Radiation Tolerance of LuAG:Ce and YAG:Ce Crystals Under High Levels of Gamma- and Proton-Irradiation
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Abstract—The extremely harsh conditions, in which the detectors will have to operate during the High Luminosity phase of the Large Hadron Collider at CERN, set stringent requirements on the properties of the scintillators which can be used. Among different scintillating materials under study, inorganic crystals such as LuAG:Ce and YAG:Ce represent good candidates for such application. A detailed investigation of the radiation hardness of LuAG:Ce and YAG:Ce crystal samples (1 x 1 x 1 cm³ cubes) produced by Crytur is presented in this study. Given their potential in many calorimeter designs, YAG:Ce samples with high aspect ratio (1 x 1 x 14 cm³) have also been tested. Optical and scintillating properties of the samples were studied before and after irradiation with different sources and at different intensities. Irradiation with gamma-rays to the doses of 1 and 100 kGy and with 24 GeV protons up to an integrated fluence of 10¹⁴ cm⁻² were performed at CERN. The scintillating properties of the crystals, as emission and excitation spectra and light yield remained unchanged after irradiation and only small levels of induced absorption were observed. The results obtained in this test confirm the potential of LuAG:Ce and YAG:Ce crystals as good candidates for calorimetry applications in future high energy physics experiments.
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I. MOTIVATIONS

The extremely high levels of both ionizing and hadronic radiation in which detectors will have to operate during the High Luminosity phase of the Large Hadron Collider (HL-LHC) at CERN, will require an excellent radiation hardness of all its components [1]. Such operating conditions set stringent requirements on the properties of the scintillators which can be used for calorimetry applications.

Beside high density, fast response and high light yield, the scintillators have to withstand very high levels of radiation, especially in the forward region of the detectors. For instance, in the CMS forward calorimeters, ionizing doses and hadron fluences will reach up to 300 kGy (at a dose rate of 30 Gy/h) and 2 x 10¹⁴ cm⁻², respectively, at |η| = 2.6 [2]. Given their high light yield and high density, Aluminum-garnet crystals such as Lu₃Al₅O₁₂ : Ce (LuAG:Ce) and Y₃Al₅O₁₂ : Ce (YAG:Ce) represent good candidates for calorimetry applications and it is important to evaluate precisely their radiation tolerance.

Radiation hardness of inorganic crystals strongly depends on the purity of the raw material as on the growth parameters. The presence of impurities can lead to the creation of color-centers which degrade the light transmission after gamma-irradiation [3]. In addition, hadronic radiation can produce displacements of ions in the crystal lattice leading to additional loss of transparency [4]. It is therefore of crucial importance, to evaluate the radiation tolerance under both type of radiation. To this extent we present in this study the results of gamma and proton-irradiations on LuAG:Ce and YAG:Ce crystals.

II. CRYSTALS CHARACTERIZATION

The LuAG:Ce and YAG:Ce samples were grown by Crytur using Czochralski technique and then cut and polished. Two geometries with extremely different aspect ratios have been studied in this work: cubic samples of 10 x 10 x 10 mm³ and fiber-like samples of 1 x 1 x 140 mm³ as shown in Fig. 1 and Fig. 2 respectively. The four YAG:Ce and LuAG:Ce cubic crystal samples (LuAG c1, LuAG c2, YAG c1, YAG c2) were fully characterized at CERN by measuring their scintillation properties before and after irradiation. The light yield was measured using a ^{137}Cs γ-source and coupling the polished...
face of the sample to a Hamamatsu R2059 photomultiplier tube [5] with quantum efficiency of 9% and 6.5% for the LuAG:Ce and YAG:Ce emission spectra respectively. Results of light yield measurements obtained with grease coupling and without wrapping are reported in Table I. Excitation/emission spectra and transmission curves were measured over the range of wavelength of 300-700 nm using a dedicated spectrophotometer. A measurement of the scintillation time profiles was also performed, by averaging about 10000 scintillation pulses, to extract an estimation of the decay time components. A summary of these measurements is reported in Table I and shows consistent agreement with previous results [6], [7].

### III. Experimental Procedure

Optical and scintillation properties of the samples have been studied before and after irradiation to evaluate damage effects on the crystal performance under several aspects.

As a first step, irradiation with $\gamma$-rays from a $^{60}$Co source was performed at Dagneux-irradiation facility [8]. LuAG and YAG samples have been irradiated at a dose rate of $\sim 1$ kGy/h up to 1 kGy of integrated dose. After measuring their transmission, the same samples have been further irradiated to the total dose of 100 kGy which is closer to radiation levels expected in the forward region of detectors during HL-LHC phase. In both cases, the transmission measurement was performed about 2 hours after irradiation, limiting as much as possible the effect of eventual recovery at room temperature.

In a second moment, LuAG and YAG samples have been irradiated with 24 GeV protons provided by the IRRAD facility at CERN Proton Synchrotron [9]. The size of the proton beam is about 1 cm wide which allows to uniformly irradiate the whole volume of the crystals. A total integrated proton fluence of $10^{14}$ cm$^{-2}$ was achieved at a proton flux of $1.8 \times 10^{14}$ p/cm$^2$/h. After a period of about 1 month, required for the radioactive cool down of the elements, the samples were re-measured in the laboratory.

### IV. Results on Cubic Samples

Transmission curves were measured before ($T_b$) and after each irradiation ($T_a$) in the 300-700 nm range. The induced absorption coefficient has been calculated according to:

$$\mu_{ind} = \frac{1}{L} \ln \left( \frac{T_b}{T_a} \right)$$

where $L$ is the crystal length along the light beam direction, which for the samples of this study corresponds to 1 cm. The uncertainty on the estimated $\mu_{ind}$ is affected by fluctuations in the spectrophotometer response and by the precision in the alignment of the crystal faces with respect to the light beam. These have been estimated to produce an error of about ±0.3 m$^{-1}$ on the induced absorption coefficient.

The results obtained after gamma irradiation on samples LuAG:Ce c1 and YAG:Ce c1 are reported in Fig. 3 and Fig. 4 respectively. A loss of light transmission is observed in the low wavelength region (300-370 nm) whereas at the emission peak (520-540 nm), induced absorption is close to zero. Similar results were obtained after the proton-irradiation on samples LuAG:Ce c2 and YAG:Ce c2 as reported in Fig. 5 and Fig. 6 respectively. No modification of scintillation properties, such as light yield, emission spectrum or pulse shape, was observed after gamma-rays and protons irradiations. This is consistent with the negligible loss of light in the region of scintillation emission.
Fig. 4. Top: Transmission curves for YAG:Ce c1 crystals before irradiation (green line), after 1 kGy (blue triangles) and 100 kGy (red circles) of $\gamma$-irradiation. Bottom: The calculated induced absorption coefficient $\mu_{ind}$ is reported for both irradiations, as a function of wavelength. Green line at 0.5 m$^{-1}$ is drawn as reference value.

Fig. 5. Top: Transmission curves for LuAG:Ce c2 crystals before irradiation (green line) and after irradiation with protons (black squared dots). Bottom: The calculated induced absorption coefficient $\mu_{ind}$ is reported for both irradiations, as a function of wavelength. Green line at 0.5 m$^{-1}$ is drawn as reference value.

The value of $\mu_{ind}$ calculated for different samples and different irradiations are reported in Table II.

V. RESULTS ON HIGH ASPECT RATIO SAMPLES

Because of the small cross section (1 x 1 mm$^2$) of the samples and their extremely high aspect ratio, a measurement of direct light transmission is very difficult to perform with standard spectrophotometers. At the same time, in crystals with such geometry the importance of lateral surface state becomes of crucial importance for an optimal propagation of the scintillation light along the sample.

For this reason a measurement of attenuation length was chosen as the main parameter for the characterization of these fiber-like samples. Such measurement is performed by illuminating the crystal with a LED at different positions along its longitudinal axis, as shown in Fig. 7, and monitoring the light output at both crystal ends using silicon photomultipliers. The double-side readout of the light allows to cross check the measurement and to correct in case of small variations of the LED intensity, as discussed in [10].

A small deterioration of attenuation curves due to $\gamma$-irradiation was observed as shown in Fig. 8. However, a comparison of the damage effect after integrated doses of 1 and 100 kGy shows a non linear behavior of the induced attenuation length, suggesting that damage effects are saturating at high doses.

The result of proton-irradiation on a YAG:Ce high aspect ratio crystal is reported in Fig. 9. A very small degradation of...
the attenuation length, comparable with the effect of low $\gamma$-rays dose, is observed.

To quantify the radiation damage effects, the attenuation curves have been fitted with the exponential curve,

$$I = I_0 \cdot e^{-d/L_{att}}$$

(2)

where the normalization constant $I_0$ corresponds to the light signal when the LED illuminates the crystal close to the silicon photomultiplier, at a distance $d = 0$, and the parameter $L_{att}$ represents the attenuation length of the sample. In Table III we report the values of $L_{att}$ of the samples tested before and after different types of irradiations. The YAG:Ce sample f3 was shorter (100 mm only) and thus only attenuation curve within a restricted range was measured. Due to the shorter length the light collection inside such crystal behaves in a different way, being less sensitive to surface defects and intrinsic absorption, and can explain the difference in the initial attenuation length reported in Table III (122 cm instead of 60 cm as measured on longer samples).

VI. DISCUSSION

The comparison of scintillation and optical properties of LuAG:Ce and YAG:Ce samples before and after irradiation up to high doses and fluences demonstrates a very good radiation tolerance of these garnet crystals.

The evolution of transmission curves of cubic samples and the change in attenuation length of high aspect ratio crystals represent complementary and independent measurements of the radiation damage effects. In both cases the results yield a consistent quantification of the light transmission loss which can be interpreted in terms of an induced absorption coefficient ranging from 0.4 to 2 m$^{-1}$ depending on the crystal and irradiation type as reported in Table II.

A first important result of this irradiation test, is the proof that no specific absorption peak, i.e. creation of color centers, is observed in the wavelength range of emission from 500 to 700 nm. This demonstrates the very good quality of the raw material and the potential radiation hardness of these crystals.

The irradiation with $\gamma$-rays at different doses also gives indications that transmission loss is not linearly proportional to the integrated dose and that saturation effects occurs beyond 1 kGy.

VII. CONCLUSIONS AND OUTLOOK

The results obtained with this irradiation campaign demonstrate a good radiation hardness for LuAG:Ce and YAG:Ce crystals, making them suitable for calorimetry applications in detectors for future high energy physics experiments. In particular, high aspect ratio crystals, as those characterized in this study, represent a powerful tool for calorimeter designs due to their extremely flexible geometry as discussed in [11].

The loss of transmission observed at low wavelengths, however, indicates that some creation of defects occurs as consequence of both $\gamma$ and proton irradiation. Although, at such wavelengths this induced absorption does not affect the scintillation light, some other effects such as induced phosphorescence might occur as reported in [14]. Additional studies, such as thermo-stimulated luminescence, should be performed to further investigates the nature of such defects.

Further R&D studies are also ongoing to optimize this technology for calorimetry applications. The addition of codopants, such as divalent cations like Ca$^{2+}$ or Mg$^{2+}$, is a possible way
to improve the radiation hardness of garnet based crystal as discussed in [12]. At the same time, codopants can be used to reduce the long decay time components of the scintillation as demonstrated for the case Mg- ions for codoping LuAG:Ce crystals [13]. These two, fast decay time and improved radiation tolerance are key parameters for any scintillators to be used in high radiation environment such as detectors in high luminosity LHC.
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