Entanglement of General Two-Qubit States in a Realistic Framework
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Abstract: In the present paper, we examine the quantum entanglement for more general states of two-qubit system in the context of spin coherent states (SCSs). We consider the concurrence as a quantifier of entanglement and express it in terms of SCSs. We determine new set of maximally entangled conditions that provide the maximal amount of entanglement for certain values of the amplitudes of SCSs for the case of pure states. Finally, we examine the entanglement of a class of mixed states of the two qubits and provide the range in which the entanglement value is maximal with respect to the values of the amplitudes of SCSs.

Keywords: spin coherent states; entanglement; two qubits; concurrence; mixed and pure states

PACS: 03.67.-a; 03.65.Yz; 03.65.Ud

1. Introduction

Recently, the physical features of the entanglement of quantum states has been recognized as a key resource in different domains of the quantum information processing and transmission (QIPT). This phenomenon introduces various applications, such as cryptography, quantum computation, quantum communication, quantum teleportation, etc. [1–4]. The task of determining the degree of entanglement of the quantum states is significant for QIPT, and, therefore, numerous entanglement measures have been developed, such as entanglement of formation [5,6], distillation [7], relative entropy [8], and negativity [9–12]. Several aspects of entanglement have not been explored yet, even if this phenomenon is well characterized and quantified for a quantum system in a low-dimensional Hilbert space, namely, a system of two qubits for which Wootters identified the entanglement of formation [6].

For bipartite systems, the entanglement of pure states is unambiguous. On the contrary, the entanglement of a mixed state has not been defined well yet because entanglement is not represented by a linear operator in Hilbert space. In this case, quantifying entanglement is more complicated when its measures are hard to estimate analytically. The measures of the entanglement of a mixed state are the average of entanglement of a set of pure states that represent the mixed state, minimized over all decompositions of the mixed state. Researchers are preoccupied with finding this minimization. In some instances, several minimizations may undergo analysis. However, this problem is not solved mathematically and poses several open questions. Special status of mixed states has to be conferred to those that, for a given value of the entropy [11], contain the highest entanglement [13,14]. These quantum states can be viewed as generalizations of mixed states of the Bell states;
the latter have the maximum entanglement of the pure states of two qubits. Ishizaka and Hiroshima developed the term maximally-entangled mixed states [15] in a strongly linked setting, namely that of mixed states with two qubits whose quantum entanglement can be maximized at fixed eigenvalues rather than at fixed entropy as indicated by the density operator. The quantum entanglement of the mixed states introduced by Ishizaka and Hiroshima is hard to increase by any unitary transformations. Concerning those states, the maximality property is obtained under a global unitary operation by considering relative entropy, entanglement of formation, and negativity [16].

Another significant concept that has received a lot of interest in the theory of quantum information is the concept of coherent states. These states have been regarded as a mathematical tool for describing quantum systems in many branches of physics [15–19]. The large number of their applications has led to exploring new quantum features of particular quantum systems for which coherent states are involved. The coherent states were firstly introduced by Schrödinger in 1926 in the framework of the harmonic oscillators and have been largely examined in physics [20]. In 1965, these states played a substantial role in the development of quantum optics by the work of Roy J. Glauber [21], who introduced an eigenket of the annihilation operator with the property of minimizing the uncertainty in the conjugate variables. In 1972, Perelomov developed the SCSs that are constructed from the SU(2) group [22,23]. These states prescribing a large set of quantum systems with many applications in condensed matter physics, statistical mechanics, and quantum optics [24–26].

The present paper aims at quantifying the entanglement of more general non-orthogonal states with two qubits within the SCSs that are important in several tasks of transmitting and processing of quantum information. For measuring entanglement, we derive the concurrence and give the requirements of the maximal and minimal entanglement for mixed and pure states. We determine the families of maximally entangled mixed states that possess the ultimate entanglement for certain values of the amplitudes of SCSs [27–30]. Those states can benefit the processing of quantum information with noise because they possess the highest entanglement made possible by a proper choice of the amplitudes of SCSs.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 1, we display the entanglement measure of an arbitrary state of two qubits. In Section 2, we write the entanglement measure in terms of the amplitudes of SCSs and explore its performance for the cases of more general non-orthogonal states. Section 3 covers the conclusion.

2. Entanglement of an Arbitrary State of a System of Two Qubits

Generally, the normalized pure state of a system of two qubits is defined on the computational basis \{ |00\rangle, |01\rangle, |10\rangle, |11\rangle \} and takes the form of

\[ |\Psi\rangle = A|00\rangle + B|01\rangle + C|10\rangle + D|11\rangle, \]  

(1)

with the condition of normalization

\[ |A|^2 + |B|^2 + |C|^2 + |D|^2 = 1. \]  

(2)

The pure state (1) is an entangled state if it does not take the form of a product of states of each qubit.

The concurrence of the state \( |\Psi\rangle \) is defined as [31]

\[ C(\Psi) = \langle \Psi | \Psi^\dagger \rangle = 2|AD - BC|, \]  

(3)

where \( ^\dagger \) is the “spin-flip” operation, \( |\Psi^\dagger\rangle = \sigma_y \otimes \sigma_y |\Psi^*\rangle \). Here, \( |\Psi^*\rangle \) represents the complex conjugate of \( |\Psi\rangle \) and \( \sigma_y \) defines the second Pauli matrix. The concurrence is 0 for separable states and equals 1 for states with maximal entanglement.
For the case of mixed states, the system of two qubits is described by a density matrix, \( \rho \), that can be represented as a statistical mixture of a set of pure states as

\[
\rho = \sum_i p_i |\Psi_i \rangle \langle \Psi_i |
\]

in which \( |\Psi_i \rangle \) represents the states of the two qubits with the probabilities \( p_i \). The mixed state \( \rho \) is said to be entangled if it cannot be represented as a set of separable pure states, i.e., \( \rho \neq \sum_i p_i \rho_i^1 \otimes \rho_i^2 \) where \( \rho_i^{1,2} \) represents the reduced matrices of each qubit.

The concurrence of the mixed state of the two-qubit system was introduced by Wooters and Hill as \[8\]

\[
C(\rho) = \max \{ E_1 - E_2 - E_3 - E_4, 0 \},
\]

where \( E_i \) represent the square roots in descending order of the eigenvalues of the operator \( \rho^4 = \rho (\sigma_y \otimes \sigma_y) \rho^* (\sigma_y \otimes \sigma_y) \) in decreasing order. As in the case of pure states, the state \( \rho \) is a separable state when \( C(\rho) = 0 \) and it is a maximally entangled state when \( C(\rho) = 1 \).

Generally, for a two-qubit mixed state having only two eigenvalues, there is a simplified expression of Wooters concurrence \[7\]

\[
\rho = \sum_i |\Psi_i \rangle \langle \Psi_i |
\]

\[
= \lambda_1 |\lambda_1 \rangle \langle \lambda_1 | + \lambda_2 |\lambda_2 \rangle \langle \lambda_2 |,
\]

where the pure states corresponding to eigenvalues \( \lambda_1 \) and \( \lambda_2 \) are given by

\[
|\lambda_1 \rangle = a_1 |00 \rangle + b_1 |01 \rangle + c_1 |10 \rangle + d_1 |11 \rangle
\]

\[
|\lambda_2 \rangle = a_2 |00 \rangle + b_2 |01 \rangle + c_2 |10 \rangle + d_2 |11 \rangle.
\]

The concurrence of the state \( \rho \) is

\[
C^2(\rho) = \left( p_1^2 C_1^2 + p_2^2 C_2^2 \right) + \frac{1}{2} p_1 p_2 \left| C'_+ - C'_- \right|^2 - \frac{1}{2} p_1 p_2 \left( \left| C'_+ \right|^2 - \left| C'_- \right|^2 \right) - 4 C_1 C_2,
\]

where

\[
C_i = \left| C'_i \right| = 2 |a_i d_i - b_i c_i|,
\]

\( i = 1, 2 \)

represents the concurrence of the state \( |\lambda_i \rangle \) and

\[
C_{\pm} = \left| C'_\pm \right| = |(a_1 \pm a_2)(d_1 \pm d_2) - (b_1 \pm b_2)(c_1 \pm c_2)|
\]

represents the concurrence of the pure state \( |\lambda_{\pm} \rangle = 1/\sqrt{2} (|\lambda_1 \rangle \pm |\lambda_2 \rangle) \). \( C'_i \) and \( C'_{\pm} \) represent the complex concurrences.

3. More General Non-Orthogonal States of Two Qubits in the Context of SCIs

In the present section, we display the states of the two qubits within the coherent states and explore their entanglement degree.

Let us introduce a more general pure state of the two qubits as

\[
|\Psi \rangle = N \left[ a |\alpha \rangle |\beta \rangle + b |\alpha \rangle |\beta \rangle + c |\alpha \rangle |\beta \rangle + d |\alpha \rangle |\beta \rangle \right],
\]

where \( N \) is the normalization factor for which \( \langle \Psi | \Psi \rangle = 1 \) and \( |\alpha \rangle |\alpha \rangle = |\alpha \rangle \otimes |\alpha \rangle \) with \( |\alpha \rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+|\alpha|^2}} (|0 \rangle + |1 \rangle) \) being the SCS for a particle with spin-1/2 \[32–35\]. Substituting
\[ |\alpha\rangle, |\beta\rangle, |\alpha'\rangle, |\beta'\rangle \] into Equation (11), the pure state can be expressed as functions of the amplitudes of SCSs as
\[
|\Psi\rangle = A|00\rangle + B|01\rangle + C|10\rangle + D|11\rangle,
\] (12)
in which
\[
A = X + Y + Z + T,
B = \beta(X + Z) + \beta'(Y + T),
C = \alpha(X + Y) + \alpha'(Z + T),
D = \alpha\beta X + \alpha\beta' Y + \alpha'\beta Z + \alpha'\beta' T,
\] (13)
with
\[
X = \frac{a\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{(1 + |\alpha|^2)(1 + |\beta|^2)}},
Y = \frac{b\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{(1 + |\alpha|^2)(1 + |\beta'|^2)}},
Z = \frac{c\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{(1 + |\alpha'|^2)(1 + |\beta|^2)}},
T = \frac{d\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{(1 + |\alpha'|^2)(1 + |\beta'|^2)}}.
\] (14)

Using Equation (3), the concurrence of a two-qubit state in the representation of the coherent states is given by
\[
C(\Psi) = 2\left|\left((XT - YZ) \left(\alpha - \alpha'\right)(\beta - \beta')\right)\right|.
\] (15)

Then, the pure state (11) is disentangled (i.e., \(C(\Psi) = 0\)) if and only if one of the following is true: \(XT = YZ\), \(\alpha = \alpha'\), or \(\beta = \beta'\). When \(2\left|\left((XT - YZ) \left(\alpha - \alpha'\right)(\beta - \beta')\right)\right| = 1\), the concurrence attains its maximal, which corresponds to a pure state with maximum entanglement.

To simplify the issue, we consider the state (11) with the conditions \(a = -d\) and \(b = c\) and assume \(\alpha = \beta\) and \(\alpha' = \beta'\). The concurrence is simplified to
\[
C(\Psi) = \frac{2N^2(a^2 + b^2)\left(\alpha - \alpha'\right)^2}{(1 + a^2)(1 + a'^2)} \quad \text{with} \quad \alpha, \alpha' \in \mathbb{R}.
\] (16)
where
\[
N = \left[2a^2 + 2b^2 + \frac{2(b^2 - a^2)(1 + a\alpha')^2}{(1 + a^2)(1 + a'^2)}\right]^{-\frac{1}{2}}.
\] (17)

The concurrence reaches its maximal value when \(\alpha = -1/\alpha'\) that corresponds to a maximally entangled state.

In Figures 1 and 2, we show the variation of the concurrence as a function of \(\alpha\) with fixed values of \(\alpha'\). The blue dotted line is for \(\alpha' = 0\), the red dotted-dashed line is for \(\alpha' = 1\), the black dashed line is for \(\alpha' = 1.5\), and the green line is for \(\alpha' = 2\). In the figures, we can observe that the behavior and amount of the concurrence is very sensitive to the values of the parameters \(\alpha\) and \(\alpha'\). Interestingly, high values of \(\alpha'\) with accompanied by small
values of $\alpha$ for which the entanglement is maximal ($C = 1$). Moreover, the amount of the entanglement depends on the values of the parameters $a$ and $b$.
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**Figure 1.** The variation of the concurrence of the state $|\Psi\rangle$ in terms of $\alpha$ with various values of $\alpha'$ for $a = b = 1/2$. The maximum is attained for $aa' = -1$.
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**Figure 2.** The variation of the concurrence of the state $|\Psi\rangle$ in terms of $\alpha$ with various values of $\alpha'$ for $a = 4/7$ and $b = 3/7$. The maximum is attained for $aa' = -1$.

Now, we investigate the degree of entanglement for a mixed state defined as a statistical mixture of more general pure states by exploiting the advantages of the simplified expression of Wootters concurrence. We consider the following two-qubit mixed state

$$
\rho = \sum_i p_i |\Psi_i\rangle\langle\Psi_i| \\
= p_1 |\Psi_1\rangle\langle\Psi_1| + p_2 |\Psi_2\rangle\langle\Psi_2|,
$$

where

$$
|\Psi_i\rangle = A_i|00\rangle + B_i|01\rangle + C_i|10\rangle + D_i|11\rangle,
$$

(18)
with

\[
\begin{align*}
A_i &= X_i + Y_i + Z_i + T_i, \\
B_i &= \beta_i(X_i + Z_i) + \beta'_i(Y_i + T_i), \\
C_i &= \alpha_i(X_i + Y_i) + \alpha'_i(Z_i + T_i), \\
D_i &= \alpha_i\beta_iX_i + \alpha_i\beta'_iY_i + \alpha_i\beta_iZ_i + \alpha'_i\beta'_iT_i,
\end{align*}
\]

(19)

where the coefficients \(A_i, B_i, C_i,\) and \(D_i\) can be obtained from Equation (14). Based on the concurrence formula given by Equation (8), the entanglement of the mixed state \(\rho\) can be quantized in terms of amplitudes of SCSs as

\[
C^2(\rho) = \left( p_1^2 C_1^2 + p_2^2 C_2^2 \right) + \frac{1}{2} p_1 p_2 \left| C_+ - C_- \right|^2 - \frac{1}{2} p_1 p_2 \left| (C'_+ - C'_-) \right|^2 - 4C_1 C_2,
\]

(20)

where

\[
C_i = 2 \left| (X_i T_i - Y_i Z_i) \left( \alpha_i - \alpha'_i \right) \left( \beta_i - \beta'_i \right) \right|.
\]

(21)

and

\[
C_{\pm} = \left| C_\mp \right| = \left| (A_1 \pm A_2)(D_1 \pm D_2) - (B_1 \pm B_2)(C_1 \pm C_2) \right|.
\]

(22)

The concurrence expression depends on \(C_i\) and \(C_{\pm}\). In the limits \(p_1 = 1, p_2 = 0\) or \(p_1 = 0, p_2 = 1\), this expression of the concurrence reduces to the definition given by Equation (3).

We assume the case in which one of the pure states of two qubits is an unentangled state (i.e., \(C_1 = 0\) or \(C_2 = 0\)). In this way, the concurrence of the mixed state is given in terms of amplitudes of SCSs and probability as

\[
C^2(\rho) = 4N_i^4 p_i^2 \left( \alpha_i^2 + \beta_i^2 \right)^2 \left[ \frac{1}{(1 + |\alpha_i|^2)} \left( \alpha_i - \alpha'_i \right) \left( \beta_i - \beta'_i \right) \right]^2,
\]

\[
i = 1 \text{ for } C_2 = 0 \text{ and } i = 2 \text{ for } C_1 = 0.
\]

(23)

Equation (23) illustrates that the state \(|\Psi_i\rangle\) and its probability \(p_i\) have information about the mixed state’s entanglement. For simplicity, we take into account the case \(\alpha_i = \beta_i\) and \(\alpha'_i = \ beta'_i\). The concurrence is reduced to

\[
C^2(\rho) = 4N_i^4 p_i^2 \left( \alpha_i^2 + \beta_i^2 \right)^2 \frac{\left( \alpha_i - \alpha'_i \right)^4}{(1 + \alpha_i^2)^2 (1 + \alpha'_i)^2},
\]

(24)

where \(N_i\) can be obtained from Equation (17). We focus on the study of the concurrence in terms of the parameters \(p_i, \alpha_i\) and \(\alpha'_i\).

We distinguish two significant limit cases:

(1) \(\alpha_i = \alpha'_i\), which displays a mixed state with zero entanglement (\(C(\rho) = 0\)).

(2) \(\alpha_i = -1/\alpha'_i\), which corresponds to a mixed state defined as a statistical mixture of a maximally entangled state and a separable state with concurrence \(C^2(\rho) = p_i^2\).

In Figures 3 and 4, we display the variation of the concurrence of the mixed state in terms of the amplitudes of SCSs for \(p = 1/2\) and \(p = 8/10\), respectively. In the figures, it is clear that the control of the amount of the entanglement of the mixed state can be achieved by an appropriate choice of the main physical parameters.
Figure 3. The variation of the concurrence of the state $\rho$ in terms of $a_i$ and $a'_i$ for $p_i = 1/2$ and $a_i = b_i = 1/2$.

Figure 4. The variation of the concurrence of the state $\rho$ in terms of $a_i$ and $a'_i$ for $p_i = 8/10$ and $a_i = b_i = 1/2$.

These kinds of two-qubit mixed states are a significant set of quantum states [36–38] that are extensively utilized in QIPT and more recently in the study of quantum discord phenomenon [39].
4. Conclusions

In the present research paper, we express the concurrence of more general two-qubit non-orthogonal states in terms of the amplitudes of SCSs. Then, we examine them and consider the concurrence that helps define the conditions for maximum and minimum entanglement. The findings show that the SCSs benefit quantifying and measuring the degree of entanglement. These states are easy and convenient to use and generate experimentally [40]. Finally, we analyze the concurrence performance as a role of new parameters for a class of mixed states with two qubits characterized by a statistical mixture of separable and Bell states, using a simplified manifestation of concurrence in Wootters’ measure of entanglement. We provide the range in which the entanglement value is maximal with respect to the amplitudes of SCSs. We define families of maximally entangled mixed states, namely frontier states that have the highest entanglement for given values of the amplitudes of SCSs. These states can help process quantum information when having noise because they have the highest entanglement by a proper choice of the values of the amplitudes.
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