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From their observation of necrotic areas around bacterial foci in Buruli ulcers (BUs), Connor and co-workers were the first, back in 1965, to suggest that \textit{M. ulcerans} may produce a diffusible cytotoxin \cite{1}. This hypothesis was later confirmed by injecting mycobacterial culture filtrates into the skin of guinea pigs, showing that this causes focal necrosis resembling that of naturally occurring human infections \cite{1–3}. In 1999, George et al. succeeded in isolating a cytotoxic factor from \textit{M. ulcerans} lipid extracts, and deciphered its chemical nature \cite{4}. The \textit{M. ulcerans} toxin was named mycolactone, based on its mycobacterial origin and macrolactone structure: a 12-membered lactone ring, to which a C5-O-linked polyunsaturated acyl side chain and a C-linked upper side chain comprising C12–C20 are appended (Fig. 1). Follow-up studies showed that \textit{M. ulcerans}-derived mycolactone was in reality a mixture of two stereoisomers called A and B \cite{6, 7} (Fig. 1). Since the initial discovery of mycolactone A/B, eight additional mycolactone congeners have been identified that are either produced by \textit{M. ulcerans} strains of different geographical origins or genetically related fish and frog pathogens, which were initially given different species designations such as \textit{M. pseudoshottsii} and \textit{M. liflandii}. Comparative genome analysis later revealed that all mycolactone-producing mycobacteria evolved from a common \textit{M. marinum}-like progenitor, and are therefore ecovars of a single \textit{M. ulcerans} species \cite{8}. While the macrolide core structure and upper side
chain are conserved, mycolactone populations from different *M. ulcerans* sublineages vary in the length, number and localization of hydroxyl groups and number of double bonds of the lower side chain. These modifications of the lower polyunsaturated acyl side chain cause pronounced changes in cytotoxicity [9–11]. The origin and chemistry of natural mycolactones, structure-activity relationships and the various approaches that were developed to generate synthetic mycolactones have been reviewed recently [12, 13]. These aspects will therefore not be further discussed here. Instead, this chapter provides an update on our understanding of mycolactone (A/B) biology, and discusses its proposed mechanisms of action in relation with BU pathogenesis.

### 1 Mycolactone and BU Disease

#### 1.1 Pharmacodistribution

Mycolactone’s diffusion in infected hosts was initially believed to coincide with its cytocidal action. However, in mice experimentally infected with *M. ulcerans* in footpads, the distinctive mass spectrometric signature of the toxin was detected in peripheral blood cells, spleen, liver and kidneys [14], showing that bacterially-produced mycolactone distributes far beyond infected tissues. The presence of mycolactone was assessed by extracting total lipids from homogenized organs with organic solvents, and analyzing the resulting acetone-soluble fractions by liquid chromatography tandem-mass spectrometry (LC-MS/MS). The body-wide distribution of mycolactone was further supported by animal studies using a radiolabeled form of the toxin, generated by feeding *M. ulcerans* cultures with [1–14C] propionic acid and [1,2–14C] acetic acid. In mice injected with
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14C-mycolactone via the subcutaneous, intravenous or intraperitoneal routes, radioactivity was indeed detected in peripheral blood, spleen, liver and kidneys after 24 h [14]. Using the LC-MS/MS approach, structurally-intact mycolactone was detected in human skin biopsies harvested from the center of pre-ulcerative BU lesions or the edge of viable skin around ulcers [15], in ulcer exudates and in serum samples from newly diagnosed patients and patients undergoing antibiotic treatment [16]. While toxin concentrations within lesions declined upon *M. ulcerans* killing by antibiotic treatment, mycolactone’s presence was still detected several weeks after completion of therapy [15, 16], indicating a slow elimination rate. By spiking cell pellets or serum samples with purified toxin, we noted the poor yield of mycolactone extraction with organic solvents (around 10%). Assuming that 90% of mycolactone is lost during the process, serum concentrations of mycolactone would thus be estimated to fall within the 0–20 nM range at the start of antibiotic therapy [16]. These numbers should nevertheless be considered with caution, as they are based on a limited number of measurements. How mycolactone traffics from bacteria infecting the skin to peripheral blood and distant organs, and whether it accumulates preferentially in certain cell populations or tissues during the course of BU disease remains largely unknown.

At the cellular level, mycolactone is currently believed to diffuse passively through the plasma membrane. In support of this model, fluorescently labeled mycolactones were shown to penetrate fibroblasts in a non-saturable and non-competitive manner within minutes [17] and to accumulate in the cytoplasmic compartment [9, 18]. Two studies using molecular dynamics simulations on the one hand, and Langmuir monolayers as membrane models on the other hand, recently suggested that the passage of mycolactone across cellular membranes may nevertheless alter their dynamic properties, and cause mechanical and physical perturbations [19, 20].

### 1.2 Mycolactone Contribution to BU Disease Manifestations

#### 1.2.1 Pathogenesis and Histopathology of BU Lesions

BU is a chronic necrotizing skin disease affecting primarily subcutaneous adipose tissue. Lesions usually start as single, painless, subcutaneous nodule or papule. The dermis and epidermis overlying the lesion eventually degenerates and sloughs off, leading to the development of ulcers with undermined edges and a necrotic slough at the base. Contiguous coagulation necrosis of the deep dermis and subcutaneous fat tissue with destruction of blood vessels and interstitial edema, epidermal hyperplasia and the presence of fat cell ghosts and extracellular clusters of acid fast bacilli (AFB) constitute hallmarks of BU disease. In advanced lesions the necrotic process may extend through deep fascia and expose deeper structures like muscle or bone. In early lesions, necrosis of dermal collagen is leading to a fibrillary appearance, but gradually all cellular and structural details are disappearing and an amorphous coagulum is developing in the center of the lesion [21]. Clusters of extracellular acid-fast bacilli are primarily found in the deep layers of the necrotic adipose tissue [22], but tissue destruction extends far beyond (Fig. 2), which is ascribed to the
diffusion of mycolactone. In the center of active lesions, inflammatory cells are rarely found (Fig. 2) and cellular responses are defective at both the local and the systemic levels. There is multiple evidence, summarized below, that mycolactone contributes directly to these disease manifestations.

The most commonly used experimental infection models for BU is the mouse model, in which inoculation of *M. ulcerans* into the footpads, ears or the tail causes lesions that develop over several weeks. Evaluation of antibiotic treatment modalities in this model has been shown to be predictive for treatment efficacy in humans. However, the mouse model has some limitations for the investigation of the pathogenesis of BU in humans, since the composition of the tissue at the injection sites differs markedly from human skin. Therefore, the pig has been recently evaluated as a model to study the early pathogenesis of BU [24]. Pig and human skin share many similarities like thickness, general structure of the epidermis, dermis and the subcutaneous tissue [25], making porcine skin a preferred model for burn and wound healing studies [26]. Also, guinea pigs have been used to study early host-pathogen interaction and in particular the effect of mycolactone [27]. However, both in pigs and guinea pigs no chronic infections are establishing [28].

The key role of mycolactone in the pathogenesis of *M. ulcerans* infection has been first demonstrated by injection of this molecule into the skin of experimental
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**Fig. 2** Histopathological features of a tissue punch biopsy from an untreated human BU plaque lesion. Histological sections were stained with Haematoxylin-Eosin (HE) or Ziehl-Neelsen (ZN). (a) The subcutaneous tissue presents with the typical signs of BU histopathology: massive necrosis, and fat cell ghosts and edema. The epidermis presents with epidermal hyperplasia. (b) A band of extracellular ZN positive AFB is present focally in the deep layer of the necrotic subcutis (adapted from [23])
animals. In guinea pigs injection reproduced cell death and lack of acute inflammatory response observed after challenge with viable bacteria [27]. In pigs a necrotic lesion is developing that is surrounded by an infiltration belt after mycolactone injection (Fig. 3b), resembling closely the histopathological picture found in early human lesions (Fig. 3a). The key role of mycolactone in BU pathogenesis
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**Fig. 3** Formation of a necrotic lesion core surrounded by an infiltration belt. Histological sections were stained with Ziehl-Neelsen (ZN)/Methyleneblue (A1) or Methyleneblue alone (B1), the “In situ cell death detection kit” (A2) or by immunohistochemistry for the macrophage marker CD68 (B2). (a) Early human BU lesion presenting with a necrotic core containing extracellular clusters of AFB (location indicated by red stars) surrounded by a belt of infiltrating leukocytes demonstrated by the blue staining of nuclei (A1). Strong TUNEL staining inside the necrotic core and in some of the infiltrating cells of the infiltration belt (B1). (b) Lesions in the skin of a pig (Sus scrofa) induced by a single subcutaneous injection of 50 μg of synthetic mycolactone A/B. The toxin caused formation of a lesion with a necrotic core (B1 and B2) surrounded by a dense belt of infiltrating cells consisting primarily of CD68 positive macrophages (B2) (adapted from [29]).
has been further demonstrated by infection of experimental animals with mycolactone negative *M. ulcerans* mutants. While wild-type bacteria cause an extracellular infection, the mycolactone negative mutants produce an intracellular inflammatory infection [30, 31] similar to that of *M. marinum*, the progenitor of *M. ulcerans* [8].

*M. ulcerans* is multiplying in the sanctuary of the central necrotic core of the lesion, which is usually free of living infiltrating leukocytes, although large numbers of macrophages and lymphocytes are collecting already in early lesions at the margin of the necrotic center of the lesions [29]. These infiltrates form a belt, which separates the highly confined necrotic core from the still intact tissue surrounding the lesion (Fig. 3a). Infiltration of leukocytes may be reduced by sub-lethal concentrations of mycolactone affecting chemokine production by skin dendritic cells (DCs) [32] (see Sect. 1.2.3), while cells entering the necrotic core may undergo apoptosis caused by mycolactone’s diffusion from bacterial foci in the center of the lesions (see below). Some of the leukocytes in the infiltration belt are TUNEL (terminal deoxynucleotidyl transferase dUTP nick end labeling) staining positive [29], which is regarded as a sign for double-strand DNA breaks generated during apoptosis. The necrotic core of BU lesions shows a very strong, but diffuse TUNEL staining (Fig. 3a). At the periphery of BU lesions, interaction of phagocytes with AFBs and intracellular *M. ulcerans* can be observed and in particular in resolving BU lesions, granulomatous inflammation can be found. During antibiotic treatment of BU, intra-lesional concentrations of mycolactone decline [15]. This allows leukocytes to reach the extracellular mycobacteria located in the necrotic core of the lesion, leading to their phagocytosis and destruction [33]. In turn, chronic leukocyte infiltration cumulates in the development of ectopic lymphoid structures [34]. Gathering of DCs and development of defined granuloma structures indicate that antigen recognition and processing is leading to active *M. ulcerans* specific immune responses. Three main types of mixed infiltrates have been identified in antibiotic treated lesions, highly organized epithelioid granulomas, diffuse infiltrates and dense lymphocyte clusters in proximity to vessels. AFB already start to be internalized by phagocytes after 2 weeks of treatment, when *M. ulcerans* can still be cultured from tissue homogenates. Antibiotic treatment may act rapidly on the bacterial production of mycolactone, whereas complete killing of bacteria may take longer.

The cellular mechanism(s) of mycolactone-induced ulceration of the skin were investigated by exposing fibroblasts, epithelial cells and endothelial cells to purified mycolactone in vitro and monitoring dose-response effects on cell viability. Prolonged exposure (>48 h) to nanomolar concentrations (>10 nM) of mycolactone A/B induced the detachment and death of all skin cells studied. This included mouse (L929) and human (HDF) fibroblast cell lines, human epithelial (HeLa) and keratinocyte (HaCaT) cell lines [17, 18, 27, 35–37], and human dermal microvascular endothelial cells [38]. Primary human keratinocytes were relatively more susceptible to mycolactone-mediated cytotoxicity, with cell mortality being detected after only 24 h of treatment [39, 40]. Notably, cell detachment was preceded by alterations of the actin cytoskeleton [17, 18, 35]. In HeLa cells exposed to mycolactone,
production of spike-like protrusions was activated after 30 min, while actin polymerization abnormally localized in the perinuclear area after 4 h, coinciding with a near-complete incapacity of the cells to adhere to plastic wells [18]. Longer treatments (16–24 h) induced defects in epithelial cell capacity to establish cell-cell contacts and close wounds in vitro [18, 41]. In the mouse ear model, intradermal injection of mycolactone caused a dramatic loss of E-cadherin–adhesive contacts in the stratum spinosum, coupled to thinning of the external stratum granulosum and lucidum, resulting in a significant decrease in epidermis width after 54 h [18]. In accordance with the coagulative necrosis in BU lesions, exposing human dermal microvascular endothelial cells to mycolactone depleted thrombomodulin from the cell surface [38]. Mycolactone also decreased the production of collagen biosynthesis enzymes by L929 fibroblasts [35]. Together, these studies suggest that mycolactone provokes BU formation by concomitantly reducing the viability and the healing potential of skin cells, altering the strength of cell-cell and cell-extracellular matrix adhesion, and affecting coagulation control.

1.2.2 Local Analgesia

Early reports favored the hypothesis that BU-associated analgesia was primarily due to nerve destruction. Indeed, the histopathological examination of BU biopsies showed local axonal damages, with loss of myelin in 24% of the patients [42]. Consistently, mouse footpad infection with \textit{M. ulcerans} induced nerve fiber degeneration in advanced ulcers [43], and injection of purified mycolactone in mouse footpads triggered neurological damages associated with hyposensitivity [44]. The possibility that mycolactone causes analgesia by directly killing sensory neurons and Schwann cells, the peripheral nervous system (PNS) cells generating and transmitting pain signals, was investigated in vitro. Anand and co-workers observed significant neurite degeneration in rat and human primary dorsal root ganglion (DRG) sensory neurons after 24 h of exposure to a 100 nM concentration of toxin [45]. Consistently, we found that exposing mouse DRG to 25 nM mycolactone for 16 h downregulated the production of multiple proteins mediating interactions between neurons and the extracellular matrix [46]. Longer treatments (>48 h) induced massive mortality of primary DRGs in both studies [45, 47], although a third study reported a minimal loss of viability following exposure to mycolactone doses of up to 70 \( \mu \)M [48]. Schwann cells, the principal glia of the PNS, are important in many aspects of peripheral nerve biology including the conduction of nervous impulses along axons, nerve regeneration upon injury and production of the nerve extracellular matrix. Prolonged (>48 h) exposure to mycolactone also caused significant mortality in the mouse Schwann cell line SW10 [49], and primary rat Schwann cells (IC\textsubscript{50} of 1 nM) [47]. Of note, microglia showed comparable susceptibility to mycolactone-induced toxicity, with decreased viability manifesting after 48 h of treatment with mycolactone concentrations varying between 3 and 10 nM [47]. Whether mycolactone diffuses into peripheral nerves and reaches the central nervous system (CNS) in vivo is unknown. However, these cellular studies fully support the view that BU-associated analgesia may be due, at least partially, to cytopathic effects at the lesion level.

\textbf{Mycolactone: More than Just a Cytotoxin}
Notably, Marsollier, Brodin and co-workers showed that infection with *M. ulcerans* can induce local hypoesthesia at early stages of disease, in the absence of apparent nerve destruction [50]. Here, pain was generated by applying a focused radiant heat source onto infected footpads, and pain perception was monitored by an adaptation of the tail-flick procedure, in mice that had been previously anesthetized. Injection of 5 μg mycolactone in mouse footpads produced the same effects after 2 h and during 2 days, with normal nociceptive abilities being recovered after 8 days. Parallel to this, we showed that systemic delivery of mycolactone (2 μg) limits the development of distantly generated inflammatory pain [10]. Mycolactone was administered intraperitoneally 1 h before formalin injection into the hind paws, and stereotypical licking and biting behaviors were monitored after 10 min, the time that it takes for inflammatory processes to develop. In addition to demonstrating the intrinsic analgesic properties of mycolactone, these animal studies indicated that bacterially-derived mycolactone reduces pain perception in infected hosts by other mechanisms than cytotoxicity. Section 2 reviews the molecular targets that have been proposed to explain these observations.

1.2.3 Local and Systemic Immunomodulation

Transient defects in the systemic production of IFN-γ have been repeatedly reported in BU patients with progressive ulcers [51–55]. The relative lack of IFN-γ in ex vivo-stimulated blood samples from BU patients reversed at late stages of disease, which may explain why it was not observed in two studies [56, 57]. Of note, IFN-γ production defects resolved after surgical excision of the lesions, indicating their association with *M. ulcerans* bacteria [54]. These defects were observed with antigen-specific [51, 52] and non-specific [53–55] activation stimuli (such as the T-cell mitogen PHA), suggesting that *M. ulcerans* infection induces T cell anergy. In line with this hypothesis, multi-analyte profiling of PHA-stimulated whole blood culture supernatants revealed that most T cell-derived cytokines were suppressed during BU progression [55]. Moreover, patients with BU displayed a distinctive immunosuppressive signature in their serum, marked by a downregulation of multiple mediators of inflammation [55, 58]. In all, these studies revealed that *M. ulcerans* impairs the normal development of inflammatory and cellular immune responses to infection.

Downregulation of cytokines and chemokines in patient sera was still observed 1 month after the end of antibiotic therapy [55, 58]. Since mycolactone was detected in lesions and serum of patients several weeks after they had completed antibiotic treatment [15, 16], the concept emerged that mycolactone may be the bacterial factor causing immunological defects in infected individuals. This hypothesis was supported by mouse studies showing that infection with wild-type *M. ulcerans*, but not with a mycolactone-deficient mutant, causes systemic defects in IL-2 production [14]. Pioneering work conducted by Foxwell and co-workers showed that mycolactone prevents the LPS-induced release of TNF and IL-10 by human monocytes, and production of IL-2 by activated T lymphocytes, under conditions not altering cell viability [59]. Subsequent studies by our group and others examined in detail the cell-type specific effects of mycolactone on immune functions, with the following conclusions. Comparably to skin cells and cells of the
nervous system, human primary macrophages and DCs were susceptible to prolonged (>48 h) treatment with mycolactone (>10 nM) [10, 32]. In contrast, the viability of human primary T cells and polymorphonuclear neutrophils treated under the same conditions was minimally affected [10], showing that certain cell types are more resistant than others against mycolactone toxicity. Notably, non-cytotoxic treatment with mycolactone prevented the phenotypic and functional maturation of DCs, limiting their ability to activate T cells and produce inflammatory chemokines in response to TLR stimulation [32]. It decreased DC expression of MHC class I and II, leading to impaired direct and indirect antigen presentation [60]. In monocytes and macrophages, mycolactone blocked the activation-induced production of cytokines and chemokines post-transcriptionally and irrespective of the activation stimulus [61, 62]. Mycolactone also downregulated the basal expression of TCR and homing receptor L-selectin (CD62L) by resting T cells, leading to altered responsiveness to TCR stimulation and impaired capacity to reach peripheral lymph nodes in vivo [10, 63, 64]. It also limited the T cell capacity to produce cytokines in response to activation stimuli that bypass the TCR, at the post-transcriptional level. In mice, the systemic administration of mycolactone conferred protection against chronic skin inflammation and rheumatoid arthritis, demonstrating the anti-inflammatory potency of mycolactone in vivo [10]. Mycolactone also displayed potent anti-inflammatory activity on the nervous system, with nanomolar concentrations of mycolactone preventing the activation-induced production of pro-inflammatory mediators by activated DRGs, Schwann cells and microglia in conditions that did not affect cell viability [47]. In intrathecally-injected rats, mycolactone decreased significantly the production of inflammatory cytokines in the spinal cord without inducing detectable cytotoxicity [47]. The overall conclusion of these studies was that mycolactone represents a potent natural immunosuppressor operating by a novel molecular mechanism. They supported the view that M. ulcerans produces mycolactone to evade recognition and control by the host immune system.

2 Molecular Targets and Mechanisms of Action

In recent years, three distinct molecular targets have been proposed to explain the diverse biological effects of mycolactone. Proposed mechanisms of action are discussed below, starting from the most recently discovered, the Sec61 translocon, as we feel it is important to re-examine previous findings in the light of these new data.

2.1 Sec61 Blockade

Simmonds and co-workers made a breakthrough in 2014 by discovering that mycolactone blocks the production of TNF and other model secretory proteins by preventing protein translocation into the endoplasmic reticulum (ER), leading to their subsequent degradation in the cytosol by the ubiquitin:proteasome system.
Using cell-free systems, High and co-workers then showed that mycolactone causes selective defects in the cotranslational insertion of Sec61 secretory substrates [65]. Sec61 is a heterotrimeric complex which in eukaryotes mediates the transport of almost all secretory proteins (secreted proteins and most ER-, Golgi-, endosome- and lysosome-resident proteins, as well as proteins containing glycosylphosphatidylinositol-anchors) and integral transmembrane proteins (TMPs) into the ER [66]. We found that mycolactone operates by targeting the pore-forming subunit of the Sec61 translocon, and identified a single amino acid mutation conferring resistance to mycolactone, localizing its interaction site near the luminal plug of Sec61 [67]. Expression of this Sec61 mutant in mycolactone-treated T cells rescued their homing potential and effector functions, providing definitive genetic evidence that Sec61 is the host receptor mediating the immunomodulatory effects of mycolactone [67]. Compared to previously known Sec61 inhibitors (cotransin and derivatives, Apratoxin A), mycolactone was more effective at blocking the production of cytokines and homing receptors by immune cells [46, 67]. Mycolactone is therefore the first Sec61 inhibitor that is produced by a human pathogen, and the most potent inhibitor to date.

TMPs can be divided into Type I, II or III, according to the presence of a signal peptide (SP) and the orientation of the protein’s N-terminus at the ER membrane [68]. Using in vitro assays of single-pass TMP translocation, High and co-workers observed that secretory proteins, Type I and Type II TMPs were generally susceptible to mycolactone-mediated Sec61 blockade, while in contrast, mycolactone had no effect on Type III TMP integration [65, 69]. This led the authors to hypothesize that protein resistance to mycolactone essentially depends on how the protein initially engages the translocon. Our profiling of mycolactone’s signature in the proteome of CD4+ T lymphocytes, DCs and DRG neurons broadly supported this mechanistic model for the effects of mycolactone on different classes of single-spanning TMPs, and extended its validity to multi-pass TMPs [46, 60, 67]. Importantly, these global analyses also highlighted proteomic alterations beyond Sec61 substrates, resulting from secondary effects of protein translocation blockade. For instance, by blocking the production of both IFN-γ and IFN-γ receptor in activated lymphocytes, mycolactone affected the autocrine activation of multiple IFN-responsive genes [67]. In macrophages, it resulted in altered IFN-γ-induced production of nitric oxide synthase (iNOS) [67], which is essential for the control of *M. ulcerans* infection. In addition to highlighting the critical importance of Sec61 activity for immune cell function, migration and communication, these data thus provided a molecular explanation for the immunological defects of BU patients.

Mycolactone was recently shown to promote Bim-dependent cell apoptosis through the mTORC2-Akt-FoxO3 axis [36]. Using L929 fibroblasts as a model, Bieri et al. found that mycolactone inhibits the mechanistic Target of Rapamycin (mTOR) signaling pathway, leading to inactivation of the serine/threonine kinase Akt, subsequent activation of the transcription factor FoxO3, and up-regulation of pro-apoptotic Bim. The importance of Bim-dependent apoptosis in the pathogenesis was demonstrated by *M. ulcerans* infection of Bim knock-out mice, which did not develop necrotic BU lesions and was able to contain the mycobacterial multiplication [36].
How mycolactone interferes with mTORC2 signaling nevertheless remained elusive. Subsequent studies by our group showed that expression of mycolactone-resistant mutants of Sec61 in HEK293 cells protected them against the cytopathic effects of the toxin [67], establishing the critical role of Sec61 blockade in mycolactone-induced cell death. Recently, Simmonds and co-workers reported that inhibition of Sec61-dependent translocation by mycolactone rapidly activates an integrated stress response (ISR) in RAW264.7 and HeLa cells without activating ER stress sensors, driving cytotoxicity via the ATF4/CHOP/Bcl-2/Bim route [70]. Our studies in DCs led to comparable conclusions with regard to mycolactone stimulating ATF4/CHOP signaling, however we detected ER stress-specific activation signals within hours of treatment [46]. Mycolactone-driven ER stress nevertheless differed from conventional unfolded protein response (UPR) by the downregulation of GRP78/BIP, a master regulator of the UPR that is normally induced by canonical ER stress. Whether mycolactone-driven ATF4 induction results primarily from ISR or UPR may thus depend on the cell type. Of note, there is convincing evidence that chronic UPR causes Akt inhibition through complex interactions with mTORC1/C2 signaling [71], providing a possible explanation for how Sec61 blockade activates the mTORC2-Akt-FoxO3 axis, leading to apoptosis by a parallel route downstream of the UPR [36]. Importantly, both studies support the view that mycolactone-mediated cytotoxicity is a late consequence of Sec61 blockade, resulting from the induction of chronic stress triggering apoptosis via the ATF4/CHOP/Bcl-2/Bim signaling pathway.

In summary, by blocking Sec61, mycolactone downregulates the production of a large array of secretory and membrane receptors. One major effect of this molecular blockade is that the host is unable to mount an effective immune response to the underlying mycobacterial infection. Prolonged cell exposure to mycolactone is however cytotoxic, since it triggers stress responses inducing apoptosis.

2.2 AT2R Stimulation

In 2014, Marsollier, Brodin and co-workers identified type 2 angiotensin II receptors (AT2R) as additional targets of mycolactone, mediating its analgesic properties [50]. In primary hippocampal neurons, mycolactone (>7 nM) provoked cell hyperpolarization within 20 min, through activation of KCN4 (TRAAK) potassium channels. The authors showed that mycolactone operates by activating AT2R, leading to phospholipase A2-mediated arachidonic acid (AA) liberation, and generation of prostaglandin E2 (PGE2) from AA by cyclooxygenase-1, which activates KCN4. Mycolactone also caused the hyperpolarization of primary DRGs, at concentrations superior to 1 μM [48]. The importance of the AT2R signaling cascade in mycolactone-driven hypoesthesia was demonstrated by use of an AT2R selective blocker in mice infected with M. ulcerans, or injected with mycolactone, and AT2R-KO animals as controls [50]. AT2R silencing in HeLa cells had no effect on mycolactone-driven defects in IFN-γ receptor expression nor MCP-1 chemokine production, indicating that AT2R is not involved in mycolactone-mediated immunomodulation [67]. Based on our current understanding of mycolactone-mediated Sec61 blockade, the
biogenesis of the multi-spanning membrane protein AT2R may resist its inhibitory effects on protein translocation [69]. Together with the recent evidences of Sec61-dependent anti-inflammatory activity of mycolactone on the immune and nervous systems, and toxicity in neurons (see Sect. 2.1), these data suggest that mycolactone-mediated activation of AT2R and inhibition of Sec61 are two independent mechanisms contributing to BU-associated analgesia.

### 2.3 N-WASP Activation

In 2013, we reported that mycolactone activates the Wiskott-Aldrich syndrome protein (WASP) family of actin-nucleating factors, leading to uncontrolled assembly of actin [18]. WASP and N-WASP belong to a family of scaffold proteins mediating the dynamic remodeling of the actin cytoskeleton, via interaction of their C-terminal verprolin-cofilin-acidic (VCA) domain with the ARP2/3 actin-nucleating complex. While WASP expression is restricted to hematopoietic cells, N-WASP is widely expressed. In basal conditions, WASP and N-WASP are auto-inhibited by intramolecular interactions sequestering the VCA domain from ARP2/3. Binding of activated GTPases or phosphoinositide lipids to N-terminal target sequences triggers conformational changes resulting in release of the VCA, thereby enabling binding and activation of ARP2/3. Using in vitro assays of actin polymerization, we showed that mycolactone mimics endogenous GTPase CDC42 in disruption of WASP/N-WASP auto-inhibition. The possible contribution of WASP/N-WASP activation to mycolactone immunosuppressive effects was ruled out by silencing or inhibiting the proteins in cellular assays of cytokine production [18]. In contrast, N-WASP inhibition by wiskostatin in HeLa epithelial cells partly relieved mycolactone-induced alterations in actin polymerization and cell adhesion. A Sec61 blockade is unlikely to explain such early, wiskostatin-sensitive effects of mycolactone. We propose that a fraction of mycolactone may bind to cytosolic WASP/N-WASP following its diffusion through the plasma membrane, leading to the uncontrolled assembly of actin and defective cell-matrix adhesion. In support of this model, a limited, yet significant co-localization of mycolactone with active WASP was observed after 1 h of treatment in HeLa cells [18]. Mycolactone injection in mouse epidermis induced structural changes in the multilayered organization of this tissue that were suppressed by wiskostatin, suggesting that mycolactone-driven activation of N-WASP and subsequent defects in cell adhesion occur in vivo. While this mechanism may not be central to BU pathogenesis, we speculate that it may synergize with Sec61 blockade to impair skin integrity.

### 3 Conclusions

Recent years have witnessed tremendous progress in our understanding of the molecular mechanisms underpinning mycolactone biology (summarized in Fig. 4), and therefore BU pathogenesis. Notably, studies on mycolactone have revealed a
novel mechanism of immunomodulation based on protein translocation blockade, which may be exploited therapeutically to limit inflammation and pain. Meanwhile, attempts have been made to develop a live vaccine for BU based on immunization with mycolactone-negative \textit{M. ulcerans} strains [8]. However, vaccination only transiently delayed the onset of pathology induced by a highly virulent \textit{M. ulcerans} strain [72]. While mycolactone is an obvious target antigen for a BU subunit vaccine, initial attempts by several groups to develop an immunogenic formulation of the toxoid failed [73]. Recent studies nevertheless indicated that toxin neutralizing antibodies can be elicited by protein-carrier conjugates of synthetic mycolactone [37], providing new prospects for the development of a BU vaccine.
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