Dissecting the behavior and function of MBD3 in DNA methylation homeostasis by single-molecule spectroscopy and microscopy
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ABSTRACT

The detailed mechanism for DNA methylation homeostasis relies on an intricate regulatory network with a possible contribution from methyl-CpG-binding domain protein 3 (MBD3). In this study we examine the single-molecule behavior of MBD3 and its functional implication in balancing the activity of DNA methyltransferases (DNMTs). Besides a localization tendency to DNA demethylating sites, MBD3 experiences a concurrent transcription with DNMTs in cell cycle. Fluorescence lifetime correlation spectroscopy (FLCS) and photon counting histogram (PCH) were applied to characterize the chromatin binding kinetics and stoichiometry of MBD3 in different cell phases. In the G1-phase, MBD3, in the context of the Mi-2/NuRD (nucleosome remodeling deacetylase) complex, could adopt a salt-dependent homodimeric association with its target epigenomic loci. Along with cell cycle progression, utilizing fluorescence lifetime imaging microscopy-based Förster resonance energy transfer (FLIM-FRET) we revealed that a proportion of MBD3 and MBD2 would colocalize with DNMT1 during DNA maintenance methylation, providing a proofreading and protective mechanism against a possible excessive methylation by DNMT1. In accordance with our hypothesis, insufficient MBD3 induced by small interfering RNA (siRNA) was found to result in a global DNA hypermethylation as well as increased methylation in the promoter CpG islands (CGIs) of a number of cell cycle related genes.

INTRODUCTION

As a predominant epigenetic mechanism, DNA methylation that occurs at the 5-carbon of the pyrimidine ring in cytosine, substantially dictates the chromatin conformation and genetic activity. Conserved along proliferation, edited during differentiation and transgressed in pathogenesis, the patterns of genome-wide DNA methylation hold the key to cell fate and therefore ought to be maintained in a dynamic homeostasis (1). DNA methyltransferases (DNMTs), mainly including DNMT1 and DNMT3A/3B, are responsible for adding a methyl-group to the genomic sites, especially to CpG dinucleotides. However, the classical view to arbitrarily categorize those enzymes as maintenance DNMTs and de novo DNMTs appears to be challenged by cumulative evidence that expounds on the similarities of their function (2–5), which in turn necessitates revisiting the existing understanding on DNA methylation maintenance and homeostasis (6,7). Over the past decade it has been recognized that DNA maintenance methylation rarely follows a rigorous CpG-by-CpG fidelity, but adopts a stochastic model in which the twin forces of methylases and demethylases contend in an equilibrium, based on which the average methylation density of a specific DNA region can be well conserved (8). Ten-eleven-translocation proteins (TETs) were recently found to be a group of dioxygenases that enable the active DNA demethylation in mammalian cells, but they primarily function in early embryogenesis and some pathological malignancies to regulate gene expression (9). In the light of this, it is of great interest to resolve the question as to whether other balancing forces/machineries exist in cells to prevent a possible excessive methylation by DNMTs for DNA methylation homeostasis.

Methyl-CpG-binding domain protein 3 (MBD3) belongs to a family of nuclear proteins in close relation to DNA methylation, but exhibits elusive epigenomic association and functional identity (10–13). Although more than 70% of the MBD3 protein sequence is identical to MBD2, a number of researches utilizing in vitro binding assays or ChIP-seq have suggested that MBD3 might not be a bona fide binding protein for 5-methylcytosine (5mC), partially due to the K30H/Y34F mutations in its sequence (14–19). On the contrary, as a constitutive component of the
Mi-2/NuRD complex, MBD3 has been found to preferentially localize at CpG-rich promoters and enhancers of active genes where DNA is poised for dynamic turnover of its methylation state (20–22). Nevertheless, an interesting commonality for MBD2 and MBD3 is that both possess the ability to induce DNA demethylation (23–26). Intriguingly, a proportion of MBD3, MBD2 and DNMT1 could co-appear in the DNA replication loci and bind to hemimethylated DNA (27), which inspired us to decipher the underlying implication of such a seemingly paradoxical co-operation.

Conventional approaches in biology research mostly rely on ensemble and end-point measurements from population of cells, thus overlooking the real-time heterogeneity and nano-scale kinetics of biomolecules. Single-molecule explorations open a unique window to inspect biological activities with unprecedented sensitivity and accuracy (28). In our previous work, we have demonstrated the potential of single-molecule techniques in uncovering some of the molecular dynamics and interactions involved in epigenetic regulation (29,30). Using fluorescence correlation spectroscopy (FCS) we have shown that the diffusion characteristics of MBD3 can be quantitatively correlated with its methylation state (20–22). Nevertheless, an interesting commonality for MBD2 and MBD3 is that both possess the ability to induce DNA demethylation (23–26). Intriguingly, a proportion of MBD3, MBD2 and DNMT1 could co-appear in the DNA replication loci and bind to hemimethylated DNA (27), which inspired us to decipher the underlying implication of such a seemingly paradoxical co-operation.

Figure 1. MBD3 transcription is concurrent with DNMTs during cell cycle progression. (A) Sequential cell synchronization was validated with flow cytometry followed by (B) quantitative RT-PCR for MBD3 and DNMTs. Relative quantity of each gene is normalized to the transcriptional level in the G1-phase and presented as the mean with standard deviation (n = 4). Student’s t-test was used to determine the statistical significance.

Quantitative single-molecule characterization provides us with tremendous opportunities to sense intracellular components. Enabled by recording of the fluorescence fluctuation owing to the molecular diffusion in a sub-femtoliter detection volume, FCS can provide a robust measure of dynamics at the microscopic level, from which subtle changes in biomolecular dynamics and association can be inferred (32–34). In this study, we applied fluorescence lifetime correlation spectroscopy (FLCS), an elegant derivative of FCS (35,36), to extract the real-time diffusion characteristics of MBD3 in different cell phases and found that MBD3 might have distinct DNA binding behaviors in the G1-phase and the G2-phase. Further, we analyzed the in vivo binding stoichiometry of MBD3 with photon counting histogram (PCH) and validated the salt-dependent ‘two-site sequential binding’ mode in the G1-phase nucleus. In the G2-phase, the co-localization of MBD3, MBD2 and DNMT1 was verified by FLIM-FRET, although the exact coupling mechanism for this co-operation requires further research. Upon siRNA mediated MBD3 knockdown, a global DNA hypermethylation and the significant increase in the methylation level of a number of promoter CGIs of cell cycle related genes were noted, which in turn could disrupt the normal cell cycle progression. Altogether, our study constitutes a pioneer effort to revise the model of DNA methylation homeostasis, which paves the way for future research to better interpret the epigenetic DNA methylome.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Cell culture and cell cycle synchronization

HeLa cells were regularly cultured in DMEM/F-12 medium supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum, 100 IU/ml penicillin and 100 µg/ml streptomycin in a humidified incubator supplemented with 5% CO₂ at 37°C. Synchronizing cells to different phases referred to established protocols (37–39). Generally, G1-phase enriched cells were obtained by treating cells with 400 µM L-mimosine (Sigma) for 20–24 h. To achieve a maximum S-phase population, cells were blocked with 2 mM thymidine (Sigma) for 20 h and released in fresh medium for 10 h, followed by 20 h of blocking with thymidine and finally culturing in fresh medium for another 4 h. Cultures containing G2-phase arrested cells were obtained by incubating with fresh medium containing 0.1 µg/ml KaryoMAX® Colcemid (Life Technologies) for 6 h after two rounds of thymidine blocking. Flow cytometry was used to assess cell cycle distribution. Cells were fixed with 70% ethanol and then stained with 20 µg/ml propidium iodide (PI) solution containing 0.1% Triton X-100 and 200 µg/ml RNase A, referring to standard protocol (40). Cell cycle distribution, through quantifying the PI fluorescence intensity, was determined in a FC500 MPL system (Beckman Coulter). At least 15 000 cells were collected for each condition and the generated cell cycle histograms were analyzed with Watson pragmatic model in Flowjo software package.
Quantitative RT-PCR

RNA extraction was conducted with RNeasy Mini Kit (Qiagen) followed by reverse transcription with iScript™ cDNA Synthesis Kit (Bio-Rad), according to the manufacturers’ instructions, respectively. Before cDNA synthesis, genomic DNA was removed by treatment with DNase I. Polymerase chain reaction (PCR) amplification was performed in a StepOnePlus™ system (Applied Biosystems) with SYBR® Green PCR Master Mix (Life Technologies). The ΔΔCt method was used to normalize all the transcription level to the internal control gene GAPDH. PCR primers for GAPDH, DNMT1, DNMT3A and DNMT3B were designed and optimized within Primer-BLAST online tools (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/tools/primer-blast/). The PCR primers used in this study are listed in Supplementary Table S1.

Plasmid and siRNA transfection

Plasmid transfection was accomplished using Lipofectamine® LTX & Plus Reagent Kit (Life Technologies) according to the manufacturer's instructions with minor modifications. The expression vector of nucleus-green fluorescent protein (GFP) (Figure 3C, inset) was purchased from Life Technologies. Cells were seeded on sterilized No. 1 coverslips (VWR International) in a 12-well plate with 2 ml fresh medium. After reaching 70% confluence medium was replaced with antibiotics-free, low-serum medium for another 12 h. Then 100 ng plasmid DNA, 2.5 μL LTX reagent and 0.1 μL Plus reagent were mixed into Opti-MEM® reduced-serum medium for each well. Transfection lasted for 24 h prior to live cell FLCS and PCH measurements. MBD3 siRNA, scrambled siRNA and transfection reagents for knockdown experiments were obtained from Santa Cruz Biotechnology. A total of 50 pmol siRNA was used for a 35 mm culture dish when cells reached 70% confluence according to the manufacturer’s suggestion. Transfection lasted for 24 h by sub-culturing with normal fresh medium for another 72 h.

Single-molecule fluorescence system

Confocal fluorescence imaging, FLCS, PCH and FLIM-FRET experiments were performed with a Microtime200 scanning confocal time-resolved microscope system (Picoquant GmbH) (Supplementary Figure S1): A 465 nm picosecond pulsed laser was used to excite the GFP tag and Alexa488 labeled antibodies. Cy3 was excited by a 532 nm laser for in vitro PCH calibration. The excitation beam was delivered to the sample stage through an apochromatic水 immersion objective (60×, N.A. = 1.2) and the fluorescence was collected by the same objective, after which the emission was separated by a dual band dichroic (z467/638rpc for blue laser, 49004 DCXR for green laser, Chroma). A 50 μm pinhole was employed to block the off-focus photons and the final signal was additionally filtered by a band-pass filter (520 ± 20 nm for green emission, 610 ± 30 nm for red emission, Chroma) before reaching the single photon avalanche photodiode detectors (SPAD) (SPCM-AQR, PerkinElmer Inc.). Fluorescence information was recorded using the TCSPC (time-correlated single photon counting) module in the time-tagged time-resolved (TTTR) mode (TimeHarp200). Raw fluorescence images and autocorrelation data were first analyzed and then exported with the SymPhoTime software package (PicoQuant GmbH) for further processing. For detailed mathematical fitting and analysis procedure, please refer to the Supplementary Methods.

Antibody labeling and immunofluorescence

In FLIM-FRET experiments, in order to minimize the overall size of antigen-antibody complex, primary antibodies (anti-MBD1/MBD2/MBD3/MTA2-IgG, Abcam; anti-DNMT1-IgG, Novus Biologicals; anti-5-Hydroxymethylcytosine (5hmC)/5-Formylcytosine (5fC)/5-Carboxylcytosine (5caC)-IgG, Active Motif) were directly labeled with fluorescent FRET-pair by APEX™ Antibody Labeling Kits (Life Technologies): MBD3 with Alexa488 (donor); MBD1, MBD2, MTA2, DNMT1, 5hmC, 5fC and 5caC with Alexa555 (acceptor). For immunofluorescence staining, cells were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde for 15 min at 4°C and rinsed with 1× phosphate buffered saline (PBS) buffer. Cell membrane was permeabilized by 0.4% Triton X-100 (Sigma) for 30 min and rinsed with clean PBS for 15 min. After HCl treatment (optional for DNA denaturation), cells were then blocked with PBS containing 5% goat serum and 0.3% Triton X-100 for 1 h. Labeled primary antibodies were diluted 100× (~5 nM) in PBS containing 1% BSA and 0.3%
Triton X-100 to incubate with cells overnight at 4°C. In the next day cells were rinsed 3× with PBS prior to FLIM imaging. For semi-quantification of MBD3 and H3K27ac by immunofluorescence, standard staining procedure was performed: 1:1000 dilution of the primary antibody (e.g. anti-H3K27ac-IgG, Active Motif) and secondary antibody (Alexa Fluor® 488 F(ab')2 fragment of Goat anti-Rabbit IgG (Life Technologies)) were sequentially applied and incubated overnight and 1 h prior to confocal imaging.

**Immunooassay for global DNA methylation and quantitative PCR array for promoter CGIs methylation of cell cycle related genes**

DNA from control and siRNA treated cells was extracted and purified with DNeasy Blood & Tissue Kit (Qiagen), according to the manufacturer's instruction. Global DNA methylation level was determined by MethylFlash® Methylated DNA Quantification Kit (Epigentek). Briefly, 100 ng of DNA was deposited to the bottom of the assay well followed by incubation with capture antibody and detection antibody, sequentially. The final colorimetric signal was normalized to the standard input DNA to estimate the overall methylation percentage. Twenty-two key genes involved in cell cycle progression were assessed for their promoter CGIs methylation levels using EpiTect® Methyl II Signature PCR Array (Qiagen). The general working mechanism for this array is achieved by treating input DNA with methylation-sensitive endonuclease (unmethylated CGIs will be digested) or methylation-dependent endonuclease (methylated CGIs will be digested). Upon PCR amplification with specific primers targeting the characterized promoter CGIs of each gene, the percentage of methylated promoters in the corresponding input sample can be determined.

**RESULTS**

**Localization preference and cell cycle-dependent transcription of MBD3**

We first evaluated the localization preference of endogenous MBD3 in HeLa cells using FLIM-FRET, to explore its possible relation with 5mC derivatives. The anti-MBD3-IgG labeled with Alexa488 served as the FRET donor, while the anti-5hmC/5fC/5caC-IgG labeled with Alexa555 served as the FRET acceptor. Before FRET experiments, we optimized the DNA denaturation procedure for 5hmC/5fC/5caC staining using hydrogen chloride (HCl), considering the negative impact of acid on fluorescence lifetime and antibody performance. We determined that treating cells with 1 N HCl at 37°C for 30 min is compatible with FLIM imaging and antibody specificity (Supplementary Figure S2A). From the FLIM-FRET exploration, a relatively low co-localization between MBD3 and 5hmC was suggested from the calculation of FRET efficiency. In comparison, MBD3 exhibited a prominent co-localization preference to 5fC and 5caC (Supplementary Figure S2B), which is in agreement with the most recent findings (17,18). These results provide partial support to the in vivo demethylating potential of MBD3 due to its spatial co-existence with the oxidized derivatives of 5mC and 5hmC. Since no direct in vitro enzymatic activity was characterized for pure MBD3, the nearby DNA demethylation could possibly be a consequence of the MBD3 mediated recruitment of TET proteins (15) or other unknown mechanisms (e.g. via steric hindrance to block the DNMTs functionality). Next, cells synchronized to be in different phases with appropriate treatments were obtained and validated by flow cytometry (Figure 1A). Upon synchronization, transcripts of MBD3 and all three DNMTs were quantified with quantitative RT-PCR. Our experiments show an increase of the DNMTs at the start of the S-phase to maintain a high abundance till the G2-phase for cells to fully complete the maintenance methylation on hemi-methylated DNA (Figure 1B), which is consistent with the previous research exploring the cell cycle-dependent expression of DNMTs (41). It was initially perceived that the transcription of DNMTs should peak during the S-phase rather than the G2-phase. However, the two critical points to bear in mind are that the DNA maintenance methylation has been characterized as a delayed event compared to DNA replication and in cancerous or transformed cells the regulation of the expression of DNMTs could further be delayed due to rapid cell proliferation (2,41,42). Interestingly, similar to DNMTs, the transcription of MBD3 also experiences a cell cycle-dependent enrichment. This concurrent change provides preliminary evidence for an underlying connection, at the transcriptional level, between MBD3 and DNMTs to support their contention and co-operation in DNA methylation homeostasis.

**The mobility of MBD3 is increasingly constrained during the G1–S–G2 transition**

Taking advantage of the statistical filtering function in FLICS, the noise in the autocorrelation fluctuation due to
the detector (e.g. thermal noise and afterpulses) and other
signal components (e.g. elastic scattering and Raman
signal) that occur at the millisecond or lower timescale
can be greatly eliminated (Supplementary Figure S3)(36,43).
For live cell FLCS measurement, different from in stan-
dard lipofectamine transfection, we used a much less plas-
mid DNA (10-fold lower) to decrease the expression of re-
dundant MBD3-GFP in cell nucleus. Upon optimization,
~60% transfection efficiency and an average concentration
of 80 nM intra-nucleus MBD-GFP were achieved for the
following single-molecule experiments. Hence, the natural
diffusion dynamics of MBD3-GFP can be well extracted
from a single-component anomalous diffusion model. Data
collection points for FLCS measurement were randomly
chosen from more than 15 cells as represented in Fig-
ure 2A. Generally, using 60 s as the integration window
to generate autocorrelation functions, the diffusion rate of
MBD3-GFP in the cytoplasm (unbound) was found to be
much faster (a diffusion time of 3.6 ± 0.4 ms) than that
in the nucleus (a diffusion time of 16.2 ± 1.9 ms) of non-
synchronized cells, which is consistent with our previous
study (Figure 2B and C)(31). The significantly slower dif-
fusion of MBD3-GFP in the nucleus could be attributed
to two mechanisms: its integration into the Mi-2/NuRD
complex and the subsequent association with the target-
ing chromatin site. To validate the integration of exogenous
MBD3-GFP into the Mi-2/NuRD, we performed FLIM-
FRET experiments between MBD3-GFP and the endoge-
nous MTA2 protein (a key component of the Mi-2/NuRD)
labeled with Alexa555. A gradual reduction in the GFP fluo-
rescence lifetime after transfection was observed (Supple-
mentary Figure S4), indicating the association of MBD3-
GFP with the Mi-2/NuRD. Then the cell cycle-dependent
dynamics of MBD3-GFP was probed. Upon comparison
with the MBD3-GFP in the cells synchronized in the G1-
phase, the MBD3-GFP in the S-phase and the G2-phase
exhibited drastically slower diffusion rates: diffusion times
noted were 11.5 ± 0.6 ms (G1), 26.6 ± 1.3 ms (S) and 36.9
± 2.1 ms (G2), respectively (Figure 2C). Moreover, an in-
cremental adjustment in the ‘degree of anomalous behav-
or’ (‘α’ in Supplementary Equation 3) was required to fit
the autocorrelation curves along with the G1–S–G2 transi-
tion, reflecting a strengthened restriction in the mobility
of MBD3-GFP. Considering the fact that molecular dif-
fusion is negatively proportional to the hydrodynamic ra-
dius (Stokes–Einstein relation), our obtained FLCS results
suggest that, starting from the S-phase, the MBD3/NuRD
complex may further associate with or recruit other large
biomolecules to the relevant genomic sites, giving rise to an
enhanced DNA binding affinity.

**MBD3/NuRD experiences a transition of binding stoichi-
ometry in the S-phase**

PCH can provide information on homogeneous stoichi-
ometry based on the average brightness on a per molecule basis
and well established controls. The weighted average bright-
ness of nuclear MBD-GFP was then evaluated in differ-
ent cell phases by PCH, from which a gradual reduction
of the measured GFP brightness was observed when cells
progressed through G1–S–G2 phases (Figure 3A). To cal-
ibus the GFP fluorescence-based molecular stoichi-
ometry, we have carefully designed necessary controls: pure
GFP in buffer and in cell nucleus, toll-like receptor 9-GFP
(TLR9-GFP) and MBD1-GFP in live cells. We extracted
and purified monomer GFP proteins to establish standards
and the brightness was calculated to be 1341 ± 48 cpsm
(counts per second per molecule) under 2.5 µW excitation
power (Figure 3B). Based on this value, we can deconvolve
the obtained average brightness of GFP tagged fusion pro-
teins into monomeric and dimeric components and a non-
specific dimerization rate of ~8% for pure GFP was also
determined in living HeLa cells. We used a well character-
ized and standardized TLR9-GFP model as the dimer con-
trol from our previous study on defining the TLR9-GFP
dimerization on its binding target in living cells (44). From
PCH analysis, the percentage of dimers for TLR9-GFP and
MBD1-GFP was found to be 78 and 2%, respectively. Re-
results for MBD3-GFP indicate that its binding stoichiom-
etry also experienced a cell cycle-dependent transition: the
dimer percentage altered from 84% in the G1-phase, to 48% in
the S-phase and eventually to 16% in the G2-phase (Figure
3C). In a recent in vitro study, MBD domain was shown to
sequentially dimerize onto a single (methyl-)CpG site un-
der physiological condition and this binding specificity is
salt/cation-dependent (45). Therefore we proposed that a
low salt environment should be sufficient to undermine the
binding specificity of MBD3 and its in situ dimerization in
living cells as well. By applying a hypotonic culture medium
to G1-phase cells, indeed the dimer proportion of MBD3-
GFP significantly decreased (Figure 3D). In our hypothe-
sis, the following stoichiometric transition of MBD3 in the
S-phase is facilitated by the co-operation among MBD3,
MBD2 and DNM1 to realize DNA maintenance methyla-
tion, which is also supported by our FLCS results: MBD3

---

**Figure 4.** The MBD3–MBD2–DMNT1 complex in DNA maintenance
methylation was assessed by FLIM-FRET. (A) Labeled primary antibod-
ies were applied to target the protein pairs (MBD3–DNMT1, MBD3–
MBD2). (B) A shortened fluorescence lifetime due to FRET is reflected
by the blue-shift in FLIM images (an in vitro antibody-mediated FLIM-
FRET is illustrated). (C) FLIM images of the Alexa488 labeled onto anti-
MBD3-IgG were obtained in different cell phases, with DNMT1 or MBD2
directly labeled with Alexa555. Scale bar: 10 μm. (D) The ensemble-based
fluorescence lifetimes are presented with mean and standard deviation (n = 10
images). FRET efficiencies were accordingly calculated (inset).
was shown to gradually reside into a larger complex (inferred from the slowed diffusion rate) and possess higher binding affinity with chromatin (inferred from the increased anomalous diffusion behavior) during cell cycle progression. These single-molecule observations from FLCS and PCH implicate that the difference in the internal molecular bonds of MBD3–DNA and MBD3–MBD2–DNMT1 may serve as the driving force to mechanistically advance the stoichiometric transition, for which the intracellular microenvironment (e.g. regional salt concentration) may be substantially involved.

**MBD3, MBD2 and DNMT1 act in concert in DNA maintenance methylation**

Although quite a few tools in conventional molecular biology such as co-immunoprecipitation, affinity electrophoresis, pull-down assay and yeast two-hybrid screening are available to evaluate biomolecular interactions, a challenge is to directly visualize in situ dynamic associations in the subcellular compartments. Nowadays fluorescence super-resolution microscopy is able to break the diffraction limit imposed by the conventional optics to achieve a 20–100 nm resolution for single cell imaging. However, the spatial scale (<10–20 nm) of most protein–protein and protein–DNA interactions is still beyond the ‘super-resolution’ scope. In this study we took advantage of the FRET mechanism as our biophysical ruler to probe the potential molecular interactions at the 10 nm level (Figure 4B, left panel). By directly labeling the primary antibodies against targets of interest with fluorescent FRET-pair (i.e. Alexa488 and Alexa555), dual-immunofluorescence staining was performed and the FRET signal was thus monitored via FLIM imaging in different cell phases (Figure 4A). As expected, a gradually occurring FRET reflected by the decrease in the lifetime of Alexa488 attached on anti-MBD3-IgG was noted in both combinations (MBD3–MBD2, MBD3–DNMT1) (Figure 4C). In FLIM images, longer lifetimes are represented by the red color whereas a blue-shift indicates a shorter lifetime as depicted by the scale bars (Figure 4B, right panel). In Figure 4C, the intense blue-shift in the MBD3-Alexa488 channel along with the G1–S–G2 progression clearly indicates a process that a growing portion of MBD3, MBD2 and DNMT1 can potentially assemble to form a larger complex. Statistically, in the G1-phase, MBD3-Alexa488 in the presence of MBD2-Alexa555 has a relatively shorter lifetime (3.34 ± 0.06 ns) than that in the presence of DNMT1-Alexa555 (3.45 ± 0.06 ns) (Figure 4D), implicating that some of the endogenous MBD3–MBD2 co-existence could be cell cycle-independent, which is supported by previous reports (11,27,46,47). In the control experiments, no such co-localization signal was observed between MBD3 and MBD1 (Supplementary Figure S6). Moreover, all these probes molecular interactions were validated with the MBD3-GFP-based co-immunoprecipitation (Supplementary Figure S7). Taken together, for the first time, the behavioral paradigm of the MBD3 interactome in DNA maintenance methylation was revealed by single-molecule tools within single cells (Figure 5).

**Insufficient MBD3 disrupts DNA methylation homeostasis**

Following the single-molecule experiments, we assessed the possible impacts to DNA methylation upon suppressing the expression of MBD3, to support the functional involvement of MBD3 in DNA methylation homeostasis. We success-
Fully inhibited the MBD3 transcription by ~75% by introducing the specific siRNA targeting MBD3 mRNA (Figure 6A, upper bar chart), which subsequently resulted in a significant reduction of MBD3 proteins in HeLa cells (Figure 6A, lower panel). In agreement with our previous research that insufficient MBD3 would lead to the disorder and mis-assembly of Mi-2/NuRD (48), an aberrant accumulation of H3K27ac in MBD3 knockdown cells was observed (49)(Supplementary Figure S8). Given that MBD3 facilitates the maintenance of a hypomethylated state at its binding sites and participates in balancing DNA maintenance methylation, it was expected that the cells would experience a global hypermethylation upon MBD3 knockdown. Taking use of colorimetric quantitative immunostaining, the global level of DNA methylation in knockdown cells was found to increase from 1.66 to 2.34% compared to control cells after 72 h (Figure 6B). In parallel, we also evaluated the promoter CGIs methylation of 22 cell cycle involved genes since MBD3 has been discovered to modulate cell cycle progression through related genes (26,50). The promoter CGIs of the selected genes, except BRCA2 and RAD9A, were determined to be extensively hypomethylated or unmethylated in HeLa cells, consistent with the records in the ENCODE database and previous research (51). When the MBD3 level was suppressed, a ubiquitous elevation in the methylation of assessed CGIs was observed, though some changes were subtle due to a short knockdown period (Figure 6C). Furthermore, the effect of overexpressing MBD3 was assessed to support its in vivo demethylating potential in HeLa cells (Supplementary Figure S9). Considering the influence of MBD3 on the Mi-2/NuRD function and DNA methylation process, the normal cell cycle progression is subjected to dysfunction once MBD3 is deregulated or unmethylated in HeLa cells, consistent with the records in the ENCODE database and previous research (51). When the MBD3 level was suppressed, a ubiquitous elevation in the methylation of assessed CGIs was observed, though some changes were subtle due to a short knockdown period (Figure 6C). Furthermore, the effect of overexpressing MBD3 was assessed to support its in vivo demethylating potential in HeLa cells (Supplementary Figure S9). Considering the influence of MBD3 on the Mi-2/NuRD function and DNA methylation process, the normal cell cycle progression is subjected to dysfunction once MBD3 is deregulated. Thereafter we examined the cell cycle progression under the knockdown condition and found a larger population of cells arrested in the G1-phase, resembling the effect of directly impairing the Mi-2/NuRD or DNMTs (52–54). Overall, this set of experiments supports our proposed model derived from the biophysical characterization: the demethylating potential of MBD3 plays a critical role in sustaining DNA methylation homeostasis and cell physiology.

**DISCUSSION**

Achieving DNA methylation homeostasis necessitates the interplay of multiple molecules and mechanisms. For one single nucleosome covering about 200 bp of DNA (including linker), 3–10 CpG dinucleotides could statistically exist with an inheritable extent of methylation. In order to stably retain the average methylation level of a given DNA region across generations, a unified stochastic model recently developed was applied (7):

\[
\frac{d\rho_m^{i,ss}}{dt} = \rho_m^{i,ss} \times (1 - \rho_m^{i,ss}) - \frac{1}{2} D \left(1 - f_{\text{main}}^i\right) + r_{\text{demet}}^i + \frac{1}{2} D \times f_{\text{main}}^i - \frac{1}{2} D
\]

Accordinly, the steady-state methylation level at a genomic locus (\(\rho_m^{i,ss}\)) is practically attributed to multiple variables—the rate of cell division (\(D\)), the efficiency of maintenance methylation (\(f_{\text{main}}^i\)), the rate of demethylation (\(r_{\text{demet}}^i\)), the rate of de novo methylation (\(r_{\text{met}}^i\)), and can be expressed as:

\[
\rho_m^{i,ss} = \frac{r_{\text{met}}^i}{\frac{1}{2} D \left(1 - f_{\text{main}}^i\right) + r_{\text{demet}}^i + \frac{1}{2} D \times f_{\text{main}}^i} \tag{2}
\]

Derived from the above equation, the counteracting forces of demethylating and methylating were characterized by:

\[
r_{\text{demet}}^i = \frac{1 - \rho_m^{i,ss}}{\rho_m^{i,ss}} \times r_{\text{met}}^i + \frac{1}{2} D \times f_{\text{main}}^i - \frac{1}{2} D \tag{3}
\]

Upon incorporating the involvement (\(r^i\)) of the DNA methylation related proteins, we can roughly define that:

\[
\begin{align*}
&f_{\text{main}}^i \propto r_{\text{DNMT1}}^i, & f_{\text{met}}^i \propto r_{\text{TET}}^i + r_{\text{DNMT3A}}^i + r_{\text{DNMT3B}}^i, \\
&r_{\text{demet}}^i \propto r_{\text{DNMT3B}}^i + r_{\text{MBD2/D3}}^i.
\end{align*}
\]

In the G1-phase, MBD3 tends to anchor on active gene-loci and protect the hypomethylated state from DNMT3A/B invasion. In the S-G2 phases, DNMT1 as a major player, together with DNMT3A/B, participates in DNA maintenance methylation, whereas no experimental evidence has observed the direct involvement of TETs in this process. Without a real-time demethylating potential or proofreading mechanism, the delicate DNA methylome would become fairly vulnerable to non-equilibrium whenever DNMT1 overacts (e.g. \(f_{\text{main}}^i > 1\)). In line with the previous research (13,26,27), our study reveals the dynamic behavior of MBD3 and its importance in DNA methylation homeostasis herein. When cells enter the cell cycle, a considerable portion of MBD3 and MBD2 would co-localize with DNMT1 to offer a favorable condition for the ongoing DNA maintenance methylation. In parallel, the concomitant Mi-2/NuRD positioning can assist the nucleosome remodeling and histone acetylation patterning during epigenetic inheritance. In the light of the uncovered dynamic behavior of MBD3, we reason that the occupancy sites for MBD3/NuRD would vary at different time points even within a single cell cycle, which might partially explain the divergent observations of genome-wide MBD3 distribution from previous studies (15,17,18).

The core region sequence among human MBD superfamily members for methyl-CpG recognition and binding is highly conserved (Supplementary Figure S10A). To date the already identified residues critical for MBD–DNA complex include VAL20, ARG22, LYS30, TYR34, ARG44 and SER45, in which the two arginine residues overwhelmingly determine the binding mechanism through a hydrogen bond and cation–π interaction-based ‘stair motif’ (Supplementary Figure S10B)(14,55,56). Although MBD3 is nearly identical to MeCP2 and MBD2 in the core region (Supplementary Figure S10C), the two crucial mutations (K30H and Y34F), located at the interface, biochemically only ensures its association specificity with CpG rather than exclusively with 5mC or 5hmC. Consistent with the recent discovery that MBD3 primarily localizes at active epigenetic loci, such as enhancers and promoters, our FLIM-FRET approach suggests an in situ spatial interaction between MBD3 and the 5mC-oxidized derivatives (i.e. 5hmC, 5fC.
and 5caC which are difficult to be differentiated from cytosine in conventional DNA-sequencing methods), to support its potential in DNA demethylation and gene activation (17,18,20,22).

The binding stoichiometry between MBD and its targeting site was elusive and even controversial from different studies. Until very recently, a ‘two-site sequential binding mode’ has been proposed and biochemically validated (45). It demonstrated that, under physiological condition, the MBD-mediated DNA binding would adopt and tolerate the homodimeric association on a single CpG site but with distinct dissociation constants for each MBD, ranging from ~80 nM to 5 μM. Moreover, a low salt concentration can significantly compromise the specificity for MBD to recognize CpG over random DNA sequences since monovalent cations are crucial to suppress the non-specific binding (45). This explains why the observed homodimeric state of MBD3/NuRD in the G1-phase got substantially reduced when a hypotonic medium was applied in our study. On the contrary, MBD1 was found to exist predominantly in a monomeric state. We speculate this stoichiometry is due to the preferable localization of MBD1 at the highly condensed heterochromatin where its potential dimerization could be impeded due to steric hindrance. One of our findings requiring future elucidation is on the MBD3/NuRD stoichiometric transition from the G1-phase to the G2-phase. According to our hypothesis, the co-existence of MBD2-MBD3–DNMT1 aids in achieving a homeostatic DNA maintenance methylation, while the detailed mechanism to form such multi-component machinery is challenging to resolve. MBD2 and DNMT1 have a theoretical basis to co-localize because of their ability to recognize hemi-methylated sites. For the recruitment of MBD3/NuRD, several possibilities might exist. One is through other epigenetic marks, such as histone modifications. MBD2, in the context of Mi-2/NuRD, can induce regional histone hypoacetylation which would subsequently attract MBD3/NuRD (11,13). Another is through the coiled-coil interaction. The key helix residues to form coiled-coil oligomer are highly conserved among MBD proteins across species, though a stable MBD2–MBD3 heterodimer was hard to detect by gel filtration analysis (57). However, considering the fact that the coiled-coil interaction is contingent with protein conformation and thus the surrounding physicochemical factors (58), we cannot rule out the possibility of a cell cycle-dependent in vivo coiled-coil MBD3–MBD2 interaction. In addition, since the p66α, a critical component of the Mi-2/NuRD, can firmly form heterodimer with either MBD2 or MBD3 (57,59), an indirect alternative to link MBD3 and MBD2 could be implied—in the context of a multimer bridged by p66α or other coiled-coil domain proteins. Notably, the dissociation constant for coiled-coil mediated interaction can reach the level of ~10 nM, a much stronger affinity than the MBD–DNA binding, conducive to facilitate the disintegration of MBD3 from the original homodimers. The primary concern in this assumption is how the coiled-coil interaction gets inhibited in the G1-phase. Last, in the process of recruiting biomolecules to maintain DNA methylation, the potential contribution from the classical DNA replication related proteins, such as PCNA and UHRF1, should not be overlooked. Further effort can be placed in understanding the triggering mechanism for this stoichiometric transition and the detailed interactome.

In phenotypic analysis, MBD3 has been shown to play a deterministic role in embryogenesis, pluripotency, differentiation, reprogramming and a variety of diseases, highlighting its functional importance (13,48,60–63). Disrupting the expression pattern of MBD3 unequivocally results in abnormal DNA methylation, but an in-depth exploration is lacking. Our study, combining single-molecule probing and biological validation, provides a new dimension to inspect the underlying mechanistic connection. We emphasize the biophysical properties of MBD3 and its in vivo demethylating potential as a pivotal balancing strength to merit DNA methylation homeostasis. This characteristic feature not only supports the basal expression of the occupied active gene-loci (e.g. cell cycle progression involved genes) but also constitutes a protective mechanism to buffer the possible overactivity of DNMTs.
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