Interfering Plasmons in Coupled Nanoresonators to Boost Light Localization and SERS
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ABSTRACT: Plasmonic self-assembled nanocavities are ideal platforms for extreme light localization as they deliver mode volumes of <50 nm³. Here we show that high-order plasmonic modes within additional micrometer-scale resonators surrounding each nanocavity can boost light localization to intensity enhancements >10⁶. Plasmon interference in these hybrid microresonator nanocavities produces surface-enhanced Raman scattering (SERS) signals many-fold larger than in the bare plasmonic constructs. These now allow remote access to molecules inside the ultrathin gaps, avoiding direct irradiation and thus preventing molecular damage. Combining subnanometer gaps with micrometer-scale resonators places a high computational demand on simulations, so a generalized boundary element method (BEM) solver is developed which requires 100-fold less computational resources to characterize these systems. Our results on extreme near-field enhancement open new potential for single-molecule photonic circuits, mid-infrared detectors, and remote spectroscopy.
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INTRODUCTION

Localization of light in hotspots far smaller than the incident wavelength is one of the key advantages of metallic cavities over their dielectric counterparts. Using such localization to guide and confine light at the nanoscale is beneficial for technologies including photovoltaics, integrated waveguides, photodetectors, lasers and amplifiers, and biological imaging, as well as underpinning nanophotonics research.

Squeezing light into small mode volumes enhances light–matter interactions dramatically, allowing even single-molecule spectroscopies. Metal–insulator–metal (MIM) nanocavities, formed by nanogaps between self-assembled metal building blocks, comprise relative low Q-factors (~10−10) but extremely small mode volumes \( V_m < 50 \text{ nm}^3 \), resulting in Purcell factors \( Q/Q_{\text{nu}} \) exceeding ~10⁴ for insulating gaps <2 nm. Such plasmonic nanocavities can thus facilitate strong light–matter interactions under ambient conditions, enhanced emission rates, and high radiative quantum efficiency (for gaps >0.5 nm as used here, quantum spill-out and tunnelling effects have only minor effects). One type of MIM cavity that has attracted much recent interest is the nanoparticle-on-mirror (NPoM) geometry where a plasmonic nanoparticle is spaced by a single self-assembled molecular monolayer (SAM) from a metallic mirror. This fixes the plasmonic cavity gap width at the subnanometer scale and results in field enhancements exceeding \( E/E_0 > 200 \), which leads to 10⁴-fold intensity enhancement of two photon absorption and optomechanical non-linearities.

Recent work suggests the desirability of combining such plasmonic nanocavities with mid-infrared resonators that simultaneously allow access to molecular vibrational absorption as well as the near-infrared plasmonic modes for SERS. Both anti-Stokes Raman and surface-enhanced infrared absorption (SEIRA) then become possible, however few structures yet support both techniques. Here we use a nanoparticle-on-resonator (NPoR) construct where metallic disks supporting infrared resonances are coupled to nanoparticles (of much smaller radius) to form NPoM nanocavities. We show that such structures support resonances in the visible regime. However, a subtle interplay of different optical couplings has to be understood to interpret the scattering resonances and SERS spectra on disk diameters \( D = 1–6 \mu m \). We find that light is coupled into high-order modes on the disk, both via the disk edges and via the nanoparticle, thus allowing additional levels of field enhancement.

In this work we use simulation results to analyze and interpret experimental results for the enhanced SERS observed. Because of the computational demands of simulations which combine subnanometer gaps with >5 μm disks (discretization >10⁶ elements), we use a more generalized boundary element...
method (BEM) solver. This method uses a potential-based formalism that can model local and hydrodynamic nonlocal responses and, thus, is ideal for plasmonic nanostructures like the one studied here, as well as waveguides. To confirm its reliability, we compare finite-difference time-domain simulations (FDTD) with our new BEM solver. In contrast to the FDTD algorithm where the entire simulation volume is discretized, the BEM solver only discretizes the boundary of the nanoscaters. Consequently, the BEM method demands 100-fold less computational resources and gives tractable computational speeds compared to its FDTD counterpart (as well as finite element methods (FEM), and other electromagnetic computational techniques). This advance is crucial for nanophotonic devices that span wavelengths from 0.5 to 15 \( \mu \text{m} \) and sizes from 0.1 nm to 10 \( \mu \text{m} \).

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

We exploit a plasmonic system that is capable of large-scale deployment with robust reliable plasmonic enhancements. We combine bottom-up assembly of nanocavities with top-down photolithography that forms the disk resonator. This is achieved by placing Au nanoparticles (80 nm diameter) on top of a 100 nm-thick disk microresonator (\( \mu \)-resonator) of variable diameter (Figure 1a). The nanocavity gap can be controlled at the subnanometer scale using a dielectric molecular spacer self-assembled on top of the Au disk (see Methods). At optical frequencies, induced dipoles in the Au nanoparticle couple to their image charges in the underlying disk, delivering tight field confinement similarly to spherical dimers.

We concentrate here on metallic disks 6 \( \mu \text{m} \) in diameter with array modes around \( \lambda = 10 \mu \text{m} \) (see Section S1) and possessing higher-order resonances in the vis/NIR (Section S4). Although the disk edges are far away from the NPoM, we find that the disk \( \mu \)-resonator amplifies the initial near-field confinement in the NPoM to boost the SERS intensity, \( I_{\text{SERS}} \propto [E_{\text{int}}(\lambda_{\text{red}})][E_{\text{int}}(\lambda_{\text{red}})]^2 \). Specifically, we show how the interplay of the two resonators and their relative position delivers a 3-fold enhancement of the near-field in the gap, amplifying the SERS signal. In-coupling at the disk edges launches high-order modes into the NPoM gap and can remotely excite the embedded molecules in the nanocavity.

Metal-insulator-metal nanoparticle-based cavities give strong scattering resonances which depend on the gap and nanoparticle facet size. Both the near-field BEM simulations show a 3-fold enhancement for the NPoR compared to NPoM constructs under plane-wave excitation at an optimal incident angle of 52° (Figure 1b). BEM simulations also show the increasing scattering intensity as the diameter of the disk increases from 1 to 6 \( \mu \text{m} \) (Figure 1c), as expected from their relative areas and becoming >100-fold larger than the NPoM (see Figure S3.2). To decipher the overall scattering response of the NPoR we perform dark-field measurements, exciting and collecting light tightly focused on different locations (Figure 1d, insets). These measurements clearly distinguish between the scattering of the bare disk and the overall NPoR structure (Figure 1d). The (10) nanocavity resonance of the NPoR (red arrow) is absent in the bare disk (dark blue). The (10m) indices for identifying nanoparticle resonances are in accord with previous work and label the radial and azimuthal near-field symmetries.

To better examine this field enhancement, we apply separate eigenmode analysis for the NPoR and NPoM plasmonic constructs. Both systems support (20) and (10) states (full eigenmode analysis in Section S3). This analysis is helpful since eigenmodes and eigenvalues are independent of excitation conditions, while the eigenvalue magnitude calibrates the enhancement of each corresponding eigenmode (evaluating Q-factors requires separately solving the natural modes or quasi-modes of the system). Similar eigenvalues for both NPoR and NPoM indicate that they support similar resonances (Figure 2a,b), since for large disk diameters \( D \leq 6 \mu \text{m} \) compared to vis–NIR wavelengths, the disk behaves similarly to the infinite mirror in the NPoM. By contrast, the (10) coupling efficiency is 50% higher for NPoRs than for NPoMs (orange, Figure 2a,b) at the resonance. As we show below, this higher coupling efficiency is driven by the higher-order modes of the disk resonator. For wavelengths below 550 nm, strong Au absorption attenuates the plasmon disk resonances resulting in similar (20) coupling efficiencies for NPoMs and NPoRs (dashed orange, Figure 2a,b). To investigate the importance of the high-order disk modes in near-field enhancement, we plot the electric field \( E_{\text{r}} \) at the center of a bare disk resonator which is the sum of outward and reflected disk plasmons (Figure 2c). For increasing disk diameters \( D = 1–6 \mu \text{m} \), more interfering modes appear in the visible regime (see Section S4), modulating the field under the nanogap. Combining nanocavity resonances with high-order modes of the microdisk thus boosts light localization in the gap region (Figure 1b). To understand this spatial dependence, we explore the near-field response using SERS from the biphenyl-4,4’-dithiol (BPT) molecules through systematic measurements on 40...
particles at different distances \( r \) from the disk center. These are directly compared to NPoMs prepared under identical conditions (see Methods). A 633 nm laser of 1 mW is tightly focused with an 0.8 NA objective lens onto each nanoparticle. The average SERS intensity of BPT vibrational peaks on NPoRs is \( \sim 200\% \) larger than in NPoMs (comparing background-subtracted peaks), as predicted from the higher local optical field (Figure 3a). This enhancement varies with the vibration energy (and hence emission wavelength). Even more evident, NPoRs show a much higher SERS background (bright red) in contrast to nanoparticles near the disk center (dark red). The shape of this ERS background also varies, while the SERS peaks are found to increase to a maximum \( \sim 50\% \) larger at about halfway out while at the edge they reduce substantially.

To better quantify these fluctuations, we calculate the total scattering and near-field in the gap for a nanoparticle placed in different radial positions on the disk with the BEM solver. Briefly, the surface integral equations are based on the Poggio–Miller–Change–Harrington–Wu formalism and discretized using Rao–Wilton–Gibson basis functions (see Section S3).33 The total scattering is dominated by a disk resonance at 620 nm and remains unchanged with NP position (Figure 3c). By contrast, light localization inside the NP gap shows an oscillatory behavior with the NP position, resulting from interference of incident and backscattered high-order modes over the \( \mu \)-resonator surface (Figure 3d). In principle, we expect similar trends in the experimental data; however, we note that a quantitative fit is precluded by our lack of spatial precision due to random nanoparticle positions and the slightly different shapes of each disk (Figure 3b). However, this data confirms the capability to combine mid-infrared disk resonators with visible/NIR nanocavities possessing enhanced optical field coupling.

The coupling to high-order modes also suggests that remote SERS excitation of NPoMs over several micrometers is possible. This possibility has been suggested for high-resolution SERS imaging,57–60 fluorescence microscopy, and catalytic driven reactions.61 Accessing molecules inside nanocavities remotely can also prevent molecular damage due to high pump intensities and/or heating. To better quantify the delocalized plasmon modes on the surface of the \( \mu \)-resonator, we perform additional simulations (FDTD, Lumerical). We consider a disk resonator with a 6 \( \mu \)m diameter and 80 nm Au particles.
We show light confinement of NPoRs with near-fields $E$ up to 3X higher compared to NPoMs, which should boost SERS intensities since $I_{\text{SERS}} \propto E^4$. To quantify this, we consider near-field ratios at the excitation wavelength which is detuned from the spectral position of maximum field. For pump $\lambda = 633$ nm, $E_{\text{NPoR}}/E_{\text{NPoM}} \sim 1.5$ (see Section S2) which corresponds to a SERS intensity contrast of 4.7, comparing well to the average experimental ratio of 3.5 (1.8 with background subtraction). One reason this may be lower than expected is that the experimental SERS signal of NPoRs are averaged over 20 nanoparticles randomly positioned on different disks. Field confinement depends strongly on the radial location of the nanoparticle on the $\mu$-resonator (Figure 3d). Current nanoparticle deposition uses simple drop casting onto BPT-coated resonators, resulting in random positioning. This issue may be addressed by lithography, DNA origami-based assembly, or direct optical printing of colloidal nanoparticles onto the disks.42–45

CONCLUSIONS

We demonstrate that effective optical coupling of a micro-scale disk resonator and nanometer-scale molecular gap cavity leads to enhanced light localization ($E^2/E^2_0 > 10^3$). This provides new possibilities in plasmon-based spectroscopies with vibrational peaks of molecules giving >200% higher SERS intensity (>10000% predicted under fully optimized conditions) and a 10-fold stronger electronic scattering compared to standard plasmonic constructs used previously. The superposition of higher-order modes of the micro-resonator with the optical resonances of self-assembled nanocavities is found to control the near-field resulting in modulation of SERS intensities with nanoparticle location. The launching and detection of plasmons from a point which reflects off the disk edge resembles the SNOM experiments that scatter light into surfaces modes.46,49 However here we are able to directly measure the near-field enhancements using the molecular SERS signatures. We also show that nanocavities can be accessed remotely via propagating modes over a few micrometers, which can be helpful for preventing molecular damage occurring when molecular monolayers are excited directly.

This dual resonator approach can be extended to higher-Q optical micro-resonators that address specific vibrational modes to Stokes or anti-Stokes sides. Besides MIM structures, there may also be interest in using metal–insulator–dielectric...
nanocavities, particularly in the mid-IR region. Strong enhancements would thus open access to a wider range of molecules previously ignored due to their low Raman cross sections. Such combinations of molecular nanocavities and plasmonic microresonators may find beneficial uses for optimum light trapping and low-cost infrared detection.

**METHODS**

**Sample Preparation: Photolithography and μ-Resonator Fabrication.** The SiO$_2$ substrates were spin coated with Ti Prime, which acts as an adhesion layer, at 3000 rpm for 20 s and 1000 rpm acceleration. Ti Prime-coated substrates were then placed onto a hot plate and baked for 120 s at 120 °C. Next, 1 μm of a positive photoresist (AZ MIR 701 29CP, MicroChemicals) was spin coated (4000 rpm for 30 s and 1000 rpm acceleration) and later soft-baked at 90 °C for 90 s. For photolithography, we used a fully customized laser printer with a laser source at a wavelength of 375 nm (ProtoLaser LDI, LPKF). A 100 μm of Au with the aid of an E-beam evaporator (at 0.5 nm/s, Kurt J. Lesker). To lift-off the Au-coated resist, we dipped the samples in an acetone solution for 2 h and left the samples to dry, resulting in an array of 100 nm thick Au disk μ-resonators on SiO$_2$ substrates.

**Self-Assembly Nanocavities.** To create nanometer-scale cavities, we used bottom-up molecular nanooassembly. To do this, the disk μ-resonators were immersed in 1 mM benzil (BPT, Sigma-Aldrich, 97%) solution in anhydrous ethanol (Sigma-Aldrich, <0.003% H$_2$O) for 12 h. BPT forms a SAM of 1.3 ± 0.1 nm directly on the disks through Au–S bonding. Further, citrate-capped 80 nm Au NPs (BBI Solutions) were deposited by drop casting on the BPT-coated Au-disks. The deposition time was about 20 s (depends on the NP density). The excess NPs were flushed with DI water, and the samples were left to dry.

**Spectroscopy.** Elastic (dark-field) and inelastic light scattering (SERS) measurements were performed using a modified optical microscope (Olympus BX51) setup similar to that in ref 47. Briefly, NPs were placed on a motorized stage (Prior Scientific H101) which was controlled by in-house Python code. A halogen lamp was used for the dark-field and a spectrally filtered 632.8 nm diode laser (70 mW, Matchbox, Integrated Optics) for SERS measurements with the aid of a long working distance objective lens (×100 0.8 NA). For SERS, the laser light was filtered with a pair of notch filters (633 ± 2 nm, Thorlabs), which was then focused with the aid of a tube lens into the spectroscope (Andor Shamrock i303) and a Newton EMCCD camera. For dark-field spectroscopy, the reflected light from the sample was collected through the same high NA objective and split into an imaging camera (Lumenera Infinity3-1) and a fiber-coupled spectrometer (Ocean Optics QEPRO). For remote SERS experiments, inelastically scattered light from the sample was filtered with a pair of notch filters at 633 nm, expanded, and collimated on a high-resolution CMOS camera (Prime BSI, Teledyne Photometrics).
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