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Pedestrian and vehicle interactions often lead to conflicts that bring about safety, traffic congestion, and priority or right of way issues. Common methods used in the past to combat said issues have largely relied on the principle of separating the motions of pedestrians and vehicles by means of bridges, tunnels, signals, and access restrictions. A different approach known as shared space aims to solve the same problems with a less structured and defined environment which instead places more reliance on human interaction and perception. Although it has been used in multiple scenarios across Europe with success, instances of shared spaces in the United States are few. In the past, the success of shared space has mainly focused on safety, aesthetic, and pedestrian use metrics, with little quantitative knowledge regarding the traffic congestion relief benefits. This research focuses on evaluating and quantifying the traffic congestion relief abilities of shared space designs utilizing Vissim traffic microsimulation software and the economic impact these changes can make. A major pedestrian crossing location on West Virginia University's Downtown campus along a major urban arterial was chosen as the case location upon which the model was to be built. This location posed unique aspects, which made it a prime choice for this research as the major concern for years has been traffic congestion, in addition to pedestrian safety and aesthetic appeal. The results of the analysis show that shared space can reduce vehicle travel time by up to 50% and delays by 66%.

1. Introduction

Shared space traffic designs have been used in an increasing number of countries around the world to solve congestion, safety, accessibility, and community issues. This design concept was first pioneered in the Netherlands by Hans Monderman in the late 1900s, but has since been adapted to fit other case-specific areas within Western Europe and most recently in North America. The applications of shared space range between urban and suburban locations and have been found most suitable for areas used by multiple modes of transport [1, 2].

Although the specific implementation details of each shared space have varied greatly across time and location, the core features of ridding an area of most if not all traffic signs, demarcations, and traditional controls, to allow multimodal users more independence and less decision making reliance on these engineered elements have been presented. By forcing drivers and pedestrians to no longer rely on traffic signals, signs, and designated crosswalks to create distinct rules of priority, they must instead be more alert to their surroundings and communicate with other users. Users quickly realize this upon entering a shared space environment and begin to proceed with more caution and make more eye contact between users. These actions by the individual user have been scientifically shown to increase the pedestrian safety and decrease the average vehicle speeds [1, 2]. It has also been noted anecdotally that the congestion and travel time through a specified area has been reduced as result of a shared space implementation; however, the data to back up these claims is rare. Finally, shared space designs have been shown to greatly increase the public’s perception of an intersection, corridor, or locale through the use of improved aesthetic elements. These now more welcoming areas have also in turn experienced improved and revitalized economic markets brought about by an increase in mostly bicycle and pedestrian users to the area [3, 4].
As mentioned above, there is an untapped potential with shared space to address congestion and traffic delay issues that arise at multimodal intersections or corridors. The traffic flow dynamics based on user behavior within a shared space have the potential to reduce this congestion and delay problem. Municipalities and design firms have unfortunately not yet turned to shared space as a viable means of addressing congestion problems. A simple, yet effective, way to examine traffic efficiency effects of an implemented shared space design is needed if shared space is to be taken seriously by engineers, planners, government officials, and community members.

The objective of this research is to evaluate the traffic congestion and vehicular delay impacts provided by a shared space design alternative compared to a traditional design. The research develops a microsimulation traffic modeling method using existing tools which would provide sufficient information to be used in decision making processes based on metrics such as travel time and delay. We present a novel way to adapt PTV Vissim’s existing capabilities to obtain a conservative estimate of the impact of shared space on congestion levels. A case study location known as Grumbein’s Island, a major pedestrian crossing on the West Virginia University downtown campus, was chosen for its widely known vehicular congestion and delay problem stemming from the very cyclical pattern of student pedestrians crossing at a single location. Vehicular and pedestrian traffic volume as well as turning movement percentages was collected in order to create a realistic model in PTV Vissim. In addition to the data collected, satellite images of the current roadway design and a conceptual design drawing are used as a baseline for the PTV Vissim model. This model is then used to extract travel time and delay in order to assess the impact of a shared space design.

2. Literature Review

Since various types of shared space projects have been emerging in several countries, engineering and research studies are now emerging with some regularity. The table, Table 1, provides an overview of the benefits observed at shared space implementations in Europe and the United States.

In addition, quasishared space like zones can be observed in major cities such as Barrack Street in Sydney, Chapel Road in Bankstown, and Jack Mundey Place at The Rocks in Sydney [5]. Jordan is looking to implement shared space in roads such as Al Medina Street in Amman which historically have had a healthy pedestrian automobile mix but have lost their identity to improve vehicular traffic [13].

Note that in almost all the above implementations, shared space has resulted in improved pedestrian safety which might be attributed to speed reductions [14, 15]. Monderman’s theory that at lower speeds, pedestrians and drivers would be able to establish eye contact and “socially interact” to anticipate each other’s behavior and determine their own appropriate response has been successful in reducing accidents and injuries [16]. Past implementations also show that shared space has also been successful in both busy streets in urban areas as well as rural areas. Shared space is also associated with reductions in most types of traffic conflicts [7]. In certain cases, e.g., Poynton, shared spaces have led to improved traffic flow. However, to date, there exists little to no published work documenting the quantification of the congestion benefit (or lack thereof) of shared space, which is the main focus of this paper.

3. Microsimulation Model

Some researchers have developed microsimulation models, based on social force theory, to model shared space [17–19]. Although the methodology used to create said models could be replicated and perhaps expanded to measure traffic parameters, it has been deemed to be too complex to be generalized. Our goal is to develop a framework which can be used by transportation designers and planners to measure the congestion impacts of shared space. A modeling technique which can capture the shared space dynamics, while also being able to simulate more traditional designs within a small network, is needed in the industry to fill the chasm between current methods and what is required to advance shared space as a viable alternative. With this in mind, PTV Vissim was chosen to be the platform for this model due to its comprehensiveness, industry prevalence, reputation, and flexibility. However, Vissim (and all other microsimulation platforms) is not explicitly capable of modeling shared space; therefore, adaptations to it are necessary.

Within PTV Vissim, the current standard to determine right of way between vehicles, pedestrians, or vehicles and pedestrians is by using conflict areas or priority rules. Both methods allow the modeler to dictate which direction of flow has priority over the other. The flow without priority will then yield to the other movement of traffic. There is also the option of not placing any rules regarding priority in the simulation which results in simulated users not seeing each other and behaving as if the last option exists only within the conflict area tool and allows one to express potential conflicts to the simulated users, but that it is not defined. In this case, simulated users can see the other users and know that their movement will conflict. They are then left to their own devices to decide which user shall proceed first. In the model, this is determined by multiple metrics that are either measured or randomly assigned to drivers and pedestrians, such as which user arrived first, vehicle speed, distance away from the potential conflict, level of driver/pedestrian aggression, etc. These metrics are the same as those used in the social force approach models discussed earlier [17–19] and the variables that real-life shared space users would encounter in order to make a decision as either a pedestrian or driver. These factors make this approach the closest and most suitable for simulating shared space. When this undetermined priority was placed between a single vehicle and single pedestrian, the users behaved nearly identically as if the pedestrian was given priority. In the literature review, it was noted that previous models and field data both show that shared space interactions between vehicles and pedestrians can be summarized by vehicles staying on course and only accelerating or decelerating to
Table 1: Observed benefits of shared space implementation.

| Location                                      | Benefits                                                                                                                                 |
|-----------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Oudehaske and Makkinga, Netherlands [1, 2]    | 40% reduction in vehicle speed                                                                                                           |
| Drachten, Netherlands [5]                     | Reduction in accidents from an average of 11 per year to only 2 in the first year                                                         |
| Bohmte, Germany [6]                           | Reduction in accidents on a busy street (12000 vpd) from one per week to none in four weeks                                              |
| Poynton, England [3, 4]                       | Average speed fell to 20 mph, travel time decreased and congestion improved, safety improved with one minor accident in the first 3 years compared to 4-7 in previous years, and economic revitalization with 80% of retailers reporting increased turnover |
| Exhibition Road, London, England [7]          | Reduction of number and severity of conflicts                                                                                           |
| Graz, Austria [8]                             | Reduction in vehicular speeds, improvement of social interactions and awareness, and no reported accidents in first four months          |
| Noordlaren [9]                                | Reduction of speeds by 6-7 mph                                                                                                           |
| Bell Street Park, Seattle;                    |                                                                                                                                         |
| Davis Street, Portland;                       | Low vehicle speeds, improved safety                                                                                                      |
| Santana Row, Promenade, San Jose, USA [10]    |                                                                                                                                         |
| Cambridge, MA [11]                            | Increased pedestrian activity and improved vibrancy                                                                                     |
| Market Square, Pittsburgh [12]                | Improved business and social activity                                                                                                   |

To avoid collisions. Note that pedestrians perform the opposite behavior and vary their route to avoid collisions but remain at a constant speed. Since pedestrians are unable in PTV Vissim to stray from their link's path, and the vehicles would always yield to them, it was deemed that this undetermined conflict area was the appropriate and conservative option when attempting to estimate the traffic delay, but could also be replicated with the pedestrians having outright priority and resulting in similar outcomes.

Finally, the lane/link width for vehicles was reduced to 6 ft. in order to reduce the distance between pedestrians and vehicles to trigger a conflict. This allows vehicles in the model to only yield to pedestrians when a collision will occur, rather than yielding to all pedestrians in the lane or crosswalk, even when a collision will not occur. The result is a smaller headway between vehicles and pedestrians that exists in real-world shared space scenarios. Likewise, the pedestrian links were also reduced in width to represent the width of 1-2 pedestrians crossing rather than an entire width of a crosswalk, therefore better representing the space they actually occupy.

Theoretically in a shared space environment, pedestrians are free to cross the street in whichever path they desire. This lack of designated crossing points leads to an infinite number of O-D pairs and crossing points that would need to be addressed in the model. Knowing, however, that pedestrians will ordinarily take the shortest possible path, we can reduce the number of crossing points necessary to include in the model by identifying major origins and destinations and mapping the crossing paths between them. Research has shown that in a real shared space scenario, vehicle, bicyclist, and pedestrian routes tend to cluster around a limited number of crossing paths [18]. This knowledge allows the modeler to choose how many pedestrian crossing paths, and where to place them, in the PTV Vissim model, based on knowledge of current pedestrian trip generators. The number of paths required to simulate the shared space as closely as possible will vary between sites based on the surrounding environment. Note that the pedestrian routes chosen should represent the shortest path for a pedestrian group and will therefore be more likely to cross the space at an acute angle rather than at a perfect ninety-degree angle (as is typical for designated crosswalks). The combined paths modeled in PTV Vissim should characterize the desired movements of the majority of the pedestrian users.

It should also be noted that the spacing of the pedestrian crossing paths is of critical importance. Due to the setup of the PTV Vissim software, there must be sufficient space on a vehicular travel link between two neighboring pedestrian crossing points to accommodate the largest class of vehicles being modeled. Inнатely, PTV Vissim does not allow a vehicle to cross a pedestrian path (conflict point) until it knows that the vehicle can traverse that conflict point without being stopped at the next one and consequently block the preceding conflict point. Therefore, without enough space for a vehicle to stop between two conflict points, the vehicle must wait until both conflict points are clear. This does not mimic the real workings of a shared space, and therefore it is imperative that there be enough space on each vehicular link between neighboring conflict points for the largest vehicle to stop. This layout of pedestrian crossing paths allows vehicles to incrementally progress through the intersection as they would in a real shared space.
Another main change which was applied in comparison to a traditional traffic model in Vissim was the vehicular speeds. In this case, our research points us to the fact that shared space designs, even without the use of posted speed limits, will reduce observed vehicle speeds to the range of 10-20 mph. Depending on the location and dynamic aspects of vehicle and pedestrian volume, the speed at any given shared space can vary between these two ranges. Since this model will be used to test the congestion relief aspects of shared space, the more conservative value of 10 mph was chosen.

### 4. Case Study

The case study location selected is located on the Downtown campus of West Virginia University in Morgantown, WV, as shown in Figure 1. WVU is currently home to approximately 30,000 students and another 30,000 citizens within the city limits. There are two main arteries, Beechurst and University Avenues, which run in the general North-South direction within the Downtown campus. University Avenue bisects the Downtown campus of WVU with many of the freshman dorm facilities as well as the student union, known as the Mountainlair, on one side, and the majority of the academic buildings on the opposite side of the street. Therefore, a pedestrian crosswalk was necessitated, and in the 1930s, a pedestrian island and single unsignalized crosswalk were installed at this location under the direction of then facilities manager and professor Dr. Grumbein to facilitate the safe crossing of students, faculty, and citizens. As the student population has greatly increased over the past 80 years, this location now known as Grumbein’s Island, experiences daily congestion and traffic delays for drivers on University Avenue.

The arrangement of the WVU facilities with one main "crosswalk" on University Avenue results in a large number of pedestrians crossing University Avenue directly in front of the Mountainlair for a 10-20-minute period between classes every hour on Monday, Wednesday, and Fridays and approximately every 90 minutes on Tuesdays and Thursdays. The influx of pedestrians to a single unsignalized crosswalk causes drivers to stop and wait for an extended period of time as the headway between pedestrians is typically insufficient to drive through. Long vehicle queues begin to form rapidly at this crosswalk as the rate of vehicles entering the queue from other streets is much greater than the rate at which cars can cross this single crosswalk. After the approximately 20-minute period is over, the queue begins to recede until normal traffic flow is resumed after an additional 10-20 minutes pass. This means that at multiple times during the day, there is an almost 40-minute period every hour in which traffic on this street is backed up, moving slowly, or potentially stopped for an extended period of time.

During the weeks of March 23rd-30th and March 30th-April 6th, 2014, a two-week-long data collection period was undertaken to provide base data to create a model of the current scenario and base the parameters for the shared space model on. Mounted radar vehicle counters and manual turning counters, operated by a group of volunteers, were used to collect the data during this collection period.

Figures 2 and 3 present a summary of the data collected during this first data collection period, which included vehicle volumes during peak and nonpeak hours, vehicle turning ratios at intersections, as well as pedestrian volumes on a 15-minute time interval. This out-of-the-ordinary pedestrian volume time period was chosen to capture the unique volume changes over the course of time at a university campus. More details of the data are provided in [20].

Once the PTV Vissim model was created for the current configuration, and travel time values were measured in...
Figure 2: Traffic volume.

Figure 3: Pedestrian volume.
the model, in-person trial travel time runs were taken to verify the model. This verification process was a success and warranted further progress on the model to now include the shared space design.

To identify pedestrian routes, major origin and destination locations were identified in the near vicinity of the shared space boundaries. In the case of WVU’s campus, this correlated to mainly academic and student service facilities. Pedestrian routes were then transcribed on to a satellite image connecting these designated origins and destinations. Pedestrian routes first followed sidewalks and pathways to get to the approximate boundary of the shared space and then were made to have a single straight line crossing of the street to the chosen destination. The resulting web of O-D pedestrian pairs is shown in Figure 4.

In collaboration with WVU administrators and Stantec Consulting Services Inc., a conceptual design rendering was created and used as the foundation for the geometric layout of the model in PTV VISSIM as shown in Figure 5.

We created multiple different scenarios of pedestrian dispersion for a total of six shared space simulation iterations. First, three different pedestrian volume dispersions over the four 15-minute time periods were created placing varying levels of stress on the shared space design during the peak 15-minute period. The first configuration was based on data and conclusions made by observing pedestrians on two separate occasions at the site. The second two configurations shifted the peak 15-minute time period intensity slightly. The second level of variation was provided by altering the ratio of preferred routes between origins and destinations that pedestrians would take. This varied the individual volume on each pedestrian link. By combining the two sets of scenarios, with three time variations and two route variations, respectively, we were able to make a total of six scenarios to test the model.

5. Analysis of Results

The analyses were based on travel time and delay which can be easily obtained from the PTV VISSIM simulation platform. The results shown below are for the 60-minute PM peak. The trends were consistent for the AM peak also.

Figure 6 shows the average travel time across both directions. We can see that the average travel time was lower
for all 6 iterations of the shared space simulation compared to the current traffic scenario. On average, across the six shared space simulations, the travel time for vehicles decreased by 13% and by 19% for Simulation 1 which represents the best estimate of pedestrian behavior. We can see that the standard deviation drastically decreased from the current scenario to all six of the shared space simulations. This equates to the risk of a driver not being able to traverse the prescribed area within the average time. The variance of the shared space travel time is significantly lower than that of the current scenario, meaning drivers could expect a much more consistent commute within the shared space. The current scenario had multiple outliers which stretched the variance of the observed travel time data to an extreme extent.

Figure 7 exhibits the presence of extreme outliers, where 7.63% of all vehicle traveling along University Avenue in the current model incurred a total travel time of more than 375 seconds. Compare this to the shared space simulations which have barely any occurrences above 200 seconds of travel time across all six models. It can also be seen that minimum travel time, or free flow travel time, for the current scenario is lower than the shared space simulations. Remember that this is due to the inherently lower speed limit set as described in the methodology within the shared space models at half of the current speed limit. This short free flow travel time however is counterbalanced by the extreme outliers on the other end of the spectrum. Therefore, in the current scenario, drivers have the chance of experiencing very little traffic and getting through quickly, but risk of being stuck in the queue for a long time if they do hit the inevitable traffic. On the other hand, in the shared space simulation, it is almost certain that a driver’s travel time would be within a much smaller range.

Figure 8 summarizes the vehicular travel time statistics for the peak pedestrian period. First looking at the average travel time, we can see that the first shared space model decreased by more than 170 seconds. On average, the shared space models decreased the travel time by 166 seconds, which represented 54% of the current travel time for vehicles during the peak pedestrian period. The median and standard deviation averaged across all six shared space models also showed significant drops of 42% and 72%, respectively. Figure 9 shows the distribution of travel time occurrences during the peak pedestrian period. We can see here that more than 40% of drivers observed in this time period experienced a travel time exceeding 375 seconds in the current model. On the other hand, some of the shared space models had no observed travel times above 250 seconds. The models that did had very few drivers above this range.

In addition to analyzing and comparing the raw travel time data from the seven separate models, a PERT analysis
of the observed vehicles experienced a travel delay in excess of 150 seconds. In the current operation, on the other hand, the highest percentage of observations does not fall until the 60- and 75-second bins with approximately 19% of the observations in each. The observations for the current operation also tail off quickly up to the 150-second point; however, there is again the presence of a large group of extreme outliers. Just like for the travel time statistics, 7.63% of the observed vehicles experienced a travel delay in excess of 375 seconds.

The PERT analysis was again performed on the delay data observed in the models. The current model expected delay was found to be 157.5 seconds. The six shared space models returned PERT expected delay values ranging between 37.5 and 67.5 seconds, with an average of 54.6 seconds. This represents a 102.9-second, or 65.34%, drop in delay between the current and shared space designs. Again, this PERT calculation helps show the significant advantage of utilizing shared space in this scenario.

As we know through experience, Morgantown is a very dense network of urban streets meeting at signalized and stop-controlled intersections. Our hypothesis through observation is that Grumbein’s Island location was not only a catalyst for congestion and delay for drivers along University Avenue but also throughout the downtown network. This is evidenced by the long queues exhibited in the current model. These queues would often extend beyond the study area and into surrounding intersections setting off a chain effect leading closer to gridlock. Shared space on the other hand drastically reduced the queue lengths, therefore taking away the direct interaction between intersections and reducing the spread of congestion and grid lock. The slower speeds of vehicles in a shared space also help reduce the speed and promulgation of traffic waves through the system. To truly capture these effects, a larger more complex model is needed, but our current results certainly are in support of these predictions.

6. Conclusions

This study has successfully shown how simulation software such as PTV Vissim can be utilized to simulate and analyze shared space as a proposed solution for traffic congestion problems. By taking advantage of built-in functionalities within the existing PTV software package, the planner or engineer can replicate these efforts to assess unique shared space designs. While several studies have documented the efficiency and safety improvements from a pedestrian perspective, to the best of our knowledge, this study is among the first to quantify the potential congestion benefits of shared space. A major pedestrian crossing location on West Virginia University’s Downtown campus along a major urban arterial was chosen as the case location. This location posed unique aspects which made it a prime choice for this research as the major concern for years has been traffic congestion, in addition to pedestrian safety and aesthetic appeal. The results of the analysis show that shared space can reduce vehicle travel time by up to 43% and delays by 66%. Shared space was also found to improve the reliability of travel times and hence reduce the chances of being stuck in traffic for longer periods.

Limitations of modeling shared space using PTV Vissim were also noted in this study. In order to define the routes of vehicles and pedestrians, limited discrete origin-destination pairs needed to be defined. In scenarios like the case study, this was feasible based on the limited amount of trip generators on the periphery of the shared space for pedestrians and the intersection of only two main streets for vehicles. Theoretically, if a shared space had multiple
pedestrian or vehicular routes that were too numerous to space appropriately within the confines of the model as discussed previously, they would need to be combined which would skew the results. This scenario is not likely to occur in the real world though. As found in the literature, most shared spaces occur along vehicular corridors where there is a set route for drivers or at intersections with a few intersecting roads. Likewise for pedestrians, the origins and destinations are ordinarily set by store fronts at a minimum which would be spaced sufficiently far apart to allow modeling in PTV.
Vissim. Bicycle users were also not incorporated into this model as they were not observed to constitute a significant portion of the total users. If bicycles were incorporated, it is anticipated that the overall traffic flow and resulting travel time and delay statistics would vary as bicycle users in a shared space share some of the characteristics of both pedestrians and vehicles.
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