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ABSTRACT
Numerical model is developed in order to characterize the water droplet coalescence at the air intake duct of a gas turbine assumed under operation of Inlet Fogging System. Water droplet size is treated as a randomly distributed parameter instead of a single size representative diameter. The numerical calculation is executed by ANSYS Fluent® v.14.5 in a simple rectangular duct and verified using experimental data. The calculated evaporation time of an isolated water droplet in an infinite room shows a good agreement with experimental data. With fogging amount below saturation condition, injected water droplets with size distributions take a longer time to evaporate and it leads to a lower cooling efficiency than the one calculated using a single representative diameter. Then, the model is used to investigate droplet coalescence phenomena in an L-shaped duct geometry. It is shown that the L-shaped duct enhances coalescence due to drift behind the corner of L-duct. This reproduces larger droplets, therefore lower evaporation efficiency and increase of the water drainage.

INTRODUCTION
In recent years, gas turbine power augmentation has drawn an attention of engineers and researchers. During the hot season, the power output and an efficiency of gas turbines are reduced significantly due to lower density air. In order to augment the power output and thermal efficiency, increasing the intake air mass flow rate is needed. The power augmentation can be achieved by air cooling technologies [1]. Among the air cooling technologies, inlet fogging technology is widely used because of its simplicity and low installation cost. Utamura et al. [2] reported that about 1% injection of normal temperature water by spray nozzles at inlet duct had a potential to increase the power output by several percent.

Some researchers had studied this system to characterize evaporative behavior of water droplet and identified key operational parameters [3]. Chaker et al [4] and Jeanty F., et al [5] investigated, experimentally and numerically, the synchronization distance of droplets in continuous phase. They found that injected droplet velocity attains the airflow velocity in a few milliseconds due to the large drag forces. As droplet Reynolds number is very small, the coefficient of drag is exceedingly large and the droplet accelerates very rapidly. Therefore, the slip velocity between injected droplet and air flow becomes quickly zero i.e. synchronization distance is of the order of 0.1 m. Computational Fluid Dynamic (CFD) was used to predict flow behavior for different condition and configurations. Suryan A., et al [6] numerically investigated the suitable locations for spray nozzles within the air intake duct. However, those studies were executed using a single representative diameter, typically the Sauter Mean Diameter (D32) and droplet coalescence effect was not considered.

In this paper, both droplet size distributions and coalescence effect were investigated numerically in an L-shaped duct. Validating the present method with experiment, the present study investigated the effect of distributed droplet size on evaporation efficiency and introduced the effect of droplets coalescence phenomenon in duct geometry.

NOMENCLATURE

| Symbol | Description |
|--------|-------------|
| a      | constant [-] |
| b      | constant [-] |
| BC     | boundary condition [-] |
| Cp     | isobaric specific heat [J/kg/K] |
| CV     | control volume |
| Dd     | droplet diameter [μm] |
| D32    | Sauter Mean Diameter [μm] |
| Df     | diffusion coefficient of water vapor [m²/s] |
| f      | complex function |
| i      | evaporation rate [kg/s] |
| L      | latent heat [J/kg] |
| Le     | length [m] |
| m      | mass [kg] |
| NAB    | number of collisions between parcels [-] |
| n      | number of droplets in parcel [-] |
| Nu     | Nusselt number [-] |
| Pr     | Prandtl number [-] |
| P(κ)   | probability as function of κ [-] |
| Q      | heat transferred from air to droplet [W] |
| Re     | Reynolds number [-] |
| RH     | relative humidity [%] |
| r      | radius of droplet [m] |
| Sc     | Schmidt number [-] |
| Sh     | Sherwood number [-] |
| T      | temperature [K, °C] |
| Td     | droplet temperature [K, °C] |
| Ta     | ambient air temperature [K, °C] |
| Te     | air temperature at infinity [K, °C] |
| Tg     | humid air temperature [K, °C] |
| t      | time [s] |
| Δt     | time step [s] |
| Uref   | relative velocity of droplet A to B[m/s] |
| u      | air velocity [m/s] |
| u.d    | droplet velocity [m/s] |
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\[ r = u + (2) \]

Cool the air down to as much as wet bulb temperature, for which airflow velocity due to drag force. Droplets evaporate and can attach to duct wall and be drained, the rest enters the compressor. Thus, design of inlet fogging system attempts to minimize the loss of droplets with typical Sauter mean diameter of 20 μm in duct and it is mixed with the incoming ambient air. Fine water droplets were investigated numerically in an L-shape duct. As shown in Fig.1, the droplet coalescence will be analyzed on the corner of inlet duct just before the compressor.

However, inlet fogging system has some disadvantages. The disadvantages include the need for demineralized water and the fact that power augmentation is limited by the ambient wet bulb temperature (on rainy days very small cooling will be obtained). The other advantages of inlet fogging system are negligible pressure drop across the nozzle and possible 30% reduction of NOx gas emissions produced in the combustor. The power output of gas turbines depends on the density of the intake air. During summer, hot weather decreases air density, that decreases. Because of this, many inlet cooling technologies such as inlet fogging system are installed in order to get cooler air. This technology has been widely applied in real gas turbine engines because of its low capital cost, low maintenance requirements, and quick installation. The other advantages of inlet fogging system are high efficiency.

The concept of gas turbine inlet fogging system is shown in Fig.1. Water fog is generated by spray nozzles upstream the inlet duct and it is mixed with the incoming ambient air. Fine water droplets with typical Sauter mean diameter of 20 μm rapidly reach airflow velocity due to drag force. Droplets evaporate and can cool the air down to as much as wet bulb temperature, for which the air becomes saturated. A part of evaporated water may attach to duct wall and be drained, the rest enters the compressor. Thus, design of inlet fogging system attempts to minimize the loss of water due to the drain while maintaining the highest cooling efficiency.

In this paper, both droplet size distributions and coalescence effect were investigated numerically in an L-shape duct. As shown in Fig.1, the droplet coalescence will be analyzed on the corner of inlet duct just before the compressor.

**THE NUMERICAL MODEL**

**Gas-Phase Equations**

The three-dimensional, incompressible, filtered Navier-Stokes equations are solved on a structured grid with arbitrary elements. These equations are written as

\[
\frac{\partial \tilde{u}_i}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial \tilde{u}_i \tilde{u}_j}{\partial x_j} = -\frac{\partial p}{\partial x_i} + \frac{1}{Re_{ref}} \frac{\partial^2 \tilde{u}_i}{\partial x_j \partial x_j} - \frac{\partial q_{ij}}{\partial x_j} + \tilde{S}_i \quad (1)
\]

where \( q_{ij} \) denotes the anisotropic part of the subgrid-scale stress tensor, \( \tilde{u}_i \) and \( \tilde{u}_j \) are the gas-phase velocities interpolated to the droplet phase, and \( \tilde{S}_i \) is the body force representing the effects of other phases.

The volume fraction of water vapor in humid air is.

\[
\frac{d\chi}{dt} = -\sum_k \tilde{g}_d(x,x_p) \frac{\rho_p}{\rho_{ref}} \tilde{V}_k \frac{\partial \tilde{u}_i}{\partial x_i} \quad (2)
\]

where the subscript \( p \) stands for the droplet phase. The summation over all droplets in a computational control volume. The function \( \tilde{g}_d \) is a conservative interpolation operator between the gas and particle-phases and is given by

\[
\tilde{g}_d = \sum_k \tilde{g}_d(x,x_p) \rho_{pk} \tilde{V}_k \quad (3)
\]

where \( \tilde{g}_d \) is the volume of the grid cell and \( \tilde{V}_k \) is the volume of the droplet.

**Liquid-Phase Equations**

Droplet dynamics is simulated using a Lagrangian point-particle model. It is assumed that (1) the density of the droplets is much greater than that of the carrier fluid, (2) the droplets are dispersed, (3) droplet deformation effects are small and (4) motion due to shear is negligible. Under these assumptions, the Lagrangian equations governing the droplet motions become

\[
\frac{dx_d}{dt} = u_d; \quad \frac{du_d}{dt} = \frac{1}{\tau_d} \left( u_{gd} - u_d \right) + \left( 1 - \frac{\rho_d}{\rho_g} \right) g \quad (3)
\]

where \( x_d \) is the position of the droplet centroid, \( u_d \) denotes the droplet velocity, \( u_{gd} \) the gas-phase velocities interpolated to the droplet location, \( \rho_d \) and \( \rho_g \) are the droplet and gas-phase densities, and \( g \) is the gravitational acceleration. The droplet relaxation time scale (\( \tau_d \)) is given as

\[
\tau_d = \frac{D_d}{18u_d} \frac{1}{1 + a Re_d^b} \quad (4)
\]

where \( D_d \) is the droplet diameter and \( Re_d \) is the droplet Reynolds number. The above correlation is valid for \( Re_d \leq 800 \). The constants \( a = 0.15; b = 0.687 \) yield the drag within 5% from the standard drag curve.
Droplet Evaporation Model

Energy balance of a droplet under evaporative cooling obeys the following equation [17]:

\[ m_d C_p \mu \frac{dT_d}{dt} = Q - I_L. \]  

(5)

Left hand side of Eq.(5) shows accumulation of heat in the droplet, the 1st term of right hand side and 2nd term are sensitive heat transferred from surrounding air to the droplet and latent heat deprived from the droplet due to evaporation respectively. The energy balance of surrounding air obeys following equation:

\[ m_g C_p \mu \frac{dT_g}{dt} = -Q. \]  

(6)

Assuming the droplet is a spherical shape because typical fogging droplets are fine (~20 \( \mu \)m), \( Q \) and the rate of mass transfer due to evaporation \( I \) may be expressed by

\[ Q = \pi D_d N u \lambda_g (T_\infty - T_d), \]  

(7)

\[ I = \frac{\Delta m_d}{\Delta t} = \pi D_d S h D_f \rho_g (Y_\infty - Y_d), \]  

(8)

\[ N u = 2 + 0.552 R e^{\frac{1}{3}} P r^{\frac{2}{3}}, \]  

(9)

\[ S h = 2 + 0.552 R e^{\frac{1}{3}} S c^{\frac{1}{3}}, \]  

(10)

where \( Y \) is mass fraction of steam in humid air and Reynolds number \( Re \) in Eqs.(9) and (10) is defined by relative velocity between air and droplet. Transport properties \( D_f, \rho_g, \lambda_g \) in humid air were evaluated using 1/3 rule [15] namely

\[ \varphi_g = Y_\infty \varphi_1 (T_r) + (1 - Y_\infty) \varphi_2 (T_r), \]  

(11)

\[ T_r = T_d + \frac{T_\infty - T_d}{3}, \]  

(12)

\[ Y_r = Y_d + (Y_\infty - Y_d)/3. \]  

(13)

where \( \varphi \) represents transport property and its suffixes 1 and 2 denote steam and dry air respectively.

Above isolated droplet model could be applied to the evaporation of single size water droplets flowing through gas turbine inlet duct with zero slip velocity. When this phenomenon happens uniformly, each droplet has no interaction to one another and the phenomenon can be described by a single droplet. Then, the mass of the surrounding air \( \Delta m \) in Eq. (6) is substituted by the ratio of mass velocity of air divided by the number of droplets discharged per unit time. The operator \( \frac{d}{dt} \) in Eqs.(5) and (6) may be replaced by \( \mu \frac{d}{dt} \) in steady state. Let us denote this model 1D model hereafter. The 1D model is no longer applicable where droplet interaction exists e.g. spatial inhomogeneity, existence of slip velocity, distributed droplet size, coalescence and so on.

To deal with this problem of droplet interaction, commercial CFD, ANSYS Fluent® v.14.5 was used. An Euler-Lagrange dispersed particle model was used to compute the two phase flows. The air is the continuous phase and the water droplet is the dispersed phase. The velocity, turbulence parameters etc. were computed at first in Euler system, and then the traces of water droplets were obtained by integral in Lagrange framework after taking the turbulence diffusion and aerodynamic force from the continuous phase into account. Fluent adopts parcel approximation that treats a group of droplet with the same property instead of individual droplets to save computation resource. Each droplet within the parcel is described using above evaporation model (Eqs.(5)–(13)) in which \( m_d \) is taken as the air mass inside a computational element where the parcel exists.

Geometrical Configuration

Before modeling a droplet evaporation and coalescence in L-shaped duct, a preliminary validation for a single droplet must be done. A single droplet evaporation model was performed in straight duct as shown in Fig.2. Figure 2 shows the simple rectangular duct geometry with 1 m x 1 m in cross section and 30 m in length. Mesh in the plane perpendicular to the air flow direction was generated homogeneously to avoid drift. 400 parcels were injected every time step at all elements at inlet plane with the speed same as inlet air velocity.

Simulation parameters

As the boundary condition (BC), inlet velocity and pressure outlet were fixed. Temperature initial conditions were set at inlet and outlet. Water droplets were injected as a form of the parcel at inlet surface (surface injection) with specific amount of mass flow rate. The symmetry boundary conditions (reflective BC) as well as slip BC were applied to the side walls. The droplets were assumed to rebound elastically once they reach the wall resulting in no loss of water at wall. This assumption was chosen in order to simulate a single droplet at a rest. Therefore, continuous phase and droplets velocity was set at same value in the simulation. In addition, droplets must be still remaining in calculation domain even though encounter the boundary.

In this condition, transient calculation was executed and convergence was judged when the average value of ambient temperature (Ta) and Relative Humidity (RH) at outlet reached steady state condition. Simulations were carried out on Windows 7, Intel® Core™ i7 3.40 GHz with RAM memory 8 GB.

Model validation with single size droplet

The validation is needed in order to confirm the results with experimental data. Ranz and Marshall [7] experimental data for the evaporation of an isolated water drop in an infinite room was used.
Experimental condition is with droplet diameter of 0.10488 cm, droplet temperature (Td) of 9.11 °C, ambient temperature (Ta) of 24.9 °C and ambient pressure of 741 mmHg. The experiment was done with a water drop in still dry air. Therefore relative humidity (RH) was set to 0% RH in the simulation.

Figure 3 shows the comparison of time history of the diameter change of an isolated droplet in an almost infinite room. Excellent agreement is seen among calculations by 1D model, Fluent and experiment. This implies that the evaporation model as well as the parameter setting of parcel approximation in Fluent is appropriate.

Next, applicability of the Fluent model for droplets floating in an unsaturated air stream with no slip velocity was examined. As there have been no experimental data, Fluent simulation was compared with Chaker’s calculation [3] and the 1D model. In this comparison, the initial conditions were atmospheric pressure \(T_d = 21 \, ^\circ C\), \(T_a = 30 \, ^\circ C\), \(RH = 20\%\). Initial droplet diameter was 20μm and the amount of water droplet injection rate was determined so that relative humidity becomes 96.4% under full evaporation. Water droplets are known to quickly take up the velocity of air flow within a few milliseconds from the inlet [4]. Therefore, initial velocities of both air \(u\) and water droplets \(u_d\) were set to 15 m/s.

Figure 4 shows the comparison of Fluent calculation with 1D model and with Chaker [3]. Fluent solution at time \(t\) was taken as an average value on the plane perpendicular to the flow direction and located at the distance equal to \(u \cdot t\) where \(u\) is bulk air velocity. It shows that the results from the Fluent model agree well with both 1D model and Chaker’s method. Based on this model thus validated, the simulation to examine coalescence effect with distributed droplets diameter was performed.

**Distributed droplet diameter**

According to references from previous publications, spray nozzle injects water droplets with size distribution [8, 9]. The droplets’ size distribution was also investigated experimentally in air intake duct and the results showed a good agreement with Rosin Rammler distribution [10, 11, 12]. Therefore, distributed droplet diameter with Rosin Rammler distribution was adopted. In computation the distribution was discretized by a set of twenty diameters between 0.01 and 35μm, and twenty parcels per individual diameter were allocated. Thus 8000 (=20 × 20 × 20) parcels were emitted per a time step from the inlet surface consisting of 20 × 20 surface elements. Then evaporation simulation using Fluent was performed in simple duct geometry. Results were compared with those for single size droplet diameter.

Figure 5 shows generated Rosin Rammler distribution under the condition that Sauter mean diameter (D32) is 8.705μm and D90 is 19.641μm. Volume fraction distributions for initial injection profile calculated by Fluent and by 1D model (theory) were compared. Fairly well reproduction has been obtained.

Figure 6 represents calculated relative humidity values under the same ambient conditions as in Fig. 4. When initial droplet size is single and uniformly (8.705 or 20μm), it can be seen that droplets completely evaporate for both diameters 8.705μm and 20μm with resultant relative humidity of 96.4%. The former needs 0.3 second and the latter 1.5 second after injection. This is because mass transfer surface area per unit volume increases with lower diameter for sphere, higher rate of evaporation is achieved for smaller droplet. On the contrary, the result of Rosin Rammler distribution does not reach 96.4% in 1.5 second after injection although enhanced evaporation rate appears during initial stage. This comes from the reduction of evaporation rate possibly caused by following two mechanisms. The first one is the presence of droplets larger than 20μm and the second one is the existence of
heat transfer interaction among different size droplets through continuous phase. Namely selective evaporation of small droplets at early phase, that causes the surrounding air to cool and reduces heat transfer rate $Q$ in Eq.(5) of large droplets in the neighborhood. This results in lower evaporation rate $I = \frac{\dot{m}}{V}$ for the large droplets than in case of no interaction. It has been clarified that under the condition of injected water below saturation amount, analysis using distributed size droplets gives lower evaporation efficiency than the conventional analysis based on single size droplets with diameter of D32. Therefore, it is concluded that the analysis method using D32 as a representative diameter is not conservative in predicting cooling efficiency of inlet fogging under moderate amount of injection rate.

\[ \begin{align*}
\rho & = \rho \left( \frac{\text{Vol}}{\text{Area}} \right), \quad \text{(18)} \\
\sigma & = \frac{\text{Area}}{\text{Vol}}
\end{align*} \]

If coalescence is regarded to larger parcel droplet with the number of actual collisions $N_{AB}$ is not likely to occur. Only the coalescence event was taken into average number of collisions $\rho$ of a specific smaller droplet with

\[ \begin{align*}
\rho & = \rho \left( \frac{\text{Vol}}{\text{Area}} \right). \quad \text{(15)}
\end{align*} \]

Coalescence consideration in L-shape duct

As a result of coalescence, a new parcel containing larger droplets $N_{AB}$ is created and the original parcels A and B reduce the number of droplets to $n_A = N_{AB}$ and $n_B = N_{AB}$ respectively. This algorithm is examined by experiment on fuel spray of diesel engines [13, 14].

\[ \begin{align*}
\kappa(0) & = \kappa_{\text{min}}, \kappa(1) = \kappa_{\text{max}}.
\end{align*} \]

Whether the collision leads to coalescence is judged as follows: taking the distance between centers of sphere A and B on individual collision to be $b = \left| r_A + r_B \right| \sqrt{\gamma}$ and if $b > b_{\text{crit}}$ coalescence is regarded to occur. $b_{\text{crit}}$ is empirically determined:

\[ b_{\text{crit}} = \left( r_A + r_B \right) \sqrt{\min \left( 1, \frac{2\pi}{W} \right)}. \quad \text{(17)} \]

\[ W = \frac{\rho u_{\text{rel}} \sigma_{AB}}{\sigma}. \quad \text{(18)} \]

\[ f(R) = R^3 - 2.4R^2 + 2.7R, \quad R = \frac{r_A + r_B}{r_B}. \quad \text{(19)} \]

As a result of coalescence, a new parcel containing larger droplets $N_{AB}$ is created and the original parcels A and B reduce the number of droplets to $n_A = N_{AB}$ and $n_B = N_{AB}$ respectively. This algorithm is examined by experiment on fuel spray of diesel engines [13, 14].

Coalescence consideration in L-shape duct

Fig. 8 shows the L-shape duct geometry with 1 m x 1 m in cross section and 30 m in length in comparison with the actual geometry. As the boundary condition (BC), inlet velocity and pressure outlet were fixed. Temperature initial conditions were set at inlet and outlet. Water droplets were injected in the form of the parcel at inlet surface (surface injection) with specific amount of mass flow rate. The symmetry boundary conditions (reflective BC) as well as slip BC were applied to the side walls. In other case, trap BC was also applied on wall in order to evaluate water drainage. The inlet velocity of air and droplets was set at same value. Moreover, the velocity of droplets was also set 10 times faster than the velocity of air in order to evaluate the coalescence.

This shape geometry introduced the effect of duct geometry on the droplets coalescence phenomenon. In addition, the actual intake duct for inlet fogging is L-shape duct. Inert and non-inert (evaporative) droplets were used to evaluate the droplets coalescence. Instead of using single representative diameter, initial droplets for this simulation had Rosin Rammil distribution with $D_{32} = 15μm$ and $D_{90}=35μm$. The operation conditions are 65% relative humidity (RH), 15.85 °C air temperature (Ta), 30°C droplet temperature (Td), and water to air mass ratio is 1.63%. The water amount corresponds to such over-fogging condition, that the amount of water required to attain saturation is 0.6%.

As it is known O’Rourke’s algorithm depends on density of parcels. To find whether it is insufficient, solutions were compared between time steps of 0.01s and 0.001s, in which the model discharged 10 times more parcels per unit time. It was examined that both results agreed within 1% difference. Therefore, it was
judged that there the number of parcels is high enough with time step of 0.01s for the algorithm to work in high precision.

![Model geometry](image1)

a. Model geometry.

![Actual intake air duct geometry](image2)

b. Actual intake air duct geometry.

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS**

**Droplets coalescence**

The relative humidity and air temperature of non-inert droplets in every position of L duct is shown in Fig. 9. It can be seen that the condition is saturated within 2m from inlet or 0.13 seconds after the injection. Air temperature reduced to 11.839 °C while wet bulb temperature taken from PROPATH was 11.549°C [16]. Both values are very close, which seems reasonable.

Figure 10 compares Sauter mean diameter of droplets (D32) as a function of position between non-inert (evaporative) droplets and inert (non-evaporative) ones. Sudden increase of D32 near inlet and plateau thereafter indicate that smaller diameter non-inert droplets disappear due to quick evaporation while larger droplets receive little coalescence. Droplets with initial D32 15μm will evaporate because the air stream is under-saturated condition. What is occurring is that the smallest droplets evaporate quicker. As a result of this, the average diameter of the remaining population is increasing. However, D32 remains constant after z = 2m due to the air condition has been saturated.

On the contrary, D32 for inert droplets remain constant before z = 10m or 0.6 second. According to Chaker et al [18], smallest droplets with diameter smaller than 15 micron have a small response time, less than 1 ms. This means that smallest droplets will move faster compared to bigger droplets, in case of the change of velocity of air stream (initial velocity of droplets and air stream are the same). Therefore, the D32 increases after z = 10m, where velocity profile of air starts changing influenced by the L-corner. It is because smaller droplets move faster than larger droplets (see Fig. 11). As a result, smaller droplets will coalesce with neighboring droplets of bigger diameter. Moreover, the number of smaller droplets is much larger for inert case than for evaporative case, this enhances coalescence. To illustrate this correlation between droplet diameter and the number of parcels. Figure 12 shows the number of parcels for each droplet diameter. The number of parcel at initial condition is shown in Fig. 12 a. It can be seen in Fig. 12 a that the number of parcels for each droplet is 400. Furthermore, in Fig. 12 b, there are two cases. Case 1 represents the droplets velocity and air-stream are 15 m/s at initial condition. Case 2 represents the droplets velocity is 150 m/s and air stream velocity is 15 m/s at initial condition (droplets velocity 10 times faster than air-stream velocity). It can be seen in Fig. 12 b that the probability of droplets’ coalescence significantly increases the number of parcels in bigger droplet diameter. It can be concluded that coalescence creates bigger droplet and the probability of droplets’ coalescence increases when droplets velocity is faster than air-stream velocity at initial condition.

In addition, after z = 14m, just before elbow, D32s of both inert and non-inert droplets increase drastically, this may be caused by an onset of coalescence. To confirm this hypothesis droplet volume flow rate distribution and velocity contour were examined as shown in Figs. 13 and 14.

![Relative humidity (RH) and air temperature (Ta)](image3)

Fig. 9 Relative humidity (RH) and air temperature (Ta).

![Sauter mean diameter (D32) in every position](image4)

Fig. 10 Sauter mean diameter (D32) in every position.
Figure 13 shows a droplet volume flow rate for non-inert droplets. The initial droplets for this simulation had Rosin Rammler distribution with D32 = 15μm. The operation conditions are 65% relative humidity (RH), 15.85 °C air temperature (Ta), 30°C droplet temperature (Td), and water to air mass ratio is 1.63%. It can be seen in Fig. 13 that the coalescence effect becomes significant from elbow at y = 0 m to outlet at y = 15 m although the mass of droplets is unchanged after z = 2 m. The reason is that there is a drift flow around the corner and accelerating and decelerating flow field develops as shown in Fig.14. This increases density of droplets as well as relative velocity $U_{rel}$ in Eq. (14) and average number of collisions $\mu$. As a result, the number of collisions and volume fraction of larger droplets increase.

**Influence of duct geometry on cooling efficiency**

Cooling efficiency is defined by the ratio of actual temperature reduction to ideal temperature reduction (=dry bulb-wet bulb). Evaluation of the cooling efficiencies in a straight duct (case1) and L-shape duct (case2) was performed under moderate injection rate with water to air mass ratio of 0.578%. The initial conditions for both geometries are the same as those shown in Fig.6. This condition gives 96.4% RH after full evaporation of injected water. Figure 15 shows droplet volume flow rate for case 1 and case 2. From this figure, it can be seen that the water droplets are not fully evaporated but partly remain as droplets at exit for both cases. Detailed results can be seen in Table 1. The amount of unevaporated water in L-shape duct is bigger than straight duct, which results in worse cooling efficiency in L-shape duct. This indicates that coalescence phenomenon in L-shape duct may deteriorate cooling efficiency even in moderate amount of water injection.

**Drainage evaluation**

Non-evaporated water droplets still remain in intake duct, as shown in Table 1. They may increase the water drainage as L-shape duct enhances the coalescence and tends to make larger droplets. To confirm this hypothesis comparative analysis for water drainage was done in L-shape duct between coalescence and non-coalescence models. The operation conditions were 65% relative humidity (RH), 15.85 °C air temperature (Ta), 30°C droplet temperature (Td), and water to air mass ratio amount 1.63%. The initial water droplets have a Rosin Rammler distribution with D32 = 15μm. Those conditions are same as those shown in Figs.9~13.
Fig. 15 Droplet volume flow rate in straight duct and L-shape duct.

Boundary condition on the wall was changed to trap BC, in order to evaluate water drainage on the wall (especially after the bend).

The amount of water drainage corresponds to droplets trapped – corner zone in Table 2. It can be seen that the amount of water drainage in coalescence model is bigger than non-coalescence model. This means the amount of water drainage is increasing while including the droplet coalescence.

Figure 16 shows Sauter mean diameter on every plane for both coalescence and non-coalescence models with evaporative droplets. Result of coalescence model is same as the non-inert droplets in Fig.10. D32 for both coalescence and non-coalescence are almost the same before z = 15m. However, D32 in non-coalescence model is decreasing after z = 15m while almost constant after y = 4m after the corner. This means the trap of larger droplets happens mainly between z = 14m and y = 4m. On the contrary, D32 in coalescence model is increasing from z = 15m to y = 15m. To see what happens, droplet volume flow rate was analyzed in detail.

Figures 17 and 18 show the droplet volume flow rate through planes perpendicular to flow direction both at y = 1m and y = 10m downstream from corner for non-coalescence and coalescence models respectively. It can be seen that the droplet volume flow rates for droplets with larger diameter decrease more in non-coalescence model but increase in coalescence model in the area between y = 1m and y = 10m.

Figure 19 shows drainage rate and the rate of net production of secondary droplets as a result of coalescence in the range between y = 1m and y = 10m. Negative values indicate disappearance rate of smaller droplets that exist before coalescence exceeds production rate at a specific diameter. Clearly the rate of creation of larger droplets by coalescence exceeds the drainage loss rate in larger diameter zone. That is why D32 increases after L-corner in coalescence model in Fig. 18.
CONCLUSIONS

Water droplet evaporation behavior in the inlet duct of a gas turbine during inlet fogging operation was numerically investigated using simplified geometry with special emphasis upon multi-disperse droplet characteristics and droplet coalescence event. Rosin Rammler distribution was adopted to characterize multi-disperse droplets. Following results were obtained.

(1) The numerical calculation was executed by ANSYS Fluent® v.14.5 in a simple rectangular duct and verified with experimental data. The calculated evaporation time of an isolated droplet in an infinite room shows a good agreement with experimental data.

(2) Evaporation efficiency of multi-disperse droplets was lower than that of single-disperse droplets represented by D32 under the condition of unsaturated fogging amount. It is attributed to the interaction among droplets of different size. Smaller droplets evaporate faster and cool the surrounding air much more than the case of single disperse droplets, which retards evaporation rate of larger droplets. Therefore, it is concluded that analysis using single diameter D32 overestimates evaporation efficiency and multi-dispersed approach is needed for higher accuracy.

(3) Coalescence is enhanced in L-shape duct. Due to large velocity gradient around corner, larger droplets are generated. This may cause increased drainage and entrainment of larger droplets into compressor. The coalescence becomes enhanced under over fogging operation. On the contrary, the deterioration of cooling efficiency is anticipated under moderate fogging operation. Hence suppression of coalescence is suggested for an efficient operation of inlet fogging.

So far, O’Rourke’s coalescence model adopted here has been verified by the experiment for coalescence event happening inside spray fog. However, further experimental validation focused on L-shape duct is strongly advised for a future work.
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