Abstract. Winter injury to raspberry floricanes commonly limits productivity in cold climates. Primocane-fruiting raspberries avoid winter injury by fruiting on first year canes, but fruit production in the high-elevation valleys of the Intermountain West is later than needed for local markets, and may be limited by early fall freezes. High tunnels were used for early spring heat to advance primocane growth and the fruiting season of two primocane-fruiting red raspberry cultivars. Tunnels were covered with plastic in March and April. Then, they were covered with shade cloth during fruit ripening. Tunnel-covered plots were compared with field plantings for primocane growth rate, fruiting season, yield, and fruit quality over two seasons. High tunnels increased cane growth rate, with the harvest season advanced by 18 to 26 days depending on season and cultivar, but they did not consistently affect the total season yield or fruit size. Low-cost two-season tunnels used in conjunction with early-season primocane-fruiting raspberries may provide a viable method for small acreage producers in harsh climates to reliably supply high-value seasonal raspberry markets.
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relatively low susceptibility to raspberry hortinal (Hartigia cressonii; Black et al., 2013), and has good resistance to Phytophthora root rot (Handley and Weber, 2008).

Josephine is a PF cultivar with firm, darker colored berries with excellent flavor (Pritts et al., 2017) that ripens later than does Heritage (Handley and Weber, 2008). Pritts (2006) classified ‘Josephine’ as a late-season producer that is limited by first fall freezes in the Northeast. When trialed in a high tunnel in New York, ‘Josephine’ produced outstanding fruit quality.

**Cultural practices.** Irrigation was provided using a drip system, with a single drip tape installed in the center of each row. Irrigation started in June, with approximately two irrigation events per week based on crop need; the needs were determined by monitoring soil water potential (Watermark Soil Moisture Sensor; Irrometer, Riverside, CA). Plant nutrient needs were supplied with fertilizer applications through the irrigation system beginning in early June using combinations of urea, ammonium sulfate, and 20N–20P–20K Peter’s Professional liquid fertilizer (The Scotts Co., Marysville, OH). In 2016, the fertilizer application rates were 6.0, 0.7, and 1.0 kg ha⁻¹ per week of N, P, and K, respectively. During the 2017 season, errors were made in the fertilizer injection rate, and weekly rates increased to an average of 13.3, 2.4, and 3.0 kg ha⁻¹ of N, P, and K, respectively. A small amount of iron chelate was added to the fertilizer solution periodically to remedy chlorosis.

Canes were pruned according to typical regional practices; all canes were removed in late winter before new growth began. Canes were supported with a T-trellis system consisting of rows of twine on each side of the row at 0.6 m, 0.9 m, and 1.5 m above the ground. In-row weed control involved a combination of annual applications of preemergent herbicide (1.9–2.8 L ha⁻¹ Surflan; Southern Agricultural Insecticides, Palmetto, FL) and hand weeding. Two low-cost PVC-frame high tunnels were used. One was 4.4 m wide × 3 m tall × 12.2 m long (Maughan et al., 2014). The second tunnel was 5.3 m wide × 2.7 m tall × 12.2 m long. Both were covered with 6-mil greenhouse-grade plastic on 21 Mar. 2016 and 15 Mar. 2017. Plastic was removed on 5 May 2016 and 5 June 2017. Shade cloth (30%; FarmTek, Dyersville, IA) was installed when the first fruit began ripening (12 Aug. 2016 and 19 July 2017). In 2016, floating row cover (AG-19; 15 g m⁻²; Agribon J&M Industries Inc., Ponchatoula, LA) was used to cover plots inside the high tunnels. In 2017, the plots were divided; half of the plot was covered in row cover and the other half was covered with low tunnels (2-m construction-grade plastic). There were no significant differences between the two covering types, and results were pooled. Row covers were applied to plots on 21 Mar. 2016 and 24 Mar. 2017; they were removed 7 Apr. 2016 and 25 Apr. 2017.

**Data collection.** Each season, 10 random canes in each plot were selected and flagged. These marked canes were measured weekly beginning 18 May 2016 and 12 Apr. 2017. Ripe berries were harvested three times per week. Total and marketable yield per plot were recorded at each harvest. Mean fruit weight was determined at each harvest for a 10-fruit subsample. The weighted average fruit size was calculated for the season using the subsample fruit weight and its fraction of the total seasonal yield harvested on that date. To characterize the production season, cumulative yield curves were generated and linear regression was calculated to determine the harvest start (X-axis intercept) and midpoint dates for each plot and season. These production season benchmarks were then used to compare cultivars and growing environments over the course of the two seasons.

**Statistics.** Yield, fruit size, harvest season, and cane height for 2 years were analyzed as two growing conditions (with or without tunnel) × two cultivars factorials with repeated measures (year) and two replicates. The GLM procedure of the SAS software package (version 9.4; SAS, Cary, NC) was used.

**Results and Discussion**

Spring high tunnel protection significantly advanced primocane growth over both seasons. However, the effect differed by year and cultivar, as indicated by significant tunnel × cultivar (P = 0.0003) and tunnel × year (P = 0.008) interactions (Table 1). The advancement in primocane growth was more pronounced in ‘Caroline’, for which canes reached the 90-cm height benchmark 28 and 42 d earlier than that of the ‘Caroline’ field treatments in 2016 and 2017, respectively (Table 1). Regarding the later fruiting for ‘Josephine’, the date when the cane height reached the 90-cm benchmark was minimally advanced in 2016, but it was advanced by 26 d in 2017. The 90-cm benchmark was selected for comparison because on 18 May 2016, when the initial measurements were performed, the average cane height of the tunnel ‘Caroline’ treatment was 81.0 cm; for the field treatment, it was 26.6 cm (data not shown). For ‘Josephine’, the tunnel height at the first measurement date was 49.9 cm; however, it was 24.8 cm for the field planting. For both cultivars and across both seasons, the cane growth rate was significantly higher (P = 0.019) in the field than in the tunnels. Advanced cane growth corresponded with an earlier fruiting season. For all treatments, small quantities of ripe fruit were harvested for several weeks before significant production began (Fig. 1). We previously noted that this early fruit is often from basal floricanes buds that produce relatively long fruiting shoots from ground level (Black et al., 2013), even when the planting is pruned for a fall-only crop. Typically, these very early fruits are not of sufficient quantity to be commercially harvested. To compare the onset of the commercial harvest among treatments, the harvest start and midpoint were calculated for each plot using linear regression, as described previously.

The harvest start date was significantly affected by the tunnel treatment, but not by the cultivar (Table 2). The calculated harvest start date for ‘Caroline’ in tunnels was 30 July during both years compared with 25 Aug. for the field planting (a 26-d difference). The season midpoint did not show the same level of advancement, with a 21-d difference between the tunnel and field treatments for ‘Caroline’. The season midpoint was also affected by year (P = 0.028); the midpoint was reached 2 to 4 d earlier in 2017 than in 2016. These benchmarks indicated that the harvest season progressed more quickly in the field than in tunnels. The tunnels were covered by 30% shade cloth before fruit harvest to reduce sunburned fruit. The shade cloth may have reduced heat accumulation enough to slow the progression of the harvest season.

The effect of the high tunnel system on marketable fruit differed somewhat by cultivar and year. In general, marketable fruit as a percentage of total yield was higher in the tunnels than in the field (Table 3), but this tunnel effect was much more pronounced in 2016 than in 2017. In general, there was a higher percentage of marketable fruit in 2016 than in 2017 across all tunnel and cultivar combinations. Fruit were deemed unmarketable for a number of reasons, including soft or malformed fruit, sunburn (bleached drupes, leaflets), and damage from insects or disease. Sunburn is typically the most prevalent cause of unmarketable fruit under conditions found in Utah (Black et al., 2013, 2015).

Table 1. Effects of a two-season high tunnel system on primocane growth of two cultivars over two seasons. Cane growth response is compared based on the date when the mean cane height surpassed 90 cm and on the cane growth rate, as determined by linear regression. Values are based on measurements of 10 marked canes per plot.

| Yr       | Cultivar | 90 cm ht (date) | Cane growth rate (cm/d) | Tunnel | Field | Tunnel | Field |
|----------|----------|----------------|-------------------------|--------|-------|--------|-------|
|          |          |                |                         |        |       |        |       |
| 2016     | Caroline | 28 May         | 25 June                 | 1.14   | 1.98  | 0.54   |       |
|          | Josephine| 19 June        | 21 June                 | 1.50   | 1.90  | 0.40   |       |
| 2017     | Caroline | 19 May         | 30 June                 | 1.49   | 1.59  | 0.10   |       |
|          | Josephine| 6 June         | 2 July                  | 1.31   | 1.70  | 0.40   |       |

Analysis of variance P values

| Tunnel  | Cultivar | Year | Tunnel × year | Cultivar × year |
|---------|----------|------|---------------|-----------------|
| 0.0001  | 0.0003   | 0.3  | 0.0008        | 0.81            |
| 0.019   | 0.19     | 0.61 | 0.12          | 0.049           |

**Figure 1.** Primocane growth in high tunnels compared with the field for ‘Caroline’ and ‘Josephine’. Each data point represents the mean height of 10 selected canes.
commercial application of shade cloth is becoming more common to reduce sunburn issues associated with berry and vegetable production in the Intermountain West. The lower marketability in 2017 compared with that in 2016 was caused by a combination of factors. Fruit of ‘Caroline’ tended to be soft and crumbly, which was likely due to inadvertently high N fertilizer applications rates. Insect activity (leaf hoppers early in the season and grasshoppers near harvest) was also more pronounced in 2017. Total season yields differed significantly between cultivars, but they were not influenced by the use of a tunnel (Table 4). ‘Caroline’ produced ≈54% more fruit than the later-fruiting ‘Josephine’. The lack of a significant tunnel effect was somewhat surprising because total season yields of PF cultivars in the region are typically constrained by the first fall freeze (Black et al., 2013). This suggested that by advancing the production season earlier, more of the total fruiting potential in a primocane-only crop would be realized, particularly with later fruiting cultivars such as Josephine. The ‘Josephine’ plots in tunnels had higher average yields than the corresponding field plots (46% and 35% increases in 2015 and 2016, respectively). However, these differences were not statistically significant, possibly due to the low replication number. Under Michigan conditions, Hanson et al. (2011) reported consistently higher yields in high tunnels for three seasons than in corresponding field plots, but they did not perform a statistical comparison of the tunnel effect because of the low replication number.

Fruit size was significantly greater for ‘Josephine’ than for ‘Caroline’. However, there was also a significant tunnel × cultivar interaction (Table 4). In the case of ‘Caroline’, fruit size was larger in the field than in the tunnel. However, the fruit size of ‘Josephine’ was larger in the tunnel during 2016, but it did not differ between the field and tunnel in 2017. This lack of a consistent tunnel effect on fruit size contradicted the findings of Weber (2018), who reported that fruit was consistently larger under tunnel conditions. Hanson et al. (2011) reported larger fruit size in tunnels than in the field, but only for select cultivars. However, both of these studies used three-season tunnels, which presented very different fruit ripening conditions than did the system of plastic cover in the spring and shade in the summer.

The primary management objective of high tunnels is temperature management, although humidity and light conditions are also altered. The relationship between temperature and primocane crop development has been explored in several published studies. Lockshin and Elfving (1981) found that under controlled environment conditions, ‘Heritage’ raspberry flowered earlier and produced more flowers with a 29/24 °C day/night temperature cycle compared with 25/20 °C. Hoover et al. (1989) monitored temperatures and crop development of two cultivars of PF raspberry in five locations and found a strong relationship between temperature and primocane height, but there was no strong correlation between heat unit accumulation and harvest season. Privé et al. (1993b) followed three cultivars over the course of two seasons and six locations and found that the date of the first harvest was strongly correlated with soil temperature and water availability, but only weakly correlated with air temperature (based on a corn heat unit model).

It is important to note that the cultivars used in this study were initially selected for late fruiting studies, but not early primocane cropping, as was the objective in this study. Under field conditions in Northern Utah, ‘Caroline’ is considered an early midseason cultivar, whereas ‘Josephine’ is typically too late to be commercially viable for field production (Black et al., 2013). Under Michigan conditions, these cultivars are considered

---

**Table 3. Effects of a two-season tunnel on marketable fruit (%) for two cultivars over two seasons. Fruit was rated unmarketable due to a number of causes such as sunburn, crumbly fruit, and insect or disease damage.**

| Yr  | Cultivar | Tunnel | Field | Tunnel × cultivar | Year |
|-----|----------|--------|-------|-------------------|------|
| 2016 | Caroline | 92.4   | 81.8  | 10.6              |      |
|     | Josephine| 94.7   | 85.8  | 8.9               |      |
| 2017 | Caroline | 76.6   | 75.2  | 1.4               |      |
|     | Josephine| 85.4   | 78.1  | 7.2               |      |

---

**Table 2. Effects of a two-season high tunnel on the harvest season of two primocane-fruited cultivars.**

| Harvest start | Season midpoint |
|---------------|-----------------|
| Cultivar      | Tunnel | Field | Tunnel | Field |
| 2016 Caroline | 30 July | 25 Aug. | 25 Aug. | 14 Sept. |
| 2016 Josephine| 6 Aug. | 28 Aug. | 3 Sept. | 18 Sept. |
| 2017 Caroline | 30 July | 25 Aug. | 22 Aug. | 12 Sept. |
| 2017 Josephine| 8 Aug. | 26 Aug. | 28 Aug. | 15 Sept. |

---

**Table 4. Effects of a two-season tunnel on marketable fruit (%) for two cultivars over two seasons.**

| Yr  | Cultivar | Tunnel | Field | Tunnel × cultivar | Year |
|-----|----------|--------|-------|-------------------|------|
| 2016 | Caroline | 92.4   | 81.8  | 10.6              |      |
|     | Josephine| 94.7   | 85.8  | 8.9               |      |
| 2017 | Caroline | 76.6   | 75.2  | 1.4               |      |
|     | Josephine| 85.4   | 78.1  | 7.2               |      |

---

**Fig. 1. Cumulative yield of ‘Caroline’ and ‘Josephine’ raspberry in a two-season tunnel and in the field during the 2016 season. Values are means of two replicate 12.8-m-long plots.**

---

**Analysis of variance P values**

| Factor          | P     | P     |
|-----------------|-------|-------|
| Tunnel          | 0.002 | 0.001 |
| Cultivar        | 0.12  | 0.036 |
| Tunnel × cultivar| 0.33  | 0.26  |
| Year            | 0.26  | 0.028 |

---

**Harvest start based on regression of the cumulative yield using the projected X-axis intercept.**

---

**Tunnel Field dif.**

| Harvest start | Season midpoint |
|---------------|-----------------|
| Cultivar      | Tunnel | Field | Tunnel | Field |
| 2016 Caroline | 30 July | 25 Aug. | 25 Aug. | 14 Sept. |
| 2016 Josephine| 6 Aug. | 28 Aug. | 3 Sept. | 18 Sept. |
| 2017 Caroline | 30 July | 25 Aug. | 22 Aug. | 12 Sept. |
| 2017 Josephine| 8 Aug. | 26 Aug. | 28 Aug. | 15 Sept. |

---

**Factors in the table:**

- **Tunnel**
- **Field**
- **Tunnel × cultivar**
- **Year**

---

**Practical application:**

The primary management objective of high tunnels is temperature management, although humidity and light conditions are also altered. The relationship between temperature and primocane crop development has been explored in several published studies. Lockshin and Elfving (1981) found that under controlled environment conditions, ‘Heritage’ raspberry flowered earlier and produced more flowers with a 29/24 °C day/night temperature cycle compared with 25/20 °C. Hoover et al. (1989) monitored temperatures and crop development of two cultivars of PF raspberry in five locations and found a strong relationship between temperature and primocane height, but there was no strong correlation between heat unit accumulation and harvest season. Privé et al. (1993b) followed three cultivars over the course of two seasons and six locations and found that the date of the first harvest was strongly correlated with soil temperature and water availability, but only weakly correlated with air temperature (based on a corn heat unit model).

It is important to note that the cultivars used in this study were initially selected for late fruiting studies, but not early primocane cropping, as was the objective in this study. Under field conditions in Northern Utah, ‘Caroline’ is considered an early midseason cultivar, whereas ‘Josephine’ is typically too late to be commercially viable for field production (Black et al., 2013). Under Michigan conditions, these cultivars are considered...
midseason to late midseason cultivars (Hanson et al., 2018). Additional research should focus on using this system with earlier cultivars such as Polka or Autumn Britten.

**Conclusion**

Some small-acreage growers in the high-elevation valleys of the Intermountain West are struggling to achieve consistent raspberry production to supply high-value local markets. Productivity of floricaune-fruiting cultivars is limited by winter injury, and the growing season is not consistently long enough for field production of a primocane crop. Using simple, low-cost, two-season tunnels to modify early spring growth might provide an economically viable method of alleviating these seasonal risks. However, a better understanding of the relationship between temperature modification and crop development would provide better tunnel management recommendations. An economic evaluation of the costs and benefits of spring protection for early fall production is also necessary.
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