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Abstract: This paper focuses on bolt connections on Laminated Veneer Lumber (LVL) Sengon. Series of connection tests were conducted to evaluate 3.5d, 5d, and 7d end distances and 3d, 4d, and 5d bolt spacings, where d is a 10 mm bolt diameter. All connections were double-shear model (steel-LVL-steel). The results showed that all variations of end distance failed in ductile manner especially the connections with end distances of 5d and 7d. Load carrying capacity of the connections with end distances of 5d and 7d were about the same. For single bolt connections, those with 5d bolt spacing had the highest ratio of load carrying capacity. Only the two-bolt connections with 5d bolt spacing gave the ratio of load carrying capacity higher than that of prediction. These findings therefore suggests that minimum end distance of 5d and minimum bolt spacing of 5d are recommended to be adopted in LVL Sengon bolted connections.
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Introduction

Laminated Veneer Lumber (LVL) Sengon (Paraserianthes falacataria) has recently come to Indonesian markets as one of new emerging and green building materials. It is one type of engineered wood products and it is produced by gluing veneers of Sengon timber under 0.6-0.7 MPa pressure and 105-110 °C temperature [1]. It is more homogenous and has better mechanical properties compared to solid Sengon timber. A series of works to develop design guideline has been conducted by the first author since a couple years ago to further utilize this LVL Sengon in practical applications [2,3].

One of the most important element and the weakest link of timber structures is connection. Among several types of timber connections available, dowel-type connections, using bolt or nails, or wooden dowels are the most common in practice. Many timber researchers and engineers have devoted their works to investigate various issues about dowel-type connections: load carrying capacity [4-7]; cyclic and dynamic performances [8,9]; and strengthening [10-12]. According to European Yield Model (EYM) developed by Johansen [4], load carrying capacity of timber connection is governed by bending strength of the bolt, bearing properties of the timber member, and connection geometry. EYM was developed based on a connection model having one steel dowel; therefore, EYM does not consider load carrying capacity of the connection failed due to wood row-tear-out or group-tear-out that commonly found in multiple-bolt connections.

According to the Indonesian Timber Construction Standard, connectors such as bolts should be placed with the minimum end distance of 5d (hardwood species) and minimum bolt spacing of 4d where d is the bolt diameter. Thus, it is interesting to evaluate whether this requirement, which is generally accepted for solid timber, is also applicable to LVL members or has to be modified. This paper is aimed to determine minimum end distance and bolt spacing when LVL Sengon is used so that evaluation of load carrying capacity given by EYM is still applicable.

Dowel-type Timber Connections

Dowel-type connection covers bolts, dowel, and nail fasteners. This connection transfers the applied load through a combination of bearing resistance of wood fibers beneath the fastener and bending resistance of the dowel. Formulation of load carrying capacity of timber joint has been proposed few decades ago by Johansen [4], later known as EYM, which is very straightforward though it underestimates the actual load carrying capacity. EYM formulation of load...
carrying capacity of dowel-type timber connections can be found easily in many text books and design standards. In the case of double-shear joints such as steel-wood-steel, possible load carrying capacities of the connection (Z) along with its failure modes are summarized in Table 1, where \( F_c \) is the dowel bearing strength of timber, \( t \) the wood member thickness, \( d \) and \( M_{by} \) are diameter and bending yield moment of bolt, respectively.

**Table 1.** Possible Failure Modes and Its Load Carrying Capacity of Steel-Wood-Steel Bolted Joints

| Failure mode | \( Z \) | \( F_{td} \) | \( \sqrt{2M_{by}F_{td}} \) |
|--------------|--------|----------|------------------|

Several years after EYM was adopted, numerical solution by means of finite element analysis (FEA) based on theory of beam on elastic foundation was proposed to evaluate load carrying capacity of timber joints [5, 13]. This second method somehow is more elegant compared to EYM as it provides information about load-slip curve of the joint so that both load carrying capacity and load-slip modulus of the connection will be attained at the same time. This method utilizes analytical solution of beam on elastic foundation incooperated with the general procedure of FE analysis instead of standard polynomial functions.

Those two above mentioned formulations given in Table 1 are valid only when early splitting of timber or row shear and group-tear-out of a line of fasteners is prevented by providing sufficient end distance and bolt spacing (see Fig. 1). In multiple bolt connection, uneven load distribution among the fasteners due to various aspects such as imperfection of lead-hole fabrication, non-homogenous and orthotropic timber properties, group action factor will apply. This informs that the load carrying capacity of connection consists of multiple bolts is less than the product of load carrying capacity of single bolt and the number of bolts. Jorissen [6] proposed Equation 1 to evaluate effective number of fasteners \( n_{ef} \) when more than one fastener are placed in one line with fastener spacing \( a \).

\[
n_{ef} = n^{0.9} \sqrt[13]{\frac{a_1}{d}} \tag{1}
\]

where \( n \) is number of fastener, and \( d \) is fastener diameter.

**Figure 1.** Possible Failure Modes of Bolted Timber Joints: a) Splitting; b) and c) Row Shear; and (d) Group-tear-out

**Figure 2.** Connection Test: (a) Test Set-up; (b) Single-bolt Connection; and (c) Two-bolt Connection

Materials and Test Methods

LVL members used in this study had a cross section of 80 mm by 120 mm, an average moisture content of 11%, and density of 316 kg/m³. Figure 2 shows a double-shear connection model where two steel plates of 5 mm thick were used as side members of the connection and are fastened using 10 mm diameter bolts. The applied load and connection slip were continuously measured until the connection load decreased by 20% of the maximum load. Two LVDT displacement transducers were deployed as shown in the connection test set-up shown in Fig. 2a to measure connection slip, which is the relative displacement between the steel plate and the LVL members.

Figures 2b and 2c show the connection models adopted to evaluate the minimum end distance and bolts spacing, respectively. In this test, three different lengths of end distance: 3.5d, 5d, and 7d, and three different lengths of bolt spacing: 3d, 4d, and 5d were examined. Altogether, there were six connection models which were grouped into: single-bolt connections and two-bolt connections. Five replicates were fabricated for each connection models. In the case of the two-bolt connection models, end distance of the connections was decided based on evaluation of the minimum end distance through single-bolt connection tests. Bolt hole in the LVL member and steel plates were the same and it was equal to bolt diameter plus 1 mm clearance.

In addition to this connection test, evaluation of dowel bearing strength of LVL members and
bending yield strength of the bolts were conducted in accordance to ASTM D5764 [14] and ASTM F1575-03 [15], respectively. In these tests, eight dowel bearing strength specimens and three bolt specimens were prepared.

Results and Discussions

Under bending test, load-deflection curve of the bolt specimens indicated large ductility index and clear strain hardening after reaching the proportional limit load. Bending yield moment was obtained by means of 5% bolt diameter offset method [14] and it was found equal to 65.73 kN.m. While the average dowel bearing strength of LVL members was 20.07 MPa (Maximum 20.35 MPa, Minimum 19.79 MPa). Figure 3 and 4 shows the test results of bending test of bolts and dowel bearing strength of the LVL members.

![Figure 3 Bending Test Results of the Bolts](image)

![Figure 4 Dowel Bearing Strength of LVL Members](image)

Load-slip curves obtained from the experiment for single-bolt connections are presented in Figures 5a, 5b, 5c, respectively, for three different lengths of end distances: 3.5d, 5d, and 7d. Within each load-slip curve, connection yield load and ductility index are defined as follows: Yield load is the load at the intersection point between the curve and an offset line having a slope equals to the initial slope of the curve but this line is shifted by a slip of 5% of bolt diameter as shown in Figure 6 [16,17]; Ductility index is defined as ratio between the slip at load decreased by 20% from its maximum load (or at the ultimate load when the curve never reaches 20% load decrease after its maximum load) and the slip at yield load. Yield load and ductility index of all single-bolt connections are summarized in Table 2 where it is well observed that single-bolt connections with end distance of 3.5d gave the lowest, for both yield load and ductility index. This finding suggests that end distance of 5d would be acceptable as the minimum end distance of LVL bolted connection.

![Figure 5 Load-slip Curves of Single-bolt Connection for Three Different Lengths of End Distance and EYM Load Carrying Capacity](image)
Figure 6. Definition of Yield Load and Ductility Index [16-17]

Table 2. Yield Load and Ductility Index of the Single-bolt Connection

| End distance | Yield load (kN) | Ductility index |
|--------------|----------------|----------------|
| 3.5d         | 17.12          | 4.84           |
| 5d           | 18.64          | 7.58           |
| 7d           | 19.30          | 9.26           |

Figure 5 shows both experimental results and EYM prediction calculated based on a set of equation given in Table 1. As widely accepted, connection yield load given by EYM is lower than that of the experiment, in this case the ratio is 0.53, 0.55, and 0.60, respectively, for single-bolt connections with an end distance of 3.5d, 5d, and 7d. This is substantially due to frictional resistance developed between interfaces of the connection members as bolt starts to bend. Unfortunately, connection evaluation using FEA based on beam on elastic theory was not conducted since information about load-embedment relationship of the steel plate into LVL member due to bolt's axial force is not available.

Figure 7 shows the load-slip curve of the two-bolt connection specimens for three different bolt spacing: 3d; 4d; and 5d having an equal end distances, which is 5d. Again, these load-slip curves are analyzed to obtain their connection yield loads and ductility indexes as can be seen in Table 3, where it is well observed that ductility index of the two-bolt connections is significantly lower than that of the single-bolt connections (specimens with end distance of 5d). This is because the tendency of wood failure near the bolts of the two-bolt connections is higher than that of single-bolt connections. The two-bolt connections have possible wood splitting, row shear, and group-tear out failures as well as the combination among these three failure modes, will substantially limit both connection yield load and ductility index. Photos of failure modes of the single-bolt and two-bolt connection specimens are shown in Figure 8, indicating that row shear governed the failure of both single-bolt and two-bolt connection specimens.

The yield load of two-bolt connection increases as the bolt spacing is increased from 3d to 5d as shown in Table 3. Comparing the average yield load of the two-bolt connection to the single-bolt connection having similar end distance of 5d, it is found ratios equal to 1.01, 1.30, and 1.65, respectively, for the two-bolt connection with bolt spacing of 3d, 4d, and 5d. None of the two-bolt connections gave two times yield load of the single-bolt connection. This phenomenon is anticipated in design through group action factor. For this two-bolt connection specimen, number of effective bolts given by Equation 1 is 1.29,
1.39, and 1.47, respectively, supposing that $\alpha_1$ is equaled to $3d$, $4d$, and $5d$. Therefore, bolt spacing of $5d$ should be recommended as the minimum bolt spacing since it is the only one that gives number of effective bolts of prediction (which is 1.47) lower than the ratio between yield load of single-bolt and two-bolt connection of the experiment (which is 1.65).

**Figure 8.** Typical Failure Modes of the Connections

**Table 3.** Yield Load and Ductility Index of the Two-bolt Connection

| Bolt spacing | Yield load (kN) | Ductility index |
|--------------|----------------|----------------|
| $3d$         | 18.75          | 6.83           |
| $4d$         | 23.80          | 4.33           |
| $5d$         | 30.82          | 3.73           |

**Conclusions**

This study evaluated the minimum end distance and bolt spacing for bolted connections of LVL Sengon, a new emerging and sustainable building material available in Indonesian markets recently. Single-bolt connections with three different end distances: 3.5$d$, 5$d$, and 7$d$, and two-bolt connections with three different bolt spacing: 3$d$, 4$d$, and 5$d$ were tested under double-shear connection test set-up (steel-wood-steel) where $d$, the bolt diameter, 10 mm. The test results confirmed that 5$d$ should be used as both the minimum end distance and the minimum bolt spacing. In addition, row shear predominantly governed the connection failure mode instead of wood splitting.
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