In a very real sense, sepsis illustrates the multiple dimensions of the immune response of critically ill patients. On the one hand, sepsis can be viewed as the simple result of the interplay between a pathogen and the immune response to that pathogen. On the other hand, it is becoming clearer that this interplay is much more complex than it appeared. It often leads to major defects in immunity during recovery, conferring increased susceptibility to secondary infections and leading to worsened outcomes [1, 2].

Sepsis: a syndrome with different clinical presentations

The generic entity of sepsis, as defined by the pathological host response to infection, encompasses dichotomous clinical presentations (Fig. 1). A robust and tightly regulated early response to invading organisms is required to contain and eliminate the microbes. Both a too strong or too mild response may adversely affect outcome. A subset of septic patients infected with highly virulent pathogens may die rapidly from refractory shock, disseminated intravascular coagulation, and intractable multiple organ failure as a result of hyper-cytokinemia and uncontrolled inflammatory response. However, with improvements in acute care and resuscitation therapies, this classical exuberant presentation is relatively rare nowadays, and the physician is more often challenged by a blunted clinical response to infection, with subtle findings including lethargy or depressed mental status, glucose intolerance and hyperglycemia, hypothermia, and/or a change in the white blood cell count or cell differential.

The nature and intensity of the inflammatory response is mainly determined by the patient’s background [1, 2]. Sepsis is increasingly a problem for patients who have impaired immunity and who then tend to offer a blunted clinical presentation. In addition to overt immunosuppressive conditions (e.g., cancer and hematological malignancies, solid organ transplant, autoimmune and systemic diseases, HIV, use of immunosuppressive drugs), many septic patients commonly exhibit additional risk factors affecting immune status [3]. For example, chronic alcoholism, malnourishment, renal insufficiency, and liver failure all impair immunity. Another particularly important risk factor is age, since the elderly are known to have blunted immunity, a condition termed...
"immunosenescence". Furthermore, genetic predisposition and epigenetic changes are also hidden determinants of the host’s response to infection [4, 5].

Clinical evidence of ICU-acquired immunosuppression

Numerous clinical and laboratory findings are consistent with new-onset or worsening of pre-existing immunosuppression in critically ill patients: loss of body temperature regulation and/or new-onset hypothermia [6]; hypoalbuminemia despite adequate nutritional support; persistent low absolute lymphocyte counts [7]. Most importantly is a failure to clear the primary infection despite source control and adequate antibiotics as well as development of ICU-acquired infections with opportunistic pathogens such as Acinetobacter, Stenotrophomonas, Enterococci, or fungal organisms [1, 2, 8]. Illustratively, over 40% of septic patients have evidence of reactivation of latent viruses, through detection of HSV in upper or lower respiratory tract with or without oropharyngeal herpetic lesions, or increased plasma HSV or cytomegalovirus DNAemia [9]. Though rarely responsible for specific organ failures, viral reactivation may add to the risk for further bacterial or fungal infections by subverting the functions of immune cells.

Immunomodulatory impact of sepsis and intensive care

On top of immunosuppressed patients being more vulnerable to sepsis, sepsis itself is profoundly immunosuppressive, and it may worsen immunity in both previously healthy and already immunocompromised patients. Sepsis induces multiple defects in innate and adaptive immunity including apoptosis-induced depletion of immune effector cells (lymphocytes and dendritic cells), monocyte deactivation, T cell exhaustion, increased myeloid-derived suppressor cells, and increased T regulatory cells [8]. It is noteworthy that similar immune defects have also been reported in patients suffering from severe non-septic tissue damage related to trauma, or even to major surgery, and numerous ICU therapies likely impair local or systemic immunity (e.g., mechanical ventilation, red blood cell transfusion, corticosteroids, catecholamines) [10]. The net result of these
varying stimuli is a complex acquired immune dysfunction rendering patients more vulnerable to nosocomial infections. Striking observational studies illustrate the notion that sepsis induces an immunosuppressive state. For instance, in liver transplant patients who developed life-threatening infections, discontinuation of immunosuppressive medication did not result in transplant rejection. Only a small minority required reinstitution of immunosuppressive medications for mild evidence of organ rejection, but the dose could be reduced by 50% [11].

**How should development of immunosuppression impact clinical management?**

Regardless of underlying comorbidities and primary injuries responsible for ICU admission, a significant proportion of critically ill patients can reasonably be considered to be immunocompromised and at risk for ICU-acquired infections, especially if they develop overt signs of immunosuppression. Direct clinical implications include a meticulous diagnostic work-up for common sources of infection such as infected central lines, wound infections, ventilator-associated pneumonia, as well as appraising the probability of invasive fungal infections and investigating *Cytomegalovirus* or herpes simplex virus reactivations. Depending upon the level of suspicion and previous known colonization, it may be reasonable to start empirical antimicrobial therapy while awaiting microbial cultures. Whether a preemptive treatment of *Cytomegalovirus* or herpes simplex virus reactivations with antivirals may improve the outcome of critically ill patients is currently being investigated. An attractive therapeutic goal lies in the maintenance or restoration of immune response in septic patients who are recovering from the primary infectious episode. When feasible, discontinuation or reducing immunosuppressive medications is a theoretical key management principle in this setting, but may hardly be achieved in some clinical situations. This includes judicious use of corticosteroids which are still commonly used in septic shock patients, although we advocate avoiding their use whenever possible.

**Future implications: immuno-adjuvants to the rescue?**

The burden of nosocomial infections and the spread of highly resistant bacteria call for innovative preventive and therapeutic approaches in critically ill patients. Although there is abundant circumstantial evidence that immunosuppression is responsible for, or at least contributing to, the morbidity and mortality in sepsis, definitive evidence of its true role awaits results of clinical trials of immunoadjuvant therapy. Case series and small trials indicate that immunostimulating compounds are able to restore immune function and may exert beneficial clinical effects in sepsis patients, while no overt safety issues have been reported [12, 13]. Importantly, the identification of accurate biomarkers to detect immunosuppression in septic patients will be key to success of these trials [14, 15]. Currently, the expression of HLA–DR on monocytes as a feature of monocyte deactivation seems to be the most reliable biomarker to assess the immune status of critically ill patients. A lower expression and especially failure of restoration of HLA–DR expression appear indicative of immunoparalysis, as it is related to the susceptibility to opportunistic infections and outcome in sepsis patients [15]. Several promising immuno-adjuvant agents are slated for testing in the near future, including GM–CSF (ClinicalTrials.gov identifier: NCT02361528), IL-7, anti-PD-L1 (ClinicalTrials.gov identifier: NCT02576457), and thymosin-alpha-1 [2, 8, 12, 13, 16, 17]. Given the remarkable success of immunotherapy in cancer and the similarities in the immune defects in cancer and sepsis patients [18], it appears plausible that immunotherapy may represent a major advance in the treatment of this highly lethal disease.
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