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Abstract

Background: Dysfunctional regulation at immune checkpoints may lead to escape of the tumor cells and gives a scope to set in the unresolved Breast cancer (BC). The major anti-tumor retort is cell-mediated response which involves T lymphocytes. CTLA-4 (Cytotoxic T lymphocyte associated protein-4) with immune suppressive function and tolerance is associated with various autoimmune diseases and cancers including BC. The present study deals with CTLA-4 gene selected polymorphisms (rs11571317 C/T and rs3087243G/A) to explore their relation with breast cancer susceptibility and progression in BC patients.

Methods: For the present case-control study, we recruited a total of 570 women which include breast cancer patients and healthy control women from south India. Blood samples were collected, genomic DNA was isolated and genotyped by using PCR-RFLP method, and the data were analysed through suitable statistics.

Results: We observed a significant association of rs11571317 with BC in our study group, where CC genotype showed a three-fold increased risk towards BC and CT genotype to be protective. In-silico analysis for rs3087243 revealed a change in threshold values between reference and variant sequences.

Conclusion: The study suggests varied roles of different polymorphisms of CTLA-4 in the aetiopathogenesis of BC. Understanding the mechanism may help in the CTLA-4 based immunotherapy for BC.
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Introduction

Breast cancer (BC) is the most common malignancy threatening the health and life of women where its incidence has increased in recent years in both developed and developing countries [1-3]. According to the data of International Agency for Research on Cancer, there were 1.68 million new breast cases reported in recent years. BC is characterized as non-cutaneous malignancy associated with uncontrolled growth in ducts (ductal carcinoma) or lobules (lobular carcinoma) of the mammary gland [4]. These tumors can invade the surrounding tissues of the breast in later stages. The major influences on BC appear to be environmental and genetic factors [5]. Previous studies indicated that genetic influence accounts for about 27% of the BC risks [6].

BC is an extremely complex disease; its onset and progression is a multi-step process resulting from a series of epigenetic, genetic, endocrine and external environmental factors [7, 8]. The role of genetic factors in epidemiology and pathogenesis of both sporadic (genetic mutations) and familial BCs (inherited defects in DNA repair genes BRCA1 and BRCA2) and failure of immune surveillance (low cell-mediated immunity) is now well established [9-12].

Cytotoxic T-lymphocyte associated protein-4 (CTLA-4), a key immune regulatory molecule, is involved in tolerance and down-regulation of T-cell activation. CTLA-4 protein structure contains an extracellular V domain, a transmembrane domain, and a cytoplasmic tail. Alternate splice variants of different CTLA-4-encoding isoforms have been characterized. The membrane-bound isoform is a homodimer and is interconnected by a disulfide bond, while the soluble isoform functions as a homodimer and is not interconnected by a disulfide bond, which can inhibit the activity of other molecules. The gene for CTLA-4 is located at 2q33 and several single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) in this gene are known to have an effect on its genetic expression and protein activity [13]. Previous studies have extensively examined the association of polymorphisms within CTLA-4 with autoimmune diseases including Graves’ disease, hepatocellular carcinoma, breast carcinoma, renal disease, type I diabetes, systemic lupus erythematosus and vitiligo [14-20]. However, we did not find any studies in the context of CTLA-4 gene...
polymorphism in BC from south Indian population.

The two selected polymorphic sites of the CTLA-4 gene for the present study were -658 T/C (rs11571317) and CT60 G/A (rs3087243). A study on -658 T/C polymorphism had been shown to be associated with BC in Chinese Han population (2007); however, the functional significance of this polymorphism is not clear. On the other hand, SNP CT60 G/A present in the 3′-UTR of CTLA-4 gene was described as a complex region containing multiple binding sites for microRNA, which is suggested to influence the signaling pathway and protein expression that may result in diseases [14, 21].

Materials and Methods

Subjects

Blood samples were collected from a total of 570 individuals comprising 285 BC patients and 285 healthy controls. The patients were recruited from the Osmania General Hospital and Railway Central Hospital, Soumya Multi-speciality Hospital and ESI Hospital, Hyderabad, India. All patients were either histopathologically or cytologically confirmed as having BC. Clinical and demographic information was obtained from medical reports and through personal interaction. The controls were healthy volunteers who are age-matched women visiting general health checkup division. All the subjects enrolled in the study were unrelated residents of south India. The study has been approved by institutional ethical committee for Biomedical Research, Osmania University, Hyderabad, India, in accordance with the 1964 Helsinki Declaration and its later amendments or comparable ethical standards. Written informed consent was obtained from all study participants at the time of sample collection.

Genotyping for CTLA-4 gene-selected polymorphisms: rs11571317 and rs3087243

Genomic DNA was isolated by salting out method using standard protocol in our lab [22]. Genotyping was done by polymerase chain reaction and restriction fragment length polymorphism (PCR-RFLP) method. The primers for PCR amplification of rs11571317 and rs3087243 were used as described [16]. PCR was performed in a final volume of 10-µL reaction mixture containing 2 µL of genomic DNA, 0.2 µmol/L of each primer, 0.2 µmol/L of each dNTP, 0.2 µL of Taq DNA polymerase (5 U Labpro India), and 10 × PCR buffer supplied by Labpro India. The PCR profile consisted of an initial melting step of 5 min at 94 °C, followed by 27 cycles of 30 s, secondary melting temperature at 94 °C, 45 s and annealing temperature at 52 °C, 55 s for rs11571317 and for rs3087243 annealing temperature at 51.6 °C, 50 s and a final elongation at 72 °C for 5 min. The restriction endonucleases Aci I for rs11571317 and HpyCH4 IV for rs3087243 SNP (New England Biolabs, UK) were used to distinguish the CTLA-4 genotypes. The amplified products were run on 2% agarose gel, containing ethidium bromide at 100 V for 20 min. Post-PCR amplification product of rs11571317 was 366 bp which was digested with Aci I restriction endonuclease that resulted in fragment sizes of 254 and 112 bp for CC, 366, 254, and 112 bp for CT and undigested product of 366 bp for TT genotype. Similarly when 178 bp product of rs3087243 was digested with HpyCH4 IV, the resultant fragment sizes of 107 and 72 bp (not seen on gel) for GG, 178 and 107 bp for GA and 178 bp for AA genotype were visualized under gel documentation system (UV Tech, UK), as shown in Figure 1.

Statistical analysis

Descriptive statistics were used to calculate percentages, mean, and standard deviation. Z-test was carried out to calculate the difference in the proportions of epidemiological variables. Mean differences between patients and controls with respect to age and body mass index (BMI) were done by t-test. Chi-square test was used to compare the allele and genotype frequencies between patients and controls as well as within the subgroups. Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium (HWE) was tested using a calculator by Michael H Court for comparing the observed and expected genotype frequencies in cases
and controls. The risk-associated genotypes were calculated using odds ratio (OR) at 95% confidence interval (CI) with two-tailed level of significance (< 0.05). Multiple logistic regression analysis was carried out for selected CTLA-4 SNPs with clinical parameters (http://statpages.info/logistic.html). Pairwise linkage disequilibrium (LD) analysis was done using Haploview software (version 4.2). Data analysis was performed by SPSS version 21. In-silico analysis was carried out using transcription factors prediction and splicing finder analysis tools.

In the present study, demographic and clinical aspects considered for analysis were age at onset of the disease (≤ 48 years vs. > 48 years), tumor status (T1-2 vs. T3-4), lymph node involvement (LN-ve vs. LN+ve), metastasis (M0 vs. M1) and duration of disease (DOD, < 6 months vs. > 6 months), as shown in Table 1.

### Results

#### Demographic and clinical data

The demographic and clinical information about the cases and the controls enrolled in the present study is summarized in Tables 1 and 2. Significant variation was noted between patients and controls with respect to parity, consanguinity, BMI, breast feeding, area of living and occupation.

#### Analysis of CTLA-4 rs11571317 polymorphism

The distributions of CC, CT and TT genotypes of CTLA-4 rs11571317 were 13.5%, 86.5% and 0% in controls and 31%, 69% and 0% in patients. A statistically significant association between genotypes of CTLA-4 rs11571317 and BC risk was observed ($\chi^2 = 24.19, P = 0.01$). OR revealed an increased frequency of homozygote CC genotype in patients compared to controls with an OR of 2.86 (CI = 1.80 - 4.36, $P < 0.01$); the heterozygous genotype CT figured prominently among the controls and revealed an OR of 0.34 (CI = 0.22 - 0.53; $P < 0.01$). No association was found for alleles C and T with BC risk, and genotypes were not consistent with HWE (controls $\chi^2 = 164.2$, patients $\chi^2 = 78.2, P < 0.05$).

MLR analysis of patients showed lack of association of rs11571317 genotypes with any of the parameters such as age at onset of the disease, tumor size, lymph node status, metastasis and DOD ($P > 0.05$), as shown in Table 3.

#### In-silico analysis

The in-silico analysis of CTLA-4 gene SNP rs11571317 by TF search tool alibaba 2.1 predicted the possible transcription factor association with the CTLA-4 gene polymorphisms in normal and polymorphic variant sequences (Fig. 2).

#### Analysis of CTLA-4 rs3087243 polymorphism

The distributions of GG, GA and AA genotypes of CTLA-4 rs3087243 were 26%, 71% and 3% in controls and 25%, 70% and 5% in patients and there was no association between genotypes and BC risk ($\chi^2 = 1.95, P > 0.05$). Similarly, no significant relation was found between alleles G and A with BC risk. Genotypes were not in consistent with HWE (controls $\chi^2 = 69.9$, patients $\chi^2 = 53.6, P < 0.05$).

MLR analysis showed that clinical parameter such as tumor status was significantly associated with rs3087243 polymorphism. The homozygote wild-type GG genotype was predominant in early tumor status, i.e. T1-2 (OR = 0.01, CI = 0.0043 - 0.09, $P < 0.01$), heterozygote GA in advanced tumor, i.e. T3-4 (OR = 6.28, CI = 3.01 - 13.07, $P < 0.01$), and AA genotype frequency in T3-4 (OR = 3.46, CI = 1.02 - 11.67, $P < 0.01$). However, there was no significant association with age at onset of disease, lymph node involvement, metastasis and DOD ($P > 0.05$), as shown in Table 4.

#### In-silico analysis

We performed splicing finder tool to CTLA-4 SNP rs3087243 which was located in 3’UTR region of CTLA-4 gene. The results are mentioned in Table 5.

### Table 1. Clinical Characteristics of BC Patients

| Clinical parameters | Values |
|---------------------|--------|
| Tumor stage         |        |
| T1-2                | 160 (56) |
| T3-4                | 125 (44) |
| Lymph node status   |        |
| LN-ve               | 48 (17) |
| LN+ve               | 237 (83) |
| Metastatic          |        |
| M0                  | 237 (82) |
| M1                  | 48 (18) |
| Duration of disease |        |
| < 6 months          | 150 (52) |
| 7 - 12 months       | 83 (29) |
| > 12 months         | 52 (19) |
| DOD                 |        |
| < 6 months          | 100 (66) |
| T1-2                | 50 (44) |
| T3-4                | 34 (22) |
| LN-ve               | 116 (78) |
| LN+ve               | 130 (87) |
| M0                  | 20 (13) |
Table 2. Demographical and Clinical Information of Breast Cancer Patients and Healthy Controls

| Category                                      | Controls (N, %) | Breast cancer patients (N, %) | \( t \), z-test (P value) |
|-----------------------------------------------|-----------------|------------------------------|--------------------------|
| Mean age*                                     | 285             | 285                          | 0.005                    |
| Mean age * 48.45 ± 13.49                      | 51.29 ± 10.75   |                              |                          |
| Range (years)                                 | 18 - 90         | 25 - 86                      |                          |
| Age at onset of breast cancer (years)*        | 48.5 ± 11       |                              |                          |
| \( \leq 48 \)                                 | -               | 142 (50)                     |                          |
|                                               |                 | 40.50 ± 5.68                 |                          |
| \( > 48 \)                                    | -               | 143 (50)                     |                          |
|                                               |                 | 57.64 ± 7.09                 |                          |
| Age at marriage (years)                       |                 |                              |                          |
| \( \leq 18 \)                                 | 179 (62)        | 185 (64)                     | 0.69                     |
| 19 - 30                                       | 105 (37)        | 93 (33)                      | 0.55                     |
| \( > 30 \)                                    | 1 (0.3)         | 7 (3)                        |                          |
| Unmarried                                     | 0 (0)           | 0 (0)                        |                          |
| Age at first pregnancy                        |                 |                              |                          |
| \( \leq 18 \)                                 | 138 (48)        | 107 (37)                     | 0.08                     |
| 19 - 30                                       | 144 (50)        | 157 (54)                     | 0.48                     |
| \( > 30 \)                                    | 3 (2)           | 9 (3)                        | 0.92                     |
| 0                                             | 0 (0)           | 12 (4)                       |                          |
| Parity                                        |                 |                              |                          |
| Parous                                        | 285 (100)       | 273 (95)                     | < 0.01                   |
| Nulliparous                                   | 0 (0)           | 12 (5)                       |                          |
| Consanguinity                                 |                 |                              |                          |
| Yes                                           | 0 (0)           | 29 (10)                      |                          |
| No                                            | 285 (100)       | 256 (98)                     | < 0.01                   |
| Familial incidence                            |                 |                              |                          |
| No                                            | 206 (72)        |                              |                          |
| BC                                            | 15 (5)          |                              |                          |
| Other cancer                                  | 29 (10)         |                              |                          |
| Life style-related diseases                   | 35 (12)         |                              |                          |
| Variables                                     |                 |                              |                          |
| Diet                                          |                 |                              |                          |
| Vegetarians                                   | 35 (12)         | 40 (14)                      | 0.79                     |
| Non-vegetarians                               | 250 (88)        | 245 (86)                     | 0.50                     |
| Body mass index (kg/m²)*                      | 24.5 ± 3.8      | 24.9 ± 5                     | 0.23                     |
| \( < 25 \)                                    | 176 (61)        | 140 (49)                     | < 0.01                   |
|                                               | 22.2 ± 1.9      | 21.3 ± 2                     |                          |
| \( \geq 25 \)                                 | 108 (39)        | 145 (51)                     | 0.19                     |
|                                               | 28.27 ± 3.1     | 27.8 ± 3.2                   |                          |
| Breast feeding                                |                 |                              |                          |
| Yes                                           | 200 (100)       | 279 (98)                     | < 0.01                   |
| No                                            | 0 (0)           | 6 (2)                        |                          |
| Area of living                                |                 |                              |                          |
| Rural                                         | 95 (33)         | 66 (23)                      | 0.16                     |
| Urban                                         | 190 (66)        | 219 (77)                     | < 0.01                   |
Haplotype analysis

Further, the four possible haplotypes C-G, C-A, T-G and T-A were demonstrated in our population (Table 6). The most frequent haplotype was observed to be T-G haplotype in both patients and controls with significant difference (harboring one mutant allele and one ancestral allele) (OR = 0.40, CI = 0.25 - 0.62, P = 0.01). In addition, tumor size, metastasis and DOD showed a significant association (P < 0.01) with C-A and T-A haplotypes. The C-A and T-A haplotypes were more in patients with T3-4 tumor size compared to T1-2 with respective value of OR = 3.61, CI = 1.10 - 11.8, P ≤ 0.01 and OR = 15.81, CI = 4.96 - 50.40, P < 0.01. With respect to metastasis, T-A haplotype was over-represented in M1 cases (OR: 3.98, CI = 1.25 - 12.6, P < 0.05). Further, C-A haplotype was elevated in < 6 months of DOD group with an OR of 0.24 (CI = 0.07 - 0.77, P < 0.01).

Hap map and LD analysis

The diversity of the allelic frequencies of CTLA4 rs11571317 and rs3087243 polymorphism in other populations was compared by Hap map data. The SNP rs11571317 wild-type “C” allele was observed to be predominant in all studied populations. Increased frequency of variant allele “T” was seen in the present study compared to Chinese, Asian (North India) and European populations as shown in Figure 3.

The SNP rs3087243 with wild-type “G” allele was observed to be predominant in all the populations investigated. The frequency of the variant allele observed in the present study was similar to that of Asian population, whereas decreased frequency in African Americans, Sub Sahara populations and increased frequency in European population were noted, as shown in Figure 4.

Linkage disequilibrium plot of CTLA-4 gene for SNPs rs11571317 and rs3087243 in controls and patients exhibited LD value of 0.36, LOD of 0.48, and r-squared of 0.061, which suggested that these two SNPs act independently. Our LD results are in line with Maneesh et al (2014) in North Indian population.

Discussion

CTLA-4 is a key immune regulatory molecule that is involved in the immune homeostasis, associated with negative regulation of T-cell activation and tolerance. The genetic polymorphisms of this molecule may have their impact on transcriptional and translational aspects that might affect its function. Existence of correlation between CTLA-4 gene variants and alternative splicing has been demonstrated by Wang et al (2007). It was observed that the promoter and 3’UTR gene variants were known to influence the levels of expression of alternatively spliced (full-length isoform) fCTLA-4 and (soluble) sCTLA-4 isoform’s RNA [13, 23, 24]. The current study aimed to investigate the influence of a promoter polymorphism (rs11571317) and a 3’UTR SNP (rs3087243) in BC susceptibility and progression. We found CC genotype of rs11571317 to impart a three-fold increased risk towards BC, whereas the heterozygote CT was shown to be shield-

Table 2. Demographical and Clinical Information of Breast Cancer Patients and Healthy Controls - (continued)

| Category                        | Controls (N, %) | Breast cancer patients (N, %) | t-, z-test (P value) |
|---------------------------------|-----------------|-------------------------------|---------------------|
| Occupation                      |                 |                               |                     |
| Housewives                      | 107 (37)        | 165 (58)                      | < 0.01              |
| Agriculture workers              | 177 (62)        | 120 (42)                      | < 0.01              |

| rs11571317                      | CC, N (%)       | CT, N (%)                     | TT, N (%)           | χ² value (P-value) | Minor allele frequency T | Group comparison | OR (95% CI) | P-value |
|---------------------------------|-----------------|-------------------------------|---------------------|-------------------|-------------------------|------------------|------------|---------|
| Controls (285)                  | 39 (13.5)       | 246 (86.5)                    | 0 (0)               | 24.19 (< 0.01)    | 0.43                    | CC vs. others   | 2.86 (1.80 - 4.36) | < 0.01  |
| Patients (285)                  | 89 (31.1)       | 196 (68.9)                    | 0 (0)               |                   | 0.34                    | CT vs. others   | 0.34 (0.22 - 0.53) | < 0.01  |

HWE: controls: χ² = 164.2 (P < 0.05); patients: χ² = 78.2 (P < 0.05)

| Variables                        | CC vs. CT: OR (CI) | P-value | CT vs. CC: OR (CI) | P-value |
|----------------------------------|--------------------|---------|--------------------|---------|
| AAO                              | 1.05 (0.58 - 1.91) | 0.85    | 1.06 (0.58 - 1.92) | 0.83    |
| Tumor status                     | 0.98 (0.50 - 1.91) | 0.96    | 0.98 (0.50 - 1.92) | 0.97    |
| LN status                        | 1.86 (0.59 - 5.80) | 0.28    | 1.26 (0.57 - 2.79) | 0.55    |
| Metastasis                       | 2.64 (0.92 - 7.60) | 0.07    | 2.64 (0.92 - 7.58) | 0.07    |
| DOD < 6 months vs. > 6 months    | 1.12 (0.61 - 2.07) | 0.69    | 1.15 (0.62 - 2.11) | 0.64    |
These observations of ours are supported by another study which also reported that CC genotype of this promoter polymorphism may increase BC risk and “T” allele to be protective in Han Chinese population. Further, our in-silico analysis revealed abolition of SP1 binding site in the CTLA-4 promoter by the mutant allele “T” suggesting low expression levels of CTLA-4. However, functional analysis should confirm these observations.

We noted neither risk nor protective genotypes for BC with respect to CTLA-4 SNP rs3087243. Similar results were reported by Wang et al (2007) in a case-control study where they found no variation in the frequency of the genotypes between patients and controls. When the analysis was carried out within the patients with respect to tumor growth, the wild-type GG genotype was significantly allied with restricted tumor growth, while GA and AA genotypes with increased tumor growth. This result of ours can be explained based on the report that the ratio of sCTLA-4 to flCTLA4 isoform mRNA splice forms in un-stimulated CD4 T cells is 50% lower in GG individuals compared with AA individuals postulating functional differences of CTLA-4 protein expression [13]. Thus, CT60 GG may confer a lesser CTLA4 function, resulting in greater T-cell activity, stronger immune response, and a higher probability of reduced tumor growth. On contrary to this, others showed lack of correlation between CT60 genotypes and serum sCTLA4 levels, even with higher serum sCTLA4 levels in CT60G alleles carriers of south-eastern United States [25] and in Graves’ disease patients of Poland [26].

In further analysis, T-G haplotype emerged as a protective

Table 4. Genotype and Allele Frequencies of CTLA-4 rs3087243 Gene Polymorphism in South Indian Breast Cancer Patients, Healthy Controls and Multiple Logistic Regression Analysis for BC Clinical Pathological Features

| rs3087243 | GG, N (%) | GA, N (%) | AA, N (%) | χ² value (P-value) | Minor allele frequency A | Group comparison | OR (95% CI) | P-value |
|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-------------------|--------------------------|------------------|-------------|---------|
| Controls  | 74 (26)   | 202 (71)  | 9 (3)     | 1.95 (= 0.376)    | 0.51                     | GG vs. others    | 0.94 (0.64 - 1.37) | 0.84    |
| Patients  | 71 (25)   | 197 (70)  | 17 (5)    |                   |                          | GA vs. others    | 0.91 (0.64 - 1.31) | 0.71    |
|           |           |           |           |                   |                          | AA vs. others    | 1.94 (0.85 - 4.4)  | 0.15    |
| HWE: controls: χ² = 69.9 (P < 0.05); patients: χ² = 53.6 (P < 0.05) |
| Variables | GG vs. GA + AA: OR (CI) | P-value | GA vs. GG + AA: OR (CI) | P-value | AA vs. GG + GA: OR (CI) | P-value |
| AAO       | 1.44 (0.70 - 2.94) | 0.31 | 0.57 (0.30 - 1.08) | 0.08 | 1.86 (0.67 - 5.16) | 0.23 |
| Tumor status | 0.01 (0.0043 - 0.09) | < 0.01* | 6.28 (3.01 - 13.07) | < 0.01* | 3.46 (1.02 - 11.67) | 0.04* |
| LN status | 1.38 (0.61 - 3.11) | 0.43 | 0.68 (0.31 - 1.52) | 0.35 | 0.91 (0.17 - 4.90) | 0.91 |
| Metastasis | 0.97 (0.17 - 5.53) | 0.97 | 3.60 (0.94 - 13.78) | 0.06 | - | 0.99 |
| DOD < 6 months vs. > 6 months | (0.91 - 4.02) | 0.08 | 0.62 (0.32 - 1.19) | 0.15 | 0.84 (0.29 - 2.46) | 0.76 |

Table 5. The Reference Motif cgtggtt (78.23) Threshold Value Is Changed to Mutant catggtt (51.7)

| Splice site type | Motif | New splice site | Wild type | Mutant | If cryptic site uses exon length variation | Variation (%) |
|------------------|-------|-----------------|-----------|--------|------------------------------------------|---------------|
| DONOR            | Aacgtggtt | AACatggtt       | 78.53     | 51.7   | NA                                       | SITE BROKEN -34.17 |

Figure 2. The in-silico analysis showed CTLA-4 gene rs11571317 SNP and possible associated transcription factors.
Table 6. Haplotype Analysis of CTLA-4 (rs11571317 and rs3087243) Gene Polymorphisms in Breast Cancer Patients and Controls and Haplotypes Association With Epidemiologic, Clinical Parameters in South Indian Breast Cancer Patients

| Haplotype | Frequency | Odds ratio (95% CI) | P-value |
|-----------|-----------|---------------------|---------|
| C-A       | 0.3956    | 1.00                | -       |
| T-G       | 0.3877    | 0.40 (0.25 - 0.62)  | < 0.01  |
| C-G       | 0.2167    | 1.31 (0.91 - 1.89)  | 0.15    |

Global haplotype association P-value < 0.0001

| S. no. | Haplotype | AAO < 48 years vs. > 49 years patients | Tumor size | LN status | Metastasis | DOD < 6 months vs. > 6 months |
|--------|-----------|----------------------------------------|------------|-----------|------------|-----------------------------|
| C1     | C-G       | 1.00                                   | 1.00       | 1.00      | 1.00       | 1.00                        |
| C2     | C-A       | 2.00 (0.75 - 5.28)                     | P > 0.05   | 2.61 (0.84 - 8.15) | P > 0.05 | 0.35 (0.10 - 1.22) | 0.24 (0.07 - 0.77) |
| C3     | T-G       | 1.68 (0.68 - 4.14)                     | P > 0.05   | 0.24 (0.03 - 1.67) | P > 0.05 | 1.95 (0.60 - 6.34) | 0.60 (0.13 - 2.87) | 0.59 (0.19 - 1.79) |
| C4     | T-A       | 0.65 (0.17 - 2.50)                     | P > 0.05   | 15.81 (4.96 - 50.40) | P < 0.05 | 2.13 (0.74 - 6.09) | 3.98 (1.25 - 12.63) | 0.67 (0.18 - 2.53) |

Figure 3. Comparative allele frequency of CTLA-4 SNP rs11571317 in various study populations. Source: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/projects/SNP/snp_ref.cgi?rs=11571317

Figure 4. Comparative allele frequency of CTLA-4 SNP rs3087243 in various study populations. Source: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/projects/SNP/snp_ref.cgi?rs=3087243
haplotype in our population and C-A and T-A haplotypes were associated with increased tumor size. In relation to DOD, the C-A haplotype was more prevalent in patients with < 6 months of DOD compared to the group with > 6 months of DOD. Though both C-A and T-A haplotypes are involved in increased tumor growth, the effect of T-A haplotype was more profound (16-fold) than C-A (four-fold), indicating that the CT60 polymorphism may have a critical role compared to -658 C/T SNP in BC growth. Several investigators demonstrated that individuals with CT60 polymorphism had increased T regulatory cells and increased CTLA-4 levels; this information can serve as the basis for the augmented tumor growth as seen in our study [13, 27-29]. In addition, the over-representation of C-A haplotype in < 6 months DOD group is associated with restricted disease progression. Taken as a whole, alliance with increased tumor growth with C-A haplotype implies that sequential accelerated action of CTLA-4 SNPs is linked with initiation of disease to fully fledged tumor growth. In immune-related diseases, sCTLA-4 might compete with membrane bound CTLA-4 for CD80/CD86, and prevent down-regulation of T-cell response in the earlier stage of the disease, but it might inhibit T-cell activation by blocking the CD80/CD86-CD28 interaction in the advanced stage of the disease [30].

Identification of pivotal molecules in the stimulatory and inhibitory mechanisms of the immune system shows a potential role in the treatment of cancers. Our results suggest that the selected SNPs of CTLA-4 can serve as good bio and prognostic markers in our population. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first report on rs11571317 polymorphism with BC in Indian context. Large studies considering these SNPs and clinical and context. Large studies considering these SNPs and clinical and molecular responses in relation to soluble and membrane bound CTLA-4 will lead to better appliance of this molecule. The limitation of the study is lack of information on isoforms of CTLA-4 in serum and the tumor microenvironment.
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