Clinical characteristics and spectrum of NF1 mutations in 12 unrelated Chinese families with neurofibromatosis type 1
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Abstract

Background: Neurofibromatosis type 1 (NF1) is a common autosomal dominant disorder caused by a heterozygous germline mutation in the tumor suppressor gene NF1. Because of the existence of highly homologous pseudogenes, the large size of the gene, and the heterogeneity of mutation types and positions, the detection of variations in NF1 is more difficult than that for an ordinary gene.

Methods: In this study, we collected samples from 23 patients among 46 study participants from 12 unrelated Chinese families with NF1. We used a combination of Sanger sequencing, targeted next-generation sequencing, and multiplex ligation-dependent probe amplification to identify potential mutations of different types.

Results: Seven recurrent mutations and four novel mutations were identified with the aforementioned methods, which were subsequently confirmed by either restriction fragment length polymorphism analysis or Sanger sequencing. Truncating mutations accounted for 73% (8/11) of all mutations identified. We also exhaustively investigated the clinical manifestations of NF1 in patients via acquired pathography, photographs and follow-up. However, no clear genotype-phenotype correlation has been found to date.

Conclusion: In conclusion, the novel mutations identified broaden the spectrum of NF1 mutations in Chinese; however, obvious correlations between genotype and phenotype were not observed in this study.
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Moreover, approximately half of all NF1 cases are de novo mutations [6]. In addition, the large size of the \textit{NF1} gene, the existence of homologous pseudogenes dispersed on other chromosomes [7], the diversity of mutation types and positions, and the great variety of lesions make traditional mutation detection in patients with NF1 a complicated, time-consuming and laborious process [8]. With the superiority of being high throughput and its rapidity, the next-generation sequencing can make up for any deficiency in the single Sanger sequencing method to some extent. In addition, multiplex ligation-dependent probe amplification (MLPA) for the detection of copy number was incorporated in our methods. Hence, we adopted various approaches such as Sanger sequencing, targeted next-generation sequencing, and MLPA so as to overcome challenges in the detection of \textit{NF1} mutations in patients.

Despite several reports with regard to genotype–phenotype correlations in patients [9–11], the underlying causes of sophisticated clinical manifestations among patients have not yet been elucidated [12]. Nevertheless, the causes of polymorphisms in genotype–phenotype correlations may be assigned to modifier genes, gender, loss of heterozygosity (based on the two-hit hypothesis) [13], tumor microenvironment, and heterogeneity in the regulation of signaling pathways [3]. Consequently, it is of great significance to identify the causative mutation and assess the prognosis of NF1 patients, if genotype–phenotype correlations can be clarified, before the onset of symptoms.

In brief, we examined 12 non-consanguineous Chinese families from which patients were diagnosed with NF1. A molecular diagnosis and clinical characterization of NF1 patients were undertaken to identify causative mutations and evaluate any correlations between genotype and phenotype.

\section*{Methods}

\subsection*{Patients}

We studied 12 unrelated families with NF1 from different regions in China that included seven cases with positive family histories (Families 1–5 and 11–12; Fig. 1) and five sporadic cases (Families 6–10; Additional file 1: Figure S1), including 23 patients and 23 unaffected individuals. Peripheral blood samples of all 46 participants, as well as clinical data and photographs of patients, were obtained after written informed consent from all participants and from parents or legal guardians of children under the age of 18. Long-term follow-up was also performed with several contactable patients to evaluate progression of the disease. Age of patients was recorded at their last visit in this study. This study was approved by the Institutional Review Board (IRB) of the Institute of Basic Medical Sciences, Chinese Academy of Medical Sciences, Beijing, China (015–2015).

\subsection*{Sanger sequencing}

For earlier probands in Families 1–8 and other study participants in Families 4–12, the identification and verification of mutations were carried out with conventional Sanger sequencing. Genomic DNA was extracted by a traditional proteinase K and phenol/chloroform method. Genomic DNA and cDNA reference sequences of \textit{NF1} (hg19; NM_000267.3) were downloaded from
the University of California, Santa Cruz (UCSC) Genome Browser. Primers were designed via Primer Premier 5 (version 5.00; PREMIER Biosoft, Palo Alto, CA, USA) to amplify and sequence exons and flanking intronic regions of \( \text{NF1} \) (Additional file 1: Table S1). The specificity of the primers was checked using the UCSC Genome Browser BLAT and In-Silico PCR online tools. Sequencing data was analyzed using CodonCode Aligner (version 6.0.2.6; CodonCode, Centerville, MA, USA).

**Targeted next-generation sequencing**

The mutation identification of later probands in Families 9–12 was performed through targeted next-generation sequencing. A NimbleGen capture panel (Roche, Basel, Switzerland) was designed and assessed to detect potential variants in the probands. The capture panel comprised 10,308 bp that covered all exons together with flanking intronic regions (± 15 bp) of the \( \text{NF1} \) and \( \text{NF2} \) genes.

Genomic DNA was extracted using a QIAamp DNA Blood Midi Kit (QIAGEN, Hilden, Germany) in accordance with the manufacturer's instructions. Genomic DNA was then fragmented for the paired-end library (200–250 bp) using an ultrasonicator LE220 (Covaris, Woburn, MA, USA). The library was enriched through array hybridization at 47 °C for 64–72 h, with elution and post-capture amplification afterwards. The library was then inspected using a 2100 Bioanalyzer (Agilent, Santa Clara, CA, USA) and ABI StepOne (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) to estimate the size, concentration, and magnitude of the enrichment of the reads.

After the assessment of read quality, captured library sequencing was implemented on a HiSeq2500 System high-throughput sequencing system (Illumina, San Diego, CA, USA) for 90 cycles per read following the manufacturer’s instructions. Image analysis, error estimation and base calling were performed with Pipeline software (version 1.3.4; Illumina) to generate raw data.

**MLPA**

For the proband in whom a causative mutation was not identified by Sanger sequencing or targeted next-generation sequencing, \( \text{P081} \) (version C1) and \( \text{P082} \) (version C1) MLPA probemixes (MRC-Holland, Amsterdam, the Netherlands) were applied to detect copy number variation in conformity with the manufacturer’s instructions. Capillary electrophoresis results of MLPA samples were analyzed by Coffalyser.Net software (version 140,721.1958; MRC-Holland).

**Bioinformatics analysis**

The raw data from targeted next-generation sequencing was screened by filtering criteria to remove low-quality and contaminated reads [14]. Reads were then aligned to the human genome reference (hg19) by a Burrows Wheeler Aligner–backtrack software package [15]. The sequencing coverage and depth of the target region, single nucleotide variant (SNV) and indel calling, were analyzed after alignment. Software Short Oligonucleotide Analysis Package–snp (version 1.03; Beijing Genomics Institute, Beijing, China) [16] and Sequence Alignment/Map tools (version 1.4) [17] were used to detect SNVs and indels, respectively. After acquisition of the allele frequency from the UCSC Genome and ExAC Browsers database to eliminate the possibility of single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP), we consulted the HGMD and other references to study relevant reports about screened variants in all probands.

For missense variants, the online tools Polymorphism Phenotyping v2 (PolyPhen-2) [18], Scale-Invariant Feature Transform (SIFT) [19], and Mutation Taster [20] were utilized to predict the pathogenicity of each variant. Multiple sequence alignment and conservative analysis were performed by ClustalX software (version 2.1; Conway Institute, University College Dublin, Dublin, Republic of Ireland). The amino acid sequences of human neurofibromin (NP_000258.1) and that of 11 different vertebrates were obtained from the National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI) protein database (FASTA format). For frame shift variants (small deletions and single nucleotide duplication), DNAMAN (version 5.2.2; Lynnon Biosoft, San Ramon, CA, USA) was used to predict how the reading frame was interrupted and to calculate the number of nucleotides before a premature stop codon.

**Restriction fragment length polymorphism**

Restriction fragment length polymorphism (RFLP) was used, together with nested PCR and restriction endonuclease, to discriminate between genotypes of patients and that of unaffected individuals in Families 1–3 with larger pedigrees. In addition to the primers used for Sanger sequencing, nested PCR primers were designed to enhance the specificity of small DNA fragments or to introduce a mismatch nucleotide to create a new restriction site (Additional file 1: Table S1). Sequence differences between wild-type and mutant alleles resulted in the gain or loss of a restriction site that led to size differences between amplicons of different alleles after the restriction endonuclease reaction. The restriction endonucleases (New England Biolabs, Ipswich, MA, USA) \( \text{Taq}^\alpha \) (restriction site: T\|--CA), \( \text{Alu} \) I (restriction site: AG\|--CT), and \( \text{Sac} \) II (restriction site: CCGC--GG) were applied to Families 1, 2, and 3, respectively. Polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (PAGE) using an 8% neutral polyacrylamide gel was then performed to separate DNA fragments of different sizes. Electrophoresis conditions included 1 × TBE as electrophoresis buffer and a constant voltage of...
| Family number | Family history | Patient number | Pedigree number | Age | Gender | Number of café-au-lait spots | Largest diameter of café-au-lait spots | Number of NFs | Largest Diameter of NFs | Plexiform NFs | Axillary/inguinal Freckling | Location of Skin Lesions |
|---------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|-----|--------|-----------------------------|---------------------------------|--------------|-------------------------|--------------|---------------------------|-------------------------|
| 1             | Yes            | 1              | II-4           | 35–39 | Female | 7                           | 5.5 cm                         | 300–400      | 3.5 cm                  | Yes          | Yes                      | Trunk, Neck, Chin, Forehead |
| 2             | Yes            | 4              | II-5           | 25–29 | Male   | 13                          | 5.5 cm                         | 10–20        | 1.5 cm                  | No           | Yes                      | Trunk                                  |
| 3             | Yes            | II-3           | 50–54          | Male  | 9      | 6 cm                        | 300–400                         | Yes          | Yes                    | Trunk, Limbs, Neck, Chin |
| 4             | Yes            | II-2           | 25–29          | Female | 14     | 4.5 cm                      | 1000–2000                       | Yes          | Yes                    | Trunk, Lower Limbs |
| 5             | Yes            | II-2           | 45–49          | Female | 14     | 4 cm                        | 50–100                          | Yes          | Yes                    | Trunk, Lower Limbs, Neck |
| 6             | No             | I-1            | 45–49          | Male  | 6      | 5 cm                        | 200–300                         | Yes          | Yes                    | Trunk, Upper Limbs |
| 7             | No             | II-1           | 40–44          | Female | 14     | 7 cm                        | 50–100                          | Yes          | Yes                    | Trunk, Limbs |
| 8             | No             | II-1           | 40–44          | Female | 14     | 7 cm                        | 50–100                          | Yes          | Yes                    | Trunk, Limbs |
| 9             | No             | II-1           | 30–34          | Female | 9      | 7.5 cm                      | 200–300                         | Yes          | Yes                    | Trunk                                  |
| 10            | No             | II-1           | 30–34          | Female | 7      | 7.5 cm                      | 200–300                         | Yes          | Yes                    | Trunk, Neck |
| 11            | Yes            | II-1           | 25–29          | Female | 16     | 7 cm                        | 100–200                         | Yes          | Yes                    | Trunk, Neck |
| 12            | Yes            | II-1           | 25–29          | Female | 8      | 6.5 cm                      | 100–200                         | Yes          | Yes                    | Trunk, Limbs, Neck, Forehead |
| 13            | Yes            | II-1           | 55–59          | Male   | 6      | 7 cm                        | 200–300                         | Yes          | Yes                    | Trunk, Upper Limbs |

NFs: neurofibromas, N/A: not available
350 V for 3–5 h. Silver staining was used for the final step of the chromogenic reaction.

Results
Clinical manifestations
A general description of the clinical manifestations of 23 NF1 patients are listed in Table 1, with typical symptoms shown in Fig. 2. It is regrettable that on account of advanced age, geographical distances, or for personal reasons, the detailed clinical data and photographs of six patients (Patients 2, 6, 8, 9, 14 and 21) were not available except for their peripheral blood samples. Of the readily obtained clinical symptoms of the remaining 17 patients, café-au-lait spots were observed in all 17 patients and were found spotted in one or more skin regions immediately after birth in Patients 1, 5, 7, 11, 15, 16, 17, 19, 20 and 22. Axillary or inguinal freckling was the second most common phenotype that accounted for 94% (16/17) of cases. Additionally, 13 (76%) patients suffered from cutaneous neurofibromas, six (35%) of which were also found to have plexiform neurofibromas. In terms of the location of skin lesions, these were present on the trunk in all 17 (100%) patients, followed by limbs (upper and lower limbs) in 11 (65%), neck in seven (41%) and face (chin, forehead and cheek) in four (24%).

NF1 mutation Spectrum
We identified 11 different germline mutations in probands from the 12 families mentioned above; these were located in different exons across the gene (Fig. 3 and Table 2). In other words, a mutational hot spot was not detected according to our findings. Remarkably, the proportion of truncating mutations approached 73% (8/11), and was composed of four (36%) nonsense mutations (c.1246C>T, p.R416*; c.2062G>T, p.E688*; c.3826C>T, p.R1276*; c.6102C>A, p.C2034*), three (27%) small deletions (c.4802delT, p.L1601Cfs*2; c.5428delT, p.W1810Gfs*32; c.1754_1757delTAAC, p.T586Vfs*18), and one (9%) single nucleotide duplication (c.6791dupA, p.Y2264*). In addition, the remaining three (27%) variants were all missense mutations (c.5791T>C, p.W1931R; c.4469T>C, p.L1490P; c.1885G>A, p.G629R). For the proband in Family 12 (Patient 22) in whom a causative mutation was not identified by targeted next-generation sequencing, an
abnormal copy number was not detected in either Patient 22 or her father (Patient 23) using MLPA (Additional file 1: Figure S2).

Furthermore, the mutations we identified in Families 2 (c.4802delT, p.L1601Cfs*2), 3 (c.5428delT, p.W1810Gfs*32), 6 (c.2062G>T, p.E688*), and 10 (c.6102C>A, p.C2034*), respectively, as far as we know, have not been reported previously. It is noteworthy that the four novel mutations are all truncating mutations that are generally considered to introduce a premature stop codon in the reading frame.

Mutation verification in the families
For individuals in Families 1–3, a nested PCR–restriction endonuclease reaction–neutral PAGE method was adopted. All patients in the three families were found to carry the same mutation as that of the probands, and were heterozygotes for mutant alleles, while all unaffected individuals only had wild-type alleles (Fig. 4). Sanger sequencing was performed to verify mutations in individuals of Families 4–11. It turned out that other patients in the families all carried mutations identical to that of the probands, while unaffected individuals were

Table 2 NF1 mutations identified in this study

| Family Number | Mutation Position | Nucleotide Change | Amino Acid Change | Mutation Type | References |
|---------------|-------------------|-------------------|------------------|---------------|------------|
| 1             | Exon 11           | c.1246C>T         | p.R416*          | Nonsense      | Reported*  |
| 2             | Exon 36           | c.4802delT        | p.L1601Cfs*2     | Deletion      | Novel     |
| 3             | Exon 37           | c.5428delT        | p.W1810Gfs*32    | Deletion      | Novel     |
| 4             | Exon 38           | c.3826C>T         | p.R1276*         | Nonsense      | Reported   |
| 5             | Exon 39           | c.5791T>C         | p.W1931R         | Missense      | Reported   |
| 6             | Exon 18           | c.2062G>T         | p.E688*          | Nonsense      | Novel     |
| 7             | Exon 33           | c.4469T>C         | p.L1490P         | Missense      | Reported   |
| 8             | Exon 17           | c.1885G>A         | p.G629R          | Missense      | Reported   |
| 9             | Exon 16           | c.1754_1757delTAC | p.T5860fs*18     | Deletion      | Reported   |
| 10            | Exon 41           | c.6102C>A         | p.C2034*         | Nonsense      | Novel     |
| 11            | Exon 45           | c.6791dupA        | p.Y2264*         | Duplication   | Reported   |

*The mutation has been reported in the Human Gene Mutation Database (HGMD; Professional 2016.4)
all homozygotes for wild-type alleles (data available on request).

**Genotype–phenotype correlations**

Patients who had rather serious clinical manifestations (from Families 1, 3 and 9) were all heterozygotes for the truncating mutation. However, other patients with milder symptoms carried similar truncating or missense mutations. Furthermore, patients who carried the same mutation within the one family exhibited diverse clinical symptoms. For example, Patient III-3 in Family 2 (samples not available), whose father (II-5; Patient 4) merely manifested moderate symptoms, died of acute infantile spasm 10.5 months after birth as a complication of NF1, while her affected sister (III-4; Patient 5) fortunately survived. Moreover, the phenotype of Patient 1 was slightly more severe than that of her father (Patient 3).

**Discussion**

In this study, we utilized a synthetic method of Sanger sequencing, targeted next-generation sequencing, and MLPA to detect potential mutations in patients. We also investigated the clinical presentations of each patient with NF1 to elucidate the factors associated with the severity of the disease phenotype.

As a consequence, 11 different mutations scattered in different exons of NF1 were identified in 12 unrelated Chinese families with NF1, suggesting a positive detection rate of 92% (11/12). Though no mutational hot spot was discovered, our attention was drawn to the observation that about three quarters of the mutations identified were truncating mutations. Consequently, a truncated neurofibromin with a partial or absolute functional loss may be produced, or the protein may become degraded as a result of its abnormal termination, resulting in the inactivation of the negative regulatory protein. Therefore, the pathogenicity of the four novel truncating mutations that we identified is proverbially acknowledged because of a prematurely disrupted reading frame. Furthermore, we detected three different missense mutations that had been previously reported [21–26]. Nonetheless, multiple sequence alignment and in silico analysis were still performed to authenticate their pathogenicity (Additional file 1: Figure S3 and Table S2). Moreover, for Family 12 in whom a causative mutation was not found by either targeted next-generation sequencing or MLPA, we conjectured the existence of a deep intronic mutation.

Maruoka et al. [27] described a c.6853_6854insA (hg19; NM_001042492.2) NF1 mutation that was identical to the mutation we found in Family 11 (Table 2). However, we thought a description of c.6791dupA (hg19; NM_000267.3) rather than c.6790_6791insA may be more appropriate in keeping with the standard human sequence variant nomenclature of the Human Genome Sequence Variation Society (HGVS) [28] and Mutalyzer website (version 2.0.23; Leiden University Medical Center, Leiden, the Netherlands) [29]. Likewise, the amino acid change in the c.1754_1757delTAAC mutation in Family 9 (Table 2) should be depicted as p.T586Vfs*18 [30], instead of p.L585fs*18 [31].

According to our observations, clinical manifestations in patients who had the same mutation within a family, and the same patient at different stages of their life, may be highly discrepant. Additionally, with regard to the polymorphisms in genotype–phenotype correlations, possible causes may be modifier genes, gender, or heterogeneity in the regulation of signaling pathways, to name a few.
Alterable though the phenotype of NF1 may be, the progression of NF1 was ascribed to age and pregnancy according to a long-term follow-up of several contactable patients. In general, it was older patients who usually manifested severer symptoms, while prepubertal patients displayed a comparatively mild phenotype with only café-au-lait spots. For example, Patient 7’s symptoms gradually worsened in the form of an increase in the number and size of cutaneous neurofibromas during a 10-year observation period (from 2007 to 2017; Fig. 2). Patients 1, 7, 16, 20 and 22 recalled that cutaneous neurofibromas appeared and symptoms became worse in their adolescence. Furthermore, some females with NF1 (Patients 1, 8, 10 and 18) complained of a marked exacerbation of disease after pregnancy (Fig. 2), as also described by Griffiths et al. [32]. These observations indicated that a patient’s physical condition, particularly their hormone levels, played a vital role in the development of NF1.

Conclusions
Our research, via an integrated methodology, extends the NF1 mutation spectrum in the Chinese population. Although a comprehensive investigation of the clinical profiles of patients was undertaken, we rarely found correlations between genotype and phenotype in NF1. Nevertheless, we noticed during follow-up observation that age and hormone levels were associated with the severity of disease.
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Additional file 1: Figure S1. Pedigrees of families of sporadic cases. The arrows indicate the probands in each family. The asterisks denote that peripheral blood samples of individuals had been acquired. a-e: Pedigrees of Families 6–10. Figure S2. Multiplex ligation-dependent probe amplification (MLPA) results using P081 and P082 probes for patients in Family 12. a: MLPA results using P081 for Patient 22; b: MLPA results using P081 for Patient 23; c: MLPA results using P082 for Patient 22; d: MLPA results using P082 for Patient 23. Figure S3. Amino acid sequences of neurofibromin around missense mutations. Mutation sites are highlighted. a: The amino acid G629 and surrounding sequence; b: The amino acid L1490 and surrounding sequence; c: The amino acid W1931 and surrounding sequence. Table S1. Primers used in this study. Table S2: In silico analysis of missense mutations. (PDF 781 KB)
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