Comparison between concurrent and sequential chemoradiation for non-small cell lung cancer in vitro
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Abstract. Current practice guidelines recommend the combination of chemotherapy and thoracic radiation for locally advanced non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC). Previous meta-analyses have shown that concurrent chemoradiation (CCRT) may be superior to sequential chemoradiation (SCRT). However, few previous in vitro studies have analyzed these two treatment schedules. In the current study, four lung cancer cell lines harboring wild-type epidermal growth factor receptor, comprising two squamous and two non-squamous cell lines, were used. The IC_{10} concentrations of three platinum-based regimens were combined with radiation treatment. Cells were irradiated at 0, 2, 4, 6 and 8 Gy using a 137Cs irradiator concurrently or sequentially. Surviving fractions (SFs) were plotted as a function of the radiation dose. In A549 cells, only the docetaxel (Doc) and carboplatin (Carbo) combination showed a significant radiosensitizing effect with CCRT treatment. For the other three cell lines, no difference was identified in the SFs between CCRT and SCRT. An in vitro method of comparing CCRT with SCRT was established using lung cancer cell lines. Overall, no significant difference was detected in the radiosensitizing effect of the two treatment schedules, with the exception of the A549 cell lines treated with Doc/Carbo.

Introduction

Lung cancer is the most common cause of cancer-related mortality worldwide (1). Non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) accounts for 80% of all types of lung cancer, and up to one-third of all patients with NSCLC present with locally advanced disease that is surgically unresectable. Current practice guidelines recommend that these cases be treated with a combination of chemotherapy and thoracic radiation (2). The two used methods of combining these two modalities are concurrent chemoradiation (CCRT), defined as chemotherapy administered on the same day as radiotherapy, and sequential chemoradiation (SCRT), usually administered as two to four cycles of chemotherapy prior to radiotherapy. The NSCLC Collaborative Group performed a meta-analysis of six randomized trials that compared the outcomes of SCRT versus CCRT (3). The chemotherapy regimens varied among the trials, but all incorporated a platinum-based agent. The meta-analysis showed that CCRT yielded improved local control and median survival rates, possibly due to its radiosensitizing effect. However, CCRT has been associated with more toxic adverse events, particularly treatment-related mortality and acute esophagitis. Furthermore, previous trials have exhibited poor patient accrual and have been terminated prematurely, therefore, the statistical power of these studies has been insufficient to detect a significant benefit. Thus, certain authors have insisted that future studies are required to support CCRT as the standard of care (4).

Compared with clinical data, little in vitro data concerning these two treatment schedules are available. The present study conducted in vitro chemoradiation using NSCLC cell lines to detect differences in chemoradiosensitivity according to the treatment schedule used.

Materials and methods

Cell culture. Four NSCLC cell lines, A549 (adenocarcinoma), H1299 (large cell neuroendocrine carcinoma), HCC15 [squamous cell carcinoma (SQCC)] and H157 (SQCC) were obtained from the Hamon Cancer Center for Therapeutic Oncology Research, University of Texas Southwestern Medical Center (Dallas, TX, USA). These cell lines were maintained at 37°C and 5% CO_{2} in RPMI 1640 with glutamine (Hyclone, Logan, UT, USA) containing 5% fetal bovine serum (FBS; Gemini Bio Products, West Sacramento, CA, USA).

Colony formation assay. The following three platinum-based regimens were used: Gemcitabine (Gem)/cisplatin (Cis),
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Table I. IC_{10} values of three platinum doublets for each cell line.

| Cell line | Gem/Cis, nM/nM | Pem/Cis, µm/µm | Doc/Carbo, nM/nM |
|-----------|----------------|----------------|------------------|
| A549      | 0.486          | 0.034          | 0.127            |
| H1299     | 0.170          | 0.119          | 0.038            |
| HCC15     | 0.922          | 0.065          | 0.030            |
| H157      | 0.198          | 0.014          | 0.070            |

Gem; gemcitabine; Cis, cisplatin; Pem, pemetrexed; Doc, docetaxel; Carbo, carboplatin.

Clonogenic cell survival assay. Fig. 1 shows the in vitro chemoradiation treatment scheme. For CCRT, the cells were seeded in triplicate six-well plates containing RPMI 1640 plus 10% FBS at various densities commensurate with the dose of radiation. These cells were treated with chemotherapy at the IC_{10} and with radiotherapy on the same day. For SCRT, the cells were plated on 100-mm dishes and treated with chemotherapy at the IC_{10} on day 1 (D1). To terminate drug exposure, the medium was removed and the dishes were then washed twice with medium on D4. The cells were allowed to grow for seven days and then irradiated on D11 following replating on six-well plates.

The cells were irradiated at various doses (0, 2, 4, 6 and 8 Gy) using a {sup}137{sub}Cs irradiator (Mark I-68; J. L. Shepherd and Associates, San Fernando, CA, USA). Colonies containing >50 cells were stained with crystal violet and manually counted using a microscope. The percentage cell viability of the irradiated samples [shown as plating efficiency (PE)] was calculated as the fraction of cell viability relative to 100% viability of the untreated samples and plotted as a function of the radiation dose. Surviving fractions (SFs) were plotted as a function of the radiation dose. Cell survival curves were generated using the following multitarget, single-hit cell survival equation:

\[ S = 1 - (1 - e^{-D/D_0})^n \]

S indicates the SF at dose D, while D_0 indicates the dose required to reduce the SF to 37% and n indicates the total number of targets at 0 Gy. The radiation sensitivity was expressed as the SF at 2 Gy (SF2).

Results

Table I shows the IC_{10} values for each doublet. To these concentrations, radiation was then added concurrently or sequentially. In the H1299, HCC15 and H157 cells, no differences were identified between CCRT and SCRT (Fig. 2B-D). For the HCC15 cells, even if the PE of the control in the sequential arm was lower than others, no significant decrease was identified in SF2 value. In the A549 cells, only the Doc/Carbo combination showed a significant radiosensitizing effect in CCRT (Fig. 2A).

Discussion

In locally advanced NSCLC, combined-modality chemoradiation is usually used in current practice. CCRT is likely to be superior to SCRT according to the results of several previous studies (2,5,6). However, CCRT is known to be more toxic in terms of esophagitis and pneumonitis. The effect of the
Figure 2. Results of the chemoradiation for each cell line; (A) A549, (B) H1299, (C) HCC15 and (D) H157. CCRT, concurrent chemoradiation; SCRT, sequential chemoradiation; Gem, gemcitabine; Cis, cisplatin; Pem, pemetrexed; Doc, docetaxel; Carbo, carboplatin; PE, plating efficiency, SF2, surviving fraction at 2 Gy.
radiation schedule in vitro is not known, since no data exists. The current study conducted chemoradiation concurrently or sequentially to determine the effects of the three platinum doublets in lung cancer cell lines. Platinum-based chemotherapy regimens are used widely, but to date, no consensus has been reached with regard to the optimal chemotherapeutic regimen. The present study assessed three doublets that are widely used in clinical practice. The cytotoxicity of an agent is usually expressed as the IC$_{50}$ value. However, the IC$_{10}$ was used since the majority of cells were unable to survive treatment with the IC$_{50}$ concentration when combined with radiation.

The four cell lines used in the current study harbored wild-type epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR). Das et al identified a subset of naturally occurring EGFR mutations that lack a critical radioprotective function of EGFR (7). In the future, additional studies using more cell lines must compare the two treatment schedules according to mutational status. The methods used in the current study are likely to facilitate conducting such in vitro studies of combination modalities.

In conclusion, the present study established an in vitro method of comparing CCRT with SCRT using lung cancer cell lines. Overall, no significant difference was detected in the radiosensitizing effect of the two treatment schedules, with the exception of the A549 cell line treated with Doc/Carbo. The underlying mechanism should be elucidated.
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