Circulating cell clusters aggravate the hemorheological abnormalities in COVID-19
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ABSTRACT Microthrombi and circulating cell clusters are common microscopic findings in patients with coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) at different stages of the disease course, implying that they may function as the primary drivers in disease progression. Inspired by a recent flow imaging cytometry study of the blood samples from patients with COVID-19, we perform computational simulations to investigate the dynamics of different types of circulating cell clusters, namely white blood cell (WBC) clusters, platelet clusters, and red blood cell clusters, over a range of shear flows and quantify their impact on the viscosity of the blood. Our simulation results indicate that the increased level of fibrinogen in patients with COVID-19 can promote the formation of red blood cell clusters at relatively low shear rates, thereby elevating the blood viscosity, a mechanism that also leads to an increase in viscosity in other blood diseases, such as sickle cell disease and type 2 diabetes mellitus. We further discover that the presence of WBC clusters could also aggravate the abnormalities of local blood rheology. In particular, the extent of elevation of the local blood viscosity is enlarged as the size of the WBC clusters grows. On the other hand, the impact of platelet clusters on the local rheology is found to be negligible, which is likely due to the smaller size of the platelets. The difference in the impact of WBC and platelet clusters on local hemorheology provides a compelling explanation for the clinical finding that the number of WBC clusters is significantly correlated with thrombotic events in COVID-19 whereas platelet clusters are not. Overall, our study demonstrates that our computational models based on dissipative particle dynamics can serve as a powerful tool to conduct quantitative investigation of the mechanism causing the pathological alterations of hemorheology and explore their connections to the clinical manifestations in COVID-19.

INTRODUCTION

Coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) caused by the severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) virus continues to be a major global health concern. In addi-
particularly the peripheral deep vein vessels, which is manifested by acute limb ischemia, a common incident occurring to patients with COVID-19 in northern Italy (7). Moreover, a notable association between COVID-19 and acute myocardial infarction induced by coronary artery obstruction or rupture of a preexisting atherosclerotic plaque was also reported in many clinical studies (8–12). All three components of the Virchow triad (13), namely endothelial injury, blood stasis, and hypercoagulability, are likely to contribute to COVID-induced thrombosis. Direct invasion of endothelial cells by SARS-CoV-2 causes endothelial injury that may initiate thrombosis at different vital organs (14). Blood stasis may result from the immobilization of hospitalized patients (15). An aggressive viral infection also causes coagulation abnormalities, which is manifested as elevated circulating prothrombotic factors such as von Willebrand factor, factor VIII, fibrinogen, neutrophil extracellular traps, prothrombotic microparticles, and anionic phospholipids (16). Accumulating evidence from autopsies (17–21) has shown that these prothrombotic factors not only can trigger thrombosis at macroscale but also contribute to the formation of microvascular thrombi within vital organs, such as the lungs, liver, kidney, and heart, leading to microvascular dysfunction and multiorgan failure in patients with severe cases of COVID-19 (22). Emerging clinical studies have further shown that microthrombi are common microscopic findings in patients with COVID-19 at different stages of the disease course, implying that they may function as the primary drivers in disease progression (19,23).

In addition to the prothrombotic factors associated with Virchow triad, abnormal blood rheology has been connected with the increased risk of thrombotic events (24–26). A number of prior clinical studies have associated the altered hematocrit, the abnormal red blood cell (RBC) biomechanics, and enhanced RBC adhesion with both arterial thrombosis, which refers to blood clots formed in arteries, and venous thrombosis, a blood clot that develops in veins, in different RBC disorders, such as sickle cell disease (27), thalassemia (28), diabetes mellitus (29), and hemolytic anemias (30). However, there are few experimental investigations on the impact of COVID-19 infection on the blood rheology because fresh COVID-19 blood samples are limited to access due to the infectious nature of SARS-CoV-2. In a recent clinical study, Kubankova et al. (31) investigated the biomechanics of blood cells of patients with COVID-19 and reported that there is an increased heterogeneity of deformation and size in COVID-19 RBCs, although their mean values do not vary significantly from the RBCs of normal subjects. Subsequently, Nader et al. (32) discovered increased blood viscosity and RBC aggregation in patients with COVID-19, and their studies suggested that the increase in the viscosity is primarily driven by the RBC hyper-aggregation due to the increased level of fibrinogen. Recent clinical studies have discovered various types of circulating cell clusters (CCCs), like white blood cell (WBC) clusters, platelet-WBC clusters, and platelet-RBC aggregates, in the blood samples of patients with COVID-19 (33–36). These CCCs are thought to initiate the growth of thrombosis and may cause end-organ damage and thus have been associated with clinical outcomes of patients with COVID-19 (33). However, the underlying mechanism of how these CCCs alter the blood rheology and affect the microcirculation is still elusive.

Blood rheology is commonly assessed through rheometers that measure the rate of fluid flow by applying a force like in rotational rheometers or measure the force required to apply a specific flow rate, like in capillary viscometers (37). These viscosity measurement techniques usually face challenges of accurately measuring the blood viscosity at low shear rates due to the blood clotting and formation of a cell depletion layer next to wall leading to an exponentially decreasing apparent viscosity (38). In the last two decades, computational modeling of blood cells and blood flow has been widely employed to provide complementary information for experimental observations and offer insights for the biological processes that cannot be directly observed in clinical and experimental studies (see reviews (39–43)). Particularly, computational models have been used to predict blood viscosity under a broad range of shear rates for normal and diseased blood (44–48). It is worth mentioning that Fedosov et al. (44) presented a multiscale modeling technique on blood rheology that could model the shear-thinning behavior of the normal blood. Lei and Karniakidis (48) predicted the increased blood viscosity of sickle blood where the stiffness and morphologies of RBCs are altered due to the polymerization of sickle hemoglobin inside RBCs (49–52). Subsequently, Chang et al. (53) and Liu et al. (47) performed computational investigations of the abnormal blood rheology in diabetic and heated blood, respectively. In recent studies, Javadi et al. (45,46,54) elaborated on the connection between the rheology of RBC suspension and its microscopic properties such as structure or arrangement, cell viscoelastic properties, local dynamics, and intrinsic cell interactions (e.g., RBC aggregation) in hyperviscosity syndrome.

Although extensive studies have been conducted to quantify how changes in major biophysical factors like cell counts, stiffness, aggregation, and elevated serum viscosity can affect blood viscosity, the impact of CCCs has not been investigated in detail. Inspired by observations made using flow imaging cytometry in (33), herein, we perform computational simulations to investigate the dynamics of different types of CCCs under various shear flows, aiming to quantify their impact on the hemorheology. In addition, we evaluate critical shear rates that can cause the breakup of these CCCs to provide insights on the correlation between the hemodynamics and the formation of these CCCs as well as their adverse impact on the blood perfusion in microcirculation.
MATERIALS AND METHODS

Images of CCCs

Under Institutional Review Board approval (protocol #2020P001364), discarded whole-blood samples collected in EDTA vacutainer tubes were collected from patients presenting to the Massachusetts General Hospital (Boston, MA, USA) between July and August 2020. COVID-19 positivity was defined as having a positive SARS-CoV-2 PCR result. Blood samples were fixated with 4% paraformaldehyde, washed for removal of plasma, and stored at 4°C in phosphate-buffered saline. All samples were processed within 12 h of collection. Flow cytometry data, as shown in Fig. 1, illustrated the presence of different types of CCCs in the blood samples, such as RBC clusters, platelet clusters, WBC clusters, etc.

Simulation model and method

Numerous multiscale computational models for describing RBC biomechanics have been developed to simulate the biological processes associated with RBC physiology and pathology. The protein-level RBC models, such as in (59–64), are capable of investigating the pathological alterations of the biomechanics of diseased RBCs resulting from either protein defects or virus invasion (59–64). However, these models cannot be employed to simulate blood cell suspensions or blood flow due to the high computational cost. On the other hand, highly efficient cellular-level RBC and platelet models developed based on (65) have been widely used to study multiscale flow dynamics (68–71). Following our previous studies (45,46), we apply a cellular-level RBC model (72) developed based on dissipative particle dynamics (DPD) (73) to model blood suspension, including plasma, RBCs, platelets, and WBC clusters, were observed through imaging flow cytometry analysis of blood samples of COVID-19 patients. To see this figure in color, go online.

Blood cell model

The membrane of the blood cells, including RBCs, platelets, and WBCs, are modeled as a set of $N_c$ particles with the coordinates $X_i, i \in 1, ..., N_c$, in a two-dimensional triangulated network, as illustrated in Fig. 2. This elastic cell model was first proposed by Boey et al. (74) to study the deformation of RBCs under micropipette aspiration and then was integrated with different flow solvers, such as DPD (75), multiparticle collision dynamics (76,77), and lattice Boltzmann method (71,78) to simulate the dynamics of RBCs in microchannels. The free energy of each cell is defined as

$$V(x_i) = V_s + V_b + V_u + V_v,$$

where $V_i$ is the elastic energy and is defined by

$$V_s = \sum_{j=1}^{N_c} \left[ K_b T \ln \left( 1 - \cos \left( \theta_j - \theta_0 \right) \right) \right],$$

where $K_b$ is the bending constant, $\theta_j$ is the instantaneous angle between two adjacent triangles having the common edge $j$, and $\theta_0$ is the spontaneous angle. $V_s$ and $V_v$ define the area and volume conservation constraints, respectively, and they are given by

$$V_u = \sum_{j=1}^{N_c} \frac{K_p (A_j - A_0)^2}{2A_0} + \frac{K_s (A_{cell} - A_{cell}^{vol})^2}{2A_{cell}^{vol}}.$$

where $K_p$, $K_s$, and $K_v$ are the global area, local area, and volume constraint, respectively. $N_c$ is the number of triangles on the cell membrane. The terms $A_{cell}$ and $V_{cell}$ are the total instant area and volume of the cell, respectively.

Where $\bar{F}_{ij} = \frac{a_j}{r_{ij}} \bar{V}_{ij} - \bar{V}_i - \bar{V}_j$ and the parameters $a_j, \gamma$, and $\sigma$ are the conservative, dissipative, and random force coefficients where $\sigma^2 = 2\gamma k_BT$ ($k_B$ is the Boltzmann constant and $T$ is the temperature of the system). The weight function $\omega_k(r_{ij}) = (1 - r_{ij}/r_c)^k$, with $k = 1$ and $\omega_k = \omega_k$. The model parameters involved in Eqs. 1–3 are listed in Table S1.
while $A^0_t$ and $V^0_t$ are the desired total area and volume, respectively. All constants introduced here are parameterized based on a series of previous studies (79,80) and are summarized in Table S2.

### Adhesion model

Inspired by the flow cytometry imaging of blood samples of patients with COVID-19 (33), two different types of CCC models are devised, including WBC and platelet clusters. WBC clusters containing two, three, and four WBCs are examined. Platelet clusters containing four, five, and six platelets are simulated. Dynamical behavior and structural evolution of different blood cells are controlled by various biological and physiological factors such as presence of different proteins in the plasma. Nonetheless, since the lengthscale of these solutes is commonly much smaller compared with those of the cells, it is conventional to coarse grain their effects into an estimated effective interaction rather than explicit modeling of all constituents at all scales, which could be computationally prohibitive. Different surface interactions and effects can be summed into a singular interaction potential that closely mimics the microscopic forces and yields realistic dynamical behavior (81). To consider the adhesion between the cells inside clusters, we apply Morse potential between DPD particles on the blood cells, and it is expressed as

$$U_I(r) = D_r \left[ e^{2(\beta r_0 - r)} - 2e^{\beta(r_0 - r)} \right],$$

where $r$ denotes the distance between two particles, $D_r$ is the depth of the potential well, $\beta$ denotes the interaction range, and $r_0$ represents the zero distance. The Morse potential parameters used for cell-cell interactions are listed in Table S3. Following our previous study (82), when modeling the adhesion between RBCs, the adhesive force is applied to 10%, 50%, and 90% of the particles (“interactive vertices”) on each RBC to characterize the impact of the fibrinogen concentration of 4, 6, and 8 mg/mL, respectively, in the whole blood. In the case of WBCs and platelets, the adhesive force is applied to all particles on the cells.

### Computational rheometry

Given individual particle velocities and each of the pairwise interactions between particles, the stress tensor, $S$, can be calculated through the Irving-Kirkwood formalism (83–85).

$$S = -\frac{1}{V} \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{N} m_i (v_i - u(r_i)) \otimes (v_i - u(r_i)) \right\} + \sum_{j>i}^{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} r_{ij} \otimes F_{ij},$$

where $V$ is the volume of the entire fluid, $N$ is the total number of atoms in the system, $v_i$ is the velocity of the $i$-th atom, $u(r_i)$ is the streaming velocity of the $i$-th atom imposed by the shear flow, $m_i$ represents for mass of the $i$-th atom, $\otimes$ is the dyadic product of the two vectors, and $F_{ij}$ is the force between the $i$-th atom and the $j$-th atom.

### Simulation setup

As shown in Fig. 3, all simulations are performed in a rectangular box with a size of 50 $\mu$m in each $x$, $y$, and $z$ direction. Two flat planes with a thickness of 2 $\mu$m are placed in the $y$ direction, and they apply shear to the blood suspension by moving in opposite directions at a constant velocity, which can be tuned to achieve different shear rates. A no-slip boundary condition is implemented on the wall, while periodic boundary conditions are used in the $x$ and $z$ directions. Clusters of WBCs and platelets with three different sizes are randomly placed in the system. RBCs are also filled into the system, and the number of RBCs depends on the selected hematocrit (Ht). Plasma particles are not visualized in the figure for clarity.

### Data availability

All simulation data reported in the paper are generated through LAMMPS code [https://github.com/AnselGitAccount/USERMESO-2.0-mdp], and the studied cases can be accessed through [https://github.com/procf/Covid-paper_input-files].

### RESULTS

In this section, we investigate the impact of different types of CCCs, namely RBC, WBC, and platelet clusters, on the rheology of the blood. First, we simulate the dynamics of CCCs under a range of shear rates that correspond to the physiological values in the microvasculature and veins and measure the viscosity. Then, we identify the critical shear rates that cause the breakup of different types of CCCs. Furthermore, we examine the effects of strength of cell adhesion inside CCCs and the decreased deformability of RBCs on blood viscosity and CCCs splitting.

### Dynamics of RBC clusters under shear flow

Blood is a non-Newtonian fluid with shear-thinning properties, which is mainly attributed to rheological properties of RBCs: their deformation under large shear rates and aggregation at low shear rates. In particular, the adhesion between RBCs contributes to the formation of rouleaux, a stack of RBCs clustering into a chain-like structure, at low shear rates. Fibrinogen, a key protein in plasma for blood clotting, plays a vital role in the aggregation of blood cells and the ensuing rouleaux formation (86). A recent microfluidic study (82) has demonstrated that an increased level of fibrinogen in the blood samples of patients with diabetes leads to increased size of the RBC rouleaux. Recent clinical studies have reported that patients with COVID-19 experience a drastic elevation in the level of fibrinogen in their blood.

![FIGURE 3 Computational modeling of RBC adhesion and aggregation.](image-url)
(87–90), which likely leads to excessive rouleaux formation under low shear flow in circulation. Furthermore, a new experimental study showed that RBCs from patients with COVID-19 are featured with increased cell stiffness that is 20% higher than the normal subjects (31).

Motivated by these clinical findings, we perform computational simulations to study how the enhanced RBC aggregation and increased RBC stiffness affect blood viscosity. We will test their impact at Ht = 10%, 35%, and 45%, respectively. The steady shear relative viscosity (divided by the plasma viscosity) of cell suspension over a range of shear rates 0.1–1000 s⁻¹ is computed. As shown in Fig. 4a, the viscosities at different shear rates computed from our computational model for normal blood at Ht = 45% is consistent with experimental measurements by Chien et al. (91). The blood viscosity decreases as the Ht is reduced. These results are consistent with our previous work in (45). Additionally, for the case of Ht = 10%, we compute the viscosity in a simulation domain with a size of 100 × 100 × 100 μm³, eight times larger than the control one (50 × 50 × 50 μm³). As shown in Fig. 4a, the computed viscosities from the larger domain are consistent with those calculated from a smaller system, demonstrating the size-independence of our simulation results. See Fig. S1 for the simulation setup of the larger system.

Next, we investigate how the increased fibrinogen contributes to the abnormal hemorheology in COVID-19. Guided by the laboratory studies in (87–90), we compute the blood viscosity with three different fibrinogen concentrations of c_f = 4, 6, and 8 mg/mL under the shear rates of 0.1–1000 s⁻¹. To exclude the impact of Ht on elevating the viscosity, the simulation results are normalized by the viscosity of RBC suspension with the same Ht. As shown in Fig. 4b, the increased fibrinogen concentrations could result in up to ~fivefold elevation of the blood viscosity at relative low shear rates due to the RBC agglutination. Particularly, Fig. 3b and c illustrate that the size of the RBC cluster increases at a lower shear rate, consistent with findings from prior studies (44,82). Furthermore, we find that the extent of the increase in the viscosity is more pronounced at a higher Ht. On the other hand, when the shear rate is relatively high (>10 s⁻¹), the aggregations break down, and RBCs are dispersed in the system (see Fig. 3a). As a result, RBC adhesion induced by increased fibrinogen concentrations shows no impact on the viscosity of the blood.

Guided by the experimental findings of the RBC biomechanics in COVID-19 in (31), we then increase the rigidity of the RBC model by 20% to examine its effects on blood rheology. Again, the results are normalized by viscosity with the same Ht for normal blood to exclude the impact of Ht. Our results in Fig. 4c show that RBCs with increased rigidity cause an overall increase in blood viscosity, particularly at higher shear rates and larger Ht, but the increases (maximum ~30%) are much smaller than those resulting from the RBC adhesion. Then, we further increase the rigidity of the RBCs by 10-fold, which is comparable to the stiffness of some deoxygenated sickle RBCs in sickle cell disease. Fig. 4c shows that the increased stiffness of RBCs could lead to an elevation of blood viscosity by as much as 80%, in agreement with prior experimental and computational studies (40,92). We note that an increase in RBC rigidity has stronger impact on boosting blood viscosity at higher shear rates where the RBC deformation plays a more dominant role, while at very low shear rates, the
dynamics of blood flow and the blood rheology are dictated by the interaction between RBCs.

Dynamics of WBC and platelet clusters under shear

Inspired by the flow cytometry images illustrated in Fig. 1, in this section, we simulate the dynamics of WBC clusters containing two, three, and four WBCs under shear flows with shear rates ranging from 0.1 to 1000 s\(^{-1}\) as shown in Fig. 5. The computed viscosities for blood containing different sizes of WBC clusters are summarized in Fig. 6, and they are normalized by viscosity with the same Ht for normal blood without WBCs to exclude the impact of Ht. Fig. 6a shows that formation of a WBC cluster could boost the local viscosity of the blood, and the impact of WBCs becomes more pronounced as the number of WBCs inside the clusters and Ht are increased. Next, we examine the impact of increasing the adhesion force between WBCs in CCCs on the blood viscosity. Our results in Fig. 6b show that when the adhesive forces between WBCs are increased to five times larger than the control case, the maximum increase of the viscosity is less than 5%, a much lesser extent than the impact of employing adhesion between RBCs.

Next, we focus on identifying the critical shear rates that can lead to breakup of different WBC clusters. As shown in Fig. 7, the critical shear rate that leads to the splitting of a cluster containing two WBCs is found to be 20 s\(^{-1}\), whereas for clusters consisting of three and four WBCs, a range of shear rates is detected. For instance, one of the three WBCs in the cluster first detaches from the whole cluster at shear rate of 16 s\(^{-1}\), and then the remaining two WBCs break down into single cells at shear rate of 20 s\(^{-1}\) (see Fig. 7b). The same range of critical shear rates is also discovered in the case of a cluster containing four WBCs, where the four WBCs first break into two clusters containing two WBCs for each, and then at a critical shear rate of 20 s\(^{-1}\), all four cells are dispersed in the simulation (see Fig. 7c). We further examine these critical shear rates at different levels of Ht and extents of RBC adhesion. Our simulation results show that the critical shear rates causing the breakup of WBC clusters do not change with either the increase in Ht or the enhanced adhesion between RBCs. Next, we compute the critical shear rates when the adhesive force between CCCs is increased due to an elevated fibrinogen concentration in the system. Our results in Fig. 6b show that when the adhesive force between CCCs is increased to two and five times larger than the control case, the critical shear rate is increased to 30–35 and 85–90 s\(^{-1}\), respectively.

Next, we investigate the dynamics of platelet clusters under shear flow with shear rates ranging from 0.1 to 1000 s\(^{-1}\). As illustrated in Fig. 8, platelet clusters that are composed of four, five, and six platelets are examined, respectively. Our simulation results in Fig. 6a show that the impact of these platelet clusters on the viscosity of the blood is negligible with a maximum increase of 1% obtained in the case of a six platelet aggregates inside blood suspension with Ht = 45%, which is likely due to the smaller size of the platelets. Fig. 6b further shows that an increase in the adhesive force between the platelets does not elevate the viscosity. On the other hand, the critical shear rate that causes the breakup of these platelet clusters ranges from 10 to 15 s\(^{-1}\). Fig. 9a–c illustrate that as the shear rate increases, these platelet clusters first are split into smaller clusters and then further separate into individual cells that are dispersed in the system. Similar to the cases of RBC and WBC clusters, an increase in the adhesion force between platelets by two and five times raises the ranges of critical shear rates to 20–25 and 40–45 s\(^{-1}\), respectively (Fig. 6b). We also note that critical shear rates are largely dependent on the adhesion between platelets, and they do not vary with the increasing Ht or the rigidity of RBCs.

DISCUSSION AND SUMMARY

Prominent clinical evidence has demonstrated that COVID-19 could result in a prothrombotic state, which is manifested as venous thrombosis and arterial thrombosis as well as microvascular thrombosis, all of which lead to a negative prognosis. However, the underlying mechanism causing this multiscale thrombus formation is still elusive. Clinical studies have shown that COVID-19-associated hyperviscosity, induced by increased levels of plasma viscosity, could be linked to frequent occurrence of thrombophilia on patients with COVID-19 (32,93). Recent clinical data reported by Choi et al. (94) further demonstrated a significant association between the increased whole-blood viscosity of patients with COVID-19 and higher mortality. This finding implies that in addition to the increased plasma viscosity, the aberrant interaction between blood cells, e.g., increased aggregation of RBCs due to the elevated concentration of fibrinogen in the plasma, could also contribute to the COVID-19-associated hyperviscosity and lead to negative clinical outcomes. In particular, emerging clinical observations of different phenotypes of CCCs, which may block...
small blood vessels or act as a nidus for triggering the thrombus formation in circulation (33–36), provided a possible explanation for the ubiquitous prothrombotic state experienced by patients who developed severe COVID-19 symptoms. A recent computational study (95) illustrates that these CCCs could result from an interaction between existing microthrombi and flowing blood cells. For example, a flowing WBC under a strong blood flow could first attach and then detach from a growing platelet aggregate, forming flowing WBC-platelet clusters, while the interaction between a flowing WBC and an adhered WBC leads to formation of a flowing WBC cluster. These observations offer a possible mechanism for the formation of CCCs in the blood of patients with COVID-19 (22,33). However, the adverse effects of these CCCs in the microvasculature have not been systematically investigated.

In the current study, we perform predictive modeling using cellular level blood cell models to explore the impact of different types of CCCs, such as WBC clusters, platelet clusters, and RBC clusters, on the rheology of the blood. First, our simulation results indicate that the increased level of fibrinogen in patients with COVID-19 can promote the formation of RBC clusters at low shear rates, thereby elevating the blood viscosity, a mechanism that also causes the elevation of viscosity in other blood diseases, such as sickle cell disease and type 2 diabetes mellitus. Next, we compute the viscosity of the blood suspension at different Ht in the presence of WBC clusters that are composed of two, three, and four WBCs. We find that the presence of WBC clusters boosts the local viscosity of the blood. In particular, elevation of the viscosity becomes more pronounced when the number of cells inside the clusters is increased. We note that although the increased viscosity in our simulation mainly results from the greater size and larger stiffness of WBCs, making WBCs more resistant to deformation under shear flow, the WBC clusters could also boost the local viscosity by hindering the blood flow through obstructing motion of other cells in microcirculation. Moreover, we introduce platelet clusters, which are also commonly detected in the blood of patients with COVID-19, into the blood suspension and compute the resulting blood viscosity. Our results indicate that the platelet
clusters do not contribute to the elevated blood viscosity due to the smaller size of the clusters. Furthermore, we assess the critical shear rates that are able to cause the disintegration of these CCCs, and our results show that a larger shear rate is required to break up the WBC cluster than the platelet and RBC clusters. We also find that the critical shear rate could increase from $\sim 20$ to $\sim 90 \text{ s}^{-1}$ as the adhesion between the cells inside the clusters is enhanced. All these findings imply that while the formation of CCCs has an adverse impact on the microcirculation by altering the blood rheology, WBC clusters are likely to play a more important role in elevating the local blood viscosity. Thus, antiadhesion agents that could prevent the activation and adhesion between WBCs should be considered to improve the microcirculation of patients with COVID-19.

We note that in this study we mainly focus on the biophysical role of WBCs in precipitating the local blood rheology within the microvasculature without considering their biochemical contribution to promoting thrombus formation. Viral invasion could lead to elevated levels of proinflammatory cytokines such as interleukin 6, which can stimulate WBCs (such as monocytes) to synthesize tissue factor (TF) (96), a key mediator for the inflammation-induced coagulation (97), and release TF-positive microparticles that contain adhesion molecule P-selectin glycoprotein ligand-1 (98). These TF-rich microparticles can bind to activated platelets, polymorphonuclear cells (such as neutrophils and eosinophils), and endothelial cells through the counter receptor P-selectin, resulting in activation and expression of TF on these cells (99). Other cytokines, such as tumor necrosis factor-α and interleukin 1, could also induce TF expression on the surfaces of WBCs and endothelial cells (100). The detailed mechanism that causes the formation of CCCs is still elusive. The formation of the RBC clusters could result from the increased level of fibrinogen in patients with COVID-19 as reported in many clinical studies (87–89), a similar mechanism to the formation of rouleaux in the diabetic blood. The adhesion between WBCs and RBCs as well as between platelets could be caused by neutrophil extracellular traps, which are released from the activated neutrophils. As there are no experimental data available for quantifying the adhesive forces between blood cells inside CCCs in COVID-19, we employed the Morse potential between different blood cells, and the parameters of the potential are calibrated based on the dynamics of rouleaux formation and breakup measured in diabetic blood (82).

In summary, we employ particle-based computational blood cell models to simulate blood suspension over a wide range of physiologically relevant shear rates. The simulation results in the current study improve our understanding of the adverse impact of CCCs in the blood rheology, thereby providing insights into exploring new therapeutic approaches for treating patients with COVID-19. Our study demonstrates that computational modeling can serve as a powerful tool for investigating the pathological alterations of biorheology of blood and their connections to clinical manifestations in infectious diseases, such
as COVID-19, where patients’ fresh blood samples are limited for in vitro experimental investigations.
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