Synthesis of silicalite-poly(furfuryl alcohol) composite membranes for oxygen enrichment from air
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Abstract
Silicalite-poly(furfuryl alcohol) [PFA] composite membranes were prepared by solution casting of silicalite-furfuryl alcohol [FA] suspension on a porous polysulfone substrate and subsequent in situ polymerization of FA. X-ray diffraction, nitrogen sorption, thermogravimetric analysis, scanning electron microscopy, and energy-dispersive X-ray spectroscopy were used to characterize silicalite nanocrystals and silicalite-PFA composite membranes. The silicalite-PFA composite membrane with 20 wt.% silicalite loading exhibits good oxygen/nitrogen selectivity (4.15) and high oxygen permeability (1,132.6 Barrers) at 50°C. Silicalite-PFA composite membranes are promising for the production of oxygen-enriched air for various applications.
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Introduction
Oxygen-enriched air can be widely used in chemical industries, fermentation, biological digestion processes, and medical purposes [1-3]. For example, combustion with oxygen-enriched air can substantially reduce fuel consumption and improve energy efficiency, thereby lowering CO₂ emission [2].

The cryogenic fractionation technology is commonly used to produce oxygen-enriched air with an oxygen purity of 99 vol.%. Pressure swing adsorption can yield 95 to 97 vol.% oxygen-enriched air [4]. The membrane technology has also been researched for oxygen separation from air. Over the past decades, polymeric gas separation membranes have attracted much attention, becoming one of the fastest growing branches of membrane technology. This is because polymeric membranes tend to be relatively inexpensive and can be easily fabricated into hollow fibers or spiral-wound modules [5,6]. Some polymeric membranes such as silicone rubber, polyphenylene oxide, and cellulose triacetate have already been studied for oxygen enrichment [2,3,7]. However, because the molecular dimensions of O₂ (3.46 Å) and N₂ (3.64 Å) are close, producing pure oxygen is rather difficult as some nitrogen always permeates through the membrane [5]. The separation properties of existing polymeric membranes are still restricted by the trade-off trend between gas permeability and selectivity which was suggested by Robson [8]. Additional limitation of the polymeric membrane is that at elevated temperatures, the performance of the membrane will lose because of the segmental flexibility [9]. Therefore, the separation membranes with high O₂/N₂ selectivity and high flux are required to be competitive with other technologies.

Inorganic molecular sieves (such as zeolites) exhibit good chemical and thermal stabilities and high gas flux and selectivity, but the fabrication of defect-free molecular sieve membranes remains a challenge. In recent decades, there has been significant interest in the development of synthesis methods of pinhole-free, mechanically stable, and inorganic-organic hybrid membranes to combine the advantages of both inorganic and organic membranes. Such kind of membrane is known as mixed matrix (or composite) membranes. Desirable composite membranes consist of well-dispersed particles without interfacial incompatibility and defects between the inorganic material and the polymer. Therefore, careful selection of a pair of polymer and inorganic material
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is very important. Polysulfones, polyarylates, polycarbonates, poly(arylethers), poly(arylethers), and polyimides are frequently used in industrial polymeric membrane gas separations. The commonly used inorganic materials include carbon molecular sieves, zeolites, mesoporous materials, activated carbons, carbon nanotubes, and metal-organic framework [10].

In the present work, we attempt to develop zeolite-polymer composite membranes for oxygen production from air. In particular, poly(furfuryl alcohol) [PFA] is chosen as the polymer matrix, and silicalite, as the molecular sieve additive. In the work previously conducted by one of the authors (Wang et al.), poly(furfuryl alcohol) was used to prepare a zeolite 4A polyfurfuryl alcohol nanocomposite membrane by vapor deposition polymerization of furfuryl alcohol [FA] [11,12]. This composite membrane showed an O2/N2 selectivity as high as 8.2 and an oxygen permeance of 1.5 × 10–9 mol·m–2·s–1·Pa–1. To improve oxygen flux, silicalite is used in the present study because it has a larger pore size than the zeolite 4A. Silicalite is a pure silica MFI-type zeolite, which is composed of a uniform molecular-sized pore system with straight channels in the b-direction (5.4 Å × 5.6 Å) and sinusoidal channels in the a-direction (5.1 Å × 5.5 Å) [13,14].

**Experimental details**

**Materials**

One molar tetrapropylammonium hydroxide [TPAOH] aqueous solution and tetraethyl orthosilicate [TEOS] (99%), acrylamide [AM], N,N’-methylenebisacrylamide [MBAM], and FA (98%) were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich Corporation (Sydney, Victoria, Australia). A polysulfone ultrafiltration membrane (MWCO 30,000) was purchased from Sterlitech (GE Osmonics, Minnetonka, MN, USA) and used as the support.

**Preparation of silicalite nanocrystals**

Silicalite nanocrystals were synthesized according to the previously published procedures [15]. In a typical synthesis, silicalite nanocrystals were synthesized by hydrothermal synthesis from a clear solution with a molar composition of 1 TPAOH:4.8 SiO2:44 H2O. The synthesis solution was prepared in a 250-mL polypropylene bottle. First, 20 g of 1 M TPAOH solution was added dropwise into 20 g of TEOS under vigorous stirring. Strong magnetic stirring was maintained for 3 h. The solution was then heated in an oven at 80°C for 5 to 6 days for crystallization, resulting in a milky silicalite suspension. The solid product contained in the colloidal suspension was recovered by a repeated cycle of centrifugation with deionized water and ultrasonic redispersion in water until pH < 8. An organic polymer network was prepared from water soluble organic monomers, AM and MBAM, and the initiator (NH4)2S2O8 as a temporary barrier during calcination and carbonization to obtain highly dispersible template-free silicalite nanocrystals. Typically, 1.0 g of AM, 0.1 mg MBAM, and 25 mg of (NH4)2S2O8 were added under stirring into 10 g of silicalite colloidal suspension with 5 wt.% solid loading. After the monomers were dissolved, the mixture was ultrasonicated to ensure complete dispersion of silicalite nanocrystals for half an hour. The aqueous solution was then heated at 50°C for 30 min to be polymerized into an elastic hydrogel. This silicalite hydrogel polymer composite was dried at 80°C overnight. After drying, it was carbonized under nitrogen at 550°C for 2 h (heating rate, 2°C min–1) and then calcined at 550°C for 3 h under air.

**Preparation of silicalite/PFA composite membranes**

Both plain PFA and silicalite-PFA composite membranes were hand-cast on commercial polysulfone ultrafiltration membranes. [16] A 25 mm × 70 mm polysulfone ultrafiltration membrane was fixed on the top of a microscope glass slide using a tape to prevent the membrane from rolling up and solution penetration through the edges. Then, an aqueous solution prepared by mixing 10 g of FA and 0.04 g of sulfuric acid with 10 g of ethanol was cast on the polysulfone membrane substrate for 5 min at room temperature. The coated support was then heated at 80°C overnight for FA polymerization. Silicalite-PFA nanocomposite membranes were made using the same procedures, except that a given amount of template-free silicalite nanocrystals was dispersed in the FA ethanol solution which was ultrasonicated for 30 min at room temperature. The resulting silicalite-FA ethanol suspension was immediately mixed with sulfuric acid under magnetic stirring for 2 min and then coated on the polysulfone membrane substrate for 5 min at room temperature. The coated support was then heated at 80°C overnight. The resultant composite membranes are referred to as 1-Sil-PFA, 10-Sil-PFA, 20-Sil-PFA, and 30-Sil-PFA, corresponding to silicalite loadings of 1% (w/w), 10% (w:w), 20% (w/w), and 30% (w/w) in PFA solution, respectively.

**Characterization**

X-ray diffraction [XRD] patterns were recorded on a Philips PW1140/90 diffractometer (PANalytical B.V., Almelo, The Netherlands) with Cu Kα radiation (25 mA and 40 kV) at a scan rate of 2°/min with a step size of 0.02°. Nitrogen adsorption-desorption experiment was performed at 77 K and at room temperature with a Micromeritics ASAP 2020MC analyzer (Micromeritics Instrument Co., Norcross, GA, USA). To evaluate the thermal stability of the PFA, thermogravimetric analysis [TGA] was conducted using a thermogravimetric...
Results and discussion

Silicalite nanocrystals and silicalite-PFA membranes

N₂ adsorption measurement shows that silicalite nanocrystals have a BET surface area of 404 m²/g and a t-plot micropore volume of 0.12 cm³/g, which are close to the reported values for crystalline nanosilicalite [15,25]. The SEM images of silicalite nanocrystals shown in Figure 1 reveal uniform spherical nanoparticles and a narrow particle size distribution ranging from 60 nm to 100 nm.

Figure 2 shows XRD patterns of the silicalite, PFA composite membrane, and Sil-PFA composite membrane with different silicalite loadings (10 wt.% and 20 wt.%). The diffraction peaks at 2θ = 21.58, 24.13, 30.10, 36.38, 40.92, and 44.18 arise from the substrate that consists of a polysulfone membrane supported on a polyethylene non-woven polyethylene fabric. These peaks are mainly from the polyethylene fabric [26]. For silicalite nanocrystals, there are two peaks at 2θ = 7.8 and 2θ = 8.68. When the loading of silicalite nanocrystals in Sil-PFA composite membranes increases, the intensities of these two peaks increase. This confirms the presence of silicalite nanoparticles in the PFA polymer.

Thermogravimetric analysis of the silicalite nanocrystals, PFA, and silicalite-PFA composite membrane (Figure 3) shows that the silicalite crystals remain in the testing temperature under N₂, except a slight mass loss (ca. 2.9%) at 100°C to 200°C which is attributed to water desorption. However, under flowing nitrogen, the PFA composite membrane loses ca. 8.9% of its mass in the temperature range from 25°C to 200°C, corresponding to the loss of absorbed water. In the temperature range of 200°C to 450°C, there is a 25.7% mass loss. From 450°C to 700°C, a further 46.4% mass loss is observed due to the decomposition of the PFA membrane. The mass losses of Sil-PFA composite membranes are much slower than those of the PFA composite membrane. The total final mass losses are 81.0%, 79.8%, and 72.1% for pure PFA, 1% Sil-PFA, and 20% Sil-PFA composite membranes, respectively. The mass losses of the supported PFA and silicalite-PFA composite membranes are much smaller than those of the pure PFA. This result indicates that the Sil-PFA composite membrane with high silicalite loading exhibits higher thermal stability.

Figure 4 shows SEM images of the cross-sections of the pure PFA membrane and 10% Sil-PFA composite membrane. The thicknesses of the active PFA layer and silicalite-PFA are around 1.5 to 2.0 μm. The cross-section morphology reveals the strong adhesion among PFA and the silicalite nanoparticles and the substrate, and that the silicalite particles are well dispersed in the PFA. Figure 5 shows SEM images of the top surfaces of PFA.
the pure PFA composite membrane and 10% Sil-PFA, 20% Sil-PFA and 30% Sil-PFA composite membranes. The PFA membrane exhibits a smooth surface (Figure 5a), which is similar to that reported previously [27]. Uniform dispersion of silicalite nanocrystals throughout the Sil-PFA composite membrane surfaces was clearly observed (Figure 5b, c). However, as the concentration of silicalite increases to 30% (w/w), the agglomeration of silicalite nanoparticles becomes evident (Figure 5d).

The presence of silicalite nanocrystals was also confirmed by EDX. In Figure 6, the carbon, oxygen, silicon, and sulfur peaks in EDX spectra arise from polysulfone-supported PFA composite membranes, and there is no silicon peak in the plain PFA composite membranes. Then, the Si peak appears at approximately 1.74 keV in 1% Sil-PFA composite membranes. As the loading of silicalite increases, the intensity of silicon peak increases. This clearly indicates the presence of silicalite nanocrystals in the Sil-PFA composite membranes.

**Gas separation properties**

Table 1 summarizes the permeability values of single N₂ and O₂ gases and the O₂/N₂ ideal selectivity for plain PFA, 1% Sil-PFA, 10% Sil-PFA, 20% Sil-PFA, and 30% Sil-PFA composite membranes. Single gas permeation experiments showed that the permeability of both gases increased largely with the increasing silicalite loading. For example, O₂ permeability increases from 3.3 Barrers for the plain PFA membrane to 966.4 Barrers for the 30% Sil-PFA composite membrane. The O₂/N₂ ideal selectivity increases from 1.25 for the plain PFA membrane to 3.52 for the 20% Sil-PFA and then drops to 1.06 for the 30% Sil-PFA. The selectivity of the 20% Sil-PFA composite membrane is almost three times greater than that of the plain PFA membrane. Compared to the O₂/N₂ upper bound data in the relationship of permeability and selectivity in the reference [8], the O₂/N₂ separation characteristics of the 20% (w/w) Sil-PFA composite membrane is on the prior upper bound. In the literature, the O₂/N₂ separation PIM-1 membrane was 4.0 at an O₂ permeability of 370 Barrers [28]. Poly [1-phenyl-2-p-(trimethylsilyl)phenylacetylene] membrane had an O₂ permeability of 1,550 Barrers and an O₂/N₂ separation of 2.98 [29]. In our case, high gas permeability may be contributed from diffusion of gas through large pores (5.5 Å) of the silicalite. The low O₂/N₂ selectivity observed in the composite membrane with 30% silicalite loading should be due to silicalite-PFA interfacial defects [30]. Likewise, agglomeration may also occur during the membrane fabrication. This agglomeration leads to small pinholes which are not filled up with PFA polymer, forming nonselective defects in the composite layer [30].

Table 2 summarizes the permeability values of single N₂ and O₂ gases and O₂/N₂ ideal selectivity for the 20 wt.% silicalite loading Sil-PFA composite membrane at different testing temperatures ranging from 20°C to 150°C. Single gas permeation experiments showed that as the temperature was increased from 20°C to 50°C, both permeabilities and selectivities increased; whereas the permeability of all gases still increased, but their selectivity decreased as the temperature further increased from 50°C to 150°C. For example, at a testing temperature of 20°C, the membrane had an oxygen permeability of 233.3 Barrers and an O₂/N₂ selectivity of 3.52. As the temperature increased to 50°C, the oxygen permeability increased to 233.3 Barrers and an O₂/N₂ selectivity of 3.52. At 150°C, the oxygen and nitrogen
permeabilities were about 2.6 and 3.8 times higher than those at 20°C, respectively, but the O₂/N₂ selectivity was about 1.5 times lower. Furthermore, the nitrogen permeability at 150°C was also much higher than that at 20°C.

The values of the apparent activation energy for nitrogen and oxygen permeation through PFA and 20% Sil-PFA membranes are presented in Table 3. It is apparent that N₂ molecules require more energy to penetrate through the membranes than O₂. In particular, the activation energy for N₂ permeation through the composite membrane is only slightly smaller than that for the plain PFA membrane; however, the activation energy for O₂ is much smaller for the composite membrane than that for the PFA membrane. This suggests that incorporating the silicalite particles into the PFA matrix can largely reduce the energy barrier for O₂ permeation through the membrane, therefore improving O₂ flux, and O₂/N₂ selectivity.

Figure 7 shows the effect of the silicalite loading on the volume percentage of oxygen in the product gas from air. At room temperature, O₂-enriched air containing 47.9 vol.% O₂ was obtained when the 20% silicalite-PFA composite membrane was used.

Conclusions
Silicalite-PFA composite membranes were prepared for enrichment of oxygen from air. SEM results showed that silicalite nanoparticles were well dispersed in the PFA matrix. The gas permeation experiments indicated that O₂ and N₂ permeabilities and O₂/N₂ selectivity
Figure 3 TGA curves of silicalite nanocrystals, polysulfone substrate and PFA, and silicalite-PFA composite membranes.

Figure 4 SEM images of the cross-section view of the membranes: (a) PFA composite membrane and (b) 10% Sil-PFA composite membrane.
Figure 5  SEM images. (a) PFA, (b) 10% Sil-PFA, (c) 20% Sil-PFA, and (d) 30% Sil-PFA composite membranes. Scale bar = 1 μm.

Figure 6  EDX spectra of PFA, 1 wt.% Sil-PFA, 10 wt.% Sil-PFA, and 20 wt.% Sil-PFA composite membranes.
could be improved by incorporating silicalite nanoparticles into PFA. In particular, the Sil-PFA composite membrane with 20% silicalite loading had the highest O₂/N₂ selectivity and excellent O₂ permeability, and an oxygen concentration of 47.9 vol.% was achieved in the single-pass air separation experiment at room temperature.
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Table 1 Gas permeation results of PFA and composite membrane

| Sample    | Silicalite loading (%) | Permeability (Barrers) | O₂/N₂ ideal selectivity |
|-----------|------------------------|------------------------|--------------------------|
|           |                        | N₂ | O₂ |                             |
| PFA       | 0                      | 2.67 | 3.33 | 1.25                        |
| 1% Sil-PFA| 1                      | 20.7 | 27.7 | 1.34                        |
| 10% Sil-PFA| 10                   | 64.0 | 185.3 | 2.90                        |
| 20% Sil-PFA| 20                   | 233.3 | 821.2 | 3.52                        |
| 30% Sil-PFA| 30                   | 913.12 | 966.4 | 1.06                        |

Note: 1 Barrer = 1 x 10⁻¹⁰ cm³(STP) cm/cm²·s·cm·Hg

Table 2 Gas permeation results of the 20% Sil-PFA composite membrane at different testing temperatures

| Temperature (°C) | Permeability (Barrers) | O₂/N₂ ideal selectivity |
|------------------|------------------------|--------------------------|
| 20               | 233.3                  | 821.2                    | 3.52                        |
| 50               | 276.3                  | 1132.6                   | 4.15                        |
| 100              | 538.7                  | 1926.9                   | 3.58                        |
| 150              | 903.5                  | 2135.2                   | 2.36                        |

Table 3 Apparent activation energy for permeation of N₂ and O₂ gases

| Sample    | Apparent activation energy (kJ/mol) |
|-----------|--------------------------------------|
|           | N₂ | O₂ |
| PFA       | 12.03 | 11.65 |
| 20% Sil-PFA | 11.90 | 7.99 |

Figure 7 The volume percentage of oxygen in the product gas from air.
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