THE 5-CANONICAL SYSTEM ON 3-FOLDS OF GENERAL TYPE

JUNGKAI A. CHEN, MENG CHEN AND DE-QI ZHANG

Abstract. Let $X$ be a projective minimal Gorenstein 3-fold of general type with canonical singularities. We prove that the 5-canonical map is birational onto its image.

1. Introduction

One main goal of algebraic geometry is to classify algebraic varieties. The successful 3-dimensional MMP (see [18, 21] for example) has been attracting more and more mathematicians to the study of algebraic 3-folds. In this paper, we restrict our interest to projective minimal Gorenstein 3-folds $X$ of general type where there still remain many open problems.

Denote by $K_X$ the canonical divisor and $\Phi_m := \Phi_{|mK_X|}$ the $m$-canonical map. There has been a lot of work along the line of the canonical classification. For instance, when $X$ is a smooth 3-fold of general type with pluri-genus $h^0(X, kK_X) \geq 2$, in [19], as an application to his research on higher direct images of dualizing sheaves, Kollár proved that $\Phi_m$, with $m = 11k + 5$, is birational onto its image. This result was improved by the second author [5] to include the cases $m$ with $m \geq 5k + 6$; see also [7], [10] for results when some additional restrictions (like bigger $p_g(X)$) are imposed.

On the other hand, for 3-folds $X$ of general type with $q(X) > 0$, Kollár [19] first proved that $\Phi_{225}$ is birational. Recently, the first author and Hacon [4] proved that $\Phi_m$ is birational for $m \geq 7$ by using the Fourier-Mukai transform. Moreover, Luo [24], [25] has some results for 3-folds of general type with $h^2(O_X) > 0$.

Now for minimal and smooth projective 3-folds, it has been established that $\Phi_m$ $(m \geq 6)$ is a birational morphism onto its image after 20 years of research, by Wilson [32] in 1980, Benveniste [2] in 1986 $(m \geq 8)$, Matsuki [26] in 1986 $(m = 7)$, the second author [6] in 1998 $(m = 6)$ and independently by Lee [22], [23] in 1999-2000 $(m = 6$; and also the base point freeness of $m$-canonical system for $m \geq 4$).

The aim of this paper is to prove the following:

---
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Theorem 1.1. Let $X$ be a projective minimal Gorenstein 3-fold of general type with canonical singularities. Then the $m$-canonical map $\Phi_m$ is a birational morphism onto its image for all $m \geq 5$.

This result is unexpected previously. The difficulty lies in the case with smaller $p_g(X)$ or $K_X^3$. One reason to account for this is that the non-birationality of the 4-canonical system for surfaces may happen when they have smaller $p_g$ or $K^2$ (see Bombieri [3]), whence a naive induction on the dimension does not work.

Nevertheless, there is also evidence supporting the birationality of $\Phi_5$ for Gorenstein minimal 3-folds $X$ of general type. For instance, one sees that $K_X^3 \geq 2$ for minimal and smooth $X$ (see 2.2 below). So an analogy of Fujita’s conjecture would predict that $|5K_X|$ gives a birational map. We recall that Fujita’s conjecture (the freeness part) has been proved by Fujita, Ein-Lazarsfeld [11] and Kawamata [16] when $\dim X \leq 4$.

Example 1.2. The numerical bound "5" in Theorem 1.1 is optimal. There are plenty of supporting examples. For instance, let $f : V \rightarrow B$ be any fibration where $V$ is a smooth projective 3-fold of general type and $B$ a smooth curve. Assume that a general fiber of $f$ has a minimal model $S$ with $K_S^2 = 1$ and $p_g(S) = 2$. (For example, take the product.) Then $\Phi_{\lfloor 4K_V \rfloor}$ is evidently not birational (see [3]).

1.3. Reduction to birationality. According to [6] or [22], to prove Theorem 1.1 we only need to verify the statement in the case $m = 5$. On the other hand, the results in [22, 23] show that $|mK_X|$ is base point free for $m \geq 4$. So it is sufficient for us to verify the birationality of $|5K_X|$ in this paper.

1.4. Reduction to factorial models. According to the work of M. Reid [28] and Y. Kawamata [17] (Lemma 5.1), there is a minimal model $Y$ with a birational morphism $\nu : Y \rightarrow X$ such that $K_Y = \nu^*(K_X)$ and that $Y$ is factorial with at worst terminal singularities. Thus it is sufficient for us to prove Theorem 1.1 for minimal factorial models.
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2. Notation, Formulae and Set up

We work over the complex numbers field $\mathbb{C}$. By a minimal variety $X$, we mean one with nef $K_X$ and with terminal singularities (except when we specify the singularity type).
2.1. Let \( X \) be a projective minimal Gorenstein 3-fold of general type. Take a special resolution \( \nu : Y \rightarrow X \) according to Reid ([28]) such that \( c_2(Y) \cdot \Delta = 0 \) (see Lemma 8.3 of [27]) for any exceptional divisor \( \Delta \) of \( \nu \). Write \( K_Y = \nu^* K_X + E \) where \( E \) is exceptional and is mapped to a finite number of points. Then for \( m \geq 2 \), we have (by the vanishing in [15], [31] or [12]):

\[
\chi(\mathcal{O}_X) = \chi(\mathcal{O}_Y) = -\frac{1}{24} K_Y \cdot c_2(Y) = -\frac{1}{24} \nu^* K_X \cdot c_2(Y).
\]

\[
P_m(X) = \chi(\mathcal{O}_X(mK_X)) = \chi(\mathcal{O}_Y(m\nu^* K_X)) = \frac{1}{12} m(m-1)(2m-1)K_X^3 + \frac{m}{12} \nu^* K_X \cdot c_2(Y) + \chi(\mathcal{O}_Y)
\]

\[
= (2m-1)\left(\frac{m(m-1)}{12}K_X^3 - \chi(\mathcal{O}_X)\right).
\]

The inequality of Miyaoka and Yau ([27], [33]) says that \( 3c_2(Y) - K_Y^2 \) is pseudo-effective. This gives \( \nu^* K_X \cdot (3c_2(Y) - K_Y^2) \geq 0 \). Noting that \( \nu^* K_X \cdot E^2 = 0 \) under this situation, we get:

\[-72\chi(\mathcal{O}_X) - K_X^3 \geq 0.\]

In particular, \( \chi(\mathcal{O}_X) < 0 \). So one has:

\[q(X) = h^2(\mathcal{O}_X) + (1 - p_g(X)) - \chi(\mathcal{O}_X) > 0\]

whenever \( p_g(X) \leq 1 \).

2.2. Suppose that \( D \) is any divisor on a smooth 3-fold \( V \). The Riemann-Roch theorem gives:

\[
\chi(\mathcal{O}_V(D)) = \frac{D^3}{6} - \frac{K_V \cdot D^2}{4} + \frac{D \cdot (K_V^2 + c_2)}{12} + \chi(\mathcal{O}_V).
\]

Direct calculation shows that

\[
\chi(\mathcal{O}_V(D)) + \chi(\mathcal{O}_V(-D)) = -\frac{K_V \cdot D^2}{2} + 2\chi(\mathcal{O}_V) \in \mathbb{Z}.
\]

Therefore, \( K_V \cdot D^2 \) is an even integer.

Now let \( X \) be a projective minimal Gorenstein 3-fold of general type. Let \( D \) be any Cartier divisor on \( X \). Then \( K_X \cdot D^2 = K_Y \cdot (\nu^* D)^2 \) is even. In particular, \( K_X^3 \) is even and positive.

2.3. Let \( V \) be a smooth projective 3-fold and let \( f : V \rightarrow B \) be a fibration onto a nonsingular curve \( B \). From the spectral sequence:

\[
E^{p,q}_2 := H^p(B, R^q f_* \omega_V) \Rightarrow E^n := H^n(V, \omega_V),
\]

Serre duality and Corollary 3.2 and Proposition 7.6 on pages 186 and 36 of [19], one has the torsion-freeness of the sheaves \( R^p f_* \omega_V \) and the following:

\[
h^2(\mathcal{O}_V) = h^1(B, f_* \omega_V) + h^0(B, R^1 f_* \omega_V),
\]

\[
q(V) := h^1(\mathcal{O}_V) = g(B) + h^1(B, R^1 f_* \omega_V).
\]
2.4. For $\mu = 1, 2$, we set
\[
\Phi = \begin{cases} 
\Phi_{|K_X|} & \text{if } p_g(X) \geq 2, \\
\Phi_{|2K_X|} & \text{otherwise.}
\end{cases}
\]

Since we always have $P_2(X) \geq 4$, $\Phi$ is a non-trivial rational map.

First we fix a divisor $D \in |\mu K_X|$. Let $\pi : X' \to X$ be the composition of both a desingularization of $X$ and a resolution of the indeterminacy of $\Phi$. We write $|\pi^*(\mu K_X)| = |M'| + E'$. Then we may assume, following Hironaka, that:

1. $X'$ is smooth;
2. the movable part $M'$ of $|\mu K_X|$ is base point free;
3. the support of $\pi^*(D)$ is of simple normal crossings.

We will fix some notation below. The frequently used ones are $M$, $Z$, $S$, $\Delta$ and $E_\pi$. Denote by $g$ the composition $\Phi \circ \pi$. So $g : X' \to W'$ is a morphism. Let $g : X' \overset{f}{\to} W \overset{s}{\to} W'$ be the Stein factorization of $g$ so that $W$ is normal and $f$ has connected fibers. We can write:
\[
|\mu K_{X'}| = |\pi^*(\mu K_X)| + \mu E_\pi = |M'| + Z',
\]
where $Z'$ is the fixed part and $E_\pi$ an effective $\pi$-exceptional divisor.

On $X$, one may write $\mu K_X \sim M + Z$ where $M$ is a general member of the movable part and $Z$ the fixed divisor. Let $S \in |M'|$ be the divisor corresponding to $M$, then
\[
\pi^*(M) = S + \Delta = S + \sum_{i=1}^{s} d_i E_i
\]
with $d_i > 0$ for all $i$. The above sum runs over all those exceptional divisors of $\pi$ that lie over the base locus of $M$. Obviously $E' = \Delta + \pi^*(Z)$. On the other hand, one may write $E_\pi = \sum_{j=1}^{t} e_j E_j$ where the sum runs over all exceptional divisors of $\pi$. One has $e_j > 0$ for all $1 \leq j \leq t$ because $X$ is terminal. Evidently, one has $t \geq s$.

Note that $\text{Sing}(X)$ is a finite set (see [21], Corollary 5.18). We may write $E_\pi = \Delta' + \Delta''$ where $\Delta'$ (resp. $\Delta''$) lies (resp. does not lie) over the base locus of $|M|$. So if one only requires such a modification $\pi$ that satisfies 2.4(1) and 2.4(2), one surely has $\text{supp}(\Delta) = \text{supp}(\Delta')$.

Let $d := \text{dim } \Phi(X)$. And let $L := \pi^*(K_X)|_S$, which is clearly nef and big. Then we have the following:

**Lemma 2.5.** When $d \geq 2$, $(L^2)^2 \geq (\pi^*(K_X))^3(\pi^*(K_X) \cdot S^2)$. Moreover, $L^2 \geq 2$.

**Proof.** Take a sufficiently large number $m$ such that $|m \pi^*(K_X)|$ is base point free. Denote by $H$ a general member of this linear system. Then $H$ must be a smooth projective surface. On $H$, we have nef divisors $\pi^*(K_X)|_H$ and $S|_H$. Applying the Hodge index theorem, one has
\[
(\pi^*(K_X)|_H \cdot S|_H)^2 \geq (\pi^*(K_X)|_H)^2(S|_H)^2.
\]
Removing \( m \), we get the first inequality. By (2.2), \( (\pi^*K_X)^3 \) is even, hence \( \geq 2 \). Together with \( \pi^*(K_X) \cdot S^2 > 0 \), we have the second inequality. \( \square \)

We now state a lemma which will be needed in our proof. The result might be true for all 3-folds with rational singularities. We present a proof here just hoping to make this note more self-contained.

**Lemma 2.6.** Let \( X \) be a normal projective 3-fold with only canonical singularities. Let \( M \) be a Cartier divisor on \( X \). Assume that \( |M| \) is a movable pencil and that \( |M| \) has base points. Then \( |M| \) is composed with a rational pencil.

**Proof.** Take a birational morphism \( \pi : X' \rightarrow X \) such that \( X' \) is smooth, that the exceptional divisor \( E_\pi \) is of simple normal crossing, and that the map \( \Phi_{|M|} \) composed with \( \pi \), becomes a morphism from \( X' \) to a curve. Take the Stein factorization of the latter morphism to get an induced fibration \( f : X' \rightarrow B \) onto a smooth curve \( B \). The lemma asserts that \( B \) must be rational.

Clearly, the exceptional divisor \( E_\pi \) dominates \( B \).

**Case 1.** \( Bs|M| \) contains a curve \( \Gamma \).

This is the easier case. Note that \( X \) has only finitely many points at which \( K_X \) is non-Cartier or \( X \) is non-cDV (see Cor. 5.40 of [21]). So we can pick up a very ample divisor \( H \) on \( X \) (avoiding these finitely many points) such that \( H \) is Du Val and intersects \( \Gamma \) transversally. We may assume that the strict transform \( H' \) on \( X' \) is smooth, i.e., \( \pi \) is an embedded resolution of \( H \subset X \). Clearly, there is an \( \pi \)-exceptional irreducible divisor \( E \) which dominates both \( \Gamma \) and \( B \). Now for general \( H \), both \( H' \) and \( E \cap H' \) dominate \( B \). Since the curve \( E \cap H' \) arises from the resolution \( \pi : H' \rightarrow H \) of the indeterminancy of the linear system \( |M|_{|H} \) (whose image on \( X \) is contained in \( \Gamma \cap H \)), it is rational. So \( B \) is rational.

**Case 2.** \( Bs|M| \) is a finite set. (The argument below works even when \( X \) is log terminal.)

Take a base point \( P \) of \( |M| \). Then \( E = \pi^{-1}(P) \) dominates \( B \), i.e., \( f(E) = B \). By Kollar’s Theorem 7.6 in [20], there is an analytic contractible neighborhood \( V \) of \( P \) such that \( U = \pi^{-1}(V) \subset X' \) is simply connected. Suppose \( g(B) > 0 \). Then the universal cover \( h : W \rightarrow B \) of \( B \) is either the affine line \( \mathbb{C} \) or an open disk in \( \mathbb{C} \). By Proposition 13.5 of [13], there is a factorization for the restriction \( f|_U : U \rightarrow B \), say \( f = h \circ m \), where \( m : U \rightarrow W \) is continuous. Note that \( m(E) \) is a compact subset of \( W \), so \( m(E) \) is a single point. In particular, \( f(E) \) is a point, a contradiction. \( \square \)

**Remark 2.7.** We received the following comment about Lemma 2.6 from the referee to whom we are much grateful. Shokurov has already proved that if the pair \((X, \Delta)\) is klt and the MMP holds, then the
fibres of the exceptional locus are always rationally chain connected, which easily implies Lemma 2.6 in the 3-dimensional case. Further, the authors noticed that Shokurov’s result has recently been extended by Hacon and McKernan to any dimension and without assuming MMP.

3. The case \( p_g \geq 2 \)

The following proposition is quite useful throughout the paper.

**Proposition 3.1.** Let \( S \) be a smooth projective surface. Let \( C \) be a smooth curve on \( S \), \( N' < N \) divisors on \( S \) and \( \Lambda \subset |N| \) a subsystem. Suppose that \(|N'|_C = |N' |_C|\), \( \deg(N|_C) = 1 + \deg(N'|_C) \geq 1 + 2g(C) \).

We consider the following diagram:

\[
\begin{array}{cccc}
|N'| & \overset{\text{res.}}{\longrightarrow} & |N'_C| \\
\downarrow^{+\text{eff.}} & & \downarrow^{+P_1} \\
|N| & \overset{\text{res.}}{\longrightarrow} & |N|_C \\
\downarrow^{\subset} & & \downarrow^{\subset} \\
\Lambda & \overset{\text{res.}}{\longrightarrow} & \Lambda|_C.
\end{array}
\]

Suppose furthermore that \( \Lambda|_C \) is free and \( \Lambda|_C \supset |N'|_C + P_1 \). Then

\[
\Lambda|_C = |N|_C = |N|_C|,
\]

which is very ample and complete.

**Proof.** By the Riemann-Roch theorem and Serre duality, we have \( \dim |N|_C = 1 + \dim |N'|_C| \). Since there are inclusions \( |N'|_C + P_1 \subseteq \Lambda|_C \subseteq |N|_C \subseteq |N|_C| \), now the equalities (*) in the statement follow from dimension counting and the fact that the first inclusion above is strict by the freeness of \( \Lambda|_C \).

**Theorem 3.2.** Let \( X \) be a projective minimal factorial 3-fold of general type. Assume \( p_g(X) \geq 2 \). Then \( \Phi_5 \) is birational.

**Proof.** We give the proof according to the value \( d := \dim \Phi(X) \). As in 2.4 we set \( \Phi = \Phi_1 \).

**Case 1:** \( d = 3 \). Then \( p_g(X) \geq 4 \). \( \Phi_5 \) is birational, thanks to Theorem 3.1(i) in [10].

**Case 2:** \( d = 2 \). We consider the linear system \( |K_{X'} + 3\pi^*(K_X) + S| \). Since \( K_{X'} + 3\pi^*(K_X) + S \geq S \) and according to Tankeev’s principle (see Lemma 2 of [30] or 2.1 of [9]), it is sufficient to verify the birationality of \( \Phi|_{K_{X'} + 3\pi^*(K_X) + S}|_S \). Note that we have a fibration \( f : X' \rightarrow W \) where a general fiber of \( f \) is a smooth curve \( C \) of genus \( \geq 2 \). The vanishing theorem gives:

\[
|K_{X'} + 3\pi^*(K_X) + S|_S = |K_S + 3L|
\]
Viehweg vanishing gives a surjective map $a$ birational map by surface theory and so does $\Phi|_E$. If $(K_S^1, p_g(X)) > 0$, the Riemann-Roch and Clifford’s Theorem imply that $S = aF$ with $a \geq p_g(X) \geq 2$. Since $|M|$ is base point free, one always has $\pi^*(K_X)|_F = \sigma^*(K_{F_0})$ (see Claim 3.3 below), where $\sigma : F \to F_0$ is the smooth blow down onto the minimal model. Note that

$$\pi^*(K_X) - F - \frac{1}{a}E' \equiv (1 - \frac{1}{a})\pi^*(K_X),$$

which is nef and big. Applying Kawamata-Viehweg vanishing, we have a surjective map

$$H^0(X', K_{X'}) + [4\pi^*(K_X) - \frac{1}{a}E'] \to H^0(F, K_F + [(4 - \frac{1}{a})\pi^*(K_X)]_F).$$

Also note that

$$K_F + [(4 - \frac{1}{a})\pi^*(K_X)]_F \geq K_F + 3\sigma^*(K_{F_0}) + [(1 - \frac{1}{a})E'|_S].$$

If $(K_{F_0}^2, p_g(F)) \neq (1, 2)$, then $|K_F + 3\sigma^*(K_{F_0}) + [(1 - \frac{1}{a})E'|_F]$ defines a birational map by surface theory and so does $\Phi|_{5K_{X'}}_F$. Otherwise, since $E'|_F \equiv \pi^*(K_X)|_F$ is nef and big, we have the same conclusion according to [10], Proposition 2.1 which is an interesting application of Kawamata-Viehweg vanishing and is not hard to follow. On the other hand, pick up two general fibers $F_1$ and $F_2$. One has $5K_{X'} \geq K_{X'} + 3\pi^*(K_X) + \nabla + F_1 + F_2$ where $\nabla$ is numerically trivial. Kawamata-Viehweg vanishing gives a surjective map

$$H^0(X', K_{X'} + 3\pi^*(K_X) + \nabla + F_1 + F_2) \to H^0(F_1, K_{F_1} + L_1) \oplus H^0(F_2, K_{F_2} + L_2),$$

where $L_i := (3\pi^*(K_X) + \nabla)|_{F_i}$ is nef and big for $i = 1, 2$. Further, the two groups on the right hand side are non-trivial using Riemann-Roch on the surface $F_i$. This means that $|5K_{X'}|$ can separate two general fibers of $f$. Therefore, $\Phi_5$ is birational onto its image.

From now on, we suppose $b = 0$. Let $F$ be a general fiber of $f$ and denote by $\sigma : F \to F_0$ the smooth blow down onto the minimal model. We take $\pi$ to be the composition $\pi_1 \circ \pi_0$ where $\pi_0$ satisfies 2.4(1) and
Claim 3.3. If \( K_X \cdot F^2 = 0 \), then \( \mathcal{O}_F(\pi^*(K_X)|_F) \cong \mathcal{O}_F(\sigma^*K_{F_0}) \).

Proof. It is obvious that the claim is true if it holds for \( \pi = \pi_0 \). So we may assume \( \pi = \pi_0 \). Now

\[
0 = K_X \cdot (aF)^2 = K_X \cdot M^2 = \pi^*(K_X) \cdot \pi^*(M) \cdot S = a\pi^*(K_X)|_F \cdot \Delta|_F,
\]

which means \( \pi^*(K_X)|_F \cdot \Delta|_F = 0 \). On the other hand, the definition of \( \pi_0 \) gives \( \Delta''|_F = 0 \). Thus \( (E_\pi)|_F \cdot \pi^*(K_X)|_F = 0 \). The Hodge index theorem on \( F \) tells us that \( E_\pi|_F \) must be negative definite.

We may write

\[
K_F = \pi^*(K_X)|_F + G
\]

where \( G = (E_\pi)|_F \) is an effective negative definite divisor on \( F \). Note that \( L \) is nef and big and that \( L \cdot G = 0 \). The uniqueness of the Zariski decomposition shows that \( \sigma^*K_{F_0} \sim \pi^*(K_X)|_F \). We are done. \( \square \)

From the above claim, we have \( \Phi_{|K_F+3L|} = \Phi_{|4K_F|} \). We are left to verify the birationality of \( \Phi_5 \) only when \( \Phi_{|4K_F|} \) fails to be birational, i.e. when \( K^2_{F_0} = 1 \) and \( p_g(F) = 2 \).

Kawamata-Viehweg vanishing (\cite{I21, I15, I31}) gives

\[
|K_X| + 3\pi^*(K_X) + F|_F = |K_F + 3\sigma^*(K_{F_0})|.
\]

Denote by \( C \) a general member of the movable part of \( |\sigma^*K_{F_0}| \). By \cite{I1}, we know that \( C \) is a smooth curve of genus 2 and \( \sigma(C) \) is a general member of \( |K_{F_0}| \). Applying the vanishing theorem again, we have

\[
|K_F + 2\sigma^*(K_{F_0}) + C||_C = |K_C + 2\sigma^*(K_{F_0})||_C|.
\]

Now we may apply Proposition \ref{claim3.3}. Let \( N' \) be a divisor corresponding to the movable part of \( |K_F + 2\sigma^*(K_{F_0}) + C| \) and \( N := (5\pi^*(K_X)|_F \).

Set \( \Lambda = |5\pi^*(K_X)|_F \). It’s clear that \( N' \leq N \). Also note that \( \Lambda \) is free because \( 5K_X \) is free by \cite{I22}.

By (1) above, we see that \( \Lambda \supset |N'| + (a \text{ fixed effective divisor}) \).
Now restricting to $C$, direct computation shows that $\deg(N'|_C) = 4$ (by (2)) and $5 = \deg(N|_C) = 1 + \deg(N'|_C)$. Therefore, the induced inclusion $|N'|_C \hookrightarrow |N|_C$ is given by adding a single point $P_1$.

By (2), we have $|N'|_C = |N|_C$. Together with (1), we have $\Lambda|_C \supset |N'|_C | \supset |S|$. Hence by Proposition 3.1, $\Lambda|_C$ gives an embedding. It is clear that $|5\pi^*K_X| \supset |S|$ separates different fibres $F$. Thus $\Phi_5$ is birational.

4. Birationality via bicanonical systems

In this section, we shall complete the proof of Theorem 1.1 by studying the bicanonical system. We set $\Phi := \Phi_2$ as stated in 2.4. Denote $d_2 := \dim \Phi_2(X)$. We organize our proof according to the value of $d_2$.

In the proofs below, we shall apply Tankeev’s principle as in the proof of Theorem 3.2, Case 2.

Theorem 4.1. Let $X$ be a projective minimal factorial 3-fold of general type. Assume $d_2 = 3$. Then $\Phi_5$ is birational.

Proof. Recall that $K^3_X$ is even by 2.2, so it’s either $> 2$ or $= 2$.

Case 1. The case $K^3_X > 2$.

Pick up a general member $S$. Let $R := S|_S$. Then $|R|$ is not composed of a pencil. Thus one obviously has $R^2 \geq 2$. So the Hodge index theorem on $S$ yields

$$\pi^*(K_X) \cdot S^2 = \pi^*(K_X|_S) \cdot R \geq 2.$$ 

Set $L := \pi^*(K_X)|_S$. If $K^3_X > 2$, then the proof of Lemma 2.5 gives $L^2 > 2$.

In this case, we must emphasize that we only need a modification $\pi$ that satisfies 2.4(1) and 2.4(2). Namely, we don’t need the normal crossings. Thus we have $\text{Supp}(\Delta) = \text{Supp}(\Delta')$. This property is crucial to our proof.

Now the vanishing theorem gives

$$|K_X| + 2\pi^*(K_X) + S|_S = |K_S + 2L|.$$ 

Since $(2L)^2 \geq 12$, we may apply Reider’s theorem again. Assume that $\Phi|_{K_S + 2L}$ is not birational. Then there is a free pencil $C$ on $S$ such that $L \cdot C = 1$. Note that $R \leq 2L$, and that $|R|$ is base point free and $|R|$ is not composed of a pencil. Thus $\dim(\Phi|_R(C)) = 1$. Since $C$ lies in an algebraic family and $S$ is of general type, we have $g(C) \geq 2$. Since $h^0(C, R|_C) \geq 2$, the Riemann-Roch theorem on $C$ and Clifford’s theorem on $C$ easily imply $R \cdot C \geq 2$. Since $R \cdot C \leq 2L \cdot C = 2$, one must have $R \cdot C = 2$. Since

$$2L = S|_S + \Delta|_S + \pi^*(Z)|_S$$
and $C$ is nef, we have $\triangle_{|S|}C = 0$. This implies that $\triangle'_{|S|}C = 0$. Note also that $\triangle''_{|S|} = 0$ for general $S$. We get $(E_\pi)_{|S|}C = 0$. Therefore

$$K_S \cdot C = (K_X + S)_{|S|}C = \pi^*(K_X)_{|S|}C + (E_\pi)_{|S|}C + S_{|S|}C = 3,$$

an odd integer. This is impossible because $C$ is a free pencil on $S$. Therefore, $\Phi_S$ must be birational.

**Case 2.** The case $K_X^3 = 2$.

If $L^2 \geq 3$, then $\phi_5$ is birational according to the proof in **Case 1**. So we may assume $L^2 = 2$. By Lemma 2.5, we have $\pi^*(K_X) \cdot S^2 = 2$. Set $C = S_{|S|}$. Then $|C|$ is base point free and is not composed with a pencil. So $C^2 \geq 2$. The Hodge index theorem also gives

$$4 = (\pi^*(K_X)_{|S|}C)^2 \geq L^2 \cdot C^2 \geq 4.$$

The only possibility is $L^2 = C^2 = 2$ and $L \equiv C$. On the other hand, the equality

$$4 = 2K_X^2 = K_X^2 \cdot (M + Z) = L^2 + K_X^2 \cdot Z = 2 + K_X^2 \cdot Z$$

gives $K_X^2 \cdot Z = 2$. Take a very big $m$ such that $|mK_X|$ is base point free and take a general member $H \in |mK_X|$. By the Hodge index theorem, $4 = \frac{1}{m^2}(K_X \cdot M \cdot H)^2 \geq \frac{1}{m^2}(K_X^2 \cdot H)(M^2 \cdot H) = 2K_X^2 \cdot M^2$. Thus $K_X \cdot M^2 = 2$ and $(K_X)_{|H} \equiv M_{|H}$. Multiplying by 2, we deduce that $Z_{|H} \equiv M_{|H}$. Thus $K_X \cdot Z \cdot M = \frac{1}{m}Z_{|H} \cdot M_{|H} = \frac{1}{m}M^2 \cdot H = 2$. So $L \cdot \pi^*(Z)_{|S} = 2$. Since $2C \equiv 2L = \pi^*(2K_X)_{|S} = \pi^*(M + Z)_{|S} = (S + \Delta + \pi^*(Z))_{|S} = C + (\Delta + \pi^*(Z))_{|S}$ and $L^2 = L \cdot C = 2$, we see that

$$0 = L \cdot \Delta = C \cdot \Delta. \quad (3)$$

Thus $K_S = (K_X + S)_{|S} = C + \pi^*(K_X + E_\pi)_{|S} = (C + L) + ((E_\pi)_{|S}) = P + N$ is the Zariski decomposition by (3) and 2.4. Denote by $\sigma : S \longrightarrow S_0$ the smooth blow down onto the minimal model. Then $C + L \sim \sigma^*(K_{S_0})$.

Note that $C = S_{|S}$ and $\dim |C| \geq \dim |S|_{|S} \geq 2$ because $|S|$ gives a generically finite map. Assume to the contrary that $\Phi_S$ is not birational. Then neither is $\Phi_{|S|}$. Denote by $d$ the generic degree of $\Phi_S$. Then

$$2 = C^2 \geq d(P_2(X) - 3).$$

Because $d \geq 2$, we see $P_2(X) = 4$ and $d = 2$. By the same argument as in Case 1, we have:

$$|5K_X| \supset \text{the movable part of } |K_S + 2L| \supset |C|,$$

so $\Phi_{|C|} : S \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^{h^0(S,C)-1}$ is not birational either. On the other hand, we may write

$$2 = C^2 \geq \deg(\Phi_{|C|}) \deg(\Phi_{|C|}(S)).$$

If $h^0(S,C) \geq 4$, then $\deg(\Phi_{|C|}(S)) \geq 2$ and $\deg \Phi_{|C|} = 1$, i.e. $\Phi_{|C|}$ is birational which contradicts the assumption. So $h^0(S,C) = 3$ and $|C| = |S|_{|S}$. Therefore, $\Phi_{|C|} : S \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$ is generically finite of degree 2.
Let $\Phi_{|C|} = \tau \circ \gamma$ be the Stein factorization with $\gamma : S \to S'$ a birational morphism onto a normal surface and $\tau : S' \to \mathbb{P}^2$ a finite morphism of degree 2. We can write $C = \Phi_{|C|}^\ast \ell$ with a line $\ell$.

For a curve $E$ on $S$, by the projection formula, $C \cdot E = \ell \cdot \Phi_{|C|*} E$. So $E$ is contracted to a point on $S'$ for the projection formula again; we denote by $E_{\text{all}}$ the union of these $E$. By Zariski’s Main Theorem, both $S \setminus E_{\text{all}} \to \overline{S}$ (the image of $E_{\text{all}}$) and $S \setminus E_{\text{all}} \to S'$ (the image of $E_{\text{all}}$) are isomorphisms (so we identify them). Both $\overline{S}$ and $S'$ are completions of the same $S \setminus E_{\text{all}}$ by adding a finite set. The normality of $S$ and $S'$ implies that the birational morphisms $S \to \overline{S}$ and $S \to S'$ can be identified, so also $S' = \overline{S}$.

Since $S$ is normal, Propositions 5.4, 5.5 and 5.7 of [21] imply a splitting

$$\tau_* \mathcal{O}_S = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2} \oplus \mathcal{L}$$

where $\mathcal{L}$ is a line bundle. Thus we see that

$$q(S) = q(S) = h^1(S, \tau_* \mathcal{O}_S) = 0.$$

Since $S$ is nef and big on $X'$, the long exact sequence

$$0 = H^1(K_{X'} + S) \longrightarrow H^1(K_S) \longrightarrow H^2(K_{X'}) \longrightarrow H^2(K_{X'} + S) = 0$$

gives $q(X) = q(X') = q(S) = 0$. Noting that $\chi(\mathcal{O}_X) < 0$, we naturally have $p_g(X) \geq 2$. By Theorem 4.2, $\Phi_5$ is birational, a contradiction.

Therefore we have proved the birationality of $\Phi_5$. \hfill \Box

**Theorem 4.2.** Let $X$ be a projective minimal factorial 3-fold of general type. Assume $d_2 = 2$. Then $\Phi_5$ is birational.

**Proof.** By 2.2, $K_X^3$ is even and hence either $K_X^3 = 2$ or $K_X^3 \geq 4$.

**Case 1.** $K_X^3 \geq 4$.

When $d_2 = 2$, $f : X' \to W$ is a fibration onto a surface $W$. Taking a further modification, we may even get a smooth base $W$. Denote by $C$ a general fiber of $f$. Then $g(C) \geq 2$. Pick up a general member $S$ which is an irreducible surface of general type. We may write $S_{|S} \sim \sum_{i=1}^{a_2} C_i$ where $a_2 \geq P_2(X) - 2$. Since $K_X^3 > 2$, we have $a_2 \geq P_2(X) - 2 \geq 3$.

Set $L := \pi^*(K_X)_{|S}$. Then $L$ is nef and big. Since $\pi^*(K_X) \cdot S^2 = (\pi^*(K_X)|_{S} \cdot S_{|S})_{S} \geq 3(\pi^*(K_X)|_{S} \cdot C)_{S} \geq 3$, Lemma 2.3 gives $L^2 \geq 4$. The vanishing theorem gives

$$|K_{X'} + 2\pi^*(K_X) + S|_{S} = |K_S + 2L|.$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)

Assume that $\Phi_5$ is not birational. Then neither is $\Phi_{|K_S + 2L|}$ for general $S$. Because $(2L)^2 \geq 10$, Reider’s theorem (24) tells us that there is a free pencil $C'$ on $S$ such that $L \cdot C' = 1$. Since $2 = C' \cdot 2L \geq C'.S_{|S} = \ldots$
\(a_2C' \cdot C \geq 3C' \cdot C\), we have \(C \cdot C' = 0\). So \(C'\) lies in the same algebraic family as that of \(C\). We may write

\[2L \equiv a_2C + G\]

where \(G = (\Delta + \pi^*(Z))|_S \geq 0\) and \(a_2 \geq 3\). Since \(2L - C - \frac{1}{a_2}G \equiv (2 - \frac{2}{a_2})L\) is nef and big, Kawamata-Viehweg vanishing gives \(H^1(S, K_S + [2L - C - \frac{1}{a_2}G]) = 0\). Thus we get a surjection:

\[H^0(S, K_S + [2L - \frac{1}{a_2}G]) \rightarrow H^0(C, K_C + D)\]

where \(D := [2L - \frac{1}{a_2}G]|_C\) with \(\deg(D) \geq (2 - \frac{2}{a_2})L \cdot C > 1\). Note that 
\(|K_S + 2L| \supset \chi_S\) separates different \(C\). If \(\deg(D) \geq 3\), then \(|K_C + D|\) defines an embedding, and so does \(|K_S + 2L|\), a contradiction.

So suppose \(\deg(D) = 2\). We now apply Proposition 3.1. Let \(N'\) be the movable part of \(K_S + [2L - \frac{1}{a_2}G]\) and let \(N = \pi^*(5K_X)|_S\). Set \(\Lambda := |5\pi^*(K_X)||_S\). As in the proof of Theorem 3.2, we have \(\Lambda \supset |N'|\) + (a fixed effective divisor), \(|N'||_C = |K_C + D|\), \(N' \leq N\) and \(\deg(N'_|C) = 1 + \deg(N'_|C) = 2g(C) + 1 = 5\) by the calculation:

\[4 \leq (2g(C) - 2) + 2 = N' \cdot C \leq N \cdot C = 5\pi^*K_X \cdot C = 5.\]

By Proposition 3.1, \(\Lambda|_C = |N'_|C\) gives an embedding. It is clear that \(|5\pi^*K_X| \supset \chi_S\) separates different \(S\), and \(|5\pi^*K_X||_S\) (the movable part of \(|K_S + 2L|\)) separates different \(C\). Thus \(\Phi_5\) is birational. This is again a contradiction.

**Case 2.** \(K_X^3 = 2\).

We first consider the case \(L^2 \geq 3\). On the surface \(S\), we are reduced to study the linear system \(|K_S + 2L|\). We have

\[2L \sim S|_S + G = \sum_{i=1}^{a_2} C_i + G\]

where \(a_2 \geq h^0(S, S|_S) - 1 \geq P_2(X) - 2 \geq 2\). Denote by \(C\) a general fiber of \(f : X' \rightarrow W\). If \(a_2 \geq 3\), the proof in Case 1 already works. So we assume \(a_2 = 2\), then \(P_2(X) = 4\), and the image of the fibration \(\Phi|_S : S \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^2\) is a quadric curve which is a rational curve. This means that \(|C|\) is composed with a rational pencil. Assume that \(|K_S + 2L|\) does not give a birational map. Then Reider’s theorem says that there is a free pencil \(C'\) on \(S\) such that \(L \cdot C' = 1\). We claim that \(C'\) are \(C\) are in the same pencil. In fact, otherwise \(C'\) is horizontal with respect to \(C\) and \(C \cdot C' > 0\). Since \(C\) is a rational pencil, \(C \cdot C' \geq 2\). Therefore \(L \cdot C' \geq 2\), a contradiction. So \(C'\) lies in the same family as that of \(C\) and \(L \cdot C = 1\). Note that \(K_S + 2L = (K_X + 2\pi^*(K_X))|_S + S|_S \geq C\). So \(|K_S + 2L|\) distinguishes different members in \(|C|\). The vanishing
Theorem 4.3. Let $X$ be a projective minimal factorial 3-fold of general type. Assume $d_2 = 1$. Then $\Phi_5$ is birational.

Proof. When $X$ is smooth, this theorem has been proved in [7]. Our result is a generalization of this result.

Taking a modification $\pi$ as in 2.4, we get an induced fibration $f : X' \to W$ and $B := W$ is a smooth curve of genus $b := g(B)$. By Lemma 2.1 of [8], we know that $0 \leq b \leq 1$. Let $F$ be a general fiber of $f$.

Claim 4.4. We have

$$\mathcal{O}_F(\pi^*(K_X)|_F) \cong \mathcal{O}_F(\sigma^*(K_{F_0}))$$

where $\sigma : F \to F_0$ is the smooth blow down onto the minimal model.

Proof. If $b > 0$, then the movable part of $|2K_X|$ is already base point free by Lemma 2.6. The claim is automatically true.

Suppose $b = 0$. Set $\bar{F} := \pi_* F$. We may write (see 2.4):

$$S = \sum_{i=1}^{a_2} F_i$$
where $a_2 \geq P_2(X) - 1 \geq 3$ and $F_i$ is a smooth fiber of $f$ for each $i$. Then $2K_X \equiv a_2F + Z$. Assume $K_X \cdot F^2 > 0$. Then we have

$$2K_X^3 \geq a_2K_X^2 \cdot F \geq a_2^2 \geq (P_2(X) - 1)^2 = \frac{1}{4}(K_X^3 - 6\chi(O_X) - 2)^2 \geq \frac{1}{4}(K_X^3 + 4)^2.$$ 

The above inequality is absurd. Thus $K_X \cdot F^2 = 0$ and $\pi^*(K_X)\vert_F \cdot \Delta \vert_F = 0$. Now we apply the same argument as in the proof of Claim 3.3. So the claim is true. □

Considering the linear system $|K_{X'} + 2\pi^*(K_X) + S| \supset |S|$, which evidently separates different fibers of $f$, we get a surjection by the vanishing theorem:

$$|K_{X'} + 2\pi^*(K_X) + S|_F = |K_F + 2\pi^*(K_{F_0})|.$$ 

Since $F$ is a surface of general type, $\Phi_{|2K_F|}$ is birational except when $(K_{F_0}^2, p_g(F)) = (1, 2), (2, 3)$. Thus $\Phi_5$ is birational except when $F$ is of those two types.

From now on, we assume that $F$ is one of the above two types. Then $q(F) = 0$ according to surface theory. By 2.8 one has $q(X) = b$ because $R^1f_*\omega_X = 0$. Since we may assume $p_g(X) \leq 1$ by Theorem 5.2 and since $\chi(O_X) < 0$ and $b \leq 1$, we see that the only possibility is $q(X) = b = 1$, $p_g(X) = 1$ and $h^2(O_X) = 0$.

Let $D \in |\pi^*(K_X)|$ be the unique effective divisor. Since $2D \sim 2\pi^*(K_X)$, there is a hyperplane section $H^0_2$ of $W'$ in $\mathbb{P}^{P_2(X)-1}$ such that $g^*(H^0_2) \equiv a_2F$ and $2D = g^*(H^0_2) + Z'$. Set $Z' := Z_v + 2Z_h$, where $Z_v$ is the vertical part with respect to the fibration $f$ and $2Z_h$ the horizontal part. Thus

$$D = \frac{1}{2}(g^*(H^0_2) + Z_v) + Z_h.$$ 

Noting that $D$ is a integral divisor, for the general fiber $F$, $(Z_h)_F = D_F \sim \sigma^*(K_{F_0})$ by Claim 4.4.

Considering the $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor

$$K_{X'} + 4\pi^*(K_X) - F - \frac{1}{a_2}Z_v - \frac{2}{a_2}Z_h,$$

set

$$G := 3\pi^*(K_X) + D - \frac{1}{a_2}Z_v - \frac{2}{a_2}Z_h$$

and

$$D_0 := \lfloor G \rfloor = 3\pi^*(K_X) + \lfloor (1 - \frac{2}{a_2})Z_h \rfloor + \text{vertical divisors}.$$ 

For the general fiber $F$, our $G - F \equiv (4 - \frac{2}{a_2})\pi^*(K_X)$ is nef and big. Therefore, by the vanishing theorem, $H^1(X', K_{X'} + D_0 - F) = 0$. 

We then have a surjective map
\[ H^0(X', K_{X'} + D_0) \longrightarrow H^0(F, K_F + 3\sigma^*(K_{F_0}) + [(1 - \frac{2}{a^2})Z_h]|_F). \]
If \( F \) is a surface with \( (K^2, p_g) = (2, 3) \), then \( \Phi_{|K_F + 3\sigma^*(K_{F_0}) + [(1 - \frac{2}{a^2})Z_h]|_F|} \) is birational on \( F \). Otherwise, since
\[ [(1 - \frac{2}{a^2})Z_h]|_F \geq [(1 - \frac{2}{a^2})(Z_h)|_F] = [(1 - \frac{2}{a^2})D]|_F, \]
Proposition 2.1 of [10] implies that \( \Phi_{|K_F + 3\sigma^*(K_{F_0}) + [(1 - \frac{2}{a^2})Z_h]|_F|} \) is birational. Thus \( \Phi_5 \) is birational. □

Theorems 4.1, 4.2 and 4.3, together with 1.4 and 1.5, imply Theorem 1.1.
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