SIMULTANEOUS DETERMINATION OF ACESULFAME-K, ASPARTAME AND STEVIOSIDE IN SWEETENER BLENDS BY ULTRAVIOLET SPECTROSCOPY WITH VARIABLE SELECTION BY SIPLS ALGORITHM
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A chemometric-assisted UV absorption spectroscopic method is proposed for the simultaneous determination of acesulfame-K, aspartame and stevioside in raw powder mixtures of commercial sweeteners. The synergy interval partial least squares (siPLS) algorithm was applied to select the optimum spectral range and their combinations. The utilization of spectral region selection aims to construct better partial least squares (PLS) model than that established from the full-spectrum range. The results show that the siPLS algorithm can find out an optimized combination of spectral regions, yielding lower relative standard error of prediction (RSEP) and root mean square error of prediction (RMSEP), as well as simplifying the model. The RMSEP and RSEP obtained after selection of intervals by siPLS were 0.1330 µg·ml–1 and 1.50 % for acesulfame-K, 0.2540 µg·ml–1 and 1.64 % for aspartame, 1.4041 µg·ml–1 and 2.03 % for stevioside respectively. The recovery values range from 98.12 % to 101.88 % for acesulfame-K, 98.63 % to 102.96 % for aspartame, and 96.38 % to 104.04 % for stevioside respectively.
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ИСТОВРЕМЕНО ОПРЕДЕЛУВАЊЕ НА АЦЕСУЛФАМ-К, АСПАРТАМ И СТЕВИОЗИД ВО ЗАСЛАДУВАЧИ СО УЛТРАВИОЛЕТОВА СПЕКТРОСКОПИЈА СО ИЗБОР НА ПРОМЕНЛИВИ СО АЛГОРИТАМОТ SIPLS

Предложен е хемометриски помогнат метод за истовремено определување на ацесулфам-К, аспартам и стевиозид во засладувачи со ултравиолетова апсорпциона спектроскопија во реални смеши на комерцијални засладувачи. Алгоритмот со синергиски интервал на парцијални најмали квадрати (siPLS) е применет за избор на оптимална комбинација и на на спектрална област. Изборот на оптималната спектрална област помага да се конструира подобар модел на методот за парцијални најмални квадрати (PLS) во однос на оној за целата спектрална област. Резултатите покажуваат дека со алгоритмот siPLS можат да се најдат најпогодните комбинации од спектрални области со кои се добиваат стандардни грешки за процена на результатите (RSEP) и средноквадратни грешки за процена (RMSEP), како во едностативниот модел. Добиените RMSEP и RSEP по изборот на спектралните области со siPLS се 0,1330 µg·ml–1 и 1.50 % за ацесулфам-К, 0,2540 µg·ml–1 и 1,64 % за аспартам, 1,4041 µg·ml–1 и 2,03 % за стевиозид. Аналитичкиот принос се движи од 98,12 % до 101,88 % за ацесулфам-К, од 98,63 % до 102,96 % за аспартам и од 96,38 % до 104,04 % за стевиозид.

Ключни зборови: ацесулфам-К; аспартам; стевиозид; синергиски iPLS; UV апсорпциона спектроскопија; избор на бранова длжина
1. INTRODUCTION

Artificial sweeteners with lower calorie benefit people who have problems with sugar metabolism. They are also cheaper and can significantly reduce the manufacturing costs of some beverages and food products. Nowadays, the common trend in food industry is to use sweetener combinations, as so-called blends, to take advantage of the synergism that occurs with these combinations and to provide certain sensory profile [1, 2]. In the case of sweetener blends manufacturing, the control of sweeteners proportion in blends is essential to precisely reproduce the texture, sweetness profile and also reduce the adverse health effects [3]. Therefore, fast and accurate methods to assure quality control and product integrity are essential to meet the needs of growing market of sweetener blends [4, 5].

Several methods have been described to determine artificial sweeteners separately or in combination. Most of the methods reported so far are based on high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) techniques [6–8]. Also, as an alternative to classical HPLC methods, ion-exchange chromatography (IC) has been successfully used for the analysis of different sweeteners [9, 10]. In addition, capillary (zone) electrophoresis [11] and Fourier transform infrared (FTIR) spectroscopy with organic solvent extraction [12] have been applied to the simultaneous determination of several kinds of sweeteners. However, most of these methods are suitable for the analysis of sweeteners in foods and soft drink samples, but they require rather expensive analytical instruments and higher running costs because of the need of preliminary separation steps for sample preparation [13].

Multivariate calibration has been gaining importance in the resolution of multi-component mixtures in recent years. It offers the advantage of eliminating or minimizing preliminary separation steps by allowing to simultaneously determine two or more components [14, 15]. Until now, several papers have been reported to successfully using spectrophotometry with partial least squares (PLS) regression for the simultaneous determination of kinds of sweeteners. For example, UV-visible spectrophotometry with PLS was applied to the simultaneous determination of binary mixtures containing saccharin and aspartame [5], acesulfame-K and aspartame [16], saccharin and acesulfame-K [17], or ternary sweetener mixtures containing aspartame, acesulfame-K and saccharin [18], acesulfame-K, sodium cyclamate and saccharin sodium [19]. Procedures based on FT-Raman spectroscopy with chemometrics have also been successfully developed for sweeteners [20, 21]. However, all these methods used information from the whole spectrum region measured to build a calibration model.

It has been demonstrated, both theoretically and experimentally, that performing spectral region selection can still considerably refine the performance of these full-spectrum calibration procedures [22, 23]. Many algorithms have been proposed for the selection of characteristic wavelength, such as moving windows [24–26], interval PLS (iPLS) [27, 28], genetic algorithms [29, 30], and ant colony optimization [31, 32]. Recently, a moving windows based variable selection method with varied window size has been proposed by our group that suitable in potentiometric titration multivariate calibration [25]. However, among the different types of utilization methods, the iPLS method has gained much attention due to its high efficiency and ability to represent results in a graphical manner, focusing on models with specified intervals and interpretation. The main principle of this method is to develop PLS regression model in smaller equidistant spectra regions using the same or less number of latent variables. In recent years, many successful applications of iPLS based methods have been reported in the literature [33–36].

In this work, the iPLS and synergy interval partial least squares (siPLS) methods in combination with ultraviolet spectroscopy were applied to the simultaneous determination of acesulfame-K, aspartame and stevioside in raw powder mixtures of commercial sweeteners. The siPLS method was applied to select the optimal spectral region and their combinations, with which one could establish a more stable and accurate calibration model.
An independent set of samples was employed to evaluate the prediction ability of the full-spectrum PLS and siPLS models. The results demonstrated that the siPLS algorithm could be successfully applied to the simultaneous determination of three sweeteners, without the HPLC separation step, in the routine quality control during the manufacture of sweetener blends.

2. EXPERIMENTAL

2.1. Chemicals and standard solutions

All the chemicals used were of analytical reagent grade. The pure acesulfame-K was obtained from the National Research Center for Certified Reference Materials of China (Beijing) and was used as received. Aspartame and stevioside as standard materials were kindly supplied by the Key Laboratory of Pharmaceutical Engineering of Ministry of Education, Zhejiang University of Technology (Hangzhou). Pure water was produced by Nanopure Diamond UV/UF water purification system (Thermo Scientific Barnstead, USA). Standard working solutions at the desired concentrations were prepared daily by appropriately diluting stock solutions in water. These sample solutions were kept in air-tight stoppered glass bottles. The masses were weighed on an Ohaus balance to an accuracy of ± 0.01 mg.

2.2. Instrumentation and software

A UV/Visible spectrophotometer (UV-2250, Shimadzu, Japan) with automatic wavelength correction and wavelength repeatability was employed for all the measurements. The spectral data were recorded by using the UV Probe software.

Programs for performing PLS regression and cross validation were written in Matlab. The iToolbox [27, 28] for Matlab (http://www.models.kvl.dk, USA) was used for variable selection and development of the multivariate models iPLS, and siPLS.

2.3. Procedure

The orthogonal experimental design was used to statistically maximize the spectrum information content in the calibration set. As shown in Table 1, the acesulfame-K, aspartame, and stevioside concentrations for the standard calibration set were varied between 4.73-18.92, 5.39-21.56 and 21.88-87.52 µg·ml⁻¹, respectively. These mixed standard solutions were placed in a 10-ml volumetric flask, and dilute to mark with distilled water. Each solution was transferred to a 1 cm path length quartz cell for spectral measurements, which were made against the blank solutions. The absorption spectra were recorded between 200 and 400 nm with fixed slit width of 2 nm.

| Sample number | Concentration (µg·ml⁻¹) |
|---------------|-------------------------|
|               | Acesulfame-K | Aspartame | Stevioside |
| C1            | 4.73         | 5.39      | 21.88      |
| C2            | 4.73         | 10.78     | 43.76      |
| C3            | 4.73         | 16.17     | 65.64      |
| C4            | 4.73         | 21.56     | 87.52      |
| C5            | 9.46         | 5.39      | 43.76      |
| C6            | 9.46         | 10.78     | 21.88      |
| C7            | 9.46         | 16.17     | 87.52      |
| C8            | 9.46         | 21.56     | 65.64      |
| C9            | 14.19        | 5.39      | 65.64      |
| C10           | 14.19        | 10.78     | 87.52      |
| C11           | 14.19        | 16.17     | 21.88      |
| C12           | 14.19        | 21.56     | 43.76      |
| C13           | 18.92        | 5.39      | 87.52      |
| C14           | 18.92        | 10.78     | 65.64      |
| C15           | 18.92        | 16.17     | 43.76      |
| C16           | 18.92        | 21.56     | 21.88      |
Another seven samples that were not included in the calibration set were prepared as an independent test set (named the prediction set). The procedure for preparation of each solution in the prediction set is similar to the calibration set. These solutions were measured using UV/Visible spectrophotometer under the same condition. Both the calibration and prediction spectra were preprocessed by Savitzky-Golay smoothing [37].

2.4. Data analysis

Chemometrics models were applied to obtain quantitative information from the spectra of mixtures. Generally for the evaluation of the error of each model and to find the optimum number of latent variables, the root mean square error of cross validation (RMSECV) and root mean square error of prediction (RMSEP) can be used [38, 39]:

\[
\text{RMSECV/P} = \left[ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (c_i - c_{i,\text{pred}})^2 \right]^{1/2}
\]

(1)

Also, to evaluate the predictive ability of a multivariate calibration model, the relative standard error of prediction (RSEP) was checked as calculated from the following equation:

\[
\text{RSEP}\% = \left[ \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (c_i - c_{i,\text{pred}})^2}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (c_i)^2} \right] \times 100
\]

(2)

where \(c_{i,\text{pred}}\) is the predicted value, \(c_i\) is the reference value of \(i\) sample and \(n\) is the number of samples.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Figure 1 shows the UV spectral characteristics of pure acesulfame-K, aspartame and stevioside. As can be seen in the Figure 1, acesulfame-K shows an absorption maximum at about \(\lambda = 226\) nm. The maximum absorption wavelengths for both aspartame and stevioside are all approximately 200 nm, and the absorption decrease as the wavelength increase between 200 and 220 nm linearly with almost the same slope. On the other hand, stevioside shows an absorption shoulder at about \(\lambda = 235\) nm which is overlapped by the absorption of acesulfame-K. It can be found that the curves of all these three species are overlapped and affected each other, so they can not be determined by the univariate calibration procedure. Under these conditions, multivariate calibration methods can be applied to simultaneously determine acesulfame-K, aspartame and stevioside in mixtures, which allows one to eliminate or minimize preliminary separation steps.

Fig. 1. The ultraviolet absorption spectra of pure acesulfame-K, aspartame and stevioside in water. The concentration of acesulfame-K, aspartame and stevioside are 21.28, 48.74 and 209.0 µg·ml⁻¹, respectively.

3.1. Experimental design and preprocessing choice

All the concentrations in the calibration set were shown in the Table 1. Four levels for each sweetener were selected and 16 standard three component mixtures were prepared according to four-level orthogonal experimental design. It has been widely recognized that using such a design as the training set, maximum
information for each component can be obtained by using only a few calibration solutions [40–42]. On the other hand, seven samples in the prediction set were randomly selected and all the artificial sweeteners lie in their concentration range tested.

It is well known that the preprocessing of spectra was found necessary to develop reliable and stable calibration models. In this work, the simple Savitzky-Golay (SG) smoothing method [37] was used. The SG algorithm can be controlled with two parameters, namely, the frame size \( M \) and the polynomial order \( K \). High polynomial orders and small frame sizes yield flexible smoothing, whereas low polynomial degrees and large frame sizes may yield rigid smoothing. In this work, the root mean square error (RMSE) for the calibration set by full spectrum PLS regression were calculated and compared as a criterion under different polynomial order \( K \) and frame size \( M \). However, we established that it was difficult to find a global optimized combination between the two parameters \( K \) and \( M \) for all the three compounds simultaneously. Finally, a relatively optimized combination of \( K = 2 \) and \( M = 9 \) was chosen, although somewhat arbitrary, and was a good compromise in practice. It was found that the absorption in the region between 300 and 400 nm was negligible, so only the wavelength between 200 and 300 nm was shown and considered for the next full PLS and siPLS modeling.

### 3.2. Full-spectrum PLS regression

At first, the full spectrum PLS models were established in order to yield a general measurement of the wavelength selection methods. The commonly used leave-one-out cross-validation (LOOCV) method was used to select the optimum number of factors in the PLS model. In the LOOCV method, first, one sample was selected out from the total set used for validation, and then, the calibration model was constructed with the remaining samples. The procedure was repeated for all samples for the initial number of factors, and the error expressed as the prediction residual error sum of squares (PRESS) was calculated. Then, for the next number of factor, the PRESS was calculated again and up to all the factors (eight in this work) that were used. Finally, the PRESS as a function of factor numbers was obtained. It is expected that the optimal number of factors corresponds to the minimum PRESS value. However, in many cases the minimum PRESS value may cause overfitting, since there are a finite number of samples in the calibration set [43]. To find solution to this problem, the F-statistical test suggested by Haaland and Thomas [44] was used. As suggested by Haaland and Thomas, an F-ratio probability of 0.75 (\( \alpha = 0.25 \)) is empirically selected in this work for the full-spectrum PLS modeling.

![Fig. 2. Plots of PRESS1/2 vs. the number of factors by PLS with full spectrum for acesulfame-K (Δ), aspartame (◊), and stevioside (○), respectively.](image-url)

In our case, as shown in Figure 2, it was interesting to find that the optimal number of factors obtained by this method was three for all the compounds. Results of RMSECV for the full spectrum PLS modeling were shown in Tables 2, 3 and 4 for acesulfame-K, aspartame, and stevioside, respectively. In Tables 5 and 6, the error of each sweetener including RMSEP and RSEP% for the prediction of synthetic mixtures...
based on the full spectrum PLS modeling was also calculated for comparison and statistical purposes.

3.3. **Wavelength selection by synergy interval PLS**

It has been demonstrated that wavelength selection is potentially able to improve the prediction ability by finding out an optimized combination of the informative regions. In this work, the optimization of spectral informative regions was accomplished by the iPLS and si-PLS methods [45].

3.3.1. **siPLS model for acesulfame-K**

Firstly, the spectrum region was divided into 16 equidistant subintervals by the iPLS algorithm. For all the 16 subintervals, a calibration model based on PLS using different numbers of latent variables was developed. The RMSECV was calculated as a critical value for comparison of these models in relation to the whole spectrum model. Figure 3 shows the RMSECV for each interval selected (bars) and latent variables for each model represented by numbers above interval wavelengths, and the RMSECV for the full spectrum model (dotted line) using three latent variables. It was found that the developed model using interval number 3 with wavelengths between 214 and 221 nm gave the minor RMSECV values with 0.1513, which was better than the full-spectrum PLS model with 0.1714 as shown in Table 2. Although other wavelength selection algorithms can also locate at information regions, such as genetic and ant colony algorithms, the iPLS strategy can deal with much more wavelengths and require less computing time [46]. In addition, the iPLS toolbox is more easy to use with a graphically-oriented interface.

Base on the subinterval number 3 with the smallest RMSECV, different combinations of intervals were tested by means of the siPLS algorithm. The statistical results of different combinations are shown in Table 2. As can be seen in the table, the combination of subintervals 3, 5 and 6 gives the lowest RMSECV with

| Model | Intervals       | Wavelength   | LV\(^a\) | RMSE CV\(^b\) |
|-------|----------------|--------------|---------|---------------|
| PLS   | All            | 200-300 nm   | 3       | 0.1714        |
| iPLS  | 3              | 214-221 nm   | 2       | 0.1513        |
| siPLS | 3,4,5,6        | 214-241 nm   | 3       | 0.1395        |
| siPLS | 3,5            | 214-221 &    | 3       | 0.1514        |
|       |                | 228-235 nm   |         |               |
| siPLS | 1,2,3          | 200-221 nm   | 4       | 0.1789        |
| siPLS | 2,3,4,5        | 207-235 nm   | 3       | 0.1456        |
| siPLS | 3,4,5          | 214-235 nm   | 3       | 0.1584        |
|       |                | 228-241 nm   |         |               |
| siPLS | 3,5,6          | 214-221 &    | 3       | 0.1367        |
|       |                | 235-241 nm   |         |               |
| siPLS | 3,6            | 214-221 &    | 3       | 0.1584        |
|       |                | 235-241 nm   |         |               |

\(^a\)LV = number of latent variables
\(^b\)RMSE CV = root mean square error of cross validation
0.1367 that are better than the subinterval 3. The siPLS algorithm avoids the loss of relevant spectral region that will improve the performance of the calibration model.

A graphic test of model constructed by the synthetic subintervals 3, 5 and 6 is shown in Figure 4. So finally, the subintervals 3, 5 and 6, located in the 214-221 and 228-241 nm wavelength regions, were combined for the construction of calibration model in the case of acesulfame-K. It is interesting to point out that this region exactly corresponded to the absorption region of acesulfame-K within 210-240 nm, which further confirms that the siPLS algorithm can automatically provide the correct position of the informative region for the given substance.

![Figure 4](image)

**Fig. 4.** Spectral regions selected to build the models and results: (a) siPLS model by combination of subintervals 3, 5 and 6 for quantification; (b) acesulfame-K content (µg·ml⁻¹) vs. the predicted values by cross-validation for the siPLS model with 3 LVs

### 3.3.2. siPLS model for aspartame

For aspartame, the whole spectrum was also divided into 16 equidistant subintervals. The results for each interval tested and the RMSECV for the full-spectrum based model using three latent variables are shown in Figure 5. It can be seen that the iPLS model using number 3 and 4 subintervals produce relative small RMSECV values, however it does not produce better results than the full-spectrum model. On the other hand, subintervals 1 and 2 give little bigger RMSECV values than the best result, but only with 1 latent variable. Other subinterval with the wavelength from 228 to 300 nm give larger RMSECV values, indicating that there is no relevant information contained in these spectral regions. These results and prior knowledge of the aspartame UV absorption spectrum indicate that a better model may be obtained by only using the wavelengths included in subintervals from 1 to 4.

![Figure 5](image)

**Fig. 5.** RMSECV for 16 interval models (bars) and full-spectrum model (line) versus interval number for one to three latent variables in the localized models and three latent variables for the global model, for aspartame determination.
The searching of better combination of subintervals by siPLS model for aspartame confirms the above discussion. As shown in the Table 3, the combination of subintervals 1, 2, 3 and 4 give a RMSECV value of 0.3408, better than that from the whole spectrum model. The results also indicate that introducing non-information subintervals such as number 5 into the model gives larger RMSECV value. Therefore, the siPLS models using the spectrum subintervals 1, 2, 3, and 4 were developed for the quantification of aspartame. The absorption wavelength regions of aspartame are also located in the 200-230 nm range.

Table 3

| Model  | Intervals       | Wavelength          | LV  | RMSE CV  
|--------|-----------------|---------------------|-----|-----------
| PLS    | All             | 200–300 nm          | 3   | 0.3551    
| siPLS  | 3               | 214–221 nm          | 3   | 0.4112    
| siPLS  | 1234            | 200–228 nm          | 3   | 0.3408    
| siPLS  | 234             | 207–228 nm          | 3   | 0.3832    
| siPLS  | 13              | 200–207 & 214–221 nm| 3   | 0.3905    
| siPLS  | 123             | 200–221 nm          | 3   | 0.4149    
| siPLS  | 34              | 214–228 nm          | 3   | 0.4176    
| siPLS  | 1,2,3,4,5       | 200–235 nm          | 3   | 0.3688    
| siPLS  | 1345            | 200–207 & 214–235 nm| 3   | 0.3881    
| siPLS  | 2345            | 207–235 nm          | 3   | 0.43      

aLV = number of latent variables
bRMSE CV = root mean square error of cross validation

3.3.3. siPLS model for stevioside

In the case of stevioside determination, Table 4 shows some selected statistical results corresponding to the comparison between different siPLS calibration models. The results show that the combination of lower number of wavelengths (subintervals 2, 3 and 6 with RMSECV of 1.0278) gives better results compared to the full spectrum PLS model. The better results obtained for subintervals 2, 3 and 6 than for 2 and 3 may be due to the fact that the stevioside absorption spectrum exhibits a shoulder at about 235 nm. The subinterval 6, corresponding to wavelength values between 235 and 242 nm, contains that information area for stevioside. On the other hand, with the addition of interval number 10 (model in the intervals numbers 2, 3, 6 and 10) the RMSEP value was further reduced. So the intervals included the regions of 207-221 nm (interval 2, 3), 235-242 nm (interval 6) and 263-270 nm (interval 10) were selected to built the final siPLS model for stevioside. Good results were obtained with a correlation coefficient of 0.999 and a RMSECV value of 1.0155.
Table 4

Statistical results of siPLS model for stevioside

| Model  | Intervals          | Wavelength             | LVa | RMSE CVb  |
|--------|--------------------|------------------------|-----|-----------|
| PLS    | All                | 200–300 nm             | 3   | 1.2259    |
| siPLS  | 2,3,6,10–15        | 207–221 & 235–242 & 263–300 nm | 3   | 1.1747    |
| siPLS  | 10–15              | 263–300 nm             | 3   | 10.42     |
| siPLS  | 2,3,6              | 207–221 & 235–242 nm   | 3   | 1.0278    |
| siPLS  | 3,4,6              | 214–228 & 235–242 nm   | 3   | 2.4326    |
| siPLS  | 1,2,3,6            | 200–221 & 235–242 nm   | 3   | 1.2177    |
| siPLS  | 2,3,6,10           | 207–221 & 235–242 & 263–270 nm | 3   | 1.0155    |
| siPLS  | 2,3,6,15           | 207–221 & 235–242 & 295–300 nm | 3   | 1.0204    |
| siPLS  | 2,3,6,10,11        | 207–221 & 235–242 & 263–277 nm | 3   | 1.0315    |
| siPLS  | 2,3                | 207–221 nm             | 3   | 1.8927    |
| siPLS  | 2,3,4,6            | 207–228 & 235–242 nm   | 3   | 1.0399    |

aLV = number of latent variables
bRMSE CV = root mean square error of cross validation

3.4. Application to synthetic mixtures

Table 5

Prediction set composition and their predicted values by PLS and siPLS

| Added (µg ml⁻¹) | Predicted (µg ml⁻¹) | Recovery (%) |
|-----------------|---------------------|--------------|
|                 | Acesulfame-K | Aspartame | Stevioside | Acesulfame-K | Aspartame | Stevioside | Acesulfame-K | Aspartame | Stevioside |
|                 |             |           |            |             |           |            |             |           |            |
| By PLS          |             |           |            |             |           |            |             |           |            |
| 5.12            | 16.32       | 83.60     | 4.97       | 16.10       | 81.17     | 97.07      | 98.65       | 97.09      |
| 4.16            | 18.94       | 40.53     | 4.44       | 19.35       | 43.60     | 106.73     | 102.16      | 107.57     |
| 8.32            | 23.51       | 38.00     | 8.06       | 23.04       | 35.80     | 96.88      | 98.00       | 94.21      |
| 11.20           | 7.84        | 91.20     | 11.20      | 7.97        | 90.48     | 100.00     | 101.66      | 99.21      |
| 12.16           | 11.75       | 65.87     | 12.29      | 11.93       | 66.97     | 101.07     | 101.53      | 101.67     |
| 7.68            | 9.79        | 53.20     | 7.64       | 9.94        | 55.80     | 99.48      | 101.53      | 104.89     |
| 10.24           | 14.37       | 88.67     | 10.03      | 14.46       | 87.42     | 97.95      | 100.63      | 98.59      |
| By siPLS        |             |           |            |             |           |            |             |           |            |
| 5.12            | 16.32       | 83.60     | 5.16       | 16.10       | 83.80     | 100.83     | 98.63       | 100.24     |
| 4.16            | 18.94       | 40.53     | 4.22       | 18.68       | 39.06     | 101.34     | 98.64       | 96.38      |
| 8.32            | 23.51       | 38.00     | 8.17       | 23.19       | 36.77     | 98.14      | 98.64       | 96.76      |
| 11.20           | 7.84        | 91.20     | 11.21      | 8.07        | 92.42     | 100.08     | 102.96      | 101.34     |
| 12.16           | 11.75       | 65.87     | 12.39      | 12.10       | 68.53     | 101.88     | 102.95      | 104.04     |
| 7.68            | 9.79        | 53.20     | 7.75       | 9.98        | 54.43     | 100.93     | 101.94      | 102.30     |
| 10.24           | 14.37       | 88.67     | 10.05      | 14.52       | 88.50     | 98.12      | 101.06      | 99.81      |
In order to test the applicability of the proposed analytical method to real samples, the analysis of different synthetic three-component acesulfame-K, aspartame and stevioside mixtures was carried out. The concentration of each component was chosen to be near its usual level in sweetener blends. The results obtained by applying the PLS and siPLS algorithm to seven synthetic samples are listed in Table 5. As can be seen in the table, the recovery of each compound was also quite acceptable.

The RMSEP and RSEP values for each compound in the prediction set are summarized in Table 6. It can be seen that the model using the selected variables by siPLS method presents better predictions with lower errors than the full PLS model. Again, it was demonstrated that a narrow spectrum region or their combination can be found by the siPLS algorithm for each substance with reduced variable numbers and small prediction errors.

| Table 6 | Statistical parameters for prediction set |
|---------|------------------------------------------|
|         | PLS | siPLS |
|         | RMSEP\(^a\) | RSEP\(^a\) | RMSEP\(^b\) | RSEP\(^b\) |
| Acesulfame-K | 0.1817 | 2.05% | 0.1330 | 1.50% |
| Aspartame | 0.2718 | 1.75% | 0.2540 | 1.64% |
| Stevioside | 2.0778 | 3.01% | 1.4041 | 2.03% |

\(^a\)RMSEP = relative standard error of prediction 
\(^b\)RSEP = root mean square error of prediction

4. CONCLUSION

This study proves the feasibility of simultaneous spectroscopic determination of acesulfame potassium, aspartame and stevioside in raw powder mixtures. Partial least squares (PLS) and synergy interval PLS regression were applied to resolve the spectra overlapping. The siPLS algorithm demonstrated its ability to find out an optimized spectral region combination able to improve the performances of the corresponding PLS model. Lower prediction error and simplified calibration models were obtained. Our study proved the feasibility of simultaneous spectroscopic determination of acesulfame potassium, aspartame and stevioside in raw powder mixtures without the need of preliminary separation steps, and also demonstrated the usefulness of siPLS algorithm in multivariate food additives analysis for quality control tasks.
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