Application of aerosol therapy in respiratory diseases in children: A Saudi expert consensus
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Abstract:
The Saudi Pediatric Pulmonology Association (SPPA) is a subsidiary of the Saudi Thoracic Society (STS), which consists of a group of Saudi experts with well-respected academic and clinical backgrounds in the fields of asthma and other respiratory diseases. The SPPA Expert Panel realized the need to draw up a clear, simple to understand, and easy to use guidance regarding the application of different aerosol therapies in respiratory diseases in children, due to the high prevalence and high economic burden of these diseases in Saudi Arabia. This statement was developed based on the available literature, new evidence, and experts’ practice to come up with such consensuses about the usage of different aerosol therapies for the management of respiratory diseases in children (asthma and nonasthma) in different patient settings, including outpatient, emergency room, intensive care unit, and inpatient settings. For this purpose, SPPA has initiated and formed a national committee which consists of experts from concerned specialties (pediatric pulmonology, pediatric emergency, clinical pharmacology, pediatric respiratory therapy, as well as pediatric and neonatal intensive care). These committee members are from different healthcare sectors in Saudi Arabia (Ministry of Health, Ministry of Defence, Ministry of Education, and private healthcare sector). In addition to that, this committee is representing different regions in Saudi Arabia (Eastern, Central, and Western region). The subject was divided into several topics which were then assigned to at least two experts. The authors searched the literature according to their own strategies without central literature review. To achieve consensus, draft reports and recommendations were reviewed and voted on by the whole panel.
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The morbidity and mortality associated with respiratory diseases in children represent a major health problem all over the world.[1] In Saudi Arabia, respiratory diseases were reported by the Saudi Ministry of Health as the fifth leading cause of death in the Kingdom in 2014.[2] In Saudi Arabia, the contemporary lifestyle, including exposure to tobacco smoke and pets, can be the reason behind the significant increase in the prevalence of bronchial asthma (one of the most common chronic diseases among children) during the past years.[3]

Aerosolized drugs are frequently prescribed since ancient times to patients to treat bronchospasms, decrease airway inflammation, enhance mucus clearance, as well as prevent or treat an infection.[4]

The use of therapeutic aerosol was first proposed by the ancient Egyptians, dating back to ≈1554 BC by heating leaves of a specific plant and inhaling vapors produced during the heating process.[5]
Abu’ Ali al-Husayn ibn Sina described the use of opium for a variety of diseases, including severe cough by smoking or nasal inhalation." Aerosol therapy was the first to be described for asthma back in India. Hippocrates (460–377 BC) used a pot with a hole in the lid to deliver various vapors to treat several illnesses. Galen of Pergamon, a Greek physician in the early second century, used inhaled powdered drugs to treat nasal and chest diseases. Ma Huang remedies used by the Chinese 5000 years ago were shown to contain ephedrine, which was shown to be an effective treatment for asthma. In 1885, Japanese chemist Nagayoshi Nagai continued to use ephedrine for the management of cough and other respiratory illnesses. In the first century AD, smoking Datura was considered as a therapeutic practice for treating asthma. Inhalation of steam to treat asthmatic episodes was a technique introduced by Roman physician Caelius Aurelianus during the 5th or 6th century AD. From the fall of Rome (476 AD) to the beginning of the industrial revolution (c. 1760 AD), there were no outstanding advances in inhalation and aerosol delivery devices, and people continued to rely on previously described techniques. Arab physician living in Baghdad from 850 to 932 AD, Rhazes described the use of arsenic to treat respiratory diseases using a sponge wetted with the substance and placed on the patient’s mouth and nose. Inhalation of therapeutic aerosols was dramatically changed by Maimonides (1138–1204 AD), who was working for Saladin, the sultan of Egypt (1137 or 1138–1193 AD). He proposed inhaling fumes from burned leaves of herbs. Christopher Bennet, an English physician, is credited with describing the oldest known inhalation device. Nebulizers and early dry powder inhalers (DPIs) were introduced with the emergence of the industrial revolution in 1760. English physicians Philip Stern and John Mudge described various inhalation techniques and devices that were targeting the general public. Maw and Sons in London marketed a ceramic inhaler known as Nelson inhaler in the 1800s. Innovation in pharmaceutical aerosol delivery devices and techniques was reported in the last half of the 19th century. This period was marked by the introduction of nebulizers, DPIs, and asthma cigarettes. Bleyer published a paper in the Annual Meeting of the American Medical Association describing drug delivery of various substances into bronchi in 1890. Wyeth pencil inhaler was also introduced during this period. The device was intended to vaporize menthol for patients. Atomizers and nebulizers reshaped aerosol drug delivery. Dr. Auphon from France was the first to develop an atomizer device in 1849, followed by Jean Sales Girons, who made a portable device with a similar function. Using Swiss physicist Daniel Bernoulli and Italian physicist Giovanni Battista Venturi principles, German physician Bergson developed the Hydrokonium, a rubber squeeze bulb device to deliver medications in the form of aerosol in 1862. This paved the way for more advancement and allowed further development into portable devices in the early 20th century. Wright nebulizer was the first plastic nebulizer introduced in 1950. Currently, novel advances are available in inhaled drug delivery and its applications. This allowed
Types of Nebulizing Inhalation Devices

Types of nebulizers include (a) pneumatic jet nebulizers, (b) ultrasonic nebulizers (USNs), and (c) vibrating mesh nebulizers (VMNs). If categorized based on their reservoir size, small-volume nebulizers (SVNs) typically hold 5–20 ml while large-volume nebulizers hold up to 200 ml. The latter is typically used for bland aerosol therapy of continuous nebulization of medication.\textsuperscript{[21-23]}

Jet nebulizers

Gas flowing through a restricted orifice (jet) is used to operate standard SVNs under the control of a high-pressure gas source. This flow of gas through a narrow tube tends to draw a solution. As solution impacts against baffles, it is broken into smaller droplets ranging from 0.1 to 500 μm.\textsuperscript{[24]} Figure 1 provides the structure of a jet nebulizer.

Several factors affect nebulizer performance and drug delivery. These include (a) gas flow and pressure, (b) gas density, and (c) humidity and temperature. Higher flows produce smaller particle size droplets and reduce medication delivery time. This is also true when using higher driving pressure to operate the device. Consequently, devices that are designed to be operated using a higher pressure source may not be suitable for home use.\textsuperscript{[21,22,23,25]} Jet nebulizers driven by a lower density gas source will have less aerosol impaction in airways with enhanced drug delivery to lungs. When using an oxygen–helium (Heliox) mixture gas, the flow should be corrected to avoid an associated drop in aerosol output. Flow should be twice to thrice of that used on a standard flowmeter.\textsuperscript{[26]} Nebulizer output can be greatly reduced due to evaporation and reduced temperature during the operation of a nebulizer, leading to reduced particle size.\textsuperscript{[27]} Jet nebulizers are classified into the following: (a) jet nebulizer with reservoir tube, (b) jet nebulizer with collection bag, (c) breath-actuated jet nebulizer, and (d) breath-enhanced jet nebulizer, with the former being the most commonly used device for drug delivery. Jet nebulizers offer an advantage with enhanced delivery of medication in the reservoir bag with consecutive inspiration. Aerosol delivery occurs only during inspiration when using breath-actuated nebulizers, contributing to less medication loss during expiration. Breath-enhanced nebulizers utilize a one-way valve to trap medications within the nebulizer, preventing loss of medication to the external environment.\textsuperscript{[28]}

Ultrasonic nebulizers

USNs use high-frequency vibrations from an electrical source. Aerosol generation is believed to occur because of cavitation and/or acoustic streaming.\textsuperscript{[29]} Several drugs can be delivered to the respiratory tract using USNs, which include bronchodilator, anti-inflammatory agents, and antibiotics. These devices are associated with a high cost and a low rate of reliability.\textsuperscript{[30]} Figure 2 provides the structure of an ultrasonic nebulizer.

Vibrating mesh nebulizers

VMNs utilize micropump technology to deliver...
medication. Vibrating piezoceramic elements vibrate aperture plate. Vibration rate of up to 130 kHz allows for the movement of plate up and down, resulting in an electronic pump.\textsuperscript{[21]} These devices tend to produce consistent and enhanced aerosol generation efficiency. Particle size is usually exceptionally fine permitting enhanced delivery into the peripheral lung. Furthermore, low-drug volumes are needed. Optimal drug delivery can be increased by adjusting (a) pore size, (b) aerosol chamber reservoir, and (c) output rate.\textsuperscript{[30]} Figure 3 provides the structure of a vibrating mesh nebulizer. Table 1 shows the characteristics of the commonly used nebulizers.\textsuperscript{[31,32]}

## Lung Deposition

An aerosol is defined as “any system of solid particles or liquid droplets of sufficiently small diameter to maintain some stability as suspension in air.”\textsuperscript{[33]} It can be classified into monodisperse where the particles have approximately the same size as well as heterodisperse or polydisperse when different sizes are involved. However, the perfect monodisperse system does not exist, and it is widely accepted that if a relative standard deviation percent is <20% p/p, an aerosol can be called monodisperse.\textsuperscript{[34]}

For the treatment of respiratory disorders, different types of inhalers are used. Drug particles are deposited in the respiratory system, depending on the drug’s physical and chemical properties and the host’s physiology.

### Mechanisms of deposition

Although there are many mechanisms for lung deposition, only three mechanisms are important: inertial impaction, gravitational sedimentation, and Brownian diffusion,\textsuperscript{[34,35]} as shown in Figure 4.

#### Inertial impaction

The deposition of majority of drug particles larger than a few micrometers occurs by inertial impaction. When the particles are heavy or traveling at high speed, this may lead to the particles being unable to follow a change in direction, and as a result, they will impact on the airway wall.\textsuperscript{[34,35]}

#### Gravitational sedimentation

Particle sedimentation is driven by the gravitational force which is balanced by air resistance. Particle sizes range from 0.5 to 5 μm and may travel to peripheral parts of the lung where they can settle onto smaller airways. This can occur during quiet breathing or breath holding.\textsuperscript{[34,35]}

#### Brownian diffusion

For particles smaller than 0.5 μm, Brownian diffusion is the most significant mechanism of deposition. Here, particles inside the airways may be displaced by the random bombardment of gas molecules which impact with the airway walls.\textsuperscript{[34,35]}

#### Insignificant mechanisms

These include electrical charge force, diffusiophoresis, thermophoresis, and simple contact.\textsuperscript{[35]}

### Concept of aerodynamic particle diameter

The parameters that most effect particle transports into the respiratory tract are the particle size, density, velocity, and time. The particle size of an aerosol is a crucial physical property, affecting lung drug deposition. In addition, aerodynamic diameter controls particle deposition in the lungs, rather than geometric diameter. The aerodynamic diameter is a product of geometric diameter and the square root of density as indicated above (pd\textsuperscript{2}), where p denotes particle density and d denotes geometric diameter.

### Table 1: Characteristics of clinically commonly used nebulizers

| Type                  | Advantages                                                                 | Disadvantages                                                                 |
|-----------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Jet nebulizer         | Simple structure, durable, widely used in clinical practice                 | Noisy, Requiring compressed air supply or power (generally AC power) to drive |
|                       | A nasal sinus jet nebulizer with superimposed oscillation waves can spread the drug through oscillation, effectively deposit in nasal sinus cavities and moisten nasal sinus mucosa, also suitable for children | When using nasal sinus jet nebulizers, it is required to close the soft palate and hold the breath, which is difficult to master; therefore, medical staff is needed to instruct before patients master the inhalation method |
| Ultrasonic nebulizer  | Large amount of aerosol release, quiet, and noise free                      | Requiring power (generally AC power), Easy drug degeneration, Easy inhalation of excessive moisture |
|                       |                                                                             | Easilly affect suspensions concentrations with different water solubilities |
| Vibrating mesh nebulizer| Quiet and noise free, compact, and lightweight, battery-driven             | Requiring power (batteries), Durability has not been confirmed, and there are limited types to choose from |
|                       | Liquids can be placed above the breathing tube, without any backflow preventing contamination from the solution in the tube | |
|                       | Amount of nebulizing inhalation of the drug can be adjusted at any time     | |
Furthermore, particles with the same value of the product \( \text{pd}^2 \) exhibit identical deposition. On the other hand, a particle becomes less dense when it becomes more porous, resulting in decreased aerodynamic diameter. Accordingly, as density decreases, particles that are larger in geometric diameter can deposit deeper into the lung region, because they are smaller in aerodynamic diameter.\[^{[36]}\]

Aerodynamic diameter of the particles can be defined as the diameter of a fictitious sphere of unit density, which settles with the same velocity as the particles in question under the action of gravity.\[^{[35]}\]

**Methods of studying deposition**

In many devices, after inhalation, no more than 20% of the inhaled dose reaches the lung, and 80% is deposited in the oropharyngeal region and therefore is swallowed. The fraction delivered to the lung is either cleared by the mucociliary escalator and swallowed or absorbed into the systemic circulation.\[^{[37]}\] More details are shown in Figure 5.\[^{[38]}\]

The drug proportion which reaches the systemic circulation has the potential to cause extrapulmonary adverse effects such as in case of corticosteroids which may cause osteoporosis and Cushing’s syndrome. However, the corticosteroid absorbed from the gut undergoes first-pass metabolism and these adverse effects are minimized. Corticosteroids are generally highly affected by first-pass metabolism. As an example, budesonide is metabolized up to 89%, fluticasone >99%, and mometasone >99%.\[^{[39]}\]

There are many methods to investigate drug deposition in the lung classified into two main types: *in vivo* such as the pharmacokinetic and scintigraphic methods and *in vitro* methods which have the potential value in predicting lung deposition. These methods have a major role in the quality control for inhaled formulations; examples include dose emission and particle size distribution.

The information that is available from these *in vivo* and *in vitro* studies includes total lung dose, extrapulmonary delivery, drug distribution within the respiratory system, relationship between lung dose and therapeutic effect, and influence of factors such as disease, inhalation technique, and intra- and inter-patient variability.\[^{[35]}\]

**In vivo methods**

**Imaging**

There are three main methods for imaging, planar gamma scintigraphy, single photon emission computed tomography, and positron emission tomography. The
main advantage of these imaging techniques over others is the ability to localize deposition within the body, including extrapulmonary and the distribution throughout the airways. However, there are safety issues. All imaging methods that use radionucleotides expose the subjects to health risks, and the risks are more pronounced in children than in adults. In practice, imaging techniques are subject to a number of operational challenges including labeling of the drug formulation and interpretation of the images produced.

Pharmacodynamics methods

A good relationship between lung deposition and the effects for both bronchodilators and steroids is well documented. In addition, some research has shown a relationship between the pattern of deposition and the pharmacodynamic effects, especially for inhaled steroids. There has, however, been limited work in this area because the therapeutic effect of inhaled steroids needs weeks to be seen, while for β2 agonists, doses are usually administered at close to/or supramaximal level, making the doses closer to the plateau of the dose–response curve. Pharmacokinetic methods

Pharmacokinetic methods are used to evaluate the lung deposition. Although they do not generally provide information on the distribution of drug into different regions of the lungs, these methods estimate total systemic delivery via oral and inhaled routes by means of area under the curve data or urinary excretion of the drug.

In vitro METHODS

In vitro methods carried out by pharmaceutical industry contribute in a major way to drug development. Alongside this, researchers use in vitro methods to predict the drug deposition in the lung. Pharmacological effects of an inhaled drug are greatly influenced by the amount of drug depositing in the lower airways. Several methods can be utilized to characterize the particle size of a drug. In practice, it is possible to broadly categorize these into two areas: optical and inertial methods.

Optical methods

Optical methods include microscopy, time-of-flight (TOF) aerodynamic particle size analyzers, light interaction methods - optical particle counters, laser diffractometry, and phase Doppler particle analysis. These instruments provide rapid techniques, and in addition, TOF instruments measure the aerodynamic particle size. Disadvantages of these methods are that they are not drug specific and lack the capability of a direct assay for the active pharmaceutical ingredient (API), due to the inability to differentiate between drug particles and carrier particles.

Inertial impaction method

The inertial cascade impaction is the gold standard to determine the aerodynamic characteristic of emitted doses. Generally, it is the method most acceptable to the regulatory agencies as it is based on the inertial impaction concept. Since the inhaled formulations comprise a combination of the active pharmaceutical ingredient (API) and other excipients, it is important to measure the API. The method also uses the entire dose as sample and can measure the aerodynamic size. A disadvantage is that it is calibrated only at fixed-flow rates.

Pulmonary Delivery Devices

Inhaled drug products are exceedingly popular for drug delivery through the lung or nasal mucosa for local or systemic therapy. Inhaled bronchodilators and corticosteroids are the mainstay for the treatment of asthma.

The inhaled drug devices are classified into three main categories: nebulizer, pressurized metered dose inhaler (pMDI), or DPI. Most clinical evidence shows that all these devices will work for most situations of acute exacerbation and stable cases. Pressurized metered dose inhaler

pMDI was first introduced during the first half of the 20th century. At first, they were known as “MDI,” but the term “pMDI” has become more popular, to differentiate them from other nonpressurized metered dose devices such as DPIs and other multidose devices. It has become the most popular dosage form for the delivery of drug to the respiratory tract. pMDI consists of propellants, drug formulation, a metering valve and actuator, as illustrated in Figure 6, all of these play roles in the particle size,
the spray formation, and as a result, in determining drug delivery to the lungs.

One of the most viable components of a pMDI is its propellant. The propellant creates the force needed to generate the aerosol cloud. Chlorofluorocarbons (CFCs) met these requirements and pMDIs have conventionally used CFC as the major propellant.

Recently, pMDIs containing CFCs have been replaced because the use of CFCs was banned under international agreement because of their effect on the ozone layer. As result, hydrofluoroalkanes (HFAs) have replaced CFCs. Formulations containing HFAs either tetrafluoroethane (HFA-134a) or heptafluoropropane (HFA-227), are usually used. Overall pMDIs that use CFC-free propellants have continued to challenge formulation scientists to develop efficient pMDI devices.

Actuator is usually made from plastic and its design is a factor in determining the aerosol particle size, particularly nozzle diameter, ranging between 0.14 and 0.6 mm, and length.

Breath-actuated pressurized metered dose inhalers
Patient coordination of actuation with inhalation can be a problem with pMDIs, especially in certain groups of patients such as the young, elderly, or chronically ill. To overcome this problem, breath-actuated pMDIs can be used since they are sensitive to patient inhalation through the device and fire the inhaler simultaneously with patient’s inhalation. Autohaler, Easibreathe, K-Haler, MD Turbo, Xelovent, and Smartmist are the examples of such devices, and there are several more under development. Another mechanism to overcome this problem is the addition of a spacer device or integrated spacer mouthpiece. Examples include Aerohaler, Azmacort pMDI, and Spacehaler.

Advantages and disadvantages of pMDIs are listed in Table 2.

Adjunct devices
Spacers slow down the particles and make the coordination between actuation and inhalation less critical. In addition, spacers increase the proportion of the inhaled dose that is delivered to the airways to produce the needed effect of the drug and reduce the systemically absorbed proportion that usually causes unwanted effect. pMDIs with large-volume spacers deposit at minimum 30% more drug in the lung while deposit 60% less drug in the patient’s body. This results in reducing oropharyngeal deposition as well as reducing the systemic side effects of steroids including growth restriction in children.

Large spacers in most of the cases have a valve system to permit the drug to stay in suspension phase while it is being inhaled. The dose may be reduced due to the accumulation of electrostatic charge. Accordingly, the drug is absorbed on to the plastic surface. An optimal solution to this problem is to soak the spacer in a diluted household detergent solution and then allow drip-drying while rinsing the spacer with

| Advantages                                                                 | Disadvantages                                                                 |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Convenient, availability to use                                           | Drug delivery is significantly affected by inhalation technique               |
| Cost                                                                       | Needs propellants                                                             |
| Number of doses may reach 100                                             | High velocity of particles leads to high oropharyngeal deposition             |
| High pressure protects its contents against bacteria and moisture         |                                                                               |

Table 3: Characteristics of aerosol inhalers

| Technique of generation of aerosol                                        | pMDI                        | DPI                        | Nebuliser          |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------|
| Particle size (μ)                                                         | 1-10                        | 1-10                      | Variable           |
| Drug deposition (%)                                                       | 5-10                        | 9-30                      | 2-10               |
| Oropharyngeal deposition                                                  | Significant                 | Variable                   | Insignificant      |
| Patient coordination                                                      | Required                    | Not applicable             | Not required       |
| Breath hold                                                               | Required                    | Not required               | Not required       |
| Patient generation of flow                                                | Not required                | Required                   | Not required       |
| Amount of drug                                                            | Small doses                 | Small doses               | Large doses possible|
| Contamination                                                             | No                          | No                        | Possible           |
| Use for chronic therapy                                                   | Yes                         | Yes                       | Rarely             |
| Use for emergency management                                              | No                          | Yes                       | Yes                |
| Use for intubated patients                                                | Preferred                   | No                        | Second choice      |

pMDI=Pressurized metered dose inhaler, DPI=Dry powder inhaler
water or wiping it with towel. This will reduce deposition inside the spacer and increase the residence time.\[52-54\]

The British Thoracic Society (BTS) guidelines recommend the use of an pMDI along with a spacer in preference to a nebulizer for the treatment of a mild-to-moderate acute asthma attack.\[55\] This approach was also supported by a Cochrane systematic review which found that nebulizers were not significantly any better than pMDIs.\[56\] Advantages of using a large-volume spacers include (a) better pattern of deposition resulting in more effective treatment and less side effects, (b) largely overcoming problems of poor inhaler technique if spacers are used properly, (c) easily used by children and elderly (except those with weak or arthritic hands), (d) as effective as a nebulizer in terms of treating acute attacks while being light, cheap, maintenance-free, portable, and available on prescription, (e) useful for the management of first attacks of wheezing in patients who have never used inhalers, (f) useful for the administration of bronchodilator when testing reversibility to establish the diagnosis of asthma, and (g) reduced prescribing cost through basing the treatment on the cheap pMDIs. Cough after using a spacer and a pMDI is still a problem that may affect patient’s compliance. In one study, cough affected 30% of children after using beta-agonists and 54.5% of children after using a steroid inhalation.\[57\] The latter study concluded that the type and volume of the spacer as well as a face mask or mouthpiece did not influence cough. They stated that cough after using spacer devices delivering corticosteroids is a common side effect in asthmatic pediatrics.

**Table 4: Comparison between pressurized metered dose inhalers with holding chamber, dry powder inhalers, and nebulizers as aerosol delivery devices**

| Performance                                      | pMDI/HC | DPIs | Nebulizer |
|--------------------------------------------------|---------|------|-----------|
| Majority of aerosol particles <5 μm in size       | +       | +    | ±         |
| High pulmonary deposition                         | +       | ±    | ±         |
| Low mouth deposition                              | +       | ±    | –         |
| Reliability of dose                               | ±       | ±    | ±         |
| Not compromised by humidity                       | +       | –    | +         |
| Physical and chemical stability                    | +       | +    | +         |
| Breath actuated                                   | –       | +    | –         |
| Low risk of contamination                         | +       | +    | –         |
| Convenience                                       |         |      |           |
| Lightweight, compact                              | +       | +    | –         |
| Multiple doses                                    | +       | +    | –         |
| Dose counter                                      | ±       | +    | –         |
| Easy and quick operation                          | ±       | ±    | –         |
| Suitable for all ages                             | +       | –    | +         |

+: present; -: not present; ±: sometimes present, sometimes not.

pMDI=Pressurized metered dose inhaler, DPIs=Dry powder inhalers, HC: Holding chamber

**Dry powder inhalers**

DPIs can be as small and portable as a pMDI, but they require less coordination as drug delivery is dependent on the patient’s peak inspiratory-flow rate. DPIs are more expensive than pMDIs plus spacer, and BTS guidelines state that they are not more effective. Evidence suggests that newer DPIs are not more effective than older types.\[55\] DPIs do not include any propellant. Patients sometimes complain that they are not sure if they have taken the dose or not and that devices may be discarded before they are empty.

**Nebulizers**

Nebulization is a viable alternative for children who are not able to use a spacer device, and it is particularly relevant for “pre-schoolers.” Saudi Initiative for Asthma states that nebulized inhaled therapy is the only reliable alternative to pMDI with spacers.\[58\] Several factors should be considered in the administration of inhaled therapy in infants and young children, as they can affect the drug dose that is delivered to the lungs. These factors include nose breathing, small tidal volume (VT), no breath holding, no cooperation, and crying.\[59\]

Nebulizers are divided into three subgroups: (a) pneumatic jet nebulizers, (b) USNs, and (c) VMNs Check Section 1. If categorized based on their reservoir size, SVNs typically hold 5–20 ml, while large-volume nebulizers hold up to 200 ml. The latter is typically used for bland aerosol therapy and continuous nebulization of medication.\[21-23\] Table 3 lists the characteristics of aerosol inhalers.\[60\] Table 4 compares between pMDIs with holding chamber, DPIs, and nebulizers as aerosol delivery devices.\[61\]
Choosing the Correct Device for the Management of Different Respiratory Disorders

Asthma

**Short-acting β2-agonists and inhaled corticosteroids**

For the management of asthma in the outpatient setting, both pMDIs (with or without spacer/holding chamber) and DPIs are appropriate for the delivery of short-acting β2-agonists (SABAs) and ICSs. The adequate selection of a certain type of aerosol delivery devices in this setting should be based on the patient’s ability to use the device, the patient’s preferences for the device, the availability of the drug device combination, the compatibility between the drug and the device, the time and skills needed to properly instruct and monitor the patient regarding the use of the device appropriately, the cost of the treatment, and the financial reimbursement. A guide to the choice of delivery device in children of different ages is shown in Figure 7. Table 5 includes the recommendations for ICS aerosol delivery devices.

**Use and care of spacers**

When choosing a spacer, it must be compatible with the pMDI device. The drug needs to be administered through repeating single actuations of the drug into the spacer, and each actuation should be followed by inhalation. The delay between each actuation and the following inhalation should be minimized. It should be considered that tidal breathing has the same efficacy as single breaths. It is important to clean spacers (monthly not weekly) according to the manufacturer’s instructions to preserve their performance.

Spacers should be washed using a detergent and let to air-dry. The mouthpiece should be wiped and cleaned of any detergent.

- Drug delivery could vary significantly because of static charges. However, this is not the case with metal (or other antistatic spacers). It is important to replace plastic spacers at least annually. However, some types need to be changed every 6 months.

**Bronchiolitis**

**Epinephrine**

Some studies have revealed that epinephrine nebulization may result in reduced hospital admissions, and one study showed that combined treatment of epinephrine and steroids reduced hospital admissions. However, the evidence remains insufficient to support routine use of epinephrine in the emergency department. It may be reasonable to administer a dose of epinephrine and carefully monitor clinical response; however, unless there is clear evidence of improvement, continued use is not appropriate.

**3% hypertonic saline nebulization**

The efficiency of nebulized 3% hypertonic saline is widely debated, and definitive recommendations will likely require further accumulation of evidence. It is hypothesized that hypertonic saline enhances mucociliary clearance and rehydrates the airway surface. In addition, there is some evidence of reduced

### Table 5: Recommendations for delivery of inhaled corticosteroids aerosol delivery devices

| Age Group | Nebulizer | pMDI + spacer | DPI |
|-----------|-----------|----------------|-----|
| Infants (<2 years) | Reliable | Unpredictable | Not appropriate |
| Preschool (2-5 years) | Effective | Effective | Not appropriate |
| School age (>5 years) | Effective | Effective | Easy to use |

**pMDI=Pressurized metered dose inhaler, DPI=Dry powder inhaler**

**Techniques to improve the adherence to aerosol medications**

- Clear written explanation and demonstration should be given to the patient at the time the medication or device is prescribed
- The patient should be asked to bring the medication during every appointment to make sure he/she is using the device appropriately
- The patient should be asked about his/her adherence as well as any faced problems with the medication or the device
- The physician should follow up with the patient on unfilled prescriptions as well as refills
- The number of prescribed medications and devices should be minimized as much as possible
- Parents should be aware that they are primarily responsible for the medications’ administration by their child regardless of his/her age.
clinical severity among inpatient and outpatient populations with no reports of significant adverse events. A Cochrane review revealed that hypertonic saline nebulization may reduce the length of stay from more than 3 days to 1 day. The best treatment regimen is still unclear. However, the most commonly reported regimen in clinical trials was 3% saline, with or without a bronchodilator, delivered by jet nebulizer every 8 h.[67]

Cool mist, isotonic saline, and other therapies

Cool mist therapies and other aerosol therapies have been used for some time to manage bronchiolitis, with scant evidence supporting their efficacy. A Cochrane systematic review showed that there is no evidence to support or deny the efficacy of cool mist in the management of bronchiolitis.[68]

Other therapies can be used for the treatment of severe bronchiolitis in critically ill infants. These therapies include Heliox gas mixtures, nasal continuous positive airway pressure (CPAP), mechanical ventilatory support, and surfactant.

Cystic fibrosis

Aerosol antibiotics

Aerosol antibiotics can be beneficial for patients requiring frequent antibiotic courses to treat a high burden of bacteria that are difficult to treat. Several aerosolized antibiotics are currently available such as tobramycin, aztreonam, and colistin.

A high concentration of antibiotics such as quinolones and aminoglycosides could be delivered to the proximal airway through aerosolization with minimum systemic effects.[69] Aerosol antibiotics tend not to reach deep into the lungs due to the large amount of pus as in cystic fibrosis (CF). Accordingly, the antibiotic concentration in the most involved areas become not sufficient to kill the resistant organisms, and this will eventually induce resistance.

In addition, antibiotic aerosols may be irritating and cause bronchospasm and cough.[70,71]

For an antibiotic to be used as an aerosol, it has to be soluble and effectively delivered when aerosolized, has concentration-dependent pharmacokinetics, can penetrate through and into sputum, not degraded after nebulization. Its activity should be maintained for hours after being nebulized. Additionally, it should not cause serious adverse events if administrated systemically; it should have minimal systemic absorption.[72]

Aminoglycosides were among the first antibiotics used in the form of aerosols due to their associated risks (such as renal dysfunction and hearing problems) when administered systemically. These risks are reduced but not eliminated when aminoglycosides are administered as aerosols.[73]

Tobramycin solution was the first antibiotic to be commercially available as an aerosol for the treatment of CF disease. When 300 mg dose of tobramycin solution is administered by jet nebulization twice daily, it can improve pulmonary function, decrease the risk of infectious exacerbations, and reduce the need for intravenous (IV) therapy.[74]

It was thought that administering the tobramycin aerosol for 28 days and stopping it for 28 days will reduce the risk of bacterial resistance. However, bacterial resistance continued to increase. Accordingly, the drug should be stopped for longer durations that are sufficient to reverse time-dependent bacterial resistance.[75] The risks of renal dysfunction and hearing loss associated with tobramycin are decreased but still not eliminated when administered as an aerosol.[76]

Inhaling cumulative doses of gentamicin can result in nephrotoxicity.

Mucoactive medications

Mucoactive medications are medications that influence the secretions or clearance of mucus.

A class of mucoactive drugs is mucolytics that are used to decrease the viscosity of mucous and enhance ciliary and cough clearance. N-acetyl cysteine is a classic mucolytic, but aerosol N-acetyl cysteine has not shown any effectiveness in the treatment of lung diseases. In addition, it may be damaging to the airway of CF patients as mucin protects the airway surface. The primary beneficial effect of acetyl cysteine is that it induces cough. The only approved peptide mucolytic drug for treating CF patients is dornase alfa. It improves pulmonary function and decreases the CF exacerbation frequency. Actin depolymerizing agents show synergistic action when used with dornase as they decrease the secondary polymer network.[77]

It is suggested that hypertonic solution can improve the pulmonary functions and decrease the frequency of pulmonary exacerbations compared to normal saline.[78] Results of small studies showed that the efficacy of hypertonic saline may not be the same as that of dornase alfa in terms of improving forced expiratory volume in 1 s (FEV₁) in CF patients.[79]

Hyperosmolar saline should be administered in combination with a beta-agonist as it may cause bronchospasm. Dry powder mannitol inhalation can
be effective in improving pulmonary functions, and it shows at least the same tolerability as hyperosmolar saline.\[80\]

**Aerosol surfactants**

Surfactants can mobilize secretions, stabilize airways, and have anti-inflammatory effects. Although they are difficult to be nebulized into the airway as they tend to foam and become highly viscous, surfactants could show efficacy in terms of secretion mobilization in intubated as well as ventilated patients where the airway is partly humidified.\[64,81\]

**Anti-inflammatory drugs**

ICSs used for treating asthma are the most used anti-inflammatory drugs. Other drugs such as recombinant secretory leukoprotease inhibitors, antineutrophil elastase, and alpha-1 antitrypsin have been studied to be used as aerosols as they can reduce the activity of serine proteases in chronically inflamed airways. Antioxidants including recombinant superoxide dismutase and glutathione have been used as aerosols supported by the current studies to examine the efficacy of aerosolized glutathione as an adjuvant therapy in the treatment of CF disease.\[64,82,83\]

Cyclosporines also can be nebulized, and they may protect the airways against inflammation and allergic reactions. In addition, aerosolized cyclosporine may be effective in graft preservation in patients undergoing lung transplantation.\[84,85\]

**Tracheostomized children**

Aerosolized therapies are important treatments in the management of airway disorders that can deliver medication directly to where it is required.

Aerosolized treatment comes with several broad categories:

- For bronchodilator treatment, most commonly, this would be an SABA such as albuterol, while longer-acting β2-agonists such as salmeterol may also be used. Anticholinergic therapy such as ipratropium bromide may form part of the acute treatment of asthma. These may be used in either nebulized or pMDI form.
- Inhaled steroids may also form part of antiasthma therapy, with inhaled beclomethasone, fluticasone, and budesonide being used. Combination inhalers (fluticasone + salmeterol) may form part of regular treatments.
- Inhaled antimicrobial therapy may be nebulized. This most commonly includes not only antibiotics such as the aminoglycosides – tobramycin, amikacin, and gentamicin, but also other antibiotics such as colomycin and meropenem. Antifungals such as amphotericin/ambisome can be nebulized as can anti-
Pneumocystis jirovecii treatment such as pentamidine.
- Mucolytic therapy may be nebulized. This includes dornase alfa, hypertonic saline, N-acetyl cysteine, and carbocisteine.
- Pulmonary hypertension medication: Epoprostenol and prostacyclin.
- Palliative care: Fentanyl, diamorphine, and morphine.\[86\]

**Neuromuscular diseases**

Inhaled hypertonic saline is used in older children during acute respiratory illnesses in patients with neuromuscular diseases (NMDs). Hypertonic saline may have different mechanisms of action.\[87\] It is used as an expectorant to aid patients in producing sputum for examination.\[88\] This is probably due to its osmotic effect on increasing the depth of the airway surface fluid layer, which also improves mucociliary clearance. In addition, it may have mucolytic properties through disrupting the ionic bonds within the mucus gel and accordingly reducing entanglements and cross-linking. Similar to DNase, hypertonic saline can dissociate white cell DNA from mucoproteins, making them more amenable to being digested by proteolytic enzymes.\[89\] Inhaled hypertonic saline may have anti-inflammatory properties through increasing the level of glutathione and thiocyanate, which protect against oxidative injuries in the airway surface while possibly decreasing the level of interleukin-8 obtained from bronchoalveolar lavage fluid.

Regarding inhaled anticholinergic drugs such as ipratropium bromide, atropine, tiotropium, and glycopyrrolate, they block mucus hypersecretion that is triggered by the stimulation of M3 muscarinic receptors. Anticholinergic drugs do not increase the viscosity of mucous or reduce its normal production.\[90,91\] In hyposecretory conditions such as chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD), their use has been shown to decrease sputum volume.\[92\] No such effect has been studied in patients with NMD. One of the adverse effects of these drugs is oral dryness, and they are frequently used enterally to treat sialorrhea.\[93,94\] They are less effective against sialorrhea when used by spraying the inhalational form of the drug into the mouth.\[95\] Although excessive thickening of secretions is a common concern when using these medications enterally, it is not a frequently reported adverse effect.\[94,96\]

**General instructions when choosing an aerosol device**

Choose the most suitable device to your patient. The following points should be taken into consideration:
The choice of the device could be determined based on the drug to be used
If the patient is unable to use the device adequately, an alternative should be prescribed
The patient’s ability to use a device needs to be ensured by a competent healthcare provider
The medications need to be titrated against the clinical response to ensure the optimum efficacy
In children aged <5 years, pMDIs and spacers are the preferred method to administer beta-agonists and ICSs
A face mask is needed to be used until the child can reproducibly breathe using the spacer mouthpiece
Nebulization is an effective alternative to pMDI and spacer.

Recommendations

Asthma
- pMDI and DPI are appropriate for the delivery of SABA in the outpatient treatment of asthmatic patients
- For ICS delivery, nebulizer is the most effective delivery device in all pediatric ages, while pMDI is not suitable for infants <2 years old, and DPI is only appropriate in children >5 years old.

Bronchiolitis
- Insufficient evidence supports the routine use of epinephrine for bronchiolitis in the emergency department
- Nebulized hypertonic saline reduced clinical severity scores with no significant adverse events reported, in addition to reduced length of stay. However, the optimal treatment regimen remains unclear
- No evidence supports cool mist and other aerosols use in bronchiolitis.

Cystic fibrosis
- Aerosol antibiotics are appropriate for CF patients, especially who require repeated courses of antibiotic therapy. To avoid antibiotic resistance, the chosen antibiotic should be soluble, should penetrate into pus, resist longer in the airway, should have minimal systemic effect
- For mucolytic medications, dornase alfa is the only approved medication for CF treatment in improving pulmonary function and reducing the CF respiratory tract exacerbations frequencies
- Acetyl cysteine could be damaging to the CF airway. However, its primary beneficial effect is by inducing a cough
- Hypertonic saline study showed a significant improvement in FEV₁ in subjects with CF and fewer pulmonary exacerbations. Because hyperosmolar inhaled saline can trigger bronchospasm, it is given along with a beta-adrenergic agonist
- Inhaled dry powder mannitol is tolerated as hyperosmolar saline and the improvement of pulmonary function lasts for more than 18 months.

Tracheostomized children
- In children with tracheostomies, nebulizers and pMDIs are encouraged to be used in almost all USA centers including ICs, short-acting beta-agonists, combination therapy (long-acting bronchodilator + corticosteroid), mucolytics, and antibiotics.

Neuromuscular diseases
- In older children with NMD having acute respiratory illnesses, inhaled hypertonic saline is used as a routine expectorant, as a mucolytic, and for its inflammatory effects
- Inhaled anticholinergic has not been studied in patients with NMD.

Choosing the Best Aerosol Device by Age

Choosing an aerosol device for infants (birth to 4 years of age)
The nebulizer or pMDI with valved holding chambers (VHCs) should be selected in the administration of aerosol therapy to infants because a child younger than 4 years may not be able to practice specific breathing techniques. While pMDI provides a faster and more convenient aerosol drug administration, some infants may tolerate nebulizers better. At low VTs, particularly, VHCs are the preferred method for pMDI delivery in infants and small children. The use of breath-actuated nebulizers, breath-actuated pMDIs, or DPIs may not be reliable in children under 4 years of age.

Children younger than 3 years of age may not be able to use a mouthpiece which necessities using a mask with nebulizers and pMDIs. However, the use of a face mask is not the only option for infants, especially when nebulizers are used for aerosol therapy. Previous literature reported that a hood may provide comparable efficacy compared with face mask. While research on drug administration via high-flow nasal cannula (HFNC) is limited, its efficiency during aerosol therapy has been documented. Therefore, drug delivery through HFNC could be an alternative, especially for babies who cannot tolerate a mask during aerosol therapy. Even though clinicians use the blow-by technique in which the mask or open tube is held near the infant’s nose and mouth to deliver aerosolized medications, using the blow-by technique should be discouraged because drug delivery is decreased as the distance from the child’s face and device is increased.

Inhaled drugs should only be given to infants when they are settled and breathing quietly. Studies
demonstrate that the breathing pattern of quiet and sleeping infants results in greater inhaled drug dose than patterns of children who are awake. However, it is difficult to administer aerosol to a sleeping infant without waking them unless one is using a hood, a nasal cannula, or a stealthy placed mask. While a crying child apparently receives no aerosol drug into his lungs, most of the inhaled drug deposits in the upper airways, and much of it are then swallowed. Therefore, it is essential to develop innovative approaches to minimize distress before administering aerosol drugs.\[100\]

These approaches may include playing a game with the device to enhance its acceptance, comforting babies, as well as considering other effective forms of distraction. In addition, aerosol drugs can be given to infants when they sleep considering that the administration will not wake up or agitate the infant.

Choosing an aerosol device for preschool children (4–5 years of age)
Selection of an aerosol device is vital to ensure successful aerosol therapy in toddlers and preschool children. Nebulizers and pMDIs with VHC are recommended for use with preschool children, and drug delivery with both aerosol devices is similar.\[63\] However, longer treatment time and less portability make the nebulizer less desirable than the pMDI when drug administration is PRN (i.e. as needed), such as short-acting bronchodilators or dosing several times a day. Once children reach the age of 4 years and above, they may adequately understand the method of using pMDI or DPI successfully and may generate the sustained inspiratory-flow rate that is required for optimal use of the device.\[97,101\]

Choosing an aerosol device for young children (6–12 years of age)
Since young children between 6 and 12 years of age can often master complex inhalation techniques, it is possible to use a broader range of aerosol devices with this patient population.

For example, some children will be able to take slow inhalation and hold breath when using pMDI with or without VHC, while others can master the DPI. Breath-actuated pMDIs can eliminate hand-breath coordination problems and would be helpful for those who cannot achieve good hand-breath coordination with pMDIs. When patients stop inhaling, the Cold-Freon effect can reduce the inhaled dose. However, the cold air-apnea effect can be eliminated by means of VHC.\[102\]

It is important to realize that various types of aerosol devices deposit different fractions of the total prescribed dose (the “nominal” dose) of a given drug in the lungs. Moreover, different types of aerosol devices do not have the same nominal dose. For example, when using albuterol, the typical pMDI nominal dose is two actuations (about 200 μg) while the typical nominal dose from a nebulizer is 2.5 mg which is more than 12 times more drug.\[25\]

**Recommendations**

**Aerosol device for infants (birth to 4 years of age)**
- The nebulizer or pMDI with VHC is the best aerosol therapy in infants <4 years old. Although nebulizers are more tolerable than pMDI, breath-actuated nebulizers, breath-actuated pMDIs, or DPIs are not reliable in this group age
- With nebulizers and pMDIs, the mask is preferable than in children younger than 3 years of age
- During aerosol therapy, when nebulizers are used, a hood may provide comparable efficacy compared with face mask. HFNC is an alternative way in children who cannot tolerate a mask
- The greater inhaled drug dose is delivered when infants are settled and breathing quietly.

**Aerosol device for preschool children (4–5 years of age)**
- As for infants, nebulizers and pMDIs with VHC are recommended for use with and drug delivery with both aerosol devices is similar. On the other hand, the nebulizer is less desirable than the pMDI in a regular treatment basis
- For children aged 4 years or more, the method of using pMDI or DPI is applicable.

**Aerosol device for young children (6–12 years of age)**
- A broader range of aerosol devices can be mastered in children between 6 and 12 years of age including pMDI with or without VHC, DPI, and breath-actuated pMDIs.

**Aerosol Therapy in Pediatric Emergency Care**

Pediatric respiratory diseases are among the most common challenges in pediatric emergency. The main backbone for the management for many pediatric pulmonary diseases in emergency is the inhaled therapy. Choosing the appropriate aerosol devices in emergency is critical for rapid and effective management of the patient.\[103,104\]

**Types of inhalation devices used in pediatric emergency care**

The main inhalation devices used for pediatric patients are pMDI, DPIs, liquid metered-dose inhaler (LMDI), and nebulizers.
However, the most used devices in the emergency department are either nebulizers or pMDI due to the ease of use and the fast effect in a crowded area like the emergency room (ER).[109]

**Management of the major diseases in the emergency room**

**Asthma**

Initial management of asthma in the emergency department depends on severity of disease. The main aerosol devices used in emergency are nebulizer or pMDIs using pediatric respiratory assessment measure score or pulmonary index score to determine mild or moderate or severe exacerbation. The first-line inhaled therapy is SABA (salbutamol) while glucocorticoids are considered as the second-line treatment.[101,106,107]

**Mild asthma**

For the management of mild asthma, salbutamol inhaler can be administered through either nebulizer or pMDI where the latter is more recommended.

- Nebulizer: Salbutamol 0.15 mg/kg (minimum 2.5 mg and maximum 5 mg per dose), repeat the doses according to the condition and need of the patient
- pMDI 1/8 puff to 1/4 puff/kg (minimum four puffs and maximum eight puffs per dose).[106,107]

**Moderate asthma**

For moderate exacerbation of asthma, the following is recommended:

- Oxygen mask should be used if oxygen saturation ($\text{S}_2\text{O}_2$) level is below 92%
- Albuterol nebulization (0.15 mg/kg, maximum 5 mg) should be used for three doses every 20–30 min
- Ipratropium bromide nebulization (250 $\mu$g/dose if <20 kg; 500 $\mu$g/dose if >20 kg) is to be used every 20–30 min for three doses or continuously
- Combination of inhaled anticholinergics and $\beta_2$-agonist in children 1–18 years of age with mild, moderate, or severe exacerbations of asthma can improve lung function and decrease hospitalization[108,109]
- If $\text{S}_2\text{O}_2$ is below 90%, using nebulizer is recommended.

**Severe asthma**

- Oxygen mask should be used if $\text{S}_2\text{O}_2$ level is below 92%
- Albuterol nebulization (0.15 mg/kg, maximum 5 mg) should be used for three doses every 20–30 min
- Ipratropium bromide nebulization (250 $\mu$g/dose if <20 kg; 500 $\mu$g/dose if >20 kg) is to be used every 20–30 min for three doses or continuously
- Patients who required more doses of salbutamol can have additional doses intermittently every 30–45 min or continuously inhaled salbutamol.

**Continuous versus intermittent nebulization**

Studies comparing continuous and intermittent nebulized delivery of beta-agonists have shown similar efficacy outcomes as well as safety profiles. We recommend using continuous therapy rather than intermittently nebulized or pMDI therapy for children with moderate or severe exacerbations. It is common to use continuous salbutamol nebulizer in the emergency department for severe asthma because it is effective and safe for children.[110,111]

Compared to intermittent salbutamol nebulizer, continuous salbutamol nebulizer provides the benefit of reduced cost and minimal time to prepare the inhaled medication in emergency situation.[112] A disadvantage of continuous nebulizer is the required special preparation and design in the emergency area to avoid the refilling medication during giving the nebulizer.

**Inhaled corticosteroids for asthma management**

According to the Global Initiative for Asthma 2018, some studies showed that inhaled glucocorticoids had almost the same effect compared to oral glucocorticoids.[113-118] Double-blind, placebo-controlled studies in children suggest that high-dose ICS, given within the 1st h after presentation to the emergency department, reduces the need for hospitalization, while for hospitalized patients, the addition of nebulized budesonide to existing treatment (including oral corticosteroids) has been shown to reduce the length of hospitalization.[116]

For the management of acute severe asthma in children, the Egyptian Scientific Society of Bronchology recommends using nebulized ICS (3 doses of budesonide add on therapy over 1 h via nebulization) within the 1st h of the event. The recommended dose of budesonide is 250 $\mu$g for children aged 0–5 years or 500 $\mu$g for children aged 6 years or older.[117]

Table 6 shows the Russian Expert Pediatric Consensus on using nebulized ICS in asthma exacerbations.[118]

**Recommendations**

- The main inhaled therapy for acute asthma in the emergency department is inhaled beta-2 agonists as emergency treatment and can be intermittent nebulization, continuous nebulization, or by pMDI with a valve spacer
- Both nebulizer and pMDI have similar effects in all pediatric ages with all grades of severity
- pMDI had similar effects and may be more superior compared to nebulizer in treating bronchospasm and fewer side effects.
Advantage of nebulizer
• Inhaled medication can be given with oxygen at the same time
• Inhaled medication can be given as continues nebulize medication
• Salbutamol and ipratropium can be given together.

Advantage of pressurized metered dose inhaler
• Equal or superior compared to nebulizer in infants with bronchopulmonary dysplasia. Further, in wheezy infants <1 year and young children with moderate-to-severe asthma[119]
• Less side effect (vomiting, tremors, hypoxemia, and tachycardia) compared to nebulizers[120,121]
• Reduce wheezing more than nebulizer and can reduce admission compared by nebulizer in young children with moderate or severe asthma (30% vs. 60%).[122,123]
• ICS is a treatment option for asthma exacerbations
• For mild asthma exacerbations, the recommended treatment is budesonide inhalation suspension 1.0 mg + SABA to be given every 4–6 h till the symptoms resolve
• For moderate-to-severe asthma exacerbations, ICS 1.0 mg + SABA are to be given every 30 min for a total of three doses.

Croup
Croup or (laryngotracheitis) is a disease of upper airway illness in patients aged 6 months to 3 years. Main presentations are inspiratory stridor, barking cough, and hoarseness. It is mainly caused by parainfluenza virus. Most croup presented to emergency are mild and does not need inpatient medical intervention and is self-limited. Emergency physician should make sure that the patient can be safely discharged. There is no clear treatment for croup, and only supportive therapy for moderate and severe croup is needed. The main treatment goals are to minimize upper airway edema and keep oxygen and hydration. Croup can be graded according to more than one scoring systems including Westley score [Table 7], which considers five component items making up a total score ranging from 0 to 17 points.[124]

Recommendations
• Epinephrine nebulizer should not be used for mild croup (Westley score 0–2), and there is no need for any inhalation therapy
• The main inhalation therapy for moderate (Westley score 3–5) and severe croup (Westley score 6–11) croup is epinephrine nebulization as soon as possible with the administration of oral, intramuscular (IM), or IV dexamethasone as appropriate in addition to keeping the child calm
• Epinephrine nebulizer is recommended for all patients with moderate and severe croup according to the following[124‑127]
  • The dose for racemic epinephrine (2.25%) is 0.05 ml/kg per dose (maximum of 0.5 ml) and the dose for L-epinephrine 1:1000 or 1 mg/ml (IV) is 0.5 ml/kg per dose (maximum of 5 ml)
  • Add 3 ml of normal saline for dilution
  • Use the nebulizer for over 15 min
  • Repeated doses can be done within 15 min
  • The epinephrine nebulizer cannot be used for more than 2 h due to rebound phenomena where croup could be getting worse
  • Consider observation in the ER for 3–4 h after nebulization.
• Few studies done on inhaled beclomethasone (on small samples) showed the same improvement in the clinical croup score compared to IM dexamethasone[113]
• If SaO2 is <92%, oxygen mask should be used
• Humidified air can be comforting for children, but it does not result in any significant improvement in croup score.[128]

Table 6: Russian Expert Pediatric Consensus; place of nebulized inhaled corticosteroid in asthma exacerbations therapy

| Severity of exacerbations | Treatment options* |
|--------------------------|--------------------|
| Mild                     | Budesonide suspension - 0.5 mg 2 times/day for 5-7 days, then lower the dose for 50% |
| Moderate                 | Budesonide suspension - 0.5 mg 2 times/day until symptom’s resolution |
| Severe**                 | Budesonide suspension - 1 mg 2 times/day until symptom’s resolution |

Strictly SABA is short for Short-acting β2-agonist. *Short-acting β2-agonist SABA or SABA + ipratropium bromide, **Systemic corticosteroids.

Table 7: Croup scoring system of Westley et al.

| Symptoms                  | Croup score |
|---------------------------|-------------|
|                           | 0 | 1 | 2   | 3   | 4   | 5   |
| Stridor                   | None | With agitation only | At rest |
| Retractions               | None | Mild | Moderate | Severe |
| Cyanosis                  | None | Cyanosis with agitation | Cyanosis at rest |
| Level of consciousness    | Normal (including asleep) | Cyanosis at rest |
| Air entry                 | Normal | Decreased | Markedly decreased |

![Table 6: Russian Expert Pediatric Consensus; place of nebulized inhaled corticosteroid in asthma exacerbations therapy](image1)

![Table 7: Croup scoring system of Westley et al.](image2)
Bronchiolitis

Bronchiolitis is one of the lower respiratory system diseases that affect children younger than 2 years, and it is a leading cause of hospital as well as intensive care unit (ICU) admission. It is mainly caused by respiratory syncytial virus (RSV). The main treatment option for bronchiolitis is supportive therapy, and there are many conflicts in the management of bronchiolitis in the guidelines and in practice.

Recommendations

- For nonsevere bronchiolitis:
  - No routine inhaled therapy is recommended
  - The management is mainly supportive in most of the cases
  - No pharmacological intervention is needed
  - Using bronchodilators or epinephrine nebulizers is not recommended
- For severe bronchiolitis:
  - The supportive care is the mainstay for the treatment of severe bronchiolitis in the emergency department
  - Oxygen support is not recommended if $\mathrm{S_O_2}$ is more than 90\%\cite{128,132}
  - Inhaled bronchodilators are not recommended for the routine management of bronchiolitis, and they may cause adverse side effect in addition to the high cost with no clinical benefit\cite{133}
  - Inhaled epinephrine is not recommended
  - ICS is not recommended, and it showed no effect on admission rate symptoms relief\cite{134-136}
  - Nebulized hypertonic saline shows minimum effect in treating severe bronchiolitis patients in the emergency department with no change in the rate of admission, but it may reduce the length of hospital admission\cite{125,133,137-139}

Aerosol Therapy in Pediatric Intensive Care Unit

Aerosol inhalation therapy is commonly used in pediatric critical care patients. Unlike in the outpatient setting, delivery of aerosols could be challenging and complex, particularly in ventilated patients.\cite{140}

Successful delivery of aerosolized medications to patients in the pediatric ICU depends upon patient, inhalation device, and ventilation factors. Currently, there are limited data and information about the best evidence practice of aerosol therapy in pediatric critical care; therefore, healthcare provider must have the proper knowledge and skills for using inhalation therapy in critically ill patients, either breathing spontaneously or undergoing invasive or noninvasive ventilation (NIV).\cite{141}

Pediatric patients receiving noninvasive ventilation

Noninvasive respiratory support is frequently used in pediatric critical setting in patients with impending respiratory failure to avoid intubation or to prevent extubation failure. Combining inhaled drug such as bronchodilators and anti-inflammatory drugs with NIV or HFNC to treat different pediatric lung diseases might be even attractive and of advantageous therapeutic benefits.\cite{142-144}

In acute asthma, for example, there is an additional beneficial effect of combing the NIV with inhaled bronchodilators, and there is a dose relationship between pressure applied during NIV and bronchodilators response.\cite{143-147}

There are several forms of pediatric NIV devices that can be connected with aerosol-generating apparatus to deliver aerosolized drugs via heated and humidified HFNC, nasal CPAP, and noninvasive positive pressure devices (NIV or bi-level positive airway pressure). Many of these devices use single-limb system with complicated gas-flow pathways and integrated leak valves; in addition, there is a concern about system triggering and proper drug delivery. These might require the infant and children to be disconnected from the noninvasive machine to deliver inhaled therapy.\cite{4}

There are several factors that could significantly influence the efficiency of aerosol therapy in patients using NIV and HFNC. These include position of the aerosol in the ventilator and HFNC circuit, type of aerosol, position of leak port in the mask, level of pressure at inspiration and expiration, patient’s breathing effort and pattern, cannula size, and flow rates of HFNC.\cite{141-148}

Knowing the best technique to overcome this limitation is quite essential and would result in optimal drug delivery. For example, in NIV, placing the nebulizer after the exhalation leak port and as close as possible to the patient mask results in the greatest drug delivery (away from the ventilator). Further, more aerosol is delivered at higher level of inspiratory pressure support while less aerosol is delivered at higher level of expiratory pressure. The novel lightweight NIVO VMN (Philips Respironics, Murrysville, Pennsylvania, USA) could represent a suitable technology that allows a clinician to provide continuous NIV and medication delivery without disconnecting patient circuits and struggling with in-line nebulizer add-ons. It is easily adjusted and can remain attached when not in use or be easily removed between treatments. If it not feasible to use an NIVO VMN, then a VMN should be placed close to the patient as much as possible and after the exhalation leak valve.\cite{141}
In contrast, HFNC delivery of aerosolized drugs may be effective if the drugs are given using VMN type of nebulizer and at low flow and with bigger cannula size.\(^{[149]}\) Little is known however about the efficacy of drug delivery and safety using HFNC. Condensate from drug and humidity could accumulate in the cannula and aspirated into the nasal airway opening. In addition, potential skin and eye irritation from impacted aerosol leaking into the nose and face could also happen during this combined therapy. More clinical studies on aerosol delivery using HFNC are therefore needed before making robust recommendation.\(^{[115]}\)

In vitro studies showed greater surge delivery during single-circuit variable-flow CPAP when placed proximal to the patient and even greater when placed before the humidifier in the dual-limb circuit with constant-flow bubble CPAP.\(^{[150]}\) It is also advised to use chin straps to provide a better oral seal and prevent any leak in children using nebulizer with CPAP nasal or face mask.\(^{[151]}\)

**Recommendations**

- Clinical studies on aerosol delivery during NIV are encouraging and suggest that aerosol therapy can be delivered without discontinuation of NIV.
- During pediatric NIV, new VMNs can be integrated into full face masks. If these nebulizer devices or masks are unavailable, then a VMN should be placed as close as possible to the patient and after the exhalation leak valve.
- The best position for an aerosol generator is between the mask and the exhalation leak port. pMDI might be more effective than nebulizer if this port is in the mask.
- Care must be taken to avoid possible leak into eyes of the child and possible aspiration.
- Clinical studies on aerosol delivery during HFNC and nasal CPAP remain challenging and are not conclusive to make a recommendation for or against inhalation therapy.
- For HFNC, available evidence suggests better drug delivery using VMNs device type and at a low flow.
- For infant nasal CPAP, the administration and the placement of inhaled agents remain a challenge and of unknown efficacy.

**Pediatric tracheostomy patients**  
Though commonly used, studies of using inhaler drugs through a tracheostomy tube in children are quite limited. Previous studies revealed that regardless of the used type of aerosol device, a measurable amount of the aerosol can be delivered through the tracheostomy tube. The proportion of aerosol delivery through the tracheostomy tube varied widely (from 1% to 45%) depending on the size of the tube, using an interface, presence of thick secretion, and oxygen-flow rate.\(^{[152‑153]}\)

Tracheostomy tubes with inner cannulas are commonly used for both spontaneously breathing and mechanically ventilated child. It is important to know that tracheostomy tube is shorter and more curved than an endotracheal tube (ETT), which could increase the resistance to air flow and increased drug deposition in the artificial airways and tracheobronchial region.\(^{[154‑155]}\)

A T-piece interface between the tracheostomy tube and the jet nebulizer has been found to be more effective compared to tracheostomy mask alone.\(^{[153‑159]}\) In addition, removal of the inner tracheostomy cannula and turning off the high-flow oxygen device before aerosol therapy in patients with tracheostomy are highly recommended for better delivery, especially for the smaller sized tubes.\(^{[152‑155]}\)

Alternatively, pMDI with a VHC can also be combined with a T-piece and manual resuscitation bag to deliver inhaler medication effectively.\(^{[153]}\)

**Recommendations**

- For spontaneously breathing patients with a tracheostomy tube, use a T-piece interface with the jet nebulizer without additional gas flow given by the oxygen system.
- Remove tracheostomy inner cannula before aerosol therapy is highly recommended.

**Mechanically ventilated pediatric patients**  
Although data of using aerosol therapy in mechanically ventilated child are still limited, it is commonly used in critical care settings to deliver different drugs to treat different pediatric lung pathology. The use of this combination, though sound attractive, needs to be carefully guided and regulated.\(^{[4]}\)

Inhaled bronchodilators, hypertonic saline, as well as corticosteroids and mucolytics still remain the most widely used inhaled drugs in mechanically ventilated pediatric patients. In small infants, they may improve lung compliance and VT, decrease pulmonary resistance, and enhance extubation, especially in infants with chronic lung disease.\(^{[156‑159]}\)

Studies have shown that the effectiveness of aerosol therapy is technique dependent and it is important to know that effective drug delivery to the lung and maximum concentration depend on several practical factors. These include patient, device type, drug, and mechanical factors. Critical care physicians need to be attentive to scientific basis of aerosol therapy, the proper technique of administration, patient’s lung mechanics, ventilator model, mode of ventilation, aerosol generators, heating and humidification of the inspired gas, ETT size, position of the aerosol generator in the ventilator circuit, the proper VT,
inspiratory flow, and bias-flow setting during the therapy so that they can provide the most effective, consistent, and precise delivery of aerosolized medications.\textsuperscript{[160]-[162]}

Patient factors that could adversely affect drug delivery include supine position, presence of airway obstruction, abnormal airway and impaired mucociliary clearance, and presence of high turbulence. These will result in lower drug deposition in the distal airways and lung parenchyma. Drug delivery factors include size formulation, dose, and frequency applied.\textsuperscript{[141]}

**Ventilation and device factors**

Successful delivery of aerosolized medications to pediatric critical care patients depends upon the type of the aerosol device, the technique used during therapy, installation position, circuit humidification, and the ventilator settings.\textsuperscript{[192]}

Mechanical ventilation factors including unadjusted ventilatory circuit temperature, small ETT size, or ventilatory asynchrony, unsynchronized-flow pattern, and high respiratory rate could all adversely affect drug delivery. Future studies are needed to determine the optimal: TV, inspiratory time/rate setting combination, circuit size, and bias flow.\textsuperscript{[141]}

Nebulizers and pMDIs, with and without spacers, by far are the two most common types of devices available for use in pediatric mechanically ventilated patients. DPIs are used mainly in stable and nonmechanically ventilated patient as its use in mechanically ventilated is quite variable in efficacy.\textsuperscript{[163]-[164]}

It is quite challenging to suggest one nebulizer or circuit location with the array of different nebulizers, drugs, ventilators, and patient sizes. In general, pMDIs are easy to administer, less labor time, more reliable dosing, are also more cost-effective than nebulizers, and have minimal risk of bacterial contamination. In addition, the circuit does not need to be disconnected when pMDIs are used with a collapsible spacer. \textit{In vitro} studies have shown improved aerosol delivery with large spacers compared with small spacers for pMDIs and VMNs.\textsuperscript{[140,165-166]}

Nebulizers in contrast to other devices take longer time to deliver similar dose. In general, built-in nebulization in the newer ventilation offer the most effective way of drug delivery to the lung by synchronizing the nebulizer with the respiratory cycle; among three devices, there is a variation in efficiency between other nebulizer types with mesh nebulizers stand as the most efficient method of drug delivery.\textsuperscript{[167]}

Jet nebulizers into a ventilator circuit may have many drawback and safety concerns related to inadvertent pressure and volume increase, which could affect triggering due to the additional flow. In addition, significant loss could occur during the exhalation phase as the drug is continuously delivered throughout the respiratory cycle. In addition, jet nebulizers require a gas source or a compressor which makes them less portable and more expensive to operate.\textsuperscript{[4]}

This is in contrast with the VMNs which are unique in that it does not require a gas source, making it safe and effective. The high efficiency of this type of nebulizers is based on a very low residual drug volume (0.1 ml) that remains in the medication reservoir following a treatment.\textsuperscript{[4]}

Based on the available evidence and clinical experience, VMNs though costly represent the most efficient and potentially safer drug delivery option than other nebulizer types during pediatric ventilation. VMN should be placed in the inspiratory limb proximal to the patient’s Y-piece and not before the humidifier to optimize drug delivery during neonatal/pediatric ventilation compared to adults where a position of 15 cm from the Y-piece in the inspiratory limb of the circuit gives the best drug delivery.\textsuperscript{[167]}

**Drug delivery and device selection in high frequency ventilation**

Evidence about using inhaled drugs with high-frequency ventilation (HFV) is quite controversial; this is mainly due to a concern that drug delivery to the lower respiratory tract could be negligible due to shorter inspiratory times, high bias flows, and low TV during this type of ventilation.\textsuperscript{[108]} This however could be obviated \textit{in vitro} model through combination of shorter inspiratory durations, higher frequencies, and active exhalation during this type of ventilation, hence making it less likely for the drug to be delivered to the expiratory limb, resulting in greater delivery to the lung than during conventional ventilation. Studies of \textit{in vitro} lung models have shown that better drug delivery with a VMN is obtained during High-frequency ventilation (HFOV) compared to conventional neonatal and pediatric mechanical ventilation.\textsuperscript{[169]}

**Recommendations**

- VMN and pMDI/spacer represent two nebulizer devices that are commonly used in mechanically ventilated child due to patient acceptance and ability to integrate into a ventilator system
- pMDIs with spacer are more effective than nebulizers in mechanically ventilated patients
- For nebulizer, built-in nebulization in newer ventilation offers the most effective way of lung delivery followed by VMN
- The best position for nebulizers is close to the ventilator
• Clinical studies on aerosol delivery during HFV remain controversial and are not conclusive to make a recommendation for or against inhalation therapy.

Contemporary application and safety of inhalation therapy in pediatric critical care
Use of aerosol therapy is not completely safe and could be potentially harmful. Data about safety and efficacy of aerosol drug delivery using invasive and noninvasive respiratory support are still limited.[141]

Aerosol therapy in NIV and HFNC could result in significant skin and eye irritation from impacted bronchodilators that are running down the nose and face during aerosol treatment with HFNC. There is also risk of aspiration into the airway from the accumulating condensate in the cannula. Aerosol therapy in mechanically ventilated patients have potential general systemic side effects (e.g., nephrotoxicity by aminoglycosides) or local complications such as airway irritation, cough and often bronchospasm, worsening hypoxemia (and secondary arrhythmias), mechanical complications due to ventilator malfunction, and obstruction of expiratory filters. It may also increase the work of breathing and cause ventilator desynchrony requiring additional sedation. Aerosol therapy may also cause blockages in expiratory filters in ventilators and interfere with ventilator sensing. Careful monitoring therefore for the airway pressure and $P_{a}O_{2}$ is needed during aerosol delivery for all mechanically ventilated patients.[141,170-173]

Aerosols generated by patients may escape and carry a potential risk of transmission of airborne diseases such as H1N1 and tuberculosis. This necessitates using some form of high-efficiency particulate air filter in the expiratory limb of the ventilator circuit to reduce the escape of aerosols generated by the patient or an aerosol device to minimize the infection risk to both patient and healthcare provider.[171]

There are also concerns about hemodynamic instability and respiratory deterioration during ventilator interruption for inhaled drug administration. Frequent discontinuation in the circuit may increase the risk for colonization and hence ventilator-associated pneumonia. Furthermore, drug administration via manual bagging could result in inadvertent excessive VT and iatrogenic lung injury, especially in neonates and small infants. Short-term delivery has been shown to be efficient with in-line spacers. Caution should be exerted however if visible condensate occurs which could significantly reduce drug delivery by 50%.[174]

Standard jet nebulizers could result in poor triggering, inaccurate volume monitoring and have many concerns related to volume and pressure delivery in infant ventilation.

Recommendations
• Clinicians should use a device that delivers inhalation drugs safely and efficiently while minimizing potential harm that can develop due to infection, airway occlusion, and inadvertent airway pressure changes with the resultant hypoxia, equipment malfunction, and poor triggering of different ventilator devices
• In-line spacers placed into the inspiratory limb of a ventilator can be used for pMDI administration to reduce the risk of circuit interruption
• Standard jet nebulizers should be avoided in infant ventilation due to poor triggering.

Aerosol Therapy in In-Patient Settings
pMDIs with or without spacer are as effective as or superior to nebulizer method in aerosol delivery.[175]

In general, nebulizers are more expensive, cumbersome to use, and need outside electric or battery power. pMDI is a convenient device to use for quick relief of acute airway obstruction, but there can be problems of coordination between actuation and inhalation, particularly in small children who may not comprehend the instructions or whose hand-inspiration coordination may not yet be adequately developed.[176] Attachment of VHC with a one-way valve system to a pressurized aerosol device has been shown to increase the deposition of aerosol particles in the lungs and decrease upper airway aerosol deposition, as compared to the unaided use of an pMDI.[177]

Face masks should be used with nebulizers and VHC for infants and young children. Bronchodilator responses are the same whether masks or mouthpieces are used. The choice should therefore depend upon convenience – for example, masks are better for emergencies – and patient preference. Face masks should be tight-fitting. Patients should breathe with an open mouth. For ipratropium bromide, mouthpieces are preferred to masks if there is a possibility of glaucoma. For antibiotics, rhDNase, and corticosteroids, mouthpieces should be used.[178]

The selection of aerosol delivery system for hospitalized children can have a significant impact on the utilization of healthcare resources. The duration of treatment preparation and delivery was shown to be significantly lower with the pMDI-VHC than the nebulizer (2 min reduction in preparation time and 5 min reduction in delivery time).[179]

Caregivers mastered pMDI-VHC use after an average of two observed sessions, eliminating the need for
respiratory therapy assistance during the hospital stay. Medication cost analysis showed savings in favor of pMDI-VHC. It has been shown in many studies that conversion to MDI-VHC mode of aerosolized therapy administration in hospitalized children can improve hospital resource utilization.\[^{179}\]

**Asthma**

In children with stable asthma, equivalent percentages of total lung deposition of radiolabeled salbutamol aerosolized by either a nebulizer or pMDI-VHC. However, the delivery rate and the total dose of salbutamol deposited were significantly higher with the nebulizer.\[^{180}\]

Many reports have suggested that there is little difference between the pMDI and nebulizer methods of treatment in asthmatic patients. Most of these reports measured only the forced vital capacity and FEV\(_1\) or the dyspnea score.\[^{120,181-183}\] All the studies used oxygen as the driving gas in nebulizer treatment, and therefore, the S\(_2\)O\(_2\) between the two methods was not comparable as no oxygen was supplied in the pMDI treatment. However, oxygen is not routinely given in nebulizer treatment of acute asthmatics in many pediatric emergency departments, even for those with severe asthma.\[^{184}\]

There have been some reports describing the occurrence of hypoxemia or oxygen desaturation after inhalation of selective β2-adrenergic agonists and perhaps more likely with the nebulized method as compared to pMDI.\[^{185}\] Therefore, it is important that S\(_2\)O\(_2\) is measured during their treatment and that oxygen should, if possible, be chosen as the driving gas in treating severe asthmatic patients given nebulizer treatment.\[^{120}\]

In the setting of acute asthma management in children, both pMDI-VHC and nebulizer treatments were shown to be effective in treating clinical severity and airway obstruction in children with acute asthma, but the pMDI method was better than the nebulizer method, particularly for the improvement of the S\(_2\)O\(_2\) and in some measurements of spirometry.\[^{120}\]

**Cystic fibrosis**

In patients with CF, many therapies (including bronchodilators, corticosteroids, antibiotics, and mucolytics) can be administered using the inhalational technique. The advantages of inhaled medications include that their use can generate higher drug levels in the airways with limited systemic effect. They are relatively fast acting and their particle size enables them to be directed at the target site with optimal deposition.\[^{186}\]

Although traditional jet nebulizers are the ones available in our region, the use of “intelligent nebulizers” such as the eFlow (PARI, Germany) and Ineb (Profile Pharma, Zambon SpA, Chichester, UK) both of which use the vibrating mesh technology (VMT) are more commonly used in the west. These are smaller, quicker devices that give improved deposition of many medications, reduce time of administration, and ultimately impact on reducing the burden of care in both inpatient and outpatient settings.\[^{186}\]

Although nebulized antibiotics have been available for >30 years, the dry powder formulations can offer simple, fast, and convenient delivery of inhaled antibiotics, with similar efficacy to nebulized formulations. In addition, DPI requires minimal cleaning compared with a nebulizer system, which is also time-consuming and often not performed to recommended manufacturer’s guidance.\[^{186}\]

Mucolytic and mucoactive agents such as rhDNase and/or hypertonic saline are given using the nebulized method regularly in the outpatient setting, with increased frequency requirement in the inpatient setting during CF exacerbations. The advent of inhaled mannitol provided as a dry powder inhaler has demonstrated clinical efficacy and short duration of administration.\[^{187}\]

**Croup**

In croup, the use of nebulized racemic epinephrine is typically reserved for patients in the hospital setting (in ER and inpatient) with moderate-to-severe respiratory distress. Nebulized epinephrine is generally used to avoid intubation, to stabilize children before transfer to intensive care, and to treat stridor following extubation. Its effectiveness is immediate with evidence of therapeutic benefit within the first 30 min and then a lasting effect for up to 2 h. Patients who receive nebulized racemic epinephrine in the ERs must be monitored for at least 3 h after last treatment because of concerns for a return of bronchospasm, worsening respiratory distress, or persistent tachycardia. Nebulized steroids may also reduce symptoms in croup in the first 2 h, but no data are available on long-term use effect on the eventual outcome. Mixture of Heliox is delivered via nasal cannula, face mask, or hood. Extremely limited studies discussed the effectiveness and safety of Heliox. The Cochrane database concluded that its safety and efficacy remain unclear. They stated that for children with mild croup, Heliox is not superior to 30% humidified oxygen. However, for children with moderate-to-severe croup who were treated with dexamethasone, it may be beneficial for short-term use. Further, its effect could be similar to 100% oxygen given with 1–2 doses of epinephrine.\[^{188}\]

**Bronchiolitis**

In infants with bronchiolitis, bronchodilator therapy should not be used routinely, and there is a strong
statement by the recent American Academy of Pediatrics Updates Guidelines on Bronchiolitis for not using salbutamol or adrenaline in bronchiolitis. However, they are still widely used by clinicians for treating hospitalized patients. On a case-by-case basis, a trial of bronchodilators may be performed if there is a documented positive response from the treating team, or if a scoring system is used, a clear improvement is documented.\[135\]

There is growing evidence supporting the use of nebulized hypertonic saline in bronchiolitis. It has been shown to improve mucociliary function and reduce the length of hospitalization and illness severity. Due to its high safety profile and low cost, it looks reasonable to use hypertonic saline in the management of bronchiolitis.\[134\]

Inhaled steroids are not routinely recommended in previously healthy infants with acute bronchiolitis. They have no clinical benefit in reducing neither the rate of admission nor length of hospitalization. In addition, inhaled steroids that are prescribed in the acute phase are not beneficial in reducing the rate of postviral wheezes.\[130,189-191\]

Nebulized ribavirin should not be used routinely but might be considered in infants with severe disease and in specific patients affected by severe immunodeficiency syndromes, or severe chronic lung disease, or hemodynamically significant congenital heart disease.\[193\] Its administration is by a small particle aerosol generator machine. However, ribavirin has not been shown to reduce the length of hospital stay or the need for oxygen or assisted ventilation.\[194\]

Heliox could improve the severity score among infants with acute RSV bronchiolitis, especially if given during the 1st h after commencing inhalation therapy. It may be used as an adjunctive therapy in critically ill children who have RSV bronchiolitis.\[192\]

Recommendations
• pMDI-VHC is superior to nebulizer method in aerosol delivery in terms of convenience, cost, and quicker relief of acute airway obstruction. Nevertheless, it is not suitable in younger children or in those without adequately developed hand inspiration coordination
• For infants and young children, face masks should be used with nebulizers and spacers
• Bronchodilator responses are the same whether masks or mouthpieces are used. For ipratropium bromide, mouthpiece is preferable than masks if there is a possibility of glaucoma. For antibiotics, rhDNase, and corticosteroids, mouthpieces should be used
• pMDI-VHC has a significant lower duration of treatment preparation and delivery than the nebulizer
• In hospitalized children, conversion to pMDI-VHC mode of aerosolized therapy administration can improve hospital resource utilization.

Asthma
• In children with stable and acute asthma, the nebulizer or pMDI-VHC has equivalent percentages of total lung deposition of radiolabeled aerosolized salbutamol, and both treatments were found to be effective in treating clinical severity and airway obstruction. Yet, with the nebulizer, the delivery rate and the total dose of salbutamol deposited were substantially higher
• \( S\text{O}_2 \) and oxygen should be measured during treatment, if possible, as there have been some reports of hypoxemia or oxygen desaturation occurrence after inhalation of selective \( \beta_2 \)-adrenergic agonists more likely with the nebulized method as compared to pMDI.

Cystic fibrosis
• In patients with CF, aerosol therapies such as bronchodilators, corticosteroids, antibiotics, and mucolytics are administered. Mucolytic and mucoactive agents such as rhDNase and/or hypertonic saline are given more frequently in the inpatient setting during CF exacerbations
• The intelligent nebulizers which use the VMT are smaller, quicker devices that give improved deposition of many medications, reduce time of administration, and ultimately impact on reducing the burden of care in both inpatient and outpatient settings than the traditional jet nebulizers
• DPI offer simple, fast, and convenient delivery of aerosol antibiotics than nebulized formulations. In addition, it requires minimal cleaning.

Croup
• In croup, the use of nebulized racemic epinephrine is typically reserved for patients in the hospital setting with moderate-to-severe respiratory distress
• Nebulized steroids, in the first 2 h, may reduce symptoms in croup. For long-term outcome, no data are available
• In very severe croup that failed to improve with racemic epinephrine, Heliox has been used and shown to improve symptoms but for a short term.

Bronchiolitis
• Bronchodilator and adrenaline therapy should not be used routinely in bronchiolitis
• On a case-by-case basis, a trial of bronchodilators may be performed if there is a documented positive response from the treating team if a scoring system is used and/or a clear improvement is documented
• Nebulized hypertonic saline has been found to
improve mucociliary function and reduce the length of hospitalization stay and illness severity

- Inhaled steroids should not routinely be used in previously healthy infants with acute bronchiolitis
- Heliox may be used as adjunctive therapy in critically ill children with RSV bronchiolitis
- Nebulized ribavirin is not routinely recommended, but it could be considered in infants with severe disease and in specific patients affected by severe immunodeficiency syndromes, or severe chronic lung disease, or hemodynamically significant congenital heart disease.

### Aerosol Therapy at Home: Education and Cleaning

**Educating patients in correct use of aerosol devices**

Several problems could occur when patients use aerosol devices. The knowledge of these problems could help healthcare providers to better instruct their patients about the appropriate methods used. In addition, recognition of these problems can direct healthcare providers when evaluating a patient with poor management of his/her airway disease. Both of poor adherences to the prescribed therapy or incorrect use of the aerosol devices may be behind the reduced effectiveness of the therapy.[25]

These two problems should be considered and well evaluated for each patient before thinking about changing his/her treatment.

**Patient adherence**

There are several ways to monitor the patient’s adherence to prescribed aerosol therapy including patient self-report and dose counting. Failure of adherence to the prescribed therapy is classified as “unintentional” or “intentional.”[199]

Unintentional nonadherence may result from misunderstanding of the prescribed regimen that can result from poor communication between the patient and healthcare provider. Intentional nonadherence may be a result of patient’s beliefs (e.g., I am not sick, I don’t require regular medication, the drug is expensive, the drug has side effects, etc.), forgetfulness, stress and busy life, complex regimen, or psychological factors (e.g., depression).[25]

Patient-centered care can help a healthcare provider to understand the patient’s beliefs and concerns about their treatment that may be the cause of poor adherence. Motivational tools such as goal setting and action plan can be helpful in improving patient’s adherence. They should be discussed with the patient in a bi-directional conversation.[199]

**Common patient errors with pressurized metered dose inhalers**

Errors encountered with the use of pMDIs include the lack of hand-breath coordination, improper inhalation, not holding breath after inhalation, and failure to shake the pMDI before use.[197,196]

Patients should be instructed to track the number of drug doses remaining in the inhaler as a device may still produce a spray of propellant with little or no drug when it is actuated for a number of times more than its rated capacity.[196] Inhalers without a counter are associated with significantly lower adherence rates (underuse and overuse) compared to those with a counter.[198]

**Common patient errors with holding chambers/spacers**

The most commonly encountered errors associated with using VHC and spacers include incorrect assembly of the add-on device, inability to remove electrostatic charges in nonelectrostatic holding chambers or spacers, delay between actuation and inhalation, too rapid inhalation, firing several puffs into the holding chamber or spacer before inhalation, and lack of patient’s instructions on assembly or use. The ideal technique to use an inhaler with a VHC or a spacer is to place the mouthpiece between lips than to take a slow and deep inhalation that begins with pMDI actuation.[25,34,199]

**Common patient errors with dry powder inhalers**

Common errors reported among patients using DPIs include the inability to hold the device correctly while loading the dose, inability to pierce or open the drug package, using the inhaler device in a wrong orientation, failure to prime, lack of adequate exhalation before inhalation, exhalation through the mouthpiece, inability to inhale with enough force, inadequate breath hold, exhalation into the mouthpiece after inhalation, using a multidose reservoir in high humidity as this may reduce the fine particle dose and finally lack of patient instructions in assembly or use.[25]

**Common patient errors with small-volume nebulizers**

The common errors encountered with SVN are not related to the patient’s use, but they are general disadvantages of these devices such as bulk and size of the equipment, the need for an external power source, and long-treatment durations.[200]

Nebulizers are the simplest devices for patients to use. Moreover, newer nebulizers’ technology aims at reducing the overall devices’ size, allowing shorter-treatment durations, eliminating the need for external power sources, as well as eliminating the drug loss during exhalation.[25]
Instructing and evaluating the patient in the use of inhaler devices
To ensure the correct patient use of inhalation devices, the following steps are recommended:
1. Review the device-specific instructions carefully, then practice using a placebo device before teaching your patients
2. Clearly demonstrate the correct methods of use and assembly of the device to your patients using a checklist
3. Make sure to provide your patient with written instructions about using the device including a plan to use the medication. Instructions should include illustrating pictures for patients who have low literacy
4. Observe your patient while practicing using the device for the first time and during each return visit
5. Revise the patient’s understanding of using the inhaled medications during each return visit. This should include when to use the drug, purpose of using the drug, and the prescribed frequency
6. Make sure to assess the patient’s adherence level and his/her ability to correctly use the device if airway disease is poorly managed.

Infection control
Aerosol generators may become contaminated with pathogens from several sources such as the patient himself/herself, the care provider, or the environment. Bacterial colonization of the respiratory tract can occur if infection control (IC) measures of the aerosol device are not adequately followed. Accordingly, it is crucial to establish an IC management system to reduce the rate of nosocomial infections and reduce the length of stay in hospitals and associated costs.

Cleaning and maintenance of aerosol generators
Cleaning
The cleaning instructions specific for each type of aerosol generators are shown below.
- The plastic container of pMDIs must be cleaned once per week as shown in Table 8
- If VHC will be used with a pMDI, it has to be cleaned
- DPIs should never be submerged in water. In addition, they should be kept dry because moisture can hinder drug delivery. There are no clear instructions regarding DPI cleaning. However, each manufacturer has specific recommendations to be followed for the regular cleaning of the DPI device
- At home, it is important to clean nebulizers after every treatment.

Table 8: Cleaning instructions for the pressurized metered dose inhaler
| Instructions |
|-------------|
| Clean one time per week and when required. Look at the hole where the drug sprays out from the inhaler |
| If you see any powder in the hole from which the drug is sprayed out or around it, the device should be cleaned |
| Remove the canister of the pMDI from the plastic container to keep it dry |
| The plastic container should be rinsed with warm water then shaken out to remove any excess water |
| Let the device dry overnight |
| Put the canister again inside the mouthpiece then recap the mouthpiece |

Table 9: Cleaning instructions for the pressurized metered dose inhaler chamber
| Instructions |
|-------------|
| The chamber device should be cleaned every 2 weeks and when needed |
| The device should be disassembled for cleaning |
| The spacer parts are soaked in warm water containing a liquid detergent. Both pieces should then be gently shaken back and forth |
| Excess water is then removed by shaking the parts out |
| Spacernets should be air dried overnight in a vertical position |
| It is not allowed to dry the spacer using a towel because this will produce static charges and hinder dose delivery |
| When the spacer becomes dry, reassemble the parts |

As per the CF Foundation guidelines, parts of the aerosol generators should be washed using soap and hot water after each use. Cleaning instructions of jet nebulizers are shown in Table 10 while VMNs and USNs should be cleaned and disinfected according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Touching the mesh of mesh nebulizers during its cleaning can damage the unit.

Disinfection
It is highly recommended to periodically disinfect and replace the nebulizers to minimize any possible contamination. It is important to follow the disinfection methods suggested by the manufacturers. It is important to discard all used solutions after the disinfection process. Disinfection includes heat methods and cold methods. The nebulizer should be disinfected once or twice per week.

Preventing infection and malfunction of aerosol generators at hospitals or clinics
Aerosol generators

Bacterial contamination of the nebulizers can cause nosocomial infections. The Centers for Disease Control and Prevention recommends that nebulizers should be cleaned, rinsed using sterile water, and left to dry in air between treatments. An infection surveillance program should be present in every hospital or clinic to determine the required IC practices, according to the local infection data. It is important to change nebulizers...
every 24 h.\textsuperscript{[207,208]} Aerosol generators designed “For Single Patient Use” should only be used for a single patient and discarded.\textsuperscript{[25]}

**Pressurized metered dose inhaler-common canister use**

There is weak evidence that the common canister protocol practiced in some hospitals can lead to cross-contamination.\textsuperscript{[209]} In contrast, a recent study revealed that common canister protocol resulted in significant cost savings with similar rates of ventilator-associated pneumonia, mortality, as well as hospital length of stay, compared to single-patient pMDI.\textsuperscript{[210]} Accordingly, each hospital should evaluate the risk–benefit ratio before following a common canister protocol.\textsuperscript{[25]}

**Inhaled drugs**

Nebulizers could get contaminated when multidose drug container is used which can be a source of nosocomial infection.\textsuperscript{[211]} Therefore, it is recommended to use unit-dose medications when possible.\textsuperscript{[216]}

**Infection transmission**

Infections could be transmitted from healthcare providers to their patients, and this could be minimized with proper hand washing using water and soap or using hand sanitizers before and after each treatment.\textsuperscript{[212]} Using gloves and changing them between patients should be considered as a supportive measure to hand hygiene. Hands must be cleaned after removing gloves because they create a warm and moist environment which supports microbial growth and contamination.\textsuperscript{[213-215]}

**Infection control (IC) management system**

It is important for each healthcare setting to establish an IC management system and ensure that the healthcare team is fully knowledgeable about its effective implementation. This could be achieved by the continuous training and monitoring of the IC management system implementation.\textsuperscript{[25]}

**Occupational health and safety of respiratory therapists**

In addition to the risks of exposure to inhaled medications during aerosol therapy, respiratory therapists may inhale pathogens.

**Health assessment and immunization**

Respiratory therapists should be screened for infection and must be adequately immunized during the whole period of employment.

**Hand hygiene**

Hand hygiene is a highly effective measure in minimizing the transmission of airway viruses as inadequate hand hygiene is considered to be the leading cause of nosocomial infections.\textsuperscript{[214]}

**Protective equipment**

Using goggles, face shields, and face masks alone or
in combination can protect against airborne pathogen inhalation. Ventilation systems are effective in removing 99.9% of the airborne contaminants for 69 min. When a filter is placed on the nebulizer’s exhalation part, it could protect therapists from infection.

**Recommendations**

**Infection control**

- IC management system must be established for aerosol generators to reduce the rate of acquired infections and accordingly the length of stay in hospitals and associated costs.

**Cleaning and disinfection of aerosol**

- The cleaning and disinfection of aerosol is crucial in its routine use. Periodically, cleaning, disinfecting, and replacing the nebulizers are highly recommended to minimize any possible contamination by following the manufacturers’ disinfection methods.
- A feasible general cleaning and disinfection method is applicable for all common aerosol’s generators. Cleaning of nebulizers with soap and hot water is recommended after every use. pMDI container is cleaned once weekly. There is no clear method for DPI cleaning, although it should be kept dry. For home use of nebulizers, a weekly disinfection is advised.

**Preventing infection and malfunction of aerosol generators at hospitals or clinics**

- For hospital use, nebulizers should be changed daily.
- For pMDI, risk–benefit ratio has to be evaluated considering cost saving and cross-contamination while using common canister protocol.
- In inhaled dugs, unit-dose medications are recommended if possible.
- Washing hands with hot and soap or sanitizers before and after treatment, and after removing gloves, is fundamental in minimizing infection transmission from healthcare professional and their patients.
- Continuous training of the caregivers and monitoring of the hospital IC management system implementation is considered.

**Occupational health and safety of respiratory therapists**

- Respiratory therapists, as their patients, are at risk of infection transmission. Accordingly, they have to be screened and immunized for the risk of inhaled pathogens. Frequent hand hygiene is essential. Wearing protective personnel equipment is advised. Maintaining good ventilation can protect from infection.

**Educating patients in correct use of aerosol devices**

- Poor adherence or inappropriate use of the aerosol devices is one of the reasons for reduced therapy effectiveness. Raising the awareness of the aerosol devices use problems may help the healthcare provider to better instruct their patients about the appropriate methods of use.
- Self-report and dose counting can help in monitoring patient’s adherence to prescribed therapy.
- Motivational tools can be helpful in improving patient’s adherence.
- Patient-centered care can help healthcare providers understand the patient’s beliefs and concerns about their treatment that may be the cause of poor adherence.
- Patients should be instructed on the common patient errors with aerosol’s generators to avoid them.
- Patients should be instructed clearly about correct use of inhalation devices and practice it for the first time and during each return visit in front of healthcare providers. In addition, patients should be provided with written instructions about using the device with illustrating pictures for low-literacy patients. Additionally, patients’ adherence level should be assessed during each visit.
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