Vision and sensorimotor defects associated with loss of Vps11 function in a zebrafish model of genetic leukoencephalopathy
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Genetic leukoencephalopathies (gLEs) are heritable white matter disorders that cause progressive neurological abnormalities. A founder mutation in the human endolysosomal trafficking protein VPS11 has been identified in Ashkenazi Jewish patients manifesting classic gLE symptoms of hypomyelination, developmental delay, motor and systemic deficits. In this study, we characterized the visual and sensorimotor function of two zebrafish vps11 mutant lines: the previously reported vps11(plt) and a new vps11(−/−) null mutant line, using behavioral analysis to track larval motor responses to visual and acoustic stimuli. We found that mutant larvae from both vps11(plt) and vps11(−/−) lines were able to visually distinguish light and dark, but showed a progressive loss of a normal sensorimotor response to visual stimuli from 5 days post fertilization (dpf) to 7dpf. Additionally, optokinetic response analysis performed at 5dpf indicated that the mutants were significantly visually impaired. Both mutant lines also displayed a progressively lower sensorimotor response to a singular acoustic stimulus from 5-7dpf. Next, we tested the habituation response of the mutant lines to series of acoustic taps. We found both mutant lines habituated faster than their siblings, and that vps11(plt) mutants habituated faster than the vps11(−/−) mutants. Together, these data suggest that loss of Vps11 function results in progressive visual and sensorimotor abnormalities in the zebrafish vps11(plt) and vps11(−/−) mutant lines. This is the first study to characterize behavioral deficits in a vertebrate model of Vps11-dependent gLE. The mutants and behavioral assays described here could be a valuable model system in which to test potential pharmacological interventions for gLE.

Genetic leukoencephalopathies (gLEs) are heritable disorders of the white matter with primary neuronal involvement1. Patients with gLE manifest heterogeneous neurological and systemic symptoms, which may include motor impairment, hypotonia, ataxia, cortical visual impairment, ophthalmologic abnormalities, cognitive deficit and seizures2. Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) is the major diagnostic approach to these white matter abnormalities. However, given the progressive and variable nature of the disease phenotypes, a definitive diagnosis is often a challenge1. Recent advances in whole exome sequencing (WES) now permit more definite diagnoses for gLE and for the discovery of novel genetic mutations that result in gLE3,4. WES was recently used to identify a novel mutation in Vacuolar Protein Sorting 11 (VPS11) in patients with gLE5,6. The autosomal recessive mutation VPS11:C846G results in infantile onset of gLE characterized by hypomyelination and developmental delay, along with classic gLE hallmarks of progressive motor and sensory system deficiencies6. With a high carrier frequency of 1:250 in Ashkenazi Jewish (AJ) families, this missense mutation was hypothesized to arise from a founder effect6. Biochemical in vitro analysis revealed that the truncated mutant protein has lower expression, faster turnover, and impairments in autophagy flux6; however, the exact mechanism that leads to hypomyelination and disease pathology is unclear.

Vps11, Vps16, Vps18 and Vps33 form a C-Vps protein complex that is evolutionally conserved back to yeast7. The C-Vps protein complex is the backbone of two tethering complexes: Homotypic Fusion and Protein Sorting (HOPS) and Class C-core Vacuole/Endosome Tethering (CORVET). These highly conserved complexes facilitate...
Figure 1. Schematic representation comparing the lengths and interaction domains of human VPS11 and VPS11(C846G) with zebrafish Vps11(plt) and Vps11(−−). (A) Top: Schematic representation of full-length human VPS11 protein, showing multiple highly-conserved protein domains. Lines above show the putative interactive domains with other Vps effectors based largely on work done in yeast. Below: Schematic representations of human VPS11(C846G), zebrafish Vps11(plt), and zebrafish Vps11(−−), resulting in presumptively shorter truncated protein products. Note that zebrafish and human sequences do not show 100% nucleic acid identity, but do show conserved protein domains.

The first example of a vertebrate with impaired Vps11 function was the 

vps11 platinum (plt) mutation identified in zebrafish. An additional zebrafish mutant has also been identified. The vps11(plt) allele contains a single base change and single base insertion resulting in a premature stop codon. The mutation presumably produces a truncated Vps11(plt) protein, but this has not been directly tested. The vps11(plt) zebrafish mutants exhibited hypopigmentation in the body and retinal pigmented epithelium (RPE), hepatomegaly, RPE photoreceptor degeneration, and juvenile death. A follow-up report showed that the loss of Vps11 function in the plt phenotype also resulted in increased neuronal cell death and myelination defects, which suggested that it could be utilized as a model for Vps11-dependent gLE. However, it is presently unclear whether the vps11(plt) zebrafish mutant line elicits progressive motor and visual system pathologies as observed in human patients with the VPS11:C846G mutation.

In this study, we characterized the visual and sensorimotor function of two zebrafish vps11 mutant lines: the previously reported vps11(plt), and a new vps11(−−) null mutant line we generated for these studies. Specifically, we subjected larval zebrafish from these lines to behavioral studies to elucidate their vision and sensorimotor function. We found that both vps11(plt) and vps11(−−) mutant larvae could visually distinguish light and dark, but showed a progressive loss of sensorimotor responses to visual stimuli from 5 days post fertilization (dpf) to 7dpf. In addition, optokinetic response analysis at 5dpf indicated that larvae from both mutant lines were significantly visually impaired. Next, we tested motor function apart from the visual system in the mutant larvae using an acoustic/tap startle response. Again, we found that larvae from both mutant lines displayed a progressively reduced sensorimotor response to a single acoustic stimulus from 5-7dpf. Finally, as an initial assessment of higher circuit development, we tested the habituation response in the mutant lines in response to a series of acoustic taps. Interestingly, both mutant lines habituate faster than their siblings, and the vps11(plt) mutants habituate faster than vps11(−−) mutants. Together, these data demonstrate progressive visual system and sensorimotor abnormalities in the zebrafish vps11(plt) and vps11(−−) mutant lines. This study represents the first report of behavioral deficits in a vertebrate model of Vps11-dependent leukoencephalopathy. With the discovery Vps11 as the novel causative gene of gLE, these zebrafish models allow for further exploration of how abnormal Vps11 leads to progressive loss of visual and motor function.

Methods

General statement. All methods were carried out in accordance with relevant guidelines and regulations, and all animal studies were in accordance with ARRIVE guidelines (https://arriveguidelines.org).

Zebrafish lines and maintenance. Three zebrafish lines were used in this study: AB (wild-type), vps11(plt)mut10 and vps11(−−)mut2 mutant line. Note that both the vps11(plt) and vps11(−−) mutants were created and maintained on the AB background. Fish were fed a combination of brine shrimp and dried flake food three times daily and maintained at 28.5 °C on a 14 h light (250 lx): 10 h dark cycle. All animal care and experimental protocols used in this study were approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee at Wayne State University School of Medicine and at the Cleveland Clinic.
**Generation of the vps11(−/−) mutant line.** The vps11(−/−) mutant line was generated using transcription activator-like effector nucleases (TALENs). A pair of forward and reverse XTN TAL Nuclease Plasmids were designed and synthesized by Transposagen Biopharmaceuticals, Inc (Lexington, KY) to target a BamHI restriction site within the first 50 nucleotides of the coding sequence of exon 1 of vps11. The target sequence (underlined) was as follows: 5’ – TTTTCCGATAAGAAAACCTGTGAAGGATCCGGCGAAAATGGGAAA ACTTCCA – 3’. Primers designed around the BamHI restriction site can be used for genotyping, as the restriction site was disrupted in the mutant animals. The TALEN plasmids were linearized, mRNA synthesis was performed with mMACHINE T7 Ultra Kit followed by polyA addition (ab1345, Ambion), and synthesized mRNA was purified using Spin Column Chromatograph (Catalogue# 11,814,427,001, Roche). Equal volumes of forward and reverse mRNAs were mixed to a final concentration of 50 ng/μl each and ~ 5nL of the TALEN mRNA mixture (100 pg/νL) was micro-injected into 1-cell-stage wild-type embryos (AB strain). Non-homologous end joining repair events were confirmed for the following day in a subset of injected embryos by PCR/restriction analysis. Cohorts of successful injections were raised for 1 month, at which point F0 mutant fish were identified from genotype sequencing of individual tail fin snips. Heterozygous mutants were raised to sexual maturity and bred to wild-type fish to verify germline transmission. Mating between heterozygous pairs carrying the exact same mutation were performed to obtain the vps11 null mutant line. Phenotypic analysis determined that the new homozygous vps11(−/−) mutants exhibit the classic characteristics of the vps11(plt) mutants, namely: hypopigmentation, hypomyelination, and CNS apoptosis (Suppl. Fig. 1).

**Behavioral analysis.** Fish were bred from heterozygous parents and larvae were raised under standard conditions. Homozygous mutant larvae were readily distinguished from their siblings at 3dph due to their hypopigmentation phenotype. At 4 or 6dph, individual larvae were placed in wells of a 24-well plate (diameter 1.65 cm wells) to be acclimatized for 24 h before behavior analysis (12 mutant larvae and 12 sibling control larvae per 24-well plate). Behavior analysis was performed on the following day between 1 and 4 PM using the DanioVision Observation Chamber (Noldus Information Technology, Wageningen, Netherlands) linked with the EthoVision XT13 software for every 3-min stimulus period that tracked the total movement of individual larva during that particular stimulus interval. The total distance moved (cm) and average velocity (cm/s) for each individual larva was calculated during each 3-min period. Heat maps were generated using the EthoVision XT13 software for every 3-min stimulus period that tracked the total movement of individual larva during that particular stimulus interval. The total distance moved (cm) and average velocity moved (cm/s) for each individual larva was calculated during each 3-min period of light or dark. Statistical differences between the mutants and siblings during the first round of light and dark conditions was calculated using a Student’s t-test with a p < 0.05 as a cutoff for significance.

**Light/dark paradigm:** Once placed inside the DanioVision Observation Chamber, larvae were subjected to a 12-min acclimation period in the dark, followed by four alternating periods of 3-min light (10,500 lx) and 3-min dark. A light environment was provided by uniform illumination of the entire base panel of the chamber on which the 24-well plate rested. Response to stimulation was recorded as distance moved (cm) and velocity (cm/s) during each 3-min stimulus period. Heat maps were generated using the EthoVision XT13 software for every 3-min stimulus period that tracked the total movement of individual larva during that particular stimulus interval. The total distance moved (cm) and average velocity moved (cm/s) for each individual larva was calculated during each 3-min period of light or dark. Statistical differences between the mutants and siblings during the first round of light and dark conditions was calculated using a Student’s t-test with a p < 0.05 as a cutoff for significance.

**Acoustic/tap paradigm:** The acoustic/tap paradigm utilized "taps" administered directly to the base of the DanioVision Observation Chamber. The DanioVision system is pre-installed with taps at 8 intensity levels. The magnitude of the taps is internally consistent within a manufacture unit, but can vary between units due to slight variations in mounting the Tapping Device. Therefore, we first determined the minimum intensity in our unit that would produce a consistent startle response in wild-type (AB strain) larva, which was intensity 3 (data not shown). This setting was used as the "Low Intensity Tap". The maximum intensity level of 8 was selected as the "High Intensity Tap". Once placed inside the DanioVision Observation Chamber, mutant and sibling larvae were subjected to a 12-min acclimation period with no stimulation, followed by either a single tap or multiple taps. For single taps, movement was recorded for 1-min of no stimulation, a single tap at low or high intensity, and then an additional 1-min of no stimulation. For multiple taps, movement was recorded for 1-min of no stimulation, 10 consecutive high intensity taps at 10-s intervals, and then an additional 1-min of no stimulation. Response to stimulation was recorded as distance moved (cm) at each tap event for individual larva. For single taps statistical differences between the mutants and siblings was calculated using a Student’s t-test with a p < 0.05 as a cutoff for significance. For multiple taps, the habituation curves were calculated using GraphPad and statistical differences between within and between the groups was determined via a one phase exponential decay as previously described. In addition, the median distance travelled during the series of taps was calculated using GraphPad and statistical differences between the two groups was analyzed using a Welch’s t-test as previously described.

**Optokinetic response.** All tests were conducted on 5dph mutant and sibling larvae between 12 and 6 pm using a VisioTracker 302,060 (TSE Systems, Bad Homburg, Germany) as previously described. Briefly, larval fish were immobilized in 3% methylcellulose preheated to 28.5 °C in 35 mm Petri dishes. All stimuli were presented for 3-s before reversing direction for 3-s. For contrast tests, the stimulus contrast was varied in stepwise increments while maintaining the spatial frequency at 0.06 cycles/degree and the velocity at 7.5 degree/sec. For velocity tests, the stimulus velocity varied between 1.5 and 7.5 degree/sec while maintaining the frequency at 0.06 cycles/degree and the contrast at 70%. The OKR gain, being the ratio of eyes:stimulus angular velocity, was plotted as a function of either contrast or velocity. OKR results from sibling larva (n = 14), vps11(plt)(n = 6), and...
vps11−/− (n = 4) were analyzed with GraphPad Prism 9.0 using 2-way ANOVA with Sidak’s multiple comparisons test.

Results

Tracking the behavioral response to alternating light/dark periods shows that wild-type (AB) 7dpf larvae move significantly more in the dark periods. Zebrafish larval locomotion has been reported to be influenced by visual based photic stimulation16. To establish the visual stimulation paradigm for this study, behavioral analysis of wild-type larva (AB strain) was performed using the Noldus DanioVision system (Suppl. Fig. 2A–B). This system is equipped with both light and acoustic/tap stimuli, a Temperature Control Unit to adjust water temperature, a Basler Gen1 Camera, and EthoVision XT13 software to track larval movements (Suppl. Fig. 2A). We first tested individual wild-type AB larvae at 7dpf, which were placed inside a 24-well plate (Suppl. Fig. 2B) and subjected to a visual stimulation of 4 alternating cycles of light and dark, each lasting 3 min. Larval behavior in response to light/dark cycles was recorded and heat maps generated by the EthoVision XT13 software. Consistent with previous reports16, we observed increased movement of the larvae in dark versus light conditions (Suppl. Fig. 2C). During each dark period, the distance travelled and average velocity of movement were significantly higher when compared with each light period (Suppl. Fig. 2D–F). Importantly, these findings were highly reproducible, laying the framework for a robust, unbiased, and sensitive testing paradigm for the vps11 mutant lines.

vps11(plt) mutants show a progressive loss of normal sensorimotor response to visual stimuli. As an initial test of the visuomotor development in vps11(plt) mutants, mutant larvae and their siblings were subjected to the aforementioned alternating light/dark periods at 5dpf and 7dpf. At 5dpf, all larvae exhibited greater movement in the dark periods compared with the light periods (Fig. 2A–B). Comparing the movement of the larvae showed that vps11(plt) mutants travelled a significantly greater distance and with greater velocity than their siblings during the light periods (Fig. 2C–D). No significant differences in distance moved or velocity were observed during the dark periods (Fig. 2C–D). From 5–7dpf, sibling larvae showed a dramatic increase in distance travelled and velocity in both the light and dark periods.

However, vps11(plt) mutants failed to increase their movement to the velocity of their siblings during this same time window. As a result, heat maps showed a discernable overall lower motility of the mutant larvae at 7dpf (Fig. 2E–F). In addition, quantification of these movements showed that 7dpf vps11(plt) mutants travelled a significantly shorter distance (Fig. 2G) and decreased velocity (Fig. 2H) compared with siblings in each dark period. These data demonstrate that vps11(plt) mutants responded to alternating light/dark periods and, at 5dpf, traveled greater or equal distances to their siblings during these periods. However, at 7dpf, vps11(plt) mutants moved significantly less than their siblings, suggesting that the sensorimotor response to these visual stimuli becomes progressively more defective during this window of development.

vps11(−/−) mutants show a progressive loss of normal sensorimotor response to visual stimuli. We next tested our newly-generated vps11(−/−) null mutant zebrafish to the same alternating light–dark periods at 5dpf and 7dpf. Similar to the vps11(plt) mutants, at 5dpf both the vps11(−/−) mutants and their siblings responded to light/dark changes and moved more during the dark periods (Fig. 3A–B). There were no significant differences between vps11(−/−) mutant and sibling larvae in regard to average distance travelled (Fig. 3C) and velocity (Fig. 3D) in either light or dark periods at 5dpf. At 7dpf, both sibling and mutant fish showed an increase in distance travelled and velocity. However, vps11(−/−) mutants did not increase to the extent of their siblings. Heat maps at 7dpf indicated a discernable lower motility for vps11(−/−) mutants compared with their siblings in both light and dark periods (Fig. 3E–F). Quantitative analysis confirmed that the vps11(−/−) traveled a significantly shorter distance (Fig. 3G) and lower velocity (Fig. 3H) in both light and dark periods compared with their siblings. These data suggest that vps11(−/−) mutant zebrafish show a similar progressive visuomotor defect as the vps11(plt) mutants. Notably, in both mutant lines, movement and velocity increased from 5 to 7dpf, but not to the degree of sibling animals during this same time interval.

vps11(plt) and vps11(−/−) mutants are significantly visually impaired. Both vps11(plt) and vps11(−/−) mutant larvae responded to light and dark environments, but it is unclear whether they have normal visual acuity. In order to test this, we used the VisioTracker to measure the optokinetic (OKR) response of vps11(plt) larvae, vps11(−/−) and their siblings at 5dpf. Specifically, vps11(plt) and vps11(−/−) larvae were stimulated with a black and white grating, moving with a constant velocity of 7.5 degree/s and having a constant spatial frequency of 2.06 cycles/degree. The OKR gain was measured for the larvae while changing contrast levels of the black/white boundary, ranging from 5 to 100%. Even at 100% contrast, both vps11(plt) and vps11(−/−) larvae showed severely impaired acuity when compared with sibling controls (Fig. 4A).

Next, we modified the OKR setup to test visual response at different stimulus velocities, since it was possible that the constant velocity of 7.5 degree/s was too fast for the hypomyelinated mutants to follow. We repeated the OKR at a constant contrast (70%) and spatial frequency (2.06 cycles/degree), and increasing stimulus velocities ranging from 1.5 to 7.5 degrees/sec. At lower velocity levels, both vps11(plt) and vps11(−/−) larvae displayed an OKR gain near zero, while sibling control fish exhibited strong OKR gain at 3.0 dg/sec (Fig. 4B). Together, these data indicate that both vps11(plt) and vps11(−/−) larvae are significantly visually impaired by 5dpf.

vps11(plt) and vps11(−/−) mutants show a progressively lower response to an acoustic/tap startle response from 5 to 7dpf. Visual stimulation resulted in a lower motor response in vps11(plt) and vps11(−/−) larvae at 7dpf (Figs. 2, 3), however, it was unclear whether this progressive defect was due to
aberrations in the visual system or motor system (or both). Thus, we used a non-visual cue of a single acoustic/tap stimulus to study the resultant motor response. Using pre-set intensities in the system, we first determined that intensity “3” was the minimum intensity that would elicit a consistent startle response in AB wild-type larvae (data not shown). Next, a single-tap stimulus at pre-set intensity 3 (i.e. low intensity) was administered on vps11(plt) and vps11(−/−) and their respective siblings at 5dpf (Fig. 5A,C). Both mutant lines and their siblings responded to the single-tap acoustic stimulus with a burst of movement immediately following the tap...
Notably, there was no significant difference in the distance moved between the mutant lines and their siblings (Fig. 5A,C). Next, we repeated the test with a new cohort of 5dpf larvae using pre-set intensity 8 (i.e. high intensity). Again, we observed that both mutant and sibling larvae responded to the tap with a burst of movement, and that both mutant lines elicited motor responses equal to or greater than that of their siblings at 5dpf (Fig. 5B,D). Unexpectedly, we found that the vps11(plt) larvae moved a greater distance than their siblings in response to the tap stimulus (Fig. 5B).

(Fig. 5A,C). Notably, there was no significant difference in the distance moved between the mutant lines and their siblings (Fig. 5A,C). Next, we repeated the test with a new cohort of 5dpf larvae using pre-set intensity 8 (i.e. high intensity). Again, we observed that both mutant and sibling larvae responded to the tap with a burst of movement, and that both mutant lines elicited motor responses equal to or greater than that of their siblings at 5dpf (Fig. 5B,D). Unexpectedly, we found that the vps11(plt) larvae moved a greater distance than their siblings in response to the tap stimulus (Fig. 5B).
Figure 4. Visual function is compromised in \textit{vps11(plt)} and \textit{vps11(−/−)} mutants. Optokinetic response of larval \textit{vps11(plt)} and \textit{vps11(−/−)} homozygotes compared with sibling controls as a function of (A) contrast and (B) stimulus velocity. Asterisks indicate significant differences from controls (2-way ANOVA with Sidak's multiple comparison test) **\(P<0.01\), ***\(P<0.001\), ****\(P<0.0001\).

Figure 5. \textit{vps11(plt)} and \textit{vps11(−/−)} mutants at 5dpf show normal distance travelled in response to both low and high intensity acoustic/tap stimuli. (A–D) Line graphs representing distance travelled by larvae at 5dpf before and after an acoustic/tap stimulus (red arrow). (A) Response of \textit{vps11(plt)} (green; \(n=55\)) and sibling larvae (blue; \(n=61\)) to a low intensity stimulus. (B) Response of \textit{vps11(plt)} (green; \(n=63\)) and sibling larvae (blue; \(n=67\)) to a high intensity stimulus. (C) Response of \textit{vps11(−/−)} (green; \(n=59\)) and sibling larvae (blue; \(n=60\)) to a low intensity stimulus. (D) Response of \textit{vps11(−/−)} (green; \(n=57\)) and sibling larvae (blue; \(n=60\)) to a high intensity stimulus. Error bars indicate SEM.
Next, we repeated the acoustic/tap test with a new cohort of larvae at 7dpf. Starting with a single-tap stimulus at the low intensity, we observed that both \(vps11(plt)\) and \(vps11(−/−)\) larvae travelled a significantly lower distance compared with their respective siblings in response to the tap (Fig. 6A,C). Next, we tested the response to the highest intensity tap, and again found that both mutant larvae travelled a significantly lower distance compared with their respective siblings in response to the tap (Fig. 6B,D). Collectively, these data suggest that both \(vps11(plt)\) and \(vps11(−/−)\) mutants exhibit progressive sensorimotor deficits from 5 to 7dpf in response to a startle stimulus that was independent of the visual system.

**vps11(plt) and vps11(−/−) mutants show habituation responses to multiple acoustic stimuli.** Finally, as an initial assessment of higher circuit development (i.e. short-term memory), we tested the habituation response in the mutant lines in response to series of acoustic taps. Similar to a recent report\(^\text{14}\), we found that 10 consecutive high intensity taps at 10-s intervals resulted in a robust habituation response in sibling larvae at 7dpf (Fig. 7A). Next, we tested both \(vps11(plt)\) (Fig. 7A–D) and \(vps11(−/−)\) mutants (Fig. 7E–H) at 7dpf using this habituation paradigm and found that both mutant lines responded to each tap with a burst of movement followed by a return to baseline and that both mutant lines exhibited a habituation response to these series of taps (Fig. 7A–B,E–F). In addition, we found that both mutant lines habituated at a significantly faster rate than their siblings, and had a significantly lower median distance travelled (Fig. 7C–D, 7G–H). Interestingly, when we compared the \(vps11(plt)\) and \(vps11(−/−)\) mutant datasets to each other, we found no difference in the median distance travelled, but a significant difference in the habituation decay curves between the mutants (Fig. 8). Specifically, we observed that the \(vps11(plt)\) mutants habituated significantly faster than the \(vps11(−/−)\) mutants (Fig. 8B). Together, we observed that while both mutant lines exhibited habituation responses to series of acoustic stimuli, the dynamics of the response were not equivalent.

![Figure 6](https://example.com/figure6.png)

**Figure 6.** \(vps11(plt)\) and \(vps11(−/−)\) mutants at 7dpf show significantly reduced distance travelled in response to both low and high intensity acoustic/tap stimuli. (A–D) Line graphs representing distance travelled by larvae at 7dpf before and after an acoustic/tap stimulus (red arrow). (A) Response of \(vps11(plt)\) (green; \(n = 69\)) and sibling larvae (blue; \(n = 77\)) to a low intensity stimulus. (B) Response of \(vps11(plt)\) (green; \(n = 71\)) and sibling larvae (blue; \(n = 73\)) to a high intensity stimulus. (C) Response of \(vps11(−/−)\) (green; \(n = 54\)) and sibling larvae (blue; \(n = 54\)) to a low intensity stimulus. (D) Response of \(vps11(−/−)\) (green; \(n = 48\)) and sibling larvae (blue; \(n = 47\)) to a high intensity stimulus. *\(P < 0.05\) **\(P < 0.01\). Error bars indicate SEM.
Discussion

The mutations in human VPS11(C846G), zebrafish vps11(plt), and vps11(−/−) result in increasingly-truncated protein products (Fig. 1). The missense mutation VPS11:C846G associated with gLE in human patients was predicted to disrupt its C-terminal RING-H2 domain (Fig. 1). This domain functions in estrogen receptor-α, progesterone receptor, Wnt, NFκB and FoxO signaling pathways using its E3 ubiquitin ligase function. Interestingly, protein structure analysis of the RING-H2 domain indicated that the truncated VPS11:C846G structure is not misfolded in vitro. In addition, the location of the truncation predicted that VPS11:C846G could interact with VPS18 and other associated binding partners (Fig. 1). Consistent with this, Zhang et al., 20166 reported that mutant VPS11(C846G) protein bound wild-type VPS18 in vitro, but at a reduced level, raising the possibility of hypomorphic function for the VPS11(C846G) protein. The zebrafish vps11(plt) mutation results in an even more severe truncation, which is predicted to disrupt Vps11(plt) binding to Vps18 and Vps39/Vps, but not Vps8 (vps11(plt) mutation results in an even more severe truncation, which is predicted to disrupt Vps11(plt) binding to Vps18 and Vps39/Vps, but not Vps8 (vps11(plt) mutation results in an even more severe truncation, which is predicted to disrupt Vps11(plt) binding to Vps18 and Vps39/Vps, but not Vps8 (Fig. 1). However, despite our ability to detect vps11(plt) transcript, it is unclear whether the mutant protein is translated, stable, and/or provides any function. Furthermore, if the Vps11(plt) peptide is made, it could act in a dominant-negative function by sequestering wild-type Vps8, but not participating in the larger HOPS/CORVET complexes. We think this is unlikely, as we never observe any phenotypes in the heterozygotes, including OKR (Suppl. Fig. 3), but given these possibilities, we sought to compare the visuomotor function of vps11(plt) mutant fish to a vps11(−/−) null mutant. We first created a vps11(−/−) mutant zebrafish using TALENs with an indel in exon 1 of vps11 (Fig. 1). Phenotypic analysis indicated that these mutants displayed the classic pathologies of hypopigmentation, hypomyelination, and CNS apoptosis (Suppl. Fig. 1). Next, we used these animals to ask whether these mutants had similar or more/less severe visuomotor deficits than the vps11(plt) mutants.

Zebrafish larvae display a range of distinct behavioral swimming patterns in response to environmental factors, including the presence or absence of light20,21,22. Given a choice between light and dark environments, adult zebrafish show a preference for a dark environment23, whereas larvae show a strong avoidance of a dark environment24. However, when zebrafish larvae are placed in a light environment with decreasing light over time, larvae show an increase in swimming turn angles24. As dark conditions persist, this hyperactivity of turn angles plateaus, and larval fish begin to swim normally16,24. Once acclimated to dark conditions, larval fish exhibit a "freeze" response to the sudden introduction of light, decreasing their swimming distance and velocity16. Based on these previously established paradigms, we designed an alternating light/dark behavioral assay that included a 12-min period of dark acclimation followed by alternating 3-min intervals of light and dark (Suppl. Fig. 2). Using this approach, we showed that wild-type (AB) larva at 7dpf showed a robust "peak and valley" movement response to dark and light conditions, respectively (Suppl. Fig. 2). Importantly, using the Noldus DanioVision behavioral tracking system, we showed that this response was highly reproducible, giving us a robust and unbiased assay to test our mutant zebrafish lines.

Next, we observed that vps11(plt) and vps11(−/−) larva showed a progressive loss of normal visuomotor response to alternating light/dark conditions (Figs. 2, 3). At 5dpf, both mutant lines showed no significant differences in distance moved or velocity in the dark condition compared with their corresponding siblings (Figs. 2C–D & 3C–D). In the light condition, the 5dpf vps11(plt) mutants showed a significant increase in distance moved and velocity compared with their siblings. While this finding is somewhat counterintuitive for a hypomyelination pathology, this result may represent a partial failure or delay in the "freeze" response to light onset. Even with this finding, both mutant lines showed normal movement patterns compared with their siblings at 5dpf. In contrast, at 7dpf, both vps11(plt) and vps11(−/−) larva showed significantly reduced movement compared with their siblings (Fig. 2F). In the vps11(−/−) larva, movement in both the light and dark conditions was significantly lower (Fig. 3G–H), whereas in vps11(plt) mutants, only movement in the dark was significantly lower (Fig. 2G–H). The difference in movement between the mutants and siblings at 7dpf was largely due to an increase in distance moved and velocity on the part of sibling animals, and the corresponding failure of the mutant animals to develop a similar increase in movement. The increase in sibling movement at 7dpf correlates with an increase in myelination during zebrafish CNS development and an established increase in swimming patterns20,21,22. Movement of the mutant larva increased from 5 to 7dpf, but not at to the level observed in sibling animals. This result is consistent with the hypomyelination phenotype observed in these mutants (Suppl. Fig. 1) and our previous finding that vps11(plt) larva show reduced myelin basic protein (MBP) expression surrounding the Mauthner axons in the ventral hindbrain at 7dpf.

Collectively, we show that vps11(plt) and vps11(−/−) larva respond to light and dark, but show a progressive loss of visuomotor responses from 5 to 7dpf. However, detection of light and dark does not equate to visual acuity. In humans, intrinsically photosensitive ganglion cells (prGCs) function in the light-induced pupillary light reflex, and some studies have suggested that they may also play a role in circadian rhythms and as a rudimentary light detector28. In zebrafish, which are semi-transparent during development, neurons in the hindbrain show non-visual sensation29, and zebrafish larvae lacking eyes and pineal gland still respond to light and perform light seeking behavior28. Therefore, while the vps11(plt) and vps11(−/−) larva clearly responded to light and dark, these experiments did not give us any insight into their visual acuity.

The optokinetic response (OKR) has become an invaluable tool for assessing visual acuity in children with neurological disorders30. Alert neonates can track large, slow-moving objects within the first few days of life31, and nystagmus can be diagnosed within the first few weeks of life by OKR32,33. In zebrafish, the visual system is sufficiently developed by 5dpf to measure the OKR, and this approach has been used to test the visual acuity of a large number of retinal degeneration mutants34–38. We first used traditional OKR to assess visual acuity of both vps11(plt) and vps11(−/−) mutants at 5dpf. Under conditions of constant velocity and constant spatial frequency of the black and white grating, the 5dpf mutant larvae showed a severely impaired response at 100% contrast (Fig. 4A). Next, we repeated the OKR under modified conditions based on the possibility that the hypomyelination pathology of the mutant fish would limit their ability to track the moving stimulus at the default rotating
velocity of 7.5 degree/sec. Under conditions of a constant contrast and spatial frequency, we instead changed the stimulus velocity of the moving drum. However, we again found that the response of \( vps11(plt) \) and \( vps11(−/−) \) mutants to this modified stimulus was near baseline at all velocities tested. (Fig. 4B). These experiments suggest that both mutants are significantly visually impaired by 5dpf (i.e. not able to visually track and process an image).

A previous report showed a retinal degeneration phenotype in \( vps11(plt) \) mutants at 5dpf\(^{10} \), which could account for the OKR defect we observed in \( vps11(plt) \) and \( vps11(−/−) \) mutants. Due to the small number of reported cases of children diagnosed with the \( VPS11(C846G) \) mutation, it is currently unclear whether affected
vps11(plt) and vps11(−/−) mutants at 7dpf show a significantly reduced habituation response to multiple high intensity acoustic/tap stimuli compared with their siblings. (A) Line graph representing distance travelled by larvae at 7dpf during the complete high intensity multiple tap paradigm comprising 10 high intensity taps (red arrows) with 10 s inter-stimulus intervals (sibling larvae in blue, vps11(plt) in green). (B) Graph representing average distance moved by sibling (blue; n = 68) and vps11(plt) (green; n = 64) larvae at each individual tap stimulus. (C) First order exponential decay curve representing average distance travelled by sibling (blue; n = 68) and vps11(plt) (green; n = 64) larvae at each individual tap stimuli. *P < 0.0001. (D) Box-plots representing the average distance travelled by sibling (blue; n = 68) and vps11(plt) (green; n = 64) larvae at all 10 tap stimuli. *P < 0.0001. (E) Line graph representing distance travelled by larvae at 7dpf during the complete high intensity multiple tap paradigm comprising 10 high intensity taps (red arrows) with 10 s inter-stimulus intervals (sibling larvae in blue, vps11(−/−) in green). (F) Graph representing average distance moved by sibling (blue; n = 48) and vps11(−/−) (green; n = 44) larvae at each individual tap stimuli. (G) First order exponential decay curve representing average distance travelled by sibling (blue; n = 48) and vps11(−/−) (green; n = 44) larvae at each individual tap stimuli. *P < 0.0001. (H) Box-plots representing the average distance travelled by sibling (blue; n = 48) and vps11(−/−) (green; n = 44) larvae at all 10 tap stimuli. *P < 0.0005. Error bars indicate SEM.

individuals also develop retinal degeneration; however, Zhang et al., 20166 reported that the loss of vision in their cohort of 5 patients was likely due to cortical blindness/optic atrophy, and not retinal degeneration. This issue will likely be clarified as more clinical case reports emerge. The early retinal degeneration phenotype in the vps11(plt) mutants may result from the constraints of the rapid embryonic development of zebrafish, driving an accelerated and/or exacerbated neuronal degeneration pathology. Future work on Vps11 mutant mice, which develop slower than zebrafish during early development, may give some insight into any underlining contribution of retinal degeneration to Vps11-dependent vision loss.

Paired with MRI, the stagnation, delay, or regression in developing motor skills are critical for definitive diagnosis of gLE. Consistent with this, Zhang et al., 20166 reported that all patients with the Vps11(C846G) mutation initially presented with low tone and/or delay in motor skill development. As a first attempt to test the sensorimotor function of vps11(plt) and vps11(−/−) mutants separate from the visual system, we utilized an acoustic/tap startle response at low and high intensities. The Noldus DanioVision System comes with 8 preset mutant mice, which develop slower than zebrafish during early development, may give some insight into any underlining contribution of retinal degeneration to Vps11-dependent vision loss.

Caution should be given to equating the acoustic/tap stimulus in zebrafish to acoustic, startle-responses used to test hearing loss in human infants. In zebrafish, the acoustic/tap must reverberate through the water and would therefore be perceived by their lateral line system. This network of sensory hair neurons on the body flank of fish is used to sense movement in the surrounding water. It is well-established that motor responses to acoustic stimuli in larval and adult zebrafish are mediated through the combination of the lateral line hair cells and the highly-myelinated Mauthner neurons in the hindbrain. Chemical ablation of the hair cells or loss of the Mauthner neurons results in a latency in escape response to acoustic stimuli. We previously showed that 7dpf vps11(plt) larvae display significant hypomyelination of Mauthner neurons, which may account for their reduced startle response to the acoustic/tap stimulus. Finally, it should be noted that even in human patients with gLE, including patients with the VPS11(C846G) mutation, hearing loss is highly variable in severity.

A recent study demonstrated that wild-type zebrafish larvae exhibit a classic habituation response to multiple acoustic/tap stimuli by 5dpf, reinforcing previous work from the 1970s that first used zebrafish to study this basic form of learning. As an initial assessment of higher circuit development in our mutant lines, we tested their habituation response to series of acoustic taps at 7dpf (Fig. 7). A number of interesting findings emerged from this set of experiments. First, we observed that both vps11(plt) and vps11(−/−) mutants responded to each tap with a burst of movement followed by a return to baseline (Figs. 7A,E). Hyperactivity, sporadic movements, or failure to respond was not observed. However, we did observe that both mutant lines habituated at a significantly faster rate than their siblings (Fig. 7C,G). Finally, when we compared the vps11(plt) and vps11(−/−) mutant datasets to each other, we found that the vps11(plt) mutants habituated significantly faster than the vps11(−/−) mutants (Fig. 8B). It is unclear what may account for this difference, but it should be noted that we observed several unexpected findings from the vps11(plt) mutants in our previous behavioral paradigms. As noted above, under two conditions, the vps11(plt) mutants actually moved more than their siblings in response to light stimulation and a single acoustic tap (Figs. 2C–D, 3B). We cannot account for the difference between the mutants based on obvious variables; both lines have been on the same AB background strain for over five years, multiple different carrier pairs were used to generate larvae for these studies, and the overall sample size is high.

We can therefore only discuss potential reasons that could be used to drive future explorations. It is possible
that differences in protein structure and HOPS/CORVET complex formation accounts for these differences. If vps11(plt) mutants make a truncated Vps11(plt) protein, then it is possible that cellular energy used to constantly degrade vps11(plt) mutant mRNAs and/or protein could result in greater "stress" than simply not have a protein in the vps11(−/−) null animals. It is also possible that the vps11(plt) mutants do not habituate faster in the classical sense, but instead have a higher level of motor fatigue. Regardless of the mechanism, the outcome on the vps11(plt) animals is subtle, as we have not noted any other pathological differences between the vps11(plt) and vps11(−/−) mutants to present. Future work will be needed to determine whether the differences we reported here persist with higher-level cognitive behavioral tests (i.e. long-term memory).

Collectively, we show for the first time a progressive loss of visuomotor responses in a vertebrate Vps11 mutant model. These data are consistent with the gradual loss of visual acuity and motor tone in human gLE patients with the VPS11:C846G mutation. We suggest that the mutants and behavioral assays described here could be a valuable model system in which to test potential pharmacological interventions for gLE.

Received: 21 July 2021; Accepted: 17 February 2022
Published online: 03 March 2022

References
1. Vanderver, A. et al. Case definition and classification of leukodystrophies and leukoencephalopathies. Mol. Genet. Metab. 114, 494–500. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ymgme.2015.01.006 (2015).
2. Parikh, S. et al. A clinical approach to the diagnosis of patients with leukodystrophies and genetic leukoencephalopathies. Mol. Genet. Metab. 114, 501–515. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ymgme.2015.04.004 (2015).
3. Lynch, D. S. et al. Clinical and genetic characterization of leukoencephalopathies in adults. Brain 140, 1204–1211. https://doi.org/10.1093/brain/awu046 (2017).
4. Vanderver, A. et al. Whole exome sequencing in patients with white matter abnormalities. Ann. Neurol. 79, 1031–1037. https://doi.org/10.1002/ana.24630 (2016).
5. Edwardson, S. et al. Hypomyelination and developmental delay associated with VPS11 mutation in Ashkenazi-Jewish patients. J. Med. Genet. 52, 749–753. https://doi.org/10.1136/jmedgenet-2015-103239 (2015).
6. Zhang, J. et al. A founder mutation in VPS11 causes an autosomal recessive leukoencephalopathy linked to autophagic defects. PLoS Genet. 12, e1005848. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1005848 (2016).
7. Kim, B. Y. et al. Molecular characterization of mammalian homologues of class C Vps proteins that interact with syntaxin-7. J. Biol. Chem. 276, 28993–29002. https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M101779200 (2001).
8. Nickerson, D. P., Brett, C. L. & Merz, A. J. Vps-C complexes: gatekeepers of endolysosomal traffic.Curr. Opin. Cell Biol. 21, 543–551. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ceb.2009.05.007 (2009).
9. Balderhaar, H. J. & Ungermann, C. CORVET and HOPS tethering complexes-coordinators of endosome and lysosome fusion. J. Cell Sci. 126, 1307–1316. https://doi.org/10.1242/jcs.107805 (2013).
10. Thomas, J. L. et al. The loss of vacuolar protein sorting 11 (vps11) causes retinal pathogenesis in a vertebrate model of syndromic albinism. Invest. Ophthalmol. Vis. Sci. 52, 319–3128. https://doi.org/10.1167/iovs.10-5957 (2011).
11. Clancery, L. F., Beir, A. J., Linbo, T. H. & Cooper, C. D. Maintenance of melanophore morphology and survival is cathepsin and vps11 dependent in zebrafish. PLoS ONE 8, e65096. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0065096 (2013).
12. Peterson, K. A. et al. Melanosome maturation proteins Oca2, Mitfa and Vps11 are differentially required for cisplatin resistance in zebrafish melanocytes. Exp. Dermatol. 28, 795–800. https://doi.org/10.1111/exd.13937 (2019).
13. Westerfield, M. The Zebrafish Book. A Guide for the Laboratory Use of Zebrafish (Danio rerio), 4th edn, (University of Oregon Press, 2000).
14. Beppi, C., Straumann, D. & Bogli, S. Y. Author Correction: A model-based quantification of startle reflex habituation in larval zebrafish. Sci. Rep. 11, 8166. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-021-87654-5 (2021).
15. Rinner, O., Rick, J. M. & Neuhaus, S. C. Contrast sensitivity, spatial and temporal tuning of the larval zebrafish optokinetic response. Invest. Ophthalmol. Vis. Sci. 46, 137–142. https://doi.org/10.1167/iovs.04-0682 (2005).
16. MacPhail, R. C. et al. Locomotion in larval zebrafish: Influence of time of day, lighting and ethanol. Neurotoxicology 30, 52–58. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuro.2008.09.011 (2009).
17. Segala, G. et al. Vps11 and Vps18 of Vps-C membrane traffic complexes are E3 ubiquitin ligases and fine-tune signalling. Nat. Commun. 10, 1833. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-019-09800-y (2019).

Figure 8. vps11(plt) and vps11(−/−) mutants show different rates of habituation response to multiple high intensity acoustic/tap stimuli. (A) Graph representing average distance moved by vps11(plt) (dashed line; n = 64) and vps11(−/−) (solid line; n = 44) larvae at each individual tap stimuli B. First order exponential decay curve representing average distance travelled by vps11(plt) (dashed line; n = 64) and vps11(−/−) (solid line; n = 44) larvae at each individual tap stimuli aP < 0.0001. (C) Box-plots representing the average distance travelled by vps11(plt) (n = 64) and vps11(−/−) (n = 44) larvae at all 10 tap stimuli. Error bars indicate SEM.

3. Lynch, D. S.
2. Parikh, S.
1. Vanderver, A.
18. Bröcker, C. et al. Molecular architecture of the multisubunit homotypic fusion and vacuole protein sorting (HOPS) tethering complex. J. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. 109, 1991–1996. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1117797109 (2012).

19. Plemel, R. L. et al. Subunit organization and Rab interactions of Vps-C protein complexes that control endolysosomal membrane traffic. Mol. Biol. Cell 22, 1353–1363. https://doi.org/10.1091/mbc.e10-03-0260 (2011).

20. Kalchev, A. V. et al. Towards a comprehensive catalog of zebrafish behavior 1.0 and beyond. Zebrafish 10, 70–86. https://doi.org/10.1089/zeb.2012.0861 (2013).

21. Orger, M. B. & de Polavieja, G. G. Zebrafish behavior: Opportunities and challenges. Annu. Rev. Neurosci. 40, 125–147. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-neuro-071714-033857 (2017).

22. Serra, E. L., Medalha, C. C. & Mattioli, R. Natural preference of zebrafish (Danio rerio) for a dark environment. Braz. J. Med. Biol. Res. 32, 1531–1533. https://doi.org/10.1590/s0100-879x1999012000016 (1999).

23. Steenbergen, P. J., Richardson, M. K. & Champagne, D. L. Patterns of avoidance behaviours in the light/dark preference test in young juvenile zebrafish: a pharmacological study. Behav. Brain Res. 222, 15–25. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbr.2011.03.025 (2011).

24. Burgess, H. A. & Granato, M. Modulation of locomotor activity in larval zebrafish during light adaptation. J. Exp. Biol. 210, 2526–2539. https://doi.org/10.1242/jeb.003939 (2007).

25. Brosamle, C. & Halpern, M. E. Characterization of myelination in the developing zebrafish. Glia 59, 47–57. https://doi.org/10.1002/glia.10808 (2002).

26. Stinchcombe, A. R. et al. M1-type, but Not M4-type, melanopsin ganglion cells are physiologically tuned to the central circadian clock. Front. Neurosci. 15, 652996. https://doi.org/10.3389/ fnins.2021.652996 (2021).

27. Kokel, D. et al. Identification of nonvisual photomotor response cells in the vertebrate hindbrain. J. Neurosci. 33, 3834–3843. https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.3689-12.2013 (2013).

28. Fernandes, A. M. et al. Deep brain photoreceptors control light-seeking behavior in zebrafish larvae. Curr. Biol. 32, 2042–2047. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2012.08.016 (2012).

29. Garbutt, S. & Harris, C. M. Abnormal vertical optokinetic nystagmus in infants and children. Br. J. Ophthalmol. 84, 451–455. https://doi.org/10.1136/bjo.84.5.451 (2000).

30. Jacobs, M., Harris, C., Shaw, D., & Taylor, D. The objective assessment of abnormal eye movements in infants and young children. Aust. N. Z. J. Ophthalmol. 20, 185–195. https://doi.org/10.10111/j.1442-9071.1992.tb00938.x (1992).

31. Rosander, K. & von Hofsten, C. Visual-vestibular interaction in early infancy. Exp. Brain Res. 133, 321–333. https://doi.org/10.1007/s002210000413 (2000).

32. Rosander, K. & von Hofsten, C. Development of gaze tracking of small and large objects. Exp. Brain Res. 146, 257–264. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00221-002-1161-2 (2002).

33. Daniele, L. L., Emran, E., Lobo, G. P., Gaivin, R. I. & Perkins, B. D. Mutation of ret, a component of the guided entry of tail-anchored protein pathway, disrupts photoreceptor synapse structure and function. Invest. Ophthalmol. Vis. Sci. 57, 2942–2954. https://doi.org/10.1167/iovs.15-18996 (2016).

34. Huang, Y. T. & Neuhaus, S. C. The optokinetic response in zebrafish and its applications. Front. Biosci. 13, 1899–1916. https://doi.org/10.2741/2810 (2008).

35. Huber-Reggi, S. P., Mueller, K. P. & Neuhaus, S. C. Analysis of optokinetic response in zebrafish by computer-based eye tracking. Methods Mol. Biol. 935, 139–160. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-62703-080-9_10 (2013).

36. Messchaert, M. et al. Eyes shut homolog is important for the maintenance of photoreceptor morphology and visual function in zebrafish. PLoS ONE 13, e0200789. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0200789 (2018).

37. Neuhaus, S. C. et al. Genetic disorders of vision revealed by a behavioral screen of 400 essential loci in zebrafish. J. Neurosci. 19, 8603–8615 (1999).

38. Hardy, K. et al. Functional development and regeneration of hair cells in the zebrafish lateral line. J. Physiol. https://doi.org/10.1113/JP281522 (2021).

39. Kohashi, T., Nakata, N. & Oda, Y. Effective sensory modality activating an escape triggering neuron switches during early development in zebrafish. J. Neurosci. 32, 5810–5820. https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.6169-11.2012 (2012).

40. Mekdara, P. J., Schwalbe, M. A. B., Coughlin, L. L. & Tytell, E. D. The effects of lateral line ablation and regeneration in schooling giant danios. J. Exp. Biol. https://doi.org/10.1242/jeb.175166 (2018).

41. Wang, C. et al. Evaluation of the hair cell regeneration in Zebrafish Larvae by measuring and quantifying the startle responses. Neural. Plast. 2017, 8283075. https://doi.org/10.1155/2017/8283075 (2017).

42. Kohashi, T. & Oda, Y. Initiation of Mauthner- or non-Mauthner-mediated fast escape evoked by different modes of sensory input. J. Neurosci. 28, 10641–10653. https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.1453-08.2008 (2008).

43. Eaton, R. C., Bombardieri, R. A. & Meyer, D. L. The Mauthner-initiated startle response in teleost fish. J. Exp. Biol. 66, 65–81 (1977).

44. Eaton, R. C., Farley, R. D., Kimmel, C. B. & Schabtach, E. Functional development in the Mauthner cell system of embryos and larvae of the zebrafish. J. Neurobiol. 8, 151–172. https://doi.org/10.1002/neu.480080207 (1977).

Author contributions
S.B. performed all motor and acoustic behavioral experiments and wrote the manuscript. L.E.R. assisted with behavioral analysis. X.L. made the vps11 (−/−) line and assisted with zebrafish husbandry. L.T.C. and J.F. performed the O.K.R. analysis. B.D.P. provided reagents, supervised the O.K.R. analysis, and edited the manuscript. R.T. designed the experiments, supervised S.B., L.E.R., and X.L., provided reagents, and edited the manuscript.

Funding
Funding was provided by National Institutes of Health (Grant nos. 5R01EY030574, R01EY026551), Research to Prevent Blindness, National Institutes of Health, United States (Grant no. P30EY004068).

Competing interests
The authors declare no competing interests.

Additional information
Supplementary Information The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-022-07448-1.

Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to R.T.

Reprints and permissions information is available at www.nature.com/reprints.
Publisher’s note  Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Open Access  This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article’s Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article’s Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

© The Author(s) 2022