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ABSTRACT

Nannofossil assemblages, carbonate content and grain size distributions were analyzed in 46 samples of surface sediments collected in the middle and outer shelf of the East China Sea. Thirty three indigenous taxa were identified. *Emiliania huxleyi* and *Gephyrocapsa oceanica* were the two dominant calcareous nannoplankton species. The former was derived from both the China Coastal Current and the Kuroshio Current. The latter originated mainly from the Japan longshore current. Sediments containing high carbonate content (>30%) were deposited along the shelf break of the East China Sea. About 80% of the total carbonate material was composed of shell fragments and foraminiferal tests. Nannofossils were found mainly in the south of the loop current off the Changjiang River, the western tip of the Japan longshore current and in the western flank of the Okinawa Trough.

The grain size distribution pattern can be divided into four types: a unimodal pattern in the fine grain material (about 10 µm) which is composed mainly of loess; a unimodal pattern occurring in the coarse grain size (about 200-300 µm) which is composed mainly of foraminifera and shell fragments; a bimodal pattern with a higher concentration of fine sediment, and a bimodal pattern with less fine-grained sediment. The bimodal sediments are distributed between the two single modes. The finer carbonate-free sediment with bimodal size distribution is derived mainly from the Changjiang River. The coarse fractions are composed of terrigenous materials derived from either mainland China or from Taiwan.

From the factor analysis of ten selected nannofossil species and groups, the sediment transportation path of each current can be deduced from the factor loading contours. In the middle and outer shelf of the East China Sea, seven current tracks, including the main Kuroshio Current, a branch of the Kuroshio Current, the Taiwan Current, the loop current from Changjian, the China Coastal Current, the Tsushima Current and the longshore current from Japan are recognized.
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1. INTRODUCTION

The distribution of calcareous nannofossils in surface sediments has been a subject of intense interest in the Pacific Ocean (Geitzenauer et al., 1976; McIntyre et al., 1970; Roth and Berger, 1975; Roth and Coulbourn, 1982; Tanaka, 1991) since it may record the environmental conditions under which these nannofossils were produced in the overlying surface waters (Roth and Berger, 1975; Geitzenauer et al., 1976; Loube, 1982). However, the distribution may be modified by other processes thereby causing the relative abundance of the species in sediments not to always reflect a unique paleoceanographic variable such as temperature. One of these modifying processes is the current pattern (Fincham and Winter, 1989; Winter, 1985; Tanaka, 1991; Zhang and Siesser, 1986).

In the East China Sea, the distribution of the calcareous nannoplankton thanatocoenoses in the surface sediments has been studied by Wang and Min (1981), Wang and Samtleben (1983), Zhang and Siesser (1986). These studies were restricted to the inner shelf and to the estuary of the Changjiang (Yangtze River), and the conclusions were mostly qualitative. In fact, neither the critical factors controlling the distribution of the coccoliths nor the grain-size distribution in the surface sediments was presented in any detail. In this study, the authors try to: (1) determine the distribution of calcareous nannofossils in the surface sediments in the middle to outer shelf of the East China Sea, (2) relate the distribution of selected coccolith assemblages to the current pattern in the East China Sea, and (3) determine the grain-size distribution of the surface sediments in order to understand the sources of the surface sediments in the middle and outer shelf of the East China Sea.

2. STUDY AREA

The East China Sea is a marginal sea situated between approximately 24°N, 121°E and 33° N, 130°E. It may be subdivided into three regimes: the inner, middle and outer shelves, with boundaries conventionally located at the 50-, 100- and 150-m isobaths, respectively (Zhang and Siesser, 1986). A branch of the Kuroshio Current intrudes into the southeastern boundary of the East China Sea. The warm Kuroshio Current dominates the outer shelf of the East China Sea, the shelf break, the continental slope and the deep waters of the Okinawa Trough (Chern et al., 1990). Another warm current which comes through the Taiwan Strait influences the middle shelf. The China Coastal Current, which is hyposaline (less than 30°/oo) and 6° to 10°C colder than the Kuroshio Current, flows southward over the inner and middle parts of the shelf and mixes with the highly saline (more than 34°/oo) Kuroshio Current at the edge of the East China Sea (Chu, 1971; Fan, 1985). The Changjiang River transports a large amount of sediment (over 2000 tons per year) into the East China Sea (Zhang and Siesser, 1986). The concentration of suspended matter can reach as much as 1000 mg/l at the mouth of the Changjiang River and 5 mg/l in a belt which is almost parallel to the 50-m isobath (Yang and Milliman, 1983; Wang and Samtleben, 1983).

Forty-six surface sediments were collected at 49 stations by a grab sampler during the Russia-ROC collaborative cruise (Figure 1), "KEEPMASS EXPEDITION", in July, 1992. The locations and the water depths of these stations are listed in Table 1 and shown in Figure 2. Samples were not collected at Stations E19, E23, E34.
Fig. 1. Location of sampling stations during the ROC-Russia "KEEPMASS" collaboration expedition in 1992.

Fig. 2. Localities of sampling stations on the East China Sea. The current pattern was drawn from Zhang and Siesser (1986).
Table 1. The grab sampling locations and water depth in the East China Sea.

| Sampling Station No. | Water Depth (m) | Longitude (E)          | Latitude (N)          |
|----------------------|-----------------|------------------------|-----------------------|
| E1                   | 72              | 122°35.34'             | 27°54.37'             |
| E2                   | 82              | 122°49.80'             | 27°40.95'             |
| E3                   | 103             | 123°07.26'             | 27°29.20'             |
| E4                   | 104             | 123°22.92'             | 27°20.84'             |
| E5                   | 110             | 123°39.72'             | 27°11.23'             |
| E6                   | 121             | 124°00.54'             | 26°59.74'             |
| E7                   | 330             | 126°05.16'             | 27°22.84'             |
| E8                   | 123             | 125°50.76'             | 27°27.19'             |
| E9                   | 113             | 125°35.82'             | 27°36.01'             |
| E10                  | 101             | 125°18.06'             | 27°44.06'             |
| E11                  | 104             | 124°59.94'             | 27°51.65'             |
| E12                  | 104             | 124°44.16'             | 28°00.68'             |
| E13                  | 96              | 124°26.34'             | 28°08.41'             |
| E14                  | 84              | 124°10.32'             | 28°17.03'             |
| E15                  | 79              | 123°52.92'             | 28°25.01'             |
| E16                  | 60              | 124°07.14'             | 29°43.81'             |
| E17                  | 64              | 124°22.68'             | 29°34.84'             |
| E18                  | 74              | 124°38.82'             | 29°24.80'             |
| E19                  | 81              | 124°52.43'             | 29°14.58'             |
| E20                  | 78              | 125°09.18'             | 29°03.13'             |
| E21                  | 102             | 125°26.22'             | 28°53.58'             |
| E22                  | 104             | 125°40.92'             | 28°42.95'             |
| E23                  | 108             | 125°56.15'             | 28°31.87'             |
| E24                  | 114             | 126°11.70'             | 28°22.48'             |
| E24A                 | 440             | 126°01.40'             | 28°03.76'             |
| E25                  | 390             | 127°01.12'             | 29°01.69'             |
| E25A                 | 1090            | 127°36.72'             | 28°57.77'             |
| E26                  | 122             | 126°57.60'             | 29°12.25'             |
| E27                  | 108             | 126°42.36'             | 29°21.08'             |
| E28                  | 100             | 126°26.40'             | 29°31.93'             |
| E29                  | 90              | 126°14.10'             | 29°41.25'             |
| E30                  | 77              | 125°54.54'             | 29°52.27'             |
| E31                  | 64              | 125°38.46'             | 30°03.55'             |
| E32                  | 69              | 125°23.82'             | 30°14.38'             |
| E33                  | 66              | 125°07.74'             | 30°23.68'             |
| E34                  | 52              | 124°54.08'             | 30°32.31'             |
| E35                  | 53              | 124°42.42'             | 30°39.46'             |
| E36                  | 51              | 125°18.42'             | 31°59.97'             |
| E37                  | 58              | 125°32.46'             | 31°50.52'             |
| E38                  | 60              | 125°46.74'             | 31°37.77'             |
| E39                  | 67              | 126°03.48'             | 31°25.96'             |
| E40                  | 78              | 126°17.22'             | 31°14.74'             |
| E41                  | 86              | 126°36.06'             | 31°03.56'             |
| E42                  | 96              | 126°47.82'             | 30°52.58'             |
| E43                  | 96              | 127°00.72'             | 30°42.52'             |
3. METHODS

Samples were prepared for the examination of calcareous nannoplankton using the standard settling technique. The slide was mounted with the Entellan for examination by light microscopy. Nannofossils were examined at a magnification of ×1,500. More than 300 specimens were identified and counted for each slide at random. Some samples were also studied by a scanning electron microscope (SEM) to identify and study the morphologies of the coccoliths in detail.

A description of the surface sediment is listed in Table 2. The surface sediments vary in lithology from clay to sand, and the grain size is independent of the water depth. Calcareous nannofossil species found in the studied samples are listed in Table 3 in which the species are listed according to the alphabetical order of genus epithets.

A laser particle size analyzer, the "ANALYSETTE 22", was used to measure the grain size distribution in the samples within the grain-size range of 0.16 to 1000 µm. For coarser particles (larger than 1000 µm), the grain size was measured by sieving.

The carbonate content was determined by the weight loss method outlined by Molnia (1974). Before the carbonate material was dissolved with 1N hydrochloric acid, the salt was removed by washing the sample in distilled water (Chen et al., 1992). The laser particle size analyzer, the "ANALYSETTE 22", was also used to determine the size distribution of the carbonate-free residual.

4. RELATIVE ABUNDANCE AND DISTRIBUTION OF COCCOLITHIN SURFACE SEDIMENTS

The distribution of the carbonate content in the surface sediments of the study area is shown in Figure 3. The coarser carbonate material (>50 µm) is composed mainly of foraminiferal and shell fragments. The finer size (<50 µm) can be divided into fine bioclasts and coccoliths. The distribution of these finer particles is indicative of the distribution of the coccoliths (Figure 3). Concentrations exceeding 10% of the total carbonate content were found in the northern corner of the study area, and they may represent material from Huanghe, which transports eroded loess with carbonate contents of up to 15% (Qin and Li, 1983). Since marine biogenic carbonate may be added to the sediment during or after the transport of the particles, a high carbonate content in the sediment can only be used as a very general indicator (Li, et al., 1991; Zhang, 1988; Zhu and Wang, 1988). Higher concentrations of coccoliths are found in two areas: in the lower middle portion of the studied area, and in the Okinawa Trough at the northeastern corner of the area (Figure 3). Zhang and Siesser (1986) also reported the occurrence of fewer coccoliths in the inner-shelf sediments and the progressive
Table 2. Surface Sediment Description of the East China Sea.

| Core No. | Grain Size | Mineral Composition                  | Biogenic Composition         | Rock Fragments      |
|----------|------------|--------------------------------------|------------------------------|---------------------|
| E1       | Medium Sand| Qtz, Green Minerals 50%              | Planktonic & Benthic Fora 10%| Sedimentary, Rock 40%|
| E2       | Medium Sand| Qtz, Green Minerals, Feldspar, 50%   | Planktonic & Benthic Fora, Mollusca, 25%| Sedimentary, Rock & Slate 25%|
| E3       | Medium Sand| Qtz, Green Minerals 40%              | Planktonic & Benthic Fora, Mollusca, 40%| Sedimentary, Rock & Slate 20%|
| E4       | Medium-Coarse Sand| Qtz, Green Minerals 30% | Planktonic & Benthic Fora, Mollusca, 55%| Sedimentary, Rock & Slate 15%|
| E5       | Medium-Coarse Sand| Qtz, Green Minerals 20% | Planktonic & Benthic Fora, Mollusca, 70%| Sedimentary, Rock & Slate 10%|
| E6       | Medium-Coarse Sand| Qtz, 20% | Planktonic & Benthic Fora, Mollusca, 60%| Sedimentary, Rock 20%|
| E7       | Medium-Coarse Sand| Qtz, Light Green Minerals, Feld, 50% | Planktonic & Benthic Fora, Mollusca, 30%| Sedimentary, Rock & Slate 20%|
| E8       | Medium Sand| Qtz, Feldspar 70%                   | Planktonic & Benthic Fora, Mollusca, 10%| Sedimentary, Rock & Slate 20%|
| E9       | Medium-Coarse Sand| Qtz, Feldspar 70% | Planktonic & Benthic Fora, Mollusca, 5%| Sedimentary, Rock & Slate 25%|
| E10      | Medium Sand| Qtz, Feld, Green & Dark Minerals, 50% | Planktonic & Benthic Fora 40%| Sedimentary, Rock & Slate 10%|
| E11      | Muddy Sand| Qtz, Feldspar 15%                   | Planktonic & Benthic Fora, Mollusca, 75%| Sedimentary, Rock & Slate 10%|
| E12      | Medium Sand| Qtz, 10%                            | Planktonic & Benthic Fora, Mollusca, 80%| Sedimentary, Rock & Slate 10%|
| E13      | Muddy Sand| Qtz, Light Green & Dark Minerals, 40% | Planktonic & Benthic Fora, Mollusca, 50%| Sedimentary, Rock & Slate 10%|
| E14      | Muddy Sand| Qtz, Feld, Green Minerals, 45%      | Planktonic & Benthic Fora, Mollusca, 25%| Sedimentary, Rock & Slate 30%|
| E15      | Muddy Sand| Qtz, Feld, Light Green Minerals, 60% | Planktonic & Benthic Fora, Mollusca, 10%| Sedimentary, Rock & Slate 30%|
| E16      | Muddy Sand| Qtz, Feldspar 65%                   | Benthic Fora & Mollusca, 5%| Sedimentary, Rock & Slate 30%|
| E17      | Muddy Sand| Qtz, Light Green Minerals, 70%      | Planktonic & Benthic Fora, Mollusca, 5%| Sedimentary, Rock & Slate 25%|
| E18      | Muddy Sand| Qtz, Feld, Light Green Minerals, 55% | Planktonic & Benthic Fora, Mollusca, 20%| Sedimentary, Rock & Slate 25%|
| E19      | Muddy Sand| Qtz, Feld, Green Minerals, 50%      | Planktonic & Benthic Fora, Mollusca, 30%| Sedimentary, Rock & Slate 20%|
| E20      | Muddy Sand| Qtz, Feldspar, 50%                   | Planktonic & Benthic Fora, Mollusca, 25%| Sedimentary, Rock & Slate 15%|
| E21      | Muddy Sand| Qtz, Brown, Green & Dark Minerals, 60% | Planktonic & Benthic Fora, Mollusca, 25%| Sedimentary, Rock & Slate 15%|
| E22      | Muddy Sand| Qtz, Brown, Green & Dark Minerals, 60% | Planktonic & Benthic Fora, Mollusca, 25%| Sedimentary, Rock & Slate 15%|
| E23      | Muddy Sand| Qtz, Feld, Green Minerals, 35%      | Planktonic & Benthic Fora, Mollusca, 50%| Sedimentary, Rock & Slate 15%|
| E25 | Muddy Sand | None | Planktonic & Benthic Fora, Mollusca, 95% | Sedimentary Rock & Slate 5% |
|-----|------------|------|----------------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| E26 | Medium-Coarse Sand | Qtz, Muscovite, etc, 70% | Planktonic & Benthic Fora, Mollusca, 15% | Sedimentary Rock & Slate 15% |
| E27 | Medium-Coarse Sand | Qtz, Feldspar, 50% | Planktonic & Benthic Fora, Mollusca, 30% | Sedimentary Rock 20% |
| E28 | Medium-Coarse Sand | Qtz, Feld, Green & Dark Minerals, 50% | Planktonic & Benthic Fora, Mollusca, 25% | Sedimentary Rock 25% |
| E29 | Medium Sand | Qtz, Feld, Light Green Minerals, 65% | Planktonic & Benthic Fora, Mollusca, 10% | Sedimentary Rock & Slate 25% |
| E30 | Muddy Sand | Qtz, Light Green Minerals, 65% | Benthic Fora & Mollusca, 10% | Sedimentary Rock & Slate 25% |
| E31 | Muddy Sand | Qtz, Feldspar, 60% | Benthic Fora & Mollusca, 10% | Sedimentary Rock 30% |
| E32 | Muddy Sand | Qtz, Light Green Minerals, Mica, 40% | Planktonic & Benthic Fora, Mollusca, Ostrocod, 30% | Sedimentary Rock & Slate 30% |
| E33 | Muddy Sand | Qtz, Light Green Minerals, Mica, 50% | Planktonic & Benthic Fora, Mollusca, Ostrocod, 25% | Sedimentary Rock & Slate 25% |
| E35 | Muddy Sand | Qtz, Feld, Light Green Minerals, 50% | Planktonic & Benthic Fora, Mollusca, 15% | Sedimentary Rock & Slate 35% |
| E36 | Muddy Sand | Qtz, Green Minerals, Feldspar, 60% | Benthic Fora & Mollusca, Ostrocod, 10% | Sedimentary Rock 30% |
| E37 | Clay | Qtz, Green Minerals, Biotite, 10% | Benthic Fora & Ostrocod, 80% | Sedimentary Rock 10% |
| E38 | Clay | Qtz, 10% | Benthic Fora 85% | Sedimentary Rock 5% |
| E39 | Muddy Sand | Qtz, Green & Light Green Minerals, 40% | Planktonic & Benthic Fora 30% | Sedimentary Rock & Slate 30% |
| E40 | Sandy Mud | Qtz, Green & Light Green Minerals, 35% | Planktonic & Benthic Fora, Mollusca, Ostrocod, 50% | Sedimentary Rock & Slate 15% |
| E41 | Sandy Mud | Qtz, Green & Light Green Minerals, 50% | Planktonic & Benthic Fora, Mollusca, Pteropod, 30% | Sedimentary Rock & Slate 20% |
| E42 | Sandy Mud | Qtz, Feld, Light Green & Heavy Min, 40% | Planktonic & Benthic Fora, Mollusca, 40% | Sedimentary Rock & Slate 20% |
| E43 | Muddy Sand | Qtz, Green & Light Green Minerals, 45% | Planktonic & Benthic Fora, Mollusca, Pteropod, 35% | Sedimentary Rock & Slate 20% |
| E44 | Muddy Sand | Qtz, Green Minerals, 60% | Planktonic & Benthic Fora, Mollusca, 20% | Sedimentary Rock & Slate 20% |
| E46 | Medium-Coarse Sand | Qtz, Feld, Heavy Minerals, 40% | Planktonic & Benthic Fora, Mollusca, Ostrocod, 40% | Sedimentary Rock 20% |

increase in the concentration with increasing water depth. The water in the middle of the study area contains high nitrite (more than 0.25 µmol), nitrate (more than 8 µmol) and phosphate (more than 0.6 µmol) near the 50-m mark (Chen and Bychkov, 1992). This high nutrient area mostly coincides with the high coccolith content area.
Table 3. List of calcareous nannoplankton in the East China Sea surface sediments.

| Specie                                                                 | Reference                          |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| Barrarudosphaera bigelowi                                             | (Gran and Braarud) Deflandre 1947 |
| Calcidiscus leptoporus                                                | (Murray and Blackman) Loeblich and Tappan, 1978 |
| Calcidiscus sp.                                                        |                                    |
| Coccolithus pelagicus                                                  | (Wallich) Schiller, 1930            |
| Coronosphaera binodata                                                 | (Kamptner) Gaarder, 1977           |
| Coronosphaera mediterranea                                             | (Lohmann) Gaarder, 1977            |
| Crenalithus doronicoides                                              | (Black and Barnes) Roth, 1973      |
| Cricolithus jonesi                                                     | Cohen, 1965                         |
| Dictyococities perplexa                                               | Burns, 1975                         |
| Dictyococities productus                                               | (Kamptner) Backman, 1980           |
| Dictyococities sessilis                                                | (Lohmann) Biekart, 1989            |
| Emiliania huxleyi                                                     | (Lohmann) Hay and Mohler, 1967     |
| Florisphaera profunda                                                 | Okada and Honjo, 1973              |
| Gephyrocapsa oceanica                                                 | Kamptner 1943                      |
| (1 - width larger than 3µm; m-width between 1-3µm)                    |                                    |
| small Gephyrocapsa                                                    | (see Gartner, 1977)                |
| Helicosphaera carteri                                                 | (Wallich) Kamptner, 1954           |
| Helicosphaera hyalina                                                 | Gaarder, 1970                      |
| Helicosphaera neogranulata                                             | (Gartner) Chen, 1978               |
| Helicosphaera pavimentum                                              | Okada and McIntyre, 1977           |
| Helicosphaera wallichii                                               | (Lohmann) Okada and McIntyre, 1977 |
| Oolithus fragilis                                                     | (Lohmann) Okada and McIntyre, 1977 |
| Pontosphaera messinae                                                  | Bartolini, 1970                    |
| Pontosphaera multipora                                                | (Kamptner) Roth, 1970              |
| Syracosphaera lamina                                                  | Lecal-Schlauder, 1951              |
| Syracosphaera pulchra                                                 | Lohmann, 1902                      |
| Syracosphaera sp.                                                     |                                    |
| Thorasosphaera heimi                                                  | Lohmann, 1919                      |
| Thoracoaphera tuberosa                                                | Kamptner, 1963                     |
| Umbelloaphera irregularis                                             | Paasche, 1955                      |
| Umbelloaphera tenuis                                                  | (Kamptner) Paasche, 1955           |
| Umbilicosphaera hulbertiana                                           | Gaarder, 1970                      |
| Umbilicosphaera laxus                                                 | (Kamptner) Chen,1978               |
| Umbilicosphaera sibogae                                               | (Weber-van Bosse) Gaarder, 1970    |

Wang and Samtleben (1983) recorded 40 species of calcareous nannoplankton, while Zhang and Siesser (1986) identified 36 taxa in the continental shelf sediments. In this study, 33 indigenous species and groups were found (Table 3). In the actual counting, reworked specimens and unidentified fragments were also counted as separate entities. *E. huxleyi* is the most dominant species, and its relative abundance varies from 26% at Station E46 to 72.3% at Station E17 (Figure 4). *G. oceanica* is also abundant in the surface sediments of the East China Sea Shelf, with its abundance varying from 14.7% at Station E17 to 44.7% at Station E46 (Figure 4). These two species account for more than 70% of the total flora observed in the surface sediments. The distribution of the two taxa, however, is markedly different. *E. huxleyi* concentrates in the central area, whereas *G. oceanica* spreads around
the four corners of the studied area (Figure 4). Small *Gephyrocapsa* is more common in the southern part, while the larger form of *G. oceanica* is more abundant in the northeastern part of the studied area (Figure 4).

**Carbonate Content(%)**

*Fig. 3.* Areal distributions of carbonate content of (1) the whole sediment (top), (2) with grain-size larger than 50 µm (middle), (3) with grain-size smaller than 50 µm (bottom).
Fig. 4. Area distributions of relative abundance of four dominant calcareous nannoplankton species and groups.

5. NANNOFOSSILS FACTOR ANALYSIS

In order to gain an overview of coccolith distribution based on their relative abundances, the Q-mode factor analysis was applied. Ten species and groups (Table 4), with maximum abundances greater than 2% were studied. Three factors were chosen for this study. The distribution and characteristic species of these three factor loadings are shown in Figure 5 and are listed in Table 5.

Factor 1 is characterized by *Emiliania huxleyi* and small *Gephyrocapsa*. The former exists in both warm-water and cold-water ecophenotypes (McIntyre and Bé, 1967; Okada and Honjo, 1973, 1975; Roth and Berger, 1975; Roth and Coulbourne, 1982). Both types occur on the East China Sea continental shelf. The ratio of the warm-to cold-water variety is about 1:1 in the inner-shelf and 10:1 in the outer-shelf sediments, reflecting the influence of the China Coastal Current and Kuroshio Current, respectively (Zhang and Siesser, 1986). Gartner (1988) indicated that the dominant small *Gephyrocapsa* assemblage may imply an abundant nutrient content and a low temperature in the photic water column. Therefore, Factor 1 may represent a water mass admixture which was brought by the Kuroshio Current, China Coastal Current and the Taiwan Current. The high loadings of Factor 1 are located in the northwest, middle and the southeast of the studied area (Figure 5). In these areas, the coccoliths were transported and deposited by the currents.
Table 4. List of calcareous nannoplankton taxa and their relative abundance used for factor analysis.

|       | Emiliana huxleyi | Gephyrocapsa oceanica | small Gephyrocapsa productus | Dictyococites profunda |
|-------|------------------|-----------------------|----------------------------|------------------------|
| E1    | 46               | 39                    | 0.7                        | 0                      |
| E2    | 45.7             | 36.4                  | 0.7                        | 0                      |
| E3    | 55               | 30                    | 0.7                        | 0                      |
| E4    | 63.3             | 24.4                  | 0.7                        | 0.3                    | 0                      |
| E5    | 56.7             | 28.7                  | 1.7                        | 0.3                    | 0                      |
| E6    | 47               | 38                    | 2.7                        | 0.3                    | 0                      |
| E7    | 54.3             | 26.4                  | 1                          | 0.7                    | 0                      |
| E8    | 66.6             | 18                    | 2                          | 2                      | 0                      |
| E9    | 58.3             | 23.7                  | 2.3                        | 0.7                    | 0                      |
| E10   | 60               | 24.7                  | 3                          | 0                      | 0                      |
| E11   | 59.3             | 21                    | 0.3                        | 0.3                    | 0.7                    |
| E12   | 63               | 21                    | 2                          | 1                      | 0                      |
| E13   | 65.7             | 20                    | 0.7                        | 0                      | 0.7                    |
| E14   | 61.7             | 23.3                  | 1.3                        | 0.3                    | 1                      |
| E15   | 61.3             | 23.4                  | 0.3                        | 0                      | 1.3                    |
| E16   | 56.7             | 20.7                  | 1                          | 0                      | 4.3                    |
| E17   | 72.3             | 14.7                  | 0.7                        | 0                      | 1.7                    |
| E18   | 69               | 18.3                  | 1.3                        | 0                      | 0.7                    |
| E20   | 64               | 20.3                  | 1.3                        | 0.7                    | 0.3                    |
| E21   | 54               | 23                    | 1.7                        | 0                      | 4.3                    |
| E22   | 64.7             | 15                    | 0.3                        | 0.3                    | 4.7                    |
| E24   | 64               | 20                    | 1                          | 0.3                    | 0.7                    |
| E25   | 63.3             | 23                    | 1                          | 1                      | 0                      |
| E26   | 45               | 27.3                  | 0.7                        | 1                      | 4.3                    |
| E27   | 71.3             | 13.7                  | 0.3                        | 0.3                    | 0                      |
| E28   | 65               | 19                    | 1.3                        | 0                      | 0                      |
| E29   | 59.7             | 23.6                  | 0.7                        | 0.3                    | 0.7                    |
| E30   | 58.3             | 21.3                  | 0.3                        | 0                      | 3.7                    |
| E31   | 66               | 18.3                  | 0.7                        | 0                      | 2.3                    |
| E32   | 66.7             | 21.3                  | 0.3                        | 0.3                    | 1.7                    |
| E33   | 52               | 32                    | 0.3                        | 0                      | 4.3                    |
| E35   | 59.3             | 28.7                  | 0.3                        | 0                      | 2                      |
| E36   | 66.7             | 25.6                  | 0.7                        | 0                      | 0.7                    |
| E37   | 54.3             | 38.3                  | 1.7                        | 0                      | 1.7                    |
| E38   | 53.3             | 36                    | 1.3                        | 0                      | 0                      |
| E39   | 65.3             | 26                    | 0.7                        | 0                      | 1.3                    |
| E40   | 60               | 31                    | 1.3                        | 0.3                    | 1.3                    |
| E41   | 57               | 31.3                  | 0                          | 0.3                    | 2.3                    |
| E42   | 49               | 25.4                  | 1.7                        | 0                      | 1.7                    |
| E43   | 49.7             | 27.6                  | 0.3                        | 0                      | 0.7                    |
| E44   | 40               | 34.3                  | 0.3                        | 0                      | 1                      |
| E45   | 31.7             | 41.5                  | 0.7                        | 0.7                    | 0.7                    |
| E46   | 26               | 44.7                  | 0                          | 0                      | 1                      |
Table 4. (Continued)

|       | Helicosphaera carteri | Helicosphaera wallichii | Thoracosphaera heimi | Thoracosphaera tuberosa | Umbilicosphaera sibogae |
|-------|-----------------------|------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|
| E1    | 1                     | 1                      | 2                    | 0.7                     | 1.7                      |
| E2    | 0.3                   | 0                      | 0.7                  | 2                       | 1.7                      |
| E3    | 1                     | 0                      | 1                    | 0.7                     | 1.3                      |
| E4    | 1                     | 0.3                    | 0.3                  | 1                       | 1.3                      |
| E5    | 1                     | 0                      | 2                    | 2                       | 1.7                      |
| E6    | 1                     | 1                      | 1.7                  | 1                       | 1.3                      |
| E7    | 1.3                   | 1.7                    | 3.3                  | 3.7                     | 3                        |
| E8    | 1.6                   | 0.3                    | 1                    | 2                       | 2.6                      |
| E9    | 1                     | 1                      | 2                    | 0.7                     | 3                        |
| E10   | 0.7                   | 0.7                    | 2                    | 0.7                     | 3.3                      |
| E11   | 1.3                   | 1                      | 2                    | 2.7                     | 1                        |
| E12   | 1.7                   | 1.7                    | 1.3                  | 0.7                     | 1.7                      |
| E13   | 1                     | 0                      | 1.7                  | 0.3                     | 1.7                      |
| E14   | 1                     | 1.3                    | 0.7                  | 1                       | 1.3                      |
| E15   | 1                     | 0.7                    | 1                    | 1.7                     | 2.7                      |
| E16   | 0.7                   | 1                      | 2.3                  | 1.3                     | 1                        |
| E17   | 0.7                   | 0                      | 1.7                  | 1.7                     | 1.3                      |
| E18   | 0.3                   | 1                      | 0.7                  | 0.3                     | 1                        |
| E20   | 2.3                   | 0.7                    | 1.7                  | 0                       | 2.7                      |
| E21   | 2.7                   | 0.7                    | 1                    | 2.7                     | 1.3                      |
| E22   | 2                     | 0.3                    | 1.7                  | 0.3                     | 2.3                      |
| E24   | 1.3                   | 0.7                    | 1.3                  | 0.3                     | 2.3                      |
| E25   | 0.3                   | 0.3                    | 0.3                  | 0.7                     | 3                        |
| E26   | 3.7                   | 0.7                    | 4.7                  | 1.3                     | 5                        |
| E27   | 0.7                   | 0.3                    | 1.7                  | 0.3                     | 3                        |
| E28   | 2.3                   | 1                      | 1.7                  | 0.3                     | 2                        |
| E29   | 0.7                   | 0.7                    | 2.7                  | 1.7                     | 0.7                      |
| E30   | 1.7                   | 1.3                    | 1.3                  | 0.7                     | 2.7                      |
| E31   | 1                     | 0.7                    | 1.3                  | 2                       | 1.3                      |
| E32   | 1                     | 1                      | 0.3                  | 0.3                     | 1.3                      |
| E33   | 1.3                   | 0.7                    | 2.3                  | 2.7                     | 1                        |
| E35   | 1                     | 1.3                    | 1.7                  | 2.3                     | 0                        |
| E36   | 0.3                   | 0.7                    | 1.7                  | 0.7                     | 0.3                      |
| E37   | 0.3                   | 0.7                    | 0                    | 0.7                     | 0                        |
| E38   | 1                     | 0.7                    | 0.3                  | 0.7                     | 0.3                      |
| E39   | 0.7                   | 0                      | 1.3                  | 0.7                     | 1                        |
| E40   | 1.3                   | 0.3                    | 0.7                  | 0                       | 0.7                      |
| E41   | 1.3                   | 0                      | 1                    | 0                       | 2                        |
| E42   | 3                     | 3                      | 1                    | 1.7                     | 3                        |
| E43   | 1.7                   | 0.7                    | 3                    | 2                       | 4.3                      |
| E44   | 3                     | 2                      | 1.7                  | 0.7                     | 5                        |
| E45   | 3.9                   | 1                      | 6.9                  | 1.6                     | 2.6                      |
| E46   | 3.3                   | 2                      | 4.9                  | 3.6                     | 2                        |
Factor 2 is characterized by *E. huxleyi* and *F. profunda*. *F. profunda* is distributed from the shelf to the basin in the Pacific Ocean (Okada and Honjo, 1973). Its relative abundance increases gradually offshore and becomes very abundant at latitudes lower than 29°N (Tanaka, 1991). Both *E. huxleyi* and *F. profunda* are considered to be derived from
Table 5. Factor loadings of calcareous nannoplankton factor analysis of surface sediments in the East China Sea.

| Station No. | Factor 1   | Factor 2   | Factor 3   | Communality |
|-------------|------------|------------|------------|-------------|
| E1          | 0.5356     | 0.3218     | 0.6922     | 0.8696      |
| E2          | 0.4987     | 0.3585     | 0.5969     | 0.7335      |
| E3          | 0.599      | 0.5884     | 0.4331     | 0.8926      |
| E4          | 0.7948     | 0.4777     | 0.2796     | 0.9381      |
| E5          | 0.7442     | 0.3189     | 0.4867     | 0.8924      |
| E6          | 0.7388     | 0.0974     | 0.5726     | 0.8832      |
| E7          | 0.5406     | 0.3134     | 0.6578     | 0.8232      |
| E8          | 0.7559     | 0.2642     | 0.2839     | 0.7218      |
| E9          | 0.8483     | 0.2383     | 0.3971     | 0.9341      |
| E10         | 0.8318     | 0.2247     | 0.343      | 0.8600      |
| E11         | 0.4947     | 0.5617     | 0.5041     | 0.8144      |
| E12         | 0.8133     | 0.2773     | 0.3663     | 0.8725      |
| E13         | 0.7226     | 0.6162     | 0.1694     | 0.9305      |
| E14         | 0.7328     | 0.5186     | 0.3761     | 0.9474      |
| E15         | 0.5464     | 0.6532     | 0.4407     | 0.9194      |
| E16         | 0.2921     | 0.8162     | 0.3691     | 0.8877      |
| E17         | 0.5655     | 0.7621     | 0.1339     | 0.9185      |
| E18         | 0.7922     | 0.5488     | 0.0732     | 0.9341      |
| E19         | 0.7658     | 0.3868     | 0.3383     | 0.8505      |
| E20         | 0.2864     | 0.679      | 0.5403     | 0.8350      |
| E21         | 0.2642     | 0.8698     | 0.2344     | 0.8813      |
| E22         | 0.7684     | 0.5318     | 0.284      | 0.9539      |
| E23         | 0.8338     | 0.3451     | 0.2048     | 0.8563      |
| E24         | 0.2532     | 0.5237     | 0.659      | 0.7727      |
| E25         | 0.7328     | 0.5304     | 0.0606     | 0.8220      |
| E26         | 0.7431     | 0.4187     | 0.3435     | 0.8455      |
| E27         | 0.6306     | 0.5491     | 0.4295     | 0.8836      |
| E28         | 0.3142     | 0.7915     | 0.433      | 0.9127      |
| E29         | 0.4854     | 0.7792     | 0.3249     | 0.9483      |
| E30         | 0.6022     | 0.7232     | 0.2059     | 0.9281      |
| E31         | 0.1613     | 0.7491     | 0.5948     | 0.9410      |
| E32         | 0.3767     | 0.6638     | 0.5167     | 0.8495      |
| E33         | 0.7       | 0.5846     | 0.2149     | 0.8779      |
| E34         | 0.5924     | 0.4093     | 0.3935     | 0.6733      |
| E35         | 0.7144     | 0.2612     | 0.4748     | 0.8040      |
| E36         | 0.6545     | 0.669      | 0.2378     | 0.9325      |
| E37         | 0.0703     | 0.4918     | 0.3321     | 0.8524      |
| E38         | 0.4369     | 0.6757     | 0.3823     | 0.7936      |
| E39         | 0.4484     | 0.3714     | 0.6685     | 0.7859      |
| E40         | 0.4515     | 0.4239     | 0.6761     | 0.8407      |
| E41         | 0.3554     | 0.2843     | 0.7544     | 0.7763      |
| E42         | 0.2874     | 0.1667     | 0.8617     | 0.8529      |
| E43         | 0.1185     | 0.2152     | 0.9469     | 0.9570      |
| E44         | 15.7359    | 11.9649    | 9.4990     | 37.1998     |
| E45         | 36.5952    | 27.8253    | 22.0907    | 86.5112     |

Eigenvalue
Percentage of total variance
the Pacific Ocean by the Kuroshio Current and its branch from the western part of the studied area. This trend may be explained by the trapping of these two species by the loop current off the Changjiang River. But, the Factor 2 loading distribution shows a high value near the estuary and the central part of the studied area (Figure 5). It is reasonable to believe that Factor 2 represents an admixture of a branch of the Kuroshio Current and a loop current off the Changjiang River.

Factor 3 is characterized by *G. Oceanica* and *H. carteri*, and its loading distribution shows a very high value near the northeast corner (Figure 5). *G. Oceanica* is most abundant in the coastal areas of Japan, particularly off the Choshi-Hachinohe area and along the east coast of Kyushun, where its relative abundance is higher than 60%, and it has a tendency to decrease with increasing distance from the coast (Tanaka, 1991). Although this species has a wide distributional range throughout the studied area, it is more concentrated at the NE corner (more than 40%) (Figure 5). This high concentration of *G. oceanica* implies that it may have been discharged by the longshore current from Japan. Factor 3 represents an influence of current from Japan.

### 6. GRAIN SIZE DISTRIBUTION OF SURFACE SEDIMENTS

From the distribution pattern of grain-size frequency (Figure 6), these sediments may be subdivided into four groups. Patterns A and D show unimodal distributions, whereas Patterns B and C demonstrate bimodal distributions (Figure 7). The mode peak of Pattern A is located at $6\phi$ (16 µm) and $7\phi$ (8 µm) and is mainly distributed in the northern corner of the studied area. A large patch of muddy sediment extends through the Bohai Sea into the Yellow Sea and reaches the East China Sea (Lee and Chough, 1989). Smaller mud areas are present off the estuary of the Changjiang River extending southward along the Chinese coast, and on the central shelf of the East China Sea at the south of Cheju Island. The fine particles deposited within the upper corner of the study area with a common carbonate content (Figure 3) represent the loess derived from the Yellow Sea.

The bimodal patterns B and C (Figure 7) are different from each other in two aspects. The coarse fraction in Pattern B is finer than that in Pattern C, and the finer fraction (finer than 63 µm) contains more abundant portions than Pattern C. These two patterns are spread between Patterns A and D. The grain size of the surface sediment decreases from the northern part toward the southern part.

Although Patterns A and D show unimodal distributions, the size distribution is concentrated within 1.5$\phi$ (350 µm) and 2.5$\phi$ (177 µm) which is markedly different from Pattern A showing the higher modes in fine to medium sand classes (Figure 7). This coarse material in Pattern D is composed of either terrigenous fine to medium sand or planktonic foraminifera.

The size distribution of the carbonate sediment shows three bimodal patterns (Figure 8). The size of loess ranges from 4$\phi$ (63 µm) to 12$\phi$ (0.24 µm) within the northern corner in Figure 8. In the southern corner, these three parts of biogenic sediments occur together (Figure 8). The size fraction larger than -0.5$\phi$ (1.41 mm) consists of shell fragments which are believed to be relict sediments in general. The size fraction between 0$\phi$ (1 mm) and 4$\phi$ (63 µm) is mainly composed of foraminifera, and the size smaller than 4$\phi$ (63 µm) consists mainly of coccoliths.

The distributions of siliciclastic sand, silt and clay are shown in Figure 9. With the exception of the northern corner, the sand content in the surface sediment of the study area
is generally higher than 60%. The size distribution of this material also shows single and double modes (Figure 10). The single mode has its peak at the size class of $6\phi$ (16 $\mu$m) to $7\phi$ (8 $\mu$m), which may have been derived from the Yellow Sea. The coarse portion of the double mode pattern is quite abundant within two thirds of the study area. The sand size from $1\phi$ (500 $\mu$m) to $3\phi$ (125 $\mu$m) may have been not only transported from the
Fig. 7. Classification and geographic distribution of the grain-size frequency distribution of the whole sediment.

Fig. 8. Classification and geographic distribution of grain-size frequency distribution of the carbonate portions.
Changjian River but also may have been dispersed from Taiwan island by either the Taiwan Current in the west or the Kuroshio Current in the east.

7. CURRENT PATTERN ON THE EAST CHINA MIDDLE AND OUTER SHELVES

The floral assemblage of coccoliths and the grain-size distributions in the surface sediment are indicators of the sediment transport in suspension and bed load. The loess
Min-Pen Chen and Chao-Kai Huang

Noncarbonate grain size histogram classification

Fig. 10. Classification and geographic distribution of the grain-size frequency distribution of the clastic portion (non-carbonate sediment).

Fig. 11. Current pattern and their paths on the East China Sea based on the result of this study.
distribution indicates that material from the Huanghe may have been transported by the China Coastal Current from the Bohai and Yellow Seas to as far south as 31.5°N (Figure 10). Although most of the suspended sediment from the Changjiang River is deposited at the vicinity of the river mouth or was transported to the south, the particles dispersed eastward are taken up in the large gyre on the middle and outer shelves. The eastward transport occurs during high river flooding in the summer season (Yun et al., 1981). Landsat images show a marked turbid flow to the north northeast of the river mouth during that period (Yun et al., 1981). The gyre on the shelf consists of a branch of the Taiwan Current from the south and a branch of the Kuroshio Current from the southeast. These branches supply sediment from the west and north coast of Taiwan (Chen, et al., 1992). The sediment from the Pacific Ocean is entrapped in the center of the gyre.

The main branch of the Kuroshio Current passes the east side of the shelf break and flows into the Okinawa Trough. Another branch of the Kuroshio Current becomes the Tsushima Current of the Japan Sea. They separate at about 30.7°N between the Kuroshio and Tsushima Currents, the sediment is dispersed by the longshore current from the offshore area of the Japanese east coast (Figure 11).

Figure 11 gives the general circulation pattern with some seasonal variations. Dispersal along the bottom may differ from dispersal through the surface water. On the basis of the tides alone, Dong et al. (1989) calculated that a pattern of areas of divergence and convergence exists in the Yellow Sea with currents directed mainly southward. Cai (1982) indicated that the amount of Changjiang River suspended matter going eastward is very small because the concentration in the eastward flow is on the order of 6 mg/l while that of the southward flow is about 500 mg/l.

8. CONCLUSIONS

Analysis of the calcareous nannofossil assemblage, carbonate content and grain size distributions on the middle to outer shelves of the East China Sea middle indicates seven current paths: the Kuroshio Current, a branch of the Kuroshio, the Taiwan Current, the loop current off the Changjiang River, the China Coastal Current, the Tsushima Current and the longshore current from Japan. The grain-size distribution of sediment discharged from the Yellow Sea is characterized by a higher portion of fine sediment. The sediment along the shelf break is composed of coarse mollusca fragments and foraminifera. A bimodal distribution pattern occurs between those single mode areas indicating the admixture of loess, terrigenous material and biogenic matters.
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