Adult Plant Resistance of Wheat Entries to Black Rust Race 40-A
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ABSTRACT

Genetic resistance is the most efficient, economical and environmental friendly approach against black rust of wheat. Although, evolution of new physiological races/pathotypes which can overcome the response of current resistant cultivar has engender to focus on evaluation of large number of wheat germplasms, breeding materials, cultivars for their reaction to black rust. Field based assessment for adult plant resistance in promising fifty entries against predominant pathotype 40-A of black rust revealed that forty eight entries showed resistance with lower AUDPC value in the range of 0 to 240 as compared to highly susceptible check varieties i.e. Lok 1 and Agra Local which showed AUDPC value of 1305 and 2000, respectively. But, amongst the forty eight resistant entries, forty entries showed durable resistance due to slower development of disease under high disease pressure which could be due to the presence of effective adult plant resistance Sr genes. Result of correlation coefficient between CI with both the disease parameters i.e. AUDPC (r=99**) and FRS (r=98**) also showed highly significant positive association.

Highlights

- Evolution of a new virulent race of black rust pose serious threat to wheat production.
- Adult plant resistance leads to less development of rust under high disease pressure.
- Slow rusters exhibit better field durability than those of vertical resistance genes only.
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Black/stem rust of wheat caused by *Puccinia graminis* f. sp. *tritici* Eriks. and E. Henn., belonging to family Pucciniaceae of order Uredinales and class Basidiomycetes of Basidiomycotina, has historically been one of the major constraints in realizing stabilized yields of worldwide wheat production. It has historically caused devastating yield losses on spring wheat in the United States (Kolmer 2001). According to Prasada (1960), nearly one million tonnes of wheat costing about ₹ 392 million were damaged during 1958-59 due to black rust. In India, the Central and Peninsular southern part where the warmer temperature prevails during wheat growing season the crop is prone to black rust, but has not in the wheat belt of Northern Hill Zone and Northern Pain Zone (Joshi and Palmer 1973). Besides, many times wheat cultivars often appear to lose their resistance due to the changes in virulence pattern of pathogens by mutation that ultimately can overcome the effects of existing available resistance genes. Emergence of a new virulent race of black rust i.e. Ug99 reported in Uganda in 1999 can infect most of the resistance genes like *Sr 31*, *Sr 36*, *Sr 24* (Singh *et al*. 2008) and it has created a greatest threat to all of the wheat growing areas of world. But, under this situation relaying in genetic resistance is the most efficient, economical and environmental friendly approach to control wheat rust (Line and Chen 1995). Utilization of race-nonspecific resistance which is mainly polygenic has often been described as slow rusting or partial resistance (Parlevliet 1979) and is known to be long lasting and more durable...
than race specific resistance (Herrera-Fossel et al. 2007). Mainly, presence of non-race specific genes shows susceptibility at seedling stage but exhibits moderately to highly resistant responses at adult plant stages; like the presence of Sr2 gene which is a slow rusting gene or adult plant resistance (APR) gene in wheat genotypes shows a characteristic phenotypic appearance of pseudo black chaff (PBC) (Nzuve et al. 2012). When the non-race specific genes are combined with 4 to 5 genes of minor or additive effect, a near immunity could be achieved (Singh et al. 2008). The emphasis on use of non-race specific genes formed the basis of durable resistance in wheat resistance breeding programs (Nzuve et al. 2012). Screening of genotypes by field based assessment is employed for partial resistance and can be assessed through different measures, viz. final rust severity (FRS), area under disease progress curve (AUDPC) and coefficient of infection (CI) (Pathan and Park 2006). Slow rusting parameters can be used for grouping of different cultivars based on their resistance reaction (Ali et al. 2007; Sandoval-Islas et al. 2007; Shah et al. 2010). Thus, in present context broadening of resistance base by the utilization of genetically diverse source of resistance are essential for enhancing the durability of resistance to black rust due to continue evolution of pathogen especially in the perspective of the changing climatic scenario. A combination of several minor genes may confer durability of field resistance leading to contribute towards slow development of rust due to increase latent period, development of smaller pustule size and reduced number of uredia per unit area etc. (Tomar et al. 2014). Therefore, there is a need for the identification of new sources for durable resistance which exhibit a better field durability than those possessing the vertical resistance genes only. The present study was conducted to evaluate promising wheat entries at adult plant stage for exploiting new sources of durable resistance to predominant pathotype 40-A of black rust.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

During 2017-18 crop season, screening of wheat entries was conducted at Wheat Research Station, Vijapur (Latitude of 23° N and longitude of 72° E, meteorological data are provided in table 5) to identify promising wheat entries exhibiting durable resistance against specific pathotype 40-A of black rust. The materials under study consist of fifty entries including released varieties, advanced breeding materials viz. BDW4, BDW8, GW1, GW11, GW173, GW322, GW451, GW474, GW475, GW477, GW478, GW496, GW505, GW506, GW509, GDW1255, GW1318, GW1319, GW1320, GW1321, GW1339, GW1343, GW1348, GW1349, J-2013-09, J-2013-14, J-2013-26, J-2013-28, J-2013-30, J-2013-46, VA-2013-44, VA-2013-45, VA-2013-46, VA-2013-48, VA-2013-49, VA-2013-50, VA-2013-53, VA-2013-57, VA-2013-59, VA-2017-02, VA-2017-03, VA-2017-04, VA-2017-05, VA-2017-06, VA-2017-07, VA-2017-08, VA-2017-09 and VA-2017-10 including highly susceptible varieties i.e. Lok1, Agra Local as checks. Inoculum suspension was prepared by diluting urediospores of predominant pathotype 40-A, received from ICAR-IIWBR, Regional Station, Flowerdale, Shimla, in sterile water followed by adding of few drops of tween 20 as an emulsifying agent. Injections of inoculum suspension to each entry at boot leaf stage were practiced with the help of hypodermal syringe. After successfully development of black rust, disease severities were recorded for four times at 10 days intervals as per cent of infection in each individual entry, according to Peterson scale (Peterson et al. 1948) (Table 1). The severity was determined by visual observations, below 5 per cent severity, the intervals were Trace and usually 5 per cent interval was used from 5 to 20 per cent and 10 per cent interval between 20-100 per cent severity. The coefficient of infection (CI) was calculated by multiplying severity score with constant values of response type viz. 0.2, 0.4, 0.6, 0.8, 1 for R, MR, X, MS and S respectively as shown in Table 1. Afterwards by using following formula, area under disease progress curve (AUDPC) was calculated for all the test entry under study.

\[
\text{AUDPC} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} ((X_i + X_{i+1}) \times t_i) / 2}{n}
\]

where, \(X_i\) and \(X_{i+1}\) are severities on date \(i\) and date \(i+1\), respectively. \(t_i\) is the number of days in between date \(i\) and date \(i+1\). \(n\) is the number of observation recorded.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Twenty two entries with trace severity and three entries with 5 to 10 per cent severity of different
infection types, remaining twenty five entries with no infections were recorded in first day of data observation (Table 2). With the progress of disease, twenty one entries viz. BDW 4, BDW8, GW1, GW478, GW505, GW509, GW1320, GW1343, J-2013-26, J-2013-28, J-2013-46, VA2013-46, VA2013-48, VA2013-57, VA2017-02, VA2017-04, VA2017-05, VA 2017-06, VA2017-07, VA2017-08, and VA2017-09 were observed with resistance reaction ranging from trace to 10 per cent severity, while, ten entries viz. GW173, GW496, GW451, GW477, GW506, GDW1255, J-2013-09, VA2013-49, VA2017-03, and VA2017-10 were observed with moderately resistance type of infection ranging from trace to 20 per cent severity according to final rust severity score (Table 2). Moreover, five entries viz. GW11, GW322, GW1319, GW1339, and GW1349 were observed as moderately susceptible type of reaction which ranged from 5 to 10 per cent severity according to final rust severity score (Table 2). Four entries viz. GW1318, GW1321, GW1348, and J-2013-30 were recorded with susceptible type of infection but their per cent of severity i.e. 5 to 10 per cent, were under considerable level for resistance as compared to check varieties i.e. Lok 1 and Agra local which showed susceptible reaction of 100 per cent severity in final rust severity score (Table 2). On the other hand, eight entries viz. GW474, GW475, J-2013-14, VA 2013-44, VA 2013-45, VA2013-50, VA2013-53, and VA2013-59 were found with no infection under high inoculum pressure at final rust severity score at adult plant stage (Table 2). Entries were categorized based on the values of AUDPC. There were eight entries whose AUDPC values were 0 followed by thirty two entries having AUDPC values ranging from 1-100 and six entries showed AUDPC values ranging from 101-200. Two entries showed AUDPC values varying from 201-500 as compared to check varieties whose AUDPC values ranged from 1000-2000 (Table 3). Although, eight entries which showed no infection represents high level of resistance, but it may be controlled by major genes and so, they can’t be considered as a source for durable resistance. But, all the entries in groups ‘1-100’, ‘101-200’ and ‘201-500’ showed final rust severity ranging from TR to 10S and so they can be termed as slow rusters, since the development of rust occurred at a very slow rate as compared to check varieties. Thus such entries may be considered due to presence of adult plant resistance genes in addition to the vertical resistance genes (Anonymous 2014; Sharma et al. 2015). Since, all the tested forty entries showing various types of responses to black rust were associated with lower values of AUDPC as compared to check and hence, these forty entries could be a valuable source for durable resistance against the tested predominant pathotype 40-A of black rust.

Moreover, linear relationship between three parameters viz. final rust severity and AUDPC with CI were explained by coefficient of determination with 96 and 99 per cent, respectively as depicted in Fig. 1 and 2.

Table 1: Scale for scoring rusts

| Reaction type | Response value | Category           | Visible symptoms                                      |
|---------------|----------------|--------------------|-------------------------------------------------------|
| 0             | 0.0            | Immune             | No visible infection                                   |
| R             | 0.2            | Resistance         | Necrotic areas with or without uredia                  |
| MR            | 0.4            | Moderately resistance | Necrotic areas with small uredia                      |
| X             | 0.6            | Intermediate       | Variable sized uredia with necrosis or chlorosis and fully susceptible |
| MS            | 0.8            | Moderately susceptible | Medium sized uredia with no necrosis but some chlorosis |
| S             | 1.0            | Susceptible        | Large sized uredia with no necrosis and chlorosis     |

Fig 1: Association of final rust severity and coefficient of infection
Table 2: Reaction of entries against pathotype 40-A of black rust during 2017-18

| S. N. | Entry     | 3/2/18 | 13/2/18 | 23/2/18 | 5/3/18 | CI  | AUDPC |
|-------|-----------|--------|---------|---------|--------|-----|-------|
| 1     | BDW 4     | 0      | TR      | TR      | TR     | 0.15| 5     |
| 2     | BDW 8     | 0      | TR      | TR      | TR     | 0.15| 5     |
| 3     | GW 1      | TR     | TR      | TR      | 5R     | 0.40| 10    |
| 4     | GW 11     | TR     | TMS     | 10MS    | 10MS   | 4.25| 129   |
| 5     | GW 173    | 0      | TR      | 10R     | 10MR   | 1.55| 42    |
| 6     | GW 322    | TMS    | 5MS     | 5MS     | 5MS    | 3.20| 104   |
| 7     | GW 451    | 0      | TR      | 10R     | 10MR   | 1.55| 42    |
| 8     | GW 474    | 0      | 0       | 0       | 0      | 0.00| 0     |
| 9     | GW 475    | 0      | 0       | 0       | 0      | 0.00| 0     |
| 10    | GW 477    | 0      | TR      | 10R     | 10MR   | 1.55| 42    |
| 11    | GW 478    | 0      | TR      | TR      | TR     | 0.15| 5     |
| 12    | GW 496    | TR     | TMR     | 10MR    | 10MR   | 2.15| 65    |
| 13    | GW 505    | 0      | TR      | TR      | 5R     | 0.35| 9     |
| 14    | GW 506    | TMR    | 5MR     | 5MR     | 5MR    | 1.60| 52    |
| 15    | GW 509    | 0      | TR      | TR      | 5R     | 0.35| 9     |
| 16    | GDW 1255  | TR     | TMR     | 10MR    | 20MR   | 3.15| 85    |
| 17    | GW 1318   | TS     | TS      | 10S     | 10S    | 5.50| 165   |
| 18    | GW 1319   | 0      | 0       | 10R     | 10MS   | 2.50| 60    |
| 19    | GW 1320   | 0      | 0       | 10R     | 10R    | 1.00| 30    |
| 20    | GW 1321   | TS     | TS      | 10MS    | 10S    | 5.00| 145   |
| 21    | GW 1339   | 5MS    | 5MS     | 5MS     | 5MS    | 4.00| 120   |
| 22    | GW 1343   | TR     | 5R      | 5R      | 5R     | 0.80| 26    |
| 23    | GW 1348   | 5S     | 5S      | 5S      | 5S     | 5.00| 150   |
| 24    | GW 1349   | 10MS   | 10MS    | 10MS    | 10MS   | 8.00| 240   |
| 25    | J-2013-09 | TR     | TR      | 10R     | 10MR   | 1.60| 43    |
| 26    | J-2013-14 | 0      | 0       | 0       | 0      | 0.00| 0     |
| 27    | J-2013-26 | 0      | TR      | TR      | 10R    | 0.15| 5     |
| 28    | J-2013-28 | 0      | TR      | TR      | TR     | 0.15| 5     |
| 29    | J-2013-30 | TMS    | 10MS    | 10MS    | 10S    | 6.70| 214   |
| 30    | J-2013-46 | 0      | TR      | TR      | TR     | 0.15| 5     |
| 31    | VA 2013-44| 0      | 0       | 0       | 0      | 0.00| 0     |
| 32    | VA 2013-45| 0      | 0       | 0       | 0      | 0.00| 0     |
| 33    | VA 2013-46| 0      | TR      | TR      | TR     | 0.15| 5     |
| 34    | VA 2013-48| 0      | TR      | TR      | TR     | 0.15| 5     |
| 35    | VA 2013-49| TR     | TMR     | TMR     | TMR    | 0.35| 11    |
| 36    | VA 2013-50| 0      | 0       | 0       | 0      | 0.00| 0     |
| 37    | VA 2013-53| 0      | 0       | 0       | 0      | 0.00| 0     |
| 38    | VA 2013-57| 0      | 0       | 0       | TR     | 0.05| 1     |
| 39    | VA 2013-59| 0      | 0       | 0       | 0      | 0.00| 0     |
| 40    | VA 2017-02| TR     | TR      | TR      | TR     | 0.20| 6     |
| 41    | VA 2017-03| TR     | TR      | TR      | TMR    | 0.30| 9     |
| 42    | VA 2017-04| TR     | 5R      | 5R      | 5R     | 0.80| 26    |
| 43    | VA 2017-05| TR     | 5R      | 5R      | 5R     | 0.80| 26    |
| 44    | VA 2017-06| TR     | 5R      | 10R     | 20R    | 1.80| 51    |
| 45    | VA 2017-07| TR     | 5R      | 5R      | 5R     | 0.75| 25    |
| 46    | VA 2017-08| TR     | TR      | TR      | 5R     | 0.40| 10    |
| 47    | VA 2017-09| TR     | TR      | TR      | TR     | 0.20| 6     |
| 48    | VA 2017-10| TR     | TR      | TMR     | 5MR    | 0.70| 17    |
| 49    | Lok 1     | TS     | 20S     | 60S     | 100S   | 45.45| 1305 |
| 50    | Agra Local| 20S    | 60S     | 80S     | 100S   | 65.00| 2000 |
**Fig. 2:** Association of area under disease progress curve and coefficient of infection

Besides, significantly positive correlation coefficients \( r \) were observed between CI with final rust severity \( r = 98** \) and AUDPC with CI \( r = 99** \) for all the test promising entries (Table 4).

### Table 3: Categorization of entries based on AUDPC

| Range of AUDPC | Entries |
|----------------|---------|
| 0              | GW474, GW475, J-2013-14, VA-2013-44, VA-2013-45, VA-2013-50, VA-2013-53, VA-2013-59, BDW4, BDW8, GW1, GW173, GW451, GW477, GW478, GW496, GW505, GW506, GW509, GDW1255, GW1319, GW1320, GW1348, J-2013-09, J-2013-26, J-2013-28, J-2013-46, VA-2013-46, VA-2013-48, VA-2013-49, VA-2013-57, VA-2017-02, VA-2017-03, VA-2017-04, VA-2017-05, VA-2017-06, VA-2017-07, VA-2017-08, VA-2017-09, VA-2017-10 |
| 1-100          | GW11, GW322, GW1318, GW1321, GW1339, GW1343 |
| 101-200        | GW1349, J-2013-30 |
| 201-500        | Nil |
| 501-1000       | Lok 1, Agra Local |
| 1001-2000      | Nil |

### Table 4: Correlation coefficients \( r \) between slow rusting parameters

| Parameter | FRS | AUDPC |
|-----------|-----|-------|
| CI        | 0.98** | 0.99** |

Significant at 0.01 levels.

Since, all these three disease parameters i.e. final rust severity, coefficient of infection and area under disease progress curve were strongly and positively correlated in the present study, so, it can be concluded that these are the most appropriate parameters for field based assessment for durable resistance to black rust.

### Table 5: Week wise meteorological data during 2017-18 Rabi season at Vijapur

| Meteorological week | Max. Temp. | Min. Temp. | Morning RH-I | Afternoon RH-II |
|---------------------|------------|------------|--------------|----------------|
| 44                  | 33.7       | 25.7       | 90.3         | 21.4           |
| 45                  | 34.7       | 25.0       | 92.6         | 20.4           |
| 46                  | 31.9       | 25.0       | 90.0         | 31.9           |
| 47                  | 33.1       | 24.4       | 91.7         | 21.6           |
| 48                  | 34.8       | 21.2       | 92.0         | 23.0           |
| 49                  | 33.7       | 18.6       | 91.1         | 26.9           |
| 50                  | 34.0       | 17.0       | 94.1         | 28.1           |
| 51                  | 33.4       | 15.6       | 96.0         | 27.6           |
| 52                  | 32.0       | 15.1       | 94.6         | 27.9           |
| 1                   | 28.0       | 13.0       | 95.6         | 33.3           |
| 2                   | 24.7       | 10.7       | 86.0         | 31.1           |
| 3                   | 26.3       | 12.2       | 81.4         | 33.9           |
| 4                   | 29.4       | 14.7       | 94.4         | 33.4           |
| 5                   | 30.5       | 13.0       | 92.0         | 25.7           |
| 6                   | 27.5       | 10.0       | 86.0         | 22.1           |
| 7                   | 32.6       | 16.6       | 88.7         | 29.0           |
| 8                   | 32.7       | 15.2       | 86.7         | 20.0           |
| 9                   | 34.3       | 15.8       | 83.3         | 18.4           |
| 10                  | 31.8       | 16.1       | 73.6         | 17.3           |
| 11                  | 34.5       | 16.8       | 51.4         | 15.7           |
| 12                  | 38.2       | 20.1       | 68.4         | 17.0           |
| 13                  | 40.2       | 22.0       | 50.4         | 14.6           |
| 14                  | 37.5       | 22.2       | 67.6         | 19.4           |
| 15                  | 42.1       | 20.9       | 28.6         | 8.7            |
| 16                  | 40.0       | 24.4       | 75.6         | 21.4           |
| 17                  | 38.6       | 24.8       | 85.0         | 23.3           |
| 18                  | 41.8       | 25.4       | 48.3         | 16.0           |
| 19                  | 42.5       | 27.3       | 66.3         | 17.6           |
| 20                  | 41.4       | 27.5       | 81.3         | 22.0           |
| 21                  | 41.4       | 28.8       | 82.1         | 28.9           |
| 22                  | 40.8       | 30.1       | 81.4         | 33.0           |

No rainfall was received during the season

The above findings were also supported by similar findings which reported that the slow rusters entries with terminal rust score upto 30S are desirable in situations where no resistant materials are available, and slow ruster lines against \textit{Ug99} resistance were also identified based on AUDPC values which may be utilized for improving resistance against \textit{Ug99} in popular Indian wheat cultivars (Sharma et al. 2015). Similarly, the genotypes which exhibit...
resistant to moderately resistant responses were of great importance while selecting for durable resistance to stem rust for enhancing more effective breeding program (Nzuve et al. 2012). Durum lines generally showed resistance to bread wheat virulent pathotypes 40-A and 40-1 among the Indian Pgt population (Mishra et al. 2009). Moreover, lines with acceptable level of partial or durable resistance restrict the evolution of new virulent races due to extremely rare chance of occurring multiple point mutations in nature inside the pathogen (Ali et al. 2007). Earlier, report also suggest that germplasm accessions exhibiting moderate resistance to resistance reaction may confer durable resistance which may be presence of some effective adult plant resistance (APR) genes against rust (Bhardwaj et al., 2006; Elangbam et al. 2015; 2016). Many resistance genes for black rust have been designated (McIntosh et al. 2009) and among them genes Sr 2 (Rajaram et al. 1988), Sr 55 (Sybil et al. 2014), Sr 57(Singh et al. 2012) contribute to adult plant resistance to black rust of wheat.

CONCLUSION

In order to combat the threat of evolution of new virulent races of black rust, exploitation of genetic resistance in wheat breeding programme became a critical tool. Hence, from the present findings it can be concluded that all the tested forty entries acquired partial or durable resistance by exhibiting good response of resistance with low AUDPC values under high disease pressure against predominant pathotype 40-A of black rust. Thus, it is important to assess critically the utility of such great diversity of entries for sources of durable resistance at adult plant stage for enhancing work of resistance breeding programme against black rust of wheat.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Authors are grateful to ICAR, IIWBR, Regional Station, Flowerdale, Shimla for providing inoculum for creating artificial epiphytotic condition throughout the crop season.

REFERENCES

Ali, S., Shah, S.J.A. and Ibrahim, M. 2007. Assessment of wheat breeding lines for slow yellow rusting (Puccinia striiformis West. tritici). Pakistan Journal of Biological Sciences, 10: 3440-3444.

Anonymous. 2014. Progress Report of All India Coordinated Wheat and Barley Improvement Project 2013-14. Vol. III Crop Protection. Eds: M.S., Saharan, Sudheer Kumar, R. Selvakumar., Subhas, Katare and Indu Sharma. Directorate of Wheat Research, Karnal, India. P.261.

Bhardwaj, S.C., Prashar, M., Kumar, S. and Datta, D. 2006. Virulence and diversity of Puccinia triticina on wheat in India during 2002-04. Indian Journal of Agricultural Science, 76: 302-306.

Elangbam, P.D., Deepshikha and Kumari, B. 2015. Confirmation of resistance in wheat germplasms against stripe/yellow rust through seedling resistance test and adult plant resistance. The Bioscan, 10(4): 1801-1806.

Elangbam, P.D., Deepshikha., Jaiswal, J.P. and Kumari, B. 2016. Field assessment of wheat germplasm accessions to identify the source of resistance to yellow and brown rust. Advances in Life Sciences, 5(13): 5516-5523.

Herrera-Fossel, S.A., Singh, R.P., Huerta- Espino, J., Crossa, J., Djurle, A. and Yuen, J. 2007. Evaluation of slow rusting resistance components to leaf rust in CIMMYT durum wheats. Euphytica, 155: 361-369.

Joshi, L.M. and Palmer, L.T. 1973. Epidemiology of stem, leaf and stripe rusts of wheat in northern India. Plant Disease Reporter, 57: 8-12.

Kolmer, J.A. 2001. Early research on the genetics of Puccinia graminis and stem resistance in wheat in Canada and the United States. In: Campbell, C.L, Peterson, P. (eds) Wheat stem rust, from ancient enemy to modern foe. APS Press, St. Paul, M.N, USA.

Line, R.F. and Chen, X.M. 1995. Success in breeding for and managing durable resistance to wheat rusts. Plant Disease, 79: 1254-1255.

McIntosh, R.A., Dudcovsky, J., Rogers, W.J., Morris, C., Applels, R. and Xia, X.C. 2009. Catalogue of gene symbols foe wheat: Supplement. In the 11th International Wheat Genetics Symposium held in Brisbane, Australia. Grain gene websites.

Mishra, A.N., Shirsekar, G.S., Yadav, S.R., Dubey, V.G., Kaushal, K., Sai Prasad, S.V. and Pandey, H.N. 2009. Protocols for evaluating resistance to leaf and stem rusts in durum and bread wheats. Indian Phytopathology, 62: 461-468.

Nzuve, F.M., Bhavani, S., Tusiime, G., Njau, P. and Wanyera, R. 2012. Evaluation of bread wheat for both seedling and adult plant resistance to stem rust. African Journal of Plant Science, 6(15): 426-432.

Parlevliet, J.E. 1979. Components of resistance that reduces the rate of epidemic development. Annual Review of Phytopathology, 17: 203-222.

Pathan, A.K. and Park, R.F. 2006. Evaluation of seedling and adult plant resistance to leaf rust in European wheat cultivars. Euphytica, 149: 327-342.

Peterson, R.F., Campbell, A.B. and Hannah, A.E. 1948. A diagrammatic scale for estimating rust intensity of leaves and stems of cereals. Canadian Journal of Research Section C., 26: 496-500.
Prasada, R. 1960. Fight the wheat rusts. *Indian Phytopathology*, 13: 1-5.

Rajaram, S., Singh, R.P. and Torres, E. 1988. Current CIMMYT approaches in breeding wheat for rust resistance. In: Simmonds NW, Rajaram S (eds) Breeding strategies for resistance to the rusts of wheat. CIMMYT, Mexico, pp 101-118.

Sandoval-Islas, J.S., Broers, L.H.M., Mora-Aguilera, G., Parlevliet, J.E., Osada, K.S. and Vivar, H.E. 2007. Quantitative resistance and its components in 16 barley cultivars to yellow rust, *Puccinia striiformis* f. sp. *hordei*. *Euphytica*, 153: 295-308.

Shah, S.J.A., Muhmmad, M. and Hussain, S. 2010. Phenotypic and molecular characterization of wheat for slow rusting resistance against *Puccinia striiformis* Westend. f. sp. *tritici*. *Journal of Phytopathology*, 158: 393-402.

Sharma, A.K., Saharan, M.S., Bhardwaj, S.C., Prashar, M., Chatrath, R., Tiwari, V., Singh, M. and Sharma, I. 2015. Evaluation of wheat (*Triticum aestivum*) germplasm and varieties against stem rust (*Puccinia graminis* f. sp. *tritici*) pathotype Ug99 and its variants. *Indian Phytopathology*, 68(2): 134-138.

Singh, R.P., Hodson, D.P., Huerta-Espino, J., Jin, Y., Njau, P., Wanyera, R., Herrera-Foessel, S.A. and Ward, R.W. 2008. Will Stem Rust Destroy the World’s Wheat Crop? *Advance in Agronomy*, 98: 271-309.

Singh, R., Herrera-Foessel, S.A., Huerta-Espino, J., Bariana, H.S., Bansal, U., McCallum, B.D., Hiebert, C.W., Bhavani, S., Singh, S., Lan, C. and Lagudah, E.S. 2012. *Lr34/Yr18/Sr57/Pm38/Dbv1/Ltn1* confers slow rusting, adult plant resistance to *Puccinia graminis tritici*. In: 13th Cereal Rust and Powdery Mildew Conference, August 28-September 1, 2012. Beijing. p173.

Sybil, A., Herrera-Foessel., Singh, R.P., Lillemo, M., Huerta-Espino, J., Bhavani, S., Singh, S., Lan, C., Calvo-Salazar, V. and Lagudah, E.S. 2014. *Lr67/Yr46* confers adult plant resistance to stem rust and powdery mildew in wheat. *Theoretical and Applied Genetics*, 127: 781-789.

Tomar, S.M.S., Singh, S.K., Sivasamy, M. and Vinod. 2014. Wheat rusts in India: Resistance breeding and gene deployment-A Review. *Indian Journal of Genetics*, 74(2): 129-156.
