RANKL/RANK System-Based Mechanism for Breast Cancer Bone Metastasis and Related Therapeutic Strategies
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Breast cancer remains one of the most life-threatening tumors affecting women. Most patients with advanced breast cancer eventually develop metastatic diseases, which cause significant morbidity and mortality. Approximately two-thirds of patients with advanced breast cancer exhibit osteolytic-type bone metastasis, which seriously reduce the quality of life. Therefore, development of novel therapeutic strategies for treating breast cancer patients with bone metastasis is urgently required. The “seed and soil” theory, which describes the interaction between the circulating breast cancer cells (seeds) and bone microenvironment (soil), is widely accepted as the mechanism underlying metastasis. Disruption of any step in this cycle might have promising anti-metastasis implications. The interaction of receptor activator of nuclear factor-κB ligand (RANKL) and its receptor RANK is fundamental in this vicious cycle and has been shown to be a novel effective therapeutic target. A series of therapeutic strategies have been developed to intervene in this cross-talk. Therefore, in this review, we have systematically introduced the functions of the RANKL/RANK signaling system in breast cancer and discussed related therapeutic strategies.
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INTRODUCTION

Breast cancer is one of the most common cancers and the leading cause of mortality in females. The number of patients with breast cancer increases by approximately 1.7 million worldwide each year (Torre et al., 2015). Considering the malignant biological characteristics of breast cancer, local recurrence and distant metastasis are eventually bound to occur. In fact, most of the deaths from breast cancer are not due to the tumor in the primary site, but are the result of distant metastases in the body (Scully et al., 2012; Jin and Mu, 2015). Interestingly, metastasis of breast cancer has organ selectivity, as the lung, liver, and especially the bone are the preferred sites (Fidler, 2003). More than two-thirds of patients with advanced breast cancer eventually harbor osteolytic-type bone metastasis (Suva et al., 2009; Chen et al., 2010). Bone metastasis severely affects the quality of life of patients and indirectly limit life expectancy by inducing bone marrow aplasia, pathological osteolysis, spinal compression, bone fractures, and hypercalcemia, which are referred to as skeletal-related events (SREs) (Yoneda et al., 2013). According to statistics, the average life expectancy of patients with bone metastasis from breast cancer after diagnosis is only 2–3 years (Ibrahim et al., 2013; Cetin et al., 2015).

Most patients with breast cancer exhibit osteolytic lesions; however, osteoblastic or mixed lesions also exist. Bone is a hard tissue with mineralized bone matrix, which renders invasion by cancer cells difficult. Activation of osteoclasts is the central cellular mechanism of osteolytic bone metastasis. Accordingly, breast cancer cells that can migrate and attach to the bone surface must possess special abilities for inducing osteoclast activation, which then destroy the hard-mineralized bone tissue. Reports show that parathyroid hormone-related protein (PTHrP) can indirectly activate osteoclastogenesis by decreasing the production of osteoprotegerin (OPG) and enhancing the expression of receptor activator of nuclear factor-κB ligand (RANKL) (Boabaid et al., 2004). In addition, the RANKL/RANK signaling system can enhance the proliferation and division of mammary epithelial cells during lobulo-alveolar morphogenesis in an autocrine or paracrine fashion, as well as promote the formation of lactating mammary glands.

Increasing evidence suggests that the RANKL/RANK signaling system is associated with nearly each step in breast cancer development, from primary oncogenesis to the establishment of secondary tumors in the bone. The interaction of breast cancer cells, osteoblasts, osteoclasts, and the bone matrix form a vicious cycle, leading to final bone metastasis. Tumor cells often modulate their gene expression to adapt to the bone microenvironment. For example, most breast cancer cells often express abundant RANK. Simultaneously, the bone also provides a unique nutrient-rich physiological microenvironment to attract tumor cells for migration and colonization in the bone. The bone matrix is rich in various growth factors and cytokines, which provide nutrition to the cancer cells. Breast cancer cells that migrate to bones express growth factors such as interleukin (IL)-6, IL-8, IL-11, tumor growth factor (TGF)-β, prostaglandin E, or PTHrP, which can mediate RANKL expression. The up-regulated RANKL activates osteoclastogenesis, following which, many growth factors and cytokines are continually released after the turnover of the bone matrix, which in turn supports cancer cell proliferation and RANKL secretion. In addition to the rich microenvironment of the bone tissue, the abundantly secreted RANKL can also attract RANK-expressing circulating breast cancer cells to migrate into bone matrix, apart from the C-X-C chemokine receptor type (CXCR) 4/12 interaction.

Based on the critical roles of the RANK/RANKL system during the progression of initial primary breast cancer and subsequently bone metastasis, inhibition of RANKL has been developed as a novel therapeutic strategy for advanced breast cancer. Although bisphosphonates have been used for many years, denosumab, the first complete human IgG2 monoclonal antibody for RANKL, has been clinically approved for treating bone-related cancer pathologies and is being used increasingly in clinics (Rizzoli et al., 2010). Similar to OPG, denosumab binds to RANKL and then prevents it from interacting with RANK, thereby decreasing osteoclast differentiation and activation, which consequently reduces bone resorption. Furthermore, denosumab is superior to zoledronic acid in lowering the risk of SREs in patients with metastatic breast cancer and improving their quality of life (Miller, 2009; Stopeck et al., 2010).

BONE MICROENVIRONMENT

The establishment of bone metastasis is a complicated process, which involves a vicious cycle consisting of the bone microenvironment and tumor cells. Tumor cells produce adhesive molecules, which enable them to attach to the bone surface. The skeleton is composed of a complex and dynamic tissue controlled by bone-forming osteoblasts and bone-resorptive osteoclasts (Florence-Silva et al., 2015). During bone remodeling, large quantities of growth factors and cytokines are produced, which then attract the circulating tumor cells (CTCs) to adhere to the bone surface and support metastatic tumor cells to proliferate and survive in the bone tissue (Zheng et al., 2013). Furthermore, the circulating cancer cells that enter the bone can influence bone remodeling and then modulate the bone microenvironment to render it more suitable for tumor cell colonization (Kozlow and Guise, 2005; Akhtar et al., 2008).

Bone Cells

Single nucleated osteoblasts derived from mesenchymal stem cells (MSCs) play key roles in maintaining bone homeostasis by controlling bone remodeling. Osteoblasts can not only regulate matrix mineralization, but can also affect the expression of extracellular matrix proteins, including type I collagen, osteocalcin, osteopontin, and bone sialoprotein (BSP) (Kini and Nandeesh, 2012). In addition to indirectly stimulating bone resorption by regulating osteoclast differentiation, osteoblasts can also directly attract breast cancer cells by secreting chemotactic factors and adherent molecules. CXCL12 and RANKL, cytokines that are overexpressed by osteoblast-lineage cells and circulate in the blood, can attract CXCR4 and RANK, which are highly expressed on mammary tumor cells, inducing the latter to
Almost 95% of the organic bone matrix is composed of collagen extracellular matrix (Hauschka et al., 1986; Guise et al., 2005). Growth factors and cytokines are produced and stored in the extracellular matrix during bone destruction and bone formation, different types of osteoblasts either undergo apoptosis or are embedded in the bone matrix as osteocytes. Evidence showed that the survival of osteocytes is regulated by the osteoprotective factor semaphorin 3A (Sema3A). Estrogen can induce osteocytes to express Sema3A, which acts on the receptors on osteocytes and activates GC-cGMP signaling to promote their survival (Hayashi et al., 2019). Osteocytes can affect bone remodeling by directly communicating with osteoblasts and osteoclasts via mediators that are released in the surrounding medium. For example, RANK secreted by osteocytes primarily regulates osteoclast differentiation and activity. Hence, osteocytes are considered to be mechanical sensors that indicate when and where osteoclasts or osteoblasts absorb or form bone, respectively (Boron and Boulpaep, 2005).

Osteoclasts are large cells with multiple nuclei on the bone surface. These multiple nucleated cells that originate from hematopoietic lines are fused with mononuclear osteoclast precursor cells. Osteoclasts can break down bone tissue via bone resorption (Clines and Guise, 2005). It is well known that only osteoclasts are responsible for absorbing bone (Clarke, 2008). Defects or excessive activity of osteoclasts might result in bone disease such as osteopetrosis or osteoporosis. Christian et al. reported that the iterative fusion of circulating blood mononuclear cells with long-lived osteoclast syncytia maintains the function of osteoclasts (Jacome-Galarza et al., 2019). The integrin receptors on the membrane surface osteoclasts assist in binding to the bone matrix peptide; the osteoclasts then secrete acids and lysosomal enzymes to resorb the bone. Osteoclastogenesis is stimulated by two essential factors, macrophage CSF (M-CSF) and RANKL (Teitelbaum and Ross, 2003). Briefly, RANKL binds to the surface of monocytes via RANK. Together with M-CSF, RANKL promotes the fusion of multiple mononuclear cells to form multinucleated osteoclasts. M-CSF activates the signaling pathways via tyrosine kinase Src, resulting in increase in proliferation, survival, and differentiation of osteoclast precursors, which are essential for bone resorption (Clarke, 2008). Both RANKL and M-CSF are not only required for osteoclast formation but are also widely associated with monocyte/macrophage-derived cell differentiation. In addition to relying on RANKL and M-CSF, bone resorption also depends on cathepsin K, secreted by osteoclasts, and hydrogen ions. The function of osteoclasts is regulated by various hormones such as parathyroid hormone (PTH) from the parathyroid gland, which is the main mediator of the vicious cycle leading to bone metastases.

**Extracellular Matrix**

During bone destruction and bone formation, different types of growth factors and cytokines are produced and stored in the extracellular matrix (Hauschka et al., 1986; Guise et al., 2005). Almost 95% of the organic bone matrix is composed of collagen type I (Brodsky and Persikov, 2005). The remaining 5% consists of a series of non-collagenous proteins and proteoglycans. During bone formation, osteoblasts secrete bone morphogenetic proteins (BMPs), transforming growth factor beta 1 (TGF-β), insulin-like growth factor (IGF), and fibroblast growth factor (FGF), which are then incorporated into the bone matrix (Chirgwin and Guise, 2000; Guise, 2009). In addition, breast cancer cells can stimulate bone resorption by promoting osteoclastogenesis to release immobilized growth factors.

Growth factors and cytokines released during bone resorption can induce cancer cells to migrate to the bone and support their proliferation. IGF-1 is the most abundant growth factor in the bone extracellular matrix, followed by TGF-β (Crane and Cao, 2014). However, BMPs, FGF1, FGF2, and platelet-derived growth factor (PDGF) are scarce. Evidence shows that only TGF-β plays a direct role in promoting tumor cell metastasis to the bone (Kozlow and Guise, 2005). TGF-β is an important factor that is released during bone resorption, which stimulates tumor cells to express factors such as CTGF, IL-11 (Kang et al., 2003), and PTHrP (Yin et al., 1999), which are necessary for the metastasis of breast cancer cells to the bone. Interestingly, TGF-β mainly mediates growth inhibition at the early stages of tumorigenesis. However, most patients in the advanced stages of the disease lose TGF-β-mediated growth inhibition but still retain TGF-β-mediated regulation of their metastasis-promoting genes (Kakkonen et al., 2002; Wakefield and Roberts, 2002). When breast cancer cells colonize the bone environment, molecular mechanisms controlling the interactions among osteoblasts, osteoclasts, hematopoietic stem cells, and other different types of cells are utilized to change bone homeostasis and promote the survival, dormancy, and proliferation of tumor cells (Kan et al., 2016).

**RANKL/RANK/OPG SIGNALING SYSTEM**

Most breast cancers with bone metastasis are osteolytic, and osteoclasts are considered to be the most effective cells for inducing bone resorption (Charles and Aliprantis, 2014). The RANKL/RANK/OPG system is well-known for regulating bone turnover by promoting the differentiation and activation of osteoclasts (Douglas and Chaisson, 2006; Boyce and Xing, 2007; Silva and Branco, 2011).

**RANKL**

RANKL, encoded by tumor necrosis factor superfamily 11 (TNFSF11), is also known as osteoprotegerin ligand (OPGL) or osteoclast differentiation factor (ODF) (Hikita et al., 2006). RANKL can be expressed in three different molecular forms: a trimeric transmembrane protein and two different secreted forms arising due to proteolytic cleavage or alternative splicing (Ikedo et al., 2001). RANKL1 is the full-length form of RANKL. RANKL2 is the shorter form of RANKL, which lacks some intracytoplasmic domains. RANKL3 is a soluble form of RANKL in which some of the amino acids at the N-terminal are missing. RANKL is highly expressed in various tissues such as lymph nodes, mammary glands, and lung, but is expressed at low levels.
in the bone marrow and spleen; it is also expressed by osteoblasts, osteocytes, and activated T lymphocytes (Boye and Xing, 2008). Although RANKL is expressed by many other cells, osteocytes are the main source of RANKL in adult bone tissue (Xiong et al., 2011). In particular, RANKL binds to RANK on myeloid cells, following which it regulates osteoclastogenesis and mediates bone resorption by promoting osteoclast activation and survival (Boyle et al., 2003; Boyce and Xing, 2008). The expression of RANKL in osteoblasts is stimulated by most known factors that stimulate osteoclastogenesis (Udagawa et al., 1999).

Recently, a new study revealed that leucine-rich repeat containing G-protein-coupled receptor 4 (LGR4, also called GPR48) is a novel receptor of RANKL (Luo et al., 2016). The osteoclasts produce LGR4, which directly interacts with RANKL and negatively regulates bone destruction via activation of Gq/Gs3K-β signaling and repression of the NFATc1 pathway during osteoclastogenesis. Mice with Lgr4−/− whole body knockout and monocyte conditional knockout exhibited osteoclast hyperactivation and low bone mass. Compared to that in control mice, the number, surface area, and size of osteoclasts dramatically increased in Lgr4 knockout mice. Furthermore, in vitro data showed that LGR4 competes with RANK to bind to RANKL in a dose-dependent manner and suppresses the expression and activity of a series of factors during osteoclastogenesis, attenuating osteoclast differentiation and survival.

OPG, the decoy receptor for RANKL, is secreted mainly by osteoblast lineage cells, and is a potent inhibitor of osteoclast formation, as it competes with RANK for binding to RANKL (Schoppet et al., 2002). Therefore, osteoclast formation is determined by the ratio of RANKL to OPG, rather than by the absolute levels of both molecules (Blair et al., 2006). Systemic factors such as TNF-α, PTH, and interleukins up-regulate the expression of RANKL on osteoblasts relative to that of OPG, resulting in osteoclast activation (Dougall and Chaisson, 2006). A therapeutic strategy with OPG was found to be effective in inhibiting bone resorption for humans (Mundy, 2002).

**RANK**

RANK, a type I homotrimeric transmembrane protein, was initially identified only on osteoclast precursors (O), mature osteoclasts, and dendritic cells (Santini et al., 2011). Later, research showed that RANK is also expressed in mammary glands and some other cancer cells with high bone metastasis potential, including breast cancer and prostate cancer (Fata et al., 2000; Chen et al., 2006; Kim et al., 2006). Vesicular RANK secreted by mature osteoclasts can trigger RANKL reverse signaling by binding to RANKL on osteoblasts to promote bone formation (Ikebuchi et al., 2018). On the other hand, RANK on the surface of osteoclast precursors interacts with osteocytic RANKL to promote osteoclastogenesis. The binding of RANKL to RANK results in receptor oligomerization, recruiting TNF receptor-associated factor (TRAF) adaptor proteins (including TRAFs 1, 2, 3, 5, and 6) to specific sites at the membrane-proximal portion of RANK (Armstrong et al., 2002; Akhtari et al., 2008). Unlike other TRAFs, TRAF6, an essential component of the RANKL-RANK signaling pathway, is one of the most critical factors in osteoclast formation and functioning. TRAF6 can activate the c-Jun N-terminal kinase (JNK) and nuclear factor kappa-b (NF-kB) pathways, thereby triggering osteoclastogenesis and bone resorption activity (Wong et al., 1998; Kobayashi et al., 2001). Trafa6 mutant mice exhibited severe osteopetrosis. The relative expression of OPG and RANKL balances this system. Currently, the ratio between RANKL and OPG is considered a therapeutic target for treating estrogen deficiency-associated osteoporosis (Rachner et al., 2011), rheumatoid arthritis (Walsh and Gravallese, 2010), Paget’s disease, and bone tumors.

**Osteoprotegerin (OPG)**

Osteoprotegerin (OPG), the cytokine receptor of the tumor necrosis factor receptor superfamily encoded by TNFRSF11B, is also known as osteoclast formation inhibitor (OCIF). OPG is mainly secreted by osteoblast lineage cells, gastrointestinal epithelial cells, vascular endothelial cells, B cells, and dendritic cells (Sisay et al., 2017). The receptor was originally found to protect bones by preventing bone absorption, as it competes with RANK for binding to RANKL (Hofbauer and Heufelder, 2001). Partial deletion of TNFRSF11B resulted in a dramatic increase in RANKL-RANK interactions, which eventually led to juvenile Paget’s disease (Whyte et al., 2002). Mice with OPG mutation show severe bone destruction (Cundy et al., 2002). Furthermore, OPG can also inhibit the apoptosis of human osteoclasts by blocking the TNF-related apoptosis-induced ligand-based signaling system (Chamoux et al., 2008).

**METASTASIS OF BREAST CANCER CELLS TO THE BONE**

Although osteoblastic and mixed lesions exist, most breast cancer with bone metastasis manifest as osteolytic bone metastasis, which accounts for 80—90% cases. In the case of osteolytic metastases, circulating breast cancer cells not only highly enhance osteoclast activities, but also seriously inhibit osteoblast differentiation and ultimately result in increased bone resorption and decreased bone formation, leading to higher risk of fractures. The metastasis of a primary breast cancer to the bone requires several complicated steps including: (1) proliferation at the primary breast site and acquisition of the corresponding metastatic characteristics; (2) disruption of the basement membrane; (3) invasion of the extracellular matrix; (4) intravascular infiltration and transportation; (5) adherence and retentate (6) migration to the blood vessels to form micro-metastases; (7) colonization of cancer cells and bone destruction (Figure 1). Inability to complete any of these steps will arrest the process (Fidler, 2003).

**Invasion**

The primary breast cancer cells first induce angiogenesis to supply nutrients for tumor cell proliferation. Local angiogenesis is necessary for breast cancer cells to reach a clinically detectable size. In addition, the development of new blood vessels also provides the tumor cells a pathway for entering the blood or lymphatic vessels (intravasation). After escaping from the
primary site, the invasive breast cancer cells secrete matrix metalloproteinases (MMPs) and cathepsin-K to degrade the ECM and connective tissue and then break through the matrix barrier (Fidler, 2003; Mareel and Leroy, 2003). MMPs are a major class of proteinases necessary for tumor cell invasion. Integrins, the major receptors for extracellular matrix proteins, are also critical for cell invasion and migration. EMT is a process via which epithelioid tumor cells are transformed into mesenchymal fibroblasts, which show enhanced invasiveness such as mobility and distant metastasis. Evidence suggests that EMT is associated with tumor progression, as it induces proteases such as MMPs that assist in invasion and endosmosis (Bonnomet et al., 2010). Reversion of the invasive breast cancer cells into post-mitotic adipocytes may repress primary tumor invasion (Ishay-Ronen et al., 2019).

Migration

The breast cancer cells that enter the systemic circulation survive by evading both the host's immune response and apoptotic signals (Valastyan and Weinberg, 2011). Tumor cells that enter the systemic circulation are called circulating tumor cells (CTCs), which are disseminated to the bone through the bloodstream or lymphatic vessels. Adhesion to the vascular endothelial cells is the key for the escape of CTCs from the blood vessels. After entering the bone, the CTCs are exposed to factors in the bone microenvironment that support the growth and egress circulation (extravasation) of metastasized cells and promote colonization in the bone. These disseminated cancer cells are called disseminated tumor cells (DTCs). DTCs readily change their biological phenotype to adjust to bone environments and acquire new malignant capacities (Lambert et al., 2017). For this targeted migration, the bone also produces numerous cytokines and chemokines that attract primary tumor cells and induce them to home to the bone (Mastro et al., 2003). Human breast cancer cells express more CXCR4/RANK than normal epithelial cells, and CXCL12, the receptor of CXCR4, is highly expressed in the liver, lung, and bone marrow. Furthermore, breast cancer cells produce factors such as PTH or PTHrP, IL-1, and IL-6, which stimulate osteoblasts and stromal cells to secrete RANKL. The interactions between CXCR4 and CXCL12, RANKL, and RANK are critical for the homing of breast cancer cells to the bone marrow (Müller et al., 2001; Sun et al., 2005).

Adhesion and Survival

Adhesion is the next step after the breast cancer cells have migrated to the bone. Integrins and cadherins are major regulators for this specific adhesion. Integrin is an isodiglycan protein that mediates the interaction between breast cancer cells and the extracellular matrix. Arg-gly-asp (RGD), a tripeptide binding to \( \alpha v \beta 3 \) integrin, is one of the extracellular matrix proteins present in vitronectin, osteopontin, and osteosialin, which mediates migration of tumor cells to the trabecular bone. Evidence suggests that hemocyte adhesion in the blood vessels is dependent on and is regulated by integrin activation (Felding-Habermann et al., 2001). Breast cancer cells that overexpress \( \alpha v \beta 3 \) integrin are more prone to bone metastasis (Pecheur et al., 2002). The interaction of E-cadherin derived from tumor cells and N-cadherin derived from osteogenic cells activates the mTOR signaling pathway, which mediates the binding of these cancer cells to bone marrow stromal cells and then promotes homing of the breast cancer cells to the bone marrow (Wang et al., 2013).

Survival and proliferation in the bone are the next key steps underlining the success of bone metastasis after the tumor
Osteoclasts are key participants in bone remodeling, as they regulate bone structure and function in adulthood. Recently, scientists have made new breakthroughs in understanding the mechanisms underlying the differentiation and activation of osteoclasts, and the RANKL/RANK signaling system has been identified as the key regulator of osteoclastogenesis.

M-CSF and RANKL are two essential cytokines that regulate differentiation of hematopoietic lines into osteoclasts (Figure 2). During this process, M-CSF acts as a survival factor of early progenitor cells, whereas the RANKL-RANK signaling system acts as critical instructive osteoclast lineage signal (Nagy and Penninger, 2015). Similar to RANKL, M-CSF also originates from bone marrow stromal cells. M-CSF was originally identified to be necessary for promoting the survival of osteoclast progenitor cells and was essential for osteoclast development (Boyce and Xing, 2007). A mouse model expressing non-functional M-CSF develops osteoclast-deficient osteopetrosis, which was indicative of the key role of M-CSF in osteoclastogenesis. The interaction of M-CSF and c-FMS leads to the dimerization and phosphorylation of c-FMS, which then provides necessary signals for the proliferation and survival of osteoclast precursor cells. However, M-CSF by itself cannot complete this process. The differentiation of osteoclast precursor cells also require RANKL expression from osteoclast stromal cells and RANK expression from osteoclast precursors (Boyle et al., 2003). In the presence of M-CSF, RANKL binds to RANK and then activates transcription factors such as NF-κB, promoting the differentiation of osteoclast progenitors and limiting the apoptosis of mature osteoclasts. In addition to activating multiple transcription factors, RANKL also promotes osteoclast formation by stimulating all three families of mitogen-activated protein (MAP) kinases (Wada et al., 2006). Importantly, the activation of the activator protein 1 (AP-1)/nuclear factor of activated T-cells cytoplasmic 1 (NFATc1) transcription complex is a major osteoclastogenic event. RANKL produces this transcription complex by increasing the expression of the c-Fos family of proteins and promoting the nuclear translocation of Jun proteins. The dephosphorylation of NFATc1 by calcineurin in turn promotes its nuclear translocation. Dysfunction of c-Jun, c-Fos, or NFATc1 can lead to failure of osteoclast activation and cause severe osteopetrosis. Furthermore, RANKL can also promote bone destruction by inducing mature osteoclasts to generate a complex consisting of their receptor TRAF6 and c-Src, which specifically recruit cytokines to lipid rafts in the plasma membrane (Teitelbaum and Ross, 2003).

**FUNCTIONS OF THE RANKL/RANK SYSTEM IN PRIMARY BREAST CANCER DEVELOPMENT AND BONE METASTASIS**

To successfully survive in the primary and secondary site, breast cancer cells must possess certain unique characteristics and the bone matrix needs to provide a suitable environment.

**The RANKL/RANK System Is Involved in the Initial Phases of Breast Cancer Development**

In addition to the essential roles of the RANKL/RANK system in bone metabolism, reports show that RANK and RANKL act as key factors in the formation of lactating mammary glands during pregnancy (Fata et al., 2000). The expression of RANKL on mammary epithelial cells is induced by sex and pregnancy hormones. RANKL deficiency can affect the formation of the lobo-alveolar structures, which is required for lactation. RANKL expression is required for promoting the survival and proliferation of epithelial cells, as well as for lobulo-alveolar development. The dysregulation of this coordinated mechanism in transgenic mice might promote the formation of pre-neoplasias and subsequently tumor foci (Gonzalez-Suarez et al., 2010). In addition, the RANKL/RANK axis is pro-active in EMT; therefore, the RANKL/RANK system also mediates the expansion of mammary stem cells and controls the development of hormone-dependent breast cancer. Reduction in RANK expression in the mammary gland of female mice can dramatically decrease sex hormone-induced mammary cancer. Furthermore, RANK/RANKL confers resistance to γ-irradiation-induced cell death in mammary epithelial cells, changes cell...
FIGURE 2 | Osteoclast differentiation is stimulated by M-CSF and RANKL. M-CSF induces the proliferation and survival of osteoclast precursor cells through activation of ERK and Akt. RANKL recruits TRAF6 to activate MAPKs, Akt, and NFATc1 to promote differentiation of osteoclast precursors to osteoclasts.

adhesion, and regulates the self-renewal capacity of tumor stem cells, contributing to the development of mammary cancer.

The RANKL/RANK System Contributes to Bone Metastasis

Direct Mechanisms

In addition to the chemotaxis caused by the interaction of CXCR4/12, RANKL in bone tissue can also attract RANK-expressing circulating breast cancer cells to the bone matrix (Jones et al., 2006; Jacob et al., 2011). Jones et al. (2006) was the first to demonstrate the chemotactic activity of RANKL (Chawla et al., 2013). They demonstrated that the osteoblasts and bone marrow stromal cells that produced RANKL could not only attract RANK-expressing tumor cells, but also induce their migration. This mechanism is widely accepted and has been observed in many other types of cancer, including breast cancer. Current evidence suggests that around 25% breast cancer cells express RANK. Cells overexpressing RANK in intra-arterial injection showed faster tumor progression and lower overall survival rate due to enhanced bone homing and colonization than wild-type MDA-MB 231 cells. In vitro studies have shown that RANK overexpression in MCF-10A cells decreases intercellular contact and enhances migration (Palafox et al., 2012). RANKL-enhanced migration activates specific signaling cascades such as the MAP kinase pathways. Subsequently, the RANKL/RANK axis regulates the migration of breast cancer cells, and RANKL acts as a chemo-attractive agent on tumor cells overexpressing one of its receptors. In vivo, blocking of this signaling with AMG161 (IgG1 equivalent to denosumab) reduces the formation of bone marrow micro-metastasis (Sousa et al., 2018).

Indirect Mechanisms

In addition to directly attracting RANK-expressing breast cancer cells, RANKL can also modulate the microenvironment of the metastatic site. RANKL is not only expressed by the mammary epithelial cells but is also expressed on the surface of breast cancer cells. RANKL can promote both the survival and proliferation of epithelial cell precursors during breast development and simultaneously up-regulate the expression of RANK. By interacting with RANK, RANKL can facilitate neoinformation of vascular tubes and then stimulate angiogenesis via the Src and phospholipase C-dependent pathway. Blood vessels provide necessary nutrients for cancer cell proliferation, and also act as one of the most prevalent means of cell migration. In addition, RANKL increases vascular permeability, which assists the breast tumor cells in escaping from the blood vessels into systematic circulation.

THE VICIOUS CYCLE OF BONE METASTASIS

More than a century ago, Stephen Paget first presented the “seed and soil” theory to describe the relationship between CTCs (seed) and metastatic sites (soil) (Langley and Fidler, 2011). Tumor cells of varying origin prefer to metastasize to different organs (Ben-Baruch, 2009). The bone is the most preferred organ for breast cancer metastasis. Although osteoblastic or mixed lesions have been observed, most breast cancer metastasis are bone lytic diseases. Osteolytic bone metastasis accounts for 80–90% cases among all patients with metastatic breast cancer (Kang et al., 2003). The exact mechanism underlying the migration of breast cancer cells to the bone remains to be elucidated, although current evidence indicates that bone metastasis is related to a crosstalk between breast cancer cells and the bone microenvironment (Azim and Azim, 2013).

Owing to the combined efforts of chemokines, circulating breast cancer cells are attracted to the bone (Sun et al., 2010). The mineralized bone matrix releases relevant growth
factors, including IGFs, TGF-β, FGFs, PDGFs, and BMPs, when interacting with metastatic breast cancer cells, and therefore, it offers a specific fertile environment for tumor cell proliferation and aggression. PDGFs, BMPs, and calcium in the bone matrix can enhance the survival of tumor cells (Sanders et al., 2000; Weilbaecher et al., 2011). Experimental evidence showed that bone-derived IGF can promote the proliferation and survival of cancer cells via the Akt/NF-κB pathway (Samani et al., 2007; Maki, 2010). As the second-most abundant growth factor in the bone extracellular matrix, TGF-β plays critical roles in inducing the vicious cycle.

After the colonization of DTCs, bone-derived TGF-β stimulates breast cancer cells to synthesize PTHrP, prostaglandin E2 (PGE2), IL-1, IL-6, and IL-11. PTHrP is one of the most important factors in mediating osteoclast activation in metastatic human breast cancer. Breast cancer cells present in the metastatic bone site produce higher amounts of PTHrP than cells in the breast or soft-tissue sites (Soki et al., 2012). These cytokines first bind to osteoblasts or stromal cells via the corresponding receptors and then up-regulate the expression of RANKL and simultaneously down-regulate the expression of OPG. The up-regulated RANKL interacts with RANK on the hematopoietic osteoclast precursors to induce mature osteoclast production. Therefore, these cytokines stimulate osteoclastic bone destruction indirectly. The resorbed bone can further release TGF-β and IGF1, thereby stimulating the proliferation of tumor cells to produce more PTHrP via Smad and the p38 MAPK signaling pathway (Le Pape et al., 2016), which in turn causes more bone resorption. This is termed the vicious cycle (Figure 3).

**RANKL/RANK SYSTEM-BASED THERAPEUTIC STRATEGIES FOR BREAST CANCER BONE METASTASIS**

Metastatic cancer cells must possess the ability to promote bone resorption to establish and grow in bone tissue. Hence, the key factors that prevent or hinder metastasis must reduce osteoclast differentiation and activation. Therefore, regulation of the main factors involved in the metastatic process, especially in the vicious cycle, should be promising.

**Bisphosphonates**

Currently, bisphosphonates are clinically used to treat bone complications of malignant tumors. Bisphosphonates, which include amino-bisphosphonates (ibandronate, pamidronate, and zoledronic acid) and non-amino bisphosphonates (clodronate), hinder the survival and stimulate apoptosis of mature bone-resorbing osteoclasts to suppress bone resorption. However, bisphosphonates act only on the mature, actively resorbing osteoclasts, but has no effect on the residual osteoclasts (Morony et al., 2005). This might explain why zoledronic acid, which has been approved by the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) to treat patients with solid tumor bone metastasis, does not affect the patient survival rate (Coleman, 2002, 2004). Furthermore,
## TABLE 1 | Some clinical trials which are involved in denosumab.

| Status       | Study title                                                                 | Goal                                                                                                                                  | Study phase | Clinical trial Gov. Identifier |
|--------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------|-------------------------------|
| Completed    | Denosumab for Breast Cancer with Bone Mets                                   | To learn if denosumab in combination with a hormonal drug can help lower the number of circulating tumor cells (CTCs) in patients with breast cancer that has spread to the bone. | Phase 2     | NCT01952054                   |
| Terminated   | Study of Denosumab as Adjuvant Treatment for Women with High Risk Early Breast Cancer Receiving Neoadjuvant or Adjuvant Therapy (D-CARE) | To study the effect of denosumab to see if it can prevent disease recurrence in the bone or in any other part of the body, when it is given as adjuvant therapy for women with early-stage breast cancer, who are at high risk of disease recurrence. | Phase 3     | NCT01077154                   |
| Terminated   | A Study to Evaluate Denosumab in Young Patients with Primary Breast Cancer    | To determine if a short course of RANKL inhibition with denosumab can induce a decrease in tumor proliferation rates as determined by Ki67 immunohistochemistry (IHC) in newly diagnosed, early stage breast cancer in pre-menopausal women. | Phase 2a    | NCT01864798                   |
| Completed    | Denosumab (AMG 162) in Bisphosphonate Naive Metastatic Breast Cancer         | To evaluate various doses and schedules for denosumab administration and characterize the safety profile in this indication.          | Phase 2     | NCT00091832                   |
| Completed    | AMG 162 in the Treatment of Bone Loss in Subjects Undergoing Aromatase Inhibitor Therapy for Non-metastatic Breast Cancer | To evaluate AMG 162 in the treatment of bone loss in subjects undergoing Aromatase Inhibitor Therapy for Non-metastatic Breast Cancer. | Phase 3     | NCT00089661                   |
| Terminated   | Denosumab in Treating Patients with ER and/or PR Positive, HER2 Negative Metastatic Breast Cancer With Bone Metastases and Detectable Circulating Tumor Cells | To look at the amount of cancer cells in the blood of participants who are being treated with denosumab. To look at how long it takes for cancer to get worse when participants are being treated with denosumab. | Phase 2     | NCT03070002                   |
| Completed    | A Study Comparing Denosumab vs. Zoledronic Acid for the Treatment of Bone Metastases in Breast Cancer Subjects. | To determine if denosumab is non-inferior to zoledronic acid in the treatment of bone metastases in subjects with advanced breast cancer. | Phase 3     | NCT00321464                   |
| Completed    | RANKL Inhibition and Breast Tissue Biomarkers                               | To investigate the effect of RANKL inhibition with denosumab on breast tissue markers in high-risk premenopausal women with dense breasts. | Phase 1     | NCT03629717                   |
| Completed    | Open Label Extension to SRE Studies in United Kingdom and Czech Republic Only | To describe the safety and tolerability of denosumab administration as measured by adverse events, immunogenicity, and safety laboratory parameters in subjects who previously received either zoledronic acid (Zometa) or denosumab. | Phase 3     | NCT00950911                   |
many patients continue to develop SREs after bisphosphonate treatment, which warrants development of novel and effective strategies for managing these patients.

Direct and Indirect Targeting of RANKL
As previously mentioned, RANKL plays fundamental roles in the progression of primary breast cancer and bone metastasis. Hence, targeting RANKL has been an important therapeutic approach for patients with osteoclastic bone metastasis.

As OPG is one of the receptors of RANKL, interfering with RANKL function might be an efficient way of decreasing bone destruction (Morony et al., 2005). Canon et al. showed that administration of an OPG-Fc construct in a mouse model with breast cancer bone metastasis may block skeletal tumor progression and improve survival by inhibiting RANKL (Canon et al., 2008). Therefore, a genetically modified recombinant OPG-Fc construct (AMGN-0007) was developed for treating patients with bone metastases. When used for treating patients with breast cancer or multiple myeloma, AMGN-0007 has the same effect as pamidronate in reducing bone destruction (Body et al., 2003). Nevertheless, the short half-lives of several factors have prevented further research and application of this drug in clinical use. In addition, OPG can not only bind to RANKL, but also block TNF-related apoptosis inducing ligand (TRAIL) (Emery et al., 1998). Importantly, TRAIL is considered to be a significant part of natural anticancer immunity and is the main mediator for host immune cells in inducing tumor cell death (Neville-Webbe et al., 2004).

On the other hand, a monoclonal antibody specific to human RANKL, denosumab, has shown promising clinical implications (Cummings et al., 2009). In addition, evidence shows that denosumab is efficient and safe, and is better than zoledronic acid in delaying or preventing SREs (Stopeck et al., 2010; Martin et al., 2012). Currently, denosumab has been approved by the FDA for treating treatment-induced bone loss and osteoporosis in women with high risk of fractures and metastases to bone. Denosumab acts by binding to human RANKL with high affinity and specificity and blocks its binding to RANK, thereby inhibiting RANK-mediated osteoclast formation, ultimately increasing bone volume and density (Cummings et al., 2009). Unlike OPG, denosumab not only avoids potential reactions with TRAIL, but also exerts the same beneficial effects as OPG (Abrahamsen and Teng, 2005). Denosumab is administered via subcutaneous injection and cannot be excreted via the kidney or be metabolized by the liver owing to its large molecular weight. Furthermore, unlike the mechanism of action of bisphosphonates, denosumab blocks the differentiation, activation, and survival of osteoclast precursor cells and completely eliminates osteoclasts in the treated bone tissue (Baron et al., 2011). Some clinical trials involving denosumab are shown in Table 1.

It is noteworthy that three clinical trials were approved for determining the effectiveness of denosumab in order to prevent the skeletal sequelae in patients with bone metastases. These clinical trials showed that denosumab caused more severe hypocalcemia than zoledronic acid (Lipton et al., 2010). Medication-related osteonecrosis of the jaw (MRONJ) involves progressive bone destruction in the jaws that occurs when anti-resorptive or anti-angiogenic drugs are used. The first case of denosumab-related ONJ was reported in a 73-year-old man diagnosed with prostatic adenocarcinoma. These clinical trials demonstrated that events of ONJ occurred more in the denosumab group than in the zoledronic acid group (2.0%, denosumab; 1.4%, zoledronic acid) (Saad et al., 2011). Therefore, whether denosumab is the best therapeutic choice for patients should be evaluated.

CONCLUSION
The common cause of death for breast cancer patients is bone metastasis, nearly 80% of which are due to osteolytic lesions. Bone metastasis always leads to devastating consequences. The life expectancy for patients with metastatic breast cancer is 2−3 years. Bone metastasis also deteriorates the quality of life by inducing severe bone pain and SREs. Therefore, the mechanism of osteolysis should be understood to design relevant therapeutic strategies. In this article, the roles of the RANKL/RANK axis in the development of primary breast cancer and secondary
establishment of bone metastasis were reviewed. The steps involved in the development of bone metastasis were also clearly described.

The RANKL/RANK system plays a critical role in breast cancer development, both during initial tumorigenesis and formation of secondary tumors in the bone. RANKL can promote the survival and proliferation of epithelial cells during the development of mammary glands and simultaneously up-regulate the expression of RANK. The RANKL/RANK system is pro-active in EMT and can promote cell migration and neovascularization (Min et al., 2003). In addition, similar to the CXCR4/12 interaction, RANKL can also attract circulating breast cancer cells to the bone matrix via the RANKL/RANK system. Therefore, targeting of RANKL has been a novel therapeutic target for treating breast cancer bone metastasis.

Currently, bone metastasis of primary breast tumors appears incurable, and disruption of the osteolytic cycle by targeting osteoclasts constitutes the main therapeutic intervention. Bisphosphonates have been used to treat patients with breast cancer bone metastasis, albeit severe side effects. Therefore, more effective therapies are urgently required. The functions of RANKL in breast cancer metastasis to bone have been described in detail in this review. Denosumab, a completely human IgG2 monoclonal antibody, was used to treat patients with osteoporosis, metastases to bone, and treatment-induced bone loss. Denosumab competitively binds to RANKL, thereby blocking the binding of RANKL to RANK and inhibiting RANK-mediated osteoclastogenesis, ultimately increasing bone volume and density. The main side effect of denosumab might be the incidence of osteonecrosis of the jaw in few patients. In addition, denosumab has no effect on the survival rate of patients with bone metastasis, which suggests that inhibition of osteoclastic resorption alone might not be sufficient for suppressing tumor dissemination. Further research is required to understand the mechanism of metastasis and develop more effective therapies directed at improving the quality of life of patients with bone metastasis.

**AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS**

XW wrote the manuscript. FL and LD helped in revising the manuscript. XW contributed in figure designing. CL contributed to the manuscript for literature research. AL and GZ revised and approved the manuscript.

**FUNDING**

This study was supported by the National Key R&D Program of China (2018YFA0800804) and the National Natural Science Foundation of China (81700780 and 81922081).

**ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

Sincere thanks should go to the other academic and staff members in AL and GZ’s group at Hong Kong Baptist University. We also thank Hong Kong Baptist University for providing critical comments and technical support. Dr. Simon Wang at the Language Centre of HKBU has helped improve the linguistic presentation of the manuscript.

**REFERENCES**

Abrahamsen, B., and Teng, A. (2005). Technology evaluation: denosumab. Amgen. *Curr. Opin. Mol. Ther.*, 7, 604–610.

Akhtari, M., Mansuri, J., Newman, K. A., Guise, T. M., and Seth, P. (2008). Biology of breast cancer bone metastasis. *Cancer Biol. Ther.*, 7, 3–9.

Armstrong, A. P., Tometsko, M. E., Glaccum, M., Sutherland, C. L., Cosman, D., and Dougall, W. C. (2002). A RANK/TRA6-dependent signal transduction pathway is essential for osteoclast cytoskeletal organization and resorptive function. *J. Biol. Chem.*, 277, 44347–44356. doi: 10.1074/jbc.m202009200

Azim, H., and Azim, H. A. Jr. (2013). Targeting RANKL in breast cancer: bone metastasis and beyond. *Expert Rev. Anticancer Ther.* 13, 195–201. doi: 10.1586/era.12.177

Baron, R., Ferrari, S., and Russell, R. G. G. (2011). Denosumab and bisphosphonates: different mechanisms of action and effects. Bone 48, 677–692. doi: 10.1016/j.bone.2010.11.020

Ben-Baruch, A. (2009). Site-specific metastasis formation: chemokines as regulators of tumor cell adhesion, motility and invasion. *Cell Adh. Migr.* 3, 328–333. doi: 10.4161/cam.3.4.9211

Blair, J. M., Zhou, H., Seibel, M. J., and Dunstan, C. R. (2006). Mechanisms of disease: roles of OPG, RANKL and RANK in the pathophysiology of skeletal metastasis. *Nat. Rev. Clin. Oncol.* 3, 41–49. doi: 10.1038/nrc3081

Boobis, A.,, Berry, J., Koh, A. J., Somerman, M. J., and McAuley, L. K. (2004). The role of parathyroid hormone–related protein in the regulation of osteoclastogenesis by cementoblasts. *J. Periodontol.* 75, 1247–1254. doi: 10.1902/jop.2004.75.9.1247

Body, J. I., Greipp, P., Coleman, R. E., Facon, T., Geurs, F., Fermund, J. P., et al. (2003). A phase I study of AMGN—0007, a recombinant osteoprotegerin

construct, in patients with multiple myeloma or breast carcinoma related bone metastases. *Cancer* 97, 887–892. doi: 10.1002/cncr.11138

Bonnotmet, A., Bryse, A., Tachsisdis, A., Waltham, M., Thompson, E. W., Polette, M., et al. (2010). Epithelial-to-mesenchymal transitions and circulating tumor cells. *J. Mammary Gland Biol. Neoplasia* 15, 261–273. doi: 10.1007/s10991-010-9174-0

Boron, W. F., and Boulpaep, E. L. (2005). Medical physiology: a cellular and molecular approach. Philadelphia, PA: Saunders Elsevier.

Boyle, B. F., and Xing, L. (2007). Biology of RANK, RANKL, and osteoprotegerin. *Arthritis Res. Ther.* 9(Suppl. 1):S1.

Boyle, B. F., and Xing, L. (2008). Functions of RANKL/RANK/OPG in bone modeling and remodeling. *Arch. Biochem. Biophys.* 473, 139–146. doi: 10.1016/j.abb.2008.03.018

Boyle, W. J., Simonet, W. S., and Lacey, D. L. (2003). Osteoclast differentiation and activation. *Nature* 423, 337–342. doi: 10.1038/nature01658

Brodsky, B., and Persikov, A. V. (2005). Molecular structure of the collagen triple helix. *Adv. Protein Chem.* 70, 301–339. doi: 10.1016/s0065-3233(05)7009-7

Canon, J. R., Roudier, M., Bryant, R., Morony, S., Stolina, M., Kostenuik, P. J., et al. (2008). Inhibition of RANKL blocks skeletal tumor progression and improves survival in a mouse model of breast cancer bone metastasis. *Clim. Exp. Metastasis* 25, 119–129. doi: 10.1007/s10458-007-9127-1

Cetin, K., Christiansen, C. F., Sverke, C., Jacobsen, J. B., and Sørensen, H. T. (2015). Survival in patients with breast cancer with bone metastasis: a Danish population-based cohort study on the prognostic impact of initial stage of disease at breast cancer diagnosis and length of the bone metastasis-free interval. *BMJ Open* 5:e007702. doi: 10.1136/bmjopen-2015-007702
Chamoux, E., Houde, N., Léger, K., and Roux, S. (2008). Osteoprotegerin decreases human osteoclast apoptosis by inhibiting the TRAIL pathway. J. Cell. Physiol. 216, 536–542. doi: 10.1002/jcp.21430

Charles, J. F., and Aliprantis, A. O. (2014). Osteoclasts: more than ‘bone eaters’. Trends Mol. Med. 20, 449–459. doi: 10.1016/j.molmed.2014.06.001

Chawla, S., Henshaw, R., Seeger, L., Choy, E., Blay, J.-Y., Ferrari, S., et al. (2013). Safety and efficacy of denosumab for adults and skeletally mature adolescents with giant cell tumour of bone: interim analysis of an open-label, parallel-group, phase 2 study. Lancet Oncol. 14, 901–908. doi: 10.1016/S1470-2045(13)70277-8

Chen, G., Sirac, K., Aprikian, A., Potti, A., Goltzman, D., and Rabbbani, S. A. (2006). Expression of RANKL/RANK/OPG in primary and metastatic human prostate cancer as markers of disease stage and functional regulation. Cancer 107, 289–298. doi: 10.1002/cncr.21978

Chen, Y.-C., Sosnoski, D. M., and Mastro, A. M. (2010). Breast cancer metastasis to the bone: mechanisms of bone loss. Breast Cancer Res. 12:215. doi: 10.1186/bcr2761

Chirgwin, J. M., and Guise, T. A. (2000). Molecular mechanisms of tumor-bone interactions in osteolytic metastases. Crit. Rev. Eukaryot. Gene Exp. 10, 159–178.

Clarke, B. (2008). Normal bone anatomy and physiology. Clin. J. Am. Soc. Nephrol. 3(Suppl. 3), S131–S139. doi: 10.2215/CJN.04154909

Clines, G., and Guise, T. (2005). Hypercalcaemia of malignancy and basic research on mechanisms responsible for osteolytic and osteoblastic metastasis to bone. Endocr. Relat. Cancer 12, 549–583. doi: 10.1677/erc.1.00543

Coleman, R. E. (2002). Bisphosphonates for the prevention of bone metastases. Sem. Oncol. 29(Suppl. 21), 43–49. doi: 10.1053/sonc.2002.37415

Coleman, R. E. (2004). The role of bisphosphonates in breast cancer. Lancet Oncol. 5, 19–28. doi: 10.1016/j.jbreast.2004.09.004

Crane, J. L., and Cao, X. (2014). Function of matrix IGF-1 in coupling bone resorption and formation. J. Mol. Med. 92, 107–115. doi: 10.1007/s00109-013-1084-3

Cummings, S. R., Martin, J. S., McClellng, M. R., Siris, E. S., Eastell, R., Reid, I. R., et al. (2009). Denosumab for prevention of fractures in postmenopausal women with osteoporosis. N. Engl. J. Med. 361, 756–765. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa0804943

Cundy, T., Hegde, M., Naot, D., Chong, B., King, A., Wallace, R., et al. (2002). A mutation in the gene TNFRSF11B encoding osteoprotegerin causes an idiopathic hyperphosphatasia phenotype. Hum. Mol. Genet. 11, 2119–2127. doi: 10.1093/hmg/ddi18.2119

Dai, J., Escara-Wilke, J., Keller, J. M., Jung, Y., Taichman, S. R., Pienta, K. J., et al. (2019). Primary prostate cancer educates bone stroma through exosomal pyruvate kinase M2 to promote bone metastasis. J. Exp. Med. 216, 2883–2899. doi: 10.1083/jem.20190158

Dougall, W. C., and Chaisson, M. (2006). The RANK/RANKL/OPG triad in cancer-induced bone diseases. Cancer Metastasis Rev. 25, 541–549. doi: 10.1007/s10555-006-9021-3

Emery, J. G., McDonnell, P., Burke, M. B., Deen, K. C., Lyn, S., Silverman, C., et al. (1998). Osteoprotegerin is a receptor for the cytotoxic ligand TRAIL. J. Biol. Chem. 273, 14363–14367. doi: 10.1074/jbc.273.14363

Fata, J. E., Kong, Y.-Y., Li, J., Sasaki, T., Irie-Sasaki, J., Moorehead, R. A., et al. (2000). The osteoclast differentiation factor osteoprotegerin-ligand is essential for mammary gland development. Cell 103, 41–50. doi: 10.1016/s0092-8674(00)01003-3

Felding-Habermann, B., O’Toole, T. E., Smith, J. W., Fransseva, E., Ruggeri, Z. M., Ginsberg, M. H., et al. (2001). Integrin activation controls metastasis in human breast cancer. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 98, 1853–1858. doi: 10.1073/pnas.98.4.1853

Fidler, I. J. (2003). The pathogenesis of cancer metastasis: theseed and soil hypothesis revisited. Nat. Rev. Cancer 3, 453–458. doi: 10.1038/nrc1098

Florenccio-Silva, R., Sasso, G. R. D. S., Sasso-Cerri, E., Simões, M. J., and Cerri, P. S. (2015). Biology of bone tissue: structure, function, and factors that influence bone cells. BioMed Res. Int. 2015:217476.

Gonzalez-Suarez, E., Jacob, A. P., Jones, J., Miller, R., Roudier-Meyer, M. P., Erwert, I., et al. (2010). RANK ligand mediates progestin-induced mammary epithelial proliferation and carcinogenesis. Nature 468, 103–107. doi: 10.1038/nature09495

Guise, T. A. (2009). Breaking down bone: new insight into site-specific mechanisms of breast cancer osteolysis mediated by metalloproteinasises. Genes Dev. 23, 2117–2123. doi: 10.1101/gad.185490
Kozlow, W., and Guise, T. A. (2005). Breast cancer metastasis to bone: mechanisms of osteolysis and implications for therapy. J. Mammary Gland Biol. Neoplasia 10, 169–180. doi: 10.1007/s10911-005-5399-8

Lambert, A. W., Pattabiraman, D. R., and Weinberg, R. A. (2017). Emerging biological principles of metastasis. Cell 168, 670–691. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2016.11.037

Langley, R. R., and Fidler, I. J. (2011). The seed and soil hypothesis revisited—The role of tumor—stroma interactions in metastasis to different organs. Int. J. Cancer 128, 2527–2535. doi: 10.1002/ijc.26031

Le Pape, F., Vargas, G., and Clézardin, P. (2016). The role of osteoclasts in breast cancer bone metastasis. J. Bone Oncol. 5, 93–95. doi: 10.1016/j.jbo.2016.02.008

Lipton, A., Siena, S., Rader, M., Bilinsky, B., Viniegra, M., and Richardson, G. (2010). Comparison of denosumab versus zoledronic acid (ZA) for treatment of bone metastases in advanced cancer patients: an integrated analysis of 3 pivotal trials. Ann. Oncol. 21, 380–380.

Luo, J., Yang, Z., Ma, Y., Yue, Z., Lin, H., Qu, G., et al. (2016). LGR4 is a receptor for RANKL and negatively regulates osteoclast differentiation and bone resorption. Nat. Med. 22, 539–546. doi: 10.1038/nm.4076

Maki, R. G. (2010). Small is beautiful: insulin-like growth factors and their role in growth, development, and cancer. J. Clin. Oncol. 28, 4985–4995. doi: 10.1200/JCO.2009.27.5040

Mareel, M., and Leroy, A. (2003). Clinical, cellular, and molecular aspects of cancer invasion. Physiol. Rev. 83, 337–376. doi: 10.1152/physrev.00024.2002

Martin, M., Bell, R., Bourgeois, H., Brufsky, A., Diel, I., Eniu, A., et al. (2012). Expression of osteoclast differentiation factor/RANKL but not macrophage colony-stimulating factor (M-CSF) in patients with breast cancer bone metastases. Breast Cancer Res. Treat. 137, 591–592. doi: 10.1007/s10593-011-9849-1

Min, J.-K., Kim, Y.-M., Kim, Y.-M., Kim, E.-C., Gho, Y. S., and Kang, I.-J. (2003). Vascular endothelial growth factor up-regulates expression of receptor activator of NF-κB (RANK) in endothelial cells: Concomitant increase of angiogenic responses to RANK ligand. J. Biol. Chem. 278, 39548–395457.

Mastro, A. M., Gay, C. V., and Welch, D. R. (2003). The skeleton as a unique environment for breast cancer cells. Clin. Exp. Metastasis 20, 275–284.

Miller, P. D. (2009). Denosumab: anti-RANKL antibody. Curr. Osteoporos. Rep. 7, 18–22. doi: 10.1007/s11914-009-0004-5

Min, J.-K., Kim, Y.-M., Kim, Y.-M., Kim, E.-C., Gho, Y. S., and Kang, I.-J. (2003). Vascular endothelial growth factor up-regulates expression of receptor activator of NF-κB (RANK) in endothelial cells: Concomitant increase of angiogenic responses to RANK ligand. J. Biol. Chem. 278, 39548–395457.

Morony, S., Warmington, K., Adamu, S., Asuncion, F., Geng, Z., Grisanti, M., et al. (2005). The RANKL inhibitor osteoprotegerin (OPG) causes greater suppression of bone resorption and hypercalcemia compared to bisphosphonates in two models of humoral hypercalcemia of malignancy. Endocrinology 146, 3235–3243. doi: 10.1210/en.2004-1583

Müller, A., Homey, B., Soto, H., Ge, N., Catron, D., and Buchanan, M. E. (2001). Involvement of chemokine receptors in breast cancer metastasis. Nature 410, 50–56.

Mundy, G. R. (2002). Metastasis: Metastasis to bone: causes, consequences and therapeutic opportunities. Nat. Rev. Cancer 2, 584–593. doi: 10.1038/nrc6867

Nagy, V., and Penninger, J. M. (2015). The rank–rank story. Gerontology 61, 534–542. doi: 10.1159/000367184

Neville-Webbe, H., Cross, N., Eaton, C., Nyambo, R., Evans, C., Coleman, R., et al. (2011). Osteoblast differentiation marker OPG mediates bone loss in breast cancer patients. J. Bone Oncol. 28, 709–722. doi: 10.1007/s10555-010-9256-x

Sousa, S., Gineyts, E., Geraci, S., Croset, M., and Clézardin, P. (2018). “RANK-RANKL signaling inhibition delays early breast cancer bone metastasis formation. AARC, in Proceedings of the American Association for Cancer Research Annual Meeting.” (Chicago, IL: American Association for Cancer Research).

Suva, L. J., Griffin, R. J., and Makhoul, I. (2009). Mechanisms of bone metastases of breast cancer. Endocr. Relat. Cancer 16, 703–713. doi: 10.1677/ERC-09-0012

Teitelbaum, S., and Ross, F. P. (2003). Genetic regulation of osteoclast differentiation and function. Nat. Rev. Genet. 4, 638–649. doi: 10.1038/nrg1122

Torre, L. A., Bray, F., Siegel, R. L., Ferlay, J., and Jemal, A. (2015). Global cancer statistics, 2012. CA Cancer J. Clin. 65, 87–100. doi: 10.3322/caac.21262

Usadel, U., Takahashi, N., Jimi, E., Matsuoka, K., Tsukuri, T., Itoh, K., et al. (1999). Osteoblasts/stromal cells stimulate osteoclast activation through expression of osteoclast differentiation factor/RANKL but not macrophage colony-stimulating factor. Bone 25, 517–523. doi: 10.1016/s8756-3282(99)00210-0

Waid, M., and Weinberg, R. A. (2011). Tumor metastasis: molecular insights and evolving paradigms. Cell 147, 275–292. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2011.09.024

Wada, T., Nakashima, T., Hiroshi, N., and Penninger, J. M. (2006). RANKL–RANK signaling in osteoclastogenesis and bone disease. Trends Mol. Med. 12, 17–25. doi: 10.1016/j.molmed.2005.11.007

Wakefield, I. M., and Roberts, A. B. (2002). TGF-β signaling: positive and negative effects on tumorigenesis. Curr. Opin. Genet. Dev. 12, 22–29. doi: 10.1016/s0959-437x(01)00259-3

Walds, N. C., and Gravallese, E. M. (2010). Bone remodeling in rheumatoid disease: a question of balance. Immunol. Rev. 233, 301–312. doi: 10.1111/j.0105-2896.2009.00857.x

Wang, H., Tian, L., Liu, J., Goldstein, A., Bado, I., Zhang, W., et al. (2018). The Osteogenic niche is a calcium reservoir of bone micrometastases and confers
unexpected therapeutic vulnerability. Cancer Cell 34:823.e7–839.e7. doi: 10.1016/j.ccell.2018.10.002

Wang, H., Yu, C., Gao, X., Welte, T., Muscarella, A. M., Tian, L., et al. (2015). The osteogenic niche promotes early-stage bone colonization of disseminated breast cancer cells. Cancer Cell 27, 193–210. doi: 10.1016/j.ccell.2014.11.017

Waning, D. L., Guise, T. A., and Mohammad, K. S. (2019). A “Connexin” responsible for the fatal attraction of cancer to bone. Cell Metab. 29, 6–8. doi: 10.1016/j.cmet.2018.12.014

Weilbaecher, K. N., Guise, T. A., and McCauley, L. K. (2011). Cancer to bone: a fatal attraction. Nat. Rev. Cancer 11, 411–425. doi: 10.1038/nrc3055

Whyte, M. P., Obrecht, S. E., Finnegan, P. M., Jones, J. L., Podgornik, M. N., McAlister, W. H., et al. (2002). Osteoprotegerin deficiency and juvenilePaget's disease. N. Eng. J. Med. 347, 175–184.

Wong, B. R., Josien, R., Lee, S. Y., Vologodskia, M., Steinman, R. M., and Choi, Y. (1998). The TRAF family of signal transducers mediates NF-κB activation by the TRANCE receptor. J. Biol. Chem. 273, 28355–28359. doi: 10.1074/jbc.273.43.28355

Xiong, J., Onal, M., Jilka, R. L., Weinstein, R. S., Manolagas, S. C., and O’brien, C. A. (2011). Matrix-embedded cells control osteoclast formation. Nat. Med. 17, 1235–1241. doi: 10.1038/nm.2448

Yin, J. J., Selander, K., Chirgwin, J. M., Dallas, M., Grubbs, B. G., Wieser, R., et al. (1999). TGF-β signaling blockade inhibits PTHrP secretion by breast cancer cells and bone metastases development. J. Clin. Investigat. 103, 197–206. doi: 10.1172/jci3523

Yoneda, T., Tanaka, S., and Hata, K. (2013). Role of RANKL/RANK in primary and secondary breast cancer. World J. Orthopedics 4, 178–185. doi: 10.5312/wjo.v4.i4.178

Zheng, Y., Zhou, H., Dunstan, C. R., Sutherland, R. L., and Seibel, M. J. (2013). The role of the bone microenvironment in skeletal metastasis. J. Bone Oncol. 2, 47–57. doi: 10.1016/j.jbo.2012.11.002

Conflict of Interest: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2020 Wu, Li, Liang, Lu and Zhang. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.