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The outbreak of the novel coronavirus disease (COVID-19), caused by severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2), resulted in a major global health crisis prompting the World Health Organization to declare it as a global pandemic on the 11th of March 2020 (World Health Organization, 2020a). At the time of writing this paper (June 2020), more than 7 million cases of COVID-19 had been reported around the world (Johns Hopkins University & Medicine, 2020), and the pandemic is considered as being far from over (Nebehay & Farge, 2020). Epicenters have shifted from China to Europe and most recently to the Americas and South Asia. While Oceania and some European countries are starting to experience a substantial reduction in new COVID-19 cases, other countries such as Brazil and India have continued growth in cases (Johns Hopkins University & Medicine 2020).

In Saudi Arabia, the first confirmed case was recorded on March 2, 2020 (Ministry of Health, 2020). By June 30, 2020, there were 190,823 confirmed cases and 1,649 deaths (Saudi Center for Disease Prevention & Control, 2020). Building on previous valuable experience with a similar coronavirus in 2012 (Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus) and experience with organizing annual religious mass gatherings (Umrah and Hajj) (Barry et al., 2020; Yezli & Khan, 2020), Saudi Arabia had robust preparedness and took swift actions to minimize the risk of transmission into the country. These included suspension of large events and Umrah mass gathering even before any confirmed case was reported (Barry et al., 2020), and days later extended to include Hajj as
well (Reuters, 2020). Several other precautionary measures had also been implemented including a 24-h curfew in some cities (Saudi Press Agency, 2020), partial lockdown in several cities including Riyadh, Jeddah, Taif, Tabuk, Dammam, and Hofuf, as well as suspension of air travel (WorldAware, 2020).

In addition to understanding the clinical course and mortality risks of COVID-19 (Bonow et al., 2020; Weiss & Murdoch, 2020) as well as effective treatment options (Cunningham et al., 2020; Matthay et al., 2020; Sanders et al., 2020), efforts have been made to reduce the spread of the virus through implementing public health measures, promoting preventive behaviors, and increasing health literacy (Van den Broucke, 2020; World Health Organization, 2020b). The most drastic of these measures are government policies that severely limit movement of members of the public in order to reduce interpersonal contact. Travel restrictions and wide-spread lockdown have been implemented in spite of their staggering economic cost (Al-Awadhi et al., 2020; Ayittey et al., 2020; Ebrahim & Memish, 2020), as such measures have been recognized and reported to be highly effective in mitigating the spread of the virus (Jarvis et al., 2020). In lockdown situations where face-to-face encounters are permitted, general recommendations have been to maintain a spatial distance of between 1.50 to 2.00 m (de Bruin et al., 2020).

Another common health measure to mitigate the spread of the virus has been the recommendation to engage in regular hand washing, using an alcohol-based hand rub or using soap and water when hands are visibly dirty (World Health Organization, 2020c). Such recommendations have been specifically promoted in a wide range of settings including schools (Chen et al., 2020) and healthcare (Lotfinejad et al., 2020). Frequent hand washing has become so commonplace that it prompted calls to admonish people to engage in rational hand washing that balances adequate hygiene with the need to maintain skincare (Cavanagh & Wambier, 2020). Hand washing may be a predictor for the spread of COVID-19. In countries where internet searches for the term “wash hands” had increased in frequency during the period 19 January to 18 February, COVID-19 spread more slowly during the month after (Lin et al., 2020).

Behavioral science has been described as playing a crucial role in understanding the factors influencing compliance with recommended health measures (Betsch, 2020). A cross-sectional online study reported that US residents’ behaviors such as purchasing more goods, attending large gatherings, or using facial masks were directly predicted by knowledge of COVID-19, with political orientation also playing a predictive role (Clements, 2020). However, as fear of uncertainty and negative consequences is also known to be a key variable in effective health communication (Finset et al., 2020), Ahorsu et al. (2020) recently developed the Fear of COVID-19 Scale (FCV-19S). This scale has already been translated from its original Persian version to other languages such as Arabic (Alyami et al., 2020), Bangla (Sakib et al., 2020), English (Harper et al., 2020), Hebrew (Bitan et al., 2020), and Turkish (Satici et al., 2020), but studies are now needed to explore the function of fear of COVID-19 in relation to pandemic-related health behaviors. Studies using samples from New Zealand and the UK have reported that fear of COVID-19 could be a motivator for engaging in relevant health behaviors and adhering to lockdown rules (Harper et al., 2020; Winter et al., 2020). The present study extends exploration of the role of fear of COVID-19, perceived seriousness of COVID-19, and health knowledge to Saudi Arabia. Effects of these variables were investigated on physical distancing and hand washing as these are the most widely communicated health measures for individuals to mitigate the spread of COVID-19 (World Health Organization, 2020b).
Methods

Participants and Procedure

Participants were Saudi adults aged 18 years or older and recruited online using an anonymous survey administered via Google Forms and convenient snowball sampling strategy. Study advertisements containing brief information about the study and a hyperlink to the study page were shared via email and personal and professional contacts and posted on social media sites including Twitter, Facebook, and LinkedIn. Participants were asked to share the study with their personal and professional networks. Answers to all questionnaire items were required, and participants were able to submit their responses only if they had answered all questions. A total of 1,070 responses were received, of which 1,029 participants had consented and completed all questionnaires. The remaining 41 responses recorded did not provide informed consent and therefore were excluded. The mean age was 33.7 years (SD = 11.5). Male participants accounted for more than half of the sample (52.7%). The majority of participants was married (54.3%), had completed or was in the process of completing a university qualification (70.0%), employed (47.2%), and earned 9,999 Saudi Riyal or less a month (57.2%). Participants were from all regions of Saudi Arabia, with the largest percentage (36%) from the western region of the country. Data were collected between 11 April and 11 May 2020. Participation was voluntary, and all participants provided electronic informed consent. This study had been reviewed and approved by the relevant ethics committee at Taif University (IRB 41–00155).

Measures

Fear of COVID-19

Participants’ level of fear of COVID-19 was assessed using the Arabic version of the Fear of COVID-19 Scale (FCV-19S) (Alyami et al., 2020). The FCV-19S consists of 7 items (e.g., “I am most afraid of coronavirus-19” and “It makes me uncomfortable to think about coronavirus-19”). Each item is rated on a 5-point scale, ranging from 1 (strongly disagree) to 5 (strongly agree). A total score is computed by adding all items with a possible total score ranging between 7 and 35. Higher scores indicate greater levels of fear of COVID-19 (Ahorsu et al., 2020). Soon after the scale was published, it was translated and validated in several languages including Arabic (Alyami et al., 2020), Bangla (Sakib et al., 2020), English (Harper et al., 2020; Winter et al., 2020), Greek (Tsipropoulou et al., 2020), Hebrew (Bitan et al., 2020), Italian (Soraci et al., 2020), Russian (Reznik et al., 2020), and Turkish (Haktanir et al., 2020; Satici et al., 2020). The Arabic version of the FCV-19S had demonstrated robust psychometric properties (Alyami et al., 2020). Cronbach’s alpha in the present study was 0.87.

Perceived Seriousness of COVID-19

Participants’ perceptions of the seriousness of COVID-19 were assessed using a single item that had been developed for the purpose of this study. The item asked participants to indicate, on a 5-point scale, the extent to which they agree or disagree with the following
statement: “COVID-19 is a very serious disease for me, my loved ones, and the general population.” Response options were 1 (strongly disagree), 2 (disagree), 3 (neither disagree nor agree), 4 (agree), and 5 (strongly agree).

**Knowledge of Health Behaviors and Government Restrictions**

Participants’ knowledge was assessed using two items that had also been developed for the purpose of this study. Participants were asked about their knowledge of recommended public health measures (“How knowledgeable are you of the health actions recommended by the Ministry of Health to help reduce the spread of COVID-19?”) and their knowledge of restrictions enforced by the law (“How knowledgeable are you of the restrictions that the government has put in place to help reduce the spread of COVID-19?”). Each item is scored on a 4-point scale with 1 (not at all), 2 (know some), 3 (know most), and 4 (complete knowledge). Higher scores indicate greater knowledge of health precautionary measures and restrictions.

**Compliance with Recommended Health Behaviors**

Participants’ level of compliance with the recommended health behaviors, specifically, physical distancing and hand washing, was assessed using two items (“To what extent do you practice physical distancing (at least 2 m) when you leave your safe bubble” and “To what extent do you practice hand washing with soap and water for at least 20 s”). Each item is scored on a 5-point scale with 0 (not applicable), 1 (never), 2 (rarely), 3 (some of the time), and 4 (most of the time), with higher scores thus indicating greater compliance with physical distancing and hand washing practices.

**Statistical Analysis**

Statistical analyses were conducted using IBM SPSS Statistics software version 26. Due to deviations from normality for some of the variables (as described below), group comparisons were conducted using nonparametric statistics. Nonparametric correlation analyses were conducted to provide a general overview of the pattern of associations among key variables of interest, which also permits more direct comparisons with other studies. Using a more targeted approach that controls for the presence of multiple variables, a multiple-linear regression explored the effects on health behaviors (physical distancing and hand washing) of demographic variables, fear of COVID-19, perceived seriousness of COVID-19, knowledge of recommended health behaviors, and knowledge of government restrictions. Regression is known to be robust against deviations from normality (Schmidt & Finan, 2018), and multicollinearity was checked by inspecting values of variance inflation factor (Thompson et al., 2017).

**Results**

Table 1 shows, by demographic factors, mean scores for fear of COVID-19, perceived seriousness of COVID-19, frequency of physical distancing, frequency of hand washing, knowledge of recommended health behaviors, and knowledge of government restrictions. Apart from fear of COVID-19, all of the other variables presented with strong ceiling
| Characteristics         | Fear of COVID-19 | Perceived seriousness | Knowledge of health behaviors | Knowledge of restrictions | Physical distancing | Hand washing |
|-------------------------|------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------|--------------|
| **Sex**                 |                  |                       |                               |                          |                     |              |
| Male ($n=542$)          | 16.37 (5.60)     | 4.23 (0.96)           | 3.62 (0.63)                   | 3.70 (0.59)              | 3.58 (0.73)        | 3.61 (0.78)  |
| Female ($n=487$)        | 17.13 (5.73)     | 4.20 (0.97)           | 3.67 (0.61)                   | 3.76 (0.54)              | 3.69 (0.80)        | 3.65 (0.72)  |
| *p value*               | <.05*            | >.05                  | >.05                          | >.05                     | <.01**              | >.05         |
| **Marital status**      |                  |                       |                               |                          |                     |              |
| Single ($n=430$)        | 16.70 (5.97)     | 4.20 (0.99)           | 3.58 (0.65)                   | 3.68 (0.60)              | 3.60 (0.79)        | 3.52 (0.88)  |
| Married ($n=559$)       | 16.84 (5.34)     | 4.23 (0.94)           | 3.68 (0.60)                   | 3.77 (0.54)              | 3.65 (0.76)        | 3.70 (0.65)  |
| *p value*               | >.05             | >.05                  | <.01**                        | <.01**                   | >.05               | <.01**       |
| **Education level**     |                  |                       |                               |                          |                     |              |
| High school or less ($n=214$) | 17.15 (5.48) | 4.33 (0.96)           | 3.66 (0.64)                   | 3.69 (0.64)              | 3.51 (0.93)        | 3.61 (0.80)  |
| Diploma ($n=94$)        | 16.84 (6.50)     | 4.27 (1.06)           | 3.68 (0.71)                   | 3.74 (0.60)              | 3.56 (0.78)        | 3.57 (0.76)  |
| Bachelor ($n=522$)      | 16.75 (5.81)     | 4.25 (0.91)           | 3.60 (0.62)                   | 3.72 (0.55)              | 3.66 (0.70)        | 3.62 (0.74)  |
| Master/PhD ($n=199$)   | 16.18 (5.08)     | 3.96 (1.04)           | 3.73 (0.55)                   | 3.79 (0.51)              | 3.72 (0.72)        | 3.70 (0.72)  |
| *p value*               | >.05             | <.01**                | <.01**                        | >.05                     | <.05               | >.05         |
| **Employment**          |                  |                       |                               |                          |                     |              |
| Student ($n=311$)       | 16.46 (5.90)     | 4.22 (1.02)           | 3.57 (0.67)                   | 3.67 (0.62)              | 3.62 (0.81)        | 3.51 (0.91)  |
| Employed ($n=486$)      | 16.71 (5.67)     | 4.19 (0.96)           | 3.70 (0.59)                   | 3.77 (0.53)              | 3.66 (0.71)        | 3.67 (0.71)  |
| Unemployed ($n=169$)    | 17.25 (5.52)     | 4.20 (0.95)           | 3.69 (0.57)                   | 3.76 (0.54)              | 3.60 (0.91)        | 3.62 (0.65)  |
| Retired ($n=63$)        | 16.84 (4.97)     | 4.43 (0.73)           | 3.52 (0.67)                   | 3.63 (0.66)              | 3.63 (0.60)        | 3.87 (0.34)  |
| *p value*               | <.05*            | >.05                  | <.01**                        | <.05*                    | >.05               | <.01**       |
| **Monthly income**      |                  |                       |                               |                          |                     |              |
| 9999 or less ($n=589$)  | 16.79 (5.90)     | 4.25 (0.95)           | 3.60 (0.67)                   | 3.70 (0.61)              | 3.59 (0.82)        | 3.56 (0.80)  |
| 10,000–15,999 ($n=208$) | 16.80 (5.16)    | 4.23 (0.99)           | 3.74 (0.53)                   | 3.81 (0.45)              | 3.66 (0.77)        | 3.68 (0.73)  |
| 16,000 or more ($n=232$)| 16.53 (5.54)    | 4.11 (0.99)           | 3.68 (0.55)                   | 3.74 (0.55)              | 3.72 (0.63)        | 3.75 (0.61)  |
| *p value*               | >.05             | >.05                  | <.05*                         | >.05                     | >.05               | <.01**       |
Table 1 (continued)

| Characteristics | Fear of COVID-19 | Perceived seriousness | Knowledge of health behaviors | Knowledge of restrictions | Physical distancing | Hand washing |
|-----------------|------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------|-------------|
| Regional        |                  |                       |                               |                           |                     |             |
| Central (n = 227) | 17.09 (5.83)   | 4.20 (0.99)           | 3.67 (0.61)                   | 3.80 (0.49)               | 3.70 (0.76)         | 3.69 (0.65) |
| Northern (n = 77) | 17.12 (5.15)   | 4.31 (0.80)           | 3.58 (0.64)                   | 3.71 (0.51)               | 3.65 (0.82)         | 3.68 (0.68) |
| Southern (n = 241) | 16.55 (6.17)  | 4.17 (1.07)           | 3.62 (0.67)                   | 3.68 (0.63)               | 3.53 (0.82)         | 3.53 (0.84) |
| Eastern (n = 114) | 16.03 (5.24)   | 4.18 (0.94)           | 3.65 (0.61)                   | 3.71 (0.59)               | 3.68 (0.71)         | 3.68 (0.63) |
| Western (n = 370) | 16.77 (5.48)   | 4.24 (0.92)           | 3.65 (0.60)                   | 3.73 (0.57)               | 3.65 (0.74)         | 3.62 (0.80) |

p values:
- > .05
- > .05
- > .05
- > .05
- < .05*
- > .05

Notes:
- *Mann-Whitney U test;
- *due to small numbers of participants being divorced (n = 34) and widowed (n = 6), these categories were not included in the above analyses;
- *Kruskal-Wallis test;
- *Saudi Riyal;
- *p < .05; **p < .01
effects. For that reason, statistical comparisons of these variables by demographic factors were conducted using nonparametric statistics—Mann–Whitney $U$ for variables with two factors (sex and marital status) and Kruskal–Wallis for variables with more than two factors (education level, employment, monthly income, and region of residence). For each demographic variable, there was at least one significant effect for one of the variables of interest. For example, males had significantly lower scores on fear of COVID-19 than females, and single participants were significantly less likely to report engaging in frequent hand washing than married participants.

Nonparametric correlational analyses were conducted to provide an overview of the relationship among the variables of interest as well as the continuous demographic variable age (Table 2). Age was only very weakly correlated with frequency of hand washing and no other variable. Fear of COVID-19 was moderately correlated (Spearman’s $\rho = 0.29$) with perceived seriousness of COVID-19 and had a weak negative correlation ($\rho = -0.11$) with both knowledge of recommended health behaviors and government restrictions. The only strong correlation found was between the two knowledge variables ($\rho = 0.72$).

The subsequent multiple-linear regression analyses explored which variables predicted frequency of physical distancing and hand washing. Because of the various effects by demographic variables as shown in Table 1, demographic variables were entered in the regression analysis as block 1. For demographic variables with more than two factors, this required the creation of dummy variables prior to conducting the analyses. In block 2, fear of COVID-19, perceived seriousness of COVID-19, knowledge of recommended health behaviors, and knowledge of government restrictions were entered simultaneously. Given the high correlation between the two knowledge variables (Table 2), the potential for multicollinearity was explored. However, since variance inflation factor scores for these variables were around 2.30 and thus below the commonly used cut-off value of 10.00 or even the more conservative cut-off value of 3.00 (Thompson et al., 2017), multicollinearity was deemed to be of no concern.

Table 3 shows the results of two regression analyses, one with physical distancing and the other one with hand washing as outcome variable. In both cases, the demographic variables of block 1 were only very weak predictors. For physical distancing, sex and having a bachelor education were significant predictors, although not sufficiently strong to result in a significant increase in $r^2$ for that block. For hand washing, age was the only significant predictor variable ($\beta = 0.12$), resulting in an $r^2$ increase of 0.04 for that block. Fear of COVID-19 was not a significant predictor for either of the two outcome variables. Knowledge of government restrictions significantly predicted physical distancing ($\beta = 0.16$) and hand washing ($\beta = 0.23$). Perceived seriousness of COVID-19 was also significantly associated with hand washing ($\beta = 0.10$), but no other block 2 variable was a significant predictor of physical distancing.

**Discussion**

The purpose of the present study was to investigate the role of fear of COVID-19 alongside perceived seriousness of COVID-19 and knowledge of recommended health behaviors and government restrictions in their ability to predict to what extent individuals are engaging in physical distancing and hand washing. Previous work has explored associations between health behaviors and scores on the Fear of COVID-19 Scale (Ahorsu et al., 2020) in a UK sample (Harper et al., 2020), and here we extended such investigations to a sample from
Table 2  Spearman’s rho correlation matrix of the variables age, total fear of COVID-19 scores, perceived seriousness of COVID-19, knowledge of recommended health behaviors, knowledge of government restrictions, frequency of physical distancing, and frequency of hand washing

| Variable                      | Age | Fear of COVID-19 | Perceived seriousness | Knowledge of health behaviors | Knowledge of restrictions | Hand washing |
|-------------------------------|-----|------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------|--------------|
| Age                           | –   | –                | –                     |                               |                           |              |
| Fear of COVID-19              | .02 | –                | –                     |                               |                           |              |
| Perceived seriousness         | −.02| .29**            | –                     |                               |                           |              |
| Knowledge of health behaviors | .05 | −.11**           | .01                   |                               |                           |              |
| Knowledge of restrictions     | .04 | −.11**           | .02                   | .72**                         |                           |              |
| Hand washing                  | .14**| −.01            | .12**                 | .21**                         | .25**                     | –            |
| Physical distancing           | .03 | −.03             | .01                   | .17**                         | .22**                     | .33**        |

**$p < .01$**
| Block | $r^2$ change | Variable               | Standardized beta | $p$   | Outcome variable          |
|-------|-------------|-----------------------|-------------------|-------|---------------------------|
| 1     | .02         | Age                   | .04               | > .05 | Physical distancing       |
|       |             | Female                | .07               | < .05*|                           |
|       |             | Single                | − .05             | > .05 |                           |
|       |             | Married               | − .03             | > .05 |                           |
|       |             | Education: diploma   | .03               | > .05 |                           |
|       |             | Education: bachelor   | .10               | < .05*|                           |
|       |             | Education: master/PhD | .08               | > .05 |                           |
|       |             | Employed              | − .05             | > .05 |                           |
|       |             | Unemployed            | − .05             | > .05 |                           |
|       |             | Retired               | − .04             | > .05 |                           |
|       |             | Income: medium        | .02               | > .05 |                           |
|       |             | Income: high          | .05               | > .05 |                           |
|       |             | Region: north         | − .01             | > .05 |                           |
|       |             | Region: south         | − .07             | > .05 |                           |
|       |             | Region: east          | .02               | > .05 |                           |
|       |             | Region: west          | − .02             | > .05 |                           |
| 2     | .03         | Fear of COVID-19      | − .02             | > .05 |                           |
|       |             | Perceived seriousness | .03               | > .05 |                           |
|       |             | Knowledge of health behaviors | .02     | > .05 |                           |
|       |             | Knowledge of government restrictions | .16 | < .01** |                           |
Unlike Harper et al. (2020), who reported a weak to moderate ($\beta=0.20$) effect of fear of COVID-19 on pandemic-related health behavior change, our study found no associations between fear of COVID-19 and physical distancing and hand washing. Our result is also in contrast with another study where the authors reported weak correlations ($\rho=0.20$ and $\rho=0.11$) between fear of COVID-19 and adherence to 2-m physical distancing in two samples collected in New Zealand (Winter et al., 2020). Given the known effectiveness of fear as a motivator for behavior change (Tannenbaum et al., 2015; Witte & Allen, 2000) and the above-mentioned reports of associations

| Block | $r^2$ change | Variable | Standardized beta | $p$ | Outcome variable |
|-------|--------------|----------|------------------|-----|------------------|
| 1     | .04          |          |                  |     | Hand washing     |
|       |              | Age      | .12              | <.01** |                  |
|       |              | Female   | .03              | >.05  |                  |
|       |              | Single   | -.10             | >.05  |                  |
|       |              | Married  | -.08             | >.05  |                  |
|       |              | Education: diploma | -.03 | >.05 |
|       |              | Education: bachelor | -.00 | >.05 |
|       |              | Education: master/PhD | -.02 | >.05 |
|       |              | Employed | -.00             | >.05  |                  |
|       |              | Unemployed | -.01           | >.05  |                  |
|       |              | Retired  | .01              | >.05  |                  |
|       |              | Income: medium | .03  | >.05 |
|       |              | Income: high | .06  | >.05 |
|       |              | Region: north | -.02 | >.05 |
|       |              | Region: south | -.09 | >.05 |
|       |              | Region: east | -.01 | >.05 |
|       |              | Region: west | -.06 | >.05 |
| 2     | .07          | Fear of COVID-19 | .02  | >.05 |
|       |              | Perceived seriousness of COVID-19 | .10  | <.01** |
|       |              | Knowledge of health behaviors | .02  | >.05 |
|       |              | Knowledge of government restrictions | .23  | <.01** |

Standardized beta coefficients for two separate multiple-linear regressions with frequency of physical distancing and hand washing as outcome variables. In block 1, demographic variables were entered as dummy variables where necessary. In block 2, the following variables were entered: total score of the Fear of COVID-19 Scale, perceived seriousness of COVID-19, knowledge of health behaviors, and knowledge of government restrictions.

$p < .05$; $**p < .01$
between fear of COVID-19 and relevant health behaviors, our results may appear unexpected. However, it needs to be noted that the associations reported in the UK (Harper et al., 2020) and New Zealand (Winter et al., 2020) should still be considered relatively small, and the discrepancies between these reports and our findings from Saudi Arabia must therefore not be exaggerated. Lack of predictive effects may well be related to the fact that frequency of physical distancing and hand washing was overall high in the present sample, resulting in a ceiling effect. This finding indicates that compliance with recommended health behaviors is generally very high in Saudi Arabia, which is also consistent with reports that the infection control measures in Saudi Arabia are generally well perceived (Alhajji et al., 2020).

Instead of an association between fear of COVID-19 and health behaviors, our study found that knowledge of government restrictions predicted physical distancing and hand washing, and perceived seriousness of COVID-19 was also a predictor for hand washing (Table 3). However, the effects were also relatively small, and the overall $r^2$ for the two regressions was almost negligible. Again, this may be due to the fact that knowledge of recommended health behaviors and restrictions was generally very high. There is certainly a possibility that this finding is a reflection of a sampling bias that resulted in a reduced likelihood of participants of lower socioeconomic status being included. In a study from Iran, medical students, who also generally tend to be from higher socioeconomic backgrounds, were also found to have a high degree of COVID-19-related knowledge (Taghrir et al., 2020). It appears likely, however, that risk perception related to fatality and seriousness of the virus is sufficient to motivate pandemic-related health behaviors (Niepel et al., 2020) rather than full-blown fear. In the context of the 2009 swine flu pandemic, factors influencing recommended behavior changes were also reported to be risk of catching the disease as well as control over risk and trust in authorities (Rubin et al., 2009). For pandemic-related health communication to be effective, inducing fear of pandemic is therefore not essential.

The following limitations need to be acknowledged. First, being a cross-sectional design, causality cannot be inferred. Second, the participants tended to be more highly educated than the general population. Further studies using a more representative sample of the Saudi general population are thus required. Third, data collection relied on convenience snowball sampling, which was practical during the isolation and lockdown measures imposed at the time by the government. This sampling method may thus have introduced a selection bias (Sadler et al., 2010) due to only some individuals receiving the online invitation to participate in the study. Fourth, although adapted from the literature, items used to assess perceived seriousness of COVID-19, knowledge of health behaviors and restrictions, and compliance with physical distancing and hand washing were not previously validated. Lastly, frequency of physical distancing and hand washing was assessed by only one item each, thus not allowing for differentiation of these health behaviors by context, and they also exhibited a ceiling effect. A more detailed assessment of these health behaviors may have revealed slightly different associations with fear of COVID-19, perceived seriousness, and knowledge variables. Such work may also benefit from a wider range of variables such as hope (Nabi & Myrick, 2019) and to test specific health belief models (Mukhtar, 2020). A more detailed assessment may also focus on more aspects of health behaviors. For hand washing, for example, the role of drying has been acknowledged as an important determinant of hygiene as residual moisture can be a substantial contributing factor to bacterial translocation (Merry et al., 2001).
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