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ABSTRACT

We propose a novel explainable machine learning (ML) model that identifies depression from speech, by modeling the temporal dependencies across utterances and utilizing the spectrottemporal information at the vowel level. Our method first models the variable-length utterances at the local-level into a fixed-size vowel-based embedding using a convolutional neural network with a spatial pyramid pooling layer (vowel CNN). Following that, the depression is classified at the global-level from a group of vowel CNN embeddings that serve as the input to another 1D CNN (depression CNN). Different data augmentation methods are designed for both the training of vowel CNN and depression CNN. We investigate the performance of the proposed system at various temporal granularities when modeling short, medium, and long analysis windows, corresponding to 10, 21, and 42 utterances, respectively. The proposed method reaches comparable performance with previous state-of-the-art approaches and depicts explainable properties with respect to the depression outcome. The findings from this work may benefit clinicians by providing additional intuitions during joint human-ML decision-making tasks.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Depression is a mental health (MH) condition with worldwide prevalence [1]. Depression diagnosis and treatment is challenging due the lack of access to MH care resources and social stigma [2]. Speech-based machine learning (ML) systems have shown promising results in identifying depression due to their ability to learn clinically-relevant acoustic patterns, such as monotonous pitch and reduced loudness [3]. In addition, these systems can potentially increase accessibility to MH care resources, since they can run locally on users’ smartphone devices. Various ML models including support vector machines (SVM), convolutional neural network (CNN), and long short-term memory (LSTM) have been explored for depression estimation [4]. However, the majority of these methods are designed independently of MH clinicians. Previous research found that physiological and cognitive impairments associated with depression can influence motor control and consequently, affect the phonological loop [5], producing significant energy variations in speech vowels [6]. Patients with depression depict a reduced frequency range between vowel formants and different formant dynamics compared to healthy individuals [7]. Vowel-based features were found to outperform turn-level acoustic features for detecting depression [8]. Integrating such domain knowledge into the system could potentially enhance the explainability of speech-based ML models for depression detection.

In complex and highly subjective decision-making tasks, such as the ones pertaining to MH care, ML technologies can potentially help clinicians via augmenting their ability to make reliable decisions in a data-driven manner. An explainable ML model of depression estimation would allow clinicians to gain insights into the ML rationale and decision-making processes, and contribute toward better calibrating their trust to the model output [9]. Previously proposed conceptual frameworks for building human-centered explainable ML suggest that users may be able to develop a mental model of the algorithm based on a collection of “how explanations” that demonstrate how the model works based on multiple instances [10]. In addition, it is important to provide both global explanations that describe holistically how the model works, and local explanations that demonstrate the relationship between inputs and outputs [11].

Here, we design an explainable ML model for depression classification based on speech. We leverage knowledge from speech production indicating that depression can influence motor control and consequently the formant frequencies and spectrottemporal variations at the vowel-level [12]. We propose a vowel-dependent CNN (vowel CNN) with a spatial pyramid pooling (SPP) layer that learns the spectrottemporal information of short-term speech segments (i.e., 250ms) throughout the utterance. The depression is estimated from...
a group of vowel CNN embeddings using a 1D CNN (depression CNN). The vowel CNN captures depression information at the local-level from parts of speech that are theoretically postulated to be most affected by the MH condition [12]. The SPP layer maps utterances of any size into a fixed-size embedding that contributes to generating explanations at the utterance-level, which can provide a global view of the depression outcome. To further improve the performance and address challenges related to the small sample size, we use two novel data augmentation methods that are applied during the training of vowel CNN (i.e., addressing imbalance between vowel distributions) and depression CNN (i.e., alleviating imbalance between healthy and depression classes). Results indicate that the proposed system is comparable to or better than previous depression classification systems, and further provides explanations about its decision-making process to the user. Ablation studies demonstrate the effectiveness of considered augmentation methods.

2. PREVIOUS WORK

End-to-end ML models can effectively detect one’s MH condition from speech. Ma et al. designed an end-to-end system (DepAudioNet) that uses a 1-dimensional CNN to encode audio features and a LSTM network to model the encoded audio embeddings [13]. Sardari et al. utilized a convolutional autoencoder on the raw speech signal to identify depression [14]. Romero et al. introduced an ensemble learning approach by training 50 CNN models with different initializations to address the challenge of local optimal [15]. Other recent methods, such as the SpeechFormer, use a hierarchical framework to modeling spectral variations within and across speech frames, phonemes, words, and utterances [16].

Data augmentation can contribute to effectively classifying depression with limited labelled data. As part of CNN-Augm, Lam et al. augmented the speech transcripts based on the topic of the clinical interview via manually identifying the most common topics, and added speech samples related to each topic to form an augmented dataset [17]. Ravi et al. used different frame-width and frame-shift parameters to obtain data samples at various time-frequency resolutions [18]. Other speech augmentation methods include feature perturbation [19], altering the raw speech signal [20, 21], or generating new data via a GAN-based structure [22].

The contributions of this work are as follows: (1) In contrast to the majority of deep learning models on depression estimation that are not explainable [13, 14, 16], we propose a local explanation of the ML decision via modeling speech patterns at the vowel-level; (2) We extend the limited prior work on explainable ML for depression classification [23] by further providing a global explanation of the decision at the utterance-level via introducing the SPP layer that can model utterances of variable length; and (3) We investigate an oversampling-based and perturbation-based augmentation methods to mitigate the imbalanced distributions of the different vowels and healthy/depression classes.

3. PROPOSED METHODOLOGY

Our system includes three modules: (1) Vowel segmentation module with data augmentation (Section 3.1), which evenly samples 250ms segments from English vowels (/a/, /e/, /i/, /o/, /u/, or not a vowel); (2) Vowel classification module (Section 3.2), that includes a vowel CNN trained based on the balanced vowel segments, and can take variable-length utterances as input due to the SPP layer; and (3) Depression classification module with data augmentation (Section 3.3), that trains the depression CNN using the vowel CNN embeddings.

3.1. Vowel segmentation module with data augmentation

Here, we prepare the training data (i.e., 250ms speech segments with vowel labels) for the vowel CNN, similar to [23]. The most common vowel (/a/) occurs over 10 times more than less frequent vowels (e.g., /u/) due to the phonation patterns in the English language. This can hamper the vowel classification module from effectively learning vowel-dependent patterns. Thus, we design a sampling-based data augmentation method by dynamically determining the overlap between segments. If the current segment (0-250ms) belongs to ‘not a vowel’, a regular overlap length corresponding to half of the analysis window (250ms * 0.5 = 125ms) is applied. However, if the current segment is labeled as the commonly occurring vowel /a/, the overlap length is reduced to 75ms (250ms * 0.3 = 75ms) so that more segments can be sampled around this vowel. The overlap ratio for each vowel is equal to the vowel frequency in the training data and remains unchanged during sampling. A demonstration of how it works for each vowel is provided in Table 1. A segment is finally assigned to a vowel based on whether the vowel is fully or partially included within this segment, similar to [23].

3.2. Vowel classification module

The purpose of this module is to train the vowel CNN that assigns a 250ms segment, as determined in Section 3.1, into a vowel label (i.e., /a/, /e/, /i/, /o/, /u/, not a vowel). Compared with the vanilla 2D CNN used in previous work [23], the SPP layer increases the model’s flexibility by allowing different embedding shapes after the convolutional layers. We use the log-Mel spectrogram as the feature for every 250ms segment. The feature extraction process is completed using the Librosa library [24] and the other parameters include a 512-sample FFT window length, 128-sample hop length, and 128 Mel bands. This leads to a spectrogram patch with size (128, 28) for every segment. The vowel CNN includes three convolutional blocks, each block consists of a convolutional, activation, batch normalization, and max-pooling layer. A SPP layer and two fully connected (FC) layers are further added after all the convolutional blocks. We show the detailed vowel CNN structure in Table 2. We use Pytorch [25] to implement this model and minimize the cross-entropy loss. A batch size 64 and an Adam optimizer with a learning rate of 0.001 and l2 regularization of 0.001 are also applied.

3.3. Depression classification module with augmentation

This module utilizes the fixed-size embeddings at the utterance level extracted from the vowel CNN and classifies de-
Augment" [26], our method augments the embeddings using a novel data augmentation method. Inspired by "Keep-the-depression CNN explanation on how the ML model works. Before training based on a set of utterances groups may contribute to a global probability for this group of utterances. Taking decisions on consecutive utterances, and outputs the depression prediction which takes a group of embeddings as input, resulting from dimensional embedding, we train a depression CNN first FC layer for each utterance (Table 2). Based on this 128-CNN can take an arbitrary-sized input, we extract a 128-vowel expression for each speaker via soft voting. Because the vowel CNN can take an arbitrary-sized input, we extract a 128-dimensional vowel embedding by taking the output of the first FC layer for each utterance (Table 2). Based on this 128-dimensional embedding, we train a depression CNN model, which takes a group of embeddings as input, resulting from consecutive utterances, and outputs the depression prediction probability for this group of utterances. Taking decisions based on a set of utterances groups may contribute to a global explanation on how the ML model works. Before training the depression CNN, we generate an augmented training set using a novel data augmentation method. Inspired by “Keep-Augment” [26], our method augments the embeddings X of a given speaker to a revised embedding set Z. We randomly select a subset Xn of embeddings from n consecutive utterances and obtain a 128-dimensional saliency measure of each utterance via the output of the activation function of the vowel CNN. The sum of the absolute value of the saliency measures is further calculated. We then substitute the embeddings of a random subset of these utterances with a constant number c, without substituting the utterance embeddings with the highest saliency measures, thus protecting utterances with rich spectrotemporal information. A detailed description of this procedure is in Algorithm 1. The parameters of the augmentation include n = 10, 21, 42 (number of utterances included as an input in the depression CNN), pos = 8, 16, 32 (number of samples added to the training set if the original sample has depression label), neg = 4, 8, 16 (number of samples added to the training set if the original sample has a non-depression label), p = 1, 2, 6 (number of utterances that are perturbed for a group of utterances), r = 21 (number of utterances with high saliency values that are protected), and c = 0.001 (constant value to replace embedding value).

The augmented dataset consists of (n, 128)-dimensional samples, where n is the number of utterances and 128 is the dimension of the vowel embeddings. The structure of the depression CNN is in Table 3. This model minimizes the cross-entropy loss, trained using a batch size of 16, and an Adam optimizer with 0.001 learning rate and 0.01 12-regularization. For a test speaker, we segment the speech into windows of n utterances without overlap and perform soft voting.

Table 1. Overlap between current and next 250ms segments in the vowel classification module per type of vowel.

| Vowel in current segment | /a/ | /e/ | /i/ | /o/ | /u/ | not a vowel |
|--------------------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-------------|
| Overlap ratio (between current and next segment) | 0.3 | 0.08 | 0.1 | 0.03 | 0.02 | 0.5 |
| Start/end time of next segment (ms) | 75-325 | 20-270 | 25-275 | 7.5-257.5 | 5-255 | 125-375 |

Table 2. The structure and hyper-parameters of the 2D CNN model that conducts vowel classification.

| Layer setting | Conv block 1 | Conv block 2 | Conv block 3 | SPP | Fully-connected (FC) | Output |
|---------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|-----|----------------------|--------|
| Conv kernel (3, 1) | 64 filters, ReLU | 64 filters, ReLU | 64 filters, ReLU | 3 levels | 128 units | 6 units |
| Pooling kernel (2, 1) | (64, 63, 28) | (64, 30, 28) | (64, 14, 28) | | | |
| Output dim | (64, 63, 28) | (64, 30, 28) | (64, 14, 28) | 1344 | 128 | 6 |

Algorithm 1 Data augmentation in the augmented depression classification module

**Input:** vowel CNN f, utterance embeddings X and depression label y, window size n, # augmented positive (negative) samples pos (neg); # utterances perturbed p; # utterances protected r; constant c

**Output:** set Z of augmented data

$$Z \leftarrow \{\} \quad \triangleright \text{Initialize the augmented set}$$

$$\triangleright \text{Define number of augmented samples based on label}$$

if y = 1 then

$$\text{aug}_\text{num} = \text{pos}$$

else

$$\text{aug}_\text{num} = \text{neg}$$

end if

for i from 0 to aug_num do

Randomly select subset Xn of n consecutive utterance embeddings from X

Get saliency measure for each utterance in Xn via f

Create Xr with the r highest saliency utterances in Xn

Create Xp via randomly selecting p utterances from Xn \ Xr

Create Xp via substituting the Xp embeddings with c

Create perturbed utterance embeddings X′n = Xp ∪ (Xn \ Xp)

$$Z \leftarrow Z \cup \{(X′_n, y)\}$$

end for

return Z

Table 3. The structure and hyper-parameters of final classification CNN in augmented depression classification module.

| Layer Setting | Conv block 1 | Conv block 2 | FC | Output |
|---------------|--------------|--------------|-----|--------|
| kernel size 7 | 32 filters | 32 filters | 64 units | 2 units |
| pool size 2 | ReLU | ReLU | ReLU | |
| (32, 18) | (32, 6) | (32, 6) | 64 | 2 |

3.4. Evaluation

We measure the vowel classification performance of the vowel CNN (Section 3.2) and depression classification performance of the depression CNN (Section 3.3). We also explore the acoustic descriptors that correlate with the system’s output.
For every $n$ utterances that serve as the input of the depression CNN, we extract 7 interpretable features related to depression: (1) speech percentage; (2) mean fundamental frequency (mean F0); (3) standard deviation of fundamental frequency (std F0); (4) mean jitter; (5) mean shimmer; (6) mean loudness. These acoustic measures are obtained using the Librosa library and the openSMILE toolkit [24, 27]. We then quantify the association of each acoustic measure with the model prediction, using the Pearson’s correlation.

### 4. EXPERIMENTS

#### 4.1. Data description
We use the Wizard-of-Oz part of the Distress Analysis Interview Corpus (DAIC-WoZ) [28] that includes 142 clinical interviews split into a training set (30 depression, 77 healthy), a development set (12 depression, 23 healthy), and a test set (labels are unknown to the public per the AVEC 2016 challenge) [4]. A participant is considered to have depression if they have a PHQ-8 score equal to or larger than 10 [29]. We report the performance on the development set, consistent with previous research.

#### 4.2. Baseline methods
We use two baseline methods that have considered data augmentation for depression estimation; the CNN-Augm [17], which requires content information, and the Ensemble-CNN et al. [15], which includes 50 CNN models rendering it challenging to run locally on wearable or portable devices and difficult to explain. We also report results from DepAudioNet [13] and SpeechFormer [16], as outlined in Section 2.

#### 4.3. Results
We demonstrate the effect of data augmentation in the vowel segmentation module (Section 3.1). We report the F1-score on the development set using random oversampling and our proposed vowel augmentation method in Table 4. Our proposed method leads to significantly better performance identified via a McNemar test ($p < 0.001$).

We also report the depression F1-score and the macro F1-score obtained using the proposed augmented depression classification model (Section 3.3) (Table 5). The proposed method provides competitive performance with different utterance window sizes $n$, which adds extra flexibility in decision granularity (i.e., fine-grain decisions for $n = 10$; and coarse-grain for $n = 42$). We verify the effectiveness of the data augmentation method by removing the random replacement (i.e., set $p = 0$) and observe that the performance declined for all the experimental settings ($n = \{42, 21, 10\}$). Using $n = 42$ and $p = 0$, we obtain a macro-F1 at 0.64, a performance slightly better than DepAudioNet, which applies a sampling-based augmentation without replacement.

Finally, we report the association between acoustic attributes and the probability of the depression classification system (Section 3.4) in Table 6. Jitter and speech percentage are significantly associated with the depression probability, which might indicate that the proposed explainable model captures perceptually intuitive information about depression. Mean F0 and loudness are the most significantly correlated acoustic features with the model output for $n = 10$. Prior work indicates that these features are not always correlated with depression [30, 31], but are highly indicative of a speaker’s demography, including gender [32]. This may indicate that the model captures gender information, as a result of the difference in depression prevalence between female and male speakers (i.e., 16 out of the 45 female speakers and 14 out of 63 male speakers with depression). While prior work evidences gender bias in this data [33], a more detailed analysis is needed to fully understand this.

### 5. CONCLUSION
We explored an explainable ML that integrated vowel-based information at the local level and modeled speech utterances with variable lengths with an SPP layer at the global level. A dynamic sampling-based data augmentation method was designed to address the distribution difference among vowels. Another data augmentation method with random substitutions was applied to further mitigate the class imbalance between patients with depression and healthy participants. Our proposed system depicted better or comparable performance to multiple baselines, along with increased explainability. However, the robustness of our system toward other physical, physiological, and psychological disorders beyond depression remains unexplored. As part of our future work, we plan to evaluate the proposed approach via user studies with MH experts and consider confounding factors such as gender to better disentangle their interplay with MH information.

| Table 4. Vowel classification F1-score. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| /a/ | /e/ | /i/ | /o/ | /u/ | Not vowel | Macro F1 |
| random oversampling | 0.64 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.34 | 0.33 | 0.66 | 0.48 |

| Table 5. Depression classification performance scores. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Method | Precision | Recall | F1 | Macro F1 |
| DepAudioNet (2016) [13] | 0.35 | 1.0 | 0.52 | 0.61 |
| CNN-Aug (2019) [17] | 0.78 | 0.58 | 0.67 | - |
| Ensemble-CNN (2020) [15] | 0.55 | 0.79 | 0.65 | 0.73 |
| SpeechFormer (2022) [16] | - | - | - | 0.69 |
| Fagra (2022) [18] | - | - | - | 0.66 |
| Proposed ($n = 10$) | 0.55 | 0.50 | 0.52 | 0.64 |
| Proposed ($n = 21$) | 0.80 | 0.33 | 0.47 | 0.65 |
| Proposed ($n = 42$) | 0.70 | 0.58 | 0.64 | 0.73 |

| Table 6. Pearson’s correlation between acoustic measures and depression probability for different number $n$ of input utterances. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| $n$ | speech percentage | mean F0 | std F0 | jitter | shimmer | loudness |
| 10 | -0.119 | 0.396 | 0.043 | 0.13 | -0.088 | -0.324 |
| 21 | -0.195 | 0.377 | 0.088 | 0.15 | -0.138 | -0.411 |
| 42 | -0.122 | 0.236 | 0.151 | 0.113 | -0.156 | -0.213 |

Bold font indicates significant correlation ($p < 0.05$).
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