Pain Management During Uterine Artery Embolization for Symptomatic Uterine Fibroids
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We read with interest the publication in *CardioVascular and Interventional Radiology* on results from the randomized prospective Emmy trial [1]. The Emmy trial was designed to compare uterine artery embolization (UAE) and hysterectomy as a treatment for symptomatic fibroids. From the beginning in 1995 [2] the knowledge on UAE is increasing and extensive. Most published data and acknowledged information are based on large case series. Nowadays UAE is no longer considered experimental and has definitely found its place in the whole spectra of treatment options for symptomatic uterine fibroids. One of the advantages of UAE is the significantly earlier recovery after the procedure compared to hysterectomy [3].

A structural comparison of individual pain experience between UAE and hysterectomy has never been published in a randomized trial before. Unfortunately, no conclusions can be drawn from the publication by Hehenkamp et al. with regard to pain experience in patients after UAE and hysterectomy, since the study was not designed for this purpose. Many participating centers performed only a few UAEs, without a fixed pain management protocol.

We feel that a fixed and extensive pain management protocol is crucial when performing UAE. At our center, where we perform an average of 140 UAEs per year, a dedicated pain management protocol is followed. In the Emmy trial, several analgesic approaches have been mentioned such as epidural anesthesia, opiates, nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs), paracetamol, and combinations. All analgesics used before, during, and after the procedure until discharge were recorded. Three subdivisions in terms of pain management could be extracted: paracetamol and NSAIDs only, opiates and/or NSAIDs, and epidural anesthesia. After discharge most patients were on medication such as paracetamol and/or NSAIDs. Pain after discharge was obviated and patient analgesic use recorded during 6 weeks. As already expected, patients from the hysterectomy arm had significantly higher pain scores during the first 24 hours after treatment. Average pain scores >5 during hospitalization occurred in 31% of the UAE group versus 52% in the hysterectomy arm (p = 0.012). The majority of patients in both groups needed opiates during the first 24 hours as the strongest analgesic option. Consequently, these patients had higher pain scores, except for three individuals in the UAE arm who needed epidural anesthesia because of unbearable pain despite the administration of opiates.

Many protocols have been described for UAE, varying from standard epidural anaesthesia to patient-controlled analgesia (PCA) in combination with NSAIDs and paracetamol [4]. Some authors even advocate performing UAE under general anesthesia [5]. Interpatient variations occur and pain experience as well as pain intensity is unpredictable [6]. No correlations have been detected concerning age, embolization technique, and embolization material size and type (spherical or nonspherical particles), as well as size and/or localization of uterine fibroids, although a clear and significant relation was demonstrated between...
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pain and amount of embolization material utilized (7). Even the effects and role of the psychological status of the patient is difficult to obviate. Hehenkamp et al. conclude that a fixed pain medication protocol cannot be recommended based on their results. They, however, agree that a substantial proportion of patients ends up with high average pain scores, indicating an insufficient pain control.

In our opinion an adequate and extensive pain management protocol for UAE is extremely important, for not only the periprocedural but also the postprocedural period. At our facility we started performing UAE for symptomatic uterine fibroids in 1997. Initially, we encountered many problems with peri- and postprocedural pain management. Our protocol, consisting of 10 mg morphine hydrochloride intramuscularly prior to the procedure and 10 mg morphine hydrochloride four times daily postprocedural, in combination with diclofenac, 100-mg suppository, as well as paracetamol, 1000 mg, four times daily, was not adequate to combat the sometimes extensive pain experienced by a considerable amount of patients.

In close cooperation with the Department of Gynaecology and Anaesthesiology we developed an exclusive pain management protocol tailored to UAE (Table 1). This regimen is based on the fact that proper pain management starts prior to the procedure, and not after the first pain experienced by the patient during or just after the procedure itself. At our facility the patient receives an intravenous (IV) drip infusion in the left hand when admitted to the ward. A urine bladder catheter is installed, and, although debateded by many authors, 2 g kefsol is administered IV 1 hour prior to the procedure. One hour prior to the scheduled UAE procedure the patient is transported to the recovery room and a PCA pump is connected to the IV line loaded with 100 mg dipidolor and set to a lockout time of 5 minutes. Bolus injections are restricted to 2 mg. The patient is carefully instructed on how to operate the pump in case of heavy pain. Just before the procedure the patient is preloaded with a 2-mg bolus injection. During the UAE procedure the patient is carefully monitored by an attending radiographer observing physiological status (pulse oximeter) as well as patient behavior and pain sensations. In most cases the patient will be encouraged by the attending radiographer to operate the button of the PCA pump, resulting in a 2-mg bolus injection, especially when any change in pain level is noticed.

In other words, early prevention of pain is important. After the UAE procedure the patient is transported back to the recovery room and carefully monitored before returning to the ward. The PCA pump is reset to 1-mg bolus injections. In most cases additional diclophenac, 100-mg suppository, and paracetamol, 1000-mg suppository, is needed to keep the pain under control. Accompanying dizziness and nausea can be treated with zofran, 4 mg IV. In case of insufficient response, 1.25 mg droperidol is the next step, which can be repeated a second time. Last but not least, 5 mg dexamethasone IV may be helpful. When sufficiently stabilized according to pain and nausea, the patient can return to the ward. In most cases after one night of discomfort and pain, PCA can be omitted and the patient mobilized. The urinary bladder catheter is removed and the patient can be discharged, after oral and written instructions.

We strongly believe in this aggressive pain management approach where we try not only to treat the periprocedural pain but also to anticipate any postembolization pain and discomfort.

| Patient admission | IV drip infusion and urine bladder catheter |
|--------------------|--------------------------------------------|
| Recovery room | PCA connection and instruction |
| Dipidolor, 2-mg bolus injection |
| PCA dipidolor, 2 mg/5 min |
| Angiography suite | Pulse oximeter monitoring |
| Dipidolor, 2 mg IV, during procedure |
| PCA operation by patient if needed |
| Recovery room | PCA reset to 1 mg |
| Additional: diclophenac, 100-mg suppository |
| Additional: paracetamol, 1000-mg suppository |
| Nausea: zofran, 4 mg IV |
| Unbearable pain: |
| Droperidol, 1.25 mg IV (1 or 2×) |
| Dexamethason, 5 mg IV |
| Pain stabilization completed |
| Re-admission to ward |PCA overnight |
| Diclophenac, 100 mg/paracetamol, 1000-mg suppository, 4× daily |
| Zofran, 4 mg IV, if necessary |
| Next morning | Removal of PCA, IV drip, and urine bladder catheter |
| Diclophenac, 100 mg/paracetamol, 1000-mg suppository, if necessary |
| Patient discharge | Diclophenac, 50-mg suppository, 4× daily for 1 week |
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