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In this paper, we proposed a multiscale and bidirectional input model based on convolutional neural network and deep neural network, named MBCDNN. In order to solve the problem of inconsistent activity segments, a multiscale input module is constructed to make up for the noise caused by filling. In order to solve the problem that single input is not enough to extract features from original data, we propose to manually design aggregation features combined with forward sequence and reverse sequence and use five cross-validation and stratified sampling to enhance the generalization ability of the model. According to the particularity of the task, we design an evaluation index combined with scene and action weight, which enriches the learning ability of the model to a great extent. In the 19 kinds of activity data based on scene+action, the accuracy and robustness are significantly improved, which is better than other mainstream traditional methods.

1. Introduction

The research of using sensors for human activity recognition existed as early as 30 years ago [1, 2]. With the advent of industry 4.0 era, new opportunities and challenges are filled. Human activity recognition research has attracted much attention due to its advantages in intelligent monitoring system, medical care system [3], virtual reality exchange, smart homes [4], anomaly detection [5], and other fields, as well as the ability to provide personalized support and interconnection for different fields. At present, human activity recognition is mainly realized by two ways: one is through indoor and outdoor sensors, and the other is some wearable devices [6–8]. The former is limited by the need to be placed in a fixed location, and the inference of activity completely depends on the user’s interaction with these devices. For example, if the user is not within the sensor range or the object moves freely in the scene to introduce varying degrees of occlusion, the activity cannot be recognized. Secondly, the environment is dynamic and complex, such as the weather and sunlight in the background, which also increases the difficulty of recognition. The latter also has many defects, such as high cost and inconvenient carrying.

Smart phones have many advantages in the field of human activity recognition [9]. Due to its small size and convenient portability, the built-in sensors are becoming more and more diverse, and specific types of activities can be effectively classified through the information of multiple sensors. For example, the built-in accelerometers of smart phones [10, 11] can describe human actions, such as standing, walking, and running [12, 13]. Similarly, by collecting audio information from the phone microphone [14], the user’s activities can be identified, such as listening to music, speaking, and sleeping [15, 16], running rhythm can be monitored [17], and user respiratory symptoms are related to sound, such as sneezing or coughing [15]. Users’ emotions can also be inferred from various sensor data, including WiFi, accelerometer, compass, and GPS [15, 18–20]. The activity identification system based on mobile devices can be perceived from personal perception to group in multiscale [21]. Generally speaking, the quality of data collected by each built-in sensor of a smart phone is also different. For example, the gyroscope of smart phone can sense the change of the movement direction of the person holding the phone, while the acceleration sensor of smart phones can reflect
the speed change of the person holding the phone. Therefore, by fusing the real-time data obtained by the various sensors of the smart phone, the final data obtained has a certain heterogeneity. These data can be widely used in human activity recognition and have broad market and social value in health care, smart home, financial fraud, and other scenarios. At the same time, the user does not need to carry additional equipment with sensors in the process of collecting data. In terms of human activity recognition, using smart phones as research equipment has become the preferred equipment for researchers.

We study the indoor and outdoor human activity recognition, redefine activity as the combination of scene and action, and achieve real-time monitoring of users’ indoor and outdoor activity through smart phones.

1. From the study of recognition simple activity to recognition complex activity, activity is defined as the combination of scene and action, which has 19 different activities. The aggregation feature is designed manually to help automatic feature extraction and realize more abundant feature information extraction.

2. A multiscale bidirectional sequence fusion model (MBCDNN) is designed to recognition complex activity. The experiment result shows that the model has higher advantages than the current popular network model.

2. Related Work

2.1. Dataset Collected by Smart Phone. In the research of human activity recognition, the data collected by a smart phone through sensors is particularly important. Some of these benchmark datasets have been released to the public. In studies, such as Roggen et al.’s [22], using 72 environmental and body sensors, a set of daily activities were recorded in the sensor rich environment. Similarly, the other researchers have provided dataset, such as Tapia et al. [23] and Hasegawa [24]. In 2012, WISDM Lab released wisdm dataset [25], the device for collecting data is an Android smartphone, and the number of users participating in data collection is 29. Users participating in data collection are required to put the smartphone in the front trouser leg pocket, which is more in line with the real-life scene. Users need to complete a series of actions, including walking, jogging, going up and down the stairs, standing, and sitting. In 2013, the University of Genoa provided UCI-HAR dataset [26] to record the daily activity of each user, including standing, sitting, walking, going up and down the stairs, and lying down. With the help of the acceleration sensor and gyroscope of the smart phone, the data collection device collects 30 users, aged from 19 to 48, and each user is instructed to wear a smart phone at the waist. Each user needs to carry out two experiments. In the first experiment, the smartphone is worn on the left side of the waist, and in the second experiment, the user can freely choose the position. In 2016, Vavoulas and others offered MobiAct dataset [27]. Mobiact data is an extension of the MobiFall data set published in 2014 and was initially created with fall detection in mind. This dataset contains four different types of falls and nine daily activities, such as walking, standing, and going up and down the stairs. The number of users collected is 57, including 42 men and 15 women. The users are between 20 and 47 years old, including more than 2500 experiments, all from the collection of smart phone sensors. In the same year, Hnoohom and others provided the UniMiBSHAR dataset [28]. In the authors’ opinion, the data collected by smart phone sensors are rarely public, and public data often contain samples of users with too similar characteristics and lack of specific information. They proposed a new dataset, which collected daily activities of users mainly including simple activity such as walking, standing, running, and sitting down and complex activity such as washing dishes, combing hair, and preparing sandwiches. The dataset contains 11771 human activities and 30 users aged between 18 and 60, of which 24 are women and 6 are men. It is worth noting that it includes more elderly people. In 2019, Beijing University of Posts and Telecommunications provided the Sanitation dataset [29]. The user receiving the acquisition needs to wear a smart watch at the wrist. The user selected according to the demand is the sanitation worker. According to the research requirement, sanitation workers were invited as a user, in which 7 kinds of daily life action data that were collected included walking, running, sweeping with a big broom and sweeping with a small broom, cleaning, and taking out garbage.

2.2. HAR Based on AI. Reference [30] collected data from 10 volunteers (4 women and 6 men) through four sensors of smart phones. The volunteers are between 24 and 30 years old. Volunteers are required to carry smart phones to complete the specified actions, standing, walking, walking slowly, go upstairs and downstairs and cycling. In order to eliminate errors, discard the data of the first 2 seconds and the second after the volunteer starts the action, and select 50050 data for each action. They processed data using median filtering, data normalization, sliding window segmentation, feature selection, and optimal feature subset selection are performed on the data. Also, they used the idea of fusion. The traditional random forest (RF) [31], support vector machine (SVM) [32], k-nearest neighbor (KNN) [33], and naive Bayes classification algorithm (NBC) [34] are fused. The accuracy was 99%, which is 6% higher than that of single model.

With the development of artificial intelligence, deep learning has become a favorite choice of researchers. Reference [35] used smart phone sensors to collect 6 different activities from 30 volunteers, including walking, going upstairs and downstairs, standing, lying flat, and sitting. The collected data are preprocessed by noise filter, and 2.56 seconds are used as sliding window. A total of 7352 training data and 2947 test data are divided. Three design optimization schemes were proposed to improve the accuracy of human activity recognition: (1) Scheme 1—combining three sensor data; (2) Scheme 2—based on three-dimensional convolution; and (3) Scheme 3—based on difference optimization convolution kernel. By comparing the results of the experimental scheme, it was found that the accuracy of the first scheme is the highest, with an average accuracy of 97.50%.
Due to the complexity of human activities, there are few researches on excessive activities in the past, such as sitting-standing. Reference [36] proposed a hierarchical recognition model based on support vector machine and random forest, studying 4 kinds of excessive activities and 10 kinds of daily life activities. The data preprocessing adopted filtering denoising and acceleration separation, and the feature extraction adopted the time domain and frequency domain of features, in which the frequency domain uses a total of 118 features, such as Fourier realization, mean, variance, and standard deviation. In the self-collected data, support vector machine and random forest hierarchical recognition model achieved an average accuracy of 98.1%. LSTM is good at processing time series data. The author used bidirectional LSTM network for activity recognition research and achieved 94.1%, 98.5%, and 98.8% accuracy in UCI-HAR, WISDM, and self-collected data, respectively.

3. Method

3.1. MBCDNN Model. Human activity data collected based on scenes+actions are more complex. Since the collected data is time series data, we send the data of the forward sequence and the reverse sequence to the MultiConv2D module for training. Due to the different activity duration, the collected data length is not consistent. Different experiments in the past have segmented the data, trying to find the best segmentation length [15, 37], but in order to ensure the consistency of the sequence fragments in the division process, the filling strategy is adopted. The obvious problem is that the noise interference is increased. Therefore, we introduce the activity convolution module ComplexConv1D, which uses a series of designed one-dimensional convolution kernels to perform convolution operations on forward and reverse sequences to extract diversified features; the interference caused by noise is largely reduced. In addition to the forward and reverse sequences as input to the model, we also added a series of aggregation features, including three types of features: time domain, frequency domain, and time-frequency domain, which perform feature extraction on the original data, these extracted aggregation features are input into the deep neural network (DNN) module, and this is verified to be effective. Our MBCDNN model is composed of MultiConv2D and ComplexConv1D and DNN modules. Finally, the output results of all modules are connected, and the classification result is obtained through the fully connected layer. The overall structure of the model is shown in Figure 1.

\[ \text{conv}_{x,y} = f \left( \sum_{i} w_i \times v_i \right), \]  

(1)

where \( x, y \) are the spatial coordinates \( (x, y) \) of the input data sample, \( f \) is the activation function, the weight of the convolution kernel is \( w \), the size of the convolution kernel is \( k \times k \), and the sample data value is \( v \). The convolution process is the sum of the inner product of the weight of the convolution kernel sliding on the value corresponding to the sample data.

The task of the ComplexConv1D module is to extract the features of MultiConv2D from multiple angles when the sequence length is inconsistent, so as to reduce the impact of noise on training. When the input vector dimension is
As shown in

\[ L_{\text{out}} = \left\lfloor \frac{L_{\text{in}} + 2 \times p - d \times (k - 1) - 1}{s} \right\rfloor + 1, \] \hspace{1cm} (2)

where \( L_{\text{in}}, L_{\text{out}} \) represent the length of the input and output vectors, respectively, \( p \) represents the padding size, \( d \) represents the distance between the core points, also called à trous algorithm [38], \( k \) represents the size of the convolution kernel, and \( s \) represents the convolution stride.

To illustrate the relevant parameters of the model, Table 1 lists the parameters of MultiConv2D and ComplexConv1D in detail. The experimental process of the MBCDNN model is shown in Figure 2. The data processing, generate dataset, and aggregation characteristic part will be described in this section; the rest will be described in the next section.

3.2 Data Processing. The data collected by the smart phone sensor is time series data; for this reason, the research and design collection scenes are divided into 3 categories: walking, standing, sitting, and lying; each scene collects 6 kinds of actions, playing games (mobile game), watching short videos, watching live broadcasts or watching long videos (similar to news broadcasts), browser query or viewing browser content, typing chat or other typing, and other actions (WeChat calls): 6 types of actions. At the same time, an action that is regardless of scene is added, the activity of user A “handing the phone” to user B. We redefine activity as a combination of scene+action, so there are 19 different activities in total. A total of 7292 samples were collected. 80% of the overall data were randomly selected as the training set and 20% as the test set. The sample
categories are unbalanced. The proportion of standing playing games is the most, and the proportion of standing brush video is the lowest. Table 2 describes the situation of each activity. Figure 3 shows the proportion of various activities types of collected data.

3.3. Generated Dataset. Use the two built-in sensors of the smart phone: gravity sensor and acceleration sensor to collect data. The volunteers who collected the data were composed of 5 men and 5 women, aged between 20 and 55 years. During the collection process, the volunteers can complete 6 types of actions according to each scene (see Table 2 for details). The collected data includes gravitational acceleration, and nongravitational acceleration data and the action segment are divided by the accelerometer count; every 5 seconds is regarded as a segment. The basic attributes of the collected dataset are described in Table 3. A total of 19 actions were collected based on smart phone sensors. A total of 7292 samples were collected. 80% of the overall data were randomly selected as the training set and 20% as the test set.

3.4. Aggregation Feature. The data is time series data, which needs to be divided into activity segments. Each activity segment data contains 60 pieces of data, which can be used for feature aggregation. Aggregation time series data features generally select three types of features: time domain, frequency domain, and time-frequency domain; they have been verified to be effective. In time domain, the independent variable is time, and the dependent variable is the amplitude of signal change at different moments. In frequency domain, the independent variable is the frequency, the dependent variable is the amplitude of the signal, and it describes the spectrogram. Among them, the sample features that can be extracted in the time domain include variance, standard deviation, mean, and skewness. The median frequency, average frequency, and energy spectral density of sample features can be extracted in the frequency domain. The specific calculation formula for sample feature is shown in Table 4.

4. Experiment and Analysis

4.1. Evaluation Index. Human activity recognition based on scenes and actions cannot simply use the recognition accuracy to evaluate the quality of the model. Since the activity involves actions under the scene, predicting the scene also has a certain value. For this reason, we designed an evaluation index that comprehensively considers the weight of the scene and the action: acc_combo, which is more conducive to the recognition efficiency of the real reaction model. The specific rules of the evaluation index are that if the activity of handing over the mobile phone is predicted to get 1

Table 2: Predefined activity based on smart phone sensors.

| Scene                        | Action                                      | Activity description                                      |
|------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------|
| Walking/standing/sitting and lying | Playing games                               | User plays the game in this scene (mobile game)          |
|                              | Watching short videos                       | User watches short videos in this scene                   |
|                              | Watching live broadcasts or watching long videos | User watches live broadcast or long videos in this scene (similar to news broadcast) |
|                              | Browser query or viewing browser content   | User views browser content in this scene                  |
|                              | Typing chat or other typing                | User types text in this scene                             |
|                              | Other actions                               | User makes WeChat calls in this scene, etc.              |
| Regardless of scene          | Hand phone                                  | User hands the phone to another user                      |

Figure 3: Proportion of activity categories. Labels 1 to 6, respectively, represent six types of actions in scene walking: playing games, watching short videos, watching live or long videos, browser query or viewing browser content, typing chat, or other typing and other actions. Labels 7 to 12 represent six types of actions in scene standing. Labels 13 to 18 represent six types of actions in sitting and lying. Label 19 represents the action of delivering the mobile phone alone.
point, the prediction error is 0 point. We hope to predict the correct action to get a relatively high positive feedback in the model evaluation. If it is not the activity of handing the phone, the scene+action is completely correct to get 1 point, only the correct scene is predicted to get 1/7 point, and only the correct action is predicted to get 1/3 point; if the scene+action are not correct, the score of acc combo is 0 points.

The acc combo is expressed by

\[ \text{acc combo} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} S(i) + A(i) / N, \]  

where \( N \) represents the total number of prediction samples, \( S \) represents the score of the predicted scene, and \( A \) represents the score of the predicted action.

### Table 3: Data attribute description table.

| Num | Attribute name | Data type | Data description |
|-----|----------------|-----------|------------------|
| 1   | fragment_id    | int       | Activity fragment ID |
| 2   | activity_id    | int       | Activity ID |
| 3   | time_point     | float     | Acquisition time point (ms) |
| 4   | acc_x          | float     | X-axis component without gravitational acceleration (m/s²) |
| 5   | acc_y          | float     | Y-axis component without gravitational acceleration (m/s²) |
| 6   | acc_z          | float     | Z-axis component without gravitational acceleration (m/s²) |
| 7   | acc_{xg}       | float     | X-axis component containing the acceleration of gravity (m/s²) |
| 8   | acc_{yg}       | float     | Y-axis component containing the acceleration of gravity (m/s²) |
| 9   | acc_{zg}       | float     | Y-axis component containing the acceleration of gravity (m/s²) |

### Table 4: Calculation of time and frequency domain features.

| Feature               | Calculation formula | Meaning                          |
|-----------------------|---------------------|----------------------------------|
| Mean                  | \( \bar{x} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i \) | The mean of the activity segment data |
| Variance              | \( \sigma = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_i - \bar{x})^2 \) | The variance of activity segment data |
| Standard deviation    | \( \sqrt{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_i - \bar{x})^2} \) | The standard deviation of the activity segment data |
| Skewness              | \( \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_i - \bar{x})^3}{(n-1)\sigma^3} \) | The skewness of the activity segment data |
| Quartile deviation    | \( Q_3 - Q_1 \) | The difference between the third quartile and the first quartile |
| Power spectral density| \( P_f(w) = |F_f(w)|^2 \) | Power in unit frequency band |
| Median frequency      | \( \frac{\int_0^\infty f P(f) df}{\int_0^\infty P(f) df} \) | Median of power spectral density |
| Average frequency     | \( \frac{\int_0^\infty P(f) df}{\int_0^\infty f P(f) df} \) | Mean value of power spectral density |
| Energy spectral density| \( G(f) = |F_f(w)|^2 \) | Signal energy in unit frequency band |

### 4.2 Model Train and Optimization

Through continuous experimentation to explore, the model is upgraded step by step and finally proposed a Activity Bidirectional input Convolution and Deep Neural Network model: MBCDNN, and the effect is the best among all comparative experiments. The data collected by the smart phone sensor contains components of gravitational acceleration (\( \text{acc}_x, \text{acc}_y, \text{acc}_z \)) and components that do not contain gravitational acceleration (\( \text{acc}_{xg}, \text{acc}_{yg}, \text{acc}_{zg} \)) (see Table 3). In order to increase the effect of the model, as shown in formulas (4), the components are combined into a vector.

\[
\text{mod} = \text{acc}_x^2 + \text{acc}_y^2 + \text{acc}_z^2,
\]
\[
\text{mod g} = \text{acc}_{xg}^2 + \text{acc}_{yg}^2 + \text{acc}_{zg}^2.
\]
Take the component and mod\textsuperscript{g} as the input data of the model. To reduce model deviation and overfitting problems, 5-fold cross-validation and stratified sampling are used to ensure that the proportions of different categories in each compromise are equal. This section takes the MultiConv2D module in the MBCDNN model as a baseline. The optimization methods of the model include RMSProp, adding the BN layer after the convolutional layer, GlobalAveragePooling2D, and combining the input of the forward sequence and the reverse sequence. Among them, adding a reverse sequence to the input part has the best effect. The analysis of the reasons shows that the diversified input facilitates the model to more fully extract the feature of activity. The improvement of the specific experimental model is shown in Table 5. In the preliminary work, it is found that the effect of model fusion is better than that of single model. So we optimized the model from the following aspects:

1. Combine the input of the MultiConv2D module with the input of the DNN module. The input model data is time series data and aggregation feature data. The time series data is input to the MultiConv2D module, and the feature data is input to the DNN module.

2. For the input part, due to the existence of various scales of activity sequence fragments, a single padding length cannot be used, and for too long or too short fragments, interception or padding will bring a lot of noise, so we built an activity module: ComplexConv1D, to make up for the impact of noise and enrich the model learning ability.

3. Data enhancement (noise enhancement, cubic spline interpolation) increases the generalization ability of the model.

Table 6 shows the model optimization process.

According to Tables 5 and 6, the effect of multiple models is obviously better than that of single model. Adding reverse sequence and aggregation feature data to the input data has significantly improved the score. Data enhancement is also an important method for score improvement. The addition of activity modules has further improved the score.

4.3. Model Comparison. We improve our model based on the idea of convolutional neural network and deep neural network and propose the idea of activity and multi-input; after recognizing 19 kinds of activities, the effect of the algorithm model in this paper is fully proved. Comparative experiments include ensemble learning, deep learning single model, single input model, and multiple input model. Table 7 shows the experimental comparison results of different models.
It can be seen from Table 7 that the scores of the multi-input models are all above 0.8. The MBCDNN model we proposed has a maximum score of 0.887, explaining that input of multiple conversion methods of data, data enhancement, and model fusion can obtain better scores, which further shows that our model has a good activity recognition effect.

5. Conclusions
Aiming at the lack of complex action and different scene existing in human activity recognition, we use a smart phone as the carrier equipment and propose complex human activity recognition based on scene+action, by introducing the forward sequence and reverse sequence, as well as aggregation features to help the model with more activity features. However, as the time series data, a truncated or filling strategy will introduce unnecessary noise; for this reason, we propose a ComplexConv1D module to compensate for the impact of unnecessary noise. At the same time, in order to more comprehensively evaluate the performance of the model under a specific activity, we define an evaluation index that combines the weight of the scene and the action. Through experimental comparison and analysis, the performance of our model has indeed been improved, which proves the effectiveness of our method. After all this job we have done, it still needs a lot of things to do on human activity recognition. We believe there will be a more outstanding work in the future.
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