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ABSTRACT

A cross-sectional study was conducted to determine the major causes of calf morbidity and mortality in smallholder dairy farms and associated potential risk factors in Shashemene. A total of 187 calves from 46 farms were included in the present study. The overall crude morbidity and crude mortality rates were 27.8% and 6.4%, respectively. The most frequent disease syndrome was diarrhea with incidence rate of 28(15%) followed by pneumonia 8(4.3%), Gastrointestinal tract (GIT) disorder 8(4.3%) and septicemia 5(2.7%). In addition skin lesion, navel ill and unidentified cases were encountered. The main causes of death were diarrhea 6(3.2%), Septicemia 2(1.1%), GIT disorder 2(1.1%), pneumonia 1(0.5%) and others 1(0.5%). The most important risk factors associated with morbidity and mortality were housing hygiene, floor condition and calf size in farm. Out of 187 calves examined for GIT parasites; 63(33.3%) were positive for nematode eggs. Prevalence of helminthes parasite increased with increasing age, showing higher prevalence (P<0.05) in calves above 2 months than in calves below 2 months of age. Besides, majority of the calves, 48(25.7%) were found positive for coccidian oocyst. In general; diarrhea, pneumonia and septicemia were the major causes of calf morbidity and mortality. Interms of risk factors housing hygiene, floor condition, calf size in the farms, age and breed were identified major role players. Therefore, identifying major causes and improving management practices and breed should be given to emphasis by advisory of smallholder dairy farms.
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1. Introduction

In Sub-Saharan African countries, livestock plays a crucial role both in national economies and the livelihood of rural communities [1]. It provides drought power, milk, and meat, input for crop production and soil fertility and raw material for industry. The livestock sector contributes 13-16% of total agricultural GDP in Ethiopia [2].

Despite the huge number of cattle and their economic importance, the productivity is low due to the constraints of disease, nutrition, poor management, lack of marketing facilities and opportunity, inadequate animal health services, uncoordinated development programs between various levels of government institutions and/ or non-government organizations and poor performance of indigenous breeds. These constraints result in poor reproductive performance of dairy cattle [3]. Consequently, national milk production remains among the lowest in the world even by African standard [4]. However, there is a slow and gradual
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overall growth in milk production in Africa due to cross breeding programs that are being introduced in many tropical countries to increase milk production [9].

Diseases have numerous negative impacts on productivity and fertility of herds i.e. losses due to mortality and morbidity, loss of weight, depressed growth, poor fertility performance, decrease physical power and the likes [6]. This results from complex interaction of the management practice, environment, infectious agent and the calf itself. Major causes of calf diseases and death were diarrhoea, pneumonia, joint problems, umbilical diseases, trauma, congenital abnormalities, nutritional deficiencies, dystocia and other infections [7-9]. Calf losses were significantly reduced by introducing new techniques of management including on time colostrum feeding, housing, feeding and nutrition [10].

Several factors affect the health and vigor of the calves immediately after birth [11]. The poor immune system and lack of previous exposure to infection and poor management make new born calves susceptible to infectious diseases [12]. Proper nutrition is fundamental for calf growth and for the general profitability of calf rearing enterprise. In young stock, a good nutritional strategy optimizes rumen development and growth while minimizing stress and disease. Livestock housing conditions greatly affect health and productivity [13]. Cleanliness of the barn influences calf health, as calves housed in unclean barns are at higher risk of diseases than calves housed in clean barns [9].

The mode of passive transfer in neonates varies with the type of placentation and in the case of neonatal calves; it is based on an immediate postpartum ingestion of antibody rich colostrum [14]. The age of the calf is the most important factor affecting morbidity and mortality, approximately 75% of the mortality in dairy animals less than one year of age occurs in the first month of their life [15].

Failure of passive transfer in heifer calves is linked with decreased rate and efficiency of growth and decreased first and second lactation milk production [16]. In developing parts of the world including Ethiopia there is a growing trend in the development of dairy farming which is becoming an important source of income particularly for small holder farmers. However, this cannot be realized without the application of effective calf health and management practices as the future of any dairy farming production depends on the successful program of raising replacement animals (calves). With the above background, the objectives of the present study were:

- To assess the major causes of morbidity and mortality in calves
- To identify risk factors associated with calf morbidity and mortality in smallholder dairy farms in Shashemene

2. Materials and Methods

2.1 Study Area

The study was conducted in Shashemene town, West Arsi Zone, Oromia Regional State, which is located distance of 250 km south east of the capital Addis Ababa at latitude of 7° 11’33” north and a longitude of 38° 35’33” east. The area lies within the Rift Valley, with an altitude ranging from 1700 to 2600 metres above sea level (masl). Its annual rainfall ranges 700 to 950 mm while annual temperature range is 12-27°C. The livestock population in zone includes cattle (3,629,900), sheep (694,213), goats (322,332), horse (227,784), donkeys (165,367), mules (8,953) and camels (57). It is also known by production of teff, barley, wheat, maize, sorghum, potato, sweet potato, cabbage, spinach and onion. Annual crops are predominant and rain fed agriculture is mainly practiced using draught power.

2.2 Study Design

Both cross-sectional and longitudinal prospective observational studies were undertaken in six months. The sampling units (calves) were identified individually and monitored throughout the study period.

2.3 Data Collection

Data was collected through questionnaire survey, clinical and laboratory examinations for parasite from study populations. It was collected from 46 purposely selected dairy farms based on the farm size and willingness of the farm owners to participate in the study. Accordingly, a total of 187 calves’ from 46 farms were considered.

2.3.1 Cross-sectional Study Based on Questionnaire

The owners and / or attendants of the included dairy farms were interviewed using structured and open ended questionnaires. The contents of the questionnaire were demographic information, farm size, feeding habits and management of the livestock farms, calf age, housing of the animals, disease occurrence, calf death, and sex of calves.

2.3.2 Longitudinal Study

Monitoring of dairy farms for calf morbidity and mortality was carried out for 6 months. For the purpose of this study, calves of age less than six months were considered. Morbidity as any sickness that has recognizable clinical manifestation, and mortality as death of calves after birth to 6 months of age were used during the study period. For the monitoring, all calves in the selected farms at the
beginning of the follow up period and individual records were prepared. The calves were withdrawn from the follow up when they completed their 6 months of age.

Subsequently, a regular visit was made every three weeks to observe and record calf morbidity, mortality and possible causes. The main activities accomplished during the regular visits were clinical examination of calves for any health problem; observation on different calf management aspects like cleanliness of the calf house and feeding practices; and collecting information from calf attendants about occurrence of calf health problem incidents between the visits and recording of the history of the calf health problem that would enable the investigator suppose the possible cause and thus assist diagnosis.

Calf morbidities encountered during the monitoring period were categorized following disease conditions/syndromes based on their clinical signs:

Diarrhoea: Any conditions characterized by passing of loose or watery feces with increased frequency, which could or could not be accompanied by other systemic signs like dehydration, decreased appetite or fever.

Septicemia: When frequent coughing observed with or without respiratory discharges and fever.

Septicemic condition: Any condition characterized by depression, anorexia and fever without any distinct involvement of specific body system.

Navel ill (omphalitis): Swelling of umbilical cord which is painful when palpated and with or without abscess formation.

Joint ill (arthritis): Enlargement of joints usually with abscess formation in any one or all limbs, which could or could not be preceded by other disease condition.

2.3.3 Fecal Sample Collection

A fresh fecal sample was collected from the rectum of each calf using sterile disposable gloves. The sample was placed in a labeled clean glass bottle container and was transported to the parasitology laboratory on the same day and was kept at 4°C in a refrigerator until processing within 48 hours of arrival. At the time of sampling, the name of the farm owner, date of sampling, age, sex, breed, tag number (if present) was recorded for each calf on a recording format and examined the infection rate of Coccidia and internal parasites by using flotation technique at the Parasitology Laboratory, School of Veterinary Medicine, Hawassa University.

2.4 Data Analysis

The collected were fed into Microsoft excel spreadsheet program, coded, edited and saved until analysis. Data analysis was undertaken using statistical program for social science version 20.0 (SPSS) software. During data analysis, descriptive analysis and chi-square test were employed. A p-value ≤ 0.05 was considered significant in the analysis.

3. Results

3.1 Morbidity and Mortality

Table 1. Summary of diseases/syndromes that caused morbidity and mortality in dairy calves

| Health Problems/syndrome | Morbidity case | Morbidity (%) | Mortality case | Crude Mortality (%) |
|--------------------------|---------------|---------------|----------------|--------------------|
| Septicemia               | 5             | 2.7           | 2              | 1.1                |
| Diarrhea                 | 28            | 15            | 6              | 3.2                |
| GIT Disturbance*         | 8             | 4.3           | 2              | 1.1                |
| Pneumonia                | 8             | 4.3           | 1              | 0.5                |
| Other *                  | 3             | 1.6           | 1              | 0.5                |
| **Total**                | 52            | 27.8          | 12             | 6.4                |

GIT Disturbance* include bloat, indigestion and any pain symptoms from GIT
Other* include navel ill, skin lesion, unidentified

The study revealed that diarrhea was the most frequently observed clinical disorder (28 cases out of 187 calves) followed by GIT disturbance, pneumonia and septicemia (Table 1).

3.2 Risk Factors Associated with Incidence of Calf Morbidity and Mortality

Out of 46 farms visited, 24 (72.3%) morbidity and 13 (100%) mortality were recorded in farms with poor hygienic condition (P=0.000). Considering floor type, mor-
bidity and mortality record was 6(18.2%) and 1(7.7%), respectively in the concrete farms (Table 2).

Generally, housing hygiene, floor condition and calf size in the farm seem to be the major factors for diseases incidences in the present study. Hence, calves house in soil floor were more often at risk than calves housed in the concrete floor. Similarly, calf size in farm and housing hygiene has been significantly associated (P <0.05) with dairy calf morbidity and mortality.

3.3 Prevalence of Gastrointestinal Nematodes and Coccidian Oocyst

In addition to other health problems, parasitic infection was the most prevalent in investigated smallholder dairy farms. Out of 187 examined calves, 4(6.3%) and 9 (18.8%) calves aged less than 1month were positive for nematode parasites and positive for coccidian oocyst, respectively (Table 3).

Considering breed as potential risk factor, 22 (34.9%) local, 34(54%) exotic and 7(11.1%) cross breeds were positive for nematode parasites. Breed of calves with significant effect on the occurrence of gastrointestinal nematodes and coccidian oocyst (Table 4).

Based on sex, 18(37.5%) male and 30(62.5%) female calves were found infected with coccidian oocyst (Table 5).

4. Discussion

The study showed that 6.4 (n=12) mortality and 27.8% (n=52) morbidity cases were recorded. In this study, the mortality rate found for 6 months has considerably agreed with the mortality rates reported for similar period by different studies in Ethiopia [17]. However, it was lower than the 12% mean calf mortality rate in smallholder dairy production in Sub-Saharan Africa [18] and from western world which were reported in the ranges of 9 to 13 % for Europe and similar to 6.3% for USA [15].

On the other hand, the present finding was much lower than previous report (25%) by [19] in Ethiopia. On the other hand, low prevalence of 3.4% mortality was reported by [20] from Abernossa Ranch, whereas, [9] reported relatively

| Table 2. Potential risk factors associated with calf morbidity and mortality at farm level |
|---------------------------------------------------------------|
| Factors coded | No of farm | Affected no (%) | χ²-value | P-value | No of farm | Affected no (%) | χ²-value | P-value |
| Education status | | | | | | | | |
| 0=non-educated | 11 | 6(18.2) | 3.61 | 0.164 | 11 | 3(23.1) | 2.849 | 0.241 |
| 1=primary | 30 | 22(66.7) | | | | 7(53.8) | |
| 2=sec and above | 5 | 5(15.1) | | | | 3(23.1) | |
| House hygiene | | | | | | | | |
| 0=poor | 24 | 24(72.7) | 19.769 | 0.000 | 24 | 13(100) | 16.611 | 0.000 |
| 1=clean | 22 | 9(27.3) | | | | 0(0) | |
| Floor condition: | | | | | | | | |
| 0=soil | 31 | 27(81.8) | 11.060 | 0.001 | 31 | 12(92.3) | 5.119 | 0.024 |
| 1=concrete | 15 | 6(18.2) | | | | 1(7.7) | |
| Calf size in farm | | | | | | | | |
| 0=less than 5 | 22 | 12(36.4) | 6.148 | 0.013 | 22 | 2(15.4) | 7.643 | 0.006 |
| 1= greater than 5 | 24 | 21(63.6) | | | | 11(84.6) | |
| Sex: | | | | | | | | |
| 0=female | 22 | 14(42.4) | 1.366 | 0.243 | 22 | 3(23.1) | 4.448 | 0.035 |
| 1=male | 24 | 19(57.6) | | | | 10(76.9) | |

| Table 3. Prevalence of GIT nematodes and coccidian oocyst within different age groups |
|---------------------------------------------------------------|
| Calf age | No of examine | Positive (%) | χ²-value | P-value | No of examine | Positive | χ²-value | P-value |
| Helminthes eggs | | | | | Coccidia Oocyst | | | |
| < 1month | 73 | 4(6.3%) | 42.688 | 0.000 | 73 | 9(18.8%) | 25.649 | 0.000 |
| 1-2 month | 41 | 21(33.3%) | | | 41 | 6(12.5%) | |
| 3-4 month | 39 | 20(31.7%) | | | 39 | 20(41.7%) | |
| > 4 month | 34 | 18(28.6%) | | | 34 | 13(27.1%) | | |
Table 4. Prevalence of GIT nematodes and coccidian oocyst within breed

| Breed     | No of examined | Positive (%) | χ²-value | P-value | No of examined | Positive (%) | χ²-value | P-value |
|-----------|----------------|--------------|----------|---------|----------------|--------------|----------|---------|
| local     | 49             | 22 (34.9)    |          |         | 49             | 19 (39.6%)   |          |         |
| exotic    | 101            | 34 (54%)     | 6.369    | 0.041   | 101            | 22 (45.8%)   |          |         |
| cross     | 37             | 7 (11.1%)    |          |         | 37             | 7 (14.6%)    |          |         |

Table 5. Prevalence of gastrointestinal nematodes and coccidian oocyst within sex

| Calf sex | No of examines | Positive (%) | χ²-value | P-value | No of examines | Positive (%) | χ²-value | P-value |
|----------|----------------|--------------|----------|---------|----------------|--------------|----------|---------|
| Male     | 81             | 25 (39.7%)   | 0.511    | 0.475   | 81             | 18 (37.5%)   | 6.889    | 0.346   |
| female   | 106            | 38 (60.3%)   |          |         | 106            | 30 (62.5%)   |          |         |

higher overall crude mortality of 18% compared to the present findings.

Concerning the morbidity and mortality of calves, most previous reports from Ethiopia were based on studies in research stations and state farms with large herd sizes and usually holding high exotic blood level animals, apparently these were associated with increased risk of calf disease occurrence [9]. In the present study, the number of calves per farm was small and the farmers can easily monitor calves and take measures to avoid calf health problems improve management and different methods used in diagnosis. Some authors reported calf morbidity based on producer diagnosis and treatments while others depended on veterinarian diagnosis [9]. This could be one of reasons to find relatively lower mortality rate than those mentioned above farms.

In the present investigation, calf diarrhea was found to be the predominant calf health problem with incidence rate of 15% followed by pneumonia and GIT disturbance (4.3%). Diarrhea was also the leading cause of mortality in the study herds. This finding is in agreement with the findings of [9] who reported calf diarrhea and pneumonia the predominant calf health problems in dairy calves at Ada’a district of Oromia region. However, the present finding was higher for diarrhea and pneumonia as compared to [13] who recorded a prevalence of 10% and 0.7% for diarrhea and pneumonia respectively. On the other hand, there were studies which found pneumonia as the leading cause of calf mortality [21]. These differences could be emanated from the difference in management and other factors such as: housing hygiene, ventilation, environment, age, season, herd size and other related factors. Furthermore, analysis of the potential risk factors was done for calf diarrhea and age of the calf, condition of birth and cleanliness of the calf house were the factors. This was due to inadequate passive transfer of colostral immunity. Such calves either would lack vigor to suckle on time or will fail to absorb even if they managed to suckle. Calves from prolonged labor develop respiratory acidosis, which interferes with absorption of colostral immunoglobulin [22].

Epidemiological investigation of nematodes in livestock using suitable and cost effective diagnostic methods was found to be important. In this study 33.3% were positive for nematode eggs and 25.7% were found positive for coccidian oocyst. This result was lower than (58.00%) prevalence [23] and 54% [24] in Haramaya University. This difference is may be due to less contact with other animals, different management system or due to increase in awareness of the farmer to treat their animals with anthelmintic drugs. But the prevalence of gastrointestinal parasites in the current study is higher than 11% [23]. This difference may occur due different area and management.

Helminthes parasite prevalence was observed to increase with increasing age and showing a significantly higher prevalence (P <0.05) in calves above 2 months than in calves below 2 months. This was agreement with [26] reported that GIT parasite burden and diversity increased with age and at weaning and ends of first year of life, calves acquired the parasite spectrum similar to that of adult cattle. This could be due to the fact that as age increases, calves were given fresh grass as supplemental feed. Additionally, there was mixing of calves of different age groups. Also there was close contact with adult animals. This could be possible means of acquiring parasitic infections. In majority of smallholder dairy farms, calves were commonly open grazed or tethered on natural pastures [13]. The impact of parasitic burden should be taken into account in the veterinary health care to dairy calves.
In the present study the risk factors were tested for their association with crude mortality and crude morbidity in smallholder farms. Among risk factors assessed; housing hygiene was found to be significantly associated with the incidence of disease problems having at (P=0.000). This significant association with disease problems found in present study was in agreement with other reports [27,21] who documented the existence of significant association between higher risk of morbidity and dirtiness of calf barn. Similarly, a significant association of age at first colostrum feeding with calf morbidity was reported different researchers, [9] and higher risk of morbidity in late fed-(after 6 hours) was related to failure of passive transfer of colostral immunity during this period [28]. Similarly, floor condition was significantly associated at (P=0.024) this present study was agreement with [13].

Other risk factor for health problems was higher in male calves (17.1%) than female calves (14.1%). This finding agrees with [13] finding who reported higher health problems in male calves than females particularly during the first months of their age. This could be due to less attention and management care given to the male calves as their role in the farms was considered not profitable in this study. So, it is important to know that the feeding and the general management, of male calves needs to be improved for animal welfare reasons as well as for more profitable utilization of beef from these calves for consumption. However there is also another reason that should be taken in to account that is male calves have less absorption ability of serum immunoglobulin’s than female calves and they could become more immune deficient than female calves.

5. Conclusions and Recommendations

The calf morbidity and mortality rates found in this study were higher than economically tolerable and that can be achieved through good management. Given the fact that the study farms raise their own replacement stock and have small herd size, higher rates calf morbidity and mortality will be great hindrance to improve productivity of dairy production through selection. Calf diarrhea and pneumonia were the predominant calf health problems of the farms involved in this study. Among the potential risk factors evaluated for their association with the occurrence of calf health problems; risk factors associated with diseases occurrences and death indicating, calf housing hygiene, floor conditions, farm size and sex as potential risk factors.

Based on the above conclusion the following recommendations are forwarded:

- Greater attention should be given to risk factors associated with disease occurrences and death indicating such as; hygienic conditions and optimum time of colostrum feeding to minimize calf health problems and hence their mortality.
- More researches should be conducted to identify the causative agent of the major health problems identified in this research as this is crucial in formulating effective preventive and control strategies like use of vaccination or other methods.
- Extension services need to focus on awareness creation among dairy farm owners about good calf management’s practices and their roles in productivity of dairy farming investments.
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