Discussing Opioid Risks With Patients to Reduce Misuse and Abuse: Evidence From 2 Surveys
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ABSTRACT
We used 2 population-representative surveys to evaluate the recommendation from recent clinical guidelines for prescribing opioid analgesics that physicians discuss the risk of long-term use disorders with patients. In nationally representative data we observed a 60% lower rate, after adjustment for covariates, in a self-reported saving of pills among respondents who say they talked with their physicians about the risks of prescription painkiller addiction (67% lower rate without adjustment). These findings suggest patient education efforts, as currently practiced in the United States, may have positive behavioral consequences that could lower the risks of prescription painkiller abuse. Future research should test these associations under controlled settings.
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INTRODUCTION
In its recently published guidelines for prescribing opioids for chronic pain, the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) recommend that clinicians discuss the known risks and benefits of opioid therapy with their patients.1 Recommended topics of discussion include the risks of life-long use disorders and the risk posed to family members if prescription painkillers are intentionally or unintentionally shared. Although communication-based techniques have been shown to improve patient behaviors,2 the CDC guidelines note that no evidence currently exists to evaluate the effectiveness of patient education or any other risk-mitigation strategies for prescription opioids. Given the increasing demands placed on physicians in primary care, evidence will be essential to helping physicians prioritize as they put these guidelines into practice. We evaluated 2 population-representative surveys conducted in 2015 and found preliminary evidence that suggests patient education efforts as currently practiced may be having positive behavioral consequences.

METHODS
We analyzed the results of 2 random-digit-dial telephone surveys of adults aged 18 years and older conducted by the Harvard T. H. Chan School of Public Health and the Boston Globe: a national poll fielded April 15-19, 2015 (an 8% response rate yielded 1,033 completed interviews) and a Massachusetts poll fielded April 7-16, 2015 (a 15% response rate yielded 810 completed interviews). Both surveys included cellular and landline telephones and were poststratified to US Census benchmarks of age, sex, education, race, marital status, and geographic area to be representative of underlying populations (The United States and Massachusetts, respectively). Samples were restricted to respondents who reported that they had been prescribed strong prescription painkillers within the last 2 years, bringing final sample sizes to 216 in the national survey, and 169 in the Massachusetts sample. We provide the survey instrument in the Supplemental Appendix, available at http://www.annfammed.org/content/14/6/575/suppl/DC1.
We fit multivariable logistic regressions to estimate the association between reporting having talked with a physician about the risk of prescription painkiller addiction (“discussed risk of addiction”) and reporting having saved prescription painkillers for personal medical use or to share with family members (“saved pills for later”). Retention of unused pills has been found to be an important source of opioid diversion and misuse.1–5 Control variables included sex, age, race, income, metro status, and geographic region. Models also controlled for whether the patient knew someone who abused prescription painkillers in the past 5 years, a marker associated with higher risk of abuse in the patient,6 to indirectly reduce bias that may occur from physicians targeting high-risk patients for discussion about addiction. All analyses were conducted in R7 using the survey (R 3.31)8 and effects (R 3.1-1)9 packages. (Model outputs can be viewed in Table A1 of the Supplemental Appendix.) Unadjusted results were also included for comparison. Model parameters were used to plot estimated response levels and 95% confidence intervals for comparison categories when holding all covariates constant at sample means. To test the sensitivity of our results to model specification, we systematically fit alternate specifications, including models specified through backward selection, and our conclusions were unaffected (data not shown).

RESULTS

National and Massachusetts samples were similar along sociodemographic characteristics, with the exception of metropolitan status, where the Massachusetts sample had higher proportions of respondents living in areas with suburban census designations. Residents in Massachusetts were far less likely than the broader US population to recall talking about the risk of prescription drug addiction (36% recalled such a conversation compared with 61% nationally) and were more likely to say they saved pills for later use (30% said they saved pills compared with 17% nationally, Table 1).

National respondents who reported discussing the risks of addiction were less likely to report saving prescription painkillers for later. Holding other covariates at national sample means, we estimated that 20% of respondents who did not remember discussing addiction risk reported saving pills for later, compared with only 8% who did remember discussing addiction risk, for a rate that is 60% lower. Without adjustment for covariates we observed an even larger difference of 27% compared with 9%, respectively (Figure 1).

DISCUSSION

Even though patient education efforts figure prominently into the CDC’s latest recommendations around opioid prescribing for chronic pain, evidence is sorely

| Characteristic | National Data | Massachusetts Data |
|---------------|--------------|-------------------|
| Sample size, No. | 216 | 169 |
| Sex, % | | |
| Female | 59.2 | 54.1 |
| Male | 40.8 | 45.9 |
| Age, % | | |
| <30 y | 17.9 | 21.4 |
| 30-64 y | 66.2 | 63.1 |
| ≥65 y | 15.8 | 15.5 |
| Race, % | | |
| White non-Hispanic | 66.6 | 79.9 |
| Black non-Hispanic | 11.2 | 4.4 |
| Hispanic | 14.5 | 10.1 |
| Other | 7.8 | 5.6 |
| Income, % | | |
| Low | 25.2 | 29.1 |
| Middle low | 30 | 24.5 |
| Middle high | 22.3 | 19 |
| High | 22.5 | 27.5 |
| Metro area, % | | |
| Urban | 52.3 | 20.9 |
| Rural | 25.3 | 2.5 |
| Suburban | 22.4 | 76.6 |
| Region, % | | |
| Northeast | 19.4 | ... |
| North Central | 26.2 | ... |
| South | 34.9 | ... |
| West | 19.5 | ... |
| Know someone who abused opioids in last 5 years, % | | |
| Yes | 47.3 | 41.9 |
| No | 52.7 | 58.1 |
| Discussed risk of addiction with physician, % | | |
| Yes | 60.5 | 36.2 |
| No | 39.5 | 63.8 |
| Saved pills for later, % | | |
| Yes | 16.8 | 29.9 |
| No | 83.2 | 70.1 |

p < .1,

p < .001 (groupwise χ² tests between samples).

p < .01.
needed to support their use and identify best practices. Our findings suggest that, as currently practiced, physician efforts to talk with their patients about the addictiveness of prescription painkillers—or other topics addressed in the course of these discussions—may be yielding positive behavioral consequences that reduce opportunities for painkiller abuse.

Our data are cross-sectional, limited in sample size, and subject to many of the shortcomings of survey data. The associations that we observe do not prove a causal relationship and could be explained by uncontrolled differences between patients who did and did not recall discussing the risk of addiction with their physicians. These findings, however, offer a first look at evidence to support a common recommendation from opioid prescribing guidelines for which no evidence currently exists and suggest that future exploration into the effectiveness of physician-patient communication on the risks of opioids may be fruitful. Future research should use controlled settings to test the effectiveness of a discussion about addiction risk and related safety measures in promoting appropriate use, storage, and disposal of prescription painkillers.

To read or post commentaries in response to this article, see it online at http://www.annfammed.org/content/14/6/575.
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