**Introduction**

Polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs) are one of the most extensively distributed classes of chlorinated pollutants found in the environment (Tiedje et al., 1994). PCBs are recalcitrant compounds that persist in the environment. They are synthetically produced by the method of direct chlorination of biphenyl. Additionally, the term PCBs is assigned to a group of molecules that have a biphenyl backbone, in which one to ten of the hydrogens have been substituted with a chlorine atom (Furukawa, 2000; Pieper, 2005). Essentially, bacteria can degrade PCBs by two processes: aerobic degradation through the biphenyl pathway and anaerobic dechlorination (Fennell et al., 2004; Furukawa and Fujihara, 2008; Macková et al., 2007). A vast variety of microorganisms are capable of aerobically transforming some of the 209 possible PCB congeners through the bph pathway, which degrades biphenyl to benzoate and 2-hydroxypenta-2,4-dienoate (Abramowicz, ...
It has been demonstrated that PCBs follow the same catabolic pathway as biphenyl and utilize the same enzymes (Ellis et al., 2006). Biphenyl dioxygenase plays a critical role in PCB degradation by catalyzing the first step (Erickson and Mondello, 1992; Iwasaki et al., 2007). A lot of effort has been invested in studying the bph pathway to enhance our understanding of its enzymatic makeup, to discover the steps that limit PCB transformation, and to improve its PCB-degrading capabilities (Abramowicz, 1990; Erickson and Mondello, 1992; Kimbara et al., 1989; Taïra et al., 1992). It cannot be denied that studies on PCB metabolism are entering a divergent era with the application of genetically engineered microorganisms, such as Rhodococcus jostii RHA1, for bioremediation processes.

R. jostii RHA1, which belongs to the Rhodococcaceae group, has become a model bacterium to study genetic functions. R. jostii RHA1 is well suited for industrial purposes and bioremediation applications, because it can resist several kinds of environmental stresses, including osmotic, temperature, and desiccation stresses. This strain was isolated from lindane-contaminated soil, and it is well known for its ability to degrade PCBs (Seto et al., 1995). McLeod et al. (2006) found that the genome of R. jostii RHA1 was 9,702,737 base pairs in length, which makes it one of the largest known bacterial genomes, and that it was arranged in four linear replicons, consisting of one chromosome and three plasmids (pRHL1, pRHL2, and pRHL3). They determined that R. jostii RHA1 has a G+C content of 67% and 9,145 protein-coding genes, which are rich in ligases and oxygenases. Using biphenyl or pyruvate as the sole carbon source, Gonçalves et al. (2006) compared the transcript abundance of R. jostii RHA1. From the results, they saw that the bph, ben, and cat genes were significantly upregulated during biphenyl growth.

The development of microarray technology is a result of the accessibility of the complete genome sequences of many organisms and allows researchers to study gene expression on a single chip (Mockler et al., 2005). Next-generation sequencing (NGS) appears to be a realistic option in this modern era and is dramatically changing the revolution of DNA and RNA technologies. After learning where the genetic information was stored, researchers’ attention turned to determinations of its content, expression, and regulation, which leads to important discoveries regarding the genes. RNA-Seq by NGS shows clear advantages over existing profiling technologies in terms of the amount of sequence coverage, the accuracy of defining the transcription level, the ability to reveal new transcriptomics insights, etc. Although NGS is becoming more accessible to researchers, as this technique does not require a clone library, microarray technology still remains reliable for many researchers for various reasons (Blow, 2009).

The NGS platform strength depends on its ability to place millions of DNA fragments onto one chip and process the fragments simultaneously. It results in throughput enhancement and allows a larger scope of input data. Besides, RNA-Seq by NGS is better, as it is more sensitive, specific, and accurate in terms of its technology, compared to microarrays, and can report strand specific sequencing (Willenbrook et al., 2009). Furthermore, RNA-Seq by NGS always considers the fold change and expression level, based on the number of reads, compared to a microarray that only considers the fold change, based on the fluorescence intensity. The ‘closed system’ of a microarray regards only the sequences that are specific to the probes on the array. Meanwhile, NGS represents an ‘open system,’ which is relevant for cataloguing gene diversity, including the discovery of novel gene diversity, without any prior sequence information (Roh et al., 2010).

To gain a better understanding of the gene function of PCB/biphenyl degradation in R. jostii RHA1, we focused, in this study, on the genes with higher expression, after growth on biphenyl, compared with pyruvate, by analysis with RNA-Seq. We further compared the results with previous microarray data (Gonçalves et al., 2006). A comparison has been made between both platforms based on defined criteria. Further, several highly expressed unannotated DNA regions on the opposite strand were identified and characterized based on specified criteria as well. In the second part of this paper, using wet lab experiments, we identified a novel gene that might conclusively affect biphenyl metabolism in R. jostii RHA1.

Methods

Preparation of R. jostii RHA1 cells. Wild-type R. jostii RHA1 strains were maintained in 1/5 Luria Bertani (LB) agar plates at 30°C. Cells in the exponential growth phase with either 10 mM biphenyl or 10 mM pyruvate in W-minimum media were harvested after three days of culture, and they were used for total RNA extraction. For long-term storage, a R. jostii RHA1 stock culture was maintained in a 40% (v/v) glycerol stock and stored at –80°C.

RNA isolation. RNA was extracted from the cells, grown on biphenyl or pyruvate, and sequenced with the Ion Personal Genome Machine (PGM™) System (Life Technologies, USA) platform, following the protocols supplied by Ion PGM™ for the sequencing of mRNA samples. After extraction of the total RNA, the ribosomal RNA was removed by following the manufacturer’s procedure for the Ribo-Zero rRNA Removal Kit (Epigenetec). Prepared mRNA fragments were then used to synthesize the first and second strand cDNAs using reverse transcriptase (Invitrogen, USA) and random hexamer primers. The cDNA was treated in an end repair reaction with T4 DNA polymerase and Klenow DNA polymerase at the blunt ends. The 3′ end of the blunt, phosphorylated DNA fragments was added using an “A” base. Subsequently, an adapter with a “T” base overhang at its 3′ end was ligated to the end of the DNA fragment. A range of cDNA template sizes was selected, and the library was amplified using PCR for the enrichment of adapter-ligated fragments. The prepared libraries were quantified and validated for quality using a High Sensitivity Bioanalyzer Chip (Agilent Technologies, USA). Subsequently, cDNA template clusters were sequenced on an Ion PGM™.

RNA-Seq analysis. Single-ended sequence reads were scanned 3′ to 5′. The FASTQ Quality Trimmer tool from the FASTX-tool kit was used to trim those with a Phred quality value below 20. Using Bowtie 2 in the end-to-end
mode, trimmed reads were aligned to the \textit{R. jostii RHA1} genome. Based on the gene annotations found using custom Perl scripts, protein-coding nucleotide sequences were extracted from the \textit{R. jostii RHA1} genome sequence by the NCBI Prokaryotic Genome Annotation Pipeline. Further, the generated data were analyzed using Artemis Software (Sanger Institute), followed by the identification of differentially expressed genes.

**Identification of highly expressed genes by RPKM.** As a starting point for data analysis, high expression with biphenyl compared with pyruvate in \textit{R. jostii RHA1}, was examined based on the results of the transcriptomic data from NGS. The fold change and the Read Per Kilobase Million (RPKM) value from the transcriptomic data from NGS were calculated and characterized. This characterization was based on certain criteria that were significant for PCB degradation. A gene was characterized and selected based on the following criteria: RPKM $\geq 100$ and fold change $\geq 2$, which are significant for PCB degradation. This criterion ensures that all \textit{bph} genes that are important for PCB degradation are covered. High expression with biphenyl was reflected by the RPKM value, which was calculated using Eq. (1) as follows (Mortazavi et al., 2008):

$$\text{RPKM} = \frac{\text{total reads of the target gene (million)}}{\left\lfloor \frac{\text{total reads mapped in the genome (million)}}{\text{length of the target gene (kb)}} \right\rfloor}$$

(1)

Normalized RPKM value for pyruvate were calculated by the raw RPKM value from pyruvate divided by (total read number of biphenyl divided by total read number of pyruvate). Genes that were significant for PCB degradation from previous data of a microarray (Gonçalves et al., 2006) and this study (RNA-Seq by NGS) were also compared, as the microarray only showed a fold change value, while RNA-Seq by NGS showed both expression levels and a fold change value.

**Identification of unannotated DNA regions.** Several DNA regions may not have matched based on the annotation of the Artemis data, as it showed expression of mRNA on the opposite strand. Thus, several candidates with high expression in unannotated DNA regions were selected from the opposite strand, based on high expression with biphenyl, but not with pyruvate. The criteria chosen were based on RPKM $\geq 100$ and fold change $\geq 1.5$. Among several candidates, two DNA regions, which were named as CD01 (Supplementaly Fig. 1) and CD02 (Supplementaly Fig. 2), were chosen that were highly expressed with biphenyl and not pyruvate, based on certain criteria for further analysis.

**DNA manipulation.** For the DNA regions, CD01 and CD02, primers were designed, depending on the transcriptomic data from NGS. Primer design was conducted depending on high expression with biphenyl but not with pyruvate. The primers were designed to ensure coverage of the translation of the open reading frames of CD01 and CD02. The forward and reverse primers with the sequences 5'-CTACTGCCAGCCGAAGTG-3' and 3'-TTACGTTCTCAATCGG-5', respectively, were used to specifically amplify CD01, and the sequences 5'-CTACCCGCTGAGTGCGGTT-3' and 3'-TGAGAGAACGAGTCTGGA-5' were used to amplify CD02.

A reaction mixture (19.0 L) was prepared containing 4.0 L of 5X Green GoTaq flexi buffer, 0.4 of dNTP mix, 0.1 of GoTaq flexi DNA polymerase, 0.5 L of the CD01/CD02 forward and CD01/CD02 reverse primers, each at a concentration of 20 $\mu$M, 1.0 L of MgCl$_2$, and 12.5 L of autoclaved dH$_2$O. Further, 1.0 L of the extracted DNA was added to the prepared reaction mixture. The PCR reaction used the GoTaq polymerase enzyme protocol from Promega Corporation, USA, to amplify the target gene, as it generates A-tailed fragments used for TA cloning. All PCR assays were performed with a thermal cycler (ProFlex$^\text{TM}$ PCR System; Applied Biosystems) and analyzed by 1.0% agarose gel electrophoresis. PCR products were gel excised and purified using the GenepHlow$^\text{TM}$ Gel/PCR extraction kit protocol, before further use in cloning and transformation. For construction of the plasmid, the target gene was ligated into pGEM-T under the control of the lac operon promoter. \textit{Escherichia coli} JM109 was used as the host. \textit{E. coli} cells, harboring the engineered plasmids, were screened and grown at 37°C in LB broth, supplemented with antibiotics (50 g mL$^{-1}$ ampicillin), with shaking at 160 rpm. The plasmid was extracted using the QIAprep Spin Miniprep Kit (Qiagen, USA).

**Protein induction with isopropyl-$\beta$-D-thiogalactopyranoside (IPTG).** For the evaluation of protein production, recombinant cells were grown overnight, while incubating at 37°C in LB media and being continuously shaken at 160 rpm. On the next day, prior to induction with IPTG, the optical density was measured, and the cells were collected after centrifugation at 10,000 $\times$ g for 2 min. When the culture reached an OD$_{600}$ of $\sim$0.5, protein expression was induced with 1.0 mM IPTG. The concentration of IPTG and the optical density were optimized. The protein concentration was determined by a Qubit$^\text{®}$ 2.0 Fluorometer (Invitrogen). The optical density was checked every two hours, and cells were collected using the protocol adopted by Sambrook and Russell (2001) until the best expression levels were obtained, when analyzed by sodium dodecyl sulfate polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE). All of the chemicals used to conduct SDS-PAGE were purchased from Bio-Rad Laboratories, USA.

**Results**

**Transcriptomic analysis of RHA1 with biphenyl or pyruvate**

To investigate the genes that are involved in PCB degradation and are highly expressed with biphenyl compared with pyruvate, we performed whole transcriptomic analysis using RNA-Seq. \textit{R. jostii RHA1} was grown on W-minimum media with biphenyl or pyruvate until log phase and the total RNA was extracted. After removal of rRNA from the total RNA and library preparation, RNA-Seq analysis was performed. Ion PGM$^\text{TM}$ sequencing provided
Table 1. List of selected genes from comparison of RNA-seq and microarray analysis except for known PCB/biphenyl degradation genes.

| Gene ID | RPKM in biphenyl from RNA-Seq | Fold change (biphenyl/pyruvate) | Annotation Function | Fold change (biphenyl/pyruvate) |
|---------|--------------------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------|---------------------------------|
| ro01050 | 116.522                        | 44.061                          | succinate dehydrogenase | 2.64 |
| ro01372 | 119.094                        | 49.592                          | ATP-dependent protease | 2.40 |
| ro02267 | 119.246                        | 42.088                          | hypothetical protein | 2.83 |
| ro02282 | 568.100                        | 145.256                         | hypothetical protein | 3.91 |
| ro02375 | 146.716                        | 69.952                          | hypothetical protein | 2.10 |
| ro03798 | 116.334                        | 31.069                          | hypothetical protein | 3.74 |
| ro04011 | 162.786                        | 72.299                          | reductase | 15.88 |
| ro04316 | 1019.512                       | 113.812                         | hypothetical protein | 8.96 |
| ro04427 | 171.811                        | 38.043                          | hypothetical protein | 4.52 |
| ro04428 | 188.952                        | 32.675                          | hypothetical protein | 5.72 |
| ro04431 | 188.952                        | 32.675                          | hypothetical protein | 5.72 |
| ro06086 | 106.190                        | 29.630                          | hypothetical protein | 3.58 |
| ro08019 | 305.528                        | 1.617                           | dehydrogenase | 188.95 |
| ro08046 | 262.910                        | 2.824                           | quinone oxidoreductase | 3.81 |
| ro08048 | 1019.512                       | 113.812                         | hypothetical protein | 8.96 |
| ro08049 | 1019.512                       | 113.812                         | hypothetical protein | 8.96 |
| ro08050 | 1019.512                       | 113.812                         | hypothetical protein | 8.96 |
| ro08053 | 1019.512                       | 113.812                         | hypothetical protein | 8.96 |

Identification of highly-expressed genes by RPKM

In our previous research, we identified 770 genes out of total ORF in RHA1 that showed a fold change ≥2 and p-value <0.05 after growth with biphenyl, compared with pyruvate, by using a microarray (Gonçalves et al., 2006). In this research, we identified highly-expressed genes based on the fold change and expression level from RNA-Seq. A gene was considered highly expressed when the mRNA was highly transcribed with biphenyl compared with pyruvate in R. jostii RHA1. A total of 266 genes, as presented in Supplemental Table 1, were found to be particularly transcribed for the degradation of PCB from RNA-Seq, based on our defined criteria (RPKM ≥ 100 and fold change ≥2), when comparing biphenyl with pyruvate. We have set these criteria, as RPKM ≥ 100 is considered high for expression relatively compared with the RPKM value from all ORFs, and a fold change ≥2 is based on previous microarray data. Ninety-eight genes encoding hypothetical protein were identified based on our defined criteria. Among these genes, we identified sdhB1 and sdhA1 for succinate dehydrogenase; fabD, acpM, fabF for acyl carrier protein; pceB1 acts as propionyl-CoA carboxylase subunit; cySH for a phosphoethenylsulfate reductase; encC for L-ectoine synthase; pcaf1 for 3-oxoadiol-CoA-transferase; clpX, clpC1 and clpC2 acts as ATP binding subunit; tig for FKBP-type bacterial trigger factor; tuFA for elongation factor EF1A; rpoC and rpoB for RNA polymerase; ATP genes like atpC, atpD, atpG, atpD and atpF as proton transporter; metE1 for 5-methyltetrahydropteroyltriglutamate-homocysteine S-methyltransferase; dldH1 function as dihydrolipoyl dehydrogenase; sodA for superoxide dismutase; cspa1 for cold shock protein A; sufb and sucF which annotated as FeS assembly protein for biphenyl dioxygenase and thcA genes as aldehyde dehydrogenase. Forty-one genes have RPKM ≥ 1000 in biphenyl grown cells which include all thirty-six genes encoding known PCB degradation genes. For degradation on biphenyl, such as bph, eth, ben and cat genes, that have been previously reported in R. jostii RHA1 (Gonçalves et al., 2006; Iwasaki et al., 2007; Masai et al., 1995; McLeod et al., 2006; Takeda et al., 2004a, b).

In the case of bph genes in RNA-Sequencing (e.g., bphA, bphB, bphC, bphE, bphF, bphG) all the expression is (RPKM > 4000) while fold change is (≥200), which is quite consistent. Meanwhile, in a microarray that considered only the fold change is (≥20) that is underestimated. In case of eth genes (e.g., etbAd, etbAal, etbAb1, etbC) all the expression is (RPKM > 1000) in RNA-Sequencing. However, the fold change is low (≥20) compared with a microarray that has a high fold change (≥10). While other known genes that are important for PCB degradation (ebd, ben, cat genes) shows consistencies with the RNA-Sequencing platform since in microarray detected high fold
change. In RNA-Seq analysis the expression level of *ben* genes (e.g., *benA*, *benB*, *benC*, *benD*, *benK*) is (RPKM > 100) and fold change is (>2.0–4.0) while a microarray gives a fold change (>1.0–10.0). Meanwhile, *cat* genes (e.g., *catA1*, *catB*, *catC*) shows expression level (RPKM > 600) and fold change (>5.0) in RNA-Seq analysis, whereas in microarray platform gives fold change (>10.0). To screen more confidently, the microarray data and RNA-Seq data from NGS were compared, because these two methods generated data for the comparison of biphenyl- and pyruvate-grown cells. After correlation with the microarray data for highly upregulated genes (fold change ≥ 2.0 and p-value ≤ 0.05), 62 genes were highly expressed out of all the genes, based on our criteria, and included all genes which encode known biphenyl degradation, indicating that they were involved in PCB/biphenyl degradation. After removal of known PCB degradation genes, eleven genes were identified as hypothetical proteins, while the rest were the putative enzymes and regulatory proteins shown in Table 1.

**Identification of highly-expressed unannotated DNA regions by RPKM**

Unannotated DNA region represents the DNA region that does not have any annotation information in which an unidentified novel gene might be encoded on an opposite strand. It was observed that several highly-expressed DNA regions, from growth with biphenyl, did not match because there were no encoded proteins. In this study, we have characterized several unannotated DNA regions on the antisense strand. We identified around 22 ‘candidates’ (DNA regions) that have a high expression of unannotated DNA regions (antisense strand), based on a RPKM ≥ 100 and fold change ≥ 1.5, as presented in Supplemental Table 2. Among the 22 candidates, two candidates, the opposite strand of ro01178 (Supplemental Fig. 1) and the opposite strand of ro02144 (Supplemental Fig. 2), named CD01 and CD02, respectively, were randomly chosen for further analysis because of high expression in the antisense strand.

**Validation of unannotated DNA regions as encoded proteins**

The two candidates chosen (CD01 and CD02) were expressed in *E. coli* to determine if either expressed any protein. After induction with IPTG, a protein with an apparent molecular weight of approximately 25 kDa was successfully obtained for CD01 depend on the time after induction. (Supplemental Fig. 3, lane 7–9) in the antisense strand of ro01178, but not from the sense strand of it (Supplemental Fig. 3, lane 2–4). In this study, we found an encoded protein (CD01) on the antisense strand, as it is highly expressed with biphenyl but not with pyruvate on the bottom strand. For the CD02 region (antisense of ro02144), there was no protein observed (data not shown) with forward and reverse direction of the promoter at a molecular weight around 3 kDa or 6.5 kDa. The putative nucleotide and amino acid sequence of CD01 are shown in Supplemental Fig. 4 as we identify protein around 25 kDa.

**Discussion**

This study aimed to show a clear picture of gene expression pattern required for biphenyl degradation by *R. jostii* RHA1, based on transcriptomic analysis by NGS. In this study, we also compared the data from two platforms: microarray and our data from RNA-Seq by NGS. The genes involved in PCB degradation, such as *bph*, *eth*, *ebd*, *ben*, and *cat* were included in this criterion, and this proves that genes in our data can be considered convincing enough to be involved in biphenyl degradation. It can obviously be seen that these genes are highly expressed, as determined by both RNA-Seq by NGS and the previous microarray. *R. jostii* RHA1 co-metabolizes PCBs through the biphenyl catabolic pathway. In this pathway, biphenyl is transformed to benzoate and 2-hydroxy-penta-2,4-dienoate (HPD) by the *bphABCD* gene products, and the resulting HPD is further metabolized to pyruvate and acetyl-coenzyme A (CoA) by successive reactions, catalyzed by the HPD metabolic pathway enzymes, including HPD hydratase (HPDH), 4-hydroxy-2-oxovalerate aldolase (HOVA), and acetaldehyde dehydrogenase (acylating) (AADH) (Furukawa, 1994). A study by Masai et al. (1995) showed that PCB degradation by *R. jostii* RHA1 and the transcription of *bph* genes, which are responsible for the degradation of biphenyl, were activated in the presence of biphenyl. They have discovered that, in the presence of benzoate, transcriptional activation of the *bph* genes is repressed. Benzoate is among the metabolites of biphenyl degradation by *R. jostii* RHA1, whereas the substrate that affects the repression is known as catechol. Catechol is a lower metabolite of benzoate. A study by Ito et al. (2013) demonstrated that the repression of transcriptional activation of *bphAa* by catechol was released by the overexpression of the *catA* gene and improved the growth of *R. jostii* RHA1 on biphenyl.

It is worth noting that gene ro04316 appeared to be upregulated in the data from both platforms, previous microarray (Gonçalves et al., 2006) and NGS. Interestingly, the function of this gene is indicated as a possible transcriptional regulator, from the WhiB family. The exact role of WhiB is not yet clear, but a mutation in the gene produces white, tightly-coiled aerial hyphae in *Streptomyces* (Kormanec and Homorova, 1993). The WhiB family has been reported in some species, such as *Streptomyces, Nocardia* and *Mycobacterium* (Cole et al., 1998; Lasker et al., 2014; Soliveri et al., 2000). It is hypothesized that this gene might play an important function in the physiological change of *R. jostii* RHA1 during PCB degradation. This study broadens our understanding of how Rhodococci can form several physiological changes. Nevertheless, this finding is in contrast with the report from Alvarez (2010), which demonstrated that all *Actinomycetes*, including *R. jostii* RHA1, are nonsporulating bacteria. Meanwhile, eleven hypothetical genes were upregulated. A hypothetical protein is a protein whose existence has been predicted, but there is still inadequate experimental evidence (Galperin and Koonin, 2004). It is common that in any sequenced bacterial genome, approximately 30–40% genes will not have an assigned function (Bork, 2000). The experimental charac-
terization of a hypothetical protein is expected to reveal new, crucial aspects of microbial biology and could also lead to a better functional prediction for PCB degradation.

Atago et al. (2016) had previously chosen eight BphT1-targeting genes that take part in biphenyl metabolism based on a ChIP-chip assay, and we compared their results with our experimental data, as shown in Supplemental Table 3. BphST and BphT1 is a two-component system that plays the main role in the gene activation of \textit{R. jostii} RHA1 for biphenyl degradation (Takeda et al., 2004b). The two-component regulatory system (BphST) has been described as the transcriptional regulatory system in the \textit{Rhodococcus} sp. strains M5 (Labbé et al., 1997) and \textit{R. jostii} RHA1 (Takeda et al., 2004b) for biphenyl degradation. A comparison with our study shows that five genes are on the list as being highly expressed, except ro02841, ro08231, and ro08628, based on our criteria (RPKM ≥ 100 and fold change ≥ 2). Even our data shows five out of eight genes are consistent with previous ChIP-chip analysis, and this also showed that genes in our data might be involved in the biphenyl degradation in RHA1.

To predict which Open Reading Frame (ORF) encodes a protein around 25 kDa from the antisense strand for CD01, the JavaScript program Sequence Manipulation Suite was used to analyze the possible ORF. It is possible that some stop codon can also encode selencysteine.

Since, there are no homologs of selencysteine synthase in \textit{R. jostii} RHA1 genome, we conclude that there is a possible unknown mechanism involved which needed further investigation in order to evaluate the protein functions. BLAST analysis of CD01 showed >50% identity with the hypothetical proteins from \textit{Rhodococcus} sp. RD6.2 and \textit{Rhodococcus} sp. B7740. Meanwhile, an analysis by SWISS-MODEL homology modeling showed that the name of the possible protein, based on the first hits, is the putative phenazine biosynthesis protein PhcZ/Phz. The phenazines are a class of over 150 bacterial secondary metabolites with redox properties that enable them to act as broad specificity antibiotics, as well as virulence and survival factors (Guttenberger et al., 2017; Okegbe et al., 2012). However, for CD02, there was no protein expressed in either the forward or reverse directions. Therefore, there are two possibilities that this ORF cannot be expressed in \textit{E. coli}, or might encode a small regulatory RNA under the growth of biphenyl. According to an article reviewed by Georg and Hess (2011), increasing the number of small RNAs encoded on the opposite strand of established coding sequences has been found to impact the translation or mRNA stability of the fully complementary sense gene.

Our data shown here provides a clear picture of the whole transcription pattern in the cells grown on biphenyl of a PCB-degrading microbe, \textit{R. jostii} RHA1. From our analysis, genome sequences and the annotation of microbes may not be enough for a complete understanding. To solve this issue, we also need to combine transcription information with transcriptomic data to correct the annotations, based on the expression data from RNA-Seq. Besides, wet lab experiments are also important for confirmation. Thus, a combination of genome data and transcriptomic information from RNA-Seq may give complete and sufficient information about the microbes.
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