Comparison of microarray breast cancer classification using support vector machine and logistic regression with LASSO and boruta feature selection
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ABSTRACT
Breast cancer is the most frequent cancer diagnosis amongst women worldwide. Despite the advancement of medical diagnostic and prognostic tools for early detection and treatment of breast cancer patients, research on development of better and more reliable tools is still actively conducted globally. The breast cancer classification is significantly important in ensuring reliable diagnostic system. Preliminary research on the usage of machine learning classifier and feature selection method for breast cancer classification is conducted here. Two feature selection methods namely Boruta and LASSO and SVM and LR classifier are studied. A breast cancer dataset from GEO web is adopted in this study. The findings show that LASSO with LR gives the best accuracy using this dataset.
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1. INTRODUCTION
According to the Global Cancer Statistics Report [1-2], it is estimated that more than 2 million patients were newly diagnosed with cancer and almost 627,000 breast cancer mortalities were reported. The increasing number of patients being diagnose with cancer shows a tremendous alarming rate with high mortality and morbidity in developed and developing countries. It is also stated that breast cancer is the second leading cause of cancer death, it is also the most common cancer diagnosed amongst women worldwide [3]. In fact, according to the Malaysian Cancer Registry Report 2007 to 2011 it is the most prevalent cancer among Malaysian female as shown in Figure 1.

Breast cancer survival rate is greatly influenced by malignancy’s stage during diagnosis [4]. Lack of early detection diagnostic system and delayed treatments contributed to high death among breast cancer patients. Hence, early cancer diagnosis and treatment is needed to reduce the risk of cancerous and abnormal tissue from spreading to other organs [5].

Traditionally, human intervention by medical doctors and physicians are needed to detect, identify and validate the existance of breast cancer. However, this method is subject to human error, inaccuracy, time-consuming and cost. Computer aided technology can help to overcome the disadvantages of the traditional method. The diagnosis are normally delivered using result of mammography, ultra-sound, Contrasted-Enhanced (CE), x-ray, CT scan and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) [6-8]. Among all of these
imaging tools, mammogram is the most frequent and widely used in breast cancer screening. However, it is not efficient for patients with age of under 40 years old due to heavy and dense breast tissues [9]. CE digital mammography could provide more accurate result than mammogram and ultrasound in heavy and dense breast patients. However, it is not extensively used because of expensive and could cause enormous level of radiation [10]. Similar to CE, MRI also able to detect small injury that cannot be detected via mammogram. It also very costly and could result in the over-diagnoses [11].

Microarray technology is high-throughput technology used to produce the huge amount of gene expression profiles in cancerous and non-cancerous cells. It provides alternative ways to the imaging technologies. Microarray genes profiles carries important information which can be used in ensuring efficient drugs in targeted therapy, disease monitoring and also in identifying new potential cancerous cells marker. Typically, microarray genes expression data comes with huge (up to thousands) number of genes with small number of sample/class; e.g. number of genes (p) > number of sample (n) [12]. This condition is known as ‘curse of dimensionality’ in which there are imbalance number of number of genes/features (p) with respect to number of sample (n) [12]. The large amount of information carried by microarray data has opened up research on application of intelligent system and machine learning in classifying this data to help the medical doctor and histopathologist in analysing the result. Figure 2 shows the transformation of the microarray from image to gene expression profiles.

This work focus on our preliminary findings on application of Support Vector Machine and Logistic Regression in classification of breast cancer microarray data. To tackle the dimensionality issue, two feature selection methods are considered, LASSO and Boruta. Even though, several works had been reported on the application of machine learning for microarray data, not many focus solely on its application towards breast cancer. Microarray data identifies breast cancer using distinct subtypes of genes tumors profiles. The finding shows that LR with LASSO has the best performance.

**Figure 1.** Ten most frequent cancers in Malaysian females 2007-2011 [3]

**Figure 2.** Physical sample from DNA human body(a) Gene expression (in image expression) using high density DNA technology(b) to microarray gene expression profiles in dataset form (c)
2. RELATED WORK

Gene selection method can be categorized to three methods namely filter, wrapper, embedded and hybrid representation. Table 1 shows the summarized of gene selection technique for microarray profiles with their advantages and disadvantages.

### Table 1. Comparison on Feature Selection type and the element representation [13-16]

| Method Representation | Benefits | Limitation | Instances |
|-----------------------|----------|------------|-----------|
| **Filter**            | - Very fast and simple computation  
                       - Low time complexity  
                       - Only the highest ranking features selected while remove others  
                       - Independent features | - Ignore interaction with the classifier  
                       - Feature not dependent on any classifier  
                       - Generate redundancy  
                       - Only determined genes based on scored and rank features and ignore others  
                       - Difficult to determine starting value to rank the features | - Information gain  
                       - Chi-square method  
                       - Variance threshold  
                       - Fisher criterion  
                       - Correlation coefficient  
                       - t-Test | |
| **Wrapper**           | - Usually optimize the classifier performance  
                       - Start calculate by using randomness value  
                       - Feature dependent  
                       - Optimum solutions  
                       - Interaction between feature selection and subset  
                       - Have interaction with classifier  
                       - Feature selection process not sensitive to dataset | - Computationally more expensive than filter method due to iterations step and cross validation  
                       - Randomness and iterations search will takes enough times to eliminate redundancy | - Genetic algorithm  
                       - Ant Colony  
                       - Particle swarm optimization  
                       - Sequential swarm optimization  
                       - Recursive feature elimination | |
| **Embedded**          | - Taking benefits from filter and wrapper models  
                       - Quite similar to wrapper  
                       - Optimize objective function/learning algorithm  
                       - Feature dependencies  
                       - Computational time better than wrapper  
                       - Interact with classifier | - Always tend to over fitting  
                       - Dependent classifier | - Decision tree  
                       - LASSO regression  
                       - SVM-RFE | |
| **Hybrid**            | - Taking advantages from numerous methods (filter and wrapper and others)  
                       - Can combine between other approaches to taking its advantages | - Complex  
                       - Computational time | - Mutual information  
                       - Multi-objective optimization  
                       - kNN  
                       - SVM  
                       - Random forest | |

In the past research, classification of cancer had been involved with machine learning method and many advanced methods have been emerged with fast and accurate result [17]. Machine learning (ML) algorithms have been intensively used in model prediction, targeting and classification in various applications such as in medical data predictions. ML is defined as a model that ‘study’, ‘acquire’ and ‘memorize’ the prior or previous data to forecast the coming and future data. There are a lot of study focuses on various kind of techniques such as statistical, probabilistic and optimization that can be applied as the ‘study’ or learning models. These learning models such as artificial neural network, K-nearest neighbor, support vector machine (SVM), logistic regression (LR) and random forest (RF) are amongst the classifier that have been widely used in many research.

ML learning models come up with two types namely supervised and unsupervised learning [18]. The supervised learning constructs from known class (labeled training data) whereas unsupervised learning form the features from unknown source/class data (unlabeled training data). In this work, we focused on supervised learning models to construct and classify the breast cancer microarray data.
SVM is one of the most popular ML approach. SVM is prevailing in recognizing linear and non-linear models in large and complex data. The objective is to create the hyperplane/boundary based on its orientation and positions so that the data points will be far from nearby data points from every class. This nearby points are known as support vector. In a work done by [18] using binary cancerous microarray data such as colon, ovarian, central nervous and lung, it is shown that RF outperform SVM. However, it is stated that the result of classification accuracy either high or low can be influenced by dimensional reduction method used in the feature selection task [18]. Thus, it is important to choose the best feature selection method prior to the classification.

LR is generated from the basic concept of linear model that has intercept and coefficient value. It is coming form statistics approach that is used for data prediction by finding the area under the receiver operating characteristic (ROC). It is noted that ROC graph can be determined by a simple and intuitive method [19]. In a recent research, Almugren and Alshamlan [20] presented cancer classification technique to classify different type of cancer. The approach uses different type of cancer based on wrapper method using metaheuristic application. On the basis of the comprehensive literature review, the used of wrapper based using Boruta feature selection had been rarely studied. It is interesting to look at the aforementioned approaches for cancer classification using standard dataset available for cancer to have fair comparison. This paper proposed a novel approach to classify a diagnose breast cancer using human RNA microarray dataset based on the used of feature selection and without applied feature selection using LR and SVM classifier.

3. METHODOLOGY

The system studied here starts with feature selection where its input is the raw microarray data consisting of all features and the output is a reduced data with only the selected features. The reduced data is then fed to classifier to be classified. The flowchart in Figure 3 presented this system.

![Figure 3. Flowchart of the classification system](image-url)

3.1. Dataset

A human recurrence breast cancer microarray dataset is retrieved from Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) database for this study. The data is labelled as estrogen receptor active (ER+) or estrogen receptor inactive (ER-) or in other words either the gene features are belonging to normal or abnormal cells. The distribution of the samples is illustrated in Table 2. The classification of such data is important for potential treatment therapy.
Table 2. Representation of breast cancer microarray dataset GSE2034 (recurrence dataset)

| GSE2034 | ER+ | ER- | Sample | Gene | Class |
|---------|-----|-----|--------|------|-------|
| 180     | 106 | 286 | 22283  |      | Binary|

In this work the raw data retrieved from the GEO web is splitted into two separate file namely features and target. No preprocessing is done prior to this. Next, the data is divided into training and test set with the ratio of 20% test and 80% training.

3.2. Feature selection

Feature selection is used to reduce high dimensionality of microarray features so that only the informative features are used for classification. Since, microarray medical data consists of huge number of input features, feature selection or gene selection is required in this study before it is feed into classification task. In this work, the effectiveness of Boruta feature selection method which introduced in 2010 by Kursa and Rudnicki [21] and LASSO [22] is studied.

It is a wrapper based method that make used of random forest classification algorithm implementation. Boruta is also known as ensemble method where the selector task can be implemented by selecting multiple unbiased weak classifiers and separately classify informative and noninformative features/attributes. It makes use of calculating average and standard deviation of the loss accuracy. The RF classifier that built in the Boruta algorithm will decide the important parameters/element by randomly reducing the misleading fluctuations and correlations. Research had shown that Boruta is computationally intensive method. However, when it is used together with random forest classifier, it is able to efficiently optimize dataset and contribute to good classification accuracy [23].

LASSO however is different from Boruta, as it constructs a linear model and generate regression coefficient using Lasso or L1 distance. In linear model method, it has added penalty to the gene features to avoid overfitting. From the penalty that is applied to the coefficients, the L1 has the attribute to reduce and shrink less or more coefficients to zero. Hence, based on these concept, the feature can be removed from the model. It is actually generated from the basic concept of linear model that has intercept and coefficient value. In short, LASSO will select the features with the coefficient are non-zero.

3.3. Classification

Support Vector Machine (SVM) is a supervised learning classification method that is introduced by Vapnik in 1995 [24]. It is a discriminate classifier that could be used in finding optimal hyperplane based on margin maximization. It searches the best hyperplane to separate the binary classes by maximizing the margin or distance between data points and the separable data. Normally, it is used for linear and non-linearly separable data. Figure 4 shows conventional separable margin for data splitting. It is frequently chosen for microarray data classification as seen in [25].

![Figure 4. Conventional SVM hyperplane margin for separable data](image)

Logistic regression also known as Longit is a statistic model introduced by Berkson in 1944 [26]. LR is widely used for binary classification. The aim of the LR is to find the probability of $p$ outcome that occurs to one or two targeting data. In the contexts of LR, it makes used of linear function to finding the maximum likelihood of the predictor value. Maximum likelihood means the estimation of LR model in...
generating exponential data curve. Figure 5 shows the linear model between linear regression and logistic regression in terms of the line and curve model. It is based on exponential distribution function in which is formulated with vectorization of parameters using known function of data. It is preferable to be used since it is simple and easy to be implemented.

![Linear Regression vs Logistic Regression](image)

Figure 5. Linear model versus LR

4. RESULTS AND ANALYSIS

The effectiveness of the feature selection and classifier algorithms are studied here. (1) the performance of SVM and LR without any feature selection algorithm are compared followed by (2) the performance with the implementation of Boruta and LASSO feature selection algorithm with SVM and LR classifier. This is to observe the effectiveness of classification of the proposed result without and with the feature selection algorithm.

The experiments were carried out using a desktop computer equipped with Intel® core™ i5-7200U CPU @ 2.50GHz 2.71 GHz and 8GB RAM. The algorithm was written and analysed using Python programme in Spyder web environment. For criteria (1), using LR classifier, 72.22% accuracy is achieved whereas the SVM classifier obtained 59.72% accuracy. This shows that without the feature selection, LR performs better than SVM for the selected dataset.

For criteria (2), when feature selection is implemented together with the classification algorithms, LR maintains to perform better than SVM when combined with both Boruta and LASSO feature selection algorithm. Boruta feature selection shows improvement of classification result to 69.44% and 73.61% for Boruta+SVM and Boruta+LR respectively. Between SVM and LR, Boruta gives significant improvement to SVM. Combination of LASSO+LR shows a very significant improvement of almost 37% in comparison to using LR algorithm on itw own. Unfortunately, there is no changes observed when LASSO is used with SVM. The result is similar with using SVM classifier only. Table 3 shows the preliminary result using the proposed method namely Boruta and LASSO feature selection with and without classifier respectively SVM and LR.

| Criteria                  | SVM       | LR       |
|---------------------------|-----------|----------|
| Without Feature Selection (FS) | 59.72%    | 72.22%   |
| Boruta                   | 69.44%    | 73.61%   |
| LASSO                    | 59.72%    | 98.61%   |

5. CONCLUSION

Application of SVM and LR together with Boruta and LASSO feature selection techniques on the microarray breast cancer classification process is explained in this work. There is no improvement observed when using LASSO in SVM. However, using Boruta feature selection, the classification accuracy increase by almost 10% when Boruta is used prior to classification using SVM. Whereas, combination of LASSO+LR show substantial improvement when the accuracy achieved shoot to almost 99% which is better than the classification with LR without any feature selection. However, Boruta+LR only increase by lesser than 2% from classification result of LR. Future work will include more dataset, other feature selection and classifier algorithms, tuning of parameters in the classifiers and feature selection algorithms.
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