Therapeutic strategies in pneumonia: going beyond antibiotics
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ABSTRACT Dysregulation of the innate immune system drives lung injury and its systemic sequelae due to breakdown of vascular barrier function, harmful hyperinflammation and microcirculatory failure, which contribute to the unfavourable outcome of patients with severe pneumonia. A variety of promising therapeutic targets have been identified and numerous innovative therapeutic approaches demonstrated to improve lung injury in experimental preclinical studies. However, at present specific preventive or curative strategies for the treatment of lung failure in pneumonia in addition to antibiotics are still missing. The aim of this mini-review is to give a short overview of some, but not all, adjuvant therapeutic strategies for pneumonia and its most important complications, sepsis and acute respiratory distress syndrome, and briefly discuss future perspectives.

Introduction

Pneumonia is the most frequent infectious disease worldwide, causing a tremendous socioeconomic burden in industrialised countries [1]. In addition, pneumonia is the leading infectious cause of death in children worldwide, accounting for 15% of all deaths of children under the age of 5 years [2]. Concerns arise from the increasing frequency of antibiotic-resistant bacteria and new emerging contagious and deadly pathogens [3, 4]. Importantly, despite appropriate antibiotic treatment 14–35% of all hospitalised community-acquired pneumonia (CAP) patients die, depending on age and comorbidities [5]. Thus, a great medical need for the development of adjuvant therapeutic strategies in addition to antibiotics is evident.

When pathogens enter the lung, a variety of pattern recognition receptors (PRRs) [6] recognise microbial structures (pathogen-associated molecular patterns (PAMPs)) and endogenous molecules released after cell injury (danger-associated molecular patterns (DAMPs)). Cells may be injured by specific pathogen components including toxins, or by inflammatory host effectors. In the alveolar compartment, PRRs are expressed by macrophages, dendritic cells and recruited immune cells as well as by epithelial and endothelial cells. Intracellular signalling cascades triggered by ligand binding of PRRs evoke the production of inflammatory cytokines, interferons and chemokines on transcriptional and post-translational levels [6]. Subsequently, local cells are activated and macrophages and neutrophils recruited, leading to the elimination of pathogens and infected cells. However, ongoing PAMP and DAMP release from dying...
bacteria and injured cells may evoke immune overactivation with hyperproduction of cytokines, chemokines and lipid mediators, uncontrolled leukocyte recruitment and activation, inadequate activation of complement and coagulation cascades and eventually pulmonary endothelial barrier disruption (fig. 1). Increased permeability results in protein-rich fluid extravasation, lung oedema and acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS) (reviewed in [7]), associated with mortality rates ranging from 27 to 45% [8]. In ARDS patients, mechanical ventilation may further promote the inflammatory response, thereby increasing endothelial barrier disruption [9]. At present, only low tidal volume ventilation is a broadly accepted strategy to reduce mortality in ARDS [10]. Positive end-expiratory pressure (PEEP) also improves ARDS outcome, but how to set the “best” PEEP is still a matter of debate [11, 12]. Furthermore, early extended prone positioning and early muscle relaxation may reduce mortality in severe ARDS [13–16].

In pneumonia, specific preventive or curative strategies for the treatment of lung failure in addition to antibiotics are not established, but highly required. This mini-review highlights some preclinical and early clinical evidence for successful modulation of the inflammatory response or improvement of pulmonary barrier function in pneumonia and its most important complications, sepsis and ARDS. Space restrictions preclude discussion of all important strategies.

**Immunomodulatory strategies in pneumonia**

**Corticosteroids**

The use of corticosteroids in the context of pneumonia has been clinically studied over the past decade with conflicting results. In 2012, Nie et al. [17] published a meta-analysis of nine randomised controlled trials (RCTs) published between 1952 and 2011 with 1001 patients overall. Patient characteristics and
corticosteroid dosages were rather heterogeneous, and five of the nine trials included were single-centred. Interestingly, a significant reduction of mortality by adjuvant corticosteroid therapy was reported for patients with severe CAP. However, these results were mainly driven by one single-centre study with only 80 patients [18], while the other studies included reported no considerable improvement in mortality.

Retrospective analyses also suggested improvement of patient outcomes due to corticosteroids [19, 20]. Data from a Japanese registry of mechanically ventilated CAP patients demonstrated reduced mortality in a subgroup of patients with CAP and related shock, which was defined by vasopressor use. However, many important parameters including lactate levels, blood pressure and delivery of rapid fluid support and adequate antibiotics were not reported. Furthermore, the applied corticosteroids differed in dosage and species. Thus, interpretation of these data is difficult.

Recently, an innovative RCT in three Spanish centres included patients with a “proinflammatory phenotype” of CAP defined by a C-reactive protein level >150 mg·L⁻¹ [21]. The study included 61 patients treated with 0.5 mg methylprednisolone twice daily for five consecutive days and 59 patients who received placebo. Early clinical deterioration and late treatment failure were analysed using composite end-points. The authors reported a significant reduction of late treatment failure, which was almost exclusively driven by a reduction of radiographic signs of pneumonia. Although treatment failure indicated by radiographic progression is related to mortality in CAP, development and resolution of pulmonary infiltrates under corticosteroid treatment may not be predictive, as corticosteroids are potent inhibitors of leukocyte recruitment to the site of infection. Thus, whether ongoing infectious processes were masked or resolution and repair improved by steroid treatment is questionable. A further very recent multicentre RCT performed in Switzerland from 2009 until 2014 randomised 802 patients to adjuvant treatment with prednisone or placebo. The investigators found that prednisone treatment shortened the time to clinical stability as defined by guidelines by 1.4 days and reduced the length of hospital stay by 1 day while causing more hyperglycaemia needing insulin treatment. It is tempting to speculate that prednisone may have been masking disease symptoms leading to earlier discharge, and overall the reported effects may not necessarily advocate for prednisone treatment. Nevertheless, this study suggests that steroids can alter the course of CAP [22].

Thus, these studies force us to reconsider the use of corticosteroids in pneumonia. In conclusion, corticosteroids cannot be generally recommended in CAP. Current data suggest that corticosteroids may shorten the course of the disease and are possibly beneficial in severely ill, “hyperinflammatory” patients.

**Pattern recognition receptor signalling**

Considerable research efforts have been made to develop strategies targeting PRR signalling. For example, Toll-like receptor (TLR)4 was shown to contribute to the regulation of vascular permeability [23–27]. Therefore, the development of the synthetic TLR4 antagonist eritoran to suppress TLR4/MD2-mediated signalling was highly promising. In fact, eritoran reduced pulmonary inflammation in experimental animal models [28] and in humans given a bolus lipopolysaccharide (LPS) infusion [29]. While eritoran has not yet been assessed in clinical trials for patients with pneumonia, it was evaluated in a phase II clinical trial in subjects with severe sepsis, and a trend toward a lower mortality rate was observed [30]. However, eritoran did not reduce 28-day mortality of patients with severe sepsis in a multinational phase III trial [31]. Since many PRRs are involved in the recognition of the various DAMPs and PAMPs leading to nuclear factor (NF)-κB-mediated transcription of inflammatory genes, it might be more appropriate to interfere with downstream effectors in the inflammatory cascade instead of targeting a single PRR [31].

**Complement inhibitors**

A further approach to downregulate the host’s immune response is the use of complement inhibitors. The complement system as part of the innate immune system is involved in host defence and inflammation [32], and it may contribute to hyperinflammation and vascular barrier failure mainly mediated by the complement-activated product C5a. In murine models of acute lung injury and systemic inflammatory responses, neutralising C5a decreased vascular permeability in the lungs and various other organs [33]. Furthermore, blocking of C5a was shown to be protective against lung and extrapulmonary organ failure in pneumonia-induced sepsis [34].

**Granulocyte-macrophage colony-stimulating factor**

The haematopoietic growth factor granulocyte-macrophage colony-stimulating factor (GM-CSF) is produced by different cell types, including epithelial cells and macrophages, and exerts immune regulatory effects depending on the dose, presence of other cytokines and the overall context of the immune response (reviewed in [35]). GM-CSF treatment reversed sepsis-associated immunosuppression and improved clinical courses in sepsis patients [36]. In addition, GM-CSF improves antimicrobial pulmonary host
In 2014, two multicentre RCTs added new information to this field. In a non-inferiority RCT, GARIN admitted to the intensive care unit (ICU) were not included in this analysis [52]. When the analysis was restricted to the few RCTs available, severely ill patients reported improved survival with a fluoroquinolone or when combination therapy was compared to guideline adherent therapy with a macrolide [59]. Furthermore, combination therapy of meropenem with moxifloxacin had no benefit compared to meropenem monotherapy in sepsis, caused by pneumonia in the majority of patients could be detected [59]. Notably, severely ill patients admitted to the intensive care unit (ICU) were not included in this analysis [52].

Beyond bacterial killing: immunomodulating effects of antibiotics?
Immunomodulatory properties have been ascribed to macrolides and fluoroquinolones. Macrolides have well-characterised immunomodulatory effects in vitro, with 14- and 15-member macrolides interacting with mitogen-activated protein kinases, thereby dampening NF-κB-mediated inflammatory responses towards various stimuli. In addition, macrolides reduce the production of certain virulence factors such as pneumococcal pneumolisyn [44] and interfere with the process of quorum sensing [45]. However, only in the treatment of diffuse panbronchiolitis are macrolides known to substantially improve patient survival [46]. The combination therapy of a β-lactam and a macrolide is recommended in German and European guidelines for patients with severe CAP and in the American Thoracic Society (ATS) guidelines for hospitalised CAP patients [47–49]. The rationale is: 1) a potential bactericidal synergism; 2) the coverage of atypical pathogens (most importantly Legionella spp.); and 3) a possible immunomodulatory effect. However, these recommendations are mainly based on retrospective analyses, in which primarily patients with severe CAP had improved outcomes under combination therapy with a macrolide [50, 51]. Notably, a characterisation of the inflammatory profile was not performed in these studies. A recent meta-analysis reported improved survival with a β-lactam–macrolide combination compared to β-lactam monotherapy, but this was not the case when combination therapy was compared to guideline adherent therapy with a fluoroquinolone or when the analysis was restricted to the few RCTs available. Notably, severely ill patients with pneumonia admitted to the intensive care unit (ICU) were not included in this analysis [52].

In 2014, two multicentre RCTs added new information to this field. In a non-inferiority RCT, GARIN et al. [53] compared treatment with β-lactam monotherapy with the β-lactam–macrolide combination. They included hospitalised patients with CAP (54% of these patients had CURB-65 score ≥2 (confusion, urea >7 mmol·L⁻¹, respiratory rate ≥30 breaths·min⁻¹, blood pressure <90 mmHg (systolic) ≤60 mmHg (diastolic), age ≥65 years); patients with pneumonia severity index (PSI) class V were excluded). The primary end-point of clinical stabilisation on day 7 was less frequently reached under monotherapy. Again, particularly severely ill patients with a CURB score ≥2 or a PSI class IV seemed to benefit from combination therapy. Notably, this also held true for patients with atypical pathogens, although a macrolide was added in any case of a positive Legionella urine antigen test in the β-lactam group. Postma et al. [54] reported the results of another non-inferiority RCT comparing β-lactam monotherapy with β-lactam–macrolide combination and with fluoroquinolone monotherapy. They included patients with CAP at baseline comparable to the study of GARIN et al. [53], but excluded patients requiring ICU admission. The primary end-point was 90-day mortality. β-Lactam monotherapy was not inferior to combination therapy or fluoroquinolone treatment. Taken together, a potential immunomodulatory effect of macrolides may be irrelevant in non-severe CAP. RCTs performed in patients with severe CAP are lacking, and analysis of the inflammatory phenotype would be of great interest to address whether the experimental findings regarding immunomodulation by macrolides are relevant to the clinical setting.

Fluoroquinolones exhibiting a cyclopropyl moiety at position N1 of the quinolone core structure, i.e. ciprofloxacin or moxifloxacin may exert anti-inflammatory effects besides their well-established antimicrobial properties, as suggested by various in vitro studies [55–58]. However, in an experimental pneumonia model comparing moxifloxacin with the standard regimen of β-lactam therapy, no such effect could be detected [59]. Furthermore, combination therapy of meropenem with moxifloxacin had no benefit compared to meropenem monotherapy in sepsis, caused by pneumonia in the majority of patients [60]. Thus, a significant immunomodulatory effect of fluoroquinolones in pneumonia cannot be stated from currently available evidence.

Improving pulmonary barrier function

Adrenomedullin
Adrenomedullin is an endogenous peptide expressed by different cell types of the vascular system including endothelial and vascular smooth muscle cells, and also by leukocytes, epithelial cells and cardiomyocytes. Adrenomedullin is derived from the precursor prepro-adrenomedullin and binds to the calcitonin receptor-like receptor (CRLR). In endothelial cells, receptor ligation by adrenomedullin causes intracellular accumulation of the second messenger cAMP, thereby activating various kinases such as protein kinase (PK) A,
PKC and mitogen-activated protein kinases [61, 62]. The crucial role of adrenomedullin in protecting vascular barrier integrity was underscored by demonstrating that mice deficient in adrenomedullin, CRLR or other components of the adrenomedullin signalling pathway die prematurely due to hydrops fetalis [63–66]. Furthermore, inflammatory conditions such as sepsis or acute lung injury increased adrenomedullin expression [67–69], and the inflammatory response and organ damage in mice heterozygous for the adrenomedullin gene were aggravated upon LPS challenge [70]. Treatment with exogenous adrenomedullin improved pulmonary barrier dysfunction caused by different stimuli such as hydrogen peroxide, LPS or Staphylococcus aureus α-toxin and was protective against ventilator-induced lung injury in mice with and without pneumonia [61, 71–74]. At least two major mechanisms underlie the barrier-protective effect of adrenomedullin: 1) reducing actin-myosin-based endothelial cell contraction [62] and 2) strengthening intercellular adherence junctions [75, 76]. Immunomodulatory properties have also been ascribed to adrenomedullin [77]; however we observed that the adrenomedullin-induced barrier protection was not necessarily associated with anti-inflammation [73, 74]. The impressive properties of adrenomedullin, independent of immunosuppressive effects, demonstrated in different complex experimental models suggest adrenomedullin to be a promising candidate for an adjuvant pharmacological approach to prevent lung injury in pneumonia.

Angiopoietin/Tie2 system

Angiopoietin (Ang)-1 and Ang-2 are ligands for the receptor tyrosine kinase Tie2, which is abundantly expressed by endothelial cells. Ang-1 and -2 are important regulators of angiogenesis, inflammation and vascular permeability [78, 79]. Binding of constitutively expressed Ang-1 to Tie2 induces endothelial quiescence, integrity and barrier stabilisation. In contrast, receptor binding of the functionally antagonistic ligand Ang-2, stored in endothelial Weibel–Palade bodies [80], results in endothelial destabilisation, inflammation and permeability [81, 82].

Ang-2 serum levels were increased in patients with sepsis compared to healthy volunteers and further increased in patients with sepsis-associated ARDS [83]. Furthermore, plasma Ang-2 levels had prognostic value for mortality in non-infection-related, but not in infection-related acute lung injury [84]. Besides being a marker of disease severity, a pathogenic role was ascribed to Ang-2 in sepsis as Ang-2+ value for mortality in non-infection-related, but not in infection-related acute lung injury [84]. Besides being a marker of disease severity, a pathogenic role was ascribed to Ang-2 in sepsis as Ang-2+ patients with sepsis-associated ARDS [83]. Furthermore, plasma Ang-2 levels had prognostic value for mortality in non-infection-related, but not in infection-related acute lung injury [84].

In experimental models of acute lung injury, transgenic Ang-1 overexpression or treatment led to reduced cytokine and adhesion molecule expression, polymorphonuclear infiltration and vascular leakage in the lung [87–90]. Although endothelial barrier-protective properties of Ang-1 have been demonstrated in several studies [87–89, 91–93], the methods of Ang-1 application, e.g. gene therapy or cell-based delivery, are far from translation into clinical therapies. In this context, the discovery of a short synthetic peptide (later termed vasculotide) that activates the Tie2 receptor and thereby completely inhibits binding of both ligands Ang-1 and Ang-2 [94] may represent an important milestone. In endotoxaemia and established abdominal sepsis in mice, vasculotide was already proven to have therapeutic potential by preventing counteracting vascular barrier dysfunction and reducing mortality [95, 96].

Stem cell based approaches in lung injury

Stem cells have the capacity for self-renewal and differentiation into many cell types and thereby contribute to the regeneration of injured organs [97]. In lung injury, stem cells were demonstrated to promote endothelial and epithelial repair by engrafting into tissue and interacting with neighbouring cells. This cell engraftment was suggested to be controlled by chemoattractants at the injury site as no exogenous stem cells were found in healthy lungs [98]. Furthermore, stem cells beneficially influence the host’s immune response by reducing harmful inflammatory reactions and keeping its competence to fight pathogens [97]. The beneficial effects on immune responses and cellular functions described seem to be primarily based on paracrine mediators and mitochondria-containing microvesicles released by stem cells [99, 100].

Endothelial progenitor cells (EPCs) are a subtype of haematopoietic stem cells and exclusively differentiate into endothelial cells. EPCs can be isolated from different sources such as circulating mononuclear cells, bone marrow and cord blood. An increased number of circulating EPCs was detected in ARDS patients and correlated with improved survival [101]. However, in septic individuals endogenous EPCs showed reduced proliferative, adhesive, migratory and angiogenic capacities [102, 103]. Experimentally, two different approaches were performed to maintain the beneficial effects of EPCs. The first strategy was based on EPC transplantation from healthy donors. Using models of acute lung injury in rabbits and rats, EPC transplantation reduced lung oedema and attenuated hyaline membrane formation, probably due to re-endothelialisation of injured lung vasculature [98, 104]. In the second approach, autologous EPC transplantation was combined with application of the chemokine stromal cell-derived factor (SDF)-1α.
supporting functionality of EPCs [105]. Indeed, exogenous EPCs and SDF-1α synergistically improved pulmonary endothelial integrity and survival in murine polymicrobial sepsis [105]. EPC-based preventive or therapeutic approaches in ARDS seem promising, but further preclinical evaluation of efficacy and mechanisms of actions are necessary.

Currently, allogeneic bone marrow-derived human mesenchymal stem cells are being assessed as adjuvant therapy for the treatment of ARDS in a clinical phase I (NCT02097641) and multi-centre phase II trial.

Future perspectives

The importance of inflammation and lung barrier failure in pneumonia-induced ARDS has been recognised for decades, and specific strategies to modulate pathophysiological mechanisms have been favourably tested in preclinical proof-of-concept models. Nevertheless, none of these strategies was clinically successful. To enhance translation efficacy, several aspects are worth considering. First, restoration of endothelial barrier function once the endothelium is severely injured may be a barely achievable goal. Therefore, pneumonia patients need to be stratified for high ARDS probability and treatment needs to be started early in a preventive manner, including resolution-enhancing strategies. Second, to relevantly reflect the patient with pneumonia, combinations of different preclinical models with sufficient complexity and accuracy regarding clinical situations need to be employed. Third, data from clinical registries, RCTs, patient “-omics” analyses, and preclinical mechanistic and therapeutic studies, to name a few, should be integrated in a systems-medicine approach by skilled information technology experts and biomathematicians in order to generate mathematical models. These models may enhance our understanding, create novel therapeutic perspectives and help define patient populations that may benefit from specific strategies.
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