Comparative Analysis of the Gut Microbiota of Three Sympatric Terrestrial Wild Bird Species Overwintering in Farmland Habitats
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The gut microbiota of wild birds are affected by complex factors, and cross-species transmission may pose challenges for the host to maintain stable gut symbionts. Farmland habitats are environments strongly manipulated by humans, and the environmental characteristics within a large area are highly consistent. These features provide the ideal natural conditions for conducting cross-species comparative studies on gut microbiota among wild birds. This study aimed to investigate and compare the gut microbiota of three common farmland-dependent bird species, Great Bustard (Otis tarda dybowskii), Common Crane (Grus grus), and Common Coot (Fulica atra), in a homogeneous habitat during the wintering period. The results indicated that under the combined action of similar influencing factors, the gut microbiota of different host species did not undergo adaptive convergence, maintained relatively independent structures, and exhibited host-driven signals. In addition, we also detected various pathogenic genera that may cause outbreaks of periodic infections among sympatric migratory birds. We conclude that phylosymbiosis may occur between some wild birds and their gut microbiota. Usage of non-invasive methods to monitor the changes in the gut microbiota of wild bird fecal samples has important implications for the conservation of endangered species.
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INTRODUCTION

The gut microbiota of wild birds are potentially shaped by diverse factors, such as genetics, environment, diet, and behavior (Pearce et al., 2017; Grond et al., 2018; Gillingham et al., 2019; Laviad-Shitrit et al., 2019). Unlike in birds, the microbial communities in most non-flying mammals are strongly correlated with host phylogeny (Song et al., 2020). The brood-parasitism model system clearly demonstrates that genetic components determine the gut microbiota of host and parasitic birds, suggesting that gut morphology and physiology might be important factors in generating interspecific differences (Lee et al., 2020). Comparative studies on the gut microbiota of multiple wild Neotropical birds have revealed that gut modifications and individual dietary differences shape the structure and variation of the gut microbiome, leading to the lack of phylogenetic symbiosis (Bodawatta et al., 2021). In addition, changes in habitat...
environment can significantly affect the composition of the gut microbiota of wild birds (Phillips et al., 2018; San Juan et al., 2020). American White Ibises along urban gradients exhibit a positive correlation between urban land cover and susceptibility to enteric pathogens (Murray et al., 2020). Moreover, the negative effects of urbanization have caused dysbiosis in the gut microbiota of sparrows (Teyssier et al., 2018). These species living in environments with severe anthropogenic disturbances face higher health risks than other species.

During the long-term development of agricultural practices, numerous wild bird species have adapted to, and now even depend on, farmland habitats, but the significance of farmland biodiversity is often overlooked (Li et al., 2020). During the winter, the green plants and ectothermic animals at mid or high latitudes hibernate or die under the stress of the low temperature, and the birds from various ecological niches gather to forage in farmlands (English et al., 2017; Li et al., 2021). Shared habitats may facilitate the interspecific spread of gut bacteria. It has been suggested that the gut microbiota of different species of migratory passerines become similar at the same stopover sites, and the changes become more pronounced with a longer stay (Lewis et al., 2017). The Hooded Crane and Bean Goose have overlapping niches during the wintering period and exhibit cross-species transmission of their gut bacteria (Yang and Zhou, 2021). Unlike resident bird species, migratory birds with complex travel patterns typically have a high diversity of gut microbes from various geographical regions and are also reservoirs for many pathogenic bacteria (Grond et al., 2014; Fu et al., 2020). Cross-species transmission hinders the host from maintaining stable gut symbionts and poses disease risks (Ryu et al., 2014). Therefore, comparative analysis of the gut microbiota, with special attention to the pathogenic composition, of overwintering farmland-dependent birds that share the same region may facilitate the risk monitoring for the conservation of endangered species.

In this study, we used three phylogenetically distance sympatric farmland wintering birds, aiming to investigate whether the composition of the gut microbiota is primarily host-driven or determined by exogenous factors. We focused on the Great Bustard, Common Coot, and Common Crane which share the same habitat in winter. The Great Bustard (Otis tarda) is a typical agricultural steppe bird that mainly feeds on green plants, grain seeds, and arthropods (Liu et al., 2018; Farago, 2019). This species is the heaviest bird capable of flight, weighing up to 18 kg (Dunning 2008). It has been listed as a globally vulnerable species and China’s national Class I key protected animal. The eastern population of the Great Bustard (Otis tarda dybowskii) breed in Mongolia, south-east Russia, and north-east China (Collar et al., 2017), overwinter in the North China Plain, Guanzhong Plain, and Northeast Plain of China (Lu et al., 2021b), and migrate up to 2000 km, almost twice the recorded migratory distance of the nominate subspecies (Kessler et al., 2013). Only 1,200–2,200 Asian Great Bustards remain (Alonso, 2015), and there are gaps in the protection of the main wintering sites, which are highly susceptible to anthropogenic disturbance and face a high survival pressure (Alonso and Palacin, 2010; Mi et al., 2016, 2017). The Common Coot (Fulica atra) is a globally distributed waterfowl, widely dispersed and abundant in lakes and wetlands, and usually forages on plants and small animals in the water or along the shore (Cramp and Simmons, 1980; Perrow et al., 1997; Randler, 2006). It is partly sedentary and partly migratory (Harrison, 1982). The Common Crane (Grus grus) is a widespread wetland bird (Harris et al., 2000). It primarily breeds in mires and wetlands in forest-dominated areas (Leito et al., 2003), and its overwintering habitats are mainly located in stubble fields or agricultural lands (Li et al., 2021). It is a long-distance migratory bird (Ojaste et al., 2020), with a distribution range covering the entire northern Eurasia (Harris and Miranda, 2013).

During wintering, the Great Bustard, Common Coot, and Common Crane share the same habitat and feed mainly on plant seeds from farmlands and wastelands (Johnsgard, 1983; Mouronval et al., 2007; Liu et al., 2018). These species all have a complex digestive system with a developed cecum (Fanke et al., 2011; Crompton and Nesheim, 2016; Li et al., 2017) and share similar behavioral patterns, such as terrestrial living and group-living (Alonso et al., 2004; Mouronval et al., 2007; Lu et al., 2021a). Simplification of confounding factors can facilitate comparative analysis of the gut microbiota of wild bird species living under natural conditions (Lee et al., 2020), and a shared overwintering habitat provides an ideal condition to compare the adaptive changes in the gut microbiota of different hosts.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Fecal-Sample Collection**

We collected a total of 66 fresh fecal samples from the wild populations of the Great Bustard (OT 1–22, n = 22), Common Coot (FA 1–23, n = 23), and Common Crane (GG 1–21, n = 21) in the central-eastern part of the North China Plain (Figure 1). The North China Plain is the largest agricultural production area in China and usually adopts a double-cropping system consisting of wheat in the winter followed by maize in the summer (Wu et al., 2006; Wang et al., 2008), and the mean air temperature in this region is <0°C between late November and early March (Li et al., 2005).

According to our wild-bird survey records in the sampling area, the Common Coot is sedentary, and Great Bustard and Common Crane remain stable from November to February. All the samples were collected within 1 month during the winter, between 20 December 2020 and 20 January 2021. We tracked the three species by using monoculars (Zeiss, 22–65 × 85), selected populations with >20 individuals, determined that no other species were present in the sampling area, and searched for fresh samples as soon as the birds left. The minimum distance between two samples was maintained at 5 m to avoid collecting multiple samples from the same individual. The middle portions of the feces were sampled into 15 ml sterile centrifuge tubes by using sterile disposable forceps, transported in a −20°C portable freezer, and stored at −80°C before processing.
Fecal-Sample DNA Extraction, Amplification, and Sequencing

The OMEGA-soil DNA kit (Omega Bio-Tek, United States) was used for the total-DNA extraction. The NanoDrop 2000 UV–vis spectrophotometer (NanoDrop Technologies, United States) was used to assess the concentration and purity of the final DNA sample. DNA quality was further examined via 1% agarose gel electrophoresis. Polymerase chain reaction (PCR) amplification of the V3-V4 hypervariable regions of the 16S rRNA gene was then conducted on a thermocycler PCR system (GeneAmp® 9700, ABI, United States) using the pre-barcoded 338 F (5’-ACTCCTACGGGAGGCAGCAG-3’) and 806 R (5’-GGACTACHVGGGTWTCTAAT-3’) primers (Mori et al., 2014). The cycling conditions were initial denaturation at 95°C for 3 min; followed by 27 cycles at 95°C for 30 s, 55°C for 30 s, and 72°C for 45 s; and final extension at 72°C for 10 min. The PCR reaction mix contained 4 μl of 5× FastPfu buffer, 2 μl of 2.5 mM dNTPs, 0.8 μl of 5 μM each primer, 0.4 μl FastPfu DNA polymerase, 10 ng DNA template, and double-distilled H₂O in a total volume of 20 μl. The PCR products were electrophoresed on a 2% agarose gel, purified using the AxyPrep DNA Gel Extraction Kit (Axygen Biosciences, Union City, CA, United States), and quantified using the QuantiFluor™-ST System (Promega, United States). Purified amplicons were pooled in equimolar concentrations and pair-end 2×300 bp sequencing was performed on an Illumina MiseqPE 300 platform by Majorbio Bio-Pharm Technology Co., Ltd. (Shanghai, China), according to the standard protocols.

Data Processing and Analysis

Raw sequences were processed using the standard procedures of QIIME 2 (Bolyen et al., 2019). After demultiplexing, the resulting sequences were merged using FLASH v1.2.11 (Magoc and Salzberg, 2011) and quality-filtered using the Fastp v0.19.6 software (Chen et al., 2018). Then, DADA 2 was used to de-noise the high-quality sequences (Callahan et al., 2016), and each sequence was classified and annotated using the Naive Bayes classifier against the Silva database (SSU138) at a 70% confidence threshold. We removed chloroplastic and mitochondrial amplicon sequence variants (ASVs).

Rarefaction curves were subsequently plotted based on each sample’s observed richness (Sobs) to evaluate the sequencing efficiency. Mothur v1.30.2 was used to calculate alpha-diversity indices based on the ASVs, including Sobs, community evenness (Shannoneven), community diversity (Shannon), and community coverage (Good’s Coverage). The significance of alpha-diversity difference was evaluated using the Kruskal-Wallis test with multiple testing corrections (False Discovery Rate, FDR). The similarity in gut-microbiota composition among groups was based on the Bray–Curtis distance by using the principal coordinate analysis (PCoA). Statistical significance was assessed via Analysis of Similarities (ANOSIM) using 999 random permutations. The linear discriminant analysis (LDA) coupled with the effect size...
RESULTS

Sequencing-Data Analysis and Microbiota Composition

After quality control and de-noising, a total of 2,315,700 valid sequences were produced from all the samples, ranging from 21,753 to 48,479 sequences per sample (Supplementary Table S1). To avoid statistical differences caused by different sequencing depths, we sub-sampled the original sequences according to the minimum number of reads (21,753 reads only), resulting in a total of 1,435,698 sequence reads. In total, 4,280 ASVs were identified and classified. The Great Bustard had 17 phyla, 27 classes, 78 orders, 119 families, and 219 genera; the Common Crane had 31 phyla, 83 classes, 189 orders, 320 families, and 622 genera; and the Common Coot had 21 phyla, 41 classes, 100 orders, 167 families, and 286 genera. The coverage of the end-use sequence data was evaluated using Good’s coverage and rarefaction curves analysis. The bacterial diversity per community was >99% (Supplementary Table S2), as calculated using Good’s coverage. The rarefaction curves provided a visual representation of the bacterial diversity coverage in each host (Supplementary Figure S1). The microbial communities of all the samples were well represented.

The microbial compositions of 66 samples were analyzed, and the mean relative microbial abundance was subsequently calculated at the phylum level. A total of 33 phyla were detected, and Firmicutes, Proteobacteria, Fusobacteria, Actinobacteria, Bacteroidetes, and Campilobacterota were the dominant phyla with relative abundance >1%. Firmicutes constituted the majority in the gut microbiota of all the three birds, descending in the order of the Great Bustard, Common Crane, and Common Coot (83.62%, 50.12%, and 50.52%, respectively; Figure 2B). At the genus level, a total of 759 genera were detected. We used the heatmap analysis to show the top 50 most abundant genera in all the samples as a whole, differences in microbiota composition were evident among the species (Figure 2C). For the Great Bustard, the genus with the highest relative abundance was found to be unclassified- f - Lachnospiraceae. Lactobacillus and Cetobacterium were the dominant microbiomes of the Common Crane and Common Coot, respectively. At the ASV level, the Venn diagram showed that only 0.75% of the ASVs were shared by the three species; the Great Bustard and Common Crane shared the lowest number of ASVs, whereas the Common Crane and Common Coot shared the highest number of ASVs (Figure 2A).
We assessed the three wild bird species for the presence of pathogenic microbes, and detected 13 potentially pathogenic genera (Supplementary Table S3). Of these genera, the Common Crane, Common Coot, and Great Bustard had 12, 6, and 3 genera, respectively. The 3 pathogenic genera detected in the bustard—Escherichia-Shigella, Clostridium, and Helicobacter—were common among the three hosts. The Common Crane and Common Coot shared 5 pathogenic genera. Pasteurella was only detected in the Common Coot. Staphylococcus, Vibrio, Macrooccus, Haemophilus, and Yersinia were the endemic species of the Common Crane. Of the potential pathogenic genera, Campylobacter showed the highest relative abundance (3.27%), whereas the relative abundance of the other pathogenic genera remained low (<0.23%).

Gut-Microbiota Diversity Analyses

Comparative analysis of alpha-diversity indices (mean ± SE) revealed the differences in gut microbial community diversity among the three wild bird species living in sympatric habitats (Supplementary Table S4). Community richness (Sobs) based on ASVs revealed that the indices of the Great Bustard (261.3 ± 52.05) and Common Crane (315.7 ± 147.1) were significantly higher than that of the Common Coot (81.48 ± 46.41, p < 0.001), and there was no significant difference between the Great Bustard and Common Crane (Figure 3B). Additionally, the community evenness (Shannon even) of the Great Bustard (0.73 ± 0.087) was significantly greater than the Common Crane (0.55 ± 0.06, p < 0.001) and Common Coot (0.53 ± 0.046, p < 0.001), and there was no significant difference between the Common Crane and Common Coot (Figure 3A). All the three host species were significantly different from each other in community diversity (Shannon; p < 0.001), with the highest index calculated for the Great Bustard (4.05 ± 0.56), and the lowest for the Common Coot (2.25 ± 0.39; Figure 3C).

To visualize the variation in bacterial community composition among the three bird species, PCoA based on the Bray–Curtis distances revealed that bacterial community-independent aggregation was characterized by host species; the largest amount of variation was 24.58% (Figure 3D). This result suggests that each species harbored a unique gut microbial community composition. Analysis of similarity (ANOSIM) demonstrated that there were significant differences in gut microbial community composition among the hosts (R = 0.9982, p = 0.001) and that each species had a unique microbial community composition (Supplementary Table S5). Via LEfSe analysis, we identified the specific taxa of the gut microbes and screened the representative taxa that significantly differed among the hosts. Consequently, 13 features were found differentially abundant in the Great Bustard, 8 features were differentially abundant in the Common Crane, and 6 features were differentially abundant in the Common Coot (Figure 3E).

FIGURE 3 | Boxplot showing the differences in alpha diversity among the three wintering wild bird species (***p < 0.001). (A) Shannon even, (B) Sobs, and (C) Shannon indices. OT, Otis tarsa dybowskii; GG, Grus grus; and FA, Fulica atra. (D) PCoA of the gut microbial communities of the three bird species according to the Bray–Curtis distances. The differences among the three groups were assessed using the Analysis of Similarities (ANOSIM) with 999 permutations. (E) LEfSe analysis on the gut microbial biomarkers of the three bird species (LDA > 4, p < 0.05).
were large individual differences among them (Figure 4A). The host phylogenetic tree showed that the Common Crane and Common Coot had a shorter evolutionary distance than the Great Bustard, consistent with their gut microbial hierarchical clustering topology (Figure 4A). A total of 46 KEGG level II pathways were identified in the three bird species, with high-abundance functions mainly focused on carbohydrate metabolism, amino acid metabolism, membrane transport, energy metabolism, and metabolism of cofactors and vitamins. The hierarchical clustering tree of the 46 KEGG level II pathway categories showed that the abundance of KEGG functional pathways was not strictly clustered by the host, in contrast to the UPGMA analysis of gut microbial community composition (Supplementary Figure S2).

We used a heatmap to demonstrate the enriched major metabolic pathways contained in metabolism, and carbohydrate metabolism was identified as the most important metabolic pathway in the gut microbiota of the three bird species (Figure 4B).

**DISCUSSION**

This is the first study to present the gut microbiota of multiple sympatric farmland-dependent birds, and the first report on the gut microbiota of the Common Coot. Under natural conditions, one cannot precisely control the environment visited by the hosts or the food consumed by them. Therefore, it is difficult to disentangle the individual effects of environmental and genetic factors on the gut microbiota. Several studies have identified dietary factors influencing the gut microbiota; however, in these studies, there were significant differences in dietary composition among the individuals within the same species (Bodawatta et al., 2022b), and broad dietary classifications (e.g., herbivorous, carnivorous, and omnivorous) were more significant than the variations in diet (Hird et al., 2015). In the winter, farmland-dependent birds have to become herbivory, and thus, our study species share the same dietary factors. Additionally, species sharing a large habitat are more conducive to cross-species gut microbial comparisons than those living in different habitats.

In our study, the gut microbiota of three wild bird species were found to mainly comprise six phyla, including Firmicutes, Proteobacteria, Fusobacteria, Actinobacteria, Bacteroidetes, and Campylobacterota, consistent with studies on other birds, such as the Greylag Geese (Anser anser; Wang et al., 2018), Bengalese Finch (Lonchura striata domestica) and Zebra Finch (Taeniopygia guttata; Maraci et al., 2021), Hooded Crane (Grus monacha; Fu et al., 2020), and Whooper Swan (Cygnus cygnus; Wang
et al., 2021). Firmicutes, the most dominant species among the three hosts, generally dominate the gut microbiota in all animals and play an important role in maintaining gut homeostasis and assisting digestion. Several previous studies have demonstrated that many members of Firmicutes and Bacteroidetes can express carbohydrate-active enzymes, helping the host to hydrolyze and utilize carbohydrates (Kaoutari et al., 2013). Dietary cornstarch supplements significantly increase the overall abundance of Firmicutes and Bacteroidetes in the poultry cecum (Zhang et al., 2020). In mid-to-high latitude wintering grounds, the Great Bustard, Common Crane, and Common Coot have to become herbivory due to the low-temperature stress. As habitats, these birds prefer farmlands near rivers and forage for grain seeds and the vegetative parts of the plants scattered in stubble or winter wheat fields (Mouronval et al., 2007; Harris and Mirande, 2013; Liu et al., 2018). Our results from the functional predictions of the gut microbiota at the KEGG level II pathways, with the carbohydrate metabolic pathway being the most enriched metabolic pathway, support these previous findings. The high proportion of Firmicutes in the three hosts presumably helps the digestion of complex polymers such as polysaccharides and cellulose in plant-rich diets and improves the energy conversion efficiency.

In several recent comparative interspecies studies, researchers have noted that gut morphology has an important influence on the gut microbiota (Amato et al., 2019; Lee et al., 2020). Compared with long digestive tracts, short ones have less food retention time, and their physiological environment is less stable (Bodawatta et al., 2022a). Gut retention time is strongly associated with the body mass of the host (Jackson, 1992; Wotton and Kelly, 2012). In our study, the body masses of the three hosts were significantly different and in the order of the Great Bustard > the Common Crane > the Common Coot. The Shannon diversity difference test showed significant differences in gut microbial composition among these three hosts (p<0.001), with the highest community diversity in the Great Bustard and the lowest in the Common Coot. Gut microbial diversity was found to remain positively correlated with the gut retention time of the host. In addition, the Great Bustard, Common Crane, and Common Coot all have well-developed ceca, which increases the retention time of food in the gut and promotes the stability of gut symbionts (Fanke et al., 2011; Crompton and Nesheim, 2016; Li et al., 2017).

Via PCoA analysis coupled with ANOSIM statistical test, we consistently revealed that these three sympatric wild birds have significantly different gut microbiota. The Venn diagram indicated that <1% of the ASVs are shared among the hosts. The above results indicate that the gut microbiota of different host species do not undergo adaptive convergence and instead maintain relatively independent structures under similar environmental conditions. Host-species–specific differences and phylogenetic correlations among microbiota are considered to be evidence for genetic factors determining the gut microbiota (Ley et al., 2008). Thus, we analyzed the relationship between the host phylogeny and gut microbiota. The taxonomic positions of the three host species were well resolved, with the Common Crane and Common Coot belonging to the same order (Gruiformes) and being closer to the common phylogenetic ancestor than the Great Bustard (Otidiformes). The UPGMA analysis results demonstrated that although there is a certain individual variation in the microbial composition of each sample, all the samples are strictly clustered with the host species. The gut microbiota and host phylogeny both had similar tree topologies, indicating that genetic factors drive the host gut microbiota.

Interestingly, we also detected 13 pathogenic genera in these three overwintering farmland-dependent birds, and Escherichia-Shigella, Clostridium, and Helicobacter were detected in all the three hosts. Studies have shown that ammonia induces lung injury in broiler chickens by activating the NLRP3 inflammasome via gut-resident Escherichia-Shigella (Liu et al., 2020). The increase in the relative abundance of gut-resident Escherichia-Shigella affects the meat yield from broiler chickens (Rubio et al., 2015; Han et al., 2021). Clostridium perfringens in the gut can cause necrotic enteritis, which is considered the most clinically dramatic bacterial enteric disease of poultry (Cooper and Songer, 2009). Helicobacter pullorum causes 33.3% mortality in chickens, and surviving individuals show symptoms of diarrhea, poor growth, and poor transformation rate (Hassan et al., 2014). In addition, we found that the Common Crane and Common Coot share two other pathogenic genera, Mycobacterium and Campylobacter. Bird tuberculosis, caused by Mycobacterium avium or Mycobacterium genavense is one of the most important diseases affecting poultry and pet birds, with clinical manifestations including emaciation, depression, and diarrhea, alongside marked atrophy of the breast muscle (Dhama et al., 2011). The effect of Clostridium jejuni on chicken intestinal function has been shown to interfere with chicken performance and welfare (Awad et al., 2018). In our study, the detection rate and relative abundance of pathogenic genera in the hosts were at low levels, but it cannot be ruled out that the shared habitat during the wintering period may lead to outbreaks of periodic infections among sympatric migratory birds. Usage of non-invasive methods to monitor the changes in pathogenic species in wild bird fecal samples has important implications for the conservation of endangered species.

**CONCLUSION**

Our comparative study on bird gut microbial communities is one of the few studies on sympatric terrestrial wild birds and the first to describe the gut microbiota of a wild population of the Common Coot. After simplifying the complex effects of the various influencing factors of natural conditions, it was found that the gut microbiota of different hosts do not undergo adaptive convergence, maintain relatively independent structures, and respond to the evolutionary relationships of the hosts. In addition, these host species carry various pathogenic microbes. Due to the limitations of the sequencing technology, we did not discuss the microbiota functions of the three species in depth. In future work, we will integrate metagenomics and
targeted metabolomics to deeply explore the functions of the gut microbiota, which may improve wildlife conservation and captive-management strategies.
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