Targeting the core of neurodegeneration: FoxO, mTOR, and SIRT1

Life Expectancy and the Impact on Neurodegenerative Disorders

Although with some cyclic changes, life expectancy is increasing globally. Recently in the US, life expectancy was decreasing over a four-year decline, but with a recent reduction in deaths from opioid overdoses, life expectancy is increasing again in the US (National Center for Health Statistics, 2019). Currently, life expectancy has reached eighty years of age. From the years 2000 through 2011, the age-adjusted death rate has been marked by a one percent decrease. Over the prior 50 years, the number of people over the age of 65 has doubled. It is predicted that large countries such as China and India will see an increase in the elderly population from 5% to 10% over multiple decades. Yet, the ten leading causes of death that include cardiac disease, cancer, trauma, respiratory disease, stroke, Alzheimer’s disease (AD), diabetes, influenza and pneumonia, kidney disease, and suicide continue to remain the same (National Center for Health Statistics, 2019). Several reasons can account for the increased lifespan, but increased access to preventative medical care, improved public health guidelines and sanitation measures, and new treatments for multiple disease entities appear to have promoted an increased lifespan (Maiese, 2017; Stefanatos and Sanz, 2018; Mladenovic Djordjevic et al., 2020).

The observed life expectancy increase has corresponded to the rising prevalence of non-communicable diseases (NCDs) (Hu et al., 2020; Maiese, 2020; Schiano et al., 2020). Almost seventy percent of the annual deaths that occur each year are the result of NCDs and over forty million people die from NCDs each year (World Health Organization, 2017). Of the 40 million individuals, at least fifteen million are between the ages of thirty and sixty-nine, demonstrating that all age groups be affected by NCDs. In addition, NCDs affect at least ten percent of people that are less than sixty years of age in high-income countries. In low and middle-income countries, NCDs can affect a much larger proportion of people with at least one-third of the population impacted under 60 years of age.

One significant disease entity for NCDs is neurodegenerative disorders. Nervous system diseases comprise over 600 disorders that can progressively lead to death and disability (Maiese, 2019; Corti et al., 2020; Xu et al., 2020). Both acute and chronic diseases of the nervous system affect large numbers of individuals throughout the globe that can exceed more than one billion individuals. This represents approximately 15% the world’s population and at least 7 million die each year from neurodegenerative disorders (Maiese, 2020).
All countries bear a significant financial burden from neurodegenerative disorders. The cost of neurodegenerative disorders is greater than $700 billion in the US alone and this includes dementia, stroke, back pain, epilepsy, trauma to the nervous system, and Parkinson’s disease (PD). Caring and treating dementia is considered the most significant cost factor with more than $800 billion a year required for dementia care at the present time (World Health Organization, 2017). Currently, the estimated costs for dementia treatment approximates 2% of the Gross Domestic Product in the world. Required medical and behavior care expenses in the US are estimated to total more than $200 billion dollars annually in this year. These projections do not account for the additional expenses necessary to provide adult living care, social outreach programs, and companion care.

Neurodegenerative disorders are expected to increase in prevalence throughout the globe as a result of the progressive increase in lifespan. As an example of the growing prevalence of neurodegenerative disorders, at least sixteen million medical care workers are necessary to provide unpaid care for people with AD or other dementias in the US. Sporadic cases of AD are increasing in the world with dementia now ranked as the 7th leading cause of death (Maiese, 2019). Dementia occurs in all countries throughout the world at a significant financial burden (World Health Organization, 2017). Greater than 5 million people suffer with cognitive disorders in the US and most of these cases, approximately 60%, are from AD (Maiese, 2020). Currently, 50 million people in the world, or 5% of the global population, have dementia. By 2030, dementia will affect 82 million individuals, and by 2050, 152 million individuals will be impacted by dementia. These numbers are compounded by other factors. Over 60 million additional medical workers and behavioral health walkers will be necessary (World Health Organization, 2017). It is also believed that dementia is under diagnosed throughout the world (Maiese, 2019). Furthermore, assuming the diagnosis is correct, dementia can be difficult to treat especially if the disease has progressed to later stages necessitating multiple modalities of treatment for both physical health and behavioral health.

An electronic search of the MEDLINE database for literature describing neurodegeneration or mammalian forkhead transcription factors or mechanism target of rapamycin or silent mating type information regulation 2 homolog 1 or protein kinase B or erythropoietin or autophagy or apoptosis (MeSH Terms) from 1946 to 2020.

Novel Avenues for the Treatment of Neurodegenerative Disorders

Neurodegenerative disorders present significant challenges for early diagnosis, treatment, and limiting the progression of disease. Overall, for many neurodegenerative disorders, treatment options are limited. If one considers AD, this disorder is a syndrome that is not the result of a single etiology. Multiple mechanisms may result in dementia (Fan et al., 2020; Hu et al., 2020; Maiese, 2020; Prokopenko et al., 2020; Wang et al., 2020). These can involve oxidative stress, excitotoxicity, metabolic dysfunction with diabetes mellitus (DM), astrocytic cell injury, acetylcholine loss, β-amloid (Aβ) and tau toxicity, RNA involvement, and mitochondrial damage (Caberlotto et al., 2019; Cai et al., 2019; De Vecchis et al., 2019; Kotrys and Szczesny, 2019; Hu et al., 2020; Maiese, 2020). Current therapies for AD are dependent upon cholinesterase inhibitors that may alleviate symptoms but not disease progression (Ruhl and Dhingra, 2018). Other treatments for dementia focus on vascular disease (Ding et al., 2018; Mehta et al., 2018; Wang et al., 2018; Maiese, 2020) and metabolic disorders, such as DM (Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, 2020; Hu et al., 2020; Maiese, 2020). Yet even for underlying conditions such as DM, tight serum glucose control cannot completely block the complications from DM (Coca et al., 2012; Maiese, 2020). Nutritional control of oral intake may can limit hyperglycemia, but diet mediated remedies have potential risks that can lead to a reduction in organ size with the activation of autophagy (Lee et al., 2014). Additional risk factors for neurodegenerative disorders include hypertension, limited education advancement, and tobacco use (Maiese, 2019). Given these challenges for the treatment of neurodegenerative disorders, innovative strategies are warranted to develop new areas of treatment that focus on core cellular mechanisms. One exciting avenue to effectively target neurodegenerative disorders involves the pathways of mammalian forkhead transcription factors (FoxOs), the silent mating type information regulation 2 homolog 1 (Saccharomyces cerevisiae) (SIRT1), the mechanistic target of rapamycin (mTOR), autophagy, and apoptosis (Figure 1).

Mammalian Forkhead Transcription Factors

Mammalian FoxOs offer a novel approach to target neurodegenerative disorders, especially those that involve dementia and the loss of cognition (Maiese, 2015; Liu et al., 2020a; Sanphui et al., 2020). Since the discovery of the Drosophila melanogaster gene forkhead, over 100 forkhead genes and nineteen human subgroups have been identified that range from FOXA to FOX5. The mammalian FOXO proteins of the O class have significant relevance to neurodegenerative disorders and include the members FOXO1, FOXO3, FOXO4, and FOXO6. Forkhead proteins are also known as forkhead in rhabdomyosarcoma (FKHR) (FOX1), FKHR1 (forkhead in rhabdomyosarcoma like protein 1) (FOXO3a), the Drosophila gene fork head, Forkhead RElated Activator-1 and -2, and the acute leukemia fusion gene located in chromosome X (Maiese, 2015). Arabic numbers are used with “Fox” in the current nomenclature, subsequently a subclass or subgroup letter is listed, and then the member number is provided within the subclass (Maiese, 2015). Letters are capitalized for human Fox proteins. In the mouse, only the initial letter is listed as uppercase and for all other chordates the initial and subclass letters are in uppercase (Maiese, 2015).

The forkhead box (FOX) family of genes has a conserved forkhead domain (the “forkhead box”) noted as a “winged helix”. This is due to X-ray crystallography and nuclear magnetic resonance imaging suggestive of a butterfly-like appearance for the forkhead box family of genes. The forkhead domain in FoxO proteins contains three α-helices, three β-sheets, and two loops that compose the “wings” of the domain. This is specific for the forkhead proteins, since not all winged helix domains are actually Fox proteins. FoxO proteins are transcription factors that bind to DNA through the FoxO-recognized element in the C-terminal basic region of the forkhead DNA binding domain. After forkhead binding to DNA, activation or repression of target gene expression occurs through fourteen protein-DNA contacts with the primary recognition site located at α-helix H3 (Clark et al., 1993). Post-translational changes that include FoxO protein phosphorylation or acetylation can alter the binding of the C-terminal basic region to DNA to prevent transcriptional activity and block FoxO activity (Tsai et al., 2007). Yet, other factors may affect forkhead binding to DNA. These include N-terminal region of the recognition helix variations, electrostatic distribution changes, and sequestering FoxO proteins in the nucleus of cells (Scodelaro Bilbao and Boland, 2013).

Modulation of Forkhead Transcription Factors, Sirtuins, and Mechanistic Target of Rapamycin

FoxOs are overseen by epigenetic and post-translation protein modifications that include phosphorylation (Maiese, 2015).
FoxOs rely upon a network of pathways that oversee the onset and progression of neurodegenerative disease. Precise modulation of FoxOs through epigenetic and post-translation protein modifications is required to achieve the proper balance of FoxO activity for successful clinical care. FoxOs are controlled through phosphorylation, acetylation, and ubiquitylation. FoxOs rely on protein kinase B (Akt), silent mating type information regulation 2 homolog 1 (Saccharomyces cerevisiae) (SIRT1), the mechanistic target of rapamycin (mTOR), mTOR Complex 1 (mTORC1), and mTOR Complex 2 (mTORC2). Ultimately, pathways of autophagy and apoptosis are affected such that under some conditions increased autophagy activity can clear toxic intracellular accumulations and inhibition of apoptotic pathways can prevent cell death. These pathways can also work in conjunction with trophic factors such as erythropoietin (EPO) to increase neuronal survival and maintain mitochondrial stability.

In recent years, SIRT1 has become an important target for disorders such as AD (Maiese, 2018). SIRT1 can decrease oxidative stress, protect neurons, and assist with preserving memory function (Maiese, 2020). With 17 beta-estradiol, SIRT1 can also reduce oxidative stress in murine experimental models (Khan et al., 2019). SIRT1 may block neurofibrillary degeneration that occurs during dysregulation of tau exon 10 splicing (Qian et al., 2018). In other aspects, SIRT1 can maintain mitochondrial integrity in conjunction with other mechanisms in models of HD (Sayed et al., 2019). SIRT1 activity leads to increased survival through inhibition of FoxO activity (Maiese, 2018). FoxO also can bind to the SIRT1 promoter region to alter forhead transcription. This promoter region has a cluster of five putative core binding repeat motifs and a forhead-like consensus-binding site. As a result, FoxOs may function through potential autocrine feedback mechanisms to regulate SIRT1 activity. In conjunction with SIRT1, FoxO proteins are necessary for pre-implantation embryo development and control SIRT1 protein expression through autocrine feedback pathways (Kuscu et al., 2019). FoxO proteins, such as FoxO1, have been shown to regulate SIRT1 transcription and increase SIRT1 expression (Xiong et al., 2011). FoxOs and SIRT1 can function together and synergistically increase the survival of cells. In studies examining the protective effects of hydrogen-rich water to limit the ability of FoxO proteins to bind to DNA (Matsuzaki et al., 2005). Furthermore, acetylation of FoxO proteins also promotes phosphorylation of FoxOs by Akt (Matsuzaki et al., 2005).

FoxO acetylation is controlled through SIRT1 (Figure 1). SIRT1 is a member of the sirtuin family (sirtuin 1) and is a histone deacetylase (Maiese, 2018; Cacabelos et al., 2019; Csicsar et al., 2019). SIRT1 controls DNA transcription by transferring acetyl groups from e-N-acetyl lysine amino acids to the histones of DNA. Seven identified mammalian homologues of Sir2 include SIRT1 through SIRT7. These histone deacetylases control metabolism, proliferation and survival of cells, senescence, and post-translation modifications of proteins (Maiese, 2020; Pan et al., 2020; Tang et al., 2020). SIRT1 uses nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide as a substrate. FoxO proteins are deacetylated by SIRT1 and other histone deacetylases. For example, histone deacetylase 2 forms a physical complex with FoxO3a. During oxidative stress in cerebellar granule neurons, the interaction between histone deacetylase 2 and FoxO3a can become reduced and ultimately lead to neurodegeneration (Peng et al., 2015).

In recent years, SIRT1 has become an important target for disorders such as AD (Maiese, 2018). SIRT1 can decrease oxidative stress, protect neurons, and assist with preserving memory function (Maiese, 2020). With 17 beta-estradiol, SIRT1 can also reduce oxidative stress in murine experimental models (Khan et al., 2019). SIRT1 may block neurofibrillary degeneration that occurs during dysregulation of tau exon 10 splicing (Qian et al., 2018). In other aspects, SIRT1 can maintain mitochondrial integrity in conjunction with other mechanisms in models of HD (Sayed et al., 2019).

SIRT1 activity leads to increased survival through inhibition of FoxO activity (Maiese, 2018). FoxOs also can bind to the SIRT1 promoter region to alter forhead transcription. This promoter region has a cluster of five putative core binding repeat motifs and a forhead-like consensus-binding site. As a result, FoxOs may function through potential autocrine feedback mechanisms to regulate SIRT1 activity. In conjunction with SIRT1, FoxO proteins are necessary for pre-implantation embryo development and control SIRT1 protein expression through autocrine feedback pathways (Kuscu et al., 2019). FoxO proteins, such as FoxO1, have been shown to regulate SIRT1 transcription and increase SIRT1 expression (Xiong et al., 2011). FoxOs and SIRT1 can function together and synergistically increase the survival of cells. In studies examining the protective effects of hydrogen-rich water to prevent Aβ toxicity in the brain, SIRT1 and FoxO3a were found to diminish Aβ injury that affected mitochondria and limit the toxicity of oxidative stress (Lin et al., 2015).

Interestingly, SIRT1 pathways are linked to the mTOR (Figure 1). mTOR is a 289 kDa serine/threonine protein kinase and the single gene FRAP1 encodes the protein (Maiese, 2020; Xu et al., 2020). mTOR also is described as the mammalian target of rapamycin and the FK506-binding protein 12-rapamycin complex-associated protein 1 (Maiese, 2018). mTOR serves as the principal component of the protein complexes mTOR

**Figure 1 | Novel Core Pathways for mammalian forhead transcription factors (FoxOs).**
Complex 1 (mTORC1) and mTOR Complex 2 (mTORC2). Rapamycin blocks the activity of mTORC1 by binding to immunophilin FK-506-binding protein 12 that attaches to the FK-506-binding protein 12-rapamycin-binding domain (FRB) at the carboxy-terminal of mTOR to interfere with the FRB domain of mTORC1 (Maiese, 2018).

mTORC1 consists of Raptor, Deptor (DEP domain-containing mTOR interacting protein), the proline rich Akt substrate 40 kDa (PRAS40), and mammalian lethal with Sec13 protein 8, termed mLST8 (mLST8) (Maiese, 2018, 2020). mTOR can oversee Raptor activity which is inhibited by rapamycin. Deptor, an inhibitor, blocks mTORC1 activity by binding to the FAT domain (FK-506-binding protein 12-rapamycin-associated protein, ataxia-telangiectasia, and the transactivation/transformation domain-associated protein) of mTOR. PRAS40 prevents mTORC1 activity by blocking the association of p70 ribosomal S6 kinase and the eukaryotic initiation factor 4E-binding protein 1 with Raptor (Maiese, 2018, 2020). Akt also is active in this pathway since mTORC1 activity is increased once phosphorylation of PRAS40 occurs by Akt. This releases the binding of PRAS40 and Raptor to sequester PRAS40 in the cell cytoplasm with the docking protein 14-3-3 (Chong et al., 2012; Shang et al., 2012a; Wang et al., 2012). In contrast to Deptor and PRAS40, mLST8 fosters the activity of mTOR.

mTORC2 is composed of Rictor, Deptor, the mammalian stress-activated protein kinase interacting protein (mSin1), mLST8, and the protein observed with Rictor-1 (Maiese, 2018, 2020). mTORC2 controls cytoskeleton remodeling through PKCa and cell migration through the Rac guanine nucleotide exchange factors P-Rex1 and P-Rex2 and through Rho signaling. mTORC2 promotes activity of protein kinases that includes glucocorticoid induced protein kinase 1, a member of the protein kinase A/protein kinase G/protein kinase C family of protein kinases. Rictor-1, a Rictor-binding subunit of mTORC2, leads to glucocorticoid induced protein kinase 1 activity. mSin1 is important for the assembly of mTORC2 and for mTORC2 to phosphorylate Akt (Frias et al., 2006). Rictor and mSin1 phosphorylate Akt at serine183 and promote threonine186 phosphorylation by phosphoinositide-dependent kinase 1 to increase the survival of cells.

During neurodegenerative disease, mTOR activation can prevent neuronal loss during diabetic neuropathy (Dong et al., 2019) and limit ischemic stroke injury in conjunction with circadian clock genes (Maiese, 2017, 2020; Beker et al., 2018; Ramanathan et al., 2018; Angelou et al., 2019). In addition, mTOR activation can block microglial injury from oxidative stress and prevent Aβ injury in neurons (Shang et al., 2012a, b; Cheng et al., 2018; Wang et al., 2020). With mTOR, vascular cell survival is increased (Park and Lee, 2017; Maiese, 2018) and neuroplasticity is promoted (Farmer et al., 2019).

SIRT1 maintains an inverse relationship with mTOR (Maiese, 2018). SIRT1 activity results in neurite outgrowth and increased neuronal survival during nutrient limiting conditions with the inhibition of mTOR (Guo et al., 2011). In some studies that examine cancer cell proliferation, SIRT1 can promote tumor cell growth with autophagy activity that requires mTOR inhibition, suggesting that both SIRT1 and autophagy pathways can be targets to control tumor cell growth (Mu et al., 2019). During oxidative stress, SIRT1 can promote autophagy induction and the inhibition of mTOR to prevent mitochondrial dysfunction in embryonic stem cells (Qu et al., 2014). During periods of hyperglycemia, SIRT1 blocks vascular cell demise during blockade of mTOR activity (Pal et al., 2019). Under other scenarios, blockade of mTOR with SIRT1 activation can increase cell survival for photoreceptor cells (Pan et al., 2020) and limit cell senescence (Zhang et al., 2019a). Yet, it should be recognized that under some conditions that may involve dopaminergic neuronal cell loss a balance in activities of SIRT1, mTOR, and forkhead transcription factors are required to achieve neuroprotection (Zhang et al., 2017a).

**Autophagy and Apoptosis**

Autophagy recycles cytoplasmic organelles and components for tissue remodeling (Maiese, 2018; Dorvash et al., 2020) and can remove non-functional organelles (Klionsky et al., 2016; Preau et al., 2019; Maiese, 2020) (**Figure 1**). There a several subdivisions of autophagy. Macroautophagy recycles organelles and sequesters cytoplasmic proteins into autophagosomes within cells. Autophagosomes subsequently combine with lysosomes to become degraded and begin a course for recycling (Maiese, 2018). Microautophagy is a process for lysosomal membrane invagination. As a result, components of the cell cytoplasm are sequestered and digested. Chaperone-mediated autophagy is a process that relies upon cytosolic chaperones to move components of the cytoplasm across lysosomal membranes.

In the nervous system, autophagy plays a significant role with neurodegenerative disorders. For example, as neuronal aggregates accumulate with aging in *Drosophila*, behavior is impaired and this is believed to be due to the loss of autophagic pathways that leads to toxic intracellular accumulations (Ratliff et al., 2015). Autophagy activation that can eliminate or sequester intracellular accumulations that are detrimental to cell survival also may influence disease progression in PD (Maiese, 2016; Fields et al., 2019; Zhang et al., 2019b; Zhou et al., 2019b; Corti et al., 2020; Tatullo et al., 2020), cognitive impairment and AD (Maiese, 2018; Hsieh et al., 2019; Zhang et al., 2019b; Zhou et al., 2019a), amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (Francois et al., 2014; Maiese, 2015; Sullivan et al., 2016), HD (Lee et al., 2015; Maiese, 2018), and traumatic brain injury (Maiese, 2016; Ye et al., 2017; Zhang et al., 2017b).

In contrast to autophagy, apoptosis has both an early and late phase (Maiese, 2018) (**Figure 1**). An early phase consists of phosphatidylyserine (PS) asymmetry loss on the plasma membrane (Hou et al., 2010a; Shang et al., 2010; Taveira et al., 2018). The later phase results in genomic DNA degradation (Hou et al., 2011; Taveira et al., 2018). Apoptosis begins through a cascade of nuclelease and protease activation that leads to caspase activation (Maiese, 2018; Bhowmick et al., 2019; Wu et al., 2020). This cascade can alter apoptosis with the early phase involving plasma membrane PS asymmetry and the later phase with genomic DNA degradation. Loss of cellular membrane PS asymmetry activates inflammatory cells to seek out cells with membrane asymmetry and remove them through engulfment (Hou et al., 2010b; Shang et al., 2010). If this process can be prevented, then cells remain functional despite externalization of membrane PS residues (Maiese, 2018; Taveira et al., 2018). However, the destruction of cellular DNA is usually not considered to be a reversible process (Maiese, 2018).

Apoptosis leads to cell death in multiple disease processes involving the nervous system. Suppression of cellular apoptosis can increase cell survival in AD (Maiese, 2016; Saleem and Biswas, 2017; Liang et al., 2018; Ullah et al., 2018), epilepsy (Maiese, 2016; El-Missiry et al., 2020; Yue et al., 2020), retinal disease (Almasieh et al., 2017; Tao et al., 2019), epilepsy (Maiese, 2016; Fields et al., 2019; Zhang et al., 2019a, b; Hsieh et al., 2019; Zhang et al., 2019b; Zhou et al., 2019a, b), and traumatic brain injury (Maiese, 2016; Ye et al., 2017; Zhang et al., 2017b).

**FoxO Transcription Factors and Neurodegeneration**

FoxOs are critical during neurodegenerative disorders and...
play a role in cognitive loss, vascular disease, behavior disorders, and neuronal injury (Maiese, 2015; Sangaletti et al., 2017; Sanphui et al., 2020). For example, during conditions that promote autophagy activation, such as with FoxO1 activation, basal autophagy is increased that can reduce atherogenesis (Maiese, 2015; Weikel et al., 2016). Ectopic expression of FoxO1 can promote autophagy and remove Huntingtin (mHtt) protein in neurons in models of HD (Vidal et al., 2012). Under some conditions, FoxO proteins interact with expanded polyglutamine tracts in coiled-coil structures in the cell nucleus that can lead to dendrite impairment and defects in behavior in models of Drosophila (Kwon et al., 2018). In addition, FoxO and SIRT1 activity are required with mTOR inhibition to promote autophagy activity in models with Drosophila and reduce neuronal accumulation of Aβ (Omata et al., 2014).

During periods of apoptosis, forkhead transcription factors such as FoxO3a can control p53 upregulated modulator of apoptosis and lead to dopaminergic neuronal cell degeneration (Sanphui et al., 2020). Inhibition of FoxO transcription factor activity can prevent microglial cell demise during reactive oxygen species release and Aβ exposure (Shang et al., 2012b), promote protective effects of metabotropic glutamate receptors (Maiese, 2015), and prevent neuronal injury through nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide precursors (Maiese, 2015). In addition, neurodevelopmental defects with infection of the Zika virus have been attributed to a rise in the expression of toll-like receptor 3 with increased forkhead transcription factor activity (Ojha et al., 2019).

Trophic factors, such as erythropoietin (EPO) (Govindappa et al., 2020; Liu et al., 2020b; Maiese, 2020), have been shown to be dependent upon FoxOs to prevent toxic injury to cells (Figure 1). EPO can offer neuroprotection in a number of models that are linked to cognitive loss and neuronal cell injury (Chang et al., 2018; Sun et al., 2019; Maiese, 2020). EPO can affect FoxO3a activity through post-translational phosphorylation and prevent FoxO3a translocation to the nucleus to block cellular apoptosis (Chong et al., 2011). EPO also can maintain the inhibitory phosphorylation and integrity of FOXO3a, foster FOXO3a and 14-3-3 protein binding, and regulate the intracellular trafficking of FOXO3a that is dependent upon SIRT1 (Chong and Maiese, 2007; Hou et al., 2011). EPO can reverse the acetylation of FOXO3a and FOXO1a during the deprivation of growth factors (Mahmud et al., 2002). Cellular protection with EPO is concentration dependent and may rely upon the ability to phosphorylate FoxO proteins which appears to be lost at elevated concentrations of EPO (Andreucci et al., 2009).

Forkhead transcription factors could offer a promising strategy to address neurodegenerative disorders, such as cognitive loss. In a number of scenarios, FoxO activation leads to neuronal injury and death. For example, nuclear retention of FoxO3a appears to significantly correlate with DNA damage in aged brains of individuals (Fluteau et al., 2015). Activation of DAF-16/FOXO transcription factor can negate the neuronal apoptotic effect of knockdown of mammalian forkhead transcription factors (FoxOs), the silent mating type information regulation 2 homolog 1 (Saccharomyces cerevisiae) (SIRT1), the mechanistic target of rapamycin (mTOR), and the programmed cell death pathways of autophagy and apoptosis. FoxOs can reverse the acetylation of FOXO3a and FOXO1a during the deprivation of growth factors (Mahmud et al., 2002). Cellular protection with EPO is concentration dependent and may rely upon the ability to phosphorylate FoxO proteins which appears to be lost at elevated concentrations of EPO (Andreucci et al., 2009).

Targeting the Core of Neurodegeneration: FoxO, mTOR, and SIRT1

Future Considerations

Throughout the globe, lifespan is increasing. This is especially evident in large developing countries such that the number of people of advanced age is expected to rise from five to ten percent over the next several decades. Yet, of significant concern is the increase in NCDs and in particular neurodegenerative disorders that impact more than one billion individuals throughout the world. Approximately fifteen percent of the people across the globe are impacted by neurological disorders and almost seven million die each year from neurodegenerative disorders. In addition, severe financial burdens are placed on countries that consume significant portions of the Gross Domestic Product to care for individuals with neurodegenerative disorders.

Current strategies to treat neurodegenerative disorders are limited and in most cases these treatments do not address the underlying mechanisms of these disorders. For these reasons, FoxOs, SIRT1, mTOR, autophagy, and apoptosis represent interesting targets that have the potential to focus upon core mechanisms of neurodegenerative disorder.

Overall, for many neurodegenerative disorders, treatment options are limited. Multiple mechanisms can lead to cellular injury that include β-amyloid (Aβ), tau, excitotoxicity, mitochondrial damage, acetylcholine loss, astrocytic cell injury, oxidative stress, and cellular metabolic dysfunction with diabetes mellitus.

An exciting avenue to target neurodegenerative disorders consists of the pathways of mammalian forkhead transcription factors (FoxOs), the silent mating type information regulation 2 homolog 1 (Saccharomyces cerevisiae) (SIRT1), the mechanistic target of rapamycin (mTOR), and the programmed cell death pathways of autophagy and apoptosis.

FoxOs in conjunction with SIRT1 and mTOR can modulate autophagy activation and offer beneficial outcomes such as to reduce atherogenesis, limit Aβ cellular injury, and assist with clearance of toxic Huntingtin (mHtt) protein. Yet, under other conditions, FoxOs can be mediators of cellular apoptosis and result in dopaminergic neuronal cell degeneration, promote Aβ toxicity, and lead to neurodevelopmental defects.

Given these challenges, the development of FoxOs as therapeutic agents must consider the fine modulation of FoxOs through epigenetic and post-transcriptional protein modifications and the intimate relationship with SIRT1 and mTOR as well as the pathways of protein kinase b (Akt) and autophagy that can involve autophagy and apoptosis for the development of successful clinical treatments for neurodegenerative disorders that are without unwanted toxic effects.
FoxOs can modulate autophagy activation and be beneficial to reduce atherogenesis, limit Aβ accumulation, and assist with clearance of mHtt protein. Yet, FoxOs also can precipitate apoptotic neuronal cell death. FoxOs can be mediators of cellular apoptosis and result in dopaminergic neuronal cell degeneration, promote Aβ toxicity, and lead to neurodevelopmental defects. Growth factors, such as EPO, maintain cellular function by maintaining inhibitory phosphorylation of FoxOs and by regulating the intracellular trafficking of FoxOs. As a result, FoxOs present a number of challenges for the translation of these agents into effective and safe clinical care strategies for disorders of the nervous system.

In this regard, the targeting of FoxOs as therapeutic agents must consider the fine modulation of these agents through epigenetic and post-translation protein modifications to achieve the proper balance of FoxO activity for effective clinical care that limits unwanted toxic effects (Figure 1). In addition to the pathways associated with phosphorylation, acetylation, and ubiquitylation that rely upon Akt to modulate FoxO activity, both SIRT1 and mTOR also offer robust prospects to control FoxO activity that is tied to both autophagy and apoptosis as well as the cell signaling pathway of Akt. For example, FoxO proteins can function with SIRT1 through a number of pathways. SIRT1 activity leads to increased survival through inhibition of FoxO activity through deacetylation. However, FoxOs also may function through autoregulatory mechanisms that can control SIRT1 activity since FoxO proteins have been shown to oversee the transcription and expression of SIRT1. SIRT1 maintains a close but inverse relationship with mTOR as well. For example, SIRT1 can promote neurite outgrowth and increase neuronal survival during nutrient limiting conditions by limiting mTOR activity. In conjunction with forkhead transcription factors, SIRT1 can be beneficial by decreasing mTOR activity and promote autophagy activity to reduce neuronal accumulation of Aβ. Yet, these pathways are intimately dependent upon one another and may require a careful balance to achieve a desired clinical outcome. For example, under conditions that involves dopaminergic neurons a balance in activities of SIRT1, mTOR, and FoxOs is required to achieve neuroprotection. Furthermore, studies show some conditions on SIRT1 and FoxOs can function synergistically such as to diminish Aβ toxicity in mitochondria and limit injury from oxidative stress. The phosphorylation of FoxOs also can be influenced by the exposure to trophic factors, such as EPO, to enhance neuronal survival and protection. In addition, autophagy activation may not be consistently beneficial in the nervous system and can lead to injury in endothelial progenitor cells, dysfunction of mitochondria during oxidative stress, and prevent angiogenesis (Maiese, 2018), suggesting that mTOR activation rather than inhibition may be vital at times to maintain neuronal system function. With this knowledge at hand, it is evident that future studies are necessary to provide vital guidance for the targeting and modulation of FoxOs, SIRT1, and mTOR in the nervous system that can effectively target neurodegenerative disorders for novel strategies to limit and resolve disease onset and progression.
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