Effect of Hydraulic Retention Time on Biogas Production from Cow Dung in A Semi Continuous Anaerobic Digester
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ABSTRACT. The efficiency of biogas production in semi-continuous anaerobic digester is influenced by several factors, among other is loading rate. This research aimed at determining the effect of hydraulic retention time (HRT) on the biogas yield. Experiment was conducted using lab scale self-designed anaerobic digester of 50-L capacity with substrate of a mixture of fresh cow dung and water at a ratio of 1:1. Experiment was run with substrate initial amount of 25 L and five treatment variations of HRT, namely 1.51 gVS/L/d (P1), 2.47 gVS/L/d (P2), 3.82 gVS/L/d (P3), 5.35 gVS/L/d (P4) and 6.67 gVS/L/d (P5). Digestor performance including pH, temperature, and biogas yield was measured every day. After stable condition was achieved, biogas composition was analyzed using a gas chromatograph. A 10-day moving average analysis of biogas production was performed to compare biogas yield of each treatment. Results showed that digesters run quite well with average pH of 6.8-7.0 and average daily temperature 28.7-29.1. The best biogas productivity (77.32 L/kg VSremoved) was found in P1 treatment (organic loading rate of 1.31 g/L/d) with biogas yield of 7.23 L/d. With methane content of 57.23% treatment P1 also produce the highest methane yield. Biogas production showed a stable rate after the day of 44. Modified Gompertz kinetic equation is suitable to model daily biogas yield as a function of digestion time.
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1. Introduction

Along with economic growth, the energy need of Indonesia also increases. During period of 2000-2015, the final energy consumption in Indonesia (including biomass) grew from 777.9 million to 1,040.7 million of barrel oil equivalent with an average annual growth of 2.65% (MEMR, 2016). The growth is projected to increase into 4.3% under base scenario or into 5.1% under high scenario (Sugiyono et al., 2016). Primary energy supply in Indonesia was dominated by fossil fuels with a total of 75.35% including oil (31.49%), coal (24.28%), gas (19.04%) (MEMR, 2016).

On the other side, Indonesia is bestowed with enormous sources of biomass that can be explored as renewable energy. Biomass resource has a potential of 32,654 MWe, but only 1,626 MW (off grid) and 91.1 MW (on grid) have been developed so far. Important biomass sources include a great number of solid and liquid wastes from agricultural, agro-industry, and livestock activities. Livestock in Indonesia is increasingly growing and by 2016 the number was: dairy cattle (534,000), beef cattle (16,093,000), buffalo (1,386,000), goat (19,608,000), sheep (18,066,000), pig (8,114,000), horse (438,000), duck (45,321,960), native chicken (298,673,000), layer chiken (162,051,000), and broiler chicken (1,592,669,000) (Ditjenak, 2016). Dung or feces excreted from livestocks can be utilized to produce biogas through anaerobic digestion process.

Anaerobic digestion is a promising way to convert agriculture waste into energy (biogas) especially in developing countries. Regarding socio-economic features of villagers in less developed countries, the biogas is the right option in meeting both energy and environmental problems (Kabir et al., 2013).

Biogas not only alleviates energy shortage in rural areas but also effectively reduces the environmental risk associated with agricultural waste management (Song et al., 2014). Various appliances can be fuelled by biogas with cooking offering an application suitable for deployment in developing countries. Other applications include the use of biogas as fuel for heating, lighting or power generation. In addition, slurry digestate can be utilized as good compost.

Small scale biogas installations systems allow energy generation on site, thereby eliminating the energy need for intensive transportation (Naik et al., 2014). Small-scale biogas plants with no agitation and heating devices are most feasible because of convenience in management.
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and maintenance (Song et al., 2014). In addition, the operation and maintenance of household bio-digesters are easier, and their environmental and economic performances are superior compared to those of medium and large scale. Household biogas digesters are suitable for underdeveloped regions where the rural residents live far apart from each other (Song et al., 2014). Under correct and proper construction of the feeding process, small scale biogas digester is able to supply sufficient energy to the people but also provide digestate that can be used efficiently as fertilizer on the farm, replacing chemical nitrogen and phosphorus (Luo et al., 2016). Recently Haryanto et al. (2017) reported that a family scale biogas digester with five cows potentially provides annual economic benefit of 111.6 USD and reduce greenhouse gas emission by 2674.8 kg CO₂ equivalent. Family size biogas technologies play a significant role to fulfill energy need in some countries such as China (Chen et al., 2014), India (Singh & Sooch, 2004), Nepal (Barnhart, 2014), Bangladesh (Khan et al., 2014), and Vietnam (Nguyen, 2011).

Anaerobic digesters can be classified in mainly three types based on the feeding strategy, namely batch, semi-continuous and continuous modes. In semi-continuous system, the digester is periodically loaded with substrate according to a specific rate (Aboudi et al., 2015). Most family scale biogas digesters developed in Indonesia use cowdung as substrate with semi continuous loading mode. This is caused by a fact that cowdung is excreted intermittently in such a limited amount. The quantity of manure excreted by an adult cow is 5.8% (wet basis) of its weight (ASAE, 2003). Normally, adult cows will defecate up to 16 times and urinate up to nine times a day (Aland et al., 2002). Therefore, the cowdung has to be collected first prior to its introduction into the biogas digester.

Biogas digester performance is affected by many factors, among others are microbial population, acidity (pH), carbon-to-nitrogen mass ratio (C/N ratio), operating temperature, substrate particle size, organic loading rate, hydraulic retention time, total solids content, and reactor configuration (batch or continuous, single or two stage) (Naik et al., 2014). The process tends to fail if one or more of the environmental factors changes suddenly. Loading rate is a very important factor because it affects the stability of the anaerobic digestion and the biogas production rates. Because volatile solids represent the portion of organic-material of solids that can be digested, then organic loading rate (OLR) indicates the amount of volatile solids to be fed into the digester each day (Babaei & Shayeghan, 2011). Optimum organic loading rate is required to maximize biogas production; otherwise the productivity will be low. The purpose of this study was to investigate the effect of loading rate on the biogas yield produced from cow dung using semi continuous digester. The suitability of modified Gompertz kinetic equation is also discussed. Implication of the results is important in designing family scale cowdung biogas digesters.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Digester configuration

Biogas production was carried out using lab scale self-designed 36-L semi continuous anaerobic digester. Five digesters each of 25 litres working volume were set up for this experiment. The digester vessels were made of two 5-gallon transparent plastic drinking water containers as depicted in Figure 1. The two containers were cut at their bottom and then combined by using fiber resin and let it to dry for 24 hours.

![Fig. 1 Lab scale self-designed 36-L semi continuous digester](image)

2.2. Feedstock

Substrate used to produce biogas was fresh cowdung collected from the Department of Animal Husbandry, the University of Lampung. In order to have a maximum biogas yield, fresh cow dung was diluted with tap water at a ratio of 1:1 (Abubakar & Ismail, 2012; Ituen et al., 2007). Fresh cow dung was analyzed for its moisture content, total solid (TS), volatile solid (VS), ash, carbon (C), and nitrogen (N) content. Same analysis for TS and VS was also performed for spent slurry. Table 1 presents results of the analysis.

2.3. Treatment

Initially, 25 L of the diluted cowdung was loaded into the digester. The digester was refilled at five different loading rates as presented in Table 2. The table also provides the respected organic loading rate (OLR) and hydraulic residence time (HRT).

Table 1
Characteristic of fresh cow dungs.

| Characteristic          | Average Value |
|-------------------------|---------------|
| Water content (% wb)    | 80.12         |
| Total solid, TS (% wb)  | 19.88         |
| Ash (%TS)               | 30.58         |
| Volatile solid, VS (% TS)| 69.42      |
| C                       | 39.87         |
| N                       | 1.42          |
| C/N ratio               | 28.1          |

Table 2
Loading rate variations and their corresponding OLR and HRT

| Treatment          | P1   | P2   | P3   | P4   | P5   |
|--------------------|------|------|------|------|------|
| TS (%)             | 9.19 | 9.10 | 9.21 | 9.10 | 9.16 |
| VS (%TS)           | 71.38| 70.44| 69.07| 73.45| 72.53|
| Loading Rate       | 0.5  | 1.0  | 1.5  | 2.0  | 2.5  |
| (L/day)            |      |      |      |      |      |
| OLR (g/L/d)        | 1.31 | 2.47 | 3.82 | 5.35 | 6.67 |
| HRT (d)            | 50.0 | 25.0 | 16.7 | 12.5 | 10.0 |
2.3. Analysis and Calculation

The moisture content of samples was obtained by sun drying followed by oven-drying (Memmert type UM 500) at 105°C for 24 hours. Volatile solid was determined by burning the sample in a muffle furnace (Barnstead International model FB1310M-33) at 550°C for at least two hours. Sample was also sent to Soil Science Lab. to determine C and N content.

Important processing parameters include pH, temperature (digester and ambient), and biogas production ($P$). The pH was measured daily for fresh (inlet) and spent (outlet) substrate using portable digital pH-meter (PHMETER, PH-009(I)). Digester efficiency was evaluated from VS removal (VSr), that is part of VS removed during anaerobic digestion process and is calculated based on Koch (2015):

$$VSr(\%) = \frac{1 - \frac{VS_{\text{out}}(1 - VS_{\text{in}})}{VS_{\text{in}}(1 - VS_{\text{out}})}}{100} \quad (1)$$

Ambient as well as digester temperatures were monitored daily three times (morning, noon, and afternoon) using digital thermocouple (Digi Sense, Cole Parmer, model No. 93410-00) equipped with K-type wire. Biogas production was measured daily using water displacement method. Observation was recorded for 55 days, by which time the digestion process was expected to already stable and the digesters were operating in practically steady conditions. After stable condition was achieved, biogas composition was analyzed using gas chromatography (Shimadzu GC 2014) with thermal conductivity detector (TCD) and 4 m length of shin-carbon column. Helium gas was used as carrier gas with flow rate 40 ml/min. Biogas yield ($Y$) was calculated from biogas production ($P$) at stable condition by using:

$$Y = P 	imes VSr$$

$$Y = \frac{P \times VSr}{(LgVSr)} \quad (2)$$

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Process temperature and pH

Figure 2 showed average daily temperatures of the five treatments as well as the ambient temperature averaged over 53 days observation. It was revealed that the temperature was almost same among the five treatments (ranging from 28.7 to 29.1 °C). This meant that the digester working at mesophilic zone. Based on working temperature, anaerobic digestion process is classified into psychrophilic (10–20 °C), mesophilic (20–40 °C), and thermophilic (40–60 °C) (Salam et al., 2015; de Mes et al., 2003). Digester temperatures, however, were slightly higher than the ambient. This was caused by a fact that some processes during anaerobic digestion are classified as endothermic, and the others are exothermic. But overall, anaerobic digestion process is very slightly exothermic reaction that producing heat (de Mes et al., 2003). However, the digesters or reactors were quite small with no such insulation that the heat produced during digestion process was easily transferred to the environment. As a consequence, the temperature of the digesters was just little higher than or in balance with environment or ambient temperature. Daily digester temperatures were affected by ambient temperature. As seen in Figure 3, daily digester and ambient temperatures were presented separately for morning, noon, and afternoon. The digester temperatures were low during morning time and then increased during noon and afternoon. The same pattern was observed for ambient air.

![Average daily digester temperature for different treatment and ambient air temperature.](image)

Figure 4 showed daily pH values of the five different treatments for 55 days observation. It can be seen that the average pH values were slightly differ, between 6.8–7.0 with the maximum values between 7.5–7.8 and the minimum values of 5.8–6.4.

Biogas is produced during biological process involving a group of bacteria working in an anaerobic condition. The interaction of several factors affects the performance of biogas process. Temperature and pH are among the important factors. The bacteria optimally work at a certain pH range. Methanogenic bacteria work effectively at the pH range of 6.5 to 8. Hydrolysis and acidogenesis stages optimally go on at a pH range between 5.5 and 6.5 (Sibiya et al., 2014). Anaerobic degradation processes meet the requirement for both activities and cell growth of anaerobic microorganisms at the acidity of 5.5–8.5 (Abbasi et al., 2012).

Stafford (1982) reported the effects of pH upon methane production from anaerobic digestion of dairy cattle manure maintained at pH levels of 7.6, 7.0, 6.0, 5.5, and 5.0. Active digestion was achieved at all pH levels except for pH 5.0. Biogas and methane production was highest at pH of 7.0. In our experiment, the pH value of fresh substrate was in the range of 6.5 – 7.7. The pH was observed changing overtime but the value was close to the initial. This implied that the system was well buffered. Although there were some decreases in the pH, but overall, the values were still in the good range for biogas process. The values also indicated that biogas process was in good condition for all treatments.

3.2. VS removal

Anaerobic digestion process involves consortium of microorganisms that make use organic components within the substrate as building blocks. Therefore, efficiency of an anaerobic digestion system can be evaluated from the value of VS removal, which is a measure of anaerobic digestion system ability in decomposing organic material. For the purpose of evaluating the effect of organic loading rate on the process efficiency, VS reduction and biogas yield were both taken into account as the indicators to assess the reactor performance and efficiency of each loading rate.
Fig. 3 Daily temperatures of the digesters and ambient (separated from morning, noon, and afternoon)
Figure 5 showed the relationship of organic loading rate and VS removal. Elevating loading rate has resulted in slightly increase of VS removal. But at an organic loading rate of higher than 5.35 g VS/L/d, there was a tendency of VS removal to decrease. The digester efficiency (in term of VS removal) was almost same in the range of 42.1% to 46.4%. Babaee & Shayegan (2011) reported that at an OLR range of 1.4-2.75 gVS/L/d, VS removal decrease with increasing OLR. On the other hand, Gomez (2010) reported at an OLR range of 3.4-5.0 gVS/L/d, an increase in organic matter biodegradation was observed with increasing the OLR.

3.3. Biogas Yield

By using a 10-day moving average, the daily biogas yield is presented in Figure 6. In Figure 6, we can differentiate three regions, namely acclimatization or start up, transition, and stable periods. The figure shows that acclimatization period goes on for 11 days.

Fig. 4 Daily pH values of the anaerobic digestion process (small figure in the centre is average pH value during 53-day measurements)

Fig. 5 Effect of loading rate on VS removal

Fig. 6 The growth of daily biogas yield using 10-days moving average (solid circles represent the day where biogas can be burnt)
During this period, biogas production is low and almost constant at an average less than one litre a day. Pandey et al. (2013) noted that start up period takes place 1–3 weeks. Main problem during this period is creating a balanced population, characterized by low biogas yield.

The transition period started at day 11 and finished at around day 37 at which the stable period starts. It is revealed that during transition period the biogas yield gradually increases from around 0.6-0.9 to around 5-7 L/d. After stable period was achieved, biogas yield was stable at around 6 to 7 L/d. Again, treatment P1 with OLR of 1.33 g VS/L/d showed the best and gave the highest biogas yield with average of 7.23 L/d. In addition to biogas production measurement, the biogas was burnt to simply check if the biogas contains enough methane. It was observed that for the first three weeks the biogas could not be combusted, implying that the methane content was still low. In the figure, we indicated the day at which the biogas was able to be burnt and produce blue flame, namely day 22 for P2 and P3, day 25 (P4), day 26 (P5), and day 27 (P1).

Figure 7 shows cumulative biogas production resulted from different treatments. The figure reveals that treatment P1 with OLR of 1.33 g VS/L/d give the highest cumulative biogas production 194.4 L during 53 days of consecutive measurement. Based on these date, we have calculated the biogas yield and biogas productivity. The results are presented in Figure 7. Both biogas yield and biogas productivity tend to decrease with increase in OLR.

![Fig. 7 Cumulative biogas production.](image)

It is obvious that loading rate influences not only the biogas yield but also biogas productivity. Previously we have showed that the increase in loading rate has resulted in the increase of VS removal (Figure 4). In contrast, Figure 8 shows that by increasing loading rate the biogas yield as well as biogas productivity decreased. This can be understood because the higher the loading rate (meaning the shorter HRT) the process becomes uncomplete so that the biogas production is also low.

This also implies that the decomposition process was not completed yet. Figure 8 also further strengthens the conclusion that treatment P1 with organic loading rate of 1.31 g VSL/d is the best for biogas production with biogas productivity of 77.32 L/kg VS removal. Jha et al. (2012) reported higher productivity (358 LCH/kgVS removal). This means that there is a challenge to improve the biogas productivity, for instance by applying agitation or other mechanical treatments.

![Fig. 8 Effect of organic loading rate on daily biogas yield and biogas productivity](image)

### 3.4 Biogas Kinetic

From Figure 7 it is revealed that relationship of daily biogas yield and digestion time resembles a sigmoidal curve. One of kinetic model that is suitable to describe this is modified Gompertz kinetic equation. This model describes a sigmoidal growth curve contained three unknown mathematical parameters (Syaichurrozi et al., 2013) and is recently used by many researchers to describe a cumulative biogas yield from a batch system as a function of digestion time, for example Budiyono et al. (2010), Yusuf et al., 2011), and Syaichurrozi et al., 2013). For the case of our work (with a semi continuous digester), modified Gompertz kinetic equation is presented as:

\[
B(t) = B \exp \left[ - \exp \left( \frac{R_b \cdot e^{\lambda - t}}{B} + 1 \right) \right]
\]

where \(B(t)\) is daily biogas yield (L) at any time \(t\), \(B\) is biogas production potential (L), \(R_b\) is maximum biogas production rate (L/d), and \(\lambda\) is lag phase (d), which is the minimum time for the system to produce biogas. Constants \(B\), \(R_b\) and \(\lambda\) were determined using the nonlinear regression approach with the aid of the solver function of the MS Excel ToolPak (Yusuf et al., 2011).

Table 3 shows mathematical parameters of modified Gompertz kinetic equation resulted from our work. The normalized error is in the range of 0.06 (6%) to 0.10 (10%), meaning that the modified Gompertz kinetic model is adequately suitable to describe the daily biogas.
yield as a function of digestion time. Based on this model, the highest value daily biogas production potential (B) of 9.85 L is achieved at a loading rate of 2.5 L/d with maximum production rate of 0.18 L/d and lag time of 6.44 days. Figure 9 presents the suitability of modified Gompertz kinetic equation for daily biogas yield produced from semi continuous digester of two loading rates, namely 1.0 L/d and 2.5 L/d.

Table 3
Gompertz kinetic parameters of semi continuous cowdung digester

| Loading Rate (L/d) | B (L) | R_b (L/d) | λ (d) | Normalized Error |
|--------------------|-------|-----------|-------|------------------|
| 0.5                | 7.63  | 0.33      | 11.99 | 0.0583           |
| 1.0                | 9.22  | 0.17      | 5.66  | 0.0697           |
| 1.5                | 7.27  | 0.29      | 14.46 | 0.0864           |
| 2.0                | 4.65  | 0.16      | 3.34  | 0.1025           |
| 2.5                | 9.85  | 0.18      | 6.48  | 0.0221           |

Fig. 9 Comparison of daily biogas yield: observation vs. prediction using Gompertz kinetic model.

3.4. Biogas Composition

Biogas is generally used as fuel. Therefore, its value is determined by combustible components, i.e. methane. Good quality biogas mainly consists of methane at around 55-70% followed by carbon dioxide around 30-45%. Table 4 presents the composition of biogas. It was revealed that the biogas comprised of fairly high methane content (41.73 to 57.23%) so that it was well burnt and can be used for fuel. The table shows that methane content decreases by increasing loading rate with the highest value (57.23%) is given by biogas collected from digester with OLR of 1.33 g VS/L/d. Increasing loading rate means shortening HRT that leading to incomplete decomposition of organic matter.

Table 4
Biogas composition.

| Composition | P1 (%) | P2 (%) | P3 (%) | P4 (%) | P5 (%) |
|-------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| CH_4        | 57.23  | 50.53  | 49.82  | 51.80  | 41.73  |
| CO_2        | 31.13  | 32.91  | 40.43  | 35.82  | 39.04  |
| N_2         | 11.54  | 16.56  | 9.75   | 12.38  | 19.23  |

3.5. Reflection to Family Digester

Our previous work reported that family scale cowdung biogas digester with a capacity of 3.5 to 6.0 m³ was fed with loading rate of 80 to 150 L/d that resulted in hydraulic retention time of 32 to 40 days. As presented in Table 5, we have calculated that the digesters have organic loading rate between 1.2 to 1.62 g VS/L/d which is very closed with our best condition. This means that the family digesters in the field were fed with a very good loading rate. This also implies that digesters were installed with good design so that the size complies with feedstock availability.

Table 5
Characteristic of family scale cow dung biogas digester

| Digester | A | B | C | D |
|----------|---|---|---|---|
| Digestor type | Plastic | Plastic | Fixed | Fixed |
| Digestor capacity (L) | 3500 | 4700 | 6000 | 6000 |
| Working volume (L) | 2800 | 3760 | 4800 | 4800 |
| Loading rate (L/d) | 80 | 80 | 150 | 120 |
| HRT (d) | 35 | 47 | 32 | 40 |
| VS content (%) | 5.66 | 5.27 | 5.49 | 6.28 |
| OLR (g VS/L/d) | 1.617 | 1.121 | 1.373 | 1.256 |

Source: Adapted from Haryanto et al. (2017)
*) working volume was assumed as 80% of digester capacity.

4. Conclusions

Loading rate influenced biogas yield and biogas productivity from cowdung in semi continuous digester. Increasing loading rate resulted in the decrease in both biogas production and biogas yield. Organic loading rate of 1.31 g VS/L/day gave the highest biogas yield (average 7.32 L/day) and biogas productivity (77.32 L/kg VS removal). The biogas produced from cow dung using semi continuous digester contained fairly good methane content up to average 57.23%. Digester efficiency, in term of VS removal, was almost same for all treatments and was in the range of 42.1% and 46.4%. Daily biogas yield as a function of digestion time can be adequately represented by modified Gompertz kinetic model.
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