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Cataract surgery in African Americans with long anterior lens zonules

Long anterior zonules (LAZs) are characterized by the presence of zonular fibres, central to the normal insertion zone on the anterior lens capsule.1 They sometimes result in a markedly reduced zonule-free zone (Fig. 1) and have received attention relative to cataract extraction because of concern that their disruption during capsulectomy may lead to capsular tearing and intraocular lens instability.2,3 So far though, there has been minimal report on surgical outcomes in eyes with LAZ.

Because of the involvement in separate study of LAZ,4 we receive questions whether these subjects experience complications following cataract extraction. Thus, we retrospectively reviewed the outcomes of people having cataract surgery who were identified from a dataset of 227 LAZ subjects from an urban, eye care teaching facility in Chicago, IL, USA. The 227 members had been identified during primary eye care over 12–13 years and were included because they had zonular fibres ≥1.0 mm central to the normal, rather well-demarcated, anterior zonule termination zone that is about 2.5 mm anterior to equator (Fig. 1). Racial composition of this LAZ dataset reflected the predominantly African American clinic population from which it was derived. All subjects had LAZ confirmed on one or more occasions during dilated eye examination.

Upon review of current records of people in the dataset, we found 19 (16 females, 29 eyes) who had cataract surgery at some point after their initial LAZ detection (Table 1). Although quantification of LAZ, in terms of clock-hour, number and anterior extent, was not possible, notes and photographs helped estimate that 27 of 29 eyes had ‘moderate’ or ‘heavy’ LAZ based the following criteria: mild = 1–9 countable LAZ; moderate = 10–49 LAZ; heavy = 50 or more LAZ.

Circumstances after initial LAZ detection, and leading up to surgery, were variable. Typically, LAZ were detected during regular eye care, and subjects were seen afterwards at varied intervals, often by multiple doctors. When surgery was needed, there was no consistent surgical referral pattern, as consultation was requested by different practitioners, with some subjects even seeking cataract care by independent specialists on their own. As summarized in Table 1, we did not find evidence of intraocular lens dislocation/decentration, or other trends suggestive of untoward surgical outcomes, among the operated eyes. The median subject age at LAZ detection was 72.1 years (62.6–83.9 years) and at surgery for right eyes was 75.3 years (66.8–83.4 years) and 75.0 (65.5–87.1 years) for left eyes. Median time after surgery for right eyes was 1.7 years (0.4–5.6 years) and 2.3 years (0.1–6.8 years) for left eyes.

Based on available information, 15 eyes were operated in one of three different academic medical centres, 13 eyes in private practice settings and one in an unknown location. Specific surgeon(s) could not always be determined, but we estimated that procedures were performed by at least 12 different physicians. Surgical records were often not obtainable.

Although we did not find evidence of complications, we are not suggesting that this rules out any elevated risk for capsular tears in eyes with LAZ. The detailed nature of this relationship remains unknown and may be complex. Also, the findings from our sample are not necessarily generalizable. Nonetheless, the fact that these patients did well in the hands of multiple surgeons in different settings may be informative. Although this retrospective evaluation may be advantageous because there were no planned protocols that might bias surgical efforts towards greater caution, it also prevented knowing other information, including decisions that might have been made by surgeons to exercise greater caution. Also, we could not determine what level of awareness of LAZ presence the various surgeons had at surgery. Although subjects were part of the LAZ dataset, notation of LAZ presence was not carried through all clinical records. Among the few surgical notes available, it was interesting that LAZ were not necessarily mentioned. Based on our experience with LAZ, and how difficult the trait can be to discern, we suspect that it was common for them to go undetected at the time of surgery, especially if special staining techniques are not employed.1
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| ID | Race/sex | Age LAZ detected | Eye | Age at surgery | Years postoperative | Preoperative refract (D)† | Preoperative VA‡ | Postoperative VA | Comment |
|----|----------|------------------|-----|----------------|---------------------|--------------------------|------------------|-----------------|---------|
| 1  | AA/F     | 74               | R   | 75             | 5.6                 | +0.88                    | 30               | 30              | Age-related macular degeneration OU, with preoperative disciform macular scar OS |
| 2  | AA/F     | 71               | R   | 73             | 0.5                 | +1.00                    | 40               | 20              | – |
| 3  | AA/F     | 73               | R   | 74             | 1.4                 | +4.50                    | 40               | 40              | Laser iridotomy OU and epiretinal membrane OD preoperative; Unknown reason for reduced acuity OS |
| 4  | AA/F     | 71               | R   | 72             | 0.7                 | +0.50                    | 25               | 20              | – |
| 5  | AA/F     | 68               | R   | 73             | 3.9                 | −0.75                    | 20               | 20              | Posterior capsular opacification causing reduced acuity OS postoperative |
| 6  | AA/M     | 81               | R   | 82             | 4.5                 | unknown                  | 160              | 40              | Epiretinal membrane with macular oedema preoperative OD |
| 7  | AA/F     | 64               | R   | 67             | 3.1                 | +2.00                    | unknown          | 20              | – |
| 8  | AA/F     | 77               | R   | 80             | 2.6                 | −0.50                    | 200              | 20              | – |
| 9  | AA/F     | 69               | R   | 76             | 2.7                 | +1.00                    | 30               | 20              | Slight reduced acuity 1 month postoperative OS, unknown reason |
| 10 | AA/F     | 79               | R   | 83             | 3.5                 | −0.75                    | 20               | 20              | Unknown reason for postoperative acuity decrease OS |
| 11 | AA/F     | 73               | R   | 77             | 3.6                 | +5.00                    | 40               | 25              | Diabetic macular oedema OD developed 1.5 years postoperative |
| 12 | AA/F     | 63               | R   | 72             | 1.4                 | +0.50                    | 40               | 25              | – |
| 13 | AA/F     | 83               | R   | 83             | 1.5                 | +1.75                    | 200              | 25              | Treated for primary open-angle glaucoma preoperative |
| 14 | AA/F     | 78               | R   | 81             | 1.9                 | +4.00                    | 70               | 20              | – |
| 15 | AA/F     | 84               | R   | 87             | 6.8                 | −3.50                    | 50               | 20              | Treated for primary open-angle glaucoma preoperative |
| 16 | AA/F     | 70               | R   | 79             | 1.0                 | +1.00                    | 50               | 20              | – |
| 17 | AA/F     | 64               | R   | 65             | 6.3                 | +0.38                    | 100              | 20              | Treated for ocular hypertension and had laser photoagulation for diabetic retinopathy preoperative OU |
| 18 | AA/M     | 72               | R   | 72             | 1.1                 | +1.75                    | 60               | 50              | Unknown reason for reduced acuity OD |
| 19 | AA/M     | 72               | R   | 78             | 1.2                 | +3.12                    | 30               | 20              | Had laser iridotomy for chronic narrow angle-closure preoperative OU |

†Best-corrected Snellen acuity. ‡Spherical-equivalent (no subjects had preoperative cylinder power > 2.25D). §Nine eyes were excluded from the table because they did not have cataract surgery or because surgery had already been performed by the time of LAZ detection. –, not applicable; AA, African American; D, dioptre; F, M, female, male; ID, identification number; LAZ, long anterior zonule; OD, right eye; OS, left eye; OU, both eyes.
Although capsulectomy involving the normal zonular insertion zone may promote radial tearing, the effect of LAZ disruption is unclear because of certain peculiarities. For example, although one might expect to ‘visually trace’ LAZ fibres from their central tip all the way to their concealment behind the pupil border, they often seem to abruptly ‘terminate’ within the mid-periphery of the anterior capsule (Fig. 1). Whether this type of ‘segmental LAZ’ has extension that is ‘concealed’ deeper in the capsule is unknown, and it is unclear how this might influence risk of capsular tears. Also, unlike normal zonules at their anterior insertion, LAZ fibres often have considerable space between individual fibres, they may be few in number, they may be thicker, and they may be variable in length even within the same eye.
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