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Abstract: Wastewater contamination and urbanization contribute to the spread of antibiotic resistance in aquatic environments. This is a particular concern in areas receiving chronic pollution of untreated waste via combined sewer overflow (CSO) events. The goal of this study was to expand knowledge of CSO impacts, with a specific focus on multidrug resistance. We sampled a CSO-impacted segment of the James River (Virginia, USA) during both clear weather and an active overflow event and compared it to an unimpacted upstream site. Bacteria resistant to ampicillin, streptomycin, and tetracycline were isolated from all samples. Ampicillin resistance was particularly abundant, especially during the CSO event, so these isolates were studied further using disk susceptibility tests to assess multidrug resistance. During a CSO overflow event, 82% of these isolates were resistant to five or more antibiotics, and 44% were resistant to seven or more. The latter statistic contrasts starkly with the upstream reference site, where only 4% of isolates displayed resistance to more than seven antibiotics. DNA sequencing (16S rRNA gene) revealed that ~35% of our isolates were opportunistic pathogens, comprised primarily of the genera Stenotrophomonas, Pseudomonas, and Chryseobacterium. Together, these results demonstrate that CSOs can be a significant source of viable clinically-relevant bacteria to the natural environment and that multidrug resistance is an important understudied component of the environmental spread of antibiotic resistance.
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1. Introduction

Widespread, indiscriminate use of antibiotics in recent decades has stimulated a proliferation of antibiotic-resistant (AR) strains of bacteria, which are a major public health threat to millions of people worldwide. While antibiotic resistance is most often studied in medical settings, there is growing concern about spread to the natural environment. There has been a particular focus on freshwater ecosystems because they are a vital source of drinking water and widely used for recreation. Sources of AR to these ecosystems include municipal wastewater systems, effluent from hospitals, pharmaceutical manufacturing, and upstream agricultural runoff. Wastewater treatment plants are well-known hotspots for AR [1] due to high densities of bacteria in close proximity as well as the presence of contaminants, such as pharmaceuticals and heavy metals, that select for resistant organisms. Because these wastewater treatment facilities do not completely remove antibiotics, antibiotic-resistant bacteria, or antibiotic resistance genes [2], effluent causes chronic pollution of receiving water bodies (e.g., see [3–7]).

In many urban aquatic ecosystems, the potential for spreading AR is further exacerbated by reliance on combined sewer systems and the unintentional release of untreated sewage directly into the environment. Used by more than 40 million people in the United States [8], these systems collect stormwater and sewage for treatment at a single facility.
During large precipitation events, the volume of water that needs to be treated exceeds the capacity of the facility, and the combined untreated wastewater is released into the receiving water body in what is called a CSO (“combined sewer overflow”) event. Urban rivers and streams that are heavily impacted by CSO discharge have been found to contain elevated concentrations of AR bacteria and AR genes [9–13], contributed to primarily by storm-driven transport [14]. Many of these prior studies have utilized molecular techniques and focused on assessing the abundance of AR genes, but it remains unclear whether the genes are present in viable clinically-relevant organisms. Moreover, as CSO events occur only occasionally, it remains uncertain whether there is a significant risk of AR exposure at impacted sites during clear weather (i.e., when there is no overflow). A recent study by Brown et al. [15] suggests that the high levels of AR in rivers are due to continuous wastewater and CSO inputs but would not persist if these sources were removed.

Given the diversity of AR bacteria and genes associated with wastewater, as well as selection for AR traits due to sublethal concentrations of antibiotics and heavy metals, the potential for the development of multidrug-resistance (MDR) is high [16–19]. This can occur when an organism accumulates multiple genes that each code for resistance to a single antibiotic, collectively conferring resistance to multiple drugs. The phenomena can also manifest due to increased expression of individual genes that code for multidrug efflux pumps. Genes associated with MDR have been found in several metagenomic studies of surface water [20–22], including wastewater-impacted rivers [23]. In addition, MDR organisms have been isolated from both clinical [24–26] and municipal wastewater effluent [27] and from receiving water bodies [28,29]. This has led to serious concerns about the cycling of MDR between clinical settings, the human microbiome, and the water system, but the potential effects of CSOs have not been fully explored.

This study examined the role that CSOs may play in disseminating viable MDR bacteria to urban rivers. The research was conducted in Richmond, Virginia (USA), which is a moderately-sized city that relies on a combined sewer system that overflows into the James River (Figure 1). We utilized culture-based methods to isolate viable resistant bacteria from a CSO-impacted section of the river during both clear weather conditions and an active overflow event, then compared this to an upstream site with no proximal CSOs. We initially focused on determining what fraction of the bacterial community was resistant to ampicillin, streptomycin, and tetracycline. Results indicate ampicillin-resistant bacteria were particularly abundant, especially during a CSO overflow event, so these isolates were identified (16S rRNA gene sequencing) and screened for MDR. We hypothesized that bacterial communities associated with CSO discharge would exhibit higher levels of MDR and contain more human-associated and potentially pathogenic species. Further, we predicted that the chronic inputs at the at the CSO site would result in a community with elevated MDR and pathogenicity even during clear weather (i.e., when the CSO was not discharging).
2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Study Sites

Richmond, Virginia (USA) has approximately 227,000 residents and a population density of ~9800 people per km$^2$ (United States Census Bureau, 2017). The city has the most extensive CSO system in the state of Virginia, servicing approximately 50 km$^2$. This study considered two sites along the James River as it flows through Richmond. The first site (37.560471, −77.545801) was ~10 km “upstream” from the city center and before all known CSO outfalls; as such, it allowed us to characterize AR in the absence of the urban and CSO inputs. The second site was downtown (37.529486–77.429382), adjacent to the city’s largest CSO discharge point (identified as CSO-006 by the Richmond Department of Public Utilities). Long-term water quality monitoring indicates consistently elevated levels of *Escherichia coli* (*E. coli*) at this “CSO site” (Supplemental Figure S1), and prior research has documented an increased abundance of AR genes in the CSO-affected area of the river [30]. The CSO site is approximately 500 m downstream of the James’ fall line—the point up to which the river becomes tidal—and about 2.5 km upstream from the outflow of the Richmond wastewater treatment plant (Figure 1). Owing to strong tidal and fluvial forces, the James in and around the city is considered to be well-mixed both vertically and laterally.

2.2. Sampling

Surface water samples were collected from the river during an active CSO overflow event (28 June 2016) and again a week later following clear weather and 5+ days without CSO discharge (5 July 2016). The upstream location was sampled on the same date (5 July 2016) during the clear weather condition. Specific conductance, temperature, dissolved oxygen, turbidity, and pH of each water sample were measured in the field using a YSI 6600 water quality sonde (YSI Environmental, Inc., Yellow Springs, OH, USA). Water used for microbiological analyses was collected from the surface (~30 cm depth) of the main channel of the river using sterile plastic containers (~5 L), transported back to the laboratory on ice, and processed within 2 h. River discharge data were obtained from the United States Geological Survey (USGS) gaging station at site 02037500 (37.563055, −77.547222),
which is near the upstream sampling site. Precipitation data was provided by the National Climatic Data Center using the Richmond International Airport “KRIC” station.

2.3. Microbiological Analyses

*E. coli* abundance was determined using Modified mTEC agar (BD Difco, Sparks, MD, USA) following EPA Method #1603 [31]. In addition, plate counts were performed using R2A agar (BD Difco). Dilutions were prepared in sterile phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) and plated in triplicate onto four types of media: (i) unamended R2A, (ii) R2A amended with ampicillin (100 μg mL⁻¹), (iii) R2A with streptomycin (100 μg mL⁻¹), and (iv) R2A with tetracycline (50 μg mL⁻¹). These antibiotic concentrations are near the maximum of the range recommended by major antibiotic retailers (e.g., ATCC (Manassas, VA, USA), Thermo Fisher Scientific (Waltham, MA, USA), and Sigma Aldrich (St. Louis, MO, USA)) following EPA Method #1603 [31]. Plates were incubated in the dark at 27 °C for 72 h, after which time any containing 20–200 colony forming units (CFU) were counted and moved to 4 °C for storage (<2 weeks). The total abundance of heterotrophic bacteria was quantified from unamended plates, and the fraction of the community resistant to each antibiotic was calculated.

2.4. Isolations and MDR Testing

Because plate count data revealed an elevated abundance of ampicillin-resistant bacteria, as well as a strong increase associated with CSO discharge (Figure 2), we elected to isolate colonies from the ampicillin-amended plates for identification and additional resistance screening. For each sample, we selected 50–60 morphologically distinct colonies and streaked them onto ampicillin-amended R2A agar (100 μg mL⁻¹). Colonies were re-streaked onto new plates a minimum of three times to ensure pure cultures. Isolates were then transferred to R2A broth (27 °C for 72 h). A small aliquot of each turbid liquid culture was archived (15% glycerol, stored at −80 °C).
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*Figure 2.* Samples collected from the upstream site during clear weather (left bar, light blue), the CSO-impacted site during clear weather (center bar, dark blue), and the CSO-impacted site during an overflow event (right bar, green) were analyzed to determine the fraction of the culturable bacterial community resistant to either: (a) ampicillin, (b) streptomycin, or (c) tetracycline. Note the difference in scale on the y-axis.

Oxoid™ Antimicrobial Susceptibility Disk (Thermo Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) tests were used to screen isolates for multidrug resistance (MDR). As our main research foci were (i) CSO events and fecal contamination and (ii) obtaining clinically-relevant isolates, we used the recommendations for screening *Enterobacteriaceae* outlined in the Clinical and
Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI) manual [33]. This decision was supported by the fact that ~50% of clinically significant bacteria are Enterobacteriaceae [33]. We chose 1–2 drugs per antibiotic class and used the recommended disk concentrations. A total of 10 were screened, including two penicillins (ampicillin (10 µg) and piperacillin (100 µg)), 1 β-lactam/β-lactamase inhibitor combination (amoxicillin clavulanate (20 µg amoxicillin with 10 µg clavulanic acid), also known as Augmentin), two cephems (cefpime (10 µg) and cefotaxime (30 µg)), one aminoglycoside (streptomycin (10 µg)), 1 tetracycline (tetracycline (30 µg)), one fluoroquinolone (ciprofloxacin (5 µg)), and one folate pathway inhibitor (co-trimoxazole (1.25 µg sulfamethoxazole with 23.75 µg trimethoprim), also known as Bactrim). We also tested vancomycin (30 µg), a glycopeptide antibiotic that was historically the last resort for the treatment of multidrug-resistant Gram-positive bacterial infections [34]. Acquired resistance to vancomycin is now an increasing problem in pathogenic bacteria [35], especially Enterococci [36].

Following disk diffusion methods in the Manual of Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing [37], archived isolates were tested using the log phase method, with the inoculum reaching the 0.5 McFarland turbidity standard in no longer than 24 h. Once cultures reached the 0.5 McFarland standard, they were spread on Mueller–Hinton agar plates (BD Difco) and incubated at 35 °C for 16–18 h, after which time the zone of inhibition surrounding each antibiotic disk was measured. E. coli (ATCC 25922, Manassas, VA, USA) was used as a control and tested with each batch of samples.

Isolates were classified as being resistant or susceptible based on CLSI reference tables [33]. We then calculated the total number of antibiotics to which each isolate was resistant (MDR index) and the fraction of isolates resistant to each individual antibiotic.

2.5. DNA Extraction and Sequencing

For each isolate, an aliquot (2 mL) of turbid broth culture was centrifuged (1 min at 10,000 × g), and DNA was extracted from the resulting cell pellet using the Dneasy Ultra-Clean Microbial DNA Isolation Kit (Qiagen, Germantown, MD, USA). Successful extraction was confirmed using agarose gel electrophoresis (1% gel), and extracted DNA was shipped to Fasteris SA (Geneva, Switzerland) for sequencing of the full 16S rRNA gene. PCR was performed using their proprietary primers: P0 (5′-AGAGTTTGATCCTGGCTCAG-3′) and P6 (5′-GTACGGCTACCTTGTTACGA-3′); the sequence for P0 matches the universal primer 8F and P6 is a slightly longer version of 1492 [38]. Sanger sequencing was performed on purified PCR products using 3730XL DNA analyzer (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA) set with a 50 cm 96-capillaries array and a LongSeq-POP7 run module (800–1000 bp in general) with the Z-BigDyeV3 Dye set. Base calling was performed automatically using KB Basecaller Software Version 1.4.1.8 (Applied Biosystems). Forward and reverse sequences were assembled into contigs using BioEdit [39] and quality checked using Sequence Analysis Version 6 (Applied Biosystems). Sequences were deposited into GenBank under accession numbers MZ490613 through MZ490746. Sequencing results were obtained for a total of 134 isolates (45 for the CSO site during the overflow event, 44 for the CSO site during clear weather, and 45 for the upstream site during clear weather).

2.6. Statistical Analyses

All statistical analyses were performed using the PAST 4.0 statistical package [40].

3. Results

3.1. Water Quality

When sampled during clear weather, water quality of the two sites was quite similar except for a small increase in turbidity, bacterial abundance, and E. coli at the CSO site compared to upstream (Table 1). During the wet-weather CSO event, water quality and hydrological parameters changed considerably, including a 2.5-fold increase in river discharge, a 16-fold increase in turbidity, and a doubling of E. coli abundance. The elevated E. coli abundance exceeded the Virginia State law of primary contact-230 CFU 100-mL−1...
(Code of Virginia; Clean Water Act). § 62.1-44.15 of the Code of Virginia; Clean Water Act (33 USC § 1251 et seq.); 40 CFR Part 131.

Table 1. Hydrologic and water quality data for each site and set of sampling conditions.

|                             | Upstream Clear Weather | CSO Site Clear Weather | CSO Site Overflow Event |
|-----------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|
| River discharge (m$^3$s$^{-1}$) | 160                    | 160                    | 400                     |
| Rainfall during 12 h prior to sampling (cm) | <0.1                   | <0.1                   | 2.5                     |
| Water temperature (°C)      | 25.6                   | 26.5                   | 24.7                    |
| pH                          | 7.3                    | 7.7                    | 8.1                     |
| Dissolved oxygen (mg L$^{-1}$) | 8.6                    | 8.4                    | 8.5                     |
| Specific Conductance (µS cm$^{-1}$) | 140.0                  | 139.0                  | 112.0                   |
| Turbidity (NTU)             | 7.6                    | 14.3                   | 230.0                   |
| Bacteria abundance (CFU mL$^{-1}$) | $1.5 \times 10^5$        | $6.4 \times 10^5$      | $4.2 \times 10^5$      |
| E. coli abundance (CFU 100-mL$^{-1}$) | 103                    | 188                    | 370                     |

3.2. Antibiotic Resistance

Across all samples, the fraction of the community resistant to ampicillin was highest (range: 0.2–7.6%), followed by streptomycin (0.1–0.9%), then by tetracycline (0.02–0.15%) (Figure 2). For all three antibiotics, the percentage of bacteria harboring resistance was much greater in conjunction with the active CSO event. Resistance to ampicillin was most affected. The fraction of the community displaying resistance to this antibiotic increased almost 50-fold during the overflow event compared to clear weather conditions; the corresponding change for streptomycin and tetracycline was only 8-fold.

Antibiotic disk susceptibility tests were performed on all ampicillin-resistant isolates to determine MDR to 10 antibiotics in total. Consistent with our findings from the antibiotic-amended plate counts, greater resistance was associated with the CSO site during the overflow event (Figure 3). Approximately 65% of the CSO event isolates were resistant to 6 or more antibiotics. In contrast, this level of MDR was found in only 50% of isolates from the CSO site during clear weather and fewer than 20% of the isolates from the upstream site. All of the isolates obtained during the active CSO event were found to have resistance to at least four of the tested antibiotics.

A Kruskal–Wallis test revealed a statistically significant difference in MDR between the samples ($H = 22.1$, $p < 0.0001$). Isolates from the upstream site had significantly lower levels
of MDR (4.5 ± 0.2 S.E.) compared to the CSO site during either clear weather (5.8 ± 0.3) or the overflow event (6.2 ± 0.2) (Dunn’s post hoc, p < 0.001). The difference in MDR between the two sampling events at the CSO site was not significant (p = 0.16).

Cross-site differences were also evident when the results for each antibiotic were reviewed separately (Figure 4). The fraction of isolates resistant was always greatest for the CSO site, and isolates obtained during the overflow event had a further increased likelihood of being resistant to cefotaxime, cefepime, piperacillin, and tetracycline compared to CSO isolates obtained during clear weather. Across all three samples, resistance to vancomycin was highest (82% all isolates) followed by amoxicillin clavulanate (Augmentin) (75%), cefotaxime (69%), and streptomycin (66%). Resistance to co-trimoxazole (Bactrim) (21% of all isolates) and ciprofloxacin (15%) was relatively rare.
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**Figure 4.** Fraction of isolates resistant to each antibiotic, with gray lines indicating 25 (innermost), 50, 75, and 100%. Samples were collected from the upstream site during clear weather (light blue squares), the CSO-impacted site during clear weather (dark blue circles), and the CSO-impacted site during an overflow event (green diamonds). Resistance to vancomycin (not shown) was 82% in all three samples.

### 3.3. Identification of Bacterial Isolates

Representative matches with >99% sequence identity at the species level were found for 118 of the 134 isolates. For the other 16 isolates, representative matches were all >98.3% similar. Classifications comprised 20 families and 30 genera. Diversity was highest for both samples collected during clear weather, and significantly reduced when the CSO site was sampled during overflow conditions (Figure 5). Rarefaction indicates the sampling effort was adequate to capture the diversity of culturable ampicillin-resistant organisms (Figure 5).
A complete table of organism identification, percent matching value, MDR profile results, and pathogenicity can be found in the Supplemental Table S2. For subsequent discussion, we rely primarily on the genus-level classifications with a few exceptions: (i) Well-known human pathogens are discussed as “species”. (ii) We aggregated at the family level for Comamonadaceae, Enterobacteriaceae, and Sphingomonadaceae. (iii) The category “Other Proteobacteria” was created to aggregate results for five families that had few representatives, specifically Colwelliaceae (only one isolate classified in this family), Erythrobacteraceae (one), Pseudoalteromonadaceae (one), Rhodobacteraceae (one), and Oxalobacteraceae (two).

During the CSO overflow event, the greatest proportion of organisms were Stenotrophomonas (37.8%), of which 28.9% were *Stenotrophomonas maltophilia* (Figure 6). Fisher’s exact test (Supplemental Table S1) indicated that Stenotrophomonas were found significantly less often in clear weather samples. Mean MDR of Stenotrophomonas was similar at the CSO site during both overflow (6.9 antibiotics) and clear (7.0) weather conditions. No Stenotrophomonas were found in the upstream sample.

Pseudomonas comprised 22.2% of isolates from the CSO site during the overflow event and were in lower abundance during clear weather sampling events (Upstream: 11.1%, CSO site: 15.9%), although chi-squared tests indicate this difference was not significant. In contrast, Sphingomonadaceae were found in much greater abundance upstream (17.8%) compared to either sample from the CSO site (4.4% of each). The family Comamonadaceae had the greatest number of isolates overall, with a similar frequency at each site (Supplemental Table S1). On average, members of this group were resistant to five antibiotics.

Of the 134 total isolates sequenced, 47 could be classified as opportunistic pathogens or potentially pathogenic based on their best match species-level classification (Table 2). Of those 47, only 5 (9%) were obtained from the upstream site; the remaining 42 were found exclusively at the CSO site. Approximately one-third (31.0%) of these pathogens were *Stenotrophomonas maltophilia*. These were only isolated from the CSO site during an overflow event and had an average MDR of 7.7. Potentially pathogenic Pseudomonas isolates were the next most abundant group (21.3%). One potentially pathogenic Pseudomonas isolate was obtained from the upstream site, and the remainder were from the CSO site. The third most common potentially pathogenic bacteria were from the genus *Chryseobacterium*.
(specifically Chryseobacterium indologenes, Chryseobacterium gleum, and Chryseobacterium timonianum), which were found primarily at the CSO site during clear weather.

**Figure 6.** The fraction of isolates at each site that fall within each classification group. Bar color represents the mean MDR for all isolates in the group, with darker bars indicating resistance to a greater number of antibiotics. Within some groups, both pathogenic and non-pathogenic strains were identified. These are presented separately: bars extending left against the white background represent non-pathogenic isolates, while bars extending right against the gray background represent potentially pathogenic isolates. The graph does not include 6 isolates classified as “Other Proteobacteria” (all non-pathogenic).

**Table 2.** Distribution of potentially pathogenic taxa. Data are presented as the % in each classification/group relative to the total number of potentially pathogenic isolates for each sample. Mean MDR for each group is shown in parentheses.

| Classification               | Upstream Clear Weather | CSO Site Clear Weather | CSO Site Overflow Event |
|------------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|
| Genus Bordetella             | 2.1 (5.0)              | 2.1 (4.0)              |                         |
| Chromobacterium violaceum    | 2.1 (4.0)              |                        |                         |
| Sphingobacterium multivorum  | 2.1 (4.0)              |                        |                         |
| Aeromonas hydrophila         | 2.1 (1.0)              | 2.1 (3.0)              |                         |
| Genus Pseudomonas †          | 2.1 (4.0)              | 8.5 (7.8)              | 10.6 (4.6)              |
| Genus Chryseobacterium ‡     |                        | 12.8 (7.6)             |                         |
| Bacillus cereus F837/76      |                        | 4.3 (6.0)              |                         |
| Providencia alcalifaciens    |                        | 4.3 (4.0)              |                         |
| Acinetobacter baumannii      |                        | 2.1 (4.0)              |                         |
| Comamonas testosteroni       |                        | 2.1 (3.0)              |                         |
| Acidovorax temperans         |                        | 4.3 (5.5)              |                         |
| Stenotrophomonas maltophilia |                        |                        | 27.7 (7.7)              |
| Delftia acidovorans          | 2.1 (4.0)              |                        | 8.5 (6.0)               |
| Total                        | 12.6 (3.6)             | 40.5 (6.2)             | 46.8 (6.7)              |

† Pseudomonas fluorescens, Pseudomonas putida, and Pseudomonas moseleii; ‡ Chryseobacterium indologenes, Chryseobacterium timonianum, and Chryseobacterium gleum.

4. **Discussion**

In this study, we focused on CSO discharge as a potential reservoir of pathogenic bacteria and MDR to an urbanized river. For all three of the antibiotics that we initially considered, we found that a much greater fraction of the culturable bacterial community
was resistant during the CSO event (Figure 2). The increase was particularly striking for ampicillin. Young et al. [41] observed similar increases associated with wet-weather sampling of a CSO-impacted section of the Hudson River Estuary, and the fraction of culturable heterotrophs that were resistant was also similar to ours (~0.7% for tetracycline and ~10% for ampicillin). Ash et al. [42] also found widespread resistance to ampicillin when considering 77 samples from 16 U.S. rivers over the span of 3 years. High prevalence of ampicillin resistance relative to other common antibiotics was also evident in studies of cultured fecal coliforms by Guardabassi et al. [43] and West et al. [44]. Together, these findings motivated our subsequent focus on identifying the ampicillin-resistant isolates and screening for MDR.

4.1. Multidrug Resistance

Though there have been several recent studies examining the prevalence of MDR in aquatic ecosystems, most have focused on enteropathogenic microorganisms [45,46] and the dissemination of MDR to the broader microbial community is less often considered. These studies all found higher levels of MDR associated with polluted waterways and speculated that wastewater/sewage is the likely contamination source. Our study is unique in its consideration of MDR associated with point source wastewater inputs. We found MDR was elevated in isolates obtained from the CSO site. This was especially true during the CSO overflow event, where 82% of isolates were resistant to five or more antibiotics, and 44% of isolates were resistant to seven or more. The latter statistic contrasts starkly with data for the upstream site, where only 4% of isolates had this level of MDR (≥7). The observed increase in MDR at the CSO site is most likely due to the release of resistant organisms associated with the wastewater overflow and possibly sloughing of biofilms within the sewer system [27,47]. It is also possible that the antibiotics, disinfectants, and metals commonly found in wastewater and urban runoff exert selective pressure on the microorganisms at this site, as these pollutants have been correlated with increased prevalence of AR [13,48], even at low concentrations [49]. The selective pressure of antimicrobial residues creates an environment where bacteria with AR will have an increased survival rate in comparison to bacteria without AR [50–52], and thus comprise a greater fraction of the total community.

The mechanism driving increased MDR of isolates from the CSO site during clear weather is less obvious. One possible explanation is a legacy effect wherein the persistent exposure to antibiotics and inputs of MDR bacteria from CSO overflows have created a community that remains enriched even during clear weather. It is also possible that the urbanization of the catchment between the two sampling locations is a factor [9]. Of particular interest may be the elevated turbidity at the CSO site (Table 1), as previous studies indicate that suspended material can be important for the accumulation and dissemination of AR genes and microorganisms in rivers [53]. It is also possible that tidal flow carries MDR bacteria upstream to the CSO site from the discharge point of the wastewater treatment plant (Figure 1). Further research that considers multiple environmental compartments (e.g., sediment vs. water column) and additional sites is needed to distinguish these possibilities.

4.2. Resistance to Individual Antibiotics

We saw no difference in the frequency of resistance across sampling locations or conditions for three of the antibiotics that we considered (Figure 4): co-trimoxazole (overall average: 21%), streptomycin (66%), and vancomycin (82%). Low environmental resistance to co-trimoxazole is expected, given its pattern of limited clinical use [54]. Likewise, because streptomycin use is widespread in the agricultural and veterinary industries and the compound is among the more chemically-stable antibiotics [55], its higher frequency of resistance makes sense. In contrast, the high frequency of resistance for vancomycin was somewhat surprising; vancomycin resistance has previously been found in wastewater biofilms, surface water, and drinking water [12,56], but at much lower levels than in our study. It is important to keep in mind that vancomycin is generally ineffective against Gram-
negative bacteria and unable to penetrate their outer membrane barrier. Approximately 85% of our isolates were Proteobacteria, which are Gram negative, so our high frequency of vancomycin resistance is primarily due to intrinsic natural resistance associated with cell wall and membrane structure. When only Gram-positive organisms are considered, the fraction displaying vancomycin resistance decreases to 50%.

For five of the six remaining antibiotics, resistance increased with wastewater exposure. Specifically, the number of isolates resistant to piperacillin, cefepime, cefotaxime, tetracycline, and amoxicillin clavulanate was always lowest for the upstream site, intermediate for the CSO site during clear weather, and highest for the CSO site during an overflow event (Figure 4). This difference was particularly high for cefepime, which is a fourth-generation cephalosporin with broad-spectrum antibacterial activity. Because resistance to cefepime is rare in environmental samples [57], the marked increase we saw at the CSO site is strong evidence of an anthropogenic source. Tetracycline and amoxicillin clavulanate (Augmentin) are also broad-spectrum antibiotics but with a longer history of use in both human and veterinary medicine [26,58]. Thus, our finding of higher baseline levels of resistance to these compounds at the upstream site, compared to cefepime, is very reasonable.

In addition to looking at the overall frequency of resistance across isolates, we examined the data for co-occurrence patterns following the approach outlined in Martins da Costa et al. [59] (Supplemental Figure S2). The strongest association among the resistance profiles was for vancomycin and ampicillin. Sanderson et al. [60] and Martins da Costa et al. [61] also observed a link between resistance to these two antibiotics, but further research is necessary to ascertain the mechanism of the relationship. We also observed a strong association of the resistance profiles for cefotaxime and amoxicillin clavulanate. Of the 93 isolates displaying resistance to cefotaxime, 84 (90%) also showed resistance to amoxicillin clavulanate, which suggests co-selection associated with resistance to these two compounds. Prior research by Tacao et al. [62] has also identified cefotaxime co-selection for bacteria isolated from polluted rivers. Co-selection is being increasingly recognized as a major driving force in the development of MDR [63]. These processes can be driven by direct selective pressure imposed by the antibiotics or indirectly via exposure to pollutants such as heavy metals, disinfectants, and elevated nutrients [64,65]. These processes may be especially important in aquatic ecosystems and wastewater, which integrate chemical and microbial contaminants from diverse industrial, agricultural, and domestic sources, creating incubation conditions that promote genetic exchange and contribute to the spread of antibiotic resistance.

4.3. Identification and Diversity of Resistant Bacteria

The diversity of MDR isolates was highest at the upstream site and significantly lower at the CSO site during the overflow event (Figure 5). This is consistent with several prior studies that have documented reduced diversity in environments experiencing wastewater pollution [66–68]. In our study, nearly all (97%) isolates were Proteobacteria and Bacteroidetes, which are among the phyla most commonly reported in rivers, tap water, and wastewater [69–71]. These phyla are recognized as major reservoirs of AR among environmental bacteria and include both antibiotic producers and degraders [72–75]. The majority of isolates were included in classes of ubiquitous Proteobacteria, specifically Alpha-, Beta-, and Gammaproteobacteria (87%) which includes many species found in the human microbiome [69,76]. Interestingly, 75% percent of the isolates classified as Gammaproteobacteria were obtained from the CSO site. This class includes several medically important groups, including Enterobacteriaceae and Pseudomonadaceae. Well-known pathogens such as Pseudomonas aeruginosa, Escherichia coli, and Salmonella are part of these groups. As hypothesized, potentially pathogenic isolates were more common at the CSO site and exhibited a greater level of MDR (Table 2 and Figure 6). In contrast, the upstream site included a greater abundance of the family Sphingomonadaceae and the genus Caulobacter. Sphingomonadaceae are commonly isolated from soils, freshwater, and marine
habitats [77], and Caulobacter have been reported from a wide variety of aquatic habitats, mainly freshwater [78,79].

Our decision to use culture-based approaches in this study was motivated by a desire to understand the complexities associated with AR in clinically-relevant and viable organisms, but does present some limitations. Several prior studies have demonstrated that the abundance and diversity of organisms recovered during water quality assessment can be affected by cultivation conditions such as media type and incubation temperature. The general consensus is that R2A incubated at lower temperatures (20–25°C) tends to yield higher levels of diversity [80,81], so we elected to use this approach to gain broad insight into environmental resistance. This choice of incubation conditions is likely the reason there were no fecal Enterobacteriaceae among our isolates from the CSO event sample, even though the E. coli data (Table 1) give clear evidence of fecal contamination. This result is consistent with previous studies showing that Enterobacteriaceae are not often detected in studies involving bacterial diversity unless selective media is used [69]. It is also important to keep in mind that our focus on ampicillin-resistant organisms further restricted the types of bacteria we isolated. Approximately half (54%) of our isolates were members of the orders of Enterobacterales, Pseudomonadales, Burkholderiales, Bacteroidales, and Flavobacterales, which have previously been selected for in ampicillin-enriched cultures from urban aquatic ecosystems [82].

When MDR of the individual microbial groups was considered, there were clear differences across samples (Figure 6). For example, though the abundance of the family Comamonadaceae was reasonably consistent (~20%), pathogenic species were primarily isolated from the CSO site, and MDR increased with the level of wastewater exposure. In the case of Stenotrophomonas, all of these isolates were obtained from the CSO site, and potentially pathogenic strains were only found during the CSO overflow event. Of particular interest was the appearance of Stenotrophomonas maltophilia, which was only found during the CSO overflow event and constituted nearly 30% of those isolates. While Stenotrophomonas maltophilia has occasionally been found in aquatic habitats, including plant rhizospheres, it is most well-known as a multidrug-resistant opportunistic pathogen that causes respiratory infections. For example, S. maltophilia has been found to be a co-colonizer with Pseudomonas aeruginosa in samples recovered from the respiratory tract of cystic fibrosis patients [83]. Members of the genus Pseudomonas were found in all samples, but abundance and MDR were higher for the CSO site. Numerous species of Pseudomonas were isolated in our samples, including P. fluorescens, P. mosselli, and P. putida, which have all been found to be opportunistic pathogens in humans [84]. Additionally, P. fluorescens has been reported to cause infection from contaminated drinking water [85].

We found MDR members of the genus Chryseobacterium at the CSO site during both sampling conditions. Although species of Chryseobacterium are often known as environmental bacteria, our samples included C. gleum and C. indologenes, which have been reported to cause a wide variety of infections in immunocompromised individuals (e.g., respiratory tract and urinary tract infections) and are intrinsically resistant to several antibiotics including those used in this study [86,87]. All of our Chryseobacterium isolates were resistant to tetracycline, streptomycin, cefotaxime, and amoxicillin clavulanate (Augmentin). This contributed to the high average resistance observed (8.3) (Table 2). The remaining pathogens observed were Acinetobacter, Aeromonas, Bordetella, Paenibacillus, Chromobacterium violaceum, Sphingopyxis witflariensis, D. acidovorans, C. testeroni, and P. alcalificiens, which are all uncommon, but have been shown to cause infections in humans [88–96].

In addition to these potential pathogens, we isolated several groups of non-pathogenic human-associated bacteria, including Sphingomonas, Pseudomonas, Acinetobacter, and non-feal Enterobacteriaceae. These organisms are common residents of the human microbiome and capable of resisting several different antibiotics [97–101]. We also isolated several members of the family Comamonadaceae from all sites. While Comamonadaceae is most commonly viewed as a large and diverse group of environmental bacteria, it is worth noting
that they have recently been found to be abundant in urban runoff [102] and urban wastewater treatment plants [103], and can be relatively sensitive to antimicrobials [104,105].

5. Conclusions

Our results demonstrate that surface waters contaminated with wastewater discharge from CSOs can contain a diverse group of MDR bacteria, including several viable pathogenic species. Many MDR organisms were present at the CSO site even during periods of clear weather, which could represent a significant public health concern to local residents. Exposure to contaminated surface water used for drinking and recreation has previously been linked to adverse health outcomes due to bacterial exposure [106–108], and transmission of MDR further elevates the risk. Understanding the impact of persistent episodic contamination such as urban CSOs is especially important given increasing evidence that wastewater organisms can survive and even regrow in downstream rivers. Our findings complement many prior studies that have focused on the spread of antibiotic residuals and AR genes to aquatic ecosystems via wastewater inputs and urbanization, and raise unique concerns about multidrug resistance of clinically-relevant organisms that transition between the human and environmental microbiomes. The results also highlight the need for a holistic approach to wastewater management that includes not only consideration of alternate treatment approaches within WWTF (e.g., expanded tertiary treatment or incorporation of antibiotic removal technologies [2]) but also of further updates to existing infrastructure to reduce urban runoff and the frequency of CSO events. Reducing AR associated with episodic discharge will become increasingly important as storm intensity and frequency increase due to global change.
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