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ABSTRACT

Covid-19 disease caused by SARS-CoV-2 is still being transmitted in developed and developing countries irrespective of healthcare setups. India with 1.3 billion people in the world is severely affected by Covid-19 with 11.3 million cases and 157 000 deaths so far. We have assessed the mismatches in WHO recommended rRT-PCR assays primer and probe binding regions against SARS-CoV-2 Indian genome sequences through in-silico bioinformatics analysis approach. Primers and probe sequences belonging to CN-CDC-ORF1b from China and HKU-ORF1b from Hong Kong targeting ORF1ab gene while NIH-TH-N from Thailand, HKU-N from Hong Kong and US-CDCN-2 from USA targeting N genes displayed accurate matches (>98.3%) with the 2019 novel corona virus sequences from India. On the other hand, none of the genomic sequences displayed exact match with the primer/probe sequences belonging to Charité-ORF1ab from Germany targeting ORF1ab gene. We think it will be worthwhile to release this information to the clinical and medical communities working in Indian Covid-19 frontline taskforce to tackle the recently emerging Covid-19 outbreaks as of March-2021.
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1. Introduction

Covid-19 pandemic disease is caused by novel Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome corona virus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) worldwide. The virus has transmitted to both developed and developing countries irrespective of the healthcare setups [1]. Diagnosis of Covid-19 disease is vital to detect the infected individuals in community regarding quarantine and treatment, where real-time Reverse Transcription Polymerase Chain Reaction (rRT-PCR) is employed to detect the presence of viral pathogen in swab samples collected from Covid-19 suspected patients [2]. The virus has a strong transmission potential and caused Covid-19 outbreak in several countries throughout the world. Prompt and early detection of SARS-CoV-2 through rRT-PCR is essential for the disease control strategies planned by national healthcare agencies and Government programmes. To date, there are several rRT-PCR protocols developed by different nations and approved by WHO. SARS-CoV-2 is a RNA virus having the potential to evolve rapidly through high mutation rates [3]. Since its first outbreak in December 2019 in Wuhan province of China it rapidly circulated throughout the world with accumulation of nucleotide polymorphisms in the genomes of SARS-CoV-2 strains [4–6].

Mismatches in the primer and probe regions of SARS-CoV-2 strains may lead to their diminished ability binding to corresponding template DNA molecules during the initial steps of PCR reactions. Mutations in the primer and probe regions have been shown to affect the sensitivity of PCR reactions in case of other viral infections such as human immunodeficiency virus (HIV), Hepatitis B virus (HBV), H1N1 virus and dengue [7–13].

India being the second most populous country in the world severely affected by Covid-19 and as of 10-March-2021, 11 244 786 cases and 157 930 deaths has been reported in India since its first Covid-19 case reported on 30-January-2020 [14]. With 1.3 billion people living in diverse states of different culture and health care inequalities, early detection of SARS-CoV-2 infection with robust diagnostic tests are in great demand to control the disease transmission. Previous studies...
reported the mismatches in primer probe regions of Covid-19 PCR primers used the sequences representing SARS-CoV-2 strains from different countries, nevertheless there are no studies focusing on the SARS-CoV-2 genomes from Indian Sub-Continent. In this study, we evaluated mismatches in PCR primers against SARS-CoV-2 genomes from India through in-silico bioinformatics analysis approach [15,16].

2. Materials and methods

Briefly, a total of 3765 whole genome sequences of SARS-CoV-2 strains isolated from India were retrieved in FASTA format from GISAID (Global Initiative on Sharing All Influenza Data) database submitted as on January 30, 2021 [Supplementary Table 1] [17]. Further search criteria employed for collecting the sequences from the database is as follows: (i) “hCoV-19” was selected under virus name (ii) “Human” was selected under host name (iii) location was selected “Asia/India” (iv) complete genomes alone were included (>29000 bp length) and (v) high coverage genomes alone were considered (low coverage entries i.e. >5% Ns were excluded). The collected individual FASTA sequences were then merged as a single FASTA file along with the inclusion of the complete genome of Wuhan-Hu-1 as reference genome in 29903 bp length (NCBI Reference Sequence: NC_045512.2). Primers and probe sequences were collected and shortlisted from the recommended protocols in the literature and from WHO website (Table 1) [18]. A detailed protocol is presented as in supplementary file 1.

A large-scale multiple sequence alignment (LS-MSA) was carried out for the given FASTA files using MAFFT (Multiple Alignment using Fast Fourier Transform) v7 tool available online (https://mafft.cbrc.jp/alignment/server/) [25]. MSA was carried out with the following options, (i) “Allow unusual symbols”, (ii) “same as input” for nucleotide sequence direction, (iii) “Aligned” output order and (iv) “Auto” strategy. The aligned sequences were then downloaded and visualized using AliView program [26]. Sequences representing from forward primer initial nucleotide to reverse primer end nucleotide regions were trimmed from rest of the whole genome alignments and saved separately as FASTA files. Variability within primer-probe sequences were estimated as described elsewhere [16]. Briefly, positional nucleotide numerical summary (PNNS) and entropy was calculated using PNNS (http://entropy.szu.cz:8080/EntropyCalcWeb/pnns) and entropy calculator (http://entropy.szu.cz:8080/EntropyCalcWeb/) available in Alignment Explorer respectively [27]. Further, sequence stratification of primer-probe alignments was carried out using SequenceTracer module in Alignment Explorer with the segregation of sequences into discrete groups of identical sequence variants along with their frequency for each primer/probe. During the execution of the program, sequences with stretches of NNNs and ambiguous sequences in the alignments were excluded from the study. For the removal of extremely low prevalent variants and sequencing errors in the data, a threshold of at least 0.5% incidence of variation was considered as meaningful and those sequences alone were further considered for analysis [16]. Finally, the melting temperature (Tm) of the original primer/probe sequence against the variant sequences was calculated after mapping the whole genome sequences against the same. Since the length of primers included in this analysis is > 13 nucleotides, the following formula was applied for calculating the melting temperature Tm = 64.9 + 41*(yG + zC – 16.4)/(wA + xT + yG + zC) where w, x, y, and z are the number of A, T, G, and C nucleotides, respectively [28].

3. Results

In this study, whole-genome sequences of SARS-CoV-2 strains isolated in India were obtained from GISAID database and their sequence similarity against various primers/probe employed in detection assays recommended by WHO were investigated (Supplementary Table 1). Variations in sequences were identified after performing multiple sequence alignment with MAFFT and visualized using Entropy Calculator and Sequence Tracer. The obtained Results were segregated into distinct groups based on the nucleotide variations. As described earlier [16], to avoid considering mismatches raised due to sequencing errors, a threshold value of ≥0.5% was set, where only sequences showing variation frequency above this limit were considered further. Non-informative sequences were segregated separately as outgroups. Outgroup 1 included sequences having “n” in them while incomplete/short sequences were categorized as ‘Outgroup1/2’. Targets with missing or no sequences were excluded from the data processing. The remaining sequences alone called as ‘informative sequences’ were considered for further analysis. These informative sequences were divided into two groups namely ‘perfect matches’ and ‘mismatches’.

Among the assays targeted against N region of SARS-CoV-2 genome, NIH-TH-N from Thailand displayed highest accuracy with 98.35% of genome sequence matching exactly with corresponding primers and probe (Table 1). This was followed by both US-CDC-N-2 from USA and HKU-N from Hong Kong with 98.3% sequences displaying exact matches. Primers and probe sequences employed in NID-JP-N assay from Japan displayed exact matches with 3691 (98.89%) SARS-CoV-2 whole genome sequences. The assay US-CDC-N-1 from USA had exact match with 3449 (91.6%) sequences. It had two significant single nucleotide mutations at 28312th position showing mismatch (C28312T) with 194 (5.15%) sequences at probe binding region and 28299th position showing mismatch (C28299A) with 20 (0.53%) sequences in the forward primer binding region. It also showed two insignificant consecutive nucleotide change CA to TT (C28315T and A28316T) of 4 (0.1%) sequences at the probe binding region. Among the assays targeting N gene, CN-CDC-N were found to be least specific. About 2043 (54.26%) sequences displayed exact matches with sequences and probe involved in CN-CDC-N assay while 1471 sequences (39.07%) displayed significant trinucleotide variation (GGG→AAC) in the forward primer region starting at 28881th position and significant single nucleotide mismatch with 45 (1.19%) sequences at forward primer region (C28887T) (Supplementary Fig. 1).

Other assays targeting the ORF1ab and E genes were also analyzed for their exact match accuracies between genomic sequences and primer/probe region. Among the assays targeting ORF1ab genes, HKU-ORF1b assay primers and probe sequences displayed exact matches with 3707 (98.46%) sequences. It is to be noted that the primers and probe used in HKU-ORF1b assay from Hong Kong were found to have degenerative nucleotides (Y=C/T, W=A/T and R=A/G). Further, primers and probe employed in CN-CDC-ORF1b assay had exact matches with 3710 (98.53%) SARS-CoV-2 genome sequences (Table 1). None of the sequences exhibited exact match with the primers and probe involved in Chariot-ORF1b assay from Germany. Among 3620 (96.15%) sequences, a significant single nucleotide mutation (C/G15516T) was detected. Both the forward and reverse primers of Chariot-ORF1b assay contained degenerative bases (R = A/G in forward primer, S = G/C, Y = C/T in reverse primer) like HKU-ORF1b assay. These bases are included to increase the binding specificity of primers and probes. A single assay targeting the E region, Charit-E, was also evaluated for the alignment accuracy between primer/probe and genomic DNA sequences. About 3667 (97.39%) of sequences exhibited exact matches with SARS-CoV-2 whole genome sequences while 139 (3.691%) of sequences were omitted from analysis as ‘outgroups’ (Supplementary Fig. 1). It is generally recommended that the range of hybridization temperature of the primer/probe sets should be less than 10 °C. In our study we found the primer/probe sets namely, US-CDC-N1/USA, US-CDC-N2/USA, US-CDC-ORF1ab/China and Charité-ORF1b/Germany were deviating from the prescribed threshold hybridization temperature. Mutations in the primer/probe binding regions in US-CDC-N1/USA and Charité-ORF1b/Germany assays further increased the hybridization temperature range (Table 1).

Overall, the primers and probe sequences belonging to CN-CDC-ORF1ab from China and HKU-ORF1b from Hong Kong targeting ORF1ab gene while NIH-TH-N from Thailand, HKU-N from Hong Kong
Table 1
Mismatch in the primer and probe sequences of different RT-qPCR assays recommended by WHO used to detect Covid-19.

| Gene Target | Assay Name/Country | F/P/R Sequence (5' - 3') | Position | sequences exhibiting exact match | sequences with variance greater than 0.5% | sequences with variance less than 0.5% | Total number of non-informative sequence | Tm Ref/Modified | Ref |
|-------------|--------------------|--------------------------|----------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|------------------------------------------|----------------|-----|
| N           | US-CDC-N-1/USA     | F: GACCCCAAAATCAGCGAAAT  | 28287-→ 28306 | 3449  91.6 | 214  5.68 | 19  0.5 | 24  0.63 | 49.7/47.7 | [19] |
|             |                    | P: ACCCCGATTACCTGGTGAC  | 28 309-→ 28322 | 2143  91.4 | 56  2.62 | 27  1.13 | 24  0.66 | 50.4/48.1 | [19] |
|             |                    | R: TCTGTTACTGGGCCATGCT  | 28358-→ 28325 | 2149  91.6 | 56  2.62 | 27  1.13 | 24  0.66 | 49.7/47.7 | [19] |
|             | US-CDC-N-2/USA     | F: TACAAAACATTGGCCGAA   | 29164-→ 29183 | 3701  98.3 | 0  0 | 47  1.24 | 17  0.5 | 49.7/NA | [19] |
|             |                    | P: ACACATTGGGCCCCAGGTT  | 29188-→ 29210 | 3701  98.3 | 0  0 | 47  1.24 | 17  0.5 | 49.7/NA | [19] |
|             |                    | R: GGGGAGGATTGGCAAGAA   | 29230-→ 29213 | 3701  98.3 | 0  0 | 47  1.24 | 17  0.5 | 49.7/NA | [19] |
|             | CN-CDC-N/China     | F: GGGAATGTCCTGCTGACAT  | 28881-→ 28902 | 2043  54.2 | 40  1.26 | 92  2.44 | 114  3.02 | 54.8/51.1 | [20] |
|             |                    | P: TGGGTGCTTGTCAGATT    | 28934-→ 28953 | 2043  54.2 | 40  1.26 | 92  2.44 | 114  3.02 | 54.8/51.1 | [20] |
|             |                    | R: TGGACATTGGCTCAGCTG   | 28979-→ 28958 | 2043  54.2 | 40  1.26 | 92  2.44 | 114  3.02 | 54.8/51.1 | [20] |
|             | NIH-TH-N/Thailand  | F: AGTGATGGCACTGCCATAG  | 28320-→ 28339 | 3703  98.3 | 0  0 | 62  1.64 | 0  0 | 53.8/NA | [21] |
|             |                    | P: ACAACTGGCAGTAACCA    | 28376-→ 28358 | 3703  98.3 | 0  0 | 62  1.64 | 0  0 | 53.8/NA | [21] |
|             |                    | R: GCGCGACATTCCGAAGAA   | 28320-→ 28339 | 3703  98.3 | 0  0 | 62  1.64 | 0  0 | 53.8/NA | [21] |
|             | NiID-JP-N/Japan    | F: AAATTTTGGGGGACCAAGAC| 29125-→ 29144 | 3691  98.0 | 0  0 | 49  1.30 | 25  0.66 | 49.7/NA | [22] |
|             |                    | P: GACACCTTTTCTTCCTCATT | 29188-→ 29210 | 3691  98.0 | 0  0 | 49  1.30 | 25  0.66 | 49.7/NA | [22] |
|             |                    | R: TGGCACCTGTGTAGGTCAAC | 29230-→ 29213 | 3691  98.0 | 0  0 | 49  1.30 | 25  0.66 | 49.7/NA | [22] |
|             | HKU-N/Hong Kong    | F: AACCGGCTTCTCAAGATCAA | 29145-→ 29166 | 3701  98.3 | 0  0 | 43  1.14 | 21  0.55 | 47.4/NA | [23] |
|             |                    | P: GAAATTTTGGGGACCAAGAC| 29177-→ 29196 | 3701  98.3 | 0  0 | 43  1.14 | 21  0.55 | 47.4/NA | [23] |
|             |                    | R: TGGCACCTGTGTAGGTCAAC | 29230-→ 29213 | 3701  98.3 | 0  0 | 43  1.14 | 21  0.55 | 47.4/NA | [23] |
|             | HKU-ORF1b/Hong Kong| F: TGGGGYTTTACRGGTAACCT | 18778-→ 18797 | 3707  98.4 | 0  0 | 16  0.42 | 42  1.11 | 49.7-53.8/NA | [23] |
|             |                    | P: TGGGGYTTTACRGGTAACCT | 18778-→ 18797 | 3707  98.4 | 0  0 | 16  0.42 | 42  1.11 | 49.7-53.8/NA | [23] |
|             |                    | R: AACACGCTAGAGCTCATCAA | 18909-→ 18889 | 3707  98.4 | 0  0 | 16  0.42 | 42  1.11 | 49.7-53.8/NA | [23] |

Table represents the Forward primer (F), Reverse primer (R) and Probe (P) sequences, their corresponding position in relation to Wuhan SARS-CoV-2 reference Genome, followed by the number of sequences exhibiting exact match. Sequences with variance of at least 0.5% might decrease the efficiency of primers and probes binding to the corresponding template DNA sequences. About 324 sequences submitted between November 25 2020 to November 30 2020 displayed misalignment with the reverse primer and therefore they have been omitted from the study. * - Range of melting temperature is provided in case of degenerate nucleotide.
and US-CDC-N-2 from USA targeting N genes displayed accurate matches (>98.3%) with the 2019 novel corona virus sequences from India. On the other hand, US-CDC-N-1/USA and CN-CDC-N/China primers and probes targeting N gene of SARS-CoV-2 Indian genome sequences displayed only 91.6% and 54.26% respectively. Interestingly, none of the genomic sequences displayed exact match with the primer/probe sequences belonging to Charité-ORF1b from Germany targeting ORF1ab gene.

4. Discussion

Reliability in detection of diagnostically important viruses has been a major concern prevailing for decades in the field of virology. Specific binding of primers and the probes to the gene targets must be achieved to obtain the PCR gene products. Single or multiple nucleotide changes in primer and/or probe binding sites inside the specific gene of interest would result in poor specificity of the primers and/or probes for the test being conducted. This study was conducted to re-evaluate the specificity of primers and probes for reported Indian sequences of 2019 novel Corona virus (SARS-CoV-2). Although more than 25 primer-probe sets are available in the literature, out of them only seven assays showed significant variations at the defined threshold [16]. Hence, specificity was evaluated only for the primers and probes described in different CDC (Center for Disease Control and Prevention) protocols published in the WHO website [18]. Though similar studies have been carried out previously, a data bias has been observed with respect to either geographical locations or fewer samples. In general, most whole genome SARS-CoV-2 sequences that are deposited in the databases are from locations that have an easy access to genome sequencing facilities or from the place of outbreak. Similarly, another study reported the sequencing and depositing the first set of whole genome sequences of SARS-CoV-2 from India, after the isolation and culturing of viral particles from two positive cases with a travel history to Wuhan, China [29]. Since then more than 1000 sequences from India have been deposited to GISAID. Given the fact that corona viruses undergo mutation at moderate rate compared to other RNA viruses, a timely reassessment of the primer/probe sequences employed in the detection of SARS-CoV-2 becomes necessary. The work design and tools employed in this work requires minimal insilico infrastructure and bioinformatics skills which except for sequence alignment skills. The same strategy has been successfully applied in the assessment of primer/probes involved in the detection of Influenza A and SARS-CoV-2 viral genomes.

Through stringent data selection parameters and assigning a threshold value of 0.5% as significant nucleotide variation detection parameter, none of the assays displayed exact accuracy with the SARS-CoV-2 sequences obtained from India. Major of the Results obtained were in contrast with the results obtained from studies involving genomic sequences from other countries. A study carried out by Khan and Cheung (2020) demonstrated that primer/probe sequences belonging to US-CDC-N2, NIH-TH-N, NIH-JP-N, HKU-N, CN-CDC-ORF1ab, HKU-ORF1b and Charité-E displayed 100% accuracy with around 17000 SARS-CoV-2 whole genome sequences collected around the world [16]. Another similar study carried out by Vogels et al., 2020, indicated that the primer/probe sequences belonging to US-CDC-N1, US-CDC-N2 and Charité-E displayed 100% accuracy with around 992 whole genome sequences deposited in GISAID [30]. Previous studies on the genome wide analysis of SARS-CoV-2 strains at a global scale indicated that the single nucleotide transitions act as the major mutational type and on that basis the strains have been classified into three major clades. Further, amino acid changes were also observed in the proteomes of SARS-CoV-2 at a varying rate across six continents. Based on the rates of observed mutations per sample analysis, it has been shown that genomic sequences from India possess relative higher value at 8.40 against Germany (6.09) and Italy (5.92) [31]. Recently, a detailed phylogenetic analysis of SARS-CoV-2 genome sequences Indian origin carried out by Banu et al., 2020 indicated the presence of a distinct clade unique to India, named as clade I/A3i [32]. This cluster is characterised by a core set of 4 genetic variants and at a nucleotide substitution rate of $1.1 \times 10^{-7}$ variants per site per year. The variations in the clade I/A3i were predominantly observed in the E and N genes compared to S gene (prevalent in the A2a cluster). All these observations validate the result that was obtained in this study. The SARS-CoV-2 sequences obtained from India represent a geographically unique cluster with a differential mutation patterns occurring across the genome compared to other clades across the globe. Therefore, the mutations occurring in the target regions where primer/probe sequences from the assays would bind eventually hamper the successful amplification of the genes during RT-qPCR resulting in possible false-negatives.

Accuracy of some of the assays observed in this study is in accordance with the previously published Results. For instance, CN-CDC-N assay from China targeting N gene displayed an accuracy of 54.26% which was comparable with 81.2% and 87.3% obtained by Khan & Cheung (2020) and Vogels et al. (2020) respectively [16,30]. Similarly, none of the genomic sequences displayed exact match with the primer/probe sequences belonging to Charité-ORF1b from Germany targeting ORF1ab gene. The presence of a degenerative nucleotide (S) was found to be detrimental because of the presence of T in the corresponding position. This could be due to be fact that primers were designed earlier in the outbreak based on the sequences from SARS-CoV and bat-SARS-related CoV genomes. Therefore, removing this degeneracy would help improve the detection limit of this assay. On the contrary, the presence of degenerate bases in both primers and probe sequences involved HKU-ORF1b assay has proved beneficial, turned out to be one of the most accurate assays investigated in the study. We would like to point out that a mere single nucleotide mutation in the genomes would not have serious ramification in the successful amplification of the targets. Mismatches located within 5 bp from 3’ end of the primers and in the probe regions are known to have serious implications in the amplification of targets while mismatches at any other position are well tolerated [33]. In our study, we could identify a single assay US-CDC-N1 containing mismatch in the 3’ end probe region. In the light we recommend using this primer in RT-qPCR assays with caution.

5. Conclusion

In this study, we have analyzed the binding accuracy of various primers and probes involved in commonly employed RT-qPCR assays for the detection of SARS-CoV-2. We could find that US-CDC-N-1 from USA, CN-CDC-N from China, and Charité-ORF1b from Germany contained one or another mismatch, either in primer or probe sequences. The Results obtained in this study indicate that separate strategies, unique to specific geographical locations should be developed in the containment of pandemic. Given the number of available SARS-CoV-2 whole genome sequences in the database, novel primers with a higher accuracy could be developed. Further, this study provides an in silico template for re-assessing the diagnosis of COVID-19 through RT-qPCR.
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