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Abstract

We present a measurement of the left-right cross-section asymmetry ($A_{LR}$) for $Z$ boson production by $e^+e^-$ collisions. The measurement includes the final data taken with the SLD detector at the SLAC Linear Collider (SLC) during the period 1996-1998. Using a sample of 383,487 $Z$ decays collected during the 1996-1998 runs we measure the pole-value of the asymmetry, $A_{LR}^0$, to be $0.15056 \pm 0.00239$ which is equivalent to an effective weak mixing angle of $\sin^2 \theta_W^{\text{eff}} = 0.23107 \pm 0.00030$. Our result for the complete 1992-1998 dataset comprising 537 thousand $Z$ decays is $\sin^2 \theta_W^{\text{eff}} = 0.23097 \pm 0.00027$.
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The SLD Collaboration has performed a series of increasingly precise measurements of the left-right cross-section asymmetry in the production of \(Z\) bosons by \(e^+e^-\) collisions [1–3]. In this letter, we present a measurement based upon data recorded during the 1996 and 1997-98 runs of the SLAC Linear Collider (SLC), which represents about three quarters of our total sample and leads to improved statistical precision and reduced systematic uncertainty. The overall average given at the end of this Letter is based upon all the data from the completed SLD experimental program [4].

The left-right asymmetry is defined as \(A_{LR}^0 \equiv (\sigma_L - \sigma_R) / (\sigma_L + \sigma_R)\), where \(\sigma_L\) and \(\sigma_R\) are the \(e^+e^-\) production cross sections for \(Z\) bosons at the \(Z\)-pole energy with left-handed and right-handed electrons, respectively. The Standard Model predicts that this quantity depends upon the effective vector \((v_e)\) and axial-vector \((a_e)\) couplings of the \(Z\) boson to the electron current,

\[
A_{LR}^0 = \frac{2v_e a_e}{v_e^2 + a_e^2} = \frac{2\left[1 - 4 \sin^2 \theta_W^{\text{eff}}\right]}{1 + \left[1 - 4 \sin^2 \theta_W^{\text{eff}}\right]^2},
\]

where the effective electroweak mixing parameter is defined [5] as \(\sin^2 \theta_W^{\text{eff}} \equiv (1 - v_e/a_e)/4\).
The quantity $A_{LR}^0$ is a sensitive function of $\sin^2 \theta_{\text{eff}}^W$ and depends upon virtual electroweak radiative corrections including those which involve the Higgs boson and those arising from new phenomena outside of the scope of the Standard Model (SM). Presently, the most stringent upper bounds on the SM Higgs mass are provided by measurements of $\sin^2 \theta_{\text{eff}}^W$.

We measured the left-right asymmetry by counting hadronic and (with low efficiency) $\tau^+\tau^-$ final states produced in $e^+e^-$ collisions near the $Z$-pole energy for each of the two longitudinal polarization states of the electron beam. The asymmetry formed from these rates, $A_{LR}$, was then corrected for residual effects arising from pure photon exchange and $Z$-photon interference to extract $A_{LR}^0$. The measurement required knowledge of the absolute beam polarization, but did not require knowledge of the absolute luminosity, detector acceptance, or efficiency [6].

The operation of the SLC with a polarized electron beam has been described previously [7]. The maximum luminosity of the collider was approximately $3 \times 10^{30} \text{ cm}^{-2}\text{sec}^{-1}$, and the longitudinal electron polarization at the $e^+e^-$ collision point was typically $\sim 75\%$. The luminosity-weighted mean $e^+e^-$ center-of-mass energy ($E_{\text{cm}}$) was measured with precision energy spectrometers [8] and was found to be $91.26 \pm 0.03 \text{ GeV}$ for the 1996 run. During the 1997-98 period, the energy spectrometers were (for the first time) calibrated to the well-measured $Z$ boson mass [9] by performing a three-point scan of the resonance [10], with the result $E_{\text{cm}} = 91.237 \pm 0.029 \text{ GeV}$ for the 1997-98 run.

The longitudinal electron beam polarization ($P_e$) was measured by a Compton scattering polarimeter [11], [1–3]. The primary device was a magnetic spectrometer and multichannel Cherenkov detector that observed Compton-scattered electrons in the energy range 17 GeV to 30 GeV. The analyzing powers of the detector channels incorporated resolution and spectrometer effects, and differed by typically $\sim 1\%$ from the theoretical Compton polarization asymmetry function [12] at the mean accepted energy for each channel. The minimum energy of a Compton-scattered electron for the initial electron and photon energies was 17.36 GeV. The location of this kinematic endpoint at the detector (in the dispersive plane of the spectrometer) was monitored by frequent scans of the detector horizontal position dur-
ing polarimeter operation. This technique determined and monitored the analyzing powers of each detector channel. Polarimeter data were acquired continually during the operation of the SLC.

Beginning in 1996, two additional detectors were operated in order to assist in the calibration of the primary spectrometer-based polarimeter. Both devices detected Compton-scattered photons and hence were independent of the spectrometer calibration and its systematic uncertainties. Due to their inherent sensitivity to beamstrahlung background, these two devices, the Polarized Gamma Counter (PGC) [13] and the Quartz Fiber Calorimeter (QFC) [14], were operated only when the electron and positron beams were not in collision. However, when compared with concurrent results from the primary detector they achieved comparable precision and provided a useful crosscheck of our calibration procedure.

The systematic uncertainties that affect the polarization measurement are summarized in Table [I]. The largest contribution, due to analyzing power calibration, was estimated by a comparison of our reference polarization measurement provided by the Cherenkov detector channel located at the kinematic endpoint (and Compton asymmetry maximum) to the results from a neighboring channel and from the PGC and QFC devices. A ∼ 0.6% systematic error on the PGC calibration was dominated by the difference in the photon energy response function as determined from test beam data, and from EGS [15] Monte Carlo simulations. For the QFC device, uncertainties on the linearity of the response function, also deduced from test beam data, dominated the total systematic error of ∼ 0.6%. The weighted mean residual of all analyzing power cross checks is 0.30% ± 0.39% ($\chi^2 = 1.9$ for 2 degrees of freedom), from which we quote a calibration uncertainty of 0.4%.

Interspersed high- and low-background polarimeter operation in 1997-98, achieved by periodic removal of the positron beam, permitted improved studies of the Cherenkov detector linearity and significantly reduced the associated uncertainty, previously our largest effect, to 0.2% [16]. The total relative systematic uncertainty is estimated to be $\delta P_e/P_e = 0.50\%$ (down from 0.65% [3]).
In our previous Letters \cite{2,3}, we examined an effect that causes the beam polarization measured by the Compton Polarimeter, $P_e$, to differ from the luminosity-weighted beam polarization, $P_e(1 + \xi)$, at the SLC interaction point (IP), where $\xi$ is a small fractional correction. A number of measures in the operation of the SLC and in monitoring procedures reduced the size of this chromaticity correction and its associated error to below 0.2\% \cite{17}. From beam energy spread, polarization transport, and luminosity energy dependence measurements, we determined a contribution to $\xi$ of $+0.00124 \pm 0.0012$ (1996) and $+0.00117 \pm 0.0008$ (1997-98) due to the chromaticity effect. The results for both runs are smaller than for previous years \cite{3}.

A similar effect of comparable magnitude arises due to the small precession of the electron spin in the final focusing elements between the SLC IP and the polarimeter. We estimated this effect contributed $-0.0011 \pm 0.0005$ to $\xi$ in 1996, and $-0.0024 \pm 0.0008$ to $\xi$ in 1997-98, where the larger value in the recent data reflects the larger focusing angles used at the time.

The depolarization of the electron beam by the $e^+e^-$ collision process is expected to be negligible \cite{18}. The contribution of depolarization to $\xi$ was determined to be $0.000 \pm 0.001$ by comparing polarimeter data taken with and without beams in collision. Combining the three effects described above, the overall correction factors were determined to be $\xi = 0.0002 \pm 0.0016$ (1996) and $\xi = -0.0012 \pm 0.0015$ (1997-98).

The $e^+e^-$ collisions were measured by the SLD detector which has been described elsewhere \cite{19}. For $Z$ decays the detector trigger and the event selection relied on the liquid argon calorimeter (LAC) \cite{20} and the central drift chamber tracker (CDC) \cite{21}. For each event candidate, energy clusters were reconstructed in the LAC. Selected events were required to contain at least 22 GeV of energy observed in the clusters and to manifest a normalized energy imbalance of less than 0.6 \cite{22}. The left-right asymmetry associated with final state $e^+e^-$ events is expected to be diluted by the t-channel photon exchange subprocess. Therefore, we excluded $e^+e^-$ final states by requiring that each event candidate contain at least 4 selected CDC tracks, with at least 2 tracks in each hemisphere (defined with respect to the beam axis), or at least 4 tracks in either hemisphere. This track topology
requirement excludes Bhabha events which contain a reconstructed gamma conversion. The selected CDC tracks were required to extrapolate to the IP within 5 (10) cm radially (along the beam direction), to have a minimum momentum transverse to the beam direction of 100 MeV/c, and to form a minimum angle of 30 degrees with the beam direction.

We estimate that the combined efficiency of the trigger and selection criteria was $(91\pm1)\%$ for hadronic $Z$ decays. Tau pairs constituted $(0.3\pm0.1)\%$ of the sample. Because muon pair events deposited little energy in the calorimeter, they were not included in the sample. A residual background in the sample was due to $e^+e^-$ final state events. We use our data and a Monte Carlo simulation to estimate this background fraction to be $(0.013\pm0.013)\%$. The background fraction due to cosmic rays, two-photon events and beam related processes was estimated to be $(0.029\pm0.029)\%$ for 1997-98, and $(0.016\pm0.016)\%$ for 1996.

For the 1997-98 (1996) datasets respectively, a total of 331,614 (51,873) $Z$ events satisfied the selection criteria. We found that 183,355 (29,016) of the events were produced with the left-handed electron beam ($N_L$) and 148,259 (22,857) were produced with the right-handed beam ($N_R$). The measured left-right cross-section asymmetry is [23]

$$A_m \equiv \frac{N_L - N_R}{N_L + N_R} = \begin{cases} 0.10583 \pm 0.00173 & 97/8 \\ 0.11873 \pm 0.00436 & 96. \end{cases}$$

We verified that the measured asymmetry $A_m$ did not vary significantly as more restrictive criteria (calorimetric and tracking-based) were applied to the sample and that $A_m$ was uniform when binned by the azimuth and polar angle of the thrust axis.

The measured asymmetry $A_m$ is related to $A_{LR}$ by the following expression which incorporates a number of small correction terms in lowest-order approximation,

$$A_{LR} = \frac{A_m}{\langle P_e \rangle} + \frac{1}{\langle P_e \rangle} \left[ f_b (A_m - A_b) - A_L + A_m^2 A_P ight. \\
\left. - E_{cm} \frac{\sigma'(E_{cm})}{\sigma(E_{cm})} A_E - A_\varepsilon + \langle P_e \rangle P_P \right], \quad (2)$$

where $\langle P_e \rangle$ is the mean luminosity-weighted polarization; $f_b$ is the background fraction; $\sigma(E)$ is the unpolarized $Z$ cross section at energy $E$; $\sigma'(E)$ is the derivative of the cross
section with respect to $E$; $A_b, A_L, A_P, A_E$, and $A_v$ are the left-right asymmetries \[24\] of the residual background, the integrated luminosity, the beam polarization, the center-of-mass energy, and the product of detector acceptance and efficiency, respectively; and $P_p$ is any longitudinal positron polarization which is assumed to have constant helicity \[23\].

In the past, we have taken $P_p$ to be negligible, based on calculations of transverse polarization buildup in the SLC positron damping ring (ignoring efficiencies in positron polarization transport to the beam collision point) that indicate the effect cannot be larger than a few parts in 10$^5$. Nevertheless, we determined that we could address this issue experimentally, and directly measured $P_p$ in 1998. The SLC positron beam was delivered to the fixed target Møller polarimeter in SLAC’s End Station A \[26\] in a one week dedicated experiment, and the result ($P_p = -0.02\pm0.07\%$) was consistent with zero \[27\].

The luminosity-weighted average polarization $\langle P_e \rangle$ for the 1997-98 (1996) data was estimated from measurements of $P_e$ made when $Z$ events were recorded,

$$\langle P_e \rangle = (1 + \xi) \cdot \frac{1}{N_Z} \sum_{i=1}^{N_Z} P_i = \begin{cases} 72.92 \pm 0.38\% & 97/8 \\ 76.16 \pm 0.40\% & 96, \end{cases}$$

(3)

where $N_Z$ is the total number of $Z$ events, and $P_i$ is the polarization measurement associated in time with the $i^{th}$ event. The error on $\langle P_e \rangle$ was dominated by the systematic uncertainties on the polarization measurement. The different values for $\langle P_e \rangle$ seen during different SLC running periods are due to different GaAs photocathodes used at the SLC polarized source.

The corrections defined in equation (3) were found to be small. The results for 1997-98 (1996) are detailed below. The correction for residual background contamination was moderated by a non-zero left-right background asymmetry [$A_b = 0.023 \pm 0.022 (0.033\pm0.026)$] arising from $e^+e^-$ final states which remained in the sample. Residual electron current asymmetry ($\lesssim 10^{-3}$) from the SLC polarized source was reduced by periodically reversing a spin rotation solenoid at the entrance to the SLC damping ring. The net luminosity asymmetry was estimated from the measured asymmetry of the rate of radiative Bhabha scattering events observed with a monitor located in the North Final Focus region of the SLC to be $A_L = [-1.3 \pm 0.7] \times 10^{-4} ([+0.03 \pm 0.5] \times 10^{-4})$. A statistically less
precise cross check was performed by examining the left-right asymmetry of the sample of approximately 800,000 small-angle Bhabha scattering events detected by the luminosity monitoring system (LUM) [28]. Since the theoretical left-right asymmetry for small-angle Bhabha scattering is very small \([O(10^{-4})P_e\) within the LUM acceptance], the measured asymmetry of \([-10\pm10]\times10^{-4}\) was a direct determination of \(A_C\) and was consistent with the more precisely determined one. The polarization asymmetry was directly measured to be \(A_P = [+2.8 \pm 6.9] \times 10^{-3}([+2.9 \pm 4.3] \times 10^{-3})\). The left-right beam energy asymmetry arises from the small residual left-right beam current asymmetry due to beam-loading of the accelerator and was measured to be \([+2.8\pm1.4]\times10^{-7}([-0.1\pm3.5]\times10^{-7})\). The coefficient of the energy asymmetry in equation (2) is a very sensitive function of the center-of-mass energy and was found to be \(4.3 \pm 2.9\) for \(E_{cm} = 91.237 \pm 0.029\) GeV (\(2.0 \pm 3.0\) for \(E_{cm} = 91.26 \pm 0.03\) GeV). The SLD had a symmetric acceptance in polar angle [6] which implied that the efficiency asymmetry \(A_\varepsilon\) is negligible. The corrections listed in equation (2) change \(A_{LR}\) by \([+0.16 \pm 0.07]\% ([+0.02 \pm 0.05]\%)\) of the uncorrected value.

From equation (2), we found the left-right asymmetry to be \(A_{LR}(91.237\) GeV\) = 0.1454\pm 0.00237(stat.)\pm 0.00077(syst.), for 1997-98 and \(A_{LR}(91.26\) GeV\) = 0.1559\pm 0.00572(stat.)\pm 0.00084(syst.) for 1996.

We found the pole asymmetry \(A^0_{LR}\) for 1997-98 to be \(A^0_{LR} = 0.14906 \pm 0.00237\)(stat.)\pm 0.00096(syst.), and \(A^0_{LR} = 0.15929 \pm 0.00573\)(stat.)\pm 0.00101(syst.), for 1996, where the systematic uncertainty includes the uncertainty on the electroweak interference correction (see Table II) which arose from the uncertainty on center-of-mass energy scale. Combining the value of \(A^0_{LR}\) and \(\sin^2\theta_{W}^{\text{eff}}\) [29] provided by the 1996-98 data of \(A^0_{LR} = 0.15056 \pm 0.00239\) and \(\sin^2\theta_{W}^{\text{eff}} = 0.23107 \pm 0.00030\) with our previous measurements [1 [3)] (systematic errors are conservatively taken to be fully correlated between measurements) we obtain the value,

\[
A^0_{LR} = 0.15138 \pm 0.00216 \quad \sin^2\theta_{W}^{\text{eff}} = 0.23097 \pm 0.00027. 
\]

This \(\sin^2\theta_{W}^{\text{eff}}\) determination is the most precise presently available, and is smaller by 2.7 standard deviations than the recent average of measurements performed by the LEP Col-
laborations [7].
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The quantities $A_{LR}^0$ and $\sin^2 \theta_W^\text{eff}$ are related by equation (1) and are completely equivalent. The correction for pure photon exchange and for electroweak interference (which arises from the deviation of the effective $e^+e^-$ center-of-mass energy from the $Z$-pole energy), including the effect of initial-state radiation, $A_{LR}^0 - A_{LR}(E_{cm})$, is determined with the ZFITTER 6.22 program (see reference [10]) and is found to be $0.00358 \pm 0.00058$ ($0.00337 \pm 0.00059$).
TABLE I. Systematic uncertainties that affect the $A_{LR}$ measurement. The uncertainty on the electroweak interference correction is caused by the uncertainty on the SLC energy scale. Where they differ from the errors for the 1997/98 data, the errors for 1996 are given in parentheses.

| Uncertainty         | $\delta P_e / P_e$ (%) | $\delta A_{LR} / A_{LR}$ (%) | $\delta A_{LR}^0 / A_{LR}^0$ (%) |
|---------------------|------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Laser Pol.          | 0.10                   |                               |                                 |
| Linearity           | 0.20                   |                               |                                 |
| Anal. Pwr. Cal.     | 0.40                   |                               |                                 |
| Electr. Noise       | 0.20                   |                               |                                 |
| Total Polarim.      | 0.50                   | 0.50                          |                                 |
| $\xi$ (Eq. 3)       |                       | 0.15(0.16)                    |                                 |
| Corrs in Eq. 2      |                       | 0.07(0.05)                    |                                 |
| $A_{LR}$ Total      |                       | 0.52(0.52)                    | 0.52(0.52)                      |
| EW Int. Corr.       |                       |                               | 0.39(0.37)                      |
| $A_{LR}^0$ Total    |                       |                               | 0.64(0.63)                      |