Using transgenic reporters to visualize bone and cartilage signaling during development in vivo
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Green fluorescent protein was first used as a marker of protein expression in vivo 18 years ago, heralding the beginning of what became known as the Green Revolution. Since then, there has been an explosion in the number of transgenic lines in existence, and these transgenic tools are now being applied to skeletal research. Advances in transgenesis are also leading to increasing use of new model organisms for studying skeletogenesis. Such new models include the small teleosts zebrafish and medaka, which due to their optical transparency offer imaging possibilities in the live animals. In this review, we will introduce a number of recent advances in genetic engineering and transgenesis and the new genetic tools that are currently being developed. We will provide examples of how zebrafish and medaka transgenic lines are helping us to understand the behavior of skeletal cells in vivo. Finally, we will discuss future prospects for the application of transgenic technology to skeletal research.

GENERAL INTRODUCTION

Skeletal tissues have proved particularly difficult to image at a cellular level in live animals due to the depth and mineralization of the tissues. In recent years, there have been advances in hard tissue and skeletal imaging in live organisms, for example the increased sensitivity of high resolution magnetic resonance imaging (HR-MRI; Patch et al., 2011) and improvements to CT scanning (Chappard et al., 2011). However, while these techniques give improved detail about bone structure and micro-architecture, they do not tell us much about the behavior of cells within the skeletal tissues.

The first mouse transgenic line was created in 1974 (Jaenisch and Mintz, 1974). Since then, transgenic mice have been instrumental in increasing our understanding of the lineages in skeletal development, via the use of lacZ reporters for lineage analysis and to assess the requirement of specific genes in skeletal lineages. The further introduction of conditional gene skeleton techniques has considerably facilitated the analysis of target bone and cartilage related genes. In these cases a driver line carrying a recombinase (Cre) driven by cartilage or bone-specific promoters is crossed to a genetically modified mouse strain carrying a “floxed” cassette to be targeted to the gene of interest. Through this technique for example Col2a1-Cre and Col1a1-Cre lines have been used to drive recombination in chondrocytes and osteoblasts, respectively (Tespère et al., 2003; Zhu et al., 2008). From these studies using transgenic lines we have garnered a wealth of information on the genes required for the specification and maturation of chondrocytes (e.g., Lewis et al., 2011) and osteoblasts (reviewed by Long, 2012). However, despite the increasing availability of genetic tools, generating transgenic lines in mice by injection into the pronucleus, or more commonly by injection of engineered stem cells into blastocysts remains technically demanding, time consuming and relatively expensive (Miller, 2011). Since mice develop in utero, following dynamic signaling events in real time during bone development is technically almost impossible. As such, studies at a cellular level typically require post mortem analysis of the skeleton by histology or immunohistochemistry. Therefore, much of what we know about the real time in situ dynamics of chondrocyte and osteoblast behavior, gene expression, migration, and maturation has come from in vitro studies. However, it is difficult to know to what extent these features mirror the environment in vivo, which is rich in cues from the surrounding tissues, and from the complex in vivo mechanical environment. Attempts to replicate this environment in culture have been made through seeding of cells onto biomimetic scaffolds (Tampieri et al., 2011). However, the development of tools to dynamically track gene expression and signaling pathway activity in live animals has remained highly desirable.

TELEOST BONE DEVELOPMENT

Zebrafish, along with another teleost species medaka, have long been used as model organisms for developmental biology. In the early stages of skeletal research in fish, research focused primarily on lineage tracing (Schilling and Kimmel, 1994) and forward genetic screening (Driever et al., 1996; Haffter et al., 1996). More than 50 mutants with defective cartilage and skeletal development were identified from the first large-scale screens (Neubauer et al., 1996; Pastrowskii et al., 1996; Schilling et al., 1996). However, a particular advantage to using teleosts comes from the ability to image skeletal development in real time in developing larvae (or even increasingly in more mature fish), using fluorescent transgenic reporter lines. The zebrafish craniofacial skeleton contains bones of both dermal and chondral origins, which arise from different progenitor cells, such as neural crest cells and mesodermal cells.
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In recent years there have been multiple advances in our ability to generate transgenic reporters in zebrafish, radically reducing the time to generate new lines. Traditionally, transgenic reporters were generated by microinjection of linearized plasmid DNA, obtaining the coding sequence of a reporter protein (typically green fluorescent protein (GFP)) immediately downstream of a minimal promoter fragment for the gene of interest (Higashijima et al., 1997). However, this approach suffered from a number of limitations, in particular the low efficiency of germline integration.

Advances to the technology have included the introduction of the Gateway system and the production of compatible plasmids that can be used in zebrafish (Kawakami et al., 2004; Villerfranc et al., 2007), I-SceI cloning, whereby introduction of meganuclease sites increased the efficiency of germline integration (Grabher and Wittbrodt, 2008). More recently, improvements have been achieved by bacterial artificial chromosome (BAC) recombining, in which fluorophores and Tol2 transposase sites are introduced into a BAC containing the gene or promoter of interest. The frequency of germline integration is improved by this method, while the constructs for transgenesis can be generated and injected into zebrafish embryos in less than 3 weeks (Busmann and Schulte-Merker, 2011; Suster et al., 2011).

As such, the number of available transgenic lines generated through these methods is likely to increase exponentially in the future. Tol2transgenesis has also been used in recent years for enhancer trapping. An Enhancer Trap construct drives a reporter, often eGFP, controlled by a minimal promoter in a vector that can be inserted into the genome at random; if the insertion occurs near to an enhancer it will produce tissue-specific expression of the reporter. Various enhancer trap screens have been documented in fish (see Table 1) and a number of these lines show specific reporter expression in skeletal tissues, for example, the line ET 33.1B (http://plover.imcb.a-star.edu.sg/webpages/ET33-1B.html), which is specifically expressed in the craniofacial skeleton (of both dermal and chondral origins). In fish and frog models, site-directed transgene integration is a more recent development; however, systems are now established for these model organisms. In frog this is accomplished via a FLP-FRT recombinase-mediated transgenesis method (Zuber et al., 2012), while in fish site-directed intramolecular transgenesis can be achieved using the Cre-Lox system (Mossimann and Zon, 2011) or by using Phc31 integrase (Luster, 2011). The utility of the latter system is further increased by the ability to utilize the Phc31 system for efficient recombinase-mediated cassette exchange (RCME), whereby fluorophores can be efficiently excised and replaced with other fluorophores or by Cre (Hu et al., 2011).

ADVANCES IN TRANSGENESIS

In terms of tools for the study of skeletogenesis, many labs have been generating an increasing number of transgenic tools to aid zebrafish and medaka skeletal research. These include a variety of transgenic reporter lines to mark skeletal lineages at different stages of differentiation, such as the chondrocyte reporters, Tg(Col2a1a:RFP)hu3900 (Hammond and Schulte-Merker, 2009; Figures 1F–G) and Tg(1.7col2aa:mcCherry-caax; Dale and Topczewski, 2011), an increasing number of transgenic lines specific for osteoblasts, such as the osteari(sp7) reporter lines Tg(sp7:EGFP)b1212 and Tg(Ola.Sp7-NLS-GFP)hu3912 (Spoornendonk et al., 2008; DeLaurier et al., 2010; Figures 1A,D,J,K) and osteocalce/lgl7 reporte line, Tg(Ola.osteocalcin:EGFP)hu4908 (Knopf et al., 2011; Figures 1B,C,E). There are also available reporters for osteoclasts such as the cathepsin K reporter Tg(ctsk:mEGFP; To et al., 2012) and for joint fate, such as Tg(pspp2:岱) (Talbot et al., 2010). Live zebrafish can be incubated with dyes that bind mineralized tissue such as Alizarin red or calcine, which allows monitoring of bone matrix formation in vivo. Combinations of these lines, along with calcine or Alizarin red stains, allow dynamic imaging of skeletal development and cell maturation in the living fish (Figures 1A–G).

Recently, an emerging approach to dynamically dissect the in vivo activation or repression of endogenous signaling pathways is the generation of biosensor reporter fish, expressing reporter proteins (GFP, mCherry, DiRed, Kaede, YFP) under the control of minimal signaling pathway responsive elements (Dorsky et al., 2005; Parsons et al., 2009; Schwend et al., 2010; Collery and Link, 2011; Lax et al., 2011). In these transgenic lines synthetic arrays of repetitive responsive elements are fused upstream of a minimal promoter, such as Thymidine kinase or Epstein–Barr Virus terminal protein 1, and drive the expression of the reporter gene with a spatiotemporal resolution depending upon the strength of the minimal promoter and the stability of the reporter protein itself. These tools have been used both in drug screening tests and for analysis of the various signaling pathways in genetic mutants.

The BMP, Hedgehog, and Wnt signaling pathways have all been previously shown to actively control vertebrate chondrogenesis through their concerted actions (reviewed in Goldring et al., 2006). We have recently generated a novel Wnt/beta-catenin reporter fish, expressing the eGFP or mCherry protein under the control of a multimerized array of seven TCF/Lef binding sites upstream to a Xenopus-lethal minimal siamois promoter, showing its application to test Wnt agonists and antagonists, as well as trace the dynamics of neural crest-derived cell migration during fish growth (Moro et al., 2012). This reporter has been also used to highlight the mechanism through which the proliferation of posterior lateral line primordium (PLLP) cells is maintained during neuromast production (Valdivia et al., 2011). By combining this transgenic line with the Tg(antiflamo:FP)vu234 (Kirby et al., 2006) Tg(Ola.Sp7-NLS-GFP)hu3912 (Spoornendonk et al., 2008), we have been able to identify clusters of neural crest-derived
Table 1: Table of existing transgenic lines and resources relevant to skeletal development.

| Structure/cell type labeled | Gene or response element | Line name | Reference |
|-----------------------------|---------------------------|-----------|-----------|
| Osteoclast                  | Cathepsin K               | Tg(CTSK-DsRed) | Chatani et al. (2011) |
|                             |                           | Tg(CTSK-mEGFP) | To et al. (2012) |
| Osteoblast                  | Sp7/osterix               | Tg(0la.Sp7:mEGFP) | Sporesenbon et al. (2008) |
|                             |                           | Tg(0la.Sp7:mCherry) | Sporesenbon et al. (2011) |
|                             |                           | Tg(SP7:EGFP) | DeLaurier et al. (2010) |
| Osteoblast                  | Blap{osteocalcin}         | Tg(0la.Osteocalcin-1:EGFP) | Knopf et al. (2011) |
| Chondrocyte                 | Col2a1a                   | Tg(Col2a1aBAC:mCherry) | Hammond and Schulte-Marker (2008) |
| Joints                      | Tsp1                      | Tg(tsp1:EYFP) | Takeda et al. (2011) |
| Cartilage                   | Col10A1                   | Tg(16Hsa.COL18A1-Mmu.Fos:EGFP) | Kage et al. (2010) |
| Neural crest derivatives    | Fli1                      | Tg(Fli1:eGFP) | Lawson and Weinstein (2002) |
| cartilage and osteoblasts   |                           |           |           |
| Neural crest-derived skeleton | Sox10                    | Tg(1252sox10:GFP) | Dutton et al. (2008) |
| Bone and cartilage cells   | Sox10.Cre                 | Tg(472sox10:Cre) | Rodrigues et al. (2012) |
| Osteoclast                  | TRAP                      | Tg(TRAP:GFP) | Chatani et al. (2011) |
| Osteoclast                  | RAWL (heat shock inducible) | Tg(TrAP:GFP) | To et al. (2012) |
| Preosteoblasts              | Cyp26b1                   | Tg(cyp26b1::CP26b1::EGFP) | Sporesenbon et al. (2008) |
| Intervertebral discs        | Twhh                      | Tg(twhh:GFP) | Inohaya et al. (2007) |
| Intervertebral discs        | Twist                     | Tg(twhist:EGFP) | Inohaya et al. (2007) |
| Osteoblasts (conditional ablation) | Osx/Sp7               | Tg(Osx:CFP-NTR) | Willems et al. (2012) |
| line in medullar            | BMP responsive cells (including craniofacial elements) | Tg(BRE:GFP) | Collery and Link (2011) |
|                           | Brachial arches and notochord | Tg(bre:egfp) | Lau et al. (2011) |
| Wnt responsive cells (including craniofacial elements) | Tg(7xTCF.xlaSIam:nlsmCherry) | Moro et al. (2012) |

Name of resource | Method of generation | Link | Reference |
---|---------------------|------|-----------|
Ztrap | Enhancer trap | http://kawakami.lab.nig.ac.jp/ztrap/ | Urasaki et al. (2008), Kotani et al. (2006), Kawakami et al. (2004, 2010) |
ZETRAP | Enhancer trap | Now merged with ZETRAP2.0 (below) | Choo et al. (2006) |
ZETRAP2.0 | Enhancer trap | | Kondrychyn et al. (2011) |
Enhancer-TRAP | Ta2 Enhancer trap | | Fisher et al. (2006) |
Crezzo | CreERT2 insertions | http://crezzo.ort-dresden.de/crezzo/ | Hans et al. (2011) |

Cartilage elements and osteoblasts expressing Wnt reporter activity (Figures 1H–K and data not shown).

Specific ablation of target cells in a temporarily controlled fashion can be achieved through use of the nitroreductase (NTR) system, in which the coding sequence for a gene encoding a NTR enzyme that can render prodrugs such as metronidazole (Met) cytotoxic, is expressed under the control of a promoter of interest. Usually, a fluorophore is also expressed to enable simultaneous cell tracking (Pisharath and Parsons, 2009). This system has been used to generate a medaka transgenic line whereby NTR is expressed under the control of the osteoblast Sp7/osterix promoter, in which osteoblasts can be visualized by CFP and ablated following...
FIGURE 1 | Visualizing transgene expression in live fish during skeletogenesis. (A) Alizarin red live staining in a lateral view of a zebrafish at 3 dpf. A′ Osterix/sp7 activity Tg(Ola.Sp7:nlsGFP)zf132 in the same fish. A′′ overlay showing an Alizarin red-positive mineralizing core (red) surrounded by osteoblasts (green). (B) Osteocalcin/bglap Tg(Ola.Osteocalcin.1:EGFP)hu4008 reporter activity in the head of a 2-month-old zebrafish. (C) Osteocalcin Tg(Ola.Osteocalcin.1:EGFP)hu4008 activity in the vertebral column of a 19-day-old zebrafish. (D) Osterix/Sp7 Tg(Ola.Sp7:mcherry)zf131 activity in the same 19-day-old zebrafish as C. (E) Osterix/Sp7 Tg(Ola.Sp7:mcherry)zf131 activity in the caudal fin rays of a 2-month-old zebrafish. (F,G) Cartilage visualization in a 5-day-old zebrafish with a col2a1a reporter. Tg(Co2a1aBAC:mCherry)hu5900 (F) shows a lateral view of the head. Tgbox10:mRFPx234:Tg7xTCF.XlaSiam.GFP (G) shows a lateral view of the trunk. (H,I) Representative 3 dpf double transgenic Tg(sox10:mRFP)vu234;Tg(7xTCF.Xla.Siam:GFP)ia4 showing neural crest cell-derived chondrogenic cells expressing the Wnt reporter transgene (white arrowheads). (J,K) Representative 4 dpf (J) and 7 dpf (K) double transgenic Tg(sp7:EGFP)bi21;Tg7xTCF.Xla.Siam:nlsCherry)ku11 showing isolated osteogenic positive cells coexpressing the Wnt reporter transgene (blue arrowheads). All images are confocal Z-stack projections. (H,I) Ventral views with anterior to the left. (J,K) Lateral views with anterior to the left. vc, vertebral column; mc, Meckel’s cartilage; bsr, branchiostegal ray; ch, ceratohyal; cl, cleithrum; op, opercle; pq, palatoquadrate.
were able to show that into embryos carrying the Sp7 reporter transgene, the authors By generating a retinoic acid (RA; Laue et al., 2008; Spoorendonk et al., 2008).
cyp26b1 briefly discussed below.

THE ROLE OF CYP26B1 IN PATTERNING THE AXIAL AND CRANIOFACIAL SKELETON
Forward genetic screens identified two mutants: stockstief (Spoorendonk et al., 2008), which was identified on the basis of fusions of the axial rings that generate the future vertebrae, and dolphin, identified by the “beak-like” shape of the jaw (Piszczkowski et al., 1996). Both mutations were subsequently revealed to be lesions in the same gene, cyp26b1, an enzyme which degrades retinoic acid (RA; Laue et al., 2008; Spoorendonk et al., 2008). By generating a cyp26b1;huc:GFP construct and injecting this into embryos carrying the Sp7 reporter transgene, the authors were able to show that cyp26b1 colocalizes with the osteoblast marker Sp7/osteoblasts in craniofacial skeletal elements. Together these mutants demonstrated that tight control of RA levels is required for the correct positioning of osteoblasts both in craniofacial elements (Laue et al., 2008) and axial skeleton (Spoorendonk et al., 2008). In the axial skeleton use of a nuclear-localized osteocalcin transgenic line allowed both the number and localization of osteoblasts in the vertebral column to be quantified, demonstrating that the number of osteoblasts is unchanged in mutants. This suggests that the overmineralization of the vertebrae is caused by a change in osteoblast activity rather than their number (Spooren- donk et al., 2008). Moreover, it has recently been demonstrated that Cyp26 enzymes are required to control local RA metabolism during cranial suture formation in zebrafish, mice and humans (Laue et al., 2011), supporting the view that the requirement of Cyp26 enzymes for the correct activation of osteoblasts is conserved between teleosts and humans.

BONE REGENERATION OCCURS VIA DEDIFFERENTIATION OF OSTEOCYTES IN THE ZEBRAFISH FIN
Bone has a limited capacity for repair in mammals, and bone healing, following, e.g., a fracture or break, is believed in mammals to be achieved through activation of a resident population of osteogenic precursor cells and recapitulation of developmental ossification pathways (Ferguson et al., 1999; Dimitriou et al., 2011). Salamanders and fish have a more robust capacity for repair and regeneration of many tissues (Takahashi and Reddien, 2011), with fin regeneration in the zebrafish frequently used as a model for regenerative studies (Tal et al., 2010). However, it was unknown whether the “dedifferentiated” cells that form a wound blastema, following fin amputation, and which give rise to the regenerated structures, are multipotent or lineage restricted. Using different transgenic approaches to mosaically label cells (Ts and Johnson, 2011) or throughout the organism (Knopf et al., 2011), two groups showed that the dedifferentiated cells in the blastema only give rise to cells of the same lineage, demonstrating that, although the cells in the wound blastema can dedifferentiate and proliferate, they maintain their lineage restriction throughout this process (Knopf et al., 2011; Ts and Johnson, 2011). Very recently, a de novo origin of osteoblasts during fin regeneration, following genetic ablation of existing osteoblasts, has demonstrated that the cellular origin of appendage bones can be different according to tissue damage (Singh et al., 2012).

CARTILAGE MATRIX CONTROLS TIMING OF ENDOCHONDRAL OSSIFICATION
The timing of events such as chondrocyte maturation with osteoblast differentiation and activation is critically important during endochondral ossification, during which multiple signaling pathways are activated. Cartilage matrix is rich in sulfated proteoglycans, and both heparin and chondroitin proteoglycans are known to associate with and regulate diffusion of signaling factors within the mouse cartilage growth plate (Settembre et al., 2008; Gualeni et al., 2010; and reviewed by Mackie et al., 2011). Recently, Eames et al. (2011) demonstrated that two zebrafish mutants (sxytl and fam20b) which produce lower levels of chondroitin sulfate proteoglycans, undergo premature endochondral ossification of their cartilage elements. They demonstrated, using transgenic lines and in situ hybridization, that both mutants showed premature perichondral osteoblast differentiation, which could be abolished by crossing them to an Indian hedgehog (ihh) mutant line. These findings, taken together with those from mouse models, demonstrate that cartilage matrix composition is critical for the correct timing of both chondrocyte maturation and osteoblast differentiation and suggests that cartilage matrix proteoglycans control the diffusion of signaling factors that can both stimulate and repress these processes in vivo.

NEW GENETICALLY ENCODED TRANSGENIC TOOLS RELEVANT TO SKELETAL RESEARCH
Calcium levels are tightly regulated in bone, and calcium transport and levels are critical for both osteoblast and osteoclast activity (Blair et al., 2011; Caudarella et al., 2011; Zhou et al., 2011). A variety of genetically encoded fluorescent biosensors have been developed over recent years, which undergo conformational changes and changes in fluorescent emission upon binding calcium, e.g., gCaMP (Muto and Kawakami, 2011; Muto et al., 2011). These could be fused to osteoblast or chondrocyte promoters to give a real-time read out of calcium signaling during skeletogenesis.

Another biologically encoded protein “MinisOG,” the name is derived from Mini Singlet Oxygen Generator (Shu et al., 2011), could also prove useful as a tool for skeletal research. The MinisOG protein is fluorescent and can therefore be tracked in vivo. However, in addition to its fluorescence it also acts, following
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