Multidimensional screening yields channelrhodopsin variants having improved photocurrent and order-of-magnitude reductions in calcium and proton currents
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Channelrhodopsins (ChRs) are light-gated ion channels in widespread use in neuroscience for mediating the genetically targetable optical control of neurons (optogenetics). ChRs pass multiple kinds of ions, and although nonspecific ChR-mediated conductance is not an issue in many neuroscience studies, conductance of calcium and protons, which can mediate diverse cellular signals, may be undesirable in some instances. Here, we turned our attention to the creation of ChRs that have high cation photocurrent but pass fewer calcium ions and protons. We developed an automated, time-resolved screening method capable of rapidly phenotyping channelrhodopsin-2 (ChR2) variants. We found substitution mutations throughout ChR2 that could boost current while altering ion selectivity and observed that the mutations that reduced calcium or proton conductance have additive effects. By combining four mutations, we obtained a ChR, ChromeQ, with improved photocurrent that possesses order-of-magnitude reductions in calcium and proton conductance and high fidelity in driving repetitive action potentials in neurons. The approach presented here offers a viable pathway toward customization of complex physiological properties of optogenetic tools. We propose that our screening method not only enables elucidation of new ChR variants that affect microbial opsin performance but may also reveal new principles of optogenetic protein engineering.

Channelrhodopsins are light-gated ion channels in widespread use in neuroscience for mediating the genetically targetable optical control of neuron electrical potential. Cation-carrying channelrhodopsins naturally conduct multiple kinds of ions: sodium, protons, calcium, and potassium (1, 2). Although the nonspecific conductance of channelrhodopsins is not a problem for many neuroscience questions, especially when proper control experiments are done, there are some contexts in which the calcium or proton conductance may be undesirable. For example, recent studies have shown that the proton conductance of channelrhodopsins may be able to cause glial acidification and release of glutamate (3), and the calcium conductance of channelrhodopsins may cause release of calcium from intracellular stores (4, 5). Calcium and proton signaling pathways have also been implicated in many aspects of cellular physiology: mitochondrial calcium plays a role in synaptic plasticity (6), calcium signaling via various kinases and transcription factors causes changes in neural gene expression (7–10), calcium-dependent changes in receptor phosphorylation and membrane insertion result in altered synaptic strength (11), protons can activate specific ion channels and receptors (12), and so forth. Accordingly, we here turned our attention to the creation of channelrhodopsins that have high cation photocurrent but pass fewer calcium ions or protons, which can mediate diverse cellular signals.

We developed an automated microscopy screening platform that enables time-resolved phenotyping of mutants of optogenetic tools and applied it to the systematic protein-wide screening of mutants of the light-driven nonspecific cation channel channelrhodopsin-2 (ChR2).3 We found mutations throughout ChR2 that were capable of boosting current or altering ion selectivity. These results validate and extend homology- and
structure-predicted optogenetic tool optimizations (13–15), revealing many novel regions of the protein, scattered throughout its backbone, that contribute to photocurrent amplitude and ion selectivity. Furthermore, we found that mutations that reduced calcium or proton conductance could be combined in a synergistic fashion. Thus, our novel multidimensional screening approach can be used to reveal new principles governing optogenetic tool design. We combined four mutations to result in a light-gated ion channel, ChromeQ, that possesses order-of-magnitude reductions in calcium and proton conductance while preserving sodium and potassium currents.

**Results**

To systematically screen libraries of ChR2 mutants to select for molecules bearing three properties, high light-driven cell depolarization, low calcium photocurrent, and low proton photocurrent, we broke the screen down into four stages, each of which involved expressing a library of ChR2 point mutants into cultured HEK cells, loading the cells with a specific fluorescent dye that measures physiological responses, and then light activating the ChR2 point mutants while monitoring the dye responses. We first created saturation mutagenesis libraries of ChR2 (Fig. 1a, “residue map” stage), transfected the libraries into HEK cells, and identified amino acid positions for which one or more point mutants resulted in strongly increased light-driven responses using a voltage-sensitive dye reporter. For amino acid positions thus identified, we then screened the 19 possible individual mutants at each amino acid position for improved light-driven cell depolarization (Fig. 1a, “target mutation” stage), checking those mutants for improved light-driven cell depolarization (Fig. 1a, “target checking” stage) and then finally screened the identified mutants for reduced proton or calcium fluxes (Fig. 1a, “calcium and proton selectivity” stage). For each amino acid (positions 2–299) in ChR2 that we examined, we generated a library containing a physical mixture of the possible variants at that position, transfected each library into a well of a 96-well plate containing HEK cells in a fashion aiming for single-copy transfection (see “Experimental procedures”), and loaded cell membranes with an oxonol FRET voltage-sensitive dye (CC2-DMPE (donor); DiSBAC2(3) (acceptor) (16, 17)) (Fig. 1b). Delivering 405 nm light both excites the donor and activates ChR2 (1), causing the cell to depolarize and increasing the donor/acceptor fluorescence ratio (Fig. 1c). We illuminated cells twice, subtracting the fluorescence ratio at the beginning of the second pulse from that at the end of the first to compensate for dye photobleaching (see “Experimental procedures”).

This “extracted voltage measurement,” calculated as shown in Fig. 1c, accurately reflected the performance of known chan-
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Figure 2. Mutations mapped on the ChR2 structure. Amino acid positions of mutations identified in the residue mapping stage (colored by atom type; green, carbon; red, oxygen; blue, nitrogen; yellow, sulfur) are indicated on the side (a) and top (b) views of the crystal structure of ChR2 (shown in light blue (44)). Cavities within the protein are indicated as orange surfaces. Four positions mutated in ChromeQ are also additionally indicated with their residue numbers. The structure is based on Protein Data Bank (PDB) code 6EID, visualized using CCP4 (45). Cavities were calculated using HOLLOW (46).

neorhodopsins (Fig. 1d; n = 94–224 HEK293FT cells for each opsin; F(4, 629) = 477.6, p < 0.0001, ANOVA of voltage measure with factor of opsin) and agreed with photocurrents measured via whole-cell patch clamp (Fig. 1e; n = 10–12 HEK293FT cells each opsin; F(3, 33) = 29.47, p < 0.0001, ANOVA). We found that 48 amino acid positions in ChR2 could be mutated to result in higher light-driven cell depolarization (Fig. 2, Fig. S1, Table S1, column 1; significance gauged by Bonferroni-corrected Kolmogorov–Smirnov (K-S) test comparing extracted voltage measurement of library versus ChR2, p < 0.05), some of which matched mutations known or previously hypothesized to enhance photocurrent (e.g. a full half of the residues in helix 2). We also found many sites in unpredicted places, including all other transmembrane domains, and in cytosolic and extracellular loops throughout the entire length of the protein (Fig. 2, Fig. S1, and Table S1, column 2). Thus, the screen suggests that parts of an opsin distributed throughout the backbone can, upon mutation, yield improved performance. In addition, two hits, at amino acids Asp-253 and Lys-257 (the latter forming the Schiff base) could be mutated to result in higher light-driven cell depolarization (Fig. S1a).

For each amino acid revealed in the residue map, we then screened the possible amino acids at each amino acid position for improved light-driven responses (target mutation stage). We began with the 27 amino acid positions from the residue map screen with the highest improvement (>1.5× increase in extracted voltage measurement) as well as those that were near those residues (Table S1, column 3) and identified 92 point mutants (at 24 of the 27 amino acid positions) with significantly higher extracted voltage measurement (n = 39–396 HEK293FT cells, Bonferroni-corrected K-S test comparing extracted voltage measurement with that of ChR2, p < 0.05). We checked these candidates with a second round of voltage imaging (target checking), confirming 52 single-point mutations from 21 of 24 amino acid positions (n = 71–164 HEK293FT cells, Bonferroni-corrected K-S test comparing extracted voltage measurement with that of WT ChR2, p < 0.05; Fig. 3, a and b), including two that were previously described to enhance photocurrents, L132C (18) and H134R (19) (Fig. 3, a and b). We examined five of the 52 mutations that exhibited the highest -fold change in the extracted voltage measurement (Fig. 3c) using patch clamp and 470 nm light at 10 milliwatts/mm², and four of the five had enhancements in either peak (Fig. 3d), or steady-state (Fig. 3e) photocurrent density, or both (n = 4–11 HEK293FT cells, p < 0.05 non-Bonferroni–corrected t test comparing peak component or steady-state component versus that of ChR2). The fifth mutant, F98M, was not significantly enhanced over WT, although it was functional, exemplifying the fact that as with any screen, identified hits must be assessed through more detailed methodologies (in this case, using patch clamp). These mutants exhibited in general less run-down during illumination than the WT (Fig. 3f); we also measured the kinetics of channel closing postillumination (Fig. 3g).

We screened the 52 mutants identified in Fig. 3a for reduced calcium and proton flux using Fura-2 (18, 20) to measure calcium flux (Fig. 4a, panel i) and SNARF-5F (20) to measure proton flux (Fig. 4a, panel ii). We screened mutants according to the appropriate calculated fluorescence ratios for Fura-2 (Fig. 4b, panel i) and SNARF-5F (Fig. 4b, panel ii) and calculated intracellular free calcium (Fig. 4c, panel i) and pH change (Fig. 4c, panel ii) in the screening conditions. HEK293 cells expressing WT ChR2 had resting calcium calculated to be 32.5 nM, which increased to 119 nM after illumination (n = 21 cells), and resting pH calculated to be 7.34, which decreased to 7.16 after illumination (n = 23 cells). Nine mutants (A71S, I84L, I84V, E90A, E90D, E90N, E90P, E90Q, and E123A) showed reduced calcium flux compared with ChR2 (Fig. 4b, panel i; n = 12–69 HEK293FT cells, p < 0.05 non-Bonferroni–corrected t test comparing 430/380 emission ratio after 10-s illumination of 470 nm at 4.6 milliwatts/mm² with that of ChR2). Six mutants (E90A, E90N, M91L, H114G, R115S, and K205A) showed
Figure 3. Mutations that improve light-driven cell depolarization. a, extracted voltage measurements (normalized to that of WT ChR2) for mutant opsins emerging from the screen (see Fig. S1 and Table S1 for residue-by-residue data from the residue map and target mutation stages, respectively, of the screen) that had mean $>1$ (normalized to WT ChR2) and $p < 0.05$ (Bonferroni-corrected K-S test against WT ChR2, $n = 71–164$ HEK293FT cells each). Gray dots indicate data from individual cells. b, K-S test $p$ values (pre-Bonferroni correction) for the mutants in a. c, representative traces of photocurrent density (470 nm, 1-s illumination, 10 milliwatts/mm² irradiance) measured using whole-cell voltage clamp for five mutants from f, d–g, population data (measured as in c) for peak photocurrent density (d), steady-state photocurrent density (measured at the end of 1-s illumination, 470 nm, 10 milliwatts/mm² irradiance) (e), steady-state-to-peak photocurrent ratio (f), and channel closing rate ($\tau_{off}$) (measured using 470 nm, 2-ms illumination and 10 milliwatts/mm² irradiance) (g) of five ChR2 mutants shown in c ($n = 4–11$ HEK293FT cells each). Plotted are means and error bars representing S.E. Circles indicate data for individual cells. Statistics for d–g, *, $p < 0.05$, **, $p < 0.01$, ***, $p < 0.001$, non-Bonferroni-corrected t test comparing mutant versus WT.
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reduced proton flux (Fig. 4b, panel ii, n = 8–35 HEK293FT cells, p < 0.05 non-Bonferroni–corrected t test comparing pH change after 1-s illumination of 500 nm at 4 milliwatts/mm² with that of WT ChR2). Moreover, a few had increased calcium or proton flux: in addition to the previously known mutant L132C, we found six mutants (K103W, T112G, T112N, T112S, H134K, and T250I) that showed increased calcium flux (Fig. 4b, panel i; n = 12–69 HEK293FT cells, p < 0.05 non-Bonferroni–
corrected t test comparing 340/380 emission ratio after 10-s illumination with that of WT ChR2) and five that showed increased proton flux (184L, E90D, F98M, F102S, and T250Q) (Fig. 4b, panel ii, n = 8–35 HEK293FT cells, p < 0.05 non-Bonferroni–corrected t test comparing pH change after 1-s illumination with that of WT ChR2). Although not the focus of this study, these latter mutants may provide residues for future constructs with greater calcium- or proton-conducting properties and thus may be of value for future optogenetic tools.

We characterized, in detail using whole-cell patch-clamp, a subset of the mutants identified in the screen: 10 mutants with either reduced calcium (seven mutants; A71S, I84L, I84V, E90A, E90N, E90Q, and E123A) or proton (five mutants; E90A, E90N, H114G, R115S, and K205A) flux using ion-specific extra-cellular solutions to measure ion-specific photocurrents as done previously (14, 15, 18, 21, 22). Because ChR2 shows two conducting states, exhibited by peak and steady-state components of its photocurrent (1, 23, 24), we characterized the ion selectivity for both components. For completeness, in addition to obtaining raw photocurrents (Fig. S4), we additionally characterized ion-specific reversal potentials (see supporting Results for data and a detailed discussion), although as discussed there, the interpretation of the reversal potentials in terms of calculated permeabilities may not be accurate due to the assumption of independent movement of multiple different ionic species in the Goldman–Hodgkin–Katz equation, which may not be met for channelrhodopsins (23, 25, 26).

Four of the seven calcium flux–reduced mutants identified in the imaging screen and chosen for further investigation were found to have either reduced peak or steady-state calcium photocurrent ratios (compared with sodium) as measured in patch clamp (Fig. 4d) with mutants E90A and E90Q having the largest reductions of ∼5-fold compared with WT; the mutation E123A did not have a reduced calcium flux when examined in the patch-clamp condition. Three of five proton flux–reduced mutants identified in the imaging screen and chosen for further investigation were similarly found to have reduced proton-to-sodium photocurrent ratios in patch clamp (normalized to sodium currents; Fig. 4e) with mutant I84L (chosen for its reduced calcium current despite its increased proton current, at least when measured with optical imaging) surprisingly having the largest reduction of ∼5-fold compared with WT. Interestingly, three of the seven calcium flux–reduced mutants identified in the imaging screen and chosen for further investigation had reduced proton-to-sodium photocurrent ratios, and two of the five proton flux–reduced mutants identified in the imaging screen and chosen for further investigation had reduced calcium-to-sodium photocurrent ratios. Indeed, the peak photocurrents of calcium and proton, normalized to sodium, appeared to be correlated to one another when we regressed across the various point mutants (Fig. S3). Thus, residues that alter ion selectivity for one ion may also alter ion selectivity for a second, independent ion.

We next combined multiple mutations explored in Fig. 4 to see whether we could improve ion selectivity further, i.e. whether the mutations might be synergistically additive. We found through making double and triple mutants that we could progressively shift both the proton-to-sodium and calcium-to-sodium photocurrent ratios downward (Fig. 5a and raw photocurrents in Fig. S5) with the triple mutant A71S/E90A/H114G exhibiting a calcium-to-sodium photocurrent ratio reduced 10-fold (n = 5–12 HEK293FT cells, p < 0.001, t test comparing I_{calcium}/I_{sodium} with that of WT ChR2; Fig. 5a) and the proton-to-sodium photocurrent ratio reduced 10-fold (n = 5–12 HEK293FT cells, p < 0.001, t test comparing I_{calcium}/I_{sodium} with that of WT ChR2; Fig. 5a). A quadruple mutant, A71S/E90A/H114G/R115S, had calcium-to-sodium photocurrent and proton-to-sodium photocurrent ratios similar to those of the triple mutant (n = 5–7 HEK293FT cells, p > 0.2, t test comparing I_{calcium}/I_{sodium} or I_{proton}/I_{sodium} with that of triple mutant; Fig. 5a, c–e). We nicknamed the triple and quadruple mutants ChromeT (Chr + omitting certain ions, triple mutant) and ChromeQ (quadruple mutant), respectively. Thus, we have created, through our screening methodology, new channelrhodopsins with order-of-magnitude improvement in their selectivity for two different ions, demonstrating the power of physiological screening.

Mutations that reduce the conductance of an ion could, in principle, reduce overall ion flux through a channel. However, because we prefaced our ion selectivity screen with a screen for improved photocurrent, ChromeT and ChromeQ also possessed an increased peak as well as steady-state (Fig. 5, d–g) photocurrent density and unchanged channel closing kinetics (Fig. 5h). ChromeT and ChromeQ had greater overall photocurrent when normalized to the fluorescence of a fluorophore fused to the C terminus, a measure of expression level (Fig. S8a and supporting Results), suggesting but not proving an increased overall channel conductance. ChromeT and ChromeQ also had similar potassium selectivity, compared with sodium, versus WT (Fig. S8, b and c). When the sodium photocurrent (i.e. measured in high-sodium Tyrode’s solution) and overall photocurrent (i.e. measured in conventional Tyrode’s solution) of ChR2 and ChromeQ were compared under low (0.5 milliwatt/mm²) and high (10 milliwatts/mm²) light powers, ChromeQ consistently showed significantly

Figure 4. Physiological screening for calcium and proton selectivity. a, change in Fura-2 340/380 nm emission ratio (panel i) and SNARF-5F 610/640 nm emission ratio (panel ii) mediated by previously described channelrhodopsins. Cells expressing fluorophores only were used as controls (mCherry in panel i and EGFP in panel ii) (n = 20–35 cells each). WT, WT ChR2. Black bar, illumination period, 470 nm for 10 s; irradiance, 4.6 milliwatts/mm² (panel i); irradiance period, 500 nm, 1.5 s; irradiance, 4 milliwatts/mm² (panel ii). b and c, outcome of the calcium and proton selectivity of the screen for channelrhodopsins emerging from the screen of Fig. 1, showing the Fura-2 340/380 ratio (b, panel i) and calcium concentration (c, panel i) after blue light illumination (delivered as in a) in HEK cells expressing the indicated ChR2 mutants (n = 12–69 cells) and the SNARF-5F 610/640 ratio (b, panel ii) and pH (c, panel ii) after green light illumination (delivered as in a but with 1-s pulses) in HEK cells expressing the indicated ChR2 mutants (n = 8–35 cells). Gray dots indicate data from individual cells. d and e, population data for photocurrent density ratios measured using whole-cell patch clamp in HEK cells in ion-specific extracellular solutions (see “Experimental procedures” for details), calcium photocurrent (I_{calcium}) measured in 90 mM CaCl₂, pH 7.4, divided by sodium photocurrent (I_{sodium}) measured in 145 mM NaCl, pH 7.4 (d), and proton photocurrent (I_{proton}) measured in 135 mM IMDG, pH 6.4, divided by sodium photocurrent (I_{sodium}) (e) of WT ChR2 and mutants with improved ion selectivity identified from b and c using illumination conditions 470 nm, 1 s, and 10 milliwatts/mm² (n = 5–12 HEK293FT cells each). In d and e, peak and steady-state photocurrent ratios for WT ChR2 are indicated by dashed lines and dotted lines, respectively. Plotted are means and error bars representing S.E. Statistics for b, panels i and ii, d, and e, *p < 0.05; **p < 0.01; ***p < 0.001, non-Bonferroni–corrected t test comparing mutant versus WT.
larger sodium photocurrent ($n = 5–14$ HEK293FT cells, $p < 0.01$, $t$ test comparing photocurrent of ChromeQ in high-sodium Tyrode’s solution with that of WT ChR2; Fig. 6, a and c) and overall photocurrent ($n = 5–14$ HEK293FT cells, $p < 0.05$, $t$ test comparing photocurrent of ChromeQ in normal Tyrode’s solution with that of WT ChR2; Fig. 6, b and d) than ChR2. ChromeQ generated...
steady-state photocurrents under 0.05 milliwatt/mm$^2$ similar to those generated by WT ChR2 under 10 milliwatts/mm$^2$ when measured in normal Tyrode’s solution (i.e. $14\pm 7$ pA/pF for ChromeQ versus $14\pm 3$ pA/pF for ChR2; $n=5–14$ HEK293FT cells; Fig. 6, b and c). Therefore, if ChromeQ is illuminated with such low light powers, it could be useful for delivering steady-state currents similar to those conducted by ChR2 but with order-of-magnitude reductions in calcium and proton flux.

We next characterized ChromeQ in cultured primary mouse hippocampal neurons. When we expressed WT ChR2 and ChromeQ in primary mouse hippocampal neurons, they showed similar expression levels when analyzed by fluorescence of the fused genetically encoded fluorophore tdTomato (Fig. 7a; $n=6–8$ neurons, $p>0.4$, t test comparing expression level of ChromeQ with that of ChR2) and did not affect cell viability (Fig. 7b; $n=9$ each condition, $F(2, 24)=1.406, p>0.3$, ANOVA). To assess the efficiency of light-driven action potential generation by ChromeQ, we compared ChR2 and ChromeQ in mediating responses to trains of photostimulation pulses of varying pulse frequency. Whole-cell current clamp was conducted on neurons expressing ChR2 or ChromeQ while 2-ms pulses of 470 nm light were delivered at an intensity of 4.9 milliwatts/mm$^2$ and at frequencies of 1, 10, 20, 40, and 60 Hz (40 pulses each; Fig. 7, c–e). ChromeQ showed significantly higher success probability of light-driven action potentials at a frequency of 20 Hz compared with when ChR2 was used.
Figure 7. Characterization of cultured primary mouse hippocampal neurons expressing ChR2 or ChromeQ. 

- **a**, expression level quantified by whole-cell fluorescence of cells transfected with ChR2 or ChromeQ (tdTomato fusions, \( n = 6-8 \) neurons each).
- **b**, number of trypan blue–positive cells in neurons transfected with ChR2 or ChromeQ. Control cells were untransfected (\( n = 9 \) neurons each).
- **c–e**, population data for spike probability of neurons transfected with ChR2 or ChromeQ under repetitive stimulation (40 trials for each frequency) using light (**c**) (using 470 nm, 2-ms illumination and 4.9 milliwatts/mm² irradiance) or current injection (**d**) and spike latencies quantified by time between light onset and the spike peak (**e**) (\( n = 6-8 \) neurons each).
- **f–h**, population data for resting potential (**f**), membrane capacitance (**g**), and membrane resistance (**h**) of cells transfected with ChR2 or ChromeQ (\( n = 6-8 \) neurons each). Plotted are means and error bars representing S.E. Statistics for **c–e**, *\( p < 0.05 \), **\( p < 0.01 \), ***\( p < 0.001 \), repeated-measures ANOVA with Sidak’s multiple comparisons test comparing ChR2 and ChromeQ.
(Fig. 7c; \( n = 6–8 \) neurons, \( p < 0.05 \), repeated-measures ANOVA with Sidak’s multiple comparisons test comparing spike probability with that of ChR2), whereas cells were similarly capable of electrically driven action potentials at this frequency (Fig. 7d; \( n = 6–8 \) neurons, \( p > 0.2 \), repeated-measures ANOVA with Sidak’s multiple comparisons test comparing spike probability with that of ChR2). ChromeQ showed >98% success probability at frequencies between 1 and 20 Hz, whereas ChR2 showed reduced spike probability starting at 10 Hz (Fig. 7c). Latency of spike generation, as quantified by time to the peak of action potential after light onset, was significantly reduced in ChromeQ-expressing neurons at all frequencies tested except 60 Hz (Fig. 7e; \( n = 6–7 \) neurons, \( p < 0.05 \), repeated-measures ANOVA with Sidak’s multiple comparisons test comparing time to the peak for ChromeQ versus ChR2). All other electrophysiological parameters such as resting potential, membrane capacitance, and membrane resistance were not significantly different in neurons expressing ChR2 and ChromeQ (Fig. 7, f–h; \( n = 6–8 \) neurons; \( p > 0.1 \), t tests comparing each parameter in ChromeQ versus ChR2-expressing neurons).

Discussion

Here, we present a novel screening method capable of evaluating libraries of microbial opsin mutants for altered and improved optogenetic physiological characteristics. We devised a pipeline capable of rapidly homing in on key residues, starting with libraries of mixed mutants and converging upon mutants of interest, as indicated by optical imaging of responses (i.e., voltage change, calcium flux, and proton flux) to optogenetic perturbation mediated by individual mutants. Although this strategy in principle can miss mutants because it randomly samples mutations, it results in efficient and inexpensive library preparation and screening. From the results of our screen, we discovered insights into how microbial opsin protein engineering can take place. For example, we found that mutants that improve photocurrent are distributed throughout significant fractions of the opsin, including practically all transmembrane and extracellular or intracellular loop regions, in contrast to previous studies that focused on key subdomains of the protein highlighted by homology or structure. In addition, we found that mutants that improve ion conductance can have additive and synergistic effects upon combination. As a result, we identified triple and quadruple mutants of channelrhodopsin-2, here named ChromeT and ChromeQ, with order-of-magnitude reduction in calcium and proton photocurrents compared with WT but preserved overall photocurrent amplitude and kinetics. Although many users of channelrhodopsins are focusing on short-term optical activation to drive spiking activity and many behavioral experiments with appropriate control experiments are being conducted, the calcium ions and protons that permeate the channelrhodopsin may be undesired in specific scientific contexts. Thus, ChromeT and ChromeQ may be of interest for a variety of experimental paradigms in the biosciences. For example, in experiments where extended illumination may result in significant permeation of calcium ions and protons, ChromeQ will significantly reduce the permeation of calcium ions and protons by using less light power to drive photocurrent similar to that of WT ChR2.

Earlier reports had identified several point mutations that altered cation-specific photocurrents (14, 15, 18, 21, 26–29), finding mutations that altered cation photocurrents typically by 2–4-fold. For example, several earlier reports have shown that mutations in channelrhodopsin residue Glu-90 alter proton selectivity (14, 15, 27) as found in our study as well. We also discovered many mutations unpredicted in structure-guided studies as well as new findings that may suggest rules governing optogenetic protein engineering (e.g., the observed correlation between calcium current and proton current across many point mutants of ChR2). Our screening approach may be applied to engineer channel selectivity to anions such as chloride, which has been achieved primarily through structure-guided mutations (30–32) and homology analysis (33–35). Thus, our screening method not only allows for elucidation of new mutants that affect microbial opsin performance but may reveal new principles of optogenetic protein engineering.

Experimental procedures

Molecular cloning and library construction

Mammalian codon-optimized ChR2(1–311) that constitutes the light-activated channel function (1, 36) from Chlamydomonas reinhardtii was cloned into pEGFP-N3 vector (Clontech) as a BamHI-AgI fragment with mCherry as the fluorophore (mCherry was chosen among other red fluorophores because in HEK293FT cells the photocurrent amplitude of ChR2-mCherry was similar to ChR2-EGFP) to avoid spectral overlap with the dyes used in our screen. For the residue map stage of the screen, 298 site-saturation mutation libraries were generated, one for each amino acid between residues 2 and 299 of ChR2 (to focus on the membrane-spanning and intrahelical domains) using site-saturation mutagenesis reactions with primers containing NNK degenerate codons (Integrated DNA Technologies, Inc.). The site-saturation reaction mixture contained 50 ng of template plasmid mixed with 10 pmol of forward and reverse degenerate primers each, 0.2 mM dNTP mixture (New England Biolabs), and 1 unit of Phusion high-fidelity polymerase (New England Biolabs) in 50 μl. The thermocycle reaction consisted of a denaturation step at 95 °C for 60 s followed by 18 cycles of 95 °C for 50 s, 58 °C for 50 s, 72 °C for 3 min, and an extension step at 72 °C for 5 min. The reaction product was digested with 20 units of DpnI (New England Biolabs) for 6–12 h to completely remove the WT template plasmid. Each library was transformed in XL10-Gold competent Escherichia coli (Agilent) and purified using a QIAprep mini-prep kit (Qiagen) run on an automated EVO75 liquid-handling robot (Tecan). The mutant codon frequency was checked (occurrences of 1 in 32 for amino acids Cys, Asp, Glu, Phe, His, Ile, Lys, Met, Asn, Gln, Trp, and Tyr; 2 in 32 for Ala, Gly, Pro, Thr, and Val; 3/32 for Leu, Arg, and Ser) (37) by sequencing 123 colonies from three libraries (amino acid positions Ile-84, Arg-120, and Leu-132), and the quality of library plasmids was checked for the presence of multiple peaks at the NNK degenerate codon sites (all four peaks for N; G and T peaks for K).
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In the target mutation stage of the screen, plasmids containing point mutants were isolated to identify target mutations from site-saturation libraries by transforming XL10-Gold competent E. coli (Agilent) with each library, plating on a LB agar plate, and picking 96 single colonies (~5-fold coverage of the library size) grown subsequently in 2-ml deep-well plates (Greiner). Plasmid from each colony was purified by an automated EVO100 liquid-handling robot (Tecan) using a CosMCPrep kit (Beckman Coulter Genomics) following the manufacturer’s instructions.

Culture and transfection of HEK293FT cells

HEK293FT cells (Invitrogen) were cultured in phenol-red free high-glucose DMEM (Gibco) supplemented with 10% heat-inactivated fetal bovine serum (HyClone) and 1 mM sodium pyruvate, maintained in a 5% CO₂ humidified incubator for 1-2 h at 37 °C before transfection.

For screening site-saturation mutation libraries in the residue map stage, each library plasmid was diluted in pUC18 plasmid that does not replicate in mammalian cells at a mass ratio of 1:100 to reduce uptake of multiple plasmids by a cell as described previously (38); having on average a single copy of a library plasmid per cell allows the detection of activity from each mutant. 625 ng of total plasmid DNA mixed with 1.565 μl of 2 mM CaCl₂ (250 mM final concentration) in 12.5 μl of double distilled H₂O was mixed rapidly and thoroughly with 12.5 μl of 2× HEPES-buffered saline (50 mM HEPES, 280 mM NaCl, 1.5 mM Na₂HPO₄, pH 7.0) to obtain the transfection mixture (for a 4-well transfection; for larger or smaller numbers of wells, the recipes were scaled accordingly). The transfection mixture was incubated for 30 s at room temperature, and 5 μl was added to each well. The plates were placed in the incubator for 16–18 h, and the medium in each well was replaced with 100 μl of prewarmed culture medium containing 2 μM all-trans-retinal (Sigma). Although the addition of all-trans-retinal is not required for ChR2 to achieve photocurrent in HEK cells, we wanted to prototype a screen that could be of general use in screening for opsins, even those that have smaller affinities for all-trans-retinal. For transfecting cells in 24-well plates, all steps were identical to those above except the DNA mixture for each well contained 1.25 μg of total plasmid DNA and 3.125 μl of 2 mM CaCl₂ in 25 μl of double distilled H₂O and was mixed with 25 μl of 2× HEPES-buffered saline.

Voltage-sensitive FRET imaging

HEK293FT cells were imaged in Tyrode’s solution (125 mM NaCl, 2 mM KCl, 3 mM CaCl₂, 1 mM MgCl₂, 10 mM HEPES, 30 mM glucose, pH 7.3 (NaOH-adjusted), 305 mosM) 48 h after transfection. Cells in each well of 96-well plates were washed once with 50 μl of Tyrode’s solution and incubated in 40 μl of voltage dye staining solution containing 40 μM CC2-DMPE (Invitrogen), 5 μM DiSBAC₆(3) (Invitrogen), and 0.01% (w/v) Pluronic F-127 (Sigma) in Tyrode’s solution for 40 min at room temperature. After incubation, cells were washed three times with 50 μl of Tyrode’s solution and imaged.

The imaging was performed on an inverted microscope (Leica DMI6000B) equipped with an automated stage with a CMOS camera (Hamamatsu Orca Flash 2.8). The donor dye was excited using a 405 nm LED (Thorlabs) at 3.5 milliwatts/mm² accompanied by the autofocus function of MetaMorph, which allowed accurate focusing without activating ChR2 or photobleaching the dye. Next, each well was illuminated with a 405 nm LED (Thorlabs) at 6 milliwatts/mm², which excites the voltage dye donor at its maximum absorption (16) and simultaneously activates ChR2 (1). The cells were illuminated with a 1.5-s pulse, during which the cell depolarizes, followed by a 2-s delay that allows recovery of membrane potential and a second 1.5-s pulse. Background fluorescence of donor and acceptor channels was determined by averaging signals from images recorded from six empty wells in the same plate under the same illumination conditions. In quantifying the light-driven depolarization of ChR2 using voltage-sensitive FRET imaging, we first attempted to quantify the voltage change by calculating the difference in the acceptor-to-donor emission ratio during an illumination period. However, we occasionally noticed false-positive signals (i.e. an increase in donor-to-acceptor ratio over time during illumination) for control cells not expressing any opsin caused by significantly larger photobleaching of the acceptor image compared with the donor image, perhaps due to sensitized photobleaching of the acceptor (39). Therefore, instead of measuring the change in donor-to-acceptor ratio during illumination, we calculated the difference in the acceptor-to-donor ratio before and after the dark period between illuminations (which we termed “extracted voltage measurement”), during which the membrane potential recovers from the depolarized state driven by channelrhodopsins and no photobleaching occurs. This imaging scheme allowed us to detect light-driven depolarization of ChR2 without any false-positive signals from non-opsin-expressing control cells (Fig. 1c). The extracted voltage measurement is defined as follows,

\[
\text{Extracted voltage measurement} = \frac{R_1 - R_2}{R_2} \quad (\text{Eq. 1})
\]
where $R_1$ is the average of background-subtracted donor-to-acceptor emission ratio of the last three frames at the end of the first 405 nm light pulse and $R_2$ is the background-subtracted donor-to-acceptor emission ratio at the beginning of the second 405 nm light pulse. Note that this means that any mutants that stay open for longer than 2 s may be missed in this screen design, which focuses on obtaining fast, high-amplitude, novel ion conductance mutants. (Detecting slow mutants could be achieved, in principle, using our screen design and a longer interval or by driving ChRs to a closed state by illuminating with a 560 nm light (40). Between each pair of pulses at a given light power, cells were illuminated with the 590 nm LED at 3.5 milliwatts/mm², which facilitates ChR2 to reach its dark-adapted closed state (40), for 1 s while the stream of images is saved to disk (which typically takes 5–6 s).

To calculate the extracted voltage measurement from opsin-expressing cells, binary masks were created. Binary masks of ChR-expressing cells were created using the mCherry image of each well using CellProfiler (modules “CorrectIllumination-Calculation” with “Regular” setting and “Fit Polynomial” smoothing, “ApplyThreshold” with “Otsu Global” two-class thresholding, “IdentifyPrimaryObjects” with objects larger than 20 pixels, and “RobustBackground Global” thresholding) (41). CellProfiler generally separates even touching cells into separate masks (in the IdentifyPrimaryObjects function, there is an option called “Method to Distinguish Clumped Objects”). The “Shape” option was used to distinguish clumps.

Using the binary masks, donor and acceptor fluorescence from each cell or set of contiguous cells, masked as described above, was averaged over each cell for each frame, and then the extracted voltage measurement was computed using a custom MATLAB script (MathWorks). For each construct, the extracted voltage measurements from cells across wells were pooled and compared with the similarly pooled measurements from WT ChR2 in the same plate using the K-S test. The mean of the extracted voltage measurement for each library was also computed and compared with that of the WT ChR2.

We used ChR2 L132C (CatCh) (18) as a control for cell health. This was necessary because during experiments to optimize the voltage-sensitive dye imaging protocol, we noticed occasional day-to-day variations in the results. For example, the difference in extracted voltage measurement between WT ChR2 and ChR2 L132C, known to have a larger steady-state component of photocurrent (18), was much less, at 1.1 or less, about 25% of the time, compared with the normal range of 1.4–1.5. We found that the main reason for such difference is caused by positively shifted membrane potential of cells, potentially due to poor cell health. To exclude data under such abnormal conditions, we added ChR2 L132C in the first plate of each day of screening and repeated experiments when the normalized extracted voltage measurement of ChR2 L132C was less than 1.3.

In the residue map stage, each site-saturation mutagenesis library was transfected to 4 wells of a 96-well plate, which resulted in imaging of on average 160 cells per library (159 ± 30, $n = 298$ libraries), allowing the 20 possible mutants in each library to be imaged roughly eight times. A typical layout of the plate used is shown in Table S2. The difference between WT and mutant was assessed using the K-S test with a cutoff of $p < 0.05$ (Bonferroni-corrected by multiplying by 298). As noted above, each experiment used both WT ChR2 and ChR2 L132C as controls, and experiments for 9 plates of a total of 36 plates were repeated because the ratio of extracted voltage measurement of ChR2 L132C to WT ChR2 was below 1.3.

Toward the end of the residue map stage, we noticed a strong column effect that was not present before (previously the hits were scattered in all columns). Specifically, two columns on the right-hand side of plate columns 11 and 12 showed large extracted voltage measurement values. These libraries were from residues located near the N and C termini of ChR2 (residues 2–17 and 289–299), and we initially thought these could be affecting trafficking, but we noticed that the voltage dye staining level was much brighter in these wells and found that the medium evaporated faster in these wells in the incubator during culture, potentially due to lower humidity in dry weather in later parts of the screen (done in the winter). Therefore, we did not use these data and repeated these experiments with the columns reversed in each row with the edge of the plates filled with water (similar to the layout shown below) and found that indeed there was a column effect. The problem subsided when we added water around the edge of the plates as shown below. Use of a humidified stage incubator might in principle eliminate this problem as well.

In the target mutation stage to identify single-point mutations from site-saturation mutagenesis libraries, 96 colonies were picked from each library and screened. The layout of the plate used is shown in Table S3. As in the previous experiment, each experiment used both WT and ChR2 L132C as a positive control (extracted voltage measurement of ChR2 L132C to WT ChR2 was below 1.3 as explained above, and then the experiments were repeated; a total of 33 plates of 146 were repeated). In this format, typically 70 cells (73 ± 17) were imaged per mutant construct. The difference between WT and mutant was assessed using the K-S test with a cutoff of $p < 0.05$ (Bonferroni-corrected by multiplying by 96). This screen yielded 92 point mutant hits from 24 of the 27 libraries. Libraries Phe-100, Asn-187, and Gly-206 did not yield hits.

In the target checking stage, the 92 mutants were screened with a larger number of cells (106 ± 17). Here, the mutants were also recloned into the pN3-mCherry backbone to eliminate any possible mutations in the backbone such as in the promoter. Each mutant was transfected in 4 wells of a 96-well plate and imaged in the same manner as the single-point mutant screen. The plate layout used is shown in Table S4.

As in previous rounds of the screen, WT and ChR2 L132C were used as controls (three of 12 plates repeated). The difference between WT ChR2 and mutant was assessed using the K-S test with a cutoff of $p < 0.05$ (non-Bonferroni–corrected). This screen yielded 52 point mutants with improved light-driven cell depolarization from 92 mutants screened.

Intracellular calcium and pH imaging

The image acquisition protocols (filter cubes, exposure times, etc.) were largely based on a previous publication that quantified intracellular calcium and pH change due to channelrhodopsin photocurrent using Fura-2 and SNARF 5-AM (20) in...
addition to our experience in imaging pH change in Arch-expressing cells (42). For intracellular calcium imaging in HEK293FT cells, 15,000 cells/well were plated in glass-bottom 24-well plates (Greiner Bio-One) and transfected using the same procedure as in the voltage imaging. The cells were washed with Tyrode’s solution and stained with 5 mM Fura-2 AM (Invitrogen) in Tyrode’s solution at room temperature for 30 min. After dye loading, the cells were incubated in Tyrode’s solution for 10 min for recovery. The cells were washed twice and imaged in an extracellular solution composed of 80 mM CaCl$_2$, 20 mM glucose, 23 mM N-methyl-d-glucamine, 5 mM NaCl, 3 mM KCl, 1 mM MgCl$_2$, and 10 mM HEPES, pH 7.3.

Channelrhodopsin expression was detected by mCherry fluorescence using a Sutter DG-4 (Semrock 575/15) and imaged using a dichroic mirror (600 LP Chroma) paired with an emission using a Sutter DG-4 (Semrock 575/15) and imaged (Chroma 470/22 at 6.8 milliwatts/mm$^2$) to activate channelrhodopsin. Following channelrhodopsin activation, images were taken with a 1-s interval for 30 s. The ratio of fluorescence at 340 and 380 nm emission, respectively. Images were taken with a 1-s interval for 30 s. The ratio of fluorescence at 340 and 380 nm emission, respectively.

All procedures involving animals were in accordance with the National Institutes of Health Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals and approved by the Massachusetts Institute of Technology Committee on Animal Care. Hippocampal neurons were prepared from postnatal day 0 or 1 Swiss Webster (Taconic) mice as described previously (2, 42) but with the following modifications. Dissected hippocampal tissue was digested with 50 units of papain (Warthington Biochemical) for 8 min, and the digestion was stopped with ovomucoid trypsin inhibitor (Warthington Biochemical). Cells were plated at a density of 20,000–50,000/glass coverslip coated with Matrigel (BD Biosciences). Neurons were seeded in 100 μl of plating medium containing MEM (Life Technologies), glucose (33 mM; Sigma), transferrin (0.01%; Sigma), HEPES (10 mM), Glutagro (2 mM; Corning), insulin (0.13%; Millipore), B27 supplement (2%; Gibco), and heat-inactivated fetal bovine serum (7.5%; Corning). After cell adhesion, additional plating medium was added. Ara-C (0.002 mM; Sigma) was added when glial density reached 50–70%. Neurons were grown at 37 °C in 5% CO$_2$ in a humidified atmosphere.

**Primary neuron culture**

Cultured neurons were transfected at 5–6 days in vitro with a commercial calcium phosphate kit (Invitrogen). We added an additional washing step with acidic MEM buffer, pH 6.8–6.9, after calcium phosphate precipitate incubation to completely resuspend residual precipitates (2, 43). pUC DNA (1.2 μg) was used as a cotransfectant DNA reagent with 0.3 μg of channelrhodopsin DNA (AAV-syn-ChR2-tdTomato or AAV-syn-ChromeQ-GFP). The viability of cultured neurons transfected with AAV-syn-ChR2-GFP or AAV-syn-ChromeQ-GFP was assayed at 22–25 days after transfection by staining with
trypan blue (0.2% solution in PBS; Thermo Fisher) at room temperature for 3 min.

**Electrophysiology recording**

Whole-cell patch-clamp recordings were performed in isolated HEK293FT cells and neurons. All recordings were performed on an inverted microscope (Leica DMi6000B) or an upright microscope (Olympus BX51WI) using an Axopatch 200B or Multiclamp 700B amplifier and Digidata 1440 or 1550A digitizer (Molecular Devices) at room temperature. To allow isolated cell recording, HEK293FT cells were plated at a density of 15,000 per well in 24-well plates that contained round glass coverslips (0.15 mm thick, 25 mm in diameter, coated with 2% growth factor–reduced Matrigel in DMEM for 1 h at 37 °C). To make accurate measurements, data from cells with access resistance less than 25 megaohms and holding current less than ±50 pA were used. Typical membrane resistance was between 500 megaohms and 2 gigaohms and pipette resistance was between 4 and 10 megaohms.

For comparing (peak and steady-state) photocurrents and channel closing rates, recordings were performed using Tyrode’s solution as the extracellular solution and an intracellular solution consisting of 125 or 135 mM potassium gluconate, 8 mM NaCl, 0.1 mM CaCl₂, 0.6 mM MgCl₂, 1 mM EGTA, 10 mM HEPES, 4 mM MgATP, and 0.4 mM NaGTP, pH 7.3 (KOH-adjusted), 295–300 mosM (sucrose-adjusted). The composition of high-sodium Tyrode’s solution was 145 mM NaCl, 5 mM KCl, 1 mM CaCl₂, 10 mM HEPES, 5 mM glucose, and 2 mM MgCl₂, pH 7.4.

For assessing ion selectivity in channelrhodopsins, extracellular and intracellular solutions listed in Table S5 with extracellular solutions; however, we found that the order of buffer exchange greatly influenced the result. Therefore, we mentioned otherwise.

Electrophysiology recording

Var \( \frac{R}{S} \) was measured using a 470 nm LED (Thorlabs or Lumencor) at 10 milliwatts/mm² unless otherwise stated. 1-s illumination was delivered for measuring peak and steady-state components of the photocurrent. 2-ms illumination was delivered, and the decay of photocurrent was fitted to a monoexponential curve using Clampfit (Molecular Devices) to determine the channel closing rate \( \tau_{\text{off}} \).

For testing repetitive trains of action potentials in cultured neurons, 40 pulses of 2-ms photostimulation (470 nm LED, Lumencor; 4.9 milliwatts/mm²) were delivered at various frequencies using a wave generator (Agilent, 33250A). There was a 1-min wait between photostimulations. To assess excitability, current injection of 700–1200 pA with 5-ms duration was conducted in the same cells.

Spikes were defined as depolarizing above 0 mV and then repolarizing below −30 mV within each stimulation interval. Spike peak time and spike amplitude were measured using macros written in IgorPro (Wavemetrics). Measured peak times were subtracted from photostimulation (light onset) times to calculate spike peak latency.

Fluorescence from patch-clamped cells was quantified by drawing a line around the patched cell manually using ImageJ and calculating the average intensity over the entire area. Background fluorescence was calculated by averaging the intensity in an area within the same image without any cell and subtracted from the cell fluorescence. All statistical comparisons were performed using JMP (SAS Institute Inc.) unless mentioned otherwise.
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Supplementary Results

Reversal potential measurements for ChR2 mutants characterized using patch clamp

We first measured the reversal potentials of peak and steady-state components of the 10 point mutants electrophysiologically characterized, downstream of the calcium and proton selectivity stage (Fig. 4d, e) for sodium (Supp. Fig. 2a), calcium (Supp. Fig. 2b), and protons (Supp. Fig. 2c) (see Methods for composition of solutions). The H114G mutant showed a positive shift in sodium reversal potential (Supp. Fig. 2a), consistent with the increase in sodium photocurrent for H114G (Supp. Fig. 4a). Many mutants identified in the screen had both decreases in calcium photocurrent (Supp. Fig. 4b) and decreases in calcium reversal potential (Supp. Fig. 2b). A similar trend held for protons (Supp. Fig. 4c; Supp. Fig. 2c). We calculated a measure of relative selectivity, calcium reversal potential minus that of sodium (Supp. Fig. 2d), and found statistically significant shifts of this value ranging from -10 mV to -25 mV relative to wild type for most of the mutants. Similarly, half of the mutants had downward shifts in proton reversal potential minus sodium reversal potential (Supp. Fig. 2e). The differences between photocurrent measurements (Fig. 4d, e) and reversal potential measurements may be explained by the fact that calcium- and proton- binding sites, as well as potential interactions between multiple ions, may differentially affect photocurrents driven from baseline vs. reversal potential (see refs. (1-7), and discussion below about complications using the Goldman-Hodgkin-Katz equation to interpret reversal potentials).

Correlated effects of mutations on calcium and proton permeability as determined by reversal potential measurements

As noted in the main text, there is a correlation between the photocurrents of calcium vs. proton (each divided by that of sodium) (Supp. Fig. 3a, b) for the 10 point mutants electrophysiologically characterized downstream of the calcium and proton selectivity stage. We also noted a significant correlation in reversal potential measurements for calcium vs. proton (each subtracting off that of sodium) for both peak ($r(9) = 0.77, P < 0.01$, Pearson’s correlation coefficient; Supp. Fig. 3c) and steady-state ($r(9) = 0.90, P < 0.001$, Pearson’s correlation coefficient, Supp. Fig. 3d) components.
Reversal potential measurements for ChR2 mutant combinations

We measured reversal potentials of ChR2 mutant combinations (double mutants A71S/E90A, E90A/H114G, ChromeT, and ChromeQ), for sodium (Supp. Fig. 6a), calcium (Supp. Fig. 6b), and protons (Supp. Fig. 6c), obtaining strong shifts in calcium and proton reversal potential for all of the mutants assessed, for both the peak and steady state components, and ~35 mV shifts in calcium reversal potential and ~45 mv shifts in proton reversal potential for ChromeQ. When we compared relative selectivity, namely calcium reversal potential minus that of sodium (Supp. Fig. 6d) and proton reversal potential minus that of sodium (Supp. Fig. 6e), strong shifts again were again observed.

Permeability ratios estimated from reversal potential measurements

Channels that transport multiple kinds of ion, and that might possess multiple binding sites, are biophysically complex to model (5-7). Channelrhodopsins may potentially possess such properties (1-4,8), with four different native ionic species capable of passing through, and the potentiality of one or more binding sites for one or more ions. Accordingly, we have focused on analyzing both peak as well as steady-state ion specific photocurrents (Fig. 4d, 4e, 5). Other papers have attempted to estimate channelrhodopsin ion permeabilities using the Goldman-Hodgkin-Katz (GHK) equation (9), but it also has been controversial about whether the GHK can be used, even in modified form (1), since the assumption of independent movement of different ionic species in the GHK equation may not hold true for channelrhodopsins, potentially due to the complexities named above (2-4). Complicating matters further is the fact that no single channel conductances have been measured for any channelrhodopsin, due to their small values, meaning that many key parameters are not known. Thus, we estimate ion permeabilities as in ref. (1) for completeness, with the acknowledgment that while they reflect one potential interpretation of the reversal potential measurements, they may only provide a rough estimate, which we denote P* in Supp. Fig. 7. The wild-type ChR2 has permeability ratio of calcium vs. sodium of ~0.20, and of proton vs. sodium of ~690,000. The estimated permeability ratios for the 10 point mutants electrophysiologically characterized downstream of the calcium and proton selectivity stage, as well as the combinatorial mutants, were generally lower than that of the wild-type, and for the combinatorial mutants, greatly lower – ChromeQ, for example, had a permeability ratio of calcium vs. sodium of 0.048 and a permeability ratio of proton vs. sodium of 91,000. Thus, while acknowledging that these are estimates, the results are consistent with our photocurrent data.

Additional characterization of ChromeT and ChromeQ

When we compared the photocurrent density of ChromeT and ChromeQ in Tyrode’s solution divided by the channelrhodopsin expression level (as indicated by the brightness of the fused fluorophore), compared to that of wild-type ChR2, there was a 3-fold increase for the peak component (n = 6-10 HEK293FT cells, P < 0.05 t-test comparing peak photocurrent density divided by the total fluorescence, to that of wild-type ChR2; Supp. Fig. 8a) and 5-fold increase in the steady-state component (n = 6-10 HEK293FT cells, P < 0.01 t-test comparing peak photocurrent density divided by the total fluorescence, to that of wild-type ChR2; Supp. Fig. 8a). Although this measure may reflect other factors such as altered membrane trafficking and protein folding efficiency, it suggests that the effective conductance of ChromeT and ChromeQ may be significantly higher than that of wild-type ChR2.
In addition, when we measured the potassium photocurrent (Supp. Fig. 8b), the potassium-to-sodium photocurrent ratios of ChromeT and ChromeQ were not significantly changed compared to wild-type ChR2, suggesting that the selectivity for potassium over sodium was not significantly altered (n = 6-7 HEK293FT cells, P > 0.8 t-test comparing Iopotassium/IoSodium to that of wild-type ChR2; Supp. Fig. 8c).

Extracted voltage measurement vs. Fura-2 ratio or SNARF-5F ratio of ChR2 variants

To aid the identification of ChR2 variants with high extracted voltage measurement (EVM) but reduced calcium or proton flux, we generated additional figures, in which the EVM of ChR2 variants is plotted on the x-axis, and Fura-2 ratio or SNARF-5F ratio after illumination is plotted on the y-axis (Supp. Fig. 9). Indicated in red are the residues we identified earlier as resulting in significantly reduced calcium flux (Supp. Fig. 9a, mutants with reduced Fura-2 ratio in Fig. 4b1) or proton flux (Supp. Fig. 9b, mutants with reduced SNARF-5F in Fig. 4bii), when mutated to specific new residues, as compared to wild-type ChR2. We focused on identifying variants with reduced calcium flux or proton flux compared to WT ChR2, which is a stringent condition considering that they were screened first for improved EVM. A less stringent, but more comprehensive approach would be to find variants with reduced calcium flux/EVM ratio (Supp. Fig. 9a). To compare the calcium flux/EVM ratios across mutants, we divided the Fura-2 ratio (Fig. 4b1) by the EVM (Fig. 3a), and performed a statistical comparison of this ratio vs. wild-type. Since this is a ratio of two variables, we calculated the standard deviation using the same formula used for comparing photocurrent ratios (see Experimental Procedures). When we compared the calcium flux/EVM ratios, mutations I84L, E90A, F98M and H134R had significantly smaller calcium flux/EVM ratio than the wild-type (Supp. Fig. 9a; indicated in blue are new variants found from this analysis, indicated in red are mutations with reduced Fura-2 ratio identified from Fig. 4b1, Bonferroni-corrected t-test comparing Fura-2 ratio/EVM to that of wild-type). When we compared the proton flux/EVM ratio, mutations E90A, M91L, F98M, A111L, L132C, H134K, and H134R had significantly smaller values than the wild-type (Supp. Fig. 9b; indicated in blue are new variants found from this analysis, indicated in red are mutations with reduced SNARF-5F ratio identified from Fig. 4bii, Bonferroni-corrected t-test comparing SNARF-5 ratio/EVM to that of wild-type). For enhanced ion selectivity, it may be beneficial to add these mutations to the ChromeQ mutant (for which we chose mutations not based upon the analysis of Supp. Fig 9, but through the methods described in the main text). We measured the photocurrent of mutants F98M, A111L, and H134K using whole-cell patch clamp in HEK293FT cells (Fig. 3d-g). In this analysis, the peak and steady-state photocurrents of F98M were not significantly different from that of WT ChR2 (Fig. 3d, e), but the steady-state/peak photocurrent ratio was greater than that of WT ChR2 (Fig. 3f). The channel closing rate was similar to WT ChR2 (Fig. 3g). In the future, it may be useful to add this mutation to ChromeQ to see if there is further reduction in calcium flux. As for mutants A111L and H134K, they showed significantly higher photocurrents than the WT (Fig. 3d, e; only steady-state for A111L, both peak and steady-state for H134K), but the channel closing rate was significantly slower than the WT (Fig. 3g). As for L132C and H134R, previous reports have shown that they have significantly slower channel closing rates (10,11), so if added to ChromeQ, mutations A111L, L132C, H134K, and H134R may reduce the performance in driving repetitive spikes in neurons.

Supplementary Experimental Procedures
In order to measure reversal potentials, liquid junction potentials were determined for each intracellular-extracellular solution pair as previously described (12). Using the intracellular solutions in the methods, the liquid junction potentials were 5.8 mV, and 4.9 mV, for 90mM CaCl$_2$ and 135 mM NMDG, respectively, and were corrected during recording; the others were < 1 mV in liquid junction potential. Reversal potential for each mutant was determined by first measuring photocurrents at holding potentials between -80 to + 80 mV in 20 mV steps to get a rough estimate, and then in 5 mV steps near the reversal potential, which allowed linear fits (typical $R^2 = 0.98$). Reversal potentials were calculated from equations for the linear fits in Excel (Microsoft). In order to calculate reversal potential for the steady-state photocurrents, photocurrents were averaged over the final 300 ms of a 1 s illumination. The standard deviations for the subtraction of two reversal potentials were calculated using the following formula:

$$\text{Var}[X - Y] = \text{Var}[X] + \text{Var}[Y]$$

In order to estimate permeability ratios, the reversal potential measurements were fitted to a modified Goldman-Hodgkin-Katz equation with a correction for divalent cations (13) as has been done previously for ChR2(1) using MATLAB.

**Supplementary Figures**

**Supplementary Figure 1.** Results from the residue map stage of the screen in Fig. 1a. (a) Histograms of extracted voltage measurements (normalized to wild-type ChR2), of wild-type ChR2 (red) and site-saturation mutation libraries (blue) of indicated amino acid positions ($n = 88-194$ HEK293FT cells each; histograms normalized to peak). Gray box highlights two amino acid positions (D253 and K257) whose mutants show undetectable light-driven cell depolarization. (b) Extracted voltage measurements (normalized to that of wild-type ChR2) for site-saturation mutation libraries of amino acid positions 2-299 ($n = 86 – 223$ cells each). Filled bars, residues with normalized mean > 1 and $P < 0.05$, Bonferroni corrected Kolmogorov-Smirnov (K-S) test against wild-type ChR2; open bars, residues with normalized mean < 1 or $P > 0.05$, Bonferroni corrected Kolmogorov-Smirnov (K-S) test against wild-type ChR2; gray highlighting, putative transmembrane domains estimated by alignment to a published crystal structure of a chimera between ChR1 and ChR2 (8). (c) Pre-Bonferroni corrected K-S test p-values for the site-saturation mutation libraries in (b).

**Supplementary Figure 2.** Reversal potential measurements for ChR2 mutants with improved ion selectivity. (a-c) Population data for reversal potentials, measured using whole-cell patch clamp in HEK cells in ion-specific extracellular solutions (see Methods for details), with peak (filled bars) and steady-state (open bars) reversal potentials, for wild-type ChR2 and the 10 ion selectivity mutants shown in Fig. 4d, e, for: sodium (E$_{\text{rev}}$ sodium) measured in 145 mM NaCl, pH 7.4 (a), calcium (E$_{\text{rev}}$ calcium) measured in 90 mM CaCl$_2$, pH 7.4 (b), and proton (E$_{\text{rev}}$ proton) measured in 135 mM NMDG, pH 6.4 (c) ($n = 3-6$ HEK293FT cells each). Plotted is mean and error bars representing standard error (S.E.). Dots indicate data from individual cells. (d-e) Population data for peak (filled bars) and steady-state (open bars) reversal potentials, relative to sodium reversal ($n = 3-6$ HEK293FT cells each), for: calcium
(E\textsubscript{rev\_calcium} – E\textsubscript{rev\_sodium}) (d), and proton (E\textsubscript{rev\_proton} – E\textsubscript{rev\_sodium}) (e). Illumination conditions: 470 nm, 1 s, 10 mW/mm\(^2\) in all panels. Indicated are peak (dashed lines) and steady-state (dotted lines) reversal potentials for wild-type ChR2. Statistics for all panels: *, \(P < 0.05\); **, \(P < 0.01\), ***, \(P < 0.001\), non-Bonferroni corrected t-test comparing mutant vs. wild-type.

**Supplementary Figure 3.** Correlation between calcium and proton selectivity in ChR2 mutants. (a, b) \(I\text{\_proton}/I\text{\_sodium}\) vs. \(I\text{\_calcium}/I\text{\_sodium}\) for both peak (a) and steady-state (b) photocurrents, for wild-type ChR2 and the 10 mutants with improved ion selectivity shown in Fig. 4d, e (n = 5-12 HEK293FT cells each). (c, d) E\text{\_rev\_proton} – E\text{\_rev\_sodium} vs. E\text{\_rev\_calcium} – E\text{\_rev\_sodium}, for both peak (c) and steady-state (d) photocurrents, for wild-type ChR2 and the 10 mutants in (a) and (b). Lines are linear regression fits.

**Supplementary Figure 4.** Photocurrent density of ChR2 mutants in ion-selective solutions. (a-c) Population data for peak (filled bars) and steady-state (open bars) photocurrent densities, measured using whole-cell patch clamp in HEK cells in ion-specific extracellular solutions (see Methods for details), for wild-type ChR2 and 10 mutants with improved ion selectivity shown in Fig. 4d, e, for: sodium (\(I\text{\_sodium}\)) measured in 145 mM NaCl, pH 7.4 (a), calcium (\(I\text{\_calcium}\)) measured in 90 mM CaCl\(_2\), pH 7.4 (b), and proton (\(I\text{\_proton}\)) measured in 135 mM NMDG, pH 6.4 (c) (n = 5-12 HEK293FT cells each). Plotted is mean and error bars representing S.E. Open circles indicate data from individual cells. Illumination conditions: 470 nm, 1 s, 10 mW/mm\(^2\) in all panels. Indicated are peak (dashed lines) and steady-state (dotted lines) photocurrent densities for wild-type ChR2. Statistics for all panels: *, \(P < 0.05\); **, \(P < 0.01\), ***, \(P < 0.001\), non-Bonferroni corrected t-test comparing mutant vs. wild-type.

**Supplementary Figure 5.** Ion selectivity of ChR2 mutant combinations assessed using photocurrent ratios. (a-c) Population data for peak (filled bars) and steady-state (open bars) photocurrent densities, measured using whole-cell patch clamp in HEK cells in ion-specific extracellular solutions (see Methods for details), for wild-type ChR2 and mutant combinations shown in Fig. 5a (n = 5-12 HEK293FT cells each), for: sodium (\(I\text{\_sodium}\)) measured in 145 mM NaCl, pH 7.4 (a), calcium (\(I\text{\_calcium}\)) measured in 90 mM CaCl\(_2\), pH 7.4 (b), and proton (\(I\text{\_proton}\)) measured in 135 mM NMDG, pH 6.4 (c). (d-e) Population data for peak (filled bars) and steady-state (open bars) photocurrent density ratios, measured using whole-cell patch clamp in HEK cells in ion-specific extracellular solutions, for wild-type ChR2 and mutant combinations shown in (a-c) (n = 5-12 HEK293FT cells each), for: calcium photocurrent (\(I\text{\_calcium}\)) divided by sodium photocurrent (\(I\text{\_sodium}\)) (d), and proton photocurrent (\(I\text{\_proton}\)) divided by sodium photocurrent (\(I\text{\_sodium}\)) (e). Illumination conditions: 470 nm, 1 s, 10 mW/mm\(^2\) in all panels. Plotted is mean and error bars representing S.E. Open circles indicate data from individual cells. Indicated are peak (dashed lines) and steady-state (dotted lines) photocurrent densities (panels a, b, and c) and photocurrent ratios (panels d and e) for wild-type ChR2. Statistics for all panels: *, \(P < 0.05\); **, \(P < 0.01\), ***, \(P < 0.001\), non-Bonferroni corrected t-test comparing mutant vs. wild-type.
**Supplementary Figure 6.** Reversal potential measurements for ChR2 mutant combinations. (a-c) Population data for peak (filled bars) and steady-state (open bars) reversal potentials, measured using whole-cell patch clamp in HEK cells in ion-specific extracellular solutions (see Methods for details), for wild-type ChR2 and mutant combinations shown in Fig. 5a, for: sodium (E<sub>rev_sodium</sub>) measured in 145 mM NaCl, pH 7.4 (a), calcium (E<sub>rev_calcium</sub>) measured in 90 mM CaCl<sub>2</sub>, pH 7.4 (b), and proton (E<sub>rev_proton</sub>) measured in 135 mM NMDG, pH 6.4 (c) (n = 3-8 HEK293FT cells each). (d-e) Population data for peak (filled bars) and steady-state (open bars) reversal potentials, relative to sodium reversal, for ChR2 mutant combinations shown in (a-c) (n = 3-8 HEK293FT cells each), for: calcium (E<sub>rev_calcium – E_rev_sodium</sub>) (d), and proton (E<sub>rev_proton – E_rev_sodium</sub>) (e). Illumination conditions: 470 nm, 1 s, 10 mW/mm<sup>2</sup> in all panels. Plotted is mean and error bars representing S.E. Open circles indicate data from individual cells. Indicated are peak (dashed lines) and steady-state (dotted lines) reversal potentials for wild-type ChR2. Statistics for all panels: *, P < 0.05; **, P < 0.01, ***, P < 0.001, non-Bonferroni corrected t-test comparing mutant vs. wild-type.

**Supplementary Figure 7.** Permeability ratios estimated from reversal potential measurements. (a-b) Estimated permeability ratios, calculated using a modified Goldman-Hodgkin-Katz equation (but see Supplementary Results for a discussion of why they may not be accurate), between calcium and sodium (P*<sub>calcium/P*</sub>sodium) (a), and between protons and sodium (P*<sub>proton/P*</sub>sodium) (b), for ChR2, and mutants thereof.

**Supplementary Figure 8.** Characterization of ChromeT and ChromeQ photocurrent density and potassium conductance. (a) Population data for peak (filled bars) and steady-state (open bars) photocurrent density measured in Tyrode’s solution divided by the total fluorescence (of fluorescent protein attached to the opsin) of each cell, of wild-type ChR2, ChromeT, and ChromeQ using 470 nm, 1 s illumination, 10 mW/mm<sup>2</sup> irradiance (n = 7-10 HEK293FT cells each). (b-c) Population data for peak (filled bars) and steady-state (open bars) potassium photocurrent properties measured using illumination conditions as in (a), including density measured in 145 mM KCl, pH 7.4 (b), as well as peak (filled bars) and steady-state (open bars) potassium photocurrent (I<sub>potassium</sub>) divided by sodium photocurrent (I<sub>sodium</sub>) (e), of wild-type ChR2, ChromeT, and ChromeQ (n = 6 HEK293FT cells each). Plotted is mean and error bars representing S.E. Open circles indicate data from individual cells. Statistics for all panels: *, P < 0.05; **, P < 0.01, ***, P < 0.001, non-Bonferroni corrected t-test comparing mutant vs. wild-type.

**Supplementary Figure 9.** Extended analysis to identify mutants with reduced calcium or proton flux, compared to extracted voltage measurement (EVM). (a) EVM (Fig. 3a) vs. Fura-2 ratio after illumination (Fig. 4bi). Indicated in blue are new variants found from comparing Fura-2 ratio/EVM (Bonferroni-corrected t-test comparing Fura-2 ratio/EVM to that of wild-type), indicated in red are mutations with reduced Fura-2 ratio identified from Fig. 4bi. (b) EVM (Fig. 3a) vs. SNARF-5F ratio after illumination (Fig. 4bii). Indicated in blue are new variants found from comparing SNARF-5F ratio/EVM (Bonferroni-corrected t-test comparing SNARF-5F ratio/EVM to that of wild-type).
corrected t-test comparing SNARF-5F ratio/EVM to that of wild-type), indicated in red are mutations with reduced proton flux identified in Fig. 4bii. Plotted is mean and error bars representing S.E.
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representative results from the residue map screen using voltage-sensitive FRET imaging, focused on identifying residues with potential for yielding interesting point mutations
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### Supplementary Table 1. Amino acid positions and mutations identified from the screen.

| amino acid positions identified from residue map stage | wild-type sequence | target mutation stage | target checking stage |
|------------------------------------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|
| 3                                                   | Y                 | -                     | -                    |
| 18                                                  | T                 | -                     | -                    |
| 19                                                  | N                 | -                     | -                    |
| 47                                                  | G                 | -                     | -                    |
| 60                                                  | A                 | -                     | -                    |
| 62                                                  | F                 | -                     | -                    |
| 71                                                  | A                 | S                     | S                    |
| 74                                                  | T                 | -                     | -                    |
| 75                                                  | W                 | -                     | -                    |
| 77                                                  | S                 | -                     | -                    |
| 84                                                  | I                 | L V                   | L V                  |
| 88                                                  | A                 | L S T V               | not found            |
| 90                                                  | E                 | A D F N P Q T         | A D N P Q            |
| 91                                                  | M                 | F I L S T             | F L                  |
| 93                                                  | K                 | -                     | -                    |
| 94                                                  | V                 | L T                   | L                    |
| 95                                                  | I                 | -                     | -                    |
| 98                                                  | F                 | A M R V               | M V                  |
| 99                                                  | F                 | A E M R T V           | A E R T V            |
| 100                                                 | F                 | not found             | -                    |
| 102                                                 | F                 | G L N P S T           | L N P S T            |
| 103                                                 | K                 | A G H I P R T W       | G P T W              |
| 111                                                 | A                 | C G H L S             | C G L S              |
| 112                                                 | T                 | D G N P R S W         | G N S                |
| 114                                                 | H                 | A G V                 | G V                  |
| 115                                                 | R                 | P S                   | P S                  |
| 117                                                 | Q                 | L P Y                 | L P Y                |
| 123                                                 | E                 | A                     | A                    |
| 132                                                 | L                 | A C G                 | C                    |
| 133                                                 | I                 | C L P                 | not found            |
| 134                                                 | H                 | K R                   | K R                  |
| 136                                                 | S                 | -                     | -                    |
| 151                                                 | G                 | -                     | -                    |
| 173                                                 | V                 | -                     | -                    |
| 181                                                 | G                 | -                     | -                    |
| 185                                                 | G                 | -                     | -                    |
| 187                                                 | N                 | not found             | -                    |
In order to prioritize residues to screen, we selected amino acid positions from the residue map stage with the highest improvement (A88, E90, M91, F98, F99, F102, K103, T112, H114, R115, Q117, H134, N187, T188, T202, K205, G206, Q210, T250; normalized mean > 1.5 from Supp. Fig. 1b) as well as those that were near the 1.5-fold changed residues (A71, I84, V94, F100, A111, E123, L132) to screen for target mutations. ‘-’ indicates that the amino acid position has not been selected according to this criteria. ‘not found’ indicates amino acid positions that have been screened but mutations with statistically significant improvement have not been identified.

**Supplementary Table 2. Layout of site-saturation libraries screened in the residue map stage.**

| Empty | Water |
|-------|-------|
| Wild-type | library 1 | library 2 | library 3 | library 11 |
| Wild-type | library 1 | library 2 | library 3 | library 11 |
| Wild-type | library 1 | library 2 | library 3 | library 11 |
| Wild-type | library 1 | library 2 | library 3 | library 11 |

| Water | Empty |
### Supplementary Table 3. Layout of mutants screened in the target mutation stage.

|        | Mutant 1 | Mutant 2 | Mutant 6 | Mutant 7 | Mutant 8 |
|--------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| Wild-type |          |          |          |          |          |
| Mutant 8 | Mutant 7 | Mutant 6 | ...      | Mutant 2 | Mutant 1 | Wild-type |
| Wild-type | Mutant 9 | Mutant 10 | Mutant 14 | Mutant 15 | Mutant 16 |          |
| Mutant 16 | Mutant 15 | Mutant 14 | ...      | Mutant 10 | Mutant 9 | Wild-type |
| Wild-type | Mutant 17 | Mutant 18 | Mutant 22 | Mutant 23 | Mutant 24 |          |
| Mutant 24 | Mutant 23 | Mutant 22 | ...      | Mutant 18 | Mutant 17 | Wild-type |

### Supplementary Table 4. Layout of mutants screened in the target checking stage.

|        | Mutant 1 | Mutant 2 | Mutant 6 | Mutant 7 | Mutant 8 |
|--------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| Wild-type |          |          |          |          |          |
| Mutant 8 | Mutant 7 | Mutant 6 | ...      | Mutant 2 | Mutant 1 | Wild-type |
| Wild-type | Mutant 1 | Mutant 2 | Mutant 6 | Mutant 7 | Mutant 8 |          |
| Mutant 8 | Mutant 7 | Mutant 6 | ...      | Mutant 2 | Mutant 1 | Wild-type |
|        |          |          |          |          |          | Empty    |

Empty
Supplementary Table 5. Composition of intracellular and extracellular solutions used to assess the ion selectivity of channelrhodopsins.

| Solution   | [Na] (mM) | [K] (mM) | [Ca] (mM) | [H] (mM) | pH   | Other (mM)                      |
|------------|-----------|----------|-----------|----------|------|--------------------------------|
| Intracellular | 0         | 140      | 0         | 5.10E-05 | 7.40 | 5 EGTA, 2 MgCl₂, 10 HEPES      |
| 145 mM NaCl  | 145       | 5        | 1         | 5.10E-05 | 7.40 | 10 HEPES, 5 glucose, 2 MgCl₂   |
| 145 mM KCl   | 0         | 145      | 1         | 5.10E-05 | 7.40 | 10 HEPES, 5 glucose, 2 MgCl₂   |
| 90 mM CaCl₂  | 0         | 5        | 90        | 5.10E-05 | 7.40 | 10 HEPES, 5 glucose, 2 MgCl₂   |
| 135 mM NMDG  | 5         | 5        | 1         | 5.10E-04 | 6.40 | 135 NMDG, 10 HEPES, 5 glucose, 2 MgCl₂ |