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Abstract. The retirement age of industrial workers in national pension schemes is being raised. However, many industrial workers are not able to work until they have reached the increased retirement age. This problem is decreasing social security, increasing workers anxiety regarding the future and influencing the quality and timing of production processes. Disruptions and lower quality items produced in one activity cell of a supply chain can have a ripple effect throughout an entire supply chain. To solve this problem, we should put in place supplementary occupational pension schemes, which would compensate firms for the depreciated ability of their elderly workers to work when they have reached the previous retirement age but have not yet reached the new, higher retirement age. This article introduces a model that is based on extended MRP Theory. The model can be used as a basis for negotiations between employers and employees to keep production at the same level of quality. The trade-off between higher contributions to supplementary occupational pension schemes and lower added values is considered. Contributions enable the early retirement of industrial workers at one or more workplaces in a supply chain, while lower added value is the result of lower quality and the perturbed timing of items that are produced in one or more activity cells of a supply chain: these issues have a ripple effect throughout an entire supply chain. The net present value approach is used.
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An introduction to the problem of aging and a superannuation plan for industrial workers in a supply chain

The demographic development of Europe in the recent past is characterised by two features: (a) birth rates have decreased after the Second World War; and (b) life expectancy has increased continuously over the last sixth decades (EU Commission 2012). In many developed European countries, state unfunded pension liabilities are at least three times as large as the sizes of their economies, and today, the long-term obligations of EU Countries to their existing populations is estimated to be close to 50 trillion € (Mueller et al. 2009 and our own projections). As shown in this study, the largest pension liabilities in terms of percent of GDP can be found in France, Poland, Austria, Germany and Italy (323%–362%). Most of the other countries show pension liabilities in the range of 200 to approximately 300% of GDP (Finland, Portugal, Sweden, Malta, Hungary, the Netherlands, Greece, Slovakia, Spain, Bulgaria and the Czech Republic) and are regarded as having a medium level of pension liabilities. The lowest relative liabilities have been calculated for the United Kingdom (91.2%) and the Baltic Countries (Lithuania: 179.9% of GDP and Latvia: 124.8% of GDP).

During the last few years, study results show, that human capital is crucial for economic growth. In addition, large educational investments are essential (Škare 2011). Relatively little has been written about the importance of pension policy for economic growth. Before the global financial crisis began in 2008, at the beginning of this century, many newcomers and old European Countries had begun to implement pension reforms. In the course of the transition of newcomers’ economies, their pension systems endured severe financial pressure. In the reforms, the retirement age was reset from approximately 55 to approximately 60 or 65 years. Due to the prolonged working period, workers often become liabilities, rather than assets for their companies, before they are old enough to retire. Among those employed, the risk of exclusion from working life as a result of a disability pension (Haukenes et al. 2011) varies considerably not only by education, occupation income (Emms 2012) and cause-specific factors (Vahtera et al. 2009), but also by the work environment (Christensen et al. 2008), especially by ergonomic factors (Labriola et al. 2009).

Elderly workers could cause longer lead times and other delays in a supply chain. In addition, they may contribute to lower quality of the produced items in a chain and, consequently, a lower value of the final product. Losses of working capacity are increasing due to serious accidents and occupational diseases that occur during the time remaining until retirement (Šukys et al. 2004).

Historically, occupational pension schemes in logistics and industrial companies where developed to lower the anxiety of workers with respect to how to finance their livelihood after they are no longer able to work as well as required. A superannuation scheme is an organisational pension program that is developed and financed by a company for the benefit of its employees: it enables the employees to retire earlier than is possible according to a public pension scheme and permits the company to employ younger and more reliable workers.

Historically, European welfare retirement systems had the same objectives when they were first developed (at the end of the nineteenth century). Today, with the raising of the retirement age and the prolongation of the working period, the real ability to work in certain
industrial and logistical workplaces is not taken into account. In addition, the problem of anxiety regarding the ability of a worker to work until the new retirement age is reached is reappearing... Therefore, the new pension schemes with higher retirement ages are not meeting the objectives that they were designed to meet.

In some countries, retirement ages could be reduced, depending on a worker’s occupation, but in many countries, these opportunities have been abolished or minimised by the reforms that were made after 1990. Whereas, the increasing retirement age can contribute the decreasing quality and quantity of production as well as delays and uncertainties regarding whether the products will be produced on time, the influence on the added value in the total supply chain is different in different workplaces. How this phenomenon appears in global supply chains will shortly be presented.

1. The model for the evaluation of the impact of the increasing retirement age

The early retirement age, as determined in an occupational pension scheme, could be decreased by increasing the contributions from gross earnings \((1 + \alpha)c_L\) to the extra occupational pension schemes \(\alpha c_L\). Thus, if the labour cost would increase from \(c_L\) to \(c_L + (1 + \alpha)\), where \(\alpha c_L\) is factored into the occupational pension scheme, the retirement age of a worker at the workplace \(i\) can be lowered, which could increase the quality and quantity of production in the workplace and reduce the lead time in a supply chain. The benefit to the total supply chain could be evaluated through Grubbström’s MRP model using the NPV approach.

Our analysis is based on MRP theory, as found in the papers of Grubbström (1996, 1998, 2007) and later extended to the global supply chain by Bogataj et al. (2011), in which the location is also considered, including regional characteristics, such as the cost of labour. For evaluations of activities in such a supply chain, the Net Present Value (NPV) criterion function is used, as in Bogataj and Grubbström (2012, 2013).

We consider an assembly system, in which the components of process \(j\) need to be in place \(\tau_j\) time units before completion and sent from parent node \(i\) to \(j\) with an additional (transportation) time delay \(\tau_{ij}\), in advance. This process can be perturbed by reducing the competencies of aging workers. The input requirements are given as transforms in the generalised transportation- production-input matrix, which is denoted \(H(s)\). Thus the requirements for the production plan \(\hat{P}(s)\) written as \(H(s)\hat{P}(s)\) are specified in the frequency domain where:

\[
H(s) = \begin{bmatrix}
0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
h_{21}e^{s\tau_{21}} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
h_{n1}e^{s\tau_{n1}} & h_{n2}e^{s\tau_{n2}} & \cdots & 0
\end{bmatrix}
\]  
(1)

There are some places of production \(i\) where workers work on an item longer than necessary. This occurrence creates additional lead time \(\Delta \tau_{ij}\) so that the total lead time in such a place is equal to \(\tau_i + \Delta \tau_{ij}\), but on the transportation edges, the lead time is equal to \(\tau_{ij} + \Delta \tau_{ij}\). This increase can be described by \(H'(s)\), in which case so that requirements for the
production plan \( \hat{P}(s) \), which is a complex-valued function and \( s \) is the frequency variable, are described in the frequency domain and would be written as follows:

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
e^{st_1} & \cdots & 0 \\
0 & \cdots & 0 \\
\vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
0 & \cdots & e^{st_n} \\
\end{bmatrix}
H'(s)\hat{P}(s) = H(s)H'(s)\hat{P}(s).
\] (1a)

The volumes of output are given by \( GP \), where the output of item \( k \) from running process \( j \) at the level \( Pt \), in terms of volume, is generally \( gkj P_j(t) \). Here, we shall simplify by setting \( GI = 1 \).

The net production of such a system \( \hat{x}(s) \) will conveniently be written as follows:

\[
\hat{x}(s) = \left\{ 1 - H(s)H'(s) \right\} \hat{P}(s) = \\
\left\{ I - \begin{bmatrix}
h_{11}e^{st_1} & h_{12}e^{st_2} & \cdots & h_{1n}e^{st_n} \\
h_{21}e^{st_1} & h_{22}e^{st_2} & \cdots & h_{2n}e^{st_n} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
h_{n1}e^{st_1} & h_{n2}e^{st_2} & \cdots & h_{nn}e^{st_n} \\
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
e^{st_1} & \cdots & 0 \\
0 & \cdots & 0 \\
\vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
0 & \cdots & e^{st_n} \\
\end{bmatrix}
H'(s)\hat{P}(s) \right\}.
\] (2)

If \( \hat{F}(s) \) is the vector of deliveries from the system, then, given a plan \( \hat{P}(s) \), the available inventory \( \hat{R}(s) \) will develop according to:

\[
\hat{R}(s) = \frac{R(0) + \left\{ 1 - H(s)H'(s) \right\} \hat{P}(s) - \hat{F}(s)}{s},
\] (3)

where: \( R(0) \) are the initial available inventory levels; and \( \varepsilon^{-1} \left\{ \hat{R}(s) \right\} \geq 0 \) is the available inventory constraint. For cyclical processes, which repeat themselves in constant time intervals \( T_j, j = 1, 2, \ldots, m \), the plan \( \hat{P}(s) \) is written as suggested by Grubbström (1998):

\[
\hat{P}(s) = \begin{bmatrix}
e^{-sT_1} & \cdots & 0 \\
0 & \cdots & 0 \\
\vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
0 & \cdots & e^{-sT_n} \\
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
(1 - e^{-sT_1})^{-1} & \cdots & 0 \\
0 & \cdots & 0 \\
\vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
0 & \cdots & (1 - e^{-sT_n})^{-1} \\
\end{bmatrix}
\hat{P} = \hat{t}(s)\hat{T}(s)\hat{P},
\] (4)

where \( \hat{P} \) is a vector of constants: for instance, \( \hat{P} \) could describe the total amounts (batch sizes) to be produced in (or delivered by) each process during one of the periods \( T_j, j = 1, 2, \ldots, n \). Furthermore, in the above equation and where \( t_j, j = 1, 2, \ldots, n \), are the points in time when the first of each respective cycle starts. Please refer to the details in (Grubbström 2007), which develop Eq. (5) below:

\[
\hat{T}(s)\hat{P} = \\
\begin{bmatrix}
\hat{P}_1 \\
\vdots \\
\hat{P}_n \\
\end{bmatrix} \\
\begin{bmatrix}
1 - e^{-sT_1} \\
\vdots \\
1 - e^{-sT_n} \\
\end{bmatrix}
= \\
\begin{bmatrix}
\hat{P}_1 \\
\vdots \\
\hat{P}_n \\
\end{bmatrix} \\
\begin{bmatrix}
sT_1 - s^2T_1^2 / 2 + s^3T_1^3 / 6 - \ldots \\
\vdots \\
sT_n - s^2T_n^2 / 2 + s^3T_n^3 / 6 - \ldots \\
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
\hat{P}_1 \\
\vdots \\
\hat{P}_n \\
\end{bmatrix} \\
\begin{bmatrix}
\hat{T}_1 \\
\vdots \\
\hat{T}_n \\
\end{bmatrix} + \\
\begin{bmatrix}
\hat{P}_1 \\
\vdots \\
\hat{P}_n \\
\end{bmatrix} \\
\begin{bmatrix}
T_1^2 \\
\vdots \\
T_n^2 \\
\end{bmatrix} + \hat{O}(s),
\] (5)

where \( \hat{O}(s) \) is a vector that vanishes with at least the speed of \( s \).
The balance requirements over time will be as described by Grubbström (1998):

\[
(I - H) \begin{bmatrix}
\hat{P}_1 / T_1 \\
\vdots \\
\hat{P}_n / T_n
\end{bmatrix} = \hat{D},
\]

(6)

If the demand for final products in a supply chain is growing by increasing general productivity rate \( \omega \), in the long term Eq. (6) is extended thusly:

\[
(I - H) \begin{bmatrix}
\hat{P}_1 / T_1 \\
\vdots \\
\hat{P}_n / T_n
\end{bmatrix} e^{\omega t} = \hat{D} e^{\omega t}.
\]

(6a)

2. The Net Present Value approach

For a long time, the Net Present Value (NPV) principle has been applied in many production-inventory problems, for example, Hadley (1964), Trippi and Levin (1974), Grubbström (1980), Kim et al. (1986), Grubbström and Thorstenson (1986), Glud Johansen and Thorstenson (1996), Klein Haneveld and Teunter (1998), Teunter and van der Laan (2002), Grubbström and Kingsman (2004), Bogataj et al. (2005), Bogataj, D. and Bogataj, M. (2007), Bogataj, L. and Bogataj, M. (2007). During the last few years, a substantial number of new developments with respect to treating NPV in a supply chain have been reported, for instance, by Chen (2012), Liu and Cruz (2012), Marvin et al. (2013), Kähkönen and Lintukangas (2010), Bogataj et al. (2011), Grubbström (2010), Grubbström et al. (2010), Bogataj and Grubbström (2012, 2013), Grubbström and Bogataj (1998).

We collect the economic values of items into a price vector \( p \), which is a row vector, as follows:

\[
p = \begin{bmatrix}
p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_n
\end{bmatrix}.
\]

(7)

Thus, the NPV approach can be presented. In general, each activity cell produces items. Therefore, for a certain production at a certain stage of a supply chain, we can assume:

\[
p = p^0 + \Delta p = \begin{bmatrix}
p_1^0 (1 + \delta_1), p_2^0 (1 + \delta_2), \ldots, p_n^0 (1 + \delta_n)
\end{bmatrix},
\]

(8)

where \( \delta_i \) is the relative reduction of prices of item \( i \) (\( \delta_i \leq 0 \)), because the worker in production unit \( i \) is no longer able to assure the best quality of products, due to his advanced age. We can expect that the majority of places have set these values equal to 0, but in some workplaces, this reduction of value could appear because of the previously mentioned problem of aging workers and an increased age of retirement. If the owners would invest in ergonomically sound conditions in their workplaces, they could influence the reduction of \( \delta_i \) by a certain amount.

The major consequences of the industrial revolution have been: (1) growing economies; and (2) aging populations. Both of those effects will be modelled in a supply chain. According to the Net Present Value Theorem (Grubbström 1967), the NPV of the cash flow is obtained
by substituting the complex frequency $s$ for the continuous interest rate $\rho$ and thereby embedding the time preference of the decision maker in the model, as written in (9).

$$\text{NPV} = \mathbf{p}\tilde{x}(\rho) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} p_i \tilde{x}_i(\rho). \tag{9}$$

We shall introduce the general economic growth into model (9). When we consider the economic growth $e^{\omega t}$ which may be obtained by increasing productivity in the long term, we can write the following equation:

$$\text{NPV} = \mathbf{p}\tilde{x}(\rho - \omega) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} p_i \tilde{x}_i(\rho - \omega). \tag{9a}$$

In the next step, we introduce the effect of aging due to a higher retirement age and its impact on NPV. After taking the consequences of a lower quality of work into consideration, when aging effects are translated into financial consequences, we may write:

$$\text{NPV} = (\mathbf{p}^1 + \Delta \mathbf{p})\tilde{x}(\rho - \omega) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (p_i^1(1 + \delta_i)) \tilde{x}_i(\rho - \omega). \tag{10}$$

However, the old age of industrial workers is not reflected exclusively in the quality of the product but often, in additionally delayed timing as well. These issues cause a perturbation of $\tilde{t}(s)$, which has to be considered in Eq. (4), therefore a perturbed matrix $\tilde{t}^P$ can be written as a product of two matrices:

$$\tilde{t}^P(s) = \begin{bmatrix} e^{-s(t_1 + \Delta t_1)} & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & e^{-s(t_n + \Delta t_n)} \end{bmatrix} = \tilde{t}(s) \tilde{t}(s) = \tilde{t}(s) \tilde{t}(s). \tag{11}$$

In this case, the ordering costs are:

$$\text{NPV}_{\text{ordering}} = -\mathbf{Kt}(\rho)\tilde{t}(\rho)\tilde{T}(\rho)\sum_i e_i,$$

where $\sum_i e_i$ is an $m$-dimensional column vector consisting of unit values.

We also need to take the direct costs of labour into account. The total NPV ($\text{NPV}_{\text{total}}$) should be reduced for the NPV of payments with respect to the labour in individual places of activity with productions $P_i$. The results could be written as $c_i(ps) L_i P_i$, where $c_i$ is the cost of one unit of work, which also includes the part of gross earnings that is sent to the occupational pension fund, and $L_i$ is the amount of work, which needed for the production of one unit of item $i$. We can write: $c_i(ps) = (1 + \alpha)c_i$, where $\alpha$ is the share of the cost of labour that is allocated through an employer contribution to either the pension fund or the occupational pension scheme.

We need to write the net present value of the cost of labour so that it includes the cost of early retirement:

$$\text{NPV}(ps) = -\sum_i c_i(ps) L_i x_i(\rho - \omega) = -\sum_i c_i(1 + \alpha_i)L_i x_i(\rho - \omega). \tag{13}$$
In Eq. (13), \( \alpha_i \) is the rate of payment to the occupational pension fund (for some specific working activities), which could be different for different working activities and is often 0. In that case, the net present value of all activities in a global supply chain would be as follows:

\[
\text{NPV}_{\text{tot}} = \text{NPV} + \text{NPV(ps)} + \text{NPV}_{\text{ordering}} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left( p_i (1 + \delta_i) \right) \bar{x}_i (\rho - \omega) - \sum_{i=1}^{n} c_i (1 + \alpha_i) L_i \bar{x}_i (\rho - \omega) - K(\rho) \bar{r}(\rho) \bar{T}(\rho) \sum_{i} e_i .
\] (14)

In the case of a fully funded pension scheme, \( \delta_i \) would be nearly 0 for any activity \( i \), but if we are not able to assume there is an occupational pension fund, so that the workers can not retire before reaching the public retirement age, \( \delta_i \) would become negative, due to the advanced age of many worker. EU Member States should put in place supplementary occupational pensions schemes, which would compensate for the reduced capabilities of workers during the period between their original retirement age and the increased retirement age. Therefore these schemes would reduce \( \delta_i \) to zero and \( \bar{r}(\rho) \) to 1. The contribution to the occupational pension scheme for early retirement is acceptable for the supply chain, if the NPV of contributions to the occupational pension fund \( \sum_{i=1}^{n} c_i \alpha_i L_i \bar{x}_i (\rho - \omega) \), as expressed in (14), is less than or equal to the NPV of the costs absorbed in employing elderly workers, which results in a perturbation of the timing and a reduced quality of the manufactured items. This phenomenon is expressed in formula (15) below:

\[
\sum_{i=1}^{n} c_i \alpha_i L_i \bar{x}_i (\rho - \omega) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n} p_i (1 + \delta_i) \bar{x}_i (\rho - \omega) + K(\rho) \bar{r}(\rho) \bar{T}(\rho) \sum_{i} e_i .
\] (15)

The evaluation of the production items’ qualities should be conducted on the level of a supply chain and not only for the individual worker who manipulates item \( i \), because only on this level may the impact of delays on the criterion function be evaluated correctly. Participation in the occupational pension fund is sustainable, when the net present value of all contributions to this scheme does not exceed the net present value of the supply chain growth in the case of no perturbations, due to the old ages of workers:

\[
\sum_{i=1}^{n} c_i \alpha_i L_i \bar{x}_i (\rho - \omega) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n} p_i \bar{x}_i (\rho - \omega) + \sum_{i=1}^{n} p_i \bar{x}_i (\rho) + K(\rho) \left( \bar{t}(\rho - \omega) \bar{T}(\rho - \omega) - \bar{t}(\rho) \bar{T}(\rho) \right) \sum_{i} e_i .
\] (16)

Participation in the occupational pension fund should be a result of negotiation between unions and employers, who would decide how to share the results of economic growth \( e^{\text{tot}} \) that are described by the right side of Eq. (16), for active workers, improvements of their workplaces (investments), retired persons and employers. The problem appears when the growth is negligible, which is currently the case in many European countries.
3. A numerical example

In this section, we provide a numerical example of the case of an assembly network. It is similar to the one described in Bogataj and Grubbström (2012), whose product structure is depicted in Figure 1.

![Diagram of an assembly network](image)

According to Figure 1, there are six activities and products (items). Activity D represents the assembly of 2 units of item E and 1 unit of item F, activity B requires 3 units of D for the production of one unit of B, and at the top level activity A demands 1 unit of B and 2 units of C for the production of one unit of the end product A. Activities C and D are executed by elder workers, where \( \tau_3 \) and \( \tau_4 \) were initially 3 and 2, respectively. However, beginning at the initial time these values increase 30% to \( 3(1 + 0.3) \) and \( 2(1 + 0.3) \), respectively. Transportation times in this example are shown in the left part of Table 1, and the production lead times are shown in the bottom row. As an example, item D entering B is required to be available \( 4 \) time units in advance of the completion of B, but transportation to B adds another \( 2(1 + 0.3) \) time units when D is to be ordered. This results in the values \( \tau_{42} = \tau_{DB} = 6 \), and the operator multiplying the element \( h_{42} = h_{DB} \) will be \( e^{6t} \). The resulting individual lead times for each element in \( H(s) \) are shown on the right side of Table 1.

Table 1. The transportation time distance between the nodes and the production lead times of the example, where perturbations in delay at C and D appear as 30% of the initial value.

| From/to | A  | B  | C  | D  | E  | F  | A  | B  | C  | D  | E  | F  |
|---------|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|
| A       | 3  | 4  | 3(1 + 0.3) | 2(1 + 0.3) | 2  | 1  |
| B       | 4  |    |    |    |    |    | 7  | 4  | 3(1 + 0.3) | 2(1 + 0.3) | 2  | 1  |
| C       | 3  |    |    |    |    |    | 6  | 4  | 3(1 + 0.3) | 2(1 + 0.3) | 2  | 1  |
| D       | 2  |    |    |    |    |    | 3  | 6  | 3(1 + 0.3) | 2(1 + 0.3) | 2  | 1  |
| E       | 3  |    |    |    |    |    | 3  | 4  | 3(1 + 0.3) | 5 + 0.6    | 2  | 1  |
| F       | 1  |    |    |    |    |    | 3  | 4  | 3(1 + 0.3) | 3 + 0.6    | 2  | 1  |
| Production lead time | 3  | 4  | 3(1 + 0.3) | 2(1 + 0.3) | 2  | 1  |
Thus, the input matrix and the generalised input matrix are as follows:

$$H = \begin{bmatrix}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 3 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 2 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0
\end{bmatrix}, \quad H(s) = \begin{bmatrix}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
1e^{7s} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
2e^{6s} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 3e^{6s} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 2e^{(5+0.6)s} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1e^{(3+0.6)s} & 0 & 0
\end{bmatrix}.$$ 

We shall now evaluate the system according to the Net Present Value principle (NPV), provided that the values of economic parameters concerning the unit production prices/costs \( p \) and the setup costs \( K \) and an interest rate \( \rho \) are introduced (and interpreted as elements of the relevant cash flow). Let us assume the following values \( p = [560, 38, 25 + \delta_C, 34 + \delta_D, 14, 15] \), where \( \delta \) describes the negative value that is a result of the lower quality of manufactured items, because the workers at positions C and D cannot produce the highest quality of items C and D: in addition \( \rho = \rho_0 - \omega = 0.07 - \omega \). Here, \( \omega \) represents the growth of the flows of a supply chain (the growth of economy in general). From the Net Present Value Theorem and (14) we then obtain the NPV of the cash flow involved, which is as follows:

$$\text{NPV} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left( p_i (1 + \delta_i) \right) x_i (\rho - \omega) - \sum_{i=1}^{n} c_i (1 + \alpha_i) L_i x_i (\rho - \omega) - \int_{0}^{t_f} \int_{0}^{t_f} K(t) \tilde{r}(t) \tilde{I}(\rho) \sum c_i \right) e^{\rho t} dt$$

where we have substituted the Laplace frequency \( s \) (in the general case) for the continuous interest rate \( \rho \). However, because the productivity is supposed to grow, we assumed that the production is growing by \( e^{\omega t} \) and therefore \( \tilde{x}_i = \tilde{x}_i (\rho - \omega) \). For the sake of simplicity, we shall assume that aging does not influence the setups. In this case, we have obtained \( \tilde{r}(\rho) = I \) in Eq. (15).

Let us assume as in Bogataj and Grubbström (2012), that production is to take place at regular intervals of lengths \( T_i = 15, 13, 10, 13, 10 \) and 13, and let us assume that the first batch is to start at times \( t_{i1} = 22, 18, 14, 10, 6 \) and 2, respectively. The transform of production activities \( \tilde{P}(s) \) is:

$$\tilde{P}(s) = \begin{bmatrix}
\vdots \\
\tilde{P}_i e^{-st_i} \\
1 - e^{-sT_i} \\
\vdots
\end{bmatrix},$$

where the \( \tilde{P}_i \) are the constant batch sizes, which are assumed to have the values of 100, 100, 200, 300, 600 and 300, respectively. The setup vector represents a sequence of unit impulses taking place at the same time as the production batches.
Given the numerical values assumed in this example, when \( s = \rho - \omega = 0.065 \), we obtain the following difference between NPV in the case when the elderly are forced to work longer than it is possible for them to work without either a reduction of quality or timing perturbations at \( C \) and \( D \) and NPV in the same chain, if workers are working without these perturbations:

\[
\Delta NPV_{\text{aging}} =
\]

\[
\left[ 560,38,25 + \delta_C, 34 + \delta_D, 14,15 \right]
\]

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 38.42 \\
-2e^{6(\rho-\omega)} & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 54.41 \\
-3e^{6(\rho-\omega)} & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 168.44 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & -2e^{5.6(\rho-\omega)} & 0 & 0 & 274.55 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & -2e^{5.6(\rho-\omega)} & 1 & 0 & 849.94 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & -3e^{6(\rho-\omega)} & 0 & 0 & 461.80 \\
\end{bmatrix}
\]
It is better to replace the elderly with younger workers if the net present value of all contributions to the fund are lower than the increases of NPV that are achieved by younger workers, i.e. if:

$$\sum_i c_i \alpha_i L_i x_i (\rho - \omega) \leq \Delta NPV_{\text{aging}}$$

Thus, in the case when we have only one worker at each activity cell, who is paid 9, 10, 12, 6, 4 and 2 monetary units, respectively, for activities from A to F, and for each item manipulated in any activity cell, if the employers are paying at each salary also $\alpha$ uniformly to the pension scheme, then the following conditions have to be fulfilled:

$$\alpha \begin{bmatrix} 9 & 10 & 12 & 6 & 4 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -e^{7(\rho - \omega)} & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -2e^{6(\rho - \omega)} & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -3e^{6(\rho - \omega)} & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -2e^{5(\rho - \omega)} & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -e^{3(\rho - \omega)} & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \leq -\Delta NPV_{\text{aging}}.$$
Conclusions

In this paper, we have illustrated how to use extensions of MRP theory in the total supply chain (EMRP) for the purposes of planning the retirement age of industrial and logistics workers. Workers in the EU are living increasingly longer. This phenomenon requires greater participation in pension funds and because of that, the retirement ages in the national pension schemes of developed countries are increasing. However, many industrial workers are not able to work until they reach the increased retirement age. This problem is decreasing the social security of industrial workers, increasing their anxiety regarding the future and influencing the decreasing quality of production processes with respect to the perturbations of timing and the quality of the products.

To solve this problem, the EU Member States should put in place supplementary occupational pension schemes, which would allow the early retirement of those who, at a certain age, become at a certain age more of a liability than an asset in the eyes of supply chain managers. For an evaluation of a supply chain, the NPV approach could be used as bases for negotiations between employers and employees. The net present value approach was used in the case of rising productivity, which influences the growth of a supply chain.

The contribution rate to the occupational pension fund should be a result of negotiation between unions and employers, who would decide how to share the results of economic growth among active workers for their salaries and pension fund contributions, how to share the profit margin, which also covers further investments in ergonomic design of the workplaces, and how to attract consumers in the form of lower prices of products. If an employer gains a higher share, he can invest in the workplace so, that the work will be easier for the elderly. The problem appears when growth is negligible.
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