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Abstract

We propose a novel scenario for possible electromagnetic (EM) emission by compact binary mergers in the accretion disks of active galactic nuclei (AGNs). Nuclear star clusters in AGNs are a plausible formation site of compact-stellar binaries (CSBs) whose coalescences can be detected through gravitational waves (GWs). We investigate the accretion onto and outflows from CSBs embedded in AGN disks. We show that these outflows are likely to create outflow cavities in the AGN disks before the binaries merge, which makes EM or neutrino counterparts much less common than would otherwise be expected. We discuss the necessary conditions for detectable EM counterparts to mergers inside the outflow cavities. If the merger remnant black hole experiences a high recoil velocity and can enter the AGN disk, it can accrete gas with a super-Eddington rate, newly forming a cavity-like structure. This bubble can break out of the disk within a day to a week after the merger. Such breakout emission can be bright enough to be detectable by current soft X-ray instruments, such as Swift-XRT and Chandra.

Unified Astronomy Thesaurus concepts: Stellar mass black holes (1611); Active galactic nuclei (16); Gravitational waves (678); Transient sources (1851); Accretion (14)

1. Introduction

LIGO (Aasi et al. 2015) and Virgo (Acernese et al. 2015) discovered over 30 binary black hole (BBH) mergers (Abbott et al. 2021a, 2021b), transforming our ability to study these cosmic events. Among these findings, three peculiar events stand out. GW190412 has a low mass ratio of $M_{\text{sec}}/M_{\text{pri}} \approx 0.25-0.31$, where $M_{\text{sec}}$ and $M_{\text{pri}}$ are the primary and secondary masses, respectively (Abbott et al. 2020a). Another event, GW190814 (Abbott et al. 2020b), has a secondary mass $\sim 2.6 M_\odot$ that is in the lower mass gap, which is difficult to explain with standard stellar evolution (e.g., Farr et al. 2011; Ozel et al. 2012). The objects in GW190814 also have highly asymmetric masses, with $M_{\text{sec}}/M_{\text{pri}} \approx 0.11$. Finally, GW190521 (Abbott et al. 2020c, 2020d) consists of a BH within the upper mass gap where stellar evolution theories predict no BH formation due to (pulsational) pair-instability supernovae (Woosley 2017). The total mass of this event is $\sim 150 M_\odot$, which is the most massive stellar-mass BBH system currently known.

These events are not expected by standard formation scenarios of merging BBHs, such as isolated binary evolution (Kinugawa et al. 2014; Belczynski et al. 2016) and globular clusters (Rodríguez et al. 2016; Fujii et al. 2017). In particular, the formation of GW190521 is challenging because both the primary and the secondary BHs are likely too massive (but for possible explanations, see Farrell et al. 2021; Liu & Bromm 2020; Safarzadeh & Haiman 2020; Tanikawa et al. 2021 by Pop III stars, Belczynski 2020; Costa et al. 2021 by uncertainty in the nuclear burning cross section, Vink et al. 2021 by a low-metallicity stellar evolution).

Alternatively, nuclear star clusters in galaxies that host active galactic nuclei (AGNs) have been proposed as a possible BBH formation channel (McKernan et al. 2012; Bartos et al. 2017; Stone et al. 2017; Samsing et al. 2020; Tagawa et al. 2020b). In this scenario, interaction with the accretion disk aligns some of the BHs’ orbits with the disk, after which the BHs migrate inwards within the disk. As BHs are compressed to an even smaller volume, they can undergo multiple consecutive, so-called hierarchical, mergers, resulting in heavier BHs some of which can reside in the upper mass gap (Yang et al. 2019; Gayathri et al. 2020a; Tagawa et al. 2020b, 2021a). Similarly, hierarchical mergers involving neutron stars (NSs) in AGN disks can result in merging objects in the lower mass gap (Yang et al. 2020). In addition, Gayathri et al. (2020b) reported that the gravitational waveform of GW190521 points to a highly eccentric merger, further supporting the event’s dynamical/AGN origin (Samsing et al. 2020; Tagawa et al. 2021b).

Graham et al. (2020) recently reported an optical counterpart candidate to GW190521. The host galaxy of the counterpart is an AGN, and the claim is that the Bondi–Hoyle–Lyttleton accretion onto the merged BH powered the counterpart (McKernan et al. 2019). Compact binary mergers involving NSs have also been proposed as possible multimessenger sources within AGN disks. Recently, Zhu et al. (2021) discussed the cases for binary neutron star (BNS) and NS–BH mergers and jet breakout emission from kilonova ejecta. Perna et al. (2021) focused more generally on explosions in AGN disks and the ensuing breakout emission.

Outflow-driven transients and electromagnetic (EM) counterparts have been studied in the context of gravitational waves.
sources. If the accretion rate onto a BH is higher than the Eddington rate, radiation-driven outflows are produced (Ohsuga et al. 2005; Sawdowski et al. 2013; Jiang et al. 2014). Murase et al. (2016) proposed outflow-driven optical and radio transients powered by BBH mergers with mini-disks. Kimura et al. (2017a, 2017b) investigated EM counterparts powered by sub-relativistic outflows at the secondary explosion in compact-stellar binaries (CSBs), including those induced by the Bondi–Hoyle–Littleton accretion onto the primary BH. Disk-driven outflows are also relevant for the post-merger jet propagation, as discussed in the context of EM and neutrino counterparts of supermassive BH (SMBH) mergers (Yuan et al. 2020, 2021).

In this paper, we consider radiation-driven outflows powered by the circum-binary disk formed around CSBs, which unavoidably affects the fate of post-merger outflows. We show a schematic picture of our scenario in Figure 1. Using the current understanding of accretion and outflow production processes, which have been mainly developed in the contexts of planet formation and BH accretion, respectively, we show that radiation-driven outflows produce outflow bubbles inside AGN disks (see the panel 1 in Figure 1). The outflows are so powerful that they can penetrate the AGN disk, forming a cavity around the CSB before the merger event in most of the suitable parameter range (panel 2 in Figure 1). This cavity has such a low density that the merged BH cannot appreciably accrete from the surrounding medium as long as it is in the cavity. If the merged BH is kicked out of the cavity and into the intact AGN disk, then it can again accrete the surrounding gas at the Bondi–Hoyle–Littleton rate (panel 3 in Figure 1). In this case, radiation-driven outflows are produced, and the outflow bubble breaks out the AGN disk again. Such an outflow-bubble breakout may emit detectable soft X-rays (panel 4 in Figure 1).

This paper is organized as follows. We estimate mass accretion rates onto CSBs in AGN disks in Section 2. Then, conditions for outflow cavity formation are shown in Section 3. Our scenario for EM counterparts to BBH mergers are described in detail in Section 4. We provide a summary, implications, and future prospects of our results in Section 5.

---

8 Density gaps can be formed by AGN disk–binary interactions, which also decreases the ambient density (see Section 2). However, the gap density does not significantly decrease for most of the parameter space. A cavity has a much lower density than the gap.
We use the notation of $Q_X = Q/10^X$ in cgs unit except for masses of SMBHs and CSBs for which we use $M_\odot$.

2. Accretion Rates onto Compact-stellar Binaries

We consider an equal-mass CSB of total mass $M_{\text{CSB}}$ and separation $a$ in an AGN disk surrounding an SMBH of mass $M_\bullet$ with an accretion rate $\dot{M}_\text{AGN} = m_{\text{AGN}} L_{\text{Edd,AGN}} / c^2 \approx 1.4 \times 10^{25} \dot{m}_{\text{AGN},0} M_\odot \text{ erg s}^{-1}$, where $L_{\text{Edd, AGN}} \approx 1.3 \times 10^{46} M_\odot \text{ s}^{-1}$ is the Eddington luminosity, and $\dot{m}_{\text{AGN}}$ is the normalized accretion rate. The CSB is located at $R = R_H = R GM_\bullet / c^2 \approx 1.5 \times 10^{16} M_\odot r_H^3$ cm from the SMBH. We define the mass ratio $q = M_{\text{CSB}} / M_\bullet = 10^{-6} M_{\text{CSB}} M_\odot r_H^3$.

We consider a viscous accretion disk using the $\alpha$ prescription, in which the radial velocity is estimated to be $V_R \approx \alpha H^2 V_K \approx 9.5 \times 10^5 \alpha_0 R^{-1/2} \text{ cm s}^{-1}$, where $V_K = \sqrt{GM_\bullet / R} \approx 9.5 \times 10^5 R_3^{-1/2} \text{ cm s}^{-1}$ is the Kepler velocity, $\alpha_0 \approx 0.1$ is the viscous parameter, $H = H/R$ is the aspect ratio of the accretion disk, $H \approx (C_s / V_K) R$ is the disk scale height, and $C_s$ is the sound velocity in the disk. The scale height and aspect ratio should consistently be determined by the thermal balance and hydrostatic equilibrium. In a standard viscous accretion disk, the aspect ratio does not strongly depend on any parameters (Shakura & Sunyaev 1973; Kato et al. 2008), and we expect $10^{-3} \lesssim H \lesssim 3 \times 10^{-3}$ for the gas-pressure dominant regime. At the outer part of the AGN disk, the disk is gravitationally unstable, i.e., $Q = C_s \Omega / (\pi G \dot{M}_{\text{AGN}}) \sim 1$ (Toomre 1964), where $\Sigma_{\text{AGN}} \approx \dot{M}_{\text{AGN}} / (2 \pi R V_K) \approx 1.6 \times 10^5 M_{\odot,25} R_8^{-1} V_3^{-1}$ g cm$^{-2}$ is the surface density of the AGN disk (Pringle 1981). The gravitational instability induces star formation activities. Then, the feedback from massive stars heats up the gas, which likely maintains the disk marginally stable, $Q \sim 1$. This can lead to a high value of $H \sim 0.1$ (Thompson et al. 2005; Stone et al. 2017), although this mechanism can result in a relatively thin disk of $H \lesssim 0.01$, depending on the parameters (Tagawa et al. 2020b). Here, we provide $H$ as a parameter, which allows us to investigate a wider parameter space.

The CSB accretes gas from an AGN disk, which is analogous to the gas accretion onto massive planets embedded in protoplanetary disks. Tanigawa & Tanaka (2016) compiled 2d and 3d simulation results for the mass accretion rate onto a planet embedded in a protoplanetary disk (Tanigawa & Watanabe 2002; D’Angelo et al. 2003; Machida et al. 2010), and found that the mass accretion rate is well described by

$$M_{\text{CSB}} \approx M_{TT16} \approx 0.3 (\alpha^{-2/3} R_V^4) \Sigma_{\text{CSB}} \approx 3.0 \times 10^{26} (\alpha_0^{-2/3} R_6^4) \Sigma_{\text{CSB}} \text{ g s}^{-1},$$

where $\Sigma_{\text{CSB}}$ is the surface density of the AGN disk at the position of the CSB. The parameter dependence of Equation (1) is consistent with the simple formula, $M_{\text{CSB}} \approx \alpha r_H C_s \rho_{\text{CSB}} \propto H^{-2} q^{-1/4} R_V^2 \Sigma_{\text{CSB}}$, where $r_H = 2GM_{\text{CSB}} / C_s^2 \approx 2q H^{-2} R$ is the Bondi radius, $r_H \approx (q/3)^{1/3} R$ is the Hill radius, $\rho_{\text{CSB}} \approx \Sigma_{\text{CSB}} / (2H)$ is the density of the AGN disk, and we use $C_s \approx H V_K$. Some previous studies have utilized $M_{\text{CSB}}$ in the regime of $r_H < H$ and $r_H \ll H$ (e.g., Stone et al. 2017; Tagawa et al. 2020b). Remarkably, $M_{\text{CSB}} \sim M_{\text{BH}}$ is satisfied even for the regime of $r_H \gg H$ and $r_H \gg H$ according to the simulations. Also, the relation is applicable for both $r_H > r_H$ and $r_H < r_H$. However, the simulation results slightly deviate from the values obtained by Equation (1) for low and high values of planet masses, based on Figure 1 in Tanigawa & Tanaka (2016). Thus, it is unclear whether we can use the formula for all the parameter space. Future simulation studies with a wider parameter range might find the parameter space where another formula, such as the Bondi accretion rate, should be used. The binary-AGN–disk interaction can affect the surface density of the AGN disk. Because of the gravitational torque from the CSB, the density gap may open for a massive CSB, which results in $\Sigma_{\text{CSB}}$ different from $\Sigma_{\text{AGN}}$. Numerical simulations and analytic considerations of the planet–disk interaction process revealed that the surface density can be estimated to be (Kanagawa et al. 2015)

$$\Sigma_{\text{CSB}} \approx \min(1, \chi_{\text{gap}}) \Sigma_{\text{AGN}},$$

where $\chi_{\text{gap}} \approx 32 \alpha^{-2} H^{-2} \alpha$. For our fiducial parameter set, we have $\chi_{\text{gap}} \approx 1.0 \alpha^{-2} H^{-2} \alpha$. The gap opening corresponds to $\chi_{\text{gap}} \approx 1$, which occurs for a massive CSB or a geometrically thin AGN disk. Substituting the expressions for $R$, $V_K$, and $\Sigma_{\text{AGN}}$, we obtain the parameter dependence of the mass accretion rate as $\dot{m}_{\text{TT16}} = M_{TT16} / L_{\text{Edd,CSB}} \propto \dot{m}_{\text{AGN}} H^{-4} q^{1/3}$ for $\chi_{\text{gap}} > 1$ and $\dot{m}_{\text{TT16}} \propto \dot{m}_{\text{AGN}} H^{-4} q^{-1/3}$ for $\chi_{\text{gap}} < 1$, where $L_{\text{Edd,CSB}}$ is the Eddington luminosity for the CSB. The normalization of $M_{\text{CSB}}$ is given in Equation (1) with $\chi_{\text{gap}} \approx 1$.

If $M_{\text{TT16}}$ is higher than $M_{\bullet}$, the mass accretion onto the CSB is simply limited by the mass supply from the outer AGN disk. Then, the accretion rate is written as

$$M_{\text{CSB}} \approx \eta_{\text{CSB}} \dot{m}_{\text{AGN}} \approx 1.4 \times 10^{24} \dot{m}_{\text{AGN},0} M_\odot \text{ g s}^{-1},$$

where $\eta_{\text{CSB}} \times 1$ is a parameter that describes a fraction of AGN disk mass transferred to the CSB. The value of $\eta_{\text{CSB}}$ is uncertain, although 2D simulations may suggest $\eta_{\text{CSB}} \sim 0.5$ (Li et al. 2021). Combining the two regimes, the mass accretion rate onto the CSB is represented as $M_{\text{CSB}} = \min(M_{\text{TT16}}, \eta_{\text{CSB}} M_{\text{AGN}})$. Interestingly, the mass accretion rate is independent of $R$ in all the branches. One may think that the SMBH would be starved unless $\eta_{\text{CSB}} \ll 1$, since there are many CSBs embedded in an AGN disk. However, for the cases with $M_{\text{CSB}} = \eta_{\text{CSB}} M_{\text{AGN}}$, the outflow velocity should be lower than the escape velocity of the SMBH, because the outflow production radius (see Section 3) is large for $\eta_{\text{CSB}} > 1$. Then, the outflows will fall back to the AGN disk, and thus, the SMBH is not starved in our scenario.

We plot the mass accretion rate as a function of $H$ for parameter sets for GW190521 and BNS mergers in typical AGN in Figure 2 (see captions for other parameter sets). We can see that the mass accretion rate is limited by $M_{\text{AGN}}$ for $H \lesssim 0.01$, where we see that $M_{\text{CSB}} \gtrsim 0.5 L_{\text{Edd,CSB}}$. Such a high mass accretion rate leads to production of powerful radiation-driven outflows (Ohsuga et al. 2005; Jiang et al. 2014; Sadowski et al. 2014; Takahashi et al. 2016). For a further lower value of $H \lesssim 10^{-3}$, the density gap opens up in the AGN disk due to the binary–disk interactions. This leads to a low value of $M_{\text{TT16}}$, but the mass accretion rate is still determined by $\eta_{\text{CSB}} M_{\text{AGN}}$ and highly super-Eddington in the reasonable range of $H$. For an opposite limit of $H \gtrsim 0.01$, $M_{\text{CSB}} = M_{\text{TT16}}$ is satisfied. The mass accretion rate onto the CSB is lower for a
higher $\mathcal{H}$, and close to the Eddington value at $\mathcal{H} \sim 0.1$ for all the cases.

### 3. Cavity Formation by Radiation-driven Outflows

Because of the shear motion of the AGN disk, the accreting gas has an angular momentum which is aligned to that of the AGN disk (Lubow et al. 1999; Tanigawa & Watanabe 2002). The accreting gas is circularized at (Tanigawa et al. 2012)

$$r_{\text{circ}} \approx 0.1 r_{\text{Hill}} \approx 1.0 \times 10^{13} q_{\text{r}}^{1/3} R_3 M_8 \text{ cm},$$

(4)

where the factor $0.1$ is calibrated by hydrodynamic simulations. The circularized gas forms a circum-binary disk. For a merging CSB, $r_{\text{circ}}$ is much larger than the binary separation, $a$. Then, the gravitational force of the CSB exerted on the circum-binary disk is approximated by a point source, and the evolution of the circum-binary disk is described by the theory of accretion flows onto a single BH as long as $r \gg a$.

#### 3.1. Outflows from Circum-binary Disks

An accretion flow with a highly super-Eddington rate produces outflows using the radiation pressure. The outflows are expected to be produced at the point where the accretion luminosity becomes higher than the Eddington luminosity, i.e., $GM_{\text{CSB}}/r_w \sim L_{\text{Edd,CSB}}$. This leads to the expression of the outflow production radius of $r_w \approx \tilde{m}_{\text{CSB}} r_G$, where $r_G = GM_{\text{CSB}} c^{-2}$. At the vicinity of the CSB, the circum-binary disk is torn apart via interactions with the CSB. The inner edge of the circum-binary disk is determined by the balance between the precession torque from the binary and the viscous torque in the disk, which leads to (Nixon et al. 2013)

$$r_{\text{in}} \approx A_{\text{in}} a \approx 16 \mu^{1/2} (\sin 2\theta)^{1/2} (h/r)^{-1/2} \alpha^{-1/2} a,$$

(5)

where $\mu = M_{\text{CSB}}/(M_{\text{in}} + M_{\text{CSB}})$ is the binary mass ratio, $\theta$ is the angle between binary orbital plane and the circum-binary disk, and $(h/r)$ is the aspect ratio of the circum-binary disk. We expect outflows when $r_w > r_{\text{in}}$, i.e., $a < a_w = \tilde{m}_{\text{CSB}} r_G / A_{\text{in}}$. On the other hand, we do not expect the outflows from the circum-binary disk for $r_w < r_{\text{in}}$. In this case, the CSB accretes the gas through the mini-disks surrounding each BH. We will discuss this situation in Section 3.2.

The duration of outflow production from circum-binary disks is limited by the timescale of the CSB merger. Since the separation is close enough when the outflows are produced, GW radiation is the dominant process of binary separation in most cases. Then, we estimate the outflow duration to be (e.g., Shapiro & Teukolsky 1983)

$$t_{\text{gw}} = \frac{5}{128} \frac{c^5 u_4^4}{G^3 M_{\text{CSB}}^4} \approx \frac{5}{128} \frac{\tilde{m}_{\text{CSB}}^4 r_G}{A_{\text{in}}^4 c}$$

$$\approx 1.9 \times 10^{11} \tilde{m}_{\text{CSB}}^4 r_{\text{in}}^{-4} M_{\text{CSB}}^2 \text{ s},$$

(6)

where we use $r_G = GM_{\text{CSB}} c^{-2}$ and $a_w = \tilde{m}_{\text{CSB}} r_G / A_{\text{in}}$ in the second equation. The binary-single interactions happening in AGN disks can determine the merger timescale if $t_{\text{gw}} > 0.1–1$ Myr (Tagawa et al. 2020b). The binary-single interactions occur using the difference of the migration velocity between the CSB and the third body, and several binary-single interactions can lead to a merger event. With a typical parameters, the merger timescale by the binary-single interaction is $10^5–10^7$ yr (Tagawa et al. 2020b). AGN disk–binary interactions may also affect the merger timescale, which also leads to a typically merger timescale of the order of megayears (e.g., Stone et al. 2017).

The radiation-driven outflows create a wind bubble in the surrounding of massive stars. For a uniform density, the bubble expands with time as $r_{\text{hub}} \approx 0.88 (L/\rho c^2)^{1/3} / \rho_{\text{CSB}}$ (Weaver et al. 1977; Koo & McKee 1992), where $L_w = \eta_w M_{\text{CSB}} v_{\text{w}}^2 \approx 3.2 \times 10^{41} M_{\text{CSB,2}} v_{\text{w}}^2 \nu_{\text{w}} = 10^{-9} \Sigma_{\text{AGN}} c H_{1.5} \text{ cm}^3$ is the mass density in the AGN disk at the position of the CSB. Radiation hydrodynamic simulations suggest that $\eta_w > 0.9$ for highly super-Eddington accretion of $\tilde{m}_{\text{CSB}} > 10^4$ (Jiao et al. 2015; Kitaki et al. 2018). Nevertheless, we conservatively use $\eta_w = 0.32$ as a fiducial value, which is suitable for $\tilde{m}_{\text{CSB}} \sim 10^2$ (Jiang et al. 2014). The bubble continuously expands, and the outflow bubble penetrates the
AGN disk and make a cavity in the disk within a timescale of

\[ t_{\text{cav}} \approx \left( \frac{\rho_{\text{CSB}} H^{5}}{0.53 L_{\text{w}}} \right)^{1/3} \]

\[ \approx 5.7 \times 10^{5} \rho_{\text{CSB}}^{-1/3} H_{13.5}^{-5/3} L_{w,41.5}^{-1/3} \text{s}. \]  

Here, we assume that the outflow luminosity is independent of the bubble size. Feedback by the outflow bubbles may affect the mass accretion rate and the outflow luminosity. The feedback is actively discussed in the context of the growth of SMBHs (Proga 2007; Milosavljević et al. 2009), and the simulations with anisotropic feedback result in the accretion rate comparable to the Bondi rate (e.g., Sugimura et al. 2017; Takeo et al. 2018), supporting our assumption. Future studies with parameter sets for stellar-mass BHs will be able to quantitatively understand \( M_{\text{CSB}} \) with the feedback.

If \( t_{\text{cav}} < t_{\text{gw}} \), the outflow bubble penetrates the AGN disk, and a cavity is inevitably formed before the merger. Figure 3 shows \( t_{\text{cav}} \) and \( t_{\text{gw}} \) as a function of \( \mathcal{R} = R/R_{S} \). The top-left, top-right, bottom-left, and bottom-right panels are for \( (M_\bullet, \mathcal{H}) = (10^{8}, 10^{-3}) \), \( (10^{9}, 10^{-2}) \), \( (10^{10}, 10^{-1}) \), and \( (10^{10}, 10^{-3}) \), respectively. The shaded region represents the timescale for the CSB merger in AGN disks (Tagawa et al. 2020b). The vertical lines represent the critical aspect ratio above which the AGN disk is gravitationally unstable. The values of the other parameters are \( M_{\text{CSB}} = 150 M_\odot \), \( \eta_{\text{w}} = 0.32 \), \( \eta_{\text{CSB}} = 0.22 \), \( n = 0.1 \), \( v_{\text{w}} = 10^{4} \text{cm s}^{-1} \), and \( A_{\text{w}} = 11 \). We can see that the cavity formation timescale is the shortest in all the panels.

For the cavity formation is given by \( \mathcal{H} > \mathcal{H}_{\text{crit}} \), where

\[ \mathcal{H}_{\text{crit}} \approx 0.04 q^{25/162} \sqrt{\eta_{\text{w}}^{2}/9} \mathcal{R}_{4}^{2/27} \alpha_{29}^{-13/72} \eta_{\text{w},0.3}^{-1/54} L_{w,41.5}^{1/54} A_{\text{w}}^{-2/9}. \]

Here, we use \( M_{\text{CSB}} = M_{\text{TT16}} \) and the disk structure without a gap, i.e., \( \chi_{\text{gap}} > 1 \). We see that the parameter dependence of the critical aspect ratio is very weak, and thus, the cavity should be formed in the standard disk of \( \mathcal{H} \approx 0.03 \). We stress that the outflow cavity is formed for a wide range of AGN accretion disks.

If \( M_{\text{CSB}} \) is sufficiently high, or if \( M_\bullet \) and/or \( \dot{m}_{\text{AGN}} \) are sufficiently low, the cavity formation may be avoided. The mass accretion rate for the CSB is limited by \( \eta_{\text{CSB}} L_{\text{AGN}} \) in this case, which makes \( t_{\text{cav}} \) longer and \( t_{\text{gw}} \) shorter. We see this in the top-left panel in Figure 4. For a low value of \( \mathcal{H} \), the density gap is also formed, which changes the parameter dependence of the relevant timescales. This feature is also seen in the top-left panel.

3.2. Outflows from Mini-disks

Next, we discuss the effect of mini-disks surrounding each compact object. The circumbinary disk is destroyed at \( r \approx r_{\text{in}} \), and the accreting gas forms two mini-disks around the primary and secondary, respectively. A circumbinary disk with a high accretion rate should have a large aspect ratio, \( (h/r) \gtrsim 0.1 \). This makes a turbulent viscosity stronger than the torque exerted by the binary orbital motion. Then, most of the accretion gas can enter into the binary orbit and forms the mini-disks. This picture is supported by the recent simulations, where the mass accretion rate in the mini-disks are comparable to that in the circumbinary disk (D’Orazio et al. 2013; Farris et al. 2014; Moody et al. 2019). Thus, the outflow rate from the mini-disks
is likely not to be much different from that from the circumbinary disks, and we estimate the cavity production timescale by Equation (7).

The cavity production is interrupted by viscous diffusion of the AGN disk material, migration by the disk-CSB interaction, or the merger of the CSB. The viscous timescale of the AGN disk is estimated to be (Pringle 1981)

$$t_{\text{vis}} \approx \frac{R}{\alpha H^2 V_K} \approx 1.5 \times 10^3 R_{3.5}^{3/2} M_{8.0}^{-1} H_{2.5}^{-2} s.$$ (9)

The migration timescale depends on the gravitational stability of the AGN disk and the existence of the density gap. For a gravitationally stable AGN disk, recent numerical simulations revealed that the migration timescales without a gap ($\chi_{\text{gap}} > 1$) and with a gap ($\chi_{\text{gap}} < 1$) are given by a simple formula (Kanagawa et al. 2018):

$$t_{\text{mig}} \approx \frac{H^2 M_{\text{CSB}}}{6 q R V_K^2 C_{\text{CSB}}}$$

$$\approx 3.3 \times 10^3 H_{2.5}^{2.5} M_{8.0} q^{2/6} R_{3.5}^{1/2} V_{K,9}^{1/2} c_{\text{CSB}}^{-5.5} s.$$ (10)

Note that $C_{\text{CSB}}$ depends on $\chi_{\text{gap}}$ and $t_{\text{mig}}$ is longer with a density gap. If the AGN disk is gravitationally unstable, the migration timescale is estimated to be $t_{\text{mig}} \approx H^2 M_{\text{CSB}}/(\alpha H^2 V_K^2 C_{\text{AGN}})$ (Baruteau et al. 2011), which is the same as the migration timescale of the gravitationally stable disk without a gap. The CSB merger timescale is determined by the binary-single interactions, which range from $t_{\text{mer}} \sim 10^3$ to $10^5$ yr (Tagawa et al. 2020b). Figure 3 also shows these timescales as a function of $R$. For the range of our interest, $t_{\text{cav}}$ is the shortest, and thus, the cavity is inevitably created before the merger.

With our formulation, $t_{\text{mig}}$ does not depend on $R$, while $t_{\text{cav}} \propto R^{-7/6}$ without a density gap. We do not expect cavity formation for the radii where $t_{\text{mig}} \leq t_{\text{cav}}$ is satisfied. The CSB migrates inward with a timescale of $t_{\text{mig}}$, and creates a cavity once it reaches a radius where $t_{\text{mig}} > t_{\text{cav}}$ is satisfied. Thus, the cavity formation condition should be evaluated by comparison of $t_{\text{cav}}$ to $t_{\text{mig}}$. The gray lines in Figure 4 indicate the boundary above which cavity formation by mini-disk outflows can be avoided. Only the AGN disk with a very high aspect ratio, namely, $H > 0.1$, can avoid the cavity formation. Since such a value is unexpected in a typical AGN disk, we conclude that the cavity formation is inevitable for the quasi-aligned binaries. This conclusion should be unchanged even for the mildly misaligned case, because the relevant timescales are identical as long as the mini-disk-driven outflows have a component perpendicular to the AGN disk.

In summary, cavity formation is avoided only if the orbital plane of the CSB is quasi-perpendicular to the AGN disk. Also the AGN disk should have a relatively high aspect ratio given by Equation (8).

4. EM Counterparts from Mergers Inside Outflow Cavities

As shown in the previous section, the cavity formation is highly likely for CSBs in AGN disks. The density of the outflow cavity is much lower than the AGN disk density, so that EM and neutrino counterparts of GW events inside the cavity are too dim to be observed. Possible post-merger jets (assuming that they are gamma-ray burst (GRB)-like) are unlikely to be choked by the AGN disk (contrary to the conclusion by Zhu et al. 2021), unless the jet direction is aligned with the AGN disk. Choked jets have been proposed as the sources of high-energy neutrinos (e.g., Murase & Ioka 2013; Senno et al. 2016; Tamborra & Ando 2016; Kimura et al. 2018), but we expect that such a system is much rarer than compact-star merger events inside the AGN disk.

In reality, the remnants of compact binary mergers with a significant asymmetry, in terms of mass or spin, will receive a recoil velocity upon merger due to GW radiation (see Centrella et al. 2010, for a review). Such a recoil motion changes the dynamics of the surrounding gas, which may trigger EM transients (see Lippai et al. 2008; de Mink & King 2017; McKernan et al. 2019; Graham et al. 2020).

In the following, we discuss scenarios of EM counterparts in detail (see Figure 1). If the merged BH is kicked into the
vertical direction, it moves inside the outflow cavity. Then, the mass accretion rate onto the kicked BH is very low, which results in essentially no optical or X-ray counterparts that outshine the AGN emission. We hereafter show that detectable EM counterparts require some special conditions, implying that the rate density of GW events with EM counterparts would be much lower than that of all the merger events inside the AGN disk. We should keep in mind that the EM transients produced by the kicked BH should be as luminous as the host AGN in order to be identified as the EM counterparts. This provides a strong constraint on the detectability.

4.1. Mass Accretion onto the BHs Kicked into the AGN Disk

If the merged BH is kicked along the AGN disk plane with a sufficiently high kick velocity, \( v_{\text{kick}} \), the merged BH can escape from the cavity and be kicked into the AGN disk. Usually, the kick velocity is \( \sim 10^2 \)–\( 10^3 \text{ km s}^{-1} \) (Campanelli et al. 2007b, 2007a; González et al. 2007; Herrmann et al. 2007) less than the escape velocity \( \sim 10^4 \text{ R}_{\text{Hill}} \text{ km s}^{-1} \), and the kicked BH experiences the epicyclic motion. The maximum radial displacement to the radial direction is given by \( \delta R \approx (v_{\text{kick}}/v_K)^{1/2} R \). The size of the cavity, \( r_{\text{cav}} \), is expected to be comparable to the Hill radius, \( r_{\text{Hill}} \approx 6.9 \times 10^{13} \text{ R}_{\odot} \text{ cm} \). If the cavity expands larger than the Hill radius or Bondi radius, \( r_B = 2GM_{\text{CSB}}/c_s^2 \approx 2 \times 10^{15} \text{ R}_{\odot} \text{ cm} \), the accretion and outflow should stop. This may regulate the size of the cavity to be comparable to the smaller of the Hill radius and Bondi radius. In the range of our interest, \( r_B \) is always larger than \( r_{\text{Hill}} \), so we expect that the cavity radius is regulated to the order of the Hill radius, i.e., \( r_{\text{cav}} \sim r_{\text{Hill}} \), which is also not far from the scale height \( H \sim 3.2 \times 10^{13} \text{ R}_{\odot} \text{ cm} \) for our typical parameter set. We write the condition that the merged BH can get into the AGN disk again by crossing the cavity as \( r_{\text{cav}} \lesssim \delta R \), or

\[
 v_{\text{kick}} \lesssim v_{\text{kick, cr}} = \left( \frac{r_{\text{cav}}}{R} \right)^{1/2} v_K 
\approx 4.4 \times 10^2 \text{ R}_{\odot}^{1/2} \text{s}^{-1/2} \text{ cm} \text{ s}^{-1}.
\]  

(11)

The critical velocity depends on \( r_{\text{cav}} \), which may be more close to \( \sim 1000 \text{ km s}^{-1} \) if \( r_{\text{cav}} \sim r_{\text{Hill}} \). The above condition can be satisfied for a binary with a high spin. The kick velocity can be as high as \( v_{\text{kick}} \sim 300 \text{ km s}^{-1} \) without a spin (González et al. 2007; Herrmann et al. 2007) and \( v_{\text{kick}} \sim 4000 \text{ km s}^{-1} \) with a high spin (Campanelli et al. 2007b, 2007a). Indeed, BHs in GW190521 have a high spin of \( \alpha \approx 0.7 \) before the merger (Abbott et al. 2020c, 2020d), which could be consistent with the value expected for a remnant BH after the merger (Rezzolla et al. 2008).

Once the merged BH enters into the AGN disk, the merged BH accretes the AGN disk gas. Owing to a high kick velocity, the accretion radius, \( r_{\text{BH}} \approx 2GM_{\text{CSB}}/(c_s^2 + v_{\text{kick}}^2) \approx 2GM_{\text{CSB}}/v_{\text{kick}}^2 \approx 2.7 \times 10^{13} \text{ M}_{\odot} \text{ cm} \text{ s}^{-2} \), can be smaller than the Hill radius and the scale height. Then, we can use the well-known formula for the estimate of the accretion rate (Hoyle & Lyttleton 1939; Bondi 1952; Edgar 2004):

\[
 M_{\text{BHL}} = \frac{4\pi G M_{\text{CSB}}^2\rho_{\text{CSB}}}{v_{\text{kick}}} \approx 7.0 \times 10^{25} \text{ M}_{\odot} \text{ yr}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}.
\]  

(12)

The velocity shear in the AGN disk may reduce the mass accretion rate. We estimate the shear velocity to be \( v_{\text{shear}} \approx (v_{\text{gap}}/R) v_K \approx 30 \text{ R}_{\text{Hill}} \text{ km s}^{-1} \), which is much lower than \( v_{\text{kick}} \). Thus, the shear does not affect the accretion rate in our situation. The epicyclic motion determines the duration of the mass accretion process, which is

\[
 t_K \approx \Omega_K^{-1} \approx 1.8 \times 10^2 \text{ M}_{\odot} \text{ s} {2/3} \text{ day}.
\]  

(13)

The outflow luminosity is estimated to be \( L_{\text{w}} \approx \eta_w M_{\text{BH}} v_{\text{w}}^2 \approx 3.2 \times 10^{43} \text{ M}_{\odot} \text{ yr}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1} \text{ erg} \text{ s}^{-1} \).

We cautiously note that the mass accretion rate in this phase can exceed \( M_{\text{AGN}} \), because the duration is much shorter than the AGN lifetime. Total mass that accretes onto the merged BH is much lower than the AGN disk mass there. Also, the density gap produced by the AGN disk–binary interaction still exists after the merger event. The gap will be filled in the viscous timescale of the gap width, \( \sim t_{\text{gap}}/\alpha \Omega \approx 3.0 \times 10^3 \text{ R}_{\odot} \text{ yr}^{-1} \text{ erg} \text{ s}^{-1/2} \text{ R}_{\odot}^{-2} \text{ cm} \text{ s}^{-1} \), where \( \rho_{\text{gap}}/\rho_{\text{CSB}} \approx 0.41 \text{ erg} \text{ cm}^{-3} \), because the duration is much shorter than the Kepler timescale in the range of our interest. If the gap width is smaller than the cavity size, i.e., \( r_{\text{gap}} < r_{\text{cav}} \), we set \( \rho_{\text{CSB}} = \rho_{\text{AGN}} \).

Because a smaller value of \( v_{\text{kick}} \) provides a higher mass accretion rate, we set the kick velocity to be \( v_{\text{kick}} = v_{\text{kick, cr}} \). Then, the necessary conditions, \( r_{\text{BH}} < r_{\text{Hill}} \) and \( r_{\text{BH}} < H \), are rewritten as \( r_{\text{cav}} > 2.9 \times 10^2 \text{ R}_{\odot} \text{ cm} \) and \( r_{\text{cav}} > 6.3 \times 10^2 \text{ R}_{\odot} \text{ cm} \), respectively. We focus on the parameter space that satisfies these conditions, which is likely for most of the mergers. The kicked BH crosses the cavity in a timescale of

\[
 t_{\text{cav}} \approx r_{\text{cav}}/v_{\text{kick}} \approx 12 r_{\text{cav}} 3^{1/3} t_K 7.5 \text{ days},
\]  

(14)

which dominates the time delay between the merger event and the EM transient.

One can write \( M_{\text{BHL}} \propto \rho_{\text{CSB}} M_{\text{BH}} v_{\text{kick}}^{-3} \). Noting \( \rho_{\text{CSB}} \propto H^{-3} \) without a gap, the mass accretion rate is high for a small value of \( H \). A small value of \( H \) leads to a gap formation, in which the density depends on the aspect ratio \( \alpha \propto H^{-2} \). Then, the mass accretion rate is lower as \( H \) is smaller. Thus, the aspect ratio that makes \( \alpha_{\text{gap}} \approx 1 \) provides the most efficient mass accretion onto the kicked BH, which would lead to the most luminous outflow-driven transients. We focus on such the most optimistic situation in the next subsection.

4.2. Breakout Emission from Outflow Bubbles

Because the accretion rate onto the kicked BH is super-Eddington, radiation-driven outflows are produced. The dynamics of the bubble expansion is similar to that discussed in Section 3, and thus the outflow bubble will break out from the disk in the timescale of

\[
 t_{\text{bub}} \approx \left( \frac{\rho_{\text{CSB}} H_{5}^{1/3}}{0.53 L_w} \right)^{1/3} \approx 7.4 \text{ yr} \text{ s}_{45}^{-1} \text{ L}_{w,45}^{-1/3} \text{ hr}.
\]  

(15)
This is much shorter than the Kepler time, and hence the outflow bubble breaks out from the AGN disk.

The photons inside the bubble start to diffuse out from the AGN disk at the time of the bubble breakout, namely, the photon diffusion time, $t_{\text{diff}} \approx \Delta / \kappa_{\text{CSB}} c$, becomes equal to the bubble expansion time, $t_{\text{syn}} \approx \Delta / V_{\text{hub}}$, where $\Delta$ is the thickness of the AGN disk above the bubble, $\kappa$ is the opacity for thermal photons, and $V_{\text{hub}} \approx 3H/5V_{\text{hub}} \approx 1.9 \times 10^9 H_{13.5}^{-1} V_{1.4}^{-1}$ cm s$^{-1}$ is the bubble velocity at the time of the breakout. From this condition, we obtain $\Delta \approx c/(V_{\text{hub}} \kappa_{\text{CSB}})$, and the duration of the bubble breakout emission is $t_{\text{BBO}} = t_{\text{diff}} = t_{\text{syn}} \approx c/(V_{\text{hub}} \kappa_{\text{CSB}}) \approx 75 V_{\text{hub}}^{-2} \kappa_{\text{CSB}}^{-1} g$ s. Here, we use the electron scattering opacity, $\kappa = \sigma_T/m_p \approx 0.4$, for simplicity. From the shock jump condition, the temperature of the breakout photons is estimated to be $T_{\text{BBO}} \approx (9 \rho_{\text{CSB}} V_{\text{hub}}^2/4 \kappa_{\text{rad}})^{1/4} \approx 7.4 \times 10^5 \rho_{\text{CSB}}^{-1/2} V_{1.9}^{3/2}$ K, where $\kappa_{\text{rad}}$ is the radiation constant. The total energy of the breakout photons can be estimated to be $\mathcal{E}_{\text{BBO}} \approx \pi H^2 \Delta t_{\text{BBO}}^2 T_{\text{BBO}}^4$. This energy is released in $t_{\text{BBO}}$, so we can write the luminosity of the bubble breakout event as

$$L_{\text{BBO}} \approx \mathcal{E}_{\text{BBO}} / t_{\text{BBO}} \approx \frac{9 \pi H^2 \rho_{\text{CSB}} V_{\text{hub}}^5}{4} \approx 7.1 \times 10^{45} \rho_{\text{CSB}}^{-1/2} H_{13.5}^{2} V_{1.9}^{3} \text{erg s}^{-1}. \quad (16)$$

The breakout luminosity does not depend on $\kappa$, although it affects the duration of the breakout emission. In reality, the opacity may be higher due to the free–free absorption. This results in a longer transient, which may make the detection easier.

We focus on the detectability around the breakout time, where the emission peaks in the soft X-ray band. The emission peak lies in the UV band later, but the UV emission is easily outshone by the AGN disk emission. Let us compare the photon luminosity of the breakout emission to emission from the host AGN. Since the temperature of the breakout emission lies in the soft X-ray range, we construct the AGN spectrum in the UV and X-ray ranges. Here, we consider the multi-temperature blackbody emission from an optically thick disk (Pringle 1981) for the UV emission and the Comptonized photons from a hot corona (Ricci et al. 2018) for the soft X-ray emission. For the AGN disk component, we consider an accretion flows onto Schwarzschild BH, and use the radiation efficiency of $\eta_{\text{rad}} \simeq 0.06$. Then, the disk luminosity is estimated to be $L_{\text{disk}} = \int L_E dE = \eta_{\text{rad}} \eta_{\text{AGN}} L_{\text{Edd,AGN}}$, where $L_E$ is the differential luminosity. For the coronal component, we consider a power-law photon spectrum with an exponential cutoff, whose power-law index and cutoff energy are determined by the Eddington ratio, $\eta_{\text{rad}} \eta_{\text{AGN}}$ (Ricci et al. 2018; Murase et al. 2020). We normalize the X-ray luminosity using the bolometric correction of $\kappa_{\nu} \sim 50$, and $L_{\text{crr}} = \int L_E dE = L_{\text{disk}} / 50$ (e.g., Hopkins et al. 2007).

Figure 5 plots the resulting photon spectra for the outflow breakouts and the host AGN, whose parameters and resulting quantities are tabulated in Table 1. We see that the bubble breakout emission outshines the AGN emission in the soft X-ray range for both models at the peak time. This luminosity is above the sensitivity of current X-ray satellites, such as Swift-XRT and Chandra for $d_L \sim 500$ Mpc. XMM-Newton also has a similar sensitivity and threshold energy to those for Chandra. The delay time of the transient to the merger event is equal to $t_{\text{cro}} + t_{\text{hub}}$, which is about a week (day) for model A (B). The typical timescale of the breakout emission, $t_{\text{BBO}}$, is several minutes, corresponding to the rising timescale. The duration of the detectable EM emission can be several times longer, but details would depend on the density profile above the disk (see Waxman & Katz 2017, for a review).

Our scenario is unlikely to be able to explain the optical counterpart of GW190521. For the parameter set estimated by Graham et al. (2020), an outflow cavity is expected to be produced. Then, the bubble breakout emission can produce a soft X-ray counterpart based on our scenario, but an optical counterpart is not expected. However, an optical transient can be produced if the X-rays are reprocessed by a dense material, such as AGN disk winds or broadline clouds.

We mainly focused on sub-relativistic outflows launched by the disk around the merged BH. Given that the merged BH enters the disk region, it is also possible to have relativistic jets. Relativistic jet formation in super-Eddington systems is discussed in the context of jetted tidal disruption events (Bloom et al. 2011), and the idea is supported by general relativistic radiation magneto-hydrodynamic simulations (Dai et al. 2018). Also, the formation of jets powered by the Bondi–Hoyle–Littleton accretion was discussed by Ioka et al. (2017) in the context of Galactic EM counterparts of BBH merger remnants. In our scenario, the jet is launched once the merged BH enters the AGN disk. The jet is faster than the wind-driven bubble, and the bubble is dominated by a jet-induced cocoon as long as the jet luminosity is larger than...
the wind luminosity. With the jet head velocity \( V_h = \beta_0 c \) (that depends on the jet luminosity, density, and position), it will break out in \( L_{\text{bbo}} \sim H/V_h \sim 10^{32} M_\odot H_{1.5} \beta_0^{-1} \) given that the jet direction is perpendicular to the AGN disk plane. Resulting cocoon emission can radiate a fraction of the energy with \( L_{\text{bbo}} \sim 10^{37} L_j \eta_w \alpha_0 \eta_0 \) erg, which could lead to an optical or UV transient. Emission from the jet is brighter but the rate density of on-axis events is lower by the beaming factor.

4. Event Rates of BBH Mergers with EM Counterparts

The rate of BBH mergers in AGN disks is estimated to be 0.02–60 Gpc\(^{-3}\) yr\(^{-1}\) (Tagawa et al. 2020b). The event rate of the bubble breakout emission depends on many unknown parameters, such as distributions of the kick velocity and position of merger events. Thus, it is difficult to give quantitative estimates, but we here argue that the expected event rate of the outflow-breakout emission is likely to be lower than the above rate of BBH mergers in AGN disks. Based on dedicated simulations in CSB mergers in AGN disks, the merger most likely occurs around 0.01 pc, which corresponds to \( R \sim 10^3 \) for \( M_\odot \sim 10^8 M_\odot \). Then, merger events with \( v_{\text{kick}} \gtrsim 5 \times 10^2 \) km \( \text{s}^{-1} \) can go into the AGN disk again. The kick velocity may range from \( 10^2–3 \times 10^3 \) km \( \text{s}^{-1} \), and let us assume a flat kick velocity distribution in linear space for simplicity. The luminosity of the breakout emission decreases with \( L_{\text{bro}} \propto v_{\text{kick}}^{-2} \), so a factor of a few higher kick velocity results in an order of magnitude dimmer event, which is readily outshined by AGN emission. Then, about 20% of the kicked BHs have an appropriate kick velocity, i.e., \( v_{\text{kick}c} < v_{\text{kick}} < 2v_{\text{kick}c} \). For a non-spinning BH, the kick direction is in the orbital plane, and the orbital plane of merging BHs can be isotropically distributed (Tagawa et al. 2020a). In this case, assuming a spherical cavity of size \( H \), about 70% of the kicked BHs have appropriate kick directions. Therefore, at most \( \sim 10\% \) of the merged BH can go into the AGN disk again and produce breakout emission that may outshine the AGN emission.

5. Summary and Implications

In this work, we examined the mass accretion and outflow processes from CSBs embedded in AGN disks. Our conclusions are summarized below.

1. Compact binary mergers in AGN disks will mostly occur in cavities. We showed that the accretion rate to a CSB is highly super-Eddington. This leads to a strong radiation-driven outflows from circum-binary disks, creating outflow bubbles inside the AGN disk. This bubble expands with time, and eventually breaks out from the AGN disk before the merger event in most of the parameter space. The outflows can be produced even from the progenitor of the CSB, i.e., a single compact object or a massive star. This means that the duration of the outflow production would be longer than our estimate in Section 3. In this sense, our cavity formation condition is conservative and our argument is stronger.

2. Detectable soft X-ray counterparts can be produced by recoiled remnant BHs entering the AGN disk. If the merged BH is kicked toward the AGN disk with a high velocity, it gets into the unperturbed AGN disk again. This enables the BH to accrete gas from the disk at a super-Eddington rate. Then, a newly formed bubble is produced through the accretion process, which eventually breaks out from the AGN disk and causes a bright soft X-ray emission in days or weeks after the merger event. The duration of the breakout emission is about an hour. The luminosity of this emission can outshine the AGN disk in soft X-rays. This could be detectable with Swift-XRT or Chandra out to \( d_\odot \sim 500 \text{Mpc} \).

Nevertheless, we expect that detecting outflow-breakout emission will be challenging. First a bright outflow breakout requires an optimistic parameter set. A factor of a few higher kick velocity or higher aspect ratio results in the outflow transient dimmer than the AGN emission. Geometrically, about 70% of the kicked BH can go into the AGN disk again if the kick direction distribution is isotropic. However, the threshold velocity required to go across the cavity depends on the location of the merger, which is highly uncertain. Further study is necessary to estimate the event rate more solidly.

For current instruments, successful follow-up observation will require the identification of the host galaxy in order to accommodate the relatively small fields of view of Swift-XRT and Chandra. Planned satellites, such as the Space Variable Objects Monitor (Wei et al. 2016), will have a wider field of view, which enables us to survey most of the error regions with a similar sensitivity to XRT. This greatly improves a chance to detect the outflow-breakout emission. A lower threshold energy is also an important factor to detect the outflow breakout as the spectrum is very soft.

3. Reprocessed emission by the broadband region and molecular torus. A cavity is likely to be formed before the merger for a parameter set considered for GW190521 (\( M_\odot \sim 10^8 M_\odot \), \( \tilde{m} \sim 2.0, H \sim 0.01, R \sim 10^3 \); Graham et al. 2020). Breakout emission from an outflow bubble is possible for the above parameter set, but the outflow-breakout emission does not explain the optical flux reported by Graham et al. (2020). Thermal emission from disk-driven outflows is typically not as bright (e.g., Murase et al. 2016; Kimura et al. 2017b). Thus, explaining the optical flux require additional mechanisms such as the reprocessing by a dense AGN wind.

On the other hand, we point out that the soft X-ray emission can be reprocessed in the AGN environment (e.g., Netzer 2015). First, X-rays may be scattered by the

---

**Table 1**

| Model | \( M_\odot \) | \( H \) | \( t_{\text{bro}} \) (10\(^3\) cm) | \( v_{\text{kick}} \) (100 km \( \text{s}^{-1} \)) | \( t_{\text{bro}} \) (days) | \( t_{\text{bro}} \) (hr) | \( t_{\text{bro}} \) (minutes) | \( T_{\text{bro}} \) (10\(^5\) K) | \( \log(\epsilon_{\text{bbo}}) \) (erg) | \( \log(\epsilon_{\text{bbo}}) \) (erg \( \text{s}^{-1} \)) |
|-------|-------------|--------|-------------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------|---------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|
| A     | \( 10^8 \)  | 0.0020 | 4.0                           | 4.9                      | 9.4                         | 11.2           | 8.0                       | 4.7                    | 47.3                        | 44.6                        |
| B     | \( 10^7 \)  | 0.0050 | 1.0                           | 7.8                      | 1.5                         | 1.8            | 5.0                       | 5.2                    | 46.3                        | 43.8                        |

**Note.** Other parameters are \( M_{\text{CSB}} = 150 M_\odot \), \( R = 1.0 \times 10^3 \), \( \tilde{m} = 3.0, \alpha = 0.1, \eta_w = 0.32, v_w = 10^{10} \text{cm} \, \text{s}^{-1} \), and \( d_\odot = 460 \text{Mpc} \).
broadline region, and $\sim 10\%$ of the X-ray flux may be scattered with a timescale from days to weeks. Second, the dusty torus is heated up and reemits the X-ray energy in the IR band with a timescale from months to years.

4. Outflows from CSBs could be persistent EM emitters long before the merger. Since outflow bubbles exist before the merger events, the emission from the outflows may be observed as persistent or transient sources without GWs. As a long-lived signal produced by outflows, thermal emission from the outflows are expected. The luminosity is $\lesssim 10^{42}$ erg s$^{-1}$ and the emission peaks at the optical and near-UV bands, based on previous papers (see, e.g., Kashiyama & Quataert 2015; Murase et al. 2016; Kimura et al. 2017a, 2017b, for transient cases). At these bands, AGN emission is as bright as $10^{44}$ erg s$^{-1}$, which outshines the thermal emission. Resolving the two emission components requires facilities with a milliarcsecond resolution even for an AGN located at 10 Mpc from Earth.

5. Short GRB jets from NS mergers are more likely to be seen without being choked, but gamma-ray spectra may be modified. The mergers of BNSs and NS–BH binaries are also expected in AGN disks, which can induce unique EM signals (e.g., Zhu et al. 2021; Perna et al. 2021). BNSs and NS–BH mergers are expected to occur at the migration trap located in $R < 10^3$ (Bellovary et al. 2016) or $10^{-2}$ pc (corresponding to $R \sim 10^3$ for $M_\ast = 10^7 M_\odot$) suggested by dedicated numerical calculations (Tagawa et al. 2020b).

As shown in Figure 4, cavities are also formed for a BNS in a typical AGN at the expected radius.

Because a cavity is still filled with an optically thick outflow, we will observe GRBs and kilonovae after the jet or ejecta penetrates the outflow component inside the cavity. However, the mass of the cavity is so low that the short GRB jet is not decelerated by the outflows below the photosphere. The photospheric radius of the cavity is estimated to be $r_{ph} \approx M_\ast \sigma_T / (4\pi \nu_{ph} m_p) \sim 3.2 \times 10^{11} M_{CSB, 22} \nu_{ph}^{-3/2} \eta_w^{-0.5} \text{ cm}$. The mass of the gas filling the cavity within the photosphere is then given by $M_{\text{cav}} \sim 4\pi \rho_{\text{cav}} r_{ph}^3 / 3 \sim 1 \times 10^{-2} M_{CSB, 22} \nu_{ph}^{-3/2} \eta_w^{-0.5} \nu_w^{-2} \text{ g}$, where $\rho_{\text{cav}} \sim M_{CSB, 22} / (4\pi r_{ph}^2 \eta_w)$ (see the bottom panel of Figure 2 for the values of the mass for the cases with BNSs). The mass of the jet is estimated to be $M_{j, iso} \sim \eta_{j, iso}(\Gamma_j c^2) \sim 1 \times 10^{29} E_{j, iso, 52} \Gamma_j^{-1} \text{ g}$, where $E_{j, iso}$ and $M_{j, iso}$ are the isotropic equivalent energy and mass of the jets, respectively. Thus, the time lag between the GW and gamma-rays should be the same with that for a usual short GRB. The jets may be decelerated by the outflows below the internal dissipation radius, which makes a gamma-ray peak energy lower. Such a relatively low peak energy may be an indication of short GRBs occurred at outflow cavities in AGN disks.
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