Results of Surgical Repair of Hilar Renal Artery Aneurysm to Preserve Renal Blood Flow
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Objective: Surgical indications and procedures for hilar renal artery aneurysm (HRAA) are controversial in terms of invasiveness and feasibility. Catheter treatment is minimally invasive but leads to renal dysfunction due to renal infarction. This study aims to investigate the results of surgical repair of HRAA.

Method: Fourteen patients (58.7±11.6 years old, 7 male) who underwent surgical repair of HRAA were retrospectively reviewed. Nine patients (64%) developed HRAA in the right renal artery, and the mean maximum aneurysmal diameter was 25.9±10.3 mm. HRAA was exposed via the extraperitoneal approach. HRAA was resected completely, and reconstruction of renal arteries was performed by direct closure in two, direct anastomosis in nine, and interposition of saphenous vein graft in three patients.

Results: The average operation and renal ischemic times were 186±49 and 35±16 min, respectively. No operative death occurred, and postoperative renal function at the time of discharge had not deteriorated (creatinine, 0.74±0.15 mg/dl). During the follow-up periods (4.7±5.1 years), there was no death, no new introduction of hemodialysis, and no recurrence of renal artery aneurysm.

Conclusion: Surgical repair of HRAA remains a valid option because of its operative safety, preservation of renal function, and long-term feasibility and patency.
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Introduction

Recently, renal artery aneurysms are increasingly detected by chance with the progress of imaging diagnosis. Some reports indicate that renal artery aneurysm is slow to expand, and the frequency of rupture is 3%–5%. However, the prognosis is still unknown because the incidence of renal artery aneurysm is reportedly as low as 0.1%.

When a renal artery aneurysm is located at the renal hilum, the surgical indications and procedures are more controversial in terms of invasiveness and feasibility. Noninvasive catheter intervention is one of the options to treat hilar renal artery aneurysm (HRAA). However, complete preservation of the renal blood flow might be difficult after the embolization of HRAA.

To throw light on this issue, we have aggressively indicated the resection of HRAA followed by revascularization of renal arteries. This study aims to investigate the results of surgical repair of HRAA.

Materials and Methods

Between March 2002 and February 2019, 14 patients (58.7±11.6 years old, 7 male) underwent surgical repair of HRAA (see Table 1). HRAA was first diagnosed by contrast medium-enhanced computed tomographic angiography (CTA) by chance without any specific symptoms, except for one patient who complained of back pain. The HRAA was located in the right renal artery in nine (64%) and the left in five (36%) patients. The maximum diameter of the HRAA was 25.9±10.3 mm, and calcified aneurysmal wall was observed in five patients. As for comorbidities, hypertension was observed in five and hyperlipidemia in four. Other detected aneurysms were cerebral artery aneurysm in one and splenic artery aneurysm in two. No patient presented preoperative renal dysfunction (creatinine, 0.70±0.14 mg/dl). Five patients (36%) had a surgical history of laparotomy for appendicitis, uterine myoma, Cesarean section, duodenal ulcer, and splenic rupture.

This retrospective observational study was approved.
| Patient | Age/sex (y.o.) | Location | Diameter (mm) | Aneurysmal wall calcification | Preoperative creatinine (mg/dl) | History of laparotomy/other aneurysm | Skin incision | Procedure | Operation time (min) | Renal ischemic time (min) | Ringer’s solution | Pathological findings |
|---------|----------------|----------|---------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------------|---------------|-----------|-------------------|------------------------|----------------|---------------------|
| 1       | 72/M           | Left     | 55            | Total                       | 0.71                          | Appendicitis                    | Pararectal    | Direct repair | 195               | 43                     | -               | No data             |
| 2       | 61/F           | Left     | 20            | Total                       | 0.53                          | Uterine myoma                   | Pararectal    | Direct repair | 170               | 27                     | -               | No data             |
| 3       | 62/F           | Right    | 15            | Partial                     | 0.67                          | Duodenal ulcer                  | Pararectal    | Direct repair | 145               | 35                     | -               | No data             |
| 4       | 40/M           | Right    | 41            | Not calcified               | 0.92                          | Splenic artery aneurysm         | Pararectal    | Direct repair | 132               | 31                     | -               | No data             |
| 5       | 54/M           | Right    | 20            | Not calcified               | 0.65                          | Splenic artery aneurysm         | Transverse    | Aneurysmorrhaphy | 197             | 25                     | +               | True aneurysm        |
| 6       | 63/F           | Right    | 20            | Partial                     | 0.64                          | Splenic artery aneurysm         | Transverse    | Aneurysmorrhaphy | 127             | 27                     | +               | True aneurysm (AA)   |
| 7       | 68/F           | Right    | 28            | Not calcified               | 0.58                          | Cesarean section                | Hypochondriac | SVG interposition | 269             | 56                     | +               | True aneurysm (AA)   |
| 8       | 44/M           | Right    | 25            | Not calcified               | 0.67                          | Spleen rupture                  | Pararectal    | SVG interposition | 256             | 13                     | +               | False aneurysm with disruption of internal elastic lamina |
| 9       | 71/M           | Right    | 20            | Not calcified               | 0.84                          | Hypochondriac                   | Pararectal    | Direct repair | 198               | 40                     | -               | True aneurysm (AA)   |
| 10      | 41/F           | Left     | 25            | Not calcified               | 0.62                          | Hypochondriac                   | Pararectal    | Direct repair | 161               | 28                     | -               | True aneurysm        |
| 11      | 53/F           | Right    | 20            | Not calcified               | 0.55                          | Hypochondriac                   | Pararectal    | Direct repair | 140               | 30                     | +               | True aneurysm        |
| 12      | 78/F           | Left     | 23            | Not calcified               | 0.67                          | Cerebral artery aneurysm        | Pararectal    | SVG interposition | 232             | 25                     | -               | True aneurysm        |
| 13      | 58/M           | Right    | 28            | Not calcified               | 0.95                          | Cerebral artery aneurysm        | Pararectal    | Direct repair | 246               | 76                     | +               | True aneurysm with fragmentation of internal elastic lamina |
| 14      | 57/M           | Right    | 23            | Total                       | 0.93                          | Pararectal                      | Direct repair | 141               | 35               | +                     |                | True aneurysm (AA)   |

Pararectal: pararectal oblique incision; transverse: transverse incision; hypochondriac: hypochondriac transverse incision; direct repair: direct anastomosis of branch renal artery; SVG: saphenous vein graft; AA: atherosclerotic aneurysm
Skin incisions for surgical repair of hilar renal artery aneurysm

Fig. 1 Skin incisions for surgical repair of hilar renal artery aneurysm.

Results

Early results

The mean operation time was 186 ± 49 min, and the mean renal ischemic time was 35 ± 16 min. No patient needed blood transfusion. No operative death was encountered, and postoperative renal function at the time of discharge had not deteriorated (creatinine, 0.74 ± 0.15 mg/dl). All patients were discharged home 11 ± 4 days after the operation.

Long-term results

During the follow-up periods (4.7 ± 5.1 years), no death or new introduction of hemodialysis occurred, except for one patient who was referred for asymptomatic left HRAA with a diameter of 23 mm (Fig. 2A). Her serum creatinine level was 0.66 mg/dl. With the patient in the right semi-recumbent position, the HRAA was exposed behind Gerota’s fascia by means of a pararectal oblique incision and extraperitoneal approach with careful dissection of the renal veins. After systemic heparinization, the proximal portion of the left renal artery and the upper and lower segmental branches were clamped, and the HRAA, 2.5 cm in length, was resected (Fig. 2B). Continuity between the proximal portion and lower segmental branch was preserved, and the upper segmental branch was reconstructed with the interposition of a short saphenous vein graft by means of bilateral end-to-side anastomosis with 6-0 polypropylene continuous suture. Renal blood flow was confirmed by direct echo-Doppler imaging. The operation and left renal ischemic time were 233 and 25 min, respectively. Postoperative CTA showed no residual aneurysm and patent flow to left kidney (Fig. 2C). Postoperative creatinine level was 0.79 mg/dl. The patient was discharged home without any significant complications and with continuing antiplatelet and anticoagulation therapy with aspirin, clopidogrel, or warfarin.

Discussion

Our study shows that the results of surgical repair of
Kawase T, et al.

HRAA are acceptable because it was carried out without the need for blood transfusion and with no occurrence of operative death or deterioration of renal function. We could also confirm the long-term feasibility of complete resection of HRAA followed by surgical reconstruction of the renal blood flow.

As the incidence of rupture of renal artery aneurysms 20 mm or more in diameter has been reported as 3%–5%, the indication of repair for renal artery aneurysm is usually defined as 20 mm. The 14 patients enrolled in this study had HRAAs with a mean diameter of 25.9 ± 10.3 mm. Although the aneurysm of one patient (patient 3), was 15 mm in diameter, it was saccular in type with a noncalcified aneurysm wall, which has been reported as a risk factor for rupture.

Favorable results have been reported for endovascular treatment of renal aneurysm. Zhang et al. performed endovascular repair for 15 patients with renal artery aneurysm or renal arteriovenous fistula and observed no perioperative mortality or major complications with a technical success rate of 100%. Tsilimparis et al. compared open surgery and endovascular repair and reported similar perioperative complication rates but shorter hospitalization, 2.3 days, after endovascular repair compared with 6.3 days after open repair. Buck et al. reported a lower rate of postoperative complication and shorter length of stay after endovascular repair.

Endovascular repair of HRAA consists of coil embolization and stent grafting. Coil embolization is favorable for treating saccular aneurysm or aneurysm with a narrow neck, but it can be expensive and time-consuming depending on sac volume. When the neck of HRAA is wide, migration of the coil or residual sac perfusion can be expected, and stent grafting might be considered. However, due to the risk of thrombosis, stent grafting is reserved for a main renal artery with a ≥ 6-mm diameter.

The common complications of endovascular repair of HRAA include renal artery dissection, coil migration, and postembolization syndrome. In addition, the renal blood flow is often interrupted if the HRAA is not saccular, and concern remains about postoperative renal dysfunction.

Robinson et al. emphasized the advantage of open surgery repair in terms of renal function because it can preserve segmental branches. They repaired 26 renal artery aneurysms of 24 patients. In vivo repair was performed for 22 patients, and ex vivo repair was required for 4 when renal artery aneurysm involved distal subsegmental branches abutting the kidney hilum. No death had occurred at 30 days, but morbidity was detected in 11.5% of patients. The renal function of those who underwent surgical repair was generally maintained. Long-term patency was 94%, and no rupture or recurrent aneurysm was observed during 99 months.

In our study, it was also found that, during the follow-up period of 4.7 ± 5.1 years, no patient has suffered from renal dysfunction or rupture, suggesting that, for patients for whom open surgery is expected to be safe and whose renal function is normal, complete resection of HRAA followed by direct reconstruction of renal blood flow should be considered.

On the other hand, some reports have documented that patients with a renal artery aneurysm tended to have multiple visceral or peripheral aneurysms. In our study, too, other aneurysms were detected by chance in two patients (14%). To obtain good prognostic results, following up not only for recurrence of renal artery aneurysms but also
the development of other aneurysms seems to be critical.

**Limitations**

This study has several limitations related to its non-comparative retrospective fashion and relatively small number of patients.

**Conclusion**

Surgical repair of HRAA still remains a valid option because of its operative safety, preservation of renal function, and long-term feasibility and patency.
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