Water Adsorption at the Tetrahedral Titania Surface Layer of SrTiO$_3$(110)-(4×1)

Zhiming Wang,$^\dagger$ Xianfeng Hao,$^\dagger$ Stefan Gerhold,$^\dagger$ Zbynek Novotny,$^\dagger$ Cesare Franchini,$^\dagger$ Eamon McDermott,$^\S$ Karina Schulte,$^\S$ Michael Schmid,$^\S$ and Ulrike Diebold*$^\S$

$^\dagger$Institute of Applied Physics, Vienna University of Technology, 1040 Vienna, Austria
$^\S$Faculty of Physics & Center for Computational Materials Science, University of Vienna, 1090 Vienna, Austria

ABSTRACT: The interaction of water with oxide surfaces is of great interest for both fundamental science and applications. We present a combined theoretical (density functional theory (DFT)) and experimental (scanning tunneling microscopy (STM) and photoemission spectroscopy (PES)) study of water interaction with the two-dimensional titania overlayer that terminates the SrTiO$_3$(110)-(4×1) surface and consists of TiO$_4$ tetrahedra. STM and core-level and valence band PES show that H$_2$O neither adsorbs nor dissociates on the stoichiometric surface at room temperature, whereas it does dissociate at oxygen vacancies. This is in agreement with DFT calculations, which show that the energy barriers for water dissociation on the stoichiometric and reduced surfaces are 1.7 and 0.9 eV, respectively. We propose that water weakly adsorbs on two-dimensional, tetrahedrally coordinated overlayers.

1. INTRODUCTION

The discovery of photochemical water splitting on SrTiO$_3$ with no external bias under UV irradiation has motivated much research into the interaction of water with this material.$^{1,2}$ More recently, the SrTiO$_3$(110) surface has received significant attention.$^{18,20}$ Thus, a reliable structural model is available for this surface.

The SrTiO$_3$(110) surface is polar, as a SrTiO$_3$ crystal can be terminated by a Sr adatom on the (110) surface.$^{14}$ A SrTiO$_3$ crystal can be tuned by varying the surface stoichiometry, forming a homologous series of ($n$×1) ($n=3$–6) reconstructions.

1) (n = 3–6) series of reconstructions was solved by transmission electron diffraction and direct methods and confirmed and refined by density functional theory (DFT) calculations and scanning tunneling microscopy (STM).$^{19,21}$ Thus, a reliable structural model is available for this surface.

In this context it is important to note that SrTiO$_3$(110) forms a wide variety of reconstructions, which depend strongly on the preparation conditions and sample history. Various groups report different results; thus, it is not always straightforward to connect water adsorption experiments to the actual surface structure. Recently, the SrTiO$_3$(110) surface has received significant attention.$^{18,22}$ It was found that the SrTiO$_3$(110) surface can be prepared reproducibly and reversibly with a variety of surface structures.$^{18,20}$
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In recent reports, periodically arranged, tetrahedrally coordinated MeO$_4$ (Me = Ti, Si) units have emerged as a common feature on several oxide surfaces.\textsuperscript{19,21,28–31} For example, such units form one-dimensional rows at the anatase TiO$_2$(001)-(1 × 4) and rutile TiO$_2$(110)-(1 × 2)-Ti$_3$O$_5$ surfaces.\textsuperscript{28,29} For anatase (001), a high reactivity toward water adsorption was reported;\textsuperscript{32,33} this surface was also identified as the most active one in photocatalytic reactions.\textsuperscript{34} although it remains controversial whether the reconstructed or the unreconstructed anatase (001) surface is the most active phase.\textsuperscript{35} Well-ordered, ultrathin silica structures consisting of SiO$_4$ units have also been reported\textsuperscript{36} that bear resemblance to the two-dimensional network on the SrTiO$_3$(110)-(n × 1) (n = 3–6) and rutile TiO$_2$(100)-(c(2 × 2)) surfaces.\textsuperscript{19,21,31} It should be noted, however, that Ti in bulk TiO$_2$ and SrTiO$_3$ is octahedrally coordinated, in contrast to SiO$_2$, which forms tetrahedra also in the bulk.

In this article we present a combined experimental (STM and PES) and theoretical (DFT) investigation of water adsorption on stoichiometric and reduced SrTiO$_3$(110) surfaces with a two-dimensional tetrahedrally coordinated (4 × 1) reconstructed layer. Both experimental and theoretical results clearly show that water dissociates on the surface with oxygen vacancies (V$_O$), while water neither adsorbs nor dissociates on the stoichiometric surface at room temperature (RT). Generalizing our result, we propose that two-dimensional, tetrahedrally coordinated overlayers on oxide materials interact only weakly with water.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1. Experimental Details. STM measurements were performed in two ultrahigh vacuum (UHV) chambers equipped with a SPECS Aarhus STM at RT and an Omicron low-temperature (LT) STM at 78 K, respectively (see refs 37 and 38 for more details). Synchrotron radiation photoemission spectroscopy experiments were performed at beamline I311 at the MAX IV Laboratory.\textsuperscript{39} The pressure in all UHV systems was better than 1 × 10$^{-10}$ mbar. Nb-doped (0.5 wt %) SrTiO$_3$(110) single crystals were purchased from MaTeck, Germany. The clean surface was prepared by cycles of Ar$^+$ sputtering (1 keV, 5 μA, 10 min) followed by annealing in 2 × 10$^{-6}$ mbar oxygen at 900 °C for 1 h.\textsuperscript{40} The samples were heated by electron bombardment (13 mA, 900 V) or by passing alternating current through the crystal, and the temperature was monitored with an infrared pyrometer. The surface reconstruction was checked by low-energy electron diffraction (LEED) and was adjusted by depositing Sr or Ti on the surface at RT followed by annealing until a sharp (4 × 1) LEED pattern was observed.\textsuperscript{20} The surface was exposed to atomic H by backfilling the chamber with H$_2$ while keeping a hot tungsten filament in line of sight with the sample. The hydrogen cracking efficiency in our setup is estimated to be 5% with the W filament temperature about 2000 °C.\textsuperscript{41} The density of H atoms is around 0.1 per nm$^2$ after dosing at a H$_2$ partial pressure of 1 × 10$^{-6}$ mbar for 5 min with the sample at room temperature. Deionized H$_2$O was cleaned by repeated freeze–pump–thaw cycles and dosed by backfilling the UHV chamber through a leak valve. The purity of the water vapor was checked by mass spectrometry. All photoemission spectra in this paper were collected with emission normal to the sample plane; the angle between the sample normal and the incoming X-rays was 54.7°. Photon energies were 605 and 45 eV for core-level and valence band photoemission spectroscopy, respectively. The binding energies were calibrated with respect to the Fermi level of a clean Mo sample plate, on which our sample was mounted.

2.2. Computational Details. The first-principles calculations were performed using the projector augmented-wave method as implemented in the Vienna ab initio simulation package (VASP) code,\textsuperscript{42,43} using the Perdew–Burke–Ernzerhof (PBE)\textsuperscript{44} approximation to treat the exchange-correlation functional within the DFT. The kinetic energy cutoff for the plane waves expansion was set to 600 eV, and reduced to 400 eV for the nudged elastic band (NEB) calculations as detailed below. To improve the description of dispersion forces, which are expected to play an important role in H$_2$O physisorption phenomena and are not correctly accounted for in standard DFT, we have employed two alternative corrections: (i) the DFT-D2 method of Grimme\textsuperscript{45–47} and (ii) the modified version of van der Waals DFT (vdW-DFT), adopting the recently introduced functional optB86b-vdW.\textsuperscript{48} Our surface calculations are based on the SrTiO$_3$(110)-(4 × 1) structural model proposed by Enterkin et al.\textsuperscript{49} To weaken the interaction between the water and its periodic image we have adopted a large (4 × 2) supercell (Figure 1), which is constructed by doubling the (4 × 1) model along the [110] direction. We have used a symmetric slab consisting of 13 layers separated by a vacuum layer of 12 Å (total thickness 32 Å). A pair of H$_2$O molecules was symmetrically adsorbed on both
sides of the slab. During structural optimization all atoms were allowed to relax until all components of their residual forces were less than 0.02 eV Å⁻¹, except for the atoms in the central three layers, which were kept fixed in their bulk positions. We have used the fully optimized PBE lattice constant 3.945 Å (very close to the corresponding experimental one, 3.905 Å) and a (2 × 3 × 1) Monkhorst–Pack k-point mesh (reduced to 1 × 1 × 1 for the NEB runs) for the Brillouin zone integrations.

The oxygen vacancy formation energy \( E(V_O) \) is computed as \( E(V_O) = \frac{1}{2}[E_{\text{TOT}}(2V_O) - E_{\text{TOT}} + E(O_2)] \) where \( E_{\text{TOT}} \) refers to the DFT total energy of the clean symmetric slab, \( E_{\text{TOT}}(2V_O) \) denotes the DFT total energy of the symmetric slab containing two \( V_O \)’s, and \( E(O_2) \) indicates the DFT energy of the oxygen molecule. Similarly, the H and H₂O adsorption energies are evaluated using the formula \( E_{\text{ads}}(X) = \frac{1}{2}[E_{\text{TOT}}(2X) - E_{\text{TOT}} - 2E(X)] \) (with \( X = \text{H or H}_2\text{O} \)), where \( E_{\text{TOT}}(X) \) refers to the DFT total energies of the symmetric slab containing two H adatoms or two water molecules, whereas \( E(X) \) represents the DFT energies of the isolated H atom or H₂O molecule.

The energy barriers for the water dissociation processes were determined via the climbing image NEB (CI-NEB) method, which is designed to compel one of the intermediate states near the transition point to climb up along the reaction coordinate to reach the highest saddle point, leading to an evaluation of the energy barrier that is more accurate than that of the regular NEB. Because of the computational load, we adopted 4–8 images connecting two subsequent minima of the potential-energy surface for determining the minimum energy path. The whole path was considered to be converged when the residual forces acting on the individual images dropped below the threshold of 0.05 eV Å⁻¹. For the NEB calculations we did not include dispersion corrections on top of DFT, as it has been demonstrated that these have little impact on the activation energy.²⁰

3. RESULTS

3.1. Scanning Tunneling Microscopy. Figure 2a shows an empty-states STM image of the SrTiO₃(110) surface after exposure to atomic hydrogen. The bright stripes along the [110] direction correspond to the TiIII and TiII atoms in the six-membered rings, located in tetrahedral units that connect to the SrTiO₃ substrate below by sharing corners. The ridges are separated by a dark trench originating from the tetrahedra in the 10-membered rings, which share edges with the SrTiO₃ underneath (Figure 1a). Each stripe contains two or three bright rows of periodic dots for the (4 × 1) or (5 × 1) reconstruction, respectively.²¹ On top of the stripes, two types of bright protrusions are observed (labeled by red and white arrows in Figure 2a). Each stripe contains two or three bright rows of periodic dots for the (4 × 1) or (5 × 1) reconstruction, respectively.²¹ On top of the stripes, two types of bright protrusions are observed (labeled by red and white arrows). Sr adatoms, which are part of the (4 × 1) antiphase domain structure,²⁷ are labeled with red arrows. In agreement with the DFT calculations they are adsorbed in the middle of the six-membered rings, i.e., centered on the bright (4 × 1) stripes. The Sr adatoms have an apparent height of ~240 pm. (Quoted here and in the following are typical values for the apparent heights observed for an STM sample bias of +2.3 V and a tunneling current of 0.1 nA. However, note that the apparent height also depends on the tip state.)

It is well-accepted that atomic hydrogen preferentially adsorbs on the surface oxygen atoms, forming hydroxyl groups.³¹–³⁴ In our case, the hydroxyl groups (labeled with white arrows in Figure 2a) have an apparent height of ~130 pm, which is less than that of the Sr adatoms. The OH groups appear preferentially at the sides of both the (4 × 1) and the (5 × 1) stripes. DFT calculations (below) show that atomic hydrogen prefers to adsorb at the O3 site (Figure 1), and the resulting simulated STM image is consistent with experimental results.²¹ It should be noted that we also observed indications of H interaction with Sr adatoms; for example, note the streaky appearance of the extra-bright Sr atom in Figure 2a that indicates the presence of an adsorbate.

After the hydroxylated surface was flashed to about 300 °C, less bright protrusions with an apparent height of ~70 pm appear (blue arrows in Figure 2b). From TPD and STM experiments it is often observed that molecular water desorbs from hydroxylated oxide surfaces upon flash-annealing.³⁷,⁵³,⁵⁴ Indeed, from a prior TPD study a similar conclusion was drawn for the SrTiO₃(001) surface.¹³ It was observed that molecular water desorbs above 100 °C on the hydroxylated SrTiO₃(001) surface.¹³ Therefore, it is reasonable to attribute the less bright protrusions to \( V_O \)’s. The \( V_O \)’s sit also at the side of the (4 × 1) stripes, similar to the hydroxyls. These results agree very well with the preference for a \( V_O \) at the O3 site in DFT calculations as shown in the following and in ref 21.

Figure 3a shows an LT-STM image of the SrTiO₃(110) surface after exposure to 0.3 langmuir water at 110 K. Bright features with an apparent height of ~80 pm, labeled with green arrows, appear in the trenches between stripes. These features are different from the \( V_O \)’s and hydroxyls in Figure 2. From TPD measurements on the SrTiO₃(001) surface, molecular water starts to desorb around 200−260 K at low exposure (<1 langmuir), while weakly bound and multilayer water desorbs below 200 K upon further exposure.¹³ We attribute the features in Figure 3a to molecular water that is located at the cation sites at low exposure. From the DFT calculations shown below, molecular water preferentially adsorbs at the TiI site in the 10-membered rings on the SrTiO₃(110)-(4 × 1) surface (Figure 1b), consistent with the experimental observations.
After the surface is dosed with 3 langmuir water at RT, bright features with an apparent height similar to hydroxyls formed on the H-exposed surface appear at the sides of both the (4 × 1) and (5 × 1) stripes (Figure 3b), indicating hydroxyl formation after dosing with water at RT. In addition to single hydroxyls, hydroxyl pairs are also observed on the surface, again labeled by white arrows in Figure 3b. These pairs are likely due to the dissociation of water at the VO's. In Figure 3b the distance between these hydroxyl pairs is two unit cells along the [11̅0] direction. Here the saturation coverage of hydroxyls is approximately 0.01 ML (1 ML = 4.64 × 10¹⁴ atoms cm⁻² relative to the SrTiO₃(110)-(1 × 1) unit cell), suggesting a surface VO density of half that value. Further increasing the water dosage up to 50 langmuir does not introduce more hydroxyls on the surface, and no indication of molecular water is observed. We conclude that water dissociates only on the VO's whereas it neither adsorbs nor dissociates on the stoichiometric surface at RT.

3.2. Photoemission Spectroscopy. Figure 4a shows photoemission spectra of the valence band region of differently treated SrTiO₃(110) surfaces. The valence band of the clean surface shows mainly O 2p-derived features. By linearly extrapolating the onset of the spectra, the valence band maximum (VBM) is determined to be located at 3.2 eV below the Fermi level (Eᶠ), in agreement with the Nb-doped n-type sample and a reported band gap of 3.2 eV for SrTiO₃.⁵⁵ For the clean surface no states are observed in the band gap region (see Figure 4b),⁵⁶ indicating that Nb dopants do not induce in-gap states. This is consistent with the picture that the band structure of lightly n-type doped samples can be well-described by a simple rigid band shift.⁵⁷

After the clean surface is dosed with up to 240 langmuir water at RT, the valence band spectrum does not change compared to that of the clean surface (see Figure 4a,b). For molecularly adsorbed water one would expect features related to its 1b₂, 3a₁, and 1b₁ orbitals.⁵₈ On the other hand, an OH 3σ state as well as in-gap states can be observed when dissociative adsorption occurs.⁵⁹ In experiments on as-dosed samples, we did not observe any features related to molecular and dissociative water, in agreement with the conclusion of a rather unreactive surface drawn on the basis of our STM results.

After atomic hydrogen dosing, an in-gap state with a binding energy of 1.3 eV is observed (see the red curve in Figure 4b), as well as a feature below the O 2p valence band. Partially we can assign this feature to the OH 3σ state, which is located at 10.8 eV.⁶⁰ At first sight, the higher binding energy features could be associated with water 1b₂ and 3a₁ states. However, water does not adsorb on the clean surface at RT, as shown in our STM measurements. Furthermore, no features were observed related to molecular water from the O 1s core-level spectrum for the H-exposed surface (Figure 5). Instead, STM indicates that H interacts with the Sr adatoms. We tentatively attribute the higher binding energy features to states related to Sr-OH species.¹¹,¹²

An in-gap state appears after creating VO's on the clean surface by exposing the surface to intense synchrotron radiation. After exposure to synchrotron light a similar in-gap state and related two-dimensional electron gas were observed on SrTiO₃(001) and other perovskite surfaces,⁵⁷,⁶¹,⁶² as well as on TiO₂ surfaces.⁵⁴ We find that the in-gap state can be quenched by exposure to O₂ at RT, supporting the conclusion that it arises from VO's.⁵⁷
When the surface with $V_O$’s is exposed to 1.2 langmuir water at RT, the in-gap state hardly changes as shown in Figure 4b. However, a well-defined OH 3σ state with a binding energy of 10.8 eV is observed (see the cyan curve in Figure 4a), which indicates water dissociation and formation of hydroxyls. It is well-known that the presence of hydroxyls results in an in-gap state similar to $V_O$’s. This supports the conclusion that water dissociates on the reduced surface.

Similar conclusions are drawn from the corresponding O 1s core-level photoemission spectra (Figure 5). The O 1s spectrum obtained on the clean surface shows a slightly asymmetric peak shape with the main peak located at 530.2 eV and a small shoulder at a higher binding energy of 531.7 eV. The spectrum does not change after dosing water on the clean surface at RT. After atomic hydrogen and water dosing on the reduced surface, the ratio increases slightly. This result is consistent with observations on the titania surface.

### 3.3. Electronic Structure Calculations.

To complement the photoemission spectra and achieve an understanding of the electronic properties of the defective and hydroxylated surface as compared to the clean ($4 \times 1$) surface, we have determined the most stable configurations and computed their density of states (DOS). By comparing the energies of all possible inequivalent configurations, we determined the most favorable site for the formation of a VO and for hydrogen adsorption.

The results, collected in Table 1, show that O3 has the lowest V$_O$ formation energy, in agreement with a recent first-principles study and consistent with our STM measurements (Figure 2b). In addition, the O3 site is the most favorable hydrogen adsorption site, with an adsorption energy of 2.19 eV. The most stable hydroxyl is characterized by an O−H bond length of 0.983 Å, slightly larger than that of a free OH group (0.97 Å), and a 45.1° angle with respect to the surface normal.

We have calculated the DOS of the most favorable oxygen-defective and hydroxylated surfaces. The results are compared to the clean ($4 \times 1$) surface in Figure 6. Given the well-known drawbacks of standard (local and semilocal) DFT functionals in predicting the correct electronic ground state of strongly correlated electron systems and in describing electron localization effects, we have computed the DOS by means of the PBE+U method, using an effective on-site Coulomb repulsion $U_{eff} = 4.6$ eV for the Ti d states, a choice in line with previous studies. The most relevant feature of the V$_O$ case is the appearance of a midgap state right above the valence band maximum, in agreement with the photoemission data. This state originates from the Ti$^{3+}$ atoms adjacent to the V$_O$, which locally trap the extra electrons created by the V$_O$. The appearance of a midgap state right above the valence band maximum, in agreement with the photoemission data. This state originates from the Ti$^{3+}$ atoms adjacent to the V$_O$, which locally trap the extra electrons created by the V$_O$. The

### 3.4. DFT Calculations: Interaction with H$_2$O.

To elucidate the adsorption of water on the SrTiO$_3$(110)-(4 $\times$ 1) surface and to examine the role of V$_O$’s, we have performed NEB calculations. One important question is whether the water is predicted to adsorb molecularly or dissociatively on the SrTiO$_3$(110) surface. To answer this question we have investigated the energetics of different adsorption configurations at low coverage, both in molecular and dissociated form, as well as the dissociation energy barriers and pathways among the different configurations. We first focus on the interaction between water and the clean ($4 \times 1$) surface, and then we discuss the results obtained for the reduced surface.

#### 3.4.1. Ideal Surface + H$_2$O. Molecular Adsorption.

Our first concern is to identify locally stable molecular H$_2$O configurations. We have scrutinized several possible adsorption sites at a coverage of 1/8 ML (one H$_2$O molecule per ($4 \times 2$) unit cell).

The most favorable adsorption site is located in the 10-membered rings near Ti$_8$, as shown in the insets of Figure 7. The distance between Ti$_8$ and the water oxygen atom (O$_w$) is found to be 2.341, 2.325, and 2.311 Å with the PBE, DFT-D2, and vdW-DFT functionals, respectively. The corresponding water adsorption energies $E_{ads}(H_2O)$ are $-0.716$, $-1.014$, and $-1.073$ eV, respectively. As expected, the van der Waals correction substantially increases the magnitude of the adsorption energy, although the geometries are similar to those of the standard PBE case. Moreover, the other configurations considered are less stable by 0.15−0.5 eV. Both the H−O$_w$ bond length (1.00 Å) and the H−O$_w$−H bond angle (106°) are almost identical to the corresponding values in the free water molecule (0.985 Å and 104.96°, respectively). We also evaluated adsorption energies at the experimental condition (300 K and 10$^{-7}$ bar) within the

![Figure 6. PBE+U valence and conduction band density of states of the SrTiO$_3$(110)-(4 $\times$ 1) surface (thin black line) and the reduced surface with an oxygen vacancy (red solid line) and hydroxyl species (dashed blue line). All spectra are aligned with respect to their valence-band maxima. The Ti$^{3+}$ midgap states (both singly, Ti$^{3+}$ (1), and doubly, Ti$^{3+}$ (2) occupied) as well as the OH− 3σ states are highlighted with a colored background.](image-url)

| Table 1. Oxygen Vacancy Formation Energy $E_f(V_O)$ and Hydrogen Adsorption Energy $E_{ads}(H)$ for Different Oxygen Sites Obtained with the PBE Functional$^a$ |
|---|
| O1 | O2 | O3 | O4 | O5 |
| V$_O$ formation energy (eV) | 6.43 | 5.95 | 5.60 | 5.68 | 5.76 |
| H adsorption energy (eV) | −0.40 | −0.26 | 0.0 | −0.03 | −0.57 |

*Oxygen sites are named according to the labeling given in Figure 1b. Numbers in parentheses refer to the relative energy with respect to the most stable configuration. For geometries of adsorbed H, see the Supporting Information.*
framework of “ab initio thermodynamics.” The corresponding values are +0.482, 0.184, and 0.125 eV with the PBE, DFT-D2, and vdW-DFT functionals, respectively. The positive value indicates that water does not adsorb on the ideal surface, in agreement with experiment.

**Dissociative Adsorption.** To explore the dissociative configuration (coadsorption of H and OH species), which serves as a basis for studying the water dissociation process, we assumed that the OH species preferentially adsorbs on the Ti atom and the H atom on the neighboring or next-neighboring surface O atoms. This assumption is reasonable as no local minimum corresponding to an adsorption at the surface Ti site was found for the H atom.

Most of the dissociative adsorption configurations we explored are unstable (i.e., with positive adsorption energy), or relax to the molecular pattern (see Supporting Information). We established only five stable or metastable dissociative patterns with negative or zero adsorption energy. As mentioned before, here we performed the calculations with the PBE functional because application of DFT-D2 and vdW-DFT does not alter the adsorption sequence or geometries. The computed adsorption energy for the most stable pattern is $-0.779$ eV, about 60 meV more stable than the molecular adsorption case. In this configuration (Figure 7b), the OH species anchors on the bridge site between the two Ti surface atoms (TiII and TiIII), while the atom O3, bonded to another H atom, shifts downward because of the electrostatic potential repulsion; this results in two 5-fold coordinated Ti atoms.

On the basis of the computed adsorption energies alone we cannot unambiguously determine whether water molecules are predicted to adsorb molecularly or dissociatively on the SrTiO3(110)-(4 × 1) surface. We have conducted a series of CI-NEB calculations in order to model the dynamics (see Supporting Information).

**Dissociative Reaction.** We have determined the energy barrier for the water dissociation processes from the most stable molecular adsorption state (initial state) to the geminate dissociative state (final state) (Figure 7a) as well as from a metastable adsorption state to the most favorable dissociative state (Figure 7b) by using the CI-NEB method. This procedure allows us to find the minimum energy reaction paths. The transition barrier for the H2O to dissociate on the SrTiO3(110)-(4 × 1) surface is rather large (>1.7 eV), much higher than the adsorption energies of both the molecularly or dissociated state, making spontaneous dissociation an unlikely process. This clearly shows that the H2O molecule is not predicted to dissociate on the defect-free surface, in agreement with the experimental observations.

**3.4.2. Reduced Surface + H2O.** As mentioned in the experimental section, significant amounts of hydroxyls are found on the SrTiO3(110)-(4 × 1) surface with VO’s after water dosing. This suggests that the oxygen deficient surface is active with respect to water dissociation.

The water adsorption energies computed within PBE, DFT-D2 and vdW-DFT are listed in Table 2. All three methods yield very similar values of about 1.7 eV, substantially larger (by about 1 eV) than those on the stoichiometric, nondefective surface. Adapting the correction based on “ab initio thermodynamics” as mentioned above, delivers substantial adsorption energy (about 0.5 eV) at the experimental conditions, suggesting the adsorption of water on the defective surface is clearly favorable. Van der Waals interactions do not play a significant role, which is suggestive of a primarily chemisorption process.

Considering that the three different methods also deliver a quantitatively similar description of the structural characteristics (see Table 2), we will focus only on the PBE results in the following. The structural model of the optimized initial configuration is provided in Figure 8. In the optimized structure, the H2O molecule is slightly tilted toward one of the 3-fold-coordinated Ti atoms near the VO, forming two asymmetric Ti–Ow bonds of 2.107 and 3.090 Å. One of the

| functional   | $E_{ads}(H_2O)$ (eV) | Ti–Ow (Å) | H–Ow (Å) | H–O3 (Å) | H–Ow–H (degrees) |
|--------------|----------------------|-----------|----------|----------|------------------|
| PBE          | −1.732               | 2.107, 3.090 | 1.055, 0.985 | 1.571   | 109.22           |
| DFT-D2       | −1.857               | 2.102, 3.061 | 1.057, 0.985 | 1.560   | 109.31           |
| vdW-DFT      | −1.727               | 2.098, 3.167 | 1.059, 0.987 | 1.552   | 109.35           |
H−O_W bonds in the adsorbed water molecule points toward the O4 atom, forming an H-bond with a bond length of 1.571 Å, in turn slightly enlarging the molecular H−O_W bond length to 1.055 Å. The second H remains free, connected to the O_W with the corresponding H−O_W bond length (0.985 Å).

As mentioned above, we primarily focus on the original geminate dissociative states. The OH species occupies the O3 vacancy site, with the remaining H atom anchored to the neighboring O4 atom (see inset in Figure 8). The resulting O4−H is nearly flat-lying and is H-bonded with the adjacent O4' surface oxygen atom. This structural and chemical environment results in a large adsorption energy of 2.28 eV. This is already a strong indication that the water molecule is preferentially adsorbed dissociatively rather than molecularly. However, exothermicity is a necessary, but not sufficient, condition for dissociation. To gain more insight into the dissociative adsorption process we have conducted NEB calculations for the energy barrier. The resulting energy profile for the dissociation pathway in Figure 8 shows an energy barrier at the transition state of 0.9 eV. This barrier is significantly lower than the corresponding values (1.7 eV) obtained on the ideal surface, clearly indicating that V_O's strongly facilitate water dissociation. This is again in excellent agreement with experimental observations, which reveal that water interacts
dissociation. This is again in excellent agreement with the experimental STM images (Figure 2). Moreover, the water tends to adsorb molecularly at the Ti_b site in the 10-membered ring, where it appears as bright protrusions between the stripes in the STM images at low temperature (Figure 3a).

Figure 8. Potential-energy profile for the reaction of an adsorbed H2O molecule at the defective SrTiO3(110)-(4 × 1) surface. The energy zero corresponds to the H2O in the gas phase, far away from the surface.

The situation is different when water is dosed in the presence of V_O’s. Both the experimental and theoretical results unequivocally show that water dissociates spontaneously at the V_O’s at finite temperatures. In STM the two OH groups resulting from a dissociated water molecule were observed far from each other. The DFT-calculated energy barrier for the direct H diffusion is ~1.35 eV, indicating that the direct hopping is not possible at RT. Possibly these OH groups are driven apart via the water-assisted mechanism reported in refs 73 and 74.

Overall, the ideal, nondefective SrTiO3(110)-(4 × 1) surface is remarkably inert toward water adsorption, while the V_O’s facilitate bonding and dissociation of water. Moreover, V_O’s created on the SrTiO3(110) surface are metastable and inclined to diffuse to subsurface sites as suggested in previous studies. Therefore, under real-world conditions we expect vacancy-mediated adsorption and dissociation to be rare on this surface.

As stated in the Introduction, SrTiO3(110) is a polar surface, consisting of alternating (SrTiO)4+ and (O2)4− planes in the bulk. While an uncompensated polar surface is unstable and chemically active, our results indicate that in this case compensating polarity with the reconstruction network is very efficient in creating an inert surface. The most peculiar structural feature of the reconstruction is the presence of the TiO4 tetrahedra on the top layer. Interestingly, the TiI tetrahedra (edge-sharing with the substrate) in the 10-membered rings are reminiscent of a similar configuration at the reconstructed anatase TiO2(001)-(1 × 4) surface,28 which contains a distorted TiO4 tetrahedron. It was demonstrated that water dissociates spontaneously on the ridge of this reconstructed surface in theoretical and experimental studies.32,33 In fact, the anatase TiO2(001) surface is considered to be the most active facet in photocatalytic reactions.34 An analysis of our results gives insights as to why the Ti4 tetrahedra are so inert in the case of SrTiO3(110)-(4 × 1).

Electronic Aspects. While excess electrons located at the energies near the band gap of reducible oxide surfaces are generally connected with a high reactivity,7 the clean SrTiO3(110)-(4 × 1) surface has no in-gap states in both experiment and theory. In fact, an analysis of the layer-resolved DOS (not shown) indicates that the top layer has a band gap that is slightly larger than that of the SrTiO3 layers underneath. In spite of the 4-fold coordination in this tetrahedral configuration, the Ti atom should not be considered under-saturated. The Ti atom hybridizes with the four surrounding oxygen atoms, forming strong covalent bonds with a short bond length, which lead to the relatively large band gap. Experimental and theoretical results also show that the Ti valence is 4’ and no in-gap state is present on the anatase TiO2(001)-(1 × 4) surface.28,76 Thus, while explaining our inert SrTiO3(110)-(4 × 1) surface, the electronic structure provides no argument for the supposedly reactive TiO4 tetrahedra on anatase.

Interestingly, similar tetrahedrally coordinated TiO4 units are present on the TiO2(110)-(1 × 2) surface, forming one-dimensional Ti3O4 rows.79 Because of the presence of Ti3+ species, the TiO2(110)-(1 × 2) surface is proposed to be chemically active, as demonstrated by reacting with NO.77 It would be interesting to test whether this surface is also reactive for water dissociation.

Structural Aspects. What is needed for strong water interaction are freely accessible acidic sites and a neighboring O atom that can act as a Brønsted base (proton acceptor). In our case, the TiO4 tetrahedron is quite regular: the bond length
ranges from 1.826 to 1.896 Å, with an O–Ti–O bond angle range of 92.82°–123.08°. The acidic Ti sites are significantly recessed into the surface compared to the surrounding oxygen atoms, making them inaccessible and nonreactive. In contrast, on the anatase TiO_2(001)–(1 × 4) reconstructed surface, the TiO_4 tetrahedron is very distorted; the bond length along the [100] direction consists of alternating long (2.134 Å) and short (1.831 Å) Ti–O bonds, while the bonds along the [010] directions are identical (1.805 Å). The O–Ti–O bond angles along the [100] and [010] directions are 145.15° and 104.76°, respectively, leading to the exposure of the Ti atom as an active acidic site. Furthermore, and at variance with what was found for SrTiO_3(110)–(4 × 1), the distorted TiO_4 tetrahedron on the anatase TiO_2(001)–(1 × 4) surface forms a quasi-one-dimensional row along the [100] direction. This flexible framework provides freedom of relaxation and facilitates the water dissociation. At the SrTiO_3(110)–(4 × 1) surface, the two-dimensional nesting of the 6- and 10-membered rings is more rigid, which contributes to its inertness.

A similar two-dimensional reconstructed overlayer consisting of corner-sharing TiO_4 regular tetrahedra has been established more rigid, which contributes to its inertness. We have performed a systematic study of water interaction with the two-dimensional titania overlayer consisting of TiO_4 tetrahedra on the SrTiO_3(110)–(4 × 1) surface with and without oxygen vacancies. We found that water dissociates on the oxygen vacancies, in line with many other oxide surfaces. We also found the two-dimensional, tetrahedrally coordinated TiO_4 overlayer to be remarkably inert, in contrast to the one-dimensional, tetrahedrally coordinated TiO_4 units at the anatase TiO_2(001)–(1 × 4) surface. The weak water adsorption on this surface stems from the regular tetrahedra and the two-dimensional rigid network as well as its insulating electronic structure. Recently, TiO_4 tetrahedra have emerged as a common building block on many Ti-containing oxides surfaces. We expect that our conclusions of an inert two-dimensional top layer should also apply to these newly discovered surfaces.

5. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION

We have performed a systematic study of water interaction with the two-dimensional titania overlayer consisting of TiO_4 tetrahedra on the SrTiO_3(110)–(4 × 1) surface with and without oxygen vacancies. We found that water dissociates on the oxygen vacancies, in line with many other oxide surfaces. We also found the two-dimensional, tetrahedrally coordinated TiO_4 overlayer to be remarkably inert, in contrast to the one-dimensional, tetrahedrally coordinated TiO_4 units at the anatase TiO_2(001)–(1 × 4) surface. The weak water adsorption on this surface stems from the regular tetrahedra and the two-dimensional rigid network as well as its insulating electronic structure. Recently, TiO_4 tetrahedra have emerged as a common building block on many Ti-containing oxides surfaces. We expect that our conclusions of an inert two-dimensional top layer should also apply to these newly discovered surfaces.
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