Impact of Casirivimab-Imdevimab on Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus 2 Delta Variant Nasopharyngeal Virus Load and Spike Quasispecies
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Background. The increasing use of monoclonal antibodies (mAbs) to treat coronavirus disease 2019 raises questions about their impact on the emergence of severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) mAb-resistant variants. We assessed the impact of Casirivimab-Imdevimab on SARS-CoV-2 mAbs associated with reduced mAb activity in treated patients.

Methods. We measured the nasopharyngeal (NP) viral load and sequenced the haplotypes of spike gene of 50 patients infected with the SARS-CoV-2 delta variant and treated with Casirivimab-Imdevimab using single-molecule real-time sequencing.

Results. The NP SARS-CoV-2 viral load of patients treated with Casirivimab-Imdevimab decreased from 8.13 (interquartile range [IQR], 7.06–8.59) log_{10} copies/mL pretreatment to 3.67 (IQR, 3.07–5.15) log_{10} copies/mL 7 days later (P < .001). Of the 36 patients for whom follow-up timepoints Spike sequencing were available, none of the Spike mutations that reduced mAb activity were detected.

Conclusions. Casirivimab-Imdevimab is an effective treatment for patients infected with the SARS-CoV-2 delta variant. Despite selective pressure on SARS-CoV-2 Spike quasispecies, we detected no key mutations that reduced mAb activity in our patients.
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Patients at risk of developing severe coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) can be treated early with monoclonal antibodies (mAbs) to prevent complications. Casirivimab (REGN10933) and Imdevimab (REGN10987) is a cocktail of mAbs recently developed and used to treat high-risk patients with mild to moderate COVID-19 [1, 2]. This treatment prevents the virus attaching to the human cell ACE2 receptor and entering cells by targeting the receptor-binding domain (RBD) of the virus spike protein [3, 4]. The spike protein is a homotrimeric glycoprotein whose monomers consist of 2 subunits (S1 and S2). The S1 subunit contains the RBD, including a receptor-binding motif (RBM). The RBM binds to the host ACE2 cell surface receptor, enabling the fusion of virus and host membranes via the S2 subunit [5–7].

Although the genomic diversity of severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) has been described [8–10], little is known about the impact of mAb therapy on the evolution of SARS-CoV-2 quasispecies [11]. New variants containing key changes in the RBD, the region targeted by many neutralizing mAbs, have recently appeared all around the world. These new variants can be more transmissible than the original virus, more pathogenic, and more resistant to endogenous or exogenous antibodies [12]. The B.1.617.2 (delta) variant has been the dominant SARS-CoV-2 variant in France since July 2021. It is more likely to resist mAbs therapy, particularly the Bamlanivimab and Etesevimab association, because its L452R mutation confers resistance to Bamlanivimab [13, 14].

The impact of Casirivimab-Imdevimab on SARS-CoV-2 quasispecies evolution has not been studied yet. In this study, we aimed to determine the intrahost evolution of SARS-CoV-2 Spike quasispecies by sequencing the virus in a series of nasopharyngeal (NP) samples from infected patients given Casirivimab-Imdevimab to detect mutations that reduced mAb activity.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Subjects and Sample Collection

Nasopharyngeal samples were taken from recently infected SARS-CoV-2 patients given a single intravenous infusion of Casirivimab-Imdevimab (1200/1200 mg) at the Toulouse University Hospital between June 28 and October 29, 2021, according to the temporary authorization for use in France.
These ambulatory patients had mild to moderate COVID-19, were treated at the early stage of infection (less than 5 days postsymptoms onset), and did not require oxygen. Nasopharyngeal samples were collected before treatment (day 0), 3 and 7 days after infusion, and then weekly until viral load became negative or reached the 31 cycle threshold (Ct).

**SARS-CoV-2 RNA Detection and Quantification**

Severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 ribonucleic acid (RNA) was extracted from NP samples with the MGI Easy Nucleic Acid Extraction kits (MGI, Shenzhen, China) and quantified using the Ct values (N-gene) obtained with the TaqPath COVID-19 reverse-transcription polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) assay (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA) and digital-droplet RT-PCR (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA) as previously described [11]. In brief, viral loads were derived from the Ct values using a standard curve quantified by droplet digital (dd) RT-PCR (Bio-Rad). We prepared a standard curve from a clinical strain taken from a patient’s NP at the infection acute phase. Vero cells were infected with the virus suspension (6 days). The SARS-CoV-2 RNA in cell lysates was quantified simultaneously by the RT-ddPCR and TaqPath assay.

**SARS-CoV-2 RNA Sequencing**

Positive NP samples with N-gene Ct values <25 were sequenced using the PacBio single-molecule real-time sequencing (SMRT) system (Pacific Biosciences, Menlo Park, CA), as previously described [11, 17]. In brief, extracted RNAs were reverse transcribed using Superscript IV VILO MasterMix (Thermo Fisher Scientific) and amplified using primers targeting the S-protein (A6-Forward, ACAAAATCCAATTGTGCTTTCTATTTC; A6-Reverse, TGTTGACAAAAACTGCCCATATTGCA). The A6-primers target a single long amplicon named A6, encoding the S1 domain of the spike protein.

These A6-amplicon sequences were amplified in a second PCR with barcoded primers, and the resulting material was sequenced by SMRT on a Sequel IIe system sequencer, according to the manufacturer’s instructions (Pacific Biosciences) [18]. Haplotypes obtained after data processing were aligned on the reference genome (GenBank accession number NC_045512.2) [19] to detect mutations associated with reduced mAb activity: Q409E, K417E/N/R/T, D420N, N439K, N440K, K444N/Q/T, V445A, G446S/V, Y453F, L455F, N460K/S/T/Y, G476S, T478K, E484A/D/K/Q, F486V, F490S, Q493K/R, S494P, Q498R, and N501Y [20–26]. The median of the spike sequencing depth was 3085 (interquartile range [IQR], 2021–3814) reads, with a 100% coverage of the A6-amplicon.

**The Complexity of the Spike Quasispecies**

The S-protein region was analyzed and reads accounting for more than 0.5% of the quasispecies were retained. We used abundance (Shannon entropy), incidence (number of observed haplotypes), and functional (population nucleotide diversity π) indices to obtain a multidimensional representation of virus quasispecies complexity [27]. The Shannon entropy, normalized to the number of reads $H_{SN}$, gave a measure of diversity based on haplotype frequencies. The population nucleotide diversity ($\pi$) was used to measure the average number of nucleotide differences between 2 genomes in the quasispecies. Finally, we calculated the Hill numbers, $qD$, to measure the complexity with variation of the weight given to rare haplotypes ($q = 0$, $q = 1$, $q = 2$, $q = \infty$) [27].

**Statistical Analysis**

We used a D’Agostino-Pearson normality test to determine whether the viral load values were normally distributed. Matched pairs were compared using a Wilcoxon matched-pairs signed rank test. Differences between the age and the viral loads of immunocompromised and non-immunocompromised patients were compared using the Mann-Whitney U test. The frequency of men between immunocompromised and non-immunocompromised patients were compared using Fisher’s exact test. A statistically significant difference was defined as a $P < .05$. Statistical analyses were performed with GraphPad Prism 8.0 (GraphPad Software, San Diego, CA).

**Ethical Approval**

These analyses were conducted as part of the national SARS-CoV-2 surveillance effort. According to French law (CSP Art. L1121-1.1), anonymous retrospective studies do not require institutional review board approval.

**Patient Consent Statement**

Data were analyzed using an anonymized database. Such a protocol does not require written informed consent according to French Public Health law (CSP Art L 1121-1.1).

**RESULTS**

**Patients’ Characteristics**

The 50 SARS-CoV-2-infected patients (19 [38%] women; median age, 55 years [range, 27–88 years]) were treated with Casirivimab-Imdevimab at the Toulouse University Hospital between June 28 and October 29, 2021. The majority of them (37 of 50, 74%) were immunocompromised, 18 with solid-organ-transplants (SOTs), another 4 (8%) patients were over 80, 3 (6%) had diabetes with a high body mass index, 2 (4%) had chronic kidney disease with hemodialysis, 2 (4%) had chronic lung diseases, 1 (2%) patient had type 1 diabetes, and 1 (2%) patient had a chronic granulomatous disease (Table 1, Table 2). SARS-CoV-2 RNA was detected by RT-PCR, and sequencing detected the B.1.617.2 (delta) variant in all the patients.
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Table 1. Clinical Characteristics of the Patients Treated With Casirivimab-Imdevimab

| Characteristic                      | Value |
|------------------------------------|-------|
| **Immunosuppression**              | 37    |
| Solid organ transplant*             | 18    |
| Immunosuppressive treatment*       | 19    |
| Age >80 years                      | 4     |
| Other medical conditions           | 9     |
| Chronic kidney disease             | 2     |
| Chronic lung disease               | 2     |
| Obesity (BMI >30) + Diabetes       | 3     |
| Type 1 diabetes                    | 1     |
| Chronic granulomatous disease      | 1     |

Abbreviations: BMI, body mass index.
*Renal transplant (n = 11), cardiac transplant (n = 6), liver transplant (n = 2).
*Anti-CD20 (n = 9), chemotherapy (n = 7), antitumor necrosis factor (n = 2), azathioprine (n = 1).

Impact of Casirivimab-Imdevimab on Nasopharyngeal Viral Load

All 50 treated patients were monitored by RT-PCR with viral clearance measured over time. The NP RT-PCR N-gene values became negative or greater than 31 Ct a median of 14 days after infusion (range, 7–28 days). The median SARS-CoV-2 viral load decreased from 8.13 log_{10} copies/mL (IQR, 7.06–8.59 log_{10} copies/mL) on day 0 to 3.67 (IQR, 3.07–5.15 log_{10} copies/mL) on day 7 (P < .001) (Figure 1). The median difference in the NP viral loads before and 7 days postinfusion was 4.03 log_{10} copies/mL (IQR, 2.58–4.94 log_{10} copies/mL) (Figure 2). The NP viral load decreased by 3.99 log_{10} copies/mL (IQR, 2.52–4.97 log_{10} copies/mL) in the 18 SOTs and by 4.49 log_{10} copies/mL (IQR, 3.33–5.08 log_{10} copies/mL) in the other patients (P = .45). The NP viral loads of 3 of 50 (6%) patients had declined only slightly (<1 log_{10} copies/mL) 7 days after mAbs infusion. The initial NP viral loads for those 3 individuals who showed slight decline in viral load on day 7 after Casirivimab-Imdevimab infusion were 6.51, 6.87, and 6.33 log_{10} copies/mL, respectively.

Impact of Casirivimab-Imdevimab on SARS-CoV-2 Spike Quasispecies

We sequenced the spike protein of 36 (72%) patients to monitor the changes in their quasispecies. The NP viral loads from the virus populations of 13 of 16 (81%) patients had several SARS-CoV-2 spike protein haplotypes on day 0: 2 had 2 spike haplotypes, and the third had 4 haplotypes. The other 33 patients had only 1 spike haplotype on day 0. Nonkey Spike mutations observed on day 0 were T95I, Q628K, and Q477H.

On day 3 after Casirivimab-Imdevimab administration, new spike haplotypes were observed in NP samples from 7 patients compared to day 0, with nonkey Spike mutations E132K, K147R, N164S, F175S, E224Q, Q498H, and Q628K, in NP samples from 6 patients including 1 patient who showed NP viral loads slight decline (<1 log_{10} copies/mL) 7 days after Casirivimab-Imdevimab infusion.

Virus Quasispecies Complexity

We analyzed the spike region of SARS-CoV-2 on day 0 before mAbs infusion and during follow-up to determine the complexity of the virus quasispecies: the number of spike-protein haplotypes in 16 (44%) patients varied over time (Table 3, Figure 3).

The median of the Shannon entropy, a measure of diversity based on haplotype frequencies, increased from 0.00 on day 0 to 0.036 3 days postinfusion and 0.059 7 days postinfusion (P > .05) (Figure 4A). The population nucleotide diversity index, a measure of the nucleotide difference between 2 sequences, increased slightly in the virus populations of 13 of 16 (81%) patients (on day 3 for 7 patients and on day 7 for 6 patients), and it decreased in 3 of 16 (19%) patients on day 7 (P > .05) (Figure 4B).

Table 2. Comparison Between Immunocompromised and Nonimmunocompromised Set of Individuals With Regard to Age, Sex, and Baseline NP Viral Load

| Characteristic             | Immunocompromised (n = 37) | Nonimmunocompromised (n = 13) | P Value |
|---------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------|--------|
| Age (years)               | 55 [39–63]†              | 53 [39–83.5]†                 | .76    |
| Sex (male %)              | 57                       | 77                            | .32    |
| Baseline NP viral load    | 8.23 [7.23–8.58]†         | 3.27 [2.87–4.29]†             | <.001  |

Abbreviations: IQR, interquartile range; NP, nasopharyngeal.
†Age and baseline NP viral load between immunocompromised and non-immunocompromised individuals were compared using the Mann-Whitney U test, the frequency of men was compared using Fisher’s exact test.
‡Median [IQR].
The Hill numbers, which measure virus complexity, indicated that there were slightly more SARS-CoV-2 Spike quasispecies, especially between positions 132 and 628 of the spike protein, surrounding the RBD, on day 7 postinfusion than on day 0, but the difference was not significant (Figure 4C). Although there were small changes in the Spike quasispecies after infusion of Casirivimab-Imdevimab, we detected no key mutation that reduced mAbs activity.

DISCUSSION

The use of neutralizing anti-SARS-CoV-2 mAbs raises questions about their impact on the emergence of new virus variants. This study indicates that in vivo treatment with Casirivimab-Imdevimab leads to small changes in the SARS-CoV-2 quasispecies but does not induce the emergence of mAb-resistant variants.

In the present study, the NP viral load decreased significantly (by 4.00 log_{10} copies/mL in the SOT patients and 4.49 log_{10} copies/mL in the other patients) 7 days after Casirivimab-Imdevimab infusion. This decrease was greater than the decrease observed in an historical group [11] of untreated SOT patients infected with the SARS-CoV-2 alpha variant (by 2.47 log_{10} copies/mL). The virus load of only 3 of 50 (6%) of the patients in the present study decreased slightly after 7 days (<1 log_{10} copies/mL). Among these 3 patients, 2 were immunocompromised (1 liver-transplanted-patient and 1 patient treated by anti-CD20), and 1 patient was 85 years. However, even if immune status or pharmacokinetics factors could explain a slower viral decay, their NP viral loads continued to decline and became negative after 14 to 28 days.

Ribonucleic acid viruses like SARS-CoV-2 exist as quasispecies, composed of several related genome sequences. Some haplotypes can acquire mutation and become resistant under conditions of selection such as those imposed by mAbs. We recently detected spike-protein key mutations (Q493R, Q493K, or E484K) in SARS-CoV-2 B.1.1.7 (alpha) variants in patients treated with Bamlanivimab and Etesevimab [11].

The impact of Casirivimab-Imdevimab on SARS-CoV-2 quasispecies evolution has not yet been clearly established. In vitro escape studies are inconsistent. Baum et al [23] showed that using these mAbs protected against the emergence of escape variants, whereas Starr et al [28] identified RBD mutations that enable the virus to escape Casirivimab-Imdevimab binding. A few in vivo studies have shown that this association remains potent against the B.1.617.2 variant and does not generate key mAb activity-reducing spike protein mutations [25]. It remains essential to evaluate the efficiency of mAb treatment in real life, including the pressures induced by the mAbs and

---

**Figure 1.** Viral load trend in nasopharyngeal (NP) samples from Casirivimab-Imdevimab-treated patients: day 0 to day 7 (Wilcoxon matched-pairs signed-rank test, \( P < .001 \)).

**Figure 2.** Viral load trend in nasopharyngeal (NP) samples from immunocompromised (A) or non-immunocompromised (B) Casirivimab-Imdevimab-treated patients (medians ± interquartile range, Wilcoxon matched-pairs signed rank test, \( P < .001 \)).
by the patient himself. These mAbs are used to treat at-risk patients, whose clinical characteristics and/or treatment (immunosuppressive drugs) can exert strong selective pressure, virus persistence, and systemic disorders. Most (74%) of our patients were immunocompromised and hence likely to harbor variants with spike mutations. The 16 patients whose number of spike-protein haplotypes varied over time included 14 (88%) who were immunocompromised, including 7 SOT patients. This indicates that immunocompromised patients are more likely to have new spike variants and that using mAbs exerts selective pressure on SARS-CoV-2 quasispecies. Although 44% of the patients harbored variations in their SARS-CoV-2 quasispecies, we found no key mAb activity-reducing mutations.

A limitation of our study is the small number of samples sequenced due to the rapid decline in the SARS-CoV-2 NP viral load after mAbs infusion. This also led to a lack of statistical power in the measures of virus quasispecies complexity and diversity. However, we can show that Casirivimab-Imdevimab did not induce key mutation in a substantial number of immunocompromised patients, even if it exerted enough selective pressure to modify virus quasispecies diversity and complexity. Another limitation is the lack of a control group of untreated delta-infected patients, but we found a greater decrease in the viral load of treated SOT patients infected with this variant than in the historical group of untreated alpha-infected patients [11].

CONCLUSIONS
Finally, our results suggest that Casirivimab-Imdevimab protects against the emergence of mAbs-resistant variants. However, the Omicron variant (Pango lineage B.1.1.529), first identified in Botswana and South Africa, could become dominant worldwide. Some recent data indicate that Casirivimab-Imdevimab does not neutralize this variant, which has many mutations, mostly in the N-terminal domain and the RBD of the spike protein [29, 30].
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Figure 3. Percentages of severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) spike protein haplotypes in nasopharyngeal samples from Casirivimab-Imdevimab-treated patients on days 0, 3, and 7. The number of SARS-CoV-2 spike protein haplotypes (2, 3, or 4 haplotypes) increased over time post-monoclonal antibodies infusion.
Figure 4. (A) Severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) spike quasispecies Shannon entropy based on the S-protein region before (day 0) drug infusion and 3 and 7 days later. The horizontal line represents the median of the Shannon entropy for each group. (B) The SARS-CoV-2 spike quasispecies population nucleotide diversity (\(\pi\)) based on the S-protein region before (day 0) and 3 and 7 days post-monoclonal antibodies (mAbs) infusion. The horizontal line represents the median of the population nucleotide diversity (\(\pi\)) for each group. (C) The SARS-CoV-2 spike quasispecies Hill numbers \(q\) based on the frequency of each haplotype in the quasispecies with variations in the weight given to rare haplotypes (q = 0, q = 1, q = 2, q = \(\infty\)) were calculated. Data are means ± standard deviation.
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