Inter- and Intralaboratory Comparison of JC Polyomavirus Antibody Testing Using Two Different Virus-Like Particle-Based Assays
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JC polyomavirus (JCPyV) can cause progressive multifocal leukoencephalopathy (PML), a debilitating, often fatal brain disease in immunocompromised patients. JCPyV-seropositive multiple sclerosis (MS) patients treated with natalizumab have a 2- to 10-fold increased risk of developing PML. Therefore, JCPyV serology has been recommended for PML risk stratification. However, different antibody tests may not be equivalent. To study intra- and interlaboratory variability, sera from 398 healthy blood donors were compared in 4 independent enzyme-linked immunoassay (ELISA) measurements generating >1,592 data points. Three data sets (Basel1, Basel2, and Basel3) used the same basic protocol but different JCPyV virus-like particle (VLP) preparations and introduced normalization to a reference serum. The data sets were also compared with an independent method using biotinylated VLPs (Helsinki1). VLP preadsorption reducing ≥35% activity was used to identify seropositive sera. The results indicated that Basel1, Basel2, Basel3, and Helsinki1 were similar regarding overall data distribution (P = 0.79) and seroprevalence (58.0, 54.5, 54.8, and 53.5%, respectively; P = 0.95). However, intra-assay intralaboratory comparison yielded 3.7% to 12% discordant results, most of which were close to the cutoff (0.080 < optical density [OD] < 0.250) according to Bland-Altman analysis. Introduction of normalization improved overall performance and reduced discordance. The interlaboratory interassay comparison between Basel3 and Helsinki1 revealed only 15 discordant results, 14 (93%) of which were close to the cutoff. Preadsorption identified specificities of 99.44% and 97.78% and sensitivities of 99.54% and 95.87% for Basel3 and Helsinki1, respectively. Thus, normalization to a preferably WHO-approved reference serum, duplicate testing, and preadsorption for samples around the cutoff may be necessary for reliable JCPyV serology and PML risk stratification.

Seroprevalence studies indicate that by early adulthood, JC polyomavirus (JCPyV) has infected approximately half of the general population (1, 2). Thereafter, JCPyV asymptomatically persists in renourinary tract and is intermittently shed into the urine (2–4). In immunocompromised patients, JCPyV can cause progressive multifocal leukoencephalopathy (PML), a demyelinating disease of the brain, with typically fatal outcome (5, 6). PML results from lytic JCPyV replication in subcortical oligodendrocytes that generate neuronal myelin sheaths. Progressive demyelination followed by neuronal dysfunction and cell death underlies the radiological and clinical features of PML (1, 5, 6).

Despite some promising in vitro data (7), there is currently no specific antiviral therapy, and the outcome of PML depends largely on mounting JCPyV-specific immune functions that suppress JCPyV replication (1, 6, 8, 9). JCPyV had been a frequent complication of HIV and AIDS patients in the era before combination antiretroviral therapy, affecting 1% to 8% of the patients at risk (10, 11). The availability of combination antiretroviral therapy (cART) has decreased the incidence of PML and significantly improved PML outcome (10, 12). Recently, an increasing number of PML cases were observed among multiple sclerosis (MS) patients treated with natalizumab. Natalizumab is a monoclonal antibody blocking α4β1 integrin and thereby homing of inflammatory cells to MS lesions (13–15). Practically all MS patients were found to be JCPyV seropositive at the time of natalizumab treatment, indicating that most, if not all, cases of PML were in fact caused by JCPyV reactivation (16). Thus, the risk of PML after 24 months of natalizumab therapy can be as high as 1:100 in JCPyV-seropositive patients but less than 1:10,000 in JCPyV-seronegative MS patients compared to less than 1:500,000 in the general population per year (1). Therefore, screening of MS patients for JCPyV antibodies may provide a relevant PML risk stratification tool and inform decisions regarding follow-up and treatment modalities (17, 18).

JCPyV antibodies can be detected by different techniques, including virus neutralization, hemagglutination inhibition of red blood cells, indirect immunofluorescence using JCPyV protein-expressing cells, and the enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) (1, 19). However, neutralization, while being functionally important, has some limitations, including the absence of a defined cutoff and the inability to detect specific, nonneutralizing antibodies. Hemagglutination inhibition assays generally show low sensitivity and do not allow reliable measurement of low antibody titers and detection of antibodies against JCPyV with typical PML-associated mutations in the sialic acid-binding region of
the mutant VP1 gene (20, 21). While ELISA is the most widely used technique, the different assays vary in performance, serum dilutions, empirically derived cutoffs, and antigen preparations. Although the major viral capsid protein VP1 is frequently used, preparations of monomer, pentamer, or virus-like particles (VLPs) have been reported, which together with differences in serum dilutions and cutoffs are likely to affect reliability and comparability of results (1, 19). We previously investigated the seroprevalence of JCPyV antibodies in 400 healthy blood donors from Basel, Switzerland. Although our overall results corresponded well to reports from other studies (22), including those on MS patients (23), as reviewed in reference 1, the interpretation of results around the cutoff is usually difficult. Particularly the implications of a false-negative result for patient counseling regarding the PML risk under natalizumab therapy or conversely the withholding of therapy for a patient with a false-positive result prompted us to work out an improved protocol integrating the option of preadsorption reduction testing. The Basel assay was compared with an independent ELISA from Helsinki using biotinylated JC VP1 VLPs. The results indicate that more than 90% of sera can be reliably assayed and that approximately 10% of sera with IgG levels around the cutoff need confirmatory testing by preadsorption reduction assay.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study participants and samples. Serum samples (n = 398) were obtained from citrate-anticoagulated blood collected from 398 healthy blood donors at the time of blood donation in Basel, Switzerland (IRB 267/06), and were described previously (2). The sera had been stored frozen at −20°C until thawed and aliquoted for retesting in both participating laboratories. At the time of blood donation, the donors tested negative for infections with human immunodeficiency virus (HIV), hepatitis B virus (HBV), hepatitis C virus (HCV), and Treponema pallidum.

Isolation and purification of recombinant JCPyV VLPs. The preparation of JCPyV VLP in Basel was described previously (2). Briefly, the JCPyV Mad-1 VP1 gene was inserted into the respective site of the baculovirus using the Bac-to-Bac baculovirus expression system (Invitrogen, Basel, Switzerland) and transfected into Spodoptera frugiperda Sf9 cells (American Type Culture Collection [ATCC], Manassas, VA) in suspension according to the manufacturer’s protocol. Pellets of infected Sf9 cells were resuspended in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) containing 0.1 mM CaCl₂ and complete EDTA-free protease inhibitors (Roche, Basel, Switzerland), followed by sonication and centrifugation at 10,000 × g for 10 min to separate cytoplasmic VLPs in supernatants from the cell nuclei pellet. The supernatant was filtered with a 0.45-μm-pore filter and run on a 40% sucrose cushion at 70,000 × g for 3 h. The pellet was resuspended in PBS containing complete EDTA-free protease inhibitors and 0.25% deoxycholic acid, incubated in a water bath at 37°C for 1 h, and then chilled on ice for 5 min. Then an equal volume of 4 M NaCl with 0.1 mM CaCl₂ was added, and the mixture was incubated on ice for 30 min and centrifuged afterwards at 3,220 × g for 20 min. Intracellular VLPs were isolated by resuspension of the nuclear pellet in a mixture of 10 mM Tris·HCl (pH 8), 500 mM NaCl, 1 mM MgCl₂, 1 mM CaCl₂, and 0.1% NP-40 and homogenization with glass mortar and pestle. After sonication and centrifugation at 17,000 × g for 20 min, the mixture was filtered with a 0.45-μm filter and stored in buffer A (150 mM NaCl, 10 mM Tris·HCl [pH 7.4], 1.0 mM CaCl₂) at −80°C. The three-dimensional structure and purity of VLPs were confirmed by sodium dodecyl sulfate–polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE) and Coomassie staining as well as transmission electron microscopy.

The preparation of the JCPyV VLPs in Helsinki was also based on the JCPyV Mad-1 DNA sequence, but the major virus capsid protein VP1 coding region (nucleotides [nt] 1469 to 2533) was chemically synthesized and optimized for S. frugipera usage (GenScript). VLPs were produced in insect cells using the Bac-to-Bac system (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA) as recommended by the manufacturer’s instructions. Sf9 cells were infected and harvested at 4 to 5 days after infection by a 2-step lysis procedure (24), resuspending the cell pellet in buffer A (20 mM Tris·HCl [pH 7.5], 150 mM NaCl, 1% Triton) at +4°C for 10 min, followed by 10 min of centrifugation at 10,000 × g. The supernatant was removed, and the pellet was resuspended in sonication buffer (10 mM Tris·HCl [pH 8.0], 500 mM NaCl, 1 mM CaCl₂, 1 mM MgCl₂, 0.01% Triton) supplemented with a protease inhibitor cocktail (complete EDTA-free; Roche, Mannheim, Germany). After three 20-s sonications and centrifugation at 15,000 × g for 40 min, the supernatant diluted in 20 mM Tris (pH 7.5) was layered on top of 1.52 g/cm³ cesium chloride. After ultracentrifugation (Beckman L-70) in a Beckman SW28 Ti rotor at 23,000 × g for 4.5 h, fractions were collected using the Beckman Coulter fraction recovery centrifuge with an SW55 Ti rotor; Beckmann Coulter, Indianapolis, IN). CsCl ultracentrifugation at 150,000 × g for 60 h was performed using an SW55 rotors; Beckmann Coulter, Indianapolis, IN). The fractions containing VLPs were pooled and ultracentrifuged at 150,000 × g, yielding an OD of close to 1.0 on every ELISA plate. The nOD was calculated as the ratio of the OD of the patient sample by the OD of the reference serum.

The Helsinki JCPyV IgG ELISA was conducted essentially as described for the human polyomaviruses MCPyV and TSPyV (24, 27). Briefly, streptavidin plates (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA) with the biotinylated VLPs attached (120 ng/well) were coated with a sample diluent (Ani Labsystems). The serum samples diluted 1:100 were incubated in duplicate, and the absorbances at 492 nm were recorded with blank-subtraction software. The cutoff values were calculated according to samples (n = 170) with absorbances below a provisional threshold of 0.150. Utilizing the mean (0.048) and standard deviation (SD) (0.036), the cutoffs for JCPyV IgG presence and absence were set at mean + 3 SD (0.192) and mean + 3 SD (0.156), respectively.

In summary, the most significant differences between assays from Basel and Helsinki, respectively, were the type and concentration of coating antigen (nonmodified VLPs at 100 ng/well versus biotinylated VLPs at 120 ng/well) and the serum dilution (400-fold versus 200-fold).

JCPyV VLP preadsorption assay. ELISA plates were coated with 25 ng/well JCPyV VLPs overnight at 4°C. The serum samples were diluted 1:100 in blocking buffer (nonpreadsorbed) or in blocking buffer containing 25 ng
were analyzed using Pearson’s chi-square test. For continuous data, the Kruskal-Wallis test or Mann-Whitney U test. Categorical data were analyzed using the chi-square test.

JCPyV VLPs per 100 μl (preadsorbed). After incubating without agitation at 25°C for 1 h, 100 μl of dilute serum or serum-VLP mixture was transferred into previously blocked wells. The standard ELISA protocol was followed (described above). Mock and preadsorbed samples were tested in parallel. The results were reported as the percentage of IgG OD activity reduction after preadsorption with JCPyV VLPs according to [(ODpreadsorbed - ODnonpreadsorbed) / ODnonpreadsorbed] × 100. To investigate the possible contribution of BKPyV antibodies (cross-reactivity), the preadsorption assay was performed in parallel with BK VP1 VLPs using 14 JCPyV-positive sera (0.08 < nOD < 0.25 and preadsorption inhibition of ≈35%).

Study design and statistical analysis. All 398 healthy blood donor sera were investigated independently in two research centers: in Basel (according to a previously published ELISA protocol [Basel1]), with a new VLP preparation [Basel2] and an improved protocol with an OD normalization step [Basel2] and in Helsinki (Helsinki1). The results of all four testing series were compared as intralaboratory intra-assay (Basel1 versus Basel2), intralaboratory interassay (Basel2 versus Basel3), and interlaboratory interassay (Basel2/Basel3 versus Helsinki1) comparisons. Discordant results were further investigated in preadsorption experiments, wherein the serum dilutions were tested in parallel by ELISA either directly or after prior preincubation with VLPs. Samples showing a decrease in IgG activity of ≈35% were regarded as JCPyV-seropositive sera. For the statistical analysis, GraphPad Prism 6.0 was used. Data with a nonnormal distribution as indicated by Kolmogorov-Smirnov Z test were analyzed by using the Kruskal-Wallis test or Mann-Whitney U test. Categorical data were analyzed using Pearson’s chi-square test. Evaluation of agreement between different ELISA measurements was performed using Bland-Altman analysis. The specificity and sensitivity of the assays were calculated with MedCalc easy-to-use statistical software (www.medcalc.org). A two-sided P value of <0.05 was considered statistically significant.

**RESULTS**

Intralaboratory comparison of JCPyV VLP-based IgG serology. For an intralaboratory comparison, 398 stored sera from 400 healthy blood donors that had been tested and reported previously (Basel1) (2) were compared with a second single measurement using a newly prepared batch of JCPyV VLPs (Basel2). As shown in Fig. 1, there was no significant difference in the overall distribution of the IgG activity (P = 0.79) or in the median values. Applying the previously established cutoff of 0.110, 58.0% were higher and called seropositive in the data set Basel1, 54.5% in Basel2, and 54.8% in Basel3 (Table 1). Thus, there were slightly more JCPyV-seropositive sera by Basel1 than by Basel2 or Basel3, but the difference was statistically not significant (P = 0.95, chi-square test).

In the absence of a “gold standard,” the results were further investigated using the Bland-Altman analysis (Fig. 2). For Basel1 versus Basel2, overall slightly higher values were seen for Basel2, yielding a bias value of ΔOD of ~0.027 (Fig. 2A). The 95% confidence interval (CI) was large, ranging from ~0.690 to 0.635 and mostly due to differences in the higher-IgG-activity sera. Below an OD of 0.25 (arbitrarily defined by 2X the cutoff of 0.110 + the mean of the blank), and particularly below the cutoff OD of 0.110, the difference was minimal. The data suggest that variations in higher-titer sera with an OD of >0.25 contributed significantly to the intralaboratory variability. Restricting the Bland-Altman analysis to OD values of <0.25 revealed a mean bias of ΔOD of 0.033 and a more narrow 95% CI (~0.219 to 0.286 [data not shown]). Thus, variability appeared to be less for OD values below 0.25, but they had an impact on the qualitative interpretation of when to call a serum JCPyV seropositive.

Therefore, the intralaboratory discordance of the qualitative outcomes of both assays was examined (Table 2). Among 48 discordant results (12% of 398 sera), a majority of 33 (69%) sera were close to the cutoff and therefore likely to result from assay variations. Conversely, 15 were found to be highly discordant (with one positive result equal to or higher than an OD of 0.25) and unlikely to reflect mere assay variations. Independent retesting of these sera indicated that they most likely resulted from technical errors, including mislabeling or pipetting (data not shown).

To assess the reliability of the assay further, all 398 sera were tested again in single measurements, but in the presence of a JCPyV-positive reference serum yielding an OD value of close to 1.0 for normalization (data set Basel3). The overall distribution of the normalized OD values was not significantly different from those of Basel1 and Basel2 (Fig. 1), but the qualitative results seemed closer to those of Basel2 (Table 1). The Bland-Altman analysis of Basel1 versus Basel3 was similar to that of Basel1 versus Basel2, with a bias of ΔOD of ~0.046 and a large 95% CI from

**TABLE 1 Intralaboratory comparison of qualitative JCPyV IgG results**

| Assay   | Seropositive | Seronegative |
|---------|--------------|--------------|
| Basel1  | 231          | 167          |
| Basel2  | 221          | 177          |
| Basel3  | 218          | 180          |

*Sera from 398 healthy blood donors were tested. The cutoff of 0.110 was used for JCPyV serostatus determination.

b P = 0.95, calculated by chi-square test.

---

**FIG 1 Intralaboratory comparison of JCPyV VLP IgG activity (OD492) by ELISA in 398 healthy blood donors. Basel1 shows the IgG activity results as reported previously; Basel2 shows results of retesting using a newly prepared VLP batch; Basel3 shows results of retesting together with the internal normalization control using reference serum (Basel3). IgG activity corresponds to an optical density at 492 nm without or with normalization (OD492/nOD492) as described in Materials and Methods. Boxes span the interquartile range (IQR) with the 25th and the 75th percentiles. The number in the box indicates the median, the whiskers indicate the 5th and 95th percentile range, and dots indicate outliers below and above the range. P values were calculated by the Kruskal-Wallis test and Mann-Whitney U test.**
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There were 52 (13% of 398 sera) discordant results between Basel1 and Basel3, of which 36 (69%) were close to the cutoff (Table 2). In contrast, the Bland-Altman analysis of Basel2 versus Basel3 showed very good agreement with a bias of OD of 0.019 and a narrower 95% CI from 0.183 to 0.150 (Fig. 2C). Only 25 results (6% of 398 sera) were qualitatively discordant, 23 (92%) of them being close to the cutoff (Table 2). Restricting the Bland-Altman analysis to an OD of 0.25 confirmed that the intralaboratory variation around the cutoff was also reduced (not shown). The results indicated that the intralaboratory variability was significantly improved by standardized testing and normalization and that the results close to the cutoff (e.g., below an OD of 0.25) would benefit from independent confirmatory testing.

### Interlaboratory comparison of serological IgG reactivity to JCPyV VLPs.

For an independent interlaboratory interassay comparison, the 398 sera were tested in another laboratory using independently prepared, codon-optimized and biotinylated JCPyV VLPs (see Materials and Methods). The overall distribution of OD results of data set Helsinki1 was not significantly different from that from Basel3 (median, 0.208; P = 0.12) (Fig. 3A). Using the cutoff OD of 0.156 determined for this assay, the JCPyV IgG seroprevalence in Helsinki1 was 53.5% and not significantly different from seroprevalence in Basel2 (54.5%) and Basel3 (54.8%) (P = 0.92, calculated by χ² test).

The Bland-Altman analysis of Basel3 versus Helsinki1 revealed, however, a large bias of ΔOD of −0.278 and a 95% CI from −1.223 to 0.667 (Fig. 3B). This indicated that the overall OD values were higher for Helsinki1, which appeared to result mostly from sera with higher JCPyV IgG titers leading to a corresponding downward shape of the data points (Fig. 3B). Restriction of the Bland-Altman analysis to data points of an OD of <0.25 supported the notion that the differences were smaller around the critical cutoffs of 0.110 and 0.156 of either assay (Fig. 3C). Only 15 (4%) of 398 samples were discordant, 14 of them (93%) being close to the respective cutoff (Table 3). This emphasized the role of assay standardization and the need for an independent confirmatory assay for results close to the respective cutoff.

### Preadsorption assay to determine serostatus of discordant samples.

Discordant samples were tested using VLP preadsorption as a competition step to distinguish JCPyV-specific IgG-positive samples from those with unspecific antibodies (see Materials and Methods). The preincubation with soluble JCPyV VLPs is expected to bind JCPyV-specific antibodies and to reduce the remaining activity of the OD in the following ELISA. To estimate the specific reduction, the serum samples of the 398 healthy blood donors, which included 76 individuals with documented urinary JCPyV shedding detected by PCR as reported previously (2), were compared in the preadsorption assay. OD values obtained for nonpreadsorbed sera served as the reference to calculate reduction of IgG activity. Examination of the distribution of results for the 76 JCPyV-positive donors with proven urinary JCPyV shedding showed that preadsorption reduced the OD activity by ≈35% (Fig. 4A). Therefore, a reduction of ≈35% was chosen to define seronegative at an OD of 0.110; seropositive at 0.11 OD < 0.25; seropositive at an OD of >0.25.

---

**TABLE 2** Discordant results in intralaboratory testing of 398 healthy blood donors

| Discordant result | No. of discordant samples |
|-------------------|---------------------------|
| –/+               | 8                         |
| –/+ +             | 9                         |
| –/-               | 25                        |
| –/- +             | 27                        |
| +/–               | 6                         |
| +/–               | 6                         |
| Total             | 48                        |
|                   | 52                        |
|                   | 25                        |

a, seronegative at an OD of <0.110; +, seropositive at 0.11 < OD < 0.25; +++, seropositive at an OD of >0.25.
the JCPyV-seropositive status for sera between an OD of 0.08 (cutoff = blank OD, 0.110 to 0.03) and an OD of 0.25.

To investigate how much cross-reactivity of BKPyV antibody contributed to the OD values close to the cutoff, a set of 14 JCPyV-positive sera with activity of 0.08/nOD < 0.25 and preadsorption of only 7.87%, which is significantly different from the 50.96% for JCPyV VLPs (Fig. 4B) (P < 0.0001, t test).

Preadsorption testing of the samples discordant between Basel3 and Helsinki1 indicated false-positive results for 1 (0.05%) adsorption of only 7.87%, which is significantly different from the 50.96% for JCPyV VLPs (Fig. 4B) (P < 0.0001, t test).

Preadsorption testing of the samples discordant between Basel3 and Helsinki1 indicated false-positive results for 1 (0.05%)
and 4 (1.00%) donors, respectively, as well as false-negative results for 1 (0.05%) and 9 (2.26%) cases (Tables 4 and 5). The numbers of true-positive and true-negative results, respectively, were 217 and 179 in Basel3 and 209 and 176 in Helsinki1. Accordingly, the specificities of the Basel3 and Helsinki1 assays were 99.44% and 97.78%, respectively, whereas the sensitivities corresponded to 99.54% and 95.87%, respectively.

**DISCUSSION**

Serological studies of JCPyV infection have recently gained increasing interest as predictors of the risk of developing PML in MS patients treated with natalizumab. In fact, a positive JCPyV serostatus, together with other factors, such as treatment history and duration of natalizumab exposure, has been proposed for identification of MS patients with a 100-fold-increased risk of PML. Given this impact of JCPyV serology, we critically reviewed the intra- and interlaboratory variation of two independently developed assays on a previously described population of Swiss healthy blood donors. The results demonstrate that the overall test performance of VLP-based ELISAs is good for describing the seroprevalence of this population from an epidemiological point of view. Approximately 54% to 58% of healthy adults are JCPyV seropositive, in line with other studies, including those done in MS patients (reviewed in detail recently in reference 1). Although no statistically significant differences in intra-assay and interassay variability were revealed on the population level, qualitative differences were seen in the identification of the individual JCPyV serostatus. The present study demonstrated that both the intra- and interassay variability caused approximately 10% discordant results. Two different sources of discordance became apparent. The first consisted typically of discordance at ODs higher than 0.25 in one of the comparators, was almost exclusively due to technical errors, such as labeling and pipetting, and could be resolved by retesting. In practice, this pitfall occurs typically when testing large series by single measurements and can be tackled by testing samples in duplicates or triplicates.

Not unexpectedly, the second and more frequent cause of discordance resulted from sera with a low IgG activity close to the cutoff of the assays. As indicated in this study, approximately 10% of sera were in this IgG activity range of ODs of less than 0.250 to 0.080. In this critical range, the overall assay variability was small according to the Bland-Altman analysis, but it nevertheless resulted in qualitatively different calls of the serostatus. Repeat testing is therefore unlikely to satisfactorily resolve this discordance, and other testing formats are needed. As shown here, introduction of normalization to a reference serum improved the overall assay performance by reducing the rate of discordance. Therefore, the use of reference sera for normalization seems to be a valuable option for assay standardization. Since discordances in this low OD range could still be caused by false-positive (e.g., cross-reactive) or false-negative (e.g., low IgG activities) results, the role of introducing a preadsorption step was investigated. By examining 398 sera with or without JCPyV VLP preadsorption, a 35% reduction was defined as a specific confirmatory value for JCPyV-seropositive sera. Preadsorption with BKPyV VLPs reduced the OD values by only 7.87%, indicating that cross-reactive antibodies to BKPyV contributed little, in agreement with earlier observations (2). Therefore, discordances between the Basel3 and Helsinki1 data sets could be resolved by preadsorption reduction, indicating the specificities of the Basel3 and Helsinki1 assays as 99.44% and 97.78%, respectively, and the sensitivities as 99.54% and 95.87%.

The investigation of JCPyV infection can employ detection of viral DNA or measurement of anti-JCPyV antibodies. However, previous studies revealed that in some cases, JCPyV DNA PCR is neither a sensitive indicator of JCV infection nor a specific predictor of PML, as demonstrated by some PML patients who had no detectable viral DNA in urine or blood samples (28, 29 [reviewed in detail recently in reference 1]). More recently, HLA class II DRB1*04:01 was reported to be associated with low JCPyV-specific T-cell responses and absence of detectable urinary viral shedding, albeit with normal JCPyV-specific antibodies (30). In another study, HLA-DRB1*15 was found to be associated with a negative JCPyV serostatus in MS patients, as measured by a commercial assay (31). The authors propose that serological assays might underestimate JCPyV infection status, with potential consequences for MS patients falsely identified as not exposed to JCPyV (32). We conclude that an improved serological assay with preadsorption for antibody activities around the cutoff is a critical first analysis to classify patients concerning JCPyV exposure. Further studies are needed to establish whether HLA-DRB typing is required to better stratify MS patients with critical HLA types.

Despite the obvious advantages of neutralization, ELISA has become the most versatile method for detection of anti-JCPyV antibodies (19, 20). It can utilize VP1 in the form of monomers, pentamers, or VLPs (1). However, ELISA with VLPs as the coating antigen has been shown to be more sensitive and specific than VP1 in the monomer or pentamer form (25, 33). For MS patients, there is a commercially available STRATIFY JCV DxSelect kit based on a second-generation ELISA for detection of JCPyV antibodies in humans (34). Clearly, screening, normalization with widely accepted reference sera, and preadsorption testing for specific reduction are important issues. In this context, it should be emphasized that a WHO-approved international reference serum would

---

**TABLE 4 Determination of JCPyV serological results**

| Basel3 result | Helsinki1 result | Preadsorption result | Final serostatus | False-positive result | False-negative result |
|---------------|------------------|----------------------|-----------------|-----------------------|----------------------|
| Positive      | Positive         | Positive             | Positive        | Basel3                | Helsinki1            |
| Positive      | Negative         | Positive             | Positive        | Basel3                | Helsinki1            |
| Negative      | Negative         | Negative             | Negative        | Basel3                | Helsinki1            |
| Negative      | Positive         | Negative             | Negative        | Helsinki1            | Basel3               |
| Negative      | Negative         | Negative             | Negative        | Helsinki1            | Basel3               |

---

**TABLE 5 Summary of JCPyV serological results**

| Result          | No. of results for: |
|-----------------|---------------------|
|                 | Basel3 | Helsinki1 |
| True positive   | 217    | 209       |
| False positive  | 1      | 4         |
| True negative   | 179    | 176       |
| False negative  | 9      |           |

---

*Samples were defined as positive if the OD was above the cutoff (0.11 for Basel3 and 0.156 for Helsinki1) and if preadsorption reduced the OD by ≥35% for an OD of ≥0.08.
be most valuable, as has been shown for other infections and vaccination serologies.

In summary, our findings demonstrate that serological assays for JCPyV IgG need to take into account whether epidemiological questions or individual risk assessments are to be addressed. No significant statistical differences were seen in the overall characteristics of four independent test series of 398 sera from healthy blood donors. Qualitatively, approximately 90% of the results were concordant between the data sets. For 10% of results, confirmatory testing was needed.

According to the results described here, the following points deserve consideration in laboratory testing of sera with unknown JCPyV serostatus by VLP-based ELISA. (i) At least duplicate testing should be performed to avoid technical errors. (ii) Normalization using a reference serum may improve IgG activity measurement. (iii) There should be repeat testing in preabsorption assay of sera in the low OD range around the cutoff (e.g., from OD 0.08 to 0.25 for the assay described here). (iv) There should be quality assessment programs with appropriate training of laboratory personnel involved in ELISA testing of patient samples. (v) Finally, clinical interpretation of negative JCPyV serology data may require the knowledge of the patients’ HLA types.
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