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Background and purpose — Albeit pediatric flexible flat foot (FFF) is a common condition, only a minority of patients become symptomatic. Long-term outcomes of surgically treated pediatric patients with symptomatic FFF are largely unknown. In this systematic review, studies providing outcomes at a mean follow-up of at least 4 years after the procedure in these patients were analyzed.

Material and methods — A PubMed search was undertaken involving original articles published up to July 2021 on outcome in children aged 6 to 14 with surgically treated FFF and mean (or minimum) follow-up of at least 4 years. Radiographic and clinical outcomes were analyzed.

Results — Of initially 541 entries, 10 could be included in the systematic review (all level IV), involving 846 pediatric patients with 1,536 symptomatic FFF. Pooled mean radiological (n = 8) and clinical follow-up (n = 10) was 5.3 (range 0.5–15) and 7.0 (range 4.1–15) years, respectively. Surgical procedures included arthroereisis (n = 8), lateral column lengthening (n = 1), and Horseman procedure (n = 1). Overall relative frequency of implant-associated complications and wound-healing problems was 3.2% and 1.3%, as well as 2.8% and 1.6% following subtalar arthroereisis only. From preoperative to latest radiological assessment following subtalar arthroereisis (including 3 studies with radiological follow-up < 48 months), pooled median decrease in talonavicular coverage angle (TNCA; −9.2°), anteroposterior talocalcaneal angle (A-TCA; −6.5°), lateral talocalcaneal angle (L-TCA; −3.5°), talar declination angle (TDA; −14°), Moreau Costa Bertani angle (MCB; −13°), and talo-first-metatarsal angle (L-T1MA; −10°) was observed, as was an increase in calcaneal pitch (4.5°).

Interpretation — In symptomatic pediatric FFF patients, surgery is associated with a manageable complication profile, and results in satisfactory long-term clinical as well as radiological outcome. Yet scientific evidence is low, warranting larger scaled studies in the future.

A flexible flat foot (FFF)—or pes planovalgus—is usually found as a norm variant in children before the age of 5 years (1). Thereafter, constant increase of the foot’s medial arch leads to reduction of this innocuous physiologic norm variant (1). FFF may persist in some children, with the prevalence being higher in boys and obese children (2). However, only a minority of children with persistent idiopathic FFF will become symptomatic—usually with pain and shoe-wearing problems. Nevertheless, FFF (both symptomatic and asymptomatic) is considered the most frequent condition leading to referral to a pediatric orthopedist (3-5). Moreover, up to 20% of adults have a persisting flat foot deformity (1).

Apart from evident alterations in gait pattern due to advanced FFF, reasons why FFF become symptomatic in some people are largely unknown (6). Related to this, the effect of orthopedic arch supports vs. no treatment at all appears to be comparable in pediatric FFF, particularly in asymptomatic cases, although long-term results (beyond 2 years) are largely missing (7-9). For example, in the study by Whitford and Esterman, no difference in pain, exercise efficiency, self-perception, or gross motor proficiency was found at 3 or 12 months between children with symptomatic FFF treated by ready-made or custom-made orthopedic insoles, or no intervention (10). Prior to any surgical intervention, nonoperative treatment is obligatory in symptomatic, non-syndromic symptomatic FFF (11). Thus, initial treatment focuses on nonoperative interventions such as stretching of the triceps surae and orthopedic insoles based on the notion that FFF persisting beyond 5 years of age may be a residual norm variant (1). If nonoperative treatment fails, decision for surgical therapy may be made. However, surgery should be performed only after careful evaluation of changes in patient-reported symptoms and/or foot deformity following conservative treatment, and is almost never indicated in the first decade of life (11).

The type of surgery depends on the extent of abnormalities present (1,12,13). Surgical approaches consist of repositioning...
procedures such as joint-preserving osteotomies, arthroereisis, and—though seldom performed—also arthrodesis. The Evans osteotomy is a joint-preserving procedure, constituting a calcaneal lengthening osteotomy aimed at reconstructing the insufficient length of the foot’s lateral column, and consecutively leading to varus displacement (1). Yet, complications such as graft subsidence, wound dehiscence, and injury to the sural nerve have to be anticipated (14).

Arthroereisis, another surgical method to treat FFF, aims at restricting subtalar joint eversion (15-17). Notably, pain in the sinus tarsi area, nerve injuries, and wound complications, as well as screw loosening or breakage, constitute potential complications following subtalar arthroereisis (18). Furthermore, soft tissue procedures may either be added as corrective treatments following joint-preserving osteotomies or arthroereisis (e.g., Achilles tendon lengthening), or constitute a primary technique for FFF correction (e.g., Young procedure). However, sole soft tissue correction is nowadays largely avoided due to unsatisfactory results by insufficient correction of all underlying deformities (15,19).

Arthrodesis (e.g., of the talonavicular or subtalar joint) is another treatment option in FFF, though because of its negative impact on pedal-loading forces with increased risk of premature degenerative changes of adjacent joints, this should be considered with great caution (15,20-22). Moreover, this procedure in particular presents a certain risk of under- or over-correction.

Whilst short-term effects—also within randomized controlled trials (23,24)—are frequently reported after surgical treatment of FFF, only a few studies have concentrated on long-term results, with often non-standardized and heterogeneous assessment of outcomes (6,25,26).

Considering that loss of correction, complications, and patient discomfort may increase with time, the current systematic review was performed to collectively analyze long-term outcomes in children with surgically treated, symptomatic FFF providing a mean follow-up of at least 4 years after the procedure.

---

**Material and methods**

In this systematic review initiated in July 2021, all original, English or German full-text articles indexed in PubMed up to July 2021 (with no retroactive time limit) and analyzing the outcome in children (aged between 6 and 14 years) treated surgically for symptomatic FFF and reporting a mean (or minimum) follow-up of at least 4 years were potentially eligible. The age range was chosen based on the definition proposed by the American Academy of Pediatrics, with middle childhood defined as age range between 5 and 10 years, and early adolescence between 11 and 14 years (27). The following search terms were used (see Supplementary Table 1 for PubMed query): “pediatric pes planovalgus,” “pediatric pes planovalgus AND long term,” “pediatric pes planovalgus AND surgery,” and “pediatric pes planovalgus AND pain.”

Exclusion criteria were case reports, expert opinions, (systematic) reviews, or meta-analyses. Furthermore, publications dealing with FFF in adults, reporting on mean follow-up of less than 4 years (clinical and/or radiological), articles involving cohorts with more than 50% of patients affected by underlying disease (e.g., cerebral palsy, residual club-foot deformity), and diagnostic studies only were excluded.

The literature search and selection process were performed adhering to the PRISMA guidelines.

**Data items**

The following variables were ascertained from each study and included: type of study (nonoperative vs. operative), detailed type of intervention (e.g., subtalar arthroereisis, lateral column lengthening), number of patients, number of feet, mean age of patients at time of intervention (or minimum/maximum, if no measure of central tendency provided), information on preceding nonoperative treatment, mean/median clinical follow-up (or minimum, if no measure of central tendency available), mean/median radiological follow-up (or minimum, if no measure of central tendency provided), additional soft tissue or bone surgery, complications (hardware failure, wound healing deficits), outcome parameter AOFAS (American Orthopaedic Foot & Ankle Society) score, and radiographic measurements based on AP and lateral radiographs of the foot. On the AP view, these included: talonavicular coverage angle (TNCA) and talocalcaneal angle (A-TCA; Kite’s angle; Figure 1). The following radiographic measurements based on the lateral view of the foot were obtained: talocalcaneal angle (L-TCA), talo-first-metatarsal angle (L-T1MA; Meary’s angle), calcaneal pitch, talar declination angle (TDA), and Moreau Costa Bertani angle (MCB; angle formed by line from lower point of posterior calcaneal tuberosity to lower point of talonavicular joint, and line from lower point of talonavicular joint to lower point of posterior calcaneal tuberosity (28); Figure 1). As studies provided complication rates either for patients or feet (or both), relative frequencies were calculated based on
the number of patients or feet analyzed. The overall relative frequency was derived from calculated percentages (per feet or patients). Evidence level was assessed as defined by the Oxford Centre for Evidence-Based Medicine (OCEBM) (29).

The Newcastle Ottawa Scale (NOS) for case-control and non-randomized cohort studies was used for quality assessment (30). The NOS ranges between 0 and 9 points, with higher values indicative of higher quality studies (30). Points are allocated in categories selection (max. 4 points), comparability (max. 2 points), and exposure (for case-control studies; max. 3 points) or outcome (for cohort studies; max. 3 points) (30).

Statistics

As none of the studies meeting the defined inclusion criteria compared 2 different treatment modalities, only descriptive and exploratory analyses of pooled results deriving from all studies included were performed. Means (SD) were reported for normally distributed continuous variables, and medians (IQR) for non-normally distributed continuous variables. In subgroup analysis, as 8 out of 10 studies reported on outcomes following subtalar arthroereisis, results of these studies were pooled, whereas results of the latter 2 studies were given—if applicable—as single values only.

Results

Literature search retrieved 541 entries, of which 10 studies could be finally included in the qualitative and quantitative analysis (Figure 2; Table 1).

Study characteristics

Overall, the 10 studies comprised 846 children with 1,536 symptomatic FFF (25,26,28,31-37). The male to female ratio was 1.8:1. Patients’ mean age at intervention was 11.3 years (1.9). All articles included reported on level IV studies. Mean NOS was 4.9 points (0.8). Mean radiological follow-up of the 8 studies reporting on radiological outcomes was 63 months (57; 3 studies provided minimum follow-up time only). Pooled mean clinical follow-up over the entire cohort was 84 months (43; 1 provided median follow-up only).

8 of 10 studies reported on long-term outcomes after subtalar arthroereisis, and 1 study each on results following lateral column lengthening or Horseman procedure. The optional use of additional soft tissue procedures (e.g., Achilles tendon lengthening) was reported in 6 out of 10 studies (Table 1). In 5 of the 10 studies, unsuccessful nonoperative treatment had preceded the operative therapy, whilst in the remaining stud-

Table 1. Characteristics of studies included in systematic review (n = 10)

| Study     | Treatment type a | Soft tissue procedures                                      | Level of evidence NOS | Males: Females (n) | Patients (n) | Mean age b | Follow-up (months) |
|-----------|------------------|------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|--------------------|--------------|-------------|-------------------|
| Mazzotti (26) | SA               | Achilles tendon lengthening (23 feet)                      | IV 5                   | 21:13              | 34           | 64          | 12.0              |
| Bernasconi (33) | SA            | Achilles tendon lengthening (23 feet)                      | IV 4                   | 22:9               | 31           | 62          | 10.5              |
| Memmo (36)   | SA               | Achilles tendon lengthening (23 feet)                      | IV 6                   | Unknown            | 201          | 402         | 13.2              |
| Pavone (28)  | SA               | Achilles tendon lengthening (23 feet)                      | IV 4                   | 38:30              | 68           | 136         | 12.7              |
| Dana (25)    | HP               | Achilles tendon lengthening (10 feet)                      | IV 5                   | 16:7               | 23           | 41          | 6.6               |
| Faldini (31) | SA               | Achilles tendon lengthening (24 feet)                      | IV 5                   | 107:66             | 173          | 283         | 11.2              |
| Giannini (35) | SA             | Achilles tendon lengthening (7 feet)                       | IV 6                   | 31:13              | 44           | 88          | 11.7              |
| Das (34)     | SA               | Peroneus brevis-to-longus transfer (13 feet), Tibialis posterior advancement to navicular (11 feet), Plantar fascia release (4 feet), Achilles tendon lengthening (2 feet), Achilles tendon lengthening (18 feet) | IV 4                   | 10:5               | 15           | 25          | 12.0              |
| Akimau (32)  | LCL              | Peroneus brevis-to-longus transfer (13 feet), Tibialis posterior advancement to navicular (11 feet), Plantar fascia release (4 feet), Achilles tendon lengthening (2 feet), Achilles tendon lengthening (18 feet) | IV 6                   | 157:85             | 242          | 410         | 10.3              |

a Treatment type: SA – subtalar arthroereisis; HP – Horseman procedure; LCL – lateral column lengthening
b at surgery in years.
c approximately; d minimum; e median.

Figure 2. Flowchart showing selection of studies.
ies this had not been explicitly stated. 20% of patients analyzed following lateral column lengthening had an associated condition (32), whereas the remaining 9 studies included only patients with idiopathic symptomatic FFF.

Clinical and functional outcomes

All studies provided numbers on implant-associated complications (i.e., screw loosening or breakage), with an overall relative frequency of 3.2% (Table 2) (25,26,28,31-37). Information on wound-healing problems was likewise available for all studies, occurring at an overall relative frequency of 1.3% (25,26,28,31-37). Following subtalar arthroereisis only (26,28,31,33-37), screw loosening/breakage and wound-healing problems were reported at a relative frequency of 2.8% and 1.6%, respectively (Table 2). Conversion of the initial procedure to another one due to malcorrection was reported in 1 study (5 feet with lateral column lengthening requiring further bony procedures [32]). 4 studies (26,28,31,34) provided information on the patients’ ability to perform sports following surgery (Table 2). At latest follow-up, chronic pain was present in 4.0% of patients, regardless of the procedure (based on 8 studies [25,26,28,31-37]), and in 2.8% of patients following subtalar arthroereisis (based on 7 studies [26,28,31,34-37]). Mean AOFAS at an average follow-up of 86 months (50) was 88 points (13; based on the results of 6 studies [25,26,28,32-34]). 3 of these also reported on preoperative AOFAS scores (mean 68 points [29]), with an average improvement of 19 points (9) until latest follow-up (25,28,34). For patients with subtalar arthroereisis only, preoperative and latest follow-up AOFAS (at a mean of 88 months [53]) were reported by 2 (28,34) and 4 studies (26,28,33,34), respectively, amounting to a mean of 57 points (crude values: 34 and 79 points) and 86 points (14) points. In patients with subtalar arthroereisis, mean AOFAS improvement from preoperative to latest follow-up was 23 points (crude values: 18 and 28 points).

Radiological outcomes

Results on radiographic angles of the foot obtained prior to intervention and at latest follow-up were available in 8 of 10 studies (25,26,28,33-37), with 3 of these reporting on radiological parameters obtained at a mean time of less than 48

| Study                  | Screw loosening/breakage | Wound complications | Conversion to another procedure | Chronic pain | Sporting ability                                      |
|------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------|---------------------------------|--------------|------------------------------------------------------|
| Subtalar arthroereisis  |                          |                     |                                 |              |                                                      |
| Mazzotti (26)          | 34/34                    | 0 (0)               | 0 (0)                           | 0 (0)        | Sports performed on regular basis by 18 patients (53%), and occasionally by 10 patients (29%) |
| Bernasconi (33)        | 62/31                    | 0 (0)               | 0 (0)                           | 43 f (11) b  | Desired sport carried out by 65 patients (96%), non-weight-bearing sports performed by 3 patients (4%) Average period of 4.5 months to resume sports |
| Memeo (36)             | 402/201 a               | 14 f (4)            | 0 (0)                           | 43 f (11) b  | Desired sport carried out by 65 patients (96%), non-weight-bearing sports performed by 3 patients (4%) Average period of 4.5 months to resume sports |
| Pavone (28)            | 136/68                   | 4 f (5)             | 4 f (3)                         | 0 (0)        | Desired sport carried out by 65 patients (96%), non-weight-bearing sports performed by 3 patients (4%) Average period of 4.5 months to resume sports |
| Faldini (31)           | 283/173                  | 3 p (2)             | 0 (0)                           | 1 p (1)      | Desired sport carried out by 65 patients (96%), non-weight-bearing sports performed by 3 patients (4%) Average period of 4.5 months to resume sports |
| Giannini (35)          | 88/44                    | 2 p (5)             | 0 (0)                           | 0 (0)        | Desired sport carried out by 65 patients (96%), non-weight-bearing sports performed by 3 patients (4%) Average period of 4.5 months to resume sports |
| Das (34)               | 25/15                    | 1 p (7)             | 1 p (7)                         | 1 p (7)      | Desired sport carried out by 65 patients (96%), non-weight-bearing sports performed by 3 patients (4%) Average period of 4.5 months to resume sports |
| Pavone (37)            | 410/242                  | 2 p (1 (2.8)       | 7 p (3)                         | 10 p (4 (2.8) | Desired sport carried out by 65 patients (96%), non-weight-bearing sports performed by 3 patients (4%) Average period of 4.5 months to resume sports |
| Relative frequency     |                          |                     |                                 |              |                                                      |
| Horseman procedure     |                          |                     |                                 |              |                                                      |
| Dana (25)              | 41/23                    | 4 f (10)            | 0 (0)                           | 4 f (10)     | Desired sport carried out by 65 patients (96%), non-weight-bearing sports performed by 3 patients (4%) Average period of 4.5 months to resume sports |
| Lateral column lengthening | 25/15                  | 0 (0)               | 5 f (20)                        | 4 (4)        | Desired sport carried out by 65 patients (96%), non-weight-bearing sports performed by 3 patients (4%) Average period of 4.5 months to resume sports |
| Overall relative frequency |                      |                     |                                 |              |                                                      |

Relative and cumulative frequencies provided for studies on subtalar arthroereisis only (n = 8), and all studies combined (n = 10), respectively. a approximately; b at 3 months post-surgery. NA = not applicable.
months following surgery (28,36,37) and 7 analyzing patients with subtalar arthroereisis (26,28,33-37). One study on patients undergoing Horseman procedure revealed a mean increase of 2.6° for calcaneal pitch at a follow-up of 8.9 years (25). For all studies on subtalar arthroereisis combined, a decrease in TNCA was observed from preoperative to latest follow-up (–9.2°), as was a decrease in A-TCA (–6.5°), L-TCA (–3.5°), L-T1MA (–10°), TDA (–13.5°), and MCB (–12.7°; Table 3). Calcaneal pitch showed an overall increase from preoperative to latest follow-up (+4.5°; Table 3).

For those 4 subtalar arthroereisis studies with an individual mean radiological follow-up of ≥ 48 months only (25,26,33-35), median final TNCA was 13.8° (IQR 12.3°–15.2°), and median L-T1MA 9° (7°–18°), and median calcaneal pitch 17° (IQR 17°–22°; Figure 3). Furthermore, median MCB was 135° (IQR 129°–136°). In other words, a decrease in TNCA (–9.2°), A-TCA (−7.9°), L-T1MA (–10°), and MCB (–9.3°) was observed, as was an increase in calcaneal pitch (+4.8°). Notably, TDA at follow-up ≥ 48 months was reported by 1 study only (34), being 24.7° and having decreased by 19.6° from preoperative to last assessment (Figure 3).

### Discussion

The 10 studies included in this review were all low evidence (level IV). The resulting average Newcastle Ottawa Scale amounted to 4.9 points, being lower than the 5.7 points

| Study          | Follow-up (months) | TNCA | A-TCA | L-TCA | L-T1MA | Pitch | TDA | MCB |
|----------------|--------------------|------|-------|-------|--------|-------|-----|-----|
| Subtalar arthroereisis |                    |      |       |       |        |       |     |     |
| Radiological follow-up ≥ 48 months  |                   |      |       |       |        |       |     |     |
| Mazzotti (26) | 180                | 27°  | 26°   | 43°   | 13°    | 15°   | 138°| 129°|
| Latest FU    | 15°                | 22°  | 43°   | 7°    | 17°    | 129°  |     |     |
| Difference   | −12°              | −4.2°| 0.1°  | −6.3° | 1.8°   | −9.3° |     |     |
| p-value      | < 0.001           | > 0.05| N.S. | < 0.001| < 0.05| < 0.001| |
| Bernasconi (33) | 62             | 19°  | 29°   | 40°   | 12°    | 144°  |     |     |
| Latest FU    | 12°                | 21°  | 33°   | 17°   | 135°   |       |     |     |
| Difference   | −6.9°             | −8.0°| −7.0° | 4.8°  | −8.9°  |       |     |     |
| p-value      | 0.04              | 0.004| N.S.  | < 0.001| < 0.001| < 0.001|     |
| Das (34)     | 54                 | 31°  | 33°   | 15°   | 44°    | 148°  |     |     |
| Latest FU    | 23°                | 18°  | 22°   | 25°   | 136°   |       |     |     |
| Difference   | −7.8°             | −15° | 6.7°  | −20°  | −12°   |       |     |     |
| p-value      | < 0.05            | < 0.05| < 0.05| < 0.05| < 0.05 |       |     |     |
| Giannini (35) | 48                | 40°  | 19°   | 12°   | 137°   |       |     |     |
| Latest FU    | 29°                | 9.4° | 17°   | 124°   |       |       |     |     |
| Difference   | −11°              | −10.0°|     |       |       |       |     |     |
| p-value      | < 0.001           | < 0.001|     |       |       |       |     |     |
| Radiological follow-up < 48 months |                   |      |       |       |        |       |     |     |
| Pavone (28)  | 36                 | 31°  | 13°   | 44°   | 156°   | 136°  |     |     |
| Latest FU    | 25°                | 16°  | 31°   | 136°   |       |       |     |     |
| Difference   | −6.5°             | −14° | 4.0°  | −13°  | −8.9°  |       |     |     |
| p-value      | < 0.001           | < 0.001| < 0.001| < 0.001| < 0.001|       |     |     |
| Memeo (36)   | 12                 | 28°  | 13°   | 35°   | 137°   |       |     |     |
| Latest FU    | 22°                | 18°  | 30°   | 124°   |       |       |     |     |
| Difference   | −6.5°             | −4.9°| 13°   | −13°  | −8.9°  |       |     |     |
| p-value      | NA                | NA   | NA    | NA    |       |       |     |     |
| Pavone (37)  | 6                  | 31°  | 13°   | 43°   | 155°   |       |     |     |
| Latest FU    | 25°                | 17°  | 30°   | 133°   |       |       |     |     |
| Difference   | −6.1°             | −13° | 4.2°  | −13°  | −8.9°  |       |     |     |
| p-value      | < 0.001           | < 0.001| < 0.001| < 0.001| < 0.001|       |     |     |
| Overall difference |               | −9.2°| −6.5°| −3.5°| −10°    | 4.5° | −13.5°| −12.7°|
| IQR, lower   | −11.5°            | −8.0°| −7.0°| −14.7°| 3.7°  | −16.6°| −20.3°|
| IQR, upper   | −6.9°             | −5.9°| 0.1   | 6.3°  | 4.8°  | −9.1° | −9.3°|
| Horseman procedure |              |      |       |       |        |       |     |     |
| Dana (25)    | 107                | 11°  | 13°   | 15°   |       |       |     |     |
| Latest FU    | 10°                | 12°  |       |       |       |       |     |     |
| Difference   | 2.6°              | N.S. |       |       |       |       |     |     |
| p-value      | 0.03              |       |       |       |       |       |     |     |

n.s. = not significant. NA = not applicable.
reported in a systematic review on risk of fall after orthopedic procedures upon peripheral nerve blockage (38), and the 6.7 points resulting from a systematic review on treatment of plantar fasciopathy (39). Systematic reviews and meta-analyses of common adult pathologies such as anterior cruciate ligament injuries and arthroplasties frequently include more than 20 studies, and usually several with high evidence levels (40-43). Furthermore, there are only a few randomized controlled trials on treatment of FFF, all with short-to-mid-term follow-up only (23,24,44-46); therefore they were not considered in this systematic review with a focus on long-term effects. Albeit based on retrospective, non-randomized cohort studies, the pooled results herein following surgery for symptomatic FFF appear promising in terms of complications and radiological outcome.

An important part of outcome measure reporting following orthopedic procedures should be presentation of surgical complications. The observed wound healing problem rate in the current study is comparable to that for other pediatric foot surgeries, e.g., split tibialis anterior tendon transfer for spastic equinovarus feet (between 0.8% and 5.9% wound complication rates) (47,48). It should be noted, though, that surgery-associated complications were not uniformly defined (e.g., by using the definition of the Modified Clavien–Dindo System [MCD] (49)), so that comparison of complication severity between studies, as well as with studies on other pathologies, is generally impaired. Furthermore, the 4.0% chronic pain rate is slightly higher than the 2.6% described following implant removal in pediatric trauma surgery (50), albeit it has to be considered that 1 study in the present systematic review (36) provided 3-month results on complications only, and that the relative frequency for chronic pain following subtalar arthroereisis was lower at 2.8%.

Regarding functional outcomes, the average AOFAS for all studies combined, and for studies on subtalar arthroereisis only, revealed a “good” outcome (51). Moreover, an average increase of 18.8 points was observed from preoperative to last follow-up based on 3 studies on functional outcome. Although this is an evident improvement, there is currently no consensus on the extent to which the AOFAS would have to increase in order to be of clinical relevance (51). Nevertheless, the observed average AOFAS herein is higher than that reported 12 months following orthopedic foot surgeries in general (52) but compares favorably with that observed in children after tarsal coalition surgery (53), and after surgery in children for osteochondral lesions of the talus (54). However, the usefulness of the AOFAS in children has been questioned due to limited validity and reliability (55-57). Therefore, more attention should be paid to patient-reported outcome measures in the future.

Apart from clinical and functional outcome, radiologically measured angles of the foot at final follow-up in comparison with preoperative angles showed improvements towards the values of a normal pediatric foot. For subtalar arthroereisis studies with an individual mean radiological follow-up of ≥ 48 months, a median A-TCA of 23° was found at latest follow-up, being within the normal range of 20° to 40° for infants and children reported by Vanderwilde et al. (58). Likewise, median L-TCA and median calcaneal pitch were slightly below the values defined for normal pediatric feet (13,58), but had overall improved by 5° from preoperative to latest follow-up. With a median L-T1MA of 9.4° at final follow-up, there was still a remnant pes planus component (58), although an overall reduction of 3.5° had been achieved. The radiographic results obtained in the current study are slightly poorer than those reported by Tan et al. in a meta-analysis on subtalar arthroereisis (59). Reasons for this could be differing inclusion criteria deployed, with Tan et al. likewise incorporating studies on short-term outcomes, patients up to the age of 21, and those with flexible, rigid, or spastic flat feet (59).

Notably, 8 out of 10 studies meeting the inclusion criteria reported on long-term outcomes following subtalar arthroereisis, whilst only 2 had analyzed results following other procedures, e.g., lateral column lengthening. This discrepancy may be explained by the fact that subtalar arthroereisis is considered a rather simple and theoretically reversible procedure, whilst definite bony corrections may be associated with complex revision surgeries in case of over- or under-correction. Therefore, long-term studies on the outcome following “definite” surgical procedures are warranted to allow comparison with “temporary” techniques in FFF treatment. None of the 8 studies on subtalar arthroereisis herein analyzed described necessity for conversion to other treatments due to malcorrection. On the other hand, additional bony corrections became necessary in 20% of feet with lateral column lengthening (32). In line with this, the rate of chronic pain as reported in one study on the Horsemans procedure was higher (9.4%) than that observed in patients following subtalar arthroereisis (2.8%).

The pathophysiology of pediatric FFF is complex. Besides bony changes, shortening of the gastro-soleus group is one of the causative factors. Therefore, rebalancing of the gastro-soleus muscle–tendon complex was reported to be part of the surgical procedure in 6 out of 10 studies (25,26,32,34,35,37), achieved by Achilles tendon lengthening in all studies. However, the rate of this procedure was very variable, ranging from 4.4% (37) to 35.9% (26) of feet. In most cases, limited dorsiflexion—defined as < 5° with extended knee—was used as an indication criterion.

There are limitations of our study. First, all studies meeting the defined inclusion criteria were based on level IV retrospective cohorts with a mean NOS of 4.9 points. Our findings must be interpreted bearing a potential selection bias in mind. Second, 3 different surgical procedures were included in this systematic review, with 8 of 10 studies reporting on outcomes following subtalar arthroereisis. Therefore, pooled results were given both for all studies combined as well as for those on subtalar arthroereisis only, to enable a better interpretation of the data depending on the surgical procedure performed. Third, information on clinical and radiological parameters
was inconsistently reported by individual studies, complicating a comprehensive data analysis. Related to this, there was a considerable diversity of participants included, as was similarly the definition of indication for surgical correction of the FFF. Consequently, more homogeneous patient cohorts should be strived for in the future, allowing for distinct conclusions to be drawn.

**Conclusion**

In children with symptomatic FFF refractory to conservative treatment, surgical therapy is associated with a manageable complication profile, and eventually results in satisfactory long-term clinical as well as radiological outcome. Although a clear correlation between clinical and radiological outcomes following surgery for pediatric flexible FFF is yet to be clarified (6), the current pooled results point towards concordant overall improvement in radiological and clinical parameters by surgical therapy. Yet, evidence of studies included herein is low, few provide patient-reported outcome measures, and long-term results on definite bony corrections are still missing. Therefore, larger scaled studies in symptomatic pediatric FFF are warranted, ideally comparing different therapeutic approaches.

---
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