Physical activity reduces risk for colon polyps in a multiethnic colorectal cancer screening population
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Abstract

**Background:** Identifying modifiable factors that influence the epidemiology of colorectal cancer incidence among multiethnic groups might be informative for the development of public health strategies targeting the disease. Minimal data exists describing the impact of physical activity on colorectal polyp risk in United States minority populations. The aim of this study is to evaluate the relationship of exercise on the prevalence of polyps in a multiethnic colorectal cancer screening population.

**Results:** We enrolled 982 patients: 558 Hispanic, 202 Asian,149 Black, and 69 White. Patients who reported exercising one or more hours weekly had a lower prevalence of any polyps (25.3% vs 33.2%, \( P = 0.008 \)) as well as adenomas (13.8 vs. 18.9%, \( P = 0.03 \)) compared to those who did not exercise. Black and Hispanic patients and those who were overweight or obese also had lower prevalence of polyps if they led an active lifestyle. Multivariate analysis revealed that age >55, male sex, and Black race/ethnicity were positively associated with the presence of adenomas, while a history of exercising one hour or more weekly was an independent negative predictor for the presence of adenomas anywhere in the colon (OR 0.67; 95% CI 0.4 - 0.9, \( P = 0.03 \)).

**Conclusions:** Exercising one hour per week was associated with a lower prevalence of polyps and adenomas when compared to those who exercised less or not at all. An active lifestyle provides benefits to groups who are at risk for colorectal cancer, such as Blacks. It also provides significant protection to overweight and obese individuals. Public health initiatives should promote physical activity as a cancer prevention tool in multiethnic populations.
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Background

As the second leading cause of overall cancer death in the United States, colorectal cancer (CRC) remains an important preventable public health concern. In 2010 an estimated 142,570 new cases of CRC were diagnosed and there were 51,370 associated deaths [1]. There are notable racial/ethnic differences in the epidemiology of the disease. The National Cancer Institute’s 2007 Surveillance Epidemiology and End Results (SEER) Report determined a colorectal cancer incidence rate of 54.71/100,000 for Blacks versus 43.16 for Whites, 39.78 for Asians, and 35.84 for Hispanics [2]. Identifying modifiable factors that influence the epidemiology of colorectal cancer incidence among multiethnic groups might be informative for the development of public health strategies targeting the disease.

Physical activity has been estimated to reduce colon cancer risk by 40-50% for individuals who engage in at least moderate levels of exercise (e.g.: brisk walking for 3–4 hours/week) [3,4]. The protective role of physical activity may also extend to the development of precancerous colorectal polyps [5-14]. Current data suggest that the benefit of physical activity is greatest for large or severely dysplastic polyps [11-14]. The mechanism of this
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benefit is not known, but it may involve reductions in insulin levels, systemic inflammation, and abdominal adiposity [15,16].

To date, there is minimal data describing the impact of exercise on colorectal polyp risk in minority populations. Published research describing the benefits of physical activity among U.S. minorities has been limited to Black populations [17,18], and none have described the impact of exercise on colorectal polyp risk in a study sample that includes Hispanics, Asians, Blacks, and Whites. Furthermore, few studies have examined the impact of physical activity on polyp distribution, particularly in the proximal colon where CRC is more likely in Blacks compared to Whites and other racial/ethnic groups [19-21]. We hypothesized that physical activity reduces the risk of polyps throughout the colon, regardless of ethnic background. This prospective study aims to evaluate the association between physical activity and risk of colorectal pathology in an urban, multiethnic population presenting for screening colonoscopy.

**Methods**

**Study population.** Patients presenting for a screening colonoscopy at Bellevue Hospital were approached for enrollment. Bellevue is the largest public hospital in New York City and serves a diverse population that reflects the city’s multiethnic composition. Patients range from American citizens who have lived in New York City for several decades to undocumented residents who are less familiar with the regional lifestyle and cultural behaviors. The patients are predominantly Medicaid beneficiaries and come from low-income households.

Between January 2002 and December 2010, consecutive patients presenting for colonoscopy were approached to voluntarily participate in this study. Patients were included in the statistical analysis if they were asymptomatic average-risk adults at least 45 years of age. Asymptomatic was defined as the absence of gastrointestinal symptoms, including abdominal pain, constipation, diarrhea, change in stool caliber or frequency, unexplained weight loss, rectal bleeding, or other alarm symptoms suggestive of an underlying malignancy. Average risk was defined as no personal history of colorectal cancer, adenomatous polyps, or inflammatory bowel disease, and no family history of colorectal neoplasia in a first-degree relative [22-24].

Patients were subsequently excluded from the statistical analysis if they were HIV positive, had a positive fecal occult blood test, a flexible sigmoidoscopy or barium enema within the last 5 years, or if they had a colonoscopy within the last 10 years. Patients were excluded from further analyses beyond baseline if their colonoscopy was not complete to the cecum or if their bowel preparation was assessed as poor. The study protocol was reviewed and approved by the Institutional Review Board at NYU Medical Center.

**Study design.** A trained research assistant using a standardized scripted questionnaire obtained demographic and clinical information on all enrolled patients prior to colonoscopy. For non-English participants, the interview was conducted with the assistance of “TEMIS”, Team/Technology Enhanced Medical Interpreting System, a simultaneous translation service that has been implemented successfully at Bellevue Hospital Center. Height and weight were measured using the same column scale with a telescoping height rod while patients were bare-footed and wearing light clothing. Information collected on each patient included age, gender, race/ethnicity, place of birth, and usage of dietary supplements (eg: folic acid, fiber, and vitamin supplements). Patients self-reported racial/ethnic designations as Black, Asian, White, and Hispanic. We assessed physical activity with three specific questions: 1) Do you regularly exercise? 2) How many hours of exercise per week?, and 3) How many years of exercise?

Prior to colonoscopy, patients received a bowel preparation that consisted of an oral sodium phosphate solution or a polyethylene glycol-based electrolyte solution. Trained endoscopists performed evaluation of the colon to the cecum after administration of intravenous meperidine and midazolam for conscious sedation. During the exam, the location and size of each polyp was recorded. The size of small polyps was estimated with the use of an open-biopsy forceps, which is 7 mm in diameter. A dedicated gastrointestinal pathologist reviewed all retrieved polyps and biopsies of any masses or other abnormalities. Advanced adenomas were defined as adenomas ≥10 mm in diameter or any adenoma, regardless of size, with villous histology, high-grade dysplasia, or adenocarcinoma [22].

**Study outcomes.** The primary outcome evaluated was the relationship between physical activity and the prevalence of colon polyps. We sought to compare individuals who exercised for at least one hour per week (defined as active) with those who exercised less frequently or not at all (defined as sedentary). The impact of exercise as defined by one hour of physical activity per week has been demonstrated to have cardiovascular and metabolic health benefits [25]. As such, we analyzed the impact of a comparable timeframe on adenoma prevalence.

**Statistical analysis.** Continuous variables were compared using the unpaired 2-tailed t-test or Mann–Whitney U test. Data are expressed as mean ±SD for those variables that were normally distributed, and as medians and interquartile range (25th to 75th percentiles) for those with a non-normal distribution. Categorical variables were compared using the Chi-square test or Fisher’s exact test (for sample sizes of n < 5).
Multivariable logistic regression analysis was used to assess the effect of ethnicity and other factors on the odds of having a neoplastic lesion detected by colonoscopy. The strength of the associations between these variables and the presence of colonic polyps are expressed as odds ratios (OR) with 95% confidence intervals (CI). With an alpha of 5%, the study was powered at 80% to detect at least a 5% difference in adenoma prevalence according to exercise history. Statistical analysis was performed using SPSS software PASW Statistics GradPack 18 for Windows (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL), and a two-tailed p-value of <0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results

Patient demographics and clinical characteristics. A total of 1,862 patients were enrolled in the study, and 982 of them met the eligibility criteria for inclusion in the analysis. A total of 548 patients reported an active lifestyle with at least one hour of exercise weekly (55.8%) while 434 (44.2%) reported less or no exercise (sedentary). We selected a threshold of one hour of weekly exercise as this was the point in our sample where we noted significant differences in patients’ polyp and adenoma prevalence. The two comparison groups did not differ significantly in gender, age, BMI, aspirin use, alcohol use, tobacco use, red meat consumption, and folate or fiber supplementation (Table 1). Active patients were less likely to be hypertensive than their sedentary counterparts (46.1 vs 52.3%, \( p = 0.052 \)). Also, active patients were more likely to take multivitamin supplements than their sedentary counterparts (42.5 vs 36.4%, \( p < 0.01 \)).

Endoscopy findings. Colonoscopy was complete to the cecum in 100% of the 982 subjects included for analyses. A total of 281 subjects (28.6%) had at least one polypoid lesion observed on colonoscopy. The prevalence of adenomas was 15.8%, and the prevalence of advanced adenomas was 4.3%. While the majority of individuals with polyps (45.8%) had lesions in the distal colon alone, 33.7% had proximal lesions, and 20.5% had polyps in both the left and right colon.

Colonoscopy findings according to race/ethnicity. Blacks had the highest detection rate for any polyp (30.8%), adenoma (20.8%), and advanced adenoma (6.7%). White patients were significantly less likely to have any polyps detected on colonoscopy compared to other racial/ethnic groups (17.4 vs. 30.4%, \( p = 0.020 \)). Pre-cancerous adenomatous polyps were significantly more prevalent in Black patients, compared to other groups (23.0 vs 15.3%, \( p = 0.020 \)). On the other end of the risk spectrum, Asian patients were far less likely to have advanced adenomas compared to other racial/ethnic groups (1.0 vs 5.3%, \( p = 0.008 \)). There was no significant racial/ethnic difference in the distribution of polyps in the left versus the right side of the colon.

Table 1 Baseline demographic characteristics of the 982 evaluated individuals according to exercise history stratification (sedentary vs. active)

| Characteristic                          | Sedentary (N = 434) | Active (N = 548) | P value |
|-----------------------------------------|---------------------|------------------|---------|
| Male, n (%)                             | 184 (42.4)          | 226 (41.2)       | 0.721†  |
| Mean age (yrs) ± SD                     | 58 ± 7              | 58 ± 7           | 0.932*  |
| BMI (kg/m²) ± SD                        | 27.4 ± 5.4          | 27.1 ± 4.7       | 0.370*  |
| Race/ethnicity, n (%)                   |                     |                  |         |
| White, non-Hispanic                     | 27 (6.2)            | 42 (7.7)         | 0.018‡  |
| Black, non-Hispanic                     | 60 (13.8)           | 89 (16.2)        |         |
| Hispanic                                | 235 (54.1)          | 323 (58.9)       |         |
| Asian                                   | 109 (25.1)          | 93 (17.0)        |         |
| Hypertension, n (%)                     | 226 (52.3)          | 252 (46.1)       | 0.052‡  |
| Folate supplementation, n (%)           | 17 (3.9)            | 18 (3.3)         | 0.798‡  |
| Fiber supplementation, n (%)            | 11 (2.5)            | 23 (4.2)         | 0.093‡  |
| Multivitamin supplementation, n(%)      | 158 (36.4)          | 233 (42.5)       | 0.005‡  |
| Aspirin, n (%) (current daily use)      | 116 (26.7)          | 146 (26.6)       | 0.459‡  |
| Red meat consumption, n (%) (one or more servings/week) | 357 (82.3) | 416 (75.9) | 0.741‡ |
| Current Alcohol, n (%) (Any current use) | 100 (23.0)       | 138 (25.2)       | 0.229‡  |
| Current Tobacco, n (%)                  | 53 (12.2)           | 53 (9.7)         | 0.352‡  |

* T-test. † Chi-Square Analysis.
Exercise history among the study subjects. Among the 548 patients who reported at least one hour of exercise weekly, the median number of years of exercise was 5.0 years (interquartile range 2.0-10.0). Asians were less likely than their non-Asian counterparts to report regular exercise (46.0 vs 58.0%, P = 0.002). Patients born outside the mainland United States were also less likely to report regular exercise than subjects born on the mainland (54.3 vs 66.1%, P = 0.01). Although 88% of our subjects were born outside of the mainland United States, the majority of the study group (81.9%) had lived in the United States for more than ten years. Consistent with this finding no significant difference was found in the main outcome of polyp prevalence based on immigrant status alone. Patients born in the United States were as likely to have polyps as patients born outside the United States (29.6 vs 29.4%, P = 0.974).

Effect of exercise on polyp prevalence. Patients who reported at least one hour of exercise weekly (active lifestyle) were less likely to have any polyps on colonoscopy compared to those who did not regularly exercise (25.2 vs 33.2%, P < 0.01; Figure 1). Consistent with this finding patients with active lifestyles were also less likely to have an adenoma on colonoscopy compared to their counterparts with more sedentary lifestyles (13.8 vs 18.9%, P = 0.03). Similarly, individuals who exercised were less likely to have advanced adenomas than those who did not exercise; however, this difference did not reach statistical significance (3.4 vs 5.8%, P = 0.06).

Among individuals who reported an active lifestyle, exercising three or more hours per week, trended towards a lower prevalence of adenomas, compared to those who exercised two hours or less (11.4 vs. 15.4%, P = 0.094). This protective trend extended to advanced adenomas (3.3 vs 5.4%, P = 0.116). Consistent with this finding individuals with a history of exercising five years or more trended towards fewer advanced adenomas, compared to those with a more recent exercise history (2.4 vs. 3.4%, P = 0.138).

The benefits of physical activity extended to all areas of the colon (Table 2). Active subjects had a lower prevalence of any polyps, adenomas, and advanced adenomas, for each location assessed; however, this did not always reach statistical significance. Active patients were less likely to have adenomas in the distal colon than sedentary patients (4.6 vs 8.1%, P = 0.043). We also observed a protective trend in the proximal colon among active patients, but these results did not reach statistical significance.

Effect of exercise on polyps according to race/ethnicity. Blacks and Hispanics benefited the most from physical activity (Table 3). Hispanics who exercised one hour or more per week were less likely to have adenomas than Hispanics who did not exercise regularly (11.2 vs 21.4%, P < 0.01). For Black patients with an active lifestyle, the prevalence of advanced adenomas was significantly less compared to Black patients with a sedentary lifestyle (2.4 vs. 13.6%, P = 0.01). White and Asian subjects did not benefit substantially from physical activity in this cohort.

Effect of exercise on polyps according BMI. Since the prevalence of any polyps was greatest among individuals
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**Table 2** Comparison of type and location of colonoscopy findings according to exercise history

|                      | Sedentary (N=434) | Active (N=548) | P value |
|----------------------|-------------------|----------------|---------|
| Any polyp            |                   |                |         |
| Distal, n (%)        | 25.3 (15.4)       | 60 (10.9)      | 0.134   |
| Proximal, n (%)      | 13.7 (17.2)       | 47 (7.8)       | 0.201   |
| Distal & proximal, n (%) | 30 (10.9) | 27 (4.9)       | 0.424   |
| Adenomas             |                   |                |         |
| Distal, n (%)        | 35 (8.1)          | 25 (4.6)       | 0.043   |
| Proximal, n (%)      | 35 (8.1)          | 35 (6.4)       | 0.457   |
| Distal & proximal, n (%) | 30 (6.9) | 27 (4.9)       | 0.424   |

**Note.** Categorical variables were analyzed with Chi-Square test or the Fisher exact test (for sample sizes of n < 5 responses).
with an abnormal BMI compared to their normal BMI counterparts (30.4 vs. 27.5%, \( P = 0.343 \)) we next evaluated the effect of exercise on polyp prevalence in this subgroup. Overweight and obese subjects who exercised were significantly less likely to have any adenomas (13.5 vs. 20.5%, \( P = 0.023 \)) or advanced adenomas (2.5 vs. 6.3%, \( P = 0.011 \)), compared to their counterparts who did not exercise. This trend persisted among overweight and obese Hispanics (Table 4). Overweight and obese Hispanics who exercised were significantly less likely to have adenomas compared to their counterparts who did not exercise (9.4 vs 21.2%, \( P < 0.01 \)). Active overweight and obese Blacks were less likely to have advanced adenomas compared to their counterparts who did not exercise (0.2 vs 1.4%, \( P = 0.021 \)). We did not observe these differences among Whites and Asians.

Predictors of colonic neoplasia. Both univariate and multivariable logistic regression analyses were performed in order to determine factors that were associated with colonic adenomas (Table 5). In the univariate analysis, age, ethnicity, and exercise were significantly associated with colonic adenomas. In the multivariable analysis, age >55, male sex, and Black race/ethnicity remained positively associated with the presence of adenomas, while a history of exercising one hour or more per week was an independent negative predictor for the presence of adenomas anywhere in the colon (OR 0.67; 95% CI 0.4 - 0.9, \( P = 0.03 \)).

Folate supplementation, fiber intake and dietary vitamin supplementation were not significantly associated with a reduced risk of colorectal adenomas in this cohort.

### Table 3 Analysis of Exercise and Polyp Prevalence with Ethnicity Subsets

| Colonoscopy Finding | Sedentary N = 434 | Active N = 548 | P value |
|---------------------|-------------------|---------------|---------|
| Any polyp           |                   |               |         |
| Ethnicity           |                   |               |         |
| Hispanic, n (%)     | 76 (17.5)         | 77 (14.1)     | 0.059   |
| Black, n (%)        | 23 (5.3)          | 23 (4.2)      | 0.165   |
| Asian, n (%)        | 35 (8.1)          | 22 (4.0)      | 0.188   |
| White, n (%)        | 4 (0.9)           | 6 (1.1)       | 0.772   |
| Any adenoma         |                   |               |         |
| Ethnicity           |                   |               |         |
| Hispanic, n (%)     | 48 (11.1)         | 34 (6.2)      | 0.002   |
| Black, n (%)        | 14 (3.2)          | 17 (3.1)      | 0.618   |
| Asian, n (%)        | 14 (3.2)          | 16 (2.9)      | 0.323   |
| White, n (%)        | 2 (0.5)           | 3 (0.5)       | 0.942   |
| Advanced adenoma    |                   |               |         |
| Ethnicity           |                   |               |         |
| Hispanic, n (%)     | 13 (3.0)          | 12 (2.2)      | 0.295   |
| Black, n (%)        | 8 (1.8)           | 2 (0.4)       | 0.010   |
| Asian, n (%)        | 0                 | 2 (0.4)       | 0.116   |
| White, n (%)        | 2 (0.5)           | 1 (0.2)       | 0.366   |

Note. Categorical variables were analyzed with Chi-Square test or the Fisher exact test (for sample sizes of \( n < 5 \) responses).

### Table 4 Analysis of Exercise and Polyp Prevalence with BMI and Ethnicity subsets

| Colonoscopy Finding | Sedentary N = 434 | Active N = 548 | P value |
|---------------------|-------------------|---------------|---------|
| Any polyp           |                   |               |         |
| BMI < 25            |                   |               |         |
| Hispanic, n (%)     | 24 (5.5)          | 22 (4.0)      | 0.144   |
| Black, n (%)        | 6 (1.4)           | 6 (1.1)       | 0.434   |
| Asian, n (%)        | 16 (3.7)          | 11 (2.0)      | 0.465   |
| White, n (%)        | 2 (0.5)           | 1 (0.2)       | 0.476   |
| BMI > 25            |                   |               |         |
| Hispanic, n (%)     | 52 (12.0)         | 55 (10.0)     | 0.275   |
| Black, n (%)        | 17 (3.9)          | 17 (3.1)      | 0.196   |
| Asian, n (%)        | 19 (4.4)          | 11 (2.0)      | 0.239   |
| White, n (%)        | 2 (0.5)           | 5 (0.9)       | 0.551   |
| Any adenoma         |                   |               |         |
| BMI < 25            |                   |               |         |
| Hispanic, n (%)     | 13 (3.0)          | 14 (2.6)      | 0.424   |
| Black, n (%)        | 3 (0.7)           | 4 (0.7)       | 0.826   |
| Asian, n (%)        | 8 (1.8)           | 7 (1.3)       | 0.988   |
| White, n (%)        | 0                 | 1 (0.2)       | 0.329   |
| BMI > 25            |                   |               |         |
| Hispanic, n (%)     | 35 (8.1)          | 20 (3.6)      | 0.003   |
| Black, n (%)        | 11 (2.5)          | 13 (2.4)      | 0.432   |
| Asian, n (%)        | 6 (1.4)           | 9 (1.6)       | 0.146   |
| White, n (%)        | 2 (0.5)           | 2 (0.4)       | 0.624   |
| Advanced adenoma    |                   |               |         |
| BMI < 25            |                   |               |         |
| Hispanic, n (%)     | 6 (1.4)           | 6 (1.1)       | 0.622   |
| Black, n (%)        | 2 (0.5)           | 1 (0.2)       | 0.312   |
| Asian, n (%)        | 0                 | 1 (0.2)       | 0.289   |
| White, n (%)        | 0                 | 1 (0.2)       | 0.329   |
| BMI > 25            |                   |               |         |
| Hispanic, n (%)     | 7 (1.6)           | 6 (1.1)       | 0.351   |
| Black, n (%)        | 6 (1.4)           | 1 (0.2)       | 0.021   |
| Asian, n (%)        | 0                 | 1 (0.2)       | 0.242   |
| White, n (%)        | 2 (0.5)           | 0             | 0.068   |

Note. Categorical variables were analyzed with Chi-Square test or the Fisher exact test (for sample sizes of \( n < 5 \) responses).
Discussion

In this multiethnic average-risk study population, an active lifestyle history, as reflected by exercising one hour or more per week, was associated with a significantly lower risk of colon polyps and adenomas. We observed the expected inverse trend between polyp prevalence and weekly hours of exercise. This association is consistent with other studies that have examined the protective properties of physical activity and colon polyps, where even low levels of physical activity have been associated with protective benefits [9,10,12,14,26,27]. Published data on the types of exercise performed is minimal, although some studies cite walking as a common form of exercise. In an observational nested study, walking at speeds > 3 mph was reported to be protective against advanced adenomas for men [27]. Currently, the U.S. Centers for Disease Control recommends that adults perform at least 150 minutes of moderate-intensity aerobic activity weekly or 75 minutes of vigorous-intensity aerobic activity weekly. This public health recommendation appears feasible for our patient population as over half currently report at least one hour of weekly exercise.

An important finding from this study is the observed benefit of exercise on polyp prevalence among overweight and obese subjects. Prior studies have reported an association between high body mass and an increased risk for colon polyps and colon cancer [28-31]. Efforts to combat this increased risk have focused on ways to reduce excess weight, namely, diet and exercise. Regular physical activity alone may confer benefits against important outcomes such as cancer risk reduction even if weight loss is not achieved. Exercise may mitigate the cancer-promoting effects of high body mass by through effects on hyperinsulinemia, systemic inflammation, and abdominal adiposity [9,10]. In addition, exercise may increase colon transit time and limit the interaction of the colonic mucosa with mutagenic intracolonic materials [32,33]. Our finding carries significant public health implications since it suggests that even light physical activity can provide cancer protection among average-risk subjects with increased BMI.

In the United States, colorectal cancer disproportionately affects Blacks, followed by Whites, Asians, and Hispanics [2]. These statistics were reflected in our sample, as we observed that Blacks had the greatest incidence of all polyps, adenomas, and advanced adenomas, underscoring the public health threat posed to this at-risk population. Notably, we found that routine exercise provides significant protection against colonic lesions among Blacks and Hispanics. This trend persisted among overweight and obese Hispanics and Blacks. These findings highlight the need for public health initiatives to

| Table 5 Relative odds ratio of colonic adenomas in 982 study subjects |
|-----------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| Age (yr)        | Unadjusted        | Adjusted*         |
|                 | OR [95% CI]       | p Value           | OR [95% CI]       | p Value           |
| 45–54, n = 359  | 1.0 [reference]   | –                 | [reference]       | –                 |
| 55–64, n = 451  | 1.7 [1.1-2.5]     | 0.014             | 1.6 [1.1-2.5]     | 0.026             |
| 65–74, n = 156  | 2.8 [1.7-4.6]     | 0.001             | 3.1 [1.8-5.3]     | 0.001             |
| 75 and older, n = 16 | 8.2 [2.9-23.0] | 0.001             | 9.2 [3.1-27.4]    | 0.001             |
| Gender          |                   |                   |                   |                   |
| Female, n = 572 | 1.0 [reference]   | –                 | [reference]       | –                 |
| Male, n = 410   | 1.4 [0.97-1.93]   | 0.069             | 1.7 [1.2-2.4]     | 0.006             |
| Ethnicity       |                   |                   |                   |                   |
| White, n = 69   | 1.0 [reference]   | –                 | 1.0 [reference]   | –                 |
| Black, n = 149  | 2.5 [0.9-6.2]     | 0.061             | 3.0 [1.1-8.3]     | 0.03              |
| Hispanic, n = 558 | 3.8 [1.4-10.2] | 0.008             | 2.0 [0.7-5.3]     | 0.15              |
| Asian, n = 202  | 2.4 [0.88-6.3]    | 0.088             | 2.1 [0.8-5.8]     | 0.15              |
| BMI             |                   |                   |                   |                   |
| <25, n = 351    | 1.0 [reference]   | –                 | 1.0 [reference]   | –                 |
| >25, n = 631    | 2.0 [0.3-12.3]    | 0.46              | 1.1 [0.8-1.7]     | 0.55              |
| Exercise        |                   |                   |                   |                   |
| Sedentary, n = 434 | 1.0 [reference] | –                 | 1.0 [reference]   | –                 |
| Active, n = 548 | 0.68 [0.4-0.97]   | 0.03              | 0.67 [0.4-0.9]    | 0.03              |

*The values were derived from multivariable logistic regression after adjusting for all variables in the model (Age, Gender, Ethnicity, BMI, Exercise).
promote physical exercise among low-income Black and Hispanic populations as cancer prevention tools.

Over half of our sample’s subjects identified as Hispanic. They reported descent from a diverse range of Latin American nations, most commonly Mexico, the Dominican Republic, and Ecuador. This makes our study unique in its robust representation of an infrequently studied population cohort. Although nationally Hispanics remain low-risk in terms of colorectal cancer incidence, these statistics may be biased by Hispanic immigrants that have not adopted American lifestyle and eating behaviors. As more Hispanics adopt American behaviors, colorectal cancer and polyp prevalence may approach those of White or even Black populations, depending on socioeconomic achievement. This lower risk population may have led to an overall low-end adenoma detection rate in our sample. We anticipated an adenoma detection rate in the 15–25% range [34]. Our sample’s overall adenoma detection rate was 15.8%, and the Hispanic subset’s detection rate was 14.7%. Our Hispanic sample reported similar physical activity levels as Blacks and Whites, making bias in our subsequent analyses minimal.

Surprisingly, we did not find exercise to have a significant benefit among Asians. This may be because a disproportionate number of Asian participants did not report any regular exercise. Furthermore Asians were less likely than their non-Asian peers to be obese and overweight, which may have potentially muted the beneficial impact of exercise. Future studies among Asian populations should explore the relationship between exercise and colon polyps. The benefits of exercise were also not observed among Whites. This is possibly due to the small sample size of White subjects in our study.

A limitation of this study is the absence of specific data on exercise type and level of intensity, which makes it difficult to make a specific recommendation on how people should exercise to reduce their risk for colon cancer. We can say that regular weekly exercise for at least one hour confers increased protection against colorectal polyps and adenomas. Another limitation is possible misinformation obtained from patients through translators. The term “exercise” may not translate well in specific languages and may explain why Asians disproportionately reported no exercise. The majority of our Asian subjects were of Chinese descent, speaking predominantly Mandarin or Cantonese dialects. We used medical translators provided by our medical institution, so this bias should be minimal.

Conclusions
Our study indicates that an active lifestyle, as defined by at least one hour of exercise per week, is associated with a lower risk of colonic neoplasia. Exercise provides benefits among at-risk groups such as Blacks, and it also provides significant protection to overweight and obese people. To our knowledge, this is the first study to observe the protective nature of exercise on colorectal neoplasia within a multiethnic cohort. Further evaluation is warranted to define the molecular aspects of physical exercise on colorectal oncogenesis. Public health initiatives should incorporate promotion of physical activity as a cancer prevention tool in multiethnic ethnic groups.
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