Remediation of Lead and Nickel Contaminated Soil Using Nanoscale Zero-Valent Iron (nZVI) Particles Synthesized Using Green Leaves: First Results
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Abstract: Nanoscale zero-valent iron (nZVI) particles have proved to be effective in the remediation of chlorinated compounds and heavy metals from contaminated soil. The present study aimed to analyze the performance of nanoparticles synthesized from low-cost biomass (green leaves) as chemical precursors, namely *Azadirachta indica* (neem) and *Mentha longifolia* (mint) leaves. These leaves were chosen because huge amounts of them are present in the region of Vellore. These nanoparticles were used to remove lead and nickel from contaminated soil. Characterization of nZVI particles was conducted using the Scanning Electron Microscope (SEM), Transmission Electron Microscope (TEM), and Brunauer–Emmett–Teller isotherm (BET) techniques. Remediation was performed on two different soil samples, polluted with lead or nickel at an initial metal concentration around 250 mg/kg of soil. The results revealed that after 30 days, the lead removal efficiency with 0.1 g of nZVI particles/kg of soil was 26.9% by particles synthesized using neem leaves and 62.3% by particles synthesized using mint leaves. Similarly, nickel removal efficiency with 0.1 g of particles/kg of soil was 33.2% and 50.6%, respectively, by particles using neem and mint leaves. When the nanoparticle concentration was doubled, Pb and Ni removal improved, with similar trends obtained at a lower dosage (0.1 g of particles/kg of soil). These first results evidenced that: (1) the nZVI particles synthesized using green leaves had the potential to remove Pb and Ni from contaminated soil; (2) the neem-derived particles gave better Pb removal efficiency than Ni one; (3) the mint-derived particles showed better Ni removal efficiency than Pb one; (4) the highest removal efficiency for both metals was achieved with the mint-derived particles; (5) double higher dosage did not greatly improve the results.
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1. Introduction

The discharge of industrial waste into the environment causes the accumulation of heavy metals in water and soil. Lead (Pb) and nickel (Ni) are two heavy metals present in the environment due to industrial activities. The average concentration of Pb on surface soil worldwide is approximately 32 mg/kg of soil [1], and its excessive amount is hazardous to living organisms and the environment [2]. Lead toxicity
affects every part of the human body, mainly the nervous system and kidney. Children exposed to high Pb concentrations for a long time are likely to have impaired development [3].

The presence of Pb in high concentrations can affect joints of the human body and lead to miscarriage in pregnant women [4]. The primary sources of Pb discharge into the environment are mostly fertilizer, biosolids, metal mining, battery, and paint industries [5]. Generally, fertilizers used for supplying nitrogen–phosphorous–potassium (NPK) to soil contain lead and cadmium (Cd) as component elements [6]. Biosolids can contain Pb, depending on their industrial source. Pb concentration in soil equal to 300 µg/kg of soil is evaluated as a threshold without substantial risk for intake by humans [7].

In the metal mining industry, a large quantity of ores is extensively mined, causing potential risk to the environment by polluting the soil. Contamination of Ni to the environment is mostly from electroplating, welding, refining, battery, paint, and porcelain production industrial effluents [8–10]. Some of the significant health issues caused by Ni are nausea, gastric problems, and bronchitis [11]. The average concentration of Ni on the Earth’s surface is 20 ppm [12], whereas the range of Ni concentration in soil is between 0.2 and 450 ppm [13].

The leaching of heavy metals due to rainfall causes the contamination of the surface, subsurface soil, and groundwater, affecting the food chain by bioaccumulation in each trophic level [14]. Numerous techniques have been adopted in the decontamination of heavy metals from soil, such as phytoremediation, phytoextraction, soil washing, adsorption, and nanoremediation [6]. Among the techniques, nanoremediation is an effective system as it contains smaller-sized active nanoparticles, with a large specific surface area [15]. Among these, nanoscale zero-valent iron (nZVI) particles are nanoparticles (1–100 nm) containing zero-valent iron, obtained from different kinds of chemical synthesis. As for other pollutants, the mechanisms for the removal of heavy metals by nZVI particles are adsorption, reduction/oxidation, precipitation, and coprecipitation.

Pasinszki and Krebsz [16] recently published a review on the synthesis and application of nZVI nanoparticles. This review constitutes one of the most comprehensive and prominent reviews on the use of these nanoparticles, completed with an interesting section on the particle toxicity.

The type and nature of the remediation process undertaken by nZVI mainly depend on the electronegativity of the contaminants to be removed [17]. Literature data show that: (a) nZVI with 1% hydrogen peroxide is effective for the decontamination of pentachlorophenol [18]; (b) MgO, TiO$_2$, and ZnO nanoparticles at 1% proved to provide good removal of chromium from soil contaminated with leather factory waste [19]; (c) the contact particle air is deleterious due to oxide formation, and preparation of stable nanoparticles was proposed with different chelating agents [20]. Hence, nanoremediation using nZVI particles is an emerging technique for wastewater decontamination and soil remediation, with more questions remaining.

Regarding water/wastewater treatment, nanoparticle application has received wider attention and development than soil remediation due to the easiness of contact water particles.

Valipour et al. [21] conducted studies to evaluate remediation characteristics of two phosphorus amendments, triple superphosphate (TSP) and phosphate rock (PR), to reduce Pb, Cd, Ni, and Cu contamination in four artificially contaminated, mainly calcareous, soils. Though TSP reduced the Pb and Cd presence, it increased the availability of Ni. PR did not show any reduction of metal contamination in calcareous soils. Yadegari [22] studied the influence on growing purslane plants to reduce the contamination of heavy metals such as Ni and Cd. He conducted pot experiments by spiking Ni (0, 30, 60, and 120 mg/kg of soil) and Cd (0, 10, 20, and 40 mg/kg of soil) into soil for two seasons. Heavy metals in the soil had a compelling effect on the morphological and physiological characteristics of purslane. Higher concentrations of metal contamination resulted in a decrease of morphological and physiological characteristics and a stronger influence of Cd. De Gisi et al. [23] used commercially available nZVI Nanofer 25S to treat contaminated marine sediments polluted by heavy metals. They conducted experimental runs on soil particles < 5 mm and two dosages, i.e., low dosage (2, 3, and 4 g nZVI per kg of Suspended Solids) and high dosage (5, 10, and 20 g nZVI per kg of Suspended Solids). They concluded that the average dosages of nZVI could effectively reduce
heavy metal contamination in sediments. Vasarevičius et al. [24] conducted experimental runs to remove Cd, Cu, Ni, and Pb contamination in spiked soil samples using commercial nZVI particles. They evaluated the remediation levels for single and multiple metals (mixtures of Cu, Ni, Pb and Cd, Cu, Ni, Pb) using different doses (0%, 0.85%, 1.7%, 2.55%, and 5.1% by weight) of nZVI particles. The leaching procedure was adopted to determine immobilization efficiency for each specific metal and nZVI dose. Their results showed effective metal removal and metal stabilization at higher dosages for all the samples.

In the present study, nanoscale zero-valent iron (nZVI) particles were chemically synthesized using neem and mint leaves to remove lead and nickel from two soils. These leaves were chosen because huge amounts of them are present in the region of Vellore.

The preliminary results are promising and worth future studies to better understand their performance and find optimal conditions for their application at a larger scale.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Synthesis of nZVI Particles

Synthesis of nZVI particles using FeSO\textsubscript{4}·7H\textsubscript{2}O and NaBH\textsubscript{4}: For nZVI synthesis, 4.17 g of FeSO\textsubscript{4}·7H\textsubscript{2}O in 150 mL of water and 3.72 g of EDTA in 100 mL of water were mixed with 2.87 g of NaBH\textsubscript{4} in 100 mL of distilled water in a stirred closed flask for 60 min and purged with nitrogen to achieve black color precipitates. These particles were filtered, washed with ethanol thrice, and filtered again [20].

Synthesis of nZVI particles using neem and mint leaves: Synthesis using Azadirachta indica (neem) and Mentha longifolia (mint) leaves was identical for each kind of leaf and involved the following procedure: (i) 10 g of leaves were cleaned, washed, dried, chopped and grounded; (ii) the leaves were then heated to 50 °C within 20 mL of distilled water for 10 min; (iii) 20 mL of each leaf extract was mixed with 20 mL of 0.001 M FeCl\textsubscript{3}·6H\textsubscript{2}O in a stirred closed flask for 60 min and purged with nitrogen. During this stage, 1% of polyvinyl alcohol and 1% of chitosan were added to stabilize the particles; (iv) the obtained black color particles were filtered and (v) dried at 50 °C for 12 h [25].

2.2. Characterization of nZVI Particles

Each kind of nanoparticles was studied using the Scanning Electron Microscope (SEM), Transmission Electron Microscope (TEM), and Brunauer–Emmett–Teller isotherm (BET). SEM analysis was performed to examine the surface morphology, whereas the shape and the approximate structure could be achieved from TEM analysis. BET analysis was useful to determine the specific surface area.

2.3. Soil and its Original Characteristics

Two soil samples (A and B) of 1 kg each were collected from different locations in VIT University, Vellore. The samples were cleaned, dried, and sieved through a 2 mm sieve. The pH and electrical conductivity of each soil sample were determined as per the Bureau of Indian Standards [26,27]. Specific gravity [28] (ASTM-D854, 2014), water content [29] (ASTM-D4959, 2016), organic content [30] (ASTM-D2974, 2014), and particle size analysis [31] (ASTM-D422, 2007) of the soil samples were conducted.

The initial lead and nickel content of the soil was determined by the Atomic Absorption Spectroscopy (AAS) technique. The soil extract was prepared with 5 g of soil in 50 mL of 1 M HNO\textsubscript{3} and placed in the shaker for 60 min to ascertain complete mixing. The supernatant obtained was used for AAS analysis.

The same method was used to monitor the experimental runs to measure the residual concentration of lead or nickel in the soil.

2.4. Pollution of Soil Samples and Nanoparticle Addition

The heavy-metal-contaminated soil was prepared by adding Ni or Pb solution to the soil. For nickel, a solution was prepared by dissolving 4.785 g of NiSO\textsubscript{4}·7H\textsubscript{2}O in 1 L of double-distilled water. Similarly,
the lead solution was made by dissolving 1.615 g of Pb(NO₃)₂ in 1 L of double-distilled water. Spiking of the soil was conducted to achieve a target metal concentration around 250 mg/kg of soil and, at the same time, considering the solution water content as a contribution to meet the soil field capacity. The initial heavy metal concentration was constantly verified by analysis.

The addition of nanoparticles was conducted on parts of the initial sample to optimize the distribution. Each soil sample and nanoparticle dose were divided into five parts. Then, each soil part was mixed with one particle part. Finally, these 5 quotas were transferred to a 1 L beaker and monitored for 30 days. Two dosages were tested, namely 0.1 and 0.2 g/kg of soil.

2.5. Remediation of Soil Samples

The remediation of heavy-metal-contaminated soil was studied at room temperature for 30 days. Along each test period, Pb and Ni residual concentration was monitored by AAS analysis on the extract derived from the 5 g sample leaching with HNO₃ (see Section 2.3), and the effect of concentration and time on soil was studied.

All the analyses were replicated twice. The average results will be shown without error bars and standard deviations because two replicates cannot support a reliable statistical analysis.

The residual concentration at time t = 30 days was used to calculate the removal efficiency:

\[
\text{Heavy metal removal \%} = \frac{C_i - C_f}{C_i} \times 100 \tag{1}
\]

where: \( C_i \) = initial heavy metal concentration (mg/kg of soil), \( C_f \) = final heavy metal concentration (mg/kg of soil).

3. Results

3.1. Characteristics of nZVI Particles

**BET analysis:** The surface area of the chemically synthesized nZVI particles was 15.2 m²/g, and for the particles synthesized using neem and mint leaves, the surface area was 6.2 m²/g and 13.0 m²/g, respectively.

**SEM analysis:** The SEM images are presented in Figure 1a,b (chemically synthesized nanoparticles), Figure 1c,d (nanoparticles synthesized using neem leaves), and Figure 1e,f (nanoparticles synthesized using mint leaves). The images show that the particles are spherical and subjected to agglomeration.

**TEM analysis:** The TEM images are shown in Figure 2. It can be observed that the particles derived from leaf extracts are agglomerated. The color difference between the core and outer layer of the nanoparticle shows that the chemically synthesized nanoparticle (Figure 2a,b) is more subjected to oxidation than those synthesized using leaves (Figure 2c–f).

![Figure 1. Cont.](image-url)
Figure 1. SEM image of nanoscale zero-valent iron (nZVI) particles: (a,b) from chemical synthesis; (c,d) using neem leaves; (e,f) using mint leaves.

TEM analysis: The TEM images are shown in Figure 2. It can be observed that the particles derived from leaf extracts are agglomerated. The color difference between the core and outer layer of the nanoparticle shows that the chemically synthesized nanoparticle (Figure 2a,b) is more subjected to oxidation than those synthesized using leaves (Figure 2c–f).

Figure 2. TEM image of nZVI particles: (a,b) chemically synthesized; (c,d) using neem leaves; (e,f) using mint leaves.

3.2. Soil Characteristics
The initial characteristics of the collected soil samples are presented in Table 1. Both soils were categorized as coarse-graded, sandy soil and contained a trace of lead and nickel, as demonstrated by their low concentration.

Table 1. Initial characteristics of the soil samples.

| Characteristics | Soil A | Soil B |
|-----------------|--------|--------|
| Soil type       | Coarse-graded, sandy soil | Coarse-graded, sandy soil |
| pH              | 6.65   | 5.32   |
| Conductivity (mS/cm) | 1.12 | 0.98 |
| Water content (%) | 13.7 | 12.9 |
| Specific gravity | 2.98  | 3.07   |
| Organic content (% by weight) | 2.78 | 4.94 |
| Lead concentration (mg/kg of soil) | 0.245 | 0.234 |
| Nickel concentration (mg/kg of soil) | 0.201 | 0.267 |

3.3. Remediation of Contaminated Soil
3.3.1. Remediation by Chemically Synthesized nZVI Particles
The remediation monitoring of lead and nickel using chemically synthesized nZVI particles is presented in Figure 3, where the residual lead and nickel concentration at the dosage of 0.1 g of nanoparticle/kg of soil added to each contaminated soil sample (A and B) is presented.
3.2. Soil Characteristics

The initial characteristics of the collected soil samples are presented in Table 1. Both soils were categorized as coarse-graded, sandy soil and contained a trace of lead and nickel, as demonstrated by their low concentration.

| Characteristics          | Soil A                     | Soil B                     |
|--------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|
| Soil type                | Coarse-graded, sandy soil  | Coarse-graded, sandy soil  |
| pH                       | 6.65                       | 5.32                       |
| Conductivity (mS/cm)     | 1.12                       | 0.98                       |
| Water content (%)        | 13.7                       | 12.9                       |
| Specific gravity         | 2.98                       | 3.07                       |
| Organic content (% by weight) | 2.78                     | 4.94                       |
| Lead concentration (mg/kg of soil) | 0.245               | 0.234                       |
| Nickel concentration (mg/kg of soil) | 0.201             | 0.267                       |

3.3. Remediation of Contaminated Soil

3.3.1. Remediation by Chemically Synthesized nZVI Particles

The remediation monitoring of lead and nickel using chemically synthesized nZVI particles is presented in Figure 3, where the residual lead and nickel concentration at the dosage of 0.1 g of nanoparticle/kg of soil added to each contaminated soil sample (A and B) is presented.

![Figure 3](image)

**Figure 3.** Remediation of contaminated soil using chemically synthesized nZVI particles (dosage: 0.1 g/kg of soil): (a) lead removal; (b) nickel removal.

Considering the system heterogeneity, the experimental data show similar values for the tested soil samples, and on this basis, the heavy metal removal efficiency was calculated as the average value at the end of the experimental run.

After 30 days and with a particle dosage of 0.1 g/kg of soil, the Pb removal efficiency was 21.6% and 18.5% for nickel. It can be observed that in any instance, the contaminant removal efficiency of the nanoparticle is low. This finding could be due to aggregation and/or oxidation of nanoparticles. In general, oxidation of the outer layer of the nanoparticle is mainly due to contact with air, which shrinks the adsorption capability of the nanoparticle. This hypothesis is supported by the SEM and TEM analyses. The SEM images show that the nanoparticles are aggregated, which reduces the surface area of the particle. The TEM images indicate the oxidation of the outer layer of nanoparticles.
3.3.2. Remediation by nZVI Particles Synthesized Using Neem Leaves

The nZVI particles synthesized using neem leaves were tested in the same conditions as the chemically synthesized particles, and the experimental results are shown in Figure 4.

Figure 4. Remediation of nZVI particles synthesized using neem leaves (dosage: 0.1 g/kg of soil): (a) lead removal; (b) nickel removal.

Figure 4a,b shows the residual lead and nickel concentration, respectively, along with the tests with Soils A and B at a dosage of 0.1 g of neem synthesized nZVI/kg of soil.

Regarding the chemically synthesized particles, Soils A and B performed similarly. After 30 days, the removal efficiency of lead at a dosage of 0.1 g of neem synthesized nZVI/kg of soil was 26.9%, and for nickel, it was 33.2%, demonstrating better performance with lead than with nickel.

After this, it was decided to test double particle dosage with the same initial heavy metal concentration. Figure 5 depicts the monitoring with 0.2 g of nZVI particles synthesized using neem leaves per kg of soil.

Figure 5. Remediation of nZVI particles synthesized using neem leaves (dosage: 0.2 g/kg of soil): (a) lead removal; (b) nickel removal.

The trend is the same as that achieved with a dosage of 0.1 g/kg of soil. The lead and nickel removal efficiency was observed as 33.3% and 38.2%, respectively. Comparing these values to lower values, it is evident that the improvement is limited in the order of 24% for lead and 15% for nickel.

Examining all the findings, the removal efficiency is not high. This could be due to the low surface area measured by the BET analysis. Agglomeration and oxidation of nanoparticles play a vital role in
the reduction of removal efficiencies, and unfortunately, these phenomena are evidenced by SEM and TEM images, respectively.

3.3.3. Remediation by nZVI Particles Synthesized Using Mint Leaves

The particles derived from mint leaves were tested at the same dosage previously used, namely 0.1 g/kg of soil. Figure 6 reports the monitoring during the 30-day test.

![Figure 6. Remediation of nZVI particles synthesized using mint leaves (dosage: 0.1 g/kg of soil): (a) lead removal; (b) nickel removal.](image)

The tested soils behaved similarly, probably due to their similar properties. At the end of the test (after 30 days), the removal efficiency was 62.3% (lead removal) and 50.6% (nickel removal).

In this instance, the particles showed better performance with lead than nickel. In both instances, the values were much higher than those with particles derived using neem leaves.

Figure 7 shows the removal efficiency at a doubled dosage of particles derived using mint leaves (0.2 g/kg of soil).

![Figure 7. Remediation of nZVI particles synthesized using mint leaves (dosage: 0.2 g/kg of soil): (a) lead removal; (b) nickel removal.](image)

The experimental data do not show relevant differences between the tested soils.

The removal efficiency was higher for lead than nickel, confirming the trend achieved with a lower dosage. After 30 days, 66.1% of the initial lead and 56.1% of the initial nickel was removed.
One reason for the better performance of particles synthesized using mint leaves could be the agglomeration, which is comparatively less than for neem-derived particles, and hence, their surface area is higher than for neem-derived particles (Figure 1).

When the particles were oxidized on their outer surface, the oxidation rate was lower than that of the chemically synthesized particles, as can be observed from the TEM images (Figure 2).

4. Discussion

This study aimed to provide preliminary results about the performance of nZVI particles derived using green leaves to remediate heavy-metal-polluted soil. At the same time, chemically synthesized nanoparticles were prepared to compare their removal efficiency to those achievable by vegetal-origin nanoparticles. As leaves, *Azadirachta indica* (neem) and *Mentha longifolia* (mint) were chosen.

The targeted heavy metals were lead and nickel.

For a rational discussion and comparison easiness, the results for removal efficiency are summarized in Table 2.

**Table 2.** The heavy metal removal efficiency of the tested nZVI particles.

| Particle Origin | Pb Removal Efficiency at t = 30 days | Ni Removal Efficiency at t = 30 days |
|-----------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
|                 | 0.1 g/kg of soil | 0.2 g/kg of soil | 0.1 g/kg of soil | 0.2 g/kg of soil |
| Chemically synthesized | 21.6% | 18.5% | |
| Neem leaves     | 26.9% | 33.3% | 33.2% | 38.2% |
| Mint leaves     | 62.3% | 66.1% | 50.6% | 56.1% |

By these values, some conclusions can be evidenced:

- The chemically synthesized particles provided the lowest efficiencies.
- The particles achieved by the processing of mint leaves showed the best results for the removal of both the metals.
- With identical nanoparticles dosage, the removal of lead by all the tested particles was constantly higher than nickel, suggesting a higher affinity of the particle for the metal.
- A double dosage improved the removal, albeit to a small extent (the maximum improvement was 26% for lead removal by neem-derived particles).

To summarize, the ZVI nanoparticles synthesized using green leaves demonstrated a good performance to remove lead and nickel from polluted soil, better than those obtained from chemical synthesis.

To this purpose, different particle properties could play opposite roles. The chemically synthesized particles showed higher BET surface area of approximately 15 against 6–13 m²/g of the others. Therefore, the adsorption should occur to a larger extent. However, as a consequence of this property, they were also highly subjected to oxidation, as shown by the TEM images, reducing their removal efficiency.

Examining the residual heavy metal concentration, when chemically synthesized particles were adopted, a decreasing trend was continuous, whereas in the other tests, two-step process could be identified: the first one, lasting about 8–10 days, with a drastic decrease of residual concentration, followed by further removal at a slower rate until the end of the test.

A similar trend was found by other authors:

- Gil-Diaz et al. [32] studied in-field brownfield remediation polluted with arsenic and mercury using commercial nZVI particles for long times (32 months) and achieved an initial sharp reduction of the pollutants, followed by a rather constant residual concentration probably due to interferences of organic matter that can form stable complexes on the particle surface, especially at acidic pH and limiting the particle removal efficiency. Examining Figures 3–5, this occurs for nickel
removal in Soil B, where pH is moderately acidic (5.32) and the organic matter content is high (about 5%). This is evident for nickel, whereas the pH influence is not appreciable for lead. One hypothesis can be the different solubility product constant, $K_{PS}$, for Ni(OH)$_2$ and Pb(OH)$_2$, which is equal to $6 \times 10^{-16}$ and $1.4 \times 10^{-20}$, respectively. A rough calculation can provide the saturation concentration of the heavy metals at the tested pH values. For lead, at saturation its concentration is lower than the initial concentration in both instances. Therefore, lead hydroxide also precipitates at an acidic pH. Heavy metal removal by nZVI particles is not only based on precipitation. For lead, this phenomenon could be more influential than the others (adsorption, coprecipitation, oxidation/reduction);

- Mystrioti et al. [33] studied the reduction of hexavalent chromium to Cr(III) by nZVI particles synthesized from several sources, namely Camellia sinensis (green tea), Syzygium aromaticum (clove), Mentha spicata (spearmint), Punica granatum juice (pomegranate), and red wine. The process was conducted on a liquid solution containing 50 mg/L of Cr(VI) in contact with different nanoparticle concentrations from the aforementioned sources. The reduction process, occurring on the particle surfaces, had better efficiency at a high particle concentration, and when a low concentration was used, the process kinetics clearly showed two different rates.

- Di Palma et al. [34] used chemically synthesized nZVI particles to reduce Cr(VI) to Cr(III) from neutral soil in the slurry mode. Their trials also showed that the reduction efficiency was influenced positively by the test duration and nZVI particle concentration, with a more evident two-step process at a low nZVI particle concentration.

- Wang et al. [35] synthesized nZVI particles from green tea and eucalyptus leaves to remove nitrate from wastewater and compared their performance to the results achieved with particles from chemical synthesis. The best results were achieved with the nanoparticles of chemical origin. However, after air contact for two months, the vegetal-origin nanoparticles did not change their performance and showed good stability, whereas for the others, the removal efficiency dropped by 50%.

From this, it is evident that the findings of the present study are widely supported by similar studies, even if performed with different operative conditions of pollutants, particle origin, and concentration, soil properties, etc.

These findings also evidence the need to continue the study and clarify the many features still pending to apply the process optimally and achieve high efficiency in sustainable terms.
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