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Vitamin D may not only reflect disease but may also serve as a prognostic indicator. Our aim was to assess the gender-specific utility of vitamin D measured as 25-hydroxy-vitamin D [25(OH)D] to predict all-cause and cardiac death in patients with suspected acute coronary syndrome (ACS) and to compare its prognostic utility to brain natriuretic peptide (BNP) and high-sensitivity C-reactive protein (hsCRP). Blood samples were harvested on admission in 982 patients. Forty percent were women (65.9 ± 12.6 years). Mortality was evaluated in quartiles of 25(OH)D, BNP, and hsCRP respectively, during a 5-year follow-up, applying univariate and multivariate analyses. One hundred and seventy-three patients died; 78 were women. In 92 patients (37 women), death was defined as cardiac. In women, the univariate hazard ratio (HR) for total death of 25(OH)D in Quartile (Q) 2 versus Q1, Q3 versus Q1, and Q4 versus Q1 was 0.55 (95% CI 0.33–0.93), 0.29 (95% CI 0.15–0.55), and 0.13 (95% CI 0.06–0.32), respectively. In females, it was an independent predictor of total and cardiac death, whereas BNP and hsCRP were less gender-specific. No gender differences in 25(OH)D were noted in a reference material. Accordingly, vitamin D independently predicts mortality in females with suspected ACS.

1. Introduction

Cardiovascular disease (CVD) is the primary cause of mortality both in men and women worldwide [1]. Women have been underrepresented in clinical trials [2]. They very often present with atypical symptoms and are frequently undiagnosed. Furthermore, their risk factors are understudied. Due to women’s higher age of disease manifestation, they are also more likely to have other diseases and comorbidities, rendering the diagnosis more difficult and complex. This has also resulted in less research related to the predictive utility of the established biomarkers in this gender.

Recently, numerous observational and epidemiological studies suggest that vitamin D deficiency may be related to CVD and mortality [3–9] and is associated with myocardial infarction (MI) [10, 11] and sudden cardiac death (SCD) [12]. As vitamin D deficiency in men and women is increasing, we believe that the general diet does not contain a sufficient
amount of this vitamin and/or that people do not spend enough time outdoors to create a sufficient amount of this vitamin upon sun exposure. In a previous study with 2-year follow-up [13], we found low levels of 25-hydroxy-vitamin D [25(OH)D] in a chest-pain population from Northern Argentina. This was an unexpected finding, as we assumed that our study population would be protected from vitamin D deficiency due to high sun exposure (latitude 24 degrees south of the Equator, altitude >1000 meters above sea level).

Vitamin D may add prognostic information in CVD patients beyond that of the traditional biomarkers consisting of the troponins, brain natriuretic peptide (BNP), and high-sensitivity CRP (hsCRP).

The troponins are sensitive biomarkers of myocardial injury in the acute coronary syndrome (ACS). BNP is released into the circulation in response to ventricular dilatation and pressure overload [14, 15] and is a well-known marker of left ventricular dysfunction and heart failure (HF) [16–19] as well as a prognostic marker in the ACS [20]. C-reactive protein is an acute-phase reactant and a marker of underlying systemic inflammation, including atherosclerosis and plaque rupture [21–23]. HsCRP assays have been shown to be of prognostic value in CVD and ACS [20, 24].

The objective of this study was to assess the gender-specific utility of vitamin D, assessed by 25(OH)D (where D represents D\(_2\) and D\(_3\)) to predict all-cause mortality and cardiac death as well as SCD during a 5-year follow-up of a chest-pain population with suspected ACS from Northern Argentina, employing univariate and multivariate analyses, and to evaluate its prognostic utility as compared to BNP and hsCRP. In addition, levels of 25(OH)D in our patient populations were compared with the 25(OH)D levels collected from healthy blood donors.

2. Methodology

2.1. Study Design and Patient Population. The details of the ARGentine Risk Assessment Registry in the Acute Coronary Syndrome, the “ARRA-RACS Study” (Ref. Clinical Trial.gov identifier: NCT01377402), have been published previously [13, 20]. Briefly, the study was performed at nine hospitals in the Province of Salta, Northern Argentina. It was designed to assess the prognostic utility of 25(OH)D, BNP, and hsCRP levels in 982 consecutive patients, hospitalized with chest pain and suspected ACS, from December 2005 to January 2009. Furthermore, we collected blood samples from 104 blood donors (51% females), drawn from the same locality.

The primary outcome was a 5-year all-cause mortality from the time of inclusion, and the secondary outcomes included cardiac death and SCD. The total patient population was divided into two subgroups, females and males, respectively.

Main exclusion criteria were age <18 years, unwillingness or incapacity to provide informed consent, and prior inclusion in the present study. Baseline characteristics of the total population have previously been described [13].

The study was approved by the Ethics Committee at the Board of Medical School of Salta and by other local institutions [13] and was conducted in accordance with the Helsinki Declaration of 1971, as revised in 1983. The Norwegian biobank containing Argentinean blood samples was approved by the Regional Board of Research Ethics and by the Norwegian health authorities. This study was monitored by Stavanger Health Research, Stavanger, Norway. Written informed consent was obtained from all patients.

2.2. Blood Samples and Laboratory Measurements. Blood samples were drawn immediately following admission by direct venipuncture of an antecubital vein, applying a minimum of stasis. A second blood sample for repeated troponin T (TnT) determination was drawn six hours following the first sample. Baseline laboratory data included measurements of 25(OH)D\(_2\), 25(OH)D\(_3\), TnT, hsCRP, glucose and lipids in serum, BNP measured in EDTA (ethylenediamine tetraacetic acid) plasma, and estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR), calculated by the Modification in Diet in Renal Disease (MDRD) formula.

TnT was quantified by a cardiac-specific second-generation troponin T ELISA assay from Roche Diagnostics, using a high-affinity cardiac-specific TnT isoform antibody [25]. The lower detection limit of the assay used is 0.01 ng/mL. In this study, a cut-off level of 0.05 ng/mL was used with a coefficient of variation of 10% for the diagnosis of an MI, whereas patient groups in this study were defined according to TnT release (TnT > 0.01 ng/mL).

BNP (Microparticle Enzyme Immunoassay Abbott AxSYM, Abbott Laboratories, Abbott Park, IL, USA) and hsCRP (Tina-quant C-reactive protein (latex) high sensitive assay, Roche Diagnostics, Germany) were analyzed as recommended by the manufacturer and as previously described [20].

25(OH)D analysis was carried out at the Department of Medical Biochemistry at the Stavanger University Hospital. Assessment of 25(OH)D status was performed by the determination of the metabolites 25(OH)D\(_2\) and 25(OH)D\(_3\) in serum, applying liquid-liquid extraction, derivatization with 4-phenyl-1,2,4-triazoline-3,5-dione reagent (PTAD, Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA), followed by liquid chromatography coupled with tandem mass spectrometry detection, as previously described [13].

25(OH)D levels were also analyzed in blood samples collected from blood donors.

2.3. Statistical Analysis. Patients were divided into quartiles according to their 25(OH)D, BNP, and hsCRP levels, respectively. Approximately normally distributed variables were given as mean and standard deviation (SD), while variables with more skewed distributions were given as median and quartiles. The Chi-square test for association was applied between the 25(OH)D, BNP, and hsCRP quartiles, respectively, and categorical variables at baseline. The one way ANOVA was used to test for the equality of means of scale variables (e.g., age) amongst the quartiles and the two-sample t-test and Mann-Whitney tests were used for comparing the
of whom 59 patients (6.0%) (24 women and 35 men) were characterized as SCD.

3.1. Female Patient Population. In the univariate analysis (Table 3), there was a statistically significant difference in HR when comparing each of the upper three quartiles to the lowest quartile (Q1) of 25(OH)D with respect to all-cause mortality, cardiac death, and SCD. The HR for total death of 25(OH)D in Q2 versus Q1, Q3 versus Q1, and Q4 versus Q1 was 0.55 (95% CI, 0.33–0.93), P = 0.027, 0.29 (95% CI, 0.15–0.55), P = 0.000, and 0.13 (95% CI, 0.06–0.32), P = 0.000, respectively. Comparing the highest quartile (Q4) to Q1 of BNP, the HR for all-cause mortality, cardiac death, and SCD was also statistically significant. A similar result was obtained for all-cause mortality when comparing the respective quartiles of hsCRP, whereas this biomarker was not found to predict cardiac death.

In the multivariate analysis (Table 3), the HR for all-cause mortality and cardiac death in Q4 compared to Q1 of 25(OH)D was 0.16 (95% CI, 0.06–0.42), P = 0.000, and 0.08 (95% CI, 0.01–0.59), P = 0.014, respectively, whereas 25(OH)D status did not add any prognostic information related to SCD. With regard to BNP, HR for all-cause mortality in Q4 compared to Q1 was 4.58 (95% CI, 1.56–13.45), P = 0.006, whereas hsCRP yielded no prognostic information.

The Kaplan-Meier survival plots for the cumulative risk of all-cause mortality in women in the 25(OH)D quartiles are presented in Figure 1 (logrank test \( \chi^2 (3) = 36.217; P < 0.001 \)). The receiver operating characteristics curves (ROCs) for all-cause mortality related to 25(OH)D, BNP, and hsCRP quartiles, respectively, are presented in Figure 2. The areas under the ROC for 25(OH)D, BNP, and hsCRP were 0.291 (P = 0.000), 0.773 (P = 0.000), and 0.630 (P = 0.001), respectively.

3.2. Male Patient Population. In the univariate quartile analysis (Table 3), results obtained in males, comparing Q4 to Q1, showed the same pattern as in females, whereas Q2–Q3 differed only slightly compared to Q1.

In the multivariate analysis (Table 3), 25(OH)D status did not add any prognostic information in males. The HR of BNP for cardiac death and SCD in Q4 compared to Q1 was 4.22 (95% CI, 1.42–12.58), P = 0.010, and 4.55 (95% CI, 1.01–20.44), P = 0.048, respectively. The HR of hsCRP for all-cause mortality in Q4 compared to Q1 was 2.10 (95% CI, 1.12–3.94), P = 0.020.

The Kaplan-Meier survival plots for the cumulative risk of total mortality in men in the 25(OH)D quartiles are presented in Figure 3 (logrank test \( \chi^2 (3) = 15.704; P < 0.001 \)). The ROC curves for all-cause mortality related to 25(OH)D, BNP, and hsCRP quartiles, respectively, are presented in Figure 4. The areas under the ROC for 25(OH)D, BNP, and hsCRP were 0.405 (P = 0.003), 0.650 (P = 0.000), and 0.638 (P = 0.000), respectively.

3.3. Blood Donors. Mean age of the total blood donors group (n = 104) was 46.1 ± 10.1 years. There were 53 females with a
Table 1: Baseline characteristics of female patients arranged according to quartiles of 25(OH)D.

| Characteristics | n (%) | Q1        | Q2        | Q3        | Q4        | P value |
|-----------------|-------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|---------|
| 25(OH)D (nM)*   |       | 27.1 ± 5.3| 39.0 ± 2.7| 50.7 ± 3.6| 68.2 ± 8.4| 0.000   |
| Age, years*     |       | 70.5 ± 11.3| 67.8 ± 12.6| 64.8 ± 11.9| 60.3 ± 12.7| 0.000   |
| Smoking status, n (%) |     |           |           |           |           | 0.328   |
| Current smoker, n (%) |   | 8 (8.3)  | 11 (11.3) | 15 (15.5) | 13 (13.7) |         |
| Past smoker, n (%) |     | 79 (82.3) | 69 (71.1) | 73 (75.3) | 72 (75.8) |         |
| Never smoked, n (%) |     | 9 (9.4)  | 17 (17.5) | 9 (9.3)    | 10 (10.5) |         |
| Angina pectoris, n (%) |   | 16 (16.3) | 21 (21.4) | 23 (23.2) | 20 (20.4) | 0.669   |
| Killip class 2–4 |     | 24 (24.5) | 21 (21.4) | 9 (9.1)    | 17 (17.3) | 0.031   |
| Hypertension, n (%) |     | 71 (72.4) | 71 (72.4) | 74 (74.7) | 61 (62.2) | 0.219   |
| History of DM 1, n (%) |       | 7 (7.1)  | 5 (5.1)   | 9 (9.1)    | 7 (7.1)    | 0.757   |
| History of DM 2, n (%) |     | 31 (32.0) | 15 (15.5) | 15 (15.2) | 7 (7.2)    | 0.000   |
| STEMI, n (%)     |     | 11 (11.3) | 8 (8.5)   | 12 (12.4) | 9 (9.3)    | 0.804   |
| TnT release, n (%) |     | 45 (45.9) | 33 (33.7) | 24 (24.5) | 28 (28.6) | 0.009   |
| eGFR (μmol L⁻¹)† |     | 74.6 ± 32.7| 78.9 ± 30.9| 83.3 ± 30.3| 77.8 ± 26.2| 0.240   |
| Cholesterol¹/statin, n (%) |   | 18 (18.4) | 17 (17.3) | 13 (13.1) | 19 (19.4) | 0.664   |
| Beta-blocker, n (%) |     | 21 (21.6) | 26 (26.8) | 28 (28.3) | 25 (26.0) | 0.742   |
| Known CHD, n (%) |     | 22 (22.7) | 30 (30.6) | 29 (29.3) | 25 (25.8) | 0.594   |
| BMI (kg/m²)*     |     | 28.3 ± 5.2 | 27.6 ± 5.8| 27.9 ± 5.2| 27.3 ± 4.1| 0.641   |
| BNP quartiles    |     |           |           |           |           | 0.004   |
| Q1               |     | 19 (19.4) | 16 (16.3) | 31 (31.3) | 32 (32.7) |         |
| Q2               |     | 17 (17.3) | 25 (25.5) | 27 (27.3) | 30 (30.6) |         |
| Q3               |     | 27 (27.6) | 27 (27.6) | 23 (23.2) | 21 (21.4) |         |
| Q4               |     | 35 (35.7) | 30 (30.6) | 18 (18.2) | 15 (15.3) |         |
| hsCRP quartiles  |     |           |           |           |           | 0.062   |
| Q1               |     | 21 (21.6) | 21 (21.4) | 29 (29.3) | 25 (25.5) |         |
| Q2               |     | 21 (21.6) | 23 (23.5) | 28 (28.3) | 27 (27.6) |         |
| Q3               |     | 18 (18.6) | 32 (32.7) | 21 (21.2) | 27 (27.6) |         |
| Q4               |     | 37 (38.1) | 22 (22.4) | 21 (21.2) | 19 (19.4) |         |

*Mean ± SD.
†Concentration > 250 mg/dL.
SD: standard deviation; 25(OH)D: 25-hydroxyvitamin D; CHF: congestive heart failure; MI: myocardial infarction; CABG: coronary artery bypass grafting; PCI: percutaneous coronary intervention; DM: diabetes mellitus; STEMI: ST-elevation myocardial infarction; TnT: troponin T; eGFR: estimated glomerular filtration rate; CHD: coronary heart disease; BMI: body mass index; BNP: B-type natriuretic peptide; and hsCRP: high-sensitivity C-reactive protein.

Mean age of 46.7 ± 9.7 years, BMI of 27.2 ± 4.2 kg/m², and a 25(OH)D level of 54.5 ± 19.3 nM. The mean age of the male population (n = 51) was 45.4 ± 10.4 years, and this gender presented with a BMI of 28.7 ± 4.1 kg/m² and a 25(OH)D level of 52.5 ± 14.9 nM. No gender differences in 25(OH)D levels were found in this normal population.

4. Discussion

In this prospective observational study from Northern Argentina, in which we address the prognostic utility of 25(OH)D, BNP, and hsCRP during a 5-year follow-up in patients presenting with chest pain and suspected ACS, we found that 25(OH)D was independently related to the risk of death in females and that this risk marker may be of less importance in males. BNP and hsCRP behaved as general risk markers with a slightly different pattern in females and males.

In a 2-year survival analysis of the same patient material, 25(OH)D deficiency and elevated BNP and hsCRP levels were associated with reduced survival, both for all-cause and for cardiac mortality [20] in the total population, even after correcting for confounders including cardiovascular risk factors, medication, age, gender, BMI, and sampling time [13]. Females represented 40% of the patient population in the present study. They were older and had lower 25(OH)D levels...
Table 2: Baseline characteristics of male patients arranged according to quartiles of 25(OH)D.

| Characteristic                  | Q1       | Q2       | Q3       | Q4       | P-value |
|--------------------------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|---------|
| 25(OH)D (nM)*                  | 34.3 ± 5.4 | 48.7 ± 3.1 | 59.3 ± 2.9 | 75.3 ± 12.5 | 0.000   |
| Age, years*                    | 65.3 ± 13.0 | 59.5 ± 13.3 | 57.1 ± 12.4 | 56.9 ± 13.0 | 0.000   |
| Smoking status, n (%)           |          |          |          |          | 0.891   |
| Current smoker, n (%)           | 53 (37.3) | 46 (32.2) | 48 (33.1) | 43 (29.7) |         |
| Past smoker, n (%)              | 55 (38.7) | 62 (43.4) | 63 (43.4) | 63 (43.4) |         |
| Never smoked, n (%)             | 34 (23.9) | 35 (24.5) | 34 (23.4) | 39 (26.9) |         |
| Angina pectoris, n (%)          | 33 (22.6) | 41 (27.9) | 39 (26.5) | 30 (20.4) | 0.414   |
| CHF, n (%)                      |          |          |          |          |         |
| Killip class 2–4                | 32 (21.9) | 16 (10.9) | 19 (12.9) | 27 (18.4) | 0.040   |
| CAGB, n (%)                     | 10 (7.0)  | 14 (9.7)  | 5 (3.4)   | 8 (5.5)   | 0.174   |
| PCI, n (%)                      | 22 (15.1) | 15 (10.2) | 17 (11.6) | 16 (10.9) | 0.581   |
| Hypertension, n (%)             | 102 (69.9)| 83 (56.5) | 80 (54.4) | 91 (61.9) | 0.033   |
| History of DM 1, n (%)          | 4 (2.8)   | 5 (3.4)   | 2 (1.4)   | 0 (0.0)   | 0.138   |
| History of DM 2, n (%)          | 43 (30.3) | 35 (24.1) | 23 (15.9) | 18 (12.4) | 0.001   |
| STEMI, n (%)                    | 27 (18.9) | 21 (14.7) | 25 (17.2) | 31 (21.2) | 0.527   |
| TnT release, n (%)              | 78 (53.4) | 56 (38.1) | 64 (43.5) | 60 (40.8) | 0.047   |
| eGFR (μmol L⁻¹)†                | 80.6 ± 33.1| 86.5 ± 26.3| 85.2 ± 22.3| 79.8 ± 25.1| 0.089   |
| Cholesterol†/statin, n (%)      | 28 (19.2) | 21 (14.3) | 22 (15.0) | 21 (14.3) | 0.606   |
| Beta-blocker, n (%)             | 37 (25.9) | 44 (30.3) | 37 (25.7) | 35 (24.1) | 0.661   |
| Known CHD, n (%)                | 56 (38.9) | 63 (43.4) | 50 (34.2) | 49 (33.3) | 0.255   |
| BMI (kg/m²)*                    | 28.1 ± 4.4| 27.9 ± 3.8| 29.1 ± 3.8| 28.1 ± 3.6| 0.057   |
| BNP quartiles                   |          |          |          |          | 0.014   |
| Q1                             | 34 (23.3) | 36 (24.5) | 44 (29.9) | 33 (22.4) |         |
| Q2                             | 29 (19.9) | 39 (26.5) | 33 (22.4) | 45 (30.6) |         |
| Q3                             | 29 (19.9) | 36 (24.5) | 42 (28.6) | 40 (27.2) |         |
| Q4                             | 54 (37.0) | 36 (24.5) | 28 (19.0) | 29 (19.7) |         |
| hsCRP quartiles                |          |          |          |          | 0.009   |
| Q1                             | 20 (13.9) | 38 (25.9) | 42 (28.6) | 43 (29.3) |         |
| Q2                             | 30 (20.8) | 43 (29.3) | 36 (24.5) | 39 (26.5) |         |
| Q3                             | 46 (31.9) | 38 (25.9) | 31 (21.1) | 33 (22.4) |         |
| Q4                             | 48 (33.3) | 28 (19.0) | 38 (25.9) | 32 (21.8) |         |

*Mean ± SD
†Concentration > 250 mg/dL.

SD: standard deviation; 25(OH)D: 25-hydroxyvitamin D; CHF: congestive heart failure; MI: myocardial infarction; CAGB: coronary artery bypass grafting; PCI: percutaneous coronary intervention; DM: diabetes mellitus; STEMI: ST-elevation myocardial infarction; TnT: troponin T; eGFR: estimated glomerular filtration rate; CHD: coronary heart disease; BMI: body mass index; BNP: B-type natriuretic peptide; and hsCRP: high-sensitivity C-reactive protein.

than men. The baseline 25(OH)D quartile characteristics for men and women were similar, except for hypertension and hsCRP. Significantly more male patients had hypertension and higher levels of hsCRP in the lowest quartile of 25(OH)D. In the BNP and hsCRP quartiles, there were several differences in the baseline characteristics (not shown). Males with high BNP presented with more risk factors for cardiovascular disease. A similar relationship was found in the hsCRP quartiles for both genders, especially in women. These data are in accordance with the current review literature stating that women present with more multifaceted disease [27, 28].

25(OH)D was found to be an independent predictor for total and cardiac death in women. BNP was found to be independently related to all-cause mortality in women and to cardiac and sudden cardiac death in males.

The gender differences in prognostic utility in relation to 25(OH)D and BNP were not quite as consistent for hsCRP. This biomarker was found to be an independent predictor of all-cause mortality limited to the male population.

In our univariate analysis of the prognostic utility of 25(OH)D in women, there was a gradual and statistically significant increase in predictive utility by a factor of 2
Table 3: Hazard ratios for 25(OH)D, BNP, and hsCRP in univariate and multivariate analyses in both gender.

|               | Females |         | Males |         |
|---------------|---------|---------|-------|---------|
|               | Univariate HR (95% CI)* | Multivariate HR (95% CI)* | Univariate HR (95% CI)* | Multivariate HR (95% CI)* |
| 25(OH)D       |         |         |       |         |
| All-cause mortality | 0.13 (0.06–0.32) | 0.16 (0.06–0.42) | 0.45 (0.26–0.79) | 0.70 (0.36–1.34) |
| Cardiac death  | 0.04 (0.01–0.31) | 0.08 (0.01–0.59) | 0.30 (0.13–0.66) | 0.38 (0.14–1.06) |
| Sudden cardiac death | 0.06 (0.01–0.46) | 0.15 (0.02–1.20) | 0.20 (0.07–0.60) | 0.29 (0.08–1.03) |
| BNP           |         |         |       |         |
| All-cause mortality | 9.02 (3.84–21.19) | 4.58 (1.56–13.45) | 2.86 (1.60–5.09) | 1.79 (0.91–3.54) |
| Cardiac death  | 12.77 (2.99–54.48) | 2.64 (0.57–12.18) | 6.04 (2.33–15.68) | 4.22 (1.42–12.58) |
| Sudden cardiac death | 7.22 (1.62–32.31) | 1.90 (0.31–11.73) | 5.87 (1.71–20.16) | 4.55 (1.01–20.44) |
| hsCRP         |         |         |       |         |
| All-cause mortality | 2.43 (1.31–4.48) | 1.60 (0.80–3.17) | 2.30 (1.31–4.03) | 2.10 (1.12–3.94) |
| Cardiac death  | 1.97 (0.83–4.71) | 0.83 (1.02–1.11) | 1.32 (0.65–2.69) | 1.08 (0.47–2.48) |
| Sudden cardiac death | 3.07 (0.98–9.65) | 1.13 (0.32–4.06) | 1.62 (0.66–3.95) | 1.40 (0.52–3.81) |

25(OH)D: 25-hydroxyvitamin D; BNP: B-type natriuretic peptide; hsCRP: high-sensitivity C-reactive protein; HR: hazard ratio; CI: confidence interval.

* Highest quartile (Q4) versus lowest quartile (Q1). 25(OH)D, BNP, and hsCRP levels are highest in Q4.

Figure 1: Kaplan-Meier plots for the cumulative risk of all-cause mortality in women in the 25(OH)D quartiles.

Figure 2: Receiver operating characteristic curves for 25(OH)D, BNP, and hsCRP for evaluation of all-cause mortality in the female patient population.
Time to death (years)

|       | Cumulative survival |
|-------|--------------------|
|       | 1                  |
| Q1    | 0.8                |
| Q2    | 0.6                |
| Q3    | 0.4                |
| Q4    | 0.2                |
|       | 0                  |

**Figure 3:** Kaplan-Meier plots for the cumulative risk of all-cause mortality in men in the 25(OH)D quartiles.

**Figure 4:** Receiver operating characteristic curves for 25(OH)D, BNP, and hsCRP for the evaluation of all-cause mortality in the male patient population.

In the ROC analysis, we demonstrated that 25(OH)D values are associated with a higher mortality in females (0.291) compared to men (0.405) (low AUC scores in the ROC analysis reflect a higher mortality in the lowest compared to the highest quartile, and the opposite relationship is found when the risk is higher in the upper compared to the lowest quartile).

The main strength of this study is the high proportion of females (40%), with an evaluation of outcome in both genders. Moreover, the mortality rate was high, allowing us to include several covariates in our multivariate model. Furthermore, only two patients were lost to follow-up.

Limitations include only one blood sample at admission and levels of 25(OH)D, BNP, and hsCRP before and after the acute event remain unknown. Although we did not adjust for left ventricular ejection fraction, we did adjust for known CHF and CVD as well as for BNP and hsCRP for the evaluation of the prognostic utility of 25(OH)D. Furthermore, we did not measure parathyroid hormone.

### 5. Conclusion

Vitamin D was found to be an independent predictor of all-cause and cardiac mortality in females but not in males. Lower values of vitamin D in women in the main study may reflect a greater health deficiency, as no gender difference was found in normal subjects.

### Conflict of Interests

The authors have declared that no competing conflict of interests exists.

### Acknowledgments

The authors highly acknowledge the contribution of the participating doctors: Alejandro Farah, Sebastian Saravia Toledo, Sebastian Araujo, Pedro Kairuz, Fernando Marcondino, Cesar Laspiur, Patricio Gallo, Fernando Rassi, and Florencia Wayar and the service rendered by our biochemical engineers Silvia Dib Ashur, Carolina Moreno Ten, Natalia Ruiz, and Mariela Ponce. They appreciate the support of Professor Augusto Torino and Dr. Ernesto Lovaglio. Furthermore, they thank Maria José Aleman and Valeria Choque. They are grateful for the use of the facilities at the Catholic University of Salta, and they also thank Dr. Heidi Grundt for managing the database. This work was supported by Stavanger University Hospital, Stavanger, Norway.

### References

[1] S. Mendis, P. Puska, and B. Norrving, Eds., *Global Atlas on Cardiovascular Disease Prevention and Control*, World Health Organization, Geneva, Switzerland, 2011.

[2] L. Worrall-Carter, C. Ski, E. Scruth, M. Campbell, and K. Page, “Systematic review of cardiovascular disease in women: assessing the risk,” *Nursing and Health Sciences*, vol. 13, no. 4, pp. 529–535, 2011.

[3] A. Kilikkinen, P. Knekt, A. Aro et al., “Vitamin D status and the risk of cardiovascular disease death,” *American Journal of Epidemiology*, vol. 170, no. 8, pp. 1032–1039, 2009.

[4] T. J. Wang, M. J. Pencina, S. L. Booth et al., “Vitamin D deficiency and risk of cardiovascular disease death,” *Circulation*, vol. 117, no. 4, pp. 503–511, 2008.

[5] H. Dobnig, S. Pilz, H. Scharnagl et al., “Independent association of low serum 25-hydroxyvitamin D and 1,25-dihydroxyvitamin D levels with all-cause and cardiovascular mortality,” *Archives of Internal Medicine*, vol. 168, pp. 1340–1349, 2008.

[6] Q. Sun, L. Shi, E. B. Rimm et al., “Vitamin D intake and risk of cardiovascular disease in US men and women,” *American Journal of Clinical Nutrition*, vol. 94, no. 2, pp. 534–542, 2011.
[7] M. S. Hutchinson, G. Grimnes, R. M. Joakimsen, Y. Figenschau, and R. Jorde, “Low serum 25-hydroxyvitamin D levels are associated with increased all-cause mortality risk in a general population: the Tromsø study,” European Journal of Endocrinology, vol. 162, no. 5, pp. 935–942, 2010.

[8] M. L. Melamed, E. D. Michos, W. Post, and B. Astor, “25-hydroxyvitamin D levels and the risk of mortality in the general population,” Archives of Internal Medicine, vol. 168, no. 15, pp. 1629–1637, 2008.

[9] J. L. Anderson, H. T. May, B. D. Horne et al., “Relation of vitamin D deficiency to cardiovascular risk factors, disease status, and incident events in a general healthcare population,” American Journal of Cardiology, vol. 106, no. 7, pp. 963–968, 2010.

[10] E. Giovannucci, Y. Liu, B. W. Hollis, and E. B. Rimm, “25-Hydroxyvitamin D and risk of myocardial infarction in men: a prospective study,” Archives of Internal Medicine, vol. 168, no. 11, pp. 1174–1180, 2008.

[11] J. H. Lee, R. Gadi, J. A. Spertus, F. Tang, and J. H. O’Keefe, “Prevalence of vitamin D deficiency in patients with acute myocardial infarction,” American Journal of Cardiology, vol. 107, no. 11, pp. 1636–1638, 2011.

[12] S. Pilz, W. März, B. Wellnitz et al., “Association of vitamin D deficiency with heart failure and sudden cardiac death in a large cross-sectional study of patients referred for coronary angiography,” Journal of Clinical Endocrinology and Metabolism, vol. 93, no. 10, pp. 3927–3935, 2008.

[13] P. A. Næsgaard, R. A. León de la Fuente, S. T. Nilsen, L. Woie, T. Aarsland, and C. Brede, “Serum 25(OH)D is a 2-year predictor of all-cause mortality, cardiac death and sudden cardiac death in chest pain patients from Northern Argentina,” PLoS ONE, vol. 9, Article ID e43228, 2012.

[14] K. Maeda, T. Tsutamoto, A. Wada, T. Hisanaga, and M. Kinoshita, “Plasma brain natriuretic peptide as a biochemical marker of high left ventricular end-diastolic pressure in patients with symptomatic left ventricular dysfunction,” American Heart Journal, vol. 135, no. 5, pp. 825–832, 1998.

[15] O. Nakagawa, Y. Ogawa, H. Itoh et al., “Rapid transcriptional activation and early mRNA turnover of brain natriuretic peptide in cardiocyte hypertrophy. Evidence for brain natriuretic peptide as an “emergency” cardiac hormone against ventricular overload,” Journal of Clinical Investigation, vol. 96, no. 3, pp. 1280–1287, 1995.

[16] A. M. Richards, M. G. Nicholls, E. A. Espiner et al., “B-type natriuretic peptides and ejection fraction for prognosis after myocardial infarction,” Circulation, vol. 107, no. 22, pp. 2786–2792, 2003.

[17] J. A. de Lemos, D. A. Morrow, J. H. Bentley et al., “The prognostic value of B-type natriuretic peptide in patients with acute coronary syndromes,” New England Journal of Medicine, vol. 345, no. 14, pp. 1014–1021, 2001.

[18] D. A. Morrow, J. A. de Lemos, M. S. Sabatine et al., “Evaluation of B-type natriuretic peptide for risk assessment in unstable angina/non-ST-elevation myocardial infarction: B-type natriuretic peptide and prognosis in TACTICS-TIMI 18,” Journal of the American College of Cardiology, vol. 41, no. 8, pp. 1264–1272, 2003.

[19] T. Omland, A. Persson, L. Ng et al., “N-terminal pro-B-type natriuretic peptide and long-term mortality in acute coronary syndromes,” Circulation, vol. 106, no. 23, pp. 2913–2918, 2002.

[20] R. León de la Fuente, P. A. Næsgaard, S. T. Nilsen et al., “B-type natriuretic peptide and high sensitive C-reactive protein predict 2-year all cause mortality in chest pain patients: a prospective observational study from Salta, Argentina,” BMC Cardiovascular Disorders, vol. 11, article 57, 2011.

[21] R. Ross, “Atherosclerosis—an inflammatory disease,” New England Journal of Medicine, vol. 340, no. 2, pp. 115–126, 1999.

[22] G. Liuazzo, L. M. Biasucci, J. R. Gallimore et al., “The prognostic value of C-reactive protein and serum amyloid A protein in severe unstable angina,” New England Journal of Medicine, vol. 331, no. 7, pp. 417–424, 1994.

[23] B. C. Berk, W. S. Weintraub, and R. W. Alexander, “Elevation of C-reactive protein in “active” coronary artery disease,” American Journal of Cardiology, vol. 65, no. 3, pp. 168–172, 1990.

[24] P. M. Ridker, “Clinical application of C-reactive protein for cardiovascular disease detection and prevention,” Circulation, vol. 107, no. 3, pp. 363–369, 2003.

[25] M. Müller-Bardorff, K. Hallermayer, A. Schröder et al., “Improved troponin T ELISA specific for cardiac troponin T isoform: assay development and analytical and clinical validation,” Clinical Chemistry, vol. 43, no. 3, pp. 458–466, 1997.

[26] T. Killip III and J. T. Kimball, “Treatment of myocardial infarction in a coronary care unit. A Two year experience with 250 patients,” The American Journal of Cardiology, vol. 20, no. 4, pp. 457–464, 1967.

[27] J.-A. Eastwood and L. V. Doering, “Gender differences in coronary artery disease,” The Journal of Cardiovascular Nursing, vol. 20, no. 5, pp. 340–353, 2005.

[28] N. Bello and L. Mosca, “Epidemiology of coronary heart disease in women,” Progress in Cardiovascular Diseases, vol. 46, no. 4, pp. 287–295, 2004.

[29] M. F. Holick and M. Garabedian, “Vitamin D: photobiology, metabolism, mechanism of action, and clinical applications,” in Primer on the Metabolic Bone Diseases and Disorders of Mineral Metabolism, M. J. Favus, Ed., pp. 106–114, 2006.