The health of Aboriginal people in Canada is generally poorer than their non-Aboriginal counterparts, and diabetes mellitus is a significant contributor.1,2 Studies have shown that type 2 diabetes and its complications occur at rates two to five times higher in Canada’s Aboriginal population than in the general population.3–7 In response, diverse diabetes programs have materialized, including various community-based prevention and screening projects.8–10 The federally funded Aboriginal Diabetes Initiative was created to emphasize health promotion and diabetes prevention.11 In addition, numerous Aboriginal communities have established their own diabetes and health programs.12

Accurate diabetes surveillance data are essential for governments and health care organizations to plan health care delivery and translate knowledge into policy and funding decisions. However, research into the longitudinal trends of diabetes in Aboriginal populations is scarce. For the most part, data have come from small, community-based studies and self-reported surveys. Population-based studies of primary data are few and have been conducted only for limited periods. Even less is known about outcomes, mortality in particular, among Aboriginal individuals with diabetes.

The use of administrative data is becoming more common for tracking diabetes in Canada.13 The National Diabetes Surveillance System uses administrative health data to document the burden of the disease, but it has little information on Aboriginal people. Dyck and colleagues recently used the methodology of the National Diabetes Surveillance System to examine the incidence and prevalence of diabetes among Aboriginal people in the province of Saskatchewan.14

Background: Little is known about longitudinal trends in diabetes mellitus among Aboriginal people in Canada. We compared the incidence and prevalence of diabetes, and its impact on mortality, among status Aboriginal adults and adults in the general population between 1995 and 2007.

Methods: We examined de-identified data from Alberta Health and Wellness administrative databases for status Aboriginal people (First Nations and Inuit people with treaty status) and members of the general public aged 20 years and older who received a diagnosis of diabetes mellitus from Apr. 1, 1995, to Mar. 31, 2007. We calculated the incidence and prevalence of diabetes and mortality rate ratios by sex and ethnicity in 2007. We examined the average relative changes per year for longitudinal trends.

Results: The average relative change per year in the prevalence of diabetes showed a smaller increase over time in the Aboriginal population than in the general population (2.39 v. 4.09, p < 0.001). A similar finding was observed for the incidence of diabetes. In the Aboriginal population, we found that the increase in the average relative change per year was greater among men than among women (3.13 v. 1.88 for prevalence, p < 0.001; 2.60 v. 0.02 for incidence, p = 0.001). Mortality among people with diabetes decreased over time to a similar extent in both populations. Among people without diabetes, mortality decreased in the general population but was unchanged in the Aboriginal population (−1.92 v. 0.11, p = 0.04). Overall, mortality was higher in the Aboriginal population than in the general population regardless of diabetes status.

Interpretation: The increases in the incidence and prevalence of diabetes over the study period appeared to be slower in the status Aboriginal population than in the general population in Alberta, although the overall rates were higher in the Aboriginal population. Mortality decreased among people with diabetes in both populations but was higher overall in the Aboriginal population regardless of diabetes status.
similar analyses were conducted in Manitoba and Ontario. As part of the Alberta Diabetes Surveillance System, we conducted this study to compare the incidence and prevalence of diabetes among people 20 years and older in the status Aboriginal population (First Nations and Inuit people with treaty status) and the general population in the province of Alberta between 1995 and 2007. We also compared trends in mortality in the two populations among people with and without diabetes.

**Methods**

**Study population**

We obtained de-identified data from Alberta Health and Wellness administrative databases (discharge abstract database, physician claims, ambulatory care classification system and vital statistics) for people living in the province of Alberta who were 20 years of age and older. Data on people less than 20 years of age will be reported elsewhere.

We identified people with a diagnosis of diabetes by applying the Alberta Diabetes Surveillance System algorithm, which requires that an individual with diagnosed diabetes have either two physician visits within two years or one hospital admission because of diabetes (ICD-9 [International Classification of Diseases, ninth revision], code 250 or ICD-10 codes E10–E14). This case definition is identical to that of the National Diabetes Surveillance System except that the national algorithm excludes pregnant women, who are assumed to have gestational diabetes. We included pregnant women in our study because of their elevated risk of subsequent type 2 diabetes. In addition, the Alberta fee-for-service data include up to three diagnostic codes, and all three are used for case identification. The methodology of the national surveillance system for identifying people with diabetes has been validated in non-Aboriginal populations and in an Aboriginal community in Alberta.

Status Aboriginal people were identified from the Alberta Health Care Insurance Plan registry and were defined as any resident in the province who was registered under the Indian Act of Canada.

### Table 1: Prevalence and incidence of diabetes mellitus and mortality among people aged 20 years or more in Alberta in 2007, by ethnicity and sex

| Variable               | Status Aboriginal* | General population* | Rate ratio (95% CI)† |
|------------------------|--------------------|---------------------|----------------------|
|                        | n = 72 725        | n = 2 433 695       |                      |
| Prevalence, no. (%)    |                    |                     |                      |
| All                    | 7 055 (13.5)       | 154 213 (6.0)       | 2.25 (2.23–2.27)     |
| Females                | 4 081 (15.4)       | 74 271 (5.8)        | 2.66 (2.63–2.68)     |
| Males                  | 2 974 (11.5)       | 79 942 (6.2)        | 1.85 (1.84–1.87)     |
| Rate ratio (95% CI)‡   | 1.34 (1.31–1.37)   | 0.94 (0.93–0.94)    |
| Incidence, no. (rate per 1000) |            |                     |                      |
| All                    | 547 (11.1)         | 14 833 (6.5)        | 1.72 (1.69–1.75)     |
| Females                | 295 (11.9)         | 6 572 (5.7)         | 2.10 (2.05–2.15)     |
| Males                  | 252 (10.3)         | 8 261 (7.3)         | 1.41 (1.38–1.44)     |
| Rate ratio (95% CI)‡   | 1.16 (1.06–1.26)   | 0.78 (0.77–0.79)    |
| Mortality, no. (rate per 1000) |            |                     |                      |
| With diabetes          |                    |                     |                      |
| All                    | 146 (17.2)         | 4 052 (10.8)        | 1.59 (1.54–1.64)     |
| Females                | 78 (17.9)          | 1 805 (10.6)        | 1.69 (1.64–1.74)     |
| Males                  | 68 (16.5)          | 2 247 (11.0)        | 1.50 (1.44–1.56)     |
| Rate ratio (95% CI)‡   | 1.08 (0.90–1.26)   | 0.96 (0.93–0.99)    |
| Without diabetes       |                    |                     |                      |
| All                    | 300 (14.3)         | 14 482 (6.5)        | 2.21 (2.18–2.25)     |
| Females                | 185 (12.0)         | 7 086 (6.2)         | 1.93 (1.89–1.98)     |
| Males                  | 315 (16.6)         | 7 396 (6.7)         | 2.47 (2.42–2.53)     |
| Rate ratio (95% CI)‡   | 0.72 (0.66–0.78)   | 0.92 (0.90–0.93)    |

Note: CI = confidence interval.

* Rates were standardized by age and by age and sex to the 2001 Alberta Census population in five-year bands.
† Rate ratio of Aboriginal to general population.
‡ Rate ratio of females to males.
ada and entitled to treaty status with the federal government during the study period. An individual with a status Aboriginal identifier (First Nations or Inuit) was classified as “status Aboriginal.” We included individuals living on or off reserve. Nonregistered Aboriginal people, including Métis individuals, were included in the general population comparison group. Although the Métis constitute a sizeable group of perhaps 2%–3% of the Alberta population, these individuals are self-identifying and can have varied genetic and cultural Aboriginal connections. Nonregistered First Nations individuals are smaller in number.

**Outcome measures**

We calculated the prevalence and incidence of diabetes, as well as mortality among people with and without diabetes, among status Aboriginal adults and adults in the general population from Apr. 1, 1995, to Mar. 31, 2007. When calculating the prevalence of diabetes, we determined the proportion of status Aboriginal people who had diabetes and compared it with the proportion of the general population with diabetes at the same point. The first year in which an individual met the criteria for diabetes (with no diabetes claims in the preceding two years) was considered an incident year; the person was considered to have prevalent diabetes in subsequent years.

**Statistical analysis**

Prevalence, incidence and mortality data were age- and sex-standardized to the 2001 Alberta population (from Canadian census data) by means of the direct method. Age- and sex-standardized rate ratios and 95% confidence intervals (CIs) were calculated for the two study populations in 2007.

We computed the average annual per cent change (hereafter referred to as the average relative change per year) in prevalence, incidence and mortality from 1995 to 2007 for crude and standardized values using the Joinpoint Regression Program (version 3.4.2; Statistical Research and Applications Branch, US National Cancer Institute, Rockville, Md.). The average relative change per year provides a summary measure of the trend over a prespecified fixed interval. An average relative change per year with a $p$ value of less than 0.05 was considered to be a statistically significant change over time. Tests of parallelism were then performed to determine whether the trend over time for the status Aboriginal and general populations differed. If the $p$ value was less than 0.05 for the test of parallelism, the trend over time was considered to be statistically significantly different between groups. Age-adjusted analyses were also performed according to sex.

**Results**

As of 2007 and according to Alberta Health and Wellness administrative databases, 2 506 420 adults were living in Alberta, 72 725 of whom were status Aboriginal individuals. Also in 2007, there were 161 268 people with prevalent diabetes, 7055 of whom were status Aboriginal individuals. Throughout the study period, the prevalence and incidence of diabetes were higher in the status Aboriginal population than in the general population. Mortality was also higher in the status Aboriginal population than in the general population, both among people with diabetes and among those without diabetes. Table 1 shows the prevalence, incidence and mortality data for the most recent year of the study.

| Table 2: Average relative change per year in the prevalence and incidence of diabetes mellitus and in mortality among people aged 20 years or more in Alberta, 1995–2007* |
|---------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Variable                        | Prevalence      | Incidence       | Mortality       |
|                                 | Status Aboriginal| General population| Status Aboriginal| General population| Status Aboriginal| General population| Status Aboriginal| General population| Status Aboriginal| General population| Status Aboriginal| General population| Status Aboriginal| General population|
|                                 | Average relative change per year (95% CI), % | $p$ value for difference† | Average relative change per year (95% CI), % | $p$ value for difference† | Average relative change per year (95% CI), % | $p$ value for difference† |
| **Prevalence**                  |                 |                 |                 |
| All                             | 2.39 (2.32 to 2.46) | 4.09 (3.94 to 4.22) | < 0.001 |
| Females                        | 1.88 (1.77 to 1.99) | 3.82 (3.68 to 3.97) | < 0.001 |
| Males                          | 3.13 (3.00 to 3.27) | 4.36 (4.20 to 4.51) | 0.005 |
| **Incidence**                  |                 |                 |                 |
| All                             | 1.21 (0.25 to 2.17) | 4.55 (3.68 to 5.42) | 0.53 |
| Females                        | 0.02 (–1.31 to 1.36) | 4.47 (3.73 to 5.23) | 0.02 |
| Males                          | 2.60 (1.02 to 4.18) | 4.60 (3.54 to 5.68) | 0.22 |
| **Mortality**                  |                 |                 |                 |
| *With diabetes*                |                 |                 |                 |
| All                             | –2.12 (–5.00 to –0.84) | –2.80 (–3.25 to –2.35) | 0.62 |
| Females                        | –1.97 (–5.06 to 1.22) | –2.46 (–2.86 to –2.06) | 0.73 |
| Males                          | –2.52 (–6.52 to 1.66) | –3.15 (–4.07 to –2.27) | 0.08 |
| **Without diabetes**           |                 |                 |                 |
| All                             | 0.11 (–0.63 to 0.86) | –1.92 (–2.10 to –1.74) | 0.04 |
| Females                        | –0.16 (–1.01 to 0.70) | –1.55 (–1.79 to –1.30) | 0.76 |
| Males                          | 0.44 (–0.97 to 1.87) | –2.25 (–2.48 to –2.02) | 0.003 |

Note: CI = confidence interval.

*Rates were standardized by age and by age and sex to the 2001 Alberta Census population in five-year bands.
†Between males and females.
‡Between status Aboriginal population and general population.
Between 1995 and 2007, increases in the prevalence and incidence of diabetes were observed in both study groups (Table 2, Figures 1 and 2). The rise in age- and sex-adjusted prevalence in the status Aboriginal population was less than that in the general population (Table 2). Similarly, the incidence of diabetes increased over time in the status Aboriginal population, but to a lesser extent compared with the increase in the general population (Table 2). Increases in incidence among men did not differ significantly between the two populations (Table 2). Among women, the incidence increased at a much lower rate in the status Aboriginal population than in the general population (Table 2). In the status Aboriginal population, both the incidence and prevalence of diabetes increased more among men than among women (Table 2). Men in the general population also experienced greater increases in prevalence than women did, but trends in the incidence of diabetes were similar between the sexes (Table 2).

Mortality among individuals with diabetes decreased over time in both populations, with no significant differences between the groups (Table 2, Figure 2). Among individuals without diabetes, mortality was unchanged in the status Aboriginal population and decreased in the general population (Table 2). The annual relative change per year in mortality showed a greater decrease among men in the general population without diabetes than that among their female counterparts (Table 2).

**Interpretation**

Our results describe several trends in diabetes among status Aboriginal adults in the province of Alberta. Increases in the prevalence and incidence of diabetes from 1995 to 2007 were less pronounced in the Aboriginal population than in the general population. Aboriginal men experienced an accelerated rise in prevalence and incidence compared with their female counterparts; thus, the sex-specific gap in diabetes among Aboriginal adults diminished over the study period. Mortality was higher in the Aboriginal population than in the general population re-

---

**Figure 1:** Prevalence and incidence of diabetes mellitus among people aged 20 years or more in Alberta, from Apr. 1, 1995, to Mar. 31, 2007, by ethnicity and sex. Rates were standardized by age and by age and sex to the 2001 Alberta Census population in five-year bands.
Regardless of diabetes status. A growing divergence in mortality between the Aboriginal population and the general population among individuals without diabetes was observed.

The magnitude of the differences in the incidence and prevalence of diabetes between the status Aboriginal adults and adults in the general population in Alberta appears to be less than in other provinces where these rates have been described, namely Saskatchewan, Manitoba and Ontario. For example, status Aboriginal–to–general population rate ratios of the prevalence of diabetes in Saskatchewan were 3.7 among women and 2.6 among men in 2005, as compared with 2.7 among women and 1.9 among men in 2007 in our study. Our data also challenge previous epidemiologic reports that suggested that prevalence rates among Aboriginal people will continue to rise unabated and that rates among Aboriginal women markedly outweigh those among men.

Provincial differences cannot be explained by our study, but we speculate that they may be related to socioeconomic differences, or they may be related to combined provincial and community-based programs that increase awareness of diabetes (e.g., the provincial Alberta Diabetes Strategy), to federally funded activities (e.g., the Aboriginal Diabetes Initiative) or to changes in clinical practice with increased emphasis on screening.

The decreases in mortality observed among status Aboriginal adults with diabetes over the study period are consistent with findings from a recent study of ours showing improved diabetes-related health among status Aboriginal adults in Alberta. The growing divergence in mortality observed between status Aboriginals and the general population without diabetes is sadly in contrast to national trends from 1980 to 2001, which showed an improvement in life expectancy among registered Indians, from 60.9 to 70.4 years among men and from 68.0 to 75.5 years among women.

Limitations
The prevalence and incidence rates of diabetes derived from the administrative health data may be underestimated in the Aboriginal population owing to the lack of data on undiagnosed diabetes. Undiagnosed diabetes is common in Aboriginal communities, possibly because of discomfort with Western medical environments or a lack of physicians in remote communities. In contrast, our inclusion of pregnant women with possible gestational diabetes in the definition criteria for diabetes may have inflated the rates in the status Aboriginal population, because gestational diabetes has been shown to be more common among Aboriginal women than among non-Aboriginal women. We were unable to distinguish between type 1 and type 2 diabetes in the administrative data. Our results cannot be generalized to the Métis and nonregistered Aboriginal people in Alberta, who could not be identified and were subsequently included in the general population group. Lastly, modifications to the Indian Act in 1985 through Bill C-31 may be leading to increases in the status Aboriginal population, and equally some descendants of status Aboriginal people are losing their status through the “three generations” rule. Taken together, caution is needed when interpreting the observed epidemiologic results among status Aboriginals.

Conclusion
Increases in the incidence and prevalence of diabetes over the study period appeared to be slower in the status Aboriginal population than in the general population in Alberta, although the overall rates were higher in the Aboriginal population. Mortality decreased among people with
diabetes in both populations but was higher overall in the Aboriginal population regardless of diabetes status. Reasons for the prevalence rates of diabetes among status Aboriginal adults being lower in Alberta than in other provinces need to be explored. Also, the lack of improvement in mortality over the 12-year study period among status Aboriginal adults without diabetes necessitates further investigation.
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