Identification of key candidate genes and pathways in multiple myeloma by integrated bioinformatics analysis
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Abstract
Multiple myeloma (MM) is a common hematologic malignancy for which the underlying molecular mechanisms remain largely unclear. This study aimed to elucidate key candidate genes and pathways in MM by integrated bioinformatics analysis. Expression profiles GSE6477 and GSE47552 were obtained from the Gene Expression Omnibus database, and differentially expressed genes (DEGs) with \( \text{p}<.05 \) and \( |\log_{2} \text{FC}| > 1 \) were identified. Functional enrichment, protein–protein interaction network construction and survival analyses were then performed. First, 51 upregulated and 78 downregulated DEGs shared between the two GSE datasets were identified. Second, functional enrichment analysis showed that these DEGs are mainly involved in the B cell receptor signaling pathway, hematopoietic cell lineage, and NF-kappa B pathway. Moreover, interrelation analysis of immune system processes showed enrichment of the downregulated DEGs mainly in B cell differentiation, positive regulation of monocyte chemotaxis and positive regulation of T cell proliferation. Finally, the correlation between DEG expression and survival in MM was evaluated using the PrognoScan database. In conclusion, we identified key candidate genes that affect the outcomes of patients with MM, and these genes might serve as potential therapeutic targets.
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1 | INTRODUCTION

Multiple myeloma (MM) is an incurable cancer of B cells caused by an aberrant accumulation of malignant plasma cells in the bone marrow (Palumbo & Anderson, 2011). MM comprises 10% of all hematologic cancers and is characterized by hypercalcemia, renal failure, anemia, and bone lesions, leading to fatal outcomes (Pawlyn & Morgan, 2017). Although the development of new drugs has improved patient outcomes, the response to treatment and survival of newly diagnosed patients with MM varies, and the median survival time ranges from 2 to >10 years (Sonneveld et al., 2016). Thus, identifying molecular biomarkers is critically important for early diagnosis, prevention, and personalized therapy.

Gene chips have been widely applied as a gene detection technology, and the corresponding data have been deposited in public databases (Vogelstein et al., 2013). Integrating and reanalyzing these genomic data offer possibilities for identifying certain disease-related biomarkers. Recently, many studies have been carried out according to microarray data profiles to elucidate the pathogenesis of MM (C. Liu, Gu, & Jiang, 2017; Sun et al., 2015). However, the results are based on...
single cohort study, creating poor reproducibility and consistency. To overcome these disadvantages, integrated bioinformatics methods should be combined with expression profiling techniques.

In the current study, we downloaded two microarray datasets, GSE6477 (Chng et al., 2007) and GSE47552 (Lopez-Corral et al., 2014), from the NCBI-Gene Expression Omnibus database (NCBI-GEO), including gene expression data for purified plasma cell samples from 110 patients with MM and 20 healthy controls. We identified differentially expressed genes (DEGs) using the interactive web tool GEO2R with standard data processing and analyzed these DEGs were performed Gene Ontology (GO) and pathway enrichment analysis with the Database for Annotation, Visualization and Integrated Discovery (DAVID), KEGG pathways and REACTOME.

Subsequently, we integrated the DEG protein–protein interaction (PPI) network with module screening to identify hub genes in MM. Identifying DEGs and enriching their functions and signaling pathways may help reveal potential biosignatures for the diagnosis and management of MM.

2 MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 Microarray data information and DEG identification

The gene expression profiles GSE6477 and GSE47552 were obtained from NCBI-GEO. The microarray data of GSE6477 are based on GPL96 platforms (HG-U133A Affymetrix Human Genome U133A Array) and include purified plasma cell samples from 69 newly diagnosed patients with MM and 15 healthy controls. The GSE47552 data are based on GPL6244 platforms (HuGene-1.0-st Affymetrix Human Gene 1.0 ST Array) and include purified plasma cell samples from 41 newly diagnosed MM patients and 5 healthy controls.

GEO2R was used to identify DEGs between patients with MM and healthy controls. In this study, genes with $p < .05$ and $|\log\text{FC}| > 1$ were defined as DEGs. The DEG data were processed at the Morpheus website (available online: https://software.broadinstitute.org/morpheus) to draw a heatmap of the top 100 significantly changed genes.

2.2 GO and pathway enrichment analysis

DAVID (https://david-d.ncifcrf.gov/) was used to analyze candidate DEG functions and KEGG pathway enrichment. GO term enrichment analysis includes biological process (BP), cellular component (CC), and molecular function (MF). Pathway analysis was also carried out using another online database, REACTOME (available online: http://www.reactome.org). Interrelation analysis between pathways was developed using the ClueGo plug-in Cytoscape software. A $p$ value < .05 was considered the cut-off criterion.

2.3 PPI network establishment and modular analysis

We evaluated the DEG-encoded proteins and PPI information using the STRING database (available online: http://string-db.org).
FIGURE 2 Gene Ontology (GO) term enrichment analysis of all DEGs. (a) GO analysis of DEGs consisting of three subontologies (biological process, molecular function and cellular component). (b) Significantly enriched GO terms for all DEGs. DEGs, differentially expressed genes.

[Color figure can be viewed at wileyonlinelibrary.com]
Cytoscape software version 3.7.1 was applied to establish the protein interaction network.

MCODE, a plug-in in Cytoscape, was utilized to screen the modules from the PPI network and identify the most significant modules from the PPI network and identify the most significant genes as common to the two datasets (Figure 1c).

### 2.4 Survival analysis

Correlation between DEG expression and survival in MM was analyzed using the PrognoScan database (http://dna00.bio.kyutech.ac.jp/PrognoScan/). PrognoScan searches for relationships between gene expression and MM disease-specific survival (DSS) were based on the GSE62658 data set (n = 559). A Cox p value <.05 was considered statistically significant.

### 3 RESULTS

#### 3.1 Identification of DEGs in MM

We obtained gene expression profiles of purified plasma cell samples from newly diagnosed patients with MM and healthy controls from the GSE6477 and GSE47552 datasets and analyzed DEGs using GEO2R. Setting the cut-off criterion as p < .05 and [logFC] > 1, we identified 1,266 and 871 DEGs from GSE6477 and GSE47552, respectively (Figure 1a). Figure 1b shows the top 100 genes depicted as a heatmap. These genes were well clustered between patients with MM and healthy controls. Employing integrated bioinformatics analysis, we identified 51 upregulated genes and 78 downregulated genes as common to the two datasets (Figure 1c).

#### 3.2 GO term enrichment analysis of DEGs

We performed DEG GO analysis using the DAVID gene annotation tool. As shown in Figure 2a, the DEGs were examined according to three subontologies: BP, CC, and MF. Figure 2b and Table 1 illustrate that for BP, upregulated genes were mainly enriched in translational elongation and translation; downregulated genes were largely enriched in positive regulation of response to the stimulus, positive regulation of immune system process, immune response, activation of the immune response, response to wounding and lymphocyte mediated immunity. For CC, enrichment of upregulated genes was primarily in the cytosolic ribosome, ribosomal subunit, and cytosolic part, and that of downregulated genes was mainly in the extracellular region part. Upregulated genes in MF are mainly involved in structural constituents of ribosome and structural molecule activity and the downregulated genes mainly in Ras guanyl-nucleotide exchange factor activity.

#### 3.3 Signaling pathway enrichment analysis

KEGG and REACTOME pathway enrichment analyses of the up- and downregulated DEGs were performed (Figure 3a; Table 2). Enrichment of upregulated DEGs was mostly in the formation of a pool of free 40S subunits, L13a-mediated translational silencing of ceruloplasmin expression, and eukaryotic translation termination and SRP-dependent cotranslational protein targeting to the membrane. Downregulated DEGs were mainly enriched in the transcriptional regulation of white adipocyte differentiation, the B cell receptor signaling pathway, platelet degranulation, chondroitin sulfate biosynthesis, and posttranslational protein phosphorylation. Subsequently, we performed an interrelation analysis of pathways by examining KEGG processes in ClueGO. All DEGs were primarily associated with the B cell receptor pathway, hematopoietic cell lineage, NF-kappa B pathway, and the PPAR pathway (Figure 3b).

#### 3.4 Immune analysis of downregulated DEGs

Based on the above results, we found the downregulated DEGs to be significantly associated with the immune system. Therefore, we performed the interrelation analysis by assessing the immune system.
process of downregulated DEGs in ClueGO. As depicted in Figure 4, downregulated DEGs were mainly enriched in B cell differentiation, positive regulation of monocyte chemotaxis, positive regulation of T cell proliferation and leukocyte tethering or rolling. In addition, we conducted an interrelation analysis between pathways in the BPs of downregulated DEGs (Figure 5). Consistent with the above results, we observed an enrichment of the downregulated DEGs largely in B cell differentiation, leukocyte tethering or rolling, platelet degranulation, positive regulation of T cell proliferation, positive regulation of monocyte chemotaxis and regulation of complement activation.

3.5 | PPI construction and modular analysis

Based on the STRING online database and Cytoscape software, we constructed a DEG PPI network containing 94 DEGs (41 upregulated and 53 downregulated), with 94 nodes and 224 edges identified, as shown in Figure 6a. By utilizing cluster analysis of the PPI network in Cytotype MCODE, we identified two significant modules based on the degree of importance. Module 1 contained 8 nodes and 27 edges (Figure 6b); Module 2 contained 5 nodes and 7 edges (Figure 6c). KEGGs and REACTOME pathway enrichment analyses of the DEGs in these modules were then performed. DEGs in Module 1 were mainly enriched in the formation of a pool of free 40S subunits, L13a-mediated translational silencing of ceruloplasmin expression and eukaryotic translation initiation (Table 3). DEGs in Module 2 were mainly enriched in the B cell receptor signaling pathway, the immune system, regulation of complement cascade and interferon alpha/beta signaling (Table 4).

3.6 | Survival analysis of DEGs

Finally, we explored the potential prognostic value of DEGs for patients with MM by evaluating the correlation between DEG expression and survival rates using PrognoScan. Based on the GSE2658 data set (n = 559), 31 DEGs (Table S1; 8 upregulated and 23 downregulated genes) were identified to be significantly associated with DSS in MM. Representative genes are shown in Figure 7. SNRPE, TXN, HIST1H1C, and CKS2 were upregulated, whereas DENND2D, CYP1B1, DCN, VCAN, HLA-DPA1, TP73-AS1, CHRDL1 and RCBTB2 were downregulated.

4 | DISCUSSION

MM remains incurable for most patients despite dramatic improvements in new treatments. In this study, we analyzed the expression of genes in two microarray datasets based on purified plasma cells of MM patients and healthy controls. A total of 129 common DEGs were identified (51 upregulated and 78 downregulated), with \( p < .05 \) and \[\logFC\] > 1. Subsequently, we utilized bioinformatics methods to deeply explore these DEGs, including GO term enrichment, signaling pathway enrichment, PPI network construction, and survival analysis.

GO term enrichment analysis consists of three groups: BP, CC, and MF. With regard to BPs, the DEGs identified are mainly involved in positive regulation of the immune system process, immune response and positive regulation of response to the stimulus. Evading immune destruction is one of the hallmarks of cancer (Hanahan & Weinberg,
| Pathway Name | Reactome/KEGG Pathway | Gene count | p value | Genes |
|-------------|-----------------------|------------|---------|-------|
| **Upregulated DEG** | | | | |
| Formation of a pool of free 40S subunits | Reactome:R-HSA-72689 | 7 | $1.52 \times 10^{-7}$ | RPS19; RPL32; EIF3K; RPL24; RPL35; UBA52 |
| L13a-mediated translational silencing of Ceruloplasmin expression | Reactome:R-HSA-72706 | 7 | $3.1 \times 10^{-7}$ | RPS19; RPL32; EIF3K; RPL24; RPL35; UBA52 |
| Eukaryotic Translation Termination | Reactome:R-HSA-72764 | 6 | $5.1 \times 10^{-6}$ | RPS19; RPL32; RPL24; RPLP2; RPL35; UBA52 |
| GTP hydrolysis and joining of the 60S ribosomal subunit | Reactome:R-HSA-975956 | 6 | $5.35 \times 10^{-6}$ | RPS19; RPL32; RPL24; RPLP2; RPL35; UBA52 |
| Peptide chain elongation | Reactome:R-HSA-156842 | 6 | $2.45 \times 10^{-5}$ | RPS19; RPL32; RPL24; RPLP2; RPL35; UBA52 |
| Transcriptional regulation of white adipocyte differentiation | KEGG Pathway: hsa03010 | 6 | $2.73 \times 10^{-5}$ | RPS19, RPL32, RPL35, RPLP2, RPL24 |
| Transcriptional regulation of white adipocyte differentiation | KEGG Pathway: hsa03010 | 6 | $2.5 \times 10^{-4}$ | RPS19, RPL32, RPL35, RPLP2, RPL24 |
| **Downregulated DEG** | | | | |
| Transcriptional regulation of white adipocyte differentiation | Reactome:R-HSA-381340 | 5 | $1.3 \times 10^{-3}$ | FABP4; LPL; CD36 |
| B cell receptor signaling pathway | KEGG Pathway: hsa04662 | 6 | $1.88 \times 10^{-3}$ | CR2, RASGRP3, CD81, CD79A, BLNK, SYK |
| Platelet degranulation | Reactome:R-HSA-192885 | 6 | $1.51 \times 10^{-3}$ | TF; SERPING1; CD9; CTSW; CD36; A2M |
| Response to elevated platelet cytosolic Ca2+ | Reactome:R-HSA-2408577 | 6 | $3.05 \times 10^{-4}$ | TF; SERPING1; CD9; CTSW; CD36; A2M |
| Chondroitin sulfate biosynthesis | Reactome:R-HSA-2022870 | 3 | $5.51 \times 10^{-4}$ | CSGALNACT1; VCAN; DCN |
| Regulation of insulin-like growth factor (IGF) transport and uptake by IGF binding proteins (IGFBPs) | Reactome:R-HSA-381426 | 5 | $1.3 \times 10^{-3}$ | VCAN; TF; IGFBP5; CHST14 |
| Defective CHST14 causes SEDCJD | Reactome:R-HSA-3595172 | 2 | $1.51 \times 10^{-3}$ | VCAN; DCN |
| Defective CHST3 causes TPBS | Reactome:R-HSA-3595177 | 2 | $1.88 \times 10^{-3}$ | VCAN; DCN |
| Regulation of complement cascade | Reactome:R-HSA-381426 | 5 | $1.3 \times 10^{-3}$ | VCAN; TF; IGFBP5; CHST14; SERPING1 |

Abbreviation: CR2, Component 3d receptor 2; DEGs, differentially expressed genes.
Indeed, cancer cells are involved in extensive and dynamic crosstalk with immune cells, and many molecular events mediate this interrelationship (Grivennikov, Greten, & Karin, 2010). Patients with MM have increased susceptibility to infections, and the immune system and immune responses play crucial roles in the pathogenesis of MM (Rossi, Botta, Correale, Tassone, & Tagliaferri, 2013). Myeloma cells primarily reside in the bone marrow immune microenvironment, which also contains innate immune cells (such as macrophages, myeloid-derived suppressor cells, and natural killer cells) and adaptive immune cells (T and B lymphocytes; Guillerey, Nakamura, Vuckovic, Hill, & Smyth, 2016). Some of the genes identified in the present study have been shown to participate in the crosstalk between MM cells with immune cells, such as vascular cell adhesion molecule 1 (VCAM1) and CD9 (Belloni et al., 2018; De Bruyne et al., 2008), leading to progression of MM.

DEGs in CC are mainly enriched in cytosolic ribosomes. Consistent with this, DEGs in MF are mainly associated with the structural constituents of ribosomes. Ribosome biogenesis is a process by which ribosomes are generated for protein synthesis, and this process is critical for cells to survive, grow and proliferate (Iadevaia, Liu, & Proud, 2014; Lessard, Brakier-Gingras, & Ferbeyre, 2019). In cancer, ribosome biogenesis is commonly enhanced to meet the increased anabolic requirements related to malignant transformation and tumor development. In addition, the ribosome serves as a central information hub, and numerous oncogenic signaling pathways modulate its function[12 13]. For instance, RPS9, identified as an upregulated DEG in this study, contributes to cancer cell metastasis through c-Myc (K. C. Chen et al., 2018). Several studies on MM have revealed that ribosome biogenesis is associated with the MM risk allele and response to bortezomib treatment (Ali, Ajore, Wihlborg, & Niroula, 2018; Hofman et al., 2017).

Next, interrelation analysis of pathways was conducted by utilizing KEGG processes in ClueGO. The results showed all DEGs to mainly be associated with the B cell receptor signaling pathway, hematopoietic cell lineage, the NF-kappa B signaling pathway, and the PPAR signaling pathway. The B cell receptor signaling pathway has been reported to mediate PD-L1 signaling and metabolism in hematologic malignancies (Li et al., 2018; Vangapandu et al., 2017). Consistent with our results,
FIGURE 5  Interrelation analysis between pathways (biological process) of downregulated DEGs. (a) Interrelation between biological process pathways. (b) Numbers of genes enriched in the identified pathways. DEGs, differentially expressed genes [Color figure can be viewed at wileyonlinelibrary.com]
FIGURE 6  Protein–protein interaction (PPI) network of DEGs and module analysis. (a) Based on the STRING online database, a DEG PPI network was constructed containing 94 DEGs (41 upregulated DEGs labeled in red and 53 downregulated DEGs labeled in blue). (b) Identification of two significant modules based on the degree of importance. Module 1 contains 8 nodes and 27 edges. (c) Module 2 contains 5 nodes and 7 edges. DEGs, differentially expressed genes; PPI, protein–protein interaction [Color figure can be viewed at wileyonlinelibrary.com]

TABLE 3  Pathway enrichment analysis of Module 1 genes function

| Term               | Description                                                                 | Count | p value       |
|--------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------|---------------|
| R-HSA-72689        | Formation of a pool of free 40 S subunits                                   | 7     | 4.36 × 10⁻¹⁴  |
| R-HSA-156827       | L13a-mediated translational silencing of Ceruloplasmin expression           | 7     | 8.39 × 10⁻¹⁴  |
| R-HSA-72706        | GTP hydrolysis and joining of the 60 S ribosomal subunit                     | 7     | 8.93 × 10⁻¹⁴  |
| R-HSA-72613        | Eukaryotic translation initiation                                           | 7     | 1.36 × 10⁻¹³  |
| R-HSA-72737        | Cap-dependent translation initiation                                        | 7     | 1.36 × 10⁻¹³  |
| R-HSA-156902       | Peptide chain elongation                                                    | 6     | 7.80 × 10⁻¹²  |
| R-HSA-72764        | Eukaryotic translation termination                                          | 6     | 1.01 × 10⁻¹¹  |
| R-HSA-2408557      | Selenocysteine synthesis                                                    | 6     | 1.01 × 10⁻¹¹  |
| R-HSA-156842       | Eukaryotic translation elongation                                           | 6     | 1.08 × 10⁻¹¹  |
| R-HSA-975956       | Nonsense-mediated decay (NMD) independent of the exon junction complex (EJC) | 6     | 1.15 × 10⁻¹¹  |
| R-HSA-192823       | Viral mRNA translation                                                      | 6     | 1.56 × 10⁻¹¹  |
| R-HSA-1799339      | SRP-dependent cotranslational protein targeting to membrane                | 6     | 3.05 × 10⁻¹¹  |
| R-HSA-927802       | Nonsense-mediated decay (NMD)                                               | 6     | 3.75 × 10⁻¹¹  |
| R-HSA-975957       | Nonsense-mediated decay (NMD) enhanced by the exon junction complex (EJC)   | 6     | 3.75 × 10⁻¹¹  |
| R-HSA-2408522      | Selenoamino acid metabolism                                                 | 6     | 3.95 × 10⁻¹¹  |
| R-HSA-72766        | Translation                                                                 | 7     | 7.10 × 10⁻¹¹  |
this pathway was found to be the most significant pathway in another MM polygenic interaction study (Chattopadhyay et al., 2018). The NF-kappa B signaling pathway plays a crucial role in the proliferation, survival and treatment resistance of MM, and dysregulation of NF-kB may result from genetic and microenvironment-driven mechanisms (Demchenko et al., 2010; Keats et al., 2007; McMillin et al., 2010). Furthermore, important therapeutic advances have emerged through alteration of the activity of NF-kB with pharmacological interventions (Adams, 2004; Moreau et al., 2012). The three subtypes of PPAR (PPARalpha, beta/delta, and gamma) exhibit differential expression patterns in vertebrates. PPARγ agonists significantly suppress the adhesive interaction between MM and bone marrow stromal cells (Wang, Yang, Zhang, & Farrar, 2007) and increase the cytotoxic effect of other drugs in MM cells (Aouali et al., 2009).

### TABLE 4  Pathway enrichment analysis of Module 2 genes function

| Term                      | Description                              | Count | p value     |
|---------------------------|------------------------------------------|-------|-------------|
| bta04662                  | B cell receptor signaling pathway        | 4     | 1.03 × 10^{-5} |
| R-HSA-168256              | Immune system                            | 5     | 1.23 × 10^{-3} |
| R-HSA-977606              | Regulation of complement cascade          | 2     | 1.42 × 10^{-3} |
| R-HSA-166658              | Complement cascade                        | 2     | 1.79 × 10^{-3} |
| R-HSA-1300652             | Sperm: oocyte membrane binding            | 1     | 2.13 × 10^{-3} |
| R-HSA-909733              | Interferon alpha/beta signaling           | 2     | 2.48 × 10^{-3} |
| R-HSA-5336415             | Uptake and function of diphtheria toxin   | 1     | 4.26 × 10^{-3} |
| R-HSA-9020558             | Interleukin-2 signaling                   | 1     | 5.95 × 10^{-3} |
| R-HSA-1280218             | Adaptive immune system                    | 3     | 6.07 × 10^{-3} |
| R-HSA-76002               | Platelet activation, signaling and aggregation | 2   | 6.45 × 10^{-3} |
| R-HSA-1280215             | Cytokine signaling in immune system       | 3     | 7.11 × 10^{-3} |
| R-HSA-198933              | Immunoregulatory interactions between a lymphoid and a non-lymphoid cell | 2 | 7.14 × 10^{-3} |
| R-HSA-912631              | Regulation of signaling by CBL            | 1     | 1.02 × 10^{-2} |
| R-HSA-913531              | Interferon signaling                      | 2     | 1.08 × 10^{-2} |
| R-HSA-1187000             | Fertilization                             | 1     | 1.32 × 10^{-2} |
| R-HSA-168249              | Innate immune system                      | 3     | 1.35 × 10^{-2} |
Subsequently, interrelation analysis was performed. Immune system process analysis of downregulated DEGs indicated that B cell differentiation, positive regulation of T cell proliferation, and leukocyte tethering or rolling interact with each other through key genes, such as spleen-associated tyrosine kinase (SYK; related to MM cell survival and migration; Lorenz et al., 2016), complement Component 3d receptor 2 (linked to the innate complement-mediated immune response; Sormon, Zhang, Ding, & Heyman, 2014), VCAM1 (contributing to drug resistance in MM; Belloni et al., 2018) and cadherin 11 (CDH11; involved in MM progression and bone destruction; Christopoulos et al., 2017). Interrelation analysis via assessment of the BPs of downregulated DEGs was consistent with the above results. A variety of mechanisms to evade immune surveillance have been reported in MM, including impaired antibody production (Rawstron et al., 1998), deregulation of the T cell compartment (Koike et al., 2002), upregulation of inhibitory ligands (Paiva et al., 2015), disruption of antigen presentation (Brown, Pope, Yuen, Gibson, & Joshua, 1998) and recruitment of immunosuppressive cells (Franssen et al., 2015; Malek et al., 2016). Thus, various immunotherapy strategies have been developed, such as immunomodulatory drugs, checkpoint inhibitors, monoclonal antibodies, chimeric antigen receptor T-cells, and vaccines (Kumar & Anderson, 2016; Rodriguez-Otero, Paiva, Engelhardt, Prosper, & San Miguel, 2017). These strategies have shown encouraging results in patients with relapsed refractory MM and also in improving patient outcomes (Neri, Bahlis, & Lonial, 2016).

A PPI network of DEGs was constructed, containing 94 nodes and 224 edges. In this network, screening revealed two significant modules based on the degree of importance. Many of the core genes within the subnetworks have been reported to play a crucial role in the development of MM, such as SYK, CD81, and CD9. SYK, a protein kinase, is a critical element in B cell receptor signaling (Knoll et al., 2017). Moreover, SYK has been demonstrated to be involved in ectoencezyme CD38-, chemokine receptor CXCR4-, and integrin ligand VCAM1 signaling (Buchner et al., 2010). CD38 is characteristically expressed on myeloma cells, and CXCR4 and VCAM1 play a crucial role in MM-microenvironmental crosstalk (Schuler, Ewerth, Waldschmidt, Wasch, & Engelhardt, 2013). Targeting SYK has shown promising therapeutic effects in B cell malignancies, including chronic lymphoid leukemia (D. Liu & Mamorska-Dyga, 2017), and in MM, inhibition of SYK resulted in significant decreases in cell survival and migration (Lorenz et al., 2016). Previous studies have demonstrated that CD81 is an independent prognostic factor in MM and may be associated with MM pathogenesis (Arana et al., 2018; F. Chen et al., 2018; Paiva et al., 2017). Expression of CD9 in MM cells is related to the disease status and survival of patients with MM, and CD9 was found to be involved in transendothelial invasion in an MM mouse model (De Bruyne et al., 2006). Moreover, CD9 expression was found to be downregulated during disease progression, which led to decreased susceptibility of MM cells to NK cell-mediated cytolysis (De Bruyne et al., 2008). Our survival analysis revealed 31 DEGs to be significantly associated with survival in MM patients, and further investigation of these genes in clinical research is warranted.

In conclusion, integrated bioinformatics analysis of multiple datasets of newly diagnosed MM patients and healthy controls was performed. Common DEGs were identified that are significantly enriched in various pathways, especially immune responses, followed by evaluation of the prognostic value for patients with MM. Notably, most of the prognostic genes are immune-related genes. The results of this study increase our understanding of the molecular drivers that underlie MM initiation and progression, and the critical genes and pathways identified constitute potential therapeutic targets.
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