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Constrained-occupancy delta-self-consistent-field (∆SCF) methods and many-body perturbation theories (MBPT) are two strategies for obtaining electronic excitations from first-principles. Using the two distinct approaches, we study the O 1s core excitations that have become increasingly important for characterizing transition-metal oxides and understanding strong electronic correlation. The ∆SCF approach, in its current single-particle form, systematically underestimates the pre-edge intensity for chosen oxides, despite its success in weakly correlated systems. By contrast, the Bethe-Salpeter equation within MBPT predicts much better lineshapes. This motivates one to reexamine the many-electron dynamics of X-ray excitations. We find that the single-particle ∆SCF approach can be rectified by explicitly calculating many-electron transition amplitudes, producing X-ray spectra in excellent agreement with experiments. This study pave the way to accurately predict X-ray near-edge spectral fingerprints for physics and materials science beyond the Bethe-Salpeter equation.

X-ray absorption spectroscopy (XAS) is a powerful characterization technique in physics, chemistry, and materials science, owing to its element specificity and orbital selectivity. With the help of density-functional theory (DFT), the interpretation of XAS is greatly facilitated by simulating spectral fingerprints for hypothetical structures from first-principles. Satisfactory X-ray absorption spectra have been simulated across a wide range of systems from small molecules [1, 2] to condensed matter [3–9] and even complex interfaces [10].

In this letter, we introduce a novel first-principles theory for simulating X-ray absorption spectra extending the constrained-occupancy delta-self-consistent-field (∆SCF) method [6, 7, 9]. The ∆SCF method assumes a fixed core-hole potential and employs only single-particle orbitals for finding transition amplitudes. It is generally thought this theory cannot capture certain many-electron effects as incorporated in many-body perturbation theories, such as the Bethe-Salpeter Equation (BSE) [11–14]. However, if the one-body transition amplitude in the ∆SCF method is recast into a many-body formalism, we show that the method can in fact capture many-electron effects in X-ray excitations. Furthermore, we outline how the excitation spectrum can be enriched by considering multiple electron-hole (e-h) excitations, permitting extensions beyond the BSE, on the level of the Mahan-Nozières-De Dominicis (MND) theory [15, 16].

For our examples, we choose the O K edges (1s → np transitions) of transition-metal oxides (TMOs) to illustrate the utility of the many-body ∆SCF method. The study of the O K edges for TMOs is fueled by the quest for next-generation energy materials, for rechargeable battery cathodes [17–20], fuel cells [21, 22], water-splitting catalysts [23–25], and transparent conductive layers [26]. Many of these materials are TMOs with complex chemical properties due to their d orbitals. Additionally, XAS has been employed to understand electron correlations inherent in TMOs, such as metal-insulator transitions [27–29], high-Tc superconductivity [30, 31], and emergent phenomena at perovskite interfaces [32]. XAS can also serve as powerful guides to advance theories for correlated electron systems, including the DFT+U method [33], dynamical mean-field theory [34], and exact diagonalization approaches [35, 36]. In almost all of the aforementioned cases [17, 19–21, 23–28, 30–33, 35, 36], there are measurements at the O K edge. Despite its utility, very few studies have simulated this absorption edge for TMOs from first-principles.

Five TMOs are selected for benchmarking: the rutile TiO2, VO2, and CrO2 as well as the corundum α-Fe2O3 and the perovskite SrTiO3. They vary greatly in structure, band gap, or magnetism. The rutile VO2 (>340K) and CrO2 are metallic, whereas TiO2 and SrTiO3 are insulating. CrO2 is ferromagnetic (FM) while Fe2O3 is antiferromagnetic (AFM). The O K edges from previous experiments [23, 27, 37–39] are shown in Fig. 1 (a). These spectra are angularly averaged except for CrO2, where the polarization is perpendicular to the magnetization axis [38]. The TM-3d-O-2p hybridization manifests as sharp double peaks around 530 eV, which result from the t2g-eg splitting in the octahedral field. The intensity ratio of the two peaks often serves as a diagnostic tool. Understanding gained through these simple TM compounds will find great utility in interpreting XAS for more complex contexts, e.g., liquids, interfaces, heterogeneous materials, where other characterization techniques such as X-ray diffraction are less effective. For instance, the O K-edge peak intensities have been used to deduce oxygen redox chemistry in rechargeable battery cathodes [20], and yet the correlation between experimental spectra and first-principles modeling of structures remains to be established, in the absence of accurate predictions of...
FIG. 1. A comparison of experimental O K edges (a) with the simulated spectra by the FCH approach (b) and BSE (c). The pre-edge regions are covered by shaded areas. Spectra are normalized according to the $e_g$ peak intensity. The severely underestimated $t_{2g}$ peaks are marked by red arrows.

the near-edge lineshape.

The X-ray absorbance $\sigma(\omega)$ is obtained from Fermi’s golden rule

$$\sigma(\omega) \propto \omega \sum_f |\langle \Psi_f | \epsilon \cdot R | \Psi_i \rangle|^2 \delta(E_f - E_i - h\omega)$$

(1)

where $\epsilon$ and $R$ are the photon polarization and many-body position operator respectively. $(\Psi_i)$ is the initial (ground) state and $(\Psi_f)$ is any excited eigenstate of the system, while $E_i$ and $E_f$ are their energies. Predicting X-ray absorption requires: (a) an accurate prediction of the excitation energies $E_f$ and (b) a reliable approximation to the amplitude $\langle \Psi_f | \epsilon \cdot R | \Psi_i \rangle$. We first contrast the single-particle $\Delta$SCF core-hole approach and the BSE using the five TMOs, and identify a systematic failure in the current $\Delta$SCF method. We argue that the failure is due to the omission of many-electron response to the core hole, which motivates us to develop an advanced $\Delta$SCF approach by using many-electron wavefunctions instead of single-particle orbitals.

$\Delta$SCF and BSE Approaches In the $\Delta$SCF core-hole approach the core-excited atom is treated as a single impurity with one electron removed from the excited core level. Depending on whether or not the X-ray photoelectron is included, it is termed as an excited-electron and core-hole (XCH) or full core-hole (FCH) calculation [6, 7, 9]. One places the core-excited atom in a sufficiently large supercell and then finds the equilibrated electron density using constrained-occupancy DFT. This equilibrated state, with the presence of a core hole, is referred to as the final state [13], and that of the pristine system as the initial state. The working approximation is to use single Kohn-Sham orbitals for finding the transition amplitude

$$\langle \Psi_f | \epsilon \cdot R | \Psi_i \rangle \approx S(\tilde{\psi}_f | \epsilon \cdot r | \psi_h)$$

(2)

where $\tilde{\psi}_f$’s are the unoccupied orbitals in the final state (with tilde) and $\psi_h$ is the core orbital in the initial state. $S$ is a many-body overlap that reflects the excited-state response of the remaining electrons other than the photoexcited one, and is normally treated as a constant in the sudden approximation [40]. The excitation spectrum $E_f - E_i$ is approximated based on differences in DFT orbital energies, $\tilde{\epsilon}_f - \epsilon_h$, with some further realignment reflecting the local atomic context and the experimental energy scale [41].

To account for strong electron correlations in TMOs, the DFT+U theory [33] is employed where the DFT energy is captured by the Perdew-Burke-Ernzerhof (PBE) functional, and the on-site Coulomb potential $U$ are from Ref. [42]. We interpret the DFT+U orbital energies as quasiparticle (QP) energies and perform FCH rather than XCH calculations so as not to favor any particular occupation. More numerical details can be found in the Supplemental Material [43].

Strikingly, the FCH approach systematically underestimates the intensity of the near-edge peak associated with the $t_{2g}$ manifold for all selected TMOs (Fig. 1 (b)) . The $t_{2g}$ peak at $\sim 529.2$ eV of CrO$_2$ [38] suffers from the most severe underestimation. It becomes a weak, broad feature in the FCH simulation as compared to the strong, sharp peak in experiment. The $t_{2g}$-$e_g$ peak intensity ratios of VO$_2$ and Fe$_2$O$_3$ are also too low - both are predicted as 0.7, compared with 1.7 and 1.0 as measured, respectively.

In the BSE formalism [12, 44, 45], the final state is assumed to be a superposition of effective $e$-$h$ pairs and the matrix elements are calculated as

$$\langle \Psi_f | \epsilon \cdot R | \Psi_i \rangle = \sum_c A_{c}^f \langle \psi_c | \epsilon \cdot r | \psi_h \rangle$$

(3)

where $\psi_c$’s are the initial-state orbitals and $c$ iterates over empty orbitals only. The exciton amplitude $A_c^f$ and energy $E_f$ can be solved from the BSE as in Ref. [11–14]. The core-level BSE calculations in this work employ the OCEAN code [14, 46].

As is shown in Fig. 1 (c), the BSE substantially improves on the O K-edge line shapes. The “$t_{2g}$” peak intensity is retrieved for each investigated TMO, particularly for CrO$_2$. The simulated $t_{2g}$-$e_g$ intensity ratios are
almost as measured for TiO$_2$, $\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$, and SrTiO$_3$ (below 537 eV). These BSE spectra are also in better agreement with experiments than the previous results using the initial-state rule [47, 48].

In fact, the core-hole effects predicted by the $\Delta$SCF method in these oxides are counterintuitive. Typically, excitonic effects tend to sharpen the absorption edge due to e-h attraction [5, 11, 12, 44]. In Fig. 2, we show the core-hole effects from $\Delta$SCF by comparing the initial- and final-state spectra. The core-hole attraction does redshift the spectra by over 1 eV in both the TM 3d pre-edge and the 4s$^p$ [49] region (near 544 eV). However, the FCH core-hole effect substantially reduces the $t_{2g}$ peak intensity. Similarly underestimated pre-peak intensity was encountered before [50–52] but no satisfactory explanation has been provided to date.

With this comparison, we can now explain why the one-body $\Delta$SCF formalism tends to underestimate the peak intensity. The single-particle approximation in Eq. (2), $S\langle \psi_f | e \cdot \mathbf{r} | \psi_i \rangle$, does not contain any information about the initial state of the valence electrons, when $S$ is a constant. The spectrum is found by summing up single-particle transitions in the final state in which the valence electrons have already equilibrated with the core hole. The final-state picture alone does not reflect the full process of X-ray excitations: first a core hole is generated by an X-ray photon, then the valence electrons begin to relax in presence of the core-hole potential. This is why the final-state spectra predicted by the one-body formalism can be subject to spurious charge transfer effects, if the electron density happens to transfer onto the optically active orbitals due to core-hole attraction. In the above examples of O 1s excitations, a substantial amount of electron density is transferred into the 2p character local to the excited O (0.55 e$^-$ for TiO$_2$ and 0.72 e$^-$ for CrO$_2$), which blocks some bright transitions from 1s $\rightarrow$ 2p and leads to the reduced pre-edge intensity. Conversely, a many-body perturbation theory, such as the BSE, considers the evolution of many-electron systems using diagrammatic approaches, which is capable of capturing the correct time-ordering and dynamics in photoexcitations. This can be seen in Eq. (3), the BSE begins with empty initial-state orbitals and considers their hybridization under the core-hole effect, hence retrieving the edge sharpness.

**Many-Electron Formalism** To alleviate the deficiencies of the one-body $\Delta$SCF method, we seek a better approximation to $\langle \Psi_f | e \cdot \mathbf{R} | \Psi_i \rangle$ so as to incorporate the many-electron response as the system evolves from the initial to final state. We abandon the single-particle approximation used in Eq. (2) and develop an approximate many-electron wavefunction. To avoid solving an intractable full configuration-interaction problem and leverage the current $\Delta$SCF approach, we assume that the valence Kohn-Sham (KS) orbitals are non-interacting. Consequently, a single Slater determinant comprising the KS orbitals is a consistent many-electron eigenstate. This approximation is analogous to the independent-electron model with a single core-hole considered in the MND theory[15, 16]. It may fail to capture certain many-body correlations and we will defer this discussion until later.

Within the independent-KS-orbital approximation, the ground state $\langle \Psi_i \rangle$ can be constructed as a Slater determinant built from the $N$ lowest occupied valence orbitals of the pristine supercell and the core orbital of the impurity atom. Here, $N$ is the number of valence electrons in the supercell. Similarly, the final state $\langle \Psi_f \rangle$ can be constructed as a Slater determinant of arbitrary $N+1$ KS orbitals in the final-state picture. To enumerate the many-electron final states, we generalize the final state index $f$ to represent any excited configuration of these $N+1$ electrons: $f$ now represents a unique $(N+1)$-tuple, $f = (f_1, f_2, \ldots, f_{N+1})$, where $f_i$’s label distinct final-state orbitals that the $N+1$ electrons can occupy.

The single-particle orbitals necessary for constructing $\langle \Psi_i \rangle$ and $\langle \Psi_f \rangle$ can be readily obtained from respective $\Delta$SCF calculations performed over the supercell. However, $\langle \Psi_i \rangle$ and $\langle \Psi_f \rangle$ are represented with the initial- and final-state orbital basis respectively. To eventually access $\langle \Psi_f | e \cdot \mathbf{R} | \Psi_i \rangle$, we perform the orbital transformation from the initial to final state: $| \psi_i \rangle = \sum_j | \psi_j \rangle \langle \psi_j | \psi_i \rangle = \sum_j \xi_{ij} | \psi_j \rangle$, in which $\psi_i$ and $\psi_j$ are the $i^{th}$ final-state and $j^{th}$ initial-state orbitals in the supercell, respectively. $\xi_{ij}$ is the transformation coefficient. The resulting matrix element has the same form as in Eq. (3) but the amplitude of a given final state $f$ becomes a single determinant of the transformation coefficients [43]

$$A_f = \det \begin{bmatrix} \xi_{f_1,1} & \xi_{f_1,2} & \cdots & \xi_{f_1,N} & \xi_{f_1,c} \\ \xi_{f_2,1} & \xi_{f_2,2} & \cdots & \xi_{f_2,N} & \xi_{f_2,c} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \xi_{f_{N+1},1} & \xi_{f_{N+1},2} & \cdots & \xi_{f_{N+1},N} & \xi_{f_{N+1},c} \end{bmatrix}$$

(4)

Within the independent-KS-orbital approximation, the energy of $\langle \Psi_f \rangle$ can be found by summing up the en-
energies of the occupied KS orbitals in the final state

\[ E_f = \sum_{j=1}^{N+1} \tilde{\varepsilon}_{f_j} \]  

(5)

The energy difference \( E_f - E_i \) for producing spectra may employ a similar alignment scheme as in the single-body approach, since the initial/(ground)-state energy \( E_i \) is fixed. In the following discussion, it is more meaningful to define the relative energy \( \Omega_f = E_f - E_{\text{th}} \), where the threshold energy, \( E_{\text{th}} = \sum_{j=1}^{N+1} \tilde{\varepsilon}_j \), corresponds to the lowest-energy final-state configuration (1, 2, ..., \( N + 1 \)).

Determinant expressions similar to Eq. (4) were also obtained in previous work [53–55] but they are rarely applied in a solid-state context from first-principles. Thus it is of great interest to examine whether the many-electron \( \Delta SCF \) formalism are in excellent agreement with experiments. In particular for CrO\(_2\), the edge sharpness is completely retrieved (Fig. 4 (b)) despite the disappearance of the first peak in a single-electron theory.

We find calculated XAS for TiO\(_2\) converges at the order of \( f^{(1)} \). As expected, the contributions from \( f^{(2)} \) (Fig 4 (c)) appear at higher energies due to the sizable band gap, and are much weaker due to reduced wavefunction overlap. However, it is more challenging to achieve numerical convergence in the metallic CrO\(_2\). While the first peak is retrieved mainly from \( f^{(1)} \) excitations, the correct peak-intensity ratio can only be reproduced when the next-order, \( f^{(2)} \), is taken into account, which substantially increases the intensity of the absorption feature \( \sim 4 \) eV above onset (Fig 4 (b)). Meanwhile, we find \( f^{(3)} \) can be neglected up to the first 8 eV (Fig 4 (d)).

Both the BSE (Fig. 1) and the many-electron formalism (Fig 4) yield very similar spectra for TiO\(_2\), but the many-electron formalism produces a spectrum for CrO\(_2\) in closer agreement with experiment than the BSE. The experimental peak-intensity ratio is 1.7:1.6 from the many-electron formalism; but only 1.3 from the BSE. We attribute this improvement the explicit inclusion of many-electron response to the core-hole potential via the
transition amplitude $A^f_{\epsilon}$ [Eq. (4)]. Since the Slater determinants are exact solutions to the independent-electron model, the many-electron formalism has taken into account all possible many-electron processes in the MND theory. In addition to the $e$-$h$ ladder diagrams in the BSE, the MND theory also considers non-ladder diagrams, such as diagrams with crossing Coulomb lines and bubble diagrams that account for the orthogonality catastrophe [15, 16, 53]. Instead of adopting a diagrammatic approach, the many-electron formalism considers theses processes via incorporating response of each electron to the core hole in the determinant amplitude $A^f_{\epsilon}$. Therefore, the many-electron formalism goes beyond the two-particle correlation in the BSE. For insulators like TiO$_2$, the many-electron formalism produces results very similar to the BSE because the non-ladder diagrams are not important near the absorption edge. However, this is not the case for CrO$_2$ since multiple $e$-$h$-pair production is more likely in a metal and the non-ladder diagrams become significant for determining the near-edge lineshape. The significance of the multiple electron response can also be appreciated from the fact that the CrO$_2$ spectrum converges at a higher-order $f^{(n)}$.

At last, we briefly discuss the validity of the independent-KS-orbital approximation. On the $f^{(1)}$ level, there is a one-to-one correspondence between the many-electron configurations and the empty single-particle final-state orbitals, and the latter are typically good approximations to QP band topology and wavefunctions [44, 56]. This approximation may break down if there are one or more valence $e$-$h$ pairs. For example, valence $e$-$h$ pairs in the $f^{(2)}$ configurations of an insulator may hybridize to form bound excitons; the two excited electrons in the $f^{(2)}$ configurations may interact through strong on-site Coulomb repulsion. In the case of CrO$_2$, however, the strong metallic screening can largely reduce $e$-$h$ binding energy, maintaining the independent-KS-orbital approximation. As for strongly correlated effects, it will be worthwhile to further consider embedded models based on the current determinant formalism.

**Conclusions** We have shown that the BSE and a newly developed many-electron ∆SCF approach are highly predictive for O K-edge fingerprints of TMOs. The systematic underestimation of the peak-intensity ratio within the original one-body ∆SCF approach is attributed to the absence of many-electron response in this formalism. We have demonstrated how to rectify these shortcomings by (1) extending the wavefunctions in the original ∆SCF to a many-electron form (2) calculating the determinant form of the transition amplitude. This many-electron formalism is transferable and not at all peculiar to TMOs. We leave the discussion of shakeup effects, improvement of efficiency, and other examples to near-future work.
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