INTRODUCTION

We report a case of a 52-year-old patient complaining of palpitations and syncope. His heart rate trends from his Apple Watch® SE suggested two types of tachycardia, and we successfully performed ablation for both of them in a single session. Our case demonstrates the cardiological potential of smartwatches.

Smartwatches such as Apple Watch® and other health monitoring devices have been increasingly popular. Benefiting from long-term heart rate trends, we performed successful RF catheter ablation of coexisting AVNRT and AVRT.
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CASE REPORT

A 52-year-old man with hypertension presented to the hospital with a complaint of frequent episodes of palpitations and sporadic syncope.

Electrocardiogram (ECG) showed a normal sinus rhythm without preexcitation (Figure 1A). An
echocardiogram revealed a structurally normal heart. ECG was not performed during his palpititation attack. His HR trend recordings from his Apple Watch® showed increases (150–180/min, green arrow in Figure 1B) and further increases in HR (180–220/min, blue arrow in Figure 1B). The former occurred when a palpitation happened, and the latter, during syncope or presyncope. His smartwatch was an Apple Watch SE®, which does not record a one-lead ECG; we could not identify the type of arrhythmia.

Electrophysiology study (EPS) and radiofrequency (RF) catheter ablation were recommended due to frequent palpitation with occasional syncope. After obtaining informed consent, EPS was performed in the patient. A quadripolar electrode catheter was positioned at the high right atrium (HRA). An octapolar electrode catheter
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**FIGURE 1** A. Baseline electrocardiogram (ECG). B. HR trend recordings from the patient’s Apple Watch® over three weeks. Each red line indicates the range of the heart rates over one day. Green and blue arrows indicate tachycardia of 150–180 and 180–220 /min, respectively. The rectangle corresponds to the ablation day. Apple Watch® did not record a heart rate higher than 150 /min after the ablation day.
was introduced into the coronary sinus (CS). A 14-polar electrode catheter was positioned from the right ventricle apex (RVA) to the His bundle area.

With incremental ventricular stimulation performed from RVA, the shortest VA conduction was seen in the posterolateral part of the mitral annulus. With programmed electrical stimulation performed from RVA, AVRT using a left posterolateral wall accessory pathway was induced. Shown in Figure 2A and 2B are the ECG and intracardiac electrogram during the tachycardia, respectively. There is a gap in time between the R wave and the retrograde P wave, which suggests AVRT, not AVNRT (Figure 2A). The retrograde atrial activation recorded by the CS catheter was much earlier than that recorded by the His catheter (Figure 2B). His refractory premature ventricular contraction (PVC) was delivered to differentiate between AVNRT and AVRT. Atrial activation got advanced with His refractory PVC delivery. There was nondecremental VA conduction during ventricular extrastimulation.

The HR was 150–160/min (tachycardia cycle length, TCL, of 375–400 msec (ms)), similar to the HR recorded by the Apple Watch® when the patient only had palpitations without syncope. Further, the fact that the blood pressure did not drop during the EPS is consistent with the HR increases that caused only palpitations without syncope. The shortest VA conduction was seen at CS5-6, showing compatible findings to the existence of the concealed left posterolateral wall accessory pathway (Figure 2C).

For the concealed left posterolateral wall accessory pathway, the ablation catheter was inserted into the left atrium via the Brockenbrough technique. During the AVRT, radiofrequency (RF) energy ablation was performed at the 4 o'clock position on the posterolateral wall of the mitral valve annulus where an earlier atrial activation than the retrograde atrial wave in the CS 5–6 was recorded by the ablation catheter (Figure 2C). 1.3 seconds after starting the RF ablation, the AVRT terminated (Figure 2D) and the VA conduction was blocked. The ablation lasted for 120 seconds.

During programmed atrial stimulation with single extrastimulation from the HRA catheter and CS catheter, discontinuous AV node conduction was observed (AH interval “jump” of 80 ms, suggesting dual AV node physiology). This stimulation induced a single echo beat with the earliest retrograde atrial activity to be in the His recording site, but no AVNRT was induced.

Since we could not induce the tachycardia with syncope and a rate of 180 and higher, which was found in the Apple Watch’s® recordings, we proceeded with further stimulation. We conducted double premature extrastimulation and continuous atrial stimulation both in the HRA and in the CS catheter.

An SVT with an atrial cycle length of 290 ms and 1:1 AV conduction was induced in the HRA catheter by the continuous atrial stimulation with a cycle length of 400 ms.

In the ECG during this tachycardia (Figure 2E), a late r notch was observed in the terminal portion of the QRS in lead V1. Another notch was observed, and it appeared to be retrograde P waves in the terminal portion of the QRS in leads II, III, and aVF. This suggested that the mechanism of the tachycardia was common AVNRT.

We further looked at the intracardiac electrogram during the tachycardia (Figures 2F, G). The figures show the systolic blood pressure under 50 mmHg, which can trigger syncope. Similar to the previous tachycardia, His refractory PVC was delivered to differentiate between AVNRT and AVRT that is caused by a concealed septal accessory pathway. Atrial activation did not get advanced in this case with His refractory PVC delivery. In order to entrain the reentry circuit, RV was paced at 270 ms, which was 20 ms less than TCL’s 290 ms. After the successful entrainment, the postpacing interval (PPI) minus the TCL was found to be 155 ms.5 We terminated the tachycardia by continuous atrial stimulation at 220 ms because the blood pressure was too low. Afterward, we reapplied continuous ventricular stimulation with a cycle length of 270 ms and confirmed that the QRS wave’s morphology during this stimulation was the same as that during the RV entrainment pacing. This result suggested that constant fusion did not happen during the RV entrainment pacing. This implies that the circuit did not include the ventricles.

These findings are all consistent with common AVNRT. Therefore, we decided to perform slow pathway ablation. We targeted the upper anterior edge of the right atrial posteroseptal CS (Figure 2H) where an appropriate A/V electrogram ratio was seen, and a fragment atrial potential (typical slow pathway potential) was observed (Figure 2I). 6 seconds after starting the RF ablation, frequent junctional beats appeared, indicative of successful RF ablation. The ablation was performed for a total of 70 seconds with 40–50 Watts and 55 °C RF energy. The effectiveness of the ablation was confirmed under the following varying conditions: single and double premature extrastimulation and continuous atrial stimulation both in the HRA and in the CS catheters under isoproterenol (ISP) administration. The ablation was in fact completely successful given that a continuous AV nodal conduction curve was present, which is much under the standard threshold of AH interval jump and a single echo beat.

In the three-month follow-up period, the patient was asymptomatic. Apple Watch® did not record a heart rate higher than 150/min.
Cardiac arrhythmia is difficult to record with standard methods such as the Holter monitors and patch cardiac rhythm monitors because of their duration. For example, the Holter ECG only lasts typically for a day and a week at most, but a cardiac arrhythmia might not occur during that period. Path cardiac rhythm monitors can only record for four weeks at most. A cardiac arrhythmia might not occur during that period. On the other hand, the long-term ICM requires an invasive operation and is therefore not patient-friendly. Smartwatches such as Apple Watches® provide a long-term yet patient-friendly monitoring method. In our case, the patient was wearing an Apple Watch® of version SE, which only records HR trends. While the one-lead ECG was not available, we were able to benefit from the long-term HR trends. We found tachycardia with syncope happens when the HR is higher than 200 /min.

We first performed the left posterolateral wall accessory pathway ablation successfully. Yet, we made further steps because of the HR trends obtained from his Apple Watch®. We applied various types of stimulation such as single and double atrial premature extrastimulation and continuous atrial stimulation. As a result, we were able to induce AVNRT and conducted successful slow pathway ablation to remove it. Since the AVNRT was considered to
be the cause of his syncope, it was critical to address the AVNRT in the same single session for the patient.

Our case suggests the promising potential of smartwatches that can guide doctors during medical consultation. We found that even an Apple Watch® with a limited capacity can be helpful. Available features in smartwatches vary from patient to patient or even from country to country, and our case serves as an example that we can benefit from a smartwatch even when limited features are available. Nonetheless, Apple Watches® that are series 4 or later have the capacity to record a one-lead ECG. If the ECG had been recorded and two distinct types of regular narrow QRS tachycardia had been observed, we would have known that the two types are both SVT in advance. The availability of one-lead ECG recordings would open up even further potential for medical practitioners.

4 | CONCLUSION

Through our case report, we showed that information from a smartwatch can facilitate the diagnosis and treatment of SVT. We performed successful RF catheter ablation of both a concealed left posterolateral accessory pathway and coexisting slow pathway in the same single session. While our case illustrates that smartwatches serve as a convenient, useful tool, information that they record should always be critically reviewed by a cardiologist in the context of standard assessments.
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