Amino acid digestibility in plant protein sources fed to growing pigs
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Objective: The objective was to determine standardized ileal digestibility (SID) of amino acids (AA) in 11 plant protein sources fed to growing pigs.

Methods: Eleven feed ingredients used were sesame meal, two sources of soybean meal (SBM) produced in the Republic of Korea, a source of SBM produced in India, high-protein distillers dried grains (HPDDG), perilla meal, canola meal, copra meal, corn germ meal, palm kernel expeller, and tapioca distillers dried grains (TDDG). Experimental diets were prepared to contain each test ingredient as a sole source of AA, and a nitrogen-free diet was also prepared to estimate the basal ileal endogenous losses of AA. Twelve barrows surgically fitted with T-cannulas at the distal ileum with an initial body weight of 29.0 kg (standard deviation = 3.0) were individually housed in metabolism crates equipped with a feeder and a nipple drinker. A 12×9 incomplete Latin square design was employed with 12 experimental diets, 12 animals, and 9 periods. After a 5-d adaptation period, ileal digesta were collected on d 6 and 7 in each experimental period.

Results: Values for apparent ileal digestibility of most indispensable AA in three sources of SBM were greater compared with other test ingredients except HPDDG and canola meal (p<0.05). Pigs fed diets containing SBM sources had also greater SID of most indispensable AA compared with those fed diets containing other test ingredients (p<0.05) except for HPDDG and canola meal. There was no difference in the apparent ileal digestibility and SID of AA among sources of SBM. The TDDG had the least value for the SID of methionine among test ingredients (p<0.05).

Conclusion: The SID of most AA in SBM, HPDDG, and canola meal were greater than those in sesame meal, perilla meal, copra meal, and TDDG.
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INTRODUCTION

Dietary supplementation of protein and amino acids (AA) is important to promote normal and optimal growth for pigs [1]. Soybean meal (SBM) is one of the most commonly used protein sources in swine diets. However, researchers and feed formulators have tried to find alternative feed ingredients to replace SBM due to its relatively high price [2]. Many plant protein sources produced from oil-extraction and distillation processes have been considered as alternative feed ingredients because crude protein (CP) and AA contents in grains, oilseeds, or fruit byproducts were concentrated after processing [3].

To use an alternative feed ingredient in swine diets, nutritional values of the ingredient should be considered [4]. The concentration of biologically available AA in a protein supplement is one of the most important factors in deciding the use of the protein supplement in swine diets. The bioavailability of AA for the pigs is generally expressed as a standardized ileal digestibility (SID) [5]. However, information on the SID of AA in some plant protein
sources is very limited. Therefore, this experiment was conducted to determine the SID of AA in nine plant protein sources produced from the oil-extraction process and two plant protein sources produced from distillation process fed to growing pigs.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Animal care**
The experimental procedure was approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee at Konkuk University (KU12090).

**Ingredients and diets**
Eleven plant protein sources used in the present study were identical to the ingredients reported by Son et al [6]. Test ingredients were sesame meal, two sources of dehulled SBM from Korea (SBM-KD 1 and SBM-KD 2), SBM from India (SBM-I), high-protein distillers dried grains produced from corn in the USA (HPDDG), perilla meal, canola meal (CM) from Indonesia, copra meal from the Philippines, corn germ meal (CGM), palm kernel expellers from Malaysia, and tapioca distillers dried grains from China (TDDG; Table 1). The copra and palm kernel byproducts were classified as meal and expellers, respectively, based on the concentration of ether extract in each ingredient [7].

Experimental diets were formulated to contain their respective test ingredients as a sole source of nitrogen (Tables 2, 3). A nitrogen-free diet was also prepared to estimate the basal ileal endogenous losses of AA. A 0.5% of chromic oxide was included in all experimental diets as an indigestible index. All experimental diets were formulated to contain adequate amounts of energy and nitrogen according to the National Research Council [8].

**Table 1.** Energy and nutrient composition of test ingredients (as-is basis)

| Item 1) | Ingredient |
|---------|------------|
|         | Sesame meal | Soybean meal–dehulled–Korea 1 | Soybean meal–dehulled–Korea 2 | Soybean meal–India | High-protein distillers dried grains | Perilla meal | Canola meal | Copra meal | Corn germ meal | Palm kernel expellers | Tapioca distillers dried grains |
| Arginine (%) | 3.79 | 3.46 | 3.51 | 2.97 | 1.32 | 3.87 | 2.40 | 1.65 | 1.42 | 1.62 | 0.66 |
| Histidine (%) | 1.17 | 1.23 | 1.29 | 1.07 | 1.10 | 1.09 | 0.99 | 0.43 | 0.69 | 0.31 | 0.38 |
| Isoleucine (%) | 1.74 | 2.18 | 2.26 | 1.78 | 1.60 | 1.56 | 1.31 | 0.66 | 0.76 | 0.52 | 0.88 |
| Leucine (%) | 3.32 | 3.79 | 3.88 | 3.19 | 5.70 | 2.88 | 2.48 | 1.37 | 1.74 | 1.05 | 1.37 |
| Lysine (%) | 1.02 | 3.15 | 3.30 | 2.68 | 1.23 | 1.15 | 1.76 | 0.51 | 1.00 | 0.48 | 0.96 |
| Methionine (%) | 1.05 | 0.31 | 0.48 | 0.34 | 0.52 | 0.54 | 0.47 | 0.16 | 0.23 | 0.18 | 0.14 |
| Phenylalanine (%) | 2.50 | 2.42 | 2.49 | 2.23 | 2.39 | 2.48 | 1.51 | 1.09 | 1.03 | 0.82 | 0.82 |
| Threonine (%) | 1.39 | 2.00 | 2.01 | 1.67 | 1.45 | 1.35 | 1.50 | 0.69 | 0.90 | 0.53 | 0.80 |
| Tryptophan (%) | 0.06 | 0.49 | 0.51 | 0.42 | 0.22 | 0.43 | 0.41 | 0.14 | 0.19 | 0.09 | 0.13 |
| Valine (%) | 2.01 | 2.10 | 2.16 | 1.75 | 1.79 | 1.85 | 1.61 | 0.95 | 1.08 | 0.74 | 0.98 |

| Item 1) | Ingredient |
|---------|------------|
|         | Soybean meal–India | Soybean meal–dehulled–India | Soybean meal–Indian | High-protein distillers dried grains | Perilla meal | Canola meal | Copra meal | Corn germ meal | Palm kernel expellers | Tapioca distillers dried grains |
| Alanine (%) | 2.38 | 2.10 | 2.14 | 1.77 | 3.05 | 2.02 | 1.61 | 0.92 | 1.29 | 0.69 | 1.00 |
| Aspartic acid (%) | 3.38 | 5.54 | 5.61 | 4.53 | 2.42 | 3.21 | 2.42 | 1.63 | 1.67 | 1.27 | 1.57 |
| Cysteine (%) | 0.21 | 0.61 | 0.79 | 0.56 | 0.52 | 0.26 | 0.97 | 0.29 | 0.34 | 0.19 | 0.14 |
| Glutamic acid (%) | 8.81 | 8.61 | 8.70 | 7.48 | 7.22 | 7.70 | 6.59 | 3.84 | 3.25 | 2.96 | 1.87 |
| Glycine (%) | 2.35 | 2.05 | 2.09 | 1.71 | 1.29 | 2.02 | 1.85 | 0.92 | 1.18 | 0.74 | 0.79 |
| Proline (%) | 1.06 | 1.82 | 1.76 | 1.60 | 3.11 | 0.80 | 1.64 | 0.49 | 0.99 | 0.35 | 0.55 |
| Serine (%) | 1.29 | 2.40 | 2.40 | 2.06 | 1.85 | 1.51 | 1.53 | 0.84 | 1.05 | 0.68 | 0.75 |
| Tyrosine (%) | 1.77 | 1.66 | 1.47 | 1.48 | 1.60 | 1.53 | 1.04 | 0.54 | 0.68 | 0.46 | 0.50 |
| Dry matter (%) | 97.0 | 90.2 | 90.2 | 90.1 | 91.5 | 90.3 | 91.4 | 90.2 | 94.1 | 89.6 | 93.3 |
| Gross energy (kcal/kg) | 4,688 | 4,299 | 4,332 | 4,221 | 4,924 | 4,240 | 4,235 | 4,095 | 4,699 | 4,407 | 3,875 |
| Crude protein (%) | 50.0 | 47.1 | 47.4 | 39.6 | 38.0 | 43.2 | 37.5 | 21.8 | 21.4 | 15.3 | 18.4 |
| Ether extract (%) | 6.05 | 2.46 | 0.74 | 0.84 | 5.24 | 1.08 | 1.85 | 1.76 | 8.27 | 6.97 | 3.12 |
| Crude fiber (%) | 9.3 | 4.6 | 5.7 | 5.1 | 7.3 | 18.8 | 9.6 | 13.6 | 10.4 | 17.0 | 22.7 |
| Ash (%) | 11.0 | 6.2 | 6.3 | 6.3 | 1.4 | 9.0 | 9.5 | 6.7 | 2.4 | 4.7 | 14.9 |
| Neutral detergent fiber (%) | 28.1 | 7.4 | 8.7 | 9.6 | 39.0 | 44.7 | 24.7 | 55.1 | 43.4 | 61.4 | 56.2 |
| Acid detergent fiber (%) | 17.5 | 7.2 | 9.1 | 8.2 | 20.1 | 25.9 | 18.1 | 32.2 | 14.6 | 36.8 | 47.3 |
| Calcium (%) | 2.15 | 0.64 | 0.67 | 0.70 | 0.13 | 1.71 | 1.01 | 0.62 | 0.13 | 0.43 | 0.77 |
| Phosphorus (%) | 1.32 | 0.64 | 0.62 | 0.53 | 0.25 | 1.25 | 0.95 | 0.54 | 0.53 | 0.55 | 0.22 |

1) The analyzed energy and nutrient compositions except amino acids are adapted from Son et al [6].
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was provided the following quantities per kg of complete diet: vitamin A, 25,000 IU; vitamin D₃, 4,000 IU; vitamin E, 50 IU; vitamin K, 5.0 mg; thiamin, 4.9 mg; riboflavin, 10.0 mg; pyridoxine, 4.9 mg; vitamin B₁₂, 0.06 mg; pantothenic acid, 37.5 mg; folic acid, 1.10 mg; niacin, 62 mg; biotin, 0.06 mg; Cu, 25 mg as copper sulfate; Fe, 268 mg as iron sulfate; I, 5.0 mg as potassium iodate; Mn, 125 mg as manganese sulfate; Se, 0.38 mg as sodium selenite; Zn, 313 mg as zinc oxide; and butylated hydroxytoluene, 50 mg.

### Table 2. Ingredient and chemical composition of experimental diets (as-fed basis)

| Items | Sesame meal | Soybean meal-dehulled-Korea 1 | Soybean meal-dehulled-Korea 2 | Soybean meal-India | High-protein distillers dried grains | Perilla meal | Canola meal | Copra meal | Corn germ meal | Palm kernel expellers | Tapioca distillers dried grains | Nitrogen-free |
|-------|-------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------|-------------------------------|-------------|------------|-----------|-------------|---------------------|-----------------------------|--------------|
| Ingredient (%) | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Corn starch | 48.6 | 44.2 | 42.2 | 43.3 | 36.7 | 38.6 | 38.3 | 37.0 | 36.8 | 37.3 | 37.0 | 68.4 |
| Sucrose | 20.0 | 20.0 | 20.0 | 20.0 | 20.0 | 20.0 | 20.0 | 20.0 | 20.0 | 20.0 | 20.0 | 20.0 |
| Sesame meal | 30.0 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Soybean meal-dehulled-Korea 1 | - | 33.0 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Soybean meal-dehulled-Korea 2 | - | - | 35.0 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Soybean meal-India | - | - | - | 34.0 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| High-protein distillers dried grains | - | - | - | - | 40.0 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Perilla meal | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 40.0 | - | - | - | - |
| Canola meal | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Copra meal | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Corn germ meal | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 40.0 |
| Palm kernel expellers | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Tapioca distillers dried grains | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 40.0 |
| Soybean oil | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Cellulose | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Potassium carbonate | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Magnesium oxide | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Limestone | - | 0.35 | 0.35 | 0.10 | 0.30 | - | 0.05 | 0.50 | 0.45 | 0.20 | - | 0.75 |
| Dicalcium phosphate | - | 1.10 | 1.10 | 1.25 | 1.65 | - | 0.25 | 1.15 | 1.35 | 1.15 | 1.60 | 1.00 |
| Salt | 0.40 | 0.40 | 0.40 | 0.40 | 0.40 | 0.40 | 0.40 | 0.40 | 0.40 | 0.40 | 0.40 | 0.40 |
| Vitamin-mineral premix | 0.50 | 0.50 | 0.50 | 0.50 | 0.50 | 0.50 | 0.50 | 0.50 | 0.50 | 0.50 | 0.50 | 0.50 |
| Chromic oxide | 0.50 | 0.50 | 0.50 | 0.50 | 0.50 | 0.50 | 0.50 | 0.50 | 0.50 | 0.50 | 0.50 | 0.50 |
| Analyzed composition (%) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Dry matter | 93.7 | 91.2 | 90.9 | 91.5 | 92.1 | 91.3 | 91.9 | 91.5 | 92.7 | 91.0 | 92.5 | 92.0 |
| Crude protein | 16.0 | 19.0 | 18.7 | 13.6 | 14.2 | 18.1 | 14.7 | 8.28 | 8.13 | 6.10 | 7.71 | 0.27 |
| Ether extract | 1.73 | 0.46 | 0.35 | 0.53 | 1.49 | 0.31 | 0.76 | 0.84 | 3.34 | 2.61 | 0.48 | 2.10 |
| Ash | 4.30 | 4.45 | 4.62 | 4.50 | 3.72 | 5.04 | 5.29 | 4.96 | 4.37 | 4.08 | 9.08 | 3.07 |

1) Provided the following quantities per kg of complete diet: vitamin A, 25,000 IU; vitamin D₃, 4,000 IU; vitamin E, 50 IU; vitamin K, 5.0 mg; thiamin, 4.9 mg; riboflavin, 10.0 mg; pyridoxine, 4.9 mg; vitamin B₁₂, 0.06 mg; pantothenic acid, 37.5 mg; folic acid, 1.10 mg; niacin, 62 mg; biotin, 0.06 mg; Cu, 25 mg as copper sulfate; Fe, 268 mg as iron sulfate; I, 5.0 mg as potassium iodate; Mn, 125 mg as manganese sulfate; Se, 0.38 mg as sodium selenite; Zn, 313 mg as zinc oxide; and butylated hydroxytoluene, 50 mg.

vitrins and minerals to meet or exceed the requirement
estimates reported by the NRC [5].

### Animal, feeding, and sample collection

Twelve crossbred barrows with a mean initial body weight
(BW) of 29.0±2.0 kg were surgically fitted with T-cannulas
at the distal ileum based on the procedure described by Stein
et al [8], and were individually placed in metabolism crates
equipped with a feeder and a nipple drinker. The animals were
allotted to a 12×9 incomplete Latin square design with 12 di-
etary treatments and 9 periods using a spreadsheet-based

program to prevent potential carryover effects [9]. Based on
the BW of each pig and metabolizable energy concentration
of the experimental diets, daily feed allowance for each pig
was calculated at the beginning of each experimental period
as 2.7 times the estimated energy requirement for mainte-
nance (i.e., 106 kcal of metabolizable energy per kg BW[3][5]).
The amount of feed allowance was divided into two equal
meals, and the feed was fed to pigs at 0800 and 1600 h. Water
was available all the time. The BW of pigs was individually
measured at the beginning of each period.

An experimental period consisted of a 5-d adaptation period
and a 2-d collection period. The ileal digesta were collected
from 0830 to 1600 h on d 6 and 7. For collecting the ileal di-
gesta, a plastic bag was tied on the T-cannula using a wire,
and the bag was changed every 30 min. The collected ileal
digesta samples were immediately stored at –20°C.
RESULTS

All animals were maintained healthy and consumed provided experimental diets well. Values for the AID of most indispensable AA in three sources of SBM were greater compared with other test ingredients except HPDDG and CM (p<0.05; Table 4). There was no difference in the AID of AA among the sources of SBM. Pigs fed diets containing SBM-KD 1 and SBM-I had greater AID of lysine compared with other test ingredients (p<0.05) except for SBM-KD 2, which were not different from values in HPDDG and CM. Values for the AID of methionine in SBM-KD 2 and HPDDG were greater than in other test ingredients (p<0.05) except for SBM-KD 1, SBM-I, CM, and CGM. The AID of threonine and valine in SBM-KD 1 was greater than other test ingredients (p<0.05) but was not different from values in SBM-KD 2, SBM-I, and HPDDG. Pigs fed diets containing SBM sources and HPDDG had greater AID of isoleucine, leucine, and phenylalanine (p<0.05) except for those fed diet containing CM. Values for the AID of arginine, methionine, and phenylalanine in TDDG was the least among test ingredients (p<0.05).

Values for the SID of lysine in SBM-KD 1 and SBM-I were greater than other test ingredients (p<0.05) except for SBM-KD 2 and HPDDG, which were not different from values in CM (Table 5). Values for the SID of methionine in SBM-KD 1, SBM-KD 2, and HPDDG were greater than in sesame meal, perilla meal, copra meal, and TDDG (p<0.05) but were not different from values in SBM-I, CM, CGM, and palm kernel expellers. The TDDG had the lowest value for the SID of methionine among the test ingredients (p<0.05). Pigs fed diets containing SBM-KD1 had greater SID of threonine and valine compared with those fed diets containing other test ingredients (p<0.05) except for SBM-KD 2, SBM-I, and HPDDG. Values for the SID of tryptophan in SBM-KD 1 and SBM-I were greater than perilla meal, copra meal, and TDDG (p<0.05) but were not different from other test ingredients. Pigs fed diets containing SBM sources and HPDDG had greater SID of isoleucine, leucine, and phenylalanine compared with other test ingredients (p<0.05) except

---

**Table 3.** Amino acids (AA) concentration of experimental diets (% as-fed basis)

| Items              | Sesame meal | Soybean meal-dehulled-Korea 1 | Soybean meal-dehulled-Korea 2 | Soybean meal-India | High-protein distillers dried grains | Perilla meal | Canola meal | Copra meal | Corn germ meal | Palm kernel expellers | Tapioca distillers dried grains |
|--------------------|-------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------|-------------|------------|--------------|---------------------|---------------------------------|
| Arginine           | 0.95        | 1.23                          | 0.94                          | 1.09               | 0.55                                | 0.52         | 1.00        | 0.64       | 0.53          | 0.76                | 0.18                            |
| Histidine          | 0.31        | 0.39                          | 0.38                          | 0.35               | 0.45                                | 0.43         | 0.43        | 0.18       | 0.28          | 0.14                | 0.11                            |
| Isoleucine         | 0.49        | 0.63                          | 0.68                          | 0.55               | 0.68                                | 0.64         | 0.61        | 0.29       | 0.31          | 0.26                | 0.24                            |
| Leucine            | 0.90        | 1.16                          | 1.16                          | 1.02               | 2.37                                | 2.25         | 1.09        | 0.59       | 0.68          | 0.50                | 0.37                            |
| Lysine             | 0.23        | 0.30                          | 0.20                          | 0.18               | 0.47                                | 0.44         | 0.72        | 0.21       | 0.36          | 0.22                | 0.28                            |
| Methionine         | 0.38        | 0.37                          | 0.20                          | 0.18               | 0.36                                | 0.35         | 0.24        | 0.09       | 0.14          | 0.11                | 0.07                            |
| Phenylalanine      | 0.64        | 0.82                          | 0.76                          | 0.73               | 0.91                                | 0.86         | 0.65        | 0.44       | 0.40          | 0.38                | 0.24                            |
| Threonine          | 0.36        | 0.46                          | 0.57                          | 0.41               | 0.60                                | 0.57         | 0.60        | 0.29       | 0.34          | 0.25                | 0.23                            |
| Tryptophan         | 0.15        | 0.25                          | 0.15                          | 0.10               | 0.09                                | 0.18         | 0.16        | 0.06       | 0.07          | 0.04                | 0.04                            |
| Valine             | 0.59        | 0.75                          | 0.66                          | 0.67               | 0.77                                | 0.73         | 0.72        | 0.44       | 0.48          | 0.39                | 0.30                            |
| Alanine            | 0.64        | 0.83                          | 0.63                          | 0.73               | 1.27                                | 1.21         | 0.67        | 0.40       | 0.51          | 0.33                | 0.29                            |
| Aspartic acid      | 0.91        | 1.16                          | 1.61                          | 1.03               | 1.00                                | 0.95         | 1.01        | 0.69       | 0.64          | 0.61                | 0.45                            |
| Cysteine           | 0.11        | 0.05                          | 0.29                          | 0.21               | 0.39                                | 0.19         | 0.41        | 0.15       | 0.17          | 0.11                | 0.07                            |
| Glutamic acid      | 2.42        | 3.07                          | 2.55                          | 2.71               | 2.97                                | 2.82         | 2.74        | 1.67       | 1.27          | 1.43                | 0.55                            |
| Glycine            | 0.63        | 0.81                          | 0.61                          | 0.72               | 0.55                                | 0.52         | 0.77        | 0.40       | 0.47          | 0.34                | 0.23                            |
| Proline            | 0.30        | 0.37                          | 0.53                          | 0.33               | 1.30                                | 1.23         | 0.73        | 0.21       | 0.36          | 0.16                | 0.14                            |
| Serine             | 0.32        | 0.40                          | 0.68                          | 0.36               | 0.74                                | 0.71         | 0.59        | 0.34       | 0.38          | 0.30                | 0.23                            |
| Tyrosine           | 0.36        | 0.52                          | 0.37                          | 0.46               | 0.57                                | 0.54         | 0.40        | 0.16       | 0.20          | 0.17                | 0.12                            |

**Calculation and statistical analysis**

Values for apparent ileal digestibility (AID) and SID of AA were calculated based on the equations reported by a previous study [11]. Data were analyzed using MIXED procedure of SAS (SAS Inst. Inc., Cary, NC, USA). The model included dietary treatment as a fixed variable and animal and period as random variables [12]. Least squares means of each treatment were calculated and the difference among the least squares means was tested using the PDIFF option of SAS with the Tukey's adjustment. The experimental unit was a pig, and the statistical significance was set at a p-value less than 0.05.
Table 4. Apparent ileal digestibility (%) of amino acids (AA) in 11 sources of plant protein sources fed to pigs

| Items                  | Sesame meal | Soybean meal-dehulled-Korea 1 | Soybean meal-dehulled-Korea 2 | Soybean meal-India | High-protein distillers dried grains | Perilla meal | Canola meal | Copra meal | Corn germ meal | Palm kernel expellers | Tapicoa distillers dried grains | SEM | p-value |
|-----------------------|-------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------|--------------------------------------|-------------|-------------|------------|--------------|-------------------|-----------------------------|-----|---------|
| No. of observation    | 7           | 8                             | 7                             | 7                 | 7                                    | 8           | 8           | 8          | 6            | 6                 | 6                           |     |         |
| Indispensable AA      |             |                               |                               |                   |                                      |             |             |            |              |                   |                             |     |         |
| Arginine              | 59.5a       | 90.4a                         | 85.7a                         | 86.6a             | 70.4a                                | 65.5a       | 73.5a       | 48.7a      | 69.2a         | 61.9a             | 24.3                        | 3.2 | <0.001 |
| Histidine             | 45.7b       | 85.5b                         | 80.9b                         | 82.6b             | 74.8a                                | 48.2a       | 72.6a       | 40.7a      | 64.3b         | 45.9b             | 20.0                        | 4.0 | <0.001 |
| Isoleucine            | 37.3c       | 79.9c                         | 76.3c                         | 76.7c             | 74.2c                                | 40.5c       | 65.1c       | 36.8c      | 44.9c         | 48.6c             | 29.0                        | 4.0 | <0.001 |
| Leucine               | 46.5d       | 81.6d                         | 77.8d                         | 78.7d             | 84.5d                                | 46.1d       | 69.8e       | 45.5d      | 58.4d         | 53.9d             | 30.7                        | 3.4 | <0.001 |
| Lysine                | 7.0e        | 84.1e                         | 78.0e                         | 82.1e             | 59.8e                                | 26.4e       | 57.5e       | 7.4e       | 43.6e         | 32.7e             | 35.7                        | 4.8 | <0.001 |
| Methionine            | 61.7f       | 79.0f                         | 86.9f                         | 77.9f             | 89.0f                                | 34.0f       | 72.8e       | 45.1f      | 66.9f         | 59.1f             | 4.5                         | 5.5 | <0.001 |
| Phenylalanine         | 51.8g       | 82.3g                         | 79.3g                         | 81.3g             | 79.5g                                | 55.2g       | 69.8e       | 52.8g      | 57.3g         | 57.3g             | 31.5                        | 3.1 | <0.001 |
| Threonine             | 17.9h       | 73.8h                         | 65.0h                         | 69.0h             | 63.9h                                | 27.8h       | 52.0f       | 20.1f      | 31.6f         | 30.0f             | 11.4                        | 4.8 | <0.001 |
| Tryptophan            | 66.6i       | 82.4i                         | 79.6i                         | 82.3i             | 71.6i                                | 55.8i       | 62.2f       | 45.0i      | 58.8f         | 41.3f             | 23.2                        | 5.9 | <0.001 |
| Valine                | 31.1j       | 73.9j                         | 69.2j                         | 68.9j             | 68.8j                                | 34.9j       | 58.6j       | 38.1j      | 47.4j         | 46.1j             | 19.4                        | 3.8 | <0.001 |
| Dispensable AA        |             |                               |                               |                   |                                      |             |             |            |              |                   |                             |     |         |
| Alanine               | 33.6k       | 72.5k                         | 66.1k                         | 67.7k             | 78.1k                                | 30.4k       | 58.0k       | 30.2k      | 46.6k         | 37.1k             | 20.9                        | 4.6 | <0.001 |
| Aspartic acid         | 16.6l       | 79.7l                         | 72.6l                         | 77.5l             | 63.9l                                | 24.1l       | 51.1l       | 27.3l      | 30.7l         | 33.9l             | 17.2                        | 5.3 | <0.001 |
| Cysteine              | -81.4m      | 63.1m                         | 68.3m                         | 62.0m             | 70.6m                                | -32.1m      | 61.7m       | 11.4m      | 35.6m         | 19.9m             | -54.6m                      | 8.0 | <0.001 |
| Glutamic acid         | 39.8n       | 84.9n                         | 79.2n                         | 81.6n             | 79.5n                                | 43.0n       | 76.0n       | 43.1n      | 57.0n         | 49.8n             | 20.3                        | 4.3 | <0.001 |
| Glycine               | -5.0o       | 63.6o                         | 50.8o                         | 46.6o             | 38.8o                                | 10.8o       | 37.5o       | -3.0o      | 12.9o         | -19.1o             | -24.8o                      | 7.9 | <0.001 |
| Proline               | -248.2p     | 39.7p                         | -2.0p                         | -36.6p            | 38.2p                                | -241.6p     | -25.9p      | -280.0p    | -146.3p       | -631.9p            | -208.1p                     | 62.0 | <0.001 |
| Serine                | 21.1q       | 79.8q                         | 71.5q                         | 75.8q             | 72.9q                                | 37.8q       | 62.0q       | 31.1q      | 41.2q         | 38.7q             | 8.2                         | 4.5 | <0.001 |
| Tyrosine              | 55.7r       | 83.4r                         | 72.1r                         | 81.9r             | 78.6r                                | 54.2r       | 65.3r       | 29.7r      | 38.4r         | 42.9r             | 35.2                        | 3.8 | <0.001 |

SEM, standard error of the mean.  
Means within a row without a common superscript differ (p < 0.05).

for those fed diet containing CM.

DISCUSSION

The basal ileal endogenous losses of indispensable AA determined in the present study were within a range reported in the literature [13]. The concentration of CP and AA in sesame meal was within range of previous studies [5,14-16]. However, values for the SID of indispensable AA, especially lysine, in sesame meal were less than values in previous studies [5,15,16]. The reason for this result may be due to different oil-extraction processes and conditions [16]. In addition, fiber concentration in the sesame meal used in the present study and Son et al [6] was greater compared with those in the previous studies [5,15,16]. Although the SID of most indispensable AA in sesame meal was less than in SBM sources used in the present study, sesame meal had greater concentrations of methionine and tryptophan compared with SBM and other test ingredients. Based on the present results, therefore, sesame meal can be used as a good source of methionine and tryptophan in swine diets in agreement with a previous work [16].

The AA composition in the three sources of SBM agreed with the tabular values in the literature [5,14]. However, the SID values of AA in the three SBM sources were a bit less than those in the literature [5,14]. The SID values of AA in SBM reported in other previous studies [17,18] were similar to those in the present work. During SBM production process, soybean is dehulled, and then soyhulls are often added to the dehulled SBM after oil-extraction process resulting in SBM with hulls [19]. The concentration of CP in SBM is affected by the inclusion rate of hulls, and the AA concentration is highly correlated with the CP concentration [19]. In the present work, the SBM-KD 1 and 2 had greater concentrations of CP and most AA compared with SBM-I that contained hulls. The AA digestibility can be decreased as the inclusion rate of hulls or the dietary fiber content increases [20,21]. However, we failed to find the differences in the SID of AA among the three SBM sources used in the present study, in agreement with Park et al [22] who reported no difference in the SID of AA between two SBM sources with varying soy hull inclusion rates. A potential reason for this discrepancy is that the three SBM sources used in this work had similar fiber concentrations [6] regardless of hull inclusion rates.

The CP and most AA concentrations of HPDDG used in the present work were within the range of previously reported values [5,23-25]. However, there was a relatively large variability in CP and AA concentrations in the literature. The variability perhaps is attributed to different dehulling, degerming, or both processes before fermentation for ethanol
production. Values for the SID of most AA in HPDDG determined in the present study were within the range of previous values [5, 11, 29-31]. Among the test ingredients in this study, HPDDG had most comparable values for the AA digestibility with SMB sources. Due to the low lysine and tryptophan concentrations in HPDDG, however, the value and the potential inclusion rate of HPDDG in swine diets would be limited.

The AA concentration in CM used in this study agreed with previously reported values [5, 26, 27]. The SID of most AA in CM used in this study was less than those in the literature. The fiber concentrations in CM used in the present work did not deviate much from the literature [5, 26-28]. A previous study comparing the AA digestibility among seven sources of CM reported that the differences of 5% to 10% units were observed among the CM sources. This difference may have resulted from different genotypes of seeds and oil-extraction conditions [27, 28].

The CP and AA concentrations in perilla meal, copra meal, CGM, and palm kernel expellers used in the present study agreed with values in the literature [5, 11, 29-31]. However, values for the SID of most indispensable AA in the test ingredients were less compared with those in the literature [5, 11, 29-31]. It remains unclear why the SID of AA determined in this study were less than in the previously reported values. However, it has been reported that the digestibility of AA in the feed ingredients produced from the oil-extraction process can be affected by several factors including drying condition, heat damage, regional origins of grains or oilseeds, and species [27, 31, 32]. To our knowledge, the digestibility of AA in TDDG fed to the pigs has not been reported. The TDDG had less digestibility of most AA compared with other test ingredients used in this study. The reason for this result may be due to the high concentration of neutral detergent fiber and acid detergent fiber in TDDG [6, 11].

In the present study, the AA digestibility of lysine was less than other indispensable AA in most test ingredients. In the oil-extraction and distillation processes, heating and drying are essential steps, and thus, byproducts can be damaged by heat. It has been reported that lysine is the most influenced by heat damage associated with Maillard reaction during the thermal processing [32]. In addition, anti-nutritional factors such as trypsin inhibitors, glucosinolates, and β-mannans in the plant protein sources may negatively affect AA digestibility.
The lack of analysis for anti-nutritional factors in the test ingredients is a limitation of the present study. Further research is warranted to quantify the influence of anti-nutritional factors on AA digestibility.

In conclusion, sources of SBM used in the present study had greater values for the SID of most AAs compared with other test ingredients. Although the HPDDG used in this study had high AA digestibility values comparable to the AA digestibility of SBM, digestible lysine and tryptophan concentrations in the HPDDG with solubles were less than those in SBM. Swine feed producers can use the data provided in the present work with combination with other nutrient concentrations and prices in determining the value of each ingredient.
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