Quantification and Modelling of the Multiphase-Coupled Strengthening Effect in Al-Cu-Li Alloy
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Abstract: After aging heat treatment, Al-Cu-Li alloy, in general, contains a variety of precipitated phases that jointly influence the age-strengthening effect on the alloy. In this work, a multiphase-coupled strengthening model has been established on the basis of a dislocation bypassing mechanism. The model considered situations with different proportions of two strengthening phases, T1 and θ’, and then obtained the dimension and volume fractions of these two strengthening phases via experiments. The values predicted by the multiphase-coupled strengthening model and the classical strengthening superposition model were compared with the measured results. The multiphase-coupled strengthening model established in this work had better consistency with the measured results. Moreover, the modeling method proposed in the paper can also be extended to the system having over two primary strengthening phases. Hence, the model can contribute towards the development of a multi-component precipitation strengthening process for aluminum alloys.
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1. Introduction

Owing to their characteristics such as their high specific modulus and specific strength, Al-Cu-Li alloys have been widely used in the lightweight structures of modern aeronautic and aerospace vehicles [1,2]. These characteristics primarily result from the highly efficient precipitation-strengthening phenomenon, in which the precipitated phases playing major strengthening roles are the T1 (Al2CuLi) and θ’ (Al2Cu) phases [3,4]. Because of the large number of alloying elements, Al-Cu-Li alloys have a relatively complex precipitation sequence [5]. The T1 phase precipitated during the aging process is a plate-like precipitated phase with [111] plane as the habit plane, and the θ’ phase is a plate-like precipitated phase with [001] as the habit plane [6,7], accompanied by a small amount of δ’ and σ phases [8–10]. Considering the complex microstructure as a mixture of several precipitated phases, it is especially difficult to perform strength evaluations.

In the past few decades, numerous studies have been carried out on mathematical modeling of the strengthening effect of precipitated phases [11–16]. Ashby [11] derived the modified Orowan equation and concluded that the strengthening effect of the precipitated phase is closely related to the spacing between the particles of the precipitated phases. As the obstacles formed by the unshearable particles
increase the critical resolved shear stress of the dislocated bypassing particles, the following equation can calculate the corresponding increment $\tau_p$:

$$
\tau_p = \frac{Gb}{2\pi} \sqrt{\frac{1}{1-\nu}} \frac{1}{L} \ln \left( \frac{R}{r_0} \right),
$$

where $G$ denotes the shear modulus of the material, $L$ denotes the spacing between the particles of precipitated phases, $b$ denotes Burgers vector, $\nu$ denotes Poisson’s ratio, and $r_0$ and $R$ denote the inner and outer radii sheared by dislocation line, respectively. Considering the geometric relations and computational simulations, Nie et al. [17,18] and Zhu et al. [19] corrected calculation methods for the effective particle spacing and established the single-phase strengthening model for the unshearable particles of precipitated phases in aluminum alloy.

For the strengthening effect induced by the combination of several precipitated phases, Zhu et al. [20] proposed an arithmetic superposition model that can “superpose” the individual strengthening effect by each precipitated phase via the following equation:

$$
\tau_{p1} = \tau_{p1}^1 + \tau_{p1}^2,
$$

where $\tau_p$ denotes the increment of the critical resolved shear stress due to total precipitation strengthening, and the index number, $\alpha$, is a constant ranging from 1 to 2. However, in this paper, a multiphase-coupled strengthening model is established by analyzing the relationship between the shape, size, and position of $T_1$ and $\theta'$ phases in Al-Cu-Li alloys.

### 2. Materials and Methods

In this study, a typical third-generation Al-Li alloy (AA2195) was selected as the research material. The material used was a 2195-O sheet with a thickness of 12.7 mm (provided by Constellium Aluminum, Voreppe, France). The composition range of AA2195 is provided in Table 1. The alloy was solid-solution treated at the temperature of 510 °C for 1 h and subjected to artificial aging at 170 °C after water quenching. The measured yield strength of the solid solution-state test piece was 210 MPa. Then, the samples were respectively taken at 8, 16, 20, 28, 36, and 48 h for observation using a transmission electron microscope (FEI, Hillsboro, OR, USA) and mechanical tensile tests at room temperature.

| AA2195 | Cu | Li | Mg | Zr | Mn | Ag | Al |
|--------|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|
| Min.   | 3.70 | 0.80 | 0.25 | 0.08 | - | 0.25 | Bal |
| Max.   | 4.30 | 1.20 | 0.80 | 0.16 | 0.25 | 0.60 | Bal |

Transmission electron microscope specimens were firstly mechanically thinned to a thickness of 80 µm and cut to 3 mm diameter disks. Then, they were twin-jet electro-polished in a solution of nitric acid and methyl alcohol (3:7 in volume) at approximately −30 °C. Finally, transmission electron microscope observations were carried out by an FEI Tecnai G2 F20 microscope (FEI, Hillsboro, OR, USA) operating at 200 kV. Tensile samples had a cross-section of $3 \times 12.5$ mm² and a gauge length of 50 mm. Room-temperature tensile tests were carried out by an electronic universal testing machine (Changchun Research Institute of Mechanical Science Co., Ltd. in Changchun, China) at a tensile speed of 2 mm/min, and at least 10 measurements were made to obtain the average value.

### 3. Results

Figure 1a,b displays the images that were observed in the high angular annular dark field mode with scanning transmission electron microscopy (HAADF-STEM, FEI, Hillsboro, OR, USA) of the samples performed after 8 and 20 h of artificial aging, respectively. The intragranular precipitated phase underwent a transition from the $\theta'$ phase to $T_1$ phase as the predominant phase. The electron diffraction
pattern of the selected areas also changed significantly. To quantify the parameters of $\theta'$ and $T_1$ phases, it was necessary to perform measurements and statistics on the raw diameter and number density of the precipitated phase, recorded by the STEM photograph, and the thickness of the precipitated phase, recorded by the high-resolution transmission electron microscopy (HRTEM, FEI, Hillsboro, OR, USA) photograph, and further calculate the volume fractions of the precipitated phases. However, the method for measuring diameter and number density can be affected by various artifacts. These artifacts are related to the finite thickness of the observed sample and the two-dimensional projection of the microstructures; hence, the originally measured diameter needs to be properly corrected [21]. Dorin et al. [22], proposed a method to measure and correct the plate-like particles, which aims to obtain 3-D information through correcting the dimension distribution measured on the section. On the basis of classifying the dimension range of the particles, a probability matrix was used to accomplish the corrections to the dimensions [22], and then the volume fractions of precipitated phases were calculated. Considering the sample after 20 h aging as an illustration, its corrected true diameters of $\theta'$ and $T_1$ phases are shown in Figure 2a,b, respectively. According to the above method, the dimension and volume fractions of the $T_1$ and $\theta'$ phases at the aging times of 8, 16, 20, 28, 36, and 48 h are listed in Table 2. During the aging process, the volume fraction ratio between $\theta'$ and $T_1$ phases transitioned from a value over 1 to a value less than 1. In addition, the yield strengths of these specimens are also listed in Table 2.

Figure 1. High angular annular dark field mode with scanning transmission electron microscopy (HAADF-STEM) images and corresponding diffraction patterns observed along the $<110>$ zone axis after aging at 170 °C for (a) 8 h and (b) 20 h. (c) High-resolution transmission electron microscopy (HRTEM) image of the $T_1$ phase observed along the $<110>$ zone axis after aging at 170 °C for 20 h, and (d) HRTEM images of $\theta'$ phase observed along the $<001>$ zone axis after aging at 170 °C for 20 h.
Effective spacing between the particles, formed in the case of the multiphase mixture, is determined by the spacing of the particles. Figure 3 shows the schematic diagram of the obstacles of randomly distributed different types of strengthening phase particles against the dislocation motion.

The proposed arithmetic superposition method is based on the mature single-phase strengthening theory, and the final strengthened effect is obtained by the mathematical superposition method [16,20,23,24]. The physical meaning of the arithmetic superposition is to distribute the strengthening theory, and the final strengthened effect is obtained by the mathematical superposition.

### 4. Discussion

The proposed arithmetic superposition method is based on the mature single-phase strengthening theory, and the final strengthened effect is obtained by the mathematical superposition method [16,20,23,24]. The physical meaning of the arithmetic superposition is to distribute the strengthened contribution of each precipitated phase. The algorithm can directly target the result, but it does not consider the source of the multiphase strengthening effect. According to the well-known Orowan model, the obstacle of unshearable particles against the dislocation motion primarily results from the spacing of the particles. Figure 3 shows the schematic diagram of the obstacles of randomly distributed different types of strengthening phase particles against the dislocation motion. The effective spacing between the particles, formed in the case of the multiphase mixture, is determined by the shape, dimensions, and positional relationship of these precipitated phases.

**Table 2.** Yield strength and quantitative measurements of T1 and θ′ precipitates in specimens aged at 170 °C.

| Aging Time (h) | Yield Strength (MPa) | Diameter (nm) | Thickness (nm) | Volume Fraction (%) |
|---------------|----------------------|---------------|----------------|---------------------|
|               |                      | T1            | θ′             | T1                 | θ′                 |
| 8             | 355 ± 4.6            | 102           | 31             | 1.96               | 3.02               | 0.4 | 0.8 |
| 16            | 408 ± 5.8            | 108           | 63             | 2.02               | 3.48               | 1.0 | 0.5 |
| 20            | 434 ± 7.3            | 116           | 66             | 2.46               | 3.54               | 1.2 | 0.6 |
| 28            | 448 ± 6.4            | 134           | 68             | 2.62               | 3.60               | 1.5 | 0.6 |
| 36            | 469 ± 4.2            | 142           | 73             | 2.78               | 3.78               | 1.7 | 0.7 |
| 48            | 485 ± 5.3            | 155           | 76             | 3.06               | 4.26               | 2.0 | 0.8 |

**Figure 2.** Corrected size distribution was measured in HAADF-STEM after aging at 170 °C for 20 h. (a) θ′ phase, (b) T1 phase.

**Figure 3.** Schematic illustration of the dislocation interaction with unshearable plate-like particles, which are randomly distributed T1 phases on the [111] plane and θ′ phases on the [001] plane, where long and thin lines represent T1 phases and short and thick lines represent θ′ phases.
4.1. Multiphase-Coupled Strengthening Modelling

The positional relationship between the T1 and θ′ phases as the primary strengthening phases in the aluminum matrix of Al-Cu-Li alloy is shown in Figure 4a. The T1 phase parallel to the slip plane was omitted because the probability of encountering the T1 phase during dislocation shearing at the special orientation is negligible. For the plate-like precipitated phases on {111} and {100} planes, the dihedral angles with {111} as the slip plane were 70.53° and 54.74°, respectively. For the areas on the {111} plane and {100} plane and sheared by the slip plane, the number of precipitated phase particles per unit volume, $N_{A1}$ and $N_{A2}$, are expressed as [18,25]:

$$
N_{A1} = N_{V1}P_1 = N_{V1}D_1 \sin 70.53^\circ, \\
N_{A2} = N_{V2}P_2 = N_{V2}D_2 \sin 54.74^\circ, 
$$

(3)

where $N_{V1}$ and $N_{V2}$ denote the number of precipitated phase particles per unit volume; $P_1$ and $P_2$ denote the probabilities of the precipitated phase particles per unit volume sheared by the slip plane; and $D_1$ and $D_2$ denote the diameters of the precipitated phase. The volume fractions, $f_1$ and $f_2$, of plate-like precipitated phase particles on {111} and {100} per unit volume are expressed as [25]:

$$
f_1 = N_{V1}V_1 = N_{V1}\pi D_1^2 t_1/4, \\
f_2 = N_{V2}V_2 = N_{V2}\pi D_2^2 t_2/4, 
$$

(4)

where $V_1$ and $V_2$ denote the volume of the single precipitated phase, and $t_1$ and $t_2$ denote the thickness of the precipitated phases. As per the positional relationship of plate-like phases on the {111} and {100} phases, the section on slip plane {111} is shown in Figure 4b. Accordingly, the center spacing between the precipitated phases on {111} and {100} are denoted as $L_{p1}$ and $L_{p2}$ [18]:

$$
L_{p1} = \sqrt{\frac{3}{2}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{N_{A1}}} = 0.931 \sqrt{\frac{\pi D_1 t_1}{4f_1 \sin 70.53^\circ}}, \\
L_{p2} = \sqrt{\frac{3}{2}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{N_{A2}}} = 0.931 \sqrt{\frac{\pi D_2 t_2}{4f_2 \sin 54.74^\circ}}. 
$$

(5)

As per the equations, the center spacing of the precipitated phase is determined by the number of precipitated phase particles within the corresponding unit volume and is related to the volume fraction, diameter, and thickness.

![Figure 4](image-url) (a) Schematic diagram of the shape of T1 and θ′ phases and their position in the aluminum matrix; (b) schematic section of T1 and θ′ phases on the slip plane {111}.

The plate-like precipitated phase on the {111} plane mixes with that on the {100} plane, and a simplified model describing the mixing situation is presented in Figure 4b. The number difference between these two precipitated phases only manifests in the difference in center spacing of the two
phases, $L_{p1}$ and $L_{p2}$, where the smaller value $L_D$ was used as the effective free spacing between the particles.

As $L_{p1} < L_{p2}$, namely, the particle number per unit volume of the plate-like precipitated phase on the [111] plane is larger than that on the [100] plane:

$$L_D = 0.538 \sqrt{\frac{0.306 \pi D_1 t_1}{f_1}} - 0.269 \sqrt{\frac{0.265 \pi D_2 t_2}{f_2}} - 0.108 D_1 - 0.265 t_1 - 0.612 t_2. \quad (6)$$

As $L_{p1} > L_{p2}$, the particle number per unit volume of the plate-like precipitated phase on the [100] plane is larger than that on the [111] plane:

$$L_D = 0.538 \sqrt{\frac{0.265 \pi D_1 t_1}{f_1}} - 0.269 \sqrt{\frac{0.306 \pi D_2 t_2}{f_2}} - 0.108 D_2 - 0.306 t_2 - 0.53 t_1. \quad (7)$$

Substituting Equations (6) and (7) into Equation (1), the Orowan equation with coupled strengthening induced by the plate-like precipitated phases on the [111] plane and [100] plane of the aluminum alloy can be obtained, where the outer diameter $R$ is $(t_{p1} + t_{p2})/2 = (1.061 t_1 + 1.225 t_2)/2$, and $r_0$ adopts the Burgers vector $b$ [17–20].

4.2. Yield Strength Modelling

During the aging process of the Al-Cu-Li alloy, the compositions of precipitated phases and the yield strength vary continuously. As per the literature, the yield strength of the aluminum alloy in the solutionized state can be expressed according to the following equation [26,27]:

$$\sigma_y = M \tau_p + \sigma_B + \sigma_{SS}, \quad (8)$$

where $\sigma_y$ denotes the yield strength of alloy material; $M$ denotes the Taylor factor, which is the crystal’s orientation factor related to the orientations of texture and sample; $\sigma_B$ denotes the strengthening effect of matrix and grain boundary of the aluminum alloy; and $\sigma_{SS}$ denotes the solid-solution strength. The variation of these two items in the aging process can be denoted as:

$$\sigma_B + \sigma_{SS} = \sigma_{SS0} + \sigma_c + \Delta\sigma_{SS}, \quad (9)$$

where $\sigma_{SS0}$ denotes the strengthening effect of matrix and grain boundaries before the aging process; and $\sigma_c$ denotes the strengthening effect induced by the precipitated phases that are coherent or semi-coherent with the matrix, such as $\delta'$ and $\sigma$ phases [10,28], where the strengthening can be regarded as the strengthening effect on the aluminum matrix. $\sigma_{SS0}$ denotes the solution strength in the alloy before aging, and $\Delta\sigma_{SS}$ denotes the decrease in solution strength during aging. As $\sigma_c$ and $\Delta\sigma_{SS}$ are extremely small compared to other strengthening effects in the Al-Cu-Li alloy, their difference ($\sigma_c - \Delta\sigma_{SS}$) in Equation (9) can be ignored. The remaining values ($\sigma_{SS0} + \sigma_{SS}$) can be obtained by the tensile test at room temperature for solid solution-state test pieces. Other parameters in the model are listed in Table 3.

| Parameter | Value       | Reference |
|-----------|-------------|-----------|
| $G$       | 28 GPa      | [20]      |
| $b$       | 0.286 nm    | [20]      |
| $\nu$     | 0.34        | [20]      |
| $M$       | 3.1         | [27]      |
4.3. Model Evaluation

The measured yield strength of the 2195 alloy specimens after being subjected to the artificial aging process at 170 °C is shown in Figure 5. The predicted values of the multiphase-coupled strengthening model and the arithmetic superposition model are also incorporated in the figure for comparison purposes. With respect to the arithmetic superposition model, as the index number $\alpha$ of the model is a parameter to be determined in the range of 1–2, the distribution interval of the value predicted by the model was plotted according to its value range. There was also a sudden change in $\alpha$ in the early stage of aging, namely, $\alpha = 2$ predicts the value closest to the measured yield strength before 8 h of aging, and $\alpha = 1$ predicts the value closest to the measured value in subsequent aging. Compared to the arithmetic superposition model ($\alpha$ is the optimal value) and the multiphase-coupled strengthening model established in this work, the maximum differences between the predicted and measured values were 17 and 5 MPa, respectively. The values predicted by the established model were closer to the measured yield strength, and its predicted bias was reduced to the values within the acceptable range in practical engineering.

![Figure 5](image-url)  
Figure 5. Experimentally measured yield strength during aging treatment at 170 °C and predictions by the multiphase-coupled strengthening model and the arithmetic superposition model.

5. Conclusions

In summary, aiming for the precipitation conditions in the aging process of Al-Cu-Li alloys, a multiphase-coupled strengthening model based on the modified Orowan equation has been established. As per the shape and dimensions of the strengthening phase particles on the different habit planes in the Al-Cu-Li alloy and their positional relationship in the aluminum matrix, a method was proposed to calculate the smallest free spacing between the strengthening phase particles per unit volume of the multiphase-mixed system. Compared with the existing arithmetic superposition model, the established model could better match the values for measured yield strength of the Al-Cu-Li alloy test piece. The model points out that the strengthening effect of multiphase-coupled strengthening is due to the combination of various factors, such as the type, size, and volume fraction of the precipitated phase, and the larger the aspect ratio and volume fraction of the precipitated phase are, the better the strengthening effect will be. Multi-component precipitation strengthening is one of the important future development directions for high-performance aluminum alloys. Therefore, the idea of the multiphase-coupled strengthening model proposed in this paper can also be easily extended and applied to more complex compositions of strengthening phases in novel alloy systems, which will be the focus of our future research work.
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