Treatment with androgen receptor pathway inhibitors (ARPIs) in prostate cancer leads to the emergence of resistant tumors characterized by lineage plasticity and differentiation toward neuroendocrine lineage. Here, we find that ARPIs induce a rapid epigenetic alteration mediated by large-scale chromatin remodeling to support activation of stem/neuronal transcriptional programs. We identify the proneuronal transcription factor ASCL1 motif to be enriched in hyper-accessible regions. ASCL1 acts as a driver of the lineage plastic, neuronal transcriptional program to support treatment resistance and neuroendocrine phenotype. Targeting ASCL1 switches the neuroendocrine lineage back to the luminal epithelial state. This effect is modulated by disruption of the polycomb repressive complex-2 through UHRF1/AMPK axis and change the chromatin architecture in favor of luminal phenotype. Our study provides insights into the epigenetic alterations induced by ARPIs, governed by ASCL1, provides a proof of principle of targeting ASCL1 to reverse neuroendocrine phenotype, support luminal conversion and re-addiction to ARPIs.
Potent androgen receptor (AR) pathway inhibitors (ARPIs), such as enzalutamide (ENZ) and abiraterone (Abi), have increased patient survival with advanced prostate cancer disease; however, resistance ultimately occurs. In particular, a subset of tumors shed their luminal identity and dependency on the canonical AR signaling. These variants exhibit lineage plasticity and neuroendocrine differentiation and are referred to as treatment-induced neuroendocrine prostate cancer (t-NEPC). While de novo NEPC is rare, development of treatment-induced NEPC accounts for 20% of advanced, treatment-refractory castration-resistant prostate cancer (CRPC). NEPC is characterized by loss of canonical AR signaling and expression of neuronal lineage markers, such as chromogranin (CHGA) and synaptophysin (SYP), distinct small cell morphology, along with a stem cell transcriptional program. The evolution of CRPC to NEPC is accompanied by extensive transcriptional reprogramming, suggesting that reprogramming of CRPC to NEPC post ARPIs is accompanied by extensive transcriptional re-wiring and neuroendocrine differentiation and are referred to as treatment-induced neuroendocrine prostate cancer (t-NEPC). While de novo NEPC is rare, development of treatment-induced NEPC accounts for 20% of advanced, treatment-refractory castration-resistant prostate cancer (CRPC). NEPC is characterized by loss of canonical AR signaling and expression of neuronal lineage markers, such as chromogranin (CHGA) and synaptophysin (SYP), distinct small cell morphology, along with a stem cell transcriptional program. The evolution of CRPC to NEPC is accompanied by extensive transcriptional reprogramming, suggesting that the emergence of a neuroendocrine phenotype may be driven predominantly by epigenetic dysregulation. The heterogeneous nature of prostate cancer provides possibility of multiple drivers for the transition under the pressure of current therapeutic strategies. It is still unclear, mechanistically, how tumors govern variation in response to treatment and how they define alternative cell fate post ARPI.

In this work, we investigate the epigenetic landscape of CRPC after ENZ treatment by profiling global chromatin accessibility to uncover the earliest factors that drive cellular plasticity and commitment to the neuroendocrine lineage. We find that the DNA binding motif for the neuronal lineage-guiding transcription factor ASCL1 becomes hyper-accessible following ENZ treatment and ASCL1 is required for ENZ-induced lineage plasticity. Loss of ASCL1 expression alters the epigenetic program in t-NEPC by disrupting the polycomb repressive complex 2 (PRC2) and reducing the EZH2 chromatin bound to support the lineage reversal to a luminal AR-driven state. This effect is attributed to an increase of p-EZH2-T311 through UHRF1/AMPK axis.

Results
An epigenetic plasticity emerges in response to hormone therapy. Despite similar genetic profiles, the conversion from CRPC to NEPC post ARPIs is accompanied by extensive transcriptional re-wiring. This suggests that reprogramming of the chromatin landscape may play a central role in this lineage plasticity. To explore this premise, we interrogated changes in the chromatin landscape of CRPC cells post ENZ treatment by performing assay for transposase-accessible chromatin using sequencing (ATACseq) (Fig. 1a). We observed that acute ENZ treatment (3 days) led to altered chromatin accessibility with 2,595 regions (Fig. 1b, region II) gaining accessibility at 3 days post-treatment compared to 10 days and non-treated CRPC. By 10 days post-treatment, widespread changes in chromatin accessibility were observed with 25,538 newly hyper-accessible regions (Fig. 1b, region III) when compared to 3 days and non-treated CRPC, while 2,694 accessible regions (Fig. 1b, region I) were accessible in non-treated CRPC only (Fig. 1b, c). A slight bias toward increased accessibility of promoter regions following treatment of ENZ was observed (Fig. 1d). To further investigate the significance of regions affected by ENZ treatment (opened or closed specifically in response to ENZ), we integrated ATACseq with RNAseq from matched treatment. We defined activated as newly accessible and expressed genes (described as 50 kb distance to accessible peak center with expression of log2Fold Change >-1) in response to ENZ. While, genes that lost accessibility and expression following ENZ treatment were defined as repressed. We found that ENZ redirected the chromatin accessibility from canonical “AR-driven” transcriptional program in CRPC to positively regulated pathways involved in cell plasticity. Importantly, we observed that pathways involved in stem cells were highly enriched compared to the neuronal pathways at 3 days while at 10 days post-treatment, the neuronal pathways become more enriched. As expected, repression of canonical AR transcriptional program was observed at 3 days, with further repression at 10 days post-treatment (Fig. 1e and Supplementary Fig. 1a, b).

To identify potential regulators of this large-scale epigenetic reprogramming in response to ARPI, we performed transcription factor (TF) motif analysis within a 50 bp window surrounding ATACseq peaks and discovered that both androgen-response element and glucocorticoid response element are the most enriched motifs in 16DCRCP, while consensus binding sequence for neuronal lineage TFs (ASCL1, Olig2, NeuroD1, and NeuroG2) were enriched in ENZ-treated CRPC (Fig. 1f). Ranking motifs by p-value, we found the DNA binding motif for the pro-neural TF ASCL1 to be disproportionally enriched in hyper-accessible chromatin regions post ENZ treatment (Fig. 1f, Supplementary Data 2). Specifically, ASCL1 was the most favorable TF, as their rank went from 126th place in non-treated cells to 8th after 3 days and 4th after 10 days of ENZ treatment. ASCL1 motif is highly accessible in NE-like state GEM model compared to adenocarcinoma (Supplementary Fig. 1c). Analysis of genomic distribution of ASCL1 motif in unique accessible regions of 10 days ENZ-treated CRPC (Supplementary Fig. 1d and Supplementary Data 3), revealed a bias towards enhancer regions (intronic and intergenic) (Fig. 1g and Supplementary Fig. 1e). In particular, regions associated with DNA-binding motif of ASCL1 were enriched for genes associated with both stem and neuronal lineage programming (Fig. 1h and Supplementary Fig. 1f). These data suggest that ENZ induces a well-organized chromatin dynamic that function to unlock lineage plasticity that may support treatment resistance.

Enzalutamide-mediated chromatin remodeling supports neuroendocrine differentiation. In order to delineate whether alterations in chromatin landscape mediated by ENZ treatment support a neuronal phenotype, we compared the chromatin accessibility profile (ATACseq) of ENZ-treated CRPC (10 days) with the t-NEPC model (42DENZR) and de novo NEPC cell line (NCI-H660). We found that a number of genomic loci accessible in ENZ-treated CRPC (10 days) remains accessible in NEPC cell lines; ~75% overlap with 42DENZR and ~40% with NCI-H660 (region I) (Fig. 2a and Supplementary Fig. 2a). This ENZ-induced chromatin remodeling in CRPC was found to be accessible in NEPC cell lines. For instance, analysis of the genomic loci of neuroendocrine genes CHGA and NCAM1 and stem cell gene SOX2 revealed accessibility of promoter regions of these genes in CRPC cells after ENZ treatment and in NEPC cell lines (Supplementary Fig. 2b). In addition, we observed a further opening of chromatin in NEPC cell lines, with distinct accessible regions (~28,800 peaks shared between NEPC cell lines) (region II) (Fig. 2a and Supplementary Fig. 2a). Genes associated with stem and neuronal transcriptional network were enriched within 16DCRCP 10 days treated with ENZ, 42DENZR, and NCI-H660 shared regions and NEPC cell lines (Fig. 2b and Supplementary Fig. 2c) with ASCL1 binding motifs enriched surrounding accessible peaks (Fig. 2c and Supplementary Data 4).

In agreement with changes in the chromatin architecture, ASCL1 expression rapidly increased upon ENZ treatment of
Fig. 1 Hormone therapy triggers epigenetic plasticity. a Schematic representation of the study outline. b Heatmap indicating accessibility in 16D<sup>CRPC</sup> with enzalutamide (ENZ) treatment for 0, 3, or 10 days (n = 3 biologically independent samples). c Genome annotation of all accessible peaks presented as percentage of all peaks. d Gene set enrichment analysis (GSEA) shows transcriptional response to ENZ treatment associated with gain/loss accessibility in 16D<sup>CRPC</sup> cells represented as: early repressed; late repressed; early activated; late activated. Dotted line represent false discovery rate (FDR) of 0.05, p < 0.05, statistical analysis was performed using a hypergeometric test. See also Supplementary Fig. 1. e Transcription factor (TF) binding motifs surrounding accessible chromatin in unique vs. shared regions, ranked based on differential p-value. Each dot represents a motif. Statistical analysis was performed using a cumulative hypergeometric test. f Genomic annotation for genes mapped to ASCL1 motif in 16D<sup>CRPC</sup> 10 days ENZ-treated regions (bottom). g Genomic annotation for genes mapped to ASCL1 motif in 16D<sup>CRPC</sup> 10 days ENZ-treated, presented as percentage of all peaks. h Pathways associated to genes mapped to ASCL1 motif in 16D<sup>CRPC</sup> 10 days ENZ-treated. Dotted line represents FDR = 0.05, p < 0.05, statistical analysis was performed using a hypergeometric test. See also Supplementary Fig. 1. Source data are provided as a Source Data file.
Fig. 2 Enzalutamide-induced epigenetic plasticity leads to neuronal differentiation. a Heatmap showing chromatin accessibility comparing 16D\textsuperscript{CPRC} 10 days ENZ-treated unique accessible regions to neuroendocrine prostate cancer (NEPC) (left) average signal profile (right). b GSEA in accessible shared regions (top) and accessible in NEPC (bottom). Dotted line represent statistical analysis was performed using a hypergeometric test. See also Supplementary Fig. 2. c TF binding motifs surrounding accessible chromatin in shared accessible region (left) accessibility in NEPC (right) vs. unique to 16D\textsuperscript{CPRC} 10 days ENZ-treated, ranked based on differential p-value. Each dot represents a motif. Statistical analysis was performed using a cumulative hypergeometric test. d ASCL1 mRNA expression normalized to GAPDH (n = 2 biologically independent samples). e ASCL1 score reported as log2FPKM mean ± SD. 16D\textsuperscript{CPRC} n = 3, 16D\textsuperscript{CPRC} 3d ENZ n = 1, 16D\textsuperscript{CPRC} 10d ENZ n = 1, 42D\textsuperscript{ENZ}\textsuperscript{R} and NCI-H660 n = 3 biologically independent samples. 42D\textsuperscript{ENZ}\textsuperscript{R} and NCI-H660 p < 0.0001; two-tailed p-value). f ASCL1 expression presented as normalized read counts in serial sections from naïve and neoadjuvant androgen-deprivation therapy (ADT)/TAX-treated prostate tumors\textsuperscript{21}. Each dot represent individual patient. Data shown as mean ± SD, with significance assessed using a two-tailed unpaired t-test, p = 0.0135. g ASCL1 expression reported as log2TPM in adenocarcinoma (Adeno) and NEPC\textsuperscript{12, 15} cohorts. Violin plots show median (middle solid line), quartiles as dotted lines and interquartile range, each dot represent a patient, with significance assessed using a two-tailed unpaired t-test. (Beltran et al. Adeno n = 30 and NEPC n = 19; and Labrecque et al. Adeno n = 76 and NEPC n = 22 patients) (left). Volcano plot shows expression and activity of ASCL1 in Beltran and Labrecque cohorts\textsuperscript{12, 15}. Each dot represents a gene, with “ASCL1 signature” (blue) and “ASCL1” (red) highlighted. Dotted line represent p = 0.05, statistical analysis was performed using a hypergeometric test (right). Source data are provided as a Source Data file.
ASCL1 is required to establish the neuronal and stem cell-like lineage. To further explore the biological function of this transcription factor, ASCL1 was overexpressed in 16D\textsuperscript{CRPC} and RNAseq was performed, first we validated the upregulation of ASCL1 expression and activity (Supplementary Fig. 3a) and found that ASCL1 induced stem and neuronal programs (Fig. 3a). Importantly, ASCL1 was sufficient to increase NE and CSC markers (Fig. 3b, left panel), which were enhanced with ENZ treatment (Supplementary Fig. 3c), similar results were observed in lung adenocarcinoma (Supplementary Fig. 3d). ASCL1 induces NCAM1 and CD44 hybrid cell population and aldehyde dehydrogenase (ALDH) activity (Fig. 3b, right panel). These data suggest that ASCL1 alone is sufficient to induce cell plasticity and neuroendocrine phenotype. To determine whether ASCL1 is required for the development of neuronal and stem cell-like phenotype, knockdown of ASCL1 in 16D\textsuperscript{CRPC} prevented the ENZ-induced upregulation of CSC and NE markers (Fig. 3c) as well as neuronal-like morphology (Supplementary Fig. 3e) and prevented ENZ-mediated upregulation of NCAM1 and CD44 (Supplementary Fig. 3f). Similar results were observed in C4-2 cell line (Supplementary Fig. 3g). These data suggest that ASCL1 is required for neuronal and stem cell differentiation and functions to bias the cell fate towards neuronal and stem cell-like lineage, similar to what has been previously reported in pericytes onto neurons re-programming\textsuperscript{24}.

To evaluate the importance of ASCL1 in maintaining the neuronal phenotype and the plastic state, ASCL1 was silenced in 42D\textsuperscript{ENZ} and NCI-H660 cell lines. Gene set enrichment analysis revealed that loss of ASCL1 expression suppresses pathways related to proliferation, stemness and neuronal development (Fig. 3d). Specifically, ASCL1 knockdown downregulates both CSC and NE genes expression following ASCL1 silencing using shRNA (Fig. 3e), siRNA or CRISPR in 42D\textsuperscript{ENZ} and NCI-H660 (Supplementary Fig. 3f), surface markers NCAM1 and CD44, ALDH activity (Figs. 3e) and 3D spheroids as a measure for functional properties of stemness (Supplementary Fig. 3g). These observations were further validated by western blot (Supplementary Fig. 3j). Moreover, ASCL1 knockdown in 42D\textsuperscript{ENZ} resulted in ~6% increase in G0/G1 population (Fig. 3f), and a decrease in cell proliferation capacity in vitro in NEPC cell lines 42D\textsuperscript{ENZ} and NCI-H660 using shRNA (Fig. 3g), CRISPR (Supplementary Fig. 3k), or siRNA (Supplementary Fig. 3l). These data are in agreement with GSEA in Fig. 3d showing a decrease in "stem targets" and "E2F targets" pathways. This reduction in proliferation rate was not due to an increase in apoptosis (Supplementary Fig. 3m). The reduced proliferation was translated in vivo, where 42D\textsuperscript{ENZ} xenografts bearing knockdown of ASCL1 grew at slower rate compared to the control group. In addition, we found that ASCL1 was required for tumor initiation measured by tumor intake ratio (Fig. 3h). Expression of NE genes was significantly lower in tumors with ASCL1 knockdown compare to control (Supplementary Fig. 3n).

ASCL1 cistrome is enriched for stem cell and neuronal targets. To begin decipher the role of ASCL1 in lineage programming, we mapped genome-wide occupancy of ASCL1, using chromatin immunoprecipitation sequencing (ChIPseq) in NEPC cell lines. We identified 18,659 and 36,031 regions bound by ASCL1 in 42D\textsuperscript{ENZ} and NCI-H660 cell lines, respectively (Fig. 4a). As expected, ASCL1 binding was predominately centered on its canonical E-box binding motif (Fig. 4b). ASCL1 bound regions corresponded largely to enhancer (intronic and intergenic) regions (Fig. 4c), consistent with previous reports in glioblastoma and normal neurons\textsuperscript{25,26}. We identified 3,205 ASCL1 bound genes common between the two cell lines and as expected, pathway analysis identified ASCL1 bound genes to be involved in stem and neuronal programming in NEPC cell lines (Fig. 4d). Visualization of genomic loci from ChIPseq demonstrated direct regulation of both CSC genes including SOX2 (reported to be regulated by ASCL1, in small cell lung cancer (SCLC))\textsuperscript{27}, NANO and OCT4 (encode by POU5F1); and NE genes including CHGA, ENO2 (NSE), NCAM1, DLL1 (known ASCL1 target\textsuperscript{28}) (Fig. 4e). Further supporting the direct regulation of CSC and NE genes by ASCL1, the expression of these genes was downregulated upon ASCL1 knockdown (Fig. 3c, Supplementary Figs. 3h and 4a). Accordingly, we sought to investigate the distinct ASCL1 cistrome and its association with NEPC programing. We integrated ASCL1 cistrome data with matched RNAseq. We identified enhancer regions bound by ASCL1 that lost their corresponding gene expression following ASCL1 knockdown, subsequent pathway analysis revealed loss of stem and neuronal programming following knockdown of ASCL1 within these NEPC enhancer regions (Fig. 4f). Notably, these enhancers were upregulated after overexpression of ASCL1 in 16D\textsuperscript{CRPC} (Supplementary Fig. 4b). These findings suggest that ASCL1 cistrome may function to unlock the lineage plasticity and further confirming the association between ASCL1 and the development of stem cell and neuronal phenotype.

ASCL1 is required for EZH2 cistrome reprogramming. We observed that ASCL1 expression is heterogeneous in NEPC with two clusters (ASCL1-high and ASCL1-low) (Fig. 2g). Pathway analysis comparing ASCL1-high vs. ASCL1-low revealed that NEPC patients with high ASCL1 expression are enriched with pathways regulating plasticity and EZH2 activity (Fig. 5a). These data were supported by a strong positive correlation between ASCL1 and EZH2 expression (Supplementary Fig. 5a) and activity (Fig. 5b and Supplementary Fig. 5b, c) ($R^2 = 0.3756$ in Beltran dataset\textsuperscript{15} and $R^2 = 0.5240$ in Labrecue dataset\textsuperscript{12}, p-value < 0.05). ENZ induced ASCL1 expression in CRPC concomitantly with increase EZH2 activity (Supplementary
Interestingly, ASCL1 cistrome was enriched with PRC2 targets (Fig. 4d) and loss of ASCL1 decreased EZH2 activity in NEPC cell lines (Fig. 4f, g). Conversely, over-expression of ASCL1 in CRPC led to an increase in EZH2 activity, as measured by enrichment of pathways associated with histone 3 lysine 27 trimethylation (H3K27me3), a surrogate measure of EZH2 activity (Fig. 5c and Supplementary Fig. 5e). These data were further validated at the protein level showing that ASCL1 overexpression increased H3K27me3, and conversely, knockdown of ASCL1 abrogates this histone mark (Fig. 5c; Supplementary Fig. 5f). This alteration of EZH2 activity by ASCL1 was not unique to prostate cancer, but seems like a common effect of ASCL1 as observed in lung adenocarcinoma (Supplementary Fig. 5c) and SCLC (Supplementary Fig. 5h). Expression of EZH2 or the PRC2 subunits SUZ12 and EED were not altered by ASCL1 overexpression or knockdown (Fig. 5c), suggesting that ASCL1 alters EZH2 activity. Overlaying ASCL1 and H3K27me3 ChIPseq revealed a 40% overlap which were lost after ASCL1 knockdown (Fig. 5d), were the number of H3K27me3 peaks went from 43,622 in control to 10,336 in knockdown ASCL1 (Supplementary Fig. 5i). Regions co-bound by ASCL1 and H3K27me3 were enriched with ASCL1 motif (Supplementary Fig. 5j). Of note, within same region DNA binding motif of TF OCT was enriched. This region was enriched for PRC2 targets as well as basal/luminal phenotype (Fig. 5g). Visualization of genomic loci of TMPRSS2 and ALDH1A3, genes correlating with luminal phenotype in prostate cancer, showed co-occupation of ASCL1 and H3K27me3 on promoter and gene body (Supplementary Fig. 5k).

To evaluate how loss of ASCL1 regulates the EZH2 cistrome, we performed ChIPseq for EZH2 in 42Denzr ENZ cell line following knockdown of ASCL1. Unexpectedly, comparative analysis between control and ASCL1 silencing condition revealed a significant loss of EZH2 binding to chromatin (36,139 peaks in control vs 293 in ASCL1 knockdown) (Fig. 5h). We found that
Fig. 3 ASCL1 is a potent regulator of neuronal stem cell-like phenotype in prostate cancer. a GSEA in 16D\textsuperscript{CRPC} cells over-expressing (OE) ASCL1. Dotted line represents p = 0.05, statistical analysis was performed using a hypergeometric test (16D\textsuperscript{CRPC} CTL n = 3 and ASCL1 OE n = 1 biologically independent samples). b Neuronal and plasticity genes mRNA expression reported as mean of replicates normalized to GAPDH (n = 2 biologically independent samples) (left) NCAM1 and CD44 expression (right top) ALDH activity (right bottom) in NEPC shASCL1 reported as mean ± SD. c Neuronal-like morphology reported as mean ± SD with significance evaluated at endpoint, (n = 3 biologically independent samples; two-tailed unpaired t-test) (right). d GSEA in 42DENZ\textsuperscript{R} shASCL1 and NCI-H660 siASCL1. Dotted line represents p = 0.05, statistical analysis was performed using a hypergeometric test. e Neuronal and plasticity genes mRNA expression normalized to GAPDH (left) NCAM1 and CD44 expression (right top) ALDH activity (right bottom) in NEPC shASCL1 reported as mean ± SD (42D\textsuperscript{ENZR} p-value of ASCL1 < 0.000001, CHGA = 0.000009, SYP = 0.006, NSE = 0.007, NCAM1 = 0.001, SOX2 = 0.000003, NANO2 = 0.000001, OCT4 = 0.000004 and NCI-H660 p-value of ASCL1 < 0.000001, CHGA = 0.000002, SYP = 0.001, NSE < 0.000001, NCAM1 = 0.000004, SOX2 = 0.000006, NANO2 = 0.006 and OCT4 = 0.01; two-tailed unpaired t-test; n = 3 biologically independent samples). See also Supplementary Fig. 3. f Cell cycle phases comparing 42DENZ\textsuperscript{R} shASCL1 vs shCTL reported as mean in percentage (p-value of 42DENZ\textsuperscript{R} vs shCTL reported as mean ± SD, with significance evaluated at the end point, (p-value of 42DENZ\textsuperscript{R} = 0.003 and NCI-H660 = 0.019; two-tailed unpaired t-test, n = 3 biologically independent samples). See also Supplementary Fig. 3. g 42DENZ\textsuperscript{R} tumor size reported as gram (g) (left) tumor intake shown as percentage of mice developed tumors post injection (right) in shASCL1 vs shCTL reported as mean ± SEM, with significance evaluated at the end point, p = 0.0056, shASCL1 n = 5 and shControl n = 6 biologically independent animal; two-tailed unpaired t-test. See also Supplementary Fig. 3. Source data are provided as a Source Data file.

ASCL1 knockdown decreased H3K27me3 to comparable level as targeting EZH2 (EZH2i) enzymatic activity using GSK126 (Fig. 5i). This loss of H3K27me3 was due to the disruption of PRC2, where EED was pulled down at considerably lower rate after ASCL1 silencing compared to control (Fig. 5i) similar to inhibiting EZH2 with GSK126\textsuperscript{31}. ASCL1 knockdown induces EZH2 accumulation in the cytoplasm (Fig. 5k) and its phosphorylation on threonine 311 (pEZH2-T311) (Fig. 5k), known to play an important role in EZH2 function and localization\textsuperscript{32}. EZH2 phosphorylation on T311 has been reported to be regulated by AMPK, we found that ASCL1 knockdown increased AMPK activity as measured by its phosphorylation on T172 (Fig. 5k). Interestingly, AMPK activity has been recently shown to be controlled by the nuclear factor UHRF1 (a key epigenetic regulator that bridges DNA methylation and chromatin modification\textsuperscript{33}) in diverse cell models\textsuperscript{34}. We found that ASCL1 positively regulates UHRF1 expression (Fig. 5k and Supplementary Fig. 5i) by binding upstream of its promoter in prostate\textsuperscript{35} and small cell lung cancer\textsuperscript{26} (Supplementary Fig. 5n). Expression of UHRF1 and ASCL1 significantly correlates in NEPC patients\textsuperscript{12}, in prostate and small cell lung cancer cell lines as well as GEM model\textsuperscript{14} (Fig. 5l and Supplementary Fig. 5m). UHRF1 expression is significantly higher in NEPC tumors compared to adenocarcinoma\textsuperscript{10} (Fig. 5m). Together we identified a pathway by which ASCL1 regulates UHRF1, the gate keeper of AMPK, to regulate EZH22 methylase transrepression.

Loss of ASCL1 initiates a lineage switch from neuronal to luminal. ASCL1 knockdown in 42D\textsuperscript{ENZR} led to a change in the transcriptome similar to those observed in 16D\textsuperscript{CRPC} (Fig. 6a) with increase in the expression of luminal genes in 42D\textsuperscript{ENZR} and NCI-H660 following knockdown of ASCL1 (Fig. 6b). Of note, these luminal genes are co-bound by ASCL1 and EZH2 and are methylated at promoter region in 42D\textsuperscript{ENZR} cell line (Supplementary Fig. 6a). These data support the notion that ASCL1 knockdown re-activates the luminal lineage and the conversion of lineage to an AR-dependent state. These data are supported with analysis of the chromatin landscape using ATACseq, where widespread chromatin remodeling was observed after loss of ASCL1 in 42D\textsuperscript{ENZR} (73,603 peaks in control vs 24,016 peaks in ASCL1 knockdown) (Fig. 6c, d). In agreement, quantification of chromatin condensation showed an increase in chromatin compaction after knockdown of ASCL1 in 42D\textsuperscript{ENZR} (Supplementary Fig. 6b). Comparative analysis of accessibility between 42D\textsuperscript{ENZR} control and ASCL1 knockdown showed that ASCL1 knockdown change the resembling to CRPC. In support, accessible regions shared between 42D\textsuperscript{ENZR} control, ASCL1 knockdown and 16D\textsuperscript{CRPC} (Fig. 6c, region II) were significantly less accessible in 42D\textsuperscript{ENZR} ASCL1 knockdown and CRPC (Fig. 6c, d). Regions unique to 42D\textsuperscript{ENZR} (Fig. 6c, region I) were slightly more accessible in ENZ-treated CRPC (Supplementary Fig. 6c). Motif enrichment analysis identified loss of accessibility at number of DNA-binding motif of neuronal TF including ASCL1, while TF KLF was among the highly accessible TF following ASCL1 silencing (Fig. 6g). Interestingly, regions that lost accessibility (Fig. 6c, region I) were associated with enhancer regions regulating stem and neuronal programing, while conversely, regions that remained accessible (shared between 42D\textsuperscript{ENZR} control and ASCL1 knockdown) (Fig. 6c, region II) were equally mapped to promoter and enhancer regions enriched for various biological function such as housekeeping, proliferation and canonical AR signaling (Fig. 6f and g). These data support that ASCL1 is crucial in maintaining the chromatin architecture that is required for stem/neuronal phenotype. Of significance, H3K27me3 ChIPseq from the matching cell lines, identified shared regions carrying H3K27me3 histone mark only in 42DENZ\textsuperscript{R} and absent in 16D\textsuperscript{CRPC} and 42DENZ\textsuperscript{R} ASCL1 knockdown (Supplementary Fig. 6d), corresponding to increase protein level of H3K27me3 in 42DENZ\textsuperscript{R} compare to 16D\textsuperscript{CRPC} (Supplementary Fig. 6e). Interestingly, we observed a different pattern of histone methylation between these regions (Supplementary Fig. 6f). Knockdown of ASCL1 pheno-copies EZH2 inhibition leading to a decrease of NE pathways and re-activation of pathways implicated in canonical AR signaling (Fig. 6h). This lineage reversal was measured by increased of AR binding at KLK3 (coding prostate-specific antigen (PSA)) enhancer resulting in re-expression of PSA (Fig. 6i and Supplementary Fig. 6g) with concomitant loss of expression in NE markers (Supplementary Fig. 6h), similar to EZH2 inhibition\textsuperscript{31}. Together, we have shown that the large-scale chromatin remodeling induced in t-NEPC was lost following knockdown of ASCL1 leading to a switch in the lineage toward a luminal one and support the notion that the neuronal phenotype induced by ENZ can be reversed by targeting ASCL1, at least in a lineage plastic state.

Discussion

The implementation of next-generation androgen receptor pathway inhibitors such as abiraterone and enzalutamide have
increased the survival of patients with metastatic castrated resistant prostate cancer.\textsuperscript{1,2} These agents maintain the ability to blunt AR signaling. However, prolonged AR pathway inhibition can alter the archetypal course of the disease, leading to histological dedifferentiation and alterations in cell lineages including the aggressive treatment induced neuroendocrine phenotype.\textsuperscript{3,15,36} Importantly, these therapies are now used earlier in clinical management for patients with aggressive localized prostate cancer.\textsuperscript{37,38} While follow-up on these trials are still limited, it is reasonable to speculate that the use of potent ARPIs may lead to higher incident of treatment induced NEPC. Therefore, the need for new therapies centered on targeting lineage plasticity and neuroendocrine differentiation is paramount.

In this study, we dissected the early epigenetic and transcriptional events regulating the trans-differentiation of CRPC to NEPC in response to ARPIs. Analysis of the CRPC transcriptome and chromatin architecture following ENZ treatment revealed an acute luminal-to-neuroendocrine lineage switch. Particularly, suppression of canonical AR signaling was concomitant with synchronized activation of stemness and neuronal lineage programs. We identified ASCL1 as one of the top enriched motifs in CRPC following ARPIs, which was also observed in NEPC cells.
in GEM models with MycN overexpression in the context of PTEN and RB1 deletion and in luminal prostate cells transformed to neuroendocrine with Myc, Akt and Bcl2 in the context of RB1 and TP53 deletion. These data suggest that determination of alternative cell fates is decided at the chromatin level early during the evolution of CRPC to NEPC and emphasizes the power of ARPIs in driving this process.

We report here that remodeling of the chromatin by ARPIs was coupled with increase expression and activity of ASCL1. High levels of ASCL1 were observed in subset of NEPC patients (Fig. 2f, g; and Supplementary Fig. 2i, j). SCCLC and GBM. ASCL1-low NEPC can be driven by other neuronal transcription factors such as NeuroD1, YAP1 or POU2F3 similar to what was observed in SCLC. Building on the observation that ASCL1 induces rapid neurogenesis during normal neuron development and neuronal differential in glioblastoma stem cell and neuroendocrine differentiation in lung cancer and induces NE markers in prostate cancer, we found that ASCL1 induces neuroendocrine phenotype by directly regulating neuronal and stem cell programs. Significantly, we identified that
ASCL1 binds to PRC2 targets and regulates EZH2 activity. Mechanistically, ASCL1 through direct transcriptional regulation of UHRF1, the AMPK gatekeeper, mediates AMPK inactivation independently of AMPK upstream kinases models. UHRF1 directly binding to AMPK and recruits the phosphatase PP2A complex to trigger AMPK T172 de-phosphorylation; hence, stabilizes the PRC2 complex and increases H3K27 tri-methylation. Conversely, ASCL1 knockdown inhibits EZH2 activity. These resulted to a shift in the chromatin landscape back to a CRPC-like state and allowed the conversion of the neuroendocrine to luminal lineage. These data suggest that ASCL1 and EZH2 may represent a molecular conduit that contributes to lineage plasticity and treatment resistance. In support of this concept, high levels of EZH2 were reported in NEPC and ASCL1 knockdown inhibits EZH2 activity. expression was required for the acquisition of lineage plasticity and neuroendocrine differentiation post ARPIs

ASCL1 plays a central role in promoting and maintaining neuronal stem cell fate. We found that loss of ASCL1 switches the cell lineage to a luminal state by modulating genome-wide chromatin remodeling. In recent years, a number of clinical studies have focused on targeting epigenetic factors in prostate cancer, including EZH2, in combination with hormone therapy. Our work provides basis for targeting transcription factor ASCL1 and EZH2 to reverse the neuroendocrine phenotype to an alternative lineage and re-addict tumors to ARPIs.

In closing, we report a role for pro-neurotranscription factor ASCL1 in modulating the chromatin dynamics to support a plastic lineage by orchestrating early chromatin events and regulatory networks that determine a neuronal stem cell-like lineage commitment. In the treatment-resistant, high plasticity state inhibition of ASCL1 reverses the lineage switch to epithelial-luminal, providing a potential for targeting these highly aggressive tumors. Similar to NEPC, a subset of glioblastoma and small cell lung cancers are defined by elevated expression of ASCL1. This work provides much-needed insight into ASCL1 function and dependency that together nominates ASCL1 as a bona fide clinical target.

**Methods**

**Cell lines and tissue culture.** NCI-H660 (cat. #CRL-5813), C4-2 (cat. #CRL-3314), A549 (cat. #CRM-CCL-185) and H2107 (cat. #CRL-5983_F1) cell lines were obtained from ATCC. HEK293T (cat. #R70007) were obtained from ThermoFisher. CRPC (16D(4RPC)) and ENZ-resistant AR- NE-like (42D(2ENZ)) cell lines were generated and cloned into LNCaP cells, previously detailed by our group. We utilized an in vivo model of CRPC and ENZ resistance previously developed by us, that mirrors clinically reported treatment refractory phenotypes. LNCaP cells were inoculated into mice and upon castration CRPC tumors (16D(4RPC)) emerged. Further treatment of 16D(4RPC) tumors with ENZ (10 mg/kg/d) lead to the re-emergence of tumors with heterogeneous resistance mechanisms, including lineage plasticity. 42D(2ENZ) tumors exhibit AR expression, but loss of canonical AR signaling, concomitant with an enrichment in plasticity and neuronal transcriptional program. These resulted to a shift in the chromatin landscape back to a CRPC-like state and allowed the conversion of the neuroendocrine to luminal lineage. These data suggest that ASCL1 and EZH2 may represent a molecular conduit that contributes to lineage plasticity and treatment resistance. In support of this concept, high levels of EZH2 were reported in NEPC and ASCL1 knockdown inhibits EZH2 activity. expression was required for the acquisition of lineage plasticity and neuroendocrine differentiation post ARPIs.

**In vivo study.** All animal studies were performed in accordance with protocols approved by the Animal Care Committee at the University of British Columbia (A16-0246). Mice were maintained in ventilated cages (4 mice per cage), with 12hrs:12hrs dark/light cycle with ad libitum access to rodent chow diet and drinking water. Immunocompromised male mice (Envigo; strain: NU-Foxn1nu; 6-8 weeks old) were subcutaneously injected with 2 × 10⁶ cells per one site-right flank. When tumor reached ~150 mm³ they were randomly assigned to treatment groups (6 mice in each group). Mice were given 0.5% methyl cellulose or ENZ (10 mg/kg) administered by oral gavage 3 times per week starting from the day of injection. Tumor volumes were monitored and were measured twice weekly in a blinded fashion, and calculated with the formula volume V = (length x width x height)/2. In vivo tumor volume reached 10% of body weight or a body weight loss > 15%, tumors were harvested for downstream analysis. Mice were euthanized using isoflurane inhalant anesthesia followed by carbon dioxide euthanasia as described in University of British Columbia SOP ACC 03-2012 “Euthanasia of adult rodent using inhalant anesthetic followed by carbon dioxide inhalation”.

**Plasmids.** The plasmid used to overexpress ASCL1 in our study, pPK[Exp]-Puro-EF1A > hASCL1, was constructed and packaged by VectorBuilder with vector ID is VBP8434-1067yb; for knockdown of ASCL1 our study used plV[shRNA]-Puro-U6 > hASCL1[shRNA#1], with vector ID VB180704-1064qpp, which can be used to retrieved detailed information about the vector on vectorbuilder.com. Lentiviral packaging plasmids pMD2 (Addgene, cat. #8454) and psPAX7 (Addgene, cat. #12260) are available on Addgene. Plasmids used for CRISPR/Cas9-mediated genomic editing were constructed using GeneArt CRISPR-Nuclease Vectors (Thermo Fisher). Double stranded oligos encoding a target-specific crRNA were generated and cloned into the gRNA expression cassette: ASCL1 (F: 5'- CGGTT TGCAGCGCATCAGTT). gRNA expression cassette lentCRISPR v2 plasmid was purchased from Addgene (cat. #29661). All plasmids were analyzed for correct insertion by Sanger sequencing before use.

**siRNA transfection and stable cell line generation.** For generation of stable cell lines, cells were transfected with 5 µg plasmid using TransF (2020) (Mirus) in Opti-MEM media (Gibco) for 24 hours. Cells were maintained under antibiotic selection with puromycin (for shASCL1 stable cell lines; 10 µg/mL).
For siRNA knockout experiments, cells were transfected with 20 nM ASCL1 siRNA and scrambled siRNA in Opti-MEM using Lipofectamine RNAiMAX (Thermo). Cells were incubated for 18 h with siRNA, followed by a 4 hour recovery in complete media prior to re-transfection for 4 h.

ASCL1 siRNA sequences (5′-GCGCGGCCAACAAGAAGAUGAGUAA-3′ and 5′-UUACUCAUCUUCUUGUUGGCAGC-3′, Thermo, cat. #HSS100744) and Scrambled sequences (5′-AUCAAACUGUUGUCAGCGCUG, Dharmacon).

For overexpression of ASCL1 5 µg plasmid was transfected using Mirus T20/20 and OPTI-MEM media (Gibco) according to manufacturer’s instructions. 24 hours later OPTI-MEM media was replaced with complete media.

CRISPR/Cas9-based gene editing. For generating stable 42DENZR with knockout ASCL1, cells were infected with TLCV2 vector (Addgene, cat. #87360) containing an ASCL1-specific guide RNA (GCGTTTGCAGCGCATCAGTT). A non-targeting gRNA (GTATTACTGATATTGGTGGG) was used as a control. At 5 days post-transfection, cells expressing Cas9/gRNA plasmid were isolated by FACS, seeded at single-cell density, and expanded. PCR of genomic DNA from individual clones was performed with primers flanking the gRNA target site and assayed by Sanger sequencing.

Lentiviral vector preparation and infection. HEK293T cells were plated at 80% confluence 1 day prior to transfection in complete medium. Cells were transfected
with ASCL1 plasmid, pMD2.G, and pPAX2 at 4:1:2 ratio. Media was changed 5 hours following transfection to complete medium. Virus was collected at 48 hours post transfection using 0.45uM Sterilip. For transduction, 3 million cells were plated in 6 well plate in 1 ml appropriate standard serum-free media for the cell type, supplemented with 8ug/ml polybrene. 800 ul of viral supernatant was added to each well. 24 hours later, cells were transferred into 10 cm plate, and media was replaced with complete medium. 0.5ug/ml puromycin was added to cells for selection.

**Western Blot.** Total proteins were extracted from adherent cells grown in vitro. Cells were washed with PBS and lysed in RIPA buffer (Thermo, cat. #P8991) supplemented with 1x concentration of Complete EDTA-free protease inhibitors cocktail (Roche, cat. #1183617001) and phosphatase inhibitors (PhosSTOP, Roche, cat. #906845001). Once protein concentrations were measured by using BCA protein assay (Thermo, cat. #23225) samples were boiled for 5 minutes in SDS sample buffer and ran on 10% or 15% SDS-PAGE gel depend on the molecular size of the target protein. Immunoprecipitation was performed using Immunocruz IP/WB Optima B System (Santa Cruz cat. #sc-45039) based on the manufacturer’s guidelines. Transfer was done onto PVDF membranes (Millipore, cat. #IPVH0010) with pore size of 0.45um, blocked with Odyssey Blocking Buffer (LI-COR, cat. #15959542:1:2), probed with primary antibodies at dilution indicated below. Membranes were imaged using the LI-COR Odyssey Imaging System with Li-COR Image Studio (version 4.2) software. Following parameters were used to scan the membranes: channels 700 for mouse and 800 for rabbit secondary antibodies; resolution of 169um; intensities of channel 700: 3.0 and channel 800: 1.5–3.0. The following antibodies were used for immunoblotting: AR (Cell Signaling #5153; clone D6F11; 1:1000), AMPK (Cell signaling #2532S; 1:1000), ASCL1 (Santa Cruz, cat. #sc-374104; Clone D7, 1:200), CHGA (Abcam, #ab151610; 1:1000), EED (Millipore, cat. #17-10034; 1:200), EZH2 (Active Motif, #39933; 1:2000), p-EZH2-T311 (Cell signaling, #27888; 1:1000), H3 (Cell signaling, #14269; clone 1B12, 1:2000), H3K27me3 (Millipore, cat. #07-49; 1:2000), NSE/ENO2 (Agilent, cat. #5153; clone D6F11; 1:1000), PHF (Cell signaling, #7546; Clone D7152; 1:500), PSA (Cell Signaling, #5365; clone D6E1; 1:5000), SOX2 (Invitrogen, cat. #MA1-014; 1:500), SUZ12 (Cell Signaling, cat. #37375; clone D3F6; 1:1000), UHRF1 (Cell signaling, cat. #123875; 1:1000), β-actin (Sigma #A2282; 1:50AC; 1:725); 1:20000) was used as loading control. IRDye 800CW donkey anti-rabbit (LI-COR, cat. #926-32213; 1:10000) and IRDye 680CW donkey anti-mouse (LI-COR, cat. #926-68072; 1:10000) were used as secondary antibodies.

**Immunoprecipitation and Immunoblotting.** For immunoprecipitation, cells were washed with PBS and lysed in IP Lysis Buffer (Thermo, cat. #87787) supplemented with 1x Complete EDTA-free protease inhibitors cocktail (Roche, 1183617001) and phosphatase inhibitor (PhosSTOP, Roche, cat. #906845001). Protein concentration was measured using Pierce BCA protein assay kit (Thermo, cat. #23225). 700ug sample was incubated overnight at 4°C with 20 µl magnetic: A/G beads (Millipore) plus EZH2 (5 µg, Active Motif, cat. #39933) antibody. As a control, A/G beads were incubated with lysate and 1 µg IgG. After 24 hours beads were washed three times with IP lysate buffer and samples were eluted in sample buffer. Western blot was performed as previously explained.

**Flow cytometry and FACS.** Cells were dissociated using Cellstripper® (Gentra*) at room temperature with gentle shaking and filtered through a 40-µm nylon cell strainer. Single cell suspensions were pelleted at 300 x g and re-suspended in flow cytometry buffer (2 mM EDTA, 1% FBS, 0.1% NaN3, in 1x PBS) with 0.5% NONIDET P40 (Thermo, cat. #01700) following manufacturers protocol.

**Proteins were extracted from the following cell lines.** Androgen receptor (AR) ChIP-PCR shows AR binding to prostate specific PSMA enhancer (PSA) enhancer region (n = 2 biologically independent samples) (right) mRNA expression of PSA normalized to GAPDH (n = 2 biologically independent samples) (middle) and western blot shows protein expression of PSA, with actin as loading control (right) (n = 3 biologically independent samples). Source data are provided as a Source Data file.

**Immunofluorescence (IF).** 24 hours before staining 50 000 cells were seeded on a cover slip in a 6-well plate. Cells were fixed in 4% PFA for 20 minutes (for chromatin condensation analysis prior to staining cells were treated with 3 µM Hoechst solution (ThermoFisher, cat. #33342) for 30 min at 37°C). Cells were permeabilized in PBS and permitted to dry at room temperature. Cells were washed 3 times with PBS the following day, and incubated in the secondary antibody, donkey anti–Rabbit IgG (H + L) Alexa Fluor 488 (Invitrogen, cat. #A21206, 1:10000), for 1 h at room temperature. Cells were washed 3 times with PBS, followed by incubation with DAPI (Thermo, cat. #D1306, 1:500). Cells were mounted on slides using mounting reagent (FluorSave Reagent, Millipore, cat. #345789). Fluorescent images were taken using 60x oil immersion objective using FV3000 confocal microscope equipment using Olympus software FV3150-SW version 2.3.2.169. For EZH2 staining images were taken with following parameters: DAPI at 405 nm and EZH2 at 488.

**For Hoechst staining 8 images were taken at 1024 by 1024 pixels from each control and treatment at 10 µm scale. For chromatin condensation quantification ImageJ (version 1.8.0) was used to convert images to 800 by 800 pixel. Using Sobel edge detection tool in Image the areas of condense chromatin within the nuclei of each cell was determined. The intensity of nuclear area was calculated and the edge were detected and quantified. These steps were then repeated for each image, average signal intensity was graphed comparing control and treatment cells**

**Spheroid Assay.** Single cells suspensions of cells (1,000 cells/ml) were plated on ultra-low attachment plates and cultured in serum-free NeuroCalt NS-A Basal Medium (Human) (STEMCELL cat. #05750), supplemented with 2% B2 supplement (STEMCELL cat. #33582001), 1% N2 (Thermo, cat. #1750284); 20 ng/ml basic fibroblast growth factor (bFGF) (STEMCELL cat. #78003.1) and 20 ng/ml epidermal growth factor (EGF) (STEMCELL, cat. #78006.1); and 2µg/ml Heparin (STEMCELL, cat. #07980) for 7-10 days (First generation) and 7 days (Second generation). For serial passage, tumor spheres were collected in 40-µm cell strainers and dissociated with Accutase (STEMCELL cat. #07982) for 10 min at room temperature to obtain single-cell suspensions. Tumor spheres were visualized using Incucyte S3.
Proliferation and NeuroTrack. 2000 cells/well were seeded in a 96-well cell culture plates, allowed to attach overnight, treated with drug (when indicated), and imaged using the IncuCyte S3. Cell confluence was assessed using the IncuCyte Basic Analyzer with a minimum size filter of 400 µm². Neuronal-like morphology was measured using the IncuCyte NeuroTrack software module (version 2.20B) with the following settings: segmentation mode: brightness; segmentation adjustment: 0.5; minimum cell width: 15 µm; neurite filtering: better; neurite sensitivity: 0.4; neurite width: 4; minimum of 4 technical replicates, and 3 biological replicates, were performed for each cell line/treatment.

RNA sequencing and data analysis. Total RNA was isolated from cell lines using the RNasy Mini Kit (Qiagen, cat. #74104). Library constructions were performed using the NEBNext ultra II Stranded RNA Library Prep Kit, and sequencing was performed on an Illumina NextSeq500 (42x42bp paired-end reads). Data was de-multiplexed using bcl2fastq 2 Conversion Software (version 2.20) and the resultant read sequences were aligned to the hg19 human reference genome using STAR aligner[27]. Assembly and differential expression was estimated using Cufflinks software (version 2.2.1) available through the Illumina BaseSpace Sequence Hub. For patient tumors, sequencing data was aligned to hg38 using TopHat, and read counts per gene were measured with HTSeq count. Gene counts (FPKM or TPM) were normalized using DESeq[29] and subsequently log-transformed. For visualization purposes, the data were Z-transformed per gene. PCA plots were generated using ClustVis. Significance of expression level differences between pre- and post-treatment samples from the DARANA clinical trial was determined using a paired t-test.

Chromatin Immunoprecipitation using sequencing (ChiPseq). Cell lines were grown in media supplemented with 5% FBS (Gibco, cat. #A3160701) and processed in 20 µl EB using Qiaquick PCR purification kit (Qiagen). Number of libraries were converted to bam files using bam2fastq and 50% of the reads were uniquely mapped reads. The sam files after peak calling was converted to bigWig files and considered overlapping if the length of the overlapping region is greater than 50% of the length of either peak. For visualization, bigWig files were generated using DeepTools software. Heatmaps were generated using computeMatrix with reference-point mode and plotHeatmap programs of deepTools.

Motif analysis. MACS generated bed file after peak calling was converted to FASTA file with +/− 30 bp window around the center of each peak and Bedtools program suite for both ChiPseq and ATACseq peaks. The motif enrichment analysis was performed using Homer program suite v4.108. To identify significantly enriched motifs under a given condition, motifs were ranked by log p-value, and the difference in rank was plotted on a waterfall plot.

PCA and PLSR analysis. Principal Component Analysis (PCA) was performed using the precomp() function in R. The log2 transformed TPM values were used as input. Supervised partial least squares discriminant analysis (PLS-DA) was carried out to identify similarity between samples from multiple independent cell lines RNAseq using a multivariate integrative method, MINT, as part of the mixOmics package.

Gene signature scores. Previously described ASCL1[39], AR[40] and EZH2[70] score were computed by the sum of Z-score transformed expression level across each score's gene list. Adult Stem Cell (ASC)[13] was computed using GSEA (v4.0.2).

To generate ASCL1 signature in prostate cancer we performed ChiPseq analysis on primary positive neuroendocrine prostate cancer cell lines 42DENZ and NCI-H660 (from our study and from Baca et al.35 as well as in LNCaP cells overexpressing ASCL1[35]). Several thousand ASCL1 bound sites were identified following analysis of each ChiPseq. A total of 2,360 genes annotated to ASCL1 were found common among the four samples. As expected, we identified ASCL1 binding at AR and PSA enhancer regions as well as ASCL1 regulated genes such as INSM1, DM, HOMER and DLL. Suggesting that ASCL1 supports the neuroendocrine phenotype in NEPC. Integrated ASCL1 ChiPseq data with transcriptomics (RNAseq) data. To identify a consensus ASCL1 transcriptional program we used RNAseq from publicly available ASCL1 (+) vs ASCL1 (−) patient driven xenografts[35, 160]. ASCL1-bound genes identified in prostate cancer genome were used to define a gene signature (e.g. as INSM1, DM, HOMER and DLL). Fold enrichment of regions relative to the input was evaluated by qRT-PCR as described above.

Assay for Transposase-Accessible Chromatin using sequencing (ATASeq). ATACseq experiments were performed as described[65, 66]. Briefly, cells were collected by incubating in trypsin for 5 minutes at room temperature and subsequent centrifugation at 500 x g for 5 minutes at 4 °C. Cells were washed twice and re-suspended in PBS. 5 x 10⁴ cell pellet was used for transfection by incubating in 50 µl of 1x TBS-seq buffer (25 µl 2x TBS-buffer, 5 µl 10x Digitonin, 2 µl Illumina- TDE1) for 20 minutes at 37°C with 100xq Mixer (Eppendorf). To stop the transfection reaction, equal volume of 2x Transfection Stop Buffer [100 mM Tris-HCl (pH 8.0), 20 mM EDTA (pH 8.0)] was added to reaction and incubated for 10 minutes on ice. For cell lysis, equal volume of 2x Lysis Buffer [100 mM Tris-HCl (pH 8.0), 10 mM NaN₃, 200 µM EDTA (pH 8.0)] was added to reaction mixture after peak calling was converted to bigWig files and loaded into IGV browser. The annotated peak file was then parsed to retrieve only those accessible DNA motifs. These parsed peak files were used to generate unique and shared bed files between samples of interest using Bedtools program suit v2.28.0 (bedtools intersect command, a nominal p-value <0.05 and false discovery rate (FDR) <0.25 were considered to be significant. Single sample GSEA (ssGSEA) was carried out using gProfiler, a web server for functional enrichment analysis and conversion of gene lists.[72].

Gene ontology and pathway analysis. Pathway analysis using gene set enrichment analysis (GSEA) from the Broad Institute (Massachusetts Institute of Technology) was used to identify functions of differentially expressed genes within the Molecular Signatures Database (MSigDB, version 7.1) [12, 73]. The tool was run in classic mode to identify significantly enriched biology pathways. Pathways enriched with a nominal p-value <0.05 and false discovery rate (FDR) <0.25 were considered to be significant. Single sample GSEA (ssGSEA) was carried out using gProfiler, a web server for functional enrichment analysis and conversion of gene lists[72].

Statistics and reproducibility. All statistical analysis and visualization was performed using GraphPad Prism (version 8), unless otherwise specified. False discovery rate (FDR) and p-value for all GSEA was carried out by GSEA software (version 7.1) or gProfiler web server[73] and for motif analysis by Homer using hypergeometric test. Representative data shown in micrographs such as western blot has been repeated 3 times with independent biological samples unless otherwise indicated. Data acquired from the IncuCyte S3 for proliferation or NeuroTrack analysis has been repeated with 3 independent biological samples. Representative data shown for all in vitro experiments were repeated at least two times unless otherwise indicated. In bar graphs, box and whisker, and violin plots,
unpaired, two-tailed, student’s t-tests were performed to analyze statistical significance between groups using GraphPad Prism (version 8). For longitudinal profiling experiments, a two-tailed student’s t-test was performed to determine the statistical difference at the final time point using GraphPad Prism (version 8). P-value<0.05 was considered significant. Significance is indicated as follows in the figures: **P<0.01; ***P<0.001; **** P<0.0001. All exact p-values are listed in the corresponding Source Data.

**Reporting summary.** Further information on research design is available in the Nature Research Reporting Summary linked to this article.
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