Supersaturation of Dissolved Hydrogen and Methane in Rumen of Tibetan Sheep
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Hydrogen (H2) is an essential substrate for methanogens to produce methane (CH4), and also influences pathways of volatile fatty acids (VFA) production in the rumen. Dissolved H2 (H2 (aq)) is the form of H2 available to microbes, and dissolved CH4 (CH4 (aq)) is important for indicating methanogens activity. Rumen H2 (aq) concentration has been estimated by assuming equilibrium with headspace gaseous H2 (H2 (g)) concentration using Henry's law, and has also been directly measured in the liquid phase in some in vitro and in vivo experiments. In this in vivo study, H2 (aq) and CH4 (aq) concentration measured directly in rumen fluid and their corresponding concentrations estimated from their gaseous phase concentrations, were compared to investigate the existence of equilibrium between the gas and liquid phases. Twenty-four Tibetan sheep were randomly assigned to two mixed diets containing the same concentrate mixed with oat grass (OG diet) or barley straw (BS diet). Rumen gaseous phase and contents were sampled using rumenocentesis and oral stomach tubing, respectively. Rumen H2 (aq) and CH4 (aq) concentration and VFA profile differed between sheep fed OG and BS diets. Measured H2 (aq) and CH4 (aq) concentration were greater than H2 (aq) and CH4 (aq) concentrations estimated using gas concentrations, indicating lack of equilibrium between gas and liquid phase and supersaturation of H2 and CH4 in rumen fluid. As a consequence, Gibbs energy changes (ΔG) estimated for various metabolic pathways were different when calculated using dissolved gases concentrations directly measured and when using dissolved gases concentrations assuming equilibrium with the gaseous phase. Dissolved CH4, but not CH4 (g), was positively correlated with H2 (aq). Both H2 (aq) and H2 (g) concentrations were positively correlated with the molar percentage of butyrate and negatively correlated with the molar percentage of acetate. In summary, rumen fluid was supersaturated with both H2 and CH4, and H2 (aq) was closely associated with the VFA profile and CH4 (aq) concentration. The assumption of equilibrium between dissolved gases and gaseous phase affected ΔG estimation.
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INTRODUCTION

Carbohydrates are mainly degraded through glycolysis to phosphoenolpyruvate and pyruvate in the rumen. Glycolysis and pyruvate oxidative decarboxylation to acetyl-CoA result in the release of reducing equivalents, which can eventually be transferred to protons, forming hydrogen (H₂). Hydrogen must be removed to facilitate rumen fermentation of feed to produce volatile fatty acids (VFA) (Russell and Wallace, 1997; McAllister and Newbold, 2008). Methane (CH₄) production by methanogenic archaea is the main electron sink in the rumen. However, the production of propionate and butyrate competes with methanogenesis for reducing equivalents, as the metabolism of glucose to propionate and to butyrate incorporates reducing equivalents or results in less reducing equivalents released per mol of hexose fermented compared to acetate production, respectively (Ellis et al., 2008; Janssen, 2010). Furthermore, Gibbs energy changes (ΔG) of CH₄ and VFA production are largely controlled by H₂ concentration (Janssen, 2010).

Concentration of dissolved H₂ (H₂(aq)) in the rumen is central to the thermodynamics of H₂-producing and H₂-utilizing reactions (Janssen, 2010). Hackmann (2013) reported that for several ecosystems there were large differences between ΔG of biochemical pathways calculated using dissolved gases concentrations directly measured and using dissolved gases concentration estimated by assuming equilibrium between the liquid and gas phases. In a recent meta-analysis, the assumption of equilibrium between H₂(aq) and H₂(g) likely underestimated the thermodynamic feasibility of H₂-incorporating pathways of in vitro rumen fermentation (Ungerfeld, 2015a). Dissolved H₂ concentration has been directly measured in a few experiments with rumen in vitro cultures (Hungate, 1967; Wang et al., 2014) and in the rumen in vivo (Robinson et al., 1981; Wang et al., 2016). It would be important to compare in the same experiment H₂(aq) concentration directly measured in the rumen with its estimation assuming equilibrium between H₂(aq) and H₂(g), to understand how the assumption of equilibrium between H₂(aq) and H₂(g) could affect the estimation of ΔG in the rumen.

Hydrogen is produced as H₂(aq) and then evolves to the H₂(g) pool (Wang et al., 2013). The hypothesis for the present study was that rumen fluid is supersaturated in H₂ and CH₄ with respect to the gas phase of the rumen. Based on Hackmann (2013), we define supersaturation as a physical stage at which the concentration of a dissolved gas is above the expected concentration that would result from equilibrium with its gaseous phase. We investigated the relationship between gaseous and dissolved H₂ and CH₄ in the rumen of growing Tibetan sheep fed two different diets. Implications with regard to estimated ΔG of different rumen pathways is analyzed and discussed.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Animal and Diets

This study was carried out in accordance with the recommendations of regulations of the Administration of Affairs Concerning Experimental Animals, the State Science and Technology Commission of P. R. China. The protocol was approved by the Laboratory Animal Ethical Commission of the Institute of Subtropical Agriculture, Chinese Academy of Sciences. The experiment was conducted at the research farm of the Academy of Agricultural and Animal Husbandry Sciences in Lhasa, Tibet, China (altitude = 3658 m, latitude = N29°30′, longitude = E91°15′, atmospheric pressure = 0.64 atm).

Twenty-four growing Tibetan sheep (body weight = 15.9 ± 1.92 kg) were blocked into two equal groups of males (n = 12) and females (n = 12). Sheep within each group were randomly and equally assigned to two experimental diets. The oat grass (OG) diet was formulated to meet the 1.3 times of maintenance metabolizable energy and crude protein requirements of sheep according to Zhang and Zhang (1998), and contained a pelleted concentrate mixed as a total mixed ration with oat grass at a 50:50 ratio (DM basis). The pelleted concentrate ingredients were (DM basis): 45 g/kg soybean meal, 470 g/kg corn, 424 g/kg wheat bran, 7 g/kg calcium carbonate, 9 g/kg palm oil, 9 g/kg sodium chloride and 36 g/kg minerals, and vitamins premix. The chemical composition of OG diet is shown in Table 1. The barley straw (BS) diet had the same pelleted concentrate of OG diet, and was formulated using barley straw to replace oat grass in the OG diet. The amount of BS diet allocated daily was set to be the same as that of OG diet. Both two diets were offered in two meals in equal proportions at 0900 and 1800. All sheep had free access to fresh water. The experiment comprised 30 d of adaptation to diets followed by a 2-days collection period. Feed refusals were recorded, and feed and refusals samples were collected, during the last 5 days (from days 26 to 30) of the adaption period.

The chemical composition of forage and total mixed ration is provided in Table 1. Contents of organic matter, gross energy and Kjeldahl N (CP = 6.25 × N) were determined according to AOAC (1995). Neutral detergent fiber (NDF) and acid detergent fiber were expressed inclusive of residual ash (Van Soest et al., 1991), and NDF assayed with the addition of a heat stable amylase, but without sodium sulfite. Starch content was determined after pre-extraction with ethanol (80%), and glucose released from starch by enzyme hydrolysis was measured using amyloglucosidase (Kartchner and Theurer, 1981).

Rumen Sampling

Samples of rumen gas and contents were collected before the morning feeding in the last 2 days of the collection period, with 12 sheep in each day (six males and six females randomly chosen within each diet). Rumen headspace gas was sampled using the rumenocentesis method of Moate et al. (1997) with a slight modification. A 150-mm, 14-g needle was inserted into the headspace of the rumen via the central area of the left paralumbar fossa, which had been trimmed of hair and then swabbed with 72% ethanol. A 50-mL syringe, fitted with a T-shaped tube, was attached to the 150-mm needle to collect 30 mL of headspace gas from the rumen. The collected gas was then injected into 10-mL evacuated tubes for subsequent determination of H₂(g) and CH₄(g) concentration.

Rumen contents were sampled using oral stomach tubing immediately after collecting rumen headspace gas. A flexible PVC tube (2 mm of wall thickness and 6 mm of internal...
TABLE 1 | Chemical composition of diets and dry matter intake of Tibetan sheep.

| DM               | Forage  | Diet       | SEM | P-value |
|------------------|---------|------------|-----|---------|
|                  | Oat grass | Barley straw | Oat grass | Barley straw |       |       |
| CHEMICAL COMPOSITION (g/kg DM) |         |            |      |         |
| OM (g/kg)       | 952     | 923        | 932  | 918     | –      | –      |
| CP (g/kg)       | 43.4    | 14.2       | 73.9 | 59.4    | –      | –      |
| NDF (g/kg)      | 597     | 709        | 483  | 539     | –      | –      |
| ADF (g/kg)      | 387     | 458        | 264  | 300     | –      | –      |
| Starch (g/kg)   | 75.0    | 61.0       | 186  | 179     | –      | –      |
| EE (g/kg)       | 62.0    | 61.0       | 69.4 | 65.7    | –      | –      |
| NFC (g/kg)      | 250     | 139        | 306  | 254     | –      | –      |
| ME (MJ/kg DM)   | 1.41    | 1.11       | 7.76 | 7.13    | –      | –      |
| DM intake (g/d) | –       | –          | 609  | 582     | 13.3   | 0.32   |

Table notes:
- DM: dry matter; OM: organic matter; CP: crude protein; NDF: neutral detergent fiber; ADF: acid detergent fiber; EE: ether extract; NFE: non-fibrous carbohydrates, calculated by the equation of OM-CP-EE-CF.
- Diet: Forage plus concentrate diet (1:1). The concentrate contained (g/kg) soybean meal (45), corn (470), wheat bran (424), calcium carbonate (7), palm oil (9), sodium chloride (9), and premix (36).
- ME: metabolizable energy (MJ/kg DM) estimated according to Zhang and Zhang (1998).

Analyses of Fermentation End Products
Two milliliters samples of strained rumen fluid were centrifuged at 15,000 g for 10 min at 4°C. One and a half milliliters of supernatant were transferred into tubes containing 0.15 mL of 25% (w/v) metaphosphoric acid. The mixture was vigorously hand-shaken and stored at −20°C for subsequent determination of fermentation end-products. Two 35-mL subsamples were immediately transferred to 50-mL plastic syringes for measuring H$_2$ and CH$_4$ concentrations as explained below (see section Measured Dissolved Gases Concentration). The rumen pH was measured immediately after sampling using a portable pH meter (Starter 300; Ohaus Instruments Co. Ltd., Shanghai, China).

Estimation of Dissolved Gases Concentration Based on Headspace Gases Concentration
Concentrations of H$_2$ and CH$_4$ estimated as if they were at equilibrium with the gaseous phase were calculated based on rumen headspace H$_2$ and CH$_4$ concentrations, respectively. Wiesenburg and Guinasso (1979) proposed calculating gas solubility based on the Bunsen absorption coefficient, vapor pressure, gas concentration, atmospheric pressure, and relative humidity, according to:

$$Gas_{aq} = \alpha Gas_g (P_1 - P_{VP}h/100)$$

where Gas$_{aq}$ is the concentration of the dissolved gas of interest in the liquid phase (mM), $\alpha$ is the Bunsen absorption coefficient of the gas of interest (L of dissolved gas/L of liquid-atm), Gas$_g$ is the concentration of the gas of interest in the gas phase (mM), $P_1$ is atmospheric pressure (atm), $h$ is the relative humidity (%), and $P_{VP}$ is the liquid vapor pressure (atm).

The vapor pressure is greatly affected by the concentration of dissolved salts (Wiesenburg and Guinasso, 1979). Our previous study indicated that Bunsen absorption coefficients were similar for pure water and the McDougall’s buffer at the same temperature (Wang et al., 2014), therefore the factor including vapor pressure in the McDougall’s buffer could be assumed to be the same as pure water, and then set to be zero in Equation (1) to calculate the dissolved gas in rumen fluid. Concentrations of H$_2$ and CH$_4$ estimated from H$_2$ and CH$_4$ were separated using a Hayesep Q packed column (2.44 m x 1/8 in. x 2.0 mm ID). Carbon dioxide concentration in the rumen headspace gas was calculated as the difference between total gas concentration at the local atmospheric pressure, calculated in turn using the Ideal Gas Law (0.0262 M at 0.64 atm), and the sum of H$_2$ and CH$_4$ concentrations.
CH$_4$ (g) concentrations (eH$_2$ (aq) and eCH$_4$ (aq), respectively), in the rumen headspace were calculated as:

\[ e_{\text{Gas}} (\text{aq}) = \text{Gas (g) } \alpha_{\text{gas}} P_l \]  

(2a)

with:

\[ \alpha_{H2} = \exp(-47.8948 + 65.0368(100/T) + 20.1709 \ln (T/100)) \]  

(2b)

\[ \alpha_{CH4} = \exp(-68.8862 + 101.4953(100/T) + 28.7314 \ln (T/100)) \]  

(2c)

where \( e_{\text{Gas}} (\text{aq}) \) is the estimated concentration of the dissolved gas of interest (mM), \( \text{Gas (g)} \) is the corresponding gas concentration measured in the rumen headspace (mM), \( \alpha_{\text{gas}} \) is the Bunsen absorption coefficient for each gas of interest (H$_2$ or CH$_4$) at 1 atm pressure (L of dissolved gas/L of liquid-atm) calculated as function of absolute temperature \( T \) for a rumen temperature of 39°C (Wiesenburg and Guinasso, 1979), and \( P_l \) is 0.64 atm.

**Measured Dissolved Gases Concentration**

Rumen H$_2$ (aq) and CH$_4$ (aq) concentration were measured by establishing an equilibrium between the gas and the liquid phase in a sealed vessel containing a rumen fluid sample using the procedure described by Wang et al. (2014). Briefly, a 50-mL plastic syringe, containing 35 mL rumen fluid, was fitted with a T tube, which was closed immediately and cooled to room temperature. A 20-mL syringe was filled with 10 mL of N$_2$ gas, and connected to 50-mL plastic syringe via the T tube. The N$_2$ gas was then injected into the 50-mL syringe through the T tube, and the gases dissolved in the rumen fluid were extracted into the N$_2$ gas phase by vigorous hand shaking for 5 min. The volumes of gas and liquid phases were recorded using the scales in the small and large syringes, respectively. The room temperature was recorded as well. Gas samples from the 20-mL syringe were collected in evacuated tubes for the measurement of H$_2$ and CH$_4$ concentration using gas chromatography as above described (Agilent 7890A, Agilent Inc., Palo Alto, CA, USA).

Total H$_2$ or CH$_4$ before extraction is equal to that after extraction:

\[ V_l \text{Gas (aq)} = V_l \text{Gas (g) } \alpha_{\text{gas}} P_l + V_g \text{Gas (g)} \]  

(3)

where \( V_l \) is the liquid volume (mL); \( \text{Gas (aq)} \) is the concentration of the dissolved target gas (H$_2$ or CH$_4$) in the original liquid sample (mM), \( \text{Gas (g)} \) is the target gas (H$_2$ or CH$_4$) concentration in the gas phase at equilibrium after extraction (mM), \( \alpha_{\text{gas}} \) is the Bunsen absorption coefficient of each target gas (H$_2$ or CH$_4$) at room temperature, as calculated using Equations (2b) and (2c) (L of dissolved gas/L of liquid-atm), \( P_l \) is the local atmospheric pressure equal to 0.64 atm, and \( V_g \) is the gas volume at equilibrium after extraction (mL).

Therefore, the rumen aqueous concentration of each dissolved target gas (H$_2$ or CH$_4$) is equal to:

\[ \text{Gas (aq)} = \text{Gas (g) } (\alpha_{\text{gas}} P_l + V_g/V_l) \]  

(4)

We could not use the method described by Wang et al. (2014) to determine the concentration of dissolved CO$_2$(CO$_2$ (aq)), because the release of CO$_2$ in solution toward the gas phase would displace the equilibrium from bicarbonate and carbonic acid toward additional CO$_2$ (aq) and finally extra CO$_2$ (g). For \( \Delta G \) calculations, CO$_2$ (aq) concentration was calculated using Eq. 2 by assuming equilibrium with CO$_2$ (g) at the local atmospheric pressure of 0.64 atm. The Bunsen absorption coefficient for CO$_2$ in rumen fluid was set to be 0.234 volume/(volume atm) (Hille et al., 2016).

**Calculation of the Saturation Factor**

The saturation factor (SF) was defined as the ratio between the measured dissolved gases (H$_2$ (aq) and CH$_4$ (aq)) concentration, and the concentration of dissolved gases estimated based on headspace H$_2$ (g) and CH$_4$ (g) concentrations (eH$_2$ (aq) and eCH$_4$ (aq))(Wang et al., 2014):

\[ SF_{\text{gas}} = \frac{\text{Gas (aq)}}{e_{\text{Gas}} (\text{aq})} \]  

(5)

“SF$_{\text{gas}} > 1$” and “SF$_{\text{gas}} < 1$” indicate supersaturation and undersaturation of the dissolved gas in the liquid phase of the rumen, respectively.

**Calculation of the Gibbs Energy Changes of Fermentation Pathways**

Ingested dietary polysaccharides are hydrolyzed to hexoses in the rumen, which are fermented to VFA. Acetate production results in the release of reducing equivalents:

\[ C_6H_{12}O_6 + 2 H_2O \rightarrow 2 \text{acetate} + 2 CO_2 + 4 [2H] + 2 H^+ \]  

(Reaction 1)

Interconversion between VFA has been shown to occur in the rumen (Ungerfeld and Kohn, 2006). Conversion of acetate to propionate and butyrate incorporates reducing equivalents:

\[ \text{acetate} + CO_2 + 3 [2H] \rightarrow \text{propionate} + 2 H_2O \]  

(Reaction 2)

\[ 2 \text{acetate} + H^+ + 2 [2H] \rightarrow \text{butyrate} + 2 H_2O \]  

(Reaction 3)

Fermentation shifts of acetate to propionate and acetate to butyrate then result in incorporation of reducing equivalents. Different examples of fermentation stoichiometries with differing [2H] release per mol of hexose fermented (Janssen, 2010), expressed as H$_2$ production in the following equations, can be generated by replacing the products of Reaction 1 with the reactants of Reaction 2 and 3:

\[ C_6H_{12}O_6 \rightarrow \frac{2}{3} \text{acetate} + \frac{4}{3} \text{propionate} + \frac{2}{3} CO_2 \]  

+ \frac{2}{3} H_2O + 2H^+ \]  

(Reaction 4)

\[ C_6H_{12}O_6 \rightarrow \text{acetate} + \text{propionate} + CO_2 + H_2 + 2 H^+ \]  

(Reaction 5)
C6H12O6 → butyrate + H+ + 2 CO2 + 2 H2 \hspace{1cm} (Reaction 6)

C6H12O6 + H2O → acetate + ½ butyrate + 2 CO2 + 3 H2 + 3/2 H+ \hspace{1cm} (Reaction 7)

The amount H2 production per mol of hexose fermented can thus vary widely.

Another two pathways of H2 incorporation are reductive acetogenesis for acetate production and methanogenesis for CH4 production:

2 CO2 + 4 H2 → acetate + H+ + 2 H2O \hspace{1cm} (Reaction 8)

CO2 + 4 H2 → CH4 + 2 H2O \hspace{1cm} (Reaction 9)

The thermodynamic feasibility of these reactions was estimated through their ΔG. Gibbs energy changes of reactions at standard conditions (ΔG°) were calculated from standard Gibbs energy of formation (ΔG°f) of reactants and products (Kohn and Boston, 2000):

\[ \Delta G = \Delta G° + RT \ln \left( \frac{\Pi_{i=1}^{i=n}[\text{Products}]^{f_{\text{product}}}}{\Pi_{i=1}^{i=n}[\text{Reactants}]^{f_{\text{reactant}}}} \right) \]

Standard Gibbs energy changes so calculated for 298 K (0°C) were then adjusted to a rumen temperature of 312 K using the van’t Hoff equation (Kohn and Boston, 2000). Gibbs energy changes estimated for actual rumen conditions were subsequently adjusted by the concentration of soluble metabolites and dissolved gases (Kohn and Boston, 2000):

\[ \Delta G = \Delta G° + RT \ln \Pi_{i=1}^{i=n}[\text{Products}]^{f_{\text{product}}} / \Pi_{i=1}^{i=n}[\text{Reactants}]^{f_{\text{reactant}}} \]

where \( \Pi_{i=1}^{i=n}[\text{Products}] \) and \( \Pi_{i=1}^{i=n}[\text{Reactants}] \) are the products of molar concentration of products and reactants in the liquid phase, respectively, each elevated to its corresponding stoichiometric coefficient, R is the gas constant equal to 8.314 J \text{ atm K}^{-1} \text{ mol}^{-1}, T is the rumen temperature in Kelvin, and ΔG° is the standard ΔG for the reaction adjusted to 39°C. Reactions are thermodynamically feasible if ΔG < 0, at equilibrium if estimated ΔG = 0, and unfeasible when ΔG > 0.

Gibbs energy changes of various fermentation stoichiometries, as well as of methanogenesis, reductive acetogenesis, and VFA interconversions were calculated and compared using either directly measured CH4 (aq) and H2 (aq) concentrations, or concentrations of CH4 (aq) and H2 (aq) estimated from CH4 (g) and H2 (g) concentrations using Henry’s Law by assuming equilibrium between gaseous and dissolved gases. Gibbs energy changes calculated using both methods shared the estimation of CO2 (aq) and measured glucose and individual VFA concentration.

**Statistics**

The effect of diet on DM intake, rumen pH, and the concentrations and supersaturation indexes of gases, total VFA concentration, individual VFA molar percentages and ammonia concentration were evaluated through a one-way ANOVA.

Concentrations of H2 (g), CH4 (aq), CH4 (g), and VFA molar percentages, were regressed against H2 (aq) concentration as follows:

\[ y = \text{intercept} + \text{H2 (aq)} + \text{H2 (aq)}^2 + \text{diet} + \text{H2 (aq)} \times \text{diet} + \text{residual} \]

Statistical significance was set at \( P < 0.05 \) and tendencies at \( 0.05 \leq P \leq 0.10 \). The main effect of the diet, the quadratic effect of H2 (aq) and the interaction were removed from the models if their \( P > 0.10 \), and the reduced models re-fitted.

Gibbs energy changes for nine different pathways were analyzed as 2 × 2 factorialings including the main effects of diet, method of estimation (calculation using CH4 (aq) and H2 (aq) concentration directly measured or using eCH4 (aq) and eH2 (aq) concentration estimated from CH4 (g) and H2 (g) concentration), and their interaction.

All statistical analyses were conducted with JMP® 12.1.0. (SAS Institute Inc.).

**RESULTS**

Sheep on the OG and BS diets had similar DM intake (\( P = 0.32; \) Table 1), rumen pH (\( P = 0.20 \)), total VFA concentration (\( P = 0.17 \)), and propionate (\( P = 0.60 \)) and valerate (\( P = 0.102 \)) molar percentages (Table 2), as well as CO2 (g) (\( P = 0.74 \)) and CH4 (g) (\( P = 0.76 \)) concentrations, and \( S_fH2 \) (\( P = 0.67; \) Table 3). Rumen glucose (\( P = 0.002 \)), H2 (aq) (\( P = 0.017 \)), CH4 (aq) (\( P = 0.07 \)), H2 (g) (\( P = 0.001 \)), butyrate molar percentage (\( P < 0.001 \)), and \( S_fCH4 \) (\( P = 0.08 \)), were greater or tended to be greater in sheep fed the OG diet. Acetate (\( P = 0.022 \)), iso-butyrate (\( P = 0.053 \)), and iso-valerate (\( P = 0.065 \)), and ammonia concentration (\( P = 0.041 \)) molar percentages were greater or tended to be greater in sheep fed the BS diet (Table 2).

There was a positive quadratic relationship between H2 (g) and H2 (aq) (\( R^2 = 0.91; P < 0.001; \) Figure 1) without an interaction with the diet (\( P = 0.90 \)). Measured H2 (g) was roughly between one and two orders of magnitude smaller than H2 (aq) concentration predicted by assuming equilibrium with H2 (aq) (Figure 1). There was a positive relationship between CH4 (aq) and H2 (aq) (\( P < 0.001 \)) with a tendency to be quadratic (\( P = 0.056; \) Figure 2) and without an interaction with diet (\( P = 0.95 \)). However, there was no relationship between CH4 (g) and H2 (aq) (\( P = 0.40; \) Figure 2), and no relationship between CH4 (g) and CH4 (aq) (\( P = 0.91 \); not shown). The saturation factors for H2 and CH4 were greater than unity (\( P < 0.001; \) Table 3) and positively correlated to dissolved gases concentrations (Figures 3A, B). The response of H2 saturation factor to H2 (aq) was affected by the diet (Figure 3A).

There were negative linear relationships between acetate molar percentage and H2 (aq) (\( P = 0.003; \) Figure 4A) and H2 (g) (\( P = 0.003; \) Figure 4B) without interactions with the
### TABLE 2 | Fermentation end-productions in the rumen of Tibetan sheep feeding by two diets (n = 12).

| Diet                | SEM   | P-value |
|---------------------|-------|---------|
|                      | Oat grass | Barley straw |
| pH                  | 6.85  | 6.94    | 0.047 | 0.20 |
| Total VFA (mM)      | 109   | 81.0    | 5.51  | 0.17 |
| **INDIVIDUAL VFA MOLAR PERCENTAGE (%)** |       |         |
| Acetate             | 66.6  | 69.8    | 0.90  | 0.021 |
| Propionate          | 19.5  | 18.9    | 0.78  | 0.60 |
| Butyrate            | 10.5  | 7.75    | 0.41  | <0.001 |
| Valerate            | 0.93  | 0.81    | 0.051 | 0.102 |
| Iso-butyrate        | 1.40  | 1.62    | 0.077 | 0.053 |
| Iso-valerate        | 0.97  | 1.12    | 0.055 | 0.066 |
| Acetate:Propionate  | 3.51  | 3.73    | 0.171 | 0.36 |
| Lactate (mM)        | 1.30  | 1.25    | 0.14  | 0.81 |
| Glucose (mM)        | 2.64  | 1.88    | 0.11  | 0.002 |
| Ammonia (mM)        | 6.09  | 8.18    | 0.0678| 0.041 |

*BS, barley straw; OG, oat grass; VFA, volatile fatty acids.*

### TABLE 3 | Gaseous and dissolved gases concentration in the rumen of Tibetan sheep fed two different diets (n = 12).

| Diet                | SEM   | P-value |
|---------------------|-------|---------|
|                      | Oat grass | Barley straw |
| H₂ (aq) (µM)        | 6.49  | 2.34    | 1.13  | 0.017 |
| CH₄ (aq) (mM)       | 0.932 | 0.680   | 0.0943| 0.072 |
| H₂ (g) (µM)         | 7.86  | 3.36    | 0.852 | 0.001 |
| CH₄ (g) (mM)        | 4.15  | 4.11    | 0.111 | 0.76 |
| CO₂ (aq) (mM)       | 22.1  | 22.2    | 0.114 | 0.74 |
| SfH₂                | 42.7* | 38.4*   | 6.90  | 0.67 |
| SfCH₄               | 7.88* | 5.79*   | 0.81  | 0.08 |

*BS, barley straw; CH₄ (aq), dissolved methane concentration in the original liquid sample; CH₄ (g), gaseous methane concentration in the rumen; H₂ (aq), dissolved hydrogen concentration in the original liquid sample; H₂ (g), gaseous hydrogen concentration in the rumen; OG, oat grass; SfCH₄, CH₄ saturation factor; SfH₂, H₂ saturation factor.*

**FIGURE 1** | Relationship between measured and equilibrium-predicted gaseous H₂ and dissolved H₂ concentration: H₂ (aq) = 3.83 (±0.43; P < 0.001) ± 1.20 (±0.28; P < 0.001) diet + 0.18 (±0.11; P = 0.11) (H₂ (aq)) + 0.052 (±0.011; P < 0.001) H₂ (g) - 4.42²; R² = 0.91 (P < 0.001); Equilibrium-predicted H₂ (g) = H₂ (aq)/(α × P₁), where α is the Bunsen coefficient and P₁ is the atmospheric pressure.

### DISCUSSION

#### Effect of Forage Type on Rumen Fermentation End-Products

Different forages influence rumen fermentation and CH₄ production in ruminants, because dietary carbohydrate composition varies considerably with forage species and state of maturity (Chaves et al., 2006). Lower content of structural carbohydrates is associated with greater rate of digestion and fermentation, which in turn is associated with greater H₂ concentration (Janssen, 2010). In our study, the OG diet had lower NDF and ADF content than the BS diet, which would agree with sheep consuming the OG diet having higher H₂ (aq) and CH₄ (aq) and total VFA concentration in the rumen compared to those fed the BS diet. Such differences seem likely to be due to the greater content of fermentable carbohydrates in the OG diet. In an *in vitro* experiment, higher H₂ (aq) and total VFA concentration were also observed for incubated substrates that typically have higher rate and extent of degradation, although rumen degradation was not measured in that study (Wang et al., 2014). Other factors potentially affected by the diet, such as rumen passage rate and fractional rate of VFA absorption, also influence the VFA concentration in the rumen (Dijkstra et al., 1993).

Sheep fed the OG diet had lower molar percentage of acetate and higher molar percentage of butyrate than sheep fed the BS diet. Higher NDF content in the BS diet may account for greater molar percentage of acetate (Brask et al., 2013). Rumen
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Supersaturation of H$_2$ and CH$_4$ in the Liquid Phase of the Rumen

In general, H$_2$ (aq) and H$_2$ (g) concentrations found in the present experiment are in the low end of H$_2$ (aq) and H$_2$ (g) concentrations ranges summarized by Janssen (2010). We attribute that in part to the low atmospheric pressure (0.64 atm) due to the elevation of the location where the experiment took place, as well as to the fact that sampling was conducted before the morning feeding, when H$_2$ (aq) concentration and H$_2$ production are at their lowest point during the day (Robinson et al., 1981; Rooke et al., 2014).

Concentrations of H$_2$ (aq) and CH$_4$ (aq) were directly measured by establishing an equilibrium between gas and liquid phase in a sealed vessel (Wang et al., 2014). Concentrations of H$_2$ (aq) and CH$_4$ (aq) so determined were considerably greater than those estimated by assuming an equilibrium between the liquid and the gas phase of the rumen. Thus, assuming equilibrium for H$_2$ or CH$_4$ between the gas and liquid phases seems to be inappropriate to understand the rumen fermentation. The saturation factor was greatly larger than unity for H$_2$ (aq) and CH$_4$ (aq) in the rumen, indicating that both H$_2$ and CH$_4$ were supersaturated in rumen fluid. Furthermore, H$_2$ supersaturation might have been even greater a few hours after feed was offered, when H$_2$ (aq) concentration is greatest (Robinson et al., 1981), as there was a positive relationship between the saturation factor and gases concentrations (Figure 3). The supersaturation of H$_2$ and CH$_4$ indicates mass-transfer

ammonia concentration was higher in the rumens of sheep fed BS diet, when compared with those fed the OG diet, even though the OG diet had more total N. Greater rumen ammonia concentration could suggest that incorporation of ammonia into carbon skeletons by rumen microbes was lower in sheep fed BS in comparison to the OG diet, perhaps as a result of lower supply of fermentable carbohydrates. Increased ammonia concentration and molar percentage of branched-chain VFA might also result from greater dietary and microbial protein degradation (Hassanat et al., 2014).

FIGURE 2 | Relationship of dissolved H$_2$ concentration with dissolved and gaseous CH$_4$: CH$_4$ (aq) = 0.54 (±0.049; P < 0.001) + 0.049 (±0.013; P < 0.001) H$_2$ (aq) + 0.0027 (±0.0013; P = 0.056) (H$_2$ (aq) – 4.42)$^2$; R$^2$ = 0.82 (P < 0.001); Gaseous CH$_4$: CH$_4$ (g) = 4.20 (±0.11; P < 0.001) − 0.016 (±0.019; P = 0.40) H$_2$ (aq); R$^2$ = 0.032 (P = 0.40).

FIGURE 3 | Relationship between saturation factor and dissolved gases concentration. (A) Sf H$_2$ = 8.29 (±4.89; P = 0.11) ± 14.9 (±4.16; P = 0.002) diet + 11.2 (±1.57; P < 0.001) H$_2$ (aq) − 0.48 (±0.12; P < 0.001) (H$_2$ (aq) – 4.42)$^2$ ± 3.936 (H$_2$ (aq) – 4.42) × diet; R$^2$ = 0.78 (P < 0.001); (B) Sf CH$_4$ = 0.048 (±0.30; P = 0.87) + 8.42 (±0.34; P < 0.001) CH$_4$ (aq); R$^2$ = 0.97; P < 0.001.
limitations to the movement of both H₂ and CH₄ from the liquid to gaseous phase in the rumen. Therefore, it does not seem appropriate to use H₂ (g) concentration to predict rumen H₂ (aq) concentration, which is the variable important to H₂-producing and H₂-utilizing microorganisms. Likewise, CH₄ (aq) concentration directly measured in the fluid appears as a more reliable indicator of methanogens activity than CH₄ (g) concentration.

Inhibiting methanogenesis in rumen in vitro batch and continuous mixed cultures consistently decreased the recovery of metabolic hydrogen in propionate, butyrate, CH₄ and H₂ (Ungerfeld, 2015b). In that analysis, metabolic hydrogen in H₂ was calculated taking into account only published data on H₂ (g), but H₂ (aq) was not reported in the studies used for the analysis by Ungerfeld (2015b) and was thus not considered. We now estimated H₂ (aq) for the experiments used for the analysis by Ungerfeld (2015b) using the reported concentrations of H₂ (g) based on the relationship between H₂ (aq) and H₂ (g) found in the present study (Figure 1). Adding reducing equivalents in H₂ (aq) to the calculation of metabolic H₂ recovery of the study by Ungerfeld (2015b) resulted in a marginal increase in the recovery of metabolic H₂; predicted for 100% methanogenesis inhibition, of between 1 and 2% in batch culture and about 1% in continuous culture (calculations not shown). Reducing equivalents in estimated CH₄ (aq) were not calculated and added because in the present experiment CH₄ (aq) was unrelated to CH₄ (g), and therefore adding reducing equivalents in CH₄ (aq) would only affect the intercept of the relationship between metabolic...
lower \( \text{H}_2 \) (aq) concentration favoring acetate production, whereas greater \( \text{H}_2 \) (aq) concentration favors propionate and butyrate production (Janssen, 2010; Wang et al., 2014). In agreement, we observed that both measured and estimated \( \text{H}_2 \) (aq) concentration were negatively correlated with the molar percentage of acetate, and positively and linearly correlated with the molar percentage of butyrate, although there was no relationship with the molar percentage of propionate. Methanogens, as major \( \text{H}_2 \)-utilizing microorganisms in the rumen, have a Monod relationship of growth with \( \text{H}_2 \) (aq) concentration, with the \( K_s \)-values (i.e., half the maximum growth rate) ranging from 4 to 9 \( \mu \text{M} \) of \( \text{H}_2 \) (aq) concentration (Janssen, 2010). As expected, we observed increased \( \text{CH}_4 \) (aq) concentration with greater \( \text{H}_2 \) (aq) concentration.

Gibbs energy changes of glucose fermentation in pathways producing \( \text{H}_2 \) (Reactions 1, 5–7) were greater (i.e., less favorable) using measured \( \text{H}_2 \) (aq) compared with \( \text{eH}_2 \) (aq) estimated from \( \text{H}_2 \) (g) concentration by assuming equilibrium. On the other hand, \( \Delta \text{G} \) of \( \text{H}_2 \)-incorporating reactions such as acetate conversion to propionate (Reactions 2) and to butyrate (Reactions 3), reductive acetogenesis (Reactions 8), and methanogenesis (Reactions 9), were lower using measured \( \text{H}_2 \) (aq) concentration compared to \( \text{eH}_2 \) (aq) estimated from \( \text{H}_2 \) (g). Furthermore, the thermodynamic ranking of glucose fermentation pathways changed when calculations were made using measured dissolved gases concentrations instead of dissolved gases concentrations estimated by assuming equilibrium with their corresponding concentrations in the gas phase. For example, Reaction 1 was the second to most favorable \( \text{H}_2 \)-releasing pathway when using directly measured dissolved gases, but it was among the least favorable \( \text{H}_2 \)-releasing pathway if using dissolved gases concentrations estimated from their corresponding concentrations in the rumen gaseous phase. It can be concluded that \( \Delta \text{G} \) differed when calculations were made using measured instead of estimated dissolved gases concentration.

**CONCLUSIONS**

Measured \( \text{H}_2 \) (aq) and \( \text{CH}_4 \) (aq) were greater than \( \text{H}_2 \) (aq) and \( \text{CH}_4 \) (aq) concentrations estimated by assuming equilibrium with the gas phase, indicating that both \( \text{H}_2 \) and \( \text{CH}_4 \) were supersaturated in liquid phase of rumen. Thus, \( \text{H}_2 \) (aq) or \( \text{CH}_4 \) (aq) concentration estimated by assuming equilibrium with the gaseous phase do not seem appropriate for calculating \( \Delta \text{G} \) of reactions that involve release of \( \text{H}_2 \) or \( \text{CH}_4 \) or \( \text{H}_2 \) incorporation, in the liquid phase of rumen. Concentration of \( \text{H}_2 \) (aq) was positively correlated with \( \text{CH}_4 \) (aq) concentration and the molar percentage of butyrate, and negatively correlated with molar percentage of acetate, confirming that changes in \( \text{H}_2 \) (aq) concentration are associated with shifts of rumen fermentation pathways and the extent of \( \text{CH}_4 \) generation. To our knowledge, this is the first in vivo study in which fluid and gaseous phase concentration of \( \text{H}_2 \) and \( \text{CH}_4 \) in the rumen were related. These relationships need to be studied within a much wider range of conditions, including different animals, diets,
TABLE 4 | Estimated Gibbs energy changes (kJ/reaction) of various rumen pathways.

| σ     | Diet                  | Method of ΔG estimation | SEM | P-value | Interaction |
|-------|-----------------------|--------------------------|-----|---------|-------------|
|       | Oat grass              | Barley straw             | Gas (aq) | eGas (aq) |             |
| Reaction 1 | −340                   | −348                     | −326 | −362    | 1.64        | < 0.001     | < 0.001 | 0.93 |
| Reaction 2 | −6.42                  | −0.59                    | −17.0| 10.0    | 1.04        | < 0.001     | < 0.001 | 0.92 |
| Reaction 3 | 9.79                   | 13.6                     | 2.69 | 20.7    | 0.776       | < 0.001     | < 0.001 | 0.93 |
| Reaction 4 | −340                   | −341                     | −341 | −341    | 0.575       | 0.27        | >0.999 | >0.999 |
| Reaction 5 | −418                   | −413                     | −406 | −424    | 1.25        | 0.004       | <0.001 | 0.96 |
| Reaction 6 | −335                   | −330                     | −323 | −341    | 0.876       | < 0.001     | < 0.001 | 0.93 |
| Reaction 7 | −335                   | −342                     | −325 | −352    | 1.26        | < 0.001     | < 0.001 | 0.93 |
| Reaction 8 | −5.18                  | 2.10                     | −19.6| 16.5    | 1.35        | < 0.001     | < 0.001 | 0.92 |
| Reaction 9 | −34.2                  | −26.6                    | −46.0| −14.8   | 1.88        | < 0.001     | <0.001 | 0.95 |

Reaction numbers correspond to sub-section 2.8 Calculation of the Gibbs energy changes of fermentation pathways: Reaction 1, glucose + 2 H₂O → acetate + 2 H⁺ + 2 CO₂ + 4 H₂; Reaction 2, acetate + CO₂ + 3 H₂ → propionate + 2 H₂O; Reaction 3, 2 acetate + H⁺ + 2 H₂ → butyrate + 2 H₂O; Reaction 4, glucose → [3/2 acetate + [3/2 propionate + [3/2 CO₂ + [3/2 H₂O + 2 H⁺]; Reaction 5, glucose → acetate + propionate + CO₂ + H₂ + 2 H⁺; Reaction 6, glucose → butyrate + H⁺ + 2 CO₂ + 2 H₂; Reaction 7, glucose + H₂O → acetate + [3/2 butyrate + 2 CO₂ + 3 H₂ + [3/2 H⁺]; Reaction 8, 2 CO₂ + 4 H₂ → acetate + H⁺ + 2 H₂O; Reaction 9, CO₂ + 4 H₂ → CH₄ + 2 H₂O. eGas (aq), concentration of dissolved gases estimated from their concentration in the gas phase; Gas (aq), concentration of dissolved gases directly measured; ΔG, Gibbs energy changes.

and changes throughout the day, as well as locations situated at lower elevation, among other factors. The relationships of H₂ (aq) and CH₄ (aq) with microbial populations are also of much interest.
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