The Behavior of High Strength Self-Compacting Reinforced Concrete Corbels Strengthened with NSM Steel Bars
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Abstract—An experimental investigation conducted with studying the behavior high strength self-compacting reinforced concrete corbels strengthened with NSM steel bars. The experimental work involved testing ten corbel specimens. They are categorized into two groups with two values of shear span/depth ratio (a/d). Each group consists of five specimens, one considered as a control; others were strengthened with four different configurations of NSM steel bars. The load-deflection curves were traced, cracking and ultimate loads were recorded, crack width was measured and crack propagation up to failure was tracked. This research found that strengthening RC corbel with NSM steel bar system improved the failure load significantly by 57% and 41% for a/d of 0.85 and 1.25 respectively. The strengthening system named as "Upside down V-shaped" is more efficient for small values of a/d (a/d <1). The horizontal bars configuration is more convenient for large a/d values (a/d >1); with a/d greater than one, the general mode of failure is of Bond type. Also, it is found that the strengthening of specimens results in some elimination in ductility with a value depending on the strengthening configuration.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Corbels are defined as "short cantilevers that tend to act as simple trusses or deep beams, rather than beams" [ACI-318M-14]. They can be designed using Shear Friction Method for a/d up to unity and adopting Strut and Tie Model (STM) for a/d values less than two [ACI-318M-14]. They are commonly used in precast concrete industry. The primary role of corbels is to transfer heavy concentrated loads of precast beams and cranes to the supporting members (columns or walls). Such elements are cast monolithically with their supports. They are designed to resist high shear forces accompanied with relatively small bending moments. These elements host a zone of discontinuity and stress concentration. So, the assumption that sections remain plane after deformation is not valid yet. [1].

The modes of failure for corbels are characterized to be as "shearing along the interface between the column and the corbel, yielding of the tension tie, crushing or splitting of the compression strut, localized bearing or shearing failure under the loading plate" [ACI-318M-14]. Such modes of failure are shown in Fig. 1 [2].

Many experimental and theoretical studies were published to investigate the performance of reinforced concrete corbels, others concerned with the different strengthening techniques of such elements. In 1976, the behavior of reinforced concrete corbels under vertical and horizontal loads had been tested [3]. Aziz investigated the influence of crushed stone on the shear strength of reinforced concrete corbels [4]. The effect of longitudinal and transverse steel reinforcement, hooked steel fibers on the behavior of reinforced concrete corbel were studied [5]. They also studied retrofitting of these structural elements by the external wrapping of CFRP sheet. An experimental study of the behavior of high strength reinforced concrete corbels with and without fibers was studied [6]. In 2015, self-compacting of high and normal strength concrete corbels subjected to static and repeated loads were investigated [7]. Iliyas et al. examined concrete corbels in 2016 [8].

Corbels need to be strengthened or upgraded in some cases such as luck in design, excessive loading, and deterioration due to severe climate conditions. The most methods that have been suggested to improve the strength of corbels is the jacketing by either steel plate or CFRP sheets. In Steel plate jacketing method, holes need to be drilled. This may affect the corbel strength seriously. Whereas in CFRP jacketing, sheets may be delaminated. To overcome such problems, the near surface mounted (NSM) carbon bar technique has been recently proposed in several studies.

Yang et al. investigated the behavior of high-strength concrete experimentally (HSC) corbels with post-installed
T-headed bars as the central reinforcements [9]. Ahmad et al. investigated the shear response enhancement of HSC corbels strengthened with CFRP sheets [10]. Mohammed et al. studied the strengthening of RC corbels by precast ferrocement sheets [11]. Ivanova and Assih reported two experimental studies, one related with strengthening of reinforced concrete corbels by carbon fiber fabrics, other dealt with tracking the path of the cracks under static and dynamic loading [12]. Assih et al. 2015 studied the strengthening of damaged RC corbels by carbon fiber fabrics [13]. Yujie presented an experimental and analytical study of RC corbels strengthened with two systems, namely, CFRP sheets and (NSM) CFRP rods [14]. Urban and Krawczyk studied the influence of using post installed threaded rods as additional reinforcement on the shear behavior of reinforced concrete corbels [15].

From this brief literature review, it is evident that no study has been published about the strengthening of RC corbels, in general, by NSM steel bars. The present work adopts steel bar rather than the presently used CFRP rods in NSM strengthening technique of self-compacted high strength RC corbels, due to the high cost of CFRP bars and weakness in bending and compression. In contrast, the low price of steel bars, the good bendability and compression resistance. Several schemes of NSM steel bars have been suggested to upgrade the performance of reinforced concrete corbels.

II. MATERIALS AND METHOD

A. Materials

The concrete mix composed of Ordinary Portland Cement (Type I) manufactured by KAR Company, natural gravel of max size of 19mm as coarse aggregate, natural sand imparted from Al-Najaf zone, limestone powder as the filler and GILENIUM ®54 as superplasticizer. Clean tap water was used.

B. Method of Experimental Work

Ten high strength self-compacting corbels (HSSCC) with details shown in Fig. 2 have been tested experimentally in this work. They are categorized into two groups based on (effective span/depth) ratio. For each group, one specimen is considered as a control specimen whereas the four others are strengthened with the strengthening configurations shown in Fig. 3. Material properties of concrete, steel bar, and epoxy are detailed in Table 1. A list of designation for the tested specimens is given in Table 2.

When the specimens gained the required strength (28 days), grooves have been introduced within the concrete surface with dimensions of (15mm x15mm). A layer of epoxy has been laid. A steel bar is installed. Then, the groove is filled with epoxy. The test is done two weeks later to allow the epoxy to gain the required strength.
III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

A. Load Deflection Curves

The load-deflection curves for tested corbel are shown in Fig. 4. It is clear that a/d has a negligible effect within the initial stages of loading. However, this effect becomes more obvious with the progress of loading. It can also be concluded that the ductility increased with increasing a/d value (from 0.85-1.25).

Fig. 5 depicted the effect of strengthening scheme on the response of the tested corbels. It can be simply concluded that the specimens (CS14 and CS24) despite having different a/d values, yielded the most rigid behavior of the different configurations for the same group. In general, strengthening results in the elimination of ductility in value depending on the strengthening scheme.

B. Crack Patterns

Fig. 6 shows the distribution of cracks at load failure. It can be seen that a/d value is a dominant factor in determining the intensity of cracks, i.e., some crack number of cracks is recorded for a smaller value of a/d. Also, it can be recognized that localization of crack near the column for unstrengthened specimens compared with spread cracks for strengthened specimens.

C. Ultimate Load and Mode of Failure

The cracking load, ultimate load and mode of failure for each of the tested specimens are listed in Table 3. Concerning crack and ultimate loads, it is found that a/d has considerable influence on unstrengthened specimens. This effect is reduced with adopting strengthening, i.e., for the control corbels, adopting a/d of 1.25 rather than 0.85 results in a reduction in the crack and ultimate loads of 20% and 30% respectively. Whereas for strengthened specimens, the effect of a/d is ranged by (9-16) % and (23-38)% respectively.

It can be seen that the best enhancement in the ultimate load for the strengthened specimens is 57% and 41% for a/d of 0.85 and 1.25 respectively. Also, the results reveal that the “Upside down V-shaped” scheme is more efficient for small a/d values (a/d <1). Whereas, the configuration of installing horizontal bars is more convenient for large a/d values (a/d >1).

Regarding the mode of failure, a gradual transition from direct shear to diagonal shear for unstrengthened specimens when adopting higher values of a/d. For strengthened specimens with a/d of 0.85 different failure modes can be seen depending on the configuration of strengthening. While, the general mode of failure for the strengthened specimens with a/d of 1.25, it is found to be a bond failure.

D. Crack Width

Fig. 7 shows the effect of a/d on the rate of crack width development for the tested specimens. In general, the results reveal that increasing a/d results in more rapid crack development for a specific load.
Also, Figure depicted that the lowest recorded value of crack width is about 0.31 mm and 0.21 mm for a/d of 0.85 and 1.25 respectively when adopting upside down V-shaped configuration (strengthening steel bar in tension). The effect of the method of strengthening on crack width development for the two groups of specimens is shown in Fig. 8. It can be seen clearly that the best strengthening configuration in term of crack width development is that when the NSM steel bars be in tension (CS14 and CS42 corbels).
Fig. 6 Crack patterns at failure of tested corbels
| Group  | A (a/d = 0.85) | B (a/d = 1.25) |
|--------|----------------|---------------|
|        | Cracking load (kN) | Cracking load (kN) |
|        | 105 105 115 100 125 | 85 90 105 85 105 |
|        | Ultimate Load (kN) | Ultimate Load (kN) |
|        | 399 608 570 460 625 | 280 395 360 286 350 |
| % P_0  | - 52 43 15 57 | - 41 29 2 25 |

| Mode of failure | Group A | Group B |
|-----------------|---------|---------|
| Direct shear    | CC1     | CC2     |
| Crushing        | CS11    | CS21    |
| Diagonal shear  | CS12    | CS22    |
| Bond failure    | CS13    | CS23    |
| Diagonal shear  | CS14    | CS24    |
| Bond failure    | CS22    | CS23    |
| Diagonal shear  | CS21    | CS24    |
| Bond failure    | CS23    | CS24    |
| Bond failure    | CS23    | CS24    |
| Diagonal shear  | CS21    | CS24    |

*Po for Group A, **Po for Group B

Fig. 7 Crack width development for tested corbels
IV. CONCLUSIONS

Shear span/depth ratio (a/d) has a noticeable effect on RC corbels. Changing a/d from 1.25 to 0.85 results in a reduction in the crack and ultimate loads of 20% and 30% respectively. This effect is reduced when specimens are strengthened with NSM steel bar system, i.e., the effect of a/d is ranged by (9-16)% and (23-38)% respectively. The NSM steel bar system yielded a significant improvement in the ultimate load capacity of 57% and 41% for a/d of 0.85 and 1.25 respectively. Also, the results reveal that the "Upside down V-shaped" scheme is more efficient for small a/d values (a/d <1). Whereas, the configuration of installing horizontal bars is more convenient for large a/d values (a/d >1).

A gradual transition from direct shear to diagonal shear for unstrengthened specimens when adopting higher values of a/d. For strengthened specimens with a/d of 0.85 different failure modes can be seen depending on the configuration of strengthening. With a/d of 1.25, it is found that the general mode of failure, to be a bond failure. For unstrengthened specimens, a localization and smaller number of cracks near the column was recognized compared with the higher intensity of spread cracks for strengthened specimens.

Higher a/d value results in higher rate of crack widening for a specific load. Also, it is found that the best strengthening configuration in term of crack width development is that when the NSM steel bars be in tension (Upside down V-shaped). The ductility decreased with increasing a/d value (from 0.85-1.25). Also, the results revealed the strengthening leads to some elimination of ductility in value depending on the strengthening scheme.
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