Composites of Styrene-butadiene Block-copolymers Reinforced with WEEE Polystyrene Fraction
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The high global production of electric and electronic equipment increases in the same manner the amount of the waste that needs to be recycled. Special attention is given to the plastics recycling from the waste in addition to the metals recovery that brings economic advantages. Considering that the plastic amount contains about 80% polystyrene polymers, the paper presents a recycling study of this fraction as reinforcing agent for a styrene-butadiene block copolymer. In order to reduce the melt viscosity, some of the composites were extended with paraffin-naphthenic "heavy white oil". The blends were characterized by mechanical testing, thermal and dynamo-mechanical analysis. Based on the resulted properties, it can be concluded that the extended and reinforced composites can be used as material for shoe soles.
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Electric and electronic equipment had a major segment of the global production in the last decades, overtaking even the automotive industry [1]. As a result of this particularly high production, the amount of the waste of electrical and electronic equipment (WEEE) increased at the same measure [1, 2], leading to an intense concern of researchers to recovery and recycling of both the metallic component and the majority polymeric fraction. Considering that polystyrene and its copolymers (acrylonitrile-butadiene-styrene ABS, high-impact polystyrene HIPS) represent about 80% from the total recovered polystyrene fraction [3, 4], the researches focused on the individual and collective recycling of these components [5-9].

Individual mechanical recycling with and without compatibilizer or impact-strength modifiers has been the most extensively studied method because it is a cost-effective and easily achieved [10, 11]. Polymers thus processed show superior properties only in the case of advanced separation of polymer assortments [8], which is difficult to achieve by using the current separation methods.

The mechanical recycling in the melt state of binary mixtures (ABS, HIPS) or of the total polystyrene copolymers without additional components leads to materials with modest physical-mechanical properties. These composites are however used in the production of items that do not require special performance properties such as clothes hangers, plates, organizers boxes, water collection tanks [11, 12].

An important method to recover the WEEE plastics consists in their use as additives in concrete and bitumen especially for road coverings [1, 10, 13-15].

In order to diversify the use domain of the polystyrene fraction in its entirety, the paper presents the study regarding the replacement of the polystyrene used as reinforcing material of styrene-butadiene block-copolymers leading to composites with good thermoplastic processability. These composites are the basis for the production of shoe soles injected directly onto the footwear and of the insulating casings of electrical cables that require high malleability.

Experimental part

Materials and methods

The reinforcing study was achieved using a star styrene-butadiene block-copolymer (SBS D1184 CM supplied by Kraton) with a 30% polystyrene content and a molecular mass of 270,000 g/mole (determined by GPC). The polystyrene fraction used as reinforcing agent was separated from WEEE by extraction at room temperature using tetrahydrofuran (THF) as solvent. The dissolved fraction represents about 78% of the total collected polymers and had the following composition: PSt 10 %, ABS 48 %, HIPS 15 %, other polymers 5 %.

In order to reduce the melt viscosity, some of the composites were extended with paraffin-naphthenic "heavy white oil" with the characteristics presented in Table 1. An important fact to mention is that the paraffin-naphthenic oil is incompatible with the polystyrene phase, being distributed only in the polybutadiene phase where it acts as an extender [16-18].

Table 1

| Nr. crt. | Property                                      | Values   |
|---------|-----------------------------------------------|----------|
| 1.      | Color                                         | Colorless|
| 2.      | Density at 23 °C, g/cm²                       | 0.887    |
| 3.      | Refraction index at 25 °C                     | 1.4740   |
| 4.      | Average molecular weight, g/mole              | 418      |
| 5.      | Kinematic viscosity at 38 °C, m²/h            | 0.134    |
| 6.      | Structural composition, %                     |          |
|        | C paraffinic                                   | 66.6     |
|        | C naphthenic                                   | 29.9     |
|        | C aromatic                                     | 3.5      |
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The reinforcement study of styrene-butadiene block copolymers (SBS) with the WEEE polystyrene fraction was performed in the tetrahydrofuran extraction solution at a total polymer concentration of 20-25%. The dosing of the extension oil was maintained constant at 25%. The polystyrene fraction was dosed in steps of 5% until 30%, the maximum limit being imposed by maintaining the polybutadiene as the continuous phase of the composite material [19, 20]. The desolventation of mixtures was achieved by centrifugal casting at 50-60 °C to obtain composite films of about 1 mm thickness. The removal of residual solvent from the films was carried out in a vacuum oven at 60°C. The films thus obtained were used to the physical-mechanical and thermal characterization of the composites.

The tensile properties were determined using a FPZ-100 dynamometer at a stretching speed of 500 mm/min, on specimens stamped from the tested films, according to SR EN ISO 527-96.

The composites hardness was achieved using a Shore hardness durometer, model PosiTector SHD-A, DeFelsko, USA.

The glass transition temperature (T_g) of the materials was determined using a DSC Q2000 Calorimeter (TA Instruments). The method parameters were: Modulation ± 1.06 °C every 40s, Helium - purged gas with 50 ml/min., Ramp 10 °C/min to 300 °C, 100µL T zero Aluminum pan.

The dynamic mechanical analysis (DMA) was achieved using a Q800 V20.24 DMA instrument (TA Instruments), in air atmosphere, with 1 Hz frequency, at -105 - 155 °C, and speed of 5 °C/min. Storage modulus (E’), loss modulus (E” ) and Tan δ temperature dependence was recorded.

Thermal stability was analyzed by thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) using a Q5000IR instrument (TA Instruments). Method: 1) Ramp 10°C/min to 700°C; 2) Select gas 2; 3) Isothermal for 5 min; purge gas 1: Nitrogen; purge gas 2: Air; sample pan: Platinum 100µL.

Results and discussions

The polystyrene fraction solubilized from WEEE added into the styrene-butadiene block-copolymer is not compatible with the polybutadiene continuous phase although some copolymers (ABS, HIPS) contain small polybutadiene sequences, as evidenced by the practically constant Tg values of the polybutadiene phase, according to the data presented in Table 2. The component polymers of the polystyrene fraction have a much higher molecular weight than the polystyrene blocks components of SBS, being thus incompatible [21-23] and distributed as separate domains in the continuous polybutadiene phase.

The Tg increase for the polystyrene phase with the dosage of WEEE fraction (Table 2, fig. 1) is due to the higher energy necessary for the Tg transition of polymers with superior molecular mass.

The DMA analysis of extended and non-extended styrene-butadiene block copolymer composites highlights the existence of two distinct vitreous transitions at about 108 and 125 °C for non-extended samples, respectively 96 and 128 °C for oil extended samples (figs. 1 and 2). Taking into account that the polymers Tg increases with the molecular weight, the first transition corresponds to the polystyrene domains with a low molecular weight (20,000 g/mole) components of SBS and the second transition is attributed to the mixture of WEEE polystyrene polymers with molecular weight higher than 100,000 g/mole. This thermal behavior confirms the incompatibility of the polystyrene blocks of SBS with the polystyrene fraction of WEEE.

The reinforcement effect of the polystyrene fraction recovered from WEEE is similar to that of the virgin
polystyrene [20, 24] and is exhibited by the decrease in tensile strength and elongation at break, more pronounced at its first dosages, as can be seen from Figures 3 and 4. The more pronounced decrease of these properties at the first doses of polystyrene blend is due to the more significant reduction of the entanglement in this first reinforcement phase. At higher dosage, entanglement is less affected, the dispersion of the polystyrene fraction being achieved especially by increasing the particle volume to the detriment of increasing their number [25], so that the modifying effect on these parameters is more attenuated.

The reinforcement effect produced by the WEEE recovered polystyrene fraction on the styrene-butadiene block-copolymer increases in intensity with this fraction dosage for both the oil-free elastomer and the extended composite, as can be seen from the variation of the storage modulus (figs. 5 and 6) and the loss modulus (figs. 7 and 8).

The hardness of the styrene-butadiene block-copolymer composites is presented in figure 9. The linear increase with the polystyrene fraction dosage follows the rule of two non-reactive components blend, as expected.

According to literature, the materials generally used in producing shoe soles have to presents as main average mechanical properties [26, 27]:

- Tensile strength: 5 -10 MPa;
- Elongation at break: 600 -700% (up to 1000% for sport shoes);
- Hardness: 50 - 80 Shore A.

Analyzing the variation of mechanical properties depending on the WEEE recovered polystyrene fraction, it can be seen that the styrene-butadiene block-copolymer extended with 25% paraffin-naphthenic oil and reinforced with 15-25% polystyrene waste corresponds in terms of mechanical properties for use as masterbatch for the production of shoe soles. Special attention is paid to sports footwear, due to its good adhesion, especially to wet paving and synthetic sport coverings.

**Conclusions**

The study of obtaining and characterization the styrene-butadiene block copolymers composites reinforced with WEEE polystyrene fraction has established the incompatibility of this polymer blend with the polystyrene block components of the elastomer. The mixture of polystyrene polymers disperses as domains in the...
The composites extending with 25% oil (reported only for SBS) was necessary in order to improve the melt processing of composites by significantly reducing their melt viscosity.

The composites based on styrene-butadiene block-copolymer extended with 25% paraffin-naphthenic oil and containing 15-25% polystyrene fraction recovered from WEEE lead to the production of composite materials that can be used as material for shoe soles that can be injected directly onto the footwear.
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