Anatomical Evaluation of Spinal Nerve and Cervical Intervertebral Foramina in Anterior Controllable Antedisplacement and Fusion Surgery: A Cadaveric and Radiologic Study
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Objective: To achieve the anatomical evaluation of spinal nerve and cervical intervertebral foramina in anterior controllable antedisplacement and fusion (ACAF) surgery, a novel surgical technique with the wider decompression, through a cadaveric and radiologic study.

Methods: Radiographic data of consecutive 47 patients (21 by ACAF and 26 by anterior cervical corpectomy and fusion [ACCF]) who have accepted surgery for treatment of cervical ossification of the posterior longitudinal ligament (OPLL) and stenosis from March 2017 to March 2018 were retrospectively reviewed and compared between an ACAF group and ACCF group. Three postoperative radiographic parameters were evaluated: the decompression width and the satisfaction rate of decompression at the entrance zone of intervertebral foramina on computed tomography (CT), and the transverse diameter of spinal cord in the decompression levels on magnetic resonance imaging (MRI). In the anatomic study, three fresh cadaveric spines (death within 3 months) undergoing ACAF surgery were also studied. Four anatomic parameters were evaluated: the width of groove, the distance between the bilateral origins of ventral rootlets, the length of ventral rootlet from their origin to the intervertebral foramina, the descending angle of ventral rootlet.

Results: The groove created in ACAF surgery included the bilateral origins of ventral rootlets. The rootlets tended to be vertical from the rostral to the caudal direction as their takeoff points from the central thecal sac became higher and farther away from their corresponding intervertebral foramina gradually. No differences were identified between left and right in terms of the length of ventral rootlet from the origin to the intervertebral foramina and the descending angle of ventral rootlet. The decompression width was significantly greater in ACAF group (19.2 ± 1.2 vs 14.7 ± 1.2, 21.3 ± 2.2 vs 15.4 ± 0.9, 21.5 ± 2.1 vs 15.7 ± 1.0, 21.9 ± 1.6 vs 15.9 ± 0.8, from C3 to C6). The satisfactory rate of decompression at the entrance zone of intervertebral foramina tended to be better in the left side in ACAF group (significant differences were identified in the left side at C3/4, C4/5, C6/7 level, and in the right side at C4/5 level when compared with ACCF). And decompression width was significantly greater than the transverse diameter of spinal cord in ACAF group. Comparatively, there existed no significant difference in the ACCF group besides the C5 level.
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Conclusion: ACAF can decompress the entrance zone of intervertebral foramina effectively and its decompression width includes the origins and massive running part of bilateral ventral rootlets. Due to its wider decompression range, ACAF can be used as a revision strategy for the patients with failed ACCF.
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Introduction

Cervical ossification of the posterior longitudinal ligament (OPLL) is defined as a degenerative disease with the characteristics of calcification of the posterior longitudinal ligament in the cervical spine, which may result in cervical myelopathy due to the compression of spinal cord or nerve roots. As reported, it is more susceptible for Asian and middle-aged populations. Patients usually develop various degrees of neurological symptoms, such as paresthesia of limbs and trunk, motor paralysis, and bladder and rectal dysfunction, and their OPLL may progress gradually. Long-term follow-ups have shown that the ossification progressed laterally for 42% patients and longitudinally for up to 86% patients. Therefore, surgical intervention would be considered in their course inevitably, especially for those with progressive myelopathy.

However, the ideal operative strategy for OPLL has always been a controversial issue. Posterior approach, such as laminectomy and laminoplasty, can enlarge the spinal canal volume with indirect decompression of the compressed spinal cord and drift the spinal cord dorsally. On the one hand, it is commonly recommended for patients with multilevel OPLL (more than three levels) and excellent cervical curvature (negative K-line). On the other hand, the viewpoint has been universally acknowledged that anterior approach surgery outperformed posterior approach with respect to the postoperative neural function, particularly for patients with canal-occupying ratio greater than 50%–60% and with kyphotic cervical curvatures. However, the anterior approach, such as anterior cervical discectomy and fusion (ACDF) and anterior cervical corpectomy and fusion (ACCF), is challenging with a more complex procedure and a higher risk of complications.

OPLL more than three levels cannot be managed well by traditional anterior decompression surgery. Recently, our team has proposed a new surgical technique named anterior controllable antedisplacement and fusion (ACAF) which overcome such difficulties. In this technique, anterior vertebral bodies were partly resected and the remaining vertebrae-OPLL complex (VOC) was hoisted via a plate-screw system. By this method, we not only achieved more satisfactory outcomes, but also extended the indication of the anterior approach retaining the OPLL and vertebrae. As our previous reports, ACAF provided adequate decompression of the spinal cord and better outcomes compared with conventional ACCF in the treatment of severe cervical OPLL and cervical stenosis. We assume that this is partly due to the fact that ACAF has a wider range of decompression than conventional anterior approach, resulting in adequate decompression of the spinal cord and nerve roots.

In recent anatomic research, the safety of ACAF surgery in terms of the occurrence of vertebral artery injury during the slotting procedure was verified. However, its safety and availability of neurodecompression have never been verified by the anatomic method. The entrance zone of the intervertebral foramina is the narrowest part, however, the nerve root crossing this tunnel is the largest part. Thus, neurothlipsis usually occurs and causes cervical radiculopathy. The spinal cord decompression is hardly neglected during traditional anterior approach, but decompression of the entrance zone of the intervertebral foramina and takeoff points of nerve roots may be inadequate. For example, the takeoff points may be decompressed inadequately in ACDF as the takeoff points are usually located cranially when compared with the corresponding intervertebral foramen, and the entrance zone of the intervertebral foramina cannot be managed adequately in ACCF, especially for the unskilled surgeons. These deficiencies can be avoided by the ACAF technique theoretically, however, only radiographic evaluation has been employed in previous research. Thus, anatomic evidence should be elucidated well.

The spinal nerve is located at the lateral and posterior sides of uncovertebral joint, and removal of the hyperplastic uncovertebral joint plays an important role in decompression of the nerve root. Several techniques have been introduced to deal with the uncovertebral joint during traditional anterior methods. For example, Jho et al. proposed anterior cervical foraminotomy through completely resecting the uncovertebral joint, and others modified it by preserving the lateral margin of the uncovertebral joint to avoid vertebral artery injury. In ACAF surgery, uncovertebral joints are usually resected safely and get a similar decompression effect compared with these techniques. In fact, tip of uncovertebral joint is recommended as the landmark for slotting in ACAF. Even in such cases with severe foraminal stenosis, wedging slotting would be preferred to make sure of adequate decompression on the cervical nerve roots. Thus, it is also crucial for surgeons to understand the anatomical relationship between the slotting and the spinal nerve and cervical intervertebral foramina thoroughly during ACAF surgery.

Above all, we started this study through the cadaveric and radiologic methods. The purpose of this study was to:
(i) provide cadaveric evidence to verify that the adequate decompression effect could be achieved in ACAF surgery; (ii) demonstrate that ACAF surgery could offer a novel revision strategy for traditional ACCF; and (iii) describe the morphology and intradural pathways of rootlets in the cervical spine.

Materials and Methods

Cadaveric Sample
For this study, three fresh cadaveric spines (two males and one female, death within 3 months), ages at death ranged from 37 to 49 years (mean 42 years) were examined. To study the physiologic relation between the bone and nerve tissues, all specimens with severe deformities and traumas were excluded. The cadaveric specimens of the cervical spine from the atlas to the first thoracic vertebra were obtained.

Anatomic Evaluation
All of the cadaveric specimens underwent vertical slotting in the medial of uncinated process (UP) tip bilaterally on the basis of ACAF surgical procedure (Fig. 1A)10. The C₄, C₅, C₆ vertebrae were removed after bilateral osteotomies. Then the dural sac corresponding to the vertebrae was removed anteriorly to acquire the spinal cord and nerve rootlets as shown in Fig. 1B, C.

Four anatomic parameters were evaluated after removing the C₄, C₅, C₆ vertebrae and corresponding dural sac as follows (Fig. 2):

- **Width of Groove and Distance between the Bilateral Origins of Ventral Rootlets (DVR)**
  Width of groove was measured as the distance between bilateral lateral walls of groove. DVR was measured as the distance between bilateral medial edges of origins of ventral rootlets. They were used to evaluate the decompression effect of ACAF technique on the origin of ventral rootlet.

- **Length of Ventral Rootlet from the Origin to the Intervertebral Foramina (LVR) and Descending Angle of Ventral Rootlet**
  LVR was measured as the distance between the origin of ventral rootlet and corresponding the intervertebral foramina. Descending angle of the ventral rootlet was measured as the angle between the vertical line and the line running parallel to the ventral rootlet. They were used to evaluate the decompression range of ACAF technique on the running part of ventral rootlet and recognize the morphology and pathway of spinal nerve in the spinal canal.

Clinical Sample
This study was approved by the institutional review board of our hospital, and all included patients signed the informed consent. The inclusion criteria were as follows: (i) diagnosed with cervical OPLL by X-ray and computed tomography (CT); (ii) diagnosed with cervical stenosis by X-ray and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI); (iii) presented with neurologic dysfunction and accepted surgery of ACAF or ACCF; and (iv) compression segments extended two or more. The exclusion criteria were as follows: (i) history of injury;
(ii) cervical congenital deformity; and (iii) nerve root compressed by the superior articular process, the ligamentum flavum or the periradicularfibrous tissues posteriorly.

Clinical data of 47 consecutive patients treated for cervical OPLL and cervical stenosis from March 2017 to March 2018 were retrospectively reviewed. Among them, 21 patients underwent ACAF surgery and ACCF surgery was performed on 26 patients. The operations were performed by the same professional spine surgeon.

**Radiographic Evaluation**

CT scans and MRIs were obtained on the third day after operation in all postoperative patients. All radiographs were independently analyzed by three experienced spine surgeons on both sides of cervical vertebras in operative levels through MIMICS software. Three postoperative radiographic parameters were evaluated (Fig. 3).

**Decompression Width and Transverse Diameter of Spinal Cord**

Decompression width was measured as the distance between the bilateral lateral walls of groove on cross-sectional CT image. Transverse diameter of spinal cord was calculated on cross-sectional MRI image. They were used to compare the decompression effect of ACAF and ACCF surgery on the takeoff point of the nerve root.

**Satisfaction Rate of Decompression at the Entrance Zone of Intervertebral Foramina**

This was investigated on a cross-sectional CT image. The satisfaction standard of decompression at the entrance zone of intervertebral foramina was defined based on the condition of the posterior UP base and medial cortex of cervical pedicle. It was positive when the posterior UP base or medial cortex of cervical pedicle was removed, and was negative when posterior UP base and medial cortex of cervical pedicle were left in situ (Fig. 4). The satisfaction rate was defined as
the positive number divided by the total number. It was used to evaluate the decompression effect of ACAF on the cervical intervertebral foramina and running part of the nerve root.

Statistical Analysis
The measured parameters are expressed as the mean ± SD. The chi square test was used to compare categorical variables. Unpaired *t* test was used to compare continuous data between ACAF and ACCF group. *t* Paired *t* test was used to compare parameters between the left and right side. It was also performed for the comparison of the decompression width and the transverse diameter of spinal cord in each group. Statistical analysis was performed using SPSS21.0 (IBM, Armonk, NY, USA). *P* value <0.05 was considered as statistically significant.

Results

Anatomic Outcomes

Width of Groove and DVR
The groove created in ACAF surgery obviously included the bilateral origins of ventral rootlets in each level. (Width of groove: 20.4 ± 0.5 mm in C₄ vertebra, 21.7 ± 0.5 mm in C₅ vertebra, 23.0 ± 1.1 mm in C₆ vertebra. DVR: 6.9 ± 0.2 mm in C₅ ventral rootlets, 7.5 ± 0.2 in C₆ ventral rootlets, 7.2 ± 0.1 mm in C₇ ventral rootlets, 7.5 ± 0.2 mm in C₈ ventral rootlets) (Table 1).

LVR and Descending Angle of Ventral Rootlet
The length of the C₅-C₈ ventral rootlets showed increasing and the descending angles of ventral rootlets demonstrated decreasing gradually from the rostral to the caudal direction. (LVR: 13.1 ± 0.3 mm in the left of C₅, 13.2 ± 0.2 mm in the right of C₅, 16.4 ± 0.4 mm in the left of C₆, 16.4 ± 0.3 mm in the right of C₆, 17.7 ± 0.4 mm in the left of C₇, 17.7 ± 0.4 mm in the right of C₇, 16.4 ± 0.3 in the left of C₈, 16.4 ± 0.4 mm in the right of C₈. Descending angle: 33.7 ± 0.4° in the left of C₅, 33.7 ± 0.4° in the right of C₅, 24.5 ± 0.5° in the left of C₆, 24.6 ± 0.5° in the right of C₆, 20.4 ± 0.2° in the left of C₇, 20.4 ± 0.2° in the right of C₇, 14.8 ± 0.2° in the left of C₈, 14.9 ± 0.3° in the right of C₈). No differences were identified between left and right in terms of the LVR and the descending angle of ventral rootlet (Table 1).

Table 1 Anatomic parameters in the samples underwent ACAF surgery

| Anatomic parameter | C₄ | C₅ | C₆ | C₇ | C₈ |
|--------------------|----|----|----|----|----|
| Width of groove (mm) | 20.4 ± 0.5 | 21.7 ± 0.5 | 23.0 ± 1.1 |   |   |
| DVR (mm)            | 6.9 ± 0.2 | 7.5 ± 0.2 | 7.2 ± 0.1 | 7.5 ± 0.2 |   |
| LVR (mm) (left)     | 13.1 ± 0.3 | 16.4 ± 0.4 | 17.7 ± 0.4 | 16.4 ± 0.3 |
| LVR (mm) (right)    | 13.2 ± 0.2 | 16.4 ± 0.3 | 17.7 ± 0.4 | 16.4 ± 0.4 |
| Descending Angle (°) (left) | 33.7 ± 0.4 | 24.5 ± 0.5 | 20.4 ± 0.2 | 14.8 ± 0.2 |
| Descending Angle (°) (right) | 33.7 ± 0.4 | 24.6 ± 0.5 | 20.4 ± 0.2 | 14.9 ± 0.3 |

Data are expressed as the mean ± standard deviation. DVR, distance between the bilateral origins of ventral rootlets; LVR, length of ventral rootlet from the origin of ventral rootlet to the intervertebral foramina.
superior border of C6 vertebrae, respectively (Fig. 1B).

The decompression width was significantly greater in the ACAF group (19.2 ± 1.2 mm vs 14.3 ± 1.8 mm in C3, P < 0.001, 21.3 ± 2.2 mm vs 15.1 ± 1.7 mm in C4, P < 0.001, 21.5 ± 2.1 mm vs 14.5 ± 1.9 mm in C5, P < 0.001, 21.9 ± 1.6 mm vs 14.2 ± 2.5 mm in C6, P < 0.001) (Table 4). Comparatively, there existed no difference in the ACCF group besides the C3 level (15.7 ± 1.0 mm vs 14.4 ± 2.0 mm in C5, P = 0.018) (Table 3).

**Satisfaction Rate of Decompression at the Entrance Zone of Intervertebral Foramina**

The satisfactory rate of decompression at the entrance zone of intervertebral foramina was significantly greater in the ACAF group (100% vs 55.6% in the left of C3/4, P = 0.013, 94.4% vs 63.2% in the left of C4/5, P = 0.026, 94.4% vs 57.9% in the right of C4/5, P = 0.012, 100% vs 50.0% in the left of C5/6, P = 0.06), except for the right side of C3/4 and C5/6, and both sides of C5/6 on account of their small sample size. And the satisfactory rate tended to be better in the left side in the ACAF group (Table 2).

**Case Report**

A 63-year-old male diagnosed with cervical OPLL complained of gait disturbance and zonesthesia for 17 years. He received a C6 corpectomy in 2007, and the symptoms were not relieved after the primary surgery. In 2018, the remaining OPLL at C5-C6 and the adjacent degeneration (C4/C5) was found during follow up, then ACAF was chosen for the revision surgery (Fig. 5). After removing the plate

### Tables

| Table 2: Comparison of radiographic parameters between ACAF and ACCF groups |
| -------------------------------------------------- |
| **Group** | C3 | C4 | C5 | C6 | C3/(L/R) | C4/(L/R) | C5/(L/R) | C6/(L/R) |
| ACAF | 19.2 ± 1.2 | 21.3 ± 2.2 | 21.5 ± 2.1 | 21.9 ± 1.6 | 100/90.9 | 94.4/94.4 | 90.0/85.0 | 100/83.3 |
| ACCF | 14.7 ± 1.2 | 15.4 ± 0.9 | 15.7 ± 1.0 | 15.9 ± 0.8 | 55.6/55.6 | 63.2/57.9 | 68.4/68.4 | 50.0/50.0 |
| *P* | <0.001 | <0.001 | <0.001 | <0.001 | 0.013/0.069 | 0.026/0.012 | 0.095/0.219 | 0.006/0.111 |

Continuous data are expressed as the mean ± standard deviation.; *P* < 0.05, statistically significant. L, left; R, right.

| Table 3: Comparison of decompression width and transverse diameter of spinal cord in both groups |
|--------------------------------------------------|
| **Radiographic Parameter** | ACAF | ACCF |
|-----------------------------|-------|------|
| Decompression width (mm)    | C3    | C4   | C5   | C6   | C3 | C4   | C5   | C6   |
| 19.2 ± 1.2                  | 21.3 ± 2.2 | 21.5 ± 2.1 | 21.9 ± 1.6 | 14.7 ± 1.2 | 15.4 ± 0.9 | 15.7 ± 1.0 | 15.9 ± 0.8 |
| Transverse Diameter of Spinal Cord (mm) | t     | 7.427 | 9.746 | 11.575 | 8.959 | 0.508 | 1.423 | 2.512 | 1.216 |
|                             | *P*   | <0.001 | <0.001 | <0.001 | <0.001 | 0.618 | 0.166 | 0.017* | 0.255 |

Datas are expressed as the mean ± standard deviation.; *P* < 0.05, statistically significant.
and screws, the anterior part of titanium mesh was ground off. Then discectomy in C4/5 and partly anterior resection of C5 vertebrae were performed. We then completed the vertical slotting on bilateral sides of C5 and outside the original titanium mesh. Subsequently, the wedge osteotomy was performed at the inferior border of the titanium mesh and the superior border of C7 vertebrae in accordance with our preoperative design. The C5 and titanium mesh were moved forward, and the bilateral entrance zones of intervertebral foramen were decompressed. As a result of the revision surgery, the spinal cord and nerve root received adequate decompression and his postoperative symptoms gradually relieved, while his JOA score increased from 9 preoperatively to 15 postoperatively.

Discussion

There is still some controversy on the treatment of OPLL. The anterior approach can achieve better clinical outcomes and long-term benefits by directly removing the anterior pathogenic structures. However, the procedure is more complex and associated with a higher risk of complications. When it comes to multilevel or severe OPLL, the anterior approach becomes riskier and more challenging, and the posterior approach becomes an alternative option.

Though a relatively simple technique with demanding and low complication rates, the posterior approach tends to be unsatisfactory due to the indirect decompression and spinal cord shifting dorsally, especially for severe OPLL or kyphotic cervical spine. Previously, we proposed a novel technique named ACAF surgery for the treatment of severe OPLL, which showed lower surgery-relative complication rates and better clinical outcomes than the conventional anterior approach. We contributed the good clinical outcomes to the adequate decompression of spinal cord and nerve root in ACAF surgery. This anatomic and radiographic investigation supported the hypothesis, and the revealed relationship among the slotting and cervical spinal cord, nerve root, and cervical intervertebral foramina provide a reference for the surgical procedures.

Decompression Effect of ACAF

The boundaries of the intervertebral foramen were the adjacent pedicles inferiorly and superiorly, by the medical aspect of the facet joint and the adjacent part of the articular column posteriorly, and by the posterolateral aspect of the uncovertebral joint, the intervertebral disc, and the inferior part of the suprajacent vertebrae anteriorly. The intervertebral foramina were divided into two anatomic zones: entrance zone and exit zone. The shape of the intervertebral
foramina approximated a funnel, with their entrance zone being the narrowest part, and the shape of the nerve roots were conical, with their takeoff points from the central thecal sac being the largest part.\textsuperscript{16, 17} The ventral rootlet and dorsal rootlet merge into the spinal nerve at the entrance zone of intervertebral foramen. Thus, the entrance zone of intervertebral foramina and the takeoff points of nerve roots are the key sites which could influence the decompression effect. The takeoff points of the ventral rootlets are usually located higher than the corresponding intervertebral foramen, the decompression may be inadequate by discectomy alone. The corpectomy is sufficient in terms of decompression at the takeoff points of ventral rootlets, but it cannot always decompress the entrance zone of the intervertebral foramina adequately, especially for the unskilled surgeons. In ACAF surgery, the vertical slotting was performed in the medial of UP tip. Thus, most of the structure of posterior UP base were resected. Furthermore, we resected the intervertebral disc and inferior part of the suprajacentvertebrae in front of the intervertebral foramina to expand the narrowest part of intervertebral foramina, which is able to complete the decompression of the junctions of ventral rootlets and dorsal rootlets.

As our anatomical results show, the width of groove in ACAF surgery included the origins of bilateral ventral rootlets and the massive rootlets running laterally and caudally in the spinal canal. We found that the width of groove and length of ventral rootlet from the origin to the intervertebral foramina gradually increased as they became caudal. This suggests that the width of grooves in each segment in ACAF technique are inconsistent. We need to use the UP as an anatomical landmark to achieve a gradually increasing decompression width from the rostral to the caudal direction, so as to achieve complete decompression of the gradually extending nerve roots in each segment, and provide them with more space to pass through the intervertebral foramina. The effectiveness of decompression on the spinal nerve in the ACAF technique is also confirmed from the radiographic results, that show the satisfaction rate of decompression at the entrance zone of intervertebral foramina was significantly higher in the ACAF group, and the decompression width was significantly greater than the transverse diameter of spinal cord in ACAF group.

Since the spinal nerve originates from the spinal cord, it is located at the lateral and posterior sides of uncovertebral joint as well as the posterior side of vertebral artery, and runs laterally and caudally. Therefore, removal of the hyperplastic uncovertebral joint plays an important role in decompression of the nerve root. Jho\textsuperscript{18–20} proposed the anterior cervical foraminotomy for the treatment of cervical radiculopathy and myelopathy through completely resecting the UP with a drill. Since then, others had reported some modified techniques to generally avoid drilling the lateral margin of the UP to lessen the risk of vertebral artery injury.\textsuperscript{21, 22, 29, 30} For stability, Pakzaban\textsuperscript{31} described the ultrasonic total uncinctectomy (UTU) technique, who utilized an ultrasonic bone dissector to safely achieve complete resection of the UP during anterior cervical discectomy and decompress the entire length of the cervical nerve root up to the vertebral artery. The management of UPS in ACAF surgery could get a similar safety and decompression effect with these techniques. Our previous cadaveric study indicated that the distance between the UP tip and transverse foramen was larger than 2 mm, which verified the safety of ACAF surgery in the risk of vertebral artery injury.\textsuperscript{15} ACAF was capable of accomplishing the complete anterior decompression on cervical nerve roots. Otherwise, wedging slotting\textsuperscript{15} would be preferred for patients with severe foraminal stenosis. The boundary of wedging slotting can extend to the pedicle, in some cases, the medial cortices of the pedicles will be removed to make sure the adequate decompression on the cervical nerve roots. As a consequence, ACAF is qualified for dealing with the anterior central, paracentral and lateral compression with cervical myelopathy and radiculopathy.

**Revision Strategy**

According to the anatomic study, there was extra space on both sides after placing the titanium mesh in the groove created in ACAF surgery (Fig. 1D), which indicated a wider decompression slotting in ACAF surgery. It was directly verified by this radiographic result that the decompression width in ACAF was significantly greater than ACCF, consistent with our previous clinical study (17.9 ± 1.0 vs 15.1 ± 0.8 mm, P < 0.01).\textsuperscript{15} Moreover, ACAF could decompress the spinal nerve and the entrance zone of intervertebral foramina well, which makes it possible to revise the primary ACCF by the ACAF technique. Based on the typical case (Fig. 5), good radiographic and clinical outcomes had been reported in the revision surgery using the ACAF technique. From this revision surgery, we completed the vertical slotting on the bilateral sides of the original titanium mesh according to the slotting line in the ACAF technique. After the discectomies proximally and distally, the vertebral body of the segment was moved forward together with the original titanium mesh, and the bilateral entrance zones of the intervertebral foramen received adequate decompression. Thus, ACAF provides a revision strategy for patients with poor postoperative outcome or subsequent nerve root compression after ACCF surgery.

**Morphology and Intrudural Pathways of Rootlets**

Tanaka and his colleagues\textsuperscript{16} investigated the intradural pathways of rootlets in the embalmed cadavers by marking the rootlets with stainless steel wires. From their perspective, the rootlet ran more vertically as nerve roots became caudal, and the length of the respective rootlets showed gradual increase from the rostral to the caudal direction. These results were consistent with our anatomical identification. In addition, Tanaka demonstrated the origin of C\textsubscript{5} rootlets was located at the C\textsubscript{4} level, and the C\textsubscript{6} and C\textsubscript{7} rootlets originated at the relevant C\textsubscript{5}, C\textsubscript{6}, and C\textsubscript{7} disc level. However, our research of fresh cadaveric spines indicated that the ventral rootlets separated from the spinal cord approximately one vertebrae level higher than the corresponding intervertebral
foramen, and the C7 and C8 ventral rootlets originated at inferior border of C5 vertebrae and superior border of C6 vertebrae, respectively. Theoretically, the observation on fresh specimens should be more close to clinical practice. As shown in Fig. 1B, the origins located at the vertebrae level mostly, and the origin of the ventral rootlet diverged in an umbrella shape and then converged into a bundle. In order to thoroughly decompress the origin and running part of the nerve root, the lateral width and longitudinal length of the decompression should be cautious though the anterior cervical approach. Additionally, an expanded decompression was preferred in the process of ACDF surgery. In this case, the hyperplastic uncovertebral joint should be removed as much as possible, and a wedge-shape would be necessary to remove part of the posterior border of adjacent vertebral body. During the ACCF surgery, sufficient decompression width should be maintained as much as possible to ensure adequate decompression on the running part of nerve root.

**Limitations**

Our study has provided ACAF as an efficient strategy indicating the possibility of decompressing the entrance zone of intervertebral foramina, but some limitations still exist. To reach statistical significance, more clinical and cadaveric sample sizes would be preferable. Further research should focus on evaluating the comprehensive morphology of spine nerves, and taking advantage of ACAF as an effective and all-sided revision strategy after poor ACCF surgery. In conclusion, a clinical study involving more similar cases and comprehensive analysis and evaluation should be carried out in future.

**Conclusion**

ACAF can decompress the entrance zone of intervertebral foramina effectively, which provides more space for nerve roots to pass through the narrowest part of the intervertebral foramina. Cadaveric and Radiographic measurements indicated the decompression width in ACAF surgery included the origins and massive running part of bilateral ventral rootlets in the spinal canal. Furthermore, ACAF can be used as a revision strategy for patients with poor postoperative outcome or subsequent nerve root compression after ACCF surgery.
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