Combining In Vitro Data and Physiologically Based Kinetic Modeling Facilitates Reverse Dosimetry to Define In Vivo Dose–Response Curves for Bixin- and Crocetin-Induced Activation of PPAR\(\gamma\) in Humans
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Scope: It is investigated whether at realistic dietary intake bixin and crocetin could induce peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor \(\gamma\) (PPAR\(\gamma\))-mediated gene expression in humans using a combined in vitro–in silico approach. Methods and results: Concentration–response curves obtained from in vitro PPAR\(\gamma\)-reporter gene assays are converted to in vivo dose–response curves using physiologically based kinetic modeling-facilitated reverse dosimetry, from which the benchmark dose levels resulting in a 50% effect above background level (BMD\(_{50}\)) are predicted and subsequently compared to dietary exposure levels. Bixin and crocetin activated PPAR\(\gamma\)-mediated gene transcription in a concentration-dependent manner with similar potencies. Due to differences in kinetics, the predicted BMD\(_{50}\) values for in vivo PPAR\(\gamma\) activation are about 30-fold different, amounting to 115 and 3505 mg kg\(^{-1}\) for crocetin and bixin, respectively. Human dietary and/or supplemental estimated daily intakes may reach these BMD\(_{50}\) values for crocetin but not for bixin, pointing at better possibilities for in vivo PPAR\(\gamma\) activation by crocetin.

Conclusion: Based on a combined in vitro–in silico approach, it is estimated whether at realistic dietary intakes plasma concentrations of bixin and crocetin are likely to reach concentrations that activate PPAR\(\gamma\)-mediated gene expression, without the need for a human intervention study.

1. Introduction

Bixin (methyl hydrogen 9′-cis-6,6′-diapocarotene-6,6′-dioate) and crocetin (8,8′-diapocarotene-8,8′-dioic acid) (Figure 1) are food-borne carotenoids.[1,2] Bixin is present in the extract prepared from the seed coat of annatto (Bixa orellana L.). Annato extracts containing bixin are an approved food color additive (E160b), for which the European Food Safety Authority (EFSA) established an acceptable daily intake (ADI) of 6 mg kg\(^{-1}\) per day.[3–5] Crocetin occurs naturally in the fruits of gardenia (Gardenia jasminoides Ellis) and in the stigma of saffron (Crocus sativus L.) frequently consumed due to its use as food colorant and flavoring.[6] Saffron containing crocetin is recognized as food additive in the United States, while JECFA recognized saffron as a food ingredient rather than a food additive.[7] In addition to use as food additives, bixin and crocetin have been considered as...
potential functional food ingredients with beneficial effects in various diseases, including type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM). Studies in experimental animals revealed that bixin shows hypoglycemic activity in streptozotocin-induced diabetic rats, and that crocetin enhances insulin sensitivity in insulin resistant rats, suggesting their potential beneficial roles in T2DM. The interest to explore the carotenoids as potential functional food ingredients is increasing, due to the growing reports about side effects associated with current T2DM medication. Thiazolidinediones (TZDs), which once were the most widely used drugs for treatment of T2DM, have been reported to cause body weight gain and increased risks for myocardial infarction, peripheral edema, and bone fracture. TZDs are believed to exert their therapeutic effects via activation of peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor γ (PPARγ), which is also suggested as mode of action underlying the potential beneficial effects of bixin and crocetin.

PPARγ activation has been reported to increase insulin sensitivity, decrease free fatty acid levels in plasma, and increase lipid storage in adipose tissue. Several carotenoids, including bixin and also norbixin, β-carotene, lutein, neoxanthin, phytoene, lycopene, β-carotene, astaxanthin, β-cryptoxanthin, zeaxanthin, γ-carotene, δ-carotene have been shown to activate PPARγ-mediated gene expression in vitro. It remains to be established however, whether the reported PPARγ activating characteristics can also be expected at realistic human dietary intake levels. Therefore the aim of the present study was to investigate whether the reported PPARγ activating characteristics of bixin and crocetin may be expected at realistic human daily intake levels. To this end, concentration–response curves for bixin- and crocetin-dependent activation of PPARγ-mediated gene expression in a stably transfected U2OS PPARγ reporter gene cell line were converted to predicted in vivo dose–response curves using so-called physiologically based kinetic (PBK) modeling facilitated reverse dosimetry. This approach facilitates evaluation of whether PPARγ activating characteristics of bixin and crocetin may be expected at realistic human dietary intake levels without the need for a human intervention study.

A PBK model can predict the concentration of a compound and its relevant metabolites in any tissue at any point in time and for any dose level, within its applicability domain. After the PBK model is validated with the available in vivo data, it can be used to convert in vitro concentrations, set equal to internal concentrations in blood or a tissue of choice, to corresponding in vivo dose levels, by so-called reverse dosimetry. In PBK modeling facilitated reverse dosimetry, the PBK model is used in the reverse order compared to the forward dosimetry that is generally applied in pharmacokinetics. Forward dosimetry is applied to calculate the internal concentration of a compound or its metabolite that can be expected in blood or a relevant tissue upon a given dose level. In the reverse dosimetry approach, in vitro concentrations are set equal to blood or tissue levels of the respective compound in the PBK model, following which the PBK model is used to calculate the corresponding in vivo dose level for any given route of administration. Subsequent benchmark dose (BMD) modeling can be applied on the predicted in vivo dose–response data, to determine effective exposure levels for humans, like a BMD value defining the dose levels inducing a limited but measurable response above background level and the BMDL values, the lower confidence limits of the BMD.

2. Experimental Section

2.1. In Vitro PPARγ CALUX Assay of Bixin and Crocetin

Bixin (96.5% purity by HPLC) was purchased from International Laboratory (San Francisco, USA). Norbixin was extracted from annatto seeds using extraction with 8% ethanol in dichloromethane (CH2Cl2). Norbixin was purified from this extract by preparative thin layer chromatography (TLC). Crocetin (98% purity by HPLC) was purchased from Carotenature (Lupsingen, Switzerland). The cytotoxicity of bixin, norbixin, and crocetin was tested in vitro as previously described using the cytotox CALUX cell line to ascertain that the test compounds did not affect the luciferase activity themselves under the conditions tested. PPARγ-mediated gene expression was tested using the PPARγ2-reporter gene assay in PPAR-γ2 CALUX cells provided by BioDetection Systems BV (Amsterdam, The Netherlands). To analyze the effects of bixin, norbixin, and crocetin on PPARγ-mediated gene expression, the cells were incubated for 24 h at increasing concentrations (0.01–100 µM) of the compounds in culture medium added from 200 times concentrated stock solutions in THF. The final concentration of THF in exposure medium was 0.5% v/v, 1 µM rosiglitazone, a well-known PPARγ agonist, was included in every plate as positive control (added from a 200 times concentrated stock solution in DMSO). Luciferase activity of the lysate was quantified at room temperature using a luminometer (Glomeex Multi Detection System, Promega Madison USA).

Data are presented as mean values ± SD from three independent experiments with six replicates per plate. The PPARγ responses were expressed relative to the response of the rosiglitazone positive control set at 100%. The obtained concentration–response curves were fitted with a symmetrical sigmoidal model (Hill slope) using GraphPad Prism software (version 5.00 for Windows, GraphPad software, San Diego, USA) which was further used to derive EC50 values.

2.2. Determination of Model Parameter Values for Hepatic Clearance

Pooled human cryopreserved hepatocytes (HEP10) for suspension were purchased from Life Technologies (Bleiswijk, The Netherlands).
Netherlands). The cells were thawed and assessed for metabolic stability in accordance with the manufacturer’s protocol (Supporting information 1). The intrinsic clearance (\( CL_{\text{int}} \)) values of bixin and crocetin were estimated by a substrate depletion approach using the protocol provided by the supplier for in vitro assessment of metabolic stability in suspensions with cryopreserved pooled mixed gender human hepatocytes (HEP10) with little modifications. The rate of disappearance of the parent compounds at a single, low substrate concentration (i.e., 3 \( \mu \)M) were scaled to in vivo clearance values to describe the hepatic clearance of the parent compounds in the PBK model. After incubation at time points 0, 7.5, 15, 30, and 60 min, the residual parent compounds were analyzed using a Waters UPLC-DAD-System. For all incubations, three independent replicates were performed.

The slope of the linear curve for the time-dependent percent residual parent compound from the HEP10 containing reaction mixtures corrected for the percent residual parent compound in the corresponding blanks without cells was used to determine the \( CL_{\text{int}} \) values. The human physiological parameters reported by Soars et al.\(^{29}\) were used to scale the in vitro \( CL_{\text{int}} \) values to in vivo \( CL_{\text{int}} \) values which were applied in the PBK models (Equation 2):

\[
CL_{\text{int, in vitro}} = \frac{0.693}{t_{1/2}} \times \frac{V}{N}
\]

\[
CL_{\text{int, in vivo}} = WL \times bw \times \frac{1}{Hep} \times CL_{\text{int, in vitro}} \times 60 \times 10^{-6}
\]

where \( V \) is the volume of the incubation (expressed in micro-liter) and \( N \) is number of hepatocytes per well (expressed in \( 10^6 \) cells).\(^{28}\) The human physiological parameters reported by Soars et al.\(^{29}\) were used to scale the in vitro \( CL_{\text{int}} \) values to in vivo \( CL_{\text{int}} \) values which were applied in the PBK models (Equation 2):

\[
CL_{\text{int, in vitro}} = \frac{0.693}{t_{1/2}} \times \frac{V}{N}
\]

where \( CL_{\text{int, in vitro}} \) is in vitro \( CL_{\text{int}} \) (L h\(^{-1}\)), \( WL \) is liver weight of 20 g kg\(^{-1}\), \( bw \) is human body weight of 70 kg used in the PBK models, \( Hep \) is hepatocellularity of 120 \( 10^6 \) cells g\(^{-1}\) liver, \( CL_{\text{int, in vitro}} \) is in vitro \( CL_{\text{int}} \) (µL min\(^{-1}\) \( 10^6 \) cells), 60 is the value of 60 min within 1 h, \( 10^{-6} \) to convert from micro-litre to liter.

As norbixin, which is a likely metabolite of bixin, was unable to induce PPAR\( \gamma \)-mediated gene transcription even at the highest concentration tested, and in line with literature,\(^{18}\) it was not considered in the clearance studies and subsequent PBK modeling.

**2.3. Development and Evaluation of a PBK Model for Bixin and Crocetin**

A PBK model is a set of mathematical equations which describe the absorption, distribution, metabolism, and excretion (ADME) characteristics of a compound within an organism based on three types of parameters, that is, i) physiological and anatomical (e.g., cardiac output, tissue volumes, and tissue blood flows), ii) physico-chemical (blood/tissue partition coefficients), and iii) kinetic parameters (e.g., kinetic constants for metabolic reactions).\(^{28}\) Figure 2 depicts the conceptual PBK model, which consists of separate compartments for the gastrointestinal (GI) tract, liver, slowly perfused tissues (e.g., skin, muscle, bone), rapidly perfused tissues (e.g., heart, lung, brain), fat, and blood.

![Figure 2. Schematic representation of the conceptual PBK model for bixin and crocetin in humans.](https://www.advancedsciencenews.com)
calculating normalized sensitivity coefficients (SCs) by Equation (3).

$$SC = \left( \frac{C - C_i}{P - P_i} \right) \times \left( \frac{P}{C} \right)$$

(3)

where C is the initial value of the model output, C’ is the modified value of the model output resulting from an increase in parameter value, P is the initial parameter value, and P’ is the modified parameter value. Each parameter was analyzed individually by changing one parameter at a time (5% increase) and keeping the other parameters the same.

2.4. Translation of In Vitro PPARγ Concentration Response Curves to In Vivo PPARγ Dose Response Curves

The in vitro concentration–response curves for bixin- and crocetin-induced activation of PPARγ mediated gene transcription were translated into predicted in vivo dose–response curves using PBK modeling-facilitated reverse dosimetry. This reverse dosimetry was based on the concentration of the parent compound, which was assumed to represent the form of the carotenoids activating PPARγ-mediated gene expression.

Furthermore, within this translation a correction was made to take the differences in albumin and lipid concentrations between in vitro and in vivo conditions into account. This was done because it was assumed that only the free fraction of the carotenoid will be available to exert the effects. Extracellular instead of intracellular concentrations were used because unbound concentrations in blood were considered to best match the in vitro model where cells were exposed to the carotenoids dissolved in the medium on top of the cell layer. The unbound fraction ($f_{ub, in vitro}$) was estimated to determine the fraction bound ($f_{in vitro}$) to lipid and protein in culture medium. Each nominal concentration applied in the in vitro PPARγ-mediated gene expression assay (EC$_{in vitro}$) of bixin and crocetin was extrapolated to an in vivo effect concentration (EC$_{in vivo}$) according to the extrapolation rule of Gülden and Seibert[47] as described in Supporting Information 4. Each in vivo concentration (EC$_{in vivo}$), thus obtained was set equal to the blood $C_{max}$ of bixin and crocetin in the PBK model. The PBK model was subsequently used to calculate the corresponding oral dose levels in humans to derive the in vivo dose–response curves.

To determine the benchmark dose resulting in a 50% increase over the background level of PPARγ activation (BMD$_{50}$) the predicted in vivo dose–response data for bixin- and crocetin-induced PPARγ-mediated gene expression in humans were used for BMD modeling. Dose–response modeling and BMD analysis were performed using the EFSA BMD modeling webtool (PROAST version 66.38, https://shiny-efsa.openanalytics.eu/app/bmd). Data were analyzed using the exponential model for continuous data because this model appeared to provide the best goodness of fit with the lowest Akaike Information Criterion (AIC) value among the available models. In the visualization result of PROAST, a critical effect size (CES), critical effect dose (CED), lower bound of the CED (CEDI), upper bound of the CED (CEDU) correspond to the BMR, BMD$_{50}$, BMDL$_{50}$ (lower bound of the BMD$_{50}$ 95%-confidence interval), and BMDU50 (upper bound of the BMD$_{50}$ 95%-confidence interval), respectively.

3. Results

3.1. Bixin- and Crocetin-Induced Activation of PPARγ-Mediated Gene Expression

Bixin and crocetin increased PPARγ-mediated gene expression in a concentration-dependent manner, while norbixin appeared unable to induce PPARγ-mediated gene expression up to the highest concentration tested of 100 µM (Figure 3). Bixin and crocetin were of similar potency and had an EC$_{50}$ of 23.5 and 17.7 µM, respectively. Using the cytotox CALUX cell line it was confirmed that at the concentrations tested there was no cytotoxicity and the test compounds did not affect the luciferase activity (data not shown).

3.2. Hepatic Clearance of Bixin and Crocetin

The hepatic clearance of bixin and crocetin was determined for subsequent PBK modeling using incubations with primary human hepatocytes. Figure 4 shows that bixin concentrations decreased during the incubation, resulting in an in vitro clearance ($CL_{int, in vitro}$) of 36.13 µL·min$^{-1}$·10$^5$ cells$^{-1}$, and a scaled in vivo clearance ($CL_{int, in vivo}$) of 364.16 L·h$^{-1}$. Crocetin concentrations were not clearly affected along the 60 min incubation with human hepatocytes and therefore, for subsequent PBK modeling, hepatic clearance was assumed to be negligible ($CL_{int, in vivo} = 0$).

3.3. Evaluation of the PBK Models for Bixin and Crocetin

To evaluate the PBK models, the dose-dependent blood concentrations of bixin and crocetin in humans were predicted and compared to blood concentrations resulting from oral intake of bixin and crocetin reported in literature. For bixin, the one study available reported a maximum blood concentration ($C_{max}$) of 0.029 µm after an oral dose of 0.23 mg kg bw$^{-1}$.[48] This predicted $C_{max}$ value accurately matched the PBK model based predicted $C_{max}$ value of 0.027 µm. For crocetin, also a single human study was available reporting $C_{max}$ values after oral intake at three different dose levels of 0.125, 0.25, and 0.374 mg kg bw$^{-1}$.[44] The PBK model based predicted $C_{max}$ values at these dose levels amounted to 0.12, 0.25, and 0.37 µm which were 2.5-, 2.5-, and 2.3-fold lower than the reported values of 0.31, 0.61, and 0.85 µm, respectively. Thus, comparison of the predicted and reported blood levels of bixin and crocetin reveals that the PBK models adequately predicted the $C_{max}$ values. Furthermore, comparison of the $C_{max}$ values of bixin and crocetin reveals that the $C_{max}$ values for bixin are about 5–14 times lower than those of crocetin.

The performance of the developed models was further evaluated by a sensitivity analysis to assess the parameters that affect the prediction of the $C_{max}$ of bixin and crocetin in blood to the largest extent. The sensitivity analysis was performed at an
Concentration-dependent induction of PPARγ-mediated gene expression by a) bixin (squares) and norbixin (circles), and b) crocetin (triangles) expressed as percentage of the response induced by the positive control 1 µM rosiglitazone set at 100%. The induction by rosiglitazone was between sevenfold and eightfold. Values are presented as means ± SD derived from three independent experiments.

Hepatic clearance of bixin (square) and crocetin (triangle) during the incubations with primary human hepatocytes for 60 min. The slope for linear regression until 15 min (straight line) was used to determine the in vitro half-life ($t_{1/2}$) of bixin.

Figure 6 presents the in vivo dose–response curves obtained for bixin and crocetin when, upon correction for the differences in unbound fraction, the in vitro concentrations were converted to corresponding in vivo dose levels. BMD modeling of these data (for details, see Figure S1, Supporting Information 5), resulted in the BMD$_{50}$, BMDL$_{50}$, and BMDU$_{50}$ values presented in Table 1. From these data it follows that the BMD$_{50}$ of bixin is about 30 times higher than that of crocetin.

3.4. Comparison to Human Dietary Intake Levels

The predicted BMD$_{50}$ values including the BMDL$_{50}$ and BMDU$_{50}$ values thus obtained were compared to the reported dose levels of bixin and crocetin resulting from daily intake in humans as taken from the literature. Figure 7 shows a comparison of the predicted BMD$_{50}$ values (presenting also the BMDL$_{50}$-BMDU$_{50}$ range) for bixin- and crocetin-mediated induction of PPARγ activity in vivo and the estimated dietary intake levels, resulting from use of the compounds as food additives and/or as functional food ingredients in food supplements.

The recent exposure assessment performed by EFSA[3] reported the estimated maximum level of dietary exposure to bixin-based annatto extracts (E 160b) from its use as food additive to amount to 0.04–1.07 mg kg bw$^{-1}$ per day (95th percentile). This value is 3 to 5 orders of magnitude lower than the predicted BMD$_{50}$ for PPARγ activation, which reveals that normal dietary intake of bixin is expected to not result in activation of PPARγ-mediated gene expression. Also bixin supplementation at a level of 0.05 mg kg bw$^{-1}$ in healthy human subjects which was reported to be an active dose to prevent early oxidative modifications in LDL as key event of atherosclerosis[49] is several orders of magnitude lower than the predicted BMD$_{50}$.
Figure 5. Normalized sensitivity coefficients for the parameters of the PBK model for bixin and crocetin on predicted \( C_{\text{max}} \) in blood at a single oral dose of 0.23 mg kg bw\(^{-1}\) for bixin (white bars) and 0.25 mg kg bw\(^{-1}\) (gray bars) for crocetin. bw, body weight; VLc, fraction of liver volume; VRC, fraction of rapidly perfused tissues volume; VSc, fraction of slowly perfused tissues volume; PL, liver/blood partition coefficient; PS, slowly perfused tissue/blood partition coefficient; PR, rapidly perfused tissue/blood partition coefficient; ka, uptake rate constant; \( C_{\text{int, in vitro}} \), in vitro intrinsic clearance of bixin/crocetin; Hep, hepatocellularity.

Table 1. BMD\(_{50}\) and BMDL\(_{50}\)-BMDU\(_{50}\) values derived from the dose–response curves predicted using PBK modeling-facilitated reverse dosimetry to convert the in vitro concentration–response curves as obtained in the present study to in vivo dose–response curves.

| Compound | BMD\(_{50}\) [mg kg bw\(^{-1}\)] | Predicted BMDL\(_{50}\)-BMDU\(_{50}\) [mg kg bw\(^{-1}\)] |
|----------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Bixin    | 3505            | 1710–5220       |
| Crocetin | 115             | 0.32–374        |

magnitude below the predicted BMD\(_{50}\) for inducing the PPAR\(\gamma\)-mediated gene expression. This result is in line with results reported before concluding that bixin supplementation amounting to 1.2 mg kg bw\(^{-1}\) (10% of the ADI) had no effect on the postprandial oxidative LDL levels and thus seemed inactive in preventing the risk of cardiovascular disease and insulin resistance.\(^{[60]}\) Furthermore comparison of the predicted BMD\(_{50}\) values to the ADI values for bixin of 6 and 0–12 mg kg bw\(^{-1}\) day\(^{-1}\) established by EFSA\(^{[5]}\) and JECFA\(^{[51]}\) reveals that these ADI values are also 2 to 3 orders of magnitude lower than the BMD\(_{50}\) indicating that they will prevent effective PPAR\(\gamma\)-mediated gene expression.

For crocetin there are no existing values for the EDI resulting from its use as a food ingredient. However, the WHO\(^{[52]}\) based on the Pharmacopoeia of the People's Republic of China reported that the recommended therapeutic daily dose of stigma croci (saffron stigma) is 3–9 g. Considering the level of crocin
of 25.95 mg.100 mg\(^{-1}\) dry saffron\(^{[53]}\) and the mass ratio of crocetin to crocin, this dose of stigma croci is estimated to be equivalent to an intake of crocetin of 3.74–11.2 mg kg\(^{-1}\) bw\(^{-1}\) per day for a 70 kg person (see Supporting Information 6 for the detailed calculation). Comparison of this EDI to the predicted \(\text{BMDL}_{50}\) and \(\text{BMDU}_{50}\) range for crocetin reveals that the recommended therapeutic dose as reported by the WHO\(^{[52]}\) is predicted to represent a dose levels where PPAR\(\gamma\) activation in human might be expected, although it must be noted that the confidence intervals in the predicted dose–response data for crocetin are large.

4. Discussion

PPAR\(\gamma\) has been identified as a ligand-regulated nuclear receptor reported to increase insulin sensitivity in the treatment of T2DM. This made PPAR\(\gamma\) a target for drug development and also resulted in reports on various natural dietary ingredients able to activate PPAR\(\gamma\)-mediated gene expression. This includes reports on activation of PPAR\(\gamma\) by various carotenoids as detected in in vitro reporter gene assays.\(^{[18–21]}\) Some carotenoids, including the model compounds of the present study bixin and crocetin have also been proposed for use as functional food ingredients and/or are used in traditional medicine to treat T2DM-related symptoms.\(^{[54]}\) For crocetin, the therapeutic use of crocetin-containing stigma croci has been proposed at dose levels amounts to 3–9 g per person, estimated in the present study to be equivalent to 3.74–11.2 mg crocetin kg\(^{-1}\) bw\(^{-1}\) for a 70 kg person.\(^{[52]}\) The aim of the present study was to investigate at what dose levels bixin and crocetin would be expected to induce PPAR\(\gamma\)-mediated gene expression in humans in vivo by using a combined in vitro-in silico based testing strategy without the need for a human intervention study. Thus, the present study especially investigated whether dose–response curve for in vivo PPAR\(\gamma\) activation in human by bixin and crocetin can be quantitatively predicted by PBK modeling-facilitated reverse dosimetry of PPAR\(\gamma\) activation data obtained in an in vitro PPAR\(\gamma\) reporter gene assay.

The results of the in vitro study indicate that both bixin and crocetin can activate PPAR\(\gamma\)-mediated gene expression in U2OS PPAR\(\gamma\)2 cells (Figure 3). This observation is in line with earlier reports on PPAR\(\gamma\) activation by related carotenoids.\(^{[18–21]}\) The results also match the results which reported that branched fatty compounds represent a group of natural PPAR\(\gamma\) agonists able to enhance insulin sensitivity of adipocytes.\(^{[18]}\) The EC\(_{50}\) values for bixin- and crocetin-dependent induction of PPAR\(\gamma\)-mediated gene expression in the U2OS PPAR\(\gamma\)2 cells were similar indicating a similar intrinsic potency of the carotenoids to induce PPAR\(\gamma\) activity. The absence of PPAR\(\gamma\) induction by norbixin, the metabolite resulting from hydrolysis of bixin, as observed in the present study is in line with results previously reported by Takahashi et al.,\(^{[18]}\) who reported that the activity of norbixin for PPAR\(\gamma\) activation was substantially lower than that of bixin when tested in the luciferase assay using a chimera protein of PPAR\(\gamma\) and the PPAR full-length system, respectively. Moreover, Roehrs et al.\(^{[10]}\) found the opposite effect of bixin and norbixin on potentially PPAR\(\gamma\) related effects in vivo; where the highest
dose of norbixin increased dyslipidaemia and oxidative stress in streptozotocin-induced diabetes rats, bixin showed an antihyperglycemic effect, improving lipid profiles, and protecting against damage induced by oxidative stress in the diabetic state.

To enable the translation of the in vitro concentration–response curves to in vivo dose–response curves for PPARγ activation by bixin and crocetin, PBK models for bixin and crocetin were developed. Characterization of the model parameters for hepatic clearance revealed that hepatic clearance of crocetin was limited as compared to that observed for bixin. This result explains the observed differences in reported and also in the PBK modeling-based predicted $C_{\text{max}}$ levels for crocetin and bixin in blood at comparable dose levels. The $C_{\text{max}}$ values for crocetin were about 10–20 fold higher than those for bixin at comparable dose levels. Furthermore, comparison of the predicted $C_{\text{max}}$ values to $C_{\text{max}}$ values actually observed in available in vivo kinetic studies in human[41,44] revealed that for both bixin and crocetin these differences were limited. The predicted $C_{\text{max}}$ of bixin of 0.027 µM was similar to the reported value of 0.029 µM.[43] For crocetin there was only a twofold difference between the PBK model predictions and the reported $C_{\text{max}}$ values,[44] the predicted values being somewhat too low.

Upon evaluation of the PBK models the available in vitro concentration–response curves for bixin- and crocetin-mediated PPARγ activation were converted to in vivo dose–response curves using PBK modeling-facilitated reverse dosimetry. The $\text{BMD}_{50}$ and $\text{BMDL}_{50}$–$\text{BMDU}_{50}$ values derived from the dose–response curves thus obtained were compared to estimated daily intakes for bixin and crocetin resulting from realistic exposure scenarios. These comparisons revealed that EDI values for bixin resulting from its use as a food additive[3] or as food supplement[49,50] are unlikely to result in PPARγ-mediated gene expression in humans. In contrast, use of crocetin-containing stigma croci at dose levels amounting to 3–9 g per person, equivalent to 3.74–11.2 mg crocetin kg bw$^{-1}$ for a 70 kg person,[52] were predicted to more likely result in substantial induction of PPARγ-mediated gene expression in human. However, it must be noted that the confinidence intervals in the predicted dose–response data for crocetin are large and that the $\text{BMD}_{50}$ of the predicted dose–response data is about ten times higher than the intake at therapeutic dose levels. On the other hand, since clearance of crocetin was measured to be negligible in our in vitro studies, crocetin clearance in vivo is expected to be limited as well so that internal concentrations may increase upon daily repeated crocetin intake, resulting in lower predicted effective dose levels.

It is of interest to note that in spite of the intrinsically similar potency of bixin and crocetin to induce PPARγ-mediated gene expression, as reflected by similar $EC_{50}$ values in the PPARγ reporter gene assay, the predicted in vivo $\text{BMD}_{50}$ values differed 30-fold with the value for crocetin being lower. This can be ascribed to the more efficient clearance of bixin than of crocetin, resulting in lower dose levels required to reach effective in vivo $C_{\text{max}}$ levels for crocetin than for bixin. This difference in clearance was observed in the in vitro incubations with the primary hepatocytes used in the present study. The few articles reporting on the pharmacokinetics of crocetin in human confirm the inefficient, albeit not negligible, clearance of crocetin.[44,55–57]

The present study used PBK modeling-based reverse dosimetry converting in vitro data to predicted in vivo dose-response curves enabling definition of effective in vivo dose levels. In previous studies this combined in vitro-in silico approach appeared already valid for other endpoints including, for example, genistein-induced estrogenicity,[36] hesperitin-induced effects on inhibition of protein kinase A activity,[35] azole-,[37] phenol-,[38] retinoic acid,[39] and glycol ether-mediated developmental toxicity,[40] and lasiocarpine- and riddelllline-induced liver toxicity.[41,42] The results of the present study illustrate that this combined in vitro-in silico approach can also be used to obtain insights in human responses to potential functional food ingredients. This insight can be used to select the promising compounds for subsequent human intervention studies and can help in the selection of doses in such studies.
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