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We have investigated the dynamics of quantum discord and entanglement for two qubits subject to independent global transverse and/or longitudinal memoryless noisy classical fields. Global transverse and/or longitudinal random fields are found to drive the system to maximally discordant mixed separable steady states for suitable initial conditions. Moreover, two independent noises in the system are found to enhance both the steady state randomness and quantum discord in the absence of entanglement for some initial states.

I. INTRODUCTION

Entanglement has been considered as the most useful type of quantum correlation for quantum informational and computational tasks, until recently. Its role as a resource to enhance quantum versions of operations such as quantum teleportation, key distribution and etc., has been widely examined [1]. Entanglement as a resource suffers from the decoherence problem; it degrades under the effect of environmental noise which is unavoidable for most of the practical cases. Although there are studied protocols to overcome such effects, new type of "quantumness" resources beyond entanglement for quantum information tasks are desirable [2-5]. Several measures have been proposed to characterize and quantify quantum correlations beyond entanglement [2-3]. One such measure of quantum correlations, that is shown to be useful in DQC1 (deterministic quantum computation with one quantum bit) [3], Grover search [4] algorithms and remote state preparation [5], was introduced by Ollivier and Zurek and is called quantum discord (QD) [6]. It is introduced as a mis-

Since entanglement is very fragile under the detrimental environmental effects, QD is hoped to be used as a fundamental resource for the noisy-implementation of quantum information protocols that rely on quantum correlations beyond entanglement [2-5]. Therefore, finding maximally discordant mixed states that are robust against state mixedness is very desirable [22, 23]. For that purpose, recently, Al-Qasimi et al., and Galve et al., have investigated the family of states for which QD can take a maximal value for a given entanglement. It was shown that the maximum value that QD can reach, for ex-
ample, for separable states is 1/3. It is natural to ask: does the noise maximize the QD in the absence of entanglement? To answer this question, in the present study, we consider two qubits subject to independent global transverse and longitudinal magnetic fields with noisy components in their amplitudes obeying white noise (Markovian) assumption. We have shown that more independent noises in the system, although being both classical and memoryless, can enhance, even maximize the value of the steady state quantum discord for separable states.

The Letter is organized as follows. In Sec. III by using cumulant expansion technique and Gauss-Markov approximation, we derive the master equation for two atoms subject to time-independent longitudinal and time-dependent transverse magnetic fields with noisy components in their amplitudes. The correlation measures, QD and entanglement, are also briefly discussed in this section. The dynamics of entanglement and quantum discord between two initially in different product and Bell states under transverse and/or longitudinal noises is investigated in Sec. IIIA. In Sec. IIIB we determine the initial states in which steady state QD is maximized for separable states by the one- or two-active-noise cases. We summarize the important results in Sec. IV.

II. THE MODEL AND CORRELATION MEASURES

Here, we consider a system of two qubits placed in a magnetic field in z-direction and is also driven by a time-dependent sinusoidal transverse field. The semi-classical Hamiltonian can be given as [25, 26]:

\[
H(t) = \frac{1}{2} \left( \omega_{AB} (\sigma_x^A + \sigma_x^B) + \Omega \cos(\omega t) (\sigma_x^A + \sigma_x^B) + \Omega \sin(\omega t) (\sigma_y^A + \sigma_y^B) \right),
\]

where \(\omega_{AB}\) and \(\omega\) are the atomic transition and transverse field frequencies, respectively, \(\Omega\) is the Rabi frequency and \(\sigma_{x,y,z}^{A,B}\) are the Pauli spin matrices. Here \(\omega_{AB}\), \(\omega\) and \(\Omega\) are the system-control parameters and we assume that both \(\omega_{AB}\) and \(\Omega\) have a constant plus a randomly fluctuating part as: \(\omega_{AB} = \omega_0 + \Delta \omega(t)\) and \(\Omega = \Omega_0 + \delta \Omega(t)\). The source of the noise in the considered system is due to the noise in the amplitude of external longitudinal and transverse magnetic fields. To obtain the master equation of two atoms interacting with fluctuating magnetic fields, first \(H(t)\) is transformed to the rotating frame of the transverse field with the standard transformation \(M = \exp(i \omega t \sum_{i=A,B} \sigma_i^z/2)\) with the aim to eliminate the sinusoidal time dependence of the transverse field. In this frame, the Hamiltonian is given as \(H(t) = MH(t)M^\dagger - iM\dot{M}^\dagger = H_0 + \delta H(t)\), where

\[
H_0 = \frac{1}{2} [\Delta_0 (\sigma_z^A + \sigma_z^B) + \Omega_0 (\sigma_x^A + \sigma_x^B)],
\]

\[
\delta H(t) = \frac{1}{2} [\delta \Delta(t) (\sigma_z^A + \sigma_z^B) + \delta \Omega(t) (\sigma_x^A + \sigma_x^B)],
\]

and \(\Delta_0 = \omega_0 - \omega\) is the detuning and \(\delta \Delta(t)\) is its noisy component caused solely because of the noise in \(\omega_{AB}\).

The dynamics of the system density matrix, \(\rho(t)\), in the rotating frame with the standard transformation changes this master equation as

\[
\frac{d}{dt} \rho_1(t) = -i [\delta H_1(t), \rho_1(t)] + \rho_1(t) \frac{d}{dt} [\delta H_1(t), \rho_1(t)] + \gamma_{n} \rho_1(t)
\]

where \(\rho_1(t) = e^{iH_1(t)\Delta t} \rho(t) e^{-iH_1(t)\Delta t}\) and \(\delta H_1(t) = \delta H(t) e^{-iH_1(t)\Delta t}\). The formal solution of this equation can be given as

\[
\rho_1(t) = \rho_1(0) - i \int_0^t dt [\delta H_1(t), \rho_1(0)] + (-i)^2 \int_0^t dt_1 \int_0^t dt_2 [\delta H_1(t_2), \rho_1(0)] + \ldots
\]

Since the formal solution in Eq. 3 has randomly fluctuating terms, we perform a stochastic average over the noise. After this process, the solution of the stochastic Liouville equation can be represented by the cumulant expansion technique introduced by Kubo [27]:

\[
\rho_1(t) = \exp_p \left( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} K_n(t) \rho_1(0) \right),
\]

where \(K_n(t)\) is the cumulant and the subscript \(p\) indicates the partial-ordering prescription. We insert Eq. 3 into stochastic Liouville equation and perform classical Gaussian stochastic Markov approximation; setting all cumulants, except \(K_2\), to be zero, then we obtain the master equation as:

\[
\frac{d}{dt} \rho_1(t) = K_2 \rho_2(t) = - \int_0^t \langle [\delta H_1(t), [\delta H_1(t_1), \rho_1(t)] ] \rangle dt_1,
\]

where \(\langle \ldots \rangle\) represents stochastic average. Now we assume independent white-noise case: \(\langle \delta h_i(t) \delta h_j(t') \rangle = \Gamma_i \delta_{ij} \delta(t - t')\) (\(h_1 \equiv \Delta, h_2 \equiv \Omega\)) with zero mean \(\langle \delta h_1(t) \rangle = 0\). Here \(\Gamma_\Delta\) and \(\Gamma_\Omega\) are the (mean) noise strengths associated with the noise in the detuning and the Rabi frequency, respectively. White-noise approximation (also known as Markovian approximation) has no memory effects and is valid when the correlation time scale of the noise is much smaller than the evolution time scale of the system. To get a rigorous form of the master equation, we apply white-noise approximation to the master equation, Eq. 4, and transform back to the Shr"odinger picture. We finally get the master
equation in the rotating frame as:
\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \rho'(t) = -i[H_0, \rho'(t)] - \frac{\Gamma_{\Delta}}{4}[\sigma^A_+ + \sigma^B_+, [\sigma^A_+ + \sigma^B_+, \rho'(t)]] - \frac{\Gamma_{\Omega}}{4}[\sigma^A_- + \sigma^B_-, [\sigma^A_- + \sigma^B_-, \rho'(t)]].
\]

It is worth noting that local unitary transformations do not change the eigenvalues of the system (as broadly speaking the correlation measures, such as quantum discord, entanglement, etc., do not change under local unitary transformations). Therefore, we will work in rotating frame and drop the superscript “0” in the following.

We should emphasize here that in the absence of noise in detuning and Rabi frequency (i.e., \(\Gamma_{\Delta} = \Gamma_{\Omega} = 0\)), the master equation has only the unitary dynamics described by \(H_0\) and its solution can be given as \(\rho(t) = U(t)\rho(0)U(t)^\dagger\) where \(U(t) = e^{-iH_0t}\) and \(H_0\) is given in Eq. (2). Since \(U(t)\) is both local and unitary in time, the correlations of a given state at any time under unitary evolution is exactly equal to the initial state correlations. That is, the unitary evolution alone is incapable of changing the correlation dynamics of a given input state. Moreover, the master equation (5) has no analytical solution. Therefore, we will put ourselves some legitimate limitations. In the following, our main concern will be the sole effect of the noise components in the master equation on quantum correlations, so, without loss of generality, we will set \(\omega_0 = \omega\) and \(\Omega_0 = 0\) so that \(i[\rho(t), H_0] = 0\); no unitary evolution. Furthermore, we will consider X-structured density matrix defined by its elements \(\rho_{12} = \rho_{13} = \rho_{24} = \rho_{34} = 0\). Indeed, the dynamics under \(\omega_0 = \omega\) and \(\Omega_0 = 0\) preserves the X-structure of the density matrix [28]. Under these restrictions, the dynamics can be described analytically, but these equations are quite cumbersome, so we will not display them here for brevity. On the other hand, the solution of the master equation for a general family of states and \(i[\rho(t), H_0] = 0\) can also be obtained and is given in Ref. [29] in the operator-sum representation. Note that at the limiting case, \(i[\rho(t), H_0] = 0\), the dynamics given by master equation (6) can also be recognized as the dynamics of two qubits that interact with an environment possessing random signal noise in x and z directions or the dynamics of two spin 1/2 particle interacting with fluctuating magnetic fields directed in x and z axes (see Refs. [29, 30] for details).

Now, we will briefly review the quantum correlation measures, entanglement and quantum discord, that will be considered in the present work. Entanglement of formation (EOF) is as a measure of entanglement which gives zero for separable states and one for maximally entangled (Bell) states [31]. For two-qubit system, it is given as:
\[
\text{EOF}(\rho) = \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{1 - \text{C}(\rho)^2},
\]
where \(h[x] = -x \log_2 x - (1 - x) \log_2 (1 - x)\) is the binary entropy and \(\text{C}(\rho) = 2 \max\{0, |\rho_{14}| - \sqrt{\rho_{32} \rho_{35}}, |\rho_{23}| - \sqrt{\rho_{11} \rho_{44}}\}\) is the concurrence for X-structured density matrix.

Quantum discord is defined as the difference between the quantum version of two classically equivalent definitions of mutual information [6]. It is defined as the difference between total correlations, as quantified by mutual information, and classical correlations (CC). Although, EOF and QD are equal to each other for pure states, the relation is complicated for mixed states; QD is believed to capture more general than entanglement type quantum correlations, since it can be non-zero for some mixed separable states, but we should stress that QD and EOF are different quantifiers of quantum correlations. Although, the definition of QD requires a complex extremization procedure, this can be done analytically for X-structured density matrix [32, 33]. Here we use the results given in Ref. [33] where the calculation of QD is based on the positive-operator-valued measurements locally performed on the subsystem B. The QD and CC are given as [33]
\[
\text{QD}(\rho) = \min\{Q_1, Q_2\}, \quad \text{CC}(\rho) = \max\{|\text{CC}_1, \text{CC}_2|\},
\]
where \(\text{CC}_j = h[\rho_{11} + \rho_{22}] - D_j\) and \(Q_j = h[\rho_{11} + \rho_{33}] + \sum_k \lambda_k \log_2 \lambda_k + D_j\) with \(\lambda_k\) being the eigenvalues of \(\rho\) and \(h[x]\) is the binary entropy defined above. Here \(D_1 = h[\tau]\) where \(\tau = \left(1 + \sqrt{1 - 2(\rho_{33} + \rho_{44})^2} + 4(\rho_{14} + \rho_{23})^2\right)/2\) and \(D_2 = -\sum_k \rho_{kk} \log_2 \rho_{kk} - h[\rho_{11} + \rho_{33}]\). We should emphasize that in general, quantum discord is not a symmetric quantity, i.e., its value can depend the measurements performed on subsystem A or B. However, in the present study, we will mainly consider the steady state correlations and for steady states we have \(\rho_{22}^{ss} = \rho_{33}^{ss}\), so \(S(\rho_A^{ss}) = S(\rho_B^{ss})\), where \(S(\rho) = -\text{Tr}(\rho \log_2 \rho)\) is the von-Neumann entropy and \(\rho_{AB} = \text{Tr}_B\rho\); irrespective of whether the measurement is performed locally on subsystem A or B.

III. RESULTS

A. Dynamics of correlations under global stochastic independent noises

In the following, we will analyze how the transverse (\(\Gamma_{\Omega}\)) and/or longitudinal (\(\Gamma_{\Delta}\)) noise components in the master equation can create quantum correlations between initially uncorrelated qubits and how these noises can affect preexisting quantum correlations initially encoded to the qubits. To do this, we will consider the product states of the form, \(|gg\rangle, |ee\rangle, |eg\rangle\) and \(|ge\rangle\) as well as four types of Bell states, |Y±⟩ =
1/\sqrt{2}(|ee\rangle \pm |gg\rangle) and |\Phi^\pm\rangle = 1/\sqrt{2}(|eg\rangle \pm |ge\rangle)

as the initial states.

First, we consider the sole effect of the detuning noise. For the system parameters considered in the present study (\(\omega_0 = \omega, Q_0 = 0\) and \(\Gamma_0 = 0\), this noise is effectively a Markovian dephasing process which acts globally on the qubits \([24]\). The time-dependent density matrix of the system under such a process, starting from

\[ \rho(t) = \begin{pmatrix}
\rho_{11}(0) & 0 & 0 & \rho_{14}(0) e^{-4\Gamma t}
0 & \rho_{22}(0) & \rho_{23}(0) & 0
0 & \rho_{32}(0) & \rho_{33}(0) & 0
\rho_{41}(0) e^{-4\Gamma t} & 0 & 0 & \rho_{44}(0)
\end{pmatrix} \]

\(t = 0\) to the qubits \([34]\). The time-dependent density matrix of the system under a Markovian process which acts globally on the qubits \([34]\). The time-dependent density matrix of the system under a Markovian process which acts globally on the qubits \([34]\). The time-dependent density matrix of the system under a Markovian process which acts globally on the qubits \([34]\).

The dynamics of entanglement, quantum discord and classical correlations in \(\rho(t)\) of Eq. (9) can be expressed analytically from Eqs. (7) and (8). The main results for detuning noise alone can be summarized as follows: (i) For the \(|\Psi^\pm\rangle\) initial Bell states, the entanglement as well as quantum discord decrease exponentially, while classical correlations remain as 1 for all times. (ii) \(|gg\rangle\), \(|ee\rangle\), \(|eg\rangle\) and \(|ge\rangle\) separable and \(|\Phi^\pm\rangle\) entangled initial states are prone to the effects of longitudinal noise, which is expected since such states form the decoherence free subspace (DFS) \([21]\) of the considered dynamics. The detuning noise alone leads to a simple dynamics which does not have any interesting behavior for the quantum correlations. So, in the following, we will mainly consider the effect of transverse noise alone (i.e., \(\Gamma_\Delta = 0, \Gamma_\Omega \neq 0\)) and both transverse and longitudinal noises (i.e., \(\Gamma_\Delta, \Gamma_\Omega \neq 0\)).

It is found that neither transverse noise (\(\Gamma_\Omega\)) alone nor longitudinal and transverse noises acting together can create entanglement from initially product states. On the other hand, both noise combinations lead to steady states with relatively high quantum discord. Below, we present and discuss such states.

In Fig. 1, we plot quantum discord versus dimensionless time, \(\omega t\), for \(|gg\rangle\) (Figs. 1(a) and 1(b)) and \(|eg\rangle\) (Figs. 1(c) and 1(d)) initial states for different noise strengths with the aim to analyze initial state dependence of the noise-induced quantum correlations. One should note that the results obtained for \(|gg\rangle\) and \(|eg\rangle\) are the same as that for \(|ee\rangle\) and \(|ge\rangle\) initial states, respectively. We have observed that for every possible values of \(\Gamma_\Delta\) and \(\Gamma_\Omega\) and for the considered initial states, \(\text{EoF}(t) = 0\) for \(t \geq 0\); no entanglement is induced. Contrary to \(\text{EoF}\), the quantum correlations beyond entanglement, such as quantum discord can be easily created by the stochastic noises \([9]\) which also drive the system into steady states with extremely high quantum correlations; for \(|gg\rangle\) initial state \(QD = 0.311\) for \(\Gamma_\Delta = 0, \Gamma_\Omega \neq 0\) case (Fig. 1(a)) and \(QD = 1/3\) for \(\Gamma_\Delta \neq 0, \Gamma_\Omega \neq 0\) case (Fig. 1(b)), while for \(|ge\rangle\) initial state \(QD = 0.311\) for \(\Gamma_\Delta = 0, \Gamma_\Omega \neq 0\) case (Fig. 1(c)) and \(QD = 0.126\) for \(\Gamma_\Delta \neq 0, \Gamma_\Omega \neq 0\) case (Fig. 1(d)). Moreover, for each of the initial states considered here, the steady state value of QD is independent of the noise strength which only determines how fast the steady state is reached for each noise combination. Recently, Al-Qasimi and James \([22]\), and independently Galve et al., \([23]\) showed that the maximum value of QD for two separable qubits is 1/3. Remarkably, the competition between the two independent noises in the system not only enhances the steady state QD, but it saturates the maximum possible QD for \(|gg\rangle\) initial state (see Fig. 1(b)). The steady state density matrix for two-active-noise case for \(|gg\rangle\) initial state can be given as

\[ \rho_{SS} = 1/3 (\langle \Phi^+ | \langle \Phi^+ | + |ee\rangle \langle ee | + |gg\rangle \langle gg |) \]

which is, indeed, the local transform of the maximally discordant mixed state, \(\rho = 1/3 (|\Psi^+\rangle \langle \Psi^+ | + |eg\rangle \langle eg | + |ge\rangle \langle ge |)\) for unentangled qubits given in Ref. \([22]\). The dynamics of QD for the set of initially separable states considered here are identical in the case of the noise in the Rabi frequency alone (Figs. 1(a) and 1(c)), while two simultaneous noises (\(\Gamma_\Delta \neq 0, \Gamma_\Omega \neq 0\)) effect the dynamics of \(|gg\rangle\) and \(|ee\rangle\) states different than \(|eg\rangle\) and \(|ge\rangle\) initial states. Introducing non-zero \(\Gamma_\Delta\) noise above \(\Gamma_\Omega\) increases (decreases) the steady state QD of \(|gg\rangle\) and \(|ee\rangle\) (\(|eg\rangle\) and \(|ge\rangle\) initial states from 0.311 (0.311) to 1/3 (0.126).

Now, we consider the dynamics of quantum correlations under transverse alone and transverse plus longitudinal noises for the initially maximally quantum-correlated states. For that purpose, we take the Bell states in the form \(|\Psi^\pm\rangle = 1/\sqrt{2}(|ee\rangle \pm |gg\rangle)\) and \(|\Phi^\pm\rangle = 1/\sqrt{2}(|eg\rangle \pm |ge\rangle)\) as the initial states. Before starting our qualitative analysis, we should stress that \(|\Phi^-\rangle\) is the decoherence free subspace of the overall dynamics considered in this Letter. This is expected since the full Hamiltonian given by Eq. (1) provides a rotation and the state \(|\Phi^-\rangle\) remains unchanged by this rotation.

In Fig. 2, we display the dynamics of QD, \(\text{EoF}\)
and CC versus the dimensionless time $\omega t$ under $\Gamma_\Omega$ noise (Fig. 2(a)) and under collective, $\Gamma_\Omega$ and $\Gamma_\Lambda$, noises (Fig. 2(b)) for the $|\Phi^+\rangle$ initial state. Contrary to initial product states case, the $\Gamma_\Omega$ noise is highly detrimental to initial quantum correlations present in the system as quantified by EoF and QD which decay exponentially to zero. Peculiarly, CC is unaffected by this noise in the overall dynamics as indicated by blue-dotted line in Fig. 2(a). In fact, such dynamical behavior can immediately suggest an operational way of computing quantum discord without any extremization procedure [35]. QD for such cases can be given as the difference between the evolved state mutual information and the completely decohered state mutual information. On the other hand, when two independent noises are considered to be non-zero, EoF dies quickly, while QD reaches a steady value (Fig. 2(b)). It is safe to suggest that more independent noises in the system, although highly detrimental to entanglement, can be highly constructive for quantum correlations beyond entanglement, such as quantum discord. It is interesting to note that both EoF and QD decay monotonically with time until entanglement completely vanishes. After the time where ESD happens, QD starts to increase and reaches a steady state which is, in fact, the maximally discordant mixed state for separable states given by $\rho^{SS} = 1/3 (|\Phi^+\rangle\langle\Phi^+| + |ee\rangle\langle ee| + |gg\rangle\langle gg|)$. Similar to EoF, more independent noises in the system are also detrimental to classical correlations for this particular initial state as can be seen from the comparison of Figs. 2(a) and 2(b). One should note that we have plotted only one fixed $\Gamma_\Omega$ and/or $\Gamma_\Lambda$. The noise strength in each case, in fact, only determines the time in which the correlations attain their steady values rather than the magnitudes.

We have also considered the other initial Bell states in the form $|\Psi^\pm\rangle = 1/\sqrt{2}(|ee\rangle \pm |gg\rangle)$. Under $\Gamma_\Omega$-type noise, the dynamics of correlations for $|\Psi^+\rangle$ state is exactly the same as that of $|\Phi^+\rangle$ as depicted in Fig. 2(a), while for $|\Psi^-\rangle$ state, the correlations are found to be unaffected by $\Gamma_\Omega$ noise; $|\Psi^-\rangle$ is also the DFS of the dynamics under the conditions $\Delta_0 = 0$, $\Omega_0 = 0$ and $\Gamma_\Lambda = 0$. These are expected, since the Hamiltonian given in Eq. ([1]) provides rotations around some axes by a given angle, the Bell states can be connected to each other by these local transformations or not to be affected. On the other hand, the steady state properties of $|\Psi^\pm\rangle$ state for $\Gamma_\Lambda \neq 0$, $\Gamma_\Omega \neq 0$ are found to be the same as that of $|\Phi^+\rangle$ initial state case as depicted in Fig. 2(b), but the dynamical behaviors of the correlations are qualitatively different; we mean that the times where ESD and saturation happen and the minimum that QD can attain in the time evolution can become different for the initial states $|\Psi^\pm\rangle$ and $|\Phi^+\rangle$. Therefore, these are not plotted here.

Now, we collect the steady-state values of EoF, QD, CC as well as the geometric measure of quantum discord (GMQD) [36] introduced by Dakic, Vedral and Brukner in Ref. [17] and the linear entropy, $S_L = \frac{4}{3} [1 - \text{Tr}(\rho^2)]$ for each considered initial state and noise combinations and present them in Table 1. The most important finding in Table 1 is the saturation of maximal QD ($QD = 1/3$) for separable qubits under the effect of both transverse and longitudinal noises for $|gg\rangle$, $|ee\rangle, |\Phi^+\rangle$ and $|\Psi^+\rangle$ initial states. In fact, the enhancement of the steady state QD in the absence of entanglement with two independent noises for $|gg\rangle$, $|ee\rangle, |\Phi^+\rangle$ and $|\Psi^+\rangle$ initial states can be explained by considering discord-linear entropy relation as was done in Ref. [22]. It is well known that $S_L$ is a measure of randomness, so it is expected that the quantumness and randomness exhibit an inverse relationship. Indeed, in general, QD decreases as linear entropy increases, or vice versa. On the other hand, there exist some unentangled mixed states in which QD and randomness show peculiarly linear dependence. As can be seen from the tabulated numerical values in Table 1, for the case $\Gamma_\Lambda = 0$, $\Gamma_\Omega \neq 0$, $S_L = 0.833$ for $|gg\rangle$ and $|ee\rangle$ with steady state QD = 0.311, and $S_L = 6/9$ for $|\Psi^+\rangle$ and $|\Phi^+\rangle$ with steady state QD = 0, while $S_L = 8/9$ for such initial states with steady state QD = 1/3. Indeed, two independent noises in the system can increase both the randomness and the relevant quantumness measure, quantum discord. Moreover, we should stress that QD = 1/3 is the maximum possible value that can be reached as an increasing function of randomness in the absence of entanglement [22]. Contrary to QD, considering the effect of two independent noises in the system on CC shows that the steady state CC (also the total correlations given by the sum of discord and classical correlations) decrease as the randomness increases for $|gg\rangle$, $|ee\rangle, |\Phi^+\rangle$ and $|\Psi^+\rangle$ initial states. It is interesting to note that GMQD disagree with the enhancement of QD with randomness for $|gg\rangle$, $|ee\rangle$ initial states. On the other hand, for $|eg\rangle$ and $|ge\rangle$ initial states, all types of steady state correlations, including QD, decrease when introducing non-zero $\Gamma_\Lambda$ noise above $\Gamma_\Omega$. Note that for $\Gamma_\Lambda \neq 0$, $\Gamma_\Omega \neq 0$ case, the steady state properties, such as CC, GMQD and $S_L$ have exactly the same values for the set of initial states $|gg\rangle$, $|ee\rangle, |\Phi^+\rangle$ and $|\Psi^+\rangle$ and $|eg\rangle$, while QD is quite different. The steady state for the set of initial states is $\rho^{SS} = 1/3 (|\Phi^+\rangle\langle\Phi^+| + |ee\rangle \langle ee| + |gg\rangle\langle gg|)$, while for
Thus the reduction of steady state QD with two independent noises measured by mutual information. As more loss of total correlations in the steady states compared to the enhancement of steady QD for partially product and Bell states. On the other hand, the QD in the absence of entanglement for some initial states not only a relatively high QD, but indeed it maximizes under the conditions \( \Delta_0 = 0 \) and \( \Omega_0 = 0 \) for the cases \( \Gamma_\Lambda = 0 \), \( \Gamma_\Omega \neq 0 \) and \( \Gamma_\Lambda \neq 0 \), \( \Gamma_\Omega = 0 \). Note that in the absence of entanglement (EoF = 0), QD = 1/3 is the maximum possible value that can attain for separable states.

We have searched for a general class of initial states by using several class of X-structured states, including Werner-like states and the other class of states given in Ref. [22] for which the steady state QD is maximized under \( \Gamma_\Omega \) noise, but due to the quite involved initial state dependence of the steady states (Eq. (10)), it seems to be not an easy task to determine a general class of initial states without violating the density matrix properties. Nevertheless, we can use Bell-like initial states in the form as \( |\Phi^\pm\rangle = \alpha |ge\rangle + \sqrt{1 - \alpha^2} |gg\rangle \) and \( |\Psi^\pm\rangle = \alpha |ee\rangle + \sqrt{1 - \alpha^2} |gg\rangle \). Here \( \alpha (0 \leq \alpha \leq 1) \) is called the degree of correlations since EoF and QD monotonously increases from zero to 1 for \( \alpha \) values from 0 (or 1) to \( 1/\sqrt{2} \) [34]. In Fig. 3, we display the a-

| Initial state \( \Gamma_\Lambda = 0, \Gamma_\Omega \neq 0 \) | \( \Gamma_\Lambda \neq 0, \Gamma_\Omega \neq 0 \) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| EoF | QD | GMQD | CC | SL | EoF | QD | GMQD | CC | SL |
| \( |gg\rangle, |ee\rangle \) | 0.311 | 0.0625 | 0.189 | 0.833 | 0 | 0 | 0.0556 | 0.0817 | 8/9 |
| \( |eg\rangle, |ge\rangle \) | 0.311 | 0.0625 | 0.189 | 0.833 | 0 | 0.126 | 0.0556 | 0.0817 | 8/9 |
| \( |\Phi^+\rangle \) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 6/9 | 0 | 0 | 0.0556 | 0.0817 | 8/9 |
| \( |\Phi^-\rangle \) | 1 | 1 | 0.5 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0.5 | 1 | 0 |
| \( |\Psi^+\rangle \) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 6/9 | 0 | 0 | 0.0556 | 0.0817 | 8/9 |
| \( |\Psi^-\rangle \) | 1 | 1 | 0.5 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0.0556 | 0.0817 | 8/9 |

TABLE I. The steady state correlations (EoF, QD, GMQD, CC) and the linear entropy, \( S_L \) for the initially product and Bell states under the conditions \( \Delta_0 = 0 \) and \( \Omega_0 = 0 \) for the cases \( \Gamma_\Lambda = 0 \), \( \Gamma_\Omega \neq 0 \) and \( \Gamma_\Lambda \neq 0 \), \( \Gamma_\Omega = 0 \). Note that in the absence of entanglement (EoF = 0), QD = 1/3 is the maximum possible value that can attain for separable states.

\[ \rho_{11}^{SS} = \rho_{44}^{SS} = \frac{1}{8} (3 \rho_{11}(0) - \rho_{14}(0) + \rho_{22}(0) + \rho_{23}(0) + \rho_{33}(0) - \rho_{41}(0) + 3 \rho_{44}(0)), \]
\[ \rho_{22}^{SS} = \rho_{33}^{SS} = \frac{1}{8} (\rho_{11}(0) + \rho_{14}(0) + 3 \rho_{22}(0) - \rho_{23}(0) - 3 \rho_{33}(0) + \rho_{41}(0) + \rho_{44}(0)), \]
\[ \rho_{14}^{SS} = \frac{1}{8} (-\rho_{11}(0) + 3 \rho_{14}(0) + \rho_{22}(0) + \rho_{23}(0) + \rho_{33}(0) + 3 \rho_{41}(0) - \rho_{44}(0)), \]
\[ \rho_{23}^{SS} = \frac{1}{8} (\rho_{11}(0) + \rho_{14}(0) - \rho_{22}(0) + 3 \rho_{23}(0) + 3 \rho_{32}(0) - \rho_{33}(0) + \rho_{41}(0) + \rho_{44}(0)). \]
able to suggest a more general class of initial states that steady state QD is maximal (QD states, 0 and 1). Analyzing Fig. 3 shows that Bell like initial states under the collective independent noises maximize the steady state QD in the absence of entanglement for every possible values of α, the steady state entanglement is zero, so it is not plotted here, and the results for |Φ⁺⟩ and |Ψ⁺⟩ initial states are the same.

\[
\rho_{11}^{SS} = \rho_{44}^{SS} = \frac{1}{6} (2\rho_{11}(0) + \rho_{22}(0) + \rho_{23}(0) + \rho_{32}(0) + \rho_{33}(0) + 2\rho_{44}(0)),
\]
\[
\rho_{22}^{SS} = \rho_{33}^{SS} = \frac{1}{6} (\rho_{11}(0) + 2\rho_{22}(0) - \rho_{23}(0) - \rho_{32}(0) + 2\rho_{33}(0) + \rho_{44}(0)),
\]
\[
\rho_{14}^{SS} = 0, \quad \rho_{23}^{SS} = \frac{1}{6} (\rho_{11}(0) - \rho_{22}(0) + 2\rho_{23}(0) + 2\rho_{32}(0) - \rho_{33}(0) + \rho_{44}(0)).
\]

In fact, due to the symmetric structure of the populations and coherences in steady states, we can suggest several class of initial states, such as Bell-like states in the form |Ψ±⟩ = 1/2 (|ψ⟩ ± |φ⟩) states, where the collective noise maximize the steady state QD in the absence of entanglement for every possible values of α between 0 and 1. Analyzing Fig. 3 shows that Bell like initial states, |Ψ±⟩, with α = 0.169 or α = 0.986 have maximally discordant mixed separable state under both the individual, ΓΩ, and the collective independent noises, ΓΔ,ΓΩ. Since ρ14 = 0 as shown in Eq. (11), we are also able to suggest a more general class of initial states that includes Bell states, the so-called β-states in the form ρβ(0) = β |Ψ⟩⟨Ψ| + (1 - β) |Φ⟩⟨Φ| where the steady state QD is maximal (QD = 1/3) in the absence of entanglement for every β values between 0 ≤ β ≤ 1. β-states are known to form the lower bound for quantum discord for a given entanglement of formation [22]. Intriguingly, they provide the upper bound of QD for EoF=0 under ΓΔ ≠ 0, ΓΩ ≠ 0 case.

Because of these, there would be no back-action on the system via noisy magnetic fields here. A natural question that arise in the present case is the source of high steady state QD achieved even from initial product states. To answer this question, we analyze a problem which is similar to the one outlined above, but the noisy magnetic fields at each qubit act locally, and compare the steady states of this dynamics with that of the global magnetic field case. The Hamiltonian with the local fields can be given as: H(t) = 1/2 \sum_{i=\alpha,\beta} \{\delta t_{iA}(t) c_{iA}^\dagger + \delta t_{iB}(t) c_{iB}^\dagger\}, with non-zero average noise strengths \langle \delta t_{iA}(t) \delta t_{jB}(t') \rangle = \Gamma^A_{\Delta} \delta(t - t') and \langle \delta t_{iA}(t) \delta t_{jB}(t') \rangle = \Gamma^B_{\Omega} \delta(t - t'). Substituting the considered Hamiltonian into Liouville master equation and applying the considered stochastic averages yield, \hat{ρ} = -\Gamma^A_{\Delta} / 4 \sum_{i=\alpha,\beta} [c_{iA}], c_{jB}^\dagger, \hat{ρ} - \Gamma^B_{\Omega} / 4 \sum_{i=\alpha,\beta} [c_{iB}], c_{iA}^\dagger, \hat{ρ}] where \Gamma^A_{\Delta} = \Gamma^B_{\Delta} = \Gamma^A_{\Omega} and \Gamma^B_{\Omega} = \Gamma^B_{\Omega} = \Gamma_{\Omega}. It is straightforward to show that for an initially X-structured density matrix, the steady state density matrices would not contain coherence components under the individual, ΓΔ, and the collective, ΓΔ,ΓΩ, noises. This means that the steady states contain no QD. On the other hand, under ΓΩ-type noise, the steady state populations would be equally weighted (i.e., 1/4), while the coherences are \rho_{23}^{SS} = \rho_{14}^{SS} = 1/4 (\rho_{14}(0) + \rho_{23}(0) + h.c.). In fact, this steady state is fully classi-
cally correlated since it is diagonal in the basis resulting from the tensor product of two local orthogonal basis, \(|\pm_A\rangle\),\(|\pm_B\rangle\), where \(|\pm\rangle = 1/\sqrt{2}(|e\rangle \pm |g\rangle)\). As a result, under local transverse and/or longitudinal memoryless classical noises, the steady states are fully quantum uncorrelated as quantified by QD and EoF. Mathematically speaking, the cross average noise strength terms, \(<\delta A(t)\delta B(f')>\) and \(<\delta A(t)\delta B(f')>\), that are considered to be non-zero for the global field case, are responsible for the steady states with high QD. This effect is sometimes regarded as the effect of common environment mediated indirect qubit-qubit interaction \cite{37, 38}.

IV. CONCLUSIONS

We have investigated the dynamics of quantum (such as entanglement and quantum discord) and classical correlations as well as the steady state properties (such as geometric measure of quantum discord and linear entropy) for two qubits subject to longitudinal and/or transverse noisy magnetic fields. We have shown that starting from different initial product or correlated states, each of the considered noises alone or both of them acting together can lead to steady states which can carry the maximum possible quantum discord for a separable state. Increase of quantum correlations under noisy conditions is sometimes attributed to the back-action of the environment or the memory effects in the environment-system interaction. In the model studied here, there is no back-action or the memory. To better understand the source of the steady state QD creation in the present model, we have also considered a similar model in which noise acts on the individual qubits locally and found that steady states contain no quantum correlations for this case. Therefore, the global nature of the noise is found to be responsible for the saturation of the maximally discordant mixed separable steady states. Moreover, two independent noises in the system are found to enhance both the steady state randomness and the relevant quantumness measure, the so-called quantum discord, in the absence of entanglement. On the other hand, the geometrically defined version of QD (GMQD) is found to disagree with the rise of steady state QD with randomness for some initial states.

One should note that the model system given by Hamiltonian, Eq. (1), is an important model and the prototypical example of nuclear magnetic resonance which was also implemented recently in the observation of Berry’s phase in a solid-state qubit \cite{26}. It would be very desirable to investigate the role of \(A_0\) and \(\Omega_0\), which are neglected in the present Letter, together with their noisy components on quantum correlations for external fields acting locally or globally on qubits which is left for future investigations.

REFERENCES

[1] C.H. Bennett, G. Brassard, C. Crepeau, R. Jozsa, A. Peres, W.K. Wootters, Phys. Rev. Lett. 70 (1993) 1895; A.K. Ekert, Phys. Rev. Lett. 67 (1991) 661.
[2] C.H. Bennett, D.P. DiVincenzo, C.A. Fuchs, T. Mor, E. Rains, P.W. Shor, J.A. Smolin, W.K. Wootters, Phys. Rev. A 59 (1999) 1070; M. Horodecki, P. Horodecki, R. Horodecki, J. Oppenheim, A. Sen, U. Sen, B. SynakRadtke, Phys. Rev. A 71 (2005) 062307.
[3] E. Knill, R. Laflamme, Phys. Rev. Lett. 81 (1998) 5672; A. Datta, A. Shaji, C.M. Caves, Phys. Rev. Lett. 100 (2008) 050502; B.P. Lanyon, M. Barbieri, M.P. Almeida, A.G. White, Phys. Rev. Lett. 101 (2008) 200501.
[4] J. Cui, H. Fan, J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. 43 (2010) 045305.
[5] B. Dakic, et al., arXiv:1203.1629 [quant-ph].
[6] H. Ollivier, W.H. Zurek, Phys. Rev. Lett. 88 (2001) 017901; L. Henderson, V. Vedral, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 34 (2001) 6899; K. Modi, A. Brodutch, H. Cable, T. Paterek, V. Vedral, arXiv:1112.6238 [quant-ph].
[7] B. Dakic, V. Vedral, C. Brukner, Phys. Rev. Lett. 105 (2010) 190502.
[8] K. Modi, T. Paterek, W. Son, V. Vedral, Phys. Rev. Lett. 104 (2010) 080501; B. Bellomo et al., Phys. Rev. A 85 (2012) 032104; S. Luo, Phys. Rev. A 77 (2008) 022301.
[9] A. Ferraro, L. Aolita, D. Cavalcanti, F.M. Cavicchiotti, A. Acin, Phys. Rev. A 81 (2010) 052318.
[10] M. Gessner, E.M. Laine, H.P. Breuer, J. Piilo, Phys. Rev. A 85 (2012) 052122; M. Piani, et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 106 (2011) 220403; M. Piani, arXiv:1206.0231 [quant-ph].
[11] D. Cavalcanti, L. Aolita, S. Boixo, K. Modi, M. Piani, A. Winter, Phys. Rev. A 83 (2011) 032324; V. Madhok, A. Datta, Phys. Rev. A 83 (2011) 032323.
[12] J.S. Xu, C.F. Li, arXiv:1205.0871 [quant-ph]; C. Benedetti, F. Buscemi, P. Bordone, M.G.A. Paris arXiv:1209.4201 [quant-ph]; D.Z. Rossato, T. Werlang, E.I. Duzzioni, C.J.V. Boas, Phys. Rev. Lett. 107 (2011) 153601; J.S. Jin, C.S. Yu, P. Pei, H.S. Song, J. Opt. Soc. Am. B 27 (2010) 1799; F. Altintas, R. Enyigit, Phys. Lett. A 376 (2012) 1791.
[13] T. Werlang, S. Souza, F.F. Fanchini, C.J.V. Boas, Phys. Rev. A 80 (2009) 024103.
[14] F.F. Fanchini, T. Werlang, C.A. Brasil, L.G.E. Arruda, A.O. Caldeira, Phys. Rev. A 81 (2010) 052107; B. Wang, Z.Y. Xu, Z.Q. Chen, M. Feng, Phys. Rev. A 81 (2010) 014101.
[15] M. Arsenijevic, J.J. Dugić, M. Dugić, arXiv:1204.2789 [quant-ph]; J.S. Zhang, L. Chen, M.A. Aty, A.X Chen, arXiv:1101.5429 [quant-ph]; G.X. Li, Z. Yi, Z. Ficek, arXiv:1101.4983 [quant-ph]; K. Berrada, Opt. Commun. 285 (2012) 2227.
[16] L. Mazzola, J. Piilo, S. Maniscalco, Phys. Rev. Lett. 104 (2010) 200401; L. Mazzola, J. Piilo, S. Maniscalco, Int. J. Quant. Info. 9 (2011) 981; Z.Y. Xu, W.L. Yang, X. Xiao, M. Feng, J. Phys. A 44 (2011) 395304; Q.L. He, J.B. Xu,
D.X. Yao, Y.Q. Zhang, Phys. Rev. A 84 (2011) 022312; P. Haikka, S. Maniscalco, [arXiv:1203.6469] [quant/ph]; G. Karpat, Z. Gedik, Phys. Lett. A 375 (2011) 4166; J.S. Xu, et al., Phys. Rev. A 82 (2010) 042328; R. Auccaise et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 107 (2011) 140403.

[17] B. You, L.X. Cen, Phys. Rev. A 86 (2012) 012102; W. Song, et al. [arXiv:1203.3355] [quant/ph].

[18] F. Ciccarello, V. Giovannetti, Phys. Rev. A 85 (2012) 010102; F. Ciccarello, V. Giovannetti, Phys. Rev. A 85 (2012) 022108.

[19] A. Streltsov, H. Kampermann, D. Brub, Phys. Rev. Lett. 107 (2011) 170502; X. Hu, Y. Gu, Q. Gong, G. Guo, Phys. Rev. A 84 (2011) 022113; X. Hu, H. Fan, D.L. Zhou, W.M. Liu, Phys. Rev. A 85 (2012) 032102.

[20] T. Yu, J.H. Eberly, Phys. Rev. Lett. 93 (2004) 140404.

[21] D.A. Lidar, I.L. Chuang, K.B. Whaley, Phys. Rev. Lett. 81 (1998) 2594; D. Mundarain, M. Orszag, Phys. Rev. A 75 (2007) 040303; M.D.A. Gallego, M. Orszag, J. Opt. Soc. Am. B 29 (2012) 1690.

[22] A. Al-Qasimi, D.F.V. James, Phys. Rev. A 83 (2011) 032101.

[23] F. Galve, G.L. Giorgi, R. Zambrini, Phys. Rev. A 83 (2011) 012102.

[24] D. Girolami, M. Paternostro, G. Adesso, J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. 44 (2011) 352002.

[25] X. Li, Z. Li, J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. 44 (2011) 095304.

[26] P.J. Leek et al., Science 318 (2007) 1889.

[27] R. Kubo, J. Math. Phys. 4 (1963) 174.

[28] N. Quesada, A. Al-Qasimi, D.F.V. James, [arXiv:1207.3689] [quant/ph].

[29] S. Daffer, K. Wodkiewicz, J.A. Cresser, J.K. McIver, Phys. Rev. A 70 (2004) 010304.

[30] A. Dragon, K. Wodkiewicz, Phys. Rev. A 71 (2005) 012322.

[31] C.H. Bennett, D.P. DiVincenzo, J.A. Smolin, W.K. Wootters, Phys. Rev. A 54 (1996) 03824; W.K. Wootters, Phys. Rev. A 80 (1998) 2245.

[32] M. Ali, A.R.P. Rau, G. Alber, Phys. Rev. A 81 (2010) 042105; Phys. Rev. A 82 (2010) 069902; Q. Chen, C. Zhang, S. Yu, X.X. Yi, V.H. Oh, Phys. Rev. A 84 (2011) 042313; D. Girolami, G. Adesso, Phys. Rev. A 83 (2011) 052108.

[33] C.Z. Wang, C.X. Li, L.Y. Nie, J.F. Li, J. Phys. B: At. Mol. Opt. Phys. 44 (2011) 015503.

[34] J.Q. Li, J.Q. Liang, Phys. Lett. A 375 (2011) 1496; J.Q. Li, J.Q. Liang, Phys. Lett. A 374 (2010) 1975.

[35] J. Maziero, L.C. Celeri, R.M. Serra, V. Vedral, Phys. Rev. A 80 (2009) 044102; J. Maziero, T. Werlang, F.F. Fanchini, L.C. Celeri, R.M. Serra, Phys. Rev. A 81 (2010) 022116.

[36] The geometric measure of quantum discord measures the quantum correlations through the minimum Hilbert-Schmidt distance between the given state and zero discord state, in the sense of the fact that almost all quantum states have non-vanishing quantum discord. In our calculations, we have used the simplified analytic form of GQMD for two-qubit case provided in Ref. [7].

[37] R.L. Franco, B. Bellomo, E. Andersson, G. Compagno, Phys. Rev. A 85 (2012) 032318.

[38] L. Mazzola, S. Maniscalco, J. Piilo, K.A. Suominen, B.M. Garraway, Phys. Rev. A 79 (2009) 042302.