GB virus C Viremia and Anti-E2 Antibody Response Among Hemodialysis Patients in Gorgan, Iran
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Background: GB virus C is a blood-borne virus and a member of Flaviviridae, like hepatitis C that is distributed globally and puts hemodialysis patients at high risk of developing liver disease. The clinical significance of GBV-C in this population remains unclear.

Objectives: The current study aimed to evaluate GBV-C infection among hemodialysis patients.

Patients and Methods: Totally, 149 patients receiving hemodialysis were included in the study. The detection of GBV-C sequences in plasma was done by the nested Reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) using specific primers selected from highly conserved regions of 5' UTR of GBV-C and antibodies to the envelope protein of GBV-C (anti-E2 GBV-C antibody) were analyzed by also serological methods. In addition, Hepatitis B surface antigen (HBsAg), Hepatitis B core antibody (HBcAb) IgM, anti-Hepatitis C Virus (HCV) and anti-hepatitis E virus (HEV) Ab was determined in patients who were GBV-C RNA and anti-E2 GBV-C antibody positive.

Results: The total prevalence of GBV-C infection was 14.7% (95% CI: 0.09-0.21) among patients receiving hemodialysis. The rate of GBV-C viremia and anti-E2 antibody positivity were 6.04% and 10.73%, respectively. Among the subjects who were positive for GBV-C, 27.27% (95% CI: 0.02-0.09), 45.45% (95% CI: 0.03-0.11), 59.9% (95% CI: 0.06-0.16) and 0% (95% CI: 0.01-0.07) were positive for anti-HCV, anti-HBsAg, anti-HBc IgM and anti-(HEV) Ab, respectively. In addition, the rate of both anti-HBc IgM /anti-HCV/ HBsAg and anti-HBc IgM /anti-HCV positivity in GBV-C infected cases was 9.0%. The liver enzymes were normal in all of them. There was significant difference between GBV-C exposures with viral hepatitis co-infection, but there was no correlation between GBV-C exposure with gender, age, ethnicity, time on dialysis and history of blood transfusions. A relatively high frequency of positivity GBV-C-exposure among hemodialysis patients suggested that the transmission route for GBV-C may be nosocomial transmission, and via transfusions.

Conclusions: The current study found a relatively high frequency of positivity GBV-C-exposure among the patients receiving hemodialysis in the area understudy. Nosocomial transmission seems to be the main route of GBV-C infection in the area.
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1. Background

The GB virus C is a newly identified human RNA virus which belongs to the Flaviviridae family (1). The GBV-C genome encodes a polyprotein of approximately 3,000 amino acids that contains at least two structural proteins as Envelope protein 1 (E1) and Envelope protein 2 (E2) and also non-structural proteins NS2 to NS5 (2). It is closely related to the Hepatitis C Virus (HCV) but is more common than HCV among healthy blood donors (3). Transmission via the blood-borne route is the commonest mode, although vertical and sexual transmission is well documented (4, 5). GBV-C is distributed globally; its prevalence in the general population is tenfold higher in African countries than in non-African countries (4). Patients on maintenance hemodialysis are at increased risk of the novel GBV-C infection (6). In these patients, GBV-C viremia is persistent for many years with uncommon recovery (7). Hepatocarcinogenicity of GBV-C is an important key question that remains controversial (1, 4, 8). The reports seem conflicting. A few investigations demonstrated their association with hepatitis and cirrhosis of the liver and possible presence in hepatocellular carcinoma. It was also observed in hematological disorders and malignancies (9). Several reports have noted an association between GBV-C and hepatitis-associated aplastic anemia besides other hepatitis causing viruses (10). Nevertheless, Most of the results showed that GBV-C infections are asymptomatic, transient, and self-limiting, with slight or no elevation of alanine aminotransferase (ALT). These findings suggested that GBV-C itself is not an important cause of hepatitis, and co-infection with GBV-C does not alter the clinical course of community acquired hepatitis A, B or C (4). Meanwhile, interestingly, GBV-C co-infection has a beneficial effect on survival and progression to Human
2. Objectives

The current study aimed to assess the relationship between the prevalence of GBV-C RNA and that of antibody to the putative E2 protein (anti-E2) among the patients receiving hemodialysis referred to the Fifth Azar Medical Centre in Gorgan, Iran, and also to decide reappraisal to screen blood supplies for transfusion-transmitted infection agents such as GBV-C.

3. Patients and Methods

3.1. Patient Samples

This cross sectional study was carried out in a hemodialysis unit in Gorgan, Iran, from June 2012 to July 2012. The study and sampling was approved by the Ethics Approval Committee of Faculty of Medical Sciences, Golestan University of Medical Sciences under No. 1005-900428-03- on July 2012 and the informed consent was obtained from the patients. Plasma from all 149 (census method) patients receiving hemodialysis were aliquoted and stored at -20°C and -70°C until use. Demographic and other medical information were obtained from their medical records.

3.2. RNA Extraction

Briefly, RNA was extracted from 200 µL of EDTA (Merck, Germany) anticoagulated plasma by a commercially available kit (High Pure Extraction Kit; Roche Diagnostics GmbH, Mannheim, Germany). Negative (GBV-C RNA negative plasma) and positive (GBV-C RNA positive plasma) controls were included in each run.

3.3. GBV-C Reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR)

The nucleic acid was used directly for reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) for cDNA synthesis by a commercial Transcriptase First Strand cDNA Synthesis Kit (Roche Diagnostics GmbH, Mannheim, Germany). The cDNA was synthesized from 1.75 µL of extracted RNA at 25°C for 10 minutes, at 50°C for one hour, and at 85°C for five minutes. Each 10 µL RT master mixture contained 1 mM dNTP, 60 µM random hexamer primer, 10 U transcriptase reverse transcriptase, 20U RNase inhibitor, 2.5 µM anchored oligo (dT) primer and 2 µL 5X RT buffer.

3.4. Nested-PCR Reaction

polymerase chain reaction (PCR) amplification was performed for the presence of GB virus C RNA with primers HG1, HG1R for the outer primer pairs (262 bases) and HG2, HG2R for the inner primer pairs (188 bases) from highly conserved regions of 5 untranslated region (5' UTR) of GBV-C PNF2161 isolate based on the reference sequences from GeneBank, as described elsewhere (13, 14). The sequences and positions of the primers used are shown in Table 1. All PCR contamination precautions were observed; and negative controls using sera from subjects with no GBV-C markers were obtained from Digestive Disease Research Institute of Shariati Hospital, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Iran. Polymerase chain reaction procedure was carefully optimized.

The first-round PCR amplification was performed in a 25 µL reaction volume, containing 1 µL cDNA, 10 pmol of each of the universal outer forward and reverse primers (Table 1), 0.2 mM of each deoxynucleotide (dNTP) (Genet Bio (A type), Korea), 1.25 U of Taq DNA polymerase (Genet Bio (A type), Korea), 1.5 mM MgCl2 (Genet Bio (A type), Korea) and 2.5 µL 10X PCR buffer (Genet Bio (A type), Korea). The reactions were performed in a thermal cycler (PeQlab, Erlangen, Germany). The reagents for the second PCR round were the same as the ones used in the first round but the used template amount was 0.5 µL. For the second PCR round, the PCR master mixture contained: Reactions were performed in a Peq Lab thermal cycler (Primus Advanced 96 thermalcycler, USA) programmed as follows: 95°C for five minutes; 30 cycles of 94°C for 50 seconds, 55°C for 40 seconds, and 72°C for 50 seconds; and 72°C for five minutes. Amplification was also done for the second round; 95°C for five minutes; 25 cycles of 94°C for 50 seconds, 70°C for 40 seconds, and 72°C for 50 seconds with a final extension at 72°C for five minutes.

3.5. Detection

The PCR products were electrophoresed in a 2% agarose gel, stained with ethidium bromide, and visualized by UV illuminator (Figure 1).

3.6. Serological Markers

Anti-E2 antibody of sera was measured by an enzyme linked immunosorbent assay (GBV-C Ab ELISA kit, Rapidtest, USA). Of these, the positive GBV-C RNA + and anti-E2 GBV-C/GBV-C + sera were tested for hepatitis B surface antigen (HBsAg), hepatitis B core antibody (HBcAb IgM), hepatitis C antibody (anti-HCV), and hepatitis E antibody (anti-HEV) by commercial enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (DS-ELISA-HBs-0.01; Fourth Generation Elisa Kit-Italy, DS-ELIA-ANTI-HCV; Fourth Generation Elisa Kit-Italy, HBCab IgM-DiaPlus Inc; Third Generation Elisa Kit-Italy and HEV Ab-DiaPro. Inc., and Third Generation Elisa Kit-Italy respectively). Results were interpreted precisely, according to the manufacturer’s instructions.

3.7. Statistical Analyses

Prevalence and 95% confidence intervals (CI) were cal-
culated. Chi-square test or Fisher’s exact test were performed to evaluate the distribution of characteristics associated with GBV-C infection. Statistical significance was assessed at 0.05 probability level in all analyses. Data were analyzed using SPSS software, version 16.

4. Results

Plasma samples of 149 patients who receive hemodialysis, 74 males, 75 females, mean age: 56 ± 15.92 years, age range: 15 to 90 years, were tested. The total prevalence of GBV-C exposure was 14.7% (95% CI: 0.09-0.21) among the patients receiving hemodialysis, the rates of GBV-C viremia and anti-E2 GBV-C positivity were 6.04% (9/149) and 10.73% (16/149), respectively. Besides, three cases (2.01%) had both markers. In most of the cases (59%), the presence of anti-E2 GBV-C antibody was associated with clearance of GBV-C from plasma. Among 22 subjects who were GBV-C positive, 15 (68.18%) were positive for at least one viral marker, six (27.27%) were positive for anti-HCV, 10 (45.45%) were positive for anti-HBs, 13 (59.9%) were positive for anti-HBc IgM, two (9.09%) were positive for anti-HBc IgM/anti-HCV/HBsAg, and two (9.09%) were positive for anti-HBc IgM/anti-HCV, while the co-infection between GBV-C and HEV was not observed. The levels of liver enzymes were normal in all of them.

There was statistically significant difference between GBV-C exposure and viral hepatitis co-infection and history of addiction (P < 0.00 and P < 0.01, respectively) (Table 2). GBV-C was detectable in 17% of the 51 to 55 year-old cases, and the most cause of renal failure in GBV-C positive patients was diabetic nephropathy (45.45%) (not shown in the table). All 22 GBV-C positive patients had a history of transfusion but one (4/54%) patient. GBV-C exposure did not correlate with gender, age, ethnicity, duration of dialysis, and history of blood transfusions or time on dialysis.

Table 1. Sequences and Positions of Primers Used for the Amplification of GBV-C Genome

| Primer | Region    | Position | Polarity | Sequence (5’ to 3’) |
|--------|-----------|----------|----------|---------------------|
| HG1    | 5’UTR     | 139-158  | Sense    | 5’-GGTCGTAAATCCCGGTCACC-3’ |
| HG1R   | 5’UTR     | 381-400  | Antisense| 5’-CCCACTGGTCCTTGTCAACT-3’ |
| HG2    | 5’UTR     | 163-182  | Sense    | 5’-TAGCCACTATAGGTGGGTCT-3’ |
| HG2R   | 5’UTR     | 331-350  | Antisense| 5’-ATTGAAGGGCGACGTGGACC-3’ |

Table 2. Comparison of Variables Between Patients Positive and Negative For GBV-C in Unit of Hemodialysis Treatment

| Variable                  | Total-GBV-C (+) NO = 22 (100) | Total-GBV-C (-) NO = 127 (100) | P-Value |
|---------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|---------|
| Gender                    |                               |                                | 0.33    |
| male                      | 13 (59.1)                     | 61 (48.03)                     |         |
| Female                    | 9 (40.90)                     | 66 (51.97)                     |         |
| Ethnicity                 |                               |                                | 0.24    |
| Fars                      | 15 (68.19)                    | 102 (80.31)                    |         |
| Turk                      | 0                             | 1 (0.79)                       |         |
| Turkman                   | 3 (13.63)                     | 4 (3.14)                       |         |
| Sistani                   | 4 (18.18)                     | 18 (14.18)                     |         |
| Cossack                   | 0                             | 2 (1.58)                       |         |
| History of addiction      |                               |                                | 0.01    |
| Positive                  | 1 (4.55)                      | 0                              |         |
| Negative                  | 21 (95.45)                    | 127 (100)                      |         |
| History of blood transfusion|                               |                                | 0.8     |
| Positive                  | 3 (13.64)                     | 19 (14.96)                     |         |
| Negative                  | 19 (86.36)                    | 108 (85.04)                    |         |
| History of hepatitis      |                               |                                | 0       |
| Positive                  | 3 (13.64)                     | 3 (13.64)                      |         |
| Negative                  | 19 (86.36)                    | 19 (86.36)                     |         |
| Age, y                    | mean: 54.32 ± 12.56 median: 53 | mean: 55.23 ± 16.47 median: 57 | 0.9     |
5. Discussion

The new hepatitis G virus/GBV-C was discovered in 1995. The structure of the virus, its genotypes, the clinical features of the disease, routes of viral transmission, and the types of co-infection have been almost completely described (15). The present study represents the first investigation of GBV-C infection among the patients receiving hemodialysis in Golestan Province, North East of Iran. Longitudinal studies on the patients receiving hemodialysis indicated that GBV-C RNA and anti-E2 GBV-C prevalence rates were ranged from 3.1% to 37.5% and 7% to 41.2%, respectively (6, 15-17). These differences in the prevalence of GBV-C infection may also be explained by epidemiological variations, methods of GBV-C RNA detection (especially the use of different primers), duration of dialysis treatment, geographic factors, etc. (18).

Tables 4 and 5 show the frequency of GBV-C viremia, GBV-C seroreactivity, and viral markers observed in the patients receiving hemodialysis, in Iran and the other countries. In the current study the prevalence of GBV-C infection (14.7%) among the patients receiving hemodialysis was found. The rates of GBV-C viremia and anti-E2 GBV-C positivity were 6.04% and 10.73%, respectively. Recent studies in Iran concentrated on some high risk groups and those results focused on detection of one of the viral RNA or serological markers (Table 5). The current study results confirmed a better vision of the infection by detecting both viral RNA and serological markers of GBV-C and the rest of hepatitis virus markers. Therefore, the prevalence of GBV-C contact in patients is underestimated when either antibody or nucleic acid is used. Besides, Tribl et al. identified GBV-C-RNA in PMNC of two patients negative for GBV-C-RNA in serum, suggesting that higher sensitivity assays for determination of the prevalence of GBV-C infection in high risk patients is requisite (19).

| Number | anti-E2 GBV-C | PCR GBV-C | HBs Ag+/GBV-C+ | Hbc Ab IgM+/GBV-C+ | HCV Ab+/GBV-C+ |
|--------|---------------|-----------|----------------|--------------------|----------------|
Table 4. Frequency of Viral Markers in Hemodialysis Patients in Iran

| Country/City/Year/Reference | Blood Donor GBV-C-RNA (GBV-C-Anti E2) | Hemodialysis GBV-C-RNA (GBV-C-Anti E2) | Thalassemic Patients GBV-C-RNA (GBV-C-Anti E2) | HIV Patients GBV-C-RNA (GBV-C-Anti E2) | Heterosexuals GBV-C-RNA (GBV-C-Anti E2) | Intravenous Drug Users (IVDU) GBV-C-RNA (Anti E2) | HCV Patients GBV-C-RNA (GBV-C-Anti E2) |
|-----------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|
| Iran/Tehran/2009 (14)       | 43.6%                                | 10.97%                               | 6.7%                                          | 13.5%                                |                                               |                                               |                                               |
| Iran/Tehran/2008 (33)       | 1%                                   | 10.97%                               | 6.7%                                          | 13.5%                                |                                               |                                               |                                               |
| Iran/Tehran/2008 (32)       | 1%                                   | 1%                                   |                                               |                                      |                                               |                                               |                                               |
| Iran/Tehran/2007 (35)       | 1%                                   | 1%                                   |                                               |                                      |                                               |                                               |                                               |
| Iran/Tehran/2005 (31)       | 1%                                   | 12.9%                                |                                               |                                      |                                               |                                               |                                               |

Table 5. The Prevalence of GBV-C RNA and anti-E2 GBV-C Antibody in High Risk Groups in Iran

| Country/city/year/Reference | Blood Donor GBV-C-RNA (GBV-C-Anti E2) | Hemodialysis GBV-C-RNA (GBV-C-Anti E2) | Thalassemic Patients GBV-C-RNA (GBV-C-Anti E2) | HIV Patients GBV-C-RNA (GBV-C-Anti E2) | Heterosexuals GBV-C-RNA (GBV-C-Anti E2) | Intravenous Drug Users (IVDU) GBV-C-RNA (Anti E2) | HCV Patients GBV-C-RNA (GBV-C-Anti E2) |
|-----------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|
| Iran/Tehran/2009 (14)       | 43.6%                                | 10.97%                               | 6.7%                                          | 13.5%                                |                                               |                                               |                                               |
| Iran/Tehran/2008 (33)       | 1%                                   | 10.97%                               | 6.7%                                          | 13.5%                                |                                               |                                               |                                               |
| Iran/Tehran/2008 (32)       | 1%                                   | 1%                                   |                                               |                                      |                                               |                                               |                                               |
| Iran/Tehran/2007 (35)       | 1%                                   | 1%                                   |                                               |                                      |                                               |                                               |                                               |
| Iran/Tehran/2005 (31)       | 1%                                   | 12.9%                                |                                               |                                      |                                               |                                               |                                               |

In most of the patients, the presence of anti-E2 GBV-C antibody is usually associated with clearance of GBV-C from serum (6). These antibodies seem to provide temporary protection in the patients previously infected with GBV-C (20). In the current study, by examining 149 patients, almost all GBV-C positive samples were either GBV-C RNA positive or anti-E2 GBV-C positive except three (2.01%) who had both RNA and antibody markers, and interestingly, one patient (one out of the three) was not infected with HBV or HCV. This finding does not support Nuebling et al. who believe co-detection of GBV-C viremia and anti-E2 GBV-C antibody occurs only in the patients who have been concurrently infected with other viruses such as HBV, HCV, or HIV (21).

In most of the previous studies, co-infection with HCV in GBV-C-positive patients was observed more frequently than with HBV (Table 3). Yashina et al showed that in patients with acute viral hepatitis B (HBV), and C (HCV) the detection rate of GBV-C RNA was 19%-32% and 20%-48.3%, respectively (22). By contrast, in the current investigation a high frequency of anti-HBs-positive 10 (45.45%) and anti-HBc IgM-positive 13 (59.9%) among the 22 subjects with positivity GBV-C exposure reveals a higher frequency of co-infection with past/present HBV infection as compared with those of HCV (27.27%). Three out of 22 (13.63%)
patients were GBV-C+/anti-HBc IgM+/HBsAg-. During a one year follow-up study, all the three patients showed GBV-C+/anti-HBc IgM+/HBsAg+. These infections may be associated with low viral loads (23, 24). Thus, the frequent presence of co-infection with HBV in these patients may be underestimated.

In the current study the prevalence of GBV-C exposure was higher in participants aged 51 to 55 years (17.2%) compared to the other age groups; this may be a result of increased exposure to GBV-C at older ages (not shown in the table). In several studies, the highest prevalence of GBV-C exposure was reported for individuals aged 29 to 39 years (7). The majority of published findings demonstrated a correlation between GBV-C and history of blood transfusion and duration of hemodialysis (6, 15, 18). In the current study, almost all positivity- GBV-C exposure patients had a history of blood transfusion, but analysis of the results showed no relationship between history of blood transfusion, duration of hemodialysis, and GBV-C positive and negative patients in the current study series. It is suggested that the transmission route for GBV-C may be nosocomial transmission during dialysis; thus, patient-to-patient GBV-C transmission with environmental blood contamination and re-using the dialysis machines may be significant risk factors of GBV-C acquisition in hemodialysis units. Similar results were observed in Germany and England (3, 25, 26).

Even though Food and Drug Administration (FDA) declared GBV-C as a non-harmful virus, this has not been shared by all authors (9). Despite the fact that, no obvious evidence exists regarding the role of GBV-C in liver damage, it appears to play a minor role in acute hepatitis, even in immunosuppressed patients (3, 15). Nevertheless, its effects on kidney injury and graft survival cannot be ignored (27-30). Of course, more studies are needed to confirm these observations.

Investigations indicated that GBV-C RNA has been detected in hepatocytes, peripheral blood lymphocytes and monocytes, vascular endothelial cells, and other tissues (15). Primary replication of GBV-C in organs is questioned and it is suggested that a reservoir of GBV-C could be present in these organs. It seems that this virus could have been re-infected in the absence of protective antibodies (14, 15, 19, 22, 31-35). Therefore, GBV-C infection seems to affect the clinical course of the disease in immunosuppressed patients such as transplant recipients, patients with chronic renal failure and HIV-infected patients that may be responsible for persistent infection or graft rejection. Although no statistically significant relationship was observed between GBV-C exposure and history of blood transfusion in the current study, many investigations indicated a higher risk of infection because of more transfusion of blood and blood products and increasing the chance of infection with blood borne viruses. Transfusion appears to be an important risk factor for GBV-C transmission in patients; therefore, running a routine screening program for blood donor regarding GBV-C contact seems to be required for immunosuppressed individuals.

In conclusion, regular virological testing and dialysis of GBV-C positive patients in a separate unit can reduce nosocomial GBV-C infection and the prevalence of GBV-C in the patients receiving hemodialysis in the area under study.
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