Variability of topsoil hydraulic conductivity along the hillslope transects delineated in four areas strongly affected by soil erosion
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Abstract: Soil hydraulic conductivities of topsoils were studied at 5 points of the hillslope transects delineated at 4 geomorphologically diverse areas, where the original soil types (Chernozem, Luvisol and two Cambisols) were due to erosion transformed into different soil units. Hydraulic conductivities of saturated soils and for a pressure head of –2 cm were measured directly in the field using a Guelph permeameter (Ks,GP) and mini disk tension infiltrometer (Ks,MSO), and in the laboratory using a multislope outflow method (Ks,MSO, Ks=-2 MSO). While Ks,GP ≈ Ks,MSO in the Chernozem and Cambisol (sandy loam) regions, and Ks,GP < Ks,MSO in the Luvisol and Cambisol (loam) regions. The Ks values obtained using different methods showed different trends along the hillslope transects. The Ks=-2 values obtained using different methods showed similar trends along the transects in the Chernozem and Luvisol regions. These trends could be explained by the position within the transects (i.e., different stages of erosion/accumulation processes). No relationships were found between the Ks=-2 values in the Cambisol regions. The pressure head at an inflection point of the a soil-water retention curve was the main parameter, which appeared to associate (negative correlation) with Ks=-2 and Ks,MSO in the Chernozem and Luvisol regions.
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INTRODUCTION

Soil erosion in sloping areas can significantly affect soil properties (e.g., Jakšík et al., 2015). Erosion and accumulation of a soil material in areas, which have been intensively used for farming for a long time, can even lead to a transformation of original soil types into highly diverse soil units (e.g., Zádorová et al., 2011a). There are several studies that documented that selected soil properties can be related to some of terrain attributes. A depth of topsoil horizon and organic carbon content are the most frequently studied soil properties (e.g., Florinsky et al., 2002; Jakšík et al., 2015; Lark and Beckett, 1998; Mayer et al., 2019; Moore et al., 1993; Penížek et al., 2016; Pennock, 2003; Romano and Palladino, 2002; Sarapatka et al., 2018; Vašát et al., 2017b; Zádorová and Penížek, 2018; Zádorová et al., 2011a, b, 2013, 2014, 2015). For instance, Zádorová et al. (2011a) identified the plan curvature as the main variable influencing the general soil mass redistribution in the study plot where it showed a significant relationship with the soil unit distribution and topsoil depth. On the other hand, the low control of slope in a general soil-mass redistribution at the plot was documented by its very low correlation with the soil unit redistribution and depth. In addition, for the same area, Jakšík et al. (2015) documented a negative correlation between the plan curvature and the soil organic carbon content. Structural and hydro-physical soil properties have been studied less frequently. For instance, Cantón et al. (2009), Jakšík et al. (2015) and Zádorová et al. (2011b) focused on a soil aggregate stability. While Cantón et al. (2009) did not find any correlation between the terrain attributes and aggregates stability, Jakšík et al. (2015) and Zádorová et al. (2011b) found a negative correlation between the WSA index and the plan curvature. Hydraulic conductivity and its relationship to terrain attributes was investigated by Centeno et al. (2020), Herbst et al. (2006), Papanicolaou et al. (2015), and Sobieraj et al. (2002). Whereas Sobieraj et al. (2002) showed no significant change in Ks as a function of topography, Centeno et al. (2020) found a positive correlation between Ks and slope. Several terrain tributes (relative elevation, slope of the catchment area, radiation angle and morphometric units such as slope elements) helped to improved predictivity of Ks using pedotransfer functions in study by Herbst et al. (2006). Papanicolaou et al. (2015) showed different patterns for different hillslopes.

Our previous study (Nikodem et al., 2021) focused on the entire soil hydraulic properties (i.e., soil water retention and soil hydraulic curves). Both soil hydraulic properties were measured on the 100-cm2 undisturbed soil samples, taken at 5 points of hillslope transects delineated at 5 diverse areas, using the multislope outflow technique (MSO). Spatial and time variability of evaluated properties were assessed using the scaling factors (related to the pressure head, α, water content, αw, and hydraulic conductivity, αc). Evaluated values were not related to the terrain attributes due to low number of sampling points. However, it was observed that at some locations (as in a Chernozem region), the spatial variability of the evaluated soil hydraulic parameters and scaling factors reflected different erosion-accumulation processes within the transects.

It has been shown among others by Gribb et al. (2004) that soil hydraulic properties evaluated directly in the field can differ from those evaluated on the undisturbed soil samples in the laboratory. The reasons are sample size (different representative volumes, which can include different pores, e.g., large gravitation pores are usually excluded when taking small-size samples), character of the experiment (e.g., dynamic infiltration experiment versus less dynamic outflow or capillary rise experiments), flow dimension (one-, two-, three-dimensional flow domain), calculation method (analytical or numerical), etc. (Gribb et al., 2004).
Therefore, our new study focused on data obtained directly in the field using the Guelph permeameter (GP) (i.e., saturated hydraulic conductivities) and the mini disk tension infiltrometer (MDI) (i.e., unsaturated hydraulic conductivities). Measurements were performed at the same time as the samples taken in our previous study (Nikodem et al., 2021) and resulting field parameters were compared with corresponding values derived from a data presented by (Nikodem et al., 2021). In this way we attempted to find out whether trends in corresponding saturated or unsaturated soil hydraulic conductivities along the hillslope transect differed or not. Our goal was to test two hypotheses. (A) We assumed that water fluxes under ponding conditions in the case of the field experiment are dominantly impacted by gravitational pores, which occurrence and character can differ at different positions of transects. Furthermore, these pores are much more unpredictable and maybe more spatially affected than character and structure of capillary pores. Therefore, trends in the saturated hydraulic conductivities within hillslope transects obtained with different techniques (MSO and GP) should differ. (B) On the other hand, we assumed that water fluxes under unsaturated conditions should be controlled by capillary forces. Therefore, trends in unsaturated hydraulic conductivities obtained with different techniques (MSO and MDI) can be similar. In addition, our study also focused on the soil physical quality and aggregate stability within each transect and their relationship to the evaluated hydraulic conductivities. The goal was to prove third hypothesis (C) that the measured hydraulic conductivities, describing infiltration capacity, should associate with the soil physical quality and stability of soil structure.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study areas, soil sampling, field and laboratory measurements

The study was performed on four of five morphologically diverse study sites, which were also explored by Nikodem et al. (2021) (Table 1). Conventional tillage has long been applied at all locations. The original soil units (Calcic Chernozem, Haplic Luvisol, and two Haplic Cambisols), because of soil erosion, changed to Regosols (steep parts), and accumulated Chernozem, accumulated Luvisol, or colluvial soils, (base slope and the tributary valley), respectively (IUSS Working Group WRB, 2015). Diverse soil conditions at different localities (e.g., topographic maps and selected terrain attributes, soil type descriptions and their distributions within the entire studied areas, distributions of soil properties as a Cw content, pH, soil texture, etc.) were also described by Jakšík et al. (2015, 2016), Peníže et al. (2016), Sagova-Mareckova et al. (2016), Vašát et al. (2014, 2015a, b, 2017a, b, c), and Zádorová et al. (2011a, b, 2013, 2014, 2015). Soils within the Chernozem area (Brumovice) are the most explored followed by soils within the Luvisol area (Vidim) and both Cambisols areas (Sedlčany and Železná).

Delineation of the sampling scheme was presented by Nikodem et al. (2021). Briefly, one representative transect (Figure 1), with the most diverse terrain attributes (elevation, slope, curvature, exposition, etc.), which caused the most variable soil properties, was delineated at each location. Five sampling points were selected at each transect, assuming that the soil at different points would be modified by the different stages of the erosion-accumulation processes (Grundwald, 2005; Miller and Schaeztl, 2015): 1. summit, 2. shoulder, 3. back-slope, 4. footslope, and 5. toeslope. The actual soil units identified in these sampling points are documented in Figure 1.

It has been documented that the soil porous systems and associated soil physical and hydraulic properties of arable soils largely vary during the year (e.g., Alletto and Coquet, 2009; Chandrasekhar et al., 2018; Jirků et al., 2013; Nikodem et al., 2021; Schwen et al., 2011a, b; Villarreal et al., 2020). Therefore, field measurements and soil sampling were performed after harvest of wheat, i.e., on the most consolidated land. Three to six GP tests were carried out at the depth of 10 cm to evaluate the field saturated hydraulic conductivity, Ks,GP. Ten to fifteen MDI tests were performed on the soil top (after removing 5 cm of the surface layer) to obtain the unsaturated hydraul ic conductivities for the pressure head of –2 cm, Ks = –2,MDI. Disturbed soil samples and three undisturbed 100-cm³ soil samples (soil core height of 5.1 cm and cross-sectional area of 19.60 cm²) per spot were taken in the surface layer (0–25 cm and 5–10 cm, respectively). Standard laboratory tests were used to obtain the oxidable organic carbon content (Cw) and particle size distribution by Nikodem et al. (2021) (Figure 2). The MDI method was used to evaluate the soil hydraulic properties (Nikodem et al., 2021).

In addition, an aggregate stability was assessed using the WSA index proposed by Nimmo and Perkins (2002). Four grams of air-dry soil aggregates of the size of 2–5 mm was sieved (sieve 0.25 mm) for 3 min in distilled water. Aggregates remaining on the sieve were next sieved in sodium hexametaphosphate until only sand particles remained on the sieve. The index of water-stable aggregates, WSA (−), (Figure 2) was then determined as:

\[
WSA = \frac{W_{ds}}{(W_{ds} + W_{dw})}
\]

where \(W_{ds}\) (M) is the weight of aggregates dispersed in dispersing solution and \(W_{dw}\) (M) is the weight of aggregates dispersed in distilled water.

Table 1. Description of study sites adopted from Nikodem et al. (2021).

| Locality       | Average annual precipitation (mm)* | Average annual temperatures (°C)* | Parent material | Original soil unit | Soil texture | Transect Length (m) | Altitude difference (m) | Sampling date | Field soil water content (g cm⁻³) | Bulk density (g cm⁻³) |
|----------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------|--------------------|--------------|---------------------|--------------------------|--------------|----------------------------------|----------------------|
| Brumovice      | 500–600                           | 9.0–10.0                        | Loess           | Calcic Chernozem   | Silt loam    | 90                  | 13                       | 15.08.2011   | 0.24 ± 0.01                     | 1.44 ± 0.04          |
| Vidim          | 550–650                           | 7.0–8.0                         | Loess           | Haplic Luvisol     | Silt loam    | 86                  | 9                        | 01.08.2012   | 0.32 ± 0.01                     | 1.43 ± 0.02          |
| Sedlčany       | 550–650                           | 7.0–8.0                         | Granodiorite and shale | Haplic Cambisols | Sandy loam   | 86                  | 12.6                     | 08.08.2012   | 0.26 ± 0.01                     | 1.40 ± 0.05          |
| Železná         | 450–550                           | 7.0–8.5                         | Shale           | Haplic Cambisols   | Loam         | 170                 | 22                       | 14.08.2013   | 0.36 ± 0.01                     | 1.44 ± 0.05          |

*Data monitored by the Czech Hydrometeorological Institute during 1961–2013 (http://portal.chmi.cz/historicka-data/pocasi/mesici-data/mesici-data-dle-z.-123-1998-Sb)
Fig. 1. Localization of studied sites, transects delineated at each location (characterized by altitude), and soil types (characterized by their diagnostic horizons to a depth of 1 m) developed at the studied points. Numbers 1, 2, 3, 4 and 5 indicate sampling points.

Finally, undisturbed 90-cm³ soil blocks (3 cm × 3 cm × 10 cm) were taken from the depth of 5–8 cm, which were used to prepare thin vertical soil sections according to the methods of Stoops (2003). The final thin section size was 1.5 cm × 2 cm. Images (Figure 3) were obtained with the OLYMPUS BX51 polarization microscope equipped with the OLYMPUS DP70 digital camera (using software Deep Focus 3.0) at a 2× magnification and a resolution of 300 dpi.
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Fig. 2. Soil texture (clay, silt and sand content) (Nikodem et al., 2021), organic carbon content \( (C_\text{org}) \) (Nikodem et al., 2021) and WSA (water stable aggregates) index within transects delimited at each location, i.e., Brumovice (Chernozem area, loess), Vidim (Luvisol area, loess), Sedlčany (Cambisol area, granodiorite and shale) and Železná (Cambisol area, shale). Numbers indicate sampling points: 1 – summit, 2 – shoulder, 3 – backslope, 4 – footslope, 5 – toeslope.

Hydraulic conductivities evaluated using the Guelph permeameter method

The Guelph permeameter was used in the field to measure the water flux under the well ponding condition. The depth of the drilled well was 10 cm, the well radius was 3 cm, and the well ponding depth was 5 cm. The standard procedure recommended in the GP manual (Soilmoisture Equipment Corp., 2012) was used to prepare the infiltration well. The well was drilled using a soil auger, then shaped with a sizing auger, and finally well brush was applied to remove the smear layer on the well sides. The tests with GP lasted at least 45 minutes. The saturated hydraulic conductivity, \( K_s,\text{GP} \) (L T\(^{-1}\)), was calculated according to Elrick et al. (1989) and Reynolds et al. (2002):

\[
K_s,\text{GP} = \frac{CQ}{2\pi H^2 + \pi a^2 C + 2\pi H/\alpha_G} \tag{2}
\]

where \( Q \) is the steady water flux (L\(^3\) T\(^{-1}\)), \( H \) is the ponding depth (L) (5 cm), \( a \) is the well radius (L) (3 cm) and \( \alpha_G \) (L\(^{-1}\)) is the constant in the Gardner equation (1958) describing relationship between the unsaturated hydraulic conductivity, \( K \) (L T\(^{-1}\)), and the pressure head, \( h \) (L):

\[
K(h) = K_s \exp(\alpha_G h) \tag{3}
\]

where \( K_s \) (L T\(^{-1}\)) is the saturated hydraulic conductivity. Values of \( \alpha_G \) characterizing soil structure were measured by Reynolds and Elrick (1991) for sand 0.36 cm\(^{-1}\), loam 0.12 cm\(^{-1}\) and clay 0.04 cm\(^{-1}\). Finally, \( C \) is the dimensionless constant calculated for \( \alpha_G = 0.12 \) cm\(^{-1}\) (loam) according to the following equation (Zhang et al., 1998):

\[
C = \frac{H/a}{2.074 + 0.093(H/a)} \tag{4}
\]
Hydraulic conductivities evaluated using the mini disk tension infiltrometer method

The mini disk tension infiltrometer with a disk radius of 2.22 cm (Meter Group, 2020) was used to measure the cumulative water infiltration under unsaturated conditions. Pressure head was always set at the value of –2 cm according to Watson and Luxmoore (1986) as limit between gravitational and capillary pores. This value of pressure head is also standardly set when the various mini disk infiltrometers are used to evaluate soil water repellency (e.g., Fér et al., 2020; Lichner et al., 2020; Sándor et al., 2021; Sepehrnia et al., 2020). The close contact between the carefully levelled soil surface and disk was ensured by a 1 mm layer of the same soil, sieved through a 2-mm sieve, as proposed by Kodešová et al. (2010, 2011). The infiltration test lasted at least 30 min. The unsaturated hydraulic conductivity, $K_h = -2 \text{MDL}_2$, for $h = h_0 = -2$ cm was calculated according to Zhang (1997). Cumulative infiltration $I$ (L) in time $t$ (T) was fitted using the following equation:

$$I = C_1 t + C_2 t^{1/2}$$

where $C_1$ (L T$^{-1}$) and $C_2$ (L T$^{-1/2}$) are the parameters related to the hydraulic conductivity $K(h_0)$ and sorptivity $S(h_0)$:

$$C_1(h_0) = A_1 K(h_0) \quad \text{and} \quad C_2(h_0) = A_2 S(h_0)$$

and where $A_1$ and $A_2$ are the dimensionless constants. The $K(h_0) = K_h = -2 \text{MDL}_2$ value was calculated using Eq. (6) and following expressions for $A_1$ constant:

$$A_1 = \frac{11.65(n^{0.1} - 1) \exp[2.92(n-1.9)a h_0]}{(a r_0)^{3.91}}$$

for $n \geq 1.9$ (7)

$$A_1 = \frac{11.65(n^{0.1} - 1) \exp[7.5(n-1.9)a h_0]}{(a r_0)^{3.91}}$$

for $1.35 < n < 1.9$ (8)

$$A_1 = \frac{11.65(n^{0.82} - 1) \exp[34.65(n-1.19)a h_0]}{(a r_0)^{0.6}}$$

for $n < 1.35$ (9)

where $a$ (L$^{-1}$) and $n$ (dimensionless) are the van Genuchten parameters (parameters obtained from the MSO experiment, Nikodem et al., 2021), $r_0$ is the disk radius (2.22 cm) and $h_0$ is the applied pressure head (–2 cm).
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Other method proposed by Wooding (1968) was also used to evaluate the unsaturated hydraulic conductivity \( K(h_0) = K_h = -2,MDI \). The following algebraic approximation of steady state unconfined infiltration rates into soil from a circular source of radius \( r_0 \) (L) was assumed:

\[
Q = \pi r_0^2 K(h_0) \left( 1 + \frac{4}{\pi r_0 \alpha G} \right) \tag{10}
\]

where \( Q \) is the steady water flux (L\(^3\) T\(^{-1}\)), \( r_0 \) is the disk diameter (2.22 cm), \( h_0 \) is the applied pressure head (–2 cm), \( \alpha G \) (= 0.12 cm\(^{-1}\)) is the constant characterizing soil structure (Equation 3).

Hydraulic properties measured using the multistep outflow method

Soil water retention curves, \( \theta(h) \), and soil hydraulic curves, \( K(\theta) \), were evaluated in the laboratory with undisturbed 100-cm\(^3\) soil samples placed in Tempe cells using the MSO test (van Dam et al., 1994). Detail procedure and results were presented by Nikodem et al. (2021). In this study, the soil hydraulic properties were described by the analytical expressions proposed by van Genuchten (1980):

\[
\theta_e = \frac{(\theta_r - \theta_c) - \theta_r}{(1 + (\theta_r - \theta_c)x)^n}, \quad h < 0 \tag{11}
\]

\[
\theta_e = 1, \quad h \geq 0
\]

\[
K(\theta) = K_{s,MSO} \left[ (1 - (\theta - \theta_r)^n)^{\frac{1}{m}} \right]^2, \quad h < 0 \tag{12}
\]

\[
K(\theta) = K_{s,MSO}, \quad h \geq 0
\]

where \( \theta \) is the soil water content (L\(^3\) L\(^{-3}\)), \( \theta_h \) is the effective soil water content (dimensionless), \( \theta_r \) and \( \theta_s \) are the residual and saturated soil water contents (L\(^3\) L\(^{-3}\)), respectively, \( \alpha \) is the reciprocal of the air entry pressure (L \( \cdot \) cm\(^{-1}\)), \( n \) (dimensionless) is related to the slope of the retention curve at the inflection point, \( m = 1-1/n \) (dimensionless), \( K_{s,MSO} \) is the saturated hydraulic conductivity (L \( \cdot \) T\(^{-1}\)), and \( l \) is the pore-connectivity parameter (dimensionless), which was set to 0.5 (Mualem, 1976). Here we present only the resulting \( K_{s,MSO} \) values, which are compared with the \( K_{s,GP} \) values. For comparison with the values obtained using MDI, \( K_{s,MSO} \), the hydraulic conductivity values corresponding to the pressure head of –2 cm were also calculated, \( K_{s,MSO} \).

In addition, the parameters of the inflection points (Figure 4), i.e., soil water content (\( h_{INF} \)), pressure head (\( h_{INF} \)) and curve slope (\( S_{INF} \)), of the soil water retention curves were computed according to Dexter (2004a, b, c) and Dexter and Czyz (2007):

\[
\theta_{INF} = \left( \theta_r - \theta_c \right) \left( 1 + \frac{1}{m} \right)^{-m} + \theta_r \tag{13}
\]

\[
h_{INF} = \frac{1}{\alpha} \left( \frac{1}{x} \right)^{\frac{1}{m}} \tag{14}
\]
\[ S_{\omega} = -n(\theta - \theta_0) \left( 1 + \frac{1}{m} \right)^{-(1+n)} \] (15)

These parameters can be used to assess a physical quality of soils (e.g., Dexter, 2004a, b; c; Fér et al., 2016, 2018, 2020; Jirků et al., 2013; Pavlů et al., 2021): if \( S_{\omega} < 0.02 \) PQS is very poor, if 0.02 ≤ \( S_{\omega} < 0.035 \) PQS is poor, if 0.035 \( \leq S_{\omega} < 0.05 \) PQS is good, and if 0.05 \( \leq S_{\omega} \) the physical quality of soil (POS) is very good. However, it should be noted that different methods used for measuring the soil water retention curves can lead to different shapes of these curves (e.g., Gribb et al., 2004; Fér et al., 2018) and thus to different characteristics of the inflection point (Fér et al., 2018). Therefore, the evaluated values are rather suitable for comparing soil quality on a given area or transect than for a general assessment of a soil physical quality. The undisturbed soil samples were also used to evaluate soil porosity (Figure 4).

Statistical analyses

The average values and their standard deviations were calculated for all measured soil properties at each sampling point. Simple correlations between the average values of the hydraulic conductivities and other soil properties were assessed using the Pearson product moment correlation coefficient and p-value, which tests the statistical significance of the estimated correlations. Linear regressions were also used to obtain relationships between the corresponding hydraulic conductivities. Analyses were performed using the statistical software Statistica (StatSoft Inc., 2013).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Hydraulic conductivities of soils developed on loess substrates

The resulting soil hydraulic conductivities obtained using different methods are shown in Figure 5. Results for the Brumovice locality (the Chernozem region) show that while the \( K_s \) values at the 2\textsuperscript{nd}, 3\textsuperscript{rd} and 4\textsuperscript{th} sampling points obtained with different methods are similar, the \( K_s \) values at the 1\textsuperscript{st} and 5\textsuperscript{th} sampling points considerably differ. The values obtained using the MSO method are much larger than those measured with the GP method. In addition, the \( K_s \text{,GP} \) values are considerably lower than the \( K_s \text{,MSO} \) values calculated using both methods. This is in contrast with expectations that: a) \( K_s \) should be larger than \( K_s \text{,MSO} \) for the pressure head of ~2 cm; b) \( K_s \text{,GP} \) measured under the well ponding conditions can be larger than \( K_s \text{,GP} \) from MSO due to an influence of a large gravitational pores on water fluxes in the field, which are usually excluded in the small undisturbed soil samples (Gribb at al., 2004). Initially increasing trend followed by decreasing trend in \( K_s \text{,GP} \) with decreasing elevation (i.e., increasing no. of sampling point) corresponds to the trend in the silt content (Figure 2). It can be hypothesized that despite precaution taken during the well drilling the soil structure was more disturbed at the 1\textsuperscript{st} and 5\textsuperscript{th} points (lower and higher silt and sand fraction, respectively) and, probably, there was even a greater smoothing of the walls due to presence of clay than that at other sampling points, that reduced water flux into the soil. On the other hand, the trend in \( K_s \text{,MSO} \) corresponds to the soil structure documented in Figure 3. For instance, while the higher \( K_s \text{,MSO} \) values (Figure 5) associate with a soil aggregation at the 1\textsuperscript{st} and 3\textsuperscript{rd} point (Figure 3), the lowest \( K_s \text{,MSO} \) values at the 4\textsuperscript{th} point can be explained by a homogenous structure at this point.

Results for the Vidim locality (the Luvisol region) show that the \( K_s \text{,MSO} \) values are mostly higher than the \( K_s \text{,GP} \) values, but the \( K_s \text{,GP} \) values are higher than the \( K_s \text{,MSO} \) values. The trends in the \( K_s \text{,GP} \) values and silt content are, except at the 5\textsuperscript{th} point, similar. In this case, the main factor, which likely reduced water fluxes in the field, was the destruction of the soil structure. Similar results (i.e., \( K_s \text{,MSO} > K_s \text{,GP} \)) were also obtained for all horizons of the Haplic Luvisol by Kodešová et al. (2011). The lower \( K_s \text{,GP} \) values than the \( K_s \text{,MSO} \) values were also measured in topsoil, but the larger \( K_s \text{,GP} \) values than the \( K_s \text{,MSO} \) values were measured in the subsurface horizons due to well-developed prismatic structure preserved by clay coating.

Trends in the \( K_s \text{,GP} \) and \( K_s \text{,MSO} \) values documented for the 2\textsuperscript{nd}, 3\textsuperscript{rd} and 4\textsuperscript{th} sampling points at the Vidim locality are similar, which is consistent with a similarity of trends in these values for the Brumovice locality. This indicates that behavior of the soil materials during their testing at the summit (by erosion least affected soils) and toeslope (accumulated material from upslope positions) considerably differed from each other and from those at the sloping part of the transects.

When comparing the resulting \( K_s \text{,MSO} \) and \( K_s \text{,MSO} \) values, it is evident that values do not considerably differ. The \( K_s \text{,MSO} \) values are closely to the \( K_s \text{,MSO} \) values than the \( K_s \text{,MSO} \) values. All \( K_s \text{,MSO} \) values show similar trends along the transects. Therefore, the liner relationships between different values \( K_s \text{,MSO} \) could be detected (Table 2). However, the p-values, which are mostly higher than 0.05, except the first and last relationships, do not indicate statistically significant correlations at the 95.0% confidence level and higher. In addition, Figure 5 shows that the variability of the evaluated hydraulic conductivities at each sampling point is large, with some exemptions, which adds additional uncertainty to the analysis.

It should be also noted that while the \( K_s \text{,MSO} \) values measured at the Brumovice locality decrease with the elevation, the \( K_s \text{,MSO} \) values measured at the Vidim locality increase with the elevation. This may indicate aggravation of soil-pore systems due to the erosion and accumulation processes (i.e., not favorable conditions for soil structure preservation and formation – frequent material loo, not enough time for a development of a soil structure in a periodically sedimented soil material, etc.) at the Brumovice Locality. At the Vidim locality, the opposite trend could be explained by the fact that topsoil at sloping parts included material from the illuvial Bt horizon, which is typical by the development of clay coating and infillings, and the formation of relatively stable soil aggregates (Kodešová et al., 2009) (Figure 4), which could modify soil-pore systems (Nikodem et al., 2021). The topsoil at the toeslope and partly also footslope was amended with eroded soil material from the upslope surface layers (i.e., material of better quality from the original A horizon mixed with some material from the Bt horizon from eroded parts of the transect) (Zádorová et al., 2014, 2015), which could lead to better conditions for the development of soil structure (Nikodem et al., 2021).

Hydraulic conductivities of soils developed on granodiorite and shale substrates

The resulting \( K_s \text{,GP} \) and \( K_s \text{,MSO} \) values for the Sedlčany locality (the Cambisol region) show again different trends along the transect. Values for the 2\textsuperscript{nd}, 3\textsuperscript{rd} and 4\textsuperscript{th} sampling points are similar, \( K_s \text{,GP} > K_s \text{,MSO} \) at 1\textsuperscript{st} and \( K_s \text{,GP} < K_s \text{,MSO} \) at the 4\textsuperscript{th} sampling point. The decreasing trend in \( K_s \text{,GP} \) with the elevation can be explained by the decreasing bulk density (Figure 4) and increasing fraction of large grains (Figure 3). The resulting \( K_s \text{,GP} \) values for the Železná locality (the Cambisol region) are mostly considerably lower than the \( K_s \text{,MSO} \) values. Figures 2 and 3 show that soils at the Železná locality contained more...
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Fig. 5. Saturated hydraulic conductivities measured using the Guelph permeameter ($K_{s,GP}$) and the multistep outflow method ($K_{s,MSO}$), and hydraulic conductivities for the pressure head of –2 cm measured using the mini disk tension infiltrometer and Wooding ($K_{h=–2,MDI,W}$) or Zhang ($K_{h=–2,MDI,Z}$) method, and the multistep outflow method ($K_{h=–2,MDI,MSO}$) for Brumovice (Chernozem area, loess), Vidim (Luvisol area, loess), Sedlčany (Cambisol area, granodiorite and shale) and Železná (Cambisol area, shale), and relationships between corresponding values. GP – Guelph permeameter, MDI – mini disk tension infiltrometer, W – Wooding, Z – Zhang, MSO – multistep outflow. Numbers indicate sampling points: 1 – summit, 2 – shoulder, 3 – backslope, 4 – footslope, 5 – toeslope. Graphs show average values and standard deviations (error bars).
clay particles and their structure was more compact than soils at the Šedlčany locality. Thus, a possible soil structure deformation and smearing of well walls had a greater impact on water fluxes at the Železná locality than at the Šedlčany locality. This explanation is also supported by the fact that, while $K_{\text{GP}} > K_{\text{MDI}}$ at the Šedlčany locality, $K_{\text{GP}} < K_{\text{MDI}}$ at the Železná locality. Similar findings were documented by Fér et al. (2016), who evaluated hydraulic properties of different horizons of two Haplic Cambisols. While in soils of similar texture to that at the Železná locality, water fluxes at the Železná locality than at the Šedlčany locality. The relationships between evaluated soil properties. Except at the Brumovice location, the significant relationship was found between WSA and $S_{\text{INF}}$ (R = 0.838, p = 0.038). This means that the physical quality increased with the increasing aggregate stability. Such relationships were not detected for other locations. Though, at the Šedlčany location $S_{\text{INF}}$ was related to the sand content (R = 0.966, p = 0.007). Both correlations confirm previous statements concerning the factor determining a very good physical quality of soil at the Brumovice and Šedlčany locations. Finally, the significant correlation was found between $C_{\text{inf}}$ and $S_{\text{INF}}$ (R = 0.942, p = 0.017) for the Vidim location.

### Soil physical quality

Figure 2 shows a slightly decreasing trend in the WSA index with the elevation at the Brumovice location (the negative influence of erosion/accumulation processes) (e.g., Jakšič et al., 2015; Zádorová et al., 2011b), increasing trend at the Vidim location (the positive influence of clay coating (e.g., Kodešová et al., 2009) and accumulation of the organic matter at the toeslope), decreasing followed by increasing trend at the Šedlčany location (the soil depletion on the steepest parts), and increasing trend at the Vidim location (the positive impact of the soil organic content, $C_{\text{soil}}$). The slope ($S_{\text{INF}}$) of the soil-water retention curve (Figure 4) indicates that while the physical quality of soil at the Brumovice location did not considerably differ along the transect (soils of a very good quality), at the Železná location decreased at the sloping parts of the transect (i.e., good at the summit and toeslope, and poor at the other parts of the transect). While the very good physical quality at the Brumovice location is related to the well-developed soil structure, at the Šedlčany location is related to the coarse texture of these soils. Statistical analyses mostly did not reveal statistically significant relationships between evaluated soil properties. Except at the Brumovice location, the significant relationship was found between WSA and $S_{\text{INF}}$ (R = 0.838, p = 0.038). This means that the physical quality increased with the increasing aggregate stability. Such relationships were not detected for other locations. Though, at the Šedlčany location $S_{\text{INF}}$ was related to the sand content (R = 0.966, p = 0.007). Both correlations confirm previous statements concerning the factor determining a very good physical quality of soil at the Brumovice and Šedlčany locations. Finally, the significant correlation was found between $C_{\text{inf}}$ and $S_{\text{INF}}$ (R = 0.942, p = 0.017) for the Vidim location.

Hydraulic conductivities relative to soil properties characterizing soil structure stability and physical quality of soils

As mentioned above, the measured hydraulic conductivities at each sampling point with some exemptions largely vary (Figure 5). Thus, results of the correlation analyses provide only approximate data for the assessment of possible relationships between the measured hydraulic conductivities and other soil characteristics. Correlations mostly did not reveal statistically significant relationships at the 95% level and higher. The following relationships were obtained for the Brumovice location. The $K_{\text{MSO}}$ values significantly correlated with $P$ (R = 0.914, p = 0.030), $h_{\text{INF}}$ (R = 0.951, p = 0.013) and $h_{\text{INF}}$ (R = 0.888, p = 0.049), and insignificantly correlated with $WSA$ (R = 0.814, p = 0.094) and $C_{\text{soil}}$ (R = 0.877, p = 0.051). The $K_{\text{MDLZ}}$ values significantly correlated with $h_{\text{INF}}$ (R = 0.986, p = 0.002) and $h_{\text{INF}}$ (R = 0.920, p = 0.027). Statistically insignificant relationships were only found for the $K_{\text{MDLZ}}$ values and $C_{\text{soil}}$ (R = 0.828, p = 0.083) or $h_{\text{INF}}$ (R = 0.954, p = 0.066). For the Vidim locality, the $K_{\text{MDLZ}}$ values significantly correlated with $h_{\text{INF}}$ (R = 0.890, p = 0.043) and insignificantly with $P$ (R = 0.871, p = 0.054). A statistically insignificant correlation was found between $K_{\text{MDLZ}}$ and $h_{\text{INF}}$ (R = 0.810, p = 0.097). Even fewer relationships were identified for both Cambisols regions. At the Šedlčany locality, the $K_{\text{MDLZ}}$ values significantly correlated with $S_{\text{INF}}$ (R = 0.944, p = 0.016) and insignificantly correlated with $P$ (R = 0.847, p = 0.070). The $K_{\text{GP}}$ values significantly correlated with $C_{\text{soil}}$ (R = 0.941, p = 0.017). No statistically significant relationship was obtained for the Železná locality. The relationships between $h_{\text{INF}}$ and some of the evaluated conductivities was probably found because this value associates with the pore radius ($r_{\text{INF}} = \phi h_{\text{INF}}$), where con-
stant C includes the water density, interfacial tension, contact angle and gravitational acceleration), of the largest frequency, i.e., reflects a soil-pore distribution. The increasing hsw value thus indicate decreasing size of pores and opposite decreasing hsw values indicate increasing size of pores. The positive correlations with P and hsw associate with the volume of soil pores. Finally, the positive correlations with Csw, WSA and Sinf associate with a quality of soils.

CONCLUSION

The goal of this study was to test three hypotheses. The first hypothesis, that trends in the saturated hydraulic conductivities within the hillslope transects obtained with different techniques (MSO and GP) should differ, was proved in all cases. However, various trends in the Kn,GP values compared to the Kn,MSO values were probably mainly due to the soil-pore deformation during the well preparation, and not so much due to the impact of the diverse character of large gravitation pores. The second hypothesis, that trends in the unsaturated hydraulic conductivities obtained with different techniques (MSO and MDI) should be similar was partly proven only for soils, which were developed on loess substrates. The well-developed soil-pore systems of these soils were less impacted by the soil preparation for the MDI tests than those in both Cambisols. The third hypothesis that measured hydraulic conductivities should associate with the soil physical quality and stability of soil structure was also partly proven only for structural soils developed on loess, but not for soils of a weekly developed soil-pore structure as Cambisols. However, it must be noted that study was performed after harvest, i.e., on the most consolidated land. The soil porous systems and associated soil physical and hydraulic properties of arable soils largely vary during the year. Therefore, new studies are needed to test these hypotheses for different stages of a soil structure that develops during the year.
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