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ABSTRACT

Background: Total serum prostate specific antigen (tPSA) and free prostate specific antigen (fPSA) are known to be useful in the detection of prostate carcinoma (PCa). It has been reported that %fPSA/tPSA is more accurate when it comes to distinguishing PCa from non-malignant conditions such as BPH. The recommended cut-off value of %fPSA/tPSA in western countries is 20-25%. Through this study, we aim to determine an optimum cut-off value for %fPSA/tPSA in an Indian population.

Methods: This study was performed at our institution between September 2015 and August 2016. The study population included 181 patients who had prostate enlargement and who then underwent PSA based prostate cancer screening with tPSA and %fPSA/tPSA and whose diagnosis was later confirmed by histopathology. An ROC curve analysis was performed to determine sensitivity, specificity and other performance characteristics. The optimum cut-off value of %fPSA/tPSA was determined from ROC curve using Youden’s index.

Result: Malignant histology was seen in 17 (9.4%) cases. ROC curve analysis of %fPSA/tPSA revealed an AUC value of 0.777. The cut-off value of %fPSA/tPSA having the optimum balance between sensitivity and specificity was found to be 12.07% (Sensitivity: 70.6%, Specificity: 84.8%, Positive predictive value: 0.324, Negative predictive value: 0.965, Positive likelihood ratio: 4.631 and Negative likelihood ratio: 0.347).

Conclusion: The cut-off value of %fPSA/tPSA obtained from our study (12.07%), which was conducted on a South Indian population, is different from the cut-off values seen in western countries and in many studies conducted in western populations.
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Introduction

Serum PSA levels are commonly used for early detection of prostate cancer (PCa). Many conditions – including benign ones - can, however, cause an increase in the serum PSA levels. A few studies also indicate that not all cases of PCa are associated with a high PSA level.

PSA exists in the plasma as a complex with serine protease inhibitors. These include α1-antichymotrypsin, α1-protease inhibitor, and α2-macroglobulin. However, approximately 10-30% of the total PSA (tPSA) is not bound to serum proteins. This is termed free PSA (fPSA). Studies have shown that a lower ratio/percentage of free PSA to total PSA (%fPSA/tPSA) is seen in PCa as compared to benign conditions. It was then found that %fPSA/tPSA could be useful in detecting PCa in patients whose serum PSA values lie within the gray zone i.e. 4-10 ng/mL. It has also been reported that %fPSA/tPSA is a more accurate when it comes to distinguishing PCa from non-malignant conditions such as BPH. Through this study, we aim to determine an optimum cut-off value for %fPSA/tPSA in an Indian population.

Materials and Methods:

This study was performed at our institution between September 2015 and August 2016. The study population included 181 patients who had prostate enlargement and who then underwent PSA based prostate cancer screening with tPSA and %fPSA/tPSA and whose diagnosis was later confirmed by histopathology. Serum tPSA levels were measured using an immunometric assay (Vitros 5600, Ortho-clinical diagnostics, Buckinghamshire, UK) with an analytical sensitivity of 0.036 ng/ML. Serum fPSA was analysed by immunometric assay (Vitros 5600, Ortho-clinical diagnostics, Buckinghamshire, UK) with an analytical sensitivity of 0.007ng/mL. %fPSA/tPSA values were automatically calculated by the VITROS 5600.

Data analysis was performed in SPSS version 23. Continuous data was expressed as mean or as median. A confidence interval of 95% was employed if the distribution was not normal. Sensitivity, specificity, positive predictive value and negative predictive value was calculated via
receiver operator curve analysis. Values of area under the curve were also calculated used the same. A p-value of less than the significance level alpha=0.05 was considered significant. Optimum cut-off value was calculated from the ROC using Youden’s index.

Result
The study population comprised of a total of 181 male patients with a mean age of 65.02 ± 8.62 years. The youngest was 45 years and the oldest 90 years of age. Prostate malignancy was detected in 17 cases (9.39%). Of these, no patient was below the age of 50 years and the mean age of patients diagnosed with prostate malignancy is 69.88 ± 8.61 years.

The mean tPSA level for patients with prostate malignancy and benign histology were 93.82 ng/mL (95% CI: 30.46 – 157.19 ng/mL) and 5.26 ng/mL (95% CI: 4.10 – 6.42 ng/mL) respectively (p value = 0.001). The mean fPSA level for patients with prostate malignancy and benign histology were 18.31 ng/mL (95% CI: 0.67 – 35.94 ng/mL) and 0.84 ng/mL (95% CI: 0.68 – 0.99 ng/mL) respectively (p value < 0.001). The mean %fPSA/tPSA level for patients with prostate malignancy and benign histology were 13.41% (95% CI: 8.11%-18.71%) and 21.93% (95% CI: 20.19-23.66) respectively (p value = 0.006).

%fPSA/tPSA had an area under the curve (AUC) value of 0.77 (95% CI: 0.643-0.911) in detecting prostate malignancy indicating that, in our study, it did indeed serve as a good distinguishing marker. Fig.1 shows the ROC curve of %fPSA/tPSA ratio.

According to Youden’s index applied to the ROC curve analysis, the %fPSA/tPSA value with the optimum balance between sensitivity and specificity was 12.07% (Sensitivity: 70.6%, Specificity: 84.8%, Positive predictive value: 0.324, Negative predictive value: 0.965, Positive likelihood ratio: 4.631 and Negative likelihood ratio: 0.347).

Table 1: Characteristics of Benign Histology Group and Malignant Histology Group.

| Table 2: Sensitivity, Specificity, PPV(Positive predictive value), NPV(Negative Predictive Value), PLR(Positive Likelihood Ratio) and NLR(Negative Likelihood Ratio) of %fPSA/tPSA at different cut-off values and at cut-off value determined from the ROC curve by Youden’s index (12.07%). |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 5% | 10% | 15% | 20% | 25% | 30% | 12.07% |
| SENSITIVITY | 11.8 | 52.9 | 70.6 | 82.4 | 88.2 | 94.1 | 70.6 |
| SPECIFICITY | 97.6 | 92.1 | 70.1 | 46.3 | 31.1 | 18.9 | 84.8 |
| PPV | 0.33 | 0.45 | 0.19 | 0.14 | 0.12 | 0.11 | 0.32 |
| NPV | 0.91 | 0.95 | 0.96 | 0.96 | 0.96 | 0.97 | 0.97 |
| PLR | 4.82 | 7.89 | 2.36 | 1.53 | 1.28 | 1.16 | 4.63 |
| NLR | 0.90 | 0.50 | 0.40 | 0.38 | 0.38 | 0.31 | 0.35 |

Table 3: Comparison between cut-off value of %fPSA/tPSA as determined by our study with other studies conducted in the past.

| STUDY | %FPSA/TPSA CUTOFF VALUE |
|---|---|
| Our Study | 12% |
| Prsic et al (2016) | 16% |
| Yilmaz et al (2015) | 10% |
| Thakur et al (2014) | 12% |
| Pormand et al (2012) | 13% |
| Suzuki et al (2006) | 10% |
| Chun et al (2006) | 25-31% |
| Safarinejad et al (2006) | 18% |
| Partin et al (2001) | 15% |
| Dalva et al (1999) | 15% |
| Catalona et al (1998) | 25% |
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Discussion

PSA was first detected in serum in 1980 and since then it has become essential to the management of prostate cancer (PCa). PSA in the human body is required for liquefaction of semen and it is secreted into the seminal plasma. The release of significant quantities of PSA into the main bloodstream is rare in a healthy individual and as such happens only when there is destruction of the basement membrane of the prostate epithelium. This occurs not only in PCa but also in benign conditions. Hence, increased serum PSA levels are not prostate specific. However, a strong correlation between serum PSA and prostate cancer has been proven. The advent of immunoassays made it possible to measure fPSA in various forms. This made it possible to calculate %fPSA. %fPSA was first utilised by Stenman et al and Christensson et al. It has been demonstrated to be more efficient in distinguishing or differentiating patients with benign prostate histology from those with malignant histology than serum tPSA levels alone.

It has been proven that patients with increased serum tPSA concentrations have a higher probability for PCa. It has also been proven that these same patients tend to have a lower %fPSA than patients with benign prostatic disease. In our study as well, we found that there was a statistically significant lowering of %fPSA values in cases of malignant disease as compared to benign prostate disease.

It has also been studied and demonstrated that %fPSA or f/tPSA helps improve the discrimination between PCa and benign conditions especially in cases where serum tPSA is between 4 ng/mL and 10 ng/mL. This helps reduce unnecessary prostate biopsies by helping identify the cases where the need for a biopsy is clear and present.

An optimum cut-off value for %fPSA, as with any screening test, is essential as it can lead to better and more accurate detection of PCa. Many studies have been conducted amongst various populations to determine cut-off values for better distinguishing PCa from benign lesions.

Our study shows that a cut-off value of 12% gives the optimum balance between sensitivity and specificity (Sensitivity: 70.6%, Specificity: 84.8%). This 12% cut-off value gives an excellent negative predictive value of 0.965 (96.5%) which means that a patient with a %fPSA value of more than 12% has a 96.5% probability of not having PCa.

A study conducted by Safarinejad et al concluded that a f/tPSA cut-off value of 0.18 (%fPSA = 18%) is optimum having a sensitivity of 94.5%. Partin et al suggested that a cut-off value of 15% would detect all advanced tumours while avoiding 80% of unnecessary biopsies, especially in men whose serum tPSA value lie in the “gray” zone (4 to 10 ng/mL). Catalona et al suggested that a cut-off value of 24% would help detect 90% of PCa and avoid approx 18% of benign disease in patients with a serum tPSA value of 2.6 to 4 ng/mL. In a later update by the same authors, a variety of cut-off values were examined and they concluded that a cut-off value of 25% was optimal. Chun et al suggested using median age-specific cut-off values for %fPSA which ranged from 25-31%, and below which the risk of prostate cancer was high. Suzuki et al reported a 26% decrease in the number of unnecessary biopsies and a sensitivity of 90% when a cut-off value of 10% was applied. Prpic et al found the best combination...
of sensitivity and specificity (sensitivity = 72.3% and specificity = 50.4%) was at a cut-off of 16%. Yilmaz et al suggested a cut-off of 10% whereas Pourmand et al arrived at 13%. The study conducted by Dalva et al came to a cut-off value of 15%. A study conducted on an Indian population (sample size – 101 patients) by Thakur et al determined a cut-off value of 12%.

**Conclusion**

In conclusion, the current study shows that the optimum cut-off value for %fPSA/tPSA which gives the best balance between sensitivity and specificity is 12.07%. This value is seen to be different from the cut-off values determined by most other studies, but this may be explained by the differing populations that were the subject of these studies, most of which were conducted in Western countries. The study by Thakur et al which was done on an Indian population yielded a cut-off value almost identical to ours. As it stands, further study with larger sample sizes is warranted for confirmation of our findings in the Indian context.

**Abbreviations and Symbols:**

PCa – Prostate Cancer  
tPSA – Total serum prostate specific antigen  
fPSA – Free serum prostate antigen  
%fPSA/tPSA – Serum free-to-total prostate specific antigen ratio/percentage  
ROC – Receiver Operating Characteristic  
AUC – Area Under the Curve  
PPV – Positive predictive value  
NPV – Negative Predictive Value  
PLR – Positive Likelihood Ratio  
NLR – Negative Likelihood Ratio

**Reference**

1. Polascik TJ, Oesterling JE, Partin AW. Prostate specific antigen: a decade of discovery e what we have learned and where we are going. J Urol 1999;162:293e306.

2. Dalva I, Akan H, Yildiz O, Telli C, Bingol N. The clinical value of the ratio of free prostate specific antigen to total prostate specific antigen. Int Urol Nephrol. 1999;31:675-80.

3. Carter HB. Prostate cancers in men with low PSA levels--must we find them? N Engl J Med. 2004;350:2239-2246.

4. Stenman UH, Leinonen J, Alfhlan H, Rannikko S, Tuukkanen K, Alfhlan O. A complex between prostate specific antigen and alpha 1-antichymotrypsin is the major form of prostate-specific antigen in serum of patients with prostatic cancer: assay of the complex improves clinical sensitivity for cancer. Cancer Res. 1991;51:222-6.

5. Amirrassouli H, Kazerouni F, Sanadizade M, Sanadizade J, Kamalian N, Jalali M et al. Accurate cut-off point for free to total prostate-specific antigen ratio used to improve differentiation of prostate cancer from benign prostate hyperplasia in Iranian population. Urol J. 2010;7(2):90-104.

6. Luderer AA, Chen YT, Soriano TF, Kram WJ, Carlson G, Cuny C, et al. Measurement of the proportion of free to total prostate specific antigen improves diagnostic performance of prostate specific antigen in the diagnostic gray zone of total prostate specific antigen. Urology 1995;46:187e94.

7. Catalona WJ, Smith DS, Wolfert RL, Wang TJ, Rittenhouse HG, Ratliff TL, et al. Evaluation of percentage of free serum prostate-specific antigen to improve specificity of prostate cancer screening. J Am Med Assoc 1995;274:1214e20.

8. Catalona WJ, Partin Aw, Slawin KM, et al. Use of the percentage of free prostate-specific antigen to enhance differentiation of prostate cancer from benign prostatic disease: a prospective multicenter clinical trial. JAMA. 1998;279:1542-7.

9. Ito K, Yamamoto T, Ohi M, Kurokawa K, Suzuki K, Yamanaka H. Free/total PSA ratio is a powerful predictor of future prostate cancer morbidity in men with initial PSA levels of 4.1 to 10.0 ng/mL. Urology. 2003;61:760-4.

10. Polascik TJ, Oesterling JE, Partin AW. Prostate specific antigen: a decade of discovery—what we have learned and where we are going. J Urol. 1999;162:293–306.

11. Lilja H, Oldbring J, Rannevik G, Laurell CB. Seminal vesicle-secreted proteins and their reactions during gelation and liquefaction of human semen. J Clin Invest. 1987;80:281–285.

12. Stemman UH, Prostate-specific antigen, clinical use and staging: an overview. Br. J. Urol. 1997;79(Suppl.1):53–60.

13. Aus G, Damber JE, Khatami A, Lilja H, Stranne J, Hugosson J. Individualized screening interval for prostate cancer based on prostate-specific antigen level: results of a prospective, randomized, population-based study. Arch. Intern. Med. 2005;165:1857–1861.

14. Thompson IM, Pauker DK, Goodman PJ, Tangen CM, Lucia MS, Parmes LH, et al. Prevalence of prostate cancer among men with a prostate-specific antigen level b or =4.0 ng per millilitre, N. Engl. J. Med. 2004;350:2239–2246.

15. Christensson A, Bjork T, Nilsson O, et al. Serum prostate specific antigen complexed to alpha 1-antichymotrypsin as an indicator of prostate cancer. J Urol. 1993;150:100-5.

16. Catalona wJ, Smith DS, Ratliff TL, Basler Jw. Detection of organ-confined prostate cancer is increased through prostate-specific antigen-based screening. Jama. 1993;270:948-54.

17. Catalona WJ, Partin AW, Slawin KM, Brawer MK, Flanagan RC, Patel A, et al. Use of percentage of free prostate specific antigen to enhance differentiation of prostate cancer from benign prostatic disease: a prospective multicenter trial. J Am Med Assoc 1998;279:1542e7.

18. van Cangh PJ, De Nayer P, De Vischer L, Sauvage P, Tombal B, Lorge F, et al. Free to total prostate-specific antigen (PSA) ratio improves the discrimination between prostate cancer and benign prostatic disease: a multicenter study. J Urol. 2000;164:318-23.
cancer and benign prostatic hyperplasia (BPH) in the diagnostic gray zone of 1.8 to 10 ng/mL total PSA. Urology 1996;48:67e70.

19. Catalona WJ, Partin AW, Slawin KM, Naughton CK, Brawer MK, Flanigan RC, et al. Percentage of free PSA in black versus white men for detection and staging of prostate cancer: a prospective multicenter clinical trial. Urology 2000;55:372e6.

20. Safarinejad MR. Population-based screening for prostate cancer by measuring free and total serum prostate-specific antigen in Iran. Ann Oncol. 2006 Jul;17(7):1166-71.

21. Partin AW, Mangold LA, Lamm DM, Walsh PC, Epstein JI, Pearson JD. Contemporary update of prostate cancer staging nomograms (Partin Tables) for the new millennium. Urology 2001;58:843e8.

22. Catalona WJ, Partin AW, Slawin KM, Brawer MK, Flanigan RC, Patel A, et al. Use of percentage of free prostate specific antigen to enhance differentiation of prostate cancer from benign prostatic disease: a prospective multicenter trial. J Am Med Assoc 1998;279:1542e7.

23. Chun FK, Perrotte P, Briganti A, Benayoun S, Lebeau T, Ramirez A, et al. Prostate specific-antigen distribution in asymptomatic Canadian men with no clinical evidence of prostate cancer. BJU Int 2006;98:50e3.

24. Suzuki H, Komiya A, Kamiya N, Takashi I, Koji K, Junichiro M, et al. Development of a nomogram to predict probability of positive initial prostate biopsy among Japanese patients. Urology 2006;67:131e5.

25. Prcic A, Begic E, Hiros M. Actual Contribution of Free to Total PSA Ratio in Prostate Diseases Differentiation. Med Arch. 2016;70(4):288-292.

26. Yilmaz H, Ciftci S, Yavuz U, Ustuner M, Saribacak A, Dilliooglugil O. Percentage of free prostate-specific antigen (PSA) is a useful method in deciding to perform prostate biopsy with higher core numbers in patients with low PSA cut-off values. Kaohsiung J Med Sci. 2015;31(6):315-9.

27. Pourmand G, Ramezani R, Sabahgoulian B, Nadali F, Mehrsai AR, Nikoobakht,MR et al. Preventing Unnecessary Invasive Cancer-Diagnostic Tests: Changing the Cut-off Points.Iran J Public Health. 2012; 41(2): 47–52.

28. Dalva I, Akan H, Yildiz O, Telli C, Bingol N. The clinical value of the ratio of free prostate specific antigen to total prostate specific antigen. Int Urol Nephrol. 1999;31:675-8.

29. Thakur V, Talwar M, Singh P. Low free to total PSA ratio is not a good discriminator of chronic prostatitis and prostate cancer: An Indian experience. Indian Journal of Cancer. 2014;51(3):335.