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Abstract

We study holographic Wilsonian RG in a general class of asymptotically AdS backgrounds with a $U(1)$ gauge field. We consider free charged Dirac fermions in such a background, and integrate them up to an intermediate radial distance, yielding an equivalent low energy dual field theory. The new ingredient, compared to scalars, involves a ‘generalized’ basis of coherent states which labels a particular half of the fermion components as coordinates or momenta, depending on the choice of quantization (standard or alternative). We apply this technology to explicitly compute RG flows of charged fermionic operators and their composites (double trace operators) in field theories dual to (a) pure AdS and (b) extremal charged black hole geometries. The flow diagrams and fixed points are determined explicitly. In the case of the extremal black hole, the RG flows connect two fixed points at the UV AdS boundary to two fixed points at the IR $AdS_2$ region. The double trace flow is shown, both numerically and analytically, to develop a pole singularity in the $AdS_2$ region at low frequency and near the Fermi momentum, which can be traced to the appearance of massless fermion modes on the low energy cut-off surface. The low energy field theory action we derive exactly agrees with the semi-holographic action proposed by Faulkner and Polchinski in [19]. In terms of field theory, the holographic version of Wilsonian RG leads to a quantum theory with random sources. In the extremal black hole background the random sources become ‘light’ in the $AdS_2$ region near the Fermi surface and emerge as new dynamical degrees of freedom.
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1 Introduction and Summary

Recently, a holographic description of field theories with a finite cut-off has been proposed in [1–4]. One of the imports of this proposal is a holographic version of Wilsonian renormalization group (hWRG), in which non-trivial RG flows of strongly interacting field theories are described with consummate ease in terms of free fields in the bulk. The basic idea of hWRG is to integrate out the bulk field from the boundary up to some intermediate radial distance, yielding an equivalent dual field theory at a lower cut-off. This procedure has been exactly implemented for a free scalar field in a fixed background geometry [1, 2]; see [6–10] for further related developments, as well as, e.g., [11–16] for earlier treatments of holographic renormalization group (the relationship between the earlier treatments and hWRG has been

---

1 [12] also discuss gauge field fluctuations. See [5] for a related discussion on metric fluctuations, although from a somewhat different viewpoint.
discussed in [1]). Although it is not easy to identify the specific dual field theories which arise in this fashion, it is of interest to explore the general structure of RG flows and fixed points in these theories. Indeed, this program, with the inclusion of metric fluctuations and interactions, can provide an RG landscape of cut-off field theories and their universality classes holographically: something which is of obvious importance in applied AdS/CFT.

The main purpose of this note is to extend this method to fermions (see also [18]). A technical motivation is that, because the Dirac action is of first order, the fermion components contain both coordinates and momenta; thus, it is not

*a priori*

clear how to define the ‘Dirichlet boundary condition’ for fermions (see Section 2 for comparison with scalars). As we will see below, the definition needs the construction of some suitably ‘generalized’ coherent states. A more physical motivation for considering the fermion problem is to understand the origin of the semi-holographic theory of [19] which gives a simple understanding of the models of [20, 21] near the Fermi surface. In [19], it is argued that the UV theory flows to an IR theory which, near the Fermi surface, is described by a dual AdS$_2$ geometry plus a ‘domain wall’ fermion. We will discover both the relevance of the Fermi surface and the origin of this additional fermion in hWRG: (a) the Fermi surface can be precisely characterized by a singular behaviour of the double trace coupling as the hWRG flow is continued to the near horizon AdS$_2$ region, (b) the result of hWRG can be interpreted as a field theory with a lower cut-off with a random source: when the cut-off surface is in the AdS$_2$ region the random source becomes massless and becomes an emergent degree of freedom in the low energy theory, which is to be identified with the ‘domain wall’ fermion of [19].

We describe below the plan of the paper and the basic results:

Section 2 gives a review of hWRG for scalars, including some new material which include explicit RG flow diagrams in pure AdS and in extremal charged black hole (ECBH) backgrounds. The flow diagrams show, respectively, two and four fixed points in these two backgrounds (see Figure 1). The field theory interpretation of these findings is described in Section 7.

In Section 3 we consider free fermions in a general class of asymptotically AdS backgrounds with a $U(1)$ gauge field, which are translationally invariant in the ‘boundary’ directions. As in the case of the bosonic hWRG, the idea is to integrate out the bulk fermions from the boundary down to an intermediate radial distance, yielding an equivalent low energy dual field theory. A crucial difference from the bosonic hWRG is the need to use a basis of states in the bulk Hilbert space which are eigenstates of one half of the fermion components (the ‘coordinates’); we construct such a basis by using ‘generalized’ coherent states which distinguish the ‘appropriate half’ in question. The hWRG gives rise to flows involving products of pairs of single-trace fermionic operators; in contrast with the bosonic example, there are different double trace operators in the fermionic case. We write down the general flow equations for these operators in the general background and a set of simplified flow equations in rotationally symmetric backgrounds.

In Section 4 we provide an exact solution of the hWRG equations in pure AdS backgrounds (with a constant electrostatic potential). As in the scalar case, double trace flows interpolate between two fixed points (with 0 and 1 relevant directions), which, inside the Klebanov-Witten window [24], correspond to two different choices of quantization: standard and alternative (see Figure 3). More details of the field theory interpretation are provided in Section 7.

In Section 5 we compute RG flows in an ECBH background. The ECBH background has two AdS regions: AdS$_{d+1}$ at the boundary and AdS$_2 \times \mathbb{R}^{d-1}$ in the near-horizon region. Developing further on the pure AdS results of the previous section, we first compute RG flows in the two AdS regions. We then complete these flows in the full background numerically. The RG flows feature four fixed points, two each in the two AdS regions. The two fixed points in the asymptotically AdS$_{d+1}$ region both become unstable in the ECBH background (acquiring, respectively, 2 and 1 relevant directions) and flow down to the two fixed points in the interior AdS$_2$ region (which continue to have 0 and 1 relevant directions). We parametrize the flow down from AdS$_{d+1}$ to AdS$_2$ by the flow of a geometric quantity, namely the red-shift factor (as in Section 2); near the AdS$_{d+1}$ boundary, this can be regarded in the dual field theory as the flow of the stress tensor $T_{tt}$, as described further in Section 7. There are parameter ranges in which the two fixed
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2 See Section 7 for a brief qualitative comparison with a matrix field theory with a Wilson-Fisher fixed point; details will appear in [17].
3 See [2] for an elucidation of the semi-holographic bosonic model of [4].
4 Similar states were proposed and constructed in [22, 23].
points in the AdS$_2$ region merge and annihilate, which is reminiscent of [25].

In Section 5 we use the formalism developed above to give a first-principles derivation of the semi-holographic action of Faulkner and Polchinski [19] for the extremal black hole. We show, numerically and analytically, that the double trace coupling, in the process of hWRG flow, develops a pole singularity at zero frequency and Fermi momentum on a cut-off surface in the AdS$_2$ region, leading to a non-local dual field theory. We trace the origin of the non-locality to integration over a fermion present in the theory, which becomes massless on the cut-off surface at the Fermi momentum. The low energy theory, therefore, can be written in a local form, by combining this emergent fermion with the operators of the dual field theory, precisely as in [19]. Remarks to this effect were briefly mentioned earlier in [2] (see also [26]). Effects of double trace deformations to AdS/CMT have been considered in [27][28].

In Section 6 we use the formalism developed above to give a first-principles derivation of the semi-holographic action of Faulkner and Polchinski [19] for the extremal black hole. We show, numerically and analytically, that the double trace coupling, in the process of hWRG flow, develops a pole singularity at zero frequency and Fermi momentum on a cut-off surface in the AdS$_2$ region, leading to a non-local dual field theory. We trace the origin of the non-locality to integration over a fermion present in the theory, which becomes massless on the cut-off surface at the Fermi momentum. The low energy theory, therefore, can be written in a local form, by combining this emergent fermion with the operators of the dual field theory, precisely as in [19]. Remarks to this effect were briefly mentioned earlier in [2] (see also [26]). Effects of double trace deformations to AdS/CMT have been considered in [27][28].

In Section 7 we briefly summarize the various results of the previous sections in field theoretic terms. In particular, we briefly mention a comparison of the bosonic hWRG with a matrix field theory in $4 - \epsilon$ dimensions with a Wilson-Fisher fixed point. We also briefly mention an interpretation of hWRG in terms of a random source, and interpret the emergence of a massless fermionic degree of freedom in terms of a fluctuating fermionic source. We include a comparison of the random source fields with mesons in the Gross-Neveu model [29] and in the Nambu–Jona-Lasinio approach to QCD [30][31].

In Section 8 we conclude with a few open questions. The appendices describe various technical details, including a summary of the construction of the ‘generalized’ coherent states.

Work described in this paper has been presented at various stages of development in various meetings [32]. Fermionic Wilsonian flows in pure AdS have been discussed in [18] using somewhat different methods.

2 Holographic Wilsonian RG: scalars

This section will contain a review of some of the results in [1][2] and a brief account of some new computations (details will appear in [17]). We will consider [1][2] a free bulk scalar $\phi(z, x^\mu)$ in a fixed asymptotically AdS geometry

$$ds^2 = g_{MN}dx^Mdx^N = g_{zz}(z)dz^2 + g_{\mu\nu}(z)dx^\mu dx^\nu.$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

$\phi$ is dual to a single-trace operator $O(x^\mu)$. Wilsonian RG is implemented by (a) considering the gravity partition function (effectively a partition function over $\phi$) as a path integral with a radial Hamiltonian, from a UV cut-off $z = \epsilon_0$ to an IR cut-off $z = \epsilon_{IR}$, and (b) performing the integral over a slice $z \in [\epsilon_0, \epsilon]$:

$$Z_{\text{grav}}(\epsilon_0) := \langle \text{IR}|\hat{U}(\epsilon_{IR}, \epsilon_0)|\text{UV}\rangle = \int D\hat{\phi} |\text{IR}|\hat{U}(\epsilon_{IR}, \epsilon)|\hat{\phi}\rangle \langle \hat{\phi}|\hat{U}(\epsilon, \epsilon_0)|\text{UV}\rangle = \int D\hat{\phi} Z_{\text{grav}}(\epsilon, \epsilon_{0}) e^{S_{\text{UV}}(\hat{\phi}, \epsilon_{0})}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

Here $\hat{U}(\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2) := \text{Pe}^{-\int_{\epsilon_1}^{\epsilon_2} \hat{H}}$ (P denotes radial time ordering) and we will use the parametrization

$$e^{S_{\text{UV}}} \equiv \langle \hat{\phi}|\hat{U}(\epsilon, \epsilon_0)|\text{UV}\rangle := \exp \left[ \int_k \left( -\frac{1}{2} f(k, \epsilon) \hat{\phi}(k)\hat{\phi}(-k) + J(k, \epsilon) \hat{\phi}(k)\hat{\phi}(-k) + C(\epsilon) \right) \right],$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

where $\int_k := \frac{1}{2\pi^2} \int \frac{dk}{(2\pi)^3}$. The wave-functions $|\text{IR}\rangle$ and $|\text{UV}\rangle$ implement the IR and UV boundary conditions. For example, if $|\text{UV}\rangle = |\phi_0\rangle$ we have a Dirichlet b.c. at UV. In that case, the holographic dual to (2) is obtained by replacing $Z_{\text{grav}}$ in (2) with field theory partition functions with sources (assuming that the usual GKP-Witten relation [33][34] holds at finite cut-offs)

$$\left\langle \exp \int_k \phi_0 O \right\rangle_{\epsilon_0}^{\text{std}} = \int D\hat{\phi} \left\langle \exp \int_k \hat{\phi} O \right\rangle_{\epsilon}^{\text{std}} e^{S_{\text{UV}}(\hat{\phi}, \epsilon, \epsilon_0)}$$

$$= \left\langle \exp \left( \int_k \frac{1}{2} g(k, \epsilon) O^2 + \int_k h(k, \epsilon) O + C'(\epsilon) \right) \right\rangle_{\epsilon}^{\text{std}}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)

5 Described by an action $S(\phi) = -\frac{1}{2} \int d^4x d\zeta \sqrt{G} [\partial_M \phi \partial^M \phi + m^2 \phi^2]$
In the last step, we have performed the $\tilde{\phi}$ integration, leading to a double trace coupling $g$ and a single trace coupling $h$, where $g(k) = 1/f(k)$, $h(k) = J(k)/f(k)$. Equation (4) relates the field theory at the UV cut-off $\epsilon_0$ to that at the intermediate cut-off $\epsilon$ (for small $\epsilon_0, \epsilon$ we can roughly imagine $\epsilon_0 \sim \Lambda_0^{-1}$, $\epsilon \sim \Lambda^{-1}$, $\Lambda < \Lambda_0$ [35,36]).  

The symbol $\langle\rangle$ denotes unnormalized correlators which we do not divide by the partition function; the subscript $\epsilon$, or $\epsilon_0$, denotes the field theory cut-off; the superscript $\text{std}$ denotes the fact that a Dirichlet b.c. in the bulk corresponds to standard quantization in the field theory (a Neumann boundary condition, in an appropriate window, corresponds to the so-called alternative quantization; see below [5] for more details; see footnote 6 for more general choices of boundary conditions).

Clearly $e^{S_{\text{std}}}$ satisfies a Schrödinger equation, which, in the semi-classical limit, becomes the Hamilton-Jacobi equation $\partial_t S = -H(\partial S/\partial \phi, \tilde{\phi})$. In terms of the parametrization (3), the Schrödinger (or Hamilton-Jacobi) equation becomes

\[
\sqrt{g^{\alpha\beta}} \partial_{\epsilon} \left( \sqrt{g} f(k, \epsilon) \right) = -f^2(k, \epsilon) + k^\mu k_\mu + m^2, \tag{5}
\]

\[
\sqrt{g^{\alpha\beta}} \partial_{\epsilon} \left( \sqrt{g} J(k, \epsilon) \right) = -J(k, \epsilon) f(k, \epsilon), \quad \sqrt{g^{\alpha\beta}} \partial_{\epsilon} C(\epsilon) = \frac{1}{2} \int_k J(k, \epsilon) J(-k, \epsilon), \tag{6}
\]

where $\gamma$ is the determinant of the induced metric at the cut-off surface. In view of the comments below (4) the above equations are analogous to beta-function equations; we will elaborate more on this in Section 7.

### AdS$_{d+1}$

In this case, (5) becomes (using the notation $' := \epsilon \partial_{\epsilon}$)

\[
\hat{f} = -f^2 + df + d^2 k^2 + m^2. \tag{7}
\]

Now since $\epsilon$ is a cut-off scale (see comments below (4)), the explicit appearance of a cut-off in the above equation appears to spoil an immediate interpretation as a beta-function. To remedy this, we define dimensionless couplings $\hat{f}$ by $f(\epsilon, k) := \hat{f}(\epsilon, \hat{k})$ where $\hat{k} := \epsilon k$ is the dimensionless momentum. Making a formal Taylor expansion $\hat{f} = f_0(\epsilon) + f_1(\epsilon)(\hat{k})^2 + \ldots$ we get the following coupled flow equations

\[
\hat{f}_0 = -f_0^2 + d f_0 + m^2 = -(f_0 - \Delta_+)(f_0 - \Delta_-), \quad \hat{f}_1 = (d - 2 - 2 f_0) f_1 + 1, \ldots
\]

\[
\Delta_\pm = \frac{d}{2} \pm \nu, \quad \nu = \sqrt{\left( \frac{d}{2} \right)^2 + m^2}. \tag{8}
\]

There are clearly two fixed points given by $f_0 = \Delta_\pm$ (all higher modes $f_n$ are uniquely determined in terms of $f_0$). Clearly $f_0 = \Delta_+$ is an attractive, hence IR, fixed point of the double trace flow (consider $\delta f := f - \Delta_+$), while $f_0 = \Delta_-$ is a repulsive, hence UV, fixed point. These correspond, respectively, to the standard and alternative quantizations; the field theory interpretations of these statements are detailed in Section 7 and in Table 1.

| \text{‘standard’ CFT} | \text{‘alternative’ CFT} | \text{nature of } O(x)^2 | \text{nature of fixed point} |
|----------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------------|
| \Delta_+             | \Delta_+               | irrelevant              | IR (attractive)             |
| \Delta_-             | \Delta_-               | relevant                | UV (repulsive)              |

Table 1: Dimensions of operators at the two fixed points. The dimensions can be read off either by a computation of two-point functions, or by using the fact that for an interaction $\int g \mathcal{O}$ with beta-function $\beta := -\dot{g}$, the dimension of the operator $\mathcal{O}$ satisfies $\gamma = \partial \beta / \partial g$ (see Section 7 for more details).

The RG flow in the $f_0 - f_1$ plane (for $d = 3$, $m^2 = -2$) is shown in the left panel of Figure 1.

---

6 Double trace deformations can also be introduced in the bare theory, by choosing the wave-function for UV $\langle \gamma \rangle = \int D\phi_0 \Psi(\phi_0)(\phi_0)$, with $\Psi(\phi_0)$ a Gaussian, like in the RHS of (3). For other formulations of multi-trace deformations in the scalar case, see, for example, [37–40, 2].

7 For $d = 3$, unitarity requires $m^2 \geq -9/4$ (the Breitenloher-Freedman (BF) bound) whereas the Klebanov-Witten window is $-5/4 \geq m^2 \geq -9/4$.  

---
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Figure 1: RG flow for double trace couplings: (a) the left panel shows the case of a scalar field in pure AdS background, with double trace flows connecting two fixed points; (b) the right panel shows the case of the extremal BH background, where double trace flows at the top (AdS) boundary and at the bottom (AdS) boundary are connected by a geometric flow, that of the red-shift factor $H$.

**Extremal Charged BH background** In this case, the metric and the gauge potential are given by (using units where the AdS$_4$ radius is equal to 1)

\[
\begin{align*}
    ds^2 &= \frac{1}{z^2}(H(z) dt^2 + dx_1 dx_1) + \frac{dz^2}{z^2 H(z)}, \\
    A &= \mu \left( 1 - \frac{z}{z_*} \right) dt, \\
    H(z) &= 1 + \frac{d}{d-2} \left( \frac{z}{z_*} \right)^4 - \frac{2(d-1)}{d-2} \left( \frac{z}{z_*} \right)^3 ,
\end{align*}
\]

where $z_*$ is the black hole horizon and $i = 1, \ldots, d-1$. The flow equation (5) for $f$ becomes (writing $k_\mu = (\omega, k_i)$)

\[
\epsilon \partial_\epsilon (f \sqrt{H}) = d f \sqrt{H} - f^2 + \epsilon^2 (k_i k_i + \omega^2 / H) + m^2 .
\]

There are now two explicit sources of cut-off dependence on the RHS. We have learned above how to handle the $\epsilon^2$-term, by introducing a dimensionless coupling $\bar{f}$. How about the explicit dependence of $H$ on $\epsilon$? The answer is that $H(\epsilon)$ simply reflects the presence of a new Wilsonian-‘type’ coupling in the black hole background, whose ‘beta-function’ is independent of the double trace flow.\footnote{The ‘quotes’ reflect the fact that $\delta H(z) \sim \delta g_{tt}$ is actually a normalizable deformation, so $\beta_H$ is to be identified with a flow of the VEV of a stress tensor, rather than with the running of a coupling; see Section 7 for details.} Using these facts, and considering the translationally invariant mode of $f(k, \epsilon)$, which is $f_0(\epsilon)$, we get an RG flow in the $f_0$-$H$ plane as in the right panel of Figure 1. The plot (computed for $d = 3, m^2 = -1.3$) clearly shows four fixed points: two of them at the AdS$_1$ boundary ($H = 1$), with $f_0 = \Delta_{\pm}$; and the remaining two at the AdS$_2$ boundary ($H = 0$), with $f_0 = \delta_{\pm} = (1/2 \pm \nu_2) / R_2$, $\nu_2 = \sqrt{1/4 + m^2 R_2^2}$, $R_2 = 1/6$; where $R_2$ is the value of the effective radius of curvature of the emergent AdS$_2$ in the limit $z \rightarrow z_*$. See Section 7 for a field theory interpretation of this flow diagram.

### 3 Holographic Wilsonian flow equations for Dirac fermions

**Summary of this section** In this section, we will consider a free charged Dirac fermion propagating in an asymptotically AdS$_{d+1}$ spacetime. The holographic dual of this system will be understood to be a large $N$ field theory with a global $U(1)$ current, with a certain charged fermionic single-trace operator $O$ which couples to the bulk fermion $\psi$. The precise nature of the coupling is described in equations (22) and (23). It will be assumed that the geometry
is not affected by the bulk fermion. We will describe how to integrate out the bulk fermion up to an intermediate radial cut-off $z = \epsilon$. As described in the Introduction and in Section 2, this procedure implements a Wilsonian RG in the dual field theory, and induces a flow of double trace operators (see (33) and (34)) whose beta-function is computed in (37) and (39).

**Dirac action** Consider a free Dirac fermion, of charge $q$, in an asymptotically AdS background, with a metric and a $U(1)$ gauge field of the form

$$ ds^2 = g_{MN} dx^M dx^N = g_{zz}(z) dz^2 + g_{\mu\nu}(z) d\sigma^\mu d\sigma^\nu, \quad A_z = 0, \quad A_\mu = A_\mu(z). \quad (12) $$

The Dirac action is given by

$$ S = \int dz d^d x \sqrt{g} \left( \bar{\psi} \Gamma^M D_M \psi - m \bar{\psi} \psi \right). \quad (13) $$

For simplicity, we work with Euclidean signature. The kinetic term in the $z$-direction of the Dirac action can be made into a canonical one,

$$ S = \int dz d^d x \left( \bar{\psi} \left( \frac{1}{2} \partial_z \Phi + \frac{i q}{2} A_z \right) \right), \quad (14) $$

after field rescalings $\Phi := (g g^{zz})^{1/4} \psi$, $\bar{\Phi} := (g g^{zz})^{1/4} \bar{\psi}$.

In order to implement the strategy indicated in (2), we need to compute the radial Hamiltonian and a complete set of field-eigenstates $|\phi\rangle$: rather, it turns out that we need to introduce some kind of coherent states. We will first describe the radial Hamiltonian and the coherent states.

**Radial Hamiltonian** We adopt the following representations of $(d + 1)$-dimensional Dirac matrices,

$$ d = \text{even} \quad \Gamma^z := \gamma^{d+1}, \quad \Gamma^\mu := \gamma^\mu, \quad \chi_\pm := \frac{1 \pm \Gamma^z}{2} \Phi, \quad \bar{\chi}_\pm := \bar{\Phi} \frac{1 \pm \Gamma^z}{2}, \quad (15) $$

where $\gamma^{d+1}$ is the chirality matrix in $d$-dimension.

$$ d = \text{odd} \quad \Gamma^z := \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \Gamma^\mu := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \gamma^\mu \\ \gamma^\mu & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \Phi := \begin{pmatrix} \chi_+ \\ \chi_- \end{pmatrix}, \quad \bar{\Phi} := \begin{pmatrix} \bar{\chi}_+ \\ \bar{\chi}_- \end{pmatrix}, \quad (16) $$

In these conventions, the anticommutation relations and the Hamiltonian are given by

$$ \tilde{H} = \int \frac{d^d k}{(2\pi)^d} \sqrt{g_{zz}} \left[ \hat{\chi}_+ \gamma^\mu K_\mu \hat{\chi}_- + \hat{\chi}_- \gamma^\mu K_\mu \hat{\chi}_+ + m \hat{\chi}_+ \hat{\chi}_- - m \hat{\bar{\chi}}_- \hat{\bar{\chi}}_+ \right], \quad (17) $$

$$ \{ \hat{\chi}_\pm(z, k_\mu), \pm \hat{\chi}_\mp(z, k'_\mu) \} = (2\pi)^d \delta^d(k - k'), \quad (18) $$

where we have moved into the momentum space and $K_\mu := k_\mu - q A_\mu$. We can prove that the above radial Hamiltonian and anticommutation relations indeed reproduce the classical equations of motion through $\kappa^2 \partial_z \hat{O}(z) = [\tilde{H}, \hat{O}(z)]$, as well as the path integral (21), thus constituting a proof of the equations (17) and (18) (see Appendix D).

**Boundary conditions and generalized coherent states** Let us review boundary conditions imposed on Dirac fields at the boundary $z \sim 0$. Since the Dirac action is of first order, the wavefunction is a function of only half of the components of $\psi$ and $\bar{\psi}$. Accordingly, boundary conditions are imposed on only half of the components. In the case $m > 1/2$, the components $\psi_-$ and $\bar{\psi}_+$ become non-normalizable near the boundary (they behave as $\sim z^{d/2-m}$, see

10 See Appendix A for definitions of the covariant derivatives and so on.
11 For a general form of the Dirac action which is applicable to both Lorentzian and Euclidean metrics, see Appendix A.2.
(141) and (143), and thus these components are set to be the boundary sources. The states at the boundary, analogous to \(|\text{UV}\rangle\) in (2), are chosen to be eigenstates of these components, defined by\[^2\]

\[
\hat{\chi}_+^{1} |\chi_+^{1},\chi_- \rangle = \chi_+^{1} |\chi_+^{1},\chi_- \rangle, \quad \hat{\chi}_-^{1} |\chi_+^{1},\chi_- \rangle = \chi_-^{1} |\chi_+^{1},\chi_- \rangle.
\] (19)

We will call such states generalized coherent states (see Appendix B). The above choice of boundary states turns out to correspond to the alternative quantization.

For \(0 < m < 1/2\) it is possible to choose the other components (namely, \(\chi_+, \chi_-\)) as the boundary sources; the corresponding boundary states are the complementary set

\[
\hat{\chi}_+^{2} |\chi_+^{2},\chi_- \rangle = \chi_+^{2} |\chi_+^{2},\chi_- \rangle, \quad \hat{\chi}_-^{2} |\chi_+^{2},\chi_- \rangle = \chi_-^{2} |\chi_+^{2},\chi_- \rangle.
\] (20)

This choice turns out to correspond to the alternative quantization.

**Path integral** Based on the generalized coherent states, we can show that

\[
\langle \chi_+^{1}, \chi_-^{1} | \hat{U}(\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2) | \chi_+^{2}, \chi_-^{2} \rangle = \int [d^4 \chi] e^{-\kappa^2 S[\chi, \overline{\chi}] + S_{\text{bdy}}} \times \langle \chi_+^{1}, \chi_-^{1} | \chi_+^{2}, \chi_-^{2} \rangle, \quad S_{\text{bdy}} := -\int_k \left( \hat{\chi}_-^{1} \hat{\chi}_+^{1} + \hat{\chi}_+^{2} \hat{\chi}_-^{2} \right).
\] (21)

where \(\chi_- := \chi_-(z = \epsilon_1)\) and \(\chi_- := \chi_+(z = \epsilon_2)\), and \(S[\chi, \overline{\chi}]\) is given by (14). The proof is given in Appendix D. Since the derivation is independent of details of the radial Hamiltonian, this result applies both to pure AdS\(_{d+1}\) case and to a general background case. Thus, we can see that the above choice of the boundary state (19) correctly gives the boundary terms for the standard quantization, which was proposed and justified in a semi-classical manner in [41–43].

The AdS/CFT dictionary As mentioned in the beginning of this section, the bulk fermion \(\psi\) is coupled to a certain single trace fermionic operator \(O\) in the field theory, which is charged under a global \(U(1)\) current. We can now state the precise couplings of the various spinorial components using the \(\chi\)-notation for the bulk fermions. These are as follows:

\[
\chi_- \leftrightarrow \overline{O}_-, \quad \chi_+ \leftrightarrow O_+; \quad \chi_+ \leftrightarrow \overline{O}_+, \quad \chi_- \leftrightarrow O_-.
\] (22)

In the standard quantization, AdS/CFT duality reads as [41]

\[
\langle e^{i\int (D_+ \psi_+ + D_- \psi_-)} \rangle^{\text{std}}_{\epsilon} = \langle \text{IR}|\hat{U}(\epsilon_{\text{IR}}, \epsilon)|\overline{\chi}_+^{1}, \chi_-^{1} \rangle,
\] (23)

where, as in [4], we have used \(\langle .. \rangle\) to denote an unnormalized partition function. The subscript \(\epsilon\) denotes the cut-off at which the field theory is defined (here we are identifying the radial cut-off with the field theory cut-off, see comments below [4]). Note that the initial state is chosen as eigenstates of \(\chi_-^{1}, \overline{\chi}_+^{1}\), in keeping with the boundary condition appropriate for the standard quantization, as indicated by the superscript \(\text{std}\).

In the case of the alternative quantization we must choose an initial state which is the eigenstate of the remaining half of the fermion components, giving rise to

\[
\langle e^{i\int (D_+ \psi_+ + D_- \psi_-)} \rangle^{\text{alt}}_{\epsilon} = \langle \text{IR}|\hat{U}(\epsilon_{\text{IR}}, \epsilon)|\chi_+^{2}, \overline{\chi}_-^{2} \rangle.
\] (24)

This dictionary matches with the usual definition of the alternative quantization as a Legendre transformation of the standard quantization, which can be seen from (129) and (131). Both (23) and (24) are ‘bare’ AdS/CFT relations; to define continuum field theories, we need to consider counterterms, as discussed in Section E.2.

\[^2\]A notation rule is that the first (second) column in the state vector corresponds to the \(+(-)\) chirality.

\[^1\]This range of masses defines the Klebanov-Witten window for fermions, where both standard and alternative quantizations are allowed.
Multi-trace deformations  Following the scalar case (see footnote 6), let us consider a transition amplitude with a general initial state \( \langle \text{IR}|\hat{U}(\epsilon_{\text{IR}}, \epsilon)|\text{UV} \rangle \) where \(|\text{UV}\rangle\) is described by a wavefunction

\[
\langle \chi_+, \chi_- | \text{UV} \rangle = \exp \left( W[\chi_+, \chi_-] \right).
\]  

(25)

The AdS/CFT relation in this case, written in standard quantization, can be shown to be\(^{12}\)

\[
\langle \text{IR}|\hat{U}(\epsilon_{\text{IR}}, \epsilon)|\text{UV} \rangle = \left\langle \exp W[-O_+, -\overline{O}_-] \right\rangle_{\text{std}}^{\text{IR}}.
\]  

(26)

To obtain a similar result in terms of alternative quantization, we can expand the wavefunction in the complementary basis by inserting \(^{130}\) or \(^{131}\):

\[
\langle \chi_+, \chi_- | \text{UV} \rangle = \int D\eta_+ D\eta_- \exp \left\{ \int_k (\overline{\chi}_+ \eta_+ + \eta_- \chi_-) + W[\eta_+, \eta_-] \right\} = \exp \left( \hat{W}[\chi_+, \chi_-] \right),
\]  

(27)

and find the following representation for the transition amplitude

\[
\langle \text{IR}|\hat{U}(\epsilon_{\text{IR}}, \epsilon)|\text{UV} \rangle = \left\langle \exp \hat{W}[\chi_+, \chi_-] \right\rangle_{\epsilon}^{\text{alt}}.
\]  

(28)

These results mean that the general initial state gives rise to multi-trace deformations of the standard and the alternative quantizations\(^{14}\) Thus, for example, if we choose \( W[\chi_+, \chi_-] = \int_k \overline{\chi}_- a \chi_+ \),

\[
\langle \text{IR}|\hat{U}(\epsilon_{\text{IR}}, \epsilon)|\text{UV} \rangle = \left\langle \exp \hat{W}[\chi_+, \chi_-] \right\rangle_{\epsilon}^{\text{std}} = \left\langle \exp - \int_k \overline{\chi}_- a^{-1} O_- \right\rangle_{\epsilon}^{\text{alt}}.
\]  

(29)

3.1 Schrödinger equation and RG flow equations

Let us consider a field theory with a bare cut-off \( \epsilon_0 \), defined holographically in the standard quantization, through equations such as \(^{23}\) or \(^{26}\) with \( \epsilon = \epsilon_0 \). As in \(^{2}\) for the scalar case, we slice the bulk path integral into two parts at \( z = \epsilon \):

\[
\langle \text{IR}|\hat{U}(\epsilon_{\text{IR}}, \epsilon_0)|\text{UV} \rangle = \int D^4 \chi \langle \text{IR}|\hat{U}(\epsilon_{\text{IR}}, \epsilon)|\chi_+, \chi_- \rangle \exp \left\{ \int_k (\overline{\chi}_+ \chi + \chi_- \overline{\chi_+}) \right\} \langle \chi_+, \chi_- | \hat{U}(\epsilon, \epsilon_0)|\text{UV} \rangle,
\]  

(30)

where we used a completeness relation \(^{130}\). Using \(^{24}\), the IR amplitudes \( \langle \text{IR}|\ldots \rangle \) on both sides of the above equation can be replaced by field theory quantities at cut-offs \( \epsilon_0 \) and \( \epsilon \) respectively. Making this replacement on the RHS explicit, we get

\[
\langle \text{IR}|\hat{U}(\epsilon_{\text{IR}}, \epsilon_0)|\text{UV} \rangle = \int D^4 \chi \left\langle \exp \int_k (\overline{\chi}_+ O_+ + \overline{\chi}_- \chi_-) \right\rangle_{\epsilon}^{\text{std}} \langle \overline{\chi}_+ \chi | \hat{U}(\epsilon, \epsilon_0)|\text{UV} \rangle.
\]  

(31)

Thus the UV amplitude \( \langle \ldots |\text{UV} \rangle \) on the RHS connects a field theory at a lower cut-off \( \epsilon \) with that at a higher cut-off \( \epsilon_0 \). Since we are considering here a quadratic Hamiltonian, the UV amplitude can be written as an exponential of a quadratic polynomial in \( \chi_+, \chi_- \)

\[
\langle \chi_+, \chi_- | \hat{U}(\epsilon, \epsilon_0)|\text{UV} \rangle \equiv \Psi[\chi_+, \chi_-] := \exp \left\{ - \int_k [\overline{\chi}_- F(\epsilon) \chi_+ + \chi_- J_- (\epsilon) + J_+ (\epsilon) \chi_+ + C(\epsilon)] \right\},
\]  

(32)

Then, applying the technique used in deriving \(^{26}\) to the right hand side of \(^{31}\), we get an important relation

\[
\langle \text{IR}|\hat{U}(\epsilon_{\text{IR}}, \epsilon_0)|\text{UV} \rangle = \left\langle \exp \int_k [-\overline{O}_- F(\epsilon) O_+ + \overline{O}_- J_- (\epsilon) + J_+ (\epsilon) O_+ - C(\epsilon)] \right\rangle_{\epsilon}^{\text{std}}.
\]  

(33)

\(^{14}\)To derive this, we expand \(|\text{UV}\rangle\) in the \(|\chi_+, \chi_-\rangle\) basis, make a temporary replacement \( W[\chi_+, \chi_-] \longrightarrow W[\chi_+, \chi_-] - \int [\overline{J}_+ \chi_+ + \chi_- J_-] \), and bring \( W \) outside the \( \int D^4 \chi \) integral as \( W(-\partial/\partial \overline{\chi}_+, \partial/\partial \chi_-) \). The \( \int D^4 \chi \) integral now converts \( |\chi_+, \chi_-\rangle \rightarrow |\overline{J}_+, J_-\rangle \), so that using \(^{23}\), the \( W \)-term becomes \( \int [-O_+ - \overline{O}_-] \). At this stage, we can put \( J = 0 \) and arrive at \(^{26}\).

\(^{15}\)For other formulations of multi-trace deformations in the fermion case, see \(^{10}\).

\(^{16}\)In this and various other equations, the \( k \)-dependence of the \( \chi \)'s and of the coefficients \( F, J \) are suppressed.
Since the LHS is clearly independent of $\epsilon$, so must be the RHS. This is the statement of RG invariance: the dependence on $\epsilon$ of the coefficients $F(\epsilon), J(\epsilon)$ and $C(\epsilon)$ is such that the field theory on the RHS of the above equation, for any choice of the cut-off $\epsilon$, is independent of $\epsilon$, and is equivalent to the original cut-off theory. In terms of alternative quantization, (33) becomes

$$\langle \text{IR} | \tilde{U}(\epsilon_{\text{IR}}, \epsilon_0) | \text{UV} \rangle = \left\{ \exp \int \frac{k}{k} \left[ \widetilde{O}_+ F(\epsilon)^{-1} O_- - \widetilde{O}_+ F(\epsilon)^{-1} J_-(\epsilon) - \tilde{J}_+(\epsilon) F(\epsilon)^{-1} O_- - C(\epsilon) \right] \right\}^{alt}_{\epsilon}.$$ (34)

To determine the $\epsilon$-dependence of $F, J, C$, note that the wavefunction $\Psi$ in (32) satisfies the Schrödinger equation in the radial time $\epsilon$,

$$-\kappa^2 \partial_r \langle \eta_+, \bar{\eta}_- | \tilde{U}(\epsilon, \epsilon_0) | \text{UV} \rangle = H \left[ \eta_+, \bar{\eta}_-, \frac{\delta}{\delta \eta_+}, \frac{\delta}{\delta \bar{\eta}_-} \right] \langle \eta_+, \bar{\eta}_- | \tilde{U}(\epsilon, \epsilon_0) | \text{UV} \rangle,$$ (35)

where $H \left[ \eta_+, \bar{\eta}_-; \frac{\delta}{\delta \eta_+}, \frac{\delta}{\delta \bar{\eta}_-} \right]$ is defined by applying the following replacements to the Hamiltonian $\tilde{H}$ in (17),

$$\tilde{\chi}_+ \rightarrow \eta_+, \quad \tilde{\chi}_+ \rightarrow \kappa_2 \frac{\delta}{\delta \eta_+}, \quad \tilde{\chi}_- \rightarrow -\kappa_2 \frac{\delta}{\delta \bar{\eta}_-}, \quad \tilde{\chi}_- \rightarrow \bar{\eta}_-, \quad \kappa_2^2 := \kappa^2 (2\pi)^d.$$ (36)

For the derivation of the replacements, see the end of Appendix B. Furthermore, the same replacement laws can be applied to a general Hamiltonian including interactions, as one can see from the derivation.

It is easy to see that the Schrödinger equation (35) with the Hamiltonian (17) is satisfied if and only if the coefficients $(F, \tilde{J}_+, J_-, C)$ in the UV amplitude (32) satisfy the following flow equations,

$$\sqrt{g^{zz}} \partial_z F = F(i\gamma^\mu K_\mu) F + i\gamma^\mu K_\mu - 2m F,$$

$$\sqrt{g^{zz}} \partial_z J_+ = F(i\gamma^\mu K_\mu) J_- - m J_-, \quad \sqrt{g^{zz}} \partial_z J_+ = \tilde{J}_+(i\gamma^\mu K_\mu) F - m J_+,$$

$$\sqrt{g^{zz}} \partial_z C = \tilde{J}_+(i\gamma^\mu K_\mu) J_- + \kappa_2^2 \text{tr}(i\gamma^\mu K_\mu F) \delta^d(k = 0),$$ (37)

where the trace is taken over spinor indices. Note that the first three equations are classical. One can construct the solutions of the flow equations from classical solutions $\Phi_\pm, \bar{\Phi}_\pm$ of the equations of motion\footnote{Note that (neglecting momentum and spin indices) the replacements give a two-dimensional representation of the operator algebra, while the Fock space basis gives a four-dimensional representation. The former representation is related to a representation defined in (33), whereas the latter is similar to that defined in (32).} as follows:

$$F = \Phi_-(\Phi_+)^{-1} = (\bar{\Phi}_-)^{-1} \bar{\Phi}_+, \quad J_- = (\bar{\Phi}_-)^{-1} \bar{\Phi}_+, \quad J_+ = \bar{J}_+ (\Phi_+)^{-1},$$ (38)

where $j_-, j_+$ are spinors independent of $\epsilon$.

### 3.1.1 Rotationally symmetric case

In the case where the background is rotationally symmetric in the $d - 1$ spatial boundary directions, the flow equations simplify considerably after using a special choice of the Dirac matrices\footnote{Namely, $\Gamma^a \partial_a \Phi + \sqrt{g^{zz}} (\Gamma^a \partial_a - iq\Gamma^a A_\mu) \Phi - m \bar{\Phi} \Phi = 0$.}. Details are given in Appendix C. If the wave-functional $\Psi$ in (32) is parametrized by (149), then the Schrödinger equation (148) yields the following flow equations

$$\sqrt{g^{zz}} \partial_z F_a = -F_a T_a F_a - 2m F_a + T_a^+, \quad \sqrt{g^{zz}} \partial_z J_a^+ = -\tilde{J}_a^+ T_a^- F_a - m J_a^+,$$

$$\sqrt{g^{zz}} \partial_z J_a^- = -F_a T_a^- J_a^- - m J_a^-,$$

$$\sqrt{g^{zz}} \partial_z C_a = -\tilde{J}_a^+ T_a^- J_a^- - \kappa_2^2 T_a^- F_a \delta^d(0),$$ (39)

\footnote{In this case we use Lorentzian signature.}

\footnote{Note that the special choice of the Dirac matrices in Appendix C has different structure from the representation (15), (16). The difference arises from the projection operators $\Pi_a$.}
where
\[ T_\alpha^\pm := \pm \sqrt{-g^{tt}} (\omega + q A_t) - (-)^\alpha \sqrt{g^{11}} k. \] (40)

Just like (38), one can construct the solutions to the flow equations from solutions of the classical equations of motion (138). Let \( \Phi_\alpha^\pm, \overline{\Phi}_\alpha^\pm \) be the classical solutions of (139). Then, the solutions of the flow equations are given by
\[ F_\alpha = \Phi_\alpha^-(\Phi_\alpha^+)^{-1} = (\overline{\Phi}_\alpha^-)^{-1}\overline{\Phi}_\alpha^+, \quad J_\alpha^- = (\overline{\Phi}_\alpha^-)^{-1}j_\alpha^-, \quad J_\alpha^+ = J_\alpha^-(\Phi_\alpha^+)^{-1}. \] (41)

3.2 Flow equations in a twisted basis

For later use, let us define the “twisted” operators as follows
\[ \hat{\chi}_+^\pm := a\hat{\chi}_+ + b\hat{\chi}_-, \quad \hat{\chi}_-^\pm := c\hat{\chi}_+ + d\hat{\chi}_-, \quad \hat{\chi}_+^\pm := d\hat{\chi}_+ + e\hat{\chi}_-, \quad \hat{\chi}_-^\pm := b\hat{\chi}_+ + a\hat{\chi}_-, \] (42)
with \( ad - bc = 1 \). One can easily show that these twisted operators also satisfy the same anti-commutation relations \( \{ \hat{\chi}_+^\pm, \hat{\chi}_-^\pm \} = \pm 1 \). Thus, one can construct generalized coherent states in terms of the twisted operators, which are related to an untwisted state (19) as follows:
\[ \langle \eta_+^\pm, \eta_-^\pm | \hat{\chi}_+^\pm, \hat{\chi}_-^\pm \rangle = a \exp \left\{ \int_k \left( -\frac{1}{a} \hat{\eta}_+^\pm \hat{\eta}_-^\pm - \frac{b}{a} \hat{\eta}_-^\pm \hat{\chi}_- - \frac{c}{a} \hat{\eta}_+^\pm \hat{\chi}_+ - \frac{d}{a} \hat{\eta}_+^\pm \hat{\chi}_- - \frac{e}{a} \hat{\eta}_-^\pm \hat{\chi}_+ - \frac{f}{a} \hat{\eta}_-^\pm \hat{\chi}_- \right) \right\}. \] (43)

Note that since the twisting does not involve the annihilation and the creation operators, the Fock vacuum \( |0, 0\rangle \) (see Appendix B) is invariant under the twist. Let us parametrize the wave-functional (32) in terms of the twisted basis, as follows
\[ \Psi[\hat{\chi}_+^\pm, \hat{\chi}_-^\pm] := \langle \chi_+^\pm, \chi_-^\pm | \hat{U}(\epsilon, \alpha_0)|\text{UV} \rangle := \exp \left\{ - \int_k \left[ \hat{\chi}_-^\pm F^\pm \hat{\chi}_+^\pm + \hat{\chi}_+^\pm J^\pm_+ + \hat{\chi}_-^\pm J^\pm_- + C^\pm \right] \right\}. \] (44)

Using the inner product (43), the relation
\[ \Psi[\hat{\chi}_+^\pm, \hat{\chi}_-^\pm] = \int D^k \chi \langle \chi_+^\pm, \chi_-^\pm | \overline{\eta}_+^\pm, \overline{\eta}_-^\pm \rangle e^{\int_k (\overline{\eta}_+^\pm \eta_+^\pm + \overline{\eta}_-^\pm \eta_-^\pm)} \Psi[\eta_+^\pm, \eta_-^\pm], \] (45)
and performing the integration over \( \chi \), we obtain the following relations
\[ F^\pm = (c + dF)(a + bF)^{-1}, \quad J^\pm_+ = J_+(a + bF)^{-1}, \quad J^\pm_- = (a + bF)^{-1}J_- . \] (46)

The flow equation for \( F^\pm \), by using (35), takes the form
\[ \sqrt{g^{tt}} \partial_t F^\pm = F^\pm \left( i\gamma^\mu K_\mu (a^2 + b^2) + 2ma \right) F^\pm - (ac + bd)(F^\pm i\gamma^\mu K_\mu + i\gamma^\mu K_\mu F^\pm) \\
- 2m(ad + bc)F^\pm + i\gamma^\mu K_\mu (c^2 + d^2) + 2mc d . \] (47)

A particularly convenient choice is given by \( a = b = -c = d = 1/\sqrt{2} \), for which we obtain
\[ \sqrt{g^{tt}} \partial_t F^\pm = F^\pm \left( i\gamma^\mu K_\mu + m \right) F^\pm + i\gamma^\mu K_\mu - m . \] (48)

In this basis, the standard and alternative fixed points of AdS\(_{d+1}\), which correspond to \( F = 0 \) and \( F = \infty \), respectively (see Section 4), are at \( F^\pm = \pm 1 \). A special case of Equation (48), for pure AdS geometries and for the homogeneous mode \( (k_\mu = 0) \), is in agreement with the corresponding flow equation in [18].

Application of the twisting to the rotationally symmetric case is straightforward.
4 Fermionic flows in AdS_{d+1}

Exact solution In AdS_{d+1} with a constant electric field A_t = \mu, the flow equation (37) for F becomes
\[ \epsilon \partial_\epsilon F = F \epsilon \left( i \gamma^\mu K_\mu \right) - 2mF + \epsilon \left( i \gamma^\mu K_\mu \right), \] (49)
an exact solution of which is given by
\[ F = i \gamma^\mu K_\mu \frac{I_{\nu+}(\epsilon K) + \chi(k_\mu)I_{\nu-}(\epsilon K)}{K I_{\nu-}(\epsilon K) + \chi(k_\mu)I_{\nu+}(\epsilon K)} \] (50)
where \( \chi(k_\mu) \) is an integration constant, and \( \nu_{\pm} := m \pm \frac{1}{2} \).

Flows from the alternative to standard fixed point In order to visualize the flow, let us now mimic the discussion in the bosonic case and make a derivative expansion of the double-trace coupling.

From (33), we see that in standard quantization F appears in the effective action as \( \mathcal{O}_- F \mathcal{O}_+ \). Viewing F as a function of \( \epsilon \) and \( \bar{K}_\mu = \epsilon K_\mu \), the flow equation (49) becomes
\[ \epsilon \partial_\epsilon F = F \left( i \gamma^\mu \bar{K}_\mu \right) - \left( 2m + \bar{K}_\mu \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{K}_\mu} \right) F + i \gamma^\mu \bar{K}_\mu. \] (51)
Expanding F as
\[ F(\epsilon, \bar{K}_\mu) = f_0(\epsilon) + i \gamma^\mu \bar{K}_\mu f_1(\epsilon) + \bar{K}^2 f_2(\epsilon) + \ldots, \] (52)
leads to the flow equations

\[ \epsilon \partial_\epsilon f_0 = -2mf_0, \]
\[ \epsilon \partial_\epsilon f_1 = f_0^2 - (1 + 2m)f_1 + 1, \]
\[ \ldots \]
from which it is clear that there is a fixed point at \((f_0, f_1) = (0, 1/(2m + 1))\). From the eigenvalues of the flow, the fixed point is attractive in the IR; in Section 7.2 we show that the CFT defined by it indeed corresponds to standard quantization.

In alternative quantization, the double trace term in the effective action is (cf. (34)) is \( \mathcal{O}_+ F^{-1} \mathcal{O}_- \). Expanding the coupling \( F^{-1} \) as
\[ F(\epsilon, \bar{K}_\mu)^{-1} = g_0(\epsilon) + i \gamma^\mu \bar{K}_\mu g_1(\epsilon) + \bar{K}^2 g_2(\epsilon) + \ldots, \] (54)
and following the above discussion, we then obtain the flow equations
\[ \epsilon \partial_\epsilon g_0 = 2mg_0, \]
\[ \epsilon \partial_\epsilon g_1 = -g_0^2 - (1 - 2m)g_1 - 1, \]
\[ \ldots \]
with a fixed point at \((g_0, g_1) = (0, 1/(2m - 1))\). From the eigenvalues of the flow, the fixed point is clearly repulsive; in Section 7.2 we show that this UV fixed point indeed corresponds to alternative quantization.

---

21 In essence, this focuses the attention to a particular class of flows which can be obtained from (50) by choosing the integration constant \( \chi(k_\mu) \) such that only integral powers of \( \gamma^\mu K_\mu \) are present in the expansion.

22 In (63) we consider Lorentz invariant double trace deformations; deformations and fixed points which are Lorentz non-invariant are considered at the end of this section (see (63)).

23 Generically, \( g_0 = f_0^{-1} \), \( g_1 = -f_1 f_0^{-2} \), and so on.
Figure 2: Flows of $\tilde{f}_0$ and $\tilde{f}_1$ in AdS$_{d+1}$ as a function of $r = -\log z$ for $m = 0.2$. The UV is located at $r = \infty$ and the IR at $r = -\infty$. In the left panel, different curves correspond to different values of $r_0$ in (60). In the right panel, $r_0$ has been chosen to be 1 and different curves correspond to different values of $C$.

In order to be able to see flows from the alternative to the standard fixed point, it is practical to make the change of variables (corresponding to a specific choice (48) of the twisted basis (42))

$$\tilde{F} = \frac{F - 1}{F + 1},$$

after which the flow equation becomes

$$\epsilon\partial_\epsilon \tilde{F} = \tilde{F} \left( i\gamma^\mu \bar{K}_\mu + m \right) \tilde{F} - \bar{K}_\mu \frac{\partial \tilde{F}}{\partial K_\mu} + i\gamma^\mu \bar{K}_\mu - m. \quad (57)$$

Expanding $\tilde{F}$ as

$$\tilde{F}(\epsilon, \bar{K}_\mu) = \tilde{f}_0(\epsilon) + i\gamma^\mu \bar{K}_\mu \tilde{f}_1(\epsilon) + \bar{K}^2 \tilde{f}_2(\epsilon) + \ldots,$$

we obtain the flow equations

$$\epsilon\partial_\epsilon \tilde{f}_0 = m \left( \tilde{f}_0^2 - 1 \right),$$

$$\epsilon\partial_\epsilon \tilde{f}_1 = \tilde{f}_0^2 + \left( 2m \tilde{f}_0 - 1 \right) \tilde{f}_1 + 1,$$

$$\ldots,$$

whose fixed points are at $(\tilde{f}_0, \tilde{f}_1) = (\pm 1, 2/(1 \mp 2m))$ with minus and plus signs corresponding to standard and alternative quantizations, respectively. These equations can be solved exactly ($r := -\log z$):

$$\tilde{f}_0 = \tanh(m(r - r_0));$$

$$\tilde{f}_1 = \frac{Ce^{-r_0}}{4 \cosh^2(m(r - r_0))} + \frac{\tanh^2(m(r - r_0)) + 4m \tanh(m(r - r_0)) + 1}{1 - 4m^2}, \quad (60)$$

where $r_0$ and $C$ are integration constants. In Figure 2 we show typical flows of $\tilde{f}_0$ and $\tilde{f}_1$, while Figure 3 shows the flow in the $\tilde{f}_0$-$\tilde{f}_1$ plane. As can be seen, the flows are from the alternative fixed point in the UV to the standard fixed point in the IR.

$k^{-1}$ mode

If one expands the exact solution (50) for $F$ directly, the expansion generically starts at the order $k^{-1}$, in the sense that it has the form

$$F(\epsilon, \bar{K}_\mu) = \left( i\gamma^\mu \bar{K}_\mu \right)^{-1} f_{-1}(\epsilon) + f_0(\epsilon) + i\gamma^\mu \bar{K}_\mu f_1(\epsilon) + \bar{K}^2 f_2(\epsilon) + \ldots \quad (61)$$
Starting out with one of these components, we might wonder whether these flows, which arise naturally from considering the evolution of the wavefunctional, return to standard quantization, where Lorentz symmetry is restored. Similar flows from Lorentz non-invariant fixed points to Lorentz invariant fixed points occur in discussions involving Lifshitz theories, e.g., in [44] in the context of gravity, and in [45,46] in the context of field theory.

The introduction of a non-local operator like $k^{-1}\mathcal{O}_- O_+$ near a fixed point is problematic. Fortunately, it is consistent to turn this operator off — it would only start flowing if the theory was perturbed by it initially. This can be seen directly from the resulting flow equations

$$
eq 0$$

Nevertheless, one might wonder whether these flows, which arise naturally from considering the evolution of the wavefunctional $\Psi$ in the bulk, have an interpretation in (a local) field theory. The double-trace deformation $k^{-1}O_- O_+$ can be viewed as arising from integrating out an emergent fermion (see Section 5). The possibility remains to refrain from doing such an integration and study the ‘bigger’ field theory, obtained by coupling the original one (corresponding to standard quantization) to the emergent fermion. This approach will be described in more detail in Section 6.

In addition to the standard and alternative fixed points, at $(f_{-1}, f_0) = (0, 0)$ and $(f_{-1}, f_0) = (0, \infty)$, the flow equations (62) have two other fixed points at $(f_{-1}, f_0) = (2m - 1, 0)$ and $(f_{-1}, f_0) = (2m - 1, \infty)$. These two new fixed points are only there because we have coupled the original theory to an emergent fermion. We can visualize flows between these fixed points by making the change of variable $\alpha_0 = (f_0 - 1)/(f_0 + 1)$. As can be seen from Figure 4, outside the Klebanov-Witten window, i.e. for $m > 1/2$, the two new fixed points are unstable, so that all flows end up at the standard fixed point. However, for $0 \leq m \leq 1/2$, one of the new fixed points becomes IR stable. At this IR fixed point, the coupling between the emergent fermion and the standard quantization sector is non-zero.

**Non-relativistic deformations** For simplicity, let us focus on $d = 3$. Consider (49) and make the ansatz $F = i\gamma^\mu F_\mu + f$. Concentrating on the homogeneous modes (i.e. putting $k = \omega = 0$), we find

$$
\epsilon \partial_\mu F_\mu = -2m F_\mu, \quad \epsilon \partial_\mu f = -2mf.
$$

Non-zero $F_\mu$ violates Lorentz invariance. We see that there are new fixed points given by $F_\mu = 0$ or $\infty$ for various $\mu$. Starting out with one of these components $F_\mu$ equal to infinity in the UV, i.e. at a Lorentz-violating fixed point, one however always ends up flowing to the usual IR fixed point given by standard quantization, where Lorentz symmetry is restored. Similar flows from Lorentz non-invariant fixed points to Lorentz invariant fixed points occur in discussions involving Lifshitz theories, e.g., in [44] in the context of gravity, and in [45,46] in the context of field theory.
Figure 4: RG flows in the $a_0$-$f_{-1}$ plane for $\text{AdS}_{d+1}$ and $m = 0.2$ (left panel) and $m = 0.8$ (right panel).

Figure 5: Flows of $b_0$ and $b_1$ in the near horizon limit as a function of $r = -\log \zeta$ for $m = 1$, $k = 1$, $q_{e_d} = 0.5$, and $z_s = 1$, making $\nu_k = 0.3$. The UV of the $\text{AdS}_2$ region is at $r = \infty$, while its IR is at $r = -\infty$.

5 Fermionic flows in the extremal charged black hole background

In this section, we will study flows in the extremal charged black hole background given by (9). Since this background is rotationally symmetric, it is practical to use the form of the flow equation (39) given in Section 3.1.1, which was obtained by making a convenient choice of Dirac matrices. Let us concentrate on the case $\alpha = 1$ in the following omitting the $\alpha$-index of $F_{\alpha}$. We have that

$$\sqrt{H} \epsilon \partial_\epsilon F = \left( \frac{\epsilon (\omega + q A_t)}{\sqrt{H}} - \epsilon k \right) F^2 - 2mF + \frac{\epsilon (\omega + q A_t)}{\sqrt{H}} + \epsilon k. \quad (64)$$

In the far UV, the ECBH background is given by $\text{AdS}_{d+1}$ with a constant electric field, which is the case we studied in the last section. Let us start by analyzing the flow equation for $F$ in the near horizon limit.

5.1 Near horizon limit

The background (9) has an emergent $\text{AdS}_2 \times \mathbb{R}^{d-1}$ geometry in the near horizon limit. To see this (21), define new coordinates $\zeta$ and $\tau$ through $(R_2 = 1/\sqrt{d(d-1)})$

$$z - z_s = -\frac{\lambda R^2 z_s^2}{\zeta}, \quad t = \lambda^{-1} \tau, \quad \lambda \ll 1, \quad (65)$$

The flow equation for $F_2$ is the same after making the switch $k \to -k$. 

---

24The flow equation for $F_2$ is the same after making the switch $k \to -k$. 

---
so that near the horizon

\[ ds^2 = \frac{R_0^2}{\zeta^2} (d\zeta^2 - d\tau^2) + \frac{1}{z_*^2} dx_*^2, \]

\[ A_\tau = \lambda^{-1} A_t = \frac{R_0^2 \mu z_*}{\zeta} =: \frac{e_d}{\zeta}. \]  

Furthermore, in this limit we will hold \( \Omega := \lambda^{-1} \omega \) fixed, thereby focusing on low frequencies \( \omega \).

In this limit, the flow equation for \( F \) becomes

\[ \zeta \partial_\zeta F = (\zeta \Omega + qe_d - R_2 z_* k) F^2 - 2R_2 m F + \zeta \Omega + qe_d + R_2 z_* k. \]  

Consider the case \( \Omega = 0 \). Then the solution is given by \( (r = -\log \zeta) \)

\[ F = \frac{R_2 m + \nu_k \tanh (\nu_k (r - r_0))}{qe_d - R_2 z_* k}, \]  

where, for real \( \nu_k \), \( r_0 \) is an integration constant that sets the scale of where the kink is (see Figure 5). This solution is a good approximation as long as \( \zeta \Omega \ll 1 \). This means that even for small \( \Omega \), deviations will eventually be seen in the deep IR. For imaginary \( \nu_k \), the solution becomes oscillatory, signalling that we are inside what is referred to as the oscillatory region \[20\].

Viewing \( F \) as a function of \( \zeta, k, \) and \( \bar{\Omega} = \zeta \Omega \), we have that

\[ \zeta \partial_\zeta F = (\bar{\Omega} + qe_d - R_2 z_* k) F^2 - 2R_2 m + \bar{\Omega} \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{\Omega}} F + \bar{\Omega} + qe_d + R_2 z_* k. \]  

Expanding

\[ F(\zeta, \bar{\Omega}, k) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n(\zeta, k) \bar{\Omega}^n, \]  

we obtain

\[ \zeta \partial_\zeta b_0 = (qe_d - R_2 z_* k) b_0^2 - 2R_2 m b_0 + qe_d + R_2 z_* k, \]

\[ \zeta \partial_\zeta b_1 = 2 (qe_d - R_2 z_* k) b_0 b_1 + b_1^2 - (1 + 2R_2 m) b_1 + 1, \]  

\[ \ldots \]

Example of flows for \( b_0 \) and \( b_1 \) are shown in Figure 5.

**Merger and disappearance of fixed points** Figure 6 shows flow diagrams in the \( b_0 - b_1 \) plane. There are two fixed points, given by \( b_0 = \frac{R_2 m + \nu_k \tanh (\nu_k (r - r_0))}{qe_d - R_2 z_* k} \). As one approaches the oscillatory region, where \( \nu_k \) becomes imaginary, the two fixed points approach each other until they merge and disappear (become imaginary). Such a merger of fixed points is reminiscent of similar discussions in \[25\].

### 5.2 Flows in the full ECBH background

Let us now study flows in the full ECBH background, i.e connecting the two asymptotic regions studied in the previous subsections. After changing variables to \( \bar{F} \) (see (56))

\[ \bar{F} = \frac{F - 1}{F + 1}, \]  

the flow equation (64) becomes

\[ \sqrt{H} \epsilon \partial_\tau \bar{F} = \left( m + \frac{\epsilon (\omega + qA_\tau)}{\sqrt{H}} \right) \bar{F}^2 - 2\epsilon k \bar{F} - m + \frac{\epsilon (\omega + qA_\tau)}{\sqrt{H}}. \]  

(73)
Figure 6: The left panel shows the RG flow in the $b_0 - b_1$-plane for the near horizon limit, and $d = 3$, $m = 0.4$, $k = 0.1$, $q e_d = 1/6$, $z_\ast = 1$, making $\nu_k = 0.02$. The right panel shows the same, but for $m = 0.395$ (all other parameters unchanged), making $\nu_k = 0.01i$ imaginary.

Figure 7: RG flows in the ECBH background and $d = 3$, $m = 1$, $k = 0$, $\omega = 0$. The left panel shows $\tilde{F}$ as a function of $r = \log (z_\ast^{-1} - z^{-1} - z_\ast^{-1})$ (so that the UV is at $r = \infty$ while the horizon is at $r = -\infty$) for $q \mu = -2$, $z_\ast = 1$. The right panel shows the RG flow in the $\tilde{F}$-$H$ plane for $q = 0$; as in the bosonic case (Figure 1), there are two fixed points in the AdS$_4$ region ($H \sim 1$) and two fixed points in the AdS$_2$ region ($H \sim 0$).

The left panel of Figure 7 shows a solution to this equation, which starts by flowing from the alternative to the standard fixed point of the AdS$_4$ region, and then finally reaches the standard fixed point of the AdS$_2$ near horizon region. The right panel of the same figure shows flows in the $\tilde{F}$-$H$ plane obtained for $q = 0$, and $k = \omega = 0$ (thereby focusing on the homogeneous mode), the fixed points of which are at $(\tilde{F}, H) = (\pm 1, 1)$ and $(\tilde{F}, H) = (\pm 1, 0)$. The flow of $H$ is interpreted, as in Section 2 (see Section 7 for further details).

6 Emergent fermions and semi-holography

The semi-holographic approach and goal of this section The main goal of this section is to understand the semi-holographic approach to fermionic field theories [19] from the Wilsonian perspective followed in this paper. In this approach, one considers a weakly coupled fermionic field $\chi_{\ast a}$ coupled to fermionic fields $\Psi_{\ast a}$ belonging to a strongly interacting sector

$$ S = S_{\text{strong}} + \int dt \int d^4k \left[ \chi_{\ast a} \chi_{\ast a} + \mu q \frac{1}{2} \chi_{\ast a} \chi_{\ast a} + g_k \chi_{\ast a} \chi_{\ast a} \Psi_{\ast a} \Psi_{\ast a} + g_{\Psi} \Psi_{\ast a} \Psi_{\ast a} \right].$$

25 The label $a$ is a Dirac spinor index; the notation $\Psi$ for the field theory operator should not be confused with the wavefunction $\Psi$, e.g., (77).

26 $e(k)$ in (74) represents the single particle energy of the field $\chi_{\ast a}$.
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where $\chi_a$ is described by standard field theory methods, the strongly coupled field $\Psi_a$ is described holographically using AdS/CFT. In particular, by usual field theory arguments one can derive \[ G_g(\omega, k) = \frac{1}{G_0(\omega, k)^{-1} - |g_k|^2 G_0(\omega, k)}; \] (75)

where $G$ and $G_0$ are the Feynman propagators for the $\chi$ and the $\Psi$ fields, respectively. The subscript $0$ indicates the decoupled limit where $g_k = 0$; $G_g$ denotes the $\chi$ propagator in presence of the coupling. The two-point function $G_0(k)$ from the strongly coupled sector is derived holographically using an AdS dual. The influence of the strong sector can clearly have interesting consequences for Equation (75). An example is the non-Fermi-liquid behaviour described in [21].

In [19] a qualitative origin of the fields $\chi$ and $\Psi$ is described in the context of the charged AdS black hole [9] discussed in this paper. In particular, $\Psi$ are operators in the field theory dual to the near-horizon AdS$_2 \times \mathbb{R}^2$ region, whereas $\chi$ is supposed to be a ‘domain wall fermion’ near the AdS$_2$ boundary. In what follows, we will describe the precise origin of the field $\chi$, from the Wilsonian RG perspective, as an emergent fermion. A hint of such an approach appeared earlier in [2].

### 6.1 Appearance of massless fermions and derivation of semi-holography

We start by applying (30) to the charged black hole background [9], which has an emergent near-horizon AdS$_2 \times \mathbb{R}^d$ region. We put an intermediate cut-off $\epsilon$ at the AdS$_2$ boundary. In other words, we split the bulk transition amplitude from the black hole horizon to the AdS$_{d+1}$ boundary into two parts: (a) from the horizon to the AdS$_2$ boundary, and (b) from the AdS$_2$ boundary to the AdS$_{d+1}$ boundary. We rewrite (30) as

\[
\langle \text{IR} | \hat{U}(\epsilon_{1R}, \epsilon_0) | \text{UV} \rangle = \int D^4 \tilde{\chi} \langle \text{IR} | \hat{U}(\epsilon_{1R}, \epsilon) | \tilde{\chi} \rangle_+ \tilde{\chi} - \tilde{\chi} - \Psi[\tilde{\chi} +, \tilde{\chi} -],
\] (76)

\[
\Psi[\tilde{\chi} +, \tilde{\chi} -] = \langle \tilde{\chi} +, \tilde{\chi} - | \hat{U}(\epsilon, \epsilon_0) | \text{UV} \rangle,
\] (77)

where we have added a ‘tilde’ to $\chi$ to indicate the possibility of a different basis of coherent states at the intermediate cut-off (we will see below in (103) that such a change of basis is induced when we enter the AdS$_2$ region). Note that $\Psi[\tilde{\chi} +, \tilde{\chi} -]$ does not have to be a transition amplitude; it is enough to assume that a Gaussian wave-functional is given at the cut-off surface $\epsilon$. Later, we adopt this viewpoint, regarding the AdS$_2$ boundary as the cut-off surface.

By using the quadratic ansatz \[ 32 \] for the waveansatz $\Psi$,

\[
\Psi[\tilde{\chi} +, \tilde{\chi} -] := \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{\kappa^2} \int_k \tilde{\chi} - (k) \tilde{F}(k, \epsilon) \tilde{\chi} + (k) + \tilde{\chi} - (k) \tilde{J} - (k, \epsilon) + \tilde{J} + (k, \epsilon) \tilde{\chi} + (k) + \tilde{C}(\epsilon) \right\},
\] (78)

and performing the $\int D^4 \tilde{\chi}$ integral, we arrive, as in (33), at the following field theory at the intermediate cut-off

\[
\langle \exp \int_k \left[ -\tilde{\partial} - (k) \tilde{F}(k, \epsilon) O_+ (k) + \tilde{J} - (k, \epsilon) O_+ (k) + \tilde{\partial} (k) \tilde{J} - (k, \epsilon) \right] \rangle_{\epsilon}^{\text{std}}.
\] (79)

Now, suppose after solving the flow equations for $\tilde{F}$ up to $z = \epsilon$, we find that $\tilde{F}(k, \epsilon)$ develops a single pole at some $k_\mu = k_\mu^{27}$

\[
\tilde{F}(k, \epsilon) \sim \frac{1}{c_\mu (k_\mu - k_\mu^{27})}. \quad (80)
\]

---

27 Let us see the structure of $c_\mu$. Here let us adopt the representation \[ \gamma_i \] or \[ \gamma_\mu \] of the Dirac matrices, which is different from the representation in the next subsection. From the equation of motion, we find $K^\mu K_\mu \Phi = -i \gamma^\mu K_\mu (\pm \partial_\mu - m \sqrt{g_{zz}^+}) \Phi_\pm$. Since we can show that $\Phi_-(\Phi_+)^{-1}$ satisfies the flow equation of $F$, $F$ is proportional to $\gamma^\mu K_\mu$ and thus $c_\mu (k_\mu - k_\mu^{27}) \mu$ can be expressed as $A_\mu \gamma^\mu$. Now, since $A_\mu$ is a linear function of $k - k_\mu$, $A_\mu$ can be rewritten as $A_\mu = A_{\mu \nu} (k - k_\mu)_\nu$ with some tensor $A_{\mu \nu}$. Using the rotational symmetry in the spatial directions, we find $A_{1i} = 0$, $A_{ij} = B \delta_{ij}$. We thus find $c_1 = B t i \gamma^i$, $c_1 = B \gamma^i$. 
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We will see below that this indeed happens in the case of the extremal charged black hole background, where \( k_{s \mu} \) in (80) is given by \( k_0 = \omega = 0 \) and \( \vec{k}_s = k_F \vec{\hat{k}}/|\vec{\hat{k}}| \), with \( k_F \) the Fermi momentum, and \( \epsilon \) represents the AdS\(_2\) boundary. Substituting the above form of \( \tilde{F} \) into the IR generating functional (79), we find the following non-local expression,

\[
\left\langle \exp \left( \int_k -\overline{\mathcal{O}_-} \frac{a_1}{c_\mu (k - k_s)_\mu} O_+ + \cdots \right) \right\rangle^\text{std}_\epsilon.
\]

where \( \cdots \) denotes terms regular in \((k - k_s)_\mu\). The appearance of such non-local operators is a typical signal of appearance of massless modes in the system which we have somehow integrated out. To figure out what might have happened, recall that (79) was arrived at by performing the integral \( \int D\chi \) in (76). Suppose, instead, we leave the integration over \( \overline{\chi}_+ , \overline{\chi}_- \) undone; in that case the field theory correlator (79) is replaced by

\[
\left\langle \exp \left( \int_k \overline{\chi}_+ O_+ + \overline{\mathcal{O}_-} \chi_- \right) \right\rangle^\text{std}_\epsilon \exp \int_k \overline{\chi}_+ \tilde{F}^{-1} \chi_- - \overline{\mathcal{O}_-} F^{-1} \chi_- - \overline{\chi}_+ F^{-1} \chi_- \right\rangle.
\]

(82)

Note that \( \overline{\chi}_+ , \overline{\chi}_- \) in (76), which do not couple to \( O_+ , \overline{\mathcal{O}_-} \), have been integrated out. Writing \( \left\langle \ldots \right\rangle^\text{std}_\epsilon := \int D M |_{\chi} [e^S[M,\epsilon]] \), where \( M \) is understood to be some large \( N \) matrix field with action \( S[M] \), (82) can be rewritten as

\[
\int D M \int D \overline{\chi}_+ D \overline{\chi}_- e^{S_{\text{total}}[\epsilon]},
\]

(83)

where we defined modified the new composite fields

\[
O_+ := O_+ - \tilde{F}(\epsilon)^{-1} \tilde{J}_-(\epsilon), \quad \overline{\mathcal{O}_-} := \overline{\mathcal{O}_-} - \overline{\mathcal{J}_+}(\epsilon) \tilde{F}(\epsilon)^{-1}.
\]

(84)

Thus, \( S_{\text{total}} \) is the new action at the AdS\(_2\) boundary which includes the emergent massless fermions \( \overline{\chi}_+ , \overline{\chi}_- \); the action has the form of the semi-holographic action (74), provided we make the identifications shown in Table 2

| Faulkner-Polchinski | \( \chi_0 \) | \( \omega = 0, |k| = k_F \) | \( \Psi_{\mu} \) | \( S_{\text{strong}} \) |
|---------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Here \( \overline{\chi}_+ , \overline{\chi}_- \) | \( k_{s \mu} \) (see (80)) | \( O_+ , \overline{\mathcal{O}_-} \) | \( S[M,\epsilon] \) |

Table 2: Emergent fermions from Wilsonian RG. With the identification in this Table, with an appropriate rescaling of \( \hat{\chi} \), our equation (83) reproduces the equation (74) of [19], including a determination of the couplings \( g_k \).

The identification clearly shows that the ‘domain wall’ fermion \( \chi_0 \) of [19], appearing in Equation (74), can be identified with the emergent fermions \( \overline{\chi}_+ , \overline{\chi}_- \) at the AdS\(_2\) boundary.

In the rest of this section, we will explain how the pole structure (80) arises at the AdS\(_2\) boundary, with \( k_{s \mu} = k_{s \mu} \) identified with \( \omega = 0, |\vec{k}| = k_F \).

### 6.2 Double trace coupling of the IR theory

We begin by rewriting the ECBH background

\[
ds^2 = \frac{R^2}{z^2} (-h(z) dt^2 + dx^a dx^a) + \frac{R^2}{z^2} \frac{dz^2}{h(z)}, \quad A(z) = \mu \left( 1 - \frac{z^{d-2}}{z_{\alpha}^{d-2}} \right) dt,
\]

(85)

where \( R \) is the AdS\(_{d+1}\) radius.\(^{29}\) Note that \( z = 0 \) is the AdS\(_{d+1}\) boundary, \( z_{\alpha} \) is the horizon radius, and \( \mu \) is the chemical potential in the field theory (for details, see [21]). As in the previous section, we consider only the \( \alpha = 1 \)

\(\)

\(^{29}\)The form of the kinetic term of the emergent fermions in (83) is appropriate near the pole \( k = k_s \) with \( k_0^0 = \omega = 0, |\vec{k} s| = k_F \), the Fermi momentum; if one is interested in physics away from the pole these new fermions can be integrated out, leading to local operators in terms of the original theory.

\(^{30}\)In order to clarify the meaning of near horizon limit given later, we exhibit the AdS\(_{d+1}\) radius explicitly.
sector (this can be done without loss of generality since the \( \alpha = 1 \) sector is decoupled from \( \alpha = 2 \) and these two are related by \( k_i \leftrightarrow -k_i \).)

**Two solution bases of Dirac equations** We now relate the double trace coupling in the UV region (the \( \text{AdS}_{d+1} \) boundary) to the double trace coupling in the IR region (the \( \text{AdS}_2 \) boundary). For this purpose, we introduce two solution bases \([21]\) of the bulk Dirac equations of motion \((138)\) on the full ECBH background \((85)\), where \( \nu \) of solutions to the Dirac equations of motion in the inner region in the leading order in \((\text{analytic expression of the solution basis and } \phi \text{ related by } \zeta, \tau\) are the Dirac equations in the \( \text{AdS} \) sector (this can be done without loss of generality since the \( \alpha = 1 \) sector is decoupled from \( \alpha = 2 \) and these two are related by \( k_i \leftrightarrow -k_i \)).

1. \((\phi_{\pm}, \varphi_{\pm}) : \phi_- \sim z^{-m}, \varphi_- \sim z^{-m+1}, \varphi_+ \sim z^m, \varphi_+ \sim z^m (z \sim 0), (86)\)

2. \((\rho_{\pm}, \psi_{\pm}) : \rho_\pm \sim \xi^{-\nu_k}, \psi_\pm \sim \xi^{\nu_k} (\omega \xi \sim 0), (87)\)

where \( \nu_k \) is given in \([100]\) or \([146]\), and the new coordinate \( \xi \) was introduced as follows:

\[
\xi := \frac{1}{d(d-1)} \frac{z^2}{z_a - z}, \quad R_2 := \frac{R}{\sqrt{d(d-1)}} .
\]  

In terms of those solution bases, any solution is expressed as

\[
\Phi = A \begin{pmatrix} \phi_+ \\ \varphi_+ \end{pmatrix} + B \begin{pmatrix} \varphi_- \\ \phi_- \end{pmatrix} = C \begin{pmatrix} \rho_+ \\ \psi_- \end{pmatrix} + D \begin{pmatrix} \psi_+ \\ \rho_- \end{pmatrix} .
\]  

First, let us explain the first solution basis \((86)\). The asymptotic behavior \((86)\) can be found from the explicit solutions \((141)\) to the Dirac equations on the near-boundary \( \text{AdS}_{d+1} \) geometry; explicitly, \( \phi_{\pm} \) asymptote to \( \sqrt{\mathcal{I}} I_{-\nu_k}(zK) \) and \( \varphi_{\pm} \) asymptote to \( \sqrt{\mathcal{I}} I_{\nu_k}(zK) \). The basis \((86)\) is used for identifying source fields at the \( \text{AdS}_{d+1} \) boundary.

To understand the second solution basis \((87)\) and to see the role played by \( \xi \), let us define the inner and outer regions (for all details, see \([47]\))

- the inner region : \( 0 < \frac{z_a - z}{z_a} < \lambda, \quad \omega z_a < \lambda, \quad \lambda \ll \mu z_a \),

- the outer region : \( \lambda' < \frac{z_a - z}{z_a} < \infty, \quad \omega z_a \ll \lambda' \).

The matching region is defined as the following double scaling limit

- the matching region : \( \omega z_a \ll \lambda' < \frac{z_a - z}{z_a} < \lambda \ll \mu z_a \).

Then, the spacetime metric and the gauge field in the inner region in the \( \lambda \to 0 \) limit become (cf. \([66]\))

\[
ds^2 = \frac{R_2^2}{\zeta^2} (-d\tau^2 + d\zeta^2) + \frac{R^2}{z^2} dz^d dx^d, \quad A = \frac{e_d}{\zeta} dx^d, \quad \zeta := \lambda \xi, \quad \tau := \lambda t ,
\]

where \( \zeta, \tau \) are kept finite in \( \lambda \to 0 \), and \( e_d \) is proportional to the gauge coupling constant (for the explicit definition, see \([21]\)). This shows that \( \xi \) (or \( \zeta \)) is the radial coordinate of the \( \text{AdS}_2 \times \mathbb{R}^{d-1} \).

The asymptotic behavior of the second solution basis \((87)\) can be found from the near-\( \text{AdS}_2 \)-boundary behavior of solutions to the Dirac equations of motion in the inner region in the leading order in \( \lambda \), given by \([146]\) with \([138]\), which are the Dirac equations in the \( \text{AdS}_2 \times \mathbb{R}^{d-1} \) background \([73]\). In fact, this inner region Dirac equation yields an analytic expression of the solution basis \((\rho_{\pm}, \psi_{\pm})\) in terms of the Whittaker functions (see also \([48]\))

\[
\begin{pmatrix} \rho_+ \\ \rho_- \end{pmatrix} = (2i\omega)^{\nu_k - \frac{1}{2} (1 - ic_k)}^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -i \\ -i & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} c_k M_{-iqe_d - \frac{1}{2} - \nu_k} (2i\omega \xi) \\ M_{-iqe_d + \frac{1}{2} - \nu_k} (2i\omega \xi) \end{pmatrix} ,
\]

\[
\begin{pmatrix} \psi_+ \\ \psi_- \end{pmatrix} = (2i\omega)^{-\nu_k - \frac{1}{2} (1 - ic_k)}^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -i \\ -i & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} c_k M_{-iqe_d - \frac{1}{2} + \nu_k} (2i\omega \xi) \\ M_{-iqe_d + \frac{1}{2} + \nu_k} (2i\omega \xi) \end{pmatrix} , \quad c_k := \frac{-\nu_k + iqe_d}{R_2 (m + k z_a R^{-1})}.
\]

31 For the definition and properties of \( \alpha \), see Appendix C.3.
32 \((\phi_-, \varphi_-)\) are normalized so that the first coefficients of the Taylor expansions of them are 1, while \((\phi_+, \varphi_+)\) are defined by \([139]\) from \((\phi_-, \varphi_-)\) and thus are not normalized so that any solution be expressed as \([139]\).
33 The two coordinates \( \zeta \) and \( \xi \) are related by \( \Omega \zeta = \xi \omega \), where \( \Omega = \lambda^{-1} \omega \) is kept fixed in the limit \( \lambda \to 0 \) (see also Appendix C.3).
34 The Whittaker functions \( M_{\kappa, \mu}(z) \), defined by

\[
M_{\kappa, \mu}(z) := z^{\mu + \frac{1}{2}} e^{-z/2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(2\mu + 1) \Gamma(\mu - \kappa + n + \frac{1}{2})}{\Gamma(2\mu + n + 1) \Gamma(\mu - \kappa + n + \frac{1}{2})} ,
\]
In addition, this inner region solution basis can be extended to the outer region by matching the above inner region solutions to the outer region Dirac equation (for details, see [21,47]). This is the second solution defined all over the ECBH background.

Here let us make an important remark on the matching region. We can show that $\xi\omega \ll 1$ holds for any $\xi$ in the matching region [47]. This means that any point in the matching region is very close to the AdS boundary. Thus, any $\xi$-constant surface in the matching region can be interpreted as a surface which regularizes the AdS boundary. We will use this interpretation later when we consider the holography of the inner region with AdS$_2 \times \mathbb{R}^{d-1}$ metric [93].

**Renormalized double trace coupling in the continuum limit at UV** Let us consider the renormalized double trace operators in the continuum limit of the UV theory. The double trace coupling flow can be expressed in terms of the classical solution basis [80] using [41],

$$F(z) = \frac{\phi_-(z) + \chi_{UV}\varphi_-(z)}{\phi_+(z) + \chi_{UV}\varphi_+(z)},$$

(97)

where $\chi_{UV}$ is a constant under the flow and is fixed by the boundary conditions at the AdS$_{d+1}$ boundary. Applying the general formula (171), we can find the asymptotic behavior of the double trace coupling near the boundary $z \sim 0$,

$$\frac{1}{F(z)^{-1} - A^0_{UV}} \sim \chi_{UV}^{-1} z^{-2m} \quad (z \sim 0),$$

(98)

where $A^0_{UV}$ comes from the counterterm action. If it is given by $A^0_{UV} = \phi_+ / \phi_-$ (see (169)), the renormalized double trace coupling becomes $\chi_{UV}^{-1}$.

**Renormalized double trace coupling at IR** Let us apply the holography in the matching region. As mentioned above, since any point in the matching region is very close to the AdS$_2$ boundary, we can expect that any cutoff theory in the matching region can be well approximated by the continuum theory, even though the boundary of AdS$_2 \times \mathbb{R}^{d-1}$ itself is, strictly speaking, not in the inner (matching) region.

Near the AdS$_2$ boundary $\omega \xi \sim 0$, the bulk Dirac equation in the background [93] can be approximated by

$$\zeta \delta_k \Phi = U \Phi, \quad U := \begin{pmatrix} m R_2 & \bar{m} R_2 - q e_d \\ \bar{m} R_2 + q e_d & -m R_2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \bar{m} := \frac{k z_s}{R}.$$  

(99)

and, as seen from [87], two components of each solution basis have the same powers. Thus, it is ambiguous to choose which component is set to be a non-normalizable mode in formulating the GKP-Witten relations [38]. In order to avoid this ambiguity, let us diagonalize the Dirac equation,

$$\zeta \delta_k \tilde{\Phi} = \begin{pmatrix} \nu_k & 0 \\ 0 & -\nu_k \end{pmatrix} \tilde{\Phi}, \quad \tilde{\Phi} := V^{-1} \Phi, \quad V := (v_+, v_-), \quad \nu_k := \sqrt{(m^2 + \bar{m}^2) R^2_2 - q^2 e^2_d},$$

(100)

where $v_\pm$ are eigenvectors of $U$ of eigenvalues $\pm \nu_k$, and $V$ is a $2 \times 2$ matrix. Note that we can always have $\det V = 1$ by normalizing $v_\pm$ appropriately. Thus, the field redefinition $\Phi \rightarrow \tilde{\Phi}$ is nothing but the twisting [42] and hence we rewrite $\Phi$ as $\tilde{\Phi}^2$. In this twist, the four coefficients in (42) are given by [38]

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} = V^{-1}.$$  

(101)

are solutions to the Whittaker differential equation

$$(\partial_z^2 - \frac{1}{4} + \frac{\kappa}{z} - \frac{\mu^2 - \kappa}{z^2}) M_{\kappa, \mu}(z) = 0,$$

(95)

and a pair $(M_{\kappa, \mu}(z), M_{\kappa, -\mu}(z))$ forms a solution basis. Note that $(\rho_-, \psi_-)$ are normalized so that the first coefficients of the Taylor expansions of them are 1, while $(\rho_+, \psi_+)$ are defined by (135) from $(\rho_-, \psi_-)$ so that any solution can be expressed as [69].

35 In other words, there is an ambiguity in the statement that $\chi_0(z)$ can be an initial state for the standard quantization (see [19]).

36 A convenient choice is given by $a = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \frac{q e_d + \bar{m} R_2}{\nu_k}, b = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(1 - \frac{\bar{m} R_2}{\nu_k}\right), c = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} q e_d, d = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \frac{\bar{m} R_2 + q e_d}{\nu_k}$.
In terms of the twisted fields, it is possible to formulate the GKP-Witten relation of the AdS$_2 \times \mathbb{R}^{d-1}$ region unambiguously in a parallel manner to Section 3 (in particular (19), (20)).

Now, let us obtain the double trace coupling at the AdS$_2$ boundary. The expression $F(z)$ of (37), which is defined all over the ECBH background, can also be expressed in terms of the second solution basis (87).

$$F(z) = \frac{\phi_-(z) + \chi_{UV} \phi_-(z)}{\phi_+(z) + \chi_{UV} \phi_+(z)} = \frac{\rho_-(z) + \chi_{IR} \psi_-(z)}{\rho_+(z) + \chi_{IR} \psi_+(z)}, \quad z \in (\text{the inner region}) \cup (\text{the outer region}),$$

where $\xi(z)$ is given in (88). Note that $F$ is defined in terms of the original untwisted fields as (149). However, the appropriate double-trace coupling flow in the matching region is the twisted one $F^\sharp$. Plugging (102) into (46), we find

$$F^\sharp(\xi) = \frac{\rho_\pm^\sharp(\xi) + \chi_{IR} \psi_\pm^\sharp(\xi)}{\rho_\mp^\sharp(\xi) + \chi_{IR} \psi_\mp^\sharp(\xi)}, \quad \xi \in (\text{the matching region}),$$

where we defined the twisted solution basis following the twist of the Dirac fields (109).

$$\left(\begin{array}{c} \rho_\pm^\sharp(\xi) \\ \rho_\mp^\sharp(\xi) \end{array}\right) := V^{-1} \left(\begin{array}{c} \rho_\pm(\xi) \\ \rho_\mp(\xi) \end{array}\right), \quad \left(\begin{array}{c} \psi_\pm^\sharp(\xi) \\ \psi_\mp^\sharp(\xi) \end{array}\right) := V^{-1} \left(\begin{array}{c} \psi_\pm(\xi) \\ \psi_\mp(\xi) \end{array}\right).$$

Near the AdS$_2$ boundary, i.e. using the approximation to Dirac’s equation given in (99), the twisted solutions behave as $\rho_\pm^\sharp \sim \xi^{-\nu_k}$, $\psi_\pm^\sharp \sim \xi^{\nu_k}$ and $\rho_\mp^\sharp = \psi_\mp^\sharp = 0$. Therefore, the twisted double-trace coupling $F^\sharp$ in the matching region behaves as

$$F^\sharp(\xi) \sim \chi_{IR}^{-1} \xi^{-2\nu_k} \quad (\omega \xi \sim 0),$$

so that the renormalized double trace coupling at the AdS$_2$ boundary is $\chi_{IR}^{-1}$.

**Relation between $\chi_{UV}$ and $\chi_{IR}$**

In order to relate $\chi_{UV}$ and $\chi_{IR}$, let us relate the two solution bases (21, 2).

$$\rho_\pm = a^{(+)} \phi_\pm + b^{(+)} \varphi_\pm, \quad \psi_\pm = a^{(-)} \phi_\pm + b^{(-)} \varphi_\pm.$$  

Here $\pm$ implies the powers $\pm 2\nu_k$ in the asymptotic behavior given in (87). Thus, plugging (106) into (102), we find

$$\chi_{IR}^{-1} = -\frac{a^{(-)} - \chi_{UV} b^{(-)}}{a^{(+)} - \chi_{UV} b^{(+)}},$$

where $a^{(\pm)}, b^{(\pm)}$ can be expanded in $\omega R$ (which is very small in the sense of (92)):

$$a^{(\pm)} = a_0^{(\pm)} + (\omega R) a_1^{(\pm)} + \cdots, \quad b^{(\pm)} = b_0^{(\pm)} + (\omega R) b_1^{(\pm)} + \cdots.$$  

Using this expansion together with (107) and (105), we can obtain information about the behaviour of $F^\sharp$ in the UV of the near horizon region.

**Semi-holographic action**

Let us consider a holographic dual of the bulk theory in the inner region, which has the emergent AdS$_2 \times \mathbb{R}^{d-1}$ metric (93). Let the AdS$_2 \times \mathbb{R}^{d-1}$ boundary condition be given by a wave-functional of the form (77) at any point in the matching region, which can be interpreted as a cut-off of the AdS$_2 \times \mathbb{R}^{d-1}$ boundary. Now, we focus on the double trace coupling. As shown above in (105), the renormalized double trace coupling is given by $\chi_{IR}^{-1}$. This means that the wave-functional at the AdS$_2 \times \mathbb{R}^{d-1}$ boundary in the continuum limit is given by

$$\Psi_{AdS_2}[\eta^\sharp_+, \eta^\sharp_-] := \langle \eta^\sharp_+, \eta^\sharp_- | \Psi_{AdS_2} \rangle = \exp(-\eta^\sharp_+ F^\sharp_\eta^\sharp_+ + \cdots) = \exp(-\eta^\sharp_- R \chi_{IR}^{-1} \eta^\sharp_+ R + \cdots).$$

Note that since $A^{IR} = \rho^\sharp_\mp / \rho^\sharp_\pm$ trivially vanishes, it is unnecessary to consider counterterms in this discussion.

One can see that this relation has the same structure as the relation between $G_R$ and $G_R$ given in (21), which, in fact, is a special case of (107) because, with the infalling boundary condition at the horizon, $\chi_{IR}$ becomes $G_R$ and $\chi_{UV}$ becomes $G_R$.
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Figure 9: Plots obtained numerically for $m = 0.2$, $z_s = 1$, $q = 1$, $\mu = \sqrt{3}$. The left panel shows $F^{-1}_{IR}$ evaluated near the horizon ($r = \log (z^{-1} - z_s^{-1}) = -10$) as a function of $\omega$ for $\chi_{UV} = 0$ and $k = k_F = 1.17309$ (black), $k = k_F \pm 0.001$, $k = k_F \pm 0.002$, and $k = k_F \pm 0.003$. The right panel shows $F^{-1}_{IR}$ evaluated near the horizon ($r = -10$) as a function of $k$ for $\omega = 0$ and $\chi_{UV} = 0$ (black), $\chi_{UV} = 0.01$ (red), $\chi_{UV} = 0.04$ (green), and $\chi_{UV} = 0.08$ (blue).

Figure 10: Plots obtained numerically for $m = 0$, $z_s = 1$, $q = 1$, $\mu = \sqrt{3}$. The left panel shows the imaginary part of the UV retarded Greens function $G_R$ for $k = k_F = 0.91853$ as a function of $\omega$. The right panel shows $F^{-1}_{IR}$ as a function of $r = \log (z^{-1} - z_s^{-1})$ for $\chi_{UV} = 0$ and different values of $k$ and $\omega$. The black lines are for $k = k_F$, while the red and blue lines are for $k = k_F \pm 0.002$ and $k = k_F \pm 0.002$, respectively. The values of $\omega$ fall between $\omega = \pm 4.5 \times 10^{-5}$.

7 Field theory summary

In this section, we will transcribe our findings in the previous sections in field theoretic terms.

7.1 Scalar operators

We will denote the matrix field theory, implicit on the RHS of (4), as follows

$$Z[\epsilon] = \int \mathcal{D}M \exp \left[ S[M] + \int h(k, \epsilon)O(-k) + \int \frac{1}{2} g(k, \epsilon)O(k)O(-k) + C(\epsilon) \right],$$  \hfill (112)

where $M$ is a $d$-dimensional matrix field, and $O$ is a certain single trace scalar operator. Typically, a perturbation by $O$ would mix with other operators under Wilsonian RG; the generalization to multiple scalar operators (dual to multiple bulk scalar fields) is straightforward if all bulk scalars are given by quadratic actions such as in footnote[5]. However, for simplicity, we will assume a situation in which the operator $O$ here does not mix with others under RG flow, and under $\epsilon \to \epsilon'$, the partition function $Z$ can be kept invariant by appropriate change of the couplings $h$, $g$; we have also kept an $\epsilon$-dependent zero-point energy $C(\epsilon)$.  
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The field theory couplings \( g(k) \) and \( h(k) \) (in the standard quantization) are related to \( f(k), J(k) \) of (3) as
\[
g(k) = 1/f(k), \quad h(k) = J(k)/f(k) .
\]
Using (6) we get the beta-functions for \( g, h \).

**Example 1**  We will consider \( S[M] \equiv S_1[M] \) which admits a pure AdS\(_{d+1}\) dual. The beta-functions of \( h, g \) (for the \( k = 0 \) mode) are, in this case, given by (recalling \( \epsilon \partial/\partial \epsilon = -\Lambda \partial/\partial \Lambda \))
\[
\beta_g = \dot{g} = 1 - dg - m^2 g^2, \quad \beta_h = \dot{h} = -(d + m^2 g) h .
\]
The double trace flow has two fixed points:
(a) \( g_* = 1/\Delta_+ \); this CFT corresponds to the standard CFT. **Proof:** Recall that for a CFT deformed by a coupling \( \int g \mathcal{O} \), the scaling dimension \( \gamma \) of \( \mathcal{O} \), also denoted as \([\mathcal{O}]\), is related to the \( \beta \)-function of \( g \) as follows
\[
\gamma = [\mathcal{O}] = \partial \beta_g / \partial g .
\]
For the double trace coupling under discussion, the fixed point value is \( g_* \), hence the deformation from the CFT is \( \int \delta g \mathcal{O} \), where \( \delta g = g - g_* \) and \( \mathcal{O} = O(k)O(-k) \). From (114), \( \beta_g = 2\nu \delta g + m^2 \delta g^2 \), hence \( \gamma = 2\nu + m^2 \delta g \).
Thus, at the fixed point \([O(k)O(-k)] = \gamma = 2\nu \); hence \( [O(x)^2] = d + 2\nu = 2\Delta_+ \). By large \( N \) factorization, \([O(x)] = \sqrt{[O(x)^2]} = \Delta_+ \), implying standard quantization.
(b) \( g_* = 1/\Delta_- \); alternative quantization, in the Klebanov-Witten window \( 0 \leq \nu \leq 1 \) [24]. The proof is similar to the above case. In this case
\[
\beta_{\delta g} = -2\nu \delta g + m^2 \delta g^2 .
\]
Note that outside the KW window, the fixed point \( g_* = 1/\Delta_- \), although it formally exists, does not represent a valid quantization. See Table[1] for a summary of the two fixed points.

**Comparison with a toy model with a Wilson-Fisher fixed point**  In [17] we consider a \( d = 4 - \epsilon \) dimensional free matrix field theory \( S[M] = \int d^4x \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr}(\partial M)^2 \), perturbed by \( \int h' O(x) + \frac{1}{2} g' O(x)^2 \), with \( O(x) = \text{Tr} M(x)^2 \). We find
\[
\beta_{g'} = -\epsilon g' + \alpha^2 g'^2 + ..., \quad (117)
\]
where \( \alpha^2 \) is a numerical constant[4]. The free field UV fixed point at \( g' = 0 \) is superficially comparable with the UV CFT for alternative quantization described by the beta-function (116). The point to stress here is that the beta-function (116), obtained by holographic means, is exact even for strong coupling.

**Example 2**  The field theory \( S[M] \equiv S_2[M] \) has a global \( U(1) \) symmetry, and admits a charged black hole [9] dual. The existence of a normalizable \( \delta g_{tt} \), at least for small \( z = \epsilon \), can be interpreted as an expectation value \( \langle T_{tt} \rangle \). The running of \( \delta g_{tt} \), interpreted as a flow of \( \langle T_{tt} \rangle \) [7], can be read off from the exact dependence of \( g_{tt} \) on \( z \) as given by \( H(z) \) in (2). With this interpretation of the background geometry in mind, we again perturb the theory as in (112) and obtain the beta-functions for \( g, h \) by substituting (113) in (5), (6) and (10).

Along with \( \langle T_{tt} \rangle \) there is also a \( \langle J \rangle \) caused by the presence of the \( U(1) \) gauge field \( A_t \) in the dual geometry. The fact that the dual charged black hole is extremal means that \( \langle J \rangle \) is determined by \( \langle T_{tt} \rangle \) and need not be considered separately. Extremality of the dual also implies the existence of 2 additional fixed points as \( z = \epsilon \to z_* \), which are the AdS\(_2\) analogs of the 2 fixed points discussed in Example 1: the non-zero values of \( \langle T_{tt} \rangle, \langle J \rangle \) are interpreted in terms of a new \( d \)-dimensional CFT on \( \mathbb{R}^1 \times \mathbb{R}^{d-1} \). The RG flows and the four fixed points are described in Section[2] and in Figure[1].

[40]We note that in this field theory toy model, the other marginal operator \( \text{Tr} M(x)^4 \), if absent initially, does not get generated by the flows of the operators \( O(x), O(x)^2 \).

[41]Similar interpretations have been used by, e.g. [50], for two-point functions of currents or stress tensors in the context of cut-off dependence of transport coefficients.
### 7.2 Fermionic operators

The difference with \ref{112} is that this time we perturb the theory by a (single-trace) fermionic operator $O_a$ (where $a$ is a Dirac index)

$$Z[\epsilon] = \int D\epsilon \exp \left[ S(M, \epsilon) + \int \bar{\psi}_a(k, \epsilon) O_a(k) + \int \bar{\psi}_a(k, \epsilon) \partial_k h_a(k) + \int \frac{1}{2} \bar{\psi}_a(k) g_{ab}(k, \epsilon) O_b(k) \right]. \quad (118)$$

Comparing with \ref{33} we find that in the spinorial basis we use

$$O_a(k) g_{ab}(k; \epsilon) O_b(k) = \bar{\mathcal{O}}_-(\epsilon) O_+ = \bar{\mathcal{O}}_a(k; \epsilon) O_a(k) = \bar{\mathcal{O}}_- J_-(\epsilon); \quad \bar{\mathcal{O}}_a(k) h_a(k) = J_+(\epsilon) O_+.$$  

In other words, double trace and single trace couplings are given directly in terms of $F, J$, whose running is given by \ref{57}.

**Example 1** As in the bosonic case, the field theory $S_1[M]$ is assumed to admit a pure AdS$_{d+1}$ dual. The beta-function for the double trace coupling is given by \ref{49}, or alternatively by \ref{51}. In terms of the latter, the zero-momentum point, by \ref{55}, is repulsive, and is hence a UV fixed point.

The nature of the fixed points can be found as in the bosonic case above. Thus, near $f_{0,*} = 0$, the double trace coupling is $f_0 \bar{\mathcal{O}}_- O_+$, hence by \ref{115}, we have $\partial_\beta f_0 / \partial f_0 = 2m$ (recall $c \partial f_0 / \partial \epsilon = -\Lambda \partial f_0 / \partial \Lambda \equiv \beta_{f_0}$). In position space we obtain $\partial_\beta (x) O_+ (x) = d + 2m$. Using large $N$ factorization, we obtain $\gamma_\beta = \gamma_{O_+} = d/2 + m = \Delta_+$, which says that $f_{0,*} = 0$ corresponds to standard quantization. The fact that $\bar{\mathcal{O}}_- O_+ > d$ implies that it is an irrelevant operator, hence the standard fixed point is an IR fixed point; this agrees with the attractive nature of the fixed point, as found in \ref{55}.

The nature of the fixed point $f_{0,*} = \infty$, or equivalently $g_{0,*} = 0$ can be similarly found. The coupling now is $g_0 \bar{\mathcal{O}}_+ O_-$ which, using \ref{115}, gives $\partial_\gamma g_0 / \partial g_0 = -2m$, or $\partial_\gamma (x) = [O_-(x)] = d/2 - m$, which signifies alternative quantization. The fact that $\bar{\mathcal{O}}_+ O_-$ turns out to be a relevant operator accords with the fact that the alternative fixed point, by \ref{55}, is repulsive, and is hence a UV fixed point.

**Example 2** As in the bosonic case, the field theory $S_2[M]$ is assumed to admit an extremal charged black hole dual, with scale-dependent $\langle T_{tt} \rangle, \langle J_t \rangle$. The beta-function for the double trace coupling is given by \ref{64}. As in the bosonic case, the double trace flow, together with the flow of $\langle T_{tt} \rangle$, connect the two fixed points at very high energies (the boundary AdS$_{d+1}$ region) to two fixed points in the IR (the near-horizon AdS$_2$ region), see Figure 7.

### 7.3 Emergence of new (bosonic/fermionic) degrees of freedom

As discussed in Section 6 the double trace coupling $g(k)$ in Example 2 above can develop a pole singularity at the Fermi surface\footnote{For simple perturbations around standard free field theories, operators with zero momentum are more relevant than those with finite momenta (higher derivatives); the fact that the double trace coupling at a finite momentum blows up is due to the black hole background which represents strong coupling physics.}. This implies emergence of new light degrees of freedom in the field theory. We can trace their origin as follows.

**Low energy theory $\rightarrow$ random (dynamical) source** Equations \ref{44} and \ref{31} can be interpreted as follows: holographic version of Wilsonian RG can be regarded as appearance of new dynamical degrees of freedom, in the form of
random (fluctuating) sources:

\[ Z[\epsilon_0] = \int DM \epsilon_0 \exp \left( S_0[M] + \int J_0 O[M] \right) = Z[\epsilon] = \int DM \epsilon \exp (S[J]) \exp \left( S[M] + \int J O[M] \right). \]  

(119)

Generically we can integrate out the random sources, yielding a low energy effective action in terms of the original field variables. However, in special situations (e.g. on the Fermi surface, as discussed above in Section 6.1), \( J(k) \) becomes ‘massless’ and can be identified as an emergent degree of freedom (bosonic or fermionic, depending on whether \( O \) is bosonic or fermionic), which must be kept in the dynamics to keep a local description of the theory; see (83) as an example.

Comparison with Gross-Neveu model and QCD

The essence of holographic Wilsonian RG, as captured by (119), can be compared with the Nambu–Jona-Lasinio (NJL) approach to QCD (see, e.g. [30, 31], or in a simpler setting, with the Gross-Neveu model [29]. By introducing a dynamical source term the partition function of the latter model can be written as

\[ Z = \int D\psi D\psi^+ \exp \left[ \int d^2x \left( \bar{\psi} \frac{\partial}{\partial \psi} + g^2 (\bar{\psi} \psi)^2 \right) \right] = \int DJ D\psi D\psi^+ \exp \left[ - \int J^2 \right] \exp \left[ \int (\bar{\psi} \frac{\partial}{\partial \psi} + J \bar{\psi} \psi) \right]. \]  

(120)

The fermions transform in the fundamental representation of \( U(N_f) \). In the second expression for the partition function, integrating out the fermions gives an effective action \( S_{\text{eff}}[J(k)] \). The source field \( J(x) \sim \bar{\psi}(x)\psi(x) \) is a rough analog of mesons in the QCD problem [30, 31]. The last expression of (120) is analogous to the last expression of (119); the fluctuating source of (119) (for bosonic \( J(k) \)) can be identified with the ‘meson’ fields. The effective action \( S_{\text{eff}} \) can be computed exactly at large \( N_f \), and exhibits a symmetry breaking potential.

A massless \( J(k) \) field, in such contexts, can emerge as follows: suppose that the fermions in (120) also transform under a second flavour group \( U(N_f') \), under which the mesons transform nontrivially. In this case \( S_{\text{eff}}[J(k)] \) is invariant under \( U(N_f') \); in the symmetry broken phase, some of the source fields \( J(k) \) can be identified as Goldstone bosons (pions). See [4] for discussions along these lines. It would be interesting to find an analog of the above picture for emergent massless fermions; see a related discussion in [26] in the context of the ‘FL∗’ phase.

8 Concluding remarks

In this work, we have described a framework of how to carry out the program of holographic Wilsonian RG (hWRG) with fermions. More details will appear in [17]. As mentioned in the Introduction, we found a precise meaning of the existence of a Fermi surface in terms of singular effective double trace coupling in the AdS\(_2\) region; we also found a dynamical origin of the semi-holographic origin of Faulkner and Polchinski.

We end with a few open questions and generalizations:

- It is of obvious interest to identify specific strongly coupled field theories which have holographic duals of the kind described in this paper. Work in this direction is in progress [17] (see the discussion around (117) for an example).

- The random source interpretation of hWRG (119) gives an insight into Wilsonian RG of strongly coupled field theories [52, 53]. Random source models have an extensive literature in statistical mechanics; an example is the so-called compressible Ising model with random couplings on the links (see [54], e.g.). It would be interesting to see if these models too can have emergent degrees of freedom as we found here.

---

43 The field theory variables are generically denoted as a matrix field \( M(x) \), as below [32], \( O[M] \) is a single trace operator; the Equation (119) is valid if this operator does not mix with others under RG. More generally, one should include all single trace operators \( O_n[M] \) and the corresponding sources \( J_n \).
• The formalism developed in this paper is applicable to any asymptotically AdS background, especially those which are translationally invariant in the boundary directions. The discussions of RG flows can be easily generalized to the case of non-extremal black hole where the theory at IR is massive; for nearly extremal black holes, our discussion on semi-holography generalizes rather easily, including the appearance of an emergent fermion at the AdS$_2$ boundary. Our formalism can also be applied to Lifshitz geometries [55, 56] with different dynamical exponents in the UV and IR [17]. It would also be interesting to see if we can apply hWRG in reverse [1]: starting out from a IR conformal field theory and flowing towards the UV. The semi-holographic viewpoint does not require the existence of a UV fixed point; in particular the UV theory is allowed to be a lattice theory. It would be obviously important to complete such a picture of hWRG.

• In addition to the flow of couplings, it is important to compute the flow of correlation functions; in particular, it would be interesting to understand RG flows of transport coefficients using hWRG (see, e.g. [50, 51, 57]).
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A Notes

A.1 Miscellaneous notations

coordinates and momenta

\[ x^i := (x^1, x^2, \cdots, x^{d-1}), \quad x^\mu := (t, x^i), \quad x^M := (z, x^\mu), \quad k_i := (k_1, k_2, \cdots, k_{d-1}), \quad k_\mu := (\varepsilon \omega, k_i), \quad k^2 := g^{\mu\nu} k_\mu k_\nu, \quad k^2 := \eta^{\hat{a}\hat{b}} k_\hat{a} k_\hat{b} = \varepsilon \omega^2 + k_i k_i, \]

where \( \varepsilon = 1(-1) \) for Euclidean (Lorentzian) metric.

metric, covariant derivative and spin connections

\[ ds^2 = g_{MN} dx^M dx^N = \eta_{\hat{a}\hat{b}} \theta^\hat{a} \theta^\hat{b}, \quad \eta_{\hat{a}\hat{b}} := \text{diag}\{1, \epsilon, 1, \cdots, 1\}, \quad g := \det (g_{MN}), \]

\[ D_M \psi := \partial_M \psi + \frac{1}{4} \omega_{M\hat{a}\hat{b}} \Gamma^{\hat{a}\hat{b}} \psi - i q A_M \psi, \quad \overline{D_M \psi} := \overline{\partial_M \psi} - \frac{1}{4} \omega_{M\hat{a}\hat{b}} \overline{\Gamma^{\hat{a}\hat{b}}} + i q A_M \overline{\psi}, \]

\[ \omega^{\hat{a}\hat{b}} = \omega_{M} \hat{a} \hat{b} dx^M, \quad d\theta^\hat{a} + \omega^{\hat{a}\hat{b}} \wedge \theta^\hat{b} = 0. \] (121)

Fourier transformations

\[ \psi(z, x) := \int \frac{d^d k}{(2\pi)^d} e^{ikx} \psi(z, k), \quad \overline{\psi}(z, x) := \int \frac{d^d k}{(2\pi)^d} e^{-ikx} \overline{\psi}(z, k), \quad kx := k_\mu x^\mu = \varepsilon \omega t + k_i x^i. \]

A.2 Dirac action

We give a general notation which applies to both Euclidean and Lorentz signatures. The Dirac action is

\[ S := N \int dz dx \sqrt{g} (\overline{\psi} D_M \psi - m \overline{\psi} \psi). \]
where \( g := \det(g_{MN}) \). A numerical factor \( \mathcal{N} \) is chosen so that the path integral representation is \( \int[\mathcal{D}\psi]e^{-S} \) both for Euclidean and Lorentzian signature. If we compare with the Dirac actions given in [49], our \( \mathcal{N} \) is related to \( \mathcal{N} \) in [49] (which we write as \( \mathcal{N}_{\text{IL}} \)), by \( \mathcal{N} = \mathcal{N}_{\text{IL}} \) for the Lorentzian metric, and \( \mathcal{N} = -\mathcal{N}_{\text{IL}} \) for the Euclidean metric. In this paper, we set \( \mathcal{N} = 1 \) just for notational simplicity\(^{44}\) except the next section. In order to revive \( \mathcal{N} \), we just have to replace \( \kappa^{-2} \) by \( \kappa^{-2}\mathcal{N} \).

**B Generalized coherent states**

In this section, we will investigate the state space of a quantized Dirac fermion in the general background. For simplicity, we will omit spinor indices and momenta variables and momentum integral symbols. This is equivalent to picking up a Hilbert space with one specific momentum and one specific spinor component. This is consistent because the anti-commutation relations we will use have no mixing between different momenta and spinor components. The starting point is the anti-commutation relation

\[
\{\hat{\chi}_\pm, \pm \mathcal{N}_d \hat{\chi}_\pm\} = 0, \quad \mathcal{N}_d := \kappa^{-2}(2\pi)^{-d}\mathcal{N}.
\]  

(122)

First, we construct a Fock space with regarding \( \hat{\chi}_\pm \) as annihilation operators and \( \pm \mathcal{N}_d \hat{\chi}_\pm \) as creation operators starting from a ground state \( |0,0\rangle \), which is annihilated by \( \hat{\chi}_\pm \). The basis of the Fock space is \( \{|0,0\rangle, |1,0\rangle, |0,1\rangle, |1,1\rangle\} \) with

\[
|1,0\rangle := \mathcal{N}_d \hat{\chi}_+ |0,0\rangle, \quad |0,1\rangle := -\mathcal{N}_d \hat{\chi}_- |0,0\rangle, \quad |1,1\rangle := \mathcal{N}_d \hat{\chi}_+ (-\mathcal{N}_d \hat{\chi}_-)|0,0\rangle.
\]  

(123)

Next, the dual basis is defined so that

\[
\langle \langle m,n|p,q \rangle \rangle = \delta_{mp}\delta_{nq}.
\]  

(124)

The dual basis is constructed from the dual ground state with \( \langle \langle 0,0|0,0 \rangle \rangle = 1 \),

\[
\langle \langle 1,0| := \langle \langle 0,0|\hat{\chi}_+ , \quad \langle \langle 0,1| := \langle \langle 0,0|\hat{\chi}_- , \quad \langle \langle 1,1| := \langle \langle 0,0|\hat{\chi}_- \hat{\chi}_+ .
\]  

(125)

We now define “generalized coherent states”, which satisfy

\[
\hat{\chi}_+ |\pi, \nu \rangle = \pi |\pi, \nu \rangle, \quad \hat{\chi}_- |\pi, \nu \rangle = \nu |\pi, \nu \rangle, \quad \hat{\chi}_+ |u, \nu \rangle = u |u, \nu \rangle, \quad \hat{\chi}_- |u, \nu \rangle = \nu |u, \nu \rangle, \quad \hat{\chi}_+ |\pi, \nu \rangle = \pi |\pi, \nu \rangle, \quad \hat{\chi}_- |\pi, \nu \rangle = \nu |\pi, \nu \rangle.
\]  

(126)

They are explicitly constructed as follows\(^{46}\)

\[
|\pi, \nu \rangle := e^{\mathcal{N}_d(-\pi \hat{\chi}_+ + \nu \hat{\chi}_-)} |0,0\rangle, \quad |u, \nu \rangle := e^{\mathcal{N}_d(u \hat{\chi}_+ + \nu \hat{\chi}_-)} |0,1\rangle, \quad \langle \langle \pi, \nu | := \langle \langle 0,0|e^{\mathcal{N}_d(-\pi \hat{\chi}_+ - \nu \hat{\chi}_-)}, \quad \langle \langle \pi, \nu | := \langle \langle 0,0|e^{\mathcal{N}_d(\pi \hat{\chi}_+ - \nu \hat{\chi}_-)}.
\]  

(128)

Inner products of generalized coherent states are

\[
\langle p, \bar{\nu}|u, \nu \rangle = e^{\mathcal{N}_d(-\bar{\nu} \hat{\chi}_- + \nu \hat{\chi}_+)} \langle p, \bar{\nu}|u, \nu \rangle = e^{\mathcal{N}_d(\bar{\nu} \hat{\chi}_- - \nu \hat{\chi}_+)} \delta(p - u)\delta(q - \bar{\nu}),
\]  

(129)

Generalized coherent states satisfy the completeness relations,

\[
\hat{\mathcal{C}} = \frac{1}{\mathcal{N}_d} \int d\pi d\nu d\bar{\nu} d\bar{\pi} \langle \pi, \nu | e^{\mathcal{N}_d(\bar{\nu} \hat{\chi}_- + \bar{\nu} \hat{\chi}_+)} = \frac{1}{\mathcal{N}_d} \int du d\pi d\nu d\bar{\pi} |u, \nu \rangle \langle \pi, \nu | e^{\mathcal{N}_d(-\nu \hat{\chi}_- + \nu \hat{\chi}_+)},
\]  

\[
\hat{\mathcal{C}} = \frac{1}{\mathcal{N}_d} \int d\pi d\nu d\bar{\nu} d\bar{\pi} \langle \pi, \nu | e^{\mathcal{N}_d(\bar{\nu} \hat{\chi}_- + \bar{\nu} \hat{\chi}_+)} = \frac{1}{\mathcal{N}_d} \int du d\pi d\nu d\bar{\pi} |u, \nu \rangle \langle \pi, \nu | e^{\mathcal{N}_d(-\nu \hat{\chi}_- + \nu \hat{\chi}_+)}.
\]  

(130)

\[131\]

\[44\] \( g_{\text{IL}} > 0 \) for Euclidean case and \( g_{\text{IL}} < 0 \) for Lorentzian case

\[45\] In fact, \( \mathcal{N}_{\text{IL}} \) cannot be arbitrary; it is constrained by the unitarity of the bulk theory.

\[46\] We often see a form like \( \mathcal{N}_d(\bar{\pi} \bar{\pi} + \bar{\pi} \bar{\pi}) \) in the exponent. In the field theory, spinor indices and momentum dependence are recovered as follows

\[
\mathcal{N}_d(\bar{\pi} \bar{\pi}) \rightarrow \mathcal{N}_d \int d^d(k)\langle k|k\rangle = \mathcal{N}_d \int d^d(k)\langle k|k\rangle.
\]  

(127)
Proof of the replacement rule of the Schrödinger equation  Here we give a derivation of the replacement rules \([56]\) of the Schrödinger equation. From the inner product above, we find
\[
\langle \eta_+, \eta_- | \hat{H} | \chi_+, \chi_- \rangle = \hat{H} (\chi_+ \rightarrow \chi_+, \chi_- \rightarrow \chi_-, \chi_+ \rightarrow \eta_+, \chi_- \rightarrow \eta_-) e^{N_d (\tau_+ \eta_+ - \tau_- \chi_-)}
\]
\[
= \hat{H} \left( \chi_+ \rightarrow \frac{1}{N_d} \delta_{\chi+}, \chi_- \rightarrow -\frac{1}{N_d} \delta_{\chi-}, \chi_+ \rightarrow \eta_+, \chi_- \rightarrow \eta_- \right) e^{N_d (\tau_+ \eta_+ - \tau_- \chi_-)}.
\]

One can see that the derivation is independent of the details of the Hamiltonian and the replacement rule applies to Hamiltonians with interactions. Note that one also needs to be careful about the ordering of operators in the Hamiltonian, as can be seen from, for instance, the mass terms of \([17]\).

C  Holographic Wilsonian RG for Dirac fermions in a rotationally symmetric background

We consider Dirac equation on a rotationally symmetric background with \(A_t = 0\) and using a specific representation of Dirac matrices following \([21]\). We find that the flow equations \([57]\) simplify considerably and construct their solutions in terms of classical solutions of the Dirac equations. In this section, we will use the Lorentzian signature.

C.1  Dirac equation and classical solutions

The action \([14]\) in the momentum space becomes
\[
S = \int dz \int \left( \mp \sum_\alpha \left[ \mp \left( \mp \Gamma^z \partial_z + \sqrt{g_{zz}} \mp \left( \mp \Gamma^i u_t + \Gamma^i k_i \right) \dot{\Phi} - m \mp \mp \Phi \right] \right) \right). 
\]
with \(u_t := \omega + qA_t\). The equations of motion can be written as
\[
D \Phi = 0, \quad D := \Gamma^z \partial_z - m \sqrt{g_{zz}} - i \sqrt{g_{zz}} g^{ti} \Gamma^t u_t + i \sqrt{g_{zz}} g^{ti} \Gamma^t k_i, \\
\bar{D} \Phi = 0, \quad \bar{D} := \Gamma^z \partial_z + m \sqrt{g_{zz}} + i \sqrt{g_{zz}} g^{ti} \Gamma^t u_t - i \sqrt{g_{zz}} g^{ti} \Gamma^t k_i.
\]
Without loss of generality, we can choose a special \(k\) due to the rotational symmetry in the spatial directions
\[
k := k_1, \quad \tilde{k}_1 := 1, \quad \tilde{k}_2, \ldots, \tilde{k}_{d-1} := 0.
\]

For simplicity, we consider the case \(d = 3\) (the extension to higher dimensions is given in Appendix \(C.3\). The Dirac operator commutes with following two projectors
\[
[D, \Pi_\alpha] = [\bar{D}, \Pi_\alpha] = 0, \quad \Pi_\alpha \Pi_\beta = \delta_{\alpha\beta} \Pi_\beta, \quad \Pi_\alpha := \frac{1 - (-) \alpha k_{\alpha} \hat{\Gamma}^z \hat{\Gamma}^z}{2}, \quad \hat{k}_1 \hat{k}_1 = 1, \quad \alpha = 1, 2.
\]

We adopt such a representation of the Dirac matrices that the Dirac operator is diagonal in terms of the projections
\[
\Gamma^z = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_3 & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma_3 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \Gamma^t = \begin{pmatrix} i \sigma_1 & 0 \\ 0 & i \sigma_1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \Gamma^\hat{z} = \begin{pmatrix} -\sigma_2 & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma_2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \Gamma^\hat{t} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sigma_2 \\ \sigma_2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \\
\Pi_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \Pi_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \Phi_1 = \begin{pmatrix} \Phi_1 \\ \Phi_2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \bar{\Phi} = (\Phi_1, \bar{\Phi}).
\]

Then, the action and the equations of the motion become
\[
S = \sum_\alpha \int dz \int \left( \chi_\alpha^+ \partial_z \chi_\alpha^- - \chi_\alpha^- \partial_z \chi_\alpha^+ + \sqrt{g_{zz}} \left[ -\chi_\alpha^+ T_\alpha \chi_\alpha^- + \chi_\alpha^- T_\alpha \chi_\alpha^+ - m \chi_\alpha^+ \chi_\alpha^- - m v_\alpha \chi_\alpha^- \right] \right), \\
(\sqrt{g_{zz}} \partial_z \mp m) \chi_\alpha^\mp = T_\alpha^\mp \chi_\alpha^\pm, \quad (\sqrt{g_{zz}} \partial_z \pm m) \chi_\alpha^\pm = T_\alpha^\pm \chi_\alpha^\mp, \\
\Phi_\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} \chi_\alpha^+ \\ \chi_\alpha^- \end{pmatrix}, \quad \bar{\Phi}_\alpha = (\chi_\alpha^+, \chi_\alpha^-), \quad T_\alpha^\pm := \pm \sqrt{g_{zz}} u_t - (-)^\alpha \sqrt{g_{zz}} \hat{k}.
\]
The anticommutation relations are defined by
\[ \{ \chi^\pm_\alpha, \chi^\pm_\beta \} = \pm \kappa^2 (2\pi)^d \delta_{\alpha\beta}, \]
where the summation symbol over \( \alpha \) is omitted in the radial Hamiltonian. We can prove that the radial Hamiltonian and the anticommutation relations reproduce the classical equations of motion.

The component expressions of the equations of motion are given by
\[ (\sqrt{g^{zz}} \partial_z \mp m) \Phi^\pm_\alpha = T^\pm_\alpha \Phi^\mp_\alpha, \quad (\sqrt{g^{zz}} \partial_z \pm m) \Phi^\mp_\alpha = T^\pm_\alpha \Phi^\pm_\alpha. \] (138)

One can see from the equations of motion that \( \Phi^\pm_\alpha \) and \( \Phi^\mp_\alpha \) satisfy the same equations. From the coupled first order equations, one can get a decoupled second order equation for each component
\[ (\sqrt{g^{zz}} \partial_z \pm m - \sqrt{g^{zz}} \partial_z \log T^\pm_\alpha) \left( (\sqrt{g^{zz}} \partial_z \pm m) \Phi^\pm_\alpha - (g^i k^i + g^i u^i) \Phi^\mp_\alpha \right) = 0, \quad (\sqrt{g^{zz}} \partial_z \pm m - \sqrt{g^{zz}} \partial_z \log T^\pm_\alpha) \left( (\sqrt{g^{zz}} \partial_z \pm m) \Phi^\mp_\alpha - (g^i k^i + g^i u^i) \Phi^\pm_\alpha \right) = 0. \] (139)

Let us introduce a basis of solutions to (138) and express any solution to (138) in terms of the basis,
\[ \Phi^\pm_\alpha = A_\alpha \phi^\pm_\alpha + B_\alpha \nu^\pm_\alpha, \quad \Phi^\mp_\alpha = A_\alpha \nu^\pm_\alpha + B_\alpha \phi^\pm_\alpha, \]
where (+)-component and (−)-component are related by (138). The numerical coefficients \( (A_\alpha, B_\alpha, A_\alpha, B_\alpha) \) are fixed by boundary conditions. Examples of the solution bases are given in Section 6.

### C.1.1 AdS\(_{d+1}\)

The solutions to (139) are given by \( (\nu_\pm = m \pm \frac{\omega}{2}) \)
\[ \Phi^\pm = \sqrt{z} \left( a^\pm(k_\mu) I_{\nu^\pm}(z K) + b^\pm(k_\mu) I_{\nu^\pm}(z K) \right), \quad \bar{\Phi}^\pm = \sqrt{z} \left( \bar{a}^\pm(k_\mu) I_{\nu^\pm}(z K) + \bar{b}^\pm(k_\mu) I_{\nu^\pm}(z K) \right). \] (141)
where \( K^2 \equiv k^2 - (\omega + \mu_\eta)^2 \neq 0, \omega \neq 0, \) and we have suppressed the \( \alpha \)-index. The requirement that these solutions (141) satisfy the Dirac equation Eq. (138), relates the integration constants as
\[ a^\pm(k_\mu) = \frac{k + \omega + \mu_\eta}{K} a^\pm(k_\mu), \quad b^\pm(k_\mu) = \frac{k + \omega + \mu_\eta}{K} b^\pm(k_\mu), \]
\[ \bar{a}^\pm(k_\mu) = \frac{k + \omega + \mu_\eta}{K} \bar{a}^\pm(k_\mu), \quad \bar{b}^\pm(k_\mu) = \frac{k + \omega + \mu_\eta}{K} \bar{b}^\pm(k_\mu). \] (142)

For reference, we give the asymptotic behavior \( (z \sim 0) \) of the Bessel functions,
\[ \sqrt{z} I_{\nu^\pm}(z K) \sim z^{-m}, \quad \sqrt{z} I_{\nu^\pm}(z K) \sim z^{-m+1}, \quad \sqrt{z} I_{\nu^\pm}(z K) \sim z^{m+1}, \quad \sqrt{z} I_{\nu^\pm}(z K) \sim z^m. \] (143)

### C.1.2 Near horizon limit

In the near horizon limit, i.e. small \( z - z_* \), we define new coordinates \( \zeta \) and \( \tau \) through
\[ z - z_* = -\frac{R_2^2 \lambda z^2}{\zeta}, \quad t = \lambda^{-1} \tau, \quad \lambda \ll 1, \] (144)
where \( R_2 = 1/\sqrt{d(d-1)} \). Furthermore, we study low frequencies \( \omega \) such that \( \Omega := \lambda^{-1} \omega \) is held fixed in the \( \lambda \to 0 \) limit. Expanding in small \( \lambda \), we have that
\[ \sqrt{g^{zz}} \partial_z \log T^\pm_\alpha = \frac{R_2^{-1} \zeta \Omega}{q_\alpha \zeta \Omega} (\mu_\alpha + (\pm)^\alpha) R_2 z_* k + \mathcal{O}(\lambda), \] (145)
so that Eq. (139) becomes

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix}
\zeta \Delta + R_2 m - \frac{\zeta \Omega}{q e_d + \Omega + (-)^n R_2 z_2 k}
\end{pmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix}
\Phi \pm
\end{pmatrix}
= \begin{pmatrix}
(\zeta \Delta + R_2 m) \Phi \pm - (R_2^2 z_2^2 k^2 - (q e_d + \zeta \Omega)^2) \Phi \pm = 0,
\end{pmatrix}
\end{pmatrix}
\]

(146)

For the special case \( \Omega = 0 \), alternatively in the \( \zeta \to 0 \) limit, one has the solutions

\[
\Phi \pm = a_{\alpha}^\pm z^{-\nu_k} + b_{\alpha}^\pm z^{\nu_k}, \quad \nu_k := \sqrt{R_2^2(m^2 + z_2^2 k^2) - q^2 e_d^2},
\]

(147)

for some (not independent) integration constants \( a_{\alpha}^\pm \) and \( b_{\alpha}^\pm \). Hence, in the AdS_3 region, \( \nu_k \) plays the role of an effective mass. Note that in fact the Dirac equation (146) yields analytic solutions in terms of the Whittaker functions [48, 47].

C.2 Schrödinger equation and flow equations

The Schrödinger equation consistent with the Heisenberg equation is

\[
\begin{align*}
- \kappa^2 \partial_z \Psi &= H \Psi, \\
H &= \tilde{H} \left( \Phi^+ \to \eta^+, \quad \overline{\Phi}^\pm \to \frac{\delta}{\delta \eta^\mp}, \quad \overline{\Phi}^\mp \to \eta^\mp \right), \\
\frac{\tilde{H}}{\kappa^2} &= \int_k \sqrt{g_{zz}} \left( (\kappa^2)^2 \frac{\delta}{\delta \eta^\pm} T^{-1}_a \frac{\delta}{\delta \eta^{-1}_a} + \eta^{-1}_a \eta^+_a \kappa^2 m \eta^+_a \frac{\delta}{\delta \eta^+_a} + \kappa^2 m \eta^+_a \frac{\delta}{\delta \eta^+_a} \right).
\end{align*}
\]

(148)

Let us consider an ansatz for the wave-functional of the form \( \Psi[\eta^+, \eta^-] \) defined by

\[
\Psi[\eta^+, \eta^-] = \exp \left[ - \int_k \left( \eta^{-1}_a F_a \eta^+_a + \eta^+_a J_a^+ + C_a \right) \right],
\]

(149)

where coefficients \( F_a, J_a^+, \overline{J}^+_a \) depend on \( z \). Then, the Schrödinger equation yields the equations (39) for the coefficients with solutions given by [41].

C.3 Extension to higher dimensions

Here we give a comment on the extension to other dimensions. The choice of the representation of the \((1 + 3)\)-dimensional Dirac matrices was made to make the Dirac operator diagonal. In order to keep our analysis above even in higher dimensions, we have to keep the diagonal structure of the projectors \( \Pi_\alpha \). In the extension to higher dimensions, for explicit constructions, it is convenient to introduce the tensor notation

\[
A := \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow A \otimes B = \begin{pmatrix} aB & bB \\ cB & dB \end{pmatrix}.
\]

(150)

Then, the \((1 + 3)\)-dimensional case is

\[
\begin{align*}
\Gamma^\Sigma &= 1 \otimes \sigma_3, \quad \Gamma^\tau = 1 \otimes i \sigma_1, \quad \Gamma^\tilde{\tau} = -\sigma_3 \otimes \sigma_2, \quad \Gamma^\tilde{\Sigma} = \sigma_1 \otimes \sigma_2. 
\end{align*}
\]

(151)

In \((1 + 4)\)-dimensions, \( \Gamma^3 \) can be chosen to be proportional to a product of all Dirac matrices in \((1 + 3)\)-dimensional.

\[
\Gamma^\Sigma = 1 \otimes \sigma_3, \quad \Gamma^\tau = 1 \otimes i \sigma_1, \quad \Gamma^\tilde{\tau} = -\sigma_3 \otimes \sigma_2, \quad \Gamma^\tilde{\Sigma} = \sigma_1 \otimes \sigma_2, \quad \Gamma^\Sigma = \sigma_2 \otimes \sigma_2. 
\]

(152)

In \((1 + 5)\)-dimension, in order to keep the diagonal structure of the projectors \( \Pi_\alpha \), the first three matrices \( \Gamma^\Sigma, \Gamma^\tilde{\tau}, \Gamma^\tilde{\Sigma} \) should have the same structure as the lower dimensional cases. This is realized by multiplying the three by the unit matrix of size 2.

\[
\begin{align*}
\Gamma^\Sigma &= 1 \otimes \sigma_3 \otimes 1, \quad \Gamma^\tau = 1 \otimes i \sigma_1 \otimes 1, \quad \Gamma^\tilde{\tau} = -\sigma_3 \otimes \sigma_2 \otimes 1, \\
\Gamma^\tilde{\Sigma} &= \sigma_1 \otimes \sigma_2 \otimes 1, \quad \Gamma^3 = \sigma_2 \otimes \sigma_2 \otimes \sigma_2, \quad \Gamma^\tilde{\Sigma} = \sigma_2 \otimes \sigma_2 \otimes \sigma_3.
\end{align*}
\]

(153)

\[\text{More generally, one could consider a term of the form } \eta^\alpha F_a \eta^\alpha. \] However, since the Hamiltonian is diagonal, it is consistent, for simplicity, to concentrate on flows for which the off-diagonal components are put to zero.
In this way, we can continue explicit constructions of the Dirac matrices. Thus, all the analyses above hold for higher dimensions.

D Derivation of path integral from the operator formalism

In this section, we derive a path integral representation of a transition amplitude from the anti-commutation relations and the Hamiltonian. Especially, the boundary actions, which are usually required classically to ensure a well-defined variational problem of a classical action, will be derived quantum mechanically.

Let us derive the path integral representation of the following transition amplitude

$$
\langle \chi_+, \chi_- | P e^{-\int_0^t dz \hat{H}} | \chi_+, \chi_- \rangle ,
$$

(154)

with a radial Hamiltonian in a quadratic form:

$$
\hat{H} := \int_k \sqrt{g_{zz}} \left[ \chi_+ h_{++} \chi_+ + \chi_+ h_{+-} \chi_- + \chi_- h_{-+} \chi_+ + \chi_- h_{--} \chi_- \right].
$$

(155)

A path integral representation is obtained by dividing a transition amplitude into a product of infinitesimal transition amplitudes with inserting the completeness relation

$$
\prod_{k=1}^{N-1} \left\{ \int d^4 \chi_k \right\} T(N, N - 1) C(N - 1) T(N - 1, N - 2) \cdots T(2, 1) C(1) T(1, 0) ,
$$

(156)

where $\epsilon := l/N$, $d^4 \chi_k := d\chi^{(k)}_+ d\chi^{(k)}_- d\chi^{(-k)}_+ d\chi^{(-k)}_-$, and $0$ and $N$ correspond to the initial time and the final time, respectively. $T(k+1, k)$ and $C(k)$ are the transition amplitude from $(k)$ to $(k+1)$ and an exponential of the completeness relation, respectively:

$$
T(k+1, k) := \langle \chi^{(k+1)}_+, \chi^{(k)}_- | T e^{-\epsilon \hat{H}} | \chi^{(k)}_+, \chi^{(k)}_- \rangle ,
$$

$$
C(k) := e^{\epsilon \hat{H}(k)(0)} ,
$$

(157)

The infinitesimal transition amplitude $T(k, k-1)$ becomes

$$
T(k, k-1) = e^{-\epsilon H(k,k-1)} + f_k \langle \chi^{(k-1)}_- \chi^{(k)}_+ - \chi^{(k-1)}_+ \chi^{(k)}_- \rangle ,
$$

$$
H(k, k-1) := \int_k \sqrt{g_{zz}} \left[ \chi^{(k-1)}_- h_{+-} + \chi^{(k)}_- h_{+} + \chi^{(k)}_+ h_{-} + \chi^{(k)}_+ h_{+} \right].
$$

Let us see the exponents of $T(k+1, k)C(k)T(k, k-1)C(k-1)$ in (156),

$$
- \epsilon H(k+1, k) - \epsilon H(k, k-1)
$$

$$
+ \int_k \left\{ \alpha(-\chi^{(k)}_+ \chi^{(k+1)}_+ - \chi^{(k+1)}_+ \chi^{(k)}_-) + \beta(-\chi^{(k)}_- \chi^{(k+1)}_+ - \chi^{(k+1)}_+ \chi^{(k)}_-) 
$$

$$
+ \alpha(-\chi^{(k-1)}_+ \chi^{(k)}_+ + \chi^{(k)}_+ \chi^{(k-1)}_+) + \beta(-\chi^{(k-1)}_- \chi^{(k)}_+ + \chi^{(k)}_+ \chi^{(k-1)}_-) 
$$

$$
+ \alpha(-\chi^{(k-1)}_- \chi^{(k)}_- + \chi^{(k)}_- \chi^{(k-1)}_-) + \beta(-\chi^{(k-1)}_+ \chi^{(k)}_- + \chi^{(k)}_- \chi^{(k-1)}_+) 
$$

$$
+ \alpha(-\chi^{(k-1)}_+ \chi^{(k)}_+ + \chi^{(k)}_+ \chi^{(k-1)}_+) + \beta(-\chi^{(k-1)}_- \chi^{(k)}_+ + \chi^{(k)}_- \chi^{(k-1)}_-) \right\},
$$

(158)

where each exponent of $T, C$ is divided into two parts through $\alpha + \beta = 1$. First, let us extract four terms from the terms with $\alpha$-coefficients, which are the first term in the second line, the first and second terms in the third line and the second term in the fourth line, of the last expression (158). The sum of the four terms becomes

$$
- \alpha \sum_{k=1}^{N-1} \chi^{(k)}_+ \chi^{(k+1)}_+ + \alpha \sum_{k=0}^{N-2} \chi^{(k+1)}_+ \chi^{(k)}_- .
$$

(159)

48 This is just for simplicity. Actually, we do not have to limit ourselves to a quadratic form as seen from the following derivation.
One can see that this becomes a kinetic term after the $\epsilon \to 0$ limit. Similarly, let us extract four terms from the terms with $\beta$-coefficients, which are the fourth term in the second line, the third and fourth terms in the third line and the third term in the fourth line, of (159). The sum of the four terms becomes

$$
\beta \sum_{k=0}^{N-2} (\chi_+^{(k+1)} - \chi_+^{(k)})\chi_+^{(k+1)} - \beta \sum_{k=1}^{N-1} (\chi_-^{(k+1)} - \chi_-^{(k)})\chi_-^{(k)}.
$$

(160)

One can see that this becomes a kinetic term after the $\epsilon \to 0$ limit. Then, gathering all factors and extracting the exponent taking these two types of combinations into account, we find

$$
-\epsilon \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} H(k + 1, k)
+ \int_k \epsilon \left[ -\alpha \sum_{k=1}^{N-1} \chi_+^{(k+1)}(\chi_+^{(k)} - \chi_+^{(k)})\epsilon^{-1} + \alpha \sum_{k=0}^{N-2} \chi_+^{(k+1)}(\chi_+^{(k+1)} - \chi_-^{(k)})\epsilon^{-1}
+ \beta \sum_{k=0}^{N-2} (\chi_-^{(k+1)} - \chi_-^{(k)})\epsilon^{-1}(\chi_+^{(k+1)} - \chi_-^{(k)})\epsilon^{-1}
+ \beta \sum_{k=1}^{N-1} (\chi_-^{(k+1)} - \chi_-^{(k)})\epsilon^{-1}(\chi_-^{(k+1)} - \chi_-^{(k)})\epsilon^{-1}\right]
+ \int_k \left[ -\alpha \chi_+^{(N)}\chi_-^{(N)} - \beta \chi_+^{(N)}\chi_-^{(N)} - \beta \chi_-^{(N)}\chi_-^{(N)} - \alpha \chi_+^{(N)}\chi_-^{(N)}\right].
$$

(161)

The second line results from the first combination [159] and the third line results from the second combination [160]. After taking $N \to \infty$, we find

$$
\int dz \left[ \int_k \left( -\alpha \chi_+^{(N)} - \beta \chi_-^{(N)} - \alpha \chi_+^{(N)} - \beta \chi_-^{(N)} - \alpha \chi_+^{(N)} - \beta \chi_-^{(N)} \right) - H \right]
+ \int_k \left[ -\alpha \chi_+^{(N)} - \beta \chi_-^{(N)} \right]_{z=\epsilon} + \int_k \left[ -\alpha \chi_+^{(N)} - \beta \chi_-^{(N)} \right]_{z=\epsilon},
$$

(162)

which consists of $-S$ plus boundary actions at two ends. The boundary actions coincide with those obtained [43]. One can see that partial integrations of the kinetic terms of the Dirac action corresponds to changes of pairings in taking all products of the infinitesimal transition amplitudes.

E Counterterm action

In AdS/CFT, if we start from the classical action with no counterterm action, it is difficult to take a well-defined continuum limit $\epsilon_0 \to 0$ and get a correct answer. For example, a two-point function generally contains contact terms with integer powers of momentum $k_\mu$, which dominate over non-local terms with non-integer powers of $k_\mu$ in the continuum limit. Since the non-local term with the lowest power gives the correct scaling behavior of two-point functions, we need to eliminate the dominating contact terms. The counterterm action is added to fulfil this purpose [4]. We adopt the Poincare coordinate $ds^2 \sim z^{-2}(dz^2 + \cdots)$ near the AdS boundary. For details of holographic renormalization and the counterterm action, see, e.g., [16][58].

E.1 Scalar case

The GKP-Witten relation with the counterterm action in our language is

$$
\left\langle \exp \int_k \phi_0 O \right\rangle_{\text{ct, } \epsilon_0}^{\text{std}} = (\text{IR } U(\epsilon_0) e^{S_{ct}} |\phi_0\rangle) .
$$

(163)

49 Of course, in addition, the rescaling of boundary fields is also needed to make correlation functions finite in the $\epsilon_0 \to 0$ limit.
Then, the above question is to find a UV generating function corresponding to

The discussion is parallel to the scalar case. The GKP-Witten relation with the counterterm action is

One choice of the counterterm action is

where we used the same notation as (140). Note that

Let us consider the following question: if a solution to the radial Schrödinger equation is given, what couplings does the UV generating functional contain? A general solution to the radial Schrödinger equation can be written as

Let us consider the following question: if a solution to the radial Schrödinger equation is given, what couplings does the UV generating functional contain? A general solution to the radial Schrödinger equation can be written as

Then, the above question is to find a UV generating function corresponding to \(|\Psi_0\rangle\), which are derived as follows:

E.2 Fermion case

The discussion is parallel to the scalar case. The GKP-Witten relation with the counterterm action is

One choice of the counterterm action is

where we used the same notation as \([140]\). Note that \(\phi_- \sim z^{-m}\) if a fermion is of mass \(m\). Now, let us assume that we have a solution to the radial Schrödinger equation given by

Then, the corresponding UV generating functional is
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