INTRODUCTION

Chlamydia is a genus comprised of important zoonotic obligate intracellular bacteria that affect humans and a wide range of animals (Longbottom and Coulter, 2003; Rohde et al., 2010). Chlamydia (C.) psittaci can infect 465 avian species in 30 avian orders, with at least 153 species in the order Psittaciformes (Vanrompay et al., 2007). It is known that pigeons, like many other bird species, have a history of antibodies present against C. psittaci (Heddema et al., 2006). Chlamydia infection can cause various diseases in non-human mammals and birds, including conjunctivitis, atypical pneumonia, enteritidis, endocarditis, and even abortion, resulting in serious economic losses (Eidson, 2002; Entrican and Wheelhouse, 2006; Pannekoek et al., 2009; Verminnen and Vanrompay, 2009).

Chlamydia psittaci is a causative agent of psittacosis, a range of systemic diseases in psittacine birds which can be of acute, chronic or subclinical manifestation and represents the most important animal chlamydiosis of zoonotic character (Goellner et al., 2006). Chlamydia psittaci is a bacterium that can be transmitted from pet birds to humans (Johnston et al., 2000). The first case of zoonotic C. psittaci...
Chlamydia psittaci can be transmitted to humans by direct contact with animals (cattle, dog, cat, wild boar and so on), avian nasal discharges, infectious avian fecal material or even inhalation of feather dust (Ni et al., 2015; Balsamo et al., 2017). Several Chlamydia species can infect humans and are responsible for creating many serious public health problems like atherosclerosis, coronary heart disease, pneumonia and other severe diseases (Wu et al., 2013). Persons at risk include those exposed to pet birds, pigeons and poultry and in specific occupations such as laboratory and wildlife workers (Smith et al., 2005; Doosti and Arshi, 2011). Human infection can result from even brief exposure to the contaminated excretions or secretions of infected birds (Smith et al., 2005). The largest epidemic occurred in 1930 and affected 750–800 individuals in Europe and the United States. During 2003–2014, 112 human cases of psittacosis were reported to the Center for Disease Control and Prevention through the Nationally Notifiable Diseases Surveillance System (CDC, 2016).

Bangladesh has a long historical record of rearing poultry species using the backyard system (Alam et al., 2014). Due to suitable weather and large crop fields along with housing premises, pigeon farming is gaining popularity among the younger generation as a domestically reared bird, producing both income and entertainment in Bangladesh (Asaduzzaman et al., 2009; Gofur, 2020; Parvez et al., 2020). Although, pigeons are considered as the potential sources of several human zoonotic pathogens like avian tuberculosis, chlamydiosis, salmonellosis, avian influenza, velogenic Newcastle disease (ND) and so on in many countries (Cooper, 1997; Haag-Wackernagel, 2006; Morita et al., 1994). The current status of chlamydiosis in pigeons is still anonymous in Bangladesh. There is no published scientific report on Chlamydia infection in Bangladesh to date. Moreover, due to lack of modern facilities, suspected Chlamydia infections in pet birds and animals are still diagnosed by clinical signs and post-mortem lesions in the region. Research based on the seroprevalence study of Chlamydia in pigeons will give benevolent information on the current situation of Chlamydia infection and its prevalence in the study area. The results of the study will be helpful for the government and researchers to give attention on it and aware the people about such important zoonoses. Based on the situation, the present study was designed to elucidate the seroprevalence of C. psittaci in the pigeon. A total of 91 sera samples from pigeons have been screened to find out seroprevalence of Chlamydia. Positive samples were compared to collected data to explain the potential risk factors of Chlamydia infection in pigeons of the study area.

Study area
The present cross-sectional study was conducted in 17 rooftop pigeon farms of the Chattogram district (between 21°54’ and 22°59’ N and 91°17’ and 92°13’ E) of Bangladesh. The samples were collected from different parts of the Chattogram metropolitan area including Pahartali, Patenga, Nasirabad, Khulshi, Foy’s Lake, Sholashahar, Dampara and Jalalabad (Figure 1).

Selection of farm and data collection
Pigeon farms and pigeons of the Chattogram metropolitan area were used as the reference population for this study. Pigeon farms and pigeons belonging to the Chattogram metropolitan areas of the Chattogram district were used as the source population for this study. A total of 100 pigeon’s blood samples were collected from different parts of the Chattogram Metropolitan area conducted during the period from February to August, 2018. Different data were recorded using a validated pre-structured questionnaire through face-to-face interviews. The questionnaire contained data on type of bird, age, breed, sex, status of vaccination, previous disease status, clinical signs, duration of illness and presumptive diagnosis. Prior to the application of the questionnaire, verbal consent was taken from each farmer. During sample collection, there were four categories of clinical symptoms included in the study based on clinical signs of the pigeons (Table 1).

Collection of blood and serum preparation
A total of 1mL blood was collected from the wing vein of each pigeon in a red top vacutainer (blood collection tube) (Shirai et al., 2017). After the blood was drawn, the vacutainer was sited upright for 30–60 minutes at room temperature to allow the clot to form (Tuck et al., 2009). Then the serum was prepared by centrifugation of the vacutainer at 2000-3000 rpm (Revolutions per minute)
for 20 minutes at room temperature (25°C) (Tuck et al., 2009; Greening and Simpson, 2017). Serum sample was separated and transferred into a labeled cryovials using a pipette and stored at -80°C until used (Tuck et al., 2009; Greening and Simpson, 2017).

**Sandwich enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay test**

Sandwich enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (Sandwich-ELISA) (Catalogue number: SL0042BI; Sunlong Biotech, China) was performed to detect the concentration of *C. psittaci* antibodies (Cps-Ab) in the sera samples collected from pigeons according to the manufacturer protocol.

Among ninety-six wells in the Micro ELISA strip plate, two wells were left as negative controls, two wells as positive controls, one well was left empty as blank control and ninety-one wells were used for samples. A total volume of 50µL negative and positive controls were added to the negative and positive control wells respectively, where sample wells were filled with 40µL sample dilution buffer and 10µL serum sample. The plate was sealed with closure plate membrane and incubated at 37°C for 30 minutes. The concentrated buffer was diluted with distilled water. Then closure plate membrane was peeled off, aspirated and refilled with the wash solution. The wash solution was discarded after resting for 30 seconds. The procedure was then repeated for 5 times. After washing, 50µL Horseradish Peroxidase conjugate reagent was added to each well except the blank control well and incubated and washed accordingly. A total volume of 50µL chromogen solution A and chromogen solution B were added to each well to add color to the wells, which were then incubated again at 37°C for 15 minutes. To terminate the reaction, 50µL stop solution was added to each well and absorbance optical density (OD) was read at 450 nm using a Microtiter Plate Reader.

**Interpretation of the result**

The identification and classification of antibodies (Cps-Ab) of *C. psittaci* were done according to the literature of Sunlong Biotech where the average value of the positive control was ≥1.00 and the average value of the negative control was ≤0.10. Calculation of critical value (cut off) was performed adding 0.15 to the average value of negative control. When OD value < cut off then the sample was considered as Cps-Ab negative. If the OD value ≥ cut off then the sample was considered as Cps-Ab positive (Sunlong Biotech, China).

**Statistical analysis**

Field and laboratory data were entered into a Microsoft Excel 2007 spreadsheet program, checked for validity and then exported to STATA14 (Stata Crop, Lakeway Drive, College Station, Texas, USA) for data summary and analysis. Frequency was calculated by dividing the positive number of pigeons for *C. psittaci* against the number of samples tested under each of the following variables (location, age, sex, breed, duration of illness, clinical conditions). Chi-square test was performed between each of the risk factor and *Chlamydia* positive pigeons to get a *p*-value, where *p* < 0.05 was considered as significant (Akter et al., 2020).

**Results and discussion**

**Overall seroprevalence of *C. psittaci***

A total of 100 blood samples were collected from 100 pigeons from different areas of the Chattogram metropolitan areas. Of the collected samples, ninety-one were used in this study. Due to poor sample quality nine samples were discarded. The estimated seroprevalence of *C. psittaci* was 6.6% (6/91) in the present study.

**Seroprevalence of *C. psittaci* based on disease pattern**

Out of ninety-one samples, conjunctivitis and symptoms of ND were found in 20% of positive samples containing *C. psittaci* antibody. While 17.7% and 14.3% seropositive samples of *C. psittaci* had symptoms of ND and enteric pathogens infection, respectively and none were found to be positive for parasitic infection. It can be postulated that, pigeons highly infected by conjunctivitis and ND shows high prevalence of *C. psittaci* antibody in the sera (Figure 2).

**Figure 2:** Percentages of positive and negative results of ELISA based on pattern of disease symptoms as recorded during this study.

**Seroprevalence of *C. psittaci* in pigeons based on various epidemiological factors**

According to the present study, the sera collected from Dampara have the highest prevalence of *C. psittaci* antibody (2.2%) while Nasirabad and Pahartali have no positive samples. High prevalence of antibody (9.7%) in...
young pigeons (≤ 6months) demonstrated that, they are highly susceptible to *C. psittaci* infection.

*Chlamydia psittaci* antibody was predominant in male birds (8.2%) compared to female (3.3%). Among five pigeon breeds studied in the survey, local pigeons (5.5%) showed more *C. psittaci* antibody followed by King (1.1%). The *C. psittaci* antibody was not detected in sera samples collected from remaining pigeon breeds. The present study revealed that, comparatively weak and previously sick birds were more susceptible to *C. psittaci* infection. Conjunctivitis and symptoms of ND were predominantly correlated to *C. psittaci* infection (20%). Samples for serum analysis of *C. psittaci* antibody were collected during the summer samples. So, the result of the present study could not reflect the seasonal seroprevalence in pigeons.

The present study demonstrates the presence of *C. psittaci* antibodies in pigeons was 6.6% (6/91) in the study area, while, in China, *C. psittaci* antibodies were detected in 74 out of 685 sera samples (10.8%) in pet birds in 2014 (Cong et al., 2014). However, in Switzerland, the same measurement was

### Table 1: Clinical signs of cases as recorded during sample collection for this study.

| Clinical conditions                  | Clinical signs                                                                 |
|-------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Conjunctivitis and Newcastle Disease (ND) | Lacrimation, mucopurulent discharge from eyes, greenish diarrhea, twisted neck |
| ND                                  | Twisted neck, greenish diarrhea, drowsiness                                   |
| Parasitic Infestation               | Diarrhea, inappetence, weight loss, lethargy                                 |
| Enteric pathogens infection         | Watery diarrhea, loss of appetite, lethargy                                  |

### Table 2: Seroprevalence of *C. psittaci* in pigeon based on various epidemiological factors.

| Factors                          | Categories      | Number of sera tested | No of positive sera | Percentage (%) | 95% confidence interval (CI) | p value |
|----------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------------|---------------------|----------------|-----------------------------|---------|
| Location                         | Sholashahar     | 8                     | 0                   | 0.0 – 0.0       | 0.066                       |
|                                  | Dampara         | 11                    | 2                   | 2.2            | 0.3 – 7.7                   |
|                                  | Foy’s lake       | 3                     | 1                   | 1.1            | 0.0 – 6.0                   |
|                                  | Jalalabad        | 10                    | 1                   | 1.1            | 0.0 – 6.0                   |
|                                  | Khulshi          | 4                     | 1                   | 1.1            | 0.0 – 6.0                   |
|                                  | Nasirabad        | 36                    | 0                   | 0.0 – 0.0      |
|                                  | Pahartali        | 13                    | 0                   | 0.0 – 0.0      |
|                                  | Potenga          | 6                     | 1                   | 1.1            | 0.0 – 6.0                   |
| Age of bird                      | 0 < 6 months     | 31                    | 3                   | 3.3            | 0.7 – 9.3                   | 0.652   |
|                                  | 6 ≤ 12 months    | 57                    | 3                   | 3.3            | 0.7 – 9.3                   |
|                                  | ≥ 1 year         | 3                     | 0                   | 0.0 – 0.0      |
| Sex                              | Male            | 61                    | 5                   | 5.5            | 1.8 – 12.4                  | 0.379   |
|                                  | Female           | 30                    | 1                   | 1.1            | 0.0 – 6.0                   |
| Breed                            | Giribaj          | 8                     | 0                   | 0.0 – 0.0      |
|                                  | King             | 6                     | 1                   | 1.1            | 0.0 – 6.0                   |
|                                  | Local            | 69                    | 5                   | 5.5            | 1.8 – 12.4                  |
|                                  | Owl              | 3                     | 0                   | 0.0 – 0.0      |
|                                  | Siraji           | 5                     | 0                   | 0.0 – 0.0      |
| Duration of previous illness     | 1 week           | 13                    | 3                   | 1.1            | 0.0 – 6.0                   | 0.057   |
|                                  | < 1 week         | 32                    | 5                   | 5.5            | 1.8 – 12.4                  |
|                                  | 3 months         | 3                     | 0                   | 0.0 – 0.0      |
|                                  | None             | 43                    | 0                   | 0.0 – 0.0      |
| Clinical conditions              | Conjunctivitis and signs of ND | 10                  | 2                   | 2.2            | 0.3 – 7.7                   | 0.027   |
|                                  | Signs of ND      | 17                    | 3                   | 3.3            | 0.7 – 9.3                   |
|                                  | Parasitic Infestation | 11              | 0                   | 0.0 – 0.0      |
|                                  | Entero pathogenic infection | 7                | 1                   | 1.1            | 0.0 – 6.0                   |
|                                  | None             | 46                    | 0                   | 0.0 – 0.0      |
Potential risk factors that could be associated with the occurrence of *C. psittaci* infections in pigeons were evaluated in the current study. Moreover, previous studies have demonstrated that the age of the bird presented the potential risk factor for *C. psittaci* infection (Cong et al., 2014; Zhang et al., 2015). In the present study, risk factor analysis also showed that age appeared to be the main risk factor associated with *Chlamydia* infection. In the present study, the highest prevalence of antibody was reported in juveniles (<6-month–age= 9.7%) compared to older birds (≥6-month–old = 5.2%). This finding was consistent with a recent study in pet parrots in China (Zhang et al., 2015), which was most likely due to juveniles having comparatively weak immune systems (more susceptible) than adult birds (Zhang et al., 2015). Another study has reported the seroprevalence of *C. psittaci* infections in adult birds (12.4%) as higher than that of young birds (4.8%) (Cong et al., 2014). Whether the difference of *C. psittaci* seroprevalence among different age groups of pigeons is a result of naive immunity or repeated exposures to *Chlamydia* spp. should be further studied.

Furthermore, seroprevalence of *C. psittaci* in male pigeon was higher than female pigeons in our study. The finding agreed with (Stokes et al., 2020). This may be due to susceptibility of males to *C. psittaci* infection being higher than that of females (Zuk and McKean, 1996). Alternatively, males have a longer-lasting antibody response than females and their antibodies would remain elevated for a longer time in blood level (Zuk and McKean, 1996; Wilson et al., 2013; Stokes et al., 2020).

Stokes et al. (2020) agreed with our finding that there is a significant difference in seroprevalence of *C. psittaci* among sites of sample collection (Stokes et al., 2020). Moreover, we found higher seroprevalence of *C. psittaci* in local pigeon breed than other breeds like Giribaj, King and Siraji etc. Stokes et al. (2020) also found high prevalence in case of local wild psittacine birds in Australia (Stokes et al., 2020). Free ranging local pigeons are highly infected by *C. psittaci* when compared to fencing pigeons as they get a great contact with the environment which increases the possible of contamination with different avian diseases.

**COI and ORI**

8.4% in feral pigeons (Geigenfeind et al., 2012). Such variations in seroprevalence of *C. psittaci* in different areas are likely due to differences in animal welfare, the number of birds tested, husbandry practices, geographical conditions and stage of infection as well as the specificity and sensitivity of the detection methods (Cong et al., 2014; Zhang et al., 2015). Moreover, sometimes problems arise due to sera containing low levels of antibody that lead to difficulties in finding and defining of correct cut-off levels of antibody against *C. psittaci* (Gerbermann et al., 1990; Andersen, 2000).

In the current study, birds positive with *C. psittaci* antibody were sampled during the summer. Therefore, the result could not reflect the seasonal seroprevalence in pigeons and requires further study. However, other studies have reported that no significant association between the occurrence of *C. psittaci* infections and the season exists (Madani and Peighambari, 2013; Zhang et al., 2015).

It is worth mentioning that, samples were collected only from different parts of the Chattogram Metropolitan area and the study was conducted during the period of February to August, 2018. Therefore, the prevalence reported here might not reflect the rate of actual *C. psittaci* infection in Bangladesh. However, our results highlighted the zoonotic potential of *C. psittaci* within the country and provided some useful baseline information for future studies.

This study suggests that feral pigeons could be infected with *C. psittaci* and thus presents a health risk to both the public and individual pigeon owners. Despite the low level of infection rate detected in feral pigeons by antibody titer, the risk of disease transmission can never be ruled out, since there is an increased likelihood of close contact between feral pigeons and humans in city environments.

**CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS**

From the present study, it can be concluded that *C. psittaci* is prevalent in domestic pigeons in the study area, which may pose potential threat to human health. Disseminating effective prevention and control measures such as daily removal of feces and disinfection of cages are suggested for maintaining hygienic conditions and to reduce the risk of *C. psittaci* infection among psittacine birds. This will also reduce the risk of infection among humans in contact with these birds. However, this is a complex issue that requires careful planning and should involve the community, government and animal protection societies as well as scientists. Furthermore, limitations of the study were lack of few farm associated risk factors data in the primary questionnaire and small sample size.
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