Surgical measurement of the length of the large intestinal tract in Nigerian local dogs

Al Kisani* & R Kassam

Department of Veterinary Surgery and Diagnostic Imaging, College of Veterinary Medicine, Federal University of Agriculture, Makurdi, Nigeria

*Correspondence: Tel.: +234 8033745806; E-mail: abohkisani@yahoo.com

Abstract
This study aimed to determine the total large intestinal length (TLIL) and the lengths of its various segments in Nigerian local dogs. Six (6) adult autochthonous Nigerian local dogs were used for this study. The dogs were premedicated, intramuscularly, with atropine sulphate and xylazine hydrochloride. They were administered anaesthesia and maintained with Thiopentone sodium intravenously. Access to their abdominal cavity was obtained via a mid-ventral abdominal incision. The large intestine was exteriorized and measured from caecum to rectum. The crown-rump length (CRL) and caecum (C) were significantly longer (p<0.05) in the larger dogs (11–16 kg) compared to the smaller ones (5–10 kg). The colon and rectum (CR), TLIL and the ratio of crown-rump length to intestinal length (CRLT) did not differ significantly (p>0.05) between these two size-wise categories of dogs. The ratios of crown-rump length to weight (CRLW) and large intestinal length to weight (LILW) were significantly higher (p<0.05) in the smaller dogs than in the larger ones. There was a strong correlation between the weight and the CRL (0.53) and between the weight and TLIL (0.66). The average TLIL was 48.3 cm in the larger dogs and 45.1 cm in the smaller ones. The average colon and rectum length was 37.7 cm in the larger dogs and 36.8 cm in the smaller ones. The average C length was 10.2 cm in the larger dogs and 8.3 cm in the smaller ones. The average CRL was 1.5 times the average TLIL in Nigerian local dogs. Conclusion: Body size does not affect the total length of the large intestine of Nigerian local dogs. However, the caecum is significantly longer in the bigger dogs.
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Introduction
The gastrointestinal tract is the immunologic powerhouse of the body and is important to the survival of vital organs such as the brain, heart and lungs (Gelman & Mushlin, 2004). An active and functionally effective gut is a major determinant of an animal’s health (Turan & Sessler, 2011; Della Rocca & Coccia, 2013). Gastrointestinal disorders such as ileus, hypoperfusion and ischemia can result in sepsis, multiple organ failure (MOF) and death (Vollmar & Menger, 2011), with mortality as high as 60—80%. For this reason, the gastrointestinal tract is said to be the motor of MOF (Dobson et al., 2013). Gastrointestinal surgery is the second most commonly performed abdominal surgery in dogs; ovariohysterectomy and ovarietomy are the first (Atilla, 2017).
Gastrointestinal surgery is also the most commonly performed emergency surgery in dogs (Atilla, 2017). Contemporary veterinary intestinal surgery derives its success from orthodox human surgical orientation (Ellison et al., 2019). Intestinal surgery is carried out in dogs for diagnostic and therapeutic purposes and involves the small intestine much more frequently than the large intestine (Wylie & Hosgood, 1994; Grimes et al., 2011; Duell et al., 2016). For example, out of 225 intestinal surgeries carried out in a veterinary hospital in dogs only 19 (8.4%) involved the large intestine whereas 206 (91.6%) involved the small intestine (Grimes et al., 2011). Enteric biopsy, enterotomy and intestinal resection and anastomosis are some of the surgical procedures commonly performed in the gastrointestinal tract of dogs (Pavletic and Berg, 1996). Peritonitis is an important complication of intestinal surgery due to leakage of intestinal content resulting from dehiscence of the incision site (Pavletic & Berg, 1996). Intra-abdominal sepsis, hypoaalbuminemia and poor surgical technique predispose the patient to intestinal dehiscence (Ellison et al., 2019).

Indications for large intestinal surgery include foreign bodies, neoplasia, intussusceptions (AppleWhite et al., 2001; Morello et al., 2008; Hayes, 2009; Grimes et al., 2011), colonic torsion, colonic strictures, colonic inertia (megacolon), entrapment, and perforations of the colon, rectum and caecum (Bright et al., 1986; Marks, 1986; Clark & Wise, 1994; Nemeth et al., 2008; Smeak & Monnet, 2020). Surgical correction of these disorders involves enterocolitis, colo-colic and colorectal anastomoses (Church et al., 1987; Yoon & Mann, 2008; Sarathchandra et al., 2009; Smeak & Monnet, 2020).

Managing disorders of the gastrointestinal tract are challenging for clinicians and patients, due to its complex nature which makes it almost inaccessible and due to the limited scope of understanding surrounding the cause and progression of many gastrointestinal conditions (Westermarck, 2016). The gastrointestinal (GI) tract of animals serves multiple functions including digestion, osmoregulation, and protection (Karasov & Douglas, 2013). The major functions of the large intestine are extraction of water and electrolytes from the ileal effluent, storage of faeces, and defecation. In addition, microbial fermentation of organic matter that escapes digestion and absorption in the small intestine also occurs in the large intestine (Leib, 2008). This paper reports total large intestinal length in Nigerian local dogs.

### Materials and Methods

#### Experimental animals

Six adults, sexually intact autochthonous Nigerian local dogs of both sexes between the ages of 1 and 3 years and weighing 5—16 kg were used for the study. The dogs were bought from different breeders within Makurdi metropolis, Nigeria and were acclimatized for 4 weeks in kennels at the Veterinary Teaching Hospital of the Federal University of Agriculture, Makurdi, Nigeria. The animals were categorized based on body size into larger (10-16kg) and smaller (5-9kg) size dogs in the course of the experiment.

#### Presurgical evaluation

The dogs were fasted for 12 hours and water was withdrawn for 6 hours before surgery. The dogs were taken to a surgery preparation room where vital parameters (temperature, respiratory rate, heart rate and pulse rate) were taken. A thorough physical examination was carried out on each dog to ensure that only healthy dogs were used for this study.

#### Premedication and anaesthesia

This study was approved by the ethical committee, Department of Veterinary Surgery and Diagnostic Imaging, College of Veterinary Medicine, Federal University of Agriculture, Makurdi given the permit number PN 2021-003.

The ventral abdomen of each dog was shaved, scrubbed and aseptically prepared for surgery. The dogs were premedicated with atropine sulphate (Jiangsu Huayang Pharmaceutical, China) and Xylazine (VMD, Belgium) at 0.04 mg/kg and 1 mg/kg intramuscularly respectively. Normal saline (Dana Pharmaceuticals, Nigeria) was administered at 10 mg/kg/hr intravenously using the cephalic vein. Anaesthesia was induced and maintained intravenously with Thiopental sodium (Rotex medica, Germany) at 10 mg/kg. The dogs were draped and the ventral abdomen was disinfected with 0.05 % chlorhexidine (purit®) (Saro Lifecare Limited, Nigeria) before incisions were made. A mid ventral mid-line incision on the linea alba was made from above the umbilicus to before the pelvic brim using a no. 20 scalpel blade (Figure 1). The incisions were continued on the subcutaneous tissue to expose the linea alba. The linea alba was picked and lifted with thumb forceps. A stab incision was then made on the linea alba using a no. 10 surgical blades with the cutting edge pointing away from the abdominal cavity. The stab incision was then extended cranially and caudally with Metzenbaum scissors. The abdominal cavity was entered into and the various segments of the large intestine were visualised.
The thumb and the index fingers were gently inserted into the abdominal cavity and the large intestine was exteriorized and placed on laparotomy pads (figure 2). A sterile drip infusion set was used to measure the length of the individual segment of the large intestine beginning with the caecum, colon and rectum in that order; colon and rectum were measured together (figure 3). After each measurement, the drip set was placed on a calibrated metre rule to determine the length in cm. The large intestine was then gently returned into the abdominal cavity. The abdominal incision was closed in three layers. The peritoneum was closed with chromic catgut (Anhu Kangning Industrial Group, Co. Ltd, China gut) size 3--0 using a simple continuous suture pattern. The subcutaneous tissue was closed with a subcuticular suture pattern using chromic cat (Anhu Kangning Industrial Group, Co. Ltd, China gut) size 3-0 and the skin was closed with nylon (Pal Pharmaceuticals Ltd, China) size 3—0 using a horizontal mattress suture pattern. Ampicillin antibiotic (22 mg/kg) and pentazocine (3 mg/kg) were administered intramuscularly for 3 days respectively.

**Statistical analysis**

The results were presented as mean and standard deviation (SD). Student t-test was used to compare the large intestinal length in male and female dogs. Pearson r correlation test was used to compare every pair of data using graph pad prism 5.0. Values of p<0.05 were considered statistically significant. The lengths of various parts of the large intestine were calculated using a two-way analysis of variance (ANOVA). A significant difference was detected at a 5% level of significance (Daniel, 2010).

**Results**

The results for crown-rump length, colorectal, caecum, total large intestinal length, the ratio of crown-rump length to intestinal length, ratio of crown-rump length to weight and ratio of large intestinal length to weight are presented in table 1.

The larger dogs (11—16 kg) had mean weight of 13.3±1.3 kg which was significantly higher compared to the smaller dogs (5-10 kg) with mean weight of 7±1.5 kg. The mean crown-rump length of the larger dogs was 74.7±5.0 cm and was significantly higher than that of the smaller dogs. The length of the caecum in the larger dogs was 10.2±1.1 cm which was significantly higher compared to that of the smaller dogs. The ratio of crown-rump length to weight and large intestinal length to weight was significantly lower in the larger dogs compared to that of the smaller dogs. The length of...
colon and rectum and the ratio of crown-rump length over intestinal length did not differ significantly (p>0.05) between the two categories of dogs (Table 1). There was a weak linear correlation between TLIL and CRL, colorectal and CRL and between colorectal and weight. However, there was a strong non-statistically significant linear correlation between TLIL and weight. There was also a strong and statistically significant linear correlation between caecum and weight (Table 2). The length of the large intestine was significantly longer in female dogs than in males with a range of 48.5 cm to 54.4 cm and an average of 51.13±1.73 cm while the male dogs had a range of 38.2 cm to 46 cm and an average of 42.33±2.24 cm (Table 3).

**Discussion**

The significantly higher crown-rump length in the bigger dogs with a weight range of 11—16 kg compared to the smaller dogs with a weight range of 5—10 kg agreed with the report of Hoberman & Lewis (2017) indicating that crown-rump length is correlated with body weight. The caecum is a large segment of the large intestine with functions that vary according to the species of the animal. Dressman & Yamada (1991) reported that the dog had a small cecum, similar to that in humans, in line with the dog’s carnivorous diet. McGrosky et al. (2016) reported that a high proportion of plant material in the diet of domestic dogs was associated with a longer caecum.

In this present study, the caecum of the bigger dogs (11—16 kg) was significantly larger compared with those of the smaller dogs (5-10 kg). This might be because bigger dogs tend to eat more of the diet provided by humans and invariably higher proportions of plant material provided in the diet (McGrosky et al., 2016). This might explain the strong and significant correlation between caecum and weight in this study. However, the quantity of plant material in the natural diet of domestic dogs does not influence the total intestinal length, small intestinal length or large intestinal length (McGrosky et al., 2016). The big caecum is important in the storage and breakdown of food and vitamins (Hilderbrand, 1995). The non-significant difference in the total large intestinal length between the two different weight categories of dogs in this study agreed with the report of McGrosky et al., 2016 indicating that the large intestinal length in the carnivorous species did not differ. Differences were, however, observed with the small intestinal tract length. This means that the large intestine volume relative to body weight or size in carnivores is comparatively the same for bigger and smaller ones. This has been attributed to the fact that dogs consume high-protein diet which undergoes simple digestive processes, unlike animals that consume fibre-rich and low protein diets that requires complex digestive processes as a result of which the animals have a large stomach and large intestinal volume greater relative to body size in large species than in smaller ones with the same diet (Chivers & Hladik, 1980). The genetic

| Table 1: Mean total large intestinal length of Nigerian local dogs |
|-----------------------------------------------|
| Weight range (kg) | Average weight ± SEM | Crown-rump length (cm) | Colon & rectum (cm) | Caecum (cm) | Total large intestinal length (cm) | Ratio of crown-rump length to intestinal length (cm) | Ratio of colon & rectum length to weight (cm) | Ratio of caecum length to weight (cm) |
| 5—10 | 7±2.6 | 66.8±1.7 | 36.8±7.6 | 8.3±1.2 | 45.1±8.3 | 1.5±0.2 | 10.3±3.2 | 6.3±1.7 |
| 11—16 | 13.3±2.3 | 74.7±8.6 | 37.7±3.2 | 10.7±1.9 | 48.3±2.3 | 1.5±0.2 | 5.7±1.2 | 3.6±0.5 |

Key: a = significantly higher (p<0.05); b = significantly lower (p<0.05)

| Table 2: Correlations between total length of the large intestine and of its different segments (colorectal and caecum) and crown rump length and weight |
|-----------------------------------------------|
| Total large intestinal length | Colorectal | Caecum |
| Crown rump length | r = 0.1931 | p=0.7140 | r=-0.1013 | p=0.8486 | r=0.8629 | p=0.0269 |
| Weight | r=0.6634 | p=0.1509 | r=0.4743 | p=0.3419 | r=0.7037 | p=0.1187 |

| Table 3: Length of the large intestine relative to the sex of the dogs |
|-----------------------------------------------|
| Sex | Length (cm) | Total | Min | Max | Average |
| Male | 46 | 38.2 | 42.8 | 127 | 38.2 | 46 | 42.3±3.9 |
| Female | 48.5 | 54.4 | 50.5 | 153.4 | 48.5 | 54.4 | 51.1±3.0 |
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determinant for gastrointestinal features correlates with the natural diet of species and is responsible for the variations in the pattern of gastrointestinal development among the different species of animals (Buddington et al., 2000; Widdowson, 1985; Koldovsky et al. 1995).

A couple of researchers correlated the body size of an animal to its gastrointestinal anatomy and function as well as metabolism with smaller species having limited digestive capacities which affect rapid and efficient digestion of food (Demment & Van Soest, 1985; Kay, 1985; Martin et al., 1985; VanSoest, 1996). The significant increase in the large intestinal length of female dogs compared to the males might be due to higher energy demand during pregnancy or lactation (Bozinovic et al., 1990). The colon and rectum did not also differ significantly between the two weight categories for the same reason enumerated for total large intestinal length. This is expected as colon and rectum together make up the larger proportion of total large intestinal length, 82% in the smaller dogs (5-10 kg) and 78% in the bigger ones (11—16 kg). Carnivorous species are considered to have a relatively simple gastrointestinal anatomy and a short colon adapted to the digestion of a high-protein diet (Chivers & Hladik, 1980; Maclamon et al., 1984). The dog colon also differs from that of pigs, monkeys, and humans by the absence of haustra which is a feature that allows for rapid transit of particles than fluids in the dog large intestine (Sutton, 2004; Stevens & Hume, 1995). The colon of the dog has a shorter mesentery which prevents the colon from moving freely during colonic transit and when the dog is moving. This limit postural differences in motility and transit in the dog as compared with humans.

The ratio of large intestinal length to weight is significantly lower in bigger dogs (11—16 kg) compared to the smaller ones (5—10 kg). This agrees with the report of (Barry, 1977) indicating that ratios of intestinal lengths to body lengths and weight are lower for large carnivores than small ones. The ratio of crown-rump length to weight also follows a similar trend. However, the ratio of crown-rump length to large intestinal length did not differ significantly. This might be explained by the hypothesis which states that during the embryological ontogenetic process of body lengthening, there was a corresponding increase or lengthening of primordium of both the mesentery and the intestines as well (Iversen, 1972; McNab, 2008; McGrosky et al., 2016).

Leib & Matz (1977) reported that the large intestine in a dog is 28-90 cm in length and the caecum 8-30 cm in length while (McGrosky et al., 2016) in their work on gross intestinal morphology and allometry in various species of carnivore, reported the minimum large intestinal length to be 14 cm and the maximum 115 cm. In our study, the minimum large intestinal length was 45.1 cm and the maximum 48.3 cm. The disparity in TLIL between our study and theirs could be due to differences in breed, method of measurement, flexibility as well as elasticity of the intestinal tract and whether the animals they used were living or a cadaver (Hatton et al., 2015; Muise et al., 2016; Karagule et al., 2016; Bekheit et al., 2020). Previous studies reported measured intestinal length to be longer in cadavers (Exvivo) than in living humans (Invivo) due to the relaxed muscle tone in cadavers (Hosseinpour & Behdad, 2008; Tacchino, 2015). Other workers measured intraoperative bowel length using various materials such as suture materials, umbilical tape, ruler and laparoscopic grasper and measuring the intestinal tract either from the mesenteric border, antimesenteric border or midway between (Koivisto et al., 1987; Slater & Aufses, 1991; Hosseinpour & Behdad, 2008; Raines et al., 2014; Tacchino, 2015. Muise et al. (2016) reported that rigid measuring tools underestimate intestinal length while flexible materials yield reliable results. Furthermore, that measurement on the mesenteric border produces less variation when compared to measurement on the antimesenteric border. This informed our decision to use a drip infusion set which is a flexible material and the mesenteric border for our measurement in this present study.

(Karagul et al. 2016) reported shortening of the bowel length up to 14% following repeated measurement. They attributed it to contractions of the bowels after the first measurement which resulted in a shorter measurement in the second. Based on this, all measurements were done once in this study.

The lack of consensus among researchers on the best measurement methods and results is due to the non-availability of the standardized bowel measurement techniques. In living humans, several different small bowel measurement techniques have been proposed (Shatari et al., 2004; Sinha et al., 2014).

There are many published works involving small bowel length in dogs and humans but there are few or none involving the large intestine (Raines et al., 2014). The large intestine particularly, the colon aside its primary role of aboral transport of undigested nutrients and absorption of water and electrolyte (Holler et al., 1988) takes over the function of the small intestine in patients with short bowel syndrome.
(SBS) and intestinal failure following massive small intestinal resection and anastomosis by slowing down intestinal transit time allowing much fluid to be retained within the gastrointestinal tract which promotes intestinal adaptation (Nordgaard et al., 1994; Kelly et al., 2014; Jeppesen, 2014). The colon also absorbs water, electrolytes and the ability of colonic bacterial to breakdown the poorly absorbed carbohydrate and protein into short-chain fatty acids (SCFAs) further ensures the availability of these elements in SBS patients (Kelly et al., 2014; Jeppesen, 2014; Massironi et al., 2020). This improves the outcome and survival of SBS patients (Todo et al., 1994).

Although there are no reported clinical cases of short bowel syndrome in dogs and cats in Nigeria, Eyarefe et al. (2011), confirmed intestinal surgical conditions such as intussusception, volvulus and torsion which are some of the intestinal conditions that are indications for intestinal resection and anastomosis and consequently short bowel syndrome, constituted 7.5% of surgical cases managed in some veterinary clinics in southwestern Nigeria.

The result of this study is of importance in patients with short bowel syndrome as it will enable the surgeon to plan adequately for surgical and nutritional intervention that will ensure the survival of the patient and avoid life-threatening complications (Sinha, 2014).
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