Role of Iron-Containing Alcohol Dehydrogenases in \textit{Acinetobacter baumannii} ATCC 19606 Stress Resistance and Virulence
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Abstract: Most bacteria possess alcohol dehydrogenase (ADH) genes (\textit{Adh} genes) to mitigate alcohol toxicity, but these genes have functions beyond alcohol degradation. Previous research has shown that ADH can modulate quorum sensing in \textit{Acinetobacter baumannii}, a rising opportunistic pathogen. However, the number and nature of \textit{Adh} genes in \textit{A. baumannii} have not yet been fully characterized. We identified seven alcohol dehydrogenases (NAD$^+$-ADHs) from \textit{A. baumannii} ATCC 19606, and examined the roles of three iron-containing ADHs, ADH3, ADH4, and ADH6. Marker-less mutation was used to generate \textit{Adh}3, \textit{Adh}4, and \textit{Adh}6 single, double, and triple mutants. Disrupted \textit{Adh}4 mutants failed to grow in ethanol-, 1-butanol-, or 1-propanol-containing mediums, and recombinate ADH4 exhibited strongest activity against ethanol. Stress resistance assays with inorganic and organic hydroperoxides showed that \textit{Adh}3 and \textit{Adh}6 were key to oxidative stress resistance. Virulence assays performed on the \textit{Galleria mellonella} model organism revealed that \textit{Adh}4 mutants had comparable virulence to wild-type, while \textit{Adh}3 and \textit{Adh}6 mutants had reduced virulence. The results suggest that ADH4 is primarily involved in alcohol metabolism, while ADH3 and ADH6 are key to stress resistance and virulence. Further investigation into the roles of other ADHs in \textit{A. baumannii} is warranted.
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1. Introduction

Alcohol dehydrogenases (ADHs; EC 1.1.1.1 and EC 1.1.1.2) are widely present in most bacteria [1] and can mitigate the cytotoxicity of aliphatic alcohols such as ethanol, propanol, or 1-butanol [2]. ADHs can be classified by coenzyme into three main types: nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide (NAD$^+$)-dependent, NAD$^+$-independent, and flavin adenine dinucleotide (FAD$^+$)-dependent. The NAD$^+$-dependent ADHs (NAD$^+$-ADHs) can be further subdivided into zinc-containing, iron-containing, and short chain ADHs [3–6]. Interestingly, not all bacteria with ADHs have ethanol-degrading capabilities [7–9], and previous research has shown that bacterial ADHs may have important functions beyond alcohol metabolism, being involved in such diverse mechanisms as stress resistance [10], biofilm formation [11], and bacterial virulence [12].

In addition, several studies have shown that the presence of ethanol can induce stress responses in bacteria that lead to increased virulence [13–15], and this has particularly been noted for \textit{Acinetobacter baumannii}, a rising opportunistic pathogen that is increasingly seen in nosocomial and community-acquired infections [14,15]. In the presence of ethanol, \textit{A. baumannii} upregulated the expression of several proteins involved in stress responses, iron assimilation, phosphate transport, and lipid and carbohydrate anabolism; while at the same time, increased secretion of phospholipase C, acidification of bacterial cultures, and
formation of biofilms was also noted [14,15]. The main A. baumannii Adh gene (A1S_2098) was strongly induced in the presence of ethanol, but other genes potentially encoding ADHs, including two iron-containing ADHs (FeADHs), were not induced or only slightly upregulated [14], and this suggests that these ADHs may have functions beyond ethanol degradation. Importantly, it was found that ethanol-exposed A. baumannii demonstrated stronger virulence in a Galleria mellonella model of infection [15]. A recent study has also shown that the A1S_2098 gene is significantly upregulated during the A. baumannii biofilm formation process, and may play a role in quorum sensing, bacterial growth, and motility [11]. However, the number and nature of other A. baumannii genes potentially encoding ADHs, particularly FeADHs, has not yet been explored in detail.

In this study, we sought to elucidate the roles of three A. baumannii genes identified through in silico methods as potentially encoding FeADHs, and assessed the impact of their expression products on ethanol metabolism, stress responses, and virulence. These results can help to bridge the gaps in our current understanding of ADH function in A. baumannii, and may serve as the basis for future research into other as yet uncharacterized Adh genes. In the long term, the results of such research could potentially advise more effective sanitizing procedures and infection control measures, in order to prevent the survival and spread of A. baumannii in both clinical and community settings.

2. Results

2.1. In Silico Identification of Putative ADH Genes in A. baumannii

A search of the GenBank database revealed seven genes annotated as possible Adh genes in A. baumannii (Table S1). Genomic analysis revealed that three of these are iron-containing ADHs (ADH3, ADH4, and ADH6), another three are zinc-dependent ADHs (ADH1, ADH2, and ADH7), and the remaining one is a short-chain ADH (ADH5). The iron-containing ADHs were respectively encoded by DJ41_189 (ADH3; 1,158 bp), DJ41_136 (ADH4; 1,173 bp), and DJ41_1604 (ADH6; 1,185 bp). Interestingly, the number of annotated Adh genes is relatively low in terms of the genome size of A. baumannii (genome size 3.97 Mb, 7 Adh genes annotated in GenBank), as other Gram-negative soil bacteria that are also opportunistic pathogens have significantly more annotated Adh genes, with 44 Adh genes found for Burkholderia pseudomallei K96243 (7.42 Mb genome) and 42 Adh genes annotated for Pseudomonas aeruginosa PAO1 (6.26 Mb genome). However, this may be indicative of limited research conducted in A. baumannii, and further studies are needed to better examine this phenomenon.

Phylogenetic analysis was conducted with 26 annotated ADH amino acid sequences from 14 microorganisms that were identified in a comprehensive search of the GenBank database, and MEGA 7 software (https://www.megasoftware.net/, accessed on 31 July 2021) was used to construct a phylogenetic tree. The resulting dendrogram revealed two discrete iron-containing and zinc-containing clades (Figure 1). Of the iron-containing ADHs identified in A. baumannii, ADH4 was found to distribute in the same clade as ADH from ethanologenic microorganisms, including Saccharomyces cerevisiae (Figure 1A). ADH3 and ADH6 shared higher identity with bifunctional aldehyde-alcohol dehydrogenases (Figure 1A). Proteomic analysis showed that ADH3 shared 31.7% identity with ADH4, and 30.6% identity with ADH6, while ADH4 and ADH6 shared 33.1% identity (Figure 1B). The cofactor binding motif, GGGSXXD, four key iron-binding sites (D, H, H, H), and a highly conserved aspartic acid residue (D, located at position 39 in ADH3, position 46 in ADH4, and position 41 in ADH6) were found in all three FeADHs, and indicate the preference for NAD+ as a cofactor for these enzymes (Figure 1B).
Figure 1. (A) Cladogram of iron-containing Adh genes in *A. baumannii* compared with 23 other *Adh* genes from 14 organisms. The scale bar indicates the number of nucleotide substitutions per site. (B) Amino acid alignment of three FeADHs (ADH3, ADH4, ADH6) in *A. baumannii*. ∆ indicates the positions of the four key iron-binding sites (D, H, H, H). Asterisks (*) indicate positions with single fully conserved amino acid residues; colons (:) indicate positions with conservation between residues of strongly similar properties (scoring > 0.5 in the Gonnet point accepted mutation 250 matrix); and periods (.) indicate positions with conservation between groups of weakly similar properties (scoring ≤ 0.5 in the Gonnet point accepted mutation 250 matrix).
2.2. Biochemical Properties of ADH4

To understand the biochemical properties of these three FeADHs, we cloned their encoding genes into plasmid pQE80L, then transformed plasmids into E. coli for protein overexpression and subsequent purification. Overexpression was successfully induced for all FeADHs (Figure S1, lanes 2, 5, 8), and soluble proteins were purified by Ni- afffinity chromatography, with protein sizes of about 43.7 kDa, 44.2 kDa and 44.7 kDa, respectively (Figure S1, lanes 3, 6, 9).

The enzymatic activity of ADH4 was tested in different buffers, as well as varying temperature conditions, in order to establish the optimal conditions for enzymatic activity assays against different alcohol substrates. We tested 0.5 µM of ADH4 in 80 µM of three different buffers (Figure 2A), and the highest activity was noted with the CB buffer at a pH value of 10.1 (Figure 2A). In addition, maximal ADH4 activity was detected at 37 °C (Figure 2B). Using these conditions, we proceeded to assess the substrate specificity of recombinant ADH4 against ethanol, 1-propanol, and 1-butanol, and subsequently found that the Michaelis–Menten constant (K_M) was lowest for ethanol (Table 1; Figure S2), indicative of stronger affinity to ethanol for ADH4 over other aliphatic alcohols.

![Figure 2](image-url) (A) Optimum pH conditions for ADH4 enzymatic activity. ADH4 enzymatic activity was assessed at room temperature under the following pH ranges: Tris-Cl: pH = 5.8–8; PB, phosphate buffer: pH = 8–9.5; CB, carbonate-bicarbonate buffer: pH = 9.5–10.8. The production of 1 µmole of NADH was defined as 1 unit of ADH activity. (B) Optimum temperature of ADH4 enzymatic activity. ADH4 enzymatic activity was assessed for different temperatures at the optimum pH of 10.8 in CB buffer.

Table 1. Alcohol substrate specificity of ADH4.

| Carbonate-Bicarbonate (CB) Buffer, pH = 10.1 | Ethanol | 1-Propanol | 1-Butanol |
|---------------------------------------------|---------|------------|-----------|
| V_{max} (mmole min^{-1})                    | 0.0064 ± 0.0002 | 0.027 ± 0.0028 | 0.005 ± 0.001 |
| K_M (mM)                                    | 5.11 ± 0.71 | 206.8 ± 79.61 | 196.5 ± 91.21 |
| k_{cat} (s^{-1})                             | 0.2118 | 0.9003 | 0.1683 |
| k_{cat}/K_M (s^{-1}M^{-1})                   | 41.4481 | 4.3533 | 0.8565 |

2.3. Biological Function of ADH3, ADH4, and ADH6

To elucidate the biological roles of Adh3, Adh4 and Adh6, we generated three single mutants (Δ3, Δ4, Δ6), three double mutants (Δ34, Δ36, Δ46), and one triple mutant (Δ346) using marker-less mutation. Mutants were confirmed by colony PCR (Figures S3 and S4) with gene-specific primers (Table 2). Growth rates of these seven mutants in LB medium did not differ from wild-type, suggesting that FeADHs did not play a major role in growth and survival under culturing conditions with rich medium (Figure 3A). However, strains with mutated Adh4 failed to proliferate in M9 medium containing 1% ethanol (Figure 3B), in line with the findings in Table 1 that point to a role for ADH4 in ethanol metabolism. Moreover, all strains with mutated Adh4 failed to proliferate in medium containing 1% of 1-propanol, while Δ3 and Δ36 mutants experienced reduced growth rates compared to
wild-type (Figure 3C). Interestingly, the Δ6 mutant had comparable growth rates as wild-type, suggesting that both ADH3 and ADH4 may have roles in 1-propanol metabolism. All mutant strains had reduced growth compared to wild-type in medium containing 1% of 1-butanol (Figure 3D).

Table 2. Gene-specific primers used in this study.

| Name                | Sequences (5'–3') | Function                          |
|---------------------|-------------------|-----------------------------------|
| pK18_Adh3upF        | CGAGCTCGGTAACCGGGACGCCCTTTAACATGACCAG | Construction of Adh3 mutant       |
| pK18_Adh3downR      | AACGACGGCCAGTGCCACAGATGCGGTAAGGGAAAAC | Construction of Adh3 mutant       |
| pK18_Adh4upF        | CGAGCTCGGTAACCGGGACGCCCTTTAACATGACCAG | Construction of Adh4 mutant       |
| pK18_Adh4downR      | AACGACGGCCAGTGCCACAGATGCGGTAAGGGAAAAC | Construction of Adh4 mutant       |
| pK18_Adh6upF        | CGAGCTCGGTAACCGGGACGCCCTTTAACATGACCAG | Construction of Adh6 mutant       |
| pK18_Adh6downR      | AACGACGGCCAGTGCCACAGATGCGGTAAGGGAAAAC | Construction of Adh6 mutant       |
| Adh1_rF             | TGTGATTGCCTGTGGTGAAT | qRT-PCR                           |
| Adh1_rR             | ACACCCGCAGTAAGATGACT | qRT-PCR                           |
| Adh2_rF             | GCTGGATCTAGCCGGACTT | qRT-PCR                           |
| Adh2_rR             | TGTGGAATACCGCGACAAA | qRT-PCR                           |
| Adh3_rF             | TCAGTTACACCTGCCATATTCA | qRT-PCR                           |
| Adh3_rR             | CCAAAAGCGCAATAACAT | qRT-PCR                           |
| Adh4_rF             | TCGAAGATGAAAGGCTATT | qRT-PCR                           |
| Adh4_rR             | GACGCCGCTAAACGACACAATA | qRT-PCR                           |
| Adh5_rF             | GCCAGCAGTAAAGCAGATT | qRT-PCR                           |
| Adh5_rR             | TGTGCCGATCATACCACCA | qRT-PCR                           |
| Adh6_rF             | TCTGGTGACACAAACCTACC | qRT-PCR                           |
| Adh6_rR             | TCTAAAATCGCAGCATGGG | qRT-PCR                           |
| Adh7_rF             | GCCGAAAATATCGCCAAATC | qRT-PCR                           |
| Adh7_rR             | ACCCAAACCACCAATACCAA | qRT-PCR                           |
| pRsetB-His7-Peredox-mCherry_F | GCCCTTTTGCTCTCAAGTAATACGGACTCACTATAGGG | Construction of peredox plasmid |
| pRsetB-His7-Peredox-mCherry_R  | AGCTGTCAAAACATGACTCACTTTGACATGGTTCCG | Construction of peredox plasmid |

Figure 3. Growth rates of wild-type (WT) or mutant strains in different medium. (A) Growth rates in LB medium. (B) Growth rates in M9 medium containing 1% ethanol. (C) Growth rates in M9 medium containing 1% 1-propanol. (D) Growth rates in M9 medium containing 1% 1-butanol.
2.4. Gene Expression Patterns of Wild-Type and Mutant Strains in the Presence of Ethanol

Gene expression patterns of wild-type and mutant strains cultivated in 5 mM citrate medium or 5 mM citrate medium with 0.5% ethanol were compared through transcript analysis, with the gyrase gene (A4U85_RS04125) as a control to calculate relative expression levels (Figure 4). In the wild-type strain, it can be seen that genes encoding FeADHs were more highly expressed than the other Adh genes, with the exception of Adh5 (Figure 4A). Expression levels of Adh3 and Adh6 did not change in the presence of ethanol, but Adh4 expression significantly increased by 3.79- to 9.39-fold ($p < 0.001$) in the ethanol-containing medium (Figure 4A). In mutant strains, genes encoding FeADHs were also more highly expressed than the other Adh genes, with the exception of Adh5 (Figure 4B-H). In the ∆3 (Figure 4B), ∆6 (Figure 4D), and ∆36 (Figure 4F) mutant strains, Adh4 expression also significantly increased in the presence of ethanol ($p < 0.01$). These results suggest that ADH4 plays a significant role in ethanol metabolism, while ADH3, ADH5, and ADH6 may have other key functions that necessitate a high level of expression, regardless of the presence of ethanol.

Figure 4. Relative gene expression of Adh genes in wild-type and mutant strains after culturing in medium with or without ethanol. The gyrase gene (A4U85_RS04125) was used as a control to calculate relative expression levels. Comparison of relative gene expression for A. baumannii ATCC 19606 (A) wild-type; (B) ∆3 single mutant; (C) ∆4 single mutant; (D) ∆6 single mutant; (E) ∆34 double mutant; (F) ∆36 double mutant; (G) ∆46 double mutant; and (H) ∆346 triple mutant strains cultured in M9 medium with 5 mM citrate (gray bar) or 5 mM citrate and 0.5% ethanol (black bar). Two-way ANOVA tests were conducted to assess statistical significance. ** $p < 0.01$; *** $p < 0.001$; **** $p < 0.0001$. 
2.5. Adh3 and Adh6 Are Involved in Inorganic and Organic Oxidative Stress Responses

Previous research has suggested that AdhA in Synechocystis sp. PCC 6803 may help to increase ethanol tolerance by maintaining an adequate level of NADH or NADPH to counteract oxidative stress [16], and thus we considered the possibility that FeADHs may similarly act to counterbalance oxidative stress by maintaining the homeostasis of NADH or NADPH in A. baumannii cells. We accordingly cultured wild-type and mutant strains in LB medium with an initial OD\text{600} of 0.1. Viable bacterial counts were determined when the OD\text{600} reached 0.6, after which cultures were treated with 5 mM H\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{2} for 20 min. Viable bacterial counts were again assessed, and survival rates were then determined by comparing with pre-testing viability counts (Figure 5). The results showed that only the \( \Delta 4 \) single mutant and the \( \Delta 46 \) double mutant maintained comparable survival rates after \( \text{H}_{2}\text{O}_{2} \) treatment (Figure 5A), indicating a critical role for Adh3 in countering inorganic oxidative stress. The same experiment was performed again, but 300 µM of organic \( \text{tert-BHP} \) was applied for 20 min instead of \( \text{H}_{2}\text{O}_{2} \). The results showed that all mutants experienced significant reductions of at least 30% in viability as compared to wild-type, and this suggests that all three FeADHs may contribute to organic hydroperoxide resistance (Figure 5B).

Cultures were collected for RNA extraction, and differential gene expression under inorganic and organic oxidative stress was analyzed using qRT-PCR. The results showed that no Adh genes were upregulated in the wild-type strain after \( \text{H}_{2}\text{O}_{2} \) treatment; in fact, expression levels of Adh4 and Adh6 decreased significantly (Figure 6A). However, after \( \text{H}_{2}\text{O}_{2} \) treatment, Adh6 expression increased significantly in the \( \Delta 34 \) double mutant strain (Figure 6E); Adh3 and Adh5 expression increased significantly in the \( \Delta 46 \) double mutant strain (Figure 6G), and Adh5 expression increased significantly in the \( \Delta 346 \) triple mutant strain (Figure 6H). These findings suggest that Adh5 may partially complement inorganic oxidative stress responses when Adh3 and Adh6 are not available. Following tert-BHP treatment, no Adh genes were upregulated in the wild-type strain (Figure 7A), but Adh6 expression increased significantly in \( \Delta 3 \) single mutant (Figure 7B) and \( \Delta 4 \) single mutant (Figure 7C) strains. Adh3 expression increased significantly in the \( \Delta 46 \) double mutant (Figure 7G), while Adh5 expression also significantly rose in both the \( \Delta 46 \) double mutant (Figure 7G) and \( \Delta 346 \) triple mutant strains (Figure 7H), presumably to complement the stress response in the absence of Adh6 and Adh3. Taken together, these results point to a critical role for Adh3 and Adh6 in countering inorganic and organic oxidative stress.
Figure 6. Relative gene expression of Adh genes in wild-type and mutant strains after treatment with H$_2$O$_2$ for 20 min. Comparison of relative gene expression for $A. baumannii$ ATCC 19606 (A) wild-type; (B) Δ3 single mutant; (C) Δ4 single mutant; (D) Δ6 single mutant; (E) Δ34 double mutant; (F) Δ36 double mutant; (G) Δ46 double mutant; and (H) Δ346 triple mutant strains cultured in M9 medium before (gray bar) and after 5 mM H$_2$O$_2$ treatment for 20 min (black bar). Two-way ANOVA tests were conducted to assess statistical significance. **** $p < 0.0001$. 
Figure 7. Relative gene expression of Adh genes in wild-type and mutant strains after treatment with tert-BHP for 20 min. Comparison of relative gene expression for *A. baumannii* ATCC 19606 (A) wild-type; (B) Δ3 single mutant; (C) Δ4 single mutant; (D) Δ6 single mutant; (E) Δ34 double mutant; (F) Δ36 double mutant; (G) Δ46 double mutant; and (H) Δ346 triple mutant strains cultured in M9 medium before (gray bar) and after 300 mM tert-BHP treatment for 20 min (black bar). Two-way ANOVA tests were conducted to assess statistical significance. **** *p* < 0.0001.
2.6. The Adh3 Stress Resistance Response Does Not Disrupt Homeostasis of Cytosolic NADH/NAD^+

To ascertain if any ADHs were involved in NADH/NAD^+ homeostasis, the plasmid pWH1266-peredox-mCherry, containing a Peredox cassette, was transformed into wild-type and mutant strains for qualitative and quantitative fluorescence analysis. When transformed strains were treated with 5 mM H_2O_2 for 20 min, the intensity of mCherry fluorescence was reduced in both wild-type (Figure 8A) and Δ3 single mutant (Figure 8B) strains, indicative of reduced bacterial viability. However, the intensity of T-Sapphire fluorescence in both strains was unaffected by H_2O_2 treatment (Figure 8), and this indicates that the oxidative stress response mediated by ADHs does not impact the homeostasis of cytosolic NADH/ NAD^+.

Figure 8. Fluorescence analysis of A. baumannii ATCC 19606 (A) wild-type and (B) Δ3 single mutant with a Peredox plasmid. Here, mCherry fluorescence reflects bacterial viability, while T-Sapphire fluorescence reflects redox changes in bacteria. A total of 5 µL of bacterial culture before (control) and after 5 mM H_2O_2 treatment for 20 min were added to slides before observation, with mCherry images captured after 4 s of excitation at a wavelength of 587 nm, while T-Sapphire images were captured after 8 s of excitation at a wavelength of 400 nm. Scale bars: 10 µm.
2.7. Adh3 and Adh6 Are Associated with Virulence against G. mellonella

Correlations between higher stress resistance and higher virulence have previously been reported for A. baumannii [17], and we sought to ascertain if ADHs were involved in virulence, using a common model organism, G. mellonella [15,18,19]. We injected $5 \times 10^6$ CFU of wild-type and mutant strains into sets of 10 G. mellonella larvae, with PBS and heat-treated A. baumannii serving as controls (Figure 9). Kaplan–Meier survival curves showed that all 10 larvae injected with wild-type or $\Delta 4$ single mutant strains died within 24 h of injection, while all 10 PBS-injected larvae remained alive at 120 h post-injection (Figure 9A). Larvae injected with heat-treated A. baumannii experienced 70% less mortality at 120 h post-injection, and reduced mortality was also observed for the $\Delta 36$ double mutant, $\Delta 34$ double mutant, and $\Delta 6$ single mutant strains, which maintained >50% survival rates at 120 h post-injection (Figure 9A). Larvae injected with the $\Delta 346$ triple mutant strain also maintained a 40% survival rate at 120 h post-injection (Figure 9A). These results suggest that Adh3 and Adh6 likely contribute to virulence against G. mellonella. We also examined the melanization of G. mellonella larvae after injection, as this process is a key part of the insect response to bacterial infection, with melanin aggregation observable around microbes within the hemolymph. This is believed to promote pathogen killing. Melanization status was scored daily as shown in Figure 9C, with larvae that are white in color throughout the body scored as 0, those with dark spots on the head and tail scored as 1, those with dark lines appearing on the body scored as 2, those with dark lines across the entire body scored as 3, and those that appear fully darkened scored as 4. The highest melanization scores were observed in larvae injected with wild-type or $\Delta 4$ single mutant strains, in accordance with the survival results. However, larvae injected with other mutant strains exhibited similar levels of melanization as heat-treated A. baumannii (Figure 9B).

![Image](image-url)

**Figure 9.** G. mellonella survival rates and melanization scores after infection with A. baumannii ATCC 19606 wild-type (WT) and mutant strains. (A) Kaplan–Meier survival curves, with each curve representing a single experiment performed with 10 larvae. (B) Melanization score curves. (C) Pictorial definition of melanization scores for reference. Larvae were infected with $5 \times 10^6$ CFU of wild-type or mutant strains, with PBS used as the buffer and serving as a control. WT_heat indicates wild-type A. baumannii treated at 100 °C for 10 min.
3. Discussion

In this study, we identified seven annotated Adh genes in *A. baumannii* ATCC 19606 following a search of GenBank, and further examined the roles of three FeADHs: ADH3, ADH4, and ADH6. We found that ADH4 played a key role in ethanol metabolism, as observed from substrate specificity assays (Table 1), viability assays in alcohol-containing medium (Figure 3), and gene expression patterns in the presence of ethanol (Figure 4); while ADH3 and ADH6 were mainly involved in the oxidative stress response and were associated with virulence, as seen in the results of oxidative stress assays (Figure 5), gene expression patterns in the presence of inorganic and organic hydroperoxides (Figures 6 and 7), and *G. mellonella* virulence assays (Figure 9). The results suggest that ADHs may play a broad role in *A. baumannii* beyond ethanol metabolism, and regarding issues such as the potential role of ADH5 in complementing the oxidative stress response in the absence of ADH3 and ADH6 expression, the relatively low number of Adh genes annotated thus far in terms of the genome size of *A. baumannii*, and the roles of other non-iron-containing ADHs, further research is warranted.

Genomic and proteomic analysis of the three FeADHs examined in this study showed that the GGGS cofactor binding site motif (GGGSXXD) was conserved in all FeADHs [1,8], and four key iron-binding sites (D, H, H, H) and a key aspartic acid residue (D; located at position 39 in ADH3, position 46 in ADH4, and position 41 in ADH6) were also found in all three FeADHs (Figure 1B). The aspartic acid residue shows a preference for NAD\(^+\) as the cofactor, as this residue is replaced with glycine (G) in some FeADHs in other microorganisms [20,21], resulting in a shift to NADP\(^+\) as the preferred cofactor [22,23]. It has been suggested that the shorter side chain of glycine allows more space for the phosphate of NADP\(^+\) [1], while aspartic acid has a longer side chain that only allows space for NAD\(^+\) binding [24].

We found that *A. baumannii* was able to survive in medium containing only ethanol, 1-propanol, or 1-butanol as the sole energy source (Figure 3), and although ADH4 had higher affinity for ethanol (Table 1), enzymatic activity against 1-propanol and 1-butanol was also detected. This is consistent with findings for ADHs in other microorganisms, which typically have activity against most aliphatic alcohols [23,25,26], although some substrates may be preferred over others; however, there are also ADHs that have strong specificity for a particular alcohol [27,28]. A diverse range of enzymatic activity enables *A. baumannii* to survive under challenging conditions, make use of various energy sources, and demonstrate greater stress resilience overall.

Oxidative stress assays (Figure 5) and gene expression patterns in the presence of inorganic (Figure 6) and organic (Figure 7) hydroperoxides indicate that ADH3 and ADH6 have critical roles in the oxidative stress response, and this has been observed for ADHs in other microorganisms as well [10,16,29], some of which are also involved in the stress response to heat and other stressors [10,29]. ADHs have also been reported to be involved in bacterial biofilm formation [11], and *Adh* expression was shown to be upregulated in *A. baumannii* ATCC 17978 strains grown under biofilm conditions [11]. Biofilm formation can increase stress tolerance, but the exact role of ADHs in biofilm formation is unclear as yet. A recent study has shown that *A. baumannii* ADH may be involved in quorum sensing during biofilm formation, and the inhibition of ADH also reduced the expression of quorum sensing-related signalling [11]. However, it remains unclear whether ADH is driving the quorum sensing process, or merely acting as a feedback modulator; moreover, other functions of ADH during stress resistance and biofilm formation cannot be ruled out at present. Still, the results show that ADHs are critical to *A. baumannii* survival under oxidative stress conditions or in the presence of alcohol substrates, and it is possible that ADHs can serve as targets for the development of novel antiseptic or antibiotic strategies.

Previous research has shown that *A. baumannii* ATCC 17978 cells cultured in ethanol-containing medium subsequently demonstrated increased virulence against *G. mellonella* larvae [15], and the authors speculated that this virulence may be driven by increased production of indole acetic acid (IAA) during ethanol exposure by *A. baumannii*. If this
is confirmed, it would be quite concerning, as ethanol-based disinfectants are routinely used in clinical settings. However, it is possible that this virulence may in fact be driven by increased Adh gene expression in response to oxidative stress induced by peroxide-based disinfectants or bleach, which are more widely applied in hospital environments and also persist longer on surfaces than volatile ethanol. Previous studies have found that the AdhE gene, which encodes a dual-function acetaldehyde-CoA and alcohol dehydrogenase, was upregulated in Streptococcus pneumoniae cultured in the presence of ethanol and subjected to oxidative stress, and this resulted in increased virulence against murine RAW 264.7 cells [12]; interestingly, ΔAdhE mutant strains demonstrated reduced pathogenicity and virulence [12], and this was also observed in E. coli O157:H7 [30]. In this study, we found that Adh3 and Adh6 were upregulated in response to oxidative stress (Figures 6 and 7), and ΔAdh3 and ΔAdh6 mutant strains exhibited less virulence against G. mellonella larvae (Figure 9). Further research to elucidate the underlying mechanisms, as well as field studies to assess Adh3 and Adh6 expression in A. baumannii samples collected from clinical settings, could help to validate this association.

In conclusion, this study shows that FeADHs have diverse functions in A. baumannii beyond ethanol metabolism, being involved in oxidative stress responses and virulence, but different ADHs have varying primary functions. Further research into the additional functions of FeADHs in A. baumannii, as well as the roles of other ADHs, is warranted.

4. Materials and Methods
4.1. Bacterial Strains, Plasmids, and Primers

A. baumannii ATCC 19606 and Escherichia coli strains were cultured in LB medium at 37 °C with shaking, and solid cultures were grown on LB medium containing 1.5% agar. Mutant A. baumannii strains were grown in M9 media (33.7 mM Na₂HPO₄, 22 mM KH₂PO₄, 8.55 mM NaCl, 9.35 mM NH₄Cl, 1 mM MgSO₄, 0.3 mM CaCl₂), a minimal microbial growth media to which 1% ethanol, 1% 1-propanol, 1% α-butanol, or 5 mM citrate (5 mM citrate medium) was added in accordance with experimental needs. The bacterial strains and plasmids used are presented in Table 3. The primers used in this study are presented in Table 2.

### Table 3. Plasmids and bacterial strains used in this study.

| Plasmid      | Description                                                                 | Antibiotic Resistance (µg/mL) | Reference/Source |
|--------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------|
| pK18mobsacB  | Suicide vector for homologous recombination                                    | Kan50                         | [31]             |
| pK18ΔAdh1    | pK18mobsacB contains the upstream and downstream regions of Adh1               | Kan50                         | This study       |
| pK18ΔAdh2    | pK18mobsacB contains the upstream and downstream regions of Adh2               | Kan50                         | This study       |
| pK18ΔAdh3    | pK18mobsacB contains the upstream and downstream regions of Adh3               | Kan50                         | This study       |
| pK18ΔAdh4    | pK18mobsacB contains the upstream and downstream regions of Adh4               | Kan50                         | This study       |
| pK18ΔAdh5    | pK18mobsacB contains the upstream and downstream regions of Adh5               | Kan50                         | This study       |
| pK18ΔAdh6    | pK18mobsacB contains the upstream and downstream regions of Adh6               | Kan50                         | This study       |
| pK18ΔAdh7    | pK18mobsacB contains the upstream and downstream regions of Adh7               | Kan50                         | This study       |
| pQE80L       | Expression vector with colE1 origin for His-tag fusion protein purification    | Amp50                         | Qiagen           |
| pAdh3        | Ap⁺; Adh3 cloned into BamHI-HindIII sites of pQE80L                           | Amp50                         | This study       |
| pAdh4        | Ap⁺; Adh4 cloned into BamHI-HindIII sites of pQE80L                           | Amp50                         | This study       |
| pAdh6        | Ap⁺; Adh6 cloned into BamHI-Smal sites of pQE80L                             | Amp50                         | This study       |
Table 3. Cont.

| Plasmid                      | Description                                                                                     | Antibiotic Resistance (µg/mL) | Reference/Source |
|------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------|
| pWH1266                      | Ap<sup>+</sup>; Tc<sup>+</sup>; shuttle vector for *E. coli* and *A. baumannii*               | Amp50, Tc12.5                | [32]             |
| pRsetB-His7tag-Peredox-mCherry| Ap<sup>+</sup>; Tc<sup>+</sup>; fluorescent biosensor of the cytosolic NADH/NAD<sup>+</sup> redox state by combining a circularly permuted GFP T-Sapphire with a bacterial NADH-binding protein, Rex, and the red fluorescence of a tandemly attached mCherry. | Amp50                         | [33,34]          |
| pWH1266_peredox-mCherry       | Ap<sup>+</sup>; Tc<sup>+</sup>; permuted GFP T-Sapphire with a bacterial NADH-binding protein, Rex, and the red fluorescence of a tandemly attached mCherry from pRsetB-His7tag-Peredox-mCherry was cloned into EcoRI sites of pWH1266 | Amp50, Tc12.5                | This study       |

Strain Description Reference/Source

| Strain                          | Description                                                                                     | Reference/Source |
|---------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------|
| *E. coli* DH5α                  | F<sup>−</sup>, supE44, lsdR17, recA1, gyrA96, endA1, thi-1, relA1, deoR, λ<sup>−</sup>           | ATCC53868        |
| *Acinetobacter baumannii*       | Primary strain used in this study                                                                 |                  |
| ATCC 19606                      |                                                                                                 |                  |
| ∆Adh3(∆3)                      | Marker-less Adh3 deletion mutant                                                               | This study       |
| ∆Adh4(∆4)                      | Marker-less Adh4 deletion mutant                                                               | This study       |
| ∆Adh6(∆6)                      | Marker-less Adh6 deletion mutant                                                               | This study       |
| ∆Adh34(∆34)                    | Marker-less Adh34 double deletion mutant                                                       | This study       |
| ∆Adh36(∆36)                    | Marker-less Adh36 double deletion mutant                                                       | This study       |
| ∆Adh46(∆46)                    | Marker-less Adh46 double deletion mutant                                                       | This study       |
| ∆Adh346(∆346)                  | Marker-less Adh346 triple deletion mutant                                                      | This study       |

Amp: ampicillin; Kan: kanamycin.

4.2. Marker-Less Mutation

Marker-less mutation was performed as previously described [31], with some minor modifications. Briefly, the adjacent regions of the Adh gene(s) intended for mutation were cloned into plasmid pk18mobsacB, and transformed into *E. coli* S17λπ to generate a donor strain for conjugation with *A. baumannii*. The *E. coli* donor strains and *A. baumannii* recipient strains were cultured in LB medium at 37 °C with shaking for 12 to 16 h, after which a 200 µL aliquot of donor bacterial cells was mixed with recipient *A. baumannii* cells at a 1:20 ratio. The mixed cells were spun down and washed with 60 µL of conjugation buffer (1% NaCl, 10 mM MgSO<sub>4</sub>) to remove traces of LB medium, and the cell pellet was resuspended in 60 µL of conjugation buffer, then spotted onto a membrane filter (47 mm diameter, mixed cellulose esters, A020H047A; Advantech MFS, Dublin, CA, USA) placed on top of 1.5% LB agar. After cultivation at 37 °C for 19 h, filters were washed with conjugation buffer to remove bacterial cells, which were spun down and resuspended in 200 µL of conjugation buffer, then plated to 1.5% LB agar plates containing 50 µg/mL of ampicillin and 50 µg/mL of kanamycin. The first homologous recombination event enables the *E. coli* donor plasmid, which contains a kanamycin-resistant gene, to be integrated into the bacterial chromosome of *A. baumannii* recipient cells. Successful recombinants were then cultivated in LB medium containing 20% sucrose but without kanamycin, thus inducing the *A. baumannii* recombinants to excise the sacB gene in a second crossover event, and thereby enabling the deletion of the target Adh gene(s). Deletion mutants were subsequently confirmed through PCR analysis.

4.3. Recombinant Alcohol Dehydrogenase Purification and Enzyme Activity Assay

Protein overexpression and purification methods were adapted from our previous study [31]. Briefly, putative *A. baumannii Adh* genes were cloned into plasmid pQE80L (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany), which was then transformed into *E. coli* DH5α for recombinant protein production. Ni-affinity chromatography was used for recombinant protein
purification. Columns were charged with $1 \times$ charge buffer (5 mM NiSO$_4$) for 50 min to enable Ni$^{2+}$ binding with the column, after which columns were washed with $1 \times$ binding buffer (5 mM imidazole, 0.5 M NaCl, 20 mM Tris-HCl, pH = 7.9) for 50 min. Supernatants containing recombinant proteins were then passed through the column, after which the column was washed with $1 \times$ wash buffer (60 mM imidazole, 0.5 M NaCl, 20 mM Tris-HCl, pH = 7.9), and then bound proteins were eluted using 1X elute buffer (1 M imidazole, 0.5 M NaCl, 20 mM Tris-HCl, pH = 7.9). The soluble fraction was collected and passed through Amicon Ultra-15 Centrifugal Filter-10 kDa units (Merck Millipore, Burlington MA, USA) to eliminate proteins smaller than 10 kDA. Retained proteins were concentrated by adding $4 \times$ ADH storage buffer (320 mM Tris-HCl, pH = 7.4, 160 mM KCl) to a concentration of $1 \times$ and then centrifuging for 20 min at 4 °C, 4000× g, after which the supernatant was discarded and $1 \times$ ADH storage buffer was added, followed by centrifugation for 10 min at 4 °C, 4000× g. Concentrated proteins were divided into aliquots of 250 µL, to which 250 µL of $4 \times$ ADH storage buffer and 500 µL of 100% glycerol were added, and then divided into 200 µL aliquots for storage at −80 °C. Purified proteins were used in enzymatic assays as previously described [35]. The enzymatic assay reaction contained a final concentration of 80 mM buffer, 2 mM NAD$^+$, 1 mM semicarbazide, and 100 µL of alcohol (ethanol, 1-propanol, or 1-butanol) in 1 mL. The OD$_{340}$ for different protein concentrations was determined every 30 s for three minutes. Specific activity was determined according to the Beer-Lambert Law: $A_\lambda = \varepsilon_\lambda \times C \times l$, with $A_\lambda$ representing the OD$_{340}$ difference between the third and the first minutes; $\varepsilon_\lambda = 6.22$ mM$^{-1}$ cm$^{-1}$, the coefficient of OD$_{340}$, and C indicating the concentration of produced NADH. The production of 1 µmole of NADH was defined as 1 unit of ADH activity [36]. Three buffers with different pH conditions were used: Phosphate buffer (PB buffer; 80 mM Na$\text{H}_2$PO$_4$, 80 mM NaH$_2$PO$_4$, pH = 5.8–8), Tris-Cl (80 mM Tris-HCl, pH = 8–9.5) and carbonate-bicarbonate buffer (CB buffer; 80 mM Na$_2$CO$_3$, 80 mM NaHCO$_3$, pH = 9.5–10.1).

4.4. Stress Resistance Assays

Single colonies of each strain were inoculated in 3 mL LB medium with corresponding antibiotics, which were cultured for 12–16 h at 37 °C with agitation. The overnight culture was refreshed with fresh medium to an OD$_{600}$ of 0.1. Bacteria were treated with 5 mM H$_2$O$_2$ or 300 µM tert-butyl hydroperoxide (tert-BHP) for 20 min and 4% NaCl, and viable counts were then determined by dropping 5 µL of cultured medium on LB agar plates 6 times and calculating colony-forming units (CFU) after colonies formed on plates [37].

4.5. DNA Extraction and qRT-PCR

Bacterial cultures at an OD$_{600}$ of 0.6 were collected and centrifuged, and pellets were treated with 0.1 volume of fix solution (5% acid/phenol, 95% ethanol), then centrifuged at 4 °C at 12,000× g for 10 min. Bacterial pellets were kept at −80 °C before RNA extraction. For RNA extraction, each pellet was suspended in 2 mL of Nucleozol (REF 740404.200, Macherey-Nagel, Düren, Germany) and processed according to the instruction manual provided by the manufacturer. The concentration of RNA was determined using a NanoDrop 2000c Spectrophotometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA). DNA contamination was assessed by conducting PCR analysis on a 2 µL RNA sample, using DNA polymerase in the absence of reverse transcriptase. No PCR products were noted, indicating the absence of DNA in purified RNA samples. Following extraction, 2 µg of RNA from each sample was prepared for reverse transcription with $1 \times$ RTase buffer, 0.5 mM dNTP, 5 mM DTT, and 200 U of RTase (GScript RTase, MB305-0050, GeneDireX, Taoyuan, Taiwan), and placed at 50 °C for one hour. Quantitative RT-PCR was performed with 100 ng cDNA for each reaction. SYBR Green (PowerSYBR Green PCR Master Mix, cat no. 4367659, Thermo Fisher Scientific) was mixed with cDNA and the corresponding primers (see Table 2) for PCR in a LightCycler® 480 (Roche, Basel, Switzerland) [31].

To ascertain if $Adh$ genes contribute to NADH/NAD$^+$ homeostasis, the Peredox cassette from pRestB-His7tag-peredox-mCherry (Addgene, Watertown MA, USA), a flu-
orescent biosensor of the cytosolic NADH-NAD$^+$ redox state [33,34], was inserted at an EcoRI restriction site into the pWH1266 shuttle vector of A. baumannii and E. coli, to form pWH1266-peredox-mCherry. This plasmid was then transferred by electroporation at 1.8 kV, 200 W, 25 µF (MicroPulser; Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA, USA) into wild-type and mutant bacterial strains [32] to measure cytosolic NADH:NAD$^+$ ratios. Following incubation at 37 °C for one hour, 200 µL of bacterial culture was spotted onto 1.5% LB agar plates containing 6.25 µg/mL of tetracycline, and plates were subsequently cultured at 37 °C for 12 to 16 h to select for successful transformants.

4.6. Fluorescence Analysis

Successful transformants harboring the pWH1266_peredox-mCherry plasmid were cultured in LB medium containing 6.25 µg/mL of tetracycline at 37 °C for 12 to 16 h until OD$_{600}$ = 0.3, after which bacterial cells were concentrated by centrifugation. Cell pellets were resuspended in 300 µL of 0.9% NaCl, from which 50 µL of solution was taken and placed on a slide (1” × 3” microscope slides; FEA, Taipei, Taiwan), then mounted with a coverslip (22 mm × 22 mm; Paul Marienfeld, Lauda-Königshofen, Germany) and sealed around the edges using transparent nail polish. Fixatives were not used in this study. The slides were examined under an Eclipse E800 fluorescent microscope (Nikon, Tokyo, Japan), using red (G-2A filter; Ex 510–560/DM 575/BA 590) and green (B-2A filter; Ex 450–490/DM 505/BA 520) fluorescence filters, and at least three different views were captured for each sample.

4.7. Virulence Assay with G. mellonella

A virulence comparison was carried out with A. baumannii ATCC 19606 wild-type and Adh3, Adh4, and Adh6 single, double and triple mutants of each strain. All procedures were performed as previously described, with minor modifications [16]. Ten G. mellonella larvae were selected for the same total weight, and were kept in petri dishes without food prior to infection. Larvae were infected with 5 × 10$^6$ CFU of each strain. Overnight cultures of each strain were washed twice with PBS (0.137 M NaCl, 2.7 mM KCl, 10 mM Na$_2$HPO$_4$, 1.8 mM KH$_2$PO$_4$), then diluted in PBS. Bacteria in 10 µL aliquots were injected into the hemocoel of each larva via the last left proleg by a Hamilton syringe. Infected larvae were incubated at 37 °C and scored for survival (alive/dead) every 24 h. Larvae were also scored for melanization over 96 h, according to a previously described scoring method [38].

4.8. Statistical Analysis

Data were analyzed using two-way ANOVA tests, with p < 0.05 denoting significance.
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