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Abstract

**Background:** Physical inactivity is a leading cause of morbidity and mortality and is a major public health problem. Insufficient activity is responsible for a large proportion of non-communicable diseases such as hypertension.

**Objectives:** The purpose of this study was to assess socio-economic variations in physical activity and to measure the association between physical inactivity and hypertension among government officials in Sri Lanka.

**Methods:** A cross-sectional study was carried out among 275 senior-officers (SOs) and 760 managerial-assistants (MAs) aged 30-60 years and attached to Public Administration institutions in Colombo District in Sri Lanka. Physical activity (PA) was gathered using the International Physical Activity Questionnaire (IPAQ) adopted and validated to the Sri Lankan context. Blood pressure (measured and classified using JNC-7 guidelines), and anthropometric indices were recorded. Energy utilization of all vigorous and moderate PA and walking was expressed as metabolic-equivalent-of-task (MET) min per week. A total MET score was calculated and categorized based on IPAQ guidelines.

**Results:** Socio-economic variations in PA levels were observed as 58.1% (n=158) SOs and 30.6% (n=226) MAs were involved in inadequate PA. Of the diagnosed hypertensives, 44.7% (n=106), and 49.4% (n=117) reported a low and moderate PA and considering non-hypertensives, 35.9% (n=278), 57.5% (n=445) reported a low and moderate activity levels respectively. After adjusting for potential confounding factors being physically inactive was associated with a higher risk of hypertension [Odds rate ratio (OR) 1.33 [95% CI 1.07, 1.65]], indicating that physical inactivity increased the risk of hypertension by 30%. The main modality of commuting to work for SOs (59%) was private transport, and MAs (64%) public transport. Commuting distance was positively correlated (p<0.05) with total transport MET among SOs and MAs. After adjusting, commuting distance of >20 km was associated with lower odds of hypertension among SOs and MAs (OR=0.713; 95% CI 0.4 to 1.3; and OR=0.63; 95% CI 0.46 to 0.87).

**Conclusion:** Despite the current knowledge that being physically active promotes health, the practice was different. Physical inactivity was associated with hypertension and prevalent among both SOs and MAs. Higher commuting distance is positively correlated with total transport MET and associated with lower odds of hypertension among SOs and MAs. Longitudinal studies are required to provide a causative association between physical inactivity and hypertension among these employees.

Introduction

Physical inactivity is a leading cause of death and therefor a major public health problem [1]. There is compelling evidence that physical inactivity is responsible for a large proportion of coronary heart disease and type II diabetes, and hypertension [2]. Physical inactivity led to 9% of premature deaths (5.3 million deaths) in 2008 [3, 4]. Additionally, there are socio-economic variations observed in physical inactivity [5]. Recent World Health Organization guideline on physical activity recommends that adults...
should engage in at least 30 minutes of moderate-intensity physical activity for at least five days/week[6]. There is epidemiological evidence that suggests a dose-dependent relationship between physical activity and hypertension[7].

Although physical inactivity is prevalent, studies on this is limited. However, baseline information of the prevalence of physical inactivity is important as this would guide policies and programme to address this. In a study that compared the worldwide variability of physical inactivity involving 51 countries in 2007 reported that overall, 17.7% were physically inactive. The same study reported that people living in urban areas were more inactive than rural areas[8]. Another study published in Lancet in 2018 reported that 27.5% of the (95% CI 25%-32%) of adults are insufficiently physically active[9]. The same study reports that women are less active (31.7%) compared to men (23.4%). Considering Sri Lanka 7.5% of males’ and 14.1% females were physically inactive. Therefore by initiating programmes to reduce physical inactivity deaths can be averted[3].

When assessing physical activity (PA), there are four main dimensions that are of interest; the type of, the frequency, the duration, and the intensity of the PA[10]. The type of PA is referred to the different types of activities the subjects are engaged in. The frequency of PA activity refers to the number of sessions of physical activity per unit of time. The duration is the length of time spent in each activity session. Additionally, there are four main types of daily physical activity: occupation-related, transport-related, household-related, and leisure time-related[10, 11]. The occupational activity involves activity at work and commuting to work. An occupational activity represents the greatest portion of daily time for most adults who are employed before retirement. Commuting to work is defined as regular travel between one's place of residence and place of work. It is believed that sedentary behavior associated with long-distance commuting hinders physical activity and is associated with ill health.

The development of questionnaire-based methods for assessing PA has paved the way to assess the amount and patterns of PA and also determine the relationship between PA and health-related outcomes. The International Physical Activity Questionnaire (IPAQ) was developed in Geneva in 1998[10, 11]. Two IPAQ versions are available (a long version and a short version), and both versions are available in self-administered or interviewer-administered forms. The reliability and validity of the IPAQ were established across 12 countries in 2000, including Sri Lanka[11]. The IPAQ assesses the PA in the last seven days. IPAQ assesses the energy expenditure of adults using a compendium of physical activities to estimate energy expenditure. The compendium was developed based on adult activities and can correctly estimate the employed population's physical activities[11].

Metabolic equivalent of task (MET) is a physiological measure expressing the energy cost (or calories) of physical activities. The total volume of physical activity can be quantified in MET-hours per day per week[12, 13]. This is the total of all different activities performed during the assessment period expressed in MET equivalent and multiplied by all time spent in all activities. Considering the MET values, the type, and the frequency of the PA, the total volume can be categorized into insufficient/low, sufficient/moderate, or vigorous/highly activity[12, 13].
This study aimed to measure the association between physical inactivity and hypertension and explore socio-economic variations in physical activity in government officials in Sri Lanka. By exploring the socio-economic variations in physical inactivity among the study population, we aim to fill the knowledge gap related to physical inactivity, enabling policymakers and clinicians to make better evidence-informed decisions and develop an equitable delivery of interventions, especially at the workplace.

**Methods**

**Participants**

A descriptive cross-sectional study was conducted among senior officers and managerial assistants attached to public administration offices in the Colombo District [14]. In this district, there are 23 such offices. The total number of senior officers (SOs) and managerial assistants (MAs) attached to the institutions were 358 and 1231, respectively. The study population comprising full-time, permanent SOs and MAs between the ages of 30 to 60 years and employed for at least one year or more in a similar government institution in a similar cadre post were selected for the study. Officers on maternity or other extended leave and officers on prolonged (more than one month) steroid therapy confirmed by documented evidence were excluded from the study[14].

Sample size calculation to detect the prevalence of hypertension was done assuming the prevalence of hypertension among adults was 20%, 95% confidence interval, and a precision of 0.05 using the formula to detect a population proportion. Ten percent was added to account for nonresponse. Sample size calculation was done separately for SOs and MAs since they were regarded as 2 study populations. Thus, the final calculated sample size for SOs and MAs was 275 and 760, respectively. A stratified simple random sampling technique was used to select the SOs and MAs. Stratification was done according to 23 institutions they are attached to, and the number needed to select from each institution was decided according to probability proportionate to the size (PPS). The required number of SOs and MAs from each institution was selected randomly based on the number allocated to each institution according to PPS. The latest updated version of the payroll was used as the sampling frame for sampling, and the completeness was checked with an institutional name list before use. A unique ID number was given to all the eligible officers. Computer-generated random numbers were used to identify the study participants. The identified officers were met face to face and invited to participate in the study. The study population that was recruited, sampling procedure, and phases of the study are given in Figure 1[15].

Ethical clearance was obtained from the Ethical Review Board of Faculty of Medicine, University of Colombo. Permission and consent were obtained from the Ministry of Public Administration and Home Affairs and all heads of institutions before the commencement of the study. Written informed consent was obtained from all respondents after informing the following in the information sheet: the purpose, the objectives, and benefits to the occupational group by conducting this study.
A self-administered questionnaire (SAQ) was used to gather information, which consisted of 3 broad components: sociodemographic characteristics; work-related information, which included validated IPAQ; and lifestyle-related correlates of hypertension. In addition to the SAQ, a data collection form was used to record blood pressure (BP), anthropometric measurements, fasting blood sugar values, and record information on the participants past medical history and drug history. To assess test-retest reliability, 10% of the questionnaires were readministered to randomly selected study participants 2 weeks after the initial data collection.

**Measures**

*Blood Pressure.* The BP measurements were done based on the American Heart Association BP measurement recommendations, which reduced the intra-observer error of BP measurements [16, 17]. The principal investigator carried out all BP measurements. Participants were allowed to sit for 5 minutes before measuring BP. The participants were asked to refrain from smoking or ingesting caffeine during the 30 minutes preceding the BP measurement. A cuff with a bladder that is 12-13 cm x 35 cm in size with a larger bladder for fat arms was used. The bladder within the cuff will encircle at least 80% of the arm. The cuff was placed at the heart level of the patient. The disappearance of Phase V Korotkoff sounds was used to measure the diastolic BP. Two BP readings were obtained separated by 1 minute. The average of these two values was taken.

An additional reading was taken and averaged when the first two readings differed by more than 5 mm Hg. Classification of hypertension was done based on the classification of the Joint National Committee on Prevention, Detection, Evaluation, and Treatment of High Blood Pressure (JNC-7)[18]. A person was considered hypertensive if he/she was an already diagnosed case of hypertension and/or on treatment or with a current systolic BP of ≥140 mm Hg or diastolic BP ≥90 mm Hg (JNC-7 criteria)[18].

*Physical Inactivity.* The level of physical activity was assessed using the long version of the International Physical Activity Questionnaire (IPAQ), which was validated in the local setting[19]. Participants were instructed to recall and record activities that they were engaged in the last seven days and record only the physical activity that lasted for at least 10 minutes. The long version of the IPAQ consisted of different domains such as work-related, transport-related house work-related, and sports and leisure time related physical activity. Energy utilization of all vigorous and moderated PA and walking was expressed as metabolic-equivalent-of-task (MET) min per week. The following MET values were used to analyze different categories of IPAQ data activities: Walking = 3.3 METs, Moderate activity PA = 4.0, and METs and Vigorous PA = 8.0 METs. For all domains, minutes/week were converted to Metabolic Equivalent Task (MET)/minutes/week by multiplying total minutes/week by the MET values. All the domain-specific values were then added to get the total PA score. Categorization to low, moderate, and high physical activity levels was done adhering to the IPAQ scoring protocol [20].

*Anthropometric measurements,* Height was measured using a microtoise steel tape and recorded to the nearest 0.5 centimeters. The subjects looked straight ahead with their head, back, and feet were touching
the vertical support. Weight was measured without shoes on an electronic digital weighing scale to the nearest 100g, and the scale was calibrated after each field session against the standard weights set. The BMI is calculated by weight in kilograms divided by the square of the height. The classification and cut-off points used were based on the anthropometry of adult Asians. A BMI of \( \leq 18.49 \text{ kg/m}^2 \) was regarded as underweight, 18.50 kg/m\(^2\) to 23.00 kg/m\(^2\) as desirable, 23.01 kg/m\(^2\) to 27.50 kg/m\(^2\) as overweight and \( \geq 27.51 \text{ kg/m}^2 \) as obese [21].

**Results**

Of the 275 SOs invited for the study, 272 responded. Therefore, the response rate of SOs was 98.9%. Of the 760 MAs invited, 739 responded; hence, the response rate for MAs was 97.2%. Selected sociodemographic and occupational characteristics of SOs and MAs are given in Table 1. The mean ages were 44.1 (SD ± 9.0) and 42.1 (SD ± 8.7) years for SOs and MAs, respectively. The majority were Sinhalese in both categories. A majority of the respondents among SOs (39.7%; n= 108) and MAs (43.3%; n= 320) were between 30 and 39 years.
Table 1
Sociodemographic/Economic and Occupational Characteristics of the Senior-Officers (n = 272) and Managerial Assistants (n = 739).

| Variable                                | Senior Officers |          | Managerial Assistants |          |
|-----------------------------------------|----------------|----------|-----------------------|----------|
|                                        | n   | %      | n       | %      |          |          |
| Age (years)                             |     |        |         |        |          |          |
| 30-39                                   | 108 | 39.7   | 320     | 43.3   |          |          |
| 40-49                                   | 73  | 26.8   | 228     | 30.8   |          |          |
| 50-60                                   | 91  | 33.5   | 191     | 25.9   |          |          |
| Gender                                  |     |        |         |        |          |          |
| Female                                  | 156 | 57.4   | 578     | 77.3   |          |          |
| Male                                    | 116 | 42.6   | 168     | 22.7   |          |          |
| Level of education                      |     |        |         |        |          |          |
| GCE Ordinary Level passed               | 0   | 0      | 17      | 2.3    |          |          |
| GCE. Advanced level passed              | 9   | 3.3    | 100     | 13.5   |          |          |
| Technical/diploma/vocational training   | 128 | 47.1   | 397     | 53.7   |          |          |
| University degree                       | 24  | 8.8    | 64      | 8.7    |          |          |
| Postgraduate degree                     | 111 | 40.8   | 161     | 21.8   |          |          |
| Average monthly salary (Rs)             |     |        |         |        |          |          |
| 10 000-29 000                           | 135 | 49.6   | 712     | 96.3   |          |          |
| 30 000-49 000                           | 107 | 39.4   | 27      | 3.7    |          |          |
| ³50 000                                 | 30  | 11.0   | 0       | 0      |          |          |
| Family income (Rs)                      |     |        |         |        |          |          |
| £20 000                                 | 4   | 1.5    | 32      | 4.3    |          |          |
| 21 000-59 000                           | 160 | 58.8   | 612     | 82.8   |          |          |
| 60 000-99 000                           | 80  | 29.4   | 72      | 9.8    |          |          |
| ³100 000                                | 28  | 10.3   | 23      | 3.1    |          |          |
| Occupational characteristics            |     |        |         |        |          |          |
| Duration of service in the current workplace (years) | 178 | 65.4   | 457     | 61.8   |          |
| Grade of work      | Special grade | Grade I     | Grade II    | Grade III   |
|--------------------|---------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
|                    | 58            | 89          | 56          | 69          |
| MET mins/week      | 21.3          | 32.7        | 20.6        | 25.4        |
|                    | 22            | 189         | 305         | 223         |
|                    | 3             | 25.6        | 41.3        | 30.1        |

The main modality of commuting to work for SOs (59%) was private transport and MAs (64%) public transport. Thirty-nine-percent (n=106) SOs and 25.8% (n=191) MAs reported a commuting distance between 11-20 Km. The median distance from the current residence to the current workplace was 15 Km (range 0.25-130 Km) and 20 km (range of 0.2 – 131 Km) for SOs and MAs, respectively.

As shown in figure 2, socio-economic variations in PA levels were observed as 54.4% (n=148) senior-officers were involved in inadequate PA, whereas among the managerial assistants, only 30.0% (n=222) were in the above category. Of the 1011 respondents, 37% (n=370), 56.8% (n=574), and 6.6% (n=67) were involved in low, moderate, and high PA levels based on MET scores calculated using MET mins per week.

Considering the total physical activity levels, the mean MET/mins/week was 2739.5 (SEM 159.2) and 3343.9 (SEM 128) for SOs and MAs, respectively (Table 2).
Table 2
Physical inactivity (in MET mins/day) stratified by sub-groups

| Sub-group                        | Senior Officers      | Managerial Assistants | p     |
|----------------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|-------|
|                                  | n        | MET/ mins Mean (±SD) | n        | MET/ mins Mean (±SD) |       |
| **Age-group (years)**            |          |                       |          |                      |       |
| 30-39                            | 107      | 2549.6 (±2276.8)      | 325      | 3131 (±3126.1)       | 0.039 |
| 40-49                            | 73       | 2695 (±2640.2)        | 228      | 3947 (±4246.0)       | 0.003 |
| 50-60                            | 91       | 2998.4 (±2968.5)      | 182      | 2970.4 (±2719.0)     | 0.94  |
| **Gender**                       |          |                       |          |                      |       |
| Male                             | 113      | 2719.8 (±2562.5)      | 167      | 3597.8 (±2877.3)     | 0.0094|
| Female                           | 158      | 2753.5 (±2669.7)      | 568      | 3269.4 (±3541.7)     | 0.08  |
| **BMI categorization**           |          |                       |          |                      |       |
| Normal and underweight           | 85       | 2912.6 (±2910.3)      | 264      | 3771.6 (±3830.7)     | 0.031 |
| Overweight                       | 134      | 2681.4 (±2436.3)      | 314      | 3459.2 (±3623.6)     | 0.008 |
| Obese                            | 52       | 2606.1 (±2618.7)      | 157      | 3167.9 (±3592.6)     | 0.22  |
| **MET based on activity**        |          |                       |          |                      |       |
| Work-related                     | 271      | 296.4 (±798.4)        | 732      | 530.6 (±1416.4)      | 0.001 |
| Transport related                | 272      | 464.4 (±748.2)        | 733      | 633.1 (±1223.1)      | 0.009 |
Leisure and sports-related 271 315.3 735 241.2 0.21
(±846.4) (±732.5)
Domestic activity 272 1663.9 735 1924.5 <.001
(±1746.6) (±2239.5)
Total Physical Activity 271 2739.5 735 3343.9 0.003
(±2620.8) (±3445.7)

Among SOs and MAs, 68%(n=69) and 59.9%(n=132) of the hypertensives reported a commuting distance of ≤ 20 Km, respectively. Commuting distance was positively correlated(p<0.05) with total transport MET among SOs and MAs.

The study revealed that the age- and sex-adjusted prevalence of hypertension was almost equal among SOs (32.9%; 95% CI=27.4, 38.6) and MAs (33.01%; 95% CI=29.6, 36.4) (Table 3). Of the diagnosed hypertensives, 47.5% (n=145), were physically inactive/ less active and considering non-hypertensives, 34.7 %(n=245) were physically inactive/ less active.

| Classification of hypertension | Senior officers | Managerial assistants |
|-------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------------|
|                               | n=272           | n=739                 |
| No.                           | %               | No.                   | %                   |
| Normal                        | 173 63.6        | 469 63.5              |
| Pre-hypertension              | 11 4.0          | 53 7.2                |
| Hypertension under control    | 24 8.8          | 49 6.6                |
| Hypertension - Stage 1        | 58 21.3         | 147 19.9              |
| Hypertension - Stage 2        | 4 1.5           | 7 1.0                 |
| Isolated systolic hypertension * | 2 0.8         | 14 1.8                |
| **Total**                     | **272 100.0**   | **739 100.0**         |

*Systolic BP ≥140mmHg and diastolic <90mmHg

After adjusting for potential confounding factors being physically inactive was associated with a higher risk of hypertension [odds ratio (OR) 1.33 [95% CI 1.07, 1.65] (Table 4). Commuting distance was positively correlated(p<0.05) with total transport MET among SOs and MAs. After adjusting the
commuting distance of >20 km was associated with lower odds of hypertension among SOs and MAs (OR=0.713; 95% CI 0.4 to 1.3; and OR=0.63; 95% CI 0.46 to 0.87).

| Variables | Unadjusted | Adjusted |
|-----------|------------|----------|
| OR        | (95% CI)   | OR       | (95% CI) |
| Physical Inactivity | 1.37 | [1.03, 1.80] | 1.33 | (1.07, 1.65) |

*Adjusted for age, gender, education level, family income, body mass index, and alcohol intake

**Discussion**

This study mainly investigated the association between physical inactivity and hypertension and explore socio-economic variations in physical activity in government officials in Sri Lanka. The interrelationship between and physical health is not a new concept. In this era is an interrelationship between health, healthy behaviours, and social determinants has gained limelight.

The senior officers and managerial assistants were studied separately for the prevalence of hypertension and related risk-factors. They are two distinct categories socioeconomically and deemed to have a different distribution of determinants. Senior officers and managerial assistants attached to government Public Administrative offices are authorized officers to conducted administrative tasks in the country. These two populations have different job roles and responsibilities, exposing them to different determinants of ill health, especially hypertension, and therefore different prevalence of hypertension.

Physical activity / inactivity was defined be an activity level as recommended by Craig et al. IPAQ long version was used to assess the physical activity of the study population. IPAQ’s long version was instrumental in assessing occupation, transportation, home-based activities, and leisure only (most commonly). The data gathered was used to assess the type, frequency, duration, and intensity of the PA [10]. This data was later converted to the Metabolic equivalent of task (MET) and quantified in MET-hours per day per week[12, 13]. The study found that 54.4%(n=148) SOs and 30.0%(n=222) were involved in inadequate PA. Of the 1011 respondents, 37% (n=370), 56.8%(n=574), and 6.6%(n=67) were involved in low, moderate, and high PA levels based on MET scores calculated using MET mins per week. It should be noted that reported physical inactivity levels are likely to be an underestimate the true burden attributable to inactive lifestyles.
The current study showed that domestic activity was the main activity mode, followed by transport-related activity among SOs and MAs. This finding is supported by another study conducted in Sri Lanka in which they reported that the main mode of energy expenditure is domestic work[22]. Domestic activities involve housework, household maintenance, yard work, and caring for the family. Increasing active travel leads to increase physical activity. The mode of traveling to work and the health benefits are not much researched, especially in Sri Lanka. Commuting to work is defined as regular travel between place of residence and work. Sedentary behavior associated with sedentary commuting hinders physical activity and thereby leads to ill health. Commuting distance was positively correlated(p<0.05) with total transport MET among SOs and MAs, meaning active travel was involved. After adjusting, commuting distance of >20 km was associated with lower odds of hypertension among SOs and MAs. These findings are supported by a cross-sectional study done in India[23]. Additionally, creating low-traffic neighborhoods (LTN) is also essential in this context to improve walking and cycling [24]. This study revealed that the mode of transportation depended on the SES. However, if LTN's are implemented, this would increase public health benefits for all irrespective of the socio-economic strata.

Considering physical activity based on SES strata, it is evident that across all subgroups, there was a significant difference of physical inactivity. Educational attainment, and occupational category are indicators of the socio-economic status of an individual, a key determinant of health,[25, 26] and helps explain senior-officers’ and managerial-assistants’ physical activity patterns. The SOS and MAs differed in educational level and monthly family income (p<0.05). The MAs reported a lower physical inactivity level (30%) than the SOs (54%) (p=0.05). The mean MET/mins/week was 2739.5 (SEM 159.2) and 3343.9 (SEM128) for SOs and MAs, respectively. These findings are consistent with those of other studies, showing variations in physical inactivity across socio-economic status (SES) strata[27]. A study conducted in Sri Lanka which reports that high level of education and older males have less physical activity, supports the findings of the current study[28]. However, this study revealed that MAs, as opposed to SOs, reported significantly (P<0.005) higher MET-based activity levels related to work, transport, and domestic activities. Senior officers travel in private vehicles, are more involved with meetings and paperwork, and work long working hours, leading to a sedentary lifestyle. The observed inverse relationship between age and physical inactivity is consistent with studies conducted elsewhere[29]. A possible explanation for this finding is that younger people opt for healthy habits and that with increasing age, employees become more sedentary.

Interestingly leisure and sports-related MET was higher among the SOs (p>0.05). It could be that perceived lack of activity prompts the SOs to engage in sports-related activity when free. However, compared to other domains, leisure-time physical activity was low among both SOs (315 (SEM 846)) and MAs (241 (SEM 733)) MET/mins/week, and this is similar to studies done elsewhere[27, 30]. In the Mexico City Diabetes Study, a cohort study, they reported low levels of leisure-time physical activity levels (336 MET/ mins/ week)[30]. Despite the current knowledge of physical activity promoting an individual’s health, the practices among sedentary workers are different. The rapid socio-economic development is leading to a more sedentary type of lifestyle.
The present study carried out among administrators (SOs and MAs) confirms previously reported trends in the prevalence of hypertension and physical inactivity in Sri Lanka. The age and sex-adjusted prevalence of hypertension among 30-60 years SOs and MAs attached to the above offices were 32.9 per hundred population with a 95% CI of 27.4 to 38.6 and 33.01 per hundred population with a 95% CI of 29.6 to 36.4 respectively. The observed differences between the two percentages among SOs and MAs were not statistically significant (p>0.05). Of the SOs 63.6% (n=173) were normotensives and 4.0% (n=11) were pre-hypertensives while 21.3% (n= 58), 1.5% (n= 4), and 0.7% (n= 2) were in the hypertension stage I, stage II and in the isolated systolic categories respectively. Considering MAs 63.5% (n=469) were normotensives and 7.2% (n= 53) were pre-hypertensives, while 19.9% (n= 147), 1% (n= 7), and 1.9% (n= 14) were in the hypertension stage I, stage II and in the isolated systolic categories respectively. Of the hypertensive SOs and MAs, 52.3% (n=46) and 35% (n=76) were unaware they had hypertension, respectively. Of the patients diagnosed and on treatment for hypertension, 68.6% (n=24) of SOs and 43% (n=49) of MAs had controlled hypertension.

Of the diagnosed hypertensives, 44.7%(n=106), and 49.4%(n=117) reported a low and moderate PA and considering non-hypertensives, 35.9%(n=278), 57.5%(n=445) reported a low and moderate activity levels respectively. After adjusting for potential confounding factors (age, gender, education level, family income, body mass index, and alcohol intake), being physically inactive was associated with a higher risk of hypertension [odds ratio (OR) 1.33 [95% CI 1.07, 1.65]. The physically inactive participants had 33% greater odds of having hypertension than the physically active participants. This is similar to the findings from a cohort study involving 2,282 participants, who were followed for 20 years, which reported accumulating <1 MET/min/week of occupational moderate to vigorous physical activity was associated with a 47% higher risk of hypertension (HR 1.47, CI 95% 1.13, 1.90) and accumulating <1 MET/min/week of leisure moderate to vigorous physical activity was associated with 29% higher risk of hypertension 1.29 (1.01, 1.66). There are many aetiological factors behind the development of hypertension. Studies report that it may be through reducing body weight, reducing psychological stress, improving insulin sensitivity, and reducing sympathetic activity [31].

The study is not without limitations. As this study was conducted in the government administration offices in the Colombo district, the results may not apply to all administrative employees in the country, which is considered a limitation of the study. Physical activity was based on self-reports which required a 7-day recall. This might not necessarily reflect accurate physical activity levels across lifespan. Recall bias and reporting socially desirable practice may have played a role in bias towards null. Additionally, although we controlled for all the known confounders, the residual confounders may exist. The study identified physical inactivity as a correlate of hypertension through a cross-sectional comparative study design. This precluded the assessment of the temporal relationship between hypertension and salt.

Conclusions And Recommendations

Our findings suggest that physical inactivity in employees is alarmingly high. Low physical activity levels were observed among the hypertensive individuals compared with the non-hypertensive participants.
Physical inactivity is an important independent contributor to hypertension among employees. The present study adds to the evidence of wider influencing factors related to physical inactivity as socio-economic variations in PA levels existed. The socio-economic disparities observed in physical activity levels highlight the importance of considering social differences to ensure successful and equitable implementation of programs to prevent hypertension. Higher commuting distance is positively correlated with total transport MET and is associated with lower odds of hypertension among SOs and MAs. This implies that active travel increases physical activity and thereby results in lower odds of having hypertension. This is important because promoting active travel is important, which could be done by creating a conducive environment such as low traffic neighborhoods. Therefore policies and environment to promote and at least 15-30 minuets of physical activity are necessary to avert the premature deaths due to chronic illnesses such as hypertension.
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Levels of Physical Inactivity in the study population