Phase transitions in contagion processes mediated by recurrent mobility patterns
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Human mobility and activity patterns mediate contagion on many levels, including the spatial spread of infectious diseases, diffusion of rumours, and emergence of consensus. These patterns however are often dominated by specific locations and recurrent flows and poorly modelled by the random diffusive dynamics generally used to study them. Here we develop a theoretical framework to analyse contagion within a network of locations where individuals recall their geographic origins. We find a phase transition between a regime in which the contagion affects a large fraction of the system and one in which only a small fraction is affected. This transition cannot be uncovered by continuous deterministic models because of the stochastic features of the contagion process and defines an invasion threshold that depends on mobility parameters, providing guidance for controlling contagion spread by constraining mobility processes. We recover the threshold behaviour by analysing diffusion processes mediated by real human commuting data.

In recent years, reaction–diffusion processes have been used as a successful modelling framework to approach a wide array of systems that, along with the usual chemical and physical phenomena\textsuperscript{1,2}, includes epidemic spread\textsuperscript{3–5}, human mobility\textsuperscript{6–8}, information, and social contagion processes\textsuperscript{9–12}. This has stimulated the broadening of reaction–diffusion models to deal with complex network substrates and complex mobility schemes\textsuperscript{16–20}. This success has allowed the theoretical characterization of new and interesting dynamical behaviours and provides a rationale for the understanding of the emerging critical points that underpin some of the most interesting characteristics of techno-social systems. Those studies however are all focused on mobility processes modelled through simple memoryless diffusive processes. The recent accumulation of large amounts of data on human mobility\textsuperscript{21–26} from the scale of single individuals to the scale of entire populations presents us with new challenges related to the high level of predictability and recurrence\textsuperscript{27–29} found in mobility and diffusion patterns from real data. For instance, commuting mobility denoted by recurrent bidirectional flows among locations dominates by an order of magnitude the human mobility network at the scale of census areas defined by major urban areas\textsuperscript{30}. However, the effect of highly predictable or recurrent features of particle/agent mobility in the large-scale behaviour of contagion processes cannot be studied by a simple adaptation of previous theoretical frameworks\textsuperscript{31–36} and calls for specific methodologies and approximations capable of coping with non–Markovian diffusive processes in complex networks.

**Modelling commuting networks**

To begin investigating the effect of regular mobility patterns in reaction–diffusion systems we have considered the prototypical example of the spread of biological agents and information processes in populations characterized by bidirectional commuting patterns. In this case we consider a system made of $V$ distinct subpopulations. The $V$ subpopulations form a network in which each subpopulation $i$ has a population made of $N_i$ individuals and is connected to a set of other subpopulations $v(i)$. The edge connecting two subpopulations $i$ and $j$ indicates the presence of a flux of commuters. We assume that individuals in the subpopulation $i$ will visit anyone of the connected subpopulations with a per capita diffusion rate $\sigma$. As we aim at modelling commuting processes in which individuals have a memory of their location of origin, displaced individuals return to their original subpopulation with rate $\tau$\textsuperscript{−1}.

Real data from commuting networks add an extra layer of complexity to the problem. In Fig. 1 we display the cumulative distributions of the number of commuting connections per administrative unit and the daily flux of commuters on each connection in the United States and France. The networks exhibit important variability in the number of connections per geographic area. Analogously, the daily number of commuters on each connection is highly heterogeneous, distributed in a wide range of four to six orders of magnitude. These properties, often mathematically encoded in a heavy-tailed probability distribution, have been shown to have important consequences for dynamical processes, altering the threshold behaviour and the associated dynamical phase transition\textsuperscript{32–33,37–39}. To take into account the effect of the network topology we use a particle–network framework in which we consider a random subpopulation network with given degree distribution $P(k)$ and denote the number of subpopulations with $k$ connections by $V_k$. Furthermore, we assume statistical equivalence for subpopulations of similar degree. This is a mean-field approximation that considers all subpopulations with a given degree $k$ as statistically equivalent, thus allowing the introduction of degree-block variables that depend only on the subpopulation degree\textsuperscript{32}. Although this is an obvious approximation of the system description, it has been successfully applied to many dynamical processes on complex networks and it is rooted in the empirical evidence gathered in previous works\textsuperscript{21–23,33}. To simplify the analysis we will assume that the average population in each node of degree $k$ follows the functional form $N_i = \overline{N} k / (k)$, where $\overline{N} = \sum_i N_i P(k)$ is the average number of individuals per node in the subpopulation network. This expression represents the stationary population distribution in the case of a simple random diffusive process in which the diffusion rate of individuals along each link leaving a node of degree $k$ has the
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form $1/k$ (refs 32,33). Moreover, the empirical data from various sources indicate similar population scaling arises as a function of their connectivity to other populations9,22,23.

To approach the spreading process in the subpopulation network analytically, we define mixing subpopulations6,8 that identify the number of individuals $N_{ik} (t)$ of the subpopulation $k$ present in subpopulation $k'$ at time $t$ (see Fig. 2). We consider that the diffusion rate $\sigma_{ik}$ is a function of the degrees $k$ and $k'$ of the origin and destination subpopulations, respectively, with $\sigma_k = \sum_{k'} \sigma_{ik} \sigma_{k'}$ and $\tau_k$ depending only on the degree of the origin subpopulation. In particular, if $\sigma_k \ll \tau_k^{-1}$ and we study the system on a timescale larger than the timescale of the commuting process $\tau_k$, one can consider a quasi-stationary approximation in which the mixed subpopulations assume their stationary values:

$$N_{ik} = \frac{\overline{N}_k}{(1 + \sigma_k \tau_k)}$$

(1)

$$N_{ik'} = \frac{\overline{N}_k \sigma_{ik} \tau_{k'}}{(1 + \sigma_{k'} \tau_{k'})}$$

(2)

These expressions (see Methods) allow us to consider the subpopulation $k$ as if it had an effective number of individuals $\overline{N}_k \ll N_k$ in contact with the individuals of the neighbouring subpopulation $k'$ in a quasi-stationary state reached whenever the timescale of the dynamical process we are studying is larger than $\tau_k$. To simplify the analytical treatment in the following we will consider in the commuting rates only the dependence on the degree classes. More complicated functional forms including explicitly the spatial distance may be considered, and we will analyse this case by performing data-driven simulations.

Contagion processes and the invasion threshold

In analysing contagion processes in this system we consider the usual susceptible–infected–recovered (SIR) contagion model41.

Within each subpopulation the total number of individuals is partitioned into the compartments $S(t), I(t)$ and $R(t)$, denoting the number of susceptible, infected, and recovered individuals at time $t$, respectively. The basic SIR rules thus define a reaction scheme of the type $S + I \rightarrow 2I$ with reaction rate $\beta$ and $I \rightarrow R$ with reaction rate $\mu$, which represent the contagion and recovery processes, respectively. The SIR epidemic model conserves the number of individuals and is characterized by the reproductive number $R_0 = \beta/\mu$, which determines the average number of infectious individuals generated by one infected individual in a fully susceptible population. The epidemic is able to generate a number of infected individuals larger
than those who recover only if $R_0 > 1$, yielding the classic result for the epidemic threshold; if the spreading rate is not large enough to allow a reproductive number larger than one (that is, $\beta > \mu$), the epidemic outbreak will affect only a negligible portion of the population and will die out in a finite amount of time.

Although this result is valid at the level of each subpopulation, each subpopulation may or may not transmit the infection or contagion process to another subpopulation it is in contact with, depending on the level of mixing among the subpopulations. In other words, the mobility parameters $\sigma_k$ and $\tau_k$ influence the probability that individuals carrying infection or information will export the contagion process to nearby subpopulations. If the diffusion rate approaches zero, the probability of the contagion entering neighbouring subpopulations goes to zero, as there are no occasions for the carriers of the process to visit them. On the other hand if the return rate is very high, then the visit time of individuals in neighbouring populations is so short that they do not have time to spread the contagion in the visited subpopulations. This implies the presence of a transition between a regime in which the contagion process may invade a macroscopic fraction of the network and a regime in which it is limited to a few subpopulations (see Fig. 2 for a pictorial illustration). In this perspective we can consider the subpopulation network in a coarse-grained view and provide a characterization of the invasion dynamics at the level of subpopulations, translating epidemiological and demographic parameters into Levins-type parameters of extinction and invasion rates. Let us define $D_k^0$ as the number of subpopulations of degree $k$ affected by the contagion at generation 0, that is those which are experiencing the outbreak at the beginning of the process. Each subpopulation invaded by the contagion process will seed—during the course of the outbreak—the contagion process in neighbouring subpopulations, defining the set $D_k^1$ of invaded subpopulations at generation 1, and so on. This corresponds to a basic branching process where the nth generation of infected subpopulations of degree $k$ is denoted by $D_k^n$. To describe the early stage of the subpopulation invasion dynamics we assume that the number of subpopulations affected by a contagion outbreak (with $R_0 > 1$) is small and we can therefore study the evolution of the number of subpopulations affected by the contagion process by using a tree-like approximation relating $D_k^n$ to $D_k^{n-1}$. As is shown in the Methods section, in the case of $R_0 \simeq 1$, it is possible to derive the following recursive equation

$$D_k^n = (R_0 - 1) \frac{kP(k)}{\langle k \rangle} \sum_{k'} D_{k'}^{n-1}(k' - 1) \lambda_{k,k}$$

This relation has an explicit dependence on the network topology through the degree distribution $P(k)$ and the factor $\lambda_{k,k}$, which is the number of contagious seeds that are introduced into a fully-susceptible population of degree $k$ from a neighbouring population of degree $k'$. If the timescale of the disease is considerably larger than the commuting timescale, which is in our case $\mu^{-1} \gg \tau$, we can consider the infectious individuals in the mixing subpopulation to assume their stationary values according to equation (2). The quantity $\lambda_{k,k}$ can therefore be expressed as the total number of infected individuals in the mixing subpopulation using $\lambda_{k,k} = (N_{kk} + N_{k})\alpha$, where $\alpha$ is the fraction of individuals that are affected by the contagion by the end of the SIR epidemic. The first term on the right of the expression accounts for the total visits of infectious people from source subpopulation $k'$ to target subpopulation $k$. The second term accounts for the visits of individuals from the target subpopulation to the source subpopulation, during which they acquire infection and carry the contagion back to their origin. If we use the steady state expression in equation (2) and consider that $\alpha$ for the SIR dynamics can be explicitly written for $R_0 \simeq 1$, it is possible to write an explicit form of the iterative equation (3), the dynamical behaviour of which is determined by the branching ratio

$$R_* = \frac{2N(R_0 - 1)^2 \rho}{R_0^2(1 + \langle k \rangle)/\langle k^2 \rangle + \rho} F(k, \langle k^2 \rangle, \langle k^3 \rangle, \langle k^4 \rangle)$$

where $\rho \equiv \sigma \tau$ is the ratio of commuting to return rate and for the sake of simplicity we have considered that the per capita commuting rate $\sigma$ and return rate $\tau^{-1}$ are the same for all subpopulations. In the above expression $F$ is a function only of the moments of the degree distribution of the subpopulation network. $R_*$ is therefore equivalent to a basic reproductive number at the subpopulation level, defining the average number of subpopulations to which each

Figure 3 | Phase diagrams separating the global invasion regime from the extinction regime. a. Plot of equation (4) in $\sigma - \tau$ space. The red and black lines identify the $R_* = 1$ relation for the homogeneous and heterogeneous uncorrelated random networks, respectively. The global spreading regime is in the region of parameters indicated by the shaded areas. The networks are made up of $V = 10^5$ subpopulations, each of which accommodates a degree dependent population of $N_k = N_k/k$ individuals, with $R = 10^5$. Both networks have the same average degree, in which the heterogeneous network has degree distribution $P(k) \sim k^{-2.1}$ and the homogeneous network has Poissonian degree distribution. The SIR dynamics is characterized by $R_0 = 1.25$ and $\mu^{-1} = 15$ days. b. Numerical simulations on heterogeneous networks. The system assumes the same parameter values as a. The colour scale from black to yellow is linearly proportional to the number of infected subpopulations. Black indicates an invasion of less than 0.1% of the subnetworks and yellow indicates an invasion of more than 10% of the subnetworks.
infected subpopulation will spread the contagion process. \(R_0\) thus defines the invasion threshold, as any contagion process will spread globally in the network system only if \(R_0 > 1\). The subpopulation branching process inherently considers the stochastic effects of the epidemic dynamics in the probability of contagion from one subpopulation to the other. It is interesting to note that the invasion threshold cannot indeed be derived in continuous deterministic models where stochastic effects are neglected.

**Phase diagram and the network structure**

For fixed disease and network parameters, the condition \(R_0 = 1\) of equation (4) defines the critical value for \(\rho\) that allows the spreading of the contagion process. Thus there are two parameters underlying the mobility dynamics that we can either keep fixed or make variable. In Fig. 3 we show the phase diagram in the \(\sigma-\tau\) space separating the global invasion from the extinction regime. The phase diagram tells us that, all parameters being equal, the rate of diffusion to nearby subpopulations has to be larger than \(\sigma_0\) to guarantee the spread of the contagion. Analogously, if we allow \(\tau\) to vary, we observe that the global spread of the contagion process can be achieved by extending the visit times \(\tau\) of individuals in nearby subpopulations above a definite threshold \(\tau_c\). The explicit expressions of the threshold values can be found in the Supplementary Information.

Another very interesting feature of the above threshold condition is the explicit effect of the network topology encoded in the moments of the degree distribution. Indeed, the heterogeneity of the network favours the global spread of the contagion process by lowering the threshold value. In the Supplementary Information we show that in the case of a heavy-tailed degree distribution the threshold virtually reduces to zero for infinitely large system sizes. Even at finite size, however, the threshold value is generally smaller for networks with greater heterogeneity, as is shown in Fig. 3, which compares the phase diagrams of heterogeneous and homogeneous networks of the same size. To test the validity of the analytical picture obtained here, we have performed an extensive set of Monte Carlo numerical simulations of the contagion process in large subpopulation networks. The simulations are individual based and consider the commuting and contagion dynamics microscopically with no approximations, as detailed in the Supplementary Information. The substrate network is given by an uncorrelated random complex network generated with the uncorrelated configuration model to avoid inherent structural correlations. In Fig. 3 we report the results

---

**Figure 4 | Dynamical behaviour of an SIR epidemic on the real US commuting network data.**

- **a.** Average fraction of infected subpopulation as a function of commuting rates in networks with the same statistical properties as the heterogeneous network in Fig. 3a. The visit time in this case is fixed at \(\tau = 1\) d.
- **b.** Average fraction of infected subpopulations as a function of the intensity of commuting fluxes in the US. We study the system behaviour by varying all commuting rates \(\sigma_{ij}\) between county pairs by a factor \(\omega\) as \(\sigma_{ij} \rightarrow \omega \sigma_{ij}\). The visit time assumes a realistic value of \(\tau = 8\) h. The infection is initially seeded in Los Angeles. The data considers only real commuting flows up to 125 miles and the actual county populations (see text).
- **c.** Temporal progression of average cumulative number of infected cases in the subcritical and supercritical regimes of the invasion dynamics. The rescaling factors used in these simulations are marked in **b**. The SIR dynamics assumes \(R_0 = 1.25\) and \(\mu^{-1} = 3.6\) d in both cases.
for a network with Poissonian degree distribution and a network with power-law degree distribution \( P(k) \sim k^{-1.1} \). Individuals are distributed heterogeneously in each subpopulation according to the relation \( N_k = N N_k^0 / k \), where \( N = 10^4 \). Although the analytical phase diagram has been derived using several approximations, it matches the numerical simulations qualitatively and quantitatively, as shown by the good agreement of the analytical phase boundary and the numerical simulations in Fig. 3b. We also report in Fig. 4a the behaviour of the number of invaded populations as a function of commuting rates. The phase transition between the invasion and extinction regimes at a specific value of \( \rho = \sigma \tau \) is clearly observed in the microscopic simulations.

**Data-driven simulations**

As a further confirmation of the validity of the theoretical results we have tested our results in a real-world setting. We have considered the commuting network of all counties in the continental US as obtained by the US Census 2000 data\(^8\). In this dataset each subpopulation represents a county and a connection the presence of commuting flow between two counties. In the simulation each county is associated with its actual population and each link with a specific commuting rate from the real data. We have considered only short-range commuting flows up to 125 miles. The visit time has been considered to be of the order of a working day (8 h). On this real data layer we have simulated the spreading of an SIR contagion process and studied the number of infected counties as a function of the global rescaling factor of the commuting rates. It is remarkable to observe that in the case of the real data a clear phase transition exists between the two regimes at a critical value of the global rescaling factor of the commuting rates. In Fig. 4 we also illustrate the different behaviour of the contagion process in the two regimes by mapping the number of infected counties in the US as a function of time.

**Conclusions**

Although the presented results are anchored on the example of disease spread, the metapopulation approach can be abstracted to the phenomena of knowledge diffusion, online community formation, information spread, and technology. In all these examples, we can imagine a population spread across communities, with occasional interactions with other subpopulations governed by interaction rates similar in scheme to those presented here. Whereas most of the studies defining an epidemic threshold have focused on single populations, it is clear that more attention must be devoted to the study of the spread in structured populations. In this case, the understanding of the invasion threshold is crucial to the analysis of large-scale spread across communities and subpopulations. The theoretical approach presented in this paper opens the path to the inclusion of a more complicated mobility or interaction scheme and at the same time provides a general framework which could be used not just as an interpretative framework but a quantitative and predictive framework as well. Understanding the effect of mobility and interaction patterns on the global spread of contagion processes can indeed be used to devise methods to enhance or suppress their spread by acting on the basic parameters of the system in an appropriate way, which might find applications ranging from protection against emerging infectious diseases to viral marketing.

**Methods**

**Stationary populations.** Rate equations characterizing the commuting dynamics among subpopulations can be defined by using the variables \( N_k(t) \) and \( \nu_k \) as

\[
\frac{d}{d t} N_k(t) = -\sigma_k N_k(t) + \tau^{-1} k \sum_{k'} N_{k'} P(k'|k)
\]

where \( \sigma_k \) is the rate at which an individual of subpopulation \( k \) commutes to neighbouring subpopulation \( k' \). Then, considering the statistical equivalence of subpopulations with the same degree and the mean-field assumption, we have \( \sigma_k = k \sum_{k'} \sigma_{k'} P(k'|k) \), where \( P(k'|k) \) is the conditional probability of having a subpopulation \( k' \) in the neighbourhood of a subpopulation \( k \). Equilibrium is given by the condition \( \dot{N}_k = \partial \dot{N}_k = 0 \) and yields the relation

\[
N_k = N_k^0 \sigma_k \tau
\]

Using the expression \( N_k = N_k(t) + k \sum_{k'} N_{k'}(t) P(k'|k) \) for the total number of individuals of subpopulation \( k \), one can obtain the stationary populations in equations (1) and (2).

**Branching process.** Each subpopulation of degree \( k' \) invaded by the contagion process at the \( n \)th generation may seed at most its \( k' - 1 \) neighbours (all of its neighbours, minus the one from which it was infected). The probability of finding a subpopulation of degree \( k \) in the neighbourhood is \( P(k'|k) \). For each neighbouring subpopulation, the probability that it has not already been invaded by the contagion process in an earlier generation is \( \prod_{k'=1}^{s \leq n} (1 - D_k^{mT} / V_k) \). If \( \lambda_{k,2} \) infectious seeds are sent to the neighbour, the outbreak occurs with probability \( 1 - R_k^{s \leq n} \) (ref. 50). We can then relate the number of diseased subpopulations at the \( n \)th generation to that at the \( n-1 \)th generation as the simultaneous realization of all these above conditions,

\[
D_k^{mT} = \sum_{k'} D_{k'}^{mT}(k' - 1)(1 - R_k^{s \leq n}) P(k'|k) \prod_{k'=1}^{s \leq n} \left( 1 - \frac{D_{k'}^{mT}}{V_{k'}} \right)
\]

In the early stage of the contagion process we can assume that \( \prod_{k'=1}^{s \leq n} (1 - D_k^{mT} / V_k) \approx 1 \). We will also consider the case that we are just above the local epidemic threshold, \( R_0 - 1 \ll 1 \), so that the outbreak probability can be approximated by \( 1 - R_k^{s \leq n} = (R_0 - 1 - \lambda_{k,2}) \). If we also ignore degree correlations between neighbouring subpopulations, \( P(k'|k) = kP(k)|k(k + 1) \) (ref. 40), we obtain equation (3).

**Invasion threshold.** To obtain the explicit expression for the subpopulation reproductive number in equation (4) we need to derive an expression for \( \lambda_{k,2} = (N_k + N_k^0) \alpha \). This expression depends on the form of commuting rates among subpopulations. We consider the case in which

\[
\sigma_k = \sigma \frac{N_k}{N_k^0 + N_k^m}
\]

where \( N_k^m = k \sum_{k'} N_{k'} P(k'|k) \) is the average total population in the neighbourhood of subpopulation \( k \). The above expression assumes that the per capita mobility rate is rescaled by the number of individuals in the subpopulation\(^9\), leading to a \( \sigma_k \) decreases as \( N_k \) increases. This behaviour accounts for the effect introduced by large subpopulation sizes; the overall per capita commuting rate outside of the subpopulation generally decreases in large populations, as individuals tend to commute internally. In this case we obtain

\[
\sigma_k = \sigma \frac{(k')^k}{(k + 1)^k}
\]

This expression allows the calculation of \( N_k^m \), and using the approximate relation for the fraction of infected cases generated by the end of the SIR epidemic\(^7\) introduced into a fully susceptible population \( \alpha \approx 3(R_0 - 1)/R_0^3 \), we obtain the expression for \( \lambda_{k,2} \):

\[
\lambda_{k,2} = \frac{2N(R_0 - 1) \rho}{R_0^3(k)(1 + (k + 1)^k + (k))}
\]

If we substitute the above relation into equation (3) we get

\[
D_k^{mT} = \frac{2N(R_0 - 1)^2 \rho}{R_0^3(k^2)(k)(1 + (k + 1)^k + (k))} kP(k) \sum_{k'} D_{k'}^{mT}(k' - 1)(k + k')
\]

To write a closed formal of the above iterative process we introduce the definitions \( \Theta^* = \sum (k - 1)D_k^{mT} \) and \( \Theta^* = \sum (k - 1)D_k^{mT} \) for which the next generation equations are defined as

\[
\Theta^* = G \Theta^* - 1 \text{ with } \Theta^* = \left( \Theta^*_0 \right)
\]

where \( \Theta^* = (G \Theta^*) - 1 \) and \( \Theta^* = \left( \Theta^*_0 \right) \) with \( \Theta^* = \Theta^*_0 \) for the next generation equations are defined as

\[
G = \frac{2N(R_0 - 1)^2 \rho}{R_0^3(k^2)(k)(1 + (k + 1)^k + (k))} \left( (k')^k - (k)^k \right) - (k')^k \left( 1 - (k')^k \right)
\]

where \( G = \begin{bmatrix} 2N(R_0 - 1)^2 \rho & \cdots \\ \cdots & \cdots \end{bmatrix} \) and \( \Theta^* = \left( \Theta^*_0 \right) \) with \( \Theta^* = \Theta^*_0 \) for the next generation equations are defined as

\[
G = \frac{2N(R_0 - 1)^2 \rho}{R_0^3(k^2)(k)(1 + (k + 1)^k + (k))} \left( (k')^k - (k)^k \right) - (k')^k \left( 1 - (k')^k \right)
\]
The global behaviour of the contagion process across the network of subpopulations is determined by the largest eigenvalue $R$, of $G$ as expressed in equation (4), where $F$ is a function of the moments of the degree distribution

$$F(k, k', k^2, k^3) = \frac{1}{k (k')^2} [ (k^3 - k^2) + (k^3 - k^3)/2 (k^3 - k^2)/2 ]$$
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