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SUMMARY

Patients suffering from Coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) can develop neurological sequelae, such as headache and neuroinflammatory or cerebrovascular disease. These conditions—termed here as Neuro-COVID—are more frequent in patients with severe COVID-19. To understand the etiology of these neurological sequelae, we utilized single-cell sequencing and examined the immune cell profiles from the cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) of Neuro-COVID patients compared with patients with non-inflammatory and autoimmune neurological diseases or with viral encephalitis. The CSF of Neuro-COVID patients exhibited an expansion of dedifferentiated monocytes and of exhausted CD4+ T cells. Neuro-COVID CSF leukocytes featured an enriched interferon signature; however, this was less pronounced than in viral encephalitis. Repertoire analysis revealed broad clonal T cell expansion and curtailed interferon response in severe compared with mild Neuro-COVID patients. Collectively, our findings document the CSF immune compartment in Neuro-COVID patients and suggest compromised antiviral responses in this setting.

INTRODUCTION

Patients suffering from coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19), the global pandemic caused by severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2), can develop acute or long-term neurological sequelae, henceforth collectively termed “Neuro-COVID” (Ellul et al., 2020). Diverse neurological signs and symptoms have been associated with COVID-19, ranging from mild symptoms (e.g., anosmia, ageusia, headache, dizziness) to severe complications, such as seizures, encephalitis, ischemic stroke, and intracerebral hemorrhage (Mao et al., 2020; Romero-Sánchez et al., 2020; Varatharaj et al., 2020). Consensus criteria for the diagnosis and classification of the severity of Neuro-COVID have been proposed (Fotuhi et al., 2020; Paterson et al., 2020). The prevalence of Neuro-COVID varies considerably between individual studies ranging from 4.1% (Xiong et al., 2020) to 7.4% (Romero-Sánchez et al., 2020) and even 84% in COVID-19 with acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS) (Helms et al., 2020). The heterogeneity might be due to variable definitions of Neuro-COVID (Pezzini and Padovani, 2020) or selection bias, as most evidence stems from retrospective studies of hospitalized patients (Helms et al., 2020; Mao et al., 2020; Romero-Sánchez et al., 2020; Xiong et al., 2020). Most of the specific neurological signs and symptoms, with the exception of anosmia and ageusia, are more prevalent in severe COVID-19 infection and severely affected patients will be hospitalized more frequently. During infection with the new SARS-CoV-2, the prevalence of neurological manifestations ranges orders of magnitude higher than previous reports of infections with the related SARS-CoV (0.09%) and Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus (MERS-CoV) (0.36%) (Ellul et al., 2020). Neurological sequelae associated
Figure 1. Subtypes of Monocytic Cells Increased in Neuro-COVID in the CSF

(A) Scheme illustrating the study design; 33 out of 102 patients (32%) with COVID-19 infection had neurological manifestations (N-COVID). Cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) was collected from 12 of these patients for clinical reasons and CSF leukocytes from 8 patients were successfully analyzed by single-cell RNA sequencing (legend continued on next page)

Immunity 54, 164–175, January 12, 2021 165
with SARS-CoV-2 infection thus constitute an unexpectedly frequent health burden and the underlying mechanisms remain poorly defined.

Three potential mechanisms of Neuro-COVID have been proposed: (1) non-specific complications of systemic disease for example because of oxygen deprivation, sepsis, or hyperpyrexia; (2) direct viral damage to cells of the nervous system because of neurotropism of SARS-CoV-2; and (3) indirect damage to the nervous system because of an infection-triggered excessive and detrimental immune activation (Ellul et al., 2020). These suspected mechanisms are not mutually exclusive and might coexist in individual patients. In support of direct neuro-tropism, previous studies have shown that SARS-CoV-2 can enter the nervous system by crossing the neural-mucosal interface in olfactory mucosa by binding to ACE2 (Hoffmann et al., 2020; Zhou et al., 2020). The virus subsequently spreads along neuroanatomical structures and penetrates respiratory and cardiovascular control centers in the medulla oblongata (Meinhardt et al., 2020). SARS-CoV-2 was accordingly detected in the brains of 53% of brain autopsy specimens with enrichment in cranial nerves originating from the lower brainstem (Matschke et al., 2020). In support of detrimental immunity, the same study provided neuropathological evidence of microglia activation and in 79% of the analyzed patients infiltration of CD8+ T cells in the brain and meninges (Matschke et al., 2020). However, autopsy and brain biopsy materials are rarely available from patients and will naturally be restricted to very severely affected patients. Analyzing a more readily available biomaterial in Neuro-COVID is thus preferable.

Cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) forms a unique immune compartment that surrounds the central nervous system (CNS) and can be sampled from patients to facilitate the diagnosis and understanding of neurological diseases (Ransohoff and Engelhardt, 2012). The noncellular fraction of CSF represents mainly an ultrafiltrate of serum, while the composition of leukocytes in the CSF is tightly controlled and differs substantially from blood (Ransohoff and Engelhardt, 2012). For example, while CD4+ T cells are the most abundant cell type in CSF, myeloid and B cells are reduced compared with blood (Han et al., 2014; Kowarik et al., 2014). Applying modern technologies, such as single-cell transcriptomics to the CSF, multiplies the potential of the CSF to decipher the pathogenesis of neurological diseases (Meyer Zu Hörste et al., 2020) as previously exemplified in multiple sclerosis and Alzheimer’s disease (Gate et al., 2020; Ramesh et al., 2020; Schafflick et al., 2020).

Previous studies have analyzed CSF in Neuro-COVID using established techniques, such as cell counting and protein characterization. Most studies identified a normal cell count, except when the presentation was encephalitis, and normal or mildly elevated protein (Espíndola et al., 2020), yet a detailed leukocyte characterization in CSF is lacking. Notably, SARS-CoV-2 RNA was undetectable in the CSF in nearly all studies, and its detection was equally rare in pulmonary COVID-19 (2 out of 578 patients, see Destrass et al., 2020) and in Neuro-COVID (0 out of 30 patients, see Neumann et al., 2020; 0 out of 31, see Bellon et al., 2020; 1 out of 37, see Kremer et al., 2020). This suggests indirect mechanisms primarily causing neurological sequelae of COVID-19 patients. However, these studies provide a low dimensional characterization of CSF in Neuro-COVID and lack unbiased techniques.

In contrast, high-resolution data are available from the blood and bronchoalveolar fluid (BALF) in pulmonary COVID-19. Recent single-cell RNA sequencing (scRNA-seq) studies have revealed complex immune dysregulation in the blood with a severity-specific pattern of myeloid cells and clonally expanded CD8+ T cells in pulmonary COVID-19 (Schulte-Schrepping et al., 2020; Zhu et al., 2020). Whether similar or distinct changes occur in the CSF in Neuro-COVID, remains unknown.

Here, we therefore constructed an unbiased single-cell transcriptional atlas of CSF leukocytes in patients with Neuro-COVID and infectious, autoimmune, and non-inflammatory controls. We identified an increase of dedifferentiated monocytes and exhausted T cells in the CSF, which was specific to Neuro-COVID. An interferon (IFN) response in Neuro-COVID was present but attenuated compared with viral encephalitis. Severe Neuro-COVID exhibited a broad clonal T cell expansion and a decreased IFN response. Thus, leukocytes exhibit disease-specific signs of local immune overactivation, despite the absence of SARS-CoV-2 in the CSF. We thereby provide further evidence for immune-mediated mechanisms in Neuro-COVID.

RESULTS

Single-Cell Atlas of Cerebrospinal Fluid Leukocytes in Neuro-COVID Compared with Controls Including Viral Encephalitis

We successfully collected CSF leukocytes from eight Neuro-COVID patients for scRNA-seq analysis (see STAR Methods) (Figure 1A; Table S1). Two of these patients had severe acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS), necessitating mechanical ventilation, while six had mild-to-moderate pulmonary COVID-19 manifestation (Table S1). Three patients (two of them with ARDS) had severe neurological signs/symptoms, including seizures, flaccid paresis, or stroke. Five patients showed mild neurological manifestations, including headache, dizziness, anosmia/ageusia, and cognitive impairment. In line with previous reports (Bellon et al., 2020; Destrass et al., 2020; Espíndola et al., 2020; Neumann et al., 2020), we did not detect SARS-CoV-2 in the

(scRNA-seq) and single-cell T cell receptor (TCR) sequencing. CSF leukocytes from patients with viral encephalitis (VE), idiopathic intracranial hypertension (IIH), and relapsing-remitting multiple sclerosis (MS) were used as controls.

(B) UMAP plot showing 16 color-coded cell clusters of 80,919 raw single-cell transcriptomes from CSF cells from N-COVID (n = 8), IIH (n = 9), MS (n = 9), and VE (n = 5) patients.

(C) Marker genes of cell clusters are shown. Color encodes average gene expression; dot size represents percentage of cells expressing the gene.

(D and E) Proportions of cells split by diagnosis (D) or individual patient (E).

(F–H) Changes of cluster abundances in N-COVID (n = 8) versus IIH (n = 9) (F), VE (n = 5) versus IIH (n = 9) (G), and N-COVID (n = 8) versus VE (n = 5) (H). Logarithmic fold change of cluster abundance is plotted against negative logarithmic p value (two-sided Wilcoxon’s rank-sum test). The horizontal dashed line represents the significance threshold (p = 0.05).

Abbreviations: CD4, CD4+ T cells; Treg, regulatory T cells; CD8, CD8+ T cells; NK, NK cells; plasma, plasma cells; naïveBc, naïve B cells; mDC, myeloid dendritic cells; pDC, plasmacytoid dendritic cells; matDC, mature dendritic cells; granulo, granulocytes; mono, monocytes.
CSF of any patient using PCR. Additionally, we did not identify any cells expressing SARS-CoV-2 RNA with single-cell transcriptomics (see below and STAR Methods). We then collected previously published scRNA-seq data from controls with idiopathic intracranial hypertension (IIH) (n = 4), a non-inflammatory condition characterized by excess CSF, and with the brain autoimmune disease multiple sclerosis (MS) (n = 4) (Schafflick et al., 2020). To improve statistical power, we recruited additional patients with IIH (n = 5), active MS (n = 5), and viral encephalitis (VE) (n = 5) and performed scRNA-seq of CSF cells (Figure 1A; Table S1).

Basic CSF analysis (e.g., cell numbers, protein) yielded an increase of CSF leukocyte counts and protein concentration in VE compared with all other patients (Figure S1A). Blood-CSF-barrier disruption (BCBD) was more prevalent in Neuro-COVID and VE patients than in MS and IIH. CSF of MS patients exhibited intrathecal immunoglobulin synthesis, as expected (Filippi et al., 2018) (Figure S1A). Even though basic CSF parameters thus showed disease-consistent changes, they failed to sufficiently explain neurological involvement in COVID-19 infection.

We therefore merged all available scRNA-seq data of Neuro-COVID and control patients (IIH, MS, VE), which then encompassed 80,919 total single-cell transcriptomes with 2,610 ± 504 SEM average cells per sample and 1,027 ± 85 SEM median genes detected per cell (Table S1). This dataset considerably exceeds existing scRNA-seq studies from the CSF (Esaolova et al., 2020; Schafflick et al., 2020). We performed unbiased cell clustering (Figure 1B) and annotated the resulting 16 clusters based on the expression of marker genes (Figure 1C; Table S2).

Three T cell clusters (CD3E, TRAC, TRBC2) were classified into CD4+ T cells (CD4: IL7R), regulatory T cells (Treg: FOXP3, CTLA4, IL2RA) and CD8+ T cells clusters (CD8: CD8A) (Figure 1C). NK cells (NK: NKG7, GNL) clustered together with γδ T cells (TRDC) (Figure 1C), B lineage clusters separated into plasma cells (plasma: SDC1/CD138, CD38) and naive B cells (naiveBc: CD19, MS4A1/CD20, CD2) (Figure 1C). One cluster with inconclusive lineage assignment showed expression of canonical proliferation-associated cell cycle genes (cycling: CDC45, TPX2, TOP2A) (Figure 1C).

We identified four dendritic cell (DC) clusters that represented myeloid DC type I (mDC1: CLEC9A, XCR1, BATF3), type II (mDC2: CLEC10A, CD1C, FCER1A), plasmacytoid DC (pDC: CLEC4C, IRF8, TCF4/E2-2), and mature DC (matDC: IDO1, FSC1, LAMP3) (Figure 1C). Further myeloid-lineage clusters included granulocytes (granulo1/2: S100A8, S100A9) and three monocyte-like clusters (mono1–3) (Figure 1C). The mono1 cluster preferentially expressed CNS-border-associated macrophage genes (mono1: MRC1, LYVE1), and the mono3 cluster expressed known microglia-associated genes (mono3: SPP1, OLFML3, P2RY12, TEMEM119) (Figure 1C), which were previously observed in CSF-derived leukocytes (Esaolova et al., 2020). Non-manual cluster annotation supported our cluster labeling (Figure S1B). We thus replicated the known composition and phenotype of hematopoietic lineages in the CSF (Esaolova et al., 2020; Farhadian et al., 2018; Ramesh et al., 2020; Schafflick et al., 2020).

Neuro-COVID Cerebrospinal Fluid Features an Expansion of Dedifferentiated Monocytes

Next, we systematically compared the CSF cluster composition between disease conditions (Figures 1D–1H). To validate our approach, we first compared MS with IIH. In accordance with previous studies in the CSF (Han et al., 2014; Schafflick et al., 2020), MS patients featured a significant increase of naiveBc, plasma, and Treg clusters (Figure S1C). Next, we compared Neuro-COVID with controls. We found a significant expansion of the mono2 cluster in Neuro-COVID compared with IIH (Figure 1F). In contrast, in patients with VE, Treg, cycling, NK, and granulo2 clusters expanded, but the mono2 cluster did not change considerably (Figure 1G). When directly compared with VE, Neuro-COVID accordingly featured a specific increase of the mono2 cluster while Treg, granulo2, and plasma clusters were more abundant in VE (Figure 1H). Neuro-COVID thus induced a specific pattern of leukocyte changes in the CSF - preferentially affecting a subset of monocytes.

We aimed to better characterize the mono2 cluster. In contrast to the mono1 and mono3 clusters, mono2 exhibited reduction of pan-monocytic markers (CD14, LYZ, CD68) and markers of microglia and border-associated macrophages (e.g., CX3CR1, LYVE1, APOE, TREM2) (Figure 2A; Table S2). Mono2 also showed an overall lower expression of a previously identified microglia gene set (Sankowski et al., 2019) than the mono1 and mono3 clusters (Figure S1D; Table S2). We compared our monocytic clusters in CSF with previously published COVID-19-associated monocytes in blood (Schulte-Schrepping et al., 2020) but did not identify overlap with a specific subset (Figure 2B). Compared with all other clusters, mono2 featured enhanced antigen-presenting characteristics in pathway enrichment analysis (Figure 2C; Table S2). In addition, mono2 partially resembled developmental macrophages in comparison with data from the Mouse Cell Atlas (Han et al., 2018) (Figure 2D), potentially indicating dedifferentiation. Pseudotime analysis revealed trajectories within monocytic clusters likely originating from the mono2 cluster (Figure S1E). Neuro-COVID thus features monocytes in the CSF with a partially developmental and antigen-presenting phenotype.

Neuro-COVID Results in a Less Pronounced IFN-Signature than in Viral Encephalitis

Next, we sought to identify Neuro-COVID-specific transcriptional changes. In scRNA-seq, differential expression (DE) analysis tends to perform poorly in clusters with low cell numbers (Wang et al., 2019). We therefore merged clusters into three gross “meta-clusters” of T/NK (TcMeta), DC (DCMeta), and monocytes/granulocyte (monoMeta) cell types (Figure S2A). The B lineage and the cycling clusters were removed because of low total cell numbers. The monoMeta cluster increased expression of some IFN-driven transcripts (IFITM3, IFI27, IFNGR2, IL18), including CCAAT/enhancer-binding proteins (CEBP1, CEBBP) in Neuro-COVID compared with IIH controls (Table S3). The TcMeta cluster also increased expression of IFN-regulated transcripts (PRF1, XCL1, ETS1) when Neuro-COVID samples were compared with IIH controls (Table S3). In a direct DE analysis against VE, however, we found that multiple canonical IFN-signaling transcripts (e.g., STAT1, IFIR7, MX1, ISG15) were expressed considerably higher in VE than in Neuro-COVID across all meta-clusters (Figures 2E–2G; Table S3). In Neuro-COVID, CSF leukocytes might thus not elicit a Comparably strong antiviral response as in direct VE. The DCMeta and monoMeta clusters instead showed higher
expression of homeostatic microglia/border-associated macrophage transcripts (e.g., *LYVE1*, *APOE*, *CD1C*) in Neuro-COVID than in VE (Figures 2E and 2F). In the same comparison, the TcMeta cluster expressed some trafficking (e.g., *CCL4*) and immunosuppressive transcripts (e.g., *LGALS1*) (Figure 2G). We thus identified a Neuro-COVID-specific transcriptional response in CSF leukocytes clearly distinct from conditions with direct viral damage to the CNS.

**Exhausted CD4+ T cells Are Increased in the Cerebrospinal Fluid in Neuro-COVID**

T cell subsets are transcriptionally similar and tend to require targeted analytical approaches (Schafflick et al., 2020). We therefore subclustered all T cells (Figure 3A). This returned the following subclusters: two CD8+ T cell clusters (CD8_1, CD8_2; *CD8A*, *CCL5*), regulatory CD4+ T cells (Treg; *CTLA4*, *FOXP3*, *IL2RA*), naive CD4+ T cells (naive_CD4: *CCR7*, *SELL*), proliferating CD4+ T cells (proli_CD4: *CCT7*, *ribosomal genes*), and memory-like CD4+ T cells (memory_CD4: *IL7R*, *CD69*) (Figure 3B; Table S4). In addition, we identified one CD4+ T cell cluster with strong induction of IFN-stimulated genes (antiviral_CD4: e.g., *IFITM3*, *IFI44L*, *MX1*; Figure 3C; Table S4). A further cluster, which we named exhausted CD4+ T cells (exh_CD4), expressed a partial cytotoxicity signature (e.g., *GZMA*, *GZMK*, *CCL5*, *XCL1*; Figure 3D) (Patil et al., 2018) and canonical T cell exhaustion transcripts (exh_CD4: *PDCD1*, *ICOS*, *CTLA4*, *HAVCR2*/TIM3, *CD226*; Figure 3E). Additional previously published exhaustion signatures (Chihara et al., 2018; Singer et al., 2016; Tirosh et al., 2016) were also expressed by the exh_CD4 cluster (Figure 3F), including previously identified co-inhibitory genes modules (Chihara et al., 2018) transcriptionally controlled by c-MAF (*IL10*, *IL12RB1*, *PLEKHF1*, *ALCAM*) and Blimp1/PRDM1 (*PLEKHO2*, *MAP3K5*, *RUNX2*) (Figure S2B).

Human CD4+ T cells are poorly classified by clustering (Schafflick et al., 2020). We therefore applied cell set enrichment analysis (CSEA) to identify cluster-independent compositional changes (Schafflick et al., 2020). T cells resembling IL-10+/CD8+ and IL-10+/CD8+ cells in murine coronavirus encephalitis (Trandem et al., 2011), and resembling PD-1 expressing T cells, associated with T cell dysfunction (GSE26495), were enriched in Neuro-COVID compared to VE (Table S4).

When abundances between disease conditions were compared, Neuro-COVID patients featured a significant expansion of the exh_CD4 and reduction of the proli_CD4 cluster compared to IIH (Figures 3G and 3H). This indicates that
T cell-driven antiviral immunity in the CSF might be inefficient or "exhausted" in Neuro-COVID, although this could be confounded by the time of sampling (Table S1). When Neuro-COVID and VE were directly compared, the antiviral_CD4 and, to a lesser extent, Treg clusters characterized VE (Figure 3I). The relative lack of antiviral IFN-producing CD4+ T cells might suggest an ineffective immune response in the CSF of Neuro-COVID patients but could also reflect differences in study recruitment kinetics. Because VE features a strong antiviral immune response in the CSF, the antiviral response in Neuro-COVID might also be simply weaker, without necessarily being ineffective.

Severely Affected Neuro-COVID Patients Show Curtailed IFN Responses

Previous studies reported severity-dependent changes in the blood during pulmonary COVID-19 infection (Lee et al., 2020; Schulte-Schrepping et al., 2020; Silvin et al., 2020; Wilk et al., 2020). We tested for similar changes in Neuro-COVID by categorizing the Neuro-COVID patients based on their neurological signs and symptoms into mild/moderate (n = 5; mean age 69 years) and severe (n = 3; mean age 64 years) cases (Table S1). We then performed principal component analysis (PCA) of the Neuro-COVID cluster abundances, thus plotting their relative scRNA-seq cluster abundance into a two-dimensional space. In contrast to the general clusters (Figure S2C), the T cell subclusters clearly distinguished between mild and severe Neuro-COVID (Figure 4A). Abundance of the proli_CD4 cluster characterized severely affected Neuro-COVID, while memory_CD4 was a hallmark of mildly affected Neuro-COVID (Figure 4B). In a direct mild versus severe comparison, no cluster proportions passed our significance threshold (Figures S2D–S2G). Severe Neuro-COVID showed a non-significant trend toward increased naiveBc and proli_CD4 (Figures S2E and S2G). Most likely, our study was not sufficiently powered to achieve significance in this direct subgroup comparison. In accordance with an underpowered study size, the increase of BCBD in severe Neuro-COVID reported previously (Alexopoulos et al., 2020) also did not reach significance in our severe versus mild comparison (Figure S2H).

We next investigated severity-dependent transcriptional differences in the meta clusters. Expression of several IFN-associated transcripts (MX1, IFNGR1, IRF1) was reduced in the
TcMeta and monoMeta clusters in severe compared to mild Neuro-COVID (Table S4). Concurrently, the expression of several class I (HLA-B, HLA-C) and class II (HLA-DRB1, HLA-DQB1, HLA-DQA1) genes was reduced in TcMeta and monoMeta in severe disease (Table S4). In addition, expression of trafficking molecules (CXCR4, ITGB1, ITGA4) was decreased in TcMeta in severe versus mild Neuro-COVID (Table S4). Transcriptionally, we thus found signs of potentially impaired antiviral responses in the CSF of severely affected Neuro-COVID patients.

Severe Neuro-COVID Patients Exhibit a Broad Clonal T Cell Expansion

Finally, we reconstructed single-cell T cell receptor (TCR) sequence information from Neuro-COVID samples (see STAR Methods; Table S2). We successfully identified any α- or β-chain clonotype in 25% of all T cells. In mild Neuro-COVID, expanded clonotypes (defined as ≥ 2 identified clones) aggregated in the CD8_1 cluster, while severe Neuro-COVID showed expanded clones across multiple T cell clusters (Figures 4C and 4D). In accordance, bronchoalveolar CD8+ T cells had shown more pronounced transcriptional heterogeneity in severe infection than in moderate pulmonary COVID-19 (Liao et al., 2020). We then compared the complementarity-defining region (CDR) 3 amino acid (aa) sequences of healthy clones from a public repository (Corrie et al., 2018), non-expanded (non_exp), and expanded clones from Neuro-COVID with published CDR3 aa sequences from seven COVID-19 studies retrieved from the same repository (see STAR Methods).

Abbreviations: Dim, dimension; proli_CD4, proliferating CD4+ T cells; memory_CD4, memory-like CD4+ T cells; exh_CD4, exhausted CD4+ T cells; CD8, CD8+ T cells; CD4_Treg, regulatory CD4+ T cells; exp, expanded; non_exp, non-expanded.

Neuro-COVID-Associated Changes Are Partially Maintained when Controlling for Confounders

We systematically compared our observations in CSF with published scRNA-seq studies from leukocytes in the blood and BALF to facilitate putting our findings into context (Table S5).
Next, we aimed to control for potential biases in our study. After tentative removal of one Neuro-COVID patient with comorbid MS, core results, including the expansion of the mono2 and exh_CD4 clusters in Neuro-COVID, remained unchanged (Figures S3A–S3H)—arguing against a relevant distortion of our observations caused by this patient.

Cohorts in our study are imbalanced with regard to age and sex, because MS and IIH primarily manifest in young females (Filippì et al., 2018; Mollan et al., 2019), while severe COVID-19 infection and Neuro-COVID predominate in aged males (Karakgiannidis et al., 2020; Mao et al., 2020). To account for this, we performed regression analysis using age, sex, and diagnosis as predictors of cluster proportions. In the Neuro-COVID versus IIH comparison, the increase of the mono2 cluster did not reach significance (p = 0.12) (Table S5). In the same comparison, the increase of the exh_CD4 cluster remained significant (p = 0.029) when controlling for age and sex as covariates (Table S5). Neuro-COVID-associated changes in the CSF are thus partially maintained if controlled for confounders.

**DISCUSSION**

In this single-cell transcriptomics study, we identified specific immune alterations in the CSF of Neuro-COVID patients featuring an increase of dedifferentiated monocytes and exhausted T cells. We observed an IFN response in Neuro-COVID that was attenuated compared with viral encephalitis. Severe Neuro-COVID exhibited a broad clonal T cell expansion and curtailed IFN response compared with mild Neuro-COVID. Thus, our study potentially indicates a compromised antiviral response and points toward immune-mediated mechanisms contributing to Neuro-COVID. Our observations could in fact represent only the “tip-of-the-iceberg” of Neuro-COVID associated alterations because this study had limited statistical power.

In line with our findings in the CSF, several studies reported an increase of specific monocyte lineage cells in the blood of pulmonary COVID-19 patients which were classified as proinflammatory macrophages (Chua et al., 2020; Liao et al., 2020), HLA-DR<sup>+</sup> classical monocytes (Silvin et al., 2020), or CD14<sup>+</sup> classical monocytes (Fan et al., 2020; Lee et al., 2020). Similarly, T cell exhaustion has been described in the blood of COVID-19 patients, especially in severe cases (De Biasi et al., 2020; Zheng et al., 2020a, 2020b). Another hallmark of COVID-19 in blood was an IFN-dominated transcriptional response (Huang et al., 2020; Wilk et al., 2020; Zhang et al., 2020), which was reduced in severe COVID-19 (Blanco-Melo et al., 2020; Hadjadj et al., 2020) and compared with influenza virus (Lee et al., 2020). In line with those results, we observed attenuated IFN response in the CSF of severe Neuro-COVID and compared with viral encephalitis. Inversely, many studies reported an expansion of plasma cells and plasmablasts (Bernardes et al., 2020; Zhang et al., 2020; Zhu et al., 2020) that we did not observe in the CSF. Immune alterations may thus be partially shared between compartments and partially compartment specific. Considering the tightly controlled leukocyte composition in CSF differing from blood (Ransohoff and Engelhardt, 2012), synonymous alterations between compartments are notable. We speculate that Neuro-COVID does not simply represent a “side-effect” of pulmonary COVID-19 but displays CSF-specific mechanisms in support of immune-mediated mechanisms in Neuro-COVID.

Exhausted or dysfunctional T cells arise because of repetitive over-stimulation during chronic infection and in the tumor micro-milieu. They are characterized by loss of effector functions, high expression of co-inhibitory receptors, and a specific transcriptional program (Chihara et al., 2018; McLane et al., 2019; Singer et al., 2016; Tirosh et al., 2016). Checkpoint inhibitor treatment can “reinvigorate” exhausted T cells. This treatment has revolutionized the therapy of multiple malignancies (Robert, 2020) but has also been investigated in chronic viral infections (Wykes and Lewin, 2018), including infections with HIV (Kaufmann et al., 2007), influenza A virus (Rutigliano et al., 2014), and notably also in a mouse model of coronavirus (Karnam et al., 2012). Whether this could represent a therapeutic avenue in some instances of Neuro-COVID remains to be investigated, especially by confirming exhaustion in functional assays and understanding immune mechanisms in early versus late stages of Neuro-COVID.

Our study also articulates hypotheses to be investigated in future clinical studies. Because detection of SARS-CoV-2 RNA in the CSF is extremely rare (Neumann et al., 2020; Bellon et al., 2020; Kremer et al., 2020) and the diagnosis of Neuro-COVID often relies on subjective symptoms, one could envision flow cytometric detection of a specific immune profile, e.g., de-differentiated monocytes and exhausted T cells, in the CSF to substantiate and standardize the definition of Neuro-COVID. Using CSF analysis for the diagnosis of Neuro-COVID would first require testing CSF from patients with COVID-19 infectious without neurological involvement in the future to define the specificity of our observations. Our findings could also gain predictive potential. It could be tested whether CSF analysis at the onset of Neuro-COVID can predict outcome or the severity of incipient Neuro-COVID. Studies with longitudinal clinical follow-up will be required to address this question. Additionally, the effects of immune responses may be stage-specific in Neuro-COVID as observed in the multi-stage kinetics in pulmonary COVID-19 (Mann et al., 2020; Tian et al., 2020).

A previous unpublished study used scRNA-seq to investigate CSF leukocytes in Neuro-COVID, yet with a different design (Song et al., 2020). The authors analyzed CSF compared with blood but did not provide autoimmune or encephalitis controls. Non-inflammatory controls in that study were mostly (8 out of 11 patients, 72%) derived from another preceding study, which could cause systematic bias (Song et al., 2020). In accordance with our results, the authors observed a compartmentalized immune response within the CSF, featuring activation of T cells, interleukin (IL)-12-associated immune cell activation and induction of IFN-stimulated genes (Song et al., 2020). The authors reported an expansion of B cells in the CSF in Neuro-COVID, which did not reach significance in our IIH (0.27%) versus Neuro-COVID (0.56%) comparison (p = 0.59). Patients in the Song et al. study were sampled on average 12.5 days after hospital admission versus 17.25 days in our study. Observations may thus also reflect different stages of COVID-19 infection. Moreover, the authors identified anti-SARS-CoV-2 antibodies in the CSF with widespread cross-reactivity against anti-neural and anti-glia epitopes, while SARS-CoV-2 RNA was consistently undetectable in the CSF (Song et al., 2020). Autoantibodies of...
unknown relevance had been detected in the CSF in NeuroCOVID in another study (Franke et al., 2020).

In summary, our study delineated local immune mechanisms and, in synergy with other studies, lends support to immune-mediated mechanisms contributing to neurological sequelae of COVID-19 patients. Our findings set the basis for better understanding and potentially diagnosing Neuro-COVID in the future.

**Limitations of the Study**

There are limitations to our study. CSF cell numbers were considerably lower in Neuro-COVID than in other conditions, and we did not include COVID-19 patients without neurological manifestations as controls because of ethical concerns in performing an invasive lumbar puncture for only scientific reasons in these patients. Moreover, our study lacks patient-matched blood data so that we cannot draw conclusions about CSF-specificity of immune alterations solely based on our data. The time between sample collection and clinical presentation varied among patients and among groups. Our findings may thus be biased, especially because of the known stage-dependent immune alterations of COVID-19 (Mann et al., 2020; Tian et al., 2020). Finally, neurological signs and symptoms in Neuro-COVID might not only depend on the observed CSF changes, but also on systemic factors, such as oxygen or serum cytokine levels.
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# STAR★METHODS

## KEY RESOURCES TABLE

| REAGENT or RESOURCE | SOURCE | IDENTIFIER |
|---------------------|--------|------------|
| **Biological Samples** | | |
| Neuro-COVID patients | Department of Neurology, University Hospital Essen, Germany | N/A |
| IIH patients as controls | Department of Neurology with Institute of Translational Neurology, University Hospital Munster, Munster, Germany | N/A |
| MS patients as controls | Department of Neurology with Institute of Translational Neurology, University Hospital Munster, Munster, Germany | N/A |
| VE patients as controls | Department of Neurology with Institute of Translational Neurology, University Hospital Munster, Munster, Germany | N/A |
| **Chemicals, Peptides, and Recombinant Proteins** | | |
| X-Vivo15 media | Lonza | cat#BE02-060F |
| T4 Polynucleotide Kinase | New England Biolabs | cat#M0201S |
| T4 DNA Ligase | New England Biolabs | cat#M0202S |
| RecJF | New England Biolabs | cat#M0264S |
| Lambda Exonuclease | New England Biolabs | cat#M0262S |
| **Critical Commercial Assays** | | |
| Chromium Next GEM Single Cell 3’ Library & Gel Bead Kit v2 | 10x Genomics | cat#CG00052 |
| Chromium Next GEM Single Cell 3’ Library & Gel Bead Kit v3 | 10x Genomics | cat#CG000185 |
| Chromium Next GEM Single Cell 3’ Library & Gel Bead Kit v3.1 | 10x Genomics | cat#CG000204 |
| Chromium Next GEM Single Cell 5’ Library & Gel Bead Kit v1 | 10x Genomics | cat#CG0009207 |
| Chromium Single Cell VDJ Enrichment Kit, Human T Cell | 10x Genomics | cat#CG000086 |
| AMPure XP beads | Beckman Coulter | cat#A63880 |
| MagNA Pure 96 DNA and Viral NA Small Volume Kit | Roche | cat#06543588001 |
| MagNA Pure 96 DNA and Viral NA Large Volume Kit | Roche | cat#06374891001 |
| RealStar SARS-CoV-2 RT-PCR Kit 1.0 | Altona Diagnostics | cat#821015 |
| anti-SARS-CoV-2 IgG ELISA | Euroimmun | cat#EI2606-9601G |
| Bio-Plex Pro Human Cytokine IL-1α Singleplex Set | Bio-Rad | cat#171B6001M |
| Bio-Plex Pro Human Cytokine TNF-α Singleplex Set | Bio-Rad | cat#171B5026M |
| Bio-Plex Pro Human Cytokine IL-6 Singleplex Set | Bio-Rad | cat#171B5006M |
| Bio-Plex Pro Human Cytokine IL-8/CXCL8 Singleplex Set | Bio-Rad | cat#171B5008M |
| RealStar HHV6 PCR Kit 1.0 | Altona Diagnostics | cat#311013 |
| RealStar HSV PCR Kit 1.0 | Altona Diagnostics | cat#3061013 |
| RealStar VZV PCR Kit 1.0 | Altona Diagnostics | cat#071003 |

(Continued on next page)
## Deposited Data

| REAGENT or RESOURCE | SOURCE | IDENTIFIER |
|---------------------|--------|------------|
| R-gene Kit HSV 1 | Biomerieux | cat#69-004B |
| R-gene Kit HSV2 | Biomerieux | cat#69-004B |
| R-gene Kit VZV | Biomerieux | cat#69-004B |
| R-gene Kit CMV | QIAGEN | cat#4503363 |
| R-gene Kit EBV | QIAGEN | cat#4501363 |
| FSME/TBE IgG Kit | Virion/Serion | cat#ESR112G |
| FSME/TBE IgM Kit | Virion/Serion | cat#ESR112M |

### scRNA-seq from patients with Neuro-COVID, multiple sclerosis, idiopathic intracranial hypertension and viral encephalitis, see Table S1

**This Paper**

GSE163005

### scTCR from patients with Neuro-COVID, multiple sclerosis, idiopathic intracranial hypertension and viral encephalitis, see Table S1
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GSE163005

### Interactive version of the entire dataset

**This Paper**

http://covid.mheming.de/

### Supplemental Tables

**Mendeley Dataset**

https://data.mendeley.com/datasets/5mt97xcyyw/2

## Software and Algorithms

| Software | Version | Author | Website |
|----------|---------|--------|---------|
| R | v4.0 | R Core Team | https://www.r-project.org |
| R factoextra package | v1.0 | Alboukadel Kassambara and Fabian Mundt | https://github.com/kassambara/factoextra |
| R EnhancedVolcano package | v1.6 | Kevin Blighe, Sharmila Rana and Myles Lewis | https://doi.org/10.18129/B9.bioc.EnhancedVolcano |
| R scRepertoire package | v1.2 | Nick Borcherding | http://www.bioconductor.org/packages/release/bioc/html/scRepertoire.html |
| R ggpubr package | v4.0 | Alboukadel Kassambara | https://github.com/cran/ggpubr |
| R rstatix package | v0.6 | Alboukadel Kassambara | https://github.com/kassambara/rstatix |
| R ggplot2 package | v3.3 | Hadley Wickham | https://www.rdocumentation.org/packages/ggplot2 |
| R pheatmap package | v1.0 | Raivo Kolde | https://www.rdocumentation.org/packages/pheatmap/topics/pheatmap |
| R enrichR package | v2.1 | Wajid Jawaid | https://www.rdocumentation.org/packages/enrichR/versions/2.1 |
| R Seurat package | v3.2 | Satijalab | https://github.com/satijalab/seurat |
| R harmony package | v1.0 | Ilya Korsunsky, Nghiia Millard, Jean Fan, Kamil Slowikowski and Sourmya Raychaudhuri | https://github.com/immunogenomics/harmony |
| R clustifyr package | v1.1 | Rui Fu, Austin Gillen, Ryan M. Sheridan, Chengzhe Tian, Michelle Daya, Yue Hao, Jay R. Hesselberth and Kent A. Riemondy | https://mabioco.github.io/clustifyr/ |
| R slingshot package | v1.6 | Kelly Street, Davide Risso, Russell B. Fletcher, Diya Das and John Ngai, Nir Yosef, Elizabeth Purdom and Sandrine Dutord | https://doi.org/10.18129/B9.bioc.slingshot |
| cellranger | v3.1.0 | 10X Genomics | https://support.10xgenomics.com/solutions/tutorials/10x-cellranger/ installation |
| AIRR Data Commons API | | AIRR Community | https://docs.airr-community.org/en/stable/api/adc-api.html |
RESOURCES AVAILABILITY

Lead Contact
Further information and requests for resources and reagents should be directed to and will be fulfilled by the Lead Contact, Gerd Meyer zu Hörste (gerd.meyerzuhoerste@ukmuenster.de).

Materials Availability
All unique reagents generated in this study are available from the Lead Contact without restriction.

Data and Code Availability
Raw sequencing data are available in the Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) repository (GSE163005). An interactive version of the entire dataset using cerebroApp (Hilje et al., 2020) is available at: http://covid.mheming.de. We followed the official tutorial of the packages listed, no custom code was generated. Additional Supplemental Items are available from Mendeley Data at https://doi.org/10.17632/5mt97xcyyw.2.

EXPERIMENTAL MODEL AND SUBJECT DETAILS

Neuro-COVID Subjects
Cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) was collected from eight patients classified as Neuro-COVID admitted to the University Hospital Essen, Germany between May and June 2020. All patients were tested positive for SARS-CoV-2 by reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction (PCR) of nasopharyngeal swabs and developed neurological signs/symptoms between 10 days prior to and 20 days after the diagnostic PCR was performed (Table S1). PCR for SARS-CoV-2 in CSF was performed in all patients and was negative in all patients.

Seven patients were male and the age ranged from 53 to 82 years (Table S1). Based on pulmonary and systemic signs/symptoms, disease severity was classified into 3 categories as described (Buonsenso et al., 2020).

1. Mild disease: asymptomatic infection or mild respiratory signs/symptoms (e.g., fever, sore throat, pharyngeal congestion) without any radiographic abnormalities and no septic presentation
2. Moderate disease: clinical signs of mild pneumonia (e.g., fever, dry or productive cough, abnormal breath sounds on auscultation) without signs of hypoxemia (e.g., shortness of breath)
3. Severe disease: mild or moderate disease and signs of disease progression (e.g., respiratory failure requiring mechanical ventilation, septic shock or organ dysfunction requiring intensive care unit-monitoring)

The severity of neurological signs/symptoms in COVID-19 infected patients was classified as follows: Neuro-COVID severity 1 was defined by mild signs/symptoms (e.g., headache, dizziness, anosmia, ageusia), severity 2 by moderate signs/symptoms (e.g., fatigue, mono/para/quadriparesis), and severity 3 by severe neurological manifestations (e.g., seizures, stroke, cognitive impairment or muscle weakness) (Fotuhi et al., 2020). To account for the relatively small sample size, we merged Neuro-COVID patients in stage 1 and 2 and refer to these patients as ‘mild’ Neuro-COVID throughout the manuscript. Patients in stage 3 are termed ‘severe’ Neuro-COVID. None of these patients had received any immunomodulatory treatment previously (Table S1).

Control Subjects
In total, we included data from 20 control patients in our study (Table S1). All control patients were treated in inpatient or outpatient clinics of the Department of Neurology with Institute of Translational Neurology at the University Clinic Münster, Germany between 2017 and 2020. Out of these 20 control patient data, 8 had been published previously (Schafflick et al., 2020). Previously published scRNA-seq data from controls with idiopathic intracranial hypertension (IIH) (n = 4) and relapsing-remitting multiple sclerosis (MS) (n = 4) in active relapse were used (Schafflick et al., 2020). Additionally, we recruited five new treatment-naive patients with relapsing remitting (RR)MS in active relapse leading to the first diagnosis and IIH, respectively, and 5 patients with VE (Table S1). The detailed inclusion and exclusion criteria have been described previously (Schafflick et al., 2020). Briefly, all MS patients were included at first relapse leading to the diagnosis of disease, met the revised McDonald criteria (Thompson et al., 2018) and were treatment-naive. An extensive diagnostic workup was performed in order to exclude differential diagnoses (Schafflick et al., 2020). Patients with a questionable diagnosis, secondary progressive (SP)MS and any immunomodulatory therapy were excluded (Schafflick et al., 2020). The Expanded Disability Status Scale (EDSS) was used to assess disease severity (Kurtzke, 1983).

Patients with IIH were diagnosed based on the diagnostic criteria of IIH (Friedman and Jacobson, 2002). These included signs/symptoms of an increased intracranial pressure, elevated opening pressure (above 200 mmH2O) on lumbar puncture, normal routine CSF studies and no structural cause of intracranial hypertension. Patients with VE were included, if they showed clinical signs of encephalitis (e.g., headache, seizure, psychiatric symptoms, altered mental status and focal neurologic abnormalities) and alterations of the CSF that were compatible with the diagnosis (Table S1). Specific viruses (Herpes simplex virus-1/2 (HSV-1/HSV-2), Cytomegalovirus (CMV), Ebstein-Barr virus (EBV), Varicella zoster virus (VZV), Human herpesvirus-6 (HHV-6) and Enterovirus were tested by PCR in all VE patients. HSV-1 and VZV could be detected in the CSF of one patient, respectively. Screening for serum antibodies to the Tick-borne encephalitis (TBE) virus was performed by ELISA and was positive in one patient. CSF and serum were tested by...
Four Neuro-COVID samples (Table S1) were processed with the Chromium Single Cell 5-
length VDJ segments from T cells were enriched from first-strand cDNA via PCR amplification with the Chromium Single Cell VDJ Enrichment Kit, Human T Cell (from 10X Genomics) following the manufacturer’s instructions. Further library preparation was performed using the Chromium Single Cell 5’ Library Construction Kit (10X Genomics). Sequencing was carried out on an Illumina Nexsexq500 with 26-8-0-132 read setup (for details see Table S1). Raw data was processed by the cellranger pipeline v3.1.0 (10X Genomics) according to the manufacturer’s instructions.

Three Neuro-COVID samples (Table S1) were processed with the Chromium Single Cell 3’ Library & Gel Bead Kit (10x Genomics) and we developed a novel method to sequence antigen receptor information from 3’ scRNA-seq libraries. The method allows
shortening the constant region of antigen receptors during enrichment, while maintaining their cell barcode and unique molecular identifier (UMI) information attached to the 3’ of the cDNA molecules. In summary, the method involves self-circulating the cDNA library, enriching the VDJ region and re-linearizing. Each step maintains the VDJ region together with the cell barcode and UMI in the same molecule. Primer Poly A is used as a 5’ race primer and two T cell receptor (TCR) reverse primer pools were synthesized from Eurofins according to sequences of reverse primers of 10x Genomics Chromium Single Cell V(D)J Enrichment Kit, Human T Cell, PN-1000005S (Sequences and concentration see Table S2). All primers were synthesized by Eurofins Genomics; the primers are listed in Table S2. For circularization, cDNA generated from 10x Genomics Chromium Single Cell V(D)J Enrichment Kit, Human T Cell, PN-1000005v3 were end-phosphated with the T4 Polynucleotide Kinase (New England Biolabs), and purified by 0.6x Ampure XP beads (Beckman Coulter). Then, 1,000 units of T4 DNA Ligase (New England Biolabs) were added to self-circularize the phosphorylated cDNA at 16°C for 16 h. Subsequently, 0.7x Ampure XP beads were used to purify. Remaining linear DNA was digested by 0.9 units/μl RecJf and 0.1 units/μl Lambda Exonuclease (both from New England Biolabs). Circularized cDNA libraries were purified by 0.7x Ampure XP beads. A 5’ race nested PCR enrichment was performed to enrich the TCR variable region. A size selection was done by 0.5x – 0.8 x Ampure XP beads. Then, the nested PCR products were phosphtated, circulatated and linear digested as above. A PCR with primers read1 and TSO was used to re-linearize the circulated library. PCR products were purified by 0.5x - 0.8 x Ampure XP beads (Beckman Coulter) and libraries were prepared from them using the Chromium Single Cell 3’ Library Kit v3 (10X Genomics). Sequencing was carried out on an Illumina Nextseq550 with 26-8-0-132 read setup (for details see Table S1). In order to analyze them with cellranger pipelines from 10x Genomics, we converted read 2 into reverse-complement and replaced the cell barcode white list of the Chromium Next GEM Single Cell 5’ Library & Gel Bead Kit v1.1 with the one of Chromium Next GEM Single Cell 3’ Library & Gel Bead Kit v3 in the folder /cellranger-3.1.0/cellranger-cs/3.1.0/lib/python/cellranger/barcodes/ of the cellranger pipeline. Processing of modified sequencing data was performed with the modified cellranger VDJ pipeline v3.1.0 (10X Genomics) according to the manufacturer’s instructions.

Data Analysis of Single-Cell RNA Sequencing

Downstream analysis of scRNA-seq datasets was performed using Seurat v3.2 (Stuart et al., 2019) and R v4.0 as described previously (Wolbert et al., 2020). Each sample was filtered individually to remove cell doublets and low-quality cells with few genes (< 200) high genes (> 1200-6000) or high mitochondrial percentages (5%–20%). To account for technical noise, data were normalized using logarithmic transformation normalization, highly variable genes were identified, and data were scaled taking into account mitochondrial percentages. Principal component analysis (PCA) was performed using highly variable genes. Based on the elbow plot, we used the first 40 principal components for further analysis. To cluster the cells, we used the ‘FindNeighbors’ (based on KNN graphs) and the ‘FindCluster’ (based on Louvain method; resolution 0.3) functions in Seurat. Batch effects were taken into account using Harmony (Korsunsky et al., 2019), which project cells into a shared embedding. To visualize cells in a two-dimensional dataset we performed UMAP. We annotated clusters based on known marker genes or automated with the clustifyr (Fu et al., 2020) package based on Monaco et al. (Monaco et al., 2019). One cluster with 921 cells that showed high percentage of mitochondrial transcripts (4.9%; mean mitochondrial percentage of all clusters 2.5%) and low UMI counts (864; mean UMI count of all clusters 5403) was removed because it most likely represents dying cells. We subsetted the T cell clusters and re-clustered these subclusters with a higher resolution (0.5). DotPlots and FeaturePlots were created using the internal visualization functions of Seurat. Volcano plots of cell cluster abundance were created using ggplot2 v3.3 using unpaired two-sided t test in R. Heatmaps were created with heatmap v1.0 and data were clustered using complete linkage with Euclidean distance measure. Significant cluster abundance changes were adjusted for age and sex employing a linear regression analysis in R and including age and size as predictors. Comparisons of our scRNA-seq data with the Mouse Cell Atlas (MCA) (Han et al., 2018) and Schrepping et al. (Schulte-Schrepping et al., 2020) were carried out using clustifyr and were based on the official cluster annotations. PCA of cluster abundances was performed and visualized with the R package factoextra.

Differentially expressed (DE) genes were determined with the FindMarker function in Seurat with the Wilcoxon rank sum test with a threshold of adjusted p value (based on Bonferroni correction) of 0.05 and a minimum of 10 cells per cluster. Volcano plots of DE genes were created with the R package EnhancedVolcano. To perform gene set enrichment analysis, we used the Enrichr tool (Kuleshov et al., 2016), and the GO Molecular Function 2018 reference dataset.

We performed pseudotime of the mono1, mono2 and mono3 clusters using Slingshot (Street et al., 2018). Slingshot was performed with the UMAP embeddings and cluster annotations of the Seurat analysis (see above).

Single-Cell Immune Repertoire Analysis

Single-cell T cell receptor sequencing (scTCR) data were analyzed using the R package scRepertoire v1.2 (Borcherd ing et al., 2020) following the official vignette. Clonotypes were removed if any cell barcode had more than 2 immune receptor chains. scTCR data were merged with scRNA-seq data of the T cell clusters only based on the cell barcodes. TCR clones that matched barcodes of cells that were not located in T cell clusters (based on our previous annotations using Seurat) were removed. TCR were categorized into expanded (defined as ≥2 identified clones) and non-expanded clones (clone frequency 1). Published immune repertoire data of COVID-19 were retrieved from iReceptor (Corrie et al., 2018) using the AIRR Data Commons API. Repertoires with diagnosis ID DOID:0080600 (COVID-19) were queried from http://covid19-1.receptor.org/airr/v1/ and http://covid19-2.receptor.org/airr/v1/ comprising 7 studies at the time of access: Nielsen et al. (Nielsen et al., 2020), Minervina et al. (Minervina et al., 2020), Gaison et al. (Gaison et al., 2020), Liao et al. (Liao et al., 2020), Schultheiß et al. (Schultheiß et al., 2020), Shomuradova et al. (Shomuradova et al., 2020), and the GO Molecular Function 2018 reference dataset.

We performed pseudotime of the mono1, mono2 and mono3 clusters using Slingshot (Street et al., 2018). Slingshot was performed with the UMAP embeddings and cluster annotations of the Seurat analysis (see above).
et al., 2020) and Alsoussi et al. (Alsoussi et al., 2020). In a next step, CDR3 amino acid (aa) sequences were retrieved from these patients resulting in 181,969,096 sequences. We determined the overlap of CDR3 aa sequences from our Neuro-COVID clones with these sequences. As a negative control we retrieved repertoires by filtering for “Control (Healthy)” study group description from http://covid19-1.receptor.org/airr/v1/ and http://covid19-2.receptor.org/airr/v1/. We then downloaded all CDR3 aa sequences from these patients resulting in 2,156,752 sequences. Finally, we calculated the overlap of CDR3 aa sequences from these healthy controls with those of COVID-19 patients.

Cell Set Enrichment Analysis (CSEA)
CSEA was performed as previously described (Schaффlick et al., 2020). Briefly, we used the gene-cell expression matrix, labels for the input cells, and the gene set of interest as input for CSEA. Using the genes in the specified signature, CSEA computed the score for each cell by dividing the log gene expression values by the mean expression across all cells, taking the sum across all genes, and normalizing the resulting values between 0 and 1. Then, the cells were rank-ordered based on the computed score, and the Enrichment Score (ES) was computed using the ranked cell scores as previously described (Schaффlick et al., 2020). To determine the p value of the CSEA ES, we selected a new set of genes and re-compute the cell scores 100 times and then computed the probability that the maximum ES computed with the original gene signature was greater than the ES computed with the permuted gene sets. The random gene set was selected by finding the same number of genes as in the original gene set and finding the top 100 genes that had the closest mean expression to each gene in the original signature set and then randomly sampling one of those 100 genes. We also computed the p values for the enrichment in IIH as the negative enrichment p values in order to select for gene signatures that were only enriched in Neuro-COVID cells not IIH cells. We corrected for multiple testing using the Benjamini-Hochberg procedure to generate the corrected p values for both positive and negative enrichment. We filtered the result based on the following criteria: the corrected p value of the true signature set is smaller than 0.05 and the corrected p value of the control signature set is > 0.05.

Quantification and Statistical Analysis
Statistical analysis was performed using R v4.0. Boxplots were created with the ggpubr package. When comparing one categorical variable with two groups (severe versus mild Neuro-COVID) with one continuous parameter (e.g., cells, protein, IL-6, IL-8) we used Wilcoxon’s rank-sum test (two-sided). When comparing one categorical parameter with multiple groups (Neuro-COVID, MS, IIH, VE) with a continuous parameter, we performed Kruskal-Wallis with Dunn test as a post hoc test (rstatix package in R). To compare a categorical parameter with two groups (severe versus mild Neuro-COVID) with another categorical parameter with two groups (OCB, BBBD) we used Fisher’s exact test (two-sided). In the case of one categorical parameter with multiple groups (Neuro-COVID, MS, IIH, VE) with another categorical, we used the Freeman-Halton extension of Fisher’s exact test. For pairwise comparisons we performed Fisher’s exact test (two-sided) with multiple testing adjustments using Benjamini-Hochberg’s method (rstatix package). The significance level alpha was set at 0.05.

Systematic Meta-Analysis
For the meta-analysis-like literature review, we systematically searched the databases PubMed, MedRxiv, and BioRxiv until December 2020 using the MeSH terms “Coronavirus,” “Coronavirus Infections/virology,” “Coronavirus Infections/immunology,” “Coronavirus Infections/pathology,” “RNA-Seq/methods,” “Sequence Analysis,” “RNA methods,” “Single-Cell Analysis,” and “Sequencing.” We included original research articles assessing the impact of COVID-19 on immune cell populations by analyzing samples obtained from SARS-CoV-2 positive patients with genome-wide single-cell transcriptomics techniques, such as 10x Genomics or drop-seq.

Additional Resources
In addition to the deposition of the raw sequencing data on GEO, we provide an interactive platform of the entire dataset using cerebroApp (Hlle et al., 2020) at http://covid.mheming.de/. The platform includes analysis information, shows key analytic results, such as UMAP visualization, marker genes and cluster abundances and allows interactive exploration of the results based on custom genes and gene sets.