Growth Performance and Nutritional Quality of Nile tilapia Caged in Northern Benin Water Reservoirs Exposed to Agricultural Effluents
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Abstract

Water reservoirs, widespread in northern Benin providing fish for consumption to the near-by population, are exposed to agricultural pesticides from cotton production. To highlight their aquaculture potential, a 120-days experiment was conducted on growth performance and nutritional quality of Oreochromis niloticus (7.00 ± 0.06 g). A 2 X 2 factorial design with water reservoirs (Batran and Songhàï chosen as site polluted by pesticides and a reference environment, respectively) and cage culture systems (Cage bottom on Seaground, CBOS and cage bottom above Seaground, CBAS) was used. Transparency and dissolved oxygen’s means values were significantly higher in Songhàï (P<0.05) compared to Batran. Final survival rates are quite high and satisfactory (75-99%). Only the water reservoir had a significant influence on fish growth. Fish reared in CBOS at Songhàï significantly displayed the highest values of final mean body weight (70.63 ± 9.90 g), daily weight gain (0.53 ± 0.08 g day−1) and specific growth rate (1.92 ± 0.11 %day−1), while the lowest values were found with the same culture system at Batran (45.62 ± 1.22 g, 0.32 ± 0.01 g day−1, 1.56 ± 0.02% day−1, respectively). Water reservoir location had a significant effect on lipid productive value, total ash and gross energy retention.

Introduction

Local fish production in Benin is recorded to have failed to meet the country’s domestic demand (Rurangwa, van den Berg, Lalèyè, van Duijn, & Rothuis, 2014; Kpenavoun, Gandonou, Adegbidi, & Abokini, 2017). The country annually imports a huge quantity of frozen fish, which results in depreciation of the currency (INSAE, 2016). The sanitary quality of these imported fish with regard to the current conditions of storage, transportation and commercialization remains questionable (Wabi, Gbaguidi, Anihouvi, Azokpota, & Kpodékon, 2012). Therefore, fish farming has been identified as a suitable alternative for increasing the national fish production level and ensuring the sanitary and nutritional safety for the population (MAEP, 2017). To execute this vision, the government’s policy aims at valorizing each region’s aquaculture potentialities such as the numerous northern Benin water reservoirs (Azonsi et al., 2008), which provide the big part of fresh fish to the local population (Pèlèbè et al., not published). In addition, northern part of Benin is the highest...
agricultural production zone in Benin, especially in terms of cotton production (Ton, 2004; Agbohessi, 2014). At the current state of its technical practice, pests’ control of cotton plant requires the application of a large quantity of various chemical pesticides (Matthess, van den Akker, Chougourou, & Midingoyi, 2005; Houndekon, 2014). Water reservoirs are thus exposed to agricultural pesticides (Agbohessi et al., 2015; Pèlèbè, Imorou Toko, Ouattara, Attakpa, & Monthchouwi, 2019), which have become pollutants, generating major concerns in this important agricultural zone (Agbohessi, Imorou Toko, & Kestemont, 2012; Adéchian et al., 2015; Lawani, Kelome, Agassounon Dijikpo Tchibozo, Hounkpe, & Adjagodo, 2017; Zoumenou, 2019). Several families of pesticide molecules are found in these reservoirs. Besides the pyrethroids, neonicotinoids and organophosphorus compounds that are currently authorized in agriculture in Benin, organochlorine pesticides banned since 2009 in Benin are recently detected and quantified in northern Benin water reservoirs (Pèlèbè et al., 2019). In the aquatic ecosystems, certain pesticides molecules remain in the water column, whereas others accumulate in the sediments (Vigno, Arillo, Falugi, Melodia, & Polesello, 2001; Zoumenou, 2019). Consequently, the exposure of fish to these pollutants and its associated-effects are variable according to organism preference for living zone (Agbohessi et al., 2015). Considering the reality that fish production in water reservoirs is a major priority for Benin and its communal authorities, the question however posed is of which does fish farming system optimizes fish production while minimizing the effect of agricultural pesticides polluting the Benin cotton basin water reservoirs on zootechnical and nutritional performance of *O. niloticus* (Linnaeus, 1758), an important fish in the population’s diet? The answer to this question carries a great scientific interest and will have interesting implications on the development of Benin fish culture sector. Therefore, the purpose of the present study was to compare the rearing performance of Nile tilapia in cage bottom layer suspended above seaground without contact to sediment, which enable fish to remain only in the water column, and cage bottom layer set on the seaground with contact to sediment in which fish were able to gain access to both water column and sediments. Experiments were made simultaneously in a polluted water reservoir and a reference water reservoir.

**Materials and Methods**

**Experimental Water Reservoirs**

Experiments were conducted in two water reservoirs with different levels of pollution. The first one is the Batran water reservoir in Mekrou river basin chosen as a polluted environment (Agbohessi et al., 2015; Imorou Toko et al., 2018; Zoumenou, 2019) and located at Banikoara, the main pesticides consumer municipality in Benin (Agbohessi, Imorou Toko, Yabi, Dassoundo-Assogba, & Kestemont, 2011; Gouda, 2018). This water reservoir has dike and concrete weir. The activities around this water reservoir are market gardening, cereal sand cotton production. It is also used for domestic purposes and for livestock watering. The second one is the Songhai water reservoir located outside of cotton-producing basin, at N’Dali in the Okpara river Basin. It was assigned to be the reference ecosystem (Imorou Toko et al., 2018; Zoumenou, 2019). It has a small weir and a compacted earth dike. The activities around this water reservoir are fish farming and market gardening. Songhai water reservoir is very distant (about 300 km) from Batran water reservoir and does not receive any tributary from the Beninese cotton basin composed of Kandi, Gogounou, Banikoara, Kérou et Segbana municipalities (Ton, 2004). These two water reservoirs

![Figure 1](image_url). Schematic of the experimental device in each water reservoir.
do not dry up during the dry season but have similar climatic conditions (Adam & Boko, 1993).

**Culture Systems Description and Experimental Design**

Cage bottom layer suspended above seaground without contact to sediment (Cage bottom above Seaground, CBAS) and cage bottom layer set on the seaground with contact to sediment (Cage bottom on Seaground, CBOS) were used as culture systems. Unlike floating cages, which require the construction of a floating collar that can cover more than half of the total costs incurred (Aizonou, Achoh, & Agadjihouèdé, 2019), these fixed cages are made of net simply attached to frame embedded in the sediment and appeared relatively less expensive (Beveridge, 1985). The experiment had 2 X 2 factorial design. The first factor was related to water reservoirs (Batran and Songhai) and the second factor was culture system (Cage bottom above Seaground, CBAS and Cage bottom on Seaground, CBOS). A train of three (3) CBAS (long x width x height: 1 x 1 x 1.70 m) and another one of three (3) CBOS (long x width x height: 1 x 1 x 3 m) were installed in each water reservoir (Figure 1). The gap between the base of CBAS and the aquatic bottom was 1 m, whereas the base of CBOS was tightly in the sediment with stakes. At the onset of the experiments, culture units were installed in the water reservoirs to have 1 m² water depth; however, during the test, the water level in the reservoirs varied due to the addition of rainwater. To prevent fish loss through jumping or bird predation as well as from thieves and flood, all the culture units were equipped with covers net.

**Experimental fish**

In each water reservoir, mixed sex *O. niloticus* juveniles (average mean weight = 7.00 ± 0.05g) were obtained from “Beniel Fish” fish culture farm located at Abomey-Calavi and were transported in aerated plastic bags to the experimental stations. They were adapted to the experimental conditions for two weeks and were hand-fed with a commercial tilapia pellets floating feed “Le Gouessant” twice a day until 24 h before the beginning of the experiment.

**Experimental Procedures and Water Quality Monitoring**

The study was carried out simultaneously during 120 days (May - October) in the two water reservoirs, and the maintenance of installed culture units was made each month. At the start of the experiment, fish were randomly stocked at 100 juveniles per replicate of both culture systems based on the works of Legendre (1986), Ouattara (2004) and Nobah (2007). Fish feed was purchased from “Petit Poisson SARL”, Abomey-Calavi and stored in a cool and dry place during the experiments. Fish were hand-fed twice a day (between 8-9:00 am and 5-6:00 pm) and six days in a week, to apparent satiation with “Le Gouessant” (type 1: 3.2 mm of diameter, 30 % of crude protein and 9% of crude lipid; type 2: 4 mm of diameter, 32 % of crude protein and 9% of crude lipid). However, the quantity of daily distributed feed was calculated according to Mélard (1986) taking into account the biomass determined at the last fish growth control which was performed each 30 days. At each feeding, care was taken to stop feeding as soon as the fish stopped eating and quantity of the distributed feed was recorded. For fish growth control, 30 fish in each replicated culture unit were sampled early in the morning (7-9:00 am) using a scoop net and batch weighted with an electronic balance (PHILIPS, 5 kg, 0.1g). Dead fish were removed and recorded during the experiment. At the end of the experiment, all fish were harvested and the total number of fish in each replicated culture unit was counted and weighed. 30 fish per culture system (10 from each replicate) were randomly collected for individual weight and total length measurement. All fish were anesthetized with tricaine methane-sulfonate, MS-222 (Sigma-Aldrich) before measurement. At three days intervals, water temperature and dissolved oxygen, pH and water transparency were measured in each replicate of infrastructure using an oxy-thermometer HANNA (HI 9146), a pH-meter WTW 3210 and a Secchi disk graduated in centimeter, respectively. These different measurements were made before feeding the fish.

**Sampling and Biochemical Analyses**

Prior to the commencement of the experiment, 24 fish were randomly collected and pooled in three integrated samples. At the end of the rearing trial, three fish were randomly selected from each infrastructure replicate and pooled to provide one sample. These samples were stored at -80°C (Schreck et Moyle, 1990) for biochemical analyses on the whole scaled and gutted fish at the Nutrition Laboratory of “Centre de Recherches Océanologiques” of Abidjan, Côte d’Ivoire. Dry matter, total ash, crude protein and total lipid were analyzed according to standard methods (AOAC, 2003). Moisture content was estimated by drying the samples at 105°C during 24 h in a drying oven. The crude protein content (N x 6.25) was estimated using the Kjeldahl method. Total lipid content was determined after petroleum ether extraction using Soxhlet system. Ash content was determined by combusting samples in a muffle furnace at 550°C for 12 h. Three trials were carried out for each analyzed compound. Carbohydrate was estimated by subtracting crude protein, total lipid, moisture and ash values from 100 (Jobling, 1983). Note that we have to take into account the loss of moisture to find in the wet matter (fresh fish), the content of an element “A” measured in the dry matter by applying the
following formula: \( A \) (\% fresh material) = \( A \) (\% dry matter) \( \times \) (dry matter content / 100).

### Zootechnical Performance

Survival and growth parameters (survival rate: SR, final mean body weight: \( W_f \), variation coefficients of initial and final means body weights: \( CV_i \) and \( CV_f \), daily weight gain: DWG, specific growth rate: SGR and condition factor: \( K \)), feed utilization parameters (feed efficiency: \( FE \), protein efficiency ratio: \( PER \), protein productive value: \( PPV \), lipid productive value: \( LPV \)) were calculated as follows:

\[
\begin{align*}
SR \ (\%) &= 100 \times (\text{final fish number}) / (\text{initial fish number}) \\
W_f (g) &= B_f N_f \text{ where } B_f = \text{final fish biomass (g)} \text{ and } N = \text{number of survivors} \\
CV_i \text{ and } CV_f \ (\%) &= 100 \ (SD \text{ mean} - 1) \text{ where } SD = \text{standard deviation} \\
\text{DWG (g day}^{-1}) &= (W_f - W_i) \ t^{-1} \text{ where } W_i = \text{initial mean body weight (g)} \text{ and } t = \text{duration of experiment (days)} \\
\text{SGR (\% day}^{-1}) &= 100 \times [\ln (W_f) - \ln (W_i)] t^{-1} \\
K \ (\%) &= 100 \ W_f LT^{-3} \text{ where } W_i \text{ is in g and LT= fish total length (cm)} \\
\text{FE} &= (B_f - B_i) \ (\text{weight of total feed used})^{-1} \\
\text{PER} &= (B_f - B_i) \ (\text{weight of total used proteins})^{-1} \\
\text{PPV} &= [(\text{crude protein of final fish } \times B_f) - (\text{crude protein of initial fish } \times B_i)] / (\text{crude protein of feed } \times \text{weight of total used feed}) \\
\text{LPV} &= [(\text{total lipid of final fish } \times B_f) - (\text{total lipid of initial fish } \times B_i)] / (\text{total lipid of feed } \times \text{weight of total used feed})
\end{align*}
\]

### Gross Energy Content and Retention

Gross energy content was calculated by making assumptions of the appropriate caloric conversion terms for each fish body component. Calculations were based on Jobling (1983; 1994) and the following values were used: 23.7 kJ.g\(^{-1}\) for protein, 39.5 kJ.g\(^{-1}\) for lipid and 17.2 kJ.g\(^{-1}\) for carbohydrate. Gross energy retention (GER, kJ/g) was computed according to following formula: \( \text{GER (\%) = } 100 \times \left( \text{(Gross energy of final fish } \times B_f) - (\text{Gross energy of initial fish } \times B_i) \right) / (\text{Gross energy of feed } \times \text{weight of total used feed}) \). Feed gross energy (18.5 kJ.g\(^{-1}\)) was provided by the manufacturer of the used feed namely SICA DU GOUDESSANT company (France).

### Statistical Analysis

Results were expressed as mean ± standard deviation. To test difference of physical and chemical, zootechnical and nutritional data between water reservoirs and culture systems’ modalities, two-way analysis of variance (ANOVA II) was used. Multiple comparisons of both main factor effects and their interaction were done using Tukey Honest Significant Difference test. Differences were regarded as significant when \( P<0.05 \). All statistical analyses were performed using STATISTICA 6.1 software (Statsoft, Inc.).

### Results

#### Physical and Chemical Parameters in Tested Infrastructures at the Water Reservoirs

Water quality parameters of both water reservoirs were presented in table 1. Measured values were comparable between the two water reservoirs except for dissolved oxygen and disk Secchi transparency, which were significantly higher in Songhaï water reservoir (\( P<0.05 \)). There was no significant difference between culture systems for water quality in each water reservoir.

### Zootechnical performance

Overall, survival rates were between 75% and 99% (Figure 2) and was significantly influenced by the factor “water reservoir”. Recorded values were higher in Songhai compared to Batran. The increase in mean body weight during experimental period is shown graphically in figure 3. Table 2 shows the mean values of growth and feed utilization parameters at the end of the experiments.

### Table 1. Water quality parameters during experiments

| Parameters          | Batran water reservoir (Agriculture polluted reservoir\(^a\)) | Songhaï water reservoir (Reference ecosystem\(^b\)) |
|---------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------|
|                     | CBOS             | CBAS             | CBOS             | CBAS             |
| T (°C)              | 28.37 ± 2.28\(^a\) | 28.66 ± 2.27\(^a\) | 28.69 ± 1.58\(^a\) | 28.77 ± 1.56\(^a\) |
| O\(_2\) (mg/l)      | 3.11 ± 1.43\(^a\) | 3.16 ± 1.35\(^a\) | 4.96 ± 1.19\(^a\) | 4.82 ± 1.10\(^a\) |
| pH                  | 6.82 ± 0.58\(^a\) | 6.92 ± 0.52\(^a\) | 6.84 ± 0.28\(^a\) | 6.70 ± 0.41\(^a\) |
| Transparency (cm)   | 19.03 ± 16.73\(^a\) | 18.52 ± 16.51\(^a\) | 31.22 ± 4.57\(^b\) | 33.62 ± 4.62\(^b\) |

\(^a\) Water reservoir had significant effect on some parameters; in each table line, values sharing a same superscript letter are not significantly different (\( P>0.05 \)); \(^b\) Agbohessi et al., 2015; Imorou Toko et al., 2018; Zoumenou, 2019; \(^c\) Imorou Toko et al., 2018; Zoumenou, 2019. CBOS: Cage bottom on Seaground, CBAS: Cage bottom above Seaground.
Weights of initial fish were very homogeneous (P>0.05), whereas the heterogeneity of the final weight was relatively high in fish reared in CBAS regardless of the water reservoir (Table 2). Only water reservoir had a significant effect on growth parameters namely Wf, DWG and SGR (P<0.05) (Table 2). They were significantly higher in Songhaï as compared to Batran. The condition index did not vary significantly between culture systems for the two water reservoirs (Table 2).

Concerning feed utilization parameters, only LPV varied significantly between the two water reservoirs (Table 2).

Proximate Body Biochemical Composition

Table 3 presents the cultured fish body biochemical composition. Water reservoir had significant effect on total ash. There were a significant difference between Songhaï and Batran for both culture systems (P<0.05).

Energy Content and Energy Retention

Table 4 displays the average gross energy content of the fish and the contribution of lipid, protein and carbohydrate to its formation. Water reservoir had no significant effect on the gross energy content in fish (Table 4). Regardless of the water reservoir and the culture system, protein have the greatest contribution to the total value of the gross energy compared to the two other nutrients. Between the two water reservoirs, the contribution percentages of lipid to the gross energy formation are comparable. However, these contribution percentages are higher for protein and carbohydrate at Songhaï and Batran, respectively (Table 4).

Water reservoir had a significant effect on the gross energy retention in fish without there being no difference between the culture systems in the same reservoir. The fish reared in the Batran water reservoir were exhibited the highest energy retention values (Figure 4).

Discussion

The cotton basin of northern Benin is essentially an agricultural area and pesticides are the major pollutants that contaminate the water reservoirs. In the present study, we evaluated for first time, the biotechnical parameters in *O. niloticus* reared in two different culture
Table 2. Growth and feed utilization parameters of *O. niloticus* reared for 120 days in northern Benin water reservoirs (n = 3)

| Parameters          | Batran water reservoir (Agriculture polluted reservoir) | Songhai water reservoir (Reference ecosystem) |
|---------------------|---------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|
|                     | CBOS          | CBAS            | CBOS          | CBAS            |
| W (g)               | 6.98 ± 0.05 a | 7.02 ± 0.07 a   | 7 ± 0.09 a    | 7 ± 0.00 a      |
| CV (%)              | 0.71          | 1               | 1.31          | 0.04            |
| Wt (g)              | 45.62 ± 1.22 a| 48.42 ± 13.31 a | 70.63 ± 9.90 b| 64.65 ± 15.31 b|
| CV (%)              | 2.67          | 27.49           | 14.02         | 23.68           |
| DWG (g day⁻¹)       | 0.32 ± 0.01 a | 0.35 ± 0.11 a   | 0.53 ± 0.08 a | 0.48 ± 0.13 b   |
| SGR (% day⁻¹)       | 1.56 ± 0.02 a | 1.58 ± 0.23 a   | 1.92 ± 0.11 b | 1.84 ± 0.21 b   |
| K (%)               | 1.78 ± 0.05 a | 1.77 ± 0.05 a   | 1.69 ± 0.05 a | 1.72 ± 0.13 a   |
| FE                  | 2.06 ± 0.08 a | 2.21 ± 0.48 a   | 1.63 ± 0.25 a | 1.61 ± 0.59 a   |
| PER                 | 6.65 ± 0.26 a | 7.14 ± 1.56 a   | 5.25 ± 0.80 a | 5.21 ± 1.94 a   |
| PPV                 | 0.73 ± 0.37 a | 0.72 ± 0.44 a   | 0.75 ± 0.17 a | 0.70 ± 0.27 a   |
| LPV                 | 1.10 ± 0.18 a | 1.21 ± 0.20 a   | 0.81 ± 0.14 a | 0.72 ± 0.27 b   |

Water reservoir had significant effect on some parameters; in each table line, values sharing a same superscript letter are not significantly different (P>0.05). *Agbohessi et al., 2015; Imorou Toko et al., 2018; Zoumenou, 2019. bImorou Toko et al., 2018; Zoumenou, 2019. CBOS: Cage bottom on Seaground, CBAS: Cage bottom above Seaground.

Table 3. Proximate biochemical composition (% of fresh whole scaled and gutted fish matter basis) of *O. niloticus* reared for 120 days in northern Benin water reservoirs (n=3)

| Parameters          | Batran water reservoir (Agriculture polluted reservoir) | Songhai water reservoir (Reference ecosystem) |
|---------------------|---------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|
|                     | CBOS          | CBAS            | CBOS          | CBAS            |
| Moisture            | 76.09 ± 0.27 a| 76.36 ± 0.68 a  | 76.46 ± 0.19 a| 76.66 ± 1.08 a  |
| Total ash           | 3.13 ± 0.07 ad| 2.96 ± 0.20 ad  | 3.77 ± 0.26 bc| 3.90 ± 0.03 ab  |
| Total lipid         | 4.41 ± 0.47 a | 4.49 ± 0.11 a   | 4.31 ± 0.18 a | 3.87 ± 0.37 a   |
| Crude protein       | 10.03 ± 4.80 a| 9.49 ± 5.22 a   | 13.39 ± 1.09 a| 12.51 ± 0.57 a  |
| Carbohydrate        | 6.34 ± 4.89 a | 6.70 ± 4.81 a   | 2.07 ± 1.09 a | 3.05 ± 0.79 a   |

Water reservoir had significant effect on total ash; in the second line of the table, values sharing at least one superscript letter in common are not significantly different (P>0.05). *Agbohessi et al., 2015; Imorou Toko et al., 2018; Zoumenou, 2019. bImorou Toko et al., 2018; Zoumenou, 2019. CBOS: Cage bottom on Seaground, CBAS: Cage bottom above Seaground.

Table 4. Gross energy content (% of fresh whole scaled and gutted fish matter basis) of *O. niloticus* reared for 120 days in northern Benin water reservoirs (n=3)

| Parameters          | Batran water reservoir (Agriculture polluted reservoir) | Songhai water reservoir (Reference ecosystem) |
|---------------------|---------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|
|                     | CBOS          | CBAS            | CBOS          | CBAS            |
| Gross energy content| 5.21 ± 0.26 a | 5.17 ± 0.41 a   | 5.23 ± 0.09 a | 5.02 ± 0.24 a   |
| Lipid%              | 33.45         | 34.24           | 32.54         | 30.46           |
| Protein%            | 45.61         | 43.49           | 60.65         | 59.08           |
| Carbohydrate%       | 20.94         | 22.27           | 6.81          | 10.46           |

Water reservoir had no significant effect on the gross energy content; in the first line of the table, values sharing a same superscript letter are not significantly different (P>0.05). *Agbohessi et al., 2015; Imorou Toko et al., 2018; Zoumenou, 2019. CBOS: Cage bottom on Seaground, CBAS: Cage bottom above Seaground.

systems (Cage bottom on Seaground, CBOS and Cage bottom above Seaground, CBAS) installed in water reservoirs located in and outside of the cotton basin (Batran and Songhai, respectively). Measured values of temperature, dissolved oxygen and pH during experiments in both water reservoirs were within the accepted range by *O. niloticus*, 21-30°C, > 2.3 mg/l and 6-9, respectively (Balarin & Hatton, 1979; Mélard, 1986; Kestemont, Micha, & Falter, 1989; Ross, 2000). However, water transparency seemed to be low in Batran (Colman *et al.*, 1992). But, this could not be a problem to the technical feasibility of Nile tilapia culture in the northern Benin water reservoirs because of its adaptive ability to a wide range of environmental conditions (El-Saidy & Gaber, 2005). The high survival rates (75-99%) of fish confirmed this hypothesis. In fact, values measured for this parameter can be considered as good because they were not less than 75% of the initial number of fish as Morissens, Roche, and Aglinglo (1986) recommended. The significant effect of water reservoir observed on fish survival may be due to poor water transparency in Batran. Nevertheless, our results are better than those of Legendre, Hem, and Cissé (1989) and Ouattara, Teugels, N’Douba, Lépinard, Morisset, Gillet, and Philippart (2003), 77.40% and 83% for a cichlid fish, *Sarotherodon melanotheron* reared in cage-enclosures in Ebrié lagoon and floating cages in Lake Ayamé, respectively. Gibran *et al.* (2008) also reported a high survival rate (95%) for...
mixed sex *O. niloticus* during a 150-day rearing in cages in Kuriftu Lake.

Variation coefficients of the final mean body weight are relatively high in fish reared in CBAS, which may be due to a non-identic accessibility of reared fish to the given feed (Garcia et al., 2013).

The significant effect of the factor “water reservoir” on the measured growth parameters in *O. niloticus* could be related to the difference in the level of contamination by pesticides since Batran water reservoir, in which growth parameters are low, is more contaminated with very dangerous pesticide residues than Songhai water reservoir (Imorou Toko et al., 2018; Zoumenou, 2019; Zoumenou et al., 2019). But the fact that the majority of feed efficiency values are similar in all treatments also suggests that fish growth was in accordance with amount of feed that they consume. The statistical similarity existing between fish reared in CBAS and CBOS regardless of the water reservoir for growth parameters could be explained by the fact that *O. niloticus* is a pelagic fish and prefers living and eating at water column. Therefore, this species living conditions can be considered as similar in the two fish culture systems infrastructures. However, the water circulation below the suspended cage (CBAS) constitute an advantage compared to the cage with bottom situated directly on seaground (CBOS). It may help to avoid material (fecal material, uneaten diet, etc.) accumulation on the bottom that could lower the dissolved oxygen level in the cage environment.

Despite the variability in the experimental conditions (initial mean body weight, species, rearing conditions and quality of the food used), we compared growth pattern of Nile tilapia in the present work with other studies.

Regardless of the water reservoir and infrastructure, the obtained final mean body weights were lower than the value 104.7 g obtained by Ouattara et al. (2003) during 336 day-rearing in cages of monosex males of *S. melanotheron*. DWG at Songhai are superior to 0.36 g day\(^{-1}\) and 0.29 g day\(^{-1}\) respectively reported by Legendre et al. (1989) for mixed sex rearing of *S. melanotheron* in cage-enclosures installed in Ebrié lagoon and Ouattara et al. (2003) for monosex males rearing of the same species. They are also greater than 0.30 g day\(^{-1}\) obtained in *Tilapia guineensis* reared in cage-enclosures in Ebrié lagoon (Legendre, 1986). DWG obtained with CBAS was lower compared to 1.01 g day\(^{-1}\) exhibited by mixed sex juveniles of Nile tilapia during their rearing at 100 individuals.m\(^{-3}\) in cages installed in Lac kuriftu, Ethiopia (Gibtan, Getahun, & Mengistou, 2008). Contrary, DWG obtained with CBOS at Batran was feeble while those obtained at Songhai with the same culture system was high compared to 0.46 gday\(^{-1}\) reported by Chakraborty, Mazumdar, Chatterji, and Banerjee (2011) with males of *O. niloticus* reared in pens during six months. Values of SGR are regardless of the water reservoir and culture system superior to 0.62 %day\(^{-1}\) from Ouattara et al. (2003) who fed *S. melanotheron* with local feed containing 30% of crude protein and 0.97% day\(^{-1}\) in Nile tilapia (Gibtan et al., 2008). They are also high compared to 0.85% day\(^{-1}\) and 0.74% day\(^{-1}\) observed during a 140-day experimental rearing of monosex males (100 individuals.m\(^{-3}\)) of *T. zillii* and *T. guineensis*, respectively (Nobah et al., 2008). But, 1.62% day\(^{-1}\) observed as SGR by Nunoo and Asase (2017) in *O. niloticus* reared in cages for 82 days is quite high compared to our results at Batran. Overall, findings from the present study reinforced the knowledge that among all tilapia species, *O. niloticus* is the most suitable for fish farming because, despite the fact that we used mixed sex juveniles, all calculated growth parameters are good compared with the other rearing monosex male’s species.

In aquaculture, fish growth potential and production are closely related to the efficiency with which they valorize distributed feed. For this, feed utilization assessment is important. It is known that a good feed utilization performance leads to a positive impact in reducing the fish production cost. Overall,
feed utilization parameters values from our work are very interesting compared to the values obtained in tilapia *S. melanotheron* and *T. guineensis* reared with local manufacturing feed in floating cages and cage-enclosures in Ivory Coast (Legendre, 1986; Ouattara, 2004; Nobah, 2007). Calculated FE-PER are higher than those noticed with Nile tilapia males, 0.26-0.88 in cages and 0.28-0.92 in pens by Chakraborty and Banerjee (2009). FE are greatly beyond the interval 0.33 to 1 mostly found in fish culture (Coche, 1982; Naylor et al., 2000). This recorded good performance could be linked to the quality of the distributed feed and the feeding method used that did not result in feed loss. “Le Gouessant” is a balanced and extruded fish feed, known for their high stability in water and better nutrients use in fish compared to a single pelleted diet (Glencross et al., 2008). In addition, the best nutrients utilization and fish growth in this study could also be influenced by the presence of periphytic community which significantly complement exogenous feed and contribute to the efficiency of their use by fish in in captivity (Azim, Verdegem, Mantingh, Van Dam, & Beveridge, 2003; Bamba, Ouattara, Moreau, & Gourente, 2007). For instance, in floating net-cages fixed in an earthen pond, Nile tilapia fed with the lower protein diets and periphyton performed better than those fed with control diet containing high level of protein (Eman, Shymaa, Elham, Abdel-Fattah, & Asmaa, 2015). Irrespective of the rearing system and water reservoir, protein had a large part in the gross energy formation in fish. This is due to the fact that, based on our biochemical results, protein was a major component in fish as compared to lipid and carbohydrate. Fish produced in northern Benin water reservoirs will have a significant contribution to the improvement of nutritional status of consumers. We also noticed that protein had trend to be more in pens in which, fish had higher final body weight. Similar observations have been made by Abdelghany and Mohammed (2002) who reported that body protein content increases with wet weight in Nile tilapia. The present study revealed that lipid productive value and energy retention was significantly higher at Batran water reservoir. This indicates that lipid was a preferred energy reserve for deposition and further mobilization in *O. niloticus* living in stressed aquatic ecosystems. According to Froyland, Lie, and Berge (2000), the main function of lipid in fish is to generate energy in the form of ATP provided by the oxidation of fatty acids. However, it is important to remark that values of lipid in all fish were less than 5% of their fresh matter and confirmed that Nile tilapia produced in northern Benin water reservoirs remains a lean fish (Suriah, Huah, Hassan, & Daud, 1995). Furthermore, it is well to notice that with both culture systems installed in northern Benin water reservoirs, the fish harvested, contain acceptable levels of pesticide residues and their consumption has no pesticides potential adverse effects on human health (Pelèbe, 2019).

**Conclusion**

This study constitutes the first investigation on the potential of the numerous northern Benin water reservoirs for fish farming. From our findings, cages farming of *O. niloticus* is technically feasible in the water reservoirs located both in the cotton basin and outside in northern Benin. Fish growth is better in cage bottom layer set on the seafloor with contact to sediment at Songhai water reservoir situated outside the basin cotton and similarity exists between the two culture systems regardless of the water reservoir location. Considering zootechnical performance, nutritional quality obtained and ecotoxicological risks, only cage bottom layer suspended above seafloor without contact to sediment can be recommended for *O. niloticus* culture inside the cotton basin whereas, both culture systems can be used for Nile tilapia rearing outside the cotton basin.
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