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Abstract

Let \( \mathcal{S} \) be a subdivision of the plane into polygonal regions, where each region has an associated positive weight. The \textit{weighted region shortest path problem} is to determine a shortest path in \( \mathcal{S} \) between two points \( s, t \in \mathbb{R}^2 \), where the distances are measured according to the weighted Euclidean metric—the length of a path is defined to be the weighted sum of (Euclidean) lengths of the sub-paths within each region. We show that this problem cannot be solved in the \textit{Algebraic Computation Model over the Rational Numbers} (ACMQ). In the ACMQ, one can compute exactly any number that can be obtained from the rationals \( \mathbb{Q} \) by applying a finite number of operations from \(+\), \(-\), \(\times\), \(\div\), \(k^{\sqrt{\cdot}}\), for any integer \( k \geq 2 \). Our proof uses Galois theory and is based on Bajaj’s technique.

1 Introduction

The weighted region shortest path problem is one of the classical path problems in Computational Geometry and has been studied over the last two decades. It was originally introduced by Mitchell and Papadimitriou [12] as a generalization of the two-dimensional shortest path problem with obstacles. There are several well known approximation algorithms for this problem (see [1, 5, 13, 12] for instance). In this paper, we show that determining the exact shortest path distance in this setting is an unsolvable problem in an algebraic model of computation, confirming the suspicion expressed by Mitchell and Papadimitriou [12, Section 4]. Thus, we provide further justification for the search for approximate solutions as opposed to exact ones.

The algebraic complexity of geometric optimization problems was first studied by Bajaj, who showed that Euclidean shortest paths among polyhedral obstacles in three dimensions [3] and
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solutions to the Weber problem and its variations [4] cannot be expressed as finite algebraic expressions. More recently, the algebraic complexity of semi-definite programming [14] and shortest paths through certain cube complexes [2] were investigated. De Carufel et al. [6] studied a variant of the Fréchet distance that has a lower sensitivity to the presence of outliers than the usual one. They showed that this variant cannot be computed exactly within the ACMQ. In the ACMQ, one can compute exactly any number that can be obtained from the rationals Q by applying a finite number of operations from +, −, ×, ÷, √, for any integer k ≥ 2. In this paper, we employ Bajaj’s technique [4] to show that the weighted region shortest path problem is unsolvable within the ACMQ. The technique is as follows.

As a consequence of the fundamental theorem of Galois [10], we know that there is no general formula to solve a polynomial equation of degree d ≥ 5 by radicals. However, there are some polynomial equations of degree d ≥ 5 that can be solved by radicals. The Galois group Gal(p) of an irreducible polynomial p over Q determines the solvability of p by radicals: the equation p(x) = 0 is solvable by radicals if and only if Gal(p) is solvable (refer to [11]). Intuitively, p is unsolvable by radicals if its coefficients are algebraically independent, i.e., not related by an algebraic expression.

We will present an instance of the weighted region shortest path problem such that solving this instance exactly within the ACMQ is equivalent to the statement that the polynomial equation p_{12}(x) = 0 in Equation (4) is solvable by radicals. However, we will show that the Galois group of p_{12} is S_{12} (i.e., the symmetric group over 12 elements) up to isomorphism. This is proved using the following theorem.

**Theorem 1 (Bajaj [4]).** Let p be a polynomial of even degree d ≥ 6. Suppose that there are three prime numbers q_1, q_2 and q_3 that do not divide the discriminant ∆(p) of p, such that

\[
\begin{align*}
p(x) &\equiv p_d(x) \pmod{q_1}, \\
p(x) &\equiv p_1(x)p_{d-1}(x) \pmod{q_2}, \\
p(x) &\equiv p'_1(x)p_2(x)p_{d-3}(x) \pmod{q_3} ,
\end{align*}
\]

where p_d(x) is an irreducible polynomial of degree d modulo q_1; p_{d-1}(x) (respectively p_1(x)) is an irreducible polynomial of degree d − 1 (respectively of degree 1) modulo q_2; p_{d-3}(x) (respectively p'_1(x) and p_2(x)) is an irreducible polynomial of degree d − 3 (respectively of degree 1 and of degree 2) modulo q_3. Then Gal(p) ∼ S_d.

If d ≥ 5 is odd, the same result holds if we replace (3) by

\[
p(x) \equiv p_2(x)p_{d-2}(x) \pmod{q_4},
\]

where q_4 is a prime number such that q_4 ∤ ∆(p) and p_{d-2}(x) (respectively p_2(x)) is an irreducible polynomial of degree d − 2 (respectively of degree 2) modulo q_4.

Observe that (1) implies that p(x) is irreducible over Q, which implies that Gal(p) is a transitive group. Conditions (2) and (3) guarantee the existence of a (d − 1)-cycle and an element with cycle decomposition (2, d − 3) in Gal(p). These two elements, together with the transitivity of Gal(p), imply that Gal(p) ∼ S_d.

**Lemma 2 ([11], Chapter 4).** A symmetric group S_n over n elements is solvable if and only if n ≤ 4.

---

1 Alternatively, it can be verified using symbolic computation software. For example, GAP uses the algorithm from [9] to test the solvability of polynomials up to degree 15 via the command `isSolvable`, and MAGMA implements an extension of the algorithm in [11], that works for polynomials of arbitrary degree, limited only by time and space constraints.
The weighted region shortest path problem cannot be solved exactly within Theorem 3. Following the notation of Theorem 1, and the above example, we have $\phi(\alpha) = 2$. This number $\alpha$ is such that $0.60206 < \alpha < 0.60208$. However, with $q_1 = 79$, $q_2 = 31$ and $q_3 = 11$, one finds

$\nu_1 = 11$, $\nu_2 = 4$ and $\nu_3 = 3$.

2 Unsolvability

Consider the situation depicted in Fig. 1, where $s = (0, 0)$ is the source and $t = (6, 2)$ is the target. The three regions $r_1$, $r_2$ and $r_3$ have weights $w_1 = 1$, $w_2 = 2$ and $w_3 = 3$, respectively. The three regions are $r_1 = \{ (x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid x \leq 1 \}$, $r_2 = \{ (x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid 1 \leq x \leq 3 \}$ and $r_3 = \{ (x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid x \geq 3 \}$. The optimal path satisfies Snell-Descartes law [12]. We denote by $\theta_i$ the angle made by the incident ray in $r_i$ ($1 \leq i \leq 3$). For simplicity, we let $\theta = \theta_1$. Hence, we must have $\sin(\theta_2) = \frac{w_1}{w_2} \sin(\theta)$ and $\sin(\theta_3) = \frac{w_1}{w_3} \sin(\theta)$.

Since the sum of the vertical distances travelled in all regions must be equal to the $y$-coordinate of $t$, we need to solve

$$\tan(\theta) + 2 \tan(\theta_2) + 3 \tan(\theta_3) = 2.$$ 

Since $\tan(\theta) = \frac{\sin(\theta)}{\sqrt{1 - \sin^2(\theta)}}$ for $0 \leq \theta < \frac{1}{2} \pi$, this can be rewritten as

$$\phi(X) = \frac{X}{\sqrt{1 - X^2}} + 2 \frac{w_1 X}{\sqrt{1 - \left(\frac{w_1}{w_2} X\right)^2}} + 3 \frac{w_1 X}{\sqrt{1 - \left(\frac{w_1}{w_3} X\right)^2}} = 2,$$

where $X = \sin(\theta)$. By appropriately squaring three times, this can be transformed into

$$p_{12}(u) = 419904 - 3545856u + 12394944u^2 - 24006816u^3 + 28904608u^4 - 22882588u^5 + 12204109u^6 - 4396586u^7 + 1060979u^8 - 168272u^9 + 16843u^{10} - 970u^{11} + 25u^{12} = 0,$$

where $u = \sqrt{X}$.

**Theorem 3.** The weighted region shortest path problem cannot be solved exactly within the ACMO.

**Proof.** Following the notation of Theorem 1 and the above example, we have $p(u) = p_{12}(u)$, $d = 12$ and $\Delta(p) = 2^{57}.3^{98}.5^{22}.1847.814585609$.

With numerical methods, one finds that for $0 \leq \theta < \frac{1}{2} \pi$, there exists a unique number $\alpha$ such that $\phi(\alpha) = 2$. This number $\alpha$ is such that $0.60206 < \alpha < 0.60208$. However, with $q_1 = 79$, $q_2 = 31$ and $q_3 = 11$, one finds

$$p(x) \equiv 19 + 59u + 2u^2 + 20u^3 + 9u^4 + 78u^5 + 31u^6 + u^7 + 9u^8 + 77u^9 + 16u^{10} + 57u^{11} + 25u^{12} \pmod{79},$$

$$p(x) \equiv 25(20 + u)(10 + 27u + u^2 + 6u^3 + 30u^4 + 14u^5 + 5u^6 + 28u^7 + 12u^8 + 17u^9 + 28u^{10} + u^{11}) \pmod{31},$$

$$p(x) \equiv 3(u + 9)(8 + u + u^2)(8 + 10u + 3u^2 + 6u^3 + 3u^4 + u^5 + 6u^7 + 4u^8 + u^9) \pmod{11}.$$
Therefore, \( \text{Gal}(p) \cong S_{12} \) by Theorem 1. Moreover, Lemma 2 tells us that \( S_{12} \) is non-solvable. Hence, \( \alpha \) cannot be computed within the ACMQ, otherwise this would contradict the non-solvability of \( S_{12} \). Therefore, in general, the weighted region shortest path problem cannot be solved exactly within the ACMQ.

Remark 1. If a problem is solvable within the ACMQ, then we can express its solution as a finite sequence of the allowed operations on the rational input data. For practical applications however, we may need to rely on approximations of such an explicit representation, due to the occurrence of roots. The latter can hardly be avoided for the weighted region shortest path problem, as the length of a path is the weighted sum of Euclidean distances. A problem may be unsolvable in the ACMQ even though its solution can be approximated with sufficient precision in practice. Nonetheless, we use the ACMQ as a viewpoint to gain insights about algebraic complexity and applicability of symbolic computation. One of the advantages of symbolic computation is the reusability of a result without cascaded approximation error. Unsolvability on the other hand concludes any search for a closed formula for solutions and provides further justification for the employment of approximation approaches.

Remark 2. Let \( \mathcal{P} \) be a problem that can be translated into a (system of) polynomial equation(s), and assume that we want to use Theorem 1 to prove that \( \mathcal{P} \) cannot be solved exactly within the ACMQ. In general, \( \mathcal{P} \) admits infinitely many different instances leading to infinitely many different polynomial equations. Our experience shows that most of the time, Theorem 1 applies on the first instance of \( \mathcal{P} \) we can think of. Otherwise, one can use a symbolic computation software as a black box and compute \( \text{Gal}(p) \). To use Theorem 1, we need to find three prime numbers that satisfy the constraining properties. Bajaj [4] explains why trying \( d + 1 \) prime numbers that do not divide \( \Delta(p) \) will most likely be sufficient. As for the factorization of a polynomial modulo a prime number, refer to [8] for standard algorithms that perform this task.

3 Generalization to \( n \) Regions

We have shown that one instance of the weighted region shortest path problem is unsolvable, which shows this problem is unsolvable in general. One usual way of getting around this problem is to assume that we work in a model of computation where it takes \( O(1) \) time to solve any polynomial equation of bounded degree. However, we can extend our example to \( n \) regions, for arbitrarily large values of \( n \), where we get to solve

\[
\tan(\theta) + 2\tan(\theta_2) + \ldots + n\tan(\theta_n) = 2,
\]

which leads to

\[
\frac{X}{\sqrt{1 - X^2}} + 2\frac{\frac{w_1}{w_2}X}{\sqrt{1 - \left(\frac{w_1}{w_2}X\right)^2}} + \ldots + n\frac{\frac{w_1}{w_n}X}{\sqrt{1 - \left(\frac{w_1}{w_n}X\right)^2}} = 2.
\]

This last equation can be transformed into a polynomial equation of degree \( n2^{n-1} \). Hence, the degree of the polynomial equations involved in this problem is unbounded.

It would be useful to know how likely is it for an instance of the weighted region shortest path problem to be unsolvable. If we know the sequence of regions that the shortest path goes through, then we know that the path itself is made up of a sequence of line segments passing through the
interiors of the prescribed regions and bending only on the boundaries of these regions. Furthermore, the shortest path is locally optimal between any two bendpoints. That is, if we treat the bendpoints \( u_i \) and \( u_{i+3} \) as fixed, then the intermediate bendpoints \( u_{i+1} \) and \( u_{i+2} \) must be optimal with respect to \( u_i \) and \( u_{i+3} \). This implies that any instance of the weighted region shortest path problem in which the shortest path goes through at least three regions, will contain a generalization of the given counter-example. In particular, the equations involved in the solution will have the same form, but with different coefficients. This will be true, except in very specific cases. Thus, a generic instance of the weighted region shortest path problem in which the path passes through at least three regions is more likely to be unsolvable.

4 Conclusions and Future Work

The method we employed, Bajaj’s technique, will be a useful tool-kit to prove similar unsolvability results and guide more realistic analysis of problems in computational geometry with algebraic components. When the degree of the polynomial equations involved in the solution of a problem is unbounded, then an unsolvability result like the one presented in this paper justifies the search for an approximate solution.
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