Use of the Tego needlefree connector is associated with reduced incidence of catheter-related bloodstream infections in hemodialysis patients

Background and objectives: Catheter-related bloodstream infections (CRBSIs) are common in hemodialysis patients using central venous catheters, and catheter occlusion also occurs frequently. The Tego needlefree connector was developed to reduce the incidence of these complications; however, existing studies of its effectiveness and safety are limited.

Materials and methods: This retrospective analysis compared outcomes among patients of a large dialysis organization receiving in-center hemodialysis using a central venous catheter with either the Tego connector or standard catheter caps between October 1 and June 30, 2013. Incidence rates for intravenous (IV) antibiotic starts, receipt of an IV antibiotic course, positive blood cultures, mortality, and missed dialysis treatments were calculated, and incidence-rate ratios (IRRs) were estimated using Poisson regression models. Utilization of erythropoiesis-stimulating agents (ESAs) and thrombolytics was described for each patient-month and compared using mixed linear models. Models were run without adjustment, adjusted for covariates that were imbalanced between cohorts, or fully adjusted for all potential confounders.

Results: The analysis comprised 10,652 Tego patients and 6,493 controls. Tego use was independently associated with decreased risk of CRBSI, defined by initiation of IV antibiotics (adjusted IRR 0.92, 95% confidence interval [CI] 0.87–0.97) or initiation of IV antibiotic course (adjusted IRR 0.89, 95% CI 0.84–0.95). Tego use was independently associated with decreased rate of missed dialysis treatments (adjusted IRR 0.98, 95% CI 0.97–1.00); no significant difference between Tego and control cohorts was observed with respect to mortality. Tego use was associated with decreased likelihood of thrombolytic use (adjusted per-month probability of 5.6% versus 6.2% for controls) and lower utilization of ESAs in study months 7–9.

Conclusion: Use of the Tego connector may reduce the risk of CRBSI and result in lower utilization of thrombolytics, antibiotics, and ESAs, as well as fewer missed dialysis treatments.
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Introduction
Catheter-related bloodstream infections (CRBSIs) are a common complication of long-term use of central venous catheters (CVCs) for vascular access in end-stage renal disease (ESRD) patients receiving hemodialysis (HD). Data from the United States Renal Data System suggest that from 2000 to 2008, hospitalizations for bacteremia and sepsis increased, a change that parallels the increase in use of CVCs among US HD patients. From 2008 onward, rates have remained high: at approximately 300 admissions with bacteremia/sepsis per 1,000 patient-years in 2011. Aside from having a direct impact on patient well-being, catheter infection, including subclinical...
colonization, also contributes to systemic inflammation, which has important downstream consequences, including increased dose requirements for erythropoiesis-stimulating agents (ESAs).\textsuperscript{2,3}

Catheter occlusion due to thrombosis is another common issue, and can result in lower blood flow and dialysis inadequacy.\textsuperscript{4} To prevent catheter dysfunction caused by thrombotic occlusions, standard protocols require that CVCs are locked with heparin after completion of a treatment.\textsuperscript{5,6} However, a number of concerns have been raised regarding heparin use: dosing errors or overfilling of the catheter lumen can result in systemic anticoagulation and heparin-induced thrombocytopenia, and heparin has also been shown to promote Staphylococcus aureus biofilm formation in vitro.\textsuperscript{7,8}

Recent initiatives to reduce rates of CVC use in HD patients have had some success,\textsuperscript{9,10} although rates remain unacceptably high.\textsuperscript{1} A variety of factors contribute to the high rates of CVC use in HD patients, including lack of predialysis care, maturation failure for arteriovenous fistulas and grafts, patient resistance to permanent access placement, and fistula stenosis and thrombosis.\textsuperscript{11} Therefore, despite concerted efforts to reduce catheter use, a proportion of HD patients will remain reliant on this access type.

The Tego\textsuperscript{®} needlefree hemodialysis connector (ICU Medical, Inc., San Clemente, CA, USA) was developed to reduce catheter-related infections and thrombotic occlusions associated with the use of CVCs for HD.\textsuperscript{12} The Tego connector device has been cleared by the US Food and Drug Administration, and can be flushed and locked with either saline or heparin. Between November 2010 and July 2011, DaVita\textsuperscript{®} implemented use of the Tego connector in nearly 70% of its CVC patients. Implementation was not universal, owing to delayed or absent uptake by individual facilities. However, within individual facilities, Tego connectors are nearly universally used or universally not used. The resulting natural experiment forms the basis for rigorous evaluation of the comparative effectiveness of Tego versus standard catheter caps.\textsuperscript{13,14} The current retrospective, observational analysis was designed to assess the comparative effectiveness and safety of Tego connectors, relative to standard catheter caps, in a large, real-world, in-center HD patient population at a large dialysis organization (LDO) with respect to rates of catheter-related bacteremia, missed treatments, death, and utilization of ESAs and thrombolytics.

Materials and methods
Study setting and patients

The goal of this retrospective analysis was to assess the comparative effectiveness of Tego versus standard catheter caps. In order to minimize any bias due to events that preceded the study, analysis was limited to catheters that were newly placed during the study period. Although catheter implantation-site information was not available for study patients, tunneled catheters within the upper central vasculature are almost universal within LDOs. Patients were therefore considered if they: 1) underwent CVC placement during the period from October 1, 2012 to June 30, 2013; 2) received in-center HD via said CVC for at least 21 days thereafter (to avoid consideration of temporary catheters that may not have been left in place long enough to manifest adverse events); 3) had available electronic health-record data regarding the catheter-cap type used (ie, Tego or standard catheter cap); and 4) did not switch (in either direction) between standard catheter cap and Tego-connector use within 21 days of first in-center HD with the new catheter. CVCs in place prior to October 1, 2012 were not considered. However, patients with prior catheters were eligible for study if they underwent placement of a new catheter during the study period and met the aforementioned criteria; in these instances, patients entered the study at the time of the new catheter placement. Catheters with standard caps were typically locked with unfractionated heparin, and catheters with Tego connectors were locked with saline, as per LDO policies and procedures.

Exposure

Patients were classified according to the catheter cap type that was placed at the dialysis session following catheter placement (Tego versus standard catheter cap). By inclusionary restriction, the catheter type was maintained for at least 21 days thereafter (see earlier). Thereafter, patients remained assigned to their starting group for the remainder of the study. Patients who crossed over between exposure groups thereafter were censored 21 days after crossover; this lagged censoring was used to account for delays in disease manifestation, as infections may have initiated during use of the original cap type but not manifested until after switching. At-risk time otherwise continued until censoring for change in dialysis access type, transfer of care, change in modality, transplant, withdrawal from dialysis, or end of the study (June 30, 2013). Patients could therefore contribute a minimum of 21 days and a maximum of 270 days of at-risk time.
Outcome definitions
Occurrence of CRBSI was identified for each patient using three operational definitions: intravenous (IV) antibiotic start, receipt of an IV antibiotic course (defined as patient having received two or more doses of IV antibiotic within a 21-day period), or confirmed positive blood culture. Blood cultures were grouped by a 21-day rule stipulating that any positive blood cultures were considered part of the same infection if the draw dates were ≤21 days apart. Of particular note, many dialysis patients (particularly when faced with more severe symptoms) will seek urgent care outside the dialysis facility (eg, emergency department, hospital); blood culture results from such visits are not available through LDO electronic health records. Therefore, outcomes based on LDO records of positive blood cultures are insensitive measures of CRBSI, and were considered subordinate to CRBSI definitions based on IV antibiotic utilization, particularly initiation of antibiotic courses.

Death data were abstracted from the LDO electronic health record system. Missed treatments were also abstracted from LDO electronic health records. Missed treatment rate is important in its own right, because of implied effects on the adequacy of renal replacement therapy and also as a surrogate of hospitalization; sensitivity of LDO electronic health records for hospitalization events and particular cause-specific hospitalization events is poor. ESA and thrombolytic utilization were abstracted from LDOs’ treatment records.

Statistical analysis
Incidence rates (death, hospitalization, missed dialysis sessions, CRBSI under each definition) were calculated as the number of events divided by time at risk. Incidence rate ratios (IRRs) were estimated using Poisson regression models.

Drug use or nonuse (for ESAs and thrombolytics) was described for each patient-month and compared using generalized estimating equations with logit link to a binomial distribution. ESA dose was considered among ESA users as the mean per-treatment dose for each patient-month and compared using mixed linear models. Tego exposure (dichotomous) was set as the primary fixed-effect term. Random patient intercepts were included, allowing for estimation of ESA dose at the patient level. Additional fixed-effect terms were entered as covariates in the mixed models. ESA dose was estimated on the log scale; results were back-transformed to the native scale.

All models were run to three specifications: unadjusted for any covariate, adjusted for potential confounders that were imbalanced between exposure groups (age, race, vintage, etiology of ESRD; model 1), and adjusted for all potential confounders considered (model 2). All data were analyzed using Stata 10.0 MP (StataCorp, College Station, TX, USA).

Results
Of 17,175 patients identified who met all inclusion criteria for the study, 29 patients who were <18 years old and one patient for whom information on sex was missing were excluded, leaving an analytical cohort of 17,145 patients. Of these, 10,652 patients (62.13%) were classified as Tego catheter connector users, and 6,493 patients (37.87%) were classified as conventional catheter cap users.

Patient characteristics
Demographic information for the Tego and control cohorts at the time of first qualifying catheter placement in the study time frame is provided in Table 1. The mean age of Tego patients was 61.7 years, and the mean age of control patients was 61.3 years. Tego patients were more likely to be Hispanic (17.5% versus 13.3%) and less likely to be Black (31.6% versus 33.5%) than controls. Differences between the cohorts in dialysis vintage and etiology of ESRD were observed: a higher proportion of Tego patients were new to dialysis (47.7% for Tego versus 41.9% for controls) and a lower proportion of Tego patients had been on dialysis for more than 12 months (32.4% versus 37.6%), while Tego patients were more likely to have ESRD attributed to hypertension (28.3% versus 26.3%) and less likely to have ESRD attributed to diabetes or other causes (44.9% and 26.8% for Tego versus 45.9% and 27.8% for controls, respectively). There was no significant sex imbalance between Tego patients and controls (46.0% female for Tego patients versus 46.1% for controls) and there were no meaningful differences in Charlson Comorbidity Index score, a composite score that reflects the number and severity of comorbid conditions and which has been shown to predict patient 10-year mortality,\textsuperscript{15} or the presence of specific comorbid conditions.

CRBSIs
Three metrics of CRBSIs were assessed: IV antibiotic starts, IV antibiotic courses (defined as receipt of two or more doses of IV antibiotics within a 21-day period), and positive blood cultures. The number of events, crude rates, and IRRs for each are reported in Table 2, and IRRs are represented graphically in Figure 1A.
Among controls, 2,225 IV antibiotic starts were identified in 1,694 patient-years at-risk time, and among Tego users, 3,308 IV antibiotic starts were identified in 2,811 patient-years at-risk time; this corresponded to crude rates of 131 and 118 events per 100-patient years for control and Tego patients, respectively. Tego use was associated with a 10% relative reduction in risk of IV antibiotic start (IRR 0.90, 95% CI 0.87–0.93), but was still statistically significant (P<0.001). A fully adjusted model (model 2: adjusted for all variables (age, sex, race, vintage, primary cause of ESRD, heart failure, cerebrovascular disease, ischemic heart disease, COPD, liver disease, malignancy, and Charlson comorbidity index). Tego needlefree connector is manufactured by ICU Medical, Inc., San Clemente, CA, USA. Abbreviations: COPD, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; ESRD, end-stage renal disease; IQR, interquartile range; SD, standard deviation.

Notes: Model 1 adjusted for age, race, vintage, and etiology in end-stage renal disease; Model 2 adjusted for all variables (age, sex, race, vintage, primary cause of ESRD, diabetes, heart failure, ischemic disease, cerebrovascular disease, cardiac dysrhythmia, COPD, liver disease, malignancy, and Charlson comorbidity index). Tego needlefree connector is manufactured by ICU Medical, Inc., San Clemente, CA, USA. Abbreviations: CI, confidence interval; IRR, incidence-rate ratio; IV, intravenous; ref, reference.

### Table 1 Demographic comparisons for Tego and control cohorts

|                | Control (n=6,493) | Tego (n=10,652) | P-value |
|----------------|------------------|-----------------|---------|
| Age, years     |                  |                 | 0.11    |
| Mean ± SD      | 61.3±15.2        | 61.7±15.2       |         |
| Median (IQR)   | 62 (51–73)       | 63 (52–73)      |         |
| Minimum–maximum| 19–89            | 18–100          |         |
| Sex            |                  |                 | 0.93    |
| Male           | 3,501 (53.9%)    | 5,751 (54.0%)   |         |
| Female         | 2,992 (46.1%)    | 4,901 (46.0%)   |         |
| Race/ethnicity |                  |                 | <0.001  |
| White          | 2,938 (45.3%)    | 4,602 (43.2%)   |         |
| Black          | 2,178 (33.5%)    | 3,365 (31.6%)   |         |
| Hispanic       | 865 (13.3%)      | 1,865 (17.5%)   |         |
| Other          | 512 (7.9%)       | 820 (7.7%)      |         |
| Dialysis vintage, months |                |                | <0.001  |
| 0              | 2,723 (41.9%)    | 5,077 (47.7%)   |         |
| 1–12           | 1,037 (16.0%)    | 1,657 (15.6%)   |         |
| >12            | 2,441 (37.6%)    | 3,449 (32.4%)   |         |
| Unknown        | 292 (4.5%)       | 469 (4.4%)      |         |
| Primary cause of ESRD |            |                 | 0.02    |
| Hypertension   | 1,710 (26.3%)    | 3,012 (28.3%)   |         |
| Diabetes       | 2,981 (45.9%)    | 4,785 (44.9%)   |         |
| Other/unknown  | 1,802 (27.8%)    | 2,855 (26.8%)   |         |
| Diabetes       | 4,384 (67.5%)    | 7,202 (67.6%)   | 0.90    |
| Heart failure  | 1,285 (19.8%)    | 2,104 (19.8%)   | 0.95    |
| Ischemic heart disease | 1,184 (18.2%) | 2,006 (18.8%)   | 0.33    |
| Cerebrovascular disease | 173 (2.7%) | 266 (2.5%)      | 0.50    |
| Peripheral vascular disease | 376 (5.8%) | 596 (5.6%)      | 0.59    |
| Cardiac dysrhythmia | 451 (7.0%) | 800 (7.5%)      | 0.17    |
| COPD           | 602 (9.3%)       | 949 (8.9%)      | 0.42    |
| Liver disease  | 123 (1.9%)       | 236 (2.2%)      | 0.15    |
| Malignancy     | 247 (3.8%)       | 417 (3.9%)      | 0.72    |
| Charlson Comorbidity Index |  |               | 0.34    |
| 2              | 495 (7.6%)       | 747 (7.0%)      |         |
| 3              | 486 (7.5%)       | 833 (7.8%)      |         |
| 4              | 931 (14.3%)      | 1,466 (13.8%)   |         |
| 5              | 1,156 (17.8%)    | 1,825 (17.1%)   |         |
| 6              | 1,201 (18.5%)    | 2,062 (19.4%)   |         |
| 7              | 991 (15.3%)      | 1,653 (15.5%)   |         |
| 8+             | 1,233 (19.0%)    | 2,066 (19.4%)   |         |

**Note:** Tego needlefree connector is manufactured by ICU Medical, Inc., San Clemente, CA, USA.

**Abbreviations:** COPD, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; ESRD, end-stage renal disease; IQR, interquartile range; SD, standard deviation.

### Table 2 Comparison of catheter-related bloodstream infections in Tego and control cohorts

|                | Control (n=6,493) | Tego (n=10,652) | P-value |
|----------------|------------------|-----------------|---------|
| IV antibiotic starts |                  |                 |         |
| At-risk time, patient-years | 1,694 | 2,811 |         |
| Events | 2,225 | 3,308 |         |
| Crude rate (95% CI), events per 100-patient-years | 131 (126–137) | 118 (114–122) |         |
| Crude IRR (95% CI) | 1 (ref) | 0.90 (0.85–0.95) | <0.001 |
| Model 1: adjusted | 1 (ref) | 0.92 (0.87–0.97) | 0.002 |
| IRR (95% CI) | 1 (ref) | 0.92 (0.87–0.97) | 0.002 |
| Model 2: adjusted | 1 (ref) | 0.92 (0.87–0.97) | 0.002 |
| Positive blood culture |            |                 |         |
| At-risk time, patient-years | 1,694 | 2,811 |         |
| Events | 1,730 | 2,499 |         |
| Crude rate (95% CI), events per 100-patient-years | 102 (97–107) | 89 (85–92) |         |
| Crude IRR (95% CI) | 1 (ref) | 0.87 (0.82–0.93) | <0.001 |
| Model 1: adjusted | 1 (ref) | 0.89 (0.84–0.95) | <0.001 |
| IRR (95% CI) | 1 (ref) | 0.89 (0.84–0.95) | <0.001 |
| Model 2: adjusted | 1 (ref) | 0.89 (0.84–0.95) | <0.001 |
| Notes: Model 1 adjusted for age, race, vintage, and etiology in end-stage renal disease; Model 2 adjusted for all variables (age, sex, race, vintage, primary cause of ESRD, diabetes, heart failure, ischemic disease, cerebrovascular disease, cardiac dysrhythmia, COPD, liver disease, malignancy, and Charlson comorbidity index). Tego needlefree connector is manufactured by ICU Medical, Inc., San Clemente, CA, USA. Abbreviations: CI, confidence interval; IRR, incidence-rate ratio; IV, intravenous; ref, reference.
The unadjusted rate of positive blood cultures was 30 per 100 patient-years for Tego patients and 31 per 100 patient-years for controls. The unadjusted IRR for Tego patients versus controls was 0.95 (95% CI 0.85–1.06, P=0.34); adjusted models showed no statistically significant differences between the cohorts.

**Mortality**
In the control cohort, 283 deaths occurred over 1,639 patient-years at-risk time, corresponding to a crude mortality rate of 17.3 deaths per 100 patient-years. In the Tego cohort, 486 deaths occurred over 2,719 patient-years at-risk time, corresponding to a crude mortality rate of 17.9 deaths per 100 patient-years. There was no significant association between Tego use and mortality rate observed on either unadjusted or adjusted analysis (Table 3 and Figure 1B).

**Missed dialysis sessions**
Tego patients missed fewer dialysis sessions than controls (17.2 versus 17.8 missed sessions per 100 patient-years). The unadjusted IRR for missed treatments (Tego patients...
Table 3 Comparison of mortality rates in Tego and control cohorts

|                      | Control (n=6,493) | Tego (n=10,652) | P-value |
|----------------------|-------------------|-----------------|---------|
| At-risk time, pt-years | 1,639             | 2,719           |         |
| Deaths               | 283               | 486             |         |
| Crude mortality rate (95% CI), deaths per 100 pt-years | 17.3 (15.3–19.4) | 17.9 (16.3–19.5) |         |
| Crude IRR (95% CI)   | 1 (ref)           | 1.04 (0.89–1.20) | 0.64    |
| Model 1: adjusted IRR (95% CI) | 1 (ref) | 1.03 (0.89–1.19) | 0.71    |
| Model 2: adjusted IRR (95% CI) | 1 (ref) | 1.02 (0.88–1.19) | 0.74    |

Notes: Model 1 adjusted for age, race, vintage and etiology in end-stage renal disease; model 2 adjusted for all variables (age, sex, race, vintage, primary cause of ESRD, diabetes, heart failure, ischemic disease, cerebrovascular disease, cardiac dysrhythmia, COPD, liver disease, malignancy, and Charlson comorbidity index). Tego needlefree connector is manufactured by IcU Medical, Inc., San Clemente, CA, USA.

Abbreviations: CI, confidence interval; IRR, incidence-rate ratio; pt, patient; ref, reference.

The current analysis represents, to our knowledge, the first single center showed no difference in incidence of bacteremia involving a small number of pediatric HD patients also demonstrated a decrease in catheter infections upon switching to Tego connectors locked with heparin, while a more recent randomized controlled trial in 66 adult HD patients at a single center showed no difference in incidence of bacteremia with Tego connectors locked with saline compared to citrate-locked standard catheter caps. These studies are limited, however, by their small size, and were likely underpowered. The current analysis represents, to our knowledge, the first large-scale evaluation of the Tego connector in a real-world HD population.
Beyond the association with reduced incidence of CRBSIs, Tego use was found to be independently associated with a decreased rate of missed dialysis treatments, corresponding to approximately 0.6 fewer missed treatments per patient per year. Patients who frequently miss dialysis sessions have higher rates of morbidity and mortality than those who attend according to their prescribed schedule, and thus a reduction in the number of missed sessions may have clinical benefits. Moreover, even a small reduction in the frequency of missed treatments provides cost benefits to dialysis providers.

Unlike conventional catheter caps, the Tego connector can be flushed and locked with saline, reducing the need for heparin or other antithrombotic locking solutions.\textsuperscript{12,13} A potential concern, however, is that use of the Tego connector locked with saline may lead to increased risk of catheter occlusions. Our data do not support this hypothesis, and point estimates show that thrombolytic use (a marker of thrombotic complications) was modestly decreased with Tego use, although it should be noted that thrombolytic usage overall was very low. Moreover, it is important to note that we lacked data on interventional procedures (eg, catheter exchanges), and therefore cannot make definitive conclusions about relative performance with respect to mechanical catheter complications.

There was a downward trend in ESA use over the study for both Tego and control patients. This is perhaps to be expected, as it has been reported that ESA doses are highest in incident

---

**Figure 2 (A–E)** Medication utilization for Tego and control cohorts. The probability of receiving an erythropoiesis-stimulating agent (ESA) and the per-session ESA dose among users for Tego and control patients in each month of the study are shown. (A and C) Unadjusted estimates; (B and D) estimates adjusted for age, race, vintage, and etiology of end-stage renal disease (model 1). Unadjusted and adjusted (model 1) estimates of the per-month probability of thrombolytic use over the entire study period are shown in panel E.

**Notes:** *Months in which the difference between Tego and control groups was statistically significant ($P<0.05$). Tego needlefree connector is manufactured by ICU Medical, Inc., San Clemente, CA, USA.
patients (with a peak at 2 months after onset of dialysis), declining over time as hemoglobin levels normalize, and 40%–50% of patients in this study were new to dialysis at the study start. In addition to the overall downward trend, Tego use was associated with lower ESA utilization compared to controls in months 7–9 following catheter placement. Per-administration dose differences of approximately 500 Units were observed at later time points in the study, which could have a substantive financial impact for dialysis providers, particularly in the light of the recent rebasing of Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services reimbursement rates for dialysis.16

One plausible hypothesis is that ESA requirements might be lower among Tego patients by virtue of lower catheter infection colonization burden. The delayed separation in ESA utilization may reflect the obligate time needed for sufficient numbers of patients to develop meaningful levels of bacterial colonization, as well as response time in titrating ESAs. Considered alongside antibiotic data, we interpret these data to enrich our inference on vascular-related infections (both overt and subclinical). No significant association between Tego use and mortality was observed. However, we acknowledge that given the relatively short duration of the study, follow-up may not have been long enough to meaningfully assess mortality risk.

As for all observational studies, only associations between exposure and outcomes could be assessed; a randomized trial would be needed to demonstrate causality. Although models were adjusted for covariates that differed between the control and Tego cohorts, the possibility of residual confounders cannot be excluded.

Infectious complications due to chronic catheter use in HD patients who are not good candidates for arteriovenous fistulas or arteriovenous grafts are an ongoing concern. Our findings suggest that the use of the Tego connector may reduce the risk of CRBSIs, and in addition may result in lower utilization of thrombolytics, antibiotics, and ESAs, as well as fewer missed dialysis treatments; however, a randomized controlled trial would be needed to confirm these findings. Budget impact models accounting for all of these factors, as well as the cost of the device itself, will be required to quantify the potential cost benefit of the Tego connector to payers.
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