Influence of Physical and Chemical Parameters on the Treatment of Heavy Metals in Polluted Stormwater Using Zeolite—A Review
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Abstract

Zeolite-based technology can provide a cost effective solution for stormwater treatment for the removal of toxic heavy metals under increasing demand of safe water from alternative sources. This paper reviews the currently available knowledge relating to the effect of properties of zeolites such as pore size, surface area and Si:Al ratio and the physico-chemical conditions of the system such as pH, temperature, initial metal concentration and zeolite concentration on heavy metal removal performance. The primary aims are to consolidate available knowledge and identify knowledge gaps. It was established that an in-depth understanding of operational issues such as, diffusion of metal ions into the zeolite pore structure, pore clogging, zeolite surface coverage by particulates in stormwater as well as the effect of pH on stormwater quality in the presence of zeolites is essential for developing a zeolite-based technology for the treatment of polluted stormwater. The optimum zeolite concentration to treat typical volumes of stormwater and initial heavy metal concentrations in stormwater should also be considered as operational issues in this regard. Additionally, leaching of aluminium and sodium ions from the zeolite structure to solution were identified as key issues requiring further research in the effort to develop cost effective solutions for the removal of heavy metals from stormwater.
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1. Introduction

Access to safe drinking water has been declared as a basic human right by the United Nations [1]. Some 884 million people do not have access to safe water due to the pollution of conventional water sources [2]. Additionally, rapid population growth and increased urbanisation have resulted in an unprecedented demand for both potable and non-potable water. In recent years, intensive efforts have been focused on utilising largely unused stormwater as an alternative water source as it is generally available in large volumes and easily accessible [3-5]. However, stormwater can contain harmful pollutants such as heavy metals, hydrocarbons and pathogens when collected from various land surfaces [6,7]. Thus, a significant level of treatment is required before reuse. Numerous research studies have investigated the treatment of these pollutants [8-13].

Among stormwater pollutants, heavy metals are of particular interest due to their toxicity and persistence in the environment [14-16]. They can cause health problems in humans and animals ranging from irritation to cancers [17-19]. Several physico-chemical techniques, such as the use of detention ponds, coagulation-flocculation and chemical precipitation have been investigated for the removal of heavy metals [20-24]. However, most of these techniques have economical and environmental consequences such as generation of contaminated sludge and high capital and operational costs [25,26]. Consequently, research efforts have been directed towards adsorption using low cost sorbents such as peat moss, clays and zeolites as an alternative technique for the removal
of heavy metals in stormwater due to their economical and environmental benefits.

Zeolites could provide a low cost solution, as these materials are generally less expensive compared to commercial sorbents such as activated carbon and can remove a number of harmful pollutants, including toxic heavy metals [27]. The performance of zeolites in the removal of heavy metals has been widely discussed in research literature and conclusions vary widely in relation to their treatment performance [28,29]. This can be primarily attributed to the differing experimental conditions and the properties of zeolite used in these studies. This emphasises the fact that an in-depth knowledge on the influence of physical and chemical factors on the performance of zeolite is essential for the development of approaches for the removal of heavy metals from polluted stormwater. In this context, a comprehensive state-of-the-art review of research literature was undertaken with the aim of consolidating currently available knowledge and to obtain a fundamental understanding regarding the influence of physical and chemical parameters on the heavy metal removal performance of zeolites. The objective of this review encompasses evaluating available zeolite-based approaches targeting removal of heavy metals from stormwater, currently available knowledge as well as to identifying any knowledge gaps that need to be addressed. Furthermore, with the view to highlighting the key issues in removing heavy metals from stormwater, the structure of zeolite and the influence of the properties of zeolite and experimental conditions are discussed.

2. Structure of Zeolite

A fundamental knowledge on the structure of zeolite is essential to better understand the effects of physico-chemical properties of zeolite and experimental conditions on heavy metal removal performance. Zeolite is an aluminosilicate porous material built on a three-dimensional network of SiO₄ or AlO₄ tetrahedral structures as illustrated in Figure 1 [30]. Various secondary structures such as cages and cavities are also found in zeolite due to different arrangement of the tetrahedral structures. The porous nature of zeolite is a result of these secondary structures.

The presence of trivalent Al atoms in the framework results in an anionic framework, which is neutralised by the extra framework cations such as sodium (Na⁺), calcium (Ca²⁺) and magnesium (Mg²⁺) [31]. Heavy metal cations in solution can be exchanged for these extra framework cations via ion exchange mechanism, which is, in certain cases, found to be the primary mechanism of heavy metal sorption employed by zeolite [32-34]. However, other mechanisms such as physisorption and chemisorption are also employed by zeolites for the sorption [35,36].

3. Sorption of Heavy Metals by Zeolite

Table 1 outlines the sorption capacities and selectivity series reported in research studies in order to highlight the different conclusions drawn by different studies. As evident in Table 1, the primary reason for the different selectivity series and sorption capacities is the use of different zeolite species. For example, in the study by Ouki and Kavannagh [32], two zeolite species, namely, clinoptilolite and chabazite were tested under the same experimental conditions and different selectivity series and sorption capacities were reported (Table 1). The main properties of zeolite that influence heavy metal removal performance are aluminium content or Si:Al ratio, pore size and surface area. On the other hand, the sorption capacities and selectivity series reported for clinoptilolite in different studies are different as shown in Table 1. Though, there can be some variations in the chemical composition of clinoptilolite due to their source of origin, the physical and chemical conditions used in each experiment were clearly different. Temperature, pH, zeolite concentration and initial metal concentration are the major parameters that are considered to have an effect on the removal of heavy metals.

3.1. Influential Zeolite Properties

3.1.1. Si:Al Ratio

Si:Al ratio indicates the amount of Al atoms present in the zeolite framework. As discussed in Section 2, the presence of more Al results in more negative charges in the zeolite structure. Thus, zeolite with low Si:Al ratio, i.e. high Al content, contains more binding sites and there are extra framework cations in its structure. In theory, therefore, zeolite with low Si:Al ratio has high sorption capacity compared to zeolite with high Si:Al ratio.
Several studies report the superior heavy metal sorption capacities for zeolites with low Si:Al ratios [38,41-43]. The prominent role played by Si:Al ratio on the sorption of heavy metals has been demonstrated in the study of Leinonen and Lehto [42]. They investigated the uptake of Zn, Ni, Cd, Cu and Co cations using zeolites having the same framework structure, but different Si:Al ratios. The results showed that a higher amount of metal ions was generally sorbed by zeolite with lower Si:Al ratio. Panayotova [35] found that the uptake of Cu²⁺ from wastewater increased when zeolites were modified with NaOH and decreased when zeolites were treated with HCl. This is because NaOH can dissolve Si atoms from zeolite framework, i.e. desilication, hence decreasing the Si:Al ratio [44]. On the other hand, HCl is known to dissolve Al atoms from zeolite framework, i.e. dealumination, hence increasing the Si:Al ratio [45].

The concentration of heavy metals in stormwater is generally low, typically in the range of μg/L [46]. It could therefore be expected that zeolite with low Si:Al ratio may have an adequate amount of binding sites for the treatment of stormwater.

### 3.1.2. Pore Size

The active sites, to which heavy metals are bonded, can also be present inside the pores of zeolite. In such cases, a cation needs to diffuse inside the pores in order to be sorbed. However, a cation cannot enter into the porous structure if the ionic size is larger than that of the pore opening [33]. Even after entry, diffusion of the ion through the pores can be retarded if the internal dimensions of the porous structure are smaller than the ionic size.

Metal cations in aqueous solution are found in their hydrated forms, i.e. M(H₂O)ₙ. However, size of pore opening and/or the internal dimensions of the most common zeolite species are generally smaller than the hydrated ionic diameter of metals listed in Table 2 [47].

For example, the pore size of synthetic zeolite 4A is 0.4 nm, while clinoptilolite, which is the most common natural zeolite species, has three-dimensional channels with dimensions (0.3 nm × 0.76 nm), (0.33 nm × 0.46 nm) and (0.26 nm × 0.47 nm) [47]. Therefore, hydrated heavy metal cations must lose some of their water molecules to reduce their ionic size in order to enter into the porous structure of zeolites [38].

Many research studies have noted the difficulty in the

| Zeolite       | SC⁺/(mg/g) | Selectivity series | Experimental conditions | References |
|---------------|-----------|--------------------|-------------------------|------------|
| **Chabazite** | 3.6 (Cr), 5.8 (Co), 4.5 (Ni), 5.1 (Cu), 5.5 (Zn), 6.7 (Cd), 6.0 (Pb) | Pb⁺⁺ > Cd⁺⁺ > Zn⁺⁺ > Co⁺⁺ > Cu⁺⁺ > Ni⁺⁺ | IMC (1 - 30 mg/L), pH (3 - 6), ZC (5 g/L) | [32] |
| **Zeolite X** | 549 (Pb), 109 (Cu), 162 (Cd), 70 (Ni) | Pb⁺⁺ > Cu⁺⁺ > Cd⁺⁺ > Ni⁺⁺ | IMC (20 - 2000 mg/L), ZC (2 g/L) | [39] |
| **Zeolite 13X** | 136 (Cu), 100 (Co), 86.3 (Ni) | Cu⁺⁺ > Co⁺⁺ > Ni⁺⁺ | IMC (1.5 - 3 mmol/L), ZC (20 g/L), pH (3.5) | [40] |
| **Zeolite 4A** | 13.7 (Co), 41.6 (Cr), 50.5 (Cu), 9.0 (Ni), 30.8 (Zn) | Cu⁺⁺ > Cr⁺⁺ > Zn⁺⁺ > Co⁺⁺ > Ni⁺⁺ | IMC (50 - 300 mg/L), ZC (5 g/L), pH (3) | [33] |
| **Zeolite NaP1** | 43.6 (Cr), 20.1 (Ni), 32.6 (Zn), 50.5 (Cu), 50.8 (Cd) | Cr⁺⁺ > Cu⁺⁺ > Zn⁺⁺ > Cd⁺⁺ > Ni⁺⁺ | IMC (100 mg/L), pH (3 - 6), ZC (5 g/L) | [38] |

| Metal ion | Hydrated ionic diameter (nm) |
|-----------|-------------------------------|
| Pb⁺⁺ | 0.802 |
| Cd⁺⁺ | 0.852 |
| Cu⁺⁺ | 0.838 |
| Cr⁺⁺ | 0.922 |
| Ni⁺⁺ | 0.808 |
| Zn⁺⁺ | 0.860 |
| Al⁺⁺ | 0.950 |

₁Heavy metals commonly found in stormwater have been listed.
diffusion of large hydrated ions into small pores as a reason for the low sorption of certain heavy metal cations [32,41,49]. For example, El-Kamash et al. [49] observed that the sorption capacity of zeolite increased with increasing temperature. They concluded that this may be due to the increased diffusion of heavy metal ions into zeolite pores as a consequence of heavy metal cations losing some of their water molecules at high temperature. Furthermore, Chang and Shih [41] stated that the sorption of caesium cation (Cs⁺) by synthetic zeolite X is higher than by synthetic zeolite A. However, as synthetic zeolite A has a lower Si:Al ratio than that of synthetic zeolite X it is contrary to common understanding where the former would be expected to sorb more heavy metals than the latter. As the pore size of synthetic zeolite X is larger than that of synthetic zeolite A, Chang and Shih [38] concluded that size of pores have an important role in the sorption of heavy metals by zeolites. However, only limited studies have been conducted to systematically investigate the effect of pore size on the sorption of heavy metal ions. Additionally, only a portion of heavy metals enter into the pores. Quantifying the contribution of pore structure in total heavy metal uptake may further explain the influence of pore size. However, such studies are rare in the literature possibly due to relative difficulty in undertaking such analysis.

The particulates in stormwater can clog the pores of zeolites. This may recondition/remodel the diffusion of heavy metals into the zeolite framework since it reduces the size of pore openings and channels, which can significantly reduce the effectiveness of zeolite in the removal process. Therefore, one of the key considerations in the design of zeolite-based stormwater treatment approaches should be the pore clogging phenomenon.

### 3.1.3. Specific Surface Area

Wang et al. [50] reported that the removal of heavy metals increased with the increase in the specific surface area of zeolite. Similar observations have been reported by other researchers [33,51]. This is attributed to the availability of a greater number of sorption sites for heavy metals bonding. However, some studies have reported that the increase in specific surface area does not necessarily increase sorption [52,53].

The specific surface area of porous materials such as zeolite can be divided into the external and internal specific surface areas. The internal specific surface area is governed by the size and distribution of pores. Thus, increasing the external specific surface area by processes such as grinding does not necessarily increase the internal specific surface area [52]. Leyva-Ramos et al. [53] noted that reducing the particle size predominantly increases the external specific surface area more than the internal specific surface area. Therefore, the effect of specific surface area depends on the location of active sites, i.e., whether the majority of the sorption sites are inside the pores or on the external surface. Furthermore, relatively stronger interaction between heavy metal cations and the zeolite framework occur inside the porous structure rather than at the external surfaces [54]. Due to the weak interaction, heavy metal cations bound to external surfaces can be easily desorbed when the conditions of the system such as pH and temperature are changed. Therefore, increasing the specific surface area sometimes does not necessarily increase the uptake of heavy metals [52,53]. However, it is important to note that the current knowledge on effect of internal and external specific surface areas on the uptake of heavy metals by zeolites is of a theoretical nature. Hence, a systematic study in this regard is needed.

In addition to the clogging the pores, particles in stormwater can also cover the surface of zeolite and thereby reduce the availability of binding sites for heavy metals. Thus, a system design for stormwater treatment could be one in which a hybridisation of different zeolites and other pollutant removal agents are combined. Such a system could be designed such that removal is progressive and is conducted in stages through a series of purpose-built reactors that are designed to provide different binding capability to the stormwater passing through them.

### 3.2. Effect of Experimental Conditions

#### 3.2.1. pH

pH is used as an indirect measurement of the concentration of H₂O⁺ ions in solution. It is one of the crucial parameters in the sorption of heavy metals by zeolites. pH of stormwater is typically in the range of 6.5 - 7.7 [46]. However, it is possible to have stormwater with lower pH, especially if it flows over very acidic soils or during acid rain. At low pH, H₂O⁺ can effectively compete with heavy metal cations for sorption sites of zeolites. As a result, the sorption of heavy metals can be reduced [55, 56]. Furthermore, the competition of H₂O⁺ can also slow down the rate of heavy metal uptake. For example, Hui et al. [33] noted that complete removal of heavy metal ions occur within 60 minutes at pH 4 whereas it takes 120 minutes at pH 3. This emphasizes that the development of any zeolite-based technique for stormwater treatment needs to select a suitable retention time at optimum pH for the effective removal of heavy metals. The competition of H₂O⁺ with heavy metal ions for sorption sites depends on several factors such as the concentration and type of heavy metal ions. For instance, heavy metals that are selective to zeolite and present at high concen-
tation can outcompete $H_3O^+$ ions for sorption sites of zeolite.

Typically, the solution becomes more basic after the introduction of zeolites due to the uptake of $H_3O^+$ ions from solution by zeolites. This occurs mainly via ion exchange of extra framework cations for $H_3O^+$ ions as shown below:

$$Z - M^+ + 2H_2O \leftrightarrow Z-H_2O^+ + M^+ + OH^- \quad (1)$$

In Equation (1), $Z$ and $M$ denote zeolite and extra framework cation respectively. Heavy metal hydroxide precipitation can occur if pH of solution increases above the threshold level of precipitation for a particular metal ion. Ok et al. [57] reported a substantial increase in the sorption of heavy metals at pH higher than 6 due to the possible precipitation of the metal ions. The hydroxide precipitation of heavy metal ions in the basic region has been reported in several studies [33,52,58,59]. However, hydroxide precipitation can re-dissolve if the pH of the solution is changed to the acidic region and the metal ion can be released back to solution [55].

Additionally, heavy metals can form various heavy metal complexes depending on the pH of solution. For example, Cr ion is predominantly present as $Cr[(OH)2]^{2+}$ at pH 7 and as $Cr[(OH)3]$ at pH 10 [60]. In general, zeolites have a very little affinity to anionic complexes [61] due to their negative framework structure. Therefore, sorption of a particular heavy metal can be reduced if pH of solution is favourable to form anionic heavy metal complexes.

Apart from affecting the characteristics of heavy metal ions and their sorption, pH can also affect the structure of zeolites. Aluminosilicate structure is vulnerable to strong basic and acidic environments. Strong bases can lead to desilication, whereas strong acids can break Al atoms. Zeolite structure can be destroyed at extreme pH values, which in turn can reduce sorption [40,43]. Since zeolite is capable of changing the pH of the solution, there is a possibility for these processes to occur during the treatment of polluted water. Dealumination is of particular concern due to potential health effects to humans. Australian drinking guidelines set 0.1 mg/L as the maximum allowable limit of Al [62]. However, this limit is not based on health consideration due to the lack of data to set a health-based guideline. Hence, it has been highly recommended to keep the Al concentration as low as possible if the treated stormwater is intended to be used for potable purposes. This emphasises the importance of a detailed investigation of the dealumination processes of zeolite when introduced to the polluted stormwater.

3.2.2. Temperature
Temperature of solution influences the heavy metal sorption mainly by enhancing the diffusion of hydrated heavy metal ions [63]. Energy of heavy metal ionic complex in solution increases with increasing temperature. As a result, hydrated heavy metal ions strip off some of the water molecules from their hydrated complexes leading to the reduction of ionic size [64]. This facilitates the diffusion of heavy metal cations into the pores and consequently increases sorption since heavy metal ions can access the extra sorption sites available in the pores. Seward et al. [65] reported that increase in temperature from 25°C to 300°C has only reduced the distance between metal ion and water by approximately 0.005nm resulting only in a slight reduction in the size of heavy metal aqua complex. However, the size reduction can have an impact on some heavy metal ion complexes that have only a few water molecules in their complexes. Additionally, studies have reported that increasing the temperature to 60°C results in only a slight increase in the sorption of zeolites possibly due to the insignificant impact on size reduction [66-68]. Hence, enhancing sorption by increasing the temperature is not economically attractive as it involves the consumption of energy [66].

In contrast, some studies have observed a significant increase in the sorption of heavy metals with increase in temperature. For example, Curkovic et al. [69] reported that Pb sorption capacity of zeolite increased from approximately 0.3 mmol/g at 4°C to 0.55 mmol/g at 70°C. Similarly, sorption capacity for Cd increased from 0.13 to 0.28 mmol/g. Malliou et al. [70] also reported that sorption of Pb and Cd increased with temperature. Therefore, the effect of temperature appears to be dependent on other physical and chemical factors such as the strength of metal-water complexes and the characteristics of pores. Thus, the knowledge on the contribution of these factors can provide a basis in the selection of an optimum treatment temperature. Furthermore, in real-world application, the energy consumption must also be considered in optimisation, since large volumes of stormwater generally needs to be maintained to the required temperature.

3.2.3 Zeolite Concentration
Increasing zeolite concentration increases the amount of available sorption sites. Therefore, in theory, heavy metal sorption must increase with increasing zeolite concentration. In addition, heavy metal sorption rates increase at high zeolite concentration since it reduces the competition between metal ions due to the availability of more sorption sites [52,66,71,72]. However, high zeolite concentration increases the pH of the system, which successively affects the removal of heavy metals as discussed in Subsection 3.2.1.
Additionally, zeolites, especially Na pretreated species contain extra framework cations, mainly Na in their structure. Therefore, a high amount of Na ions can be released to solution due to the exchange with heavy metal and H$_3$O$^+$ ions in solution when a high zeolite concentration is used. Na cannot be removed easily from water and can alter the taste if it exceeds 180 mg/L [62]. Furthermore, it can have an impact on cardiovascular patients unless the patients control their Na level by means of dietary intake if the concentration increases above 20 mg/L [62].

On the other hand, adequate amount of zeolite must be available to ensure the maximum removal of heavy metals. Alvarez-Ayuso et al. [38] used 10g/L as the optimum zeolite concentration purely to obtain the maximum possible removal of heavy metals. 10g/L has been used by many other researchers [73,74] as a standard zeolite concentration. However, this concentration cannot be taken as a general rule since it is also dependent on the initial metal concentration. Zeolite concentration, therefore, needs to be optimised by taking the relevant factors into consideration. For optimisation, the impact of increasing zeolite concentration on sodium concentration in solution needs to be systematically investigated.

Since stormwater is generally present in large volumes, a large amount of zeolite may be required for its treatment. Disposal of large volume of used zeolite can be an operational issue that needs to be addressed. The prospect of re-generating zeolite after use has been widely discussed in research literature [75,76].

3.2.4. Initial Heavy Metal Concentration

Research studies report contradictory observations regarding the effect of initial metal concentration in the removal of heavy metals. The following three different sorption behaviours have been reported in the literature when the initial metal concentration is increased:

- decrease in percentage uptake of heavy metal ions [33, 68,77,78]
- no effect on heavy metal sorption [32]
- increase in sorption capacities of zeolites for up to certain initial metal concentration and then only a slight increase in sorption [52,73].

In a typical experimental study to evaluate the influence of initial metal concentration, zeolite concentration is usually kept constant, meaning the number of available sorption sites is constant. When the initial metal concentration is increased, the sorption sites available for the uptake of metal ions can be inadequate. This may be the possible reason for the first type of observation. As for the second observation, heavy metal ions were completely removed in the concentration range studied. This could have been due to the availability of an abundance of sorption sites in zeolite used in the study. In case of the third observation, zeolite could have been exhausted due to the occupation of active sites by the ions. Thus, a significant increase in heavy metal uptake was not observed. These observations demonstrate the close relationship between the metal concentration and zeolite concentration. Thus, some research studies have used a parameter, zeolite concentration to zeolite dose ratio, to discuss the effect of these inter-dependent parameters [38, 79].

Stormwater also consists of other metal ions such as Na$^+$ and Mg$^{2+}$, which can compete for sorption sites of zeolite along with heavy metals. The effects of the presence of certain other metal ions have been investigated in relatively few studies [32,80]. However, depending on the source characteristics, stormwater can contain a range of metal ions that can compete with each other for the available sorption sites. Therefore, knowledge on the chemical composition of stormwater can be the starting point in the development of the zeolite-based heavy metal removal technology.

4. Conclusions

Removing heavy metals is a vital step in stormwater reuse due to its toxicity and persistence in the environment. Use of a low cost sorbent such as zeolite can be considered as a viable alternative for the treatment of heavy metals from stormwater. However, there is a need to update the knowledge base on the influence of zeolite properties as well as the physico-chemical system properties on the treatment performance of zeolite in order to develop optimised zeolite-based technique to remove heavy metals. In this context, this review has identified the following recommendations for further research:

- Quantifying the contribution of pore structure in total heavy metal uptake may further explain the influence of pore size. The diffusion of metal ions within the pore structures of zeolite in stormwater needs to be investigated. The pore clogging phenomenon as well as the covering of zeolite surfaces by particulate matter present in stormwater are key considerations to be taken into account in the design of zeolite-based stormwater treatment technology.
- The pH of the solution can have significant impact on stormwater quality due to the dealumination or desilication of the zeolite structure. Since zeolite is capable of changing the pH of the solution, the effect of pH on stormwater quality in the presence of zeolite needs to be studied.
- The optimum zeolite concentration to treat substantial volumes of stormwater and the subsequent disposal of the waste product should be considered as a key op-
erational and maintenance issue. At the same time, the relationship between the initial metal concentration in stormwater and the zeolite concentration should also be investigated.

- The leaching of aluminium and sodium ions to solution due to exchange with metal ions gives rise to important health concerns that need to be addressed in the use of zeolites to treat polluted stormwater.
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