INFLUENCE OF ELECTRODE POSITION ON THE ELECTROSPINNING PROCESS
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Abstract

The work is focused on the assessment of mutual influence of group of spinning electrodes placed in one plane in dependence on their mutual distance, during AC-electrospinning. From the results of the experiment it was found that the distance between the electrodes affects the stability of the nanofiber production process and the sided behavior while AC-electrospinning. In the first phase of the research, simulations of electric field distribution around spinning electrodes were performed. The results obtained by the research will serve for a suitable choice of design parameters of the device for production of flat nanofibrous textile by the AC-electrospinning method to achieve the required width of the nanofibrous textile.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Electrospinning is widely used for the production of nanofibres. This technology offers a wide range of industrial and medical applications in many areas, eg in fluid filtration, electrically conductive nanofibers, battery membranes, nanofiber composites, medicine and wound dressings, tissue engineering materials, materials for drug delivery system [1]. For the implementation of the electrostatic spinning process, the basic accessories are power supply, a spinning electrode, dosing device, a polymer solution and a collector on which formed fibers are trapped [2].

The principle of the electrospinning is based on a high voltage that is applied to the electro-spinnable polymeric solution. The process begins with reaching of a specific value of the electric field strength, which is called critical field intensity value, after overcoming of liquid surface tension. For this purpose many technologies have been developed [3]. AC-electrospinning technology is based on the usage of AC voltage, the voltage is applied to the electrode only [4].

Many applications require a relatively wide layer of the resulting nanofiber product containing nanofibers. This is related to the productivity of the AC spinning process. It is very problematic to achieve a wide layer of nanofibers with only one electrode. In order to ensure the required production, the electrodes can be arranged in different groups to achieve the appropriate productivity and nanofiber product width [3]. It was verified that the electrodes influence at a certain distance in terms of changes in the shape and width of the plume and also in productivity related to the intensity of the electric field [6]. For efficient production of nanofibres in a wide range, it is beneficial to know the distance at which mutual interference among electrodes occurs. Accordingly, by arrangement the electrodes, one wide continuous layer, which could not be produced by only one electrode, can achieve the wide layer of nanofibres with a minimum number of electrodes.

2. SIMULATIONS

The finite element method was used to simulate the distribution of the electric field intensity around the electrodes for several different electrode distances. The electrodes were arranged in one plane with a variable parameter, which was their mutual distance. Figure 1 and Figure 2 show the results of the simulation in which...
among the electrodes there was the lowest and the highest possible mutual technological distance between 160 and 300 mm [7]. Autodesk Simulation Mechanical 2015 software was used for simulations.

It can be seen from Figure 1 that at a distance of 160 mm among the electrodes, the maximum intensity on the electrode spinning surfaces decreases compared to the case where the distance is 300 mm, see Figure 2. Details A and B (Figures 1 and 2) contain electric field values, furthermore, it is apparent from that there is a decrease in intensity on the inner sides of the outer electrodes (towards the middle electrode) compared to the outer sides. The intensity of the electric field on the surface of the middle electrode is also lower than on the outer electrodes. This occurs because of electrical influence of outer electrodes on the middle electrode. The detail C of the spinning electrode is not shown in the Figures 1 and 2, since the difference from the detail A in both the values of the electric field and its distribution is negligible. Thus, the distribution of the
electric field and its values around the outer electrodes A and C can be considered identical in all cases of simulations.

Figure 3 shows the graph showing the results of the simulations. The minimum technological value of the distance among the electrodes was 160 mm and with a 20 mm increment, a total of seven simulations were made up to an end distance of 300 mm. The significant increase in intensity can be observed in the electrode spacing range of 160 to 200 mm. The increase in intensity in the range from 200 to 300 mm is gradual and can be considered linear with neglected simulation inaccuracy. Another significant finding of the simulation is the same shape of the electric field intensity at the outer electrodes compared to the center electrode, but with the decrease of the intensity on the middle electrode.

Figure 3 Dependence of electric field intensity distribution on electrode spacing

3. EXPERIMENT

The placement of the three electrodes 1, 2 and 3 (see Figure 4) was further tested directly in the spinning process. Electrode spacing variants with the same parameters as in the simulation were investigated (Chapter 2). The electrode power was supplied by AC electrical voltage with an effective value of 28.5 kV and a frequency of 50 Hz. A 10% solution of PVB Movital 60 polymer in ethanol was used for spinning. The temperature in the space, where the spinning process was performed reached 21 °C and the humidity was 44 %. Figure 4 shows the testing process where a distance among electrodes was 160 mm. The produced nanofiber stream was collected on the rotating cylinder on which the requested layer was formed. It can be stated that the nanofiber streams repulse at the electrode spacing of 160 mm, especially at the outer electrodes, see Figure 4. Figure 5 shows the minimum electrode spacing (250 mm) without significant repulsion and thus deflection of current nanofibers from the direct direction during electrospinning.

Furthermore, in the experiment, the production of the outer and the middle electrodes was analysed and compared at the above mentioned electrode distances (Chapter 2). The production was determined by measuring the weight of the samples of the resulting nanofibrous layer produced in a certain time. Samples were taken separately for each electrode and weighed independently. The production time was the same for all samples, 300 seconds. The results of the analysis revealed a trend in decreasing production with decreasing electrode spacing, this can be seen in the graph in Figure 6. The graph shows that the middle electrode provides lower production than the outer electrodes, confirming the interaction observed in the experiments and also evaluated from the simulations performed.
Figure 4 Electrospinning process, distance among electrodes is 160 mm

Figure 5 Electrospinning process, distance among electrodes is 250 mm
4. CONCLUSION

The aim of the work was to find out the interaction of the group of electrodes in one row with different distances. First, simulations were carried out to determine different distributions of electric field intensity around electrodes depending on their distance. The result was a change in the maximum intensity on the spinning surfaces of the electrodes. Figure 3 shows the decrease in intensity with decreasing electrode spacing, where the most significant decrease is observed in the area of electrode spacing from 160 to 200 mm, the greatest intensity attenuation is on the middle electrode due to shading of the outer electrodes. The next step of the research was the realization of the experiment. The distance between individual electrodes was changed during testing. It has been observed that the above-described phenomena (repulsion of the nanofiber streams) are more intense as the electrodes converge towards each other. A comparison of the masses of nanofiber layers produced was observed a decrease in productivity on electrodes while decreasing their mutual distance. It has also been discovered that the middle electrode achieves 65 percent lower productivity than the outer electrodes.
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