Case Report

Primary subcutaneous extra skeletal Ewing’s sarcoma: a case report on a very rare clinical entity
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INTRODUCTION

Ewing sarcoma is a tumour which normally affects the bones (90%), and to a lesser extent the soft tissues (10%). The tumour is very rare, even though it is the second most common bone malignancy found in children. Under the microscope, it is formed by small cells with round hyper chromatic nuclei and a single nucleolus. The cytoplasm is poorly defined, scarce, of clear coloration and with irregular vacuoles resulting from intracellular deposits of glycogen. Immunohistochemically, the cells usually express CD99 and are characterized by a specific chromosomal translocation involving gene EWSR1 in chromosome 22 with an erythroblastosis virus transforming gene, resulting in a fusion oncogene.¹ ²

Since the histology and immune histochemical profile are also relatively nonspecific, many differential diagnoses must be considered, such as Merkel cell carcinoma, cutaneous lymphomas, malignant primitive neuroectodermal tumour or rhabdomyosarcoma.³ Patients affected are mostly females with the predilection being 1.9:1, according to Di Giannatale et al. According to Delaplace et al, the female to male ratio is 3:1. The median age of diagnosis is 17 years, however in most patients due to difficulty in diagnosing such a rare case, the malignancy would have metastasized (the median time of diagnosis after the onset of symptoms in a case of extra skeletal Ewing’s sarcoma is roughly 3-5 months, enough time for a tumour to metastasize and become incurable).

Treatment is mainly surgery. Adjuvant chemotherapy and radiotherapy can also give depending extent of disease.⁴

CASE REPORT

The patient is a 35-year-old female who initially came to the OPD with complaints of mass on the left trunk for 1...
month. The mass was initially roughly 5x6 cm in size. The patient then failed to follow up owing to the COVID-19 pandemic. The patient finally presented to the OPD again, only now the mass had shown tremendous increase in size, increasing in size from 5x6 cm to roughly 20 cm diameter over the left trunk, encroaching on to the lateral border of the spine and possibly involving the erector spinae muscle. FNAC of the mass showed small round cells. After admission, patient underwent thorough preanesthetic evaluation. She also underwent an MRI of the trunk to look for extent and plane of involvement of the tumour. On MRI it was revealed that the mass is roughly 20 cm x 10 cm in the deep subcutaneous plane without any deep muscular extension. The erector spinae muscle as well as the spine was uninvolved. The patient underwent surgery to remove the mass, done under GA after proper positioning of the patient (prone position). The patient underwent wide local excision of the, margin taken being 2 cm circumferentially with rotational flap and suction drain placement under GA. The patient tolerated the procedure well, with no intra-op or immediate post-operative complications.

Regarding surgical margins, the published range runs from negative for tumour at the inked margin to 5 cm. According to Kandel et al, the data suggest that patients with clear margins have a better prognosis, but no prospective studies have indicated how wide margins should be. To preserve functionality, surgery may result in a very close (<1 cm) or even microscopically positive margins. In this situation, the use of preoperative or postoperative radiation should be considered. Furthermore, no studies described the optimal number of tissue sections required to assess the adequacy of excision nor the appropriate handling of surgical resection specimens.
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Figure 5: Wide local excision of the mass with O to Z double rotational flap with suction drain placement.

The large defect which was left behind following the excision of the mass was repaired by using rotational flap—an O to Z double rotational flap, and suction drain placement for the same.

The mass was then sent for histopathological evaluation which showed small round cells which was consistent with the FNAC findings of small round cell tumour. Margins where clear off the disease entity. Histopathology studies also revealed that the Individual tumour cells have scant to vacuolated cytoplasm, round to oval nuclei, thick nuclear membrane with vesicular chromatin and inconspicuous nucleoli.

The patient is to be followed up regularly to prevent recurrence. The data on recurrence rate of the disease is abysmal considering the fact that the number of diagnosed cases in the entire world is less than 60. Hence regular follow up of this patient as well as every other patient with extra skeletal Ewing’s sarcoma needs to be done to study more about the natural history of the disease as well as recurrence rates.

DISCUSSION

Extra skeletal Ewing’s sarcoma is now considered in the Ewing’s sarcoma group of tumours, due to it being indistinguishable from the latter on microscopy. Ewing’s sarcoma group of tumours includes.

Traditional classical Ewing’s sarcoma which is confined to the bone and connective tissue, extra skeletal Ewing’s sarcoma—histopathology indistinguishable from Ewing’s sarcoma and primary neuroectodermal tumours—with neural components more than both extra skeletal and classic Ewing’s sarcoma.

Extra skeletal Ewing’s sarcoma has a relatively better prognosis as compared to Ewing’s sarcoma owing to it superficial occurrence and hence early diagnosis and treatment as compared to any other malignancy in of the Ewing’s sarcoma family of tumours. Histologically, these tumours present in the similar fashion, it is formed by small cells with round hyper chromatic nuclei and a single nucleolus. The cytoplasm is poorly defined, scarce, of clear coloration and with irregular vacuoles resulting from intracellular deposits of glycogen.

All the tumours which present as small round cell tumour are malignant, the degree of malignancy, that is how aggressive the tumour is depends depending on the mitotic index of the tumour.

As mentioned earlier, in multiple occasions, the family of Ewing’s sarcoma like tumours present in the similar manner under the microscope on histopathology. Hence it is pertinent that the sample is also subjected to immunohistochemistry studies as well. The latter helps to differentiate between the multiple differential diagnosis under the broad heading that is small round cell tumour.

The section on further immunohistochemistry the following findings were revealed in this patient.

Figure 6: Histopathology and immunohistochemistry section.
Histopathology showing malignant round cells, tumour cells hyperchromatic nucleus and scant cytoplasm, arrow pointing to rosettes, immunohistochemistry for Mic-2 (CD99) showing diffuse membrane positivity and negative for S100, Desmin, synaptophysin. Desmin negativity rules out rhabdomyosarcoma, S100, synaptophysin negativity rules out PNET tumours. Hence diagnosis of extra skeletal Ewing’s sarcoma was made.

Fluorescent in Situ hybridization technique is the final confirmatory test and is ideally recommended in developed countries where the provision is available

Cutaneous and subcutaneous Ewing’s sarcoma has better prognosis as compared to skeletal or soft tissue Ewing’s sarcoma, owing probably due to earlier diagnosis due to superficial location of the tumour

The treatment of extra skeletal Ewing’s sarcoma is mostly surgical, with wide local excision (margin yet to be standardized). Some articles do advocate for the need for adjuvant chemotherapy, but the matter is still under study. Radiation therapy is effective in unrespectable diseases.

Our patient is set to undergo an FDG PET scan to check for metastasis or any microscopic tumour deposits. Following which after complete healing of the suture line, the patient is set to undergo chemotherapy cycles composing of vincristine, doxorubicin, cyclophosphamide and actinomycin D, alternating with ifosfamide and etoposide.

CONCLUSION

Primary extra skeletal Ewing’s sarcoma is a very rare clinical entity. Being such a rare diagnosis, the treatment protocol still requires standardization. As what have been observed in our case, a wide local excision keeping a margin of 1 cm, followed by chemotherapy, irrespective of the margins being clear and no evidence of metastasis even on the most advanced PET scan (available in India), as the propensity for micro metastasis is very high.

A quick diagnosis and having no confusion regarding the treatment protocol is necessary for such a highly malignant tumour with tendency to metastasize. Also, a high index of suspicion is also necessary, as this condition can be misdiagnosed as something as simple as a lipoma especially in rural settings where the advent of immunohistochemistry is not available. Immunohistochemistry, is again a very valuable tool required for the final diagnosis of an extra skeletal Ewing’s sarcoma.
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