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ABSTRACT

For the purpose of this manuscript, we used the old maps of Europe and of the ecumene, as it was known at the time, in order to establish the ecumene properties, as well as the size of the Mediterranean in the time of Ptolemy. We obtained the coordinates (geographic longitude and latitude) from Ptolemy’s map of ecumene of ancient Mediterranean settlements. According to the historical data the coordinates of the Mediterranean ecumene were studied in the 7th century, since the Mediterranean was the centre connecting the civilizations of Europe, Asia and Africa. Although longitudinal and latitudinal errors are large, these coordinates are of great importance for the studies of the past settlements. Today, these settlements are the symbol of civilisation and of human existence. Using the data from 501 settlements overall, we obtained two principal maps of the Mediterranean ecumene with the average density of settlements on the area of 2000 km². All Ptolemy’s maps, which were used, were of great significance from the scientific point of view, since they made the description of 2000 years old civilization possible. Historically, part of these civilizations, and those formed afterwards, belonged to the Mediterranean.

1. Introduction

Ptolemy (Claudius Ptolemy) is considered to be one of the founders of geography, which was formed in 100 AD in Alexandria, today’s Egypt. According to historical resources, Ptolemy lived in the first century AD. Together with Eratosthenes (Eratosthenes), Ptolemy is regarded as the best father of geography because he was the first to introduce the concept of geographic coordinates (longitude and latitude) and the idea of creating some maps using geographical projections. Most of the coordinates of the ecumene, set at that time can be found in the epochal book of Almagest. Ptolemy was the first to present the relation between the geographic longitude and gnomonic projection of the solar declination throughout the year. The complexity of the equinox lines, in the further context of parallels, begins from the equator. These lines extend in durations of the diurnal light reckoning from the summer solstice. The durations increase by a quarter from 12 to 18 equinox hours by the geographic latitude of (58°φ), i.e. by 24 equinox hours on the Arctic Circle (66° 8‘ 40ʺ φ). The major problem in establishing the total area of the ancient ecumene is the northernmost point situated at the place of Thule (coordinates: 30° 30ʹ λ, 63° 00ʹφ). The most probable position of that point has still not been completely confirmed, but most scientists think that this settlement belongs either to Iceland or Greenland. The southernmost point according to Ptolemy’s ecumene map had the following coordinates: 60° 00ʹλ, -20°00ʹ φ, near Cartum (in present time Assuan) (Orbis Ptolemai, the map
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Then for a star attaining the zenith in its upper culmi
nation it is valid δ = φ .

So the coordinates of a point on the Earth’s surface
(latitude) could also be determined from a lunar eclipse.
Two towns where the measuring place were Arabela
and Catagena. The major measuring error between the
two towns exceeded 110 in longitude. For the further
analysis of data, we used Ptolemy’s coordinates, and those
which were missing were obtained directly from the map
after its georeferencing. Most of the coordinates contained
errors, so we minimised them using the equation (error is
smaller in latitude, larger in longitude). Ptolemy obtained
the coordinates as a part of degree measured from the
equator which was then relatively precisely determined.
The longitude was most likely determined from the prime
meridian, then located at ~30°.60 from the Greenwich. It
is also known that the settlement positions (their coordi
nates) have higher precision in the internal Mediterranean;
its value being 5 arc minutes. Finally, the total number of
Ptolemy’s coordinates is 8000, 233 of which were taken
for the purpose of this manuscript from the regions of
Hispania, Italia and large islands near Greece, Asia Minor,
Levant and Egypt. The missing coordinates were obtained
by means of specially created algorithm wherein the
relative error in (longitude) within ~1°4 was taken into
account. We also used special tools in GIS in order to de
termine the coordinates today.

2. Ptolemy’s Simple Conic Projection

One of the first projections made with precision belongs
to Ptolemy. In Ptolemy’s conic projection the parallels
are given as concentric circles (circle outsides). The me
ridians are given as straight lines. However, the historical
importance of this projection is that it was the first to indi
cate a given point with the help of a coordinate (longitude,
latitude). In constructing the cartographic grid, the prime
meridian belonged to the Rhodes parallel (φ°). From the
Rhodes parallel all other radii of other coordinates from
the map are drawn (see Eq.2).

ρ = Rctgφ

(2)

where R is the radius of the terrestrial sphere reduced
according to the map scale. Arc (arcsecent) of the other
parallels starts from the common centre. The radius is
equal to that of the standard parallel (see Eq.3).

ρ = ρ° + RΔφ

(3)

The angle (δ) obtained from the meridian grid, as well
as the meridian approaching angle, is found by applying
the formula for chord length within equilateral triangle.
The chord length, as well as the angle between meridians,
is calculated by using the following equations (see, Eq.4,
Eq.5).

\[
\frac{d}{2} = R\text{ctg}\phi \sin \frac{\delta}{2} = R\cos\phi \sin \frac{\Delta\lambda}{2}
\]

(4)

\[
\delta = \Delta\lambda \sin \phi_0
\]

(5)

In Ptolemy’s conic projection the direction and the
shape of the prime meridian depends on the direction of its extension. The standard parallel of this projection has preserved the zero deformation only. As the standard parallel becomes more distant, the deformations of lengths, angles, as well as of the areas, enormously increase. At the poles the deformations are infinite. The basic formula for construction of Ptolemy’s projection is given in Equation (6).

\[ m = \cos \phi - (\phi - \phi) \sin \phi \]

\[ \rho = R \cdot \cot \phi + R(\phi - \phi) \]

\[ \delta = \Delta \lambda \cdot \sin \phi \]

\[ \rho_0 = R \cdot \cot \phi_0 \]

\[ \rho_E = R \cdot \cot \phi_0 \]

\[ x = q - \rho \cdot \cos \delta \]

\[ y = \rho \sin \delta \]

In this equation (\( \rho \)) is the radius of equator projection, (\( q \)) is the radius of the parallel, (\( n \)) is the linear scale for the parallel direction, (\( m \)) is the linear scale for the meridian direction, (\( p \)) is the area scale, (\( \omega \)) is the maximal angle deformation. According to Ptolemy, the end of the cartographic grid is the south geographic tropic which is presented as a circle arc of a length equal to the presentation of the north geographic tropic (parallel of Syene, today Aswan in Egypt). Due to the appearance of deformations, Ptolemy himself corrected the projection so that it is not completely conic in its shape (Figure 1). There are the settlements and their coordinates of the Mediterranean ecumene partly taken from the map of Ptolemy’s ecumene.

3. Historical Background of the Mediterranean

The Mediterranean is today a region of diverse sociological, cultural and religious communities. Any determination of its frontiers in the past contributes to a better insight of its present state, but also of its future. Following some theoreticians who minimalise the frontiers, the Mediterranean is bordered by olive dispersion to the north and the south. Following the maximalist definitions, the Mediterranean is given through historical transition zones. In the present paper, the authors are focussed on the Mediterranean within the Roman Empire presented in [7]. In the 1st and the 2nd century, the population was partly assimilated by the Roman Empire so that the frontiers of the ancient Mediterranean states were removed, since the Mediterranean economically and culturologically merged into the Roman Empire. Within the Roman Empire the central place of the Mediterranean certainly belonged to the capital Rome, whereas the other parts were regarded as provinces [8]. According to the usual standpoint, the past of the Mediterranean is, in fact, the past of its towns or cities [9]. Therefore, we also refer to the Mediterranean settlements which were most frequently mentioned in the Roman Empire. A concise and detailed review by James C. Russell gives a definition that within the Roman Empire it is possible to distinguish the Western Mediterranean - Italy, Iberia and North Africa - and the Eastern Mediterranean with regions - Greece with the Balkans, Egypt, Asia Minor and Israel [10]. Italy with its cultural and religious capital Rome had between 7 and 7.5 million inhabitants then, the other centres being: Neapolis, Capua, Catania, Bologna, i. e. Algeria, Caralis, Panormus and Syracuse on the Balearic islands. The most romanised parts of the Empire comprised following towns: Gades, Tarraco, Cordoba and Carthago-Nova, which had more than 6 million inhabitants (Ibid., 74). The population of Northern Africa in the 1st and the 2nd century was between 4 and 4.5 million. Important trade and cultural centres were: Tingi, Caesarea, Cirta, Utica, Carthago, Leptis-Magna and Cyrene. Greece with the Balkans, which was positioned between the western and eastern parts of the Roman Empire, had about 5 million inhabitants [11-13]. The towns of this Mediterranean part were the most important cultural and social centres, in some of which the first philosophical thought was developed, the most important being: Athens, Corinth, Rhodes, Thessalonica, Salonae and Dyrhachium. In the eastern parts of the Roman Empire, though they were provinces, the Hellenistic elements survived all the time. The proof is in towns abundantly filled with features of cultural living milieu, cultural heritage, as well as the settlement shape [14-17]. On the territory of Egypt, Asia Minor, Syria, Israel, the number of inhabitants was between 20 and 25 million. In that part of the Empire, some of the former Hellenistic towns were transformed into Roman ones. Among the most important urban centres the following should be mentioned: Alexandria and Memphis in...
Egypt, Ephesus and Miletus in Asia Minor, Antioch, Tyre, Palmyra and Hierosolyma in Syria (Figure 2).

Figure 2. Modern map of the Mediterranean in Ptolemy’s conic projection. Comparison of old Ptolemy’s coordinates and modern coordinates. Most errors visualized in the direction of parallels

4. GIS Background Analysis

Geographic Information System (Abbrev.GIS), and the numerical modelling of geographic data appear as very powerful tools in the calculation and treatment of a large data body. GIS also offers the possibility of redesigning old maps, and by using the interpolation technique and special spatial analysis ordinary kriging (KR) and a special algorithm (IDW), it becomes possible to treat all points (coordinates) from Ptolemy’s old maps. In modelling the inner and outer Mediterranean parts, the coordinates of old and new settlements and determining the ecumene of Ptolemy’s time all treated geospatial data are used. Ordinary global kriging and Inverse distance weighted (IDW) methods are also used as auxiliary open source QGIS and SAGA (GIS-a) using special extension of Geo-spatial Analyst. Although there are a few other methods, the priority is given to ordinary kriging because it includes autocorrelation or the statistical relationship among the measured points. This method of treating geospatial data unlike the other ones is not based on the distance of measured points only, but it minimises the variance and the standard error between the points of geospace. Settlements of the inner and outer Mediterranean parts are presented on the maps (see Figure 1; Figure 2). During the data treatment, we included the well-known settlements from Ptolemy’s maps and books and compared them to the present coordinates (see Figure 3). The first Mediterranean zone is divided into states of Italy and Greece. The other Mediterranean states are divided and classified depending on the geographic position of the towns. We also determined the approximate date of Ptolemy’s projection since the precise dates of modern projections concern the 19th century only. The other coordinates were georeferenced directly from Ptolemy’s ecumene map (ecumene). Other sources used for the purpose of data determining were: archaeological localities, historical books, historical archives and old maps. All coordinates, both from Ptolemy’s ecumene map and the ones from new maps, are given in the Universal Transverse Mercator projection, after georeferencing. The largest errors are found between the parallels, and the average measured error lies between 80 and 110 (see Figure 3), on the prime Rhodes Meridian being approximately ~1°3, farther from it approximately \[17,18\]. The inner Mediterranean presented on Ptolemy’s ecumene map has errors by 40% less when compared to the outer one \[20\].

Ecumene in the Ancient Times

Notwithstanding their incorrectness, the coordinates from Ptolemy’s map have an enormous importance. The errors are also due to non-single measuring system; geographic latitude is determined by applying astronomical methods, local times are often discordant. The Alexandria parallel was assumed by Ptolemy to be the prime meridian and also as a referent one (Alexandria 60°30’). The known ecumene on Ptolemy’s map is given within a small quadrant (see, Figure 4). After the treatment of the coordinates of settlements and their dispersion, the highest density of population and towns includes Italy, Greece and Spain. Here the ecumene has preserved the shape by direction of 180°. The border is abruptly deformed from the coast of the Iberian Peninsula and in the east towards India. Among the reasons for this error is also the fact that Ptolemy had no knowledge whether this part of the ecumene was populated. Another theory is in favour of Ptolemy’s basing his first conic projection on the Eratosthenes square projection which also gave a similar form of ecumene. The second reason concerns the fact that both Eratosthenes and Ptolemy adopted the prime meridian at the Rhodes. Therefore, the other parallels were drawn concentrically on the maps from this parallel and, as a consequence, the errors increased as being farther from it. The third reason lies in the fact that Ptolemy’s second projection (pseudo-conical) had more accurate coordinates than the first one, but he, for reasons known to him only, in presenting the ecumene preferred the first projection. After the data treatment in (GIS), also see (Figure 1), the highest density of settlements occurs in the territory between the Rhodes and Athens, and further in the territory of the Apennine Peninsula and the central parts of the Iberian Peninsula and France. The coordinates which Ptolemy used for the parts of Eastern and Southern Europe differ significantly.
5. Discussion and Conclusion

The relationship between terrestrial measurements and astronomical phenomena contributed to the development of ancient geography which developed on the territory of the Mediterranean. Geometric methods and the use of units such as stades and steps made it possible to perform the first direct measurements and to present the results on the maps. For instance, ancient geographer Eratosthenes used his excellent knowledge of astronomy for the purpose of determining the circumference of the Earth, which, according to him, was equal to 252,000 stades (39,690 km), whereas Ptolemy estimated this circumference to 180,000 stades (28,350 km). (1 stade was approximately 157.5 m, whereas the Roman stade was approximately 185 m). Ptolemy’s merit, in addition to the precisely determined geographic position (longitude and latitude), is also the defining of the prime meridian with respect to which the other meridians were determined. Consequently, on the Mediterranean territory for the first time, local times could be applied. Ptolemy then determined the geographic longitude for Alexandria to 60°30', from the Isle of the Blessed. The best-known part of the ecumene (Figure 4) is indicated in the quadrant of his ecumene map. It was most densely populated, its shape being similar to a cloak worn in ancient times. This quadrant extended by 1800 westwards to the Iberian coasts and eastwards to the central parts of India. Its southernmost point was on the southern hemisphere reaching the latitude of -16° 25'. It was parallel to the Egyptian town of Meroë. The northernmost point reached Thule, latitude of 63°00'. The main parallel (meridian) divided the outer Mediterranean at Rhodes, longitude of 36°00'. This meridian was also known as a diaphragm or Ionic Equator. This diaphragm divided the ecumene into western and eastern, southern and northern parts. Ptolemy put the central part of the ecumene in the heart of the Mediterranean. The ecumene area inside Mediterranean according to Ptolemy was 72000 x 350000 stades, approximately 62 million km² (see Figure 4). Another importance of Ptolemy’s ecumene map is contained in using, for that time, up-to-date scientific means and techniques such as gnomon, orthographic projection, quadratic-cylindrical projection, pseudo-conic projection, simple conic projection, stereographic projection, stades and others. In the present paper, the comparison of two kinds of geographic data is successfully carried out, one body of data being from Ptolemy’s Geography (book), the other body being from his map of ecumene. In determining the area and shape of the Mediterranean ecumene, the fact that the notion of the
prime parallel - equator was applied for the first time in cartography is kept in mind. Knowing the history of the ancient Mediterranean one can also verify the present positions of past settlements, discover new archaeological localities or describe the history of settlements which no longer exist. Ptolemy’s view inside the Mediterranean is almost 2000 years old, and it shows the historical importance of this region. The errors in this projection of Ptolemy, despite their existence, did not reduce the significance of the fact that the settlements were indicated on a map for the first time, by the use of geographic longitude and latitude. Therefore, the Mediterranean was presented realistically for the first time, and after 19 centuries it is possible to note the distribution of settlements by using modern scientific tools like GIS.

6. Future Research

Some authors successfully reconstructed history and climate after the Roman Empire. This pioneering venture established new comparative study called interdisciplinary history. Some authors found strong connection of History and Natural sciences, such as ecology. These principles used geographical, historical and ecological investigation for better conclusions. Also, we found a strong connection between history, geography, cartography, astronomy and mathematical geography and history, with the help of GIS tools. A map is an important means not only in geography, but also in history. Historical cartography is important, and it can always be used in various fields as a referent scientific discipline. Ptolemy’s map has been an important historical and geographic source. The distribution of settlements, scattered when observed, can be compared to the distribution of settlements today. After implementing all the Mediterranean settlements from Ptolemy’s ecumene map in the data-base and with the help of his epochal Geography, it is possible to determine the Mediterranean population for the period in which the map was made (the 1st and the 2nd century) much more accurately. By applying other scientific disciplines and methods, such as - historical, demographic, culturological, archaeological, medical, etc. - it is possible to obtain more precise data on the life in the Mediterranean in the ancient times.
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