Prevalence and clinical significance of the triticeal cartilage
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Abstract

Objectives: The triticeal cartilage can be misidentified as an atheromatous plaque in the common carotid artery in radiological images. It is very important to correctly define these two structures and distinguish from each other. The aim of this study, therefore, was to investigate the shape, length, width and the anatomical position of the triticeal cartilage to prevent the interpretation of its presence as an atheromaous plaque or any other pathology located in the neck.

Methods: This study was performed retrospectively on 200 CT images of adult patients (age ≥20 years; 128 males, 72 females). The shape, size and localization of triticeal cartilage were examined and its prevalence was determined.

Results: Triticeal cartilage was not present in 63 cases. It was present unilaterally in 42 cases and bilaterally in 95. The cartilage was located at the C4 level most frequently. The triticeal cartilage was identified under 7 types as circle, double circle, oval, hook, ring, triangle and rod. Circle type was the most common. There was a statistically significant difference for the presence of ring type cartilage between males and females (p<0.05). Although the mean cartilage length and width were higher in males than females, this difference was not statistically significant (p>0.05).

Conclusion: The presence of the triticeal cartilage should be considered in the diagnosis of atheroma in carotid arteries. In order to distinguish the triticeal cartilage from other surrounding structures, the shape, level and size of the cartilage must be known.
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Introduction

Triticeal cartilage is part of the complex structures in larynx. The word triticea comes from the Latin word triticeous, which resembles wheat germ. Triticeal cartilage is a small oval cartilage in the lateral thyrohyoid ligament between the greater horn of the hyoid bone and the superior horn of thyroid cartilage. Triticeal cartilage is found at the level of the third and fourth cervical vertebrae (C3–C4).

Calcification of triticeal cartilage is associated with calcification of the thyroid cartilage. Calcification begins at the age of 20 and ends at the age of 61. Although the triticeal cartilage has been known to have no important function, it has been stated that it can help supporting lateral thyrohyoid ligament. It is also stated that calcified triticeal cartilage can be mixed with arterial plaques located in this region, particularly in common carotid artery during evaluation of radiological images such as panoramic radiography and computed tomography. It is important for clinicians to recognize the cartilage and to distinguish it from any other pathologies of the neck, other laryngeal cartilages and calcified carotid artery atheroma. Moreover, it is also important for forensic scientist to dis-
tistinguish a calcified triticeal cartilage from the fractures of
the superior horn of thyroid cartilage.\cite{3,6,7}

The aim of this study, therefore, was to investigate the
shape, length, width and the anatomical position of triticeal
cartilage to prevent interpretation of its presence as an
atheromaous plaque or any other pathologies in the neck.

Materials and Methods

This study was conducted with the ethical approval given
by the Selçuk University School of Medicine Non-
Interventional Clinical Research Ethics Committee
(approval number: 2018/104).

CT images of 221 patients (128 males, 72 females) were
retrospectively examined between 2010–2019. These
patients had undergone CT imaging because of various
reasons. Out of 221 patients, 21 (14 males, 7 females) were
either under 20 years of age (their calcification was not
completed) or had a previous surgery or a pathological for-

mation in the neck, so their CT images were excluded
from the study. Thus, CT images of 200 patients who are
more 20 years of age without any previous history of sur-

gery, trauma or any kind of pathology in the neck were
included to the study. The shape and size of triticeal car-
tilage were examined, its prevalence and possible locations
was determined. The images of the patients were obtained
with a 256-section (128x2) dual source CT (Somatom
Definition Flash, Siemens, Germany) device.

The shape of the triticeal cartilage was determined
under 7 different types as circle, double circles, oval, hook,
ring, triangle, and rod. The shape of the cartilage was
identified in sagittal sections (Figure 1). The length and
width of triticeal cartilage were measured in sagittal and
coronal sections. The longest distance between the superi-
or and the inferior tips of the cartilage is considered as the
length and the widest distance between the sides of the
cartilage is considered as the width (Figure 2). The statis-
tic of our study has been prepared in the 3.2.3 version of
the R statistical software.

Results

Triticeal cartilage was not present in 63 out of 200 patients
(31.5%). Of 137 patients possessing the cartilage, 41
(20.5%) were females and 96 (48%) were males. The car-
tilage was present unilaterally in 17 females (8.5%), and
bilaterally in 24 females (12%); unilaterally in 25 males
(12.5%) and bilaterally in 71 males (35.5%). Accordingly,
the shape, size, anatomical localization and prevalence of a
total of 232 triticeal cartilages were examined (65 in
females, 167 in males) (Table 1).

The triticeal cartilage was determined under 7 differ-
ent types: circle, oval, hook, ring, triangle, double circle
and rod. The circle type was the most common (56.5%),
rod type was the rarest (1.3%). The circle type was present
in 54.49% of males and 61.54% of females (Table 2). The
ring type was significantly more common in males than
females (p<0.05), whereas the other types showed no sta-
tistically significant difference among genders (p>0.05).

Table 1

| Side          | Right | Left | Bilateral | Non-calcified |
|---------------|-------|------|-----------|---------------|
| Females       | 8     | 9    | 24        | 30            |
| Males         | 12    | 13   | 71        | 33            |
| Total         | 20    | 22   | 95        | 63            |

Figure 1. Determination of the shape of triticeal cartilages on sagittal CT images. (a) circle; (b) oval; (c) rod; (d) hook; (e) double circle; (f) ring;
(g) triangle shaped triticeal cartilages.
The significance of differences between the genders in terms of frequency of the type was examined by applying Z-test. In some cases, Fisher’s exact test was applied, predicting that the Z-test may not give accurate results because the expected value is below 5 (Table 2).

The cartilage was located at the C2–3 level as the highest position and at the C6 level as the lowest. Cartilage is found most frequently at C4 level (105 cases), while the least at C2–3 level (4 cases).

All the cartilages were positioned between C2–C3 and C6 vertebrae levels. In 105 of the cases (45.3%) it was located at the C4 level, being the most frequent position for both sexes (47.7% in females; 44.3% in males). In 4 of the cases (1.7%) it was located at C2–C3 level, being the rarest position. There was no significant difference between the localization of the cartilage and gender (p>0.05). The position of the cartilage showed no statistically significant difference among genders by Z-test. In some instances, Fisher’s exact test was applied, predicting that the Z-test may not give accurate results because the expected value is below 5 (Table 3).

The mean length of the cartilage was 0.47±0.20 (range: 0.16–1.26) cm. The mean width of the cartilage was 0.34±0.13 (range: 0.13–0.66) cm. The mean length and width in males was 0.48±0.18 and 0.35 cm±0.11 cm respectively. The mean length and width in females was 0.45±0.24 cm respectively. Although the average cartilage length and width were higher in males, the difference was not statistically significant (p>0.05) (Table 4).

Discussion
This study was based on determination of the size, shape, localization and the prevalence of triticeal cartilage on CT images. Similar studies which were performed on cadavers, panoramic radiographic and/or CT images revealed the localization of the cartilage at the level of the C3–C4 vertebrae. The triticeal cartilage was found most frequently at C4 level in our study (Table 3).

Table 2
Types of triticeal cartilage by shape and gender.

| Type        | Total | Males | Females | Z-test | Fisher’s exact test |
|-------------|-------|-------|---------|--------|---------------------|
|             | n     | Ratio (%) | n | Ratio (%) | n | Ratio (%) | p-value | p-value |
| Rod         | 3 | 1.29 | 1 | 0.6 | 2 | 3.08 | 0.20 | 0.19 |
| Ring        | 12 | 5.17 | 12 | 7.19 | 0 | 0 | 0.03 | 0.02 |
| Hook        | 5 | 2.16 | 2 | 1.2 | 3 | 4.62 | 0.13 | 0.14 |
| Oval        | 71 | 30.6 | 52 | 31.14 | 19 | 29.23 | 0.45 | 0.45 |
| Triangle    | 6 | 2.59 | 5 | 2.99 | 1 | 1.54 | 0.43 | 0.46 |
| Double circle | 4 | 1.72 | 4 | 2.4 | 0 | 0 | 0.24 | 0.27 |
| Circle      | 131 | 56.47 | 91 | 54.49 | 40 | 61.54 | 0.20 | 0.21 |
| Total       | 232 |        | 167 |        | 65 |        |        |        |

n: number of the cases.
Calcification of the triticeal cartilage is associated with calcification of thyroid cartilage. The calcification process starts at the age of 20 and ends at the age of 61.\(^5\) We conducted this retrospective study on 200 CT images of patients older than 20 years old. We suggest that the present study have an important place among other studies published on this subject with the number of cases included in our study (Table 5). Our study revealed that triticeal cartilage can also be found unilaterally as it was the case in 42 patients. But its bilateral presence was more prominent being in 95 cases. Out of the 232 cartilages examined, 63 were not been calcified (Table 1).

Triticeal cartilage is a small oval cartilage.\(^10\) Clinically, it does not have a known significant function. However, it has been stated that it can help supporting the lateral thyrohyoid ligament which is located between the hyoid bone and the superior horn of thyroid cartilage.\(^5\) Triticeal cartilage was reported to be oval in shape by some previous studies in the literature.\(^1,3,6\) However in our study, circle shaped cartilage was the most common (56.5%).

Radiological studies reveals that calcified triticeal cartilage can interfere with arterial plaques located in the common carotid artery.\(^3,6\) Fractures of the superior corn of thyroid cartilage can also be confused with triticeal cartilage and is important for forensic medicine.\(^3,6,7\)

Vatansever et al.\(^6\) found the mean length of the triticeal cartilage in males as 5.35 mm and in females as 4.09 mm. In addition to this, the width was found as 3.42 mm

### Table 3
Anatomical localization of triticeal cartilage by gender.

| Anatomical level | Total | Males | Females | Z-test | Fisher’s exact test |
|------------------|-------|-------|---------|--------|--------------------|
|                  | n     | Ratio (%) | n     | Ratio (%) | p-value | p-value |
| C2–C3            | 4     | 1.72 | 2       | 1.2    | 3.08    | 0.31    |
| C3               | 22    | 9.48 | 15      | 8.98   | 10.77   | 0.43    | 0.42    |
| C3–C4            | 25    | 10.78| 19      | 11.3   | 9.23    | 0.40    | 0.42    |
| C4               | 105   | 45.26| 74      | 44.31  | 47.69   | 0.38    | 0.37    |
| C4–C5            | 24    | 10.34| 19      | 11.38  | 7.69    | 0.28    | 0.29    |
| C5               | 39    | 16.81| 27      | 16.17  | 18.46   | 0.41    | 0.40    |
| C5–C6            | 7     | 3.02 | 7       | 4.19   | 3.08    | 0.11    | 0.10    |
| C6               | 6     | 2.59 | 4       | 2.4    | 3.08    | 0.5     | 0.54    |
| Total            | 232   | 167   | 65      |        |        |         |

n: number of the cases.

### Table 4
Distribution of cases by size and gender.

|                  | Total | Male | Female | Z-test |
|------------------|-------|------|--------|--------|
|                  | Means±SD (cm) | Means±SD (cm) | Mean±SD (cm) | p-value |
| Length           | 0.47±0.2   | 0.48±0.18 | 0.45±0.24 | 0.26   |
| Width            | 0.34±0.13  | 0.35±0.11 | 0.33±0.18 | 0.30   |

### Table 5
Prevalence of triticeal cartilage in previously published studies.

|                  | Unilateral | Bilateral | Non-calcified | Total | Method                  |
|------------------|------------|-----------|---------------|-------|-------------------------|
| Ahmad et al.\(^3\) (2005) | -          | -         | -             | 847   | Panoramic radiography    |
| Tubbs et al.\(^6\) (2010)  | -          | -         | -             | 86    | Cadaver                 |
| Ara et al.\(^1,4\) (2012)  | 35         | 25        | 60            | -     | Autopsy                 |
| Joshi et al.\(^1,4\) (2014) | 9          | 20        | 21            | 50    | Cadaver                 |
| Syed\(^6\) (2014)          | 40         | 63        | 277           | 380   | CBCT (Cone beam computed tomography) |
| Wilson et al.\(^6\) (2017) | 16         | 12        | 58            | 86    | Cadaver                 |
| Alqahtani et al.\(^1,6\) (2017) | 130       | 222       | 311           | 663   | CT                      |
| Vatansever et al.\(^6\) (2018) | 167       | 341       | 238           | 746   | CT                      |
| Our study          | 42         | 95        | 63            | 232   | CT                      |
in males and 2.85 mm in females. In study by Joshi et al., the length of the cartilage ranged between 3.37 to 13.94 mm and width ranged between 2.4 to 4.79 mm. The results of our study revealed the mean length of the cartilage as 0.47 (range: 0.16–1.26) cm, and mean width as 0.34 (range: 0.13–0.66) cm. The results of our study is similar to the studies in the literature regarding the mean values.

**Conclusion**

The prevalence of the triticeal cartilage is not rare. The presence and the calcification of the triticeal cartilages should be considered in order to distinguish it from other laryngeal cartilages and neck pathologies such as carotid artery atheroma or fractures in the superior horn of the thyroid cartilage. In order to distinguish this cartilage from other surrounding structures and the aforementioned pathologies, the shape, localization and the size of the cartilage should be known and should not be overlooked.
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