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ABSTRACT

Background: It is unclear whether the use of selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors (SSRIs) and other antidepressant drugs reduce the risk of suicide in people with depression. We explored the association between exposure to SSRIs and risk of suicide completion or attempt.

Methods: We conducted a systematic review of observational studies that reported completed or attempted suicide in depressed individuals who were exposed to SSRIs compared with those who were not exposed to antidepressants. We assessed the overall risk of completed or attempted suicide.

Results: Eight studies involving more than 200 000 patients with moderate or severe depression were included in the meta-analysis. Although exposure to SSRIs increased the risk of completed or attempted suicide among adolescents (odds ratio [OR] 1.92, 95% confidence interval [CI] 1.51–2.44), the risk was decreased among adults (OR 0.57, 95% CI 0.47–0.70). Among people aged 65 or more years, exposure to SSRIs had a protective effect (OR 0.46, 95% CI 0.27–0.79). Sensitivity analyses did not change these findings. In particular, for studies that used completed suicide as an outcome, exposure to SSRIs was associated with increased risk among adolescents (OR 5.81, 95% CI 1.57–21.51) and decreased risk among adults (OR 0.66, 95% CI 0.52–0.83) and older people (OR 0.53, 95% CI 0.26–1.06).

Interpretation: Based on data from observational studies, use of SSRIs may be associated with a reduced risk of suicide in adults with depression. Among adolescents, use of SSRIs may increase suicidality.

There is uncertainty about the safety of selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors (SSRIs), which may cause worsening of suicidal thoughts in vulnerable people. In 2005, a systematic review of published randomized controlled trials comparing SSRIs with another active treatment or placebo found an almost 2-fold increase in the odds of fatal and nonfatal suicide attempts among those exposed to SSRIs. No increase in risk was observed, however, when only fatal suicide attempts were included. Another systematic review, which included both published and unpublished randomized controlled trials submitted by pharmaceutical companies to the safety review of the Medicine and Healthcare products Regulatory Agency compared the use of SSRIs and placebo in adults with depression and other clinical conditions.

A controversial point of the FDA analysis is that the included trials were not primarily designed to measure suicidality (a composite outcome that includes suicide ideas, preparatory acts, suicide attempts and deaths by suicide). Of all suicidality events, less than 30% were serious suicide attempts or deaths. Additionally, considering that suicidality was self-reported rather than observed by others in most clinical trials, it is possible that antidepressant treatment, particularly in younger individuals, enhanced communication about suicidality, which may have allowed them to become more articulate and open about their thoughts and actions. Alternatively, antidepressant treatment might have enhanced communication about suicidality in all age groups, but increased attention to adverse effects might have led to enhanced detection of suicidality in younger individuals.

It is unlikely that individual randomized trials will be designed to primarily investigate the effect of antidepressant use on suicidality, and future systematic reviews of clinical trial data will not be able to overcome the limitations of the FDA analysis. Therefore, we sought to further explore the association be-
between SSRI exposure and risk of completed or attempted suicide by conducting a systematic review and meta-analysis of observational studies. By including a large, broad spectrum of individuals followed under naturalistic circumstances, systematic reviews of observational studies may offer an added dimension in the evaluation of drug safety that is complementary to that provided by clinical trials. Additionally, observational studies may allow researchers to move from the controversial concept of suicidality to hard outcomes such as suicide attempt and completion. Specifically, we set out to quantify the risk of completed or attempted suicide among people in different age groups with depression after exposure to SSRIs.

Methods

Study selection and data collection

Included and excluded studies were collected following the Quality of Reporting of Meta-analysis (QUOROM) guidelines. Because the included studies were observational in design, we also followed the Meta-analysis Of Observational Studies in Epidemiology (MOOSE) guidelines published by Stroup and colleagues for the meta-analysis of the design, performance and reporting of observational studies (Appendix 1, available at www.cmaj.ca/cgi/content/full/180/3/291/DC2).

We included observational cohort and case–control studies that reported data on completed or attempted suicide among people exposed to SSRIs and among those who were not exposed to antidepressants. We included studies that reported relative risk [RR] estimates suitable for re-analysis. Only studies that used International Classification of Disease (ICD, ninth or tenth revision) definitions of completed or attempted suicide were retained. This criterion corresponds to coding 1 (completed suicide) and 2 (suicide attempt) of the FDA classification of suicide events. We did not include the following suicide-related events: preparatory acts toward imminent suicidal behaviours, suicidal ideation, and self-injurious behaviour (intent unknown; FDA coding 3–5). Study participants were of either sex and any age with a diagnosis of major depression. Studies adopting proxy measures to identify patients with depression were included. As recommended by the MOOSE guidelines, we used broad inclusion criteria and performed sensitivity analyses to relate design features to the outcomes.

We identified relevant studies by searching MEDLINE and EMBASE using the search terms “antidepressive agents” or “antidepressive agents second generation” AND “suicide” or “suicide attempted” (Appendix 2, available at www.cmaj.ca/cgi/content/full/180/3/291/DC2). We searched the reference lists of relevant articles, including review articles, by hand for other relevant studies. The search covered the period from January 1990 to June 2008. We examined all titles and abstracts, and we obtained full texts of potentially relevant papers. Working independently and in duplicate, we read the papers and determined whether they met inclusion criteria. We resolved disagreement by consensus, and we extracted data independently in duplicate using a standardized form (Appendix 3, available at www.cmaj.ca/cgi/content/full/180/3/291/DC2). We did not exclude articles published in languages other than English.

We assessed study quality using a 10-point scale adapted from a recently published quality scale for observational studies (Appendix 4, available at www.cmaj.ca/cgi/content/full/180/3/291/DC2). A score of 7 or above indicated high quality, and a score of 6 or below indicated low quality. This threshold was derived from Eteman and colleagues. Scoring of quality assessment was performed independently by 2 of the authors (C.B. and E.E.). There was high concordance between the 2 authors (kappa 0.78, standard error 0.26), suggesting no evidence of systematic disagreement bias. As recommended by the MOOSE study group, the quality scores were included in the sensitivity analyses, but they were not used as weights in the analyses.

Data synthesis

The outcome measure included in this analysis was completed or attempted suicide. Suicide attempts had to be sufficiently serious to have led to medical contact. A patient was considered to have made a suicide attempt if there were ICD-9 or ICD-10 diagnostic codes in his or her records for inpatient stay or outpatient visit. These codes included self-inflicted injury from poisoning, hanging, submersion, firearms, cutting or piercing, jumping from high places, or other means. For each study, we used the most adjusted RRs,
odds ratios (ORs) or hazard ratios with the corresponding 95% confidence intervals (CIs).8,15
We visually inspected the graphs to investigate the possibility of statistical heterogeneity. This was primarily supplemented by use of the $I^2$ statistic. This statistic provides an estimate of the percentage of variability due to heterogeneity rather than chance alone. Where the $I^2$ estimate was greater than or equal to 50%, we interpreted this as indicating the presence of high levels of heterogeneity.16
The results of studies were pooled, and an overall OR was obtained from fixed- and random-effects models. To maintain a conservative approach, the random-effects model was presented, because this takes into account any differences among studies even if there is no statistically significant heterogeneity.17 The possibility of publication bias was examined by use of the funnel plot method described by Egger and colleagues.18

**Sensitivity analyses and metaregression**
We performed sensitivity analyses to examine effect sizes when only the following types of studies were included: studies that used completed suicide as an outcome measure; studies that used a formal diagnosis of depression; studies that used an “external” control group (that is, we excluded studies that compared the risk of suicide during SSRI use with the risk during no antidepressant use in the same cohort); studies with a quality score of 7 or above; studies including data for both adolescents and adults; and studies that had a cohort design. Additionally, given the small number of included studies, we tested for the possible excessive influence of individual studies using a meta-analysis influence test that eliminated each of the included studies one at a time.

We used unrestricted maximum likelihood random-effects metaregression to determine whether there was a relation between age and risk of completed or attempted suicide.

**Results**
Based on the titles and abstracts of 1492 citations, we identified 33 potentially relevant studies (Figure 1). Of these, we excluded 4 studies because SSRI use was not the exposure of interest.19–22 We excluded 18 studies because the comparison group was not individuals who had not been exposed to antidepressant treatment.21,23–39 Two additional studies were excluded because a diagnosis of major depression or a proxy measure to identify patients suffering from depression was not used,40,41 and 1 was excluded because it did not report

| Group; study | Age, yr | Odds ratio (95% CI) |
|--------------|---------|-------------------|
| **Adolescents** |         |                   |
| Olsson et al.44 | 6–18   | 11.26 (0.97–130.70) |
| Olsson et al.45 | 6–18   | 1.91 (0.90–4.07)   |
| Søndergaard et al.48 | 10–17 | 4.47 (0.95–20.96) |
| Tiitinen et al.49 | 10–19  | 1.91 (1.43–2.55)   |
| Valuck et al.50  | 12–18  | 1.59 (0.89–2.82)   |
| Overall         |        | 1.92 (1.51–2.44)   |
| **Adults**      |         |                   |
| Gibbons et al.43 | 18–25 | 0.35 (0.14–0.85)   |
| Gibbons et al.43 | 26–45 | 0.44 (0.29–0.65)   |
| Gibbons et al.43 | 46–65 | 0.42 (0.30–0.58)   |
| Olsson et al.44 | 19–64  | 0.87 (0.44–1.73)   |
| Olsson et al.45 | 19–64  | 0.80 (0.74–1.38)   |
| Søndergaard et al.47 | 56*  | 0.58 (0.50–0.66)   |
| Tiitinen et al.49 | 38*   | 0.76 (0.57–1.10)   |
| Overall         |        | 0.57 (0.47–0.70)   |
| **Elderly**     |         |                   |
| Gibbons et al.43 | ≥65   | 0.38 (0.16–0.91)   |
| Rahme et al.46  | 75*    | 0.53 (0.27–1.06)   |
| Overall         |        | 0.46 (0.27–0.79)   |

Figure 2: Random-effect meta-analysis of the risk of suicide attempt and completion associated with the use of selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors compared with no exposure to any antidepressants. *Mean age. Note: CI = confidence interval.
Among adolescents, exposure to SSRIs significantly increased the risk of completed or attempted suicide (random-effect OR 1.92, 95% CI 1.51–2.44, \( I^2 0.0% \)) (Figure 2). Among adults, SSRIs exposure significantly decreased the risk of completed or attempted suicide (random-effect OR 0.57, 95% CI 0.47–0.70, \( I^2 52.5% \)). Among elderly people (aged 65 or more years), exposure to SSRIs had a significant protective effect (random-effect OR 0.46, 95% CI 0.27–0.79, \( I^2 0.0% \)).

The metaregression with age as a moderator and the risk of completed or attempted suicide as outcome measure, All of the included studies used ICD-9 or ICD-10 codes to define completed and attempted suicide.
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Table 1: Random-effect sensitivity analyses of the risk of suicide attempt and completion associated with exposure to selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors

| Included studies                                      | Adolescents |                                    | Adults |                                    | Elderly |
|-------------------------------------------------------|-------------|-------------------------------------|--------|-------------------------------------|---------|
| Studies that used completed suicides as an outcome measure |             | Study: Olfson et al. & Sondergard et al. | Odds ratio (95% CI) 5.81 (1.57–21.51) | f, % 0 | Study: Olsson et al. & Sondergard et al. & Tiihonen et al. | Odds ratio (95% CI) 0.66 (0.52–0.83) | f, % 48 | Study: Rahme et al. | Odds ratio (95% CI) 0.53 (0.26–1.06) | f, % 0 |
| Studies that used a formal diagnosis of depression     |             | Study: Olfson et al. & Sondergard et al. & Valuck et al. | Odds ratio (95% CI) 1.86 (1.10–3.13) | f, % 15 | Study: Gibbons et al. & Olsson et al. & Olsson et al. & Sondergard et al. | Odds ratio (95% CI) 0.54 (0.43–0.66) | f, % 43 | Study: Gibbons et al. & Rahme et al. | Odds ratio (95% CI) 0.46 (0.27–0.79) | f, % 0 |
| Studies that used an external control group*           |             | Study: Olfson et al. & Sondergard et al. & Valuck et al. | Odds ratio (95% CI) 2.04 (1.22–3.40) | f, % 16 | Study: Gibbons et al. & Olsson et al. & Olsson et al. & Sondergard et al. | Odds ratio (95% CI) 0.54 (0.43–0.66) | f, % 43 | Study: Gibbons et al. & Rahme et al. | Odds ratio (95% CI) 0.38 (0.16–0.91) | f, % 0 |
| Studies that scored ≥ 7†                                |             | Study: Olfson et al. & Sondergard et al. & Tiihonen et al. & Valuck et al. | Odds ratio (95% CI) 1.88 (1.47–2.40) | f, % 0 | Study: Gibbons et al. & Olsson et al. & Olsson et al. & Sondergard et al. & Tiihonen et al. | Odds ratio (95% CI) 0.57 (0.47–0.70) | f, % 52 | Study: Gibbons et al. & Rahme et al. | Odds ratio (95% CI) 0.46 (0.27–0.79) | f, % 0 |
| Studies including data for both adolescents and adults |             | Study: Olfson et al. & Sondergard et al. & Tiihonen et al. & Valuck et al. | Odds ratio (95% CI) 1.95 (1.49–2.55) | f, % 0 | Study: Olsson et al. & Olsson et al. & Tiihonen et al. | Odds ratio (95% CI) 0.78 (0.61–0.98) | f, % 0 | Study: Gibbons et al. & Rahme et al. | Odds ratio (95% CI) 0.46 (0.27–0.79) | f, % 0 |
| Studies with a cohort design                           |             | Study: Sondergard et al. & Tiihonen et al. & Valuck et al. | Odds ratio (95% CI) 1.88 (1.46–2.43) | f, % 0 | Study: Gibbons et al. & Sondergard et al. & Tiihonen et al. | Odds ratio (95% CI) 0.53 (0.43–0.67) | f, % 59 | Study: Gibbons et al. & Rahme et al. | Odds ratio (95% CI) 0.46 (0.27–0.79) | f, % 0 |

Note: CI = confidence interval.

*Studies that used 2 cohorts to compare the risk of suicide between those taking SSRIs and those not exposed to antidepressants.
†Scored on a 10-point scale (Appendix 4, available at www.cma.ca/cgi/content/full/180/3/291/DC2). Studies that scored ≥ 7 were considered to be high quality.
might have been more severely depressed (or more suicidal) than adolescents who did not receive SSRIs. In contrast, among adults SSRIs may be similarly prescribed in severe and less severe cases, and confounding by indication might not have occurred. In some studies, confounding by severity has been taken into account. For example, Olfson and colleagues\textsuperscript{44} limited their analysis to individuals who received inpatient treatment for depression, thus ensuring a fairly comparable level of illness severity. In 2 other studies, comparability between groups was increased by the comparison of the risk of suicide during SSRI use with the risk during no antidepressant use in the same cohort.\textsuperscript{46,49} Although these designs likely limited the confounding effect of the severity of illness, we cannot exclude the fact that residual confounding might have inflated the excess risk found among adolescents.

The incidence of depression is higher among women than among men; however, the reverse pattern is observed for suicide.\textsuperscript{52} Thus, it would have been interesting to investigate the effect of sex on the risk of suicide. Similarly, the timing of the attempted or completed suicide in relation to the onset of exposure is another moderator variable that would have been clinically useful to analyze, because the risk of death by suicide may not be significantly higher in the month after starting medication than in subsequent months.\textsuperscript{53} However, information about these variables was not homogeneously reported, and re-analyses of aggregate data cannot answer issues related to patient-level moderators of treatment effect. Re-analyses of data from individual patients may have the potential to address these issues.

Differences between individual drugs need confirmation. Only 2 of the included studies provided data on specific antidepressants, and confounding by indication might have affected our results in unpredictable ways. Additionally, it is not clear why the use of some antidepressants, such as paroxetine and venlafaxine, increases the risk of suicide more than others. Intriguingly, previous re-analyses of randomized studies, including the FDA study, reported similar differences between antidepressants.\textsuperscript{38,54–56} Differences in long-term efficacy and safety should be confirmed in trials of head-to-head comparisons.\textsuperscript{57} Such an evidence base would assist clinicians in making choices about optimal antidepressant treatment.

**Conclusion**

Data from observational studies should reassure doctors that prescribing SSRIs to patients with major depression is safe. However, children and adolescents should be followed very closely because of the possibility of increased risk suicidal thoughts and suicide. Paroxetine and venlafaxine may be better avoided based on the increasing evidence from randomized and observational studies that the risks might outweigh the benefits for most adolescents.
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