Body size perception and weight control in youth: 9-year international trends from 24 countries
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Abstract

Objectives—To examine nine-year trends and relationships regarding misperceptions of body size and dieting for weight loss among adolescents from 24 countries, and explore the influence of country-level overweight prevalence.

Methods—Socio-demographic characteristics, body size perception, and dieting for weight loss were assessed in the Health Behaviour in School-aged Children survey conducted in 24 countries cross-sectionally at three time points (2001/02, 2005/06, 2009/10). Logistic regression models examined change over time in overestimation of body size in non-overweight adolescents, underestimation of body size in overweight adolescents, dieting for weight loss in non-overweight and overweight adolescents, and relationships between body size perception and dieting. Analyses were stratified by weight status and sex. Covariates included country-level overweight prevalence,
family affluence, and country level of development. Body mass index was only included in models examining dieting for weight loss.

**Results**—Country-level overweight prevalence increased over time (11.6% to 14.7%). Compared to Time 1, overweight adolescents had greater odds of body size underestimation at Time 3 (OR=1.68 for girls, OR=1.10 for boys), while non-overweight adolescents had lower odds of body size overestimation at Time 3 (OR=0.87 for girls, OR=0.89 for boys). Controlling for country-level overweight prevalence attenuated these relationships. Compared to Time 1, overweight and non-overweight boys were 10% more likely to diet at Time 3, while overweight and non-overweight girls were 19% and 16%, respectively, less likely to diet at Time 3. Controlling for country-level overweight prevalence did not impact trends in dieting for weight loss. Additionally, the association of self-perceived overweight with increased odds of dieting diminished over time.

**Conclusions**—Body size perceptions among adolescents may have changed over time concurrent with shifts in country-level body weight. However, controlling for country-level overweight prevalence did not impact trends in dieting for weight loss, suggesting a potentially stronger impact of social comparison on weight-related perceptions than on behavior.
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**INTRODUCTION**

Adolescents have a tendency to misperceive their weight status. Among non-overweight and overweight adolescents, both overestimation and underestimation of weight status are associated with adverse outcomes such as depressive symptoms and other psychological problems, and subsequently may influence weight management behaviors. In particular, non-overweight adolescents who overestimate their body size may experience body dissatisfaction leading to greater risk for disordered eating and eating disorders. Conversely, overweight adolescents who underestimate their body size may be less motivated to reduce body fat, thus increasing chronic disease risk.

Before the international increase in obesity prevalence, researchers were primarily concerned about perceived overweight in non-overweight adolescents, which increased their risk for body dissatisfaction and eating disorders. Body dissatisfaction continues to be highly prevalent among girls (24–46%) and boys (12–26%) and is increasing worldwide. A recent international report from the Health Behaviour in School-aged Children study of 43 countries found that at age 15 years, 40% of girls and 22% of boys were dissatisfied with their bodies. Additionally, 22% of 15 year old girls reported being on a diet to lose weight, even though only 10% were classified as being overweight, whereas 9% of 15 year old boys reported being on a diet, but 18% were classified as being overweight. In the United States, adolescents with body dissatisfaction are more likely to take active steps to lose weight, including dieting or use of more extreme methods to change their body weight and shape that can lead to adverse physical and psychological consequences.
many as one-third to half of U.S. girls report experimenting with or regularly engaging in unhealthy weight control methods such as fasting, vomiting or laxative use.\textsuperscript{18}

In the last three decades, attention has turned to the international rise in body weights and the tendency for persons not to recognize they are overweight.\textsuperscript{6, 19–21} This raises concerns because individuals who do not recognize that they are overweight are unlikely to take steps to reduce their weight. On the other hand, non-overweight adolescents may be protected from body dissatisfaction and engagement in unhealthy weight control behaviors in an environment with heavier people. In social comparison processes, an individual bases perceptions of his or her own body’s appearance and abilities by comparing him- or herself to others.\textsuperscript{22} In populations with high obesity prevalence, social body weight comparisons may underlie a shift in perceptions of ‘normal weight,’\textsuperscript{21, 23} such that overweight may now be perceived as ‘normal’.\textsuperscript{24} However, little attention has been given to how the rise in obesity prevalence may influence both underestimation and overestimation of body size in adolescents.

The purpose of this study was to examine nine-year trends and relationships regarding misperceptions of body size and dieting for weight loss among adolescent girls and boys from 24 countries. Although there may be inter-country variation in cultural-specific body ideals,\textsuperscript{25} previous research does not show any clear geographical patterns with attempts for dieting for weight loss.\textsuperscript{1} Therefore, data from all countries were combined for analysis, and country-level overweight prevalence was examined to assess whether social comparison processes may underlie changes in body size misperceptions and dieting behaviors. Analyses were stratified by adolescent weight status and sex, and controlled for family and country-level indicators of affluence. It was hypothesized that over time, overweight adolescents would be more likely to underestimate their body size and less likely to diet for weight loss while non-overweight adolescents would be less likely to overestimate their body size and diet for weight loss, and that these trends would be attenuated after taking into account country-level overweight prevalence. Because self-perception of overweight status is associated with increased likelihood of performing weight control behaviors,\textsuperscript{26, 27} it was also hypothesized that perceptions of being overweight would be positively associated with dieting for weight loss and this relationship would weaken over time as overestimating body size decreased over time.

METHODS

Participants

Data were obtained from three waves (2001/02, 2005/06, 2009/10) of the Health Behaviour in School-Aged Children cross-sectional survey (HBSC), a World Health Organization collaborative study that is conducted every 4 years in nationally representative, school-based samples. Over 40 countries and regions participate in the study. HBSC examines a number of health behaviors and lifestyles and their context in young people. A standardized international research protocol was followed within each country to ensure consistency in survey instruments, data collection and processing procedures.\textsuperscript{28} Socio-demographics, weight control, and body size perception were mandatory items for all participating
countries. The surveys were administered in school classrooms by trained personnel, teachers or school nurses, and took about one school period for participants to complete.

For each country, cluster sampling was used where the cluster was the school class (or school in the absence of a sampling frame of classes). These samples were selected to be nationally representative for 11-, 13-, and 15-year-old school children. The youngest age group was excluded from the present study because questions concerning weight control were not presented to them. For each country, the recommended sample size for each age group was approximately 1,500 students, assuming a 95% confidence interval of 3% around a proportion of 50% and allowing for the clustered nature of the samples. Each participating country obtained approval to conduct the survey from the relevant ethics review board or equivalent regulatory institution. More detailed information about the study design and procedures can be found elsewhere.29–32

Twenty-five countries had data at all three time points (2001/2002; 2005/2006; 2009/2010); however, Greenland was excluded from this analyses due to missing data on their level of development, a covariate in the analyses, leaving a total of 24 countries. Participating countries in the study sample included Croatia, Latvia, Lithuania, Poland, Portugal, Russia, Austria, Belgium, Czech Republic, Denmark, Finland, Ireland, Netherlands, Norway, Sweden, Switzerland, United Kingdom, United States of America, Canada, Italy, France, Spain, Slovenia, and Macedonia. Participants aged 13 years or older with missing height or weight (n=13,204, 5.4%), or missing values for body size perception (n=2,236, 0.9%) or dieting for weight loss (n=1,233, 0.5%) were also excluded from the total analytic sample (n=229,614).

**Measures**

**BMI measurement and overweight classification**—Self-report data on height and weight were used to calculate Body Mass Index (BMI) (kg/m²). Weight status was categorized by means of age- and sex-specific BMI international cut points recommended for use in international comparisons.33, 34 Specifically, sex- and age-adjusted cut-off values for overweight status were based on percentiles passing through BMI 25 kg/m² at age 18. In the present study, the group of overweight adolescents includes obese, and the group of non-overweight includes normal weight and underweight adolescents.

**Body size misperception**—Body size perception was assessed by asking participants, “Do you think your body is...?” with the response options of ‘much too thin’, ‘a bit too thin’, ‘about the right size’, ‘a bit too fat’, and ‘much too fat’, which were combined into perceived underweight, normal weight and overweight, respectively. To classify body size distortion, self-reported weight status (0=underweight, 1=non-overweight, 2=overweight/obese) as determined by adolescents’ self-reported height and weight and perceived weight status (0=perceived underweight, 1=perceived normal weight, 2=perceived overweight) were first coded numerically. The difference between values of perceived and self-reported weight status was then calculated; scores deviating from 0 indicate body size distortion. Participants were categorized as either no distortion (concordant perceived and reported weight status), underestimation (perceived weight status lower than reported weight status),
or overestimation (perceived weight status higher than reported weight status). In non-overweight adolescents, body size distortion was dichotomized into overestimators and non-overestimators (i.e., includes ‘no distortion’ and ‘underestimation’ participants) of body size. Overweight adolescents were dichotomized as underestimators and non-underestimators (i.e., includes ‘no distortion’ and ‘overestimation’ participants) of body size.

**Weight control**—Dieting for weight control was assessed by asking, “At present are you on a diet or doing something else to lose weight?” with the response options of ‘No, my weight is fine’, ‘No, but I should lose some weight’, ‘No, because I need to put on weight’, and ‘Yes’. As the focus of this analysis was on dieting behavior rather than reasons for non-dieting, this variable was dichotomized into yes/no dieting for weight loss.

**Socio-demographic characteristics**—Individual level socio-demographic characteristics included sex, age, and family affluence (a proxy for socio-economic status). The family affluence scale, which has demonstrated good content and external validity, was constructed from questions about family wealth and categorized into tertiles. Country-level socio-demographic characteristics included development status and overweight prevalence. Development status was based on the human development index (HDI) scores created by the United Nations Global Development Network as a way to measure social and economic development of countries. The HDI score for each country includes an algorithm of life expectancy, educational attainment and income that form a composite score ranging from 0 to 1. A country with an HDI score ≥0.80 indicates a developed country. For the country-level overweight prevalence variable, the percent adolescent overweight (BMI international cut-point ≥25) was calculated using the sample of participants for each country and time period.

**Data analysis**

Socio-demographic characteristics of participants at each wave of data collection (i.e., 2001/02, 2005/06, 2009/10) were cross-tabulated for girls and boys, and country-level overweight prevalence and mean HDI scores were cross-tabulated for all participants. The unadjusted trend over time was tested using Kendall’s tau statistic for categorical variables and analysis of variance for continuous variables. All analyses were stratified by weight status and sex because non-overweight and overweight adolescents are likely to have different perceptions of their weight and dieting attempts, and girls have greater body dissatisfaction compared to boys. Logistic regression models were fitted to evaluate change over time relative to Time 1 in body size overestimation among non-overweight adolescents, body size underestimation among overweight adolescents, and dieting for weight loss (in non-overweight and overweight adolescents). Family affluence and country-level development were included as covariates in all models, and BMI was a covariate only in models estimating dieting for weight loss. Models were estimated with and without adjustment for country-level overweight prevalence. A multiplicative interaction term between time and body size perception was used to examine time trends in the relationship between body size perception (perceived overweight) and dieting for weight loss. Odds...
ratios, 95% confidence intervals, and standard errors are reported for all logistic regression models. Statistical significance was set at \( p < 0.05 \). All analyses were performed using SPSS version 21.0 (Chicago, IL, USA).

RESULTS

Among all participants the country-level overweight prevalence increased significantly over time (11.6% to 14.7%, \( p<0.001 \)), while mean HDI scores from the 24 countries remained constant (0.82 to 0.85). Socio-demographics, body size perception and dieting by sex and weight status (overweight and non-overweight) at each wave of data collection are shown in Table 1.

Unadjusted Analyses: Change Over Time in Body Size Perception and Dieting in Overweight and Non-overweight Adolescents

Among overweight girls, perceptions of being “much too fat/a bit fat” and dieting for weight loss decreased across the three time periods from 87% to 81% and 44% to 40%, respectively (Table 1). Overweight girls were also more likely to underestimate their body size over time (from 13% to 19%). Among non-overweight girls over time, 35% to 33% perceived themselves as “much too fat/a bit fat” and around 17% were dieting for weight loss at each time period. Over one-third of non-overweight girls overestimated their body size at each time period; however body size overestimation among non-overweight girls decreased over time (from 45% to 42%).

Among overweight boys, perceptions of being “much too fat/a bit fat” decreased (from 66% to 64%) while dieting for weight loss increased (from 23% to 25%) across the three time periods (see Table 1). Overweight adolescent boys were also more likely to underestimate their body size over time (from 34% to 36%). Among non-overweight boys, 14% perceived themselves as “much too fat/a bit fat” and 21% perceived themselves as “much too thin/a bit thin” at each time period. Body size overestimation and dieting for weight loss remained relatively constant over time among non-overweight boys.

Adjusted Analyses: Body Size Underestimation Among Overweight Adolescents

In models adjusting only for individual-level covariates and country level of development, the odds of underestimating body size increased over time for overweight girls and boys (Figure 1a and Appendix 1). Specifically, compared to Time 1 (2001/2002), overweight girls and boys were 1.3 and 1.1 times more likely to underestimate their body size at Time 2 (2005/2006) and 1.7 and 1.1 times more likely to underestimate their body size at Time 3 (2009/2010).

Controlling for country-level overweight prevalence attenuated these relationships (Figure 1b and Appendix 1). The increasing odds of underestimating body size over time remained significant in overweight girls but became non-significant at Time 3 for overweight boys.
Adjusted Analyses: Body Size Overestimation Among Non-overweight Adolescents

In models adjusting only for individual-level covariates and country level of development, the odds of overestimating body size decreased over time for non-overweight girls and boys (Figure 1c and Appendix 1). Specifically, compared to Time 1 (2001/2002), non-overweight girls and boys were 0.89 and 0.92 times, respectively, less likely to overestimate their body size at Time 2 (2005/2006) and 0.87 and 0.89 times, respectively, less likely to overestimate their body size at Time 3 (2009/2010).

Controlling for country-level overweight prevalence attenuated these relationships (Figure 1d and Appendix 1) such that among non-overweight girls and boys, the decreasing odds of overestimating body size over time became non-significant.

Adjusted Analyses: Dieting for Weight Loss Among Overweight and Non-Overweight Adolescents

In models adjusting only for individual-level covariates and country level of development, compared to Time 1, overweight and non-overweight boys were 1.1 times more likely to diet at Time 3 (Figure 2a and Appendix 2). Conversely, overweight and non-overweight girls were 0.81 and 0.84 times, respectively, less likely to diet at Time 3 compared to Time 1.

After controlling for country-level overweight prevalence, the trends and associations of dieting for weight loss were essentially unchanged (Figure 2b and Appendix 2). Significant interactions of year and country-level overweight prevalence were found among overweight and non-overweight girls at Time 2 and among overweight and non-overweight boys at each time period, except at Time 2 for overweight boys.

Adjusted Analyses: Relationships Between Perceived Overweight and Dieting for Weight Loss Among Overweight and Non-Overweight Adolescents

In models adjusting for individual-level covariates and country level of development, the odds of dieting were strongly associated with perceptions of being overweight, regardless of weight status (Table 2). Results from the interactions between year and perceived overweight reveal the odds of dieting among adolescents has weakened or remained relatively stable (i.e., non-overweight girls) over time.

DISCUSSION

This study documents nine year trends from 2001–2010 in overestimation and underestimation of body size and dieting for weight loss among adolescent girls and boys from 24 countries. Findings demonstrate a decrease over time in body size overestimation among non-overweight girls and boys and an increase in body size underestimation in overweight girls and boys. Controlling for country-level overweight prevalence partially attenuated these findings for overweight adolescents, and fully attenuated them among non-overweight adolescents, consistent with the hypothesized effect of social comparison on body size perceptions. However, controlling for country-level overweight prevalence did not impact findings regarding trends in dieting behaviors, suggesting a potentially stronger
impact of social comparison on weight-related perceptions than behavior. Findings also indicate that self-perceptions of being overweight increase the likelihood of dieting, but the strength of this relationship has diminished over time.

These study findings are consistent with previous work indicating that self-perceived appropriateness of weight status likely reflects differences in the normative evaluation of bodily weight standards,\(^\text{38, 39}\) consistent with social comparison theory.\(^\text{22}\) In this study, adolescent body weights increased over time (2001 to 2010). However, overweight adolescents were more likely to underestimate and non-overweight adolescents were less likely to overestimate their body size over time. Controlling for country-level overweight prevalence attenuated these relationships, suggesting that social comparisons of body weights may be taking place whereby adolescents’ perceptions of ‘normal’ weight may be shifting. This difference in perception of weight appropriateness between public health and normative cultural standards may be problematic if this misperception prevents individuals from engaging in healthy behaviors to reduce adiposity.

As evidenced by others,\(^\text{26, 27}\) and further supported by our findings, self-perception of overweight status is associated with increased odds of dieting for weight loss, and the strength of this relationship has diminished over time. Given these findings it may be anticipated that over time dieting for weight loss would decrease as body size overestimation decreased among non-overweight adolescents and body size underestimation increased among overweight adolescents. When controlling for country-level overweight prevalence, girls were less likely to diet over time while boys were more likely to diet over time, regardless of weight status. However, the percentage of girls dieting for weight loss was higher than the percentage of girls overweight, indicating that a proportion of dieters are normal weight. Although there was an increasing trend in dieting among boys, a higher percentage of boys were overweight by medical standards than were actually dieting for weight loss. The prevalence of dieting among boys remains lower than that for girls, suggesting that boys continue to be less concerned about their weight than girls. These findings are consistent with those from an international study among university students from 22 countries demonstrating that men were less aware they were overweight and less likely to diet to lose weight than women.\(^\text{40}\) The decreasing trend in dieting among non-overweight girls in this study is encouraging in terms of potentially leading to a lower incidence of disordered eating behaviors, but body dissatisfaction remains a common problem that could potentially spawn disordered eating and eating disorders.\(^\text{8}\)

At the same time, findings indicate that overweight adolescents are underestimating their weight status and are less often taking steps towards achieving a healthy body weight by dieting. This suggests that over time overweight adolescents have shifted their perceptions of what is considered a normal body weight potentially through social comparison processes, and are less likely to diet to lose weight.\(^\text{21}\) This misperception among overweight adolescents may limit the effectiveness of public health campaigns and interventions aimed at weight reduction and improved health outcomes. However, underestimating body size may be associated with improved body image, and potentially, a decline in the incidence of disordered eating and eating disorders. Thus, the costs and benefits of underestimating body size among overweight adolescents who are still growing physically and emotionally poses a
challenge for the development of obesity interventions. Including family and peers in weight management and obesity interventions may be important to consider given the role social comparison theory may play in adolescent weight perception.

Study limitations should be considered when interpreting these findings. Biases in self-reported height and weight are a common limitation in survey data. However, previous research has considered self-report height and weight adequate for estimating objective height and weight measurements. In some countries there were a number of adolescents with missing height or weight data, but previous research has found that weight loss practices among adolescents are similar in those with and without reported height and weight data. Data were collected from a repeated cross-national sample and from a selected number of countries, limiting causal inference from the findings. However, this large cross-national sample provides generalizability in both U.S. and European adolescents. A drawback to using survey data that assesses a number of health-related behaviors such as the HBSC is the use of single item measures to assess perceptions of body weight and dieting for weight loss. However, the large sample size may have mitigated the effect of this limitation. Additionally, our measure did not distinguish between dieting due to health concerns versus dieting for appearance concerns; it may be informative for future research to examine differences in weight management behaviors by these differing motivations.

Despite these limitations, this study provides novel findings regarding perceptions of body size and dieting for weight loss across three study waves (2001/02, 2005/06, 2009/10). A major strength of this study is the large population-representative sample on adolescents in 24 countries over a 9 year time span. Findings highlight contributions of country-level overweight prevalence to adolescents’ perceptions of body weight and dieting for weight loss. However, further research is needed to examine the implications of these findings. For example, there may be other factors within countries that could mediate or moderate perceptions of body weight and dieting to lose weight such as the amount and types of media viewed by adolescents. The influence of advertising and the mass media on how the public views dieting and body image should be examined in future research to determine the strength of this association. These findings demonstrate that body size perceptions and dieting among adolescents have changed over time, potentially due to a shifting of body weight norms. Regardless of weight status, adolescents who misperceive their weight status may be at risk for negative health risk behaviors, weight gain/obesity, and psychological problems. Therefore, there is a need for continued awareness and development of obesity interventions that prevent body dissatisfaction and incorporate healthy weight management behaviors for all adolescents.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.
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a.
b. ORs of Underestimating Body Size

Trends over time of underestimating body size

Girls
Boys

*†
The graph illustrates the odds ratios (ORs) of overestimating body size over time for both girls (dashed line) and boys (solid line). The ORs are depicted on the y-axis, with trends over time shown on the x-axis. The graph indicates a decrease in ORs over time for both genders, with a steeper decline for boys compared to girls.

Significance markings (*) and (†) are used to denote statistical significance at different time points. The * symbol indicates a statistical difference, while the † symbol indicates another level of significance or a specific time point of interest.
Figure 1.

a. Overweight Youth: Odds ratios of underestimating body size over time by sex adjusted for individual-level and country level of development covariates
*Significant (p<0.05) difference from Time 1 to Time 2
†Significant (p<0.05) difference from Time 1 to Time 3

b. Overweight Youth: Odds ratios of underestimating body size over time by sex adjusted for individual-level and country level of development covariates and country-level overweight prevalence
*Significant (p<0.05) difference from Time 1 to Time 2
†Significant (p<0.05) difference from Time 1 to Time 3

c. Non-overweight Youth: Odds ratios of overestimating body size over time by sex adjusted for individual-level and country level of development covariates
*Significant (p<0.05) difference from Time 1 to Time 2
†Significant (p<0.05) difference from Time 1 to Time 3

d. Non-overweight Youth: Odds ratios of overestimating body size over time by sex adjusted for individual-level and country level of development covariates and country-level overweight prevalence
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Figure 2.
a. Odds ratios of dieting over time by sex and weight status adjusted for individual-level and country level of development covariates
*Significant (p<0.05) difference from Time 1 to Time 2
†Significant (p<0.05) difference from Time 1 to Time 3

b. Odds ratios of dieting over time by sex and weight status adjusted for individual-level and country level of development covariates and country-level overweight prevalence
*Significant (p<0.05) difference from Time 1 to Time 2
†Significant (p<0.05) difference from Time 1 to Time 3
Table 1

Socio-demographic, body size perception, and dieting characteristics in overweight and non-overweight adolescents over time

| Characteristic or Variable | Overweight Adolescents | Non-overweight Adolescents |
|----------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------------|
|                            | 2001/2002 | 2005/2006 | 2009/2010 | p-value | 2001/2002 | 2005/2006 | 2009/2010 | p-value |
| Girls (n)                  | 3,363     | 3,971     | 4,556     | 34,134   | 35,171    | 35,552    | <0.001    |
| Mean Age (SD)              | 14.6 (1.1)| 14.6 (1.0)| 14.5 (1.0)| 0.003    | 14.6 (1.0)| 14.6 (1.0)| 14.6 (1.0)| <0.001  |
| Mean Body Mass Index (SD)  | 26.3 (2.8)| 26.3 (3.0)| 26.5 (3.2)| 0.003    | 19.1 (2.2)| 19.2 (2.2)| 19.2 (2.2)| <0.001  |
| Body Size Perception       |           |           |           |          |           |           |           |         |
| Much too thin/a bit thin (%) | 0.9      | 0.9       | 1.1       | <0.001   | 12.0      | 12.7      | 12.0      | <0.001  |
| Just about right (%)       | 12.0      | 14.6      | 18.1      |          | 53.6      | 53.9      | 55.2      |         |
| Much too fat/a bit fat (%) | 87.3      | 84.5      | 80.8      |          | 34.5      | 33.4      | 32.9      |         |
| Body Image Distortion      |           |           |           |          |           |           |           |         |
| Non-overestimator (%)      | ---       | ---       | ---       |          | 55.5      | 58.1      | 58.5      | <0.001  |
| Overestimator (%)          | ---       | ---       | ---       |          | 44.5      | 41.9      | 41.5      |         |
| Non-underestimators        | 87.3      | 84.5      | 80.8      | <0.001   | ---       | ---       | ---       |         |
| Underestimators            | 12.7      | 15.5      | 19.2      | ---       | ---       | ---       | ---       |         |
| Dieting for Weight Loss    |           |           |           |          |           |           |           |         |
| No (%)                     | 56.4      | 56.8      | 60.4      | <0.001   | 83.0      | 83.0      | 84.1      | <0.001  |
| Yes (%)                    | 43.6      | 43.2      | 39.6      |          | 17.0      | 17.0      | 15.9      |         |
| Boys (n)                   | 5,045     | 6,127     | 7,200     |          | 29,930    | 31,914    | 32,783    |         |
| Mean Age (SD)              | 14.6 (1.1)| 14.6 (1.0)| 14.6 (1.0)| 0.081    | 14.6 (1.0)| 14.6 (1.0)| 14.6 (1.0)| <0.001  |
| Mean Body Mass Index (SD)  | 25.7 (2.8)| 25.8 (3.0)| 26.0 (3.1)| <0.001   | 19.1 (2.1)| 19.2 (2.1)| 19.2 (2.1)| <0.001  |
| Perceived Body Image       |           |           |           |          |           |           |           |         |
| Much too thin/a bit thin (%) | 1.9      | 2.3       | 2.2       | 0.007    | 20.7      | 21.8      | 21.0      | 0.182   |
| Just about right (%)       | 31.9      | 33.9      | 34.3      |          | 65.3      | 64.3      | 65.2      |         |
| Much too fat/a bit fat (%) | 66.2      | 63.8      | 63.6      |          | 14.0      | 13.9      | 13.7      |         |
| Body Image Distortion      |           |           |           |          |           |           |           |         |
| Non-overestimator (%)      | ---       | ---       | ---       |          | 20.2      | 19.0      | 18.9      |         |
| Overestimator (%)          | ---       | ---       | ---       |          | ---       | ---       | ---       |         |
| Non-underestimators (%)    | 66.2      | 63.8      | 63.6      | 0.007    | ---       | ---       | ---       |         |
| Underestimators (%)        | 33.8      | 36.2      | 36.4      |          | ---       | ---       | ---       |         |
| Characteristic or Variable | Overweight Adolescents | Non-overweight Adolescents | p-value* | p-value* |
|---------------------------|------------------------|----------------------------|----------|----------|
|                           | 2001/2002  | 2005/2006   | 2009/2010 | 2001/2002 | 2005/2006 | 2009/2010 | 2001/2002 | 2005/2006 | 2009/2010 |
| Dieting for Weight Loss   | No (%)      | 77.4        | 74.3       | 74.8      | 0.004     | 95.2       | 94.8       | 94.3      | <0.001    |
|                           | Yes (%)      | 22.6        | 25.7       | 25.2      |           | 4.8        | 5.2        | 5.7       |           |

Note: Developing countries had an average human development index score less than 0.80 over the three waves of data collection.

*p-value for comparisons across years in overweight or non-overweight adolescents; Kendall’s tau for categorical variables and analysis of variance for continuous variables.
Table 2

Logistic regression models examining the relationship between body size perception and dieting for weight loss over time by weight status*

|                  | Overweight Adolescents (perceived overweight) | Non-Overweight Adolescents (perceived overweight) |
|------------------|-----------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------|
|                  | OR    | 95% CI | p-value     | OR    | 95% CI | p-value     |
| **Girls dieting**|       |        |             |       |        |             |
| for weight loss  |       |        |             |       |        |             |
| 2001/2002        | 3.67  | 2.86–4.77 | <0.001 | 4.74  | 4.45–5.06 | <0.001 |
| 2005/2006        | 3.02  | 2.46–3.71 | <0.001 | 4.73  | 4.44–5.04 | <0.001 |
| 2009/2010        | 2.63  | 2.21–3.14 | <0.001 | 4.37  | 4.10–4.66 | <0.001 |
| **Boys dieting** |       |        |             |       |        |             |
| for weight loss  |       |        |             |       |        |             |
| 2001/2002        | 5.15  | 4.23–6.28 | <0.001 | 9.67  | 8.62–10.85 | <0.001 |
| 2005/2006        | 4.18  | 3.59–4.87 | <0.001 | 8.65  | 7.77–9.62 | <0.001 |
| 2009/2010        | 3.69  | 3.29–4.24 | <0.001 | 8.29  | 7.49–9.18 | <0.001 |

*All models tested the interaction between year and perceived overweight, and adjusted for covariates (family affluence, body mass index, country level of development)