Hypoxia Inducible Factor-1α Directly Regulates Nuclear Clusterin Transcription by Interacting with Hypoxia Response Elements in the Clusterin Promoter
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Differential transcription of the clusterin (CLU) gene yields two CLU isoforms, a nuclear form (nCLU) and a secretory form (sCLU), which play crucial roles in prostate tumorigenesis. Pro-apoptotic nCLU and anti-apoptotic sCLU have opposite effects and are differentially expressed in normal and cancer cells; however, their regulatory mechanisms at the transcriptional level are not yet known. Here, we examined the transcriptional regulation of nCLU in response to hypoxia. We identified three putative hypoxia response elements (HREs) in the human CLU promoter between positions -806 and +51 bp. Using a luciferase reporter, electrophoretic gel mobility shift, and chromatin immunoprecipitation assays, we further showed that hypoxia-inducible factor-1α (HIF-1α) bound directly to these sites and activated transcription. Exposure to the hypoxia-mimetic compound CoCl2, incubation under 1% O2 conditions, or overexpression of HIF-1α enhanced nCLU expression and induced apoptosis in human prostate cancer PC3M cells. However, LNCaP prostate cancer cells were resistant to hypoxia-induced nCLU expression. Methylation-specific PCR analysis revealed that the CLU promoter in PC3M cells was not methylated; in contrast, the CLU promoter in LNCap cells was methylated. Co-treatment of LNCaP cells with CoCl2 and a demethylating agent promoted apoptotic cell death through the induction of nCLU. We conclude that nCLU expression is regulated by direct binding of HIF-1α to HRE sites and is epigenetically controlled by methylation of its promoter region.

INTRODUCTION
Clusterin (CLU), a glycoprotein also known as apolipoprotein J, is ubiquitously expressed in various tissues and is upregulated following exposure to cytotoxic stimuli (de Silva et al., 1990; Gleave and Miyake, 2005; Pucci et al., 2004; Trougakos and Gonos, 2002; Trougakos et al., 2004). The single CLU gene produces two alternatively spliced isoforms - nuclear CLU (nCLU) and secretory CLU (sCLU) - with different subcellular localizations and biological functions (Rosenberg and Slikkens, 1995; Shannan et al., 2006; Trougakos and Gonos, 2002; Wilson and Easterbrook-Smith, 2000). Each isoform contains a unique exon 1 fragment, but both share the remaining sequences (from exon 2 to 9). nCLU is detected as a ~55 kDa glycosylated protein in the nucleus and induces apoptotic cell death, whereas the mature form of sCLU is a disulfide-linked heterodimeric protein (75-80 kDa) targeted for secretion. In cancer cells, sCLU exerts an anti-apoptotic function and its expression is elevated upon metastasis (Andersen et al., 2007; Bettuzzi et al., 2002; Leskov et al., 2003; Schepeler et al., 2007). However, no definitive mechanism has been proposed to account for the differential expression of these isoforms.

Recent reports have shown that epigenetic modifications of the CLU promoter are involved in tumorigenesis (Lund et al., 2006; Serrano et al., 2009). It has been shown that the CLU promoter is methylated in LNCaP and TRAMP C2 cells in association with downregulation of CLU expression (Rauhala et al., 2008). In contrast, the CLU promoter is reported to be unmethylated in PC3 and DU145 cells (Rauhala et al., 2008; Sala et al., 2009). Epigenetic regulation of the CLU gene appears to be important in tumor development and progression, particularly in prostate cancer.

Hypoxia, a characteristic feature of several pathological...
states, including cancer (Semenza, 1998), induces stabilization of hypoxia-inducible factor-1α (HIF-1α), which transcriptionally activates various genes involved in anaerobic metabolism, angiogenesis, and cell survival (Otrock et al., 2009). A recent report also showed that CLU is induced by hypoxia in human tendinopathy at both mRNA and protein levels (Millar et al., 2012), suggesting that hypoxia may directly regulate the transcription of CLU through a hypoxia-induced signaling pathway. Regulation of promoter activity in hypoxia occurs through the interaction of HIF-1α with a hypoxia response element (HRE) (Semenza, 2001). Here, we identified three putative HRE sites in the CLU promoter using a bioinformatics analysis and demonstrated that HIF-1α bound to these sites and activated nCLU expression. Moreover, we found that nCLU expression is epigenetically regulated, suggesting that controlling methylation of the CLU promoter would be an effective approach for inducing apoptotic cell death and thus might be a good strategy for treating cancers.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Cell culture
The human prostate cancer cell lines, PC3M and LNCaP, were obtained from the American Type Culture Collection (USA) and were maintained in RPMI-1640 medium supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS), 100 U/ml penicillin, and 100 μg/ml streptomycin (HyClone, Thermo, USA). Cells were maintained in a humidified 5% CO2 incubator at 37°C. For low oxygen (O2) treatment, cells were cultured in a hypoxic incubator (Sanyo Electron Corporation) adjusted to 1% O2 for 6, 9, or 24 h.

Cell viability assay
Cell viability was measured using the 3(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide (MTT) assay. MTT solution (2 mg/ml) was added to each well and incubated with cells at 37°C for 4 h. The resulting formazan crystals were dissolved in dimethyl sulfoxide, and absorbance was measured at 570 nm using a microplate reader (Tecan, Switzerland).

Immunocytochemistry
Cells were fixed in 4% formaldehyde and permeabilized with 0.1% Triton X-100 in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS). Fixed cells were stained with primary goat anti-CLU antibody (1:250; Santa Cruz Biotechnology, USA) for 2 h, followed by incubation with Alexa Fluor488-conjugated anti-goat IgG (Invitrogen, USA) in the dark for 1 h at room temperature. Terminal deoxynucleotidyl transferase dUTP nick end labeling (TUNEL) assays were performed using an in situ Cell Death Detection Kit (Roche, Germany), according to the manufacturer’s protocol. Cells were mounted using ProLong Gold antifade reagent containing the nuclear stain 4′,6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI; Invitrogen, USA).

Immunoblotting
Cells were homogenized in lysis buffer (50 mM Tris pH 7.5, 150 mM NaCl, 5 mM EDTA, 1% Nonidet P-40) and Protease Inhibitor Cocktail (Sigma-Aldrich, USA). Cell lysates containing equal amounts of total proteins were electrophoresed and transferred to nitrocellulose membranes. Blots were probed with anti-HIF-1α, anti-vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF), anti-GAPDH (glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase) antibodies (Cell Signaling, USA); and anti-CLU and anti-β-actin antibodies (Santa Cruz Biotechnology). Immunoreactive antigens were detected using an Enhanced Chemiluminescence Detection Kit (Amersham Bioscience, USA). Images were analyzed using the ImageJ program.

Quantitative polymerase chain reaction
Total RNA was extracted using the RNeasy Mini kit (Qiagen, Germany) and converted into cDNA with the Reverse Transcriptase System (Promega, USA) according to the manufacturer’s protocol. Quantitative polymerase chain reaction (PCR) was performed on a LightCycler 480 Real-Time PCR System using Power SYBR Green PCR Master Mix (Roche, Switzerland). Relative mRNA levels were normalized to those of β-actin mRNA. The primers used in these experiments have been described in previous reports (Chen et al., 2009; Cochran et al., 2007; Kase et al., 2010).

Plasmid construction
The CLU upstream promoter region was subcloned by PCR using genomic DNA extracted from PC3M cells as a template. The primers used for PCR were as follows: -806 KpnI forward, 5′-GAT AGG TAC CGA TTT CCT AAG TGG GAA GGC TTC-3′; +480 KpnI forward, 5′-GAT AGG TAC CGG TTT CAA TAC TTC CTG TGC TCT-3′; and -125 KpnI forward, 5′-GAT AGG TAC CCT TCC AGA AAG CTC CCC T-3′; -76 KpnI forward, 5′-GAT AGG TAC CAT GCA GGT TTG CAG CCA G-3′; and +51 BglII reverse, 5′-TGG CAG ATC TGT CAG CCG CAC CC-3′. The restriction sites are underlined. PCR products were digested with KpnI and BglII restriction enzymes and inserted into the pGL3 basic vector (Promega). HRE mutants were generated using site-directed mutagenesis. All constructs were confirmed by direct sequencing (Bioneer, Korea).

Luciferase assay
Cells were transfected using Lipofectamine LTX (Invitrogen), according to the manufacturer’s instructions. After 24 h, cells were washed with cold PBS and lysed in lysis buffer provided in the Luciferase Assay System (Promega). Transfection efficiency was subsequently detected by incubation with the chemiluminescent substrate CSPD.

Electrophoretic gel mobility shift assay
Electrophoretic mobility shift assays (EMSAs) were performed using a DIG Gel Shift Kit (Roche), as specified by the manufacturer. The sequences of the three oligonucleotide probes containing HREs were as follows: HRE1 probe, 5′-CAT TCT TTG GGC GTG AGT CAT GCA G-3′ (-95 to -71); HRE2 probe, 5′-CCG GCT AAC CGG GTG AGA GGG GCT C-3′ (-301 to -277); and HRE3 probe, 5′-ATT AYA CCA AAC GTG CTA CTG CAA G-3′ (-714 to -690). The VEGF promoter probe was used as described previously (Chen et al., 2009). The HRE binding site, CGTG, was mutated to TCGG for each probe. For competition assays, unlabeled and mutant probes were added to the reaction mixture prior to addition of the labeled probe. The HIF-1α binding complex was electrophoresed on a 6% native polyacrylamide gel, transferred to a positively charged nylon membrane, and incubated with an alkaline phosphatase-conjugated anti-digoxigenin antibody. Associated alkaline phosphatase activity was subsequently detected by incubation with the chemiluminescent substrate CSPD.

Chromatin immunoprecipitation assay
Chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP) assays were performed as previously described (Park et al., 2007) using anti-HIF-1α.
CoCl₂ promotes the expression of HIF-1α target genes and nCLU

To further examine whether hypoxic conditions affect CLU expression in PC3M cells, we treated cells with CoCl₂ and measured CLU mRNA and protein levels. CoCl₂ treatment is known to stabilize HIF-1α protein and promote expression of its target genes. Using real-time PCR analyses, we confirmed an increase in the mRNA levels of HIF-1α target genes, including VEGF and GAPDH. CoCl₂ treatment induced a concentration- and time-dependent increase (2-3-fold) in nCLU mRNA levels (Fig. 2A). We also examined changes in the protein levels of HIF-1α and its known target genes, as well as nCLU, by Western blot analysis. As expected, protein levels of HIF-1α, VEGF, and GADH were increased by CoCl₂ treatment. nCLU, detected as a 55 kDa band, was also increased by CoCl₂ treatment (Fig. 2B). These results suggest that treatment of PC3M cells with CoCl₂ reduces cell viability through increased expression of HIF-1α-responsive genes, including nCLU, which is a known pro-apoptotic protein. To demonstrate that HIF-1α is directly responsible for CLU gene expression, we also transiently transfected PC3M cells with a green fluorescent protein (GFP)-tagged HIF-1α expression plasmid. Overexpression of GFP-tagged HIF-1α, confirmed by fluorescence microscopy, induced an increase in the levels of nCLU mRNA and protein (Figs. 2C and 2D), supporting the interpretation that HIF-1α directly promotes the expression of nCLU.

Differential regulation of nCLU expression in PC3M and LNCaP cells following exposure to low O₂

To monitor transcriptional regulation of nCLU in response to genuine hypoxia, we exposed cells to 1% O₂ for up to 24 h and analyzed the expression levels of HIF-1α and nCLU by real-time PCR and Western blot analysis (Fig. 3). To assess the role of differential epigenetic modifications in regulating CLU expression, we compared the two prostate cancer cell lines, PC3M and LNCaP, which differ with respect to CLU promoter methylation. In both cell lines, 1% O₂ maximally induced HIF-1α after 6 h; however, nCLU expression was induced in PC3M, but not in LNCaP cells, at both mRNA (Fig. 3A) and protein levels (Fig. 3B). Moreover, hypoxia induced a more significant decrease in cell viability in PC3M than in LNCaP cells (Fig. 3C). These results suggest that hypoxia induces nCLU expression and subsequent cell death and that this regulation may be epigenetically controlled, as previously reported (Rauhala et al., 2008; Sala et al., 2009).

Putative HRE sites identified in the human CLU promoter function in the transcriptional regulation of CLU

An analysis of the genomic sequences of human CLU identified three putative HRE sites in the promoter region (Fig. 4A). Based on the locations of HRE sites, the sequences from -806
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Fig. 2. Treatment with CoCl₂ and overexpression of HIF-1α induce nCLU expression in PC3M cells. (A, B) PC3M cells were treated with the indicated concentration of CoCl₂ for the times shown. HIF-1α mRNA (A) and protein (B) were detected by real-time PCR and Western blotting, and quantified. (C, D) PC3M cells were transiently transfected with a GFP-tagged human HIF-1α expression vector. The mRNA (C) and protein (D) were detected by real-time PCR and Western blotting, and quantified. Relative levels were normalized to that of β-actin. ***P < 0.001, **P < 0.005, *P < 0.01, compared to controls.

to +51 relative to the start site of HIF-1α were cloned into the promoter region of a luciferase reporter plasmid. The functionality of each HRE site was assessed by deleting multiple or individual HRE sites (Fig. 4B). Luciferase activity was determined in the presence or absence of 400 μM CoCl₂ as well as under conditions of HIF-1α overexpression. The transcriptional activity of constructs containing HRE1, HRE1 and HRE2, or all three HRE sites was significantly increased under hypoxia-mimetic (CoCl₂ treatment) conditions (Fig. 4C) and with transient HIF-1α overexpression (Fig. 4D). To further confirm the respective activity of the three HRE sites, we generated mutant reporter constructs by site-directed mutagenesis (Fig. 4E). All three HRE mutants exhibited decreased luciferase activity (Fig. 4F), indicating that all HRE sites in the promoter contribute to the
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Fig. 3. Effects of hypoxia on the differential regulation of nCLU expression and cell viability in PC3M and LNCaP cells. PC3M and LNCaP cells were exposed to 1% O2 for 6, 9, and 24 h. Expression of HIF-1α and nCLU were analyzed by real-time PCR (A) and Western blotting (B). (C) Cell viability was measured by MTT assay after exposure of cells to 1% O2 for 24 h. ***P < 0.001, **P < 0.005, *P < 0.01, compared to controls.

transcriptional activation of CLU.

The three HRE sites in the CLU promoter bind HIF-1α

To demonstrate direct binding of HIF-1α to the CLU promoter, we performed EMSAs using oligonucleotide probes containing each HRE consensus sequence (Fig. 5A). Binding of HIF-1α to individual HRE sites was detected in reactions containing each labeled probe and nuclear proteins. In competition experiments, gel shifts were suppressed by excess unlabeled wild-type probe, but were not out-competed by mutant probes. Similar results were obtained in positive control EMSAs performed using a nucleotide probe derived from the VEGF promoter, which is known to bind HIF-1α. To further confirm the specificity of HIF-1α binding, antibody supershift assays were performed using a HIF-1α antibody. We observed broad and dense bands following the addition of HIF-1α antibody rather than a typical supershifted band. In addition, the HIF-1α antibody failed to alter band intensity at the HRE2 site compared with that at other HRE sites, indicating that this antibody may be not suitable for this assay. Despite these technical limitations, the observations that excess wild-type HRE-containing DNA probes, but not HRE-specific mutant probes, inhibited complex formation between wild-type probes and a nuclear factor in EMSAs, and an anti-HIF-1α antibody generated a supershifted band (albeit atypical) in these assays suggest that the nuclear factor that binds to HRE sites in the CLU promoter is HIF-1α.

ChIP assays were further performed to demonstrate direct binding of HIF-1α to endogenous HRE sites in the CLU promoter in intact cells. The locations of PCR primers specific to each HRE site are indicated in Fig. 5B. Chromatin immunoprecipitates recruited by the HIF-1α antibody were amplified with each primer set and their products were quantified (Fig. 5C). Consistent with the direct regulation of CLU transcriptional activity by HIF-1α binding, our ChIP data showed that HIF-1α bound to endogenous HRE sites under conditions of CoCl2-stimulated hypoxia.

Demethylation of the CLU promoter in LNCaP cells enhances nCLU expression and induces apoptotic cell death

The methylation status of the CLU promoter in the prostate cancer cell lines, PC3M, DU145 and LNCaP, was established using a methylation-specific PCR-based approach that detects restriction-sensitive sites. In PC3M and DU145 cell lines, the CLU promoter was found to be unmethylated, as evidenced by the presence of a single specific PCR band at the bottom. However, LNCaP cells exhibited two PCR bands, indicative of CLU promoter methylation (Fig. 6A). If methylation plays a role in nCLU silencing, demethylation of the CLU promoter should result in reactivation of gene expression. Thus, we examined the effect of the demethylating agent, 5-aza-2′-deoxycytidine (AZA), on nCLU expression and subsequent cell death. LNCaP exhibited no nCLU induction in response to treatment with CoCl2 or exposure to 1% O2 alone and were resistant to the cytotoxic effects of hypoxic stress compared to PC3M cells (Figs. 3C and 6B). However, treatment with 3 μM AZA for 3 days
Fig. 4. Putative HRE sites are identified in the CLU promoter and mediate luciferase reporter activity. (A) Three potential HRE sites (HRE1, -2, and -3), starting at positions -81, -287 and -700 bp, respectively, in the human CLU promoter were identified by sequence analysis. (B) Reporter gene constructs are denoted -806 Luc (-806 to +51), which contains all three HRE sites; -480 Luc (-480 to +51), which contains HRE1 and HRE2; -125 Luc (-125 to +51), which contains HRE1 only; and -76 Luc (-76 to +51), which contains no HREs. (C) HEK 293T cells were transfected with each reporter construct and stimulated with 400 μM CoCl2 for 6 h. (D) Cells were co-transfected with each reporter construct and a HIF-1α expression vector. (E, F) Mutant luciferase constructs were designed for each of the three HRE sites (mHRE1, -2, and -3) by site-direct mutagenesis using the -806 Luc reporter plasmid as a backbone. The relative luciferase activity was measured and normalized to the enzymatic activity of β-galactosidase. ***P < 0.001, **P < 0.005, *P < 0.01, compared to controls.

Fig. 5. The three putative HRE sites in the CLU promoter bind to HIF-1α. (A) EMSAs were performed using DIG-labeled oligonucleotide probes containing consensus HRE sites. The labeled probe was incubated with ~25-50 μg of nuclear extract; unlabeled probes were used as competitors. An oligonucleotide probe derived from the VEGF promoter was used as a positive control. (B) A schematic drawing of HRE sites and locations of PCR primer pairs for each HRE site-specific ChIP analysis. (C) PC3M cells were treated with 400 μM CoCl2 for 6 h, and nuclear fractions were immunoprecipitated with IgG or anti-HIF-1α antibody. Extracted chromatin fragments were analyzed by real-time PCR using the indicated primer sets to amplify sequences corresponding to each HRE site. ***P < 0.001, **P < 0.005, *P < 0.01, compared to controls.
Demethylation of the CLU promoter in LNCaP cells enables CoCl2-induced nCLU expression and apoptotic cell death. (A) Genomic DNA from the prostate cell lines, PC3M, DU145 and LNCaP, was digested with the methylation-sensitive restriction enzyme KspI. Two bands, at 272 and 155 bp, were observed after KspI treatment in LNCaP cells, whereas only a single band at 155 bp was detected in PC3M and DU145 cells. (B) The viability of LNCaP cells was sustained after treatment with CoCl2 treatment compared to that of PC3M cells. (C, D) Treatment with 3 μM AZA for 3 days followed by exposure to 400 μM CoCl2 for 6 h enhanced the mRNA and protein levels of nCLU. (E, F) Cotreatment with AZA and CoCl2 decreased cell viability (%) and induced strong nCLU and TUNEL staining in LNCaP cells. **P < 0.005, *P < 0.01, compared to controls.

DISCUSSION

The present study showed that nCLU expression is transcriptionally regulated by HIF-1α. Three putative HRE sites in the CLU promoter were identified and shown to be functional in luciferase reporter, EMSA, and ChIP assays. Treatment of PC3M cells with 400 μM CoCl2 for 6 h was sufficient to stabilize HIF-1α, as previously reported (Piret et al., 2002), and enhance expression of its downstream target genes, VEGF and GAPDH (Forsythe et al., 1996; Higashimura et al., 2011; Yamaji et al., 2003). We additionally observed an increase in nCLU expression, providing support for nCLU as a HIF-1α target gene.

CoCl2 has been proposed to act by depleting intracellular ascorbate, which, by itself, is sufficient for the inactivation of HIF prolyl hydroxylases and the induction of hypoxia-like stress in cells (Salnikow et al., 2004). It has also been shown that CLU is induced in CoCl2-treated, but not in low-oxygenated, human diploid fibroblasts (HDFs), suggesting that distinct cellular components of HDFs may respond differentially to hypoxic stress (Pouilos et al., 2006). Here, we administered short-term, low-dose CoCl2 as an HIF-1α-inducing stimulus to avoid the side effect of higher concentrations of CoCl2 or longer exposure regimens. For physiological relevance, we further performed experiments under low oxygen (1% O2) and established nCLU as a truly hypoxia-responsive gene.

Recent reports have shown that CLU overexpression increases drug sensitivity under hypoxic conditions by increasing apoptosis in colorectal cancer (Kevans et al., 2012). Diverse cross-talk between CLU and hypoxia suggests that regulation of CLU expression is important for tumor formation and cancer progression, although a direct relationship between the two has not yet been investigated. To our knowledge, this is the first study to identify HRE sites in the CLU promoter and demonstrate that they directly bind HIF-1α. Although the full-length promoter spans ~1,500-2,000 bp of the 5′ regulatory region, we cloned only the sequence from -806 to +51 bp for our luciferase constructs because it contains the clusterin response element (CRE), which is critical for CLU induction, as reported in hepatocellular carcinomas (Liao et al., 2009). The functional HRE sites responsible for hypoxia-induced apoptotic cell death were found within this region.

Our study clearly showed the epigenetic regulation of the CLU promoter by methylation, as previously described (Rauhala et al., 2008; Sala et al., 2009). Since the CpG islands in the CLU promoter in PC3M and LNCaP cells have been reported to be differentially methylated, we selected these two cell lines for comparing the levels of nCLU expression and apoptosis. The major difference in methylation is found between -534 and -99 bp within the CLU promoter (Rosemblit and Chen, 1994). Serrano et al. (2009) suggested that the methylation position most sensitive to the KspI enzyme (-100) is of particular interest, because it is close to the TAATA box and is the target sequence for the transcription factor, AP1. Moreover, HRE1 (-85 to -81) and HRE2 (-291 to -287) sites identified in this study are also in close proximity to this site. Thus, it is reasonable to speculate that DNA sequences capable of being methylated, particularly those proximal to the HRE sites, are critical for epigenetic modification-dependent repression of HIF-1α-mediated transcription, which may be an initial step towards
tumorigenesis in early prostate cancers.

In this study, we demonstrated that HIF-1α is a major HRE-binding factor in the CLU promoter. However, it should be noted that there are two isoforms of HIF-α - HIF-1α and HIF-2α - that are highly conserved with respect to protein structure; both are also stabilized by hypoxia and bind to the same HRE sites. However, HIF-2α has a distinct, tissue-specific expression pattern and binding partners (Loboda et al., 2010). HIF-1α is expressed ubiquitously, whereas HIF-2α expression is limited to the endothelium, kidney, lung, heart, and small intestine (Wiesener et al., 2003). In neuroblastomas, HIF-2α is known to be associated with a more aggressive metastatic phenotype (Holmquist-Mengelbier et al., 2006). Since our study was performed in prostate cancer cells and focused on the apoptotic function of nCLU at the early stage of cancer, we investigated HIF-1α as the major transcriptional regulator of nCLU expression.

A previous study has reported that von Hippel-Lindau (VHL), a tumor-suppressor protein, regulates CLU expression in a HIF-independent manner in renal carcinomas (Nakamura et al., 2006). However, this study investigated the secretion of sCLU and provided no information about nCLU or methylation status of the promoter. Here, we clearly show that nCLU expression is HIF-1α dependent and regulated by promoter methylation. As previously shown, the two CLU isoforms are present in various levels in different tissues (Bettuzzi, 2009; Rizzi and Bettuzzi, 2010; Rizzi et al., 2009), and we speculate that each isoform may be differentially expressed according to the abundance of tissue-specific transcription factors and their cis-regulatory elements on the promoter as well as by various epigenetic modifications.

We previously proposed that nCLU sequesters the anti-apoptotic Bcl-1 family member, Bcl-XL, via its putative BH3 motif and releases bound Bax, thereby promoting apoptosis accompanied by activation of caspase-3 and release of cytochrome c (Kim and Choi, 2011; Kim et al., 2011, 2012; Lee et al., 2011). These results suggest a novel, nCLU-mediated apoptosis mechanism in normal cells. We further propose here that nCLU expression may be silenced in the early stage of prostate cancers via promoter methylation, which facilitates the survival of cancer cells (Rizzi and Bettuzzi, 2009; 2010). A recent report showed that HIF-1α directly regulates BCL-XL expression by binding to a HRE in the BCL-XL promoter in prostate cancer cells (Chen et al., 2009). This suggests that BCL-XL overexpression is an important mechanism by which HIF-1α protects prostate cancer cells from apoptosis. Thus, our results strongly support the concept that CLU reactivation through relief of methylation-mediated repression would be a good strategy for treating drug resistance.

We conclude that nCLU acts as a tumor-suppressor protein and that the methylation status of the CLU promoter could serve as a diagnostic indicator of the early stages of prostate cancer. Moreover, modulating CLU promoter methylation could be a promising therapeutic strategy for prostate cancer treatment.

Note: Supplementary information is available on the Molecules and Cells website (www.molcells.org).
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