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ABSTRACT

Background: Extended resection such as right trisectionectomy combined with total caudate lobectomy with non-touch technique for advanced hilar cholangiocarcinoma (CCA) is still challenging for all Hepato-pancreato-biliary surgeons.

Presentation: A 45-year-old female with advanced hilar CCA involved the right intrahepatic bile ducts in continuity with the left medial sectional bile duct without PV invasion had undergone right trisectionectomy combined with total caudate lobectomy with non-touch technique. Dissection of the hepatic peduncle by Lortat-Jacob Procedure, ligation, and resection of the right hepatic artery (RHA) and the right portal vein (PV), before that determine whether portal bifurcation’s tumor infiltration or not. Mobilization of the right liver lobe, ligate all the short hepatic veins from the caudal to cranial direction, as well as the right hepatic vein (RHV) and the middle hepatic vein (MHV). Complete caudate lobectomy with right–left approach. Determine hepatic parenchyma cut, left cholangiostomy to the division of the subsegments 2,3, stitch formation of the subsegments 2,3 bile duct. Determine negative upper section of the biliary tract. The operative time was 432 min, and the blood loss was 750 ml. Postoperative recovery was uneventful without any major complications but developed intra-abdominal abscess that required percutaneous drainage.

Discussion: Extended resection procedures such as extend right/left trisectionectomy, hepato-pancreaticoduodenectomy (HPD) and/or combined vascular resection are only curative treatment for advanced hilar CCA. There hadn’t been any reported cases describing step-by-step right trisectionectomy combined with total caudate lobectomy with non-touch technique with clear illustrations and videos yet.

Conclusion: Careful preparation with preoperative biliary drainage as well as precise evaluation of the functional capacity of the future liver remnant, as well as meticulous experience of surgeons in hepatic anatomy and non-touch resection technique are key points for success in extended resection for advanced hilar CCA.

1. Background

Biliary malignancy, or Cholangiocarcinoma (CCA) is a type of malignancy that arises from any portion of the biliary epithelium, which is classified to intra-hepatic or extra-hepatic CCA and accounts for 3% of gastrointestinal cancers [1,2]. Extra-hepatic cholangiocarcinoma (ECC) including Hilar cholangiocarcinoma (Klatskin’s tumor) and distal cholangiocarcinoma. Extrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma of the middle third of the common bile duct is rare, often invasion from gallbladder cancer rather than primary cancer. About 80% of CCAs are ECCs, of which Klatskin’s tumors and distal cholangiocarcinomas account for 50–60% and 20–25%, respectively [2].

Radical resection is still the only curative treatment for this disease. With the development of surgical techniques and perioperative management in recent years, there are several extended resection procedures that have proceeded successfully for cases of advanced hilar cholangiocarcinoma. They are hepatic right/left trisectionectomy for
Bismuth type IV tumors, hepato-pancreaticoduodenectomy (HPD) for tumors with extensive longitudinal spreading and/or combined vascular resection for tumors involving adjacent vascular structures [3]. And to improve the R0-resection rate and avoid the local recurrence, the “non-touch technique” principal was discovered. However, these procedures are still challenging for all Hepato-pancreato-biliary surgeons, especially in developing countries. In our knowledge, there have been not an article with describing the hepatic right trisectionectomy combined total caudate lobectomy step-by-step with clearly illustrated video and figures that was published yet. Herein, we reported a surgical case report of advanced hilar cholangiocarcinoma while was treated successful with the hepatic right trisectionectomy combined total caudate lobectomy with “non-touch technique”. All our work has been reported in line with the SCARE criteria and guidelines [4].

2. Case presentation

A 45-year-old female was admitted to hospital with obstructive jaundice and the hilar CCA was found. At the time of finding CCA, enhanced computed tomography showed the widely extension and involved the right intrahepatic bile ducts in continuity with the left medial sectional bile duct without portal veins (PV) invasion. And the rate of liver volume remnant, equivalent to volume of subsegment 2 and 3, was 24.5% (Fig. 1). According to extension of CCA, right trisectionectomy combined with total caudate lobectomy with non-touch technique was scheduled.

A laparotomy was performed through an upper j-shaped incision with right lateral subcostal extensions. Isolated resection of the hepato-duodenal ligament, including dissection of the hilar and surrounding lymph nodes (LNs number 12a,b,p; 7,8,9 following Japanese lymph node stations pancreatic cancer [5]). Dissection of the gallbladder.

Dissection of the hepatic peduncle by Lorta-Jacob Procedure [6], ligation, and resection of the right hepatic artery (RHA). Dissection and ligation of the right portal vein (PV) outside the hepatic parenchyma, then the stump was closed with a continuous suture. Determine whether portal bifurcation’s tumor infiltration or not, isolate the portal bifurcation from the tumor during resection of the hepato-duodenal ligament. Resection of the common bile duct (CBD) just above the anterior side of pancreas, determine the lower section of CBD with immediate biopsy (Video 1).

Mobilization of the right liver lobe, ligate all the short hepatic veins from the caudal to cranial direction, as well as the right hepatic vein
(RHV) and the middle hepatic vein (MHV) with two Surgical Stapler™ 45 mm. The right-side of caudate pedicle often comes from the posterior right hepatic pedicle (usually about 1 cm from the posterior segmental pedicle), which were resected contemporarily with other right hepatic pedicle elements. With left-side approach, often after opening of the lesser omentum, caudate lobe is pushed posteriorly to the Arantius fascia to show its pedicle, usually there are two pedicles enter caudate lobe from the left hepatic pedicle, as well as ligate the entire veins into this part up to the left hepatic vein (LHV), then tie the vein into the caudate process. Due to the caudate lobe was to be resected totally, all vena caval tributaries except the left hepatic veins were ligated. 

Determine hepatic parenchyma cut, then resect vascular branches, biliary tract with Monosyl™, Bipolar™ and LigaSure™, Left cholangiostomy to the division of the subsegment 2,3, the liver parenchyma is resected just below the Arantius’ ligament, separating the liver parenchyma from the inferior surface of the middle and left HVs. Determine negative upper section of the biliary tract with immediate biopsies (Video 2). During the entire dissection, we didn’t touch the tumor, so that, the tumor was totally resected with a wide tumor-free resection margins and the avoidance of dissection near tumor tissue (“non-touch technique” principle).

Stitch formation of the subsegment 2,3 bile duct; Resection of the lower jejunum distal from Trietz’s junction 20 cm with 01 Surgical Stapler™ 80 mm. Bilioenteric continuity with Roux-en-Y hepaticojejunostomy style, with a mucosa-to-mucosa alignment, by interrupted sutures using 5–0 polydioxanone and a total alignment by continuous sutures using 5–0 polydioxanone (Fig. 2). We have decided to restore a percutaneous transhepatic biliary drainage to avoid post-hepatectomy bile leakage. The tube was usually removed 3 weeks after hepatectomy. 

Liver resection was performed safely without any intraoperative complications. The operative time was 432 min, and the blood loss was 750 ml. Serum alanine transaminase and aspartate transaminase levels were moderately (<500 U/L) elevated after hepatectomy, followed by a return to normal range within 1 week. Insufficiency of hepaticojejunostomy did not occur in the patient. Postoperative recovery was uneventful without any major complications but developed intra-abdominal abscess that required percutaneous drainage. The patient was discharged from the hospital in good condition after 19 postoperative days.

Histologically, the patient was diagnosed as having invasive type cholangiocarcinoma with hepatic parenchymal permeation and perineural invasion but no lymph nodes metastasis (pT3N0Mx according to The American Joint Committee on Cancer (AJCC) 8th Staging [7]). Proximal and distal resection margin were negative.

3. Discussion

Hilar cholangiocarcinoma, which was described firstly by Klatskin in 1965, accounted for about 50% of cholangiocarcinomas [8]. In definition, extrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma is cancer that originates from the biliary epithelium and occurs at any site of the extrahepatic bile duct. It is divided into cholangiocarcinoma (Klaskin’s tumor) and distal ductal carcinoma. Klaskin’s tumors include those located within 2 cm of the bifurcation of the common hepatic duct [9]. There are many risk factors leading to ECC, of which three high risk factors are congenital biliary dilatation, gallstone infection of the biliary tract and primary sclerosing cholangitis [10]. The common mechanism causing ECC is stagnation of bile, reflux of pancreatic fluid into the biliary tract and cholangitis, which can change the structure of the biliary epithelium. Especially, in 98% of ECC patients have gall bladder stones, and 1 out of every 100 patients with gall bladder stones may develop ECC [10]. In epidemiology, CCA is more common in elderly patients and is more common in men than in women. According to German authors, the mean age of CCA is 54.2 ± 11.3 years old and is more common in men than women with an approximate ratio of 1.4. [11]. Otherwise, Japanese authors studying hilar CCA found that the middle age of these group of patients was 62.0 years old, and the male/female ratio was about 1.5 [12]. The Hong Kong authors found that intrahepatic CCA (ICC) had an average age of 61.8 years and a male/female ratio of approximately 1.5 [13]. 

Advanced hilar CCA can be defined as tumors with upward or downward extensive longitudinal spreading and/or combined major

![Fig. 2. Bilioenteric continuity with Roux-en-Y hepaticojejunostomy style.](image)
vascular invasion. Advanced hilar CCA with upward extensive longitudinal spreading, which is defined as a Bismuth type IV tumor according to the Bismuth classification system, is a hilar cholangiocarcinoma with bilateral involvement of second-order biliary radicles [14]. In the recent 8th edition of the American Joint Committee on Cancer (AJCC) cancer staging manual, Bismuth type IV tumor hasn’t classified as the T4 category anymore, which can be understood that these Bismuth type IV tumors are no longer contraindications for resection [7]. And the favored aggressive surgical approach for Bismuth type IV tumors was right/left trisectionectomy, which was called extended right hepatectomy/ right hepatic lobectomy in European countries, was firstly reported by Lortat-Jacob et al. in 1952 and technically completed by Starzl et al. in 1975 [6,17]. This technique was standardized and widely applicable to hepatocellular carcinoma, liver metastasis, and benign hepatic diseases, but still has limited applications in field of hilar cholangiocarcinoma, which was required more novel techniques to achieve negative resection margins on the intrahepatic bile ducts [18].

The surgical term “non-touch technique”, which was first introduced by Neuhaus et al. in 1999, was based on the oncological principle of minimal R0-resection margins and avoid of disrupted tumor [19]. This term was quite similar with en bloc resection of extrahepatic bile duct, right hepatic artery (RHA), and six liver segments 1 and 6 to 8. Portal vein bifurcation resection previously was recommended in en bloc resection, with evidence of improved rate of negative margins [20,21]. However, due to no difference in long-term survival was found in group of portal vein resection versus group that did not as well as increased perioperative morbidity, this procedure should be considered carefully and proceeded with experienced surgeons in high-volume centers, and may be avoid if the tumor could be detached firmly with the portal vein bifurcation [21].

Extended right hepatic trisectionectomy, or “Anatomic” Right Hepatic Trissectionectomy, is a combined technique of right hepatic trisectionectomy and total caudate lobectomy, which include resection of hepatic subsegments 1, 4, 5, 6, 7, and 8 according to the Couinaud classification [22]. This procedure is mainly indicated for advanced hilar CCA with tumoral involvement of the right intrahepatic bile ducts in continuity with the left medial sectional bile duct, so that, comparing the classic right hepatic trisectionectomy, it is a completely different, due to the proximal bile duct is cut at the confluence of the bile ducts from subsegments 2 and 3, which was at the left side of the umbilical portion of the left PV [18]. Due to the biliary tributaries of the caudate lobe directly arise from the bile duct bifurcation, resection of the caudate lobe was recommended, especially with tumor around caudate lobe area [23]. This liver resection is very extensive, due to the average relative volume was accounted for about 81% of total liver volume [24]. So that, in most cases, authors have chosen several approaches to increase liver volume remnant and avoid risks of post-hepatectomy liver failure, such as right and segment 4 portal vein embolization, the associating liver partition and PV ligation for staged hepatectomy (ALPPS) procedure [3].

In addition, to minimize the risks of post-hepatectomy liver failure, meticulous perioperative management as well as precise evaluation of the functional capacity of the future liver remnant are very important points. Some radiological interventions have been widely accepted to reduce the risk of post-hepatectomy liver failure include Preoperative biliary drainage methods (percutaneous transhepatic biliary drainage, endoscopic nasobiliary drainage and endoscopic biliary stenting). Portal vein embolization to increase liver volume remnant. In our case, our patient was proceeded percutaneous transhepatic biliary drainage 3 weeks preoperatively, and the level of total and direct Bilirubin were 50 μmol/L and 43.6 μmol/L (normal ranges were less than 17.1 and 5.1 μmol/L, respectively). And the rate of liver volume remnant, equivalent to volume of subsegment 2 and 3, was 24.5% (Fig. 1). These meticulous operative preparations were key points to avoid post-hepatectomy liver failure.

4. Conclusion

Extended resection procedures such as extend right/left trisectionectomy, hepato-pancreaticoduodenectomy (HPD) and/or combined vascular resection for advanced hilar cholangiocarcinoma are still challenging for all Hepato-pancreato-biliary surgeons, especially in developing countries like Vietnam. And “non-touch technique” principal was becoming standard surgical step to reduce local recurrence. Careful preparation with preoperative biliary drainage as well as precise evaluation of the functional capacity of the future liver remnant, as well as meticulous experience of surgeons in hepatic anatomy and resection techniques are key points for success.

Supplementary data to this article can be found online at https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijscr.2022.106987.
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