Impact of MMP2 rs243865 and MMP3 rs3025058 Polymorphisms on Clinical Findings in Alzheimer’s Disease Patients
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1. Introduction

Alzheimer’s disease (AD) is a chronic neurodegenerative disease of the central nervous system characterized by progressive memory loss, confusion, and cognitive dysfunction. It is the cause of 60 to 70% of dementia cases. The AD prevalence is estimated at 4.4% in people aged 65 years to 22% in people aged 90 years and older [1]. There are two types of AD: early-onset AD that manifests in people under the age of 65 and the much more common late-onset AD that affects people over 65. The major risk factors for AD are advanced age, genetic predisposition, chronic diseases, head injuries, and other factors [2]. The histopathological characteristics in the AD brain include senile plaques composed of the extracellular accumulation of the amyloid β peptide and intraneuronal fibrillar aggregates of hyperphosphorylated tau proteins [3, 4].

The etiopathogenesis of AD remains unclear. One of the possible mechanisms of AD progression is related to
Mediators of Inflammative diseases such as multiple sclerosis (MS), Parkinson’s disease (PD), amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (ALS), Hunting-ton’s disease (HD), and Alzheimer’s disease [6]. In PD pathogenesis, MMP-3 has been involved in dopaminergic neurodegeneration, neuroinflammation, and barrier leakage [7]. Regarding MS, it was found that MMP-9 digests myelin basic protein, which causes demyelination and drives MS progression [8]. Furthermore, the MMP-9 degrades the endothelial basement membrane, which facilitates leukocyte extravasation and their migration into the brain [9]. The pathological role of MMP-9 was also reported in relation to amyotrophic lateral sclerosis. In the SOD1G93A transgenic mouse model for ALS, genetic deletion of MMP-9 as well as its pharmacological inhibition has delayed muscle denervation [10]. In addition, some studies reported increased levels of MMP-9 in plasma and CSF of ALS patients considering MMP-9 as an early biomarker of the disease [11, 12]. Finally, MMP-3 and MMP-9 levels were increased in CSF from Huntington’s disease patients and correlated with disease severity [13]. It was also found that MMP-10 cleaves huntingtin in neurons to small N-terminal fragments thought to be toxic [14].

In our study, we have focused on MMP-2 and MMP-3 due to their involvement in the processes related to neurodegeneration. MMP-2 is a 72 kDa protein also known as gelatinase A. It is produced by many connective tissue cells including endothelial cells, osteoblasts, fibroblasts, and myoblasts cells. It is capable of hydrolyzing type IV collagen, which is the main component of the basement membrane followed by elastin, endothelin, fibroblast growth factor, plasminogen, TGF-β, and MMP-9 and MMP-13. The synthesis and secretion of MMP-2 can be stimulated by a variety of stimuli during various pathological processes, such as tumor invasion, atherosclerosis, and inflammation. In the brain, MMP-2 is crucial for neurite outgrowth and neuronal plasticity [15].

MMP-3, also known as stromelysin1, is a 54 kDa protein produced by various cells including the macrophages, stromal fibroblasts, endothelial cells, immune cells, and synovial cells. It cleaves an extensive range of extracellular matrix (ECM) molecules including collagen types 3, 4, 5, 9, 10, and 11, fibronectin, elastin, gelatins, laminins, and proteoglycans. In addition, it is involved in the proteolysis of various adhesion molecules like E-cadherin and L-selectin, growth factors like heparin-binding EGF-like growth factor, cytokines like TNF and IL-1β, and proforms of other MMPs like proMMP-1, proMMP-3, and proMMP-9. In the brain, MMP-3 is essential for neurite outgrowth, neuronal plasticity, and remyelination [16, 17].

Both MMP-2 and MMP-3 are assumed to be involved in AD pathogenesis. It was shown that AD patients have elevated levels of MMP-3 in the brain, especially in the microglia of white matter and senile plaques [18]. Increased MMP-3 levels in serum, plasma, and CSF of AD patients were observed as well [19–24]. In AD patients, there was an increase in MMP-2 expression in astrocytes surrounding amyloid plaque and a decrease in the MMP-2 plasma level compared to controls [25–27]. MMP-2 and MMP-3 were shown to cleave Aβ peptides to nontoxic fragments demonstrating a protective role in AD [28–30]. In addition, MMP-3 degrades tau protein preventing its aggregation [31]. On the other hand, increased MMP-2 and MMP-3 expression induced by toxic Aβ1-42 oligomers is related to the disruption of the blood-brain barrier (BBB) leading to neuroinflammation and AD progression [32–34].

It is known that the expression level may be influenced by functional polymorphic variants in the gene promoters. MMP2 gene is located on chromosome 16q21 in the human genome, whereas MMP3 gene is located on chromosome 11q22.3 [5]. MMP2 rs243865 (-1306 C>T) and MMP3 rs3025058 (-1171 5A>6A) are two common single nucleotide polymorphisms in the promoter region, which are associated with modified MMP expression levels. The role of MMP2 and MMP3 promoter gene polymorphisms in predisposition to AD development has been analysed in only few studies with controversial results. An association of MMP3 -1171 5A allele and 5A/5A genotype with the risk of AD in APOEε4-positive patients was reported in two studies [35, 36]. Conversely, the association of MMP3 -1171 6A allele with risk of AD was also found [37]. In other studies, no association of MMP3 -1171 5A>6A polymorphism with AD susceptibility and clinical findings was observed [38–40].

Therefore, the objective of our study was to evaluate the association of MMP2 rs243865 (-1306 C>T) and MMP3 rs3025058 (-1171 5A>6A) polymorphisms with AD susceptibility and their influence on clinical findings in patients from Slovakia.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Study Groups. The investigated patient group included 171 unrelated individuals (53 men and 118 women, mean age: 79.68 ± 6.03 years) meeting criteria for late-onset Alzheimer’s disease according to the ICD-10 classification [41]. AD patients were recruited at random via several psychiatric clinics throughout Slovakia. The average age at disease onset was 77.17 ± 6.39 years. The reference cohort in our case-control study comprised 308 unrelated volunteers (111 men and 197 women with a mean age of 76.23 ± 8.13 years). Montreal Cognitive Assessment (MoCA) was selected as the screening test for cognitive impairment in this study [42]. The cut-off score of 26 from 30 has been considered for normal cognition. Determination of the APOEε4 allele
as a known genetic risk factor for AD was performed in both study groups and implemented as a stratification factor in further analyses. Detailed parameters of the study groups are summarized in Table 1.

All control individuals were without any personal or family history of AD, and they were randomly recruited from a larger population sample. All AD patients and controls were Caucasians of Slovak descent. Written informed consent for enrolling in the study and for personal data management was obtained from all AD patients or their legally authorized representatives as well as from the control subjects. All the investigations were carried out in accordance with the International Ethical Guidelines and the Declaration of Helsinki. The study was approved by the Independent Ethical Committee of the University Hospital Bratislava and the Faculty of Medicine, Comenius University in Bratislava.

2.2. Genotyping. Both patient and control DNAs were isolated from EDTA-treated whole blood by a modified salting out procedure [43]. Genotyping of APOEε4 allele was performed by the determination of rs429358 (C>T) and rs7412 (T>C) polymorphisms in the fourth exon using direct sequencing as described previously [44].

The MMP2 rs243865 (-1306 C or T allele) was investigated by PCR followed by restriction fragment length polymorphism analysis (RFLP). Primer sequences, PCR conditions, and XspI (Thermo Fisher Scientific, U.S.A.) enzyme cleavage were used as reported by Benesova et al. [45]. A 188 bp product was amplified by PCR reaction. After digestion, either an intact 188 bp PCR fragment (allele C) or two fragments of 162 bp and 26 bp (allele T) were produced.

The MMP3 rs3025058 (-1171 5A or 6A) was genotyped by PCR-RFLP as described by Dragovic et al. [46]. A 120 bp PCR product flanking the polymorphic site was amplified and afterwards digested with the restrictase PdiI (Thermo Fisher Scientific, U.S.A.). After digestion, either an intact 120 bp PCR fragment bearing allele with 6 adenines (6A) or two fragments of 97 bp and 23 bp consisting of 5 adenine (5A) allele were produced.

2.3. Statistical Analysis. Allele and genotype frequencies were determined by direct counting. Genotypes were tested for their fit to Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium using the chi-squared goodness-of-fit test. Statistical significance of differences in allele and genotype frequencies between AD patients and control group was evaluated by the Pearson chi-squared test using the InStat statistical software (GraphPad Software, Inc., San Diego, USA). The p values, odds ratios (OR), and 95% confidence intervals (95% CI) were calculated in codominant, dominant, recessive, and overdominant inheritance models. The multivariate logistic regression analysis adjusted for sex, age, and APOEε4 carriage status as possible modifying factors was performed by the SNPstats web software available at https://snapstat.net/snpstats/. The correlation between MMP2 and MMP3 gene promoter polymorphisms and clinical variables as age at onset and MoCA score was evaluated by the Student t-test with Welch correction. The p value of <0.05 was considered statistically significant.

3. Results

3.1. Characteristics of the Study Groups. The demographic and clinical characteristics of the study groups are shown in Table 1. 171 AD patients and 308 unrelated controls were included in the study. There was no statistically significant difference between the AD group and controls in relation to gender (p = 0.31), with females having higher prevalence in both AD patients (69.01%) and controls (63.96%). The mean age at examination was significantly higher in the AD group than in controls (79.68 versus 76.23 years; p = 0.0001), while an opposite trend was observed for the MoCA score having a lower value in AD patients (14.54 versus 27.52; p < 0.0001). The significantly higher prevalence of APOEε4 risk allele was found in the AD group compared to controls (39.18% vs 19.16%, p < 0.0001). The mean age at disease onset was 77.56 ± 6.39 years.

3.2. Genotyping of MMP2 rs243865 and MMP3 rs3025058 Polymorphisms in Promoter Region. Allele and genotype frequencies of MMP2 rs243865 (-1306 C>T) and MMP3 rs3025058 (-1171 5A>6A) observed in AD patients and control group are shown in Tables 2 and 3. Genotype frequencies of both polymorphisms fit the Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium in AD patients (p = 0.05 and χ² = 4.13 for MMP2; p = 0.59 and χ² = 0.29 for MMP3) as well as in controls (p = 0.56 and χ² = 0.33 for MMP2; p = 0.17 and χ² = 1.93 for MMP3). Genotyping of the SNP variants at MMP2 -1306 C>T and at MMP3 -1171 5A>6A revealed no statistically significant differences in either allele (p = 0.95, OR = 1.01 for MMP2; p = 0.68, OR = 1.07 for MMP3) or genotype (p = 0.05, OR = 0.82-1.37 for MMP2; p = 0.05, OR = 0.93-1.16 for MMP3) frequencies between the two studied groups. Multivariate analysis of association between the two SNPs and AD risk adjusted for age, sex, and APOEε4 positivity as potential confounding variables revealed no changes in comparison with the univariate analysis (p > 0.05, OR = 0.85-1.32 for MMP2, Table 2; p > 0.05, OR = 0.98-1.06 for MMP3, Table 3). Stratification of study groups according to their APOEε4 carriage status was also performed. Analyses in APOEε4-positive and APOEε4-negative groups revealed no statistically significant differences in the distribution of MMP2 -1306 C>T and MMP3 -1171 5A>6A genotypes between AD patients and control group (data not shown).

3.3. Association of MMP2 rs243865 and MMP3 rs3025058 Genotypes with Clinical Features in AD Patients. The association between MMP2 rs243865 (-1306 C>T) and MMP3 rs3025058 (-1171 5A>6A) genotypes and clinical features as age at disease onset and MoCA score was investigated. Correlation of clinical findings with MMP2 -1306 C>T genotypes revealed that CC carriers in the dominant model had later age at disease onset when compared to T allele carriers (CC vs. CT+TT: 78.44 ± 6.28 vs. 76.36 ± 6.39, p = 0.036, Table 4). This association remained significant even after adjustment for sex and APOEε4 positivity (p = 0.024). Moreover, CT carriers in the overdominant model tended to have earlier disease onset as compared to other MMP2 genotype
### Table 1: Demographic and clinical characteristics of AD patients and controls.

| Parameter                        | AD subjects (n = 171) | Controls (n = 308) | p value |
|----------------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------|---------|
| Female/male ratio                | 118/53                | 197/111            | 0.31    |
| Age at examination (y); mean ± SD | 79.68 ± 6.03          | 76.23 ± 8.13       | <0.0001 |
| Age at onset (y); mean ± SD      | 77.56 ± 6.39          | —                  | —       |
| MoCA score, mean ± SD           | 14.54 ± 5.80          | 27.52 ± 1.44       | <0.0001 |
| APOEε4 positivity (yes/no)       | 67/104                | 59/249             | <0.0001 |

Abbreviations: n: number; SD: standard deviation; MoCA: Montreal Cognitive Assessment; y: years. Differences in age and MoCA score between the two groups were examined by Welch’s corrected t-test. Differences in sex were assessed using the Pearson chi-squared test. p < 0.05 is considered statistically significant.

### Table 2: Allele and genotype frequencies of MMP2 polymorphism rs243865 (-1306 C/T) in AD patients and controls.

| SNP/model    | Allele/genotype | AD subjects (n = 171) | Controls (n = 308) | Univariate analysis | Multivariate analysis |
|--------------|-----------------|-----------------------|--------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|
| rs243865     |                 |                       |                    | p OR (95% CI)       | p OR (95% CI)         |
|              | C               | 252 (73.68%)          | 455 (73.86%)       | 0.95 1.01 (0.75-1.36) | —                     |
|              | T               | 90 (26.32%)           | 161 (26.14%)       | —                   | —                     |
| Codominant   | CC              | 98 (57.31%)           | 170 (55.16%)       | 0.46 0.84 (0.56-1.27) | 0.62 0.88 (0.57-1.34) |
|              | CT              | 56 (32.75%)           | 115 (37.34%)       | 1.28 (0.65-2.52)    | 1.25 (0.61-2.57)      |
|              | TT              | 17 (9.94%)            | 23 (7.47%)         | 1.28 (0.65-2.52)    | 1.25 (0.61-2.57)      |
| Dominant     | CC              | 98 (57.31%)           | 170 (55.19%)       | 0.65 0.92 (0.63-1.34) | 0.76 0.94 (0.63-1.40) |
|              | CT+TT           | 73 (42.69%)           | 138 (44.81%)       | 1.25 (0.65-2.65)    | 1.25 (0.65-2.65)      |
| Recessive    | CC+CT           | 154 (90.06%)          | 285 (92.53%)       | 0.35 1.37 (0.71-2.64) | 0.44 1.32 (0.65-2.62) |
|              | TT              | 17 (9.94%)            | 23 (7.47%)         | 0.44 1.32 (0.65-2.62) | 0.44 1.32 (0.65-2.62) |
| Overdominant | CC+TT           | 130 (76.02%)          | 193 (62.66%)       | 0.86 0.99 (0.63-1.57) | 0.94 1.00 (0.63-1.40) |
|              | CT              | 56 (32.75%)           | 115 (37.34%)       | 0.31 0.82 (0.55-1.21) | 0.44 0.85 (0.56-1.29) |

Abbreviations: CI: confidence interval; n: number; OR: odds ratio. Allele and genotype frequencies are given as absolute numbers with percentages in parentheses. Univariate analysis is based on the Pearson chi-squared test. Multivariate analysis is adjusted for sex, age, and APOEε4 positivity. p < 0.05 is considered statistically significant.

### Table 3: Allele and genotype frequencies of MMP3 polymorphism rs3025058 (-1171 5A/6A) in AD patients and controls.

| SNP/model    | Allele/genotype | AD subjects (n = 171) | Controls (n = 308) | Univariate analysis | Multivariate analysis |
|--------------|-----------------|-----------------------|--------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|
| rs3025058    | 6A              | 171 (50.00%)          | 318 (51.62%)       | 0.73 1.07 (0.82-1.39) | —                     |
|              | 5A              | 171 (50.00%)          | 298 (48.38%)       | 1.00 1.07 (0.82-1.39) | —                     |
| Codominant   | 6A/6A           | 41 (23.98%)           | 76 (24.67%)        | 0.08 0.99 (0.63-1.57) | 0.98 1.00 (0.62-1.63) |
|              | 5A/6A           | 89 (52.04%)           | 166 (53.90%)       | 0.15 0.67 (0.40-1.1)  | 1.06 (0.59-1.88)      |
|              | 5A/5A           | 41 (23.98%)           | 66 (21.43%)        | 1.28 (0.71-1.61)     | 1.32 (0.65-2.62)      |
| Dominant     | 6A/6A           | 41 (23.98%)           | 76 (24.67%)        | 0.98 1.00 (0.62-1.63) | 1.00 1.00 (0.62-1.63) |
|              | 5A/6A+5A/5A     | 130 (76.02%)          | 232 (75.33%)       | 0.76 0.99 (0.63-1.57) | 0.98 1.00 (0.62-1.63) |
| Recessive    | 6A/6A+5A/6A     | 130 (76.02%)          | 242 (78.57%)       | 1.00 1.00 (0.62-1.63) | 1.00 1.00 (0.62-1.63) |
|              | 5A/5A           | 41 (23.98%)           | 66 (21.43%)        | 0.98 1.00 (0.62-1.63) | 1.00 1.00 (0.62-1.63) |
| Overdominant | 5A/5A+6A/6A     | 82 (47.96%)           | 142 (46.10%)       | 0.76 0.99 (0.63-1.57) | 0.98 1.00 (0.62-1.63) |
|              | 5A/6A           | 89 (52.04%)           | 166 (53.90%)       | 0.76 0.99 (0.63-1.57) | 0.98 1.00 (0.62-1.63) |

Abbreviations: CI: confidence interval; n: number; OR: odds ratio. Allele and genotype frequencies are given as absolute numbers with percentages in parentheses. Univariate analysis is based on the Pearson chi-squared test. Multivariate analysis is adjusted for sex, age, and APOEε4 positivity. p < 0.05 is considered statistically significant.
carriers in adjusted models (CT vs. CC+TT: 76.23 ± 5.81 vs. 78.21 ± 6.58, p = 0.034, Table 4).

The correlation of investigated clinical findings with MMP3 -1171 5A>6A genotypes is shown in Table 5. Statistical analysis revealed that 5A/6A carriers in the overdominant model seemed to have younger age at disease onset when compared to other MMP3 genotype carriers (5A/6A vs. 5A/5A +6A/6A: 76.61 ± 5.88 vs. 78.57 ± 6.79, p = 0.045). After adjustment for sex and APOE4 positivity, the significant association of 5A/6A carriers in the overdominant model with an earlier disease onset was also found (p = 0.048). On the other hand, the correlation of the MoCA score with both MMP2 rs243865 and MMP3 rs3025058 genotypes did not reveal any significant differences (p > 0.05, Tables 4 and 5).

### 4. Discussion

MMPs are zinc-containing endopeptidases that are suggested to be associated with the pathogenesis of many neurodegenerative diseases due to their involvement in microglial activation, T-leukocyte infiltration, and blood-brain barrier dysfunction [6]. In AD patients, both beneficial and detrimental effects of MMPs have been suggested. It has been reported that MMPs could degrade amyloid β and play important roles in the extracellular Aβ catabolism and clearance [28–30, 47]. On the other hand, MMPs could contribute to AD pathogenesis by disruption of the blood-brain barrier, cell apoptosis, and initiation of inflammation [33, 34].

The object of our study was MMP-2 and MMP-3 as candidate molecules related to AD pathology. In AD patients, an increase in MMP-2 and MMP-3 expression in the astrocytes surrounding amyloid plaques was reported [26, 48]. Moreover, increased MMP-3 levels in serum, plasma, and CSF in AD patients were also found [20–23]. Conversely, the decrease in MMP-2 plasma level in AD patients compared to controls was reported [26]. A negative correlation between MMP-3 plasma levels and the MMSE score was found [21]. As gene polymorphisms can modify gene expression and function, the aim of the study was to analyse the association of MMP2 rs243865 and MMP3 rs3025058 polymorphism with AD susceptibility and clinical findings in the Slovak Caucasian population. The MMP2 rs243865 at position -1306 (C>T) and MMP3 rs3025058 at position -1171 (5A>6A) in the promoter region have been associated with changes in MMP expression levels. The C to T transition at position -1306 prevents Sp1 binding to gene promoter leading to lower MMP-2 expression [49, 50]. Therefore, TT carriers have lower promoter activity and lower MMP-2 enzyme activity compared with CC carriers [51]. Regarding MMP3 -1171 5A/6A polymorphism, its 5A allele has been reported to have greater transcriptional activity than the 6A allele [49].

To the best of our knowledge, genetic predisposition of MMP2 rs243865 (-1306 C>T) and MMP3 rs3025058 (-1171 5A>6A) to AD development has been analysed in only few studies. Our results showed no genetic association between MMP2 rs243865 and MMP3 rs3025058 polymorphism and AD susceptibility as reported by others [38–40]. On the other hand, studies in Finns and Italians reported a significantly higher occurrence of MMP3 -1171 5A allele and 5A/5A genotype in APOE4-positive AD patients [35, 36]. Moreover, Helbecque et al. [52] found association of MMP3 -1171 6A/6A genotype with increased risk of dementia in APOE4-negative AD patients from France. Finally, Baig et al. [37] reported association of MMP3 -1171 6A allele with risk of AD in the UK. The discrepancies in genetic differences within the AD populations could reflect differences in various European regions or may be caused by differences in sample sizes, study design, and statistical methods.

In this study, the analysis of association of MMP2 rs243865 (-1306 C>T) and MMP3 rs3025058 (-1171 5A>6A) genotypes with clinical features such as age at onset and MoCA score was also performed. We found a significant association of MMP2 -1306 CC genotype in the dominant model with later age at disease onset in crude analysis and

---

**Table 4: Analysis of association between MMP2 rs243865 (-1306 C/T) genotypes and clinical findings.**

| Parameter          | CC (n = 98) | CT (n = 56) | TT (n = 17) | p/p* CM | p/p* DM | p/p* RM | p/p* OM |
|--------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| Age at onset, mean ± SD (y) | 78.44 ± 6.28 | 76.23 ± 5.81 | 76.81 ± 8.32 | 0.11/0.07 | 0.036/0.24 | 0.62/0.68 | 0.058/0.034 |
| MoCA score, mean ± SD | 15.20 ± 6.01 | 13.56 ± 5.68 | 14.54 ± 4.03 | 0.32/0.29 | 0.16/0.13 | 1.00/0.92 | 0.14/0.13 |

**Table 5: Analysis of association between MMP3 rs3025058 (-1171 5A/6A) genotypes and clinical findings.**

| Parameter          | 5A/5A (n = 41) | 5A/6A (n = 89) | 6A/6A (n = 41) | p/p* CM | p/p* DM | p/p* RM | p/p* OM |
|--------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| Age at onset, mean ± SD (y) | 79.02 ± 5.51 | 76.61 ± 5.88 | 78.12 ± 7.91 | 0.11/0.11 | 0.09/0.09 | 0.52/0.53 | 0.045/0.048 |
| MoCA score, mean ± SD | 14.65 ± 4.55 | 14.25 ± 6.10 | 14.97 ± 6.56 | 0.84/0.88 | 0.89/0.85 | 0.63/0.71 | 0.59/0.63 |

Abbreviations: CM: codominant model; DM: dominant model; RM: recessive model; OM: overdominant; SD: standard deviation; MoCA: Montreal Cognitive Assessment; n: number; y: years. p values were calculated using Welch’s corrected t-test. *p values adjusted for sex and APOE4 positivity. p < 0.05 is considered statistically significant.
adjusted models. Furthermore, we observed that MMP3 -1171 5A/6A carriers in the overdominant model tended to have lower age at disease onset when compared to other MMP3 genotype carriers. As MMP2 -1306 C allele is associated with higher promoter activity, it can be hypothesized that CC genotype has protective effect on AD development. Furthermore, the -1171 5A allele as a high MMP-3 producer has been associated with the pathogenesis of various diseases like acute myocardial infarction [53], breast cancer [54, 55], head and neck squamous cell carcinoma [56], and lung cancer [57].

The impact of MMP2 rs243865 and MMP3 rs3025058 on clinical features, including age at onset and MoCA score, has not yet been reported. Reitz et al. [39] performed an analysis of MMP3 polymorphism with clinical findings such as cognitive MMSE performance over time, hippocampal volume, or severity of periventricular and subcortical white matter lesions. They did not find any correlation of MMP3 genotypes or haplotypes with the above-mentioned clinical features [39]. Another study by Reitz et al. [40] investigated the association of MMP3 -1171 5A>6A, 2092A>G, 9775T>A, and 6658T>C SNPs and their haplotypes with plasma Aβ40 and Aβ42 levels in AD patients [40]. There was no association between MMP3 -1171 5A>6A or 6658T>C and Aβ40–42 levels in crude or adjusted models. However, haplotype analysis showed that haplotype 2 (6A-G-T-T) was linked with significantly higher levels of plasma Aβ41–42 as compared with haplotype 1 (5A-A-T-T) (p = 0.0002) [40].

The role of MMP-2 and MMP-3 expression levels in AD pathology is not yet well defined. It seems that decreased MMP-2 levels in AD patients correlate with impaired degradation of Aβ peptides [58]. Conversely, Aβ-induced expression of MMP-2 and MMP-3 may contribute to the breakdown of BBB and induction of neuroinflammation [32–34]. A recent study described that Aβ-induced MMP-3 may contribute to NGF degradation leading to cholinergic atrophy and cognitive deficits in AD males [59]. Thus, it is unclear whether changes in MMP levels contribute to AD progression or might have beneficial effects on AD patients.

As MMPs seem to be involved in AD pathogenesis, their utility as therapeutic targets has been also investigated. One possibility relies on promoting MMP activities resulting in Aβ degradation. In the APP mouse model, a novel rhamnoside derivative PL402 was reported to promote Aβ cleavage via upregulation of MMP-3/MMP-9 [60]. However, this therapeutic approach should be taken with caution as it cannot be excluded that proteolytic degradation of amyloid plaques could release toxic Aβ products and other neurotoxins. On the other hand, GM6001 and another MMP inhibitor, minocycline, were reported to efficiently reduce upregulated MMP-2 and MMP-9 and prevent inflammation and oxidative stress associated with cerebral amyloid angiopathy in AD mice [61, 62].

We intend to further investigate other MMPs, such as MMP-9, which will also help to understand their role in AD etiology. Similarly to MMP-2 and MMP-3, MMP-9 could also act in Aβ degradation thus preventing deposition of Aβ [30]. MMP-9 is also involved in BBB disruption and induction of neuroinflammation [63]. MMP9 rs3918242 at position -1562C/T is another candidate polymorphism for AD susceptibility. It was found that this C to T substitution prevents the binding of a nuclear transcription repressor protein to the MMP9 gene promoter thus increasing its transcription [64]. A protective effect of the MMP9 -1562 T allele having greater promoter activity in APOE4-negative AD patients was reported [65]. Thus, MMP gene promoter variants seem to be promising biomarkers whose role in the pathogenesis of AD warrants further investigations.

5. Conclusion

Among various MMPs, MMP-2 and MMP-3 belong to candidate molecules involved in AD pathogenesis. This is the first study investigating the impact of MMP2 rs243865 and MMP3 rs3025058 promoter polymorphisms on clinical features, including age at onset and MoCA score in AD patients. While no genetic association of MMP2 rs243865 and MMP3 rs3025058 with the risk of AD was found, our results suggest that MMP2 rs243865 CC genotype and MMP3 rs3025058 5A/6A genotype may have influence on the age of AD onset. In conclusion, MMP2 rs243865 and MMP3 rs3025058 polymorphisms may contribute to modification of certain clinical parameters in AD patients.

Abbreviations

AD: Alzheimer’s disease  
ALS: Amyotrophic lateral sclerosis  
APOE: Apolipoprotein E  
APP: Amyloid β precursor protein  
Aβ: Amyloid β  
BBB: Blood-brain barrier  
CSF: Cerebrospinal fluid  
ECM: Extracellular matrix  
HD: Huntington’s disease  
MMP: Matrix metalloproteinase  
MMSE: Mini-mental state examination  
MoCA: Montreal Cognitive Assessment  
MS: Multiple sclerosis  
NGF: Nerve growth factor  
PD: Parkinson’s disease  
RFLP: Restriction fragment length polymorphism analysis  
TGF-β: Transforming growth factor-β  
TNF: Tumor necrosis factor.

Data Availability

The data used to support the findings of this study are included within the article.

Conflicts of Interest

The authors report no conflict of interests.

Acknowledgments

The authors thank all individuals for participating in this study and gratefully acknowledge Mrs. B. Misovic Faragova.
and Mrs. Z. Nurnberger for their technical assistance. This work was supported by the Scientific Grant Agency of Ministry of Education, Science, Research and Sport of Slovak Republic and Slovak Academy of Sciences (VEGA grant No. 1/0738/20).

References

[1] H. Niu, I. Álvarez-Alvárez, F. Guillén-Grima, and I. Aguinaga-Onoto, "Prevalence and incidence of Alzheimer's disease in Europe: a meta-analysis," Neurologia, vol. 32, no. 8, pp. 523–532, 2017.

[2] D. E. Barnes and K. Yaffe, "The projected effect of risk factor reduction on Alzheimer's disease prevalence," Lancet Neurology, vol. 10, no. 9, pp. 819–828, 2011.

[3] M. Goedert, M. G. Spillantini, N. J. Cairns, and R. A. Crowther, "Tau proteins of Alzheimer paired helical filaments: abnormal phosphorylation of all six brain isoforms," Neuron, vol. 8, no. 1, pp. 159–168, 1992.

[4] N. Sergeant, A. Bretteville, M. Hamdane et al., "Biochemistry of tau in Alzheimer's disease and related neurological disorders," Expert Review of Proteomics, vol. 5, no. 2, pp. 207–224, 2008.

[5] S. S. Apte and W. C. Parks, "Metalloproteinases: a parade of functions in matrix biology and an outlook for the future," Matrix Biology, vol. 44-46, pp. 1–6, 2015.

[6] S. Rivera, L. García-González, M. Khrestchatisky, and K. Baranger, "Metalloproteinases and their tissue inhibitors in Alzheimer's disease and other neurodegenerative disorders," Cellular and Molecular Life Sciences, vol. 76, no. 16, pp. 3167–3191, 2019.

[7] Y. C. Chung, Y.-S. Kim, E. Bok, T. Y. Yune, S. Maeng, and B. K. Jin, "MMP-3 Contributes to Nigrostriatal Dopaminergic Neuronal Loss, BBB Damage, and Neuroinflammation in an MPTP Mouse Model of Parkinson’s Disease," Mediators of Inflammation, vol. 2013, Article ID 370526, 11 pages, 2013.

[8] P. Proost, J. Van Damme, and G. Opdenakker, "Leukocyte gelatinase B cleavage releases encephalitogens from human myelin basic protein," Biochemical and Biophysical Research Communications, vol. 192, no. 3, pp. 1175–1181, 1993.

[9] S. Agrawal, P. Anderson, M. Durbeej et al., "Dystroglycan is selectively cleaved at the parenchymal basement membrane at sites of leukocyte extravasation in experimental autoimmune encephalomyelitis," The Journal of Experimental Medicine, vol. 203, no. 4, pp. 1007–1019, 2006.

[10] A. Kaplan, K. J. Spiller, C. Towne et al., "Neuronal matrix metalloproteinase-9 is a determinant of selective neurodegeneration," Neuron, vol. 81, no. 2, pp. 333–348, 2014.

[11] W. Beuche, M. Yushchenko, M. Mäder, M. Maliszewska, K. Felgenhauer, and F. Weber, "Matrix metalloproteinase-9 is elevated in serum of patients with amyotrophic lateral sclerosis," Neuroreport, vol. 11, no. 16, pp. 3419–3422, 2000.

[12] L. Fang, F. Huber-Abel, M. Teuchert et al., "Linking neuron and skin: matrix metalloproteinases in amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (ALS)," Journal of the Neurological Sciences, vol. 285, no. 1-2, pp. 62–66, 2009.

[13] C. Connolly, A. Magnusson-Lind, G. Lu et al., "Enhanced immune response to MMP3 stimulation in microglia expressing mutant huntingtin," Neuroscience, vol. 325, pp. 74–88, 2016.

[14] J. P. Miller, J. Holcomb, I. al-Ramahi et al., "Matrix metalloproteinases are modifiers of huntingtin proteolysis and toxicity in Huntington's disease," Neuron, vol. 67, no. 2, pp. 199–212, 2010.

[15] H. Nagase and J. F. Woessner Jr., "Matrix metalloproteinases," The Journal of Biological Chemistry, vol. 274, no. 31, pp. 21491–21494, 1999.

[16] I. Van Hove, K. Lennmns, S. Van de Velde, M. Verslegers, and L. Moons, "Matrix metalloproteinase-3 in the central nervous system: a look on the bright side," Journal of Neurochemistry, vol. 123, no. 2, pp. 203–216, 2012.

[17] A. M. Lech, G. Wiera, and J. W. Mozzymas, "Matrix metalloproteinase-3 in brain physiology and neurodegeneration," Advances in Clinical and Experimental Medicine, vol. 28, no. 12, pp. 1717–1722, 2019.

[18] D. G. Walker, J. Link, L. F. Lue, J. E. Dalsing-Hernandez, and B. E. Boyes, "Gene expression changes by amyloid β peptide-stimulated human postmortem brain microglia identify activation of multiple inflammatory processes," Journal of Leukocyte Biology, vol. 79, no. 3, pp. 596–610, 2006.

[19] S. Horstmann, L. Budig, H. Gardner et al., "Matrix metalloproteinases in peripheral blood and cerebrospinal fluid in patients with Alzheimer’s disease," International Psychogeriatrics, vol. 22, no. 6, pp. 966–972, 2010.

[20] F. Romi, G. Helgeland, and N. E. Gillhus, "Serum levels of matrix metalloproteinases: implications in clinical neurology," European Neurology, vol. 67, no. 2, pp. 121–128, 2012.

[21] C. E. Hanzel, M. F. Iulita, H. Eyjolfsdottir et al., "Analysis of matrix metallo-proteases and the plasminogen system in mild cognitive impairment and Alzheimer’s disease cerebrospinal fluid," Journal of Alzheimer’s Disease, vol. 40, no. 3, pp. 667–678, 2014.

[22] B. Mroczko, M. Groblewska, M. Zboch et al., "Concentrations of matrix metalloproteinases and their tissue inhibitors in the cerebrospinal fluid of patients with Alzheimer’s disease," Journal of Alzheimer’s Disease, vol. 40, no. 2, pp. 351–357, 2014.

[23] M. Peng, J. Jia, and W. Qin, "Plasma gelsolin and matrix metalloproteinase 3 as potential biomarkers for Alzheimer disease," Neuroscience Letters, vol. 595, pp. 116–121, 2015.

[24] M. F. Iulita, A. Ganesh, R. Pentz et al., "Identification and preliminary validation of a plasma profile associated with cognitive decline in dementia and at-risk individuals: a retrospective cohort analysis," Journal of Alzheimer’s Disease, vol. 67, no. 1, pp. 327–341, 2019.

[25] K. J. Yin, J. R. Cirrito, P. Yan et al., "Matrix metalloproteinases expressed by astrocytes mediate extracellular amyloid-beta peptide catabolism," The Journal of Neuroscience, vol. 26, no. 43, pp. 10939–10948, 2006.

[26] N. K. Lim, V. L. Villemagne, C. P. Soon et al., "Investigation of matrix metalloproteinases, MMP-2 and MMP-9, in plasma reveals a decrease of MMP-2 in Alzheimer’s disease," Journal of Alzheimer’s Disease, vol. 26, no. 4, pp. 779–786, 2011.

[27] G. Tuna, G. G. Yener, G. Oktyay, G. H. Işkakel, and F. G. Köklü, "Evaluation of matrix metalloproteinase-2 (MMP-2) and -9 (MMP-9) and their tissue inhibitors (TIMP-1 and TIMP-2) in plasma from patients with neurodegenerative dementia," Journal of Alzheimer’s Disease, vol. 66, no. 3, pp. 1265–1273, 2018.

[28] A. R. White, T. du, K. M. Laughton et al., "Degradation of the Alzheimer disease amyloid β-peptide by metal-dependent up-
Mediators of Inflammation

regulation of metalloprotease activity,” The Journal of Biological Chemistry, vol. 281, no. 26, pp. 17670–17680, 2006.

[29] W. Li, E. Poteet, L. Xie, R. Liu, Y. Wen, and S. H. Yang, “Regulation of matrix metalloproteinase 2 by oligomeric amyloid β protein,” Brain Research, vol. 1387, pp. 141–148, 2011.

[30] M. Hernandez-Guillamon, S. Mawhirt, S. Blais et al., “Sequential amyloid-β degradation by the matrix metalloproteases MMP-2 and MMP-9,” The Journal of Biological Chemistry, vol. 290, no. 24, pp. 15078–15091, 2015.

[31] G. Nübling, J. Levin, B. Bader et al., “Limited cleavage of tau with matrix-metalloproteinase MMP-9, but not MMP-3, enhances tau oligomer formation,” Experimental Neurology, vol. 237, no. 2, pp. 470–476, 2012.

[32] R. Mlekusch and C. Humpel, “Matrix metalloproteinases-2 and -3 are reduced in cerebrospinal fluid with low beta-amyloid1-42 levels,” Neuroscience Letters, vol. 466, no. 3, pp. 135–138, 2009.

[33] M. Brkic, S. Balusu, E. van Wonterghem et al., “Amyloid β oligomers disrupt blood-CSF barrier integrity by activating matrix metalloproteinases,” The Journal of Neuroscience, vol. 35, no. 37, pp. 12766–12778, 2015.

[34] M. D. Sweeney, A. P. Sagare, and B. V. Zlokovic, “Blood–brain barrier breakdown in Alzheimer disease and other neurodegenerative disorders,” Nature Reviews. Neurology, vol. 14, no. 3, pp. 133–150, 2018.

[35] M. S. Saarela, T. Lehtimäki, J. O. Rinne et al., “Interaction between matrix metalloproteinase 3 and the ε4 allele of apolipoprotein E increases the risk of Alzheimer’s disease in Finns,” Neuroscience Letters, vol. 367, no. 3, pp. 336–339, 2004.

[36] A. Flex, E. Gaetani, A. S. Proia et al., “Analysis of functional polymorphisms of metalloproteinase genes in persons with vascular dementia and Alzheimer’s disease,” The Journals of Gerontology. Series A, Biological Sciences and Medical Sciences, vol. 61, no. 10, pp. 1065–1069, 2006.

[37] S. Baig, P. G. Kehoe, and S. Love, “MMP-2, -3 and -9 levels and activity are not related to Aβ load in the frontal cortex in Alzheimer’s disease,” Neuropathology and Applied Neurobiology, vol. 34, no. 2, pp. 205–215, 2008.

[38] N. Shibata, T. Ohnuma, S. Higashi et al., “Genetic association between matrix metalloproteinase MMP-9 and MMP-3 polymorphisms and Japanese sporadic Alzheimer’s disease,” Neurobiology of Aging, vol. 26, no. 7, pp. 1011–1014, 2005.

[39] C. Reitz, F. J. van Rooij, M. P. de Maat et al., “Matrix metalloproteinase 3 haplotypes and dementia and Alzheimer’s disease: the Rotterdam Study,” Neurobiology of Aging, vol. 29, no. 6, pp. 874–881, 2008.

[40] C. Reitz, F. J. van Rooij, H. D. Soares et al., “Matrix metalloproteinase 3 haplotypes and plasma amyloid beta levels: the Rotterdam study,” Neurobiology of Aging, vol. 31, no. 4, pp. 715–718, 2010.

[41] World health organization, The ICD-10 classification of mental and behavioural disorders: clinical descriptions and diagnostic guidelines, World Health Organization, Geneva, 1992.

[42] Z. S. Nasreddine, N. A. Phillips, V. Á. BAdirian et al., “The Montreal Cognitive Assessment, MoCA: a brief screening tool for mild cognitive impairment,” Journal of the American Geriatrics Society, vol. 53, no. 4, pp. 695–699, 2005.

[43] S. A. Miller, D. D. Dykes, and H. F. Polesky, “A simple salt- ing out procedure for extracting DNA from human nucleated cells,” Nucleic Acids Research, vol. 16, no. 3, p. 1215, 1988.

[44] V. Durmanova, Z. Parnicka, J. Javor et al., “A novel association of polymorphism in the ITGA4 gene encoding the VLA-4 α4 subunit with increased risk of Alzheimer’s disease,” Mediators of Inflammation, vol. 2018, Article ID 7623823, 8 pages, 2018.

[45] Y. Benešová, A. Vašků, P. Štourač et al., “Matrix metalloproteinase-9 and matrix metalloproteinase-2 gene polymorphisms in multiple sclerosis,” Journal of Neuroimmunology, vol. 205, no. 1-2, pp. 105–109, 2008.

[46] J. T. Dragovic, J. Popovic, P. Djuric et al., “The 5A allele of the MMP3-gene promoter polymorphism is a risk factor for poor outcome of hemodialysis patients,” The Tohoku Journal of Experimental Medicine, vol. 242, no. 4, pp. 273–279, 2017.

[47] X. X. Wang, M. S. Tan, J. T. Yu, and L. Tan, “Matrix Metalloproteinases and Their Multiple Roles in Alzheimer’s Disease,” BioMed Research International, vol. 2014, Article ID 908636, 8 pages, 2014.

[48] Y. Yoshiyama, M. Asahina, and T. Hattori, “Selective distribution of matrix metalloproteinase-3 (MMP-3) in Alzheimer’s disease brain,” Acta Neuropathologica, vol. 99, no. 2, pp. 91–95, 2000.

[49] S. Ye, “Polymorphism in matrix metalloproteinase gene promoters: implication in regulation of gene expression and susceptibility of various diseases,” Matrix Biology, vol. 19, no. 7, pp. 623–629, 2000.

[50] S. J. Price, D. R. Greaves, and H. Watkins, “Identification of novel, functional genetic variants in the human matrix metalloproteinase-2 gene,” The Journal of Biological Chemistry, vol. 276, no. 10, pp. 7549–7558, 2001.

[51] S. Bchr, H. B. Nasr, A. B. Anes et al., “MMP-2 (-1306 C/T) polymorphism affects serum matrix metalloproteinase (MMP-2) levels and correlates with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease severity: a case-control study of MMP-1 and -2 in a Tunisian population,” Molecular Diagnosis & Therapy, vol. 20, no. 6, pp. 579–590, 2016.

[52] N. Helbecque, D. Cottel, X. Hermant, and P. Amouyel, “Impact of the matrix metalloproteinase MMP-3 on dementia,” Neurobiology of Aging, vol. 28, no. 8, pp. 1215–1220, 2007.

[53] S. Abilleira, S. Bevan, and H. S. Markus, “The role of genetic variants of matrix metalloproteinases in coronary and carotid atherosclerosis,” Journal of Medical Genetics, vol. 43, no. 12, pp. 897–901, 2006.

[54] G. Ghilardi, M. L. Biondi, M. Caputo et al., “A single nucleotide polymorphism in the matrix metalloproteinase-3 promoter enhances breast cancer susceptibility,” Clinical Cancer Research, vol. 8, no. 12, pp. 3820–3823, 2002.

[55] D. L. Holliday, S. Hughes, J. A. Shaw, R. A. Walker, and J. L. Jones, “Intrinsic genetic characteristics determine tumour-modifying capacity of fibroblasts: matrix metalloproteinase-3 5A/5A genotype enhances breast cancer cell invasion,” Breast Cancer Research, vol. 9, no. 5, p. R67, 2007.

[56] F. Zinzindohoué, H. Blons, S. Hans et al., “Single nucleotide polymorphisms in MMP1 and MMP3 gene promoters as risk factor in head and neck squamous cell carcinoma,” Anticancer Research, vol. 24, no. 3b, pp. 2021–2026, 2004.

[57] H. M. Fakhoury, S. Noureddine, H. Tamim, H. Chmaissem, and R. Makki, “Association of MMP3-1171(5A > 6A) polymorphism with lung cancer in Lebanon,” Genetic Testing and Molecular Biomarkers, vol. 16, no. 8, pp. 988–990, 2012.

[58] H. Wang, L. Huang, L. Wu et al., “The MMP-2/TIMP-2 system in Alzheimer disease,” CNS & Neurological Disorders Drug Targets, vol. 19, no. 6, pp. 402–416, 2020.
[59] R. Pentz, M. F. Iulita, M. Mikutra-Cencora, A. Ducatenzeiler, D. A. Bennett, and A. C. Cuello, "A new role for matrix metalloproteinase-3 in the NGF metabolic pathway: proteolysis of mature NGF and sex-specific differences in the continuum of Alzheimer's pathology," *Neurobiology of Disease*, vol. 148, article 105150, 2020.

[60] T. Hu, Y. Zhou, J. Lu et al., "A novel rhamnoside derivative PL402 up-regulates matrix metalloproteinase 3/9 to promote Aβ degradation and alleviates Alzheimer's-like pathology," *Aging (Albany NY)*, vol. 12, no. 1, pp. 481–501, 2020.

[61] M. Garcia-Alloza, C. Prada, C. Lattarulo et al., "Matrix metalloproteinase inhibition reduces oxidative stress associated with cerebral amyloid angiopathy in vivo in transgenic mice," *Journal of Neurochemistry*, vol. 109, no. 6, pp. 1636–1647, 2009.

[62] W. Wan, L. Cao, L. Liu et al., "Aβ1–42oligomer-induced leakage in an in vitro blood-brain barrier model is associated with up-regulation of RAGE and metalloproteinases, and down-regulation of tight junction scaffold proteins," *Journal of Neurochemistry*, vol. 134, no. 2, pp. 382–393, 2015.

[63] M. J. Hannocks, X. Zhang, H. Gerwien et al., "The gelatinases, MMP-2 and MMP-9, as fine tuners of neuroinflammatory processes," *Matrix Biology*, vol. 75-76, pp. 102–113, 2019.

[64] B. Zhang, S. Ye, S. M. Herrmann et al., "Functional polymorphism in the regulatory region of gelatinase B gene in relation to severity of coronary atherosclerosis," *Circulation*, vol. 99, no. 14, pp. 1788–1794, 1999.

[65] N. Helbecque, X. Hermant, D. Cottel, and P. Amouyel, "The role of matrix metalloproteinase-9 in dementia," *Neuroscience Letters*, vol. 350, no. 3, pp. 181–183, 2003.