Exploration of the Potential Biomarkers of Papillary Thyroid Cancer (PTC) Based on RT² Profiler PCR Arrays and Bioinformatics Analysis
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Background: Papillary thyroid carcinoma (PTC) has increased rapidly over recent years, and radiation, hormone effects, gene mutations, and others were viewed as closely related. However, the molecular mechanisms of PTC have not been cleared. Therefore, we intended to screen more accurate key genes and pathways of PTC by combining RT² profiler PCR arrays and bioinformatics methods in this study.

Materials and Methods: RT² profiler PCR arrays were firstly analyzed to identify differential expression genes (DEGs) in PTC. RT-qPCR were performed to verify the most significant differential expression genes. The TCGA database was used to further verify for expanded data. Enrichment analysis of Gene Ontology (GO) and Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) was analyzed. To construct the protein–protein interaction (PPI) network, we used STRING and Cytoscape to make module analysis of these DEGs.

Results: Sixteen differentially expressed genes were presented in RT² profiler PCR arrays, including 13 down-regulated DEGs (DEGs) and three up-regulated DEGs (DEGs), while 13 stable DEGs were eventually verified. A total of 155 DEGs were presented in the TCGA database, including 82 up-regulated DEGs (DEGs) and 73 down-regulated DEGs (dDEGs). A total of 29 important genes were extracted after integrating these two results, GO and KEGG analyses were used to observe the possible mechanisms of action of these DEGs. The PPI network was constructed to observe hub genes. Prognostic analysis further demonstrated the involvement of these genes in the biological processes of PTC.

Conclusion: This study identified some potential molecular targets and signal pathways, which might help us raise our awareness of the mechanisms of PTC.
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Introduction

In the past 30 years, the incidence of thyroid cancer (TC) has tripled, making it the fastest rising malignant solid tumor worldwide.¹,² The biological features of various subclasses of TC can vary from low potential to high potential forms, extreme aggressiveness, and lethality. Papillary thyroid cancer (PTC) accounts for 90% of all thyroid cancer cases,³ making it emergency to discover new biological and therapeutic targets for it. Although the treatment of PTC and its effect have been improved over recent years, the trauma caused by surgery and the recurrence of tumor are still intractable problems, bringing a certain life and economic burden to patients.
Generally, early diagnosis and management for PTC could improve patients’ prognosis.4 Therefore, it is of great significance to further explore the pathogenesis of PTC and find molecular targets with the potential for early diagnosis, early prevention, and early treatment. Following the advances in the identification of mutations in thyroid cancer, other genes (gene expression, miRNA) and epigenetic markers are expected to significantly improve the accuracy of thyroid nodule detection compared with currently available clinical tests.5,6 If this progress continues, future molecular tests are expected to be able to predict the cancer risk of thyroid nodules. In addition, the development of these data can further inform specific treatment decisions, such as surgical coverage, kinase, and specific kinase inhibitor therapies or combinations.7–9 The discovery of new gene mutations/rearrangements involved in the pathogenesis of thyroid cancer, such as BREA and RAS, is expected to provide new and effective therapeutic targets.9,10

Along with the development of molecular biology technique, molecular diagnosis is further improved under the control of evidence-based evidence.11 High-throughput tools such as microarray and sequencing have been widely used to find molecular changes in the occurrence and development of diseases which are effective ways to detect the pathogenetic mechanism of diseases. For example, RT Profiler PCR array has been used by many scholars to explore the diagnostic targets of other tumors in recent years, and a lot of achievements have been made.12–14 Bioinformatics is a new discipline emerging with the launch of the human genome project,15 and has established a fundamental position in the life sciences. Mining and analyzing the massive data based bioinformatics also allow us to screen key genes or pathways associated with the diseases. To obtain a more accurate target for diagnosis and treatment of PTC, we combined the two analyses together in this study to screen relevant data and identify the DEGs that may play a role in PTC. In addition, we assessed the functions and roles of screened candidate genes.

Materials and Methods

Human Tissue Samples

Human tissue samples (The total number of samples was eight) were collected from patients with thyroid cancer from the First Affiliated Hospital of Kunming Medical University. Based on the findings from hematoxylin and eosin staining of sections for pathological diagnosis and histological types,16 two groups were included, papillary thyroid carcinoma (PTC with stage17) and healthy controls (histologically identified as normal thyroid tissue at a distance of more than 2 cm from the edge of the cancer).18 All tissue specimens were immediately frozen and transferred to the Kunming Institute of Biology, after which they were used to extracted total RNA. The patients characteristics are presented in Table 1.

RT² Profiler PCR Arrays Test

According to the manufacturer’s directions, total RNA was extracted from the tissues with Trizol reagent (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc., USA). A spectrophotometer was used to identify RNA quality and the RNA quality control parameters OD260/280 were between 1.6–2.0. Reverse transcription was performed by using the All-in-OneTM First-Strand cDNA Synthesis kit (GeneCopoeia, USA) under the following reaction conditions: 42°C for 60 minutes and 70°C for 5 minutes. The cDNA was used on the real-time RT² Profiler PCR Array (QIAGEN, Cat. no. PAHS-0332Z) in combination with RT² SYBR®Green qPCR Mastermix (Cat. no. 330,529). Each array plate contained one set of 96 wells for testing. Genomic DNA contamination, reverse transcription, and positive PCR controls were included in each 96-well set on each plate. Glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate-dehydrogenase (GAPDH) was used as the assay reference gene. CT values were derived to an Excel file to build a table of CT values, which is then uploaded onto the data analysis web portal at http://www.qiagen.com/geneglobe. Samples contained controls and test groups. CT values were normalized based on Automatic selection from full panel of reference genes.

Table 1 The Characteristics of Patients. All Patients Were Excluded Other Tumors or Diseases and Only Diagnosed as Papillary Thyroid Cancer (PTC). All Patients Were PTC with Stage I

| Patient | Sex | Years | Diagnosis | Clinical Stage |
|---------|-----|-------|-----------|---------------|
| 1       | Female | 38 | PTC | Stage I       |
| 2       | Female | 47 | PTC | Stage I       |
| 3       | Female | 43 | PTC | Stage I       |
| 4       | Female | 33 | PTC | Stage I       |
| 5       | Female | 25 | PTC | Stage I       |
| 6       | Female | 33 | PTC | Stage I       |
| 7       | Female | 69 | PTC | Stage I       |
| 8       | Female | 54 | PTC | Stage I       |
Quantitative Real-Time-PCR
Total RNA was extracted from the tissues with Trizol reagent (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc., USA). A spectrophotometer was used to identify RNA quality and the RNA quality control parameters OD260/280 were between 1.8–2.0. RT was performed using the All-in-One™ First-Strand cDNA Synthesis kit (GeneCopoeia, USA) under the following reaction conditions: 42°C for 60 minutes and 70°C for 5 minutes. Quantitative real-time-PCR was carried out with SYBR® Premix Ex TaqTM II (GeneCopoeia, USA) on an Applied 7000 Real-Time PCR System (Applied Biosystem, USA). Samples were repeatedly amplified for 40 cycles. The housekeeping gene GAPDH was selected as an internal control for mRNA abundance. Fold changes in the levels of target gene mRNAs were determined using the formula $2^{-\Delta\Delta C_t}$. The primers presented in Table 2 were used.

Datasets and Data Preprocessing
Download gene expression RNAseq sequencing data (FPKM) and clinical data (Phenotype) of GDC TCGA-THCA in the TCGA database (https://xenabrowser.net/). A total of 559 samples were collected, including 501 tumor samples and 58 normal samples. Using R packages limma package (Version 3.10.3, http://www.bioconductor.org/packages/2.9/bioc/html/limma.html) provides the classical bayesian method, differential expression analysis was performed according to the cancer vs paracancer group. The threshold for the DEGs was set as $P$-value<0.05 and $|log2$ foldchange $(FC)|>2$.

GO and KEGG Analysis
To analyze the functions of DEGs, respectively on the Gene Ontology functional annotation and KEGG pathway, enrichment analysis was carried out by using R package clusterProfiler (version: 3.8.1, http://bioconductor.org/packages/release/bioc/html/clusterProfiler.html) for the above differences in Gene in accordance with the cut mRNA. Significance threshold $P$-value<0.05 was considered as a significant enrichment result.

Construction of a PPI Network
To investigate the possible hub genes/proteins that might play a significant role in the biological process, the interaction relationship between gene-coding proteins was predicted and analyzed in combination with STRING (Version: 11.0, http://www.string-db.org/) database. The input gene set is the difference mRNA obtained above, and the species is homo. A confidence score >0.4 was set, which may filter out the critical module.

Prognosis Analysis of Significance Genes
The TCGA-GEIPA database was used to select THCA data collection, and on the basis of median expression level, the significance genes were divided into high and low expression groups, respectively, for OS (Overall

| Table 2 | Primers Used by RT-qPCR to Verify the Most Significant Genes |
|--------|-------------------------------------------------------------|
| **Forward** | **Reverse** |
| ATR5A | ATGACGACTTATCCAAACACGG | CGGGAGTGTAGGTAAGACACAT |
| DDB2 | CTCTCTAATGAGGGAAACA | GTGACCACTCCAGCTACT |
| ETS2 | CGAACCTTTGCCAGCCTT | AAGCCTGGTCGGCCTT |
| FG2 | CAAAACAGGGGGCTTCTT | TAGAAGGTGACGTCGGATGAG |
| LDHA | CCGAATGCGAGCCTTTTTC | TGACGGTACGGGACCAA |
| LUCRF | GGAAATGACGAGGAACGTG | GCACAAGGCAGAATACCA |
| TEP1 | GTGCACGTGAGCTGTTTCTC | TCACTGTCGAGGTTTATTA |
| FPKL | CTACAGGGCTATGAGGCG | GATGACCGACAGTTGGTA |
| ACLY | GACTTCCGGCAGAGGAGGAC | TCCAGAGTGACCCGGAGCATA |
| DSP | GTGGGCCAAAGTACGAGGA | ATGCATTTGCTGTGATGTT |
| CCND2 | TACCTGGAACCTTCTTGCC | CACGGCGATTGAGTGG |
| DDI3T | CCACCTTGGACCTTCTTCTT | CTAGGCTGACCACCTTCT |
| GAPDH | GAGTCTACGTGTGCTTG | GACAACTTCCTGGCCTCAG |
| ACSL4 | ATCCCTCCAGATGACAAACGC | GCCAAAGCCAGTAGACCAATAT |
| COX5A | TCAACAGGAAGAGATGAGGAGT | CTGATGACAAGAGGCTTAGATT |
| PINX1 | CCGATCTCCCTCACCCAGA | TCCCTCCTCTTCAGTTCCT |

Notes: The target gene was searched in NCBI to find its mRNA, and the CDS region sequence, namely the coding sequence, was input into Primer Premier 6.0 software for design.
Survival) and DFS (Disease-free survival) analysis. The genes with $P<0.05$ were found to be the key genes for prognosis.

**Statistical Analysis**
Independent Student’s $t$-tests were used for statistical analyses between two groups in RT$^2$ Profiler PCR Arrays and quantitative real-time-PCR. Experimental values are described as the mean±standard deviation, $P$-values $<0.05$ indicated a significant difference between two groups.

Besides, evaluating from the different multiple and significance level performed differential expression analysis in TCGA data. The threshold for the DEGs was set as $P<0.05$ and $|\log_2$ fold change (FC)$|>2$. Evaluating from the different multiple and significance levels performed differential expression analysis. The threshold for the DEGs was set as $P<0.05$ and $|\log_2$ fold change (FC)$|>2$.

**Results**
**Screen Out DEGs Through RT$^2$ Profiler PCR Arrays Test**
To explore whether PTC has similar targets with other tumors, PTC and control tissues were firstly analyzed to identify DEGs by using RT$^2$ Profiler PCR Arrays Test (the number of samples was two). Compared with control, a total of 16 DEGs were identified, consisting of three uDEGs and 13 dDEGs in PTC tissues (Figure 1A, the threshold for the DEGs was set as $|\log_2$ fold change (FC)$|>2$. Compared with the Normal group, $P<0.05$ indicated that the difference was statistically significant). The data is also analyzed by picturing plots, the scatter plot and heat map correspondingly (Figure 1B and C). To verify the most significant genes, RT-qPCR were performed on more clinical samples (the number of samples was six) and verified 13 stable DEGs eventually (Figure 1D, the threshold was set as $|\log_2$ fold change (FC)$|>2$. Compared with the normal group, $^*P<0.05$, $^{**}P<0.01$, ns presented non-significant, $P>0.05$).

**Identification of DEGs in PTC by Using Public Database**
To screen the significant genes in PTC, we then mined and analyzed data in the TCGA database. Gene expression RNAseq sequencing data (FPKM) and clinical data (Phenotype) of GDC TCGA-THCA were downloaded for analysis between PTC patients and control samples, with $P<0.05$ and $|\log_2$ fold change (FC)$|>2$ as criteria. Compared with the control group, a total of 155 DEGs was identified from the database, consisting of 82 uDEGs and 73 dDEGs in PTC (Figure 2A). Moreover, the top 10 uDEGs and top 10 dDEGs have been screened out, respectively (Figure 2B and C).

**DEGs Functional Analysis and PPI Network Construction**
To estimate the functions of identified DEGs, enrichment analysis of GO and KEGG were analyzed. GO analysis showed that the uDEGs and dDEGs were enriched in various biological processes, cellular components, and molecular function (Figure 3A and B). KEGG pathway analysis revealed that the DEGs were enriched in pathways in thyroid hormone synthesis, tyrosine metabolism, and mineral absorption (Figure 3C and D). A total of 185 interaction pairs of the DEGs were identified using the STRING database. A PPI network with 113 nodes of the DEGs was constructed based on these pairs (Figure 3E).

**Nine Duplicate Genes Were Found by Comparative Analysis**
To further determine the potential targets of PTC, we accomplished a comparative analysis between the TCGA database and RT$^2$ Profiler PCR Arrays test results. Among 13 differential expression genes that screened in RT$^2$ Profiler PCR Arrays test, nine genes were also found in the TCGA database. The analysis of this nine genes expression in the TCGA database is as follows, while only four genes showed similar differences (Figure 4A).

**Functional Analysis and PPI Network Construction of Duplicate Genes and Top 10 DEGs**
To further verify the functions of the potential targets in PTC, we integrated the nine duplicate genes and the identified top 10 DEGs (10 uDEGs and 10 dDEGs, respectively) (Figure 4B, the threshold was set as $|\log_2$ fold change (FC)$|>2$. Compared with the Normal group, $^*P<0.05$, $^{**}P<0.01$, ns presented non-significant, $P>0.05$). Enrichment analysis of GO and KEGG were analyzed as above. GO analysis showed that these genes were enriched in various biological processes, cellular components, and molecular function (Figure 4C). KEGG pathway analysis indicated that these genes were enriched in various pathways, especially in transcriptional misregulation in cancer (Figure 4D). A total of 10 interaction pairs
Figure 1 DEGs between PTC and normal through RT-qPCR arrays test. (A) A total of 16 DEGs were identified out, consisting of three uDEGs and 13 dDEGs in PTC tissues. The ordinate is a multiple of difference and the threshold for the DEGs was set as |log2 foldchange (FC)|>2. Compared with the Normal group, P<0.05 indicated that the difference was statistically significant. (B) The DEGs’ expression levels of the two group are extracted to draw a scatter plot. Yellow plots above the line represent higher expression genes, blue plots under the line represent lower expression genes. (C) Two groups of differential genes intersect and merge gene expression calorimetry maps. Based on the expression levels of the DEGs in each group, a logarithm of 2 is taken. Using systematic clustering (Hierarchical Cluster), the overall clustering result of the sample is finally obtained. Red means higher expression, blue means lower expression. (D) The expression levels of the two genes are extracted to draw a heat map. Red represents high expression in the sample, and green represents low expression in the sample. (E) RT-qPCR were performed on more clinical samples to verify these most significant DEGs, eventually 13 stable DEGs were screened out. The horizontal coordinate represents the multiple of difference and and the threshold was set as |log2 foldchange (FC)|>2. Compared with the Normal group, \*P<0.05, \**P<0.01, ns presented non-significant, P>0.05.

Abbreviations: DEGs, differential expression genes; PTC, papillary thyroid carcinoma; uDEGs, up-regulated differential expression genes; dDEGs, down-regulated differential expression genes; RT-qPCR, real time quantitative PCR.
Figure 2 DEGs between PTC and normal by mining and analyzing data in the TCGA database. (A) A total of 155 DEGs were identified, consisting of 82 uDEGs and 73 dDEGs in PTC tissues; DEGs between PTC and normal intersect and merge gene expression calorimetry maps. Using systematic clustering (Hierarchical Cluster), the overall clustering result of the sample is finally obtained. Red means higher expression, green means lower expression. (B) The top 10 uDEGs and top 10 dDEGs have been further screened out, respectively. A similar gene expression calorimetry map was drawn. Red means higher expression, green means lower expression. (C) Volcanic map of the top 10 uDEGs and top 10 dDEGs in PTC group. P<0.05 was corrected according to the threshold value described in the method. DEGs with significant differential expression are indicated by red dots (up-regulation) and green dots (down-regulation). Genes without significant differential expression are indicated by black dots.

Abbreviations: DEGs, differential expression genes; PTC, papillary thyroid carcinoma; TCGA, the cancer genome atlas; uDEGs, up-regulated differential expression genes; dDEGs, down-regulated differential expression genes.
Figure 3 TCGA-DEGs functional were analyzed. In order to understand the biological processes, cell components, and molecular functions involved in DEGs. The GO gene enrichment analysis of DEGs can be obtained as shown (A, B). In addition, to understand the metabolic pathway, signal pathway, and other information of these DEGs, KEGG enrichment analysis of the DEGs was obtained (C, D), where Ratio refers to the number of differential genes enriched in the pathway in the pathway, Ratio to the number of all genes in this pathway. The larger the ratio, the greater the degree of enrichment. (A) GO analysis of uDEGs; (B) GO analysis of dDEGs. (C) KEGG analysis of uDEGs; (D) KEGG analysis of dDEGs. (E) Networks were constructed, in which nodes that share the same cluster identity are typically close to each other; the clustering was as shown above.

Abbreviations: TCGA, the cancer genome atlas; DEGs, differential expression genes; GO, gene ontology; KEGG, Kyoto encyclopedia of genes and genomes; uDEGs, up-regulated differential expression genes; dDEGs, down-regulated differential expression genes.
A comparative analysis between RT² profiler PCR arrays test and TCGA database and eventually 29 genes functional were analyzed. (A) Among the differential expression genes in RT² Profiler PCR Arrays test, nine genes were also found in TCGA. The ordinate represents the \(\log_2\) fold change (FC) of the DEGs. Compared with the Normal group, \(**P<0.01\), \(*P<0.05\), ns presented non-significant, \(P>0.05\). However, their expression analyzed results were not identical. ETS2, E2F4, CCND2, and ALCY showed no significant differential expression between PTC and normal based the TCGA database. (B) Eventually 29 genes were screened out and a heatmap was presented to show their expressions. Besides, GO enrichment analysis and KEGG analysis for these genes were also performed to explore their potential mechanism. (C) GO analysis of these genes; (D) KEGG analysis of these genes. (E) Network were constructed, in which nodes that share the same cluster identity are typically close to each other. Abbreviations: TCGA, the cancer genome atlas; DEGs, differential expression genes; PTC, papillary thyroid carcinoma; GO, gene ontology; KEGG, Kyoto encyclopedia of genes and genomes.

Figure 4
Table 3 Prognostic Association Analysis and the Expression Status of the Genes

| Gene Name | RT² Profiler PCR Arrays | TCGA Database | Prognostic Association |
|-----------|-------------------------|---------------|------------------------|
|           | Upexpression | Downexpression | Upexpression | Downexpression | P-value (DFS) | P-value (OS) |
| DPT       | ↑          | ↓              | ↑            | ↓              | 0.2          | 0.11         |
| CDH16     | ↑          | ↓              | ↑            | ↓              | 0.13         | 0.62         |
| TFF3      | ↑          | ↓              | ↑            | ↓              | 0.043        | 0.99         |
| CCL21     | ↑          | ↓              | ↑            | ↓              | 0.18         | 0.26         |
| PKHD1L1   | ↑          | ↓              | ↑            | ↓              | 0.066        | 0.68         |
| SLC26A7   | ↑          | ↓              | ↑            | ↓              | 0.11         | 0.18         |
| CRABP1    | ↑          | ↓              | ↑            | ↓              | 0.033        | 0.5          |
| TPO       | ↑          | ↓              | 0.018        | 0.13           |
| DIO1      | ↑          | ↓              | 0.27         | 0.53           |
| MT1G      | ↑          | ↓              | 0.11         | 0.89           |
| METTL7B   | ↓          | ↑              | 0.65         | 0.021          |
| RXRG      | ↓          | ↑              | 0.6          | 0.028          |
| PRR15     | ↓          | ↑              | 0.83         | 0.024          |
| SLC22A31  | ↓          | ↑              | 0.68         | 0.49           |
| ZCCHC12   | ↓          | ↑              | 0.51         | 0.16           |
| CITED1    | ↓          | ↑              | 0.96         | 0.24           |
| EN1       | ↓          | ↑              | 0.89         | 0.36           |
| SERPINA1  | ↓          | ↑              | 0.14         | 0.37           |
| SLC34A2   | ↓          | ↑              | 0.16         | 0.07           |
| DCSTAMP   | ↓          | ↑              | 0.066        | 0.78           |
| FGF2      | ↓          | ↑              | 0.93         | 0.92           |
| ETS2      | ↓          | ↑              | 0.27         | 0.01           |
| DSP       | ↓          | ↑              | 0.55         | 0.085          |
| E2F4      | ↓          | ↑              | 0.29         | 0.91           |
| DDB2      | ↓          | ↑              | 0.53         | 0.54           |
| CCND2     | ↓          | ↑              | 0.24         | 0.017          |
| ACLY      | ↓          | ↑              | 0.16         | 0.18           |
| DDI3      | ↓          | ↑              | 0.79         | 0.39           |
| ATP5A1    | ↓          | ↑              | 0.82         | 0.47           |

Notes: Except the 20 genes with the most significant differences screened from TCGA, nine of the 13 stable differences screened from RT² Profiler PCR Arrays were also found in the TCGA database, while only four genes showed similar differences. Additionally, in the total 29 genes, the prognostic association analysis presented that TFF3, CRABP1, and TPO showed a strong correlation with DFS, while METTL7B, RXRG, PRR15, ETS2, and CCND2 showed a strong correlation with OS.

of these genes were identified using the STRING database. A PPI network with 13 nodes of these genes was constructed based on these pairs (Figure 4E).

Identified Genes Expression Characteristics and Prognostic Association Analysis

To analyze the association of these identified genes with PTC prognostic, we choose the TCGA-GEIPA database. The THCA dataset was selected, and these identified genes were assigned to two groups according to the median expression amount, high and low expression, respectively. DFS (Disease-free survival) and OS (Overall Survival) analysis was conducted, and the genes with P-value<0.05 were viewed to be the key genes for prognosis. The results presented that TFF3, CRABP1, and TPO showed a strong correlation with DFS, while METTL7B, RXRG, PRR15, ETS2, and CCND2 showed a strong correlation with OS. The prognostic association analysis and the expression status of these genes are summarized in Table 3.

Discussion

In recent years, great progress has been made in genomics research on PTC, but the specific mechanism was still not clear. Actually, future molecular tests are expected to be able to accurately predict the cancer risk of thyroid nodules and inform specific treatment decisions. Bioinformatics is a new discipline emerging with the launch of the human genome project. In this process, a
large number of database resources of genome and protein structure and sequence have been generated, which has promoted the development of bioinformatics. Effective use of various bioinformatics tools, such as databases, software, and other information resources, will have a huge impact on the development of disease prevention, diagnosis, treatment, and new drug development from the perspective of molecular biology, which benefited exploring PTC’s molecule targets. Besides, RT Profiler PCR array has also been used by many scholars to explore the diagnostic targets of other tumors and a lot of achievements have been made, which can provide a rich reference for the subsequent in-depth research on the diagnosis and treatment of PTC. Therefore, it is meaningful to screen out more accurate differential genes through combining this two methods. Furthermore, previous studies using expression data have focused on DEGs to identify potential genes involved in PTC, and few people have paid attention to the interaction of related genes. Network analysis groups interactive genes into one module in which genes are enriched for specific biological pathways. Hence to further investigate the functional relevance of the identified genes in disease, functional annotation and enrichment analyses were very important. In this study, in addition to combining the two methods to screen out more accurate differential gene expression analysis, a total of 29 DEGs screened by these two methods were used to establish a co-expression network for PTC samples. Exploring gene–gene interactions among these networks may help to identify pathways of dissonance in the disease process. This approach enhances the ability to detect functional genes, altering their crosstalk with other genes, not just their expression levels.

In the present study, RT-Profiler PCR Array tests were used to analyze whether PTC has some similar targets with other tumors (Figure 1A). Additionally, data mining and bioinformatics analysis were also performed to identify the DEGs from patients with PTC and healthy controls (Figure 2A) and enrichment analysis of GO and KEGG were analyzed to present the possible mechanism of the DEGs (Figure 3A–D). To identify the possible highly correlated target genes involved in the pathogenesis of PTC, we integrated the bioinformatics analysis top 10 DEGs (uDEGs and dDEGs, respectively), and the duplicate nine genes between RT-Profiler PCR Array test and bioinformatics analysis (Figure 4C). These genes are shown in detail in Table 2. Enrichment analysis of GO and KEGG were analyzed to further present the possible mechanism of these genes. A high degree of connectivity in the PPI network of these genes were also shown in Figure 4E.

By the enrichment analysis of GO, we found that these genes were associated with various biological functions. For biological process (BP), genes were enriched in thyroid hormone generation, axis specification, thyroid hormone metabolic process, phenol-containing compound metabolic process, release of sequestered calcium ion into cytosol. For cellular components (CC), genes were enriched in the endoplasmic reticulum-Golgi intermediate, compartment, proteinaceous extracellular matrix, ficolin-1-rich granule, basolateral plasma membrane, platelet alpha granule lumen. Besides, for molecular function (MF), our results presented that genes were enriched in ligand-dependent nuclear receptor transcription, which seemed to be a little different from other researches, this may be because the total number of genes we screen out is relatively small. However, ligand-dependent nuclear receptor transcription was once proved to mediate the transcription to enhance transcription of target genes in cancer, which provides evidence that this process may play an important role in PTC.

KEGG pathway analysis indicated that these genes were enriched in pathways in cancer, which is similar to other researches. In our results, the transcriptional misregulation pathway seemed to be especially different, which corresponds to our GO analysis results which presented that it may exert important functions in PTC. And a PPI network with 13 nodes of these genes was further constructed. Additionally, prognostic association analysis presented that TFF3, CRABP1, and TPO showed a strong correlation with DFS, while METTL7B, RXRG, PRR15, ETS2, and CCND2 showed a strong correlation with OS. Theses genes are worth investigating further.

Our study has several limitations. Firstly, not all genes related to PTC reported in previous genetic studies have been identified. This study aimed to screen out new and more reliable PTC targets by combining this two tools, and emphasized the impact of gene–gene interaction on tumor pathological processes, providing a new perspective for the detection of potential functional genes. However, due to the relatively limited data obtained from the RT-Profiler PCR Arrays and TCGA database, further studies are needed under a larger sample size. Secondly, our conclusions are based solely on analysis of gene expression profiles, the exact effects of identified genes need to be confirmed by biological experiments. Therefore, future
validation experiments are warranted to examine the results. However, validation and enrichment analysis were used in this study to identify the identified genes. Certain genes, such as PINX1, CCND2, and FGF2, have been demonstrated to be involved in PTC pathology by prior functional and experimental studies.39–41

In summary, the potential molecular targets of PTC were preliminarily studied in this study. Firstly, RT² Profiler PCR Arrays were used to screen out targets that were similar to other tumors, and then the DEGs in PTC were selected through the mining and analysis of the TCGA database. By combining the results of these two processes, 29 genes were eventually screened for further analysis. Using prognostic correlation analysis, some of these genes were predicted to be significantly correlated with the final outcome of PTC. In a word, our study found some new novel genes and pathways (especially transcriptional misregulation in cancer) were identified that may exert important functions in PTC. These results contribute to the understanding of the genetic basis of PTC and provide novel insights into the identification of potential functional genes in PTC.
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