Redistribution of Free- and Cell-Surface-Bound DNA in Blood of Benign and Malignant Prostate Tumor Patients
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ABSTRACT A direct correlation between the concentration of cell-free and cell-surface-bound circulating DNA (cfDNA and csbDNA, respectively) was demonstrated. Based on an inverse correlation between blood plasma DNase activity and the cfDNA concentration, blood DNases are supposed to regulate the cfDNA concentration. However, no correlation was found between the DNase activity in blood plasma and the csbDNA concentration, indicating that blood DNases are not involved in csbDNA dissociation from the cell surface. The possibility of DNA redistribution between cfDNA and csbDNA indicates that the total pool of circulating DNA (cfDNA + csbDNA) should be used for a correct analysis of marker DNA concentrations and data standardization.
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ABBREVIATIONS cfDNA – cell free DNA; csbDNA – cell-surface-bound circulating DNA; PC – prostate cancer; PBS-EDTA – 10 mM phosphate buffer (pH 7.5) with 0.15M NaCl and 5 mM EDTA.

INTRODUCTION

The blood of healthy donors and cancer patients is known to contain constantly circulating extracellular DNAs. These circulating DNAs are found both in apoptotic bodies, nucleosomes, and the macromolecular protein complexes of plasma [1, 2] and on the surface of blood cells [1]. Previously, we demonstrated that the development of oncologic diseases such as breast cancer [3, 4] and lung cancer [5] is accompanied by an increase in the cfDNA concentration and a decrease in the csbDNA concentration. The relationships between these pools of circulating DNAs were not studied.

This article presents a study of the distribution of circulating DNAs between plasma and the surface of blood cells in prostate cancers.

EXPERIMENTAL

Blood samples of healthy males (n = 40) at the age of 37–71 (47.4 ± 1.3) years, containing the prostate-specific antigen (PSA) at the concentration corresponding to the clinical norm (not exceeding 2.8 ng/mL), were received from the Central Clinical Hospital of the Siberian Branch of the Russian Academy of Sciences. Blood samples of newly admitted patients with prostate cancers at the age of 45–84 (70.2 ± 1.4) years were received from Municipal Clinical Hospital No.1; the PSA concentrations in a group of patients with benign hyperplasia (n = 25) and prostate cancer (n = 16) were 0–31.7 and 0–103.1 ng/mL, respectively (increased in 36 and 81% of cases). The study was conducted in compliance with the principles of voluntarism and confidentiality, according to the Fundamentals of Health Protection of Citizens in the Russian Federation. The disease stage was determined according to the TNM classification.

Collection and processing of blood and extraction of cfDNA from plasma, csbDNA from a PBS-EDTA eluate, and csbDNA from a trypsin eluate from the surface of blood cells were performed according to reference [5]. The extracellular DNA concentration was determined using an intercalating fluorescent dye PicoGreen [5]. The detection limits for DNA calculated to the initial blood volume were 0.4 ng/mL of blood in
plasma, 2 ng/mL of blood in the PBS-EDTA eluate, and
20 ng/mL of blood in the trypsin eluate. The integral
DNase activity in the blood plasma of healthy donors
and patients was determined by an enzyme-linked im-
munosorbent assay (ELISA) as described in reference
[6]. The ELISA sensitivity, defined as the minimal, sta-
tistically significantly determined activity of DNase 1
in a sample, was 0.004 U/mL of a sample. The variation
coefficient at each point was not more than 4%.

Results were processed by the GraphPad Prism 5
software using the non-parametric Mann-Whitney test
and the Spearman correlation coefficient.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION
Previously, we demonstrated that the blood of healthy
donors, as well as that of patients with lung cancer [5]
and stomach and colon cancers [7], contains constantly
circulating DNAs that occur not only in blood plasma,
but also in complexes bound to the surface of blood
cells. A portion of csbDNA dissociates after the treat-
ment of cells with PBS-EDTA buffer and is apparent-
ly bound to phospholipids and other anions of the cell
membrane through bridges of divalent metal ions [8]
or low-affinity interactions and is eluted with 9 buff-
er volumes (compared to the plasma volume); another
csbDNA portion is removed from the cell surface by
treating cells with a 0.125% trypsin solution and, appar-
antly, is a part of the complexes with surface proteins
of the blood cells [1].

The present study investigates correlation relations-
ships between the blood concentration of cfDNA and
csbDNA in the norm and in prostate cancers (PCs).

Since the cfDNA and csbDNA concentrations in pa-
tients with benign prostatic hyperplasia did not dif-
fer statistically significantly from their concentra-
tions in the blood of prostate cancer patients (data are
not shown), these groups of patients were combined
into one group of patients with PCs. The ratio of cfD-
NA concentration to total circulating DNA (cf + cs-
bDNA) concentration revealed a statistically signifi-
cant (\(P < 0.01\)) increase in the fraction of cfDNA in PCs
(40 ± 4%) compared to the norm (22 ± 4%) (Fig. 1A).

The PBS-EDTA eluate from the surface of the blood
cells (i.e., weakly bound csbDNAs) of healthy donors
and PC patients was found to contain 4 ± 1 and 17 ± 3%
of the total amount of circulating DNAs bound to blood
cells, respectively (Fig. 1B), and these differences be-
tween healthy and sick males were statistically signifi-
cant (\(P < 0.01\)).

The observed decrease in the csbDNA fraction and
the simultaneous increase in the cfDNA fraction in the
blood of PC patients may be due to the hydrolysis of
csbDNAs by blood deoxyribonucleases. The data on
DNase capability to hydrolyze nucleic acids bound to
the cell surface are contradictory. Some authors believe
that DNases can hydrolyze csbDNA [9], and according
to others, DNases have little effect on the csbDNA con-
centration [8, 10].

The blood DNase activity was determined using a
previously developed enzyme-linked immunosorbent
assay based on the hydrolysis of a DNA PCR fragment
modified with fluorescein and biotin moieties [6]. An
analysis of the cfDNA concentration and DNase ac-
tivity in blood plasma from healthy donors and can-
Cancer patients revealed an appreciable (on the Chaddock scale) inverse correlation between these parameters ($R = -0.57$, $P < 0.01$) (Fig. 2).

These data demonstrate that DNases, despite a statistically significant ($P < 0.01$) decrease in their activity in the blood of PC patients compared to healthy donors (Fig. 2), can hydrolyze cfDNA and apparently are one of the factors negatively regulating the cfDNA concentration.

Investigation of the relationship between the blood plasma DNase activity and the csbDNA concentration demonstrated that the DNase activity is weakly correlated with the concentration of DNAs bound to the surface of blood cells via ionic interactions and is almost not correlated with the concentration of DNAs bound to cell surface proteins ($R = -0.36$, $P < 0.01$ and $R = -0.28$, $P < 0.01$, respectively). These data indicate that DNases do not actually hydrolyze csbDNAs strongly bound to cell surface proteins, do not contribute noticeably to the fragmentation process of csbDNA and its dissociation from the cell surface, and that the cfDNA concentration cannot increase due to the hydrolysis of csbDNA strongly bound to the cell surface.

The data from in vitro experiments [11] and the results of a study of blood csbDNA (Fig. 1) demonstrate that the main portion of csbDNA is removed from the cell surface upon treating cells with trypsin; i.e., it is bound to cell surface proteins. Based on the data on the correlation between the csbDNA concentration of the PBS-EDTA eluate and the DNase activity, it may be...
assumed that a portion of weakly bound csbDNAs can enter into an exchange with DNAs of the extracellular environment and blood plasma. An appreciable (on the Chaddock scale) direct correlation between the change in the plasma cfDNA concentration and the weakly bound csbDNA concentration (PBS-EDTA eluate) \((R = 0.67, P < 0.01)\) in PC patients (Fig. 3A) confirms this supposition.

In addition, we found a direct correlation between the csbDNA concentration in the PBS-EDTA eluate and in the trypsin eluate in PC patients \((R = 0.65, P < 0.001)\) (Fig. 3B), which may indicate a redistribution of DNA between these fractions of csbDNA.

Therefore, these data indicate a possibility of partial exchange between blood cfDNAs and csbDNAs. Indirectly, this fact is also confirmed by the data on the size of circulating DNA fragments. csbDNAs of the trypsin eluate are mainly represented by high-molecular-weight (approximately 10–20 kb) DNA, and cfDNAs and csbDNAs of the PBS-EDTA eluate contain, apart from high-molecular-weight DNA, 200–500 bp fragments [3], which, apparently, can circulate as a part of one or another pool of circulating DNAs.

The causes of a decrease in the fraction of csbDNA in the total pool of circulating DNAs in the blood of PC patients are not known. It is likely that they are associated with a change in the structure of the cytoplasmic membranes of blood cells. Indeed, the development of oncologic diseases has been demonstrated to be accompanied by a change in the lipid ratio in blood cell membranes that leads to increased viscosity of the lipid bilayer, disruption of intermolecular protein-lipid interactions, and, as a consequence, disorganization of the protein composition, malfunction of the membrane cation transport systems, and disorganization of cell surface architectonics [12].

The pool of csbDNAs is known to be a valuable source of diagnostic material [4]. The possibility of cfDNA and csbDNA exchange suggests that, in the future, the most accurate diagnostic information could be obtained from an analysis of total blood-circulating DNA. Indeed, given that cfDNA and csbDNA can enter into an exchange (although the mechanisms of this process are not yet known), it is more accurate to use total blood-circulating (cfDNA + csbDNA) DNA to measure relative marker concentrations.
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