Cellulose decomposed faster in fallow soil than in meadow soil due to a shorter lag time
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Abstract

Purpose The study aimed at comparing organic matter decomposition in two semi-natural agrobiocenoses, namely fallows and meadows, with similar plant biomass but differing in plant community composition and diversity and in succession stage.

Materials and methods The decomposition rate of a standard material (cellulose) was measured in soils from six fallows and six meadows spanning a few kilometres apart. The mathematical model was fitted to the data.

Results and discussion The model showed a significantly longer lag-time in cellulose decomposition in the meadows. Despite the delayed start of decomposition in the meadows, the estimated decomposition rates were similar in both ecosystem types, once the decay started.

Conclusions The faster start of decomposition in fallows seems to be promoted by higher contents of nitrates and phosphates in the fallow soils. The fallows, as younger ecosystems, may have faster C turnover than older grasslands due to remains of fertilisers on these ex-arable fields.

Keywords Decay · Degradation · Grassland · Model · Succession · Utilisation

1 Introduction

Because as much as 95 % of organic matter degradation in terrestrial ecosystems is performed by soil microorganisms (Berg and McClougherty 2008), factors related to their activity affect organic matter decomposition. The most important abiotic factors are temperature, moisture, soil pH and the availability of nutrients. Organic matter decomposition is a multi-step process, with a succession of microbes with different enzymatic capabilities. Most of the enzymes are extracellular and the production of many is induced by the presence of particular compounds. Cellulose is a stable compound with a half-life of 5–8 million years for β-glucosidic bond cleavage at 25 °C (Wolfenden and Snider 2001). The microbial enzymes speed up the process, and pure cellulose decays in soil within weeks or months. In the first stage of the cellulose decomposition, the polymer is broken down into disaccharide cellobiose in the presence of enzyme cellulase and then into glucose with the enzyme cellobiase. Cellulases are constitutive enzymes widely distributed across taxa, including fungi, bacteria, and protists (Bayer et al. 2006). When fresh substrate appears in the environment, its degradation starts with small amounts of cellulases already present in the soil. The hydrolysis products of this initial cellulose decomposition promote its further degradation by activating enzyme synthesis by microorganisms (Chen 2014). When the product concentration reaches the limit value, the hydrolysis is inhibited, but the
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succession of organisms consuming cellulose allows for further cellulose degradation (Bayer et al. 2006).

While the factors driving decomposition directly, such as climate, plant litter structure and chemical composition, edaphic condition or contaminants, have been studied extensively, especially in forest ecosystems (e.g. Berg and McClaugherty 2008; Laskowski 2012), there is little evidence on how more complex ecosystem properties, like ecosystem type and age, can interact with decomposition in non-forest ecosystems. The grasslands differ from forests in a number of properties; for example, the decay of plant litter and N mineralisation can be limited by decomposing microbiota instead of plant litter quality (Chapman et al. 2006). The few available studies comparing decomposition in secondary succession of grasslands point at higher decay rates in young ecosystems. In the 0-, 5-, and 10-year-old fallows after burning, the decomposition was slower in the older stands but was accompanied by faster nutrient loss from the litter (Toky and Ramakrishnan 1984). Also, Garnier et al. (2004) observed that in 2- to 42 year-old fields, the decomposition rate decreased during the secondary succession. The explanation of these two, otherwise similar, cases is, however, different: while the former was explained by the higher nutrient availability from the ash after vegetation burning, the latter was explained by differences in the functional traits of the plant species. Fast-growing species with high-quality litters are replaced during succession by slow-growing ones with lower quality litters. These two examples show that there is no single explanation of how the succession is related to decomposition in real ecosystems, and that the decomposition can be modified by factors characteristic for each ecosystem.

This study was conducted with the aim of comparing the decomposition potential in the two ecosystem types differing in age, succession level, and stability, followed by plant community differences, while similar in plant biomass. Six fallow fields, which represent a young ecosystem under succession with low plant diversity characteristic for the early stages of secondary succession, were juxtaposed with six meadows representing an older ecosystem with higher plant diversity. The two ecosystem types were also chosen for practical purposes. Grasslands cover about 30 % of the Earth’s ice-free surface and are critical for supporting livestock and biodiversity (White et al. 2000). At the same time, European farmland has been abandoned at an unprecedented rate over the last few decades, resulting in an increase of the area of fallows (Keenleyside and Tucker 2010; Renwick et al. 2013) and a decrease in meadow biodiversity (Burel and Baudry 1995; Losvik 1999; Mitlacher et al. 2002; Tasser and Tappeiner 2002; Pavlů et al. 2005). Even more land is predicted to be deserted (Keenleyside and Tucker 2010). This has created a need to study on-going changes in different successional stages of such typical anthropogenic secondary succession.

Cellulose is the most abundant carbohydrate present in plant residues (Lynd et al. 2002). Crystalline cellulose (filter paper) is the main form of cellulose in most plant cell walls (Wilson 2011). Using standardised cellulose in studies on organic matter, decomposition allows to exclude effects of substrate variability and directly compare microbial activity under strictly controlled experimental conditions. Based on our laboratory experiment, we developed a function allowing for a lag time in decomposition and a non-zero decay asymptote because the data did not fit to the standard one-compartment exponential model proposed by Olson (1963).

2 Materials and methods

The soil for cellulose decomposition experiment was sampled in June 2010 from six fallows and six meadows located a few kilometres apart in southern Poland, Polish Western Outer Carpathians, (49° 25’ 17’’ N, 20° 57’ 33’’ E). The type of grassland was determined according to the floristic composition and diversity. For fallows, the indicators were the presence of ruderal and segetal weeds, especially couch grass Elymus repens and low plant diversity—less than 27 species per 25 m². The meadows had a higher richness, with 35–50 plant species per 25-m² plot, and a high proportion of species characteristic for the Molinio-Arrhenatheretea class and also of legumes (Chmolowska et al. 2016). The fallow soils had much higher contents of nitrates and available P and K than meadow soils and a slightly lower water-holding capacity and C: N ratio (Chmolowska et al. 2016). Apart from that, the soils did not differ between ecosystem types in total C, N, Ca, Na, Mn, NH₄⁺, organic matter (OM), sand, silt, fine contents, and cation exchange capacity (CEC). The main soil characteristics and differences are presented in Table 1. The soil microbial community activity, structure, and biomass were measured in 2009 in detail (Chmolowska et al. 2016).

Ten soil cores 10-cm deep were taken from each site along an 18-m transect. Cores from one plot were pooled and then sieved through a 4-mm mesh. Field-moist soils were stored at 4 °C until starting the experiment.

The decomposition rate was estimated according to Grunda (1967), based on a visual examination of the filter paper diminishment in laboratory conditions. Field-moist soil samples corresponding to about 25–35 g dwt. were placed in 94-mm Petri dishes and six 10 × 50-mm strips of paper filter (389, Munktell) were laid on the soil surface. Visual readings against a template on plexiglass with a 5 × 5-mm grid were done once a week in the weeks 3–10 of the incubation. Six subsamples (individual stripes) per soil sample were used rather than nine as in the original method, because of the soil shortage. The experiment was a part of a larger project consisting of a number of other tests and biological-chemical analyses. To compensate for the lower number of subsamples (filter paper strips) and decrease the measurement error, a visual examination was performed twice, independently by
two people (two analytical replicates of six subsamples). The dishes were kept in darkness at room temperature, and the soil moisture was maintained by spraying the surface with deionised water twice a week.

Because apparent lag time was observed in some soils before decomposition started, instead of using the simple one-compartment Olson (1963) model, we used a more flexible equation, allowing for a lag-time and decomposition asymptote lower than 100%:

\[
ML = \frac{1}{1 + se^{-kt}}
\]

where \(ML\) is the material loss (% decomposed), \(a\) is an asymptote (% decomposed), \(s\) is the shape of a curve and lag time, \(t\) is time (weeks), and \(k\) is decomposition rate constant in the exponential phase (week\(^{-1}\)).

Because in few soils, the decomposition did not reach the plateau during 10 weeks of incubation, the asymptotes would be estimated with high uncertainty. We assumed, thus, that \(\alpha\)-cellulose decays in soil at 100% and set the asymptote in the model to 100% in all cases. The function was fitted separately to data from each soil/plot. Model (Eq. (1)) parameters were estimated using the Marquardt method. To compare the two ecosystem types, a median test was used because the data did not pass the assumptions for parametric tests. Rank correlations were used to find out which soil chemical and biological properties correlate with the lag time \((s)\), decomposition rate \((k)\). In the matrix contents of OM, Ca, available K and P, \(\text{NO}_3^-\), \(\text{NH}_4^+\) together with \(\text{pH}[\text{H}_2\text{O}]\), and CEC were used in case of physicochemical soil properties. Follow soil basal respiration calculated per soil organic matter content and dry weight, substrate induced respiration (SIR), metabolic quotient coefficient \(q\text{CO}_2\), soil enzymes activities (urease, acid and alkaline phosphatase), culturability, Biolog ECO plate parameters, and PLFA biomass (total PLFA and NLFA biomass, PLFA:NLFA, PLFA:C and MUFA:STFA ratios, relative contents of fungi, bacteria, \textit{Actinomycetales}, protozoa, AMF and ratios of bacteria \(G(+)\):\(G(-)\) and fungi:bacteria PLFA). All statistical tests were performed in Statgraphics Centurion XVI (Statpoint Technologies, Inc.).

### 3 Results

The cellulose decayed visibly faster in fallow than in meadow soils (Fig. 1), with 95% of material decomposed on average after 10 weeks in the fallow soils against 82% in meadows (Electronic Supplementary Material). According to the median test, the two groups differed significantly \((U = 5.0, \ p = 0.05)\). Meadow 3, with only 40% of cellulose decomposed, differed substantially from the other plots. The
implemented model (Eq. (1)) fitted the cellulose decomposition data well, with $R^2$ between 96 and 99 % (Table 2). The decomposition rate constant in the exponential phase ($k$) was similar in both ecosystem types, while the lag time/shape parameter ($s$) was significantly higher in the meadow soils ($U = 33, p = 0.02$). Higher $s$ in the meadow soils means that cellulose decomposition in meadows was postponed in comparison to fallows, while the rate of decay—when started—did not differ between the ecosystems. High $s$ values in meadows 1 and 3 are connected with the long lag-time and low-curve concave. An extremely high $s$ value was observed in meadow 4, which means a particularly long lag-time, after which the curve concaved and the decomposition sped up by the end of incubation. When comparing the lag-time ($s$), the decomposition rate ($k$) needs to be taken into account simultaneously. Fallow 5, to a smaller extent, was a similar case to meadow 4, within fallows (Fig. 1). Cellulose decomposition lag-time ($s$) was negatively correlated with nitrate ($r = -0.64, p = 0.03$) and phosphate ($r = -0.63, p = 0.04$) contents in the soil. The $s$ was correlated to nitrogen mineralisation rate ($r = -0.61, p = 0.04$).

4 Discussion

Our results confirm the presumption that organic matter decay is shaped by factors characteristic for each ecosystem. Significant difference between the two ecosystem types in decomposition pattern, despite the use of a uniform substrate, points to factors other than substrate quality determining the decay. The decomposition was most probably affected by past human management: remains of fertilisers in the ex-arable fields. In general, C is the most important resource for microbial growth, N is the secondary limiting nutrient, followed by P (e.g., Göransson et al. 2011). Because there are no significant differences between the studied fallows and meadows in total C quantity in the soils, we can neglect the effect of C differences between the two ecosystem types. The significant correlation between nitrate and phosphate concentration and decomposition lag-time (shape parameter $s$) indicates that, when C stock is similar, the available N and P play important roles in determining the conditions for efficient carbon use and microbial metabolism. Adding carbon substrate to soils changes the status of their microbial communities from C-limited to limited by other nutrients. In an N-limited soil, the added easily available C was not immediately utilized (Kamble and Bååth 2014), which corroborates the lag in cellulose decomposition in the meadow soils. A meta-analysis on plant litter decomposition in tundra, forest and grassland ecosystems confirms that low ambient N fertilisation (<5 kg ha$^{-1}$ year$^{-1}$) and low lignin content of litter stimulate decomposition (Knorr et al. 2005). The relationship between ecosystem age, nutrients limitations and decomposition is not straightforward. In tropical forest soils the litter decomposition was enhanced by combined N and P fertilisation in old stands, which were P-limited. N supplementation alone did not increase the litter utilisation, even in young N-limited forest (Hobbie and Vitousek 2000).

The findings of this study are in agreement with another cellulose decomposition experiment from the same plots performed a year earlier. In that study lower cellulose weight loss was measured in meadows at a single time-point, in 4 weeks of incubation in laboratory conditions. Lower N

![Fig. 1 Results of fitting the function ML = 1/(1/a + s exp.(-k*t)) to cellulose decomposition in the fallow and meadow soils. a – asymptote (set to 100 % in this work), s – lag time, k – decomposition rate in exponential phase. Solid lines and filled points indicate the fallows, broken lines and open points indicate the meadows. Point symbols indicate the plot number. Gray filled circle, fallow 1; gray filled diamond, fallow 2; gray filled inverted triangle, fallow 3; gray filled triangle, fallow 4; gray cross mark, fallow 5; gray filled circle, fallow 6; black empty square, meadow 1, black empty inverted triangle, meadow 2; black empty diamond, meadow 3; black empty triangle, meadow 4; black empty circle, meadow 5; black cross mark, meadow 6](image)

| Plot     | s     | SE    | k     | SE    | $R^2$ |
|----------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| Fallow 1 | 0.22  | 0.11  | 1.08  | 0.14  | 99.02 |
| Fallow 2 | 0.16  | 0.05  | 0.68  | 0.07  | 98.52 |
| Fallow 3 | 0.18  | 0.05  | 0.55  | 0.05  | 98.17 |
| Fallow 4 | 0.13  | 0.05  | 0.85  | 0.10  | 98.76 |
| Fallow 5 | 4.15  | 0.62  | 1.28  | 0.03  | 99.96 |
| Fallow 6 | 0.28  | 0.10  | 0.93  | 0.08  | 99.30 |
| Meadow 1 | 5.94  | 5.12  | 0.76  | 0.10  | 96.96 |
| Meadow 2 | 0.65  | 0.25  | 0.73  | 0.07  | 98.80 |
| Meadow 3 | 5.07  | 3.47  | 0.58  | 0.07  | 95.80 |
| Meadow 4 | 17.78 | 25.33 | 0.89  | 0.16  | 96.20 |
| Meadow 5 | 0.72  | 0.39  | 0.78  | 0.09  | 98.14 |
| Meadow 6 | 2.64  | 2.38  | 0.93  | 0.15  | 97.38 |

$SE$ standard error
mineralisation rate and nitrification potential were also found in the meadows (Chmolowska et al. 2016). The data may indicate, thus, higher carbon and nutrient turnover rates in fallows than in meadows, perhaps due to higher microbial substrate activity, regardless similar microbial biomass (Chmolowska et al. 2016). Riggs et al. (2015) studied the effect of N fertilisation on organic matter decomposition in fast pool and slow pool of SOM in five grasslands in Northern America. The results were site-dependent. In two more sandy northern plots, N amendment increased fast pool decay and had no effect on slow pool decay in contrary to other plots. In more fertile southern plots, slow pool decay descended under N. The site-effect differences can be perhaps linked to the initial balance of nutrients. Ratios of CNPS is crucial for SOM build up or decomposition (Kirkby et al. 2013, 2014). It is worthy to mention that N fertilised plots from Riggs et al. (2015) comprised actually: +N, +NP, +NK, +NPK blocks, while the control (ambient N): + P, + K, +PK blocks. These results are in line with our findings, with cellulose decomposing faster in fallows, characterised by higher N, P, and K availability, than in meadows and confirms presumption that, at least, easily available C can be cycled faster in fallows. The slightly slower C turnover under N fertilisation in agricultural, ploughed systems and no-change in non-fallow is agricultural N amended soils suggested by Lu et al. (2011). It is possible that meadow 3 was the oldest ecosystem in the study with slower C and N mineralisation rates and/or decomposition was retarded by some habitat properties. Even though the low mineralisation activity of the soil microbial communities in meadow 3, its PLFA and NLFA biomass and phosphatases and urease activity were similar to other fallows and meadows (Chmolowska et al. 2016). The longer start-up in fallow 5 was quickly compensated by the high decomposition rate, so that at the end of incubation, there was no difference in quantity of cellulose decomposed in comparison to other fallows. Fallow 5 contained the lowest soil OM and total N contents. It seems that while significant differences in available N and P play main roles, differences in OM content and its composition and availability also play a role in shaping microbial activity and nutrient cycling.

As noticed by Cornwell and Weedon (2014), there are many empirical observations for which the classic exponential decay model does not fit the data satisfactorily. The most commonly used kinetic models of organic matter decomposition do not take into account the time needed by the microbial community to start processing the litter. When measurements are not taken frequently enough from the very beginning of decomposition, or the decomposition is generally slow, this step can be easily overlooked. The decay of cellulose, as studied by Tesařová et al. (1984; in Úlehlová and Tesařová 1988) in a grassland soil under natural conditions and different climatic situations, appeared to comprise three phases. The first phase, characterised by a low $k$, lasted for about 14 days and referred to the microbial community build-up for using a pure C source. The inductive-like mode of action of cellulases corroborates the observations by Tesařová et al. (1984; in Úlehlová and Tesařová 1988) and our own data. During the second phase, a significant increase in the cellulose decomposition rate took place, followed by a gradual decrease in the decomposition rate in the third phase. Herein, we fitted the

| Table 3 | Results of fitting the function $ML = 1/(1/(a/s) \cdot \exp(-k t))$ (Eq. 1) and $ML = a(1-\exp(-k t/a))$ (Eq. 2) to Pinus sylvestris L. litter bag decomposition data (Krawczyk 2005); $a$ – asymptote, $s$ – lag time, $k$ – decomposition rate in exponential phase, 95% Conf. Int. – 95% lower and upper confidence intervals |
|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|
| **Parameter**    | **Estimate**     | **95% Conf. Int.** | **Parameter**    | **Estimate**     | **95% Conf. Int.** |
|                  | **Lower**        | **Upper**        |                  | **Lower**        | **Upper**        |
| **Eq. 1**        |                  |                  | **Eq. 2**        |                  |                  |
| $a$              | 66.14            | 64.23            | 68.05            | 68.14            | 66.23            | 68.05            |
| $s$              | 0.54             | 0.2              | 0.88             | 0.54             | 0.2              | 0.88             |
| $k$              | 2.19             | 1.81             | 2.57             | 2.19             | 1.81             | 2.57             |
| **Eq. 2**        |                  |                  |                  |                  |                  |
| $a$              | 68.57            | 65.85            | 71.29            | 68.57            | 65.85            | 71.29            |
| $k$              | 0.41             | 0.36             | 0.47             | 0.41             | 0.36             | 0.47             |

The higher variability of estimated lag-time in meadows than in fallows can be connected with wider range of soil properties and management history in the studied meadows than in young ex-arable fields followed by higher variability of soil microbial structure within the studied meadows (Chmolowska et al. 2016). The particularly low decomposition in meadow 3 may be linked to its higher content of OM, total C and N followed by higher WHC and CEC in comparison to other plots, which suggest more humus accumulated. Meadow 3 had the highest plant diversity and richness (Chmolowska et al. 2016). It is possible that meadow 3 was the oldest ecosystem in the study with slower C and N mineralisation rates and/or decomposition was retarded by some habitat properties. Even though the low mineralisation activity of the soil microbial communities in meadow 3, its PLFA and NLFA biomass and phosphatases and urease activity were similar to other fallows and meadows (Chmolowska et al. 2016). The longer start-up in fallow 5 was quickly compensated by the high decomposition rate, so that at the end of incubation, there was no difference in quantity of cellulose decomposed in comparison to other fallows. Fallow 5 contained the lowest soil OM and total N contents. It seems that while significant differences in available N and P play main roles, differences in OM content and its composition and availability also play a role in shaping microbial activity and nutrient cycling.

As noticed by Cornwell and Weedon (2014), there are many empirical observations for which the classic exponential decay model does not fit the data satisfactorily. The most commonly used kinetic models of organic matter decomposition do not take into account the time needed by the microbial community to start processing the litter. When measurements are not taken frequently enough from the very beginning of decomposition, or the decomposition is generally slow, this step can be easily overlooked. The decay of cellulose, as studied by Tesařová et al. (1984; in Úlehlová and Tesařová 1988) in a grassland soil under natural conditions and different climatic situations, appeared to comprise three phases. The first phase, characterised by a low $k$, lasted for about 14 days and referred to the microbial community build-up for using a pure C source. The inductive-like mode of action of cellulases corroborates the observations by Tesařová et al. (1984; in Úlehlová and Tesařová 1988) and our own data. During the second phase, a significant increase in the cellulose decomposition rate took place, followed by a gradual decrease in the decomposition rate in the third phase. Herein, we fitted the
exponential function with lag-time which mathematically best represented the dynamics of the cellulose decomposition. The function proposed in our study allows also for estimating an asymptote lower than 100 % decomposition. Because of the constraints of the experimental layout and the substrate used, this was not implemented here (the asymptote was set to 100 % in all cases) but the option may be useful in studies on decomposition of more complex substrates, e.g., natural litter. We tested this by fitting the lag-time asymptotic model (Eq. (1)) and the asymptotic model without lag-time: $ML = a(1-e^{-kt/a})$ (Eq. (2)) (Berg and Laskowski 2006) to the data from a *Pinus sylvestris* L. litter bag study by Krawczyk (2005). In that study, litter decay was compared between an area heavily contaminated with nitrogen, near a nitrogen plant station in Tarnów, south Poland, and a clean site. The Scots pine litters were incubated in 1-mm mesh polyethylene bags and were sampled after 1, 2, 6, 12, and 18 months (Krawczyk 2005). For the nitrogen-polluted litter, the lag-time asymptotic model showed a slightly better fit than Eq. (2), with $R^2 = 94.3$ against 91.6 %. For the control plot both functions fitted the data equally well ($R^2 = 91.2$ % for the lag-time model, and 91.6 % for Eq. (2)). Both functions pointed to more decomposed pine litter in N-polluted area than in control plot, although the lag-time asymptotic model (Eq. (1)) returned slightly narrower confidence intervals of asymptotes than the model without lag time (Eq. (2)). Asymptotes estimated with the lag-time asymptotic model were lower by about 2–3 % but the difference cannot be considered significant as the confidence intervals for the parameter $a$ overlapped between the models. However, in both litters the lag-time (shape parameter $s$) was significant, what affected also the decomposition rate constant $k$, which was significantly higher in the lag-time asymptotic model (Table 3). There was no difference in lag-times ($s$) or decomposition rate constants ($k$) between N-polluted and control litters. Significant parameter $s$ suggests the applicability of the lag-time asymptotic model in studies on litter decomposition. Indeed, as demonstrated by Cornwell and Weedon (2014), incorporating initial lag-time in the model provides more flexibility for representing the wide array of possible decomposition dynamics encountered in nature.

In general terms, the lag-time asymptotic model allows for a deeper insight into the decomposition process than the commonly used simple exponential or asymptotic functions do. The model fit well all tested data, including the laboratory cellulose decomposition and the typical litter-bag study. Incorporating a lag-time in the model indicated that the major difference in cellulose decomposition between the fallows and the meadows was the postponed start of decomposition in the meadows, while the decomposition rate constants were similar at the exponential phase in both ecosystem types. Fitting the function requires, however, more frequent sampling at the beginning of the decomposition so that the lag-time phase is adequately captured. If there are good premises that the decomposition asymptote may be lower than 100 %, the decomposition also has to be followed long enough to cover the period when the decay starts to slow down.
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