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Abstract: Halogen bonds (XBs) between metal anions and halides have seldom been reported because metal anions are reactive for XB donors. The pyramidal-shaped Mn(CO) 5− anion is a candidate metallic XB acceptor with a ligand-protected metal core that maintains the negative charge and an open site to accept XB donors. Herein, Mn(CO) 5− is prepared by electrospray ionization, and its reaction with CH 3I in gas phase is studied using mass spectrometry and density functional theory (DFT) calculation. The product observed experimentally at m/z = 337 is assigned as [IMn(CO) 4(OCCCH 3)] −, which is formed by successive nucleophilic substitution and reductive elimination, instead of the halogen-bonded complex (XC) CH 3−I···Mn(CO) 5−, because the I···Mn interaction is weak within XC and it could be a transient species. Inspiringly, DFT calculations predict that replacing CH 3I with CF 3I can strengthen the halogen bonding within the XC due to the electro-withdrawing ability of F. More importantly, in so doing, the nucleophilic substitution barrier can be raised significantly, ~30 kcal/mol, thus leaving the system trapping within the XC region. In brief, the combination of a passivating metal core and the introduction of an electro-withdrawing group to the halide can enable strong halogen bonding between metallic anion and iodide.
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1. Introduction

The halogen bond (XB) is a type of non-covalent interaction that has attracted the interests of experimentalists and theoretical chemists in recent years [1–9]. According to the International Union of Pure and Applied Chemistry (IUPAC), “a halogen bond occurs when there is evidence of a net attractive interaction between an electrophilic region associated with a halogen atom in a molecular entity and a nucleophilic region in another, or the same, molecular entity” [10]. This definition states unambiguously that the halogen atom serves as an electrophile and interacts with a nucleophilic moiety. Typically, an XB is denoted as R···X−Y with three dots representing the bond, and X is a halogen atom (i.e., XB donor) that has an electrophilic region on its electrostatic potential surface, and Y is an XB acceptor. For the XB donor molecule (i.e., R−X molecule), the electrophilic (or positive) region on X, named as “σ-hole” [11,12], is induced by the R−X bond, which leaves an anisotropic distribution of electrons. The σ-hole magnitude, which represents the XB strength given by the same XB acceptor, scales with the polarizability of the halogen atom, that is, F < Cl < Br < I. Hence, changing the X atom can tune the XB’s strength, and there are other methods as well, including modifying the R-functional group and the electro-withdrawing ability of Y.
The nature and tunability of XB make it useful in different fields spanning from material sciences to biomolecular recognition and drug design [13–18].

The common XB acceptors are nucleophiles, such as N, O, S, P, or halogen atoms/anions; metal anions are rarely seen. This is because atomic metal anions are usually too reactive towards organohalogenes. For example, the reaction between Au\(^{-}/Ag\(^{-}/Cu\(^{-}\) anions and CH\(_3\)I in gas phase give rise to a Grignard reagent-like product [CH\(_3\)-M-I\(^{-}\)\], where a covalent M–I bond is formed [19,20]. This structure is calculated to be ~2.0–3.0 eV more stable than the XB complex [CH\(_3\)-I···M\(^{-}\)] [20,21]. To achieve the goal of forming metallic acceptor-containing halogen bonds, one of our authors proposed two strategies: one is to utilize a metal cluster anion with a high electron detachment energy; the other is to design a ligand-passivated/protected metal core that can maintain the negative charge [22]. The goal of this work is to check the feasibility of the second strategy experimentally. Hence, herein, we prepared a Mn(CO)\(_5\)\(^{-}\) anionic compound by electrospray ionization and investigated its reactivity with CH\(_3\)I.

To test whether Mn(CO)\(_5\)\(^{-}\) anion is a suitable candidate to form a halogen-bonded complex, we first investigated the properties of Mn(CO)\(_5\)\(^{-}\) anion by density functional theory (DFT) calculation using M06-2X method [23] with aug-cc-pVTZ basis set [24–26]. As shown in Figure 1a, the structure of Mn(CO)\(_5\)\(^{-}\) anion has a pyramidal shape, with one CO ligand in the horizontal direction and the other four CO ligands almost in the same plane (see Figure S1 for an illustration), leaving the left an open site to accept a XB donor. A Mulliken charge analysis [27] (Figure 1a) indicated that the Mn-atom core is the most negative, with a charge of \(-0.84\) e, and this is clearly displayed in the electrostatic potential map (Figure 1b). In addition, the HOMO of Mn(CO)\(_5\)\(^{-}\) anion (Figure 1c) comprising C p orbital and Mn d\(_{z2}\) orbital has electrons evenly delocalized on four C atoms, thus stabilizing the compound. In brief, Mn(CO)\(_5\)\(^{-}\) anion fulfills the two criteria of the second strategy: the metal core is negatively charged and has at least one open site to accept the XB.

![Figure 1](image)

**Figure 1.** Calculated (a) structure and Mulliken charge, (b) electrostatic potential map in e/Bohr\(^3\), and (c) HOMO of Mn(CO)\(_5\)\(^{-}\) anion. The M06-2X/aug-cc-pVTZ level of theory is used [23–26]. Color code: C, grey; O, red; Mn, purple.

In this work, we will first study the reaction between Mn(CO)\(_5\)\(^{-}\) anion and CH\(_3\)I in gas phase using a linear ion trap mass spectrometer. Then the products and mechanism will be analyzed with the help of DFT calculation. The stability of the halogen-bonded complex is evaluated, and a strategy is proposed to further stabilize it.

2. Methods

2.1. Experimental Methods

Mass spectra were acquired using a linear ion trap mass spectrometer (LTQ-XL, Thermo-Fisher, Waltham, MA, USA). The inlet capillary temperature of the mass spectrometer was maintained at 275 °C. The tube lens voltage on the LTQ-XL was set to be 0 V in order to avoid in-source fragmentation of the fragile species. The applied negative voltage was set at \(-4000\) V in this study in order to trigger the electrospray ionization. A methanol solution of Mn\(_2\)(CO)\(_{10}\) was sprayed to generate the Mn(CO)\(_5\)\(^{-}\) anion. The collision-induced dissociation (CID) spectrum of the Mn(CO)\(_5\)\(^{-}\) anion is presented in Figure S2. Gas-phase
reaction between the Mn(CO)$_5^-$ anion and the neutral CH$_3$I molecule at room temperature was conducted in the linear ion trap by using the collision-induced dissociation (CID) mode that is, the MS$^2$ mode of the mass spectrometer in order to isolate the Mn(CO)$_5^-$ anion in the trap. The CH$_3$I molecules were introduced to the trap by putting a drop of CH$_3$I into a small stainless-steel reservoir that was connected to the pipeline of the He collision gas. The collision energy was set to be under 10 V in order to trigger the reactions.

2.2. Computational Methods

Geometry optimizations were performed using M06-2X functional [23], with aug-cc-pVTZ basis set [24–26] used for H, C, O, F, and Mn atoms, and aug-cc-pVTZ-PP basis set [28,29] used for I atoms. Various configurations were optimized for CH$_3$I-Mn(CO)$_5^-$, and the most stable structures were used for discussion (see Figure S3 for details). Harmonic vibrational frequencies were calculated to confirm the nature of the stationary points. Intrinsic reaction coordinate (IRC) calculations were performed on transition states to confirm that they connected the correct intermediates. The ground state of Mn(CO)$_4$I$^-$ is doublet, and the other metal-involved species are all singlet. The zero-point corrected energy is used in the potential energy profile. Gaussian 16 [30] package was used to perform all the calculations.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Mass Spectrometry

A typical mass spectrum showing the reaction products between Mn(CO)$_5^-$ and CH$_3$I is presented in Figure 2a. Three major peaks at m/z 281, 294, and 337 were observed, corresponding to the masses of CH$_3$I-Mn(CO)$_3^-$, Mn(CO)$_4$I$^-$, and CH$_3$I-Mn(CO)$_5^-$, among which the latter is the direct product from the reaction between Mn(CO)$_5^-$ and CH$_3$I, but the former two are the collision fragments of the latter. To obtain structural information for the m/z 337 peak, we further isolated it with the MS$^3$ mode of the mass spectrometer; its CID fragments with a collision energy of 5 V are presented in Figure 2b. If CH$_3$I-Mn(CO)$_5^-$ is a weakly bonded species of Mn(CO)$_5^-$ and CH$_3$I, its fragments should predominantly be Mn(CO)$_5^-$I. However, two distinct fragments, CH$_3$I-Mn(CO)$_4^-$ and MnIO$_2^-$, were observed, suggesting that the m/z 337 peak is not a weakly bound species.

![Figure 2](image-url)  
**Figure 2.** Mass spectrometric results. (a) A typical mass spectrum showing the reaction products between Mn(CO)$_5^-$ and CH$_3$I; (b) CID mass spectrum of [Mn(CO)$_5$(CH$_3$I)]$^-$ at m/z 337 taken with the MS$^3$ mode. The nominal applied CID voltage is 5 V.

3.2. Density Functional Theory Calculation

3.2.1. Mn(CO)$_5^-$ + CH$_3$I Reaction Mechanism

To identify the structure and understand the formation mechanism of the aforementioned observed products, we performed DFT calculations. Scheme 1 depicts the potential
energy surfaces (PESs) for Mn(CO)$_5^-$ + CH$_3$I, and selected structures are displayed in Figure 3. Enthalpy and free energy values at 298.15 K are listed in Table 1.

Scheme 1. Potential energy profile of Mn(CO)$_5^-$ reacting with CR$_3$I. The captions in black are for R = H, and the captions in blue are for R = F. Zero-point corrected energies (in kcal/mol) are given relative to the total energy of isolated R$_3$CI and Mn(CO)$_5^-$. 

Figure 3. Structures of selected stationary points on the PES of Mn(CO)$_5^-$ reacting with CH$_3$I. The bond distances (Å) in black are for CH$_3$I, and those in blue are for CF$_3$I.
Table 1. Energetic values for stationary points on the PES of CR$_3$I reacting with Mn(CO)$_5^-$.

| kcal/mol | $\Delta E_{\text{elec}}$ | $\Delta(E_{\text{elec}} + \text{ZPE})$ | $\Delta H_{298-15K}$ | $\Delta G_{298-15K}$ |
|----------|-------------|---------------------------------|------------------|------------------|
| R        | H | F | H | F | H | F | H | F | H | F | H | F |
| Mn(CO)$_5^-$ + CR$_3$I | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| XC       | −5.4 | −17.5 | −5.3 | −17.5 | −3.9 | −16.5 | 1.4 | −7.0 |
| RC       | −8.6 | −3.6 | −9.1 | −3.2 | −7.3 | −1.8 | −0.2 | 3.8 |
| TS1      | 0.4 | 29.1 | 0.2 | 28.9 | 1.2 | 30.3 | 8.6 | 37.3 |
| TS2      | 35.2 | 27.2 | 34.4 | 26.1 | 35.4 | 27.6 | 43.0 | 35.2 |
| PC1      | −31.7 | −56.6 | −32.0 | −56.9 | −31.1 | −55.1 | −22.4 | −47.6 |
| TS3      | −20.3 | −18.8 | −20.2 | −19.2 | −19.5 | −17.8 | −10.4 | −9.9 |
| PC3      | −44.4 | −56.7 | −43.2 | −55.5 | −42.4 | −54.9 | −33.3 | −43.5 |
| Mn(CO)$_4$I$^-$ + COCR$_3$ | −3.0 | −0.7 | −5.0 | −2.4 | −3.9 | −1.4 | −8.2 | −5.8 |
| Mn(CO)$_4$I$^-$ + CO + CR$_3$ | 13.1 | 33.4 | 5.7 | 28.4 | 8.4 | 30.2 | −4.7 | 16.3 |
| CR$_3$I–Mn(CO)$_5^-$ + 2CO | −1.6 | −28.3 | −6.1 | −32.4 | −4.4 | −29.7 | −15.5 | −43.1 |

Figure 3 depicts that a halogen-bonded complex (XC) CH$_3$I⋯Mn(CO)$_5^-$ is formed by an I atom attacking the open site of Mn, and XC is 5.3 kcal/mol lower in energy than the reactants. The I⋯Mn distance within XC is 3.652 Å, which is 79% of the sum of the van der Waals radii of I (2.36 Å) and Mn (2.24 Å). At the same time, a slightly more stable pre-reaction complex (RC) is formed between a C atom interacting with Mn; it is lower in energy by 2.8 kcal/mol. Of note, additional conformers of RC that are higher in energy are present in Figure S4. After crossing a back-side attack nucleophilic substitution barrier (TS1) of 8.3 kcal/mol, it proceeds to post-reaction complex PC1. We also considered the front-side attack S$_N$2 transition state (TS2); however, it is too high (34.4 kcal/mol) to occur. Within PC1, CH$_3$ fragment and I fragment are located on the opposite side of Mn with a weak I–C interaction. Relative to the reactants, PC1 is −32.0 kcal/mol in energy. Then PC1 can undergo a reductive elimination barrier (TS3) of 11.8 kcal/mol and ends up with the formation of a C–C bond and the migration of I to bond with Mn. This resulted complex (PC2) is very stable, and is −43.2 kcal/mol relative to the reactants. Because TS1 is almost thermally neutral and TS3 is lower in energy than the reactants, the most stable PC2 can be formed under room temperature (the experimental condition). For this reason, in Figure 2, the signal at 337 m/z was assigned to be PC2, and it agrees with experimental results that this species is quite stable.

The calculated energy for Mn(CO)$_5^-$ + CH$_3$I $\rightarrow$ Mn(CO)$_4$I$^-$ + COCH$_3$ reaction is −5.0 kcal/mol, and the calculated energy to form Mn(CO)$_4$I$^-$ + CO + CH$_3$ is 5.7 kcal/mol. Therefore, we believe the experimentally observed Mn(CO)$_4$I$^-$ is more likely to be dissociated from PC2 and to generate COCH$_3$ at the same time, and is less likely to be caused by the collision-induced dissociation that forms CO and CH$_3$.

The calculated energy from generating CH$_3$I–Mn(CO)$_5^-$ + 2CO from reactants is −6.1 kcal/mol downhill. The most stable structure of CH$_3$I–Mn(CO)$_5^-$ has a pseudobipyramidal shape (Figure 3). Analyzing the PES indicates that it may dissociate from PC1 or, provided sufficient energy, dissociate from TS2.

In brief, although there is considerable halogen bonding between CH$_3$I and Mn(CO)$_5^-$, the passivated Mn center within Mn(CO)$_5^-$ is still reactive towards CH$_3$I, thus making the XC a transient species. The observed CH$_3$I-Mn(CO)$_5^-$ signal is PC2, which forms by nucleophilic substitution and the following reductive elimination.

3.2.2. Stabilizing Halogen-Bonded Complex by CF$_3$I

It is known that introducing an electron-withdrawing group, such as F, to the methyl group can increase the σ-hole magnitude, and thus the XB strength. Therefore, we changed CH$_3$I to CF$_3$I, which induces a greater positive region on the I atom, in the hope that
it can stabilize the halogen-bonded complex when interacting with Mn(CO)$_5$\(^-\). On the other hand, changing CH$_3$ to a heavier CF$_3$ group is expected to raise the inversion S$_N$2 barrier [31], thus preventing the S$_N$2 reaction. This may also help trap the system in a halogen-bonded complex well, so we computed the PES of Mn(CO)$_5$\(^-\) + CF$_3$I.

As shown in Scheme 1, the halogen-bonded complex (XC') CF$_3$−I···Mn(CO)$_5$\(^-\) well is 17.5 kcal/mol deep, where the pre-reaction complex RC' is 14.3 kcal/mol higher than it is. Within XC', the I···Mn distance is 3.224 Å, being 0.428 Å shorter than the corresponding value of XC. This is consistent with XC' being more stable than XC. To characterize the interaction between CR$_3$I and Mn(CO)$_5$\(^-\) within the halogen-bonded complex CR$_2$−I···Mn(CO)$_5$\(^-\), natural bond orbital (NBO) [32,33] calculations were performed for R = H and F in order to analyze the donor–acceptor charge transfer properties. As shown in Figure 4, taking CF$_3$−I···Mn(CO)$_5$\(^-\) as an example, the donor orbital is the Mn−C bonding σ orbital and Mn 3p orbital, and the acceptor orbital is the C−I antibonding σ* orbital. The same scenario also applies for CH$_3$−I···Mn(CO)$_5$\(^-\). In comparison, when the halogen-bonded complex is composed of a main group nucleophile, such as F\(^-\) and CH$_3$I (i.e., [CH$_3$−I···F\(^-\)]), the donor NBO is a 2p orbital; when the nucleophile is Cu\(^-\)/Ag\(^-\)/Au\(^-\), the donor NBO is an s orbital [21,34].

![Donor and acceptor natural bond orbitals (NBOs) of halogen-bonded complex CF$_3$--I···Mn(CO)$_5$\(^-\) to illustrate the charge transfer interaction between I and Mn.](image)

Additionally, the back-side attack S$_N$2 barrier (TS1\(^{'}\)) is largely raised to 28.9 kcal/mol relative to the reactants. Although the front-side attack transition state (TS2') is lower than TS2, TS2' is still 26.1 kcal/mol uphill. Of note, different from CH$_3$I, the front-side attack S$_N$2 barrier (TS2\(^{'}\)) is lower than the back-side attack S$_N$2 barrier (TS1\(^{'}\)) by 2.8 kcal/mol. If the reactants are cooled to room temperature or even lower, they are unlikely to cross these barriers or proceed to nucleophilic substitution and the following reductive elimination. Of note, the PC1' and PC2' complexes are even lower than PC1 and PC2, but PC1' needs to cross a barrier (TS3') of 37.7 kcal/mol. In another words, if the system crosses TS1', both PC1' and PC2' can be formed and stable.

To summarize, calculations show that replacing CH$_3$I with CF$_3$I stabilizes the halogen-bonded complex and raises the nucleophilic substitution barrier. This is an effective strategy to obtain strong halogen bonding between iodide and metallic anions.

4. Conclusions

To achieve the goal of constructing a stable halogen-bonded complex between metallic anionic species and halide, we adopted the strategy of passivating the reactive metallic anion by introducing protected ligands. Thus, we designed the Mn(CO)$_5$\(^-\) anionic compound, and DFT calculation confirms that it maintains a negatively charged core and has an open site to accept halogen bond donors. Next, the Mn(CO)$_5$\(^-\) species was prepared by electrospray ionization and then reacted with CH$_3$I in gas phase using a linear ion
trap mass spectrometer. The major products were CH$_3$I-Mn(OC($\text{CH}_3$)$_3$)$_3$, Mn(OC($\text{CH}_3$)$_3$)$_3$I$^-$, and CH$_3$I-Mn(OC($\text{CH}_3$)$_3$)$_5$. DFT calculations suggested that CH$_3$I-Mn(OC($\text{CH}_3$)$_3$)$_5$ is a stable species (i.e., [Mn(OC($\text{CH}_3$)$_3$)$_4$(OC($\text{CH}_3$)$_3$)$_5$]) that forms by nucleophilic substitution and reductive elimination. The halogen-bonded complex CH$_3$I-Mn(OC($\text{CH}_3$)$_3$)$_5$ could be a transient species because the interaction between I and Mn is weak.

By substituting CH$_3$I to CF$_3$I, calculations predicted that the resulted halogen-bonded complex CF$_3$I-Mn(OC($\text{CH}_3$)$_3$)$_5$ is stabilized considerably. In addition, the barrier for nucleophilic substitution was greatly raised, allowing the system to trap in the XB complex well, given that the system is cool enough to avoid crossing the $S_N2$ barrier. This work presents an example of stabilizing the halogen bonding between a ligand-protected metal anion and halide with strong electro-withdrawing group. By adopting a similar strategy, it is anticipated that more metallic acceptor-containing XBs will be discovered.

Supplementary Materials: The following supporting information can be downloaded at: https://www.mdpi.com/article/10.3390/molecules27228069/s1, Figure S1: Optimized structure of Mn(OC($\text{CH}_3$)$_3$)$_5$ anion. Figure S2: CID fragments of the Mn(OC($\text{CH}_3$)$_3$)$_5$ anion. Figure S3: Optimized structures of CH$_3$I-Mn(OC($\text{CH}_3$)$_3$)$_5$. Figure S4: Additional conformers of RC. Coordinates of all computed structures.
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