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INTRODUCTION

Plants and their products are the nature’s gift to humans and animals that help them to flourish by leading a disease free healthy life. Since thousands of years, plants are playing important role in maintaining human health and quality of life. The various native systems such as Siddha, Ayurveda, Unani, and Allopathy use numerous plant species to treat different ailments [1]. Medicinal plants have been used for centuries as remedies for human diseases owing to the presence of certain components of therapeutic value. The restorative properties of medicinal plants are attributable to the presence of various bioactive phytochemicals which may enlighten their conventional uses against various ailments [2].

The increased consumption of natural antioxidants present in fruits and vegetables boosts up the antioxidant capacity of plasma, and these constituents are reported to mitigate the damage caused by the oxidative stress [3-5]. As revealed by the latest studies, the defensive effect of fruits and vegetables is at least partially attributable to the phytochemicals present in them [6-8]. The additive and synergistic effects of phytochemicals in fruits and vegetables have been proposed to be responsible for their potent antioxidant and anticancer potentials. The benefit of a diet rich in fruits and vegetables is credited to the complex mixture of phytochemicals present in these and other whole foods [9-11].

To protect the cells and organ systems of the body against reactive oxygen species, humans have evolved a highly sophisticated and complex antioxidant protection system that functions interactively and synergistically to neutralize free radicals. Under natural conditions, a dynamic balance between the free radicals and antioxidants is maintained. The amount of antioxidant principles present under normal physiological conditions may be insufficient to neutralize free radicals generated. Therefore, antioxidants can be given from external sources like food, etc., to protect against harmful diseases and to prevent, delay, or ameliorate many of these disorders [12].

The present research has been designed to study the synergistic effect of a common herb, Terminalia chebula (TC) over the antioxidant potential of various fruit extracts. TC belongs to the family Combretaceae and is found throughout India, especially in deciduous forests and areas of light rainfall [13]. In Tibet, due to its astonishing wound healing property, it is entitled as “the king of medicines”. So far, literature shows that TC have potential biological and pharmacological properties including antibacterial, antimutagenic, antiviral, antifungal, adaptogenic, anti-anaphylactic, hypocholesterolemic, gastrointestine motility improving, anti-ulcerogenic, hepatoprotective, cardioprotective, radioprotective, antidiabetic, retinoprotective, purgative, wound healing, antispasmodic, immunomodulatory, and chemopreventive potential [14]. From the above properties of plant, it can be speculated that when it will be used in combination with other fruit extracts, it will enhance the antioxidant and anticancer potential of the extract to a greater extent and this mixture could be a potent anticarcinogenic agent.

METHODS

Chemicals and reagents

2,2-diphenyl-1-picrylhydrazyl (DPPH), thiobarbituric acid (TBA), trichloroacetic acid (TCA), ascorbic acid, and ethylenediaminetetraacetic
acid (EDTA) were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich, USA, whereas hydrogen peroxide and 2-deoxyribose were procured from HiMedia Lab Ltd., Mumbai, India. Distilled deionized water was prepared by Camco Industries, Chandigarh (India).

Plant sample collection and authentication
Phyllanthus emblica (PE), Ananas comosus (AC), Punica granatum (PG), and TC used in the present investigation were procured from local market of Chandigarh, India. Botanical identification of above-mentioned samples was done at Botany Department, Punjab University, Chandigarh. The deposition of voucher specimens in herbarium was done with accession number 21126, 21127, 21128, and 21129 for TC, PG, AC, and PE, respectively.

Extract preparation
Aqueous, ethanolic, and aqueous-ethanolic (1:1) extracts of fruits of TC, PG, AC, and PE were prepared by the method described by Chintalapani et al., 2018, by doing slight modifications as discussed ahead [15]. Fruits were dried at 40°C for 3–4 days and powdered. Extract preparation of four samples was achieved by overnight soaking the dried powder in a respective solvent with a ratio of 1:10 (w/v) subjected to intermittent shaking. Furthermore, extracts were subjected to evaporation under reduced pressure in a rotary evaporator at 40°C and stored at 4°C for further analysis.

Optimization of sample concentrations using response surface methodology (RSM)
In the present experimental set, central composite design (CCD) was explored for making various combinations of different concentration of three fruit extracts, whereas the concentrations of TC (50, 100, 200, 400, and 500 µg/ml) were fixed for each set. The independent variables were PE: 50–300 µg/ml, AC: 50–200 µg/ml, and PG: 20–200 µg/ml. The subjection of above-mentioned concentration range of independent variables in CCD generated 20 combinations by design expert software for analysis of antioxidant potential with respect to selected concentrations of TC for each set.

In vitro antioxidant assay
Hydroxyl radical (OH) scavenging activity
Deoxyribose assay was used to determine the ability of extract to scavenge the hydroxyl radical generated from Fe3+/Ascorbate/EDTA/H2O2 system in aqueous medium. Reaction mixture containing 5.0 mM deoxyribose (100 µM), 0.1 mM FeO23+ (200 µM), 0.1 mM EDTA (200 µM), 0.1 mM ascorbic acid (100 µM), 1 mM hydrogen peroxide (H2O2) (100 µM), and 20 mM phosphate buffer (pH 7.4) was subjected to 20 different combinatorial concentrations of extracts (500 µl) as generated by RSM. This assay was run in five sets, each set having a fixed concentration of TC, 50, 100, 200, 400, and 500 µg/ml.

Followed by an incubation of 1 h at 37°C, the amount of TBA-reactive substance formed due to the attack of hydroxyl radicals on the deoxyribose was measured as per the method described by Ohkawa et al. [16]. Thereafter, 1 ml of TBA, 1% and 1 ml of TCA, 2.8% were added in the reaction mixture followed by incubation at 100°C for 20 min. L-ascorbic acid was employed as standard reference. The pink color thus formed in reaction mixture was measured at 532 nm against a blank containing deoxyribose and buffer. The percentage inhibition was calculated by the following equation:

\[
\text{Inhibition of lipid peroxidation (\%)} = \left( \frac{A_{\text{control}} - A_{\text{sample}}}{A_{\text{control}}} \right) \times 100
\]

DPPH radical scavenging assay
The screened combinations were subjected to DPPH free radical scavenging activity according to slight modifications in the protocol [17]. Briefly, 3.5 ml methanolic solution of 0.5 mM DPPH was added to 80 µl of various combinatorial concentrations of plant extract followed by incubation at 37°C for 20 min in the dark. Methanol was used as a blank. However, antioxidant activity of L-ascorbic acid as standard reference was also assayed. The scavenging activity of the extract was estimated by the following equation, where A denotes absorbance:

\[
\% \text{ inhibition} = \left( \frac{A_{\text{control}} - A_{\text{sample}}}{A_{\text{control}}} \right) \times 100
\]

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION
Response for optimization
Improving the process conditions for the achievement of a desired product with high yield, low production costs, and low use of energy requires the use of suitable optimization procedures. RSM software generated 20 different combinations of various concentrations with respect to PE, AC, and PG fruit extracts as represented in Table 1. These 20 combinations were studied to impose an enhancing effect on the in vitro antioxidant potential of TC. Each selected concentration of TC (50, 100, 200, 400, and

Table 1: The 20 different combinations generated by RSM. Factors 1, 2, and 3 correspond to the different concentrations of PE, AC, and PG, respectively. Run represents combination number from C1 to C20

| Run | Factor 1 A:P emblica microgram/ml | Factor 2 B.A. comosus microgram/ml | Factor 3 C.P. granatum microgram/ml |
|-----|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| 1   | 50                               | 200                               | 20                                |
| 2   | 175                              | 251.134                           | 110                               |
| 3   | 175                              | 125                               | 110                               |
| 4   | 175                              | 125                               | 110                               |
| 5   | 175                              | 125                               | 110                               |
| 6   | 50                               | 50                                | 20                                |
| 7   | 300                              | 200                               | 200                               |
| 8   | 175                              | 125                               | 110                               |
| 9   | 50                               | 200                               | 200                               |
| 10  | 385.224                          | 125                               | 110                               |
| 11  | 50                               | 50                                | 200                               |
| 12  | 175                              | 125                               | 41.3614                           |
| 13  | 300                              | 50                                | 20                                |
| 14  | 175                              | 125                               | 110                               |
| 15  | 175                              | 125                               | 26.361                           |
| 16  | 35.2241                          | 125                               | 110                               |
| 17  | 175                              | 125                               | 110                               |
| 18  | 175                              | 1.13445                           | 110                               |
| 19  | 300                              | 200                               | 20                                |
| 20  | 300                              | 50                                | 200                               |

RSM: Response surface methodology, PE: Phyllanthus emblica, AC: Ananas comosus, PG: Punica granatum
Hydroxyl radical (OH) scavenging assay

Quantification of hydroxyl radical scavenging activity of 20 different combinations was achieved by measuring the inhibition of the degradation of 2-deoxyribose by the free radicals produced by the Fenton reaction. All combinations revealed a wide variation in the antioxidant potential for aqueous, ethanolic, and aqueous-ethanolic extracts. Whereas, the highest response in enhancing the antioxidant potential of TC was shown by combination number 15 of ethanolic extract (92.9%) containing PE, AC, PG, and TC in 175, 125, 261.3, and 400 µg/ml concentration, respectively, followed by combination number 10 for aqueous (85.2%) containing TC 400 µg/ml and combination number 16 for aqueous-ethanolic extracts (84.21%) containing TC 500 µg/ml. The hydroxyl radical has the capacity to break DNA strands contributing to carcinogenesis, mutagenesis, and cytotoxicity. Moreover, it is considered one of the quick initiators of lipid peroxidation process [19]. Tables 2-4 represent the complete result of hydroxyl (OH) radical scavenging assay for all the five concentrations of TC: 50, 100, 200, 400, and 500 µg/ml with respect to aqueous, ethanolic, and aqueous-ethanolic extracts.

Statistical analysis of data

Hydroxyl radical scavenging assay was performed on a total of 300 different combinatorial concentrations. 100 each of aqueous, ethanolic, and aqueous-ethanolic extracts. Results were calculated in terms of percentage inhibition of hydroxyl radical by various concentrations of extracts, and highest value of percent inhibition corresponds to ethanolic combination number 15 (92.98%) with the concentration of TC being 400 µg/ml. Statistical significance of model that generated values of combination 1–20 (Table 1) for ethanolic extract containing TC 400 µg/ml was checked by F-test analysis of variance as represented in Table 5. The Model F-value of 3.42 implies that the model is significant. p=0.0500 indicates that the model terms are statistically significant. The lack of fit F-value of 3.43 implies that the lack of fit is not significant relative to the pure error. This is in agreement with a study in which RSM was employed to optimize the conditions for antioxidant potential and polyphenols from apricot powder (Prunus armeniaca L) using four independent variables: Methanol (20%, 35%, 50%, 65% and 80%), solvent/sample ratio (10, 15, 20, 25, and 30), temperature (20, 30, 40, 50, and 60°C) and time (20, 30, 40, 50, and 60 min). The results showed that antioxidant potential and total polyphenols in the experiments varied from 76.15% to 96.68% and 8.77 to 12.11 mg GAE/g, respectively. The F-values for antioxidant potential and total polyphenols were 0.99 and 4.44, respectively [20]. The validation of the results of analyzed data in the present investigation can be drawn from three-dimensional response surface plot generated by design expert software (Trial Version 11). Stat Ease Inc., USA, as shown in Fig. 1. The graph is plotted between various concentrations of ethanolic extracts in combinatorial concentrations and percentage scavenging of OH radicals. The values from the graph represent that the OH radical scavenging by the various combinations of extracts is not dependent on the increasing or decreasing concentrations of extracts.

Table 2: Percentage inhibition of hydroxyl radical by phytochemicals present in the aqueous extract of PE, AC, PG, and various concentrations of TC. The aqueous combination number 10 with TC 400 µg/ml showed the highest percentage inhibition of OH radical (85.25%)

| Combination number | % inhibition with TC (50 µg/ml) | % inhibition with TC (100 µg/ml) | % inhibition with TC (200 µg/ml) | % inhibition with TC (400 µg/ml) | % inhibition with TC (500 µg/ml) |
|--------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| 1                  | 68.31                          | 61.75                           | 69.95                           | 76.69                           | 80.33                           |
| 2                  | 74.32                          | 65.03                           | 73.77                           | 76.50                           | 81.97                           |
| 3                  | 61.75                          | 62.50                           | 78.14                           | 83.61                           | 77.60                           |
| 4                  | 64.48                          | 68.85                           | 75.41                           | 78.14                           | 94.70                           |
| 5                  | 66.67                          | 61.75                           | 68.85                           | 79.78                           | 81.97                           |
| 6                  | 61.20                          | 56.28                           | 74.86                           | 84.15                           | 79.23                           |
| 7                  | 62.30                          | 63.93                           | 71.04                           | 70.49                           | 77.60                           |
| 8                  | 63.39                          | 71.04                           | 67.21                           | 72.68                           | 75.96                           |
| 9                  | 54.10                          | 62.30                           | 68.31                           | 70.49                           | 75.96                           |
| 10                 | 60.66                          | 63.93                           | 76.50                           | 85.25                           | 79.23                           |
| 11                 | 58.47                          | 63.39                           | 75.96                           | 73.22                           | 77.05                           |
| 12                 | 69.95                          | 63.39                           | 76.50                           | 74.86                           | 83.06                           |
| 13                 | 72.68                          | 67.21                           | 78.14                           | 75.96                           | 74.86                           |
| 14                 | 63.39                          | 63.39                           | 77.60                           | 76.50                           | 74.32                           |
| 15                 | 65.57                          | 72.68                           | 78.14                           | 75.96                           | 74.86                           |
| 16                 | 51.91                          | 80.33                           | 80.33                           | 68.31                           | 69.95                           |
| 17                 | 66.12                          | 70.49                           | 78.69                           | 71.58                           | 81.42                           |
| 18                 | 67.21                          | 70.49                           | 76.50                           | 75.96                           | 79.78                           |
| 19                 | 69.40                          | 70.49                           | 78.14                           | 79.78                           | 73.77                           |
| 20                 | 73.22                          | 71.58                           | 79.23                           | 81.97                           | 78.69                           |

PE: Phyllanthus emblica, AC: Ananas comosus, PG: Punica granatum, TC: Terminalia chebula
Table 3: Percentage inhibition of hydroxyl radical by phytochemicals present in the ethanolic extract of PE, AC, PG, and various concentrations of TC. The ethanolic combination number 15 with TC=400 µg/ml showed the highest percentage inhibition of OH radical (92.98%).

| Combination number | % inhibition with TC (50 µg/ml) | % inhibition with TC (100 µg/ml) | % inhibition with TC (200 µg/ml) | % inhibition with TC (400 µg/ml) | % inhibition with TC (500 µg/ml) |
|-------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| 1                 | 82.46                           | 77.78                           | 71.93                           | 67.25                           | 83.04                           |
| 2                 | 77.78                           | 76.61                           | 79.53                           | 75.44                           | 85.96                           |
| 3                 | 73.68                           | 82.46                           | 77.78                           | 67.84                           | 79.53                           |
| 4                 | 79.53                           | 78.36                           | 75.44                           | 64.91                           | 73.68                           |
| 5                 | 75.44                           | 80.12                           | 81.87                           | 70.18                           | 72.51                           |
| 6                 | 77.78                           | 84.21                           | 83.04                           | 60.23                           | 83.63                           |
| 7                 | 72.51                           | 70.76                           | 71.35                           | 61.40                           | 80.70                           |
| 8                 | 55.56                           | 72.51                           | 75.44                           | 63.16                           | 83.04                           |
| 9                 | 61.99                           | 70.76                           | 85.38                           | 55.56                           | 84.21                           |
| 10                | 66.67                           | 84.21                           | 80.70                           | 60.82                           | 82.46                           |
| 11                | 71.35                           | 73.68                           | 72.51                           | 60.23                           | 76.02                           |
| 12                | 50.88                           | 74.86                           | 77.78                           | 67.25                           | 77.78                           |
| 13                | 81.29                           | 81.87                           | 80.12                           | 80.12                           | 81.87                           |
| 14                | 61.40                           | 75.44                           | 71.93                           | 61.99                           | 83.63                           |
| 15                | 87.72                           | 76.61                           | 79.53                           | 92.98                           | 78.95                           |
| 16                | 77.19                           | 68.42                           | 74.27                           | 51.46                           | 75.44                           |
| 17                | 81.87                           | 71.93                           | 78.36                           | 58.48                           | 77.19                           |
| 18                | 71.93                           | 76.02                           | 76.61                           | 66.08                           | 80.70                           |
| 19                | 60.23                           | 79.78                           | 78.36                           | 67.25                           | 77.78                           |
| 20                | 69.59                           | 80.70                           | 83.04                           | 71.35                           | 79.53                           |

Table 4: Percentage inhibition of hydroxyl radical by phytochemicals present in the aqueous-ethanolic extract of PE, AC, PG, and various concentrations of TC. The aqueous-ethanolic combination number 16 with TC=500 µg/ml showed the highest percentage inhibition of OH radical (84.21%).

| Combination number | % inhibition with TC (50 µg/ml) | % inhibition with TC (100 µg/ml) | % inhibition with TC (200 µg/ml) | % inhibition with TC (400 µg/ml) | % inhibition with TC (500 µg/ml) |
|-------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| 1                 | 64.91                           | 73.68                           | 60.53                           | 37.72                           | 64.04                           |
| 2                 | 77.19                           | 66.67                           | 65.79                           | 33.33                           | 76.32                           |
| 3                 | 77.19                           | 78.07                           | 64.04                           | 32.46                           | 74.66                           |
| 4                 | 78.07                           | 31.58                           | 60.53                           | 39.47                           | 76.32                           |
| 5                 | 50.00                           | 42.98                           | 57.89                           | 38.60                           | 49.12                           |
| 6                 | 70.18                           | 52.63                           | 66.67                           | 48.25                           | 69.30                           |
| 7                 | 81.58                           | 54.39                           | 70.18                           | 40.35                           | 80.70                           |
| 8                 | 70.18                           | 42.98                           | 52.63                           | 43.86                           | 71.05                           |
| 9                 | 56.14                           | 39.47                           | 16.67                           | 55.26                           | 57.89                           |
| 10                | 66.67                           | 37.72                           | 61.40                           | 40.35                           | 67.54                           |
| 11                | 50.00                           | 57.02                           | 53.51                           | 48.25                           | 51.75                           |
| 12                | 33.33                           | 41.23                           | 57.89                           | 41.23                           | 33.33                           |
| 13                | 25.44                           | 35.96                           | 50.00                           | 39.47                           | 25.44                           |
| 14                | 70.18                           | 21.93                           | 57.02                           | 38.60                           | 70.18                           |
| 15                | 61.40                           | 48.25                           | 64.04                           | 42.98                           | 60.53                           |
| 16                | 81.58                           | 43.86                           | 44.74                           | 48.25                           | 84.21                           |
| 17                | 70.18                           | 20.18                           | 50.00                           | 38.60                           | 68.42                           |
| 18                | 3.51                            | 56.14                           | 56.14                           | 48.25                           | 4.39                            |
| 19                | 70.18                           | 23.68                           | 57.02                           | 44.74                           | 69.30                           |
| 20                | 45.61                           | 1.491                           | 55.26                           | 45.61                           | 48.25                           |

Scavenging activity on the DPPH radical which was found to be increasing with the increasing concentration and thus revealed dose-dependent curves. At 250 µg/ml concentration, the exerted values of MFF and TTF extracts were found to be 54.96% (with IC<sub>50</sub> 131.0 µg/ml) and 51.46% (with IC<sub>50</sub> 142.27 µg/ml), respectively, when compared to ascorbic acid, the standard (86.10%) [23]. Whereas, in the present study the highest activity was found in ethanolic extract, followed by aqueous and aqueous-ethanolic extract. The DPPH scavenging activity of TC was enhanced from 72.36% to 87.37% when used in combination with other extracts. The IC<sub>50</sub> DPPH values for ascorbic acid, aqueous, ethanolic, aqueous-ethanolic, and TC extracts were obtained from the linear regression equation from respective graphs as represented in Table 6.

A significant enhancement in the antioxidant potential of TC when used in a mixture with other extracts is supported by the study which states that several plant preparations such as a mixture of aqueous extracts of Spilanthes Africana, Portulaca oleracea, and Sida rhombifolia are currently utilized in Foumban (West Cameroon) to manage diabetes that was induced to rats by intraperitoneal injection of streptozotocin at a dose of 50 mg/kg b.w. Each extract and the mixture demonstrated a significant scavenging property on DPPH and OH radicals and revealed
Table 5: The ANOVA for quadratic model for percentage inhibition of OH radical (generated by design expert, RSM). The p value of the lack of fit value was of 0.1013 (not significant), the model was of 0.0342 (significant), which showed that the model fit the RSM data significantly

| Source     | Sum of square | df | Mean² | F-value | p        | p value |
|------------|---------------|----|-------|---------|----------|---------|
| Model      | 1197.73       | 9  | 133.08| 3.42    | 0.0342   | Significant |
| A-P emblica| 343.86        | 1  | 343.86| 8.84    | 0.0140   |          |
| B-A comosus| 1.83         | 1  | 1.83  | 0.0471  | 0.8325   |          |
| C-P granatum| 22.90       | 1  | 22.90 | 0.5890  | 0.4605   |          |
| AB         | 79.04         | 1  | 79.04 | 2.03    | 0.1844   |          |
| AC         | 1.07          | 1  | 1.07  | 0.0275  | 0.8716   |          |
| BC         | 9.62          | 1  | 9.62  | 0.0247  | 0.6297   |          |
| A²         | 392.20        | 1  | 392.20| 10.09   | 0.0099   |          |
| B²         | 21.03         | 1  | 21.03 | 0.5410  | 0.4789   |          |
| C²         | 618.78        | 1  | 618.78| 15.91   | 0.0026   |          |
| Residual   | 388.80        | 10 | 38.88 |         |          | Not significant |
| Lack of fit| 300.97        | 5  | 60.19 | 3.43    | 0.1013   |          |
| Pure error | 87.83         | 5  | 17.57 |         |          |          |
| Cor total  | 1586.54       | 19 |       |         |          |          |

RSM: Response surface methodology, ANOVA: Analysis of variance

Table 6: The results of DPPH assay for concentrations ranging from 5, 10, 15, and 20 µg/ml for ascorbic acid, aqueous, ethanolic, aqueous-ethanolic, and TC extracts. Comparison of IC₅₀ values and percentage inhibition of DPPH radical by TC and various combinatorial extracts. C10, C15, and C16 represent combinations with concentration of TC being 400, 400, and 500 µg/ml, respectively. Values are mean of three replicates ± SD

| Sample concentration (µg/ml) | DPPH free radical scavenging activity (%) |
|-----------------------------|------------------------------------------|
|                             | Ascorbic acid (AA) | Aqueous extract (C10 + TC 400 µg/ml) | Ethanolic extract (C15 + TC 400 µg/ml) | Aqueous-ethanolic (C16 + TC 500 µg/ml) | TC (TC 500 µg/ml) |
| 5                           | 23.59±2.95          | 19.53±1.24                     | 27.50±1.80                              | 20.33±1.80                          | 18.45±1.84          |
| 10                          | 38.25±2.19          | 32.67±1.45                     | 41.10±2.32                              | 31.16±2.81                          | 28.32±2.34          |
| 15                          | 56.73±2.51          | 53.42±1.48                     | 64.10±2.32                              | 50.60±2.52                          | 52.64±2.15          |
| 20                          | 76.73±2.45          | 72.63±2.06                     | 87.37±1.94                              | 70.68±2.89                          | 72.36±2.25          |
| IC₅₀ (µg/ml)                | 12.93               | 14.01                          | 11.41                                   | 14.50                               | 14.37               |

SD: Standard deviation, TC: Terminalia chebula, DPPH: 2,2-diphenyl-1-picrylhydrazyl, IC₅₀: inhibitory concentration

CONCLUSION

The present study indicates that a mixture of PE, AC, PG, and TC in fixed concentration exhibits a significant amount of antioxidant potential that is higher than the potential of TC alone. Generation of 300 different combinations with varying concentrations was achieved by application of CCD through RSM software. This observation highlights the importance of selecting the best combination of extract based on a good antioxidant property. Thus, it can be concluded from the study that the mixture of plant extracts had hypoglycemic, antioxidant, and hypolipidemic properties and can be used for the management of diabetes mellitus [24].
the results of hydroxyl radical scavenging assay followed by statistical analysis of generated data. The most potent bioactive combinations screened through above method were subjected to DPPH radical scavenging assay. The outcome arising from this study revealed a significant enhancement in the antioxidant potential of TC when used in a mixture. These in vitro interactions of bioactive compounds of a mixture provide interesting information on enhancing antioxidant potential by synergistic effects. However, further work on combinatorial studies of these extracts may generate an enhanced potential to cure oxidative stress-related diseases such as cancer, cardiovascular, and other chronic diseases.
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Fig. 3: Comparison of 2,2-diphenyl-1-picrylhydrazyl scavenging activity of ethanolic extract combination (C15+ Terminalia chebula [TC] 400 µg/ml). only TC (500 µg/ml) and ascorbic acid as standard. The IC50 value of ethanolic extract of combination C15+TC=400 µg/ml is calculated to be 11.41 µg/ml with the help of linear regression equation being y=4.226x+1.744 and the value of R²=0.992

Fig. 4: Comparison of 2,2-diphenyl-1-picrylhydrazyl scavenging activity of aqueous-ethanolic extract combination (C16+ Terminalia chebula [TC] 500 µg/ml), only TC (500 µg/ml) and ascorbic acid as standard. The IC50 value of aqueous-ethanolic extract of combination C16+TC=500 µg/ml is calculated to be 14.50 µg/ml with the help of linear regression equation being y=3.432x-0.228 and the value of R²=0.991
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