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Analysis and Application of the Mechanical Properties of the Karst Collapse Column Fillings
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The filling material of the karst collapse column (KCC) is easy to be activated by mining. During this process, the mechanical properties of KCC fillings change, and its water resisting capacity constantly deteriorates and thus often leads to water inrush disaster. In this study, the samples of KCC fillings were taken on-site and then were remolded by the consolidation drainage method. The variation laws of the compressive strength, tensile strength, cohesive stress, internal friction angle, and permeability of the filling samples with respect to the consolidation pressure and moisture content were tested and analyzed. Based on an engineering example, the yield and activation and particle loss of the filling material of the KCC are analyzed. A mechanism for the lagging water inrush of KCC in the process of mining is proposed. The main results of the present study can be concluded concisely as follows. (1) The KCC fillings show obvious soft rock characteristics in the process of uniaxial compression and Brazilian split. The ratio of the uniaxial compressive strength to splitting tensile strength is between 12:1 and 8:1. The larger the consolidation pressure or the smaller the moisture content, the larger the ratio. (2) With the increase of consolidation pressure or the decrease of moisture content, the uniaxial compressive strength, elastic modulus, splitting tensile strength, cohesive stress, and internal friction angle of the filling material of the KCC increase linearly, while its permeability increases exponentially. (3) When the crack field of the surrounding rocks of the stope is connected with the KCC, its filling material will continue to yield, activate, and migrate under the fluid-solid coupling effect and finally result in the lagging water inrush from the KCC.

1. Introduction

As a major coal-producing area, North China has an approved production capacity of 2.82 billion tons per year, accounting for nearly 66% of China’s coal reserves [1, 2]. At present, the shallow coal resources in North China are gradually exhausted, and coal mining is gradually extended to the deep underground [3, 4]. The deep mining of coal leads to considerable increase of the confined mining area of Ordovician limestone aquifer, which aggravates the threat of Ordovician limestone karst water disaster [5, 6]. As a special geological structure in North China, the karst collapse column (KCC) is a natural connecting channel between coal seam and Ordovician limestone karst water. Severe accidents of mine water inrush from KCCs have occurred several times in North China, resulting in a large number of casualties and economic losses [7, 8].

Researchers have conducted a lot of research on the seepage law and the water inrush mechanism of KCC. Based on the theories of elasticity, fluid mechanics, and nonlinear dynamics, the seepage characteristics of KCC were studied extensively, and several models were proposed, such as the
side wall water inrush model [9], the seepage well model [10], the flow regime transition model [11], and the plug model [12]. On the basis of the aforementioned four theoretical models, many scholars have carried out a lot of numerical simulation work on the KCC [13–17]. Experimentally, Wang et al. [18] have developed a model test device of KCC and carried out the indoor model test. A large number of indoor seepage tests of fractured rocks in KCC were carried out [19–23]. The aforementioned research studies improved the early warning mechanism of KCC water inrush and played a significant guiding role in the field. In the recent two large-scale KCC water inrush accidents that occurred at Huabei Taoyuan mine in 2013 and Huainan Panji No. 2 mine in 2017, only one worker was injured.

As mentioned above, researchers carried out a lot of research on the seepage characteristics and water inrush mechanism of KCC with fruitful results. Nevertheless, the KCC is mainly composed of fractured rock mass and interstitial material, i.e., the fillings. Previous experimental research mainly focused on the fractured rock mass, and the experimental work on the mechanical properties of the karst collapse column fillings (KCCF) is rare. However, the water inrush of KCC is mainly caused by unconsolidated or consolidated KCC connecting with Ordovician limestone water. Under the joint action of coal mining and high-pressure Ordovician limestone water, the KCCF is constantly yielding and activated, resulting in considerable change of the internal void structure of the KCC, the gradual increase of the permeability, and the weakening of the water blocking capacity, which eventually leads to the water inrush accident of KCC. Study on the mechanical properties of the KCCF can help to reveal the mechanism of water inrush of KCC in essence. Because of the difficulty to take the KCCF sample completely in site, in this study, we use the dehydrated consolidation remodeling method to prepare the sample of KCCF, test, and analyze its uniaxial compressive strength, splitting tensile strength, triaxial compressive strength, and permeability. When preparing the samples, the moisture content of the filling material is high [24].

### 2.2. Sample Preparation

The modified triplex high-pressure consolidometer and a self-developed sample mold (Figure 2) were used to prepare the filling sample of KCC X3. The specific preparation steps are as follows:

1. According to the density of the filling materials on-site, weigh a certain weight of the filling materials of the KCC and add a certain proportion of water (fixed moisture content), mix them evenly, and pour them into the test mold.
2. Put the sample and the mold altogether into the triplex high-pressure consolidometer and then start to load step by step. Meanwhile, record the displacement of the sample every 2 hours using the dial gauge. When the displacement increment is less than 0.008 mm/h, the next level load is applied.
3. After loading to the prescribed value, remove the mold and dry the sample.

According to the actual occurrence state of KCCF, we have considered various moisture contents and different consolidation pressures in the process of sample preparation (Figure 3).

1. Moisture content $\omega$. The exposed section of KCC X3 has a local water pouring phenomenon, and the moisture content of the filling material is high [24]. When preparing the samples, the moisture content can be chosen as 15%, 18%, 20%, 22%, and 25%, respectively, while keeping the maximum consolidation pressure constant at 3 MPa.
2. Consolidation pressure $p$. The actual vertical pressure at the sampling site of the KCC is about 3 MPa. However, considering the influence of the transverse tectonic stress, the maximum consolidation pressure of the sample is set as 1 MPa, 2 MPa, 3 MPa, 4 MPa, 5 MPa, and 6 MPa, respectively, under the condition of constant moisture content at 20%. They correspond to the 7–12th loading level.

In order to ensure the same height of samples, we reserve enough height before consolidation and then cut off the surplus height of samples after consolidation, so as to ensure the same height of samples under different consolidation pressures.

### 2.3. Test Methods

The strength of the samples is tested using multifunctional machines, i.e., MTS816.02 for uniaxial compression (Figure 4(a)) and DNS100 for Brazilian splitting (Figure 4(b)). The MTS815.02 electrohydraulic servo rock testing machine is used to conduct the triaxial compression and permeability tests (Figure 4(c)). The permeability tests adopt the transient seepage method. The relationship between the seepage velocity $V$ and the transient pressure gradient $\xi$ is [25, 26]
where \( c_f = 0.556 \times 10^{-9} \text{ Pa}^{-1} \) is the compressibility of water, \( B = 0.332 \times 10^{-6} \text{ m}^3 \) is the volume of water tank of the testing machine, \( H = 0.05 \text{ m} \) is the height of sample, \( t \) denotes the time, and the transient pressure gradient \( \xi = (p_2 - p_1)/H \), where \( p_2 \) and \( p_1 \) are the instantaneous water pressures at the upper and lower ends of the sample, respectively. The axial load is 1 kN. The confining pressure is 3 MPa.

Assuming the seepage obeys Darcy’s law, the permeability \( k \) can be expressed as

\[
k = \frac{c_f BH \mu}{2t_f A} \ln \frac{\xi_0}{\xi}
\]

where \( \mu = 1.01 \times 10^{-3} \text{ Pa} \cdot \text{s} \) is the dynamic viscosity coefficient of water under room temperature, \( t_f \) is the acquisition time, and \( \xi_0 = 20 \text{ MPa/m} \) is the initial pressure gradient in the test.

**Figure 1:** Test filling material of KCC X3. (a) KCC X3 at Sima coal mine. (b) Crushed and dried filling material particles.

**Figure 2:** Instruments for sample preparation. (a) The modified triplex high-pressure consolidometer. (b) Schematic of the self-developed sample mold.
3. Test Results Analysis

3.1. Uniaxial Compressive Strength and Elastic Modulus. The stress-strain curves of the uniaxial compression tests for the filling samples of KCC are shown in Figure 5. Similar to rock, a typical curve can be divided into the following four stages (taking the sample $\omega = 15\%$ in Figure 5(b), for example):

1. The compaction stage OA. In this stage, the stress increases rapidly with the increase of strain, and the relationship between stress and strain is linear.

2. The elastic stage AB. In this stage, the stress increases approximately linear with the strain, but the slope is smaller than that in the compaction stage.

3. The yielding stage BC. In this stage, the material of the KCCF samples begin to damage and the damage is continually accumulated. The stress rate gradually slows down with the increase of strain, and finally, the stress reaches the peak point C.

4. The softening stage CD. After reaching to the peak point, the stress decreases rapidly with the increase of strain, but there is no cliff-like decrease, showing good ductility.

Typical failure modes for uniaxial compression of the KCCF samples under different consolidation pressures and moisture contents are shown in Figure 6. When the consolidation pressure is less than 3 MPa or the moisture content is larger than 20%, the adhesive stress between the filling material particles is relatively weak. Thus, in this case, the failure mode of the uniaxial compression sample is fracture induced by transverse extension due to Poisson’s effect (Figure 6(a)). When the consolidation pressure increases or the moisture content decreases, the failure of the KCCF samples is still dominated by transverse tension, but some oblique shear cracks begin to appear (Figure 6(b)). When the consolidation pressure exceeds 5 MPa or the moisture content is lower than 15% because of the strong cohesion between the filling material particles, the uniaxial
The compression failure of the sample is mainly oblique shear failure (Figure 6(c)).

The tested uniaxial compressive strength $\sigma_c$ of the KCCF samples under different consolidation pressures and moisture contents are shown in Figure 7, from which we can see that

1. The uniaxial compressive strength increases approximately linear with the increase of the consolidation pressure (Figure 7(a)). The uniaxial compressive strength increases by about 0.33 MPa for every 1 MPa increment of the consolidation pressure. This is caused by two reasons: on the one hand, under the action of high consolidation pressure, the internal structure of the filling material of KCC is more compact; on the other hand, the consolidation time of high consolidation pressure is greater than that of low consolidation pressure, and the consolidation degree of the sample will also be improved by long-term consolidation.

2. The uniaxial compressive strength decreases with the increase of the moisture content, which also shows an approximate linear relationship (Figure 7(b)). The uniaxial compressive strength decreases about 0.11 MPa when the moisture content increases by 1%. This is because the higher the moisture content of the sample, the greater the porosity and the worse the homogeneity. Therefore, the uniaxial compressive strength of the sample with high moisture content must be less than that of the sample with low moisture content.

The results of the tested elastic modulus of the filling material of KCC are shown in Figure 8. Due to the random distribution of the pore structures in the filling material, the elastic moduli of KCCF samples fluctuate with different
consolidation pressures and moisture contents. However, their values are between 0.15 GPa and 0.30 GPa, and they increase roughly with the increase of the consolidation pressure and the decrease of the moisture content.

3.2. Splitting Tensile Strength. The load-displacement curves of the KCCF samples in Brazilian split tests are shown in Figure 9. All the curves have gone through the compaction stage, the linearly elastic stage, the yielding stage, and the drop stage. Among them, the postpeak drop stage curve presents a certain nonlinear drop characteristics, which is obviously different from brittle rocks (such as red sandstone), but similar to sandy mudstone [26, 27]. In addition, the uniaxial compression stress-strain curve of KCCF samples (Figure 5) is also similar to that of the soft rock. Therefore, it can be concluded that both the tensile and compressive properties of the KCCF materials are close to those of the soft rock.

Figure 10 shows the variation of the splitting tensile strength $\sigma_t$ of the KCCF samples with respect to the consolidation pressure and the moisture content.
decrease of moisture content, the splitting tensile strength increases linearly. The tensile strength increases from 0.14 MPa to 0.32 MPa when the consolidation pressure increases from 1 MPa to 6 MPa. When the moisture content increases from 15% to 25%, the tensile strength decreases from 0.27 MPa to 0.19 MPa. Compared with Figure 8, it can be seen that in the same condition, the tensile strength of the KCCF samples are about 1/12–1/8 of their corresponding compressive strength. The greater the consolidation pressure or the smaller the moisture content, the greater the ratio of the tensile strength to compressive strength of the sample.

3.3. Cohesive Stress and Internal Friction Angle. The triaxial compressive stress-strain curves of KCCF samples under different consolidation pressures and moisture contents are shown in Figure 11. It is seen that the maximum principal-stress-difference of the KCCF samples will increase with the increase of the axial strain, and the increasing rate will gradually slow down. Under the same axial strain condition, the greater the consolidation pressure or the lower the moisture content, the greater the maximum principal-stress-difference. However, when the axial strain reaches a certain value, the maximum principal-stress-differences of all the KCCF samples will nearly unchanged, and the drop phenomenon after the peak is not obvious. This indicates that the KCCFs show similar plastic yield characteristics with soil under certain confining pressure, and its cohesion and internal friction angle remain nearly unchanged with respect to plastic strain.
The cohesive stress and internal friction angle of the KCCFs calculated from the triaxial compression testing results under different confining pressures are shown in Figure 12. It can be seen that the cohesive stress is between 0.6 MPa and 1.2 MPa and the internal friction angle is between 15° and 22°. With the increase of consolidation pressure and the decrease of moisture content, both the cohesive stress and the internal friction angle decrease linearly. When the consolidation pressure increases from 0 MPa to 6 MPa, the cohesive stress and the internal friction angle of the filling material increase by 0.45 MPa and 6.3°, respectively. When the moisture content increases from 15% to 25%, the cohesive stress and the internal friction angle decrease by 0.34 MPa and 3.7°, respectively. Therefore, both the consolidation pressure and the moisture content can have a great influence on the cohesive stress and the internal friction angle of the KCCFs. It is necessary to take appropriate mechanical parameters for different geological structures so as to make the calculation results consistent with the actual engineering facts.

3.4. Seepage Characteristics. Figure 13 shows the variation of pore water pressure gradient with time when the axial pressure is 6 MPa and the moisture content is 20%. Because the water pressure at one end is 1 MPa and the other end is a free surface during the test, there is only one decay curve of pore water pressure gradient with respect to time. Due to the large discreteness of the test data, fourth-order polynomial fitting is introduced here. The fitting results are brought into equations (1) and (2), and the permeability is calculated by a FORTRAN program.

The test results of the permeability of the KCCF samples under different consolidation pressures and moisture contents are shown in Figure 14, from which we can see that

1. The values of the permeability are between $1 \times 10^{-16}$ m$^2$ and $1.6 \times 10^{-15}$ m$^2$. Therefore, compared with natural rocks, the KCCFs belong to low-permeability materials, close to mudstone and sandy shale [28].

2. With the increase of the consolidation pressure, the porosity of the KCCFs gradually decreases, and the permeability decreases obviously (Figure 14(a)). When the consolidation pressure increases from 1 MPa to 6 MPa, the permeability decreases an order of magnitude, from $1.57 \times 10^{-15}$ m$^2$ to $1.36 \times 10^{-16}$ m$^2$.

3. With the increase of the initial moisture content, the porosity of the KCCFs increases, and subsequently, the permeability also increases (Figure 14(b)). When the moisture content increases from 15% to 25%, the permeability increases from $4.88 \times 10^{-16}$ m$^2$ to $1.01 \times 10^{-15}$ m$^2$.

4. Engineering Applications

As an illustrative engineering application, we consider a mining area in Changzhi City, Shanxi Province of China. The structure of the mining area is in the form of graben. The strata developed in the mining area from bottom to top are successively Ordovician lower Majiagou formation, Ordovician upper Majiagou formation, Ordovician Fengfeng formation, Carboniferous Benxi formation, Carboniferous Taiyuan formation, Permian Shanxi formation, and Permian Shihzi formation, and the ground is covered by Quaternary loess [29]. The +650 m level of the eastern coal seam of the mining area is located in the Permian Shanxi formation, above the Ordovician limestone water level. In the development area of water diversion KCC, the coal floor is threatened by water inrush. The lithology and mechanical parameters of the coal roof and floor are shown in Table 1. The KCC is composed of 75% loose rocks, 13% natural pores and fissures (including water and a small amount of filling material particles), and 12% filling materials. The mechanical and permeability parameters of loose rocks are set to be similar to those of silstones, while the parameters of filling materials are selected according to the actual engineering
stress level (12 MPa) and moisture content (20%) as well as the tests results in the present study.

FLAC3D is used to establish the plane stress model of KCC water inrush along the advancing direction of working face, as shown in Figure 15. The model is 180 m in width, 96.4 m in height, and 1.0 m in thickness. It contains 214664 nodes and 106380 elements. The mechanical boundary condition is set as that the top surface maintains the normal stress constant at 11.0 MPa, and the bottom surface and both sides are constrained by fixing their normal displacements. The seepage boundary condition is set as fixed water pressure of 4.5 MPa for the bottom surface. We set the position where the collapse column was first exposed in working face as $L = 0$ (Figure 15).

While simulating the advancing of working face, the advancing distance is 10 m each time, and the water pressure in goaf is set as 0.

In order to simulate the influence of fillings loss on water inrush of KCC, first, it is assumed that the planar shape of loose rocks is circular, and their radii are in the range of 0.1–2.0 m. Second, the random function built in FLAC3D is used to randomly distribute these loose rocks in the KCC (note that the adjacent two rocks cannot intersect). Then, considering that the KCCFs will gradually move to low pressure zone under the long-term hydraulic action, 80% of them set to be distributed at the top of the KCC and 20% at the bottom of the KCC [31]. Finally, traverse all the KCC elements, and set the remaining elements other than loose

---

**Figure 12:** Cohesive stress and internal friction angle of KCCFs. (a) Different consolidation pressures ($\omega = 20\%$). (b) Different moisture contents ($p = 3$ MPa).

**Figure 13:** Variation of the pore water pressure gradient with time for KCCFs.

$w_f = 9.589 \times 10^{-9}t^4 - 4.419 \times 10^{-6}t^3 + 7.619 \times 10^{-4}t^2 - 0.0787t + 19.850$

$R^2 = 0.889$
When the mining face keeps approaching the KCC, the crack field of the surrounding rocks will connect with the KCC, resulting in the migration and loss of the filling material of KCC through the water flowing fissures due to yielding activation. The porosity and permeability of the KCCFs in the yield area are dynamically changed according to the following two formulas [32].

\[
\rho \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t} = \lambda (1-\phi) \rho v, \\
\frac{k}{k_0} = \left( \frac{\phi}{\phi_0} \right)^3 \left( \frac{1 - \phi_0}{1 - \phi} \right)^2, \tag{3}
\]

where \( \rho \) is the particle content of the fillings, \( v \) is the seepage velocity, \( \phi_0 \) and \( \phi \) denote the initial and current porosity of the fillings, respectively, and \( k_0 \) and \( k \) designate the initial and current permeability of the fillings, respectively. \( \lambda \) is the porosity change rate of the KCC element due to its internal particles being taken away in unit time. In the present study, we set \( \lambda = 10^{-3} \).

### Table 1: The lithology and mechanical parameters of the coal roof and floor [29, 30].

| Items                  | Thickness (m) | Density (kg/m³) | Elastic modulus (GPa) | Poisson’s ratio | Cohesive stress (MPa) | Internal friction angle (°) | Tensile strength (MPa) | Porosity | Hydraulic conductivity (m/s) |
|------------------------|---------------|-----------------|-----------------------|-----------------|-----------------------|----------------------------|------------------------|----------|-----------------------------|
| Medium fine sandstone  | 22.4          | 24.0            | 32.74                 | 0.23            | 19.46                 | 31                         | 10.0                   | 0.10     | 1.0 × 10⁻⁸                   |
| Sandy mudstone         | 9.5           | 24.0            | 28.65                 | 0.29            | 16.27                 | 32                         | 9.50                   | 0.18     | 5.0 × 10⁻⁸                   |
| Carbonaceous mudstone  | 12.0          | 24.0            | 18.74                 | 0.23            | 9.01                  | 34                         | 1.02                   | 0.13     | 1.0 × 10⁻⁸                   |
| Coal rock              | 6.7           | 11.0            | 1.96                  | 0.35            | 2.52                  | 40                         | 0.81                   | 0.3      | 2.0 × 10⁻⁷                   |
| Mudstone               | 12.8          | 24.0            | 18.74                 | 0.24            | 10.24                 | 33                         | 1.02                   | 0.14     | 1.0 × 10⁻⁸                   |
| Siltstone              | 12.5          | 24.0            | 18.74                 | 0.23            | 9.25                  | 34                         | 1.02                   | 0.15     | 2.0 × 10⁻⁸                   |
| Limestone aquifer      | 20.5          | 22.0            | 21.53                 | 0.28            | 14.56                 | 35                         | 2.84                   | 0.35     | 2.0 × 10⁻⁶                   |
| KCC loose rock         | —             | 23.5            | 17.58                 | 0.24            | 10.52                 | 34                         | 2.05                   | 0.15     | 1.5 × 10⁻⁸                   |
| Natural pores and fissures | —     | 0.2           | 0.05                  | 0.46            | 0                     | 3                          | 0                      | 0.9      | 5.0 × 10⁻⁸                   |
| KCCFs                  | —             | 20.5            | 0.39                  | 0.35            | 1.68                  | 28.9                       | 0.53                   | 0.3      | 3.5 × 10⁻⁹                   |
Changing the distance $L$ between the working face and the KCC, the variation of the vertical displacement of the coal seam floor perpendicular to the direction of the working face is shown in Figure 16. It can be seen that with the advancement of the working face, the floor behind the working face will bulge, and the uplift is proportional to its distance from the working face. However, the floor about 40 m in front of the working face will produce a certain subsidence due to the increase of roof overburden pressure. When $L = -14$ m, the floor uplift behind the working face and the floor subsidence in front of the working face reach their maximum values, about 92 mm and 86 mm, respectively. When the KCC is exposed in the working face ($L = 16$ m or $L = 46$ m), the roof rock mass far behind the working face will collapse to the floor, resulting in the floor uplift therein unchanged. However, the coal seam floor at the KCC will change from subsidence to uplift. The uplift value will continue to increase with the advancing of the working face and ultimately will be much larger than other positions.

The quantity of water inflow from the coal seam floor varies with the advancing distance of working face (Figure 17). When the distance between the working face and the KCC is less than $-14$ m, the existence of the KCC has little influence on the water inflow from the coal seam floor. At this time, the unbalanced rate of water flow per unit width of the working face is about $1 \times 10^{-3}$ m$^3$/s to $6 \times 10^{-3}$ m$^3$/s. When $L > -14$ m, the surrounding rock crack field will be connected with the KCC, which leads to the filling material in the KCC begin to yield and activate, and the particles continue to lose, the water blocking capacity of the fillings gradually decreases, and then, the water inflow of the whole coal seam floor increases, showing obvious nonlinear mutation characteristics. When $L = 36$ m, the unbalanced rate of water flow per unit width of the coal seam floor will reach to 0.26 m$^3$/s, dramatically exceeding the designed drainage capacity of the working face, which means that severe water disaster accidents will occur in the working face at this time.

Figure 18 shows the variation of the hydraulic conductivity of KCC with advancing distance of the working face. When the distance between the working face and the KCC is less than $-14$ m, the mining of the coal seam has little influence on the whole KCC, and the filling material of the KCC is in the inactive state. Thus, the KCCF still maintain effective water blocking capacity. When $L = -14$ m, the coal rock crack field in front of the working face will be connected with the KCC, which leads to the local activation and loss of
the filling material, elevating its hydraulic conductivity. When $L = 16$ m, the coal mining process will expose the KCC, which will lead to the serious loss of the filling material particles of KCC in the area about 6 m below the coal seam, and the water blocking capacity of the KCC will become lower and lower. When the working face further advances ($L = 46$ m), a large number of the filling material particles of the KCC above the limestone aquifer are lost, forming an obvious intersection network of water channels. The water blocking capacity of the KCC is nearly lost, resulting in the inrush of the confined water in the limestone under the coal seam to the mining face through cracks and fissures between the loose rocks of the KCC.

It can be concluded from the above discussion that the migration and loss of the filling material particles of the KCC is the fundamental reason for the lagging water inrush phenomenon of the KCC. It is of great significance to study the mechanical and permeability characteristics of the filling material of the KCC for the establishment and improvement of a water inrush system of the KCC.

5. Conclusions

In this study, the dehydrated consolidation method is used to prepare the samples of KCCFs with different consolidation pressures and moisture contents. The uniaxial compression test, Brazilian split test, conventional triaxial compression test, and the permeability test are carried out. The uniaxial compressive strength, splitting tensile strength, cohesive stress, internal friction angle, and permeability of

![Figure 17: Variation of the unbalanced rate of water inflow per unit width of the coal seam floor with respect to the distance between the working face and the KCC.](image17)

![Figure 18: Hydraulic conductivity of KCCFs at different advancing distances of the working face.](image18)
the KCCFs are calculated, and the variation of the above mechanical properties with respect to the consolidation pressure and moisture content is analyzed. The main conclusions of the present study are as follows:

1. KCCFs show obvious soft rock characteristics in uniaxial compression and Brazilian split tests. The ratio of the uniaxial compressive strength to splitting tensile strength is between 12:1 and 8:1. The larger the consolidation pressure or the smaller the moisture content, the larger the ratio of the tensile strength to compressive strength.

2. Under a certain confining pressure, the KCCFs show similar plastic yield characteristics as soil. The cohesive stress and the internal friction angle do not change significantly with the plastic strain.

3. With the increase of the consolidation pressure or the decrease of the moisture content, the uniaxial compressive strength, elastic modulus, splitting tensile strength, cohesive stress, and internal friction angle of the KCCFs increase linearly, while the permeability increases exponentially.

4. The range of the mechanical properties of the KCCFs are 1.57–3.15 MPa for uniaxial compressive strength, 0.14–0.32 MPa for splitting tensile strength, 0.15–0.30 GPa for elastic modulus, 0.6–1.2 MPa for cohesive stress, 15°–22° for internal friction angle, and 4.88 × 10⁻¹⁶ to 1.49 × 10⁻¹³ m² for permeability.

5. With the advancing of the working face, when the cracks of the surrounding rocks of the stope are connected with the KCC, the KCCFs will continue to yield, activate, and migrate due to the effect of fluid-solid coupling. This will increase the overall hydraulic conductivity of the KCC and finally trigger the lagging water inrush phenomenon.
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