Anisotropic radiation from accretion disc-coronae in active galactic nuclei
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ABSTRACT
In the unification scheme of active galactic nuclei (AGN), Seyfert 1s and Seyfert 2s are intrinsically same but they are viewed at different angles. However, the Fe Kα emission line luminosity of Seyfert 1s was found in average to be about twice of that of Seyfert 2s at given X-ray continuum luminosity in the previous work (Ricci et al. 2014). We construct an accretion disc-corona model, in which a fraction of energy dissipated in the disc is extracted to heat the corona above the disc. The radiation transfer equation containing Compton scattering processes is an integro-differential equation, which is solved numerically for the corona with a parallel plane geometry. We find that the specific intensity of X-ray radiation from the corona changes little with the viewing angle θ when θ is small (nearly face-on), and it is sensitive to θ if the viewing angle is large (θ ≳ 40°). The radiation from the cold disc, mostly in infrared/optical/UV bands, is almost proportional to cos θ when θ ≲ 40°, while it decreases more rapidly than cos θ when θ ≳ 40° because of strong absorption in the corona in this case. For Seyfert galaxies, the Fe Kα line may probably be emitted from the disc irradiated by the X-ray continuum emission. The observed equivalent width (EW) difference between Seyfert 1s and Seyfert 2s can be reproduced by our model calculations, provided Seyfert 1s are observed in nearly face-on direction and the average inclination angle of Seyfert 2s ∼ 65°.
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1 INTRODUCTION
According to the unification scheme of active galactic nuclei (AGN), Seyfert 1s (Syls) and 2s (Sy2s) are intrinsically same but viewed at different angles, which leads to different observational features (Antonucci 1993). Liu & Wang (2010) found that the Fe Kα line luminosities of Compton-thin Seyfert 2 galaxies are in average 2.9 times weaker than their Seyfert 1 counterparts. Ricci et al. (2014) found that the Fe Kα line luminosities of Sy1s are about twice of those for Sy2s at a given X-ray continuum luminosity (10 − 50 keV). The reason is still unclear. One possibility is that such difference is caused by anisotropic X-ray emission from these sources. Indeed, the orientation dependence of emission from AGN has been found and studied for a long time (Nemmen & Brotherton 2010) explored the uncertainty of the bolometric corrections of quasars with different viewing angles based on the accretion disc models of Hubeny et al. (2001), and found that a value of the bolometric luminosity for a quasar viewing at an angle of ≈ 30° will result in ≈ 30% systematic error, if the emission from the quasar is assumed to be isotropic. Runnoe, Shang, & Brotherton (2013) analyzed a sample of radio-loud quasars and found that the quasar luminosity changes with orientation. The sources viewed in the face-on direction are brighter than the edge-on sources by a factor of 2−3. Zhang (2005) explained the observed anticorrelation between type II fraction and the X-ray luminosity in 2–10 keV based on the AGN unification model with only one intrinsic luminosity function for these two types of AGNs. Recently, DiPompeo et al. (2014) used two observed luminosity functions to investigate the intrinsic quasar luminosity function with the correction of a single projection effect for the anisotropic emission of accretion disc. They concluded that the orientation dependence is the most important one among several potential corrections. They also claimed that more complex model of anisotropy may strengthen the orientation effect.

The black hole accretion disc-corona model has been widely used to explain both the thermal optical/UV and the power-law hard X-ray emission in the spectral energy distributions (SEDs) of active galactic nuclei (AGNs) (Galeev, Rosner, & Vaiana 1979; Haardt & Maraschi 1991, 1993; Nakamura & Osaki 1993; Svensson & Zdziarski 1994; Liu, Mineshige, & Shibata 2002; Liu, Mineshige, & Ohsuga 2003; Cao 2009; You, Cao, & Yuan 2012) and galactic black hole candidates (GBHC) (Esin et al. 1998; Dove, Wilms, & Begelman 1997; Dove et al. 1997; Navakshin & Dove 2001). Although there are different physical mechanisms proposed in the previous works for heating the corona and the interactions between the cold disc and the hot corona, the disc-corona is mostly structured as a sandwich-like cylindrically symmetric system (Begelman & McKee 1990; Balbus & Hawley 1991; Meyer & Meyer-Hofmeister 1994; Zycki, Collin-Souffrin, & Czerny 1995; Witt, Czerny, & Zycki 1997; Di Matteo 1998; Di Matteo, Celotti, & Fabian 1999).
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2 THE DISC-CORONA ACCRETION MODEL

The accretion disc-corona model used in this work is described in the previous works (Cao 2009, Xu 2013). The detailed model description and calculating approach can be found in Cao (2009). Here we only briefly summarize the main features of the model.

The energy equation of the cold thin disc is

\[ Q_{\text{dissi}} - Q_{\text{cor}} + \frac{1}{2} (1 - a_t) Q_{\text{cor}}^+ = \frac{4 \sigma T_{\text{disc}}^4}{3 \tau}, \]

where

\[ Q_{\text{dissi}} = \frac{3}{8 \pi} M \Omega_k^2(R) \left[ 1 - \left( \frac{R_L}{R} \right)^{1/2} \right]. \]

is the gravitational power dissipated in unit surface area of the accretion disc at radius \( R \) (where, \( \Omega_k(R) \) is the Keplerian velocity, \( R_L = 3R_0, R_0 = 2GM_{bh}/c^2 \) is the Schwarzschild radius for a black hole of mass \( M_{bh} \), and \( M \) is the mass accretion rate of the disc \( \dot{M} \)).

The calculation method is introduced in §2. We show the results and discussion in §4 and 5.

3 RADIATIVE TRANSFER IN THE CORONA

We consider a parallel plane geometry of the corona above/below the disc. The cooling processes in the corona include Compton, bremsstrahlung, and synchrotron emissions. The incident photons from the disc at \( z = H_d \) are assumed to be blackbody radiation. For simplicity, the electron density and temperature of the corona are assumed to be constant in the \( z \) direction.

3.1 Radiative transfer equation

The radiative transfer equation of the corona is,

\[ \frac{dI_\nu(z, \mu)}{ds} = -I_\nu(z, \mu) \left( \kappa_\nu^e + \kappa_T \right) + j_\nu^e + j_\nu^C, \]
where $ds = dz / \mu$, $\mu = \cos \theta$, $\theta$ is the angle of the photon with respect to the vertical direction of the disc, and $I_{\nu}(z, \mu)$ is the specific intensity. For the different absorption and emission processes included in the corona, we have the combined absorption and emission coefficients, $\kappa_{\nu} = \kappa_{\nu}^{\text{B}} + \kappa_{\nu}^{\text{C}}$, where the total absorption coefficient including free-free(bremsstrahlung+synchrotron) absorption and Thomson scattering, $j_{\nu} = j_{\nu}^{\text{B}} + j_{\nu}^{\text{C}}$, is the emission coefficient (emissivity) including free-free(bremsstrahlung+synchrotron) emission and Comptonization emission. We can calculate $I_{\nu}(z, \mu)$ when the structure of the corona, such as electron temperature $T_{\nu, \text{cor}}(r)$ and electron density $n_{e, \text{cor}}(r)$, are given.

### 3.2 Absorption and emission coefficients

The absorption coefficient of Thomson scattering is

$$\kappa_{\nu}^{\text{ee}} = n_{e} c^{2} \alpha_{\text{ee}}^{2} \frac{1}{1 + \theta_{\nu}^{2} / \theta_{e}^{2}} \ln(1.123 \theta_{e} + 0.48) + 1.5,$$

for $\theta_{\nu} < 1$, (16)

$$F_{\text{ee}}(\theta_{e}) = \frac{9 \theta_{e}^{2}}{2 \pi} \ln(1.123 \theta_{e} + 0.48) + 1.5,$$

for $\theta_{e} > 1$. (17)

The electron-electron cooling rate per unit volume $q_{\text{ee}}^{\text{C}}$ consists of electron-ion and electron-electron rates,

$$q_{\text{ee}}^{\text{C}} = q_{\text{ei}}^{\text{C}} + q_{\text{ee}}^{\text{C}}.$$  

(14)

The electron-ion cooling rate is

$$q_{\text{ei}}^{\text{C}} = 1.25 n_{e}^{2} \sigma_{\text{ee}} c m_{e} c_{\nu} F_{\text{e}}(\theta_{e}),$$

where $\alpha_{\text{ee}}$ is the fine-structure constant, $\theta_{e} = k T_{e} / m_{e} c^{2}$ is the dimensionless electron temperature, and the function $F_{\text{e}}$ has the form

$$F_{\text{e}}(\theta_{e}) = 4 \left( \frac{2 \theta_{e}^{2}}{\pi^{3}} \right)^{1/2} \left( 1 + 1.7810 \theta_{e}^{3/2} \right) \ln(1.123 \theta_{e} + 0.48) + 1.5,$$

for $\theta_{e} < 1$, (16)

$$F_{\text{e}}(\theta_{e}) = \frac{9 \theta_{e}^{2}}{2 \pi} \ln(1.123 \theta_{e} + 0.48) + 1.5,$$

for $\theta_{e} > 1$. (17)

The electron-electron cooling rate is

$$q_{\text{ee}}^{\text{C}} = n_{e}^{2} c^{2} \alpha_{\text{ee}}^{2} \frac{20}{9 \pi^{1/2}} (44 - 3 \pi^{2}) \theta_{e}^{2} / (1 + 1.1 \theta_{e} + \theta_{e}^{2} - 1.25 \theta_{e}^{2}),$$

for $\theta_{e} < 1$, (18)

$$q_{\text{ee}}^{\text{C}} = n_{e}^{2} c^{2} \alpha_{\text{ee}}^{2} \frac{20}{9 \pi^{1/2}} (44 - 3 \pi^{2}) \theta_{e}^{2} / (1 + 1.1 \theta_{e} + \theta_{e}^{2} - 1.25 \theta_{e}^{2})$$

for $\theta_{e} > 1$, (19)

where $r_{e} = c^{2} / m_{e} c^{2}$ is the classical electron radius.

The synchrotron emissivity is given by

$$\chi_{\nu}^{\text{syn}} = 4.43 \times 10^{-30} \frac{4 \pi m_{e} c^{2}}{K_{2}(1/\theta_{e})} I' \left( \frac{4 \pi m_{e} c^{2}}{3 \pi B_{e}} \right),$$

(20)

and the function $I'(x)$ is given by

$$I'(x) = \frac{4.0505}{x^{1/6}} \left( 1 + 0.4 \frac{x}{x^{1/6}} + 0.5316 \frac{x}{x^{1/6}} \right) \exp(-1.8890 x^{1/3}),$$

(21)

where $K_{2}$ is the second order modified Bessel function, $B$ is the magnetic field strength. For the equipartition magnetic field case, we have $B = \sqrt{8 \pi p_{\text{gas,cor}}}$, where $p_{\text{gas,cor}}$ is the gas pressure in the corona.

The emissivity of the inverse Compton scattering is calculated with the method proposed in Coppi & Blanford (1990),

$$j_{\nu}^{\text{C}} = \frac{f_{\nu}(T_{\nu, \text{cor}}, \nu)}{4 \pi},$$

(22)

where

$$I_{\nu}(z, \mu) = \int_{z}^{\infty} I_{\nu}(z', \mu) \kappa_{\nu}(z', \mu) + j_{\nu}^{\text{B}} - j_{\nu}^{\text{C}},$$

(23)

is the seed photon intensity of the unit volume including incident photons from all the directions.

### 3.3 Numerical solution to the radiative transfer equation

The radiative transfer equation (8) is an integro-differential equation. For a given disc-corona structure (including temperatures and densities of the electrons and ions, half thicknesses of the disc $H_{d}$ and thicknesses of the corona $H_{\text{cor}}$, etc.), one can solve this equation by iterations (e.g., Cao et al. 1998). An initial solution can be obtained by solving the equation neglecting the Compton scattering term, i.e.,

$$dI_{\nu}(z, \mu) / ds = -I_{\nu}(z, \mu) \kappa_{\nu} + j_{\nu}^{\text{B}},$$

(24)

We solve the above equation numerically for the corona with the boundary condition at the disc surface,

$$I_{\nu}(z = H_{d}, \mu) = B_{\nu}(T_{\nu, d}),$$

(25)

in the range $0 < \mu < 1$, where $T_{\nu, d}$ is the temperature of the disc surface, and the boundary condition at the upper surface of the corona,

$$I_{\nu}(z = H_{d} + H_{\text{cor}}, \mu) = 0,$$

(26)

in the range $-1 < \mu < 0$. With derived initial solution, we calculate the emissivity of the inverse Compton scattering from equations (22) and (23), and solve equation (8) numerically. With derived $I_{\nu}(z, \mu)$, the emissivity of the Compton emission can be recalculated with equations (22) and (23). We find that the final solution can be achieved after several iterations when the solutions converge.

Integrating the intensities over the different directions ($\mu = \cos \theta$) and frequencies $\nu$, the energy loss via radiation from the upper and lower surfaces of the corona can be calculated by

$$Q_{\text{rad}}^{\text{ad}} = \int_{\nu} \int_{0}^{1} I_{\nu}(z = H_{d} + H_{\text{cor}}, \mu) 2 \pi \mu d \mu,$$

(27)

Subtracting the incident blackbody radiation from the thin disc,

$$Q_{\text{disc}} = \pi B_{d} d \nu,$$

(28)
we obtain the cooling rate in unit surface area of the corona,
\[ F_{\text{cor}} = Q_{\text{rad}}^{\text{cor}} - Q_{\text{disc}}. \]

Given the black hole mass \( M_{\text{bh}} \), the dimensionless mass accretion rate \( \dot{m} = \dot{M}/\dot{M}_{\text{Edd}} \), where \( \dot{M}_{\text{Edd}} = L_{\text{Edd}}/0.1c^2 \), and the fraction of the energy directly heats the electron \( \delta \), we can derive the disc structure (such as, the effective temperature in the mid-plane of the disc, the density in the disc, and the half thickness of the disc, etc.) as a function of \( R \) from equations \((1) - (5)\), and equation \((7)\), under the assumption of equipartition of the magnetic pressure and the gas pressure in the corona. We assume the temperature of the ions in the corona \( T_{\text{ion}} = 0.9T_{\text{e}} \), \( 0.9GM_{\text{bh}}/3kR \) in this work as that in \( \text{Cui (2009)} \). Thus, the accretion disc-corona structure is available by solving the radiation transfer equation together with disc-corona equations described in \S 2.

The specific intensity from the corona at a certain radius \( R \) is obtained as a function of direction and frequency of the photons. Integrating the intensity over the whole surface of the corona, the specific luminosity emitted from the corona per steradian is
\[ \frac{dL_{\nu}}{2\pi d\mu} = \int \mu I_{\nu}(z = H_d + H_{\text{cor}}, \mu)2\pi rdr. \]

Thus, we can obtain the direction-dependent spectrum of an accretion disc-corona system for a set of given disc parameters.

4 RESULTS

We adopt the model parameters as follows, the black hole mass \( M_{\text{bh}} = 10^8 M_\odot \), the maximum radius of the disc-corona flow \( R_{\text{cor, max}} = 100R_d \), and the fraction of energy directly heated the electron \( \delta = 0.5 \), are fixed in all cases. The mass accretion rate \( \dot{m} \) and the ratio of the power dissipated in the corona \( f_{\text{cor}} \) are taken as two free parameters in our model calculations.

In Figure 1, we show the emergent spectra for four disc-corona accretion models with different values of parameters \( \dot{m} \) and \( f_{\text{cor}} \). The values of the two parameters in the models are, model (a): \( \dot{m} = 0.1 \) and \( f_{\text{cor}} = 0.1 \), model (b): \( \dot{m} = 0.1 \) and \( f_{\text{cor}} = 0.3 \), model (c): \( \dot{m} = 0.5 \) and \( f_{\text{cor}} = 0.06 \), and model (d): \( \dot{m} = 0.5 \) and \( f_{\text{cor}} = 0.1 \). For each model, we plot the emergent spectra observed in five different directions with the lines in different types and colors. The spectra from \( \theta = 87^\circ, 84^\circ, 76^\circ, 50^\circ \), and \( 2^\circ \) are shown with black dashed, cyan dotted, magenta dash-dotted, green thin solid, and blue thin dash-dotted lines, respectively. The corresponding value of \( \theta \) is denoted near each spectrum. The red thick solid line is the spectra integrated over the directions from \( \mu = 0 \) to \( \mu = 1 \). The four vertical dotted lines represent the four typical frequency points corresponding to 25000\( \AA \), 0.1 keV, 2 keV, and 10 keV, respectively. We can see from these figures, that the spectra from different emitting directions are different both in the luminosity and the spectral shape. The luminosity decreases with the increasing viewing angle between the emitting photons and the axis of the accretion disc, \( \theta \). The spectral shapes are similar in almost all bands but very different between the optical/UV (~ 2500\( \AA \)) and soft X-ray band (0.1 ~ 1 keV).

The different values of mass accretion rate \( \dot{m} = 0.1 \) and \( \dot{m} = 0.5 \) are adopted in Figure 1(a) and Figure 1(d), respectively, while the values of all other parameters are the same in these two figures. The larger the mass accretion rate adopted, the stronger and harder the spectra are. The different values of \( f_{\text{cor}} = 0.1 \) and \( f_{\text{cor}} = 0.3 \) are adopted in Figure 1(a) and Figure 1(b), respectively, while the values of all other parameters are the same in these two figures. We find that the change of the spectra with \( f_{\text{cor}} \) is also evident. The larger the value of \( f_{\text{cor}} \) adopted, the harder the spectra are.

The spectral shapes plotted in the four panels of Figure 1 show a certain degree of degeneracy of the two parameters \( \dot{m} \) and \( f_{\text{cor}} \). Different combinations of these two parameters may give very similar spectral shapes, but these can be distinguished by the different luminosity, which is directly controlled by \( \dot{m} \).

In order to study the change of spectra with the viewing direction, we calculate some typical quantities of the observed spectra, including the observed bolometric luminosity \( L_{\text{bol}} \), the spectral luminosity at optical/UV band \( (\lambda = 2500 \, \text{\AA}) L_{\alpha} \), and the spectral luminosity at X-ray band \( (E = 2 \, \text{keV}) L_{\alpha} \). The optical/UV to X-ray power index \( \alpha_{\text{ox}} \) is defined as
\[ \alpha_{\text{ox}} = -\frac{\log L_{\lambda_{2500}}(\lambda_{2500}/\lambda)}{\log L_{\lambda_{2500}}(\lambda_{2500}/(2 \, \text{keV})}. \]

In order to study the change of spectra with the viewing direction, we calculate some typical quantities of the observed spectra, including the observed bolometric luminosity \( L_{\text{bol}} \), the spectral luminosity at optical/UV band \( (\lambda = 2500 \, \text{\AA}) L_{\alpha} \), and the spectral luminosity at X-ray band \( (E = 2 \, \text{keV}) L_{\alpha} \). The optical/UV to X-ray power index \( \alpha_{\text{ox}} \) is defined as
\[ \alpha_{\text{ox}} = -\frac{\log L_{\lambda_{2500}}(\lambda_{2500}/\lambda)}{\log L_{\lambda_{2500}}(\lambda_{2500}/(2 \, \text{keV})}. \]

and the X-ray spectral index between 2 keV and 10 keV, \( \alpha_{\text{ox}} \) (defined as \( f_{\nu, \text{OX}} \sim 10 \, \text{keV} \propto \nu^{-\alpha_{\text{ox}}} \)). The changes of these quantities with the viewing angles are plotted in Figures 2-5.

In Figure 2, we plot the change of observed bolometric luminosity with viewing angle. The red solid, green dashed, cyan dotted, and black dash-dotted lines correspond to the four models (a)-(d), respectively. The blue thin dashed line indicates a simple relation as \( L_{\text{bol}} \propto \cos \theta \) representing the area-projection effect.

In Figures 3 and 4, we plot the changes of observed spectral luminosities at optical/UV band \( (\lambda = 2500 \, \text{\AA}) \) and X-ray (2 keV) band with the viewing angle. The red solid, green dashed, cyan dotted, and black dash-dotted lines correspond to the four models (a)-(d), respectively. The blue thin dashed lines also represent simple relations as \( L_{\alpha} \propto \cos \theta \). We find that the two spectral luminosities (optical and X-ray bands) show different changes with the viewing angle \( \theta \). The X-ray luminosity \( L_{\alpha} \) decreases more slowly than \( L_{\alpha} \propto \cos \theta \), while \( L_{\alpha} \) decreases more rapidly than \( L_{\alpha} \propto \cos \theta \). The X-ray luminosity \( L_{\alpha} \) is almost isotropic when the viewing angle is small (nearly face-on), and it becomes strongly anisotropic if the viewing angle is large \( (\geq 30^\circ - 40^\circ) \). The optical luminosity \( L_{\alpha} \) is almost proportional to \( \cos \theta \) when \( \theta \leq 30^\circ - 40^\circ \), but decreases more rapidly than \( \cos \theta \) when it is viewed at large angles \( (\geq 30^\circ - 40^\circ) \).

The changes of the optical/UV to X-ray spectral index, \( \alpha_{\text{ox}} \), and the X-ray spectral index between 2 keV and 10 keV, \( \alpha_{\text{ox}} \), with the viewing angle are shown in Figure 5. The red solid, green dashed, cyan dotted, and black dash-dotted lines correspond to the four models (a)-(d), respectively. In each model, \( \alpha_{\text{ox}} \) decreases very slowly with \( \theta \) at small viewing angles and quickly at large angles nearly edge-on. The situation is different for \( \alpha_{\text{ox}} \), which is almost unchanged with \( \theta \) in each model.

The hard X-ray continuum emission is from the coronae above the discs, and the discs are irradiated by the X-ray photons from the corona. Our model calculations show that the angle-dependent X-ray continuum spectra are anisotropic. They deviate from \( \cos \theta \)-dependence. The Fe Kα lines are probably emitted from the irradiated discs. In this case, the Fe line emission is anisotropic, and its angle-dependence follows \( \sim \cos \theta \). Thus, we can calculate the observed equivalent widths as functions of viewing angle with different values of model parameters. The result of model (a) is shown with red solid line in Figure 6.
It is still unclear whether part of observed Fe line emission is from the torus, which is emitted nearly isotropically. We estimate how the results would be affected by the torus contribution to Fe line emission by assuming that $x$ per cent of the total Fe line luminosity is from the torus. The ratio of the equivalent widths of the Fe line emission viewing at an angle $\theta$ is

$$\frac{EW(\theta)}{EW(0^\circ)} = \frac{(100 - x) \cos \theta + x}{100} \times \frac{L_{\nu}(2 \text{ keV})(0^\circ)}{L_{\nu}(2 \text{ keV})(\theta)}. \quad (32)$$

We re-calculate the relative equivalent width of the Fe line for different values of $x=50$, 20, and 10. The results are shown in Figure 6 with black dashed, green dotted, and blue dash-dotted lines, respectively.

5 DISCUSSION

Solving the radiative transfer equation of the corona numerically, we obtain the cooling rate in the hot corona and the emergent spectrum of the accretion disc-corona system viewed at any specified angle. The calculations are carried out for four sets of model parameters, in which different accretion rate $\dot{m}$ and different ratio of the power dissipated in the corona $f_{\text{cor}}$ are adopted, but all the other model parameters are fixed. Overall, the calculated spectra are sensitive to the values of the model parameters. For the models with same value of $f_{\text{cor}}$ [compare Fig. 1(a) with 1(d)], the larger the accretion rate, the harder the spectra from the disc-corona accretion flow are. The X-ray emission predominantly originates from the inverse Compton scattering of the soft photons from the thin disc by the hot electrons in the corona. The larger the accretion rate, the more the soft photons are radiated from the disc, thus the emission in the lower energy band (i.e. optical/UV band) becomes relatively weaker while the high energy band (i.e. X-ray band) becomes relatively stronger, and the spectra are harder (see $\alpha_{\text{ox}}$ in the left panel of Fig. 5). On the other hand, for the models with same value of $\dot{m}$ [compare Fig. 1(a) with 1(b), or Fig. 1(c) with 1(d)], the higher the ratio of the power dissipated in the corona, $f_{\text{cor}}$, the harder the spectra from the disc-corona accretion flow are. We find that the electron temperature of the corona increases with $f_{\text{cor}}$, which causes the Compton emission to be hard for the high $f_{\text{cor}}$ cases.

It is found that the spectra of disc-corona system observed at different directions have the similar shapes in 2-10 keV X-ray band. The X-ray spectral index, $\alpha_{\text{ox}}$, remains almost unchanged for different viewing angle $\theta$ (see the lower panel of Figure 5). The X-ray emission mostly originates from the inverse Compton scattering of the soft photons radiated from the thin disc by the hot electrons in the corona. The inverse Compton scattered X-ray spectrum mainly depends on spectrum of the seed photons and the temperature of the hot electrons. Thus, the X-ray spectral index is not sensitive to the viewing direction. Comparing the values of $\alpha_{\text{ox}}$ for different models, we find that $\alpha_{\text{ox}} \approx 1.64$ for the case with $\dot{m} = 0.1$ and $f_{\text{cor}} = 0.1$, while $\alpha_{\text{ox}} \approx 1.40$ for the case with $\dot{m} = 0.5$ and $f_{\text{cor}} = 0.1$. This result seems inconsistent with the observed results and theoretical predictions in the previous works which claim the increasing $\alpha_{\text{ox}}$ with the Eddington ratio, $L_{\text{bol}}/L_{\text{Edd}}$ or accretion rate, $\dot{m}$ (e.g., see Fig. 4 in Cao 2009). The reason is that we employ the same value of parameter, $f_{\text{cor}} = 0.1$, for these two models, which is inconsistent with the fact that the value of $f_{\text{cor}}$ decreases with the accretion rate (e.g., see Fig. 1 in Cao 2009). If we compare the results of the models (b) with (c), which adopt $f_{\text{cor}} = 0.3$ for the case with $\dot{m} = 0.1$ and a smaller $f_{\text{cor}} = 0.06$ for the case with $\dot{m} = 0.5$, we have $\alpha_{\text{ox}} \approx 1.43$ for the case with $\dot{m} = 0.1$ and $f_{\text{cor}} = 0.3$ (see}

**Figure 1.** Spectra of the four disc-corona accretion models with different values of parameters $\dot{m}$ and $f_{\text{cor}}$ in four plots, model (a): $\dot{m} = 0.1$ and $f_{\text{cor}} = 0.1$, model (b): $\dot{m} = 0.1$ and $f_{\text{cor}} = 0.3$, model (c): $\dot{m} = 0.5$ and $f_{\text{cor}} = 0.06$, and model (d): $\dot{m} = 0.5$ and $f_{\text{cor}} = 0.1$. For each model, we plot the spectra observed at different angles (the dashed lines in different colors). The emergent spectra viewed at $\theta = 87^\circ$, $84^\circ$, $76^\circ$, $50^\circ$, and $2^\circ$, are shown with black dashed, cyan dotted, magenta dash-dotted, green thin solid, and blue thin dash-dotted lines, respectively. The corresponding value of $\theta$ is denoted near each spectrum. The red thick solid lines are the spectra integrated over all directions ($\mu = 0$ – 1). The four vertical dotted lines represent the four typical frequencies corresponding to 2500Å, 0.1 keV, 2 keV, and 10 keV, respectively.
green line Figure 5), while $\alpha_\text{ox} \approx 1.62$ for the case with $n = 0.5$ and $f_\text{cor} = 0.06$ (see cyan line in Figure 5).

The main focus of this work is to explore the change of the emergent spectra from the disc-corona system viewed at different angles. In Figure 1, we find that the luminosity decreases with the increasing viewing angle $\theta$ with respect to the axis of the accretion disc. The detailed results of the observed bolometric luminosity are plotted in Figure 2, which shows that the bolometric luminosity is nearly proportional to $\mu (\mu = \cos \theta)$. This is due to the area-projection effect. The change of the spectral shapes for different viewing angles is different between optical/UV and soft X-ray bands (see Figure 1). The observed spectral luminosity at a typical optical/UV band of 2500Å decreases with $\theta$ (see Figure 3). It decreases more rapidly than $\cos \theta$-relation. The blackbody emission from the thin disc is partly absorbed in the corona. For the optical/UV emission from the disc, the specific intensity of the photons from the upper surface of the corona, $I_\nu \sim I_{\nu,0} \exp(-\tau_0/\mu)$, where $I_{\nu,0}$ is the specific intensity of the photons injected in the lower surface of the corona, and $\tau_0$ is the vertical optical depth of the corona. Thus, the observed spectral luminosity at optical/UV band is proportion to $\mu \exp(-\tau_0/\mu)$, which decreases more quickly with $\theta$ than $\cos \theta$-relation. On the other hand, although the X-ray spectral shape remains unchanged for different $\theta$, the observed spectral luminosity at 2 keV decreases with $\theta$ (see Figure 4). It decreases more slowly than $\cos \theta$-relation.

The narrow 6.4 keV Fe Kα lines are ubiquity in AGNs. Although its origin is still uncertain, it was suggested that they may probably originate from the distant molecular cloud (torus), the outer accretion disc or/and the broad-line region (BLR). The BLR origin is ruled out by the fact that no correlation between the Fe Kα core width and the BLR line (i.e., Hβ) width (Nandra 2006). The emitted narrow 6.4 keV line are found to show different properties for different types of AGN. The line luminosities of the narrow 6.4 keV Fe Kα line from type I AGNs are much stronger than those
from type II AGNs at the same X-ray continuum luminosity. Compiling 89 Seyfert galaxies and using [O IV] emission to estimate the intrinsic luminosity of the sources, Liu & Wang (2010) found that the Fe Kα line luminosities of Compton-thin Seyfert 2 galaxies are on average 2.9 times weaker than their Seyfert 1 counterparts. Ricci et al. (2014) found that the Fe Kα line luminosity is correlated with the 10-50 keV X-ray continuum luminosity either for Sy1s and Sy2s. The slopes of the correlations are almost same for these two types of the sources, but the Fe Kα line luminosities of Sy1s are about twice of those for Sy2s at a given X-ray continuum luminosity.

It is believed that type 1 Seyfert galaxies are intrinsically same as type 2 Seyferts but viewed at different angles (Antonucci 1993). We find that the observed systematical difference of EW of Fe Kα emission lines between Sy1s and Sy2s can be attributed to the difference of the viewing angle (see Figure 6). Such EW difference can be explained by their different inclination angles of the black hole accretion flow and the surrounding torus. We show in this work that the emission spectra emitted from the accretion flow of a black hole are anisotropic along the different viewing angles, which is consistent with the area-projection effect in the bolometric luminosity, but very different in the other characteristics of SED, i.e., the spectral luminosities in the optical/UV band and the X-ray band. Our calculations of accretion disc-corona spectra may provide more precise orientation effect correction in predicting the intrinsic luminosity functions of AGN sources from the observed luminosities at certain band. Recently, the effect of anisotropic radiation from the accretion discs on the luminosity derived from an AGN sample was evaluated by DiPompeo et al. (2014), and they found that the bright end of the luminosity function may be overestimated by a factor of ~ 2 without considering this effect. A simple $\cos \theta$-dependent specific intensity from a bare accretion disc is used in their estimates. Our present detailed calculations of the disc corona spectra as functions of the viewing angle can be incorporated in deriving the intrinsic AGN luminosity.

The calculations of the radiation transfer in the corona in this work are carried out in Newtonian frame. A general relativistic accretion corona model is required for direct modeling the observed spectra of AGN. The calculations in this work can be easily expanded for the accretion discs surrounding Kerr black holes in general relativistic frame. This will be reported in our future work.
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