Efficient Amplification of Chimeric Adenovirus 5/40S Vectors Carrying the Short Fiber Protein of Ad40 in Suspension Cell Cultures
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Abstract

The human adenovirus 40 (Ad40) is a promising tool for gene therapy of intestinal diseases. Since the production of Ad40 in vitro is extremely inefficient, chimeric Adenovirus 5/40S vectors carrying the Ad40 short fiber on the Ad5 capsid have been developed. However, Ad5/40S productivity is low. We hypothesized that low productivity was a result of inefficient viral entry into producer cells during amplification. To this end, we have developed a production strategy based on using 21B cells (expressing Ad5 fiber) during amplification steps, while Ad5/40S infectivity is further improved by adding polybrene during infections. In addition, the optimal harvesting time was determined by evaluating the Ad5/40S viral cycle. The developed production strategy significantly reduces the number of amplification cycles and duration of the process. Finally, to further facilitate Ad5/40S production, 21B cells were adapted to suspension thus allowing to easily upscale the production process in bioreactors.

Introduction

Human Adenovirus 40 serotype (Ad40) is an enteric adenovirus of the subgroup F. This adenoaviral serotype is an important etiologic agent of gastroenteritis in children [1–3]. Due to its enteric tropism, vectors derived from Ad40 constitute interesting candidates for gene therapy of intestinal diseases such as Crohn’s Disease. Initial attempts to grow Ad40 in HeLa or other cell lines commonly used to isolate and propagate intestinal viruses from patients stool samples were unsuccessful. This led to the idea that Ad40 viruses were unable to grow in vitro. However, subsequent experiments revealed that Ad40 could grow in some cells, for instance HEK293 cells, enabling its propagation in the laboratory [4–6]. Although the Ad40 is able to infect HEK293 cells, its production in vitro is very inefficient, resulting in low titers in comparison to other adenoaviral serotypes [7,8].

On the other hand, vectors derived from adenovirus type 5 (Ad5) are widely used in human clinical trials [Journal of Genetic Medicine Website, www.wiley.co.uk/genome/clinical]. Ad5 first interaction with the host cell is through the binding of the fiber protein and the coxsackievirus and adenovirus receptor (CAR). Since CAR is widely distributed on the cell surface of many cell types, Ad5 vectors display a broad tropism [9–12]. In order to restrict vector tropism, chimeric Ad5 vectors containing the fiber protein of other adenoaviral serotypes have been developed [13,14]. In fact, the possibility of infecting host cells by CAR-independent entry pathways is an interesting tool to limit the characteristic broad tropism of Ad5 viruses [15]. For instance, it has been described that Ad40 contains two different fibers: a long one (F40L) and a short one (F40S) [10,16,17]. Only the long fiber binds CAR while the short fiber is believed to be responsible for the enteric tropism [18]. In this regard, the generation of chimeric Ad5/40S mutants (Ad5 capsid with the F40S protein) has shown to ablate CAR binding while conferring a novel tropism to Ad5 viral vectors, and thus, intravenous administration of Ad5/40S vectors resulted mainly in liver and spleen transduction, as shown by the presence of viral DNA and transgene expression in these organs, while the virus was hardly detected in the intestine [15]. However, and contrary to the reduced affinity of Ad5/41S vectors for human intestinal epithelium [19], when given directly into the gastrointestinal tract by rectal administration in vivo, chimeric Ad5/40S vectors maintain the enteric tropism [20].

Interestingly, Lu and collaborators [21] have recently reported efficient amplification of Ad41 vectors (another enteric adenovirus of the subgroup F) by using a new producer cell line expressing E1B55K from Ad41. However, although genomes from both, Ad40 and Ad41 viruses have been sequenced, the oncogenic potential of their proteins is unknown. Since there is leaky expression from viral genes from recombinant adenovirus vectors [22], the use of Ad40 and Ad41 as gene therapy vectors in humans should be restricted for biosafety reasons.
To address both, the difficulty of Ad40 vector production and the biosafety concerns, the use of chimeric Ad5/40S vectors, combining the capsid structure of fully characterized Ad5 vectors and enteric tropism mediated by the F40S fiber proteins, is attractive. Various production protocols to amplify chimeric Ad5 vectors including the short fiber proteins F40S and F41S have been reported [7,8] [23–25] Unfortunately, although the production of the chimeric adenoviral vector Ad5/40S in vitro is more efficient than that of wild type Ad40, the purity and the productivity per cell is still not sufficient to achieve the desirable viral titers. In this work, we describe the development of a new production protocol that allows fast and scalable production of Ad5/40. The production strategy has been optimized by i) studying the Ad5/40S viral cycle to determine the optimal harvesting time, ii) using the 211B cell line for vector amplification, iii) adapting the producer cell line to grow in suspension culture and low serum media, iv) improving infection conditions using polybrene, v) reducing the production time.

**Results**

**Adaptation and Characterization of 211B Cells to Suspension Culture**

In order to address the inefficient infection of Ad5/40S vectors in HEK-293 cells, we selected 211B as producer cells. This cell line derives from HEK-293 cells and constitutively expresses the fiber protein from Ad5 (F5) [26,27]. Ad5/40S production in 211B cells will generate mosaic virions containing both F5 and F40S fiber proteins. Mosaicism should improve the infectivity of the Ad5/40S virions during the amplification cycles by allowing a more efficient entry mediated by CAR-F5 interaction on 211B producer cells.

Adherent 211B cells were routinely cultured in DMEM (Dulbecco’s Modified Eagle’s Medium) media supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS). To allow scalability of the process [28], we adapted the 211B cell line to grow in suspension and low protein medium. To this end, culture medium DMEM containing 10% FBS was sequentially substituted by a serum-free growth medium (SFMII) in the presence of a low dose of FBS (1% FBS or 0.5% FBS) or no FBS at all. Addition of 0.5% FBS allowed better cell growth and viability in comparison with cultures grown in the absence of FBS, while addition of 1% of FBS led to the formation of large cell aggregates (data not shown). The cells newly adapted to low-protein suspension conditions were named 211BS. 211BS cells were transferred into shake flasks at a density of 7 x 10⁶ cells/ml and kept in suspension at 110 rpm. After 18 serial passages, adapted cells grew individually or in small aggregates of 4–5 cells, and up to a density of 2.5 x 10⁶ cells/ml.

To determine the kinetics of growth of 211BS cells, cells were seeded in triplicate at 3.5 x 10⁵ cells/ml. Viability and cell density were evaluated daily. As observed in the growth curve (Figure 1A), the viability of 211BS cells was over 80% during exponential cell growth. Cells grow to high densities (≈2.5 x 10⁶ cells/ml) in batch mode. The μ (growth constant) was 0.0148 (Figure 1B) implying a duplication time of 46.83 hours.

Once 211BS cells were adapted to grow in suspension and low serum concentrations (0.5%), we proceeded to demonstrate that the mosaic-chimeric Ad5/40S vectors produced with these cells (dispalying both F40S and F5 fibers on the capsid) could infect cell lines expressing the CAR receptor better, and thus produce better yield when propagated on such cells. For this purpose, 293F cells (CAR-expressing cells) were infected with different concentrations (30, 100 and 300 pp/cell) of Ad5/40S vectors (Figure 2). Results have shown that mosaic/chimeric Ad5/40S generated in 211BS cells infected 4 to 5 times more the 293F cells (p-value < 0.001) than chimeric Ad5/40S, regardless the condition used, indicating that the presence of Ad5 fibers helps mosaic vectors to infect 293F cells.

**Polybrene Improves Chimeric Adenovirus 5/40S Infection in 211BS Cells**

Cationic polymers such as polybrene are well known enhancers of retrovirus and lentivirus vectors gene transfer efficiency [29–31]. Polybrene increases retrovirus transduction by enhancing receptor-independent virus adsorption on target cell membranes. We hypothesized that addition of polybrene during amplification cycles would also facilitate the entry of Ad5/40S particles into producer cells. In fact, cationic polymers like polybrene were also reported to interact with negatively charged Ad5 capsids facilitating their interaction with the cell membrane [32]; [33]. However, when adenovirus particles have a more neutral charge, as it happens to CAV-2, cationic molecules (including polybrene) do not interact well to virions [34]. Of note, the tail and shaft domains of the F40S protein have a high content of basic amino acids, which results in a pI of 9.1 compared to a pI of 6.1 for Ad5 fiber protein (pI values were calculated by the EMBL WWW Gateway to Isoelectric Point Service). Based on the above, the overall negative charge of Ad5/40S particles should be lower than that of Ad5 particles. Therefore, it was unclear whether polybrene would have an effect on the chimeric adenovirus entry to target cells.

To test the effect of polybrene on chimeric adenovirus infection, 293F and 211BS cells were infected with increasing MOIs of Ad5/40S-CMV-GFP, in presence or absence of polybrene (9 µg/ml or 9 µg/ml, respectively). The percentage of infected cells and the level of the GFP expression per cell were determined by FACS analyses. As observed in Figure 3, polybrene significantly enhances both, the percentage of infected 293 and 211BS cells (A-D, E-P) and the gene expression per cell (G, D), while cell viability seems to be not affected.

**Study of Ad5/40S Viral Cycle in 211BS**

Ad5/40S produced by 211BS cells are expected to have both, F5 and F40S proteins (mosaic-chimeric Ad5/40S) whereas Ad5/40 produced by 293F cells should only display F40S on their surface (chimeric Ad5/40S) (Figure 4A). In order to maximize productivity of Ad5/40S, we envisioned a production scheme in which amplification of the chimeric vector is performed in 211BS (Figure 4B). However, in order to obtain pure chimeric (not mosaic) Ad5/40S particles, the last step of amplification needs to be performed in 293F cells.

We had previously reported that chimeric adenovirus Ad5/40S had a viral cycle between 48 and 60 hours [20]. To more accurately determine the optimal harvesting time, a more precise analysis of Ad5/40S viral cycle was performed. In this study, viral titers were measured every 4 hours between 44 and 64 hours post-infection. In addition, we worked at a low MOI (0.5) to avoid saturation and displacement of the curve associated to the entry of several particles per cell, which may accelerate the virus cycle. As it can be observed in Figure 5, at a MOI of 0.5, the main production peak is at 56 hours post-infection.

**Vector Ad5/40S Production with the Optimized Protocol**

To confirm the strength of the developed production strategy, suspension growing 211BS cells were infected with Ad5/40S-CMV-GFP at a MOI of 1 in the presence of polybrene. At 56 hours post-infection cells were harvested, lysed by freeze-thaw and...
cell debris was removed by centrifugation. The resulting lysate was used to infect $4 \times 10^9$ 293F cells. Fifty six hours post-infection, cells were harvested and centrifuged to separate cell pellet and supernatant. Since the Ad5/40S-CMV-GFP virus contains the Death Protein (ADP) gene, which may facilitate virus release into the supernatant by cellular lysis [35], supernatants were concentrated by ultrafiltration using a hollow fiber ultrafiltration system. Subsequently, adenovirus particles from both, the cell pellet and the concentrated supernatant were purified by CsCl gradients followed by gel filtration. Viral titers in physical particles and infectious particles have an average yield of $0.85 \times 10^{12}$ pp and $3.3 \times 10^{11}$ IU, for the original protocol, and $1.75 \times 10^{12}$ pp and $6.9 \times 10^{11}$ IU, for the optimized protocol (Table 1), with an average productivity around 250pp/cell. As observed in Figure 4C, the number of viral amplification steps required is considerably lower in the optimized protocol vs. the original protocol; which translates into the reduction of consumables and time needed for vector production.

**Discussion**

One of the key factors for successful gene therapy is to have a vector that efficiently and selectively infects target cells, thereby minimizing the side effects associated with transgene expression in unwanted cells. Previous results reported by our group showed that chimeric Ad5/40S vectors display a marked intestinal tropism likely attributable to the Ad40 short fiber protein [20]. These chimeric vectors could be used for gene therapy of diseases affecting the gastrointestinal tract. However, Ad5/40S vectors cannot be efficiently amplified, probably because of the absence or low abundance of the primary receptors for F40S in the permissive producing HEK-293 cells, resulting in poor virus production.
In order to improve Ad5/40S viral titers, we have designed an optimized production strategy using 211B producer cells. These cells constitutively express F5, and therefore upon infection with Ad5/40S, fiber mosaic virus particles (displaying both F5 and F40S on their capsids) are formed. The 211B cell line was originally used to retarget non-Ad5 or fiberless-Ad5 particles in order to easily and rapidly change their tropism in vivo [26]. In this work, we have used this retargeting strategy to facilitate re-entry of the mosaic-chimeric Ad5/40S virions during amplification steps, through interaction between the F5 fiber protein of the virus capsid and the CAR receptors on 211B producer cells. An attractive aspect of this dual strategy is its flexibility, since it can be used to amplify any chimeric Ad5 vector by substituting the F5 protein with the fiber protein from another serotype, especially when the chimeric vector does not efficiently infect permissive HEK-293 cells.

To further facilitate the entry of Ad5/40S particles into producing cells we have also added polybrene during amplification cycles. One of the major advantages of polybrene is that it can be added directly to the media, as it does not require previous formation of complexes with pure adenovirus particles, thus avoiding the need for purification of the vector during the amplification process. Of note, polybrene-mediated enhancing effects on adenovirus infection are only observed when using Freestyle serum-free medium, whereas SFMII medium completely blocks the effect of polybrene (data not shown). Interestingly, the same trend is observed with other cationic molecules such as polyethilenimine (PEI) used for transient transfection [36], suggesting the presence of compounds in SFMII serum-free medium that may counteract with cationic polymers (i.e. negatively charged polymers such as heparin or dextran sulphate typically added to commercial media to keep cells in suspension). Last we have also analyzed the viral cell cycle of chimeric Ad5/40S vectors and determined 56 hours after infection as the most appropriate time to stop the production process and harvest the virus.

In summary, a new chimeric Ad5/40S production procedure has been developed in this work. The strategy is based on the use of cultures in suspension to allow the scalability of the production process, as well as, on increasing chimeric Ad5/40S infectivity towards producer cells and consequently, allowing a higher productivity per cell, from an initial amplification factor per step.
Figure 3. Analysis of the infection efficiency of Ad5/40S with polybrene. Percentage of Ad5/40S-CMV-GFP infection in 293F (A) and 211BS cells (B) using two different doses (MOI 0.05 and 0.5. Quantification of the GFP expression (RFU/cell) in 293F (C) and 211BS cells (D). E-P: GFP expression of Ad5/40S in 211BS and 293F infected cells in presence (E–J) or absence (K–P) of polybrene. Results are the average two independent experiments performed in triplicate. Statistical comparisons between groups were made by two-ways ANOVA with a post hoc Bonferroni test for multiple comparisons (*** p-value < 0.0001; * p-value < 0.05).

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0042073.g003
### Table A

|          | Ad5                                      | Ad40                                      | Chimeric Ad5/40S | Mosaic/Chimeric Ad5/40S |
|----------|------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------|------------------|-------------------------|
| Production | Efficient production                      | Not possible to produce in the lab        | Possible but inefficient production | Optimized production |
| Tropism  | Broad tropism                            | Enteric tropism                           | Enteric tropism  | N.T. (expected enteric tropism) |

### Diagram B

- **Chimeric Ad5/40S**
- **Consecutive amplification steps**
- **211B cells**
- **293 cells**
- **Mosaic-chimeric Ad5/40S virions**
- **Last amplification step**
- **Chimeric Ad5/40S virions**

### Diagram C

#### ORIGINAL PROTOCOL
- 3 days: Infection of $7 \times 10^6$ cells
- 3 days: Infection of $2 \times 10^7$ cells
- 3 days: Infection of $6 \times 10^7$ cells
- 3 days: Infection of $2 \times 10^8$ cells
- 3 days: Infection of $6 \times 10^8$ cells
- Purification and titration

#### OPTIMIZED PROTOCOL
- 3 days: Infection of $2 \times 10^7$ cells
- 3 days: Infection of $4 \times 10^8$ cells
- Purification and titration
of ×3–4 up to ×20–25 times as observed now. This allows reducing the number of amplification steps, which carries several advantages such as minimization of total processing time of virus production, reduction of consumables, and most importantly, it decreases the risk of generating replication competent virions by recombination of vector sequences with E1 sequences present in the cells used for production.

### Materials and Methods

#### Virus Stock Generation

Ad5/40S-GFP-CMV was obtained from Dr. Hirofumi Hamada (Sapporo Medical University). Adherent HEK-293 cells (Q-BIOgene, Montreal, Canada) were grown in DMEM medium (E15-810, PAA laboratories, Linz, Austria) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS) (PAA laboratories, Linz, Austria) and Penicillin (100 U/ml)/Streptomycin (0.1 mg/ml) (PAA laboratories, Linz, Austria). Viral stocks were generated by infection and sequential amplification in HEK 293 cell cultures grown in 15-cm plates until 30 plates were reached. Virus was purified by two consecutive rounds of CsCl isopycnic density ultracentrifugation and desalted using a Sephadex PD-10 column (Amersham Biosciences, Uppsala, Sweden) as previously reported [37]. In order to facilitate cloning of therapeutic genes into the Ad5/40S genome, we have adapted the procedure in bacteria and constructed a new plasmid (pER F40S) carrying the Ad5 genome but with the F40S gene instead of the F5 gene.

#### 211B Adaptation to Suspension Culture

Adherent 211B cells [27] were cultured in DMEM supplemented with 10% FBS and Penicillin (100 U/ml)/Streptomycin (0.1 mg/ml). For adaptation to suspension culture and low protein conditions, this culture medium was gradually substituted throughout 8 culture passages with SFMII (11686-029, GIBCO), supplemented with 4 mM Glutamine (PAA laboratories, Linz, Austria), Penicillin (100 U/ml)/Streptomycin (0.1 mg/ml) and 1% Pluronics (24040-032, GIBCO). 211B cells in suspension (termed 211BS) were then transferred to 125 mL polycarbonate shake flasks at a density of 8 × 10⁵ cells/ml and kept in suspension by agitation in an orbital shaker at a speed of 110 rpm, 37°C and 5% CO₂.

Growth kinetics of 211BS cells was evaluated in two independent experiments from passages 20 and 30. Cells were seeded at a density of 3.5 × 10⁵ cells/ml in shake flasks containing 20 ml of cell suspension. The experiment was run in triplicate. Viable and dead cells were counted daily for a period of 12 days. The specific growth constant (μ) corresponds to the slope of the fitted line during the exponential growth phase. The cell culture doubling time (td) was calculated as td = ln 2/μ.

#### Effect of Polybrene on Ad5/40S Infectivity

211BS cells were seeded at a density of 1 × 10⁶ cells/ml in a final volume of 1 ml per well in 24-well plates and infected with Ad5/40S-CMV-GFP at two different MOI’s (0.5 or 0.05). Control cells were not infected. Infections were performed in the presence or absence of polybrene (9 μg/ml, as previously described [32,38] (n = 4) in Freestyle serum-free medium (12338-018, Invitrogen) supplemented with Penicillin (100 U/ml)/Streptomycin (0.1 mg/ml) and 1% Pluronics (24040-032, Invitrogen). Cell cultures were supplemented with 0.5% FBS 4 hpi and harvested 30 hpi. After fixation with 2% paraformaldehyde, the percentage of GFP expressing cells was determined by FACS analysis (FACSCanto, Cytometry Service of Institute of Biochemistry and Biotechnology of UAB).

#### Analysis of Ad5/40S cell cycle in 293 F cells

293F cells (11625-019, Invitrogen, Paisley, UK) were grown to a density of 1 × 10⁶ cells/ml in 125 mL shake flasks and infected

---

**Figure 4. Diagrams of the chimeric amplification process.** (A) Comparative diagram of Ad4, Ad40, chimeric Ad5/40S and mosaic-chimeric Ad5/40S vectors. N.T: Not Tested. (B) Amplification strategy of Ad5/40S vectors. The first amplification step is performed by infecting 211BS cells with the chimeric Ad5/40S and polybrene. Intermediate steps are performed also in 211BS cells by using mosaic-chimeric Ad5/40S and polybrene. Last step is performed in 293F cells to obtain chimeric Ad5/40S vectors. (C) Comparative diagram of duration and cell-scale.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0042073.g004

**Figure 5. Virus cell cycle of mosaic-chimeric Ad5/40S vectors.** 211BS cells were infected with Ad5/40S-GFP at MOI = 0.5. Samples were collected every 4 hours between 44 h and 64 h post-infection. Productivity is measured in total infection units produced per 10⁶ cells.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0042073.g005
Table 1. Comparative table between the original and newly optimized protocols.

|                         | Original protocol (n = 5) | Optimized protocol (n = 8) |
|-------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|
| Time in obtaining harvest | 15 days                   | 6 days                    |
| Number of amplification steps | 5                        | 2                         |
| Number of cells          | 2 × 10^6                  | 2 × 10^8                  |
| Necessary growth medium  | 180 mL                    | 200 mL                    |
| Titration (Infection Units in 293 cells) | 3.8 × 10^9 IU            | 6.9 × 10^9 IU            |
| Titration (Physical Particles) | 0.85 × 10^12 pp          | 1.75 × 10^12 pp          |
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