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MICROBIOLOGICAL ASSESSMENT OF INDOOR AIR OF TEACHING HOSPITAL WARDS: A CASE OF JIMMA UNIVERSITY SPECIALIZED HOSPITAL
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ABSTRACT

BACKGROUND: Hospital environment represents a congenial situation where microorganisms and susceptible patients are indoors together. Thus, the objective of this study is to provide fundamental data related to the microbial quality of indoor air of Jimma University Specialized Hospital wards, to estimate the health hazard and to create standards for indoor air quality control.

METHODS: The microbial quality of indoor air of seven wards of Jimma University Specialized Hospital was determined. Passive air sampling technique, using open Petri-dishes containing different culture media, was employed to collect sample twice daily.

RESULTS: The concentrations of bacteria and fungi aerosols in the indoor environment of the wards ranged between 2123 – 9733 CFU/m³. The statistical analysis showed that the concentrations of bacteria that were measured in all studied wards were significantly different from each other (p-value=0.017), whereas the concentrations of fungi that were measured in all sampled wards were not significantly different from each other (p-value=0.850). Moreover, the concentrations of bacteria that were measured at different sampling time (morning and afternoon) were significantly different (p-value =0.001).

CONCLUSION: All wards that were included in the study were heavily contaminated with bacteria and fungi. Thus, immediate interventions are needed to control those environmental factors which favor the growth and multiplication of microbes, and it is vital to control visitors and students in and out the wards. Moreover, it is advisable that strict measures be put in place to check the increasing microbial load in the hospital environment.
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INTRODUCTION

Air pollution, both indoor and outdoor, is one of the most severe problems of our time. Several airborne diseases have been related to the indoor air quality (1, 2). Indoor air quality is a significant issue in healthcare. Healthcare facilities have to pay particular care and attention to indoor air concerns due to the presence of air borne microorganism that may cause nosocomial infection (3, 4, 5, 6, 7). People with pre-existing health problems who are going through treatment and those who may have depressed immune systems are very susceptible to indoor air exposures. The Center for Disease Control and Prevention of U.S. estimates that more than two million patients acquire infections per year in U.S. hospitals, while they are hospitalized for other health problems, and that 88,000 die as a direct or indirect result (8). The hospital environment thus represents a congenial situation where microorganisms and susceptible patients are together indoors. As stated by Riley “the enclosed atmosphere of a hospital building and its human
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occupants constitute an ecological unit” (9). For this reason, hospital environmental control procedures can be an effective support in reducing nosocomial infections (10, 11).

The healthcare facilities, especially in developing countries, are complex settings where factors such as overcrowding, improper design and poor ventilation can impact on the growth and survival of microorganisms which is harmful to human health. A study done on the interaction of building designs and microbial load showed that, there are high microbial loads for those buildings which did not include vents, proper situation of windows and doors, as well as low head-room (15). Moreover, the activity of people and equipment within the indoor environments is thought to be the principal factor contributing to the buildup and spread of airborne microbial contaminations (4, 12, 15, 16).

Therefore, this study was designed to see the extent of indoor air microbial contamination in the maternity, surgical and medical wards of Jimma University Specialized Hospital. The findings of this study are helpful to evaluate the adequacy of environmental control procedures of ward environments.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The study was conducted from February to June, 2013 in Jimma University Specialized Hospital (JUSH). Jimma University Specialized Hospital is the only teaching and referral hospital in the southwestern part of Ethiopia. It has a bed capacity of 450 and a total of more than 750 staffs of both supportive and professional. It provides services for approximately 9000 inpatient and 80000 outpatient attendances a year coming from the catchment population of about 15,000 million people. Seven wards were used for sample collection and these included two maternity wards, three surgical wards and two medical wards.

Bacteria and fungi measurement were made by passive air sampling technique: the settle plate method using 9 cm diameter Petri dishes (63.585 cm² areas) (17). The sampling height which approximated the human breathing zone was 1m above the floor and at the center of the room. Bacteria and fungi were collected on 2% nutrient agar and 4% sabouraud agar respectively. To avoid self-contamination of agar plate during air sampling, sterile gloves, mouth masks and protective gown were worn, and before it was used the agar plate was checked visually for any microbial growth.

To obtain the appropriate surface density for counting and to determine the load with respect to time of exposure, the sampling times were set at 30, 60, 90 minutes. Moreover, samples were collected twice a day at 8:30am and 4:00pm by taking into consideration the variation of density of occupant and environmental factors.

After exposure, the sample were taken to the laboratory (Department of Environmental Health Science and Technology, Jimma University) and incubated at 37°c for 24 hours for bacteria and at 25°c for 3 days for fungi.

Once colony forming units (CFU) were enumerated, colony forming units per cubic meter (CFU/m³) were determined, taking into account the following equation described by Omeliansky (18, 19):

\[ N = 5a \cdot 10^4 (bt)^{-1} \]

Where

N: microbial CFU/m³ of indoor air;

a: number of colonies per Petri dish;

b: dish surface, cm²;

t: exposure time, minutes.

SPSS Statistics version 16.0 software was applied to determine the likelihood of statistically significant differences between the concentrations of bacteria and fungi measured at different sampling places and the linearity was determined between the concentrations of bacteria and fungi measured.

RESULTS

The indoor air microbial loads of seven wards of Jimma University Teaching Hospital were determined by taking 84 samples. The results of the research into the concentration, concentration range, arithmetic mean and standard deviation of bacteria and fungi aerosol present in the investigated wards are presented in Tables 1, 2 and 3. And the microbial air quality standard of the wards is indicated in Table 4.

The results indicate that the highest bacterial colony forming unit per m³ air was recorded at 4:00 pm in Maternity W01 ward at 90 minutes exposure. This was 9733 CFU/m³. While the lowest bacterial colony forming unit per m³ air was recorded at 8:30am in Surgical W02 ward at
60 minutes exposure. This was 3106 CFU/m³ (Tables 1 and 3). The highest fungi colony forming unit per m³ air was recorded at 4:00pm in Medical W02 ward at 90 minutes exposure (4168 CFU/m³) and the lowest fungi colony forming unit per m³ air was recorded at 4:00pm in Medical W01 ward at 30 minutes exposure (2123 CFU/m³) as can be seen on Tables 2 and 3.

Table 1: Number of bacterial colony counts (CFU) per m³ air at different sampling time of day at different time of exposure

| Sampling sites | 8:30am | 4:00pm |
|----------------|--------|--------|
|                | Petri dish exposure time (Minutes) | Petri dish exposure time (Minutes) |
|                | 30 (Min.) | 60 (Min.) | 90 (Min.) | 30 (Min.) | 60 (Min.) | 90 (Min.) |
| Maternity W01  | 3355    | 3290    | 3425    | 8309    | 8807    | 9733    |
| Maternity W02  | 3145    | 3211    | 3355    | 8466    | 8702    | 8021    |
| Surgical W01   | 3643    | 3159    | 5090    | 3198    | 4390    | 6029    |
| Surgical W02   | 3329    | 3106    | 4893    | 3381    | 4272    | 6002    |
| Surgical W03   | 3408    | 4351    | 4954    | 3565    | 4548    | 6291    |
| Medical W01    | 5426    | 6881    | 7514    | 6920    | 7824    | 7968    |
|Medical W02    | 5688    | 6802    | 7444    | 7234    | 7483    | 7898    |

Table 2: Number of fungi colony counts (CFU) per m³ air at different sampling time of day at different time of exposure

| Sampling sites | 8:30am | 4:00pm |
|----------------|--------|--------|
|                | Petri dish exposure time (Minutes) | Petri dish exposure time (Minutes) |
|                | 30 (Min.) | 60 (Min.) | 90 (Min.) | 30 (Min.) | 60 (Min.) | 90 (Min.) |
| Maternity W01  | 2228    | 2477    | 2787    | 3565    | 3696    | 4106    |
| Maternity W02  | 2280    | 2346    | 2665    | 3355    | 3539    | 4072    |
| Surgical W01   | 2254    | 2660    | 3311    | 2674    | 3041    | 3049    |
| Surgical W02   | 2411    | 2700    | 3268    | 2569    | 3001    | 3067    |
| Surgical W03   | 2883    | 2778    | 3408    | 3014    | 2923    | 3006    |
| Medical W01    | 2909    | 2844    | 3477    | 2123    | 2975    | 4010    |
|Medical W02    | 2752    | 2923    | 3408    | 2490    | 3159    | 4168    |

Table 3: The range of microbe’s distribution at Jimma University Specialized Hospital wards

|                      | N  | Minimum | Maximum | Mean  | Std. Deviation |
|----------------------|----|---------|---------|-------|----------------|
| Bacteria CFU/m³      | 42 | 3106    | 9733    | 5583  | 2053           |
| Fungi CFU/m³         | 42 | 2123    | 4168    | 3008  | 525            |
| Valid N (list wise)  | 42 |         |         |       |                |
Table 4: An assessment of air quality in the selected wards of Jimma University Specialized Hospital according to the sanitary standards for non-industrial premises

| Group of microbes | Range of values (CFU/m³) | Pollution degree | Sampling Sites and time |
|-------------------|--------------------------|------------------|-------------------------|
|                   |                          |                  | Maternity W01 | Maternity W02 | Surgical W01 | Surgical W02 | Surgical W03 | Medical W01 | Medical W02 |
|                   |                          |                  | 8:30 am | 4pm          | 8:30 am | 4pm | 8:30 am | 4pm | 8:30 am | 4pm | 8:30 am | 4pm |
| Bacteria          | < 50                     | Very Low         | ✓       | ✓            | ✓       | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ |
|                   | 50-100                   | Low              | ✓       | ✓            | ✓       | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ |
|                   | 100-500                  | Intermediate     | ✓       | ✓            | ✓       | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ |
|                   | 500-2000                 | High             | ✓       | ✓            | ✓       | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ |
|                   | >2000                    | Very high        | ✓       | ✓            | ✓       | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ |
| Fungi             | < 25                     | Very Low         | ✓       | ✓            | ✓       | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ |
|                   | 25-100                   | Low              | ✓       | ✓            | ✓       | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ |
|                   | 100-500                  | Intermediate     | ✓       | ✓            | ✓       | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ |
|                   | 500-2000                 | High             | ✓       | ✓            | ✓       | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ |
|                   | >2000                    | Very high        | ✓       | ✓            | ✓       | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ |

The scatter plots of bacteria versus fungi concentration that have been measured in all sampled wards, shows strong positive linear associations ($p$-value =0.001) with regression coefficient ($R^2$=0.52, n=42) as presented in figure 1.

**DISCUSSION**

Hospital related infections have been linked with many factors among which are the microbial qualities of the indoor air of different wards of each hospital (20). The concentrations of bacteria and fungi aerosols in the indoor environment of Jimma University Specialized Hospital wards, estimated with the use of the settle plate method, ranged between 2123 – 9733 CFU/m³.

There is no uniform international standard available on levels and acceptable maximum bioaerosol loads (21). Different countries have different standards. The work conducted by a WHO expert group on assessment of health risks of biological agents in indoor environments suggested that total microbial load should not exceed 1000 CFU/m³. If higher than this, the environment is considered as contaminated (22). Other authors consider that 300 CFU/m³ and 750 CFU/m³ should be the limit for fungi and bacteria respectively (23, 24).

**Figure 1:** Correlation between fungi and bacteria concentration at Jimma University Specialized Hospital wards
The quantitative interpretation of the results describing the air quality in the wards of JUSH was evaluated based on the sanitary standards for non-industrial premises formulated by the European Commission in 1993 (25). According to this classification, all wards that were included in the study were not in hygienic conditions (Table 4). These might be because of the number of individuals in the wards. At the time of this study, all wards were at their maximum capacity, as of visitors in and out the wards, the high density of patients and the presence of high number of health science students in the wards. Thereby increasing the shading of bacteria and agitation of air as it was indicated in previous studies (26, 27, 28). Beside these, the environmental factors, mainly insufficient ventilation system might also contribute to the high microbial loads of the wards as indicated in the study done by Wamedo et al. 2012 (15). Moreover, as indicated in the result part, the scatter plots of the bacteria concentration versus fungi concentration show strong positive linear associations (p-value =0.001). These prove that, the indoor air environmental factors of the wards are favoring the growth and development of bacteria and fungi population.

The statistical analysis showed that the concentrations of bacteria that were measured in all wards were significantly different from each other (p-value=0.017). Moreover, the concentrations of bacteria that were measured at different sampling time (morning and afternoon) were also significantly different (p-value =0.001). These can be mainly explained by the variation of density of occupants during sampling time as well as the variation of environmental factors (15, 29). Whereas the concentrations of fungi that were measured in all sampled wards were not significantly different to each other (p-value=0.850), suggesting that most fungi species present into the air were not human-borne. Similar observations by others are in agreement with these data (30, 31, 32).

In Conclusion, all wards that were included in the study were heavily contaminated with bacteria and fungi. The high bacteria and fungi concentrations of air obtained in this study might be potential risk factors for spread of nosocomial infection in JUSH. Thus, immediate interventions is needed to control those environmental factors which favor the growth and multiplication of microbes, and the Hospital needs to increase the number of wards to make them sufficient for the inpatients that come from catchment area. It is also vital to control visitors and students in and out of the wards. Moreover, it is advisable that strict measures be put in place to check the increasing microbial load in the hospital environment.

Additionally, it is necessary to adopt the guidelines for the design and construction of new health-care facilities and for renovation of existing facilities in order to control indoor air-quality. Experiences can be taken from the American Institute of Architects (AIA) that published excellent guidelines. These AIA guidelines address indoor air-quality standards (e.g., ventilation rates, temperature levels, humidity levels, pressure relationships, and minimum air changes per hour [ACH]) specific to each zone or area in health-care facilities (e.g., operating rooms, laboratories, diagnostic areas, patient-care areas, and support departments) (33).
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