Tensor form factors of the octet hyperons in QCD
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Abstract

Light-cone QCD sum rules to leading order in QCD are used to investigate the tensor form factors of the \( \Sigma^- \Sigma, \Xi^- \Xi \) and \( \Sigma^- \Lambda \) transitions in the range \( 1 \text{ GeV}^2 \leq Q^2 \leq 10 \text{ GeV}^2 \). The DAs of \( \Sigma, \Xi \) and \( \Lambda \) baryon have been calculated without higher-order terms. Then, studies including higher-order corrections have been done for the \( \Sigma \) and \( \Lambda \) baryon. The resulting form factors are obtained using these two DAs. We make a comparison with the predictions of the chiral quark soliton model.
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1 Introduction

How the hadrons are built from quarks and gluons, the fundamental degrees of freedom of QCD, is one of the main open questions in the theory of strong interactions. An efficient way to probe the hadron structure is to study the hadron form factors as these quantities include direct information about the hadron structure. Therefore, the form factors have recently received considerable attention both in theory and experiment. Like other form factors, the tensor form factors encode the important information about the quark-gluon structure of baryons.

The quark distributions in the leading twist are given by the unpolarized distribution \( f_1(x) \), helicity distribution \( g_1(x) \) and transversity distribution \( h_1(x) \) function of the quark. One of these functions, the transversity distribution \( h_1(x) \) which describes the probability of finding a transversely polarized quark with longitudinal momentum fraction \( x \) in an unpolarized baryons \[1\] by its chiral-odd nature is not easy to measure. The strong interactions have approximate chiral symmetry and electroweak interactions conserve the chirality, so the transversity distributions cannot be extracted in inclusive deep inelastic scattering (DIS). It needs to couple to another chiral-odd quantity in the cross-section. It can be obtained Drell-Yan processes and semi-inclusive deep inelastic scattering (SIDIS), because distributions of transversity do come out at leading twist in the cross-section. In Ref.[2], it was extracted using the experimental data on azimuthal asymmetries in SIDIS, from BELLE [3] as well as data for the nucleon from the HERMES [4] and COMPASS [5] collaborations. Subsequently, in Ref.[6], isovector nucleon tensor charge obtained \( H_T(0) = 0.65^{+0.30}_{-0.23} \) at a renormalization scale \( \mu^2 = 0.4 \text{ GeV}^2 \). In Ref.[7] Anselmino et al. updated their nucleon isovector tensor charge result \( H_T(0) = 0.77^{+0.18}_{-0.38} \) at renormalization scale of \( \mu^2 = 0.8 \text{ GeV}^2 \). On the theoretical side, isovector tensor charge of the nucleon has been studied in the framework of lattice QCD [8, 9, 10], the chiral quark soliton model (\( \chi \text{QSM} \)) [11, 12], quark model [13, 14], Skyrme model [15], axial vector dominance model [16] and dihadron production [17] QCD sum rules and light cone QCD sum rules [18, 19, 20, 21]. For octet hyperon isovector tensor transition form factors have been studied chiral quark soliton model (\( \chi \text{QSM} \)) [12].

The hyperon sector is interesting because it provides for an ideal system in which to study \( SU(3) \) flavor symmetry breaking by replacing up or down quarks in nucleons with strange ones [22]. Tensor form factors play an important role in our understanding of the tomography of baryons. The hyperon tensor form factors missing part of this tomography. In the present work, we calculate the isovector tensor transition form factors of the \( \Xi^- \Xi, \Sigma^- \Sigma \) and \( \Sigma^- \Lambda \). In order to study the tensor form factors, one needs to use a nonperturbative method. One of the most powerful nonperturbative methods is traditional QCD sum rules (QCDSR), which is more reliable and predictive in calculating the properties of hadrons [23, 24, 25, 26]. An alternative to the traditional QCD sum rules is the light cone QCD sum rules (LCSR) [27, 28, 29]. In this method, the hadronic properties are expressed with regards
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The matrix element of the interpolating current in Eq. (5) into the correlation function in Eq. (4), we get

$$\Pi_{\mu\nu}(p, q) = \sum_{p'} \frac{(0|J_H(p')\rangle\langle H(p')|J_{\mu\nu}|H(p)\rangle}{m_H^2 - q^2} + \ldots$$

where \(m_H\) are the \(\Sigma, \Xi\) and \(\Lambda\) baryon mass and dots represents contributions from higher states and continuum. The matrix element of the interpolating current is between the vacuum and hyperon states, defined as

$$\langle 0|J_H(0)\rangle H(p')\rangle = \lambda_H u(p', s')$$

where \(\lambda_H\) is the hyperon overlap amplitude. Inserting the matrix element of the tensor current in Eq. (1) and the matrix element of the interpolating current in Eq. (5) into the correlation function in Eq. (4), we get

$$\Pi_{\mu\nu}(p, q) = \frac{\lambda_H}{m_H^2 - q^2} [i\sigma_{\mu\nu}H_T(q^2) + \frac{\gamma_\mu q_\nu - \gamma_\nu q_\mu}{2m_H} E_T(q^2) + \frac{\tilde{P}_\mu q_\nu - \tilde{P}_\nu q_\mu}{2m_H^2} \tilde{H}_T(q^2)]$$
Also, the correlation function is determined in terms of the quark and gluon on the QCD side. The interpolating fields in Eq. (43) are inserted into the correlation function in Eq. (2).

We obtain for $\Sigma = \Sigma$, $\Lambda = \Sigma$, and $\Xi = \Xi$ transitions,

$$\Pi_{\mu\nu} = \frac{i}{2} \int d^4x e^{iqx} \sum_{i=1}^{2} \langle C_i J_{\mu}^i(x) J_{\nu}^i(0) \rangle,$$

$$= 4e^{abc}(0)q_1^a(0)q_2^b(0)q_3^c(0)|H(p)|$$

where $q_i (i = 1, 2, 3)$ denotes the quark fields, and $S(x)$ represents the quark propagator which is given as

$$S_q(x) = \frac{i\delta}{2\pi^2 x^4} - \frac{\langle \bar{q}q \rangle}{12} \left(1 + \frac{m_q^2 x^2}{16}\right) - ig_s \int_0^1 dv \left[ \frac{x}{16\pi^2 x^4} G_{\mu\nu}^{\sigma\mu - \nu} + v x^4 G_{\mu\nu}^{\gamma} \gamma^\nu \right] \frac{i}{4\pi^2 x^2}.$$  

(8)

In this expression, $\langle \bar{q}q \rangle$ is the quark condensate, $m_q$ is defined in terms of the mixed quark gluon condensate as $\langle \bar{q}q G^{\mu\nu} \sigma_{\mu\nu} q \rangle \equiv m_q^2 \langle \bar{q}q \rangle$ and $g_s$ is the strong coupling constant. $G_{\mu\nu}$ is the gluon field strength tensor. The terms proportional to $G_{\mu\nu}$ are expected to give negligibly small contributions as they are related to four and five-particle distribution amplitudes $[31]$, and hence we will get neglect these terms in further analysis. Moreover, the terms proportional to $\langle \bar{q}q \rangle$ are removed by Borel transformations and, finally only the first term, which gives the hard-quark propagator, will be considered for our discussion. Then, we need to know matrix elements of the local three-quark operator,

$$= 4e^{abc}(0)q_1^a(0)q_2^b(a_1 x)q_3^c(a_2 x)|H(p)|$$

where $a_1$, $a_2$, and $a_3$ are real numbers. This matrix element can be written in terms of distribution amplitudes (DAs) using the Lorentz covariance, the spin and the parity of the baryon $[32]$

$$= 4e^{abc}(0)q_1^a(0)q_2^b(0)q_3^c(0)|H(p)|$$

$$= S_1 C_{\theta} (\gamma_5 H) + S_2 m^2 C_{\theta} (\gamma_5 H) + P_1 M (\gamma_5 C)_{\sigma\theta} H_{\phi} + P_2 m^2 (\gamma_5 C)_{\sigma\theta} (\not{\partial} H)$$

$$+ V_1 (P C)_{\sigma\theta} (\gamma_5 H) + V_2 M (P C)_{\sigma\theta} (\gamma_5 H) + V_3 M (\gamma_5 C)_{\sigma\theta} (\gamma^\mu H)$$

$$+ V_4 M^2 (\gamma_5 C)_{\sigma\theta} (\gamma^\mu H) + V_5 M^2 (\gamma_5 C)_{\sigma\theta} (\gamma^\mu H)$$

$$+ A_1 (P \gamma_5 C)_{\sigma\theta} H_{\phi} + A_2 M (P \gamma_5 C)_{\sigma\theta} (\not{\partial} H) + A_3 M (\gamma_5 C)_{\sigma\theta} (\gamma^\mu H)$$

$$+ A_4 m^2 (\gamma_5 C)_{\sigma\theta} (\gamma^\mu H) + A_6 m^2 (\gamma_5 C)_{\sigma\theta} (\gamma^\mu H)$$

$$+ T_4 (m^2 \gamma_5 C)_{\sigma\theta} (m^2 \gamma^\mu H) + T_5 M^2 (m^2 \gamma_5 C)_{\sigma\theta} (\not{\partial} H)$$

$$+ T_7 M^2 (\gamma_5 C)_{\sigma\theta} (\gamma^\mu H) + T_6 M^2 (m^2 \gamma_5 C)_{\sigma\theta} (\not{\partial} H)$$

$$+ T_7 M^2 (\gamma_5 C)_{\sigma\theta} (\gamma^\mu H) + T_6 M^2 (m^2 \gamma_5 C)_{\sigma\theta} (\not{\partial} H)$$

$$+ T_7 M^2 (\gamma_5 C)_{\sigma\theta} (\gamma^\mu H) + T_6 M^2 (m^2 \gamma_5 C)_{\sigma\theta} (\not{\partial} H)$$

$$+ T_7 M^2 (\gamma_5 C)_{\sigma\theta} (\gamma^\mu H) + T_6 M^2 (m^2 \gamma_5 C)_{\sigma\theta} (\not{\partial} H)$$

$$+ T_7 M^2 (\gamma_5 C)_{\sigma\theta} (\gamma^\mu H) + T_6 M^2 (m^2 \gamma_5 C)_{\sigma\theta} (\not{\partial} H)$$

$$+ T_7 M^2 (\gamma_5 C)_{\sigma\theta} (\gamma^\mu H) + T_6 M^2 (m^2 \gamma_5 C)_{\sigma\theta} (\not{\partial} H)$$

$$+ T_7 M^2 (\gamma_5 C)_{\sigma\theta} (\gamma^\mu H) + T_6 M^2 (m^2 \gamma_5 C)_{\sigma\theta} (\not{\partial} H)$$

$$+ T_7 M^2 (\gamma_5 C)_{\sigma\theta} (\gamma^\mu H) + T_6 M^2 (m^2 \gamma_5 C)_{\sigma\theta} (\not{\partial} H)$$

where $H_{\phi}$ is the spinor of the baryon, $M$ is the mass of the baryon, $C$ is the charge conjugation matrix, and $\sigma_{\mu\nu} = \frac{i}{2} [\gamma_{\mu}, \gamma_{\nu}]$. The “calligraphic” expressions can be expressed in terms of functions of the definite twist:

$$S_1 = S_1, 2px S_2 = S_1 - S_2,$$

$$P_1 = P_1, 2px P_2 = P_1 - P_2,$$

$$V_1 = V_1, 2px V_2 = V_1 - V_2 - V_3,$$

$$2V_3 = V_5, 4px V_4 = -V_1 + V_2 + V_3 + V_4 + V_5,$$

$$4px V_6 = V_4 - V_3, 4px^2 V_7 = -V_1 + V_2 + V_3 + V_4 + V_5 - V_6,$$

$$A_1 = A_1, 2px A_2 = -A_1 + A_2 - A_3,$$

$$2A_3 = A_3, 4px A_4 = -2A_1 - A_3 - A_4 + 2A_5,$$

$$4px A_5 = A_3 - A_4, 4px^2 A_6 = A_1 - A_2 + A_3 + A_4 - A_5 + A_6,$$

$$T_1 = T_1, 2px T_2 = T_1 + T_2 - 2T_3,$$

$$2T_3 = T_7, 2px T_4 = T_1 - T_2 - 2T_7,$$

$$2px T_5 = -T_1 + T_5 + 2T_8, 4px^2 T_6 = 2T_2 - 2T_3 - 2T_4 + 2T_5 + 2T_7 + 2T_8,$$

$$4px T_7 = T_7 - T_8, 4px^2 T_8 = -T_1 + T_2 + T_3 - T_6 + 2T_7 + 2T_8.$$
where $S_i, P_i, V_i, A_i$ and $T_i$ are scalar, pseudoscalar, vector, axialvector and tensor DAs, respectively. The expansion of the matrix element is basically an expansion in increasing twists of the DAs. The twist of a DA is defined as the dimension minus the spin of the operators contributing to a given DA. The DAs $A_1, T_1$ and $V_1$ have twist three, $V_2, V_3, A_2, A_3, T_2, T_3, T_7, S_1$ and $P_1$ have twist 4, $S_2, P_2, V_4, V_5, A_4, A_5, T_4, T_5$ and $T_8$ are of twist 5, and $V_6, A_6$ and $T_6$ have twist 6. The DAs $F = S_i, P_i, V_i, A_i, T_i$ can be written as

$$F(a_i px) = \int dx_1 dx_2 dx_3 \delta(x_1 + x_2 + x_3 - 1) \exp\left(-ipx \sum_i x_i a_i\right) F(x_i)$$

where $x_i$ with $i = 1, 2, 3$ corresponds to longitudinal momentum fractions carried by the quarks inside the baryon. The explicit form of the hyperon DAs ($S_i, P_i, V_i, A_i, T_i$) is studied in detail in Refs. [33, 34, 35, 36]. The DAs of the octet hyperons up to twist-6 are investigated the basis of the QCD conformal partial wave expansion approach. For the $\Sigma$ and $\Lambda$ baryons calculations are carried out to the next-to-leading order [34, 35, 36] and for the $\Xi$ baryon this calculation is carried out to the leading order of conformal spin accuracy [33]. The nonperturbative parameters (e.g., shape parameters of DAs) are obtained using the QCD sum rules [33, 34, 35, 36].

Note that the hadronic representation, Eq. (6), and the QCD representation are obtained in different kinematical regions. The two expression can be related to each other by using the spectral representation of the correlation functions. Quite generally, the coefficients of various structures in the correlation function can be written as:

$$\Pi(p^2, p'^2; Q^2) = \int_0^\infty ds_1 ds_2 \rho(s_1, s_2; Q^2) + \text{polynomials in } p^2 \text{ or } p'^2$$

where $\rho$ is called the spectral density. The spectral density can be calculated both using the hadronic representation of the correlation function, $\rho^H$, or using the QCD representation, $\rho^{QCD}$. Once $\rho$ is obtained, the spectral representation allows one to evaluate the correlation function in all kinematical regions for $p^2$ and $p'^2$.

The LCSR are obtained by matching the expression of the correlation function in terms of QCD parameters to its expression in terms of the hadronic properties, using their spectral representation. In order to do this, we choose the structures proportional to structures $\bar{q}\sigma_{\mu\nu}, q_{\mu}\gamma_{\nu} - \gamma_{\nu} q_{\mu}$ and $q_{\mu} p_\rho q$ for the form factors $H_T, E_T$ and $\tilde{H}_T$, respectively. Choosing the coefficients of these structures and applying the Borel transformation with respect to the variable $p^2 = (p + q)^2$ we obtain tensor form factors for $\Sigma - \Sigma$ transition,
\[ H_T(q^2) \frac{\lambda^\Sigma}{M^2_H - p^2} = \int_0^1 dx_2 \frac{M_H}{(q - px_2)^2} \int_0^{1-x_2} dx_1 \left[ P_1 + T_1 - T_2 + T_7 \right] (x_1, x_2, 1 - x_1 - x_2) \]
\[ -2 \int_0^1 \frac{\alpha}{(q - p\beta)^4} \int_0^\beta \frac{dx_2}{\alpha} \int_0^{1-x_2} dx_1 \left[ T_1 - T_2 - T_5 + T_6 - 2T_7 - 2T_8 \right] (x_1, x_2, 1 - x_1 - x_2) \]

\[ E_T(q^2) \frac{\lambda^\Sigma}{M^2_H - p^2} = 2 \int_0^1 dx_2 \frac{M_H}{(q - px_2)^2} \int_0^{1-x_2} dx_1 \left[ - S_1 + P_1 + 2T_1 - T_3 - T_7 \right] (x_1, x_2, 1 - x_1 - x_2) \]
\[ -2 \int_0^1 \frac{\alpha}{(q - p\beta)^4} \int_0^\beta \frac{dx_2}{\alpha} \int_0^{1-x_2} dx_1 \left[ T_1 - T_2 - T_5 + T_6 - 2T_7 - 2T_8 \right] (x_1, x_2, 1 - x_1 - x_2) \]

\[ \tilde{H}_T(q^2) \frac{\lambda^\Sigma}{M^2_H - p^2} = -4M^3_H \int_0^1 \frac{1 - \alpha}{(q - p\beta)^4} \int_0^1 dx_2 \int_0^{1-x_2} dx_1 \left[ T_1 - T_3 - T_7 \right] (x_1, x_2, 1 - x_1 - x_2) \] (13)
for \( \Xi - \Xi \) transition,

\[ H_T(q^2) \frac{\lambda^\Xi}{m^2_{\Xi} - p^2} = \int_0^1 dx_2 \frac{M_{\Xi}}{(q - px_2)^2} \int_0^{1-x_2} dx_1 \left[ - V_1 - V_3 + A_1 - A_3 \right] (x_1, x_2, 1 - x_1 - x_2) \]
\[ +2 \int_0^1 \frac{\alpha}{(q - p\beta)^4} \int_0^\beta \frac{dx_2}{\alpha} \int_0^{1-x_2} dx_1 \left[ V_1 - V_2 - V_3 - V_4 - V_5 + V_6 - A_1 + A_2 \right. \]
\[ -A_3 - A_4 + A_5 - A_6 \left] (x_1, x_2, 1 - x_1 - x_2) \right) \]

\[ E_T(q^2) \frac{\lambda^\Xi}{m^2_{\Xi} - p^2} = 4M^3_{\Xi} \int_0^1 dx_2 \frac{1 - x_2}{(q - px_2)^2} \int_0^{1-x_2} dx_1 \left[ A_1 - A_2 - V_1 + V_2 \right] (x_1, x_2, 1 - x_1 - x_2) \]
\[ +2 \int_0^1 \frac{\alpha}{(q - p\beta)^4} \int_0^\beta \frac{dx_2}{\alpha} \int_0^{1-x_2} dx_1 \left[ V_1 - V_2 - V_3 - V_4 - V_5 + V_6 - A_1 \right. \]
\[ +A_2 - A_3 - A_4 + A_5 - A_6 \left] (x_1, x_2, 1 - x_1 - x_2) \right) \]

\[ \tilde{H}_T(q^2) \frac{\lambda^\Xi}{m^2_{\Xi} - p^2} = 4M^3_{\Xi} \int_0^1 \frac{1 - \alpha}{(q - p\beta)^4} \int_0^1 dx_2 \int_0^{1-x_2} dx_1 \left[ V_1 - V_2 - V_3 - A_1 + A_2 - A_3 \right] \]
\[ (x_1, x_2, 1 - x_1 - x_2) \] (14)

In order to eliminate the subtraction terms in the spectral representation of the correlation function, we perform to the Borel transformation. After the transformation, contributions coming from excited and continuum states are also exponentially suppressed. Clearly, the Borel transformation and the subtraction of higher states are achieved by using the following substitution rules (see e.g. [32, 37]):

\[ \int dx \frac{\rho(x)}{(q - xp)^2} \rightarrow - \int_{x_0}^1 \frac{dx}{x} \rho(x) e^{-s(x)/M^2}, \]
\[ \int dx \frac{\rho(x)}{(q - xp)^2} \rightarrow \frac{1}{M^2} \int_{x_0}^1 \frac{dx}{x^2} \rho(x) e^{-s(x)/M^2} + \frac{\rho(x_0)}{Q^2 + x_0 m^2_H} e^{-s_0/M^2}, \] (15)

where,
\[ s(x) = (1 - x)m^2_H + \frac{1 - x}{x} Q^2, \] (16)
The predictions for the form factors depend on two auxiliary parameters: the squared of Borel mass $M^2$, and the continuum threshold $s_0$. The continuum threshold signals the scale at which the excited states and continuum start to contribute to the correlation function. Hence it is expected that $s_0 \simeq (m_Σ + 0.3)^2 GeV^2 = 2.25 GeV^2$, $s_0 \simeq (m_Λ + 0.3)^2 GeV^2 \simeq 1.99 GeV^2$ and $s_0 \simeq (m_Ξ + 0.3)^2 GeV^2 = 2.56 GeV^2$. One approach to determine the continuum threshold and the working region of the Borel parameter $M^2$ is to plot the dependence of the predictions on $M^2$ for a range of values of the continuum threshold and determine the values of $s_0$ for which there is a stable region with respect to variations of the Borel parameter $M^2$. For this reason, in Figs.(1)-(3), we plot the dependence of the form factors on $M^2$ for fixed values of $Q^2$ and various values of $s_0$ in the region $2 GeV^2 \leq s_0 \leq 4 GeV^2$. As can be seen from figures (in the case of old DAs and new DAs), for $s_0 = 2.5 \pm 0.5 GeV^2$, the results are practically independent of the value of $M^2$ for the shown range. The uncertainty due to variations of $s_0$ in this range is much larger than the uncertainty due to variations with respect to $M^2$. Note that the determined range of $s_0$ is in the range that one would expect from the physical interpretation of $s_0$.

In Figs. (4)-(6), we present the $Q^2$ dependence of the form factors obtained using two DAs. Our observations can be summarized as follows:

### Table 1: The values of the parameters are used in the DAs of Σ, Λ and Ξ.

|   | Σ                                  | Λ                                  | Ξ                                  |
|---|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| $f$ | $(9.4 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-3}$ GeV$^2$ | $(6.0 \pm 0.3) \times 10^{-3}$ GeV$^2$ | $(9.9 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-3}$ GeV$^2$ |
| $\lambda_1$ | $(-2.5 \pm 0.1) \times 10^{-2}$ GeV$^2$ | $(1.0 \pm 0.3) \times 10^{-2}$ GeV$^2$ | $(-2.8 \pm 0.1) \times 10^{-2}$ GeV$^2$ |
| $\lambda_2$ | $(4.4 \pm 0.1) \times 10^{-2}$ GeV$^2$ | $(0.83 \pm 0.05) \times 10^{-2}$ GeV$^2$ | $(5.2 \pm 0.2) \times 10^{-2}$ GeV$^2$ |
| $\lambda_3$ | $(2.0 \pm 0.1) \times 10^{-2}$ GeV$^2$ | $(0.83 \pm 0.05) \times 10^{-2}$ GeV$^2$ | $(0.17 \pm 0.1) \times 10^{-2}$ GeV$^2$ |
| $V_1^u$ | $0.39 \pm 0.01$                       | $0.31 \pm 0.01$                       | $0.40 \pm 0.01$                       |
| $A_1^u$ | $0.29 \pm 0.12$                       | $0.032 \pm 0.006$                      | $0.034 \pm 0.006$                      |
| $f_1^ρ$ | $-0.15 \pm 0.12$                      | $0.23 \pm 0.01$                       | $-0.23 \pm 0.03$                      |
| $f_2^ρ$ | $0.9 \pm 2.5$                        | $0.43 \pm 0.07$                       | $0.43 \pm 0.07$                       |
| $f_3^ρ$ | $1.6 \pm 0.2$                        | $1.07 \pm 0.12$                       | $1.07 \pm 0.12$                       |
| $f_1^u$ | $-0.11 \pm 0.01$                     | $0.004 \pm 0.0004$                    | $0.004 \pm 0.0004$                    |
| $P_2^a$ | $0.004 \pm 0.0004$                   | $0.004 \pm 0.0004$                    | $0.004 \pm 0.0004$                    |
| $S_1^a$ | $-0.0014 \pm 0.0002$                | $-0.0014 \pm 0.0002$                | $-0.0014 \pm 0.0002$                |

$M$ is the Borel mass and $x_0$ is the solution of the quadratic equation for $s = s_0$:

$$x_0 = \frac{\sqrt{(Q^2 + s_0 - m_H^2)^2 + 4m_H^2Q^2} - (Q^2 + s_0 - m_H^2)}{2m_H^2},$$

(17)

where $s_0$ is the continuum threshold.

### 3 Results and Discussion

In this section, we present the numerical results of the octet-octet hyperon isovector tensor transition form factors. In this work, we use the hyperon DAs which depend on various nonperturbative parameters such as, $f_Σ$, $f_Ξ$ and $f_Λ$. The DAs of the Σ, Ξ and Λ baryons have been calculated by employing the QCD sum rules without higher-order terms in Ref. [33][34]. Then the study including higher-order corrections have been done for Σ and Λ baryon in Ref. [35][36]. In Table 1, we present the values of the input parameters using the DAs of Σ, Ξ and Λ baryons. For the numerical analysis, we use the values of the hyperon masses as follow: $M_Σ = 1.11 GeV$, $M_Ξ = 1.2 GeV$, $M_Λ = 1.3 GeV$ [38]. Besides, we need also specify the values of the residues of Σ, Ξ and Λ baryons. The residues can be determined from the mass sum rules as $\lambda_Σ = 0.039 GeV^{-3}$ and $\lambda_Ξ = 0.040 GeV^{-3}$ for Σ and Ξ, respectively [39].

In the traditional analysis of sum rules, the spectral density of the higher states and the continuum are parameterized using quark hadron duality. In this approach, the spectral density corresponding to the contributions of the higher states and continuum is parameterized as

$$\rho^h(s) = \rho^{OCD}(s)\theta(s - s_0).$$

The predictions for the form factors depend on two auxiliary parameters: the squared of Borel mass $M^2$, and the continuum threshold $s_0$. The continuum threshold signals the scale at which, the excited states and continuum start to contribute to the correlation function. Hence it is expected that $s_0 \simeq (m_Σ + 0.3)^2 GeV^2 = 2.25 GeV^2$, $s_0 \simeq (m_Λ + 0.3)^2 GeV^2 \simeq 1.99 GeV^2$ and $s_0 \simeq (m_Ξ + 0.3)^2 GeV^2 = 2.56 GeV^2$. One approach to determine the continuum threshold and the working region of the Borel parameter $M^2$ is to plot the dependence of the predictions on $M^2$ for a range of values of the continuum threshold and determine the values of $s_0$ for which there is a stable region with respect to variations of the Borel parameter $M^2$. For this reason, in Figs.(1)-(3), we plot the dependence of the form factors on $M^2$ for fixed values of $Q^2$ and various values of $s_0$ in the region $2 GeV^2 \leq s_0 \leq 4 GeV^2$. As can be seen from figures (in the case of old DAs and new DAs), for $s_0 = 2.5 \pm 0.5 GeV^2$, the results are practically independent of the value of $M^2$ for the shown range. The uncertainty due to variations of $s_0$ in this range is much larger than the uncertainty due to variations with respect to $M^2$. Note that the determined range of $s_0$ is in the range that one would expect from the physical interpretation of $s_0$.

In Figs. (4)-(6), we present the $Q^2$ dependence of the form factors obtained using two DAs. Our observations can be summarized as follows:
1. $\Sigma - \Sigma$ case:

We show the behaviour of the form factors that agree well with our expectations. The values of the tensor form factors decrease quickly as we increase the momentum transfer. In Fig. (4-a, c and e) are represent the results of the new DAs and Fig. (4-b, d and f) are represent the results of old DAs. In both case, the $Q^2$ dependence of the form factors are similar but the values of the new DAs results are larger than old DAs results. We see that higher-order terms gives dominant contribution.

2. $\Sigma - \Lambda$ case:

In Fig. (5-a, c and e) are represent the results of the new DAs and Fig. (5-b, d and f) are represent the results of old DAs. In the case of old DAs, the results of the form factors $F_{\Sigma \Lambda}$ in negative region but new DAs result change the behaviour this form factor. In the case of $H_{\Sigma \Lambda}^T$, the $Q^2$ dependence of the form factor is similar behaviour and stable but the values of the new DAs results are larger than old one. In the case of old DAs, the results of the form factor $\tilde{H}_{\Sigma \Lambda}^T$ in positive region but new DAs result change the behaviour this form factor.

3. $\Xi - \Xi$ case

The higher-order terms of DAs of $\Xi$ baryon have not yet been calculated. So there is only one DAs result showing the behavior of the form factors that agree well with our expectations. The values of the tensor form factors decrease quickly as we increase the momentum transfers.

Unlike other form factors the tensor form factors are renormalization-scale dependent [18]. The numerical values of DAs are used at the scale $\mu^2 = 1 \text{ GeV}^2$ in Ref. [40], therefore, in present work our predictions correspond to this scale. In order to compare our results, we use the following expressions [41]:

$$F(\mu^2) = \left( \frac{\alpha_s(\mu^2)}{\alpha_s(\mu_i^2)} \right)^{\Delta - 1} \left[ 1 - \frac{337}{468\pi} (\alpha_s(\mu_i^2) - \alpha_s(\mu^2)) \right] F(\mu_i^2),$$

where $n_f$ is the number of flavors, $\mu_i$ is the initial renormalization scale and

$$\alpha_s(\mu^2) = \frac{4\pi}{9\ln(\mu^2/\Lambda^2)} \left[ 1 - \frac{64\ln(\ln(\mu^2/\Lambda^2))}{81\ln(\mu^2/\Lambda^2)} \right].$$

The values of the form factors at zero momentum transfer, $Q^2 = 0$, defines the corresponding charges. However, in our case, the working region of the LCSR cannot extrapolate to the $Q^2 = 0$ directly. LCSR results more reliable at $Q^2 > 1 \text{ GeV}^2$. The tensor form factor is parameterized in terms of an exponential form

$$F_T(Q^2) = F_T(0) \exp[-Q^2/m_T^2]$$

which makes a reasonable description of data with a two-parameter fit. Our predictions are presented in Table 2. As seen in Table 2, predictions of old DAs are more reliable and reasonable than the new DA result. The results of new DAs are very large and quite suspicious. When one takes the nonrelativistic limit, the isovector tensor charge becomes identical with the isovector axial vector charge [4], which is of order similar to the hyperon isovector axial vector charges $g_{\Lambda}^A \simeq 1, g_{\Sigma}^A \simeq 0.3$ [42, 43]. Therefore obtained by using new DAs results unreliable. Some of the results obtained using the old DAs are consistent but some of them are not consistent with this prediction.

We give the results for the $H_T^\Sigma = 1.10$ and $H_T^\Xi = -0.30$ obtained from chiral quark soliton model [12], which have been calculated at a renormalization scale $\mu = 0.36 \text{ GeV}^2$. In order to compare our results, we use the Eq. (16) to the relate this results of form factors to those at $\mu = 1 \text{ GeV}^2$, and we obtained these result; $H_T^\Sigma(0) = 1.00$ and $H_T^\Xi(0) = -0.27$. As seen from Table 2, our results are different from the results obtained in the chiral quark soliton model.

In conclusion, we have evaluated the isovector tensor form factors of octet-octet hyperons by applying the LCSR. These form factors are related to the transverse polarization which gives an important piece of information on the internal structure of baryons (e.g. the transverse spin structure of the baryons). The $Q^2$ dependency of form factors are obtained using the old and new DA results. The new DA results shows that our predictions of the form factors are very large. Our predictions on the isovector tensor charges can be summarized in Table 2. The old DA results seems to be more reliable. Our results on these form factors are compared with the chiral quark soliton model predictions. The chiral quark soliton model results only exist for the $H_T$ form factor so we cannot compare results of other form factors.
Table 2: The values of exponential fit parameters, $F_T(0)$ and $m_T$ for tensor form factor obtained from the old and new DAs analysis of sum rules.
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Figure 1: The dependence of the form factors; on the Borel parameter squared \( M^2 \) for the values of the continuum threshold \( s_0 = 2 \text{ GeV}^2 \), \( s_0 = 2.5 \text{ GeV}^2 \), \( s_0 = 3 \text{ GeV}^2 \), \( s_0 = 3.5 \text{ GeV}^2 \) and \( s_0 = 4 \text{ GeV}^2 \) and \( Q^2 = 2 \text{ GeV}^2 \), (a) and (b) for \( E^E \) tensor form factor, (c) and (d) for \( H^E \) tensor form factor, (e) and (f) for \( H^H \) tensor form factor. In here (a), (c) and (e) are represent results of new DAs and (b), (d) and (f) are represent result of old DAs.
Figure 2: The dependence of the form factors; on the Borel parameter squared $M^2$ for the values of the continuum threshold $s_0 = 2 \text{ GeV}^2$, $s_0 = 2.5 \text{ GeV}^2$, $s_0 = 3 \text{ GeV}^2$, $s_0 = 3.5 \text{ GeV}^2$ and $s_0 = 4 \text{ GeV}^2$ and $Q^2 = 2 \text{ GeV}^2$, (a) and (b) for $E_{T}^{1A}$ tensor form factor, (c) and (d) for $H_{T}^{1A}$ tensor form factor, (e) and (f) for $H_{T}^{2A}$ tensor form factor. In here (a), (c) and (e) are represent results of new DAs and (b), (d) and (f) are represent result of old DAs.
Figure 3: The dependence of the form factors; on the Borel parameter squared $M^2$ for the values of the continuum threshold $s_0 = 2 \text{ GeV}^2$, $s_0 = 2.5 \text{ GeV}^2$, $s_0 = 3 \text{ GeV}^2$, $s_0 = 3.5 \text{ GeV}^2$ and $s_0 = 4 \text{ GeV}^2$ and $Q^2 = 2 \text{ GeV}^2$, (a) for $E^\Xi_T$ tensor form factor, (b) for $H^\Xi_T$ tensor form factor, (c) for $\tilde{H}^\Xi_T$ tensor form factor.
Figure 4: The dependence of the form factors on the values of the continuum threshold $s_0 = 2 GeV^2$, $s_0 = 2.5 GeV^2$, $s_0 = 3 GeV^2$ and $M^2 = 3 GeV^2$, (a) and (b) for $E_T^T$ tensor form factor, (c) and (d) for $H_T^T$ tensor form factor, (e) and (f) for $\tilde{H}_T^T$ tensor form factor. In here (a), (c) and (e) are represent results of new DAs and (b), (d) and (f) are represent result of old DAs.
Figure 5: The dependence of the form factors on the values of the continuum threshold $s_0 = 2 \text{ GeV}^2$, $s_0 = 2.5 \text{ GeV}^2$, $s_0 = 3 \text{ GeV}^2$ and $M^2 = 3 \text{ GeV}^2$, (a) and (b) for $E_T^{DA}$ form factor, (c) and (d) for $H_T^{DA}$ tensor form factor, (e) and (f) for $\tilde{H}_T^{DA}$ tensor form factor. In here (a), (c) and (e) are represent results of new DAs and (b), (d) and (f) are represent result of old DAs.
Figure 6: The dependence of the form factors on the values of the continuum threshold \( s_0 = 2 \text{ GeV}^2 \), \( s_0 = 2.5 \text{ GeV}^2 \), \( s_0 = 3 \text{ GeV}^2 \) and \( M^2 = 3 \text{ GeV}^2 \), (a) for \( E^\Xi_T \) tensor form factor, (b) for \( H^\Xi_T \) tensor form factor, (c) for \( \tilde{H}^\Xi_T \) tensor form factor.