IRXS J232953.9+062814: A DWARF NOVA WITH A 64 MINUTE ORBITAL PERIOD AND A CONSPICUOUS SECONDARY STAR
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ABSTRACT

We present spectroscopy and time series photometry of the newly discovered dwarf nova IRXS J232953.9+062814. Photometry in the superoutburst reveals a superhump with a period of 66.06(6) minutes. The low-state spectrum shows Balmer and He I emission on a blue continuum and, in addition, a rich absorption spectrum of type K4 ± 2. The absorption velocity is modulated sinusoidally at $P_{\text{orb}} = 64.176(5)$ minutes, with semiamplitude of $K = 348(4)$ km s$^{-1}$. The low-state light curve is double humped at this period and is phased as expected for ellipsoidal variations. The absorption strength does not vary appreciably around the orbit. The orbital period is shorter than any other cataclysmic variable save for a handful of helium-star systems and V485 Centauri (59 minutes). The secondary is much hotter than main-sequence stars of similar mass, but it is well matched by helium-enriched models, indicating that the secondary evolved from a more massive progenitor. A preliminary calculation in which a 1.2 $M_\odot$ star begins mass transfer near the end of hydrogen burning matches this system’s characteristics remarkably well.

Subject headings: binaries: close --- binaries: spectroscopic --- novae, cataclysmic variables --- stars: individual (IRXS J232953.9+062814) --- stars: variables: other

1. INTRODUCTION

Cataclysmic variables (CVs) are close binary systems in which a low-mass secondary transfers mass onto a white dwarf; Warner (1995) wrote an excellent monograph on CVs. Orbital angular momentum losses ($\dot{J}$) evidently drive CV evolution. As the orbit shrinks, the secondary star’s Roche critical lobe contracts, causing mass transfer. The Roche geometry tightly constrains the secondary star’s mass at a given orbital period, $P_{\text{orb}}$. Short-period systems have low-mass secondaries, so if the chemical composition is normal ($X \sim 0.7$), the secondary is faint and contributes negligibly to the visible-light spectrum (Fig. 4 of Patterson 2001). For normal compositions, the radius is expected to reach a minimum around 0.05 $R_\odot$, leading to a predicted period minimum around 70–75 minutes (the exact value being dependent on $\dot{J}$), with subsequent evolution driving the system to greater separations (Kolb & Baraffe 1999; Patterson 1998; Paczyński & Sienkiewicz 1981).

During outburst, short-period dwarf nova systems often show photometric oscillations (superhumps) at periods of a few percent longer than $P_{\text{orb}}$. The superhump frequency is thought to be the beat between $P_{\text{orb}}$ and a tidally driven precession of an eccentric disk. The fractional period excess of the superhump appears to be a measure of the mass ratio (Patterson 2001).

We recently observed IRXS J232953.9+062814 (hereafter RX 2329), a newly recognized dwarf nova system with $P_{\text{orb}}$ below the canonical minimum period for hydrogen-rich secondary stars. Our observations reveal an unexpectedly hot secondary star. We suggest that the secondary star has undergone substantial nuclear evolution, leading to an enhanced helium abundance.

2. OBSERVATIONS AND ANALYSIS

Our optical photography is from differential CCD measurements, mostly from the Center for Backyard Astrophysics (CBA) worldwide network of small telescopes (Skillman & Patterson 1993). Our spectroscopy is from the 2.4 m Hiltner telescope at the MDM Observatory on Kitt Peak. Details of the instrumental setup and reduction were as described in Thorstensen, Taylor, & Kemp (1998). We obtained a total of 77 exposures of 360 s each on 2001 Nov 20–22 and 24 UT, spanning 6.0 hr of hour angle.

We defer detailed discussion of the outburst photometry for a longer paper. Briefly, the superhump period during outburst was 0.04637(4) days, decreasing gradually over the next 10 days to around 0.0458 days.

For astrometry, we obtained several $I$-band images with the 1.3 m McGraw-Hill telescope at the MDM Observatory. We compared these with archival sky survey data from the USNO Flagstaff Station Image and Catalog Archive and found $\mu = 61 \pm 11$ mas yr$^{-1}$ at a position angle of 331° ± 10°. The International Celestial Reference System position at J2000.0, referred to USNO-A2.0 (Monet et al. 1996) and five Tycho-2 stars (Hog et al. 2000), was $\alpha = 23^h 29^m 54^s.22$, $\delta = +6^\circ 28' 11".8 \pm 0'.7$. 

\*Based in part on observations obtained at the MDM Observatory, operated by Dartmouth College, Columbia University, Ohio State University, and the University of Michigan.

\* Also at the Joint Astronomy Centre, University Park, 660 North A‘ohoku Place, Hilo, HI 96720.
Figure 1 shows the mean low-state spectrum. Broad Balmer emission is prominent, along with weaker emission from He I (Table 1). The Balmer lines show double peaks most of the time, separated by \(1000 \pm 100\) km s\(^{-1}\) when the peaks are most distinct. The continuum has \(F_{\nu} \propto \nu^{1.6}\), and the flux level indicates \(V = 16.2 \pm 0.3\) (estimated uncertainty). The spectrum also shows conspicuous absorption features that appear consistent with a late-type star.

We measured absorption velocities by cross-correlating our spectra against a rest-frame sum of several IAU velocity standards, using \texttt{xcsao} (Kurtz & Mink 1998). Although the absorption was nearly invisible in the individual spectra, the cross-correlation technique succeeded in all cases, giving typical formal errors of \(\sim 15\) km s\(^{-1}\). A least-squares period search of the absorption velocities (Thorstensen et al. 1996) showed a strong, unambiguous period near 64 minutes, and a sine fit (Fig. 2) gave the parameters in Table 2. H\(\alpha\) emission line velocities measured using a convolution method sensitive to the line wings (Schneider & Young 1980) gave noisier velocities (also in Fig. 2) but yielded the same period. Figure 3 shows a gray scale representation of the spectra with phase (Taylor & Thorstensen 1999). The absorption spectrum and its modulation are obvious, and the H\(\alpha\) emission line also shows an apparent s-wave.

Using library spectra from Pickles (1998), we estimate the secondary’s spectral type to be of K4 \(\pm 2\) subclass. Earlier spectral types do not show Ca I \(\lambda 6162\) as strongly as RX 2329, and later types begin to show molecular features that are not present here. Assuming that the secondary star’s absorption line strengths are similar to the library spectra, we estimate that the secondary star contributes 50\% \(\pm 20\%\) of the light near 5500 Å.

The bottom panel of Figure 2 shows phase-averaged white-light differential photometry from the CBA network. The double-peaked modulation is consistent with ellipsoidal variations, which are not unexpected since the secondary star contributes so strongly to the total light.

---

**TABLE 1**

| Feature | EW\(^a\) (Å) | Flux\(^b\) \((\times 10^{–15}\) ergs cm\(^{-2}\) s\(^{-1}\)) | FWHM\(^c\) (Å) |
|---------|---------------|-----------------------------------------------|----------------|
| H\(\gamma\) | 12 | 30 | 32 |
| He I \(\lambda 4471\) | 4 | 9 | 24 |
| He II \(\lambda 4686\) | 3 | 5 | 25 |
| H\(\beta\) | 18 | 29 | 32 |
| H\(\alpha\) | 25 | 26 | 41 |
| He I \(\lambda 6678\) | 7 | 7 | 49 |
| He I \(\lambda 7067\) | 7 | 6 | 54 |

\(^a\) Emission equivalent widths are counted as positive.

\(^b\) Absolute line fluxes are uncertain by a factor of about 2, but relative fluxes of strong lines are estimated as accurate to \(\sim 10\%\).

\(^c\) From Gaussian fits.

---

**3. INFERENCEs**

**Distance.**—The secondary spectrum and period constrain the distance. From Roche lobe arguments we infer \(R_2 = 0.12 \pm 0.03 \, R_\odot\) (see below), where the uncertainty is conservative since \(R_2\) scales as \(M_2^{1/3}\). K star surface brightnesses inferred from Table 3 of Beuermann, Baraffe, \& Hauschildt (1999) then imply that the secondary star has \(M_\nu = 10.4 \pm 0.7\). Our data give \(V = 17.0 \pm 0.5\) for the secondary star alone, where the uncertainty includes the flux calibration and the secondary star’s relative contribution. The distance then is 210 (+110, −70) pc. At the nominal distance, the transverse velocity is 61 km s\(^{-1}\). The quiescent disk at 210 pc has \(M_\nu \sim 10\), rising to \(M_\nu \sim 5\) in outburst, which agrees well with the \(M_\nu-P_\text{orb}\) relation of Warner (1987).

**Masses.**—There are no eclipses, so the inclination \(i\) is uncertain. The secondary’s velocity amplitude gives a mass function...
of 0.194(6) \(M_\odot\). This may be distorted by illumination effects, but we see no evidence of variation in the line features around the spectrum. The secondary is presumably at least 0.05 \(M_\odot\), which implies a white dwarf mass of \(M_f > 0.28 M_\odot\), not a particularly stringent constraint. In principle, the ellipsoidal variations could constrain \(i\), but this is sensitive to the assumed limb-darkening parameters (Bochkarov, Karitskaya, & Shakura 1979) and to the fractional contribution from the secondary. We tried measuring the emission lines with a range of convolution parameters (Shafter 1983) but found a consistent 0.05 cycle phase offset between the emission velocities and the expected phase of the white dwarf motion, so we do not use the emission lines to estimate the mass ratio.

The mass ratio can be estimated from the superhump period excess, \(\epsilon = (P_{\text{sh}} - P_{\text{orb}})/P_{\text{orb}}\), using the \(\epsilon-q\) relationship calibrated by Patterson (2001). At maximum light, \(\epsilon = 0.040\), implying \(q = M_f/M_1 = 0.185\), or \(M_2 = 0.13 M_\odot\) for a broadly typical \(M_1 = 0.7 M_\odot\). The Roche constraint implies that \(R_2/R_\odot = 0.245(M_f/M_\odot)^{1/3}\) at this period (Beuermann et al. 1998); combining this with \(q\) yields \(R_2 = 0.124(M_f/0.7 M_\odot)^{1/3}\). The illustrative system with \((M_1, M_2) = (0.7, 0.13) M_\odot\) would reproduce the observed mass function at \(i = 47^\circ\).

4. DISCUSSION

It is surprising to find such a hot secondary at this short period, where the secondary mass must be \(\sim 0.1 M_\odot\). No calculation beginning with a solar abundance secondary has produced periods this short (Kolb & Baraffe 1999), and hydrogen-rich stars of this small a mass would be much cooler than observed.

We suggest that the secondary is substantially enriched in helium, as a result of nuclear evolution prior to mass transfer. As shown by Baraffe & Kolb (2000), secondary donors that have evolved off the zero-age main sequence (ZAMS) at the onset of mass transfer can explain a substantial fraction of the observed CVs with late spectral types and \(P_{\text{orb}} > 6\) hr. Test calculations with constant mass transfer rates show that significantly evolved donors (e.g., with mass transfer starting near the end of central H burning) can be much hotter at a given \(P_{\text{orb}} \leq 5\) hr than ZAMS donors. This is illustrated in Figure 4, which displays sequences with initial donor mass \(M_f = 1.2 M_\odot\) and starting mass transfer on the ZAMS (solid line) or near the end of H burning (dashed line). As already noted by Baraffe & Kolb (2000), such extreme sequences never become fully convective because of the lower central H abundance and may continue to transfer mass in the 2–3 hr period gap. If the sequences do so, they can reach very low orbital periods with unusually high \(I_{\text{eff}}\).

For the test case shown in Figure 4, the evolved sequence (dashed line) reaches \(P_{\text{orb}} = 64\) minutes with a mass of \(M_f \sim 0.11 M_\odot\) and a radius of \(R_f \sim 0.13 R_\odot\), in close agreement with the estimates in § 3. We derive a spectral type K5, based on the empirical spectral type–(–) relation of Beuermann et al. (1998), again matching observation. We stress that our spectral type estimate is to be treated with caution, since the surface chemical composition is expected to be nonstandard, with a mass fraction of H \(\sim 30\%–40\%\). Because CNO-processed material should be visible on the surface, the model has C significantly depleted and N enriched by a factor of \(\sim 5\), whereas O is hardly affected. We stress that this evolutionary

![Image](image-url)

**Fig. 3**—Spectra rectified and shown as gray scale against phase. Lower panel: Gray scale is chosen to emphasize the absorption features; upper panel: gray scale is chosen to show details of the emission cores. All data are shown twice for continuity.

| Data Set          | \(T_e^c\)  | \(P\) (days) | \(K\) (km s\(^{-1}\)) | \(\gamma\) (km s\(^{-1}\)) | \(\sigma\) (km s\(^{-1}\)) |
|-------------------|------------|--------------|------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Absorption ....... | 2234.79927(8)| 0.044566(3)  | 348(4)                 | 4(3)                        | 15                          |
| Hα emission ......| 2234.8239(9)| 0.04458(4)   | 73(9)                  | −78(6)                      | 38                          |

\* Blue-to-red crossing: HJD - 2,450,000.

![Image](image-url)

**Fig. 4**.—Spectral type, effective temperature, and radius vs. orbital period. Two evolutionary sequences with initial mass donor \(M_f = 1.2 M_\odot\) and constant mass transfer \(M = 1.5 \times 10^{-9} M_\odot\) yr\(^{-1}\) are displayed. Solid curve corresponds to an initially unevolved donor, and dashed curve to an initially nuclearly evolved donor starting mass transfer near the end of central H-burning phase. In the \(P_{\text{orb}}-\text{spectral type diagram}, the location of RX 2329's secondary is indicated by a filled square. Other symbols (filled circles) are observations taken from Beuermann et al. (1998).
sequence is preliminary, but it provides a surprisingly good match to the observed properties of RX 2329.

The emission-line fluxes (Table 1) suggest that helium is enhanced. The ratio of Hα to He λ6678 is about 3.6. We measured the Hα/He λ6678 ratio in archival spectra of SU UMa stars (Thorstensen et al. 1996; Thorstensen & Taylor 1997; Thorstensen 1997) and found typical values of 8, with none below 6. This could be an excitation effect, but even high-excitation novalikes, such as V603 Aql (Patterson et al. 1997), have larger ratios. The 59 minute binary V485 Cen also appears to have an unusually low Hα/He λ6678 in the spectrum published by Augusteijn et al. (1996), but absorption features are not evident there. As noted earlier, the relative CNO abundances should be affected, but we cannot comment on this since CNO elements lack strong lines at this Teff.

In summary, RX 2329 is a CV in which the secondary evidently has undergone significant nuclear burning and then had much of its mass stripped away, since at its present mass, its nuclear evolution timescale greatly exceeds the Hubble time. If the white dwarf mass is similar to most CVs (∼0.7 M☉), much of the mass lost by the secondary appears to have been lost from the system. Evidently, the system formed with a secondary considerably more massive than the white dwarf. In principle, this leads to mass transfer on a thermal timescale, until M2 becomes small enough for the system to reappear as a standard CV (see Baraffe & Kolb 2000 for a discussion).

We gratefully acknowledge funding by the NSF (grant AST 99-87334), and we thank the MDM Observatory staff for their excellent support. Special thanks to the CBA observers who contributed to the photometry, including Dave Skillman, Arto Oksanen, Ed Beshore, and Tonny Vanmunster; a fuller report on their work is forthcoming. We made use of the USNOFS Image and Catalog Archive operated by the US Naval Observatory, Flagstaff Station.3
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We obtained seven more spectra with the 2.4 m telescope on 2002 January 21 UT. Combining the absorption velocities from these with the 2001 November data refines the orbital period to 0.0445671(2) days.