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Abstract Companies have been deploying for the last 45 years Technology Based Self-Service systems (TBSS) such as ATMs as a means of replacing human centered interaction with technology in the process of service creation with their customers. Since the year 2010 companies have begun introducing more sophisticated information and communication technologies like Virtual Assistants (VAs) that allow people to interact verbally with cars, navigation devices, smart phones, PCs, tablets etc. (e.g. SIRI, Sher.pa). The companies working in the VA industry are starting to develop these systems for the wearable devices industry. Soon we will be able to interact with a VA in the form of Google Glass or Apple iWatches. Furthermore these companies are starting to test new service delivery alternatives. TBSS research is not able to keep pace with technological developments, therefore the generalisability of extant knowledge to these new technologies remains un-answered. Responding to the above observations, the aim of this study is explorative as it seeks to formulate problems, clarify concepts in order to create hypotheses and a conceptual model in a forthcoming paper. This study involved a thorough review of extant literature, exploratory face-to-face and telephone interviews with experts from different disciplines. Insights obtained from the study suggest the following points: (1) in the future there will be space in the marketplace for the three types of service deliveries identified in the literature: (a) humans, (b) TBSS and (c) intelligent machines such as VAs. (2) Despite the introduction of these new intelligent systems, customers will continue performing the service or parts of the service by themselves with the use of TBSS systems. (3) Users will not be able to absorb all the technological developments at the same speed as the innovations are coming out into the marketplace. (4) Finally intelligent machines such as VAs will foster new innovative service delivery alternatives in which the firm will act together with external parties through informal arrangements or formal alliances with a collaborative mindset. These insights make the following important contributions to the subject matter.
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1. The Evolution of Definitions of Services

Lovelock and Wright [1] provided a general definition of services stating that “a service is an act or performance offered by one party to another”. The process might be tied to a physical product (i.e. an ATM) but the performance and the outcomes are essentially intangible. Therefore services can be considered as economically valuable and beneficial activities for customers at specific times. Zeithaml and Bitner [2] stated that services can be classified in “deeds, processes and performances”. Following this definition, Vargo and Lusch [3] stated that services can be defined as “the application of specialized competences (knowledge and skills) through deeds, processes and performances”. In a forthcoming paper. This study involved a thorough review of extant literature, exploratory face-to-face and telephone interviews with experts from different disciplines. Insights obtained from the study suggest the following points: (1) in the future there will be space in the marketplace for the three types of service deliveries identified in the literature: (a) humans, (b) TBSS and (c) intelligent machines such as VAs. (2) Despite the introduction of these new intelligent systems, customers will continue performing the service or parts of the service by themselves with the use of TBSS systems. (3) Users will not be able to absorb all the technological developments at the same speed as the innovations are coming out into the marketplace. (4) Finally intelligent machines such as VAs will foster new innovative service delivery alternatives in which the firm will act together with external parties through informal arrangements or formal alliances with a collaborative mindset. These insights make the following important contributions to the subject matter.

2. Services and Technology

The confluence of various marketing research fields such
as services marketing, relationship marketing and services has led to the service-centered view developing as the new dominant logic for the discipline (Vargo and Lusch [3]). In this logic, services are viewed as the dominant part of the value creation process (Gummesson [5]) and the customer plays an important active role in such process (Czepiel [7], Lovelock and Wright [1], Zeithaml and Bitner [2]).

The service-centered view of marketing is customer-centric (Sheth et al. [8]) and market driven (Day [9]). This view implies collaborating with and learning from customers and being adaptive to their individual and dynamic needs. The service-centered view implies that value is defined by and co-created with the consumer rather than embedded in output (Vargo and Lusch [3]). Regarding this shifting to co-production activities, firms are also recognizing that, particularly in service contexts, customers are actually co-creators of the service and that the value derived from the service comes through usage and co-creation rather than exclusively through service provision or delivery in the traditional sense (Vargo and Lusch [3]), Michel et al. [10]).

Developments in technology such as the Internet are supporting the ways in which companies interact with their customers in this new service-centered view (Bitner et al. [11]). As a consequence, companies are able to improve communication and speed up their processes (Froehle [12]). Technology is improving the ways in which impersonal services can complement or replace the human element in the process of service creation (Bitner et al. [11]). For example there are now sophisticated information and communication technologies like VAs that allow people to interact verbally with their cars, navigation devices, smart phones, PCs, tablets etc. (e.g. SIRI, Sher.pa). The companies working in the VA industry are starting to develop these systems for the wearable devices industry. Soon we will be able to interact with a VA in a Google Glass or an Apple iWatch. But the possibilities for VAs go further than this. The latest digital technologies are starting to be integrated in previously non-digital products and services such as cars, fridges, thermostats etc. This phenomenon has been coined by the Auto-ID as the Internet of Things (IoT). Another related phenomenon is the Smart City which combines aspects of Internet of Things applied to the management of cities. IBM defined a Smart City as a city that can make its system instrumented, interconnected and intelligent with sensors scattered around the city. Review of the literature revealed that there are very few studies investigating this constant evolution of technologies and business models that are now blurring the line between industries.

3. Technology Based Self-Service (TBSS)

One services and technology field that has received attention by academics and practitioners and from which we can draw some insights is the area of Technology Based Self-Service systems. In the 1960s the potential of TBSS to complement or to replace personal services performed by human service employees was starting to be explored at a time when services were considered less important in economic value creation than commodities (Regan [13]). Since then TBSS has increased its role due to advances in technology growth and the consumer acceptance of these technological interfaces (Bitner et al. [11]).

Anselmsson [14]) explained TBSS as “any activity or benefit based on hard technologies that service providers offer so that customers can perform the service, or parts of the service, by themselves” (Dabholkar [15], [16]). The difference with traditional service is the aspect of who performs the service, the employee or the customer (Anselmsson [14]). In the case of TBSS systems the service provider is a machine used by the customer in order to perform the service by him or herself making it possible to replace the human service employees who were necessary in traditional service delivery (Bitner et al. [11]).

Research has recognized the benefits of TBSS for customers including savings in time and cost, greater control over the service delivery, reducing waiting time, a higher perceived level of customization (Meuter and Bitner [17]), enjoyment from using the technology (Dabholkar [15], [16], [18]) efficiency, flexibility, spontaneous delight (Bitner et al. [11]) and ultimately greater satisfaction (Meuter et al. [6]). Research has also found that TBSS reduces costs and provides opportunities to increase the speed of delivery, precision, customization, productivity, market share, customer satisfaction and customer loyalty and differentiates by way of technological reputation (Meuter and Bitner [17]).

Other authors view TBSS as detrimental to the quality of service and assistance provided to consumers (Newholm et al. [19]). Therefore, an efficient application of TBSS can provide a company with the opportunity to build, maintain and enhance the relationship with its customers. For this purpose it is important that the company understands which types of services can be migrated to TBSS and which customers will accept such change in using the technology.

4. Types of Services

There are several classification schemes for TBSS that have a focus on how the service is delivered. Dabholkar [15], [16] distinguished between person-to-person and person-to-technology service encounters. Anselmsson [14] proposed four situations, direct contact at service site, direct contact at customer’s site, indirect contact at service site and indirect contact at customer’s site. Dabholkar and Bagozzi [21] focused on on-site options and off-site options. Schultze [22] developed a categorization based on personal and impersonal services. Sousa and Voss [23] introduced a classification based on physical and virtual services.
Froehle [12] proposed face-to-face and face-to-screen service situations. More recently Cunningham et al. [24] offered several service categories based on two dimensions customized-standardized and separable-inseparable.

Alba et al. [20] argued that although the purpose of TBSS is comprehensively, the form of the interface is subject to constant technological evolution. This author argues that this point is relevant to the current study because not only the interfaces but also the purposes are now blurring the line between the different categories (i.e. VAs can be used for customer service, transactions and self-help in Wearable Devices or objects from the Internet of Things).

The latest technologies such as VAs (5th generation) incorporate natural-language processing (NLP), semantic technologies, dialogue control, domain knowledge and visual appearance. As a consequence these more sophisticated VAs can understand concepts, can act based on multiple questions in one sentence, support proactive sales and marketing efforts, include analytics and feedback management. These systems are able to understand and more important deliver services to the customer without the customer co-producing parts of the service as in the TBSS logic. Consequently, this example illustrates that there has been a rapid change and evolution of TBSS to intelligent services making it necessary to review current categorizations.

5. The Business Model and Value Proposition of Each Type of Service Delivery

One important aspect of this study is related to the business model and value proposition of the types of service delivery options. Therefore this author is including a brief description of both concepts. Osterwalder and Pigneur [25] proposed a business model as a visual conceptual tool that can help understand how a firm does business. Within the business model, the value proposition is considered the core element and it has been defined as an overall view of a firm’s bundle of products and services that together represent value for specific customer segments.

The business model can be used for different activities of the firm such as analysis, comparison, assessment, management, communication, and innovation. Business models visualize the way the firm defines its competitive strategy through the design of the product or service it offers to its market. It looks to the revenue streams and costs, how it differentiates itself from other firms by the value proposition, and how the firm integrates its own value chain to those of other firms in a value network (Rasmussen [26]). Business models have received important attention in literature and business and it is increasingly suggested that business model innovation increases business performance (Chesbrough [27], Zott et al. [28]). The literature offers different views on the business model: Margretta’s [29], Zott and Amit [30] and Beattie and Smith [31] describe business models as a holistic description on ‘how a firm does business’. Teece [32] proposed that a business model articulates how the company will convert resources and capabilities into economic value. In this study business models were used as a complementary view on the current situation and possible evolution of services delivered by humans, TBSS and Intelligent services. The focus was placed on the value proposition, customer segment and revenue stream parts of the business model by Osterwalder and Pigneur [25].

6. Data and Research Methodology

The goal of this inductive study is explorative as it seeks to formulate problems, clarify concepts and create hypotheses. This study involved a thorough review of extant literature and research and exploratory face-to-face and telephone interviews with experts from different disciplines and fields. The decision to seek information from experts is in line with Dalebout and Wierenga’s [33] assertions on the importance of soliciting expert opinions and perceptions about complex business and management phenomena. Using experts during the exploratory phase is recognised by scholars as a valid way of obtaining consensus and developing a holistic appreciation of the relevant issues (Winkler [34]). In line with Kent [35] discussion with experts:

- Increased this author’s familiarity with the topic under investigation.
- Diagnosis, analysis and evaluation of the nature of potential research problems.
- Establishment of the priorities and objectives of a research investigation to decide which particular issues merited further investigation.
- Information related to practical problems involved with the research, such as issues of data collection and sampling.
- Ideas, insights and suggestions for hypotheses that could be tested.

Interviews were held with experts from:

- **TBSS Industry** - Head Marketing LatAm and Spain at Wincor Nixdorf.
- **VAs Industry** - Founder and CEO of Anboto and Sherpa.
- **Psychology** - Business partner and Advisor of several IT firms.
- **Digital Business Model & Marketing** - VP, Partner and CEO of several Digital Marketing Firms such as Blackout.
- **Social Business & Social Collaboration** - Consultant of Social Business Solutions for Social Collaboration at IBM.

During the explorative interviews, the experts were asked their opinions on five open questions:

(1) What is your view about services provided by human employees?
The experts believed that nowadays and in the future from a user point of view there will be room for the three types of service deliveries, (services delivered by humans, Technology Based Self-service systems and services delivered by intelligent systems like VAs) (see table 1). The experts considered that although some migration might occur between types of services, still the three types will offer value for certain customer segments. Experts are not sure that people will be able to absorb the accelerating evolution of technological advances at the same time as innovations are reaching the marketplace. Finally experts believe that in the case of services delivered by intelligent machines, there will be opportunities to develop new business models.

8. Discussions and Conclusions

The discussions of this study are presented next and the conclusions and suggestions for further research are offered at the end of the section.

**Services delivered by humans:** The experts believed that in the future there will be room for this type of services particularly if the service is complex, there are problems or there is a need for negotiation. This author argues that these views are aligned with literature on services. Some people have a preference to be served by a human rather than by a machine, this variable is known as Need for Interaction (Dabholkar [18], Curran and Meuter [36] and it has been defined as the importance of giving human interaction to the customer in the service encounter (Dabholkar [18]). This can be particularly important in cases of complex services, problems with services or high level or luxury services (E.g. Massages at a 5 star hotel or employees working at a jewellery shop). One comment made by the experts was related to services prone to some negotiating where the customer would rather negotiate with a human than a machine. As one expert pointed out “I don’t see myself negotiating with a robot and trying to convince the robot about something it has not been programmed for”.

| Type of service | Description of the reasons that support the need for a specific type of service | Description of the business model and value proposition that support a specific type of service |
|-----------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Services delivered by humans (E.g. bank employee at a branch) | This type of service delivery will be useful in cases when the customer prefers to be attended by a human and/or the service is complex or there are problems with the service. The reason is that humans can explain the problem to the customer in a human way, they can reassure the customer, and they can be empathic. | The value proposition for the company using human employees for some service deliveries is built around increasing customer satisfaction, customer loyalty and company reputation. The value proposition for the final user is built around resolving complex needs or problems with employees that are experts in their disciplines or fields of service and/or are excellent at customer service practices delivering memorable experiences. |
| Technology Based Self-service systems (E.g. ATM) | This type of service delivery will be useful in cases when the service is predictable, repetitive, simple and the customer sees value in taking control and doing the service by him or herself. | The value proposition for the company acquiring the TBSS system is built around increasing productivity and reducing costs. With TBSS systems the company could migrate the human employees to do more valuable and complex tasks. The value proposition for the final user is built around reducing waiting time, convenience, flexibility and greater control. |
| Services delivered by intelligent machines (E.g. Virtual Assistants 5th generation) | This type of service delivery will be useful in cases when the customer prefers to be attended by an intelligent machine and there is clear added value for using this technology. | The value proposition for the company acquiring the intelligent machine is built around productivity, reducing costs and increasing sales (with techniques such as upselling or cross-selling). The value proposition for the final user is built around the “high added value”. It is like having you own intelligent servant that can be reactive or proactive, can focus on specific tasks or it can serve you an array of your needs. Experts argued that these systems open possibilities for “Triple Helix Business Models” combining private sector, public sector, educational sector. |

Table 1. Summary of the view of experts about the potential mix of type of services
The literature suggests several benefits for using personal service situations. Customers can influence the outcome of the service process directly through interaction with the service employee (Svensson [37]). Furthermore, the behaviour of frontline service personnel as well as the interaction between them and the customers are very important for a service company’s image (Harris and Ogbonna [38]), particularly in the case of a service failure. As the service is delivered by the company’s employee, a failure in the service may be attributed to the employee (Bitner et al. [39]). On the other hand, there are good opportunities for service recovery because the customer and service employee interact directly (Yen et al. [40]). The customer can show his disappointment in real time if the service level performed does not meet his expectations and the service employee has the chance to react accordingly and to correct the situation (Swanson and Kelley [41]). This is very important, since effective service recovery has been found to increase the customer’s perceived level of service quality, even compared with situations where no service failures have occurred (Bitner et al. [42]).

**Technology Based Self-service systems:** The experts believed that in the future there will be room for this type of services particularly if the service is simple and predictable. In fact the experts believe that this type of service will grow in the future because there is clear value for the customer that deploys the system and the user that uses it. The experts commented that one of the key benefits of TBSS for users is that it has made it possible for Low-cost companies to offer cheap prices and their business model is designed around this aspect. As one expert pointed out “Thanks to these self-service technologies people can travel today for very low prices”. Therefore the experts believe that the business rationale in this case is so powerful that firms will continue migrating services from human to TBSS systems.

This author argues that these views are aligned with literature on services (see point 3). For some people it will be very important that the technology is easy to use and useful (Dabholkar and Bagozzi [21], Curran and Meuter [36]). Using TBSS includes benefits such as time saving, more control, flexibility etc. Some people have a desire to seek new stimuli and the variable that explains this characteristic is known as Inherent Novelty Seeking (Dabholkar and Bagozzi [21]). In this line, research has found that while some customers find the interaction with service employees enjoyable, other customers consciously try to avoid personal service encounters, as they are not comfortable dealing with service employees (Meuter et al. [6], Dabholkar et al. [43]).

**Services delivered by intelligent machines:** The experts believed that in the future there will be room for this type of services when there is clear added value for the customer. As one expert working in the VAs sector explained the value proposition to sell VAs is very attractive for the customer. “if you purchase this Virtual Assistant 5th Generation from us it will help you significantly by increasing your sales and reducing your costs”. Also for the final users these systems will provide high added value because these intelligent systems can act for you delivering many services in an array of sectors and disciplines: banking, retail, tourism, health, etc. For the final users it is like having a virtual banker, virtual doctor, virtual teacher, virtual steward etc.

One of the problems with these technologies is that there are very few empirical studies that explain the adoption, benefits and weaknesses of these systems. For example Lind and Salomonson [44] investigated in their paper “The Role of Virtual Servants in e-Interaction”, the case of the virtual servant – Anna at Ikea. The authors concluded that virtual servants support the potential /particular buyer in navigating on the company’s web-site rather than the realization of a purchase. The problem is that the virtual servant Anna at Ikea technology is considered by experts to be quite old already (1st generation) compared to the latest virtual assistants (5th generation). A 1st generation VAs is more a TBSS system than an intelligent system. Another issue that merits attention with these new technologies is related to their business model. In the case of virtual assistants there are several business models being tested nowadays in the market that were explained in this study by the experts:

**Business-to-Business:** In this logic a private company designs, sells, installs and maintains a VA for another company or organization. An example is the case of Anboto a company that has been selling VAs for banks, retailers, airlines etc. In this case the VA is connected to the customer’s database therefore the VA is able to provide services related to the activities of the customer. (E.g. In the case of a retail firm, the virtual assistant can provide information about products, outstanding orders or it can help with the realization of new purchases etc.). In this logic the customer will pay to the supplier an initial fee plus a recurrent regular amount based on some metrics (E.g. Software as a service SaaS). In this logic the VAs is programmed to be somehow specialist in the customer’s sector or discipline. You cannot ask a VA deployed for commercial bank information and/or services about other companies or industries.

**Business-to-Consumers:** In this logic a private company designs a VA that can be integrated into another product (E.g. Siri in the iPhone 4S) as an additional functionality. In the case of Siri, Apple included this virtual assistant free in their smartphones three years ago, and there are plans to develop a Siri-controlled Apple TV or to include Siri in the iWatch in the near future. Another possibility is the approach by Sherpa which offered a VA as an App (to be downloaded free in android) and with a business model based on different monetization techniques, including innovative systems for advertising, affiliate programs, payment transactions, premium services, licensing, among others. In this B2C logic the argument that supports the value proposal is somehow built around the idea that this system might be your universal interface not only to your specific device (I.e. smartphone) but to your digital world.

Despite the attractive possibilities VAs offer compared to TBSS systems, these intelligent systems open important
doubts and questions including: what are the pros and cons of the proactivity potential of these systems?, What happens with issues related to privacy and confidentiality?, What psychological and sociological impacts can these systems have on people and society?. To illustrate these points let’s consider the following example that was discussed with the experts:

- Suppose that you are walking along the street wearing the Google Glasses and suddenly your VA (in this case a free App that you have downloaded in your device) appears in your glasses and your VA advises that you are near a shop with your preferred brands at sale price. Here the logic is commercial proactivity. You continue walking and your VA warns you that you are in danger because a bus might hit you because you are crossing with the traffic lights changing from green to yellow. The system is able to do this because it is connected to sensors in the smart city and the device knows your position via geolocation. Here the logic is a personal security proactivity. This is very good for you because you avoid an accident but is also very good for the city’s health system because they will not have to take care of you in the hospital saving money and resources for the community.

In this case the experts considered that this could open possibilities to build an innovative business model connecting the private and public sector. Experts believe that it is important to differentiate between commercial proactive services and human/social proactive services but as one expert said “thanks to these technologies we will see more and more win-win business models connecting the private and public sector”. The key issue is how to do this in practice.

### 9. Conclusions

In this paper several types of service delivery have been explored. This has been done by interviewing expert informants. The paper’s qualitative approach provides in depth insights about the use of state of art technologies such as VAs for service delivery. The analysis of the literature and the interviews with the experts show that:

1. There will be room for the three types of service deliveries identified, (1) services delivered by humans, (2) services delivered by TBSS systems, (3) services delivered by intelligent machines such as Virtual Assistants 5th generation.
2. In the future customers will continue performing the service or parts of the service by themselves with the use of TBSS systems. In other words intelligent machines will not kill self-service technologies because the business model and value proposition of each type of service is interesting for a type of customer segment.
3. Users will not be able to absorb all the technological developments at the same speed as the innovations are coming out into the marketplace. Experts don’t see dramatic changes of uses and habits with new intelligent technologies. They believe that customers will use intelligent machines only if they see clear added value.

Based on this study this author believes that there might be a mixture of different types of service delivery alternatives including humans, TBSS and intelligent systems. This investigation opens areas for further research including:

- Technology adoption: There are many empirical studies investigating the adoption of TBSS systems. One problem to the knowledge of this author is that there are very few studies investigating the adoption of intelligent services such as VAs, therefore further investigation in this area will be needed. Several disciplines could investigate these systems: marketing, consumer behaviour, psychology, sociology, innovation etc. For instance, if people adopt the technology, could they get addicted to these systems?
- Monofunctional vs multifunctional and reactive vs proactive: Some specific questions arise in relation to the convenience of developing monofunctional and/or multifunctional and reactive and/or proactive intelligent services.
- Privacy and confidentiality: These issues are attracting a lot of interest in digital social networks such as Facebook or Twitter and this author believes this will be an area that deserves attention.
- Business Models and value propositions: Some practical questions arise for the service provider in relation to finding the way to offer on a continuous basis the right mixture of different types of service delivery alternatives.
- Collaboration between firms and other stakeholders: Collaboration between firms and other key stakeholders is becoming more important (Lowitt [45]). Value is no longer created by firms acting alone; instead firms are acting together with external parties through informal arrangements or formal alliances (Beattie and Smith [31], Bocken, et al. [46]). The case of Virtual Assistants shows how value might benefit not only the customer and the final user but society in general. A question arises in relation to the need of investigating the different types of service delivery alternatives not only from the perspective of the service provider and the customer but from a holistic point of view.
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