Association of butterflyfishes and stony coral tissue loss disease in the Florida Keys
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Abstract Since 2014, stony coral tissue loss disease (SCTLD) has rapidly spread throughout the Florida reef tract infecting and killing dozens of coral species. Previous studies have found that corallivorous fishes, such as butterflyfishes, are positively correlated with coral disease prevalence at both local and regional scales. This study investigates the association of SCTLD infection and butterflyfish abundance and behaviors on ten reefs in the middle Florida Keys. Divers conducted video surveys of reef fish abundance and disease prevalence in June 2017, 2018, and 2019; before, during, and after the outbreak of SCTLD infections. SCTLD prevalence increased from 3.2% in 2017 to 36.9% in 2018 and back to 2.7% in 2019. Butterflyfish abundances also showed a similar pattern with a twofold increase in abundance in 2018 over abundances in 2017 and 2019. To better understand the association of individual species of butterflyfishes and diseased corals, 60 coral colonies (20 healthy, 20 diseased, 20 recently dead) were tagged and monitored for butterflyfish activity using both diver-based AGGRA fish counts and 1-h time-lapse videophotography collected in the summers of 2018 and 2019. All reef fishes were more abundant on corals with larger surface areas of live tissue, but only the foureye butterflyfish preferred corals with larger surface areas of diseased tissues. Estimates of association indicate that foureye butterflyfish were found significantly more on diseased corals than either healthy or recently dead corals when compared with the other species of butterflyfishes. Foureye butterflyfish were observed to feed directly on the SCTLD line of infection, while other butterflyfish were not. Furthermore, association of foureye butterflyfish with particular diseased corals decreased from 2018 to 2019 as the SCTLD infections disappeared. Our findings suggest that foureye butterflyfish recruit to and feed on SCTLD-infected corals which may influence the progression and/or transmission of this insidious coral disease.
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Introduction

Over the past 40 years Caribbean coral reefs have experienced a dramatic decline in live coral cover attributed largely to increases in disease (Harvell et al. 2007; Schutte et al. 2010). Warmer sea surface temperatures along with increased nutrient pollution have contributed to a proliferation of coral diseases (Harvell et al. 2002; Rosenberg and Ben-Haim 2002; Selig et al. 2006; Bruno et al. 2007) and are expected to increase in the future (Maynard et al. 2015). However, evidence suggests that mortality due to coral disease is strongly influenced by the degree of coral stress (Lesser et al. 2007; Mueller and van Woesik 2012) and holobiont composition (Bourne et al. 2009; Randall et al. 2014; Mera and Bourne 2018) leaving us much to learn about coral disease transmission.

Coral disease can be transmitted by direct contact, water-borne, or vector-borne transmission (Shore and
Caldwell 2019), and there is growing evidence that corallivorous fishes may influence coral disease (Rice et al. 2019). Butterflyfish (family Chaetodontidae) abundance has been found to be positively correlated with coral disease prevalence at both local and regional scales (Raymundo et al. 2009). However, laboratory studies have found conflicting results regarding the impact of butterflyfish foraging on coral disease transmission, finding both evidence for (Aeby and Santavy 2006) and against (Nicolet et al. 2018) butterflyfish as disease vectors. What is clear is that some butterflyfish are attracted to and preferentially feed on diseased coral tissues which alters the progression of coral disease (Aeby 2007; Cole et al. 2009; Chong-Seng et al. 2011).

Recently, a new and previously undescribed coral disease began spreading throughout the Florida reef tract (Precht et al. 2016). Stony coral tissue loss disease (SCTLD), initially reported in 2014 near Virginia Key, has spread north and south along the Florida reef tract affecting more than 20 species of scleractinian (stony) corals (Lunz et al. 2017; Florida Keys National Marine Sanctuary 2018). The disease first appears as lesions of sloughed tissue which can rapidly spread and kill an entire coral colony in a matter of weeks (Precht et al. 2016; Muller et al. 2018; Walton et al. 2018). Characterization of the microbial communities of lesions and response to antibiotic treatments suggest that SCTLD is associated with bacterial clades commonly found associated with other coral diseases, although an exact putative agent is not yet known (Aeby et al. 2019; Meyer et al. 2019).

In the summer of 2017, we first observed the initiation of SCTLD infections on our ten reefs in the middle Keys where we were conducting reef fish surveys. Divers noted an increased frequency of butterflyfishes associated with diseased coral heads. Here, we report our observations of how changes in SCTLD prevalence are related to changes in butterflyfish abundance, distribution, and behaviors before, during, and after this coral disease outbreak. Our hypotheses were that butterflyfish abundance would increase with increasing SCTLD prevalence, butterflyfish would preferentially feed on diseased corals over healthy corals, and butterflyfish activity would influence SCTLD disease progression.

**Methods**

**Site selection and substrate census**

Our research was conducted in the middle Keys of the Florida Keys National Marine Sanctuary on ten reefs that were selected from a set of 36 reefs previously studied (Smith et al. 2018; Smith et al. 2019). These reefs, 4 inshore (10–20 m depth, < 4 km from shore) and 6 offshore (15–25 m depth, > 6 km offshore), were selected because of their variation in structural complexity and percent of live coral cover (Fig. 1). Each reef was censused in the early summer (June–July) in 2017, 2018, and 2019. Reef census area was defined by a permanent 50 m transect line that ran parallel with the primary reef axis and four perpendicular 30 m transects crossing the main transect at 10, 20, 30, and 40 m. Thus, the entire reef census area was 50 × 30 m. Substrate cover was estimated from twelve digital photographs (50 × 50 cm) transect at 10-m intervals and calculated using 25 random points per photograph generated by Coral Point Count with Excel extensions (see Smith et al. 2018 for descriptions of cover types).

**Reef fish abundances and behaviors**

To assess reef fish abundances across the entire reef, four videos along a 30 × 2 m belt were taken on each of the secondary transects. A diver holding a PVC camera frame with two forward facing GoPro cameras attached at heights of 30 cm and 100 cm from the substrate swam the entire length of each transect at a pace such that each video was 3–4 min in length. The videos were then analyzed for reef fish species identification and abundance which were summed for all four transects. The dual cameras allowed for identification of hard to see individuals or those moving quickly across the field of view. For this study, the reef fishes were classified into five functional groups, including corallivores (five species of Chaetodon butterflyfishes), herbivores, omnivores, grunts, and predators.

In 2018, 60 coral colonies (6 per reef) either healthy (n = 20), actively infected with SCTLD (n = 20), or recently dead (n = 20) were marked with numbered tags, measured (max height, length, width) and photographed on five sides. They included 5 common boulder coral species (Colpophyllia natans, Montastraea cavernosa, Orbicella faveolata, Porites astreoides, Siderastrea siderea). Each photograph was analyzed using ImageJ software to estimate the percent of live, diseased, and dead coral tissue. Percent cover was converted into surface area by estimating total coral surface area using the surface area formula for a half-sphere (2 πr²) with a radius estimated as the average of (max height, length, width). In 2019, all 60 coral colonies were again photographed on five sides and re-analyzed for changes in tissue percent cover and surface area. Percent morbidity was calculated using a percent change equation between 2018 and 2019 tissue percent cover calculations. Mortality was noted when there was no longer any live or diseased tissue cover observed in 2019.

In the summers of 2018 and 2019, each coral head was monitored for fish abundance using diver-based visual AGRRA surveys. All fish observed during a five-minute
observation of a 5-m cylinder centered on each coral head were counted and sorted into the five functional groups described above to estimate local abundance directly related to the status of each coral head (healthy, diseased, recently dead). Abundance data were reported as numbers per five minutes.

To observe fish behaviors in the absence of divers, a single GoPro camera set for time-lapse images taken at 1-min intervals for 60 min was deployed 50 cm above the substrate and 150 cm from the center of the coral head. Each photograph of each coral head was scored for the presence and behaviors of all species of butterflyfishes and was categorized into five categories (absent, present but not over coral head, present over coral head but not feeding, present and feeding on coral head but not on diseased tissue, present and feeding on diseased tissue). Foureye butterflyfish (Chaetodon capistratus) were the most abundant of all the butterflyfishes and were the only species observed to feed on diseased coral tissue. The three other species of butterflyfish (spotfin—C. ocellatus, banded—C. striatus, reef—C. sedentarius) were much less common and never observed to feed on diseased tissue. We summed our observations of these three species for the purpose of statistical comparison with the more common C. capistratus. Percent occurrence was calculated as the number of images within each category over the total number of images taken for that coral head. Since occurrence may be strongly influenced by multiple images of the same individual, we consider it a measure of coral use rather than an estimate of fish abundance.

**Stony coral disease census**

Disease prevalence in 2017, 2018, and 2019 was estimated from a 2 × 50 m video transect taken along the main transect on each of our ten reefs. A coral was noted as “diseased” when acute to subacute tissue loss was observed with indistinct bands of pale tissue (diseased (SCTLD) from Miami in 2014 to Key West in 2019. SCTLD infections on our reefs were just beginning in June 2017 and were gone by June 2019
tissue) progressing to normal pigmentation (healthy tissue). Each video was analyzed for evidence of disease corals relative to the total number of live corals along the transect. Coral species that were surveyed include Acropora spp., Agaricia spp., Colophyllia spp., Diploria spp., Di-choeczonia spp., Montastraea spp., Orbicella spp., Porites spp., and Siderastrea spp.

**Statistical analyses**

Reef-wide fish counts and disease prevalence by year were analyzed by two single-factor ANOVA with Tukey’s post hoc comparisons. Fish abundances and fish occurrences (square-root transformed to meet assumptions of homogeneity of variances) for each type of coral head were analyzed by single-factor ANOVA with Tukey’s post hoc comparisons. Fish abundances and coral characteristics (coral species, colony length, width, height, surface area, and percent live/dead tissue) were estimated using two-factor ANOVA and Pearson’s correlations with p values adjusted for multiple comparisons by a sequential Bonferroni correction.

**Results**

Across our ten sites, stony coral tissue loss disease infections in 2017 ranged from 0.0 to 8.0% with an average prevalence of 3.2%. In 2018, SCTLD prevalence peaked with percentages ranging from 23.1 to 45.8% with an average prevalence of 36.9% (Table 1). Then, in 2019, disease occurrence diminished with infections ranging from 0.0 to 5.2% with an average prevalence of 2.7%. Percent disease in 2018 was unrelated to region (t = 0.937, df = 2.28, p = 0.3976) or the amount of live hard coral cover before the outbreak (F = 0.964, df = 2.26, p = 0.3589). Disease prevalence was significantly higher in 2018 than either 2017 or 2019 (F = 150.9, df = 2.26, p < 0.0001).

Of the 20 diseased corals, we tagged and monitored beginning in the summer of 2018, 19 survived and showed no signs of active SCTLD infection in 2019 (Table S1). Percent morbidity ranged from -0.5471% (increase in live tissue cover) to 100% with a mean coral morbidity of 35.1% (Table S1). However, we did not see a regional (F = 0.812, df = 1.27, p = 0.3729), site (F = 1.173, df = 9.19, p = 0.3455), or species effect on morbidity (F = 1.322, df = 4.24, p = 0.2803).

Total reef fish abundance did not change over this three-year period (F = 3.22, df = 2.26, p = 0.0561). However, the abundance of corallivores (family Chaetodontidae) did show a higher abundance in 2018 (F = 2.92, df = 2.26, p = 0.0716) (Fig. 2) and was positively related to disease prevalence (F = 3.767, df = 1.27, p = 0.0627), although not significantly. Total reef fish abundance around focal corals did not change from 2018 to 2019 and was unrelated to coral status (Table 2). However, foureye butterflyfish abundance was significantly higher in 2018 than in 2019, regardless of coral status (F = 11.07, df = 1.27, p = 0.0012) (Table 2).

The characteristics of coral heads with the largest impact on fish abundances were height and surface area. All reef fish were more abundant around tall coral heads and those with the greatest surface area of live tissue (Table 3). This also held true for foureye butterflyfish, but not for the other species of butterflyfishes. However, foureye butterflyfish abundance was better predicted by the surface area of diseased tissue than the surface area of live tissue. This suggests that foureye butterflyfish were attracted to tall, diseased coral heads. The species of coral had no influence on total reef fish abundance (F = 1.48, df = 4.35, p = 0.1987) or foureye butterflyfish abundance (F = 0.61, df = 4.35, p = 0.6863).

During 2018, when corals had active SCTLD infections, the diseased and healthy corals had significantly higher occurrences of foureye butterflyfish than did dead corals (F = 3.31, df = 1.19, p = 0.0434) (Fig. 3a), but other species of butterflyfish did not show any difference in occurrences due to coral status (F = 0.25, df = 1.19, p = 0.61).

**Table 1**: Percent coral cover (averaged among quarterly censuses), disease (2018 disease prevalence surveys), and pre- and post-disease fish abundance data for nine reef sites across the middle Florida Keys (Long Key Ledge A and B were combined for the purpose of analyses)

| Site          | Region   | %Coral cover | %Disease | Pre-disease fish count | Post-disease fish count |
|---------------|----------|--------------|----------|------------------------|-------------------------|
| E Turtle Patch| Nearshore| 21.35        | 43.40    | 264                    | 456                     |
| Coral Gardens | Nearshore| 16.31        | 23.08    | 1238                   | 529                     |
| Karas Reef    | Nearshore| 12.75        | 27.27    | NA                     | 572                     |
| Turtle Shoals | Nearshore| 10.60        | 39.73    | 157                    | 198                     |
| Elbow         | Offshore | 8.25         | 45.83    | 508                    | 569                     |
| 11’ Mound     | Offshore | 6.63         | 41.38    | 467                    | 290                     |
| Stag Party    | Offshore | 4.62         | 37.50    | 97                     | 185                     |
| LK Ledge      | Offshore | 2.02         | 32.05    | 875                    | 848                     |
| Out Spa       | Offshore | 1.96         | 41.67    | 277                    | 184                     |
This association was no longer observed when the same corals no longer had active infections of SCTLD in 2019 ($F = 0.03, df = 1.19, p = 0.9661$) (Fig. 3b). In 2018, during the active infections of SCTLD, foureye butterflyfish were observed feeding more often on diseased corals than on healthy corals ($F = 2.67, df = 1.19, p = 0.0980$) (Fig. 4), but these differences were no longer present in 2019 after SCTLD infections had cleared ($F = 0.80, df = 1.19, p = 0.4718$). However, foureye butterflyfish feeding intensity was unrelated to the percent decrease in the surface area of healthy coral tissue between 2018 and 2019 ($F = 0.17, df = 1.19, p = 0.9864$). When analyzing healthy and diseased coral heads, foureye butterflyfish feeding intensity was also unrelated to the percent decrease in the surface area of healthy coral tissue between 2018 and 2019 ($F = 0.17, df = 1.19, p = 0.9864$). When analyzing healthy and diseased coral heads, foureye butterflyfish feeding intensity was also unrelated to the percent decrease in the surface area of healthy coral tissue between 2018 and 2019 ($F = 0.17, df = 1.19, p = 0.9864$).
butterflyfish have no relationship to percent morbidity ($F = 0.51, \text{df} = 1.19, p = 0.4795$). Furthermore, 19 of 20 diseased corals survived their SCTLD infection regardless of the intensity of foureye butterflyfish feeding.

**Discussion**

Stony coral tissue loss disease reached the reefs in the middle Florida Keys in 2017, peaking in 2018, and declining significantly in 2019 (Florida Keys National Marine Sanctuary 2018). In 2018, location-specific infection rates across all available corals ranged from 23.1 percent to 45.8 percent with no correlation between percent coral cover and disease prevalence. We did not find a significant difference in coral infection rates between our inshore and offshore reefs as previously reported (Rippe et al. 2019). However, these estimates of disease prevalence may be lower than actual disease prevalence due to limitations imposed by estimating disease from video transects.

Corallivores (Chaetodontids) also showed a peak abundance in 2018, twice as high than in 2017 or 2019. This pattern was not seen in our other reef fish functional groups and thus was not a general phenomenon affecting all reef fish species. Across all censuses, there was a positive, but not significant, relationship between corallivore abundance and percent disease prevalence. A previous study found no correlation between butterflyfish abundance and the prevalence of coral disease but a positive response of corallivorous drupellids in response to disease (Raj et al. 2016). What our study suggests is that response to increasing disease prevalence is very rapid and unlikely due to increases in butterflyfish recruitment or growth rates. More likely butterflyfish are locally attracted to large diseased coral heads from surrounding areas with few diseased individuals. Whether or not butterflyfish track the movement of the disease front long distances is not yet known, but there are some studies that other corallivores, e.g., drupellids, track resources using chemical cues released by stressed corals (Stambler 2010; Tsang and Ang 2015; Kaullysing et al. 2016).

Diver-based fish surveys conducted in 2018 and 2019 found that butterflyfish were more abundant around large coral heads in 2018 than in 2019, but other reef fishes were not. This was mostly due to increases in one species of butterflyfish, *C. capistratus*. Reef fish abundance was positively correlated with coral height and area of live tissue. However, for *C. capistratus* abundance was most strongly correlated with area of diseased tissue and was unrelated to coral species. The other three species of butterflyfishes show no correlations with abundance, coral species, or size. Previous studies have found similar associations of reef fishes with large coral heads and high live tissue area due to the biological and physical structure that coral provides (Bell and Galzin 1984; Roberts and Ormond 1987; Gratwicke and Speight 2005).

Time-lapse videophotography in the absence of divers found no pattern in association for reef, spotfin, and banded butterflyfishes which showed similar frequencies during and after the disease outbreak across all coral types. However, foureye butterflyfish associated more with diseased corals than healthy or dead corals in 2018 and disappeared one year later when the same corals no longer had active SCTLD infections. While time-lapse videophotography may overestimate occurrences due to multiple observations of the same individual fish, they still corroborate our diver-based visual surveys indicating higher association by foureye butterflyfish. Foureye butterflyfish were observed feeding significantly more often on diseased corals than on healthy or dead corals with photographs indicating frequent feeding on the active disease line. These differences disappeared the following year when the infected coral heads no longer had active SCTLD. This parallels previous results where butterflyfishes were attracted to diseased corals in the field and fed on disease lesions (Aeby and Santavy 2006; Aeby 2007; Raymundo et al. 2009; Chong-Seng et al. 2011).

**Table 3** Correlations of fish abundance to coral characteristics (*n* = 120)

| Coral characteristic | All reef fish | Other butterflyfish | Foureye butterflyfish |
|----------------------|--------------|---------------------|----------------------|
|                      | *r*          | *P*                 | *r*                  | *P*                 | *r*                | *P*                 |
| Coral height         | 0.227        | 0.0128*             | 0.087                | 0.3437              | 0.270              | 0.0030*             |
| Surface area (total) | 0.188        | 0.0406              | 0.032                | 0.7228              | 0.268              | 0.0032*             |
| Surface area (live)  | 0.317        | 0.0004*             | -0.011               | 0.9003              | 0.130              | 0.1582              |
| Surface area (diseased) | 0.067      | 0.4646              | -0.046               | 0.6134              | 0.314              | 0.0005*             |
| Surface area (dead)  | 0.044        | 0.6279              | 0.046                | 0.6167              | 0.249              | 0.0063*             |

*a* Other butterflyfish includes all spotfin, banded, and reef butterflyfishes

Bold significant at $\alpha = 0.05$

*Significant at global $\alpha = 0.05$ by sequential Bonferroni correction
With the attraction of corallivorous fishes to sloughing coral tissue, the increase in foureye butterflyfish to diseased colonies follows previously observed trends (Aeby and Santavy 2006; Raymundo et al. 2009; Chong-Seng et al. 2011). Additionally, *C. capistratus* is a home-ranging (Neudecker and Lobel 1982; Gore 1983), generalist corallivore (Gore 1984; Cole et al. 2008; Bellwood et al. 2009), making the repeated opportunistic feedings on disease lesions expected. The lack of response of the other three species to diseased tissue may be due to differences in dietary habits. *C. sedentarius* and *C. striatus* are considered benthic invertebrate feeders (Bellwood et al. 2009), which

**Fig. 3** Occurrence of butterflyfishes in time-lapse photographs of focal hard corals either dead, diseased, or healthy. Number of photographs per hour with foureye butterflyfish (orange) or reef, banded, spotfin butterflyfish (blue) a in 2018 and b in 2019. Note that all disease corals from 2018 were healed by 2019 and showed no active stony coral tissue loss disease. Occurrence of foureye butterflyfish on diseased corals in 2018 was significantly higher ($P < 0.05$) than on dead corals. Other butterflyfish occurrence was unrelated to hard coral status for both years
explains why there was not an influx in their abundances, although spotfin butterflyfish were observed feeding on the disease lesions across our ten sites. *C. ocellatus* has similar dietary needs as *C. capistratus*, so it is unknown why they did not have a similar trend to the foureye butterflyfish.

With direct feeding on coral lesions, some studies have found that active feeding leaves corals more vulnerable to infection (Aeby and Santavy 2006; Raymundo et al. 2009), while other studies found that the active feeding and removal of infected tissue allowed corals an opportunity to recover from disease (Aeby 1983; Cole et al. 2009). We found no relationship between the abundance or frequency of occurrence of butterflyfishes and coral morbidity or mortality from SCTLD, but of our twenty infected corals, nineteen survived the infection. This may support previous findings that the butterflyfish may be halting or at least slowing the progress of disease by consuming the sloughing tissue (Aeby 1983; Cole et al. 2009). Previous work on butterflyfish transmission in black-band disease found no evidence of increased or decreased transmission (Nicolet et al. 2018).

It is unknown whether the observed increase in butterflyfish feeding influenced the rate of disease spread to uninfected corals. If butterflyfish were facilitating the spread of SCTLD, we would expect to find faster rates of new infection in areas with higher butterflyfish abundance, but if coral disease is increasing butterflyfish recruitment after initial infection, disease spread would not necessarily be related to butterflyfish abundance. More frequent observations would be needed to measure this relationship.
with appropriate butterflyfish-free corals as controls. Future research needs to investigate the potential influences that these butterflyfish may have on disease transmission, with specific focus on the surface area of live coral tissue. This study is the first to examine the relationship between butterflyfish and stony coral tissue loss disease in the Caribbean. Given the rate of SCTLD spread and the lethality of this pathogen, understanding the role of foureye butterflyfish in its transmission should be a top priority in the efforts to protect corals and manage this disease outbreak (Shore and Caldwell 2019).
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