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Time-Dependent Cytotoxic Properties of Terpyridine-Based Copper Complexes

Jordi Grau,[a] Amaro Caubet,[a] Olivier Roubeau,[b] David Montpey,[c] Julia Lorenzo[c] and Patrick Gamez*[a,d,e]

Abstract: Five copper complexes supported by terpyridine ligands were prepared and characterized, viz. [CuCl₂(Naphtpy)]_2[ClO₄]_2 (1), [CuCl₂(Naphtpy)]_3[ClO₄]_3 (2), [CuCl₂(Naphtpy)]_3[MeOH]_3(H₂O) (3), [CuCl₂(Cltpy)] (4) and [Cu(Cltpy)]_3[ClO₄]_3 (5); (where Naphtpy stands for 4'-[(napthalen-2-yl)methoxy]-2,2':6',2''-terpyridine and Cltpy for 4'-chloro-2,2':6',2''-terpyridine). Their DNA-interaction abilities were investigated, and their cytotoxic behaviors were examined with three cell lines, namely with human ovarian carcinoma cells (A2780) and its derived cisplatin-resistant line (A2780cis), and human cervix adenocarcinoma cells (HeLa). All compounds show good cytotoxic properties (especially after 72 h incubation). Remarkably, two compounds, i.e. 4 and 5, are almost inactive after 24 h (particularly 4), but are highly active after 72 h, with IC₅₀ values in the low micromolar to submicromolar range. Compounds 1 and 2 induce necrosis, whereas late apoptosis is observed with 3–5, 4 exhibiting a behaviour close to that of cisplatin.

Introduction

Nucleases are enzymes capable of cleaving DNA by rapidly hydrolyzing its phosphodiester bond.[1, 2] These biological catalysts are involved in a variety of biological functions, including DNA replication, recombination, repair, regulation, processing and degradation.[3, 4] Over the last three decades, research efforts have been dedicated to the design of small coordination compounds that mimic nuclease activity.[5] Such hydrolytically-cleaving metalloenzymes have been used to substitute restriction enzymes or footprinting agents, and as simple models to elucidate the mechanism of action of the natural enzymes.[3, 5]

When the coordination compounds contain redox-active metal ions, the oxidative cleavage of DNA may occur.[6] For example, the binding of iron to the glycopeptide bleomycin generates a complex with anticancer properties,[7] which are attributed to its ability to cleave DNA oxidatively.[8] Hence, a great number of artificial metalloenzymes (AMNs) have been described in the literature for their potential use as anticancer agents.[9] From the different transition metals used, copper appears to be one of the most attractive; indeed, it is an essential trace element and may therefore be less toxic to normal cells and thus lead to less harmful side effects.[10] The first copper-based AMN, a copper-phenanthroline complex was reported at the end of the 1970’s;[11] since then, a great number of copper complexes were developed with interesting DNA- cleaving properties.[10, 12] Actually, two copper-based compounds (2,2′-bipyridine and 1,10-phenanthroline as N-donor ligands) from the Casiopeínas family are currently in clinical trials.[13]

Some years ago, we have described three copper-terpyridine nitrate complexes with interesting cytotoxic properties.[14] In this previous study, the effect of supramolecular interactions on the biological properties was investigated, and the complex [Cu(naphtpy)(NO₃)(H₂O)][NO₃] (where naphtpy stands for 4′-(napthalen-2-yl)methoxy)-2,2′:6′,2″-terpyridine; Figure 1) exhibited notable cell toxicity behavior against several cancer cell lines.[14] In the present study, the ligand naphtpy was used to prepare copper(II) complexes with CuCl₂ and Cu(ClO₄)₂ as the metal sources (instead of Cu(NO₃)₂). For comparison purposes, copper complexes obtained by the reaction of 4′-chloro-2,2′:6′,2″-terpyridine (Cltpy; Figure 1) and CuCl₂ or Cu(ClO₄)₂ were synthesized as well. Hence, five copper compounds were obtained and characterized, and their interaction with DNA was subsequently examined. Lastly, their respective cytotoxicity against ovarian carcinoma cells (A2780), their cisplatin-resistant line (i.e. A2780cis) and human cervix adenocarcinoma cells (HeLa) was evaluated, which provided interesting data. Cell-cycle studies with HeLa cells were also carried out with the five complexes, comparing their behavior with that of cisplatin.

Results and Discussion

Preparation of the copper complexes

Reaction of Naphtpy with 1.5 equiv. of CuCl₂·2H₂O in methanol at 40 ºC produces the mixed-valence Cu/Cu II compound...
\[
[CuCl_{2}(\text{Naphtpy})]_{2}[CuCl_{4}] (1), 1 \text{ crystallizes in the triclinic space group } P\bar{1} \text{ (see Table S1). Selected bond distances and angles are listed in Table S2.}
\]

![Figures 1 and 2](image_url)

A representation of the solid-state structure of 1 is shown in Figure 2. The cationic part of 1, namely \([CuCl(\text{Naphtpy})]_2[μ-CuCl_2]^{-}\), is formed by two square-pyramidal \([CuCl(\text{Naphtpy})]_2[μ-CuCl_2]^-\) units linked by a [CuCl]^- unit. The anionic part of 1 is a linear [Cl-Cu-Cl]^- molecule, which has been observed in structures described in the literature.\(^{15}\) Hence, tetracopper compound 1 contains two copper(II) and two copper(I) ions. Since, the source of metal was copper(II) dichloride, the structure of 1 thus indicates that part of divalent copper was reduced during the synthesis. Actually, a number of copper-terpyridine complexes have been reported as catalysts for the oxidation of alcohols,\(^{18}\) and even a mixed-valence Cu/Cu^0 compound was described, which was obtained by partial reduction of copper(II) in the presence of TEMPO (viz. 2,2,6,6-tetramethylpiperidin-1-oxyl).\(^{17}\) It can be pointed out here that this tendency of Cu/tpy complexes to undergo redox reactions is of great importance regarding their potential ability to oxidatively cleave DNA. In fact, it has been shown that copper-terpyridine complexes acting as good oxidation catalysts were also efficient DNA cleavers.\(^{18}\) In the present case, it appears that the solvent of the reaction, namely methanol, is the reducing agent converting copper(II) into copper(I) ions. Thus, methanol is probably oxidised to methanal (and eventually to formic acid).

Reaction of Naphtpy with 1.5 equiv. of Cu(ClO\(_4\))\(_2\cdot6H_2\)O in methanol at 40 °C yields the dinuclear compound \([CuCl_{2}(\text{Naphtpy})]_2[ClO_4]_2 (2)\), whose crystal structure is depicted in Figure 2. As 1, 2 crystallizes in the triclinic space group \(P\bar{1}\) (see Table S1). Selected bond distances and angles are listed in Table S3. The solid-state structure of 2, depicted in Figure 2, reveals that the dinuclear complex consists of a cationic \([CuCl(\text{Naphtpy})]_2[μ-Cl]_2^{-}\) unit balanced by two perchlorate anions. The symmetry-related metal centres are in a square-pyramidal environment formed by a tridentate, N,N,N-Naphtpy ligand and a chloride in the square plane, a second chloride occupying the axial position (as observed for the copper(II) centres in 1). The copper(II) ions are doubly bridged by chloride anions, and are separated by a distance of 3.5004(5) Å. The coordination bond distances and angles (see Table S3) are in normal ranges for such copper complexes.\(^{19}\) Remarkably, 2 contains chlorine ions whereas these anions were not added during the synthesis (see Experimental Section). Therefore, the chlorides are generated during the formation of 2, most likely from the perchlorates. In effect, such chemical transformation of perchlorate to chloride has already been observed.\(^{20}\)

It can be stressed here that ClO\(_4\)^- is commonly resistant to reduction although it is a strong oxidizing agent under acidic conditions, as evidenced by its relatively high standard potential (equation (1)).\(^{21}\)

\[
\text{ClO}_4^- + 8 \text{H}^+ + 8 \text{e}^- \rightarrow \text{Cl}^- + 4 \text{H}_2\text{O} \quad E^\circ = 1.389 \text{ V} \quad (1)
\]

Thus, the fact that ClO\(_4\)^- was chemically transformed to Cl^- in the presence of Cu-Naphtpy species again illustrates their interesting redox properties (see compound 1 above), which can be important for their DNA-cleaving abilities. It can also be pointed out that perchlorate is an environmental contaminant.\(^{22}\) Hence, such Naphtpy-containing copper complex may be applied to decontaminate ground water.

Reaction of Naphtpy with 1 equiv. of CuCl\(_2\cdot2H_2\)O in methanol at 40 °C produces the mononuclear compound \([CuCl(\text{Naphtpy})]_2(\text{MeOH})_2(\text{H}_2\text{O}) (3)\). 3 crystallizes in the monoclinic space group \(P2_1/n\) (see Table S4). Selected bond distances and angles are listed in Table S5. The crystal structure of 3 is shown in Figure 2. The copper(II) ion is in a square-pyramidal environment formed by a terpyridine unit and a chloride anion in the basal plane, a second chloride anion...
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reducing agent was used, namely ascorbic acid (1 mM). For each compound (see corresponding image), lane 1: pure plasmid DNA; lane 2: [Cu(phen)]²⁻ = 5 μM (reference compound); lane 3: [Cu(phen)]²⁻ = 100 μM; lane 4: [compound] = 5 μM; lane 5: [compound] = 19 μM; lane 6: [compound] = 20 μM; lane 7: [compound] = 40 μM; lane 8: [compound] = 60 μM; lane 9: [compound] = 80 μM; lane 10: [compound] = 100 μM.

Figure 4. Agarose gel electrophoresis images of pBR322 plasmid DNA (15 μM [p.b.p.]) incubated for 1 h at 37 °C in cacodylate-NaCl buffer (pH 7.2) with increasing concentrations of compounds 1–5, in the presence of a reducing agent, namely ascorbic acid (1 mM). For each compound (see corresponding image), lane 1: pure plasmid DNA; lane 2: [Cu(phen)]²⁻ = 5 μM (reference compound); lane 3: [Cu(phen)]²⁻ = 100 μM; lane 4: [compound] = 5 μM; lane 5: [compound] = 19 μM; lane 6: [compound] = 20 μM; lane 7: [compound] = 40 μM; lane 8: [compound] = 60 μM; lane 9: [compound] = 80 μM; lane 10: [compound] = 100 μM.

Interaction with DNA

The interaction of compounds 1–5 with DNA was subsequently investigated. First, gel electrophoretic studies were carried out with plasmid DNA. Structural changes induced by the interaction of the different copper complexes with the biomolecule can be visualized by the distinct electrophoretic mobility of the consequent, various forms of DNA, namely Form I (supercoiled DNA), Form II (nicked DNA) and Form III (linear DNA). A reducing agent was used, namely ascorbic acid (1 mM), was used to simulate the reducing environment found in cells. A reference copper-based chemical nuclease, i.e., a bis-1,10-phenanthroline-copper species ([Cu(phen)]²⁺)²⁻, was used. The electrophoresis results achieved are shown in Figure 4.

Multinuclear compound 1 drastically affects the electrophoretic mobility of DNA. Indeed, at a concentration of 20 μM, Form I is converted into Form II (lane 6). Upon further increase of the concentration of 1, the biomolecule is completely damaged (lanes 7–10). Dinuclear compound 2 appears not to be harmful to the double helix (Figure 4); at all complex concentrations (lanes 4–10), the bands corresponding to Form I and Form II are not modified in the presence of 2 (even at high concentrations), in contrast to the reference compound [Cu(phen)]²⁺ (lanes 2 and 3). Mononuclear compound 3 is more active than 2, but much less damaging than 1; some alteration of the DNA structure/conformation is only seen from a concentration of 80 μM (lanes 9 and 10).
The corresponding spectra for 2–5 are shown in Figure S2. It can be pointed out that all the complexes do not induce a significant release of EB, and that the Naphtpy-containing 1–3 are comparatively better fluorescence quenchers than Cltpy-containing 4 and 5. This may be explained by the naphthyl group of the Naphtpy ligand, which may intercalate between base pairs and therefore expel some EB; actually, the same tendency was observed in a previous study with this family of ligands.\(^{[14]}\)

![Figure 5](image)

**Figure 5.** Emission spectra of the DNA-EB complex (25 μM), \(\lambda_{ex} = 514\) nm, \(\lambda_{em} = 610\) nm, upon addition of increasing amounts of complex 1 (5–25 μM). The blue arrow shows the diminution of the emission intensity with the increase in concentration of 1.

The relative affinity of complexes 1–5 towards ct-DNA was evaluated by determining their Stern–Volmer constant \(K_{SV}\) applying equation (2), which allowed to compare their quenching efficiency.

\[
\frac{I}{I_0} = 1 + K_{SV} [\text{complex}] \quad (2)
\]

In equation (2), \(I\) is the initial fluorescence intensity of EB bound to ct-DNA and \(I_0\) is the fluorescence intensity when adding increasing amounts of complex, in the range 5–25 μM. The \(K_{SV}\) constants achieved are listed in Table 1.

The \(K_{SV}\) values obtained are between 0.90 and 6.94 \(10^3\) M\(^{-1}\), well below the magnitude expected for classical intercalators, which is in the range \(10^6\) M\(^{-1}\) (viz. three orders of magnitude superior to that observed for 1–5).\(^{[25]}\) The \(K_{SV}\) values for 1–5 are in fact consistent with an external DNA-binding mode.\(^{[26]}\) Such weak interactions with DNA were already suggested by the gel electrophoresis studies without reducing agent, which revealed that 1–5 were no able to significantly alter the structure/conformation of the double helix (see Figure S2).

![Table 1](image)

**Table 1.** Stern–Volmer constants \(K_{SV}\) determined for 1–5 competing with DNA-bound EB\(^{[a]}\)

| Complex | \(K_{SV}\) (10^3 M\(^{-1}\)) | Log \(K_{SV}\) |
|---------|----------------|-------------|
| 1       | 6.94 ± 0.10   | 3.84        |

\(\epsilon_0 = \epsilon_f\) is determined from the slope of the linear Stern–Volmer plot, i.e. \(I_0/I\) versus [complex], obtained using \(\lambda_{em} = 610\) nm. \(\text{[b]}\) The results shown are means ± SD of at least three experiments.

The binding of compounds 1–5 to DNA was then investigated by UV-Vis spectroscopy.\(^{[27]}\) Absorption spectra were recorded for 25 μM solutions of each complex to which were added increasing amounts of ct-DNA (from 1 to 25 μM). The corresponding spectra (range 190–400 nm) for 1, which are representative for all the other compounds (viz. 2–5), are shown in Figure S3.

![Figure 6](image)

**Figure 6.** Absorption spectra (in the range 300–360 nm) of a 25 μM solution of 1 upon addition of increasing amounts of ct-DNA (1–25 μM). The MLCT band at \(\lambda = 316\) nm was used to determine \(K_{SV}\). The red arrow shows the decrease in absorption intensity with increasing [ct-DNA].

Absorption bands in the range 300–360 nm, corresponding to metal-to-ligand charge transfer (MLCT), were used to examine the interaction of 1–5 with ct-DNA (Figures 6 and S4). For 1, a decrease of the absorption band at \(\lambda = 316\) nm is observed when increasing the concentration of ct-DNA (Figure 6). No red shifts of the absorption bands take place, therefore indicating that 1 is not intercalating between base pairs,\(^{[28]}\) as already suggested by the fluorescence studies (see above); in fact, it appears that 1 interacts electrostatically with the biomolecule.\(^{[29, 30]}\) The intrinsic binding constant characterizing this interaction, namely \(K_0\), can be estimated using equation (3):

\[
\frac{[\text{DNA}]}{[\text{DNA}]} = \frac{[\text{DNA}]}{[\text{DNA}]} + \frac{1}{K_0(\epsilon_0 - \epsilon_f)} \quad (3)
\]
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In equation (3), [DNA] represents the concentration of ct-DNA in base pairs, \(k_s\) is the extinction coefficient at the given [ct-DNA], \(k_f\) is the extinction coefficient of the free complex in solution (determined from \(A_{\text{free}}/\text{[complex]}\)), and \(k_d\) is the extinction coefficient for the fully bound compound. The \(K_N\) values for 1-5 were thus determined, which are listed in Table 1.

| Complex | \(K_N \times 10^5 \text{ M}^{-1}\) | Log \(K_N\) |
|---------|-----------------|---------|
| 1       | 3.41 ± 0.79     | 6.53    |
| 2       | 0.22 ± 0.06     | 5.34    |
| 3       | 0.05 ± 0.02     | 6.02    |
| 4       | 1.96 ± 0.64     | 6.29    |
| 5       | 0.71 ± 0.05     | 5.85    |

[a] The \(K_N\) values are determined from the ratio of the slope to the intercept of the corresponding linear [DNA]/(\(k_s - k_f\)) vs. [DNA] plots, which are obtained for [complex] : [DNA] ratios ≤ 1:1 (\(\lambda = 316 \text{ nm} \) (1), \(\lambda = 312 \text{ nm} \) (2), \(\lambda = 315 \text{ nm} \) (3), \(\lambda = 337 \text{ nm} \) (4), \(\lambda = 322 \text{ nm} \) (5)). [b] The results shown are means ± SD of at least three experiments.

A \(K_N\) value of 3.41 ± 0.79 \(10^5 \text{ M}^{-1}\) is obtained for 1 (Table 1), illustrating a strong interaction of this compound with the double helix. Compounds 2-4 exhibit similar features (Figure S4 and Table 1), namely hypochromism without red shifting is observed, indicating that they are most likely acting as “outside binders.”\[26\] Though, the interactions are weaker than that of 1, with 1 >> 4 >> 3 >> 2 (see Table 1).

Compound 5 shows a distinct behaviour, indeed, hyperchromism is observed (Figure S4). Both hypochromism and hyperchromism suggest structural changes of the DNA duplex. Hypochromism may be due to intercalation (if accompanied by a red shift) or to electrostatic contacts (as probably occurring with 1-4) of the interacting compound.\[27, 28\] Hyperchromism may result from external binding (e.g. surface binding).\[29, 30\] for instance of a cationic species to the negatively charged phosphate backbone.\[29\] Thus, 5 appears to interact at the periphery of the DNA double helix.

**Cytotoxicity assays**

The cytotoxic properties of 1-5 were assessed using HeLa (cervix adenocarcinoma) cells, A2780 (ovarian carcinoma) cells and their cisplatin-resistant line A2780cis. \(IC_{50}\) values were determined after 24 and 72 hours of incubation at 37 °C. The data achieved for 1-5 and those reported for cisplatin are listed in Table 2.

Table 2. Intrinsic binding constants \(K_N\) determined for 1-5 interacting with ct-DNA[\[h\]]

The activities of \(\text{CtNTPy}\)-based 4 and 5 are even more remarkable, particularly that of 4 (Table 3). In fact, while these compounds are poorly cytotoxic after 24 h incubation, they became significantly more efficient after 72 h incubation (Table 3). Hence, the activity of 5 is 13 times higher against A2780 cells after 72 h incubation, 17 times higher against A2780cis cells and 50 times against HeLa cells (Table 3). For 4, the incubation-time effect is even more drastic; the cytotoxicity goes from 10 to 0.9 \(\mu M\) (ca. 120 times higher efficiency) with A2780 cells, and from 128 to 3.34 \(\mu M\) (38 times increased activity) with A2780cis ones and from 40.64 to 1.44 \(\mu M\) (28 times more active) against HeLa cells. Such variation of the cytotoxic properties of 4 (and of 5) may be explained by a modification of the compound in solution with time. Therefore, absorption spectra of a solution of 4 were recorded during a period of 72 hours. The spectroscopic data
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presented in Figure S5 only show the occurrence of a slight hyperchromism with time; no wavelength changes nor appearance of new bands are observed, thus suggesting that 4 is rather stable in solution. One possibility would be that the chloride at the 4'-position of the ligand Cltpy is slowly hydrolysed, giving rise to the formation of more active (and more hydrophilic) species. However, more studies are definitely required to elucidate the exact origin of the “delayed” activities observed for 4 and 5.

The resistant factors of A2780cis cells against cisplatin towards its parental cell line, range from 4.5 after 24 h to 5 after 72 h incubation time (Table S7). Complexes 1-5, especially after 24 h incubation time, show little difference in cytotoxicity against A2780 cells and its resistant cell line, the resistant factors ranging from 0.7 to 1.4 (Table S7). After 72 h incubation time, the complexes also display reduced resistant factors in the A2780cis cell line towards the parental cell line, ranging from 1 to 3.7 (Table S7).

Apoptosis assays

Cells undergoing apoptosis specifically translocate phospholipids such as phosphatidylserine (PS) from the inner face of the plasma membrane to outside of the cell surface. Annexin-V is a phospholipid-binding protein having high affinity for phosphatidylserine; therefore, detection of PS can be achieved by staining with Annexin-V followed by flow cytometry analysis. Cells are simultaneously stained with propidium iodide (PI), which can only enter cells with damaged plasma membrane and stains the DNA. Dual staining makes it possible to distinguish between early apoptotic cells (Annexin-V+, PI−) and late apoptotic (Annexin-V+, PI+) and necrotic/damaged cells (Annexin-V−, PI+). The results of Annexin-V/propidium iodide (PI) double staining study by flow cytometry are shown in Table 4. Viable cells are drastically reduced after 24 h of treatment at IC50 concentrations with cisplatin and copper complexes. Also, it was noticed that the rate of late apoptosis in HeLa cells treated with compound 4 was 14.68 %, a value close to that of cisplatin, whereas complexes 1, 2, 3 and 5 presented higher values from 21.5 to 29.5 % (Table 4). Finally, the ratio of early apoptotic cells decreased in all treatments with the copper compounds. It is also interesting to note that for compounds 1 and 2, the percentage of cells in the R4 quadrant that corresponds to necrotic or damaged dead cells increased significantly from 7.94 % in the case of cisplatin to 35.47 and 36.09 % for 1 and 2, respectively.

Table 4. Cell-cycle studies: percentages (%) of HeLa cells in each state after treatment with complexes 1-5 and cisplatin at IC50 concentrations for 24 h.

| Compd. | vital (R1) | Early apoptotic cells (R2) | Late apoptosis (R3) | Necrotic/damaged cells (R4) |
|--------|------------|---------------------------|--------------------|-----------------------------|
| Control | 86.06      | 3.65                      | 7.55               | 2.74                        |
| cisplatin | 60.89      | 15.99                     | 15.18              | 7.94                        |

Conclusions

Five copper complexes have been prepared from terpyridine-based ligands with the objective to study their cytotoxic properties. The compounds all show interesting cytotoxic activities, but their cancer-cell growth inhibitory behaviors depend of the nature of the functional group at the 4’ position of the terpyridine unit (viz. at the para position of the central pyridine ring). If this functional group is a naphthalen-2-yl group, i.e. compounds 1–3, the activities observed are elevated after 24 h incubation with the three cell lines tested, clearly higher than that of cisplatin under the same experimental conditions. After 72 h incubation, IC50 values in the submicromolar range (down to 0.08 ± 0.02 μM (that is 80 nM) can even be achieved. Remarkably, when position 4’ is occupied by a chlorine atom, the resulting copper complexes, namely compounds 4 and 5, are almost inactive after 24 h incubation with the different cells, but their cytotoxic properties dramatically increase after 72 h of incubation. Hence, the IC50 values of 4 and 5 increase by up to 120 times, after 2 additional days of incubation. Such increase of the activity with time may be of interest for practical applications; it can indeed be interesting to administer a therapeutic compound whose activity is not immediate but is reached after some time (prodrug). These evolving behaviors of 4 and 5 may be explained by the slow hydrolysis of the 4’-chlorine (generating 4'-hydroxy-2,2’:6’,2''-terpyridine), producing species with improved cytotoxicity or/and hydrophilicity. This hypothesis will be verified in a subsequent study, whose results will be reported in due time.

Experimental Section

Materials: all reagents and solvents were obtained from commercial sources and used without further purification. [Cu(H2O)(1,10-phenanthroline)2][NO3]2, the reference copper-based AMN, was prepared following a reported procedure.[38] The ligand 4’-[(naphthalen-2-yl)methoxy]-2,2':6',2''-terpyridine (Naphtpy) was synthesized as described earlier.[44] pBR322 plasmid DNA was purchased from Roche and calf thymus DNA was obtained from Sigma-Aldrich.

Instrumentation and methods: All reactions were performed under aerobic conditions. 1H and 13C[39] NMR spectra were recorded at room temperature with a Varian Unity 400 MHz spectrometer. Proton and carbon chemical shifts are reported in parts per million (ppm, δ scale) and are referenced to the residual solvent peaks. Infrared spectra (KBr
Preparation of the copper(II) complexes

**Compound 1:** 0.195 mmol (76 mg) of Naphtpy was dissolved in 15 mL of warm methanol at 40 ºC. This solution was subsequently added to a warm methanolic solution (10 mL) of CuCl₂·2H₂O (0.293 mmol; 50 mg). The resulting reaction mixture was stirred at 40 ºC for 4 hours. After filtration, the blue solution obtained was left unperturbed for the slow evaporation of the solvent. Dark-green single crystals of 1, suitable for X-ray diffraction measurements, were obtained with a yield of 71% (86 mg; based on Naphtpy). Elemental analyses calcd. for CuH₉ClCu₂Na₂O₆: C, 50.13; H, 3.25; N, 6.57. Found: C, 50.02; H, 3.07; N, 6.75.

**Compound 2:** 0.185 mmol (72 mg) of Naphtpy was dissolved in 15 mL of warm methanol at 40 ºC. Next, this solution was added to a warm methanolic solution of Cu(ClO₄)₂·6H₂O (0.270 mmol; 100 mg). The resulting reaction mixture was stirred at 40 ºC for 4 hours. After filtration, the blue solution obtained was left unperturbed for the slow evaporation of the solvent. Blue single crystals of 2, suitable for X-ray diffraction measurements, were obtained with a yield of 79% (86 mg; based on Naphtpy). Elemental analyses calcd. for Cu₃H₃Cu₃ClNa₂O₆·2H₂O: C, 53.12; H, 3.26; N, 7.62. IR (KBr pellet): \( \bar{\nu} = 3412, 3278, 3031, 2936, 1613, 1571, 1476, 1407, 1225, 1037, 822, 792 \text{ cm}^{-1} \).

**Compound 3:** 0.439 mmol (171 mg) of Naphtpy was dissolved in 50 mL of warm methanol at 40 ºC. 0.440 mmol (75 mg) of CuCl₂·2H₂O was dissolved in 10 mL of methanol at 40 ºC. The two solutions were cooled down to room temperature and subsequently mixed. The resulting light-green solution was stirred at room temperature for 4 hours. After filtration, the solution was left unperturbed for the slow evaporation of the solvent. Blue single crystals of 3, suitable for X-ray diffraction measurements, were obtained with a yield of 63% (160 mg; based on Naphtpy). Elemental analyses calcd. for C₅₃H₃₃Cl₃Cu₃Na₂O₆ (2·2H₂O): C, 59.61; H, 3.66; N, 8.02. Found: C, 56.41; H, 3.81; N, 8.27. IR (KBr pellet): \( \bar{\nu} = 3417, 3057, 1604, 1570, 1478 \text{ cm}^{-1} \).

**Compound 4:** 0.386 mmol (103 mg) of Cltpy was dissolved in 15 mL of warm methanol at 40 ºC. This solution was subsequently added to a warm methanolic solution (10 mL) of CuCl₂·2H₂O (0.381 mmol; 65 mg). The resulting reaction mixture was stirred at 40 ºC for 4 hours. After filtration, the green solution was left unperturbed for the slow evaporation of the solvent. Light-green single crystals of 4, suitable for X-ray diffraction measurements, were obtained with a yield of 83% (127 mg; based on Cu). Elemental analyses calcd. for C₃₃H₁₈Cl₂CuNa₃: C, 44.80; H, 2.51; N, 10.45. Found: C, 44.80; H, 2.51; N, 10.45. IR (KBr pellet): \( \bar{\nu} = 3091, 3034, 1591, 1567, 1470, 1417, 1017, 791 \text{ cm}^{-1} \).

**Compound 5:** 0.374 mmol (100 mg) of Cltpy was dissolved in 15 mL of warm methanol at 40 ºC. This solution was added to a warm solution of Cu(ClO₄)₂·6H₂O (0.351 mmol; 130 mg) in 10 mL of methanol. The resulting reaction mixture was stirred at 40 ºC for 4 hours. After filtration, the blue solution was left unperturbed for the slow evaporation of the solvent. 5 was obtained as a crystalline material with a yield of 42% (154 mg, based on copper). Elemental analyses calcd. for Cu₃H₃Cl₃Cu₃Na₂O₆·2H₂O (CuCltpy)·[ClO₄]₃·3H₂O, namely for [Cu(Cltpy)·[ClO₄]₃·(H₂O)]: C, 44.16; H, 2.72; N, 10.30. Found: C, 44.62; H, 2.56; N, 10.10. IR (KBr pellet): \( \bar{\nu} = 3357, 3080, 1597, 1558, 1476, 1247, 1086, 792, 622 \text{ cm}^{-1} \). It can be stressed that the solid-state structures of [Cu(Cltpy)·[ClO₄]₃·(H₂O)]·2H₂O and [Cu(Cltpy)·[ClO₄]₃·(H₂O)]·3H₂O have been reported.

**X-ray diffraction**

Data for compounds 1, 2, 3 and 4 were obtained at 100 K on a Bruker APEX II CCD diffractometer at the Advanced Light Source beam-line 11.3.1 at Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, from a silicon 111 monochromator (\( \lambda = 0.77490 \AA \)), respectively on a blue plate of dimensions 0.19 × 0.04 × 0.02 mm³, a blue block of dimensions 0.03 × 0.01 mm³, a blue-green block of dimensions 0.22 × 0.15 × 0.10 mm³ and a blue-green block of dimensions 0.22 × 0.06 × 0.06 mm³. Crystals of 1 were found to be twinned. Twinning was found within APEX using R-LATT[41] and then analysed with CELL_NOW[44] that found the proper unit cell, twinning law and ascribed reflection to either or both components. Cell refinement and integration were then performed by SAINT[41] as a 2-component twin, keeping the cell of both components identical. TWINABS[41] was used for absorption corrections and produced HKLF4 and HKL5 data, respectively for initial structure solution and final refinement. Data reduction and absorption corrections for compounds 2, 3 and 4 were performed with SAINT and SADABS, respectively. The structures were solved by intrinsic phasing with SHELXT[42]. All structures were refined by full-matrix least-squares on F² with SHELXL[43].

All details can be found in CCDC 1983582-1983585 that contain the supplementary crystallographic data for this paper. These data can be obtained free of charge from The Cambridge Crystallographic Data Center via https://summary.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/structure-summary-form. It should be noted that the structure of a polymorph of compound 4 has been reported (CCDC entry 1021666).[17]

**Agarose Gel electrophoresis**

Stock solutions of the different complexes were prepared in 1 mM sodium cacodylate–20 mM NaCl buffer (pH = 7.2). pBR322 plasmid DNA aliquots (0.01 mg mL⁻¹; 15 µL in base pairs) in 1 mM cacodylate–20 mM NaCl buffer were incubated with 1–4 for 1 h at 37 ºC. For the studies with the presence of a reducing agent, ascorbic acid (1 mM cacodylate–20 mM NaCl buffer) was subsequently added (in the case of the experiments without ascorbic acid, this step was not done), and the resulting mixture was incubated at 37 ºC for an additional hour. Then, the reaction samples were quenched with 4 µL of a xylene cyanol 1X aqueous solution (containing 30% (v/v) glycerol, 0.25% (w/v) bromophenol blue and 0.25% (w/v) xylene cyanol), and consequently electrophoretized in agarose gel (1% in TAE buffer) for 1 h at 1.5 V cm⁻¹ using a Bio-Rad horizontal tank connected to a Consort EV231 variable potential power supply. Afterwards, the DNA was stained with SYBR® safe and the gel was photographed with a BIORAD Gel Doc™ EZ Imager. Samples of free DNA and DNA in the presence of ascorbic acid were used as controls.

**Fluorescence spectroscopy**

Stock solutions (1 mL) of 1–4 (5 mM) were prepared in mill-Q water. These stock solutions were freshly prepared (viz. just before use). The samples to be analysed were prepared by addition of aliquots of the complex stock solutions (in the concentration range 5–200 µM) to the appropriate volume of calf thymus DNA (ct-DNA) in 1 mM sodium phosphate buffer. 
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Cytotoxicity studies

Human ovarian carcinoma cell line A2780 (ECACC 93112519) and its derived cisplatin-resistant cell line A2780 cis (ECACC 93112517) were routinely maintained in RPMI 1640 medium ( Gibco) supplemented with 10% heat-inactivated Foetal Bovine Serum (FBS), Glutamax™ (Gibco) and 1% Antibiotic-Antimycotic solution ( Gibco), in standard growth conditions (37 °C and 5% CO₂). Human cervix adenocarcinoma cell line HeLa (ATCC CCL-2™) was routinely maintained in MEM α medium without nucleosides (Gibco) supplemented with 10% heat-inactivated FBS, Glutamax™ (Gibco) and 1% Antibiotic-Antimycotic solution ( Gibco), in standard growth conditions. All tested compounds were kept in DMSO stocks at 50 μM and stored at -20 °C. All working concentrations were prepared in cell culture media with a maximum of 0.5% of DMSO.

Cells in exponential growth were plated in 96-well plates at a density of 3 x 10⁴ cells/well and were allowed to grow overnight. Next, the cells were treated with different concentrations of each compound, ranging from 100 nM to 200 μM, during 24 h and 72 h of incubation, and 10 μL of PrestoBlue reagent (Invitrogen) were subsequently added following the standard protocol.[40] After 3 h of incubation, fluorescence was measured using a VictorX multwell microplate reader (excitation at 531 nm emission at 572 nm) (Perkin Elmer). The relative cell viability (%) for each sample related to the control cells without treatment was calculated. Each sample was tested in triplicates, in three independent experiments.

In vitro apoptosis assay

Induction of apoptosis in vitro by all compounds was determined by means of a flow cytometric assay with Annexin V-FITC by using an Annexin Annexin V-FITC Staining Kit (eBioscience, Thermo Scientific). HeLa cells in exponential growth were plated in 6-well plates at a density of 1 x 10⁵ cells/well and were allowed to grow overnight. Subsequently, the cells were treated with each compound, using concentrations equal to their respective IC₅₀ for 24 h (cisplatin was used as a reference, and untreated cells as a negative control). The cells were collected, washed with PBS, and resuspended in binding buffer (200 μL) and staining was accomplished by following the manufacturer’s protocol. In brief, Annexin V–fluorescein isothiocyanate (FITC; 5 μL) and Propidium Iodide (10 μL) were added to the samples, which were then incubated 15 min at room temperature in the dark. The number of apoptotic cells was analysed by means of flow cytometry (FACSCalibur, Becton Dickinson).
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