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The Role of RNA Pseudoknot Stem 1 Length in the Promotion of Efficient −1 Ribosomal Frameshifting
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The ribosomal frameshifting signal present in the genomic RNA of the coronavirus infectious bronchitis virus (IBV) contains a classic hairpin-type RNA pseudoknot that is believed to possess coaxially stacked stems of 11 bp (stem 1) and 6 bp (stem 2). We investigated the influence of stem 1 length on the frameshift process by measuring the frameshift efficiency in vitro of a series of IBV-based pseudoknots whose stem 1 length was varied from 4 to 13 bp in single base-pair increments. Efficient frameshifting depended upon the presence of a minimum of 11 bp; pseudoknots with a shorter stem 1 were either non-functional or had reduced frameshift efficiency, despite the fact that a number of them had a stem 1 with a predicted stability equal to or greater than that of the wild-type IBV pseudoknot. An upper limit for stem 1 length was not determined, but pseudoknots containing a 12 or 13 bp stem 1 were fully functional. Structure probing analysis was carried out on RNAs containing either a ten or 11 bp stem 1; these experiments confirmed that both RNAs formed pseudoknots and appeared to be indistinguishable in conformation. Thus the difference in frameshifting efficiency seen with the two structures was not simply due to an inability of the 10 bp stem 1 construct to fold into a pseudoknot. In an attempt to identify other parameters which could account for the poor functionality of the shorter stem 1-containing pseudoknots, we investigated, in the context of the 10 bp stem 1 construct, the influence on frameshifting of altering the slippery sequence-pseudoknot spacing distance, loop 2 length, and the number of G residues at the bottom of the 5’-arm of stem 1. For each parameter, it was possible to find a condition where a modest stimulation of frameshifting was observable (about twofold, from seven to a maximal 17%), but we were unable to find a situation where frameshifting approached the levels seen with 11 bp stem 1 constructs (48-57%). Furthermore, in the next smaller construct (9 bp stem 1), changing the bottom four base-pairs to G-C (the optimal base composition) only stimulated frameshifting from 3 to 6%, an efficiency about tenfold lower than seen with the 11 bp construct. Thus stem 1 length is a major factor in determining the functionality of this class of pseudoknot and this has implications for models of the frameshift process.

Introduction

The process of −1 ribosomal frameshifting on viral RNAs requires the presence of two cis-acting mRNA signals; a slippery sequence, where the ribosome changes reading frame, and a stimulatory RNA structure located immediately downstream (reviewed by Brierley, 1995; Farabaugh, 1996). In most cases, the stimulatory element takes the form of an RNA pseudoknot (Brierley et al., 1989; ten Dam et al., 1990), which is thought to function by modulation of the ribosomal elongation cycle.

Abbreviations used: ORF, open reading frame.
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The role of pseudoknots in the frameshift process was first investigated using a signal derived from the genome of the avian coronavirus infectious bronchitis virus (IBV; Brierley et al., 1989, 1991). The IBV signal (see Figure 1), which is present at the overlap of the 1a and 1b open reading frames (ORFs) of the genomic RNA, is highly efficient and instructs about 30% of ribosomes to change frame at the overlap region (Brierley et al., 1987). Computer RNA folding programs (ten Dam et al., 1990) suggest that the IBV pseudoknot is of the hairpin type (ten Dam et al., 1992), possessing coaxially stacked stems of 11 bp (stem 1) and 6 bp (stem 2) connected by single-stranded loops of 1 nt (loop 1) and 32 nt (loop 2). In earlier experiments, variants of the IBV pseudoknot containing complementary and compensatory changes within the stems and various loop mutations were tested for their ability to promote frameshifting in a rabbit reticulocyte lysate in vitro translation system (RRL; Brierley et al., 1991). The effects on frameshifting of these mutations were consistent with the predicted organisation of the IBV pseudoknot. Only those loop mutations which reduced loop length to below the functional minimum (as predicted from measurements of inter-phosphate distances using model RNA A-type helices; Pleij et al., 1985) had a detrimental effect on frameshifting and in general, the destabilisation of a single base-pair in either stem was sufficient to reduce or abolish frameshifting. Additionally, no primary sequence determinants in the stems or loops appeared to be required; as long as the predicted overall structure was maintained, frameshifting occurred efficiently.

The structure of the IBV pseudoknot is representative of those pseudoknots present at the frameshift sites of the corona-, toro- and arteriviruses that possess a long stem 1 of 11-12 bp and usually, a long loop 2 (30-164 nt). At viral frameshift sites that contain pseudoknots, a second main class is distinguishable, members of which are predicted to contain stems of 5 to 7 bp and shorter loops (ten Dam et al., 1990; Brierley, 1995). Much of the recent research on pseudoknot structure and function has concentrated on this class of pseudoknots. The solution structure of a 34 nt RNA pseudoknot VPK, a modified but functional version of the MMTV pseudoknot, has revealed that the two pseudoknot stems are not coaxially stacked, since an unpaired, intercalated A residue is present at the junction between the two stems which introduces a pronounced bend. This bent conformation appears to be essential for efficient frameshifting and may reflect a requirement for an interaction between a component of the translation apparatus and a specific pseudoknot conformation (Chen et al., 1996; Kang & Tinoco, 1997).

Despite these advances, our understanding of the molecular basis of the frameshift process is rudimentary. Nevertheless, the occurrence of two apparently distinct classes of RNA pseudoknot provides the opportunity to gain mechanistic insights from a functional comparison of the two. Unfortunately, our knowledge of the conformation of pseudoknots of the IBV class is much more limited. To date, neither NMR analyses nor secondary structure mapping with chemical and enzymatic probes have been carried out. However, a notable feature of these pseudoknots is that they are predicted to possess a stem 1 with a length equal to or greater than the predicted number of base-pairs in one turn of an A-form RNA helix (11 bp; Arnott et al., 1973), raising the possibility that frameshifting at such pseudoknots may require the maintenance of a defined stem 1 length. Here, we address this issue by measuring the frameshift efficiency in RRL of a series of IBV-related pseudoknots whose stem 1 length varied from 4 to 13 bp in single base-pair increments. We found that a stem 1 length of 11 bp or more was required for efficient frameshifting, and that shorter pseudoknots had greatly reduced or did not stimulate frameshifting. The evidence from additional mutagenesis experiments is consistent with the view that the defect in many of the shorter pseudoknots is simply one of stem 1 length rather than, for example, inappropriate stem 1 stability, loop length or sequence composition. Furthermore, direct RNA structure probing demonstrated that a 10 bp stem 1-containing construct with greatly reduced frameshifting was conformationally indistinct from a fully functional 11 bp stem-1 containing construct and that both RNAs formed pseudoknots. The functional requirement for a minimal stem 1 length in pseudoknots of the IBV class has implications for models of the frameshift process.

Results

**IBV-pseudoknot induced –1 frameshifting requires a minimum stem 1 length**

To investigate the influence of IBV pseudoknot stem 1 length on frameshift efficiency, we made a series of constructs (the KA series of plasmids) with different stem 1 lengths containing only G-C (or C-G) base-pairs. We began with a frameshifting reporter construct, pFsCass 6 (Brierley et al., 1992), which contains a fully functional, trimmed version of the IBV frameshift signal (the “minimal” IBV pseudoknot; see the legend...
to Figure 1) cloned into the influenza A/PR8/34 PB2 gene (Young et al., 1983) at a unique BglII site (Figure 1). The position of the pseudoknot in this construct is just upstream of a region of the PB2 gene where significant lengths of ORF are present in all three frames. A frameshift into any one of these frames generates a product with a characteristic size (22, 28 or 85 kDa). This property was crucial for the analysis described here, since we wished to introduce single or pairs of nucleotides into the pseudoknot, which would change the exit phase of −1 frameshifted ribosomes. The founder member of the KA series of plasmids, pKA1 (Figure 1), was derived from pFScass 6 by deletion mutagenesis (see Materials and Methods) such that the pseudoknot encoded by this plasmid would have only four G-C base-pairs in stem 1. The type and number of bases in the loops and stem 2 remained unaltered. The predicted stability of stem 1 of each construct is shown (calculated according to the rules by Turner et al., 1988, using a loop length of 8 nt; see the text).
then increased sequentially in single G·C (or C·G) base-pair steps from 4 to 12 bp and frameshifting measured by *in vitro* translation in the RRL of SP6-derived transcripts from BamHI-digested plasmids. The base composition of the stem 1 length variants, the predicted stability of each stem 1 region, and the predicted size of the frameshifting products are shown in Figure 2. *In vitro* translations of the various pKA-derived mRNAs are also shown, along with the calculated frameshifting efficiencies. As the energetics of pseudoknot formation is not fully understood, the stem 1 stability predictions, calculated according to the rules of Turner et al. (1988), were performed on the basis that stem 1 formed independently and had a loop of 8 nt. For pKA-derived plasmids, ribosomes which terminate without frameshifting synthesise a 19 kDa non-frameshifted species. Ribosomes which undergo −1 frameshifting at the slippery sequence continue translation to produce a −1 frameshift product whose size is 22, 28 or 85 kDa depending upon the exit reading frame, which is determined by the number of nucleotides introduced into stem 1. From Figure 2(b) it is clear that only certain pseudoknots are functional in frameshifting. Those with a stem 1 length of 4 to 8 bp were unable to stimulate frameshifting above the background noise (<2%) seen in RRL with pFScass-based constructs (the background polypeptides arise as a result of low-level abberant initiation events; see Brierley et al., 1992). Frameshifting at pseudoknots with stem 1 lengths of 9 and 10 bp was detectable, but occurred at a low level (3% and 7% respectively). However, when stem 1 length was increased to 11 bp, frameshifting occurred very efficiently (pKA18; 48%).

It was apparent that the lack of function of the shorter constructs was unrelated to the predicted overall stability of stem 1, since several of them (pKA7, 9, 11, 13) had stabilities equal to or greater than those predicted for stem 1 of either the wild-type (−12.4 kcal/mol) or the minimal (−16.2 kcal/mol) IBV pseudoknots. To test whether longer stem 1 constructs were also capable of promoting high efficiency frameshifting, we prepared a 12 bp stem 1 construct (pKA26) using an oligonucleotide cloning strategy (see Materials and Methods), since we were unable to add an extra stem 1 base-pair to pKA18 by mutagenesis, probably due to extensive regions of self-complementarity in the G+C-rich mutagenic oligonucleotides. In this construct, frameshifting was at a high level (40%) indicating that a 12 bp stem 1 is capable of stimulating high efficiency frameshifting. To corroborate these observations, we prepared another series of pseudoknots (the pSL series; see Figure 3) with a stem 1 length of 10, 12 or 13 bp. Like the pKA series, these constructs were based on the minimal IBV pseudoknot (pFScass 6, Figure 1), but base-pairs were removed or inserted near the top of stem 1 and the A·U content of stem 1 of each construct was much greater than the equivalent constructs of the pKA series. The results obtained from *in vitro* translation of these mRNAs closely paralleled those obtained with the G+C-rich stem 1 constructs of the pKA series. Only low level frameshifting was seen with the 10 bp stem 1 construct pSL3 (7%), but the 12 and 13 bp variants (pSL4, pSL7) stimulated frameshifting efficiently (55 and 47%, respectively). In the pSL7 translations, a small quantity of 85 kDa product was unexpectedly observed (in this construct, the −1 frameshift generates a 28 kDa protein) which corresponds to translation by ribosomes in the zero reading frame. This product may arise following suppression (at about 1% efficiency) of the UGA termination codon located immediately downstream of the slippery sequence; pseudoknot-induced termination codon suppression has been described in the production of the Gag-Pol polyprotein of the type C retroviruses (Wills et al., 1991; Feng et al., 1992). We also measured frameshifting at the intermediate constructs (pSL1, 2, 5 and 6) with an unpaired base near the top of the stem. For those constructs with a stem 1 containing 12 bp residues (pSL5 and 6), high efficiency frameshifting was observed (48%). The two constructs with fewer base-paired residues (pSL1, 10 bp; pSL2, 11 bp) showed inefficient frameshifting (10% and 7%, respectively). From these studies, it seems that efficient frameshifting, at least of the IBV-type, requires a pseudoknot with a minimal stem 1 length of 11 bp. However it was necessary to rule out several non-trivial alternative explanations for the lack of functionality of the shorter pseudoknots. These included the possible influence of slippery sequence-pseudoknot spacing distance, the length of loop 2 and the base composition at the start of stem 1.

In earlier investigations, the optimal spacing distance between the IBV slippery sequence and pseudoknot was found to be 6 nt; addition or deletion of one, two or three nucleotides to the spacer reduced frameshifting by two- and tenfold, respectively (Brierley et al., 1989, 1992). Hence it was possible that the non-functional pseudoknots of the KA series were simply inappropriately spaced. Given the large number of constructs, it was impractical to alter the spacing distance of all pseudoknots, so we concentrated on spacing variants of pKA13, which, with a 10 bp stem 1, was the closest relative of the efficient structures of pKA18 and 26. We inserted or deleted one, two or three nucleotides from the spacer region of pKA13 and tested for frameshifting. As can be seen in Figure 4, the frameshifting efficiency varied depending upon the spacer distance tested (3-9 nt), with an optimum at 8 nt. At this optimal distance, the frameshifting efficiency was 12%, about twofold higher than that seen with a 6 nt spacer, but still considerably lower than that.
seen with the 11 bp stem 1 construct, indicating that the pseudoknot is defective in some other way.

The folding of an RNA pseudoknot requires that loop 2 span the helix of stem 1. As we had altered the length of stem 1 in the pKA series, it

| Construct | pFScass 6 | pKA1 | pKA3 | pKA5 | pKA7 | pKA9 | pKA11 | pKA13 | pKA18 | pKA26 |
|-----------|----------|------|------|------|------|------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| Stem 1 length | 11bp | 4bp | 5bp | 6bp | 7bp | 8bp | 9bp | 10bp | 11bp | 12bp |
| Predicted ΔG kcal/mol (S1) | -16.2 | -4.6 | -7.1 | -10 | -12.5 | -15.4 | -17.9 | -20.4 | -23.3 | -25.8 |
| Frameshift efficiency | 46% | <2% | <2% | <2% | <2% | <2% | 3% | 7% | 48% | 40% |
| -1 frameshift product size | 28kDa | 22kDa | 28kDa | 85kDa | 22kDa | 28kDa | 28kDa | 85kDa | 22kDa | 85kDa |

Figure 2. Influence of stem 1 length on -1 ribosomal frameshifting (part I). (a) The stem 1 base composition of several members of the pKA series. Starting with pKA1, stem 1 length was increased sequentially by single G-C (or C-G) pairs up to 12 bp (pKA26). The predicted stability of stem 1 of each construct is indicated. Also shown is the size of the -1 frameshift product expected for each frameshift construct and the efficiency of frameshifting seen in RRL. All constructs possess the same loop 1, loop 2 and stem 2 sequences as in pFScass 6. (b) RRL translation products synthesised in response to mRNAs derived from BamHI-digested pKA1 and mutant derivatives. Products were labelled with [35S]methionine, separated on a SDS-15% polyacrylamide gel and detected by autoradiography. The frameshifted (22, 28 or 85 kDa) and non-frameshifted (19 kDa) species are marked with arrows. For pKA1, 3, 5, 7, 9 and 11, mRNAs were translated at two concentrations (25 μg/ml and 10 μg/ml). The other RNAs were at 20 μg/ml. RNAs derived from pFScass 5, 6 and 7 were translated to provide size markers for the three potential -1 frameshift exit frames. Plasmids pFScass 5 and 7 differ from pFScass 6 only by the addition of one (pFScass 5) or two (pFScass 6) C nucleotides downstream of the pseudoknot-encoding region. Track M was loaded with [14C]high molecular mass markers (Amersham). A control translation programmed with water was also included. The frameshift efficiencies quoted are the average of three independent measurements which varied by less than 10%, i.e. a measurement of 40% frameshift efficiency was between 36% and 44%.
was possible that the consequent change in ratio of loop length to stem 1 helix length may influence frameshifting. To investigate this we increased (by 3 nt) or decreased (by 1, 2 or 3 nt) the length of loop 2 in the context of the 10 bp stem 1-containing construct pKA13 and tested for frameshifting (Figure 4). We found that most loop 2 changes reduced frameshifting to a value of between 2 and 4%. A modest increase (from 7% to 11%) was seen with a loop 2 length of 5 nt. Thus the reduced functionality of the 10 bp stem 1 pseudoknot is not a consequence of an inappropriate loop 2 length.

The final possibility we considered was that the base-pairs present at the bottom of stem 1 in pKA13 were inappropriate. A large number of the pseudoknots involved in −1 ribosomal frameshifting have three or four G:C base-pairs at the start of stem 1, and there is a preference for G bases in the 5'-arm of the stem (ten Dam et al., 1989).

Figure 3. Influence of stem 1 length on −1 ribosomal frameshifting (part II). (a) The stem 1 base composition of members of the pSL series. Mutations were introduced into stem 1 of constructs pFScass 5, 6 or 7 such that stem 1 length was increased or decreased in single base-pair steps. The predicted stability of stem 1 of each construct is indicated. Also shown is the size of the −1 frameshift product specified by each frameshift signal and the efficiency of frameshifting seen in RRL. All constructs possess the same loop 1, loop 2 and stem 2 sequences as pFScass 6 except plasmid pSL8, a variant of pSL7 with a destabilised stem 2. (b) RRL translation products synthesised in response to mRNAs derived from BamHI-digested pSL1 and mutant derivatives. Products were labelled with [35S]methionine, separated on a SDS-15% polyacrylamide gel and detected by autoradiography. The frameshifted (22, 28 or 85 kDa) and non-frameshifted (19 kDa) species are marked with arrows. For the pSL series, mRNAs were translated at two concentrations (25 μg/ml and 12.5 μg/ml). Track M was loaded with [14C]high molecular mass markers (Amersham) and a control translation programmed with water was also included.
Indeed, a variant of the SRV-1 gag-pro pseudoknot with a G·C to C·G change at the third base-pair of stem 1 (the 5′-arm of the stem having the sequence 5′ GGCGCC 3′ rather than 5′ GGGGCC 3′) showed only 50% of the wild-type frameshift efficiency in RRL (ten Dam et al., 1995). During construction of the pKA series, base-pairs were added as G·C or C·G pairs in such a way that the predicted stability of stem 1 increased in a reasonably linear fashion as the
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**Figure 4.** Modulation of the length of loop 2 or spacer region of pKA13. (a) The length of the spacer region or loop 2 in each construct is shown in italics. (b) RRL translation products synthesised in response to mRNAs derived from BamHI-digested pKA13 and mutant derivatives. Products were labelled with [35S]methionine, separated on a SDS-15% polyacrylamide gel and detected by autoradiography. The frameshifted (22, 28 or 85 kDa) and non-frameshifted (19 kDa) species are marked with arrows.
length increased. A consequence of this was that the composition of the bottom of stem 1 varied. The general importance of base-pairs at the start of stem 1 was tested by replacing the four base-pairs at the start of stem 1 of the pKA18 pseudoknot with other combinations including A-U pairs (Figure 5). We found that the inclusion of A-U pairs reduced frameshifting, particularly when two A-U pairs were present (pKA40; 7%) or a single A-U pair was included near the bottom of stem 1 (pKA41, 18%). Replacing G-C by A-U at the fourth pair in the stem also gave a measurable reduction in frameshifting efficiency (pKA49, 35%). In contrast, a mutant derivative that contained four G-C pairs with the G bases all in the 5′-arm showed the greatest frameshifting efficiency (pKA50; 57%). To test whether the bases at the start of stem 1 of pKA13 (5′ GCCG 3′) were thus inappropriate for efficient frameshifting, we changed the bottom four pairs to those present in the functional pKA18 (5′ GCCG 3′). In the resultant construct pKA27 (see Figure 5), frameshifting did increase (from 7% to 17%), although it was still at a threefold lower level than that seen in pKA18 (48%). Nevertheless, this partial restoration of function raised the possibility that other G-rich stretches may be even more effective. For this reason we changed the base-pairs at the bottom of stem 1 of the poorly functional 9 bp stem 1-containing construct pKA11 (3%; 5′ GCCG 3′) to the optimal sequence 5′ GGGG 3′. In the resulting construct (pKA130, Figure 5), frameshifting was increased (to 6%) but remained at a very low level in comparison to the 11 bp stem 1-containing constructs. These results are consistent with the view that the defect in many of the shorter pseudoknots is primarily one of stem 1 length rather than inappropriate stem 1 stability, loop length or sequence composition at the base of the stem.

RNA structure mapping of the pseudoknots of constructs pKA13 and pKA18

Throughout the preceding section, we referred to the various RNA structures as non-functional or functional pseudoknots. Clearly it was important to confirm that the relevant RNAs indeed formed pseudoknots. As a first step towards this, we introduced a destabilizing mutation into stem 2 of the functional constructs pKA18 and pSL7 (11 and 13 bp stem 1, respectively) and tested for frameshifting (Figure 5, pKA33, 17%; Figure 3, pSL8, 8%). In each case, destabilizing stem 2 reduced frameshifting, supporting the idea that these RNAs are pseudoknotted. Such a strategy was not practical for the non-functional (or barely functional) constructs, so we determined the secondary structure of a poorly functional construct (pKA13, 7%) by chemical and enzymatic structure probing using an end-labelling procedure (van Belkum et al., 1988; Wyatt et al., 1990; Polson & Bass, 1994) in comparison with the functional pKA18 (48%). Plasmids of the pKA series have an internal T7 promoter just upstream of the frameshift signal, allowing run-off transcriptions to be prepared following linearisation with BsiNI (Figure 1). Transcripts of 130 and 132 nt were prepared from pKA13 and pKA18, respectively, end-labelled with [γ-32P]ATP, gel-purified and subjected to limited chemical and enzymatic digestion prior to analysis on denaturing 10% or 15% polyacrylamide gels. In these experiments, the Mg2+ level was kept at 2 mM (except for imidazole probing, where 10 mM Mg2+ was used) which is the approximate concentration of this ion in RRL (Jackson & Hunt, 1983), and sites of cleavage were scored only from those reactions where 80-90% of the full-length RNA remained intact. A representative selection of the individual biochemical analyses and a diagrammatic summary are shown in Figure 6. The bases are numbered from the start of the transcript. The single strand-specific enzymatic probes employed were RNase T1, which cleaves 3′ of unpaired G residues, and RNase U2, which cleaves 3′ of single-stranded A or G, with a preference for A. To probe double-stranded regions, RNase V1 was employed, which cleaves in helical regions. RNase V1 is not base-specific but cleaves RNA that is in helical conformation, whether base-paired (a minimum of 4-6 bp are required) or single-stranded and stacked. We also used the single strand-specific chemical probes imidazole (Vlassov et al., 1995) and lead acetate (Krzysziak et al., 1988; Kolchanov et al., 1996).

It is clear from the structure-probing data that both constructs are pseudoknots and indeed, they appear to be almost identical at the resolution of this methodology. Structure probing with either imidazole or lead (lanes 7-8, 13-14) generated a very similar pattern of bands clearly highlighting the major regions of single and double-stranded RNA. As predicted, the RNA folds into a structure with two stem regions joined by single-stranded loops. Both pseudoknot stems show resistance to chemical cleavage, especially the G+C-rich stem 1. Pseudoknot stem 1 appears to be remarkably stable; there was even some partial resistance to boiling in an alkaline solution as seen in the hydroxyl ladder marker lane (lane 1). The stability of stem 1 is also apparent in that the bands corresponding to (approximately) nucleotides C35 to C45 are heavily compressed into a smaller than expected region of the gel. This compression was not greatly relieved by including formamide (to 20% (v/v)) in the denaturing gels. RNase V1 cuts were especially evident in stem 1 and were also seen at the top of the 3′-arm of stem 2 (lanes 10-11). In most cases, the single strand-specific enzymes RNase T1 and U2 (lanes 4-5, 16-17) reacted as expected, with those slip-site, spacer, loop or downstream region residues predicted to be single-stranded cleaving as such. For example in pKA18, RNases T1 and U2 cleaved G and A
residues, respectively, in loop 2, but not within stems. Perhaps the only unexpected feature which emerged was the highly reactive nature of the residues at the top of the 5′-arm of stem 2 to enzymatic probes (G30, G31 and C32 in pKA13; G31, G32 and C33 in pKA18). These residues were predicted to be in double-stranded conformation, but were clearly recognised by single strand-specific reagents. The cleavage of C residues by RNases T1 and U2 was unexpected, but may be a reflection of the highly reactive nature of the adjacent residues. Most of the first arm of stem 2 was also cleaved by the chemical probes imidazole and lead acetate. On the opposite arm of stem 2, the complementary bases appeared to be much less reactive to single-stranded probes. Base G55 of pKA13 (or G57 of pKA18) was resistant to RNase T1 cleavage, despite the reactivity of its partner discussed above. Furthermore, this arm of stem 2 was largely resistant to imidazole or lead cleavage. Only the the last nucleotide of stem 2 of pKA13 (C59) or pKA18 (C61) showed sensitivity to imidazole, and such cleavage is often seen at the ends of helices. These observations are consistent with the formation of stem 2, but a determination of its precise conformation will require further study.

Figure 5. The nucleotide composition at the bottom of stem 1 influences frameshifting. (a) Base-pairs at the bottom of stem 1 of pseudoknots of pKA11, 13 and 18 were changed using site-directed mutagenesis. The parental sequences present in stem 1 are boxed and the variant sequences are shaded. Also shown is pKA33, a variant of pKA18 with a destabilised stem 2. (b) RRL translation products synthesised in response to mRNAs derived from BamHI-digested pKA11, 13, 18 and mutant derivatives. Products were labelled with [35S]methionine, separated on a SDS-15% polyacrylamide gel and detected by autoradiography. The frameshifted (22, 28 or 85 kDa) and non-frameshifted (19 kDa) species are marked with arrows.
Discussion

Here, we demonstrate that the promotion of efficient -1 ribosomal frameshifting by pseudoknots of the IBV class requires a minimum stem 1 length of 11 bp. Furthermore, our results are consistent with the view that the defect in many of the shorter pseudoknots is simply a stem 1 length deficiency rather than an inappropriate stem 1 stability, loop length or sequence composition. How do these observations fit with current models of the frameshift process? Several models have been postulated to account for the role of RNA pseudoknots (and hairpin-loops) at frameshift sites (see Farabaugh et al., 1996). The basic hypothesis is that interaction of the elongating ribosome with the pseudoknot leads to ribosome pausing, increasing the opportunity that the ribosome-bound tRNAs will slip into the -1 reading frame at the slippery sequence (Jacks et al., 1988). Pausing could be induced for example, by interaction of the pseudoknot with a component of the translation apparatus (protein or RNA) or following the failure of a ribosome-associated helicase to unwind the

Figure 6(a) (legend on page 316)
pseudoknot effectively. However, we do not know whether the pause itself is required for frameshifting or is simply a consequence of the kinds of interactions described above. Our mutagenic analysis of the base-pairs at the bottom of stem 1 of pseudoknot KA18 (11 bp stem 1) is consistent with a requirement for an initial pause upon encounter of the pseudoknot by the ribosome. Although only a limited number of pseudoknots were assayed (five constructs), there was a direct correlation between the number of G residues (as part of a G·C base-pair) present in the 5'-arm at the bottom of stem 1 and the efficiency of frameshifting observed, with an optimum at four G bases. The A·U pairs were in fact inhibitory in this region. The overall stability of stem 1, however, seemed to be less important as long as the stem was sufficiently long. Several of the shorter pseudoknots tested had stem 1 structures that were G-rich at the base, and predicted to be at least as stable overall as stem 1 of the wild-type IBV pseudoknot (e.g. pKA7, 9, 11; pSL3), yet were non-functional. This length requirement may exist for a number of reasons. In support of models in which a pseudo-
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Figure 6. Structure probing of the pKA13 and 18 frameshifting signals. RNA derived by T7 transcription of (a) pKA13/BspN1 or (b) pKA18/BspN1 was 5' end-labelled with [γ-32P]ATP and subjected to limited RNase or chemical cleavage using structure-specific probes. Sites of cleavage were identified by comparison with a ladder of bands created by limited alkaline hydrolysis of the RNA (OH−) and the position of known RNase U2 and T1 cuts, determined empirically. Products were analysed on 15% acrylamide/7 M urea gels. Data was also collected from 10% gels (gels not shown). Enzymatic structure probing was with RNases U2, V1, and T1, uniquely cleaved nucleotides were identified by their absence in untreated control lanes (0). The number of units of enzyme added to each reaction is indicated. Chemical structure probing was with imidazole (I, hours) or lead acetate (Pb2+; mM concentration in reaction). The water lane (W) in the imidazole panel represents RNA which was dissolved in water, incubated for four hours and processed in parallel with the imidazole-treated samples. R represents an aliquot of the purified RNA loaded directly onto the gel without incubation in a reaction buffer. (c) Summary of the KA18 probing results. The sensitivity of bases in the KA18 frameshift region to the various probes is shown. The size of the symbols is approximately proportional to the intensity of cleavage at that site. The lead acetate probing data, which was similar to that seen with imidazole, is omitted for clarity. The KA13 probing results are not summarised since they were essentially indistinguishable from those of KA18.

An important question to address is whether the minimal stem 1 length requirement may allow an important pseudoknot feature to be placed at a precise location in the ribosome. Alternatively, the requirement for a long stem 1 may have consequences for unwinding of the pseudoknot; it may be significant that the minimum stem 1 length corresponds to one turn of an RNA helix (Arnott et al., 1973). Computer-assisted RNA folding analysis of other frameshifter pseudoknots of the IBV class indicate that stem 1 lengths of 11-12 bp are present in the natural frameshift signals (Brierley, 1995). Sequencing studies of the frameshift region of transmissible gastroenteritis virus (TGEV; Eleouet et al., 1995) suggested a 14 bp stem 1-containing pseudoknot located 3 nt downstream of the slippery sequence, but it is more likely that the spacing distance is in fact 6 nt and stem 1 only 11 bp. Although we did not determine an upper limit for stem 1 length in this study, longer pseudoknots with up to 13 bp in stem 1 remained functional and tolerant to an unpaired residue near the top of the stem was also seen in two of the longer stem 1-containing constructs. This may also have implications in models of pseudoknot-ribosome interactions, since the ribosome would presumably encounter various features of the pseudoknot differently as the length of stem 1 increased and the stem 1 helix turned.

Here, we did not address whether a minimal stem 1 stability is required for IBV frameshifting. Previously, the often inhibitory effects of introducing stem 1 mutations in pseudoknots of the IBV class have been attributed to a straightforward destabilisation of the stem, but some of these mutations may in fact have acted by impairing a functionality normally provided by a long stem. It would be of interest to construct an A+U-rich 11 bp stem 1-containing pseudoknot with a predicted stability less than the wild-type IBV pseudoknot. However, given the requirement for a G+C-rich stem base, a mismatch would be required in the middle of the stem in order to achieve the necessary reduction in stability which would cloud interpretation of the experiment. A related experiment has been carried out using the stem-loop stimulated frameshift signal of the human immunodeficiency virus (HIV-1). Variants of the HIV-1 stem-loop were prepared in which the length of the stem was unchanged (11 bp) but the predicted stability varied by inclusion of A-U pairs and frameshifting measured in vivo (Bidou et al., 1997). As overall stem stability increased, a concomitant increase in frameshift efficiency was found up to a certain point when a plateau was reached. A comparison of the HIV-1 experiments with those presented here for IBV is difficult given the different stimulatory RNA signals and translational environments involved. However, with these restrictions in mind, the pattern seen with HIV-1 does not appear to be reflected in IBV pseudoknot-induced frameshifting. A number of IBV-based pseudoknots with stem 1 regions of identical length yet different predicted overall stabilities were found to promote similar frameshift efficiencies; for example, the 11 bp stem 1 constructs pFScass 6 (46% and −16.2 kcal/mol) and pKA18 (48% and −23.3 kcal/mol) or the 12 bp stem 1 constructs pSL4; (55% and −16.1 kcal/mol) and pKA26 (40% and −25.8 kcal/mol).

An important question to address is whether IBV pseudoknot-induced frameshifting requires a kinked pseudoknot conformation as seen with
MMTV. On the surface, the two structures are expected to be somewhat dissimilar in that the IBV pseudoknot has a requirement for a much longer stem 1 and is predicted to have coaxially stacked stems. It has been noted that the base-pair at the top of stem 1 of the wild-type IBV pseudoknot is a relatively weak U-G pair, and if this pair did not form, an unpaired G residue would be present at the stem junction that could lead to a kinked structure (Chen et al., 1996). However, an IBV pseudoknot mutant has been constructed that contains a more stable C-G pair at the top of stem 1 (pF88.40; Brierley et al., 1991). This construct was found to stimulate frameshifting even more than the wild-type structure, arguing against a role for an unpaired base at the top of stem 1. Furthermore, introduction of an A triplet into loop 1 had no effect on frameshifting (pF88.23; Brierley et al., 1991), whereas if the pseudoknot was kinked, lengthening loop 1 would be expected to reduce kinking and hence lower frameshifting. Also, several of the functional pseudoknots tested in the present study are predicted to possess stable G-C pairs at the top of stem 1. Thus the evidence to date favours the idea that the two types of pseudoknot are distinct.

Nevertheless, there may be similarities between the two in the stem 2 region. Structure probing of the ten and 11 bp stem 1-containing pseudoknots described here revealed a region in the 5'-arm of stem 2 that was hypersensitive to enzymatic probes. This hypersensitivity was not seen on RNase T1 probing of the kinked VPK pseudoknot. This hypersensitivity was not seen on stem 2 that was hypersensitive to enzymatic late, 2 mM MgCl2). Hence the reactivity could be interpreted as arising from periodic ‘‘breathing’’ of the pseudoknot-encoding region in separate mutagenesis reactions, such that the pseudoknot encoded by this plasmid would have only four G-C base-pairs in stem 1. Subsequent plasmids in the pKA series that contained 11 bp or fewer in stem 1 were prepared by site-directed mutagenesis of the next smaller pKA construct. For example, pKA5, which possesses a 6 bp stem 1 was prepared from pKA3, containing a 5 bp stem 1, by two rounds of site-directed mutagenesis to introduce an additional G-C pair. We were unable to make a 12 bp stem 1 construct by mutagenesis, probably due to extensive regions of self-complementarity in the G + C-rich mutagenic oligonucleotides. For this reason, we adopted an oligonucleotide cloning strategy. Plasmid pFScass 6 was mutated to introduce a unique Mlu I site immediately downstream of the pseudoknot-encoding region to generate pFScass9. This plasmid was digested with Bgl II and Mlu I (which removes the minimal IBV frameshift region) and ligated with four pairs of kinased, annealed oligonucleotides to produce pKA22, which contains a 12 bp stem 1 pseudoknot-encoding region. The six extra base-pairs (ACCGCG) introduced into pKA22 (at a position ten bases downstream of the 3'-end of the pseudoknot) by this cloning strategy were deleted in plasmid pKA26 to ensure the same 3'-end context. Optimal ligation conditions for efficient cloning of the oligonucleotides into the vector were with 5 ng of each of the eight oligonucleotides (average length 25 nt), 30 ng vector DNA and 1 unit T4 DNA ligase (Bethesda Research Laboratories) for 12 hours at 14°C. A second series of stem 1 length variants of the minimal IBV pseudoknot (the pSL series) were prepared by introduction or deletion of base-pairs using site-directed mutagenesis. The starting plasmids for this series were pFScass 5 and pFScass 7, which differ from pFScass 6 only in the possession of one (pFScass 5) or two (pFScass 7) additional C residues a few nucleotides downstream of the pseudoknot-forming region (Brierley et al., 1992).

Materials and Methods

Site-specific mutagenesis

Site-directed mutagenesis was carried out by a procedure by Kunkel (1985) as described (Brierley et al., 1989). Mutants were identified by dideoxy sequencing of single-stranded templates (Sanger et al., 1977). Sequencing through G + C-rich regions was facilitated by including formamide (to 20% (v/v)) in the sequencing gel and replacing dGTP with deaza-GTP in the sequencing mixes.

Construction of plasmids

The starting plasmid for these studies, pFScass 6 (Brierley et al., 1992) is a frameshift reporter construct which contains the minimal IBV frameshift region cloned into the influenza A/PR8/34 PB2 gene (Young et al., 1983) at a unique Bgl II site (position 456), a site at which significant lengths of open reading frame are present in each of the three frames downstream (Figure 1). Plasmid KAI (Figure 1) was derived from pFScass 6 by deletion of two blocks of 7 bp (TATCAGT and GCTGGTA) from the pseudoknot-encoding region in separate mutagenesis reactions, such that the pseudoknot encoded by this plasmid would have only four G-C base-pairs in stem 1. Subsequent plasmids in the pKA series that contained 11 bp or fewer in stem 1 were prepared by site-directed mutagenesis of the next smaller pKA construct. For example, pKA5, which possesses a 6 bp stem 1 was prepared from pKA3, containing a 5 bp stem 1, by two rounds of site-directed mutagenesis to introduce an additional G-C pair. We were unable to make a 12 bp stem 1 construct by mutagenesis, probably due to extensive regions of self-complementarity in the G + C-rich mutagenic oligonucleotides. For this reason, we adopted an oligonucleotide cloning strategy. Plasmid pFScass 6 was mutated to introduce a unique Mlu I site immediately downstream of the pseudoknot-encoding region to generate pFScass 9. This plasmid was digested with Bgl II and Mlu I (which removes the minimal IBV frameshift region) and ligated with four pairs of kinased, annealed oligonucleotides to produce pKA22, which contains a 12 bp stem 1 pseudoknot-encoding region. The six extra base-pairs (ACCGCG) introduced into pKA22 (at a position ten bases downstream of the 3'-end of the pseudoknot) by this cloning strategy were deleted in plasmid pKA26 to ensure the same 3'-end context. Optimal ligation conditions for efficient cloning of the oligonucleotides into the vector were with 5 ng of each of the eight oligonucleotides (average length 25 nt), 30 ng vector DNA and 1 unit T4 DNA ligase (Bethesda Research Laboratories) for 12 hours at 14°C. A second series of stem 1 length variants of the minimal IBV pseudoknot (the pSL series) were prepared by introduction or deletion of base-pairs using site-directed mutagenesis. The starting plasmids for this series were pFScass 5 and pFScass 7, which differ from pFScass 6 only in the possession of one (pFScass 5) or two (pFScass 7) additional C residues a few nucleotides downstream of the pseudoknot-forming region (Brierley et al., 1992).
In vitro transcription and translation

Plasmids for in vitro transcription were prepared as described (Brierley et al., 1989). In vitro transcription reactions employing the bacteriophage SP6 RNA polymerase were carried out essentially as described by Melton et al. (1984) and included the synthetic cap structure 7meGpppG (New England Biolabs) at 25 g end-labelled with [γ-33P]ATP using a standard dephosphorylation-rephosphorylation strategy (van Dam et al., 1987). The relative abundance of non-frameshifted or frameshifted products on the gels was determined by direct measurement of [35S]methionine incorporation using a Packard Instant Imager 2024. Frameshift efficiencies were calculated from those dilutions of RNA where translation was highly processive (RNA concentrations of 10 µg to 25 µg RNA/ml of reticulocyte lysate). The frameshift efficiencies quoted are the average of at least three independent measurements which varied by less than 10%, i.e. a measurement of 40% frameshift efficiency was between 36% and 44%. The calculations of frameshift efficiency take into account the differential methionine content of the various products (19 kDa, 11; 22 kDa and 28 kDa, 12; 85 kDa, 35).

RNA structure probing

RNAs for secondary structure probing were prepared by in vitro transcription of BstNI-cut plasmid templates using bacteriophage T7 RNA polymerase. Transcription reactions were on a 200 µl scale and contained 20 µg plasmid DNA, 2.5 mM of each rNTP and 500 units of T7 RNA polymerase (New England Biolabs) in a buffer containing 40 mM Tris (pH 8), 12 mM MgCl2, 5 mM DTT. After three hours at 37°C, 100 units of DNase I was added and the incubation continued for a further 30 minutes. Nucleic acids were harvested by extraction with phenol/chloroform (1:1) and precipitation in ethanol. DNA fragments were removed by Sephadex G-50 chromatography and the RNA transcripts concentrated by ethanol precipitation. The RNA was quantified by spectrophotometry and its integrity checked by electrophoresis on 1.5% (w/v) agarose gels containing 0.1% agarose gels according to standard procedures (Hames, 1981). The relative abundance of non-frameshifted or frameshifted products on the gels included an alkaline hydrolysis ladder of the relevant RNA as a size marker, prepared by dissolving the dried pellet from 3 µl of end-labelled RNA and 10 µg carrier tRNA, dried in a desiccator and redissolved in 10 µl of 2 M imidizole containing 40 mM NaCl and 10 mM MgCl2. After incubation at 37°C for one to four hours, the reaction was stopped by addition of 10 µl of a fresh solution of 2% lithium perchlorate in acetonitrile. The RNA was recovered by centrifugation, washed with acetone, dried, dissolved in water and prepared for gel loading as above. All structure probing gels included an alkaline hydrolysis ladder of the relevant RNA as a size marker, prepared by dissolving the dried pellet from 3 µl of end-labelled RNA and 10 µg carrier tRNA in 3 µl of 22.5 mM NaHCO3, 2.5 mM Na2CO3 and boiling for one to 2.5 minutes. After the addition of an equal volume of formamide gel loading buffer, the sample was heated to 80°C for three minutes and loaded immediately onto the gel. The structure probing was carried out on at least three independent preparations of end-labelled RNA.
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