Structure–function studies of histone H3/H4 tetramer maintenance during transcription by chaperone Spt2
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Cells use specific mechanisms such as histone chaperones to abrogate the inherent barrier that the nucleosome poses to transcribing polymerases. The current model postulates that nucleosomes can be transiently disrupted to accommodate passage of RNA polymerases and that histones H3 and H4 possess their own chaperones dedicated to the recovery of nucleosomes. Here, we determined the crystal structure of the conserved C terminus of human Suppressors of Ty insertions 2 (hSpt2C) chaperone bound to an H3/H4 tetramer. The structural studies demonstrate that hSpt2C is bound to the periphery of the H3/H4 tetramer, mimicking the trajectory of nucleosomal-bound DNA. These structural studies have been complemented with in vitro binding and in vivo functional studies on mutants that disrupt key intermolecular contacts involving two acidic patches and hydrophobic residues on Spt2C. We show that contacts between both human and yeast Spt2C with the H3/H4 tetramer are required for the suppression of H3/H4 exchange as measured by H3K56ac and new H3 deposition. These interactions are also crucial for the inhibition of spurious transcription from within coding regions. Together, our data indicate that Spt2 interacts with the periphery of the H3/H4 tetramer and promotes its recycling in the wake of RNA polymerase.
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In the context of transcription elongation, earlier studies suggested that nucleosomes are disassembled in front of RNA polymerase II and recovered immediately after its passage [Kireeva et al. 2002; Belotserkovskaya et al. 2003]. The appropriate redeposition of histones upon RNA polymerase II passage depends on histone chaperones and histone marks [Smolle and Workman 2013]. A defect in this function leads to inaccurate transcription from within coding regions, a significant change in the transcription profile, and the production of thousands of cryptic transcripts [Rufiange et al. 2007; Smolle and Workman 2013]. Interestingly, in transcribed regions, H2A/H2B exchange rates are substantially higher [at least 20-fold] than H3/H4 exchange rates [Kimura and Cook 2001; Thiriet and Hayes 2005], suggesting that nucleosomes could be transiently disrupted to accommodate the passage of RNA polymerases and that histones H3 and H4 have their own recycling mechanisms during transcription elongation [Dion et al. 2007]. Recently, several reports confirmed that the majority of H3/H4 tetramers are maintained, rarely split, and recycled back during transcription [Xu et al. 2010; Katan-Khaykovich and Struhl 2011]. Importantly, several classes of histone chaperones are involved in histone H3/H4 dynamics on transcribed genes, including Asf1, HIRA, FACT [facilitates chromatin transcription complex], Spt6 [encoded by Suppressors of Ty insertions gene family 6], and Spt2 [Orphanides et al. 1999; Kaplan et al. 2003; Nourani et al. 2006; Jamai et al. 2009; Avvakumov et al. 2011; Osakabe et al. 2013]. Most of these conserved factors are essential to the maintenance of nucleosomal structure and the redeposition of H3/H4 within coding regions of genes [Avvakumov et al. 2011; Thebault et al. 2011; Smolle and Workman 2013].
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However, the mechanism of H3/H4 recycling by these factors during transcription elongation remains unclear. The histone chaperones Asf1 [English et al. 2006; Natsume et al. 2007] and Daxx [Elsasser et al. 2012; Liu et al. 2012] have been cocryrstallized with H3/H4, with their structures confirming earlier biochemical studies indicating that these histone chaperones interact with, exchange, and deposit H3/H4 dimers [English et al. 2006; Natsume et al. 2007; Elsasser et al. 2012; Liu et al. 2012]. Here we focused on the newly discovered histone chaperone hSpt2 [Osakabe et al. 2013] and its complex with histone H3/H4 from a combined structural and functional perspective. Spt2 protein functions in the remodeling and/or maintenance of chromatin structure during transcription through its ability to bind chromatin in vivo [Nourani et al. 2006]. We solved the crystal structure of the human histone chaperone hSpt2 [Osakabe et al. 2013] and its complex with histone H3/H4 (Supplemental Fig. S2A,B). GST pull-down assays verify that hSpt2C binds similarly to Xenopus and human H3/H4 (Supplemental Fig. S2C).

**Crystallization and structure determination of the hSpt2C–H3/H4 tetramer complex**

Crystallization trials were undertaken on various hSpt2C and H3/H4 constructs as listed in Supplemental Table S1, with the best-diffracting crystals obtained for hSpt2C (571–685) and H3 (27–135)/H4 (1–102). The structure of this complex was determined at 3.3 Å using the H3/H4 tetramer in the nucleosome [Protein Data Bank [PDB] code 1AOI] [Luger et al. 1997] as a search model during molecular replacement carried out using the program Phaser [McCoy et al. 2007] as implemented in the Phenix suite [Adams et al. 2010]. The model building was carried out manually by using the program Coot [Emsley et al. 2010], and the structure was refined using Refmac [Murshudov et al. 1997]. Given the modest 3.3 Å resolution and the fact that phases were obtained via molecular replacement method, 4.6 Å-Se-Met data [details of data processing outlined in the Materials and Methods] were used to validate the registry of chain tracing of bound hSpt2C in the complex. The X-ray data statistics for native and Se-Met data are listed in Supplemental Table S2.

Two views of the complex containing hSpt2C in a ribbon representation and histone H3/H4 in ribbon and surface representations are shown in Figure 1, B and C, respectively. We were able to trace 308 of the 379 side chains (81.3%) in the complex. A key feature of this complex is that hSpt2C binds to the H3/H4 tetramer. In the structure, one hSpt2C molecule adopts a two-helical [labeled αC1 and αC2] fold [positions 607–675], while only αC1 [positions 604–626] could be traced in the second hSpt2C molecule of the complex (Fig. 1B,C). This implies that αC2 of the second hSpt2C molecule is most likely disordered in the structure of the complex. Notably, no density was observed connecting the long and short hSpt2C helices between adjacent complexes in the crystal lattice (Supplemental Fig. S3A,B). We are able to trace a1, a2, and a3 of both H3 [positions 60–135] and H4 [positions 26–95] but not the aN of H3 [positions 43–56], which was disordered in the complex (Fig. 1B,C).

There is room for accommodation of only one αC2 helix of hSpt2C within the H3/H3 four-helix bundle interface of the H3/H4 tetramer as shown in Figure 1D,E. Thus, it is likely that the αC1 of the second molecule of hSpt2C that appears to be involved in the stabilization of the complex could reflect a contribution from crystal-packing interactions. We monitored the contribution of αC1 and αC2 helical segments within hSpt2C to binding with the H3/H4 tetramer by isothermal titration calorimetry [ITC]. hSpt2C (637–685) composed of only αC2 binds the H3/H4 tetramer with a $K_d$ of 2.3 μM and $\Delta H$ of −38.9 Kcal/mol ($N = 1$), while hSpt2C (610–644) composed of only αC1 binds the H3/H4 tetramer with a reduced $K_d$ of 55.6 μM and a much lower $\Delta H$ of −9.2 Kcal/mol [Supplemental Figs. S6, S7; Supplemental Table S3], thereby highlighting the major contribution of αC2 of hSpt2C, which between the two species [Supplemental Fig. S2A,B].
interacts with the tip of the H3/H3 four-helix bundle made up of the α2 and α3 helices of H3 (Fig. 1B).

In order to establish the stoichiometry of the complex in solution, we used gel filtration experiments coupled with multiple-angle light scattering (SEC-MALS) to measure the molecular mass of the complex under high-salt (2 M NaCl) crystallization conditions. The molecular mass of H3–H4 was measured at 55.8 kDa [error 4%], expected histone H3/H4 tetramer mass was 53.4 kDa], that of hSpt2C (571–685) was measured at 14.2 kDa [error 10%], expected monomer mass was 14.3 kDa], and that of the complex of hSpt2C(571–685)–H3/H4 was measured at 65.9 kDa [error 2%, expected complex of one hSpt2C bound to one histone H3/H4 tetramer mass was 67.7 kDa] (Fig. 2A,C). The same stoichiometry of one chaperone bound per H3/H4 tetramer was also observed for binding of SUMO-tagged hSpt2C(571–685) to the H3/H4 tetramer (Fig. 2B,C).

**Intermolecular contacts in the hSpt2C–H3/H4 tetramer complex**

We observed extensive intermolecular contacts between αC2, adjacent linker [Fig. 3A, electron density in Supplemental Fig. S8A], and αC1 [Fig. 3B] of bound hSpt2C.
segments spanning the periphery of the H3/H4 tetramer in the structure of the hSpt2C–H3/H4 tetramer complex. Intermolecular hydrophobic contacts were observed involving M641 within the linker of hSpt2C, with hydrophobic side chains of H4 [V43 and methylene side chains of R35 and R39] in the complex (Fig. 3C, Supplemental Fig. S8C). In addition, adjacent E642 is involved in a hydrogen-bonding interaction with the tyrosine hydroxyl of Y51 of H4 [Fig. 3D, Supplemental Fig. S8D]. Intermolecular hydrogen bonds are also formed by a pair of acidic patches on hSpt2C involving residues E651 and E652 toward the N terminus of αC2 [Fig. 3E, Supplemental Fig. S8E,F] and E662 and D663 toward the center of αC2 [Fig. 3G,H, Supplemental Fig. S8G,H] with basic and polar side chains of the H3/H4 tetramer in the complex. The importance of these intermolecular contacts has been probed by ITC binding studies of hSpt2C(637–685)SUMO (red) under 2 M NaCl conditions. Reyleigh ratio in continuous lines as a function of the elution volume. The calculated molecular mass is shown as a dashed line in the corresponding color with the secondary scale at the right. [B] Analysis of histone H3/H4 [blue], SUMO-tagged hSpt2C(571–685) [green], and H3–H4–hSpt2C(571–685)SUMO [red] under 2 M NaCl conditions. Reyleigh ratio in continuous lines as a function of the elution volume. The calculated molecular mass is shown as a dashed line in the corresponding color with the secondary scale at the right. [C] Tables of mass measurements by SEC-MALS.

**Table 1.** Molecular mass data for hSpt2C–H3/H4 tetramer complex formation [Supplemental Fig. S9].

| Complex | Expected mass 1 (kDa) | Expected mass 2 (kDa) | Expected mass 3 (kDa) | Measured mass (kDa) |
|---------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|
| H3–H4  | 26.7                  | 2.2                   | 53.4                  | 55.8                |
| hSpt2C (571–685) | 14.3                  | 2                     | 28.6                  | 14.2                |
| H3–H4–hSpt2C (571–685)SUMO | 41                   | 2.2:1                 | 67.7                  | 65.9                |
| hSpt2C (571–685)–SUMO | 28.1                  | 2                     | 56.2                  | 27.9                |
| H3–H4–hSpt2C (571–685)SUMO | 54.8                  | 2.2:1                 | 81.5                  | 75.9                |

*Calculated ratio and molecular masses that correspond to the measured mass are indicated in red color.

**Buffer conditions:** 10 mM Tris 7.5, 0.5 mM DTT, 0.5 mM EDTA pH 8.0, 2 M NaCl.

Pull-down assays using full-length wild-type and mutant hSpt2 demonstrate observable but weaker H3/H4 binding of mutants E651A/E652A, L685A/G659N, and E662A/E663A, with further reduced binding for the M641A mutant [Supplemental Fig. S10]. This result implies that weak interactions are likely to exist between full-length hSpt2 and H3/H4 that are outside the hSpt2C 637–685 segment. We also monitored the ability of full-length wild-type and mutant hSpt2 in the presence of all four histones to convert open circular (OC) to supercoiled (SC) ϕX174 RF DNA. We observed a significant decrease in supercoiling for mutants E651A/E652A and E662A/E663A and an even more pronounced decrease for mutant M641A [Supplemental Fig. S11], paralleling our observations in pull-down experiments mentioned above for the same full-length hSpt2 mutants.

There are other critical contacts in the hSpt2C–H3/H4 tetramer complex between the αC1–linker segment of bound hSpt2C and the H3/H4 tetramer as shown in Figure 3B. Thus, Y625 of hSpt2C participates in hydrogen bonding interactions [Fig. 3J, Supplemental Fig. S8J], while E633 of hSpt2C participates in hydrogen-bonding interactions [Fig. 3K, Supplemental Fig. S8K]. Notably, αC1 of hSpt2C is anchored in a groove between α2 of H3 and α3 of H4 [Fig. 3B].

**Figure 2.** SEC-MALS analysis of the hSpt2C–histone H3/H4 complex. (A) Analysis of histone H3/H4 [blue], hSpt2C(571–685) [green], and H3/H4–hSpt2C(571–685) [red] under 2 M NaCl conditions. Reyleigh ratio in continuous lines as a function of the elution volume. The calculated molecular mass is shown as a dashed line in the corresponding color with the secondary scale at the right. (B) Analysis of histone H3/H4 [blue], SUMO-tagged hSpt2C(571–685) [green], H3–H4–hSpt2C(571–685)SUMO [red] under 2 M NaCl conditions. Reyleigh ratio in continuous lines as a function of the elution volume. The calculated molecular mass is shown as a dashed line in the corresponding color with the secondary scale at the right.
Comparison of the Spt2–H3/H4 tetramer complex with the nucleosome

The H3/H4 tetramers exhibit a root mean square deviation (RMSD) of 1.67 Å following superposition of the structures of the hSpt2C–H3/H4 tetramer complex with that of the Xenopus mononucleosome (PDB code 1AOI) [stereo pair in Supplemental Fig. S12A; Luger et al. 1997]. Nevertheless, and keeping in mind the limitations in interpretation associated with the resolution (3.3 Å) of the Spt2 complex, differences were observed in the alignment of helices $\alpha_3$ (Supplemental Fig. S12B) and $\alpha_2$ (Supplemental Fig. S12C) of H4 following comparison between structures. It should be noted that hSpt2C bound along the periphery of the H3/H4 tetramer would result in a steric clash between its $\alpha_{C2}$ helix and nucleosomal DNA bound around the histone octamer core (Fig. 1F). This implies competition between DNA and the $\alpha_{C2}$ helix of hSpt2C for the histone H3/H4 tetramer core.

The alignment of $\alpha_{C1}$ of hSpt2C relative to the H3/H4 tetramer in the complex is shown in Figure 1G. Indeed, $\alpha_{C1}$ occupies the same position as a pair of adjacently aligned $\beta$ sheets formed by C-terminal segments of H2A and H4 in the structure of the nucleosome [Fig. 1H], and hence $\alpha_{C1}$ of bound hSpt2C in the complex would clash with the H2A/H4 interaction in the nucleosome.

hSpt2C forms a complex with the H3/H4 tetramer under physiological salt conditions

The hSpt2C–H3/H4 tetramer complex for structure determination was assembled under high-salt conditions to facilitate stable complex formation (Supplemental Fig. S1), as were crystallization conditions (see the Materials and Methods). It is known that the H3/H4 dimer–tetramer...
equilibrium shifts toward the tetramer under high-salt conditions [Banks and Gloss 2003], and hence we needed to independently establish that the hSpt2C–H3/H4 tetramer complex also formed under physiological salt (0.15 M NaCl) conditions. To address this issue, we undertook disuccinimidyl suberate [DSS]-mediated cross-linking experiments on both the aC1–aC2-containing hSpt2C (571–685)–H3/H4 complex [Fig. 4A] and the aC2-containing hSpt2C(637–685)–H3/H4 complex [Fig. 4B]. In Figure 4, A and B, control lanes 1–6 and complex formation lanes 8–10 are in 0.15 M NaCl, while lane 7, which is a control marker for H3/H4 tetramer formation, is in 0.5 M NaCl.

Our DSS-mediated cross-linking data establish that hSpt2C(571–685) containing aC1–aC2 forms a complex with the H3/H4 tetramer [Fig. 4A, lanes 8,9], as does hSpt2C(637–685) containing only aC2, which also forms a complex with the H3/H4 tetramer [Fig. 4B, lanes 8–10] under physiological salt conditions.

In contrast, hSpt2C(610–644) containing only aC1 does not facilitate histone H3/H4 tetramer formation [Supplemental Fig. S14A] under physiological salt conditions. We also investigated complex formation between hSpt2 (571–685) containing aC1–aC2 and H3(L126E/I130E)/H4, a double H3 mutant that disrupts H3/H4 tetramer formation. We observed very weak bands corresponding to the formation of a complex of hSpt2(571–685) with the H3 (L126E/I130E)/H4 dimer under 0.15 M NaCl conditions [Supplemental Fig. S14B].

Collectively, these data establish that hSpt2C constructs containing aC1–aC2 and aC2 alone, but not aC1 alone, facilitate the transition of H3/H4 from a dimer to a tetramer, thereby generating hSpt2C–H3/H4 tetramer complex formation.

**Yeast Spt2C interacts with H3/H4 tetramer in vitro and in vivo**

The aC1–aC2 segment of hSpt2C(571–685) shares sequence homology with the *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* Spt2 protein [ySpt2/Sin1] [Fig. 5A; Sternberg et al. 1987; Osakabe et al. 2013]. Most of the hSpt2C residues involved in intermolecular recognition of the complex with H3/H4 are conserved in *S. cerevisiae* [ySpt2C] [designated by an asterisk in Fig. 5A]. In contrast to its hSpt2C counterpart, ySpt2 function has been extensively studied [Nourani et al. 2006; Thebault et al. 2011]. It promotes recycling of H3/H4 in transcribed regions, inhibits replication-independent H3/H4 exchange, stabilizes nucleosomes, and thereby contributes to the repression of spurious transcription [Nourani et al. 2006; Thebault et al. 2011]. These functions could be associated with a possible interaction with the H3/H4 tetramer. To investigate the role of the ySpt2–H3/H4 interaction domain, we prepared stable H3/H4 complexes with ySpt2C(259–333) containing the aC1–aC2 domains [Supplemental Fig. S15], known to favor H3/H4 tetramer formation, and performed ITC studies [Fig. 5B, Supplemental Fig. S7]. While wild-type ySpt2C(259–333) binds the H3/H4 tetramer with a *K*ₐ of 1.5 μM and ∆*H* of −19.2 Kcal/mol [Fig. 5B], the corresponding acidic patch residue dual mutants E299A/E300A [patch 1] and E310A/D311A [patch 2] as well as linker residues mutants M289A/E290A completely lose binding affinity [Fig. 5B]. These results indicate that it is very likely that hSpt2C and ySpt2C chaperones target the H3/H4 tetramer in a similar manner. We next wanted to confirm these in vitro data and asked whether yeast Spt2 interacts with histone H3 in vivo. For that, we conducted coimmunoprecipitation experiments on nuclear extracts expressing wild-type Spt2 tagged with the myc epitope. To avoid interactions through DNA, these extracts were first digested with benzonase nuclelease and subsequently incubated with anti-myc antibodies.
The yeast Spt2 protein interacts in vitro and in vivo with H3/H4. (A) Sequence alignment highlighting conserved domains of human hSpt2C(571–685) and yeast ySpt2C(259–333). Key conserved Spt2C amino acids are shown in a red background. Mutated residues in hSpt2C are indicated by asterisks. (B) ITC binding curves for wild-type and mutants of yeast ySpt2C(259–333) to histone H3/H4 tetramer in 0.5 M NaCl solution. The $K_a$ values, when measurable, are shown in the figure, while nonmeasurable values are indicated by ND. (C) Mutations in the ySpt2C H3/H4 interaction interface affect the in vivo binding of ySpt2 to histone H3. Coimmunoprecipitation experiment using nuclear extracts from yeast cells expressing different versions of Spt2 tagged with Myc epitope. To avoid interaction through binding to DNA, we digested the nuclear extracts with benzonase nuclease. As shown in Supplemental Figure S10, after benzonase treatment, DNA was undetected in the extracts used for coimmunoprecipitation. The immunoprecipitations were performed with anti-Myc antibodies cross-linked to protein-A sepharose beads. The proteins were analyzed with anti-myc and anti-H3 Western blots.

The interaction of ySpt2 with H3/H4 is required for regulation of the H3K56ac level and replication-independent H3/H4 exchange in coding regions

We next analyzed, in vivo, the contribution of the ySpt2–H3/H4 tetramer interaction interface to the function of ySpt2 in the regulation of H3/H4 exchange. The replication-independent H3/H4 turnover is tightly associated with the H3K56ac level (Rufiange et al. 2007). Thus, we assessed by chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP) the level of H3K56ac in G1-arrested cells expressing wild type or the ySpt2 mutants tested above. The ySpt2 mutated in residues important for the interaction with the H3/H4 tetramer are generally associated with higher specific incorporation of new H3K56ac specifically in the coding regions of transcribed genes (Fig. 6A; Supplemental Fig. S17). In contrast, no such effect was observed in the non-transcribed gene AZR1 (Fig. 6A) or the intergenic region of chromosome V [NoORF] (Supplemental Fig. S17). Consistent with the ITC assays (Fig. 5B), no M306A/A307N mutation in yeast (equivalent to L658A/G659N in humans) does not have a striking effect on H3K56ac. Finally, it should be mentioned that mutations in Spt2 do not change the chaperone’s levels in G1-arrested cells used in these ChIP assays, as indicated in Supplemental Figure S18.

We also tested directly the H3 exchange (Supplemental Fig. S19) by measuring the level of newly synthesized histone H3 incorporation at various locations as described previously in G1-arrested cells (Rufiange et al. 2007). Similar to H3K56ac, mutations of residues important for H3/H4 tetramer interaction resulted in higher incorporation of new Flag-H3 into coding regions of active genes. Together, these data indicate that the Spt2–H3/H4 tetramer interaction interface is crucial for the recycling of old
H3/H4 tetramers in transcribed regions of active genes. Importantly, as shown in Supplemental Figure S20 by Western blots and ChIP assays, mutating Spt2–tetramer interaction residues has no effect on the production of ySpt2 or its recruitment to the sites of its function but instead hinders its ability to chaperone the H3/H4 tetramer.
The interaction of ySpt2 with H3/H4 is required for the regulation of spurious transcription from within coding regions

The deletion of the SPT2 gene derepresses spurious transcription from within coding regions and suppresses the effect of Ty and 8 insertion mutations [Spt− phenotype] in the HIS4 or LYS2 promoters [Simchen et al. 1984; Winston et al. 1984; Nourani et al. 2006]. We examined the consequence of mutating ySpt2 residues that are crucial to ySpt2–tetramer interaction on the repression of internal spurious transcription from the FLO8 cryptic promoter that is fused to a HIS3 reporter [Fig. 6B, left panel] and in the suppression of Ty insertion at LYS2 [Fig. 6B, right panel]. The expression from the FLO8 cryptic promoter, as measured by growth in the absence of histidine, indicates spurious transcription, reflecting a defect in chromatin refolding during transcription [Cheung et al. 2008]. Importantly, most of the mutants have phenotypes (Spt− and FLO8 spurious transcripts) indicating a loss of Spt2 chromatin function. Consistent with the other assays, the M306A/A307N mutant retains some of the Spt2 function [Fig. 6B]. The H3K36 methyltransferase Set2 and the histone chaperone Asf1 play a crucial role in the regulation of histone H3 exchange in yeast. Deletion of SET2 and ASF1 in strains expressing ySpt2 mutants did not change the Spt− or the cryptic transcription phenotypes associated with spt2 alleles [Supplemental Fig. S21]. In addition, spt2 mutant phenotypes are associated with an equal production of Spt2 protein, as shown in Supplemental Figure S18.

Our data strongly suggest that Spt2 residues that contact histone H3/H4 play a crucial role in the maintenance of the H3/H4 tetramer in coding regions. However, it is still possible that Spt2 αC2 is a sensitive structure, and any change of residues in this part of the chaperone could lead to a loss of function. To address this important issue, we mutated other residues of αC2, E298, and L318 [corresponding to residues K650 and E671 in human Spt2 protein via sequence alignment, as shown in Fig. 5A], which are not predicted to contact the H3/H4 tetramer. As described earlier, mutating the human K650 and E671 had no effect on the in vitro binding of hSpt2C to the H3/H4 tetramer [Supplemental Fig. S9]. For the yeast mutants, we first tested H3/H4 turnover as measured by the H3K56ac level. As shown in Figure 6C, in the absence of yeast Spt2, the level of H3K56ac is increased at coding regions of active genes ACT1, FSK1, and PMA1. Interestingly, similarly to yeast Spt2 wild type, expressing Spt2-E298A and Spt2-L318A suppresses specifically H3K56ac incorporation at these loci. This indicates that mutating two different residues in αC2 are not predicted to contact histone H3/H4 does not affect Spt2 function in rescuing old H3/H4 tetramers and inhibiting the incorporation of newly synthesized histone H3/H4. We next asked whether Spt2-E298A and Spt2-L318A mutants are capable of inhibiting spurious transcription from the FLO8 cryptic promoter. We expressed these two proteins [Spt2-E298A and Spt2-L318A] in a strain bearing the FLO8-HIS3 reporter and compared the growth with strains expressing wild type and histone H3/H4 contact mutants. Interestingly, while cells expressing Spt2 histone contact mutants grow very well in the absence of histidine, this was not the case for strains producing wild-type Spt2, Spt2-E298A, and Spt2-L318A. This indicates clearly that Spt2-E298A and Spt2-L318A mutants repress spurious transcription, as does the wild-type protein. We also found that Spt2-E298A and Spt2-L318A suppress the Spt− phenotype of the spt2Δ mutant in contrast to Spt2 histone contact mutants. Altogether, our data rule out the possibility that the phenotypes of Spt2 histone interaction mutants are associated with a sensitivity of the αC2 structure to any change of residues. Thus, we conclude that the Spt2–H3/H4 interaction interface in αC2 is required for the normal refolding of chromatin structure in the coding regions of transcribed genes.

Human Spt2C suppresses the incorporation of newly synthesized histone H3 in the coding regions of active genes and inhibits spurious transcription in yeast

We next analyzed the human hSpt2C chaperone domain in the functional assays described earlier. For that, we replaced the ySpt2C chaperone domain (257–333) with the hSpt2 chaperone domain (571–685) and tested the level of histone H3K56ac as described in Figure 6A. Interestingly, the human domain suppresses new H3 incorporation, as measured by H3K56ac levels, at the transcribed genes [Fig. 7A, Supplemental Fig. S22A]. Interestingly, this is associated with the restoration of Spt2 chromatin function shown by the suppression of growth on histidine-lacking medium of strains containing the FLO8-HIS3 reporter [Fig. 7B, left panel, fourth lane; Supplemental Fig. S22B]. Thus, addition of the human Spt2 chaperone domain to the yeast protein lacking the same domain restores the inhibition of spurious transcription from the FLO8 cryptic promoter. We also tested the suppression of the phenotype associated with Ty insertion at LYS2 [Fig. 7B, right panel, fourth lane, Supplemental Fig. S22C]. Similar to cryptic transcription, we found that the human Spt2 chaperone domain inhibits growth on the lysine-lacking medium, indicating a restoration of Spt2 function in yeast. This clearly indicates that the human Spt2 histone chaperone is functionally related to the yeast protein and suppresses in vivo the loss of its chaperone domain.

Next, we mutated human Spt2C residues assayed earlier by ITC [Fig. 3I] and tested the mutants similarly to wild-type hSpt2C for H3K56ac levels. Importantly, we found that while the fusion of the human chaperone domain to yeast Spt2 decreases the incorporation of H3K56ac in coding regions of transcribed genes, mutating any of the residues that are important to the interaction with H3/H4 tetramer abolishes this suppression and leads to a higher deposition of newly synthesized histone H3 [Fig. 7A]. This indicates that the human Spt2 histone H3/H4 interaction interface is crucial to the functional complementation and the recycling of old tetramers by the fusion protein. We next asked whether the human H3/H4 interaction residues identified in our structure are required for the suppression of H3 exchange, spurious transcription, and the spt− phenotype [Fig. 7A,B] Importantly,
we found that mutations of all human Spt2C residues that are crucial in the interaction with the H3/H4 tetramer led to increased H3K56ac; growth on histidine- or lysine-lacking medium, indicating a failure in the repression of H3 exchange; the inhibition of spurious transcription; and a loss of insertion suppression. Therefore, the human Spt2 residues that contact the histone H3/H4 tetramer are required for the in vivo function of hSpt2C in the suppression of H3 turnover and Ty insertion and the repression of spurious transcription. Altogether, our in vivo data show that hSpt2 and ySpt2 histone H3/H4 tetramer interaction determinants are important for the maintenance of low H3/H4 exchange in coding regions, for H3/H4 tetramer integrity, and for repression of spurious transcription.

Discussion

Conclusions from a structural perspective

Our results highlight the ability of chaperone hSpt2C to bind selectively to the H3/H4 tetramer. This conclusion holds for X-ray studies on the complex generated under high-salt conditions (Fig. 1) as well as from cross-linking studies undertaken under physiological salt conditions (Fig. 4). The αC2 helix of hSpt2 is positioned over a four-helix bundle associated with the H3/H3 interface, which can be generated only on H3/H4 tetramer formation (Fig. 1D,E). This four-helix bundle interface can accommodate only one αC2 helix of hSpt2, and hence the stoichiometry of the complex was one hSpt2C bound per H3/H4 tetramer (Fig. 4). Our studies also establish that αC2 makes a greater contribution than αC1 of hSpt2C to recognition of the H3/H4 tetramer (Supplemental Fig. S6). The hSpt2C–H3/H4 tetramer complex is stabilized by both electrostatic and hydrophobic intermolecular interactions (Fig. 3). Indeed, mutation of residues lining a pair of acidic patches on Spt2C results in impaired binding to the H3/H4 tetramer, a feature common for both human (Fig. 3I) and yeast (Fig. 5B) chaperones.

Our demonstration of selective binding of the hSpt2C chaperone to the H3/H4 tetramer contrasts with earlier examples of selective binding of Asf1 [English et al. 2006; Natsume et al. 2007] and Daxx [Elsasser et al. 2012; Liu et al. 2012], chaperones to the H3/H4 dimer. Thus, while hSpt2C binds to the periphery of the H3/H4 tetramer (Fig. 1B,C) in part by overlapping with the trajectory of nucleosomal DNA (Fig. 1F), Asf1 targets the H3/H4 tetramerization interface [English et al. 2006; Natsume et al. 2007], and DAXX generates an all-α-helical scaffold to envelop the H3.3/H4 dimer (Elsasser et al. 2012; Liu et al. 2012). This Spt2 tetramer mode of interaction provides new insights into how the H3/H4 tetramer could be recycled in cells.

Spt2 interaction with H3/H4 contributes to the rescue of the H3/H4 tetramer upon RNA polymerase II passage

The H3/H4 tetramer contains most of the functionally important histone epigenetic marks, and the question of how tetramers are formed and maintained is a primary
issue. It has long been known that nucleosome assembly on DNA during replication and transcription involves the redeposition of old H3/H4 tetramers without splitting them into two dimers [Annunziato 2005; Xu et al. 2010; Katan-Khaykovitch and Struhl 2011]. However, these observations have been difficult to reconcile with the currently characterized mechanisms of histone chaperone systems involving interactions with dimers that are consistent with splitting of tetramers [English et al. 2006; Natsume et al. 2007; Elsasser et al. 2012; Liu et al. 2012]. One possible way of reconciling the persistence of H3/H4 tetramers during transcription and replication is the existence of alternate modes of interaction with different classes of histone chaperones. Interestingly, previous elegant nonstructural studies using pulsed electron double resonance (PELDOR) measurements and protein cross-linking indicated that histone chaperones Nap1 and Vps75 can bind to H3/H4 in a tetrameric conformation [Bowman et al. 2011]. These important observations suggested the existence of alternate mode of histone interaction that could contribute to the maintenance of histone tetramer integrity during cycles of nucleosome reassembly. However, to date, a precise mechanism explaining how histone chaperones could interact with the H3/H4 tetramer and rescue this important oligomer in vivo has never been reported.

In the present study, we provide evidence that the new class of conserved histone chaperone Sp2 binds directly to the H3/H4 tetramer. In vivo analyses indicate that this interaction is required for the recycling of H3/H4 in the wake of transcription and therefore suggests a new mechanism of maintaining the H3/H4 tetramer during transcription. Interestingly, two conservative acidic patches involving E651/E652 and E662/D663 positioned within αC2 in hSpt2C and conserved in ySpt2 directly bind to SIN [SWI/SNF-independent] mutational residues R116 and T118 located at the tip of the four-helix bundle formed by H3/H3’. Sp2 is also called Sin1, and the gene encoding for this protein was found in the same genetic screen that identified SIN mutations in histones genes [Sternberg et al. 1987]. When mutated in yeast, R116 and T118 led to similar sp2/sin1 loss-of-function mutation phenotypes [Kruger et al. 1995; Luger et al. 1997]. Additionally, the conserved M641 in hSpt2 [M289 in ySpt2] interacts with V43 of H4, which is also a SIN mutation residue, indicating that H4 stabilization is also important for H3/H4 tetramer recognition by Spt2C. Thus, our structural data give important new insights into the understanding of the Spt2–H3/H4 functional link that was discovered almost three decades ago.

Sp2 interacts functionally and physically with the histone chaperone Spt6 [Nourani et al. 2006; Thebault et al. 2011; Bhat et al. 2013]. Evidence linking these two proteins led to the proposition that Spt2 may simply assist, with its DNA-binding activity, Spt6 during the reaction of histone redeposition in the wake of transcription [Nourani et al. 2006; Bhat et al. 2013]. Our new observations advance further the understanding of Spt2’s role during transcription elongation and show that Spt2 interacts directly with the H3/H4 tetramer. Importantly, this interaction is required for the control of H3/H4 recycling. Thus, without excluding other possibilities, we propose that Spt2 travels with RNA polymerase II, interacts with the H3/H4 tetramers that are displaced, and deposits them behind the transcription machinery. Alternatively, Spt2 could simply stabilize the H3/H4 tetramer on DNA after a wave of transcription. In the absence of this protein, the H3/H4 tetramer would come off the DNA, split into H3/H4 dimers, join the free pool of histones, and mix with new H3/H4 dimers. In any case, with its H3/H4 tetramer interaction, Spt2 inhibits H3/H4 turnover and maintains the old oligomers during transcription. In the absence of Spt2, this function is impaired, leading to the modification of chromatin structure with important consequences on spurious transcription.

Finally, our identification of Spt2 as a histone chaperone that targets the H3/H4 tetramer expands on earlier studies of chaperones Asf1 [English et al. 2006; Natsume et al. 2007] and Daxx [Elsasser et al. 2012; Liu et al. 2012] binding to H3/H4 dimers. Thus, H3/H4-binding chaperones use different folding topologies to target different surfaces and oligomerization states of H3/H4, thereby highlighting their functional diversity.

Materials and methods

Protein expression and purification

The gene of hSpt2 was PCR-amplified from a human brain pool and has a sequence identical to that of Homo sapiens SPT2 cDNA NM194285. The C-terminal domains of hSpt2 protein hSpt2C (residues 571–685, 585–685, 610–644, 637–685, and 610–685) were expressed as fusion proteins with an N-terminal hexahistidine tag plus a yeast Sumo tag in Escherichia coli strain BL21 [DE3] RIL (Stratagene). The cells were cultured at 37°C until OD₆₆₀ reached 0.8, after which the medium was cooled to 20°C, and IPTG was added to a final concentration of 0.4 mM to induce protein expression overnight at 20°C. The cells were harvested by centrifugation at 4°C and disrupted by sonication in buffer W (500 mM NaCl, 20 mM imidazole, 50 mM Tris–HCl at pH 8.0) supplemented with 1 mM phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride [PMSF] protease inhibitor and 2 mM β-mercaptoethanol. After centrifugation, the supernatant was loaded onto a HiTrap FF column (GE Healthcare) in 1× PBS buffer (137 mM NaCl, 10 mM phosphate, 2.7 mM KCl at pH 7.4) followed by further purification using HiTrap Hepharin HP columns (GE Healthcare) with buffer containing 20 mM Tris–HCl [pH 7.5], 1 mM dithiothreitol, and 200 mM NaCl. All of the mutants were constructed using a QuikChange mutagenesis
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GST-tagged proteins were overexpressed with pGEX-6p-1 vector (GE Healthcare) in E. coli strain BL21 [DE3] cells in LB medium. The cells were induced by 0.4 mM IPTG when OD₆₆₀ reached a value of 0.8 and were cultured overnight at 20°C in a shaker. The cell extract was prepared using Emulsiflex C3 homogenizer (Avestin). The proteins were first purified from the soluble fraction by glutathione sepharose 4 Fast Flow (GE Healthcare) in 1× PBS buffer (137 mM NaCl, 10 mM phosphate, 2.7 mM KCl at pH 7.4) followed by further purification using HiTrap Hepharin HP columns (GE Healthcare) with buffer containing 20 mM Tris–HCl [pH 8.0], 1 mM dithiothreitol, and 200 mM NaCl. All of the mutants were constructed using a QuikChange mutagenesis
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**Reconstitution of the hSpt2C–histone complex**

We used previously published methods with modifications for reconstitution of hSpt2C (571–685) with H3/H4 (Dyer et al. 2004). Briefly, *Xenopus* histones H3/H4 were produced and purified by gel filtration and HPLC/ion exchange chromatography under denaturing conditions. The purified H3/H4 histones were dialyzed against water, freeze-dried, and stored at −80°C. For generation of the hSpt2C–H3/H4 tetramer complex, purified histone H3 (residues 27–135), histone H4 (full-length), and hSpt2C protein were dissolved in 1:1:1 stoichiometry under denaturing conditions containing 7 M guanidine-HCl, 20 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.5), and 10 mM DTT. The mixtures were then dialyzed for 8 h against 2 M NaCl buffer containing 10 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.5), 1 mM EDTA, and 5 mM β-mercaptoethanol at least three times. The reconstituted complexes were fractionated by HiLoad 16/60 Superdex 200 (GE Healthcare) gel filtration chromatography under 2 M NaCl buffer containing 10 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.5), 1 mM EDTA, and 1 mM DTT and analyzed with NuPAGE Novex 4–12% Bis-Tris Gel (Invitrogen). For generation of the Se-Met-labeled complex, hSpt2C(571–685, Se-Met, I615M) mutant was reconstituted with native H3 (residues 27–135) and H4 (full-length) histones at 1:1:1 stoichiometry under similar refolding conditions.

**Crystallization and data collection**

The reconstituted hSpt2C–H3/H4 tetramer complex was collected by pooling HiLoad 16/60 Superdex 200 (GE Healthcare) gel filtration chromatographic peak fractions to a concentration of 5 mg/mL for crystallization trials. Crystals of the hSpt2C–H3/H4 tetramer complex were grown from a solution containing 0.02 M NaCl (Fluke), 0.2 M HEPES 7.5 (Sigma-Aldrich), and 1.5 M ammonium sulfate (Sigma-Aldrich) at 20°C using the hanging drop vapor diffusion method. For data collection, crystals were flash-frozen [100 K] in the above reservoir solution supplemented with 2 M Li-sulfate (Sigma-Aldrich). A diffraction data set was collected to 3.3 Å resolution at wavelength 0.9798 Å on NE-CAT beam line 24ID-E at the Advanced Photon Source, Argonne National Laboratory. Crystals of the hSpt2C(Se-Met, I615M)–H3/H4 tetramer complex were grown under conditions similar to that of the native complex from a solution containing 0.02 M NaCl(Fluke), 0.2 M HEPES 7.5 (Sigma-Aldrich), and 1.6 M ammonium sulfate (Sigma-Aldrich) at 20°C using the hanging drop vapor diffusion method. For data collection, crystals were flash-frozen [100 K] in the above reservoir solution supplemented with 20% glycerol (Sigma-Aldrich). A diffraction data set was collected to 4.6 Å resolution at wavelength 0.9792 Å on NE-CAT beam line 24ID-E at the Advanced Photon Source, Argonne National Laboratory.

**Structure determination and refinement**

The X-ray data set on the hSpt2C–H3/H4 tetramer complex was processed using HKL2000 (Otwinowski and Minor 1997), and the structure was solved using the structure of the H3/H4 tetramer in the nucleosome (PDB code 1AQL) as a search model during molecular replacement carried out using the program Phaser (McCoy et al. 2007) as implemented in the Phenix program suite (Adams et al. 2010). The model building was carried out manually by using the program Coot (Emsley et al. 2010). Of 379 residues (81.3%), 308 could be placed with side chains in the complex structure. The validation of the hSpt2C structure was performed with the Se-Met method following the introduction of the I615M mutation.

The X-ray data set on the hSpt2C(Se-Met, I615M)–H3/H4 tetramer complex was indexed and integrated using the program HKL2000 (Otwinowski and Minor 1997). The data extended to 4.6 Å with an average multiplicity of 6, counting the Bijvoet pairs separately. Overall completeness was 99%, with 89% of the reflections measured at the highest-resolution shell (4.68–4.6 Å). Analysis of χ² values indicated the presence of anomalous signal up to a resolution of ~5 Å. Four selenium sites were located using the program SHELXD (Sheldrick 2010). Phases after 100 cycles of density modification with SHELXE clearly displayed the overall architecture of the molecule, with tubular density for helices. We were able to place the molecule (H3, H4, and Spt2C) in the electron density map deduced from SHELXE (Sheldrick 2010) using the program MOLREP (Vagin and Teplyakov 2010). Next, we used the selenium sites and the MOLREP-derived model in Phaser (McCoy et al. 2007) for experimental phasing with phase combination with the known model. Phaser was able to locate one additional Se-Met site. The anomalous difference Fourier map calculated from these phases showed five peaks above the 5σ cutoff. These account for the five expected Se-Met sites (SeMet615, Se-Met641, Se-Met660, Se-Met667, and Se-Met674) for one molecule of Sp2C and unequivocally fix the orientation of Sp2C. The statistics of the diffraction data and refinement are summarized in Supplemental Table S2.

**Pull-down assays**

GST-tagged hSpt2C(571–685) was expressed as fusion proteins with an N-terminal GST tag in *E. coli* strain BL21 (DE3) RIL (Stratagene). The cells were cultured at 37°C until OD₆₀₀ reached 0.8, after which the medium was cooled to 20°C, and IPTG was added to a final concentration of 0.4 mM to induce protein expression overnight at 20°C. Glutathione resins (GenScript) were used for pull-downs with purified proteins. Eight micrograms of purified GST-tagged hSpt2C, or 20 µg of GST protein (control) was mixed with 3 µg of H3/H4 tetramer in binding buffer (20 mM Tris-HCl at pH 7.6, 700 mM NaCl, 1 mM dithiothreitol). The glutathione resins were added to the reaction mixture, and the samples were then incubated for 1 h at 4°C. After incubation, glutathione resin mixtures were pelleted and washed three times with wash buffer (1% Triton-X100, 20 mM Tris-HCl at pH 7.6, 700 mM NaCl, 1 mM dithiothreitol). The proteins captured by glutathione resins were analyzed by NuPAGE Novex 4–12% Bis-Tris gel (Invitrogen). The bands were visualized by Coomassie Brilliant blue staining.

**ITC measurements**

All of the binding experiments were performed on a Microcal ITC 200 calorimeter at 20°C. First, hSpt2 [610–685] protein, hSpt2 [610–644], hSpt2[637–685] samples, ySpt2 [259–333], ySpt2 [282–333], and mutants were dialyzed for 3 h against buffer A (0.5 M NaCl, 2 mM β-mercaptoethanol, 20 mM HEPES at pH 7.5) at room temperature, and the histone H3/H4 tetramer samples were diluted in the same buffer. The exothermic heat of the reaction was measured by 17 sequential 2.2-µL injections of 600 µM Sp2 protein into 200 µL of 35 µM histone H3/H4 solution (calculated as H3/H4 tetramer), spaced at intervals of 250 sec. The heat of dilution was obtained by injecting assay buffer into the histone H3/H4 solution and was subtracted from the heat of reaction before the fitting process. Binding curves were analyzed by nonlinear least squares fitting of the data using Microcal Origin software.
Supercoiling assays for nucleosome assembly activity

The supercoiling assay (topological assay) was performed according to the method described previously (Osakabe et al. 2013). H2A/H2B (10 ng/μL) and 10 ng/μL H3.1/H4 were preincubated with the indicated amounts of full-length hSpt2 for 15 min at 37°C. To initiate the reaction, 10 ng/μL relaxed 4X174 RF1 DNA (Thermo Scientific), prepared by an incubation with 0.8 U of wheat germ topoisomerase I (Promega) per 100 ng of DNA for 15 min at 37°C, was added to the reaction mixture, and the reactions were continued in 20 μL of 20 mM Tris-HCl buffer (pH 8.0) containing 140 mM NaCl, 2 mM MgCl2, and 5 mM DTT for 60 min at 37°C. The samples were then incubated for 60 min at 42°C, and the proteins were removed by incubation with 60 μL of a proteinase K solution (20 mM Tris-HCl at pH 8.0, 20 mM EDTA, 0.5% SDS, 0.5 mg/mL proteinase K) for 15 min at 37°C followed by phenol-chloroform extraction. During DNA extraction, the reaction mixture was diluted with 280 μL of H2O, and extract was diluted with an equal volume of phenol:CHCl3:isoamyl alcohol (25:24:1). Next, the samples were spun for 15 min at room temperature followed by addition of 0.5 μg of 20 mg/mL glycerogen, 40 μL of 3 M sodium acetate (pH 7.0), and 800 μL of ethanol into 340 μL of supernatant and left for 15 min on ice. The samples were then collected via spinning for 15 min at 4°C. The pellets were dissolved briefly and dissolved with 15 μL of H2O. The samples were then analyzed by 1% agarose gel electrophoresis in 1× TAE buffer (40 mM Tris acetate, 1 mM EDTA) at 60 V/cm for 4 h and visualized by ethidium bromide (Bio-Rad) staining.

DSS-mediated cross-linking assays

hSpt2C [including hSpt2(571–685), hSpt2(637–685), and hSpt2(610–644)] proteins were purified, and the histone [H3/H4] tetramer and histone H3/L126E/I130E/H4 dimer were refolded as previously described, except the Tris-HCl buffer was replaced with HEPES-Na (pH 7.5) buffer. Cross-linker DSS was dissolved in DMSO at 12.5 mM concentration. First, histones and hSpt2C [including hSpt2(571–685), hSpt2(637–685), and hSpt2(610–644)] proteins were mixed with the indicated final concentration at 0.15 M NaCl and 10 mM HEPES-Na (pH 7.5) buffer for 15 min at room temperature. Next, DSS were added into protein mixtures with a 1.25 mM final concentration, and the reaction mixture was incubated at room temperature for 20 min. Adding 1 M Tris 7.5 to a final concentration of 50 mM quenched the reactions. Samples were analyzed by NuPAGE Novex 4%–12% Bis-Tris Gel (Invitrogen). The bands were visualized by Coomassie Brilliant blue staining. It should be noted that histone tetramer cross-linking samples [Fig. 3, all lanes 7, Supp. Fig. S8] were mixed and reacted under 0.5 M NaCl and 10 mM HEPES-Na (pH 7.5) buffer.

SEC-MALS

For molar mass determination, purified proteins or protein complexes were analyzed using an ÄKTA-MALS system. Note that 200 μg of protein solution was injected on Superdex 200 10/300 GL (GE Healthcare) equilibrated in 10 mM Tris 7.5, 0.5 mM DTT, 0.5 mM EDTA (pH 8.0), and 2 M NaCl at a flow rate of 0.3 mL/min. Separation and ultraviolet detection were performed using an ÄKTA system (GE Healthcare), light scattering was monitored using a miniDAWN TREOS system (Wyatt Technology), and concentration was measured by an Optilab T-REX differential refractometer (Wyatt Technology). Molar masses of proteins were calculated using the Astra 6.1 program (Wyatt Technology) with a dn/dc value of 0.185 mL/g.

S. cerevisiae media, strains, and plasmids

Strains were constructed using standard genetic methods, are isogenic to S288C (Winston et al. 1995), and are listed in Supplemental Table S4. The plasmid pRS415 containing the wild-type SPT2, SPT2-Myc, SPT2-Flag, or the fusion ySpt2–hSpt2C gene was described before (Bhat et al. 2013). Mutagenesis was done on these constructs with the QuickChange mutagenesis kit from Stratagene and the instructions therein. The yeast plasmids used in this study were all checked by sequencing. Yeast cells expressing different spt2 alleles were constructed by transformation of SPT2-deleted strains with pRS415 plasmid containing the indicated alleles. In the coimmunoprecipitation experiments, the Spt2-Myc isoforms were expressed from a p426-GAL1 overexpression plasmid. In the phenotypic assays (Fig. 6B,D), growth of different strains was monitored by spot tests on the indicated medium. In Figure 6D, the Gal-Histidine medium contained 1 mM 3-AT.

Coimmunoprecipitation experiments

Coimmunoprecipitation experiments were conducted on yeast nuclear extracts treated with benzonase (Millipore, 71205-25KUN) at 200 U/mL during 15 min. The extracts were clarified by centrifugation and incubated overnight with 10 μL of anti-Flag M2 beads (Sigma, A2220) or 30 μL of anti-Myc (Covance, MMS-150R) protein-A sepharose beads (GE, 17-0780-01). The immunoprecipitated proteins were subsequently analyzed by anti-Flag, anti-myc, or anti-H3 Western blots.

ChIP

ChIP experiments were performed as described previously (Rufiange et al. 2007). Efficient G1 arrest (at least 95%) of yeast cells was achieved by adding 500 ng/mL α factor for 2–3 h. Immunoprecipitation of Rpb1 was performed using 2 μL of the 8WG16 anti-CTD antibody per immunoprecipitation (Covance). The immunoprecipitations of H3 and H3K56ac were done with 0.5 μL of rabbit anti-H3 antibody per immunoprecipitation (Abcam, 1791) and 0.2 μL of anti-H3K56 antibody per immunoprecipitation (Millipore, 07-677-I). Finally, the Flag ChIPs were done using 10 μL of anti-Flag agarose beads per immunoprecipitation (Sigma, A2220), and Myc ChIPs were done using 1 μL of anti-Myc 9E10 per immunoprecipitation (Covance monoclonal antibodies, MMS-150R). All ChIP experiments were done at least in triplicates, P-value < 0.05 (∗), P-value < 0.01 (∗∗), and P-value < 0.001 (∗∗∗).

Accession numbers

The coordinates and structure factors for the hSpt2(571–685)–H3/H4 tetramer and the SeMet–hSpt2(571–685)–H3/H4 tetramer complexes have been deposited in the PDB under accession numbers 5BS7 and 5BSA, respectively.
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