Imaging performance of a high-field in-line magnetic resonance imaging linear accelerator with a patient rotation system for fixed-gantry radiotherapy
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\textbf{ABSTRACT}

This paper describes the imaging performance of a high-field in-line MRI linear accelerator with a patient rotation system in-situ. Signal quality was quantified using signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) and RF uniformity maps. B0-field inhomogeneity was assessed using magnetic field mapping. SNR was evaluated with various entries into the Faraday cage which were required for extended couch translations. SNR varied between 103 and 87 across PRS rotation angles. Maximum B0-field inhomogeneity corresponded to 0.7 mm of geometric distortion. A 45 \times 55 cm\textsuperscript{2} aperture allowed PRS translation with no reduction in SNR. Imaging performance with the PRS in-situ was found to be acceptable.

1. Introduction

External beam radiotherapy requires relative rotation between the patient and x-ray beam to intersect a tumour from multiple angles to maximise tumour dose whilst minimising the dose to surrounding tissue. Rotation is usually achieved by rotating the x-ray source on a gantry with the patient lying on a treatment table. An alternative approach would be to instead rotate the patient using a patient rotation system (PRS) – removing the gantry would make radiotherapy systems cheaper, opening up the potential to increase access to radiotherapy globally [1,2]. While commercial MRI-Linac systems currently use a rotating x-ray beam oriented perpendicular to the main magnetic field [3,4], MRI-guided radiotherapy is particularly suited to patient rotation because of its exquisite soft-tissue contrast, which is necessary to visualise anatomical motion during rotation [5]. A fixed radiation source also avoids potential B0-field inhomogeneity which is associated with the rotating gantries [6]. During the installation and commissioning of commercial MRI-Linac systems, these inhomogeneities must be characterised and appropriately shimmed [7].

Incorporating a PRS with an MRI-linac necessitates additional materials be placed within the MRI which may attenuate the RF signal, introduce RF noise and degrade the B0-field homogeneity [8,9]. In the context of MRI-guided radiotherapy, image quality must remain adequate for accurate delineation of the tumour from surrounding healthy tissue and maintain sum-millimetre geometric precision [10]. Therefore, any degradation in image quality due to the presence of a PRS within the MRI-linac must be quantified and understood.

This paper describes testing of the image performance of a PRS currently under development for a high-field in-line MRI-Linac.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Patient rotation system design

The patient bed lift and rotate mechanics and the tray in which all the components are housed were constructed from fibreglass to minimise geometric distortion and attenuation of the RF signal. The PRS was supported by an aluminium structure which translated into the MRI under the transmit/receive body coil. Two stainless steel driveshafts, which supply mechanical power to the lift/rotate mechanism on the far end of the PRS remained within the body coil, but approximately 30 cm below the imaging isocentre. The materials used for construction of the PRS were chosen based on initial pilot imaging tests. Prior to imaging tests, safety tests were carried out with the device in situ, with no magnetic forces apparent. RF heating tests were previously performed with our system as a whole, with negligible heating observed [11]. It
was not expected that the presence of the PRS would affect RF heating given the field strength of 1 T and non-conductive materials used in the PRS design. The potential introduction of eddy currents was checked by performing an eddy current compensation tune-up with the PRS in the scanner. All results were within system specifications.

2.2. Imaging performance during PRS rotation

Signal quality was assessed using signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) and RF uniformity maps. Both the SNR and RF uniformity maps were evaluated with a 24 cm diameter spherical body phantom for PRS rotation angles of 0, 90, and 180-degrees. Images were acquired at each PRS rotation angle using an agreed clinical protocol T1 spin-echo sequence (TR: 1000 ms, TE: 30 ms, slice thickness: 5 mm, Field of View: 300 × 300 mm² scan time: 4:20). The phantom was doped with 1.25 g of NiSO₄ × 6H₂O per 1000 g of distilled H₂O to simulate the RF signal produced by human tissue. SNR was calculated by dividing the mean signal within a spherical ROI in the centre of the image (SROI₁) by the standard deviation of a background ROI outside the phantom (S-DROI₂) [12]:

$$SNR = \frac{S_{ROI}}{S - D_{ROI}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

RF uniformity maps, which are affected by RF transmission and reception, were calculated by comparing the mean signal within a circular region-of-interest (ROI) in the centre of the body phantom to pixel intensities within the entire phantom (in the axial plane) [9]. The ROI had a surface area equal to 10% of the total phantom area.

Potential distortion from non-uniformity in the B0-field at each PRS rotation angle was assessed using a dual-echo gradient field mapping sequence with TE’s of 10 ms and 17.22 ms. B0-field maps were chosen over phantom based distortion measurements as they provided a more accurate distortion measurement which can be applied to any sequence. The sequence generated magnitude and phase images were used to create B0-field maps using the open source software SPM, which included phase unwrapping prior to calculation of each B0-field map (The Wellcome Centre for Human Neuroimaging, UCL, Queen Square Institute of Neurology, London, UK). The maximum distortions measured in frequency were 76 Hz (1.52 ppm), 83 Hz (1.95 ppm) and 79 Hz (1.64 ppm), which corresponded to geometric distortions of 0.71 mm. Therefore, the presence of the PRS did not introduce B0-field inhomogeneity beyond what already exists with the system. Rotation of the PRS caused a small increase in distortion on the inferior region of the imaging volume, which was attributed to the presence of stainless-steel drive shafts within the PRS bed. A subsequent test showed that increasing the vertical height of the PRS bed by 4 cm, such that the phantom was further from the drive shafts, removed most of the signal non-uniformity from the image. During the design of the MRI-Linac used in this study, a small reduction in image quality was accepted to allow for a 50 cm gap between the magnets. This design provides a more open experience for the patient compared with the closed bore commercial MRI-Linac designs [16]. Additionally, the commercial MRI-linac systems are equipped with receiver coils with an associated increase in SNR. The MRI-Linac used in this study currently uses a uniform transmit/receive coil for all imaging.

4. Discussion

In this paper we have described our initial findings with respect to image quality using a prototype patient rotation system for a high-field in-line MRI-Linac. With the PRS in-situ, geometric distortion was within 1.6 ppm, which could likely be accounted for with active shimming, and is consistent with commercial MRI-Linac systems for a DSV of 20 cm [13,14]. A previous study by Shan et al. quantified the B0-field inhomogeneity of the MRI-Linac used in this study, using the same B0 mapping approach [15]. They measured the inhomogeneity to be 80 Hz which corresponded to geometric distortions of 0.71 mm. Therefore, the presence of the PRS caused a small increase in distortion on the inferior region of the imaging volume, which was attributed to the presence of stainless-steel drive shafts within the PRS bed. A subsequent test showed that increasing the vertical height of the PRS bed by 4 cm, such that the phantom was further from the drive shafts, removed most of the signal non-uniformity from the image. During the design of the MRI-Linac used in this study, a small reduction in image quality was accepted to allow for a 50 cm gap between the magnets. This design provides a more open experience for the patient compared with the closed bore commercial MRI-Linac designs [16]. Additionally, the commercial MRI-linac systems are equipped with receiver coils with an associated increase in SNR. The MRI-Linac used in this study currently uses a uniform transmit/receive coil for all imaging.

Variation of the PRS rotation angle resulted in a small change in RF uniformity, which was also attributed to the stainless-steel drive shafts. Increasing the vertical height of the PRS couch so the imaging volume was further from the drive shafts improved RF uniformity. Spin-echo sequences, which will be used to verify a patients’ set-up position prior to treatment, are unlikely to be impacted by the magnitude of distortion or RF uniformity loss, as was verified by the head phantom imaging. However, for steady state gradient-based sequences, which will be necessary for real-time imaging during x-ray treatment delivery, this reduction in image quality will have to be considered.

SNR values of 103, 95 and 87 were measured for PRS rotation angles 0, 90 and 180 degrees, respectively. B0-field maps for each PRS rotation angle are shown in Fig. 1. Maximum peak-to-peak variations in frequency were 76 Hz (1.52 ppm), 83 Hz (1.95 ppm) and 79 Hz (1.64 ppm), respectively. For the imaging bandwidth of 260 Hz per pixel, this corresponded to ≈ 0.3 pixels of distortion or 0.7 mm for the pixel width of 2.3 mm. The largest distortions were observed at the base of the phantom. RF uniformity maps for each PRS rotation angle are shown in Fig. 1. The RF signal was within 20% of the centre ROI within the central 20 cm of the spherical body phantom and decreased beyond 20% toward the phantom periphery for each PRS couch angle.

Anatomical images of the head phantom for each PRS rotation angles are shown in Fig. 2. Anatomical image quality was consistent at each PRS rotation angle with no geometric distortion or signal variation present.

With the Faraday cage closed, SNR was measured to be 32 and 31 with the x-ray source and MLCs off and on, respectively. SNR with a 48x 55 cm² aperture was 29 with the x-ray source and MLCs on. A test with the x-ray source and MLCs off was unnecessary since the SNR was consistent with the closed cage results which did not increase when the x-ray source and MLCs were turned off. With the side panel removed and the x-ray source and MLCs’ off, the SNR dropped to 6. A test with the x-ray source and MLCs on was not performed since SNR without the x-ray source or MLCs on was already too low for the panel removed to be a workable solution.
be necessary. Further, a receiver coil is currently in development which will be positioned over the PRS close to the patient surface. Since the receiver coil will greatly increase SNR, it is reasonable to conclude that any small increase in noise caused by the 48 × 55 cm² aperture will be acceptable.

The variation in SNR observed in this study is small compared with what has been observed with other MRI-Linac systems which require the radiation beam to traverse the RF receiver coil for some beam placements [17]. The SNR drop due to radiation induced currents in the coils for these systems must be mitigated through the application of build-up material to the coils [18] or by applying post-processing to the raw image data [17]. This is not an issue for the MRI-Linac used in our study as the transmit/receive coil is radiotransparent with no impact on either the imaging or dosimetry performance with a simultaneous radiation beam [11].

This imaging performance study only considered SNR, B0-field inhomogeneity and RF uniformity in one imaging plane. Additionally, the tests were performed with the PRS at a fixed vertical height (to position the phantom at imaging isocentre). Once the system is complete, a full characterisation of the imaging performance of the system will be undertaken including balanced gradient imaging for real-time motion management during radiation delivery.

A prototype patient rotation system for a high-field in-line MRI-Linac has been developed. MR-image quality was maintained for PRS rotation angles of 0, 90 and 180-degrees, and with an aperture in the Faraday cage sufficient to allow the required PRS translation. A small amount of image distortion was present on the B0-field maps and a lower vertical limit may therefore need to be set for balanced gradient-based sequences which will be used for real-time imaging during x-ray treatment delivery.
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Fig. 1. Top row: B0-field maps at the 0, 90 and 180-degree PRS rotation angles. B0-field maps were acquired in the coronal plane through the centre of the spherical body phantom which was positioned at the MR imaging isocentre. The image pixel values ranged from 0 to 65,535 which corresponds to a phase change δφ of ±π, respectively. Bottom row: RF uniformity maps for PRS rotation angles of 0, 90 and 180-degrees. The colour scale indicates % change in signal intensity relative to a ROI in the centre of the phantom.

Fig. 2. Bottom row: Head phantom images at 0, 90 and 180-degree PRS rotation angles.
the work reported in this paper.
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