       |
| **Kidney disease**         |               |               |               | 1.000 |
| Absent                     | 248 (99.2%)   | 745 (99.3%)   | 993 (99.3%)   |       |
| Present                    | 2 (0.8%)      | 5 (0.7%)      | 7 (0.7%)      |       |
| **Other disease**          |               |               |               | 0.831 |
| Absent                     | 242 (96.8%)   | 728 (97.1%)   | 970 (97%)     |       |
| Present                    | 8 (3.2%)      | 22 (2.9%)     | 30 (3%)       |       |
| **Hospitalization history**|               |               |               | 0.194 |
| Absent                     | 246 (98.4%)   | 724 (96.7%)   | 970 (97.1%)   |       |
| Present                    | 4 (1.6%)      | 25 (3.3%)     | 29 (2.9%)     |       |

*P is the Probability of t-test or Chi-square ($\chi^2$) tests. Fisher’s exact Chi-square ($\chi^2$) test was used for history of health care work, kidney disease, other disease, smoking status and hospitalization history.

**Others’ subgroup under ‘Occupation’ includes housewife and self-employed small works.

**BDT: Bangladeshi currency.

**Cavitation related information was not available in 51% of the participants.

**Other disease included hypertension, heart diseases, asthma, chronic obstructive pulmonary diseases and chronic dysentery.

**Hospitalization history had one missing value.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0105214.t001

Table 1. Cont.
received treatment for MDR-TB following the criteria of the national guidelines of the National Tuberculosis Control Programme (NTP) were classified as MDR-TB. The NTP has recently adopted automated real time PCR (Xpert MTB/RIF) as the diagnostic tool of MDR-TB patients. Culture and Drug Sensitivity Testing (DST) and Line probe assays were also used [27]. Xpert MTB/RIF diagnoses only Rifampicin resistance. Patients who are resistant to Rifampicin are generally also resistant to Isoniazid (another first-line drug) as well. Mono-resistance to Rifampicin is fairly uncommon (0.2% and 0.4% among new and previously treated patients, respectively), as shown by a recent drug resistance survey (DRS) conducted in Bangladesh [28].

Controls were drug susceptible TB patients aged 18 to 65 years, diagnosed through sputum smear microscopy or other investigations (X-ray, FNAC, and Biopsy) as per national guidelines who would respond to the standard combination of drugs. In this paper we will refer to those as non-MDR-TB patients.

We excluded patients who were not within the eligible age group or had any serious illness requiring admission to the Intensive Care Unit (ICU), recent surgery or any medical emergency that needs continuous observation.

**Data collection**

MDR-TB patients from all over Bangladesh are referred to one of the three government hospitals (to the national hospital in Dhaka or a regional hospital in either Chittagong or Rajshahi). We consecutively recruited all eligible MDR-TB patients who were admitted from September 2012; recruitment ceased in April 2013 when the target of 250 cases was reached.

We recruited three controls per case from the local tuberculosis treatment unit from where the case was referred. The hospitals that were providing MDR-TB treatment were receiving patients referred by the various treatment units from rural and urban Bangladesh. Each TB patient is assigned a unique TB registration number as a routine practice. Treatment registration numbers of

---

**Table 2.** Univariate logistic regression analysis on factors related to Multidrug Resistant Tuberculosis (MDR-TB).

| Variables                     | Odds ratio | Confidence Interval a | p b          |
|-------------------------------|------------|------------------------|--------------|
| Previous history of TB Treatment |            |                        |              |
| No                            | 1.00       |                        |              |
| Yes                           | 716.63     | 282.1–1820.8           | <0.0001      |
| Gender                        |            |                        |              |
| Female                        | 1.00       |                        |              |
| Male                          | 1.4        | 1.0–1.9                | 0.028        |
| Age-group                     |            |                        |              |
| More than 45 years            | 1.00       |                        |              |
| 18 to 25 years                | 1.97       | 1.3–3.0                | 0.001        |
| 26 to 45 years                | 2.06       | 1.4–3.0                | <0.0001      |
| Education                     |            |                        |              |
| None                          | 1.00       |                        |              |
| Secondary and below           | 2.38       | 1.7–3.3                | <0.0001      |
| Higher secondary and above    | 2.01       | 1.1–3.6                | 0.02         |
| Occupation                    |            |                        |              |
| None                          | 1.00       |                        |              |
| Service                       | 3.53       | 1.7–7.5                | 0.001        |
| Others c                      | 1.56       | 0.7–3.2                | 0.236        |
| Business                      | 3.75       | 1.7–8.3                | 0.001        |
| Transport worker              | 2.70       | 1.0–7.0                | 0.041        |
| Smoking status                |            |                        |              |
| Never smoked                  | 1.00       |                        |              |
| Current smoker                | 0.04       | 0.05–0.3               | 0.001        |
| Past smoker                   | 1.57       | 1.2–2.1                | 0.003        |
| Substance misuse              |            |                        |              |
| No                            | 1.00       |                        |              |
| Yes                           | 1.71       | 1.1–2.6                | 0.014        |
| Type-2 Diabetes               |            |                        |              |
| No                            | 1.00       |                        |              |
| Yes                           | 2.25       | 1.4–3.6                | 0.001        |

*aConfidence interval at 95% level.

b p is the p value of Wald test statistic.

c’Others’ subgroup under ‘Occupation’ includes housewife and self-employed small works.

Only the significant variables are shown in the table (significance level at 0.05).

doii:10.1371/journal.pone.0105214.t002

---
the tuberculosis patients, who were diagnosed during the specified period i.e. during the same month that MDR-TB was diagnosed, were listed. Three controls per MDR-TB case were randomly selected from this list at the treatment unit.

Trained investigators collected information from the study participants using a pretested questionnaire through a face-to-face interview and review of records. All the investigators received training on data collection procedures for one week. The NTP has its inbuilt quality control mechanism for diagnosis of MDR-TB patients through a laboratory based in Antwerp, Belgium. Diagnosis of drug-sensitive tuberculosis through microscopy is under an external quality assessment (EQA) network at country level.

Statistical analysis

A data entry template was used and data was validated by a series of logical checks. Summary statistics and tables were produced from cleaned and acceptable data. We compared participant characteristics between MDR-TB cases and controls using Student t-tests for continuous measures, and Chi-square ($\chi^2$) tests for categorical measures. Associations between participant characteristics and MDR-TB status were assessed using both unadjusted and multivariable logistic regression models. We had sufficient MDR-TB cases to include the following variables in the multivariable model without risk of over-fitting: age, gender, educational status, occupation, history of health care related work, monthly household income, living conditions (number of persons per room), BCG vaccination status, contact with other TB patients, smoking, substance misuse (alcohol or drug addiction), type 2 diabetes as co-morbidity, and hospitalization history. We included all variables to the initial multivariable model and variables were removed from this model if the Likelihood ratio test was not significant at 5% and the coefficients of the remaining variables did not change by more than 15% (indicating no evidence of confounding). Collinearity was assessed through inspecting variance inflation factors and assessing pair-wise Chi-Square tests. Data analysis was carried out using Stata statistical software version 12 (StataCorp LP, TX, USA).

Results

Socio-demographic and clinical characteristics

The study included 250 MDR-TB and 750 non-MDR-TB patients representing all seven divisions of Bangladesh. Mean age of participants was 37 years and 61% were male. About half of the participants had some education at secondary level or below and a median monthly income of 10000 Bangladeshi taka (129 USD approximately).

Details of Socio-demographic and clinical characteristics are shown in Table 1.

Risk factors for MDR-TB

Univariate analysis.

Previous history of tuberculosis treatment was a major contributing factor to MDR-TB (OR 716.6, 95% CI 282.1–1820.8). In total, 29.3% of participants had a

| Predictor | Adjusted Odds ratio | Confidence Interval $^a$ | $p^b$ (Wald) | $p^c$ (lrt) |
|-----------|---------------------|---------------------------|--------------|-------------|
| Age group |                     |                           |              |             |
| More than 45 years | 1.00 | | | 0.0325 |
| 18 to 25 years | 1.77 | 1.07–2.93 | 0.027 |
| 26 to 45 years | 1.72 | 1.12–2.66 | 0.013 |
| Education |                     | | | 0.0026 |
| None | 1.00 | | | |
| Secondary and below | 1.94 | 1.32–2.85 | 0.001 |
| Higher secondary and above | 1.83 | 0.92–3.65 | 0.086 |
| Occupation |                     | | | |
| None | 1.00 | | | 0.002 |
| Service | 2.88 | 1.29–6.44 | 0.010 |
| Others $^d$ | 1.65 | 0.76–3.55 | 0.203 |
| Business | 3.71 | 1.59–8.66 | 0.002 |
| Transport worker | 2.71 | 0.95–7.72 | 0.063 |
| Smoking status | | | | <0.0001 |
| Never smoked | 1.00 | | | |
| Current smoker | 0.04 | 0.005–0.29 | 0.002 |
| Past smoker | 1.58 | 0.99–2.50 | 0.053 |
| Type-2 Diabetes | | | | 0.0006 |
| Absent | 1.00 | | | |
| Present | 2.56 | 1.51–4.34 | 0.001 |

$^a$Confidence interval at 95% level.

$^b$p (Wald) is the p value of Wald test statistic.

$^c$p (lrt) is the p value corresponding to the Likelihood ratio test statistic.

$^d$"Others" subgroup under "Occupation" includes housewife and self-employed small works.

Only the significant variables in multivariable model are shown in the table (significance level 0.05).

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0105214.t003
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history of previous tuberculosis treatment that was 98% of the MDR-TB and 6.4% of non-MDR-TB patients. MDR-TB patients were more likely to be male, aged between 18 and 45, educational level of secondary and below or higher secondary and above, have an occupation in service or business or transport work, are a smoker, have a history of substance misuse or type 2 diabetes (Table-2).

Multivariable analysis. We removed previous treatment from the multivariable model since the variance inflation factors were high and it had a high degree of association with many of the variables in the model. The variables showing strong association with previous treatment included age (Chi-square 7.2, df 2; p 0.027), educational status (Chi-square 15.3, df 2; p <0.0001), occupation (Chi-square 22.4, df 4; p <0.0001), history of health care related work (Chi-square 6.3, df 1; p 0.01), monthly household income (Chi-square 15.0, df 4; p 0.005), smoking (Chi-square 29.2, df 2; p <0.0001), substance misuse (Chi-square 7.3, df 1; p 0.007) and type 2 diabetes as co-morbidity (Chi-square 8.7, df 1; p 0.003).

For the final multivariable model, we found that age group, educational status, occupation, smoking status, and type 2 diabetes were significantly associated with MDR-TB (Table-3).

Discussion

Multidrug resistance is more commonly reported among previously treated tuberculosis patients than in new tuberculosis patients, globally as well as in Bangladesh [1]. Our study showed that most MDR-TB patients (98%) had a history of previous tuberculosis treatment, in line with other studies [8,9,10,11,12,17]. In a systematic review of risk factors conducted in Europe, previous treatment history of TB was the strongest determinant of MDR-TB in Europe and the pooled risk of MDR-TB was 10.23 times higher in previously treated patients than in patients without prior treatment [9]. Previous treatment as a risk factor helped in developing the MDR-TB case finding strategy during the introduction of MDR-TB programmes [7]. Drug sensitivity testing is not routinely done on all TB patients in Bangladesh due to the large number of patients diagnosed each year [27]. Recent national guidelines recommend that previously treated patients, new TB patients with treatment failure, and people in contact with MDR-TB patients, are referred for MDR-TB testing. In addition, patients with delayed response in treatment, or with smear-negative or extra-pulmonary TB that does not improve clinically, with relapse or who receive treatment after default, or who have HIV, are tested for MDR-TB in Bangladesh [27].

In our study, being between 18 and 45 years of age was associated with an increased risk for MDR-TB, similar to what was reported in another study conducted in Hong Kong [16]. Another study conducted in Bangladesh found that patients under 40 years are more likely to develop MDR-TB, based on univariate findings, although this association was weak in the multivariable model (OR 0.87; 95% CI 0.40–1.93 and OR 0.87 CI 0.33–2.33 for age-groups 21 to 30 years and 31 to 40 years, respectively) [25]. Other studies conducted in Shanghai and Spain found that the greatest risk of MDR-TB was associated with age 35 to 59 [15] and 45 to 65 years [17], respectively. Although the range varies, being below 65 years is associated with developing MDR-TB, as reported in multi-country reviews [9,10]. Younger people are more likely to come in contact with MDR-TB as they are more mobile and active compared to the older age group through their involvement in work or study [16]. They may also find it difficult to take regular supervised medicine due to conflicting work times, which results in poor treatment adherence. Another explanation for the greater risk in younger age groups may be that Rifampicin was introduced in recent decades and many elderly people may not have been exposed to it [16]. These explanations may not be applicable to primary drug resistance that is transmitted. In our study only five (2%) MDR-TB patients did not have any history of previous treatment, in line with the low level of primary resistance in a recent drug resistance survey, where 1.4% of MDR-TB patients did not have a previous diagnosis, compared to 28% of previously treated patients [28].

A number of occupations such as those associated with services and business were more likely to be linked with MDR-TB compared to non-working individuals. Occupation as transport workers, another highly mobile group, was associated with MDR-TB if examined alone, although we did not observe any difference after adjusting for other factors. This study did not show any association with health care as an occupation, which was found to be associated with MDR-TB in another study [14]. Patients with some educational qualification were more likely to develop MDR-TB than patients with no formal education or from the highest educational group.

Type 2 Diabetes is known to be a risk factor for TB [29] and is linked to MDR-TB in our and other studies [20]. It may affect TB treatment outcome and disease presentation [29], leading to failed treatment, although this is not always the case [30]. Impaired immunity due to diabetes may increase susceptibility to infection with drug resistant strains [20]. Bangladesh is facing the dual burden of communicable and non-communicable diseases. The prevalence of Diabetes mellitus has increased from 2.3% in 1999 to 7.9% in 2009 [31]. The relationship between MDR-TB and diabetes could be addressed by treating diabetic patients with tuberculosis within a collaborative framework [32]. In our study, the diabetes status was self-reported by the patients. Further studies using a screening method for diabetes status need to be conducted.

MDR-TB patients were more likely to be past tobacco smokers in our study. Although current smokers were less likely to have MDR-TB compared to non-smokers, this may be a result of MDR-TB patients quitting smoking on diagnosis. Smoking is one of the main determinants for TB and some studies showed an association with acquired drug resistance [18]. Another study showed that smoking is a predictor for delayed response to treatment [33]. Tobacco control efforts have been initiated in Bangladesh in recent years, including some piloting of its integration with tuberculosis services [34]. Our finding suggests that TB and tobacco control efforts need to be sustained to control TB overall as well as MDR-TB. Intravenous drug use was a risk factor for MDR-TB in another study [14]. Drug or alcohol misuse was not a significant cause of MDR-TB in our study, after adjusting for other factors.

Males were more likely to have MDR-TB than females in some settings [9] whereas the opposite was true in others [8,13]. Gender was not a risk factor in our study. Although contact with TB patients was found to be associated with MDR-TB in other studies [11,23,35], we did not observe any association. Neither did we observe any effect of income, crowding status expressed as persons per room, vaccination status (BCG), history of hospitalization within seven months, and kidney disease. Overall, 56% of our participants were BCG vaccinated in their childhood. Recent BCG coverage among children has increased remarkably in Bangladesh and has reached almost 98% [36].

In this study we focused on hospital based cases, as a population-based risk factor study was not feasible for MDR-TB. However, our cases are likely to be representative of MDR-TB patients in Bangladesh as we recruited from all three Government
Conclusion

Previous tuberculosis treatment was found to be the major risk factor for MDR-TB. This study also identified the following as risk factors for MDR-TB: age 18 to 45 years, some education up to secondary level, service and business as occupation, past smoking status, and type 2 diabetes as comorbid illness. These risk factors should be addressed in the strategy for MDR-TB control. The NTP of Bangladesh is reliant on multi-sectoral involvement to address all risk factors and can advocate for these issues in order to improve control of MDR-TB. The integration of MDR-TB control activities with diabetes and tobacco control programmes would be a good place to start these collaborative efforts.

Acknowledgments

We received cooperation from the National Tuberculosis Control Programme, Directorate General of Health Services and Damien Foundation, Bangladesh. We gratefully acknowledge the contribution of the research team who worked hard to collect quality data. The authors thank Claudia Koller for assistance with editing this manuscript. Finally, we are grateful to the study participants for their valuable time and assistance.

Author Contributions

Conceived and designed the experiments: MR AHM JH. Performed the experiments: MR BNS MAI. Analyzed the data: MR CO. Contributed reagents/materials/analysis tools: MR AHM JH AH MWA. Contributed to the writing of the manuscript: MR AHM JH CO MAI AH MWA BNS.

References

1. World Health Organization (2013) Global Tuberculosis Report 2013. Geneva.
2. World Health Organization (2011) Towards the universal access to diagnosis and treatment of multidrug-resistant and extensively drug-resistant tuberculosis by 2015, WHO progress report. Geneva.
3. Caminero JA, editor (2013) Guidelines for Clinical and Operational Management of Drug-Resistant Tuberculosis. Paris, France: International Union Against Tuberculosis and Lung Disease.
4. World Health Organization (2011) The Global Plan to Stop TB, Transforming the Fight towards Elimination of Tuberculosis. Geneva.
5. World Health Organization. (2008) Anti-Tuberculosis Drug Resistance in the World. 4th Global Report. Geneva.
6. UNAIDS (2013) Global Report, UNAIDS Report on the Global AIDS Epidemic 2013. Geneva.
7. Caminero JA (2010) Multidrug-resistant tuberculosis: epidemiology, risk factors and case finding. Int J Tuberc Lung Dis 14: 382–390.
8. Lonnroth N, Aispindzelashvili R, Janjgava M, Mirtskhulava V, Wright A, et al. (2009) Prevalence and risk factors for multidrug-resistant tuberculosis in the Republic of Georgia: a population-based study. Int J Tuberc Lung Dis 13: 68–75.
9. Faustini A, Hall AJ, Perucci CA (2006) Risk factors for multidrug resistant tuberculosis in Europe: a systematic review. Thorax 61: 158–163.
10. Espinal MA, Laserson K, Camacho M, Fusheng Z, Kim SJ, et al. (2001) Determinants of drug-resistant tuberculosis: analysis of 11 countries. Int J Tuberc Lung Dis 5: 887–893.
11. Diade-S, Sangare L, Kouanda S, Dingtoumou BI, Mourouf A, et al. (2009) Risk factors for multidrug-resistant tuberculosis in four centers in Burkina Faso, West Africa. Microb Drug Resist 15: 217–221.
12. Sharma SK, Turaga KN, Balamurugan A, Saha PK, Pandey RM, et al. (2003) Clinical and genetic risk factors for the development of multi-drug resistant tuberculosis in non-HIV infected patients at a tertiary care center in India: a case-control study. Infect Genet Evol 3: 183–188.
13. Ejaz M, Siddiqua AR, Rafig Y, Malik F, Channa A, et al. (2010) Prevalence of multi-drug-resistant tuberculosis in Karachi, Pakistan: identification of at risk groups. Trans R Soc Trop Med Hyg 104: 511–517.
14. Casal M, Vaquero M, Rinder H, Torelli E, Grosset J, et al. (2005) A case-control study for multidrug-resistant tuberculosis: risk factors in four European countries. Microb Drug Resist 11: 62–67.
15. Shen X, DeRiemer K, Yuan ZA, Shen M, Xia Z, et al. (2009) Drug-resistant tuberculosis in Shanghai China, 2000–2006: prevalence, trends and risk factors. Int J Tuberc Lung Dis 13: 253–259.
16. Law WS, Yew WW, Chiu Leung C, Kam KM, Tam CM, et al. (2008) Risk factors for multidrug-resistant tuberculosis in Hong Kong. Int J Tuberc Lung Dis 12: 1065–1070.
17. Suarez-Garcia I, Rodriguez-Blanco A, Vidal-Perez JL, Garcia-Viejo MA, Jaras-Hernandez MJ, et al. (2009) Risk factors for multidrug-resistant tuberculosis in a tuberculosis unit in Madrid, Spain. Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis 28: 325–330.
18. World health Organization, International Union Against Tuberculosis and Lung Disease (2007) WHO/Thet Union Monograph on TB and Tobacco control, Joining efforts to control two related global epidemics. Geneva.