Role of Carbonic Anhydrases and Inhibitors in Acid–Base Physiology: Insights from Mathematical Modeling
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Abstract: Carbonic anhydrases (CAs) catalyze a reaction fundamental for life: the bidirectional conversion of carbon dioxide (CO$_2$) and water (H$_2$O) into bicarbonate (HCO$_3^-$) and protons (H$^+$). These enzymes impact numerous physiological processes that occur within and across the many compartments in the body. Within compartments, CAs promote rapid H$^+$ buffering and thus the stability of pH-sensitive processes. Between compartments, CAs promote movements of H$^+$, CO$_2$, HCO$_3^-$, and related species. This traffic is central to respiration, digestion, and whole-body/cellular pH regulation. Here, we focus on the role of mathematical modeling in understanding how CA enhances buffering as well as gradients that drive fluxes of CO$_2$ and other solutes (facilitated diffusion). We also examine urinary acid secretion and the carriage of CO$_2$ by the respiratory system. We propose that the broad physiological impact of CAs stem from three fundamental actions: promoting H$^+$ buffering, enhancing H$^+$ exchange between buffer systems, and facilitating diffusion. Mathematical modeling can be a powerful tool for: (1) clarifying the complex interdependencies among reaction, diffusion, and protein-mediated components of physiological processes; (2) formulating hypotheses and making predictions to be tested in wet-lab experiments; and (3) inferring data that are impossible to measure.
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1. Introduction

Carbonic anhydrases (CAs) are ubiquitous metalloenzymes that catalyze one of the most important reactions in life: the interconversion of carbon dioxide (CO$_2$) and water (H$_2$O) into bicarbonate (HCO$_3^-$) and protons (H$^+$). Because this reaction is so fundamental to life, understanding the physiological role of this enzyme has been the focus of research for almost 90 years since the discovery of CA, in red blood cells (RBCs), by Meldrum and Roughton [1]. The discovery of CA occurred at a time during which physiologists were intensely interested in the chemical nature of blood, and in understanding how the slow interconversion of CO$_2$ and HCO$_3^-$ in vitro (>1 min) could be compatible with the relative fast pulmonary capillary transit time (~1 s). Our understanding has increased substantially since those days.

We now know that CAs fall into at least seven unique families (α, β, γ, δ, ξ, η and θ)—encoded by seven evolutionarily distinct gene families—and that at least one CA family is present in virtually every living organism.
The initial descriptions of the \( \eta \) and \( \theta \) gene families are only a few years old [2,3]. For a review of the CA families, see a recent monograph [4]. To date, investigators have deposited in the PDB over 900 CA structures [5]. Although most of these structures represent the \( \alpha \)-family, the structures also include those for the \( \beta \), \( \gamma \) and \( \xi \) families. For additional information on the history and recent advancements of crystallography of CA, we refer to a recent review [5].

The \( \alpha \)-family is the most widely studied because of its role in human physiology and pathophysiology. The \( \alpha \)-CA family comprises 16 members in vertebrates, each with a characteristic tissue-specific expression, cellular and sub-cellular localizations, kinetics and sensitivity to inhibitors [6–9]). Based on their sub-cellular localization, the members of the \( \alpha \)-CA family can be divided into four groups: cytosolic (CA I, CA II, CA III, CA VII, CA VIII, CA X, CA XI, and CA XIII), mitochondrial (CA VA and CA VB), secretory (CA VI), and membrane-associated (CA IV, CA IX, CA XII, CA XIV, and CA XV). The mature membrane-associated CAs can be either glycosylphosphatidylinositol (GPI)-linked (CA IV and CA XV) or transmembrane (CA IX, CA XII and CA XIV).

Three of the cytosolic CAs—CA VIII, CA X and CA XI—are catalytically inactive, due to the absence of critical amino acids (e.g., His residues necessary to coordinate \( \text{Zn}^{2+} \) at the reaction center). Hence, these CAs are called CA-related proteins (CARPs). Although the CARPs are linked to human disease and are known to interact with cytosolic proteins, their physiological roles remain unclear (for reviews, see [10–13]). For all three CARPs, mutations that partially restore the catalytic site to resemble that of the classical \( \alpha \)-CAs engender catalytic activity [14–16]. In addition to the 16 aforementioned CAs, two receptor protein tyrosine phosphatases (RPTPs), RPTP\( \gamma \) and RPTP\( \zeta \), have extracellular CA-like domains (CALDs) that are closely related to the CARPs. Recent work indicates that RPTP\( \gamma \) may be a dual sensor for extracellular \( \text{CO}_2 \) and \( \text{HCO}_3^- \) that is responsible for the physiological response of renal proximal tubules to changes in blood levels of \( \text{CO}_2 \) and \( \text{HCO}_3^- \) [17]. It may be that, rather than interconverting \( \text{CO}_2 \) and \( \text{HCO}_3^- \), the CALD domains (and the closely related CARPs), sense \( \text{CO}_2 \) vs. \( \text{HCO}_3^- \).

Because CAs catalyze a reaction that is so fundamental in life, these enzymes affect a wide range of physiological processes in a variety of tissues and cellular compartments. These processes include respiration (e.g., transmembrane \( \text{CO}_2 \) movements, \( \text{O}_2 \) exchange in red blood cells via the pH and \( \text{CO}_2 \) Bohr effects), transepithelial fluid secretion, transepithelial acid–base transport (e.g., gastric-acid secretion and pancreatic \( \text{HCO}_3^- \) secretion), and acid secretion by osteoclasts in bone resorption [18–22].

CA-dependent acid–base transport by renal epithelia plays a central role in regulating whole-body acid–base balance, which in turns affects cell pH, the stability of which is critical for countless biochemical reactions (e.g., gluconeogenesis, ureagenesis, and lipogenesis) and nearly every other cellular function. Overexpression of CA is associated with a variety of pathological states, including glaucoma, tumorigenesis, obesity, calcification and epilepsy [9,23–28]. Thus, investigators continue to invest considerable attention to the design of CA-selective inhibitors as potential therapeutic agents [29–32].

CAs have been the subject of numerous reviews and monographs of a general nature [4,8,33–40], or that focus on specific aspects of CA biology, including diseases [41–48], protein structures [5,7,49], and drugs [8,29–31]. The present review differs because it focuses on the mathematical modeling of CA biology in the context of acid–base physiology and the movements of \( \text{CO}_2 \) across cell membranes that separate the many compartments within the body. One major compartment is the extracellular fluid (ECF) that bathes the cells of the body. This is Claude Bernard’s “milieu intérieur”, and includes blood plasma, interstitial fluid, and transcellular fluid (e.g., cerebral spinal fluid). A complication is that the composition of the ECF varies regionally. Thus, blood-plasma composition not only changes as blood courses along a capillary, but the profile potentially differs among each of the body’s 10 billion capillaries. Another major compartment is the intracellular fluid (ICF), which includes the cytosol and fluid inside of cellular organelles. Of course, each of the 30–40 trillion cells in the human body, even adjacent cells, has a potentially unique set of compositions. Finally, the body surrounds many fluid compartments—Bernard’s “milieu extérieur”—that really are outside the body. These compartments...
include the contents of renal tubules in which urine formation occurs, alveoli in which pulmonary gas exchange occurs, and the entire gastrointestinal tract, including structures that diverge from the intestines (e.g., ducts of the pancreas). Nearly all of these countless compartments, and many of the membranes that surround them, contain CAs. Mathematical modeling holds the potential of helping us understand physiology in areas of the body where processes are currently impossible to measure or difficult to interpret. Thus, in this review, we also consider how mathematical modeling can provide insights on CA functions in tissues—that is, complex compartments—taking as specific examples renal proximal tubules as well as alveoli and their adjacent capillaries.

2. Role of Carbonic Anhydrases in Acid–Base Buffering

In the next sections, we review some concepts of acid–base chemistry that are important for understanding the role of CA in acid–base homeostasis. For a more in depth treatment of these topics, we refer to Ref. [50], which provides a tutorial for beginners in the field. For a more advanced treatment of these topics, we refer to Refs. [51,52].

2.1. Role of Buffers in Acid–Base Homeostasis

For any compartment in the body—or nanodomains adjacent to membranes or other structures—maintaining pH within a narrow range could be essential for normal physiological processes. Homeostasis is the active control—and thus the tendency to stabilize the value—of a vital physiological parameter. Integrated over many compartments, pH homeostasis is critical for life. The fine tuning of steady-state pH in a compartment is the result of adjustments in the rates at which acid–base equivalents move across the membranes bordering that compartment.

Intimately related to the concept of pH homeostasis is that of buffering. A buffer is any chemical that can reversibly consume or release H⁺:

\[ \text{HB}^{(n+1)} \rightleftharpoons B^n + H^+ \]  

(1)

In the above reaction, HB\(^{(n+1)}\) is a weak acid with a valence of \(n + 1\), whereas B\(^n\) is the conjugate weak base with a valence of \(n\). It is important to note that buffers play no role in establishing the steady-state pH of a compartment—that depends only on the addition or consumption of acid–base equivalents. Nevertheless, buffers do play two important roles in acid–base homeostasis, but only temporary (time-dependent) ones: (1) An acute acid or alkaline load to a compartment perturbs the equilibria of a multitude of pH buffers, and the buffers reactions respond by tending to minimize the initial change in pH. (2) The compensatory response of acid–base transporters to the pH perturbation shifts these equilibria in the direction opposite to that of the original perturbation, so that the buffer reactions tend to slow the attainment of the new steady state. In other words, the role of buffers is to prevent pH from changing too far or too fast—they tend to stabilize pH [50,51,53,54].

For organisms with substantial rates of CO\(_2\)/HCO\(_3^-\) metabolism, the CO\(_2\)/HCO\(_3^-\) buffer pair—a special case of the buffer in Reaction (1)—can, in principle, be a more powerful buffer than all other buffers combined. However, this high CO\(_2\)/HCO\(_3^-\) buffering power has two requirements: (1) As we discuss below, the “system” must be “open” vis-à-vis CO\(_2\)/HCO\(_3^-\). (2) The CO\(_2\)/HCO\(_3^-\) buffer reactions must be able to progress with sufficient velocity that they can contribute in the available time—the raison d’être for carbonic anhydrases.

2.2. The CO\(_2\)/HCO\(_3^-\) Buffer System and Related Species

The major components of the CO\(_2\)/HCO\(_3^-\) buffer system—CO\(_2\), HCO\(_3^-\) and H\(^+\)—are linked through the two-step reaction

\[ \text{CO}_2 + \text{H}_2\text{O} \rightleftharpoons \text{H}_2\text{CO}_3 \rightleftharpoons \text{HCO}_3^- + \text{H}^+ \]  

(2)
The first reaction—the CO\(_2\) hydration/carbonic acid (H\(_2\)CO\(_3\)) dehydration reaction—is very slow. The second reaction—the dissociation or ionization of H\(_2\)CO\(_3\)—is extremely rapid and is always at equilibrium under physiological conditions [55]. Because many physiological processes occur in a time scale much faster than that of the CO\(_2\) hydration reaction, most tissues and cells in the body express a form of CA.

The reactions in (2) can be written in the thermodynamically equivalent form

\[
\text{CO}_2 + \text{H}_2\text{O} \rightleftharpoons \text{HCO}_3^- + \text{H}^+ \tag{3}
\]

The Henderson–Hasselbalch equation describes the equilibrium, in logarithmic form, of the above reaction and states that, in a simple CO\(_2/\text{HCO}_3^-\) buffer system, pH depends on the ratio of the concentrations of HCO\(_3^-\) and dissolved CO\(_2\):

\[
\text{pH} = pK_{\text{CO}_2} + \log_{10} \left( \frac{[\text{HCO}_3^-]}{[\text{CO}_2]} \right) \tag{4}
\]

where \(pK_{\text{CO}_2}\) is the negative \(\log_{10}\) of the equilibrium constant \(K\) of Reaction (3), and has a value of \(~6.10\) in mammalian blood plasma at 37 °C.

In addition to the above CO\(_2\)-related reactions, several others can occur in biological solutions. For example, the HCO\(_3^-\) that forms in Reaction (2) can dissociate rapidly to form carbonate (CO\(_3^{2-}\)):

\[
\text{HCO}_3^- \rightleftharpoons \text{CO}_3^{2-} + \text{H}^+ \tag{5}
\]

This reaction, governed by a \(pK\) of \(~10.3\) at 37 °C, is of limited significance for CO\(_2/\text{HCO}_3^-\) buffering except in high-pH compartments, where [OH\(^-\)] is high. Moreover, CO\(_3^{2-}\), in turn, can form ion pairs with Na\(^+\), Li\(^+\), Ca\(^{2+}\) and Mg\(^{2+}\). Finally, Reaction (5) is crucial for certain so-called HCO\(_3^-\) transporters that in fact appear to carry CO\(_3^{2-}\) [56].

Another reaction that involves CO\(_2\) is the direct combination with OH\(^-\):

\[
\text{CO}_2 + \text{OH}^- \rightleftharpoons \text{HCO}_3^- \tag{6}
\]

Like Reaction (5), Reaction (6) is of little significance for CO\(_2/\text{HCO}_3^-\) buffering except in high-pH compartments, where [OH\(^-\)] is high. Although the literature often simplifies the situation by stating that CAs catalyze the first step in Reaction (2), the α-CAs at the level of the catalytic zinc atom (i.e., Zn\(^{2+}\)) catalyze Reaction (6), while at the same time splitting H\(_2\)O (H\(_2\)O \rightleftharpoons H^+ + OH\(^-\)) to provide the needed OH\(^-\). Recently, Zhao and colleagues developed a novel assay for measuring CA activity, based on stopped-flow techniques and the creation of a well-defined out-of-equilibrium condition [57].

From the above discussion, we can conclude that most CO\(_2\)-related carbon in the human body—aside from the carbonates in structures such as bone and tooth enamel—is present in the form of HCO\(_3^-\). In fact, for a pH of 7.40—the value of normal, human arterial plasma at 37 °C—the Henderson–Hasselbalch equation predicts that the concentration of HCO\(_3^-\) is approximately 20 times the concentration of dissolved CO\(_2\). For a pH of 7.20—a representative value of normal intracellular pH of most cells—the Henderson–Hasselbalch equation predicts that the concentration of HCO\(_3^-\) is approximately 12.6 times the concentration of dissolved CO\(_2\).

2.3. Competition among Buffers

H\(^+\) (or the OH\(^-\) formed in the reaction H\(_2\)O \rightleftharpoons H^+ + OH\(^-\)) is the common denominator in the above reactions. In other words, these reactions are all competing for a common pool of H\(^+\)/OH\(^-\). Understanding this competition is extraordinarily complex. In the 20\(^{th}\) century, physiologists quantitating acid–base chemistry typically employed the so-called Davenport diagram [58], which lumps together all non-CO\(_2/\text{HCO}_3^-\) buffers in Reaction (1) into a single pseudo-buffer, the protonation of which varies approximately linearly with pH, and ignores all reactions involving CO\(_3^{2-}\). Moreover,
the Davenport approach applies only to equilibrium conditions in a single compartment. Reference [51] provides a theoretical explanation for constructing the Davenport diagram and using it to interpret acid–base disturbances. Nevertheless, the Davenport approach was a major step forward in understanding the competition among buffer reactions.

Modern computational approaches make it possible to model explicitly each individual buffer pair, as done by Somersalo et al. [59], and to simulate how the system achieves equilibrium in a time- and space-dependent manner, taking into consideration both the reactions and diffusion of each buffer component. Moreover, preliminary reports describe extensions of such models to reactions involving CO$_3^{2-}$ [60]. A recent modeling contribution describes the reaction and diffusion processes in a confined space near a cell membrane [61].

2.4. CO$_2$/HCO$_3^-$ Buffering in Closed vs. Open Systems

A closed system is one from which none of the components of CO$_2$/HCO$_3^-$—related reactions (including any carbonate-related species) can escape, nor into which none can enter. Here, the buffering power (β$_{\text{closed}}$)—a measure of pH stability—is maximal when pH = pK$_{\text{CO2}}$ and falls off symmetrically at higher/lower pH values. In general, for a buffer pair of the form HB/B$^-$, β$_{\text{closed}}$ is

$$\beta_{\text{closed}} = 2.3 \frac{[TB]}{(\beta + K)}$$  (7)

According to Equation (7), β$_{\text{closed}}$ is proportional to the total amount of buffer, [TB]—which is the sum of [HB] and [B$^-$] [51].

In a closed system, the buffering power of CO$_2$/HCO$_3^-$ is relatively low, as for any other buffer pair (e.g., H$_2$PO$_4^-$/HPO$_4^{2-}$). In such a closed system, the buffering of added H$^+$ in Reaction (2) is limited by the depletion of HCO$_3^-$ and build-up of CO$_2$. Conversely, buffering due to H$^+$ depletion is limited by the depletion of CO$_2$ and build-up of HCO$_3^-$. Although CO$_2$/HCO$_3^-$ is a mediocre buffer in a closed system, it can be extremely powerful if the “system” is open to CO$_2$, as is generally the case. For example, the CO$_2$ in arterial blood plasma is virtually in equilibrium with the CO$_2$ in alveolar air, which acts as an infinite sink for CO$_2$ because of the body’s ability to regulate ventilation and thereby stabilize alveolar [CO$_2$] despite large changes in the metabolic production of CO$_2$. Thus, the buffering of H$^+$ in Reaction (2) is limited only by the depletion or accumulation of HCO$_3^-$ because the CO$_2$ produced ultimately ends up in the atmosphere. In such an open system, β$_{\text{open}}$ for CO$_2$/HCO$_3^-$ rises exponentially with pH, as follows:

$$\beta_{\text{open}} = 2.3 \frac{[HCO_3^-]}{[CO_2]} = 2.3 \cdot 10^{(\text{pH} - pK_{\text{CO2}})}$$  (8)

and—in the physiological range—can be enormous.

Because blood plasma is in direct communication with the bulk of the ECF, and because CO$_2$ in the ECF equilibrates across cell membranes [62] with the CO$_2$ in the cytoplasm, the human body behaves more or less as one large open system for CO$_2$. The ability of the body to exploit this large CO$_2$/HCO$_3^-$ buffering power requires that the CO$_2$/HCO$_3^-$ buffer reaction can take place on an appropriately rapid physiological time scale, which is the job of CAs.

3. Role of Carbonic Anhydrases in the Facilitated Diffusion of CO$_2$ and Other Buffers

The traffic of CO$_2$ into or out of a compartment depends not only on the movement of CO$_2$ across the border of that compartment (e.g., the plasma membrane in the case of a cell) but also on the diffusion of CO$_2$ across the adjacent unstirred layers—more accurately termed unconvected layers [59]—on both sides of the membrane (i.e., intracellular and extracellular fluids in the case of a cell). Because free diffusion of CO$_2$ is often slow considering the rapid time and spatial scales of physiological processes, the CO$_2$/HCO$_3^-$ buffer—in addition to its role in buffering—can play a
key role in facilitating (or, equivalently, augmenting) the diffusion of CO$_2$ within or across the many compartments of the body.

In the next sections, we discuss how CO$_2$/HCO$_3^-$-related reactions and CA can augment the diffusion of CO$_2$ within aqueous unconvected layers (ULs) and across membranes. In particular, we focus our attention on some of the work done in the past 50 years and that has set important milestones towards our understanding of the contribution of the reaction and diffusion processes involved in facilitating CO$_2$ diffusion.

3.1. Role of CA in the Facilitated Diffusion of CO$_2$

“Facilitated CO$_2$ diffusion” describes the contribution of HCO$_3^-$, H$^+$, and other buffer pairs (HB/B$^-$) to CO$_2$ transport by simultaneous reaction and diffusion processes in which the parallel diffusion of HCO$_3^-$, H$^+$, and HB—and the antiparallel movement of B$^-$—enhances the “free” diffusion of CO$_2$. As we show below, similar processes also can facilitate the diffusion of HCO$_3^-$, H$^+$, B$^-$, and HB.

Figure 1 summarizes the processes (labeled “a”, “b”, “c”, etc.) involved in facilitated CO$_2$ diffusion in a layer of buffered solutions (shaded grey area between Regions 1 and 2). Imagine that we begin with a layer of buffered solution that contains the CO$_2$/HCO$_3^-$ buffer, CA, and a mobile buffer HB/B$^-$—in equilibrium both with respect to chemical reactions and diffusion. We now suddenly raise [CO$_2$] in Region 0 (to the left of Region 1 in Figure 1), thereby establishing a gradient for CO$_2$ from Region 0 (R0) to Region 1 (R1)—that is, [CO$_2$]$_{R0}$ > [CO$_2$]$_{R1}$—and causing a flux of CO$_2$ (“a” Figure 1). The CO$_2$ newly arriving in Region 1 can have two fates (“b” and “c” in Figure 1): (b) because [CO$_2$]$_{R1}$ now exceeds [CO$_2$]$_{R2}$, CO$_2$ can freely diffuse from Region 1 → Region 2 (R2, dashed white arrow); or (c) it can combine with H$_2$O to form equal amounts of HCO$_3^-$ and H$^+$, thereby making [HCO$_3^-$]$_{R1}$ > [HCO$_3^-$]$_{R2}$ and [H$^+$]$_{R1}$ > [H$^+$]$_{R2}$. In Region 1, the presence of CA promotes facilitated diffusion by two mechanisms. First, the CA promotes the consumption of CO$_2$ in Region 1, and thereby magnifies the concentration gradient driving CO$_2$ diffusion from Region 0 → Region 1 (“a” in Figure 1). This is the initial first step of facilitated diffusion: because of CA, carbon (in the form of CO$_2$) moves more rapidly from Region 0 to Region 1. Second, CA in Region 1 promotes the transformation of most of this carbon (under physiological conditions) to HCO$_3^-$, which then diffuses from Region 1 to Region 2 (dashed black arrow; “d” in Figure 1).

The entire process outlined above ought to be pH sensitive. Under physiological conditions (e.g., pH = 7.4, pK$_{CO_2}$ = 6.1), the ratio [HCO$_3^-$]/[CO$_2$] is $10^{(7.4-6.1)} = 20$. Thus, if 21 molecules of CO$_2$ diffused from Region 0 to Region 1, one would remain CO$_2$ but 20 would become HCO$_3^-$ (if we assume that pH does not change). Thus, the CA-catalyzed reaction consumes ~95% of the CO$_2$ arriving in Region 1, thereby enhancing the CO$_2$ gradient from Region 0 → Region 1. Moreover, by converting ~95% of the incoming CO$_2$ to HCO$_3^-$, the reaction increases the concentration gradient from Region 1 → Region 2 by 20× more for HCO$_3^-$ than for CO$_2$. Because CO$_2$ and HCO$_3^-$ have similar diffusion coefficients, the CA-enhanced conversion from CO$_2$ to HCO$_3^-$ in Region 1 greatly accelerates the flux of carbon, mostly in the guise of HCO$_3^-$.

If the ambient pH were the same as the pK$_{CO_2}$, the contribution of facilitated diffusion would be much less. In this case, if two molecules of CO$_2$ diffused from Region 0 to Region 1, one would remain CO$_2$ and one would become HCO$_3^-$. Compared to the example at pH 7.4, the enhancements of both the CO$_2$ gradient from Region 0 → Region 1, and of the HCO$_3^-$ gradient from Region 1 → Region 2 would be diminished.

Once the CO$_2$ and HCO$_3^-$ arrive in Region 2, some of the newly arriving HCO$_3^-$ will—under the influence of CA—combine with H$^+$ to form CO$_2$ and H$_2$O. Region 2 now becomes the new Region 1, and the facilitation process recapitulates itself indefinitely.

We now turn our attention to the non-CO$_2$/HCO$_3^-$ buffer. The newly formed H$^+$ in Region 1 can (see “e” and “f” in Figure 1): (e) diffuse freely from Region 1 to Region 2 (dashed grey arrow); or (f) react with B$^-$ to form HB. Process “f” is important for two reasons. First, it promotes the conversion
of a greater fraction of incoming CO$_2$ to HCO$_3^−$. Second, because concentration gradients for H$^+$ are seldom large, process “e” is usually very slow. On the other hand, the vast majority of newly formed H$^+$ will react with B$^−$ to form HB, so that the increase in [HB]$_{R1}$ may—depending on pH, pK$_{HB}$, and [TB]$_{R1}$—be orders of magnitude greater than the increase in [H$^+$]$_{R1}$. Thus, the gradient [HB]$_{R1}$ > [HB]$_{R2}$ may increase by orders of magnitude more than the gradient [H$^+$]$_{R1}$ > [H$^+$]$_{R2}$, and thus the presence of the mobile buffer facilitates diffusion of H$^+$ ions from Region 1 to Region 2 (pink shaded area; “g” in Figure 1). After HB arrives in Region 2, it rapidly dissociates into B$^−$ and H$^+$. B$^−$ diffuses back to Region 1 (“h” in Figure 1), whereas the newly formed H$^+$ rapidly reacts with the HCO$_3^−$ ions that have diffused from Region 1 and form CO$_2$.

**Figure 1.** Schematic of the reaction and diffusion processes involved in facilitated CO$_2$ diffusion. Before time zero, we assume that Regions 0, 1, and 2 have identical compositions, with all reactions being in equilibrium and no concentration gradients existing between Regions. At time zero, we establish a CO$_2$ gradient from Region 0 (high [CO$_2$]) to Region 1 (low [CO$_2$]) in the presence of HB/B$^−$, a generic non-CO$_2$/HCO$_3^−$ buffer. Although CO$_2$ is the only species moving from Region 0 to Region 1, all species can move between Region 1 and Region 2. Some CO$_2$ moves from Region 1 to Region 2 by free diffusion. The shaded grey area identifies facilitated CO$_2$ diffusion (i.e., HCO$_3^−$ and H$^+$ moving in the same direction as CO$_2$) from Region 1 to Region 2. The pink shaded area identifies facilitated H$^+$ diffusion (i.e., the antiparallel movements of HB and B$^−$, with HB [the weak acid] moving in the same direction as CO$_2$ [the potential weak acid]). The solid arrows identify reactions, and the dashed arrows identify free solute diffusion. These reaction and diffusion events greatly accelerate the transfer of carbon (in the guise of CO$_2$ or HCO$_3^−$) from Region 1 to Region 2. For details, see text. CA, carbonic anhydrase.

In summary, facilitated CO$_2$ diffusion depends on four factors, aside from the initial increment in [CO$_2$]$_o$. Viewed from the perspective of Region 1, these are: (i) the difference (pH − pK$_{CO2}$), which establishes the fraction of CO$_2$ (arriving from Region 0) consumed to form HCO$_3^−$; (ii) CA, which accelerates this consumption of incoming CO$_2$; (iii) the total concentration of the mobile buffer, [TB], which influences the velocity of H$^+$ consumption and the magnitudes of the gradients for B$^−$ and HB; and (iv) the difference (pH − pK$_{HB}$), which influences the same parameters as (iii). The same four factors play a converse role as viewed from Region 2, and the eight factors together determine the fluxes through the five dashed arrows in Figure 1. Note that all solutes diffuse in the direction of the CO$_2$ gradient, except B$^−$, which diffuses in the direction opposite to that of HB.

The role of CA in facilitating diffusion of CO$_2$ was first demonstrated in 1966 in the laboratory of Forster [63], where Longmuir and colleagues measured the apparent diffusion coefficient of CO$_2$ ($D_{\text{CO2, app}}$) in buffered solutions. They found that adding to the buffer solution a tiny amount of purified CA, or the CA in hemolyzed whole human blood, increased the value of $D_{\text{CO2, app}}$ from that in water. These authors concluded that, in the absence of CA, the CO$_2$ hydration/dehydration reactions are the rate limiting steps and that CA augments the diffusion of CO$_2$. In the same year, Enns et al. [64] and Moll and Gros [65] demonstrated that facilitated diffusion of CO$_2$ occurs in layers of red blood cells [64,65] and that acetazolamide (ACZ) blocks this facilitation [64]. In 1967, Enns showed that this...
facilitation (vs. the free diffusion of CO₂) increases with increasing pH and becomes dominant at pH values greater than the pKₐ of 6.10, when the concentration of HCO₃⁻ exceeds the concentration of CO₂ [66].

Gros and Moll [67] and Gros et al. [68] underscored the importance of facilitated H⁺ diffusion in the facilitation of CO₂ diffusion. These authors showed that, in addition to CA, facilitated CO₂ diffusion requires a sufficient amount of mobile buffers to provide an equivalent flux of H⁺ (i.e., HB plus H⁺ per se) equal to the flux of HCO₃⁻—consistent with the 1-to-1 stoichiometry of H⁺ and HCO₃⁻ in Reaction (3). They reached this conclusion by complementing their experiments with quantitative considerations [67] and mathematical modeling [68]. In their quantitative approaches, based on Fick’s first law of diffusion or on the Nernst–Planck equation in one dimension, these authors assumed that, in the presence of CA, Reaction (3) is in equilibrium and that facilitated diffusion is electrically silent. Thus, by everywhere imposing: (a) chemical equilibrium of Reaction (3) and (b) electroneutrality in the layer, and also applying (c) appropriate boundary conditions to mimic their experimental conditions, they solved numerically the resulting model equations to predict total fluxes of CO₂ (defined as the sum of the fluxes of dissolved CO₂ and of HCO₃⁻) that would agree quantitatively with the fluxes of CO₂ measured experimentally [68,69]. The model predictions supported the conclusion that soluble proteins (i.e., CAs) and mobile buffers can significantly increase H⁺ diffusion and CO₂ transfer.

3.2. Role of CA in the Facilitated Diffusion of Solutes Other Than CO₂

In addition to facilitating CO₂ diffusion, CA can also promote the facilitated diffusion of HCO₃⁻ and H⁺, as well as of B⁻ and HB.

In Figure 2A, we suddenly raise only [HCO₃⁻] in Region 0, generating a HCO₃⁻ flux from Region 0 to Region 1. At the pH of blood (pH >> pKₐCO₂), only a small fraction of the HCO₃⁻ newly arriving in Region 1 goes on to form CO₂. Thus, the diffusion of CO₂ from Region 1 to Region 2 (i.e., the facilitated diffusion of HCO₃⁻) augments only slightly the free HCO₃⁻ diffusion from Region 1 to Region 2. Note that, because the non-CO₂/HCO₃⁻ buffer now produces H⁺ in Region 1 (rather than consuming H⁺ as in Figure 1), H⁺ now diffuses in the direction opposite that of CO₂ and HCO₃⁻. In summary, CO₂ and B⁻ move in parallel with HCO₃⁻ (the prime mover), whereas H⁺ and HB (i.e., the two acidic non-CO₂/HCO₃⁻ components) move antiparallel.

In Figure 2B, we suddenly raise only [H⁺] in Region 0, generating an H⁺ flux from Region 0 to Region 1. Nearly all of the H⁺ newly arriving in Region 1 goes on to form CO₂ or HB. Thus, the diffusion of CO₂ and HB from Region 1 to Region 2 (i.e., the facilitated diffusion of H⁺) augments greatly the free H⁺ diffusion from Region 1 to Region 2. The CA enhances the contribution of CO₂/HCO₃⁻ vs. HB/B⁻. In summary, H⁺ and the two acidic members of the buffer pairs (i.e., CO₂ and HB) move in parallel with H⁺ (the prime mover), whereas the two basic members of the buffer pairs (i.e., HCO₃⁻ and B⁻) move antiparallel. Spitzer and colleagues examined this system both in terms of physiological experiments on cardiac myocytes and modeling [70].

In Figure 2C, we suddenly raise only [B⁻] in Region 0. The system here behaves similarly to the one in which we raise only [HCO₃⁻] (see Figure 2A) except that: (a) B⁻ replaces HCO₃⁻; and (b) HB replaces CO₂.

In Figure 2D, we suddenly raise only [HB] in Region 0. The system here behaves similarly to the one in which we raise only [CO₂] (see Figure 1) except that: (a) B⁻ replaces HCO₃⁻; and (b) HB replaces CO₂.

3.3. Role of CA in the Diffusion of CO₂ across Artificial Membranes

CA plays an important role not only in facilitating the diffusion of CO₂ within a layer of buffer solutions but also in facilitating diffusion of CO₂ across biological membranes. In this section, we review the reaction and diffusion processes underlying facilitated CO₂ diffusion across membranes.
According to an integrated form of Fick’s first law of diffusion, the flux of CO$_2$ across a membrane ($I_{M,CO_2}$) is equal to the product of the permeability of the membrane to CO$_2$ ($P_{M,CO_2}$) times the difference in the concentration of CO$_2$ across the membrane:

$$I_{M,CO_2} = P_{M,CO_2} \cdot ([CO_2]_{out,aq} - [CO_2]_{in,aq})$$ (9)

where [CO$_2$]$_{out,aq}$ is the concentration of CO$_2$ in the aqueous layer immediately adjacent to the extracellular or outer surface (“out”) of the membrane and [CO$_2$]$_{in,aq}$ is the concentration of CO$_2$ in the aqueous layer immediately adjacent to the intracellular or inner surface (“in”) of the membrane. With these definitions of [CO$_2$], $P_{M,CO_2}$ is the permeability of the membrane per se. If we instead defined the [CO$_2$] values as those in the bulk solution at some distance from the membrane, then the permeability would be less because it would include the effects of the resistance to diffusion offered by the unconvected layers on either side of the membrane [62].

According to Equation (9), $I_{M,CO_2}$ can increase because of an increase in $P_{M,CO_2}$ and/or because of an increase in the transmembrane CO$_2$ concentration difference. Integral membrane proteins such as aquaporins (AQPs) or Rhesus (Rh) can increase $I_{M,CO_2}$ by increasing $P_{M,CO_2}$ [59,71–76]; CAs can increase $I_{M,CO_2}$ by maximzing transmembrane CO$_2$ concentration differences [77–79].

Several authors have investigated facilitated CO$_2$ diffusion across hydrophobic membranes. For example, Broun et al. used artificial membranes, made of silicone rubber, and “enzymatic coating”, to study the mechanisms by which “interfacial enzymatic reactions”, such as CA, may facilitate diffusion of CO$_2$ across a membrane [80,81]. By employing pH-stat recordings and mathematical modeling, they found that transmembrane CO$_2$ fluxes are doubled when CA is on the surface of the membrane [81]. These authors employed a steady-state one-dimensional reaction–diffusion model to predict the concentration profiles of CO$_2$ and HCO$_3^–$ in the boundary layers of unconvected aqueous
solution adjacent to the membrane, when CO$_2$ permeates the membrane (Figure 3A). The model predicts that CA, by increasing the rate of Reaction (3), causes steeper HCO$_3^-$ concentration gradients in the ULs adjacent to the hydrophobic membrane and also steeper CO$_2$ concentration gradients across the membrane [80,81].

Figure 3. Schematic of the reaction and diffusion processes involved in facilitated CO$_2$ diffusion up to and away from membranes that are permeable only to CO$_2$. Before time zero, we assume that all Regions have identical compositions, with all reactions being in equilibrium and no concentration gradients existing between adjacent Regions. At time zero, we establish a CO$_2$ gradient from Region 0 to Region 1. (A) Silicone rubber artificial membrane, with no buffers other than CO$_2$/HCO$_3^-$ in the aqueous solutions (Broun model [80,81]). Here, the CA is attached to both sides of the membrane (“enzymatic coating”), but is present nowhere else in the system. In this system, a low level of facilitated CO$_2$ diffusion—low because CA is not in the bulk solution and no non-CO$_2$/HCO$_3^-$ buffers are present—would speed the appearance of CO$_2$ on the left side of the membrane, enhancing the gradient for CO$_2$ to cross the membrane. In addition, a low level of facilitated CO$_2$ diffusion would speed the removal of CO$_2$ on the right side of the membrane, again enhancing the transmembrane CO$_2$ gradient. (B) Artificial planar lipid bilayer, with the HB/B$^-$ buffer pair present in both aqueous solutions, and soluble CA present throughout the aqueous solutions (Gutknecht–Tosteson model [82]). Here the facilitated diffusion of CO$_2$—from Region 0 to 1, within Region 1, from Region 1 to Region 2, within Region 2, and from Region 2 to Region 3—is faster than in (A) for two reasons: the presence of CA and the presence of HB/B$^-$. Both speed CO$_2$ facilitated diffusion in Regions 1 and 2. As a result, the gradient of CO$_2$ across the membrane—and thus the transmembrane flux—is larger in (B) than in (A).

In 1977, Gutknecht et al. used $^{14}$C-labeled CO$_2$ to measure the diffusive flux of CO$_2$ across artificial planar lipid bilayers (made of cholesterol, egg lecithin and decane) and adjacent ULs [82]. They demonstrated that, in the absence of CA in the bathing solutions, Reaction (2) is too slow to allow efficient conversion of HCO$_3^-$ into CO$_2$ in the UL adjacent to the outer side of the membrane and, therefore, is unable to facilitate CO$_2$ diffusion. In the presence of CA in the bathing solutions, rapid conversion of HCO$_3^-$ into CO$_2$ sustains a relatively high [CO$_2$] in the UL on the upstream side of the membrane thereby facilitating diffusion of CO$_2$ through the artificial lipid bilayer membrane. These authors emphasized the important role of non-CO$_2$/HCO$_3^-$ buffers (i.e., HB/B$^-$) in facilitating CO$_2$ diffusion across a membrane (Figure 3B). Indeed, they observed that CA facilitates CO$_2$ diffusion only when a mobile non-CO$_2$/HCO$_3^-$ buffer (such as Tris or HEPES) is also present in the ULs. As illustrated in Figure 3B, the non-CO$_2$/HCO$_3^-$ buffer supplies the H$^+$ needed to combine with HCO$_3^-$ to produce CO$_2$ in the UL adjacent to the outer side of the membrane (out) and removes the H$^+$ produced by the CO$_2$ hydration reaction in the UL adjacent to the inner side (in) of the membrane [82,83].

In their study, Gutknecht et al. combined biophysical experiments with a theoretical analysis of the diffusion of CO$_2$ through ULs surrounding a bilayer membrane. The bilayer separates two regions with identical bathing solutions except for the presence of tracer (i.e., $^{14}$C) in Region 1. By assuming that
1. CO\textsubscript{2}, HCO\textsubscript{3}\textsuperscript{−} and CO\textsubscript{3}\textsuperscript{2−} are in chemical equilibrium, that is, the reactions among these solutes occur very rapidly (i.e., CA is present), at a rate much faster than the rate of solute diffusion across the ULs and the membrane;

2. only HCO\textsubscript{3}\textsuperscript{−} and CO\textsubscript{3}\textsuperscript{2−} carry the tracer and diffuse through the ULs; and

3. only CO\textsubscript{2} moves across the membrane,

These authors were able to use a simplified form of an equation developed earlier [83,84] to relate the steady-state one directional diffusive flux of total CO\textsubscript{2} (\(J_{\text{CO2}}\)) to the diffusive flux of A\textsuperscript{−} in the UL and the transmembrane flux of CO\textsubscript{2}:

\[
\frac{1}{J_{\text{CO2}}} = \frac{1}{p_{\text{UL, A}^{-}}} \cdot [A^{-}] + \frac{1}{p_{\text{M, CO2}} \cdot [CO_2]} \tag{10}
\]

where \(p_{\text{UL, B}}\) is the permeability of the UL to A\textsuperscript{−}, \([A^{-}]\) is the sum of the concentrations of HCO\textsubscript{3}\textsuperscript{−} and CO\textsubscript{3}\textsuperscript{2−} in Region 1 (the region where the flux of CO\textsubscript{2} originates) and \(p_{\text{M, CO2}}\) has the usual meaning.

Gutknecht et al. employed the above equation to calculate \(J_{\text{CO2}}\) as a function of [A\textsuperscript{−}], at a constant [CO\textsubscript{2}] (i.e., as the pH increases). Moreover, by taking advantage of the catalytic action of CA and by using different pH ranges, these authors were able to identify a condition (CA in the bath and pH \(> 9\)) that allowed them to estimate \(p_{\text{M, CO2}}\) by fitting the above equation to their experimental data. In this case, \(J_{\text{CO2}}\) saturates because the ratio of \([A^{-}]\)/[CO\textsubscript{2}] is so high that diffusion of A\textsuperscript{−} in the UL dominates diffusion of CO\textsubscript{2} across the membrane.

### 3.4. Role of CA in the Diffusion of CO\textsubscript{2} across the Membrane of a Living Cell

A recent article of three companion papers from our group examined the role of CAs (cytosolic CA II or extracellular-surface CA IV) and non-CO\textsubscript{2}/HCO\textsubscript{3}\textsuperscript{−} buffers on the fluxes of CO\textsubscript{2} across the membrane of a living cell—a Xenopus laevis oocyte [77–79]. For this type of study, oocytes are useful model systems because of their negligible native membrane permeability to H\textsuperscript{+} and HCO\textsubscript{3}\textsuperscript{−}-related species.

Musa-Aziz et al. assessed transmembrane CO\textsubscript{2} fluxes by using liquid-membrane pH-sensitive microelectrodes to measure simultaneously the changes in intracellular pH (pH\textsubscript{i}) and extracellular-surface pH (pH\textsubscript{S}) caused by the addition (or removal) of equilibrated CO\textsubscript{2}/HCO\textsubscript{3}\textsuperscript{−} solution in (or from) the bulk extracellular fluid (bECF). Figure 4 illustrates the principles behind the pH\textsubscript{i} and pH\textsubscript{S} changes caused by CO\textsubscript{2} addition when CO\textsubscript{2} is the only solute that can permeate the plasma membrane. The opposite occurs in the case of CO\textsubscript{2} removal. Addition of equilibrated CO\textsubscript{2}/HCO\textsubscript{3}\textsuperscript{−} in the bECF causes [CO\textsubscript{2}] to rise in the unconvected fluid in contact with the cell membrane, leading to an influx of CO\textsubscript{2} into the cell. Because of this CO\textsubscript{2} influx, [CO\textsubscript{2}] at the outer surface of the cell ([CO\textsubscript{2}]\textsubscript{bECF}) never reaches [CO\textsubscript{2}]\textsubscript{bECF}. This cell-surface CO\textsubscript{2} lost to the interior of the cell can be replenished by two processes: (a) diffusion of CO\textsubscript{2} from the bECF; and (b) the reaction HCO\textsubscript{3}\textsuperscript{−} + H\textsuperscript{+} → CO\textsubscript{2} + H\textsubscript{2}O at the cell outer surface. Process (a) does not involve any changes in [H\textsuperscript{+}], and therefore does not register measurements via a pH microelectrode. Process (b) involves the consumption of H\textsuperscript{+} and therefore can be measured by a pH microelectrode. Musa-Aziz et al. used a blunt pH-sensitive microelectrode, gently pushed against the oocyte surface, to measure the rapid pH\textsubscript{i} increase caused by process (b) (see Figure 4, orange trajectory) followed by the pH\textsubscript{i} decay that parallels the decay in the CO\textsubscript{2} influx as CO\textsubscript{2} levels inside the cell reach those on the outside. In the cytosol, the entry of CO\textsubscript{2} causes pH\textsubscript{i} to decay (Figure 4, dark green trajectory) because of the intracellular reaction CO\textsubscript{2} + H\textsubscript{2}O → HCO\textsubscript{3}\textsuperscript{−} + H\textsuperscript{+}.

In their experiments with oocytes injected with CA II, Musa-Aziz et al. found that cytosolic CA II not only increases the maximal rate of intracellular acidification, (dpH\textsubscript{i}/dt)\textsubscript{max}—as one would expect because CA II accelerates the intracellular reaction CO\textsubscript{2} + H\textsubscript{2}O → HCO\textsubscript{3}\textsuperscript{−} + H\textsuperscript{+}—but also the maximal change of the pH\textsubscript{i} transient, (ΔpH\textsubscript{i})\textsubscript{max}. The cytosolic CA also shortens the time constant (τ) as pH\textsubscript{i} decays from its peak (red arrow in Figure 4)—another index of an enhanced CO\textsubscript{2} influx. (That is, the same net amount of CO\textsubscript{2} enters the cell, but over a shorter time.) Pretreatment of CAII-injected...
The oocyte, a sphere that comprises the cell membrane and intracellular fluid. Within the ICF, the extracellular unconvected fluid (EUF), a spherical annulus that is concentric with the oocyte, contains three major regions (see Figure 5): CO₂ membrane “trans” to the CA.

To test the above hypotheses on the role of CA II and CA IV in enhancing CO₂ fluxes, these authors extended the earlier reaction–diffusion model developed by Somersalo et al. to describe the influx of CO₂ into an oocyte [59]. Following Somersalo et al., Occhipinti et al. assumed that the system contains three major regions (see Figure 5):

1. The oocyte, a sphere that comprises the cell membrane and intracellular fluid. Within the ICF, reactions among buffers and diffusion of solutes occur. Surrounding the oocyte is . . .

2. The extracellular unconvected fluid (EUF), a spherical annulus that is concentric with the oocyte. Within the EUF, reactions among buffers and diffusion of solutes occur. Conceptually, the EUF is similar to the classical unstirred layer (a steady-state concept, with a characteristic value for each solute), except that the EUF has meaning even before the establishment of a steady state, and the EUF has the same thickness for each solute [59]. Surrounding the EUF is . . .
3. The bECF, an infinite reservoir of pre-equilibrated solution that mimics the composition of the bath solution used in the physiological experiments. No reaction or diffusion occurs in the bECF.

Figure 5. Key elements of the reaction–diffusion mathematical model of CO₂ fluxes across the plasma membrane of an oocyte. The model includes: a thin layer of vesicles beneath the plasma membrane (inset in top left corner), folds and microvilli at the plasma membrane (PM; inset in top right corner), intracellular and extracellular buffer reactions (inset in bottom left corner), cytosolic water volume that is lower than total intracellular volume, lower diffusion constant for solutes in cytosol vs. extracellular fluid, and the vitelline membrane that envelops the PM (inset in bottom center). The inset in the bottom right corner illustrates the spatial discretization in the proximity of the PM. For additional details, see text. bECF, bulk extracellular fluid; EUF, extracellular unconvected fluid; ICF, intracellular fluid; EM, region immediately adjacent to the extracellular side of the plasma membrane; IM, region immediately adjacent to the intracellular side of the plasma membrane; R, radius of oocyte; R∞, radius of the computational domain; d, thickness of the EUF; λ and γ, tortuosity factors; k, reaction rate. Modified from Figure 1 of [79].

The ICF and EUF communicate through the plasma membrane, which is infinitely thin and permeable only to CO₂, which can freely diffuse across the membrane according to Fick’s first law of diffusion. The EUF and bECF communicate via diffusion only. The buffer reactions in the ICF and EUF include those of: (a) the CO₂/HCO₃⁻ buffer, modeled according to the two-step Reaction (2), and (b) a single non-CO₂/HCO₃⁻ buffer of the form HB ⇌ B⁻ + H⁺. In the ICF, the HB/B⁻ buffer mimics the sum of all intrinsic buffers (i.e., the buffers whose components do not cross the plasma membrane) that are present in the ICF of an oocyte. In the EUF, the HB/B⁻ buffer represents the mobile HEPES buffer used in typical physiological experiments. The catalytic activity of CA is implemented by multiplying the rate constants of the first step of Reaction (2) by the same acceleration factor A. Specifically, the extracellular-surface CA-like activity, which mimics expression of CA IV on the outer surface of the oocyte, is implemented by multiplying the rate constants of the first step of Reaction (2) by the same factor AS (acceleration factor for surface CA-activity) only in the region immediately adjacent to the extracellular surface of the plasma membrane (EM, see Figure 5, inset at the bottom right). The intracellular/cytosolic CA-like activity, which mimics CA II, is implemented by multiplying the
rate constants of the first step of Reaction (2) by the same factor $A_i$ (acceleration factor for intracellular CA-activity) everywhere inside the cell.

Assuming spherical radial symmetry, the concentration $C$ of each solute $s$, $C_{s\ell}$, changes in time and space (radial distance $r$ from the center of the cell) according to the reaction–diffusion equation

\[
\frac{\partial C_{s\ell}(t,r)}{\partial t} = \frac{1}{r^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left( D_{s\ell}(r) r^2 \frac{\partial C_{s\ell}(t,r)}{\partial r} \right) + \sum_{l=-3}^{+3} S_{s\ell} \Phi_l. \tag{11}
\]

Note that $D_s$ is the diffusion coefficient of solute $s$, which can in space, $S_{s\ell}$ are the stoichiometry coefficients and $\Phi_l$ are the reaction fluxes.

The resulting system of coupled partial differential equations (PDEs), with appropriate boundary and initial conditions, is solved in MATLAB using the numerical implementation proposed in [59].

To simulate the physiological experiments of the two papers by Musa-Aziz et al. [77,78], Occhipinti et al. in their companion paper optimized the theoretical model of Somersalo et al. [59] by introducing new features, including: the vitelline membrane surrounding the oocyte, a surface amplification factor to account for folds and microvilli, a layer of intracellular vesicles beneath the plasma membrane, reduced cytosolic water content, reduced cytosolic diffusion of solutes, and a new protocol for simulating delivery and removal of the bulk extracellular CO$_2$/HCO$_3^-$ solution [79]. This more refined and realistic model reproduces the essential features of the measured pH$_i$ and pH$_s$ transients for experiments with control, CA II-injected, and CA IV-expressing oocytes, under various experimental conditions (e.g., different extracellular CO$_2$/HCO$_3^-$ levels, different extracellular HEPES levels).

Using the solute concentrations predicted by the model as a function of time and space, the authors calculated the transmembrane fluxes of CO$_2$ as well as the diffusive and reaction fluxes of all solutes near the outer and inner side of the membrane. The model confirmed the hypothesis that CA IV and CA II markedly accelerate transmembrane CO$_2$ fluxes by replenishing CO$_2$ on the side of the membrane from which CO$_2$ departs and consuming CO$_2$ on the side to which CO$_2$ goes.

An important insight is that—for experiments with oocytes expressing CA IV—the model can reproduce pH$_i$ and pH$_s$ transients from physiological experiments only if a small additional amount of CA-like activity is also implemented in the cytosol. This finding was in line with the experiments with inhibitors in oocytes expressing CA IV, and helped in reaching the conclusion that expression of CA IV in oocytes leads to the appearance of a low concentration of CA in a cytosol-accessible compartment. Figure 6 illustrates the results of the simulations that lead to this conclusion.

As shown by the diamonds in Figure 6A,B, increasing intracellular CA activity ($x$-axis) even to very high levels (i.e., $A_i = 5$ at point labeled “~Ctrl” $\rightarrow A_i = 10,000$ at extreme right) has relatively little effect on either $(dpH_i/dt)_{\text{max}}$ or $(\Delta pH_s)_{\text{max}}$ when extracellular-surface CA activity is relatively low ($A_S = 150$). Conversely, a very large increase in extracellular-surface CA activity (i.e., $A_S = 150$ at point labeled “~Ctrl” $\rightarrow A_S = 10,000$ at point labeled “CA$_S$”) has relatively little effect on either $(dpH_i/dt)_{\text{max}}$ or $(\Delta pH_s)_{\text{max}}$ when intracellular CA activity is low ($A_i = 5$). However, the combination of increasing levels of CA activity in both locations produces much larger increases in both $(dpH_i/dt)_{\text{max}}$ and $(\Delta pH_s)_{\text{max}}$. For example, the point labeled “CAIV” mimics oocytes expressing CA IV, and supports the conclusion (previous paragraph) that expression of CA IV leads to increases in CA activity not only on extracellular-surface, but also in the cytosol.

The novel prediction from the simulation data in Figure 6 is that the effects of a cytosolic and extracellular-surface CA activity are supra-additive with respect to $(dpH_i/dt)_{\text{max}}$ and $(\Delta pH_s)_{\text{max}}$, and thus CO$_2$ diffusion across the membrane.
4. Role of Carbonic Anhydrases in Whole-Body Acid–Base Homeostasis: Transport of \( \text{HCO}_3^- \) and \( \text{CO}_2 \)

A vital parameter for mammals is the value of arterial blood pH, which the human body maintains within a narrow range around \( \sim 7.40 \) under normal physiological conditions [51]. In line with the Henderson–Hasselbalch equation (Equation (4)), blood pH depends on the ratio of \( \text{HCO}_3^- / [\text{CO}_2] \). Because the kidneys regulate plasma \( \text{HCO}_3^- \) and the lungs regulate plasma \( \text{CO}_2 \), the stability of blood pH depends on the dual (and independent) action of the kidneys and the lungs. Because CA catalyzes the interconversion of \( \text{CO}_2 \) into \( \text{HCO}_3^- \) through Reaction (2), this enzyme plays a major role in both the kidneys and the lungs. In the kidneys, CA is essential for \( \text{HCO}_3^- \) reabsorption and \( \text{H}^+ \) secretion. In the pulmonary and systemic capillaries, CA is essential for \( \text{CO}_2 \) transport across
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membranes, and thus carriage of CO₂ from the systemic tissues to the alveoli for elimination from the body during expiration.

In the next two subsections, we review how CA—by impacting renal and respiratory physiology—plays a central role in whole-body pH homeostasis, how the inhibition of CA impacts acid–base balance, and how mathematical modeling can contribute to our understanding of the underlying processes.

4.1. The Renal System: H⁺ Secretion and HCO₃⁻ Reabsorption

Although CAs are widely distributed throughout the kidneys, CA II and CA IV dominate in human kidneys [41]. Cytosolic CA II accounts for ~95% of total renal CA activity and is expressed virtually everywhere along the nephron, except the thin ascending limb and the preceding turn of Henle’s loop [41]. The membrane-associated CA IV and CA XII account for the remaining 5% of total renal CA activity [41]. CA IV is expressed in the apical and basolateral membranes of both the early and middle portions of the proximal tubule (PT) as well as the entire thick ascending limb [41,85]. More distally, CA IV is expressed only on the apical membranes of the α-intercalated cells of later cortical segments as well as in the principal cells of the inner and outer medullary collecting ducts [41]. CA XII immunoreactivity is distinct in the basolateral membranes of the thick ascending limb, distal convoluted tubule, and principal cells of the initial collecting tubule and later nephron segments [86].

CAs in the kidneys play a major role in the secretion of H⁺ into the tubule lumen, followed by the movement of HCO₃⁻ into the interstitial fluid and blood. These activities achieve the final urinary acid–base composition and help maintain whole-body acid–base homeostasis. The proximal tubule is the major site of HCO₃⁻ reabsorption/H⁺ secretion, followed by the thick ascending limb and the distal nephron. The proximal tubule reabsorbs ~80% of the HCO₃⁻ that the glomeruli filter, and that otherwise would be lost in the urine, causing a life-threatening metabolic acidosis [87].

Figure 7 illustrates the basic cellular mechanisms of HCO₃⁻ reabsorption in proximal tubule cells, and the legend provides a more detailed summary of the processes. Briefly, as the tubule cells secrete H⁺ into the lumen—via the Na-H exchanger 3 and vacuolar H⁺ pumps—a tiny fraction of the H⁺ titrates non-HCO₃⁻ (B⁻) to form the conjugate weak acids (HB). Most of the secreted H⁺ titrates the filtered load of HCO₃⁻ to form H₂CO₃, which ultimately dissociates into CO₂ and H₂O. The majority of the newly formed CO₂ and H₂O molecules move into the tubule cell via the water channel aquaporin 1 (AQP1) [71,75,88,89]. In the cytosol, CO₂ and H₂O rapidly recombine to form H₂CO₃, which then dissociates to regenerate intracellular HCO₃⁻ and H⁺. It is the slow CO₂ + H₂O ⇌ H₂CO₃ reaction in this two-step sequence that the GPI-linked CA IV bypasses in the lumen, and that the CA II bypasses in the cytosol. The HCO₃⁻ newly formed in the cytosol exits the tubule cell across the basolateral membrane (via the Na/HCO₃ cotransporter NBCe1-A) into the interstitial space and ultimately in the blood. The H⁺ recycles back into the lumen.

The recent discovery that the electroneutral Na/HCO₃ cotransporter NBCn2 is abundantly present in the apical membrane of the PT [90] is consistent with the idea that this transporter may mediate ~20% of total acid–base traffic across the apical membrane—previously unaccounted for. Although the mechanism of NBCn2 may be the simple cotransport of Na⁺ plus HCO₃⁻ (Figure 8A), evidence that NBCn2 may in fact be an exchanger [91] is consistent with the idea that NBCn2 exchanges Na⁺ plus CO₃⁻ for HCO₃⁻ (Figure 8B). The legend of Figure 8 provides a more detailed summary of these hypothetical processes.

As illustrated in Figure 8A, the role of apical CA IV in the cotransporter model would be to replenish HCO₃⁻ transported into the cell by NBCn2 and, in the process, generate H⁺ that would, in turn, titrate non-CO₂/HCO₃⁻ buffers (i.e., H⁺ + B⁻ \rightarrow HB) in the tubule lumen. Mathematical modeling predicts that direct HCO₃⁻ reclamation via NBCn2 would be slightly more efficient energetically than the titration of luminal HCO₃⁻ by secreted H⁺ [90]. Conversely, mathematical modeling predicts that H⁺ secretion is slightly more efficient energetically than HCO₃⁻ uptake in titrating luminal non-CO₂/HCO₃⁻ buffers like phosphate and NH₃.
Classical model of HCO$_3^-$ reabsorption and titration of non-CO$_2$/HCO$_3^-$ luminal weak bases by the renal proximal tubule (PT). According to the classical view, the only acid–base transporters at the apical membrane (i.e., the membrane facing the tubule lumen) are the Na-H exchanger NHE3 and the vacuolar-type H$^+$ pump. The energy for Na-H exchange comes from the inward Na$^+$ gradient, established by the Na-K pump on the basolateral membrane (not shown), and the energy for the apical H$^+$ pump comes from ATP hydrolysis. HCO$_3^-$ reabsorption (or reclamation) occurs when the H$^+$ secreted in the tubule lumen rapidly combines with HCO$_3^-$ (previously filtered in the glomerulus) to form H$_2$CO$_3$, which slowly dissociates to form H$_2$O and CO$_2$. Although the figure shows the GPI-linked enzyme carbonic anhydrase (CA) IV as catalyzing the slow dehydration of H$_2$CO$_3$, in fact CA IV bypasses this slow step by catalyzing the direct conversion of HCO$_3^-$ and H$^+$ to H$_2$O and CO$_2$. The CO$_2$ and H$_2$O newly formed in the lumen then diffuse into the PT cytosol, mostly through the channel aquaporin AQPI. In the cytosol, although the figure shows cytosolic CA II catalyzing the slow hydration of CO$_2$ to form H$_2$CO$_3$, in fact, the CA II directly converts CO$_2$ and H$_2$O to H$^+$ and HCO$_3^-$. The H$^+$ recycles back into the lumen. The HCO$_3^-$ exits the PT cell across the basolateral (i.e., blood-side) membrane via the electrogenic Na/HCO$_3^-$ cotransporter, which exports the equivalent of 2 or 3 HCO$_3^-$ ions with 1 Na$^+$ ion. The process just described merely reclaims previously filtered HCO$_3^-$; it does not titrate any acids in the body. A second fate of the H$^+$ secreted in the lumen can be its combination with luminal NH$_3$—which the PT cell generates from glutamine and glutamate—to form NH$_4^+$, most of which appears in the urine. This process is termed ammonium secretion. A third fate of the secreted H$^+$ is to titrate weak bases other than HCO$_3^-$ or NH$_3$ (e.g., phosphate, creatinine) to form the conjugate weak acid. The amount of such acid is termed the titratable acidity. In the figure, we show all of these non-HCO$_3^-$ titrations as the idealized reaction B$^- + H^+ \rightarrow HB$. Of course, B can have any valence (e.g., 0 in the case of NH$_3$), and HB has a valence 1 greater than that of B (+1 in the case of NH$_4^+$). A fourth and final fate of the secreted H$^+$ is to remain unbuffered and thereby lower the pH of the tubule fluid. Whether the secreted H$^+$ titrates NH$_3$ or another non-CO$_2$/HCO$_3^-$ buffer, or remains unbuffered, one “new HCO$_3^-$” moves via NBCe1-A into the interstitial space to titrate acids throughout the body. AM, apical membrane; BLM, basolateral membrane; CA$_{Inh}$, carbonic anhydrase inhibitor.

As illustrated in Figure 8B, the role of apical CA IV in the exchanger model would be to dispose of: (a) the H$^+$ generated as HCO$_3^-$ dissociates to form CO$_3^{2-}$; and (b) the HCO$_3^-$ transported outward by NBCn2. In this mechanism, virtually none of the H$^+$ generated in the lumen would be available to titrate B$^-$ to HB. In other words, the exchanger mechanism predicts that NBCn2 would have a single net effect: reclaiming NaHCO$_3$.

The important role that CA plays in HCO$_3^-$ reabsorption/H$^+$ secretion in renal tubules can be appreciated from experiments with inhibitors. Intraluminal pH measurements have shown that, in the proximal tubule, inhibition of luminal CA causes lumen pH to decrease to a value lower than the equilibrium pH predicted by the Henderson–Hasselbalch equation [92]. Indeed, the model in Figure 7 predicts that inhibition of luminal CA IV would slow the consumption of H$^+$ and lead to a fall in luminal pH ($pH_{lumen}$), as observed. The HCO$_3^-$-uptake model of Figure 8A predicts the opposite. The observation that CA inhibition causes an acid shift in $pH_{lumen}$ led to two important conclusions: (1) H$^+$ secretion is the primary mechanism for acidification of the tubule fluid; and (2) a CA with activity accessible to the tubule fluid is present at the apical membrane [93–96]. The experiments in proximal tubules perfused in vivo with dextran-bound CA$_{Inh}$—which inhibit only luminal CA—demonstrated...
that the lumen is in contact with luminal or “membrane-bound” CA and that this CA is necessary for ~80% of HCO$_3^-$ reabsorption [97].

**Figure 8.** Two models of how the electroneutral Na/HCO$_3^-$ cotransporter NBCn2 could mediate the reabsorption of HCO$_3^-$ and titration of non-CO$_2$/HCO$_3^-$ buffers in the renal proximal tubule (PT). Guo et al. discovered that a variant of NBCn2 (first 4 N-terminal amino acids: MCDL) is present at the apical membrane of proximal-tubule (PT) cells [90]. Although NBCn2 is generally depicted as mediating NaHCO$_3^-$ uptake (A), evidence suggests that it in fact is an exchanger. If so, the simplest model is that it exchanges Na$^+$ plus CO$_3^{2-}$ for HCO$_3^-$ (B). (A) NBCn2 as a cotransporter. If the apical NBCn2 directly moves the filtered Na$^+$ and HCO$_3^-$ into the cytosol, the result would be a deficit of Na$^+$ and HCO$_3^-$ in the nanodomains near the transporter. One means of replenishing the lost NaHCO$_3$ would be the diffusion of Na$^+$ and HCO$_3^-$ from the bulk luminal fluid to the apical membrane. Another means of replenishing the HCO$_3^-$ would be the conversion of CO$_2$ and H$_2$O to HCO$_3^-$ and H$^+$, facilitated by apical CA IV. Our simulations [90] suggest that the reaction (H$_2$O + CO$_2$ → H$^+$ + HCO$_3^-$) accounts for only a small fraction of total HCO$_3^-$ replenishment. The newly produced HCO$_3^-$ would move into the cytosol via NBCn2, whereas the newly produced H$^+$ would either titrate B$^-$ to form HB, or remain unbuffered. In the cytosol, most of the HCO$_3^-$ arriving via NBCn2 would diffuse toward the basolateral membrane, for exit via the electrogenic Na/HCO$_3^-$ cotransporter NBCe1-A. However, a small fraction of the entering HCO$_3^-$ would in principle, under the catalytic action of CA II, recycle back into the lumen—mostly via aquaporin 1 (AQP1)—as H$_2$O and CO$_2$. This diffusion of H$_2$O and CO$_2$ is in the direction opposite shown in Figure 7, but would ultimately be responsible for the titration of B$^-$ to HB. In a real PT, the H$_2$O and CO$_2$ necessary to replenish luminal HCO$_3^-$ could come from Na-H exchange and H$^+$ pumping, as shown in Figure 7. (B) NBCn2 as an exchanger. In this model, filtered Na$^+$ and HCO$_3^-$ diffuse from the bulk luminal fluid towards NBCn2. The HCO$_3^-$ that approaches NBCn2 dissociates into CO$_3^{2-}$ and H$^+$, with CO$_3^{2-}$ entering the PT cytosol via NBCn2. Virtually all of the newly produced luminal H$^+$ titrates the HCO$_3^-$ that NBCn2 moves out of the PT cell. The result is the formation of luminal H$_2$O and CO$_2$—catalyzed by apical CA IV—and the subsequent influx of H$_2$O and CO$_2$, again mostly via AQP1, into the cytosol. Once inside the cell, the CO$_2$ and H$_2$O—catalyzed by CA II—would regenerate HCO$_3^-$ for export into the lumen via NBCn2, and H$^+$, which would titrate the incoming CO$_3^{2-}$ to HCO$_3^-$, which would eventually exit the cell via NBCe1-A. Note that, in this scenario, virtually no luminal H$^+$ would be left over to titrate B$^-$ to HB. In other words, NBCn2 would appear to mediate the pure uptake of NaHCO$_3$ without titrating other buffers. AM, apical membrane; BLM, basolateral membrane; CA$_{I nh}$, carbonic anhydrase inhibitor.

A logical flaw in Conclusion 1 in the previous paragraph is that H$^+$ secretion (Figure 7) is not the only mechanism that predicts an acid shift in pH$_{lumen}$ upon blocking CA IV: The exchanger (i.e., Na$^+$ + CO$_3^{2-}$ uptake in exchange for HCO$_3^-$) model in Figure 8B makes the same prediction.
Whereas the pH disequilibrium experiments with CA$_{\text{inh}}$ pointed towards a role of CA IV in HCO$_3^-$ reabsorption, the discovery of the human CA II-deficiency syndrome emphasized the importance of CA II activity in normal renal physiology [23,98]. CA II-deficient patients exhibit renal tubular acidosis, which implicates CA II as an important component of urinary acidification. The development of a CA II-deficient mouse model—which also exhibits a defect in renal acidification—represented another advancement towards understanding the role of renal CA II [99]. Evidence on the involvement of CA II in HCO$_3^-$ reabsorption comes from experiments showing a reduced basolateral HCO$_3^-$ exit [100,101] and a more alkaline intracellular pH [101] in the presence of CA inhibitors.

Another role of renal CA may be facilitation of CO$_2$ diffusion across proximal tubule cells [102]. In their elegant study, Schwartz and Burg measured the flux of CO$_2$ across isolated perfused rabbit proximal convoluted tubules in the presence of a CO$_2$ gradient from bath (i.e., solution facing basolateral membrane) to lumen, or vice versa. To test whether cytosolic and/or membrane-bound CA facilitates the flux of CO$_2$ across proximal tubule cells—a mechanism discussed above, in Figure 1, Figure 3, Figure 4—these authors added ACZ to the bath while maintaining a bath-to-lumen CO$_2$ gradient. They found that ACZ causes the collected luminal fluid to become more alkaline (because less CO$_2$ was arriving in the lumen) and the flux of CO$_2$ from bath to lumen to decrease by 55%. These findings (assuming that ACZ can enter PT cells across the basolateral membrane) support the hypothesis that CA facilitates CO$_2$ diffusion across proximal-tubule cells. Moreover, following the work of Gutknecht et al. [82] discussed above, Schwarz and Burg observed that the flux of CO$_2$ through the UL surrounding the tubule is enhanced when CA is in the bath.

Krahn and Weinstein used mathematical modeling of a rat proximal tubule brush border to investigate the role of CA and of its inhibition on the UL near the brush border [103]. Their modeling approach assumed that the brush border consists of uniform cylinders (representing the villi), equally distributed on a flat surface. By further assuming that the length of the villi is much larger than their width, they ignored the concentration gradients in the radial direction and reduced the problem to one-dimensional in space, with the spatial dimension representing the direction along the long axis of the microvillus. The model includes two compartments: the intra-villous and the intervillous spaces, which are separated by a plasma membrane that contains transporters that move solutes between compartments. The model accounts for the evolution in space (but does not consider time dependence) of concentration profiles of ten solutes (including the components of the CO$_2$/HCO$_3^-$ buffer)—both inside and surrounding the microvilli—along the long axis. By reducing the values of the rate constants for the first step of Reaction (2), these authors simulated the inhibition or absence of CA and showed that, in the presence of buffers, CA facilitates the flux of CO$_2$ through the UL near the brush border [103].

Finally, we observe that our recent finding of the supra-additive effect of extracellular and intracellular CA on the transmembrane flux of CO$_2$ (see Figure 6) is in line with CA-dependent facilitated CO$_2$ diffusion across plasma membrane and, more importantly, it may point towards a synergistic role of CA IV and CA II in enhancing the flux of CO$_2$ across the apical membrane of the proximal tubule (see Figure 7).

Mathematical modeling has already provided some important insight into some events in Figures 7 and 8A. Distinguishing between the alternate NBCn2 mechanisms—cotransport (Figure 8A) vs. exchange (Figure 8B)—will require more sophisticated modeling approaches, as well understanding the totality of acid–base transport events taking place in the proximal tubule, other nephron segments, and other epithelia engaged in acid–base transport.

4.2. The Respiratory System: CO$_2$ Removal from the Human Body

One of the major housekeeping tasks of the human body is the transfer of CO$_2$—a waste product of aerobic metabolism—from mitochondria to systemic capillaries, to RBCs that flow to pulmonary capillaries, and then from these RBCs to the alveolar air space, and finally the excretion of this CO$_2$ from the body by exhalation. As illustrated in Figure 9A, this process—which along with O$_2$ transport
is part of external respiration— involves a combination of reactions, diffusion (including facilitated diffusion of CO₂), transport mediated by membrane proteins, and convection. Diffusion operates over short-distances (i.e., in the neighborhood of the systemic and pulmonary capillaries), and convection dominates over long-distances (i.e., blood flow between systemic and pulmonary capillaries, and air flow between alveoli and environment). The efficient removal of CO₂ from the body is essential to prevent the build-up of CO₂ in the intracellular and extracellular compartments, and thereby prevent their acidification.

**Figure 9.** Diagram of the reaction, diffusion and transport processes involved in the removal of the metabolically produced CO₂ from cells to blood (systemic capillary) and from blood (pulmonary capillary) to the lung (alveoli). (A) Of the CO₂ that diffuses from cells to the systemic capillary, ~10% remains in the blood plasma—as dissolved CO₂ (~5%), HCO₃⁻ (~5%) or carbamino compounds (<1%)—whereas ~90% enters the RBCs, almost entirely via the water channel AQP1 [104] or the Rh complex [72]. In the cytosol of the RBCs, most CO₂ rapidly combines with H₂O to form HCO₃⁻ and H⁺, under the catalytic action of CA I (not shown) and CA II. Much of this newly formed HCO₃⁻ exits via the Cl⁻-HCO₃ exchanger AE1 [105,106]. A smaller fraction of the incoming CO₂ covalently reacts with hemoglobin (Hb) to form carbamino-Hb and H⁺, and a tiny fraction remains dissolved in the RBC cytosol. Hb buffers nearly all of the newly formed H⁺ [58,107]. These events all reverse in the pulmonary capillary, where RBCs unload CO₂ for diffusion into the alveolar space. Another important function of CA in RBCs is augmentation of the association and dissociation of O₂ with Hb in the RBC via the Bohr effect. In the systemic capillary, the entry of CO₂ into RBCs causes their pH to decrease, thereby reducing the Hb-O₂ binding affinity and favoring O₂ unloading to the tissue. The formation of carbamino-Hb also favors O₂ unloading. In the pulmonary capillary, the exit of CO₂ from the RBCs has the opposite effect, favoring O₂ loading from the alveoli to the pulmonary capillary. Recent evidence points toward a role of AQP1 and the Rh complex in the diffusion of O₂ across the RBC membrane [108]. CA IV on the lumen side of the capillary endothelial cells may accelerate the reaction CO₂ + H₂O → HCO₃⁻ + H⁺ in the lumen of systemic capillaries, thereby maximizing flux from tissue to blood, and may accelerate the opposite reaction HCO₃⁻ + H⁺ → CO₂ + H₂O in the lumen of pulmonary capillaries, thereby maximizing CO₂ flux from blood to alveoli (see Figure 3B). (B) Magnification of the different layers of the gas-exchange surface at the systemic capillary. The barrier between the RBC cytosol and capillary lumen actually consists of three plasma membranes—that of the cell that generates the CO₂, and the two membranes of the endothelial cell—plus the interstitial fluid and cytosol of the endothelial cell. Cytosolic CA would facilitate CO₂ diffusion through the endothelial cell (see Figure 1) and enhance diffusion across the membranes (see Figure 3B). CA IV may be present on the membranes facing the extremely thin layer of interstitial fluid, where this enzyme could also facilitate CO₂ diffusion through the interstitial fluid as well as the transmembrane diffusion of CO₂. Note that AQP1 or other AQP5s—depending on the identity of the capillary bed—could provide a low-resistance pathway for the diffusion of CO₂ and O₂ across all three of these membranes. (C) Magnification of the different layers of the pulmonary capillary-alveolar gas exchange surface. This system is more complex than that in (B) because the gases must cross four cells membranes. In addition, AQP5 is present at very high densities in the alveolar side of the alveolar type I pneumocyte [109].
The widespread presence of CA throughout the respiratory system helps to make the process of CO$_2$ removal remarkably efficient. The cytosolic protein CA II is the most abundant form, and RBCs contain high levels of high-activity CA II [37,110]. In addition to CA II, RBCs have even higher levels of the lower-activity CA I. The overall CA activity of RBCs is the highest known of all cell types. It has been estimated that, in RBCs, CAs accelerate the overall reaction CO$_2$ + H$_2$O ⇌ HCO$_3^-$ + H$^+$ by a factor of up to 20,000–25,000 fold [40,72,111,112]. These estimates were recently confirmed by mass spectrometric measurements of the time course of the decay of C$^{18}$O$^{16}$O in human RBCs suspensions—a technique used in combination with a compartmental mathematical model of the reaction and transport processes associated with $^{18}$O exchange [72,112,113].

Investigators generally agree that blood plasma normally lacks CA activity aside from that attributable to lysed RBCs. However, tissues with high fluxes of CO$_2$ into or out of the blood have a component of CA activity that is accessible to the fluid within the capillary lumen. Two cases in point are at least certain systemic capillaries (Figure 9B) and virtually all pulmonary capillaries (Figure 9C). In both cases, the endothelial cells have membrane-bound CA IV at the extracellular luminal surface—particularly well studied in the lung [114–118].

In blood-perfused lungs, RBCs account for ~99% of total CA activity. Of the remaining ~1% (i.e., the CA activity of lung tissue per se), CA II accounts for ~70–90% and CA IV for the rest [110,119–121]. Because of the extremely small sizes of the lung cells, it has been challenging to ascertain the cellular and sub-cellular localizations of lung CAs among the various components of the gas-exchange surfaces. Note that the total distance between the pulmonary capillary blood plasma and the alveolar air—the thicknesses of the endothelial cell + the interstitial space + the thin alveolar type I pneumocyte that lines ~90% of the alveolar air sac—is typically ~1 µm [122–124]. The consensus already had been that CA II is present in the thicker alveolar type II pneumocytes [110,125], which secrete surfactant, and possibly also in certain endothelial cells [121]. More recently, investigators have detected CA II in alveolar type I pneumocytes [126].

The CA II in systemic capillary endothelial cells (Figure 9B), as well as in alveolar type I pneumocytes and pulmonary capillary endothelial cells (Figure 9C), could in principle facilitate CO$_2$ diffusion through the thin layers of cytosol. In addition, aquaporins 1 and 5—known to conduct CO$_2$ [71,73–75]—could provide a low-resistance pathway for CO$_2$ diffusion across the cell membranes.

In additional to its presence on the side of the pulmonary endothelium facing the plasma, CA IV may be accessible to the parenchymal interstitial space [110,114,117], that is, between the pulmonary endothelial cell and the alveolar type I pneumocyte (Figure 9C). Although CA IV may be expressed on the basolateral (i.e., capillary) side of the alveolar epithelium (i.e., the alveolar type I and II pneumocytes), it has not been detected on the apical side (i.e., facing air) [127,128]. One might have thought that CA activity in the alveolar water film would: (a) accelerate CO$_2$ efflux from the alveolar type I pneumocyte into the water film and alveolar air; or (b) facilitate CO$_2$ diffusion through the water film. Mathematical modeling could provide insight into the requisite lack of evolutionary pressures. Perhaps the presence of AQP5 (CO$_2$ as well as H$_2$O channels) obviates the need for CA in the water film. Perhaps the water film is so thin that facilitated diffusion is not a substantive issue.

The development of the CA II-deficient mouse model represented an important tool for revealing the location at the cellular level of membrane-associated CA [99,129]. Histochemical examination of lung tissue from the CA II-deficient mouse reveals strong staining that must be due to CA IV in the alveolar epithelium (presumably at the basolateral membrane because CA activity is absent from the apical side [see above]) and possibly some staining in the capillary endothelium (presumably at least at the luminal membrane, where CA activity is detected [see above]). However, because these two epithelia are so thin and so closely opposed, the histochemical analysis could not establish the precise locations of CA IV between the alveolar epithelium and pulmonary capillary endothelium [129].

Understanding the physiological role of CAs in the lungs has been very challenging mainly because of the still-remaining uncertainties on the cellular and subcellular distributions of CA II and CA IV. While it is established that CA II in RBCs is essential for CO$_2$ carriage from peripheral tissues to the
lungs and for O₂ carriage via the Bohr effect [42], the roles of CAs in and around the pulmonary capillary endothelium and alveolar type I pneumocytes is unresolved. Mathematical simulations of total or partial CA inhibition in the various components of the gas-exchange surfaces, as well as experiments employing selective inhibitors, have shed light on the function of pulmonary CAs. However, results from in vitro (e.g., using perfused lungs) and in vivo experiments appear to be contradictory. For an in-depth summary of these studies, we refer to Refs. [92,110,121,130]. Here, we briefly review some of the key findings, with special attention to the contribution of mathematical modeling.

As mentioned above, it is well established that membrane-bound CA activity is accessible to the pulmonary capillary lumen. Supporting evidence comes from in vitro and in silico pH disequilibrium studies—in the absence of CA activity accessible to plasma—focused on investigating disturbances of the CO₂/HCO₃⁻ equilibrium in blood plasma following addition of CO₂ to the blood at the systemic capillary beds, or removal of CO₂ from the blood at the gas-exchange surface. In the 1970s, investigators developed various models to simulate CO₂ exchange from tissue to blood [131] and from blood to lungs [132,133]. These models treat the blood as a two-compartment system (RBC and plasma), where the relevant chemical reactions (e.g., slow CO₂ hydration/dehydration) occur. Diffusion within each compartment is instantaneous. The Fick equation [134] describes fluxes of CO₂ and O₂ across the RBC membrane and across the endothelium (between blood plasma and either systemic tissue or alveolar air). In Refs. [131,133], the Goldman–Hodgkin–Katz (GHK) equation (i.e., electrodiffusion) [135] describes the movements of HCO₃⁻ and Cl⁻ (i.e., Cl-HCO₃ exchange) across the RBC membrane. In Ref. [132], the Fick equation describes the movements of HCO₃⁻ across the RBC membrane, with the flux of Cl⁻ assumed to be equal but opposite to that of HCO₃⁻. (Of course, neither the GHK nor the Fick equation can truly mimic the saturation kinetics of the Cl-HCO₃ exchanger.) These models predicted that, as CO₂ exchanges with a red cell suspension [131] or with the pulmonary capillary plasma [131–133], lack of CA in the extracellular fluid/plasma, causes a pH disequilibrium in that compartment.

In vitro experiments with isolated perfused lungs devoid of blood—a condition important to eliminate the dominant effect of CA in RBCs—and with CA inhibitors of different tissue permeabilities confirmed the above theoretical predictions [136–138]. Mathematical simulations predict that one role of the membrane-bound CA at the surface of the pulmonary vascular endothelium is to produce chemical equilibrium within the normal pulmonary-capillary transit time and therefore avoid post-capillary (i.e., in the pulmonary veins and systemic arteries) pH disequilibrium (pH in plasma < pH at equilibrium). In such a disequilibrium state, the continuous slow conversion of HCO₃⁻ to CO₂ (see Figure 9A, reaction above the RBC) in the post-capillary plasma leaving the lung would result in a non-physiological situation in which arterial [CO₂] is higher than alveolar [CO₂] [110].

Another role of membrane-bound CA IV on the pulmonary capillary endothelium might be to increase the flux of CO₂ from the blood plasma to the alveolar space [42]. As illustrated by the reaction above the RBC in Figure 9A, CA IV would favor the conversion of plasma HCO₃⁻ (created in the systemic capillaries from metabolically generated CO₂) back to CO₂, thereby maintaining a relatively high plasma [CO₂] at the gas-exchange interface. Similarly, the CA IV located on the luminal side of the endothelium of systemic capillary (Figure 9A, reactions below the RBC) may facilitate diffusion of CO₂ from tissue to capillary plasma by keeping plasma [CO₂] low through rapid conversion of CO₂ to HCO₃⁻ [42].

From the above discussion, it should be clear that several authors, as well as others [139,140], believe that pulmonary-capillary CA IV and lung CA in general play a significant role in promoting CO₂ elimination. However, other authors—citing the very short diffusion distances among the various components of the gas-exchange surfaces between the pulmonary capillary and the alveoli layers—make qualitative arguments against this possibility [121]. One could begin to address this controversy by developing spatially distributed mathematical models that account not only for chemical reactions, but also for diffusion and membrane-protein–mediated transport throughout the system in Figure 9. Future models could also incorporate better kinetic descriptions of the Cl-HCO₃ exchanger.
AE1, channels for the conduction of CO₂ and O₂, and deformation of the RBCs as they transit along the pulmonary capillaries.

In parallel with our discussion of renal acid–base physiology, mathematical modeling has already provided some important insight into some events in Figure 9A. However, we are still far away from a comprehensive picture of the events taking place inside—and through the membrane of—the RBC, let alone in understanding the events in the microenvironments depicted in Figure 9B,C. Understanding these complex processes will require not only comprehensive wet-lab data, but also sophisticated modeling approaches.

5. Conclusions

Since the time of Roughton, we have appreciated that the rapid CA-catalyzed interconversion of CO₂ and HCO₃⁻ makes it possible for RBCs to transfer CO₂ from the systemic tissues to the alveoli (Figure 9). Besides this role in whole-body respiration, CAs are important for a seemingly endless list of processes throughout the body. However, as important as these processes are, merely listing them tends to obfuscate the fundamental principles by which the enzymatic activity of CAs contributes to physiology—contributions that modeling can help to elucidate. Here, we identify three such principles.

First, although we only allude to it in this review, CAs enable the CO₂/HCO₃⁻ system to buffer protons on a rapid time scale. For example, a sudden flux of H⁺ into a cell (e.g., H⁺/lactate uptake mediated by the monocarboxylate transporter MCT1) could cause a precipitous—and potentially dangerous—fall in local pH, if buffered only by non-CO₂/HCO₃⁻ buffers and the uncatalyzed CO₂/HCO₃⁻ reactions. The presence of CA II in the cytosol allows the CO₂/HCO₃⁻ system to participate in buffering on a rapid time scale, and thereby minimize pH changes. Related to this issue is the situation depicted in Figure 7, where the challenge of the proximal tubule is to reclaim luminal HCO₃⁻ even though the PT cell (at least in theory) only has the machinery to: (a) secrete H⁺ into the lumen; and (b) transfer CO₂ across the apical membrane. Complicating the challenge is that the rapidly flowing luminal fluid (which contains the filtered HCO₃⁻) is in contact with PT cells for < 1 s. CA IV facilitates HCO₃⁻ reclamation by rapidly titrating the HCO₃⁻ to CO₂—in other words, buffering the secreted H⁺.

Second, CAs can facilitate the transfer of protons between the CO₂/HCO₃⁻ buffer system and another buffer system. In the example of Figure 8A, the cell takes up HCO₃⁻ directly and yet must also titrate B⁻ to HB. Here, the CA IV facilitates the transfer of H⁺ from the CO₂/HCO₃⁻ system to another (i.e., B⁻/HB) system.

Third, as outlined in Figures 1–5, CAs can maximize gradients—in the bulk solution or across membranes—and thereby facilitate the diffusion of CO₂, HCO₃⁻, H⁺, deprotonated buffers (B⁻), and protonated buffers (HB). In such reaction–diffusion systems, the reactions are variations of the interconversions illustrated in Figure 7; Figure 8.

The three fundamental principles just discussed—which underlie the overwhelming majority of CA physiology throughout the different compartments of the body—can be extremely complex, especially when one must consider reactions involving not only HCO₃⁻ but CO₃²⁻, or when the system contains multiple non-CO₂/HCO₃⁻ buffers. In such complex systems, mathematical modeling can be invaluable for assessing the relative importance of different components or pathways (e.g., H⁺ secretion vs. HCO₃⁻/CO₃²⁻ uptake in Figures 7 and 8). The models also help investigators formulate hypotheses, and make predictions that the investigators can test in wet-lab experiments. For example, what would be the predicted effect (based on mathematical simulations) of blocking or knocking out a particular CA or acid–base transporter?

A variation on the complexity issue in the previous paragraph is another important problem in biology: dealing with missing data. In the model of Figure 9, we are still uncertain about whether particular CAs are present and, if so, where? Again, simulations based on specific hypotheses can make predictions that are testable in the wet lab (e.g., based on inhibitors, knockouts). For example, one could use modeling to explore how, in principle, different spatial expression patterns for CAs
and AQP5 could optimize gas exchange (e.g., enhancing CO₂ removal) in health, or compromise it in disease.

Another variation on the missing-data theme is to use simulations to infer data that one cannot easily measure. Consider, for example, an investigator who monitors pHᵢ while exposing a cell to CO₂/\text{HCO}_3^-. Even a relatively simple compartmental model could provide valuable insight into how [CO₂] and [HCO₃⁻]—two parameters not directly measured—change with time in the cytosol [141]. With sophisticated spatially distributed mathematical models, one could get insight into how pH, [CO₂], and [HCO₃⁻] change with time at different depths beneath the cell membrane, and how the spatiotemporal profile may change with the introduction of CA IV at the outer surface of the membrane and/or CA II in the bulk intracellular fluid. Even more sophisticated mathematical models—together with appropriate computational methods—could begin to account for more complex, but still not entirely realistic, geometries like those in Figure 9.

In summary, mathematical models involving CA and CO₂/HCO₃⁻ can be an invaluable complement to wet-lab experiments by providing unprecedented insight into physiological mechanisms as well as guidance in both data interpretation and the design of future experiments.

**Funding:** The work of R.O. was supported by NIH grant K01-DK107787. The work of W.F.B. was supported by Office of Naval Research (ONR) grant N00014-15-1-2060; a Multidisciplinary University Research Initiative (MURI) grant N00014-16-1-2535 from the DoD; and NIH grants U01GM111251 and DK113197. W.F.B. gratefully acknowledges the support of the Myers/Scarpa endowed chair.

**Conflicts of Interest:** The authors declare no conflict of interest.

**References**

1. Meldrum, N.U.; Roughton, F.J. Carbonic anhydrase. Its preparation and properties. *J. Physiol.* 1933, 80, 113–142. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
2. Del Prete, S.; Vullo, D.; Fisher, G.M.; Andrews, K.T.; Poulsen, S.-A.; Capasso, C.; Supuran, C.T. Discovery of a new family of carbonic anhydrases in the malaria pathogen Plasmodium falciparum—The η-carbonic anhydrases. *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* 2014, 24, 4389–4396. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
3. Kikutani, S.; Nakajima, K.; Nagasato, C.; Tsuji, Y.; Miyatake, A.; Matsuda, Y. Thylakoid luminal θ-carbonic anhydrase critical for growth and photosynthesis in the marine diatom *Phaeodactylum tricornutum*. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 2016, 113, 9828–9833. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
4. Frost, S.C.; McKenna, R. *Carbonic Anhydrase: Mechanism, Regulation, Links to Disease, and Industrial Applications*; Subcellular Biochemistry; Springer: Dordrecht, The Netherlands; Heidelberg, Germany; New York, NY, USA; London, UK, 2014; ISBN 978-94-007-7358-5.
5. Lomelino, C.L.; Andring, J.T.; McKenna, R. Crystallography and Its Impact on Carbonic Anhydrase Research. *Int. J. Med. Chem.* 2018, 2018. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
6. Silverman, D.N.; Lindskog, S. The catalytic mechanism of carbonic anhydrase: Implications of a rate-limiting protolysis of water. *Acc. Chem. Res.* 1988, 21, 30–36. [CrossRef]
7. Imtaiyaz Hassan, M.; Shajee, B.; Waheed, A.; Ahmad, F.; Sly, W.S. Structure, function and applications of carbonic anhydrase isozymes. *Bioorg. Med. Chem.* 2013, 21, 1570–1582. [CrossRef]
8. Supuran, C.T. Carbonic anhydrases—An overview. *Curr. Pharm. Des.* 2008, 14, 603–614. [CrossRef]
9. Esbaugh, A.J.; Tufts, B.L. The structure and function of carbonic anhydrase isozymes in the respiratory system of vertebrates. *Respir. Physiol. Neurobiol.* 2006, 154, 185–198. [CrossRef]
10. Tashian, R.E.; Hewett-Emmett, D.; Carter, N.; Bergenhem, N.C. Carbonic anhydrase (CA)-related proteins (CA-RPs), and transmembrane proteins with CA or CA-RP domains. In *The Carbonic Anhydrases*; Springer: Berlin/Heidelberg, Germany, 2000; pp. 105–120.
11. Aspatwar, A.; Tolvanen, M.E.; Parkkila, S. Phylogeny and expression of carbonic anhydrase-related proteins. *BMC Mol. Biol.* 2010, 11, 25. [CrossRef]
12. Aspatwar, A.; Tolvanen, M.E.E.; Oruttay, C.; Parkkila, S. Carbonic anhydrase related proteins: Molecular biology and evolution. *Subcell. Biochem.* 2014, 75, 135–156.
13. Aspatwar, A.; Tolvanen, M.E.E.; Parkkila, S. An update on carbonic anhydrase-related proteins VIII, X and XI. *J. Enzyme Inhib. Med. Chem.* 2013, 28, 1129–1142. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
14. Sjöblom, B.; Elleby, B.; Wallgren, K.; Jonsson, B.H.; Lindskog, S. Two point mutations convert a catalytically inactive carbonic anhydrase-related protein (CARP) to an active enzyme. FEBS Lett. 1996, 398, 322–325. [CrossRef]

15. Picaud, S.S.; Muniz, J.R.C.; Kramm, A.; Plika, E.S.; Kochan, G.; Oppermann, U.; Yue, W.W. Crystal structure of human carbonic anhydrase-related protein VIII reveals the basis for catalytic silencing. Proteins 2009, 76, 507–511. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

16. Nishimori, I.; Vullo, D.; Minakuchi, T.; Scozzafava, A.; Capasso, C.; Supuran, C.T. Restoring catalytic activity to the human carbonic anhydrase (CA) related proteins VIII, X and XI affords isoforms with high catalytic efficiency and susceptibility to anion inhibition. Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 2013, 23, 256–260. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

17. Zhou, Y.; Skelton, L.A.; Xu, L.; Chandler, M.P.; Tashian, R.E. Carbonic anhydrase II deficiency identified in normal human pancreas and pancreatic tumours. Histochem. Cell Biol. 2000, 114, 197–204. [PubMed]

18. Patrikainen, M.; Pan, P.; Kuleskaya, N.; Voiker, V.; Parkkila, S. The role of carbonic anhydrase VI in bitter taste perception: Evidence from the Car6−/− mouse model. J. Biomed. Sci. 2014, 21, 82. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

19. Dodgson, S.J. Liver Mitochondrial Carbonic Anhydrase (CA V), Gluconeogenesis, and Ureagenesis in the Hepatocyte. In The Carbonic Anhydrases: Cellular Physiology and Molecular Genetics; Dodgson, S.J., Tashian, R.E., Gros, G., Carter, N.D., Eds.; Springer: Boston, MA, USA, 1991; pp. 297–306. ISBN 978-1-4899-0750-9.

20. Lehenkari, P.; Hentunen, T.A.; Laitala-Leinonen, T.; Tuukkanen, J.; Väänänen, H.K. Carbonic anhydrase II plays a major role in osteoclast differentiation and bone resorption by effecting the steady state intracellular pH and Ca2+. Exp. Cell Res. 1998, 242, 128–137. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

21. Hong, J.H.; Muhammad, E.; Zheng, C.; Hershkovitz, E.; Alkrimawi, S.; Loewenthal, N.; Parvari, R.; Muallem, S. Essential role of carbonic anhydrase XII in secretory gland fluid and HCO3− secretion revealed by disease causing human mutation. J. Physiol. 2015, 593, 5299–5312. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

22. Maren, T.H.; Broder, L.E. The Role of Carbonic Anhydrase in Anion Secretion into Cerebrospinal Fluid. J. Pharmacol. Exp. Ther. 1970, 172, 197–202. [PubMed]

23. Sly, W.S.; Hewett-Emmett, D.; Whyte, M.P.; Yu, Y.S.; Tashian, R.E. Carbonic anhydrase II deficiency identified as the primary defect in the autosomal recessive syndrome of osteopetrosis with renal tubular acidosis and cerebral calcification. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 1983, 80, 2752–2756. [CrossRef]

24. Nagelhus, E.A.; Mathiesen, T.M.; Bateman, A.C.; Haug, F.-M.; Ottersen, O.P.; Grubb, J.H.; Waheed, A.; Sly, W.S. Carbonic anhydrase XIV is enriched in specific membrane domains of retinal pigment epithelium, Muller cells, and astrocytes. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 2005, 102, 8030–8035. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

25. Kivelä, A.-J.; Kivelä, J.; Saarnio, J.; Parkkila, S. Carbonic anhydrases in normal gastrointestinal tract and gastrointestinal tumours. World Gastroenterol. 2005, 11, 155–163. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

26. Kivelä, A.J.; Parkkila, S.; Saarnio, J.; Karttunen, T.J.; Kivelä, J.; Parkkila, A.K.; Pastoreková, S.; Pastorek, J.; Waheed, A.; Sly, W.S.; et al. Expression of transmembrane carbonic anhydrase isoenzymes IX and XII in normal human pancreas and pancreatic tumours. Histochem. Cell Biol. 2000, 114, 197–204. [PubMed]

27. Mboge, M.Y.; Kota, A.; McKenna, R.; Frost, S.C. Biophysical, Biochemical, and Cell Based Approaches Used to Decipher the Role of Carbonic Anhydrases in Cancer and to Evaluate the Potency of Targeted Inhibitors. Int. J. Med. Chem. 2018, 2018. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

28. Swietach, P.; Patiar, S.; Supuran, C.T.; Harris, A.L.; Vaughan-Jones, R.D. The role of carbonic anhydrase 9 in regulating extracellular and intracellular pH in three-dimensional tumor cell growths. J. Biol. Chem. 2009, 284, 20299–20310. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

29. Supuran, C.T. Carbonic anhydrases: Novel therapeutic applications for inhibitors and activators. Nat. Rev. Drug Discov. 2008, 7, 168–181. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

30. Supuran, C.T. Applications of carbonic anhydrase inhibitors in renal and central nervous system diseases. Expert Opin. Ther. Pat. 2018, 28, 713–721. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

31. Supuran, C.T. Carbonic anhydrase inhibitors as emerging agents for the treatment and imaging of hypoxic tumors. Expert Opin. Investig. Drugs 2018, 27, 963–970. [CrossRef]

32. Scozzafava, A.; Supuran, C.T. Glaucoma and the applications of carbonic anhydrase inhibitors. Subcell. Biochem. 2014, 75, 349–359.

33. Henry, R.P. Multiple roles of carbonic anhydrase in cellular transport and metabolism. Annu. Rev. Physiol. 1996, 58, 523–538. [CrossRef]
34. Chegwidden, W.W.R.; Carter, N.N.D.; Edwards, Y.Y.H. *The Carbonic Anhydrases*; Springer: Berlin/Heidelberg, Germany, 2000; ISBN 3-7643-5670-7.

35. Gilmour, K.M. Perspectives on carbonic anhydrase. *Comp. Biochem. Physiol. Part A Mol. Integr. Physiol.* 2010, 157, 193–197. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

36. Tripp, B.C.; Smith, K.; Ferry, J.G. Carbonic Anhydrase: New Insights for an Ancient Enzyme. *J. Biol. Chem.* 2001, 276, 48615–48618. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

37. Maren, T.H. Carbonic anhydrase: Chemistry, physiology, and inhibition. *Physiol. Rev.* 1967, 47, 595–781. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

38. Bauer, C.; Gros, G.; Bartels, H. *Biophysics and Physiology of Carbon Dioxide*; Springer: Berlin/Heidelberg, Germany, 1980; ISBN 978-3-642-67572-0.

39. Dodgson, S.J.; Gros, G.; Carter, N.D. *The Carbonic Anhydrases: Cellular Physiology and Molecular Genetics*; Springer: Boston, MA, USA, 1991; ISBN 978-1-4899-0750-9.

40. Geers, C.; Gros, G. Carbon dioxide transport and carbonic anhydrase in blood and muscle. *Physiol. Rev.* 2000, 80, 681–715. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

41. Purkerson, J.M.; Schwartz, G.J. The role of carbonic anhydrases in renal physiology. *Kidney Int.* 2007, 71, 103–115. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

42. Adamson, R.; Swenson, E.R. Acetazolamide Use in Severe Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease. Pros and Cons. *Ann. Am. Thorac. Soc.* 2017, 14, 1086–1093. [PubMed]

43. Sly, W.S.; Hu, P.Y. Human carbonic anhydrases and carbonic anhydrase deficiencies. *Annu. Rev. Biochem.* 1995, 64, 375–401. [CrossRef]

44. Boron, W.F. Regulation of intracellular pH. *Adv. Physiol. Educ.* 2004, 28, 160–179. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

45. Forster, R.E.; Dodgson, S.J. Membrane transport and provision of substrates for carbonic anhydrase: In vertebrates. In *The Carbonic Anhydrases*; Springer: Berlin/Heidelberg, Germany, 2000; pp. 263–280.

46. Lee, S.-K.; Grichtchenko, I.I.; Boron, W.F. Distinguishing HCO\(_3^-\) from CO\(_3^{2-}\) transport by NBCe1-A. *FASEB J.* 2011, 25, 656.9. [CrossRef]

47. Zhao, P.; Geyer, R.R.; Boron, W.F. A novel stopped-flow assay for quantitating carbonic-anhydrase activity and assessing red-blood-cell hemolysis. *Front. Physiol.* 2017, 8, 169. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

48. Davenport, H.W. *The ABC of Acid-Base Chemistry: The Elements of Physiological Blood-Gas Chemistry for Medical Students and Physicians*; Sixth, Revised; University of Chicago Press: Chicago, IL, USA, 1974; ISBN 978-0-226-13703-2.

49. Somersalo, E.; Occhipinti, R.; Boron, W.F. Mathematical modeling of bicarbonate versus carbonate transport by the electrogenic Na\(^+/\)HCO\(_3^-\) cotransporter. *ILIPS 2013 2013*, 543P.
61. Calvetti, D.; Prezioso, J.; Occhipinti, R.; Boron, W.F.; Somersalo, E. Computational model of electrode-induced microenvironmental effects on pH measurements near a cell membrane. Submitted.

62. Boron, W.F. Sharpey-Schafer lecture: Gas channels. Exp. Physiol. 2010, 95, 1107–1130. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

63. Longmuir, I.S.; Forster, R.E.; Woo, C.-Y. Diffusion of Carbon Dioxide through Thin Layers of Solution. Nature 1966, 209, 393–394. [CrossRef]

64. Enns, T.; Sham, G.B.; Anderson, S. Carbonic anhydrase diffusion of CO₂ in whole blood. Physiologist 1966, 9, 176.

65. Moll, W.; Gros, G. Untersuchungen uber den gasautausch der erythrocyten. In Pflugers Archiv Fur Die Gesamte Physiologie des Menschen und Der Tiere; Springer: New York, NY, USA, 1966; Volume 291, p. 554.

66. Enns, T. Facilitation by carbonic anhydrase of carbon dioxide transport. Science 1967, 155, 44–47. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

67. Musa-Aziz, R.; Occhipinti, R.; Boron, W.F. Evidence from simultaneous intracellular- and surface-pH transients that Carbonic Anhydrase IV enhances CO₂ fluxes across Xenopus oocyte plasma membranes. Am. J. Physiol. 2014, 307, C814–C840. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

68. Musa-Aziz, R.; Occhipinti, R.; Boron, W.F. Evidence from simultaneous intracellular- and surface-pH transients that Carbonic Anhydrase II enhances CO₂ fluxes across Xenopus oocyte plasma membranes. Am. J. Physiol. Cell Physiol. 2014, 307, C791–C813. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

69. Spitzer, K.W.; Skolnick, R.L.; Peercy, B.E.; Keener, J.P.; Vaughan-Jones, R.D. Facilitation of intracellular H⁺ fluxes across albumin solutions. J. Gen. Physiol. 1974, 64, 356–371. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

70. Calvetti, D.; Prezioso, J.; Occhipinti, R.; Boron, W.F.; Somersalo, E. Computational model of electrode-induced microenvironmental effects on pH measurements near a cell membrane. Submitted.

71. Cooper, G.J.; Boron, W.F. Effect of pCMSB on CO₂ permeability of Xenopus oocytes expressing aquaporin 1 or its C189S mutant. Am. J. Physiol. 1998, 275, C1481–C1486. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

72. Endeward, V.; Cartron, J.-P.; Pochon, P. Mechanisms of facilitated CO₂ and H⁺ diffusion in protein solutions. In Biophysics and Physiology of Carbon Dioxide; Springer: Berlin/Heidelberg, Germany, 1980; pp. 36–48.

73. Spitzer, K.W.; Skolnick, R.L.; Peercy, B.E.; Keener, J.P.; Vaughan-Jones, R.D. Facilitation of intracellular H⁺ ion mobility by CO₂/HCO₃⁻ in rabbit ventricular myocytes is regulated by carbonic anhydrase. J. Physiol. 2002, 547, 159–167. [CrossRef]

74. Enns, T.; Sham, G.B.; Anderson, S. Carbonic anhydrase diffusion of CO₂ in whole blood. Physiologist 1966, 9, 176.

75. Moll, W.; Gros, G. Untersuchungen uber den gasautausch der erythrocyten. In Pflugers Archiv Fur Die Gesamte Physiologie des Menschen und Der Tiere; Springer: New York, NY, USA, 1966; Volume 291, p. 554.

76. Enns, T. Facilitation by carbonic anhydrase of carbon dioxide transport. Science 1967, 155, 44–47. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

77. Musa-Aziz, R.; Occhipinti, R.; Boron, W.F. Evidence from simultaneous intracellular- and surface-pH transients that Carbonic Anhydrase IV enhances CO₂ fluxes across Xenopus oocyte plasma membranes. Am. J. Physiol. 2014, 307, C814–C840. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

78. Musa-Aziz, R.; Occhipinti, R.; Boron, W.F. Evidence from simultaneous intracellular- and surface-pH transients that Carbonic Anhydrase II enhances CO₂ fluxes across Xenopus oocyte plasma membranes. Am. J. Physiol. Cell Physiol. 2014, 307, C791–C813. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

79. Occhipinti, R.; Musa-Aziz, R.; Boron, W.F. Evidence from mathematical modeling that Carbonic Anhydrase II and IV enhance CO₂ fluxes across Xenopus oocyte plasma membranes. Am. J. Physiol. Cell Physiol. 2014, 307, C841–C858. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

80. Broun, G.; Selegny, E.; Minh, C.T.; Thomas, D. Facilitated transport of CO(2) across a membrane bearing carbonic anhydrase. FEBs Lett. 1970, 7, 223–226. [CrossRef]

81. Broun, G.; Tran-Minh, C.; Thomas, D.; Domurado, D.; Selegny, E. The use of proteic and enzymatic coatinos and/or membranes for oxygenators. ASAIO J. 1971, 17, 341–349.

82. Gutknecht, J.; Bisson, M.A.; Tosteson, F.C. Diffusion of carbon dioxide through lipid bilayer membranes: Effects of carbonic anhydrase, bicarbonate, and unstirred layers. J. Gen. Physiol. 1977, 69, 779–794. [CrossRef]

83. Gutknecht, J.; Tosteson, D.C. Diffusion of weak acids across lipid bilayer membranes: Effects of chemical reactions in the unstirred layers. Science 1973, 182, 1258–1261. [CrossRef]

84. Gutknecht, J.; Bruner, L.J.; Tosteson, D.C. The permeability of thin lipid membranes to bromide and bromine. J. Gen. Physiol. 1972, 59, 486–592. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
97. Lucci, M.S.; Tinker, J.P.; Weiner, I.M.; DuBose, T.D. Function of proximal tubule carbonic anhydrase defined

86. Parkkila, S.; Parkkila, A.K.; Saarnio, J.; Kivelä, J.; Karttunen, T.J.; Kaunisto, K.; Waheed, A.; Sly, W.S.; Türeci, O.; Virtanen, I.; et al. Expression of the membrane-associated carbonic anhydrase isozyme XII in the human kidney and renal tumors. J. Histochem. Cytochem. 2000, 48, 1601–1608. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

85. Purkerson, J.M.; Kittelberger, A.M.; Schwartz, G.J. Basolateral carbonic anhydrase IV in the proximal tubule is a glycosylphosphatidylinositol-anchored protein. Kidney Int. 2007, 71, 407–416. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

96. DuBose, T.D.; Lucci, M.S. E

95. Jennings, M.L. Structure and function of the red blood cell anion transport protein. Annu. Rev. Biophys. Biophys. Chem. 1989, 18, 397–430. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

106. Parker, M.D.; Boron, W.F. The divergence, actions, roles, and relatives of sodium-coupled bicarbonate transporters. Physiol. Rev. 2013, 93, 803–959. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
Boron, W. Transport of oxygen and carbon dioxide in the blood. In *Medical Physiology,* Boron, W., Boulaq, E., Eds.; Elsevier: Philadelphia, PA, USA, 2017; pp. 647–659. ISBN 978-1-4557-4377-3.

Zhao, P.; Geyer, R.R.; Sim, E.S.; Salameh, A.I.; Meyerson, H.; Wass, A.B.; Moss, F.J.; Boron, W.F. Oxygen channels in red blood cells. *FASEB J.* 2016, 30, 1224–24.

Verkman, A.S. Role of aquaporins in lung liquid physiology. *Respir. Physiol. Neurobiol.* 2007, 159, 324–330. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

Swenson, E.R. Respiratory and renal roles of carbonic anhydrase in gas exchange and acid-base regulation. In *The Carbonic Anhydrases;* Birkhäuser: Basel, Switzerland, 2000; pp. 281–341.

Forster, R.E.; Itada, N. Carbonic Anhydrase Activity in Intact Red Cells as Measured by Means of $^{18}$O Exchange between CO$_2$ and Water. In *Biophysics and Physiology of Carbon Dioxide;* Bauer, C., Gros, G., Bartels, H., Eds.; Springer: Berlin/Heidelberg, Germany, 1980; pp. 177–183.

Al-Samir, S.; Papadopoulos, S.; Scheibe, R.J.; Meißner, J.D.; Cartron, J.-P.; Sly, W.S.; Alper, S.L.; Gros, G.; Endeward, V. Activity and distribution of intracellular carbonic anhydrase II and their effects on the transport activity of anion exchanger AE1/SLC4A1. *J. Physiol.* 2013, 591, 4963–4982. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

Endeward, V.; Gros, G. Low carbon dioxide permeability of the apical epithelial membrane of guinea-pig colon. *J. Physiol.* 2005, 567, 253–265. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

Effros, R.M.; Chang, R.S.; Silverman, P. Acceleration of plasma bicarbonate conversion to carbon dioxide by pulmonary carbonic anhydrase. *Science* 1978, 199, 427–429. [CrossRef]

Klocke, R.A. Catalysis of CO$_2$ reactions by lung carbonic anhydrase. *J. Appl. Physiol.* 1978, 44, 882–888. [CrossRef]

Ryan, U.S.; Whitney, P.L.; Ryan, J.W. Localization of carbonic anhydrase on pulmonary artery endothelial cells in culture. *J. Appl. Physiol.* 1982, 53, 914–919. [CrossRef]

Fleming, R.E.; Crouch, E.C.; Ruzicka, C.A.; Sly, W.S. Pulmonary carbonic anhydrase IV: Developmental regulation and cell-specific expression in the capillary endothelium. *Am. J. Physiol.* 1993, 265, L627–L635. [CrossRef]

Zhu, X.L.; Sly, W.S. Carbonic anhydrase IV from human lung. Purification, characterization, and comparison with membrane carbonic anhydrase from human kidney. *J. Biol. Chem.* 1990, 265, 8795–8801. [PubMed]

Henry, R.P.; Dodgson, S.J.; Forster, R.E.; Storey, B.T. Rat lung carbonic anhydrase: Activity, localization, and isoenzymes. *J. Appl. Physiol.* 1986, 60, 638–645. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

Nioka, S.; Henry, R.P.; Forster, R.E. Total CA activity in isolated perfused guinea pig lung by $^{18}$O-exchange method. *J. Appl. Physiol.* 1988, 65, 2236–2244. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

Nioka, S.; Forster, R.E. Lung Carbonic Anhydrase. In *The Carbonic Anhydrases: Cellular Physiology and Molecular Genetics;* Dodgson, S.J., Tashian, R.E., Gros, G., Eds.; Springer: Boston, MA, USA, 1991; pp. 333–340. ISBN 978-1-4899-0750-9.

Weibel, E.R. Lung morphometry: The link between structure and function. *Cell Tissue Res.* 2017, 367, 413–426. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

Gehr, P.; Bachofen, M.; Weibel, E.R. The normal human lung: Ultrastructure and morphometric estimation of diffusion capacity. *Respir. Physiol.* 1978, 32, 121–140. [CrossRef]

Crapo, J.D.; Barry, B.E.; Gehr, P.; Bachofen, M.; Weibel, E.R. Cell Number and Cell Characteristics of the Normal Human Lung. *Am. Rev. Respir. Dis.* 1982, 126, 332–337. [PubMed]

Fleming, R.E.; Moxley, M.A.; Waheed, A.; Crouch, E.C.; Sly, W.S.; Longmore, W.J. Carbonic anhydrase II expression in rat type II pneumocytes. *Am. J. Respir. Cell Mol. Biol.* 1994, 10, 499–505. [CrossRef]

Chen, J.; Lecuona, E.; Briva, A.; Welch, L.C.; Sznajder, J.J. Carbonic anhydrase II and alveolar fluid reabsorption during hypercapnia. *Am. J. Respir. Cell Mol. Biol.* 2008, 38, 32–37. [CrossRef]

Effros, R.M.; Mason, G.; Silverman, P. Role of perfusion and diffusion in $^{14}$CO$_2$ exchange in the rabbit lung. *J. Appl. Physiol.* 1981, 51, 1136–1144. [CrossRef]

Effros, R.M. Carbonic Anhydrase and Alveolar Fluid Absorption. *Am. J. Respir. Cell Mol. Biol.* 2008, 39, 124. [CrossRef]

Ridderstråle, Y.; Wistrand, P.; Holm, L.; Carter, N.D. Use of carbonic anhydrase II-deficient mice in uncovering the cellular location of membrane-associated isoforms. In *The Carbonic Anhydrases;* Birkhäuser: Basel, Switzerland, 2000; pp. 143–155.

Swenson, E.R. Carbonic anhydrase inhibitors and high altitude illnesses. *Subcell. Biochem.* 2014, 75, 361–386. [PubMed]
131. Forster, R.E.; Crandall, E.D. Time course of exchanges between red cells and extracellular fluid during CO$_2$ uptake. *J. Appl. Physiol.* 1975, 38, 710–718. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

132. Hill, E.P.; Power, G.G.; Longo, L.D. Mathematical simulation of pulmonary O$_2$ and CO$_2$ exchange. *Am. J. Physiol.* 1973, 224, 904–917. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

133. Bidani, A.; Crandall, E.D.; Forster, R.E. Analysis of postcapillary pH changes in blood in vivo after gas exchange. *J. Appl. Physiol.* 1978, 44, 770–781. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

134. Tyrrell, H.J.V. The origin and present status of Fick’s diffusion law. *J. Chem. Educ.* 1964, 41, 397. [CrossRef]

135. Alvarez, O.; Latorre, R. The enduring legacy of the “constant-field equation” in membrane ion transport. *J. Gen. Physiol.* 2017, 149, 911–920. [CrossRef]

136. Crandall, E.D.; O’Brasky, J.E. Direct evidence of participation of rat lung carbonic anhydrase in CO$_2$ reactions. *J. Clin. Investig.* 1978, 62, 618–622. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

137. Heming, T.A.; Geers, C.; Gros, G.; Bidani, A.; Crandall, E.D. Effects of dextran-bound inhibitors on carbonic anhydrase activity in isolated rat lungs. *J. Appl. Physiol.* 1986, 61, 1849–1856. [CrossRef]

138. Heming, T.A.; Vanoye, C.G.; Stabenau, E.K.; Roush, E.D.; Fierke, C.A.; Bidani, A. Inhibitor sensitivity of pulmonary vascular carbonic anhydrase. *J. Appl. Physiol.* 1993, 75, 1642–1649. [CrossRef]

139. Enns, T.; Hill, E.P. CO$_2$ diffusing capacity in isolated dog lung lobes and the role of carbonic anhydrase. *J. Appl. Physiol.* 1983, 54, 483–490. [CrossRef]

140. DeCoursey, T.E. Hypothesis: Do voltage-gated H$^+$ channels in alveolar epithelial cells contribute to CO(2) elimination by the lung? *Am. J. Physiol. Cell Physiol.* 2000, 278, C1–C10. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

141. Boron, W.F.; De Weer, P. Intracellular pH transients in squid giant axons caused by CO$_2$, NH$_3$, and metabolic inhibitors. *J. Gen. Physiol.* 1976, 67, 91–112. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

© 2019 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).