Retinal angiographic alteration in diabetic macular edema after dexamethasone implantation: a case report
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Abstract: Here we reported a rare case of the implantation of a dexamethasone intravitreal implant (DEX) in which decreased retinal vessel density (VD) was found by optical coherence tomography angiography (OCTA). A 74-year-old male with diabetes mellitus presented with bilateral macular edema. The best-corrected visual acuity (BCVA) was 0.6 in the right eye. Diabetic macular edema (DME) was diagnosed. A DEX for the right eye was planned, and the preoperative evaluation showed a superficial VD of 48.74 percent, a deep VD of 53.12 percent, and a foveal avascular zone (FAZ) 0.165 mm² in size by OCTA. The BCVA in the right eye recovered to 0.8, and a notably lower superficial VD of 45.97 percent and a deep VD of 45.40 percent were observed with an enlarged FAZ of 0.294 mm² one month postoperatively. Moreover, BCVA in the right eye was maintained at 0.8, while further reductions in both superficial (40.07 percent) and deep (40.91 percent) VD were noted with a FAZ measured at 0.305 mm² two months postoperatively. In conclusion, retinal superficial and deep VD decreased, while the FAZ increased, after the implantation of the DEX in a patient with DME.
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Introduction

Diabetic macular edema (DME) will lead to the progressive reduction in central vision and poor quality of life.1 The dys-regulation of vessel growth and inflammatory cytokines are the major pathophysiological mechanisms of DME and lead to increased central macular thickness (CMT).2 Concerning the retinal image, both fluorescein angiography and optical coherence tomography angiography (OCTA) revealed the loss of a regular pattern in the retinal capillary plexus.3,4 The conventional treatments for DME, including intravitreal corticosteroid and intravitreal anti-angiogenesis agent injections, have different outcomes.1

Dexamethasone intravitreal implant (DEX, brand name: Ozurdex) is a biodegradable steroid delivery system that has been widely applied to patients with DME with favorable morphological and functional outcomes.5 In clinical practice, the DEX was commonly implanted and with a pro re nata treatment approximately five months after the first implantation, and the long-term efficiency and safety was guaranteed in repeated DEX implantation.6 In a previous study, DEX reduces the CMT more effectively than anti-angiogenesis agents with significant improvement...
in retinal vascular caliber. Moreover, the DEX can be used to treat macular edema that shows a poor response to anti-angiogenesis agents with satisfactory results.

Although the main theory of the use of DEX for treating DME is its anti-inflammatory effect, vascular endothelial growth factor is also suppressed by corticosteroids. Moreover, the different response of each retinal vascular layer to anti-angiogenesis therapy indicates that similar vascular alterations may also occur in patients receiving DEX implantation. Nevertheless, only one study demonstrated a change in the OCTA vasculature in patients who received DEX implantation with DME with insignificant alterations. In this study, we aimed to report a case of DME in which decreased retinal vessel density (VD) was found by OCTA after DEX implantation.

Case report
A 74-year-old Taiwanese male with diabetes mellitus presented with progressive blurred vision of both eyes. On examination, the best-corrected visual acuity (BCVA) was 0.6 in the right eye and 0.7 in the left eye with an intraocular pressure of 11 mmHg in both eyes. Bilateral macular edema was observed using an indirect fundoscopy. Color fundus photography and fluorescein angiography revealed an edematous macula and vessel leakage; thus, DME was diagnosed (Figure 1). For the DME in the right eye, DEX injection was planned for the right eye, and the preoperative evaluation showed a CMT of 385 μM, and a superficial VD of 48.74 percent, a deep VD of 53.12 percent and a foveal avascular zone (FAZ) of 0.165 mm² (Figure 2). The surgery was performed smoothly. One month after surgery, BCVA in the right eye recovered to 0.8, and the CMT decreased to 292 μM. Moreover, a considerably lower superficial VD of 45.97 percent and a deep VD of 45.40 percent were observed with an enlarged FAZ of 0.294 mm² (Figure 3).

Discussion
In our patient, we used the same OCTA device (Angiovue, Optovue Inc., Bayview, CA, USA) in all OCTA examination, and the vessel layers was segmented manually by one ophthalmologist (HC Chen) then the VD and FAZ were calculated by the software in the same OCTA device. The results showed a decrement of VD and increment of the FAZ area after the DEX implantation. OCTA has been used to evaluate the retinal vessel for years, and its accuracy is similar to that of fluorescein angiography. Moreover, cystoid macular edema and DME were examined by OCTA in previous studies with a significant alteration of macular VD. The distribution of VD in the superficial and deep retinal layers was observed in a patient with DME who received intravitreal anti-angiogenesis agent injection via OCTA. As a result, it is reasonable to use OCTA to evaluate the changes in the macular vasculature in layers after receiving DEX intravitreal therapy in patients diagnosed with DME. Still, the segmentation artifacts would become more prominent in patients with macular edema and results in poorer interpretability of OCTA image, which can occur in the current study. Further effort to decrease such artifact in OCTA device is necessary.

DEX is a long-term steroid-release system that has a pen-like appearance that consists of the delivery device and dexamethasone medication (Figure 5). Upon injection,
would be delivered into the vitreous cavity and release the dexamethasone continuously. The previous experimental study revealed that the tumor-induced angiogenesis diminished after dexamethasone application, implying the possibility of a steroid-induced anti-vascular growth effect in the eye. Only one study evaluated the change in the vasculature in patients with DME after DEX implantation without significant changes among the superficial VD, deep VD and choriocapillaris capillary density, and the difference was within two percent. In addition, another study also revealed non-significant alteration of vascular distribution in patients with retinal venous occlusions after the implantation of DEX. However, a decrease in the superficial and deep VDs of approximately 20 percent after DEX implantation was observed in the current study, while the enlargement of the FAZ found in the current study was not reported in previous studies. The prominent difference in vessel alteration and the FAZ indicated that the retinal vasculature may change in some patients with DME after DEX implantation. Although diabetic retinopathy would uneventfully enlarge the FAZ, which progressed with the disease severity, no severe complications, such as vitreous hemorrhage or tractional retinal detachment, were found during the two-month period. In addition, the blood sugar level was 212 mg/dL and 171 mg/dL before and two months after the DEX implantation, and the glycosylated hemoglobin was 7.3 percent and 7.0 percent before and two months after the DEX implantation, implied that the progression of diabetes mellitus was not likely. Moreover, the enlarged FAZ area in diabetic retinopathy and retinal venous occlusion are associated with worse visual outcome according to previous research, while the recovered visual acuity is discordant to the enlarged FAZ area in our patient, which further demonstrated that the enlarged FAZ would probably result from the anti-angiogenesis effect of DEX, rather than the influence of diabetic retinopathy. As a consequence, we speculated that the anti-angiogenesis effect of DEX, which proven in experimental study, retarded the angiogenesis process and lead to the decrement of retinal vasculature in
our patient which presented as decreased VD and enlarged FAZ compared to the minimal change in the fellow eye. In addition, the effect of anti-angiogenesis persisted for months which may due to the long-term effect of DEX. Conversely, the mean CMT and the mean initial superficial VD in the study by Toto et al were grossly different from those of the patient in the current study, while the initial visual acuity was worse in the study by Mastropasqua et al compared with our patient. The above differences indicate that the initial severity of microvasculopathy and the visual performance in retinal vascular diseases might influence the anti-angiogenesis effect of DEX.

The effectiveness of DEX in patients with DME has been illustrated in previous studies. For those patients with recalcitrant DME unresponsive to anti-angiogenesis agent therapy, DEX still may slow the DME with morphological improvement. Although our patient was a new case and had not previously received anti-angiogenesis agent therapy, the edematous status progressed rapidly in three months thus DEX is theoretically more suitable than anti-angiogenesis agent therapy to retard the macular edema in our patient. In addition, the patient had previously received phacoemulsification; therefore, the steroid-induced cataract formation which can be induced by dexamethasone in the DEX was beyond our consideration. Also, the preoperative IOP was in the low-to-normal range so the chance to develop steroid-induced ocular hypertension after DEX implantation was unlikely in our patient. Since no contraindication was found and the edematous status was progressed, we selected DEX as the first-line treatment rather than anti-angiogenesis agent therapy. The decrease in vasculature with the improvement of both BCVA and CMT were observed for at least two months which might result from the anti-inflammatory effect of DEX in our opinion, and our finding may demonstrate the possibility that DEX can be the first treatment for DME in certain situations.
Figure 4 Macular conditions two months after dexamethasone implantation assessed using an optical coherence tomography angiography device. (A) Foveal thickness. (B) Superficial vessel density. (C) Deep vessel density. (D) Foveal avascular zone.

Figure 5 The appearance of dexamethasone intravitreal implant. Arrow: the dexamethasone-contain polymer device in the plastic cap.
Conclusion
In conclusion, retinal VD decreased after the implantation of DEX in our patient with DME. Further large-scale studies are warranted to investigate the correlation between a decrease in VD and the recovery of visual parameters.
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