Nitrogen and Phosphorus Resorption in Planted Forests Worldwide
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Abstract: Nutrient resorption from senescing leaves is one of the plants’ essential nutrient conservation strategies. Parameters associated with resorption are important nutrient-cycling constraints for accurate predictions of long-term primary productivity in forest ecosystems. However, we know little about the spatial patterns and drivers of leaf nutrient resorption in planted forests worldwide. By synthesizing results of 146 studies, we explored nitrogen (N) and phosphorus (P) resorption efficiency (NRE and PRE) among climate zones and tree functional types, as well as the factors that play dominant roles in nutrient resorption in plantations globally. Our results showed that the mean NRE and PRE were 58.98% ± 0.53% and 60.21% ± 0.77%, respectively. NRE significantly increased from tropical to boreal zones, while PRE did not significantly differ among climate zones, suggesting differential impacts of climates on NRE and PRE. Plant functional types exert a strong influence on nutrient resorption. Conifer trees had higher PRE than broadleaf trees, reflecting the adaptation of the coniferous trees to oligotrophic habitats. Deciduous trees had lower PRE than evergreen trees that are commonly planted in P-limited low latitudes and have long leaf longevity with high nutrient use efficiency. While non-N-fixing trees had higher NRE than N-fixing trees, the PRE of non-N-fixing trees was lower than that of N-fixing trees, indicating significant impact of the N-fixing ability on the resorption of N and P. Our multivariate regression analyses showed that variations in NRE were mainly regulated by climates (mean annual precipitation and latitude), while variations in PRE were dominantly controlled by green leaf nutrient concentrations (N and P). Our results, in general, suggest that the predicted global warming and changed precipitation regimes may profoundly affect N cycling in planted forests. In addition, green leaf nutrient concentrations may be good indicators for PRE in planted forests.
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1. Introduction

Nutrient availability is a critical constraint in plant productivity and carbon (C) stocks in terrestrial ecosystems [1,2]. Nutrient resorption, through which nutrients are reabsorbed before leaf senescence and reused for plant growth directly, is an essential component of nutrient conservation strategies [3,4].
It affects key ecosystem processes such as nutrient uptake [5,6], plant competition [7,8], C cycling and resource-use efficiency [9,10], and hence productivity [1,11]. From a physiological perspective, resorption efficiency is an important issue in nutrient conservation [6,12]. In ecosystem modeling, reliable estimates of resorption efficiency are of key importance for modeling nutrient cycling and for quantifying ecosystem productivity, particularly in the new generation of coupled global models [13,14]. While previous synthesis studies mainly focus on the resorption efficiency of natural forests [1,4,5,9], we know little about nutrient resorption and its associated drivers in planted forests worldwide.

Increasing demands for timber products have promoted more research on plantations, which require more nutrients for their rapid growth [15,16]. Nutrient resorption in planted forests is less studied, since many synthesis studies excluded data of nutrient resorption from plantations [5,7,17]. Additionally, few nutrient-resorption studies have separated planted forests from other forest types [18–21]. Planted forests may have different nutrient resorption efficiencies in comparison to natural forests, since they are commonly pioneer tree species and grow on nutrient-poor soils [4,22]. Recent studies show that plantations had significantly higher nitrogen resorption efficiency (NRE) than the adjacent natural forests in northeast China and inland Hokkaido, Japan [16,23]. Phosphorous resorption efficiency (PRE) was found to be lower in plantations than in natural forests [24]. Plant productivity is largely constrained by nutrient availability [25,26], making exploration of nutrient resorption efficiency of high necessity in planted forests worldwide.

Empirical and regional studies have undoubtedly advanced our understanding of nutrient resorption in planted forests [4,27–30]. A synthesis study showed that while phosphorous resorption efficiency (PRE) was higher in subtropical than in temperate zones, NRE did not differ between the two climate zones in China’s planted forests [24]. In contrary, Zhang et al. found that NRE increased and PRE first increased and then decreased along latitude in *Metasequoia glyptostroboides* forests in the east coast of China [27]. In terms of plant functional types, while Lal et al. found that deciduous species had higher NRE and PRE than evergreen species in a dry tropical environment [31], Machado et al. showed that evergreen species presented higher PRE, but not NRE, than deciduous species in plantations in the Brazilian Amazon [29]. Few studies have explored NRE in N-fixing trees vs. non-N-fixing trees in planted forests, though Yuan et al. found lower NRE in N-fixing trees than in the non-N-fixing trees (39% vs. 50%) in a semi-arid region of northern China [32]. A site study in the Karst ecosystem of southwestern China showed higher NRE and lower PRE for the conifer *Pinus yunnanensis* Franch. than for the broadleaf *Eucalyptus maideni* F. Muell. [33]. Much uncertainty still surrounds the global patterns of nutrient resorption efficiency of plantations across different climate zones and functional groups. This lack of clear understanding limits the accuracy in predicting long-term primary productivity in forest ecosystems. Therefore, it is urgent to examine nutrient resorption of planted forests regarding spatial patterns and drivers.

Planted forests, accounting for 7% of forest areas globally in 2015, are one of the essential components of terrestrial forest ecosystems providing us economic and social benefits [34,35]. Moreover, plantations have been advocated as important C sinks to mitigate future climate change [36,37]. Nutrient resorption enables plants to store reabsorbed nutrients for rapid and sustained growth at the beginning of the next growing season [38,39]. Understanding the nutrient resorption patterns in plantations is thus beneficial for improving nutrient conservation and management of planted forests. In this systematic review, we aimed to: (1) explore the global patterns of nutrient resorption (N and P) in planted forests and (2) identify various factors that play important roles in nutrient resorption worldwide.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Data Description

In this study, we compiled a dataset of 149 independent sampling sites based on 146 peer-reviewed papers about NRE and PRE in planted forests worldwide (Figure 1, Supplementary materials 1 and
In total, 643 observations for NRE and 539 observations for PRE were included in the dataset. We utilized the searching tools of ISI Web of Science and Google Scholar for retrieving articles on nutrient resorption, and the China National Knowledge Infrastructure (CNKI) to search for papers published in Chinese. Both methods included combinations of the terms ‘nutrient resorption’ or ‘nutrient retranslocation’ or ‘nutrient reabsorption’ and ‘concentration’, ‘forest’, and ‘tree’ as the searching keywords. We selected articles and extracted data (using Graph Digitizer 2.24, http://getdata-graph-digitizer.com/) with the following criteria: (1) N and P concentrations based on dry mass in green and senesced leaves were directly available or could be calculated based on presented tables or graphs; (2) we only selected data of trees from plots identified as planted forests; (3) any data from greenhouse, nursery, fertilized, and polluted sites were excluded; and (4) any data from cases of possible ‘premature senescence’ (e.g., drought, pests, . . . ) were also eliminated.
2.2. Statistical Analysis

Before statistical analysis, the normality and homoscedasticity of the NRE and PRE were verified by the Kolmogorov–Smirnov test and Levene’s test, respectively. One-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) was performed to analyze the differences between NRE and PRE. Linear mixed-effects models (LMEs) were applied to quantify the effects of climate zones and different functional groups on NRE and PRE worldwide. Climate zones and functional groups were treated as fixed factors, and studies were treated as a random factor. The significance of fixed effects terms was assessed via ANOVA with F tests. If the differences were significant, post hoc multiple comparisons were subsequently conducted using Duncan’s test ($p = 0.05$). Stepwise regression analyses were used to explore the controls on the variation of NRE and PRE associated with climate variables, leaf nutrient status, and stand ages. All statistical analyses were performed using SPSS 22.0 for Windows (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA).

3. Results

3.1. Nutrient Resorption Patterns in Planted Forests

Globally, mean values of NRE and PRE in the planted forests were $58.98\% \pm 0.53\%$ and $60.21\% \pm 0.77\%$, respectively, with no significant differences between them ($p > 0.05$, Figure 2). Generally, variations in both NRE and PRE showed remarkable differences among different climate zones and tree functional groups. While NRE significantly increased with increasing latitude ($p < 0.05$, Table 1, Figure 3a), PRE did not differ among climate zones ($p > 0.05$, Table 1, Figure 3b). Additionally, NRE did not differ between conifer and broadleaf trees ($p > 0.05$, Table 1, Figure 4a) and the PRE of conifer trees was higher than that of broadleaf trees ($p < 0.05$, Table 1, Figure 4b). Though NRE did not differ between deciduous and evergreen trees ($p > 0.05$, Table 1, Figure 4a), PRE was significantly higher in evergreen than deciduous trees ($p < 0.001$, Table 1, Figure 4b). The NRE of N-fixing trees was much lower than that of non-N-fixing trees ($p < 0.001$, Table 1, Figure 4a) and the PRE of N-fixing trees was much higher than that of non-N-fixing trees ($p < 0.05$, Table 1, Figure 4b).
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*Figure 2.* N and P resorption efficiency (%, NRE and PRE) in planted forests (mean ± SE). Values in the bars represent the number of observations. Different lowercase letters represent statistical differences at $p = 0.05$ between NRE and PRE.
Table 1. ANOVA table of the linear mixed-effects models for N and P resorption efficiency (%, NRE and PRE). *: <0.05, ***: <0.001.

| Resorption | Fixed Term                          | df | F    | p    |
|------------|-------------------------------------|----|------|------|
| NRE        | Climate zones                       | 3  | 3.56 | *    |
| NRE        | Broadleaf vs. Conifer               | 1  | 0.56 |      |
| NRE        | Deciduous vs. Evergreen             | 1  | 2.48 |      |
| NRE        | Non-N-fixing tree vs. N-fixing tree | 1  | 15.85| ***  |
| PRE        | Climate zones                       | 3  | 0.79 |      |
| PRE        | Broadleaf vs. Conifer               | 1  | 4.74 | *    |
| PRE        | Deciduous vs. Evergreen             | 1  | 12.93| ***  |
| PRE        | Non-N-fixing tree vs. N-fixing tree | 1  | 5.06 | *    |

Figure 3. N and P resorption efficiency (%: NRE (a) and PRE (b)) among different climate zones in planted forests (mean ± SE). Values in the bars represent the number of observations. Different lowercase letters represent statistical differences at p = 0.05 among the climate zones.
3.2. Controls of Nutrient Resorption in Planted Forests

Variations in NRE and PRE at the global scale were not controlled by the same explanatory variables. Our multivariate regression analyses showed that NRE was regulated negatively by MAP and positively by green leaf P concentration ($P_{\text{green}}$) and latitude (LAT) ($p < 0.001$, Table 2). For PRE, green leaf N concentration ($N_{\text{green}}$) and $P_{\text{green}}$ had positively and negatively impacted on it, respectively ($p < 0.001$, Table 2). The stand ages of forests had little impact on both NRE and PRE.

Table 2. Stepwise regressions of N and P resorption efficiency (%) with stand age (year, Age), absolute latitude ($^\circ$, LAT), mean annual precipitation (mm, MAP), and green leaf N and P concentrations (mg g$^{-1}$, $N_{\text{green}}$ and $P_{\text{green}}$, respectively) in planted forests. ***: <0.001.

| Variables          | Regression                                      | $n$ | $r^2$  | Excluded Variables                      |
|--------------------|-------------------------------------------------|-----|--------|-----------------------------------------|
| NRE                | $y = 67.48 - 0.01 \times MAP$                   | 476 | 0.09 *** | Age, $N_{\text{green}}, P_{\text{green}}, \text{LAT}$ |
|                    | $y = 62.65 - 0.01 \times MAP + 2.07 \times P_{\text{green}}$ | 476 | 0.12 *** | Age, $N_{\text{green}}, \text{LAT}$       |
|                    | $y = 54.68 - 0.01 \times MAP + 2.36 \times P_{\text{green}} + 0.21 \times LAT$ | 476 | 0.14 *** | Age, $N_{\text{green}}, \text{LAT}$       |
| PRE                | $P_{\text{green}}$                              | 476 | 0.05 *** | Age, $N_{\text{green}}, \text{LAT}, MAP$   |
|                    | $P_{\text{green}}, N_{\text{green}}$            | 476 | 0.07 *** | Age, LAT, MAP                            |
|                    | $y = 66.11 - 4.12 \times P_{\text{green}}$      |     |        |                                         |
|                    | $y = 60.31 - 5.33 \times P_{\text{green}} + 0.41 \times N_{\text{green}}$ | 476 |        |                                         |
4. Discussion

4.1. Nutrient Resorption Patterns in Planted Forests

Our results showed that the mean values of NRE and RPE were 58.98% ± 0.53% and 60.21% ± 0.77%, respectively, for the planted forests worldwide—much higher than the assumed 50% for both NRE and PRE in most models [1]. Consistent with Zhang et al. that the NRE of *Metasequoia glyptostroboides* increased along latitude at the regional scale [27], we found that NRE significantly increased from tropical to boreal zones with increasing latitude. This pattern may result from three main reasons associated with low temperatures at high latitudes. First, decomposition and nutrient mineralization are high at low latitudes, which may subsequently enhance the availability of soil N [43,44]. Second, warmer temperatures and higher water availability could stimulate nutrient movement as well as root N uptake at low latitudes [45]. In high-latitude regions, however, the delivery of mass-flow nutrients from the soil to plant tissues is inhibited, which in turn, may reduce the process of nutrient resorption [20,46]. Third, the metabolic activity of plants may be inhibited at high latitudes [27]. Nutrient resorption is recognized as one of the efficient nutrient conservation mechanisms for plants to adapt to environmental conditions [12]. Plants at high latitudes usually grow quickly in order to finish development in relatively short growing seasons via high nutrient retrieval strategies that can enhance growth rate and lower dependence on the supply of soil nutrients [1,5,47].

Interestingly, PRE did not linearly increase or decrease from tropical to boreal zones, which is in line with the findings of Zhang et al., who observed a curved correlation of PRE with latitude [27]. PRE may largely depend on P availability in soils [13,48]. For example, a negative correlation between PRE and soil P was found in planted forests [27,33,49]. Those results suggest that NRE and PRE are differentially regulated by climate and soil nutrient availability.

The resorption of N and P varied among functional groups. First, the PREs of coniferous trees were significantly higher than those of broadleaf trees. Similar observations were also found in natural forests [18,20]. Coniferous trees are usually planted in nutrient-poor environments. The higher nutrient resorption could thus help them survive via reducing their dependence on soil nutrient supplies [16,50]. High nutrient resorption reflects the adaptation of plants to oligotrophic habitats [10,51]. Interestingly, NRE did not differ between coniferous and broadleaf trees. This may result from the limited number of NRE observations in coniferous planted forests, as also reported by Yuan and Chen [5]. Second, we also found that evergreen tree species presented higher PRE, whereas the NRE of deciduous species did not differ from that of the evergreen species, which is completely in line with the findings in the forest plantations in the Brazilian Amazon [29]. Possible reasons may include that evergreen species (1) are mostly planted in P-limited low latitudes [3,20] and (2) have high nutrient use efficiency and thus low nutrient loss rates associated with prolonged nutrient retention time in leaf biomass and leaf longevity [12,52]. Third, our results showed that N-fixing trees had significantly lower NRE but higher PRE than non-N-fixing trees. N-fixing trees can acquire N from the atmosphere, and they are thus less dependent on the internal N cycling process [53,54]. However, N-fixing trees may contain higher leaf N and may thus enhance PRE in order to maintain N to P stoichiometric homeostasis. These findings indicate that plant functional types exert a strong influence on nutrient resorption.

4.2. Controls of Nutrient Resorption in Planted Forests

In this study, the global-scale NRE and PRE patterns were not mainly regulated by the same ecological factors. Our multivariate regression analyses showed that NRE was primarily controlled by climate variables, supporting the observations of some previous studies [1,20,27,48]. Specially, we found that NRE was regulated negatively by MAP and positively by LAT. Climates (e.g., temperature and precipitation) most commonly affect soil N availability via microbial activities [44]. That is, soil N availability is lower under hostile climates (e.g., dry and cold at high latitude) than under climates that are favored by microbes (e.g., wet and warm at low latitude). Soil nutrient availability usually has a negative impact on nutrient resorption efficiency [41,55], leading to the phenomena
that NRE correlated positively with LAT and negatively with MAP. Contrary to what we found here, Achat et al. showed that climates had only minor effects on the NRE of forests in 102 permanent forest sites across France [9]. The gap may result from the rather narrow range of climatic conditions in the study by Achat et al. [9] compared with the global climate scale considered in our study. In addition, NRE was also regulated positively by green leaf P ($P_{\text{green}}$). N and P in plants are usually closely related, which means changes of one nutrient could alter the other [56,57]. For example, plants may elevate NRE to maintain their N and P stoichiometric homeostasis by increasing $P_{\text{green}}$, and vice versa. Generally, our results suggest that the predicted global warming and changed precipitation regimes may profoundly affect N cycling in planted forests.

For the resorption of P, $P_{\text{green}}$ had positive impacts on it, which is in accordance with the results of Wang et al. [58] and Yan et al. [4], suggesting that coupled relationships between N and P may exist in planted forests. Furthermore, we found that $P_{\text{green}}$ had negative effects on PRE, which is supported by the findings by Kobe et al. [59]. Green leaf nutrient status is supposed to represent soil nutrient availability, whose negative impacts on nutrient resorption efficiency is widely accepted [41,59,60]. Therefore, green leaf nutrient status may negatively relate to nutrient resorption efficiency. We also found that climate variables were not the main explanatory factors for PRE, supporting the idea that soil properties and soil parent materials other than climate had more impact on soil P availability [48]. Green leaf nutrient concentration may be a good indicator for PRE in planted forests.

5. Conclusions

To our best knowledge, this synthesis provides the first comprehensive analysis of NRE and PRE in planted forests worldwide. Generally, NRE significantly increased along climate zones, while no significant differences were observed for PRE among climate zones. These results suggest differential impacts of climates on NRE and PRE. In terms of plant functional groups, the PRE of conifer trees was higher than that of broadleaf trees; evergreen trees had higher PRE than deciduous trees; non-N-fixing trees had higher NRE but lower PRE than N-fixing trees. These findings indicate that plant functional types exert strong impacts on nutrient resorption. Additionally, multivariate regression analyses showed that variations in NRE were mainly regulated by mean annual precipitation (MAP) and latitude, indicating that the predicted global warming and changed precipitation regimes may profoundly affect N cycling in planted forests. Variations in PRE were dominantly controlled by green leaf nutrient concentrations (N and P), suggesting green leaf nutrient concentrations may be good indicators for PRE in planted forests.
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