Approximate Recursive Identification of Autoregressive Systems with Skewed Innovations

Henri Nurminen
Dept. of Automation Science and Engineering
Tampere University of Technology
Tampere, Finland
henri.nurminen@tut.fi

Tohid Ardeshiri
Department of Engineering
University of Cambridge
Cambridge, UK
ta417@cam.ac.uk

Abstract

We propose a novel recursive system identification algorithm for linear autoregressive systems with skewed innovations. The algorithm is based on the variational Bayes approximation of the model with a multivariate normal prior for the model coefficients, multivariate skew-normally distributed innovations, and matrix-variate-normal–inverse-Wishart prior for the parameters of the innovation distribution. The proposed algorithm simultaneously estimates the model coefficients as well as the parameters of the innovation distribution, which are both allowed to be slowly time-varying. Through computer simulations, we compare the proposed method with a variational algorithm based on the normally-distributed innovations model, and show that modelling the skewness can provide improvement in identification accuracy.

1 Introduction

Many systems produce datasets with skewed noise distribution. Skewness means asymmetry. Positive skewness, for example, intuitively means producing large positive deviations from the median value more frequently than large negative deviations. For instance, some financial data sets show negative skewness because large drops tend to be more frequent than large upsurges [4, 5, 6]. Wireless network based positioning often uses time delay measurement as a distance, but non-line-of-sight can produce large positive outliers, so the error distribution becomes positively skewed [7, 8].

One statistical model for skewed error distributions is the skew normal distribution and its multivariate generalisation [9, 10]. The posterior distribution of a normal prior and skew-normal measurement noise model is not analytically tractable. However, the distribution admits a hierarchical formulation whose favorable conjugacy properties enable efficient parameter estimation using the expectation–maximisation (EM) algorithm [9, 10, 11] and approximate Bayesian time-series filtering and smoothing based on the variational Bayes (VB) approximation [12, 13].

This paper studies autoregressive (AR) models, where the measurement is modelled to be a linear function of \( n_{AR} \) (the model order) previous measurements plus an independent random noise term referred to as the innovation. When the AR coefficients and/or the conditionally skew-normal innovation distribution’s statistics are time-varying or they need to be identified online, recursive identification methods are used [14].

In this paper we propose a novel recursive system identification algorithm for AR models with skew-normally distributed measurement noise with unknown possibly slowly time-varying scale and skewness. The proposed approximation is based on a VB approximation.
2 Problem formulation

Skew normal distribution is an asymmetric generalization of the normal distribution originally proposed by Azzalini [7]. Its multivariate version was later introduced by Azzalini and Dalla Valle [8]. The version that is used in this report is the canonical fundamental skew normal distribution (CFUSN) introduced by Arellano Valle and Genton [15]. However, we adopt a different parametrization following the guidelines of the canonical fundamental skew t-distribution’s parametrization in [16] to obtain a suitable analytical tractability. The probability density function (PDF) of this skew normal distribution \( z \sim \text{SN}(\mu, R, \Delta) \)

\[
p(z) = 2^{n_x} N(z; \mu - \Delta \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} 1, \Omega) F_N(\Delta^T \Omega^{-1} (z - \mu + \Delta \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} 1); 0, I - \Delta^T \Omega^{-1} \Delta),
\]

where \( 1 \) is a vector of ones, \( \mu \) is a location parameter, \( \Omega = R + \Delta \Delta^T \), and \( F_N \) is the cumulative distribution function of the multivariate normal distribution. \( R \in \mathbb{R}^{n_x \times n_x} \) (symmetric positive-definite, spd) and \( \Delta \in \mathbb{R}^{n_x \times n_x} \) are shape matrices that determine the scale and skewness, and the sign and structure of \( \Delta \) determine the direction of skewness as explained in [16]. Examples of the PDF in negatively skewed, symmetric, and positively skewed cases are given in Fig. 1. The moments of this multivariate skew normal distribution given the shape matrices are

\[
E[z] = \mu, \quad V[z] = R + \frac{2}{\pi} \Delta \Delta^T.
\]

Compared to the formulation of [16], we shift the distribution with \( \Delta \sqrt{2/\pi} 1 \) so that the mean of the distribution does not depend on \( \Delta \). This ensures that the proposed algorithm identifies \( \Delta \) as a measure of skewness, not as a measure of location.

Figure 1: The PDFs of negatively-skewed, symmetric, and positively-skewed normal distributions. Each distribution has mean zero and variance one.

We formulate the AR coefficient estimation problem as the linear state-space model with the measurement noise being skew-normally distributed conditional on the unknown slowly-varying noise parameters \( R_k \) and \( \Delta_k \)

\[
p(x_1) = N(x_1; x_{1|0}, P_{1|0}) \quad (3a)
\]

\[
x_k = x_{k-1} + w_{k-1}, \quad w_{k-1} \overset{iid}{\sim} N(0, Q_{k-1}) \quad (3b)
\]

\[
z_k = C_k x_k + e_k, \quad e_k \overset{iid}{\sim} \text{SN}(\mu, R_k, \Delta_k), \quad (3c)
\]

where \( x_k \in \mathbb{R}^{n_{\text{AR}}} \) is the vector of AR coefficients, \( Q_k \in \mathbb{R}^{n_{\text{AR}} \times n_{\text{AR}}} \) (spd) is the process noise covariance matrix that is assumed known and is thus an algorithm parameter, \( z_k \in \mathbb{R}^{n_z} \) is the measurement, \( C_k \in \mathbb{R}^{n_z \times n_{\text{AR}}} = [z_{k-1} \ z_{k-2} \ \cdots \ z_{k-n_{\text{AR}}} ] \) is the measurement model matrix given by \( n_{\text{AR}} \) previous measurements, and \( \{ w_k \in \mathbb{R}^{n_{\text{AR}}} \}_{k=1}^{K} \) and \( \{ e_k \in \mathbb{R}^{n_z} \}_{k=1}^{K} \) are mutually independent process and measurement noise sequences.

3 Proposed algorithm

3.1 Measurement update

Conditional on the parameters \( R_k \) and \( \Delta_k \), the skew-normal random variable \( e_k|R_k, \Delta_k \sim \text{SN}(\mu, R_k, \Delta_k) \) has the hierarchical formulation [9]

\[
e_k|u_k, R_k, \Delta_k \sim N(\mu + \Delta_k (u_k - \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} 1), R_k) \quad (4a)
\]

\[
u_k \sim N_+(0, I), \quad (4b)
\]
where \( N_+ \) is the multivariate normal distribution truncated into positive orthant. To obtain the necessary conjugacy properties, let us assign the matrix-variate-normal–inverse-Wishart (MVNIW) prior distribution to the joint random variable \((R_k, \Delta_k)\):

\[
p(R_k, \Delta_k) = N(\Delta_k; \Delta_{k|k-1}, R_k \otimes V_{k|k-1}) \text{IW}(R_k; \Psi_{k|k-1}, \nu_{k|k-1}),
\]

where \( \Delta_{k|k-1} \in \mathbb{R}^{n_z \times n_z}, V_{k|k-1} \in \mathbb{R}^{n_z \times n_z} \text{(spd)}, \Psi_{k|k-1} \in \mathbb{R}^{n_z \times n_z} \text{(spd)}, \) and \( \nu_{k|k-1} \in (2n_z, \infty) \) are parameters of the prior distribution. \( N(X; M, U \otimes V) \) is the PDF of the matrix-variate normal distribution with mean \( M \), and variance parameters \( U \) (among-row) and \( V \) (among-column) \([17]\) Ch. 2], and \( \text{IW}(X; \Psi, \nu) \) is PDF of the inverse-Wishart distribution with scale-matrix \( \Psi \) and \( \nu \) degrees of freedom \([17]\) Ch. 3].

The filtering posterior distribution \( p(x_k, u_k, R_k, \Delta_k|z_{1:k}) \) of the model defined by \([3]\) and \([5]\) is not analytically tractable. Our solution is to use a variational Bayesian approximation, where we find the functions \( q_{x,u}(x_k, u_k) \) and \( q_{R,\Delta}(R_k, \Delta_k) \) such that the reversed Kullback–Leibler divergence (KLD) is minimised, where \( \text{D}_{\text{KLD}}(q || p) = \int q(x) \log \left( \frac{q(x)}{p(x)} \right) \, dx \). In general there is no exact analytical solution for \( (q_{x,u}, q_{R,\Delta}) \), but the iteration of

\[
\log q_{x,u}(x_k, u_k) \leftarrow E_{q_{R,\Delta}} \left[ \log p(x_k, u_k, R_k, \Delta_k|z_{1:k-1}) \right] + c_{x,u}
\]

(7a)

\[
\log q_{R,\Delta}(R_k, \Delta_k) \leftarrow E_{q_{x,u}} \left[ \log p(x_k, u_k, R_k, \Delta_k|z_{1:k-1}) \right] + c_{R,\Delta}
\]

(7b)

always reduces the KLD \((6)\) and for many models gives a sequence that converges towards the optimal functions \( (q_{x,u}, q_{R,\Delta}) \) \([18]\) Chapter 10][19]. The expected values on the right hand sides of \((7)\) are taken with respect to the current \( q_{x,u} \) and \( q_{R,\Delta} \), and \( c_{x,u} \) and \( c_{R,\Delta} \) are constants with respect to the variables \((x_k, u_k)\), and \((R, \Delta)\), respectively.

Thanks to the chosen prior distribution structure \([5]\), the update \((7)\) has a closed form solution that preserves the functional form of the prior, and the moments of the distribution required by other computations are also analytically tractable. The analytical solution of the update \((7a)\) is a multivariate normal distribution truncated by multiple linear constraints. The mean and covariance matrix of this distribution can be approximated using the sequential truncation algorithm \([20, 21, 13]\). The distribution \( q_{x,u}(x_k, u_k) \) is then approximated by the unconstrained multivariate normal distribution with the obtained moments

\[
q_{x,u}(x_k, u_k) \approx N \left( \left[ \begin{array}{c} x_k \\ u_k \end{array} \right] ; \xi_{k|k}, \Xi_{k|k} \right),
\]

(8)

where \( \xi_{k|k} \) and \( \Xi_{k|k} \) are the mean and covariance matrix given by the sequential truncation algorithm. Normal marginal posterior approximation for \( x_k \) guarantees that we get a recursive algorithm. The approximative filtering posterior of \((R_k, \Delta_k)\) is the MVNIW distribution

\[
q_{R,\Delta}(R_k, \Delta_k) = N(\Delta_k; \Delta_{k|k}, R_k \otimes V_{k|k}) \text{IW}(R_k; \Psi_{k|k}, \nu_{k|k}),
\]

(9)

whose required moments are analytically tractable when \( \nu_{k|k} > 2n_z \) as shown in Appendix \([A]\).

### 3.2 Time update

The marginal distribution of the AR coefficient vector \( x_k \) in the posterior approximation \( N \left( \left[ \begin{array}{c} x_k \\ u_k \end{array} \right] ; \xi_{k|k}, \Xi_{k|k} \right) \cdot q_{R,\Delta}(R_k, \Delta_k) \) is a normal distribution and the state transition \((3)\) is linear and Gaussian. Thus, the filter prediction becomes the standard Kalman filter prediction and the prediction distribution is normal.

The dynamical model of the model parameters \( p(R_k, \Delta_k|R_{k-1}, \Delta_{k-1}) \) is typically unknown and/or intractable. Therefore, we adopt the forgetting factor update, which provides the maximum-entropy solution given the KLD from the previous posterior \([22, 23]\). Thus, the used prediction density given the MVNIW approximation of the previous posterior and the forgetting factor \( \gamma \in (0, 1] \) is

\[
\hat{p}(R_k, \Delta_k|y_{1:k-1}) \propto N(\Delta_k; \Delta_{k-1|k-1}, R_k \otimes \frac{1}{\gamma} V_{k-1|k-1}) \times \text{IW}(R_k; \gamma \Psi_{k-1|k-1}, \gamma \nu_{k-1|k-1} + (1 - \gamma) \cdot 2n_z).
\]

(10)

where the term \((1 - \gamma) \cdot 2n_z \) guarantees that the resulting inverse-Wishart distribution is well-defined and has an expectation value.

The details of the proposed recursive identification algorithm including the prediction equations implied by the time update \((10)\) are given in Appendix \([B]\).
4 Simulated example

We simulated 1000 Monte Carlo replications of the AR model with 25 AR coefficients with $n_z = 2$ dimensional skew-normally distributed innovations with parameters $R = 0.1^2 I$ and $\Delta = [\frac{7}{2} 0]$. Thus, the true distribution has high positive skewness. The true coefficients were simulated by generating the zeros of the characteristic polynomial from the uniform distribution $\text{unif}(-1, 1)$. The number of AR coefficients was assumed known. The initial prior covariance matrix for the AR coefficient vector was given by the 1st order stable spline kernel $[P_{1|0}]_{i,j} = 30^{-1} 30^{-1} \max(i-1, j-1)$, and the process noise covariance was chosen as $[Q_{k-1}]_{i,j} = (\frac{1}{10} - 1) \max(\text{diag}(P_{k-1|k-1})) \cdot 0.5\max(i-1, j-1)$ to preserve the stable kernel form of the prior [24], [25].

The proposed method is compared with the Gaussian variational Bayes filter for slowly-drifting noise proposed by Agamennoni et al in [26]. The skew-normal based identification method was given the positive direction of the skewness by using the initial prior

$$p(R_1, \Delta_1) = N(\Delta_1; \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} I, R_1 \otimes I) \text{IW}(R_1; \frac{\nu_1|0 - 3}{2} I, \nu_1|0),$$

(11)

where $\nu_1|0 = 4 + 10^{-10}$. That is, the variance is divided equally between the symmetric and skewed component in the sense that $\mathbb{E}[R_1^{-1}] = \mathbb{E}[\Delta_1]^2 \frac{3}{2} = \frac{1}{2} I$. The normal distribution based method was given the initial prior

$$p(R_1) = \text{IW}(R_1; (\nu_1|0 - 3) I, \nu_1|0).$$

(12)

The forgetting factor value used with both the methods was $\gamma = 0.975$, and the number of VB iterations was 10. Fig. 2 shows the relative difference of the identification error

$$\epsilon_k = \sqrt{\sum_{j=1}^{n_{\text{max}}} (x_k|k - (x_k)_{\text{true}})^2}$$

(13)

as a function of the fed number of measurements. The figure shows that the skew-normal based identification method gives a lower median error than the normal distribution based, and the relative differences increase as the number of measurements increase. Fig. 2 shows that after 10,000 measurements, the skew-normal based method is more accurate in about 95% of the cases and gives at least 25% lower identification error in most of the simulations.

Figure 2: The proposed algorithm is more accurate than the normal distribution based algorithm in 95% of the simulations, and in most of the simulations the error (13) is reduced by more than 25%.

5 Conclusions

We proposed a novel recursive estimation algorithm for identifying the model coefficients and innovation distribution parameters of autoregressive models with skew-normally distributed innovations. Both model coefficients and innovation distribution parameters can be slowly time-varying. Our computer simulation showed that modelling skewness can improve the accuracy of identification.
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Appendices

A  Variational solution of the measurement update

Our variational solution uses this hierarchical formulation of the measurement noise model:

\[
\begin{align*}
  z_k|x_k, u_k, R_k, \Delta_k &\sim N(C_k x_k + \Delta_k (u_k - \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} 1), R_k), \\
  u_k &\sim N_+(0, I), \\
  \Delta_k | R_k &\sim N(\Delta_k|k-1, R_k \otimes V_{k|k-1}), \\
  R_k &\sim IW(\Psi_{k|k-1}, \nu_{k|k-1}),
\end{align*}
\]  

(14a) (14b) (14c) (14d)

where \( z_k \in \mathbb{R}^{n_z} \) is the measurement, \( u_k \in \mathbb{R}^{n_u} \) is the skewness variable vector, and \( \Delta_k|k-1 \in \mathbb{R}^{n_z \times n_z} \), \( V_{k|k-1} \in \mathbb{R}^{n_z \times n_z} \) (spd), \( \Psi_{k|k-1} \in \mathbb{R}^{n_z \times n_z} \) (spd), and \( \nu_{k|k-1} > 2n_z \) are the parameters of the joint prior distribution of \( \Delta_k \) and \( R_k \). The prior of \( \Delta_k \) and \( R_k \) is implied by the previous filtering posterior and the time update step (filter prediction) that is explained in section 3.2.

The derivations for the variational solution \((7)\) are given in Sections A.1 and A.2. For brevity all constant values are denoted by \( c \) in the derivation. The logarithm of the full filtering distribution which is needed for the derivations is

\[
\begin{align*}
  \log p(z_k, x_k, u_k, R_k, \Delta_k | z_{1:k-1}) &= -\frac{1}{2} (z_k - C_k x_k - \Delta_k (u_k - \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} 1))^T R_k^{-1} (z_k - C_k x_k - \Delta_k (u_k - \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} 1)) \\
  &\quad - \frac{1}{2} (x_k - x_{k|k-1})^T P_{k|k-1}^{-1} (x_k - x_{k|k-1}) - \frac{1}{2} u_k^T u_k \\
  &\quad - \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr}\{(\Delta_k - \Delta_k|k-1) V_{k|k-1}^{-1} (\Delta_k - \Delta_k|k-1)^T R_k^{-1}\} \\
  &\quad - \frac{\nu_{k|k-1} + 1}{2} \log \det(R_k) - \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr}\{\Psi_{k|k-1} R_k^{-1}\} + c, \quad u \geq 0,
\end{align*}
\]

(15)

where \( x_{k|k-1} \) and \( P_{k|k-1} \) are the mean and covariance matrix of the current predictive distribution, and \( \text{Tr}\{\cdot\} \) is the matrix trace.

A.1  Derivations for \( q_x,u \)

Using equation \((7a)\) we obtain

\[
\begin{align*}
  \log q_{x,u}(x_k, u_k) &= -\frac{1}{2} E_{q_{n,\Delta}} [(z_k - C_k x_k - \Delta_k (u_k - \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} 1))^T R_k^{-1} (z_k - C_k x_k - \Delta_k (u_k - \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} 1))] \\
  &\quad - \frac{1}{2} (x_k - x_{k|k-1})^T P_{k|k-1}^{-1} (x_k - x_{k|k-1}) - \frac{1}{2} u_k^T u_k + c \\
  &= -\frac{1}{2} (z_k - C_k x_k - \Delta_k (u_k - \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} 1))^T R_k^{-1} (z_k - C_k x_k - \Delta_k (u_k - \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} 1)) \\
  &\quad - \frac{1}{2} (u_k - \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} 1)^T (E_{q_{n,\Delta}} [\Delta_k^T R_k^{-1} \Delta_k] - \Delta_k^T R_k^{-1} \Delta_k (u_k - \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} 1)) \\
  &\quad - \frac{1}{2} (x_k - x_{k|k-1})^T P_{k|k-1}^{-1} (x_k - x_{k|k-1}) - \frac{1}{2} u_k^T u_k + c \quad u_k \geq 0,
\end{align*}
\]

(16) (17)

where \( (R_k^{-1}_{k|k}, \Delta_k) \triangleq (E_{q_{n,\Delta}} [R_k^{-1}]^{-1}, E_{q_{n,\Delta}} [\Delta_k]) \) as well as the identity \( E_{q_{n,\Delta}} [R_k^{-1}]^{-1} \Delta_k = R_k^{-1}_{k|k} \Delta_k \) are derived in Section A.2. The inequality \( u_k \geq 0 \) denotes that each element of the vector \( u_k \) is required to be greater or equal than zero. Further, in Section A.2 it is proved that
\[
\mathbb{E}_{q_{x,u}}[\Delta_k^T R_k^{-1} \Delta_k] = n_z V_{k|k} + \Delta_k^T R_k^{-1} \Delta_k, \quad \text{so Eq. (17) becomes}
\]
\[
\log q_{x,u}(x_k, u_k) = -\frac{1}{2} (z_k - C_k x_k - \Delta_{k|k}(u_k - \sqrt{\frac{2}{n}} \mathbf{1}))^T R_{k|k}^{-1} (z_k - C_k x_k - \Delta_{k|k}(u_k - \sqrt{\frac{2}{n}} \mathbf{1}))
\]
\[-\frac{n_z}{2} (u_k - \sqrt{\frac{2}{n}} \mathbf{1})^T V_{k|k}(u_k - \sqrt{\frac{2}{n}} \mathbf{1})
\]-\frac{1}{2} (x_k - x_{k|k-1})^T P_{k|k-1}^{-1} (x_k - x_{k|k-1}) - \frac{1}{2} u_k^T u_k + c
\]
\[-\frac{1}{2} (z_k + \Delta_{k|k} \sqrt{\frac{2}{n}} \mathbf{1})^T R_{k|k}^{-1} (z_k + \Delta_{k|k} \sqrt{\frac{2}{n}} \mathbf{1})
\]-\frac{1}{2} ([\hat{x}_k] - \xi_{k|k-1})^T \Xi_{k|k-1}^{-1} ([\hat{x}_k] - \xi_{k|k-1}) + c, \quad u_k \geq 0,
\]
where
\[
\Xi_{k|k-1} = \left[ P_{k|k-1}^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} I & (I + n_z V_{k|k})^{-1} \end{bmatrix}, \right]
\]
\[
\xi_{k|k-1} = \left[ n_z \sqrt{\frac{2}{n}} (I + n_z V_{k|k})^{-1} V_{k|k} \mathbf{1} \right].
\]
Hence,
\[
q_{x,u}(x_k, u_k) \propto N(z_k + \Delta_{k|k} \sqrt{\frac{2}{n}} \mathbf{1}; [C_k \Delta_{k|k}] [\hat{x}_k], R_{k|k}) N([\hat{x}_k]; \xi_{k|k-1}, \Xi_{k|k-1}) \cdot [u_k \geq 0]
\]
\[\propto N([\hat{x}_k]; \Theta_{k|k}, \Xi_{k|k}) \cdot [u_k \geq 0],
\]
where \([\cdot]\) is the Iverson bracket, and \(\Theta_{k|k}\) and \(\Xi_{k|k}\) are the outputs of the Kalman filter update
\[
\hat{C}_k = [C_k \Delta_{k|k}],
\]
\[
K_k = \Xi_{k|k-1} \hat{C}_k^T (\hat{C}_k \Xi_{k|k-1} \hat{C}_k^T + R_{k|k})^{-1},
\]
\[
\hat{\xi}_{k|k} = \xi_{k|k-1} + K_k (z_k + \Delta_{k|k} \sqrt{\frac{2}{n}} \mathbf{1} - \hat{C}_k \xi_{k|k-1}),
\]
\[
\hat{\Xi}_{k|k} = (I - K_k \hat{C}_k) \Xi_{k|k-1}.
\]
To make the algorithm recursive, we approximate \(q_{x,u}\) with a multivariate normal distribution
\[
q_{x,u}(x_k, u_k) = N([\hat{x}_k]; \Theta_{k|k}, \Xi_{k|k}) \cdot [u_k \geq 0]
\]
\[\approx N([\hat{x}_k]; \hat{\xi}_{k|k}, \hat{\Xi}_{k|k}),
\]
whose approximate mean and covariance matrix \(\hat{\xi}_{k|k}\) and \(\Xi_{k|k}\) are obtained through approximate moment-matching. Our approach for approximating the moments is the sequential truncation algorithm \[20, 21, 13\] Table I. Let us denote the approximate distribution with \(q_{x,u}(x_k, u_k) \triangleq N([\hat{x}_k]; \hat{\xi}_{k|k}, \hat{\Xi}_{k|k})\).

In Section A.2 certain moments of \(q_{x,u}\) are required. They are approximated as
\[
x_{k|k} \triangleq \mathbb{E}_{q_{x,u}}[x_k] = [\xi_{k|k}]_{1:n_x},
\]
\[P_{k|k} \triangleq \nabla q_{x,u}[x_k] = \Xi_{k|k}_{1:n_x,1:n_x},
\]
\[u_{k|k} \triangleq \nabla q_{x,u}[u_k] = [\xi_{k|k}]_{n_x+1:(n_x+1)},
\]
\[U_{k|k} \triangleq \nabla q_{x,u}[u_k] = \Xi_{k|k}_{n_x+1:(n_x+1)} n_x+1:(n_x+1),
\]
\[\Upsilon_{k|k} \triangleq \nabla q_{x,u}[x_k u_k^T] - x_k [u_k^T] = \Xi_{k|k}_{1:n_x,1:n_x+1:n_x},
\]
where \(n_x+1 : n_x\) denotes \((n_x+1) : (n_x+n_x)\).
\[ \text{A.2 Derivations for } q_{R,\Delta} \]

Using equation (7b) and the approximation (29) we obtain

\[
\log q_{R,\Delta}(R_k, \Delta_k) = \mathbb{E}_{q_{z,k}} \left[ \log N(z_k; C_k x_k + \Delta_k (u_k - \sqrt{\frac{2}{n}} 1), R_k) \right] + \log N(\Delta_k; \Delta_k|k-1, R_k \otimes V_k|k-1) + \log \text{IW}(R_k; \Psi_k|k-1, \nu_k|k-1) + c
\]

\[
= - \frac{1}{2} \log \det(R_k)
- \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr} \left\{ \mathbb{E}_{q_{z,k}} \left[ (z_k - C_k x_k - \Delta_k (u_k - \sqrt{\frac{2}{n}} 1))(z_k - C_k x_k - \Delta_k (u_k - \sqrt{\frac{2}{n}} 1))^T \right] R_k^{-1} \right\}
- \frac{n_z}{2} \log \det(R_k) - \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr} \left\{ (\Delta_k - \Delta_k|k-1) V_{k|k-1}^{-1} (\Delta_k - \Delta_k|k-1)^T R_k^{-1} \right\}
- \frac{\nu_k|k-1}{2} \log \det(R_k) - \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr} \left\{ \Psi_k|k-1 R_k^{-1} \right\} + c
\]  

\[
= - \frac{1}{2} \log \det(R_k)
- \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr} \left\{ \left( \Delta_k(U_k|k) + (u_k|k - \sqrt{\frac{2}{n}} 1)(u_k|k - \sqrt{\frac{2}{n}} 1)^T \right) \Delta_k^T \right\}
- \left( z_k(u_k|k - \sqrt{\frac{2}{n}} 1)^T - C_k \left( Y_k|k + x_k|k(u_k|k - \sqrt{\frac{2}{n}} 1)^T \right) \right) \Delta_k^T
- \left( z_k(u_k|k - \sqrt{\frac{2}{n}} 1)^T - C_k \left( Y_k|k + x_k|k(u_k|k - \sqrt{\frac{2}{n}} 1)^T \right) \right)^T
+ \left( z_k - C_k x_k|k \right) \left( z_k - C_k x_k|k \right)^T + C_k P_k|k C_k^T \right\} R_k^{-1}
\]

\[
= - \frac{n_z}{2} \log \det(R_k) - \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr} \left\{ (\Delta_k - \Delta_k|k-1) V_{k|k-1}^{-1} (\Delta_k - \Delta_k|k-1)^T R_k^{-1} \right\}
\]

\[
= - \frac{n_z}{2} \log \det(R_k) - \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr} \left\{ \Psi_k|k R_k^{-1} \right\}
\]

where

\[
V_k|k = \left( U_k|k + (u_k|k - \sqrt{\frac{2}{n}} 1)(u_k|k - \sqrt{\frac{2}{n}} 1)^T + V_{k|k-1}^{-1} \right)^{-1}
\]

\[
\Delta_k|k = \left( z_k - C_k x_k|k \right) \left( u_k|k - \sqrt{\frac{2}{n}} 1 \right)^T - C_k \left( Y_k|k + \Delta_k|k-1 V_{k|k-1}^{-1} \right) V_k|k,
\]

\[
\nu_k|k = \nu_k|k-1 + 1,
\]

\[
\Psi_k|k = \Delta_k|k-1 V_{k|k-1}^{-1} \Delta_k|k-1 - \Delta_k|k V_{k|k}^{-1} \Delta_k|k + (z_k - C_k x_k|k) \left( z_k - C_k x_k|k \right)^T + C_k P_k|k C_k^T + \Psi_k|k-1.
\]

Therefore,

\[
q_{R,\Delta}(R_k, \Delta_k) = N(\Delta_k; \Delta_k|k, R_k \otimes V_k|k) \text{IW}(R_k; \Psi_k|k, \nu_k|k).
\]
The following moments are required for the derivations of Section A.1:

\[
\begin{align*}
E_{q_{R,\Delta}}[\Delta_k] &= \Delta_{k|k}, \\
R_{k|k} &\triangleq E_{q_{R,\Delta}}[R_{k}^{-1} - 1] = \frac{1}{\nu_{k|k} - n_z - 1} \Psi_{k|k},
\end{align*}
\]

(45) 
(46)

Eq. (46) follows from the fact that \( R_k \sim \text{IW}(\Psi_{k|k}, \nu_{k|k}) \) implies that \( R_k^{-1} \) is Wishart-distributed with shape matrix \( \Psi_{k|k}^{-1} \) and \( \nu_{k|k} - n_z - 1 \) degrees of freedom [17, Ch. 3.4]. Furthermore,

\[
\begin{align*}
E_{q_{R,\Delta}}[R_{k}^{-1} \Delta_k] &= \int R_k^{-1} \Delta_k \mathcal{N}(\Delta_k; \Delta_{k|k}, R_k \otimes V_{k|k}) \text{IW}(R_k; \Psi_{k|k}, \nu_{k|k}) \, d\Delta_k \, dR_k \quad (47) \\
&= \int R_k^{-1} \Delta_{k|k} \text{IW}(R_k; \Psi_{k|k}, \nu_{k|k}) \, dR_k \quad (48) \\
&= (\nu_{k|k} - n_z - 1) \Psi_{k|k}^{-1} \Delta_{k|k} \quad (49) \\
&= R_{k|k} \Delta_{k|k} \quad (50)
\end{align*}
\]

and

\[
\begin{align*}
E_{q_{R,\Delta}}[\Delta^T_k R_k^{-1} \Delta_k] &= \int \Delta^T_k R_k^{-1} \Delta_k \mathcal{N}(\Delta_k; \Delta_{k|k}, R_k \otimes V_{k|k}) \text{IW}(R_k; \Psi_{k|k}, \nu_{k|k}) \, d\Delta_k \, dR_k \quad (51) \\
&= \int \left( \text{Tr}(R_k R_k^{-1}) \right) V_{k|k} + \Delta^T_{k|k} R_k^{-1} \Delta_{k|k} \text{IW}(R_k; \Psi_{k|k}, \nu_{k|k}) \, dR_k \quad (52) \\
&= n_z V_{k|k} + (\nu_{k|k} - n_z - 1) \Delta^T_{k|k} \Psi_{k|k}^{-1} \Delta_{k|k} \quad (53) \\
&= n_z V_{k|k} + \Delta^T_{k|k} R_k^{-1} \Delta_{k|k} \quad (54)
\end{align*}
\]

where (52) follows from the matrix-variate normal identity \( E[X^T AX] = \text{Tr}(UA^T)V + M^TA M \) for \( X \sim \mathcal{N}(M, U \otimes V) \) [17, Ch. 2.3].
B Recursive Identification Algorithm for Linear Systems with Skewed Innovations

1: Inputs: $x_{1|0}, P_{1|0}, \Delta_{1|0}, V_{1|0}, \Psi_{1|0}, \nu_{1|0}, Q_{1:K}, C_{1:K}, z_{1:1}, \gamma$
2: for $k = 1$ to $K$ do
   Initialize
3: $x_{k|k} \leftarrow x_{k|k-1}$
4: $\nu_{k|k} \leftarrow \nu_{k|k-1}$
5: $\Delta_{k|k} \leftarrow \Delta_{k|k-1}$
6: $V_{k|k} \leftarrow V_{k|k-1}$
7: $\Psi_{k|k} \leftarrow \Psi_{k|k-1}$
8: $\nu_{k|k} \leftarrow \nu_{k|k-1} + 1$
9: repeat
10: \( R_{k|k} \leftarrow \Gamma_{k|k-1}^{-1} \Psi_{k|k} \)
11: Update $q_{x,a}(x_{k|k}, \nu_{k|k}) \approx N([x_{k|k}], [\xi_{k|k}, \Xi_{k|k}])$
12: $\xi_{k|k-1} \leftarrow \left[ n_\nu \sqrt{2\pi/(n_\nu + n_x V_{k|k})}^{-1} V_{k|k} \right]^{-1}$
13: $\Xi_{k|k-1} \leftarrow \text{blockdiag}(P_{k|k-1}, (I + n_x V_{k|k})^{-1})$
14: $\hat{C}_k \leftarrow [C_k \Delta_{k|k}]$
15: $K_k \leftarrow \Xi_{k|k-1} \hat{C}_k^{-1} (\hat{C}_k \Xi_{k|k-1} \hat{C}_k^{-1} + R_{k|k})^{-1}$
16: $\hat{\pi}_k \leftarrow \hat{\xi}_{k|k-1} + K_k \pi_{k}$
17: $x_{k|k} \leftarrow \text{seq_trunc}(\hat{\xi}_{k|k}, \hat{\Xi}_{k|k}, \{n_{AR}+1, \ldots, n_{AR}+n_x \})$ \( \quad \text{See [13, Table I]} \)
18: $x_{k|k} \leftarrow \hat{\xi}_{k|k}/1:n_{AR}$
19: $P_{k|k} \leftarrow [\hat{\xi}_{k|k}]_{1:n_{AR}, 1:n_{AR}}$
20: $\bar{u}_{k|k} \leftarrow [\hat{\xi}_{k|k}]_{n_{AR}+1:n_x} - \sqrt{2} \bar{1}$
21: $U_{k|k} \leftarrow \Xi_{k|k}[n_{AR}+(1:n_x), n_{AR}+(1:n_x)]$
22: $T_{k|k} \leftarrow \Xi_{k|k}[1:n_{AR}, n_{AR}+(1:n_x)]$
23: Update $q_{x,a}(R_{k|k}, \Delta_{k|k}) = N(\Delta_{k|k}; \Xi_{k|k}, R_{k|k} \otimes V_{k|k}) \mathcal{I}(R_{k|k}, R_{k|k}, \nu_{k|k})$
24: $V_{k|k} \leftarrow (U_{k|k} + \bar{u}_{k|k} U_{k|k} + V_{k|k-1})^{-1}$
25: $\Delta_{k|k} \leftarrow \left[ \left( z_{k} - C_k x_{k|k} \bar{u}_{k|k} \right)^T + C_k \pi_{k} \right] + \Delta_{k|k-1} V_{k|k-1}^{-1}$
26: $\Psi_{k|k} \leftarrow \Delta_{k|k-1} V_{k|k-1}^{-1} \Delta_{k|k-1} - \Delta_{k|k} V_{k|k-1}^{-1} \Delta_{k|k}^T$
27: until converged
Predict
28: $x_{k+1|k} \leftarrow x_{k|k}$
29: $P_{k+1|k} \leftarrow P_{k|k} + Q_{k}$
30: $\Delta_{k+1|k} \leftarrow \Delta_{k|k}$
31: $V_{k+1|k} \leftarrow \frac{1}{2} V_{k|k}$
32: $\Psi_{k+1|k} \leftarrow \gamma \Psi_{k|k}$
33: $\nu_{k+1|k} \leftarrow \gamma \nu_{k|k} + (1-\gamma) \cdot 2 n_x$
end for
35: Outputs: $x_{k|k}$ and $P_{k|k}$ for $k = 1, \ldots, K$