1. Introduction

In the last decades, many researchers have studied the fractional calculus [1–3]. Differential equations of fractional order have many applications in the field of science and engineering [4–7]. Analytical solution of many fractional differential equations is not possible or very hard to find, so we need a new numerical technique to find its approximate solution. Various phenomena in viscoelastic materials, economics, chemistry, finance, control theory, hydrology, physics, cosmology, solid mechanics, bioengineering, statistical mechanics, and control theory can be mathematically modeled from fractional calculus [8–17]. In literature, various numerical approaches are available for modeling anomalous diffusive behavior such as Carlo simulations [18]. An introduction of diffusion equations can be found in [19–21].

Recently, RBF-based methods were used in solving fractional partial differential equations (FPDEs) [22–24]. These methods have been employed in approximation of partial differential equations with complex domains. An implicit meshless technique based on the radial basis functions for the numerical simulation of the anomalous subdiffusion equation can be found in [25]. The convergence and stability of these mesh-free methods can be found in [26, 27]. These globally defined RBF methods cause ill-conditioning system matrices [28]. To overcome the problem of ill-conditioning, local RBF techniques were used in [29–31]. Unlike global RBF methods, the RBF method in local setting uses center points in each subdomain area of influence, surrounding each spatial point due to which there is reduction in the computational cost.

Recently, Laplace transform is combined with RBF method in [32, 33]. In [34–37], the authors use Laplace transform as tool in spectral method and other mesh-based methods such as finite element methods and finite difference method. To avoid the issues of computational efficiency and instability of the system matrix, we introduce a new technique Laplace transform-based local RBF method in solving the time fractional modified anomalous subdiffusion equations in irregular domain.

Here, we consider the following modified anomalous subdiffusion equation of fractional order [38]:

$$\frac{\partial w(x, t)}{\partial t} = \left[ v_1 D_t^{(1-\alpha)} + v_2 D_t^{(1-\beta)} \right] \Delta w(x, t) + f(x, t),$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)
where \( x = (x, y) \in \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d, d \geq 1, t > 0 \), subject to the following boundary and initial conditions:
\[
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{B}w(x, t) &= h(x, t), \\
-w(x, 0) &= w_0, \\
w(x, t) &= (x, y) \in \partial \Omega,
\end{align*}
\]
respectively, where \( \alpha, \beta \in (0, 1), t \in [0, T] \), \( \gamma_1, \gamma_2 \) are positive constants, \( \Delta \) is the Laplace operator, and \( f(x, t) \) is some given function.

### 2. Preliminaries

Here, we introduce some fundamental definitions related to fractional calculus [39, 40].

**Definition 1.** Let \( n - 1 < \alpha < n \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \) and \( \alpha > 0 \), then the Caputo derivative of fractional order is defined as
\[
D_\alpha^w(t) = \frac{1}{
\Gamma(n-\alpha)} \int_0^t \frac{1}{(t-z)^{\alpha+1-n}} \frac{\partial^n}{\partial z^n} w(z)dz.
\]

**Definition 2.** Let \( w(t), t \geq 0 \), be a given function, then its Laplace transform is defined by
\[
\mathcal{L}[w(t)] = \int_0^{\infty} e^{-zt}w(t)dt,
\]
provided this integral converges.

**Lemma 1.** If \( w(t) \in \mathcal{C}^p[0, \infty) \), with \( \alpha \in (n-1, n) \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \), then the Laplace transform of the fractional order Caputo derivative is given by
\[
\mathcal{L}[D_\alpha^w(t)](z) = z^\alpha \tilde{w} - \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} z^{\alpha-i-1} w^{(i)}(0),
\]

**Theorem 1.** the Bromwich inversion theorem [41]). Let \( w(t) \) have a continuous derivative and let \( |w(t)| < K e^{\gamma t} \), where \( K \) and \( \gamma \) are positive constants. Define
\[
\tilde{w}(z) = \int_0^{\infty} e^{-zt}w(t)dt, \quad \text{Re}(z) > \gamma,
\]
then
\[
w(t) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{C-\infty}^{C+\infty} \tilde{w}(z)e^{zt}dz.
\]

### 3. Description of the Method

**3.1. Time Discretization.** Here, we apply Laplace transform to models (1)–(3) which gives
\[
\begin{align*}
\left[(zI - (\gamma_1 z^{\gamma_1} + \gamma_2 z^{\gamma_2}))\tilde{w}(x, z) = w(x, 0)
\end{align*}
\]

In more compact form, we have
\[
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{L}(\tilde{w}(x, z)) = \tilde{g}(x, z), \\
\mathcal{L}(\tilde{w}(x, z)) = \tilde{h}(x, z),
\end{align*}
\]

The transformed problems (10) and (11) will be solved for the solution \( \tilde{w}(x, z) \) using local RBF method. The solution \( w(x, t) \) of the given models (1)–(3) will be found by using numerical inversion.

**3.2. Local Radial Basis Functions Method.** Here, the linear operators \( \mathcal{B} \) and \( \mathcal{L} \) are discretized by using local RBF [42, 43]. Consider the centers \( \{x_i, i = 1, \ldots, N\} \subset \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d, d \geq 1 \), where \( \Omega \) is the bounded domain. For each point \( x_i, i = 1, 2, 3, \ldots, N \), we can find a subdomain \( \Omega_i \), such that \( n \in N \). The unknown function \( \tilde{w}(x, t) \) can be approximated with RBF in each local subdomain \( \Omega_i, i = 1, 2, \ldots, N \), by the following equation:
\[
w(x_i, t) = \tilde{w}(x_i, t) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \lambda_{ij} \phi(\|x_i - x_j\|), \quad x_j \in \Omega_j, \quad i = 1, 2, \ldots, N,
\]

where \( \lambda_{ij} = [\lambda_{i1}, \lambda_{i2}, \ldots, \lambda_{in}] \) are the unknown coefficients, and \( r_{ij} = \|x_i - x_j\| \) is the norm between nodes \( x_i \) and \( x_j, \phi(r) \), \( r \geq 0 \) is a radial kernel (multiquadric radial basis function), and \( \Omega_j \subset \Omega \) is a local domain for around each \( x_i \), containing \( n \) neighboring nodes around the node \( x_i \). So, we have \( N \) small size linear systems each of order \( n \times n \) given by
\[
\begin{pmatrix}
\tilde{w}_1 \\
\tilde{w}_2 \\
\vdots \\
\tilde{w}_n
\end{pmatrix}
= \begin{pmatrix}
\phi_{i1} & \phi_{i2} & \cdots & \phi_{in} \\
\phi_{i1} & \phi_{i2} & \cdots & \phi_{in} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
\phi_{i1} & \phi_{i2} & \cdots & \phi_{in}
\end{pmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix}
\lambda_{i1} \\
\lambda_{i2} \\
\vdots \\
\lambda_{in}
\end{pmatrix}, \quad i = 1, 2, \ldots, N,
\]

which can be denoted by
\[
\tilde{W}^i = S^i \lambda^i, \quad i = 1, 2, \ldots, N,
\]

where \( \phi_{jk} = \phi(\|x_{ij} - x_{ik}\|), x_{ij}, x_{ik} \in \Omega_j \), and matrix \( S^i \) is the system matrix.

Now, applying the operator \( \mathcal{L} \) to (12) gives
\[
\mathcal{L}\tilde{w}(x_i) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \lambda_{ij} \phi(\|x_i - x_j\|), \quad x_i \in \Omega_i.
\]

The vector form of (15) is given by
\[
\mathcal{L}\tilde{w}(x_i) = G^i \lambda^i,
\]

where \( G^i \) is given by
\[ G^i = \mathcal{D} \phi \left( \left\| x_i - x_j \right\| \right), \quad x_i, x_j \in \Omega. \]  

(17)

From equation (14), the unknown coefficients \( \lambda^i \) are given by

\[ \lambda^i = (S^i)^{-1} \tilde{W}^i, \]  

(18)

and by inserting the values of \( \lambda^i \) in (16), we have

\[ \mathcal{D} \tilde{w}(x_i) = G^i(S^i)^{-1} \tilde{W}^i = N^i \tilde{W}^i, \]  

(19)

where

\[ N^i = G^i(S^i)^{-1}. \]  

(20)

Hence, the discretized form is given by

\[ \mathcal{D} \tilde{w} = \mathbf{H} \tilde{w}, \]  

(21)

where matrix \( \mathbf{H} \) is called the sparse differentiation matrix of order \( N \times N \).

### 4. Numerical Inversion Technique

In this section, the numerical inversion of Laplace transform for approximating the given models (1)–(3) is as follows:

\[ w(x, t) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma - \infty}^{\gamma + \infty} \tilde{w}(x, z) e^{zt} \, dz \]

\[ = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma}^{\gamma + \infty} \tilde{w}(x, z) \, dz, \quad \Im(z) > 0, \]  

(22)

where \( \Psi \) is the suitable path joining \( \bar{\xi} - \infty \) to \( \bar{\xi} + \infty \). This Bromwich integral is numerically solved by using the following hyperbolic contour [37]:

\[ z(\bar{\eta}) = \omega + \bar{\lambda} \left( 1 - \sin(\bar{\sigma} - \bar{\eta}) \right), \quad \text{for } \bar{\eta} \in \mathbb{R}, \]  

(23)

with \( \bar{\lambda} > 0, \omega \geq 0, 0 < \bar{\sigma} < \bar{\beta} \left( \frac{1}{2} \pi \right), \text{and } \left( \frac{1}{2} \right) \pi < \bar{\beta} < \pi. \)

Integral in (22) gives

\[ w(x, t) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{\eta \bar{\tau}} \tilde{w}(x, z(\eta)) e^{zt} \, d\eta. \]  

(24)

Next applying trapezoidal rule for approximation of (24), we have

\[ w_k(x, t) = \frac{k}{2\pi i} \sum_{j=-M}^{M} \tilde{w}(x, z_j) e^{zt} z_j, \quad z_j = z(\bar{\eta}_j), \bar{\eta}_j = jk, \]  

(25)

where \( k \) is the step size.

### 5. Application of the Method

In this section, the proposed numerical scheme is applied to multidimensional problems. We solved four test problems and used various domain points \( N \in \Omega \), stencils points \( n \in \Omega \), and quadrature points \( M \). Three error formulas, the error estimate, \( L_{est} = e^{-\epsilon \log(M)} \), \( L_{\infty} \), and \( L_2 \) norms are used. The radial kernel used in our computations is \( \phi(r, \epsilon) = \sqrt{1 + \epsilon^2 r^2} \). The shape parameter \( \epsilon \) is optimized by the uncertainty rule related to RBFs.

**Problem 1.** Consider models (1)–(3) to the following form [38]:

\[ \frac{\partial w(x, t)}{\partial t} = \left( D_{t1}^{1-\alpha} + D_{t1}^{1-\beta} \right) \left[ \frac{\partial^2 w(x, t)}{\partial x^2} \right] + f(x, t), \]

\[ f(x, t) = \exp(x) \left[ (1 + \alpha) t^\alpha - \frac{\Gamma(2 + \alpha + \beta)}{\Gamma(1 + 2\alpha + \beta)} t^{2\alpha + \beta} \right], \]

(26)

with the following boundary and initial conditions:

\[ w(0, t) = t^{(1+\alpha+\beta)}, \]
\[ w(1, t) = e t^{(1+\alpha+\beta)}, \quad 0 < t \leq T, \]
\[ w(x, 0) = 0, \quad x \in (0, 1), \]

(27)

respectively, where the actual solution is given by

\[ w(x, t) = \exp(x) t^{1+\alpha+\beta}. \]  

(28)

In our numerical scheme, we used the hyperbolic contour (23). The optimal parameter values are taken as

\[ r_h = 2\pi r, \quad r = 0.3431, \quad h = b/N, \quad \tau = t_0/T, \quad t_0 = 0.5, \quad t = 1, \text{ and } \]  
\[ T = 5. \]  

This test problem is solved in the domain \((0, 1)\). Here,
the number of points in domain $\Omega$ is denoted by $N$, the points in local subdomain $\partial\Omega_i$ are denoted by $n$, and the number of quadrature points relates to $M$. The numerical solutions are shown in Table 1 with various values of fractional order $\alpha$ and $\beta$ and nodal points $N$. For comparatively smaller values of fractional order $\alpha$ and $\beta$, better results in terms of $L_\infty$ and $L_2$ error norms are obtained. In the upper part of Table 1, condition number increases, as we increase nodal points $N$. Error versus various quadrature points $M$ at $N = 21$, $n = 9$, and $t = 1$ and various values of $\alpha$ and $\beta$ are shown in Figure 1. The error estimate $L_\text{est}$ for $c = 1$ is well matched with $L_\infty$ and $L_2$ error norms, as shown in Figure 1. Hence, our proposed method is stable and accurate.

Problem 2. Consider models (1)–(3) corresponding to the form [38]

\[
\frac{\partial w(x, t)}{\partial t} = \frac{1}{2} \left[ \frac{\partial^{1-\alpha} w(x, t)}{\partial t^{1-\alpha}} + \frac{\partial^{1-\beta} w(x, t)}{\partial t^{1-\beta}} \right] \left[ \frac{\partial^2 w(x, t)}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 w(x, t)}{\partial y^2} \right] + f(x, t),
\]

\[f(x, t) = \exp(x) \left[ (1 + \alpha)t^\alpha - \frac{\Gamma(2 + \alpha)}{\Gamma(1 + 2\alpha)} 2^\alpha + (1 + \beta)t^\beta \right],
\]

(30)

initial and boundary conditions given by

\[w(x, 0) = 0, \quad x \in (0, 1),
\]

\[w(0, t) = t^{(1+\alpha)} + t^{(1+\beta)},
\]

\[w(1, t) = \exp(1) \left( t^{(1+\alpha)} + t^{(1+\beta)} \right),
\]

\[0 < t \leq 1.
\]

The actual solution is

\[w(x, t) = \exp(x) \left( t^{(1+\alpha)} + t^{(1+\beta)} \right).
\]

(32)

The same domain and same parameter values as used in Problem 1 are incorporated. The numerical results are shown in Table 2 with the same as well as with various values of fractional order $\alpha$ and $\beta$ and nodal points $N$. For comparatively identical values of fractional order $\alpha$ and $\beta$, better results in terms of $L_\infty$ and $L_2$ error norms are obtained. In the upper part of Table 2, condition number of the system matrix is fixed for $11 \leq N \leq 71$. Error versus various quadrature points $M$ at $N = 41$, $n = 9$, and $t = 1$ and various values of $\alpha$ and $\beta$ are depicted in Figure 2. The error estimate $L_\text{est}$ for $c = 0.7$ is well agreed with $L_\infty$ and $L_2$ error norms, as shown in Figure 1. The results obtained by our proposed numerical scheme are comparatively identical with the results in Table 2 [38].

Problem 3. Next, we consider models (1)–(3) corresponding to the form [44]

\[
\frac{\partial w(x, y, t)}{\partial t} = \left( D_{\alpha t}^{(1-\alpha)} + D_{\beta t}^{(1-\beta)} \right) \left[ \frac{\partial^2 w(x, y, t)}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 w(x, y, t)}{\partial y^2} \right] + f(x, t),
\]

(33)

where

\[f(x, y, t) = 2t \sin(2\pi x) \sin(2\pi y)
\]

\[\left( 1 + \frac{8\pi^2}{\Gamma(2 + \alpha)} 2^\alpha + \frac{8\pi^2}{\Gamma(2 + \beta)} \beta \right),
\]

(34)

initial and boundary conditions given by

\[w(x, y, 0) = 0, \quad x, y \in \Omega,
\]

\[w(0, t) = 0,
\]

\[w(1, t) = 0, \quad t > 0.
\]

The exact solution is

\[w(x, y, t) = t^2 \sin(2\pi x) \sin(2\pi y).
\]

(36)
This problem is solved over the domain $\Omega = [0, 1]$. In Table 3, for various nodal points $N$ and stencils points $n = 11, 15$ and with various values of $\alpha$ and $\beta$, the $L_\infty$ error norm is well matched with $L_2$ error norm. The condition number is increasing steadily as we decrease both the values of $\alpha$ and $\beta$ at the same time.

**Problem 4.** Finally, we consider models (1)–(3) corresponding to the form [38]

$$
\frac{\partial w(x, y, t)}{\partial t} = \left( D_{xx}^{(1-\alpha)} + D_{yy}^{(1-\beta)} \right) \left[ \frac{\partial^2 w(x, y, t)}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 w(x, y, t)}{\partial y^2} \right] + f(x, t), \quad 0 < t \leq 1, 0 < x, y < 1,
$$

$$
f(x, y, t) = \exp \left( -\frac{(x - 0.5)^2}{\gamma} - \frac{(y - 0.5)^2}{\gamma} \right) \left[ f_1(x, y, t) + f_2(x, y, t) \right],
$$
Table 2: Numerical results using the proposed numerical scheme corresponding to Problem 2.

| $N$ | $L_{\infty}$       | $L_2$          | $\kappa$       |
|-----|------------------|----------------|----------------|
| 11  | 5.4148e-005      | 1.2209e-004    | 5.0986e+033    |
| 21  | 1.3432e-004      | 2.7509e-004    | 5.0985e+033    |
| 31  | 1.1372e-004      | 3.2761e-004    | 5.0985e+033    |
| 41  | 8.6091e-005      | 3.1340e-004    | 5.0985e+033    |
| 51  | 1.2453e-004      | 5.3029e-004    | 5.0985e+033    |
| 71  | 4.5906e-005      | 2.1446e-004    | 5.0985e+033    |

$M = 80$, $n = 9$, $N = 41$

| $(\alpha, \beta)$ | $L_{\infty}$ | $L_2$ | $\kappa$       |
|---------------------|--------------|-------|----------------|
| (0.2, 0.6)          | 0.0072       | 0.0331| 5.1040e+033    |
| (0.2, 0.4)          | 0.0019       | 0.0089| 5.1040e+033    |
| (0.2, 0.1)          | 5.1121e-004  | 0.0023| 2.6797e+035    |
| (0.6, 0.3)          | 0.0039       | 0.0179| 5.0985e+033    |
| (0.4, 0.3)          | 4.3443e-004  | 0.0019| 5.0985e+033    |
| (0.1, 0.3)          | 0.0021       | 0.0096| 2.1983e+034    |

$M = 80$, $n = 9$, $N = 41$

Figure 2: Error versus quadrature points $M$ at $N = 41$, $n = 9$, and $t = 1$ and various values of $\alpha, \beta$ corresponding to Problem 2.
Table 3: Numerical results using the proposed numerical scheme corresponding to Problem 3.

| $M = 50, n = 11$ | $\alpha = 0.5, \beta = 0.5$ |
|------------------|--------------------------|
| $N$              | $L_{\infty}$ | $L_2$ | $\kappa$ |
| 15               | 7.3893e−004 | 0.0050 | 3.1023e+020 |
| 20               | 0.0025      | 0.0232 | 3.1024e+020 |
| 26               | 0.0029      | 0.0344 | 3.0989e+020 |
| 41               | 8.6091e−005 | 3.1340e−004 | 5.0985e+033 |

$\begin{align*}
N = 50, n = 15, N = 20 \\
(\alpha, \beta) = (0.6, 0.5) & \quad 2.2399e−004 \quad 0.0017 \quad 3.1000e+020 \\
(\alpha, \beta) = (0.5, 0.3) & \quad 2.2409e−004 \quad 0.0017 \quad 5.0520e+021 \\
(\alpha, \beta) = (0.3, 0.2) & \quad 2.2419e−004 \quad 0.0017 \quad 1.1756e+024
\end{align*}$

Table 4: Numerical results using the proposed numerical scheme corresponding to Problem 4.

| $M = 50, n = 9$ | $\alpha = 0.5, \beta = 0.3, \gamma = 0.2$ |
|------------------|--------------------------|
| $N$              | $L_{\infty}$ | $L_2$ | $\kappa$ |
| 11               | 0.0069      | 0.0249 | 1.3362e+021 |
| 15               | 0.0022      | 0.0102 | 2.7478e+021 |
| 21               | 4.4497e−004 | 0.0057 | 6.7578e+021 |
| 25               | 7.8109e−004 | 0.0112 | 1.3745e+022 |

$\begin{align*}
M = 50, N = 20, n = 11 \\
(\alpha, \beta) = (0.2, 0.2) & \quad 6.2477e−004 \quad 0.0060 \quad 9.6487e+025 \\
(\alpha, \beta) = (0.5, 0.5) & \quad 6.2141e−004 \quad 0.0060 \quad 3.1021e+020 \\
(\alpha, \beta) = (0.7, 0.7) & \quad 6.1740e−004 \quad 0.0059 \quad 3.0989e+020 \\
(\alpha, \beta) = (0.9, 0.9) & \quad 6.1134e−004 \quad 0.0058 \quad 3.0989e+020
\end{align*}$

Figure 3: Error versus quadrature points $M$ at $N = 20, n = 11$, and $t = 1$ and various values of $\alpha, \beta$ corresponding to Problem 4.
where

\[ f_1 (x, y, t) = (1 + \alpha + \beta)t^{\alpha+\beta} + 2 \frac{\Gamma (2 + \alpha + \beta)}{\Gamma (1 + 2\alpha + \beta)} \frac{\partial^{2\alpha+2\beta} f}{\partial y^2} + 2 \frac{\Gamma (2 + \alpha + \beta)}{\Gamma (1 + 2\alpha + \beta)} \frac{\partial^{2\alpha+2\beta} f}{\partial y^2}, \]

\[ f_2 (x, y, t) = -4 \left( \frac{\Gamma (2 + \alpha + \beta)}{\Gamma (1 + 2\alpha + \beta)} \frac{\partial^{2\alpha+2\beta} f}{\partial y^2} + \frac{\Gamma (2 + \alpha + \beta)}{\Gamma (1 + 2\alpha + \beta)} \frac{\partial^{2\alpha+2\beta} f}{\partial y^2} \right) \left( \frac{(x - 0.5)^2}{\gamma^2} + \frac{(y - 0.5)^2}{\gamma^2} \right). \]  

The exact solution is

\[ \omega(x, y, t) = t^{\alpha+\beta} \exp \left( -\frac{(x - 0.5)^2}{\gamma} - \frac{(y - 0.5)^2}{\gamma} \right). \]  

Here, the problem is solved over the domain \( \Omega = [0, 1] \times [0, 1] \). In the upper section of Table 4, the \( L_\infty \) and \( L_2 \) error norms are decreasing with \( \alpha = 0.5 \), \( \beta = 0.3 \), \( n = 9 \), and \( \gamma = 0.2 \) and for nodal points \( 11 \leq N \leq 25 \). In the lower section of Table 4, for same values of \( \alpha \) and \( \beta \), the \( L_\infty \) and \( L_2 \) error norms are decreasing steadily at \( N = 20 \), \( n = 11 \), and \( M = 50 \). The results are comparatively identical with the results of the paper [38]. Figure 3 shows the error with varying quadrature points \( M \) and various values of \( \alpha \) and \( \beta \) at \( N = 20 \), \( M = 50 \), and \( \gamma = 0.2 \). The error \( L_\infty \) is well matched with estimate \( L_\text{est} \) for \( c = 0.5 \) and \( L_2 \) error norm, as shown in Figure 3. The present method is stable and accurate in multidimensional fractional order partial differential equations.

6. Conclusion

In this work, a numerical scheme is constructed which is based on Laplace transform and radial basis functions in the local setting. The proposed numerical scheme efficiently approximated time fractional anomalous subdiffusion equation. The supremacy of this method particularly for fractional order equations is its insensitive nature in time as contrary to finite difference approximation for fractional order operators. Since the fractional order derivative is of integral convolution type and suited to handle by Laplace transform, the spatial operators in multidimensions can be approximated by RBF in the local setting which generates small size differentiation matrices in local subdomains and these are assembled as a single sparse matrix in the global domain. So, large amount of data can be manipulated very easily and accurately.
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