Learning from the past: inequalities and discrimination in psychiatry’s chequered history
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Summary The Royal College of Psychiatrists’ antiquarian book collection originated from the library of psychiatrist Daniel Hack Tuke (1827–1895). A proposal to name the collection after him led us to investigate aspects of his life and work, particularly related to his attitudes concerning race, gender and homosexuality. We juxtaposed his ideas with those of some of his contemporaries. We cannot separate what he believed from how future generations of psychiatrists may perceive us.
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The Royal College of Psychiatrists (RCPsych) published its Equality Action Plan in January 2021. It seeks to overcome inequalities that may have a negative impact on the well-being of patients and those who work with them. These inequalities, or ‘protected characteristics’, as designated by the Equality Act 2010, include race, religion, age, disability and the multiple facets of sexuality and gender. The Plan also celebrates many recent College equality achievements.

For the RCPsych, 2021 also marks 50 years as a medical Royal College and 180 years since the founding of its first predecessor body, the Association of Medical Officers of Asylums and Hospitals for the Insane (AMOAH). Stemming from discussions about how to celebrate this, the question arose as to whether the College’s antiquarian book collection should be given a snappier name, to help raise its profile and encourage more people to use it. The collection began with 700 volumes donated by Esther Maria Tuke, the widow of psychiatrist Daniel Hack Tuke (1827–1895). Initially, it was the library of the Medico-Psychological Association (as the AMOAHI was renamed in 1865), so might we now call it the ‘Tuke Library’? This question led us to explore aspects of Daniel Hack Tuke’s life and work, and those of some of his contemporaries. We drew on published work by 19th- and early 20th-century psychiatrists, most of which is available from the Internet Archive (www.archive.org) and in the Journal of Mental Science (JMS, predecessor of British Journal of Psychiatry).

In the second half of the 19th century, biological, hierarchical theories of mental, physical and cultural differences, which often put upper-class White men at the top, gained acceptance. Within the psychiatric literature, Bénédict Morel’s degeneration hypothesis (1857) was prominent. It proposed a downward trajectory of health and well-being of individuals, families and society, if they bore a supposedly inherited taint. The degeneration hypothesis gained ground among novelists, the public, politicians, physicians and scientists, but it was probably less influential than might be expected among British psychiatrists. Although criticised for their lack of academic approach to psychiatry, many held a cautious scepticism towards hypotheses presented to them, a virtue in its own right and one that could protect the interests of their patients. Many were aware that their clinical observations were inconsistent with degeneration.

Tuke and his contemporaries: asylums, homosexuality, women and degeneration

Daniel Hack Tuke was descended from William Tuke, who founded the Retreat at York in the 1790s. Based on the fundamental Quaker belief in the equality of all human beings, the Retreat aimed to provide more humane treatment for people with mental disorders than that available in the local public asylum. It was funded by donations from Quaker groups around the country, rather than from personal family wealth.

Hack Tuke, like his ancestors, pioneered humane social treatment. He worked for several years at the Retreat, and in 1879 was a founder member of the After-Care Association (today, Together for Mental Wellbeing). This organisation initially aimed to support women to re-establish their lives in the community following an asylum admission. Tuke co-edited the JMS for around 15 years, and undertook the mammoth task of editing the two-volume Dictionary of Psychological Medicine (1892). The Dictionary included articles by leading psychiatrists, psychologists and neurologists. It aimed to be a state-of-the-art scientific and clinical compendium. Relatively little of it directly addressed what today would be considered human rights or equality issues.

When trying to understand attitudes and behaviours of our forebears, we require a degree of scepticism when reading their obituaries, especially when written by colleagues, as these tend to follow the tradition of not speaking ill of the dead. It is unusual, however, to find one attributed to a patient, in this case Henry Francis Harding, which extols the virtues of a psychiatrist. Harding mentioned some of Tuke’s personal attributes, which align with other evidence, to suggest that he maintained a good relationship with his son, the homosexual artist Henry Scott Tuke. In late Victorian England, this could not be taken for granted. In the Dictionary, Conolly Norman, medical superintendent of the Richmond District Lunatic Asylum, Dublin, contributed the entry on ‘sexual perversion’, one of the terms then used concerning homosexuality. He wrote empathetically, recognising the development of homosexual identity during childhood rather than it being a disorder that required treatment. Like Tuke, Norman also tried to provide the most humane treatment for his asylum patients. Also, unlike a significant number of their contemporaries, both of them were enthusiastic about supporting the election of women doctors to membership of the Medico-Psychological Association.

In the second half of the 19th century, biological, hierarchical theories of mental, physical and cultural differences, which often put upper-class White men at the top, gained acceptance. Within the psychiatric literature, Bénédict Morel’s degeneration hypothesis (1857) was prominent. It proposed a downward trajectory of health and well-being of individuals, families and society, if they bore a supposedly inherited taint. The degeneration hypothesis gained ground among novelists, the public, politicians, physicians and scientists, but it was probably less influential than might be expected among British psychiatrists. Although criticised for their lack of academic approach to psychiatry, many held a cautious scepticism towards hypotheses presented to them, a virtue in its own right and one that could protect the interests of their patients. Many were aware that their clinical observations were inconsistent with degeneration.

They treated and discharged patients who were labelled as having ‘hereditary insanity’, and noted that their patients’ families did not show patterns of decline compatible with it.

From the evidence available to us, Tuke and Norman were among those who tried to overcome ideas of biological hierarchies, hereditary predispositions, and inevitable decline and hopelessness associated with insanity. They tried to improve in-patient care and aftercare. They reached out beyond stereotypes and theories, and held liberal attitudes towards to people whose lives were affected negatively by social or professional exclusion.

Language, race and weighing up the evidence

All historical sources require careful and contextualised analysis. Many Victorian psychiatrists left few private papers that might directly shed light on their lives as individuals. Their publications require cautious reading if one attempts to use them to infer personal attitudes or motivation for writing. For example, we can consider Havelock Ellis’s writing on homosexuality (‘sexual inversion’).
Unlike many of his contemporaries, he took a non-moralising, non-legalistic approach, explaining that ‘several persons for whom I felt respect and admiration were the congenital subjects of this [...] instinct which to those persons who possess it frequently appears natural and normal’. However, to argue his case, some of the comparisons he made, such as with the ‘congenital idiot’ and ‘the man [sic] of genius’, and his language of normal and abnormal to stress human variation, make for uncomfortable reading today. Rather than looking at the individual words he used to assist his expected readership to understand his argument, we need to consider the take-home message.

The meaning of many words used by previous generations has shifted. Language with an emotional overlay and associated with stigma changes particularly rapidly. Earlier professional and official terminology, such as cripple, spastic, lunatic and imbecile, have become terms of abuse. However, to argue his case, some of the comparisons he made, such as with orangutan and human brains in the 1830s. He found only minute differences between brains of Black and White people, with any resemblance to those of other primates of dubious significance. He concluded that ‘neither anatomy nor physiology can justify our placing [Black people] beneath the Europeans’ morally or intellectually, and that any differences were the result of oppression and the cruelty of slavery. However, almost 40 years after Tiedemann published his research, Maudsley misrepresented it, advocating instead a theory affirming racial difference. As is common for research that contradicts established theories or cultural, political or economic drivers, Tiedemann’s research had little impact. In this case, it conflicted with elitist ideals of Empire, and Maudsley accepted it uncritically.

In 1896, an anonymous review of Maudsley’s *The Pathology of Mind* appeared in the *JMS*. It criticised both book and author:

‘[his] philosophy is frequently unsound, his psychology prohibitive of truth, and his sociology repulsive and unsuited to average humanity... His rhetorical and precise statements on questions of a highly controversial nature seem to us unscientific and misleading... They are out of sympathy with the general tenor of recent research and philosophic thought.’

Considering that Maudsley was a former editor of the *JMS*, it is astounding that this, and a string of other criticisms, appeared in the *Journal*. His ideas were intolerable to his peers, who regarded them as behind the times and unworthy of debate. According to Trevor Turner, Maudsley’s heritage also included ‘the dangers of drawing philosophy from clinical experience, the sterility of pure materialism in the face of human needs, the easy lapse into unscientific thinking’. Remembered, or perhaps glorified, by his enormous donation to the London County Council to establish the hospital that bears his name, there were other faces to a man we know little about.

Discussion

...
are likely to consider someone who uses the terms ‘third world’ or ‘developing country’ as ill-informed rather than racist. Taking words out of context can lead to unwarranted assumptions. Since uncomfortable language changes rapidly, Tuke’s words are inevitably outdated. Tuke was ill-informed and aware that he lacked knowledge, but sought better and tried to make sense of the evidence presented to him.

In the context of current debates on discrimination, the RCPsych will not be naming the antiquarian book collection after Tuke. This is understandable in the context of implementing the College’s Equality Action Plan1 and wanting to avoid anything that might possibly be (mis)interpreted as having racist connotations. However, imposing today’s standards about equality onto a different culture and generation is ahistorical. It also sets a precedent for future generations to regard our theories and practices as unacceptable, despite us trying to do our best for our patients amid imperfect social, cultural, political, economic and scientific circumstances. How, for example, might future generations interpret the high rates of detention of Black men under the Mental Health Act 1983?29 As Mind’s Legal Newsletter asked: ‘Could it be that stereotypes of black people, men especially, as being dangerous are operating at a subconscious level on decision-makers at the point of sectioning?’30 Will we be described as racist, or as psychiatrists trying our best in a less than perfect world? We cannot separate psychiatrists, past or present, from the societal and scientific context that shapes their professional understanding and standards. In addition, ahistorical analyses risk generating complacency. If future generations of psychiatrists see the past as inevitably flawed and themselves as inevitably better than their predecessors, they will fail to use history to question their own practices and to learn from what happened before them.

A short article can only begin to unravel the history of attitudes and values of Victorian psychiatrists, and the subject would benefit from more investigation. Psychiatry has skeletons in the cupboard, but we must not write our predecessors out of history on the basis of flimsy evidence or interpretation of words taken out of their historical context. The lives and teachings of our psychiatric predecessors require critical, contextualised historical analysis rather than rejection. They were not perfect, neither was Noah and nor are we.

About the authors
Claire Hilton, MD, PhD, FRCPsych, is Historian in Residence at the Royal College of Psychiatrists, London, UK. Robert Freudenthal, MRCPsych, MSc, is a Specialty Trainee Year 6 (ST6) psychiatrist at St Ann’s Hospital, Barnet, Enfield and Haringey Mental Health NHS Trust, London, UK.

Data availability
Data availability is not applicable to this article as no new data were created or analysed in this study.

Acknowledgements
We are grateful to College archivist Francis Maunze regarding source material, and to Drs John Bradley and David Jolley for comments on an early draft of this paper.

Author contributions
Both authors worked on the research, writing and checking of this paper.

Funding
This research received no specific grant from any funding agency, commercial or not-for-profit sectors.

Declaration of interest
C.H. is a member of the BJPsych Bulletin editorial board, but played no part in the review or decision-making process for this paper.

References
1 Royal College of Psychiatrists. RCPsych Equality Action Plan 2021-23. RCPsych, 2021. (https://www.rcpsych.ac.uk/about-us/equality-diversity-and-inclusion/equality-action-plan).
2 Mohdin A, Storer R. Tributes to slave traders and colonialists removed across UK. Guardian 2021; 29 Jan. (https://www.theguardian.com/world/2021/jan/29/tributes-to-slave-traders-and-colonialists-removed-across-uk).
3 Anon. The Association Library. J Ment Sci 1896; 42: 351.
4 Wellcome Collection. Mental After Care Association: About This Work. Wellcome Collection, 2021 (https://wellcomecollection.org/works/wn9845w4v).
5 Tuke DH. A Dictionary of Psychological Medicine. Giving the Definition, Etymology and Synonyms of the Terms Used in Medical Psychology, with the Symptoms, Treatment, and Pathology of Insanity, and the Law of Lunacy in Great Britain and Ireland. Blakiston, 1892.
6 Bewley T. Obituaries (With Advice on How to Read Them): ‘De Mortuis Nil Nisi Bonum’ (RCPsych Online Archive 32). RCPsych, No date (https://www.rcpsych.ac.uk/docs/default-source/about-us/library-archives/archives/obituaries-with-advice-on-how-to-read-them.pdf?sfvrsn=9f275c2b_).
7 H (probably HF Harding). Daniel Hack Tuke MD FRCP. Under the Dome 1895; 4(14): 43–46.
8 Anon. Biography and psychology IV: Daniel Hack Tuke (1827–1895). Museum of the Mind Blog 2021 (https://museumofthemind.org.uk/blog/biography-and-psychology-iv-daniel-hack-tuke-1827-1895).
9 Broderick P. Henry Scott Tuke: His “Other” Life. Chapel Art Publishing, 2014.
10 Norman C. Sexual perversion. In A Dictionary of Psychological Medicine (ed DH Tuke): 1156–57. Blakiston, 1892.
11 Anon. The admission of lady members to the Association. J Ment Sci 1893; 39: 598–601.
12 Moriel B. Traité des Dégénérescences Physiques, Intellectuelles et Morales de L’espèce Humaine [Treatise on the Physical, Intellectual and Moral Degeneration of the Human Race]. Baillière, 1857.
13 Hilton C. Civilian Lunatic Asylums during the First World War: A Study of Austerity on London’s Fringe. Palgrave Macmillan, 2021.
14 Nolan P. The Life and Times of Dr Eleonora Lilian Fleury (1867–1960) News and Notes. RCPsych 2021; 12: 23–25. (https://www.rcpsych.ac.uk/members/special-interest-groups/history-of-psychiatry/newsletters/).
15 Beccalossi C. Female Sexual Inversion: Same-Sex Desires in Italian and British Sexology. c. 1870–1920. Palgrave Macmillan, 2012.
16 Ellis H. Studies in the Psychology of Sex: Sexual Inversion. London University Press, 1897.
17 Zangwill I. Preface. In Children of the Ghetto: A Study of a Peculiar People. 1893, reprinted by McAllister, 2015.
18 Tamburini A, Tonini S. Israelites. In A Dictionary of Psychological Medicine (ed DH Tuke): 715. Blakiston, 1892.
SPECIAL ARTICLE

Looking forward to a decade of the biopsychosocial model*

Derek Bolton¹

Summary  The topic of this article is the biopsychosocial model. My main contention is that - notwithstanding doubts as to what exactly it is, or indeed whether it is anything - there is a coherent account of it, in terms of both applications to particular health conditions and mechanisms with wide application. There is accumulating evidence from recent decades that psychosocial as well as biological factors are implicated in the aetiology and treatment of a large range of physical as well as mental health conditions. The original proposer of the biopsychosocial model, George Engel, back in 1977, was substantially correct about what he saw was on its way.

Keywords  Biopsychosocial model; biomedical model; George Engel; biopsychosocial health sciences; biomedically unexplained symptoms.

Recent controversies around the biopsychosocial model

In conversations where the biopsychosocial model comes up, comments are commonly heard to the effect of: ‘Well, we use it and teach it, but we don’t know what it is!’ The problem that we don’t know exactly what the model is naturally gives rise to the worry that it isn’t anything, and a decade or so ago this worry was being voiced loudly and clearly by experts in medicine generally and psychiatry in particular.¹–⁴

Although at first sight it is puzzling that we should use and teach something without knowing what it is, we can bear in mind that the biopsychosocial model has to do with many or all types of health conditions, professions and specialties, and so we should hardly expect it to be simple. In fact it’s more likely to be complicated. Even if it...