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Background. Influenza vaccination is uncommon in low-resource settings. We evaluated aspects of operational feasibility of influenza vaccination programs targeting risk groups in the World Health Organization (WHO) African (AFR) and South-East Asian (SEAR) Regions.

Methods. We estimated routine immunization and influenza vaccination campaign doses, doses per vaccinator, and cold storage requirements for 1 simulated country in each region using evidence-based population distribution, vaccination schedule, and vaccine volumes. Influenza vaccination targeted persons <5 years, pregnant women, persons with chronic diseases, persons ≥65 years, and healthcare workers (HCW). For the AFR country, we compared vaccine volumes to actual storage capacities.

Results. Targeting HCW had a small operational impact, and subsequent findings exclude this group. During 3-month influenza vaccination campaigns, monthly doses delivered in the AFR country increased from 15.0% for ≥65 years to 93.1% for <5 years and in the SEAR country from 19.6% for pregnant women to 145.0% for persons with chronic diseases. National-level cold storage capacity requirements increased in the AFR country from 4.1% for ≥65 years to 36.8% for <5 years and the SEAR country from 17.6% for pregnant women to 56.0% for persons with chronic diseases.

Conclusions. Influenza vaccination of most risk groups will require substantial increases in doses, doses per vaccinator, and cold storage capacity in countries where infrastructure and resources are limited.
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The World Health Organization (WHO) recommends that persons at risk for severe influenza illness receive influenza vaccine annually [1]. Despite this policy recommendation, influenza vaccines are not commonly used in much of the world [2–4]. Among the 83 low-income countries (LICs) and lower-middle-income countries (LMICs) in 2014, only 20 (24.1%) reported having national influenza vaccination policies. The WHO Regions with the lowest proportions of countries with influenza vaccination policies in 2014 were the African Region (3/47) and the South-East Asian Region (2/11) [2].

There are many challenges to influenza vaccination programs that particularly affect LICs and LMICs, including the need for annual immunization, frequent formulation updates, short shelf-lives, targeted populations outside traditional vaccination contact ages, and unclear program impact on severe disease [5,6]. Vaccine delivery and immunization supply chain limitations may also hinder influenza vaccination program implementation. In 2014, WHO noted that many country systems lacked sufficient capacity to accommodate the expansion of immunization programs [7]. Since then, a concerted global effort has improved supply chain infrastructure [8], but substantial vaccine storage capacity limitations remain [9]. Immunization system strengthening has been a focus of the global severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) pandemic response; however, as of late 2020, the United Nations expressed concern about the low levels of readiness among LICs and LMICs to deliver pandemic vaccines [10].

In this study, we evaluate the anticipated impact influenza vaccination programs would have on vaccine delivery, workload, and cold storage in countries in the WHO African and
South-East Asian Regions. Our objective was to assess the operational feasibility of influenza vaccination programs targeting risk groups in these regions.

METHODS

Study Design
This study is based on cold chain capacity assessments performed routinely by countries in preparation for new vaccine introductions [11]. We simulated immunization operations in 2 different countries of 20 million people in the WHO African and South-East Asian Regions, subsequently referred to as “African country” and “Asian country.” We applied the 2017 population age distributions for each region to each country [12]. All simulation inputs reflected regional averages, unless otherwise specified. Our outcomes of interest were the monthly percentage increases in vaccine doses and vaccine cold storage volumes during preseasonal influenza vaccination campaigns targeting risk groups.

Immunization Schedules
We developed routine and influenza vaccination schedules for the simulated countries based on WHO policy recommendations (Table 1) [1, 13]. The influenza vaccination schedules targeted risk groups defined by WHO: children <5 years, pregnant women, the elderly, persons with chronic medical conditions, and healthcare workers (HCWs) (Supplementary Table 1) [1]. We estimated the number of pregnant women from the 2017 birth cohort adjusted by assuming 15% of all pregnancies end in stillbirth or miscarriage [14]. Although WHO recommends that persons with “specific medical conditions” receive annual influenza vaccination, these conditions are not elaborated [1]. Unable to find prevalence estimates of persons with chronic diseases at high risk for severe influenza illness, we instead used prevalence estimates of conditions which increase the risk for severe SARS-CoV-2 illness by age category and WHO Region [15]. These conditions overlap considerably with influenza risk factors as defined by the US Centers for Disease Control and Prevention [15, 16]. As the WHO influenza vaccine position paper does not define the “elderly” age group [1], we assumed all persons ≥65 years of age. Finally, we estimated the number of HCWs using the skilled healthcare professional density for the African Region (12.8 per 10,000 population) and for the South-East Asian Region (25.7 per 10,000 population) from WHO Global Health Workforce Statistics [17]. During the first year of life, a child received 2 influenza vaccine doses, while subsequently persons received a single-dose annually [1]. We assumed year-round immunization programs for all vaccines except for influenza vaccines, which were delivered in preseasonal, mass vaccination campaigns of 3 months duration. For this article, we refer

| Table 1. Routine and Influenza Vaccines Schedules, and Cold Storage Volume per Dose |
|-----------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|
| Schedule                                      | Cold Storage Tertiary Packaging volume per dose (mL) | Cold Storage Secondary Packaging volume per dose (mL) |
| Routine infant immunization                   |                                              |                                              |
| Bacille Calmette-Guerin Birth dose            | 4.98                                         | 1.44                                         |
| Hepatitis B Birth dose                        | 12.56                                        | 2.86                                         |
| Diphtheria-tetanus-pertussis-hepatitis B-Haemophilus influenzae type b (pentavalent) 6, 10, and 14 weeks | 16.70                                        | 3.06                                         |
| Polio (oral) 6, 10, and 14 weeks              | 6.22                                         | 1.40                                         |
| Polio (inactivated) 6 weeks                   | 23.95                                        | 4.00                                         |
| Pneumococcal (conjugate) 6, 10, and 14 weeks  | 36.28                                        | 3.60                                         |
| Rotavirus 6 and 10 weeks                      | 49.45                                        | 46.30                                        |
| Measles-rubella 9–12 months, 13–24 months     | 9.84                                         | 2.11                                         |
| Tetanus-diphtheria 13–24 months               | 9.47                                         | 2.38                                         |
| Meningococcal A (conjugate) (African country only) 13–24 months | 9.84                                         | 2.11                                         |
| Yellow fever (African country only) 9–12 months | 3.59                                         | 2.99                                         |
| Japanese encephalitis (South-East Asian country only) 9–12 months | 39.4                                         | 4.2                                          |
| Routine children immunization                 |                                              |                                              |
| HPV6 (girls only) 2 doses from 9 to 14 years  | 7.61                                         | 4.84                                         |
| Tetanus-diphtheria 9–14 years                 | 9.47                                         | 2.38                                         |
| Influenza immunization                        |                                              |                                              |
| Risk groups: children <5 years, pregnant women, ≥65 years, healthcare workers, chronic diseases 2 doses, 1 month apart for first year of life, and 1 dose annually thereafter | 7.22 (multidose vial) | 5.40 (multidose vial) |
|                                              | 87.3 (single dose vial)                      | 18.4 (single dose vial)                      |
|                                              | 111.8 (prefilled syringe)                    | 86.5 (prefilled syringe)                     |

Vaccines and schedules are from World Health Organization (WHO) policy statements and immunization tables [1]. Tertiary and secondary packaging volumes per dose from WHO Prequalified Vaccines Database. Human papillomavirus (HPV) vaccination programs generally target girls only aged 9–14 years [18]. We assumed the full HPV immunization series was given to girls 9 years of age.
to standard, noninfluenza immunization programs as “routine” immunization and influenza immunization programs as pre-seasonal, mass-vaccination “campaigns.”

**Immunization System Assumptions**

We identified WHO prequalified routine and seasonal influenza vaccines and recorded their secondary and tertiary packaging volumes (Table 1) [18]. Vaccines (and diluents, for reconstituted products) are produced by the manufacturer in vials or other primary packaging that are then packed together in labeled boxes called “secondary packaging” [18]. Products in secondary packaging are packed in insulated boxes used for shipping called “tertiary packaging” [18]. Vaccines are maintained in tertiary packaging at national levels, which can be 10 times greater than secondary packaging volumes. At subnational levels, excess packaging is removed and vaccines are stored in secondary packaging volumes [19]. We used multidose vials, when available, preferentially choosing 10-dose vials.

We made immunization system assumptions based on WHO guidance (Supplementary Table 2) [11, 19–24]. We assumed 90% routine vaccination coverage and 75% influenza vaccination risk group coverage [25]. We used 25% vaccine wastage (doses that are lost or unused) rates [22]. For routine immunization, our assumptions for reserve stock (excess supply in case of increased demand or stock-outs) differed by immunization system level: 3 months at the national level, 1 month at the district and regional levels, and one-half month at the health facility levels [11, 22]. For influenza immunization reserve stock, we used 10% [26]. We assumed that supply intervals for routine immunization were 3 months and that all influenza vaccines were delivered in a single shipment [21].

We next accessed estimates of vaccine cold storage capacity from WHO African Region countries [9]. These data were previously published as volume per population of children aged two years and younger, with values for 25th percentile, 50th percentile, 75th percentile, and upper range for countries in the region that were eligible for support from Gavi, the Vaccine Alliance [26]. We applied these standardized storage capacity values to our simulated African country population to estimate the available vaccine cold storage capacity. No similar data were available for the South-East Asian Region.

**Analysis**

We assumed that vaccines would be distributed according to a vaccine flow-down schema (Figure 1) [9]. The schema assumes 4 levels within the immunization system (national, regional, district, and health facility) and allocates routine and influenza vaccines within each level according to our study assumptions. We calculated and compared the monthly doses and cold storage volumes for routine and influenza immunization programs. Analyses of vaccine volumes used the month from the schema with the highest storage volume for national and subnational levels. For the monthly comparisons and for time series analyses, we assumed constant routine vaccine demand over the year and constant influenza vaccine demand over the 3-month campaign. To assess immunization program workloads, we calculated vaccine doses delivered per vaccinator. We estimated the number

![Figure 1](https://example.com/image1.png)

**Figure 1.** Vaccine flow-down schema. Schema describes vaccine storage and movement through a country from national level to health facility level. Each box represents storage for routine immunization or for an influenza vaccination campaign. Each color represents the flow-down of a tranche of vaccines after each resupply. Reserve stock and influenza vaccines are labeled. Each box represents 1 month supply, except for the reserve stock at the Facility level, which is one-half month supply.
of vaccinators by using median nurse density per capita for countries in the WHO African Region (6.9 per 10 000 population) or for countries in the South-East Asian Region (16.4 per 10 000 population) multiplied by the simulated country populations and then adjusted by estimates of nurses providing immunization services (43%) [27] and baseline nurse absenteeism (3%) [28]. We compared monthly doses per vaccinator for influenza vaccination target groups to routine immunization baselines. To assess the feasibility of storing influenza vaccines in the African Region country, we compared the anticipated vaccine volumes for routine and influenza immunization to the vaccine cold storage capacity estimates.

We conducted a secondary analysis to assess the impact of single-dose or prefilled syringe vaccine formulations on vaccine storage in the simulation countries. We used secondary and tertiary volumes for WHO prequalified single-dose vial influenza vaccines [18]. As there is no WHO prequalified prefilled syringe influenza vaccine, we used product characteristics for FluBloc (personal communication, Global Medical Information, Sanofi Pasteur Inc). For these single-use products, we decreased wastage assumptions to 5% (Supplementary Table 2) [22].

All analyses used standard spreadsheet software, including the made-for-purpose “WHO Vaccine Volume Calculator 2012” (Microsoft Corp, Redmond, WA, US) [24].

RESULTS

Immunization Target Groups
We simulated an African and an Asian country of 20 million population each (Table 2). The number of people in influenza risk groups was greater in the African country than in the Asian country for children <5 years (3 139 586 vs 1 791 191) and pregnant women (766 588 vs 402 518), although the African country had fewer people than the Asian country for persons ≥65 years (611 807 vs 1 275 885), persons with chronic diseases (2 079 729 vs 2 976 640), and HCWs (25 580 vs 49 200).

Routine and Influenza Vaccine Doses
The monthly routine doses delivered in the African country was 1 020 931 and in the Asian country was 513 109. In the African country, during influenza vaccination campaigns, the monthly doses delivered would increase depending on the target group receiving the influenza vaccines: by 950 289 (93.1%) for <5 years, 191 647 (18.8%) for pregnant women, 152 952 (15.0%) for ≥65 years, 519 932 (50.9%) for chronic diseases, and 6395 (0.6%) for HCW (Table 3 and Figure 2). In the Asian country, influenza vaccination would increase monthly doses delivered during vaccination campaigns by 532 163 (103.7%) for <5 years, 100 630 (19.6%) for pregnant women, 318 971 (62.2%) for ≥65 years, 744 160 (145.0%) for chronic diseases, and 12 300 (2.4%) for HCW (Table 3 and Figure 2).

| Age group, y | Population | % of total | Population | % of total |
|--------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|
| <5           | 3 139 586  | 15.7%      | 1 791 191  | 9.0%       |
| 5–9          | 2 828 076  | 14.1%      | 1 884 629  | 9.4%       |
| 10–14        | 2 500 943  | 12.5%      | 1 901 534  | 9.5%       |
| 15–19        | 2 128 524  | 10.6%      | 1 906 139  | 9.5%       |
| 20–24        | 1 817 105  | 9.1%       | 1 781 715  | 8.9%       |
| 25–29        | 1 559 398  | 7.8%       | 1 672 212  | 8.4%       |
| 30–34        | 1 331 654  | 6.7%       | 1 581 780  | 7.9%       |
| 35–39        | 1 109 669  | 5.5%       | 1 431 046  | 7.2%       |
| 40–44        | 898 313    | 4.5%       | 1 278 156  | 6.4%       |
| 45–49        | 717 631    | 3.6%       | 1 124 939  | 5.6%       |
| 50–54        | 571 040    | 2.9%       | 940 571    | 4.7%       |
| 55–59        | 448 210    | 2.2%       | 782 177    | 3.9%       |
| 60–64        | 338 046    | 1.7%       | 648 025    | 3.2%       |
| 65–69        | 238 867    | 1.2%       | 500 675    | 2.5%       |
| 70+          | 372 940    | 1.9%       | 775 209    | 3.9%       |
| Total        | 20 000 000 | 100%       | 20 000 000 | 100%       |

| Influenza risk groups | Population | % of total | Population | % of total |
|-----------------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|
| <5 y                  | 3 139 586  | 15.7%      | 1 791 191  | 9.0%       |
| Pregnant women         | 766 588    | 3.8%       | 402 518    | 2.0%       |
| ≥65 y                  | 611 807    | 3.1%       | 1 275 885  | 6.4%       |
| Chronic diseases       | 2 079 729  | 10.4%      | 2 976 640  | 14.9%      |
| HCWs                  | 25 580     | 0.1%       | 49 200     | 0.2%       |

Age distributions from each World Health Organization (WHO) Region were applied to the simulated population of 20 000 000. Further details about the calculations of influenza risk groups are in Supplementary Tables 1 and 2. Abbreviation: HCW, healthcare workers.
**Routine and Influenza Vaccination Workloads**

The monthly routine vaccine doses delivered per vaccinator was 177.4 in the African country and 89.1 in the Asian country (Table 3 and Figure 3). Targeting HCW for influenza vaccination would have minimal impact on workload, increasing monthly doses during influenza vaccination campaigns per vaccinator by 1.1 for the African country and by 2.1 for the Asian country. Targeting other influenza risk groups would increase monthly doses per vaccinator during influenza vaccination campaigns in the African country from 26.6 (≥65 years) to 165.1 (<5 years) and in the Asian country from 17.5 (pregnant women) to 129.3 (chronic diseases).

### Routine and Influenza Vaccine Cold Storage Volumes

Vaccine cold storage capacity requirements change through the year depending on supply intervals and distribution. At the national level, the maximum monthly routine vaccine volume was 139 161 L for the African country and 77 067 L for the Asian country (Table 3). Influenza vaccination of HCW had a small impact on maximum monthly vaccine volumes at national and subnational levels for both countries, and this risk group is excluded from volume ranges mentioned below. At the national level, influenza vaccination of other risk groups would increase cold storage capacity needs in the African country from 4555 L (3.3%) for ≥65 years to 28 302 L (20.3%) for <5 years and in the Asian country from 2997 L (3.9%) for pregnant women to 22 168 L (28.8%) for chronic diseases. At the subnational level, influenza vaccination of risk groups would increase cold storage capacity needs in the African country from 3407 L (5.9%) for ≥65 years to 21 168 L (36.8%) for <5 years and in the Asian country from 2242 L (7.6%) for pregnant women to 16 576 L (56.0%) for chronic diseases.

### Impact on Vaccine Storage Capacities in the African Country

We compared maximum monthly vaccine volumes to quartiles and upper-range cold storage capacities of countries in the African Region (Table 4 and Figure 4). The national-level cold storage capacities were 56 519 L (25th percentile), 77 498 L (50th percentile), 99 424 L (75th percentile), and 524 142 L (upper range). Routine vaccine volumes exceeded national-level storage capacity for all but the upper range country capacity. The percentage of total national-level stores required by influenza vaccines targeting risk groups by quartile of African Region country capacities ranged from 5.4% to 50.1% for <5 years, 1.1% to 10.1% for pregnant women, 3.0% to 27.4% for chronic diseases, 0.9% to 8.1% for ≥65 years, and <0.1% to 0.3% for HCW. The subnational-level cold storage capacities were 116 640 L (25th percentile), 159 935 L (50th percentile), 205 185 L (75th percentile), and 1 081 685 L (upper range).

---

**Table 3. Monthly Vaccine Doses, Doses per Vaccinator, National-level Volumes, and Subnational-level Volumes for Routine and Influenza Vaccination Programs**

| African Country | Routine Vaccine doses | <5 years Influenza Vaccine doses | Pregnant Women Influenza Vaccine doses | ≥65 years Influenza Vaccine doses | Chronic Diseases Influenza Vaccine doses | HCW Influenza Vaccine doses |
|-----------------|----------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|------------------------------------------|---------------------------|
|                  |                      |                                  |                                       |                                   |                                          |                           |
| Doses in 1 month during influenza vaccination campaign | 1 020 931 | 950 289 | 191 647 | 152 952 | 519 932 | 6395 |
| % of routine | ... | 93.1% | 18.8% | 15.0% | 50.9% | 0.6% |
| Doses in 1 month during influenza vaccination campaign per vaccinator | 177.4 | 165.1 | 33.3 | 26.6 | 90.3 | 1.1 |
| % of routine | ... | 93.1% | 18.8% | 15.0% | 50.9% | 0.6% |
| National-level maximum monthly vaccine volume, L | 139 161 | 28 302 | 5708 | 4555 | 15 485 | 190 |
| % of routine | ... | 20.3% | 4.1% | 3.3% | 11.1% | 0.1% |
| Subnational-level maximum monthly vaccine volume, L | 57 472 | 21 168 | 4269 | 3407 | 11 581 | 142 |
| % of routine | ... | 36.8% | 7.4% | 5.9% | 20.2% | 0.2% |

| South-East Asian Country | Routine Vaccine doses | <5 years Influenza Vaccine doses | Pregnant Women Influenza Vaccine doses | ≥65 years Influenza Vaccine doses | Chronic Diseases Influenza Vaccine doses | HCW Influenza Vaccine doses |
|--------------------------|----------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|------------------------------------------|---------------------------|
| Doses in 1 month during influenza vaccination campaign | 513 109 | 532 163 | 100 630 | 318 971 | 744 160 | 12 300 |
| % of routine | ... | 103.7% | 19.6% | 62.2% | 145.0% | 2.4% |
| Doses in 1 month during influenza vaccination campaign per vaccinator | 89.1 | 92.5 | 175 | 55.4 | 129.3 | 2.1 |
| % of routine | ... | 103.7% | 19.6% | 62.2% | 145.0% | 2.4% |
| National-level maximum monthly vaccine volume, L | 77 067 | 15 849 | 2997 | 9500 | 22 163 | 366 |
| % of routine | ... | 20.6% | 3.9% | 12.3% | 28.8% | 0.5% |
| Subnational-level maximum monthly vaccine volume, L | 29 619 | 11 854 | 2242 | 7105 | 16 576 | 274 |
| % of routine | ... | 40.0% | 76% | 24.0% | 56.0% | 0.9% |

Monthly influenza vaccine doses were calculated assuming a 3-month preseasonal influenza vaccination campaign. Immunization assumptions are in Supplementary Tables 1 and 2.
The percentage of total subnational-level stores required by influenza vaccines targeting risk groups by quartile of African Region country capacities ranged from 1.3% to 12.1% for <5 years, 0.3% to 2.4% for pregnant women, 0.7% to 6.6% for chronic diseases, 0.2% to 1.9% for ≥65 years, and <0.1% to 0.1% for HCW.

Secondary Analysis
We calculated the cold storage volumes of influenza vaccines targeting risk groups using single-dose vials and prefilled syringes. The percentage of influenza vaccine volume increased the same proportion for each target group (Supplementary Table 3).

Figure 2. Monthly vaccine doses for routine and influenza vaccination programs in simulated African and South-East Asian countries. We assumed equal distribution of routine vaccines (over twelve months) and influenza vaccines (over a 3-month preseasonal campaign).

Figure 3. Monthly routine and influenza vaccination program doses per vaccinator in simulated African and South-East Asian countries. Monthly influenza vaccine doses were calculated assuming a 3-month preseasonal influenza vaccination campaign. The number of vaccinators was calculated by multiplying the median nurse density per capita for the African Region (12.8 per 10 000 population) and the South-East Asian Region (25.7 per 10 000 population) by the simulated country populations and then adjusting by estimates of nurses providing immunization services (45%) and baseline nurse absenteeism (3%). Abbreviation: HCW, healthcare workers.
The use of single-dose vials and prefilled syringe vaccines substantially increased influenza vaccine storage volume requirements as compared to multidose vial vaccines for national-level stores (1015.7% and 1300.7%) and subnational-level stores (285.9% and 1345.6%).

**DISCUSSION**

We used WHO tools and guidance to estimate the vaccine delivery, workload, and storage requirements for influenza vaccination programs in simulated African and Asian countries. Our study indicates that conditions are more favorable for programs targeting pregnant women or HCWs than other risk groups. These groups have established platforms through antenatal care and healthcare infrastructures that could be modified or strengthened to include influenza immunization; the anticipated increased number of doses, doses per vaccinator, and cold storage volumes are small for these risk groups compared to others. Our findings indicate that the infrastructure can more easily support recent global efforts to increase influenza vaccine delivery to pregnant women and HCWs to strengthen immunization platforms and pandemic preparedness efforts [29]. Expansion of country immunization programs to include influenza vaccination of children <5 years would use existing routine immunization infrastructures and established immunization contacts, but it would result in around 2-fold increases in doses delivered and substantially higher cold storage requirements during influenza vaccination campaign months. In most of WHO African and South-East Asian Region countries, routine immunization contacts beyond 14 years of age have not been established [4]. Targeting persons with chronic diseases or ≥65 years of age would require new immunization contacts as well as substantial logistics and cold storage capacity above what is needed for existing routine immunization programs. These challenges may be ameliorated by future influenza vaccines if they were to provide protection beyond one year [5, 6].

For at least the last 20 years, WHO has advocated for influenza vaccination programs with the dual purpose of preventing influenza morbidity and providing necessary infrastructures for pandemic response [30]. During the 2009 influenza A (H1N1) pandemic, countries with national influenza vaccination programs were more prepared to receive and use donated

---

**Table 4. Maximum Monthly Storage Volume for Routine and Influenza Vaccines by Quartile of African Region Country Storage Capacity**

| Country vaccine storage capacity | % of total country capacity | Vaccine volume, L | % of national-level capacity | Vaccine volume, L | % of national-level capacity | Vaccine volume, L | % of national-level capacity | Vaccine volume, L | % of national-level capacity |
|----------------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------------|
| Total country capacity           | 100.0%                      | 173 159           | 237 433                     | 304 609           | 1 605 826                   |
| National level                   | 32.6%                       | 56 519            | 77 498                      | 99 424            | 524 142                     |
| Subnational level                | 67.4%                       | 116 640           | 159 935                     | 205 185           | 1 081 685                   |
| **National-level maximum monthly vaccine volumes** |                          |                   |                             |                   |                             |
| Routine vaccines                 | 139 161                     | 246.2%            | 179.6%                      | 140.0%            | 26.6%                       |
| <5 years influenza vaccines      | 28 302                      | 50.1%             | 36.5%                       | 28.5%             | 5.4%                        |
| Pregnant influenza vaccines      | 57 08                       | 10.1%             | 7.4%                        | 5.7%              | 1.1%                        |
| Chronic diseases influenza vaccines | 15 485                      | 27.4%             | 20.0%                       | 15.6%             | 3.0%                        |
| ≥65 influenza vaccines           | 45 555                      | 8.1%              | 5.9%                        | 4.6%              | 0.9%                        |
| HCW influenza vaccines           | 190                         | 0.3%              | 0.2%                        | 0.2%              | <0.1%                       |
| **Subnational-level maximum monthly vaccine volumes** |                          |                   |                             |                   |                             |
| Routine vaccines                 | 57 472                      | 49.3%             | 35.9%                       | 28.0%             | 5.3%                        |
| <5 years influenza vaccines      | 21 168                      | 12.1%             | 8.8%                        | 6.9%              | 1.3%                        |
| Pregnant influenza vaccines      | 42 69                       | 2.4%              | 1.8%                        | 1.4%              | 0.3%                        |
| Chronic diseases influenza vaccines | 11 581                      | 6.6%              | 4.8%                        | 3.8%              | 0.7%                        |
| ≥65 influenza vaccines           | 34 07                       | 1.9%              | 1.4%                        | 1.1%              | 0.2%                        |
| HCW influenza vaccines           | 142                         | 0.1%              | 0.1%                        | <0.1%             | <0.1%                       |

We developed a vaccine flow-down schematic (Figure 1) to depict the routine vaccine doses maintained at each immunization system level by month and used it to calculate the total monthly vaccine doses and volumes stored throughout the immunization system. This table uses the maximum monthly national-level (month 10) and subnational-level (month 11) vaccine storage volumes from the schematic. The overall vaccine storage capacity for Gavi-eligible African Region countries was standardized by dividing by the <2 years country population in 2017. The range and quintiles of these values were calculated and then applied to the simulated African country. We used the median percentage of national-level stores to total stores (32.6%) and health facility stores to total stores (34.9%) from the same data set for our analyses. Immunization assumptions are in Supplementary Tables 1 and 2.

Abbreviation: HCW, healthcare workers.
pandemic vaccines [31]. Other evidence-based preventive services could be integrated with adult vaccination visits and strengthen care delivery overall [32]. Nevertheless, given the limited LMIC adoption of influenza vaccination policies and the specific challenges of influenza vaccine delivery, the onus is on the public health community to demonstrate the value proposition of new influenza vaccine program implementation in LMICs.

Our study provides additional insights into the challenges of introducing influenza vaccines in LICs and LMICs. Programs targeting children <5 years, older adults, or persons with chronic diseases would substantially impact vaccinator workload. Adult-targeted policies would particularly affect the South-East Asian Region which has a much larger adult population. The low number of vaccinators in the WHO African and South-East Asian Regions and the size of most risk groups suggest influenza vaccination campaigns may disrupt other health services. Furthermore, the additional doses required for influenza vaccination campaigns would greatly increase vaccine transportation and storage requirements within the cold chain. Routine influenza immunization observed throughout the year [33], as an alternative to preseasonal campaigns, would still require large increases in vaccine doses and volumes. Year-round influenza immunization programs are rare and would require alternating procurement of Northern and Southern Hemisphere vaccine formulations and stock rotations timed to the resulting staggered product expiration dates [33]. In our analysis of the African country cold storage capacities, we found that national-level stores would require significant expansion to accommodate the large volumes of recommended routine childhood vaccines and influenza vaccines targeting most risk groups. Strategies such as forward deployment of vaccines from national-level stores to subnational-level stores, the removal of vaccines from tertiary packaging for storage at the national level, and careful coordination of timing for routine vaccine resupply could limit the impact of influenza vaccine deliveries on the national-level stores. Although there appears to be sufficient subnational-level storage capacity in the African country, care will be required in the distribution of vaccines through the immunization system. It is impractical for districts or health facilities to store vaccines intended for use outside of their service areas.

Our secondary analysis shows the limited suitability of influenza vaccines in single-dose vial and prefilled syringe presentations for LIC or LMIC immunization programs [23]. Although prefilled syringes have advantages related to the efficiency of use, their cold storage volumes are prohibitive. Some vaccines used in high-income countries do not have multidose vial presentations (including pneumococcal polysaccharide and recombinant herpes zoster vaccines) [4, 34, 35]. Our study shows that these vaccines may pose logistical challenges in LICs and LMICs when they are introduced.

Our analysis has limitations. We did not include maternal tetanus vaccination in routine immunization assumptions given...
the 6 doses of tetanus-containing vaccine in our childhood schedule. Most African and South-East Asian Region countries do not include tetanus toxoid vaccination in the second year of life (2% and %) or adolescence (6% and 45%) as we did [36], so our calculated routine vaccine doses and volumes are higher even when excluding maternal tetanus. We were unable to find African or South-East Asian Region prevalence estimates for influenza risk factors. Instead, we used estimates of COVID-19 risk factors, which we believe largely overlap [15, 16]. We used influenza vaccine coverage of 75%. Although aligned with global goals for older adults and persons with chronic diseases [25], this coverage estimate is lower than the global goals of 95% for national coverage for many routine pediatric vaccines [37]. We were not able to compare the influenza vaccination volumes to actual country cold-storage capacities in the Asian country. Not all cold storage space can be effectively utilized; we used total cold storage volumes and not effective cold storage volumes which is estimated to be two-thirds of total volumes [21]. In the past, WHO South-East Asian Region national cold-storage capacities were around 3 times the volume of African Region stores [38], but we do not know if this relationship persists.

Conclusion
Influenza immunization is under-utilized in LICs and LMICs, despite efforts by the public health community to increase implementation and coverage. The introduction of influenza vaccination programs will require large increases in doses delivered outside of existing immunization contacts and vaccine cold storage volumes in LICs and LMICs. Although there have been recent efforts to strengthen cold storage capacities, our analysis of African countries indicates that much work is still needed to ensure national-level stores are sufficient for routine vaccination programs, and more still for influenza vaccination programs. Attempts to raise influenza immunization coverage goals before addressing limitations in public health resources and making substantial investments in strengthening immunization systems are unlikely to succeed. Although we described challenges to influenza vaccination implementation in LICs and LMICs, the greatest obstacle is the need for annual revaccination. Strengthening of the adult immunization platform for influenza and other vaccines is needed, but new influenza vaccines that are affordable, programatically suitable, and provide multi-year protection are critical to sustainable influenza vaccination programs in low resource settings.

Financial support. This work had no specific funding support. The work of 2 authors (J. R. and J. S. H.) was supported by the Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation (grant number OPP1177124). The work of 1 author (A. J. D.) was supported by National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases (NIAID) at National Institutes of Health (NIH) (grant number T32AI007524). The views expressed herein are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the views of the Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation or National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases at National Institutes of Health.

Potential Conflicts of interest. J. R. O. reports grants to his institution from NIH for influenza vaccine research and from NIH, GSK, Pfizer, and PATH for other vaccine research; travel support from Seqirus to attend influenza vaccine Real World Evidence Scientific Advisory Board meeting; payments to serve as an Independent Safety Monitor and to be a member of a data and safety monitoring board from Pharmaron for noninfluenza vaccine research. He is Co-chair of the American Thoracic Society, Assembly on Pulmonary Infections, and Tuberculosis Working Group on Vaccines and Immunization. W. H. C. reports being on a Safety Review Committee for a Flugen vaccine trial and a data and safety monitoring board member for intramuscular NIH NIAID vaccine trials. He is a voting member of the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices. K. M. N. reports grants to her institution from NIH for influenza vaccine research and grants to her institution from GSK, Pfizer, Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation, and PATH for vaccine (noninfluenza) research. She is on the Board of Directors for the National Foundation of Infectious Diseases, is a member of WHO Strategic Advisory Group of Experts on Immunization (SAGE) (all unpaid), and is co-chair of the NIH COVID Vaccine Prevention Network with salary support from NIH. All other authors report no potential conflicts.

All authors have submitted the ICMJE Form for Disclosure of Potential Conflicts of Interest. Conflicts that the editors consider relevant to the content of the manuscript have been disclosed.

References
1. World Health Organization. Vaccines against influenza WHO position paper - November 2012. Wkly Epidemiol Rec 2012; 87:461–76.
2. Ortiz JR, Perut M, Dumond L, et al. A global review of national influenza immunization policies: analysis of the 2014 WHO/UNICEF Joint Reporting Form on immunization. Vaccine 2016; 34:5400–5.
3. Palache A, Abelin A, Hollingsworth R, et al. Survey of distribution of seasonal influenza vaccine doses in 201 countries (2004–2015): the 2003 World Health Assembly resolution on seasonal influenza vaccination coverage and the 2009 influenza pandemic have had very little impact on improving influenza control and pandemic preparedness. Vaccine 2017; 35:4081–6.
4. Williams SR, Driscoll AJ, LeRuhn HM, Chen WJ, Neuzil KM, Ortiz JR. National routine adult immunization programs among World Health Organization member states: an assessment of health systems to deploy future SARS-CoV-2 vaccines. Euro Surveill 2021; 26:2001195. Available at: https://doi.org/10.2807/1560-7971.ES.2021.26.17.2001195.
5. Neuzil KM, Breezy JS, de la Hoz F, et al; WHO Preferred Product Characteristics for Next-Generation Influenza Vaccines Advisory Group. Data and product needs for influenza immunization programs in low- and middle-income countries: rationale and main conclusions of the WHO preferred product characteristics for next-generation influenza vaccines. Vaccine 2017; 35:5734–7.
6. Ortiz JR, Neuzil KM. Influenza immunization in low- and middle-income countries: preparing for next-generation influenza vaccines. J Infect Dis 2019; 219:597–5106.
7. World Health Organization. Meeting of the strategic advisory group of experts on immunization, April 2014 - conclusions and recommendations. Wkly Epidemiol Rec 2014; 89:221–36.
8. Gavi the Vaccine Alliance. 2016–2020 strategy: progress, challenges and risks. Available at: https://www.gavi.org/sites/default/files/board/minutes/2019/2019-Mtg-1_03%20-%202016–2020%20strategy%20progress%20challenges%20and%20risks.pdf. Accessed 3 July 2021.
9. Ortiz JR, Robertson J, Hsu JS, et al. The potential effects of deploying SARS-CoV-2 vaccines on cold storage capacity and immunization workload in countries of the WHO African Region. Vaccine 2021; 39:2165–76.
10. UN News. African nations “far from ready” for COVID-19 vaccination drive, says UN health agency. Available at: https://news.un.org/en/story/2020/11/1078642. Accessed 10 January 2021.

Influenza Vaccination Feasibility • CID 2022:24 (15 January) • 235
11. World Health Organization. Principles and considerations for adding a vaccine to a national immunization programme: from decision to implementation and monitoring. Available at: https://apps.who.int/iris/handle/10665/111548. Accessed 29 June 2020.

12. Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation. Global burden of disease study 2017 (GBD 2017) population estimates 1950–2017. Available at: http://ghdx.healthdata.org/record/ihme-data/gbd-2017-population-estimates-1950–2017. Accessed 20 December 2020.

13. World Health Organization. Summary of WHO position papers - recommendations for routine immunization. Available at: https://www.who.int/immunization/policy/Immunization_routine_table1.pdf. Accessed 30 September 2020.

14. Checchi F, Abdelmagid N. Estimation of population denominators for the humanitarian health sector guidance for humanitarian coordination mechanisms. Available at: https://www.who.int/health-cluster/resources/publications/LHSTM_Population_Guidance_GHC_15-Nov-2018.pdf. Accessed 30 September 2020.

15. Clark A, Jit M, Warren-Gash C, et al.; Centre for the Mathematical Modelling of Infectious Diseases COVID-19 working group. Global, regional, and national estimates of the population at increased risk of severe COVID-19 due to underlying health conditions in 2020: a modelling study. Lancet Glob Health 2020; 8:e1003–17.

16. Grohskopf LA, Alyanak E, Broder KR, et al. Prevention and control of seasonal influenza with vaccines: recommendations of the advisory committee on immunization practices - United States, 2020–21 influenza season. MMWR Recomm Rep 2020; 69:1–24.

17. World Health Organization. WHO global health workforce statistics: December 2018 update. Available at: https://www.who.int/hrh/statistics/lbdstats/en/. Accessed 28 September 2020.

18. World Health Organization. WHO prequalified vaccines. Available at: https://extranet.who.int/gavi/PQ_Web/. Accessed 30 September 2020.

19. World Health Organization. How to calculate vaccine volumes and cold chain capacity requirements. Available at: https://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/handle/10665/235749/WHO-IVB-17.06-eng.pdf?sequence=1. Accessed 1 October 2020.

20. World Health Organization. Generic preferred product profile for vaccines. Available at: https://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/handle/10665/255749/WHO-IVB-17.06-eng.pdf?sequence=1. Accessed 1 October 2020.

21. World Health Organization. Guidelines for establishing or improving primary health care facilities to facilitate pandemic preparedness? An analysis of vaccine deployment during the 2009 pandemic. Vaccine 2020; 38:1152–9.

22. Fleming JA, Munthali A, Ngwira B, et al. Maternal immunization in Malawi: a mixed methods study of community perceptions, programmatic considerations, and recommendations for future planning. Vaccine 2019; 37:4568–75.

23. Lambach P, Alvarez AM, Hirve S, et al. Considerations of strategies to provide influenza vaccine year round. Vaccine 2015; 33:6493–8.

24. US Food and Drug Administration. Shingrix package insert. Available at: https://www.fda.gov/media/108597/download. Accessed 1 October 2020.

25. World Health Organization. WHO/UNICEF joint reporting process. Available at: http://www.who.int/immunization/monitoring_surveillance/routine/reporting/en/. Accessed 17 December 2019.

26. World Health Organization. Global vaccine action plan 2011–2020. Available at: https://www.who.int/immunization/newsroom/180209_O_Mansoor.pdf. Accessed 28 September 2020.