Effects of preoperative physiotherapy in hip osteoarthritis patients awaiting total hip replacement
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Abstract

Introduction: The World Health Organization (WHO) claimed osteoarthritis as a civilization-related disease. The effectiveness of preoperative physiotherapy among patients suffering hip osteoarthritis (OA) at the end of their conservative treatment is rarely described in the literature. The aim of this study was to assess the quality of life and musculoskeletal health status of patients who received preoperative physiotherapy before total hip replacement (THR) surgery within a year prior to admission for a scheduled THR and those who did not.

Material and methods: Forty-five patients, admitted to the Department of Orthopaedics and Traumatology of Locomotor System for elective total hip replacement surgery, were recruited for this study. The assessment consisted of a detailed interview using various questionnaires: the Harris Hip Score (HHS), the Western Ontario and McMaster Universities Osteoarthritis Index (WOMAC), the 36-Item Short Form Health Survey (SF-36), and the Hip disability and Osteoarthritis Outcome Score (HOOS), as well as physical examination. Patients were assigned to groups based on their attendance of preoperative physiotherapy within a year prior to surgery.

Results: Among patients who received preoperative physiotherapy a significant improvement was found for pain, daily functioning, vitality, psychological health, social life, and (active and passive) internal rotation (p < 0.05).

Conclusions: Patients are not routinely referred to physiotherapy within a year before total hip replacement surgery. This study confirmed that pre-operative physiotherapy may have a positive influence on selected musculoskeletal system status indicators and quality of life in hip osteoarthritis patients awaiting surgery.
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Introduction

Osteoarthritis (OA) remains one of the most debilitating musculoskeletal disorders among the elderly population [1, 2]. The OA produces a variety of serious social, health and economic problems [2]. The World Health Organization (WHO) claims that OA is a civilization-related disease [3]. The prevalence of symptomatic OA is about 3% of the elderly population worldwide [4]. Murphy et al. [5] estimated the lifetime risk of symptomatic hip osteoarthritis (OA). They found 25.3% lifetime risk of...
symptomatic hip OA regardless of sex, race, highest educational attainment, and hip injury history.

It is estimated that OA occurs in 10% of males and 18% of females [6]. This degenerative disease occurs more frequently in hip and knee joints [6]. In the population over 75 years old approximately 10% suffers from hip OA [7]. Coxarthrosis usually presents in women over 50 years old [1, 2]. Delayed diagnosis of disease, and lack of treatment in its early stages, may lead to its rapid progress, which often delays recovery [2, 6, 8]. Patients complain about pain, a limited range of joint motion, decreased muscle strength, limited functional daily living, and decreased quality of life [9]. Patients at the end stage of conservative treatment suffer significant pain and disability. Degenerative characteristics of the disease and pain force the physician and patient to consider surgery [1, 10, 11]. The population of patients having undergone total hip replacement is large, rising yearly [12].

Physiotherapy is recommended for management of OA [2, 13, 14], in the preoperative period, as one of the treatment options [14, 15]. Twelve modalities are recommended by Osteoarthritis Research Society International (OARSI): education and self-management, regular telephone contact, referral to a physical therapist, aerobic, muscle strengthening and water-based exercises, weight reduction, walking aids, knee braces, footwear and insoles, thermal modalities, transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation, and acupuncture [16]. Preoperative rehabilitation may significantly significantly enhance clinical status of patients suffering pulmonary diseases [17].

The effectiveness of preoperative physiotherapy among patients suffering from OA is not frequently described in the literature [6, 8, 14, 18–21].

The aim of the study was to assess the health status of patients in several domains of quality of life and physical measurements at the end of their conservative treatment, before total hip replacement surgery, depending on participation versus nonparticipation in preoperative physiotherapy.

Material and methods

Forty-five patients, admitted to the Chair and Department of Orthopaedics and Traumatology of Locomotor System for total hip replacement (THR) surgery, were recruited to this study from January to May 2010. The study was approved by the Bioethical Committee and carried out in accordance with the International Ethical Guidelines and Declaration of Helsinki. Patients’ data were analyzed according to their history of receiving preoperative physiotherapy and divided into two groups: preoperative physiotherapy “receivers” and “non-receivers”. The disability and quality of life were assessed by general health and musculoskeletal status oriented questionnaires: Harris Hip Score (HHS) [22], Western Ontario and McMaster Universities Osteoarthritis Index (WOMAC) [23], Short Form 36 (SF-36) [24, 25], Hip disability and Osteoarthritis Outcome Score (HOOS) [26] and VAS (Visual Analogue Pain Scale). Patients were surveyed about their participation in preoperative physiotherapy, its duration, individual effectiveness and the willingness to continue physiotherapy in the postoperative period and the type of preoperative treatment. Their radiographs presented the hip OA severity 3 and 4 grade in Kellgren and Lawrence’s scale. Patients were assigned to the preoperative physiotherapy “receivers” group if the physiotherapy programme duration was 35 days or longer.

The physical examination included the assessment of joint range of motion measured, the muscle strength, and lower extremity measurements (circumferences and lengths). Range of motion (ROM) of the hip was measured in degrees and muscle strength was scored accordingly to Lovett’s scale. Circumferences were measured as follows: Gluteal 1 (P1) from the pubic symphysis to the greater trochanter, Gluteal 2 (P2) from the pubic symphysis to the intergluteal furrow. Femoral circumference 1 (U1) and 2 (U2) were measured at the largest circumference level of the thigh and at the vastus medialis obliquus level, respectively. Circumferences were measured in centimetres. The range of passive and active motion (ROM) and muscular strength were measured in flexion, extension, abduction, adduction, internal and external rotation. Differences in measured values were calculated for affected versus contralateral extremity. The clinical assessment was blindly performed regardless of the study group. All results were recorded in an Excel spreadsheet.

Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis was performed using Statistica 9.0 (StatSoft) software. The distribution of variables was assessed using the Shapiro-Wilk test. The significance of differences was tested with Student’s t-test and the non-parametric Mann-Whitney U-test.

Results

In the group of 45 patients (32 females, and 13 males), 27 received preoperative physiotherapy whereas 18 did not. The average age of patients was 59 ±10.79 years. Females were at the average age of 58 years and males 64 years. The average waiting time before admission for the surgery was 14 months. Among physiotherapy “receivers” within one year before admission 24 (89%) received therapeutic exercises and 13 (48%) received physical therapy. Ten patients (37%) received therapeutic exercises combined with physi-
cal therapy. Sixteen patients received preoperative physiotherapy at their own request and initiative (59%), and others (41%) were referred by a physician. Preoperative physiotherapies were given in various health care facilities as shown in Figure 1.

Three patients (11%) noticed a significant improvement in health status. Fourteen patients (52%) achieved little improvement after preoperative physiotherapy. Nine patients (32%) did not observe any difference in their overall health status and only 1 patient (4%) observed a slight deterioration. The average values and their standard deviations of scores from questionnaires obtained by patients are presented in Table I. The average scores were significantly better for the study group than the control group (except for the VAS scale).

The current study shows significant differences of selected variables representing health status and musculoskeletal condition gained after physiotherapy within 1 year of waiting for THR surgery.

Moreover, from among the “non-receivers”, 15 (83.33%) patients expressed their interest in preoperative physiotherapy if given the opportunity. All patients expressed their interest in postoperative physiotherapy. Twenty patients (44.44%) presented their willingness to exercise at home under remote supervision and monitoring by a physiotherapist over the Internet (telerehabilitation).

### Table I. Characteristics of scores obtained for the study group and the control group

| Variable                                      | Investigated group (n = 27) | Control group (n = 18) |
|------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------|
| HHS                                           | 42.26 ±11.77                | 37.06 ±11.24           |
| HOOS symptoms/stiffness                       | 41.67 ±15.44                | 35.28 ±17.45           |
| HOOS pain                                     | 41.02 ±17.25                | 28.33 ±17.34           |
| HOOS activity daily living                    | 39.98 ±18.82                | 26.47 ±19.13           |
| HOOS quality of life                          | 15.51 ±14.44                | 11.46 ±16.22           |
| HOOS sport and recreation                     | 21.3 ±13.9                  | 15.63 ±14.74           |
| WOMAC pain                                   | 46.67 ±17.97                | 37.5 ±22.57            |
| WOMAC stiffness                               | 45.37 ±19.66                | 40.97 ±27.39           |
| WOMAC function                               | 37.15 ±15.71                | 28.19 ±17.98           |
| SF-36 physical functioning                    | 25.93 ±20.48                | 20.83 ±16.83           |
| SF-36 role limitations due to physical problems | 24.07 ±37                   | 13.89 ±26.04           |
| SF-36 role limitations due to emotional problems | 37.04 ±44.66               | 16.67 ±30.78           |
| SF-36 vitality                                | 46.48 ±12.47                | 35.56 ±18.86           |
| SF-36 mental health                           | 60.59 ±17.64                | 46.89 ±21.11           |
| SF-36 social functioning                      | 48.15 ±25.64                | 31.94 ±25.80           |
| SF-36 bodily pain                             | 30.28 ±20.75                | 21.81 ±15.07           |
| SF-36 general health perceptions              | 50.19 ±15.72                | 44.72 ±17.10           |
| SF-36 current state of health/state of health | 23.15 ±19.52                | 13.89 ±19.60           |
| 1 year prior surgery                          |                             |                        |
| VAS                                           | 5.11 ±1.55                  | 5 ±2.28                |
The strength of this study is the focus on the group of patients directly before THR waiting a long time for the surgery. This study has some limitations. First of all the study groups were not cohorts. Patients were asked to retrospect their physiotherapy participation. Another limitation comes from inequality of the physiotherapy programmes that were received by patients. The quality, protocols

Table II. Average values and standard deviations of the physical examination results for the study and control groups

| Variable                              | Investigated group (n = 27) | Control group (n = 18) |
|---------------------------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------|
| Difference: P1                        | -0.04 ±2.08                 | -0.17 ±1.82            |
| Difference: P2                        | 0.44 ±1.69                  | 0.78 ±1.44             |
| Difference: U1                        | 2.44 ±3.85                  | 0.89 ±2.91             |
| Difference: U2                        | 0.85 ±3.45                  | -0.56 ±4.42            |
| Difference: direct leg – length       | 2 ±3.21                     | -0.67 ±2.61            |
| Difference: indirect leg – length     | 1.44 ±2.67                  | -0.06 ±2.41            |
| Difference: active flexion rotation rom| 25.19 ±26.69                | 16.39 ±30.19           |
| Difference: passive flexion rotation rom| 19.81 ±20.96                | 19.72 ±33.54           |
| Difference: active extension rotation rom | 5.74 ±6.75                  | 3.06 ±5.98             |
| Difference: passive extension rotation rom | 6.3 ±8.16                  | 3.06 ±7.7              |
| Difference: active abduction rotation rom | 8.52 ±7.18                  | 8.06 ±10.02            |
| Difference: passive abduction rotation rom | 8.15 ±7.61                  | 10.56 ±10.13           |
| Difference: active adduction rotation rom | 4.07 ±4.61                  | 4.17 ±8.27             |
| Difference: passive adduction rotation rom | 4.07 ±5.89                  | 5 ±7.07                |
| Difference: active int. rotation rom   | 11.48 ±10.08                | 4.17 ±9.12             |
| Difference: passive int. rotation rom  | 12.22 ±10.41                | 3.61 ±9.36             |
| Difference: active ext. rotation rom   | 5.56 ±9.34                  | 10.56 ±7.84            |
| Difference: passive ext. rotation rom  | 6.49 ±9.49                  | 8.89 ±8.14             |
| Difference: flexion muscle strength   | 0.63 ±0.69                  | 0.61 ±0.76             |
| Difference: extension muscle strength | 0.65 ±1.13                  | 0.03 ±0.74             |
| Difference: abduction muscle strength | 0.59 ±0.73                  | 0.83 ±0.86             |
| Difference: adduction muscle strength | 0.33 ±0.92                  | 0.58 ±0.81             |
| Difference: internal rotation muscle strength | 0.74 ±0.89                  | 0.31 ±0.88             |
| Difference: external rotation muscle strength | 0.33 ±0.72                  | 0.42 ±0.83             |

Table III. Variables found significantly different between physiotherapy “receivers” and “non-receivers” (bold represents significant difference)

| Variable                          | AVG investigated group | AVG control group | Test T | Value of p |
|-----------------------------------|------------------------|-------------------|--------|------------|
| HOOS activity daily living        | 39.97810               | 26.47059          | 2.34315| 0.023816   |
| SF-36 vitality                    | 46.48148               | 35.55556          | 2.34457| 0.023737   |
| SF-36 mental health               | 60.59259               | 46.88889          | 2.35955| 0.022907   |
| SF-36 social functioning          | 48.14815               | 31.94444          | 2.07153| 0.044343   |
| Difference: functional limb – length | 2.00000               | -0.66667          | 2.93258| 0.005370   |
| Difference: active internal rotation rom | 11.48148              | 4.16667          | 2.47599| 0.017301   |
| Difference: passive internal rotation rom | 12.22222              | 3.61111          | 2.82762| 0.007092   |
and intensity of physiotherapy given to patients were not standardized. Most often, therapeutic exercise treatment guidelines are based only on the opinion of the individual professionals [27]. The only comparable descriptor of the physiotherapy program was its duration. Regardless of the physiotherapy protocol, the results achieved in this study strongly suggest its positive influence that may make the patient more fit and strong before the extensive surgery. This study also shows that hip OA patients are not routinely referred for preoperative physiotherapy when they are on the waiting list for THR surgery. The majority of patients from both groups expressed their interest in postoperative physiotherapy.

Patients awaiting hip replacement surgery suffered significant pain, functional disability and low quality of life. They predominantly reported worsening of their current health status within the last year prior to the surgery according to the SF-36. Quality of life oriented questionnaires showed that more than a half of examined patients gained benefits from exercising or exercising and physical therapy before surgery.

Results of randomized controlled trials demonstrated slight pain relief among patients with symptomatic hip OA, who received preoperative exercises [28].

Ferrara et al. [29] observed significant differences in pain expressed in the values of the visual analogue scale of pain (VAS), physical functioning according to the SF-36 questionnaire and external rotation ROM in the hip (p < 0.05) in patients undergoing THR surgery who received preoperative physiotherapy. Notable improvement was also described for the hip abductor muscle strength. The considerable differences between the results obtained in WOMAC and HHS questionnaires were not confirmed in the current study.

In our study patients undergoing physiotherapy significantly improved in pain and activity/daily living subscales of the HOOS questionnaire and vitality, mental health, and social life domains of the SF-36 questionnaire. The difference in active and passive internal rotation was found remarkably better for physiotherapy “receivers”.

Several papers have indicated that use of therapeutic exercises in conjunction with physical therapy among patients with hip osteoarthritis is effective and recommended for improving joint range of motion and reducing pain [15, 16, 30]. However, our observation of the pain VAS scores did not support that. It was found that preoperative physiotherapy may have a beneficial effect on patients’ health status after THR [1]. Moreover, traditional rehabilitation based on range of joint motion or isometric muscle strengthening exercises with walking practice may be less effective than more functional rehabilitation [31]. Patients participating in preoperative rehabilitation have less fear of the surgery, a shorter period of convalescence and faster recovery of independence in locomotion and self-care [29], which should be considered as one of the main arguments for implementation of rehabilitation in the period of waiting for surgery [1, 32, 33].

Some authors contend that a preoperative exercise programme can improve physical condition, patient muscle strength before surgery and other quality of life parameters (for example those included in the WOMAC questionnaire) [8]. In the current study similar improvements were confirmed for selected functional parameters. A few authors did not observe beneficial effects of preoperative physiotherapy and education on the patients’ health after surgery [8, 19, 29]. Preoperative physiotherapy may have an effect on shortening hospital stay [8, 29]. On the basis of our study it is difficult to question the presented opinion.

Hopman-Rock and Westhoff [34] found significant time effects of evaluated self-management programmes for patients with hip OA for pain, quality of life, strength of the left quadriceps muscle, knowledge, self-efficacy, body mass index, physically active lifestyle, and visits to the physical therapist. Their programme included 6 weeks of rehabilitation that consisted of health education and physical exercises. On the other hand, the study of Pisters et al. [35] did not confirm the long term (≥6 months after surgery) positive results of exercises on pain and physical function in patients with coxarthrosis. Tak et al. [36] applied an 8-week strength exercise training programme (using fitness equipment) of 1 h per week, a home exercise programme and individualized occupational therapy consultation with dietary advice. The study showed a significant improvement in hip function in Harris Hip Score in the investigated group at the post-test (p < 0.05). Nevertheless, the value of improved results dropped back toward baseline at the follow-up assessment.

Home exercises improve the quality of life and reduce disability of patients with hip osteoarthritis [10]. Our own research shows that patients undergoing preoperative physiotherapy often participated in home-based exercises (33%). Galea et al. [37] confirmed the effectiveness of strengthening for home- and centre-based exercises. Patients after THR achieved significant improvement in quality of life, stair climbing, walking speed increase, cadence, step length and its symmetry in both groups.

The application of modern information technology in medicine is increasing the presence of telemedicine services in health care, including
It delivers added value for rehabilitation services. Telerehabilitation can become a kind of additional treatment for patients who perform home-based exercises [38, 39]. Our patients were considerably interested in a remotely supervised rehabilitation programme for patients with hip osteoarthritis (44%).

We assume that our approach presented in this study is relatively rare. The effectiveness of preoperative physiotherapy in end-stage conservative treatment of coxarthrosis is not often described in the literature. The protocol of this study covered a wide range of assessments consisting of several questionnaires concerning physical and mental health and quality of life. The majority of them supplemented each other rather than overlapped. Several issues touched in this study require further investigation because of the rather preliminary character of this study.

Due to the integrated, collaborative and personalized approach to the treatment of locomotor system diseases (orthopaedic and rehabilitation), the efficacy of preoperative physiotherapy should be a subject of further research.

In conclusion, routine referral for physiotherapy was found unusual for patients suffering hip joint arthrosis (only 60% of examined patients). The majority of patients reported significant deterioration in their health status within 1 year before admission for THR surgery. Preoperative physiotherapy may have a positive influence on selected musculoskeletal system status indicators and the quality of life. During the period of waiting for surgery the home-based therapeutic exercises are the most common way to participate in rehabilitation. Hip osteoarthritis patients are often interested in participation in preoperative (83%) and always in postoperative physiotherapy (100%). Patients also express their interest in telerehabilitation (44%).
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