The Long-Term Impact of Postoperative Educational Programs on Weight Loss After Roux-en-Y Gastric Bypass
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Abstract

Purpose Roux-en-Y gastric bypass (RYGB) is a well-documented treatment of severe obesity. Attending postoperative educational programs may improve the outcome. The aim of this study was to evaluate whether participation in educational programs lasting 2–3 years after RYGB influences long-term weight loss, weight regain, physical activity, and compliance to multivitamin supplements.

Materials and Methods The Bariatric Surgery Observation Study (BAROBS) is a multicenter retrospective, cross-sectional study 10–15 years after primary RYGB. Four hundred and ninety-seven participants answered questions regarding participation in postoperative educational programs. Participants were divided into frequent attendees (FA) and infrequent attendees (IFA) at the educational programs.

Results Ten to 15 years after surgery, a total weight loss (TWL) of 23.2 ± 11.6% were seen in the FA group vs 19.5 ± 12.6% in the IFA group, p < 0.001. Percent excess weight loss (%EWL) was 55.7 ± 28.9% vs 46.0 ± 31.1%, p < 0.001. Weight regain in percent of maximal weight loss for the FA was 32.1 ± 32.8% vs IFA 38.4 ± 40.0%, p = 0.052. No difference between the groups in compliance to multivitamin and physical activity.

Conclusion Participants with frequent participation in group-based educational programs had better weight loss outcomes 10–15 years after RYGB and tended to have less weight regain. There was no difference between the two groups in participants compliance to recommended multivitamin supplements and physical activity.
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Introduction

Obesity is a progressive and relapsing chronic disease [1]. Even though obesity surgery (OS) is the best-documented treatment for severe obesity, it is not a cure, as weight regain
A group-based educational program lasting 2 to 3 years after surgery was offered to all patients after the RYGB procedure. The purpose of the educational programs was to prepare the patients for life after surgery and empower the participants by searching for their motivation and skills to handle the lifestyle changes and thereby tackle psychological challenges. The groups were led by a clinical dietitian and a nurse at all three hospitals. However, there were minor differences in the curriculum, and the number of half-day sessions differed from five or ten within 2 or 3 years. Teaching sessions included the necessity of lifelong adherence to multivitamin-mineral supplements (MVS), healthy food choices, and physical activity. The sessions shifted from teaching through motivational techniques and discussions around the table focusing on self-efficacy, expectations, experiences, and daily challenges. In addition, physiotherapists and psychologists attended some of the sessions. The educational programs were optional, but strongly recommended by members of the bariatric team in all three hospitals.

Weight and BMI were registered at the time of surgery, at the time of lowest registered weight (nadir) within the second year after surgery, and after 10–15 years. Weight changes were calculated as a percentage of total weight loss (%TWL), excess weight loss (%EWL), and ∆BMI [13]. WR was calculated in percentage of maximum weight loss according to King et al. who suggest classifying WR > 20% WR as weight regainers [14].

Participants who answered “they attended the group sessions as often as possible” in the questionnaire were frequent attendees (FA). The infrequent attendees (IFA) answered, “they attended sometimes or never.” The level of education is divided into two categories ≤12 years and > 12 years of school. Family income is divided into two categories, with a cut-off at 75,000 Euro/year, which is the average Norwegian household income. Our definition of “active > 150 min per week (min/week)” were participants who answered the questions “being active 30–60 min almost every day” or “active > 60 min at least 2–3 days or almost every day.” Intensity was categorized as low and high. Participants defined as compliant with MVS answered taking one from a selection of different supplements. Self-rated health was registered from an answer to the question: “In general, would you say your health is (1) excellent, (2) very good, (3) good, (4) fair or (5) poor.” The categories excellent, very good, and good are merged to “good,” and fair and poor is merged to “poor.”

Statistical Analyses

Continuous variables were normally distributed and are reported as means with standard deviation (SD). An independent t-test is used to compare continuous normally distributed variables. The Pearson χ² was used to compare
categorical variables, and $p$-values were corrected for multiple hypothesis testing. Two-way ANOVA tests differences between groups and within the three hospitals. $p$-values of $<0.05$ are considered significant.

The denominator will sometimes change in the results because there might be an uneven number of patients answering some of the questions. The data analyses were done with IBM SPSS statistics version 27 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA) software. Graphs are made in GraphPad Prism version 9 (GraphPad Software, LLC, CA, USA).

### Results

At baseline, the 497 participants were $39.8\pm8.8$ years old, and the BMI was $44.4\pm5.4$ kg/m$^2$. The mean time to follow-up was $140.8\pm19.1$ months (11.7 years). Two-hundred and ninety-two (58.8%) participants were categorized as FA in the educational program, and 241 (82.5%) of them were women. Among the 205/497 (41.2%) participants categorized as IFA, 154 (75.1%) were women. The FA were older than the IFA, respectively, $40.8\pm8.9$ years vs $38.4\pm8.6$ years ($p=0.003$).

Initial BMI was $44.0\pm5.5$ kg/m$^2$ among the FA and $45.0\pm5.3$ kg/m$^2$ among the IFA. Twelve years after surgery, BMI was $33.9\pm6.4$ kg/m$^2$ in the FA and $36.5\pm7.3$ kg/m$^2$ in the IFA. The %TWL was $23.2\pm11.7\%$ in the FA group vs $19.5\pm12.6\%$ in the IFA group (Fig. 2a). Furthermore, the %EWL in the FA was $55.7\pm28.9\%$ vs $46.0\pm31.2\%$ in the IFA (all $p$-values $<0.05$) (Fig. 2b). WR was $32.1\pm32.8\%$ in the FA vs $38.4\pm40.0\%$ in the IFA ($p=0.052$). WR >20% was found in 191/292 (65.4%) FA and 148/205 (72.2%) of the IFA. Participant’s characteristics are shown in Table 1. Of the 205 participants in the IFA group, 105 (51.2%) participants did not attend at all. The FA were older than the 105 participants not attending at all, $40.8\pm8.9$ vs $37.7\pm8.4$ years, and the FA had a higher %TWL than those not attending at all, $23.2\pm11.7\%$ vs $20.5\pm12.3\%$ (all $p$-values $<0.05$). Between the groups, there was no difference in %WR $32.1\pm32.8\%$ vs $35.0\pm38.6\%$ ($p=0.445$).

Participants from hospital 1 and hospital 3 had higher %TWL and %EWL than hospital 2, see Fig. 3a and b. The comparison of categorical variables between the FA and IFA
Regarding socioeconomic variables, more participants among the FA had a family income higher than 75,000 Euro/year than the IFA. There was no difference in physical activity between FA and IFA at the cut-off limit of 150 min/week, 69/266 vs 51/193 (\(p = 0.907\)). From both groups (FA and IFA), there were 120/459 (26.1%) participants who reported physical activity of more than 150 min/week. Those who were active more than 150 min/week had higher %TWL and %EWL after 12 years, and WR was lower than in the 339 (73.9%) participants with activity < 150 min/week. TWL was 25.1 \(\pm\) 10.5% vs 20.4 \(\pm\) 12.4%, EWL was 60.5 \(\pm\) 25.1% vs 48.4 \(\pm\) 30.9%, and WR was 26.8 \(\pm\) 29.3% vs 38.2 \(\pm\) 37.1% (all \(p\)-values < 0.05) than those with lower intensity. Thirty-nine percent of the participants (175/451) had low intensity at work-out lasting less than 150 min/week.

Regarding participant’s self-rated health and their compliance to take recommended MVS, there was no difference between FA and IFA. Many of the participants made new long-lasting friendships in the education program, and about half of them suggested that the program could be improved by lasting longer and including more mental health issues. Sixty-four percent would like more individual consultations at the hospital’s outpatient clinic, even though 93% visited their GP annually or more often. The participants experiences and feedback regarding the education program is summarized in Table 2.

**Discussion**

The most novel findings in our study conclude that FA to the educational programs have improved weight loss compared to the IFA and tend to have less weight regain more than 10 years after surgery. In this retrospective multicenter cross-sectional study with a mean follow-up time of nearly 12 years after RYGB, almost 60% of the invited patients participated in the study and 59% of the participants classify themselves as FA at the educational programs. Andreu et al. found that the number of attended support group sessions during the first-, second-, and fifth-year post-surgery predicted %TWL and %EWL at 1, 2, and 5 years [6]. Auge et al. recommend long-term multidisciplinary follow-up (four times during the first year and once or twice a year thereafter) to detect and prevent WR, nutritional deficiencies, and complications [15]. At our hospitals, the follow-up at the outpatient clinic was 2–5 years. In our study, the difference in BMI change between FA and IFA may seem small, but 1.6 BMI units correspond to approximately 5 kg which is clinically relevant and may have an impact on the participants mobility and the risk of developing comorbidities like diabetes, hypertension, or sleep apnea or not [16]. Furthermore, Whitlock et al. reported that a BMI increase of 5 kg/m² gave 30% higher all-cause mortality and 40% higher cardiovascular disease mortality [17].

In our study, the FA had a higher age at baseline and higher weight loss than the IFA 12 years after surgery. Those who did not attend the education program at all have an even lower age at baseline and lower %TWL compared to the FA 12 years after surgery, but no difference in WR. Barka et al. also found a lower attendance rate among younger male patients [18]. The super-obese patients in Margo’s study is summarized in Table 1. Regarding socioeconomic variables, more participants among the FA had a family income higher than 75,000 Euro/year than the IFA. There was no difference in physical activity between FA and IFA at the cut-off limit of 150 min/week, 69/266 vs 51/193 (\(p = 0.907\)).

From both groups (FA and IFA), there were 120/459 (26.1%) participants who reported physical activity of more than 150 min/week. Those who were active more than 150 min/week had higher %TWL and %EWL after 12 years, and WR was lower than in the 339 (73.9%) participants with activity < 150 min/week. TWL was 25.1 \(\pm\) 10.5% vs 20.4 \(\pm\) 12.4%, EWL was 60.5 \(\pm\) 25.1% vs 48.4 \(\pm\) 30.9%, and WR was 26.8 \(\pm\) 29.3% vs 38.2 \(\pm\) 37.1% (all \(p\)-values < 0.05). Fifty-four percent (245/451) of the participants reported high intensity at their work-out compared to 46% (206/451) with low intensity. Participants with high intensity had higher TWL 22.7 \(\pm\) 11.5% vs 20.1 \(\pm\) 12.7% and EWL 54.5 \(\pm\) 28.4% vs 47.3 \(\pm\) 31.2% (all \(p\)-values < 0.05) than those with lower intensity. Thirty-nine percent of the participants (175/451) had low intensity at work-out lasting less than 150 min/week.

Regarding participant’s self-rated health and their compliance to take recommended MVS, there was no difference between FA and IFA. Many of the participants made new long-lasting friendships in the education program, and about half of them suggested that the program could be improved by lasting longer and including more mental health issues. Sixty-four percent would like more individual consultations at the hospital’s outpatient clinic, even though 93% visited their GP annually or more often. The participants experiences and feedback regarding the education program is summarized in Table 2.
were younger and had significantly higher WR compared to the older patients with morbid obesity [7].

After OS, daily physical activity is recommended to keep a lean body mass and improve insulin sensitivity, blood lipid profile, increase cardiovascular, and aerobic capacity [19]. Our results not only indicate that activity more than 150 min/week is advisable to keep the lost weight off and prevent WR in the long term but also indicate that intensity might replace duration and be more effective in terms of weight loss. In a newly published substudy from BAROBS with 50 participants, the group of weight regainers reports lower physical activity and have a higher intake of energy-dense foods [20]. Other short-term studies have found larger weight loss outcome for participants active more than 150 min/week [21, 22]. Participants usually overestimate physical activity, but the cut-off limit in our study is rather strict. Even if they overreport, it reveals an attitude towards physical activity. It is difficult for many participants to perform the recommended 150 min/week of exercise every week. However, educational programs give health professionals the opportunity to guide patients in overcoming challenges related to being physically active. Among our participants, some became active without participating in the programs.

Seventy-five percent of all participants reports taking MVS 12 years after surgery, and there is no difference between FA and IFA. Heusschen et al. reported a compliance rate of 55% at 12 months even though the participants received the MVS for free the first year after surgery [23]. We do not know why the educational programs did not appeal to the younger participants. But it might be as Luca et al. found that one-third of participants considered follow-up as unnecessary and a quarter referred to geographic distance, while only 7% stopped attending due to poorer weight loss [24]. Due to limited resources, all three programs had

### Table 1 Participants characteristics

|                        | FA N = 292 (58.8%) | IFA N = 205 (41.2%) | p-value |
|------------------------|--------------------|---------------------|---------|
| Sex F/M                | 241/51             | 154/51              |         |
| Age at baseline (SD)   | 40.8 (8.9)         | 38.4 (8.6)          | 0.003   |
| Mean follow-up time (SD) months | 138.3 (18.0) | 144.3 (20.1) | 0.001   |
| BMI baseline kg/m² (SD) | 44.0 (5.5)       | 45.0 (5.5)          | 0.037   |
| BMI at nadir kg/m² (SD) | 28.8 (4.2)        | 30.6 (5.0)          | 0.001   |
| BMI 10–15 years kg/m² (SD) | 33.9 (6.4)   | 36.5 (7.5)          | 0.001   |
| TWL % to nadir kg/m² (SD) | 34.1 (8.2)    | 31.8 (8.7)          | 0.002   |
| TWL % 10–15 years (SD) | 23.2 (11.7)       | 19.5 (12.6)         | 0.001   |
| ΔBMI baseline → 10–15 years (SD) | -10.1 (5.5)    | -8.5 (5.7)          | 0.002   |
| EWL % (SD)             | 55.7 (28.9)        | 46.0 (31.2)         | 0.001   |
| ≤ 50%EWL 10–15 years N (%) | 126 (43.7%)      | 111 (54.4%)         |         |
| ≥ 50%EWL 10–15 years N (%) | 162 (56.3%)    | 93 (45.6%)          |         |
| WR % 10–15 years (SD)  | 32.1 (32.8)        | 38.4 (40.0)         | 0.052   |
| WR < 20% 10–15 years N (%) | 101 (34.6%)     | 57 (27.8%)          |         |
| WR > 20% 10–15 years N (%) | 191 (65.4%)     | 148 (72.2%)         |         |
| Level of education     |                   |                     |         |
| ≤ 12 year of school    | 189/291 (31.8%)   | 144/205 (29.0%)     | 0.216   |
| > 12 year of school    | 102/291 (20.6%)   | 61/205 (12.3%)      |         |
| Level of income        |                   |                     |         |
| <75,000 Euro           | 179/290 (36.2%)   | 145/205 (29.3%)     | 0.038   |
| >75,000 Euro           | 111/290 (22.4%)   | 60/205 (12.1%)      |         |
| Physical active        |                   |                     |         |
| < 150 min/week         | 197/266 (42.9%)   | 142/193 (30.9%)     | 0.907   |
| > 150 min/week         | 69/266 (15.0%)    | 51/193 (11.1%)      |         |
| Multivitamin           |                   |                     |         |
| Compliant              | 227/292 (45.8%)   | 143/204 (28.8%)     | 0.054   |
| Non-compliant to multivitamin | 65/292 (13.1%) | 61/204 (12.3%)     |         |
| Self-rated health      |                   |                     |         |
| Excellent/very good/good | 187/291 (37.8%) | 119/204 (24.0%)    | 0.181   |
| Fair/poor              | 104/209 (21.0%)   | 85/204 (17.2%)      |         |

FA, frequent attendees; IFA, infrequent attendees; F, female/M, men; BMI, body mass index; TWL, total weight loss; EWL, excess weight loss; WR, weight regain in % of maximal weight loss; nadir = lowest weight measured at 1–2 years after surgery; ΔBMI, baseline BMI-BMI 10–15 years after surgery
only two group sessions with psychologists. Half of the participants in our study suggested that the educational programs should focus more on mental health issues in the future.

We find no difference in self-rated health between FA and IFA. Support from the bariatric multidisciplinary team and other patients seems essential to optimize the long-term effects of obesity surgery and some patients may need more back-up as changing lifestyle is time-consuming and challenging. To help patients adopting WR strategies, it seems most reasonable to offer patients both follow-up at the outpatient clinic and group sessions lasting longer than until nadir weight is achieved. In the future, this may be done as a combination of digital and personal visits.

This study has limitations. Data regarding attendance to the programs are based on self-reporting. Furthermore, the data reported are based on educational programs in three hospitals with some structural difference such as curriculum, number of group sessions, and duration.

Our study’s strengths are a large sample size with 12 years follow-up where all participants have the same operation. The support groups started shortly after surgery and were still going on while the participants experienced WR which indicates our participants got early intervention. A relationship between the participants and healthcare professional was established prior to WR, which made it easier for participants to request guidance before the program ended.

**Conclusion**

Participants attending an educational program lasting 2–3 years after RYGB have better weight loss outcomes 12 years later. Participants with the highest weight loss had a more comprehensive follow-up both individually and in groups. The educational programs lasted longer than the time to nadir weight which opened the possibility to help participants adopt WR strategies. There is no difference between the two groups regarding self-rated health,
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**Fig. 3** a %Total weight loss (%TWL) 12 years after surgery in frequent attendees (FA) and infrequent attendees (IFA) at the educational programs at each of the three hospitals. Results presented as mean±SD, p-values between FA and IFA at each hospital in box above. b %Excess weight loss (%EWL) 12 years after surgery in frequent attendees (FA) and infrequent attendees (IFA) at the educational programs at each of the three hospitals. Results presented as mean±SD, p-values between FA and IFA at each hospital in box above.

| Questions                                           | Response n* | Yes   | No    |
|-----------------------------------------------------|-------------|-------|-------|
| Felt support from other group members               | 387         | 315 (81.4%) | 72 (18.6%) |
| Made new long-lasting friendships                   | 382         | 172 (45.0%) | 210 (55.0%) |
| Active member of the group                          | 383         | 255 (66.6%) | 128 (33.4%) |
| Afraid sensitive information would leak out when sharing information | 384 | 51 (13.3%) | 333 (86.7%) |
| I stopped coming as I felt no benefit of the program | 384         | 58 (15.1%) | 326 (84.9%) |
| Felt unsuccessful due to less weight loss than others | 386         | 65 (16.8%) | 321 (83.2%) |
| Would like more individual follow-up at hospital    | 483         | 309 (64.4%) | 171 (35.6%) |
| GP once a year or more                              | 448         | 229 (93.9%) | 15 (6.1%) |

* n: responses were different mostly due to those who did not attend the group sessions, they did not respond to these questions
compliance to MVS, and physical activity. The most physically active participants from both groups have higher weight loss which confirms that a healthy lifestyle optimizes the effect of RYGB, but some WR seems unavoidable in the long term.
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