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1 Introduction

Stand-off detection of alcohol in moving cars is a particular case of stand-off detection of vapors and gases described in papers.1–3 A device based on this technology can be potentially used to monitor the interior of a vehicle and finally contribute to eradication of the drunken drivers. As a result of such useful application, this technology enjoys popularity with many scientists and scientific institutions, which is shown in many patents.4–7 Much of the effort of the investigators were put into the measurement of the precise value of the alcohol concentration.6,7 Such approach does not seem to be appropriate for the reason that there are many phenomena disturbing precise measurement that cannot be foreseen and eliminated. These are, among others, car cooling system, open windows, window washing liquid (antifreeze), perfumes, or drunken passengers. Moreover, using carbon dioxide (CO₂), as in Ref. 7, also appears to be pointless for the very good reason that some passengers may be present in the car, who also exhales CO₂. On account of that, the stand-off alcohol detection systems are not able to measure alcohol concentration in driver’s blood, however, with absolute certainty, they are able to indicate the driver suspected of drinking alcohol. Such systems may be extremely useful and highly effective at minimizing the number of cars that should be checked by a policeman using more accurate hand-held equipment.

In most cases, the devices for stand-off detection of different gases utilize two radiation beams.8,9 The monitoring beam is absorbed by a monitored substance, whereas the reference beam should be close but outside of the absorption band. In case of the alcohol, the wavelength that is strongly absorbed is 3.39 μm. Not long ago, the only laser that could operate at this wavelength was He–Ne laser and there was no other reference laser available on the market that could operate close to this wavelength. Using a commercially available semiconductor laser operating at 1.5 μm as a reference was not suitable because of high difference in the transmissions of car windowpanes for 3.39 and 1.5 μm caused by high difference in absorption coefficients. This, in turn, causes the ratio of these transmissions to depend strongly on cars, which are characterized by different windowpanes with different thickness and inclination.
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If this ratio changes very much for different cars, the system does not work properly because any change is interpreted as a change in the alcohol concentration in the car cabin. The ideal situation would be if the absorption coefficients were the same and then the ratio of the transmissions was equal to 1. To overcome this obstacle, the solution based on two 1.3- and 1.5-μm laser beams was proposed. This approach enabled the measuring of the thickness and inclination of the car windowpanes, which eliminated their impact on the results of the measurements. However, from a practical point of view, it was very difficult to develop such a system because the measured signals had to be determined with extremely high accuracy.

Currently, a completely new type of cascade laser operating around 3- to 4-μm wavelengths was developed and launched on the market. With the help of these lasers, the abovementioned problems can be overcome. In this paper, we present the idea of using cascade lasers for stand-off detection of alcohol vapors in moving cars as well as the results of the investigations of the experimental setup built to verify the idea.

2 Basic Approach to Stand-Off Detection of Alcohol in Car Cabins

The idea of stand-off detection of alcohol in a cabin with windowpanes is well known. The basic approach to this problem is shown in Fig. 1.

The collimated monitoring laser beam with intensity $I_0$ generated by the radiation source $L$ goes through windowpane $W_1$, where it is partially reflected and partially absorbed at both surfaces. Next, the beam $I_1$ goes through the cabin and the second windowpane $W_2$ and is finally absorbed by the detector $D$.

Assuming that the angles of incidence of the beam on the windowpanes are very small and neglecting multiple reflections inside the windowpanes, the beam intensity after going through the first windowpane can be described as

$$I_1 = I_0 (1 - r)^2 e^{-\kappa_W d_W},$$

where $r$ is Fresnel reflection coefficient of the windowpanes, $\kappa_W$ is the absorption coefficient of the beam inside the windowpanes, and $d_W$ is the thickness of the windowpanes.

If both windowpanes have the same $r$, $\kappa_W$, and $d_W$, and additionally, the absorption of the beam by alcohol inside the cabin and by possible dirt or water on the windowpanes is taken into account the beam intensity incident on the detector can be expressed as

$$I = I_1 e^{-\kappa_a l_c} (1 - r)^2 e^{-\kappa_W d_W} = I_0 (1 - r)^4 e^{-2\kappa_W d_W} e^{-\kappa_a l_c} e^{-\kappa_d l_d},$$

where $\kappa_a$ is the absorption coefficient of the alcohol in the cabin, $l_c$ is the length of the cabin (distance between windowpanes), $\kappa_d$ is the absorption coefficient of dirt or water, and $l_d$ is the thickness of the dirt or water layer.

Dividing both sides of Eq. (2) by $I_0$ leads to

$$\frac{I}{I_0} = (1 - r)^4 e^{-2\kappa_W d_W} e^{-\kappa_a l_c} e^{-\kappa_d l_d}.$$  

Introducing the total transmission of the cabin $T$, the transmission of the alcohol $T_a$, the transmission of the cabin without the alcohol $T_c$, and the transmission of dirt or water on the windowpanes $T_d$ is defined as

![Fig. 1 Basic idea of stand-off detection of vapors and gases in a cabin with windowpanes.](image-url)
Equation (3) takes the form of

\[ T = T_c \cdot T_d \cdot T_a. \]  

Assuming linear characteristics of the detector \( I/I_0 = S/S_0 \), Eq. (8) can be written as

\[ T = T_c \cdot T_d \cdot T_a = \frac{S}{S_0}, \]  

where \( S \) is signal from the detector with the cabin and \( S_0 \) is signal from the detector without the cabin.

As it is shown in Sec. 3, the transmission of the laser beam by windowpanes is very small. It means that if there is no cabin (no car) between the radiation source and the detector, the signal incident on the detector is very high. On the other hand, if there is a cabin (car) with windowpanes, the signal is very low. This leads to the situation, where the dynamic of the intensity of the beam incident on the detector is very high. It is well known that to guarantee the linear characteristics of the detector (avoiding saturation), the dynamic of the signal incident on it should be small. It can be achieved by inserting an additional reference tube interchangeably with the cabin. In this case, the reference tube is placed between the radiation source and the detector and is removed when the cabin (car) crosses the radiation beam. The removal of the reference tube may be executed by a very fast-moving servomechanism. Certainly, there is possibility to eliminate the need for reference windowpanes by the use of a detector with higher linearity, however, according to the knowledge of the authors, there is no such detector available on the market at a reasonable price. Another issue is the car with open windowpanes. In this situation, the detector will saturate and the system will inform the user about this problem, which in turn means that the car needs to be checked by a police officer.

The transmission of the beam by this reference tube can be expressed as

\[ T_r = \frac{S_r}{S_0}, \]  

where \( S_r \) is the signal from the detector with the reference tube.

Combining Eqs. (9) and (10) leads to

\[ \frac{T}{T_r} = \frac{T_c}{T_r} \cdot T_d \cdot T_a = \frac{S}{S_r}. \]  

To minimize the impact of all obstacles (windowpanes, dirt, water) on the detection of alcohol in car cabin, a reference laser beam should be applied. Thus, the relation [Eq. (11)] can be expressed by two equations:

\[ \frac{T_1}{T_{r1}} = \frac{T_{c1}}{T_{r1}} \cdot T_{d1} \cdot T_{a1} = \frac{S_1}{S_{r1}}, \]  

\[ \frac{T_2}{T_{r2}} = \frac{T_{c2}}{T_{r2}} \cdot T_{d2} \cdot T_{a2} = \frac{S_2}{S_{r2}}, \]  

where 1 and 2 represent the monitoring beam and the reference beam, respectively.
Combining Eqs. (12) and (13), one can achieve
\[ \frac{T_{c1}}{T_{c2}} \cdot \frac{T_{d1}}{T_{d2}} \cdot \frac{T_{a1}}{T_{a2}} = \frac{S_1}{S_2} \cdot \frac{S_{r1}}{S_{r2}}. \]  
(14)

Introducing the following expressions, defining the relative transmission \( \tau \) and the relative signal \( s \):
\[ \tau_c = \frac{T_{c1}}{T_{c2}}, \tau_r = \frac{T_{r1}}{T_{r2}}, \tau_d = \frac{T_{d1}}{T_{d2}}, \tau_a = \frac{T_{a1}}{T_{a2}}, s = \frac{S_1}{S_2} \cdot \frac{S_{r1}}{S_{r2}}. \]  
(15)

Equation (14) takes the form of
\[ \frac{\tau_c}{\tau_r} \cdot \tau_d \cdot \tau_a = s, \]  
(16)

thus
\[ \tau_a = \frac{1}{\tau_d \cdot \tau_c} \cdot s. \]  
(17)

Introducing the following expression, defining the signal coefficient \( \alpha \):
\[ \alpha = \frac{1}{\tau_d \cdot \tau_c}. \]  
(18)

Equation (17) can be expressed as
\[ \tau_a = \alpha \cdot s. \]  
(19)

One could assume that the absorption coefficients of dirt or water for both beams are the same; however, it is not necessary the truth. In some situations, the dirt may consist of different chemical compounds characterized by selective transmission for different wavelengths. Thus, for a given cabin’s dirt and water, \( \alpha \) coefficient can be very easily determined by measuring the signals \( S_1, S_2, S_{r1}, \) and \( S_{r2} \) for the reference tube and for the cabin without the alcohol (\( \tau_a = 1 \)) and using the following equation:
\[ \alpha = \frac{1}{s}. \]  
(20)

The problem appears, however, when unknown cabins with an unknown dirt and water layer are to be monitored. Then, the mean value of \( \alpha \) should be determined on the basis of statistical investigations of different car cabins with different dirt and water layers.

### 3 Spectral Characteristics

The problem concerning transmission of car windowpanes described in the introduction as well as transmission of some chemical compounds that may be present in car cabins (alcohol, H2O, and CO2) along with the spectra of lasers operating at 3.39-, 1.5-, 3.45-, and 3.59-\( \mu \)m wavelengths are illustrated in Fig. 2. Alcohol, H2O, and CO2 transmission was measured using 10-cm length tubes closed with glass plates filled with saturated alcohol vapors at 20°C (120 mg/dm³), saturated water vapors at 20°C (17.3 mg/dm³) and CO2 with 100% concentration, respectively. The results were corrected taking into account the transmission of the glass plates used in the experiments. The measurements were done with 4 cm⁻¹ resolution. The figure shows only visual relation between investigated materials and does not express the real concentration of alcohol, H2O, and CO2 that may appear in car cabins. In reality, one can expect much lower concentration. The only real characteristic is that of the car windowpane, where a typical Pilkington windowpane was used. One can see that for the He–Ne laser (3.39 \( \mu \)m) as the monitoring beam and for the laser diode (1.5 \( \mu \)m) as the reference beam, the transmissions of the car...
windowpane are completely different. It means that the change of the thickness of the car windowpane or its inclination has the same impact on the signal received by the detector as the change of the alcohol concentration in the car cabin.

By contrast, for the wavelengths 3.45 $\mu$m (monitoring beam) and 3.59 $\mu$m (reference beam), the transmissions of the car windowpanes are almost the same while the transmissions of alcohol are totally different. Even though the monitoring wavelength and the reference wavelength are very close to each other, there still may be some minor difference in the transmissions of the car windowpane, which results in some difference in $\alpha$ coefficient for different cars. In case of a small concentration of alcohol in a car cabin, such a situation may have vital impact on the results of detection. The transmissions of $\text{H}_2\text{O}$ and $\text{CO}_2$ in case of their much lower concentration (normal conditions) are almost the same; so, the impact of their amount on the result of the measurement of the alcohol concentration is also minimal.

In case of high concentration of water (high humidity, snow, ice), the situation is much more complicated. It is not only because of strong absorption by water but also because water actually has a very strong and similar absorption spectra for the two wavelengths used. It means that in conditions of high humidity, the detection of alcohol vapors characterized by low concentration is not possible. So, the device based on this technology cannot work in all environmental conditions. There are also many other substances, such as dirt that may have a small impact on the measurements. The cars with such dirt on the windowpanes, as well as cars with covered side windowpanes and opened side windowpanes, will be considered as suspected and stopped to undergo detailed control by a policeman.

The water background issue as well as dirt are much less important in normal conditions than the car windowpane parameters. So, it is reasonable not to take them into account separately but as an uncertainty of the measurements. However, much meticulous investigations of the impact of different substances on the detection of alcohol vapor in moving cars are necessary.

To determine the importance of the problem with car windowpanes, the transmission of eight different car windowpanes was examined. To investigate the impact of the water on the transmission, the windowpane 1 was also covered by steam. It was put into a refrigerator and cooled down to $-4^\circ$C. When it was taken out, water vapor condensed on it. The results of measurements are shown in Fig. 3: 1, windowpane of 2-mm thickness; 2, tampered Pilkington car windowpane of 3.15-mm thickness; 3, not tempered Pilkington car windowpane of 3.15-mm thickness; 4, windowpane of 2.9-mm thickness; 5, dimmed tampered Pilkington car windowpane of 3.15-mm thickness; 6, windowpane of 3.9-mm thickness; 7, dimmed tampered Soliver car windowpane of 4-mm thickness; 8, windowpane of 4-mm thickness; 1a, steamed-up windowpane 1.

The measured transmission of the windowpanes for the cascade lasers at 3.45 and 3.59-$\mu$m wavelength is presented in Table 1. The transmission of 3.45-$\mu$m wavelength by single windowpane was denoted as $T_{s1}$, the transmission of 3.59-$\mu$m wavelength by single windowpane as $T_{s2}$,
the transmission of 3.45-μm wavelength by double windowpane as $T_1$, and the transmission of 3.59-μm wavelength by double windowpane as $T_2$. Taking into account the transmission of the windowpane 1 with and without steam, the transmission of the steam was also calculated and presented in Table 1. The calculations were done according to the following equations:

$$T_1 = \frac{T_{s1}(1a)}{T_{s1}(1)}, T_2 = \frac{T_{s2}(1a)}{T_{s2}(1)}.$$  

(21)

One can see that the difference of the transmissions for both beams $T_2 - T_1$ is different for different windowpanes, however, it is very small. The smaller $T_2 - T_1$, the smaller impact of different windowpanes on the results of measurements of the alcohol concentration.

4 Determination of $\alpha$ Coefficient

To determine the value of $\alpha$ coefficient for different car windowpanes and dirt, a special experimental setup was built, which is shown in Fig. 4. Two multimode interband cascade lasers, IF3420CM1 and IF3550CM1, developed by Thorlabs company operating at 3.45- and

Table 1  Measured transmission of the windowpanes for 3.45- and 3.59-μm wavelength and calculated transmission of the steam.

| Windowpane | 1   | 2   | 3   | 4   | 5   | 6   | 7   | 8   | 1a  | Steam |
|------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-------|
| $T_{s1}$   | 0.2470 | 0.2159 | 0.2067 | 0.1614 | 0.1620 | 0.1400 | 0.0993 | 0.0852 | 0.2402 | 0.9723 |
| $T_{s2}$   | 0.2482 | 0.2181 | 0.2112 | 0.1643 | 0.1664 | 0.1432 | 0.1022 | 0.0865 | 0.2436 | 0.9816 |
| $T_1$      | 0.0610 | 0.0466 | 0.0427 | 0.0261 | 0.0262 | 0.0196 | 0.0099 | 0.0073 | 0.0577 | 0.9453 |
| $T_2$      | 0.0616 | 0.0476 | 0.0446 | 0.0270 | 0.0277 | 0.0205 | 0.0104 | 0.0075 | 0.0594 | 0.9636 |
| $T_2 - T_1$| 0.0006 | 0.001  | 0.0019 | 0.0009 | 0.0015 | 0.0009 | 0.0005 | 0.0002 | 0.0017 | 0.0183 |
3.59-μm wavelengths with output power 35 mW were used. They were driven by an ITC4005QCL power supply, also developed by Thorlabs company. The lasers worked in pulsed mode with pulse repetition rate 5 and 8 kHz with current amplitude equal to 0.5 A. The beams went through the reference tube made of windowpane of 2.9-mm thickness (shown in Fig. 3 as windowpane 4) or the investigated windowpane and were detected by the TE-cooled PbSe detector P4639 developed by Hamamatsu company, characterized by 9-mm² aperture. The small angle equal to 87 mrad between the windowpanes constituting the reference tube eliminated the interference that could disturb the signal. Two dichroic mirrors were used to integrate three laser beams into one. The first dichroic mirror was characterized by 50% transmission for both 3.45 and 3.59-μm wavelengths, whereas the second one was characterized by 100% transmission for 3.45 and 3.59-μm wavelengths and 100% reflection for 0.6-μm wavelength. The mirror reflecting the signal onto the detector was characterized by 100% reflection for all wavelengths. The signals from the detector were analyzed by a special electronic unit, where the amplitude of the signal was measured. After 1000 measurements, the signal was averaged. The laser diode operating at 0.6-μm in cw mode was used to align the system.

To simulate the cabin without alcohol, the beams went through the investigated windowpanes two times, as can be seen in Fig. 4. Using Eq. (18), α coefficient was calculated. All the previously investigated windowpanes were examined as well as windowpane 1 covered with steam, graphite dust, and soil dust denoted as st, gr, and so, respectively. The calculations of α coefficient for the layers of steam, graphite dust, and soil dust were done according to the following equations:

\[
\alpha_{(st)} = \frac{\alpha_{(1st)}}{\alpha_{(1)}}, \quad \alpha_{(gr)} = \frac{\alpha_{(1gr)}}{\alpha_{(1)}}, \quad \alpha_{(so)} = \frac{\alpha_{(1so)}}{\alpha_{(1)}}.
\]

The results of the calculations are presented in Table 2. The layers of steam, graphite dust, and soil dust were designated as st, gr, and so. The average value of α coefficient was calculated to be \(\bar{\alpha} = 1.030\) with standard deviation \(\delta = 0.037\).

| Windowpane | 1     | 2     | 3     | 4     | 5     | 6     | 7     | 8     | 1st   | 1gr   | 1so   | st    | gr    | so    |
|------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| α          | 1.052 | 1.047 | 1.028 | 1.000 | 0.979 | 1.003 | 0.985 | 1.003 | 1.070 | 1.088 | 1.078 | 1.017 | 1.034 | 1.025 |
Investigation of the Transmission of the Car Cabins with Different Alcohol Concentrations

The setup for the investigation of the transmission of the cabin with different concentration of alcohol is shown in Fig. 5. It is almost the same as in Fig. 4 except for the investigated windowpane, which was replaced by the cabin with alcohol vapors and for the mirror, which was removed. The cabin was made of 140-cm long plastic tube with the diameter equal to 10 cm closed with windowpanes (windowpane 4).

Before each measurements, a special container (2.4 cm wide and 140 cm long) filled with a water solution of alcohol with different concentrations was inserted into the cabin, where the temperature was 20°C. In such condition, the alcohol started to evaporate increasing the concentration of alcohol vapor in time. The state of equilibrium was reached after about 20 min every time the measurements were carried out. The concentration of alcohol vapors in the cabin $C_{av}$ for each concentration of water solution of alcohol was determined on the basis of Henry’s law. The calculated relative transmission of alcohol in the cabin $\tau_a$ for different concentrations, according to Eqs. (19) and (20), is presented in Table 3 and Fig. 6.

| Alcohol concentration $C_{av}$ (mg/dm$^3$) | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.4 |
|------------------------------------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| $\tau_a$                                 | 1.000 | 0.925 | 0.843 | 0.782 | 0.698 |

Fig. 5 Experimental setup for the investigation of relative transmission of alcohol in the cabin.

Fig. 6 Relative transmission $\tau_a$ in function of alcohol concentration.
The relation between the relative alcohol transmission $\tau_a$ and the alcohol concentration $C_{av}$ can be approximated by the following linear function:

$$\tau_a = 1 - 0.725 \cdot \frac{C_{av}}{C_{20}} \text{ mg dm}^{-3}.$$

(23)

The relation [Eq. (23)] is universal for the given length of the cabin and does not depend on the type of the windowpanes because $\alpha$ coefficient was measured for $C_{av} = 0$ for which $\tau_a$ is equal to 1.

### 6 Investigation of Alcohol Detection in Car Cabins with Different Windowpanes

The $\alpha$ coefficient is not known for the cars that will be checked in a real environment but its average value for a given number of cars can be determined and used to examine the subsequent cars after installation of the system by a road. Using the average value of $\alpha$ coefficient $\bar{\alpha} = 1.030$ and its standard deviation $\delta = 0.037$, relative alcohol transmission $\tau_a$ for different $C_{av}$ can be calculated using Eq. (19). The results of the calculations are presented in Table 4 and graphically shown in Fig. 7, where the measured points were approximated by a linear function. Because the same windowpane 4 was used to build the cabin as well as the reference tube; thus, the exact value of $\alpha$ coefficient is equal to 1. The calculations for $\alpha = 1$ are also shown in Table 4 and Fig. 7.

| $C_{av}$ (mg dm$^{-3}$) | $\tau_a = \alpha \cdot s$ | $\bar{\tau}_a = \bar{\alpha} \cdot s$ | $\tau_a = (\bar{\alpha} - \delta) \cdot s$ | $\tau_a = (\bar{\alpha} + \delta) \cdot s$ |
|-------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| 0.0                     | 1.000                    | 1.007                           | 0.970                           | 1.043                           |
| 0.1                     | 0.924                    | 0.931                           | 0.897                           | 0.964                           |
| 0.2                     | 0.843                    | 0.849                           | 0.818                           | 0.879                           |
| 0.3                     | 0.782                    | 0.787                           | 0.759                           | 0.816                           |
| 0.4                     | 0.698                    | 0.703                           | 0.678                           | 0.728                           |

Table 4 Relative alcohol transmission $\tau_a$ for $\alpha$, $\bar{\alpha}$ as well as for $\bar{\alpha} - \delta$ and $\bar{\alpha} + \delta$.

Fig. 7 Relative alcohol transmission $\tau_a$ in function of $C_{av}$. 
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For any object (car or cabin), the relative transmission $\tau_a$ for a given concentration of alcohol vapor $C_{av}$ is described by Eq. (23), which after transformation takes the form of

$$C_{av} = \frac{1 - r_a}{0.725}. \quad (24)$$

Taking into account standard deviation, the real value of $\alpha$ coefficient is in the range of $\bar{\alpha} - \delta < \alpha < \bar{\alpha} + \delta$ with the probability of 68%. It means that in this case, $0.993 < \alpha 1.067$. These boundaries relate to $\tau_{a1} = \alpha_1 \cdot S$ and $\tau_{a2} = \alpha_2 \cdot S$, as shown in Fig. 7, where $\alpha_1 = 0.993$, $\alpha_2 = 1.067$, $s = \frac{S_1}{S_1} \frac{S_2}{S_2} = \frac{r}{\bar{\alpha}}$.

In the target device, the electronic unit will calculate the measured concentration of alcohol vapor $C_{mav}$ on the basis of the measured signals and average value of $\alpha$ coefficient according to the following formula:

$$C_{mav} = \frac{1 - r_{mav}}{0.725} = \frac{1 - \bar{\alpha} \cdot s}{0.725}. \quad (25)$$

However, the result will be approximate, mainly because of the assumed average value of $\alpha$. On the basis of preliminary experiments, the impact of the stability of lasers, detectors, and electronic units, is much smaller, however, it needs to be investigated more deeply in farther work. Thus, the real concentration of alcohol vapor $C_{av}$ will be in the range of $C_{av1} \leq C_{av} \leq C_{av2}$ with already mentioned probability of 68%.

On the basis of Eq. (24), the boundaries of $C_{av}$ for a given measured value of $C_{mav}$ are

$$C_{av1} = \frac{1 - \alpha_1 \cdot s(C_{mav})}{0.725}, \quad C_{av2} = \frac{1 - \alpha_2 \cdot s(C_{mav})}{0.725}. \quad (26)$$

Using Eqs. (19) and (23), one can get $s(C_{mav}) = \frac{1 - 0.725}{\bar{\alpha}} C_{mav}$, and assuming that $\bar{\alpha} = 1.030$, Eq. (26) can be written as

![Fig. 8 Illustration of the possibility of stand-off measurement of the real value of alcohol vapor concentration in car cabins.](https://www.spiedigitallibrary.org/journals/Journal-of-Applied-Remote-Sensing)
The relations Eq. (27) and Eq. (28) are presented in Fig. 8.

The basis of the analysis shown in Fig. 8, one can conclude that for the measured value of alcohol concentration 0.158 mg/dm$^3$, its real value is in the range of 0.114 0.202 mg/dm$^3$ with the probability of 68%. Moreover, there is no alcohol in the cabin with the probability of 68% when the measured value of alcohol concentration is $C_{mav} < -0.05$ mg/dm$^3$. Very important issue is the detection of alcohol in the exhale air when the concentration is 0.1 mg in 1 dm$^3$, which is the legal limit of alcohol vapor concentration in many countries.14,15 As can be seen in Fig. 8, the real alcohol concentration 0.1 mg/dm$^3$ relates to the measured value in the range of 0.052 to 0.144 mg/dm$^3$ with the probability of 68%.

7 Conclusions

On the basis of the presented investigations and analysis, it can be concluded that the cascade lasers operating at 3.45- and 3.59-μm wavelengths can be successfully used in the systems for stand-off detection of alcohol concentration in moving cars. Even though the windowpanes used in the experiments were characterized by different parameters (thickness, manufacturing technology, tempering, dust, steam), the investigations show that $\alpha$ coefficient does not change much and the detection of alcohol vapor at the level of 0.1 mg/dm$^3$ is still possible.

Nevertheless, more thorough investigations of the stability of lasers, detectors, and electronic unit as well as the water and dirt issues, need to be carried out and presented in another publication.
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