Evolution of edge states in topological superfluids during the quantum phase transition
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The quantum phase transition between topological and non-topological insulators or between fully gapped superfluids/superconductors can occur without closing the gap. We consider the evolution of the Majorana edge states on the surface of topological superconductor during transition to the topologically trivial superconductor on example of non-interacting Hamiltonian describing the spin-triplet superfluid $^3$He-B. In conventional situation when the gap is nullified at the transition, the spectrum of Majorana fermions shrinks and vanishes after the transition to the trivial state. If the topological transition occurs without the gap closing, the Majorana fermion spectrum disappears by escaping to ultraviolet, where Green’s function approaches zero. This demonstrates the close connection between the topological transition without closing the gap and zeroes in the Green’s function. Similar connection takes place in interacting systems where zeroes may occur due to interaction.

PACS:

1. INTRODUCTION

General properties of fermionic spectrum in condensed matter and particle physics are determined by topology of the ground state (vacuum). The classification schemes based on topology [1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7] suggest in particular the classes of topological insulators and fully gapped topological superfluids/superconductors. The main signature of such topologically nontrivial vacua with the energy gap in bulk is the existence of zero-energy edge states on the boundary or at the interface between topologically distinct domains [8, 9]. In Refs. [5, 6, 7] the classification is based on topological properties of matrix Green’s function, while the other schemes explore the properties of single particle Hamiltonian and thus are applicable only to systems of free (non-interacting) fermions. As was found in Ref. [10], classifications of interacting and non-interacting fermionic systems do not necessarily coincide. This is related to zeroes of the Green’s function, which according to Ref. [5] contribute to topology alongside with the poles. Due to zeroes the integer topological charge of the interacting system can be changed without closing the energy gap, and it is suggested that this may lead to the occurrence of topological insulators with no fermion zero modes on the interface [11, 12].

In principle, the analogous situation with zero in the Green’s function and quantum phase transition without closing the energy gap may also occur in the free
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Fig.1. Phase diagram of topological states of triplet superfluid of $^3$He-B type in equation (2) in the plane $(\mu, 1/m)$. States on the line $1/m = 0$ correspond to the Dirac vacua, which Hamiltonian is non-compact. Topological charge of the Dirac fermions is intermediate between charges of compact $^3$He-B states. The line $\mu = 0$ marks topological quantum phase transition, which occurs between the weak coupling $^3$He-B (with $\mu > 0$, $m > 0$ and topological charge $N_K = 2$) and the strong coupling $^3$He-B (with $\mu < 0$, $m > 0$ and $N_K = 0$). This transition is topologically equivalent to quantum phase transition between Dirac vacua with opposite mass parameter $M = \pm |\mu|$. The gap in the spectrum becomes zero at this transition. The line $1/m = 0$ separates the states with different asymptotic behavior of the Hamiltonian at infinity: $H(p) \rightarrow \pm \tau_3 p^2 / 2m$. The transition across this line occurs without closing the gap,
fermion case. Example is provided by superfluid \(^3\)He-B, which belongs to the topologically nontrivial class of fully gapped superfluids, which possesses Andreev-Majorana fermions on the surface \([13, 14, 15, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21]\). In the phase diagram of the topological superfluid/superconductor of the \(^3\)He-B type in Fig. 1 the topological quantum phase transition (TQPT) between two states with different topological charges across the line \(1/m = 0\) occurs without closing the gap \([22]\). Instead, the asymptotic behavior changes at the momentum infinity, at \(p \to \infty\), where the Hamiltonian diverges and thus the Green’s function approaches zero. Such scenario is impossible in the models with the bounded Hamiltonian \([11, 12]\), which takes place in approximation of finite number of crystal bands. We consider the evolution of the spectrum of Majorana fermions on the surface of a topological superfluid when the system crosses the lines of the TQPT with and without closing the gap.

2. SPECTRUM OF EDGE STATES

The invariant \(N_K\) relevant for \(^3\)He-B in Fig. 1 is the topological invariant protected by symmetry:

\[
N_K = \frac{e_{ik}}{24\pi^2} \text{tr} \left[ K \int d^3 p \ H^{-1}_b \partial_p \ H^{-1} \partial_p \ H \right],
\]

where \(K\) is matrix which commutes or anti-commutes with the Hamiltonian. The proper model Hamiltonian which has the same topological properties as superfluids/superconductors of the \(^3\)He-B class is the following:

\[
H = \left( \frac{p^2}{2m} - \mu \right) \tau_3 + c \tau_1 \sigma \cdot p,
\]

where \(\tau_1\) and \(\sigma_i\) are Pauli matrices of Bogolyubov-Nambu spin and nuclear spin correspondingly; the parameter \(c\) serves as the speed of light for the Dirac Hamiltonian obtained in the limit \(1/m = 0\) and further will shall use \(c = 1\). The symmetry \(K\), which enters the topological invariant \(N_K\) in \([11]\), is represented by the \(\tau_2\) matrix, which anti-commutes with the Hamiltonian: it is combination of time reversal and particle-hole symmetries in \(^3\)He-B.

Let us consider the Majorana fermions using the simplest model of the interface between the superfluid and the vacuum, in which the Hamiltonian changes abruptly at the boundary \([13]\). The boundary is at \(z = 0\) and at \(z < 0\) we have the equation \(H \psi = E \psi\) with \(H = H_0 + H_1\) where

\[
H_0 = \left( \frac{p^2}{2m} - \mu \right) \tau_3 + \tau_1 \sigma_z p_z
\]

\(H_1 = \tau_1 (p_x \sigma_x + p_y \sigma_y),\)

and we use the boundary conditions \(\psi(z = 0) = 0\).

Without loss of generality we set \(p_y = 0\) so that \(p_x = p_\perp = \sqrt{p^2 - p_z^2}\) and

\[
H_1 = \tau_1 p_\perp \sigma_x.
\]

Now it is possible to simplify the equation by choosing the wave function transformation \(\hat{U} = \hat{\sigma}_y U\) and \(\hat{V} = V\), where \(\psi = (U,V)^T\). The Hamiltonian then transforms as

\[
H_0 = \left( \frac{p^2}{2m} - \mu \right) \tau_3 + \tau_1 p_z
\]

\(H_1 = -\tau_2 p_\perp \sigma_y.\)

Since \(\sigma_y\) becomes the good quantum number, this representation allows to reduce the general problem from \(4 \otimes 4\) to \(2 \otimes 2\) matrices.

Let us consider first the solutions corresponding to \(\hat{\sigma}_y \psi = \psi\). Then we get the following equation in the form

\[
\left( \begin{array}{cc}
\left( \frac{p_\perp^2}{2m} - \mu \right) - \varepsilon & p_\perp + ip_\perp \\
-p_\perp - ip_\perp & \left( \frac{p_\perp^2}{2m} - \mu \right) - \varepsilon
\end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{c} U \\ V \end{array} \right) = 0
\]

which yields the relation between \(U\) and \(V\):

\[
V = \frac{p_\perp - ip_\perp}{\varepsilon + \frac{p_\perp^2}{2m} - \mu} U
\]

and

\[
\frac{p_\perp^2}{2m} - \mu = -m \pm \sqrt{m^2 + \varepsilon^2 - 2m\mu}.
\]

The solution is a superposition of two modes decaying at \(z < 0\) with \(\text{Im}(p_{\perp 1,2}) > 0\) \(p_{\perp 1,2}^2 = p_{\perp 1,2}^2 - p_z^2\):

\[
\psi = A\psi_1 + B\psi_2.
\]

The boundary condition yields the equation

\[
\frac{p_{\perp 1} - ip_\perp}{\varepsilon + \frac{p_\perp^2}{2m} - \mu} = \frac{p_{\perp 2} - ip_\perp}{\varepsilon + \frac{p_\perp^2}{2m} - \mu}.
\]

For \(p_\perp^2 < 2m\mu\) this equation \([13]\) has an exact solution

\[
\varepsilon = p_\perp.
\]

The wave functions \(\psi_1(z)\) and \(\psi_2(z)\) forming the bound state have the localization lengths determined by equation

\[
p_{\perp 1,2} = i \left( \frac{m \mp \sqrt{p_{\perp 1,2}^2 + m^2 - 2m\mu}}{m} \right).
\]

The solutions corresponding to \(\hat{\sigma}_y \psi = -\psi\) yield the spectrum \(\varepsilon = -p_{\perp 1,2}\) and, taking into account the \(p_y\) dependence one obtains the helical spectrum of Majorana fermions with \(H_{\text{Majorana}} = c(\sigma_y p_x - \sigma_x p_y)\) \([13]\).
nullifies at \( m = 0 \). This corresponds to the conventional scenario of the topological quantum phase transition, when at the phase boundary between the two gapped states with different topological numbers the gap is closed. The same happens at the TQPT occurring when \( \mu \) crosses zero (see phase diagram in Fig. 1).

Now let us consider what happens with bound states in the case if the TQPT occurs in the opposite limit, when \( m \) changes sign via infinity, i.e. when \( 1/m \) crosses zero. This topological transition occurs without closing of the gap. In this case the bound states formally exist for all \( p_x \) even in the limit \( 1/m \to 0 \). However, in this limit the ultraviolet divergence takes place. Two components in the superposition \( |\Psi\rangle \) for the wave function of Majorana fermions have characteristic lengths determined by imaginary momentum in Eq. (11). At \( 1/m \to 0 \) these lengths become

\[
L_{z1} = \text{Im} \, p_{z1} \approx \mu - p_{z1}^2/(2m) \to \mu, \\
L_{z2} = \text{Im} \, p_{z2} \approx 2m \to \infty.
\] (14)

The length of the first component remains finite in this limit but the dimension of the second component shrinks to zero and thus leaves the region of applicability of the model Hamiltonian. As a result the wave function of the bound state cannot be constructed any more. In other words, if the TQPT from topologically non-trivial to the trivial insulator (or superconductor) occurs without closing the gap, the gapless spectrum of surface states disappears by escaping via ultraviolet.

4. CONCLUSION

We considered two scenarios of the evolution of the spectrum of the gapless edge states at TQPT. One scenario refers to the traditional case, when the gap is nullified at the transition. In this case, when the TQPT from the topological state to the topologically trivial one is approached the spectrum of Majorana fermions shrinks to zero and vanishes after the transition.

The other scenario takes place, when the TQPT occurs without the gap closing. In this case, the spectrum of Majorana fermions vanishes by escaping to ultraviolet. The characteristic momentum of one of the wave functions relevant for the forming of the bound state diverges at the TQPT as \( \text{Im} \, p_{z2} = 2m \to \infty \). This limit corresponds to formation of zero of the Green’s function, \( G = 1/(i\omega - H) \to 0 \). Thus, similar to the interacting systems, the two phenomena – TQPT without the gap closing and zeroes in the Green’s function – are closely related. That is why we expect that the same scenario with escape to the ultraviolet takes place for the inter-

3. EVOLUTION OF EDGE STATE AT TOPOLOGICAL QUANTUM PHASE TRANSITION

Let us first consider the behavior of the spectrum of Majorana fermions at the topological transition at which \( m \) crosses zero. When \( m \) approaches zero, \( m \to 0 \), the region of momenta where bound states exist shrinks and finally for \( m < 0 \), i.e. in the topologically trivial superfluid, no bound states exist any more. Simultaneously the gap in bulk, which at small \( m \) is \( \Delta \approx \sqrt{2m\mu} \) according to Eq. (14), decreases with decreasing \( m \) and
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