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Chitinases have been implicated to be of importance in the life cycle development and transmission of a variety of parasitic organisms. Using a molecular approach, we identified and characterized the structure of a single-copy \textit{LmexCht1}-chitinase gene from the primitive trypanosomatid pathogen of humans, \textit{Leishmania mexicana}. The \textit{LmexCht1} encodes an \textasciitilde 50 kDa protein, with well-conserved substrate binding and catalytic domains characteristic of members of the chitinase-18 protein family. Further, we showed that \textit{LmexCht1} mRNA is constitutively expressed by both the insect vector (\textit{i.e.} promastigote) and mammalian (\textit{i.e.} amastigote) life cycle developmental forms of this protozoan parasite. Interestingly, however, amastigotes were found to secrete/release \textasciitilde 2-4-fold higher levels of chitinase activity during their growth \textit{in vitro} than promastigotes. Moreover, a homologous episomal expression system was devised and used to express an epitope-tagged \textit{LmexCht1} chimeric construct in these parasites. Expression of the \textit{LmexCht1} chimera was verified in these transfectants by reverse transcription-PCR, Western blots, and indirect immunofluorescence analyses. Further, results of coupled immunoprecipitation/enzyme activity experiments demonstrated that the \textit{LmexCht1} chimeric protein was secreted/released by these transfected \textit{L. mexicana} parasites and that it possessed functional chitinase enzyme activity. Such transfectants were also evaluated for their infectivity both in human macrophages \textit{in vitro} and in two different strains of mice. Results of those experiments demonstrated that the \textit{LmexCht1} transfectants survived significantly better in human macrophages and also produced significantly larger lesions in mice than control parasites. Taken together, our results indicate that the \textit{LmexCht1}-chimera afforded a definitive survival advantage to the parasite within these mammalian hosts. Thus, the \textit{LmexCht1} could potentially represent a new virulence determinant in the mammalian phase of this important human pathogen.

\textit{Leishmania} sp. are a group of trypanosomatid protozoan pathogens, which are transmitted to their mammalian hosts via the bite of infected sand fly vectors. In humans, these parasites cause a broad spectrum of diseases ranging from mild cutaneous ulcers to severe and typically fatal visceral disease (1). All \textit{Leishmania} have a life cycle that includes two major parasite developmental stages: 1) extracellular, flagellated promastigote forms that reside and multiply within the alimentary tract of their sand fly vector hosts and 2) obligate intracellular, amastigote forms, which reside and multiply within the phagolysosomal system of infected mammalian macrophages (2). Parasite proteins, which mediate the survival, growth, and development of \textit{Leishmania} within these diverse host environments generally remain to be elucidated. However, one such molecule thought to be involved in these processes is a parasite-derived chitinase. The putative substrate of this enzyme, chitin, is a ubiquitous structural polysaccharide found in arthropods that may create barriers to parasite development in such hosts (3). In that regard, based on light and electron microscopic observations, previously it has been hypothesized that a \textit{Leishmania} chitinase might function to facilitate both parasite infection and survival within their sand fly vector hosts (4, 5). Further, it was suggested that a parasite chitinase might also aid in the transmission of these organisms to their mammalian hosts (5).

Despite its apparent relevance, to date little direct evidence exists \textit{per se} concerning the role(s) of such a chitinase in the developmental biology of \textit{Leishmania} parasites in either their sand fly vector or mammalian hosts. However, previously we used a heterologous probe to indicate that promastigote forms of \textit{Leishmania mexicana} (\textit{i.e.} a stage present in its insect vector) contained a putative chitinase-like sequence within their genome (6). It is important to note that this parasite is the major etiologic agent of human cutaneous leishmaniasis in the New World (7). Further, experimental studies of \textit{L. mexicana} have been greatly facilitated because culture systems exist for generating the various life cycle developmental forms of this parasite \textit{in vitro} (8–10). Moreover, several mouse model sys-
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systems have also been established to study the infectivity and pathogenicity of *L. mexicana* in vivo (8, 11–13). In light of the above, in the current report we used a molecular approach to identify, characterize, and examine the expression of the *LmexCht1*-chitinase gene throughout the various developmental life cycle stages of this human pathogen. In addition, parasites transfected with an *LmexCht1*-chimeric construct were evaluated in both human macrophages and in mice to determine whether this gene may play a role(s) in the survival and virulence of this parasite within its mammalian host.

**EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES**

**Reagents**—All chemicals used, unless specified, were of analytical grade and purchased from Sigma-Aldrich Chemical Co. Enzymes used for molecular studies were obtained from Roche Applied Science, DNA and RNA molecular mass standards were from Invitrogen, and protein molecular mass standards were purchased from Amersham Biosciences.

**Parasites and Culture Conditions**—Promastigote developmental forms of the M379 strain of *L. mexicana* (WHO designation: MNKY/BZ/62/M379) were grown at 26 °C in Medium M199 (Invitrogen), supplemented with 10% (v/v) heat-inactivated fetal bovine serum (FBS) (Gemini Bio-Products, Woodland, CA) essentially as described previously (14). Amastigote forms of the *L. mexicana* M379 strain were maintained in BALB/c mice as described previously (8). Lesion amastigotes were isolated from infected mice and cultured axenically in vitro at 32 °C, procyclic promastigotes cultures were established in vitro by transfection of amastigotes at 26 °C. Cosmocyte promastigotes were generated from procyclic promastigotes as described previously (8–10). For isolation of nucleic acids and proteins, parasite cultures were harvested at mid-log phase (~1–2 × 10^7) cells ml^-1^ by centrifugation at 2100 × g for 15 min. at 4 °C (15). The resulting cell pellets were washed twice in ice-cold phosphate-buffered saline (PBS, 10 mm sodium phosphate, 145 mm NaCl, pH 7.4) by centrifugation as above and finally resuspended in the appropriate buffers.

To assess whether wild-type *L. mexicana* parasites released/secreted any measurable chitinolytic activity during their growth in vitro, promastigotes and axenic amastigotes were grown to ~1×10^7 cells ml^-1^ as above, harvested by centrifugation, and their cell-free culture supernatants were processed as described previously (16). Aliquots of such culture supernatants were used for both enzyme assays and immunoprecipitation experiments. Prior to use in assays, parasite culture supernatants and unused medium controls were neutralized to pH 7.0 using 1 M Tris-HCl buffer, pH 8.0.

**Enzyme Assays**—Cell-free culture supernatants from both wild-type *L. mexicana* promastigotes and axenic amastigotes (above) were analyzed for their exochitinase (which releases dimers of N-acetylglucosamine from the non-reducing ends of chitin) and endochitinase (which hydrolyzes bonds within the chitin polymer) activities (17). For these assays, the fluorogenic substrates: 4-methylumbelliferyl-β-D-N,N′-diacetetylchitodisaccharide (4MU-chitobiose) and 4-methylumbelliferyl-β-D-N,N′,N′-triacetylchitotrioside (4MU-chitotriose) were used to measure exo- and endochitinase activities, respectively (6). The concentration of the fluorescent 4-methylumbelliflone (4MU) product generated from these substrates was determined using 4MU standards. Fluorescence was measured with a Turner Digital Filter Fluorometer (Model 112; Turner Designs, Sunnyvale, CA) using a 360-nm excitation filter and a 450-nm emission filter. In these experiments, fresh, unused, complete parasite growth (culture) medium was used as a background control. Values obtained from such controls were subtracted from those generated with various test samples. The results of these enzyme assays are expressed as pmol of 4MU product generated h^-1^ ml^-1^ of parasite culture supernatant using either 4MU-chitobiose or 4MU-chitotriose as substrates. All samples were assayed in triplicate and these assays were repeated on multiple samples.

In parallel, such parasite culture supernatants were also subjected to immunoprecipitation reactions using an anti-LdCht1-1peptide antisera or preimmune serum from the same rabbit (i.e. normal rabbit serum, NRS) in a protein A-based assay as described previously (6, 18). The immunoprecipitated protein A-bound complexes resulting from such reactions were subsequently assayed for their exochitinase and endochitinase activities as described above. Results of these immunoprecipitation experiments were normalized by subtracting the values obtained with NRS from those obtained with the anti-LdCht1-1peptide antisera. All samples were assayed in triplicate, and these assays were repeated on three independently generated samples.

**Nomenclature**—The designations used in this report for genes, proteins, and plasminoids follow the nomenclature for *Trypanosoma* and Leishmania as outlined by Clayton et al. (19).

Oligonucleotide Primers, PCR, and Probe Preparation—Oligonucleotide primers: PCR-Fwd and PCR-Rev, respectively were designed to portions of Region II (i.e. a putative catalytic site) and Region III (i.e. a putative substrate binding site) of the *Leishmania donovani* chitinase 1 gene (18). Previously, these regions were shown to be relatively well conserved among chitinase genes from various sources (18). The primers (PCR-Fwd: 5′-GACGGCATGCTTCACTGAGGAT-3′ and PCR-Rev: 5′-GTACCGCATCAAGTGGCATATGCGA-3′) were synthesized by β-cyanoethylphosphoramidite chemistry using an Expedite™ nucleic acid synthesis system (PE Applied Biosystems) and used in PCR amplifications with *L. mexicana* genomic (g) DNA as a template. It was possible to use gDNA as template since trypanosomatid protozoa (generally) do not possess introns within their open reading frames (ORF) (20, 21). After an initial hot start at 94 °C for 2 min, the conditions used for amplification were: 94 °C for 15 s, 55 °C for 30 s, 72 °C for 30 s (35 cycles), and a final step at 72 °C for 5 min. The resulting 270-bp amplified-product was cloned into the pCR2.1-TOPO vector (Invitrogen), and the resulting plasmid (*LmexPCR270*) was subjected to nucleotide sequencing. Analyses of the sequence data obtained from the *Lmex-PCR270* clone showed that it had high sequence identity with the *L. donovani* Chtin1 gene (18). Subsequently this cloned PCR fragment was labeled with digoxigenin-dUTP using the PCR Dig Labeling kit according to the manufacturer's instructions (Roche Applied Science). The resulting digoxigenin-labeled probe (*Lmex-DIG270*) was used to screen an *L. mexicana* cosmid library.

**Generation and Screening of an *L. mexicana* Cosmid Library**—A cosmid library was created by the many 10^9 mid-log phase M379 promastigotes using a Gnome DNA Isolation Kit (Bio101, Carlsbad, CA). Such gDNA was used to construct an *L. mexicana* cosmids cosmid library. To that end, following restriction endonuclease digestion, gDNA was ligated into the SuperCos I vector, phase-packaged, and adsorbed onto host E. coli, XL-1 Blue MR cells according to manufacturer's instructions (Stratagene). Subsequently, ~3000 colonies from this cosmid library were assayed using the *Lmex-DIG270* probe under high-stringency hybridization and washing conditions (i.e. 0.1× SSC, 0.1% SDS at 65 °C). Among the several positive clones identified from such screening, one (*LmexCos1*) was chosen for further analyses. DNA was isolated from this *LmexCos1* cosm id clone using a plasmid purification kit (Qiagen). Such DNA was subsequently subjected to nucleotide sequencing.

**Fragment Sequencing and Cloning**—The nucleotide sequence is represented using the fluorescent di-deoxy chain terminator cycle sequencing method (22) at the Johns Hopkins University DNA Analysis facility (Baltimore, MD). Sequence data obtained from both strands were analyzed using the Genetic Computer Group (GCC) software package (23) running on an NIH Unix System and Sequencher™3.0 software (Gene Codes Corp., Ann Arbor, MI). Further, such sequences were also subjected to BLAST-N and BLAST-P analyses using the NCBI BLAST-link (www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/BLAST/). Signal sequence and protease cleavage sites were predicted using the SignalP link available at the world wide ExPaSy (Expert Protein Analysis System) proteomics server of the Swiss Institute of Bioinformatics (SIB) available at www.expasy.ch/ tools. Protein domain analysis was done using the Worldwide Web-based Simple Modular Architecture Research Tool (smart.embl-heidelberg.de) available via EMBL-EBI, European Bioinformatics Institute (at www.ebi.ac.uk). Protein multiple sequence alignments were done using the ClustalW program (24) using a MacVector 7.0 software package (GCC, Madison, WI).

**Isolation of Genomic DNA and Southern Blot Analysis**—*L. mexicana* gDNA was prepared from log phase promastigote cells using the Cetyltrimethylammonium bromide isolation kit as described previously (25). Analyses of these genomic DNA samples, such DNA was digested with several restriction endonucleases and separated in 1% agarose gels, transferred to positively charged Nylon membranes (Roche Applied Science) and cross-linked to the membranes by UV irradiation using a Stratallink®2400 (Stratagene). Subsequently, blots were hybridized under high stringency using a second digoxigenin-labeled DNA probe (i.e. *LmexCht1*-DIG1151), which corresponded to a major portion of the *L. mexicana* chitinase open reading frame.
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reading frame (i.e. nt 1–1151). After washing these blasts at high stringency (0.1× SSC, 0.1% SDS at 65 °C), the hybridized fragments were visualized using an anti-digoxigenin alkaline phosphatase-conjugated antibody in conjunction with a chemiluminescent reagent (CSPD) according to the manufacturer's instructions (Roche Applied Science). Images were captured from such blots using BIOMAX™-MR x-ray film (Kodak). DNA was also isolated from the Lmex-Cos1 cosmid clone and digested with different restriction endonucleases. Subsequently, such preparations were subjected to Southern blot analysis as above.

Isolation of RNA and Northern Blot Analysis—Total RNA was isolated from exponential and stationary phase promastigote and axenic amastigote cultures of L. mexicana, as well as from the lesion-derived amastigotes using TRIzol® according to the manufacturer's instructions (Invitrogen). Aliquots of 5 μg of total RNA were separated in 1.2% agarose gels using the glyoxal method (25), transferred onto nylon membranes and cross-linked by UV light. Blots were hybridized under high stringency conditions using a DIG-labeled probe (LmexCh1-DIG270). Subsequent to high stringency washing, such blots were subjected to immunodetection using anti-digoxigenin antibody conjugated to alkaline phosphatase and developed using the CSPD chemiluminescent reagent as described above.

Mapping of the 5'-Spliced Leader (SL) Acceptor Site—As indicated above, the conserved amino acids that constitute the SL sequence are generally introduced at the 3′-end of regular ORFs. However, the pre-mRNAs in these organisms are joined to a 39-nt conserved spliced leader at their 5′-end by trans-splicing to generate mature, translatable mRNAs (26, 27). To identify the 5′-splice acceptor site in the LmexCh1 gene, RT-PCR analysis was performed essentially as described previously (18). For these reactions, cDNA was generated from total RNAs isolated from both L. mexicana M579 promastigotes and axenic amastigotes. Such cDNAs were amplified in PCR with a forward primer (i.e. SpliceFwd) based on the L. mexicana spliced leader sequence (i.e. nt 5–31 of the 39-nt SL sequence) (28): 5′-AACCTTATAATGATCGGTTTGTG-3′ and a reverse primer (i.e. ORF-RT/Rev) based on a portion of the 5′-end (i.e. nt 138–157) of the LmexCh1 ORF: 5′-CAGTATCGGTTTGTGTCG-3′. The resulting PCR-amplified products obtained from these reactions (i.e. using cDNAs from L. mexicana's infectious promastigote and axenic amastigote cultures) were cloned into the pCR2.1-TOPO plasmid vector (Invitrogen), sequenced, and analyzed. Sequence data obtained from these two parasite developmental forms were compared.

Generation of an Epitope-tagged Expression Construct—In this report, the pKSNEO leishmanial vector (29) was used to episomally express an L. mexicana gene construct. This vector has been used previously to express a variety of homologous and heterologous genes in both promastigotes and axenic amastigotes in several different species of Leishmania parasites (30–33). The pKSNEO vector contains 3′-UTR regulatory elements from an L. donovani amastigote-specific (i.e. A2) gene (34), which can favor some differential up-expression (albeit not completely parasite stage-specific) in amastigote forms of these organisms. A gene-specific primer pair (NeoFwd and NeoRev) was designed to amplify a portion of the message (i.e. SLNEO, 32 bp) transcribed from the neomycin phosphotransferase (NEO) gene present in the pKSNEO vector backbone. The sequence of NeoFwd was: 5′-GATTGAAACAGGATGAGTCACGAGCG-3′, and of NeoRev: 5′-AG-GACAGGTTAGATGACGGAGGAT-3′. A second primer pair (Cht1-Fwd and Ha-Rev) was designed to amplify a portion of the message (i.e. LmexCht1-HA chimeric gene construct present in the pKSNEO::LmexCh1-HA plasmid). The sequence of Cht1-Fwd was: 5′-TTGGCAGGCCTGCTGTTGAGCAGCG-3′ and of Ha-Rev: 5′-CCGTATGTCGCCGACCTGACGTTG-3′. The conditions used for these PCR amplifications were: 94 °C for 15 s, 50 °C for 30 s, 72 °C for 1 min (35 cycles), and 72 °C for 5 min. The resulting PCR-amplified products were agarose gel electrophoresis, stained with ethidium bromide and imaged using an Eagle Eye-IP® video system (Stratagene) equipped with a UV transilluminator. Western Blot Detection of the LmexCh1-HA Protein in Transfected Cells—Both pKSNEO control and pKSNEO::LmexCh1-HA-transfected L. mexicana promastigotes and axenically grown amastigotes were harvested from log phase cultures and washed three times in 1× PBS by centrifugation as before. Such cell pellets were solubilized in SDS-PAGE sample buffer (36), and protein concentrations were determined using the bicinchoninic acid method (Micro BCA, Pierce). Aliquots of these cell lysates (15 μg/lane) were separated by SDS-PAGE (10%, pre-cast, Tris-glycine polyacrylamide, Novex® gels, Invitrogen), and the proteins were transblotted onto nylon (polyvinylidene difluoride, PVDF) membranes (Immobil®; Millipore Corp., Bedford, MA) as described previously (32). Such membranes were blocked with 5% (w/v) nonfat, powdered milk in a buffer containing 0.05% (v/v) Tween-20, 20 mm Tris-HCl, 150 mm NaCl, pH 7.4 (37), probed with an anti-HA monoclonal antibody (Covance Research Products, Inc., Berkeley, CA) or an appropriately matched purified mouse IgG1, κ control immunoglobulin (Sigma-Aldrich) followed by a goat anti-mouse horse radish peroxidase conjugated antibody (anti-IgG antibody conjugates). Immunodetection was carried out using the ECL Western blot kit reagents (Amersham Biosciences), and images were captured from such blots using BIOMAX™-MR x-ray film (Kodak).

Immunofluorescence Microscopy of Transfected Parasites—Prior to use, the wells (5-mm diameter) of Teflon-coated, glass microscope slides (Cel-Line/Erie Scientific Co. Portsmouth, NH) were treated for 30 min at room temperature with an aqueous solution (10 mg ml−1(w/v)) of 1× PBS by centrifugation (2100 × g, 10 min at 4 °C) and resuspended in ice-cold electroporation buffer (21 mm HEPEES, 137 mm NaCl, 5 mm KCl, 0.7 mm NaHPO4, 6 mm t-glucose, pH 7.0) at 107 cells ml−1. A 500-μl aliquot of the cell suspension was added to a 2-mm gap electroporation cuvette (BTX® Harvard Apparatus, Holliston, MA) to which 20 μl of purified plasmid DNA (at 1 μg μl−1) in sterile 10 mm Tris, 2 mm EDTA, pH 8.0 (Quality Biological, Inc., Gaithersburg, MD) was added. The cells were electroporated using a single pulse (conditions: 475 V, 800 microfarads, and 13 ohms) in a BTX ECM-600 electroporation system (BTX® Harvard Apparatus). Electroporated cells were incubated on ice for 10 min, transferred into 5 ml of complete culture medium (M199 containing 10% (v/v) FBS) as described above and incubated at 26 °C for 24 h. Following this, the transfected cells were harvested by centrifugation as above and resuspended in the same culture medium containing 10 μg ml−1 Geneticin® (G418, Invitrogen). Subsequently, these transfectedants were selected for their growth in medium containing 200 μg ml−1 of G418 over several weeks. Promastigote cultures of such transfectedants were routinely maintained and grown at 26 °C in complete growth medium containing 200 μg ml−1 of G418. For some experiments, such transfectedants were allowed to transform and grow under axenic amastigote growth conditions (i.e. in pH 5.5 medium at 32 °C) in the presence of G418. Growth kinetics of both pKSNEO control and pKSNEO::LmexCh1-HA-transfected parasites were measured periodically by trypan blue dye exclusion and cell counting. In one experiment, a culture was divided into three aliquots by centrifugation (2100 × g, 10 min) and each was transferred into a fresh complete culture medium (M199 containing 10% (v/v) FBS). After one week of growth, each culture was sampled and 2 μl of each sample was added to 198 μl of 1% (w/v) crystal violet (Sigma-Aldrich) in 50% (v/v) methanol. After incubating at room temperature for 15 min, the mixture was diluted with 10 ml of water and the absorbance at 670 nm was determined. The absorbance measurements were corrected for untransfected control culture and the data were expressed as percentage of control. Growth curves of both cultures were measured periodically by counting the number of transformed cells in each culture by suspending the suspension in ice-cold electroporation buffer (21 mM HEPES, 137 mM NaCl, 5 mM KCl, 0.7 mM NaHPO4, 6 mM t-glucose, pH 7.0) at 106 cells ml−1 and then adding 20 μl of the cell suspension to 180 μl of Complete culture medium (M199 containing 10% (v/v) FBS) and incubating at 26 °C for 24 h. Following this, the transfected cells were harvested by centrifugation as above and resuspended in the same culture medium containing 10 μg ml−1 Geneticin® (G418, Invitrogen). To that end, aliquots of such cultures were diluted appropriately with an isotonie buffer (ISOTON-II, Beckman-Coulter Particle Characterization, Miami, FL) and counted using a Model Z1 Coulter Counter (Beckman-Coulter) essentially as described by Debrabant et al. (35).
of poly-L-lysine hydrobromide (Sigma), rinsed with deionized water, air-dried, and stored at room temperature. For experiments, mid-log (exponential) phase cultures of both pKSNEO control and pKSNEO::LmexCht1-HA-transfected L. mexicana promastigotes were established and harvested. The harvested cells were washed three times in PBS by centrifugation as above. Cells were fixed in suspension with 4% (w/v) paraformaldehyde (Sigma) in PBS for 20 min on ice, washed three times in PBS by centrifugation at ~10,000 × g for 30 s in an Eppendorf microcentrifuge (Model 5414 D, Brinkman Instruments Inc., Westbury, NY). The final cell pellets (~2 × 10^6 cells) were resuspended in 20 μl of PBS. These pellets were applied for 15–20 min to wells of the microscope slides above, drops aspirated, and the wells allowed to air dry (at all room temperature). These cells were permeabilized with absolute methanol at −20 °C for 5 min, rinsed in PBS, and incubated (blocked) at room temperature for 60 min in PBS containing 5% (w/v) bovine serum albumin (BSA, Sigma). Subsequently, cells were reacted for 1 h at room temperature with an anti-HA monoclonal antibody (Covance Research Products), or an isotype-matched control immunoglobulin (Sigma-Aldrich), appropriately diluted in PBS containing 1% (w/v) BSA (Sigma). Following three washes in PBS, cells were reacted for 1 h at room temperature with a fluorescein isothiocyanate (FITC)-conjugated, goat anti-mouse IgG (H + L) secondary antibody (Sigma) diluted in PBS containing 1% (w/v) BSA. Subsequently, cells were washed three times with PBS and mounted in Vectorshiel® Mounting medium (Vector Laboratories, Inc., Burlingame, CA). Samples were examined using a Zeiss Axioscope (Carl Zeiss, Inc., Thornwood, NY) equipped with epifluorescence, a cooled CCD camera (Photometrics, Tucson, AZ) and appropriate FITC excitation/barriers filters. All captured images were processed using Adobe Photoshop 5.5 (Adobe Systems Inc., San Jose, CA).

Detection of Secreted/Released LmexCht1::HA in Culture Supernatants of Transfected Parasites—To ascertain whether transfected parasites secreted a secreted protein, the LmexCht1::HA chimeric protein during their growth in vitro, culture supernatants of both pKSNEO control and pKSNEO::LmexCht1-HA-transfected L. mexicana promastigotes and axenic amastigotes were harvested, neutralized to pH 7 and subjected to immunoprecipitation with an anti-LdCht1 antibody or NRS as above. The resulting immunoprecipitating complexes were separated in SDS-PAGE, (4–20%, Tris-glycine polyacrylamide gels, Invitrogen), transblotted onto PVDF membranes, and subjected to Western blot analysis. The resulting immunoblotting complexes were probed with the secreted protein and an anti-HA monoclonal antibody (Covance Research Products) and subsequently reacted with a streptavidin-HRP conjugate (Jackson ImmunoResearch, West Grove, PA). Such blots were developed using the ECL Western blot detection system (Amersham Pharmacia Biotech, Aylesbury, UK) using a cooled CCD camera (Photometrics, Tucson, AZ) and appropriate FITC excitation/barriers filters. All captured images were processed using Adobe Photoshop 5.5 (Adobe Systems Inc., San Jose, CA).

Measurement of Secreted/Released LmexCht1::HA Chitinase Activity—To summarize, experiments, we observed that the anti-HA antibody co-reacted with a number of serum components present in the complete-FBS containing, culture supernatants of both pKSNEO control and pKSNEO::LmexCht1-HA transfected L. mexicana promastigotes and axenic amastigotes. Neutralized, unused release assay incubation buffers served as controls in these assays.

Infections of Human Macrophages in Vitro—Elutedratated human peripheral blood monocytes were obtained from the National Institutes of Health (NIH, Bethesda, MD). These monocytes were plated 5 × 10^5 cells/ml in RPMI medium at 32 °C in 5% CO2 in air, as above. The promastigotes (pKSNEO::LmexCht1-HA) were added to the culture medium as above. Following this, one set of such macrophage cultures (T5h) was also fixed, stained, and processed for microscopy as described above. Subsequently, triplicate chambers of both the T5h and T72 h parasitized macrophage cultures were plated in 0.5-ml volumes each in Lab-Tek® 8 chamber, tissue culture slides (Nalge Nunc) and incubated for 7 days at 37 °C in a humidified atmosphere containing 5% CO2 in air to facilitate their differentiation into macrophages. Stationary phase L. mexicana M379 promastigotes transfected with either the control pKSNEO or the pKSNEO::LmexCht1-HA plasmids were used to infect these monocyte-derived macrophages. To that end, promastigotes from stationary phase cultures were harvested and resuspended with 0.5-ml volumes each in Lab-Tek® chambers, tissue culture slides (Nalge Nunc) was immediately processed for light microscopy; i.e., culture medium was removed, the slides were air-dried for 5 min at room temperature, fixed by immersion in absolute methanol for 10 min, and finally stained using the Diff-Quick Stain set (Dade Behring, Inc., Newark, DE). A second set of macrophage cultures (T72 h) was also fixed, stained, and processed for microscopy as described above. Subsequently, triplicate chambers of both the T5h and T72 h parasites were removed by aspiration and repeatedly washed with prewarmed RPMI medium and then fixed, stained, and processed in the same manner. A total of 10 parasites per field were counted from each chamber, and such assays were repeated using at least two independently generated samples. Results of these assays are expressed as pmol of 4MU-product generated h ^−1 ml ^−1 of parasite release assay supernatant.

Neutralized, unused release assay incubation buffers served as controls in these assays.

In Vivo Infections in Mice—L. mexicana amastigotes were isolated from infected BALB/c mice and allowed to transform into promastigotes at 26 °C in vitro, as described above. The promastigotes (i.e. in their transformation medium) were transfected with either the pKSNEO control or pKSNEO::LmexCht1-HA plasmids, as described above. Transfected promastigotes were selected for growth in increasing concentrations of G418 up to 200 μg ml ^−1. Susceptible BALB/c mice (Charles River UK Ltd.) were infected (into the right foot) with 10^5 late stationary phase promastigotes of these transfected. Subsequently, amastigotes were isolated from the resulting mouse lesions and used as target cells for infecting macrophages. Such amastigotes were used to measure chitinase enzyme activity using 4MU-substrates as above. Neutralized, unused release assay incubation buffers served as controls in these assays. Further, such neutralized release assay assay samples were also used in immunoprecipitation/enzyme activity experiments, below.

To specifically determine whether the LmexCht1::HA chimeric protein possessed chitinase activity, neutralized release assay samples from both pKSNEO control and pKSNEO::LmexCht1-HA-transfected promastigotes and axenic amastigotes were subjected to immunoprecipitations with an anti-HA immunosorbent bead matrix (Covance Research Products). In these experiments, aliquots of release assay supernatants were reacted with the anti-HA-conjugated beads on a platform for 4 h at 4 °C. Subsequently, these beads were pelletted by centrifugation, washed three times with 1× PBS, and the bound immunoprecipitates analyzed for their chitinase activity using 4MU-chitinol-estro/cithioate as substrates (6). All samples were assayed in triplicate, and such assays were repeated using at least two independently generated samples. Results of these assays are expressed as pmol of 4MU-product generated h ^−1 ml ^−1 of parasite release assay supernatant
were injected into the dorsal surface of the right hind foot. The course of infection in such mice was followed by measuring the swelling of the right foot relative to the uninfected left foot over a period of 15 weeks. At the end of these experiments, the mice were humanely sacrificed, and the total parasite burden in each infected foot was determined by homogenization in culture medium, followed by direct counting using a Neubauer hemocytometer counting chamber (Fisher) and phase-contrast light microscopy (8). All procedures involving animals were performed in accordance with UK Government (Home Office) and EC regulations.

RESULTS

Analysis of the L. mexicana Chitinase Gene—Genes encoding chitinolytic enzymes have been reported from a wide variety of plant, animal, and microbial sources (39, 40). However, despite their diverse origins, many chitinases possess regions of amino acid sequence homology within their functional domains. These domains include the substrate binding and the active/catalytic sites of these enzymes (39). Based on these observations, we used a PCR-based approach to identify a chitinase gene from the human pathogen L. donovani. To that end, oligonucleotide primers were designed corresponding to portions of Region II (i.e. primers were designed corresponding to portions of Region II) and the conserved catalytic/active site (marked: I [Leu122-Ala129] and II [Leu249-Gly264]) indicate the two putative substrate binding sites and the open box (marked: II [Arg196-Thr199]) indicate the putative catalytic/active site of this enzyme. The bold italicized residues within the open box (Leu192-Glu195) represent the signature sequence of the chitinase-18 protein family. The two potential N-linked glycosylation sites (Asn48 and Asn384) are marked with an asterisk and the single potential O-linked glycosylation site (Thr52) is indicated by (gray boxes) (marked: II [Arg196-Thr199]) represent regions with high levels of conservation to antigenic peptide epitopes in the L. donovani Cht1-deduced protein. B, comparison of the conserved functional domains of the L. mexicana Cht1 (L. mex.) and L. donovani Cht1 (L. d.) chitinases. The conserved substrate binding sites (i.e. Regions I and III) and the conserved catalytic/active site (i.e. Region II) are indicated. The divergent amino acid residues between these two proteins are shown in boldface.

The Lmex-PCR270 fragment above, was labeled with digoxigenin-dUTP. The resulting probe (Lmex-DIG270) was used to screen an L. mexicana gDNA cosmid library by hybridization. Following several rounds of screening with this probe, a positive cosmid clone (Lmex-Cos1) was selected for further analysis. Results of nt-sequence analyses revealed that the Lmex-Cos1 clone contained a complete ORF (LmexCht1) of 1374 bp. The composition of this ORF is GC-rich (~62%), and the third base position of the codons used show a strong bias (~71%) toward G or C residues. These observations are consistent with the overall GC content of the Leishmania genome (41). Further, the LmexCht1 ORF encodes a polypeptide of 457 amino acids with a calculated molecular mass of 50,350.88 Da (Fig. 1A).

Comparison of the deduced amino acid sequence encoded by the LmexCht1 ORF to all available, non-redundant data bases using BLAST-P, showed that it has homology to a variety of chitinases from diverse sources. Among those, the LmexCht1-deduced protein showed the highest level of amino acid sequence identity (~83%) with the LdCht1 chitinase of L. donovani (GenBank™ AF009354, GI:3169726) (data not shown). Further, analysis of the LmexCht1-deduced protein using the Pfam database (via Pfam server: pfam.wustl.edu) indicated that it belongs to the glycohydrolase-18 family of proteins. Moreover, such analyses showed that the LmexCht1-deduced protein also contained a signature sequence characteristic of the Chitinase-18 family (i.e. spanning amino acids residues 167–175: LDGDFNEWE) (Fig. 1A). In addition, the LmexCht1-deduced protein was also found to possess the three functional domains that are characteristic of chitinases in general (i.e. Regions I and III, substrate binding sites and Region II, the catalytic/active site) (39). Taken together,
Based on the von Heijne algorithm (42, 43), the hydrophobic, N-terminal, 28 amino acids of the *LmexCht1*-deduced protein constitute a putative signal peptide (Fig. 1A). Cleavage at this site, presumably in the endoplasmic reticulum of this parasite, would result in a mature protein with Ala29 as its N-terminal amino acid residue. Such cleavage would result in a mature protein consisting of 429 amino acids with a calculated molecular mass of 47,468.48 Da and a predicted pI of 6.26. The conserved putative functional domains/regions of the *LmexCht1* protein are shown in Fig. 1A. These include: Regions I and III (i.e. the two conserved putative substrate binding sites), which span residue Leu234-Ala342 and Leu249-Gly264, respectively, and Region II (i.e. the putative catalytic/active site of the enzyme), which spans residues Arg150-Thr177. A comparison of these *LmexCht1* functional domains with those of its closest homolog (i.e. the *LdCht1* chitinase) (18) showed that in Regions I, II, and III, they share ~80, 90, and 94% amino acid sequence identity, respectively (Fig. 1B).

Previously, four antigenic peptide epitopes (i.e. Pep1-Pep4) were identified in the *LdCht1*-deduced protein amino acid sequence and used to generate a rabbit anti-*LdCht1* peptide antibody (6). Results of comparative sequence alignments with the latter showed that regions corresponding to Pep1 (Val221, Val239); Pep2 (Lys309-Arg325); Pep3 (Arg325-Ala342), and Pep4 (Asn430-Pro449) were also conserved in the *LmexCht1*-deduced protein (Fig. 1A) and within these regions, they shared ~90, 100, 100, and 80% amino acid sequence identity, respectively.

The *LmexCht1*-deduced protein was analyzed using: NetNGlyc, NetOGlyc, and NetPhos web-based tools (available at www.cbs.dtu.dk/services) to identify amino acid residues that constitute a putative signal peptide (Fig. 1A). These analyses showed that the *LmexCht1* possesses two potential sites for N-linked glycosylation at Asn48 and Asn384 and one potential site for O-linked glycosylation at Thr52 (Fig. 1A). In addition, the *LmexCht1*-deduced protein also contained multiple potential sites for phosphorylation by several different mechanisms (e.g. casein kinase II, protein kinase C, etc.).

As predicted by the Kyte-Doolittle algorithm (44) the *LmexCht1*-deduced protein is hydrophilic in nature. Further, no apparent hydrophobic transmembrane domains or predicted glycosyl-insoluble substrate (GPI) anchor signature sequences (45) were present in the C terminus of this protein. Similarly, no KDEL or analogous endoplasmic reticulum (ER) retention sequences (46) or other intracellular organelle specific-targeting sequences were identified in the *LmexCht1*-deduced protein. Based on its overall hydrophilicity, the presence of an N-terminal signal peptide and the absence of both membrane anchor and ER retention motifs, suggest that the *LmexCht1* is a soluble/released protein.

**Southern Blot Analysis of *LmexCht1***—To examine the genomic organization and copy number of the *LmexCht1* gene, *L. mexicana* gDNA was digested with various restriction endonucleases, separated by gel electrophoresis and blotted onto nylon membranes. Such membranes were subjected to Southern hybridization using the digoxigenin-labeled *LmexCht1*-DIG1151 probe (i.e. corresponding to nt 1–1151 of the *L. mexicana* chitinase 1 ORF) under high stringency conditions. Enzymes that did not cut within the *LmexCht1*ORF (e.g. EcoRI, HindIII, SphI, and MluI) gave a single band of hybridization with the *LmexCht1*-DIG1151 probe (Fig. 2A). In contrast, gDNA digested with enzymes, which cut once within the *LmexCht1*ORF (e.g. ApaI and NotI) gave two bands of hybridization; while digestion with BamHI (which cuts twice within the ORF) gave three bands of hybridization with this DIG-labeled probe (Fig. 2A). Taken together, these results suggest that the *LmexCht1* gene is present as a single copy within the diploid genome of this organism.

Cosmid DNA from the *Lmex-Cos1* clone was also digested with the various restriction endonucleases above and subjected to Southern blot analysis with the *LmexCht1*-DIG1151 probe. Results obtained in these hybridizations were essentially identical to those obtained with *L. mexicana* gDNA (Fig. 2B). These observations indicate that the *Lmex-Cos1* cosmid clone reflects the genomic locus containing a single copy of the *Cht1* gene of *L. mexicana*.

**Expression of *LmexCht1* mRNA in Various *L. mexicana* Developmental Stages—**Northern blot analyses were carried out to examine the expression of *LmexCht1* mRNA in various life cycle developmental stages of *L. mexicana*. To that end, total RNA was isolated from *in vitro* grown procyclic (log phase) promastigotes, metacyclic (stationary phase) promastigotes, axenic amastigotes as well as, from tissue-(lesion) derived amastigotes isolated from BALB/c mice. Equivalent amounts (5 μg per lane) of RNA from these various *L. mexicana* developmental forms were separated by agarose gel electrophoresis and stained with ethidium bromide (Fig. 3A, lower panel). RNA from such gels was transferred to nylon membranes and hybridized with the *LmexCht1*-DIG270 probe at high stringency. Results of these analyses showed that this gene-specific probe hybridized to a single ~3.0-kb mRNA transcript in each of the parasite life-cycle developmental stages examined (Fig. 3A, top panel). Further, the intensity of the hybridization signal appeared to be comparable among the RNA samples obtained from both the insect vector forms (i.e. procyclic and metacyclic promastigotes) and the mammalian developmental forms (i.e. *in vitro* grown axenic amastigotes and mouse lesion-derived amastigotes) of this parasite. These Northern blot results indicated that *LmexCht1* mRNA is constitutively transcribed throughout the developmental life cycle of *L. mexicana*.
316-bp product obtained in these reactions using cDNA from these results indicated that the start codon (i.e. Cht1 ORF (Rev, above) corresponding to an internal sequence in the translated region (Fig. 3B). Further, these results showed that the LmexCht1 of the acceptor site.

Top panel scripts present in various parasite developmental forms (i.e. in vitro grown), and log (procyclic) and stationary (metacyclic) phase promastigotes is shown in Fig. 3B. Mapping of 5’-splice acceptor site in L. mexicana spliced leader sequence (26, 27). Such capping involves the addition (via trans-splicing) of a 39-nt spliced leader to a specific splice acceptor site (i.e. in vitro and axenic amastigotes. Such immunoprecipitates were assayed for both exochitinase and endochitinase activity into their culture media supernatants (Table I). Interestingly, axenic amastigotes of these parasites appeared to secrete/release considerably more chitinase activity into their growth medium (i.e. ~2.5-fold and ~4.5-fold higher levels of exo- and endochitinase activity, respectively) than promastigotes. Further, it is also of interest to note that the culture supernatants from both promastigotes and axenic amastigotes showed higher activities with 4MU-chitotriose (i.e. an endochitinase substrate) than with 4MU-chitobiase (i.e. an exochitinase substrate).

Previously, a rabbit anti-LdCht1 peptide serum was generated against four antigenic peptide epitopes (Pep1-Pep4) from the deduced amino acids sequence of the L. donovani chitinase (6). Because the LmexCht1-deduced amino acids sequence (see Fig. 1A) showed a very high level of conservation over these four peptides (i.e. >92.5% identity, overall) with the latter, the anti-LdCht1-peptide antibody and NRS controls were tested in immunoprecipitation reactions with cell-free culture supernatants obtained from L. mexicana promastigotes and axenic amastigotes. Such immunoprecipitates were assayed for both their exochitinase and endochitinase activities using 4MU-chitobiase and 4MU-chitotriose as substrates, respectively. Results of these assays showed that both promastigotes and axenic amastigotes of L. mexicana secreted/released significant amounts of both exochitinase and endochitinase activity.

Mapping of 5’-Splice Site—A unique feature of trypanosomatid parasites is that all of their mature, translatable mRNAs are capped at the 5’-end with a conserved 39-nt, spliced leader, sequence (26, 27). Such capping involves the addition (i.e. via trans-splicing) of a 39-nt spliced leader to a specific splice addition/acceptor site (i.e. a consensus motif: PyAG) in the 5’-non-coding region of the parasite pre-mRNAs. To identify the 5’-splice acceptor site in LmexCht1, RT-PCR analyses was performed using total RNA isolated from both L. mexicana promastigotes and axenic amastigotes. cDNAs generated from such RNAs were used as template in PCR amplifications with a 5’-forward primer (i.e. SpliceFwd, above) corresponding to a portion of the L. mexicana spliced leader sequence (i.e. nt 5–31 of the 39-nt SL sequence) and a reverse primer (i.e. ORF-RT/Rev, above) corresponding to an internal sequence in the LmexCht1 ORF (i.e. nt 138–157). The nucleotide sequence of the 316-bp product obtained in these reactions using cDNA from L. mexicana promastigotes is shown in Fig. 3B. Analyses of these results indicated that the start codon (i.e. the first ATG) of the LmexCht1 ORF, was preceded by 124 nt of 5’-untranslated region (Fig. 3B). Further, these results showed that the

spliced leader addition/acceptor-site mapped to ~125 nt from the ATG start codon of the LmexCht1 ORF. It is important to note that identical results were obtained in these assays using cDNA generated from total RNA isolated from L. mexicana axenic amastigotes. In addition to defining the 5’-untranslated region and spliced leader addition/acceptor site, these results also verified that both parasite developmental forms synthesized mature, translatable mRNAs for the LmexCht1 gene.

Measurement of Chitinase Activity Secreted/Released by L. mexicana Promastigotes and Axenic Amastigotes—To determine whether wild-type L. mexicana secreted/released any measurable chitinolytic activity during their growth in vitro, aliquots of cell-free culture media supernatants from both parasite developmental forms (i.e. promastigotes and axenic amastigotes) were assayed for chitinase activity using 4MU-chitobiase and 4MU-chitotriose as substrates. Fresh, unused, complete, FBS-containing parasite growth/culture media were used as controls in these assays. Values obtained from these controls were subtracted from those generated with the various experimental samples. Such controls were essential since the FBS used in these parasite growth media possesses some apparent endogenous chitinolytic activity. Results of these enzyme assays showed that both parasite developmental forms of L. mexicana secreted/released significant amounts of both exochitinase and endochitinase activity into their culture media supernatants (Table I). Such immunoprecipitates were assayed for both their exochitinase and endochitinase activities using 4MU-chitobiase and 4MU-chitotriose as substrates, respectively. Results of these assays showed that both promastigotes and axenic amastigotes of L. mexicana secreted/released chitinase activities, which were immunoprecipitated by the anti-LdCht1-peptide serum (Table I). Further, as observed in our direct enzyme assays, such immunoprecipitates showed higher activities with 4MU-chitotriose than with 4MU-chitobiase. In these assays, the anti-LdCht1-peptide serum immunoprecipitated ~45% of the detectable chitinase activity released by these two L. mexicana parasite developmental forms. These values are similar to those obtained with this antibody in immunoprecipitation assays with culture supernatants derived from the homologous (L. donovani) parasite system.2

Taken together, results of these enzyme assays demonstrated that both L. mexicana promastigotes and axenic amastigotes secrete/release chitinolytic activity into their culture media supernatants during their growth in vitro. Further, axenic amastigotes appeared to secrete higher levels of such enzyme activity than promastigote developmental forms of this

2 D. Dwyer, unpublished observations.
Leishmania mexicana Chitinase

Table I

| Developmental stage | Total activity | Immunoprecipitated activity |
|---------------------|----------------|-----------------------------|
|                     | Exochitinase activity<sup>a</sup> | Endochitinase activity<sup>b</sup> | Exochitinase activity<sup>a</sup> | Endochitinase activity<sup>b</sup> |
| Promastigotes       | 1081 ± 102   | 1375 ± 142                  | 497 ± 55                  | 632 ± 73                  |
| Amastigotes         | 2440 ± 289   | 6207 ± 583                  | 1122 ± 148                | 2731 ± 310                |

<sup>a</sup> Activity assayed using 4MU-chitotriose as substrate.
<sup>b</sup> Activity assayed using 4MU-chitobiose as substrate.
<sup>c</sup> Activity immunoprecipitated using an anti-LeChlt1-peptide antibody in a protein A-Sepharose 4B/CL bead-based assay. Immunoprecipitated complexes were assayed for exochitinase and endochitinase activity using the appropriate substrate. The results were normalized by subtracting values obtained with NRS (i.e. preimmune serum from the same rabbit). The data shown reflect the mean results of triplicate assays for each sample from three separate experiments.

Parasite. In addition, results of our immunoprecipitation experiments suggested that the four antigenic peptide epitopes (i.e. Pep1-Pep4) present in the LmexCht1-deduced protein (cf. Fig. 1A) must be structurally expressed in the native enzyme secreted by these parasites during their growth in vitro. Further, these results also indicate that these L. mexicana peptide epitopes must share a high level of structural conservation with those present in the L. donovani chitinase.

Homologous Episomal Expression of the LmexCht1 Gene in L. mexicana Promastigotes and Axenic Amastigotes—A homologous episomal expression system was devised to further examine in detail the role of the LmexCht1 protein in the developmental cycle of L. mexicana. To that end, a chimeric construct was generated containing the complete ORF of LmexCht1 fused, in-frame, at its 3'-end with a sequence encoding a HA epitope. Following ligation into the pKSNEO leishmanial expression vector, this construct (LmexCht1::HA) was used to transfet L. mexicana promastigotes (Fig. 4A). In parallel, promastigotes were transfected with the pKSNEO vector alone and these served as controls in all transfection experiments. Following electroporation, both the LmexCht1::HA and pKSNEO control transfectants were selected for their growth in increasing concentrations of G418 (i.e. up to 200 μg ml<sup>-1</sup>) over a period of several weeks. Subsequent to such drug selection, the growth kinetics of these transflectants were compared. To that end, quadruplicate cultures of both LmexCht1::HA and pKSNEO control transflectants were initiated at 1–2 × 10<sup>6</sup> cells ml<sup>-1</sup> (i.e. from stock cultures in their exponential phase of growth) in complete growth medium containing 200 μg ml<sup>-1</sup> of G418. Aliquots were taken from such cultures at 24-h intervals over a period of 5 days, diluted appropriately, and counted using a Coulter Counter as described above. Results of these assays showed that promastigotes transfected with either the LmexCht1::HA chimeric construct or the control plasmid (pKSNEO) had virtually identical growth kinetics over the time course of these experiments (Fig. 4B). Further, non-transfected (wild-type) L. mexicana promastigotes (grown in complete medium lacking G418) displayed growth kinetics identical to those obtained with the transflectants described above (data not shown). Taken together, these observations indicate that these episomal transfections did not overly alter the characteristic growth kinetics of the parental L. mexicana M379 promastigote cell line.

L. mexicana promastigotes transfected with either the LmexCht1::HA or the pKSNEO control plasmid were placed under conditions (i.e. 32 °C, pH 5.5) to allow them to transform into and grow as, axenic amastigotes in vitro. Both promastigotes and axenic amastigotes of these L. mexicana transflectants were subsequently analyzed for expression of the LmexCht1::HA gene and chimeric protein using RT-PCR, Western blots, and immunofluorescence microscopy.

Detection of LmexCht1::HA and NEO Control mRNAs in L. mexicana Transfectants—RT-PCR analyses were performed to detect the mRNA transcripts produced by the LmexCht1::HA and pKSNEO (control) episomes in transfected cells. For these assays, total RNA was isolated from both promastigote and axenic amastigotes of pKSNEO control and LmexCht1::HA transflectants. cDNAs generated from such RNAs were subse-
FIG. 5. RT-PCR analysis of episomally expressed NEO and LmexCht1::HA mRNAs. A, schematic representations of the pKSneo control plasmid and the LmexCht1::HA construct. 1, pKSneo plasmid: the dark gray box represents the nucleotide sequence encoding neomycin phosphotransferase (NEO), the black line denotes the pKSneo plasmid vector backbone and SpeI indicates the restriction site used for insert cloning. 2, LmexCht1::HA construct: the black and white boxes represent nt 1–84 encoding the open読 是否 peptide and nt 85–1371 of the LmexCht1 ORF, respectively. The light gray box represents the nucleotide sequence encoding the HA epitope fused in-frame with the LmexCht1 ORF followed by a terminator TGA stop codon. The SpeI restriction sites used for cloning this insert are indicated. The dark gray box and black lines represent NEO and the vector backbone as in 1, above. Arrowheads show the positions of the primers used for amplification, the dashed lines and numbers denote the predicted size (in bp) of the PCR products. B, portion of an ethidium bromide stained agarose gel showing the amplification products obtained in RT-PCR. RNA isolated from L. mexicana promastigotes and (axenic) amastigotes transfected with either the pKSneo control plasmid (lanes 2 and 4) or the LmexCht1::HA (Cht1::HA) construct (lanes 1 and 3) was reverse-transcribed using oligo(dT). Aliquots of the resulting cDNAs were subjected to PCR amplification using the primer pairs shown above. Top panel shows the resulting 324-bp product (ΔΔ−neo) amplified from the neomycin phosphotransferase gene present in the pKSneo plasmid backbone of all transfectants. Bottom panel, the 979-bp product (ΔΔ−Cht1HA) amplified from LmexCht1::HA transfectants.

The results obtained above were confirmed using several independently generated RNA-cDNA preparations. In control reactions, in which cDNAs were not generated prior to PCR, no amplified products were obtained (data not shown). Moreover, in control reactions in which the forward or reverse primers were omitted from the reaction mixtures, no amplified products were obtained (data not shown). Expression of the LmexCht1::HA Chimeric Protein in L. mexicana Transfectants—Having demonstrated that both promastigote and axenic amastigote developmental forms of the LmexCht1::HA transfectants effectively transcribed approximately equivalent amounts of mRNA transcripts for the LmexCht1::HA chimeric gene. Moreover, these observations were verified by Northern blot analyses using total RNA from these cells and a Cht-specific probe (data not shown). No reaction products were obtained with the Cht1-Fwd and HA-Rev primers using cDNA synthesized from either promastigotes or axenic amastigotes of pKSneo (control) transfectants (Fig. 5B, bottom panel, lanes 2 and 4, respectively). The results obtained above were confirmed using several independently generated RNA-cDNA preparations. In control reactions, in which cDNAs were not generated prior to PCR, no amplified products were obtained (data not shown). Moreover, in control reactions in which the forward or reverse primers were omitted from the reaction mixtures, no amplified products were obtained (data not shown).

Expression of the LmexCht1::HA Chimeric Protein in L. mexicana Transfectants—Having demonstrated that both L. mexicana promastigotes and axenic amastigotes synthesized mRNA transcripts from the LmexCht1::HA episomal construct, it was of interest to determine whether such transcripts were actually translated into the chimeric protein by these two parasite...
developmental stages. To address this, lysates from promastigotes and axenic amastigotes of pKSNEO (control) and LmexCht1::HA transfectants were subjected to SDS-PAGE and reacted in Western blots with a mouse anti-HA monoclonal antibody or with an appropriately matched purified mouse control immunoglobulin. In such blots, the anti-HA antibody reacted with a single ~50 kDa Cht1::HA chimeric-protein present in lysates from promastigotes of the LmexCht1::HA-transfected parasites (Fig. 6A, lane 1). The antiHA antibody appeared to react even more strongly with a similarly sized (i.e. ~50 kDa) Cht1::HA chimeric-protein present in lysates from axenic amastigotes of the LmexCht1::HA transfectants (Fig. 6A, lane 3). In the latter sample, this antibody also reacted to a much lesser extent with several proteins of lower apparent molecular mass (~35–40 kDa), which presumably reflect proteolytic degradation fragments of the mature ~50 kDa Cht1::HA chimeric protein. The anti-HA antibody showed no reactivity with lysates of either control, pKSNEO-transfected promastigotes or axenic amastigotes (Fig. 6A, lanes 2 and 4, respectively). Similarly, the isotype-matched purified control mouse immunoglobulin showed no reactivity with any of the samples tested in these assays (data not shown). Taken together, the results of these Western blot experiments demonstrated that the LmexCht1::HA chimeric gene construct was readily transcribed and translated into an ~50 kDa chimeric protein by both promastigotes and axenic amastigotes of these transfectants. Further, our observations indicated that such expression was significantly enhanced in the axenic amastigote form of these transfected parasites. This pattern of differential upexpression may reflect an inherent property of amastigotes per se, as shown in Table I, but may also be facilitated by the A2 gene regulatory sequences (30, 31, 34) present in the backbone of the pKSNEO expression vector.

Immunofluorescence Microscopy—The LmexCht1::HA and pKSNEO transfectants of L. mexicana were examined by indirect immunofluorescence microscopy to visualize the cellular distribution of the expressed Cht1::HA chimeric protein. For these experiments, both transfected promastigotes and axenic amastigotes were fixed, permeabilized, reacted with anti-HA mouse monoclonal antibody, followed by a goat anti-mouse FITC-conjugated secondary antibody and examined using an epifluorescence microscope. Results of such observations revealed that the LmexCht1::HA-transfected promastigotes displayed only very low levels of intracellular immunofluorescence with the anti-HA antibody (Fig. 6B, panel 1). In contrast, LmexCht1::HA-transfected axenic amastigotes showed very bright, punctate intracellular fluorescence (Fig. 6B, panel 2). No fluorescent signal was detected in either promastigotes or axenic amastigotes of pKSNEO (control) transfectants treated with the anti-HA monoclonal antibody (data not shown). Similarly, none of the cell samples tested showed any reactivity with the control isotype-matched mouse immunoglobulin used in these assays (data not shown). Results of these immunofluorescence assays demonstrated that both LmexCht1::HA-transfected promastigotes and axenic amastigotes synthesized and expressed the LmexCht1::HA chimeric protein. Further, our immunofluorescence observations are in agreement with the Western blotting data described above, indicating that the LmexCht1::HA chimeric protein appeared to be upexpressed in the axenic amastigote form of these transfectants.

Detection of the Secreted/Released LmexCht1::HA Chimeric Protein in Culture Supernatants of Transfected Parasites—As shown in Table I above, the anti-LdCht1 peptide antibody readily immunoprecipitated the endogenous chitinase activity secreted/released by wild-type L. mexicana parasites during their growth in vitro. In light of those observations, experiments were carried out to determine whether with this antibody could also immunoprecipitate the LmexCht1::HA chimeric protein produced by transfected L. mexicana parasites. For these experiments, culture supernatants of both pKSNEO control and LmexCht1::HA-transfected L. mexicana promastigotes...
and axenic amastigotes were reacted with the anti-\textit{Ld}Cht1-peptide antibody in a protein A-Sepharose bead-based assay. The resulting immunoprecipitated complexes were solubilized, separated in SDS-PAGE, transblotted onto PVDF membranes, and reacted in Western blots with a mouse anti-HA monoclonal antibody or with an appropriately matched purified mouse control immunoglobulin. In such blots, the anti-HA antibody reacted with a single ~50 kDa protein, which was immunoprecipitated by the anti-\textit{Ld}Cht1-peptide antibody from culture supernatants of both promastigotes and axenic amastigotes of \textit{Lmex}Cht1::HA-transfected parasites (Fig. 6C, lanes 1 and 3, respectively). Interestingly, immunoprecipitates obtained from axenic amastigotes appeared to react more strongly with the anti-HA antibody compared with promastigotes (cf. Fig. 6C, lanes 3 and 1, respectively). These observations indicated that \textit{Lmex}Cht1::HA-transfected axenic amastigotes appeared to secrete/release higher levels of the \textit{Lmex}Cht1::HA-chimeric protein than promastigotes. In these coupled assays, the anti-HA antibody showed no reactivity with immunoprecipitates from either pKSNEO control-transfected promastigotes or axenic amastigotes (Fig. 6C, lanes 2 and 4, respectively). Similarly, the isotype-matched purified control mouse immunoglobulin showed no reactivity with any of the samples tested in these assays (data not shown). No reactivity was observed in these blots with any samples immunoprecipitated with NRS (data not shown).

Results of these combined immunoprecipitation and Western blotting experiments demonstrated that the complete (full-length) \textit{Lmex}Cht1::HA chimeric protein was synthesized and secreted/released by both transfected \textit{L. mexicana} promastigotes and axenic amastigotes during their growth in vitro. Further, they indicated that the secreted \textit{Lmex}Cht1::HA chimeric protein possessed the structurally conserved antigenic peptide epitopes (i.e. Pep1 to Pep4, Fig. 1A) recognized by the rabbit anti-\textit{Ld}Cht1 peptide antibody.

\textbf{Chitinase Activity of the Secreted/Released \textit{Lmex}Cht1::HA Chimeric Protein—}Experiments were designed to use a mouse anti-HA monoclonal antibody to specifically immunoprecipitate and measure the chitinase activity of the secreted/released \textit{Lmex}Cht1::HA chimeric protein. However, in preliminary experiments, we found that no detectable chitinase activity could be immunoprecipitated with this antibody from the FBS-containing culture media supernatants of \textit{Lmex}Cht1::HA transfectants. Therefore, short term release assays (38) were set up to measure such activity. In these assays, transfected cells were grown in complete media, harvested, washed, and resuspended in an appropriately buffered, balanced salt solution, which maintained both parasite viability and secretion but not \textit{de novo} biosynthesis. Cell-free supernatants from such release assays were: 1) analyzed directly for their total-released chitinase activity using 4MU-substrates and 2) reacted with a mouse anti-HA monoclonal antibody to specifically immunoprecipitate the secreted/released \textit{Lmex}Cht1::HA chimeric protein, which were subsequently analyzed for their chitinase activity as above.

Results of direct enzyme assays using 4MU-chitotriose showed that both \textit{Lmex}Cht1::HA-transfected promastigotes and axenic amastigotes released significantly higher levels of total chitinase activity into their supernatants during incubation in vitro than similarly transfected pKSNEO control parasites (Table II). Further, results of our coupled anti-HA immunoprecipitation/4MU-chitotriose enzyme activity assays demonstrated that the \textit{Lmex}Cht1::HA chimeric protein secreted/released by both transfected \textit{L. mexicana} promastigotes and axenic amastigotes, did in fact, possess chitinase activity (Table II). Under these conditions, the mouse anti-HA monoclonal antibody immunoprecipitated ~13% of the total activity released by \textit{Lmex}Cht1::HA-transfected promastigotes and axenic amastigotes in these in vitro release assays. The relatively low amount of enzyme activity immunoprecipitated in these assays might be due to this antibody’s affinity for the HA epitope under our conditions and/or the steric availability/accessibility of the HA epitope in the \textit{Lmex}Cht1::HA chimeric protein. No chitinase activity was detected in immunoprecipitates obtained from pKSNEO control transfected parasites. Overall, values very similar to, albeit somewhat lower than, those measured in these assays with 4MU-chitotriose were also obtained using 4MU-chitobiose as substrate (data not shown).

The cumulative results of these experiments demonstrated that the \textit{Lmex}Cht1::HA chimeric protein secreted/released by the \textit{Lmex}Cht1::HA-transfected parasites actually possessed functional chitinase (i.e. exo- and endo-) activity.

\textbf{Survival of \textit{Lmex}Cht1::HA-transfected Parasites in Human Macrophages—}Experiments were designed to assess the viability/survival of \textit{Lmex}Cht1::HA-transfected parasites within human macrophages in vitro. To that end, human peripheral blood-derived monocytes were differentiated into macrophages in vitro. Two parallel sets of macrophages were exposed to stationary phase cultures of either \textit{Lmex}Cht1::HA- or pKSNEO (control)-transfected promastigotes for 5 h and then washed extensively to remove extracellular parasites. Subsequently, one set of these cultures was immediately fixed and stained for light microscopy. The second set of infected macrophages was incubated for an additional 72 h prior to processing as above. These preparations were examined by light microscopy and scored for: 1) the percentage of macrophages infected with parasites and 2) the number of parasites per hundred macrophages (i.e. parasite burden/load). Results of these analyses showed that after 5 h of contact, approximately equivalent numbers of human macrophages (~50–55%) were infected with either the \textit{Lmex}Cht1::HA or the pKSNEO control transfecants (data not shown). However, after 72 h of incubation, there was a significant difference (\(p < 0.01\)) between the percentage of macrophages infected with the \textit{Lmex}Cht1::HA compared with the pKSNEO control parasites (~40% versus ~12%, respectively) (Fig. 7A). These infected macrophages were also scored for their parasite burden/load af-
In light of our \textit{in vitro} observations, it was indicated that the sites survived significantly better than the control parasites (Fig. 7). The number of phagocytes in vitro comparable numbers of phagocytosed parasites after 5 h of contact, these human macrophages contained a few to several extracellular parasites. Results of such analyses showed that lesions obtained from \textit{L. mexicana} control parasites (i.e., \( \geq 7 \times 10^6 \) versus \( 7 \times 10^6 \) parasites per infected foot, respectively) in that regard, after 15 weeks postinfection, BALB/c mice infected with the \textit{LmexCht1::HA} parasites had lesions which were \( \geq 3.6 \) times larger than those infected with the \textit{pKSNEO} control parasites. After 15 weeks post infection, mice were paralyzed and the parasite burden in their lesions was determined. Results of these assays showed that lesions obtained from \textit{LmexCht1::HA}-infected BALB/c mice had a significantly higher burden than those infected with the \textit{pKSNEO} control parasites. It is of interest to note that peak lesion development occurred at 7 weeks post infection in mice infected with the \textit{LmexCht1::HA} transfectants, 3 weeks earlier than those infected with the \textit{pKSNEO} control transfectants (Fig. 8C). Subsequently, at these time points, the lesion size decreased in both groups of infected animals over the next several weeks. However, by 12 weeks postinfection, the lesion size stabilized in mice infected with the \textit{LmexCht1::HA} transfectants and, the majority of these mice were unable to heal their lesions over the remaining course of the experiment (Fig. 8C). At the end of 15 weeks, mice were paralyzed and the parasite burden in their lesions was determined. Results of these assays showed that lesions from \textit{LmexCht1::HA}-infected C57BL/6 mice contained a mean burden of \( 7.5 \times 10^6 \) parasites each (Fig. 8D). In contrast, no parasites were detectable in samples obtained from mice infected with the \textit{pKSNEO} control transfectants.

Taken together, the results of these experiments demonstrated that \textit{LmexCht1::HA}-transfected parasites were significantly more infectious for both BALB/c and C57BL/6 mice than the \textit{pKSNEO} control transfectants. Further, from the data presented in this study, it is evident that \textit{LmexCht1::HA} transfectants in both strains of mice were not merely the result of exacerbated local pathology but rather they reflected the total parasite burden present in these lesions.

**Discussion**

\textit{L. mexicana} is an important protozoan pathogen of humans throughout Central and South America and is the major caus-
ative agent of human cutaneous leishmaniasis in the New World (7). Studies of this organism have been greatly facilitated because culture systems exist for generating its various different life cycle developmental forms in vitro (8–10). In addition, several mouse model infection systems have also been established to study the pathogenicity of this parasite in vivo (8, 11–13). Considering the speculated importance of chitinase to parasite survival and transmission (4, 5), to date, little evidence exists per se concerning its role(s) in the developmental biology of Leishmania sp. In that regard, it is of relevance to point out that, previously, we used a heterologous probe to show that in vitro grown L. mexicana promastigotes (i.e. a parasite developmental form present in its insect vector) contained a putative chitinase-like sequence within their genome (6). Thus, in light of the foregoing, the current study was carried out to identify, characterize and localize the L. mexicana chitinase gene throughout the developmental life cycle of this human pathogen.

Using a PCR-based approach, we identified a single copy, 1374-bp ORF (LmxCh1) in L. mexicana parasites. The LmxCh1 encodes a deduced protein of 457 amino acids with a calculated molecular mass of 50,350.88 Da, which has homology to known chitinases. Structural analysis of the LmxCh1 deduced protein showed that it possessed three conserved functional domains/regions (i.e. two putative substrate binding sites and a catalytic/active site) characteristic of chitinases in general (39). Further, within the active site region, the LmxCh1-deduced protein contained a nine amino acids consensus sequence characteristic of the catalytic domain of the chitinase-18 family of glycosyl hydrolase enzymes (47). This includes, a conserved terminal glutamic acid residue that has been shown to be critical for the catalytic function of these proteins (48–50). Some members of this family include chitinases from prokaryotes (e.g. Bacillus cereus (51) and Serratia marcescens, Refs. 51, 52), fungi (e.g. Rhizopus oligosporus, Ref. 53, and Streptomyces lividus, Ref. 54), nematodes (e.g. Brugia malayi, Ref. 55), insects (e.g. Manduca sexta, Ref. 56) and plants (e.g. Glycine max, Ref. 57 and tobacco Nicotiana tabacum, Ref. 58). Virtually all of these chitinase-18 family chitinases are soluble-released/secretory proteins, which function extracellularly. In that regard, results of our computer analyses suggested that the LmxCh1 deduced protein: 1) had an overall hydrophilic composition, 2) possessed a putative N-terminal signal peptide and 3) lacked both GPI and transmembrane anchor motifs. These predictions suggest that the LmxCh1 has properties typical of a soluble-released/secertory protein. In support of those predictions, results of our enzyme analyses showed that L. mexicana parasites secrete/release chitinase activity into their culture (media) supernatants during their growth in vitro. Further, in addition to chitinases per se, the chitinase-18 protein family also includes other members with interesting properties e.g. 1) hemovane, a rubber tree protein with both chitinase and lysozyme-like activities (59); 2) secreted endo-β-N-acetyl-glucosaminidases of Flavobacterium (e.g. Endo F), and Streptomyces (e.g. Endo H), which hydrolyze the glycosidic bond between the core N-acetylglucosamine residues of asparagine-linked high-mannose oligosaccharides (60, 61); 3) a mammalian lysosomal enzyme, di-N-acetyl-chitobiase, which is involved in the degradation of asparagine-linked glycoproteins (62); 4) human cartilage glycoprotein, Gp-39, a chitin-binding lectin which is associated with tissue remodeling and is expressed by activated macrophages (63); 5) a 50 kDa acidic mammalian chitinase (AMCase) of lung epithelial cells and macrophages, which is highly up-expressed and is implicated to mediate pathogenic inflammatory responses in asthma (64) and 6) a lysosomal chitotriosidase of activated human macrophages (65), which is secreted and accumulates at very high levels in the plasma of patients with Gaucher disease (66), to a modest level in several other lysosomal storage diseases e.g. Niemann Pick and Krabbe disease (66, 67) and to a lesser extent in certain infectious parasitic diseases (e.g. malarial infections caused by Plasmodium falciparum, Ref. 68 and visceral leishmaniasis, Ref. 69).

In trypanosomatid parasites, all mature, translatable mRNAs are capped at their 5'-end with a conserved 39-nt spliced leader (5') and 5'-capped transcripts of the LmxCh1 gene. In parallel,
results of Northern blot analyses showed that each of the *L. mexicana* developmental forms examined in this study (i.e. procyclic and metacyclic promastigotes, in vitro grown axenic amastigotes and mouse lesion-derived amastigotes) constitutively transcribed an ∼3-kb mRNA transcript of the *LmexCht1* gene. Taken together, these results indicate that this gene is actively transcribed throughout the developmental life cycle of the *L. mexicana* parasite. To determine whether such mRNAs were translated into a functional product, a series of enzyme activity assays were performed. Results of such assays showed that both *L. mexicana* promastigotes and axenic amastigotes secreted/released considerable levels of chitinase activity into their culture media supernatants during their growth in *vitro*. It is of significance to point out that these assays also demonstrated that axenic amastigotes appeared to secrete substantially higher levels of such chitinase activity than promastigote developmental forms of this parasite. Further, results of our coupled-immuno-precipitation/enzyme activity assays using an anti-LdCht1-peptide antibody, indicated that the native LmexCht1-chitinase shared some structurally conserved antigenic peptide epitopes with a chitinase from closely related parasite. To examine the role(s) of the LmexCht1 protein in *L. mexicana* parasites, an episoal expression system was devised using the pKSNEO leishmanial expression vector (29). In these experiments, parasites were transfected with either a pKSNEO chimeric construct containing the LmexCht1 ORF fused at its 3′-end with a HA epitope sequence or the pKSNEO vector alone. Results of RT-PCR analyses showed that episomally transected promastigote and axenic amastigote forms of the parasite both made mRNA transcripts from the LmexCht1::HA chimeric construct. Further, the cumulative results of our Western blot and indirect immunofluorescence assays showed that the chimeric gene construct was translated into a (∼50 kDa) LmexCht1::HA chimeric protein by both developmental forms of this parasite. In addition, immunoprecipitations of parasite culture (media) supernatants with a rabbi anti-LdCht1-peptide antibody followed by Western blot analysis using a mouse anti-HA monoclonal antibody demonstrated that the full-length LmexCht1::HA chimeric protein was in fact secreted/released by both LmexCht1::HA-transfected promastigotes and axenic amastigotes during their growth in *vitro*. Moreover, results of our coupled anti-HA immunoprecipitations/enzyme activity experiments using release assay supernatants from such transfected parasites demonstrated that the LmexCht1::HA chimeric protein, which they secreted/released had functional chitinase activity.

The results of our endogenous enzyme activity assays in conjunction with the cumulative results obtained from the LmexCht1::HA transfection experiments showed that the LmexCht1 chitinase is expressed by both the insect (promastigote) and the mammalian (amastigote) developmental forms of this parasite. Interestingly, however, expression of the LmexCht1 chitinase appeared to be quantitatively enhanced in axenic amastigotes of *L. mexicana*. Taken together, these observations suggest that this protein has a hitherto unsuspected role(s) in the mammalian phase of the *L. mexicana* life cycle.

To test this concept, the infectivity and survival of the LmexCht1::HA and pKSNEO (vector control) transfectedants was assessed in human monocyte-derived macrophages in *vitro*. Results of those assays showed that the macrophages infected with the LmexCht1::HA parasites had ~7 times higher parasite burdens/loads than those infected with the pKSNEO control parasites. In addition, the infectivity of these transfectedants was also tested *in vivo* using two different strains of mice. Results of those experiments showed that LmexCht1::HA-transfected parasites produced significantly larger lesions in susceptible BALB/c mice than the pKSNEO control transfectedants. Similar results were also obtained with these transfectedants using more resistant CBA/Ca mice. Further, results of quantitative analyses showed that the larger lesions produced by the LmexCht1::HA transfectedants in both strains of mice reflected the higher total parasite burdens present in these lesions. Taken together, the results of these *in vitro* and *in vivo* infection experiments demonstrate that the LmexCht1::HA chimer a afforded a significant survival advantage to the transfected parasites both within infected human and mouse macrophages. The mechanisms underlying this survival advantage remain to be elucidated experimentally, and a variety of possibilities exist given the wide range of biological activities ascribed to members of the chitinase-18 protein family. However, it is interesting to speculate that the over-expression of a chitinase-18 family member (i.e. LmexCht1) by *L. mexicana* might alter the endogenous homeostatic/regulatory mechanisms of infected macrophages (i.e. cidal or stasis) to this obligate intracellular parasite/pathogen. Thus, we hypothesize that the parasite chitinase could potentially represent a new virulence determinant in the pathology of this human disease.

Previously, it was postulated that chitinase activity released by *Leishmania* would facilitate parasite escape from the chitin- covered stomodeal valve at the junction of the sand fly mid- and foregut is a mechanism to aid parasite transmission (5). These hypotheses suggest that the leishmanial chitinase may also play essential roles in parasite survival within sand flies and ultimately for their transmission to mammalian hosts. To date, the role of the parasite chitinase in these processes has not been addressed directly. However, in light of the current study, such *in situ* experiments in sand flies are now possible using *L. mexicana* parasites expressing various chimeric constructs of the LmexCht1 gene.
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