Introduction

Functional foods are food products that provide health benefits beyond the traditional nutrients (Williams et al. 2006). Increased awareness of consumer health, cost of health care and steady increase in life expectancy have resulted in the demand for food products that can improve consumer well-being (Lamsal and Faubion 2009; Gupta and Abu-Ghannam 2012). Probiotic strains contribute to the functional properties of food during fermentation (Jespersen 2003). Although, dairy products are the prominent probiotics, nondairy products, especially cereals are becoming the favored choices as probiotics delivery vehicles (Prado et al. 2008; Lamsal and Faubion 2009; Granato et al. 2010).

Cereals are very important crops used for human nutrition in most parts of the world. They are readily available because they are grown in greater quantities where they constitute important sources of nutrients, phytochemicals, and other bioactive compounds. Cereal grains are very good substrates for fermentation globally and the predominant microorganisms are lactic acid, bacteria, and yeasts (Blandino et al. 2003; Franz et al. 2014).

The choice of cereal-based substrate for the development of probiotic foods is motivated by increase in consumer vegetarianism, lactose intolerance, cholesterol content, and economic reasons that are associated with dairy products (Prado et al. 2008; Gobbetti et al. 2010). Cereals also have the potentials to offer consumer prebiotic and whole grain benefits (Lamsal and Faubion 2009).
are few reports on the suitability of cereals as carrier of probiotic lactic acid bacteria but no work has reported the use of probiotic yeast strains to develop cereal-based probiotic food despite reports supporting the probiotic potentials of yeasts and the wide physiological diversity of yeasts in traditional fermented cereal-based foods.

Probiotic yeast is required to be technologically suitable to influence the functionality of cereal substrate during fermentation. The strains must be able to attain high population (10^6–10^8 g/mL), retain desired properties, and provide desirable organoleptic effects when included in cereal fermentation processes (Klaenhammer and Kullen 1999; Gupta and Abu-Ghannam 2012).

There are several features of probiotic yeasts that can contribute to their successful inclusion during fermentation to produce cereal-based functional foods. Yeasts maintain viability and stability over changing food processing conditions. They can tolerate a wide range of salt concentration, oxygen activity, and pH (Arroyo-López et al. 2008; Walker 2009; Nayak 2011; Bonatsou et al. 2015). Yeasts impart desirable organoleptic properties on fermented foods. Choice yeast species, during cereal fermentation metabolize sugars to a wide range of flavor-active compounds, constituting the distinctive flavor of the product (Combina et al. 2005; Tamang and Fleet 2009).

In this study, we developed a cereal-based functional food using cereal-mix made up of sorghum, pearl millet, and wheat with probiotic Pichia kudriavzevii OG32. The aim of the study was to investigate the influence of the probiotic yeast strain on some organoleptic and functional properties of the cereal-based substrate.

Materials and Methods

Probiotic starter culture

Probiotic strain – Pichia kudriavzevii OG32 was used in this study. The strain was isolated from ogi (fermented cereal gruel) and selected as the probiotic based on in vitro studies. The strain was maintained on Yeast Peptone Dextrose (YPD) agar (Himedia, Mumbai, India) at 5°C. Starter culture was obtained after overnight incubation at 37°C in YPD broth. The culture was centrifuged at 7000 rev/min and 4°C for 10 min, pellets were washed three times in 0.1% sterile NaCl and resuspended in 0.1% sterile NaCl to its original volume (Angelov et al. 2006). The cell count was standardized to 10^7 cfu/mL and serial dilution was carried out to obtain 10^6 and 10^7 cfu/mL.

Cereal substrates and slurry preparation

The whole grains of clean cereals, including, white and red sorghum (Sorghum bicolor), pearl millet (Pennisetum glaucum), and wheat (Triticum aestivum) were dry-milled separately using a Christy Briton hammer mill (Model LB7, Christy-Turner, Suffolk, UK). Slurry was prepared by mixing equal proportions of each of the flour (1:1:1:1) in distilled water (10% w/v). According to a modified method of Angelov et al. (2006), different combination of sweeteners and spices were added to equal volumes of the slurry as follows: (1) 1.5% sugar, (2) 3% sugar + 0.1% cardamom powder, (3) 1% salt, (4) 1% salt + 0.2% black pepper powder, (5) 1% salt + 0.2% red chili powder, and (6) 1% salt + 0.1% red chili powder + 0.1% black pepper powder. Sweeteners and spices were sourced from local markets in Mysore, India. The starch in the slurry was gelatinized and hydrolyzed by stirring the suspension at 95°C for 30 min, and then allowed to cool to 37°C. Sensory evaluation was performed to determine the most acceptable combination of sweeteners and spices before fermentation studies. The sensory panel was made up of 10 members that rated the samples for physical appearance, consistency, taste, and overall quality on a nine-point Hedonic scale with nine representing “like extremely” and 1 represent “dislike extremely”. A flow chart of the slurry preparation and fermentation is shown in Figure 1.

Fermentation

Two hundred and fifty milliliters of sterile slurry was inoculated with 1% (v/v) of the different concentrations
of the yeast starter culture (10<sup>7</sup>, 10<sup>6</sup> and 10<sup>5</sup> cfu/mL) to give a final cell concentration of 10<sup>5</sup>, 10<sup>4</sup>, and 10<sup>3</sup> cfu/mL in the respective fermenting matrix. Uninoculated slurry served as control. Fermentation was carried out at 37°C for 24 h and samples were aseptically taken at the end of the fermentation for further analysis (Angelov et al. 2006; Rathore et al. 2012).

Enumeration of viable cells and determination of pH

Enumeration of viable yeast cells was performed by estimating the colony-forming units (cfu/mL) from the samples using YPD agar at 30°C for 24 h. The pH of the samples was determined with the use of a pH meter (GENEI, Bangalore, India).

Determination of viscosity

The viscosity of 100 mL of each sample was determined using a viscometer (Model: R1:3: M, Rheology International Shannon Ltd., Shannon, County Clare, Ireland) equipped with spindle no. 6 rotating at 50 rev/min at 25°C for 30 sec. Viscosity was expressed in milliPascal second (mPa sec) (Vijayendra and Sharath Babu 2008).

Sensory evaluation

The most acceptable product after fermentation was determined by a sensory panel of 10 members. They rated the samples for appearance, aroma, taste, and overall acceptability by using a nine-point Hedonic scale (9 and 1 representing “like extremely” and “dislike extremely” respectively).

Determination of volatile compounds

A 10 mL sample of the most acceptable fermented product based on the sensory evaluation was taken to determine the volatile compounds.

Volatile compounds extraction

An equal volume of dichloromethane (SD Fine Chemicals, Mumbai, India) was added to the sample, poured into a 100 mL separatory funnel and shaken vigorously for 2 min. It was allowed to separate until the solvent and aqueous phases were formed. The solvent phase (dichloromethane) was gently released into a dry test tube. The procedure was repeated twice and the solvent phases were pooled into the test tube and 0.5 g of anhydrous sodium sulphate was added. The test tube was kept for 5 min for the total removal of moisture before transferring the extract into a graduated tube. The extract was concentrated to 500 μL by shaking in a water bath at ambient temperature (Vanaja et al. 2011).

Analysis of volatile compounds

The concentrated extract was analyzed for volatile compounds using gas chromatography-mass spectrometer (GC-MS) (PerkinElmer-Autosystem XL/TurboMass Gold, Waltham, Massachusetts, USA). The instrument is equipped with an ELITE 1 nonpolar capillary column (30 m × 0.25 mm (ID); 0.25 μm film thickness). Separation of volatiles in 1 μL (split ratio 1:10) of the extract was carried out along the capillary column by helium gas at a flow rate of 1 mL/min. The oven temperature was held at 100°C for 6 min, heated at 4°C/min to 150°C, then 8°C/min to 220°C, and held at 220°C until an approximate run time of 40 min. The mass spectrophotometer was operated in the electron impact mode and mass spectra were taken, using an ionization voltage of 70 eV. The mass scan range was 40–400 AMU, with a scanning speed of 0.2 sec. Data acquisition and generation of chromatograms and mass spectra for each peak was done with PerkinElmer software (TurboMass 5.4 GC/MS) (Vanaja et al. 2011).

Identification and quantification of volatile compounds

The identification of volatile compounds was performed by comparing the mass spectra with standard mass spectra database from the NIST Ver. 2.1 2009 Mass Spectra Library, Gaithersburg, Maryland, USA. The relative percentage of each volatile compound was calculated by comparing the peak area with the total area as follows.

\[
\text{Relative percentage of each volatile compound} = \frac{\text{Compound peak area}}{\text{Total compounds peak area}} \times 100
\]

Determination of antioxidant activity

A 5 mL sample of the fermented product was taken and extracted for antioxidant assay. Extraction was carried out in 25 mL of deionized water: methanol (1:1) using a modified method of Noipa et al. (2011). The mixture was homogenized in mortar and pestle, at 5°C for 15 min. The solution was centrifuged at 7500 rev/min for 10 min at 4°C, and the supernatant was collected. One milliliter of the supernatant was used for the determination of antioxidant activity by using the 1,1-diphenyl-2-picyril-hydrazyl (DPPH*) free radical scavenging method (Sharma and Bhat 2009).

\[
\text{Antioxidant activity (\%)} = \frac{A_0 - A}{A_0} \times 100
\]
A0: Optical density of control, A: Optical density of sample extract.

**Statistical analysis**

Results represented means with standard deviation of triplicate values. Data obtained were subjected to ANOVA and the significant differences were compared using Duncan’s Multiple Range Test (DMRT). Values of $P<0.05$ were considered statistically significant.

**Results**

**Slurry preparation**

Results of sensory evaluation of the cereal-mix after the addition of different combinations of sweeteners and spices showed that the addition of 1% salt and 0.2% red chili powder provided the most acceptable overall quality (Table 1). This combination of additives was selected for the fermentation studies. The different combinations of sweeteners and spices had no effect on the consistency but they significantly affected the physical appearance and taste of the cereal mix. The cereal mix slurry to which 1% salt, 0.1% red chili powder, and 0.1% black chili powder were added had the best physical appearance while the addition of 1.5% sugar gave the least acceptable taste (Table 1).

**Viable cells count and pH**

The addition of 1% (v/v) of different cell concentrations of probiotic *Pichia kudriavzevii* OG32 to the cereal mix slurry achieved cell counts of $10^3$, $10^4$, and $10^5$ cfu/mL at the onset of fermentation. The yeast counts obtained after 24 h of fermentation are presented in Table 2. Colony counts from the range of $10^6$–$10^7$ cfu/mL were achieved for all the samples. This is an indication that *Pichia kudriavzevii* OG32 grew and retained viability in the cereal mix slurry. Cell concentrations within this range are required to provide the desired probiotic effects. Reduction in pH was recorded from pH 6.01 to pH 5.08 at the end of fermentation (Table 2).

**Viscosity**

There was a gradual increase in the viscosity of the fermented products as the inoculum size increased (Fig. 2). Sample D with inoculum size of $10^7$ cfu/mL had the highest viscosity (1793.6 mPa.s).

**Table 1.** Sensory evaluation of cereal-based slurry prepared with different combinations of sweeteners and spices.

| Sample | Appearance | Consistency | Taste | Overall quality |
|--------|------------|-------------|-------|-----------------|
| A      | 5.40 ± 0.55a | 5.85 ± 0.02a | 3.60 ± 0.10a | 4.95 ± 0.84a |
| B      | 5.40 ± 0.34a | 5.85 ± 0.06a | 4.95 ± 0.07a | 4.95 ± 0.82a |
| C      | 5.40 ± 0.77a | 5.85 ± 0.02a | 5.06 ± 0.31a | 5.40 ± 1.11b |
| D      | 6.30 ± 0.58a | 5.85 ± 0.03a | 6.75 ± 0.11a | 5.85 ± 1.02c |
| E      | 6.30 ± 0.23a | 5.85 ± 0.03a | 5.40 ± 0.21a | 5.06 ± 1.22de |
| F      | 6.30 ± 0.72a | 5.85 ± 0.04a | 5.30 ± 0.03a | 5.85 ± 0.96a |

Data are means with standard deviations of triplicate values. Values not sharing a common superscript differ significantly at $P < 0.05$ (DMRT).

*Key: 1.5% sugar (A), 3% sugar + 0.1% cardamom powder (B), 1% salt (C), 1% salt + 0.2% black pepper powder (D), 1% salt + 0.2% red chili powder (E), 1% salt + 0.1% red chili powder + 0.1% black pepper powder (F).*

**Table 2.** pH and viable count during laboratory fermentation of cereal-based slurry using different inoculum sizes of *Pichia kudriavzevii* OG32 as starter cultures.

| Sample | pH | Viable count (Log$_{10}$ cfu/mL) |
|--------|----|----------------------------------|
|        | Initial | Final | Initial | Final |
| Control | 6.01 ± 0.01 | 5.98 ± 0.01 | – | – |
| A      | 6.01 ± 0.01 | 5.14 ± 0.08 | 4.37 ± 0.21 | 7.46 ± 0.22 |
| B      | 6.01 ± 0.01 | 5.10 ± 0.05 | 5.30 ± 0.15 | 8.19 ± 0.14 |
| C      | 6.01 ± 0.01 | 5.08 ± 0.09 | 6.27 ± 0.62 | 8.22 ± 0.31 |

Data are means with standard deviations of triplicate values.

**Sensory evaluation**

Result from sensory evaluation of the fermented product, using different inoculum sizes of *Pichia kudriavzevii* OG32 is as presented in Table 3. There was no significant difference in the observed consistency between the control sample and the fermented products using different inoculum concentrations. Inoculum size of $10^6$ cfu/mL was found to provide the most acceptable taste and flavor. There was a gradual increase in appealing mouth-feel with increasing inoculum concentration. Sample C, with inoculum concentration of $10^6$ cfu/mL was the most acceptable fermented product.

**Volatile compounds**

Gas chromatograms of the volatile compounds in the fermented cereal-based product and control sample are shown in Figure 3. The number and relative percentages of volatile compounds are shown in Table 4. *Pichia kudriavzevii* OG32 enhanced the formation of volatile flavor compounds in the fermented product (Table 4). A total of 44 volatile compounds were identified in this study. They were broadly categorized into six groups, including...
acids (9), alcohols (11), alkanes (6), aromatics (3), carbonyls (8), and esters (7). Forty (40) of the volatile compounds were identified in the fermented product sample and eighteen (18) were identified in the control sample. Several volatile compounds occurred at high concentrations in both the fermented cereal-based product and control sample. Acids (32.21%) and esters (32.37%) had the largest proportion of the volatile compounds in the fermented cereal-based product while alkanes and aromatic compounds had the least proportion (6.02%).
Acids accounted for 68.4% of the volatile compounds detected in the control food. The major acids produced in both samples were 9, 12-octadecadienoic acid, and acetic acid (Table 4). Benzyl alcohol and 2-Hexyl-1-octanol were found to be the predominant alcohols in both samples (Table 4). 9-Octadecenal is the only carbonyl that was common to both samples. 9, 12-Octadecadienoic acid methyl ester was the predominant ester in the control (6.87%) and fermented product (25.02%) (Table 4).

Antioxidant activity

There was an increase in the antioxidant activity of fermented product upon the incorporation of the probiotic \textit{Pichia kudriavzevii} OG32 based on the result shown in Figure 4. The fermented product scavenged DPPH* from 200 μmol/L methanolic solution by 55.71%, while the control sample recorded a scavenging capacity of 40.83%.

Discussion

Probiotic microorganisms participate in the fermentation of various foods, generating bioactive components that enhance the functionality of food products (Yadav et al. 2011). These foods also serve as delivery vehicles of probiotic strains to the desired target sites in the human or animal body. To achieve health benefits, functional foods are expected to support the growth and maintain strains’ viable count in the range of about 10^6–10^7 cfu/g of the product (Lamsal and Faubion 2009). Naturally fermented cereals account for up to 80% of total calorie consumption in many African countries (Franz et al. 2014). The increase in consumer vegetarianism, economic reasons, and whole grain benefits make cereals the favored choice for the delivery of probiotic strains (Prado et al. 2008). In this study, \textit{Pichia kudriavzevii} OG32 was selected for

### Table 4. Volatile compounds of cereal-based functional food prepared with \textit{Pichia kudriavzevii} OG32.

| Retention time | Compound | Control (%) | Cereal-based functional food (%) |
|----------------|----------|-------------|----------------------------------|
| 3.2            | 5,7-Dimethyl Undecane | – | 0.04 |
| 3.4            | Benzyl alcohol | 2.62 | 6.63 |
| 4.5            | Nonanal | – | 0.13 |
| 4.7            | Dimethyl acetal | – | 0.13 |
| 7.2            | Undecanal | – | 0.04 |
| 7.6            | 3-Methyl, 4-Heptanone | – | 0.09 |
| 13.3           | Alpha-cubebene | – | 0.10 |
| 14.3           | 4,6-Dimethyl Undecane | – | 0.14 |
| 14.6           | Caryophyllene | 2.30 | 2.18 |
| 15.7           | Dextro-linalyl acetate | – | 0.05 |
| 17.4           | Geranyl acetate | – | 0.23 |
| 18.3           | Dihydrocarylene acetate | – | 0.05 |
| 18.8           | Dodecatrien-3-ol | 0.44 | – |
| 18.9           | Tetradecanoic acid | – | 0.04 |
| 21.4           | Tetramethyl decane | – | 0.20 |
| 21.6           | Propanoic acid | – | 0.04 |
| 21.7           | 10-Undecen-1-ol | 0.44 | – |
| 21.8           | Pentadecen-1-ol | – | 0.54 |
| 22.2           | 9,12-Octadecadienoic acid | 18.54 | 4.43 |
| 22.3           | 2-Hexyl-1-octanol | 11.89 | 1.21 |
| 22.5           | 9,12-Octadecadienoic acid methyl ester | 6.87 | 25.02 |
| 22.6           | 9-Hexadecenonic acid | 6.88 | – |
| 22.8           | 11-Tetradecenoic acid | 5.05 | – |
| 22.9           | 13-Octadecanen | – | 9.56 |
| 23.0           | 9-Tetradecenoic acid | 0.47 | 0.02 |
| 23.1           | 3-Pentadecen-2-ol | – | 0.77 |
| 23.2           | Tetradecanen | – | 0.17 |
| 23.3           | Octadecanen | – | 0.35 |
| 23.4           | Dodecanoic acid | 2-penten-1-yl ester | – | 0.04 |
| 23.5           | 18-Nonadecen-1-ol | – | 0.21 |
| 23.7           | 11,14-Eicosadienoic acid methyl ester | – | 0.19 |
| 23.9           | 9-Octadecenal | 0.87 | 0.49 |
| 24.0           | Octadecanen | 0.78 | 0.91 |
| 24.1           | 9-Heptadecanol | 0.77 | 0.06 |
| 24.3           | 12-methyl, teradecanoic acid | 1.49 | 0.07 |
| 24.4           | 1,2 Benzedicarboxylic acid | 0.61 | 0.63 |
| 24.7           | 6,10-Dimethyl-4-undecanol | – | 0.41 |
| 24.8           | 2-Hexyl-1-Decanol | – | 0.11 |
| 24.9           | 1-Tetrasosanol | – | 0.12 |
| 25.5           | Nonadecanen | 0.74 | 1.77 |
| 25.8           | Dibuty phthalate | 2.92 | 6.79 |
| 26.2           | Acetic acid | 35.36 | 27.98 |
| 26.5           | 2(3H)-Furanone | – | 0.68 |
| 26.9           | Tetramethyl heptadecane | 0.54 | – |

*: Not detectable. Percentage proportion of volatile compound is expressed as (compound peak area/total compounds peak area) x 100.

Data are means of triplicate values.
the development of a cereal-based functional food due to its important technological and functional properties. The cereal-based food in this study was prepared from a mix of equal proportions of white sorghum, red sorghum, millet, and wheat after determination of the best sweetener and spice combination in a sensory evaluation. The sensory panel suggested that the addition of 1% salt and 0.2% red chili powder provide the most acceptable overall quality. It was observed that salt and red chili powder did not affect the viability of \textit{Pichia kudriavzevii} OG32. This is in agreement with a report by Angelov et al. (2006), whereby the addition of sweeteners; aspartame, sodium cyclamate, saccharine and Huxol did not affect the viability of probiotic \textit{Lactobacillus plantarum} B28 during the fermentation of oat mash. Sensitivities vary among strains, however, incorporated probiotic strains are required to maintain viability despite the addition of ingredients or additives during food processing (Champagne et al. 2005). Yeasts have been reported to grow at various stress conditions, including high salt concentrations (Lopandic et al. 2006; Walker 2009; Bonatsou et al. 2015).

The complement of the cereals used in this study is expected to provide the nutritional requirements for yeast growth. According to FAO (1999), each of the cereals that constitute the cereal mix in this study has a significant amount of vitamins and minerals. Pearl millet has the highest proportion of calcium and iron. In addition, wheat and pearl millet have higher protein content. Appropriate processing of cereals increases the amount of fermentable sugars for the growth of lactic acid bacteria and yeasts (FAO 1999; Charalamopoulos et al. 2002; Angelov et al. 2006). \textit{Pichia kudriavzevii} OG32 showed good growths in our cereal mix slurry after 24 h. The strain gave viable counts above the suggested minimum limit (Log$_{10}$ 6–7) required to deliver desired health benefits (Lamsal and Faubion 2009). Yeasts participate actively during food fermentation and they can achieve populations around $10^7$ cfu/mL in different food substrates (Fleet 1999). Our observation is consistent with reports using probiotic lactic acid bacteria as the starter culture in cereal fermentation. Sanni et al. (2013) reported viable cells counts in the range of 8.26–8.82 Log$_{10}$ cfu/mL of probiotic \textit{Lactobacillus} strains after fermenting sorghum slurry for sorghurt production. In addition, within 10 h, probiotic \textit{L. plantarum} B28 showed growth by an increase of at least Log order of two in oat mash (Angelov et al. 2006). The viable cells count of \textit{L. plantarum} increased above the suggested minimum limit of 6–7 Log$_{10}$ cfu/mL after 6 h of fermenting single malt, barley, and barley malt (mixed cereal) (Rathore et al. 2012). The growth of \textit{Pichia kudriavzevii} OG32 in the cereal mix slurry is attributed to the availability of fermentable sugars and other growth factors in the substrate (Charalamopoulos et al. 2002). There was an improvement in the mouth feel and viscosity of the food product as the inoculum size increased. This could be due to exopolysaccharides produced by the yeast strain and the increase in biomass density.

The flavor of food depends on the balance of volatile compounds that are inherently present in food or those produced during processing (Linton and Wright 1993). A vast number of volatile compounds are synthesized and modulated by yeasts during fermentation. They significantly impart the overall quality of the product (Callejon et al. 2010). These compounds include acids, higher alcohols, carbonyls, and esters. This study revealed the ability of \textit{Pichia kudriavzevii} OG32 to enhance the flavor properties of cereal substrate. Forty (40) volatile compounds were identified in the fermented products while eighteen (18) were identified in the control sample. Previous studies have reported an increase of volatile compound due to fermentation by yeasts and lactic acid bacteria (Annan et al. 2003; Callejon et al. 2010; Parkouda et al. 2011; Serensen et al. 2011). The most abundant volatile compounds in the functional food are acids and esters accounting for 32.21 and 32.37%, respectively. The available information in the literature affirmed the flavor properties of some of the detected volatile compounds. Esters mainly determine the pleasant aromatic notes of fermented products, contributing to the flora and fruity odors (Chen and Xu 2010; Moreira et al. 2011; Parkouda et al. 2011). Flavor-active acids detected in the fermented product include tetradecanoic acid, which has a creamy and cheesy flavor and 9, 12-octadecadienoic acid with a faint fatty flavor (The Good Scent Company (TGSC) 1989). These compounds were reported in unfermented and fermented baobab seeds (Parkouda et al. 2011). The proportion of higher alcohols was observed to be low in the fermented food (10.12%) and relatively low concentrations of higher alcohols contribute to fruity-like aromas for foods while at higher concentrations they contribute to the ‘hot’ and ‘irritating’ aromas, which are undesirable to the consumer (Saberi et al. 2012). Benzyl alcohol is the largest proportion of alcohol in the fermented food. Benzyl alcohol has been reported in organic red wines fermented with \textit{Saccharomyces cerevisiae} (Callejon et al. 2010). It has sweet, floral, and fruity flavor with balsamic nuances (The Good Scent Company (TGSC) 1989).

The total antioxidant activity of fermented food is attributed to the different antioxidants that are components of the food substrates and metabolites of the microorganisms. These antioxidants include enzymes such as catalase, glutathione reductase and superoxide dismutase; micro- and macromolecules such as ascorbic acid, folate, reduced glutathione, beta-carotene, beta-glucan, phenols, and fatty acids (Abbas 2006; Balasubramanian and Ragunathan...
2012). A measure of the overall antioxidant capacity of the food may provide more relevant biological information compared to that obtained by the measurement of individual components. In this study, the overall antioxidant capacity of the cereal-based food increased after fermentation by *Pichia kudriavzevii* OG32. A similar report by Qian et al. (2012) showed an increase in the antioxidant capacity of *Pavlova lutheri* (microalgae) after fermentation with *Hansenula polymorpha* (*Pichia angusta*). This could be due to yeasts’ cell components or metabolites with antioxidant activity. Oxygenated carotenoids, organic acids, glutathione, some uncharacterized proteins and cell wall β-glucan from yeasts have been characterized to have antioxidant properties (Abbas 2006; Balasubramanian and Ragunathan 2012).

**Conclusion**

This study is able to suggest the suitability of cereal-based matrix as a viable delivery vehicle for probiotic yeasts. The probiotic yeast grew above the minimum level required for probiotic effects. Inoculation with the probiotic yeast has an impact on the organoleptic and functional properties of the cereal-mix slurry. In particular, there were increments the variety of flavor active compounds and antioxidant activity of the fermented product.

**Acknowledgments**

The award of DBT-TWAS Postgraduate Sandwich Fellowship by The Department of Biotechnology (DBT), Government of India, and The World Academy of Sciences (TWAS), Italy to O. R. Ogunremi to carry out the study at the Central Food Technological Research Institute (CFTRI), Mysore, India is gratefully acknowledged.

**Conflict of Interest**

None declared.

**References**

Abbas, C. A. 2006. Production of antioxidants, aromas, colours, flavours, and vitamins by yeasts. Pp. 285–334 in A. Querol and G. H. Fleet, eds. The yeast handbook: yeasts in food and beverages. Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg.

Angelov, A., V. Gotcheva, R. Kuncheva, and T. Hristozova. 2006. Development of a new oat-based probiotic drink. Int. J. Food Microbiol. 112:75–80.

Annan, N. T., L. Poll, S. Sefa Dedeh, W. A. Plahar, and M. Jakobsen. 2003. Influence of starter culture combinations of *Lactobacillus fermentum*, *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* and *Candida krusei* on aroma in Ghanaian maize dough fermentation. Eur. J. Food Res. Technol. 216:377–384.

Arroyo-López, F. N., A. Querol, J. Bautista-Gallego, and A. Garrido-Fernández. 2008. Role of yeasts in table olive production. Int. J. Food Microbiol. 128:189–196.

Balasubramanian, K., and R. Ragunathan. 2012. Study of antioxidant and anticancer activity of natural sources. J. Nat. Prod. Plant Resour. 2:192–197.

Blandino, A., M. E. Al-Asceri, S. S. Pandiella, D. Cantero, and C. Webb. 2003. Cereal-based fermented foods and beverages. Food Res. Int. 36:527–543.

Bonatsou, S., A. Benitez, F. Rodriguez-Gomez, E. Z. Panagou, and F. N. Arroyo-Lopez. 2015. Selection of yeasts with multifunctional features for application as starters in natural black table olive processing. Food Microbiol. 46:66–73.

Callejon, R. M., A. Clavijo, P. Ortigueira, A. M. Troncoso, P. Paneque, and M. L. Morales. 2010. Volatile and sensory profile of organic red wines produced by different selected autochthonous and commercial *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* strains. Anal. Chimi. Acta 660:68–75.

Champagne, C. P., N. J. Gardner, and D. Roy. 2005. Challenges in the addition of probiotic cultures to foods. Crit. Rev. Food Sci. Nutr. 45:61–84.

Charalampopoulos, D., R. Wang, S. Pandiella, and C. Webb. 2002. Application of cereals and cereal components in functional foods: a review. Int. J. Food Microbiol. 79:131–141.

Chen, S., and Y. Xu. 2010. The influence of yeast strains on the volatile flavour compounds of Chinese rice wine. J. Inst. Brew. 116:190–196.

Combina, M., A. Elia, L. Mercado, C. Catania, A. Ganga, and C. Martinez. 2005. Dynamics of indigenous yeast populations during spontaneous fermentation of wines from Mendoza, Argentina. Int. J. Food Microbiol. 99:237–243.

FAO 1999. Fermented cereals. A global perspective (FAO agricultural services bulletin No. 130). Available at http://www.fao.org/docrep/x2184E/x2184E00.htm. (accessed 12 August, 2012).

Fleet, G. H. 1999. Microorganisms in food ecosystems. Int. J. Food Microbiol. 50:101–117.

Franz, C. A. M. P., M. Huch, J. M. Mathara, N. B. Abriouel, G. Reid, A. Galvez, et al. 2014. African fermented food and probiotics. Int. J. Food Microbiol. 190:84–96.

Gobbetti, M., A. Clavijo, A. Querol, J. Bautista-Gallego, P. Paneque, and M. L. Morales. 2010. Volatile and sensory profile of organic red wines produced by different selected autochthonous and commercial *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* strains. Anal. Chimi. Acta 660:68–75.
Jespersen, L. 2003. Occurrence and taxonomic characteristics of strains of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* predominant in African indigenous fermented foods and beverages. FEMS Yeast Res. 3:191–200.

Klaenhammer, T. R., and M. J. Kullen. 1999. Selection and design of probiotics. Int. J. Food Microbiol. 50:45–57.

Lamsal, B. P., and J. M. Faubion. 2009. The beneficial use of cereal and cereal components in probiotic foods. Food Rev. Int. 25:103–114.

Linton, C. J., and S. J. L. Wright. 1993. Volatile organic compounds: microbiological aspects and some technological implications. J. Appl. Bacteriol. 75:1–12.

Lopandic, K., S. Zelger, L. K. Banszky, F. Eliskases-Lechnerd, and H. Prillingera. 2006. Identification of yeasts associated with milk products using traditional and molecular techniques. Food Microbiol. 23:341–350.

Lopandic, K., S. Zelger, L. K. Banszky, F. Eliskases-Lechnerd, and H. Prillingera. 2006. Identification of yeasts associated with milk products using traditional and molecular techniques. Food Microbiol. 23:341–350.

Moreira, N., C. Pina, F. Mendes, J. A. Couto, T. Hogg, and I. Vasconcelos. 2011. Volatile compounds contribution of *Hanseniaspora guilliermondii* and *Hanseniaspora uvarum* during red wine vinifications. Food Control 22:662–667.

Nayak, S. K. 2011. Biology of eukaryotic probiotics. Probiotics, Microbiology Monographs 21. Pp. 29–55 in M.-T. Liong, ed. Probiotic biology, genetics and health aspects. Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg.

Noipa, T., S. Srijaranai, T. Tuntulani, and W. Ngeontae. 2011. New approach for evaluation of the antioxidant capacity based on scavenging DPPH free radical in micelle systems. Food Res. Int. 44:798–806.

Parkouda, C., B. Diawara, S. Lowor, C. Diako, F. K. Saalia, N. T. Annan, et al. 2011. Volatile compounds of maari, a fermented product from baobab (*Adansonia digitata* L.) seeds. Afr. J. Biotechnol. 10:4197–4206.

Prado, F. C., J. L. Parada, A. Pandey, and C. R. Soccol. 2008. Trends in non-dairy probiotic beverages. Food Res. Int. 41:111–123.

Qian, Z. J., W. K. Jung, K. H. Kang, B. Ryu, S. K. Kim, J. Y. Je, et al. 2012. In vitro antioxidant activities of the fermented marine microalga *Pavlova lutheri* (haptophyta) with the yeast *Hansenula polymorpha*. J. Phycol. 48:475–482. doi:10.1111/j.1529-8817.2012.01117.

Rathore, S., I. Salmerón, and S. S. Pandiella. 2012. Production of potentially probiotic beverages using single and mixed cereal substrates fermented with lactic acid bacteria cultures. Food Microbiol. 30:239–244.

Saberi, S., M. A. Cliff, and H. J. J. van Vuuren. 2012. Impact of mixed *S. cerevisiae* strains on the production of volatiles and estimated sensory profiles of Chardonnay wines. Food Res. Int. 48:725–735.

Sanni, A., C. Franz, U. Schillingner, M. Huch, C. Guigas, and W. Holzapfel. 2013. Characterization and technological properties of Lactic Acid Bacteria in the production of “Sorghurt”, a cereal-based product. Food Biotechnol. 27:178–198.

Sharma, O. P., and T. K. Bhat. 2009. DPPH antioxidant assay revisited. Food Chem. 113:1202–1205.

Sørensen, L. M., K. Gori, M. A. Petersen, L. Jespersen, and N. Arneborg. 2011. Flavour compound production by *Yarrowia lipolytica*, *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* and *Debaryomyces Hansenii* in a cheese-surface model. Int. Dairy J. 21:970–978.

Tamang, P. J., and G. H. Fleet. 2009. Yeasts diversity in fermented foods and beverages. Pp. 169–198 in T. Satyanarayana and G. Kunze, eds. Yeast biotechnology: diversity and applications. Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg.

The Good Scent Company (TGSC) 1989. Food additives permitted for direct addition to food for human consumption. Available at http://www.thegoodscentcompany.com/data/rw1009101.html. (accessed 27 February 2014).

Vanaja, G., V. Gotcheva, A. Angelov, and R. Agrawal. 2011. Formation of volatiles and fatty acids of therapeutic importance in the probiotic *Lactobacillus plantarum* LPcfr adapted to resist GIT conditions. J. Food Sci. Technol. 48:110–113.

Vijayendra, S. V. N., and R. S. Sharath Babu. 2008. Optimization of a new heteropolysaccharide production by a native isolate of *Leuconostoc* sp. CFR-2181. Lett. Appl. Microbiol. 46:643–648.

Walker, G. M. 2009. Fungi | Yeasts. Pp. 478–499 in M. Schaechter, ed. Encyclopedia of microbiology. Elsevier Inc, London, United Kingdom.

Williams, M., E. Pehu, and C. Ragasa. 2006. Functional foods: opportunities and challenges for developing countries. Agric. Rural Dev. Notes 19:1–4.

Yadav, H., S. Jain, R. Rastamansh, A. Bomba, R. Catanzaro, and F. Marotta. 2011. Fermentation technology in the development of functional foods for human health: where we should head. Ferment. Technol. 1:1–2.