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n-DBI gravity is a gravitational theory introduced in [C. Herdeiro and S. Hirano, arXiv:1109.1468.], motivated by Dirac-Born-Infeld type conformal scalar theory and designed to yield noneternal inflation spontaneously. It contains a foliation structure provided by an everywhere timelike vector field \( \mathbf{n} \), which couples to the gravitational sector of the theory, but decouples in the small curvature limit. We show that any solution of Einstein gravity with a particular curvature property is a solution of n-DBI gravity. Among them is a class of geometries isometric to a Reissner-Nordström–(anti)-de Sitter black hole, which is obtained within the spherically symmetric solutions of n-DBI gravity minimally coupled to the Maxwell field. These solutions have, however, two distinct features from their Einstein gravity counterparts: (1) the cosmological constant appears as an integration constant and can be positive, negative, or vanishing, making it a variable quantity of the theory; and (2) there is a nonuniqueness of solutions with the same total mass, charge, and effective cosmological constant. Such inequivalent solutions cannot be mapped to each other by a foliation preserving diffeomorphism. Physically they are distinguished by the expansion and shear of the congruence tangent to \( \mathbf{n} \), which define scalar invariants on each leaf of the foliation.
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I. INTRODUCTION

The mystery surrounding dark energy and dark matter, as well as the expected detection of gravitational radiation in the near future, and consequent observation of strong gravity dynamics, are powerful motivations to explore gravitational theories beyond Einstein’s general relativity. In the most optimistic scenarios some distinct phenomenological signature of Einstein’s gravity or of some alternatives/extension thereof could be identified. Moreover, such studies, commonly shed light on general relativity itself. An example is the extension of general relativity to higher dimensions, from which one understands how special are the uniqueness theorems for black hole solutions of general relativity. One other example is higher curvature gravity, from which one understands how special is the fact that the equations of motion of general relativity are only second order in derivatives.

In this paper we further explore an extension of general relativity recently proposed by some of us [1]. This gravitational theory was motivated by conformal invariance and the realization that a Dirac-Born-Infeld (DBI) type conformal scalar theory has a phenomenologically interesting dynamics when its degree of freedom is interpreted as the conformal factor of a conformally flat universe. Such a cosmological model can yield noneternal (primordial) inflation spontaneously, smoothly connected to a radiation and matter era and a subsequent (present) accelerated expansion. The two distinct accelerating periods, with two distinct effective cosmological constants, are a manifestation that the cosmological constant can vary in this theory. Moreover, a large hierarchy between these two cosmological constants can be naturally achieved, if the naive cosmological constant appearing in a weak curvature expansion of the theory is associated to the TeV scale, suggesting also a new mechanism to address the cosmological constant problem.

The extension of this model to include all gravitational field degrees of freedom (rather than just an overall conformal factor) suggested the introduction of an everywhere timelike unit vector field \( \mathbf{n} \), coupled to the gravitational sector (but not necessarily to the matter sector) of the theory. We therefore dub this theory n-DBI gravity. Such coupling leads to the breakdown of Lorentz invariance, but since \( \mathbf{n} \) decouples from the gravitational dynamics in the weak curvature limit, Lorentz invariance is restored and Einstein gravity is recovered in this limit. This breakdown of Lorentz invariance at strong curvature and restoring at weak curvature is somewhat reminiscent of what was proposed in Horava-Lifshitz gravity [2]; in our theory, however, it is explicit.

Mathematically, the introduction of \( \mathbf{n} \), allows n-DBI gravity—which has an infinite power series in the Ricci curvature—to yield equations of motion which are at most second order in time, albeit higher order in spatial derivatives. This is in principle a desirable property, so as to avoid ghosts in the quantum theory, a property normally associated with only Lovelock gravity [3], of which Einstein gravity is a particular example. Thus, our model, illustrates one other path to achieve this property. Also, the existence
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of this timelike vector field naturally induces a foliation of space-time. The allowed diffeomorphisms of the theory should preserve \( \mathbf{n} \) and hence this foliation, which will therefore be only a subgroup of general coordinate transformations. Physically this means that there are quantities, defined on each leaf, which have no invariant meaning in Einstein gravity but become invariant under this symmetry group; two that shall be discussed are the shearing and expansion of the congruence tangent to \( \mathbf{n} \).

Remarkably, we shall show that many solutions of Einstein's gravity are also solutions of \( n \)-DBI gravity, despite the fact that the latter has an infinite power series in scalar curvature. Moreover, this is not only the case for vacuum solutions, or even Einstein spaces. We shall actually explicitly show that the Reissner-Nordström black hole with (or without) a cosmological constant solves the field equations of \( n \)-DBI gravity. In our theory, however, this is a larger family of solutions than in Einstein gravity, not only parameterized by total mass, charge, and cosmological constant, but also by the slicing. This is a novel type of nonuniqueness, which, albeit trivial from the geometric viewpoint, has physical significance in this framework. Also, in agreement with the aforementioned, the cosmological constant for these solutions will arise as an integration constant and can therefore take different values.

This paper is organized as follows. Section II defines \( n \)-DBI gravity, introducing further details as compared to those presented in [1]. Section III addresses spherically symmetric solutions, keeping some technicalities to Appendix A. We draw conclusions in Sec. IV.

II. FORMULATION OF \( n \)-DBI GRAVITY

\( n \)-DBI gravity [1] is defined by the action

\[
S = -\frac{1}{16\pi G_N} \int d^4x \left\{ \frac{12\lambda}{G_N} \sqrt{-g} \left[ 1 + G_N \frac{\delta}{6\lambda} R + \mathcal{K} \right] - q \right\} + 16\pi G_N \mathcal{L}_{\text{matter}},
\]

(2.1)

where \( G_N \) is Newton’s constant, \( \delta R \) is the four-dimensional Ricci scalar constructed in the standard way from the space-time metric \( g_{\mu\nu} \), and \( \mathcal{L}_{\text{matter}} \) is the matter Lagrangian density. The theory includes two dimensionless constants: \( \lambda \) and \( q \). We have introduced a scalar quantity closely related to the Gibbons-Hawking-York boundary term [4,5],

\[
\mathcal{K} := -\frac{2}{\sqrt{h}} n^\sigma \partial_\sigma (\sqrt{h} K) = -2(K^2 + n^\sigma \partial_\sigma K).
\]

(2.2)

This quantity is specified by the choice of a unit timelike vector \( \mathbf{n} \), and the consequent first and second fundamental forms (induced metric and extrinsic curvature)

\[
h_{\mu\nu} = g_{\mu\nu} + n_\mu n_\nu, \quad K_{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2} n^\sigma \partial_\sigma h_{\mu\nu},
\]

(2.3)

from which \( K = K_{\mu\nu} h^{\mu\nu} \), the trace of the extrinsic curvature, is constructed.

We assume the timelike vector \( \mathbf{n} \) defines a foliation of space-time, \( \mathcal{F} \), by spacelike leaves. Being hypersurface orthogonal, it is natural to adopt the Arnowitt-Deser-Misner (ADM) decomposition of space-time [6],

\[
ds^2 = -N^2 dt^2 + h_{ij}(dx^i + N^i dt)(dx^j + N^j dt).
\]

(2.4)

Standard nomenclature is that \( N \) and \( N^i \) are the lapse and shift. Then, as a 1-form, \( \mathbf{n} = -N dt \) and therefore \( \mathbf{n} \wedge d\mathbf{n} = 0 \), in agreement with Frobenius’ theorem. In terms of the ADM decomposition, the normal derivative is expressed as

\[
n^\sigma \partial_\sigma = N^{-1}(\partial_t - L_N),
\]

(2.5)

using the Lie derivative \( L_N \) for the vector field \( N^i \); also, the extrinsic curvature reads

\[
K_{ij} = \frac{1}{2N} (\dot{h}_{ij} - \nabla_i N_j - \nabla_j N_i).
\]

(2.6)

Moreover, to reexpress the theory in terms of the ADM variables \( N, N^i \), and \( h_{ij} \) note that [7]

\[
(4)R + \mathcal{K} = R + K_{ij}K^{ij} - K^2 - 2N^{-1}\Delta N \equiv \mathcal{R},
\]

(2.7)

where \( R \) is the Ricci scalar of \( h_{ij} \). Then, varying the action with respect to \( N, N^i \), and \( h_{ij} \) one obtains, respectively, the Hamiltonian constraint

\[
\frac{1 + \frac{G_N}{6\lambda} (R - N^{-1} \Delta N)}{\sqrt{1 + \frac{G_N}{6\lambda} \mathcal{R}}} - q = \frac{G_N}{6\lambda} \Delta \left( 1 + \frac{G_N}{6\lambda} \mathcal{R} \right)^{-1/2},
\]

(2.8)

the momentum constraints

\[
\nabla_j \left( \frac{K_{ij} - h_{ij} K}{\sqrt{1 + \frac{G_N}{6\lambda} \mathcal{R}}} \right) = -\frac{8\pi G_N}{\sqrt{h}} \frac{\delta \mathcal{L}_{\text{matter}}}{\delta N^j},
\]

(2.9)

and the \( h_{ij} \) evolution equation.
In \( n \)-DBI gravity, the space-time manifold is a differentiable manifold \( \mathcal{M} \), with an additional structure: an everywhere timelike vector field \( \mathbf{n} \), defining a codimension-one foliation \( \mathcal{F} \), which should be regarded as defining part of the topology of \( \mathcal{M} \). The leaves of \( \mathcal{F} \) are hypersurfaces. The allowed diffeomorphisms in \( n \)-DBI gravity must preserve \( \mathcal{F} \). They are dubbed \textit{foliation preserving diffeomorphisms} (FPDs) \cite{footnote1} and are denoted by \( \text{Diff}\{\mathcal{F}\} \). In the ADM coordinates, FPDs are generated by

\[
t \to t + \xi^0(t), \quad x^i \to x^i + \xi^i(t, x^i). \tag{2.11}
\]

It follows that under FPDs the ADM fields transform as

\[
\delta N = N \xi^0 + \xi^i \partial_i N + \xi_0 N, \\
\delta N_i = N_i \partial_i \xi^0 + \xi^i \partial_i N_i + \xi_0 N_i + \xi_0 N_i, \\
\delta h_{ij} = \xi^0 h_{ij} + \xi^k \partial_k h_{ij} + h_{ij} \partial_k \xi^k + h_{kij} \partial_k. \tag{2.12}
\]

The second fundamental form \( K_{ij} \) transforms covariantly under \( \text{Diff}\{\mathcal{F}\} \). Consequently, scalar quantities such as \( K \) or \( K_{ij} \) will be nonvanishing in all allowed frames if nonvanishing in a constant time. A convenient way to think about these invariants is to regard the traceless \( K_{ij} \) and its trace \( K \) as the shear, \( \sigma_{ij} \), and expansion, \( \theta \), of the congruence of timelike curves with tangent \( \mathbf{n} \) (there is no rotation since the \( \mathbf{n} \) is hypersurface orthogonal):

\[
\sigma_{ij} = K_{ij} - \frac{1}{3} K \delta_{ij}, \quad \theta = K. \tag{2.13}
\]

To exemplify the loss of symmetry, as compared to Einstein gravity, consider the non-FPD

\[
t \to t = t + f(r), \tag{2.14}
\]

acting on Minkowski space-time in spherical coordinates, \( ds^2 = -dt^2 + dr^2 + r^2 d\Omega_2 \) (which is of course a solution of vacuum \( n \)-DBI gravity). If one requires a vanishing trace for the extrinsic curvature of the resulting foliation, \( K = 0 \), the resulting line element becomes completely defined up to an integration constant \( C_3 \),

\[
ds^2 = \left( 1 + \frac{C_3}{r^2} \right) dt^2 + \left( \frac{dr}{\sqrt{1 + \frac{C_3}{r^2}}} \right)^2 + r^2 d\Omega_2. \tag{2.15}
\]

The fact that the constant \( C_3 \) cannot be gauged away in \( n \)-DBI gravity (i.e., that (2.14) is a prohibited transforma-
invariance. Even without taking the limit, whenever the curvature $\mathcal{R}$ is very small, the equations (2.8), (2.9), and (2.10) are well approximated by these Einstein equations. This means that Lorentz invariance is restored to a very good accuracy in weakly curved space-times of $n$-DBI gravity.

### B. Solutions with constant $\mathcal{R}$

Taking $\mathcal{R}$ to be constant, and dubbing $\sqrt{1 + G_N \mathcal{R}/(6\lambda)} = C$, the equations of motion (2.8), (2.9), and (2.10) reduce to

$$R - N^{-1} \Delta N + 6\lambda \frac{G_N}{C^2} (1 - qC) = - \frac{8\pi G_N C}{\sqrt{h}} \delta \mathcal{L}_{\text{matter}} \frac{\delta N}{\delta N},$$

(2.21)

$$\nabla^i(K_{ij} - h_{ij} K) = - \frac{8\pi G_N C}{\sqrt{h}} \delta \mathcal{L}_{\text{matter}} \frac{\delta N}{\delta N}.$$

(2.22)

The momentum constraints and the dynamical equations are equivalent to those of Einstein gravity, with a renormalization of the matter terms by a factor of $C$. The Hamiltonian constraint is also equivalent to that of Einstein gravity with, besides the renormalization by $C$ of the matter term, a cosmological constant

$$\Lambda_C = \frac{3\lambda}{G_N} (2qC - 1 - C^2).$$

(2.24)

As discussed in Sec. III, this cosmological constant can be positive, negative, or zero, depending on the choices of $C$ (and the value of $q$).

We are thus led to the following theorem and corollary:

**Theorem.**—Any solution of Einstein gravity with a cosmological constant plus some matter Lagrangian admitting a foliation with constant $\mathcal{R}$, as defined in (2.7), is a solution of $n$-DBI gravity (with an appropriate renormalization of the solution parameters).

**Corollary.**—Any Einstein space (hence solution of the Einstein equations with a cosmological constant) admitting a foliation such that $R - N^{-1} \Delta N$ is constant—where $R$ and $\Delta$ are the Ricci scalar and the Laplacian of the 3-metric $h_{ij}$ and $N$ is the lapse in the ADM decomposition—is a solution of $n$-DBI gravity (with an appropriate renormalization of the solution parameters).

### III. SPHERICALLY SYMMETRIC SOLUTIONS OF $n$-DBI GRAVITY

The most generic spherically symmetric line element reads

$$ds^2 = -g_{TT}(R, T)dt^2 + g_{RR}(R, T)dR^2 + 2g_{TR}(T, R)dt dR + g_{rr}(R, T)d\Omega_2.$$  

(3.1)

Defining a new radial coordinate $r^2 = g_{rr}(R, T)$ and a new time coordinate $t = t(R, T)$ it is possible to transform this line element into a standard diagonal form, with only two unknown functions, $g_{rr}(t, r)$ and $g_{tt}(t, r)$. Then, the vacuum Einstein equations yield, as the only solution, the Schwarzschild black hole, and as a corollary Birkhoff’s theorem, namely, that spherical symmetry implies staticity. In $n$-DBI gravity, however, only FPDs are allowed. Thus, only $t = t(T)$ is allowed. As a consequence, the most general line element compatible with spherical symmetry is

$$ds^2 = -N^2(t, r)dt^2 + e^{2f(t, r)}(dr + e^{2g(t, r)} dt)^2 + r^2 d\Omega_2.$$  

(3.2)

Herein we consider the case with only $r$ dependence for the three metric functions. To include the possibility of charge, we take

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{matter}} = - \frac{1}{16\pi} \sqrt{-g} F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu},$$  

(3.3)

where $F = dA$ is the Maxwell 2-form, and to address the purely electric case we take the ansatz

$$A = A(r) dt \Rightarrow \mathcal{L}_{\text{matter}} = \frac{r^2 \sin^2 \theta e^{-f} (A')^2}{8\pi N}.$$  

(3.4)

To directly solve the equations of motion (2.8), (2.9), and (2.10) is quite tedious. It proves more convenient to consider the reduced system obtained by specializing the action (2.1) to our ansatz. One obtains the effective Lagrangian

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{eff}} = \lambda r^2 e^{\ell N} \left[ \sqrt{1 + \frac{G_N}{6\lambda} \mathcal{R} - q} + \frac{G_N}{6N} r^2 e^{-f} (A')^2 \right],$$  

(3.5)

whose equations of motion are a subset of the full set of constraints (2.8), (2.9), and (2.10).\(^1\) These equations of motion can be solved with full generality (see the Appendix), but it turns out that the most interesting solutions are the subset with $\mathcal{R}$ constant. These are given by

\(^1\)One should check that the final solution satisfies the equations of motion (2.8), (2.9), and (2.10), which is indeed the case.
\[ ds^2 = -\left(1 - \frac{2G_NM_1}{r} + \frac{CQ^2}{r^2} + \frac{C_3}{r^4} - \frac{\Lambda_1 r^2}{3}\right)dt^2 + \left(\frac{dr}{\left(1 - \frac{2G_NM_1}{r} + \frac{CQ^2}{r^2} + \frac{C_3}{r^4} - \frac{\Lambda_1 r^2}{3}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}}\right)^2 + \sqrt{2G_NM_2} \left(\frac{C_1}{r^2} + \frac{\Lambda_2 r^2}{3}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}dt + r^2 d\Omega_2, \quad (3.6) \]

where

\[ \Lambda_1 = \frac{2A}{G_N}(qC - 1), \quad \Lambda_2 = \frac{A}{G_N}(4qC - 1 - 3C^2), \quad (3.7) \]

and \( Q, CM_1, M_2, C_3 \) are integration constants. Moreover, as expected,

\[ \Lambda_1 + \Lambda_2 = \Lambda_C, \quad (3.8) \]

where \( \Lambda_C \) is defined in (2.24). This family of solutions is therefore characterized by these five integration constants and the two constants of the theory (\( \lambda, q \)).

Analysis of the solutions

1. Isometry to Reissner-Nordström–(A)dS

Performing a coordinate transformation \( t \rightarrow T = T(t, r) \),

\[ dT = dt - \frac{1}{1 - \frac{2G_NM_1}{r} + \frac{CQ^2}{r^2} - \frac{\Lambda_C r^2}{3}} \times \sqrt{\frac{2G_NM_2}{r} + \frac{C_1}{r^2} + \frac{\Lambda_2 r^2}{3}} dr, \quad (3.9) \]

where \( M = M_1 + M_2 \), the line element (3.6) becomes recognizable as the Reissner-Nordström–(anti)-de Sitter solution with mass \( M \), charge \( \sqrt{C} Q \) and cosmological constant \( \Lambda_C \),

\[ ds^2 = -\left(1 - \frac{2G_NM_1}{r} + \frac{CQ^2}{r^2} - \frac{\Lambda_C r^2}{3}\right)dt^2 + \frac{dr^2}{1 - \frac{2G_NM_1}{r} + \frac{CQ^2}{r^2} - \frac{\Lambda_C r^2}{3}} + r^2 d\Omega_2. \quad (3.10) \]

Observe the renormalization of the charge, as anticipated in Sec. II.

Geometrically, the solution we have found is nothing but this standard solution of Einstein gravity, written in an unusual set of coordinates that can be thought of as a superposition of Gullstrand-Painlevé and Schwarzschild coordinates. The coordinate transformation (3.9) is not, however, a foliation preserving diffeomorphism. Thus, in \( n\)-DBI gravity, Eqs. (3.6) and (3.10) describe the same solution if and only if \( M_2 = C_3 = \Lambda_2 = 0 \). Otherwise there are two distinct solutions with different physical invariants (discussed below) which happen to be mapped by a non-FPD.

Notice that there is, for example, no Reissner-Nordström–(A)dS solution in Gullstrand-Painlevé coordinates. If the symmetry group of \( n\)-DBI gravity was the set of general coordinate transformations, we would have found such a solution. In other words, the breakdown of the symmetry to FPDs is explicitly reflected in the form of the solutions (3.6).

2. Expansion and shear

As discussed above, the shear and expansion transform covariantly under \( \text{Diff}(\mathcal{M}) \). For the solution (3.6) the scalar invariants read

\[ \theta = -\frac{3(G_NM_2 + \Lambda_2 r^3)}{\sqrt{C_3 + 2G_NM_2 r^3 + \Lambda_2 r^4}}, \quad \sigma_{ij} \sigma^{ij} = \left[\frac{C_3 + G_NM_3 r^3 + \Lambda_2 r^4}{r^3 \sqrt{C_3 + 2G_NM_2 r^3 + \Lambda_2 r^4}}\right]^2; \quad (3.11) \]

we also have

\[ K_{ij} K^{ij} - K^2 = 6\left(\frac{C_3}{r^6} - \Lambda_2\right). \quad (3.12) \]

It is manifest that \( M_2, \Lambda_2, \) and \( C_3 \) enter in these scalar invariants and therefore have physical meaning in defining the solution. As usual in Einstein gravity, one can invoke smoothness to rule out some solutions as unphysical. For instance smoothness of the constant (Riemann) curvature spaces \( (M_1 = M_2 = Q = 0) \), requires \( C_3 = 0 \) to avoid the shearing singularity at \( r = 0 \).

3. Asymptotic behavior

Asymptotically \( (r \rightarrow \infty) \) the solution (3.6) becomes a constant curvature space,

\[ R_{\mu
\nu\alpha\beta} = \frac{\Lambda_C}{3} (g_{\mu\alpha} g_{\nu\beta} - g_{\mu\beta} g_{\nu\alpha}). \quad (3.13) \]

With appropriate choices of \( C \) one may set either \( \Lambda_1 = 0 \) or \( \Lambda_2 = 0 \), keeping the other nonvanishing. In both cases one recognizes de Sitter space: either written in Painlevé-Gullstrand coordinates (with cosmological constant \( \Lambda_2 \)), or written in static coordinates (with cosmological constant \( \Lambda_1 \)). In the latter case, anti–de Sitter space may also occur, written in global coordinates. Keeping both \( \Lambda_1 \) and \( \Lambda_2 \) nonvanishing one has an unusual slicing of constant curvature spaces. This can represent de Sitter space-time, anti–de Sitter space-time, or Minkowski space, depending on the sign of the total cosmological constant \( \Lambda_1 + \Lambda_2 \). Indeed, the integration constant \( C \) controls the magnitude of the cosmological constant,
Minkowski and de Sitter space solutions can only exist if \( q \geq 1 \).

**IV. CONCLUSIONS AND DISCUSSION**

In this paper we have explored some further properties of \( n \)-DBI gravity, beyond those studied in [1], which focused on cosmology.

A crucial property of the theory is the existence of an everywhere timelike vector field \( n \). We have assumed it to be hypersurface orthogonal—which is expressed by the relation we have chosen between \( n \) and the ADM quantities—albeit this condition could be dropped and an even more general framework considered. The existence and role played by \( n \) are reminiscent of Einstein-Aether theory (see [9] for a review).

It follows that the symmetry group of the theory is that which preserves \( n \) and therefore the foliation defined by it, \( F \). This group, denoted by \( \text{Diff}_F(\mathcal{M}) \), is the group of FPDs, and it is therefore smaller than general coordinate transformations; it is the group that leaves invariant the equations of motion. This means that a non-FPD applied to a solution of \( n \)-DBI gravity is not, in general, a solution of \( n \)-DBI gravity. Exceptionally, however, this may happen and a non-FPD may map two solutions. These should be regarded, however, as physically distinct solutions, perhaps in the same orbit of a larger symmetry group, in the same spirit of many duality symmetries or solution generating techniques that have been considered in the context of supergravity or string theory. In \( n \)-DBI gravity it is unclear, at the moment, if such larger symmetry group exists, but an explicit example of a non-FPD mapping (inequivalent) solution was provided by (3.9). The solutions are, of course, isometric; in this particular example they are the standard Reissner-Nordström-(A)dS geometry in two different coordinate systems. Observe, however, the nontrivial dynamics of the theory, where the mass and the cosmological constant can in effect be split between two slicings but not the charge.

The fact that the spherically symmetric solutions of \( n \)-DBI gravity minimally coupled to a Maxwell field contain precisely the Reissner-Nordström geometry (with or without a cosmological constant) is remarkable and, as far as we are aware, unparalleled, within theories of gravity with higher curvature terms. This leads to the natural question of how generic it is that Einstein gravity solutions are solutions of \( n \)-DBI gravity (with the same matter content). Following the theorem and corollary presented in Sec. II, this question can be recast very objectively as the existence of a foliation with a specific property. How generically can such foliation be found? Can it be found for the Kerr solution?

Finally, as discussed at the beginning of Sec. III, the ansatz compatible with spherical symmetry in \( n \)-DBI gravity has more degrees of freedom than in Einstein gravity. It will be quite interesting to see if, even in vacuum, such ansatz can accommodate a nontrivial time dependence, prohibited in Einstein gravity by Birkhoff’s theorem, which would manifest the existence of a scalar graviton mode.
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**APPENDIX A: DERIVATION OF THE SOLUTIONS**

Taking the ansatz

\[
ds^2 = -N^2(r)dt^2 + e^{2f(r)}(dr + e^{2g(r)}dt)^2 + r^2d\Omega^2,
\]

it follows that \((f = d/dr)\),

\[
\begin{align*}
K_{ij} &= -\frac{e^{2g}}{N} \text{diag}\{e^{2f} (f + 2g)' , r , r \sin^2 \theta \}, \\
K &= -\frac{e^{2g}}{N} \left( \frac{2}{r} + (f + 2g)' \right), \\
R_{ij} &= \text{diag}\left\{ \frac{2f'}{r} , \frac{rf' + e^{2f} - 1}{e^{2f}} , \sin^2 \theta \frac{rf' + e^{2f} - 1}{e^{2f}} \right\}, \\
R &= \frac{2e^{-2f}}{r^2} (2rf' + e^{2f} - 1).
\end{align*}
\]

Thus,

\[
\mathcal{R} = \frac{2}{r^2} \left[ 1 - (re^{-2f})' - \frac{(re^{2g})'}{N^2} - \frac{2rf'e^{4g}}{N^2} - \frac{e^{-f}}{N} (r^2 N' e^{-f})' \right].
\]

From the effective Lagrangian (3.5), the A equation of motion can be solved straightaway to yield

\[
A' = Q \frac{Ne^f}{r'},
\]

where \( Q \) is an integration constant. The \( g \) equation of motion yields the compact relation

\[
\left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + \frac{Q \mathcal{R}}{6\Lambda}} \mathcal{R}} \right)' = \frac{(f + \ln N')'}{\sqrt{1 + \frac{Q \mathcal{R}}{6\Lambda} \mathcal{R}}},
\]

and can be integrated to yield
\[
\left(1 + \frac{G_N}{6\Lambda} R\right)^{-1/2} = \frac{N e^f}{C}, \tag{A7}
\]
where \(C\) is an integration constant. More explicitly, this equation may be written as
\[
(re^{4g+2f})' = N^2 e^{2f}(1 - (re^{-2f}')) - Ne^f(r^2 e^{-f} N')'
+ \frac{3\lambda}{G_N} r^2(N^2 e^{2f} - C^2). \tag{A8}
\]

The \(f\) and \(N\) equations of motion, upon using (A5) and (A7), read, respectively,
\[
(re^{4g+2f})' = re^{-2f}(e^{2f}N^2)' - \frac{N e^f}{2} (r^2 e^{-f} N')'
+ \frac{r^2 e^{-f} N'}{2} (Ne^f)' - \frac{3\lambda}{G_N} r^2(C^2 - CqNe^f)
+ \frac{G_N CQ^2 Ne^f}{r^2}. \tag{A9}
\]
\[
(re^{4g+2f})' = -\frac{1}{4} (r^2(e^{-2f})N^2 e^{2f})' - \frac{3\lambda}{G_N} r^2(C^2 - CqNe^f)
+ \frac{G_N CQ^2 Ne^f}{r^2}. \tag{A10}
\]

Equation (A10) is the Hamiltonian constraint (2.8), after using (A7) and (A8).

1. Solutions with constant \(R\)

To proceed we take the combination \(Ne^f = \tilde{C} = \text{constant}\) which implies that \(R = \text{constant}\). We shall address the general solution in the next subsection, but it turns out that the most interesting solutions are found in this subset. With this choice, we observe from Eq. (A7) that \(R = \text{constant}\). From the resulting equations of motion, equating (A8) with either (A9) or (A10) (which become identical), we find the ordinary differential equation (ODE),
\[
Y'' + \frac{6}{r} Y' + \frac{4}{r^2} Y = \frac{12\lambda}{G_N} \left(1 - \frac{QC}{\tilde{C}}\right) - \frac{2G_N CQ^2}{\tilde{C} r^4}. \tag{A11}
\]
where \(Y = e^{-2f} - 1\). It is now straightforward to obtain the exact solution. It reads (3.6), where \(\tilde{C}\) has been eliminated by rescaling \(C\) and the time coordinate.

2. Generic solution

Since the set of equations we are solving is a second-order ODE with three unknowns, we expect a total of six integration constants. The constant \(R\) solution exhibited below has only five integration constants and thus it is not the most general one. The latter can be obtained observing that Eqs. (A9) and (A10) imply
\[
-(r^{-2}(\log N))' = (r^{-2} f')' \Rightarrow Ne^f = \tilde{C} e^{(1/3) Cq r^3}. \tag{A12}
\]
\(C_4\) is the sixth integration constant, which was absent in the constant \(R\) solution. Similarly to the constant \(R\) case, it is straightforward to find a second-order ODE,
\[
W'' + \frac{6}{r} W' + \frac{4}{r^2} W
= \frac{4e^{(2Cq r^3)/3}}{r^2} + \frac{12\lambda}{G_N} \left(e^{(2Cq r^3)/3} - \frac{QC e^{(2Cq r^3)/3}}{\tilde{C}}\right)
- \frac{2G_N CQ^2 e^{(2Cq r^3)/3}}{\tilde{C} r^4}. \tag{A13}
\]
where we defined \(W = e^{-2f-(C_4 r^3)/3}\). This can be integrated to give explicit solutions. As they are not very illuminating, however, we will not present them here. Indeed, the solutions with \(C_4 \neq 0\) seem rather exotic, since (A7) and (A12) imply that their asymptotic behavior at \(r = +\infty\) is very different from that of Einstein gravity: the \(C_4 < 0\) solutions have a curvature singularity at \(r = +\infty\) and thus we regard these solutions as unphysical; the \(C_4 > 0\) solutions have the maximal negative curvature \(R = -6\lambda/G_N\) at \(r = +\infty\). Although they are interesting in their own right, we shall not discuss these solutions further here.
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