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ABSTRACT

Objective: Concussion is a prevalent brain injury in sport and the wider community. Despite this, little research has been conducted investigating the dynamics of impacts to the unprotected human head and injury causation in vivo, in particular the roles of linear and angular head acceleration.

Setting: Professional contact football in Australia.

Participants: Adult male professional Australian rules football players participating in 30 games randomly selected from 103 games. Cases selected based on an observable head impact, no observable symptoms (eg, loss-of-consciousness and convulsions), no on-field medical management and no injury recorded at the time.

Primary and secondary outcome measures: A data set for no-injury head impact cases comprising head impact locations and head impact dynamic parameters estimated through rigid body simulations using the MAthematical DYnamic MOdels (MADYMO) human facet model. This data set was compared to previously reported concussion case data.

Results: Qualitative analysis showed that the head was more vulnerable to lateral impacts. Logistic regression analyses of head acceleration and velocity components revealed that angular acceleration of the head in the coronal plane had the strongest association with concussion; tentative tolerance levels of 1747 rad/s² and 2296 rad/s² were reported for a 50% and 75% likelihood of concussion, respectively. The mean maximum resultant angular accelerations for the concussion and no-injury cases were 7951 rad/s² (SD 3562 rad/s²) and 4300 rad/s² (SD 3657 rad/s²), respectively. Linear acceleration is currently used in the assessment of helmets and padded headgear. The 50% and 75% likelihood of concussion values for resultant linear head acceleration in this study were 65.1 and 88.5 g, respectively.

Conclusions: As hypothesised by Holbourn over 70 years ago, angular acceleration plays an important role in the pathomechanics of concussion, which has major ramifications in terms of helmet design and other efforts to prevent and manage concussion.

INTRODUCTION

Concussion has been identified as the most commonly occurring brain injury seen throughout the world, especially in sports, with over half of all concussions being sport related and 9% of all sporting injuries thought to be concussions. Concussion has been defined as a 'complex pathophysiological process affecting the brain, induced by traumatic biomechanical forces'. Early in vivo biomechanical studies of concussion examined the effects of impacts on primates; however, such studies were limited biomechanically, due to neuroanatomical differences between primates and humans, and clinically, due to the complex range of signs and symptoms of concussion. Concussion studies have been conducted on helmeted and unhelmeted football players. Initial studies focused on concussion impacts using qualitative and biomechanical methods. Recently, the use of instrumented helmets in American football and ice hockey have enabled the measurement of the head kinematics during 'subconcussive' and no-injury head impacts; however, such studies have identified the ability of peak linear acceleration alone to predict
concussion is limited. For example, the study of concussion in American football carried out by Greenwald et al.\textsuperscript{19} found that only 0.3% of impacts that recorded peak linear head acceleration of greater than 98.9 g resulted in concussion, which represents a 75% probability of concussion according to the analysis by Pellman et al.\textsuperscript{20} Using instrumented helmets, Funk et al.\textsuperscript{10} observed that 516 head impacts, from a total of 37,128 recorded in collegiate American football, had exceeded 100 g; however, only four players experienced a concussion. One explanation for this discrepancy is the role of angular acceleration on brain loading; a view that extends back 70 years to the hypothesis of Holbourn,\textsuperscript{29} which states that rotational kinematics were the major cause of shear strains in brain tissue and, therefore, indicative of the probability of injury.

Kleiven\textsuperscript{11} analysed 58 helmeted American football head impact cases using the KTH Finite Element (FE) Human Head Model and observed that a combination of the Head Injury Criterion and change in angular velocity of the head provided the best correct classification of concussion incidence for the sample. Kleiven\textsuperscript{11} also observed that the rotational kinematics of the head were the most important factor in determining the intracranial strain, whereas linear kinematics determined intracranial pressure. Zhang et al.\textsuperscript{20} analysed 24 helmeted American football head impact cases using the Wayne State University Brain Injury Model (WSUBIM). Strong correlations were observed between angular acceleration and shear stresses in the brain, especially at the brainstem, and linear acceleration and intracranial pressure; however, a poor correlation was observed between linear acceleration and shear stress. In a sophisticated series of cadaver head impact experiments, Hardy et al.\textsuperscript{10} made similar observations regarding the relationship between linear acceleration and intracranial pressure. Hardy et al.\textsuperscript{10} also observed that brain motion patterns are associated with angular velocity; however, no significant relationship between angular acceleration and intracerebral strain was found. One limitation of the study by Hardy et al.\textsuperscript{10} in comparison to FE methods, was that the targets used for measuring intracranial strain were in discrete locations in the cerebral cortex. Guskiewicz et al.\textsuperscript{15} observed no correlation between peak linear or angular acceleration of the head, as measured by instrumented helmets, and concussion symptoms in 13 concussion cases from 88 collegiate American football players; however, Guskiewicz et al.\textsuperscript{15} did not control for impact location. McCaffrey et al.\textsuperscript{17} using a similar cohort of 43 collegiate American football players fitted with instrumented helmets, did not observe that head impacts resulting in a maximum acceleration greater than 90 g resulted in observable changes to balance or neurocognitive function. More recently, Rowson et al.\textsuperscript{31} later developed a new metric, the Combined Probability of Concussion (CPC), which combines the peak resultant linear and angular acceleration from a database of no-injury and concussion head impacts recorded using instrumented helmets.\textsuperscript{16, 21, 24} Another database of head impacts recorded using instrumented helmets, in addition to the anthropometric test device (ATD) reconstructions of Newman et al.\textsuperscript{5–8} were used to assess the predictive capability of the CPC, which was found to be a significantly better predictor in comparison to peak resultant angular acceleration.

In contrast to the cited studies on American football and ice hockey, helmets are not typically worn in professional football codes in Australia; therefore, this research provides opportunities to examine concussion in the unhelmeted athlete in vivo. A fundamental understanding of human tolerance to head impacts is a prerequisite for the evaluation of concussion risk and the design of methods, such as helmets, to mitigate those risks.\textsuperscript{32} The specific aims of the study were to (1) investigate the dynamics, impact location and kinematics, of no-injury and concussive impacts to the unprotcted human head and (2) consider concussion tolerance values.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

Video of 103 Australian rules football games, from the same period (1995–1998) and cohort as an earlier study that analysed Australian rules football concussion cases,\textsuperscript{26} were collated. Thirty games were randomly selected after assigning numbers to each of the 103 games and making the selection using a random number generator. No-injury head impact cases in each game were selected using the following criteria: an observable head impact, no observable symptoms (eg, loss-of-consciousness, convulsions, etc), no on-field medical management and no resultant injury recorded at the time. Despite these selection criteria, players may have exhibited signs or symptoms of concussion, for example, headache, and not reported them. Each head impact case was assessed for impact location (frontal, temporal, parietal, occipital, crown or face).

A total of 122 no-injury head impact cases (the reference group) were identified and analysed qualitatively from the 30 games (figure 1). The 122 no-injury cases were then reviewed to assess which cases could be analysed further; initially to obtain the two-dimensional kinematic data and finally head impact kinematics through rigid body reconstructions. The review consisted of assessing each image sequence against the selection criteria, which included zooming, panning, oblique camera angles, video quality and calibration objects, as per previously established methods.\textsuperscript{26} The majority of video sequences were excluded from quantitative analysis because they failed to meet the selection criteria. Only 13 no-injury head impact cases met the selection criteria and were deemed suitable for reconstruction and kinematic analysis. Bias in selecting the no-injury...
cases was minimised by randomly selecting the games, identifying all no-injury head impacts and only reconstructing the events that met the predefined selection criteria.

In order to estimate the head’s three-dimensional kinematics, including linear and angular acceleration, the 13 suitable no-injury cases were reconstructed using methods reported by Fréchêde and McIntosh. The following is a detailed summary of these methods and further notes are provided in online supplementary appendix A. The reconstructions were simulated using the MAthematical DYnamic MOdels (MADYMO) human facet model, which is widely used in the automotive industry as a pedestrian or seated occupant. The behaviour of the model had previously been validated for blunt impacts at various locations, but not for the head. The contact properties of the head were refined and validated using published data from cadaver impact experiments and FE simulations. The masses and inertias of body segments were scaled to the known anthropometry of the players, using the GEnerator of BOdy Dimensions (GEBOD) scaling equations, and lumped into the models. Initially, the models were positioned in the same relative orientation as they were just prior to impact in the game footage and a state of generic muscular activation was applied by adding joint restraint torques. The initial position, body segment velocities and joint restraint torques were adjusted to correctly match the kinematic behaviour of the simulation with the video event. Through this process, two datasets were derived each containing the reference group (no-injury head impacts) and cases (concussive head impact): (1) 187 events with a description of head impact location and impacting object (65 Australian rules football concussion cases and 122 Australian rules football no-injury cases) and (2) 40 reconstructed head impact cases (13 no-injury and 27 concussion). The 27 concussion cases included in this paper had been selected from a larger database of 100 concussion cases, as per the methods detailed in Fréchêde and McIntosh, which had previously been analysed quantitatively and qualitatively by McIntoshetal.

For all 40 reconstructed head impact cases, the orthogonal component profiles of linear and angular accelerations were extracted and the angular acceleration curves were integrated in order to obtain the angular velocity component and resultant profiles. The orthogonal components were defined in a head-based coordinate axis system with the origin at the centre-of-gravity: +X, anterior; +Z, superior; +Y, lateral (left). Peak linear acceleration, angular acceleration and angular velocity were calculated for resultants and all unique directional components.

The influence of location of impact on concussion was first assessed using a $\chi^2$ analysis. Statistical t tests were used to analyse the mean kinematic values of the concussion and no-injury cases; the results were compared to previous concussion studies in American football and ice hockey.

**Figure 1** Flow chart of concussion and no-injury case selection. The rigid body reconstruction concussion cases comprised 23 Australian football and 4 rugby league cases. Australian football is also referred to in the text as Australian rules football.
velocity and impact location were not included in the regression analyses. A commercially available statistical software package, PASW Statistics V.18.0, was used to perform the logistic regression analysis. The log-likelihood ratio statistic and significance test was used for overall model evaluation as it is generally considered to be the superior inferential test, especially for small sample sizes. Generalised the coefficient of determination \( R^2 \), a concept defined for ordinary least squares regression, for use in logistic regression as a supplementary goodness-of-fit index. The index is interpreted as improvement from the null model to the parameter model and ranges from 0 to 1. The Nagelkerke pseudo-\( R^2 \) index, in addition to the percentage correct classification, was used to assess the goodness-of-fit of the models.

### RESULTS

In the 30 games reviewed 122 no-injury head impact cases were observed and coded for impact location, impacting object and potential for quantitative analysis. A comparison was made between the head impact location and object striking the head for 122 non-injury cases and the 65 concussion head impact cases, which were previously reported by McIntosh et al. There was a significant difference \( (p<0.001) \) in head impact location between concussion and no-injury head impact cases. The proportion of impacts to the temporal region was significantly greater \( (p=0.05) \) for concussion cases compared to no-injury cases, 60–23%, respectively. The proportions of impacts for concussion cases were also compared to no-injury cases for other impact sites: parietal, 6–25%; occipital, 17–19%; frontal, 11–21%; facial, 6–12%, respectively. There were no crown impacts for either no-injury or concussion cases. No significant differences were observed between the objects striking the head for concussion and no-injury cases.

Only 13 of the 122 no-injury head impact cases met the criteria for further quantitative analysis and reconstruction, which required minimal parallax error in the impact video and presence of scaling methods, and reconstruction. After deriving the closing speeds of the players from the video, the head impact dynamics of 13 players demonstrated that angular acceleration of the head in the coronal plane had the strongest association with concussion (table 3). This parameter was both sensitive and specific, with the predicted percentage correct classifications for no-injury and concussion cases being 92.3% and 92.6%, respectively. The 50% and 75% likelihood of concussion values for head angular acceleration in the coronal plane were 1747 and 2296 rad/s², respectively.

A significant difference \( (p<0.001) \) was found between concussion, 23.1 rad/s (SD 11.3 rad/s), and no-injury, 8.8 rad/s (SD 5.5 rad/s), head impacts for the coronal plane \( X \) components of angular acceleration. Peak angular velocity of the head in the transverse plane \( Z \) also had a significant difference \( (p=0.005) \) between concussion, 21.8 rad/s (SD 12.4 rad/s) and no-injury, 12.2 rad/s (SD 7.6 rad/s), cases. Logistic regression analyses of the kinematic parameters demonstrated that angular acceleration of the head in the coronal plane had the strongest association with concussion (table 3). This parameter was both sensitive and specific, with the predicted percentage correct classifications for no-injury and concussion cases being 92.3% and 92.6%, respectively. The 50% and 75% likelihood of concussion values for head angular acceleration in the coronal plane were 1747 and 2296 rad/s², respectively.

### DISCUSSION

The results of the current study suggest that concussion is more commonly associated with impacts to the means of the concussion, 4823 rad/s² (SD 2906 rad/s²) and no-injury, 1548 rad/s² (SD 1029 rad/s²), cases (figure 3). A significant difference \( (p<0.001) \) was also observed between concussion, 5144 rad/s² (SD 2800 rad/s²) and no-injury, 2523 rad/s² (SD 1753 rad/s²), head impacts for the peak transverse plane \( Z \) components of angular acceleration. A significant difference \( (p=0.001) \), for mean peak resultant angular acceleration, between concussion, 7951 rad/s² (SD 3562 rad/s²) and no-injury, 4300 rad/s² (SD 3657 rad/s²), head impacts was observed (figure 2 and table 2).

A significant difference \( (p<0.001) \) was observed for mean peak angular velocity of the head, for the resultant \( R \) between concussion, 36.1 rad/s (SD 12.0 rad/s), and no-injury, 18.4 rad/s (SD 7.6 rad/s), cases (figure 4). A difference with a similar level of significance \( (p=0.001) \) was found between concussion, 23.1 rad/s (SD 11.3 rad/s), and no-injury, 8.8 rad/s (SD 5.5 rad/s), head impacts for the coronal plane \( X \) components of mean peak angular velocity. Peak angular velocity of the head in the transverse plane \( Z \) also had a significant difference \( (p=0.005) \) between concussion, 21.8 rad/s (SD 12.4 rad/s) and no-injury, 12.2 rad/s (SD 7.6 rad/s), cases.
temporal region of the head and angular kinematics of the head in the coronal plane. In these cases, such impacts also resulted in linear acceleration of the head. A biomechanical association exists between temporal impacts and coronal plane angular kinematics. Although it is unlikely, there is a possibility that temporal impacts were more forceful than other impacts due to the nature of the game and the phases of play in which players were injured. It is also possible that the impacted player was unaware of the impending contact prior a temporal impact, in contrast to frontal impacts, which occur in a player’s direct field of vision. However, there is currently no evidence that neck strength is protective against concussion.42 American football studies using instrumented helmets have found that impacts to the front, top and back of the head were associated with injury19 21; however, in the current study, impacts to the temporal region were found to be associated with concussion. The difference in results may be due to the different structure of the two games or the use of helmets in American football.

The dataset of 40 reconstructed concussions and no-injury head impact cases analysed in this paper is most comparable to the set of 58 cases by Newman et al6–8; however, Newman et al6–8 analysed helmeted impacts, used physical tests to reconstruct the impacts and used paired head-to-head impacts for the concussion and no-injury cases.

### Table 1: Comparison of mean peak resultant linear head accelerations, for concussion and no-injury cases, reported in the literature

| Study            | Mean peak resultant linear head acceleration (g) | Number of cases | Sport                  | Level          | Method                  |
|------------------|-----------------------------------------------|----------------|------------------------|----------------|-------------------------|
|                  | No-injury Concussion No-injury Concussion  |                |                        |                |                         |
| Naunheim et al52 | 29.2 –                                         | 132 0         | American football      | High school   | Instrumented helmets    |
| Newman et al6–8  | 54.3 97.9                                       | 33 25         | American football      | Professional  | ATD reconstructions     |
| Duma et al43     | 32.0 81                                         | 3311 1        | American football      | Collegiate    | Instrumented helmets    |
| Brolinson et al44| 20.1 103.3                                      | 11 601 3      | American football      | Collegiate    | Instrumented helmets    |
| Funk et al15     | – 151                                          | 22 701 3      | American football      | Collegiate    | Instrumented helmets    |
| Guskievicz et al16| – 102.8                                        | 0 13          | American football      | Collegiate    | Instrumented helmets    |
| Schnebel et al18 | – 105.9                                        | 0 3           | American football      | High school   | High school              |
| Broglio20        | 25.0 –                                         | 19 224 0      | American football      | High school   | Instrumented helmets    |
| Broglio et al21  | 25.1 –                                         | 54 247 0      | American football      | High school   | Instrumented helmets    |
| McAllister et al23| – 73.6                                         | 0 10          | American football, ice hockey | High school, collegiate | Instrumented helmets    |
| Rowson et al24   | – 103                                          | 300 977 57    | American football      | Collegiate    | Instrumented helmets    |
| Current study    | 59.0 103.4                                      | 13 27         | Australian rules football, rugby league | Professional | Rigid body simulations |

**Figure 3** Mean peak angular acceleration of the head, for all unique directional components (X, Y, −Y, Z) and resultant (R). Significance levels: *p<0.01, **p<0.001 (two-sample t test for unequal variance). Error bars represent one SD.
reported by previous studies,\textsuperscript{6–8,12–14,16,18,20,21,24} with several reporting values close to 100 g.\textsuperscript{6–8,12–14,16,18,20,21,24} Apart from the players not wearing helmets, there were differences between studies in sample size, biomechanical methods, selection criteria for no-injury cases and competition level. For the current study, all players were adult male professional athletes like those studied by Newman et al;\textsuperscript{6–8} however, the instrumented helmet studies assessed high school and collegiate players. In the instrumented helmet studies,\textsuperscript{13,14,16,18,20,21,24} all impacts that exceeded a predefined threshold were recorded, while Newman et al\textsuperscript{6–8} used paired cases and the current study used a predetermined selection criteria for no-injury cases. For no-injury cases, the mean maximum linear acceleration in the current study, 59.0 g (SD 37.2 g), was higher than the range of results, 19.0–54.3 g (table 1), reported by previous studies.\textsuperscript{6–8,12–14,16,18,20,21,24} This difference is influenced strongly by the no-injury case selection criteria, for example, the acceleration threshold applied in the instrumented helmets to record an impact will affect the mean acceleration of no-injury impacts whereas in the current study, only clearly observable head impacts were analysed.

The study by McAllister et al\textsuperscript{23} was the only previous study to report the components of linear acceleration for concussion cases. In the current study, the inferior component of linear acceleration (−Z) had significant differences (p<0.001) between concussion and no-injury cases (figure 1), with a mean peak acceleration of 32.4 g (SD 24.6 g) for concussion cases. This value compared well to the mean peak acceleration of 35.4 g reported by McAllister et al\textsuperscript{23} for 10 concussion cases recorded using instrumented helmets. Rowson et al\textsuperscript{24} reported the mean values of linear acceleration components for 1712 no-injury impacts recorded during collegiate American football: anterior-posterior, 12.8 g; medial-lateral, 10.0 g and superior-inferior, 16.5 g. The mean superior-inferior value reported by Rowson et al,\textsuperscript{24} 16.5 g, was similar to the no-injury mean value found in the current study, 16.2 g; however, the anterior-posterior and medial-lateral values were higher in the current study: 32.8 and 40.1 g, respectively. Once again this difference may be influenced strongly by the no-injury case selection criteria and the nature of the two different football codes.

Linear acceleration is currently used in the assessment of helmets and padded headgear.\textsuperscript{32} The 50% and 75% likelihood of concussion values for resultant linear head acceleration in this study were 65.1 and 88.5 g, respectively (table 3). These levels are indicative of the extent to which helmets are required to manage linear

| Study | Mean peak resultant angular head acceleration (rad/s\textsuperscript{2}) | Number of cases | Sport | Level | Method |
|-------|-------------------------------------------------|-----------------|-------|-------|--------|
| Newman et al\textsuperscript{6–8} | 4159 | 6664 | 33 | 25 | American football | Professional | ATD reconstructions |
| Duma et al\textsuperscript{3} | – | 7912 | 3311 | 1 | American football | Collegiate | Instrumented helmets |
| Guskiewicz et al\textsuperscript{16} | – | 5312 | 0 | 13 | American football | Collegiate | Instrumented helmets |
| Broglio et al\textsuperscript{21} | 1627 | – | 54 | 247 | 0 | American football | High school | Instrumented helmets |
| McAllister et al\textsuperscript{23} | – | 5025 | 0 | 10 | American football, ice hockey | High school, collegiate | Instrumented helmets |
| Rowson et al\textsuperscript{24} | 1230 | 5022 | 300 | 977 | 57 | American football | Professional | Rigid body simulations |
| Current study | 4300 | 7951 | 13 | 27 | Australian rules football, rugby league | Professional | Rigid body simulations |

Figure 4 Mean peak angular velocity of the head, for all unique directional components (X, Y, −Y, Z) and resultant (R). Significance levels: *p<0.01, **p<0.001 (two-sample t test for unequal variance). Error bars represent one SD.
acceleration in an impact if they are to reduce the likelihood of concussion. One reason why helmets or padded headgear may not prevent concussion is because they are not able to meet this performance requirement. Another reason is that helmets are not specifically designed to manage angular acceleration or velocity.

The mean maximum resultant angular accelerations for the concussion and no-injury cases in the current study were 7951 (SD 3562 rad/s²) and 4300 rad/s² (SD 3657 rad/s²), respectively (figure 2). The value for concussion cases, 7951 rad/s², was slightly higher than the range reported by previous studies, 5022–7912 rad/s² (table 2); however, only the ATD reconstruction study by Newman et al.13 recorded a single concussion case during an instrumented helmet study and reported the peak acceleration of the head in the coronal plane had the greatest influence of the two football codes.

Based upon the logistic regression analyses, angular acceleration of the head in the coronal plane had the strongest association with concussion (table 3). This parameter was both sensitive and specific, with percentage correct classifications for no-injury and concussion cases of 92.3% and 92.6%, respectively. The values for a 50% and 75% likelihood of concussion were 1747 and 2296 rad/s², respectively. The resultant and coronal components of mean peak angular velocity were also associated with concussion. This provides some support to the hypothesis by Holbourn26 that rotational kinematics are the main cause of shear strains in the tissue of a particular region of the brain and, therefore, indicative of the probability of injury in that region; however, brain tissue loading was not assessed in this study. This demonstrates that there are impact direction sensitivities, for example, angular head acceleration in the coronal plane, which may be useful to consider in order to improve the predictive capabilities of instrumented helmets and in systems to prevent brain injury.19 It must be acknowledged that while associations exist between component accelerations and concussion, all cases in the current study involved a direct head impact and combinations of linear and angular head accelerations. The use of FE and other modelling methods will lead to a better understanding of the role of the component accelerations on brain loading in concussion. In addition, methods that combine linear and angular head kinematics in a single measure are important. Newman et al.7,44 developed both the Head Impact Power (HIP) and the Generalized Acceleration Model for Brain Injury Threshold (GAMBIT) criteria; however, they were not examined in this paper as HIP was previously analysed by Fréchède and McIntosh,26 while GAMBIT lacks validation and is seldom used as an index for head injury.45

No previous study has performed logistic regression analyses for individual kinematic components; however, Greenwald et al.49 used principal component analysis to develop a new composite variable, weighted principal component score (wPCS), for use in predicting concussion. It was found that the wPCS head impact severity measure, which accounts for impact location, was more predictive than classical measures, such as the results of the logistic regression analysis of kinematic injury predictors, significantly associated with concussion (p<0.001), ranked using the likelihood ratio test, pseudo-R² and percentage correct classification statistics.

| Variable                                      | −2 Log likelihood ratio | Nagelkerke Pseudo-R² | Percentage correct classification (%) | Concussion likelihood values |
|-----------------------------------------------|-------------------------|----------------------|---------------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Angular acceleration (coronal plane)          | 26.8                    | <0.001               | 0.68                                  | 92.5                        |
| Angular acceleration (resultant)              | 29.3                    | <0.001               | 0.59                                  | 80.3                        |
| Angular acceleration (coronal plane)          | 29.6                    | <0.001               | 0.52                                  | 85.0                        |
| Linear acceleration (resultant)               | 14.8                    | <0.001               | 0.43                                  | 82.5                        |
| Angular acceleration (transverse plane)       | 12.3                    | <0.001               | 0.41                                  | 77.5                        |
| Angular acceleration (resultant)              | 10.2                    | <0.001               | 0.31                                  | 82.5                        |

Table 3: Summary of logistic regression analysis of kinematic injury predictors, significantly associated with concussion (p<0.001), ranked using the likelihood ratio test, pseudo-R² and percentage correct classification statistics.
of linear and angular acceleration. Rowson et al.\(^{24}\) carried out linear regression analyses for the rotational kinematic resultants. In the current study, a value of 6633 rad/s\(^2\) for peak angular acceleration was found to be associated with a 75% likelihood of concussion (table 3), which compared well to the value of 6945 rad/s\(^2\) reported by Rowson et al.\(^{24}\) The maximum change angular velocity value for a 75% likelihood of concussion, 27.5 rad/s, found in the current study, also compared well to the value of 30.8 rad/s reported by Rowson et al.\(^{24}\) Although there were differences in the range head accelerations measured between sports and cohorts, there were strong similarities. This finding suggests that common human tolerance values can be used to design equipment to prevent concussion for all sports.

The main limitations of the current study stem from the reconstruction process and the limited sample size. McIntosh et al.\(^{26}\) identified the closing velocity estimation error to be approximately 10%; however, the closing velocities were only used as initial estimates for the rigid body reconstructions and the frame-by-frame analysis was used to manage this error. Fréchêde and McIntosh\(^{27}\) carried out a sensitivity analysis of possible errors and identified initial position and velocity of the players were the most influential parameters. The contact properties of the head were also found to be an influential parameter, with the error minimised through evaluation against a range of experimental boundary conditions from the literature. The contact properties of the head were refined and validated using data from cadaver impact experiments and FE simulations.\(^{33–35}\) The sensitivity analysis suggested that the influence of neck muscle activation, for example, bracing for impact, on head dynamics was less than that of boundary conditions such as initial position and velocities.\(^{27}\) It is acknowledged that this influence may vary between impact scenarios; however, it was not possible to draw reliable conclusions from the videos regarding a state of awareness and subsequent level of muscular activation. A compromise was made by selecting to model a state of standard awareness, which was achieved by providing enough resistance in the joints to counter the effects of gravity. It is acknowledged that compounding errors may be manifested during the reconstruction process; however, due to large interscenario variability among simulation cases, no compounding error estimates could be made. The sample size was limited by resources, the laborious process of reconstruction and the quality of the video. For future studies it would be advantageous to obtain all video feeds, not just the broadcast video. Another limitation is that some signs of concussion are transient and may be missed on video review and by team physicians. Although no no-injury case was recorded contemporaneously as being concussed, the possibility remains that this was undiagnosed or unreported. A valuable area of novel research would be to develop a reliable, valid and practical method for instrumenting unhelmeted athletes. Such studies would be further enhanced by collecting a broader range of clinical, neuropsychological and radiological data for all head impact cases, both concussion and no-injury.

The results support the hypothesis proposed by Holbourn\(^{32}\); showing strong associations between angular kinematics of the head, in the coronal plane, and concussion. These associations have practical implications for concussion research and management. First, kinematic parameters can be measured in physical tests and in equipment tests to assess injury reduction potential. By considering relevant injury mechanisms, human tolerance values, pass-fail criteria for a device and impact severity, a test method can be developed that provides a performance target for equipment manufacturers and policy makers.\(^{32}\) Second, the kinematic parameters can also be measured within a helmet or head mounted package, which provides opportunities for on-field monitoring and/or research, as evidenced already by the wide use of instrumented helmets.\(^{13–24}\) Finally, observation of where the player is struck in the head may assist sideline management of concussion, where other technologies are absent.
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Appendix A Figure A1

Comparison of video and MADYMO reconstruction for a concussion case in Fréchète et al. (2009)

Appendix A Figure A2

The head coordinate axis system used in the current study: X-Y, X-Z and Y-Z define the transverse, sagittal and coronal planes, respectively. Coronal plane head rotation occurs about the X-axis, sagittal plane head rotation occurs about the Y-axis and transverse plane rotation occurs about the Z-axis.