Regulatory T Cell Modulation by Lactobacillus rhamnosus Improves Feather Damage in Chickens
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OPEN ACCESS

It is currently unclear whether potential probiotics such as lactic acid bacteria could affect behavioral problems in birds. To this end, we assessed whether a supplementation of Lactobacillus rhamnosus JB-1 can reduce stress-induced severe feather pecking (SFP), feather damage and fearfulness in adult birds kept for egg laying. In parallel, we assessed SFP genotypic and phenotypic-related immune responses and aromatic amino acid status linked to neurotransmitter production. Social stress aggravated plumage damage, while L. rhamnosus treatment improved the birds’ feather cover in non-stressed birds, but did not impact fearfulness. Our data demonstrate the significant impact of L. rhamnosus supplementation on the immune system. L. rhamnosus supplementation induced immunosuppressive regulatory T cells and cytotoxic T cells in both the cecal tonsils and the spleen. Birds exhibiting the SFP phenotype possessed lower levels of cecal tonsils regulatory T cells, splenic T helper cells and a lower TRP:(PHE+TYR). Together, these results suggest that bacteria may have beneficial effects on the avian immune response and may be useful therapeutic adjuncts to counteract SFP and plumage damage, thus increasing animal health and welfare.
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INTRODUCTION

Poultry is the most extensively farmed land animal totalling approximately 26 billion birds worldwide in 2019 alone (1). Severe feather pecking (SFP) is a behavior commonly observed in birds kept for egg-laying, where female hens forcefully peck, remove and sometimes eat feathers of conspecifics (2). While some pecking is part of their natural behavior, SFP is a major behavioral problem as it causes feather cover damage and can develop into cannibalism (2). Birds rely on intact feather cover for thermoregulation/insulation and water-proofing (3), locomotion, and navigation of the environment (4, 5), and social communication (6). SFP is deleterious to the health and welfare of farmed birds. In addition, damage to the feather cover can lead to significant economic losses for commercial farms, for example through increased feed consumption to compensate for energy losses due to reduced feather cover (2). Flock mortality resulting from skin injuries and cannibalism...
events are also a non-negligible source of financial loss and can negatively impact consumers’ trust and acceptance of poultry farming (2). Current farming practices aim to reduce consequences of the behavior rather than the behavior itself (7). Such practices, like beak trimming, are under increasing scrutiny because of animal welfare, ethical and societal concerns. Consequently, some commonly used procedures are being banned in multiple countries (7).

Despite decades of research, the cause of SFP is still unknown, attesting to the multifactorial nature of this behavior (2). Indeed, SFP can be influenced by physical and social environmental factors (8), as well as genetics (9), stress coping mechanisms (10), fearfulness (11), and neurobiology as determined by the monoaminergic systems (12, 13) or the immune system (14, 15). Of the multiple comorbidities associated with SFP, the involvement of the gut in the development of the behavior has gained attention. Descendants of White Leghorn pedigree lines that are bred for high or low SFP activity (9) are consistently reported to host distinct gut microbiota and short-chain fatty acid profiles (16–19). For instance, Birkel et al. (18) and van der Eijk et al. (19) found a lower abundance of *Lactobacillus* species in the cecal excreta of birds genetically selected for SFP behavior.

*Lactobacilli* are the predominant bacterial genus throughout the gastro-intestinal tract of chickens (20–23). Evidence suggests that they influence the gut-brain axis communication via an immune-mediated humoral pathway and a neural route (24–27). *Lactobacilli* are thought to impart beneficial effects on the stress response, the immune system, and stress-induced behavior in a diverse set of species (26, 28–31). For example, *Lactobacillus* supplementation increases T lymphocyte subpopulations in the gastro-intestinal tract of chicks, thereby impacting inflammatory processes (32). They also modulate the catabolic pathways of the aromatic amino acids (AAA), tryptophan (TRP) (33–35), phenylalanine (PHE), and tyrosine (TYR) (36). These AAAs are the precursors of kynurenine (KYN) and the monoaminergic neurotransmitters serotonin and dopamine, respectively (37, 38). The activities of the enzymes responsible for the TRP to KYN and PHE to TYR conversions are approximated by plasma KYN:TRP and PHE:TYR, respectively (39, 40). The TRP: (PHE+TYR) is a surrogate parameter reflecting the competition of TRP with other AAAs for uptake across the blood-brain-barrier (38).

Interestingly, the aforementioned physiological pathways influenced by lactobacilli are also interlinked with SFP. When considered together, these data suggest a gap in our understanding about the effects of the gut microbiome on SFP. We conducted a first study in adult hens selected for high SFP activity and showed that continuous oral intake of *Lactobacillus rhamnosus* improved feather cover, prevented stress-induced SFP behavior, changed regulatory T cell populations, and limited cecal microbiota dysbiosis (41). In a second study, the same *L. rhamnosus* strain was administered to chicks/pullets housed in large groups of low and high SFP genetic lines. Birds received the supplementation during early life to determine its efficacy as a preventative measure for SFP development under chronic stress. We found that *L. rhamnosus* caused a short-term increase in plasma TRP and TRP:(PHE+TYR), as well as an increase in all T lymphocytes of the spleen and cecal tonsils (42). *L. rhamnosus* is reported to modify gut motility within minutes of exposure ex vivo in mice (43) and chickens (44) and can reverse acute restraint stress-induced intestinal motility in mice (45). This demonstrates that *Lactobacillus* signaling can occur independently of colonization, alteration of the microbiome composition, or other longer-term adjustments (43). As such, it might be used as an immediate treatment against stress.

Consequently, the present study aimed to (1) evaluate the immediate impact of the oral administration of *L. rhamnosus* in response to stress by monitoring feather condition, fear behavior, and the immune and monoaminergic precursor responses in large mixed groups of low and high SFP laying hens, and (2) determine whether these physiological parameters are interrelated with the genetic background and the SFP phenotype of birds. To this end, we measured feather damage, SFP behavior, fear behavior, immunological markers, and actors (T-cells profiles, KYN:TRP ratio, and nitrite level) and markers of AAA metabolism (TRP, PHE, TYR, and their respective ratios).

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Ethical Statement**

The experiment was approved by the University of Guelph Animal Care Committee (Animal Utilization Protocol #4113). To promote both refinement and reduction of bird numbers, the lines shared an experimental unit/pen (46).

**Animals and Housing**

Three pedigree lines of White Leghorn laying hens are maintained since 2015 at the University of Guelph Research Station (Guelph, Ontario, Canada). Yearly, this breeding flock is divergently selected for high (HFP) and low (LFP) severe feather pecking (SFP) activity or kept as unselected controls (UC) (9). Eggs were incubated, hatched in separate compartments per pedigree mother hen. At hatch, a total of 311 non-beak trimmed chicks were individually wing-tagged, and systemically allocated to 12 identical pens of 25 birds in each (8 ± 1 birds of each line; mixed lines per pen) in a windowless room. Each floor pen (1.6m²) was littered with wood shavings and contained one round metal feeder (43 cm), a drinker line (7 nipples), an A-frame perch (15 cm of perch/hen, 55 and 120 cm above the ground), and three nest boxes. The birds were able to hear other birds in neighboring pens, but visual contact was prevented by opaque PVC boards between the pens. Light was provided at 20 Lux from 05.00 h till 19.00 h and average daily temperature was 20 °C. Birds had *ad libitum* access to water and corn/wheat/soybean meal based feed (University of Guelph Research Station starter [0–6 weeks], grower [7–16 weeks] and layer [>17 weeks] mash diet) and housed under conventional management conditions at the research station. At 15 weeks of age (woa), one bird had to be put down due to cannibalism by conspecifics.

**Lactobacillus rhamnosus** Supplementation and Stress Treatment

An overview of the experimental timeline is presented in [Figure 1](#). From 33 to 38 woa, six pens were systematically assigned to receive an oral supplementation with either *L.
**FIGURE 1** | Scheme of the experimental timeline. The *L. rhamnosus* or Placebo supplementation started at 33 weeks and lasted 6 weeks. The stress treatment spanned weeks 33–35. Physical examinations and blood collection were conducted at 32 and 37 weeks of age (woa). Tonic immobility was conducted at 36 woa. Spleen and cecal tonsils samplings were performed at 38 woa.

*Lactobacillus rhamnosus* JB-1™ (Lacto, n = 6 pens, 157 birds) dissolved in drinking water or a placebo of drinking water (Placebo, n = 6 pens, 154 birds). *L. rhamnosus* JB-1™ was a gift from Alimentary Health Inc., Cork, Ireland to Paul Forsythe and Wolfgang Kunze, McMaster University.

Employing a supplementation method that can be easily adopted in a farm setting, birds were supplemented as a group within each pen. Supplementation was provided daily (Monday to Friday) between 9:00 h to 10:30 h. The Lacto treatment was prepared by dissolving *5 x 10⁹* Colony Forming Units (CFU) of *L. rhamnosus* JB-1™ into 19 mL of warm drinking water per bird. To encourage birds to drink during the supplementation period, the drinker lines were raised to prevent water access for 1 h prior to supplementation. The Lacto or Placebo treatment were provided to the birds in their home pen using two round plastic 1L-drinkers (averaging 475 ± 38 mL per pen). Drinkers were monitored until they were voluntarily emptied (∼10 min), after which they were removed from the pens. Subsequently, the original drinker lines were lowered until the next round of supplementation.

At 33 woa, concomitant with the beginning of the supplementation treatment, three pens of each supplementation type were systematically assigned to a stress regimen in an attempt to induce SFP (13). The stress regimen lasted for 3 weeks (stress, n = 156 birds). The remaining three pens of Lacto and Placebo birds were left undisturbed (non-stress, n = 155 birds). Stressors were environmental (removal of perches and shavings, as well as blocking nest-boxes from Monday to Friday), and social (social disruption by mixing). Social disruption was repeated 3–4 times per week in the afternoon (14:00–17:00 h) for a total of 10 events. Stressed pens were split into two subgroups of three to four individuals and mixed with another subgroup from a different pen in the stress treatment assigned to the same supplementation type (Lacto or Placebo). Upon mixing, birds were placed in a new, but identical pen to create a new environment for all birds. Wood shavings from the stressed pens were removed during the first mixing. This stress regimen was designed to mimic the unpredictable and repeated stressors that hens encounter in commercial farm settings (13, 47).

**Behavioral Observations and Feather Damage Scoring**

Prior to the experiment, birds were individually identified using continuously numbered silicone backpacks (8 x 6 x 0.5 cm) fastened onto the hens around the wings via two elastic straps secured to the backpacks with metal eyelets (48). Behavioral observations were conducted in the home pens via video recordings scheduled outside of the working hours of the farm staff to avoid any human bias. Cameras (Samsung SNO-5080R, IR, Samsung Techwin CO., Gyeongi-do Korea) were ceiling-mounted ahead of the trial to obtain a full view of each pen.

After determining the time windows during pilot observations, each pen was video recorded 10 min in the morning for a total of 100 min between 32 and 38 woa: 2 days at 32 woa as baseline, three days during the supplementation and stress treatments (35 woa), and 5 days post-stress treatment (36-38 woa). Behavioral recordings totaled 16 h of video and analysis was done by five trained blinded observers (Pearson’s correlation of 0.88 for intra-observer reliability and 0.75 for inter-observer reliability) (42). All-occurrence sampling was used on all 311 birds to record the actor and recipient of SFP. SFP was defined as intent forceful peck(s) toward the feathers/body of conspecifics that may remove feathers or cause injury (2). All birds were individually examined for feather cover damage and bodyweight in weeks 32 and 37 by four blinded operators. Plumage damage to the neck, back and tail was assessed using a scoring scale adapted from Decina et al. with reported Kappa coefficients of 0.6-0.9 (49). The severity of plumage damage ranked from 0 (no or slight wear, nearly intact feathering) to 2 (at least one featherless area ≥ $2 Canadian coin, diameter: 28 mm). A fourth score (3) was added to account for the presence
of at least one featherless area ≥ $2 Canadian coin with fresh blood stains.

**Tonic Immobility**
A tonic immobility test was performed by four blinded operators over 3 days during week 36 as described by Jones and Faure (50) as a measure of fearfulness. Birds were individually removed from their home pen and tested in a nearby separate room. Birds were placed on their back in a U-shaped plastic cradle covered with a dark fabric. A standing operator then induced tonic immobility by gently restraining the bird for 15 s with one hand over the bird’s breast and the other over the head. The induction was considered successful if the bird remained motionless for at least 10 s. After a successful induction, the operator sat and recorded the duration of tonic immobility, i.e., latency to self-righting. Birds were induced a maximum of three times, and the number of inductions needed was recorded. Birds still in tonic immobility after 5 min were given the maximum duration of 5 min.

**Blood Sampling and Amino Acid Analysis**
Blood samples were collected at 32 and 37 woa, from the wing vein using EDTA-coated vacutainer tubes (2 mL/hen). Individual birds were sampled within 1 h after their last meal on the same day of the week and at the same time of day (between 10:00 and 14:00 h) for both sampling points. Samples were gently inverted and stored on ice immediately after collection (maximum of 4 h). Plasma was separated by centrifugation at 4°C, 1,780 g for 15 min and stored at −80°C until further analysis.

The concentration of aromatic amino acids tryptophan (TRP), its derivative (kynurenine [KYN]), phenylalanine (PHE) and tyrosine (TYR), and nitrite were determined as reported previously (51). In brief, samples were analyzed via reversed-phase HPLC. The TRP, PHE and TYR concentrations were determined by monitoring their natural fluorescence (TRP: excitation [Ex] wavelength [λ] 286 nm, emission [Em] [λ] 366 nm; PHE, TYR: Ex λ, 210 nm, Em λ, 302 nm).

In mammals, the KYN to TRP ratio can be used to estimate TRP metabolism along the KYN axis, along which 90% of TRP not used for protein synthesis is catabolized. In humans, this ratio is used as an index of the IDO-1 activity if accompanied by an increase of immune activation markers such as neopterin (39). The PHE to TYR ratio may be used as a surrogate of phenylalanine 4-hydroxylase (PAH) activity, which converts PHE to TYR (40). TRP:(PHE+TYR) is a substitution for the commonly used ratio of TRP to large neutral amino acids. As described in Wurtman et al. (38), this ratio represents the competition of TRP with other amino acids for uptake across the blood-brain-barrier. However, it should be acknowledged that poultry physiology differs from mammals because of potential evolutionary variations (52, 53) and thus, the results should be approached with caution. As a surrogate marker of nitric oxide (NO) production, the stable NO metabolite nitrite was measured using a modified Griess assay (Merck KGaA, Darmstadt, Germany) (51).

**Immune Phenotype**
At week 38, 60 hens (five hens per line x supplementation type x stress treatment groups) were put down by cervical dislocation to determine T-cell populations as described in Mindus et al. (41). In brief, one cecal tonsil and the spleen were harvested from each bird within 3 min after death and kept in 5 mL of 5% fetal bovine serum (FBS) containing RPMI medium. Cells from both tissues were isolated, suspended, centrifuged, and counted. Viable spleen and cecal tonsil cells were diluted in fluorescence-activated cell sorting (FACS) buffer (PBS + 2% FBS) to a concentration of 10⁶ cells/mL. Both splenocytes and cecal tonsil cells were stained for T-helper cells (CD3⁺ CD4⁺ T cells), cytotoxic T lymphocytes (CD3⁺CD8⁺ T cells), and regulatory T cells (CD4⁺CD25⁺ T cells) markers using the same antibodies as in Mindus et al. (41). Data were acquired using FACS Célesta (Becton Dickinson, Oakville, ON, Canada) and analyzed by FlowJo (BD Bioscience, Ashland, OR, USA).

**Statistical Analysis**
FP frequencies were determined per individual per 10 min. Due to the low frequency of SFP, we focused on feather damage as a reliable indicator of the intensity of the behavior (54). The neck, back, and tail feather cover scores were used to assign a general plumage damage score (0–3; maximum score of the three body areas) for each bird at each sampling point. However, to further identify the physiological pathways linked to the behavior, we categorized birds as SFP peckers based on whether or not they had performed the behavior throughout the course of the experiment regardless of their genotype. Birds exhibiting at least one severe feather peck at 32, 35 or between 36–38 woa were categorized as severe peckers. Birds that performed 0 pecks were categorized as non-severe peckers.

The SAS software (version 9.4, SAS Institute, Cary NC) was used for all statistical computations. Unless specified, generalized linear mixed models (PROC GLIMMIX) were used to analyze the data. The assumptions of normally distributed residuals and homogeneity of variance were examined graphically with the use of QQ plots. Scatter plots of studentized residuals against predicted values and treatment values, and a Shapiro-Wilk test of normality were used to confirm the assumptions of the variance analysis. To detect possible outliers, studentized residuals outside a ± 3.4 envelope were used. Data was transformed where necessary. Least square (LS) means and standard errors on the data scale were recovered using the ilink option. Values are presented as LS means ± standard error, unless stated otherwise. Differences between means were compared pairwise using a Tukey-Kramer adjustment. Statistical significance was considered at P < 0.05.

Variances of plumage damage, bodyweight, tonic immobility duration and number of inductions to trigger tonic immobility, each T cell subset proportion, aromatic amino acid (AAA), KYN and ratios were partitioned into the fixed effect of supplementation, stress, line and their interaction with the best fitted distribution and their significance were tested through F-tests. When possible, the baseline values (collected at 32 woa) were used as covariates and the pens of the birds were designated as a random effect except for the tonic immobility outcomes.
The birds’ plumage condition was assessed on the neck, tail, and back area (scale of 0 to 3; higher score indicating more severe damage) at 37 weeks of age. The maximum score from these areas was retained as the overall plumage condition. The odds ratio (OR) and 95% Confidence Interval (CI) were modeling the probability of a lower overall score (i.e., more intact feather cover). Birds received a supplementation treatment (water [Placebo] or L. rhamnosus [Lacto] supplementation, weeks 33–38) and stress treatment (non-stressed [NS] or stressed [S], weeks 33–35). Number of birds: S-Lacto = 79, S-Placebo = 77, NS-Lacto = 78, NS-Placebo = 77. Ref = Reference value.

### RESULTS

**Stress Aggravates Plumage Damage While *L. rhamnosus* Supplementation Improves Feather Cover Under Non-stressful Conditions**

We assessed if the oral treatment with *L. rhamnosus* (Lacto) reduced stress-induced damage to the feather cover. We report that the stress treatment alone aggravated the severity of the overall feather damage ($F_{1,294} = 3.98$, $P < 0.05$, Table 1). Indeed, 74% of stressed birds had clear evidence of feather loss (score $> 2$) compared to only 50% of non-stressed birds. Overall, the Lacto treatment favored the odds of less feather damage as only 51% of Lacto had clear evidence of feather loss (score $> 2$) relative to 74% in the Placebo group ($F_{1,294} = 3.22$, $P = 0.074$, Table 1). However, there was a significant interaction between Lacto and stress treatments on the severity of plumage damage ($F_{1,294} = 27.30$, $P < 0.001$). Modeling the probability of birds having a lower score (i.e., more intact feather cover), we found that the Lacto treatment did significantly reduce plumage loss under non-stressed conditions. Indeed, the Lacto non-stressed birds were more likely to show more intact feather cover compared to the Placebo non-stressed (OR = 5.24, 95%CI = 2.62–10.46) and the Lacto-stressed birds (OR = 5.50, 95%CI = 2.72–11.08). This was reversed in the Placebo group, as Placebo stressed birds were more likely to present more intact feather cover than the Placebo non-stressed birds (OR = 2.1, 95%CI = 1.17–3.94). Finally, Lacto treatment did not improve feather cover under stressful condition as Lacto stressed birds were less likely to show intact feather cover (OR = 0.44, 95%CI = 0.24 - 0.82) than the Placebo stressed birds. With respect to the genetic lines, birds from the HFP line tended to be more likely to have more intact feather cover than the birds from the LFP line (Means ± SD, HFP:1.5 ± 1.08 vs. LFP 2.0 ± 0.99; OR = 1.74, 95%CI = 1.01–2.99).

**L. rhamnosus Treatment Can Buffer Against Bodyweight Loss but Does Not Affect Fearfulness**

We determined the effects of Lacto treatment and stress on bodyweight and its implications for fear behavior as measured through the duration of immobility and number of inductions in a tonic immobility test. We found that Lacto treatment prevented stress-induced bodyweight loss. Indeed, there was a significant interaction between Lacto and stress treatment in determining bodyweight ($F_{1,296} = 6.11$, $P = 0.014$). Placebo non-stressed birds were 3% heavier compared to the Placebo stressed birds (Placebo non-stressed: 1.77 ± 0.008 vs. Placebo stressed 1.72 ± 0.008 kg, $P < 0.001$). We observed no significant difference in bodyweight between stressed and non-stressed birds in the Lacto birds (Lacto non-stressed: 1.75 ± 0.008 vs. Lacto stressed 1.74 ± 0.008 kg, $P > 0.05$).

The number of inductions required to enter tonic immobility and its duration were not impacted by the Lacto treatment, stress or by their interaction ($P > 0.05$, Supplementary Table 1). However, HFP birds had significantly shorter tonic immobility durations (HFP: 60 ± 9.5 s vs. LFP: 89 ± 13.8 s, $F_{2,278} = 3.69$, $P = 0.026$) and necessitated more inductions for tonic immobility (HFP: 1.7 ± 1.01 vs. LFP: 1.4 ± 0.77, $F_{2,283} = 0.03$, $P = 0.006$) than LFP birds, while UC birds showed a more intermediate response (duration: 72 ± 11.2 s, inductions: 1.6 ± 0.89).

---

**Table 1 | Percentage of birds receiving a given score for overall plumage damage within each treatment group.**

| Treatment          | Class   | Percentage of birds for each score (%) | OR    | 95% CI  | P-value |
|--------------------|---------|----------------------------------------|-------|---------|---------|
|                    |         | 0          | 1          | 2          | 3          |         |
| Supplementation    | Placebo | 10         | 16         | 42         | 32         | Ref     | Ref     | 0.074   |
|                    | Lacto   | 33         | 16         | 21         | 29         | 1.53    | 0.96–2.42 |
| Stress             | NS      | 32         | 18         | 23         | 27         | Ref     | Ref     | 0.047   |
|                    | S       | 11         | 15         | 39         | 35         | 0.63    | 0.39–0.99 |
| Supplementation x Stress | S-Lacto | 13         | 10         | 30         | 47         | <0.001  |         |         |
|                    | S-Placebo | 9          | 19         | 48         | 23         |         |         |         |
|                    | NS-Lacto | 55         | 22         | 12         | 12         |         |         |         |
|                    | NS-Placebo | 10        | 13         | 35         | 42         |         |         |         |
|                    |         |            |            |            |            |         |         |         |
Oral Treatment of *L. rhamnosus* Induces a Strong Regulatory T Cell Response

In poultry species, chronic stress decreases the proportion of peripheral blood lymphocytes (55), an outcome that can be countered by lactobacilli (32, 41, 42). We assessed the capacity of *L. rhamnosus* treatment to stimulate T helper cells (CD3+CD4+ T lymphocytes), cytotoxic T cells (CD3+CD8+ T lymphocytes), and regulatory T (Treg) cells (CD3+CD4+CD25+ T lymphocytes) in the spleen and cecal tonsils of laying hens in response to a stress treatment induced between 33 and 35 woa (Figure 2).

The Lacto and stress treatments interacted to determine the proportion of the cytotoxic T cells ($F_{1,47} = 4.30, P = 0.044$, Figure 2B) and Treg cells ($F_{1,47} = 5.85, P = 0.020$, Figure 2C) in the cecal tonsils. While the Lacto supplementation generally increased the proportions of these cells compared to the Placebo, the difference was larger in non-stressed groups. In contrast, a significant increase of splenic cytotoxic T cells (Figure 2E) was only observed in the stressed groups ($P = 0.009$), while no significant difference was found between the non-stressed groups ($P = 0.222$). The stress treatment alone did not influence T cell proportions ($P > 0.05$). However, overall, Lacto treatment increased the proportion of Treg cells in the cecal tonsils ($F_{1,47} = 51.40, P < 0.001$) and spleen ($F_{1,47} = 53.91, P < 0.001$), as well as the proportion of cytotoxic T cells in both tissues (celiac tonsil, $F_{1,47} = 29.26, P < 0.001$; spleen, $F_{1,47} = 13.94, P < 0.001$) compared to Placebo birds (Figure 2). The proportion of T helper cells...
was not affected by the Lacto and stress treatment, or their interaction (P > 0.05).

To better understand the physiological pathways underlying SFP behavior, the interrelatedness of the immune response with the genetic lines and the SFP phenotype from week 35 to week 38 (tissue collection) were evaluated. We found that lines reacted differently to the Lacto and stress treatments (Table 2). Indeed, Lacto treatment increased the proportion of Treg cells in the HFP and UC birds compared to the Placebo in the tonsils (F$_{2,47}$ = 6.12, P < 0.01), and to a lesser extent the spleen (F$_{2,47}$ = 12.89, P < 0.01). No difference in Treg cells was observed in the LFP birds following Lacto treatment (F$_{2,47}$ = 4.24, P = 0.020, Supplementary Table 2). The UC line had a significantly higher proportion of Treg cells than the HFP (P < 0.05) and LFP (P = 0.032) lines; but there were no differences between the HFP and LFP birds (P = 0.981). Other proportions of T cells were similar between the genetic lines (P > 0.05, Supplementary Table 2). Phenotypical severe feather peckers (i.e., birds who performed at least one severe feather peck between 35-38 woa) were retrospectively identified and mostly came from the HFP (52%), UC (38%), and lastly LFP (10%) line as expected. Phenotypical severe feather peckers had reduced levels of Treg cells in the tonsils (F$_{1,53}$ = 4.06, P = 0.049) and splenic T helper cells (F$_{1,53}$ = 4.07, P = 0.049) compared to non-peckers (Supplementary Table 2).

**SFP Phenotype Is Associated With Lower TRP:(PHE+TYR) and Elevated TYR Levels**

We investigated the impact of Lacto and stress treatments on the concentrations of aromatic amino acids (AAA), the TRP metabolite KYN, ratios, and nitrite at 37 weeks of age.  

### Supplementary Table 2

| Supplementation x line | F- Statistic, P-value | Lacto | UC | LFP | HFP | Placebo | UC | LFP | HFP |
|------------------------|-----------------------|-------|----|-----|-----|---------|----|-----|-----|
| **Cecal tonsils**      |                       |       |    |     |     |         |    |     |     |
| T helper cell          |                       |       |    |     |     |         |    |     |     |
| Lacto                  |                       | 15.2 ± 0.82 | 13.9 ± 0.83 | 14.9 ± 0.83 | 12.7 ± 0.83 | 14.4 ± 0.85 | 13.2 ± 0.85 |
| Placebo                |                       | 12.8 ± 0.70 | 12.8 ± 0.70 | 12.8 ± 0.70 | 11.1 ± 0.70 | 13.4 ± 0.69 | 11.1 ± 0.69 |
| Stress                 |                       | 21.4 ± 1.08 | 17.5 ± 1.08 | 17.5 ± 1.08 | 11.2 ± 1.08 | 14.8 ± 1.08 | 11.5 ± 1.08 |
| **Spleen**             |                       |       |    |     |     |         |    |     |     |
| T helper cell          |                       | 2.7 ± 0.08 | 2.7 ± 0.08 | 2.6 ± 0.08 | 2.8 ± 0.08 | 2.8 ± 0.09 | 2.7 ± 0.09 |
| Cytotoxic T cell       |                       | 23.4 ± 1.9 | 25.0 ± 1.9 | 21.6 ± 1.9 | 18.1 ± 1.9 | 17.7 ± 2.01 | 18.2 ± 2.03 |
| Regulatory T cell      |                       | 27.5 ± 1.19 | 18.6 ± 1.19 | 20.1 ± 1.19 | 13.5 ± 1.19 | 16.1 ± 1.19 | 15.1 ± 1.19 |

Birds underwent 5 weeks of supplementation (L. rhamnosus [Lacto] or water [Placebo] supplementation, 33-38 weeks of age) and 3 weeks of stress treatment (33-35 weeks of age).

Sub-populations were identified using the following combinations of cell surface markers: T helper cells = CD3$^+$CD4$^+$; cytotoxic T cells = CD3$^+$CD8$^+$; T regulatory cells = CD4$^+$CD25$^+$.

Different superscript letters indicate statistically significant different comparisons within the interaction in each row at P < 0.05.
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L. rhamnosus supplementation, stressors, and their interaction did not significantly change peripheral plasma levels of TRP, PHE, TYR, KYN, and their relevant ratios. Furthermore, the TRP:(PHE+TYR) and nitrite concentrations were similar between groups (Supplementary Table 3).

We examined whether the AAA response was related with the genetic lines and SFP phenotype displayed from week 35 until week 37 (blood collection). Genetic line x stress interactions showed that LFP birds differ in their stress responses compared to birds from other lines (Supplementary Table 4). Indeed, in LFP birds, stress increased KYN concentrations (stressed: 0.38 ± 0.022 µM vs. non-stressed: 0.29 ± 0.021 µM, F_{2,277} = 4.69, P < 0.01) and KYN:TRP (stressed: 4.3 ± 0.25 µmol/mmol vs. non-stressed: 3.3 ± 0.25 µmol/mmol, F_{2,277} = 4.68, P = 0.01) levels while no change was observed in the stressed vs. non-stressed birds of the HFP and UC lines.

Overall, peripheral plasma levels of TRP, PHE, TYR, KYN, their relevant ratios, and the nitrite concentrations were similar between genetic lines (P > 0.05, Supplementary Table 5). Nevertheless, we report that phenotypic severe feather peckers had significantly lower TRP:(PHE+TYR) than birds that were not severe feather peckers (severe feather peckers: 0.35 ± 0.010 µmol/µmol vs. not severe feather peckers: 0.38 ± 0.007 µmol/µmol, F_{1,292} = 9.70, P = 0.002). Peckers also tended to have lower peripheral plasma TYR concentrations (severe feather peckers: 128 ± 3.5 µM vs. not severe feather peckers: 122 ± 2.2 µM, F_{1,288} = 3.72, P = 0.055).

DISCUSSION

The aim of the present study was to determine whether oral supplementation with a single L. rhamnosus strain can act as an immediate measure to reduce stress-induced severe feather pecking (SFP) and associated physiological changes. To this end, we assessed the feather cover, tonic immobility duration and number of inductions, T cells profiles, aromatic amino acids metabolism, along the kynurenine (KYN) and dopaminergic pathways quantified by plasma tryptophan (TRP), phenylalanine (PHE) and tyrosine (TYR) concentrations in laying hens following 5 weeks of supplementation (33–38 weeks of age [woa]) and a concomitant three-week stress regimen (33–35 woa). Three genetic lines of birds housed in mixed groups were used in this study: high feather pecking (HFP), low feather pecking (LFP) and unselected control (UC). We also analyzed the link between genotypic and phenotypic SFP behavior and physiological parameters. We report that stress aggravates the severity of damage to the feather cover while L. rhamnosus supplementation mitigated the feather damage in non-stressed conditions. Surprisingly, L. rhamnosus supplementation did not mitigate the damage to feather cover in stressed conditions. Furthermore, the L. rhamnosus supplementation induced immunosuppressive regulatory T cells (Treg) and cytotoxic T cells in both the cecal tonsils and the spleen. Birds exhibiting the SFP phenotype displayed lower levels of tonsil Treg and splenic T helper cells as well as a lower TRP:(PHE+TYR).

Considering the biological importance of feather cover (2), it is important to note that stress alone deteriorated the overall feather cover. Nearly 75% of stressed birds had clear evidence of feather loss, reflecting previous findings (13, 41). Most importantly, we found that the Lacto supplement tended to decrease the severity of the feather damage (Table 1), however, this effect was only found in non-stressed birds. Taken together, these results agree with mammalian studies in which Lactobacillus bacteria are known to have a positive influence in healthy, non-stressed individuals (29, 56, 57). Importantly, this finding was not replicated in the stressed birds where Lacto stressed birds actually had more feather damage than Lacto non-stressed birds (Table 1). Potentially, the current stress regimen overrode the potential beneficial effects of the supplementation in this study. Previous research using a more varied stress regimen (e.g., social disruption, shavings replacement, individual and group restraint, blocking nest boxes and perches in random order) showed that ingestion of L. rhamnosus positively modulates chronic stress-induced feather damage when continuously administered before, during and after stress to adult HFP birds (41). The fact that different results were observed in the current study when Lacto was supplemented only during and after stress, could highlight the importance of relative timing of probiotic supplementation. Interestingly, a recent meta-analysis showed that the order in which the Lactobacillus-based probiotics and stress treatments are applied does not change the effect of the probiotic in rodents (31). However, Liu et al. (58), showed that L. rhamnosus supplementation administered only post-social stress increased the persistence of both aggressor avoidance and reduced sociability in stressed mice. Thus, although the present variables (pecking behavior and feather damage) are distinct from Liu et al. (58), (sociability and avoidance), the effect of L. rhamnosus supplement could vary depending on whether it was administered before, during or after stress exposure. That said, the Lacto treatment did appear to be protective against stress-induced weight loss with no difference in body weight between stressed and non-stressed Lacto birds, while the stressed-placebo birds weighed 3% less than their non-stressed counterparts. Regardless, further work on the potential beneficial effects of L. rhamnosus supplementation, even under non-stressful conditions, should not be overlooked as intact feather cover has both biological and economic benefits, e.g., by improving bird welfare and reducing farm feed costs (2).

The proportion of T-cell subsets, except for the splenic T helper and Treg cells, were 2 to 3-fold lower than previously observed in younger birds (10 and 28 weeks) of similar lines (15, 41), which is most likely an age-related effect (59, 60). However, the dysregulation of T cell (without subset specification) proliferation and activation has been suggested to be the initial cause of the FP phenotype possibly via cholinergic signaling (61). Interestingly, we also report that tonsil Treg cells in severe feather peckers are 22% lower than in non-peckers (Supplementary Table 2). Tregs are a population of immunosuppressive CD4+ T lymphocytes involved in maintaining immune tolerance to self-antigens and preventing autoimmune/autoinflammatory disease (62). As such, Tregs help...
suppress inflammatory responses (63). Chicken Treg cells have suppressive properties similar to that of mammalian Treg cells (64) and depleted Treg populations have been associated with negative changes in mood and behavior in animal models (65–68). Our results support the idea that SFP could be an immune-related behavioral response, and more specifically, that Treg cells could play a role in determining SFP behavior.

We further report that *L. rhamnosus* supplementation had a strong immunomodulatory effect in laying hens, whereby it increased Treg cells and cytotoxic T cells in the spleen and cecal tonsils compared to birds receiving the Placebo. These results are consistent with previous mammalian (69–73) and avian studies (41, 42, 74). Apart from increased Treg cells, *L. rhamnosus* treatment also had anti-depressive and anxiolytic effects in mice (26, 73), and these effects were mediated through Treg cells (75). This implies a link between the immune response and behavior in mammals. To assess differences in reactivity behavior, we conducted a tonic immobility test, a well validated standardized test of fearfulness in chickens (76). We found that SFP and tonic immobility outcomes were correlated to the proportion of Treg cells in Lacto birds (data not shown), even if Lacto and stress treatment did not directly impact birds’ fear responses. Indeed, severe feather peckers supplemented with Lacto had increased proportions of Treg cells in the tonsils and reduced level of SFP compared to the Placebo birds (data not shown). Additionally, low levels of splenic Tregs were associated with longer tonic immobility duration \((r = -0.39, P = 0.034)\) and fewer induction of tonic immobility \((r = 0.43, P = 0.019)\), suggesting a more fearful state. Similarly, a lower proportion of tonsil Tregs was associated with fewer tonic immobility inductions \((r = 0.43, P = 0.017)\). These correlations were not significant in Placebo birds. Thus, we propose that *L. rhamnosus* oral supplementation positively modulates the immune system through Treg cell induction and that *L. rhamnosus* supplementation is positively linked to social interactions such as SFP behaviors and indirectly to fear in laying hens, mirroring work in mammalian models. Immunosuppressive Treg cells may then play an essential role in mediating the avian gut-brain axis signaling. It would be of further interest to investigate this hypothesis and test if the mechanism of T cell induction via *L. rhamnosus* supplementation is similar to mammals. In mice, *L. rhamnosus* is taken up by dendritic cells in the Peyers’s patches, which then induce Treg cell production (71), a process that is vagus nerve-dependant (26).

Severe feather peckers had significantly lower peripheral TRP:(PHE+TYR) and higher TYR concentration than birds that did not express SFP (Supplementary Table S5). Previous studies unambiguously identified monoamine signaling as a key component in SFP behavior, mostly due to low central serotonin and dopamine turnover at a young age (12, 77, 78). We focused on AAA as these are the precursor metabolites for neurotransmitters of the serotonergic and catecholaminergic systems. We estimated their concentrations in the blood, and besides catabolism also transports affects the CNS availability, thus these both processes were taken into account by calculating relevant ratios. AAA concentrations were interesting to get first insights on the potential modulation of the crosstalk between the nutritional status and the serotonergic and catecholaminergic neurotransmitter biosynthesis upon *L. rhamnosus* supplementation and/or stress treatment. However, we found no variation of peripheral plasma concentrations of TRP, PHE, TYR, and KYN, their relevant ratios, and nitrite at 37 woa in response to 3 weeks of Lacto supplementation and stress. Previously, we demonstrated that early-age consumption of *L. rhamnosus* for 8 weeks led to a short-term increase of peripheral TRP concentrations and TRP:(PHE+TYR) in pullets (42). Amino acid and peptide absorption in birds (79) is similar to that in mammals (80). Still, during the first few weeks following hatch, the intestinal tract of birds grows rapidly (80). This may explain why no difference was observed in mature birds who were supplemented after the microbiome would be established in the current study (supplementation 33-38 woa) and previously reported (supplementation 19-28 woa) (41) compared to the study with pullets (supplemented 0-9 woa) (42). While *L. rhamnosus* has been shown to impact specific neurotransmitters-like GABA receptor expression (26), our results suggest that the effect on AAAs and related neurotransmitters pathways might be minimal, short-term or it suggests that the five weeks of *L. rhamnosus* supplementation may not be sufficiently long to impact monoamines precursors. However, it needs to be mentioned that there are still many unexplained variables, also regarding basic avian metabolism. For example, only IDO-2, which is less efficient in breaking down TRP along the KYN pathway than IDO-1, has been detected in birds and the regulation of the avian enzyme is still poorly understood in terms of modulation of activity by nutritional or potentially also stress or immunological factors (53).

It is noteworthy that the overall expression of SFP was scarce with an average frequency of 0.078 ± 0.555 pecks/bird/10 min across all birds between 35 and 38 woa. The observed level of SFP was approximately 7 fold lower than frequencies observed in 16-weeks old pullets (13) and 28–29 weeks old birds (11) of the same genetic HFP line. Despite pilot testing, it cannot be ruled out that the time window of behavior recording may not accurately reflect the true behavioral outcomes which may have impacted the results. Direct recording of behavior in large groups of birds is difficult and time consuming to perform, and scoring of feather cover provide a reliable estimation of the intensity of the behavior (54). Moreover, it can be argued that in commercial farms, feather damage, rather than the behavior itself, is of interest due to its aforementioned welfare and economic consequences. For this reason, we mainly focused on the feather damage outcome in the current study. However, because the purpose of our study was also to identify the physiological pathways linked to the behavior, we did record SFP during the experiment which allowed us to retrospectively determine bird phenotypes.

We additionally investigated the differences between the genetic lines. The present experiment mixed the HFP, LFP and UC genetic lines equally within the housing pens. This was done to mimic commercial conditions, but could have influenced the occurrence of feather damage and performance of SFP as LFP birds could be at higher risk of being victims or have learned to perform this behavior from the HFP birds (81) compared to if they were housed in groups according to their genetic line. This mixed housing of genetic lines could potentially also
explain why the levels of feather damage were relatively high even in the non-stressed group. Additionally, this may partly explain the variability in the findings compared to Mindus et al. (41), who used only HFP birds. Indeed, differences in stress response (8, 10, 82), as well as in the reactivity of the immune system between various genetic lines (8, 15), are well documented. Similar to van der Eijk et al. (11), we found that HFP birds spent less time in and needed more inductions to be in tonic immobility, suggesting that they were less fearful than LFP birds (50, 76). Interestingly, LFP birds had more damaged feather cover than HFP birds, which may have enhanced their fearfulness. While we did not observe differences in fear response or feather damage to the Lacto or stress treatments between the genetic lines, we did observe that LFP birds stand out in their stress response in other physiological responses. Indeed, stress usually suppresses the avian immune system (55, 83, 84), an effect that was observed in the HFP and UC lines where, overall, all T cells proportions were lower in the stress groups (Table 2). On the contrary, the stress treatment increased the proportion of all T cells in the LFP line. Nevertheless, this increase was only significantly different for the splenic Treg cells (Table 2). Similarly, stress increased peripheral KYN concentrations and KYN:TRP in the LFP line, but not in the HFP and UC lines (Supplementary Table 4). These results may suggest that the LFP birds may be less sensitive to stress or that their stress-sensitive physiological pathways have a different regulation (85). These differences in stress response between the genetic lines were not, however, reflected in the SFP phenotype displayed from week 35 until blood/tissue collection at week 37–38. Thus, it is unclear whether they might play a role in SFP behavior. The causation of these differences should be further investigated to better understand the relationship between these physiological pathways and feather pecking behavior.

Mindus et al. (41) showed that individual Lactobacillus supplementation of birds at 5 x 10^9 CFU/bird prevented stress-induced SFP. In the present study, birds were treated with the L. rhamnosus supplement as a group to mimic commercial farm conditions which precludes individual administration of treatments as thousands of birds comprise a typical flock. We introduced the equivalent of 5 x 10^9 CFU of L. rhamnosus per bird via two 1L-round drinkers and ensured that the full volume was consumed. This strategy prevented measuring individual consumption. Regardless of the individual dosage received, we observed strong and immediate immunomodulatory effects. Nevertheless, as Lactobacillus bacteria show some dose-dependent response in mammals (86–88), it is possible that this dosage was not sufficient to alter SFP behavior in the genetic lines of birds used in the present study. Similarly, individual feed consumption was not measured. Amino acids concentrations are largely controlled by total dietary intake, and thus, variations in feed consumption may have impacted the observed results.

**CONCLUSION**

To study the impact of probiotic bacteria as an immediate measure against stress-induced feather damage, we supplemented adult laying hens with a daily dosage of L. rhamnosus, while following a validated stress regimen. We also investigated whether the immune and aromatic amino acids responses differed between the genetic lines and were interrelated with the severe feather-pecking phenotype itself to better understand the underlying physiological pathways of this behavior. Three weeks of stress treatment aggravated the severity of plumage damage. L. rhamnosus supplementation improved the birds’ feather cover under non-stressful conditions; however, considering our previous study (41) L. rhamnosus supplementation needs to be provided ahead of stressful conditions. The severe feather-pecking phenotype was linked to lower proportions of regulatory T cells and lower TRP:(PHE+TYR). L. rhamnosus supplementation increased regulatory and cytotoxic T cells in the spleen and cecal tonsils, which were also correlated to birds’ fear responses during tonic immobility. Thus, L. rhamnosus supplementation may modulate SFP and fearfulness via regulatory T cells induction. Our findings help elucidate biological mechanisms that are associated with SFP behavior and the pathways through which L. rhamnosus supplementation may mitigate behavior. These results pave the way for a better understanding of how individualized, microbial interventions can help reduce feather damage in commercial farms, and thus, improve the welfare of millions of domestic birds.
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