Comparative Assessment of Physical and Chemical Characteristics of Prickly Pear Seed Oil from *Opuntia ficus-indica* and *Opuntia megacantha* Varieties
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The prickly pear (Opuntia spp.) is an important plant in the economies of arid and semiarid areas, considering its low agronomic requirements and high water use efficiency. Characterizing the chemical composition of this plant will open new avenues for food, pharmaceutical, and cosmetic applications. In this context, this study examined the physical and chemical parameters of fruit seed oils of two prickly pear species from Rhamna area located in the center of Morocco: *Opuntia ficus-indica* (OFI), represented by the varieties “Safra” and “Aakria,” and *Opuntia megacantha* (OM), represented by the variety “Derbana.” The evaluated parameters included oil content, free acidity, specific extinction coefficients (K₂₃₂ and K₂₇₀), pigment content, fatty acid, and triglyceride composition. The seed oil contents of the three varieties “Safra,” “Aakria,” and “Derbana” were 8.09%, 8.74%, and 8.04%, respectively. OM (“Derbana”) seed oil was the most stable. The three studied varieties had higher contents of α-pheophytin and carotenoids than that of chlorophyll. Oil from the “Aakria” variety was distinguished by having the highest contents of α-pheophytin and chlorophyll. Significant differences in some fatty acid and triglyceride contents were noted. The major fatty acids of the three varieties were linoleic acid (60.55%–63.46%), followed by oleic acid (18.88%–21.81%) and palmitic acid (13.03%–13.75%). Furthermore, the chromatographic profiles of the triglycerides have shown the dominance of trilinolein (LLL, 24.33%–26.49%) and oleoyl-dilinoleoyl-glycerol (OLL, 20.92%–21.92%). Some triglycerides could be considered species markers, especially OLL, dipalmitoyl-linoleoyl-glycerol (PPL), oleoyl-linoleoyl-linolenoyl-glycerol and palmitoyl-oleoyl-dilinoleoyl-glycerol fraction (OLLn + PnLL), and stearoyl-dioleoyl-glycerol (SOO). This study provides a basis for qualitatively evaluating the therapeutic and cosmetic potential of prickly pear derivatives and for establishing quality standards of seed oil derived from the two species studied.

1. Introduction

The prickly pear (Opuntia spp.) belongs to the Cactaceae family. Native to Mexico, it was introduced into the Mediterranean region around the end of the 15th century and into North Africa around the end of the 16th century [1]. *Opuntia ficus-indica* (OFI) is a spineless and dominant species. It is found in different varieties that differ in color and that are harvested in varying stages of ripeness. *Opuntia megacantha* (OM) is a thorny species that is mainly used for...
farm fencing. The seeds are used for oil extraction [2]. Phenology studies of these species have shown that they produce vegetative and floral buds during the spring and undergo long periods of fruit development in the summer [3].

Currently, the prickly pear is of great interest not only for its ecological roles but also for its potential in food, industrial, pharmaceutical, and cosmetic applications. The literature reports promising information concerning the biological activities and chemical composition of different parts of this plant (fruit pulp, cladodes, seeds, and flowers). Pulps, peels, and cladodes are rich in bioactive compounds, especially antioxidants, including vitamin C, vitamin E, carotenoids, flavonoids, glutathione, and pigments [4–6].

The seeds constitute 2–3.8% of the fruit weight [7]. The oil is rich in polyunsaturated fatty acids. Linoleic acid was established as a major fatty acid in seed oils, followed by oleic and palmitic acids. Myristic, stearic, and arachidonic acids were detected in OFI seed oil in low amounts [8–10]. Significant levels of vitamins (tocopherol and vitamin K1) and sterols were also found in this oil. Beta-sitosterol was the sterol marker, accounting for 72% of the total. The major tocopherol is gamma-tocopherol, representing an average of 90% of total tocopherols, compared with delta-tocopherol (9%) and alpha-tocopherol (1.8%) [11]. Furthermore, prickly pear seed oil has a rich aroma because of acids, alcohols, aldehydes, esters, hydrocarbons, ketones, and other compounds, such as 2-propenal, acetic acid, pentanal, 1-pentanol, hexanal, 2-hexenal, heptanal, 2-heptenal (Z), octanal, 2-octenal, nonanal, 2,4-decadienal (E,E), and trans-4,5-epoxy-(E)-2-decenal [12]. However, the chemical composition of this oil, particularly its fatty acid and tocopherol composition, changes according to geographic origin [13].

Previous studies have conducted useful chemical investigations to evaluate the therapeutic and cosmetic potential of prickly pear seed oil. However, for other oils sold worldwide, such as olive oil and argan oil, quality standards have been established; such standards for prickly pear seed oil are lacking. Therefore, extensive studies on the purity and quality of prickly pear seed oil and its shelf life are essential to promote the quality and utility of this product on a commercial scale. A recent study focused on the sanitary and commercial quality related to the oxidative stability under different storage conditions and adulteration detection of prickly pear seed oil, especially in OFI species [14].

The present study aims to establish a comparative assessment through the physical and chemical characterizations of prickly pear seed oils of three varieties belonging to two species of the prickly pear (Opuntia spp.): OM species locally called “Derbana” and OFI species represented by the varieties “Safra” and “Aakria” from Rhamna, located in the center of Morocco. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first study to compare seed oils of these prickly pear species. The results of this investigation will also provide useful information for future studies evaluating the therapeutic and cosmetic potential of prickly pear seed oil.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Plant Material and Oil Extraction. Two prickly pear species (Opuntia spp.) collected from the Rhamna region (central Morocco) were studied.

(i) Two varieties of OFI: (i) the variety with yellow orange pulp locally called “Safra” or “Mles” and (ii) the variety with carmine red pulp, locally called “Aakria.”

(ii) OM: locally called “Derbana” or “El-Hercha” [2].

Homrani Bakali et al. [7] reported that two prickly pear types, spineless and spiny, are represented, respectively, by Opuntia ficus-indica f. ficus-indica (OFI) and Opuntia ficus-indica f. amyclaea, taxonomic synonym (homotypic) of Opuntia megacantha (OM), and nomenclatural synonym (heterotypic) of Opuntia amyclaea.

The plant voucher specimens of the two species used in this study were deposited at the Regional Herbarium “MARK” of the Faculty of Sciences Semlalia, Cadi Ayyad University (Marrakesh, Morocco).

The fruits of both species, OFI and OM, were harvested at the ripe stage in the Skhour Rhamna region, located approximately 100 km north of Marrakesh (Rhamna Province, Morocco). They were hand-peeled, and the pulp was separated from the seeds using a hand crusher and sieve. The seeds were then washed thoroughly with water, dried in an oven at 30°C for 24 h, and crushed using a PULVERISSE 14 grinder (Fritsch International, Germany).

First, 45 g of seed powder was collected for each variety; then, the oils were extracted with hexane using a Soxhlet extraction system for 6 h at 65°C. At the end of the extraction, the organic phase was evaporated using a rotary evaporator under vacuum with minimal heating (40°C). The obtained oil was placed in dark glass vials for protection from light and bubbled with a stream of nitrogen to remove residual traces of hexane. The vials were stored at 4°C until further analysis. The oil content is expressed in g/100 g of seed powder.

2.2. Determination of Oil Physical Quality Parameters. The oil-specific extinction coefficients K232 and K270 which are used to evaluate conjugated dienes and conjugated trienes, respectively, were determined according to the IOC standard [15].

For free acidity determination, the method used was described by the standard NF.T 60-204 [16]. Briefly, 1 g of oil was obtained in 20 mL of an equal volume of ether/ethanol (50/50, v/v) and neutralized, and then, free fatty acids were titrated using an ethanolic potassium hydroxide solution in the presence of phenolphthalein. The end product exhibited a slightly pink color.

2.3. Determination of Oil Chemical Parameters

2.3.1. Pigment Content. The pigment content of prickly pear seed oil (expressed in ppm) was determined according to the methods described by Wolff [17] for chlorophyll, Psomiadou
and Tsimidou [18] for α-phytochin, and Mosquera-Minguez et al. [19] for carotenoids. The fractions of α-phytochin and chlorophyll were quantified at wavelengths of 630, 670, and 710 nm, and those of the carotenoids were determined at 470 nm.

2.3.2. Fatty Acid Composition. Fatty acid composition was determined by the gas chromatography analysis according to the analytical methods described in the IOOC standard [20]. Fatty acid methyl esters (FAMEs) were prepared by adding 0.2 mL of a methanolic solution of potassium hydroxide (2 N) to the oil solution prepared with 0.1 g of oil and n-heptane (2 mL). Before injection into the chromatograph, the n-heptane solution was shaken vigorously for 15 s and allowed to stand until the upper part became clear (5 min). The fatty acids separation was carried out using gas chromatograph Varian CP 3380, equipped with a capillary column packed with a stationary phase (CP-Wax 50 CB; length L = 25 m; inner diameter Φ = 0.25 mm; Ft = 0.20 μm), using split/splitless injector (split ratio of 1 : 100) equipped with the autosampler Varian CP-8400 and FID detector. The temperatures of the injector, detector, and oven were 220, 230, and 190°C, respectively. Nitrogen was used as the carrier gas at a flow rate of 154.0 mL/min. The injection volume was calculated using the following equation:

\[
AX = \frac{\text{individual peak area of each FAME}}{\text{the total area of all FAME peaks}}
\]

\[
\text{FAx} (%) = 100\left(\frac{AX}{AT}\right),
\]

where AX is the individual peak area of each FAME and AT is the total area of all FAME peaks.

Based on fatty acid composition, the iodine value (IV), which measures the level of unsaturation in oils and is expressed in grams of iodine absorbed by 100 g of oil, was calculated from the percentages of fatty acids (FA) according to the following equation proposed by Dırate and Dıbeklioglu [21]:

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{IV} &= (% \text{palmitoleic acid} \times 1.001) + (% \text{oleic acid} \times 0.899) \\
&\quad + (% \text{linoleic acid} \times 1.814) + (% \text{linolenic acid} \times 2.737).
\end{align*}
\]

2.3.3. Triglyceride Composition. The triglyceride composition was analyzed by high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) (Jasco PU, 2080) with a refractive index detector (RI-930), a type recorder-integrator (FP, 1520), and a stainless steel column (250 mm × 4.5 mm, LiChrosorb, RP 18, Art 50333) filled with silica particles of 5 μm in diameter. The eluent was a mixture of acetone and acetonitrile (50/50, v/v) at a flow rate of 1.5 mL/min at 40°C. A volume of 20 μL of 5% (w/v) oil and acetone solution was injected into the HPLC system. The triglycerides were identified using the official EEC method [22].

The chemical parameters of the studied oils were compared to those of other fruit and seed oils, especially those of argan seeds (Argania spinosa), sesame seeds (Sesamum indicum), black cumin or Nigella seeds (Nigella sativa), olive fruit (Olea europaea), and lentisk seeds (Pistacia lentiscus). Selection of these oils was based on their characteristics, which are well defined and are widely used in various applications, especially in nutraceuticals and cosmetics.

2.4. Statistical Analyses. Data are presented in tables and figures as the mean ± standard error of three independent experiments. Statistical analysis was performed using one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) where the varieties constitute the only factor considered. The comparison between the means was carried out with the Student–Newman–Keuls test. The difference between means was significant at \( p < 0.05 \).

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Oil Content. The respective seed oil contents of OFI ("Safrā" and "Aakria") and OM ("Derbana") varieties were 8.09%, 8.74%, and 8.04% (Table 1). The "Aakria" variety had the highest oil content (8.74%). These results are in agreement with those obtained for Algerian OFI varieties by Chougui et al. [29] and Ramadan and Mörsel [9]. However, higher oil content (up to 14.4%) has been reported for a Turkish OFI variety by Matthäus and Özcan [13], who reported that the difference in the oil content of the seeds can be attributed to varietal and environmental effects. The oil content of prickly pear seeds appears to be very low compared to that of other plant species from which oils are derived, including argan seeds (53%) [25], sesame seeds (52%) [26], Nigella seeds (37%) [27], olive fruits (20%–40.73%) [23, 24], and lentisk seeds (7.67%–21.33%) [28].

3.2. Physical Quality Parameters. Free acidity values varied from 0.60% to 0.71% for the oils of the three studied varieties. The one-way ANOVA analysis did not show a significant difference between these varieties, indicating that they exhibited the same level of quality. Indeed, these values also provide information on the quality of prickly pear oil, which is comparable to that of extra virgin olive oil (<0.8%). They are even lower than the free acidity values reported for sesame oil (free acidity: 0.92%) [26] or for Nigella oil (free acidity: 2.30%) [27]. Therefore, this confers a higher quality to the prickly pear oil of the Rhamna region than that of the oils of these two latter plants; however, this quality is relatively low compared with that of argan oil (free acidity: 0.28%) [25] (Table 1).

Concerning the extinction coefficients, the oil derived from the OM variety ("Derbana") yielded significantly lower K232 and K270 values (1.82 and 0.66, respectively) compared to that of the oil of the OFI varieties ("Safrā" and "Aakria") (Table 1). Based on the obtained extinction coefficients and comparison with other oils, the oils of the three prickly pear varieties were found to be more stable against oxidation than
**Table 1:** Oil content and quality parameters of seed oils of two prickly pear species: *Opuntia ficus-indica* ("Safra" and "Aakria" varieties) and *Opuntia megacantha* ("Derbana" variety) compared with other nutraceutical and cosmetic oils∗.

| Parameters          | *Opuntia ficus-indica* | *Opuntia megacantha* | Olive, *Olea europaea* | Argan, *Argania spinosa* | Sesame, *Sesamum indicum* | Black cumin, *Nigella sativa* | Lentisk, *Pistacia lentiscus* |
|---------------------|-------------------------|----------------------|------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|
|                     | Safra       | Aakria               | Derbana                | [23, 24]                         | [25]                      | [26]                         | [28]                         |
| Oil content (%)     | 8.09±0.06   | 8.74±0.03            | 8.04±0.03              | 20–40.73                  | 53.00                     | 52.00                        | 37.00                        | 7.67–21.33                  |
| Free acidity (%)    | 0.71±0.04   | 0.64±0.04            | 0.60±0.04              | <0.80                    | 0.28                      | 0.92                         | 2.30                         | —                           |
| Extinction coefficient $K_{232}$ | 2.25±0.03   | 2.24±0.04            | 1.82±0.07              | <2.50                    | 1.12                      | 1.73                         | 2.21                         | —                           |
| Extinction coefficient $K_{270}$ | 0.92±0.04   | 0.95±0.08            | 0.66±0.04              | <0.22                    | 0.21                      | 0.52                         | 2.77                         | —                           |
|                    |            |                      |                        |                          |                           |                             |                              |                              |

*Means (±standard errors) with the same letter within rows did not differ significantly according to the Student–Newman–Keuls test at $p < 0.05$.

**Figure 1:** Chlorophyll, carotenoid, and α-pheophytin contents of seed oils of two prickly pear species: *Opuntia ficus-indica* ("Safra" and "Aakria" varieties) and *Opuntia megacantha* ("Derbana" variety). Means with the same letter for the same pigment did not differ significantly according to the Student–Newman–Keuls test at $p < 0.05$. Error bars represent the standard error of three replicates.

*Nigella* oil [27] but less stable than argan oil [25], sesame oil [26], and extra virgin olive oil [23, 24]. This difference in stability between oils is marked for the secondary oxidation reactions evaluated by $K_{270}$. Several factors may be involved in this difference, notably fatty acid content and the presence of antioxidant molecules, such as phenols and tocopherols [30].

### 3.3. Chemical Composition

#### 3.3.1. Pigment Content

The evaluation of the pigment content in the obtained oils revealed higher contents of α-pheophytin and carotenoids than those of chlorophyll. They vary from 3.69 to 5.23 ppm for α-pheophytin, 3.55 to 3.68 ppm for carotenoids, and 0.93 to 3.33 ppm for chlorophyll (Figure 1). A highly significant difference in α-pheophytin and chlorophyll pigments content was noted between the three varieties. Oil from the "Aakria" variety was distinguished by the highest contents of the two pigments (5.23 and 3.33 ppm, respectively) giving this oil a greener hue. However, no significant difference was observed between the carotenoid content among the tested varieties.

The carotenoid contents recorded for the oils of the three varieties ensured their stability and resistance to oxidation during storage. Chlorophyll, although interesting in terms of its antioxidant activity, has prooxidant effects in the light unlike carotenoids, which have a protective effect against photooxidation by deactivating the oxygen singlet [18].

#### 3.3.2. Fatty Acid Composition

The seed oils of the two species OFI and OM represented by “Safra,” “Aakria,” and “Derbana” varieties are composed mainly of unsaturated fatty acids (USFAs) (82.77%–82.99%), with the following two forms: monounsaturated and polyunsaturated fatty acids (Table 2). Saturated fatty acids (SFAs) have been detected at low rates of approximately 17%. The USFA/SFA ratio did not differ significantly between the studied varieties (4.80–4.88). Linoleic acid was the major fatty acid (60.55%–63.46%), followed by oleic acid (18.8%–21.81%) and palmitic acid (13.75%–13.75%). The two OFI varieties (“Aakria” and “Safra”) showed higher levels of linoleic and palmitic acids than the OM variety. The latter variety, however, revealed significantly high levels of oleic and stearic acids (21.82% and 3.30%, respectively). Furthermore, the C18:2/C18:1 ratios of the two varieties of OFI species were significantly higher than those of other OM species. Thus, the highly significant differences in fatty acids mentioned above may be useful markers for differentiating between the oils of the two species, OFI and OM, because the impacts of environmental and agronomic factors (e.g., geographical origin, fruit ripening stage, and harvest period) are ruled out.

The contents of the major fatty acids of the studied species are in agreement with those found in previous studies on OFI species [9, 29]. Nonetheless, other studies have reported higher levels of palmitic acid (exceeding 16%) and linoleic acid (70%) [10]. The difference in the linoleic acid content found in some studies could be due to the fruit genotype or maturity stage.

The comparison of fatty acid profiles of the studied prickly pear oils with those of oils from other vegetable species revealed a higher content of linoleic acid in the prickly pear seed oil (60.55%–63.46%) than those of sesame oil (42.10%) [26] and *Nigella* oil (56.50%) [27] and a much...
The high proportions of USFAs detected in the studied oils are of great interest due to their nutritional and pharmaceutical potential. The literature clearly illustrates their role in the prevention of cardiovascular diseases, atherosclerosis, autoimmune disorders, and diabetes [34]. Linoleic acid has also shown various cosmetic properties, in particular its effect on skin dryness and flaking [35]. Thus, these oils can constitute an interesting resource for the formulation of dermatological and cosmetic products.

### Table 2: Fatty acid composition of seed oils of two species of prickly pear: *Opuntia ficus-indica* (*"Safra"* and *"Aakria"* varieties) and *Opuntia megacantha* (*"Derbana"* variety) compared with other nutraceutical and cosmetic oils.

| Fatty acids (%) | Prickly pear species and varieties | Nutraceutical and cosmetic fruit and seed oils |
|----------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------------------------------|
|                | *Opuntia ficus-indica* | *Opuntia megacantha* | Olive, *Olea europaea* [24, 31] | Argan, *Argania spinosa* [25] | Sesame, *Sesamum indicum* [26] | Black cumin, *Nigella sativa* [27] | Lentisk, *Pistacia lentiscus* [28] |
| Myristic acid (C14:0) | 0.10± 0.00 | 0.11± 0.00 | 0.13b± 0.00 | — | — | 0.10 | 0.20 | — |
| Pentadecylic acid (C15:0) | 0.05± 0.01 | 0.06± 0.00 | 0.21b± 0.05 | — | — | — | — | — |
| Palmitic acid (C16:0) | 13.64± 0.06 | 13.75± 0.11 | 13.03± 0.15 | 7.50–20.00 | 11.5–15 | 11.30 | 11.90 | 20.51–23.01 |
| Palmitoleic acid (C16:1) | 0.06± 0.00 | 0.06± 0.00 | 0.06± 0.00 | 0.30–3.50 | 0.1 | — | 0.20 | 0.38–0.53 |
| Margaric acid (C17:0) | 0.04± 0.00 | 0.04± 0.00 | 0.02a± 0.01 | — | — | — | — | — |
| Heptadecenoic acid (C17:1) | 0.04± 0.01 | 0.04± 0.00 | 0.03± 0.02 | <0.30 | — | — | — | — |
| Stearic acid (C18:0) | 2.97± 0.02 | 2.99± 0.01 | 3.30± 0.00 | 0.50–5.00 | 3.4–7.4 | 4.9 | 3.20 | 0.98–1.30 |
| Oleic acid (C18:1n9) | 14.22± 0.08 | 14.09± 0.04 | 17.40± 0.01 | — | — | — | — | — |
| Oleic acid (C18:1n7) | 4.66b± 0.03 | 4.67b± 0.02 | 4.42± 0.01 | — | — | — | — | — |
| Oleic acid (C18:1) | 18.88± 0.07 | 18.75± 0.03 | 21.81b± 0.02 | 55.00–83.00 | 43.3–49.1 | 41.90 | 24.90 | 51.56–53.23 |
| Linoleic acid (C18:2) | 63.46± 0.12 | 63.45± 0.06 | 60.55± 0.13 | 2.50–21.00 | 28–36 | 42.10 | 56.50 | 20.95–23.77 |
| Linolenic acid (C18:3) | 0.24± 0.00 | 0.25± 0.02 | 0.30± 0.03 | <1.00 | <0.40 | 0.20 | 0.20 | 1.31–1.54 |
| Arachidic acid (C20:0) | 0.28± 0.00 | 0.29± 0.02 | 0.31± 0.01 | <0.50 | 0.30–0.50 | — | 0.20 | — |
| Gadoleic acid (C20:1) | 0.24± 0.00 | 0.21± 0.01 | 0.23± 0.01 | <0.40 | 0.40–0.30 | — | — | — |
| Ratio (C18:2/ C18:1) | 3.36± 0.00 | 3.38± 0.00 | 2.78± 0.00 | 4.71–20.33 | 1.36–1.54 | 1.00 | 0.44 | 2.07–2.40 |
| Saturated fatty acids (SFA) | 17.08± 0.09 | 17.23± 0.16 | 17.01± 0.31 | 13–22 | 14–24 | 16.30 | 15.50 | 22.25–24.50 |
| Unsaturated fatty acids (USFA) | 82.92± 0.09 | 82.77± 0.15 | 82.99± 0.30 | 66–96 | 71–88 | 84.30 | 82.10 | — |
| USFA/SFA | 4.85± 0.03 | 4.80± 0.05 | 4.88± 0.06 | 3.13–5.07 | 3.66–5.07 | 5.20 | 5.30 | 3.13–3.45 |
| Iodine value (IV) | 132.81± 0.15 | 132.73± 0.11 | 130.34± 0.35 | 77.50–88.56 | 89.6–110.4 | 114.60 | 126.2 | 126.2 | — |

* Means (± standard errors) with the same letter within rows did not differ significantly according to the Student–Newman–Keuls test at p < 0.05.

higher content than that of olive oil (3%–14%) [24], argan oil (28%–36%) [25], and lentisk oil (20.95%–23.77%) [28] (Table 2). The oleic acid/linoleic acid ratio of the prickly pear seed oil varied from 0.30 to 0.36, unlike olive, argan, and lentisk oils, for which the oleic acid content is higher than that of linoleic acid with an oleic acid/linoleic acid ratio varying from 4.71 to 20.33 for olive oil [24, 31], 1.36 to 1.54 for argan oil [25], and 2.24 to 2.46 for lentisk oil [28].

IV is primarily used to describe oil unsaturation and may also be used to determine the oxidative stability of an oil. A low IV value indicates that the stability of oil is high. The results obtained in this study show that OM oil ("Derbana" variety) is relatively more stable with an IV of 130.34 compared to respective values of 132.81 and 132.73 for the oils of the two OFI varieties ("Safra" and "Aakria") (Table 2). This result is in agreement with that of the specific extinction. This interspecific difference in stability can be explained by the difference in the proportions of oleic acid, which, according to some authors, directly impacts the stability of the oil [32]. Consequently, the oil from prickly pear seeds is susceptible to various oxidative processes and exhibits high instability compared to argan oil [33].
3.3.3. Triglyceride Composition. The triglyceride composition of the seed oils derived from the studied prickly pear varieties is presented in Table 3. The predominant component is LLL (24.33%–26.49%), followed by OLL (20.92%–21.92%) and three fractions dioleoyl-linolenoyl-glycerol and palmitoyl-dioleoyl-linoleoyl-glycerol (OOLn + PoOL) (16.45%–18.84%), palmitoyl-oleoyl-linoleoyl-glycerol and stearoyl-dilinoleoyl-glycerol and palmitoyl-dioleoyl-glycerol (POL + SLL + PoOO) (13.26%–13.43%), and oleoyl-dilinoleoyl-glycerol and dipalmitoyl-linolenoyl-glycerol and palmitoyl-dioleoyl-glycerol (OOL + PLnP + PoOO) (7.66%–9.27%). PPL, triolein (OOO), and dipalmitoyl-oleoyl-glycerol (POP) are minor compounds whose respective contents do not exceed 4%. Other triglycerides are found in traces such as gadoleyl-dioleoyl-glycerol (GaOO), SOO, palmitoyl-oleoyl-stearoyl-glycerol (POS), and AOO.

The comparison of triglyceride composition between oils of the three varieties revealed highly significant differences in LLL, OOLn + PoLL, OOL + PLnP + PoOO, POO + SOL (palmitoyl-dioleoyl-glycerol and stearoyl-oleoyl-linoleoyl-glycerol fraction), and OOO contents. The “Aakria” variety of OFI species was found to have the highest contents of LLL and OOLn + PoOL (26.49% and 18.84%, respectively), and the Derbana “variety” was found to have the highest contents of OOL + PLnP + PoOO, POO + SOL, and OOO (9.27%, 5.06%, and 2.32%, respectively). Other triglyceride contents exhibited significant differences among the three varieties, especially OLL, PPL, OLLn + PoLL, and SOO. Hence, these could be considered species markers because these variations were observed despite both species having been cultivated under the same soil, climatic, ripening, and harvest conditions. In addition, the OFI triglyceride profile obtained in this study by solvent extraction differs from that described by Zine et al. [25] for cold press oil extraction from OFI (LLL (25.53%)–26.49% ± 0.02, 24.33% ± 0.04), OLLn + PoLL (0.18% ± 0.00, 0.17% ± 0.00, 0.16% ± 0.00), OLL (20.92% ± 0.04, 20.96% ± 0.02, 21.92% ± 0.05), OOLn + PoOL (18.54% ± 0.06, 18.84% ± 0.02, 16.45% ± 0.03), POLn (0.11% ± 0.02, 0.10% ± 0.00, 0.11% ± 0.00), OOL + PLnP + PoOO (8.02% ± 0.02, 7.66% ± 0.02, 9.27% ± 0.02), POL + SLL + PoOO (13.43% ± 0.01, 13.29% ± 0.01, 13.26% ± 0.01), PPL (3.11% ± 0.03, 3.03% ± 0.01, 2.54% ± 0.00), OOO (1.39% ± 0.08, 1.14% ± 0.04, 2.32% ± 0.00), POO + SOL (4.57% ± 0.08, 4.24% ± 0.01, 5.06% ± 0.00), POP (2.92% ± 0.07, 2.63% ± 0.00, 2.70% ± 0.03), GaOO (0.38% ± 0.03, 0.32% ± 0.00, 0.34% ± 0.00), SOO (0.39% ± 0.03, 0.38% ± 0.01, 0.51% ± 0.00), POS (0.34% ± 0.05, 0.44% ± 0.00, 0.59% ± 0.01), AOO (1.13% ± 0.00, 0.13% ± 0.00, 0.13% ± 0.02), and SOS (0.01% ± 0.01, 0.01% ± 0.00, 0.00% ± 0.00) 

| Triglycerides* (%) | Prickly pear species and varieties** |
|-------------------|-------------------------------------|
|                   | Opuntia ficus-indica | Opuntia megacantha | Derbana |
| LLL               | 25.53% ± 0.02 | 26.49% ± 0.05 | 24.33% ± 0.04 |
| OLLn + PoLL       | 0.18% ± 0.00 | 0.17% ± 0.00 | 0.20% ± 0.00 |
| POLn              | 0.17% ± 0.00 | 0.16% ± 0.00 | 0.16% ± 0.00 |
| OLL               | 20.92% ± 0.04 | 20.96% ± 0.02 | 21.92% ± 0.05 |
| OOLn + PoOL       | 18.54% ± 0.06 | 18.84% ± 0.02 | 16.45% ± 0.03 |
| POL               | 0.11% ± 0.02 | 0.10% ± 0.00 | 0.11% ± 0.00 |
| OOL + PLnP + PoOO | 8.02% ± 0.02 | 7.66% ± 0.02 | 9.27% ± 0.02 |
| POL + SLL + PoOO  | 13.43% ± 0.01 | 13.29% ± 0.01 | 13.26% ± 0.01 |
| PPL               | 3.11% ± 0.03 | 3.03% ± 0.01 | 2.54% ± 0.00 |
| OOO               | 1.39% ± 0.08 | 1.14% ± 0.04 | 2.32% ± 0.00 |
| POO + SOL         | 4.57% ± 0.08 | 4.24% ± 0.01 | 5.06% ± 0.00 |
| POP               | 2.92% ± 0.07 | 2.63% ± 0.00 | 2.70% ± 0.03 |
| GaOO              | 0.38% ± 0.03 | 0.32% ± 0.00 | 0.34% ± 0.00 |
| SOO               | 0.39% ± 0.03 | 0.38% ± 0.01 | 0.51% ± 0.00 |
| POS               | 0.34% ± 0.05 | 0.44% ± 0.00 | 0.59% ± 0.01 |
| AOO               | 1.13% ± 0.00 | 0.13% ± 0.00 | 0.13% ± 0.02 |
| SOS               | 0.01% ± 0.01 | 0.01% ± 0.00 | 0.00% ± 0.00 |

* P, palmitoyl radical; Po, palmitoleoyl radical; O, oleoyl radical; L, linoleoyl radical; Ln, linolenoyl radical; S, stearoyl radical; Ga, gadoleyl radical. ** Means (± standard errors) with the same letter within lines did not differ significantly according to the Student–Newman–Keuls test at p < 0.05.

4. Conclusions

Significant differences in the physical quality parameters and chemical composition of seed oils extracted from “Safra,” “Aakria,” and “Derbana” varieties belonging to OFI and OM species of prickly pear were identified in this study. Key differences were noted between OM oil (“Derbana” variety) and OFI oils (“Safra” and “Aakria” varieties) in terms of oil stability parameters (K232 and K270), fatty acid content, and triglyceride composition. The three studied oils mainly contained linoleic acid; an essential fatty acid with a content exceeding 60%; the highest linoleic acid content was recorded for the OFI species. The oil extracted from OM (“Derbana”) was characterized by significantly lower linoleic and palmitic acid contents and higher oleic and stearic acid contents. In addition, some triglycerides could be considered as markers of differentiation between the two species, especially OLL, PPL, OLLn + PoLL, and SOO. High proportions of USFAs and the high linoleic acid contents detected in the studied oils stimulated great interest because of their potential in nutritional and pharmaceutical applications. Thus, this study confirmed that these oils may be an interesting resource for developing a range of skin products, highlighting the importance of prickly pear seed oil as a...
potential alternative to existing commercial cosmetic oils. Additionally, this preliminary investigation revealed that OM oil may be highly stable. Therefore, extensive studies on oxidative stability and shelf life are necessary to further elucidate the characteristics of OM prickly pear seed oils.
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