Influence of the Elastomeric Polypropylene Addition on the Properties of Commercial Metallocenic Polypropylene
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Polypropylene with special properties can be obtained by metallocenic catalysts. These catalytic systems represent the beginning of a new age in polyolefins technology. In this work, the influence of the addition of a syndiotactic polypropylene (s-PP) on the processability and mechanical properties of a commercial isotactic polypropylene (i-PP) obtained by metallocenic catalysts was evaluated. Increasing addition of s-PP promoted better processability, with an increase in the impact strength and a decrease in the stress at break. A reduction of the crystallinity in the polymeric blends was verified. The more significant influence of the s-PP addition was observed for the mi-PP, compared to the ZNi-PP.

Keywords: metallocene, syndiotactic polypropylene, polyolefin blends, polypropylene blends

1. Introduction

Polymer blends are now regarded as an economical alternative to the development of new polymers. Materials with improved end use properties can be obtained by blending polymers with different molecular characteristics. In the case of polyolefins, they are immiscible since small differences in the shape of the molecules give rise to unfavorable intermolecular forces. Further immiscibility arises as the polyolefins crystallize into different crystal domains

While having a number of valuable properties and economic benefits, polypropylene homopolymer exhibits a poor impact resistance, mainly at low temperatures. This fact is due to its relatively high glass transition temperature (Tg).

The improvement of some of these properties is typically achieved by blending polypropylene to other polymers or with reinforcing fillers. More specifically, polypropylene with improved impact strength has been produced by the addition of an amorphous material, a poorly crystallized material or an elastomer, as EPDM, for example.

It is known that the development of metallocene catalysts activated by methylaluminoxane affords a high catalyst activity, excellent stereoregularity and comonomer distribution, leading to polyolefins that are inaccessible through conventional heterogeneous Ziegler-Natta catalysts, materials of large industrial interest.

Some authors have studied blends of metallocenic polypropylene with different stereoregularity. Mulhaupt et al. investigated the behavior of syndiotactic and isotactic PP blends, concluding that they are phase separated. Silvestri and Sgazi concluded that high molecular weight atactic polypropylene (a-PP) was partially miscible with syndiotactic and immiscible with isotactic polypropylene, but the degree of tacticity of the components and the blend composition can also affect miscibility.

Considering the importance of the metallocene technology and all the potential of propylene based materials in the world market, the aim of this work was to evaluate the influence of the addition of a syndiotactic polypropylene (ms-PP) with low syndiotacticity and having elastomeric characteristics, produced with a metallocene catalyst system on the processing energy, mechanical properties and crystallinity degree of a commercial metallocenic isotactic polypropylene (mi-PP).

2. Experimental

2.1. Materials and General Procedures

A syndiotactic polypropylene (ms-PP) synthesized with the catalyst system Et(Flu)(Cp)ZrCl/sub 2/methylaluminoxane (MAO) and a commercial metallocenic polypropylene supplied by Targor (mi-PP) were used for the blends preparation. Tables 1 and 2 show some 2.1.1. Characteristics of these materials.

In order to synthesize the ms-PP, all operations were performed under nitrogen by using conventional Schlenk techniques. Methylaluminoxane (MAO) 10 wt% toluene solution was kindly supplied by WITCO and used as cocatalyst without purification. Research grade propylene supplied by POLIBRASIL S.A. and nitrogen were treated...
through columns of molecular sieve 3 Å and cupper catalyst. Toluene was distilled over sodium/benzophenone, under nitrogen.

2.1.1. Catalysts synthesis

The complex Et(Flu)(Cp)ZrCl$_2$ were synthesized according to conventional techniques described in the literature$^{14-16}$.

2.1.2 Polymerizations

A set of polymerization experiments were carried out in a 0.5 L Buchi glass autoclave (solution polymerizations with 100 mL of toluene and continuous monomer feed) at constant pressure for 1 h. Metalloconene (0.005 mmole) and half of the MAO used (total MAO content = 10 mmole) were prior contacted for 10 min in toluene solution and then added to the monomer/solvent/MAO mixture. The polymerization was quenched with HCl 5% ethanol solution. The polymer was separated by filtration, washed and dried under reduced pressure at 50 °C to a constant weight.

Polymerization conditions: -temperature: 40, 60, 80 °C
-monomer pressure: 1, 2, 3 bar
-aluminum/zirconium molar ratio: 500, 1250, 2000

The results of this study were published elsewhere$^{17}$. After characterization, one of these ms-PP was selected for the polymeric blends preparation. This polymer was synthesized at 40 °C, 3 bar of monomer pressure and an aluminum/zirconium molar ratio of 2000, exhibiting an elastomeric behavior.

2.1.3 Polymer characterization

Polymer average molecular weight and molecular weight distribution were obtained by SEC (Exclusion Size Chromatography) with a Waters 150 cv Instruments at 135 °C, 1, 2, 4 - trichlorobenzene as eluent, and a calibration curve prepared with monodisperse polystyrene standards.

Table 1. Some characteristics of the ms-PP selected for blends preparation.

| Characteristic                        | Value   |
|--------------------------------------|---------|
| Glass transition temperature - Tg (°C) | -1.5    |
| Molecular weight (g/mole)            | 91000   |
| Polydispersity                       | 1.8     |
| Syndiotactic triads - rr (%)         | 66      |

Table 2. Some characteristics of the commercial metallocenic polypropylene (mi-PP) and Ziegler-Natta polypropylene (ZN-iPP) used for blends preparation.

| Characteristic                  | mi-PP | ZN-iPP |
|---------------------------------|-------|--------|
| Melting flow index - MFI (g/10 min) | 75    | 15.4   |
| Molecular weight (g/mole)       | 148000| 430000 |
| Melting temperature (°C)        | 150   | 164    |
| Polydispersity                  | ~2    | 9.2    |

2.1.4. Blends preparation

Polymer blends were prepared by melt mixing in a HAAKE Rheocord Mixer 9000, coupled with a mixer chamber, in a proportion of 100:0; 85:15 and 70:30/mi-PP:ms-PP.

Processing conditions
-Rotor speed: 80 rpm
-Time of processing: 8 min
-Temperature of processing: 170 °C

2.1.5. Testing procedure

The energy necessary to process the blends was calculated by using the Haake Rheometer data. The specific energy required to process the materials at a given temperature and shear rate was obtained by multiplying the integral of the area under the blending torque curve, as a function of time, by the speed of mixing the material, per gram of processed material, as described at the equation bellow$^{18-20}$:

$$ W = \frac{2 \pi n \int_{t_1}^{t_2} M dt}{g} $$

(1)

where n = rotor speed; M = torque, $t_1$ = initial time; $t_2$ = final time of processing

For mechanical properties measurements, the samples were prepared in a hydraulic press (Carver - model C) by compression-molding at 190 °C and 30 kgf/cm$^2$, for 7 min. Tensile tests were carried out on an Instron Tensile Tester - Series IX - automated machine (model 4204), following ASTM D638. Impact tests were carried out on a H.20 Plastics Impact Machine (Izod-type), adapted from ASTM D 256.

The Differential Scanning Calorimetry analysis of the blends were carried out in a Perkin Elmer equipment, model DSC7, under the following conditions:
- Heat flow: 20 °C/min
- Temperature range: 50-200 °C
- Atmosphere: N$_2$

For comparative effect we have placed on Tables 3 and 4 data$^8$ related to the blends prepared using a commercial Ziegler-Natta isotactic polypropylene (ZN-iPP) mixed with the same ms-PP as in this study.
3. Results and Discussion

The results of DSC analysis showed that the synthesized syndiotactic polypropylene presented no melting temperature. In spite of that, as reported in the literature\textsuperscript{21,22}, s-PP typically presents more chain entanglements, which could provide physical restriction to chain slippage, resulting in the elastomeric characteristics of the studied polymer.

Figure 1 shows the $^{13}$C-NMR spectrum of the synthesized syndiotactic polypropylene used to prepare the polymer blends. In this case a significant amount of isotactic triads can be observed, in spite of using a syndiospecific catalyst. It is believed that this is the reason of the elastomeric behavior observed in this polymer, whose microstructure contains blocks of syndiotactic PP and isotactic PP, as well as atactic errors of monomer insertion.

The stereoeerrors could be explained by the mechanism shown in the Fig. 2. The rule for the mechanism with metallocenic catalysts is through the pathway A: after the chain migratory monomer insertion, the opposite coordination site for the next incoming monomer performs the syndiotactic propylene insertion. On the other hand, pathway B is an occasional skipped reaction in which, after the migratory monomer insertion, the active site isomerizes and the same coordination site is now free for the future monomer molecule, resulting in an isotactic regulation. At specific polymerization conditions, the rate constant of active site isomerization is near the rate constant of propagation and blocks of isotactic PP were formed.

The influence of the ms-PP addition on the processability and on the mechanical properties can be seen from the results in Table 3. From the table, it was observed that the specific energy decreased with the addition of the metalloccenic s-PP, probably due to the lower shear forces involved when the blends were being processed, indicating improved processability.

Considering the stress at break, the values decreased slightly with the total amount of 30% of ms-PP, but the values for the strain at break did not show a clear tendency. It was described in the literature\textsuperscript{3} that this behavior is a result of the components immiscibility and the formation of a two-phases structure. The flow and fracture process are accelerated by the material immiscibility.

Considering the impact strength, a maximum value was achieved with the addition of 15% ms-PP to the resin, and a further addition of this polymer did not improve this property. When a material undergoes an impact force, microfissures are developed until its fracture. When elastomeric low dimension particles are uniformly distributed

| Table 3. Influence of ms-PP addition on the processability and mechanical properties of metallocenic mi-PP. |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| mi-PP:ms-PP | Specific energy x 10$^3$ (J/g) | Stress at break (MPa) | Strain at break (%) | Impact strength (J/m) |
| 100:0 | 7.0 ± 0.5 | 31.6 ± 1.4 | 5.2 ± 1.2 | 11.7 ± 1.53 |
| 85:15 | 2.9 ± 0.7 | 18.2 ± 2.4 | 2.2 ± 0.6 | 14.3 ± 1.0 |
| 70:30 | 1.2 ± 1.0 | 14.6 ± 0.4 | 6.0 ± 0.7 | 8.9 ± 0.9 |
| ZN-iPP: ms-PP \textsuperscript{8} | | | | |
| 100:0 | 25.9 ± 0.6 | 20.7 ± 2.0 | 390.0 ± 197.1 | 25.4 ± 2.7 |
| 85:15 | 18.5 ± 0.5 | 18.9 ± 0.7 | 251.4 ± 175.5 | 35.8 ± 3.4 |
| 70:30 | 13.6 ± 0.1 | 23.9 ± 0.4 | 34.7 ± 4.48 | 35.3 ± 2.4 |

| Table 4. Influence of metallocenic s-PP addition on the crystallinity of i-PP. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| mi-PP:ms-PP | Melting enthalpy $\Delta H_{m}$ (J/g) | Melting temperature $T_{m}$ (°C) | Crystallization enthalpy $\Delta H_{c}$ (J/g) | Crystallization temperature $T_{c}$ (°C) | Crystallinity $X_{c}$ (%) |
| 100:0 | 99 | 150 | -94 | 119 | 47 |
| 85:15 | 89 | 149 | -85 | 119 | 43 |
| 70:30 | 69 | 145 | -65 | 104 | 33 |
| ZN-iPP: ms-PP \textsuperscript{8} | | | | | |
| 100:0 | 75 | 164 | -92 | 111 | 36 |
| 85:15 | 67 | 162 | -82 | 109 | 32 |
| 70:30 | 58 | 160 | -66 | 109 | 28 |
in the PP matrix, the probability that this small particle to find the microfissures occurs to be higher, avoiding their propagation and therefore improving the impact strength.

Table 4 shows the results from the DSC analysis.

As can be seen, the crystallinity of the blends decreased, as a result of lowering the melting enthalpy. This indicates that the presence of the ms-PP changed the matrix PP crystallinity by lowering the crystal size, without significant modifications in the crystal morphology. It was observed some variation in the Tm only for the addition of 30% of ms-PP.

As strength properties are strongly dependent on the crystallinity of the PP matrix, these results can be correlated to the decreasing in the stress strength.

In spite of the great difference between the characteristics of both i-PP studied (see Table 2), some considerations can be done. As expected, the processing energy of mi-PP is very low compared to the one of ZNi-PP considering their difference in the molecular weight. Therefore, it can be speculated that the influence of ms-PP addition in mi-PP is much stronger because of its narrower polydispersity.

Stress at break of pure mi-PP is much higher than that of ZNi-PP because of the lower crystallization rate of the former polymer, which promote an unidimensional chain orientation during the process, increasing this property. On the other hand, strain at break is rather low in mi-PP, since no crystallinity can be induced when the sample is submitted to stress. Regarding the impact strength an improvement for both blends can be observed when 15% of ms-PP was added.

Melting temperature of mi-PP is lower than that of ZNi-PP, since in the first polymer the same monomer insertion errors exists virtually in all polymer chains, which cause crystal defects. The addition of ms-PP did not vary considerably the melting temperature in both cases. This fact possibly indicates the existence of some phase segregation between the i-PPs and the ms-PP studied.

4. Conclusions

The results obtained for mechanical properties suggested that the blends presented two phase morphology when metallocenic s-PP is added to the commercial mi-PP and ZNi-PP.

The blends present lower specific energy, indicating better processability by the addition of s-PP, with improvement in the impact strength. Therefore, materials with a good balance between mechanical properties and processability could be achieved by blending polypropylenes of different microstructure.
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