Abstract. We show that, in quaternionic geometry, the Ward transform is a manifestation of the functoriality of the basic correspondence between the \( \rho \)-quaternionic manifolds and their twistor spaces. We apply this fact, together with the Penrose transform, to obtain existence results for hypercomplex manifolds and for harmonic morphisms from hyper-Kähler manifolds.

Introduction

The Gibbons–Hawking construction \([4]\) starts with a positive harmonic function \( v \), on an open subset \( D \) of the Euclidean space, and builds the hyper-Kähler metric \( g = vh + v^{-1}(dt + A)^2 \) on \( D \times \mathbb{R} \), where \( h \) is the canonical metric on \( D \) and \( A \) is a (local) one-form on \( D \) such that \( (v, A) \) is a monopole; that is, \( dv = * dA \).

It is useful to, also, know the following facts:

(1) \((v, A)\) is a monopole if and only if the exterior derivative of \( v dt - A \) is anti-self-dual (this is fairly well known and easy to prove),

(2) the twistor space \( Z_{D \times \mathbb{R}} \) of \((D \times \mathbb{R}, g)\) is the holomorphic bundle over the twistor space \( Z_D \) of \((D, h)\) \((Z_D \) is an open subset of \( O(2) \)\) corresponding to the monopole \((v, A)\), through the Ward transform \([5]\),

(3) the projection \( \varphi : (D \times \mathbb{R}) \to (D, h) \) is a twistorial harmonic morphism:

(3a) \( \varphi \) pulls back (local) harmonic functions on \((D, h)\) to harmonic functions on \((D \times \mathbb{R}, g)\) (see \([3]\), \([9]\)),

(3b) \( \varphi \) corresponds to a surjective holomorphic submersion \( \Phi : Z_{D \times \mathbb{R}} \to Z_D \) mapping the twistor spheres diffeomorphically onto twistor spheres (\( \Phi \) is just the projection of \( Z_{D \times \mathbb{R}} \) as a bundle over \( Z_D \)).

**How to generalize this setting to higher dimensions?** A partial solution to this problem is known to exist by replacing \( O(2) \) with \( nO(2) \), where \( n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\} \) (see \([13]\) and the references therein). In this paper, we adopt a more general approach which, in particular, gives an existence result for harmonic morphisms from hyper-Kähler manifolds whose twistor spaces are built over \( Z_D = O(k) \), where \( k \geq 2 \) is even. Briefly, we tackle this problem by observing that the Euclidean space and \( \mathbb{R} \) are, just, \( U_2 \) and \( U_0 \), respectively, where \( U_k \) is the irreducible representation of dimension \( k + 1 \) of \( SO(3) \), with \( k \geq 2 \) even. Then recall that \( U_k \) is endowed with a \( SO(3) \)-invariant Euclidean
structure $h_k$, unique up to homotheties. Furthermore, $U_k$ is, also, endowed with a natural structure, which we call $\rho$-quaternionic [11] (see, also, [7, 8, 10]), whose twistor space is $O(k)$; here, $\rho$ is given by the projection from $E = U_k \oplus U_{k-2}$ onto $U_k$. This way, $D$ can be any open subset of $U_k$, and, as $E$ is a quaternionic vector space we, also, have an adequate notion of anti-self-dual two-form; that is, a two-form whose $(0,2)$-components with respect to all compatible linear complex structures are zero.

Now, one of the main tasks is to establish the Ward transform for $\rho$-quaternionic manifolds. In doing this, we realized that, in quaternionic geometry, the Ward transform is a manifestation of the functoriality of the basic correspondence between the $\rho$-quaternionic manifolds and their twistor spaces. Also, the adequate higher dimensional version of the notion of monopole is provided by the anti-self-dual principal $\rho$-connections.

For simplicity, in this paper, we work only in the complex analytic category. In Section [1] we review the $\rho$-quaternionic vector spaces. Then in Sections [2] and [3] we deal with the Ward transform, where the main results are Theorems [2.4] and [3.4] but, along the way, other facts are obtained (such as, Remark [2.5]) which are important for the intended applications.

In Section [4] we show that hypercomplex manifolds, obtained through the Ward transform, admit compatible hyper-Kähler metrics (Theorem [4.2]). Moreover, these hyper-Kähler manifolds are domains of harmonic morphisms (Corollary [4.5]). Furthermore, to define the relevant ‘harmonic sheaf’ on the codomains of these harmonic morphisms, we introduce a (generalized) Obata $\rho$-connection whose existence is, also, provided by the Ward transform.

The applications are continued in Section [5], where, firstly, we define in a convenient way the relevant notion of pluriharmonicity (Definition [5.1]), given by the Penrose transform. This is then used to obtain a natural correspondence between hypercomplex manifolds, whose twistor spaces are principal bundles with abelian structural groups, and certain cohomology classes (Corollary [5.4]).

1. $\rho$-QUATERNIONIC VECTOR SPACES

We shall ignore the conjugations; that is, we shall work in the complex analytic category. Thus, all the objects and maps are assumed complex analytic and by the tangent bundle of a manifold we shall mean the holomorphic tangent bundle; in particular, all the vector spaces are assumed complex.

Firstly, we formulate the complex versions of two classical notions.

**Definition 1.1.** A linear hypercomplex structure on a vector space $E$ is a morphism of (unital) associative algebras from $\mathfrak{gl}(2, \mathbb{C})$ to $\text{End } E$.

Two linear hypercomplex structures $\sigma, \sigma' : \mathfrak{gl}(2, \mathbb{C}) \to \text{End } E$ are equivalent if there exists $a \in \text{GL}(2, \mathbb{C})$ such that $\sigma' = \sigma \circ \text{Ad } a$. An equivalence class of linear hypercomplex structures is called a linear quaternionic structure.
A hypercomplex/quaternionic vector space is a vector space endowed with a linear hypercomplex/quaternionic structure.

Let \((E, \sigma)\) and \((E', \sigma')\) be hypercomplex vector spaces. A hypercomplex linear map \(\alpha : (E, \sigma) \to (E', \sigma')\) is a linear map such that \(\alpha(\sigma(A)u) = \sigma'(A)\alpha(u)\), for any \(A \in \mathfrak{gl}(2, \mathbb{C})\) and \(u \in E\).

For the reader's convenience, we supply a proof for the following known result.

**Proposition 1.2.** Let \((E, \sigma)\) be a hypercomplex vector space. Then there exists a vector space \(F\) and a hypercomplex linear isomorphism \(\alpha : E \to \mathbb{C}^2 \otimes F\), where the latter is endowed with the obvious linear hypercomplex structure.

Moreover, for any such vector spaces \(F, F'\) and hypercomplex linear isomorphisms \(\alpha, \alpha'\) there exists a unique linear isomorphism \(b : F \to F'\) such that \(\alpha' = (\text{Id}_{\mathbb{C}^2} \otimes b) \circ \alpha\).

**Proof.** Note that, \(\sigma\) is injective, because \(\mathfrak{gl}(2, \mathbb{C})\) is a simple associative algebra.

Let \(\tau_k : \mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{C}) \to \text{End} U_k\) be the irreducible representation (of Lie algebras) with \(\dim U_k = k + 1, k \in \mathbb{N}\). As \(\sigma\) is injective, the representation of \(\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{C})\) induced by \(\sigma\) on \(E\) decomposes into a direct sum of irreducible representations each of which is isomorphic to \(\tau_k\), for some \(k \in M\) a finite subset of \(\mathbb{N}\).

Consequently, for each \(k \in M\) we obtain a morphism of associative algebras from \(\mathfrak{gl}(2, \mathbb{C})\) to \(\text{End} U_k\) which when restricted to \(\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{C})\) gives \(\tau_k\), up to isomorphisms.

It is easy to see that \(0 \notin M\), because any morphism of associative algebras from \(\mathfrak{gl}(2, \mathbb{C})\) to \(\text{End} U_0\) must have the kernel equal to \(\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{C})\) which is not an associative subalgebra of \(\mathfrak{gl}(2, \mathbb{C})\).

Furthermore, as for any \(A \in \mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{C}) \setminus \{0\}\) with \(A^2 = 0\) we have that \(\tau_k(A)\) is a nilpotent matrix of degree \(k + 1\), we deduce that \(M = \{1\}\). The proof quickly follows. \(\square\)

Let \((E, [\sigma])\) and \((E', [\sigma'])\) be quaternionic vector spaces. A quaternionic linear map from \((E, [\sigma])\) to \((E', [\sigma'])\) is a pair formed of a linear map \(\alpha : E \to E'\) and an element \(\pm a \in \text{PGL}(2, \mathbb{C}) (= \text{GL}(2, \mathbb{C})/\{\pm 1\})\) such that \(\alpha(\sigma(A)u) = (\sigma'(A) \circ \text{Ad} a)\alpha(u)\), for any \(A \in \mathfrak{gl}(2, \mathbb{C})\) and \(u \in E\).

**Corollary 1.3.** Let \((E, [\sigma])\) be a quaternionic vector space. Then there exists a vector space \(F\) and a quaternionic linear isomorphism \(\alpha : E \to \mathbb{C}^2 \otimes F\), where the latter is endowed with the obvious linear quaternionic structure.

Moreover, for any such vector spaces \(F, F'\) and quaternionic linear isomorphisms \(\alpha, \alpha'\) there exists a pair \((a, b)\), with \(a \in \text{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})\) and \(b : F \to F'\) a linear isomorphism such that \(\alpha' = (a \otimes b) \circ \alpha\); moreover, if \(E\) is nontrivial, \((a, b)\) and \((-a, -b)\) are the only pairs with these properties.

**Proof.** This is a quick consequence of Proposition 1.2. \(\square\)
It is useful to reformulate Corollary 1.3, as follows, where \( \mathbb{C}P^1 \) is embedded as the conic of nilpotent elements of \( \mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{C}) \).

**Corollary 1.4.** Let \( [\sigma] \) be a linear quaternionic structure on \( E \). Then \( \dim E = 2k \), for some \( k \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\} \), and, on associating to any \( A \in \mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{C}) \setminus \{0\} \) with \( A^2 = 0 \) the kernel of \( \sigma(A) \), we obtain a well defined (in particular, depending only of \( [\sigma] \)) map \( \zeta : \mathbb{C}P^1 \to \text{Gr}_k(E) \) with the following properties:

(i) \( \zeta \) is an embedding,

(ii) the pull back through \( \zeta \) of the tautological exact sequence of vector bundles over \( \text{Gr}_k(E) \) gives

\[
0 \to \mathcal{O}(-1) \otimes F \to \mathcal{O} \otimes E \to \mathcal{O}(1) \otimes F \to 0 ,
\]

for some vector space \( F \).

Conversely, any map from \( \mathbb{C}P^1 \) to \( \text{Gr}_k(E) \) satisfying (i) and (ii) is obtained this way from a unique linear quaternionic structure.

**Proof.** If \( \zeta : \mathbb{C}P^1 \to \text{Gr}_k(E) \) satisfies (i) and (ii) then the cohomology sequence of (1.1) gives \( E = H^0(\mathcal{O}(1) \otimes F) = \mathbb{C}^2 \otimes F \). \( \square \)

The correspondence of Corollary 1.4 is functorial in an obvious way.

Let \( \zeta : \mathbb{C}P^1 \to \text{Gr}_k(E) \) be the embedding corresponding to a linear quaternionic structure on \( E \), \( \dim E = 2k \). We denote \( E_z = \zeta(z) \), for any \( z \in \mathbb{C}P^1 \).

**Definition 1.5** ([11]). A linear \( \rho \)-quaternionic structure on a vector space \( U \) is a pair \((E, \rho)\), where \( E \) is a quaternionic vector space and \( \rho : E \to U \) is a linear map such that \( E_z \cap \ker \rho = \{0\} \), for any \( z \in \mathbb{C}P^1 \). A \( \rho \)-quaternionic vector space is a vector space endowed with a linear \( \rho \)-quaternionic structure.

If in Definition 1.5, \( E \) is a hypercomplex vector space then we obtain the notion of \( \rho \)-hypercomplex vector space.

Let \((E, \rho)\) be a linear \( \rho \)-quaternionic structure on \( U \). Then \( z \mapsto \rho(E_z) \), \( (z \in \mathbb{C}P^1) \), defines an embedding of \( \mathbb{C}P^1 \) into \( \text{Gr}_k(U) \), where \( \dim E = 2k \). Moreover, the ‘restriction’ to \( \mathbb{C}P^1 \) of the tautological vector bundle over \( \text{Gr}_k(U) \) is (isomorphic to) \( \mathcal{O}(1) \otimes F \), for some vector space \( F \), and we, thus, obtain an exact sequence

\[
0 \to \mathcal{O}(-1) \otimes F \to \mathcal{O} \otimes U \to \mathcal{U} \to 0 ;
\]

in particular, \( \mathcal{U} \) is nonnegative.

Note that [11], the exact sequence (1.2) determines \((E, \rho)\). Indeed, the cohomology sequence of its dual gives a linear map from \( H^0(\mathcal{O} \otimes U^*) = U^* \) to \( H^0(kO(1)) = E^* \) which is the transpose of \( \rho \). We call \( \mathcal{U} \) the (holomorphic) vector bundle of \((U, E, \rho)\).

Conversely, as [14] any nonnegative vector bundle over the sphere is uniquely obtained through an exact sequence like (1.2), we obtain a functorial correspondence between \( \rho \)-quaternionic vector spaces and nonnegative vector bundles over the sphere.
Note that, here, the morphisms of vector bundles are covering (holomorphic) diffeomorphisms of the sphere. If we restrict to morphisms covering the identity map we obtain the basic functorial correspondence between $\rho$-hypercomplex vector spaces and nonnegative vector bundles over the sphere.

2. The infinitesimal Ward transform

We start this section with the following simple fact which will be useful later on.

**Proposition 2.1.** Let $\Phi : \mathcal{U}' \to \mathcal{U}$ be a surjective morphism of vector bundles over the sphere whose kernel is nonnegative.

Then $\mathcal{U}$ is nonnegative if and only if $\mathcal{U}'$ is nonnegative; equivalently, $\mathcal{U}$ is the vector bundle of a $\rho$-quaternionic vector space if and only if $\mathcal{U}'$ is the vector bundle of a $\rho$-quaternionic vector space.

**Proof.** Let $\mathcal{U}'' = \ker \Phi$, and let $U$, $U'$ and $U''$ be the spaces of sections of $\mathcal{U}$, $\mathcal{U}'$, and $\mathcal{U}''$, respectively. The cohomology sequence of $0 \to \mathcal{U}'' \to \mathcal{U}' \xrightarrow{\Phi} \mathcal{U} \to 0$ gives, because $\mathcal{U}''$ is nonnegative, an exact sequence of vector spaces $0 \to \mathcal{U}'' \to \mathcal{U}' \xrightarrow{\varphi} \mathcal{U} \to 0$, where $\varphi$ is a surjective linear map.

We know that, for example, $\mathcal{U}$ is nonnegative if and only if, for any $z \in \mathbb{C}P^1$, the restriction map $r_z : U \to U_z$, $s \mapsto s_z$, is surjective.

Let $z \in \mathbb{C}P^1$, and denote by $r'_z$ and $r''_z$ the corresponding restriction maps of $\mathcal{U}'$ and $\mathcal{U}''$, respectively. As $\mathcal{U}''$ is nonnegative and the restriction of $r'_z$ to $\mathcal{U}''$ is $r''_z$, we have $\mathcal{U}''_z \subseteq \text{im } r'_z$. Hence, by Lemma 2.2, below, $r'_z$ is surjective if and only if $\Phi \circ r'_z$ is surjective. On the other hand, as $\varphi$ is surjective, $r_z$ is surjective if and only if $r_z \circ \varphi$ is surjective. Together with $\Phi \circ r'_z = r_z \circ \varphi$, this completes the proof. □

**Lemma 2.2.** Let $T : U \to V$ be a linear map and let $W \subseteq V$ be a vector subspace; denote by $p : V \to V/W$ the projection.

Then $T$ is surjective if and only if $p \circ T$ is surjective and $W \subseteq \text{im } T$.

**Proof.** Note that the condition $W \subseteq \text{im } T$ is equivalent to the condition that the annihilator of $W$ contains the kernel of the transpose of $T$. Thus, the dual of the conclusion reads: $T$ is injective if and only if $T|_W$ is injective and $\ker T \subseteq W$. As this is obvious, the proof is complete. □

**Proposition 2.3.** Let $(U, E, \rho)$ and $(U', E', \rho')$ be $\rho$-quaternionic vector spaces and let $\mathcal{U}$ and $\mathcal{U}'$ be their vector bundles, respectively.

Let $\varphi : \mathcal{U}' \to \mathcal{U}$ be a surjective $\rho$-quaternionic linear map. Denote by $\tilde{\varphi} : E' \to E$ the quaternionic linear map, and by $\Phi : \mathcal{U}' \to \mathcal{U}$ the (surjective) morphism of vector bundles corresponding to $\varphi$.

Then the following assertions are equivalent:

(i) $\tilde{\varphi}$ is an isomorphism,

(ii) $\dim E = \dim E'$ and $E'_z \cap \ker \varphi = \{0\}$, for any $z \in \mathbb{C}P^1$. 

(iii) \( \ker \Phi \) is a trivial vector bundle.

Moreover, if (i), (ii) or (iii) hold then \( \ker \Phi = \mathcal{O} \otimes \ker \varphi \).

Proof. Firstly, note that both (i) and (ii) are equivalent to the fact that (up to a
diffeomorphism of the sphere) \( \varphi \) maps each \( E'_z \) isomorphically onto \( E_z \).

Now, note that, \( \ker \varphi \) is the space of sections of \( \ker \Phi \). Thus,
\( E'_z \cap \ker \varphi = \{0\} \), for any \( z \in \mathbb{C}P^1 \), if and only if the following fact holds: (a) the nonzero sections of \( \ker \Phi \)
are nonzero at each point.

Also, \( \dim E = \dim E' \) is equivalent to the following fact: (b) the dimension of \( \ker \varphi \)
is equal to the rank of \( \ker \Phi \).

To complete the proof of the equivalence of (i), (ii) and (iii), just note that (iii)
holds if and only if both (a) and (b) hold. \( \square \)

Note that, if \((E, \rho)\) is a linear \( \rho \)-quaternionic structure on \( U \) then \( \rho : E \to U \) is
a \( \rho \)-quaternionic linear map. Thus, if \( E \) and \( U \) are the vector bundles of \( E \) and \( U \),
respectively, then \( \rho \) corresponds to a morphism of vector bundles \( R : E \to U \) whose
kernel and cokernel are \( \mathcal{O} \otimes \ker \rho \) and \( \mathcal{O} \otimes \coker \rho \), respectively. Consequently, the
following assertions are equivalent:

(i) \( \rho \) is surjective,
(ii) \( R \) is surjective,
(iii) \( U \) is positive.

Thus, if \( \rho \) is surjective then \( 0 \to \mathcal{O} \otimes \ker \rho \to E \xrightarrow{\rho} U \to 0 \), and the cohomology
sequence of the dual of this exact sequence gives \( (\ker \rho)^* = H^1(U^*) \).

The first part of the next result can be seen as the infinitesimal Ward transform.

**Theorem 2.4.** Let \( 0 \to U'' \to U' \xrightarrow{\Phi} U \to 0 \) be an exact sequence of holomorphic
vector bundles over the sphere with \( U \) nonnegative and \( U'' \) trivial.

Then \( U' \) is nonnegative and, if \((U, E, \rho)\) and \((U', E', \rho')\) are the \( \rho \)-quaternionic vector
spaces corresponding to \( U \) and \( U' \), respectively, then the \( \rho \)-quaternionic linear map
\( \varphi : U \to U' \) determined by \( \Phi \) is surjective and induced by a quaternionic linear iso-
morphism \( E = E' \); moreover, any such \( \rho \)-quaternionic linear map is obtained this way
from a surjective morphism of nonnegative vector bundles whose kernel is trivial.

Furthermore, if \( U \) is positive then, under the linear isomorphisms \( E = E' \) and
\( (\ker \rho)^* = H^1(U^*) \), the linear map \( \rho'|_{\ker \rho} \) corresponds to the opposite of the cohomology
class of the given exact sequence; also, \( U' \) is a quaternionic vector space (equal to \( E' \))
if and only if \( \rho'|_{\ker \rho} \) is an isomorphism.

Proof. Proposition 2.1 gives that \( U' \) is nonnegative, whilst Proposition 2.3 gives the
identification \( E = E' \) and the fact that \( U'' = \mathcal{O} \otimes \ker \varphi \).

As \( \langle \text{under } E = E' \rangle \) we have \( \varphi \circ \rho' = \rho \) we, also, have \( \rho'(\ker \rho) \subseteq \ker \varphi \). Thus, if \( U \) is positive and we denote by \( E \) the vector bundle of \( E \), we obtain the following
commutative diagram
which, through the cohomology sequences of the rows of its dual, gives

\[
\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
0 & \to & \mathcal{O} \otimes \ker \rho & \to & \mathcal{E} & \to & \mathcal{U} & \to & 0 \\
& & & & & & \downarrow & & \\
0 & \to & \mathcal{O} \otimes \ker \varphi & \to & \mathcal{U}' & \phi & \to & \mathcal{U} & \to & 0 ,
\end{array}
\]

where, because \( \mathcal{O} \otimes (\ker \varphi)^* \) is trivial, the linear map \( o : (\ker \varphi)^* \to H^1(\mathcal{U}') \) is, up to the equality of \( \text{Hom}((\ker \varphi)^*, H^1(\mathcal{U}')) \) and \( H^1(\text{Hom}(\mathcal{O} \otimes (\ker \varphi)^*, \mathcal{U}')) \), the cohomology class of the dual of the given exact sequence (determined by \( \Phi \)). As this cohomology class is the opposite (of the transpose) of the cohomology class of the given sequence \([1, \text{Proposition 3}]\), it remains to prove the last statement. But \( o \) is an isomorphism if and only if the cohomology groups of the dual of \( \mathcal{U}' \) are zero. As the latter condition is equivalent to \( \mathcal{U}' = \mathcal{O}(1) \otimes F \), for some vector space \( F \), the proof is complete. \( \Box \)

As a short exact sequence of vector bundles is determined, only up to an equivalence class, by its cohomology class (see \([1]\)), it is, obviously, useful to work with cocycles, instead. This way, we obtain another description of the isomorphism \( (\ker \rho)^* = H^1(\mathcal{U}') \), where \((\mathcal{U}, E, \rho)\) is a \( \rho \)-quaternionic vector space, with \( \rho \) surjective, and \( \mathcal{U} \) is its vector bundle.

**Remark 2.5.** Let \( \mathcal{U} \) be a positive vector bundle over the sphere and let \((\mathcal{U}, E, \rho)\) be the corresponding \( \rho \)-quaternionic vector space. Then \( U = H^0(\mathcal{U}) \) and, on fixing a linear quaternionic isomorphism \( E = \mathbb{C}^2 \otimes F \), the dual of \([12]\) gives

\[
\begin{array}{cccccc}
0 & \to & \mathcal{U}' & \to & \mathcal{O} \otimes U^* & \to & \mathcal{O}(1) \otimes F^* & \to & 0 .
\end{array}
\]

Identify the sphere with \( \mathbb{C} \sqcup \{\infty\} \) and take a cover of it \( \{D_0, D_\infty\} \) such that \( D_0 \) is an open disk with centre 0 containing the unit circle \( S^1 \) (with centre 0), and \( D_\infty \) is the complement of a closed disk with centre 0 and which is disjoint from \( S^1 \); in particular, \( D_0 \cap D_\infty \) is an open annulus containing \( S^1 \).

Any element of \( H^1(\mathcal{U}^*) \) is represented by a section \( h \) of \( \mathcal{U}^* \) over \( D_0 \cap D_\infty \). Then there exist unique holomorphic maps \( h_0 : D_0 \to U^* \) and \( h_\infty : D_\infty \to U^* \) such that \( h_\infty(\infty) = 0 \) and \( \iota \circ h = h_0 - h_\infty \) on \( D_0 \cap D_\infty \). By using the Cauchy formula we deduce that, up to a constant factor, we have

\[
\int_0^{2\pi} (\iota \circ h)(\gamma(t)) \, dt = h_0(0) ,
\]
where $\gamma$ is the canonical parametrization of $S^1$.

Now, there is a unique $\xi \in E^*$ whose corresponding section $s_\xi$ of $\mathcal{O}(1) \otimes F^*$ is equal to $\tau \circ h_0$ at 0 and is zero at $\infty$. Equivalently, as $h_0$ and $h_\infty$ differ by a section of ker $\tau$, on the intersection of their domains, $\tau \circ h_0$ and $\tau \circ h_\infty$ are the restrictions of $s_\xi$ to $D_0$ and $D_\infty$, respectively.

The space of sections of $\mathcal{O}(1) \otimes F^*$ which are zero at $\infty$ is supplementary to the image of $H^0(\tau)$. Consequently, $h \mapsto \alpha(h) = \int_0^{2\pi} (\iota \circ h)(\gamma(t)) \, dt$ is a natural (up to the choice of the ‘Poles’) lift of the isomorphism $H^1(U^*) = E^*/U^*(= (\text{ker} \rho)^*)$ determined by the cohomology sequence of (2.1). Finally, note that, the element of $(\text{ker} \rho)^*$ corresponding to the cohomology class $[h]$ is the image of $\alpha(h)$ through a surjective linear map $p : U^* \to (\text{ker} \rho)^*$, whose kernel is $(U^* \cap \text{Ann}(E_0)) + (U^* \cap \text{Ann}(E_\infty))$, where $U^*$ is embedded into $E^*$ through $\rho^*$.

## 3. The Ward transform

A bundle of associative algebras is a vector bundle whose typical fibre is an associative algebra and whose structural group is the automorphism group of that algebra.

A quaternionic vector bundle is a vector bundle $E$ endowed with a pair $(\mathcal{A}, \sigma)$, where $\mathcal{A}$ is a bundle of associative algebras, with typical fibre $\text{gl}(2, \mathbb{C})$, and $\sigma : \mathcal{A} \to \text{End}E$ is a morphism of bundles of associative algebras. If $\mathcal{A} = M \times \text{gl}(2, \mathbb{C})$ then $E$ is a hypercomplex vector bundle.

Alternatively, a quaternionic vector bundle is a vector bundle $E$ with typical fibre $\mathbb{C}^2 \otimes \mathbb{C}^k$ and structural group $\text{SL}(2, \mathbb{C}) \cdot \text{GL}(k, \mathbb{C})$ acting on the typical fibre such that to any $\pm(a, A) \in \text{SL}(2, \mathbb{C}) \cdot \text{GL}(k, \mathbb{C})$ we associate $a \otimes A$, $(k \in \mathbb{N})$.

A hypercomplex vector bundle can be defined, also, as a vector bundle $E$ with typical fibre $\mathbb{C}^2 \otimes \mathbb{C}^k$ and structural group $\text{GL}(k, \mathbb{C})$ acting on the typical fibre such that to any $A \in \text{GL}(k, \mathbb{C})$ we associate $\text{Id}_{\mathbb{C}^2} \otimes A$, $(k \in \mathbb{N})$.

Let $(E, \mathcal{A}, \sigma)$ be a quaternionic vector bundle. The kernels of the nilpotent elements of $\mathcal{A}\setminus 0$ form the total space of a sphere bundle $\pi : Y \to M$. Moreover, on associating to any nilpotent $A \in \mathcal{A}\setminus 0$ the kernel of $\sigma(A)$ we obtain a bundle morphism $\zeta : Y \to \text{Gr}_k(E)$ which determine $(\mathcal{A}, \sigma)$, where rank $E = 2k$. With respect to the principal bundle with structural group $\text{SL}(2, \mathbb{C}) \cdot \text{GL}(k, \mathbb{C})$, to which $E$ is associated, $Y$ is associated through the morphism of Lie groups $\text{SL}(2, \mathbb{C}) \cdot \text{GL}(k, \mathbb{C}) \to \text{PGL}(2, \mathbb{C})$, $\pm(a, A) \mapsto \pm a$.

### Definition 3.1

A $\rho$-quaternionic ($\rho$-hypercomplex) vector bundle is a vector bundle $U$, over a manifold $M$, endowed with a pair $(E, \rho)$, where $E$ is a quaternionic (hypercomplex) vector bundle over $M$ and $\rho : E \to U$ is a morphism of vector bundles such that $(E_x, \rho_x)$ is a linear $\rho$-quaternionic ($\rho$-hypercomplex) structure on $U_x$, for any $x \in M$.

An almost $\rho$-quaternionic ($\rho$-hypercomplex) manifold is a manifold whose tangent bundle is $\rho$-quaternionic ($\rho$-hypercomplex).
Let \((M, E, \rho)\) be an almost \(\rho\)-quaternionic manifold, rank \(E = 2k\). Denote \(H = \text{SL}(2, \mathbb{C}) \cdot \text{GL}(k, \mathbb{C})\) and let \((P, M, H)\) be the bundle of ‘quaternionic frames’ of \(E\); that is, \(E\) is associated to \(P\) through the action of \(H\) on \(\mathbb{C}^2 \otimes \mathbb{C}^k\).

To define the notion of integrability, adequate to this context, we need the ‘principal \(\rho\)-connections’ of \(\mathbb{C}\). For \((P, M, H)\) and \(\rho : E \to M\) this is as follows. Let \(TP/H\) be the vector bundle over \(M\) whose sections, over some open subset \(U \subseteq M\), are the \(H\)-invariant vector fields on \(P|_U\). Denote by \(d\pi_P\) the morphism of vector bundles from \(TP/H\) to \(TM\) induced by \(d\pi_P\), where \(\pi_P : P \to M\) is the projection.

A principal \(\rho\)-connection on \(P\) is a morphism of vector bundles \(c_P : E \to TP/H\) such that \(d\pi_P \circ c_P = \rho\). Then, similarly to the case of (classical) principal connections \(\mathbb{C}\), the obstruction to the existence of principal \(\rho\)-connections on \(P\) is an element of \(H^1(M, \text{Hom}(E, \text{Ad}P))\). Furthermore, up to the curvature (for which, one needs a suitable bracket on the sheaf of sections of \(E\)) the theory of principal connections admits a straight generalization to principal \(\rho\)-connections. In particular, any principal \(\rho\)-connection \(c_P\) on \(P\) determines an associated connection on \(Y\) which is a morphism of vector bundles \(c : \pi^*E \to TY\) which when composed with the morphism from \(TY\) to \(\pi^*(TM)\), determined by \(d\pi\), gives \(\pi^\ast\rho\). Now, let \(\mathcal{C}\) be the distribution on \(Y\) given by \(\mathcal{C}_y = c(\zeta(y))\), for any \(y \in Y\).

**Definition 3.2** \(\mathbb{C}\). The almost \(\rho\)-quaternionic structure \((E, \rho)\) is integrable, with respect to \(c\), if \(\mathcal{C}\) is integrable. Then \((M, E, \rho, c)\) is a \(\rho\)-quaternionic manifold.

Suppose, further, that there exists a surjective submersion \(\psi : Y \to Z\) such that \(\ker d\psi = \mathcal{C}\), and \(\psi\) restricted to each fibre of \(\pi\) is injective. Then \(Z\) is the twistor space of \((M, E, \rho, c)\), and, for any \(x \in M\), the sphere \(\psi(\pi^{-1}(x))\), embedded into \(Z\), is a twistor sphere.

Let \((M, E, \rho, c)\) be a \(\rho\)-quaternionic manifold such that \(E\) is a hypercomplex vector bundle and \(c\) is (induced by) the trivial flat connection corresponding to the isomorphism \(Y = M \times \mathbb{C}P^1\). Then \((M, E, \rho, c)\) is a \(\rho\)-hypercomplex manifold.

Let \((M, E, \rho, c)\) be a \(\rho\)-hypercomplex manifold with twistor space \(Z\) given by the surjective submersion \(\psi : Y \to Z\). We shall, further, assume that the projection \(Y = M \times \mathbb{C}P^1 \to \mathbb{C}P^1\) factorises into \(\psi\) followed by a submersion from \(Z\) onto \(\mathbb{C}P^1\).

A \(\rho\)-quaternionic manifold for which \(\rho\) is surjective is called a quaternionic object. A \(\rho\)-hypercomplex manifold for which \(\rho\) is surjective is called a hypercomplex object.

**Definition 3.3.** Let \((M, E, \rho, c)\) be a \(\rho\)-quaternionic manifold; denote by \(\pi : Y \to M\) the sphere bundle induced by \((E, \rho)\) and by \(\mathcal{C}\) the foliation induced by \(c\).

Let \((P, M, G)\) be a principal bundle endowed with a principal \(\rho\)-connection \(c_P\). We say that \(c_P\) is anti-self-dual if (locally) its restriction to the image through \(\pi\) of any leaf of \(\mathcal{C}\) is (induced by) a flat principal connection.

It is useful to have an alternative description of the anti-self-duality condition for connections. For this, with the same notations as in Definition\(\mathbb{C}\), note that, \(c\) allows
us to take the pull back through $\pi$ of any principal $\rho$-connection $c_P$ on $(P, M, G)$. Then $c_P$ is anti-self-dual if and only if $\pi^*(c_P)$ restricted to $C$ is flat.

Now, we can give the main result of this section.

**Theorem 3.4.** Let $(M, E, \rho, c)$ be a $\rho$-quaternionic manifold with twistor space $Z$ given by $\psi : Y \to Z$.

Then for any principal bundle $(P, Z, G)$, whose restriction to each twistor sphere is trivial, there exists a unique principal bundle $(P, M, G)$, endowed with an anti-self-dual principal $\rho$-connection, such that $\psi^*P = \pi^*P$.

Conversely, if the fibres of $\psi$ are simply connected then any principal bundle over $M$, endowed with an anti-self-dual principal $\rho$-connection, is obtained, this way, from a unique principal bundle over $Z$, whose restriction to each twistor sphere is trivial.

**Proof.** Let $(P, M, G)$ be a principal bundle over $Z$ whose restriction to each twistor sphere is trivial; denote by $\Phi$ its projection. Then through each point of $P$ passes an embedded sphere which is diffeomorphically projected by $\Phi$ onto a twistor sphere. Moreover, if $t \subseteq P$ is such a sphere then $d\Phi$ induces an exact sequence

\[
0 \to \ker(d\Phi|_t) \to Nt \to Nt \to 0,
\]

where $Nt$ and $Nt$ are the normal bundles of $t$ and $\Phi(t)$ into $P$ and $Z$, respectively. From Theorem 2.4 we obtain that $Nt$ is nonnegative. Hence, by [11], there exists a $\rho$-quaternionic manifold $(P, E', \rho', c')$ whose twistor space is $P$. Moreover, $G$ acts freely on $P$ and $\Phi$ corresponds to a surjective submersion $\varphi : P \to M$; consequently, $(P, M, G)$ is a principal bundle. Also, the sphere bundle of $(E', \rho')$ can be canonically identified with both $\psi^*P$ and $\pi^*P$.

Now, by applying, again, Theorem 2.4, we deduce that $E' = \varphi^*E$ and $\tilde{d}\varphi \circ \rho' = \varphi^*\rho$, where $\tilde{d}\varphi : TP \to \varphi^*(TM)$ is the morphism of vector bundles induced by $d\varphi$. Thus, as $\rho'$ is also $G$-invariant, it defines a principal $\rho$-connection on $(P, M, G)$, whose pull back by $\pi$ (with respect to $c$) must be flat along the fibres of $\psi$, because $\psi^*P = \pi^*P$.

Conversely, suppose that the fibres of $\psi$ are simply-connected and let $(P, M, G)$ be a principal bundle endowed with an anti-self-dual connection $c_P$. Then there exists a principal bundle $(P, Z, G)$ such that $\psi^*P = \pi^*P$. As the restriction of $\pi^*P$ to each fibre of $\pi$ is trivial, the proof is complete. \[\square\]

4. THE WARD TRANSFORM AND HYPER-KähLER MANIFOLDS

Let $(M, E, \rho, c)$ be a hypercomplex object with twistor space $Z$ given by the surjective submersion $\psi : Y = M \times \mathbb{C}P^1 \to Z$. Recall that the normal bundles of the twistor spheres are positive, and we assume that the projection $Y = \mathbb{C}P^1$ factorises as $\chi \circ \psi$, where $\chi : Z \to \mathbb{C}P^1$ is a surjective submersion; in particular, the restriction of $\chi$ to each twistor sphere is a diffeomorphism. Therefore, for any $z \in \mathbb{C}P^1$, the restriction of $\psi$ to $M \times \{z\}$ defines a surjective submersion $\psi_z : M \to \chi^{-1}(z)$.

Let $(P, Z, G)$ be a principal bundle whose restriction to each twistor sphere is trivial,
and let \((P, M, G)\) be the principal bundle endowed with a principal \(ρ\)-connection \(c\) obtained through the Ward transform. Recall that \(ρ : E = \mathbb{C}^2 \otimes F \rightarrow TM\) is the surjective morphism of vector bundles defining the almost \(ρ\)-hypercomplex structure of \(M\), where \(F\) is some vector bundle over \(M\). Also, \(c : E \rightarrow TP/G\) is a morphism of vector bundles such that \(d\varphi \circ c = ρ\), where \(φ : P \rightarrow M\) is the projection and \(d\varphi : TP/G \rightarrow TM\) is the induced morphism of vector bundles. In particular, the restriction of \(c\) to \(\ker ρ\) takes values into \(\text{Ad} P(\subseteq TP/G)\).

From Theorem [2.3], we obtain that \(c|_{\ker ρ} : \ker ρ \rightarrow \text{Ad} P\) is an isomorphism if and only if \(P\) is hypercomplex and its twistor space is \(\mathcal{P}\).

From now on, in this section, we shall assume that \(c|_{\ker ρ}\) is an isomorphism, and we shall seek sufficient conditions under which \(P\) is endowed with a compatible hyper-Kähler structure. Let \(\mathcal{E} \subseteq TP/G\) be the preimage of \(\ker χ\) through \(d\Phi\), where \(Φ : \mathcal{P} \rightarrow Z\) is the projection and \(d\Phi : TP/G \rightarrow TZ\) is induced by \(d\Phi\). Then the restriction of \(\mathcal{F} = χ^*(\mathcal{O}(−1)) \otimes \mathcal{E}\) to each twistor sphere is trivial; moreover, the bundle over \(M\) induced by the Ward transform is \(F\). (Note that, the condition \(\mathcal{F}\) restricted to each twistor sphere be trivial is equivalent to \(c|_{\ker ρ}\) be an isomorphism.)

**Proposition 4.1.** Any compatible hyper-Kähler metric on \(P\), invariant under \(G\), corresponds to a linear symplectic structure on \(\mathcal{F}\).

Moreover, if \(\text{dim} P \geq 8\) then any linear conformal symplectic structure on \(\mathcal{F}\) induces, locally, a compatible hyper-Kähler metric on \(P\).

**Proof.** Let \(∇\) be the Obata connection on \(P\). This is characterized by the fact that it is torsion free and compatible with the almost hypercomplex structure of \(P\). It can be, also, described as follows. Let \(χ_P = χ ◦ Φ\), where \(Φ : \mathcal{P} \rightarrow Z\) is the projection, and let \(E_P = ϕ^*E = \mathbb{C}^2 \otimes F_P\), where \(F_P = ϕ^*F\). Then \(F_P\) corresponds, through the Ward transform, to \(F_P = χ_P^*(\mathcal{O}(−1)) \otimes (\ker dχ_P)\); denote by \(∇^{F_P}\) the corresponding anti-self-dual connection on \(F_P\). Then \(∇\) is the tensor product of the trivial connection on \(P \times \mathbb{C}^2\) and \(∇^{F_P}\).

Now, any compatible hyper-Kähler metric on \(P\) corresponds to a linear symplectic structure on \(F_P\) which is covariantly constant with respect to \(∇^{F_P}\). Together with the Ward transform, this shows that any compatible hyper-Kähler metric on \(P\) corresponds to a linear symplectic structure on \(\mathcal{F}_P\). This quickly implies the first statement.

For the second statement, note that, any linear conformal symplectic structure on \(\mathcal{F}_P\) induces a conformal structure on \(P\) which is Hermitian; that is, for any \(z \in \mathbb{C}P^1\), the fibres of \(ψ_z\) are isotropic with respect to this conformal structure. As \(∇\) preserves this conformal structure and \(\text{dim} P \geq 8\), this completes the proof. □

We know that the \(ρ\)-quaternionic vector spaces whose vector bundles are positive line bundles are given by the irreducible representations \(U_k\) of \(\text{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})\), with \(\text{dim} U_k = k+1\), \(k \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}\). The linear \(ρ\)-quaternionic structure of \(U_k\) is given by \(E = U_1 \otimes U_{k−1} = U_{k−2} \oplus U_k\) and the projection \(ρ : E \rightarrow U_k\), \((k \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\})\), where \(U_0\) is the trivial
one-dimensional representation. Also, the vector bundle of $U_k$ is $O(k)$, and, if $k$ is even, there exists a $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$-invariant Euclidean structure $h_k$ on $U_k$, unique up to homotheties.

**Theorem 4.2.** If $\dim Z = 2$ and 4 divides $\dim P \geq 8$ then $P$ is endowed with a compatible hyper-Kähler metric.

**Proof.** Let $k \geq 4$ be such that $\dim P = 2k$. Then the normal bundle of each twistor sphere in $Z$ is $O(k)$, and we claim that the frame bundle of $\mathcal{F}$ admits a reduction to $GL(2, \mathbb{C})$ through its representation on $U_{k-1} (= \odot^{k-1} U_1)$, restricting to the corresponding irreducible representation of $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$.

Indeed, let $z \in Z$ and let $t \subseteq Z$ be a twistor sphere such that $z \in t$. Then $\mathcal{F}|_t$ is trivial and $d\phi$ induces a surjective morphism of vector bundles $\mu_t : \mathcal{F}|_t \rightarrow \chi^{(k)}_t (O(k-1))$ such that $H^0 (\mu_t)$ is an isomorphism. Consequently, the fibre $\mathcal{F}_z$ is endowed with a linear $\rho$-quaternionic structure, whose vector bundle is $O(k-1)$ and is therefore determined, up to a nonzero factor, by a Veronese embedding $\zeta_t : t \rightarrow P \mathcal{F}_z^2$. Now, for the generic twistor sphere $t' \subseteq Z$ with $z \in t'$ we have that $t$ and $t'$ intersect in $k$ ‘linearly independent’ points (because the twistor spheres in $Z$ have normal bundle $O(k)$). But $\zeta_t$ and $\zeta_{t'}$ are equal at each point of $t \cap t'$. Hence, up to the diffeomorphism $t \rightarrow t'$, induced by $\chi_P$, we have $\zeta_t = \zeta_{t'}$, and the claim is proved.

As $k$ is even, any nonzero element of $\Lambda^2 U_1$ determines a linear conformal symplectic structure on $\mathcal{F}$ and, by Proposition 4.1, the proof is complete. \qed

Next, in this section, we concentrate on proving that $\varphi$ of Theorem 4.2 is a harmonic morphism. Firstly, note that, if $Z$ is a surface and $\dim M = k+1$ then $\varphi$ is horizontally conformal with respect to the conformal structure induced by $h_k$ on $M$. Indeed, up to nonzero factors, at each point of $M$, the differential of $\varphi$ is modelled by the projection $U_1 \otimes U_{k-1} = U_{k-2} \oplus U_k \rightarrow U_k$. Now, we need the following.

**The Obata $\rho$-connection.** We shall use the same notations as in the beginning of this section with the further assumption that the normal bundle in $Z$ of one (and, hence, any) twistor sphere is $iO(k)$ for some positive integers $k$ and $l$. Therefore the restriction of $\ker d\chi$ to each twistor sphere is isomorphic to $iO(k)$. Thus, on denoting $F_M = \chi^*(O(-k)) \otimes (\ker d\chi)$, then the restriction of $F_M$ to each twistor sphere is trivial. Let $F_M$ be the vector bundle over $M$ endowed with an anti-self-dual $\rho$-connection $\nabla^{F_M}$ determined by $F_M$, through the Ward transform; obviously, rank $F_M = l$.

Consequently, $TM = (M \times U_k) \otimes F_M$ and we call the the tensor product $\nabla^M$ of (the $\rho$-connection induced by) the trivial connection on $M \times U_k$ and $\nabla^{F_M}$ the Obata $\rho$-connection of $M$.

To characterise the Obata $\rho$-connection, note that, up to integrability, the structure of $M$ is given by a reduction of its frame bundle to $GL(l, \mathbb{C})$ through its representation on $U_k \otimes \mathbb{C}^l$, given by $a \mapsto \text{Id}_{U_k} \otimes a$, for any $a \in GL(l, \mathbb{C})$.  

Proposition 4.3. The Obata $\rho$-connection $\nabla^M$ is characterized by the following:

1. $\nabla^M$ is compatible with the almost GL$(l,\mathbb{C})$-structure of $M$; in particular, $\nabla^M$ preserves $\ker d\psi_z$, for any $z \in \mathbb{C}P^1$.

2. The $\rho$-connection induced by $\nabla^M$ on the normal bundle of each fibre of $\psi_z$ is given by the partial Bott connection defined by $\ker d\psi_z$, for any $z \in \mathbb{C}P^1$.

Proof. Condition (1) applied to any connection $\nabla$ is equivalent to the fact that $\nabla$ is the tensor product of the trivial flat connection on $M \times U_k$ and a $\rho$-connection $\nabla_1$ on $F_M$. Assuming that this holds, $\nabla_1$ is anti-self-dual if and only if, for any $z \in \mathbb{C}P^1$, the restriction of $\nabla_1$ to the fibres of $\psi_z$ is flat.

Let $z \in \mathbb{C}P^1$. It is easy to see that the restriction of $F_M$ to any fibre of $\psi_z$ is isomorphic to the normal bundle of that fibre. Therefore, up to this isomorphisms, condition (2) applied to $\nabla_1$ and $z$ says that the restriction of $\nabla_1$ to each fibre of $\psi_z$ is the flat connection whose covariantly constant sections are characterized by the fact that are projectable with respect to $\psi_z$.

Now, recall that $F_M$ is characterised by $\psi^*(F_M) = \pi^*(F_M)$, and $\nabla^{F_M}$ is characterized by the fact that its pull back to $Y = M \times \mathbb{C}P^1$ is the trivial flat connection when restricted to the fibres of $\psi$. As, essentially, $\psi_z$ is the restriction of $\psi$ to $M \times \{z\}$ we deduce that condition (2) characterizes $\nabla^M$ among the $\rho$-connections satisfying (1).

Suppose, now, that $l = 1$ and $k$ is even, and let $[h]$ be the conformal structure on $M$ induced by $h_k$. The almost $\rho$-hypercomplex structure of $M$ is given by $(E, \rho)$, where $E = (M \times (U_1 \otimes U_{k-1})) \otimes F_M$ and $\rho : E \to TM$ is induced by the projection $U_1 \otimes U_{k-1} = U_{k-2} \otimes U_k \to U_k$. Therefore $\rho$ admits a canonical section through which $TM$ becomes a subbundle of $E$. Thus, the Obata connection $\nabla^M$ induces, by restriction, a (classical) connection on $M$ which we shall denote by $D$.

Recall [6] that a function $f$, locally defined on $M$, is called harmonic (with respect to $D$ and $[h]$) if $\text{trace}_h(Ddf) = 0$.

Proposition 4.4. For any $z$ and for any function $w$ locally defined on $\chi^{-1}(z)$ the function $w \circ \psi_z$ is harmonic.

Proof. Firstly, we shall rewrite the harmonicity equation in a convenient way. For this, we shall, also, denote by $[h]$ the linear conformal structure on $E$ with respect to which $TM$ and $\ker \rho = (M \times U_{k-2}) \otimes F_M$ are orthogonal onto each other, and whose restriction onto the latter is induced by $h_{k-2}$.

Note that, for any (local) function $f$ on $M$ we have that $\nabla^M df$ is a section of $E^* \otimes T^*M$. Consequently, $\beta_f = (\text{Id}_{E^*} \otimes \rho^*)(\nabla^M df)$ is a section of $\otimes^2 E^*$. Then, as $\beta_f(V, V) = 0$ for any $V \in \ker \rho$, we obtain that $f$ is harmonic if and only if $\text{trace}_h(\beta_f) = 0$.

Let $\tilde{z} \in \mathbb{C}P^1 \setminus \{z\}$ and let $(s_1, \ldots, s_k, s_1, \ldots, s_k)$ be a local frame of $E$ with the following properties:

1. $(s_1, \ldots, s_k)$ and $(s_1, \ldots, s_k)$ are local frames of $(M \times \{\ell\} \otimes U_{k-1})) \otimes F_M$ and
2) the orthogonal ‘complements’ of $\rho(s_1)$ and $\rho(s_\ell)$ are $\ker d\psi_\ell$ and $\ker d\psi_\ell_\ell$, respectively (equivalently, under the embedding $TM \subseteq E$ we have that (the images of) $s_1$ and $s_\ell$ are contained by $\ker d\psi_\ell$ and $\ker d\psi_\ell_\ell$, respectively).

3) $\rho \circ s_a$ and $\rho \circ s_\ell$ are contained by the intersection of $\ker d\psi_\ell$ and $\ker d\psi_\ell_\ell$, for any $a = 1, \ldots, k$.

Then the only components of $h$, with respect to $(s_1, \ldots, s_k, s_1, \ldots, s_k^a)$, which may be nonzero are $h_{a_\ell} = h(s_a, s_b^a)$ and $h_{a_\ell} = h(s_b^a, s_a)$, with $a, b = 1, \ldots, k$. Denote by $(h_{ab})_{a,b}$ and $(h_{\ell a})_{a,b}$ the inverses of the matrices $(h_{ab})_{a,b}$ and $(h_{a_\ell})_{a,b}$, respectively.

Denote $f = w \circ \psi_\ell$ and note that $\ker df$ contains $\ker d\psi_\ell$ (restricted to the open set where $f$ is defined). Then $\text{trace}_h(\beta_f) = h_{a_\ell}^b \beta_f(s_a, s_b^a) + h_{a_\ell}^{a_\ell} \beta_f(s_a^a, s_b^a)$.

Now, by using Proposition 4.3 we deduce that $\beta_f(s_a, s_b^a) = 0$, for any $a$ and $b$, and $\beta_f(s_a, s_b^a) = 0$, if $b \geq 2$. Also, $h_{a_\ell}^a = 0$, for any $a \geq 2$.

Thus, $\text{trace}_h(\beta_f) = h^1 \beta_f(s_1, s_1^1)$. But, by using, again, Proposition 4.3, we obtain

$$
\beta_f(s_1, s_1^1) = (\nabla_M^s(d f)(\rho \circ s_1) = (\rho \circ s_1)((\rho \circ s_1)(f)) - (\nabla_M^s(\rho \circ s_1))(f) = (\rho \circ s_1)((\rho \circ s_1)(f)) - [\rho \circ s_1, \rho \circ s_1](f) = (\rho \circ s_1)((\rho \circ s_1)(f)) = 0.
$$

The proof is complete. \qed

In the following result $k \geq 4$ (is even), and $\varphi : (P, g) \to M$ is as in Theorem 1.2.

**Corollary 4.5.** The map $\varphi : (P, g) \to (M, [h], D)$ is a harmonic morphism; that is, it pulls back harmonic functions to harmonic functions. In particular, if $M$ is an open subset of $U_k$ then $\varphi$ is a harmonic morphism between Riemannian manifolds.

**Proof.** We already know that $\varphi$ is horizontally conformal. Thus, it is sufficient to show that $\varphi$ is harmonic; that is, $\text{trace}_g(\nabla \otimes D)(d\varphi) = 0$ (see [3]).

With the same notations as in Proposition 4.4, at each $x \in M$, the differentials of the harmonic functions $w \circ \psi_\ell$, $z \in CP^1$, generate $T_x^*M$. This fact, together with an application of the chain rule show that $\varphi$ is harmonic.

For the last statement, note that the Obata $\rho$-connection of $U_k$ is given by the trivial connection on $TU_k = U_k \times U_k$. Thus, $\varphi : (P, g) \to (U_k, h_k)$ is a harmonic morphism between Riemannian manifolds. \qed

The last statement of Corollary 4.5 still holds for $k = 2$; then $\varphi$ is given by the Gibbons–Hawking construction. Note that, up to the fact that $g$ is compatible with the hypercomplex structure of $P$, our approach works in this case as well.
5. Pluriharmonic functions and hypercomplex manifolds

In this section, we consider only hypercomplex objects, although some of the facts hold in the more general setting of $\rho$-hypercomplex manifolds.

Let $(M, E, \rho, c)$ be a hypercomplex object with twistor space $Z$ given by the surjective submersion $\psi : Y = M \times \mathbb{C}P^1 \to Z$. Recall that then the normal bundles of the twistor spheres are positive, and we assume that the projection $Y \to \mathbb{C}P^1$ factorises as $\chi \circ \psi$, where $\chi : Z \to \mathbb{C}P^1$ is a surjective submersion whose restriction to each twistor sphere is a diffeomorphism. Therefore, for any $z \in \mathbb{C}P^1$, the restriction of $\psi$ to $M \times \{z\}$ defines a surjective submersion $\psi_z : M \to \chi^{-1}(z)$.

Let $\gamma$ be a (parametrized) great circle on the sphere, which will be fixed throughout this section.

**Definition 5.1.** A function $u$ defined on some open set $U \subseteq M$ is called pluriharmonic if there exists a germ of a function $h$ along $\chi^{-1}(\text{im}\gamma)$ such that, for any $x \in U$,

\[
(5.1) \quad u(x) = \int h(\psi\gamma(t))(x) \, dt.
\]

The sheaf (of germs) of pluriharmonic functions is just the image of the Penrose transform, with respect to $\chi^*(\mathcal{O}(-1))$. Consequently, if $M$ is of constant type (equivalently, any two twistor spheres have isomorphic normal bundles), the sheaves of pluriharmonic and quaternionic pluriharmonic functions are equal (consequence of [12], where, also, the definition of the latter sheaf can be found).

In this section, $\mathcal{G}$ will denote an abelian Lie group given by a vector space; in particular, its Lie algebra is $\mathcal{G}$ and the exponential map is $\text{Id}_\mathcal{G}$.

Let $u : M \to \mathcal{G}$ be pluriharmonic. Then, with the same notations as in Remark 2.5, at least locally, we may suppose that $u$ is given by a $\mathcal{G}$-valued function $h$ defined on an open set of the form $\chi^{-1}(D_0 \cap D_\infty)$, for suitably chosen open disks $D_0$ and $D_\infty$. Then $h$ gives the cocycle defining a principal bundle $(\mathcal{P}, Z, \mathcal{G})$, which we call the principal bundle defined by $u$. (Note that, a sufficient condition for $\mathcal{P}$ to depend only of $u$ is that the Penrose transform be injective. In general, the reader may use the notation $u_h$ for the pluriharmonic function given by the germ $h$.)

In the following results, we shall use the notations given by Remark 2.5.

**Proposition 5.2.** Any principal bundle over $Z$ with structural group $\mathcal{G}$ is, locally, defined by a (nonunique) $\mathcal{G}$-valued pluriharmonic function on $M$.

Moreover, let $(\mathcal{P}, Z, \mathcal{G})$ be a principal bundle defined by the $\mathcal{G}$-valued pluriharmonic function $u$. Then $(\text{Id}_\mathcal{G} \otimes p)(du) = c_{\text{ker}\rho}$, where $c$ is the anti-self-dual principal $\rho$-connection of the principal bundle on $M$ corresponding to $\mathcal{P}$, through the Ward transform.

**Proof.** Let $(\mathcal{P}, Z, \mathcal{G})$ be a principal bundle. Let $x \in M$ and let $t_x \subseteq Z$ be the corresponding twistor sphere. By using [15], we can find two open subsets $D_0$ and $D_\infty$ of $Z$ which are Stein and, with the same notations as in Remark 2.5, applied to $t_x = \mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}$,
we have: \( D_0 \subseteq t_x \cap D_0 \) and \( D_\infty \subseteq t_x \cap D_\infty \).

By restricting to an open neighbourhood of \( x \) such that the twistor spheres corresponding to its points are contained by \( D_0 \cup D_\infty \), we may suppose that \( Z = D_0 \cup D_\infty \). Moreover, (by further restricting that neighbourhood of \( x \)), we may suppose that for any twistor sphere \( t \) we have that \( t \cap \chi^{-1}(\text{im}\gamma) \) is contained by \( D_0 \cup D_\infty \).

Then, with respect to the open covering \( \{D_0, D_\infty\} \), the principal bundle \( \mathcal{P} \) is given by a map \( h : D_0 \cap D_\infty \rightarrow \mathcal{G} \). Now, we define \( u : M \rightarrow \mathcal{G} \) by using (5.1), thus, proving the first statement.

Note that, because \( \mathcal{G} \) is abelian, \( dh \) gives a cocycle for the obstruction \( \mathcal{O} \) to the existence of a principal connection on \((\mathcal{P}, Z, \mathcal{G})\); denote by \( o \) this obstruction. As the restriction of \( \mathcal{P} \) to any twistor sphere \( t \) is trivial, from Proposition A.1 we obtain that \( o|_t \) is given by the exact sequence of (5.1) applied to \( t \) (and with \( \mathcal{G} = \mathcal{G} \)). Now, the second statement follows quickly from Theorem 2.4 and the proof of Theorem 3.4.

**Corollary 5.3.** Let \( u : M \rightarrow \mathcal{G} \) be pluriharmonic, and such that \((\text{Id}_\mathcal{G} \otimes p)(du_x) \in \text{End}(\ker \rho_x)\) is invertible, at some \( x \in M \).

Then, by passing, if necessary, to an open neighbourhood of \( x \), the principal bundle defined by \( u \) is the twistor space of a hypercomplex manifold.

**Proof.** This is an immediate consequence of Proposition 5.2 and Theorem 2.4. \( \square \)

Let \((U, E, \rho)\) be a \( \rho \)-quaternionic vector space, with \( \rho \) surjective, and let \( \mathcal{U} \) be its vector bundle. Obviously, \( U \) is a hypercomplex object with twistor space \( \mathcal{U} \). Note that, in this case, \( \chi : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}P^1 \) is the bundle projection. Also, recall that, there exists a canonical isomorphism \( H^1(\mathcal{U}^*) = (\ker \rho)^* \).

In the following result, we make no distinction between a principal bundle and its equivalence class.

**Corollary 5.4.** Let \( M \) be an open subset of \( U \), and let \( Z \subseteq \mathcal{U} \) be its twistor space. Denote by \( \mathcal{G} \) the abelian group \((\ker \rho, +)\).

Then there exists a natural correspondence between the following:

(i) Hypercomplex manifolds whose twistor spaces are principal bundles over \( Z \) with structural group \( \mathcal{G} \).

(ii) Elements of \( H^1(Z, \chi^*(\mathcal{U}^*)) \otimes \mathcal{G} \) whose restrictions to each twistor sphere are invertible.

Furthermore, if \( \mathcal{U} = \mathcal{O}(k) \), with \( k \geq 2 \) even, then any hypercomplex manifold \( P \) obtained through this correspondence may be endowed with a hyper-Kähler metric such that the projection \( P \rightarrow M \) be a harmonic morphism.

**Proof.** By using [2, Corollary] we obtain, similarly to [13, §5], a natural isomorphism \( H^1(Z, \mathcal{G}) = H^1(Z, \chi^*(\mathcal{U}^*)) \otimes \mathcal{G} \). Then the correspondence follows quickly from (the proof of) Proposition 5.2.

The last statement is an immediate consequence of Corollary 4.5. \( \square \)
Appendix A.

Here we prove a result used in the proof of Proposition 5.2. Recall that, we work in the complex-analytic category.

Let \((P, M, G)\) be a principal bundle, and let \(\pi : P \to M\) be its projection. Denote by \(o \in H^1(M, \text{Hom}(TM, \text{Ad}P))\) the obstruction \([1]\) to the existence of a principal connection on \(P\).

Let \(N \subseteq M\) be a submanifold and suppose that there exists \(s : N \to P\) a section of \(P|_N\). On identifying \(N\) and \(s(N)\) we have the following exact sequence of vector bundles over \(N\)

\[(A.1) \quad 0 \to (\ker d\pi)|_{s(N)} \to N_P N \to N_M N \to 0,\]

where \(N_P N\) and \(N_M N\) are the normal bundles of \(N\) in \(P\) and \(M\), respectively. Denote by \(o_N \in H^1(N, \text{Hom}(N_M N, (\text{Ad}P)|_N))\) the cohomology class of \((A.1)\).

**Proposition A.1.** If \(j^*(o|_N) = 0\) then \(o_N\) is the unique cohomology class such that \(k^*(o_N) = o|_N\), where \(j : TN \to TM|_N\) and \(k : TM|_N \to N_M N\) are the canonical morphisms of vector bundles.

**Proof.** We have the following commutative diagram

```
\[
\begin{array}{ccccccc}
0 & \to & N \times g & \to & N_P N & \to & N_M N & \to & 0 \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & k \\
0 & \to & N \times g & \to & TP|_{s(N)} & \to & TM|_N & \to & 0 \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & j \\
0 & \to & TN & = & TN & \to & 0 \\
\end{array}
\]
```

where we have used the isomorphism \((\ker d\pi)|_{s(N)} = N \times g\), induced by the fact that \(P|_N\) is trivial. Now, on dualizing the diagram and then by passing to the cohomology...
sequences of the rows we obtain the commutative diagram

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & \rightarrow & 0 \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
H^1(N, N^*_M N) & \xrightarrow{o_N} & H^0(N, N \times g^*) \\
\downarrow k^* & & \downarrow \\
H^1(N, T^* M|_N) & \xrightarrow{o'_N} & H^0(N, N \times g^*) \\
\downarrow j^* & & \downarrow \\
H^1(N, T^* N) & \leftarrow & 0 \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
0 & \rightarrow & 0
\end{array}
\]

from which the result quickly follows. □

References

[1] M. F. Atiyah, Complex analytic connections in fibre bundles, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **85** (1957) 181–207.
[2] N. Buchdahl, On the relative de Rham sequence, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **87** (1983) 363–366.
[3] P. Baird, J. C. Wood, Harmonic morphisms between Riemannian manifolds, London Math. Soc. Monogr. (N.S.), no. 29, Oxford Univ. Press, Oxford, 2003.
[4] G. W. Gibbons, S. W. Hawking, Gravitational multi-instantons, *Phys. Lett. B*, **78** (1978) 430–432.
[5] N. J. Hitchin, Monopoles and geodesics. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, **83** (1982) 579–602.
[6] E. Loubeau, R. Pantilie, Harmonic morphisms between Weyl spaces and twistorial maps, *Comm. Anal. Geom.*, **14** (2006) 847–881.
[7] S. Marchiafava, L. Ornea, R. Pantilie, Twistor Theory for CR quaternionic manifolds and related structures, *Monatsh. Math.*, **167** (2012) 531–545.
[8] S. Marchiafava, R. Pantilie, Twistor Theory for co-CR quaternionic manifolds and related structures, *Israel J. Math.*, **195** (2013) 347–371.
[9] R. Pantilie, Submersive harmonic maps and morphisms, Editura Academiei Române, Bucureşti, 2009.
[10] R. Pantilie, On the twistor space of a (co-)CR quaternionic manifold, *New York J. Math.*, **20** (2014) 959–971.
[11] R. Pantilie, On the embeddings of the Riemann sphere with nonnegative normal bundles, Preprint IMAR, Bucharest, 2013, (available from [http://arxiv.org/abs/1307.1993](http://arxiv.org/abs/1307.1993)).
[12] R. Pantilie, The Penrose transform in quaternionic geometry, Preprint IMAR, Bucharest, 2014, (available from [http://arxiv.org/abs/1411.2225](http://arxiv.org/abs/1411.2225)).
[13] H. Pedersen, Y. S. Poon, Hyper-Kähler metrics and a generalization of the Bogomolny equations, *Comm. Math. Phys.*, **117** (1988) 569–580.
[14] D. Quillen, Quaternionic algebra and sheaves on the Riemann sphere, *Q. J. Math.*, **49** (1998) 163–198.
[15] Y. T. Siu, Every Stein subvariety admits a Stein neighborhood, *Invent. Math.*, **38** (1976/77) 89–100.
E-mail address: radu.pantilie@imar.ro

R. Pantilie, Institutul de Matematică “Simion Stoilow” al Academiei Române, C.P. 1-764, 014700, București, România