The retrosplenial cortex and long-term spatial memory: from the cell to the network
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In this review we briefly outline how lesion studies, temporary inactivation and neural activity assays have helped update functional models of the retrosplenial cortex, a region critical for episodic and spatial memory. We advocate for the continued importance of appropriately designed behavioural studies in the context of novel experimental methods, such as optogenetic and chemogenetic manipulations. At the same time, we caution against the overreliance on any given level of analysis or experimental technique. Complementary, multimodal strategies are required for understanding how the retrosplenial cortex contributes to the formation and storage of memories both at a structural and systems-level.
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RSC lesions studies: identifying the necessity of RSC for mnemonic processes

Neuropsychological studies involving patients with damage to specific brain areas have been the bedrock of memory research. There are remarkably few reports of patients with RSC lesions but, from the studies that are available, patients typically present with spatial disorientation and anterograde amnesia, which is sometimes accompanied by retrograde and semantic memory impairments [2,3]. However, it is difficult to ascribe these functions specifically to RSC given that lesions are rarely circumscribed. In contrast, targeted lesions in rodents have confirmed the role of RSC in both spatial and non-spatial memory [2,4]. Studies in animals have also shown RSC-lesion effects are often most evident when animals are required to switch between frames of reference, that is, egocentric or allocentric viewpoints, or integrate information across different sensory modalities [5,6].

Rodent studies can be particularly informative as they enable specific subregions within RSC to be targeted; for example, lesions studies have examined the contributions of granular and dysgranular subdivisions and identified a general role for granular RSC in spatial memory but a more focused role for dysgranular RSC in visuo-spatial processing [7,8] (Figure 1). Furthermore, impairments following selective lesions along the rostro-caudal axis of RSC are consistent with a distribution of function along the length of RSC [9,10]. Together, lesion studies in rodents have advanced our understanding of the RSC and highlighted the heterogeneity within the RSC, which would be missed by simply focusing on the RSC as a single structure.
Lesion studies have typically assessed the effects of RSC damage on new learning, that is, lesions are made before behavioural training. From patient studies, RSC pathology results in retrograde amnesia for autobiographical episodes and impairs the use of previously learnt spatial information [3,11], highlighting the need to assess post-training lesions in rodents. Findings from the few studies available are consistent with RSC being important for the long-term storage or retrieval of previously learnt information. Todd et al. [12] showed that retrieval of auditory fear memories was disrupted when RSC lesions were made several weeks after encoding. Likewise, post-training RSC lesions impaired rats’ ability to discriminate between previously rewarded arms of a radial-arm maze (RAM), irrespective of whether the training occurred 4-weeks or one day before surgery [13]. Importantly, these retrograde memory impairments appear consistent across species: Buckley and Mitchell showed that RSC lesions in macaques disrupted performance on an object-scene memory task that had been learnt before surgery [14].

**Limitations of lesions: a move to temporary inactivation**

Traditional lesion studies have provided a wealth of information regarding the role of RSC for memory but there are limitations to this approach. Compensatory mechanisms can minimise the impact of the lesions, particularly for tasks requiring slow acquisition. This is especially pertinent for the RSC as it may explain why deficits following RSC lesions are often mild and/or resolve with continued training. This may account for the apparent mismatch between the prevalence of RSC involvement in human fMRI studies and the somewhat mild effects of RSC lesions in rodents.

Temporary inactivation enables the RSC to be silenced at different stages of learning, which reduces the likelihood of animals using compensatory strategies. In rodents, only a couple of studies have used drug infusions to temporarily inactivate the RSC during spatial tasks [e.g. 15]. While impairments of T-maze alternation following RSC lesions are only observed when intra-maze and extra-maze cues are put in conflict, rats infused with muscimol into the RSC show deficits even on the standard version of the task [16]. The importance of RSC for fear memory consolidation has been shown using temporary inactivation with infusates such as muscimol as well as with compounds that interfere with post-learning protein synthesis or immediate-early gene (IEG) expression [12,17–19]. The move to chemogenetic and optogenetic approaches [4] has the potential to provide next level cell-to-network analysis by enabling the selective manipulation of subpopulations of cells and specific neuronal pathways.

Evidence for retrosplenic involvement in the storage of long-term memory traces also comes from conditioning experiments where inactivation or re-activation of retrosplenic ensembles can abolish or reinstate retrieval, respectively [20,21*,22].

**Longitudinal imaging of rodent retrosplenic cortex**

Inactivating RSC at different stages of task performance enables us to determine those processes for which RSC is critical. However, this approach is not without drawbacks; for example, a restricted number of inactivations can typically be assessed, there can be carry-over effects on subsequent learning and alterations to normal cell functioning over longer time periods. Critically, temporally altering cell firing in RSC may simply be telling us about the effects of interference across networks rather than how these networks function normally. Furthermore, this approach still potentially undervalues RSC involvement in tasks where RSC engagement is typical but not necessary. Measuring microstructural changes at different stages of learning can address some of these issues.

Our group recently employed diffusion tensor imaging (DTI) to investigate changes in the microstructure of grey matter areas involved during spatial learning [23] (Figure 2). DTI measures tissue inhomogeneity resulting from the asymmetric movement of water molecules and changes to some of its metrics can capture plastic events
in both humans and rodents [24–26]. In our study, animals were trained on a working memory version of the RAM task and we observed differential temporal engagement of the hippocampus and the RSC, with the former showing peak DTI changes during the initial stages of task acquisition. This contrasted with the RSC where the greatest changes were observed at the end of training when the animals were proficient at the task and the spatial environment had become familiar. This complementary engagement of the hippocampus and RSC is consistent with systems consolidation models where the hippocampus is engaged in rapid, early encoding and the cortex is involved in slower, long-term learning requiring the maturation of its representations [27,28]. Likewise, these findings highlight the preferential engagement of RSC in familiar rather than novel spatial environments, which is in line with human fMRI findings [29**].

**Increasing the resolution: from structure to cells**

This longitudinal MR imaging approach is beneficial as it allows for brain-wide assessments and also provides more direct comparisons with human studies. An added benefit of rodent studies is that behaviour can be more closely controlled over longer time periods, enabling behaviour and neural changes to be more closely linked. However, MR imaging studies in rodents typically require animals to be anaesthetised which has a number of drawbacks, including limiting the overall number and frequency of scans that can be carried out. Furthermore, the spatial resolution of these scans makes it difficult to dissociate small subregions and be confident that changes are anatomically constrained.

An alternative is to use higher resolution methodology where individual cells can be assessed. IEGs, such as c-fos, zif268 and Arc, are rapidly expressed in response to physiological or pharmacological stimulation and the proteins are subsequently involved in long-lasting adaptive changes [30]. As such, IEG imaging has been extensively used to probe the involvement of brain circuits in learning and memory and has increased our knowledge of RSC function. Initial experiments assessed IEG expression in post-mortem tissue. These studies revealed RSC engagement during the expression [31] and the consolidation of spatial memory task [32]; however, RSC is not differentially involved when performing the same task in a novel room or with a novel configuration of spatial cues, unlike hippocampus [31,33]. Again, this fits with the concept that RSC is not engaged in the processing of novel spatial cues [23,29**]. The resolution of IEG imaging enables analysis of subregions within RSC and this has provided additional evidence for functional dissociations within RSC: granular RSC is engaged in spatial working memory in both the light and the dark while dysgranular RSC is selectively involved in the light, that is, when visual cues are available [34]. These findings correspond with the dense connectivity between dysgranular RSC and visual cortex and the impaired use and integration of visual stimuli following selective dysgranular RSC lesions [5,6,35]. This IEG imaging approach has also been repeatedly used to demonstrate the sensitivity of RSC to diachisis. There is a striking reduction in RSC activity, as measured by IEG imaging, following lesions of the hippocampus, anterior thalamic nuclei and the mammillothalamic tract [36–40], which likely contributes to the memory impairments associated with these lesions.

This type of IEG imaging provides a method to look in-depth at multiple brain regions from the same animals. More recently, entire brains have been imaged [41], but with the limitation that only a single time-point can be assessed. A further development is using two-photon imaging to image cells longitudinally. The RSC is in many ways an ideal region for this approach given its location on the surface of the brain, simply requiring a window to be inserted in the overlying skull when imaging dysgranular RSC. Active neurons in the RSC, expressing an IEG, can be tagged with reporter genes, such as the green fluorescent protein, and repeatedly visualised under a cranial window [42]. We were able to use this approach to image the same cells over a number of days to examine the engagement of these cells during a spatial memory task [43] (Figure 3). Mice in the study were
trained over a number of sessions on a reference memory task in the RAM. Over the course of training, a stable pattern of cell activity emerged, corresponding with the learning of the task. The pattern of neural activity was also re-instated upon retrieval of the task after a 24-day delay. Importantly, the fidelity of the re-instatement correlated with the animals’ performance levels, highlighting a role for the RSC in the encoding and storage of memory traces (engram formation). Furthermore, the time-scale of the developing engram is consistent with the findings from our DTI study, where RSC appears particularly important for the slow, long-term learning of spatial information. It also mirrors recent findings from Miller et al. [44] who, using electrophysiological recordings, demonstrated the gradual involvement of the RSC throughout the acquisition of continuous spatial alternation in T-maze.

From structure to networks
IEG analyses have enabled us to assess the impact of both distal lesions on RSC function and of RSC lesions on wider networks [40,45]. While these studies highlight the importance of looking at the RSC in the context of wider networks, they fail to capture the dynamic nature of interactions across regions. A few studies have carried out simultaneous electrophysiological recordings of RSC and the hippocampus to examine the interplay between these structures. We and others have identified state-dependent effects on cross-frequency modulation within RSC and coherence between RSC and hippocampus [23,46]. The interactions between RSC and hippocampus during REM sleep may be particularly relevant for the RSC’s role in consolidation. Likewise, RSC-hippocampal coherence also varies with contextual fear learning such that the degree of RSC-hippocampal theta peak coherence can predict retrieval of remote fear memory [47]. Recent developments in probes and recording capacities will undoubtedly advance our understanding of RSC participation in large-scale memory networks.

Novel techniques for exploring the temporal dynamics of memory
The use of direct functional imaging techniques as well as electrophysiological recordings offers a window into the temporal progression of mechanisms underlying memory formation, consolidation and retrieval. Imaging of genetically encoded calcium indicators expressed in select neuronal subpopulations yields critical information about both the identity and the activity patterns of mnemonic circuits. Consistent with the placement of the human RSC among scene selective areas, the rodent RSC shows sensitivity to both basic [48] and more complex visual stimuli providing contextual information. Calcium signals in RSC provide evidence for place-field like activity when traversing simple environments [49]. Such ‘place fields’ appear critically dependent on their hippocampal inputs and show gradual stabilisation over the course of learning [50]. RSC also modulates visual cortex responses in mice that have learnt a visual avoidance task, showing RSC can exert top-down control over sensory responses and that control increases over training [51]. While very informative, calcium-imaging studies are still limited in their ability to replicate natural animal behaviours (e.g. due to head-fixation) and may be confounded by ectopic activity patterns [52]. Nevertheless, the use of microendocopes in freely moving animals, including mesoscale level analyses (capturing large areas of the cortex simultaneously) [e.g., 53] may soon help overcome these shortcomings.

Conclusions
No individual experimental approach can capture the complex nature of memory. While novel technological advancements have afforded unprecedented levels of analysis, thus allowing more mechanistic models of memory processes to emerge, it remains crucial not to overlook more traditional approaches (see Box 1). This is very much true for behavioural studies where appropriate designs and use of controls remain essential. After all,
understanding memory requires the ability to link behaviour with internal processes while resisting the temptation to reduce it to a very limited set of laboratory tasks. For example, the increased focus on fear conditioning experiments in rodents may be difficult to reconcile with the RSC’s role in human memory processes [27]. Likewise, the focus on isolated processes or brain areas can prove misleading. The application of new-generation in vivo electrophysiological probes or imaging of genetically encoded calcium indicators have already shown huge promise in disentangling the contributions of neural networks to memory while genetic manipulations now allow the selective alteration of memory traces. These techniques may perhaps one day enable the reinstatement of normal RSC function following diachisis resulting from both lesions and dementia. This is clearly an important goal given the repeated findings, from numerous experimental approaches, and across species, of the importance of the RSC for spatial memory and for the long-term representation of spatial associations.
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