Blocking LAIR1 signaling in immune cells inhibits tumor development
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The current immune checkpoint blockade therapy has been successful in treating some cancers but not others. New molecular targets and therapeutic approaches of cancer immunology need to be identified. Leukocyte associated immunoglobulin like receptor 1 (LAIR1) is an immune inhibitory receptor expressing on most immune cell types. However, it remains a question whether we can specifically and actively block LAIR1 signaling to activate immune responses for cancer treatment. Here we report the development of specific antagonistic anti-LAIR1 monoclonal antibodies and studied the effects of LAIR1 blockade on the anti-tumor immune functions. The anti-LAIR1 antagonistic antibody stimulated the activities of T cells, natural killer cells, macrophages, and dendritic cells in vitro. The single-cell RNA sequencing analysis of intratumoral immune cells in syngeneic human LAIR1 transgenic mice treated with control or anti-LAIR1 antagonist antibodies indicates that LAIR1 signaling blockade increased the numbers of CD4 memory T cells and inflammatory macrophages, but decreased those of pro-tumor macrophages, regulatory T cells, and plasmacytoid dendritic cells. Importantly, the LAIR1 blockade by the antagonistic antibody inhibited the activity of immunosuppressive myeloid cells and reactivated T cells from cancer patients in vitro and impeded tumor metastasis in a humanized mouse model. Blocking LAIR1 signaling in immune cells represents a promising strategy for development of anti-cancer immunotherapy.
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Introduction

The breakthrough of the immune checkpoint blockade therapy has revolutionized cancer treatment. However, the existing immune checkpoint blockade approach is only effective in treating some cancers (1). To more effectively treat cancer patients, new molecular targets and therapeutic approaches must be identified.

ITIM-containing receptors are emerging immune checkpoint targets in cancer treatment (2). Leukocyte-associated immunoglobulin-like receptor 1 (LAIR1, or CD305) is a type I transmembrane glycoprotein that contains one extracellular Ig-like domain and two intracellular ITIMs. LAIR1 is expressed on most hematopoietic lineages, including monocytes, macrophages, dendritic cells (DCs), natural killer (NK) cells, and many T and B cell populations (3–5). Its extracellular domain binds to Gly-Pro-Hyp collagen repeats, and its ITIMs recruit phosphatases SHP-1 and SHP-2. Collagens, C1q, MBL, surface protein-D (SP-D), Rifsins, and Colec12, have been reported as ligands for LAIR1 (6–11).

As an immune inhibitory receptor, LAIR1 appears to be dispensable for normal hematopoiesis (12–14). Nevertheless, LAIR1 was reported to have the function on regulating immune system balance and protecting tissue damages against a hyperactive immune response or autoimmune dysfunction (4). The roles of LAIR1 in different types of cancer have been studied. Two independent studies by us and Müschen’s group using in vitro and xenograft experiments showed that LAIR1 deficiency retards development of acute myeloid leukemia (AML) and Philadelphia Chromosome positive acute lymphoblastic leukemia (Ph+ B-ALL) (13, 14). The LAIR1/SHP-1/CAMKI/CREB axis supports AML stem cells (14). In Ph+ B-ALL cells, LAIR1 mediates dephosphorylation of Syk by SHP-1 and SHIP, which enables the negative selection of overactivated B cells during B-ALL cell transformation (13). In chronic lymphoblastic leukemia (CLL), down-regulation of LAIR1 correlates with increased risk of disease (15, 16). Antibody engagement with LAIR1 blocks AKT and NF-κB activation in CLL cells, leading to decreased cell proliferation (16). Although LAIR1 was reported to be abnormally upregulated on certain solid cancer cells (17–21), its main function during solid cancer development may result from its inhibition of activation of multiple types of immune cells (9, 22–25). However, it was unclear whether we can specifically and actively antagonize LAIR1 signaling to achieve anti-cancer functions.

In this study, we report the generation of anti-LAIR1 humanized antibodies, and focused on studying the function of h219, an antagonist antibody, in anti-tumor immune responses. We demonstrated that h219 stimulates the activities of multiple immune cell types using in vitro cultures and single-cell RNA sequencing analysis of intratumoral immune cells in human LAIR1 transgenic mice. Importantly, treatment with h219 significantly inhibited the activity of immunosuppressive myeloid cells and restimulated T cell proliferation from cancer patients in vitro and impeded tumor metastasis in humanized mice. These results suggest that blocking LAIR1 signaling with antagonist antibodies represents a new attractive anti-cancer strategy.

Materials and methods

Patients

We collected blood samples from solid cancer patients through UT Southwestern Tissue Management Shared Resource. Informed consent was obtained under protocols reviewed and approved by the Institutional Review Board at UT Southwestern. The samples were distributed to the laboratory in a de-identified fashion, and the proposed study is not considered human research.

Mice

C57 BL/6j and NOD-SCID IL2Rγ-null-SGM3 (NSG-SGM3) mice (jax #013062) were purchased from Jackson Laboratory and maintained at the animal core facility of University of Texas Southwestern Medical Center (UTSW). Hematopoietic-specific human LAIR1 transgenic mice were generated at the Transgenic Resource. Informed consent was obtained under protocols approved and conducted under the oversight of the UT Southwestern Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (IACUC). The same sex- and age-matched (4–8 weeks) mice were used and randomly allocated to each group; and for tumor size measurement, experimenters were blinded to the treatment conditions of the mice. For the subcutaneous tumor model, the tumor size was calculated as (length × width × width)/2.

Cell lines

HEK293F and CHO cell lines were obtained from Life Technologies (Carlsbad). Human monocytic AML cell lines (THP-1, MV4-11 and U937) were obtained from ATCC and maintained in a humidified atmosphere of 5% CO2 at 37°C, in media suggested by ATCC supplemented with fetal bovine serum (FBS) (HyClone) and 100 U/mL penicillin and 100 µg/mL streptomycin (Life Technologies). All cell lines were routinely tested using a mycoplasma-contamination kit (R&D Systems).
Flow cytometry

Cells were washed in FACS medium (PBS containing 2% FBS), and stained with primary antibodies including anti-human CD14-APC (eBioscience, 61D3, 1:100), anti-human CD4-APC (eBioscience, RPA-T4, 1:100), anti-human CD3-FITC (BioLegend, HIT3a, 1:100), anti-human CD8-PE (BD Pharmingen, 555367, 1:100), anti-human CD69-APC (BioLegend, FN50, 1:100), anti-human CD86-PE (BioLegend, IT2.2, 1:100), anti-CD206-APC (eBioscience, IT255, 1:100), anti-CD25 (Biolegend, BC96, 1:100), or anti-CD127 (Biolegend, A019D5, 1:100). Flow data were analyzed by Flowjo software. Propidium iodide (PI) staining was used to exclude dead cells in analysis.

Chimeric receptor reporter assay

The LAIR1 chimeric receptor reporter cells were developed by us as previously described (14). A competition assay was used to screen LAIR1 antagonist antibodies. Briefly, collagen (10 μg/ml) was precoated on 96-well plates at 37°C for 3 hours. After washes with PBS twice, 4 x 10^4 LAIR1 reporter cells were seeded into each well. Meanwhile, indicated LAIR1 antibodies were added into culture media. After culture for 20 hours, the percentage of GFP+ reporter cells was measured by flow cytometry.

Tumor experiment in Vav-Cre LAIR1-transgenic mice

5x10^5 B16 tumor cells were injected subcutaneously into each mouse (VAVcre+/-hLAIR1+/-, mLAIR1+/- in C57BL/6 background) at day 0. Five days later, mice were randomized into two groups based on tumor size, followed by iv injection of control or anti-hLAIR1 blocking antibodies (10 mg/kg) every four days. After 3 doses of antibody administration, mice were euthanized at day 16.

Tumor experiment in humanized tumor mice

5x10^4 human cord blood CD34+ cells (STEMCELL #70008) were transplanted into 250cGy sublethally irradiated NSG-SGM3 mice (Fax #013062); after 11 weeks we detected significant human CD45+ chimerism (with human myeloid and T cells) in blood of mice as described (26–29). We then subcutaneously implanted 1x10^6 MDA-MB-231 human breast cancer cells with 50% Matrigel (Corning #354234) at week 14. After tumor size reached 50-60 mm^3 between week 15-16, we randomized the mice into indicated groups and started to treat the mice with control or anti-LAIR1 blocking mAbs. Liver metastasis was observed at another 9 weeks post-tumor implantation when we euthanized the mice.

Human normal monocytes, macrophages, and dendritic cells

Human normal monocytes (CD14+ cells) were isolated by the AutoMACS Pro Separation System (Miltenyi Biotech) from the mononuclear cell fraction of normal peripheral blood. In brief,uffy coat was purchased from Interstate Blood Bank and the mononuclear cell layer was separated by Ficoll Hypaque (17144003, GE Lifesciences) density gradient. Mononuclear cells were treated with red blood cell lysis buffer to remove red blood cells and then incubated with anti-CD14 microbeads (130-050-201, Miltenyi Biotech) for 15 min at 4°C. CD14-positive cells were then isolated using the positive selection program according to the manufacturer’s protocol.

The protocol of M1 and M2 differentiation was modified from those in (30, 31). To develop the M1 macrophages, 1x10^5 CD14+ cells were cultured in RPMI 1640 medium (R8758-500ml, Sigma) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (F0926, Sigma), 2 mM L-alanine-L-glutamine (SH3003402, Fisher), and 50 ng/ml GM-CSF (300-03, PeproTech) per well of a 96-well plate for 6 days. Fresh medium was replaced every 3 days. Afterwards, cells were continually cultured in RPMI 1640 medium (R8758-500ml, Sigma) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (F0926, Sigma), 2 mM L-alanine-L-glutamine (SH3003402, Fisher), 100 ng/ml LPS (L4516, Sigma), and 50 ng/ml Interferon-gamma (300-03, PeproTech) for another 2 days.

To develop the M2a macrophages, 1x10^5 CD14+ cells were cultured in macrophage-SFM (12065-074, Gibco) supplemented with 2 mM L-alanine-L-glutamine (SH3003402, Fisher), 100ng/ml M-CSF (11792-H08H-20, Sino Biological) per well of a 96-well plate for 6 days. Fresh medium was replaced every 3 days, and 20 ng/ml human IL-4 (11846-HNAE-1, Sino Biological) was added on day 3. Polarization started on day 6 with the medium used on day 3 supplemented with 100 ng/ml LPS (L4516, Sigma) and lasted for another 24 hours.

The protocol of dendritic cell differentiation was modified from those in (32, 33). 1x10^5 CD14+ cells were cultured in RPMI 1640 medium (R8758-500ml, Sigma) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (F0926, Sigma), 2 mM L-alanine-L-glutamine (SH3003402, Fisher), 50 ng/ml GM-CSF (300-03, PeproTech) and 25 ng/ml human IL-4 (11846-HNAE-1, Sino Biological) per well of a 96-well plate for 6 days; fresh medium was replaced every 3 days. Cells were stimulated on day 7 with RPMI 1640 medium (R8758-500ml, Sigma) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (F0926, Sigma), 2 mM L-alanine-L-glutamine...
Mixed lymphocyte reaction

Mixed lymphocyte reaction was carried out in the 96-well plate essentially as described (34). Human allogeneic pan T cells (PB009-1F, Allcells) were stained with Carboxy fluorescein succinimidyl ester (CFSE) (C34554, Thermo Fisher Scientific), and mixed with 3 x10^5 mature DCs at the ration of 1:1 in a total volume of 200 µl of RPMI 1640 supplemented with 10% FBS. CFSE-based proliferation of T cells was measured by flow cytometry.

T cells

Anti-CD3 antibody (Biolegend, OKT3) was used to stimulate T cell proliferation essentially as described (26, 27, 35). 1 µg/ml anti-CD3 antibody diluted in PBS was pre-coated on the bottom of a 96-well plate. 1x10^5 fresh isolated PBMCs or pan T cells were plated in RPMI 1640 medium (R8758-500ml, Sigma) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (F0926, Sigma) and 100 U/ml IL-2 (200-02, PeproTech, for pan T cells only) per well of the pre-coated 96-well plate for 3-5 days. Activation and proliferation of T cells were measured by flow cytometry.

MDSC/T coculture

The CD11b+ HLA-DRdim cells from indicated cancer patients were cocultured with CFSE-stained autologous T cells (E:T =1) for 5 days, treated with 10 µg/ml LALA-PG mutated control antibodies or h219 anti-LAIR1 blocking antibody. 12.5 µL/mL of ImmunoCult™ Human CD3/CD28 T Cell Activator (STEMCELL Technologies, Cat# 10971) was used to induce the activation of CD3+ T cells.

Statistical analyses

Two-tailed Student t-test and Mann-Whitney log-rank test were used for comparison of two groups. One-way ANOVA test was employed for comparison of three groups. In vitro data were presented as mean ± SEM. In all figures, * indicates p < 0.05; ** indicates p < 0.01, *** indicates p < 0.001, **** indicates p < 0.0001.
For potential therapeutic development, we used a CDR grafting strategy to humanize R-219, converting it into a human IgG1 subclass with a kappa light chain (Supplementary Figures 5A, B). Afterwards, we further engineered the heavy chain CDR3 and light chain CDR3 to remove potential deamination residues (Supplementary Figures 5C–E). We selected the heavy and light chain combination VH9/VK2 as our final version of humanized R219 (h219). Next, we measured the binding affinity of h219 to LAIR1 by Octet RED96 and the ligand blocking activity using LAIR1 chimeric receptor reporter assay. The Kd of h219 binding to LAIR1 as measured by Octet RED96 was 0.66 nM (Figure 1A), comparable to the Kd of the parental mAb R-219 (0.70 nM). We also tested the collagen blocking activity of h219 in competition ELISA and Octet system. As shown in Figures 1B, C, h219 totally blocked the interaction of LAIR1 with collagen I. In contrast, h94, another humanized anti-LAIR1 antibody, did not block but rather enhanced the collagen I-LAIR1 interaction, suggesting that h94 strengthens the agonist activity of collagen I.

Next, we employed the LAIR1 chimeric receptor reporter cells to determine the ligand-blocking capacities of h219 and found that h219 was able to antagonize LAIR1 activation induced by several reported ligands including human collagen I, mouse collagen I/III, C1q, Colec12, and MBL. (Figure 1D). Together, we applied a number of criteria to screen the antagonist antibodies against LAIR1: a) The antibody has a high specific binding affinity to human LAIR1 as determined by ELISA, Octet RED96, and flow cytometry-based assays (data not shown). b) We also ensured the specificity of the antibody so that it does not bind GPV1, LILRB1-5, LILRA1-6, or mouse LAIR1 (data not shown). c) The antibody blocks LAIR1 activation induced by various ligands. In addition, the antibody blocks collagen-induced LAIR1 activation in the presence of K562 cells (Figure 1E) which express FcR that may crosslink Fc-containing anti-LAIR1, a situation that may happen in vivo when different types of cells coexist in a microenvironment. Our initial results suggest that h219 is an attractive antagonist antibody against human LAIR1.
We further evaluated the direct effect of h219 on LAIR1+ cells and found that h219 did not inhibit proliferation (Supplementary Figures 6A, B), induce apoptosis (Supplementary Figures 6C, D), or block migration (Supplementary Figures 6E, F) of these cells in vitro. h219 does induce antibody-dependent cellular cytotoxicity (ADCC) in LAIR1+ cells (Supplementary Figure 7A). To exclude the Fc-mediated effector functions, we generated LALA-PG mutation (37) for h219 (as h219-LLG) that does not induce Fc-mediated effector functions including ADCC but has the comparable binding ability to LAIR1 as the wild-type h219 (Supplementary Figures 7B, C). h219-LLG was used in the subsequent experiments.

Effects of LAIR1 inhibition on T cell activation and proliferation

We sought to determine whether blocking LAIR1 signaling can stimulate the activities of immune cells. Because our analysis suggests that LAIR1 is highly expressed in T cells in TME (Supplementary Table 1 and Supplementary Figure 1), we started to assess the effect of LAIR1 signaling blockade on T cells. To this end, we stimulated human PBMCs with coated anti-CD3 antibody (OKT3) (38). The activation of LAIR1 by immobilized collagen significantly decreased the expression of CD69, an early marker for T cell activation (39), on T cells compared to the immobilized BSA control (Figure 2A). This reduction of CD69 was restored by the treatment of the antagonist anti-LAIR1 antibody h219-LLG. By contrast, h94, an anti-LAIR1 agonist antibody (Figures 1B, C), further downregulated CD69 on T cells (Figure 2A). This result is consistent with those from the T cell proliferation assay and cytokine analysis (Figures 2B, C and Supplementary Figure 8). To exclude the possible influence of other cells in PBMCs on T cell activation and proliferation, we further tested the effect of h219 and h94 on purified human T cells stimulated with OKT3 and 100 U/ml IL-2 (40). Again, in the presence of immobilized collagen, the treatment of h219-LLG antagonist antibody...
induced whereas h94 agonist antibody reduced T cell proliferation (Figure 2D). Our results indicate that LAIR1 blockade by the antagonist antibody reactivates T cells.

Effects of LAIR1 inhibition on macrophage differentiation

Besides T cells, LAIR1 was suggested to be highly expressed in myeloid cells in TME (Supplementary Table 1 and Supplementary Figure 1). It was reported that LAIR1 crosslinking inhibited the polarization (41) and the inflammatory phenotype of macrophages in vitro (42). To study whether LAIR1 blockade regulates the differentiation and polarization of macrophages, we treated the freshly isolated CD14+ monocytes with GM-CSF and anti-LAIR1-LLG or control antibodies. GM-CSF treatment of human monocytes led to differentiation of M1 macrophages with a "proinflammatory" cytokine profile (43) (Supplementary Figure 9A). The surface expression of CD86, an M1 marker, was significantly elevated by h219-LLG in the presence of immobilized collagen (Figure 3A). Concordantly, compared to the collagen treated controls, h219 treatment in the presence of immobilized collagen led to the more round and flattened morphology of M1 macrophages (44) (Figure 3B). Then, after 6 days of treatment by GM-CSF and anti-LAIR1-LLG or control antibodies, the CD14+ cells were further polarized with IFN-γ and LPS for another 2 days. The expression of IL-6, a proinflammatory cytokine, was higher in the positive control group (coated BSA) than in the collagen treated group (Figure 3C), suggesting LAIR1 activation by collagen inhibits the differentiation to M1 macrophages. This inhibition of M1 differentiation by the LAIR1 ligand collagen was reversed by h219-LLG (Figure 3C).

We further investigated whether LAIR1 blockade regulates the differentiation of monocytes to the anti-inflammatory M2a macrophages (Supplementary Figure 9A). The treatment of h219-LLG significantly reduced the level of CD206, a M2a marker (Figure 3D). Together, our results demonstrate that blocking of LAIR1 signaling stimulates M1 but inhibits M2 macrophage polarization.
Effects of LAIR1 inhibition on dendritic cell differentiation and function

It was shown that C1q, a ligand of LAIR1, blocked GM-CSF– and IL-4–induced DC differentiation from monocytes (7). We sought to test whether LAIR1 blockade regulates the differentiation and function of DCs. We used collagen to activate LAIR1 during the differentiation from monocytes to DCs and administered h219 to inhibit LAIR1 signaling. The DC differentiation is accompanied with a decrease of the level of the monocytic marker CD14 and increases of the expression of DC markers such as CD11c and CD86 (Supplementary Figure 9B). In the presence of collagen, the percentage of CD14+CD11c+ cells was down-regulated. This down-regulation was reversed by the h219-LLG treatment (Figure 4A). A similar trend happened to CD86+ cells (Figure 4A). We further conducted a mixed lymphocyte reaction (MLR) experiment to measure the function of DCs. h219-LLG treatment led to significant increase of proliferation of allogeneic T cells and the surface expression of CD25 (a T cell activation marker) in this MLR compared to control conditions (Figures 4B, C and Supplementary Figure 9).

Effects of LAIR1 inhibition on NK cell function

Besides myeloid cells and T cells, it is known that NK cells are LAIR1+ (3). Indeed, treatment of h219-LLG increased the cytotoxic activities of NK cells against the leukemia cell line K562 (Supplementary Figure 10). Our result indicates that antagonizing LAIR1 signaling increases the cytotoxic activity of NK cells against cancer cells.

LAIR1 inhibition restored T cell proliferation in coculture of MDSCs and autologous T cells isolated from solid cancer patients

While the above studies focused on LAIR1 function in normal immune cells, we were also interested in asking whether LAIR1 regulates the anti-cancer immunity in cancer patients. To this end, we collected the phenotypic myeloid-derived suppressor cells (MDSCs) and autologous T cells from blood samples from prostate cancer and lung cancer patients.

FIGURE 4
Inhibition of LAIR1 enhances dendritic cell differentiation and dendritic cell–induced T cell proliferation in MLR assay. (A) CD14+ monocytes isolated from fresh human PBMCs were cultured with GM-CSF and IL-4 for 2 days. The expression of CD11c on CD14-negative population and CD86 were detected. (B, C) Matured DCs were mixed with allogenic T cells in the MLR assay. Surface CD25 (B) and CFSE based cell proliferation were determined by flow cytometry at 72 hours (C). As Statistical Analysis in Methods stated: * indicates p < 0.05; ** indicates p < 0.01; *** indicates p < 0.001.
(Supplementary Table 2). Due to the heterogeneity of MDSCs (45), we enriched CD11b^+HLA-DR_{dim} cells by autoMACS as phenotypic MDSCs (45, 46). All of the enriched MDSCs expressed LAIR1 as determined by flow cytometry (Figure 5A). These cells effectively inhibited the proliferation of autologous T cells in a co-culture system similar to what we have described (26, 27) (Figures 5B-D), attesting to their activity as MDSCs. Importantly, h219-LLG reversed the immunosuppressive activity of MDSCs and reactivated T cells (Figures 5B-D). To clarify the effect of LAIR1 blockade on MDSC activity, we treated enriched MDSCs isolated from a lung cancer patient with squamous cell carcinoma with h219-LLG or control antibodies in the presence or absence of collagen. h219-LLG treatment increased the expression of activation marker CD86, but decreased that of the immunosuppressive marker CD163 (Figure 5E). Our result demonstrates that LAIR1 is functionally expressed on MDSCs and LAIR1 blockade inhibits MDSC activity and reactivates T cells in cancer patients.

**LAIR1 inhibition delayed tumor development in vivo**

We developed hematopoietic-specific (Vav-cre) LAIR1-transgenic C57BL/6 mice (Figure 6A). To avoid the interference of mouse LAIR1 during tumor development, we bred the Vav-Cre hLAIR1 transgenic mice in the mLAIR1/- background. The transgenic LAIR1 is expressed on all lineages of hematopoietic cells in these mice (Supplementary Figure 11). It is known that mouse collagen can bind and activate human LAIR1 (Figure 1D) in this system. h219-LLG antibody prolonged the survival of the transgenic mice implanted with B16 mouse tumor cells (Figures 6B-D). To identify what occurred in the immune TME, we performed the single-cell RNA-sequencing (scRNAseq) analysis of mouse CD45^+ immune cells in tumor tissues (Figures 6E-G). With unsupervised clustering, we identified 13 immune cell types (in addition to the contaminating B16 melanocytes), including CD4 naïve T cells, CD4 memory T cells, CD8 naïve T cells, CD8 cytotoxic T cells, regulatory T cells (Tregs), Pre-B cells, B cells, NK cells, inflammatory macrophages, pro-tumor macrophages, neutrophils, migratory dendritic cells, and plasmacytoid dendritic cells (Figure 6E). Consistent with our functional results, h219-LLG increased percentages of CD4 memory T cells and inflammatory macrophages, but decreased those of pro-tumor macrophages, Tregs, and plasmacytoid dendritic cells (Figures 6F, G).

We further studied LAIR1 blockade in human tumor development in humanized mice. Based on our experience in
employing humanized mice to study cancer development (26–29), we established a new humanized mouse model to investigate the role of LAIR1. We first transplanted human CD34+ cells into NSG-SGM3 mice (Jax #013062, which are NSG mice with transgenic expression of human SCF, GM-CSF, and IL-3 to enable better engraftment of human myeloid cells); after 3 months we detected significant human CD45+ chimerism (with human myeloid and T cells) in the blood of mice (Supplementary Figure 12A). We then subcutaneously implanted MDA-MB-231 human breast cancer cells. After tumor size reached 50-60 mm³, we started to treat the mice with control or h219-LLG antibody. h219-LLG significantly impaired the cancer growth (Figure 7A). While having no significant effect on primary tumor growth, h219-LLG clearly decreased the liver metastasis that was observed at 9 weeks post-tumor implantation (Figures 7B-F). Consistently, h219 elevated the percentages of total human CD3+ T cells and decreased CD4+CD25+CD127low/- Tregs and phenotypic M-MDSC populations in tumors (Figures 7G-I, Supplementary Figures 12B-E). Because the only cells that expressed LAIR1 in these mice were human immune cells (Supplementary Figure 12F), our result suggests that the anti-tumor effect of the anti-LAIR1 was from inhibiting LAIR1 signaling in the human immune cells. Together, we demonstrate that the anti-LAIR1 antagonist antibody inhibited tumor development in mice.

**Discussion**

ITIM-containing immune inhibitory receptors including LAIR1 are becoming attractive immune checkpoint targets in cancer and immune-related diseases (2). The roles of LAIR1, a classical ITIM-containing receptor, have been studied in...
hematologic malignancies and solid cancer (5, 9, 13, 14, 22–25). Here we report our development of an anti-LAIR1 antagonist antibody that shows anti-tumor immune activation activity. Using several functional assays including multiple ligand-inducible LAIR1 chimeric receptor reporter assays, the analyses of immune cell activities of human cells including those from cancer patients, human LAIR1 transgenic mice, and the humanized xenograft tumor model, we demonstrate that active blocking LAIR1 signaling with the LAIR1 antagonist antibody can activate anti-tumor function of multiple immune cells and inhibit tumor development.

To date, no antagonist activity of an anti-LAIR1 antibody has been published. The cross-linking of LAIR1 in NK cells by DX26, an anti-LAIR1 antibody, delivered a negative signal to NK cells that is capable of decreasing target cell lysis by both resting and activated NK cells in vitro (3). The cytolytic activity of freshly isolated T cells, was inhibited by anti-LAIR-1 mAb DX26 (47). However, it was unclear whether we could directly act on LAIR1 to block its signaling and stimulate anti-cancer immune responses. Our experience suggests it is relatively more difficult to identify antagonist anti-LAIR1 antibodies than antagonist anti-LILRB antibodies (26–29). A reason is that, unlike other similar Ig- and ITIM-containing inhibitory receptors such as LILRBs, there is only one extracellular Ig domain of LAIR1. For such a single Ig-domain containing receptor, it is easier to develop an antibody to be able to physically crosslink the Ig domain leading to activation of the receptor than to develop an antibody that induces a certain conformation of the receptor so as to deactivate its signalling.

In this study, we developed a number of criteria to screen the antagonist antibodies. 1) The antibody should have a high binding affinity to human LAIR1, and does not bind to other receptors including GPVI, LILRB1-5, LILRA1-6, or mouse LAIR1. 2) The antibody should block LAIR1 activation induced by various ligands, including in conditions that may enable crosslinking of the antibody. We tested the latter by measuring the LAIR1 blocking ability of the antibodies in the presence of K562 cells which express FcR that may crosslink Fc-containing anti-LAIR1. 3) The antibody should induce activation of relevant types of immune cells and inhibit the activity of immunosuppressive myeloid cells from cancer patients in vitro. 4) The antibody should inhibit tumor growth in human receptor-transgenic mice and in xenografted humanized mice. Such principles may apply to development of antagonist antibodies against other inhibitory receptors for therapeutic purposes.

LAIR2, a soluble ortholog of LAIR1 found in human but not in mouse, contains only the extracellular domain without the intracellular signaling domain. LAIR2 was reported to inhibit tumor development in mouse models (23–25). Compared to...
LAIR2, the anti-LAIR1 antagonist antibody acts in a different mechanism. While LAIR2 binds to collagen, it is unclear whether it binds all other LAIR1 ligands. Therefore, LAIR2 antagonizes LAIR1 activity by competitive binding to certain ligands, whereas the anti-LAIR1 antagonist antibody actively binds LAIR1 to block its signaling and thus may provide a more effective means to inhibit LAIR1-mediated immunosuppression. In addition, because LAIR2 binds to collagens that are abundant in the body, more LAIR2 than anti-LAIR1 may be needed to saturate targets in the patient. Because LAIR2 can bind to both mouse and human collagens but anti-LAIR1 only recognizes human but not mouse LAIR1, it may be easier for the recombinant LAIR2 to achieve the anti-tumor effect in humanized mice (in which both human and mouse collagens and LAIR1 exist) than anti-the LAIR1 blocking antibody. Therefore the anti-tumor effect of the anti-LAIR1 antagonist antibody in the humanized mouse model may be underestimated.

We demonstrated that anti-LAIR1 antagonist antibodies have the potential to be applicable for anti-cancer therapeutic purposes. LAIR1 is expressed on most human hematopoietic lineages. To avoid severe toxicity, it is desirable to design antibodies lacking the Fc-mediated effector functions such as ADCC and ADCP. In our experiments, the LALA-PG mutant (37) of h219 that has no Fc-mediated effector functions showed clear efficacy in promoting anti-tumor immunity. We propose to block LAIR1 signaling as an anti-cancer immunotherapy.
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