The review describes the molecular mechanisms and biological effects of bisphenol A exposure, which is a chemical (ecotoxicant) that destroys the endocrine system and has epigenetic toxicity.
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**BACKGROUND**

Many chemical and physical environmental factors, depending on the dose and duration of exposure, can result in anomalies in development in embryonal and postnatal periods and become the reason for a number of diseases of adults. Currently, special attention of researchers is focused on endocrine-disrupting chemicals (EDCs). They are rather widely spread in the environment and are natural or synthetic compounds, which, after entering the organism even in small doses, can prevent the biosynthesis, storage, release, transfer, and/or receptor interaction of endogenous hormones, changing their functions and destroying the system of internal regulation of the organism. This, in turn, results in increased number of pathologies connected to hormonal disorders. In particular, obesity, diabetes mellitus, and various oncological diseases (breast, ovarian, prostate, and testicular cancers) can occur along with changes in the reproductive system (cryptorchidism, hypospadias, low quality of seminal fluid, and female sterility), cognitive disorders, and deviations in behavior and neuromental development. According to the latest data, only a small part of the available 800 commercial EDCs has been checked for potential effects causing disorders in the functioning of the endocrine system [1, 2].

EDCs primarily affect people and animals via oral, dermal, and inhalation ways. Their bioavailability depends on the mode of penetration. As not all absorbed EDCs can be metabolized, the original compounds become bioavailable. For the major part of EDCs, the most biologically active form is nonmetabolized compound also known as the final toxicant reacting with organic molecules. After entering the blood flow, the final toxicant is able to reach the target cell(s) and affect it [3, 4]; the phenotypical consequences caused by EDC depend a lot on the gap of effect. The EDC effect is mostly crucial for the organism during pregnancy, babyhood, early childhood, and teenage stage. As the mechanism of detoxication in the developing fetus and the newborn is not finally formed, the organism is especially sensitive to EDC in these periods. In the prenatal and neonatal periods, the targets for the EDC effect are the primary germ cells. This, in turn, can result in not only to a disruption of gametogenesis in children whose mothers have been directly affected by EDC, but also to the transmission of different phenotypic anomalies...
(including predisposition to socially significant diseases) in a row of generations.

Currently, the molecular mechanisms of the EDC effect are unknown. Available data indicate that the mechanisms of the EDC effect are complicated; studies in this area are decisive for understanding the occurrence of unfavorable phenotypes as well as for the development of strategies of interference and/or preventive actions [5].

It is noteworthy that some chemicals due to their nature can have negative “biological effects,” although according to up-to-date regulations they are attributed to nontoxic ones after appropriate tests, and the possible remote consequences of such chemicals effect are not considered [6]. In this regard, it is necessary to consider the new concept of toxicity, namely the “epigenetic toxicity” [7]. Epigenetic toxicity is a phenomenon in which exogenous chemicals affect the epigenome and unfavorably affect living organisms, which can explain the long-term effects and remote consequences of the chemical impact as well as the pre-disposition to diseases caused by harmful environmental factors. Due to the development of new and improvement of existing analytical technologies, the number of chemicals that have epigenetic toxicity is constantly growing [8], and the understanding of the molecular mechanisms of epigenetic toxicity is enhanced.

This review describes the molecular mechanisms and biological effects of ecotoxicant bisphenol A (BPA) exposure that is attributed to EDC and, as it becomes clear, has epigenetic toxicity.

CHEMICAL PROPERTIES AND OCCURRENCE OF BPA

BPA (4,4’-dihydroxy-2,2-diphenylpropane) is one of the widespread organic synthetic compounds. BPA is used in the industry for the production of various plastic items and is available in the content of epoxy resins used as coating of water supply pipes and the inner surface of cans and packages for food and drinks [9–11]. BPA can be released from containers and enter food and drinks and then can be accumulated in humans and animals [12, 13]. BPA can enter humans via the gastrointestinal tract as well as through the skin, for example, in contact with thermal paper [14]. As the modern life is “surrounded” by plastic items, BPA exposure on living organisms occurs permanently and in different doses.

PATHOLOGIES CONNECTED TO BPA CHRONIC EXPOSURE

For several decades, studies on the different doses of BPA exposure on health have been conducted around the world using laboratory animals and in clinical practice. Currently, it has been shown that BPA has hepatotoxicity, and its exposure can result in oncological diseases (breast cancer, prostate cancer, and cancer of thyroid glands) and pathologies of the nervous system (disturbance of neurogenesis, stroke, and Parkinson’s disease), cardiovascular system (ischemic heart disease, hypertensive disease, and clotting defect), endocrine system (diabetes and obesity), and reproductive system (disturbance of sexual cycle, endometriosis, and changes in breast and prostate gland and testis). BPA exposure can be one of the reasons for chronic respiratory diseases (asthma) as well as arrested development and mental disorders (anxiety, depression, hyperactivity, and aggression) [15–18].

Currently, approximately 347 million people in the world have diabetes. Together with genetic factors, the possible reasons promoting the development of this disease include ways of life and intake of incorrect food as well as the inevitable chronic effect of xenobiotics. Experimental studies demonstrated that BPA affects the metabolism of glucose with the participation of different mechanisms, including resistance to insulin, dysfunction of beta-cells of the pancreatic gland, adipogenesis, inflammation, and oxidation stress, which prove the availability of the connection between BPA exposure and diabetes development [19, 20]. It has been shown that BPA can stimulate the dysfunction of mitochondria due to oxidation stress (for example, in GC-2 cells) and lipid metabolism (for example, in HepG2 and INS-1 cells) [21]. It is supposed that BPA exposure can promote the effect of other risk factors of diabetes, which result in obesity, regulate eating behaviors, or change the differentiation of adipocytes.

BPA exposure is associated with chronic respiratory diseases such as asthma. For example, children with asthma demonstrated increased concentrations of BPA in urine [22]. Besides, it has been shown that BPA exposure in the prenatal period increases the risk of dyspnea development in children in the neonatal period, although a further negative effect of BPA is reduced in the first 3 years after birth [23].

At the present time, it has been shown that men and women exposed to BPA have a higher risk of developing of the coronary artery atherosclerosis. Thus, patients with severe stenosis of coronary arteries demonstrated increased BPA concentration in urine compared with people without atherosclerosis [24, 25]. It also has been shown that carriers of some genetic polymorphisms are more sensitive to cardiovascular and respiratory diseases associated with reduced cell response to oxidation stress [26]. It should be noted that one of the possible molecular mechanisms of BPA exposure can be its impact on oxidation stress [27].

BPA can result in changes in the brain structure and mental and neurological disorders. For example, mice and rats exposed to BPA were more aggressive compared with controls. This was observed only in certain age periods and was not connected to the increase in testosterone concentration [28, 29]. In studies of laboratory animals it was found that BPA exposure in the prenatal period affects brain development. Thus, large doses of BPA reduce the proliferation activity of multipotent neuronal stem cells; low doses, on the contrary, accelerate the differentiation
and migration of neurons. This further results in abnor-
mal neocortical architecture and corticothalamic projec-
tion and disturbed neurotransmitter system and behavior
in postnatal period and adult age [30, 31]. In addition,
it was observed that BPA exposure in the early postnatal
period leads to vacuolization, pycnosis, edema, degenera-
tive changes, reduction of sizes and number of cells in the
cerebral hemispheres and cerebellum, as well as results
in the disturbance of hypothalamic sexual differentiation.
In cultivated cells of the hypothalamus of rat embryos,
BPA exposure caused the development of dendrites and
synapses by increasing the level of presynaptic protein of
synapsin I and microtubulin-associated protein 2 [27, 32].
It has been shown that BPA can cause cognitive disorders,
autism, schizophrenia, Parkinson’s disease, and Alzheim-
er’s disease [18, 33, 34].

Epidemiological studies demonstrated that BPA can
cause disorders of the reproductive system and sexu-
al behavior of men and women, although no deviations
have been observed in the genitals and hormonal status
[35–37]. However, according to the latest data, it can-
not be considered that BPA exposure in small doses on
adults actually affects reproductive health [38]. Probably,
this is connected to the fact that different populations (and
groups) have been studied in published works, different
doses of BPA have been examined, and different schemes
and methods of BPA measurement in biological fluids have
been used.

Many works showed the connection between BPA
exposure during pregnancy and pathologies in fetal de-
velopment. Available data state that if the mother took
food containing BPA, then this toxicant was detected in
the blood serum, follicular fluid, and amniotic fluid as
well as in embryonal serum. This indicates that BPA can
penetrate the placenta (even in small doses) and has a
negative effect during the entire prenatal period [39, 40].
The analysis of BPA content in the organism demonstrat-
ed reduced ability to metabolize the chemical in moth-
ers, often coinciding with fetal development defects [41].
For example, it was shown that BPA intrauterine exposure
resulted in anomalies in the development of genitals of
boys in approximately 37% of cases [42], and it was the
reason for prematurity and the birth of children with small
weight (especially male babies) [43]. Therefore, Welshos
et al. [44] called the inborn defects and disturbances in
development caused by BPA as “the large effects of small
exposures.”

Moreover, presented data indicate that exposure on
fetus of small doses of BPA changes cell proliferation and
affects apoptosis and the time of breast development,
which can further stipulate the predisposition to breast
cancer in adult age [45, 46]. BPA exposure during preg-
nancy, in combination with diet enriched with fats, signifi-
cantly increases the risk of breast cancer development in
offspring [47, 48]. It is supposed that BPA can enhance
the oncogenesis of the breast by the direct stimulation of
estrogen-dependent growth of tumor cells and/or by mo-
lecular changes in fetal glands without associated mor-
phological changes [47]. It should be noted that BPA can
also affect the proliferation and apoptosis of ovary cells and
terminate steroidogenesis in ovaries by changing steroido-
genic enzymes, which in turn can promote the progression
of ovarian tumor [48, 49].

The latest data indicate that exposure of small, ecologi-
cally valuable doses of BPA in the embryonal period affects
the cells of the prostate gland, enhancing the predisposi-
tion to premalignant lesions of this organ and hormonal
disorders in adults. There is an opinion that cells of the
prostate gland are more sensitive to BPA exposure in the
embryonal period than in adult age. A number of research-
ers demonstrated that BPA could enhance the proliferation
and migration of prostate cancer cells and induce DNA
adducts in case of pathology [50, 51].

Currently, the molecular mechanisms in which BPA
affects the fetus and causes the development of ovarian,
breast, and prostate cancers in adults are unclear, requir-
ing further studies. Propositions have been made about
the possible direct interaction of BPA with receptors of
steroid hormones [estrogenic (ER) and androgenic (AR)],
which play a decisive role in the origin and progression
of these pathologies. In particular, ERα and ERβ start
expression on the 12th day of embryonal development in
mesenchymes surrounding the embryonal anlage and
regulate the growth of breast canals both before and after
the birth. That is why BPA exposure in these periods can
be crucial for the development of breast cancer in adult
age [52]. The mechanisms of BPA exposure in prostate
cancer are more complicated compared with that in breast
and ovarian cancers, as the studies shown.

In general, considering the connection of BPA with
different pathologies, two main conclusions can be made:
(1) BPA is a typical xenoestrogen, and its estrogenic,
estrogen-independent “steroid” activity is probably involved
in the carcinogenesis of different organs and development
of endocrine and/or hormone-dependent diseases, and
(2) BPA exposure (even in small doses) in critical periods
of ontogenesis (prenatal, neonatal, and teenage) can
result in long-term negative effects in adults.

Molecular Mechanisms of BPA
Exposure on Living Organisms: Connection
To Chronic Diseases

The issue of the mechanisms in which BPA can nega-
tively affect humans and animals is still open. Currently,
it is accepted that BPA acts as mutagen as well as the
endocrine-active compound that affects DNA methyla-
tion and histone modifications. These mechanisms do
not conflict, although studies on the epigenetic mecha-
nisms of BPA exposure are still insufficient; most like-
ly, they depend on its endocrine activity [15, 53–55].
The main mechanisms of BPA exposure on vertebrates are presented in Fig. 1.

**Genetic damages caused by BPA**

Different cell lines of humans and animals have been used to demonstrate that BPA is genotoxic and cytotoxic. It causes disorders of the cell cycle (in both mitosis and meiosis) and results in the gene, chromosomal, and genome mutations [51, 56, 57]. The cases of aneuploidy due to the disorders of the segregation of chromosomes during cell division are described for Chinese hamster V79 (lung fibroblasts) and golden hamster SHE (embryonal cells) cell lines [58]. BPA is frequently a reason for DNA damage, formation of DNA adducts, and apoptosis. For example, cell cultivation in the presence of BPA caused apoptosis in ER-positive of breast adenocarcinoma MCF-7 cell line and ER-negative of the human embryonal kidney HEK293 cell line as well as in the line of male germ cells of mice GC-2 [59–61]. It has been reported that the speed of formation of DNA adducts depends on the dose of BPA exposure; in particular, the larger the dose is, the quicker is the formation of such compounds. In the cell line of human prostate gland after exposure to large doses of BPA, DNA adducts formed within 24 h, whereas under the effect of low doses of BPA caused apoptosis in ER-positive of breast adenocarcinoma MCF-7 cell line and ER-negative of the human embryonal kidney HEK293 cell line as well as in the line of male germ cells of mice GC-2 [59–61]. It has been stated that any other xenobiotics, can be due to the formation of free radicals, electrophiles, nucleophiles, and redox reagents that are accumulated and damage the plasma membrane and cell components [63]. Genetic damages caused by BPA exposure can result in changes in proteome in the breast and can be the reason of inborn defects, miscarriage, female and male sterility, and the development of many other pathologies mentioned above.

**Mechanisms of BPA effect as a substance destroying the endocrine system**

BPA is a xenoestrogen rather than an estrogen imitator. Its effect on the organism as a synthetic hormone is explained by the fact that, like steroid hormones, it has phenol groups; therefore, the nuclear receptors of estrogen (ERα and ERβ as well as recently detected in bone ERγ) perceive BPA as the signal for the initiation of the estrogenic pathway of the activation of transcription of estrogen-sensitive genes. In vertebrates, this toxicant can change hormonal balance by directly interacting with receptors ERα, ERβ, and ERγ or affecting enzymes by ensuring the metabolism of these hormones. For example, BPA can affect the ERβ-mediated transcription of the target genes by inhibiting ERβ degradation and ubiquitination [64]. It should be noted that nuclear receptors ERα and ERβ are functionally and genetically different; they differ in their affinity and specificity and have different spatial time types of expression. In this regard, cells of different types can respond in a different way to the same estrogenic in-
centives depending on the ratio and expression of the two subtypes of receptors in the cell; therefore, the “pathogenic” effect of BPA can be different in different types of tissue. Masking for natural germ hormones, BPA can disturb endocrine regulation and result in different changes in the target organs of estrogens, including the brain, ovaries, thyroid gland, breasts, and prostate gland. Thus, the interaction of BPA with receptors of steroid hormones can be the reason for hormone-associated oncolgical diseases of the ovaries, breasts, and prostate gland [52].

Currently, the existence of additional membrane receptors to estrogens in the brain is supposed (similar to catecholaminergic receptors detected in the pancreas), which can explain the mechanism of estrogen effect on cognitive functions, pain development, delicate motor functions, emotional behavior, neuroprotection action in Parkinson’s and Alzheimer’s diseases, multiple sclerosis, depression, schizophrenia, and cerebral thrombosis [16]. In this connection, the presence of BPA in the organism can have a negative effect on these processes.

Moreover, there are data about BPA effects directly on the expression of the genes-receptors of hormones, in particular estrogens. For example, this has been demonstrated in the culture of cells of the rat cerebellum and human neuroblastoma as well as on human cell lines H295R (suprarenal cortex, angiotensin II sensitive, and steroid-producing line), HEK293 (embryonic kidney cells), and HepG2 (hepatic carcinoma) [65–67].

BPA is related to endocrine destructors, as it can interact with classical and nonclassical membrane receptors of estrogens. BPA exposure on metabolotropic receptors transfers chemical signals to receptors jointed with G-proteins (for example, GPR30) and receptors jointed with the fragments, thus resulting in the disturbance of the regulatory ways of androgens, glucocorticoids, thyroid hormone, prolactin, insulin, and the dopaminergic system [68–70]. Besides, BPA negatively affects the organism through “nonsteroid pathways” affecting the activity of the genes participating in cell and tissue differentiation [60, 71].

BPA can cause functional effects not only through the activation of receptors of steroid hormones but also through signal pathways, such as nuclear factor-kB, STAT3, phosphatidylinositol-3-kinase/AKT (PI3K/AKT), and mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK) [72]. This xenobiotic can also affect sodium, calcium, and chloride ion canals, ionotropic glutamate receptors, and nicotinic and GABA receptors, changing the excitability and signal transmission in the neurons [73, 74]. In addition, BPA exposure can increase the activity of the markers of oxidizing stress and reduce the activity of antioxidant markers. In this connection, it was supposed that the hypothyroid condition induced by BPA in the neonatal period can affect the thyroid gland—brain axis by the formation of free radicals, which in turn can disturb plasma membrane and cell components resulting in the delay of brain development [27].

As there are data indicating that estrogenic proteins affect the epigenetic status of the target genes (both at the level of DNA methylation and chromatin proteins) changing the level of their transcriptional activity [75, 76], it can be supposed that BPA exposure has similar epigenetic mechanisms. A limited number of works have been published regarding research of epigenetic consequences of BPA exposure on the developing organism [77–79]. The obtained data confirmed that this xenobiotic can actually cause changes in the status of the expressed gene DNA methylation.

**Epigenetic effects of BPA and gene expression**

At the present time, studies have been conducted regarding the links between xenobiotic effect and changes in epigenome [80]. Three main epigenetic regulations of gene activity are known, which can be involved in the occurrence of pathologies connected to the EDC effect. These are DNA methylation, hydroxymethylation, different posttranslational modifications of histones (methylation, acetylation, phosphorylation, ubiquitination, sumoylation, and ADP-ribosylation of histones) and noncoding RNA. It should be underlined that these epigenetic mechanisms do not work in isolation from each other, but together in a complicated regulatory network. Different combinations of these modifications can significantly affect the chromatic status and result in transcriptional silencing and, on the contrary, increase the activity of transcription [81–83]. These covalent modifications do not cause classic genetic mutations, are rather liable, and are the most sensitive targets for the direct and indirect (metabolism products) effect of ecotoxicanst on the epigenome of living organisms even in low doses. Disorders in any of the mentioned epigenetic regulatory mechanisms are connected to the elevated risk of disease [84]. The incorrect epigenetic regulation that occurs in primary germ cells ensures the mechanism of epigenetic inheritance of abnormal phenotypes in the number of generations, including the inheritance of predisposition to the number of the socially significant diseases [80].

The first studies of epigenetic changes caused by xenobiotics were conducted using the model of changing the color of the mouse fur Agouti viable yellow (Ayy). It was demonstrated that the mother’s diet with different content of sources of methyl groups (for example, folic acid) affects the degree of methylation of retrotransposon IAP located upstream of the Agouti gene, affects the level of gene transcription, and results in changes in the descendants’ fur color [85, 86]. Such effect was found in BPA exposure on pregnant females. It turned out that this ecotoxicanst reduces IAP methylation of Ayy and CapblAP genes [87]. Besides, the hypomethylation of imprinted Igf2r, Peg3, and H19 genes was observed in mice with increased concentration of BPA, resulting in increased mRNA level of these proteins, which in turn suppressed the maturation of oocytes due to the abnormal structure of the spindle during meiosis [88].

The authors
came to the conclusion that BPA exposure in the embryonal period can change the cell processes and pathways of development through epigenetic mechanisms by changing the phenotype of the descendants. Exposure to low doses of BPA in the preimplantation period in laboratory mice can disturb DNA methylation during cleavage and at later stages of embryonal development. BPA caused the dose-dependent reduction of DNA methylation level in the 1-cell and 2-cell embryos and in blastocysts, which was accompanied by inhibition of cleavage. In germ cells on the 9th day of development, i. e., during early organogenesis, a small increase in the level of genome-wide DNA methylation was observed. At the same time, on the 12th day of embryonal development, the level of genome-wide DNA methylation was observed.

`reduction of DNA methylation level in the 1-cell and 2-cell stages of embryonal development. BPA caused the dose-dependent disturbance DNA methylation during cleavage and at later stages of BPA in the preimplantation period in laboratory mice can change the cell processes and pathways of development through epigenetic mechanisms by changing the phenotype of the descendants. Exposure to low doses of BPA in the preimplantation period in laboratory mice can disturb DNA methylation during cleavage and at later stages of embryonal development. BPA caused the dose-dependent reduction of DNA methylation level in the 1-cell and 2-cell embryos and in blastocysts, which was accompanied by inhibition of cleavage. In germ cells on the 9th day of development, i. e., during early organogenesis, a small increase in the level of genome-wide DNA methylation was observed. At the same time, on the 12th day of embryonal development, the level of genome-wide DNA methylation was observed.

BPA can result in DNA hypomethylation as well can cause the increase in the level of DNA methylation. Hypomethylated DNA was found in tissues of tail of the mouth successors, which was exposed to low BPA doses in the perinatal period, i. e., in successors of the second generation [94]. Experiments with laboratory animals demonstrated that this toxicant results in the stable expression of certain genes, including lactoferrin, epidermal growth factor, and proto-oncogenes (c-fos and c-jun), inhibiting the methylation process [53]. It was shown that BPA exposure in the neonatal period causes hypermethylation of the promoter of the receptor gene of estrogen in rat testicles [95]. Exposure to low doses of BPA on the cells of the primary culture of the epithelium of the human breast results in the increase in methylation of CpG islands of DNA of the lysosomal-bound membrane protein 3 gene (LAMP3) and the suppression of transcription of this gene, which indicates the role of BPA in the enhancement of breast cancer development risk [96].

The epigenetic mechanism of regulation of BPA effects in breast carcinogenesis is also indicated by the elevated expression of trimethylated histone H3 as per lysine EZH2 after exposure to this xenoestrogen [97]. BPA can also increase the level of transcription of the cytokine gene of the family of tumor necrosis factor (TNFSF11 and TNFKL) and the family of genes coding secreted signal proteins (WNT-4) required in embryogenesis regulate proliferation, participate in carcinogenesis of stem cells of breast, and play an important role in the metabolism of the bone tissue [98]. It was reported that BPA can increase the expression level of microPHK-146a, which is important in immune response [94]; therefore, the regulation of the epigenetic program and microRNA can become one of the areas of study and probably cancer therapy associated with BPA exposure.

It was demonstrated that BPA exposure during pregnancy (in mice and rats) can induce in the brain the successors of the first generation in puberty the sex-dependent, dose-dependent, and area-specific (in brain areas) changes in expression of genes coding receptors of estrogen (ERα, ERβ, and ERRy). Together with the changes in estrogen-associated receptors, the dose-dependent changes of the mRNA level of DNA methyltransferases DNMT1 and DNMT3A genes were observed in juvenile cortex (male) and hypothalamus (female) as well as the level of methylation of ERα gene [16–99]. Besides, such successors (male) demonstrated changes in the regulation of glucocorticoid, namely increased DNA methylation in Fkbp5 gene and reduction of the level of this protein in the hippocampus, which resulted in anomalies in behavior and response to stress of these animals [100]. BPA exposure in the prenatal and neonatal periods also disturbs the expression of methyl-CpG binding protein 2 in hypothalamic cells, which can be the reason for the disorders of the normal development of hypothalamus and its functions [99].

Thus, all these data indicate the interaction of two regulation systems, epigenetic and receptor (hormonal), and
underline the importance of the study of BPA exposure effects on the health of humans and animals. Obviously, the methodological differences in research of BPA exposure on living organisms (studies in vivo and in vitro, different objects of study, different ways of exposure and experimental doses of BPA, and exposure of individual compounds and mixtures) explain an alternative hypothesis about the molecular mechanisms of this xenoestrogen effect. For example, mice and rats are different models for understanding the mechanisms of the human disease occurrence. Moreover, it should be noted that the same dose of BPA can result in DNA hypomethylation and hypermethylation or does not change it depending on the gender differences in response of the organism to the exposure, stage of development, cell differentiation, and tissue type.

CONCLUSION
Data on epidemiological studies indicate potentially harmful chronic exposure of BPA on human and animal ontogenesis; therefore, BPA penetration into the organism (even in small doses) should be limited as much as possible, especially during pregnancy, taking into account its possible remote negative effects on health. It should be noted that some individuals have a low risk of pathology development under the effect of harmful environmental factors, whereas others are more sensitive to such effects. This is explained by genetic features, although individual epigenome differences should not be excluded at the current stage. Results of many studies indicate that the molecular mechanisms of xenobiotic effect go far beyond the limits of interaction with the DNA sequence. Apparently, additional research and development of new test systems are required for the assessment of the actual ratios of the dose and the effect and the mechanisms of ecotoxicant action in pathology development, as stated in the review. The development of preventive measures for the negative effect of xenobiotics requires research of the features of epigenomic/epigenetic modifications and DNA methylation first of all. Such studies shall be preferably conducted at different levels of arrangement—from molecular (DNA and chromatin), cell, and tissue to the entire organism—in experimental models in vivo and in vitro, taking into account different sensitivities to unfavorable BPA consequences.

One more very important aspect that should be given attention is the fact that epimutations caused by BPA in early embryogenesis result in changes in gene normal expression that can be kept in adults and transferred to the next generations through germ cells resulting in the intergeneration inheritance of abnormal phenotypes. Besides, it should be kept in mind that we actually are exposed to a mixture of pollutants; as a result, adaptive and synergistic effects take place, including BPA with other widespread compounds. Finally, it should be underlined that the approaches used in ecotoxicology based only on the analysis of nucleotide DNA sequence are currently insufficient for the complete explanation of the risks of diseases that can be modulated by nongenetic or extragenetic mechanisms.

Acknowledgment
The work was supported by RFBR grant no. 18-015-00122.

REFERENCES
1. WHO/UNEP. State of the science of endocrine disrupting chemicals-2012. WHO: Geneva; 2013. Available at: http://www.who.int/ceh/publications/endocrine/en/index.html.
2. Yoon K, Kwack SJ, Kim HS, Lee B-M. Estrogenic endocrine-disrupting chemicals: molecular mechanisms of actions on putative human diseases. J Toxicol Environ Health B Crit Rev. 2014;17(3):127-174. https://doi.org/10.1080/10937404.2014.882194.
3. Aubert N, Ameller T, Legrand J-J. Systemic exposure to parabens: pharmacokinetics, tissue distribution, excretion balance and plasma metabolites of [14C]-methyl-, propyl- and butylparaben in rats after oral, topical or subcutaneous administration. Food Chem Toxicol. 2012;50(3-4):445-454. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fct.2011.12.045.
4. Peña CJ, Monk C, Champagne FA. Epigenetic effects of prenatal stress on 11β-hydroxysteroid dehydrogenase-2 in the placenta and fetal brain. PLoS ONE. 2012;7(6):e39791. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0039791.
5. Xin F, Susiarjo M, Bartolomei MS. Multigenerational and transgenerational effects of endocrine disrupting chemicals: a role for altered epigenetic regulation. Semin Cell Dev Biol. 2015;43:66-75. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.semcdb.2015.05.008.
6. Ideta-Otsuka M, Igarashi K, Narita M, Hirabayashi Y. Epigenetic toxicity of environmental chemicals upon exposure during development — bisphenol A and valproic acid may have epigenetic effects. Food Chem Toxicol. 2017;109(1):812-816. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fct.2017.09.014.
7. Софронов Г.А., Паткин Е.Л. Эпигенетическая токсикология: перспективы развития // Токсикологический вестник. — 2018. — № 1. — С. 2–7. [Sofronov GA, Patkin EL. Epigenetic toxicology: perspectives of the development. Toxicological Review. 2018;(1):2-7. (In Russ.)]
8. Marczylo EL, Jacobs MN, Gant TW. Environmentally induced epigenetic toxicity: potential public health concerns. Crit Rev Toxicol. 2016;46(8):676-700. https://doi.org/10.1080/10408444.2016.1175417.
9. Vandenberg LN, Maffini MV, Sonnenschein C, et al. Bisphenol-A and the great divide: a review of controversies in the field of endocrine disruption. Endocr Rev. 2009;30(1):75-95. https://doi.org/10.1210/er.2008-0021.
10. Geens T, Goeyens L, Covaci A. Are potential sources for human exposure to bisphenol-A overlooked? Int J Hyg Environ Health. 2011;214(5):339-347. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijheh.2011.04.005.
11. Geens T, Aerts D, Berthot C, et al. A review of dietary and non-dietary exposure to bisphenol-A. Food Chem Toxicol. 2012; 50(10):3725-3740. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fct.2012.07.059.
12. Cooper JE, Kendig EL, Belcher SM. Assessment of bisphenol A released from reusable plastic, aluminium and stainless steel water bottles. Chemosphere. 2011;85(6):943-7. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2011.06.060.

13. Cao X-L, Corriveau J, Popovic S. Bisphenol A in canned food products from Canadian markets. J Food Prot. 2010;73(6):1085-1089. https://doi.org/10.4315/0362-028X-73.6.1085.
14. Biedermann S, Tschudin P, Grob K. Transfer of bisphenol A from thermal printer paper to the skin. Anal Bioanal Chem. 2010; 398(1):571-576. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00216-010-3936-9.
15. Паткин Е.Л., Павлинова Л.И., Софронов Г.А. Влияние экотоксикантов на эмбриогенез и гаметогенез млекопитающих: эпигенетические механизмы // Биосфера. 2013. – Т. 5. – № 4. – С. 450-472. [Паткин Е.Л., Павлинова Л.И., Софронов Г.А. Влияние экотоксикантов на эмбриогенез и гаметогенез млекопитающих: эпигенетические механизмы. Биосфера. 2013;5(4):450-472. (In Russ.)]
16. Kundakovic M, Gudsnuk K, Franks B, et al. Sex-specific epigenetic disruption and behavioral changes following low-dose in utero bisphenol A exposure. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2013;110(24):9956-9961. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1214056110.
17. Rezg R, El-Fazaa S, Gharbi N, Mornagui B. Bisphenol A and human chronic diseases: current evidences, possible mechanisms, and future perspectives. Environ Int. 2014;64:83-90. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envint.2013.12.007.
18. Huang B, Jiang C, Luo J, et al. Maternal exposure to bisphenol A may increase the risks of Parkinsons disease through down-regulation of fetal IGF-1 expression. Med Hypotheses. 2014;82(3):245-249. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mehy.2013.10.023.
19. Alonso-Magdalena P, Ropero AB, Soriano S, et al. Bisphenol-A: a new diabetogenic factor? Hormones (Athens). 2010;9(2):118-26. https://doi.org/10.1007/bf03401277.
20. Alonso-Magdalena P, Quesada I, Nadal A. Endocrine disruptors in the etiology of type 2 diabetes mellitus. Nat Rev Endocrinol. 2011;7(6):346-353. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrendo.2011.56.
21. Moon MK, Kim MJ, Jung IK, et al. Bisphenol A impairs mitochondrial function in the liver at doses below the no observed adverse effect level. J Korean Med Sci. 2012;27(6):644-652. https://doi.org/10.3346/jkms.2012.27.6.644.
22. Donohue KM, Miller RL, Perzanowski MS, et al. Prenatal and postnatal bisphenol A exposure and asthma development among inner-city children. J Allergy Clin Immunol. 2013;131(3):736-742. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaci.2012.12.1573.
23. Spanier AJ, Kahn RS, Kunselman AR, et al. Prenatal exposure to bisphenol A and child wheeze from birth to 3 years of age. Environ Health Perspect. 2012;120(6):916-920. https://doi.org/10.1289/ehp.1104175.
24. Baccarelli A, Ghosh S. Environmental exposures, epigenetics and vascular disease. Curr Opin Clin Nutr Metab Care. 2012;15(4):323-329. https://doi.org/10.1097/MCO.0b013e328354bf5c.
25. Melzer D, Gates P, Osborne NJ, et al. Urinary bisphenol A concentration and angiography-defined coronary artery stenosis. PLoS One. 2012;7(8):e43378. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0043378.
26. Baccarelli A, Cassano PA, Litonjua A, et al. Cardiovascular dysfunction: effects from particulate air pollution and protection by dietary methyl nutrients and metabolic polymorphisms. Circulation. 2008;117(14):1802-1809. https://doi.org/10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.107.726067.
27. Ahmed RG, Walaa GH, Asmaa FS. Suppressive effects of neonatal bisphenol A on the neuroendocrine system. Toxicol Ind Health. 2018;34(6):397-407. https://doi.org/10.1177/0748233718757082.
28. Kawai K, Nozaki T, Nishikata H, et al. Aggressive behavior and serum testosterone concentration during the maturation process of male mice: the effects of fetal exposure to bisphenol A. Environ Health Perspect. 2003;111(2):175-178. https://doi.org/10.1289/ehp.5440.
29. Richter CA, Birmbaum LS, Farabollini F, et al. In vivo effects of bisphenol A in laboratory rodent studies. Reprod Toxicol. 2007;24(2):199-224. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.reprotox.2007.06.004.
30. Itoh K, Yaoi T, Fushiki S. Bisphenol A, an endocrine-disrupting chemical, and brain development. Neuropathology. 2012;32(4):447-457. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1440-1789.2011.01287.x.
31. Kim K, Son TG, Park HR, et al. Potencies of bisphenol A on the neuronal differentiation and hippocampal neurogenesis. J Toxicol Environ Health A. 2009;72(21-22):1343-51. https://doi.org/10.1080/15287390903212501.
32. Iwakura T, Iwafuchi M, Muraoka D, et al. Neurotoxicity of bisphenol A in vitro: effects of bisphenol A on developing hypothalamic neurons. Toxicology. 2010;272(1-3):52-58. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tox.2010.04.005.
33. Brown JS Jr. Effects of bisphenol-A and other endocrine disruptors compared with abnormalities of schizophrenia: an endocrine-disruption theory of schizophrenia. Schizophr Bull. 2009;35(1):256-278. https://doi.org/10.1093/schbul/sbm147.
34. Jain S, Kumar CH, Suranagi UD, Mediratta PK. Protective effect of N-acetylcysteine on bisphenol A-induced cognitive dysfunction and oxidative stress in rats. Food Chem Toxicol. 2011;49(6):1404-1409. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fct.2011.03.032.
35. Mok-Lin E, Ehrlich S, Williams PL, et al. Urinary bisphenol A concentrations and ovarian response among women undergoing IVF. *Int J Androl.* 2010;33(2):385-393. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2605.2009.01014.x.

36. Jasarevic E, Sieli PT, Twelmann EE, et al. Disruption of adult expression of sexually selected traits by developmental exposure to bisphenol A. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA.* 2011;108(28):11715-11720. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1107958108.

37. Peretz J, Vrooman, L, Ricke WA, et al. Bisphenol A and reproductive health: update of experimental and human evidence, 2007-2013. *Environ Health Perspect.* 2014;122(8):775-86. https://doi.org/10.1289/ehp.1307728.

38. Mínguez-Alarcón L, Hauser R, Gaskins AJ. Effects of bisphenol A on male and couple reproductive health: a review. *Fertil Steril.* 2016;106(4):864-870. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fertnstert.2016.07.1118.

39. Ikezuki Y, Tsutsumi O, Takai Y, et al. Determination of bisphenol A concentrations in human biological fluids reveals significant early prenatal exposure. *Hum Reprod.* 2002;17(11):2839-2841. https://doi.org/10.1093/humrep/17.11.2839.

40. Balakrishnan B, Henare K, Thorstensen EB, et al. Transfer of bisphenol A across the human placenta. *Am J Obstet Gynecol.* 2010;202(4):393:e1-7. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajog.2010.01.025.

41. Guida M, Troisi J, Ciccone C, et al. Bisphenol A and congenital developmental defects in humans. *Mutat Res.* 2015;774:33-39. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mrfm.2015.02.007.

42. Miao M, YuanW, He Y, et al. In utero exposure to bisphenol-A and anogenital distance of male offspring. *Birth Defects Res A Clin Mol Teratol.* 2011;91(10):867-872. https://doi.org/10.1002/bdra.22845.

43. Chou WC, Chen JL, Lin CF, et al. Biomonitoring of bisphenol A concentrations in maternal and umbilical cord blood in regard to birth outcomes and adipokine expression: a birth cohort study in Taiwan. *Environ Health.* 2011;10(1):94. https://doi.org/10.1186/1476-069X-10-94.

44. Welschon WV, Nagel SC, vom Saal FS. Large effects from small exposures. III. Endocrine mechanisms mediating effects of bisphenol A at levels of human exposure. *Endocrinology.* 2006;147(6):56-69. https://doi.org/10.1210/en.2005-1159.

45. Murray TJ, Maffini MV, Ucci AA, et al. Induction of mammary gland ductal hyperplasias and carcinoma in situ following fetal bisphenol A exposure. *Reprod Toxicol.* 2007;23(3):383-390. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.reprotox.2006.10.002.

46. Wang J, Jenkins S, Lamartiniere CA. Cell proliferation and apoptosis in rat mammary glands following combined exposure to bisphenol A and genistein. *BMC Cancer.* 2014;14(1):379. https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2407-14-379.

47. Weber Lozada K, Keri RA. Bisphenol A increases mammary cancer risk in two distinct mouse models of breast cancer. *Biol Reprod.* 2011;85(3):490-497. https://doi.org/10.1095/biolreprod.110.090431.

48. Leung YK, Govindarajah V, Cheong A, et al. Gestational high-fat diet and bisphenol A exposure heightens mammary cancer risk. *Endocr Relat Cancer.* 2017;24(7):365-378. https://doi.org/10.1530/ERC-17-0006.

49. Zhou W, Liu J, Liao L, et al. Effect of bisphenol A on steroid hormone production in rat ovarian theca-interstitial and granulosa cells. *Mol Cell Endocrinol.* 2008;283(1-2):12-18. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mce.2007.10.010.

50. Prins GS, Tang WY, Belmonte J, et al. Developmental exposure to bisphenol A increases prostate cancer susceptibility in adult rats: epigenetic mode of action is implicated. *Fertil Steril.* 2008;89(2):e41. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fertnstert.2007.12.023.

51. De Flora S, Micale RT, La Maestra S, et al. Upregulation of clusterin in prostate and DNA damage in spermatozoa from bisphenol A-treated rats and formation of DNA adducts in cultured human prostatic cells. *Toxicol Sci.* 2011;122(1):45-51. https://doi.org/10.1093/toxsci/kfr096.

52. Gao H, Yang BJ, Li N, et al. Bisphenol A and hormone-associated cancers: current progress and perspectives. *Medicine (Baltimore).* 2015;94(1):6211. https://doi.org/10.1097/MD.0000000000000211.

53. Patkin Е.Л., Софронов Г .А. Экологозависимые забо- левания человека. Эпигенетические механизмы возникновения и наследования // Медицинский академический журнала. – 2015. – Т. 15. – № 3. – С. 7–23. [Patkin EL, Sofronov GA. Environment-dependent human diseases: the epigenetic mechanisms of their development and inheritance. *Meditsinskii akademicheskii zhurnal.* 2015;15(3):7-23. (In Russ.)]

54. Accocia F, Pallottini V, Marino M. Molecular mechanisms of action of BPA. *Dose-Response.* 2015;13(4):1559325815610582. https://doi.org/10.1177/1559325815610582.

55. Kochmanski J, Marchlewicz EH, Dolinoy DC. Longitudinal effects of developmental bisphenol A, variable diet, and physical activity on age-related methylation in blood. *Environ Epigenet.* 2018;4(3):dyv017. https://doi.org/10.1093/epid/dvy017.

56. George O, Bryant BK, Chinnasamy R, et al. Bisphenol A directly targets tubulin to disrupt spindle organization in embryonic and somatic cells. *ACS Chem Biol.* 2008;3(3):167-179. https://doi.org/10.1021/cb700210u.

57. Takahashi S, Chi XJ, Yamaguchi Y, et al. Mutagenicity of bisphenol A and its suppression by interferon-alpha in human RSA cells. *Mutat Res.* 2001;490(2):199-207. https://doi.org/10.1016/S1383-5718(00)00161-3.

58. McGlinchey AJ. The effects of bisphenol A on in vitro cell viability of mammalian cell line by neutral red assay. *The Plymouth Student Scientist.* 2009;2(1):25-31.
59. Havranek T, Macho L, Fickova M. Bisphenol-A modulates proliferation of human breast adenocarcinoma cells (MCF-7) by modulating apoptosis and cyclin-A. *Endocrine Abstracts*. 2013;32:502. https://doi.org/10.1530/endoa.32.5P02.

60. Yin R, Gu L, Li M, et al. Gene expression profiling analysis of bisphenol A-induced perturbation in biological processes in ER-negative HEK293 cells. *PLoS One*. 2014;9(6):e98635. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0098635.

61. Yin L, Dai Y, Jiang X, et al. Role of DNA methylation in bisphenol A exposed mouse spermatozoon. *Environ Toxicol Pharmacol*. 2016;48:265-271. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.etap.2016.11.003.

62. Izzotti A, Kanitz S, D’Agostini F, et al. Formation of adducts by bisphenol A, an endocrine disruptor, in DNA in vitro and in liver and mammary tissue of mice. *Mutat Res*. 2009;679(1-2):28-32. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mrington.2009.07.011.

63. Minamiyama Y, Ichikawa H, Takemura S, et al. Generation of reactive oxygen species in spermatozoa of rats as an earlier marker for evaluating the toxicity of endocrine-disrupting chemicals. *Free Radic Res*. 2010;44(12):1398-1406. https://doi.org/10.3109/10715762.2010.510523.

64. Masuyama H, Hiramatsu Y. Involvement of suppressor for Ga1 in the ubiquitin/proteasome-mediated degradation of estrogen receptors. *J Biol Chem*. 2004;279(13):12090-120926. https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M312762200.

65. Letcher RJ, Sanderson JT, Bokkers A, et al. Formation of adducts by bisphenol A, an endocrine disruptor, in DNA in vitro and in liver and mammary tissue of mice. *Mutat Res*. 2009;679(1-2):28-32. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mrington.2009.07.011.

66. Xu BL, Zhao QZ, Gao XY, Hou GJ. Effect of estrogen and bisphenol A on the reactivity of nuclear receptor inducible transcription. *Steroids*. 2016;111:12-20. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.steroids.2016.02.020.

67. Soriano S, Ripoll C, Alonso-Magdalena P, et al. Effects of bisphenol A on ion channels: experimental evidence and molecular mechanisms. *Steroids*. 2016;111:12-20. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.steroids.2016.02.020.

68. Patkin EL, Grudinina NA, Sasina LK, et al. Asymmetric DNA methylation between sister chromatids of metaphase chromosomes in mouse embryos upon bisphenol A action. *Reprod Toxicol*. 2015;38(2):637-648. https://doi.org/10.1010/s10753-014-9971-5.

69. Wang Q, Cao J, Zhu Q, et al. Inhibition of voltage-gated sodium channels by bisphenol A in mouse dorsal root ganglion neurons. *Brain Research*. 2011;1378:1-8. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.brainres.2011.01.022.

70. Rubin BS. Bisphenol A: an endocrine disruptor with widespread exposure and multiple effects. *J Steroid Biochem Mol Biol*. 2011;127(1-2):27-34. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsbmb.2011.05.002.

71. Wetherill YB, Akingbemi BT, Kanno J, et al. In vitro molecular mechanisms of bisphenol A action. *Reprod Toxicol*. 2007;24(2):178-198. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.reprotox.2007.05.010.

72. Zhu J, Jiang L, Liu Y, et al. MAPK and NF-κB pathways are involved in bisphenol A-induced TNF-α and IL-6 production in BV2 microglial cells. *Inflammation*. 2015;38(2):637-648. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10753-014-9971-5.

73. Jones DC, Miller GW. The effects of environmental neurotoxicants on the dopaminergic system: a possible role in drug addiction. *Biochem Pharmacol*. 2008;76(5):569-581. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bcp.2008.05.010.

74. Metivier R, Gallais R, Tiffoche C, et al. Cytidial DNA methylation of a transcriptionally active promoter. *Nature*. 2008;452(7183):45-50. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature06544.

75. Biddie SC. Chromatin architecture and the regulation of nuclear receptor inducible transcription. *J Neuroendocrinol*. 2011;23(1):94-106. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2826.2010.02709.x.

76. Suchkova IO, Noniashvili EM, Kustova ME, et al. Influence of bisphenol A on genome-wide DNA methylation level in different sections of a mouse body on the 12th day of embryonic development. *Steroids*. 2017;153(3):42-53. (In Russ.). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.steroids.2017.08.017.

77. Patkin EL, Grudinina NA, Sasina LK, et al. Asymmetric DNA methylation between sister chromatids of metaphase chromosomes in mouse embryos upon bisphenol A action. *Reprod Toxicol*. 2015;38(2):637-648. https://doi.org/10.1010/s10753-014-9971-5.

78. Sjöholm C, Hovhannyan EM, Kustova ME, et al. Influence of bisphenol A on genome-wide DNA methylation level in different sections of a mouse body on the 12th day of embryonic development. *Regional Ecology*. 2018;53(3):96-110. (In Russ.). https://doi.org/10.30694/1026-5600-2018-3-96-110.

79. Liu L, Li Y, Tollefsbol TO. Gene-environment interactions and epigenetic basis of human diseases. *Curr Issues Mol Biol*. 2008;10(1-2):25-36. https://doi.org/10.21775/cimb.010.01025.
81. Bannister AJ, Kouzarides T. Regulation of chromatin by histone modifications. Cell Res. 2011;21(3):381-395. https://doi.org/10.1038/cr.2011.22.
82. Esteller M. Non-coding RNAs in human disease. Nat Rev Genet. 2011;12(12):861-74. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrg3074.
83. Schübele D. Function and information content of DNA methylation. Nature. 2015;517(7534):321-326. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature14192.
84. Portela A, Esteller M. Epigenetic modifications and human disease. Nat Biotechnol. 2010;28(10):1057-1068. https://doi.org/10.1038/nbt.1685.
85. Cooney CA, Dave AA, Wolff GL. Maternal methyl supplementations in mice affect epigenetic variation and DNA methylation of offspring. J Nutr. 2002;132(8 Suppl):2393S-2400S. https://doi.org/10.1093/jn/132.8.2393S.
86. Rosenfield CS. Animal models to study environmental epigenetics. Biol Reprod. 2010;82(3):473-488. https://doi.org/10.1095/biolreprod.109.080952.
87. Dolinoy DC, Huang D, Jirtle RL. Maternal nutrient supplementation counteracts bisphenol A-induced DNA hypomethylation in early development. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2007;104(32):13056-13061. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0703739104.
88. Chao HH. Bisphenol A exposure modifies methylation of imprinted genes in mouse oocytes via the estrogen receptor signaling pathway. Histocom Cell Biol. 2012;137(2):249-259. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00418-011-0894-z.
89. Laing LV, Viana J, Dempster EL, et al. Bisphenol A causes reproductive toxicity, decreases Dnmt1 transcription, and reduces global DNA methylation in breeding zebrafish (Danio rerio). Epigenetics. 2016;11(7):526-38. https://doi.org/10.1080/155922 94.2016.1182272.
90. Miao M, Zhou X, Li Y, et al. LINE-1 hypomethylation in spermatozoa is associated with bisphenol A exposure. Andrology. 2014;2(1):138-144. https://doi. org/10.1111/j.2047-2927.2013.00166.x.
91. Yeo M, Berglund K, Hanna M, et al. Bisphenol A delays the perinatal chloride shift in cortical neurons by epigenetic effects on the Kcc2 promoter. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2013;110(11):4315-4320. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1300959110.
92. Wang ZY, Lu J, Zhang YZ, et al. Effect of bisphenol A on invasion ability of human trophoblastic cell line BeWo. Int J Clin Exp Pathol. 2015;8(11):14355-64.
93. Ke ZH, Pan JX, Jin LY, et al. Bisphenol A exposure may induce hepatic lipid accumulation via reprogramming the DNA methylation patterns of genes involved in lipid metabolism. Sci Rep. 2016;6(1):31331. https://doi.org/10.1038/srep31331.
94. Singh S, Li SS. Epigenetic effects of environmental chemicals bisphenol A and phthalates. Int J Mol Sci. 2012;13(8):10143-10153. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms130810143.
95. Doshi T, Mehta SS, Dighe V, et al. Hypermethylation of estrogen receptor promoter region in adult testis of rats exposed neonatally to bisphenol A. Toxicolology. 2011;289(2-3):74-82. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tox.2011.07.011.
96. Weng Yi, Hsu PY, Liyanarakchi S, et al. Epigenetic influences of low-dose bisphenol A in primary human breast epithelial cells. Toxicol Appl Pharmacol. 2010;248(2):111-121. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.taap.2010.07.014.
97. Doherty LF, Bromer JG, Zhou Y, et al. In utero exposure to diethylstilbestrol (DES) or bisphenol-A increases EZH2 expression in the mammary gland: an epigenetic mechanism linking endocrine disruptors to breast cancer. Horm Cancer. 2010;1(3):146-155. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12672-010-0015-9.
98. Ayayan A, Laribi O, Schuepbach-Mallepell S, et al. Perinatal exposure to bisphenol A increases adult mammary gland progesterone response and cell number. Mol Endocrinol. 2011;25(11):1915-1923. https://doi.org/10.1210/me.2011-1129.
99. Warita K, Mitsushashi T, Ohta K, et al. Gene expression of epigenetic regulatory factors related to primary silencing mechanisms less susceptible to lower doses of bisphenol A in embryonic hypothalamic cells. J Toxicol Sci. 2013;38(2):285-289. https://doi.org/10.2131/jts.38.285.
100. Kitzki E, Navalte I, Alavian-Ghavanini A, Ruegg J. Developmental exposure to bisphenol A alters expression and DNA methylation of Fkbp5, an important regulator of the stress response. Mol Cell Endocrinol. 2015;417:191-199. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mce.2015.09.028.
101. Huc L, Lemarie A, Gueraud F, Helies-Toussaint C. Low concentrations of bisphenol A induce lipid accumulation mediated by the production of reactive oxygen species in the mitochondria of HepG2 cells. Toxicol in Vitro. 2012;26(5):709-717. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tiv.2012.03.017.

Natalia I. Dergacheva — Master of Biology, PhD student, Researcher, Laboratory of Molecular Cytogenetics of Mammalian Development, Department of Molecular Genetics. Institute of Experimental Medicine, St. Petersburg, Russia. SPIN: 3343-2970. E-mail: natalia-9999@mail.ru.

Natalya I. Derchacheva — magistr biologii, aspirant, научный сотрудник, лаборатория молекулярной цитогенетики развития млекопитающих, отдел молекулярной генетики. ФГБНУ ИЭМ, Санкт-Петербург. SPIN: 3343-2970. E-mail: natalia-9999@mail.ru.
**Authors and affiliations**

Eugene L. Patkin — DSc, PhD (Biology), Professor, Head of Laboratory of Molecular Cytogenetics of Mammalian Development, Department of Molecular Genetics, Institute of Experimental Medicine, St. Petersburg, Russia. SPIN: 4929-4630. E-mail: elp44@mail.ru.

Irina O. Suchkova — PhD (Biology), Senior Researcher, Laboratory of Molecular Cytogenetics of Mammalian Development, Department of Molecular Genetics, Institute of Experimental Medicine, St. Petersburg, Russia. SPIN: 4155-7314. E-mail: irsuchkova@mail.ru.

Henrikh A. Sofronov — DSc, PhD (Medicine), Professor, Academician of RAS, Scientific Director, Institute of Experimental Medicine, St. Petersburg, Russia. SPIN: 7334-4881. E-mail: gasofronov@mail.ru.

**Информация об авторах**

Евгений Львович Паткин — д-р биол. наук, профессор, заведующий лабораторией молекулярной цитогенетики развития млекопитающих, отдел молекулярной генетики. ФГБНУ ИЭМ, Санкт-Петербург. SPIN: 4929-4630. E-mail: elp44@mail.ru.

Ирина Олеговна Сучкова — канд. биол. наук, старший научный сотрудник, лаборатория молекулярной цитогенетики развития млекопитающих, отдел молекулярной генетики. ФГБНУ ИЭМ, Санкт-Петербург. SPIN: 4155-7314. E-mail: irsuchkova@mail.ru.

Генрих Александрович Софронов — д-р мед. наук, профессор, академик РАН, научный руководитель. ФГБНУ ИЭМ, Санкт-Петербург. SPIN: 7334-4881. E-mail: gasofronov@mail.ru.