Relations for product moments and covariances of $k$th records from discrete distributions
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Abstract The aim of this paper is to establish recurrence relations satisfied by product moments and covariances of $k$th records arising from discrete distributions. They will be evaluated for geometric underlying distribution. Then we use these results to obtain formulas for correlation coefficients of geometric $k$th records. We consider all three known types of $k$th records: strong, ordinary, and weak.
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1 Introduction

Let $X_1, X_2, \ldots$ be a sequence of independent and identically distributed (iid) random variables (rv’s) with cumulative distribution function (cdf) $F$. Let $X_{1:n} \leq \cdots \leq X_{n:n}$ stand for the respective order statistics of a random sample $(X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n)$. For positive integer $k$, we define occurrence times $(T_{n}^{(k)}, n \geq 0)$ and values of $k$th records $(R_{n}^{(k)}, n \geq 0)$ as follows:

$$T_{0}^{(k)} = k, \quad T_{n+1}^{(k)} = \min \left\{ j > T_{n}^{(k)} : X_j > X_{T_{n}^{(k)} - k + 1 : T_{n}^{(k)}} \right\}, \quad n \geq 0,$$

$$R_{n}^{(k)} = X_{T_{n}^{(k)} - k + 1 : T_{n}^{(k)}}, \quad n \geq 0.$$
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Note that for \( k = 1 \) the sequences of 1st record times \( (T_n^{(1)}, n \geq 0) \) and 1st records \( (R_n^{(1)}, n \geq 0) \) reduce to the sequences of record times \( (T_n, n \geq 0) \) and record values \( (R_n, n \geq 0) \), respectively. For a deeper discussion of records and \( k \)th records we refer the reader to the monographs of Arnold et al. (1998) and Nevzorov (2001).

If the underlying distribution is continuous, then the sequence of \( k \)th records from a cdf \( F \) is distributed as the sequence of records from a cdf \( F_{1:k} = 1 - (1 - F)^k \):

\[
\left\{ R_n^{(k)}(F), n \geq 0 \right\} \overset{d}{=} [R_n(F_{1:k}), n \geq 0], \quad k = 1, 2, \ldots ,
\]

where \( \overset{d}{=} \) denotes equality in distribution; see Nevzorov (2001, Theorem 22.6). This fact implies that in the continuous case distributional properties of \( k \)th records can be derived in a direct manner from those of records. However, there are some results concerning \( k \)th records which do not follow from the corresponding ones for records. An example of such non-trivial results are various bounds on moments of \( k \)th records, see for example Raqab and Rychlik (2002, 2004, 2011), Klimczak and Rychlik (2004, 2005), Charalambides and Rychlik (2008), Goroncy and Rychlik (2011), Jasiński (2016), Kozyra and Rychlik (2017).

The situation becomes more complicated for discrete distributions. López-Blázquez et al. (2005) and Dembińska and López-Blázquez (2005b) showed that then (1.1) is not valid. Moreover, in the last-mentioned paper definitions of strong and weak \( k \)th records were introduced.

**Definition 1** The sequences of strong \( k \)th record times \( (L_n^{(k)}, n \geq 0) \) and strong \( k \)th records \( (S_n^{(k)}, n \geq 0) \) are given by:

\[
L_0^{(k)} = 1, \quad L_{n+1}^{(k)} = \min \left\{ j > L_n^{(k)} : X_{j:j+k-1} > X_{L_n^{(k)},L_n^{(k)}+k-1} \right\}, \quad n \geq 0,
\]

\[
S_n^{(k)} = X_{L_n^{(k)},L_n^{(k)}+k-1} \quad n \geq 0.
\]

**Definition 2** The sequences of weak \( k \)th record times \( (U_n^{(k)}, n \geq 0) \) and weak \( k \)th records \( (W_n^{(k)}, n \geq 0) \) are given by:

\[
U_0^{(k)} = k, \quad U_{n+1}^{(k)} = \min \left\{ j > U_n^{(k)} : X_j \geq X_{U_n^{(k)}-k+1:U_n^{(k)}} \right\}, \quad n \geq 0,
\]

\[
W_n^{(k)} = X_{U_n^{(k)}-k+1:U_n^{(k)}} \quad n \geq 0.
\]

When \( k = 1 \), the definition of 1st weak records amounts to the definition of weak records \( (W_n, n \geq 0) \), which were introduced by Vervaat (1973) and investigated among others by Stepanov (1992, 1993), Wesolowski and Ahsanullah (2001), Stepanov et al. (2003), Dembińska and Stepanov (2006), Danielak and Dembińska (2007a,b), Ahsanullah and Aliev (2011), Karczewski and Wesolowski (2017).

Properties of weak \( k \)th records, (ordinary) \( k \)th records and strong \( k \)th records are extensively studied in the literature. We refer to Dembińska and López-Blázquez (2005a), Dembińska (2007a,b, 2008), Dembińska and Danielak (2008) and Oncel and Aliev (2016). However, many problems concerning \( k \)th records from discrete
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distributions are still open. The aim of this paper is to fill this gap in the literature.
Precisely, we derive recurrence relations satisfied by product moments of kth records arising from discrete distributions. These results together with the corresponding ones for single moments obtained by Dembińska and Danielak (2008) allow us to establish direct formulas for covariances and correlation coefficients between \( R_m^{(k)} \) and \( R_n^{(k)} \), \( W_m^{(k)} \) and \( W_n^{(k)} \) or \( S_m^{(k)} \) and \( S_n^{(k)} \), \( 0 \leq m < n \) in some specific cases, when the underlying distribution is geometric.

2 Basic properties of discrete kth records

In this section, we recall some results concerning the distribution of discrete kth records, which can be found in Dembińska and López-Blázquez (2005b) and Dembińska and Danielak (2008). They will be useful in Sect. 3.

From now on we assume that \( X_1, X_2, \ldots \) are iid rv’s with support on non-negative integers, probability mass function \( p_j = P(X_1 = j) \) and \( q_j = P(X_1 \geq j), \ j \geq 0. \)

The following lemma describes how the distributional properties of discrete strong kth records can be derived from properties of records \( (R_n, n \geq 0) \).

**Lemma 1** For any \( k = 1, 2, \ldots \) the sequence of strong kth records \( (S_n^{(k)}, n \geq 0) \) from a cdf \( F \) is identical in distribution to a sequence of records \( (R_n, n \geq 0) \) from a cdf \( F_1 = 1 - (1 - F)^k \):

\[
\{ S_n^{(k)}(F), n \geq 0 \} \overset{d}{=} \{ R_n(F_1), n \geq 0 \} .
\] (2.1)

For ordinary and weak kth records the analogs of (2.1) are not valid. To analyze their properties, it is convenient to define new rv’s \( \eta_m^{(k)} \), \( \xi_m^{(k)} \), \( m = 0, 1, \ldots, k = 1, 2, \ldots \) as follows:

\[
\eta_m^{(k)} = s \text{ if there are exactly } s \text{ kth records that are equal to } m,
\]
\[
\xi_m^{(k)} = s \text{ if there are exactly } s \text{ weak kth records that are equal to } m.
\]

To pass from dependent ordinary kth records and weak kth records to independent rv’s we use the lemma and representation given below.

**Lemma 2** For any \( k = 1, 2, \ldots \) and \( m = 0, 1, \ldots \):

(a) the rv’s \( \eta_0^{(k)}, \eta_1^{(k)}, \ldots \) are independent and \( \eta_m^{(k)} \) has a binomial distribution

\[
P(\eta_m^{(k)} = s) = \binom{k}{s} \left( \frac{p_m}{q_m} \right)^s \left( 1 - \frac{p_m}{q_m} \right)^{k-s}, \ s = 0, 1, \ldots, k,
\]

\( \odot \) Springer
(b) the rv's $\hat{\xi}_0^{(k)}$, $\hat{\xi}_1^{(k)}$ ... are independent and $\hat{\xi}_m^{(k)}$ has a negative binomial distribution nb$(k,1-\frac{p_m}{q_m})$ with

$$P(\hat{\xi}_m^{(k)} = s) = \left(\frac{s + k - 1}{s}\right) \left(\frac{p_m}{q_m}\right)^s \left(1 - \frac{p_m}{q_m}\right)^k, \quad s = 0, 1, \ldots$$

**Representation 1**  For $m = 1, 2, \ldots, n = 0, 1, \ldots,$ and $k = 1, 2, \ldots$:

$$\{R_n^{(k)} > m\} = \left\{\eta_0^{(k)} + \eta_1^{(k)} + \cdots + \eta_m^{(k)} \leq n\right\},$$

$$\{W_n^{(k)} > m\} = \left\{\xi_0^{(k)} + \xi_1^{(k)} + \cdots + \xi_m^{(k)} \leq n\right\}.$$

Now, we are in a position to derive formulas for product moments and covariances of $k$th records, weak $k$th records and strong $k$th records. All these results will be evaluated for the geometric underlying distribution $ge(p)$ with $p_j = pq^j$, $j = 0, 1, \ldots$, where $q = 1 - p \in (0, 1)$. We will use the recurrence relations for the first moment of any discrete ordinary and weak $k$th records established by Dembińska and Danielak (2008). They showed that for $k = 1, 2, \ldots$

$$E\left(R_n^{(k)}\right) = \begin{cases} \sum_{m_1=0}^{\infty} q_{m_1+1}^k, & n = 0, \\ E\left(R_{n-1}^{(k)}\right) + \sum_{m_1=0}^{\infty} P\left(N_{m_1}^{(k)} = n\right), & n \geq 1, \end{cases} \quad (2.2)$$

$$E\left(W_n^{(k)}\right) = \begin{cases} \sum_{m_1=0}^{\infty} q_{m_1+1}^k, & n = 0, \\ E\left(W_{n-1}^{(k)}\right) + \sum_{m_1=0}^{\infty} P\left(M_{m_1}^{(k)} = n\right), & n \geq 1, \end{cases} \quad (2.3)$$

with $N_{m}^{(k)} = \eta_0^{(k)} + \eta_1^{(k)} + \cdots + \eta_m^{(k)}$ and $M_{m}^{(k)} = \hat{\xi}_0^{(k)} + \hat{\xi}_1^{(k)} + \cdots + \hat{\xi}_m^{(k)}$ respectively, where the rv’s $\hat{\xi}_i^{(k)}$ and $\eta_i^{(k)}$ are described in Lemma 2. Moreover, in the geometric case, they obtained simple expressions for means and variances as follows

$$E\left(R_n^{(k)}\right) = \sum_{j=0}^{n} \frac{p_j^j}{j!} \left(\frac{1}{1 - q^k}\right)^{(j)} - 1, \quad E\left(W_n^{(k)}\right) = q \sum_{j=0}^{n} \frac{p_j^j}{j!} \left(\frac{q^{k+j-1}}{1 - q^k}\right)^{(j)}, \quad (2.4)$$

$$\text{Var}\left(R_0^{(k)}\right) = \text{Var}\left(W_0^{(k)}\right) = \frac{q^k}{(1 - q^k)^2}, \quad (2.5)$$

where $(f(q))^{(j)}$ stands for the $j$th derivative of the function $f(q)$. In the next section, (2.4) and (2.5) will be used to get covariances and correlation coefficients for geometric $k$th records.
3 Product moments and covariances

To derive formulas expressing product moments of \( k \)th records arising from discrete distributions we will use a multivariate extension of Hoeffding’s (1940) lemma. Block and Fang (1988) showed that if \( Z_1, \ldots, Z_d \) are non negative rv’s then

\[
E (Z_1 \ldots Z_d) = \int_0^\infty \cdots \int_0^\infty P(Z_1 > x_1, \ldots, Z_d > x_d) \, dx_1 \ldots dx_d.
\]

If \( Z_1, \ldots, Z_d \) take values in the set of non-negative integers, the above expression can be written as

\[
E (Z_1 \ldots Z_d) = \sum_{m_1=0}^\infty \cdots \sum_{m_d=0}^\infty P(Z_1 > m_1, \ldots, Z_d > m_d). \tag{3.1}
\]

In particular, we obtain well-known formulas for the first two moments of a single rv \( Z_1 \):

\[
E Z_1 = \sum_{m=0}^\infty P(Z_1 > m) \quad \text{and} \quad E Z_1^2 = \sum_{m=0}^\infty (2m + 1) P(Z_1 > m).
\]

3.1 \( k \)th records

We begin with a general result on ordinary \( k \)th records. We use the convention that \( R^{(k)}_{-1} = 0 \) for \( k \geq 1 \).

**Theorem 1** For any \( k = 1, 2, \ldots \), and \( 0 \leq m < n \):

\[
E \left( R^{(k)}_m R^{(k)}_n \right) = E \left( R^{(k)}_{m-1} R^{(k)}_n \right) + \sum_{m_1=0}^\infty P \left( N^{(k)}_{m_1} = m \right) \times \sum_{m_2=m_1}^\infty \sum_{j=0}^{n-m} P \left( N^{(k)}_{m_2} - N^{(k)}_{m_1} = j \right) + \sum_{m_1=0}^\infty m_1 P \left( N^{(k)}_{m_1} = m \right).
\]

**Proof** Using (3.1) for \( d = 2 \) and Representation 1 we get

\[
E \left( R^{(k)}_m R^{(k)}_n \right) = \sum_{m_1=0}^\infty \sum_{m_2=0}^\infty P \left( R^{(k)}_m > m_1, R^{(k)}_n > m_2 \right)
\]

\[
= \sum_{m_1=0}^\infty \sum_{m_2=0}^\infty P \left( N^{(k)}_{m_1} \leq m, N^{(k)}_{m_2} \leq n \right)
\]

\[
= \sum_{m_1=0}^\infty \sum_{m_2=0}^\infty P \left( N^{(k)}_{m_1} \leq m - 1, N^{(k)}_{m_2} \leq n \right)
\]

\[
+ \sum_{m_1=0}^\infty \sum_{m_2=0}^\infty P \left( N^{(k)}_{m_1} = m, N^{(k)}_{m_2} \leq n \right)
\]
\[ \begin{align*}
&= \mathbb{E}(R_m^{(k)} R_n^{(k)}) + \sum_{m_1=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m_2=m_1}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}\left(N_{m_1}^{(k)} = m, N_{m_2}^{(k)} \leq n\right) \\
&\quad + \sum_{m_1=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m_2=0}^{m_1-1} \mathbb{P}\left(N_{m_1}^{(k)} = m, N_{m_2}^{(k)} \leq n\right). \quad (3.2)
\end{align*} \]

When \( m_2 < m_1 \), the inequality \( N_{m_2}^{(k)} = \eta_0^{(k)} + \ldots + \eta_{m_2}^{(k)} \leq m < n \) implies \( \mathbb{P}(N_{m_1}^{(k)} = m, N_{m_2}^{(k)} \leq n) = \mathbb{P}(N_{m_1}^{(k)} = m) \). Thus

\[ \sum_{m_1=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m_2=0}^{m_1-1} \mathbb{P}\left(N_{m_1}^{(k)} = m, N_{m_2}^{(k)} \leq n\right) = \sum_{m_1=0}^{\infty} m_1 \mathbb{P}\left(N_{m_1}^{(k)} = m\right). \quad (3.3) \]

By similar arguments, for \( m_2 \geq m_1 \), we get

\[ \mathbb{P}\left(N_{m_1}^{(k)} = m, N_{m_2}^{(k)} \leq n\right) = \sum_{j=0}^{n-m} \mathbb{P}\left(N_{m_1}^{(k)} = m, N_{m_2}^{(k)} - N_{m_1}^{(k)} = j\right). \]

Hence, the independence of rv’s \( N_{m_1}^{(k)} \) and \( N_{m_2}^{(k)} - N_{m_1}^{(k)} \) implies

\[ \mathbb{P}\left(N_{m_1}^{(k)} = m, N_{m_2}^{(k)} \leq n\right) = \mathbb{P}\left(N_{m_1}^{(k)} = m\right) \sum_{j=0}^{n-m} \mathbb{P}\left(N_{m_2}^{(k)} - N_{m_1}^{(k)} = j\right). \quad (3.4) \]

Now, applying (3.3) and (3.4) to (3.2), we complete the proof. \( \square \)

Theorem 1 and formula (2.2) give the following recurrence relations for covariances between \( k \)th records.

**Corollary 1** For any \( k = 1, 2, \ldots, \) and \( 0 \leq m < n \):

\[ \text{Cov}(R_m^{(k)}, R_n^{(k)}) = \text{Cov}(R_{m-1}^{(k)}, R_n^{(k)}) + \sum_{m_1=0}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}\left(N_{m_1}^{(k)} = m\right) \sum_{m_2=m_1}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}\left(N_{m_2}^{(k)} - N_{m_1}^{(k)} = j\right) \]

\[ + \sum_{m_1=0}^{\infty} \left(m_1 - \mathbb{E}(R_n^{(k)})\right) \mathbb{P}\left(N_{m_1}^{(k)} = m\right). \]

The geometric distribution seems to be the only one for which the recurrence relations given in Theorem 1 and Corollary 1 lead to simple expressions.

**Theorem 2** Assume that the parent distribution is geometric \( g(p) \). Then for \( k = 1, 2, \ldots \) and \( 0 \leq m < n \):
Proof In the geometric case, the rv’s \( \eta_i^{(k)} \), \( i = 0, 1, \ldots \) are not only independent, but also have the same binomial distribution \( b(k, p) \). Hence, \( N_{m_1}^{(k)} \) has a binomial distribution with parameters \( (m_1 + 1)k \) and \( p \). With the convention \( \binom{a}{b} = 0 \) for \( a < b \), it follows that

\[
\sum_{m_1=0}^{\infty} m_1 \Pr \left( N_{m_1}^{(k)} = m \right) = \left( \frac{p}{q} \right)^m \sum_{m_1=0}^{\infty} m_1 \binom{(m_1 + 1)k}{m_1} q^{(m_1+1)k} = \left( \frac{p}{q} \right)^m \left[ \sum_{m_1=1}^{\infty} m_1 \binom{m_1 k}{m} q^{m_1 k} - \sum_{m_1=1}^{\infty} \binom{m_1 k}{m} q^{m_1 k} \right] = \left( \frac{p}{q} \right)^m \left[ \frac{q}{k} \sum_{m_1=1}^{\infty} m_1 \binom{m_1 k}{m} q^{m_1 k-1} - \sum_{m_1=0}^{\infty} \binom{m_1 k}{m} q^{m_1 k} + I_{[m=0]} \right],
\]

where \( I_{[\cdot]} \) is the indicator function such as \( I_{[m=0]} = 1 \) if \( m = 0 \) and \( I_{[m=0]} = 0 \) otherwise. Using the formulas

\[
\sum_{m_1=0}^{\infty} \binom{m_1 k}{m} q^{m_1 k} = \frac{q^m}{m!} \sum_{m_1=0}^{\infty} (m_1 k)(m_1 k - 1) \ldots (m_1 k - m + 1)q^{m_1 k-m} = \frac{q^m}{m!} \left( \sum_{m_1=0}^{\infty} q^{m_1 k} \right)^{(m)} = \frac{q^m}{m!} \left( \frac{1}{1-q^k} \right)^{(m)}
\]
and
\[
\sum_{m_1=1}^{\infty} m_1 k \binom{m_1 k}{m} q^{m_1 k-1} = \left( \frac{q^m}{m!} \left( \frac{1}{1-q^k} \right)^{(m)} \right)^{(1)} \\
= \frac{q^{m-1}}{m!} \left[ m \left( \frac{1}{1-q^k} \right)^{(m)} + q \left( \frac{1}{1-q^k} \right)^{(m+1)} \right],
\]
for \( m \geq 0 \), after some algebra, we obtain
\[
\sum_{m_1=1}^{\infty} m_1 \mathbb{P} \left( N_{m_1}^{(k)} = m \right) = \frac{p^m}{k m!} \left[ (m-k) \left( \frac{1}{1-q^k} \right)^{(m)} + q \left( \frac{1}{1-q^k} \right)^{(m+1)} + k I_{\{m=0\}} \right]. 
\]

Further, notice that \( N_{m_2}^{(k)} - N_{m_1}^{(k)} \) has a binomial distribution with parameters \((m_2 - m_1)k\) and \( p \). Consequently, by (3.7) we have
\[
\sum_{m_2=m_1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=0}^{n-m} \mathbb{P} \left( N_{m_2}^{(k)} - N_{m_1}^{(k)} = j \right) \\
= \sum_{j=0}^{n-m} \frac{p^j}{q^j} \sum_{m_2=0}^{\infty} \binom{m_2 k}{j} q^{m_2 k} = \sum_{j=0}^{n-m} \frac{p^j}{j!} \left( \frac{1}{1-q^k} \right)^{(j)}. 
\]
This implies
\[
\sum_{m_1=0}^{\infty} \mathbb{P} \left( N_{m_1}^{(k)} = m \right) \sum_{m_2=m_1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=0}^{n-m} \mathbb{P} \left( N_{m_2}^{(k)} - N_{m_1}^{(k)} = j \right) \\
= \left( \frac{p}{q} \right)^m \sum_{j=0}^{n-m} \frac{p^j}{j!} \left( \frac{1}{1-q^k} \right)^{(j)} \sum_{m_1=1}^{\infty} \binom{m_1 k}{m} q^{m_1 k}.
\]
Using again (3.7), we can rewrite the last series as
\[
\sum_{m_1=1}^{\infty} \binom{m_1 k}{m} q^{m_1 k} = \left[ \frac{q^m}{m!} \left( \frac{1}{1-q^k} \right)^{(m)} - I_{\{m=0\}} \right].
\]
Hence
\[
\sum_{m_1=0}^{\infty} \mathbb{P} \left( N_{m_1}^{(k)} = m \right) \sum_{m_2=m_1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=0}^{n-m} \mathbb{P} \left( N_{m_2}^{(k)} - N_{m_1}^{(k)} = j \right) \\
= \begin{cases} 
\frac{q^m}{1-q^k} \sum_{j=0}^{n} \frac{p^j}{j!} \left( \frac{1}{1-q^k} \right)^{(j)}, & m = 0, \\
\frac{p^m}{m!} \left( \frac{1}{1-q^k} \right)^{(m)} \sum_{j=0}^{n-m} \frac{p^j}{j!} \left( \frac{1}{1-q^k} \right)^{(j)}, & m \geq 1.
\end{cases}
\]
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Combining the above equality with (3.8) and Theorem 1, we get (3.5). Now, (3.5) and (2.4) give immediately (3.6). Indeed, for \( m = 0 \) we obtain

\[
\text{Cov} \left( R_0^{(k)}, R_n^{(k)} \right) = \mathbb{E}(R_0^{(k)} R_n^{(k)}) - \mathbb{E}(R_0^{(k)}) \mathbb{E}(R_n^{(k)})
\]

\[
= \frac{q^k}{1 - q^k} \sum_{j=0}^{n} \frac{p^j}{j!} \left( \frac{1}{1 - q^k} \right)^{(j)} + \frac{q^{2k}}{(1 - q^k)^2}
\]

\[
- \frac{q^k}{1 - q^k} \left[ \sum_{j=0}^{n} \frac{p^j}{j!} \left( \frac{1}{1 - q^k} \right)^{(j)} - 1 \right]
\]

\[
= \frac{q^k}{(1 - q^k)^2} = \text{Var} \left( R_0^{(k)} \right).
\]

The last equality is a consequence of (2.5).

Recurrence relations (3.5) and (3.6) can be further simplified for \( k = 1 \) and \( k = 2 \). An easy computation shows that if \( k = 1 \) then we get

\[
\mathbb{E}(R_m R_n) = \frac{(n + q)(m + q) + (m + 1)q}{p^2}, \quad \text{Cov}(R_m, R_n) = \frac{(m + 1)q}{p^2}, \quad 0 \leq m < n.
\]

(3.9)

Note that the above conclusion can be also derived by use of the well-known representation \( R_n \overset{d}{=} \sum_{j=1}^{n+1} X_j + n \), where \( X_1, X_2, \ldots \) are original iid \( ge(p) \) rv’s; see Arnold et al. (1998).

Setting \( k = 2 \) and \( m = 0 \) in (3.5) and (3.6) yields

\[
\mathbb{E}(R_0^{(2)} R_n^{(2)}) = \frac{q^2}{1 - q^2} \sum_{j=0}^{n} \frac{p^j}{j!} \left( \frac{1}{1 - q^2} \right)^{(j)} + \frac{q^4}{(1 - q^2)^2},
\]

\[
\text{Cov}(R_0^{(2)}, R_n^{(2)}) = \text{Var}(R_0^{(2)}) = \frac{q^2}{(1 - q^2)^2}.
\]

By the identity

\[
\left( \frac{1}{1 - q^2} \right)^{(j)} = \frac{j!}{2} \left[ \frac{1}{p^{j+1}} + \frac{(-1)^j}{(q + 1)^{j+1}} \right],
\]

(3.10)

after some algebra, we obtain

\[
\mathbb{E}(R_0^{(2)} R_n^{(2)}) = \frac{q^2}{1 - q^2} \left[ \frac{n + 1}{2p} + \frac{1}{4} \left( 1 - \left( \frac{q - 1}{1 + q} \right)^{n+1} \right) \right] + \frac{q^2}{1 - q^2}.
\]

Remark 1 Note that (3.6) implies that for the geometric distribution we have

\[
\rho \left( R_0^{(k)}, R_n^{(k)} \right) = \sqrt{\text{Var} R_0^{(k)} / \text{Var} R_n^{(k)}}, \quad n, k = 1, 2, \ldots
\]
3.2 Weak $k$th records

The following theorem is analogous to Theorem 1 and may be proved in much the same way with the convention that $W_{-1}^{(k)} = 0$ for $k \geq 1$, so its proof is omitted.

**Theorem 3** For any $k = 1, 2, \ldots$, and $0 \leq m < n$:

$$
E \left( W_m^{(k)} W_n^{(k)} \right) = E \left( W_{m-1}^{(k)} W_n^{(k)} \right) + \sum_{m_1=0}^{\infty} \Pr \left( M_{m_1}^{(k)} = m \right) \sum_{m_2=m_1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=0}^{n-m} \Pr \left( M_{m_2}^{(k)} - M_{m_1}^{(k)} = j \right)
$$

$$
+ \sum_{m_1=0}^{\infty} \Pr \left( M_{m_1}^{(k)} = m \right).
$$

Theorem 3 and formula (2.3) yield the following recurrence relations for covariances between weak $k$th records.

**Corollary 2** For any $k = 1, 2, \ldots$, and $0 \leq m < n$:

$$
\text{Cov} \left( W_m^{(k)}, W_n^{(k)} \right) = \text{Cov} \left( W_{m-1}^{(k)}, W_n^{(k)} \right) + \sum_{m_1=0}^{\infty} \Pr \left( M_{m_1}^{(k)} = m \right) \sum_{m_2=m_1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=0}^{n-m} \Pr \left( M_{m_2}^{(k)} - M_{m_1}^{(k)} = j \right)
$$

$$
+ \sum_{m_1=0}^{\infty} \left( m_1 - E \left( W_n^{(k)} \right) \right) \Pr \left( M_{m_1}^{(k)} = m \right).
$$

The next result shows, that the conclusion of Theorem 3 and Corollary 2 can be simplified in the geometric case.

**Theorem 4** Assume that the parent distribution is geometric $ge(p)$. Then for $k = 1, 2, \ldots$ and $0 \leq m < n$:

$$
E \left( W_m^{(k)} W_n^{(k)} \right) = E \left( W_{m-1}^{(k)} W_n^{(k)} \right) + q \frac{p^m}{m!} \left( \frac{q^{k+m-1}}{1-q^k} \right)^{(m)}
$$

$$
\times \left[ q \sum_{j=1}^{n-m} \frac{p^j}{j!} \left( \frac{q^{k+j-1}}{1-q^k} \right)^{(j)} + \frac{1}{1-q^k} \right]
$$

$$
+ q \frac{p^m}{k \ m!} \left[ (1-k) \left( \frac{q^{k+m-1}}{1-q^k} \right)^{(m)} + q \left( \frac{q^{k+m-1}}{1-q^k} \right)^{(m+1)} \right] \quad (3.11)
$$
and

\[
\text{Cov} \left( W_m^{(k)}, W_n^{(k)} \right) = \text{Cov} \left( W_{m-1}^{(k)}, W_n^{(k)} \right) + q \frac{p^m}{m!} \left( \frac{q^k}{1-q^k} \right)^{(m)} \times \left[ 1 - q \sum_{j=m-n+1}^{n} \frac{p^j}{j!} \left( \frac{q^{j-1}}{1-q^k} \right)^{(j)} \right] + q \frac{p^m}{k \cdot m!} \left[ (1-k) \left( \frac{q^{k+m-1}}{1-q^k} \right)^{(m)} + q \left( \frac{q^k}{1-q^k} \right)^{(m+1)} \right]
\]

(3.12)

**Proof** In the geometric case, the rv \( M_m^{(k)} \) is a sum of iid rv’s \( \xi_i^{(k)}, i = 0, 1, \ldots, m_1 \). Notice that \( M_m^{(k)} \) has a negative binomial distribution \( nb(k(m_1 + 1), 1 - p) \), because \( \xi_i \) has a negative binomial distribution \( nb(k, 1 - p) \). With the convention \( (a^b) = 0 \) for \( a < b \), it follows that

\[
\sum_{m_1=0}^{\infty} m_1 P \left( M_m^{(k)} = m_1 \right) = \sum_{m_1=0}^{\infty} m_1 \left( \frac{(m_1+1)k+m-1}{m} \right) q^{(m_1+1)k} \frac{p^m}{m!} \]

\[
= \frac{p^m q}{k} \sum_{m_1=1}^{\infty} m_1 k \left( \frac{m_1 k + m - 1}{m} \right) q^{m_1 k} - \frac{k}{q} \sum_{m_1=1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{m_1 k + m - 1}{m} \right) q^{m_1 k} \]

Using the formulas

\[
\sum_{m_1=1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{m_1 k + m - 1}{m} \right) q^{m_1 k} = \frac{q}{m!} \left( \sum_{m_1=1}^{\infty} q^{m_1 k + m - 1} \right)^{(m)} = \frac{q}{m!} \left( \frac{q^{k+m-1}}{1-q^k} \right)^{(m)}
\]

and

\[
\sum_{m_1=1}^{\infty} m_1 k \left( \frac{m_1 k + m - 1}{m} \right) q^{m_1 k-1} = \frac{q}{m!} \left( \frac{q^{k+m-1}}{1-q^k} \right)^{(m)} \]

\[
= \frac{1}{m!} \left( \frac{q^{k+m-1}}{1-q^k} \right)^{(m)} + \frac{q}{m!} \left( \frac{q^{k+m-1}}{1-q^k} \right)^{(m+1)}
\]
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for \( m \geq 0 \), we get

\[
\sum_{m_1=0}^{\infty} m_1 P\left(M_{m_1}^{(k)} = m\right) = \frac{q}{k} P^m \left(1 - \frac{k}{1 - q^k}\right)^{(m)} + q \left(\frac{k^{m+1}}{1 - q^k}\right)^{(m+1)}.
\]

(3.14)

Further, since \( M_{m_2}^{(k)} - M_{m_1}^{(k)} \) has a negative binomial distribution \( \text{nb}\left((m_2 - m_1)k, 1 - p\right) \) and by (3.13), we obtain

\[
\sum_{m_2=m_1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=0}^{n-m} P\left(M_{m_2}^{(k)} - M_{m_1}^{(k)} = j\right) = \sum_{j=1}^{n-m} p^j \sum_{m_2=0}^{\infty} \binom{m_2k + j - 1}{j} q^{m_2k} + \sum_{m_2=0}^{\infty} q^{m_2k}
\]

\[
= q \sum_{j=1}^{n-m} \frac{p^j}{j!} \left(\frac{q^{k+j-1}}{1 - q^k}\right)^{(j)} + \frac{1}{1 - q^k}.
\]

Hence

\[
\sum_{m_1=0}^{\infty} P\left(M_{m_1}^{(k)} = m\right) \sum_{m_2=m_1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=0}^{n-m} P\left(M_{m_2}^{(k)} - M_{m_1}^{(k)} = j\right) = p^m \sum_{m_1=1}^{\infty} \binom{m_1k + m - 1}{m} q^{m_1k}
\]

\[
\times \sum_{m_2=m_1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=0}^{n-m} P\left(M_{m_2}^{(k)} - M_{m_1}^{(k)} = j\right)
\]

\[
= q \frac{p^m}{m!} \left(\frac{k^{m+1}}{1 - q^k}\right)^{(m)} \left[q \sum_{j=1}^{n-m} \frac{p^j}{j!} \left(\frac{q^{k+j-1}}{1 - q^k}\right)^{(j)} + \frac{1}{1 - q^k}\right],
\]

where the last equality is a consequence of (3.13). Combining it with (3.14) and Theorem 3 we obtain (3.11). Moreover, analogously to the proof of (3.6), formulas (3.11) and (2.4) imply immediately (3.12). In particular, for \( m = 0 \) we get

\[
\text{Cov}(W_0^{(k)}, W_n^{(k)}) = \text{Var}(W_0^{(k)}).
\]

\[\square\]

We can simplify recurrence relations (3.11) and (3.12) for \( k = 1 \) and \( k = 2 \). When \( k = 1 \), simple algebra yields

\[
E(W_m W_n) = \frac{q(m+1)[q(n+1) + 1]}{p^2}, \quad \text{Cov}(W_m, W_n) = \frac{(m+1)q}{p^2}, \quad 0 \leq m < n.
\]

Note that the above expressions can be also derived by use of the well-known representation \( W_n \overset{d}{=} \sum_{j=1}^{n+1} X_j \), where \( X_1, X_2, \ldots \) are original iid \( \text{ge}(p) \) rv’s; see e.g. Dembińska (2007b).
Setting \( k = 2 \) and \( m = 0 \) in (3.11) and (3.12), we obtain

\[
E \left(W_0^{(2)} W_n^{(2)}\right) = \frac{q^2}{1 - q^2} \left[q \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{p^j}{j!} \left(\frac{q^{j+1}}{1 - q^2}\right)^{(j)} + \frac{1}{1 - q^2}\right] + \frac{q^4}{(1 - q^2)^2},
\]

\[
\text{Cov} \left(W_0^{(2)}, W_n^{(2)}\right) = \text{Var} \left(W_0^{(k)}\right) = \frac{q^2}{(1 - q^2)^2}.
\]

Observe that for odd \( j \):

\[
\left(\frac{q^{j+1}}{1 - q^2}\right)^{(j)} = (q^{j+1} + q^{j+3} + \cdots)^{(j)} = (1 + q^2 + q^4 + \cdots)^{(j)} = \left(\frac{1}{1 - q^2}\right)^{(j)}
\]

while for even \( j \):

\[
\left(\frac{q^{j+1}}{1 - q^2}\right)^{(j)} = (q + q^3 + q^5 + \cdots)^{(j)} = \left(\frac{q}{1 - q^2}\right)^{(j)}.
\]

Combining the above relations with (3.10) and

\[
\left(\frac{q}{1 - q^2}\right)^{(j)} = j! \left[\frac{(-1)^{j+1}}{(q + 1)^{j+1}} + \frac{1}{(1 - q)^{j+1}}\right],
\]

we get for \( j \geq 0 \):

\[
\frac{p^j}{j!} \left(\frac{q^{j+1}}{1 - q^2}\right)^{(j)} = \frac{1}{2p} \left[1 - \left(\frac{p}{2 - p}\right)^{j+1}\right],
\]

which, after some algebra, gives

\[
E \left(W_0^{(2)} W_n^{(2)}\right) = \frac{q^2}{1 - q^2} \left[nq + \frac{1}{2p} + \frac{1}{4} \left(1 + \left(\frac{p}{2 - p}\right)^{n+1}\right)\right] + \frac{q^4}{(1 - q^2)^2}.
\]

**Remark 2** Note that (3.12) implies that for the geometric distribution we have

\[
\rho \left(W_0^{(k)}, W_n^{(k)}\right) = \sqrt{\text{Var} W_0^{(k)} / \text{Var} W_n^{(k)}} \quad n, k = 1, 2 \ldots.
\]

### 3.3 Strong \( k \)th records

By Lemma 1, to derive expressions for the product moments of strong \( k \)th records from cdf \( F \), it suffices to find the corresponding ones of records from cdf \( F_{1:k} \):

\[
E \left(S_m^{(k)}(F) S_n^{(k)}(F)\right) = E \left( R_m(F_{1:k}) R_n(F_{1:k})\right).
\]
This relation enables us to obtain easily the desired formulas for geometric strong
$k$th records. Formulas given in (3.9) with the fact that $F_{1:k}$ is geometric $ge(1 - q^k)$
provided that $F$ is geometric $ge(p)$, imply the following theorem.

**Theorem 5** For any $0 \leq m < n$ and $k = 1, 2, \ldots$:

$$E\left(S_m^{(k)}S_n^{(k)}\right) = \frac{(n + q^k)(m + q^k) + (m + 1)q^k}{(1 - q^k)^2}, \quad Cov\left(S_m^{(k)}, S_n^{(k)}\right) = \frac{(m + 1)q^k}{(1 - q^k)^2}.$$

Moreover, it is known that the correlation coefficient between geometric records
$R_m$ and $R_n$ is equal to $\sqrt{(m + 1)/(n + 1)}$, $m < n$ (see, for example, Arnold et al.
1998, p. 40). This immediately implies that in the geometric case we get

$$\rho\left(S_m^{(k)}, S_n^{(k)}\right) = \sqrt{\frac{m + 1}{n + 1}}, \quad 0 \leq m < n. \quad (3.15)$$

**Table 1** Correlation coefficients between geometric $ge(p = 0.25)$ strong 2nd records $S_m^{(2)}$ and $S_n^{(2)}$, 2nd
records $R_m^{(2)}$ and $R_n^{(2)}$ (in brackets) and weak 2nd records $W_m^{(2)}$ and $W_n^{(2)}$ (in square brackets)

|     | $m = 0$  | $m = 1$  | $m = 2$  | $m = 3$  | $m = 4$  | $m = 5$  |
|-----|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| $n = 1$ | 0.707107 | 1.000000 | –        | –        | –        | –        |
|      | (0.694239) | (1.000000) | –        | –        | –        | –        |
|      | [0.698257] | [1.000000] | –        | –        | –        | –        |
| $n = 2$ | 0.577350 | 0.816497 | 1.000000 | –        | –        | –        |
|      | (0.569690) | (0.808298) | (1.000000) | –        | –        | –        |
|      | [0.569690] | [0.810657] | [1.000000] | –        | –        | –        |
| $n = 3$ | 0.500000 | 0.707107 | 0.866025 | 1.000000 | –        | –        |
|      | (0.493559) | (0.701801) | (0.859393) | (1.000000) | –        | –        |
|      | [0.493617] | [0.701760] | [0.861647] | [1.000000] | –        | –        |
| $n = 4$ | 0.447213 | 0.632456 | 0.774597 | 0.894427 | 1.000000 | –        |
|      | (0.441711) | (0.627884) | (0.770425) | (0.889592) | (1.000000) | –        |
|      | [0.441711] | [0.627884] | [0.770425] | [0.890916] | [1.000000] | –        |
| $n = 5$ | 0.408248 | 0.573504 | 0.707107 | 0.816497 | 0.912871 | 1.000000 |
|      | (0.403360) | (0.573395) | (0.703428) | (0.813055) | (0.908856) | (1.000000) |
|      | [0.403361] | [0.573360] | [0.703456] | [0.813054] | [0.909939] | [1.000000] |
| $n = 6$ | 0.377964 | 0.534522 | 0.654654 | 0.755929 | 0.845154 | 0.925820 |
|      | (0.373532) | (0.530989) | (0.651423) | (0.752837) | (0.842218) | (0.922387) |
|      | [0.373532] | [0.530958] | [0.651423] | [0.752860] | [0.842218] | [0.923302] |
| $n = 7$ | 0.353553 | 0.500000 | 0.612372 | 0.707107 | 0.790569 | 0.866025 |
|      | (0.349472) | (0.496788) | (0.609462) | (0.704358) | (0.787893) | (0.863463) |
|      | [0.349472] | [0.496758] | [0.609463] | [0.704357] | [0.787912] | [0.863463] |
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Table 2  Correlation coefficients between geometric \( ge(p = 0.5) \) strong 2nd records \( S_m^{(2)} \) and \( S_n^{(2)} \), 2nd records \( R_m^{(2)} \) and \( R_n^{(2)} \) (in brackets) and weak 2nd records \( W_m^{(2)} \) and \( W_n^{(2)} \) (in square brackets)

| \( m = 0 \) | \( m = 1 \) | \( m = 2 \) | \( m = 3 \) | \( m = 4 \) | \( m = 5 \) |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| \( n = 1 \) | 0.707107 | 1.000000 | – | – | – | – |
| (0.625543) | (1.000000) | – | – | – | – | – |
| [0.670820] | [1.000000] | – | – | – | – | – |
| \( n = 2 \) | 0.577350 | 0.816497 | 1.000000 | – | – | – |
| (0.539784) | (0.762858) | (1.000000) | – | – | – | – |
| [0.539784] | [0.791252] | [1.000000] | – | – | – | – |
| \( n = 3 \) | 0.500000 | 0.707107 | 0.866025 | 1.000000 | – | – |
| (0.462367) | (0.682012) | (0.823711) | (1.000000) | – | – | – |
| [0.466072] | [0.679339] | [0.846876] | [1.000000] | – | – | – |
| \( n = 4 \) | 0.447214 | 0.632456 | 0.774597 | 0.894427 | 1.000000 | – |
| (0.417022) | (0.606539) | (0.752810) | (0.861020) | (1.000000) | – | – |
| [0.417022] | [0.606694] | [0.752810] | [0.878940] | [1.000000] | – | – |
| \( n = 5 \) | 0.408248 | 0.573504 | 0.707107 | 0.816497 | 0.912871 | 1.000000 |
| (0.380782) | (0.577350) | (0.684382) | (0.798647) | (0.884958) | (1.000000) | – |
| [0.381123] | [0.554117] | [0.686500] | [0.798458] | [0.899834] | [1.000000] | – |
| \( n = 6 \) | 0.377964 | 0.534522 | 0.654654 | 0.755929 | 0.845154 | 0.925820 |
| (0.353262) | (0.515419) | (0.635849) | (0.737076) | (0.829701) | (0.901952) | – |
| [0.353262] | [0.513500] | [0.635849] | [0.738599] | [0.829701] | [0.914551] | – |
| \( n = 7 \) | 0.353553 | 0.500000 | 0.612372 | 0.707107 | 0.790569 | 0.866025 |
| (0.330743) | (0.482815) | (0.595026) | (0.691292) | (0.774096) | (0.852500) | – |
| [0.330776] | [0.480781] | [0.595231] | [0.691121] | [0.775532] | [0.852485] | – |

4 Tables

In this section, we present Tables 1, 2, 3 with numerical values of correlation coefficients between strong 2nd records \( S_m^{(2)} \) and \( S_n^{(2)} \), 2nd records \( R_m^{(2)} \) and \( R_n^{(2)} \) and weak 2nd records \( W_m^{(2)} \) and \( W_n^{(2)} \) for the geometric parent \( ge(p) \), where \( m < n \) and \( m = 0, 1, \ldots, 5, n = 1, \ldots, 7 \) and \( p = 0.25, 0.5, 0.75 \). They provide us some insight into their magnitude of change. It is worth pointing out that in all the presented cases the correlation coefficients between 2nd records \( R_m^{(2)} \) and \( R_n^{(2)} \) as well as between weak 2nd records \( W_m^{(2)} \) and \( W_n^{(2)} \) do not exceed the correlation coefficients of 2nd strong records \( S_m^{(2)} \) and \( S_n^{(2)} \) given in (3.15), which is also the maximum correlation possible between any continuous square-integrable \( k \)th records (see, Nevzorov 1992).
Table 3 Correlation coefficients between geometric ge($p = 0.75$) strong 2nd records $S^{(2)}_m$ and $S^{(2)}_n$, 2nd records $R^{(2)}_m$ and $R^{(2)}_n$ (in brackets) and weak 2nd records $W^{(2)}_m$ and $W^{(2)}_n$ (in square brackets)

|     | $m = 0$ | $m = 1$ | $m = 2$ | $m = 3$ | $m = 4$ | $m = 5$ |
|-----|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| $n = 1$ | 0.707107 | 1.000000 | -       | -       | -       | -       |
|      | (0.436852) | (1.000000) | -       | -       | -       | -       |
|      | [0.627456] | [1.000000] | -       | -       | -       | -       |
| $n = 2$ | 0.577350 | 0.816497 | 1.000000 | -       | -       | -       |
|      | (0.481660) | (0.617777) | (1.000000) | -       | -       | -       |
|      | [0.481660] | [0.756760] | [1.000000] | -       | -       | -       |
| $n = 3$ | 0.500000 | 0.707107 | 0.866025 | 1.000000 | -       | -       |
|      | (0.351665) | (0.663417) | (0.667668) | (1.000000) | -       | -       |
|      | [0.403497] | [0.628485] | [0.819809] | [1.000000] | -       | -       |
| $n = 4$ | 0.447213 | 0.632456 | 0.774597 | 0.894427 | 1.000000 | -       |
|      | (0.354505) | (0.540323) | (0.710828) | (0.738767) | (1.000000) | -       |
|      | [0.354505] | [0.549293] | [0.710828] | [0.856947] | [1.000000] | -       |
| $n = 5$ | 0.408248 | 0.573504 | 0.707107 | 0.816497 | 0.912871 | 1.000000 |
|      | (0.304617) | (0.530510) | (0.591323) | (0.773648) | (0.771311) | (1.000000) |
|      | [0.320632] | [0.495245] | [0.637786] | [0.763325] | [0.881305] | [1.000000] |
| $n = 6$ | 0.377964 | 0.534522 | 0.654653 | 0.755929 | 0.845154 | 0.925820 |
|      | (0.295549) | (0.476165) | (0.585056) | (0.669791) | (0.799557) | (0.806101) |
|      | [0.295549] | [0.455635] | [0.585056] | [0.697115] | [0.799557] | [0.898473] |
| $n = 7$ | 0.353553 | 0.500000 | 0.612372 | 0.707107 | 0.790569 | 0.866025 |
|      | (0.270859) | (0.457585) | (0.529947) | (0.658281) | (0.704604) | (0.829010) |
|      | [0.276009] | [0.425025] | [0.544786] | [0.647385] | [0.739519] | [0.826016] |
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