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ABSTRACT

Estimation of optimal Air or oxygen is important for the combustion process to be efficient and produce more energy. This is to be based on each component of the fuel and the air, considering their respective pressure and density. At first, this research investigates the role of \( N_2, O_2, CO_2 \) present in combination with \( CH_4 \), and the air on the flame temperature; using simulation with Cantera 2.4. Results have been compared and calibrated with field data from KivuWatt company. It then demonstrates the way to achieve optimum Air Fuel Ratio (AFR) for the various species of the fuel. The results estimated the flame temperature by means of the percentages of all species of the fuel and the air, as well as various conditions of pressure and temperature. Finally, it combines all to show different values of optimum AFR at various species percentages; and uses a python program to create an AFR calculator available online through the link provided.
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Nomenclature:

AFR: Air-Fuel Ratio
\( v_a, v_o \): balancing constants
\( A_{act} \): Actual quantity of oxygen
\( \phi \): Equivalence ratio
\( M \): Mass
\( H \): Enthalpy
\( \omega \): The number of moles
\( \omega_0 \): The atomic weight
\( T_r \): Reference temperature
\( c_p \): Heat capacity
\( \rho \): Density
\( P \): Pressure
\( T \): The temperature
\( O \): Oxygen
\( H \): Hydrogen
\( C \): Carbon
\( S \): Sulphur
\( R \): Perfect gas constant
\( a_1 \) to \( a_6 \): coefficients of the thermodynamic system

Subscript \( F, i, in, OT, f, : \) fuel, any species, inlet, oxygen total, and formation respectively

1. Introduction

The combustion within the boiler burns fuel to create heat energy. The burning of fuel is the reaction of fuel with oxygen present in the air. The amount of fuel that can be burnt is limited by the oxygen present [1]. When all the fuel is not burnt, a part of it stays in the boiler and the other quantity goes to the atmosphere. This is the loss that reduces efficiency, and tends to pollute our environment [2]. Most of the fuels used in the boiler are hydrocarbons which release hydrogen and carbon as residuals, along with heat and pressure when burnt [3].

The quantity of these residuals and their temperatures impact the performance of the plant including the AFR [4]. The quantity of the exhaust depends both on the composition of the fuel, the composition of the air, and the effectiveness of the combustion [5]. In general, the global reaction of combustion is like

\[
\begin{align*}
 v_F CH_4 + v_O O_2 \rightarrow \text{products} \\
 \text{gives} \quad v_F F + v_O O_2 \rightarrow P
\end{align*}
\]

Let us see the combustion by taking into account the residuals within the fuel and the air.

1.1. Consideration of fuel and its impurities of the field and application

The general fuel formula is given by its composition of carbon, hydrogen, sulfur, oxygen, and nitrogen. So it is \( C_6H_5S_3O_5N_e \) [6]

Combustion equation is
\[ C_aH_bS_cO_dN_e + O_2 \overset{\text{gives}}{\longrightarrow} CO_2 + H_2O + SO_2 + N_2 \]  
From this composition, the mass of the fuel can be computed as

\[ M_F = aM_C + bM_H + cM_S + dM_O + eM_N \]  

To achieve effective combustion, each element needs a determined quantity of oxygen as follows [7]:

- a moles of \( O_2 \) are required to change \( C \) to \( CO_2 \)
- \( b/4 \) moles of \( O_2 \) are required to change \( H_2 \) to \( H_2O \)
- c moles of \( O_2 \) are required to change \( S_c \) to \( SO_2 \)
- The quantity of oxygen present in the fuel is subtracted from the quantity of oxygen required for complete combustion. That is, \( d/2 \) moles of oxygen are subtracted.
- Nitrogen is present in the fuel however it doesn’t undergo the combustion process (except at very high temperatures when some of it is converted to nitrogen oxides); hence it is not considered.

Therefore, the stoichiometric value of oxygen \( (A) \) is

\[ A = a + \frac{b}{4} + c - \frac{d}{2} \]  

In reality, the \( A_{act} \) is different from the stoichiometric value.

\[ A_{act} = \phi A \]  

Now let’s consider air instead. If all elements of the air are involved in the combustion process equation (2) becomes,

\[ CH_4 + (2/\phi)(O_2 + 3.76N_2) \]

\[ = CO_2 + 2H_2O + (4 - 4/\phi) + (7.52/\phi)N_2 \]  

For both equations (8) and (9) above, if \( \phi = 1 \), they give (7). These models are connected with the AFR by:

\[ AFR = \frac{\alpha A \sum_{i=1}^{n} r_i M_i}{M_F} \]  

where \( s = (M_{air}/M_F) \). At stoichiometry \( \phi = 1 \). Equation (10) shows that the equivalence ratio is higher when the air lessens, oppositely for the air fuel ratio, and there is an impact of the other species of the fuel and the air on the value of the AFR. The study has been done using the equivalence value instead of the AFR. By definition, the equivalence ratio is the ratio of actual fuel/air (\( FAR \)) to the stoichiometric fuel/air [9]. The stoichiometric value occurs only when all elements and respective quantities are considered in computation [10].

Cantera uses those combustion principles and conservation of enthalpy in the combustion equation at constant pressure [11] to find the value of the final temperature. That is, the enthalpy of the reactant is equal to the enthalpy of the product. Writing the described global combustion equation in the way that allows quantifying masses the reactant is at the temperature \( T_1 \) and the product at \( T_2 \).

\[ C_aH_bS_cO_dN_e + \phi A[O_2 + r_{N_2}N_2 + r_{CO_2}CO_2 + r_{H_2O}H_2O + r_{Ar}Ar] \overset{\text{gives}}{\longrightarrow} \]

\[ = \sum_{i=1}^{n} v_i M_i \overset{\text{gives}}{\longrightarrow} \sum_{i=1}^{n} v_i' M_i \]

Now the conservation principle gives

\[ H(T_1) = H(T_2) \]

\[ H(T_1) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} v_i (\Delta H_{f_i}^0 + \int_{T_0}^{T_1} c_{pi} dT) \]  

\[ H(T_2) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} v_i' (\Delta H_{f_i}^0 + \int_{T_1}^{T_2} c_{pi} dT) \]  

Using equation (12) yields
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\[
\sum_{i=1}^{n} v_i (\Delta H_{fi}^0 + \int_{T_1}^{T_f} c_{pi} \, dT) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} v_i (\Delta H_{fi}^0 + \int_{T_0}^{T_i} c_{pi} \, dT)
\]

Enthalpies of formation of molecular products are taken from thermodynamic table present in [12], so \( T_2 \) is the only unknown of equation (15). With Cantera, computation to deduce the value of \( T_2 \) is performed for all (16), and (8) cases, at different values of \( \Phi \).

The enthalpy is calculated by [13], [14]

\[
H = RT (a_1 + a_2 T^2 / 2 + a_3 T^3 / 3 + a_4 T^4 / 4 + \ldots + a_7 T^7 / 7 + a_8 / T)
\]

[15] generated by NASA at standard pressure; which indicates that to have higher flame is important in view of yielding more energy.

1.4. Algorithm for optimum AFR

The current section shows the algorithm for realizing optimum AFR based on the results from chapters 3 and 4 taking into account the fact that each species present in the fuel is to undergo complete combustion by a specified quantity of air.

The composition of the species in a hydrocarbon is provided in Table 1 considering most present composition species [16], [17]

| Species | Composition range(%) [18] |
|---------|--------------------------|
| C       | \( %_C: [48, 68] \) |
| H       | \( %_H: [25, 47] \) |
| S       | \( %_S: [0, 8] \) |
| O       | \( %_O: [8, 18] \) |

\[
%_C + %_H + %_S + %_O = 100
\]

For complete combustion, the stoichiometric value \( (S = AFR_{\text{req}}) \) is computed following (11) by

\[
S = \frac{v_{o} \omega_{o}}{v_{F} \omega_{F}}
\]

[19].

The value of the mass of oxygen to make combustion of each species \( i \) will be

\[
M_{o(i)} = S_i M_F \%_i
\]

\( \%_i \) is the percentage of species \( i \). In practice, the carbon present in the fuel is the source of carbon dioxide; hydrogen is the source of water, sulphur the source of sulphur dioxide [20].

\[
\begin{align*}
C + O_2 & \rightarrow CO_2 \\
H_2 + 0.5O_2 & \rightarrow H_2O \\
S + O_2 & \rightarrow SO_2
\end{align*}
\]

Using (18) and (19) gives the total mass of oxygen required to burn each element
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\[
M_{o(H)} + M_{o(C)} + M_{o(S)} = M_{oT}
\]

Because oxygen is 21\% of the air, the mass of air \( (M_{air}) \) is computed by (23).

\[
M_{air} = M_{oT} / 0.21
\]

Since oxygen composes 21\% of the air.

\[
M_{air} = 4.762 \times M_{oT}
\]

\[
AFR = \frac{M_{air}}{M_{fuel}}
\]

The algorithm of the air-fuel ratio and mass of the air is simply represented by Figure 1

This work deals with the estimation of the flame temperature at different compositions of the fuel and the air for various values of the air-fuel ratio and equivalence ratio. It also presents the method of reaching the optimum value of the air-fuel ratio and the mass of the air, taking into account initial pressure and temperature.

It has four sections: Section 1 is the introduction; section 2 for methodology, section 3 presents the result and its interpretation and finally concludes in section 4.

2. Methodology and process

Referring to models described above, numerical simulation is done with Cantera codes present in python following the equations (7) to (9) and (15) then the results are compared with KivuWatt field data. KivuWatt: Is a thermal power plant built in Rwanda/Karongi district. This is part of Contour Global plc, is producing 26 MW since 2010, and is using Methane gas from lake Kivu [21] [22].

The value of the nitrogen/air ratio, carbon/air ratio, Nitrogen/fuel ratio, and Oxygen/fuel ratio is varied from zero to one at specified constants equivalence ratio under standard temperature and pressure. The value of the enthalpy is estimated by using formula (16), where the final/flame temperature used is of result from the simulation. The value of the enthalpy of formation used is 52MJ/kg [23], and the heat capacity is 35.07 \((J/molK)\) at 300K [24].

The algorithm is based on the results of recent publications, explaining the role of the pressure, temperature, and density on the AFR has been demonstrated. Putting this together with results from Cantera simulation gives the procedure summarized by Figure 1 to come up with calculation and online calculator.
Figure 1: Summary of optimum AFR process

The variation concerning the density has been analyzed from the results of pressure and temperature using the state equation since the is for pressure and temperature are very high [25].

\[ \rho = \frac{P}{rT} \]  
\[ r = \frac{R}{\omega} \]  
\( R = 8.31 \) is the constant of a perfect gas.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Simulation and its comparison with field data

Figure 2: Comparison of Flame temperature for Oxygen and Air

Figure 2 indicates that combustion is much more efficient when it is done with oxygen.

Figure 3: Comparison of Combustion enthalpies for oxygen and air

Figure 3 estimates the value of the enthalpy, computed by using the result of Figure 2 for both cases of combustion in oxygen and air at different values of the equivalence ratio.

Figure 4: Role of the presence of nitrogen in the fuel

Figure 4 quantifies the resulting flame temperature in a case where a quarter of the fuel is nitrogen. It is visible that the temperature is lowered when the fuel contains nitrogen as an impurity.

Figure 5: Role of oxygen and nitrogen in the fuel

Figure 5 shows how much flame temperature is affected by the presence of oxygen and nitrogen in the fuel. They lower the temperature and comparing with Figure 4, oxygen itself does not negative effect.

Figure 6: Influence of portion of nitrogen

\[ y = 197.73x + 2375.1 \]  
\( R^2 = 0.9207 \)
Figure 6 is the results from the analysis of field data. It shows that as nitrogen in the fuel goes up, the flame temperature comes down. Maximum flame temperature is achieved for a case where there is no nitrogen ($\frac{N_2}{CH_4} = 0$).

Comparison of Figure 2 (graph in blue), Figure 8, and Figure 9 show the increase of $T_{in}$ from 100K to 293K then to 400K, but the flame temperature has increased from 2150K to 2400K, then to 2350K, respectively, at $\phi = 1$ tells that inlet temperature would be improved, but when it becomes higher the flame temperature becomes very low.

Figure 7: Role of the presence of carbon dioxide

Figure 7 analyses the impact of carbon dioxide present in the air. This shows that carbon dioxide has a very small negative impact on the flame temperature.

Figure 8: Equivalence Ratio vs Flame Temperature for Air-Fuel combustion, $T_{in} = 100K$

Figure 8 indicates the values of flame temperature when $T_{in}$ is very small (100K). The comparison with Figure 2 (graph in blue), shows that inlet temperature is to be increased to have more flame temperature.

Figure 9: Equivalence Ratio vs Flame Temperature for Air-Fuel combustion, $T_{in} = 400K$

Figure 10: Equivalence Ratio vs Flame Temperature for O$_2$ and CO$_2$-Fuel combustion, $T_{in}$: 300K

Figure 11: Equivalence Ratio vs Flame Temperature for O$_2$ and N$_2$-Fuel combustion, $T_{in}$: 300K

Figure 12: the flame temperature for O$_2$ present in the fuel
Comparison of Figure 10 with Figure 10 emphasizes what has previously been demonstrated in Figure 7 at a bit increase of inlet temperature from ambient (293K) to 300K.

In Figure 12, the flame temperature is higher when $\phi > 1$. So, it informs that when there is oxygen in the fuel, the air would be reduced, thus the AFR is to be smaller than the stoichiometric value.

3.2. Algorithm results

For different combinations of the fuel species percentages at the standard condition of pressure and temperature, specific values of the AFR are plotted in Figure 15. It shows that changes in species percentages (from $C_{\cdot 1}$ to $C_{\cdot 13}$) correspond to different values of AFR at constant pressure, temperature, and density (standard condition).

Various values of AFR for different values of pressure, temperature and density are for fixed species percentages of the fuel plotted in Figure 16.

4. Conclusion

This research quantified the flame temperature at specific values of the fuel and air species. Analysis also showcases that it is feasible to employ a measured quantity of air for combustion efficiency. Again, it contains the method to calculate and model-based calculator to compute the AFR and mass of the air to be used for optimum power output and reduce exhausts. Practical feasibility requires a method to measure percentages of all chemical species within the fuel and the air, and the controller of the boiler combustion process, this will be the next research. A built calculator is hosted online for accessibility. The study has shown that presence of oxygen in the fuel is positive in this case the air is to be reduced proportionally. The combustion within the oxygen has a more remarkable positive impact than in the air. It is better to separate oxygen from the air before combustion which is not easy. Preheating the fuel is also an advantage, however, this should be done only up to a point where a good viscosity and density are reached, since uncontrolled preheating reduces the output temperature and requires some time and cost.
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