Experimental Study on Tight Sandstone Reservoir Gas Permeability Improvement Using Electric Heating
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Abstract: Although tight sandstone gas formations are abundant in China, their single-well productivities and exploitation efficiencies are restricted by water blocking from drilling and completion. At present, shut-in, chemical additive application, and hydraulic fracturing are the common approaches applied to handle this problem. However, these approaches are also characterized by low efficiencies or even cause secondary damage. In this study, the impact of high temperatures (of up to 800 °C) on the microstructure of a tight sandstone, including water blocking and gas permeability, are investigated through the electric heating of a simulated wellbore. The results show that the threshold temperature for fracturing of the tight sandstone is approximately 450 to 600 °C. Many secondary microcracks emerged near the wellbore beyond this temperature, improving the gas permeability, with some microcracks visible even after cooling. The gas permeability of the formation after heating to 800 °C increased by 456% and 3992% compared with the initial gas permeability and the water-blocking impacted gas permeability, respectively. This study demonstrates that electric heating is a potential method for improving the permeability of tight gas formations.
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1. Introduction

Abbreviations: Formation heat treatment (FHT); scanning electron microscopy (SEM); Thermogravimetric (TG).

Tight sandstone gas is an unconventional energy resource hosted in a reservoir characterized by very low porosity and permeability [1]. Formation damage can occur at any time during the existence of a well, from the period of initial drilling and completion of the wellbore up to the depletion time during production [2]. According to Zhao et al., tight sandstone gas reservoirs are prone to water blocking and stress variations [3]. The superimposed effect of water blocking and stress aggravate the damage of such reservoirs during water-based underbalanced drilling. Dong et al. and Shao et al. reported that drilling-fluid invasion decreases the permeability of the formation, thereby reducing gas production [4,5]. Lei et al. studied the B block, a typical tight sandstone gas reservoir in the Tarim Basin of the Xinjiang Uygur Autonomous Region, China, and reported that the main factors responsible for damage were solid-phase invasion of fractures, wettability alteration, oil-phase trapping, and emulsion plugging [6].

Preventive measures for the associated damage are commonly inadequate or ineffective, thereby necessitating remediation measures. At present, the measures for handling water blocking mainly include shut-in, chemical additive application, and hydraulic fracturing. Wijaya and Sheng indicated that shut-in is effective because it dissipates the water blockage from the matrix–fracture interface, causing deeper matrix penetration through capillary imbibition [7]. However, this method requires a lengthy implementation time and is too passive. Liu et al. studied the wettability alteration by a quaternary ammonium fluoride salt and its potential for mitigating aqueous-phase-trapping damage in water-wet...
tight sandstone gas reservoirs. Fluid rheology and core-flow tests showed that the relative gas permeability improved by 20%, highlighting the negative effect of the alteration [8]. Microcracks around a well can also significantly improve reservoir permeability, and hydraulic fracturing has been employed to enhance tight-sandstone gas production [9,10]. However, this may cause secondary damage and its impact is unsatisfactory in some reservoirs affected by water blocking [11]. In addition, propped fracturing is an expensive process that is usually characterized by operational challenges [12]. Furthermore, Zhang et al. analyzed the damage mechanisms of fracturing fluids using a pressure transmission test and nuclear magnetic resonance, and indicated that these fluids produce an ultimate damage of approximately 40% to the reservoir in the area away from the wellbore [13]. In fact, according to Lai et al., high fracturing fluid viscosity promotes water trapping at the base of fractures. This intensifies tight gas wells’ formation damage, with the infiltrating fracturing fluid significantly impacting the flowback performance and later gas production [14].

Therefore, finding another approach for creating microcracks to improve gas productivity in tight sandstone reservoirs is necessary. Somerton et al., for example, noted that the gas permeability of sandstone increases by more than 50% after heating at 400–800 °C [15]. Formation heat treatment (FHT) has been demonstrated to effectively eliminate the water phase and create microcracks near the wellbore, without formation damage and pollution. Several FHTs are available including electromagnetic, laser, microwave, and electrical heating. However, the cost of electromagnetic heating is significantly higher than that of other conventional heating methods. Laser heating is also unsuitable because of the inefficient conversion of radio frequency energy to infrared; moreover, infrared radiation cannot penetrate the reservoir formation [16]. Conversely, under microwave energy, the rapid heat-stress expansion of both rock and pore liquid can form a zone of well-connected microcracks near the well areas, which can recover production [17]. In fact, Wang et al. investigated the effects of microwave heating on reservoir quality and gas production using numerical simulations and reported that the relative gas permeability increased significantly after heating [18,19]. However, screening of the microwave generator devices on sale indicated that the size of microwave generators is too large. At present, there is no microwave generator that would fit into a borehole. Therefore, the microwave generator could only be placed on the ground to heat the formation, resulting in a limited heating range; thus, this technology is only suitable for heating shallow formations. In comparison, electric heating is a better choice because the smaller size and simpler structure of the heating device makes it suitable for different depth formation heating. The electric heating proposed in this study differs from that utilized for heavy oil. The purpose of this heating is not viscosity reduction, but rather the generation of microcracks around a well, and the temperature is significantly higher. Jamaluddin et al., in one of the earliest studies on electric heating in a tight gas formation, used experimental and field tests to demonstrate that intense heat application increases the gas permeability of clay-rich formations [20]. The permeability enhancement mechanism of the electrical heating process was indicated to involve vaporizing the blocking water, dehydrating clay-bound water, destroying clay lattices, and possibly creating microcracks through thermally induced stress [21].

However, research on electric heating of tight gas reservoirs remains scant. Therefore, in this study, the application of electric heating on tight sandstones is extended to a higher temperature and a longer duration. The proposed method can compensate for the limitations of microwave heating and provides a new approach for the efficient development of tight sandstone gas reservoirs by FHT.

2. Impact of Temperature on Tight Sandstone Microstructures
2.1. Laboratory Experiments

Tight-sandstone samples were processed into 50 mm × 100 mm cylinders, with their surfaces parallel within 0.05 mm and a surface flatness within 0.02 mm. All cylindrical
samples were tested for longitudinal wave velocities, and the samples with the same longitudinal wave velocity were selected (ISRM, 2007). The heating device used herein was an SX2-4-10/NP box-type resistance furnace, comprising a control box and a furnace. The dimensions of the furnace chamber are $120 \times 200 \times 300$ mm ($H \times D \times W$), with a maximum operating temperature of $1200 \, ^\circ C$ and automatically controlled. The empty furnace heats up in less than 60 min and the temperature control accuracy is $\pm 1 \, ^\circ C$. The samples were categorized into eight temperature groups, including 100, 200, 300, 400, 500, 600, 700, and $800 \, ^\circ C$; each group contained three samples and the heating rate was $10 \, ^\circ C$/min. Once the preselected temperature was attained, it was maintained for 2 h, followed by cooling to $26 \, ^\circ C$ (room temperature). Gas permeability tests, scanning electron microscopy (SEM) analysis, and three-dimensional (3D) reconstruction imaging were then performed using these heated samples. In addition, three samples were selected as the room-temperature ($26 \, ^\circ C$) control group without heating and only the gas permeability was measured and was considered the initial permeability.

The gas permeability meter used herein was a Low Gas Permeability Measurement 700. The measurement range of gas permeability is $0.00001$~$10$ mD. The analysis core size range is $\phi 50 \, \text{mm} \times 25$~$80 \, \text{mm}$. The measured pressure can reach $10$ MPa and the sealing pressure is $50$ MPa. The power supply voltage is AC $220 \, \text{V}$, $50 \, \text{Hz}$. The measurement error is less than $5\%$. The working environment is $20 \pm 5\%$ temperature and $85\%$ relative humidity. Put the processed samples into the measurement working room and start gas permeability measurement. The obtained data can be automatically collected and processed, and the results can be output in the system.

The device used for the thermogravimetric analysis in this study was a TGA/DSC3+ synchronous thermal analyzer. The maximum operating temperature of the device is $1600 \, ^\circ C$ with automated temperature control. The heating rate ranges between $0.1$–$100 \, ^\circ C$/min and the furnace chamber can be cooled using water in less than $22$ min. The maximum weight accommodated by the balance is $20$ g with a sensitivity of $0.1 \, \mu g$ and a resolution of $0.002 \, \mu g$, while the calorimetric accuracy is less than $1\%$ (based on metal standards). Two tight sandstone samples, A and B, were tested on this device.

The 3D-reconstruction imaging device used was a MICROXCT-400 3D X-ray microscope with 4, 10, and $20 \times$ lenses for varying magnifications. The maximum resolution for all lenses is $1 \, \mu m$, while the maximum power of the X-ray source is $10$ W, with a maximum allowable voltage of $150$ kV, maximum CCD resolution of $2048 \times 2048$, stage rotation of $-180^\circ$ to $+180^\circ$, and maximum load of $15$ kg. The device can be employed in computer-controlled X-ray tomography for imaging the internal rock or material structures and pore volume. Imaging was performed on sample D, heated at $800 \, ^\circ C$ and on unheated sample C for comparison.

The tight sandstone samples studied were collected from the Xujiahe Formation of the central Sichuan Basin in China. The permeability of the tight sandstones varied from a minimum of $0.0001$ mD to a maximum of $311.950$ mD [22].

2.2. Results
2.2.1. Temperature-Related Surface Morphology and Gas Permeability Changes

With an increasing temperature, the samples exhibited color changes in two stages (Figure 1a), with a minor change in stage 1 (<$400 \, ^\circ C$), while stage 2 (>400 °C) is characterized by gradual transformation from gray to pale brown. The color changes were accompanied by surface texture changes from smooth (Stage 1) to rough (Stage 2). These changes are consistent with those for permeability, with the temperature shown in Figure 1b. In Figure 1b, from room temperature to $800 \, ^\circ C$, the average gas permeability is $0.035$ mD, $0.0451$ mD, $0.0406$ mD, $0.0432$ mD, $0.0522$ mD, $0.0827$ mD, $0.131$ mD, and $0.312$ mD, respectively, and the corresponding standard deviations are $1.3\%$, $5.2\%$, $9.6\%$, $1.7\%$, $5.3\%$, $8.6\%$, $2.1\%$, $4.1\%$ and $11.2\%$, respectively. At temperatures of less than $400 \, ^\circ C$ (Stage 1), the gas permeability change is relatively low, but above $400 \, ^\circ C$ (Stage 2), the gas permeability increased significantly. The gas permeability of the sample increased by $791\%$
from 0.035 mD at 26 °C to 0.312 mD at 800 °C (Figure 1b). Jamaluddin et al. also conducted heating experiments at 800 °C on tight sandstones, reporting a gas permeability increase of 764% relative to unheated samples [23].

![Figure 1.](image)

2.2.2. Thermogravimetric (TG) Analysis Observations

The TG analysis results presented in Figure 2 display thermal weightlessness for samples A and B through heating from the ambient temperature to approximately 800 °C. The first instance of thermal weightlessness occurred before reaching 100 °C, while the second appeared between 450 to 600 °C. The total weight loss from the entire heating process was approximately 3%. 

![Figure 2.](image)
Figure 2. Weight change versus temperature plots showing (a) sample A and (b) sample B that experienced two obvious weight losses occurring at the beginning of heating and between 450 to 600 °C, respectively. The most obvious weight loss onset temperature is 491.02 °C in (a) and 490.80 °C in (b).

2.2.3. SEM Results

The characteristics of the samples studied at different temperatures are displayed in Figure 3. At temperatures less than 400 °C, microcracks were rare in the tight-sandstone samples, with particles closely associated and comb-like clay minerals present near the pores. As the temperature reached 400 °C, two prominent microcracks were observed (Figure 3d). The microcracks multiplied as the temperature increased further, with their interconnection-producing seepage networks (Figure 3e–h).

2.2.4. 3D Reconstruction Imaging Results

Changes in the pore volume of the tight sandstone after heating are shown in Figure 4, with the areas in blue representing the pore spaces. The pore volume in sample C before heating (Figure 4a) was significantly lower than that for sample D heated at 800 °C (Figure 4b).
Figure 3. (a–h) Photomicrographs displaying the structural characteristics of samples heated at temperatures from 100 to 800 °C at 2000 times magnification.

Figure 4. 3D reconstruction images for samples (a–c) before and (b–f) after heating at 800 °C showing XY(Z), XZ(Y), and YZ(X) views.
The pore volumes for different ranges before (sample C) and after heating to 800 °C (sample D) are shown in Figure 5. Evidently, the pore volume of the sandstone increased after heating for all ranges, with the total pore volume in the 106 µm³ range approximately twice that at 26 °C. In fact, no pores with volumes of 10⁷ µm³ exist at 26 °C, indicating these were abundantly generated by the heating.

Figure 5. Pore volume distribution for samples before and after heating to 800 °C.

3. Electric Heating Simulation

3.1. Experimental Setup

To apply the high-temperature electric heating technology to a wellbore, a device suitable for tight sandstones was designed. This device comprises several temperature sensors, a data acquisition system, a heating simulator, and a heat-shielded layer (Figure 6a). A 30 mm diameter hole was drilled in the center of a 300 mm diameter cylindrical rock sample to simulate a borehole, with seven smaller holes drilled in a spiral arrangement in the heat-shielded layer. A temperature sensor was placed into each hole, including the simulated borehole (Figure 6b). The rock sample was wrapped in a 50 mm thick metal heat-shielded layer. The heating simulator was a waterproof and heat-resistant halogen lamp, capable of withstanding temperatures of up to 1200 °C and equipped with an automated temperature control. The accuracy of the temperature control is ±1 °C and the data-acquisition system can store the data acquired by each temperature sensor in real time as well as control the temperature of the heating simulator.

3.2. Experiment

This experiment is useful for studying the impact of electric heating on tight sandstone gas permeability. The first step of the experiment involved testing the initial gas permeability and the permeability associated with water blocking for the tight sandstone studied. Several 50 mm × 100 mm cylindrical samples were drilled from the sandstone and six with similar longitudinal wave velocities and with surface parallelism within 0.05 mm as well as surface flatness within 0.02 mm were selected (ISRM, 2007). The average gas permeability for three samples tested before heating (26 °C) was 0.035 mD, and was considered the initial permeability. The other three unheated samples were immersed in deionized water for 48 h, with saturation attained during this time because of the elevated water absorption capacity of the sandstone. After simulating the water blocking state, the average gas permeability...
obtained was 0.004 mD, the standard deviation is 1.8%, and was utilized as the water blocking impacted gas permeability.

Figure 6. Diagram of the (a) simulation device including the data acquisition system and (b) top view of sensors highlighting the temperature distribution.

Subsequently, another compact sandstone was prepared and placed in the simulating device. The heating simulator was inserted in the simulated borehole, the sensors were connected and the power was set to start the experiment. This sample was heated at 800 °C for 480 h based on the study of Jamaluddin et al., who reported a significant permeability improvement after heating at this temperature [24].

After the experiment, three cylindrical samples (E, F, and G) were drilled radially from the exterior toward the center of the tight sandstone sample for gas permeability testing. Samples E, F, and G were segmented into three sections termed Near, Center, and Far, according to the distance from the heat source. The gas permeabilities of these nine sections were also tested.

3.3. Results

Figure 7 shows an essentially constant borehole temperature of 800 °C, whereas at the end of heating, line 7 is at 280 °C.

Figure 7. Temperature change curves at different points after 480 h of cumulative heating.
These results represent two comparison methods for gas-permeability changes of the samples associated with heating shown in Figure 8. Compared with the initial gas permeability of 0.035 mD and the water blocking damage gas permeability of 0.004 mD, the gas permeabilities for samples E, F, and G were 0.172, 0.149, and 0.158 mD, respectively (Figure 8a). In addition, the gas permeabilities of the Near and Far sections were 0.251 and 0.124 mD, 0.178 and 0.123 mD, and 0.249 and 0.128 mD for samples E, F and G, respectively (Figure 8b).

Figure 8. Comparison of gas permeability changes using two models including (a) before and after heating and (b) for different sections of samples E, F, and G.

After 48 h of water invasion, the gas permeability of the tight sandstone decreased by 89%. Shu et al., Zeng et al., and Wang et al. indicate that the gas permeability of tight sandstones decreases by 70% to 95% due to water blocking, which is consistent with the results of this study [25–27]. After the heating, the gas permeabilities of samples E, F, and G increased by 491%, 426%, and 451%, respectively, relative to the initial gas permeability, with an average increase of 456%. In contrast, the gas permeabilities of samples E, F, and G improved by 4300%, 3725%, and 3950%, respectively, compared with that for the sample affected by water blocking, yielding an average value of 3992%. Clearly, the gas permeability of the sandstone significantly improved after the high-temperature treatment.

In addition, the gas permeability of the Near section was 202%, 148%, and 194% higher than that of the corresponding Far sections for samples E, F, and G, averaging 181%. Furthermore, the gas permeability near the heating source significantly surpassed that at the edge of each area.

4. Discussion

4.1. Gas Permeability

An electric heating-simulation device was used to heat tight sandstones of the Xujiahe Formation, following which the gas permeability of the heated rock samples was tested. High-temperature electric heating eliminates the impact of water blocking, increasing the initial permeability significantly as a result, even when reduced by up to 90% through water blocking [28].

Notably, the gas permeability of the sandstone analyzed in this study increased by 764% after heating in a box-type resistance furnace, which was higher than the average increase of 456% after heating at 800 °C using the simulation device. This difference is probably because of better heating of the small sample employed in the resistance furnace test. In the simulation device, only one heat source is present in the simulated wellbore, and the heat dissipates away from the source. Therefore, the heating in the simulation device is less efficient compared with that in the resistance furnace, but the former represents a better simulation of conditions in nature.
It is important to note that, in this study, high pressure was not considered in the experiments, whereas in nature, formations are under high temperature and high pressure. Therefore, improving the simulation device to accommodate for higher pressures is necessary.

4.2. Microstructural Changes

4.2.1. Microcracks

To understand the mechanism through which temperature enhances the gas permeability of tight sandstones, samples were heated at different temperatures in the box-type resistance furnace. After cooling, the samples were subjected to gas permeability testing, SEM observations, TG analysis, and 3D reconstruction imaging. Despite the color and surface roughness changes exhibited by the tight sandstone samples after heating, externally, the samples appeared intact (Figure 1). However, the SEM images in Figure 2 revealed extensive internal microstructural alterations. These changes are mainly attributed to the physical and chemical processes of mineral dehydration and organic-matter decomposition [29].

At temperatures of less than 200 °C, the mass loss of a sample is mostly caused by the evaporation of free-pore water. When the temperature exceeds 200 °C, the mass losses of the sandstones mainly originated from the loss of adsorbed and structured water, hydroxyl, and oxygen in clay minerals as well as the decomposition of carbonates and organic materials into oxides, carbon dioxide, and water [30]. The loss of strongly bound water increased the presence of microcracks and their connectivity [31]. This is because dehydration of clay mineral aggregates is accompanied by shrinkage, facilitating cracking of mineral wafers and departure from grain fringes, and thereby producing microcracks [32]. The microcracks observed after heating are caused by shrinkage of clay minerals and thermal stress. These thermal fractures differ from conventional compression fractures in orientation and distribution anisotropy [33]. According to Simmons et al., Richter et al., Weinbrandt et al., and Lo et al., rocks contain minerals with varying thermal-expansion coefficients, with some minerals exhibiting anisotropy and some restricting others [34–37]. Consequently, deformation is harder in some directions, and this promotes thermal stress. When such stress exceeds the rock’s tensile strength, microcracks are generated, with the thermally induced changes mostly irreversible upon cooling.

Zhao et al. and Kang et al. reported thresholds from heating experiments beyond which thermally induced microcracks rapidly propagate in rocks [38,39]. Apparently, the temperature at which the most severe water loss occurs coincides with the threshold temperature for microcrack generation. In Figure 6, thermal weightlessness is prominent at approximately 491 °C, whereas in Figure 7, microcracks proliferate when the temperature exceeds 500 °C. Therefore, the threshold temperature for generating abundant microfractures in tight sandstone probably lies between 450 and 600 °C. This range is supported by the significant increase in the gas permeability after 500 °C (Figure 4b). Sanmiguel et al. reported a similar conclusion. Therefore, for efficiency, the heating temperature should stay within the obtained threshold range [40].

4.2.2. Pore Volume Evolution

The SEM and 3D reconstruction imaging results in this study displayed microcracks created by the electric heating and their connection to originally isolated primary pores. Owing to the connectivity enhancement and transport-network expansion, the seepage capacity of the formation was improved. This observation is supported by the findings of Homand and Murphy [41,42].

4.3. Electric Heating

In this study, the influence of confining pressure was overlooked in the experiments, although tight sandstone formations are exposed to high temperature and high pressure in
nature. Thus, in future, a confining pressure function will be added to the simulation device to ensure that the follow-up research is more in line with the actual formation environment.

The increase in gas permeability is attributed to the improved seepage network produced by the heating. However, microcrack development is affected by the confining pressure (mainly pressure from the overlying formation) in nature [43]. Owing to the pressure from overlying strata, microcracks are difficult to form perpendicular or nearly perpendicular to the principal stress direction. Overall, microcracks easily form in other directions, indicating a limited control of the confining pressure on thermal fracturing. The simulation results confirm that a threshold temperature exists for thermal fracturing or the enhancement of permeability in tight sandstones. However, because of the confining pressure, the threshold temperature for generating fractures may surpass the range obtained from the simulation experiment. Determining the threshold temperature for rocks will help to select the electric heating temperature to use in the field. Thus, in subsequent studies, the confining pressure function will be considered in the simulation device.

The impact of temperature on wellbore stability also requires consideration when selecting the heating temperature [44]. After heating the samples at 800 °C in the simulator, the simulated borehole lacked any obvious fractures. However, the relationship between the heating temperature and wellbore stability requires further study. Ensuring wellbore safety should precede selecting a suitable heating temperature, and this highlights another important consideration for choosing the heating temperature to use in the field.

The temperature from heating decreases radially from the heat source, creating an effective heating area (the area with temperatures higher than the original formation temperature) and an ineffective heating area (the area with a temperature similar to the original formation temperature). The effective heating area encompasses the near, central, and far well regions. According to the simulation experiments, the gas permeability enhancement is most significant in the near-well region. This is evidenced by numerous microcracks in the near-well region relative to the other regions. In the field, a similar response is expected.

In the late 20th century, researchers began to explore electric-heating technologies; however, studies related to the topic remained sparse and the technology was never adopted. The main reason is that the world was dominated by thermal-power generation, and some scholars believed that the implementation of this technology would be associated with high power consumption costs and could affect economic benefits. Later, the gradual maturation of hydraulic-fracturing technology, to a certain extent, also restricted the demand for new technologies. With the development of gas production technologies, scholars gradually began to realize the limitations of hydraulic fracturing, namely its high cost, the secondary damage caused to the formations, and the environmental pollution that is not in line with today’s environmental protection concept. Today, with the increase in well length and the complexity of formation conditions, the demand for new, efficient, and environmentally friendly technologies is high. At the same time, countries around the world are directing great efforts to the layout of new energy technologies such as photovoltaic cells, nuclear power, and wind power. Thanks to the continuous development of these technologies, electric heating will continue to reduce the cost of electricity.

Based on theoretical considerations and the impact on the microstructure and gas permeability of tight sandstones, electric heating seems to involve unique mechanisms and advantages. Therefore, as a promising method for enhancing the transport network of tight sandstones, electric heating is a technology that increasingly attracts the attention of researchers.

5. Conclusions

To explore the applicability of electric heating in tight-sandstone gas production, laboratory experiments were conducted on tight sandstones and the impacts on the gas permeability and microstructure evaluated. According to the results, the following conclusions were drawn:
1. The fracture threshold temperature of tight sandstones is between 450 and 600 °C. Beyond the threshold temperature, the gas permeability of the sandstone significantly increased. The enhanced permeability was associated with multiple interconnected microcracks that formed an extensive seepage network, thereby highlighting improved connectivity.

2. Electric heating most obviously affected the gas permeability near the well, with the impact decreasing radially from the well.

3. Electric heating may be a promising method for enhancing the transport network of tight sandstones. The influence of high temperature on the gas permeability and wellbore stability under confining pressure conditions will be considered in future research.
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