ALICE inner tracking system readout electronics prototype testing with the CERN “Giga Bit Transceiver”
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ABSTRACT: The ALICE Collaboration is preparing a major detector upgrade for the LHC Run 3, which includes the construction of a new silicon pixel based Inner Tracking System (ITS). The ITS readout system consists of 192 readout boards to control the sensors and their power system, receive triggers, and deliver sensor data to the DAQ. To prototype various aspects of this readout system, an FPGA based carrier board and an associated FMC daughter card containing the CERN Gigabit Transceiver (GBT) chipset have been developed. This contribution describes laboratory and radiation testing results with this prototype board set.
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1 Introduction

The ALICE (“A Large Ion Collider Experiment”) experiment is studying strongly interacting hadronic matter using nucleus-nucleus, proton-nucleus, and proton-proton collisions at the CERN Large Hadron Collider (LHC). During the LHC Long Shutdown 2 (LS2) in the years 2019/20 the ALICE detector will be upgraded to make high-precision measurements of rare probes (like charm and bottom decays) at low $p_T$ while sampling the full 50 kHz Pb-Pb interaction rate foreseen following the shutdown with a new trigger-less readout mode of various upgraded sub-detectors. The Inner Tracking System (ITS) upgrade will replace the current ITS with a new seven-layer silicon pixel detector based on Monolithic Active Pixel Sensor (MAPS) technology to provide more precise displaced vertex reconstruction and low $p_T$ tracking by reducing the material budget compared to the current ITS by a factor 4, installation of a reduced diameter beam-pipe, which allows the innermost layer to be closer to the vertex, and also providing for continuous readout to sample the full interaction rate.

2 ALICE ITS upgrade

The upgraded ALICE ITS design [1] employs seven layers of silicon MAPS sensors configured in two separate barrels as shown in figure 1. The basic sensing units of the ITS are 30 mm $\times$ 15 mm MAPS sensors with 29.24 $\times$ 26.88 $\mu$m$^2$ pixels specifically developed for the ALICE ITS and implemented in 0.18 $\mu$m TowerJazz CMOS technology [2, 3]. The sensors are thinned to 50 $\mu$m in the inner barrel and 100 $\mu$m in the outer barrel. A total of 24,120 of these sensors are distributed in 7 concentric layers with radii from 22 mm to 400 mm, subdivided azimuthally into units called staves with lengths from 29 cm in the innermost 3 layers to 150 cm in the outermost 2 layers, and provide a total detection area of 10 m$^2$ segmented into more than 12.5 billion pixels. The inner barrel consists of three layers of staves of the same architecture. The outer barrel consists of two intermediate layers and two outer layers, each with distinct stave architectures.

On the inner barrel, each stave consists of 9 sensors with individual differential data lines running at 1.2 Gbps, a shared bi-directional differential control and monitoring line, and a shared
differential clock line. On the outer barrel staves, sensors are combined into “modules” consisting of 2 rows of 7 sensors, where 1 “master” sensor interfaces with 6 “slave” sensors on a flex printed circuit (FPC) containing the data, control, monitoring and clock signals. The two master sensors each provide a differential data line running at 400 Mbps. These modules are arranged in two rows of 4 modules for the staves of the middle two layers and two rows of 7 modules for the outermost two layers. The clock and control/monitoring lines are shared between the master sensors of the modules on a stave. A schematic view of these two stave architectures is shown in figure 2.

There are a total of 192 staves, 48 staves in the three inner barrel layers, 54 for the two middle layers, and 90 for the two outer layers.
3 ITS upgrade readout system architecture

The ITS upgrade requires a new readout system designed to be able to read the ITS data up to a rate of 100 kHz for Pb-Pb collisions and 400 kHz for p-p collisions, including a safety factor of 2. The readout system connects to the sensor data, control, and clock lines on the detector side, receives trigger and control information from the Central Trigger Processor (CTP) of the ALICE trigger system, and delivers sensor data to the data acquisition system over (bi-directional) optical fibers to the Common Readout Unit (CRU) of the ALICE data acquisition system in the counting room. The readout system also needs to fulfil ancillary functions like controlling and monitoring the power system in order to quickly detect and recover from latch-up states in the sensors.

The current design for this readout system (as shown in figure 3) foresees a modular Readout Unit (RU), each connected to one stave, resulting in a total of 192 RUs for the ITS. The RUs will be located 5 m from the end of the staves in the experimental hall. This location is characterized by a radiation environment resulting in a total ionizing dose of $< 10$ krad and a high-energy hadron flux (capable of causing single-event upsets in the electronics) of $\approx 1$ kHz $\cdot$ cm$^{-2}$. The RUs thus need to be designed radiation tolerant while being able to deal with the high-speed data links of the sensors. The design of the RUs consists of an FPGA to handle the data collection and formatting, as well as the control of the sensors, and a radiation-hard fiber-optic transmission chipset, the “Gigabit Transceiver Optical Link” (GBT) developed by the GBT collaboration for the LHC experiment upgrades [4, 5]. The GBT consists of the GBTx serializer/deserializer ASIC, the VTRx/VTTx optical transceiver/transmitter modules, and the GBT-SCA slow control ASIC.

4 Readout Unit (RU) prototype and GBTxFMC

In order to evaluate various aspects of this design including the radiation tolerance, a prototype RU has been designed consisting of a Kintex-7 FPGA based carrier board (“RUv0a”) and an FMC (FPGA Mezzanine Card, [6]) that accommodates the GBT chipset (“GBTxFMC”). The separation into a carrier card and an FMC allowed for concurrent development by different collaborating groups and also provides the flexibility to use the GBTxFMC with other users and carrier boards containing different FPGAs from various manufacturers. The RUv0a prototype board is described...
in more detail in [7]. The overall architecture of the prototype system illustrating the division in the two different cards and the connections between these is illustrated in figure 4.

The GBTxFMC is a single width FMC accommodating the GBTx and GBT SCA chip, and a VTRx and VTXx module. The VTRx provides the bi-directional 4.8 Gbps line-rate Electrical/Optical conversion and is connected to the GBTx. The VTXx can either be a VTRx or VTTx where the gigabit data signals are connected directly to the FMC connector with the intention to be controlled by the FPGA transceivers. Figure 5 shows a picture of GBTxFMC illustrating the various GBT components placed on this board.

The VTRx laser diode power can be controlled from the GBTx via I²C. The VTXx laser diode power can be controlled by the SCA master I²C. Depending on jumper settings, these I²C can also go to the carrier board via the FMC connector. The SCA JTAG master interface goes to the FMC interface with the intention to support remote (re)configuration and scrubbing of the carrier board FPGA. “Scrubbing” refers to an error correction technique that periodically inspects the configuration memory of the FPGA for errors caused by single-event upsets due to the radiation environment at the location of the RUs and then replaces the detected errors with a copy of the configuration data kept in an external memory. The SCA is connected to the GBTx by the EC e-links. To support applications where the SCA is located more remotely (e.g. on the carrier board), the EC e-link can be connected to the FMC connector by placing bypass resistors and removing the SCA.

All GBTx e-links involved in the 320 Mbps transfer (including the ones used additionally in GBT wide bus mode) are routed through the FMC-LPC (Low Pin Count) pins providing the full GBTx bandwidth when using GBTxFMC with an LPC carrier board. Within each e-link group, all links are length matched within 25 ps. Between the groups, the length mismatch of the individual e-links is at most 170 ps.
The additional e-links needed to run all e-links at 160 Mbps are routed on the HPC (High pin count) connector pins. The additional e-links needed for operating at 80 Mbps are not routed in this design. So for 80 Mbps, only half the GBT bandwidth is available. Wherever possible, the mapping of the e-links on the FMC is compatible with the CERN e-link FMC board [10]. All e-links go through the FMC A-bank, which is normally related to the FPGA IO banks powered by $V_{\text{adj}} (= 2.5$ V on GBTxFMC). Most FPGAs (including the Kintex-7) support LVDS with an IO bank voltage of 2.5 V.

The Single Ended (SE) IO go through the B-bank (this always implies an HPC FMC) which is normally related to the VIO_B rail (= 1.5 V on GBTxFMC). The single ended signals are LVCMOS at 1.5 V. The GBTx SE signals are RESETB, RxDataValid, TxDataValid, and GBTxI2C. The SCA SE signals connected are SCRESET_B, JTAG, SCI2C, 2 I$^2$C master and 6 of the 32 GPIO.

The GBTxFMC provides an 8 pin header that allows direct connection of the CERN GBT group’s USB-I2C interface dongle [10] for configuration of the GBTx registers.

The GBTxFMC follows the FMC standard wherever possible but lacks full compliance due to the absence of an I$^2$C PROM which is supposed to contain the FMC hardware definition information. Moreover, the VIO_B is an output rail in the FMC standard for the carrier whereas on the GBTxFMC it is used as a 1.5 V input rail. It must also be noted that the optional JTAG pins are operated in reverse direction on this board.

5 GBT testing

The combination of the RU prototype cards RUv0a and GBTxFMC allowed for testing both the connections between the GBTx and FPGA as well as some of the interfaces provided by the GBT-SCA. One of the first aspects investigated with this system was the electrical connection between the GBTx and the FPGA. As shown in figure 4, the ten 320 Mbps e-links of the GBTx on GBTxFMC and their associated e-link clocks are connected to the FPGA on RUv0a with and without level-translators to two different voltage FPGA IO banks. Bit-error tests on these two different connection schemes showed that these level translators are not needed to interface with the Kintex-7 I/Os.

The RUv0a board includes an SFP+ optical transceiver module controlled by FPGA transceiver lines to allow implementation of the GBT protocol in the FPGA (”GBT-FPGA”, [8]), thus enabling.

![Figure 5. Picture of the GBTxFMC bottom side showing the various GBT components.](image-url)
A block diagram showing the GBT test setup with the firmware implemented in two different boards is shown in figure 6. Data for either the GBTx or the GBT-FPGA core are provided by a firmware pattern generator implementing various fixed and variable test patterns. The GBTx includes an internal pattern generator, that can be used as a data source alternatively to the firmware pattern generator. Configuration of the GBTx also allows the received data to be used as a source for the GBTx transmitter. The received data in either system is then checked by a firmware module, pattern errors are logged by counters in firmware that can be read out over USB to the controlling PC.

In addition to data transmission tests this test setup was also used to test various interfaces of the GBT-SCA. On the RUv0a, the GBT-SCA implemented interfaces are the JTAG channel, which is connected to the FPGA configuration port, 6 of the 32 GPIO pins, which are connected to bi-directional I/Os on the FPGA, and I²C, connected to FPGA I/O controlled by a firmware I²C slave module. A firmware interface to the GBT-FPGA core was developed to control the various GBT-SCA interface channels through the GBT-FPGA via the USB connection to the PC. Another firmware module interfaces the GPIO bits in the FPGA to the USB for both control and monitoring. The GPIO bits could thus either be set via the GBT-FPGA core communicating with the SCA chip through the GBTx and then verified at the FPGA, or vice-versa.

The JTAG channel is connected to the configuration port of the FPGA with the intention to load FPGA firmware over the GBT link and perform partial reconfiguration. To verify proper functioning of the JTAG channel, we performed a JTAG_ID transaction from the PC to the FPGA, using the GBT-SCA as the JTAG master. The I²C channel was verified using a simple I²C slave firmware in the FPGA to allow responses to read and write transactions programmed via the USB interface to the GBT-SCA.
The configuration shown in figure 6 was also used to test the behavior of the GBTx chip in radiation. In this case, the board with the GBT-FPGA core was placed in an area shielded from the radiation, while the board with the GBTx was mounted behind an aluminum plate with a hole that allowed to only expose the GBTx chip to the beam, while shielding the FPGA and other components on the board. The irradiation experiments were conducted at the cyclotron of the Nuclear Physics Institute of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic in Řež near Prague. The machine provides a proton beam with an energy range from 6 to 37 MeV with equivalent proton flux ranges from $10^4$ to $10^{14}$ cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$, over a uniform area of about $2.5 \times 2.5$ cm$^2$ [9]. Measurements were performed with a flux of $\sim 1 \cdot 10^8$ cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ at a proton energy of 36 MeV at the cyclotron’s pipe output, which results in an energy of 32 MeV incident on the metal case of the GBTx chip. The error counters of both pattern checkers were read out about once per second and logged on the control PC. The USB interface also allowed the readout of various GBTx internal monitoring registers, including the number of Forward Error Corrections (FEC) performed by the GBTx ASIC on the received data and the number of SEU corrections in the GBTx configuration registers.

The plots in figure 7 show two representative examples of the number of FEC and SEU corrections in the configuration registers for GBTx vs time for two different running modes of GBTx (note that the GBTx monitoring registers are not resettable and only 8 bits, so the counts start at some random value and wrap at 256). In the top plot, GBTx is running in transceiver mode.
and the registers plotted are read from the irradiated GBTx; test patterns are generated on the board outside the radiation area. In the bottom plot, the GBTx in the beam is running in transmitter mode; the test patterns to be transmitted in this case are generated by the internal pattern generator of the irradiated GBTx. A second GBTx outside the radiation area is receiving the test patterns and the number of FEC are read from this GBTx, while the SEU corrections are read from the irradiated GBTx. The pattern checkers registered no bit-errors in either case, thus verifying the effectiveness of the FEC performed in the GBTx logic. Comparing the number of FECs per unit time in the plots shows that the receiver section of the GBTx is much more sensitive to radiation than the transmitter section, while the number of SEU corrections per unit time for the two different configurations of the GBTx is about the same.
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