Effect of Different Rootstock for Higher Eggplant Production
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Abstract. A field experiment was conducted at Olericulture Division, Horticulture Research Center (HRC) of Bangladesh Agricultural Research Institute (BARI), Gazipur, Bangladesh, during the period from September 2018 to April 2019 to study the effect of different rootstock for higher eggplant production. Among the five treatments, it could be concluded that eggplant can be grafted on four rootstocks viz., BARI Begun-8 (BB8), EG203, S. sisybriifolium (SS), F1 (21×11) with desired scions- BARI Begun-5. In case of important yield and yield contributing characters viz., average fruit weight, fruit yield/plant, fruit length and fruit width showed better performances when BB5 was grafted onto BB5/BB8 [186.67 g, 3.92 kg/plant, 10.37 cm, 8.20 cm] and BB5/F1 (21×11) [186.67 g, 3.98 kg/plant, 10.17 cm, 7.90 cm]. Among the 4 grafted treatments, BB5/F1 (21×11) (51.70 t/ha), BB5/BB8 (50.96 t/ha) and BB5/EG203 (45.61 t/ha) produced more than 45 t/ha. So, these three rootstocks may be selected considering higher yield. However, the study was conducted during winter season. So, to draw a complete conclusion it is needed to conduct this experiment during summer season.
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1 Introduction

The advantages of vegetable grafting have been noticed by many workers. Grafts were used to induce resistance against low and high temperatures [26], enhance nutrient uptake [14] [12], increase synthesis of endogenous hormones, improve water use efficiency [32], reduce uptake of persistent organic pollutants from agricultural soils [29], improve alkalinity tolerance [13], raise salt tolerance [26], [31] and limit the negative effect of heavy metal toxicity. The scion variety affects size, yield, and quality of fruit in grafted plants, but rootstock effects can drastically alter these quality characteristics [3]. The quality characteristics might be affected by grafting as a result of the translocation of metabolites associated with fruit quality to the scion through the xylem and/or modification of physiological processes of the scion [32].

Eggplant (Solanum melongena L.), belonging to the family Solanaceae, is the most important and extensively consumed vegetable in Bangladesh. Brinjal is a very common and favorite vegetable in Bangladesh which has a link with the social, cultural and economic lives of rural people. It has been a staple vegetable in our diet since ancient times. Eggplant is rich in nutrition with appreciable amount of vitamins (A, B) and minerals like, B, Fe, I, Mg [30]. In Bangladesh, vegetable production is uniform round the year. Most of the vegetable are produced in the winter. Among the vegetables, eggplant is very important. Eggplant is the most important vegetable crop in respect of total acreage (50415 ha) and production (504817 ton) in Bangladesh with an average yield of 10.00 tons per hectare [5], which is very low as compared to that other producing countries. The yield is quite low as compared to those advance country. One of the major reasons for low yield in Bangladesh is bacterial wilt disease. A report from India reveals that bacterial wilt can causes 27% losses eggplant [24]. To causal organism resistant rootstock is an effective technology to prevent the bacterial wilt. A report from Bangladesh indicated that grafting of eggplant on resistant rootstocks to present bacterial wilt [18].

Grafting has proved to be an efficient tool for increasing the yield, disease resistance and quality of a number of vegetable crops [2], [3], [15], [16]. Ideally, rootstocks may improve the yield and/or quality of the produce. This can be achieved by using rootstocks that have resistance to soil diseases or pests, tolerance to abiotic stress, selective absorption of available soil nutrients, or that confer a high degree of vigour to the scion [2], [3], [15], [16]. Here, we have tested the effects of grafting the eggplant cultivars
onto different species of rootstocks and have found that improvements in the production of eggplant can be achieved by using this technique. Benefits realized through rootstock grafts often justify the challenges that successful production of grafted plants requires including synchronization and good germination rates of the rootstock and scion, and high rates of graft success and stand establishment after transplant.

Grafting is an ideal technique for vegetable production because scions with desirable fruit-producing traits that are also susceptible to soil-borne disease or climatic pressures can be grafted onto rootstock that is more resistant to these pressures. The resulting union often results in a more productive plant. Proper grafting practice may lead to the production of relatively large size fruit, increase yield, early harvest, and longest time of harvesting of fruits and conveniences in intercultural operation less damage to the fruit or plants. But in Bangladesh, majority of the grower do not get high quality fruit and high yield because of their ignorance about proper grafting technology practices. In a fertile soil with favorable condition, eggplants particularly grow continuously and produce large number of fruits. In this case, appropriate grafting method is necessary because to increase the yield and quality fruit of eggplant. Eggplant can be severely pruned without affecting the yield [19], [20].

Grafting can reduce cost of production, increase the yield and improve the fruit quality of fruit. In this work, we assess the potential vigour and influence on eggplant yield and fruit quality traits of BARI Begun-5 when it was grafted on BARI Begun-8, EG203, S. sisymbriifolium and F₁ (21×11) [hybrid] rootstocks. Hybrids of vegetable crops frequently present heterosis for vigour [22] and, in consequence have a potential utility as rootstocks. Apart from vigour, hybrids are used as rootstocks in many vegetable crops since they can incorporate resistances to pathogens from both parents [21], [16].

Rootstock–scion interactions are commonly observed in different crops [25], [10]. Increased earliness has also been reported for eggplant grafted onto two tomato hybrids [11] and in melon plants grafted onto Cucurbita rootstocks [25], [6]. Fruit quality is important for the marketability of fruit, and grafting can influence traits related to quality [1], [2], [3], [27], [28]. So, there is a positive impact of rootstock on quality and higher eggplant production. Keeping this information, our objective is to identify new potential rootstocks either OP or hybrid for quality and higher eggplant production.

2 Materials and Methods

The field experiment was conducted at Olericulture Division, Horticulture Research Centre, Bangladesh Agricultural Research Institute, BARI, Joydebpur, Gazipur during 05 September, 2018 to 05 April 2019. The experimental field was at 23.99°N Latitude and 90.41°E Longitudes having an elevation of 8.2 m from sea level.

2.1 Plant Material

The eggplant cultivar BARI Begun-5 (BARI released OP eggplant variety, Bangladesh) was used as the scion variety as well as the non-grafted control. Four rootstocks that included viz., BARI Begun-8, EG-203, S. sisymbriifolium and F₁ (21×11) rootstocks, were evaluated (Table1). Data for morphological characters of the aerial part of these materials used as rootstocks were obtained from the database of the germplasm bank of the olericulture Division [Horticulture Research Centre (HRC), Bangladesh Agricultural Research Institute, Gazipur, Bangladesh (BARI)]. These data are useful to estimate the vigour of the rootstocks used.

Table 1. Plant materials used for the eggplant grafting experiments, type of material, and their origin

| Plant materials      | Code   | Species          | Type of material                     | Origin                              |
|----------------------|--------|------------------|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| BARI Begun-8         | BB-8   | Solanum melongena| Cultivated, commercial OP variety     | BARI, Gazipur, Bangladesh           |
| EG-203               | EG-203 | Solanum melongena| Commercial OP rootstock              | World Vegetables Centre             |
| S. sisymbriifolium   | SS     | S. sisymbriifolium| Wild                                 | Bangladesh                          |
| F₁ (21×11)           | F₁ (21×11) | Solanum melongena | Cultivated, commercial F₁ variety    | BARI, Gazipur, Bangladesh           |
| BARI Begun-5 (Control)| BB-5   | Solanum melongena| Cultivated, commercial variety        | BARI, Gazipur, Bangladesh           |
2.2 Seed Germination

Seeds of all genotypes [BB8, EG203, SS, F₁ (21×11) and BB5] were surface-sterilized for the grafting trial and sown on Petri dishes as detailed in [7], [8]. Gibberellic acid at 1 mgL⁻¹ was added to the sterile nutrient medium after filter sterilization. The pH of the medium was adjusted to 5.8 before sterilization at 120°C for 20min. In order to obtain uniform rootstock plantlets, and given that variability for seed germination rates and vigour was previously observed by us for some materials used in this work, seeds from all accessions were sown twice in two consecutive weeks [9]. Germinated seeds were subsequently transferred to seedling trays with cell sizes of 10 cm × 10 cm × 10 cm depth filled with mixture of Soil: Vermicompost (50: 50).

2.3 Grafting

The eggplant cultivar BB-5 was grafted onto BB-8, EG-203, SS, F₁ (21×11) rootstocks using the cleft procedure described by Lee (1994). Plants at the 4–5 leaf stage (30-35 day old) were used as rootstocks except SS (45-50 days). The BB-5 scion source plants selected for grafting had a lower development stage (3–4 leaves; 25–30 days old). For grafting, the stem for both the scions and the rootstocks at right angles was cut using a razor blade. Rootstocks were cut over cotyledons and had a total length of 5–6 cm. Scions of 1.5–2cm with one or two small leaves were subjected to the rootstocks using a tiny grafting clip [9]. After grafting, plantlets were incubated within a plastic tunnel in a plastic tunnel with a mean air temperature of 28±3°C and 80–85% relative humidity for 8 days. Plantlets were subsequently acclimatized outside of the plastic tunnel for 7 days in open condition. Fifty plantlets of each rootstock were grafted.

2.4 Growing Condition

Forty-five to Fifty days-old healthy grafting plant were transplanted in experimental plots on 25 October, 2018. The experiment was laid out in a RCB design with three replications. The unit plot size was 7.5 × 0.70 m and 10 plants were accommodated in a plot with a plant spacing of 70 cm apart in single row maintaining a row to row distance of 1 m with 30 cm drain. The land was fertilized with cow-dung, N, P, K, S, Zn and B @ 10,000 100, 30, 75, 13, 1.5 and 0.8 kg/ha, respectively [4]. One third of the cow-dung and half of P and full of S, Zn and B were applied during final land preparation. Rest of cow-dung and P and 1/3 of K were applied as basal in pit. Entire amount of N and rest of K were applied in four equal installment starting from 20 days after transplanting. Rest three installments were applied at vegetative, flowering and initial fruiting stage. Irrigation, weeding, crop protection measures and other intercultural operations were done following standard practice.

2.5 Data Recorded

Data on germination (%), graft success (%), plants dead before initiation of fruit set (%), plants dead at the end of the experiment (%), stem diameter at last harvest (cm), days to 1st harvest, plant height at first harvest (cm), plant height at last harvest (cm), number of marketable fruits, average fruit weight (g), fruit length (cm), fruit width (cm), fruit length/ fruit width ratio, fruit yield/ plant (kg), eggplant fruit and shoot borer (EFSB) infection (%), fruit yield (t/ha) were recorded from five randomly selected plants per Treatment.

2.6 Data Analysis

Data for each of the traits evaluated was analyzed via one-factor analysis of variance (ANOVA) using a fixed-effects model for the effect of rootstock treatment. For data expressed in percentage, the logarithmic transformation was applied. Significance of the treatment effects was obtained from the ANOVAs, and where the F-test proved significant (P=0.05), means were compared using the Duncan multiple-range test.
3 Results and Discussion

3.1 Germination, Graft Success and Plant Survival

Germination of seeds sown in Petri dishes with GA3 containing medium for BB8 (BARI Begun-8), EG203, SS (*S. sisymbriifolium*), F₁ (21×11), BB5 (BARI Begun-5) (Control). At 8-10 days after sowing, BB8 and BB5 (Control) exhibited high percent germination (100%) followed by F₁ (21×11) (96%), while lowest germination was obtained by SS (84%) (Table 2). EG203 displayed moderate germination (92%).

However, it was possible to obtain the necessary number of SS plantlets for the grafting experiments using a large amount of SS seeds sown in commercial substrate. Similar to our results, the commercial seed supplier warns that even under good conditions, SS germination may be erratic. The cleft grafting method proved highly efficient with success percentages ≥88% in all materials used (Table 2), while [9] mentioned that ‘Black Beauty’ and the interspecific hybrids SI×SM and SM×SA exhibited high percent germination (≥90%). There was significant differences found in the success rate among the cultivated and wild rootstocks. BB8 and F₁(21×11) rootstocks, which had percentages of graft success that ranged from 96% [F₁ (21×11)] to 98% (BARI Begun-8). In contrast, SS had a significantly lower percentage of success (88%) with respect to the other rootstocks (Table 2). No overall growth at the graft junction was observed for any rootstock–scion combination.

All transplanted grafted plants from the BB5/BB8 and BB5/F₁ (21×11) developed well and survived (100%) until the fruit set, while maximum mortality was obtained from BB5 (non-grafted plants) (20.00 %) followed by BB5/EG203 (13.33%) and BB5/SS (6.67 %). Plants died at the end of the experiment was noticed in only BB5 (non-grafted plants) and it was 33.33 %, while other grafted plants were alive. Physiological disturbances induced by vascular bundle discontinuities at the graft union may lead to growth inhibition and high mortality; however, in this case, soil that was heavily infested with bacterial wilt may have been a major reason for the loss of plants. In fact, a high sensitivity to *Ralstonia solanacearum* has been reported for several accessions of *S. melongena* [9], [17].

In case of stem diameter at last harvest, the maximum diameter was obtained by BB5/BB8 (2.65 cm), followed by BB5/F₁ (21×11) (2.60 cm) and narrow diameter was obtained by BB5/SS (2.36 cm) followed by BB5/EG203 (2.42 cm).

Plant of all eggplant treatment grafted and non-grafted started 1st harvest 104.00 - 111.33 days after sowing. It was observed that non grafted plants 1st harvest was earlier than grafted ones. Early 1st harvest was done in non-grafted plants after 104.00 days in BB5 (control). In case of grafted plants, earlier 1st harvest was in BB5/BB8 (104.33 days) followed by BB5/F₁ (21×11) (107.00 days), BB5/EG203 (108.00 days). The delayed 1st harvest in grafted plant was observed in BB5/SS (111.33 days), while [9] mentioned that the first plants to flower and set fruit were from ‘Black Beauty’ grafted on SI×SM and SM×SA rootstocks. Fruit harvest for these plants began 50d after transplanting, and fruit harvested until 57d after transplant were considered as early harvest fruit.

In this study it is clearly discussed that non grafted plants were earlier harvested compare to grafted plants. It is due to the delayed flowering in grafted plant, reported by [18].

Plant height at first harvest and plant height at last harvest showed significant difference among the different treatments (Table 2). Plant height at first harvest of all eggplant treatments of grafted and non-grafted were 57.67 - 64.33 cm, while tallest plants were from BB5 (Control) and shortest were from BB5/SS. Same trend was observed in plant height at last harvest and it was 106.00 cm, 100.67 cm, respectively, while [9] mentioned that the mean plant height among different treatments varied between 108.9 and 127.0 cm for the SMA and SI×SM rootstocks, respectively.

Significant differences among treatments were also evident for number of marketable fruits per plant (Table 3). The number of marketable fruits per plant ranged between 16.33 and 21.33 for ‘BB5’ respectively grafted onto SS and EG203 or F₁ (21×11) rootstocks, while non-grafted BB5 produced also 16.67 fruits. In case of average fruit weight, the heavier fruits (186.67 g) were produced from BB5/BB8 and BB5/F₁ (21×11), while lightest fruits were produced from non-grafted BB5 (control). Significant differences among treatments were also evident for fruit yield/plant, which followed a similar pattern. The fruit yield/plant ranged between 2.58 and 3.98 for ‘BB5’ respectively non-grafted BB5 and grafted onto BB8 rootstock. [9] mentioned that the total fruits per plant ranged between 7.6 and 15.8 for ‘Black Beauty’ respectively grafted onto SMA and SI×SM rootstocks, while the total yield ranged between 3.4 kg plant⁻¹.
Table 2. Germination, graft success, plant survival and plant vigour traits of rootstocks and scion

| Treatment         | Germination (%) | Graft success (%) | Plants dead before initiation of fruit set (%) | Plants dead at the end of the experiment (%) | Stem diameter at last harvest (cm) | Days to 1st harvest (cm) | Plant height at first harvest (cm) | Plant height at last harvest (cm) |
|-------------------|-----------------|-------------------|-----------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|----------------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| BB5/BB8           | 100 a           | 98 a              | 0.00                                          | 0.00 b                                      | 2.65 a                           | 104.33 cd               | 62.33 a                           | 103.33 ab                         |
| BB5/EG203         | 92 b            | 92 b              | 13.33 b                                       | 0.00 b                                      | 2.42bc                           | 108.00 b                | 63.67 a                           | 104.00 ab                         |
| BB5/SS            | 84 c            | 88 c              | 6.67 c                                        | 0.00 b                                      | 2.36 c                           | 111.33 a                | 57.67 b                           | 100.67 b                          |
| BB5/F1 (21×11)    | 96 ab           | 96 a              | 0.00                                          | 0.00 b                                      | 2.60 ab                          | 107.00 b                | 62.33 a                           | 102.33 ab                         |
| BB5 (Control)     | 100 a           | -                 | 20.00 a                                       | 33.33 a                                    | 2.50 b                           | 104.00 d                | 64.33 a                           | 106.00 a                          |

Level of significance: * * * * * * * *

CV (%) 2.40 2.10 8.50 24.55 1.55 1.70 3.54 2.70

Table 3. Yield and yield contributing traits of rootstocks and scion

| Treatment         | Number of marketable fruits | Average fruit weight (g) | Fruit yield/plant (kg) | Fruit length (cm) | Fruit width (cm) | Fruit length/width ratio | Eggplant fruit and shoot borer infection (%) | Fruit yield (t/ha) |
|-------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------|------------------------|-------------------|---------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|-------------------|
| BB5/BB8           | 21.00 a                     | 186.67 a                 | 3.92 a                 | 10.37 ab          | 8.20 a              | 1.26 b                   | 11.00 b                                       | 50.96 a           |
| BB5/EG203         | 21.33 a                     | 165.00 b                 | 3.51 b                 | 10.53 a           | 7.67 ab             | 1.37 ab                  | 11.00 b                                       | 45.61 b           |
| BB5/SS            | 16.33 b                     | 160.00 b                 | 2.61 c                 | 9.77 c            | 6.90 c              | 1.43 a                   | 14.00 a                                       | 33.95 c           |
| BB5/F1 (21×11)    | 21.33 a                     | 186.67 a                 | 3.98 a                 | 10.17 a-c         | 7.90 ab             | 1.28 c                   | 10.00 c                                       | 51.70 a           |
| BB5 (Control)     | 16.67 b                     | 155.00 b                 | 2.58 c                 | 9.90 bc           | 7.30 bc             | 1.35 b                   | 10.00 c                                       | 33.56 c           |

Level of significance: * * * * * * * *

CV (%) 6.97 5.21 6.59 2.98 4.60 1.25 11.39 6.59
Fruit length ranged between 9.77 – 10.53 cm for those with SS and EG203 rootstocks, respectively (Table 3), while other larger length fruits were harvested from BB8 (10.37 cm) and F1 (21×11) (10.17 cm). Non-grafted BB5 (Control) produced also lower length fruits (9.90 cm). Significant differences among treatments were also evident for fruit width, while maximum was obtained from BB8 rootstock (8.20 cm) followed by rootstocks F1 (21×11) (7.90 cm), EG203 (7.67 cm) and minimum was obtained from SS rootstock (8.20 cm).

In contrast, differences among treatments were found for fruit length and fruit width, which resulted in differences in the fruit length/width ratio. In this respect, fruit from ‘BB5’ grafted onto SS and EG203 rootstocks were significantly more elongated (length/width ratio of 1.43 and 1.37, respectively) than those from plants grafted onto BB8 and F1 (21×11) rootstocks plants which had fruit length/width ratios of 1.26, and 1.28, respectively.

The yield of marketable fruit per plot was converted into per hectare basis and was expressed in tones. Different grafted and non-grafted treatment significantly influenced the yield of production fruit per hectare (Table 3). The highest yield (51.70 t/ha) was recorded from BB5 when it was grafted onto rootstock F1 (21×11) followed by BB8 (50.96 t/ha). The yield ranged between 33.56 - 51.70 t/ha for ‘BB5’ when it was non-grafted (control) and was grafted onto rootstock F1 (21×11), respectively. Moderate level yield (45 t/ha) was obtained from rootstock EG203. So, these three rootstocks viz., F1 (21×11), BB8, EG203 produced high fruit yield and may be selected best rootstocks for higher eggplant fruit yield.

Rootstock–scion interactions are commonly observed in different crops [25], [10] and it was observed that rootstock source can have an important effect on eggplant vigour, earliness, yield and fruit quality characteristics. In the absence of scion/rootstock incompatibility problems, grafted plants may also develop faster, thus contributing to earliness. In the present study, greater earliness was observed in the most vigorous rootstocks, i.e., the BB5/BB8. Increased earliness has also been reported for eggplant grafted onto two tomato hybrids [11] and in melon plants grafted onto Cucurbita rootstocks [25], [6]. It was also found that grafted plants with BB8, F1 (21×11) and EG203 rootstocks had higher yield than non-grafted plants and that grafted plants with SS rootstocks had a much lower yield than other treatments, confirming that this latter rootstock has little value for improving eggplant yield. In contrast, BB8 and F1 (21×11) rootstocks demonstrated positive benefits for agronomic performance in grafted eggplant. In this respect, grafted tomato plants onto an interspecific tomato rootstock also resulted in higher vigour when compared with tomato plants self-grafted or grafted onto other cultivated tomato rootstocks [10].

Fruit quality is important for the marketability of fruit, and grafting can influence traits related to quality [1], [2], [3], [27], [28]. Although no differences were found for most eggplant traits of apparent quality, but some differences were found for some relevant characters. For example, although fruit shape in eggplant is highly heritable and under genetic control, rootstocks influenced fruit length and fruit length/width ratios, possibly due to changes in the concentration of growth regulators induced by the rootstock.

4 Conclusion

Among the five treatments, it could be concluded that eggplant can be grafted on four rootstocks viz., BARI Begun-8 (BB8), EG203, S. sisymbriifolium (SS), F1 (21×11) with desired scions– BARI Begun-5. Incase of important yield and yield contributing characters viz., average fruit weight, fruit yield/plant, fruit length and fruit width showed better performances when BB5 was grafted onto BB5/BB8 [186.67 g, 3.92 kg/plant, 10.37 cm, 8.20 cm] and BB5/F1 (21×11) [186.67 g, 3.98 kg/plant, 10.17 cm, 7.90 cm]. Among the 4 grafted treatments, BB5/F1 (21×11) (51.70 t/ha), BB5/BS8 (50.96 t/ha) and BB5/EC203 (45.61 t/ha) produced more than 45 t/ha. So, these three rootstocks may be selected considering higher
yield. However, the study was conducted during winter season. So, to draw a complete conclusion it is needed to conduct this experiment during summer season.
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