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1. Introduction

As we move toward an era where precision medicine becomes a reality, being able to confidently differentiate between closely related diseases is fast becoming a key priority. This is even more relevant when therapeutic approaches from one disease have negative effects when used in patients from another, as is the case in dementia with Lewy bodies (DLB) where neuropsychiatric and dysautonomic features can be worsened by dopaminergic agents used in Parkinson’s disease (PD; Zweig and Galvin, 2014).

DLB is probably one of the most underserved common disorders and much of this stems from the fact that it is a disease for which a clinical diagnosis is a particularly difficult one to make as DLB can be misdiagnosed as Alzheimer’s disease (AD) when starting with cognitive impairment or as PD when presenting with parkinsonism, and in turn PD can be easily mistaken as DLB if parkinsonism is overlooked. There are numerous shared aspects between DLB and the other more common neurodegenerative diseases PD and AD. This is not only true at the clinical level (particularly in the case of DLB and PD, to the point that an artificial and arbitrary “one-year-rule” in terms of the timing between parkinsonism and dementia has been needed to delineate them), but also, to some extent, at the pathological level, where Lewy bodies are a common characteristic of both DLB and PD, and beta-amyloid plaques and tau-positive neurofibrillary tangles, hallmarks of AD, often coexist in DLB and PD brains leading to the suggestion of a synergism between these pathologies (Compta et al., 2011; McKeith et al., 2005).

It is key that we have a better understanding of the molecular mechanisms occurring in DLB, not only because this is pivotal information for novel therapies to be developed for this disease, but also because it will help us gain a better understanding of PD, particularly when associating dementia, and AD.

We have recently performed a large-scale genetic analysis in DLB that showed similarities in common genetic risk between this disease, PD, and AD (Bras et al., 2014) using NeuroX, a genome-wide genotyping array (Nalls et al., 2015). To better understand and quantify these similarities we have now estimated the proportion of variance explained by all single nucleotide polymorphisms of the DLB cohort, and of independent AD and PD cohorts of similar size. We then performed a bivariate restricted maximum likelihood analysis of the genetic relationship matrix, to quantify the genetic covariance between pairs of diseases.

2. Methods

Details of the DLB cohort have been published previously (Bras et al., 2014). We used a cohort of 804 European PD cases and a cohort of 959 clinically diagnosed European AD cases, as well as 2806 European and North-American controls, genotyped on Illumina’s NeuroX. The PD samples are a UK-only subset of the previously published PD and control dataset (Nalls et al., 2014). The AD cases were diagnosed as either definite or probable AD according to National Institute of Neurological and Communicative Disorders and Stroke and the Alzheimer’s disease and Related Disorders Association (McKhann et al., 1984), and the Consortium to Establish a Registry for Alzheimer’s disease guidelines (Mirra et al., 1991). All samples used in this study were received with informed consents approved by the local Ethics Committees (Table 1).

Following standard raw data quality control procedures, which included removing variants with GenTrain scores (a metric to assess genotyping quality) lower than 0.9 and samples with call rate lower than 90% (meaning that samples that had less than 90% of the markers genotyped were excluded), we removed markers that had a genotyping rate of >10% and a minor allele frequency of <3%. To generate covariates for the analysis, multidimensional scaling was used to quantify genetic distances between members of the entire cohort.

After estimating the genetic relationship matrix between pairs of individuals, we performed a bivariate restricted maximum likelihood analysis on that matrix, as implemented in the software genome-wide complex trait analysis (Lee et al., 2012; Yang et al., 2010) using the first 2 principal components from multidimensional scaling.

For each comparison the control population was randomized and 1403 controls were assigned to each disease. The analysis between DLB and AD was then repeated excluding markers in the APOE region.

3. Results

When using the entire array content, after quality control procedures, the estimates for the proportion of variance explained by all single nucleotide polymorphisms for DLB was 0.31 (SE ± 0.03), for AD was 0.6 (SE ± 0.05), and for PD was 0.28 (SE ± 0.05). When excluding the APOE region, the estimates were 0.22 (SE ± 0.03), 0.42 (SE ± 0.05), and 0.28 (SE ± 0.05), for DLB, AD, and PD. The decrease seen in DLB and AD reflect the strong and robust association of the APOE locus in these diseases.

When comparing pairs of diseases for genetic correlation (i.e., estimating the additive genetic effect i.e., shared between pairs of traits), the highest score was obtained for the AD/DLB pair (0.578, SE ± 0.075). The comparison between PD or DLB yielded a correlation score of 0.362 (SE ± 0.107). Both scores were highly significant with p-values of $1.1 \times 10^{-12}$ and $7.1 \times 10^{-4}$, respectively. As a control experiment, we compared AD/PD and obtained a significantly lower score 0.08 (SE ± 0.01) ($p$-value = 0.006, with the most conservative estimate provided.
by the cocor.dep.groups.overlap function from the cocor package in R, a test of significance for the difference between 2 correlations based on dependent groups with 1 variable in common), that does not deviate from the null hypothesis of no correlation ($p$-value = 0.39).

Given the strong effect from APOE in AD and DLB, we have performed the same analysis excluding this locus in these 2 cohorts and obtained a correlation score for AD/DLB_NO_APOE (0.332 ± 0.106) that is not statistically different from the PD/DLB correlation (0.362 ± 0.107) ($p$-value = 0.761, using the same test as mentioned previously). The AD/DLB_NO_APOE correlation is still highly significant $1.8 \times 10^{-3}$ (Table 2).

### 4. Discussion

We have previously described that DLB shares genetic risk determinants with both PD and AD. Here we quantify that overlap by showing that these diseases are, in fact, correlated from a purely genetic perspective.

The DLB cohort is the largest reported so far and a majority of these cases are neuropathologically confirmed (85%), which greatly increases the diagnostic accuracy (Bras et al., 2014). The numbers of PD and AD cases in this study are small, particularly when in comparison with other published datasets. We should note, however, that the fact that we fully replicate the phenotypic variance associated with all types of PD from large meta-analysis studies (Keller et al., 2012), suggests these cohorts are representative, and not substantially underpowered for this type of analysis. In addition, our data shows no genetic correlation between PD and AD (correlation $= 0.08$, SE ± 0.101, $p$-value = 0.39 when correlation is fixed at 0), a result that replicates previous independent findings (Moskvina et al., 2013).

It should be noted that although being a genome-wide array, NeuroX is not a completely unbiased genotyping platform. A proportion of the variants assayed in this array were included because they were known to be involved in these diseases. Because of this, some of these values may be inflated, however, for the purposes of determining genetic correlation, and comparing between pairs of diseases, this should have no discernible effect.

That DLB seems to share approximately the same amount of genetic risk determinants with PD and AD in comparison with all types of PD from large meta-analysis studies (Braak and Del Tredici, 2011), and AD seems to share nearly the same amount of genetic determinants with PD as it does with AD, when the APOE locus is excluded. These results show us that, from a mechanistic standpoint, DLB is a different, but highly related disease to both AD and PD. They further emphasize the need for more studies in DLB—this is a greatly underappreciated disease and these data strongly support this fact. Fully dissecting the genetic architecture of DLB will allow us to gain a better understanding of not just one but all 3 diseases. In addition, these data also show that we should gradually move from the current model of binary diagnosis to a more quantitative one.

### 5. Conclusions

This is the first study to look at genetic correlation between DLB, PD, and AD. Despite using small cohorts, we show that these data replicate previously published results. We also show that DLB shares approximately the same amount of genetic determinants with PD as it does with AD, when the APOE locus is excluded. These results show us that, from a mechanistic standpoint, DLB is a different, but highly related disease to both AD and PD. They further emphasize the need for more studies in DLB—this is a greatly underappreciated disease and these data strongly support this fact. Fully dissecting the genetic architecture of DLB will allow us to gain a better understanding of not just one but all 3 diseases. In addition, these data also show that we should gradually move from the current model of binary diagnosis to a more quantitative one.
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