Carbohydrase inhibition and anti-cancerous and free radical scavenging properties along with DNA and protein protection ability of methanolic root extracts of *Rumex crispus*
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Abstract

The study elucidated carbohydrase inhibition, anti-cancerous, free radical scavenging properties and also investigated the DNA and protein protection abilities of methanolic root extract of *Rumex crispus* (RERC). For this purpose, pulverized roots of *Rumex crispus* was extracted in methanol (80% and absolute conc.) for 3 hrs for 60°C and filtered and evaporated with vacuum rotary evaporator. RERC showed high phenolic content (211 μg/GAE equivalent) and strong 2,2-diphenyl-1-picrylhydrazyl (DPPH) radical scavenging (IC50 = 42.86 (absolute methanol) and 36.91 μg/mL (80% methanolic extract)) and reduced power ability. Furthermore, RERC exhibited significant protective ability in H2O2/Fe3+/ascorbic acid-induced protein or DNA damage and percentage inhibition of the HT-29 cell growth rate following 80% methanolic RERC exposure at 400 μg/mL was observed to be highest (10.2% ± 1.03). Moreover, methanolic RERC inhibited α-glucosidase and amylase effectively and significantly (P < 0.05). Conclusively, RERC could be considered as potent carbohydrase inhibitor, anti-cancerous and anti-oxidant.

Key Words: Root of *Rumex crispus*, methanolic extract, antioxidative, carbohydrase inhibitor, anti-cancerous

Introduction

Oxidative stress has been implicated as one of prime concern in the pathogenesis of diabetes and cancer by damaging cells and their proteomes. Oxidative stress normally occurs due to the initiation of free radicals, which seeks stability through electron pairing with the proteins, lipids and DNA of cells leading to their damage [1]. Though, all living organisms, including animals and human beings, have innate antioxidant defense but this natural protective mechanism normally fail to perform well during the pathology. Therefore, dietary intake of antioxidant compound as a natural medicine becomes pertinent [2].

*Rumex crispus* (yellow or curled dock, sheep or french sorrel etc) is an wild herbaceous perennial weed plant, belongs to polygonacae (buckwheat family) and more than 200 species of which have been identified, distributed widely in the humid regions of northern hemisphere and vegetate mostly in the acid (silicate) [3]. Traditionally, its roots have been largely recommended by herbalists for range of skin diseases, icterus and GI tracts ailments in general [4]. However, reports about its fruits being used in dysentery and leaves as vegetables have been documented by Tyler [5]. *Rumex* isn’t readily consumed by domestic livestock [6], but when consumed accidently might cause dermatitis and gastric distress in ruminants upon its large consumption [7].

Regardless of its widespread use, there is a scarcity of chemical evidence to support any claim of therapeutic values for yellow dock, except for astringent and laxative properties [5]. Therefore, the root extracts of *Rumex crispus* (RERC) in absolute and 80% methanol was collected to study *in vitro* antioxidant activities and to add knowledge to the available literature about its direct relationship with other alternative properties like carbohydrase inhibition, anti-cancerous along with DNA and protein protective abilities.

Materials and Methods

Chemicals and reagents

All chemicals and reagents used in this study were purchased from Sigma (St. Louis, MO, USA) until or unless specified. 2-Thiobarbituric acid (TBA) was purchased from Alfa Aesar (Karlsruhe, Germany). All the chemicals and reagents were of analytical grade.
**Plant material and preparation of the extract**

The roots of *Rumex crispus* was purchased from Gangwon of Republic of Korea and roots were identified by herbalist (College of Biomedical and indentified and authenticated by Professor M. H. Wang (College of Biomedical Science, Kangwon National University). The roots of *Rumex crispus* (RERC) was chopped to small size of 0.5 cm long, dried in shade and powdered in mechanical grinder. The extraction of *Rumex crispus* were carried out using known standard procedure followed by Hu *et al.* [8]. In brief, two hundred grams of the pulverized roots were extracted with absolute and 80% methanol at 60°C for 3 hours and finally the extraction was dried under vacuum rotary evaporator. The positive controls and RERC were dissolved in the respective solvent to the concentration of 10 mg/mL as stocks.

**Determination of the total phenolic content and reducing power assay**

Total phenolic content in RERC was determined as described by Hu *et al.* [8]. Tannic acid (Tan) was used as the standard to create a calibration curve. The total phenolic content was expressed as mg Tan/g RERC.

The reducing power assay was determined according to the method of Hu *et al.* [9]. The absorbance of the finally incubated mixture of each sample was measured at 700 nm after mixing it with ferric chloride. α-Tocopherol and BHT were used as positive controls.

**DPPH radical-scavenging activity**

The free radical scavenging activity of RERC was determined by the DPPH test [10]. Tocopherol α and BHT were used as positive controls.

The capability to scavenge the DPPH radical was calculated using the following equation: I (%) = [1 - (Ai - Aj)/Ac] × 100. Ac is the absorbance of the DPPH solution without sample (0.5 mL DPPH solution + 0.5 mL of absolute or 80% methanol); Ai is the absorbance of the test sample mixed with DPPH solution (0.5 mL sample + 0.5 mL DPPH solution) and Aj is the absorbance of the sample without DPPH solution (0.5 mL sample + 0.5 mL of absolute or 80% methanol).

**Metal-chelating activity and superoxide radical scavenging assay**

The chelation of ferrous ions by RERC was estimated as described by Que *et al.* [11]. The absorbance of each sample was measured at 562 nm. EDTA at the concentration of 0.125 mg/mL was used as a positive control.

**α-Glucosidase and α-amylase inhibitory assay**

α-Glucosidase inhibitory activities were determined as the methodology described by McDougall *et al.* [13]. Release of p-nitrophenol was measured at 405 nm spectrophotometrically (SpectraMax Plus 384, Molecular Devices Corporation, Sunnyvale, CA, USA) 5 min after incubation with substrate. The assay was adopted from Ali *et al.* [14] and modified accordingly to suite microplate reading.

α-Amylase activity was determined as per the protocol of Ali *et al.* [14] with minor modification to suite microplate reading. Variation in the amylase activity was measured at 540 nm spectrophotometrically as above.

The percentage of enzyme inhibition in both the assays were calculated as (1-B/A) × 100 where A represents the absorbance of the control without test samples, and B represents the absorbance in the presence of test samples. All the tests were run in triplicates. The IC50 values were calculated by applying logarithmic regression analysis from the mean inhibitory values.

**Protein damage protection assay**

The effects of RERC on protein oxidation were carried out according the method described by Kizil *et al.* [15]. Interactions of the samples were done with BSA protein. Samples incubated with BSA protein was further analyzed by PAGE and the band intensity was estimated after following the staining and destaining protocols with image analysis software (Quantity One, Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA).

**DNA damage protection assay**

The effects of RERC on DNA (HEK 293) damage protection were carried out according the method described earlier by Qian *et al.* [16]. Briefly, different concentrations of 80% and absolute methanolic RERC (100, 250, 500 and 1,000 µg/mL) and 0.5 µg of DNA extracted from HEK 293 cells were mixed and incubated for 10 min at room temperature followed by the addition of 7 µL of Fenton’s reagent (3 µL of 30% H2O2, 2 µL of 5 mM FeSO4, and 2 µL of 50 mM PB). The resulting mixture (final volume 20 µL) was incubated for 30 min at 37°C. The DNA was electrophoresed on 1% agarose gels and visualized using ethidium bromide and a Mini BIS image analysis system (DNR Bio-Imaging Systems Ltd., Jerusalem, Israel). Densitometric analysis was done using image analysis software (Quantity One, Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA). The extent of DNA damage protection (%) was calculated by comparing the proportion of DNA with Fenton’s reagent to that without Fenton’s reagent.
**MTT (1-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-3,5-diphenylformazan) assay**

Cell apoptosis as an effective potential of the root extracts of *Rumex crispus* were evaluated on HT-29 human cancer cell line with a conventional MTT assay. At 48 h prior to culture termination, 20 µL of the MTT solution (4 mg/mL in a phosphate-buffered saline (PBS), pH 7.4) was added to each culture plates and the cells were remained in culture for additional for 4 h. Later, 200 µL of dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) was added for solubilization. Absorbance was measured at 550 nm using an enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) plate reader (Bio-Tek, Winooski, VT, USA).

**Statistical analysis**

All tests were carried out independently in triplicate (n = 3). The data was expressed as the mean ± standard derivation (SD). All analyses were performed using SPSS 7.5 (SPSS Institute, Cary, NC, USA); individual comparisons were made using Tukey’s multiple-range test, which was used to determine the differences between the means.

**Results**

**Total phenolic content and reducing power activity**

Yield % of 80% and absolute methanolic extracts were found to be 29.1 and 29.9 respectively. Amounts of phenolic compound were 175.3 mg/g and 211.2 mg/g in absolute methanolic and 80% methanolic root extracts of *Rumex crispus*. 80% methanolic extracts showed significantly (P < 0.05) higher reducing power activity as compared to absolute methanolic extract. However, effect on reducing power activity was increased with increasing concentrations (Fig. 1).

**Metal-chelation and superoxide radical scavenging activity**

Metal chelation activity of 80% methanolic RERC was found to significant (P < 0.05/0.01) at the concentration ranging 500-2,000 µg/mL as compared to absolute methanolic RERC. However, the values remained lower than EDTA. Similarly, superoxide radical scavenging activity of 80% methanolic RERC was also found to be highly significant (P < 0.01) and invariably in parallel to the positive control (Gallic acid) as compared to absolute methanolic RERC (Fig. 2).

**DPPH radical-scavenging and anti-cancerous activity**

DPPH radical scavenging activity of absolute and 80% methanolic RERC was highly significant (P < 0.01) as compared to Vit E and BHT (Table 1). However, 80% methanolic extract was observed to be better DPPH radical scavenger compared to absolute methanolic extract. On the other hand, RERC inhibited cell growth in a dose dependent manner as estimated by MTT assay (Fig. 3). % inhibition of HT-29 cell growth rate following absolute and 80% methanolic RERC exposure at 400 µg/mL was 40.1% ± 0.87 and 10.2% ± 1.03 respectively.

**Table 1.** DPPH free radical scavenging activity of absolute and 80% methanolic extract of roots of *Rumex crispus*

| Root extracts of Rumex crispus (RERC) | DPPH scavenging activity (IC50: µg/mL) |
|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Sample 1 80% Methanol                | 42.86 ± 0.02*                        |
| Sample 2 Absolute methanol           | 36.91 ± 0.04*                        |
| Positive control 1 α-Tocopherol (Vit E) | 13.84 ± 0.59*                      |
| Positive control 2 Butylated hydroxytoluene (BHT) | 9.84 ± 0.67*                        |

α-Tocopherol and BHT were used as positive control. Values in the same column were significantly different (P < 0.01).

![Fig. 1. Reducing power potential of absolute and 80% methanolic extracts of Rumex crispus roots. α-Tocopherol and BHT were used as positive controls. Each value was expressed as mean ± SD (n = 3), * (P < 0.05) representing significant values.](image)

![Fig. 2. Metal chelating activity (A) and superoxide radical scavenging activity (B) of absolute and 80% methanolic extracts of Rumex crispus roots. EDTA and galic acid was used as positive control. Each value was expressed as mean ± SD (n = 3), * P < 0.05 and ** P < 0.01 representing significant values.](image)
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**Fig. 3.** Cell apoptosis representation of human cancer cells (HT-29) under the influence of absolute and 80% methanolic extracts of *Rumex crispus* roots. (A): Phase contrast microscopic examination of cell apoptosis of human cancer cells (HT-29); 1) Control; 2) 80% methanolic extract; 3) Absolute methanolic extract. (B): Cell apoptosis % of human cancer cells (HT-29) at different concentration of root extracts of Rumex crispus in culture for 48 h. Cell viability was measured using an MTT assay. Data represent the mean ± SD of three independent experiments.

**Fig. 4.** Influence of absolute and 80% methanolic extracts of *Rumex crispus* roots. A: α-glucosidase (at 200 µg/mL), B: α-amylase (at 400 µg/mL concentration). Each value was expressed as mean ± SD (n = 3). *P < 0.05 and **P < 0.01 representing significant values.

**Fig. 5.** Protection of BSA oxidative damage by absolute and 80% methanolic extracts of *Rumex crispus*. The electrophoretic pattern of BSA and densitometric analysis of the corresponding band intensity has been depicted in all the graphs representing different concentration of the extracted samples i.e. A (100 µg/mL), B (500 µg/mL), C (1,000 µg/mL) and D (5,000 µg/mL). BSA was oxidised by Fenton system (Fe³⁺/H₂O₂/ascorbic acid). Each bar represents the mean ± SD of three different experiments. Means with different letters at a time differ significantly, *P < 0.05*. The values sharing common letters are not significantly different at *P < 0.05*. *P < 0.05 compared with Fe³⁺/H₂O₂/ascorbic acid treated group.*
**α-Glucosidase and α-amylase inhibitory activity**

Both absolute and 80% methanol RERC showed significant ($P < 0.01$) inhibition of α-glucosidase and α-amylase as compared to acarbose (positive control) (Fig. 4).

**Protein damage protection**

Absolute and 80% methanolic RERC protected the cellular proteome (BSA) significantly ($P < 0.05$) at the concentration higher than 500 μg/mL. However, the lower dosage was also seemed to be effective in protein protection (Fig. 5).

**DNA damage protection**

Absolute and 80% methanolic RERC protected the HEK 293 cellular DNA significantly ($P < 0.05$) at the concentration 100 μg/ml and more. However, the lower dosage was seemed to be effective in cellular DNA protection (Fig. 6).

**Discussion**

Presence of increased phenolic moieties in the absolute and 80% methanolic RERC shows their increased antioxidant property (Fig. 1). It has been documented that the potential to function as antioxidants by scavenging a superoxide anion, singlet oxygen, lipid peroxyl radicals and stabilizing free radicals involved in oxidative processes through hydrogenation with oxidizing species due to the presence of the conjugated ring structures and hydroxyl groups [17].

Polyphenolic nature of extracts of *Rumex crispus* seems to be responsible for the scavenging activity of the reactive oxygen species (ROS) which further makes it suitable candidate in protecting cellular proteins (Fig. 3). ROS are involved in the normal physiology of living organisms. They act as a messenger for signal transduction and also affect gene expression and are also actively involved in the pathogenesis of diseases such diabetes, cancer and neurodegenerative diseases [18]. Significant protective effect of cell proteins (BSA) by RERC as shown in our studies further confirmed that methanolic RERC’s are highly anti-oxidant which protects proteins from ROS attack. ROS
normally attacks the polypeptide backbone of proteins and lead to the formation of alkyl, alkoxy, and alkylperoxy radical intermediates, which set the stage for cleavage of the peptide bond via several means [18].

Oxidative DNA damage has been implicated in various degenerative diseases [19]. DNA damage by ROS can initiate carcinogenesis and lead to the pathogenesis of neurodegenerative diseases such as Parkinson’s and Alzheimer’s disease. Hydroxyl radicals are regarded as a DNA damaging agent which can significantly damage the DNA contents of the cells [20]. Though, both 80% methanolic and absolute methanolic RERC was found to be highly potential in DNA protection (HEK 293 cellular DNA) when compared with the positive control (Fig. 6). However, 80% methanolic RERC was found to be superior in protecting DNA damage over the other groups.

Alpha-glucosidase and amylase have been observed to be effectively inhibited by RERC in the present study (Fig. 4). Alpha-glucosidase and amylase are important enzymes responsible for the digestion of dietary carbohydrates in the gut. It has been reported previously that inhibitors of these enzymes could be used therapeutically in postprandial hyperglycemia [21]. Rumex crispus roots have been in the past demonstrated as broad-spectrum agent in diabetic disorders [21]. On the contrary, the reports demonstrated that α-amylase gets activated by plant extracts and intum may aggravate glucose production in vivo [14] as it has been claimed that α-amylase breaks starch into disaccharides that are acted upon by isomaltases, especially α-glucosidase to release glucose. Therefore, presence of potent α-glucosidase inhibitory activity in our study claims strongly that Rumex crispus roots have important in controlling the release of glucose [14].

Free radicals have usually been known as the major cause of oxidative damage of biological molecules, including coronary heart disease, aging, cancer and dementia. DPPH has been used to evaluate the free-radical scavenging capacity of antioxidants (Table. 1) [22]. The reducing power of a compound has also been associated with antioxidant activity (Fig. 1). The researchers have observed and demonstrated a direct correlation between antioxidant activities and reducing power of certain plant extracts [22]. Additionally, it has been reported that transition metals are involved in both initiation and propagation of oxygen free radicals in the organisms. Transition elements, such as iron and copper, are powerful catalysts of oxidation reactions because they contain one or more unpaired electrons that can participate in electron transfer reactions [23] (Fig. 2). Scavenging of superoxide radicals (considered as harmful to cellular components as a precursor of ROS) is one of the most important ways of clarifying the mechanism of antioxidant activity [24] (Fig. 2). These results indicated that RERC had a notable effect on scavenging of superoxide radicals.

The RERC induced HT-29 cell apoptosis in a dose-dependent manner (Fig. 5), but we did not know which apoptotic signal pathway was involved in this phenomenon. However, we believe that RERC certainly down-regulated the expression of certain transcriptional factors (p53, caspase 3, c-Myc, Bax) which have been demonstrated previously as a multifunctional transcription factor in the regulation of critical cellular processes such as proliferation, cell cycle arrest, DNA repair, and apoptosis [25].

Methanolic extracts of RERC might have also downregulated Active caspase 3, c-Myc, cyclin D, cyclin E and Bax as observed during the experiments on HT-29 cells [26].

Methanolic RERC are anti-diabetic, anticancerous, free radical scavengers and also have ability to protect DNA and protein contents of the proliferating cells. However, 80% methanolic RERC was observed to have better potential as therapeutic candidate than absolute methanolic RERC. Therefore, detailed chemical analyses to know the exact compounds of RERC which are responsible for these biological activities are underway by using HPLC or other advanced technologies.
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