The breakdown of fatty acids, performed by the β-oxidation cycle, is crucial for plant germination and sustainability. β-Oxidation involves four enzymatic reactions. The final step, in which a two-carbon unit is cleaved from the fatty acid, is performed by a 3-ketoacyl-CoA thiolase (KAT). The shortened fatty acid may then pass through the cycle again (until reaching acetooacetyl-CoA) or be directed to a different cellular function. Crystal structures of KAT from Arabidopsis thaliana and Helianthus annuus have been solved to 1.5 and 1.8 Å resolution, respectively. Their dimeric structures are very similar and exhibit a typical thiolase-like fold; dimer formation and active site conformation appear in an open, active, reduced state. Using an interdisciplinary approach, we confirmed the potential of plant KATs to be regulated by the redox environment in the peroxisome within a physiological range. In addition, co-immunoprecipitation studies suggest an interaction between KAT and the multifunctional protein that is responsible for the preceding two steps in β-oxidation, which would allow a route for substrate channeling. We suggest a model for this complex based on the bacterial system.

Fatty acids are fundamental biomolecules that are abundant in all life forms. With their enormous variation in chain length and degree of saturation, they are essential for energy storage, form structural entities in biomembranes, and serve as signaling molecules. Fatty acids are broken down in a cyclic manner, two carbons at a time, to generate a range of products by the process known as β-oxidation (1). In higher plants (2) and yeast (3) β-oxidation of all forms of fatty acid occurs in the peroxisomes. In plants, β-oxidation is essential for a plethora of physiological roles including responses to senescence and starvation, fatty acid turnover, and the regulation of plant lipid composition. Germinating seeds depend on β-oxidation for the mobilization and release of energy stored in the seed (4). Arabidopsis thaliana seeds deficient in β-oxidation enzymes are unable to germinate without an external sugar source; they have large and unusual peroxisomes and accumulate C16–C20 fatty acids (5, 6). β-Oxidation is also responsible for the synthesis of jasmonic acid (7) and indole-3-acetic acid (auxin) via conversion from indole-3-butyric acid (8), which serve as crucial plant hormones regulating plant development and responses to biotic and abiotic stress. Hydrogen peroxide, produced as a byproduct during β-oxidation, is used by catalase to oxidize different toxins (e.g. alcohols) and plays an important role in cellular signaling (9).

β-Oxidation comprises four reactions. First, the CoA-activated acyl chain is oxidized to 2-trans-enoyl-CoA by an acyl-CoA oxidase (10), producing H2O2 as a byproduct. The double bond is then reduced by 2-trans-enoyl hydratase forming 1,3-hydroxyacyl-CoA followed by oxidation by NADH-dependent 1,3-hydroxyacyl-CoA dehydrogenase. Both the hydratase and dehydrogenase activities are performed by a multifunctional protein (MFP) (11, 12). 3-Ketoacyl-CoA thiolase (KAT) performs the last step of β-oxidation, thiolytically cleaving a two-carbon unit from 3-ketoacyl-CoA (13). The shortened fatty acyl-CoA can then be subjected to further rounds of β-oxidation or directed to other pathways. In mammals there are two distinct pathways for β-oxidation; very long chain fatty acids and bile acid intermediates are shortened in peroxisomes, whereas medium to long chain mono- and dicarboxylic fatty acids, methyl-branched fatty acids, and prostaglandins are broken down in mitochondria (14). In both mitochondrial and prokaryotic β-oxidation systems trifunctional multi-enzyme complexes (comprising MFP and KAT activities) exist allowing for metabolite channeling (15–18). The crystal structure of the Pseudomonas fragi trifunctional enzyme complex is known and consists of two α-subunits (hosting MFP functions; hereafter referred to as PfMFP) and two β-subunits (hosting KAT functions; hereafter referred to as PfKAT) in a dynamic complex (16). Plants contain a number of isozymes for each step in β-oxidation; the acyl-CoA oxidases are best defined with regard to having...
different but overlapping substrate specificities. Communication between the isoforms via a protein-protein complex, similar to that found in P. fragi, could direct and control the flow of metabolites through β-oxidation, but to date no such complex in plants has been reported.

There are two distinct forms of 3-ketocacyl-CoA thiolases. Type I is 3-ketocacyl-CoA thiolase (EC 2.3.1.16), a catabolic enzyme expressing the reverse Claisen condensation reaction involved in, for example, the β-oxidation cycle. Type II thiolase is acetoacetyl-CoA thiolase (ACAT; EC 2.3.1.9) and is involved in the anabolic mevalonate pathway performing Claisen condensation. Arabidopsis has been shown to possess three KAT genes that encode three peroxisomal KAT isoforms (1, 2, and 5.2) and one cytosolic isoform lacking the N-terminal 43 residues (5.1) and two ACAT genes that encode four cytosolic ACAT isoforms (1.1, 1.2, 2.1, and 2.2) and one peroxisomal isoform (1.3) (13). In Arabidopsis, KAT2 is the major type I thiolase isoform present during germination; it seems to be important for triacylglycerol synthesis during seed development as well (6). KAT1 and KAT5 are not abundant in seedlings, and their roles are less well defined; KAT5 is possibly involved in flavonoid biosynthesis (13). KAT2 is reported to be active for the full range of fatty acid lengths from acetoacetyl-CoA upward (6). Thus, in contrast to the first step involving substrate-specific acyl-CoA oxidases, the last step of β-oxidation is seemingly unspecific. The first structure of KAT was solved from Saccharomyces cerevisiae (ScKAT) (19, 20). This structure has a typical thiolase-like fold, is a homodimer, and presumably is in an active state. The structure of KAT2 from Arabidopsis (AtKAT2) was solved later and also shows a homodimer with a thiolase-like fold (21). However, the AtKAT2 structure is noticeably different from the ScKAT structure; a disulfide bridge is formed involving the presumed active site nucleophile, causing the active site to be inaccessible to substrate and resulting in a change in the loop structure that caps the active site and a different dimer arrangement. A regulation of β-oxidation by redox potential was suggested; however, they did not produce either a structure of AtKAT2 in an active, reduced state or any results that suggested oxidation taking place within a biologically relevant redox range. Recently, a human peroxisomal KAT (HsKAT) structure was deposited in the PDB database by the Structural Genomics Consortium (PDB code 2IIK). HsKAT is also in the active, reduced state.

Peroxisomes provide a challenging environment for enzyme stability. The redox environment of the peroxisome traditionally was considered stable and reducing due to the presence of catalase and its role in the breakdown of H2O2 derived from photorespiration, oxidative processes, and the decomposition of radical oxygen species (22, 23). However, H2O2 is now recognized as an important signaling molecule in both plants and animals (for a review see Ref. 9), and plant peroxisomes have been shown to be net producers of H2O2, releasing it to the cytosol (24, 25) (for a review see Ref. 26). The subcellular distribution of H2O2 is unknown, but the total concentration of H2O2 in unstressed leaves has been measured as high as 3.6 μmol/g (fresh weight) translating to an average of 3.6 μM (27, 28). The finding that the diene containing fluorescent probe BODIPY-PTS1 is oxidized in the peroxisomes of rat fibroblasts upon incubation with eicosanoic and phytanic acids suggests that the redox state of this organelle is unstable despite the redox buffering effects of the antioxidant defense systems present in peroxisomes (29). Stressing the need for regulation even further, catalase itself is inhibited at prolonged exposure to H2O2 generated at 2 nmol/(ml min) particularly in the absence of NADPH (30–34). Additionally, peroxisomes have been shown to change their morphology dramatically in response to H2O2 stresses (35). The inactivation of catalase by H2O2 would lead to a breakdown of the peroxisomal antioxidant defense system giving rise to toxic conditions, oxidative damage, and eventually cell death. Therefore a stable and balanced intracellular redox environment is crucial for all cells; a control point in the β-oxidation cycle would aid the control of the redox conditions in the peroxisome.

Here we present results demonstrating that the redox state and activity of AtKAT2 are affected under biologically relevant conditions as well as the crystal structures of two plant thiolases, AtKAT2 and sunflower KatKAT (Helianthus annuus (HakKAT)), in a reduced, open, active conformation to high resolution. We discuss the potential redox control of β-oxidation and as initiated from our co-immunoprecipitation pulldown assays, we suggest a possible complex formation with MFP. For completeness we have included in the supplemental material a comparison of the KAT structures presented here with other available peroxisomal type I KAT structures at a structural and substrate binding level.

**EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES**

Cloning, Expression, and Protein Purification—The full-length HakKAT construct (36) was recloned to remove the N-terminal signaling sequence and insert a C-terminal His6 tag. The construct for HakKAT (17–449) was made using forward (5′-GGGTTTGCATATGCCCTTCTCTACTCTCTCATCC-TTG-3′) and reverse (5′-GAATTCGCCGATGATGT-GGTAGTTGATGTGTCTTATATGGCAC-3′) primers. The resulting PCR fragment was ligated into a pSTblue-1 vector using the Perfectly Blunt ligation kit (Novagen). The purified plasmid was cut with restriction enzymes NdeI and NotI (New England Biolabs), and these sites were used to insert the PCR product into the pET24b expression vector (Novagen) followed by transformation of super competent XL1-Blue cells (Stratagene). Protein expression was carried out using BL21(DE3) cells. An expression construct encoding AtKAT2 (36–462) with an N-terminal enterokinase excisable His6 tag was prepared in pET46 Ek/LIC from clone pda03502 (Riken) using ligation independent cloning technology (Novagen) and the primers 5′-GACGACGACAGATGGCTGGGGACGT-GCTGCAAT-3′ and 5′-GGAGAGAAGCCCGGTACAGGC-GTCCTTGGAAC-3′. Successful cloning was verified by colony PCR and sequencing, and the construct was used to transform RosettaGami2(DE3) cells by electroporation. Both proteins were expressed and purified in the same manner. 1 liter of 2×YT medium supplemented with the appropriate antibiotics was inoculated with a 1/50 overnight culture and grown to an optical density of 0.5 at 37 °C. Cells were then cooled to
Redox Control of Peroxisomal Thiolase

25 °C before induction with 1 mM isopropyl 1-thio-β-d-galactopyranoside and incubation (shaking) at 25 °C for 18 h. Cell pellets were resuspended in a prechilled solution of 50 mM Tris-HCl, 200 mM NaCl, pH 7.7, and lysed with Bug-Buster (Sigma; 1/10 dilution) and Benzonase nuclease (Novagen; 1/1000 dilution) for 1 h at room temperature. The lysed cell pellets were centrifuged at 18,000 × g for 1 h, and the supernatant was applied to a 5-ml Ni2+ affinity column (Amersham Biosciences). After washing, the protein was eluted by an imidazolate gradient. Protein fractions were assessed by SDS-PAGE, concentrated using a Vivaspin20 30,000 molecular weight cut-off filter device (Sartorius), and applied to a HiLoad 26/60 Superdex 200 prep grade (Amersham Biosciences) size exclusion column pre-equilibrated and run with 50 mM Tris-HCl and 200 mM NaCl, pH 7.7, at 4 °C. Protein fractions were again assessed by SDS-PAGE and then dialyzed overnight against 20 mM Tris-HCl, 150 mM NaCl, 2 mM EDTA, 5% (v/v) glycerol, and 2 mM DTT, pH 9.0.

Thiolase Activity Assay—The activity of AtKAT2 was determined spectrophotometrically by monitoring the absorbance increase at 232 nm due to the formation of new acyl-CoA ester bonds (37). All buffers were deoxygenated by purging in an anaerobic glove box for 1 h. The reactions, consisting of 50 μM acetoacetyl-CoA and 100 μM CoA in 35 mM Tris-HCl and 100 mM NaCl, pH 8.5, were started by the addition of 5 mM AtKAT2 preincubated with 20 mM cysteamine (CSH; Sigma) or 10 mM cystamine (CSSC; Sigma) at 25 °C. The enzyme preparations were diluted 2500× before sampling, resulting in final concentrations of CSH and CSSC of 8 and 4 μM, respectively. The absorbance change at 232 nm was recorded in a quartz microcuvette with a lambda-45 UV-visible spectrophotometer equipped with a Peltier thermal plate reader (SpectraMAX EM/Gemini, Molecular Devices) exciting with 285 nm UV-light and reading emission from 325 to 450 nm with a 325-nm cut-off filter and photomultiplier sensitivity set to medium. Control experiments were recorded and used to normalize the data. The midpoint of transition was determined and used with the Nernst equation and a standard electrode potential of CSH of −260 mV (39) to estimate the midpoint electrode potential of AtKAT2. It was found to be −372 mV at pH 8.5 and −283 mV at pH 7.0. The electrode potential of AtKAT2 was assumed to follow the −59 mV/pH unit correlation identified previously for thioredoxins (40, 41). Far UV CD spectra of 5 μM AtKAT2 incubated with 10 mM CSH or 5 mM CSSC were recorded in the same buffer as described above at 25°C in a Jasco J-810 CD spectrometer with a 1-mm light path quartz cuvette at 20 nm/min and 0.1-nm pitch, averaging 6 scans.

Crystallization—HaKAT at 10 mg/ml in 20 mM Tris-HCl, 150 mM NaCl, 2 mM EDTA, 5% (v/v) glycerol, and 2 mM DTT, pH 9.0, was mixed in a 1:1 ratio with 20% (w/v) polyethylene glycol 3350, 0.2M Li(CH3COO)2, and 0.01M taurine. The crystals were flash-frozen in liquid nitrogen using 30% (v/v) ethylene glycol 3350 and 0.2M Li(CH3COO)2. The crystals were flash-frozen in liquid nitrogen containing 30% (v/v) ethylene glycol as a cryoprotectant. AtKAT2 at 11.8 mg/ml in 20 mM Tris-HCl, 150 mM NaCl, 2 mM EDTA, 5% (v/v) glycerol, and 2 mM DTT, pH 9.0, was mixed in a 1:1 ratio with 20% (w/v) polyethylene glycol 3350, 0.2M Li(CH3COO)2, and 0.002% (v/v) ethylene glycol and crystallized by vapor diffusion (hanging drop) against a reservoir containing 20% (w/v) polyethylene glycol 3350, 0.2M Li(CH3COO)2, and 0.01M taurine. The crystals were flash-frozen in liquid nitrogen using 30% (v/v) ethylene glycol as a cryoprotectant. In both cases crystals grew within 1 week.

X-ray Data Collection, Structure Solution, and Refinement—X-ray data collection and refinement details are given in Table 1; 5% of the reflections flagged for Rfree were chosen in resolution shells using SFTOOL because of assumed non-crystallographic symmetry (NCS). A preliminary HaKAT data set was collected in-house to 2.5 Å resolution (data not shown), and the AtKAT2 (21) monomer was used as a search model for two molecules/asymmetric unit using PHASER (42). One clear solution resulted; this structure was used for refinement against the higher resolution HaKAT (data reported here; the same Rfree set was applied and extended). Initial rigid body refinement was performed treating each monomer as a rigid body. Cycles of restrained refinement including motions for translation/libration/screw (TLS) (four segments/chain, defined using the TLS server (43) and selected on B-factor distribution, resulting in one group for the N-terminal domain, two groups for the L-domain, and one group for the C-terminal domain) in Refmac (44, 45), the use of TLS lowered the Rfree and Rwork values. Medium NCS restraints (chains A and B) were used in

\[
\begin{align*}
R(x) &= -\frac{(\alpha_0 + \beta_0 x) + \left( (\alpha_1 + \beta_1 x) \cdot \exp \left( \frac{m(x - e_{260})}{RT} \right) \right)}{1 + \exp \left( \frac{m(x - e_{260})}{RT} \right)} \\
&= \left( \frac{\alpha_0 + \beta_0 x}{1 + \exp \left( \frac{m(x - e_{260})}{RT} \right)} + \left( \frac{\alpha_1 + \beta_1 x}{1 + \exp \left( \frac{m(x - e_{260})}{RT} \right)} \right) \right)
\end{align*}
\]

(Eq. 1)
the initial rounds of refinement; these were loosened for the rest of the refinement. The main deviations between the molecules occurred in the positioning of the β-hairpin in the L-domain. Water molecule assignment and manual rebuilding was performed in COOT (46) It was noticed that the B-factors assigned to the water molecules during this TLS refinement were largely unexpected, which was also reflected in the electron density, with B-factors either suspiciously high with positive density or suspiciously low with negative density. This is reported as a possible “bug” in the current version of Refmac (version 5.5.0035). Therefore, the final rounds of refinement were performed in PHENIX (47) using the default settings, which resulted in more realistic B-factors and density for the water molecules. The HaKAT dimer model was used as the search model for the AtKAT2 data using Phaser and resulted in one clear solution. Refinement proceeded as per the HaKAT structure. PDB coordinates and structure factors have been deposited with accession codes 2WUA and 2WU9 (deposited via the Protein Data Bank in Europe (PDBe)).

Co-Immunoprecipitation Studies—Enriched peroxisomal lysate was isolated in the following manner. Seedlings (Brassica napus cv.) were grown in the dark for 7 days; the cotyledons were then harvested, homogenized in 10 ml of 0.25 mM sucrose, 0.1 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.4, 1 mM EDTA, 1 mM MgCl₂, 0.1% ethanol, and complete EDTA-free protease inhibitor (Roche Applied Science), and filtered through two layers of Myra cloth. Cell debris was removed by centrifugation at 600 × g for 5 min. Peroxisomes were sedimented by centrifugation (15,000 × g, 20 min), resuspended in 1 ml of 0.1 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.4, 1 mM EDTA, 1 mM MgCl₂, 150 mM NaCl, and complete EDTA-free protease inhibitor (Roche Applied Science), and incubated on ice for 20 min to lyse the peroxisomal membranes. The lysate was cleared twice by centrifugation at 15,000 × g for 20 min. The cleared lysate was then used for co-immunoprecipitation studies using the Seize X protein A kit (Pierce) following the manufacturer's guidelines, immobilizing antibodies against either KAT or MFP on the beads. The eluted antigens were run in duplicate on SDS-PAGE (4–12% bis-Tris, Invitrogen) and blotted onto nitrocellulose membranes. Western blots were then developed using polyclonal antibodies against either MFP or KAT as primary antibodies. The MFP antibodies were raised against recombinant AtMFP2, and the KAT antibodies were raised against recombinant B. napus KAT2 (48). The AtMFP2 antibodies have also been shown to cross-react with recombinant AtAIM1. A control pulldown was performed using immobilized antibodies against His tags with the peroxisomal lysate.

**Structure Analysis and Modeling**—Secondary structure assignment and protein-protein interactions were defined using PROMOTIF (49) via the PDBsum server (50). To construct the Arabidopsis β-oxidation complex model based on the bacterial multi-enzyme β-oxidation complex, we simply superimposed the AtMFP2 structure (51) onto the PfMFP (chains A and B) and the AtKAT2 dimer onto the PfKAT (chains C and D; PDB code 1WDK) and then performed a simulated annealing energy minimization using the crystallography and NMR system (CNS) (52) to minimize clashes between side chains of the two proteins; backbone atoms remained unchanged. To look at the amino acid conservation of the prokaryotic PfKAT and peroxisomal eukaryotic AtKAT2, their sequences were subjected to a BLAST (53) search, and then unique sequences (56 for PfKAT (sequence identity 57–99%) and 52 for AtKAT2 (sequence identity 43–96%)) were compiled and aligned in ClustalW (54). These multiple sequence alignments were then used with their respective structures in ConSurf (55), the output of which allows coloring of the PDB files with respect to conservation, from blue (conserved) through the rainbow to red (unconserved). PfKAT and AtKAT2 structures were not used alone for ConSurf, as many unrelated thiolases were selected by the server. Instead, we opted to select a range of prokaryotic KATs for the PfKAT and peroxisomal eukaryotic KATs for the AtKAT2 to keep the comparison focused on the β-oxidation pathway. The PfMFP and AtMFP structures were subjected to the basic ConSurf 50–sequence selection with a PSI-BLAST E-value cut-off of 0.001. Analysis of the protein-protein interactions was aided by the use of PDBsum and PROTORP (56). Gap volume index values were calculated with PROTORP and NOXclass (57), where these values varied slightly (an average has been reported). Figs. 1, 4, and 5 were prepared using PyMOL. For clarity, for the online supplemental movie, a missing loop containing Trp₁⁷⁵ in the AtKAT₂inactive structure has been modeled using Modeler 7v7 (58).

**RESULTS**

Crystal Structures of AtKAT2 and HaKAT—The crystal structures of Arabidopsis and Helianthus 3-ketoacyl-CoA thiolase have been solved in their dimeric, open, reduced, active state to high resolution (1.5 and 1.8 Å, respectively). AtKAT2 consists of residues 46–448 (A) and 47–448 (B), and HaKAT consists of residues 47–438 (A) and 45–438 (B). Data collection, refinement, and validation details are given in Table 1. To facilitate an easy reference system for the secondary structure elements, the sequences of AtKAT2 and HaKAT were aligned with sequences of other known KAT2 structures, namely from A. thaliana in the inactive form (AtKAT₂inactive; PDB code 2C7Y (21)), Homo sapiens (HsKAT; 2IIK), S. cerevisiae (ScKAT; 1AFW (19)), and P. fragi (PfKAT; 1WDK, chains C and D (16)). β-Strands and helices (α and 3₁₀) were defined using PDBsum (50), incorporated, and numbered according to domains (supplemental Fig. S1 online). The AtKAT2 and HaKAT structures reported here are very similar and exhibit the expected thiolase-like fold described for the ScKAT structure (19). Each subunit consists of three domains (Fig. 1A); the N-domain and C-domain are related by a pseudo 2-fold axis and have the same α/β tertiary fold consisting of a central five-stranded β-sheet where the fifth strand is anti-parallel to strands 1–4. Two α-helices cover the outer side of the β-sheets, and one α-helix from each domain is buried between the sheets. The loop domain (L-domain) is composed of four α-helices (H2, H3, H4, and H5), two 3₁₀-helices (H1 and H6a), and a β-hairpin (S1 and S2; β-hairpin 1) that extends away from the globular protein by −25 Å. The dimer (consisting of subunits A and B) is formed by interactions involving mainly the N-domains.

Subunit A superimposes onto subunit B with an r.m.s.d. of 0.3 Å over 403 Ca atoms in the AtKAT2 structure and with an r.m.s.d. of 0.4 Å over 391 Ca atoms in the HaKAT structure. As
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### TABLE 1

| Statistics                      | HaKat     | Data set | AtKat2 |
|--------------------------------|-----------|----------|--------|
| **Data collection and processing** |           |          |        |
| Collection site                 | ID23, ESRF, France | X12, DESY, Germany |        |
| Wavelength (Å)                  | 0.9762    | 1.0      |        |
| Space group                     | P2 2 2 1  | P2 1     |        |
| Unit cell dimensions            | a = 78.6 Å; b = 107.0 Å; c = 105.3 Å | a = 60.8 Å; b = 86.7 Å; c = 72.7 Å; β = 106.7° |        |
| Resolution (Å)                  | 78.6-1.8(1.9-1.8)° | 34.6-1.5 (1.58-1.5)° |        |
| Rmerge (%)                      | 8.6 (51.9)° | 7.8 (50.0)° |        |
| Rnoncryst (%)                   | 3.8 (26.9)° | 3.1 (23.7)° |        |
| Mean (I)/S.D. mean (I)          | 18.0 (2.6)° | 15.2 (2.6)° |        |
| Completeness (%)                | 99.2 (94.8)° | 97.2 (91.2)° |        |
| No. of unique reflections       | 77,995    | 112,318  |        |
| Multiplicity                    | 8.2 (5.4)° | 5.1 (4.3)° |        |
| Wilson B factor (Å²)            | 28.44     | 12.00    |        |

| **Refinement**                  |           |          |        |
| No. of non-hydrogen protein atoms | 5,875    | 6,151   |        |
| No. of water molecules          | 709       | 1,134   |        |
| No. of ethylene glycol molecules | 0        | 9       |        |
| Rmerge (%)                      | 18.5 (24.4)° | 14.9 (20.9)° |        |
| Rfree (%)                       | 21.6 (28.6)° | 17.7 (21.9)° |        |
| S.D. (%)                        | 1.020     | 1.088   |        |
| Bond angles (°)                 | 0.006     | 0.006   |        |
| Bond lengths (Å)                | 33.4      | 14.3    |        |
| Mean B (Å²)                     | 29.8      | 9.9     |        |
| Main chain (Å²)                 | 34.3      | 14.0    |        |
| Side chains (Å²)                | 44.6      | 27.5    |        |
| Solvent (Å²)                    | 1.020     | 1.088   |        |
| Ramachandran plot               | 91.8      | 93.4    |        |
| Most favored (%)                | 91.8      | 93.4    |        |
| Additionally allowed (%)        | 7.4       | 6.0     |        |
| Generously allowed (%)          | 0.5°      | 0.3f    |        |
| Disallowed (%)                  | 0.3°      | 0.3f    |        |

| Notes                           |           |          |        |
|--------------------------------|-----------|----------|--------|
| *a Numbers in parentheses refer to outer resolution bin. | | | |
| *b Multiplicity-weighted Rmerge (66). | | | |
| *c From Truncate analysis (67). | | | |
| *d From phenix.refine (47). | | | |
| *e Highest resolution bin. | | | |
| *f From ProCheck (68). | | | |
| *g HaKat Gln135 and AtKat2 Gln137 adopt strained conformation in active site. | | | |
| *h HaKat Lys64 and AtKat2 Lys68 adopt strained conformation in well defined density in tight turn. | | | |

---

expected there are deviations in the position and quality of electron density at the very extremities of the N and C termini. There is some deviation in the orientation of β-hairpin 1, by as much as 5 Å in AtKat2, and small differences (~1 Å) in the α-helical region of the L-domain. Electron density is of a continuous high quality with only a few exceptions; the loop from the N-domain to the L-domain and the extremities of β-hairpin 1 are of poorer quality, and here side chains are defined only marginally. A mutation was also noticed in the HaKat electron density at position 223; this was confirmed by sequencing to be a threonine instead of an alanine. This residue is situated on H4 in the L-domain, and the mutation is not thought to have affected the overall structure because the AtKat2 and HaKat structures are almost identical as expected from their 85% sequence identity (dimer superimposes with an r.m.s.d. of 0.57 Å over 780 Ca atoms). AtKat2 and HaKat exhibit only small differences in two loops; a movement of the β-hairpin 1 in subunit B that results in a Ca difference in position of ~7 Å at the tip of the loop (Lys247/AtKat2 versus Lys249/HaKat). This difference is only ~1 Å in the A subunits, suggesting a crystal packing influence. To a much lesser extent there is also a variation in the loop between the N-domain and the L-domain. There is an additional α-helix (H6a) in the C-domain of AtKat2 that is not observed in HaKat or in any of the other type I thiolase structures. In addition to the side chains, which are different between these two proteins, a few changes in main chain positions and side chain orientations can be observed, mainly in solvent-exposed areas of the structures. Fig. 1B shows a superposition of all type I thiolase structures available; these are compared in more detail in supplemental Table S1 and Fig. S1.

AtKat2 Redox Potential and Activity—Sundaramoorthy et al. (21) suggest that the oxidized form found in their AtKat2 crystals represents an inactive state of a biologically relevant redox switch regulating peroxisomal β-oxidation in Arabidopsis; however, no data are presented bridging the form found in their crystal structure to activity. Our activity data (Fig. 2A) show that AtKat2 was inactivated, with a half-life of 0.6 h, in the presence of the oxidant CSSC (10 mM), whereas in the presence of the reductant CSH (20 mM) full activity was retained for more than 12 h (data not shown). In the absence of both CSSC and CSH, AtKat2 was spontaneously inactivated with a half-life of 2.6 h, indicating a very low reduction potential. To investigate this further, tryptophan fluorescence was recorded while titrating the enzyme with CSH. Trp175 is the only tryptophan residue in AtKat2 that is not buried at the surface near the active site and side chains are defined only marginally. This residue is situated at H4 in the L-domain, and the mutation is not thought to have affected the overall structure because the AtKat2 and HaKat structures are almost identical as expected from their 85% sequence identity (dimer superimposes with an r.m.s.d. of 0.57 Å over 780 Ca atoms). AtKat2 and HaKat exhibit only small differences in two loops; a movement of the β-hairpin 1 in subunit B that results in a Ca difference in position of ~7 Å at the tip of the loop (Lys247/AtKat2 versus Lys249/HaKat). This difference is only ~1 Å in the A subunits, suggesting a crystal packing influence. To a much lesser extent there is also a variation in the loop between the N-domain and the L-domain. There is an additional α-helix (H6a) in the C-domain of AtKat2 that is not observed in HaKat or in any of the other type I thiolase structures. In addition to the side chains, which are different between these two proteins, a few changes in main chain positions and side chain orientations can be observed, mainly in solvent-exposed areas of the structures. Fig. 1B shows a superposition of all type I thiolase structures available; these are compared in more detail in supplemental Table S1 and Fig. S1.
emission (Fig. 2C) in accord with a slightly higher degree of solvation of Trp$^{175}$ in the open, active conformation. Adding β-mercaptoethanol to the solution resulted in a similar increase, whereas adding H$_2$O$_2$ resulted in a decrease. Comparing the overall secondary structure content of AtKAT2$^{active}$ and the oxidized AtKAT2$^{inactive}$, there is a mere 2.4% difference in the α-helical content in favor of the AtKAT2$^{active}$ and 2.5% more β-structure in the AtKAT2$^{inactive}$. The CD spectra of oxidized and reduced AtKAT2 differed insignificantly, supporting the interpretation that the fluorescence signals represent the local rearrangements observed in the AtKAT2$^{inactive}$ and AtKAT2$^{active}$ crystal structures (see supplemental material and “Discussion” online).

Potential of KAT to Form a Multi-enzyme Complex with MFP—

The existence of multifunctional enzymes harboring the last three enzymatic steps of β-oxidation in bacteria and mitochondria led us to investigate whether a similar trifunctional complex exists in plants. We employed pulldown assays from enriched peroxisomal lysate isolated from B. napus cv. seedlings and focused on a possible interaction between MFP2 and KAT2. Brassica and Arabidopsis both belong to the monophyletic family of the Brassicaceae and share a recent common ancestry ending about 20 million years ago (59). The BnKAT2 and AtKAT2 sequences are 96% identical and the BnMFP2 and
in living plant cells has been determined to be about $-320 \text{ mV}$ in the cytosol at pH 7.2 and $-360 \text{ mV}$ in the mitochondria at pH 8.0 (60). Assuming that the peroxisomes can attain a redox potential similar to that of the mitochondria, the ratio between oxidized and reduced AtKAT2 at thermodynamic equilibrium would be 0.24 at pH 8.5, whereas at $-340 \text{ mV}$ it would be 99% oxidized. Other dithiols have been suggested to be redox sensors; the disulfides of Orp1 and the redox-sensing domain of the cytosolic Yap1 have potentials as low as $-315$ and $-330 \text{ mV}$ at pH 7.0 (61), whereas the potential of the active cysteines of wild-type plant cytosolic thioredoxins varies from $-280$ to $-304 \text{ mV}$ at pH 7.0 (62). Yap1 is thought to be kept in the reduced state by the non-equilibrium ratios of $10^2$ to $10^4$ reduced:oxidized thioredoxin existing in the cells. Although a recent proteomics study identified two putative thioredoxins and a glutathione reductase in Arabidopsis peroxisomes (63), there is little knowledge about disulfide reducing agents in the peroxisomes. Overall, it is likely that AtKAT2 represents a very sensitive redox switch capable of regulating β-oxidation even at minor perturbations of the peroxisomal redox environment. Interestingly, it has been observed that the activity of KAT is compromised by 0.1 mM H$_2$O$_2$ in catalase-inhibited enzyme preparations from peroxisomes of rat liver (64). It therefore seems likely that the oxidized and reduced forms of AtKAT2, crystallized under different conditions, represent biologically relevant forms of AtKAT2.

When comparing the AtKAT2$^{\text{active}}$ (this study) and AtKAT2$^{\text{inactive}}$ (PDB code 2C7Y (21)) structures, there are a number of differences. At the monomer level Asp$^{38}$/Asp$^{51}$ in the AtKAT2$^{\text{inactive}}$ structure form a β-strand (S1a), which associates with the C-terminal domain and pairs up with the equivalent strand in the dimer formation (supplemental movie online), resulting in an extended central β-sheet. β-Strand S1a is not seen in the AtKAT2 structure described here, and despite the residues being present in the construct, they are unseen in the electron density. One reason for this difference could be the presence of the N-terminal His$_6$ tag in our construct; however, we think this is an unlikely explanation, as the equivalent residues are present in the HaKAT construct and are also unseen in the structure where there is no N-terminal His$_6$ tag. The β-strand formation is therefore more likely the result of the dimer reorientation in the oxidized form of AtKAT2, caused by the disulfide bridge formation. The inactive conformation provides a different interface that allows stabilization of the otherwise flexible chain, providing more interaction. The active site loop in the inactive form, between β-strand S3 and α-helix H5 in the N-domain, is flipped out toward subunit B, repositioning the Cys$^{138}$/Cys$^{140}$ Ca by 5.4 Å (superimposing A chains) or 5.8 Å (superimposing B chains) away from the helix dipole, which is important for the activation of Cys$^{138}$ (20). The short 3$_{10}$-helix (N-domain H2) containing the active site cysteine, present in all of the active structures, is not observed in the AtKAT2$^{\text{inactive}}$ structure. The location of this loop segment in AtKAT2$^{\text{inactive}}$ would cause steric clashes with β-strand S3 in the N-domain and the preceding loop on subunit B in the active dimer. As such, subunit B is repositioned in the AtKAT2$^{\text{inactive}}$ dimer via a rotation of $-30^\circ$ and translation of $-10 \text{ Å}$ (supplemental movie online). The Met$^{168}$ → Pro$^{169}$ region in AtKAT2$^{\text{active}}$ L-domain

**FIGURE 3.** Western blot analysis from co-immunoprecipitation studies. Lanes contained the following: lane 1, elution 1 from MFP beads; lane 2, load onto MFP beads; lane 3, peroxisomal lysate; lane 4, load onto KAT beads; lane 5, elution 3 from KAT beads; lane 6, elution 2 from KAT beads; lane 7, elution 1 from KAT beads; lane 8, molecular weight marker. A. Western blot developed with primary antibody against KAT. B. Western blot developed with primary antibody against MFP. A band is seen for MFP from the KAT2 pulldown suggesting a complex (black circle). Elution steps were performed only after extensive washing.

AtMFP2 sequences are 90% identical. When BnKAT2 antibodies were immobilized for co-immunoprecipitation, both BnKAT2 and AtMFP2 antibodies identified bands of an appropriate molecular weight on the resulting Western blot (see Fig. 3), suggesting that these proteins interact. However, when AtMFP2 antibodies were immobilized, only the AtMFP2 antibodies identified a band on the Western blot, suggesting that KAT2 was not pulled down with MFP2. The inability of the anti-AtMFP2 to co-immunoprecipitate BnKAT2 does not discredit the existence of the complex, as the antibodies may disrupt the interaction. When a negative control using an unrelated antibody, anti-His, in place of the antibodies against KAT2 and MFP2 for the pulldown was performed and Western blots were developed using antibodies against KAT2 and MFP2, no bands were identified (data not shown).

**DISCUSSION**

Redox Regulation of AtKAT2 and Comparison of Active and Inactive Structures—The redox potential of the peroxisomes has not been determined quantitatively, but the redox potential...
caps over the S2a-H1 loop and α-helix H3 in subunit B N-domain and contains a short 310-helix (H1) and an α-helix (H2). In the AtKAT2inactive structure, this loop is largely disordered, with Met168–Lys184 not seen in the structure and residues Met168–Pro172 extending out toward where α-helix H1 in the L-domain is positioned in AtKAT2active. In AtKAT2inactive, H2 and H3 in the L-domain form one continuous α-helix via a flip of Pro195, which is then, remarkably, incorporated into the α-helix reorienting the polypeptide such that Cys192 is repositioned by ~9.5 Å (supplemental movie online). The large conformational change of this region (C terminus of N-domain S4 to N terminus of C-domain H4), upon the disulfide bridge formation, results in the domain reorientation. β-Hairpin 1 in the L-domain and S1-H1a in the C-domain have a difference in position of ~6 Å, and β-hairpin 2 has a difference of ~3 Å. When superimposing the B subunits there is an alternative movement in β-hairpin 1; Lys249 Ca is positioned 2.8 Å in the opposite direction to the 7 Å movement observed in this loop in the HaKAT structure suggesting that this is highly mobile. The 310-helix, H6a (observed in AtKAT2active, HaKAT, and PfKAT), in the L-domain is not observed in the inactive form; it is replaced with two β-turns, as in the HsKAT and ScKAT structures.

The AtKAT2inactive dimer is very different from all other KAT structures and has roughly half the amount of intersubunit contacts as the active dimers. Twenty-six residues from each chain are involved, giving an interacting surface area of 1225 Å2 with 11 hydrogen bonds and 168 non-bonded contacts compared with 54 residues from each chain with an interacting surface area of 2500 Å2, 41 hydrogen bonds, and 408 non-bonded contacts (values calculated in PDBsum) for the AtKAT2active dimer. In addition, the gap volume index (this gives an indication of how well the two protomers fit together, with a lower number indicating a better fit) is 3.9 Å for the inactive form and 2.3 Å for the active form; and the interprotomer salt bridges that are present in all of the active KAT structures (Asp99–Arg136 and Arg132–Asp147 (AtKAT2 numbering)) are not formed in the AtKAT2inactive conformation. This strongly suggests that the active, reduced conformation is the most stable dimer form. If we look at the isoforms present in Arabidopsis, both AtKAT1 and AtKAT5 possess the Cys192 and Pro195 and so are also likely to be regulated via their redox environment. In contrast, AtACAT1 and AtACAT2 (responsible for the reverse reaction and sharing 34 and 36% sequence identity with AtKAT2) do not have these residues, suggesting that the reverse reaction (Claissen condensation) is not regulated by redox. Cys192 and Pro195 are conserved in all non-mitochondrial eukaryotic KATs in which they have been sought. However, these residues are absent in the prokaryotic and mitochondrial KATs, thus revealing a very distinct, perhaps evolutionary, difference (peroxisome versus mitochondrion) in the regulation of β-oxidation.

Model of Plant β-Oxidation Multi-enzyme Complex—The crystal structures of the plant KATs presented here and the crystal structure of AtMFP2 (presented in an accompanying paper (Arent et al. (51)) bear close resemblance in structure to the components of the bacterial β-oxidation multi-enzyme complex from Pseudomonas (PDB codes 1WDK, 1WDL, 1WD, and 2D3T (16)). The Pseudomonas complex is composed of two MFP subunits (1WDK superimposes with AtMFP with an r.m.s.d. of 1.9 Å over 1406 residues; sequence identity 31%) and two KAT subunits (Fig. 4A) constituting a dimer that is indistinguishable from that of the reduced plant AtKAT2active structure (1WDK superimposes with an r.m.s.d. of 1.2 Å over 735 amino acids; sequence identity 42%). The interactions between the two MFPs and between the MFP and KAT subunits in the Pseudomonas structure are quite small in surface area but collectively form a stable complex that can withstand purification via gel filtration (16, 65). In addition, substrate-dependent conformational changes are seen within the Pseudomonas tri-complex, mainly involving the MFPs (compare PDB 1WDK with 2D3T for extremities), but the protein-protein interactions remain constant. Our co-immunoprecipitation experiments suggest an interaction between MFP and KAT in plants, and thus we were encouraged to see whether a complex similar to the Pseudomonas multi-enzyme complex could be

FIGURE 4. Construction of the Arabidopsis KAT2-MFP2 complex model based on the prokaryotic multi-enzyme complex (PDB code 1WDK). A, Pseudomonas structure with MFP in medium gray and KAT in light gray. Region 1 (α-helical) and region 2 (β-hairpin 1) are highlighted in black. B, Arabidopsis model follows the same coloring scheme as A with AtMFP2 in medium gray and AtKAT2 dimer in light gray.
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modeled from our Arabidopsis KAT2 and MFP2 crystal structures (see “Experimental Procedures” for details and Fig. 4). A complex between MFP2 and KAT2 would allow metabolite channeling, facilitating the product of one enzyme action to be transferred directly to the next in the cycle without either the equilibration of the intermediate with the bulk solvent or the pursual of an alternative pathway. Additionally, the lack of substrate specificity at the final thiolytic step of the pathway is consistent with the idea of substrate channeling while allowing the redox control of the cycle as a whole in response to H₂O₂ levels.

In the Pseudomonas structures, both MFP subunits interact with both KAT subunits, although the interacting surface areas are small (500 – 850 Å²). There are two regions in the L-domain of the KAT subunits that interact with MFP subunits (Fig. 5): Region 1 is composed of H1-H2 and has an interaction surface area of ~800 Å² and a gap volume index of 2.5 Å, indicating a favorable complementary shape. Region 2 involves β-hairpin 1 from the KAT subunit and has an interaction area of ~550 Å² and a gap volume index of 7 Å, indicating a loose, less complementary shaped interaction than that of Region 1. There are few hydrogen bonds, some favorable electrostatic interactions, but no salt bridges at this interface. The size and limited specific interactions suggest that this complex could be fairly transient in vivo. In region 1, H2 and the C terminus of S1 are well conserved in the prokaryotic KATs; however, H1 and the rest of the β-hairpin 1 seem to be fairly variable (Fig. 5). Electrostatic interactions are evident between Lys146 of H2 and the conserved negative surface of the PfMFP (Asp162 and Glu166) (Fig. 5, A and C). Asp208 in the β-hairpin is in the vicinity of a positively charged patch on the surface of the MFP in the case of which is more extensive in the Arabidopsis model, has a gap volume of 4.3 Å, which is more sustainable as a contact than this region in the Pseudomonas structure (7 Å); residues are similarly conserved. It should be noted that upon actual complex formation, small movements may occur providing a different fit than our simple model. β-Hairpin 1 is longer in AtKAT2 and thus has the potential to make a larger interface with AtMFP2. Lys244 on S1 is positioned nicely to form an electrostatic interaction with the indented negative surface of MFP2 (Asp185; Fig. 5D), and these residues are conserved in plant KAT/MFPs. In the case of Arabidopsis, there is even a potential for a salt bridge formation between Glu253 on S2 and His179 in AtMFP2. Although these residues are not 100% conserved among plant MFP/KATs, the charges are more so with the glutamic acid well conserved and histidine sometimes replaced by arginine.

There are a number of conserved proline residues in the vicinity of the interface that could be key to adding structural rigidity to the loops involved in the interaction with MFP2 (Fig. 5, C and D). The potential electrostatic interaction for Lys146 seen in the Pseudomonas structure does not exist in the case of the Arabidopsis model, as there is no charged residue at that position and also the surface potential of AtMFP2 is not negative in that area. Indeed, the AtMFP2 area around H2 is largely neutral in surface potential compared with the negative patch seen in Pseudomonas. Overall, the Arabidopsis model exhibits more electrostatic potential (10 – 20% more charged residues at interface) at each of the interfaces than the Pseudomonas structure, which could play a role in interaction specificity of the isoforms in Arabidopsis and may make the complex less tightly bound in conventional protein extraction procedures. In addition, in plants there is the potential to form cation-pi interac-

FIGURE 5. Comparison of prokaryotic multi-enzyme complex with model complex of AtKAT2 and AtMFP2. A and C, Pseudomonas structure. B and D, Arabidopsis model. PMFP and AtMFP are shown as an electrostatic surface colored according to electrostatic potential (from red (most electronegative) to white to blue (most electropositive)). PKAT and AtKAT2 are shown as a rainbow-colored schematic according to conservation among prokaryotic and plant KATs, respectively (from blue (most conserved) to green to yellow to red (unconserved)).
tions between a highly conserved Lys281 in AtKAT2 with Phe233 in AtMFP2 (conserved in plant MFP2-like but not A1M1-like isoforms). Thus, we propose that a similar interaction to that found in Pseudomonas could exist between KAT2 and MFP2 in the peroxisomal plant system; however, we would suggest that β-hairpin 1 (region 2) plays a more significant role in Arabidopsis than in the Pseudomonas complex.

Interestingly, it is the H2 in region 1 of the proposed Arabidopsis complex that hosts Cys192, which is involved in the disulfide bridge formation with the active site cysteine in the redox regulation (see above). The redox control in the peroxisomal KATs could provide a reason why the interaction with MFP2 in this H1–H2 region is not as prominent as it is in the Pseudomonas structure, because when the dimer undergoes conformational change this area is affected most and consequently needs to be more malleable. When the KAT dimer is inactivated and is in the closed conformation, this would either force a rearrangement of the MFP-MFP interface or, more likely, break the MFP-KAT complex altogether. To make up for this region needing to be flexible, the extended β-hairpin in plant peroxisomal KATs would allow for an additional, compensating surface area for the interaction to achieve stability.

In conclusion, β-oxidation of fatty acids is a fundamental process that occurs in peroxisomes and plays essential roles at all stages of plant life from germination to the mature plant. We have demonstrated that the peroxisomal thiololytic cleavage of the two-carbon unit, the last step of the β-oxidation cycle, is controlled by a sensitive redox switch that could be physiologically relevant with regard to the environment of the peroxisome. The direct communication between the breakdown of fatty acids with other cellular activities such as neutralization of toxic substances, H2O2 signaling, and stress responses is of key importance for the viability of the cell and, indeed, the plant. The structures described here allow for a comparison with 3-ketoacyl-CoA thiolases from other species, a discussion on substrate binding, and a complete description of the large conformational change that occurs between the active and inactive forms of the thiolase. In addition, we have modeled a complex with the AtMFP2 structure that would allow substrate channeling resulting in a highly efficient metabolon in a reducing environment that would however be destroyed in an oxidative environment.
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