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Abstract
Introduction. Since health information is considered as sensitive personal data and requires more careful protection, healthcare professionals need to be careful about this issue.
The objective of this research was to determine nursing students’ attitudes towards recording and protecting patients’ personal health data.
Materials and Methods. The population of this descriptive research consisted of 450 students who studied at the Department of Nursing, Faculty of Health Sciences, Erzincan Binali Yıldırım University. Sample selection was not used, and the research was completed with 374 students who were continuing education and who were accepted to participate in the research. Descriptive Information template and Attitude Scale for Recording and Protecting Personal Health Data for nursing students were used as data-collection instruments. The numbers, percentage, mean, standard deviation, non-parametric tests (the Mann-Whitney U test and the Kruskal-Wallis test) were used in data analysis.
Results. Among our research participants, 68.4% of the students were females; 28.1% of the students were freshmen; 69% of the students were graduates of Anatolian high schools. Approximately 72.5% and 52.9% of the participants stated that they were aware of the concept of “personal data” and “personal health data”, respectively. The mean score of nursing students on the Attitude Scale for Recording and Protecting Personal Health Data was 3.97 ± 0.71. The means scores obtained from subscales were as follows: 3.91 ± 0.72 for Personal Health Data Information, 4.15 ± 0.80 for Legal Information, 4.05 ± 0.94 for Legal Data Sharing, 3.90 ± 0.80 for Personal Health Data Sharing, and 3.77 ± 0.33 for Recording of Personal Health Data, respectively. A statistically significant difference was found between the total scale and subscale scores of the students regarding their academic level.
Conclusions. Students were found to have a positive attitude towards recording and protecting personal data. Increasing the responsibilities and raising awareness of the students for the protection of personal health data during their study is suggested to be important.
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Introduction
Rapid technological developments facilitate the lives of individuals, as well as change the extent of protection of their basic rights and freedoms [1]. Today, due to advances in technology, health data can be stored on digital media and these data can be easily accessed by healthcare providers when needed. By preserving the data obtained at all levels of healthcare services, their quality and efficiency increase; they can be used as an official document in legal proceedings; treatment and care are improved; the data source is available for statistical analysis, enabling the development of public health [2–5]. However, this digital transformation may rise many problems, including violations of personal rights and privacy issues [6].
The concept of personal data, as a personal right, cannot be clearly described; however, it is defined as any type of information that relates to or can identify an individual. Information such as individual’s name, address, medical history, marital status, ethnic origin, bank account number, social security number, and national identification number is considered as personal data. Personal health data, which are a part of personal data, on the other hand, are considered as sensitive data [7]. The Regulation on Personal Health Data entered into force by the Ministry of Health through publication in the Official Gazette dated June 21, 2019 and numbered 30808. This regulation defines personal health data as “any information related to the physical and mental health of an identified or identifiable natural person including the information related to the provision of healthcare services” [8]. Medical history, examination findings, diagnosis, epicrisis report, health report, any analysis and examination results, medications used, all interventions and care practices recorded during the provision of health service are included in the scope of personal health data [9]. Since health information is considered as sensitive personal data and requires more careful protection, healthcare professionals need to be careful about this issue [10]. Health professionals working in healthcare institutions are responsible for full and proper use of health data of patients who presented to these institutions. The violation of the obligation to protect the confidentiality and sharing patient information with third parties by nurses or other health professionals are against professional rules and ethical guidelines. It is considered as a crime according to the Penal Code and requires criminal liability as well [11].

Since among health professionals, nurses are professionals who work more closely with the individuals receiving healthcare services, nursing staff’s awareness about the protection of personal health data and patient’s rights should be improved. Determining healthcare students’ attitudes towards the protection and privacy of personal health data, increasing their awareness and adding related courses to the healthcare education curriculum can prevent future criminal sanctions [9].

**The objective of this research** was to determine nursing students’ attitudes towards recording and protecting personal health data.

## Materials and Methods

### Study Design

This study is a type of descriptive research, carried out at the Department of Nursing, Faculty of Health Sciences, Erzincan Binali Yıldırım University in February of 2018-2019 academic year. The population of the study consisted of 450 students who studied at the aforementioned faculty. Sample selection was not used in the research, and the research was completed with 374 students who were continuing education and who were accepted to participate in the research. Students who did not continue their education at the time of data collection and refused to participate in the study were not included in the study.

### Data Collection

The authors drew a plan with the faculty members and ensured that the data-collection instruments were distributed and completed at a convenient time for students. Socio-demographic characteristics, Descriptive Information template and Attitude Scale for Recording and Protecting Personal Health Data for nursing students were used as data-collection instruments. The data collection process took on average 10-15 minutes for each student.

### Descriptive Information Template

The information form prepared by the researchers contained a total of 6 questions about the students’ age, gender, class, high school they graduated from, personal data and personal health data.

### Attitude Scale for Recording and Protecting Personal Health Data

The development of this scale, its validity and reliability analysis were performed by Bezirgan Gözmener et al [9]. This 5-point Likert-type scale consists of 31 items and includes 5 subscales. For scale score calculation, the total score is obtained, and the raw score is divided by the number of scale items and thus, the scale score is calculated. For subscale score calculation, following the collection of subscale scores, it is divided by the number of the subscale items and the score obtained is evaluated between 1-5. The cut-off point of the scale was determined as 3. Students’ attitudes that scored < 3 on the scale were evaluated as negative, and students’ attitudes that scored ≥ 3 were accepted as positive. A negative attitude means that students’ awareness of recording and protecting personal health data is low, while a positive attitude indicates that students’ awareness of recording and protecting personal health data is high. The calculation and evaluation method used for the whole scale was applied to the calculations for all subscales of the scale as well. The Cronbach’s alpha coefficient for the whole scale was found to be $\alpha = 0.94$. In this study, the Cronbach’s alpha reliability coefficient was calculated as $\alpha = 0.88$.

### Data Analysis

The data were analyzed by using SPSS statistical software (version 23.0; SPSS Inc, Chicago, IL). The numbers, percentage, mean, standard deviation in descriptive statistics, non-parametric tests (the Mann-Whitney U test, the Kruskal-Wallis test and the Tamhane’s T2 test) were used in the analysis of data that did not show normal distribution. A p-value less than 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

### Results

According to Table 1, 67.4% of the students were at the age of 17-21 years; 68.4% of students were females; 28.1% of the students were freshmen; 69% of the students were graduates of Anatolian high schools. Approximately 72.5% and 52.9% of
the participants stated that they were aware of the concept of "personal data" and "personal health data", respectively.

Table 1. Distribution of descriptive characteristics among students (n=374).

| Descriptive Characteristics | n  | %   |
|-----------------------------|----|-----|
| Gender                      |    |     |
| Male                        | 118| 31.6|
| Female                      | 256| 68.4|
| Age                         |    |     |
| 17-21                       | 252| 67.4|
| 22-25                       | 118| 31.6|
| 26-29                       | 4  | 1.0 |
| Academic Level              |    |     |
| Freshman                    | 105| 28.1|
| Sophomore                   | 104| 27.8|
| Junior                      | 75 | 20.1|
| Senior                      | 90 | 24.0|
| High School Graduate        |    |     |
| Regular high school         | 68 | 18.2|
| Anatolian high school       | 258| 69.0|
| Vocational health school    | 24 | 6.4 |
| Science high school         | 6  | 1.6 |
| Other (technical high school, etc.) | 18 | 4.8 |
| Personal Data Awareness Status |    |     |
| Yes                         | 271| 72.5|
| No                          | 103| 27.5|
| Personal Health Data Awareness Status |   |     |
| Yes                         | 198| 52.9|
| No                          | 176| 47.1|

The mean score of nursing students on the Attitude Scale for Recording and Protecting Personal Health Data was 3.97 ± 0.71. The means scores obtained from subscales were as follows: 3.91 ± 0.72 for Personal Health Data Information, 4.15 ± 0.80 for Legal Information, 4.05 ± 0.94 for Legal Data Sharing, 3.90 ± 0.80 for Personal Health Data Sharing, and 3.77 ± 0.33 for Recording of Personal Health Data, respectively. Since the cut-off point of the scale was accepted as 3, students were observed to have a positive attitude towards recording and protecting personal data (Table 2).

A significant difference was determined in the mean scores of the Legal Information subscale regarding the participants' gender. The difference between the total scores of the scale and other subscales was determined to be insignificant (Table 3).

According to age variable, a significant difference was determined between the total scores of the Attitude Scale for Recording and Protecting Personal Health Data and the mean scores of the following subscales: Personal Health Data Information, Legal Information and Recording of Personal Health Data; the difference between mean scores of Legal Information and Personal Health Data Sharing subscales was found to be insignificant (Table 3).

### Table 2. Distribution of mean scores in the Attitude Scale for Recording and Protecting Personal Health Data.

| Scale and Subscales                          | n   | Min. | Max.   | X   | SD  |
|---------------------------------------------|-----|------|--------|-----|-----|
| Personal Health Data Information            | 374 | 1.00 | 5.00   | 3.91| 0.72|
| Legal Information                           | 374 | 1.00 | 5.00   | 4.15| 0.80|
| Legal Data Sharing                          | 374 | 1.00 | 5.00   | 4.05| 0.94|
| Personal Health Data Sharing                 | 374 | 1.00 | 5.00   | 3.90| 0.80|
| Recording of Personal Health Data           | 374 | 1.00 | 5.00   | 3.77| 0.33|
| Total scores of nursing students on the Attitude Scale for Recording and Protecting Personal Health Data | 374 | 1.00 | 5.00 | 3.97 | 0.71 |

### Discussion

One of the patient’s rights in the scope of the right to privacy is to perform all evaluations regarding the patient’s health status confidentially [12]. Sharing patient-related information for training healthcare worker candidates is expected to increase in the coming years. Sharing patient information with healthcare professionals, students and other parties may conflict with the regulations regarding the protection of patient’s privacy [13]. Recently, many contracts have emphasized the protection of personal data to ensure privacy. Protecting personal health information, one of the areas where confidence is fundamental, is important in terms of protecting the patient’s rights in healthcare institutions [10].
Table 3. Comparison of students’ descriptive characteristics and mean scores on the Attitude Scale for Recording and Protecting Personal Health Data (n=374).

| Descriptive Characteristics | Personal Health Data Information | Legal Information | Legal Data Sharing | Personal Health Data Sharing | Recording of Personal Health Data | Attitude Scale for Recording and Protecting Personal Health Data |
|-----------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------|
| Gender                      | X±SD               | X±SD               | X±SD               | X±SD               | X±SD               | X±SD               |
| Female                      | 3.94±0.68          | 4.23±0.72          | 4.09±0.86          | 3.90±0.76          | 3.80±0.77          | 4.01±0.65          |
| Male                        | 3.84±0.80          | 3.99±0.93          | 3.97±1.08          | 3.90±0.88          | 3.69±0.94          | 3.89±0.82          |
| U                            | 14386              | 13150              | 15059.5            | 14292             | 14085.5            | 14416              |
| p                            | 0.459              | **0.043**          | 0.963              | 0.4               | 0.29               | 0.479              |
| Age                         | X±SD               | X±SD               | X±SD               | X±SD               | X±SD               | X±SD               |
| 17-21                       | 3.83±0.73          | 4.11±0.79          | 3.97±0.98          | 3.85±0.83          | 3.71±0.83          | 3.91±0.71          |
| 22-25                       | 4.05±0.69          | 4.24±0.82          | 4.22±0.82          | 4.01±0.75          | 3.88±0.82          | 4.10±0.68          |
| 26-29                       | 4.17±0.41          | 4.18±0.89          | 4.20±0.58          | 3.75±0.46          | 4.00±0.82          | 4.10±0.52          |
| KW                          | 11.185             | 5.222              | 6.469              | 3.935             | 6.266             | 12.045             |
| p                            | 0.004              | 0.073              | **0.039**          | 0.14              | **0.004**          | **0.002**          |
| Academic Level              | X±SD               | X±SD               | X±SD               | X±SD               | X±SD               | X±SD               |
| Freshman                    | 3.74±0.77          | 3.98±0.83          | 3.77±1.05          | 3.74±0.90          | 3.64±0.83          | 3.79±0.74          |
| Sophomore                   | 3.74±0.76          | 4.02±0.88          | 3.91±0.98          | 3.84±0.82          | 3.61±0.90          | 3.83±0.77          |
| Junior                      | 4.11±0.70          | 4.37±0.77          | 4.33±0.80          | 4.00±0.79          | 3.99±0.86          | 4.18±0.67          |
| Senior                      | 4.12±0.53          | 4.32±0.59          | 4.30±0.70          | 4.07±0.60          | 3.91±0.64          | 4.17±0.50          |
| KW                          | 28.676             | 21.359             | 27.277             | 8.211             | 15.435            | 31.933             |
| p                            | **0.000**          | **0.000**          | **0.000**          | **0.042**          | **0.001**          | **0.000**          |
| High School Graduate        | X±SD               | X±SD               | X±SD               | X±SD               | X±SD               | X±SD               |
| Regular high school         | 3.85±0.75          | 4.07±0.83          | 3.99±0.88          | 3.82±0.90          | 3.77±0.85          | 3.91±0.73          |
| Anatolian high school       | 3.92±0.71          | 4.17±0.79          | 4.08±0.93          | 3.93±0.75          | 3.72±0.81          | 3.99±0.69          |
| Vocational health school    | 4.13±0.65          | 4.27±0.76          | 4.01±1.07          | 4.05±0.89          | 4.18±0.57          | 4.13±0.66          |
| Science high school         | 3.60±0.64          | 3.93±0.82          | 3.70±1.56          | 3.38±0.82          | 3.22±1.24          | 3.62±0.73          |
| Other (technical high school, etc.) | 3.73±0.84  | 4.07±0.92          | 4.00±0.88          | 3.74±1.01          | 4.00±0.97          | 3.88±0.81          |
| KW                          | 6.284              | 2.909              | 2.177              | 4.89              | 12.784            | 3.952              |
| p                            | 0.179              | 0.573              | 0.703              | 0.299             | **0.012**          | 0.413              |
| Personal Data Awareness Status | X±SD               | X±SD               | X±SD               | X±SD               | X±SD               | X±SD               |
| Yes                         | 3.93±0.76          | 4.19±0.84          | 4.06±0.96          | 3.93±0.82          | 3.81±0.86          | 3.10±0.74          |
| No                          | 3.83±0.61          | 4.06±0.67          | 4.01±0.86          | 3.84±0.74          | 3.66±0.73          | 3.89±0.60          |
| U                           | 11953.5            | 11038.5            | 12576             | 12198.5           | 12013.5           | 11414             |
| p                            | **0.032**          | **0.002**          | 0.137             | 0.058             | **0.036**          | **0.006**          |
| Personal Health Data Awareness Status | X±SD               | X±SD               | X±SD               | X±SD               | X±SD               | X±SD               |
| Yes                         | 3.95±0.75          | 4.22±0.85          | 4.07±1.01          | 3.93±0.81          | 3.83±0.88          | 4.02±0.74          |
| No                          | 3.85±0.68          | 4.07±0.74          | 4.03±0.85          | 3.86±0.79          | 3.69±0.76          | 3.92±0.66          |
| U                           | 15296.5            | 13886              | 15682             | 16256             | 15261             | 14845             |
| p                            | **0.041**          | **0.001**          | 0.093             | 0.26              | **0.036**          | **0.013**          |

Notes: * U: Mann-Whitney U-test, KW: Kruskal-Wallis, p<0.05

The results of our study revealed that the mean scores of nursing students on the Attitude Scale for Recording and Protecting Personal Health Data was 3.97 ± 0.71 and they had a positive attitude towards recording and protecting personal data. In a study conducted by Tural Büyük et al., nursing students’ attitudes towards recording and protecting patients’ personal health data were high [14]. Another study has suggested that most of the students were ready to take ethical
was observed between the total mean scores of the Attitude Scale for Recording and Protecting Personal Health Data - Personal Health Data Information, Legal Information, and Legal Data Sharing; junior and senior students had higher average scores than freshmen and sophomores [14]. The factors considered effective in these results are that junior and senior students have more clinical practices than freshmen and sophomores, and they have taken more courses on the patients’ rights and privacy.

According to the Personal Data and Personal Health Data Awareness Status of the students who participated in this research, a statistically significant difference was found between the total mean scores of the Attitude Scale for Recording and Protecting Personal Health Data. The study has found that the total average attitude in students who had heard the phrase “personal health data” before was higher than that in students who did not, and this average score among students provided a statistically significant difference [24]. Awareness of the importance of protecting students’ personal data during their study and the condition of their prior knowledge of personal data and personal health data are considered as effective in enhancing students’ knowledge, awareness, and attitudes regarding recording and protecting personal health data.

Conclusions

According to the results of this study which investigated students’ attitudes towards recording and protecting patients’ personal health data, students were found to have a positive attitude towards recording and protecting personal data. The students’ age, academic level, and prior awareness of personal data and personal health data were found to be effective factors influencing their attitudes towards recording and protecting personal data. More comprehensive inclusion of patients’ rights in the curriculum of nursing students as potential advocates of patients, creation of discussion environment, and additional research for recording and protecting personal health data in other programs providing health-related education are recommended.
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