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Defective cortex glia plasma membrane structure underlies light-induced epilepsy in cpes mutants

Govind Kunduri, Daniel Turner-Evans, Yutaka Konya, Yoshihiro Izumi, Kunio Nashima, Stephen Lockett, Joost Holthuis, Takeshi Bamba, Usha Acharya, and Jairaj K. Acharya.

Results

cpes Mutants Display Photosensitive Epilepsy. CPES (CG4585) has been shown to be a bulk CPE-producing enzyme in Drosophila (10). We generated CG4585-null flies (cpes) using ends-out homologous recombination (Fig. 1I). Mass spectrometric analysis of sphingolipids showed about a 95% reduction in CPE levels, suggesting that CG4585 is indeed a bulk CPE-producing enzyme in vivo (Fig. 1B). No notable changes were observed in ceramides and hexosylceramides (Fig. 1 C and D and Dataset S1). Development and survival analysis revealed that about 60–70% of mutants die during the pupal stage or during or immediately after eclosion (Fig. 1E). About 25% of mutant flies survive as adults (Fig. 1E). Further, about 60% of the cpes flies that elose show a dorsal closure defect (Fig. 1 G and H). All mutant males are sterile. Immunofluorescence imaging revealed an accumulation of germ cells and a lack of mature sperms (Fig. 1I). Ubiquitous expression of UAS CPES completely rescued pupal death, dorsal closure defect, and male sterility phenotypes (Fig. 1 E and G–I). Longevity analysis showed that cpes flies have a significantly reduced life span compared with controls and that this trend is.

Significance

Approximately 1 in 100 people have epilepsy, and nearly 3% of epileptics have photosensitive epilepsy, which results in serious debilitating seizures. Despite these numbers, in 100 y of research, no clear single gene defect has been shown to be causative in photosensitive epilepsy in genetic models. Although sphingolipid defects have been shown to be causative for many lysosomal storage diseases in humans as well as animal models, our study shows an important connection to a neuronal disease, photosensitive epilepsy, using the fly system as a model. We show that in a Drosophila ceramide phosphoethanolamine synthase-null mutant cortical glial cells fail to establish plasma membrane processes required to encapsulate neuronal cell bodies, resulting in photosensitive epilepsy.
flies are sensitive to light, and rescued the PSE phenotype. The photoseptivity of cpes mutants was determined by LC-MS/MS and are expressed as picomoles per 100 μg of total carbon analyzed. Lipids extracted from whole flies were used for analysis. ***P ≤ 0.01; ****P ≤ 0.0001; ns, no significant difference (P > 0.05). (E) Development and survival of cpes mutants. Larvae hatched from the embryos, pupae formed from first-instar larvae, dead pupae, eclosed adults, and adults dead after eclosion were counted manually and expressed as percent. About 300 embryos or larvae were taken for each experiment, and the experiment was repeated twice. **P ≤ 0.01; ns, no significant difference (P > 0.05). (F) Longevity analysis of cpes mutants (n = 100 per experiment, repeated twice). Control flies lived up to 82 d, whereas cpes mutants were dead by age 30 d. Overexpression of CPES in the double mutants (the rhodopsin 1-null mutant) with cpes mutants displayed a significant decrease in longevity compared to control flies (Fig. 1F). The partial rescue of longevity has not been observed in the rescue flies before, immediately after, and a few minutes after exposing them to a strobe light of 470-nm light at 1 Hz for 1 min (50% duty cycle, immediately after, and a few minutes after exposing them to a strobe light of 470-nm light at 1 Hz for 1 min (50% duty cycle, immediately after, and a few minutes after exposing them to a strobe light).

In addition, we discovered that the cpes flies are sensitive to fluctuations in light intensity, and about 70–90% of flies display robust seizures and paralysis when shifted from the dark to ambient light conditions [SI Appendix, Movie S1 (w^{118}), and Movie S2 (cpes)]. Upon visual stimulation, the cpes mutants displayed classic signs of epilepsy such as seizures, paralysis, tonic–clonic-like activity, recovery seizures, refractory recovery, and complete recovery (Movie S2) (11). The initial seizures and paralysis can be observed within 5–20 s after exposure to ambient light, and complete recovery occurs within 3–4 min even in the presence of continuous light. A minimum of 15-min dark adaptation is required to trigger seizures again. The phenotype manifests fully at day 10 and thereafter. This photosensitivity was fully rescued when UAS-CPES was expressed ubiquitously (Movie S3).

Visual stimulation is known to trigger seizures and paralysis in human patients with PSE (2). To confirm that this phenotype is indeed due to stimulation through vision, we first recombined ninaE^{117} (the rhodopsin 1-null mutant) with cpes mutants. The major rhodopsin, rhodopsin 1 (Rh1), is expressed in the R1–R6 photoreceptor neurons and therefore causes a significant reduction in vision. Interestingly, the cpes; ninaE^{117} double mutants were still sensitive to light, and PSE was not rescued (Movie S4). This could be due to photoreception through photoreceptor neurons R7 and R8, which express four different types of rhodopsins, Rh3, Rh4, Rh5, and Rh6. The photoreceptor neurons in the ocelli express Rh2 and also contribute to the photosensitivity in cpes mutants (5). Early studies have demonstrated that phospholipase C, the enzyme that mediates the hydrolysis of phosphatidylinositol 4,5-bisphosphate [Ptdlnos(4,5)P] to inositol-1,4,5-triphosphate [Ins(1,4,5)P] and diacylglycerol, is critical for phototransduction in all photoreceptor neurons, and therefore phospholipase C-null mutants (norpA^{2a}) are completely blind (12). Hence, we recombined cpes with norpA^{2a}. As shown in Movie S4, norpA^{2a} double mutants were insensitive to light and rescued the PSE phenotype. The photosensitivity phenotypes of w^{118} cpes, rescue, cpes; ninaE^{117}, and norpA^{2a} cpes flies were quantified and averaged for 100 flies as shown in Fig. 2 A. These experiments demonstrate that the epileptic phenotype displayed by cpes flies is a photic response and involves the phototransduction machinery.

**Neuronal Activity Is Significantly Increased After Seizure Episodes.** Increased neuronal activity is a hallmark of epilepsy. To assess neuronal activity during PSE, we used two-photon calcium imaging from head-fixed Drosophila walking freely on an air-suspended ball (Fig. 2B; also see SI Appendix, Detailed Materials and Methods) (13). Intracellular calcium changes have been traditionally used as a proxy for neuronal activity (14). We therefore expressed the calcium sensor UAS-GCaMP6f in all neurons using a pan-neuronal Gal4 driver line (nSyb-Gal4) (15). We then imaged control, cpes, and rescued flies before, immediately after, and few minutes after exposing them to a strobe stimulus of 470-nm light at 1 Hz for 1 min (50% duty cycle, 17 mW/cm²). For each fly, the imaging volume was centered on the protocerebral bridge, as shown in Fig. 2C, to guarantee that the same brain regions were imaged across flies. During the initial recordings, when the fly was in darkness, the pan-neuronal calcium activity fluctuated, often in conjunction with the fly’s movements (Fig. 2 D, 1–3). These fluctuations were observed in the control and rescue flies across all conditions and in the cpes
flies during the recovery period. However, upon visual stimulation with strobed 470-nm light (Movie S5), all cpes mutants displayed seizures, in contrast to the control and rescue flies. Further, the cpes mutants showed high levels of calcium throughout the brain immediately after the seizure period, which then returned to baseline when the flies recovered from the seizure (Fig. 2 E, 2 F).
2; and G, 2). The control and rescues flies exhibited no such increase (Fig. 2 E–G).

Abnormal Cortex Glia Are Responsible for Photosensitive Epilepsy. Induction of PSE would minimally involve photoreception followed by widespread neuronal activity that triggers uncontrolled muscular contractions. To determine the tissue or cells responsible for PSE, we used the UAS/Gal4 system to perform tissue-specific rescue experiments in cpes mutants. We first tested pan-neuronal, pan-glial, and muscle-specific Gal4 drivers for rescue of photosensitive epilepsy. We found that expression of UAS-CPES with a pan-glial driver, repo-Gal4, completely rescued the PSE phenotype (Fig. 2H and Movie S6). Pan-neuronal expression with nSyb-Gal4 and muscle-specific expression with mec2-Gal4 did not rescue the PSE (Fig. 2H and Movie S6). This indicates that CPES is required in the glia (and not in neurons or muscles) to regulate seizures induced by visual stimulation.

An adult Drosophila brain contains five major glial subtypes: perineurial, subperineurial, cortex, ensheathing, and astrocyte-like glia (7, 8). To probe if aberration in a glial cell subtype was responsible for the PSE phenotype of the mutant, we performed glial subtype-specific rescue with CPES using the UAS/Gal4 system (Fig. 2I). We found that expression of UAS-CPES in cortex glia using np622-Gal4 fully rescued the PSE phenotype (Fig. 2J and Movie S7). Similar results were obtained when CPES was expressed in the cortex glia using a second driver, nr2-Gal4 (Fig. 2I and Movie S7). Recently, a genome-wide RNAi screen identified that de novo sphingolipid biosynthesis, particularly CPE, is crucial for axonal ensheathment of glia in peripheral nerves (16). A partial rescue was observed with an ensheathing glial driver, NP6520-Gal4 (Fig. 2I and Movie S7). However, this partial rescue could be due to the expression of NP6520-Gal4 weakly in cortex glia, apart from its strong expression in the ensheathing glia (8). Further, we also noticed expression of markers in some cortex glial cells of np62-Gal4 (SI Appendix, Fig. S1 F, 1 and 2). Perineurial (NP6293-Gal4), subperineurial (NP2276-Gal4), and astrocyte-like (alm-Gal4) glial-specific expression of CPES did not rescue the PSE phenotype (Fig. 2I and Movie S8).

Neuronal Cell Body Encapsulation by Cortex Glia Is Compromised in cpes Mutants. Cortex glia are a morphologically distinct cell type that encapsulate individual neuronal cell bodies (7, 8) and provide trophic support to cortical neurons (17). A single cortex glial cell could extend its plasma membranes to encapsulate as few as 22 or as many as 74 neuronal cell bodies through competitive interaction with neighboring cells, forming a highly lamellated honeycomb-like network of membranes in the adult brain (18).

To examine glial cells, we first expressed a plasma membrane-specific protein, UAS-PLCδ-PH-EGFP, by using the pan-glial–specific driver repo-Gal4. We found that, unlike in controls, cortex glia in cpes mutants failed to establish a honeycomb-like network around the neuronal cell bodies (DAPI staining shows neuronal cell nuclei) in the cortical region of the midbrain (Fig. 3A, 1 and B, 1). Cortex glia in the optic lobes are also similarly affected, and only a few normal-looking cortex glial cells were observed (Fig. 3A, 2 and B, 2). The cortical glial phenotype was fully penetrant in the cpes-mutant flies and was fully rescued by expression of CPES in glial cells (Fig. 3C, 1 and 2). Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) analysis of adult brains revealed that in cpes brains the individual neurons are separated by a thin sheet of cortex glial processes (Fig. 3D, 1 and 2). Generally, this sheet showed the presence of four bilayer membranes (Fig. 3D, 3); the two outer membranes correspond to the neuronal plasma membrane, and the two inner membranes were from the cortex glial processes. In the cpes mutant, these cortex glial processes were absent (Fig. 3E, 1 and 2). Therefore, at higher magnification (Fig. 3E, 3) we see only two plasma membranes that correspond to the plasma membrane of neuronal cell bodies, confirming the neuronal cell body encapsulation defect in cpes mutants (Fig. 3E). This defect was rescued by CPES expression in cortical glial cells (Fig. 3 F, 1–3). It is worth noting here that the norpαδ-2 mutant, which is a mutant of phospholipase C, does not exhibit structural abnormalities in the cortical glia, and that the norpαδ-2/cpes double mutants still display cortical glial defects (SI Appendix, Fig. S2). Thus, the absence of light-induced epilepsy in norpαδ-2/cpes double mutants is due solely to blindness and not to rescue of the glial phenotype.

Cortex Glia in cpes Mutants Are Compromised Throughout Development. Cortex glia appear as embryonic stage 16 wherein they form larger chambers to encapsulate multiple neurons (9). As development proceeds, the cortex glial membranes begin to encapsulate individual neuronal cell bodies, resulting in a honeycomb-like morphology in the adult brain. To determine the fate of cortex glia during earlier stages of development, we expressed membrane RFP (UAS-mCD8-ChRFP) in cortex glia using the nr2-Gal4 driver, immunostained the embryos, and dissected brains at different stages for electron microscopy.
stages of development. As shown in Fig. 4, A, 1 and B, 1, cortex glial processes in control stage 16 embryo and in first-instar larval brains formed uniform large chambers that encapsulated multiple neurons (consisting of neuroblasts and their lineages whose nuclei are seen stained blue with DAPI). During the second- and third-instar larval stages cortex glia appear as a trophosphongium, wherein glia both form large chambers and individually encapsulate neurons in small chambers (Fig. 4, C, 1 and D, 1). By the end of pupal stage, in control brains, all neurons are individually wrapped and form the honeycomb-like morphology (Fig. 4, F, 1). In cpes mutants, the cortex glia formed aberrant processes that did not establish large chambers and encapsulate the neuronal cell bodies (Fig. 4, A, 2 and B, 2). The cortex glial processes were malformed in cpes mutants even in second- and third-instar larval brains, and neurons lacking cortex glial encapsulation became more evident (Fig. 4, C, 2 and D, 2). The honeycomb-like morphology was almost completely disrupted in the pupal stage, and only a few normal-looking cells were observed (Fig. 4, E, 2 and F, 2).

Cortex glia maintain low cell numbers during the early stages of larval development (until the late second-instar stage). However, during the third-instar stage they proliferate extensively. This proliferation correlates with neuronal proliferation, and systemic cues derived from neuronal stem cells remodel the cortex glia (9, 19). To determine whether cortex glial cell number or proliferation was compromised during development, we expressed nuclear-specific RFP (UAS-mCherry.NLS) in cortex
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Fig. 4. Cortex glia are compromised throughout development. Membrane-specific UAS-mCD8-ChRFP was expressed in the cortex glia using nrv2-Gal4, and brains at different stages of development were dissected and immunostained with dsRed antibody. The genotype of control flies is w−; cpes/Actin-GFP-CyO; nrv2-Gal4, UAS-mCD8-ChRFP/TM6b, and the genotype of cpes flies is w−; cpes; nrv2-Gal4, UAS-mCD8-ChRFP/TM6b. (A) Stage 16 embryo brain hemisphere of a control fly (A1) and a cpes-mutant fly (A2). (B) First-instar larval brain hemisphere of a control fly (B1) and a cpes-mutant fly (B2). (C) Second-instar larval brain hemisphere of a control fly (C1) and a cpes-mutant fly (C2). (D) Third-instar larval brain hemisphere of a control fly (D1) and a cpes-mutant fly (D2). (E) Midbrains of a control pupa (36–48 h post pupation) (E1) and a cpes-mutant pupa (E2). (F) Optic lobes of a control pupa (F1) and a cpes-mutant pupa (F2). The nrv2-Gal4 expression is weak in pupal optic lobes (36–48 h post pupation).
glia using NP2222-Gal4. We found that the number of cortex glia did not change significantly between control and mutants during the first-instar larval stage (SI Appendix, Fig. S3 A–D). However, cpes mutants showed reduced numbers of cortex glia in second-instar and a moderate reduction in third-instar and adult brains (SI Appendix, Fig. S3 E–P), indicating that CPES is required to establish the full complement of cortical glial cells. These findings suggest that in cpes mutants the cortex glia fail to establish consistent membrane processes that can fully encapsulate neuronal cell bodies, thereby disrupting its relationship with neurons.

SM Can Functionally Replace CPE in Cortex Glial Membranes. Drosophila lack SM, which is found in mammalian membranes. Instead, they synthesize an SM structural analog, CPE, that differs in its head group (Fig. 5 A and B) (20). Mammalian cells contain two SM synthase isoforms, SMS1, which is responsible for the bulk production of SM in the trans Golgi lumen, and SMS2, which is responsible for regenerating SM from ceramide released by sphingomyelinas at the plasma membrane (21). SMS2 is a bifunctional enzyme with SM synthase and CPE synthase activities, while SMS1 is a largely monofunctional enzyme with SM synthase activity (22). SM and CPE are structurally identical in their tail groups, which are buried in the lipid bilayer, and perhaps are functionally similar in providing structural stability to the plasma membrane and/or stability to membrane proteins. We wondered whether SM could functionally replace the role of CPE in cortex glial membranes. To address this possibility, we generated transgenic flies that expressed V5-tagged human SM in its head group (Fig. 5 A and B) (20). Mammalian cells contain two SM synthase isoforms, SMS1, which is responsible for the bulk production of SM in the trans Golgi lumen, and SMS2, which is responsible for regenerating SM from ceramide released by sphingomyelinas at the plasma membrane (21). SMS2 is a bifunctional enzyme with SM synthase and CPE synthase activities, while SMS1 is a largely monofunctional enzyme with SM synthase activity (22). SM and CPE are structurally identical in their tail groups, which are buried in the lipid bilayer, and perhaps are functionally similar in providing structural stability to the plasma membrane and/or stability to membrane proteins. We wondered whether SM could functionally replace the role of CPE in cortex glial membranes. To address this possibility, we generated transgenic flies that expressed V5-tagged human SM...
synthase 1 (hSMS1) and humanSM synthase 2 (hSMS2) under UAS control. Both proteins localized to their respective physiological compartments (we used male accessory glands for immunolocalization due to the prominent Golgi compartments in these cells) (Fig. 5 C–E) (23). To determine whether these enzymes catalyze SM synthesis in Drosophila, we extracted total lipids from fly heads and analyzed them using TLC. As shown in Fig. 5F, lipid extracts from hSMS1 and hSMS2 showed significant amounts of SM (Fig. 5F, lanes 4 and 5), and, as expected, SM was not detected in fly extracts from w1118, cpes, and CPES rescue samples (Fig. 5F, lanes 1–3). The amount of SM made by hSMS1 was significantly higher than the amount made by hSMS2, perhaps due to the lower amount of ceramide present at the plasma membrane compared with the Golgi (Fig. 5F, lanes 4 and 5). Interestingly, as previously reported (22, 24), hSMS2 produced both CPE (in small amounts) and SM (Fig. 5F, lane 5). Consistently, cpes mutants (Fig. 5F, lane 2) showed a dramatic reduction in CPE levels that was rescued by overexpression of CPE synthase (Fig. 5F, lane 3). Further, we performed supercritical fluid chromatography coupled to mass spectrometry (SFC-MS/MS) to analyze sphingolipids in fly heads (Dataset S2). As shown in Fig. 5G, SM was detected only in hSMS1 and hSMS2 rescue flies. The hSMS2 rescue flies also accumulated a significant amount of CPE, but no CPE was detected in cpes mutants or hSMS1 rescue flies (Fig. 5G). Ceramide is markedly increased in hSMS1 rescue flies (Fig. 5F). Hexosylceramides are slightly increased in all CPEs, hSMS1, and hSMS2 rescue samples (Fig. 5J).

To determine whether hSMS1 could rescue the cortex glial abnormality, we overexpressed UAS-hSMS1 and UAS-PLC8-PH-EGFP (to label the plasma membrane) in glial cells using repo-Gal4. As shown in Fig. 5 K, 1 and 2, expression of hSMS1 completely rescued the cortex glial-encapsulating defects. This result emphasizes the evolutionarily conserved role of SM in glial membranes. The expression of plasma membrane-specific hSMS2 also rescued the cortex glial phenotype (Fig. 5 L, 1 and 2), suggesting that the SM/CPE produced locally on the plasma membrane is sufficient to rescue the cortex glial phenotype. TEM analysis further confirmed these results (Fig. 5 M and N). However, minor defects in encapsulation are apparent in certain areas of hSMS2 rescue brains (white arrowheads in Fig. 5 N, 1 and 2). It is worthwhile to note that ubiquitous expression of either hSMS1 or hSMS2 rescued most of the phenotypes of cpes mutants including pupal death, dorsal closure defect (SI Appendix, Fig. S4), and PSE (Fig. 5O and Movie S9). However, both hSMS1 and hSMS2 failed to rescue the male sterility phenotype, suggesting that Drosophila CPES might play a unique role in spermatogenesis.

**Altered Plasma Membrane Structure Is Responsible for Cortical Neuronal Encapsulation Defects.** Similar to SM synthesis in mammals, CPE synthesis in *Drosophila* occurs in the Golgi complex (21). It was shown that inhibition of SM synthesis reduces the rate of secretion of several proteins, particularly GPI-anchored proteins, from the Golgi to the plasma membrane (25–27). To examine the effect of perturbing CPE synthesis on the trafficking of membrane proteins, we transiently expressed UAS-GFP-GPI and UAS-mCD8-ChRFP using hsp70-Gal4. The conditional hsp70-Gal4 does not transcribe at room temperature but can be induced to express maximally at 37°C (28). After heat shock at 37°C for 1 h, flies were recovered at 25°C for 12 or 24 h and were analyzed (SI Appendix, Fig. S5 A–L). Hsp70-Gal4 is expected to express in all cell types when induced by heat shock. However, we found that the expression of GFP-GPI and mCD8-ChRFP is stronger in glia than in neurons (SI Appendix, Fig. S5 A–L). Confocal images were taken from the medullary region in the optic lobes, primarily due to the presence of elaborate glial membranes that showed strong expression of membrane proteins upon heat shock. Both GPI-anchored GFP and mCD8-ChRFP were localized to the plasma membrane within 12 h of induction in control and cpes mutants (SI Appendix, Fig. S5 A–L). A similar localization pattern was seen at 24 h after heat shock (SI Appendix, Fig. S5 A–L). To further confirm membrane localization of these proteins, we isolated plasma membrane from flies 24 h after heat shock using sucrose density gradient centrifugation, and fractions were analyzed by Western blotting. Na+ K+-ATPase was used as a marker for the plasma membrane. As shown in SI Appendix, Fig. S5 M–P, both GFP-GPI and mCD8-ChRFP are present in plasma membrane fractions (SI Appendix, Fig. S5 M–P). The amount of GFP-GPI and mCD8-ChRFP is comparable in control and cpes mutants. These results indicate that post-Golgi trafficking of membrane proteins may not be impaired in cpes mutants. SM has been shown to protect plasma membrane lipids from reactive oxygen species (29, 30). Oxidative stress has been shown to cause plasma membrane damage and rapid aging in ceramide transfer protein (dcert)-null mutants (31). However, this was not a causative factor in the cpes-mutant phenotype, since expression of superoxide dismutase and catalase did not rescue the cortex glial morphology (SI Appendix, Fig. S6).

We then turned our attention to the plasma membrane, the site of CPE localization and function. The plasma membrane is enriched in phospholipids together with cholesterol and sphingolipids. To determine the status of membrane lipids in cpes mutants, we performed in vivo metabolic labeling with 32P-labeled orthophosphoric acid. TLC analysis of lipids extracted from fly heads showed that, compared with controls, cpes mutants have high amounts of lysosphospholipids, including lysoPC and LysoPE (Fig. 6 A and B). SM has been shown to negatively regulate phospholipases (cPLA2 and sPLA2) in the plasma membrane (32, 33). We wondered whether the plasma membrane in cpes mutants is increasingly damaged due to activation of phospholipases. To confirm these findings, we performed metabolomic profiling of w1118 and cpes mutants. About 301 metabolites were identified and classified into different functional pathways (Dataset S3). As shown in Fig. 6C, lysosphospholipids are significantly increased in cpes mutants (Dataset S3). These results indicate altered plasma membrane composition and properties. SM/CPE along with glycolipids and cholesterol form specialized liquid-ordered domains commonly referred to as “rafts” or isolated detergent-resistant membranes (DRMs) (34–38). Several proteins, including transmembrane proteins and GPI-anchored proteins, are shown to be preferentially enriched in DRMs. These membrane domains are resistant to detergent treatment (1% Triton X-100) on ice and float to low density on sucrose or OptiPrep gradients. The DRMs are known to regulate a number of processes involving signal transduction, cell adhesion, membrane sorting, and pathogen entry (34–38). We wanted to determine whether the lack of CPE compromises the DRM in cpes mutants and could thus drive all other plasma membrane defects. To assess the DRMs, we extracted the DRMs from fly head samples using standard protocols (39). As shown in Fig. 6D, DRMs localize to the low-density fraction of a sucrose gradient and form an opaque white band. Compared with control flies (Fig. 6D, lane 1), this DRM band was not visible in cpes mutants (Fig. 6D, lane 2). The DRM band reappeared when cpes mutants were rescued with UAS-CPEs (lane 3) or UAS-hSMS1 (lane 4). Further fractions collected from top to bottom of the sucrose gradient were subjected to Western blotting analysis with contactin and anti-HRP antibodies. Contactin is a GPI-anchored protein, and it was previously shown to localize to glial cell septate junctions (40). Western blotting analysis showed that contactin is present in DRM fractions in control flies but is absent in cpes mutants (Fig. 6 E and F). CPEs or hSMS1 overexpression rescued the contactin translocation into DRM fractions (Fig. 6 G and H). Na+ K+-ATPase is a non-DRM plasma membrane protein and therefore is present only in the bottom fractions, and it was comparable in...
all backgrounds (Fig. 6 E–H). Anti-HRP antibody is known to cross-react with several proteins from neuronal tissue containing α1,3-fucosylated N-glycans, which are cell-surface proteins (41). Western blotting with anti-HRP antibody revealed that several HRP cross-reacting proteins are present in the control DRM fractions (Fig. 6 I), the most apparent one with a mass around 83 kDa. These HRP cross-reacting proteins are significantly lower in DRM fractions of cpes mutants (Fig. 6 J) and are rescued when CPES or hSMS1 is overexpressed ubiquitously (Fig. 6 K and L). In summary, these results strongly suggest that the failure to establish DRMs due to a lack of CPE leads to the cortex glial encapsulation defect.

Discussion

Visual stimulation of seizures, also known as PSE, is well documented in human patients (2). It is estimated that about 2–14% of epilepsy patients have a chance of developing photic-induced seizures (3, 42). However, the mechanisms responsible for photosensitive epilepsy are not clearly understood (2). Several mutants isolated in Drosophila display seizures and paralysis when stimulated mechanically (bang sensitive) and therefore serve as a wonderful model for epilepsy (43). However, light-induced seizures have not been experimentally described in Drosophila. In the present study, we demonstrate a photosensitive epilepsy and use this phenotype as a tool to discover the underlying pathology in the cpes-mutant brains (Fig. 2). In the mammalian system, neuron and glia interactions are complex, wherein a single mature astrocyte (also embedded within the cell cortex and structurally similar to the cortex glia in Drosophila) can wrap multiple neuronal cell bodies (somata), hundreds of dendrites, and thousands of individual synapses (44, 45). This complex interaction of glia with
neurons makes it difficult to study the role of glia selectively at synapses or at the cell body. In *Drosophila*, neuronal cell bodies and neuronal synapses are spatially separated and are supported by cortex and neuropile glia, respectively. This allows us to study neuronal cell body and glial interactions independent of the synapses, which is challenging in the mammalian system (18). Although a number of studies have shown that astrocytes play crucial role in epilepsy in mammals (40), much remains to be investigated, especially the role of aberrant glia and neuronal cell body interactions in epilepsy. The *cps* mutants described here serve as a powerful model to study the mechanisms responsible for photosensitive epilepsy. The availability of genetic tools and the ease of performing physiology experiments in live animals allow *Drosophila* to serve as a promising model for PSE.

In this study, we have shown that *CPES* is essential for the encapsulation of neuronal cell bodies by cortex glia. Our study suggests that in *cps* mutants the plasma membrane fails to establish DRMs and protein fails to segregate into these DRMs. That CPE/SM is enriched in plasma membrane DRMs (microdomains) has been known for a long time (20, 47). Further, a number of studies have shown that blocking SM biosynthetic pathways affects the formation of microdomains and subsequent segregation of membrane proteins into microdomains (48–51). We find that microdomain formation and translocation of membrane proteins, including GPI-anchored proteins, into these microdomains was significantly compromised in the *cps* mutants (Fig. 6 D–L). Expression of hSM1 that synthesizes SM, a structural analog of CPE, rescued microdomain formation and the translocation of membrane proteins into microdomains (Fig. 6 D–L). These data demonstrate that the head group of CPE/SM has no significant role in the formation of microdomains at the plasma membrane. Perturbations in the microdomains compromise plasma membrane structure and function (52). The impact of this deficiency is felt most in circumstances associated with massive membrane expansion, such as elaboration of cortical glial membrane processes.

A seizure is a clinical manifestation of an abnormal, excessive, hypersynchronous discharge of a population of cortical neurons (53). One possible role of cortex glia in seizures is to prevent hypersynchronization of brain neurons by regulating ion balance at the interface between neuronal cell bodies. Consistent with this hypothesis, disruption of the cortex glial-specific Na+/Ca2+ exchanger prevents microdomain Ca2+ oscillations and increased susceptibility to seizures (54). Other possible roles of cortex glia include (i) providing insulation to the neuronal cell bodies, thereby protecting them from electrical crosstalk between the neurons, (ii) providing metabolic support to the neurons and thereby preventing neuronal degeneration/death (17, 19), and (iii) preventing aberrant neuronal connectivity by guiding secondary axon tract growth, pathfinding, and fasciculation during development (55).

**Materials and Methods**

**Fly Stocks.** The fly stocks were obtained from the Bloomington Drosophila Stock Center and the Drosophila Genetic Resource Center, Kyoto as described in SI Appendix.

**Molecular Cloning of Transgenic Rescue and Targeting Constructs.** The V5-tagged CPES genomic rescue construct was generated using recombiner methods as described (56, 57). The UAS-GFP-GPI construct was made as described previously (58). The CPES-knockout line (cps1) was generated by ends-out homologous recombination (59) as detailed in SI Appendix.

**Sphingolipidomics.** Sphingolipids are estimated as described in SI Appendix and based on published protocols (31, 60, 61).

**Development and Survival Analysis, Longevity, Photosensitive Epilepsy Experiments, Cortex Glial Counting, Western Analysis, and Transmission Electron Microscopy.** These experiments were performed as described in SI Appendix.

**Calcium Imaging.** Two-photon calcium imaging from freely behaving head-fixed *Drosophila* was performed as described previously (13). Details are provided in SI Appendix.

**Immunohistochemistry.** *Drosophila* tissues were dissected and immunostained as described previously (62–65). Details are provided in SI Appendix.

**TLC for Sphingolipids.** Lipids were extracted from 10 fly heads and were separated for sphingolipids on TLC as described previously (66). Details are provided in SI Appendix.

**Metabolic Labeling and TLC Analysis of Phospholipids.** Lipid extracts of *Drosophila* were analyzed for phospholipids by TLC using the method described previously (67). Details are provided in SI Appendix.

**Metabolomics Analysis.** The global metabolomics profile was determined for wild-type and *cps* mutant flies (Dataset S3). Four biological replicates, each with 100 whole flies, were snap-frozen in liquid nitrogen, stored at −80 °C, and submitted to Metabolon, Inc. for GC-MS and LC-MS/MS analysis of metabolites.

**Plasma Membrane Isolation.** Plasma membrane was isolated essentially as described previously (68) with a few modifications as described in SI Appendix.

**DRM Isolation.** DRMs were isolated essentially as described previously (39) with a few modifications as described in SI Appendix.
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