Organic materials as photocatalysts for water splitting
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Solar water splitting offers a potential avenue for the production of clean and storable energy in the form of hydrogen. Semiconductors can be used as photocatalysts that enable the simultaneous production of hydrogen and oxygen from water via water splitting and in recent years inorganic semiconductor photocatalysts have been significantly improved in terms of their performance with organic semiconductors emerging as a potential alternative, though mostly studied for sacrificial half-reactions. Herein, we present recent developments in using organic semiconductors as photocatalysts highlighting their potential due to their synthetic tunability. We will particularly focus on their application in overall water splitting without using sacrificial reagents and suggest future directions in using these materials in large scale applications before concluding with suggestions for a wider community to focus research efforts on particular challenges in the field and opportunities that organic materials offer.

Introduction

The use of fossil fuels in energy generation and propulsion has resulted in the emission of large amounts of carbon dioxide.1 This has resulted in an increase of Earth’s surface and air temperature contributing to climate change.2 As the effects of climate change are becoming more and more apparent, our society has to make the transition to renewable energy sources that do not emit greenhouse gases. The conversion of wind, solar and other renewable energy sources is expected to deliver most of the useful electric energy in the future.3 However, a major problem with electric energy is its storage, which might be a particular problem for its mass use in propulsion.4 Another significant risk is the intermittency of wind and solar in energy generation; thus we will still require storable fuels to compensate for fluctuations in their use and availability. Hydrogen has been identified as a possible storable fuel that could overcome these limitations. Electricity can be used for water electrolysis generating hydrogen and oxygen gases.5 As an alternative to this, photoelectrochemical and photochemical processes directly split water using sunlight.6,7 In particular photochemical approaches are highly interesting as they allow for technologically simple solutions only requiring water and a photocatalyst to facilitate water splitting.8 Here we summarise recent developments in the area of organic photocatalysts, present the state-of-the-art in terms of their overall water splitting performance and highlight their potential for future large-scale applications.

Mechanism of photocatalytic overall water splitting

For the application of photocatalytic water splitting on a large scale, solar-to-hydrogen efficiencies of approximately 10% have been suggested to be required. This means that 10% of the solar incident light energy that reaches the catalyst surface is used to convert water into hydrogen gas.5 Even the current state-of-the-art systems are not close to achieving this as materials have to fulfill a range of requirements.7

For photocatalytic water splitting to occur a material is needed, which (i) absorbs visible light; (ii) has suitable band positions straddling the water oxidation and reduction potentials; (iii) is able to generate electron–hole pairs upon irradiation and suppresses recombination sufficiently; (iv) is able to separate electron–hole pairs and transport charge carriers to active
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**Fig. 1** (a) Diagram showing the reactions during water splitting on a semiconductor photocatalyst; (b) working principle of single component photocatalysts (left) and photocatalyst Z-schemes (right) for overall water splitting.
A wide range of metal-free reactions have also been used to make organic photocatalysts. Methods such as oxidative coupling reactions,\textsuperscript{20} imine condensation,\textsuperscript{22} Knoevenagel condensation\textsuperscript{21} and cyclotrimerization reactions\textsuperscript{24,25} yield polymeric products. Using these methods, materials can be obtained that are free from palladium or nickel impurities, which appear to act as co-catalysts (\textit{vida infra}).

Synthetic tools can be used in the coupling of a very large number of potential building blocks allowing the easy tuning of the properties of the materials. Furthermore, the materials are made from light and abundant elements thus potentially allowing these materials to be made cheaply on a large scale.

The first widely explored example of a photocatalytic polymer is carbon nitride, first reported in 2009.\textsuperscript{26} Carbon nitride (C\textsubscript{3}N\textsubscript{4}) is composed of carbon, nitrogen, and a small amount of hydrogen. The idealised C\textsubscript{3}N\textsubscript{4} structure consists of linked s-triazine or heptazine (tri-s-triazine) units although the exact structure is unknown and are likely to contain mixtures of these units and structural defects. The report showed that indeed an organic semiconductor could perform both half-reactions, though not simultaneously and additionally requiring sacrificial reagents to drive both processes. Following this report, a large number of follow-up studies reported many variants of carbon nitriles for sacrificial half-reactions.\textsuperscript{26-33} Materials such as polymeric carbon nitride can archive high apparent quantum yields (AQYs, defined as percentage of electrons used for the generation of hydrogen per incident photons). For example, carbon nitride has been reported with improved AQYs after post-synthesis calcination the presence of eutectic salts. This resulted in an AQY as high as 60% at 420 nm from aqueous 3 wt% NaCl solution, in the presence of TEOA as the sacrificial donor.\textsuperscript{11}

Covalent triazine-based frameworks (CTFs) are related to carbon nitrides regarding their high nitrogen content but are (unlike carbon nitrides) accessible using low temperatures using acid-catalysed trimerisation reactions or Suzuki–Miyaura type polycondensation reactions.\textsuperscript{32} CTFs are able to facilitate photocatalytic hydrogen evolution from water, with platinum co-catalysts and in the presence of sacrificial agents. A large number of structurally diverse CTFs have been reported to be active\textsuperscript{24,33} with quantum yields as high as 15.9% at 420 nm under sacrificial conditions.

Conjugated microporous polymers (CMPs) were reported as a new class of porous materials by the Cooper group in 2007.\textsuperscript{44} The same group reported a series of conjugated microporous polymers (CMPs) in 2015, where the optical gap was tuned by changing the proportions of phenyl and pyrene building blocks. Specific monomer compositions give polymers that are robust and effective photocatalysts for the evolution of hydrogen from water in the presence of TEA as a sacrificial electron donor, without a post-loading metal cocatalyst.\textsuperscript{25} The photocatalytic activity has been improved by using different building blocks in CMPs, such as benzothiadiazole,\textsuperscript{46} 3,8-dibromophenanthroline,\textsuperscript{37} dibenz[b,d]thiophene sulfone,\textsuperscript{38} and 2,1,3-benzothiadiazole (Fig. 2).\textsuperscript{39}

Covalent organic frameworks (COFs) are porous materials composed of organic molecules linked by covalent bonds and

Development of polymeric photocatalysts for hydrogen evolution

By contrast organic semiconductors have been far less studied for water splitting compared to inorganic semiconductors even though they are potentially very interesting candidates given their tunability using a wide range of synthetic approaches: palladium-catalysed cross coupling reactions, such as Suzuki–Miyaura,\textsuperscript{17,28} Stille\textsuperscript{49} and Sonogashira reactions,\textsuperscript{39} have been widely used to make photocatalysts, but all require functionalised monomers bearing two different functional groups (e.g. boronic acids and bromine compounds in the case of Suzuki–Miyaura coupling). Kumada coupling reactions also require two different functional groups for the coupling reaction to take place; however, the required Grignard functional group can be generated \textit{in situ} from multi-halide bearing compounds.\textsuperscript{17} Yamamoto coupling reactions require stoichiometric amounts of nickel(0), but couple compounds bearing multiple halides without further functionalisation.\textsuperscript{21}

A wide range of metal-free reactions have also been used to make organic photocatalysts. Methods such as oxidative coupling reactions,\textsuperscript{20} imine condensation,\textsuperscript{22} Knoevenagel condensation\textsuperscript{21} and cyclotrimerization reactions\textsuperscript{24,25} yield polymeric products. Using these methods, materials can be obtained that are free from palladium or nickel impurities, which appear to act as co-catalysts (\textit{vida infra}).

Synthetic tools can be used in the coupling of a very large number of potential building blocks allowing the easy tuning of the properties of the materials. Furthermore, the materials are made from light and abundant elements thus potentially allowing these materials to be made cheaply on a large scale.

The first widely explored example of a photocatalytic polymer is carbon nitride, first reported in 2009.\textsuperscript{26} Carbon nitride (C\textsubscript{3}N\textsubscript{4}) is composed of carbon, nitrogen, and a small amount of hydrogen. The idealised C\textsubscript{3}N\textsubscript{4} structure consists of linked s-triazine or heptazine (tri-s-triazine) units although the exact structure is unknown and are likely to contain mixtures of these units and structural defects. The report showed that indeed an organic semiconductor could perform both half-reactions, though not simultaneously and additionally requiring sacrificial reagents to drive both processes. Following this report, a large number of follow-up studies reported many variants of carbon nitriles for sacrificial half-reactions.\textsuperscript{26-33} Materials such as polymeric carbon nitride can archive high apparent quantum yields (AQYs, defined as percentage of electrons used for the generation of hydrogen per incident photons). For example, carbon nitride has been reported with improved AQYs after post-synthesis calcination the presence of eutectic salts. This resulted in an AQY as high as 60% at 420 nm from aqueous 3 wt% NaCl solution, in the presence of TEOA as the sacrificial donor.\textsuperscript{11}

Covalent triazine-based frameworks (CTFs) are related to carbon nitrides regarding their high nitrogen content but are (unlike carbon nitrides) accessible using low temperatures using acid-catalysed trimerisation reactions or Suzuki–Miyaura type polycondensation reactions.\textsuperscript{32} CTFs are able to facilitate photocatalytic hydrogen evolution from water, with platinum co-catalysts and in the presence of sacrificial agents. A large number of structurally diverse CTFs have been reported to be active\textsuperscript{24,33} with quantum yields as high as 15.9% at 420 nm under sacrificial conditions.

Conjugated microporous polymers (CMPs) were reported as a new class of porous materials by the Cooper group in 2007.\textsuperscript{44} The same group reported a series of conjugated microporous polymers (CMPs) in 2015, where the optical gap was tuned by changing the proportions of phenyl and pyrene building blocks. Specific monomer compositions give polymers that are robust and effective photocatalysts for the evolution of hydrogen from water in the presence of TEA as a sacrificial electron donor, without a post-loading metal cocatalyst.\textsuperscript{25} The photocatalytic activity has been improved by using different building blocks in CMPs, such as benzothiadiazole,\textsuperscript{46} 3,8-dibromophenanthroline,\textsuperscript{37} dibenz[b,d]thiophene sulfone,\textsuperscript{38} and 2,1,3-benzothiadiazole (Fig. 2).\textsuperscript{39}

Covalent organic frameworks (COFs) are porous materials composed of organic molecules linked by covalent bonds and
they show a higher degree of crystallinity compared to carbon nitrides and CTFs. The first photocatalytically active COF, a hydrazone-based material (TFPT-COF), was reported by the Lotts group in 2014 to perform photocatalytic hydrogen evolution under visible light irradiation in the presence of triethanolamine (TEOA) as the sacrificial agent and loaded with platinum acting as the co-catalyst.49 The same research group subsequently reported a series of 2D azine COFs with an impressive photocatalytic HER of up to 1.7 mmol g\(^{-1}\) h\(^{-1}\).47 In 2018, a crystalline COF, FS-COF, based on a benzo-

**Fig. 2** Classes of organic materials that have been studied as photocatalysts for water splitting: CMPs: conjugated microporous polymers; CTFs: covalent triazine-based frameworks, COFs: covalent organic frameworks; HOFs: hydrogen-bonded organic frameworks.

Heterojunctions of donor polymers with organic acceptors have also been developed to facilitate intramolecular charge transfer and transport to boost the photocatalytic performance. McCulloch’s group has reported a core–shell structure for an intermixed donor/acceptor blend with an extremely high photocatalytic activity for hydrogen evolution of 60 mmol h\(^{-1}\) g\(^{-1}\).44 Later on, a higher hydrogen evolution rate of 105 mmol h\(^{-1}\) g\(^{-1}\) under visible light was reported using other conjugated polymer donors and fullerene molecular acceptors in nanohybrid systems.45 Systems consisting of two or three different conjugated polymer photocatalysts have also been shown to be efficient for sacrificial hydrogen production by providing an energy offset for charge transfer.

Hydrogen-bonded organic frameworks have also been shown to be active recently.46 The highly ordered α-phase of tetra(4-

**Water oxidation with conjugated materials**

Water oxidation remains a significant challenge for organic materials due to the required low lying HOMO levels to facilitate the process and the kinetic barrier that has to be overcome.44 While oxygen itself has little value, it is an important step forward towards developing photocatalysts for overall water
splitting. So far only a few examples have been presented that facilitate water oxidation using organic photocatalysts, most requiring a metal co-catalyst to overcome the kinetic barrier of the process and the short lifetime of the holes.

Most reports so far have used either CoOx or RuOx co-catalysts, which are deposited onto the photocatalyst using impregnation, photodeposition or calcination methods. Alternatively, the co-catalyst can be preformed and then coated onto the photocatalyst by ultrasonication. Co(OH)2 can also be formed in the presence of a photocatalyst by alkaline treatment of water-soluble cobalt(s) salts.

In particular, carbon nitrides have been studied for photocatalytic water oxidation with the first report by Xinchen Wang in 2009 showing low, but detectable, levels of oxygen evolution using silver nitride as the electron scavenger and in the presence of RuO2 acting as the catalyst. The relatively wide bandgap with low-lying HOMO levels enables oxygen production and since this initial report the activity of carbon nitrides has been significantly improved by various approaches.

CTFs are materials that are similar to carbon nitrides with respect to their high nitrogen content and low-lying HOMO levels. The fact that meta-links are present in this material class due to the triazine core also reduces the HOMO levels together with the electronegativity of the nitrogen atoms. CTFs have been shown to be active for sacrificial oxygen production after loading with RuO2 (ref. 74 and 75) with systems reaching rates of up to 140 μmol h−1 g−1. Aza-CMP nanosheets, the condensation product of benzenetetramine and hexaketocyclohexane, have been reported to show activity for oxygen evolution from water in the presence of FeCl3 without additional metal catalysts. Similar to other materials reported for oxygen evolution, it is nitrogen-rich and has meta-linkages resulting in the required deep HOMO levels. The authors suggest a molecular mechanism with hole accumulation occurring on one carbon atom based on computational predictions, but without further experimental evidence. Similar to other reported photocatalysts, adding cobalt as a co-catalyst has been reported to improve the performance for photocatalytic O2 evolution up to 14.3 μmol h−1 under visible light. Similarly, a crystalline perylene imide polymer has been reported to facilitate sacrificial oxygen evolution without additional co-catalysts under visible light with an oxygen evolution rate of 3223.9 μmol g−1 h−1. No possible mechanism for the hole transfer was suggested and it is unclear how water oxidation occurs using this material. Nano-sized poly(diphenylbutadiyne) has been reported to show photocatalytic activity for water oxidation in the absence of any co-catalyst or sacrificial agents suggesting the oxygen reduction reaction to be responsible for balancing the charges generated by the system.

A bipyridine-based covalent organic framework coordinating with Co2+ has been reported for photocatalytic water oxidation with an oxygen evolution rate of 152 μmol g−1 h−1 under sacrificial conditions. The co-catalyst was found to be crucial, and no activity was found in the absence of co-catalysts.

Linear conjugated organic polymer photocatalysts were also found to be active for sacrificial water oxidation. Materials that lack overpotential for water oxidation, such as poly(p-phenylene) and poly(thiophene), showed no activity, while a range of nitrogen containing materials were found to have oxygen evolution rates between 0.2 and 4.9 μmol h−1 under broad band irradiation. The most active material in this study was the homopolymer of dibenzothiophene sulfone with a rate of 16.6 μmol h−1 under broad band irradiation and a rate of 5.2 μmol h−1 under visible light. The co-catalysts were loaded onto the materials by photodeposition and found to be crucial with CoOx giving the highest performance. Transient absorption spectroscopy showed that oxidative quenching occurs at very early timescales (~0.5 ps) suggesting, together with the changes in the ground state UV/vis absorption spectra, a degree of pre-association between the electron scavenger (silver nitride) and the polymer.

Fully planarised nitrogen-containing conjugated ladder polymers have recently been reported to produce oxygen from water under visible light irradiation with an OER of 7.16 and 1.83 μmol h−1, using AgNO3 as the electron scavenger and La2O3 as the pH buffering agent.

Overall, only a few reports with relatively low rates when compared to hydrogen production catalysts have been reported; however, the development of these systems is a critical step forward to obtain fully organic photocatalysts for overall water splitting.

Potential stability challenges arise for conjugated materials from the production of oxygen: it is well known that many organic materials are not stable towards reactive oxygen species. This can result in structural changes, such as keto-defect formation in polyfluorenes, addition reactions that break the conjugation in benzodithiophene units in the polymer chain or even the breakdown of the polymer chain as demonstrated for poly[2-methoxy-5-(2’-ethylhexyloxy)-p-phenylene vinylene].

The photo-oxidation of organic materials can be suppressed by the addition of reactive oxygen species scavengers such as nickel(n) dibutyldithiocarbamate. The addition of this nickel complex has been shown to enhance the stability of organic photocatalysts for sacrificial hydrogen production. Alternatively, the addition of electron acceptors (such as C60 and derivatives) can be a potential strategy to enhance the stability of conjugated materials. This allows for faster electron transfer allowing the materials to be de-excited from highly reactive excited states. The use of blends of acceptor molecules and polymer photocatalysts has already been demonstrated for sacrificial hydrogen production and further studies are needed to explore whether this also results in higher overall stability towards oxidation.

**Organic materials for overall water splitting**

In contrast to the photocatalytic hydrogen production half-reaction that has been extensively studied, there are only a few examples of overall water splitting with organic materials. Z-Schemes allow the coupling of an organic photocatalyst that performs hydrogen production with an inorganic
semiconductor to perform overall water splitting by balancing charges through a redox mediator (Table 1).

Carbon nitride was the first to be reported in Z-schemes with WO3 or BiVO4, acting as the oxygen evolution photocatalyst, in the presence of a redox mediator system (I−/IO3−). These systems show reproducible H2 and O2 evolution (21.2 and 11.0 μmol h−1 g−1, respectively) from water under visible light for more than 24 hours (Fig. 3a). The Z-scheme concept could also be transferred to linear conjugated polymers, such as the homopolymer of dibenzo[b,d]thiophene sulfone (P10, Fig. 3c).

An optimised system consisting of P10 and BiVO4 produced stoichiometric amounts of hydrogen and oxygen with rates of 5 and 2.7 μmol h−1 under visible light irradiation and 0.1 bar. The system was able to reach equilibrium using either FeCl3 or FeCl2; however, when using FeCl3 stoichiometric water splitting was only achieved after 10 hours equilibrium. Transient absorption spectroscopy showed that water oxidation with BiVO4 is the kinetic bottleneck of the system.

Z-schemes with mediators have clearly demonstrated that lessons learnt by studying organic materials for hydrogen production translate into overall water splitting systems. However, the fact that mediators have to perform redox reactions at the interface with both photocatalysts reduces the kinetics of the overall systems and sacrificial light absorption by coloured mediators can cause issues.

As a consequence, solid-state electron mediators, such as reduced graphene oxide (rGO) nanosheets, have also since been used in Z-scheme type systems with a PCN/rGO/Fe2O3 ternary

| Photocatalyst | OEP (co-catalyst) | Amount of | Redox | Light source |
|---------------|------------------|-----------|-------|--------------|
|               |                  | HEP (mg)  | mediator |                |
| C3N4 (3 wt% Pt) | BiVO4            | 1 : 1     | NA     | FeCl2       |
| C3N4 (3 wt% Pt) | WO3              | 1 : 1     | NA     | NaI         |
| C3N4 (1 wt% Pt) | OEP              | 100 : 26  | 200    | rGO         |
| C2N nanosheets | Aza-CMP nanosheet | 1 : 1     | 25     | rGO         |
| 2D C3N4 (3% Pt) | α-Fe2O3 (0.1% RuO2) | NA  | NA     | NA          |
| PCN           | Fe2O3            | 1 : 10    | 20     | rGO         |
| BDCNN330 (Pt) | BDCNN425 (Co(OH)2) | 1 : 1     | 20     | NA          |
| P10           | BiVO4            | 4 : 50    | 4      | FeCl2       |

Table 1 Summary of materials for Z-schematic overall water splitting

![Fig. 3](https://example.com/fig3.png)

Overall water splitting Z-scheme systems: (a) carbon nitride (C3N4) and BiVO4 or WO3 using a redox mediator; (b) nitrogen-deficient (CNN) and boron-doped (BDCNN) carbon nitride two-dimensional nanosheet heterostructure; (c) linear conjugated polymer P10 coupled with BiVO4 using a redox mediator; (d) a conjugated microporous polymer material coupled with a carbon nitride analogue (C2N) [figure adapted with permission from ref. 51]. Copyright 2018 Wiley-VCH.
heterojunction composite achieving efficient overall water splitting with the rates of 43.6 and 21.2 μmol h⁻¹ under visible light (λ > 400 nm). In these systems, no redox chemistry occurs on the rGO, but it rather acts as an electron conductor. As an example of an all-organic system, carbon nitride doped with nitrogen and boron and loaded with Co(OH)₂ was used as the oxygen evolution photocatalyst in conjunction with platinum-loaded carbon nitride as the hydrogen evolution catalyst. The platinum was loaded onto the material via photodeposition of H₂PtCl₆ in the presence of methanol before loading with Co(OH)₂ by treating a suspension of the composite and Co(NO₃)₂ with ammonia. The authors suggest that electrostatic interactions facilitate the self-assembly of both components and that the interface between the components facilitate charge separation. Overall water splitting rates under visible-light irradiation (λ > 420 nm) of 24.55 (H₂) and 12.17 (O₂) μmol h⁻¹ were reported. A solar-to-hydrogen efficiency of 1.16% was determined by the authors, which is close to the highest solar-to-hydrogen efficiencies reported for inorganic photocatalysts (Fig. 3b). A composite of aza-fused microporous polymers and C₇N nanosheets acting as O₂ and H₂ evolution photocatalysts has also been reported to facilitate overall water splitting with a rate of 22.5 μmol h⁻¹ (H₂, under visible light irradiation) (Fig. 3d). The report suggests that the system is able to perform overall water splitting without additional co-catalysts.

Systems that do not rely on two separate semiconductors to facilitate overall water splitting have also been reported (Table 2): a nanocomposite of carbon dots and carbon nitride was reported in 2015 with rates of 8.4 (H₂) and 4.1 (O₂) μmol h⁻¹ under visible light irradiation and an overall solar energy conversion efficiency of 2.0%. The authors suggest that photocatalytic hydrogen and hydrogen peroxide formation occurs simultaneously via a two electron mechanism, rather than a four electron mechanism that is required when involving water oxidation. The hydrogen peroxide is then decomposed by the carbon dots into hydrogen and oxygen before it can cause damage to the photocatalyst. The mechanism of this composite has also been studied in the following work. However, more work appears to be necessary to confirm its reproducibility and we note that no independent follow-up studies have been reported to date.

An alternative strategy is to optimize co-catalyst system overall water splitting in pure water without using sacrificial reagents. Rates of 1.2 and 0.6 μmol h⁻¹ were measured experimentally under visible light irradiation and the system was shown to be stable for up to 510 hours. Higher photocatalytic activities were achieved for carbon nitride modified with CoPd and Pt as co-catalysts (rates were reported to be 2.1 and 1 μmol h⁻¹ under visible light). Single crystalline carbon nitride has been very recently reported with even higher activities for overall water splitting by Xinchen Wang’s group. Polytriazine imide was synthesised with additional lithium chloride and potassium chloride to improve crystallinity and loaded via photodeposition with Pt/Co showing an external quantum efficiency of 8% under a monochromatic light source of 365 nm for overall water splitting (Fig. 4c). CTFs have also been shown to be able to facilitate overall water splitting when loaded with appropriate co-catalysts and stoichiometric H₂ and O₂ evolution from water was achieved for a NiP₃ and Pt loaded CTF (Fig. 4b). The preformed NiP₃ was simply mixed with the CFT, which was further loaded with Pt via photodeposition. We expect that this approach is transferable to a range of other organic material classes with appropriate band alignments and expect more reports in this area. In 2017, two 1,3-diyne-linked CMPS (PTEPB and PTEB, Fig. 4d) were reported to facilitate overall water splitting as single component systems without additional co-catalysts. The reported activity is remarkable with a rate of 218 μmol h⁻¹ g⁻¹ for hydrogen production from water splitting under visible light irradiation. Furthermore, an apparent quantum efficiency as high as 10% at 420 nm and a STH of 0.6% are reported. The authors suggest a molecular mechanism that involves the formation of reactive intermediates via addition and elimination reactions; however, it is only supported by computational studies.

**Large-scale applications and challenges**

Given the large amounts of energy that we use, it is clear that scale-up has to be considered when developing materials for water splitting. The translation into large-scale applications is one of the biggest challenges for overall water splitting systems given that systems are in most cases tested on a small laboratory scale in suspension. Using sheets rather than suspensions avoids the use of agitation that is required in order to prevent...
the photocatalysts from settling and become inactive as they are no longer exposed to light. However, others have suggested systems that would use particulate catalysts in a circular system. Excellent work by Kazunari Domen’s group has shown that it is possible to fabricate sheets of multicomponent systems and large scale panels of single component photocatalysts that can be produced on a larger scale using screen printing (Fig. 5c).

Organic systems have not been studied on a larger scale for overall water splitting. This is perhaps not surprising given that these systems have only been explored over the last ten years. However, systems that study sacrificial half-reactions have been
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**Fig. 4**  Single excitation water splitting materials: (a) carbon dots – C$_3$N$_4$; (b) crystalline and hydrophilic CTF-HUST-A1 obtained by depositing dual co-catalysts of NiO$_x$ and Pt [figure adapted with permission from ref. 114. Copyright 2020 Wiley-VCH]; (c) structure of PTI/Li$^+$Cl$^-$ crystal materials; (d) diyne-linked CMP materials.

**Fig. 5**  Large scale water splitting approaches: (a) photograph of a large-scale system for photocatalytic hydrogen production working under natural sunlight using an immobilized Pt@mpg-CN catalyst [figure adapted with permission from ref. 101. Copyright 2015 Wiley-VCH]; (b) structures of soluble polymers and the side view of the photoreactor set-up with a reflective panel and polymer-coated glass fibers submerged in 5 vol% TEA and connected to a hydrogen collection vessel (right) [adapted from ref. 104 with permission from the Royal Society of Chemistry]; (c) overall water splitting panels containing SrTiO$_3$:Al sheets [reprinted from Joule, vol. 2, Y. Goto et al., A Particulate Photocatalyst Water-Splitting Panel for Large-Scale Solar Hydrogen Generation, 509–520, Copyright (2018), with permission from Elsevier].
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reported, such as a demonstrator device that uses immobilised carbon nitride loaded with platinum and facilitates hydrogen production in the presence of a hole scavenger (Fig. 5a).\textsuperscript{101} Particularly exciting is the prospect of polymer photocatalysts that are soluble in organic solvents as this offers excellent opportunities for solution processing, such as large-scale printing on flexible substrates using established techniques such as roll-to-roll printing.\textsuperscript{102} Solution-processable polymer photocatalysts have shown that they retain activity for sacrificial hydrogen production when cast onto flat supports\textsuperscript{103} and onto fibres,\textsuperscript{104} allowing for hydrogen production on a larger scale (Fig. 5b).

To obtain such systems, further work is required to develop solution processable systems that facilitate overall water splitting with appropriate co-catalysts or two component systems consisting of hydrogen and oxygen production polymers.

Conclusions and outlook

Overall, it is very clear that polymeric materials have significant potential as overall water splitting photocatalysts as outlined in this highlight. The fact that these materials are easily tunable by structural modification and that they are made from earth abundant elements makes them ideal candidates for large scale applications.

It is also clear that more research needs to be conducted to explore overall water splitting rather than sacrificial hydrogen production from water alone. Having said this, there is still value in exploring new concepts under sacrificial conditions to transition these towards overall water splitting later on as has been demonstrated for a few organic systems already.

The water oxidation reaction still remains a significant challenge for organic photocatalysts and only a few examples have been reported so far. This area needs much more attention than it currently has to generate new ideas and materials. The small number of materials reported in most studies, together with the fact that silver nitride results in the passivation of the photocatalyst surface by depositing elementary silver, makes it very difficult to establish structure–property relationships and to make statements about the potential stability of the systems.

New materials are needed whose optical absorption matches the solar spectrum better, while maintaining suitable HOMO levels for water oxidation, to bring the performance of the material closer to the required STH of 10%.\textsuperscript{9}

Based on the literature, it appears that there is no clear consensus in the community whether co-catalysts are required. It seems that the metal co-catalyst plays a very important role; however, hydrogen and oxygen production, as well as overall water splitting via metal-free routes, has been reported. It is clear that a lot more work has to be done in this area to understand these systems and either focus on systems that facilitate water splitting without metal co-catalysts [if this is found to be indeed reproducible] or understand the exact role of precious co-catalysts and explore alternative non-precious co-catalysts for scale-up in the future [if co-catalysts are found to be essential].

Generally speaking, much more understanding of organic polymer overall water splitting systems is needed to guide the approaches used by synthetic chemists. For this, a range of spectroscopic and other methods are available that have been used already fairly extensively on sacrificial systems.\textsuperscript{105}

Exciton separation also seems to be limiting in overall water splitting systems lowering the overall performance as suggested by many reports. To overcome this issue, new concepts are needed, such as the combination of multiple components to combine photocatalysts to drive both half reactions and facilitate efficient electron transfer. For example, using stacks of molecular crystals or COFs or confining a secondary phase such as a soluble conjugated polymer in the pore structure of a CMP are possible approaches.

Balancing of the surface reaction rates between either two components or a single component system for hydrogen and oxygen production is also important to achieve high STHs and also to ensure stable stoichiometric water splitting allowing access to charge carriers that could lead to side-reactions and be damaging to the system. Given the sluggish kinetics of water oxidation, this again appears to be a significant challenge for organic materials and systematic studies into co-catalysts for water oxidation are needed; for example plasmonic systems have not been explored to date.\textsuperscript{106}

A recent demonstration of efforts employed for the screening of photocatalyst activity illustrates data-driven approaches that\textsuperscript{107} could play an important role in streamlining the search for new materials. These are further augmented by machine-learning driven automated laboratories that can not only synthesize, but also rapidly determine HERs and OERs as well as mechanisms therein, but require innovation in rapid experimental testing procedures, multi-objective optimisation, including in situ measurements and embedded physics and chemistry knowledge. Such efforts are expected to significantly accelerate the search for new organic photocatalysts.

Furthermore, predictive approaches have been underutilised and only a few studies have been reported that make suggestions for potential systems that could be used for overall water splitting.\textsuperscript{108,109} One potential issue is that the exact mechanism is not well understood making it hard to suggest synthetic targets. Another issue is the fact that often other factors that are inherently difficult or impossible to predict, such as wettability or particle size, are found to be significant contributors to the overall photocatalytic performance.\textsuperscript{108,111}

Going forward, solution-processable materials offer huge potential for large-scale applications as cheap and scalable printing methods can be used; however, no examples have been reported so far. Organic materials might also offer potential advantages, such as an increased surface area by swelling in contact with water thus allowing access to a larger number of active sites compared to inorganic semiconductors.\textsuperscript{104} Supports and designs for large scale panel systems also require further research. For example, transparent substrates with polymer films could be used to harvest more sunlight as deeper layers will be able to use photons that have passed through the top layer, a concept that has been demonstrated for stacks of polymer photocatalysts on glass substrates.\textsuperscript{104}
As far as we can see there have been few follow-up studies on the reported organic systems for overall water splitting. To enable this in the future, clear and detailed experimental procedures that allow for the reproduction of materials are needed. This goes in hand-in-hand with the standardisation of measurements that will be of importance to guide the field towards more efficient overall water splitting systems.\textsuperscript{10,11}\textsuperscript{11} Solar-to-hydrogen efficiencies using solar simulator light sources have to be reported with the measurement conditions that were used (e.g. pressure, catalyst concentration, and set-up type). Ultimately, standardised efficiency accreditation\textsuperscript{12} similar to the existing accreditation of solar cells\textsuperscript{11} is needed for reliable and reproducible results for overall water splitting systems. Finally, beyond lab-scale studies much more work is needed to explore scale-up and testing under real-world conditions in terms of light intensity, pressure and longer-term stability. Only then we will be able to ascertain the true potential of polymer photocatalysts for overall water splitting.
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