Introduction

Organophosphates are commonly used in agriculture and in veterinary practice. Highly toxic organophosphates, called nerve agents, can be misused as chemical warfare agents (20). Recently, they are of interest in antidotes able not only to protect nerve agent-poisoned men from lethal toxic effects but also to improve their life quality by improvement of their central cognitive functions. In our study, the water maze was used to measure spatial working learning and memory in the case of tabun-induced cognitive impairment in albino Wistar rats. Antidotal treatment consisted of atropine alone or a combination of atropine with an oxime (obidoxime, trimedoxime or oxime HI-6). Our results suggest that atropine alone is not sufficient as a treatment for saving cognitive functions impaired by tabun. On the other hand, the addition of oxime to atropine contributes to improvement of cognitive performance in tabun-poisoned rats regardless of type of oxime.

Summary: In the past, scientists focused on the development of antidotes (mainly anticholinergics in combination with reactivators of inhibited acetylcholinesterase – oximes) to increase the number of surviving nerve agent-intoxicated individuals. Recently, they are interested in antidotes able not only to protect nerve agent-poisoned men from lethal toxic effects but also to improve their life quality by improvement of their central cognitive functions. In our study, the water maze was used to measure spatial working learning and memory in the case of tabun-induced cognitive impairment in albino Wistar rats. Antidotal treatment consisted of atropine alone or a combination of atropine with an oxime (obidoxime, trimedoxime or oxime HI-6). Our results suggest that atropine alone is not sufficient as a treatment for saving cognitive functions impaired by tabun. On the other hand, the addition of oxime to atropine contributes to improvement of cognitive performance in tabun-poisoned rats regardless of type of oxime.
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vably navigate the environment in the WM (32). Memory alterations appear to occur mostly in secondary memory systems and are reflected in the storage of newly acquired information (3,12). It is thought that the hippocampus mediates allocentric spatial navigation (i.e., place learning), and that the prefrontal cortex is critical to acquiring the rules that govern performance in particular tasks (i.e., procedural knowledge), while the dorsomedial striatum mediates egocentric spatial orientation (i.e., response and cue learning) (21,25).

The aim of our study was to evaluate the potency of currently used reactivators of AChE in combination with atropine to improve spatial working memory in tabun-poisoned rats.

Materials and Methods

Animals

Animals used in our experiments were male albino Wistar rats weighing 200–220 g purchased from Konarosice (Czech Republic). They were kept in an air-conditioned room and allowed to access to standard food and tap water ad libitum. The rats were divided into groups of eight animals. Handling of the experimental animals was done under the supervision of the Ethics Committee of the Medical Faculty of Charles University and Faculty of Military Health Sciences in Hradec Kralove (Czech Republic).

Chemicals

Tabun of 89.25% purity was obtained from Military Technical Institute in Brno (Czech Republic). Its purity was assayed by acidimetric titration. Oximes were synthesized at the Department of Toxicology of the Faculty of Military Health Sciences and were 98% pure. Their purity was analysed using HPLC. All other chemicals and drugs of analytical grade were obtained commercially and used without further purification.

Apparatus

The water maze consists of a black circular pool (180 cm in diameter, 80 cm high) filled to a depth of 25 cm with water of room temperature (Raveh, 2002). The pool was imaginarily divided into four same compartments numbered 1–4 clockwise. The black antireflective circular escape platform (15 cm diameter) was placed into compartment no. 1 or 4 (see Procedure of experiments) 20 cm off pool wall. The platform was sunken 2 cm bellow water surface, so it was not visible from rats view owing to water mirror effect. On the pool wall, immediately close to the platform, a yellow rectangle (30 cm x 40 cm) was fixed as the spatial conditional cue (29). Its place was variable in according to the position of the platform. Another dark rectangle was randomly fixed on pool wall in different compartments (without platform) as the negative conditional cue. Round the pool, there were several stable extramaze cues in the room that the rat could use to navigate the maze.

Procedure of experiments

Animals acclimatized to the task on the two training days by being placed into the water for 60 seconds with no opportunity to escape (i.e. no platform). On zero experimental day, animals were administered with tabun (90 μg/kg intramuscularly - 50% LD₅₀). One minute later, antidotal treatment was injected intramuscularly: atropine (21 mg/kg) alone; atropine (21 mg/kg) + oxime HI-6 (35 mg/kg); atropine (21 mg/kg) + obidoxime (10.5 mg/kg) or atropine (21 mg/kg) + trimedoxime (7.5 mg/kg) to the experimental groups, respectively. Oximes were administered at equieffective doses corresponding to 2% of their LD₅₀ values. Two groups of animals were used as controls and were administered with saline instead of tabun and antidotes at the same volume (0.1 ml/100g b. w.). While the group named Control 1 was exposed to the same experimental conditions in the WM as experimental groups, the group called Control 2 had to solved the same trials without conditional cues. There was no intoxicated and nontreated group because of assumption of mobility alteration in animals.

WM testing was performed for eighteen days, one trial/day. At the beginning of each trial, the rat was gently immersed in the water at the start position facing the wall of the pool. The start position was invariable for all experimental trials and took place in the compartment no. 3. Then each animal had to search the submerged platform, the location of which was changed randomly (from compartment no. 1 to compartment no. 4). Each rat was allowed 120 seconds to escape onto the platform, if the rat failed to escape within this time, it was guided to the platform. Once the rat reached the platform, it remained there for 20 seconds to learn visual cues to the platform location. Performance on each trial was videotaped and analysed with image tracking software (TSE VideoMot2, Bad Homburg, Germany), which provided dependent measures such as latency to find the platform (s), length of trajectory (cm) and speed (cm/s).

Statistical analyses

Statistica’98 Edition was used for the statistical analysis of behavioral measurements. Data for behavioral tests were compared among groups by one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA). Specific comparisons were performed using Scheffe test for multiple comparisons (1,2,28). The differences were considered significant when P<0.05.

Results

The process of spatial memory formation performance in tabun-induced and treated neurotoxicity was evaluated in rats. The values of latencies, trajectories lengths and speed were measured. The recorded values of latencies and lengths of trajectories in the WM were corresponded to each other. Therefore, latency and speed data were only demonstrated in our figures. Data describing ability of rats to pass the WM within fifteen experimental trial days are recorded in
Figures 1–3. Each of columns correspond to one experimental group and signs above the columns mean levels of statistically significant differences among groups (see legends to figures). The first column poses animals of the group Control 1 that received physiological saline only. Those rats were already able to resolve correct WM-track on the second day. Their latencies were about 18 seconds on average. Contrary to mentioned group, animals from the group Control 2 showed appreciably worse results – 35 seconds on average. They lacked the conditional cue in WM. Further, our results suggested that learning of experimental group intoxicated with tabun and consecutively treated with atropine alone is impaired in comparison with Control 1, because animals needed about two times longer latency to achieve the goal than pharmacologically unaffected animals. The negative effect of tabun and atropine is well obvious till the end of our experiment, especially from 12th day to 18th day. On the other hand, the treatment with antidotal combination (atropine + oxime) caused improvement of tabun-induced impairment of learning process, because measured latencies to find the hidden platform were shorter (about 25 seconds on average), even in the case of changing

Fig. 1: The latencies of trajectories in the water maze performed by rats poisoned with tabun and treated with atropine alone or atropine in combination with oxime – day 1–6. Statistical significance to Control 1: * \( P < 0.05 \); ** \( P < 0.01 \); *** \( P < 0.001 \). Statistical significance to the experimental groups treated with atropine alone: # \( P < 0.05 \); ### \( P < 0.001 \).

Fig. 2: The latencies of trajectories in the water maze performed by rats poisoned with tabun and treated with atropine alone or atropine in combination with oxime – day 7–12. Statistical significance: see Figure 1.
platform location (7th day and 13th day) compared to the group of poisoned animals treated with atropine alone. The antidotal combination causes comparable data to group Control 1 in many days of trials. The performances of rats treated with antidotal combination were similar to each other regardless of the type of oximes. Animals treated with atropine and oxime HI-6 needed 20 seconds on average to pass the WM, rats treated with atropine and obidoxime about 22 seconds and animals treated with atropine and trimedoxime 29 seconds on average. There were no statistical significant differences among experimental groups treated with the antidotal mixture (atropine+oxime).

The performance alteration includes both reference memory (an initial phase of the experiment) and working memory (a trial-dependent memory during the consequential period of our experiment).

There were also speed data of swimming animals recorded. Average speed of all experimental animals was about 35 centimeters per second, slightly increasing in the course of experiment. We did not find statistical significant
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**Fig. 3:** The latencies of trajectories in the water maze performed by rats poisoned with tabun and treated with atropine alone or atropine in combination with oxime - day 13–18. Statistical significance: see Figure 1.
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**Fig. 4:** The speed of rats poisoned with tabun and treated with atropine alone or atropine in combination with oxime during the performance in water maze.
memory. As recorded values of speed of animals were not impaired, if tabun-poisoned rats were treated with atropine was worse compared to Control 1. Working memory was not impaired in combination with oxime. The men-}

tioned memory deficits were improved by the therapy con-

taining atropine in combination with oxime.

The truth going for nerve agents and their ability to in-

duce the behavioural alterations and impairments of cogni-

tive functions following acute exposure with the absence of the classical signs of cholinergic toxicity was already men-

tioned (15,31). The current antidotal treatment of nerve agent-induced acute poisoning includes anticholinergic drugs to antagonize the effects of acetylcholine excess at cholinergic receptor sites (34). Atropine is used to elimi-
nate nerve agent-induced toxicity especially for its periphe-

tal effect; its centrally acting effect is weak. That is why it can not be considered to sufficiently protect the cognitive functions of mammals in low therapeutical doses. There were also centrally acting anticholinergic drugs tested in animals for anti-nerve agent therapeutical usage (18), but just atropine remains as the main anticholinergic substance in human therapy for this while.

Moreover, atropine is coupled with oxime and this com-

bination of atropine and oxime is considered to be a stan-

dard therapy in the case of nerve agent-induced poisoning. Generally, the reactivating efficacy of singly administered currently used oximes is not high (7,11). The reason for the low reactivating efficacy of oximes is the presence of free electron pair located on amidic nitrogen. This free electron pair makes the nucleophilic attack very difficult (17). Never-

theless, in our study, we used sublethal dose of tabun, so therapeutical doses of the antidotal mixture were able to elimi-

nate neurotoxical effect of tabun.

The results of our study indicate that the administration of tabun treated with atropine alone causes impaired work-

ing memory performance that is believed to be trial-depen-
dent and describes the ability of the subject to hold this trial-dependent information in memory (9,19). The men-
tioned memory deficits were improved by the therapy con-
taining atropine in combination with oxime.

In agreement with our assumption, output of Control 2 was worse compared to Control 1. Working memory was impaired, if tabun-poisoned rats were treated with atropine alone. On the other hand, antidotal combination consisting of atropine and an oxime cause improvement of working memory. As recorded values of speed of animals were not statistically significant, we can exclude motordisposi-
tion as a cause of impaired tabun-poisoned rats performance.

From the cognitive functions point of view, we have to speculate about the role of atropine as a part of used thera-

py, because it was published that atropine can affect the ability to search goal platform in rodents (4,8), nevertheless, atropine is considered to be integral component of anti-
dotal treatment in the case of organophosphate poisoning (13,14,28,33).
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