Cannabis, tobacco and domestic fumes intake are associated with nasopharyngeal carcinoma in North Africa
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BACKGROUND: The lifestyle risk factors for nasopharyngeal carcinoma (NPC) in North Africa are not known.

METHODS: From 2002 to 2005, we interviewed 636 patients and 615 controls from Algeria, Morocco and Tunisia, frequency-matched by centre, age, sex, and childhood household type (urban/rural). Conditional logistic regression was used to evaluate the association of lifestyles with NPC risk, controlling for socioeconomic status and dietary risk factors.

RESULTS: Cigarette smoking and snuff (tobacco powder with additives) intake were significantly associated with differentiated NPC but not with undifferentiated carcinoma (UCNT), which is the major histological type of NPC in these populations. As demonstrated by a stratified permutation test and by conditional logistic regression, marijuana smoking significantly elevated NPC risk independently of cigarette smoking, suggesting dissimilar carcinogenic mechanisms between cannabis and tobacco. Domestic cooking fumes intake by using kanoun (compact charcoal oven) during childhood increased NPC risk, whereas exposure during adulthood had less effect. Neither alcohol nor shisha (water pipe) was associated with risk.

CONCLUSION: Tobacco, cannabis and domestic cooking fumes intake are risk factors for NPC in western North Africa.
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Nasopharyngeal carcinoma (NPC) is a rare malignancy in most regions of the world, but is significantly more common in parts of Southeast Asia, western North Africa (Algeria, Morocco and Tunisia) and within the artic circle of North America. Major risk factors include Epstein–Barr virus (EBV) infection, genetic susceptibility, diet and other environmental exposures (Hildesheim and Levine, 1993; Jeannel et al, 1999; Yu and Yuan, 2002; Busson et al, 2004; Chang and Adami, 2006). In North Africa, cigarette smoking, alcohol consumption and cooking in the main living room during childhood have been suggested to be associated with increased NPC risk (Jeannel et al, 1990; Ammor et al, 2003). However, these studies are far from conclusive because of inadequate adjustment for potential confounding factors, small sample size (≤80 cases) and the findings not being significant.

Recently, we carried out a large multi-centric case–control study in Algeria, Morocco and Tunisia of genetic and environmental risk factors for NPC (Feng et al, 2007). In this study, we examined NPC risk in relation to tobacco, cannabis, domestic fumes and alcohol intake, taking into account the effects of associated dietary risk factors, socioeconomic status (SES) and other potential confounders; lifestyles specific to North Africa, such as local cooking practice facilities and local forms of tobacco intake were investigated in detail.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Details of the studied populations are described elsewhere (Feng et al, 2007). In brief, all incident cases diagnosed in 2001–2004 in five hospitals were identified by clinicians in the oncology and radiotherapy departments, and were invited for interview. In addition, some prevalent cases were also recruited, comprising 25% of the total. Controls were hospitalised individuals from 15 non-cancer hospital departments (61%) or friends and family members of non-NPC cancer patients (39%), frequency-matched by centre, age, sex, and childhood household type (urban/rural). Hospitalised controls were recruited from selected departments (see Feng et al, 2007), in which diseases sharing risk factors with NPC were carefully excluded (i.e., ear, nose, and throat.
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Tabouna burnt perfume, cooking with resinous, oily, or other), mode of consumption (smoked, smoked
regarding cannabis consumption included its form (herbal, beverages were estimated as 6.5, 12.5, 20, 32, and 45%, and the
digestive. Average alcohol percentages by volume in these
consumption information included age at initiation and cups per week
were assessed separately from cigarette smoking. Alcohol con-
space).

kitchen, or cooking in open air) or unventilated (absence of
kitchen ventilation status that may affect fumes intake; the latter
included these exposures during childhood and adulthood, and the
heating, or occupational fumes intake. Our questionnaire data
North Africa and Middle East), wood fire cooking, wood fire
water pipe) and

Sources of fumes intake in North Africa are diverse, and include
burnt perfume, cooking with kanoun (a light and compact-sized
oven that runs on charcoal) or tabouna (a traditional oven in
North Africa and Middle East), wood fire cooking, wood fire
heating, or occupational fumes intake. Our questionnaire data
included these exposures during childhood and adulthood, and the
kitchen ventilation status that may affect fumes intake; the latter
was classified as ventilated (presence of windows or chimney in
the kitchen, or cooking in open air) or unventilated (absence of
windows or chimney in the kitchen, or cooking in the main living
space).

Statistical analyses

All statistical analyses were carried out using STATA 9.0 (STATA
Corp. College Station, TX, USA). Conditional logistic regression
with strata defined by sex and centre was used to evaluate the
association of a specific factor with NPC risk, adjusting for age,
SES variables (household type, lodging category, occupation and
ingredient level) and associated dietary factors (rancid butter,
rancid sheep fat and cooked vegetables during adulthood) (Feng
et al, 2007). Odds ratios (OR) and corresponding 95% confidence
intervals (CI) were calculated. For variables with more than two
levels of ordered exposures, the Armitage trend test was used to
assess significance. Considering the association of cannabis
crude consumption is generally under-reported in women who are
also exposed to passive tobacco smoking at home, analyses on
consumption were restricted to men. Similarly, only males
were considered in analyses of marijuana smoking, using a
stratified permutation test to control for the strong confounding
effect of cigarette smoking in addition to SES and diet (Manichaikul
et al, 2007), which was performed as follows: first, the OR for cannabis consumption (denoted as OR*) was calculated
by conditional logistic regression adjusting for age, SES, and diet;
next, the variable for cannabis exposure was permuted in the
smoker and non-smoker strata independently, so that the
relation between cannabis and cigarette smoking status is
reserved. The OR for this permuted variable was then calculated in
the same way as that for OR*. Finally, from 10 000 repeats of the
previous step, one-sided P-value was calculated as the proportion
of sampled permutations in which OR is equal to or higher than
OR*. Simulation has shown that this method has a correct type I
error rate and is more powerful in association detection,
controlling for a strong confounder than logistic regression
adjusting for the confounder (data not shown). A male-only
multivariate analysis was performed by stepwise inclusion,
adjusting for age and SES, starting with associated dietary risk
factors and significant variables such as tobacco, alcohol, cannabis,
and domestic fumes exposure.

RESULTS

From January 2002 to March 2005, a total of 636 cases and 615
controls were interviewed. Details of demographic breakdown by
sex, age, and study centre were presented earlier (Feng et al, 2007).
In summary, there were no significant differences between cases
and controls with regard to ethnic group, country, recruitment
centre, or household type during childhood. Almost all (587, 92%)
cases were non-keratinising undifferentiated carcinomas (UCNT),
the rest were 14 (2.2%) non-keratinising differentiated carcinomas,
5 (0.79%) keratinising squamous cell carcinomas, and 30 (4.7%)
of unknown histological type.

Table 1 presents the association of NPC risk with cigarette
smoking and snuff intake in men. After adjustment for SES and
dietary risk factors, the OR of ever smoking compared with
non-smoking was not significant at 1.3. Age at initiation and
quitting, and aggregate smoking history were not significantly
associated; however, dose of cigarette intake (numbers per day)
was significantly associated with increased NPC risk by trend
test (P<0.05). When analyses were restricted to UCNT, results
were similar, but no variable remained significant, with the trend
P-value for cigarettes smoked per day being 0.10. On the contrary,
despite the much smaller numbers (14 patients), dose of cigarette
intake was significantly associated with differentiated NPC
(P<0.05; Table 1).

More snuff was consumed in rural areas than in cities
(P<0.0001), in populations with less education (P<0.0005),
lower occupation level (P<0.002), or smaller lodging (P<0.004),
pointing to an association with lower SES. Among snuff
consumers, 70% chewed and 31% sniffed, with very few engaging
in both (1.4%). After adjustment for SES and diet, association of
snuff with NPC risk was far from significant, and so was the dose
response, as were analyses restricted to UCNT. However, similar to
cigarette smoking, snuff intake was significantly associated with
differentiated NPC, although the numbers were small; the trend
of risk with a higher dose of snuff intake was, however, not significant
(P = 0.20; Table 1).

In contrast to snuff, shisha was associated with higher SES,
such as higher education (P<0.0005), higher occupation level
(P<0.01), better living conditions (P<0.03) and urban household
type (P<0.05). Shisha showed no association with NPC or UCNT,
whereas with differentiation (P>0.05). In contrast to snuff intake in
NPC, this could not be tested because of small numbers (Table 1).

Cannabis was more often consumed by individuals with smaller
lodging (P<0.002), less education (P<0.009) or lower occupation
level (P<0.009), suggesting an association with lower SES. Almost
all cannabis users also smoked cigarettes (97%), but among the
individuals who consumed both, the dose correlation between
cigarette smoking and cannabis was not significant (Spearman’s
rho = –0.13, P>0.05). After adjustment for age, SES and diet,
cannabis intake was significantly associated with higher NPC risk
and with a significant dose–response relationship (Table 2).
Results were similar for UCNT, but were not significant for
differentiated NPC (results not shown). After adjusting for the
number of cigarettes smoked per day, association was significant
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for high-dose lifetime consumption (at least 2000 times), but cannabis intake as an ever/never variable was no longer significantly associated (Table 2). However, using stratified permutation, a more powerful method to account for the confounding by cigarette smoking, ever consumption of cannabis was significantly associated with increased NPC risk (P < 0.025).

There was no association of NPC with alcohol consumption assessed as ever/never, either in crude analyses or after adjusting for SES and diet (OR = 1.2 (0.8 – 1.6)). There was no evidence of a dose response when specific quantities were examined, nor was there an association by type of alcohol consumed (P = 0.60 for beer; P = 0.90 for all alcoholic beverages) or by histological type of NPC.

Occupational fumes intake was not common among the studied population, only 35 cases (6%) and 16 controls (2%) responded that they were exposed to fumes as a part of their employment, and no significant effect was found (OR = 1.5 (0.8 – 2.9)). However, domestic fumes exposure from usage of a kanoun oven during childhood was associated with increased NPC risk, remaining significant after adjustment for SES and associated dietary factors (OR = 1.86 (1.28 – 2.72); Table 3). In addition, higher fumes exposure by cooking with wood fire or cooking in a poorly

---

**Table 1** Association of cigarette smoking, snuff intake, and shisha consumption with NPC risk in men

| Exposure Levels | Controls N (%) | All patients N (%) | UCNTs N (%) | Differentiated NPCs N (%) |
|-----------------|----------------|-------------------|-------------|---------------------------|
| **Cigarette smoking** | | | | |
| Never | 174 (43) | 161 (37) | 1 | 152 (37) | 1 |
| Ever | 235 (57) | 279 (63) | 1.28 (0.93 – 1.77) | 254 (63) | 1.21 (0.87 – 1.68) |
| **Smoking history** | | | | |
| Never | 174 (43) | 161 (37) | 1 | 152 (37) | 1 |
| 1 – 12 years | 106 (26) | 125 (28) | 1.40 (0.94 – 2.09) | 111 (27) | 1.28 (0.85 – 1.93) |
| > 12 years | 121 (30) | 154 (35) | 1.22 (0.84 – 1.79) | 143 (35) | 1.18 (0.80 – 1.73) |
| **Cigarettes per day** | | | 0.05 | | |
| None | 174 (43) | 161 (37) | 1 | 152 (37) | 1 |
| 1 – 12 | 80 (20) | 81 (18) | 1.09 (0.70 – 1.68) | 72 (18) | 1.01 (0.65 – 1.59) |
| > 12 | 117 (29) | 135 (31) | 1.30 (0.89 – 1.90) | 124 (31) | 1.24 (0.84 – 1.82) |

| **Snuff intake** | | | | |
| Never | 350 (84) | 378 (84) | 1.09 (0.70 – 1.68) | 352 (85) | 1.09 (0.70 – 1.68) |
| Ever | 69 (16) | 71 (16) | 1.03 (0.64 – 1.65) | 63 (15) | 0.97 (0.59 – 1.58) |
| **Snuff bags per week** | | | 0.99 | | |
| None | 350 (84) | 378 (84) | 1 | 352 (85) | 1 |
| 1 | 26 (6) | 17 (4) | 0.67 (0.33 – 1.38) | 15 (4) | 0.64 (0.31 – 1.34) |
| > 1 | 40 (10) | 45 (10) | 1.08 (0.60 – 1.94) | 41 (10) | 1.07 (0.58 – 1.98) |
| **Shisha consumption** | | | | |
| Never | 404 (96) | 441 (96) | 1 | 407 (98) | 1 |
| Ever | 15 (4) | 9 (2) | 0.49 (0.20 – 1.23) | 9 (2) | 0.50 (0.20 – 1.24) |

**Table 2** Association of cannabis consumption with NPC risk in men

| Exposure levels | Controls N (%) | Cases N (%) | Without adjustment for cigarette smoking | Adjusted for cigarette smoking |
|-----------------|----------------|-------------|-----------------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| **Cannabis consumption** | | | | |
| Never (ref) | 385 (94) | 387 (88) | 1 | 1.83 (1.04 – 3.22) |
| Ever | 26 (6) | 52 (12) | | |
| **Frequency of consumption** | | | | |
| Never (ref) | 385 (94) | 387 (90) | 1 | 1.65 (0.69 – 3.91) |
| < 30 times per month | 9 (2) | 17 (4) | 1 | 2.09 (0.98 – 4.50) |
| ≥ 30 times per month | 14 (3) | 27 (6) | 1 | |
| **Lifetime consumption** | | | | |
| Never (ref) | 385 (96) | 387 (90) | 1 | 2.14 (0.92 – 4.98) |
| < 2000 times | 10 (2) | 20 (5) | 1 | 2.97 (1.15 – 7.71) |
| ≥ 2000 times | 7 (2) | 21 (5) | 1 | |
| **Mode of consumption** | | | | |
| Never (ref) | 385 (94) | 387 (89) | 1 | 1.11 (0.43 – 2.88) |
| Smoking | 9 (2) | 12 (3) | 1 | |
| Smoking with Tobacco (kif) | 15 (4) | 38 (9) | 1 | 2.33 (1.17 – 4.63) |

Abbreviations: CI = confidence interval; NPC = nasopharyngeal carcinoma; OR = odds ratio; UCNT = undifferentiated carcinoma of nasopharyngeal type. Note: Analyses were stratified by sex and centre, adjusted for age, SES and associated dietary factors. Significant test results are shown in bold fonts.

Abbreviations: CI = confidence interval; NPC = nasopharyngeal carcinoma; OR = odds ratio. Note: Significant test results are shown in bold fonts. *Analyses were stratified by sex and centre, adjusted for age, SES measures, associated dietary factors, and cigarettes smoked per day. †Ingestion and other mode of consumption were not included because of the low frequency of observations.
ventilated room during childhood was more frequent in cases than in controls, although not significantly (Table 3). Restricting the cases to UCNT patients did not appreciably affect the findings.

In our previous dietary investigation, we showed that consumption of rancid butter and rancid sheep fat was associated with increased NPC risk, whereas that of cooked vegetables was protective (Feng et al., 2007). In a male-only multivariate analysis covering these dietary factors, adjusting for age and SES, with an inclusion criteria of \( P < 0.05 \), the variables remaining in the final model indicated an independent contribution of consumption of rancid butter, rancid sheep fat and cooked vegetables during adulthood, kitchen ventilation during childhood and lifetime cannabis consumption to NPC risk (Table 4).

**DISCUSSION**

In our analyses, SES could be a strong confounder in all studied lifestyle factors. SES confounding effects were controlled by matching controls with cases according to rural/urban household, and adjusting for the six SES variables, as previously described in detail (Feng et al., 2007). Potential bias due to the inclusion of prevalent cases and hospitalised controls was expected to be minor (Feng et al., 2007). As alcohol consumption and cooking methods could also be related to diet, we adjusted for the significantly associated foods from our previous multivariate analyses to minimise confounding, while adjusting for as few variables as possible.

The studied countries prohibit cannabis consumption, hence the reported consumption was possibly underestimated. Nevertheless, as there is no perceived relationship between cannabis and cancer in the area, under-reporting of cannabis consumption was presumably similar in cases and in controls, which could only decrease the significance of the association rather than create a spurious one. Finally, as in any retrospective study, our findings are subject to recall bias. However, this problem should be limited to those factors involving tobacco, as the studied populations were not familiar with possible links between cancer and alcohol, cannabis or domestic fumes intake.

Despite a small sample size, cigarette smoking was significantly associated with differentiated NPC, which is in agreement with the findings from North America (Mabuchi et al., 1985; Nam et al., 1997), where differentiated NPC predominates (Ou et al., 2007). Although the association with UCNT was not significant, a trend of increased risk of UCNT with increased dose of cigarette intake per day was

---

**Table 3** Association of domestic fumes intake with NPC risk in men and women

| Exposure levels     | Childhood | Adulthood |
|---------------------|-----------|-----------|
|                     | Control (%) | Case (%) | OR (95%CI) | Control (%) | Case (%) | OR (95%CI) |
| **Kitchen ventilation** |           |           |            |            |           |            |
| Ventilated (ref)    | 495 (81)  | 469 (75)  | 1          | 492 (88)   | 507 (90)  | 1          |
| Not ventilated      | 113 (19)  | 154 (25)  | 1.29 (0.93–1.80) | 65 (12)    | 58 (10)   | 0.78 (0.51–1.20) |
| **Wood fire cooking** |           |           |            |            |           |            |
| Never (ref)         | 257 (43)  | 217 (37)  | 1          | 455 (83)   | 423 (80)  | 1          |
| Ever                | 335 (57)  | 368 (63)  | 1.38 (0.95–2.00) | 93 (17)    | 108 (20)  | 1.23 (0.82–1.85) |
| **Wood fire heating** |           |           |            |            |           |            |
| Never (ref)         | 272 (46)  | 251 (44)  | 1          | 450 (82)   | 412 (78)  | 1          |
| Ever                | 321 (54)  | 324 (56)  | 1.01 (0.71–1.54) | 98 (18)    | 113 (22)  | 1.17 (0.79–1.72) |
| **Cannabis consumption to NPC risk (Table 4).** |           |           |            |            |           |            |
| Ever                | 321 (54)  | 355 (57)  | 1.12 (0.81–1.54) | 188 (34)   | 199 (35)  | 1.01 (0.72–1.43) |
| Never (ref)         | 273 (46)  | 355 (57)  | 1.12 (0.81–1.54) | 197 (35)   | 251 (44)  | 1.41 (0.98–2.04) |

**Table 4** Associated risk factors in a multivariate model in men

| Exposures                              | OR (95%CI) | P-value |
|----------------------------------------|------------|---------|
| Rancid butter (Adulthood)              |            | 0.001  |
| <10 times per year (ref)               |            |         |
| <3 times per week                      | 1.44 (0.93–2.23) |         |
| ≥3 times per week                      | 3.52 (1.71–7.23) |         |
| Rancid sheep fat (Adulthood)           |            | 0.004  |
| <10 times per year (ref)               |            |         |
| <3 times per week                      | 2.08 (1.33–3.26) |         |
| ≥3 times per week                      | 1.70 (0.85–7.23) |         |
| Cooked vegetables (Adulthood)          |            | 0.004  |
| <3 times per week (ref)                |            |         |
| ≥3 times per week                      | 0.54 (0.36–0.82) |         |
| Kitchen ventilation (Childhood)        |            | 0.02   |
| Ventilated (ref)                       |            |         |
| Not ventilated                         | 1.64 (1.09–2.48) |         |
| Lifetime cannabis consumption          |            | 0.007  |
| Never (ref)                            |            |         |
| <2000 times                            | 2.00 (0.85–4.70) |         |
| ≥2000 times                            | 3.25 (1.24–8.50) |         |

Abbreviations: CI = confidence interval; NPC = nasopharyngeal carcinoma; OR = odds ratio. Note: Analyses were stratified by sex and centre, adjusted for age, SES measures, and associated dietary factors. Significant test results are shown in bold fonts. *Ventilated* means chimney or windows present in kitchen, or cooking in open air; *Not ventilated* means chimney or windows absent in kitchen, or cooking in the main living room.
apparent, leaving the question unresolved. Case–control studies from endemic areas (mainly from Asia), where the vast majority of NPCs are UCNTs, have produced conflicting results (Chen et al., 1990; Ning et al., 1990; Yu et al., 1990; Cheng et al., 1999; Armstrong et al., 2000; Yuan et al., 2000; Zou et al., 2000; Friborg et al., 2007; Guo et al., 2009). Our findings point to the importance of separating UCNT and non-UCNT in analyses. However, the lack of well-trained histologists in many endemic areas may result in some non-UCNTs being mistakenly categorised as UCNTs, and our study may not be free of such bias.

Similar to cigarette smoking, snuff was significantly associated with differentiated NPC, but not with UCNT. This is noteworthy, as it contrasts with the locally held view that snuff is an important risk factor for NPC because of its tobacco nature and its direct contact with the nasopharynx. Our results represent further evidence of the harmful effect of tobacco consumption on differentiated NPC; but as this constitutes a small proportion of all NPCs in North Africa, the contribution of cigarette and snuff to general NPC risk in these countries is small.

Epidemiological studies on cannabis have been difficult, mainly because of the strong confounding effect of cigarette smoking. In fact, most studies did not yield significant results after adjustment for tobacco use (Hashibe et al., 2005), as also in our study. However, a stratified permutation analysis showed that, independent of cigarette smoking, cannabis significantly elevates NPC risk. In contrast to cigarette or snuff intake, cannabis consumption was significantly associated with UCNT but not with differentiated NPC, suggesting that the carcinogenic mechanisms of cannabis may differ from those of tobacco.

Our results indicate that usage of traditional cooking facilities (kanoun) during childhood increases NPC risk almost two-fold, whereas during adulthood, it seems to have less effect. This difference cannot be explained by the aggregate exposure time, because the NPC risk remained significantly elevated among individuals who used kanoun during childhood but not during adulthood (OR = 1.80 (1.21–2.68)). Poor ventilation of the kitchen and wood fire cooking during childhood were associated with a 30–40% increased risk of NPC, although these did not reach statistical significance. Moreover, during childhood, the excess risk associated with kanoun cooking increased when the kitchen was not ventilated (OR = 2.44 (1.47–4.05)). These results, further supported by a multivariate analysis, suggest that domestic fumes exposure during childhood is a risk factor for NPC in North Africa. They corroborate the positive associations reported in endemic populations of Asia (Shanmugaratnam et al., 1978; Zheng et al., 1994; Guo et al., 2009).

CONCLUSIONS

This, the first large-scale case–control study in the North African endemic area of NPC, shows evidence that NPC risk is increased by tobacco consumption, marijuana smoking and domestic cooking fumes intake.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Without the funding support from the Association for International Cancer Research (grant number 03-252), this project would not have been accomplished. We also thank our colleagues, Valérie Gaborieau for efficient data management, Colette Bonnardel for data entry and checking, Hélène Renard and Othman Yaqoubi for database design, Dominique Jeannel and Annie Sasco for help in designing the questionnaire and Dr Mohamed Maamer for his kind and dedicated assistance to the study in Tunisia.

REFERENCES

Ammor S, Baali A, Hubert A, Cherkaooui M (2003) Epidemiological approach of the nasopharyngeal carcinoma in the region of Marrakech. Ann Transp 39: 39–47
Armstrong RW, Irrey PB, Lye MS, Armstrong MJ, Yu MC, Sani S (2000) Nasopharyngeal carcinoma in Malaysian Chinese: occupational exposures to particles, formaldehyde and heat. Int J Epidemiol 29(6): 991–998
Busson P, Keryer C, Ooka T, Corbex M (2004) EBV-associated nasopharyngeal carcinomas: from epidemiology to virus-targeting strategies. Trends Microbiol 12(6): 356–360
Chang ET, Adamo HO (2006) The enigmatic epidemiology of nasopharyngeal carcinoma. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev 15(10): 1765–1777
Chen CJ, Liang KY, Chang YS, Wang YF, Hsieh T, Hsu MM, Chen JY, Guo X, Johnson RC, Deng H, Liao J, Guan L, Nelson GW, Tang M, Zheng Y, Cheng YJ, Hildesheim A, Hsu MM, Chen IH, Brinton LA, Levine PH, Chen CJ, Dallongeville J, Marecaux N, Fruchart JC, Amouyel P (1998) Cigarette smoking as a risk factor for nasopharyngeal carcinoma: an etiologic approach of the nasopharyngeal carcinoma in the region of Marrakech. Anticancer Res 18(5D): 2942–2947
Hashibe M, Straif K, Taskin DP, Morgenstern H, Greenland S, Zhang ZF (2005) Epidemiologic review of marijuana use and cancer risk. Alcohol 35(3): 265–275
Hildesheim A, Levine PH (1993) Etiology of nasopharyngeal carcinoma: a review. Epidemiol Rev 15(2): 466–485
Jeannel D, Bouvier G, Hubbert A (1999) Nasopharyngeal carcinoma: an epidemiological approach to carcinogenesis. In Cancer Surveys. Infections and Human Cancer, Vol. 33, pp 125–155. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press: New York, USA
Jenm D, Hubert A, de Vathaire F, Ellouz R, Camoun M, Ben Salem M, Sancho-Garnier H, de The G (1990) Diet, living conditions and nasopharyngeal carcinoma in Tunisia—a case-control study. Int J Cancer 46(3): 421–425
Mabuchi K, Bross DS, Kessler II (1985) Cigarette smoking and nasopharyngeal carcinoma. Cancer 55(12): 2874–2876
Manichailu A, Palmer AA, Sen S, Broman KW (2007) Significance thresholds for quantitative trait locus mapping under selective genotyping. Genetics 177(3): 1963–1966
Ning JP, McLaughlin JK, Brinton LA, Levine PH, Chen CJ, Yang CS (1999) Cigarette smoking, alcohol consumption and risk of nasopharyngeal carcinoma in Taiwan. Cancer Causes Control 10(3): 201–207
Whow CH, McLaughlin JK, Hrubec Z, Nam JM, Blot WJ (1993) Tobacco use and nasopharyngeal carcinoma in a cohort of US veterans. Int J Cancer 55(4): 538–540
Dallongeville J, Marecaux N, Fruchart JC, Amouyel P (1998) Cigarette smoking is associated with unhealthy patterns of nutrient intake: a meta-analysis. J Nutr 128(9): 1450–1457
Feng BJ, Jalbout M, Ayoub WB, Khattabi M, Dahmoul S, Maachi F, Bedadra W, Abdoun M, Mesli S, Hamdi-Cherif M, Boualga K, Bouaouina O, de The G, Ongene A, Yone L, Sancho-Garnier H, de The G, Ongene A, Yone L (1990) Diet, lifestyle risk factors for nasopharyngeal carcinoma in Maghrebian countries. Int J Cancer 121(7): 1550–1555
Friborg JT, Yuan JM, Wang R, Koh F, Lee HP, Yu MC (2007) A prospective study of tobacco and alcohol use as risk factors for pharyngeal carcinomas in Singapore Chinese. Cancer 109(6): 1183–1191
Guo X, Johnson RC, Deng H, Liao J, Guan L, Nelson GW, Tang M, Zheng Y, de The G, O’Brien SJ, Winkler CA, Zeng Y (2009) Evaluation of nonviral risk factors for nasopharyngeal carcinoma in a high-risk population of Southern China. Int J Cancer 124(12): 2942–2947
Hashibe M, Straif K, Taskin DP, Morgenstern H, Greenland S, Zhang ZF (2005) Epidemiologic review of marijuana use and cancer risk. Alcohol 35(3): 265–275
Hildesheim A, Levine PH (1993) Etiology of nasopharyngeal carcinoma: a review. Epidemiol Rev 15(2): 466–485
Jeannel D, Bouvier G, Hubert A (1999) Nasopharyngeal carcinoma: an epidemiological approach to carcinogenesis. In Cancer Surveys. Infections and Human Cancer, Vol. 33, pp 125–155. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press: New York, USA
Jenm D, Hubert A, de Vathaire F, Ellouz R, Camoun M, Ben Salem M, Sancho-Garnier H, de The G (1990) Diet, living conditions and nasopharyngeal carcinoma in Tunisia—a case-control study. Int J Cancer 46(3): 421–425
Mabuchi K, Bross DS, Kessler II (1985) Cigarette smoking and nasopharyngeal carcinoma. Cancer 55(12): 2874–2876
Manichailu A, Palmer AA, Sen S, Broman KW (2007) Significance thresholds for quantitative trait locus mapping under selective genotyping. Genetics 177(3): 1963–1966
Nam JM, McLaughlin JK, Blot WJ (1992) Cigarette smoking, alcohol, and nasopharyngeal carcinoma: a case-control study among US. whites. J Natl Cancer Inst 84(8): 619–622
Ning JP, Yu MC, Wang QS, Henderson BE (1990) Consumption of salted fish and other risk factors for nasopharyngeal carcinoma (NPC) in Tianjin, a low-risk region for NPC in the People’s Republic of China. J Natl Cancer Inst 82(4): 291–296
Oh SH, Zell JA, Zlogas A, Anton-Culver H (2007) Epidemiology of nasopharyngeal carcinoma in the United States: improved survival of Chinese patients within the keratinizing squamous cell carcinoma histology. Ann Oncol 18(1): 29–35
Shanmugaratnam K, Tye CY, Goh EH, Chia KB (1978) Etiological factors in nasopharyngeal carcinoma. British Journal of Cancer (2009) 101(7), 1207–1212
Vaughan TL, Shapiro JA, Burt RD, Swanson GM, Berwick M, Lynch CF, Lyon JL (1996) Nasopharyngeal cancer in a low-risk population: defining risk factors by histological type. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev 5(8): 587 – 593

Yu MC, Garabrant DH, Huang TB, Henderson BE (1990) Occupational and other non-dietary risk factors for nasopharyngeal carcinoma in Guangzhou, China. Int J Cancer 45(6): 1033 – 1039

Yu MC, Yuan JM (2002) Epidemiology of nasopharyngeal carcinoma. Semin Cancer Biol 12(6): 421 – 429

Yuan JM, Wang XL, Xiang YB, Gao YT, Ross RK, Yu MC (2000) Non-dietary risk factors for nasopharyngeal carcinoma in Shanghai, China. Int J Cancer 85(3): 364 – 369

Zheng YM, Tuppin P, Jeannel D, Pan YJ, Zeng Y, de The G (1994) Environmental and dietary risk factors for nasopharyngeal carcinoma: a case-control study in Zangwu County, Guangxi, China. Br J Cancer 69(3): 508 – 514

Zhu K, Levine RS, Brann EA, Gnepp DR, Baum MK (1997) Cigarette smoking and nasopharyngeal cancer: an analysis of the relationship according to age at starting smoking and age at diagnosis. J Epidemiol 7(2): 107 – 111

Zou J, Sun Q, Akiba S, Yuan Y, Zha Y, Tao Z, Wei L, Sugahara T (2000) A case-control study of nasopharyngeal carcinoma in the high background radiation areas of Yangjiang, China. J Radiat Res (Tokyo) 41(Suppl): 53 – 62