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Abstract
This study aims to reconstruct the historical spatiotemporal distribution of the anadromous sturgeons, Acipenser sturio and A. oxyrinchus, in NW-Europe (especially in the Rhine, Meuse, Scheldt, and Ems rivers, and in the North Sea), in light of evaluating the possibilities for their reintroduction. It is based on fisheries data from the 14th–twentieth century, consisting of > 5000 records of sturgeon landings and sales (c. 40,000 specimens) from the Netherlands, Belgium, Germany, Luxemburg, France and Switzerland. Most data originate from fisheries in the Rhine-Meuse delta (c. 28,000 specimens, 98% of the Rhine catches). Further upstream, far fewer sturgeons (c. 600 specimens) were reported from the Rhine’s mainstem and its principal tributaries, Mosel, Neckar and Main. Smaller tributaries and the Ems, Meuse, and Scheldt rivers seldom yielded sturgeons. This spatial pattern can be related to the species’ preference for large-river habitat, combined with fisheries activities that were most intensive in the delta areas. Sturgeon catches began to dwindle in the late nineteenth century, at a time when river engineering first strongly affected the sturgeon’s reproductive habitats in the Lower Rhine and delta areas. Also from then onwards, North Sea fishery pressure increased, as trawlers switched from sail to steam-powered propulsion. These sea fisheries harvested all age-classes of sturgeons year-round, including populations from other European rivers. The outcomes strongly suggest that NW-European sturgeon populations were initially impacted by intensive river fisheries, but especially by destruction of reproductive habitat, due to river regulation, and an intensified North Sea fishery, ultimately resulting in total population collapse.
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Introduction

Sturgeons (order *Acipenseriformes*; sturgeons and paddlefish) only occur in the Northern Hemisphere (Billard and Lecointre 2000). Most sturgeons are long-lived, large-bodied, late-maturing fish that undertake long-distance anadromous migrations through marine, estuarine and riverine habitats (Bemis and Kynard 1997). Due to these life history characteristics and their anadromous nature, sturgeon populations are easily affected by (marine) overfishing and river engineering. This includes dredging, diking, canalisation, and barriers to upstream and downstream migration due to weirs, dams, sluices and hydropower plants, amongst others (Rochard et al. 1990; Birstein et al. 1997; Puijenbroek et al. 2019). According to the IUCN Red Data List, at present 85% of all *Acipenseriformes* are endangered, making it the most threatened order of vertebrates in the world. This conservation status is a wake-up call to restore their populations, migration routes, and core habitats in their natal rivers and estuaries (for spawning, nursery, and salt/freshwater acclimatisation).

This study aims to reconstruct the historical spatiotemporal distribution of sturgeons in the river Rhine and in the adjacent Ems, Meuse and Scheldt rivers (Fig. 1). Two sturgeon species from the north Atlantic Ocean, the now critically endangered European sturgeon (*Acipenser sturio*) (Gesner et al. 2010) and the near threatened Atlantic sturgeon (*Acipenser oxyrinchus*) used to display extensive homing behaviour to these rivers (Van Neer et al. 2012; Thieren et al. 2016). These sturgeon species, that represent a separate clade distinct from all other *Acipenseriformes* (Thieren et al. 2015), were strongly impacted during the nineteenth and twentieth centuries. While Atlantic sturgeon populations survived on the North American side of the Atlantic Ocean, this species became extinct in Europe (Williot et al. 2002; Gessner et al. 2006; Chassaing et al. 2016), but was recently reintroduced in the river Oder and the Baltic Sea (Gessner et al. 2010; Kolman et al. 2011). The European sturgeon, which only occurs on the European side of the Atlantic Ocean, was barely saved from global extinction during the 1990’s, when 53 specimens were collected from a relict population in the Gironde basin in France (Williot and Castelnaud 2011). These specimens were raised to build an ex situ brood stock in Bordeaux and another one in Berlin, for restocking fry and fingerlings in the Gironde and Elbe river basins (Williot and Kirschbaum 2011).

As a result of the restocking efforts, in recent years hundreds of European sturgeon juveniles and adolescents have been observed in neritic zones of the northeast Atlantic Ocean (Council of Europe 2018; OSPAR Commission 2021). Although the species’ status is still critical, as no natural reproduction is yet observed, this gives rise to consideration of the expansion of restoration efforts. The species’ core habitat in the Mediterranean (Chassaing et al. 2016) is almost completely lost due to substantial anthropogenic impacts, like dams and human-induced climate change (droughts, increased temperatures) (Williot et al. 2002; Lassalle et al. 2010), making recovery virtually impossible. In contrast, the river Rhine (from now on: Rhine) has an intact longitudinal connectivity between the North Sea, the Port of Rotterdam, and the upper reaches, over 850 river kilometres upstream (ICPR 2018). In addition, remediation of 18th–twentieth century industrial pollution (Villamayor-Tomas et al. 2014) has further improved the Rhine’s carrying capacity for diadromous fishes (Borcherding et al. 2010; Hundt et al. 2015). To strengthen the conservation status in the species’ northern range, the possibility of re-introducing the European sturgeon in the Rhine is currently under assessment, which includes experimental releases of tagged individuals (Brevé et al. 2014, 2019). However, before actual restocking of this river can be implemented, a thorough habitat feasibility and risk assessment is paramount to reduce...
Fig. 1  
(a) Reported historical sturgeon landings and sales (fisheries reports) between 1300 and 2000 from the basins of the Rhine, Meuse, Scheldt and Ems rivers, the North Sea & the Wadden Sea. Pie-charts show total numbers reported per century, per river-section (Rhine-Meuse delta; Lower-, Middle-, Upper- and High Rhine, and tributary rivers, e.g., rivers Mosel, Neckar and Main). (b) Traffic-light colours indicate historical abundances of sturgeons per river-section. The stacked bar-chart in (b) presents the total number of recorded sturgeons per century per river basin and sea. Labels with years (e.g. 1972) give the year for the last known sturgeon reported from that specific river-section or sea.
any risks to this critically endangered species (IUCN/SSC 2013). For example, the Rhine’s use as a major European shipping lane potentially poses serious threats to sturgeons (ship-propeller strikes, noise pollution, dredging, etc.).

A study of the historical spatiotemporal distribution and core habitat of sturgeons in the Rhine is an important starting point for evaluating the opportunities for their reintroduction. Historical fisheries data can be used as an indicator for long-term population distribution and abundance, with changes therein potentially linked to anthropogenic or climatological influences (Fortibuoni et al. 2017). Although historical fisheries data does not distinguish between the closely resembling A. sturio and A. oxyrinchus (Thieren and Van Neer 2016), historical biogeographical research can identify former core habitats and the species’ seasonal distribution in the Rhine and adjacent catchments (Paaver 1999; Gessner et al. 2011; Williot and Castelnaud 2011; Spratte 2014; Lenders 2017). This study therefore addressed the following research questions, using historical sturgeon fisheries landings data and sales from the Netherlands, Germany, Belgium, Luxemburg, France and Switzerland:

1. What were the spatiotemporal distribution patterns of the now extirpated sturgeon populations in NW-Europe, with an emphasis on the Rhine river basin, but including the adjacent catchments of the Ems, Meuse and Scheldt rivers?
2. Which historical anthropogenic activities most likely dictated the extirpation of sturgeon populations in these river systems?

**Materials and methods**

**Study area**

The river Rhine is the 9th largest Eurasian river. Its drainage basin covers 185,260 km², along a total length of 1230 km, with an average discharge of about 2200 m³/s (Uehlinger et al. 2009) (Fig. 1). It flows to the north, from its origin in the Alps in Switzerland, through Germany, France and Luxemburg, to the lowlands in the Netherlands where it drains into the North Sea. The Rhine’s mainstem is divided into the Alpine, High, Upper, Middle and Lower Rhine, based upon geomorphological characteristics (Uehlinger et al. 2009). Its largest tributaries are the Mosel, Main, Neckar and Aare rivers. In the Netherlands, the IJssel distributary of the Rhine drains c. 1/9 of the discharge to the north into the Wadden Sea. To the southwest, the Rhine’s mainstem confluences with the river Meuse into a freshwater delta (from now on: Rhine-Meuse delta), an area renowned for its historical Atlantic salmon (Salmo salar), Allis shad (Alosa alosa), North Sea houting (Coregonus oxyrinchus) and sturgeon fisheries (Martens 1992). Downstream, the combined Rhine and Meuse rivers share a delta with the river Scheldt. Historically these rivers continuously shifted course (Berendsen and Stouthamer 2000). From the eighteenth century onwards, intensive river engineering that began upstream, shortened, and shaped these rivers into efficient shipping routes (Bloesch and Sieber 2003). Currently, the Rhine is a major European shipping lane with the Port of Rotterdam as its terminal, while the Rhine-Meuse-Scheldt delta is marked by huge storm surge barriers and strong land reclamation (Wolff et al. 1994; Steenhuis 2015). Because of the intricately interwoven geographical, hydrological and biogeographical connections between the river Rhine and its tributaries, the Meuse, Scheldt and Ems rivers, data were collected for all four of these river systems.
### Table 1  Total number of records per source per country for sturgeons reported from the study area

| Typology* | Source type                      | Used in study? | Total number of records per source per country | Data collected from this source | General data quality | Period for most data | Data frequency | Data on price? | Fishing ground confirmed? | Species confirmed? |
|-----------|----------------------------------|----------------|-----------------------------------------------|--------------------------------|----------------------|--------------------|-----------------|----------------|-----------------------------|--------------------|
| b, c      | Fisheries statistics (mainly fish market sales lists in newspaper articles) | Yes            | 3138 678 70 1 3887 | Location of fish market/ auction, price per total individual count and/ or total weight, and/ or total price, either in 1/2 kg or kg | High                 | 1875—1975          | Day, month, year | Yes            | Indirect                    | No                 |
| a, b, c   | Data on sturgeon landings, other than fisheries statistics                  | Yes            | 537 715 219 32 4 14 1521 | Fishing ground, individual count, length, weight, price | High                 | 1300—1975          | Day, month, year | No             | Yes                         | No                 |
| Typology* | Source type | Used in study? | Total number of records per source per country | Data collected from this source | General data quality | Period for most data | Data frequency | Data on price? | Fishing ground confirmed? | Species confirmed? |
|-----------|-------------|---------------|-----------------------------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|-----------------|---------------|-----------------------------|------------------|
| b, c      | Newspaper articles on large individual sturgeon captures, and (very rare) sightings | Yes                | 528 1333 11 9 3 1884 | Location, measurements or estimates of length, girth, weight (caviar), value (price paid or reward given) | High                | 1850—1950          | Random            | Yes           | No                          | No               |
| d         | Fish shop advertisements, abattoir notices | No                 | 41 624 665 | Prices either in 1/2 kg or kg, no data on individual count, sometimes for weight | Medium               | 1845—1952          | Day, week         | Yes           | No                          | No               |
| Typology* | Source type | Used in study? | Total number of records per source per country | Data collected from this source | General data quality | Period for most data | Data frequency | Data on price? | Fishing ground confirmed? | Species confirmed? |
|-----------|-------------|----------------|-----------------------------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|----------------|----------------|------------------------|-------------------|
| b         | Fishing rights, taxes, legislation | No             | 178 1 1 1 180 | Fishing ground, season, minimum size, gear-type, mesh-size, owner, and tenant | Medium               | 1300—1975         | Yes             | No             | Yes                    | No                |
| c         | Trivia      | No             | 42 15 19 2 2 80 | Legends, play’s, stories, often indications of fishing ground and individual count | Low                  | Of all times     | Random          | No             | Yes                    | No                |
| Typology* Source type | Used in study? | Total number of records per source per country | Data collected from this source | General data quality | Period for most data | Data frequency | Data on price? | Fishing ground confirmed? | Species confirmed? |
|-----------------------|----------------|-----------------------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|-----------------|----------------|--------------------------|---------------------|
| a, b Museum pieces, archaeological bottom finds | No | 20 4 13 1 | 38 | Bones, scutes, tissue, ancient DNA, estimates of individual count, length, weight, and age | High | Of all times | Random | No | No | Yes |

*Typology is based on classification of written historical sources: a = Early scientific fish ecological surveys, b = Fisheries sources, c = Fish trading, d = Fish consumption, e = Fish in culture (Haidvogl et al. 2014)
Data collection

Although there are several data series that span decades of sturgeon landings and sales for specific sites (De Jong et al. 1988; Martens 1992; Mohr 1952; Verhey 1949), there are no high-quality, long-term time series for the four river basins. We therefore collected data from multiple sources and collated a comprehensive dataset and time series. Data on fish consumption, references to fish in culture (legends, plays), and anecdotal data, were collected, but we focused on fish trading sources (especially fish market sales lists), fisheries sources, and early scientific fish ecological surveys (for typology, Haidvogl et al. 2014) (Table 1). Most data stems from the nineteenth century and later, but we have also tried to collect many older sources, because disregarding such older sources could lead to a serious content bias, leaving relevant long-term causes and processes out of the picture (Hoffman 1996). Historical sturgeon data, including archival sources and handwritten documents obtained from many different institutes and professions, were previously collected by Kinzelbach (1987, 1997), whose data was rechecked and added to our dataset. Google Scholar, Scopus and NSC ProQuest were searched, but contemporary reviewed literature yielded surprisingly little additional historical data for the study area. The database of the Global Biodiversity Information Facility (www.gbif.org) was downloaded (April 4, 2021) for Acipenser sturio and Acipenser oxyrinchus, and checked, which gave an additional 22 data entries. The dataset was further extended with data from historical books and handwritings, obtained through Google books and the universities acknowledged. A major contribution to the dataset was achieved by searching digitised newspaper-articles via www.delpher.nl (1618–2005, National Library of the Netherlands), www.belgicapress.be (1814–1970, Royal Library of Belgium), www.europeana.eu (1618–1980, European newspapers from 20 countries) and www.e-newspaperarchives.ch (1692–2018, Swiss National Library). These platforms yielded substantial quantitative data on fisheries statistics (individual counts, weights, and prices) for the period 1845–1953. The following search keywords were used in all platforms: sturgeon* (this includes the French ‘esturgeon’), stör*, “Acipenser sturio”, steur*; combined with Rijn, Rhin, Rhein, Maas, Meuse, Scheldt, Ems, Escaut. Searches for vernacular names and aberrant spellings like ‘rombus’ or ‘fteur’ yielded only 50 additional results. This search delivered nearly 150,000 hits that were sorted, filtered, and cleaned. Data on landings and sales could concern duplicates in the sense of ‘repeated’ records from different sources (mainly newspapers), and in the sense of ‘summed’ per week, month or year (mainly for fish auctions). Duplicate data were individually checked and, if applicable, marked in the dataset and filtered-out for data analysis. All data were sorted into predefined fields (such as year, month, week, numbers, weights, length, price per kg, per fish, source, URL). For sturgeon landings, and locations of fish auctions and markets, coordinates were found in historical maps provided by the Netherlands’ Cadastre, Land Registry and Mapping Agency (Het Kadaster) via https://www.topotijdreis.nl, and verified with Google Maps https://maps.google.nl, adding an accuracy in km’s when necessary (NA, 1, 2, 5, 10, 20, 50, 100, 200 km). The final dataset spans the 14th–twentieth centuries, it includes > 5000 unique data entries on landings and sales of approximately 40,000 sturgeons for the study area and is deposited in the repository DANS-EASY under a CC BY-SA 4.0 license (Brevé et al. 2022; Table 1 for the overview).
Fisheries data analysis

For spatiotemporal analysis, total numbers of sturgeon landings and sales (i.e. individual counts, locations, weights, and prices) were summarised per century, river (section) or sea (North Sea and Wadden Sea) and mapped in QGIS 3.16. Total numbers of sturgeons were summarised in separate timelines for the Rhine’s delta, the river’s mainstem, and for the sea. Seasonal distributions were analysed for all sturgeon numbers that were traceable to the month of catch. To obtain a proxy for the species local or regional abundance or scarcity, price developments on freshwater and sea fish markets were calculated by averaging yearly and 5-yearly prices, numbers and weights. This is a valid approach for socio-economically stable periods in history (so, excluding wars or economic crises), when the price of products is strongly linked to their availability (Lenders 2017; Lenders et al. 2016). Historical prices in Dutch Guilders were converted to equivalents in euros in 2016 via the consumer price index of the Institute of the Royal Netherlands Academy of Arts and Sciences (KNAW), based on Van Zanden (2013) (available for years 1450–2016); and from Belgian Francs to Euros in 2015 via the currency converter provided online http://www.historicalstatistics.org/Currencyconverter.html (Latest update 10 January 2016, available for years 1880–1950, except 1914–1920).

Results

Spatiotemporal distributions of sturgeon landings and sales

The historical distribution of sturgeons in the four river systems appears to be confined to the estuaries, the (freshwater) delta areas and the Rhine river’s mainstem. Clearly, fewer sturgeons were reported for the tributary rivers, the High Rhine, and the Ems, Meuse, and Scheldt rivers. Most data were obtained from fisheries and fish auctions in the Rhine-Meuse delta in the Netherlands (27,714 specimens: 98% of all sturgeon capture reports from the river Rhine) (Fig. 1a). For the Rhine, the number of reported sturgeons diminished immediately upstream from this area. In total 590 (2%) sturgeons were reported from the Rhine’s mainstem in the Netherlands, Germany, France, Luxembourg, and Switzerland; of which 319 originated from the Lower, Middle, Upper and High Rhine, and 70 from the main tributaries Mosel, Main, Neckar and Aare, while all other (of the thirty-three) Rhine tributaries seldom yielded sturgeons.

From the Wadden Sea and the estuaries of the therein debouching rivers, sturgeons were only occasionally reported, yet these reports represent high numbers of fish. For example, 237 sturgeons in 1869 and 80 in 1870 were landed and sold at one seaport situated in the river Lauwers estuary; in 1896, 672 sturgeons were landed at hamlets in the north of the Netherlands. No weights or prices were mentioned. Because, in general, adult sturgeons were usually weighed, priced, and taxed, the sturgeons mentioned may have concerned smaller/younger specimens. From the river Ems, 40 sturgeons were reported, which is much lower than the total of 1704 sturgeons captured in the Ems-estuary (named: Doldard). From the small river Lauwers-estuary and its tributaries, 14 mature sturgeons were reported between June and July. Although, according to anecdotal information, large numbers of sturgeons were captured in the Rhine’s IJssel distributary and its freshwater delta in the Zuiderzee pre-1700 (Ennema 1946), only a total of 121 sturgeons were reported from this region between 1321 and 1932.
For the North Sea, most sturgeon reports were obtained from the late 19th and early twentieth centuries. The decreasing river catches and increasing marine catches resulted in a yearly marine harvest in the Netherlands that, by 1910, exceeded that from the Rhine-Meuse delta. Belgian and Dutch sea-fisheries reported a total catch of 1192 and 5402 sturgeons respectively (see also Fig. 1a). Yields of trawl fisheries were registered by the Dutch and Belgian governments in annual reports. For the Netherlands the series spans 16 years (Hoek, 1894; Redeke, 1915), for Belgium 24 years (Zeevisscherij 1912, 1936). These Belgian statistics specify propulsion type, seaport, and fishing ground for sturgeon harvests. For this period, almost 100% of sturgeons marketed in Belgium were landed by steam trawlers (not sail), sold at Belgium’s main fish market in Oostende, and caught at both nearby fishing grounds (e.g. the Belgian coast and the English Channel), as well as from distant areas (e.g. the Bay of Biscay, Portugal, and the northern North Sea).

Although there are many data gaps in the timeline (Fig. 2), the pattern for the 18th-twentieth century, and historical reports (e.g. Hoek, 1910), suggests that the sturgeon’s decline started in the late nineteenth century, around 1885. This was followed by approximately 35 years of rapid population decrease, until c. 1920 when the species effectively vanished from the river systems, followed by another 30–50 years of sporadic landings until the species total disappearance. The last sturgeon captured in the river Rhine dates from 1952, for the North Sea the year is 1974 (Fig. 1b).

**Seasonal patterns**

Seasonal analyses of summed-up catches of mature sturgeons over the years, showed a strong pattern. Catches of riverine sturgeon increased from March onwards, with a dominant peak in June, after which catches declined again until August (Fig. 3). Although there are very rare reports of sturgeon occurrences during winter months, i.e. one sighting in the Meuse in 1890 and four from the river Aare in 1895, in general, the mature spawners left the rivers between September and March. Catches ranged from 0 to 2500 specimens per month. Marine catches, that started around 1885, showed far less seasonal fluctuation, ranging from 50 to 150 per month, with a dip in the number of catches between May and September (Fig. 3). Maturity was based on the sturgeons’ size (see also the mean weight in Fig. 4b).

**Market developments**

Remarkably, the dataset unveils a substantial trade in sturgeons from the Netherlands to Belgium. As early as the fifteenth century, fisheries and fish auctions from the Rhine-Meuse delta transported salmon, Allis shad and sturgeon (salted or pickled in barrels) towards Belgian and French cities (Leuven, Gent, Antwerp, Brussels, Liège, Paris, etc.). This was mentioned by, for example, Hoek (1910) and Martens (1992), and substantiated in this study by the collection of hundreds of newspaper articles from Brussels’ ‘Poissonneries’ (fish shops), advertising sales of sturgeon ‘from Holland’ (Brevé et al. 2022). Between 1742 and 1775, different fisheries delivered their harvests to the region’s central Geertruidenberg fish auction, which sold on average 468 sturgeons per year from the Rhine-Meuse delta, while between 1895–1900 all fish auctions in the area reported a combined average of 521 sturgeon sales per year (Fig. 2a). Although exceptionally big sturgeons were landed from the sea (the largest on record had a total length of > 5 m and weighed 350 kg (Brevé...
Fig. 2  

a Total numbers of reported sturgeon landings and sales per year between 1300 and 2000 from the river Rhine (black), Rhine-Meuse delta (grey), North Sea & Wadden Sea (blue). Excluded are data for the Ems, Scheldt and Meuse rivers. The 'peak' between 1742 and 1775 (shown in this graph from 1750 onwards) originates from the region's central, collective fish auction (Geertruidenberg) for six different fisheries in the Rhine-Meuse delta (Martens, 1992). Data between 1875 and 1931 originate mainly from other central fish auctions (Hardinxveld, Kralingsche Veer) and different fisheries in this area. Dotted line: start of the North Sea steam trawl fisheries. 

b Total numbers of sturgeons per year between 1900 and 1980 from the river (black) and all delta areas (grey), North Sea and Wadden Sea for the Netherlands (NL Sea, blue) and Belgium (BE Sea, red). 

c Idem, between 1920 and 1980, in total weights. N.B. From 1920 onwards fish auctions reported sturgeons in weights. Sometimes, both the numbers and total weights are available, enabling an estimate of the average weight of individual fish. Dotted lines: beginning and end of World Wars I and II.

Fig. 3  

Summed catches over the year (in the period 1300–2000) of sturgeons from the Rhine river basin (n = 5656, grey line), and from the North Sea for The Netherlands (n = 1103, black dashed line)
Fig. 4 Price development for sturgeon at Dutch fish auctions, selling sturgeons from the river Rhine and North Sea. a 5-year moving averages in price per sturgeon between 1875 and 1920 and the total number sold per year (n/year). b Average price/kg and average weight per sturgeon between 1895 and 1914. Grey lines and symbols = sturgeons caught in the delta & river; black (dashed line) = sturgeons caught at sea. c Average price per sturgeon in relation to the total number of sold sturgeons per year (n/year) between 1895 and 1914. Original prices in Dutch guilders were converted to 2016 Euros (€). For the Rhine river the exponential relationship is statistically significant (y = 1408 · e⁻⁰·₀₀₁₃₃x, R² = 0.75, p = < .0001, n = 20), for the North Sea catches there is no statistically significant relationship (R² = 0.06, p = 0.298, n = 19).
et al. 2022), in general sturgeons from the sea were smaller than those harvested from the Rhine-Meuse delta (Fig. 4b). Figure 4b also provides some evidence of a diachronic change in sturgeon weights between 1895 and 1914. During this period, the average individual weight for sturgeons sold at fish auctions in the Rhine-Meuse delta increased from 60 to 90 kg, whilst numbers dropped by 90%. Simultaneously, sturgeons harvested from the sea decreased in average weight from 60 to 20 kg, whilst their numbers increased, after which these harvests also collapsed (Fig. 4b). Between 1895 and 1914, a sturgeon’s value in the Netherlands, taken from the Rhine-Meuse delta, almost doubled from an equivalent of circa 10 euro per kg to 18 euro per kg (Fig. 4a, b). The largest sturgeons fetched the highest prices, even up to an equivalent of > 1000 euro per fish (Fig. 4c). Prices continued to increase at a time when sturgeons became scarce between 1905 and 1914 (Fig. 4a). The data suggests that prices were influenced by World-War I when the Netherlands were neutral, while Belgium, Germany and so many other countries were at war. The war caused a decreasing demand, which led to prices dropping heavily from 1914 onwards, making clear that it was mainly an export market that drove Dutch Rhine and North Sea sturgeon fisheries.

**Discussion**

This study aims to identify the historical spatiotemporal distributions and core reproductive habitats of sturgeons from the river Rhine and neighbouring basins of the Ems-Dollard, Meuse and Scheldt rivers, as well as the principal factors behind the sturgeon’s population decline and ultimate extirpation. From the Late Middle Ages onwards, sturgeons were claimed by, and given to, the central authorities of ecclesiastical and secular powers (Blackstone 1830). Sturgeons were a welcome food source, and of general interest (put on display) to the public because of their size (Boddeke 1971). Hence sturgeons were often numerically recorded, and
taxed (Martens 1992), yielding high prices in the Netherlands, Belgium, Germany, and other North Sea countries (Hoek 1900; Zeevisscherij 1925; Mohr 1952). This strong interest suggests that sturgeons will have been fished for, wherever they occurred.

**Historical data, use and limitations**

A large dataset could be collected from historical books, handwritten notes, annual fisheries statistics and newspapers that included exceptional captures (big fish), fish shop advertisements, and frequent fisheries statistics for local or regional markets and auctions (Brevé et al. 2022). Yet there are inevitably numerous data gaps and probably many historical statistical records have been lost. Searching digital (newspaper) archives is laborious, despite the availability of ever better digital filtering techniques (Van Erp et al. 2018), and it is inevitable that some of the data is not found because some sources are still insufficiently accessible. Many libraries were damaged during the second World War (Van der Hoeven and Van Albada 1996). Moreover, fewer catch records were obtained from small-scale fisheries than for fish expedited straight to markets abroad (Antwerp, Brussels) (Hoek 1910). Catch- or market data could also have been underreported to avoid taxes (Haidvogl et al. 2014); and in general, most reports concern adult fish, not the younger sturgeons. Although most data collected originates from post 1850, the data collated from pre-1850 sources comprises > 300 verified records. These long-term data also help in avoiding bias, since they offer insight into potentially relevant ecological and economical processes that may have influenced—in this case—sturgeon population developments. Thus, the findings of this study are supported by several lines of evidence, from spatiotemporal distributions and developments in numbers, weights and prices, which substantiate the identification and seasonality of the species’ reproductive grounds; and from historical anthropogenic pressures affecting the historical sturgeon population in NW-Europe.

**Reproduction grounds and season**

One main finding is that a surprisingly high number of sturgeons were reported from the Rhine-Meuse delta in the Netherlands (c. 28,000 or 98% of the total river Rhine’s catch). This is in strong contrast to the fraction of sturgeon landings and sales (c. 600 specimens) reported from all upstream river reaches, including the tributary rivers in Germany, Luxemburg, France, and Switzerland. This geographical distribution pattern is in accordance with previous reports for the Rhine and for other river basins, like the river Elbe where most sturgeons were also reported from the river’s mouth (Kinzelbach 1987, 1997; Holčík et al. 1989). However, this distribution in data raises the question as to whether the sturgeons’ dominant occurrences in the Rhine-Meuse delta can be explained by their biology and ecology, an important part of their life cycle being spent in estuaries/delta areas (see e.g. Acolas et al. 2011), or by a strong regional fisheries effort, or by both. Answering this question is critical in identifying which river sections were key to sturgeons, because this is of paramount importance for sturgeon habitat restoration and protection.

**Spawning season and main pathways upstream**

This study shows that the sturgeons’ spawning run peaked in June, around the longest day, at the start of the summer season when the Rhine is still fed by snowmelt from the Alps (Uehlinger et al. 2009). Notably, rivers fed merely by rain have a decreased discharge
during dry summer months (e.g. rivers Meuse, Scheldt, and Ems), whilst rivers fed by rain and snowmelt (like the river Rhine) have a more continuous river discharge, even during dry summer months. The influence of snow melt is therefore discernible to the delta (Uehlinger et al. 2009) and the strongest freshwater current may have lured sturgeons towards the Rhine, instead of to the Meuse, Scheldt, and Ems. The sturgeons clearly preferred certain river systems and sections. Rare captures of mature sturgeons occurred in the tiny Lauwers river system and in the smallest of tributaries of the river Scheldt. These fish most probably strayed into these minor water courses, as these provide no suitable spawning habitat. There is also proof of occasional occurrences in the river Meuse near the Belgian cities of Visé and Liège, mostly before 1850 when the population was still at an average level, and often during and after rainfall (Brevé et al., 2022). Supposedly, these fish used the risen water levels to enter the Meuse from the Rhine-Meuse delta and explored this river that normally carries much less water than the Rhine. These findings demonstrate that mature sturgeons could occur in all parts of the four river systems, even in the capillaries, however, the largest numbers occurred in the (largest) currents and delta areas.

**Spawning grounds**

Because of the sturgeon’s abundance in the Rhine-Meuse delta, it was suggested by several authors that this particular area was used for spawning (Deelder and Huussen Jr. 1973). Yet Hoek, the first prominent Dutch fisheries biologist, declared that no spawning activity was observed and suggested that this occurred further upstream in the deep sections of the river (Hoek 1910). In 1949, Verhey writes that the entrepreneur of the ‘Nieuwe Merwede’ fisheries (salmon-seine fisheries located in the most upstream part of the Rhine-Meuse delta) had never seen a spent sturgeon. Verhey (1949) observed that sturgeons at this location were mainly captured during ebb periods in the deepest part of the delta, when the strongest and deepest currents occur. Because of this he suggested that sturgeons at this site were on their spawning run, moving further upstream. Current knowledge on sturgeons’ biological cycles and morphological insight in the area seems to corroborate these findings. Both the Rhine and Meuse rivers confluence in this freshwater delta and continuously deposit part of their silty and muddy bedload. Continuous sedimentation, creating environments of swampy creeks and islands provides no suitable spawning habitat for the European sturgeon, because this species requires deep, oxygenated, heterogenous gravel beds (Jego et al. 2009). Moreover, the influx of brackish waters in the Rhine-Meuse delta would hamper the survival of larvae and young-of-the-year sturgeons that naturally move downstream and are unable to osmo-regulate in their first year (Acolas et al. 2011). The area seems unsuitable for Atlantic sturgeon spawners as well, which prefer gravel for ease of attachment of the adhesive eggs and for the interstitial spaces providing refuge for the larvae, although they may use sandier or even siltier substrates, but not pure mud (Bain et al. 2000; Sulak et al. 2016). It thus becomes apparent that sturgeons, together with Atlantic salmon, Allis shad, and other diadromous fish aggregated ‘en masse’ within these funnel-shaped, shallow grounds (Martens 1992), but none of these species spawned in the Rhine-Meuse delta (Reekers 1916; Huijbregt 1984; Martens 1992).

As the spawning grounds were most probably further upstream, the question remains: how far upstream? Both sturgeon species can undertake long range spawning migrations. European sturgeons can even cover over 1000 river kms (refs in Holčík 1989), with their migration distance being positively correlated with river discharge (Holčík et al. 1989). Sturgeons from the Rhine were occasionally reported at Strasbourg (Upper Rhine)
(Baldner 1666), but only exceptionally further upstream at Basel and Bern in Switzerland (High Rhine). This consistent pattern was previously reported by several authors (Hartmann 1827; Brandt and Ratzeburg 1833; Leuthner 1877) and written down as early as in 1625: “Their migrations in the Rhine rarely extend beyond the lower Rhine, which is why its appearance in the middle Rhine is already rare. The sturgeon rises to Basel even more rarely and only as an exception.” (Leuthner 1877). This implies that sturgeons normally occurred between Bingen (river km 530) and the Port of Rotterdam (river km 1026), i.e. at a maximum distance of c. 500 km from the river mouth. Yet, mature sturgeons probably did not need to move far into Germany, as approximately 100 km in the upstream direction in the Lower Rhine in Nord Rhine-Westphalia (Germany) sturgeons encountered an abundance of deep, highly oxygenated, heterogenous gravel beds in strong currents, ideal spawning habitat for European sturgeons. Possibly, Atlantic sturgeons would spawn somewhat closer to the delta than European sturgeons, because of their preference for somewhat smaller sized substrates, but this cannot be substantiated from the collected dataset as no distinction could be made between the two species. This is consistent with observations that most mature sturgeons did not move far into Germany, something that was previously attested by Hoek (1910), Verhey (1949) and Kinzelbach (1987). Considering that the sturgeon’s spawning run only lasted a couple of months, whereafter they left the river empty between September and March, and considering the energy costs and duration of up- and downstream migration over 1000 kms, it seems plausible that most sturgeons didn’t move that far upstream. In comparison, most of the 27 mapped historical spawning sites in the Garonne and Dordogne rivers were located within 200–270 km from the Gironde estuary (Bismuth 2019; Jego et al. 2002). In the river Elbe, sturgeons were found to spawn up to 400 kms upstream, but the main spawning areas were not that far upstream. We cite from (Williot et al. 2011): “In the river Elbe the main spawning sites in the nineteenth century were in the lower part of the river between Brunsbuttel—only approximately 40 rkm—and Hamburg at 110 rkm (Quantz 1903).”

Nursery grounds

As nursery grounds are naturally located downstream from the spawning grounds (Acolas et al. 2011), this suggests that the freshwater Rhine-Meuse delta in the Netherlands, and the IJssel distributary in the Wadden Sea (former Zuiderzee) would have been an important habitat for young-of-the-year sturgeons. There are indeed indications of large numbers (hundreds) of sturgeons captured over a period of weeks in these areas (Ennema 1946; Brevé et al. 2022), which needs further study.

Historical anthropogenic pressures

The decline of the Rhine’s diadromous fishes is generally explained by a strong deterioration and loss of spawning and nursery habitats caused by reduced water quality and extensive river-reconstruction, as well as overfishing (de Groot 2002; van Emmerik. 2004; Nemitz 2010). In salmon, the decline could have started as early as during Early Modern Times (post 1500 AD), caused by the historical rise of water power in tributary rivers (Lenders et al. 2016). In sturgeon, the decline seems to have started at the earliest by the end of the eighteenth century (Lenders 2017), which was confirmed by this present study. This raises the question: which anthropogenic activities impacted the sturgeons most, when and where?
Fisheries in the Rhine-Meuse delta

Historical information shows the existence of a thriving fisheries community in the Rhine-Meuse delta as early as 1421, which continued for centuries, as the area is well suited to large-scale fisheries for diadromous fish (Verhey 1949; Deelder and Huussen Jr. 1973; Martens 1992). Sturgeons were targeted with driftnets and other types of strong fishing gear (Brehm 1900; Hoek 1910; Mohr 1952). Sturgeons became trapped in fykes and entangled in seine nets used in salmon and shad fisheries (Brevé et al. 2022; Brehm 1900). From c. 1870 onwards, fisheries in the Rhine-Meuse delta became industrialised, simultaneously with a reasonably well-developed fish auction system (Hoek 1910; Martens 1992), which is mirrored in the increased sales notifications from multiple markets in the Rhine-Meuse delta (aggregated in Fig. 4). This increase could partially be due to an underestimation of the true sales by under reporting in older sources, as by 1885 sturgeon yields were already in decline, which was described by Hoek (1910). When the actual decline began remains unclear, due to a substantial lack of older data. In 1908, fishers in the Rhine-Meuse delta tried to make their business more profitable by scaling up and further increasing mechanisation, to improve efficiency, which led to a short-lived increase in salmon and shad harvests, especially during World-War I. Their partnership ‘Nieuwe Merwede’ continued until 1931, after which they, and most other large seine fisheries, were forced to close their business due to a substantial decline in yields (Verhey 1949).

Upstream fisheries

In upstream river sections, sturgeons have historically most probably always been rarer than in the downstream reaches (Quantz 1903; Williot et al. 2011). Although upstream fisheries may have been unevenly distributed, it is unlikely that German sturgeon captures from the nineteenth and twentieth centuries were left completely unreported. Several indications for this exist. For instance, German fisheries in the Rhine successfully targeted salmon, and their sturgeon harvests were much lower than in the Netherlands (Bürger 1926; Böcking 1988). This finding is further supported by the fact that in 1886, a salmon treaty was signed between the German, Swiss, Luxembourg and Dutch governments (Boetticher 1886). The reason for this treaty was a general decline in salmon yields. It prohibited the use of fishing methods which would block more than half of the breadth of the watercourse, prescribed closed seasons, minimum fish size, etc. However, this treaty did not consider sturgeons. The scarcity of sturgeon captures was confirmed by a study by colleagues from the Rheinische Fischerei Verband, who stress that that salmon fisheries were abundant in the mouths of tributary rivers (Sieg, Lippe, Mosel, etc.), yet hardly any sturgeons were recorded (Nemitz 2010). Thus, all available sources point to a rather limited abundance of sturgeons in upstream fisheries, which cannot be exclusively explained by a lack of such fisheries in either the Lower, Middle, Upper or High Rhine in Germany, France, Luxembourg or Switzerland.

Fisheries at sea

As sturgeons spend most of their life at sea, and only migrate back into their spawning rivers at an advanced age, and for mature females only every 3–5 years (Bain 1997; Acoclas et al. 2011), the risk of rapidly overfishing a population at sea is greater than in the
River engineering

Considering the natural distribution of sturgeon’s reproductive areas in the lower courses of the river’s mainstem, it is unlikely that sturgeon recruitment was fully limited by the pressure of deteriorating habitats in the tributary rivers, or upstream in the river’s mainstem of the High- or Alpine Rhine in Germany, France or Switzerland. After the start of the Tulla corrections (straightening of the Rhine from 1814 onwards), undoubtedly a lot of fine-grained material was washed out in the High and Upper Rhine, possibly deposited on pebble/boulder substrate further downstream and deteriorating spawning and nursery habitat. Additionally, sand and gravel was dredged away for construction works. In the Garonne and Dordogne rivers dredging of gravel destroyed multiple historical sturgeon spawning grounds, an activity which is now banned (Williot and Castelnaud 2011). However, even at present, and although it is partially impacted by the shipping lane, there is ample spawning habitat left in the Lower Rhine in Germany (Staas 2017). Another anthropogenic stressor, the building of huge storm surge barriers in the Netherlands (in 1932 and 1970; Steenhuis 2015) affected all diadromous fish populations. Interestingly, these dams only appeared after sturgeons had already effectively vanished from the Rhine River system, which was around 1920. Moreover, at present, the river’s mainstem and its broad, deep gravel beds are still partially intact and in open connection to the North Sea over a length of approximately 850 km. Therefore, habitat deterioration and loss alone cannot fully explain the sturgeon’s extirpation from the Rhine. Loss of suitable spawning and nursery habitat in concert with intensified fisheries in the river and, especially, sea fisheries can.

Implications for sturgeon population restoration

This study provides evidence that the sturgeon’s decline in NW-Europe at the end of the nineteenth century was impacted by reproductive habitat deterioration, industrialised fisheries in the delta, and strongly increased catches by marine steam trawl fisheries. The results from this historical study suggest that the minimum requirement to make the river Rhine suitable for sustaining a viable sturgeon population, should be for reproductive
habitat restoration in the Rhine-Meuse delta in the Netherlands, and in the Lower Rhine in Germany, and not in upstream reaches or tributaries in Germany, Luxemburg, France or Switzerland. It is highly recommended to study the potential for this habitat restoration under the current conditions and anthropogenic influences, further drawing on lessons from the past.
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