SSB Antagonizes RecX-RecA Interaction*
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The RecX protein of *Escherichia coli* inhibits the extension of RecA protein filaments on DNA, presumably by binding to and blocking the growing filament end. The direct binding of RecX protein to single-stranded DNA is weak, and previous reports suggested that direct binding to DNA did not explain the effects of RecX. We now demonstrate that elevated concentrations of SSB greatly moderate the effects of RecX protein. High concentrations of the yeast RPA protein have the same effect, suggesting that the effect is not species-specific or even specific to bacterial SSB proteins. A direct SSB-RecX interaction is thus unlikely. We suggest that SSB is blocking access to single-stranded DNA. The evident competition between RecX and SSB implies that the mechanism of RecX action may involve RecX binding to both RecA protein and to DNA. We speculate that the interaction of RecX protein and RecA may enable an enhanced DNA binding by RecX protein. The effects of SSB are increased if the SSB C terminus is removed.

The bacterial RecA protein is the prototype of a nearly ubiquitous class of recombinase proteins (1–11). These enzymes promote DNA strand exchange reactions that lie at the heart of all recombination and recombinational DNA repair processes (11–16). The recombinase forms a right-handed helical filament, usually on single-stranded DNA (ssDNA). A homologous duplex DNA is then aligned with this bound single strand, and one strand (the one complementary to the bound single strand) is transferred from the duplex substrate to form a new duplex within the filament. This process is used to reconstruct stalled replication forks, repair double strand breaks, facilitate meiotic crossovers in eukaryotes, and facilitate genetic exchanges of many types in almost every organism. Typical reactions are illustrated in Fig. 1.

The assembly and disassembly of RecA protein filaments on DNA has been studied in some detail, although understanding is not complete. Nucleation occurs most readily on ssDNA. There are discrete nucleation and extension phases in the assembly process, with extension proceeding primarily 5′ to 3′ relative to the ssDNA (17, 18). Disassembly also proceeds primarily 5′ to 3′, with monomeric subunits being added on one end and subtracted from the other (19, 20). Dissociation at the disassembly end is coupled to ATP hydrolysis (21, 22).

RecA-promoted reactions are important to cell viability. *Escherichia coli* strains lacking a functional recA gene are viable but highly sensitive to DNA-damaging agents (23–25). Recombinational DNA repair is thus important in bacteria, especially for the repair of stalled or collapsed replication forks (26–30). However, recombination can also have deleterious consequences and must be regulated. Recombination between repeated sequences in the genome, for example, could result in the deletion of essential genes.

In the past decade, multiple new RecA regulatory mechanisms have been elucidated. The expression of the recA gene is regulated as part of the SOS response (31–35). The native RecA protein is auto-regulated by the 17 amino acid residues at its own C terminus, because virtually every RecA activity is enhanced when those 17 amino acids are deleted (36–41). Finally, RecA function is regulated by a growing array of regulatory proteins.

There are at least eight proteins in a typical *E. coli* cell that modulate RecA protein function to some extent. Much of the regulation is focused on RecA filament assembly and disassembly. One modulator of RecA filament dynamics is SSB. SSB strongly inhibits the nucleation phase of RecA filament assembly (42) but stimulates the extension phase, removing secondary structure in ssDNA that would otherwise impede filament growth (43–46). Although ordinarily SSB protein prevents filament nucleation, single RecA monomers can easily be added to an existing filament and displace SSB from DNA at the rate of filament extension (47).

Additional proteins affect other aspects of RecA protein filament function, often by interacting directly with RecA. The nucleation barrier represented by SSB is overcome by the RecO and RecR proteins (48, 49), perhaps with help from the RecF protein (50). Another protein, DinI, stabilizes RecA protein filaments and prevents filament dissociation (51). The RecX protein blocks RecA filament extension (52). The RdgC protein can block RecA protein activities by excluding RecA from duplex DNA (53). The PsiB protein, encoded by some F plasmids, may also block RecA function (54–56). The RecF protein can facilitate RecA protein filament extension by antagonizing RecX function (57).

The RecX protein is the focus of the present report and an inhibitor of RecA function both *in vivo* and *in vitro* (58). Seifert and co-workers (58) demonstrated that RecA and RecX proteins physically interact using yeast two-hybrid analysis in *E. coli*. The RecX protein binds deep within the major helical groove of a RecA filament (59). Electron microscopy image reconstructions indicate that when RecX protein is bound to an
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intact RecA filament, it spans the monomer-monomer interface, binding from the C-terminal domain of one RecA monomer to the core domain of a second monomer (59). RecX possesses a limited ssDNA binding activity (52). The effect of RecX is actually greater where RecX protein is added to an experiment prior to RecA protein (52). The inhibition of RecA ATPase activity by RecX is greater in the presence of SSB than in the absence of SSB (58).

The RecX protein is effective in halting RecA filament extension, and a previous study suggested that RecX acts via a capping mechanism (52). The binding of RecX to the growing end of a RecA filament would simply preclude further RecA protein additions at that end (52). The apparently weak binding of RecX to ssDNA suggested that RecX did not simply bind to the DNA and act as an inert barrier to extension but instead interacted directly with the RecA protein. The function of RecX binding elsewhere along the RecA filament is unclear.

In the present study, we demonstrate that elevated concentrations of SSB interfere with RecX function. The patterns of interference indicate a direct competition between SSB and RecX for a ligand, presumably ssDNA, and suggest that an interaction of RecX with ssDNA may be part of the mechanism by which RecX halts RecA filament extension. The RecX-ssDNA interaction may be affected by RecA protein and thus underestimated in the earlier work.

**EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES**

**Enzymes and Reagents**—The E. coli WT RecA protein was overexpressed and purified as described previously (40). The concentration of the purified protein was determined from the absorbance at 280 nm using the extinction coefficient 2.23 × 10⁴ M⁻¹ cm⁻¹ (60). The E. coli SSB protein was purified as described (61). The concentration of the purified protein was determined from the absorbance at 280 nm using the extinction coefficient 2.83 × 10⁴ M⁻¹ cm⁻¹ (62). The RecX protein was purified as described (63). The concentration of RecX protein was determined from the absorbance at 280 nm using the extinction coefficient 2.57 × 10⁴ M⁻¹ cm⁻¹ (63). The concentrations of RPA protein was determined by UV absorption at 280 nm using the extinction coefficients of 8.8 × 10⁴ M⁻¹ cm⁻¹ (64). Unless otherwise noted, all of the reagents were purchased from Fisher and were of the highest grade available. Dithiothreitol was obtained from Research Organics. Phosphoenolpyruvate, pyruvate kinase, lactate dehydrogenase, ATP, and NADH were purchased from Sigma.

**DNA Substrates**—Circular ssDNA from bacteriophage M13mp8 (7229 nucleotides) was prepared using previously described methods (65–67). Gapped DNA substrate (gDNA) was prepared by annealing four oligonucleotides (10 μM nucleotides each) to M13mp8 circular single-stranded DNA (500 μM nucleotides) as described previously (50). The sequences of the oligonucleotides are complementary to the M13mp8 ssDNA sequences corresponding to nucleotides 224–255, 1005–1039, 2514–2545, and 4728–4760, with reference to the standard map of the bacteriophage (68). Poly(dT) (average length, 229 nucleotides; lot GG0076) was purchased from Amersham Biosciences. The concentrations of bacteriophage ssDNA and gDNA were determined by absorbance at 260 nm, using 36 μg ml⁻¹ A260⁻¹ as conversion factor. All of the DNA concentrations are reported in the form of total nucleotides (μM).

**ATPase Assay**—A coupled spectrophotometric enzyme assay (20, 69) was used to measure the DNA-dependent ATPase activities of the wild type RecA. The regeneration of ATP from phosphoenolpyruvate and ADP was coupled to the oxidation of NADH and followed by the decrease in absorbance of NADH at 380 nm. The assays were carried out on a Varian Cary 300 dual beam spectrophotometer equipped with a temperature controller. The cell path length and band pass were 1 cm and 2 nm, respectively. The NADH extinction coefficient at 380 nm of 1.21 mm⁻¹ cm⁻¹ was used to calculate the rate of ATP hydrolysis. The reactions were carried out at 37 °C in 25 mM Tris-OAc (80% cation, pH 7.4), 1 mM dithiothreitol, 3 mM potassium glutamate, 10 mM Mg(OAc)₂, 5% (w/v) glycerol) with an ATP regeneration system (20 units/ml pyruvate kinase and 4 mM phosphoenolpyruvate), a coupling system (3 mM NADH and 20 units/ml lactate dehydrogenase), 3 mM ATP and 5 μM M13mp8 circular single-stranded DNA or gDNA. The aforementioned components and RecA protein (3 μM) were incubated together for 5 min prior to the addition of the SSB protein. SSB was added to the indicated concentration, and the reaction was challenged 7 min later by the addition of RecX protein. The addition of RecX protein defines t = 0 for each experiment unless otherwise noted.

In the experiments with poly(dT), the concentrations of ssDNA and RecA protein were reduced to 4 and 2.4 μM, respectively. The incubation time before ATP and RecX addition was 10 min each. The concentrations of the components of the regeneration system and coupling system were 10 units/ml pyruvate kinase, 3.5 mM phosphoenolpyruvate, 10 units/ml lactate dehydrogenase, and 1.5 mM NADH.
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**RESULTS**

**Experimental Rationale**—The RecA protein hydrolyzes ATP when bound to DNA, and the rate of ATP hydrolysis generally correlates well to the amount of RecA bound to the DNA (20, 69–71). The purpose of the experiments is to examine the effects of SSB on RecX function. RecA-mediated ATP hydrolysis is used as a real time indicator of RecA filament status. RecX and SSB concentrations are varied, and several different orders of addition are employed.

**SSB Protein Antagonizes RecX Function**—In the absence of SSB protein, the ATP hydrolysis rate was constant and slow (data not shown). This reflects the limited binding of RecA to the ssDNA, where formation of a complete and contiguous RecA filament is precluded by secondary structure in the DNA. The binding that does occur is maintained at a constant steady state. SSB facilitates RecA filament extension by removing secondary structure in the ssDNA, leading to the rapid stimulation of ATP hydrolysis. When there was no RecX present, but SSB was added, ATP hydrolysis proceeded at a steady state reflecting a \( k_{cat} \) of just over 30 min\(^{-1} \) (Fig. 2A). The RecA filaments appear to be largely contiguous under these conditions, although a few short gaps or breaks are generally present in each filament where exchange of RecA monomers between the bound and free pool is occurring (52, 72). The final steady state rates of ATP hydrolysis are unaffected by increasing SSB concentration (Fig. 2A).

In Fig. 2B, the effects of RecX protein are shown, with 42 nM RecX protein added after RecA filaments had been formed. The addition of RecX protein resulted in a time-dependent decline of ATP hydrolysis. This reflects the net end-dependent disassembly of RecA filaments that occurs when filament extension is prevented by RecX protein (52). To test the effect of SSB protein on the function of RecX protein, the concentration of SSB protein was varied from 0.25 to 2 \( \mu \)M while maintaining the same RecX protein concentration of 42 nM. When SSB protein was present at low concentration, the rate of ATP hydrolysis declines over a period of several minutes. The effect of the added RecX protein diminishes as SSB protein concentration increases. The apparent protection afforded by SSB protein with respect to RecX function appears to saturate as SSB concentration approaches 1.5–2 \( \mu \)M. There were no SSB concentrations that could completely protect RecA filaments from 42 nM RecX protein, although the effects of RecX are greatly diminished at high SSB concentrations. This suggests that the mechanism by which RecX protein affects RecA protein filaments is SSB-dependent.

A similar experiment was carried out in which SSB was bound to the ssDNA prior to the addition of RecA (Fig. 3). SSB impedes RecA protein loading onto ssDNA (73), and higher SSB concentrations increase the long lag in RecA protein binding as seen in Fig. 3A. When 84 nM RecX protein was also added to the reaction prior to RecA (Fig. 3B), the formation of RecA filaments was impeded much more. However, increasing SSB concentrations had a positive, rather than a negative effect in this experiment. The capacity of RecX to block RecA filament formation was substantially decreased by the higher SSB concentrations.

The apparently robust effects observed when RecX is added prior to RecA protein likely do not reflect the prebinding of RecX to the ssDNA. The same result is obtained if RecA and RecX are preincubated together prior to the addition of ssDNA and ATP in rapid succession (data not shown).

The actual molecular effects of RecX on RecA are the same regardless of when RecX is added. RecA filament extension is blocked. The observed effects of RecX on RecA-mediated ATP hydrolysis, which reflects the amount of bound RecA, are simply greater if RecX can act early in the RecA filament assembly process. RecX, added early, can minimize the amounts of RecA that bind to the ssDNA and thus suppress the observed ATP hydrolysis. When RecX is added to fully formed filaments, the effects appear less dramatic because the resulting reduction in ATP hydrolysis reflects the slow disassembly of the RecA filaments.

The Protective Effects of SSB Are Not Species-specific—We wished to determine whether the protective effects of SSB reflected a specific interaction between SSB and either RecA or RecX protein. We therefore carried out a new set of experiments, adding the yeast RPA protein in place of SSB. RPA
removes secondary structure in ssDNA and stimulates the extension phase of RecA filaments as effectively as does SSB (74). We used several concentrations of RPA and 42 nM RecX protein (Fig. 4). When RecX was added to preformed RecA filaments in the presence of low concentrations of RPA, a decline in the rate of hydrolysis was observed, reflecting RecA filament disassembly. As was the case for SSB, higher RPA concentrations moderated the effects of RecX protein. However, a lower concentration of RPA (relative to SSB) was required to counter the inhibitory effects of RecX. The same protective effect on RecA filaments was found with T4 gene 32 protein (data not shown). The data presented here suggest that SSB does not specifically interact with RecX protein. This implies that the effect of SSB could be mediated by a simple competition between RecX and SSB. In principle, one could consider three kinds of competition mechanisms: (a) a binding of RecX protein directly to ssDNA with competition with SSB, (b) a binding of RecX to RecA by a capping mechanism facilitated by the binding of RecX to ssDNA, with the latter function blocked by SSB, and (c) a steric inhibition of RecX capping of RecA filaments by SSB bound downstream of the growing end of a RecA filament that does not involve RecX binding to ssDNA.

The Protective Effect of SSB Is Reduced on gDNA—Because RecX inhibition of ATPase is dependent on SSB concentration, we decided to look at the RecX inhibition under conditions in which the DNA substrate included short duplex regions. SSB will not bind to the double-stranded DNA regions. However, RecA filaments are more dynamic when bound to double-stranded DNA (72) and should be somewhat more accessible to RecX protein in these locations. The gDNA substrates were produced by annealing either two or four short synthetic oligonucleotides to circular M13mp8 ssDNA. The oligonucleotides restricted SSB binding over just their 32-nucleotide lengths relative to the phage DNA (7229 nucleotides). The rate of RecA-mediated ATPase activity gradually increased with increasing RecX concentration, reinforcing the conclusion that there is a direct competition between SSB and RecX.

Deletion of 8 Amino Acid Residues from the SSB C Terminus Decreases the Inhibitory Effects of RecX—The effect of RecX protein was moderated to an even greater extent when the SSB protein had a C-terminal deletion. In the experiments of Fig. 6B, the SSB protein is replaced with SSBΔC8 (76). C-terminally deleted variants of SSB, in which the C-terminal domain (up to 42 residues) has been removed, bind somewhat more tightly to ssDNA than does wild type SSB (77, 78). In trials to date, no ssDNA binding deficiency (relative to wild type SSB) has been detected with the SSBΔC8 variant (76, 79). Four of the final eight residues of *E. coli* SSB are aspartate residues, and many proteins interact with this acidic SSB C terminus, (73, 79–91). Mutations in the six to eight C-terminal amino acids of the SSB protein can render a bacterial cell inviable (85). Approximately 2–3-fold more RecX protein is required to produce a given level of inhibition of RecA-mediated ATPase, relative to the results
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**FIGURE 4.** The protective effects of SSB are not species-specific. The reaction conditions were as in Fig. 2, but with RPA replacing SSB. RPA protein concentration was varied as indicated.

**FIGURE 5.** The protective effect of SSB is reduced on gDNA. RecA, ssDNA, and ATP concentrations were identical to those in Fig. 2. RecX concentration was 84 nM (where indicated). In all cases, the SSB was present at 1.5 μM.
SSB Can Reverse the Effects of RecX Protein—Additional experiments were carried out to determine whether the effects of RecX could be reversed by SSB after RecX had already bound and halted RecA filament extension. In the experiment shown in Fig. 7, RecA filaments were formed with 0.25 μM SSB, followed by the addition of 42 nM RecX protein at the point indicated as t = 0 min. At various times thereafter, 3.25 μM SSB protein was added (to give a final concentration of 3.5 μM). As shown in Fig. 7, RecA filaments recovered, as indicated by increased ATP hydrolysis, in all cases. The results suggest a highly dynamic competition between RecX and SSB, in a system where binding to ssDNA and (with RecX) to RecA protein filament ends is reversible.

RecA Filaments Bound to Poly(dT) Are Inhibited by RecX—The enhancement of RecX-mediated inhibition when the DNA includes short duplex regions (Fig. 5) suggests that the RecX protein might itself bind to regions of secondary structure. If so, then the effects of RecX should be eliminated when RecA protein is bound to poly(dT) with an average length of 229 nucleotides. The results are presented in Fig. 8.

The kinetic effects of RecX are predictably different when poly(dT) is substituted for the long circular ssDNAs used in Figs. 2–7. In the earlier figures, RecX addition after RecA filaments are assembled leads to a slow disassembly of the few long RecA filaments on each DNA molecule. Because SSB is present, it replaces the RecA as disassembly proceeds, impeding RecA rebinding and leading eventually to a steady state in which relatively little RecA is bound.

On shorter linear ssDNA, RecA filaments form and disassemble rapidly. The total ssDNA (in terms of total nucleotides) is comparable in Fig. 8 (4 μM) with the ssDNA concentration in the other figures (5 μM). However, the distribution of RecA protein onto many short oligonucleotides rather than a relatively few long ssDNAs means that there are many more RecA filament ends to interact with in the poly(dT) experiment. A filament with 70 RecA monomeric subunits (enough to coat one of these poly(dT) molecules) should disassemble completely in about 1 min, given the measured end-dependent disassembly rates of 60–70 subunits min⁻¹ (21). When SSB was added to these filaments, the rate of ATP hydrolysis declined rapidly. With sufficient SSB (3 μM), the rate went to zero within 6 min, reflecting the expected replacement of the disassembling RecA with SSB (data not shown). SSB was thus not added to the experiments in Fig. 8, because the effects of RecX could not be monitored with SSB present.
When RecX protein was added to preassembled RecA filaments on poly(dT), the rates of ATP hydrolysis did not decline slowly but assumed a new steady state within a minute or so (Fig. 8). The new steady state seen after RecX addition declined as the concentration of RecX protein increased. The concentration of poly(dT) oligonucleotides in molecules (as opposed to total nucleotides) was \( \sim 17 \) nm, which also approximates the concentration of RecA filament ends available to RecX protein. The observed steady state of RecA-mediated ATP hydrolysis continues to decline well past the point at which RecX protein concentration is stoichiometric with RecA filament ends. These results are readily interpreted within the filament capping model proposed previously (52) (perhaps augmented by an interaction of RecX with ssDNA as implied by Figs. 2–7). If RecX can interact only with a preformed RecA filament and not with free RecA, the inhibition by RecX on poly(dT) could occur only after filaments were nucleated. As filaments dissociated and then renucleated, RecX addition would prevent new filament extension from going to completion. Higher RecX concentrations would increase the probability of capping at an earlier point in filament formation and decrease the average filament length in the population. The shorter filaments would dissociate in a shorter time, and the steady state concentration of bound RecA protein (and associated ATP hydrolysis) would decline. RecA-mediated ATP hydrolysis is not suppressed entirely even at very high RecX concentrations, because RecX can only act after some RecA has bound to the DNA (and every DNA binding event by RecA will result in ATP hydrolysis). To the extent that RecX protein interacts with the DNA as it caps a RecA filament, it does not bind exclusively to duplex DNA or to DNA hairpins (secondary structure), because RecX can inhibit RecA even on poly(dT).

**DISCUSSION**

We conclude that SSB antagonizes the inhibitory effects of RecX protein on RecA protein filaments. The simplest interpretation is that RecX and SSB protein compete for a ligand to which both may bind, almost certainly single-stranded DNA. Further, the ssDNA-RecX interaction would then represent at least part of the mechanism by which RecX halts RecA filament extension. The RecX-SSB competition is dynamic, and the effects of each protein are readily overcome by increasing the concentration of the other. Whereas RecX protein appears to have a limited capacity to bind directly to ssDNA on its own (52), the effects of SSB may indirectly reveal an important RecX-ssDNA interaction. This RecX protein interaction with DNA does not involve duplex DNA or secondary structure in the ssDNA (hairpins), at least not exclusively, because RecX has a substantial inhibitory effect on RecA filaments even when those filaments are bound to poly(dT). Given the relatively weak binding of RecX protein to ssDNA observed to date, we note that we cannot yet exclude an alternative mechanism presented as mechanism c under “Results.” In this alternative, SSB antagonizes RecX action via a steric effect in which bound SSB is so close to the 3’-proximal end of RecA filaments (where RecX capping must occur) that it impedes RecX binding to RecA without an interaction of RecX with ssDNA.

When RecX protein is added to formed RecA filaments, a net disassembly ensues. The dissociating RecA protein is not replaced by growth of existing filaments, presumably because RecX is blocking filament growth on the 3’-proximal end where extension occurs. The results led to the RecA filament capping mechanism for RecX action (52). The general effects of RecX remain the same; however, the effects of SSB add a new dimension. We infer that the relevant competition between RecX and SSB is occurring at the 3’-proximal (extension) end of a RecA filament. Regardless of the precise mechanism by which SSB antagonizes RecX function, the results reinforce the idea that the primary effect of RecX occurs at the extending end of the RecA filament.

If direct binding of RecX protein to ssDNA is weak yet SSB can interfere with RecX function, then the capping of a RecA filament may involve a RecX-ssDNA interaction. As noted above, the effects of SSB could also reflect a steric effect that does not require a RecX-ssDNA interaction. In the first scenario, it is reasonable to speculate that the interaction between RecX and RecA may facilitate a RecX-ssDNA interaction that is otherwise relatively cryptic. Given the filamentous form of RecA protein on ssDNA, it is difficult to measure the RecX-ssDNA interaction in the presence of RecA. In typical DNA binding assays, the background rendered by the RecA-ssDNA interaction has so far blocked any direct measurement of RecX binding to ssDNA.
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