Tips and Tricks for Better Endoscopic Treatment of Colorectal Tumors: Usefulness of Cap and Band in Colorectal Endoscopic Mucosal Resection
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Endoscopic mucosal resection (EMR) is an endoscopic alternative to surgical resection of mucosal and submucosal neoplastic lesions. Prior to the development of knives, EMR could be performed with accessories to elevate the lesion. After the development of various knives, en bloc resection was possible without other accessories. So, recently, simple snaring without suction or endoscopic submucosal dissection using knife in the epithelial lesions such as adenoma or early mucosal cancer has been performed. However, for easy and complete resection of subepithelial lesions such as carcinoid tumor, a few accessories are needed. Complete resection of rectal carcinoid tumors is difficult to achieve with conventional endoscopic resection techniques because these tumors often extend into the submucosa. The rate of positive resection margin for tumor is lower in the group of EMR using a cap (EMR-C) or EMR with a ligation device (EMR-L) than conventional EMR group. EMR-C and EMR-L (or endoscopic submucosal resection with a ligation device) may be a superior method to conventional EMR for removing small rectal carcinoid tumors.
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attachment fitted to the distal end of the endoscope, with saline solution with a low concentration of epinephrine injected underneath the lesion. The lesion was snared and drawn into the cap using the suction function of the endoscope, and then ligated and resected using electrocautery. The most serious complication of this technique may be perforation due to the lack of submucosal saline injection and too much suction. Therefore, large volume injection, which creates a large bleb and potentially reduces the risk of perforation, is recommended.6

Moreover, EMR using a cap (EMR-C) is effective at removal of carcinoid tumor which is diagnosed increasingly each year. It is widely accepted that rectal carcinoid tumors with a diameter of 10 mm or less can be treated with local excision, including endoscopic resection.7 Complete resection of rectal carcinoid tumors, however, is difficult to achieve with conventional endoscopic resection techniques because these tumors often extend into the submucosa.

Resection via polypectomy or conventional EMR is often associated with resection margin involvement, which necessitates further intervention.8,9 The rate of positive resection margin for tumor is lower in the group of EMR-C than conventional polypectomy group.10-12

And secondary endoscopic treatment for remnant lesions of rectal carcinoid tumors after primary EMR or polypectomy is technically difficult because of fibrosis of the residual tissues. EMR-C, a method to resect the submucosal layer by suction by using a transparent cap, may be feasible as a salvage treatment.13

**COLORECTAL EMR WITH A LIGATION DEVICE (OR ENDOSCOPIC SUBMUCOSAL RESECTION WITH A LIGATION DEVICE)**

A variation of the suction technique is the band and snare procedure. During the band (endoscopic ligator; Conmed, New York, NY, USA) and snare procedure, tissue is banded using an esophageal variceal banding device and then snared off in the standard fashion.14

After submucosal injection beneath the lesion to elevate it
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(A) At 10 cm from anal verge, 6 mm sized, round, yellowish, elevated lesion with normal mucosa was noted. (B) Tissue is banded using an esophageal variceal banding device and (C) then snared off in the standard fashion. (D) The lesion was removed.
away from the muscularis propria, the lesion was aspirated into the ligator device and the elastic band was then deployed. Next, snare resection was performed below the band with a blended electrosurgical current (Fig. 1). The resection specimen was then removed by aspiration into the cap or by retrieving it with a grasping forceps. After resection of the specimen, the ulcer floor was endoscopically closed with clips to prevent postoperative bleeding and perforation. As with EMR-C, complete resection of rectal carcinoid tumor is important but is difficult to achieve with conventional endoscopic resection techniques because these tumors often extend into the submucosa. Resection via polypectomy or conventional EMR is often associated with resection margin involvement. Among various endoscopic resection techniques, endoscopic submucosal resection with a ligation device (ESMR-L) is known to be a useful and safe procedure.\textsuperscript{15-19}

CONCLUSIONS

CAC is more sensitive for detecting adenomas and may reduce the time required for colonoscopic EMR of each polyp. EMR-C and EMR with a ligation device (or ESMR-L) may be a superior method to conventional EMR for removing small rectal carcinoids.
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