Insect Stage-Specific Receptor Adenylate Cyclases Are Localized to Distinct Subdomains of the Trypanosoma brucei Flagellar Membrane
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Increasing evidence indicates that the Trypanosoma brucei flagellum (synonymous with cilium) plays important roles in host-parasite interactions. Several studies have identified virulence factors and signaling proteins in the flagellar membrane of bloodstream-stage T. brucei, but less is known about flagellar membrane proteins in procyclic, insect-stage parasites. Here we report on the identification of several receptor-type flagellar adenylate cyclases (ACs) that are specifically upregulated in procyclic T. brucei parasites. Identification of insect stage-specific ACs is novel, as previously studied ACs were constitutively expressed or confined to bloodstream-stage parasites. We show that procyclic stage-specific ACs are glycosylated, surface-exposed proteins that dimerize and possess catalytic activity. We used gene-specific tags to examine the distribution of individual AC isoforms. All ACs examined localized to the flagellum. Notably, however, while some ACs were distributed along the length of the flagellum, others specifically localized to the flagellum tip. These are the first transmembrane domain proteins to be localized specifically at the flagellum tip in T. brucei, emphasizing that the flagellum membrane is organized into specific subdomains. Deletion analysis reveals that C-terminal sequences are critical for targeting ACs to the flagellum, and sequence comparisons suggest that differential subflagellar localization might be specified by isoform-specific C termini. Our combined results suggest insect stage-specific roles for a subset of flagellar adenylate cyclases and support a microdomain model for flagellar cyclic AMP (cAMP) signaling in T. brucei. In this model, CAMP production is compartmentalized through differential localization of individual ACs, thereby allowing diverse cellular responses to be controlled by a common signaling molecule.

African trypanosomes, including Trypanosoma brucei and related species, are the causative agents of African trypanosomiasis, also known as sleeping sickness in humans and nagana in animals. Sleeping sickness is recognized to be one of the world’s most neglected diseases and poses a threat to 60 million people living in sub-Saharan Africa (1). The disease is fatal if left untreated, and therapeutic treatments are antiquated, difficult to administer, and increasingly ineffective (2, 3). Due to its ability to infect livestock, T. brucei also hinders economic growth and agricultural development and as such represents a significant contributor to poverty in some of the most impoverished regions of the world (4).

T. brucei is heteroxenous, requiring a tsetse fly vector and a mammalian host in order to complete its life cycle. In both hosts, the parasite must sense and respond to extracellular signals, but very little is known about how trypanosomes accomplish this. In other eukaryotes, the flagellum (synonymous with cilium) harbors membrane proteins and signal transduction pathways that mediate cellular responses to changing extracellular signals (5). In mammals, for example, ciliary receptor-guanylate cyclases, ion channels, and G-protein-coupled receptors (GPCRs) control development in response to external signals (5–7). The T. brucei flagellar membrane is a direct interface with the host, and accumulating evidence indicates that flagellar proteins of these parasites play important roles in mediating the interaction with the host environment (8–15). For example, proteomic analysis of the flagellum in bloodstream-form (BSF) T. brucei parasites identified receptor and transporter proteins predicted to function in signaling, as well as corresponding effector proteins (9). In addition, recent forward genetic screens for downstream effectors in quorum sensing and cyclic AMP (cAMP) signaling pathways in bloodstream-stage T. brucei parasites identified putative flagellar proteins (16, 17).

Perhaps the best-characterized flagellar protein involved in host-parasite interaction is expression site-associated gene 4 (ESAG4), a bloodstream-form-specific adenylate cyclase (AC) that is localized along the length of the flagellar membrane (18). ESAG4 contributes to virulence in mice and upon encountering host cells is postulated to be activated to drive CAMP production, which in turn inhibits host tumor necrosis factor alpha production, thereby resisting the host’s early innate immunity attack (15). Several other virulence factors are also localized to the T. brucei flagellum, including glycosylphosphatidylinositol-phospholipase C (11), calflagin (13), and metacaspase 4 (14). The precise role of these proteins in host interaction is not known, but each is required for full virulence, as mice infected with corresponding knockout or knockdown parasites show prolonged survival compared to mice infected with control parasites.

The flagellum is also important for parasite interaction within the tsetse fly vector. For example, flagellum-dependent motility is...
required for transmission through the tsetse fly (19), and parasite attachment to the fly salivary gland epithelium is mediated by outgrowths of the flagellar membrane (10). Flagellar attachment is a critical step in the transmission cycle, as it enables the parasite to establish a permanent infection in the salivary gland and marks the onset of differentiation into forms infectious for mammals (20, 21). Little is known about flagellar membrane and matrix proteins in insect-stage *T. brucei* (22), but one interesting family of proteins is a set of adenylate cyclases encoded by genes related to ESAG4 (*GRESAG4*) (23). *T. brucei* encodes approximately 65 GRESAG4 proteins (15), some of which cross-react with anti-ESAG antibodies and are localized along the flagellum in both bloodstream and procyclic (fly midgut-stage) cells (18). Trypanosomal ACs (ESAG4 and GRESAG4s) have a domain structure that differs from the canonical architecture of mammalian adenylate cyclases. Canonical ACs are multi-transmembrane-pass proteins that have two catalytic domains on a single polypeptide and lack direct receptor activity, relying instead on upstream GPCR signaling pathways. Trypanosomal ACs, on the other hand, resemble mammalian receptor-guanylate cyclases, having an intracellular catalytic domain connected by a single transmembrane segment to a large, extracellular, putative ligand binding domain (23, 24). The trypanosome AC extracellular domain exhibits homology to bacterial periplasm binding proteins, which bind small ligands to direct chemotaxis and other cellular responses in bacteria (25–27). Trypanosomases have no known GPCRs, and it has been suggested that trypanosomal ACs function directly as receptors, similar to the function of the mammalian receptor-guanylate cyclases that they resemble (24, 28).

*In vitro* differentiation of bloodstream-form cells into procyclic cells and subsequent proliferation are associated with bursts of cAMP production, suggesting that procyclic stage-specific cAMP-dependent processes are important for parasite differentiation (29–31). Trypanosomal adenylate cyclases exhibit sequence diversity in their extracellular domains, which suggests a mechanism for ligand-specific regulation of AC activity (24, 32), making these proteins well-suited for directing CAMP signaling in response to host-specific signals. To date, however, all GRESAG4 genes studied have been found to be expressed in both bloodstream-stage and insect-stage cells (18, 23, 29, 33, 34), raising questions about whether they are responsible for procyclic stage-specific regulation of cAMP production. Here we report on the identification of a group of *T. brucei* adenylate cyclases whose expression is upregulated in procyclic cells. We show that procyclic stage-specific ACs are glycosylated, assemble into multimeric complexes, exhibit catalytic activity, and are localized to the flagellum, where they are surface exposed. Interestingly, individual ACs are located in distinct subdomains of the flagellum, indicating specialized functions and trafficking mechanisms. Our studies provide the first analysis of individual trypanosome adenylate cyclases within insect-stage cells and support a model for the microdomain organization of cAMP signaling in the *T. brucei* flagellum.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Cell culture and RNAi knockdown.** Procyclic-form cells were used for all experiments and cultured in Cunningham’s SM medium as previously described (35). Transfections and selection of clonal lines by limiting dilution were done as described previously (35). The Fla1-knockdown cell line was generated by transfection of 2913 cells (36) with the p277-Fla1 plasmid, as described previously (37). For knockdown of AC protein 1 (ACP1), the RNA interference (RNAi) target region, corresponding to 311 bp of the 5′ untranslated region (UTR), was PCR amplified using the following forward (F) and reverse (R) primers (restriction sites are in italics): ACP1-RNAi-F (ATAAGCTTCTTCTGCGCTTCGATCCTT) and ACP1-RNAi-R (ATTCTAGATTATCGGGAACAAAACCTT). The resulting DNA was ligated into the p277-Ti-B RNAi vector (37). Insertion was verified by sequencing by Geneviz, Inc. The p277-ACP1-RNAi vector was linearized with NotI and transfected into 2913 cells, and stable transfectants were selected with 10 μg/ml phleomycin. Transfected cells were maintained in selective medium, and clonal lines were generated by limiting dilution.

**Proteomic identification of flagellar adenylate cyclases.** Procyclic *T. brucei* Fla1-knockdown cells (37) were induced for 25 h with 1 μg/ml tetracycline. After addition of 0.2 M sucrose to cells at a density of 4 × 10^6 cells/ml, cells were sonicated for 6 min and spun at 2,000 × g for 5 min to pellet cell bodies (this is referred to as the P1 fraction), leaving flagella in the supernatant (this is referred to as the S1 fraction). The S1 fraction was spun again at 2,000 × g for 5 min to remove debris and then subjected to a high-speed centrifugation at 20,000 × g for 35 min. The pellet (the P2 fraction) was resuspended in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS), layered on top of a 13–ml step gradient of sucrose (10, 20, 30, 40, 55, and 68%), and then centrifuged at 245,000 × g for 4 h at 4°C in a Beckman Optima L-90K ultracentrifuge using an SW41 rotor. Fourteen fractions of approximately 1 ml each were collected from the top of the gradient and spun at 14,000 × g for 1 h at 4°C to concentrate the samples for examination by phase-contrast microscopy. Flagella were primarily found in fractions 8 and 9, corresponding to the interface between the 40% and 55% sucrose layers. To solubilize the membranes, this flagellum fraction was incubated for 10 min at room temperature with 0.1% NP-40 in PBS and then centrifuged at 10,000 × g for 10 min to separate the axoneme-containing pellet (P3 fraction) from the supernatant (S3 fraction) harboring flagellar membranes and matrix proteins. Since NP-40 can degrade the quality of the spectra obtained by mass spectrometry, proteins were precipitated from the flagellum (S3) fraction by trichloroacetic acid (TCA), followed by two washes with acetone. Cell bodies (P1 fraction) were disrupted by hypotonic lysis (38), sonicated for 2 min, and incubated for 10 min at room temperature with 0.1% NP-40 in PBS. Solubilized proteins were precipitated by TCA and washed with acetone. TCA-precipitated proteins from solubilized flagellum and cell body fractions were digested by the sequential addition of Lys-C and trypsin proteases (39, 40) and subjected to analysis by use of the multidimensional protein identification technology as described previously (9). Proteins were considered present in the analysis if they were identified by two or more peptides using a 5% peptide-level false discovery rate (41–43).

The majority of proteins were uniquely identified by specific peptides (unique, “U”). Proteins identified only by peptides shared with other proteins were assigned to groups. The set of proteins identified in the cell body fraction was subtracted from the combined flagellum fractions, yielding a subtracted data set of 175 proteins arranged in 157 groups (see Table S2 in the supplemental material). *In situ* tagging and immunofluorescence localization analyses (44) were done for four proteins of the subtracted data set. All of these localized to the cytoplasm or cell body surface, but not the flagellum (not shown), indicating that the subtracted data set contained substantial cell body contamination and precluding analysis of the data set as a stand-alone flagellar proteome. Interestingly, however, the subtracted data set included a group of six receptor-type adenylate cyclases that were not found in previous analysis of bloodstream-form flagellar membranes (9) (see Tables S1 and S2 in the supplemental material). These proteins were selected for further study. Sequence comparisons were done by pairwise alignments using VectorNTI’s AlignX module (Invitrogen) with sequences obtained from the TriTryp database (91).

**Quantitative real-time PCR.** Cells were harvested at a density of approximately 5 × 10^6 cells/ml (procyclic culture form [PCF]) and 1 × 10^6 cells/ml (BSF). For ACP1 knockdowns, cells were grown with or without...
tetrazycline at 1 μg/ml for 72 h prior to harvesting. Total RNA was extracted using a Qiagen RNeasy kit, and quantitative reverse transcriptase, real-time PCR (qRT-PCR) was performed as described previously (45). Gene-specific primer sets were designed using the Trypanofan RNAi algorithm (46) and the NCBI Primer- Blast program (47). The primers used were ACP1-F (CTGTTGATCTCCAGGGTCAT), ACP1-R (ACATT TGCTTCCTCCACTGC), ACP2-F (GGCACTGTGTTTTCAGTTACA), ACP2-R (CCCAAGGACGACACAGACCTT), ACP4-F (AGGTTACGAGGGTCTGTAAGAAA), ACP4-R (AATAACTACGCTCCCTGGTCTCG), ACP5-F (TGTCCTTTACGGAGCAGAT), ACP5-R (CCTCAAAAAGTCTCGGAGGCTC), FS33-F (GGGCTAGTACAAAGCTGTGTTGG), FS33-R (GAACCTGTTCACACCAACA), ISG65-F (CATGACAGAGAGGTTGAGCA), and ISG65-R (CATGACCTGTGGACAGGACGAGA) used were ACP1-F (CGTTGACTTCACGGCTTACA), ACP1-R (ACATT TGCTTCCTCCACTGC), and values were normalized on a DNA Engine Opticon 2 real-time cycler (MJ Research, Bio-Rad) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. All analyses were performed in technical duplicate on at least two independent RNA preparations, and values were normalized against those for two stage-independent control genes, at least two independent RNA preparations, and values were normalized on a DNA Engine Opticon 2 real-time cycler (MJ Research, Bio-Rad) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. All analyses were performed in technical duplicate on at least two independent RNA preparations, and values were normalized against those for two stage-independent control genes, using iQ SYBR green Supermix (Bio-Rad) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Analyses were performed in technical duplicate on at least two independent RNA preparations, and values were normalized against those for two stage-independent control genes, using iQ SYBR green Supermix (Bio-Rad) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. All analyses were performed in technical duplicate on at least two independent RNA preparations, and values were normalized against those for two stage-independent control genes, using iQ SYBR green Supermix (Bio-Rad) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. All analyses were performed in technical duplicate on at least two independent RNA preparations, and values were normalized against those for two stage-independent control genes, using iQ SYBR green Supermix (Bio-Rad) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. All analyses were performed in technical duplicate on at least two independent RNA preparations, and values were normalized against those for two stage-independent control genes, using iQ SYBR green Supermix (Bio-Rad) according to the manufacturer’s instructions.

**In situ epipole tagging.** In situ tagging was done by amplifying short (300– to 600-bp) fragments of DNA homologous to the target gene’s open reading frame (ORF) or 3’ UTR and cloning these upstream of the 3x hemagglutinin (HA) tag or downstream of the puromycin resistance gene of the plasmid (45). The primers used, with restriction sites italicized, were ACP1orfF (ATCGCAATGTCGTTGTGTTTCCTTTGGGGTTGA), ACP1orfR (ATCGAGGACGAGGAGAAGGGT), and ACP2orfR (CCACACCAGACCACAGACCTT), ACP4orfF (AGGTTACGAGGGTCTGTAAGAAA), ACP4orfR (AATAACTACGCTCCCTGGTCTCG), ACP5orfR (ATGTCGAGGACGAGGAGAAGGGT), and ACP5orfF (ATGTCGAGGACGAGGAGAAGGGT). All sequences were verified by direct sequencing at the UCLA DNA Core facility.

**Southern blotting.** Genomic DNA was isolated using a PureLink genomic DNA kit (Invitrogen) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Restriction enzyme digestions (New England BioLabs) were done for 1 h at 37°C using the 2x ΔΔTc method (45, 46). In situ epipole tagging. In situ tagging was done by amplifying short (300– to 600-bp) fragments of DNA homologous to the target gene’s open reading frame (ORF) or 3’ UTR and cloning these upstream of the 3x hemagglutinin (HA) tag or downstream of the puromycin resistance gene of the plasmid (45). The primers used, with restriction sites italicized, were ACP1orfF (ATCGCAATGTCGTTGTGTTTCCTTTGGGGTTGA), ACP1orfR (ATCGAGGACGAGGAGAAGGGT), and ACP2orfR (CCACACCAGACCACAGACCTT), ACP4orfF (AGGTTACGAGGGTCTGTAAGAAA), ACP4orfR (AATAACTACGCTCCCTGGTCTCG), ACP5orfR (ATGTCGAGGACGAGGAGAAGGGT), and ACP5orfF (ATGTCGAGGACGAGGAGAAGGGT). All sequences were verified by direct sequencing at the UCLA DNA Core facility.

**In situ epipole tagging.** In situ tagging was done by amplifying short (300– to 600-bp) fragments of DNA homologous to the target gene’s open reading frame (ORF) or 3’ UTR and cloning these upstream of the 3x hemagglutinin (HA) tag or downstream of the puromycin resistance gene of the plasmid (45). The primers used, with restriction sites italicized, were ACP1orfF (ATCGCAATGTCGTTGTGTTTCCTTTGGGGTTGA), ACP1orfR (ATCGAGGACGAGGAGAAGGGT), and ACP2orfR (CCACACCAGACCACAGACCTT), ACP4orfF (AGGTTACGAGGGTCTGTAAGAAA), ACP4orfR (AATAACTACGCTCCCTGGTCTCG), ACP5orfR (ATGTCGAGGACGAGGAGAAGGGT), and ACP5orfF (ATGTCGAGGACGAGGAGAAGGGT). All sequences were verified by direct sequencing at the UCLA DNA Core facility.

**Immunoprecipitation.** Cells were harvested, washed in PBS, and then lysed in IP buffer (150 mM NaCl, 50 mM HEPS, 5 mM EDTA, 5 mM EGTA, 1% NP-40, 10% glycerol, 1× SigmaFAST protease inhibitors). After 10 min on ice, lysates were centrifuged at 4°C for 30 min to remove insoluble material. A fraction of the soluble fraction was retained, the rest was added to EView red anti-HER2 affinity matrix (Sigma-Aldrich), and the mixture was incubated for approximately 3.5 h at 4°C on a nutator mixer. Beads were collected by centrifugation and washed several times in IP buffer. Input, unbound, and bead fractions were boiled in sample buffer and analyzed by SDS-PAGE and immunoblotting.

**Surface biotinylation and streptavidin purification.** Surface biotinylation was done as described previously (9). Cells were harvested and washed in ice-cold PBS and then resuspended in 3 ml of cold 0.5 mg/ml biotin (catalog no. 21333; Pierce) solution and incubated for 10 min on ice. Tris (2 M, pH 6.8) was added to a final concentration of 100 mM to block unreacted biotin, and the mixture was incubated on ice for 10 min. Cells were pelleted, washed with cold PBS–100 mM Tris, and extracted with 1% NP-40 in 100 mM Tris and SigmaFAST protease inhibitors. After a 10-min incubation on ice, samples were centrifuged at 14,000 rpm for 10 min at 4°C to pellet insoluble material. A fraction of the supernatant (the input) was retained, and the remainder was transferred to a new tube with 50 μl of GE Healthcare streptavidin Sepharose high-performance beads and incubated for 1 h at 4°C on a nutator mixer to allow biotin-streptavidin binding. The beads were pelleted and washed as described previously (9) and then resuspended and boiled in native sample buffer (bound samples) for analysis by immunoblotting.

**Yeast complementation.** For yeast complementation, adenylate cyclase open reading frames were subcloned into a modified version of the Saccharomyces cerevisiae PRS315 expression vector (51), pRS315-GDP-CYC7, which contains a glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GPD) promoter to allow constitutive high expression, with a cytochrome c isoform 1 (CYC1) terminator. The pRS315-GDP-CYC7 plasmid was kindly provided by Giancarlo Costaguta and Gregory Payne (UCLA). The S. cerevisiae wild-type adenylate cyclase (CYR1) coding sequence was amplified from the Ycp50-CYR1 plasmid (52), and full-length T. brucei adenylate cyclase-coding sequences were amplified from genomic DNA using the following forward (F) and reverse (R) primers (restriction sites are italicized): CYR1F (ATATGGATCCATGCTATGAAAAACCTGATACGCYTCTCAGGACGAGGAGAAGGGT), and ACP2orfR (CCACACCAGACCACAGACCTT), ACP4orfF (AGGTTACGAGGGTCTGTAAGAAA), ACP4orfR (AATAACTACGCTCCCTGGTCTCG), ACP5orfR (ATGTCGAGGACGAGGAGAAGGGT), and ACP5orfF (ATGTCGAGGACGAGGAGAAGGGT). All sequences were verified by direct sequencing at the UCLA DNA Core facility.

**Blue Native gel analysis and deglycosylation.** Cells were harvested and washed in PBS and then resuspended into PEME buffer (100 mM PIPES [piperazine-N,N′-bis(2-ethanesulfonic acid)], 1 mM MgSO4, 0.1 mM EDTA, 2 mM EGTA, pH 6.9) with 1% NP-40 and protease inhibitors (SigmaFAST cocktail; Sigma-Aldrich) as described previously (50). Lysates were centrifuged for 20 min at 15,000 × g at either 4°C or room temperature to separate solubilized proteins (S) from the insoluble pellet (P) fraction. Immunoblotting was done as previously described (45).
GRESAG4 genes that were detected only in procyclic flagella, and similarity to site-associated gene 4 (of a previously described family of genes related to expression S1 in the supplemental material). These adenylate cyclases are part identified, however, was a group of receptor-type adenylate cyclase proteins, likely owing to the use of sonication to remove flagella from cell bodies (see Materials and Methods). Among the proteins identified, there were over-expressed glycoprotein multimers. For PFR antibodies, a fragment encoding the N-terminal 328 amino acids from ACP1 RNAi-knockdown cells grown with or without tetracycline. We were therefore surprised to uncover a group of ACs that were found in procyclic culture-form, but not bloodstream-form, proteomic analyses.

The T. brucei genome includes approximately 65 GRESAG4 genes, with the protein encoded by each gene having a large extracellular domain at the N terminus, followed by a single transmembrane region and a cytoplasmic catalytic domain (15). The catalytic domain is followed by a short C-terminal region of approximately 150 to 175 amino acids. Sequence relationships among trypanosomal ACs, including ACP1 to ACP6, have been described previously (15, 55). The amino acid sequence diversity among ACP1 to ACP6 was found to be the highest within the N-terminal and C-terminal regions (Fig. 1B). Pairwise alignments revealed that ACP1 and ACP2 are approximately 90% identical in amino acid sequence throughout their length, with differences lying primarily within the C terminus. The other ACs identified exhibited considerable sequence differences between one another (Fig. 1B).

Peptides specific to ACP1 to ACP6 were not detected in a proteomic analysis of flagella from bloodstream-form cells (9), suggesting that these proteins are expressed only in the procyclic life cycle stage. However, sequence similarities among the AC protein family make it difficult to unambiguously identify specific isoforms using proteomics alone. For example, while one or more peptides uniquely mapped to each of ACP1 and ACP3 to ACP6 in the current study (see Table S1 in the supplemental material), the five peptides that mapped to ACP2 also mapped to ACP1. We therefore used quantitative reverse transcriptase, real-time PCR (qRT-PCR) with gene-specific primers to directly determine the developmental expression profile for ACP1 through ACP6. We found that ACP1 and ACP3 to ACP6 were each expressed primarily in procyclic-form parasites (Fig. 1C), while ACP2 expression was similar in both life cycle stages. Notably, qRT-PCR also demonstrated that expression of FS33, an AC identified in BSF flagella (9) but not PFC flagella, was indeed upregulated in BSF cells (Fig. 1C). Thus, ACP1 and ACP3 to ACP6 show developmentally regulated expression distinct from that reported for all other GRESAG4 genes studied to date.

In situ epitope tagging enables analysis of individual ACs. The large size of the AC gene family, together with the extensive sequence homology among individual genes, has complicated efforts to analyze any single AC gene or protein. Our proteomic analyses identified a small subset of ACs as being expressed in procyclic cells, thereby allowing prioritization of individual genes for direct analysis. We focused our studies here on ACP1, ACP2, ACP4, and ACP5, while ACP3 and ACP6 are the focus of separate work. To study each protein individually, in situ tagging (44) was used to incorporate an HA epitope tag at the 3’ end of each gene. Western blot analysis of cell lysates demonstrated that a single HA-tagged protein of the expected size was expressed in each tagged cell line, and Southern blotting demonstrated integration of the HA epitope tag at the expected locus in each case (see Fig. S1 in the supplemental material). Having established gene-specific tags for each AC, we set out to characterize the individual proteins.

Biochemical analysis reveals that T. brucei ACs are surface-exposed glycoprotein multimers. The cellular distribution of adenylate cyclases in procyclic T. brucei has previously been examined only in conglomerate (18). The availability of gene-specific epitope tags provided a unique opportunity to monitor the fractionation and distribution of individual AC proteins. The ACs
studied here were identified in detergent-solubilized cell fractions. To determine whether this represented the entire cellular pool for each protein, we performed Western blot analysis of detergent-soluble and detergent-insoluble fractions. Each AC fractionated exclusively in detergent-soluble supernatants, consistent with what would be anticipated for membrane-associated proteins (Fig. 2A). Some flagellar membrane proteins are associated with detergent-resistant membranes (56). To determine whether this is the case for ACs, we asked whether solubilization with Triton X-100 at 4°C shifts ACs to the pellet fraction, as seen for proteins in detergent-resistant membranes, such as calflagin (56). The AC fractionation pattern was unchanged at 4°C and 37°C, indicating that ACs are not associated with detergent-resistant membranes. T. brucei ACs are predicted to be surface exposed, and this has been demonstrated for ESAG4 and FS33 in BSF parasites, as well as for a group of AC proteins in conglomerate in procyclic cells (9, 18). We asked whether ACP4 and ACP5 were surface exposed using surface biotinylation, followed by affinity purification with streptavidin. Western blot analysis of bound and unbound fractions demonstrated that each AC eluted with the bound, i.e., surface-biotinylated, fraction, while the intracellular marker BiP eluted in the unbound fraction (Fig. 2B). Therefore, ACP4 and ACP5 are exposed on the cell surface of procyclic-form T. brucei parasites.

The calculated molecular masses of ACP1 and ACP2 are nearly identical, 137.5 and 137.9 kDa, respectively (see Table S1 in the

FIG 1 Procyclic stage-specific receptor adenylate cyclases. (A) The schematic shows the general architecture of T. brucei adenylate cyclases, including a signal peptide (SP), a single-pass transmembrane domain (TM), and a cyclase catalytic domain (CYC), followed by a short intracellular C-terminal region. Within the N-terminal region are one to two domains homologous to periplasmic binding proteins (PBPs) of bacteria. The average sequence identity among ACP1 to ACP6 is shown above each section. (B) Pairwise amino acid sequence identities within the N-terminal, catalytic, and C-terminal domains of ACP1 to ACP6 (P1 to P6). (C) The chart shows the relative mRNA abundance in procyclic culture-form (PCF) and bloodstream-form (BSF) cells for the genes for adenylate cyclases ACP1 to ACP6 and FS33, as determined by qRT-PCR. ISG65 is a bloodstream-form-enriched gene (90) used as a control. The expression levels for each gene are normalized to the levels for the life cycle stage expressing the genes at higher levels.
supplemental material). However, Western blots revealed significant size differences between these proteins (see Fig. S1 in the supplemental material), suggesting differential posttranslational modifications. Trypanosomal ACs have a receptor-type structure and are predicted to function in recognition of extracellular ligands. Glycosylation is a common feature of surface proteins and can be critically important for receptor-ligand interactions (57).

Moreover, there are several putative glycosylation sites present in both proteins, and all are predicted to be within the extracellular N-terminal domains (data not shown). We therefore asked whether glycosylation accounted for the size difference between ACP1 and ACP2. To test for glycosylation, we used digestion with peptide N-glycosidase F (PNGase F), which cleaves N-linked carbohydrate groups. PNGase F treatment caused a significant reduction in size for each AC, as seen by SDS-PAGE (Fig. 2C). As a control, the cytoplasmic protein EIF4AI (58) showed no change in size with PNGase F treatment. Therefore, individual ACs are differentially glycosylated, although some difference in size remains, suggesting that additional modifications may be present.

All characterized nucleotide cyclase catalytic domains operate as dimers, with catalysis occurring at the dimer interface (59, 60). Unlike conventional adenylate cyclases, which have two cyclase domains on a single polypeptide, trypanosomal cyclases have only a single catalytic domain per protein (Fig. 1A). In vitro studies with recombinant catalytic domains previously demonstrated that T. brucet AC catalytic domains require dimerization for catalytic ac-
Fig. 2D). The size of the smaller species in each case agrees with the predicted size of the monomeric protein, ~150 kDa. Therefore, AC proteins form multimeric complexes under native conditions. The multimeric complexes observed on Blue Native gels suggested dimerization, but they could be due to interaction with proteins other than ACs themselves. To test for dimerization directly, we generated doubly tagged lines, in which one AC1 allele was HA tagged and the other half expressed Myc-tagged protein, coimmunoprecipitated with the HA-tagged protein (Fig. 2F), while singly tagged AC1-Myc was not precipitated with anti-HA antibody (Fig. S2 in the supplemental material). Notably, coimmunoprecipitation was observed only if the HA-tagged and Myc-tagged proteins were expressed in the same cells. Using a mixture of cells in which one half expressed HA-tagged protein and the other half expressed Myc-tagged protein, coimmunoprecipitation was not observed (Fig. 2F). Although dimerization was anticipated, this is the first direct evidence that ACs dimerize in vivo.

To test whether the ACs identified here are catalytically active, we tested their ability to rescue the growth of Saccharomyces cerevisiae mutants that lack a functional adenylate cyclase. The yeast cyr1-2 strain harbors a temperature-sensitive mutation that disrupts function of the endogenous adenylate cyclase, CYR1, rendering cyr1-2 yeast nonviable at the restrictive temperature due to a cAMP deficiency (53). Expression of T. brucei AC1, AC2, AC4, or AC5 restored the viability of the cyr1-2 mutant at restrictive temperatures (Fig. 3). Thus, each of these proteins individually possesses adenylate cyclase catalytic activity in vivo.

Individual adenylate cyclases are localized to different domains of the flagellar membrane. Subcellular distribution has not been determined for most adenylate cyclases in T. brucei. Two individual ACs, ESAG4 (18) and FS33 (9), have been shown to localize along the length of the flagellum in bloodstream-form parasites. Immunofluorescence using pan-specific antibodies showed localization along the length of the flagellum for a group of GRESAG4 proteins in procyclic-form parasites, but individual proteins were not examined in this life cycle stage and it is not known to which specific GRESAG4 genes the labeling corresponds (18). The availability of clonal lines, each having a single, uniquely epitope-tagged AC protein, made it possible to examine the location of each AC individually by immunofluorescence microscopy. Using immunofluorescence with anti-HA antibody, we found AC1, AC2, AC4, and AC5 to be localized exclusively to the flagellum (Fig. 4; see also Fig. S3 in the supplemental material). Notably, the specific distribution within the flagellum was different for individual ACs. AC1 and AC4 were localized primarily to the distal tip of the flagellum, whereas AC2 was evenly distributed along the entire length of the flagellum. AC5 was concentrated at the flagellum tip, with a weaker signal seen along the flagellum. The tip-specific localization of AC1 and AC4 distinguishes them from trypanosomal ACs studied previously and is, to our knowledge, a novel finding for a transmembrane protein in T. brucei.

Localization of individual trypanosomal ACs to distinct regions indicates that different structural features must be present to distinguish the tip of the flagellum from the length of the flagellum in order to enable tip-specific targeting. To assess when such features are established, we examined AC protein localization as a function of the cell cycle. Trypanosome cultures grow asynchronously, and morphogenetic markers are available to easily define the cell cycle stage for any given cell in the population (61). Cells that have completed kinetoplast division but not mitosis contain two kinetoplasts and a single nucleus (2K1N). These cells possess one fully formed flagellum and one newly forming flagellum, whose tip connects to the side of the old flagellum (61). Anti-HA immunofluorescence showed that 2K1N cells expressing AC1-HA or AC4-HA have two spots of fluorescence, one corresponding to the tip of the old flagellum and one corresponding to the tip of the newly forming flagellum (Fig. 5B). Therefore, any cellular features required for flagellum tip-specific localization are established prior to the completion of mitosis, while the nascent flagellum is still growing.

FIG 3 Trypanosomal adenylate cyclases are catalytically active. A temperature-sensitive Saccharomyces cerevisiae adenylate cyclase mutant (cyr1-2) was transformed with a yeast expression vector containing either the S. cerevisiae wild-type adenylate cyclase (CYR1) or the gene for T. brucei ACP1, ACP2, ACP4, or ACP5 (TbACP1, TbACP2, TbACP4, and TbACP5, respectively). An empty vector was transformed as a control, and yeast viability was assessed at the permissive (22°C) and restrictive (35°C) temperatures.
To assess whether the HA epitope tag influenced protein localization, we raised ACP1-specific antibodies and used these antibodies to determine the location of the endogenous protein. To test the specificity of the anti-ACP1 antibody, we generated a gene-specific RNAi knockdown of ACP1. qRT-PCR demonstrated that specific and efficient knockdown of ACP1 did not affect the expression of ACP2 (Fig. 5A), which is the protein most closely related to ACP1. Knockdown of ACP1 did not affect parasite growth or motility (not shown). Western blotting with anti-ACP1 antibodies detected a single band of the expected size that was lost following the induction of RNAi (Fig. 5B). Anti-ACP1 antibody failed to detect any signal in lysates from bloodstream-form parasites, corroborating the qRT-PCR results demonstrating that ACP1 is a procyclic stage-specific protein. These results further demonstrate that the antibody distinguishes ACP1 from ACP2, as ACP2 expression is unaffected by ACP1 knockdown. Immunofluorescence with ACP1-specific antibody showed that endogenous ACP1 is located at the distal tip of the flagellum (Fig. 5C), as seen for the HA-tagged protein, and the tip signal is lost upon RNAi induction against ACP1. Therefore, the HA-tagged protein (Fig. 4) correctly reports the localization of the endogenous protein.

Protein targeting to specific subcellular locations requires cis-acting targeting sequences within the protein. ACP1 and ACP2 are almost identical in sequence, except for their C termini, suggesting that this region is important for specifying flagellar and/or subflagellar localization. We therefore generated epitope-tagged deletion mutants lacking the C-terminal 45 or 46 amino acids of ACP1 (ACP1ΔC45) and ACP2 (ACP2ΔC46), respectively, to assess the influence of these amino acids on flagellar localization. In both cases, expression was reduced and the deletion mutants exhibited a punctate distribution throughout the cell but were completely absent from the flagellum (Fig. 6; see also Fig. S3 in the supplemental material), demonstrating that these residues are critical for targeting to the flagellum.

**DISCUSSION**

**Insect stage-specific adenylate cyclases.** Our studies reveal a new paradigm for trypanosomal ACs through identification of a group of AC genes upregulated in the procyclic life cycle stage, indicating a specific role within the tsetse fly. There are approximately 65 chromosome-internal adenylate cyclase genes in the *T. brucei* genome (55), but only a few of these have been studied directly. Among the ACs identified here, ACP4 corresponds to previously studied GRESAG4.2/4.3 (33, 55). ACP1 and ACP2 correspond to two ESAG4-like genes identified as being upregulated in BSF parasites following knockout of ESAG4 (55), though they were not examined in PCF parasites. ACP3, ACP5, and ACP6 do not correspond to previously studied GRESAG4 genes. Procyclic stage-specific expression is a novel finding, as all ACs examined previously have been found to be either BSF specific, ESAG4 (23, 29) and FS33 (Fig. 1) (9), or constitutively expressed, GRESAG4.1, GRESAG4.2/4.3, and GRESAG4.4 (18, 23, 33, 34). Prior analysis of GRESAG4.2/4.3, corresponding to ACP4, reported equal expression in BSF and PCF parasites by Northern blotting (23), while our qRT-PCR analysis shows 6-fold upregulation of ACP4.
FIG 5 Endogenous ACP1 localizes to the flagellum tip. (A) mRNA levels for ACP1 and ACP2 were determined by qRT-PCR in ACP1-knockdown cells grown in the absence (−) or presence (+) of tetracycline (Tet). (B) Total protein extracts were prepared from BSF cells and from procyclic ACP1-knockdown cells (ACP1-KD) grown without (−) or with (+) tetracycline and then subjected to Western blot analysis using affinity-purified anti-ACP1 antibodies (top). (Bottom) Total protein in the same samples visualized by Coomassie staining of SDS-polyacrylamide gels. (C) Immunofluorescence of ACP1-knockdown cells grown without or with tetracycline and probed with affinity-purified anti-ACP1 antibodies. Nuclear and kinetoplast DNA are stained with DAPI (blue).

in PCF versus BSF parasites. We suspect that the discrepancy may lie in the greater capacity for gene-specific analysis in qRT-PCR. Additionally, earlier studies may have underestimated the potential for cross-reactivity in Northern blots, because the size and extent of sequence similarity among the members of the AC/GRESAG gene family were not known.

ESAG4 functions in manipulation of host immune responses to trypanosome infection (15) and potentially in cytokinesis in bloodstream-form parasites (55). However, the functions of chromosome-internal GRESAG4 ACs and the reasons for expansion of the AC gene family remain unknown. ACP1 and ACP2 are up-regulated in bloodstream cells following the knockout of ESAG4, compensating for the loss of ESAG4 (55). Thus, some ACs may substitute for others under selective pressure. Nonetheless, our data argue against a strictly redundant role for T. brucei ACs, because we see developmentally regulated expression profiles that differ from the expression profiles described for ESAG4 and all other GRESAG4 genes studied to date. Distinct functions for individual ACs are also supported by sequence diversity among different isoforms (28) and our finding here that individual isoforms show distinct distributions along the flagellum.

Nonredundant functions for individual ACs in parasite-tsetse fly interactions are also consistent with the observation that expansion of the AC gene family varies among different tsetse fly-transmitted trypanosomases. T. vivax, for example, which develops only in the fly mouthparts and foregut (62), has 14 AC genes (15). In comparison, development of T. congolense and T. brucei occurs not only in the mouthparts and foregut but also in the midgut, with T. brucei additionally advancing through the salivary glands (62). Correspondingly, T. congolense and T. brucei have a larger cohort of AC genes, with approximately 45 and 65 chromosome-internal AC genes, respectively (15). Therefore, the size of the AC gene family in different African trypanosomes directly correlates with the complexity of the parasite’s developmental cycle and tissue distribution in the tsetse fly, consistent with the idea that ACs function in tsetse fly-parasite interactions. The in vitro differentiation of bloodstream-form parasites into procyclic forms and subsequent proliferation are accompanied by spikes in cellular cAMP levels (18, 29), and procyclic stage-specific ACs provide a potential source for this cAMP.

Functional consequences of tip-localized adenylate cyclases. Previous studies showed flagellum localization for ACs in procyclic parasites, but the antibodies could not distinguish between isoforms (18). By using gene-specific epitope tagging, we were able to determine the unique distribution of individual AC proteins. Surprisingly, we discovered distinct patterns of localization for different isoforms. Most notably, ACP1 and ACP4 were localized to the flagellum tip, which has not been reported for any previously studied T. brucei AC. Hundreds of T. brucei flagellar proteins have been described (9, 22, 63). However, aside from the ACs reported here, only three flagellar tip proteins have been identified. These are two axonemal proteins, kinesin KIF13.2 (64) and FLAM8 (22), and one membrane protein, calpain1.3, which associates with the membrane via acylation (65). KIF13.2 functions in flagellum length control, while the functions of calpain1.3 and FLAM8 are not known. Flagellum tip proteins in other organisms play important functions in cell signaling. Examples include vertebrate polycystins and Gli proteins that function in Ca$^{2+}$ and Hedgehog signaling, respectively (66–68). Examples in other protists include flagellar tip agglutinins in Chlamydomonas that mediate cell adhesion events associated with cAMP signaling and mating (69, 70). Thus, identification of tip-localized ACs that could modulate cAMP signaling in T. brucei is of great interest.

Unlike mammalian ACs, trypansomal ACs are postulated to function as receptors modulating signaling, with specificity conferred by divergent N-terminal ligand binding domains (24, 28).
Consistent with this idea, the AC N-terminal domain shares homology with bacterial periplasmic binding proteins (PBPs) that function in chemotaxis and signaling through recognition of diverse ligands (Fig. 1) (25, 71). A receptor function for trypanosome ACs remains speculative at present, but demonstration that procyclic stage-specific ACs dimerize and are catalytically active supports their function in cAMP production. Differential localization would provide a mechanism to spatially restrict the cAMP output from different AC isoforms, allowing them to interface with distinct effectors. Thus, segregating individual isoforms to distinct flagellum subcompartments would allow two different ACs to initiate specific responses, despite using a common output. Such an arrangement provides support for a microdomain model for cAMP signaling postulated for T. brucei and observed in other eukaryotic cells, where the close proximity of ACs, phosphodiesterases, and effectors confines cAMP signaling to distinct foci (72–74). Importantly, the T. brucei cAMP-specific phosphodiesterase PDEB1 is distributed along the length of the flagellum (75) and is thus positioned to act as a diffusion barrier, limiting cAMP to the site of production by differentially localized adenylate cyclases (74).

Protein localization to the flagellum tip is also interesting in the context of parasite development because the tip initiates attachment to the fly salivary gland prior to differentiation into metacyclic parasites infectious for mammals (20, 21). The reorganization of cytoskeletal filaments and the flagellum membrane at the site of attachment (76), as well as subsequent cellular and molecular changes that accompany differentiation into metacyclic parasites (20, 21), presumably involves signaling events triggered by flagellum contact. Consistent with this idea, metacyclogenesis in T. congolense can be triggered in vitro via flagellum contact with plastic surfaces (77). There is also a precedent for flagellum tip attachment triggering cellular differentiation in the protist Chlamydomonas reinhardtii, whereby flagellum tip adhesion between two gametes triggers a cAMP signaling cascade resulting in gamete fusion (69, 70, 78). Recent ex vivo reconstruction of the T. brucei mating cycle identified gamete-like cells that interact via their flagella prior to fusion (79), raising the intriguing possibility that flagellum-dependent interactions may be part of the T. brucei mating cycle. The flagellum functions in mechanosensation in other protists (80, 81) and may therefore function in contact-mediated signaling in T. brucei. Surface-exposed adenylate cyclases at the tip of the flagellar membrane are ideally positioned to perceive and transduce responses to flagellum attachment. Tests of this hypothesis will require tsetse fly infection experiments using trypanosomes lacking specific ACs. The insect stage-specific ACs identified here present excellent candidates for testing this idea, and the lack of any growth defect following RNAi knockdown of ACP1 demonstrates that such experiments are now feasible. Apart from host-parasite interaction, the flagellum tip is also distinguished structurally from the rest of the flagellum and is the site of flagellar structures important to cell division (82, 83). Thus, tip-specific ACs are located in a unique region of the cell that plays several important functions in parasite biology.

**Flagellum tip-targeting signals.** The C-terminal 45 and 46 amino acids are required for flagellar targeting of ACP1 and ACP4 are highlighted in gray.

---

**FIG 6** C-terminal sequences are required for targeting to the flagellum. (A) Western blot analysis of whole-cell lysates from cells expressing the indicated HA-tagged protein. Blots were probed with anti-HA or anti-tubulin antibodies. (B) Trypanosomes expressing the indicated HA-tagged deletion mutants were subjected to immunofluorescence. Cells were stained with anti-HA antibodies (green) and anti-PFR antibodies (red). Nuclear and kinetoplast DNA were visualized with DAPI (blue). (C) (Top) Schematic diagram illustrating amino acid sequence identities between ACP1 and ACP2, which are nearly identical except for a short region at the C terminus. (Bottom) Alignment of the C termini of ACP1 to ACP6 (P1 to P6). ACP1 residues that differ from ACP2 are boxed, and among these, those residues that are conserved between ACP1 and ACP4 are highlighted in gray.
ACP2, respectively. Previous work showed that a 21-amino-acid fragment near the C terminus of calpain1.3 is required for flagellar targeting (65). We did not observe any obvious sequence similarities between the C-terminal sequences of ACs and calpain1.3, nor did we identify similarities to published targeting sequences in flagellar membrane proteins of other organisms (84). Protein localization within specific flagellum subdomains is emerging as an important aspect of flagellum biology (22, 85–87), but the sequences responsible for directing subflagellar targeting are unknown (88, 89). In this regard, ACP1 and ACP2 in T. brucei offer potential insights, because they are ~90% identical in amino acid sequence and differences are primarily restricted to the C-terminal region that is required for flagellum localization. This region is expected to be intracellular and thus accessible to targeting machinery. Alignment of the C-terminal 42 amino acids of ACP1 and ACP2 revealed differences at 31 positions (Fig. 6). Of these 31 positions, only 5 are conserved between ACP1 and the other tip-localized AC, ACP4. Therefore, these 5 residues are likely to be important for specifying subcompartment localization within the flagellum. Notably, 3 of these 5 residues are conserved in ACP5, consistent with the intermediate localization observed for ACP5; i.e., it is enriched at the tip plus along the length. The localization of ACP3 and ACP6 was not determined, but on the basis of the sequence conservation within the putative tip-targeting domain (Fig. 6), these proteins are likely to be tip localized or enriched at the tip.

The flagellum and CAMP signaling in trypanosomes. Cyclic AMP is important in T. brucei development and pathogenesis (15, 29, 32). ACs are the source of cAMP production and are therefore critical for CAMP signaling. All of the adenylate cyclases so far studied in T. brucei are concentrated in the flagellum, which also contains CAMP-specific phosphodiesterases (75) and CAMP effectors (16). Together, this indicates an important role for the flagellum in T. brucei CAMP signaling. Future studies aimed at understanding AC function as well as mechanisms of targeting to the flagellum and specific flagellum subcompartments offer opportunities for understanding key aspects of trypanosome biology and host-parasite interaction.
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