Biomineralization mediated by anaerobic methane-consuming cell consortia
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Anaerobic methanotrophic archaea (ANME) play a significant role in global carbon cycles. These organisms consume more than 90% of ocean-derived methane and influence the landscape of the seafloor by stimulating the formation of carbonates. ANME frequently form cell consortia with sulfate-reducing bacteria (SRB) of the family Deltaproteobacteria. We investigated the mechanistic link between ANME and the natural consortium by examining anaerobic oxidation of methane (AOM) metabolism and the deposition of biogenetic minerals through high-resolution imaging analysis. All of the cell consortia found in a sample of marine sediment were encrusted by a thick siliceous envelope consisting of laminated and cementing substances, whereas carbonate minerals were not found attached to cells. Beside SRB cells, other bacteria (such as Betaproteobacteria) were found to link with the consortia by adhering to the siliceous crusts. Given the properties of siliceous minerals, we hypothesize that ANME cell consortia can interact with other microorganisms and their substrates via their siliceous envelope, and this mechanism of silicon accumulation may serve in clay mineral formation in marine sedimentary environments. A mechanism for biomineralization mediated by AOM consortia was suggested based on the above observations.

Anaerobic oxidation of methane (AOM) is frequently coupled to sulfate reduction and this reaction is mediated by the association between anaerobic methanotrophic archaea (ANME) and sulfate-reducing bacteria (SRB). ANME can be divided into three phylogenetic groups, ANME-1, -2, and -3, which are related to the Methanosarcinales and Methanomicrobiales methanogens2–6, whereas SRB mainly belong to the Desulfosarcina/Desulfococcus (DSS) of Deltaproteobacteria7. In addition, Alphaproteobacteria related to Sphingomonas spp. and Betaproteobacteria related to Burkholderia spp. have also been observed as the dominant or sole bacterial partner with ANME-28. These organisms form AOM microbial consortia and are widely distributed, from marine cold seep systems, the sulfate-methane transition zone of sediments, hydrothermal vents, the deep biosphere, and marine water columns to terrestrial habitats such as mud volcanoes, landfills, and the anoxic water of freshwater lakes9–13. In some environments, the abundance of the consortia can reach a concentration of 10⁷ aggregates/cm³ of sediment14. However, due to the slow growth rate and long doubling time of AOM consortia, no pure ANME and/or associated SRB cells have been cultivated in the laboratory yet.

As AOM provides carbon and energy sources for the growth of ANME15, it leads to a significant increase in alkalinity, dissolved inorganic carbon, and sulfide, which induces the precipitation of carbonates and iron sulfides16. This microbial process may shape the seafloor landscape by fostering the building of carbonate chimneys, nodules, and pavements17,18. However, the mechanistic link between the metabolism of the AOM consortia and the deposition of authigenic minerals has yet to be found.

The AOM consortia comprised of ANME-2 and SRB have diameters of around 3–20 μm, are spherical in shape, and have two different spatial arrangements of cells, shell-type and mixed-type. Shell-type consortia have a well-known morphology with an inner core of ANME, which is partially or fully surrounded by outer SRB, whereas the ANME and SRB of the mixed-type are completely mixed to form irregular shapes1.

In addition to the various spatial arrangements of cells, it has been observed that the consortia, particularly those containing ANME-2, are enclosed by a thick organic matrix1,19. Given the significant roles of extracellular
Polymeric substances, the organic matrix of AOM consortia may facilitate the nucleation of minerals. However, the composition and the mechanism of mineralization on the exopolymers of the AOM of such consortia are poorly understood.

The present study was designed to understand both the mechanistic links between ANME and the associated bacterial cells and AOM metabolism involved in the deposition of biogenic minerals. High-resolution imaging analysis combined with fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH), light microscopy, scanning electron microscopy (SEM), energy-dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDS), and nanometer-scale secondary ion mass spectrometry (NanoSIMS) were used to delineate the biogeochemical microenvironments of AOM. Cell staining, FISH, light microscopy, and a combination of NanoSIMS/SEM techniques were used to localize and image the ion distribution of the inner layers of the consortia directly on the environmental samples without the use of ultrathin sections.

Results

Light micrographs of consortia envelopes. The sample was collected from sediment of 0–40 cm in depth that was obtained from the Capt Aryutinov mud volcano located at a depth of 1200 m in the Gulf of Cadiz. The mud mainly contained carbonates, quartz, and clay, as shown in Supplementary Figure S1–3. This sample was stored at ambient pressure in artificial seawater supplemented with methane at 4°C. Light micrographs showed that ANME-2-SRB consortia within the stored sediment sample were entirely surrounded by amassing crusts (Figure 1a–c), forming consortia envelopes, which were recognized as a thick organic matrix in previous reports. These envelopes were consistently observed in the enriched AOM culture sample (Figure 1d), indicating their formation during cell enrichment. These extracellular structures had a thickness ranging from 0.5 to 7 μm. FISH experiments on AOM consortia showed that this structure was permeable and had limited optical transparence. Amorphous minerals were clearly observed on the envelope (Figure 1b). When the AOM consortia grew in size, they formed small aggregates of “buds” and fissures. A number of consortia were captured undergoing fission within the envelope (e.g., Figure 1c, d) and a new part of the envelope was observed forming at the interface between two daughter consortia (Figure 1c, d). These observations suggested that the envelope structure might functionally...
affect the physiology of the cells within the structure. Proteins and polysaccharides were detected on the envelope by the use of FITC and Alcian Blue staining, respectively (Figure 2a–c).

**Morphology and composition of the consortia envelope at the nanoscale.** A combination of cell localization with FITC staining and SEM observations revealed the structures of the ANME-2-SRB consortia, which were found to be completely covered with laminated and cementing materials (Figure 3a–b). The EDS analysis of the lamina substance showed a high abundance of Si, Al, and O, indicating a mineral composition similar to that of phyllosilicate (Figure 3c); the cementing material similarly contained high levels of O and Si, and low abundances of Al, Mg, Ca, Fe, and Na (Figure 3d). Selected area electron diffraction by transmission electron microscopy on the poorly crystallized and laminated siliceous materials yielded similar structures consistent with kaolinite (Supplementary Figure S4). A notable peak of carbon was detected from both the laminated and cementing materials, which could have been derived from the exopolymeric substance.

Silicon was found distributed around the whole cell consortia, as mapped by NanoSIMS (Figure 4a), and was also distributed at the interface between the two dividing parts of the consortia (Figure 4c–d). The ratio of Si to Al varied within a range of approximately 1–4 (Figure 4b). Again, a similar distribution of silicon was observed in all of the consortia of the enriched cell cultures (Figure 4e–f), indicating active silicon mineralization.

To test whether the consortia envelope contained carbonates, we used $^{12}C^{14}N$ and $^{31}P$ ion mapping to localize the cellular components, and $^{12}C$ ion mapping to delineate the distribution of the entire carbon element, including carbonate. As shown in Figure 5, the patterns of the $^{12}C^{14}N$ and $^{31}P$ mappings were almost identical to those of the $^{12}C$ ion mapping on all of the analyzed layers (Figure 5d–f), indicating the absence of carbonates around the microbial consortia. The wrinkled edge of the $^{12}C^{14}N$ and $^{31}P$ patterns may represent carbon from extracellular polymers, which shrunk when the sample was dried during sample treatment (Figure 5d–f).

**Cell envelope serving as the adhering matrix.** The siliceous structure may have provided a surface for additional microbial adhesive growth, which is one of the most important survival strategies used by all microorganisms. Surface adhesion allows the anchoring of microorganisms in nutritionally advantageous environments. The adhesive growth of Betaproteobacteria observed on the surface of the AOM consortia accounted for approximately 1% of the whole consortia (Figure 6a–b). Occasionally, microorganisms of unknown types were observed to attach to the surface of the consortia envelope (e.g., Figure 6c). In this way, the clay mineral envelope served as an active interface for the adhesive growth of extra-consortia microorganisms. This would explain the observations from previous magnetic bead capture experiments showing that other types of microorganisms can form cell aggregates in combination with ANME-SRB. Moreover, associated substrate exchange may occur.

---

**Figure 2** | FITC and Alcian Blue staining of a consortium from the stored marine seditary sample, (a) without staining, (b) with FITC staining, and (c) with Alcian Blue staining. In all cases, the scale bars represent 5 μm.

**Figure 3** | SEM and EDS analyses of AOM consortia. (a) SEM image of the entire consortia. (b) Magnification of an area in (a). (c) EDS analysis of the mineral structure of a representative lamina (white arrow). (d) Analysis of a typical cementing mineral (arrow).
varied geochemical environments, such as the sediment of iron-rich rivers or lakes and geothermal environments. Generally clayey minerals can be formed via three pathways: Si(OH)₄ interacts with positively charged R–NH₃⁺ groups on the cell surface and induces silica to nucleate and grow, dissolved silicate and aluminum species adhere indirectly to negatively charged COOH⁻ or PO₄³⁻ groups on the cell wall and associated exopolymer via a metal cation bridge, or colloidal species of (Fe, Al)-silicate react directly with either cellular polymers or adsorbed iron that eventually transform into clay minerals.

It has been reported that the physiological activity of cyanobacteria can shift the local pH from 3.4 to 9 (or higher) to induce the dissolution of quartz. AOM consortia may similarly cause a release of silicon from the solid phase. Silica has high solubility and dissolution rates in alkaline solutions. In water with a neutral pH, amorphous and crystalline SiO₂ converts to Si(OH)₄ following a slow hydrolysis step that produces hydroxyl ions to stimulate the polarization and breakdown of the Si-O bond. In cold seep environment, HCO₃⁻ and HS⁻ produced from AOM cause an increase in alkalinity. Experimentally, ANME and associated bacteria in a high-pressure continuous bioreactor resulted in an increase of pH from 7.0 to 8.5 after 40 days incubation. A recent study on silicification in seeps suggested that the increase in pH resulting from AOM was the main factor for the dissolution of diatom silica skeletons. However, high-resolution in situ measurements of pH in sediment with high AOM activity showed that the pH value varied between 7.7 and 7.9, which is a typical pH range for marine sediment. It is possible that the pH increase resulting from the eco-physiological activity of AOM is compensated by protons released during silica dissolution and carbonate precipitation.

We suggest that AOM may accelerate the process of silica leaching and that the free silica will redeposit on the surface of the AOM consortia via any of the above-mentioned pathways. The absence of carbonate minerals on the surface of AOM consortia suggests that the microenvironment of the consortia is not favorable for the precipitation of carbonate. Organic components secreted by microorganisms either favor or inhibit the precipitation of carbonate, depending on their intrinsic characteristics; however, this inhibition does not affect carbonate precipitation elsewhere in the bulk system.

We compared a stored marine sediment sample and an AOM enrichment culture to exclude potential bias resulting from laboratory manipulations. The same extracellular structures were identified in all of the AOM consortia, which strongly suggests active biomineralization mediated by AOM consortia. This AOM-mediated biomineralization is summarized in the model shown in Figure 7. AOM metabolism increases the alkalinity of the microenvironment, accelerating the leaching and dissolution of silica, which re-deposits on the surface of the consortia by biological adsorption. The primary poorly crystallized silica gradually ages to more stable crystalline phases over time. The precipitation of carbonates on the surface of the consortia is, however, prohibited due to the high solubility product values of carbonates when compared with those of clay minerals.

The development of a siliceous envelope may benefit the microbial consortia in at least three aspects. First, it will enhance the structural integrity of the syntrophic members. The association between ANME and SRB is thought to be the result of an ancient type of multicellular symbiosis. AOM consortia grow by increasing the cell numbers and size of the consortia, and larger consortia may eventually break into two or more aggregates. Second, as enclosure by the siliceous envelope may keep ANME and SRB together, it has also been suggested that clay-like minerals may provide a source of exchangeable nutrients. Experimental studies have demonstrated that clays, such as montmorillonite and kaolinite, can host methane hydrate34,35. Finally, it would be very interesting to test in the future whether the clay envelope surrounding the AOM cell consortia is capable of methane storage, thus serving as a cell-adhering material and an exchangeable matrix of nutrients, as described above.

Discussion
The formation of a siliceous envelope is a bacteria-induced mineralization of clay that has been found to be a common eco-physiological process. Clay-encrusted bacteria have been identified from varied geochemical environments, such as the sediment of iron-rich
Figure 5 | Micrographs and serial top-down ion distribution of a representative ANME-2/SRB consortium from a stored marine sedimentary sample. (a) Bright-field photomicrographs of the consortium. (b) Overlay of (a) and (c). (c) Epifluorescent FISH image of a microbial consortium, with the ANME in red and the SRB in green. (d) Serial overlays of $^{12}$C$^{14}$N (red) and $^{28}$Si (green) ion distributions of the consortium, which were acquired to locate the cellular components and siliceous minerals. The green background was caused by the glass slide. (e) Serial images of $^{12}$C (in Hue-Saturation-Intensity) ion distribution, which were acquired to localize potential carbonates around the consortium. (f) $^{31}$P (yellow) ion images, which were acquired to locate the cellular biomass such as nucleic acids and protein. For columns (d–f), the color intensity scales represent the ion counts in the NanoSIMS analysis. The bars represent 5 µm in all cases. The dashed lines in (b) and (d) indicate the margin of the consortia envelope. In (e) and (f), the wrinkled edges (arrows) were assigned to polysaccharide and protein within the extracellular organic matrix, which shrank during the sample preparation for NanoSIMS.
The discovery of AOM consortia encrusted with clayey minerals also reveals a mechanism involved in the preservation and fossilization of AOM in modern and ancient marine sediments. AOM consortia in hydrocarbon seeps are common in the sediments where methane-derived 13C-depleted carbonate precipitates. However, no direct fossilization of AOM cells has been discovered yet. Recent studies on the microstructures in methane seep carbonates interpreted these bacteriomorphs to be pseudofossils resulting from the diagenetic alteration of euhedral Fe-sulfide framboids. On the basis of that work, we argue that microstructures with a particular Si-Al composition in cold-seep 13C-depleted carbonates may be fossilized AOM consortia. This mechanism of mineralization could be used in searching for fossilized AOM in diagenetic marine carbonaceous sediments or ancient marine sedimentary rocks. Considering that AOM may have represented an important biological process in the ancient anoxic biosphere, this siliceous structure may have played historically significant roles in the oceanic silicon cycle and AOM burial, similar to that played by the siliceous cell walls of diatoms and cyanobacteria in modern oceans.

Methods

Sample description. The sample used in this study had a sediment depth of 0–40 cm and was originally collected in 2006 from the Capt Aryutinov Mud Volcano (N35°39.700′ W07°20.012″) in the Gulf of Cadiz, Atlantic Ocean, 1200 m below sea level. The collected sediment was stored at ambient pressure in an artificial seawater medium at 4 °C that was supplemented with methane. Anaerobic oxidation of methane coupled to a sulfate reduction (SR-AOM) activity of 0.29 μmol sulfide production/gdw/day was measured using the methods described previously. The enriched ANME-2-SRB culture was obtained from a high-pressure (8 MPa) continuous bioreactor for AOM enrichment, where a high SR-AOM activity of 9.22 μmol sulfide production/gdw/day was obtained as described previously. Within the enrichment, 99% of the biomass was from AOM consortia, in which ANME-2 and SRB were found to be increased by 12.5 and 8.4 fold, respectively.

FISH. Sample fixation and FISH procedures followed previously described methods. Samples were fixed for 12 hours with 2% formaldehyde, washed twice with PBS, and finally re-suspended with a 1:5 mix of PBS/ethanol. Fixed samples were filtered through a polycarbonate membrane with a 0.22 μm pore size (Millipore, Eschborn, Germany). Then, the membrane was subjected to hybridization and washing procedures as described previously. Probes specific to ANME-2 (ANME-2-538-Alexa594 and ANME-2-538-AMCA), Desulfobacteriaceae (DSS658-Alexa488), and Betaproteobacteria (BET-42a-Alexa594) were purchased from Life Technologies (Shanghai, China). For FISH with multiple probes, a formamide concentration of 50% was used for both DSS658 and ANME-2-538, and of 35% for BET-42a.

FITC and Alcian Blue staining procedures. For protein staining, samples were first centrifuged at 9000 × g for 1 min to remove the supernatant. The precipitate was then incubated with fluorescein isothiocyanate (FITC; Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA) solution (0.5 g/L distilled water) for 30 minutes at room temperature followed by triple washing with 18 MΩ deionized distilled water. The procedures for Alcian Blue staining were conducted as described previously.

Light microscopy. The AOM consortia were observed with an Eclipse 90i automated microscope system (Nikon, Tokyo, Japan). Monochrome images were taken using a CoolSNAP HQ2 camera (Photometrics, Tucson, AZ, USA) and color images were
taken with a PowerShot G11 camera (Canon, Tokyo, Japan). The images were processed with NIS Element AR image software (Nikon).

FISH-NanoSIMS. NanoSIMS analysis was conducted on a NanoSIMS 50L (CAMECA, Gennnevilliers Cedex, France) at the Institute of Geology and Geophysics, Chinese Academy of Sciences (Beijing), and the ion images were processed with ImageJ software.

The NanoSIMS samples were examined on a round glass (1 inch in diameter). The FISH-stained samples were washed from the polycarbonate membrane with 18 μM deionized distilled water and a 0.5 μl sample was dropped onto the round glass. Then, the sample drops were dried at room temperature, which led to the formation of spots on the round glass. As dried microbial consortia display irregular patterns of FISH signals, the spots on the glass were mounted with 0.1 μl 18 MΩ deionized distilled water and micrographs were quickly obtained before the samples dried again. Micrographs of consortia at high magnification were taken for identification of the microbial consortia and lower magnification images covering the whole sample spot were taken for localization (e.g., Supplementary Figure S5). These micrographs were used as maps to locate the consortia under NanoSIMS. Finally, the round glass was silver-coated with gold (25–90 at 30 mA) and used for NanoSIMS analysis.

SEM and EDS. Observations by SEM and EDS analyses were conducted with a NOVA NanoSEM 230 (FEI, Shanghai, China) and AZTec X-Max80 EDS (Oxford Instruments, Abingdon, UK), respectively. Samples stained with FITC were deposited on 0.3 mm cold stage glass squares, which were clipped from cover glasses (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA). Cell localization and gold coating followed the same procedures described above.
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