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Abstract

In this paper we construct a correspondence between the parameter spaces of two families of one-dimensional dynamical systems, the $\alpha$-continued fraction transformations $T_\alpha$ and unimodal maps. This correspondence identifies bifurcation parameters in the two families, and allows one to transfer topological and metric properties from one setting to the other. As an application, we recover results about the real slice of the Mandelbrot set, and the set of univoque numbers.

1 Introduction

The goal of this paper is to discuss an unexpected connection between the parameter spaces of two families of one-dimensional dynamical systems, and establish an explicit correspondence between the bifurcation parameters for these families.

The family $(T_\alpha)_{\alpha \in (0,1]}$ of $\alpha$-continued fraction transformations, defined in [Na], is a family of discontinuous interval maps, which generalize the well-known Gauss map. For each $\alpha \in (0,1]$, the map $T_\alpha$ from the interval $[\alpha - 1, \alpha]$ to itself is defined as $T_\alpha(0) = 0$ and, for $x \neq 0$,

$$T_\alpha(x) := \frac{1}{|x|} - c_{\alpha,x}$$

where $c_{\alpha,x} = \left\lfloor \frac{1}{|x|} + 1 - \alpha \right\rfloor$ is a positive integer. For every $\alpha \in [0,1]$ at most two of the infinitely many branches of $T_\alpha$ fail to be surjective, hence $T_\alpha$ has infinite topological entropy. On the other hand, for all $\alpha > 0$ the map $T_\alpha$ admits a unique invariant probability measure $\mu_\alpha$ which is absolutely continuous with respect to Lebesgue measure, and one can consider the metric entropy $h = h(T_\alpha, \mu_\alpha)$ with respect to this measure; $h$ can be computed by Rohlin’s formula and is finite (see [LM]).
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Several authors have studied the variation of $h$ as a function of the parameter $\alpha$. Numerical evidence that the entropy $h$ is continuous but non-monotone was first produced in $[LM]$. Subsequently, $[NN]$ found out that the entropy is monotone over intervals in parameter space for which the orbits of the two endpoints collide after a finite number of steps (see Equation (6) on page 6). This analysis is completed in $[CT]$, where intervals of parameters for which such relation holds are classified, and it is proven that the union of all such intervals has full measure. The complementary set, denoted by $E$, is the set of parameters across which the combinatorics of $T_\alpha$ changes, hence it will be called the bifurcation set.

The second object we consider is the family of unimodal maps, i.e. smooth maps of the interval with only one critical point, the most famous example being the logistic family. To any such map one can associate a kneading invariant $[MT]$ which encodes the dynamics of the critical point and determines the combinatorial type of the map. Using an appropriate coding (see $[IP]$, $[IS]$) the set of all kneading invariants which arise from unimodal maps can be represented as the points of a set $\Lambda$ defined in terms of the tent map $T(x) := \min\{2x, 2(1-x)\}$

$$\Lambda := \{x \in [0, 1] : T^k(x) \leq x \forall k \in \mathbb{N}\} \quad (1)$$

The set $\Lambda$ is uncountable, totally disconnected and closed; the topology of $\Lambda$ reflects the variation in the dynamics of the corresponding maps as the parameter varies: for instance families of isolated points in $\Lambda$ correspond to period doubling cascades (see Section 4.2). This set also parametrizes the real slice of the boundary of the Mandelbrot set $M$ (see Section 5.1), because for each admissible kneading sequence there exists exactly one real parameter on the boundary with that kneading sequence.

The key result of this paper (Section 4) is the following correspondence between the bifurcation parameters of the two families:

**Theorem 1.1.** The sets $\Lambda \setminus \{0\}$ and $E$ are homeomorphic. More precisely, the map $\varphi : [0, 1] \to [\frac{1}{2}, 1]$ given by

$$x = \frac{1}{a_1 + \frac{1}{a_2 + \frac{1}{a_3 + \ddots}}} \mapsto \varphi(x) = 0.\overline{11\ldots01} = 0.11\ldots01 \ldots$$

is an orientation-reversing homeomorphism which takes $E$ onto $\Lambda \setminus \{0\}$.

As a consequence, intervals in the parameter space of $\alpha$-continued fractions where a matching between the orbits of the endpoints occurs are in one-to-one correspondence with real hyperbolic components of the Mandelbrot set, i.e. intervals in the parameter space of real quadratic polynomials where the orbit of the critical point is attracted to a periodic cycle. In terms of entropy, intervals over which the metric entropy of $\alpha$-continued fractions is monotone (and conjecturally smooth) are mapped to parameter intervals in the space of quadratic polynomials where the topological entropy is constant (see figure 1). For instance, the matching interval $([0; \frac{3}{2}], [0; \frac{2}{1}])$, identified in $[LM]$ and $[NN]$, corresponds to the “airplane component” of period 3 in the Mandelbrot set.

This dictionary illuminates many connections between seemingly unrelated objects in real dynamics, complex dynamics, and arithmetic, which we will explore in the rest of the paper. For example, the following properties of $\Lambda$ follow immediately by using the combinatorial tools developed in $[CT]$:
Figure 1: Correspondence between the parameter space of \( \alpha \)-continued fraction transformations and the Mandelbrot set. On the top: the entropy of \( \alpha \)-c.f. as a function of \( \alpha \), from [LM]; colored strips correspond to matching intervals. At the bottom: a section of the Mandelbrot set along the real line, with external rays landing on the real axis. Matching intervals on the top figure correspond to hyperbolic components on the bottom.

(i) the set \( \Lambda \) can be constructed via a bisection algorithm (Section 4.1)

(ii) the sequences of isolated points in \( E \) observed experimentally in ([CMPT], Section 4.2) are the images of the well-known period doubling cascades for unimodal maps (Section 4.2)

(iii) the derived set \( \Lambda' \) is a Cantor set (Section 4.3)

(iv) the Hausdorff dimension of \( \Lambda \) is 1 (Section 4.4)

From the above properties of \( \Lambda \) we derive some consequences on corresponding sets. For example, (iv) implies that the set of external rays of the Mandelbrot set which land on the real axis has full Hausdorff dimension (Section 5.1), a result first obtained in [Za].

Moreover, in Section 5.2 we give an arithmetic interpretation of \( \Lambda \). Namely, aperiodic elements of \( \Lambda \) correspond to binary expansions of univoque numbers, i.e. the numbers \( q \in (1, 2) \) such that 1 admits a unique representation in base \( q \). Univoque numbers have been studied by Erdős, Horváth and Joó [EHL] and many others (see Section 5.2 for references), and once again the translation of statements (i)-(iv) immediately yields several results previously obtained by different authors.

Moreover, our new characterization of the set \( E \) (see Lemma 3.3) shows that it is essentially the same object appearing in a paper of Cassaigne as the spectrum of recurrence quotients for cutting sequences of geodesics on the torus ([Ca], Theorem 1.1). Indeed, our results on \( E \) answer some questions raised in [Ca], such as the computation of Hausdorff dimension.

Finally, we would like to emphasize that the correspondence of Theorem 1.1 opens up many questions, and it is especially natural to ask to what extent results in the well-developed theory
of unimodal maps can be translated into the continued fraction setting. For instance, it would be interesting to identify an analogue of renormalization for the \( \alpha \)-continued fractions, and to further explore the relation between the entropy of that family ([LM], [CMPT], [Ti], [KSS]) and the topological entropy of unimodal maps ([MT] and [Do] among others).
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### 2 Preliminaries

Let \( T, F, G \) denote the tent map, the Farey map and the Gauss map of \([0, 1]\), given by:

\[
T(x) := \begin{cases} 
2x & \text{if } 0 \leq x < \frac{1}{2} \\
2(1 - x) & \text{if } \frac{1}{2} \leq x \leq 1 
\end{cases}
\]

\[
F(x) := \begin{cases} 
\frac{x}{1 - x} & \text{if } 0 \leq x < \frac{1}{2} \\
\frac{1 - x}{x} & \text{if } \frac{1}{2} \leq x \leq 1 
\end{cases}
\]

and \( G(0) := 0, G(x) := \{ \frac{1}{x} \}, \ x \neq 0 \). The action of \( F \) and \( T \) can be nicely illustrated with different symbolic codings of numbers. Given \( x \in [0, 1] \) we can expand it in (at least) two ways: using a continued fraction expansion, i.e.

\[
x = \frac{1}{a_1 + \frac{1}{a_2 + \frac{1}{a_3 + \ldots}}} \equiv [0; a_1, a_2, a_3, \ldots], \quad a_i \in \mathbb{N}
\]

and a binary expansion, i.e.

\[
x = \sum_{i \geq 1} b_i 2^{-i} \equiv 0.b_1 b_2 \ldots, \quad b_i \in \{0, 1\}
\]

The action of \( T \) on binary expansions is as follows: for \( \omega \in \{0, 1\}^\mathbb{N} \),

\[
T(0.0 \omega) = 0. \omega, \quad T(0.1 \omega) = 0. \hat{\omega}
\]

where \( \hat{\omega} = \hat{\omega}_1 \hat{\omega}_2 \ldots \) and \( \hat{0} = 1, \hat{1} = 0 \). The actions of \( F \) and \( G \) are given by \( F([0; a_1, a_2, a_3, \ldots]) = [0; a_1 - 1, a_2, a_3, \ldots] \) if \( a_1 > 1 \), while \( F([0; 1, a_2, a_3, \ldots]) = [0; a_2, a_3, \ldots] \), and \( G([0; a_1, a_2, a_3, \ldots]) = [0; a_2, a_3, \ldots] \). As a matter of fact \( G \) can be obtained by \( F \) by inducing on the interval \( I_1 = [1/2, 1] \), i.e.

\[
G(x) = F^{[1/\lfloor x \rfloor]}(x), \quad x \neq 0
\]

\footnote{Here \([x]\) and \(\{x\}\) denote the integer and the fractional part of \(x\), respectively, so that \(x = [x] + \{x\}\).}
Now, given \( x = [0; a_1, a_2, a_3, \ldots] \), one may ask what is the number obtained by interpreting the partial quotients \( a_i \) as the lengths of successive blocks in the dyadic expansion of a real number in \([0, 1]\); this defines Minkowski’s question mark function \( ? : [0, 1] \mapsto [0, 1] \)

\[
? (x) = \sum_{k \geq 1} (-1)^{k-1} 2^{-(a_1 + \cdots + a_{k-1})} = 0.0000000 \ldots 111111111 \ldots 000000 \ldots
\] (4)

The questionmark function \( ?(x) \) has the following properties (see [Sa]):

- it is strictly increasing from 0 to 1 and Hölder continuous of exponent \( \beta = \frac{\log 2}{2 \log \sqrt{5+1}} \);
- \( x \) is rational iff \( ?(x) \) is of the form \( k/2^s \), with \( k \) and \( s \) integers;
- \( x \) is a quadratic irrational iff \( ?(x) \) is a (non-dyadic) rational;
- \( ?(x) \) is a singular function: its derivative vanishes Lebesgue-almost everywhere;
- it satisfies the functional equation \( ?(x) + ?(1-x) = 1 \).

We shall see later that the question mark function \( ? \) plays a key role in the main result of this paper (Theorem 1.1) because it conjugates the actions of \( F \) and \( T \). For a more general analysis of the role played by \( ? \) in a dynamical setting see [BI].

3 The bifurcation sets

3.1 The bifurcation set for continued fraction transformations

Let \( r \in (0, 1) \cap \mathbb{Q} \) be a rational number, and let \( r = [0; a_1, \ldots, a_n] \) be its continued fraction expansion, with \( a_n \geq 2 \). The quadratic interval associated to \( r \) will be the open interval \( I_r \) with endpoints

\[
[0; a_1, \ldots, a_{n-1}, a_n] \quad \text{and} \quad [0; a_1, \ldots, a_{n-1}, a_n - 1, 1]
\]

where \([0; a_1, \ldots, a_n]\) denotes the real number with periodic continued fraction expansion of period \((a_1, \ldots, a_n)\). The number \( r \) is called the pseudo-center of \( I_r \). We also define the degenerate quadratic interval \( I_1 := (g, 1] \), where \( g := [0; 1] \) is the golden number.
**Definition 3.1.** The bifurcation set for continued fractions is
\[ E := [0, 1] \setminus \bigcup_{r \in (0, 1) \cap \mathbb{Q}} I_r \] (5)

Quadratic intervals which are not properly contained in any larger quadratic interval are called maximal quadratic intervals. By ([CT], Section 2.2), if two quadratic intervals are not disjoint, they are both contained in a maximal quadratic interval; thus, the connected components of the complement of \( E \) are precisely the maximal intervals. For all parameters belonging to a maximal quadratic interval, the \( \alpha \)-continued fraction transformation \( T_\alpha \) satisfies a matching condition between the orbits of the endpoints, with fixed combinatorics:

**Theorem 3.2 ([CT], Theorem 3.1).** Let \( I_r \) be a maximal quadratic interval, and \( r = [0; a_1, \ldots, a_n] \) with \( n \) even. Let
\[ N = \sum_{i \text{ even}} a_i \quad M = \sum_{i \text{ odd}} a_i \]
Then for all \( \alpha \in I_r \),
\[ T_\alpha^{N+1}(\alpha) = T_\alpha^{M+1}(\alpha - 1) \quad \forall \alpha \in I_r \] (6)

As a consequence, by [NN], the metric entropy \( h(T_\alpha) \) is locally monotone on the complement of \( E \). The set \( E \) can be given the following new characterization:

**Lemma 3.3.** Let \( x \in [0, 1] \). The following are equivalent:

(a) \( x \in E \)
(b) \( G^k(x) \geq x, \forall k \in \mathbb{N} \)
(c) \( F^k(x) \geq x, \forall k \in \mathbb{N} \)
(d) \( F^k \circ \Psi_1(x) \leq \Psi_1(x) \ \forall k \in \mathbb{N} \), where \( \Psi_1(x) := 1/(1 + x) \) is an inverse branch of \( F \).

**Proof.** Let \( x = [0; a_1, a_2, \ldots] \) be the continued fraction expansion of \( x \).

(b) \( \Rightarrow \) (c). Fix \( k \geq 1 \), so \( F^k(x) = [0; h, a_{n+1}, a_{n+2}, \ldots] \) for some \( n \) and \( 1 \leq h \leq a_n \). Hence
\[ F^k(x) \geq [0; a_n, a_{n+1}, \ldots] = G^{n-1}(x) \geq x \]

(c) \( \Rightarrow \) (d). Let \( J \subset \mathbb{N} \) be the subsequence given by the partial quotients sums, i.e. \( J = \{\sum_{i=1}^n a_i\}_{n \geq 1} \), and note that \( y := \Psi_1(x) = [0; 1, a_1, a_2, \ldots]. \) If \( k \notin J \) then \( F^k(y) \leq \frac{1}{2} \leq y \). Otherwise, \( F^k(y) = [0; 1, a_{n+1}, a_{n+2}, \ldots] = \Psi_1(F^k(x)) \) for some \( n \geq 1 \) so that
\[ x = [0; a_1, a_2, a_3, \ldots] \leq [0; a_{n+1}, a_{n+2}, \ldots] = F^k(x) \Rightarrow F^k(y) \leq y \]

(d) \( \Rightarrow \) (b). Fix \( k \geq 1 \), and let \( s := \sum_{j=1}^k a_j \), so that \( F^s(x) = G^k(x) \). Now,
\[ F^s(\Psi_1(x)) = [0; 1, a_{k+1}, a_{k+2}, \ldots] \leq [0; 1, a_1, a_2, \ldots] = \Psi_1(x) \]
therefore \([0; a_{k+1}, \ldots] = G^k(x) \geq x = [0; a_1, \ldots]. \)

(a) \( \Rightarrow \) (c). Let \( x \in E \) be the endpoint of a maximal interval, hence \( x = [0; a_1, \ldots, a_n] \). Once you fix \( k \geq 0 \), then \( G^k(x) = [0; a_{l+1}, \ldots, a_n, a_1, \ldots, a_l] \) with \( l = k \mod n \). Now, by [CT], Proposition 4.5
\[ G^k(x) = [0; a_{l+1}, \ldots, a_n, a_1, \ldots, a_l] \geq [0; a_1, \ldots, a_l, a_{l+1}, \ldots, a_n] = x \]

6
i.e. \((b)\), and hence \((c)\). Since \(\mathcal{E}\) has empty interior (\([\text{CT}], \text{Theorem }1.2\)), every point in \(\mathcal{E}\) is a limit point of a sequence of endpoints of maximal intervals, so inequality \((c)\) extends to all of \(\mathcal{E}\) by continuity of \(F\).

\((b) \Rightarrow (a)\). If \(x \notin \mathcal{E}\), then \(x\) belongs to a maximal interval \(I_a = (\alpha^-, \alpha^+)\). Let \(a = [0; A^-] = [0; A^+]\) be the two continued fraction expansions of the rational number \(a\), in such a way that \(\alpha^- = [0; \overline{A^+}]\) and \(\alpha^+ = [0; \overline{A^-}]\). Here \(A^-\) is a finite string of integers of odd length \(l\), and \(A^+\) is a finite string of integers of even length \(m\). The sequence

\[
a = [0; A^+] < [0; A^+ A^+] < \cdots < [0; (A^+)^n] < [0; (A^+)^{n+1}] < \cdots
\]

tends to \([0; \overline{A^+}] = \alpha^+\), hence for any \(x \in [a, \alpha^+)\) there exists \(n \geq 0\) such that

\[
[0; (A^+)^{n+1}] \leq x < [0; (A^+)^{n+2}]
\]

therefore \(y := \mathcal{G}^m(x) < [0; (A^+)^{n+1}] \leq x\). If instead \(x \in (\alpha^-, a]\), then using the fact that \(l\) is odd

\[
0 = \mathcal{G}^l(a) \leq \mathcal{G}^l(x) < \mathcal{G}^l(\alpha^-) = \alpha^-
\]

so \(\mathcal{G}^l(x) < \alpha^- < x\). \(\Box\)

### 3.2 Universal encoding for unimodal maps

We now introduce a set \(\Lambda\) which encodes the topological dynamics of unimodal maps in a universal way. Several similar approaches are possible (\([\text{MT}], [\text{HMS}]\) among others); we follow \([\text{IP}], [\text{Is}]\). We recall that a smooth map \(f : [0, 1] \to [0, 1]\) is called unimodal if it has exactly one critical point \(0 < c_0 < 1\), and we are going to assume \(f(0) = f(1) = 0\). If \(x \in [0, 1]\) never maps to \(c_0\) under \(f\), let us define the itinerary of \(x \in [0, 1]\) to be the sequence

\[
i(x) = s_1s_2\ldots \quad \text{with} \quad s_i = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } f^{i-1}(x) < c_0 \\ 1 & \text{if } f^{i-1}(x) > c_0 \end{cases}
\]

If \(x\) eventually maps to \(c_0\), let us define \(i(x^\pm) := \lim_{y \to x^\pm} i(y)\) where the limit is taken over non-precritical points. The kneading sequence of a unimodal map is the binary sequence \(K := i(c_1^-)\), where \(c_1 = f(c_0)\) is the critical value.

Kneading sequences encode the combinatorics of the orbits and determine the topological entropy. A nice way to decide whether a given binary sequence \(s \in \{0, 1\}^\mathbb{N}\) is the kneading sequence of some unimodal map is the following: we first associate to \(s = s_1s_2\cdots \in \{0, 1\}^\mathbb{N}\) the number \(\tau(s) \in [0, 1]\) defined as

\[
\tau = 0.t_1t_2\cdots = \sum_{k \geq 1} t_k2^{-k}, \quad t_k = \sum_{i=1}^k s_i \pmod{2}
\]

(7)

One readily checks that the following diagram is commutative:

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
[0, 1] & \xrightarrow{i} & \{0, 1\}^\mathbb{N} & \xrightarrow{\tau} & [0, 1] \\
\downarrow f & & \downarrow \sigma & & \downarrow T \\
[0, 1] & \xrightarrow{i} & \{0, 1\}^\mathbb{N} & \xrightarrow{\tau} & [0, 1]
\end{array}
\]

(8)
where $T$ is the tent map $T$ and $\sigma : \{0,1\}^\mathbb{N} \to \{0,1\}^\mathbb{N}$ is the shift map. Since the critical value is the highest value in the image and the map $\tau \circ i$ is increasing ([Is], Lemma 1.1), one has the characterization

**Proposition 3.4** ([IP], [Is]). A binary sequence $s \in \{0,1\}^\mathbb{N}$ is the kneading sequence of a continuous unimodal interval map if and only if $\tau := \tau(s)$ satisfies

$$T^k(\tau) \leq \tau \text{ for all } k \geq 0$$

One is thus led to study the set

$$\Lambda := \{ x \in [0,1] : T^k(x) \leq x, \forall k \in \mathbb{N} \} \quad (9)$$

By considering $T(x) \leq x$ one immediately realizes that $\Lambda \setminus \{0\} \subseteq [2/3,1]$. Note moreover that, if the map is $C^1$, the extremal situations in which $T^k(\tau) = \tau$ for some $k > 0$ correspond to periodic attractors (and thus periodic kneading sequence) of the corresponding smooth unimodal maps (see [dMvS], Chapter IV).

Note that all kneading sequences which arise from unimodal maps can be actually realized by real quadratic polynomials $f_c(z) = z^2 + c$, $c \in [-2,1/4]$. By density of hyperbolicity ([GS], [Ly]), aperiodic kneading sequences are realized by exactly one map $f_c$. On the other hand, the set of parameters which realize a given admissible periodic kneading sequence is an interval in parameter space, and among those maps there is exactly one $f_c$ which has a parabolic orbit. In conclusion, $\Lambda$ parametrizes the set of topologically unstable parameters, hence it will be called the binary bifurcation set.

## 4 From continued fractions to kneading sequences

We are now ready to prove Theorem 1.1, namely that the map $\varphi : [0,1] \to [\frac{1}{2},1]$ given by $x = [0; a_1, a_2, a_3, \ldots] \mapsto \varphi(x) = 0.\overline{11 \ldots 00 \ldots 11 \ldots 1}$ is an orientation-reversing homeomorphism which takes $E$ onto $\Lambda \setminus \{0\}$.

**Proof of theorem 1.1**. The key step is that Minkowski’s question mark function conjugates the Farey and tent maps, i.e.

$$? (F(x)) = T(?(x)) \quad \forall x \in [0,1] \quad (10)$$

Note that $\varphi = ? \circ \Psi_1$, hence $\varphi$ is a homeomorphism of $[0,1]$ onto the image of $\Psi_1$, i.e. $[\frac{1}{2},1]$. Moreover, by Lemma 3.3-(d),

$$\Psi_1(E) = \{ x \in (0,1] : F^k(x) \leq x \}$$

and by (10)

$$?(\Psi_1(E)) = \{ x \in (0,1] : T^k(x) \leq x \} = \Lambda \setminus \{0\}. \quad \square$$

In the following subsections we will investigate a few consequences of such a correspondence.
4.1 Construction of $\Lambda$ and bisection algorithm

A direct consequence of the theorem is the following algorithm to construct $\Lambda$, which mimics the one used to construct $E$: let $\omega = \omega_1 \ldots \omega_{n-1}1$ be a binary word of length $n$ (ending with 1) and $d = 0.\omega$ the corresponding dyadic rational. Setting $\omega^* = \omega_1 \ldots \omega_{n-1}0$, we see that $d$ has exactly two representations:

$$d = 0.\omega \overline{0} \quad \text{and} \quad d = 0.\omega^* \overline{1}$$

where, if $\eta$ is any finite binary word, $\overline{\eta} \in \{0,1\}^\mathbb{N}$ denotes the infinite sequence obtained by repeating $\eta$ indefinitely. Now define the dyadic interval associated to $d$ as the open interval $J_d = (r^-, r^+)$ whose endpoints are the (non-dyadic) rationals $2r^- = 0.\omega$ and $2r^+ = 0.\omega^*$. \[2\]

Example. Take $d = \frac{13}{16} = \varphi(\frac{2}{5})$. Since the binary expansions of $d$ are $0.11010$ and $0.11001$, we get $r^- = 0.1100 = \frac{4}{5}$ and $r^+ = 0.1101001 = \frac{14}{17}$. (See also the example in Section 4.2.)

\[\text{Proposition 4.1.} \quad \text{We have} \]

$$\Lambda = [0,1) \setminus \bigcup_{d \in (0,1) \cap \mathbb{Q}_2} J_d$$

(11)

with $\mathbb{Q}_2 := \{k/2^s : s \in \mathbb{N}, k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$.

\[\text{Proof.} \quad \text{From Theorem 1.1 and Equation (5).} \]

Note that in the previous construction there is substantial overlapping among the $J_d$. It is possible, however, to directly produce all connected components of the complement of $\Lambda$ by a bisection algorithm: namely, one can produce a new component in the gap between two previously computed components.

\[\text{Definition 4.2.} \quad \text{Let } I = [a, b] \subseteq [0,1] \text{ be a closed interval, } a \neq b, \text{ and let the binary expansions of } a \text{ and } b \text{ be} \]

$$a = 0.a_1a_2 \ldots \quad b = 0.b_1b_2 \ldots$$

Let $n := \min\{i : a_i \neq b_i\}$. The binary pseudocenter of $I$ is the dyadic rational $d(I) := 0.a_1 \ldots a_{n-1}1$ (12)

By translating one gets the following description of $\Lambda$:

\[\text{Proposition 4.3.} \quad \text{Let } F_0 = [0,1], \text{ and for any } n \text{ define recursively} \]

$$\mathcal{G}_n := \{I \mid I \text{ is a connected component of } F_n, \text{ } I \text{ not an isolated point} \}$$

$$F_{n+1} := F_n \setminus \bigcup_{I \in \mathcal{G}_n} J_{d(I)}$$

Then

$$\Lambda = \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} F_n$$

\[\text{Proof.} \quad \text{From Proposition 2.11 of [CT] and Theorem 1.1} \]

---

\[\text{Since the correspondence is orientation reversing, the string defining } r^- \text{ corresponds to the string } A^+ \text{ which defines the upper endpoint of the interval in [CT], Section 2.2.} \]

\[\text{If } a \text{ or } b \text{ are dyadic numbers, take the expansion of } a \text{ which terminates with infinitely many zeros and the expansion of } b \text{ with infinitely many ones}\]
4.2 Period doubling

Period doubling bifurcation is a well known phenomenon which occurs with a universal structure in families of unimodal maps. Period doubling cascades are in one-to-one correspondence with periodic windows consisting of isolated points in the set \( \Lambda \setminus \{0\} \), as shown in [ACI], [IP]. We will see how similar windows of isolated points occur in \( E \), and in both cases the combinatorial pattern can be understood in terms of the Thue-Morse sequence \( t := (t_n)_{n=0}^{+\infty} = (0, 1, 1, 0, 1, 0, 1, 1, \ldots) \).

Recall that \( t \) can be defined in terms of the power series expansion
\[
\prod_{k \geq 0} (1 - z^{2^k}) = 1 - z - z^2 + z^3 + \cdots = \sum_{n \geq 0} (-1)^n z^n
\]

**Definition 4.4.** Define the map \( \Delta \) on the set of finite binary words as
\[
\Delta(\eta) := \eta 1 \hat{\eta}
\]

Moreover, for any finite binary word \( \eta \), let \( \tau_0(\eta) \) be the rational number \( 0.\overline{\eta}0 \), and let for any \( j \)
\[
\tau_j(\eta) := \tau_0(\Delta^j(\eta)) \quad \tau_\infty(\eta) = \lim_{j \to \infty} \tau_j(\eta)
\]

The periodic window associated to \( \eta \) is
\[
W_\eta = [\tau_0(\eta), \tau_\infty(\eta)]
\]

Let \( x \in \Lambda \) be a point with periodic binary expansion, and let \( x = 0.\overline{\eta}0 \) with \( \eta \) minimal period. Then the periodic window associated to \( \eta \) contains exactly the sequence of isolated points just constructed:
\[
\Lambda \cap W_\eta = \bigcup_{j \geq 0} \tau_j(\eta)
\]

Let us call generating number of the window \( W_\eta \) the pseudocenter \( d_0(\eta) \) of the first interval \([\tau_0(\eta), \tau_1(\eta)]\).

**Examples.**

If \( \eta = \epsilon \), the empty word, we obtain the sequence \( \{\tau_j(\epsilon)\}_{j \geq 0} = \{0, \frac{2}{3}, \frac{4}{5}, \frac{14}{17}, \ldots\} \) which converges to \( \tau_\infty(\epsilon) = 0.824908\ldots \), and the generating number of \( W_\epsilon \) is \( d_0(\epsilon) = 1/2 \). Note that the binary expansion of \( \tau_\infty(\epsilon) = 0.110100110100111\ldots \) is the Thue-Morse sequence.

Taking \( \eta = (11) \) we get the sequence \( \{\tau_j(11)\}_{j \geq 0} = \{\frac{3}{7}, \frac{8}{17}, \frac{58}{127}, \ldots\} \) which converges to the irrational number \( \tau_\infty(11) = 0.892360\ldots \) and belongs to the next-to-largest window \( W_{11} \) in \( \Lambda \). Its generating number is \( d_0(11) = 7/8 \).

Windows of isolated points are present also in the set \( E \) and can be generated with a similar procedure, introduced in [CMPT] and [CT] without connection to bifurcations of unimodal maps. Indeed, let \( I \) be a maximal quadratic interval, and let \( r = [0; S_0] = [0; S_1] \) be the continued fraction expansions of its pseudocenter, in such a way that \( S_0 \) has even length and \( S_1 \) has odd length. Let us define \( S_0 := S_0, S_1 := S_1 \) and \( S_{n+1} \) is generated from \( S_n \) via the substitutions \( S_1 \to S_1 S_0, S_0 \to S_1 S_1 \). If we denote
\[
\alpha_n(r) := [0; S_n] \quad \alpha_\infty(r) := \lim_{n \to \infty} \alpha_n(r)
\]

it follows immediately from [CT], Proposition 3.9 that
Lemma 4.5. For each $I_r$ maximal quadratic interval of pseudocenter $r$,

$$\mathcal{E} \cap (\alpha_\infty(r), \alpha_0(r)) = \bigcup_{n \geq 0} \alpha_n(r)$$

Limit points $\alpha_\infty(r)$ of these cascades have an aperiodic continued fraction expansion with a common combinatorial structure which is again related to the Thue-Morse sequence $t = (t_n)_0^\infty$: indeed, for any $r$

$$\alpha_\infty(r) = [0; S_{k_1}, S_{k_2}, S_{k_3}, \ldots]$$

where the sequence $K := (k_1, k_2, k_3, \ldots) = (1, 0, 1, 1\ldots)$ is such that $\tau(K) = \sum_{j=0}^{+\infty} t_j 2^{-j}$. For instance, if $r = \frac{1}{2}$, then $\alpha_\infty(\frac{1}{2}) = [0; 2, 1, 1, 2, 2, 1, 1, 2, \ldots] \approx 0.38674997\ldots$ is the accumulation point considered in [CMPT] and [KSS].

We end this section with a precise characterization of the points $\{\tau_j(\eta)\}_{j \geq 0}$ in $\Lambda$ and of their accumulation point $\tau_\infty(\eta)$, which we prove to be a transcendental number.

Lemma 4.6. Let $\eta = \eta_1 \ldots \eta_p$ be a finite binary word of length $p \geq 0$ such that $\tau_0(\eta) \in \Lambda$ and define recursively the integers:

- $P_0 := \sum_{i=1}^{p} \eta_i 2^{p+1-i}$
- $P_{j+1} := (P_j + 2)(2^{2(p+1)} - 1)$
- $Q_j := 2^{2(p+1)} - 1$

Then $\tau_j(\eta) \in \Lambda$ for every $j$, and $\tau_j(\eta) = P_j/Q_j$. Moreover, the binary pseudocenters are given by

$$d_j(\eta) = 2^{-2^j(p+1)}(P_j + 1) = \frac{P_j + 1}{Q_j + 1}$$

Proof. We need the following elementary identity

$$0.\omega_1 \ldots \omega_s = \frac{2^s}{2^s - 1} \sum_{i=1}^{s} \omega_i 2^i$$  \hspace{1cm} (16)

for any binary word $\omega_1 \ldots \omega_s$. $P_0$ is the integer whose binary expansion is $\eta 0$, hence from (16) $\tau_0 = P_0/Q_0$. Moreover, if $P$ is the integer whose binary expansion is $\xi 0$, with $\xi$ a binary string, then the number whose binary expansion is $\xi \xi 0$ is $P' = (P + 2)(2^{(|\xi|+1)} - 1)$. By the recursive formulas (14) and (15) and identity (16) one has $\tau_j = P_j/Q_j$ for all $j \geq 1$. Finally, the binary pseudocenter of the interval $[0.\xi 0, 0.\xi 1\xi 0]$ is $d = 0.\xi 1 = (P + 1)2^{-(|\xi|+1)}$ hence the lemma follows by taking $P = P_j$.

Furthermore, let us consider the generating function (cfr. Equation (13))

$$\Xi(z) := \prod_{k \geq 0} (1 - z^{2^k})$$  \hspace{1cm} (17)

This function satisfies the functional equation $\Xi(z) = (1 - z)\Xi(z^2)$, from which we see that all its zeroes lie on the unit circle and are actually dense there (see also [IS]).

\[\text{Notice that the sequence of partial quotients of } \alpha_\infty(\frac{1}{2}) \text{ coincides with the first difference sequence of } c = 1 3 4 5 7 9 11 12 13 \ldots \text{ defined as } n \in c \iff 2n \notin c.\]
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Proposition 4.7. Let $\eta = \eta_1 \ldots \eta_p$ be a finite binary word of length $p \geq 0$ such that $\tau_0(\eta) \in \Lambda$. Then

$$\tau_\infty(\eta) = 1 - \left( 1 - d_0(\eta) \right) \Xi \left( \frac{1}{2^{p+1}} \right)$$

(18)

In particular, $\tau_\infty(\eta)$ is transcendental.

Proof. Setting $\tau_j(\eta) = P_j/Q_j$ and using Lemma 4.6 we get for $j \geq 1$

$$Q_j - P_j = (2^{(p+1)2^j} - 1)(Q_{j-1} - P_{j-1}) = \cdots = (Q_0 - P_0) \prod_{k=0}^{j-1} (2^{(p+1)2^k} - 1).$$

Therefore

$$\tau_j(\eta) = 1 - (Q_0 - P_0) \prod_{k=0}^{j-1} (2^{(p+1)2^k} - 1) = 1 - \prod_{k=0}^{j-1} \frac{(1 - 2^{-2^k(p+1)})}{1 - 2^{-2^k(p+1)}} (1 - d_0(\eta))$$

where we used the relation $Q_0 - P_0 = 2^{p+1}(1 - d_0(\eta))$ given by Lemma 4.6. The claimed identity follows by taking the limit. Finally, Mahler proved in ([M], page 363) that $\Xi(a)$ is transcendental for every algebraic number $a$, with $0 < |a| < 1$. □

Examples of $\tau_\infty(\eta)$ are

$$\tau_\infty(\epsilon) = 1 - \frac{1}{2} \Xi \left( \frac{1}{2} \right), \quad \tau_\infty(11) = 1 - \frac{1}{8} \Xi \left( \frac{1}{8} \right) \quad \text{and} \quad \tau_\infty(1101) = 1 - \frac{5}{32} \Xi \left( \frac{1}{32} \right)$$

A corresponding transcendence result can be given for $\mathcal{E}$. Namely, accumulation points of period doubling cascades $\alpha_\infty(r)$ have continued fraction expansion given by the substitution rule explained above, hence they are transcendental by [AB].

It has been widely conjectured that all numbers with non-eventually periodic bounded partial quotients are transcendental. Let us point out that such a statement is equivalent to the transcendence of all non-quadratic irrationals in $\mathcal{E}$. Similarly, one might ask whether all irrational points in $\Lambda$ are transcendental as well.

4.3 The topology of bifurcation sets

By using the bisection algorithm and Proposition 4.7 it is immediate to determine the topology of bifurcation sets, namely

Proposition 4.8. Let $\mathbb{Q}_1$ be the set of rational numbers with odd denominator. Then

1. The set $\Lambda \cap \mathbb{Q}_1$ is dense in $\Lambda$.
2. The derived set $\Lambda'$ (i.e. $\Lambda$ minus its isolated points) is a Cantor set. As a corollary, $\Lambda'$ and $\Lambda$ have cardinality $2^\omega$.
3. Let $C$ be the usual Cantor middle-third set, let $\Omega_k = (\alpha_k, \beta_k)$, $k \geq 1$ be the connected components of the complement $[0, \infty) \setminus C$. For each $k$, pick a countable set of points $P_k \subseteq \Omega_k$ which accumulate only on $\alpha_k$. Then $\Lambda$ is homeomorphic to $C \cup \bigcup_{k \geq 1} P_k$. \[12\]
4. Any open neighbourhood of an element \( \tau \in \Lambda \setminus Q_1 \) contains a subset of \( \Lambda \) which is homeomorphic to \( \Lambda \) itself (fractal structure).

Note that, even though the proposition was stated for \( \Lambda \), the same result holds for \( E \) since they are homeomorphic. The only change is that you have to replace \( Q_1 \) by the set of quadratic irrationals with purely periodic continued fraction expansion.

**Proof.**

1. \( \Lambda \) is closed with no interior by Proposition 4.1, hence the endpoints of the connected components of its complement are dense in it. The connected components of the complement of \( \Lambda \) are intervals of type \( J_d \), so their endpoints have purely periodic binary expansion, hence they belong to \( Q_1 \).

2. By virtue of the homeomorphism \( \varphi \) of Theorem 1.1 it is equivalent to prove the same result for \( E \). Let \( \mathcal{P} \) denote the points of \( E \) which are periodic under \( G \)

\[ \mathcal{P} := \{ \lambda \in E : G^{k_0}(\lambda) = \lambda \text{ for some } k_0 \in \mathbb{N} \} \]

and let us define the set of primitive elements as

\[ \mathcal{P}_0 := \{ \lambda \in \mathcal{P} : \lambda \text{ has even minimal period} \} \]

**Lemma 4.9.** The set of isolated points of \( E \) is precisely \( \mathcal{P} \setminus \mathcal{P}_0 \).

**Proof.** If \( \alpha \) is not a limit point of \( E \) then it is the separating element between two adjacent maximal quadratic intervals \( J_0 \) and \( J_1 \), so \( \alpha \) is the left endpoint of the rightmost interval and has odd minimal period (see [CT]).

Moreover, if \( \lambda \in \mathcal{P} \), we denote with \( \alpha_\infty(\lambda) \) the limit point of the period doubling cascade generated\(^5\) by \( \lambda \) and let \( W_\lambda := (\alpha_\infty(\lambda), \lambda) \) be the corresponding period doubling window. We also set \( \mathcal{P}_\infty := \{ \alpha_\infty(\lambda) : \lambda \in \mathcal{P} \} \), hence by Lemma 4.9

\[ E' = [0, 1) \setminus \bigcup \lambda \in \mathcal{P}_0 (\alpha_\infty(\lambda), \lambda). \]

Now, no two intervals of the form \((\alpha_\infty(\lambda), \lambda)\) with \( \lambda \in \mathcal{P}_0 \) are adjacent to each other, since the right endpoint \( \lambda \) is always a quadratic irrational while \( \alpha_\infty(\lambda) \) is always transcendental (see Proposition 4.7), therefore \( E' \) has no isolated points and it is a Cantor set.

3. Every closed subset of the interval with no interior and no isolated points is homeomorphic to the usual Cantor middle-third set via a homeomorphism of the ambient interval. Such an extension can be chosen so that it maps any period doubling cascade to some \( P_k \).

4. Immediate from 3. \( \square \)

\(^5\)So for instance \( \alpha_\infty(g) = 0.38674997... \) ([CMPT], page 24).
Let us remark that similar results have been obtained for the set of univoque numbers in [EHJ], [KL2], and by Allouche and Cosnard for a similar number set ([A], [AC1], [AC2]). For details on the relations between these sets see Section 5.2.

Moreover, \( E \) shares some features with the Markov spectrum, since the Gauss map is the first-return map on a section of geodesic flow on the modular surface. Even though the two sets are not homeomorphic, property 2 also holds for the Markov spectrum [Mo].

### 4.4 Hausdorff dimension

In [CT] it is proved that \( \dim_H E = 1 \) by providing estimates on the Hausdorff dimension of its segments. More precisely, for every \( K \in \mathbb{N}_+ \) we consider

\[
E_K := E \cap \left[ \frac{1}{(K+1)}, \frac{1}{K} \right], \quad B_K := \{ x = [0; a_1, a_2, a_3, ...] : a_i \leq K \ \forall i \in \mathbb{N} \}, \quad \Psi_K(x) := \frac{1}{K + x}
\]

and it is easy to check that

\[
\Psi_K(B_{K-1}) \subset E_K \subset B_K,
\]

therefore \( \dim_H E = \lim_{K \to \infty} \dim_H E_K = \lim_{K \to \infty} \dim_H B_K = 1 \).

We can use our dictionary to obtain analogous results in the linear setting, where in fact one can explicitly compute the Hausdorff dimension of segments of \( \Lambda \). Let \( \Lambda_K := \Lambda \cap \left[ 1 - 2^{-K}, 1 - 2^{-K-1} \right] \), \( \Phi_K(x) := x \mapsto 1 - 2^{-K} x \) and

\[
C_K := \{ x \in [1/2, 1] : \overline{x} \text{ does not contain sequences of } K+1 \text{ equal digits} \};
\]

using the correspondence of Theorem 1.1 the inclusions (19) become \( \Phi_K(C_{K-1}) \subset \Lambda_K \subset C_K \), and thus

\[
\dim_H C_{K-1} \leq \dim_H \Lambda_K \leq \dim_H C_K.
\]

The set \( C_K \) is self-similar, therefore its Hausdorff dimension can be computed by standard techniques (see [F], Theorem 9.3). More precisely, if \( a_K(n) \) is the number of binary sequences of \( n \) digits whose first digit is 1 and do not contain \( K+1 \) consecutive equal digits, one has the following linear recurrence:

\[
a_K(n + K) = a_K(n + K - 1) + ... + a_K(n + 1) + a_K(n)
\]

which implies

**Proposition 4.10.** For any fixed integer \( K \geq 2 \) the Hausdorff dimension of \( C_K \) is \( \log_2(\lambda_K) \), where \( \lambda_K \) is the only positive real root of the characteristic polynomial

\[
P_K(t) := t^K - (t^{K-1} + ... + t + 1)
\]

As a consequence, a simple estimate on the unique positive root of \( P_K \) yields

\[
\dim_H \Lambda = \lim_{K \to +\infty} \dim_H C_K = 1
\]

\[\text{In fact, the asymptotics of [He] yields the estimate } \dim_H E_K = 1 - 6/\pi^2 K + o(1/K) \text{.}\]

\[\text{Sequences satisfying this relation are known as multinacci sequences, being a generalization of the usual Fibonacci sequence; the positive roots of their characteristic polynomials are Pisot numbers.}\]
5 Kneading sequences, complex dynamics and univoque numbers

The goal of this section is to explore the relations between the bifurcation sets we described before and other well-known sets for which a combinatorial description can be given, namely the real slice of the Mandelbrot set and the set of univoque numbers.

5.1 Relation to the Mandelbrot set

Let us recall that the Mandelbrot set encodes the dynamical properties of the family of quadratic polynomials

\[ p_c(z) := z^2 + c \quad c \in \mathbb{C} \]

namely it can be defined as

\[ \mathcal{M} := \{ c \in \mathbb{C} \mid p_n^c(0) \text{ does not tend to } \infty \} \]

A combinatorial model of the Mandelbrot set can be constructed by using the theory of invariant quadratic laminations, as developed by Thurston [Th].

Consider the unit disk in the complex plane with the action of the doubling map

\[ f(z) = z^2 \]

on the boundary. A leaf \( \mathcal{L} \) is a simple curve embedded in the interior of the circle joining two points on the boundary. It is usually represented as a geodesic for the hyperbolic metric in the Poincaré disk model. One can extend the action of \( f \) to the whole lamination: the image of a leaf \( \mathcal{L} \) is, by definition, the leaf which connects the images of the endpoints of \( \mathcal{L} \). We define the length \( \ell(\mathcal{L}) \) of a leaf to be the (euclidean) length of the shortest arc of circle delimited by its endpoints, measured on the boundary circle and normalized in such a way that the whole circumference has length 1 (hence, for any leaf \( \mathcal{L} \), \( 0 \leq \ell(\mathcal{L}) \leq \frac{1}{2} \)). A leaf is real if it is invariant with respect to complex conjugation. The doubling map \( f \) preserves the set of real leaves.

A lamination is a closed subset of the disk which is a union of leaves, and such that any two leaves in the lamination can intersect only on the boundary of the disk. A quadratic invariant lamination is a lamination whose set of leaves is completely invariant with respect to the action of the doubling map (we refer to [Th], page 66 for a complete definition of this invariance). Given an invariant quadratic lamination, its longest leaves are called its major leaves, and their image the minor leaf. There can be at most 2 major leaves, and they both have the same image. In the well-known theory of Douady, Hubbard and Thurston, the leaves of an invariant quadratic lamination define an equivalence relation on the boundary circle and the quotient space is a model for the Julia set of a certain quadratic polynomial.

The quadratic minor lamination \( QML \) is the set of all minor leaves of any quadratic invariant lamination

\[ QML := \{ \mathcal{L} \mid \exists \text{ an invariant quadratic lamination } \mathcal{L}_0 \text{ s.t. } \mathcal{L} \text{ is its minor leaf} \} \]

By ([Th], Theorem II.6.8), different minor leaves do not cross, and \( QML \) is indeed a lamination. Similarly to the Julia set case, the space obtained by identifying points on the disk which belong to the same leaf of \( QML \) is a model of the classical Mandelbrot set.
We will consider the set $RQML \subseteq QML$ of real leaves inside the quadratic minor lamination: under the previous correspondence, they correspond to real points on the boundary of the Mandelbrot set. Moreover, let $\mathcal{R} = RQML \cap S^1$ be the set of endpoints of all leaves in $RQML$. Since $RMQL$ is symmetric, it is enough to consider the “upper half” $\mathcal{R} \cap [0, \frac{1}{2}]$.

(a) The invariant quadratic lamination for the parameter $\frac{5}{6} \in \Lambda$. In red the minor leaf joining $\left(\frac{5}{12}, \frac{7}{12}\right)$, in blue the major leaves. The corresponding parameter in $\mathcal{E}$ through the dictionary of Section 4 is $\frac{1}{2} - \frac{\sqrt{5}}{2}$, the square of the golden mean.

(b) The quadratic minor lamination $QML$ is the collection of all minor leaves. Real leaves are drawn in red, and the set $\mathcal{R}$ is the set of all endpoints of red leaves. A thicker red line represents the minor leaf corresponding to the lamination to the left.

**Proposition 5.1.** The map $x \mapsto 2x$ maps $\mathcal{R} \cap [0, \frac{1}{2}]$ bijectively onto $\Lambda$. Hence

$$\mathcal{R} = \left(\frac{1}{2} \Lambda\right) \cup \left(1 - \frac{1}{2} \Lambda\right)$$

**Proposition 5.1** together with the fact that $dim H \Lambda = 1$, yields a new proof of the main result in [Za].

**Corollary 5.2.** The Hausdorff dimension of $\mathcal{R}$ is 1.

Because of the fact that the set of rays which possibly do not land has zero capacity and by Makarov’s dimension theorem [Ma], we proved

**Corollary 5.3.** The intersection of the boundary of the Mandelbrot set with the real line has Hausdorff dimension 1.

**Proof of Proposition 5.1.** The first ingredient is the fact that the action induced by the doubling map on the lengths of leaves is essentially given by the tent map, namely for any leaf $\mathcal{L}$

$$2\ell(f(\mathcal{L})) = T(2\ell(\mathcal{L}))$$  \(\text{(22)}\)
Let \( x \in \mathcal{R} \cap [0, \frac{1}{2}] \) be the endpoint of a minor leaf, let \( m \) be the minor leaf and \( M \) be one of the corresponding major leaves. By maximality of \( M \) and invariance of the quadratic lamination, \( \ell(M) \geq \ell(f^k(M)) \) for all \( k \in \mathbb{N} \), hence by (22)

\[
2\ell(M) \geq T^k(2\ell(M)) \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{N}
\]  

From this and the fact that \( x = 2/3 \) is a fixed point for \( T \) it follows that the major leaf is longer than \( \frac{1}{3} \), hence

\[
\ell(m) = \frac{1}{2} T(2\ell(M)) = 1 - 2\ell(M)
\]

Moreover, by symmetry of the minor leaf with respect to complex conjugation,

\[
\ell(m) = 1 - 2x
\]

hence \( x = \ell(M) \) and by (23)

\[
2x \geq T^k(2x) \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{N}
\]

hence \( 2x \) belongs to \( \Lambda \). Conversely, if \( y = 2x \in \Lambda \), one can construct the real leaf \( m \) which joins \( x \) with \( 1 - x \). The fact that \( m \) is a minor leaf follows from the criterion ([TH], page 91): a leaf \( m \) is the minor leaf of an invariant quadratic lamination if and only if

(i) all forward images have disjoint interior;
(ii) no forward image is shorter than \( m \);
(iii) if \( m \) is non-degenerate, then \( m \) and all leaves on the forward orbit can intersect the (at most 2) preimage leaves of \( m \) of length at least \( \frac{1}{3} \) only on the boundary.

Indeed, (i) follows since images of real leaves are real; (ii) follows from (23), and (iii) follows from the fact that the preimages of a real leaf of length less than \( \frac{1}{3} \) are also real. \( \square \)

### 5.2 An alternative characterization and univoque numbers

**Definition 5.4.** A real number \( q \in (1, 2) \) is called univoque if 1 admits a unique expansion in base \( q \), i.e. if there exists a unique sequence \( \{c_k\} \in \{0, 1\}^\infty \) such that

\[
\sum_{k \geq 1} c_k q^{-k} = 1
\]  

The set \( \mathcal{U} \) of all univoque numbers is called the univoque set.

In the 90’s, [EJL] discovered that there are infinitely many univoque numbers. In fact [EJK] showed that, given any binary sequence \( (c_k)_{k=1}^\infty \), then equation (24) defines a univoque number if and only if the following condition is met

\[
\begin{cases}
(c_{k+1}, c_{k+2}, c_{k+3}, \ldots) < (c_1, c_2, c_3, \ldots) & \text{if } c_k = 0 \\
(c_{k+1}, c_{k+2}, c_{k+3}, \ldots) > (\hat{c}_1, \hat{c}_2, \hat{c}_3, \ldots) & \text{if } c_k = 1
\end{cases} \quad \forall k \geq 1
\]

where the minor and major signs refer to the lexicographical order and \( \hat{c} = 1 - c \) as usual.
Binary sequences which satisfy equation (25) are called admissible. It is not difficult to establish (by means of the so called greedy algorithm) that equation (24) defines an order preserving bijection between \( \mathcal{U} \) and the set of all admissible sequences.

The properties of \( \mathcal{U} \) were studied by various authors, leading in particular to the determination (in [KL1]) of the smallest element, \( q_1 = 1.78723... \), which is not isolated in \( \mathcal{U} \) and whose corresponding admissible sequence is the shifted Thue-Morse sequence \( (t_n)_{n \geq 1} \). The geometric structure of \( \mathcal{U} \) is specified by the following properties:

- the set \( \mathcal{U} \) has \( 2^\omega \) elements;
- the set \( \mathcal{U} \) has zero Lebesgue measure;
- the set \( \mathcal{U} \) is of first category;
- the set \( \mathcal{U} \) has Hausdorff dimension 1.

For an account of these and other properties of the set \( \mathcal{U} \) see the recent paper [KL2] and references therein.

In a series of papers (see [A], [AC1], [AC2]), J.-P. Allouche and M. Cosnard introduced the number set

\[
\Gamma := \{ x \in [0,1] : 1 - x \leq \{2^k x\} \leq x, \forall k \in \mathbb{N} \} \tag{26}
\]

and recognized ([AC2], Proposition 1) admissible binary sequences to be in a one-to-one correspondence with the elements of \( \Gamma \) which have non-periodic expansions. It is not difficult to realize that the set \( \Gamma \) essentially coincides with \( \Lambda \), namely

**Lemma 5.5.**

\[
\Lambda \setminus \{0\} = \Gamma \tag{27}
\]

**Proof.** Let \( x = 0.\omega_1\omega_2\ldots \) be a binary expansion of \( x \in [0,1] \). From (2) it follows that

\[
T^k(x) = \begin{cases} 
0.\omega_{k+1}\omega_{k+2}\ldots & \text{if } \sum_{i=1}^k \omega_i = 0 \pmod{2} \\
0.\hat{\omega}_{k+1}\hat{\omega}_{k+2}\ldots & \text{if } \sum_{i=1}^k \omega_i = 1 \pmod{2}
\end{cases}
\]

Define

\[
S_k(x) := \max\{\{2^k x\},1 - \{2^k x\}\} = \begin{cases} 
0.\omega_{k+1}\omega_{k+2}\ldots & \text{if } \omega_{k+1} = 1 \\
0.\hat{\omega}_{k+1}\hat{\omega}_{k+2}\ldots & \text{if } \omega_{k+1} = 0
\end{cases}
\]

then \( \Gamma = \{ x \in [0,1] : S_k(x) \leq x, \forall k \in \mathbb{N} \} \) and since \( T^k(x) \leq S_k(x) \) we have \( \Gamma \subseteq \Lambda \).

Let now \( x \in \Lambda \setminus \{0\} \), and let \( I = \{i_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}} = \{ i \in \mathbb{N} : T^i(x) = 0.1\ldots \} \) (0 \( \in I \) since \( x \neq 0 \)). Given \( l \in \mathbb{N} \), either \( l \in I \) or \( l \notin I \). If \( l \in I \), then \( S_l(x) = T^l(x) \leq x \). If instead \( l \notin I \), then there exists a unique \( k \) s.t. \( i_k < l < i_{k+1} \). Thus

\[
T^{i_k}(x) = 0.\underbrace{1\ldots 1}_{i_{k+1}-i_k}0\ldots, \quad T^l(x) = 0.\underbrace{0\ldots 0}_{i_{k+1}-l}1\ldots
\]

and hence

\[
S_l(x) = 0.1\ldots 10\ldots < 0.\underbrace{1\ldots 1}_{i_{k+1}-i_k}0\ldots = T^{i_k}(x) \leq x
\]

\[ \square \]
Therefore $\Lambda$ can be given the following arithmetic interpretation (see also [AC2]):

**Proposition 5.6.** There is a one-to-one correspondence between $U$ and $\Lambda \setminus \mathbb{Q}_1$. More specifically, a number $\tau = \sum_{k \geq 1} c_k 2^{-k}$ with non-periodic binary expansion belongs to $\Lambda$ if and only if $1 = \sum_{k \geq 1} c_k q^{-k}$ for some $1 < q < 2$ and the expansion is unique.

**Proof.** It is an easy consequence of condition (25) that a sequence $(c_k)$ is admissible iff

$$(\hat{c}_1, \hat{c}_2, \hat{c}_3, \ldots) < (c_{k+1}, c_{k+2}, c_{k+3}, \ldots) < (c_1, c_2, \ldots) \quad \forall k \geq 1$$

In other words, $(c_k)$ is admissible iff the real value $\tau = \sum_{k \geq 1} c_k 2^{-k}$ is a nonperiodic element of $\Gamma$; thus our claim follows from Lemma 5.5.

It has been shown in [AC3] that $q_1 \in U$ is transcendental. The argument used there can be adapted to show that the image in $U$ of all the numbers $\tau_\infty(\eta)$ dealt with in Proposition 4.7 are transcendental as well.

**Proposition 5.7.** Let $\tau_\infty(\eta) \in \Lambda$ be an accumulation point of a period doubling cascade, and let $q \in [1,2]$ be the corresponding univoque number. Then $q$ is transcendental.

**Proof.** Let $\eta$ be a finite binary word of length $p$, and write $\tau_\infty(\eta) = \sum_{k \geq 1} c_k 2^{-k}$ and $1 - d_0(\eta) = \sum_{i=1}^{p} a_i 2^{-i}$, with $c_k, a_i \in \{0,1\}$. Define the formal power series $A(z) := \sum_{k \geq 1} (1 - c_k) z^k$ and $B(z) := (a_1 z + \cdots + a_p z^p) \Xi(z^{p+1})$. By Equation (18) $A(\frac{1}{2}) = B(\frac{1}{2})$, hence $A(\frac{1}{2}) = B(\frac{1}{2})$ as formal power series, since $1 - \tau_\infty(\eta)$ has a unique binary expansion being transcendental. As a consequence, $A(\frac{1}{2d_0(\eta)}) = B(\frac{1}{2d_0(\eta)})$, and since $\sum_{k \geq 1} c_k q^{-k} = 1$, $A(\frac{1}{q}) = \frac{1}{q} - 1$. If $q$ is algebraic, then $A(\frac{1}{q})$ is algebraic, hence also $B(\frac{1}{q})$ and $\Xi(\frac{1}{q^{p+1}})$ are algebraic, contradicting Mahler’s criterion ([M], page 363).

From our construction it follows that rational elements of $\Lambda$ correspond to algebraic elements in $U$ (and are in fact dense in $U$ [AY]); rephrasing the question posed at the end of Section 4.2, one might ask whether these are the only non-transcendental elements of $U$.

**Remark 5.8.** Other geometric properties of the set $U$ also follow easily from our correspondence. Let $u : \Lambda \setminus \mathbb{Q}_1 \to [1,2]$ be the map which associates to $\tau = \sum_{k \geq 1} c_k 2^{-k}$ the unique value $q$ which satisfies the relation (24). It is not difficult to check that $u$ is locally Hölder-continuous and extends to a homeomorphism between $\Lambda'$ and the closure $\overline{U}$ of $U$ in $[1,2]$, hence $\overline{U}$ is also a Cantor set. Moreover, one can prove that the Hölder exponent of $u$ restricted to the segments $\Lambda_K$ (considered in Section 4.4) is greater than the Hausdorff dimension of $\Lambda_K$, thus $\dim_H u(\Lambda_K) < 1$ for all $K \geq 1$ and $\overline{U}$ has zero Lebesgue measure.
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