**Tecticornia** sp. (Samphire)—A Promising Underutilized Australian Indigenous Edible Halophyte
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Salinization is gradually increasing over cropping soils and is challenging Governments in many countries, including Australia. There has been a high demand for utilizing arid and semi-arid land for sustainable food production. Currently, the main crops and forage plants are salt sensitive, while halophytes can tolerate a wide range of salinities. Samphire is an Australian indigenous edible halophyte and belongs to the genus *Tecticornia*. It is an underutilized, succulent plant growing on arid or semi-arid land. Most samphire species have a long history of use as food, but also as non-food (fodder and medicine), among indigenous communities in Australia, while scientific information is limited on their nutritional composition and potential bioactivity. The present study reports, for the first time, the nutritional composition, bioactive compounds (phytochemicals) and antioxidant capacity of six Australian grown samphire from different locations. The results showed that celosianin II and isocelosianin II could be identified as the predominant betalains (phytochemicals) in pigmented samphire species. Proximates and fiber varied significantly (*p* < 0.05) between the samphire species with a highest value of fiber of 46.8 g/100 g dry weight (DW). Furthermore, samphire could be identified as a valuable source of essential minerals and trace elements, such as iron (41.5 mg/100 g DW), magnesium (1.2 g/100 g DW) and sodium (16.7 g/100 g DW). The fatty acid profile, mainly palmitic, stearic, oleic, linoleic and α-linolenic acid, was similar among the studied species. Total phenolic content and DPPH-radical scavenging capacity were different (*p* < 0.05) between the six samphire samples. These initial results are very promising and indicate that Australian grown samphire may have the potential to be utilized as a functional food ingredient.
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**INTRODUCTION**

Throughout history, humans have attempted to utilize various natural produce for food solely to satisfy hunger (an essential need) at first; later they had choices to select in times of surplus, and they learnt how to produce food in terms of cooking and/or preservation through evolution (1, 2). Plants or plant-based products were gathered by First Peoples...
with various objectives such as food, folk medicine, clothes and rituals. Until agriculture was invented, humans were identified as hunter-gatherers during the paleolithic era. They mostly had a vast knowledge about native edible plants, varieties and variety of applications in the then modernized civilization. Unfortunately, this knowledge has gradually declined over time after a few generations of acculturation in Indigenous or Aboriginal Communities in various parts of the world (3). Today, most native edible plants are underutilized even though they have immense nutritional value for the community, while they may be still consumed in other parts of the world.

Underutilization of natural resources, the salinity of soil and water along with food insecurity, has become a major concern worldwide. It has been reported that 20% of the total farming land (45 million ha) are salt affected worldwide (4). It was estimated that salinity affects ∼52.7 million ha (Mha) in Asia, 14.8 Mha in Africa, and 0.9 Mha in Australia (5). According to the National Land and Water Resources Audit (2001), ∼1.8 Mha corresponding to 10% of the total farmed area is affected by dryland salinity in South-Western Australia, and another 6 Mha is at risk (6). Therefore, there is a high potential for utilizing saline lands for sustainable food production in the future.

Genus Tecticornia, Australian indigenous edible halophytes, commonly recognized as samphires, comprise 44 species endemic to Australia (7–9). Tecticornia sp. is an underutilized, succulent plant distributed in arid or semi-arid lands belonging to the subfamily Salicornioideae of the family Amaranthaceae. This family includes several striking economically important food crops such as spinach, beets, chard and quinoa (10). Remarkably, the subfamily Salicornioideae encompasses 110 species in 11 genera including Sarcocornia and Salicornia (8) with a broad range of climatic adaptations. Notably, Tecticornia sp. also expresses high salinity tolerance and thrives in flood, saline and drought conditions like other halophytes.

Halophytes consist of 1% of the world’s flora that can tolerate salinity stressed environments through various mechanisms, while 99% of other plant species are supressed (11). For instance, Tecticornia sp. possess a variety of adaptations such as formation of compatible solutes (glycinebetaine), adventitious root, accumulation of salt in the tissues and selectivity in shoots for K⁺ over Na⁺ (12–14) to survive in environments with higher degrees of salinity. Unfortunately, studies which have investigated Tecticornia sp. have been largely limited to salinity tolerance (12, 15, 16) and their nutritional profile and potential bioactivity have not been explored to the same extent as other samphire species (Salicornia and Sarcocornia sp.).

The fleshy leaves and young green shoots of samphire are crunchy in texture with fresh sea-salt flavor. It is still consumed by indigenous people as part of a meal or complimentary meal without any processing, or quickly blanched and tossed with olive oil, vinegar or lemon, added to a meal as a salt substitute or served with seafood. Though Tecticornia species have been utilized by the Indigenous Australians for centuries as food, animal feed and as conventional medicine, this is no longer reported as physical evidence. However, a few studies have explored its medicinal properties. Bhanuvalli et al. (17) have recently examined the diuretic, analgesic, and anti-inflammatory properties of Tecticornia species (formerly Halosarcia sp.), collected from India. Later, Halosarcia indica was utilized in the production of low salt dried fish with value addition (18).

Remarkably, a few important chemical compounds are limited to halophytes with high potential to be used as functional ingredients (19, 20), which make them even more appealing to investigate further on nutritional properties. Besides the ecological importance of Tecticornia sp., investigations regarding its potential as functional food or functional (food) ingredient are very limited. Therefore, to bridge this gap, the present study provides the first comprehensive analysis of the nutritional composition, bioactive compounds (phytochemicals) and antioxidant capacity of six Australian grown samphire collected from different sublocations in the Kimberley Region of Western Australia.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Materials**

Fresh samples of Tecticornia sp. (Figure 1) were supplied by the Indigenous Community of Twin Lakes Cultural Park (Kimberley, WA) in 2019. The leaves and young twigs were freeze-dried and ground into a fine powder by using a MM 400 Retsch Mixer Mill (Retsch, Haan, Germany) and stored in airtight containers at −35°C for further analysis. All samples were identified and reference voucher numbers were given by the Queensland Herbarium, Botanic Gardens Mt Coot-tha, Brisbane, QLD, Australia (Table 1).

**Reagents**

Phenolic standards including gallic acid, 2,2-diphenyl-1-picrylhydrazyl (DPPH) and 6-hydroxy-2,5,7,8-tetramethylchroman-2-carboxylic acid (Trolox) (HPLC grade) were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (Castle Hill, NSW, Australia). Betanin (>99% purity) was purchased from Adooq Biosciences LLC (Irvine, CA, USA).

**Proximate and Mineral/Trace Element Analysis**

Proximate analysis was conducted (on the lyophilized powder) at the School of Agriculture and Food Sciences, The University of Queensland, St. Lucia, QLD, Australia. The analysis were conducted according to the Association of Official Analytical Chemists (21) methods as follows: dry matter (method 925.10), crude protein (method 990.03), crude fat by Soxhlet extraction (method 960.39), crude ash (method 923.03), and neutral detergent fiber (method 962.09). Soluble carbohydrates (glucose) and starch were measured using an enzymatic method (22, 23). Minerals, trace elements and heavy metals were determined by inductively coupled plasma optical emission spectrometry (ICP-OES) (24). The results of proximate composition and minerals were expressed as a percentage (%) on dry weight (DW) basis while trace elements and heavy metals were expressed as mg/kg DW.
Fatty Acid Analysis

Lipid Extraction

The extraction of lipids followed Bligh and Dyer (25) and Ryckebosch et al. (26), with modifications. Briefly, ~0.2 g of dried sample material was mixed with methanol for 5 s using a vortex mixer (Fisher Biotech, Perth, WA, Australia). Then the samples were placed in a sonication bath (ELMA ultrasonic bath, Techspan, Brisbane, QLD, Australia) for 15 min at room temperature (RT). Chloroform (CHCl₃) and Milli Q water were added to the sample and vortexed for 5 s. The sample was then centrifuged at 800 rpm for 5 min at RT (Eppendorf Centrifuge 5804, Eppendorf, Hamburg, Germany). The upper layer was removed, and the lower layer was collected into pre-weighed containers using a Pasteur pipette. The remaining pellet was re-extracted with chloroform and methanol mixture (1:1, v/v). The mixture was centrifuged at 800 rpm for 5 min at RT and the supernatant was collected and combined with the previously collected top layer. Finally, the combined solution was evaporated at 45°C under nitrogen flow. The extraction was performed in triplicate.

Fatty Acid Methyl Ester Analysis

The lipids and free fatty acids extracted from Tecticornia sp. were derivatized to the corresponding fatty acid methyl esters (FAME) and analyzed according to Chua et al. (27), with some modifications. In brief, 40 µL of the resuspended lipid solution (10 mg/mL in chloroform) was mixed together with 40 µL of heneicosanoic acid (C21) (2 mg/mL in isooctane) and 500 µL of 5% acetyl chloride in methanol in a thermal vial. Then the samples were heated at 95°C for 1 h and subsequently cooled to RT. After cooling, 500 µL of 0.9% NaCl solution and 500 µL of isooctane were added and vortexed for 5 s. Finally, 180 µL of the top (isooctane) layer was collected and transferred to a GC vial. Methyl nonadecanoate (C19) (20 µL, 1 mg/mL in isooctane) was added into the vials as an internal standard before injecting into a Shimadzu GCMS-TQ8040 system (Shimadzu...
Determination of Total Phenolic Content and DPPH Radical Scavenging Capacity

Extraction

The extraction of the samples was performed as described by Hong et al. (28). Briefly, 0.5 g of dried powder of *Tecticornia* sp. was vortexed with 3 mL of 80% aqueous methanol containing 0.1 M HCl. Then the mixture was shaken using a reciprocating shaker (RP1812, Paton Scientific, Victor Harbor, SA, Australia) for 10 min at 200 rpm and centrifuged (Eppendorf Centrifuge 5804) at 3,900 rpm for 10 min at 4°C. The supernatant was collected and the residue was re-extracted twice with 3 mL of the extractant. Supernatants were combined and filtered through a 0.2 µm PP membrane filter prior the determination of the total phenolic content and DPPH radical scavenging capacity. All extractions were performed in triplicate.

Total Phenolic Content (TPC)

TPC was determined employing the Folin-Ciocalteu assay as described previously by Phan et al. (29), using a micro-plate absorbance reader (Sunrise, Tecan, Mannedorf, Switzerland) at 700 nm. TPC was expressed as milligrams of gallic acid equivalents per 100 grams of sample (mg GAE/100 g), based on an external gallic acid standard curve (0–105 mg/L).

DPPH Radical Scavenging Capacity

The methanolic sample extract was evaporated at 40°C, using a miVac sample Duo concentrator (Genevac Inc., Gardiner, NY, USA). The dried extract was re-dissolved in absolute methanol and further diluted to different concentrations for the DPPH assay.

The DPPH radical scavenging capacity was determined as previously described by Moore and Yu (30) with slight modifications using a microplate absorbance reader (Sunrise, Tecan) at 517 nm. The radical scavenging capacity was expressed as µM Trolox equivalents (TE) per g dry weight extract, based on an external Trolox standard curve (5–35 µM).

Determination of Betalains

Extraction of Betalains

Powder samples (0.5 g) were vortexed with 3 mL of extractant (80% aqueous methanol containing 50 mM sodium ascorbate, pH 6.5) as reported previously by Schliemann et al. (31), with slight modifications. The sample mixture was shaken for 10 min at 200 rpm/min by using a RP 1812 reciprocating shaker (Paton Scientific, Victor Harbor, SA, Australia) followed by centrifugation (Eppendorf Centrifuge 5804) at 3,900 rpm for 10 min at 4°C. The supernatant was collected and the residue was re-extracted twice with 3 mL of the extractant. Supernatants were combined and filtered through a 0.2 µm PP membrane filter prior to betalain analysis. All extractions were performed in triplicate.

Analysis of Betalains

Compound separation and chromatographic analysis were performed on an Agilent 1290 Infinity ultra-high-performance liquid chromatography (UHPLC) system (Agilent Technologies, Waldbronn, Germany) equipped with a 1290 Infinity Diode Array Detector (DAD) and a reverse-phase Acquity UPLC BEH C18 column (150 × 2.1 mm i.d., 1.7 µm; Waters, Dublin, Ireland) maintained at 40°C. Mobile phases including A (96% MQ water, 3% acetonitrile, 1% formic acid v/v) and B (1% formic acid in acetonitrile v/v) eluted the compounds at a flow rate of 0.3 mL/min. The injection volume was 2 µL. The elution gradient was performed with 100% of mobile phase A for 1 min as an initial isocratic hold, then 96% A in 11 min and 84.8% A in 5 min, and from 84.8 to 10.9% in the next of 2 min. Then, an isocratic condition was used for 2 min, conditioning 1 min and re-equilibration for 5 min with 100% mobile phase A. DAD spectrum was scanned from 190 to 600 nm. The detection signal was recorded and quantified at 535 nm using betanin as an external standard.

A DIONEX Ultimate 3000 UHPLC system equipped with a UV/Vis detector and a Q Exactive high resolution Quadrupole-Orbitrap mass spectrometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific Australia Pty Ltd., Melbourne, VIC, Australia) was used to confirm the identity of the eluted betalains. The Q-Exactive mass spectrometer was operated in positive mode with full MS and all-ion-fragmentation (AIF) scans at a resolving power of 70,000 full width half maximum, at collision energy of 35 eV. A scan range of 100–1,000 m/z and 80–1,000 m/z was applied for the full MS and AIF scans, respectively. The automatic gain control (AGC) was set at 3e6. Chromatography and elution program were the same as those used for the UHPLC-DAD analysis described above. Peak identities were based on data regarding mass spectrum, fragmentations, calculated accurate mass, and retention time of betalain compounds and compared to previously reported literature. The Thermo Xcalibur™ software (Thermo Fisher Scientific) was used for data acquisition.

Analysis of Vitamin C

Ascorbic acid (L-AA) extraction and analysis was conducted as previously described by Phan et al. (32). Briefly, 0.5 g dried powder of *Tecticornia* sp. was extracted with 3% meta-phosphoric acid containing 8% acetic acid and 1 mM ethylenediamine-tetraacetic acid (EDTA). The reduction of
dehydroascorbic acid (DHAA), which was also present in the extracts/samples, to L-AA was performed prior to UPLC-PDA analysis. Total vitamin C (L-AA + DHAA) was determined using a Waters UPLC-PDA system (Waters, Milford, MA, USA) and a Waters HSS-T3 column (Waters, Rydalmere, NSW, Australia) (100 × 2.1 mm i.d.; 1.8 μm; 25°C), with aqueous 0.1% formic acid as the mobile phase (0.25 mL/min) and isocratic elution. An external calibration curve of L-AA was used for quantification.

**Determination of Anti-nutritional Components**

**Hydrolysable Tannins**
The hydrolysable tannins in the *Tecticornia* sp. were determined using the potassium iodate assay previously described by Hoang et al. (33). Briefly, 50 μL extract was added to a 96-well plate with 150 μL of 2.5% w/v potassium iodate. Absorbance was measured after 15 min, using a Tecan microplate absorbance reader (Tecan Infinite M200, Mannedorf, Switzerland) at 550 nm. Tannic acid was used as a standard and results were expressed as mg tannic acid equivalents (TAE)/g DW.

**Total Saponins**
Extraction and quantification of saponins followed the spectrophotometric method described by Phan et al. (34) with modifications. Approximately 0.5 g dried powder of *Tecticornia* sp. was extracted with 10 mL of 80% methanol. Then the mixture was shaken using a reciprocating shaker (RP1812, Paton Scientific) for 1 hr at 200 rpm followed by ultra-sonication at RT and centrifuged (Eppendorf Centrifuge 5804, Eppendorf, Hamburg, Germany) at 3,900 rpm for 5 min at RT. The supernatant was collected and the residue was re-extracted with the extracting solvent while shaking on the reciprocating shaker at 150 rpm overnight. The supernatant was collected after centrifugation (3,900 rpm, 10 min), while the residue was re-extracted twice with 80% methanol (for 15 min). The supernatants were combined and evaporated until dryness at

### TABLE 2 | Proximate composition, minerals and trace elements of *Tecticornia* species from different sub-locations.

| Plant species | *T. halocnemoides 1* | *T. halocnemoides 2* | *T. halocnemoides 3* | *T. halocnemoides 4* | *T. indica 5* | *T. halocnemoides 6* | Nutritional information |
|---------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------|----------------------|------------------------|
| **Proximate composition (g/100 g DW)** | | | | | | | |
| Protein       | 8.6 ± 0.0c           | 12.6 ± 0.1d          | 12.5 ± 0.1d          | 8.9 ± 0.1e          | 8.7 ± 0.1d     | 7.6 ± 0.1e          | 50 g**                 |
| Fat           | 1.76 ± 0.0c          | 1.77 ± 0.0d          | 1.86 ± 0.1e          | 1.84 ± 0.1e         | 1.07 ± 0.1a    | 1.45 ± 0.0p         | 70 g**                 |
| Glucose       | 5.1 ± 0.0e           | 5.6 ± 0.1f           | 2.7 ± 0.1e           | 4.8 ± 0.1d          | 4.0 ± 0.1c     | 3.5 ± 0.1b          | 90 g**                 |
| Starch        | 0.08 ± 0.1c          | 0.03 ± 0.0b          | 0.09 ± 0.0d          | 0.2 ± 0.0e          | 0.04 ± 0.0p    | 0.03 ± 0.0e         | 310 g**                |
| Fiber         | 35.1 ± 0.5a          | 30.8 ± 0.09d         | 30.1 ± 0.2c          | 27.8 ± 0.1b         | 46.8 ± 0.1f    | 26.4 ± 0.2a         | 30 g**                 |
| Moisture      | 59.0 ± 0.4**         | 77.0 ± 0.5**         | 71.7 ± 0.6**         | 78.1 ± 0.5**        | 75.5 ± 0.4**   | 28.9 ± 2.1**        | -                      |
| Ash           | 1.0 ± 0.1a           | 1.2 ± 0.0b           | 2.6 ± 0.0f           | 2.0 ± 0.0d          | 2.4 ± 0.0e     | 1.5 ± 0.0e          | -                      |
| **Minerals (g/100 g DW)** | | | | | | | |
| Ca            | 0.51 ± 0.0c          | 0.41 ± 0.0d          | 0.41 ± 0.0c          | 0.35 ± 0.0a         | 0.38 ± 0.0p    | 0.48 ± 0.0d         | 1.2 g/day AI√         |
| Mg            | 0.8 ± 0.0c           | 0.9 ± 0.0d           | 0.59 ± 0.0e          | 1.2 ± 0.0f          | 0.63 ± 0.0p    | 1.1 ± 0.0e          | 0.35 g/day ER√        |
| Na            | 11.8 ± 0.0c          | 11.6 ± 0.0d          | 13.3 ± 0.1d          | 16.3 ± 0.1e         | 8.8 ± 0.0a     | 16.7 ± 1.01         | 0.46–1.3 g/day AI√     |
| K             | 1.1 ± 0.1b           | 1.7 ± 0.1a           | 1.5 ± 0.0d           | 1.5 ± 0.0d          | 0.3 ± 0.0a     | 1.3 ± 0.0c          | 4.7 g/day AI√         |
| P             | 0.12 ± 0.0e          | 0.13 ± 0.0d          | 0.2 ± 0.0d           | 0.12 ± 0.0e         | 0.12 ± 0.0p    | 0.07 ± 0.0e         | 0.7 g/day AI√          |
| S             | 0.5 ± 0.1b           | 0.67 ± 0.0d          | 0.69 ± 0.0e          | 0.8 ± 0.0f          | 0.1 ± 0.0e     | 0.6 ± 0.0f          | -                      |
| **Trace elements (mg/kg DW)** | | | | | | | |
| Fe            | 162.3 ± 3.0a         | 107.6 ± 4.2bc        | 112.9 ± 2.3bc        | 160.9 ± 2.9c        | 101.4 ± 3.7a   | 414.6 ± 8.0d        | 8 mg/day RDA√         |
| Zn            | 4.9 ± 0.1a           | 6.3 ± 0.2c           | 9.8 ± 0.3d           | 16.9 ± 0.3a         | 4.2 ± 0.4a     | 6.5 ± 0.3c          | 11 mg/day RDA√        |
| Mn            | 11.3 ± 0.1c          | 15.3 ± 0.4d          | 10.8 ± 0.3e          | 44.1 ± 0.4a         | 4.9 ± 0.2a     | 9.7 ± 0.3e          | 2.3 mg/day Al√        |
| Cu            | 2.9 ± 0.1a           | 7.0 ± 0.5d           | 10.5 ± 0.7b          | 6.9 ± 0.2d          | 3.7 ± 0.2d     | 5.4 ± 0.1c          | 900 μg/day Al√        |
| Ni            | 0.03 ± 0.1a          | 1.5 ± 0.2b           | 0.6 ± 0.1c           | 0.9 ± 0.1d          | 0.8 ± 0.1a     | 0.3 ± 0.0f          | -                      |
| Mo            | 2.3 ± 0.1a           | 0.8 ± 0.1a           | 1.2 ± 0.1e           | 1.0 ± 0.1b          | 0.8 ± 0.1a     | 1.2 ± 0.1c          | 45 μg/day Al√         |
| Sr            | 0.1 ± 0.1a           | 0.1 ± 0.0a           | 0.33 ± 0.02d         | 0.27 ± 0.0b         | 0.27 ± 0.1b    | 0.35 ± 0.1c         | 55 μg/day Al√         |
| Sr           | 51.5 ± 0.1a          | 44.9 ± 1.4c          | 41.8 ± 1.5b          | 45.8 ± 0.9c         | 30.7 ± 1.5a    | 53.2 ± 0.9d         | -                      |
| B             | 36.8 ± 0.1a          | 49.9 ± 1.6b          | 40.4 ± 0.8b          | 46.7 ± 2.1cd        | 79.0 ± 3.3a    | 44.2 ± 1.5e         | -                      |

Values are means ± SD (n = 3); Means not sharing a common superscript (a–l) within a row are significantly (p < 0.05) different.

* */g/100 g FW; **Daily Intake (39); √Nutritional Information (39); ( ) not available; RDA, recommended dietary allowance; AI, adequate intake; EAR, estimated average requirement; √√Nutritional Information (40).
40°C in a miVac sample Duo concentrator. The dried extract was redissolved in water and successively extracted with diethyl-ether to remove the pigments, followed by extraction of saponins with saturated n-butanol. The n-butanol extracts were combined and dried under reduced pressure using a rotary evaporator (Buchi Rotavapor R-100, BÜCHI Labortechnik AG, Flawil, Switzerland). The dried extract was redissolved in aqueous methanol 80% (v/v) and subjected to the Vanillin-H$_2$SO$_4$ assay using a microplate reader (Sunrise, Tecan) at 544 nm. Oleanolic acid (0–1.0 g/L) was used to prepare an external calibration curve. Total saponins were expressed as mg of oleanolic acid equivalents (OE) per 100 g of sample.

### TABLE 3 | Heavy metals in Tecticornia species from different sub-locations.

| Plant species | T. halocnemoides 1 | T. halocnemoides 2 | T. halocnemoides 3 | T. halocnemoides 4 | T. indica 5 | T. halocnemoides 6 | Nutritional Information |
|---------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------|-------------------|------------------------|
| Heavy metals (mg/kg DW) |                |                   |                   |                   |             |                   |                        |
| Al            | 108.3 ± 0.0$^d$  | 56.2 ± 1.5$^{bc}$ | 57.0 ± 1.6$^c$   | 51.3 ± 0.8$^a$   | 52.3 ± 0.3$^{ab}$ | 130.5 ± 5.3$^b$ | 1.0 mg/kg BW/week TWI$^*$ |
| As            | 0.1 ± 0.0$^a$    | 0.2 ± 0.1$^b$    | 0.2 ± 0.0$^p$    | 0.1 ± 0.0$^p$    | 0.3 ± 0.1$^c$   | 0.2 ± 0.0$^b$    | 128 µg/week for a 60 kg BW TWI$^{**}$ |
| Cd            | 0.15 ± 0.0$^a$   | 0.15 ± 0.0$^a$   | 0.23 ± 0.0$^d$   | 0.19 ± 0.0$^c$   | 0.1 ± 0.0$^p$    | 0.1 ± 0.0$^a$    | 2.5 µg/kg BW/week TWI$^\sqrt{a}$ |
| Cr            | 0.93 ± 0.0$^f$   | 0.5 ± 0.0$^d$    | 0.05 ± 0.0$^a$   | 0.12 ± 0.0$^p$   | 0.4 ± 0.0$^c$    | 0.87 ± 0.1$^e$   | 35 µg/day AI$^\wedge$ |
| Pb            | 0.6 ± 0.0$^e$    | 0.4 ± 0.1$^a$    | 0.8 ± 0.1$^c$    | 1.0 ± 0.1$^c$    | 0.9 ± 0.1$^c$    | 0.9 ± 0.2$^c$    | 25 µg/kg BW/week TWI$^¥$ |

Values are means ± SD (n = 3); Means not sharing a common superscript (a–f) within a row are significantly (p < 0.05) different.

$^*$ European Food Safety Authority (EFSA) ([45]); $^{**}$ Leblanc et al. ([46]); $^\sqrt{a}$ EFSA ([47]); $^\wedge$ Otten et al. ([39]); $^¥$ Cheung Chung et al. ([48]); BW, body weight; TWI, tolerable weekly intake; AI, adequate intake.
Phytate Content

Total phytate content was determined according to Joshi-Saha and Reddy (35). Approximately 0.5 g dried powder of Tecticornia sp. was added to 10 mL of 2.4% HCl in a centrifuge tube and shaken for 1 h. The mixture was then centrifuged at 10,000 × g at 10°C for 20 min. The supernatant obtained was mixed with 0.5 g NaCl in another centrifuge tube and vortexed for 60 s. The tube was kept in a freezer (−20°C) for 20 min, centrifuged at 10,000 × g at 10°C for 20 min and the clear supernatant obtained was used for the total phytate content assay. Briefly, 1 mL of the extract was mixed with 300 µL of Wadé's reagent (0.03% FeCl₃·6H₂O + 0.3% sulfosalicylic acid). The mixture was centrifuged at 4,000 × g at 10°C for 5 min, and the absorbance was read using a microplate absorbance reader (Tecan Infinite M200) at 500 nm. Phytic acid (Inositol hexaphosphoric acid) dodecasodium salt (0–120 µg/mL) was used as a standard and results were expressed as mg/g of phytic acid (PA) on a dry matter basis (36).

Trypsin Inhibitor Activity Assay

The extraction and determination of trypsin inhibitor activity (TIA) was carried out using the method of Liu (37) with some modifications. Approximately 0.5 g dried powder of Tecticornia sp. was extracted with 25 mL NaOH. Then the mixture was shaken using a reciprocating shaker (RP1812, Paton Scientific) for 1 h at 200 rpm. The mixture was allowed to settle for 10 min and the extract was carefully decanted without centrifugation for TIA estimation. For the TIA assay, an inhibitor assay buffer was prepared which contained 20 mM CaCl₂ and 50 mM Tris-HCl at pH 8.2. The N-benzoyl-D-L-arginine-p-nitroanilide (DL-BAPA) substrate (0.4 mg/mL) was prepared fresh on the same day in the assay buffer that contained 1% dimethyl sulfoxide solution and pre-warmed at 37°C. An aliquot (2.5 mL) of the DL-BAPA substrate was added to 1 mL of the diluted extract after which 1.0 mL bovine trypsin (20 µg/mL in 1 mM HCl solution containing 5 mM CaCl₂) was added and immediately mixed. The whole assay was conducted in a water bath at 37°C. Following incubation for 10 min at 37°C, the color reaction was terminated by addition of 0.5 mL of 30% acetic acid solution. The mixture was centrifuged at 3,000 × g for 10 min and the absorbance for the sample reading (A410S) at 410 nm was a measure of the trypsin activity in the presence of the sample inhibitors. The absorbance was read using a spectro-photo meter (Thermo Fisher Scientific Genesys 20, Melbourne, VIC, Australia) at 410 nm. Concurrently, the reaction was also run in the absence of inhibitors by replacing the sample extract with an equal amount of reverse osmosis (RO) water and reference reading was also recorded as A410R. Furthermore, sample blanks (A410SB) and reference blank (A410RB) were also run by adding the acetic acid solution before the trypsin solution. A trypsin unit is defined as an increase of 0.02 absorbance at 410 nm. The TIA is expressed in trypsin units inhibited (TUI) per mg sample and calculated as follows:

\[
\text{TUI (mg) = } \frac{(CR - CS) \times 100 \times x \text{ ml diluted extract}}{mg \text{ sample per mL diluted extract used for the assay} \times 2}
\]

Where CR (corrected sample reading) = A410S - A410SB.

CR (corrected reference reading) = A410R - A410RB.

Statistical Analysis

The results were expressed as mean ± standard deviations (SD) and analyzed using a multi-variate general linear model (IBM SPSS statistics 26; IBM, Sydney, NSW, Australia). Pearson’s correlation coefficient (R) and the coefficient of determination (R²) was calculated for testing the correlation between the DPPH radical scavenging capacity and TPC. The means were compared using ANOVA and Duncan’s multiple range test, and probability was accepted at p < 0.05.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Proximate, Minerals, and Trace Elements

The results of the proximate composition, minerals and trace elements of the Tecticornia species collected from different sublocations are presented in Table 2.

Moisture

The moisture content of the samples from sub-location 6 (28.9 g/100 g) was found to be lowest among the samples tested while the samples from sub-location 4 had the highest value (28.9 vs. 78.1 g/100 g, p < 0.05). Moisture content is crucial in terms of the physicochemical properties of processed foods, since low moisture content decreases the susceptibility for

| Table 4 | Fatty acid profile, expressed as % of total fatty acids on dry weight basis, of Tecticornia species from different sub-locations. |
|---------|--------------------------------------------------|
| FA (%) | Common Name | T. halocnemoides 1 | T. halocnemoides 2 | T. halocnemoides 3 | T. halocnemoides 4 | T. indica 5 | T. halocnemoides 6 |
|--------|-------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|-------------|---------------------|
| C16:0  | Palmitic acid | 29.5 ± 0.5a | 28.2 ± 0.5a | 27.4 ± 0.2b | 29.5 ± 0.3a | 24.7 ± 0.1a | 35.5 ± 0.6a |
| C18:0  | Stearic acid | 7.6 ± 0.9b | 5.3 ± 0.7a | 5.9 ± 1.3a | 6.8 ± 0.5abc | 7.8 ± 0.2b | 11.0 ± 1.3c |
| Σ SFA  | 37.1 | 33.5 | 33.3 | 36.3 | 32.5 | 46.5 |
| C18:1 (n-9) | Oleic acid | 20.3 ± 1.9b | 15.0 ± 0.9a | 16.8 ± 1.9a | 20.1 ± 0.5p | 19.6 ± 0.3p | 23.5 ± 1.8p |
| Σ MUFA | 20.3 | 15.0 | 16.8 | 20.1 | 19.6 | 23.5 |
| C18:2 (n-6) | Linoleic acid | 15.5 ± 1.5c | 22.2 ± 1.7b | 29.3 ± 2.8c | 22.2 ± 1.0d | 25.7 ± 0.6b | 18.6 ± 2.7a |
| C18:3 (n-3) | α-linolenic acid | 27.0 ± 0.8d | 29.2 ± 0.4c | 20.7 ± 0.3p | 21.4 ± 0.4p | 22.2 ± 1.2c | 11.4 ± 0.2a |
| Σ PUFA | 42.5 | 51.4 | 50.0 | 43.6 | 47.9 | 30.0 |
| Σ PUFA/Σ SFA | 1.1 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.2 | 1.5 | 0.6 |
| n-6/n-3 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 1.4 | 1.0 | 1.1 | 1.6 |

Values are means ± SD (n = 3); Means not sharing a common superscript (a–e) within a row are significantly (p < 0.05) different.
microbial growth and undesirable biochemical changes (41). The moisture content of 28.9% in the *Tecticornia halocnemoides* sp. is associated with minimum risk for microbial growth and shelf-life stability during storage.

**Protein and Fat**
The protein content of the studied *Tecticornia* species (samphire) ranged from 7.6 to 12.6 g/100 g DW. Since we report for the first time on the nutritional composition of *Tecticornia* sp., comparison with literature values for the same species could not be presented. However, when compared with other plants belonging to the subfamily Salicornioideae, the studied *Tecticornia* sp. had higher protein levels than *Salicornia ramosissima* (5.2 g/100 g DW), but lower levels than *Salicornia herbacea* (22.1 g/100 g DW) and comparable levels with *Salicornia bigelovii* (10.2 g/100 g DW) (42–44). A 100 g serve of *T. halocnemoides* sp. contributes to 15–25% of the daily intake of protein for adults [Food Standards Australia New Zealand (FSANZ)] (38). Regarding the fat content, *T. halocnemoides* collected from different sub-locations showed relatively similar values (1.5–1.9 g/100 g DW), and these were significantly (*p* < 0.05) different from *Tecticornia indica* (1.1 g/100 g DW). However, the fat content was similar to that previously reported for *S. ramosissima* (1.9 g/100 g DW) (42).

**Ash and Fiber**
The ash content of the samples collected from the 6 different sub-locations was different (1.0–2.6 g/100 g DW), reflecting the impact of the growing conditions. In contrast, the ash content of the genus *Tecticornia* was lower than that of *S. ramosissima* (29.2 g/100 g DW), *S. bigelovii* (52.7 g/100 g DW) and *S. herbacea* (8.1 g/100 g DW) (42–44). Interestingly, *Tecticornia* species are rich sources of fiber irrespective of their growing locations. The fiber content of the samples in the present study ranged from 26.4 to 46.8 g/100 g DW. *T. indica* (46.8 g/100 g DW) had a significantly (*p* < 0.05) higher fiber content than *T. halocnemoides* (26.4–35.1 g/100 g DW), collected from different sub-locations. The fiber content of the genus *Tecticornia* was surprisingly higher than that of the genus *Salicornia*. Although *S. ramosissima* (22.5 g/100 g DW) had slightly lower fiber, it can still be considered as a rich source of fiber according to Barreira et al. (42). As reported by FSANZ (38), the AI values of dietary fiber for males and females are 30 and 25 g per day, respectively. Thus, it is no surprise that consuming samphire with other meal components would be beneficial, since the high content of fiber would aid in improving gut health and digestion beyond the food’s nutritional “standard” value. Overall, the studied samphire species have the potential to be used as low-energy foods since they are low in fat and carbohydrates, but high in dietary fiber and protein.

**Minerals and Trace Elements**
The most abundant minerals were sodium (Na), iron (Fe), magnesium (Mg), molybdenum (Mo) and manganese (Mn) in the genus *Tecticornia* (Table 2). The sodium content in 100 grams of the *Tetricornia* species is substantially higher than...
the recommendations for daily sodium intake internationally (0.46–1.3 g per day) (40). Among the Tecticornia sp., T. indica (8.8 g/100 g DW) had the lowest amount of sodium, while T. halocnemoides ranged from 11.6 to 16.7 g/100 g DW, which could be related to the different growing conditions (sub-locations). Fe was also lowest in T. indica (101.4 mg/kg DW). In addition, the minerals in Tecticornia sp. were found at comparable levels with that reported for S. ramosissima (42). However, certain minerals were higher in Tecticornia sp., particularly calcium (Ca) and potassium (K). In general, the studied Australian indigenous edible halophytes are valuable sources of important minerals and trace elements. The high content of minerals present in halophytes is a result of the environment where they grow (high salinity) as well as their potential to “absorb” and accumulate these compounds as postulated by Díaz et al. (44).

Heavy Metals
Concerning the presence of heavy metals, the samples studied contained low concentrations of these elements (Table 3). This is important, as heavy metal intake can cause significant health complications (39, 49). Our findings are in agreement with the results reported by Barreira et al. (42). Nutritional information on heavy metals has been added to Table 3 for extrapolation. However, when plants like halophytes grow in polluted areas, they may accumulate heavy metals at higher concentrations, specifically in their roots.

Fatty Acids and Methyl Esters
The total lipid content (Figure 2) ranged from 5.6 to 10.8 mg/g DW which is comparable with the results (1.0–7.27 mg/g DW) reported for other halophytes (50). Tecticornia halocnemoides (10.8 mg/g DW; sub-location 4) had the highest lipid content, whilst T. halocnemoides (5.6 mg/g DW; sub-location 6) the lowest.

The saturated fatty acids (SFA) in Tecticornia species from different sub-locations ranged from 32.5% (T. indica) to 46.5% [T. halocnemoides (sub-location 6)] of the total fatty acids (Table 4). It is interesting that almost all the species had a SFA content <40% of the total lipid content, except T. halocnemoides from sub-location 6. The most abundant SFA was palmitic acid (C16:0), which was found to be one of the prevalent fatty acids in other halophytes (50, 51). Besides palmitic acid, stearic acid was also found in those plant species studied. Furthermore, the fraction of monounsaturated fatty acids (MUFA) was significantly (p < 0.05) lower than that of SFA and polyunsaturated fatty acids (PUFA) in the studied Tecticornia species.

MUFA contributed 15% [T. halocnemoides (sub-location 2)] to 23.5% [T. halocnemoides (sub-location 6)] to the total fatty acids and oleic acid was found to be the only MUFA in the studied species (Table 4). Similar results were reported for Carpobrotus edulis, Arthrocnemum macrostachyum, and S. maritima (50, 52, 53). A significant (p < 0.05) variation in PUFA between the Tecticornia sp. could also be observed, with

![FIGURE 4 | DPPH radical scavenging capacity of Tecticornia species from different sub-locations. Data present means ± SD (n = 3). Different letters indicate significant differences (p < 0.05) in DPPH radical scavenging capacity among the samples tested.](image-url)
T. halocnemoides from sub-location 2 having the highest (51.4%) and T. halocnemoides (sub-location 6) the lowest (30%) content (Table 4).

Except T. halocnemoides (sub-location 6), all other samples contained linoleic acid, α-linolenic acid, and palmitic acid as major fatty acids. This was in agreement with previous studies on other halophytes such as Crithmum maritimum (51). The highest proportion (29.3%) of linoleic acid was found in T. halocnemoides (sub-location 3) and the lowest (15.5%) in T. halocnemoides (sub-location 1), whereas T. halocnemoides (sub-location 2) had the highest content of α-linolenic acid (29.2%). Since vertebrates are not able to synthesize linoleic acid and α-linolenic acid, these PUFAs must be delivered through the diet (54). Furthermore, it is recognized that PUFAs provide health benefits, including anti-inflammatory activity, protection of the nervous system, delaying the onset of chronic diseases and are important for the proper functioning of proteins, enzymes and certain receptors (55–57). Particularly, linoleic acid was found to possess antimicrobial activity against fungi and potential preventative effects against specific cancers and atherosclerosis (58). All studied Tecticornia species had ratios of PUFA/SFA > 1 [except T. halocnemoides (sub-location 6)] with T. halocnemoides (sub-locations 2 and 3) and T. indica having the highest PUFA/SFA ratio of 1.5 (Table 4). It is suggested that the ratio of n-6/n-3 PUFA in foods should be <4 (59) for optimum health. The n-6/n-3 PUFA ratios found in this study are within the values suggested.

Total Phenolic Content

Figure 3 shows significant (p < 0.05) differences in TPC of the studied Tecticornia species. The TPC ranged from 12.6 to 54.2 mg GAE/g DW, with T. halocnemoides (sub-location 2) and T. halocnemoides (sub-location 1) having the highest and lowest values, respectively. Tecticornia halocnemoides (sub-locations 4 and 6) and T. indica had similar values while large variations in TPC content were observed among the same species of T. halocnemoides collected from different sub-locations (1, 2, and 3). The TPC in the Tecticornia sp. investigated in the present study was comparable with that of S. ramosissima and S. fruticosa (42, 60).

DPPH Radical Scavenging Capacity

Figure 4 shows significant (p < 0.05) differences in the DPPH radical scavenging capacity of the studied Tecticornia species. The highest radical scavenging capacity was determined in T. halocnemoides (sub-location 2) (271.3 µM TE/g DW) and the lowest in T. halocnemoides (sub-location 1) (85.9 µM TE/g DW), respectively. There was also a strong positive correlation between TPC and the DPPH values (R² = 0.967; Figure 5). This finding is a strong indication that phenolic compounds are most likely the main antioxidants in the studied Tecticornia sp. However, the present results need to be interpreted with caution at this stage since only TPC data (spectro-photometrical assay) were determined.
Betalains  
Identification of Betalains

The identification of betalains was based on their UV spectrum and their molecular masses determined by ultra-high-performance liquid chromatography with diode array detection and electrospray ionization-mass spectrometry (UHPLC-DAD-ESI-MS). Two main betalains, celosianin II and isocelosianin II (Figure 6) were identified in the pigmented Tecticornia sp.

An identical 2-peak profile was found in T. halocnemoides collected from sub-locations 2, 4, and 6. Peaks were identified by spiking experiments with betanin (commercial standard) and comparison with previously reported data (61, 62) (Table 5). As shown in Table 5, the identity (tentatively) of the two betalains in T. halocnemoides species were confirmed by using Q-Exactive high-performance quadrupole-Orbitrap high-resolution mass spectrometry. The MS spectra of peak 1 and 2 m/z 903.2321 [M+H]+ (calculated for C40H42O22N2, 903.2321) and its MS2 fragment patterns showed that the respective ion at m/z 903.2321 was fragmented to two product ions at 389.0984 and 551.1515, respectively, corresponding to betanidin (calculated for C18H16O8N2) and betanin (calculated for C24H26O13N2).

Therefore, peak 1 and 2 are the signals of celosianin II and isocelosianin II, respectively. Interestingly, celosianin II was found in Celosia cristata (63), the plant having a similar color as the T. halocnemoides species. This study reported for the first time the presence of celosianin II and isocelosianin II as the main pigments in T. halocnemoides.

Marchesini et al. (64) reported the pigment profile of T. indica and T. auriculata and also identified betalains as the main pigments/phytochemicals which is in agreement with the present study. It is interesting to note that the pigment concentrations in Tecticornia were more sensitive to seasonal changes (64). The large variation in the pigment profile of Tecticornia sp. probably reflects the differences in cultivars, locations and growing conditions. Therefore, further studies investigating the impact of these “parameters/variables” on the betalain profile and composition in Australian grown halophytes are necessary.

Betalain Content

The betalain content of the studied pigmented Tecticornia sp. ranged from 1.9 to 13.3 mg BE/100 g DW (Figure 7). Among
the three *Tecticornia* sp. investigated, *T. halocnemoides* (4) had the highest (\(p < 0.05\)) betalain content (13.3 mg BE/100 g DW) which is most likely caused by its reddish colored leaves and stems. These findings are comparable with previously reported concentrations for betalains in *T. auriculata* (20 mg/100 g DW), but lower than in *T. indica* (30 mg/100 g DW) (64). The observed differences to literature data may be caused by differences in harvest season and maturity stage as well as soil composition and growing conditions. It should also be noted that the betalain content can increase during stress induced by salinity or seasonal shifts (64).

Among the three pigmented *Tecticornia* species, celosianin II was the predominant betalain, ranging from 66.1 to 74.8% of the total peak area (Figure 8). The resulting concentrations of celosianin II, expressed as betanin equivalents (BE), in *T. halocnemoides* (sub-location 2), *T. halocnemoides* (sub-location 4) and *T. halocnemoides* (sub-location 6) were 1.4, 9.9 and 4.0 mg BE/100 g DW, respectively. Isocelosianin II was found in lower concentrations: 0.5 mg BE/100 g DW in *T. halocnemoides* (sub-location 2), 3.4 mg BE/100 g DW in *T. halocnemoides* (sub-location 4) and 2.1 mg BE/100 g DW in *T. halocnemoides* (sub-location 6).

Overall, the findings obtained in this study are consistent with what was reported by Marchesini et al. (64), regarding betalains in *Tecticornia* sp. It should be noted that betalains received significant attention due to their use as natural food colorants and their antioxidant and radical scavenging properties (65–67). Other biological activities such as inhibition of lipid peroxidation and LDL oxidation, prevention of DNA-damage, induction of antioxidant and phase II detoxifying enzymes,
基因调节活动，抗炎，抗增生和抗微生物活性也已归因于betalains和betain-rich食品 (66, 67)。与其他生物活性成分一样，这些研究主要基于体外细胞培养实验和动物模型，而人体临床试验作为“金标准”仍缺乏。此外，betanin还被报道可以保存冷冻和冷藏食品的质量，因为它具有防止脂质氧化的能力 (68)。因此，Tecticornia betalains作为天然食品色素，与其他食品成分的可能协同或拮抗作用，以及它们的潜在健康益处需要进一步研究。

**Vitamin C**

Vitamin C was found between 20.5 mg/100 g DW (T. halocnemoides 4) and 55.2 mg/100 g DW (T. halocnemoides 2), which was in the same range as reported for green tea leaf powder [60 mg/100 g DW] (69). Furthermore, a 200 g serve of fresh T. halocnemoides 2 (taking the moisture content of 77% into account) would deliver 56% of the recommended dietary intake (RDI) for vitamin C, which is 45 mg/day for adults in Australia (38).

**Anti-nutrients**

The determined anti-nutrients were all lower than those in spinach, except for hydrolysable tannins in T. halocnemoides 2, 3, 5, and 6, and phytate in T. halocnemoides 3 (Table 6). Furthermore, all Tecticornia species had considerably lower levels of saponins compared to Gumby Gumby [Pittosporum angustifolium; 1,590-3,645 mg OE/100 g DW; (34)], another plant with functional properties endemic to Australia.
CONCLUSIONS

To the best of our knowledge, this is the first comprehensive evaluation of the nutritional composition of Australian indigenous edible halophytes, grown in different (sub)locations in the Kimberly Region, Western Australia. The results demonstrated the nutritional potential of these Tecticornia species in terms of fiber, favorable fatty acid profile (PUFA/SFA ratio), natural pigments and antioxidant capacity. However, future studies are warranted to elucidate the complete nutritional profile, including vitamins (except vitamin C), individual polyphenols and other non-betain phytochemicals. Furthermore, in vitro bioaccessibility and in vivo bioavailability studies, together with sensory trials and product development are crucial, to fully understand the nutritional value of these “unique” edible plants for consumers and industry.
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