Tumorigenic lung tumorospheres exhibit stem-like features with significantly increased expression of CD133 and ABCG2
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Abstract. Accumulating evidence supports the existence of cancer stem cells (CSCs) in human tumors, and the successful certification of CSCs may lead to the identification of therapeutic targets, which are more effective for the treatment of cancer. The use of such cancer models has increased in popularity in cancer stem cell investigations. Tumorospheres, which are used as a model of CSCs and are established in serum-free medium supplemented with growth factors under non-adherent conditions, are one of the most commonly used cancer spherical models and are a valuable method for enriching the CSC fraction. To investigate whether this model is applicable in lung cancer (LC), the identification of lung CSCs and their capacities is essential. In the present study, lung CSCs were enriched by sphere-forming culturing and their stem-like properties were assessed. The results indicated that the lung tumorospheres had enhanced proliferation, clonality, invasion and cisplatin-resistance, and showed significantly increased expression levels of CD133 and breast cancer resistance protein (ABCG2). These results, together with findings previously reported in literature, indicated that the sphere-forming culturing of LC cells induced the enrichment of CSCs and that the tumorospheres exhibited stem cell characteristics. In addition, the higher expression levels of CD133 and ABCG2 in the tumorospheres may provide a rationale for therapeutic targets for LC.

Introduction

Lung cancer (LC) is the leading cause of cancer-associated mortality worldwide. Despite continuous efforts to improve therapeutic outcomes, the overall 5-year survival rate remains <16% (1,2). The definite mechanism leading to the metastasis and relapse of primary tumors remains to be elucidated; however, the cancer stem cell (CSC) hypothesis states that a subpopulation of tumor cells with distinct stem-like properties is responsible for tumor initiation, differentiation, possibly distant metastasis and resistance to conventional chemoradiotherapy. Members of this subpopulation are defined as CSCs or tumor-initiating cells (TICs) (3).

The isolation and identification of CSCs is an essential premise. Currently, CSCs are isolated primarily using four methods: Detection of CSC-specific biomarkers by flow cytometry, assessment of side population (SP) phenotypes by Hoechst 33342 excretion, floating sphere culturing in serum-free conditions and determination of aldehyde dehydrogenase (ALDH) activity (4). None of the methods mentioned above are exclusively used to isolate CSCs. Previously, tumorsphere models have been widely described and used in investigations of cancer (5). Increasing evidence has indicated that the serum-free sphere culture protocol is a reasonable approach to enrich CSCs in vitro, including neurospheres from glioblastoma (6,7).

Simultaneously, several studies have supported the existence of CSCs in LC, and lung CSCs with enhanced tumorigenicity have been reported as a subset of cells, which exclude Hoechst 33342 dye (SP phenotype), including drug-resistant, CD133+, ALDHhigh and CD44+ (8). Although no universal marker or set of markers has been fully established for lung CSCs, studies have identified lung CSCs by the detection of CD133+, SP phenotype (ABCG2+) and/or ALDHhigh (9,10).

CD133 is a transmembrane glycoprotein; this protein was initially described as a specific marker of human hematopoietic stem cells, and has been used as the primary marker of putative CSCs (11). Various types of solid malignant tumor that express CD133 have been reported to have aggressive biological behavior, poor prognosis and high rates of recurrence (12-17). Breast cancer resistance protein (ABCG2), is a multidrug resistance efflux pump and maintains the SP phenotype based on its excretion of Hoechst 33342. The
overexpression of ABCG2 is associated with resistance to a wide range of anticancer agents (18,19). ABCG2 has also been reported as a potential marker of TICs (20). To date, CD133 and ABCG2 appear to be the most reproducible markers of lung CSCs.

The present study attempted to generate lung CSCs using a sphere-forming assay, and to analyze the features of lung tumorospheres to examine the correlation between the expression levels of two primary candidate CSC markers, CD133 and ABCG2. The experimental results suggested that the stem-like properties were enriched in the lung tumorospheres, with high expression levels of CD133 and ABCG2. Although the present study did not demonstrate that all the sphere-derived cells were CSCs, the results suggested that CD133+ and ABCG2+ cells may be a small subpopulation, which contributes to the determination of stem-like features in lung tumorospheres.

Materials and methods

Reagents. Mouse monoclonal antibody against ABCG2 BXP-21 (cat. no. ab3380) was purchased from Abcam (Cambridge, MA, USA). Allophycocyanin (APC)-conjugated anti-CD133/1 (cat. no. 130-090-826) antibody and rabbit anti-CD133 (cat. no. MAB4399) antibody were obtained from Miltenyi Biotec (Bergisch Gladbach, Germany) and EMD Millipore (Billerica, MA, USA), respectively. Rabbit monoclonal antibody directed against β-actin (cat. no. 4970) and hors eradish-peroxidase-conjugated horse anti-rabbit and anti-mouse (cat. nos. 7074 and 7076) IgG secondary antibodies were purchased from Cell Signalling Technology, Inc. (Danvers, MA, USA). Fluorescein isothiocyanate (FITC)-conjugated goat anti-mouse IgG antibody (cat. no. #E031210-01) was purchased from EarthOx, LLC (San Francisco, CA, USA). Alexa Fluor® 568-conjugated goat anti-rabbit IgG antibody (cat. no. A-11011) was purchased from Invitrogen; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc. (Waltham, MA, USA). Reverse transcription (RT) and quantitative polymerase chain reaction (qPCR) kits were purchased from Takara Biotechnology Co., Ltd. (Dalian, China). Cisplatin was obtained from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO, USA), and dissolved in a 0.15 M NaCl solution. Aliquots were stored at -20°C for up to 3 months and thawed immediately prior to use. All other chemicals and solvents were of the highest analytical grade available.

Cell culture. The A549 human LC cell line was obtained from American Type Culture Collection (Rockville, MD, USA) and cultured in RPMI-1640 medium (Hyclone; GE Healthcare Life Sciences, Logan, UT, USA) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS; Gibco; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.) and 1% penicillin/streptomycin in an atmosphere of 5% CO2 at 37°C. Cells in the logarithmic phase of growth were used for all experiments.

LC tumorosphere culture, harvest and differentiation. Suspended cells were collected from confluent A549 cells and centrifuged at 800 x g for 5 min at room temperature. The pellets were gently dissociated with a pipette and resuspended in serum-free Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium (DMEM)-F12 medium (Gibco; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.). The cells were plated at a density of 1x103 cells/ml in ultra-low attachment plates (Corning Inc. Acton, MA, USA) supplemented with 20 ng/ml basic fibroblast growth factor (bFGF; PeproTech, Inc., Rocky Hill, NJ, USA), 20 ng/ml epidermal growth factor (PeproTech, Inc.) and 2% B27 (Gibco; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.) in a 5% CO2 humidified incubator at 37°C. The medium was replaced every 2-3 days by carefully removing half the upper layer of medium and replacing it with an equal volume of fresh medium. It has been reported that the more serial passages in the spheres, the more CSCs present (4). The spheres were collected by gentle centrifugation at 600 x g for 6 min at room temperature, and mechanically dissociated into single cell suspensions until they were of a sufficient number (>200 cells) for passaging. The third passage of LC spheres was used in the present study to ensure the reliability of the results. The spheres were filtered using a cell strainer, and those with a diameter >40 μm were selected for use in the subsequent experiments. To determine the serum-induced differentiation of sphere-forming cells, spheroids were isolated and cultured in DMEM supplemented with 10% FBS without growth factors at 37°C in a 5% CO2 humidified incubator.

RT-PCR and qPCR analyses. The total RNA of the A549 attached cells and corresponding spheres were extracted using TRIzol reagent (Invitrogen; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.). First-strand cDNA was synthesized from 1 μg total RNA using M-MuLV Reverse Transcriptase (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA) to a final volume of 20 μl. For RT-PCR, samples were assayed in a 12.5 μl reaction mixture containing 2.5 μl cDNA, 1.25 μl of 10X Ex Taq Buffer, 1 μl dNTP mixture, 1 μl forward primer, 1 μl reverse primer, 0.05 μl TaKaRa Ex Tag HS and 5.7 μl RNase Free dH2O. The RT reaction was performed using a DNA Engine Peltier Thermal Cycler (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Inc., Hercules, CA, USA). The semi-quantitative PCR conditions were as follows: Initial denaturation at 95°C for 2 min, followed by 32 cycles of denaturation at 94°C for 30 sec, annealing at 55°C for 30 sec, and extension at 72°C for 30 sec, with extension at 72°C for 3 min in the final cycle. For qPCR, samples were assayed in a 10 μl reaction mixture containing 5 μl of 2X One Step SYBR RT-PCR Buffer, 0.45 μl of PrimeScript 1 Step Enzyme mix, 0.4 μl PCR forward primer (10 μM), 0.4 μl PCR reverse primer (10 μM), 2 μl cDNA and 1.8 μl RNase Free dH2O using the One-Step SYBR® PrimeScript™ qPCR kit (Takara Biotechnology Co., Ltd.). The qPCR was performed using an ABI 7500 Real-Time PCR system (Applied Biosystems) for a total of 40 cycles with an annealing temperature of 95°C for 5 sec and 60°C for 20 sec. The primer pairs encoding products of 113, 69, 126, 281, 206 and 225 bp, respectively, were as follows: CD133, forward 5'-GAGTCCGGAACCTGCGAGATAGCA-3' and reverse 5'-ACGCCCTGTCTCTTGTAATGTGTG-3'; ABCG2, forward 5'-ACAAGGAAAAACACCCAATGGCT-3' and reverse 5'-ACA GCTCCCTCAGAAAATGGCCTTCC-3'; octamer-binding transcription factor 4 (OCT4), forward 5'-ACATCAGAAGCTTCGAGAAGAAC-3' and reverse 5'-CTGATATCCCTTCCAAATAGACCC-3'; Nanog, forward 5'-AGAAGGCGCCAGCACCATACT-3' and reverse 5'-GGCCTGATTTGTCCAGATT-3'; sex-determining region Y-box 2 (Sox2), forward 5'-AGCAACGCGCAGTCACAGCA-3' and reverse 5'-TGGAGAGAGGTAACCCAG-3' and GAPDH, forward
Flow cytometric analysis of the expression levels of CD133 and ABCG2. In total, 1.0×10⁶ viable attached cells and sphere-forming cells were collected. The cells were washed twice in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS), following which they were incubated with mouse monoclonal anti-ABCG2 (1:200 dilution) for 10 min at 4°C and then incubated with FITC-conjugated goat anti-mouse IgG (1:200 dilution) for another 10 min at 4°C in the dark. Subsequently, the cells were washed in PBS, resuspended, fixed in 100 µl 1% (m/v) paraformaldehyde and subjected to fluorescence analysis using flow cytometry. Dead cells were eliminated using 1 mg/ml propidium iodide (PI) staining (Sigma-Aldrich). To detect the expression of CD133, the cells were incubated with anti-CD133-APC for 15 min at 4°C in the dark, and subsequent procedures were performed, according to the protocol described above. The data were processed using CellQuest software (BD Biosciences, San Jose, CA, USA).

Immunofluorescence staining. The A549 attached cells and sphere-forming cells were seeded on glass coverslips in a density of 5.0×10⁴ cells/well six-well plates, and cultured in a 5% CO₂ incubator at 37°C overnight. The cells were fixed by immersion in ice-cold methanol for 15 min. Following washing of the coverslips twice in PBS and blocking for 30 min in blocking buffer (10% normal goat serum and 0.5% Triton X-100 in PBS), the coverslips were incubated with mouse anti-ABCG2 and rabbit anti-CD133 primary antibodies at a 1:200 dilution for 12 h at 4°C. The coverslips were then washed three times in PBS for 5 min each and incubated with FITC-conjugated goat anti-mouse IgG or Alexa Fluor® 568-conjugated goat anti-rabbit IgG secondary antibody at a 1:200 dilution for 30 min at 37°C. Subsequently, the cells were counterstained with 4',6-diamidino-2-phenylindole and visualized using an inverted fluorescence microscope (Leica, Mannheim, Germany).

Cell viability analysis. The A549 adherent cells and sphere-derived cells were plated in triplicate at a density of 4,000 cells/well in standard coated 96-well plates and allowed to adhere overnight at 37°C in a 5% CO₂ humidified incubator. Wells containing medium only were used as a background negative control. Cell proliferation was measured during the following 4 days using a Cell Counting Kit-8 (CCK-8; Dojindo Laboratories, Kumamoto, Japan), according to the manufacturer’s protocol. The spectrometric absorbance was measured at 450 nm using a microplate reader. The growth curves were constructed according to the mean value of absorbance relative to the background. To examine drug sensitivity, the cells were first seeded in a 96-well plate at a density of 5,000 cells/well and cultured in medium containing increasing concentrations of cisplatin (0-25.6 µg/ml) for 48 h at 37°C in a 5% CO₂ humified incubator. At the end of the drug exposure duration, cell viability was measured using the CCK-8 assay, and the growth inhibition rate and the half maximal inhibitory concentration (IC₅₀) values were calculated using GraphPad Prism 5.0 software (GraphPad Software, Inc., La Jolla, CA, USA).

Cell invasion analysis. Cell invasion assays were performed using 24-well Transwell plates (8 µm pore size; Corning, Inc.) coated with 1 mg/ml Matrigel (BD Biosciences). In total, 1.0×10⁵ cells/well were suspended in 300 µl 0.1% bovine serum albumin (Invitrogen; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.)/serum-free medium and added to the upper compartment of the Transwell plates. Subsequently, 500 µl complete medium containing 10% FBS was added to the lower wells of each plate, and the cells were incubated in a 5% CO₂ incubator for 24 h at 37°C. After 24 h, the upper surface of the chambers were scraped using cotton swabs, and the cells on the lower surface of the membrane were considered invasive cells. These invasive cells were fixed for 15 min in methanol, stained with Giemsa solution and examined using a TE2000 inverted microscope (Nikon, Tokyo, Japan).

Cell cycle analysis. For cell cycle analysis, the adherent A549 cells, spheres and sphere-forming cells following serum-induced culture were harvested by trypsinization and fixed with ice-cold 70% ethanol overnight at 4°C. The cells were then washed twice in PBS, resuspended in PBS and stained with PI/RNase staining buffer (BD Biosciences) at 37°C for 30 min. The cell cycle profiles were obtained using flow cytometry at 488 nm, and the data were analyzed using CellQuest software (BD Biosciences).

Western blot analysis. Cell lysates of A549 attached cells and spheres were prepared according to manufacturer’s details of the protein extract kit (KEYGEN Biotech, Beijing, China). Protein concentrations were determined using the bicinchoninic acid method. Equal quantities of protein (50 µg/lane of total extract) were separated by 12% sodium dodecyl sulphate-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis and then electrophoretically transferred onto PVDF membranes (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Inc.). The membranes were blocked in 5% skim milk powder dissolved in Tris-buffered saline with Tween 20 (TBST) for 2 h at room temperature, following which they were incubated with the following primary antibodies at 4°C overnight: ABCG2, CD133 and β-actin. The membranes were then washed three times in TBST buffer, and were subsequently incubated with secondary antibody for 1.5 h at room temperature. The bands were visualized using a molecular imaging system. Densitometric analysis was performed using Image-ProPlus software (Media Cybernetics, Inc., Rockville MD, USA), and β-actin was used as a control.

Tumorigenicity experiments. Male (n=20) and female (n=200 athymic BALB/c nude mice (4-6 weeks old) with body weights of 18-22 g were provided by the Experimental Animal Centre of Chongqing Medical University (Chongqing, China). All mice were housed in microisolator cages in a specific homothermic pathogen-free environment with a 12-h light/dark cycle and access to food and water ad libitum. To generate
subcutaneous xenograft models, different numbers (1x10^5, 2x10^5, 1x10^6, 4x10^5 and 1x10^7) of freshly dissociated attached cells and sphere-derived cells were mixed with 100 µl PBS and subcutaneously inoculated into the left and right flank of each BALB/c nude mouse to detect their tumorigenic capacity. The tumorigenicity was measured primarily by tumor size, tumor weight and latency, the latter determined as the duration between injection and the detection of palpable tumors. The primary tumor sizes were measured with a calliper on a weekly basis, and approximate tumor weights were determined using the following formula: V = 1/2 x a x b^2, where b is the smaller of the two perpendicular diameters and V is tumor volume. When the average tumor size reached 1,000-2,000 mm^3, the animals were sacrificed by cervical dislocation. The grafts were then removed and fixed in formalin, and paraffin sections were prepared for hematoxylin and eosin (H&E) staining and analyzed under a light microscope. All of the in vivo experimental procedures were approved by the Committee on the Ethical Use of Animals of the First Affiliated Hospital of Chongqing Medical University.

**Statistical analysis.** All experiments were performed in triplicate. The data are graphically represented as the mean ± standard error of the mean. The values were compared with controls using either Student's t-test or two-way analysis of variance using Prism GraphPad 5.0 software (GraphPad Software, Inc.). P<0.05 was considered to indicate a statistically significant difference.

**Results**

**LC cells form floating spheres.** The A549 attached cells were cultured in serum-free medium, as described above. Under ultra-low attached conditions, the cells grew into floating, tridimensional clusters, termed spheres. The spheres began to form on day 3 or 4, and formation was more substantial on day 7. Between days 9 and 12, the spheres had completely formed. By days 15-16, the spheres had become well-rounded structures, which were composed of abundant, cohesive cells. Fig. 1A shows the generation of a sphere from a single LC A549 cell. After 48 h of serum-driven culture, floating spheres were found to have adhered and migrated to the wall of the culture flasks, and gradually differentiated into adherent cells.

**Intracellular localization of CD133 and ABCG2 in LC tumorospheres.** To examine the subcellular localization of CD133 and ABCG2 in the sphere-forming cells, immunofluorescence staining of CD133 and ABCG2 was performed. Positive staining was observed, with CD133 and ABCG2 present primarily in the membranes of the spheres. Dual staining for CD133 and ABCG2 indicated that the two candidate CSC markers were colocalized in the spheres (Fig. 1B).

**LC spheres overexpress the CD133 and ABCG2 candidate CSC markers.** To clarify the differential gene expression profiles between the spheres and the adherent A549 cells, RT-PCR and qPCR analyses were performed (Fig. 2A and B). As expected, cancer cells cultured in serum-free medium caused a shift in CSC markers, including marked upregulation of the following CSC markers: CD133, ABCG2, Oct-4, Sox-2 and Nanog. Compared with the attached cells, the flow cytometry data (Fig. 2C) showed significantly increased expression levels of CD133 (0.35±0.069 vs. 13.37±1.66%) and ABCG2 (20.63±13.18 vs. 73.72±11.57%) on the spheres.

**LC tumorospheres harbor cells with CSC characteristics.** The results of the flow cytometric analysis revealed the existence of distinct subpopulations with differential expression of CD133 and ABCG2 in the tumorospheres; a small subpopulation of CD133+/ABCG2+ cells was identified in the A549 cells, whereas the primary cell population was negative for the two CSC markers. To investigate possible functional differences between these subpopulations, the CD133+/ABCG2+ cells were subjected to FACS (Fig. 3A) and assessed using several functional assays. The CCK-8 assays revealed that the A549 spheres had markedly higher proliferation potential, as shown in Fig. 3B. Consistently, the
results from the colony formation assay suggested that the CD133+/ABCG2+ sphere-derived cells had higher cloning efficiency (3-4-fold; 368.569±70.96, vs. 100±17.32) leading to the formation of larger colonies at earlier time points, with more cells having the ability to initiate a clone (Fig. 3C). In addition, the sphere-derived cells appeared to penetrate the Matrigel more readily (Fig. 3D), and the number of stained invasive cells was greater, compared with the attached cells (227.293±66.78, vs. 100±8.66). Therefore, the LC tumorospheres were found to possess augmented proliferation and invasion abilities.

It is well known that there is a close association between cell cycle and cell function, including the ability of proliferation and differentiation, and chemosensitivity (22). In order to clarify the difference of cell cycle distribution between the A549 adherent cells and spheres, the cell cycle was analyzed using flow cytometry. The results (Fig. 3E) revealed that the A549 spheres formed in serum-free conditions exhibited the following cell cycle distribution: 76.69±4.31% in the G0/G1 phase, 14.02±2.65% in the S phase and 9.29±2.70% in the G2/M phase. However, the A549 attached cells exhibited the following cell cycle distribution: 55.61±5.88% in the G0/G1 phase, 32.38±6.24% in the S phase and 12.01±2.47% in the G2/M phase. Therefore, the cell cycle of the spheres was arrested in the G0/G1 phase, with a corresponding reduction in the numbers of cells in the S and G2/M phases. However, in response to the serum stimulus, the spheres acquired the following cell cycle phase distribution: 16.77±8.92% in the G0/G1 phase, 73.64±10.06% in the S phase and 9.59±5.04% in the G2/M phase. This distribution indicated that the A549 spheres were steadily maintained in a quiescent state in serum-free conditions, which may partly contribute to the refractoriness to cancer therapy. However, the cell cycle progress was promoted with a significantly increased ratio of sub S cells following treatment with serum, suggesting that the spheres had the capacity for unlimited self-renewal and extensive proliferation, and to generate differentiated progeny.

**LC tumorospheres exhibit increased resistance to cisplatin.** To examine whether LC tumorospheres possess the hypothesized chemoresistant phenotype of CSCs, the present study assessed the sensitivity of the sphere-forming cells and differentiated cells to cisplatin, which is commonly used in chemotherapy. The LC sphere-forming cells exhibited an increased IC_{50} value (11.752±1.937, vs. 1.485±0.121 µg/ml), as shown in Fig. 4A. In addition, treatment with 5 µg/ml cisplatin did not affect the number or the size of the tumorospheres, suggesting enhanced chemoresistance of the sphere-forming cells (data not shown). The chemoresistance of tumor cells occurs, in part, due to the overexpression of ATP-binding cassette family
members, including ABCG2, P-glycoprotein and multidrug resistance protein 1 (23,24). CD133 has also been reported to be partially associated with multidrug resistance. In the present study, the expression levels of CD133 and ABCG2 were further confirmed at the protein level by immunoblotting. The data indicated that the protein expression levels of CD133 and ABCG2 were substantially increased in tumorospheres, compared with adherent cells (Fig. 4B).

Tumorigenic potential of LC spheres. The cancer stem-like properties were further confirmed in the LC spheres through in vivo tumorigenicity experiments. The data showed that the A549 sphere-derived cells generated subcutaneous tumors with larger volumes in a shorter duration, compared with those from the attached cells. The tumor growth curves were compared for the two groups (Fig. 4C and D). In addition, as few as 4x10⁴ cells from the A549 sphere-forming cells were
able to form tumors at earlier time points when subcutaneously injected into nude mice, whereas $2 \times 10^6$ attached cells were required for the same effect; this value was 50-fold higher than that for the sphere-forming cells. No differences in the overall health or conditions of vital organs were observed between the mice in the two groups (data not shown). The xenografts were confirmed as lung adenocarcinoma using H&E staining (Fig. 4E), and the transplanted tumors derived from the A549 spheroid-forming cells were histologically similar to those from A549 adherent cells, predominantly containing differentiated cells. These data suggested that the LC sphere-derived cells showed an increased capacity for xenograft tumor formation in vivo.

Discussion

The CSC theory has received substantial attention in previous years. Determining whether this theory is applicable to LC may shed light on the initiation and metastasis formation of LC, and assist in developing specific therapies.
to target them, as current therapeutic strategies are developed to target the bulk of cancer cells and not the rarer CSCs. The first challenge lies in the specific isolation and identification of CSCs from LC.

The culture of CSCs as floating spheres was first described in brain tumors by Singh et al (25). In the following years, tumorospheres were continually developed from a wide range of solid tumors, including breast (26), ovarian (12,27), pancreatic (28), oesophageal (29), liver (30), colonic (31), stomach (32) and prostate (33) cancer. To varying degrees, all these tumorospheres possess stem cell characteristics.

The present study provided a systematic evaluation of sphere-forming cells derived from the A549 LC cell line. The successful culture and isolation of lung tumorospheres in serum-free and anchorage-independent conditions were performed (Fig. 1A) and the stem-like properties of the tumorospheres were further investigated.

The expression of stemness genes is often used to identify CSCs (4). CD133, ABCG2 and other transcription factors, including OCT4, Sox2 and Nanog were analyzed. They are essential for the maintenance of stem cells, and the overexpression of these genes was observed in sphere-derived cells compared with the attached cells. The upregulation in the expression levels of the stemness genes in sphere-forming cells was closely associated with the self-renewal potential, clonality, chemoresistance, invasion and metastasis, and the ability to form spheres and initiate xenografts. Of note, the CD133+/sphere-derived cells colocalized with ABCG2, which may represent a subpopulation of putative CSCs. Therefore FACS was used in the present study to select CD133+/ABCG2+ cells from the A549 spheres, which were only a small proportion of the tumorospheres, but exhibited higher cloning efficiency and more robust proliferation.

Chemoresistance remains the major cause of cancer-associated-mortality and is also considered a hallmark of CSCs (23). To clarify whether sphere-derived cells possess chemoresistant properties, the present study analyzed the dose-response curves for the response to cisplatin of A549 adherent cells and sphere-derived cells. As expected, the sphere-derived cells exhibited increased resistance to treatment, with a higher percentage of survival, compared with the control cells. These data supported the effect of sphere-derived cells in chemoresistance, which may further reveal why conventional chemotherapy treatment fails to eradicate cancer cells, and leads to tumor metastasis and recurrence.

As chemotherapeutic drugs are generally more toxic towards rapidly proliferating cells, CSCs may resist the toxicity of cisplatin by remaining quiescent (4). To further examine whether their resistance is dependent on quiescence or the expression of drug-resistance proteins, including ABCG2, cell cycle and western blot analyses were performed. It was observed that the cell cycle of the A549 tumorospheres was arrested in the G0/G1 phase under serum-free conditions to maintain the quiescent and undifferentiated state. Following the withdrawal of growth factors and the addition of 10% FBS to the medium, floating sphere-derived cells were able to adhere and differentiate. The upregulation of drug-resistance proteins was further confirmed by immunoblotting. Therefore the overexpression of CD133 and ABCG2 at the translational level may be closely associated with the chemoresistance of A549 tumorospheres.

However, tumorospheres are not homogeneous structures enriched with only undifferentiated cells; they also contain more differentiated cells. Until now, no reports have accurately determined how many cells within tumorospheres are actually CSCs. The gold standard for evaluating the presence of CSCs is the transplantation of a small number of cells into an immunocompromised mouse and evaluating of the capacity of tumorigenesis in vivo (34). In the present study, A549 attached cells and a 50-fold lower number of cells from A549 spheres were harvested and used to generate subcutaneous xenograft models. The sphere-derived cells exhibited the capacity to generate xenografts with larger volumes and faster growth rates, compared with the attached cells. These results demonstrated that the sphere-forming cells predominantly represented CSCs, which possessed potent proliferation capacity and tumorigenicity.

In conclusion, the present study demonstrated that non-adherent tumorospheres of an LC cell line cultured in a defined serum-free medium exhibited the characteristics of multipotent stem cells. The genetic composition of the sphere-derived cells, in terms of the co-expression of CD133 and ABCG2, may represent the determining factor for the stem-like features and is likely to be valuable for future gene and stem cell therapy for LC. However, further investigations are required to elucidate the underlying mechanisms of lung CSCs in detail.
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