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Thermodynamic modeling of the H-Mg-Na system is performed for the first time in this work in order to understand the phase relationships in this system. A new thermodynamic description of the stable NaMgH$_3$ hydride is performed and the thermodynamic models for the H-Mg, Mg-Na, and H-Na systems are reassessed using the modified quasichemical model for the liquid phase. The thermodynamic properties of the ternary system are estimated from the models of the binary systems and the ternary compound using CALPHAD technique. The constructed database is successfully used to reproduce the pressure-composition isotherms for MgH$_2$ + 10 wt.% NaH mixtures. Also, the pressure-temperature equilibrium diagram and reaction paths for the same composition are predicted at different temperatures and pressures. Even though it is proved that H-Mg-Na does not meet the DOE hydrogen storage requirements for onboard applications, the best working temperatures and pressures to benefit from its full catalytic role are given. Also, the present database can be used for thermodynamic assessments of higher order systems.

1. Introduction

Hydrogen stands as an ideal fuel for the future reducing the dependence on oil and the environmental problems arising from the use of fossil fuels. Hydrogen can be used for power generation through fuel cells. Hydrogen fuel cells have a wide range of potential applications ranging from microfuel cells that power portable electronics to mobile applications [1]. The transition to hydrogen energy is hindered by technical barriers related to storage problems. Solid state hydrogen storage materials, such as lightweight metal hydrides and complex and chemical hydrides, have been widely investigated due to their small volume, low equilibrium pressure, safety advantages, and high storage capacity [2–6].

Magnesium and its alloys stand as promising candidates for hydrogen storage. In fact, magnesium hydride MgH$_2$ contains 7.6 wt.% hydrogen [4], but it suffers from extremely slow hydriding kinetics. A temperature of about 300°C is required for a plateau pressure of 1 bar at thermodynamic equilibrium, which corresponds to an enthalpy of formation of −78 kJ/molH$_2$[4]. The investigations of magnesium hydrides found in the literature focus on decreasing desorption temperature, enhancing the kinetics and cycle life, and lowering their reactivity with air and oxygen [7–9]. Mixing with other compounds and/or incorporating new elements have been shown to be effective strategies to tune the thermodynamic properties of MgH$_2$[7, 10]. The literature shows that the search of new alloys, suitable for hydrogen storage, is somewhat a trial and error method, involving numerous experiments. Also, hydrogen is a flammable gas which makes this search more difficult. The effort and time of experiments can be reduced significantly with the application of thermodynamic calculation. Hence, a self-consistent thermodynamic database of the H-Mg- Me system (Me is one metal or more) will be very useful for identifying the most promising hydrogen storage alloys and for studying the effect of adding minor elements or mixing hydrides on the storage capability.

In recent years, the Mg-based perovskite-type hydrides, especially NaMgH$_3$, have received considerable attention for hydrogen storage applications [11–17]. In addition to its high gravimetric and volumetric hydrogen densities (6 wt.%
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and 88 kg/m³), NaMgH₃ demonstrates reversible hydrogen
storage properties [18]. The crystal structure of NaMgH₃ has
been identified as orthorhombic perovskite with the space
group of Pnma (GdFeO₃-type structure) [15]. Recently, it has
been found that NaH hydride addition greatly improves the
hydrogen storage properties of MgH₂because of the forma-
tion of NaMgH₃ [19]. Fast hydrogen mobility in NaMgH₃
has been revealed by H NMR study and related to its
perovskite structure [20]. Therefore, it is believed that, in a
mixture of MgH₂ and NaMgH₃, hydrogen gas adsorption and
dissociation are activated by NaMgH₃ grains which offer
fast diffusion pathway for hydrogen atoms into MgH₂[16,
19]. Also, it has been found that NaMgH₃ forms during the
destabilization reactions of many complex hydrides [21–27].

Consequently, accurate thermodynamic description of
H-Mg-Na system is required as a building block of a larger
database of H-Mg-Me. In the present work, thermodynamic
modeling is used to provide a self-consistent database which
can be used to predict hydrogen storage properties of the H-
Mg-Na system for the whole composition range. The H-Mg-
Na system is modeled using FactSage software [28].

2. Literature Review

2.1. H-Mg System. No complete experimental phase diagram
of the Mg-H system could be found in the literature. An
extensive literature review of the H-Mg system has been
presented by San-Martin and Manchester [29] and later by
Zeng et al. [30]. But some of the experimental data [31, 32]
were not cited by San-Martin and Manchester [29] and are
added in this work. Experimental investigations on phase
equilibria were performed by different researchers [29, 33–
35]. Only one temperature-composition isobar profile at 1.013
bar was predicted by Shapovalov et al. [36]. The H-Mg
system consists of hcp-(Mg) (the interstitial solid solution
of H in Mg) and β-MgH₂ in addition to the liquid and
gas phases. Two invariant equilibria have been confirmed
by San-Martin and Manchester [29] in this system, L → hcp-
(Mg) + gas and hcp-(Mg) + gas → MgH₂. According to
Stamper et al. [35], from the measured pressure-composition
isotherms (PCI), the composition of β-MgH₂ after a complete
hydriding reaction was MgH₁.₉₉₁₋₀.₀₁. In this work, this phase
is treated as a stoichiometric compound. The equilibrium
absorption/desorption pressure of the β-MgH₂ was investi-
gated by different authors [37–42]. According to Krozer and
Kasemo [41], the equilibrium formation pressure of MgH₂
is very close to its decomposition pressure. Stamper et al.
[35] collected 129 data points in the measurement of the
dissociation pressures of β-MgH₂ in the temperature range
587–849 K with uncertainties of 0.35 bar and 1 K. These results
are used in this work because they are self-consistent and
in excellent agreement with the results published by Ellinger et
al. [43], Reilly and Wiswall [44], and other groups [36, 45–
47]. The enthalpy and entropy of formation of β-MgH₂ have
been calculated from PCI measurements using Van’t Hoff plot
by many researchers [35, 43–48].

Wolf et al. [31] determined the standard entropy (S₀
= 30.64 ± 0.05 JK⁻¹ mol⁻¹) and the specific heat capacity
function, c₂₉(T) = (2.8711 + 0.11061T + 68611T⁻²) JK⁻¹ mol⁻¹,
within the range 298–373 K for MgH₂ using adiabatic low
temperature calorimetry and differential scanning calorime-
try (DSC). Bogdanović et al. [32] determined the average
desorption enthalpy at an average temperature of 683 K using
calorimetric measurements. They used the results published
by Wolf et al. [31] to calculate the enthalpy and entropy
of formation of MgH₂. These results [31, 32] are used as
first approximation in the present work together with the
previously reported PCIs results.

Hydrogen solubility in magnesium has been investi-
gated several times [34, 36, 42, 49–53]. Stampfer et al.
[35] calculated the equilibrium hydrogen solubility in solid
magnesium, hcp-(Mg), at five different temperatures from
the PCI measurement assuming that the decomposition of MgH₂
was complete at the end of the isothers. A modified Sieverts
apparatus was used by Koeneman and Metcalfe [42] to
determine the solubility of hydrogen in magnesium between
328 and 1048 K. The results of Koeneman and Metcalfe [42]
are in good agreement with those published later by Huang
et al. [50] and Shapovalov et al. [34, 36]. Popovic and Piercy
[53] measured the solubility of hydrogen in solid magnesium.
But their [53] values are lower than those reported earlier
[33, 35, 41, 49] and are not considered in the present work. The
data obtained by Shapovalov et al. [36] using the conventional
methods for high temperatures are also lower. Therefore these
data [36] are not considered in this work because of the
possible losses of hydrogen.

Thermodynamic modeling of the H-Mg system was con-
doncd by Zeng et al. [30]. But the hydrogen solubility in the
molten magnesium was neglected in their [30] work and the
liquid phase was treated as an ideal solution. Recently, Harvey
and Chartrand [54] modeled the hydrogen solubility in
liquid magnesium using the modified quasichemical model
taking into account the solubility of hydrogen in the liquid
magnesium. Their optimized thermodynamic parameters for
the liquid phase are used in the present work and, thus, the
other phases are remodeled to be consistent with the new
liquid and to take into account the new experimental data of
Wolf et al. [31] and Bogdanović et al. [32].

2.2. H-Na System. The H-Na system was reviewed by San-
Martin and Manchester [55]. Based on some of the earlier
studies [56–58], they [55] predicted that at the atmospheric
pressure the maximum solubility of H in solid Na should be
less than 8 × 10⁻⁵ at.%. Since no experimental measurement
of the solubility could be found in the literature their [55]
prediction will be used during optimization in the present
work. The solubility of H in liquid Na was measured by several
researchers [59–64] in the mid-1900s in the temperature
range from 373 to 723 K at the atmospheric pressure. All
these measurements showed a consistent trend of increased
H solubility in liquid Na with increasing temperature. These
results will be compared with the present thermodynamic
modeling.

The H-Na system has one stable compound, NaH. The
melting point of NaH was determined by thermal analysis by
Skuratov et al. [65] as 911 ± 2 K at 1073 bar and 911 ± 2 K at
2073 bar. Another measurement by Klostermeier and Franck [66] showed the melting point of NaH to be 905 ± 2 K at 106 bar which is in accord with Skuratov et al. [65]. The kinetic studies on the rate of reaction and thermal decomposition of NaH were carried out by [67, 68]. Prochazka and Nedved [67] studied the effect of CO on H during the reaction and suggested the CO acts as a precursor. Gwyther and Whittingham [68] measured the rate of H removal from Na + NaH mixtures by continuous evacuation and purging by argon in the temperature range of 533–693 K. They also reported the rate of H desorption from unsaturated solutions of NaH in liquid Na at ≤673 K.

Crystallographic study of the Na-H system was performed by several researchers [69–72] using X-ray diffraction. NaH has an fcc crystal structure (Fm3m) with a prototype of NaCl. The XRD measurement by Kuznetsov and Shkrabkina [72] at different temperatures did not show any phase transformation of NaH up to the decomposition temperature. They [72] also observed that the lattice parameter of NaH increases linearly from \( a = 0.487017 + 0.32 \times 10^{-4} T \) \( (293 < T < 683 \, \text{K}) \). (1)

Qiu et al. [73] also calculated the lattice parameter of NaH as 0.4857 nm using the first principle which is close to the reported values by [72].

The enthalpy of formation of NaH was determined by several groups using two different techniques: calorimetric methods [74–77] and dissociation pressure data [57, 65, 78]. In the calorimetric methods the enthalpy values are measured from the difference between the heat of reaction of NaH and that of Na, with water [55] as it is the most common medium due to the well-known \( c_p \). For the second method, the dissociation pressures of hydrides are measured usually over a temperature range. Using these data, the enthalpy of formation at the atmospheric pressure is determined from the slope of the Van’t Hoff plot \( (\log P \text{ versus } 1/T) \) [55]. The enthalpy of formation of NaH that was reported by various groups [57, 75, 76, 78] is fairly in agreement with each other and will be compared with the present calculation. The heat capacity of NaH was measured by Sayre and Beaver [79] in the temperature range from 60 to 90 K using an adiabatic calorimeter. Their [79] reported \( c_p \) values will be compared with the present calculation.

A partial phase diagram of the Na-H system was presented by Predel [80]. Later Qiu et al. [73] assessed the H-Na system combining experimental data from the literature and first principle calculation based on density functional theory to supplement the thermodynamic properties of this system. Qiu et al. [73] modeled the Na-H liquid with the random solution model and bcc phase with the sublattice model. Heat capacity in the temperature range from 0 to 2000 K was calculated by first principle calculations. They [73] also presented the heat of formation of NaH, decomposition pressure, and hydrogen solubility in liquid Na.

2.3. Mg-Na System. The experimental work and thermodynamic modeling of the Mg-Na system were carried out by several groups [81–85]. The main feature of Mg-Na system is the large immiscibility in the liquid phase. No experimental data regarding the critical temperature as well as the shape of the immiscibility gap could be found in the literature. The solubility of Na in solid Mg as well as Mg in solid Na is negligible. Pelton [84] estimated −0.5 at.% Na solubility in Mg by assuming Henrian behavior of the solution and employing Van’t Hoff equation to back-calculate the solubility. This is rather small solubility and since there is no experimental evidence, no solubility of Na in Mg is considered in the present work.

Mathewson [81] employed thermal analysis and determined the composition and temperature of the monotectic (liquid 1 → liquid 2 + hcp-(Mg)) reaction to be 2 at.% Na and 911 K. He [81] reported the composition of liquid 2 to be ∼98.6 at.% Na at 911 K. Klemm and Kunze [83] reported Mg-Na phase diagram with the monotectic temperature of 910 K. Although they [83] mentioned this as a peritectic reaction instead of monotectic, the temperature of the thermal event agreed well with the results of Mathewson [81]. Pelton [84] extracted the composition of the monotectic liquid 2 from Klemm and Kunze’ [83] reported phase diagram as ∼92.7 at.% Na and used this value in his assessment. The composition (∼98.6 at.% Na) reported by Mathewson [81] could be associated with higher error due to the use of a glass container which usually reacts with Mg-Na liquid [84]. Hence during optimization the composition of liquid 2 reported by Klemm and Kunze’ [83] will be used since they used iron crucibles. Lantratov [82] reported the temperature dependent solubility of Na in liquid Mg. The solubility of Na increases from 2.1 at.% Na at 911 K to 2.7 ± 0.1 at.% Na at 973 K.

Lantratov [82] measured the activities of Na and Mg in the liquid along the complete composition range by EMF method at 973 K which exhibited strong positive deviation from ideality due to the limited solubility. In a recent study, Zhang et al. [85] presented a thermodynamic model of the Mg-Na system as the constituent binary of the Al-Mg-Na ternary system. They [85] employed the random solution model for the liquid phase and calculated the Mg-Na phase diagram and the activities of Mg and Na in the liquid.
0.4 bar confirming that NaMgH₃ decomposes in two steps according to the following reactions [18]:

\[
\text{NaMgH}_3 \rightarrow \text{NaH} + \text{Mg} + \text{H}_2 \quad 4 \text{ wt.}\% \text{ H}_2 \quad (2)
\]

\[
\text{NaH} \rightarrow \text{Na}(l) + \frac{1}{2}\text{H}_2 \quad 2 \text{ wt.}\% \text{ H}_2 \quad (3)
\]

The enthalpy change of reaction (2) was calculated by Ikeda et al. [18], using Van’t Hoff plot; \(\Delta H (298 \text{ K}) = 88 \text{ kJ/molH}_2\). Using this value and the reported standard heat of formation of NaH, \(-114.1 \text{ kJ/molH}_2\) [87], as enthalpy change for reaction (3), the standard enthalpy of formation of NaMgH₃ was estimated to be \(-96.7 \text{ kJ/molH}_2\) [18] or \(-145 \text{ kJ/mol}\). PCIs of NaMgH₃ during decomposition were reported by Komiya et al. [17] at 673, 698, and 723 K. For the isotherm measured at 673 K, the plateau pressures were around 1 and 0.4 bar for reactions (2) and (3), respectively. The enthalpy and the entropy changes of these reactions have been calculated using the Van’t Hoff plot. By calculating the sum of the enthalpy changes of reactions (2) and (3), the standard enthalpy of formation of NaMgH₃ was wrongly estimated by these authors to be \(-210 \pm 17 \text{ kJ/molH}_2\) [17]; they did not pay attention to the units used (kJ/molH₂). Hence, heat of formation of this compound will be recalculated in the present work using their [17] enthalpy values for reactions (2) and (3). PCIs of NaMgH₃ during decomposition were reported by Ikeda et al. [15] at 653, 673, and 693 K. Pottmaier et al. [27] investigated the thermodynamic properties of NaMgH₃ using high pressure DSC, PCI measurements, and density functional theory calculations (DFT). Enthalpy and entropy of reaction (2) were calculated from PCI measurements at 650, 670, 680, 700, and 723 K [27]. Pottmaier et al. [27] used their results together with the experimental and calculated values from the literature to estimate the thermodynamic properties of NaMgH₃ using the CALPHAD approach. It should be pointed out that, for the PCIs published by Ikeda et al. [15], Komiya et al. [17], and Pottmaier et al. [27], the plateaus were slopped and very limited data points were collected within them. In addition to that, the quality of the data given by Pottmaier et al. [27] is poor especially at 650 and 670 K; the plateaus are not flat with large pressure variations. Slow kinetics, an insufficient time for each equilibrium measurement, or an insufficient pressure resolution might be the causes of these problems and might have led to erroneous enthalpy and entropy determination from Van’t Hoff plot. Later on, Sheppard et al. [88] investigated the kinetic and thermodynamic data of NaMgH₃ decomposition. PCIs showing the first reaction decomposition at 671.4, 683.8, 691.9, 702.8, and 712.9 K were reported. All the above-mentioned problems have been avoided by Sheppard et al. [88] by waiting longer (more than 2 h) to reach true thermodynamic equilibrium for each sorption step. The plateau curves were wide and flat with negligible hysteresis. For all these reasons the experimental data reported by Sheppard et al. [88] are used in the present optimization of the H-Mg-Na system. The thermodynamic properties of NaMgH₃ obtained from PCIs and DSC by different authors are summarized in Table 5. Since there is no information regarding the homogeneity range of NaMgH₃ in the literature, this compound is treated as stoichiometric in this work. Thermodynamic modeling of the H-Mg-Na system for the whole composition range is conducted for the first time in the present study.

### 3. Thermodynamic Modeling

#### 3.1. Pure Elements

The Gibbs energy functions of the pure elements (Mg, Na) are taken from the SGTE (Scientific Group Thermo data Europe) compilation of Dinsdale [89]. These data are taken in reference to the Stable Element Reference (SER) at 298.15 K and 1 bar. Liquid monoatomic hydrogen is not stable under normal conditions; its Gibbs energy has been estimated by Roy and Rodgers [56] and is reported in Table 1. The \(c_p\) values of the gases included in this study, that is, \(\text{H}_2, \text{H}, \text{Mg}, \text{MgH}_2, \text{MgH}, \text{Na}, \text{Na}_2, \text{and NaH}\), are taken from NIST-JANAF thermochromal tables [90] compiled by FactPS database [28].

#### 3.2. Stoichiometric Compounds

The Gibbs energy of a binary stoichiometric phase is given by

\[
G_i^\phi = x_i G_i^\phi_0 + G_i^\phi_1 + \Delta G_i,
\]

where \(x_i\) and \(x_j\) are mole fractions of the components \(i\) and \(j\) of the compound denoted by \(f_i G_i^\phi_0\) and \(G_i^\phi_1\), are the Gibbs energy of components \(i\) and \(j\) in their standard state. \(\Delta G_i = a + bT\) is the Gibbs energy of formation per mole of atoms of the stoichiometric compound. The parameters \(a\) and \(b\) are obtained by optimization. The stoichiometric compounds in the H-Mg-Na system are MgH₂, NaN, and NaMgH₃.

#### 3.3. Liquid Phase

Modified quasichemical model is used to describe the liquid phase for all the binaries. This model uses the energy of pair formation to define the excess Gibbs energy. According to [91], the excess energy is expressed as

\[
\Delta g_{AB}^0 = \Delta g_{AB}^0 + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} x_i x_j \Delta g_{i}^{0j} X_{A}^{i} + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} x_i x_j \Delta g_{AB}^{0j} X_{B}^{i},
\]

where \(\Delta g_{AB}^0\), \(\Delta g_{AB}^{0j}\), and \(\Delta g_{AB}^{0j}\) are the parameters of the model and are expressed as functions of temperature (\(\Delta g_{AB}^0 = a + bT\)). The short range ordering in the liquid is expressed by the atom to atom coordination number “Z” and is given by

\[
\frac{1}{Z_A} = \frac{1}{Z_A^{AA}} \left( \frac{2n_{AA}}{2n_{AA} + n_{AB}} \right) + \frac{1}{Z_A^{AB}} \left( \frac{n_{AB}}{2n_{AA} + n_{AB}} \right),
\]

\[
\frac{1}{Z_B} = \frac{1}{Z_B^{BB}} \left( \frac{2n_{BB}}{2n_{BB} + n_{AB}} \right) + \frac{1}{Z_B^{BA}} \left( \frac{n_{AB}}{2n_{BB} + n_{AB}} \right).
\]

\(Z_A^{AA}\) and \(Z_A^{AB}\) are the values of \(Z_A\) when all the nearest neighbors of \(A\) atom are \(A\)‘s and when all the nearest neighbors of \(A\) atom are \(B\)‘s, respectively. The same applies to \(Z_B^{BB}\) and \(Z_B^{BA}\). All binary liquid thermodynamic parameters have been interpolated using the asymmetric Kohler-Toop technique [91]. According to Qiao et al. [92], H is singled out as the asymmetric component since Mg-Na system shows significantly different thermodynamic characteristics than both Mg-H and Na-H. In the current work, no ternary parameters are added to the liquid model.
In the pressure range of interest, the nonideal contribution of pressure to the Gibbs energy for the gases model where the first sublattice is occupied by the metal bcc-(Na) Sublattice and (Mg,Na) Sublattice (H,Va)_{ij}.

### Phase Model Parameters

| Phase      | Model      | Parameters |
|------------|------------|------------|
| Liquid     | MQM        | \( \dot{\gamma}_{H(0)} = 74.266.7 - 26.2456T + 20.7856T \ln T \) [54] |
|            |            | \( Z_{MgH}^M = 6, \Delta g_{MgH}^M = -18,049.78 \) [54] |
|            |            | \( Z_{NaH}^M = 6, \Delta g_{NaH}^M = -39,245.92 + 8.45T \) |
|            |            | \( \Delta g_{NaH}^{(0)} = 12.133.6 - 0.711T \) |
|            |            | \( \Delta g_{NaH}^{(1)} = -66,944 + 8.368T \) |
|            |            | \( Z_{MgNa}^M = 4.5, Z_{MgNa}^M = 6, \Delta g_{MgNa}^M = 7.660.0 + 2.9T \) |
| hcp-(Mg)   | Sublattice | \( \dot{\gamma}_{H(Va)} = 173.217.6 - 242.672T + 2G(Mg_{ph}) + 1/2G(H_2, gas) \) |
|            | (Mg,Na)_{ij}(H,Va) | \( \dot{\gamma}_{H(Va)} = 2G(Na_{ph}) + 1/2G(H_2, gas) \) |
|            |            | \( \dot{\gamma}_{H(Va)} = 2G(Mg_{ph}) \) |
|            |            | \( \dot{\gamma}_{H(Va)} = 2G(Na_{ph}) \) |
|            |            | \( \dot{\gamma}_{H(Va)} = 79.496 + 16.736T \) |
| bcc-(Na)   | Sublattice | \( \dot{\gamma}_{H(Va)} = G(Na_{bcc}) + 3/2G(H_2, gas) \) |
|            | (Na,Mg)_{ij}(H,Va) | \( \dot{\gamma}_{H(Va)} = G(Mg_{bcc}) + 3/2G(H_2, gas) \) |
|            |            | \( \dot{\gamma}_{H(Va)} = G(Na_{bcc}); \dot{\gamma}_{H(Va)} = G(Mg_{bcc}) \) |
|            |            | \( \dot{\gamma}_{H(Va)} = -5.569.8; \dot{\gamma}_{H(Va)} = -2.092.9 \) |
|            |            | \( \dot{\gamma}_{H(Va)} = 30.000 \) |
| MgH_{2}    | Stoichiometric | \( \dot{\gamma}_{H(Va)} = -82,842.15 + 25.42T - 2.87T \ln T - 55.30 \times 10^{-3}T^2 - 34.305.5T^{-1} \) |
|            |            | \( 298.15 \leq T \leq 2000 \) |
| NaH        | Stoichiometric | \( \dot{\gamma}_{H(Va)} = -75,767.99 + 293.727T - 48.69T \ln T - 0.26 \times 10^{-3}T^2 + 1.80 \times 10^{-4}T^3 + 632,658.0T^{-1} \) |
|            |            | \( 298.15 \leq T \leq 2000 \) |
| NaMgH_{3}  | Stoichiometric | \( \dot{\gamma}_{H(Va)} = -157,905.82 + 183.83T - 33.6T \ln T - 61.27 \times 10^{-3}T^2 \) |
|            |            | \( 298.15 \leq T \leq 2000 \) |

### 3.4. Gas Phase.
In the pressure range of interest, the nonideal contribution of pressure to the Gibbs energy for the gases is very small. Therefore, the gases included in this work are taken as ideal gases. The gas phase is described by the ideal solution model as

\[ G = x_i G_i^\phi + x_j G_j^\phi + RT \left[ x_i \ln x_i + x_j \ln x_j \right], \quad (7) \]

where \( i \) and \( j \) are the gas constituents, \( G_i^\phi = 0 \cdot G_i + RT \ln P \), and \( P \) is the pressure.

### 3.5. Solid Solution Phases.
Hydrogen atoms occupy interstitial positions in the solid magnesium, hcp-(Mg), and sodium, bcc-(Na). These phases are described by a two-sublattice model where the first sublattice is occupied by the metal atoms and the second one by hydrogen atoms and vacancies, (M)_{ij}(H,Va). The Gibbs energy is described by the equations:

\[ G = G^{\text{ref}} + G^{\text{ideal}} + G^{\text{excess}}, \]

\[ G^{\text{ref}} = \sum_j y_j y_j^m \cdots y_k^q G_{(i,j,:...)} \]

\[ G^{\text{ideal}} = RT \sum_l f_l \sum_i y_i^l \ln y_i^l, \]

\[ G^{\text{excess}} = \sum_l y_i^l y_j^l y_k^l \sum_{y=0}^{\infty} y L_{(i,j):k} \times (y_i^l - y_j^l)^y, \]

where \( i, j, ..., k \) are components or vacancy and \( l, m, \) and \( q \) represent sublattices, \( y_i \) is the site fraction of component \( i \) on sublattice \( l \). \( f_l \) is the fraction of sublattice \( l \) relative to the total lattice sites. \( G_{(i,j,:...)} \) represents a real or a hypothetical compound energy. \( L_{(i,j):k} \) represent the interaction parameters which describe the interaction within the sublattice. According to Fristk [93] the number of sites on each sublattice \( (M)_{ij}(H,Va) \) is \( a = 2 \) and \( c = 1 \) for the hcp-(Mg) phase and \( a = 1 \) and \( c = 3 \) for bcc-(Na) phase. To allow for the solubility of Na in hcp-(Mg) and Mg in bcc-(Na), Mg and Na are allowed to mix randomly in the first sublattice. Therefore, the hcp-(Mg) phase and bcc-(Na) in the ternary system are described by the two sublattices (Mg,Na)_{ij}(H,Va), and (Na,Mg)_{ij}(H,Va), respectively.
Table 2: Enthalpy and entropy of formation of MgH$_2$.

| $\Delta H$ (kJ/molH$_2$) | $\Delta S$ (J/molH$_2$ K) | Temperature range (K) | Reference |
|--------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------|-----------|
| −77.3                    | −136.9                    | 298                   | This work |
| −77.4 ± 4                | −138 ± 3                  | 549–623               | [44]      |
| −74.4                    | −135                      | 587–849               | [35]      |
| −70                      | −126                      | 573–673               | [48]      |
| −78.2                    | −140.07                   | 513–633               | [46]      |
| −79                      | −575–629                  |                       | [45]      |
| −66.9                    | −450                      |                       | [43]      |
| −70.7                    | −119                      | 590                   | [38]      |
| −76.2                    | −574                      |                       | [94]      |
| −74.05 ± 1.3             | −683                      |                       | [32]      |

4. Results and Discussion

The thermodynamic parameters optimized in the present work for the H-Mg-Na system are given in Table 1.

4.1. H-Mg System. The optimized thermodynamic parameters obtained by Harvey and Chartrand [54] using the MQM for the liquid phase are used in the present work. The hcp-(Mg) is modeled using (Mg)$_2$(H, Va)$_3$ two-sublattice model [93] as discussed in Section 3.5. No excess terms have been employed to represent hcp-(Mg). MgH$_2$ is considered a stoichiometric compound. The gas species H, H$_2$, Mg, Mg$_2$, and MgH are treated as ideal gases. All the parameters for this system are listed in Table 1.

The calculated enthalpy and entropy of formation of magnesium hydride MgH$_2$ are given in Table 2 together with experimental data from the literature. Very good consistency can be seen between the calculated values and the experimental data [31, 34, 37, 42–47, 93] except for some deviation from the results published by Selvam et al. [38], Ellinger et al. [43], and Pedersen et al. [48]. Considering the consistency among the other six works, this deviation can be related to the quality of the PCIs and the slow kinetics which can lead to erroneous values of the equilibrium pressures. The calculated Mg-rich part of the Mg-H phase diagram at 1 bar is presented in Figure 1(a) compared with experimental hydrogen solubility data in solid magnesium. The entire phase diagram at 1 bar is shown in Figure 1(b). It can be seen in Figure 1(a) that there is a good agreement between the calculated phase diagram at 1 bar and the selected experimental data except for the results of Popovic and Piercy [53] who differ from otherwise consistent results of [33, 35, 41, 49]. According to the present calculations, the eutectic type reaction L $\rightarrow$ hcp-(Mg) + gas occurs at 0.0923 at.% hydrogen and 922 K which agrees well with that calculated by Zeng et al. (0.093 at.% H and 922.8 K) [30]. There is no measured decomposition temperature for MgH$_2$ at the atmospheric pressure. The present work predicts that MgH$_2$ decomposes to hcp-(Mg) and H$_2$ at 557.88 K; this value is about 3 K lower than that predicted by Zeng et al. [30].

The calculated dissociation pressure of MgH$_2$ as function of temperature is presented in Figure 2(a) which shows good agreement with the experimental data from the literature. The present results show that the thermodynamic functions used in this work describe the H-Mg system in a broader pressure range more accurately than those reported by Zeng et al. [30]. In fact, Zeng et al. [30] unlike the current work did not achieve agreement with the experimental results above 150 bar. They blamed this inconsistency on the experimental data. The pressure-temperature diagram of MgH$_2$ is presented in Figure 2(b) to show the stability of the different phases. It is predicted that MgH$_2$ decomposes directly to liquid and gas above 919.5 K and 618.8 bar.

In order to compare with the experimental data of [35, 43], the H-Mg phase diagrams at 30.48 bar and 236 bar are also calculated in the present work as shown in Figures 3(a) and 3(b), respectively. The dissociation temperature of MgH$_2$ is predicted to be 700 K at 30.48 bar and 832 K at 236 bar which agrees very well with the values reported by Stampfer et al. [35] (700 K at 30.48 bar) and by Ellinger et al. [43] (834 K at 236 bar). It can be seen in Figure 3 that the temperature of the reaction L $\rightarrow$ hcp-(Mg) + gas does not change a lot with pressure.

4.2. H-Na System. The liquid phase of the H-Na system is modeled using the modified quasichemical model. The solution has been considered to be random with no preferential short range ordering. The parameters of the model are determined considering the experimental data of H solubility in liquid Na. The bcc-(Na) is modeled using the compound energy formalism employing (Na)$_2$(H, Va)$_3$ two-sublattice model [93] as mentioned in Section 3.5. This model has been adopted from Qiu et al. [73]. Two excess terms are used to describe this phase. NaH is considered a stoichiometric compound. The “$c_p$” ranges (0 $< T <$ 298.15 K and 298.15 $< T <$ 2000 K) of solid NaH have been optimized in this work to comply with the experimental data of the phase diagram as well as the thermodynamic properties. The gas species H, H$_2$, Na, Na$_2$, and NaH are treated as ideal gases. All the parameters for this system are listed in Table 1.

The calculated phase diagram of H-Na system at 1 bar is presented in Figure 4. There is only one intermediate compound NaH in the system which decomposes at 700 K to liquid and gas. The H solubility in liquid Na has been found to be $\sim$0.23 at.% at 700 K which is in good agreement with the proposed solubility limit of $\sim$0.2 at.% by San-Martin and Manchester [55].

In order to visualize the impact of pressure on the H solubility in liquid Na, the Na-H phase diagram has been calculated at 150 bar and 200 bar as shown in Figures 5(a) and 5(b). It can be seen that the solubility increases from $\sim$0.23 at.% (700 K) to $\sim$4.0 at.% (983 K) from the ambient pressure to the 200 bar. The melting temperature of NaH is 911 K and 905 K at 200 and 115 bar, respectively, in the present work. This is in agreement with the measured value of 911±2 K at 207.3 bar by Skuratov et al. [65] and 905±2 K at 106 bar by Klostermeier and Franck [66]. The critical temperature ($T_c$) for the immiscibility in the liquid is 1569 K in the present calculation as shown by the dotted line in Figure 5(b) which
agrees with the $1500 \pm 70$ K estimated by Klostermeier and Franck [66].

Several measurements [59–64] on the H solubility in liquid Na were found in the literature. The solubility values are very small. Hence to compare the experimental data with the present calculation a phase diagram of temperature versus log H/Na is plotted in Figure 6. This diagram shows the Na-rich side of the phase diagram from 371 to 900 K. The solubility values are in general agreement with those from the literature. The cutoff point of the calculation in FactSage program is $1 \times 10^{-5}$. Therefore dotted lines have been used in the figure to extend parting lines of the phases.

Some of the experimental solubility data [59, 60, 62] do not agree well with the present calculation. However, San-Martin and Manchester [56] noted that these measurements suffered from contamination due to the reaction of Na with glass walls. Therefore, during optimization only the other experimental data reported by Meacham et al. [63] and Vissers et al. [64] were given a higher weight in the present work.

The chemical potential diagram for the H-Na system calculated in the present study is shown in Figure 7. The diagram shows reasonable agreement with the experimental data from the literature [57, 58, 65, 66]. It can be seen from this diagram that, with increasing pressure, the dissociation...
temperature of NaH increases until ~114 bar (at 911 K) where melting of this compound occurs. After this point the slope of the curve decreases as no more NaH can dissolve in the liquid. This indicates the immiscibility of the two liquids. Similar observations were also reported by Qiu et al. [73].

The enthalpy of formation of the solid NaH has been determined as $-56.98 \text{kJ/mol}$, which is consistent with the available experimental data as can be seen in Table 3. The calculated heat capacity of solid NaH with the available experimental data from Sayre and Beaver [79] is shown in Figure 8. In order to obtain reliable agreement with experimental data, the Gibbs energy of the solid NaH in the temperature range $0 < T < 298.15 \text{K}$ has been determined in this work. The Gibbs energy of this compound at higher temperatures ($298.15 < T < 2000 \text{K}$) is taken from Qiu et al. [73].

4.3. Mg-Na System. The calculated phase diagram of the Mg-Na system is presented in Figure 9. The thermodynamic model parameters obtained for the system are given in Table 1. The calculated phase diagram is in good agreement with the experimental data from the literature [81–85]. However, it differs a little from the calculation of Zhang et al. [85] at high temperature when the gas phase interacts with the liquid immiscibility gap. Zhang et al. [85] reported the gas $\rightarrow$ liquid 2 transformation at 97.01 at.% Na which was found at 92.5 at.% Na in the present work. Since there is no experimental data for this reaction the present prediction is acceptable. The composition and temperature of the invariant reactions in the Mg-Na system calculated in the present work are compared with the experimental data from the literature, Table 4. Activities of Mg and Na in the liquid at 973 K are calculated and presented in Figure 10. The calculated Mg activity is in good agreement with the experimental measurements by Lantratov [82]. The Na activity shows deviation from that of Lantratov [82] in the liquid immiscibility gap. However, the experimental data showed unrealistic activity almost equal to unity and was not possible to obtain without deviating from the experimental phase diagram.

4.4. H-Mg-Na System. Calculated changes in enthalpy and entropy for reactions (2) and (3) and enthalpy and entropy of formation of NaMgH$_3$ are given in Table 5 in comparison with experimental data from the literature. There is a good consistency between the calculated heat of formation of NaMgH$_3$ in this work and the experimental values reported in the literature [15, 17, 18, 89] except for the DSC results published by Bouamrane et al. [13] which are higher. This can be attributed to the use of DSC for the measurement
The calculated PCI profiles at various temperatures are presented in Figure 11 in comparison with the experimental data reported by Sheppard et al. [88]. Agreement is also shown between the calculated enthalpy of reaction (2) with Ikeda et al. [18] as well as between the calculated entropy of reaction (3) and Komiyama et al. [17]. As discussed in Section 2.4, all the other differences are related to the PCIs quality and poor kinetics in [15, 17, 27].

The calculated PCI profiles at various temperatures are presented in Figure 11 in comparison with the experimental data reported by Sheppard et al. [88]. It has been reported by these authors [88] that the samples used for the PCI measurements were composed of 84.3 wt.% NaMgH$_3$, 4.7 wt.% NaH, and 11.0 wt.% MgO. In the present work, the hydrogen wt.% desorbed from the samples has been recalculated to consider only the NaMgH$_3$ content assuming no reaction between H$_2$, MgO, and NaH. The hydrogen content of the samples was calculated by subtracting the hydrogen desorbed from the total hydrogen content before desorption (6 wt.%). These results (Figure 11) show that the model used to describe the NaMgH$_3$ reproduces the equilibrium pressures at different temperatures. The calculated PCI at 671.4 K in comparison with the experimental one shows that there is agreement between the theoretical and the measured hydrogen content of the first sample. It can be seen that the amount of hydrogen desorbed from the samples is decreasing after each experiment probably because of incomplete hydriding or dehydriding reactions and very sluggish kinetics.

The calculated pressure-temperature diagram of NaMgH$_3$ is presented in Figure 12 in relation to experimental data from the literature. There is good agreement between the calculated and the experimental data except for the PCI results obtained by Pottmaier et al. [27] at 650 K. This deviation was expected because of the poor quality of the PCIs reported by Pottmaier et al. [27] due to very low kinetics especially for low temperature experiments as discussed before. Figure 12 indicates that NaMgH$_3$ is stable up to higher temperatures when the pressure is increased (up
Table 5: Thermodynamic properties of NaMgH₃ decomposition from PCI and DSC experiments.

| Reaction (2) | Δ_H (kJ/molH₂) | Δ_S (J/molH₂K) | Δ_H (kJ/molH₂) | Δ_S (J/molH₂K) | Δ_fH° (NaMgH₃) (kJ/mol) | Reference |
|--------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|-------------------------|-----------|
| 85.45        | 127.2          | 114            | 154.2          |                | −142.44                 | This work |
| 88 ± 0.9     |                |                |                | −145*          |                         | [13]      |
| 93.9 ± 6     | 116.2 ± 9      | 102.2 ± 4      | 125.9 ± 6      |                | −145*                   | [18]      |
| 94 ± 15      | 140 ± 22       | 116 ± 2        | 165 ± 3        |                | −152*                   | [17]      |
| 92           | 123            |                |                | −145           |                         | [27]      |
| 86.6 ± 1     | 132.2 ± 1.3    | 117            | 168.2          |                | −145.1*                  | [88]      |

*The values are (re)calculated in this work using Δ_H values reported in the literature; ** data obtained by DSC measurements.

Figure 6: Calculated hydrogen solubility in the liquid Na system at 1-bar pressure in comparison to the experimental data in the literature [59–64].

Figure 7: Calculated dissociation pressure of NaH in comparison with the experimental data from the literature.

Figure 8: Calculated heat capacity c_p of NaH in comparison with experimental data [79].
pressures for NaMgH$_3$ are shown as the shaded region in Figure 12 as this region avoids the liquid formation.

It has been shown by Wang et al. [19] that the addition of 10 wt.% of NaH greatly improved the hydrogen storage properties and the hydrolysis properties of MgH$_2$ due to the formation of NaMgH$_3$. As mentioned earlier, the perovskite structure allows fast hydrogen mobility and gives NaMgH$_3$ the catalytic role necessary for hydrogen storage capacity improvement of MgH$_2$. In Figure 13, the calculated vertical section of Mg-Na-H system along the composition line MgH$_2$-NaH at 1 bar and 100 bar is presented. Figure 13 shows that when 10 wt.% of NaH is added to MgH$_2$, NaMgH$_3$ forms. Also, all phase transformations with temperature at 1 bar and at 100 bar can be inferred from this figure. Since this figure does not provide the relative amounts of each phase, phase assemblage diagrams are calculated as will be discussed below.

Calculated PCI curves for the MgH$_2$ + 10 wt.% NaH mixtures at 623 and 673 K are shown in Figure 14(a) in comparison with the results published by Wang et al. [19]. The hydrogen content of the samples in [19] has been recalculated assuming that the initial samples’ hydrogen capacity is 7.3 wt.% based on the chemical formulae assuming stoichiometric amounts. At 673 K, three plateau pressures are shown in the calculated PCI curve (see arrows A, B, and C in Figure 14). The higher plateau (A) corresponds to the decomposition of MgH$_2$; the second (B) and the third
Figure 13: Calculated vertical section of Mg-Na-H system along the composition line MgH$_2$-NaH at (a) 1 bar and (b) 100 bar.

Figure 14: (a) Calculated P-C isotherm for MgH$_2$ + 10 wt.% NaH at 623 and 673 K compared to experimental data [19]. (b) Calculated pressure-temperature diagram for MgH$_2$ + 10 wt. % NaH.

Figure 15: Calculated reaction path for MgH$_2$ + 10 wt.% NaH at (a) 1 bar and (b) 0.1 bar.
(C) plateaus correspond to the decomposition of NaMgH$_3$ through reactions (2) and (3), respectively. At 623 K, only the first two plateaus are observed and the formation of liquid Na through reaction (3) is avoided. The last plateau (C in the PCI curve at 673 K) and the two last plateaus (in the PCI curve at 623 K) could not be observed by Wang et al. [19] and their reported plateau pressures are slightly lower than the current calculations. No information has been given by Wang et al. [19] about their PCI measurements conditions, but very few data points are shown in the published curves. It has been pointed out by Wang et al. [19] that their testing temperatures for kinetic measurements have been chosen below 623 K to avoid the decomposition of NaMgH$_3$, but, according to the present calculations, NaMgH$_3$ decomposes at this temperature through reaction (2) and only reaction (3) is avoided during desorption in the pressure range of measurement.

The calculated pressure-temperature diagram for the MgH$_2$ + 10 wt.% NaH composition is shown in Figure 14(b). The plateau pressures at 673 K shown in Figure 14(a) (A, B, and C) are also indicated by arrows in Figure 14(b). It can be seen that Figure 14(b) is a superimposition of Figure 2(b) (pressure-temperature diagram for MgH$_2$) and Figure 12 (pressure-temperature diagram for NaMgH$_3$) for temperatures below ∼900 K. This result suggests that the thermodynamic properties of MgH$_2$ and NaMgH$_3$ are not affected when mixing MgH$_2$ with NaH. Only the amount of hydrogen desorbed from the mixture (the plateaus width) at each step changes with the amount of NaH added. In other words, from a thermodynamic point of view, addition of NaH to MgH$_2$ does not improve the hydrogen storage properties of MgH$_2$. On the contrary, the absorption/desorption kinetics is significantly improved as reported in the literature. For this purpose as well, the full potential of the catalytic role of NaMgH$_3$ can only be obtained if the processing conditions are set as shown by the shaded region in Figure 12.

The decomposition temperature and the amount of released hydrogen for any compositions of the H-Mg-Na system can be obtained at any pressure using the current database. The calculations for three different pressures (1, 0.1, and 1 × 10$^{-4}$ bar) are discussed below.

The calculated reaction path of the MgH$_2$ + 10 wt.% NaH at 1 and 0.1 bar is given in Figures 15(a) and 15(b), respectively. The reaction path at 1 bar is as follows: MgH$_2$ decomposes first at 557.8 K to hcp-(Mg) and 6 wt.% H$_2$ gas. NaMgH$_3$ decomposes second at 656 K to NaH, hcp-(Mg), and an additional 0.9 wt.% H$_2$ gas. At 697 K, NaH decomposes to liquid and an additional 0.4 wt.% H$_2$ gas. Slow decrease in the amount of liquid phase from 700 K is accompanied with the evaporation of Na. The total amount of gas phase is presented in dashed line. A second liquid phase appears at the melting point of magnesium and disappears at 933 K where Mg starts to evaporate with decreasing the amount of the liquid phase. At 0.1 bar, as expected, the decomposition temperatures of the hydrides, the evaporation points of Na and Mg, and the sublimation point of Mg are lower. MgH$_2$ decomposes at 491 K to hcp-(Mg) and 6 wt.% H$_2$ gas and NaMgH$_3$ at 573 K liberating an additional 0.9 wt.% H$_2$ gas. NaH decomposes at 628 K to liquid and an additional 0.4 wt.% H$_2$ gas. According to the present calculation, at a pressure of 1 × 10$^{-4}$ bar, MgH$_2$ decomposes at 366 K, NaMgH$_3$ at 418 K, and NaH at 486 K. It is concluded in this work that MgH$_2$ will decompose at temperatures lower than 373 K with good kinetics at low pressures if it is mixed with a small amount of NaMgH$_3$.

5. Conclusion

A self-consistent thermodynamic database has been constructed to describe the H-Mg-Na system. Thermodynamic modeling of the constituent binary systems, H-Mg, H-Na, and Mg-Na, has been carried out. The modified quasichemical model is used to describe the liquid phase. Thermodynamic calculations of various phase diagrams and thermodynamic properties are compared with the experimental data and found to be in good agreement. The binary thermodynamic parameters of the liquid phases were interpolated using the asymmetric Kohler-Toop technique. The solid solution phases, hcp-Mg and bcc-(Na), are described by two-sublattice models as (Mg,Na)$_2$ (H,Na)$_3$ and (Na,Mg)$_2$ (H,Na)$_3$. The constructed database is used to predict the PCIs and the pressure-temperature diagram of the MgH$_2$ + 10 wt.% NaH mixture. The calculations provide more insight into the reactions when compared to experimental data from the literature. The reaction path of the MgH$_2$ + 10 wt.% NaH mixture is predicted at different pressures. In this study, it is demonstrated that H-Mg-Na system does not satisfy all the DOE requirements for onboard hydrogen storage applications (desorption temperature < 373 K, at atmospheric pressure) but still is very good candidate for high temperature applications. According to this work, at 1 bar, NaMgH$_3$ decomposes at 656 K to NaH and hcp-(Mg) liberating 6 wt.% of hydrogen gas. The present database is used to find the best working temperatures and pressures of NaMgH$_3$ to avoid its full decomposition and benefit from its full catalytic role when mixed with MgH$_2$. At pressures of 1, 0.1, and 10$^{-4}$ bar, the limiting working temperature should be 697, 628, and 486 K, respectively. It is also found that MgH$_2$ decomposes at 366 K at a pressure of 10$^{-5}$ bar. The present database can be used for further thermodynamic assessments of higher order systems.
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