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ABSTRACT

The motion of a Carreau nanofluid past an infinite vertical non-linear permeable stretching sheet embedded in a non-Darcy porous medium is investigated. It is stressed by a uniform external magnetic field. The thermal radiation and heat generation are taken in consideration as well as Brownian motion with thermophoresis, chemical reaction and partial slip velocity at the boundary layer. The nonlinear partial differential equations describing the motion with heat and mass transfer are transformed to non-linear ordinary differential equations and solved by using Runge-Kutta method with approbriate boundary conditions. The effects of the physical parameters of the problem on the obtained solutions are discussed numerically and graphically. Through the section of discussion the skin friction and the rate of heat and mass transfer are computed. It is found that these physical parameters play an important rules to control the obtained velocity, temperature and concentration of the fluid.
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Nomenclature

| Symbol | Description                                      | Symbol | Description                                      |
|--------|--------------------------------------------------|--------|--------------------------------------------------|
| D_B, D_T | Brownian , thermophoretic diffusion coefficients | N_b    | Brownian motion parameter                        |
| λ      | buoyancy parameter                               | β_T, β_c | coefficient of volumetric thermal and concentration |
| C      | concentration                                    | h      | convective heat transfer coefficient             |
| N, N_f | constant of proportionality                      | D_u    | Dufour number                                   |
| f      | dimensionless stream function                    | θ      | dimensionless temperature                        |
| ρ_p    | Density of nano-particles                        | σ      | electrical conductivity                          |
| E_c    | Eckert number                                    | ρ_f    | fluid density                                   |
| F_s    | Forcheimmer number                               | Q      | heat generation                                 |
| (ρC)_p, (ρC)_f | heat capacities of nano particles and fluid     | D_a^-1 | inverse Darcy number                           |
| ν      | kinematic viscosity of fluid                     | ν = μ_f/ρ_f | kinematic viscosity of the fluid                |
| L_e    | Lewis number                                     | Re_x   | local Reynolds number                           |
| G_m    | local concentration Grashof number               | G_r    | local thermal Grashof number                    |
| M      | Magnetic parameter for nanoparticles             | k_e    | mean absorption coefficient                      |
| $k$ | nano-thermal conductivity | $b_1$ | non-Darcian coefficient |
|-----|--------------------------|-------|-------------------------|
| $\phi$ | nanoparticle volume fraction | $Nu$ | Nusselt number |
| $We$ | non-Newtonian Weissenberg parameter | $k_0$ | permeability of the medium |
| $n$ | power law index | $Pr$ | Prandtl number |
| $R$ | radiation parameter | $C_f$ | skin-friction coefficient |
| $\tau = \frac{(\rho C)_p}{(\rho C)_f}$ | ratio between heat capacity of the nano-particles with fluid | $S_h$ | Sherwood number |
| $B_0$ | strength of the magnetic field | $v_w$ | suction/injection |
| $a > 0$ | stretching parameter | $\sigma_S$ | Stephen Boltzman constant |
| $u_s$ | slip velocity | $l$ | slip length |
| $m$ | stretching index | $\delta$ | solutal buoyancy parameter |
| $S_C$ | Schmidt number | $T$ | temperature |
| $\Gamma > 0$ | time constant | $a = k / (\rho C)_f$ | thermal diffusivity parameter |
| $T_f$ | temperature of hot fluid | $N_t$ | thermophoresis parameter |
| $T_w, C_w$ | Temperature, concentration at the wall | $T_\infty, C_\infty$ | temperature, concentration at infinity |
| $\mu_f$ | viscosity of the zero shear rate | $u, v$ | velocity components |

**Introduction**

Nano fluid is the combination of simple fluid and nano sized particles uniformly suspended in the fluid. These nano sized particles can be metallic (Cu, Al, Hg, Ti, etc.) or non-metallic (Zno, $Al_2O_3$, $TiO_2$ and several other metallic oxides). Nano particles have advantage over micro size particles due to negligible effects of gravitational setting and cluster formation during flow. Fluids are widely used in heat transfer phenomenon due to their strong convection properties. Nano fluids got the attention of researchers and industrialists due to their better performance in heat transfer phenomenon. Several researchers (Bang and Chang [1]; Suganthi and Rajan [2]; Kuznetsov [3]) have discussed the Nano fluid flow for various physical phenomenon by considering water as base fluid. But suspension of solid particles in water up to large percentage of its volume will change it from the Newtonian to non-Newtonian fluid. Also we know that several non-Newtonian fluids have better heat transfer properties as compared with water for e.g. liquid metals. So it's important to discuss the flow of non-Newtonian nanoo fluids due to their wide range use in industrial and chemical processes. Choi [4] was the first one to use the term nano fluid due to nano sized particle suspension in fluid. He discussed that nano particles (due to their small size) are much better as compared to micro sized particles and their incorporation can reduce the cooling cost several times. Masuda et al [5] discussed the thermal conductivity enhancement due to addition of ultrafine particles in fluid. Also Magnetohydro-dynamic non-Newtonian nanofluid flow over a stretching sheet through a non-Darcy porous medium with radiation and chemical reaction is discussed by El-Dabe, et al [6]. Awais M, et al [7] have been studied the velocity, thermal and concentration slip effects on a magneto-
hydrodynamic nanofluid flow. and El-dabe, et al [8] investigated the Magnetohydrodynamic peristaltic flow of Jeffry nanofluid with heat transfer through a porous medium in a vertical tube.

In many practical situations, the stretching surface does not need to be linear, e.g., in plastic sheet stretching. The heat transfer analysis of boundary layer flow over a continuous stretching surface with prescribed temperature or heat flux has gained considerable attention due to its applications in manufacture processes of polymer sheets, glass fiber, paper production, metal wires, plastic films, etc. In polymer, the glass and plastic industry quality of the final product greatly depends on the rate of cooling. Sakiadis [9] was the first to study the boundary layer flow over a continuous stretching surface. He developed the two dimensional boundary equations. Tsou et al. [10] examined the heat transfer effects on the boundary layer flow over a stretching surface. Erickson et al. [11] extended this work for mass transfer by considering suction and injection. Later on, many researchers have given their insight into boundary layer flows over linear stretching surfaces [12-14]. El-Dabe et. al. [15] investigated the effects of chemical reaction and heat radiation on the MHD flow of viscoelastic fluid through a porous maduim over a horizontal stretching flat plate. The heat and mass transfer of MHD unsteady Maxwell fluid flow through porous medium past a porous flat plate is studied by El-Dabe et al. [16]. Boundary layer flow of a nanofluid past a stretching sheet examined by Khan, et. Al [17]. Vishnu Ganesh, et. Al [18] have been studied the MHD radiative boundary layer flow of nanofluid past a vertical plate with internal heat generation/absorption, viscous and ohmic dissipation effects. Magnetohydrodynamic boundary layer heat transfer to a stretching sheet including viscous dissipation and internal heat generation in a porous medium discussed by Abou-zeid, Mohamed [19].

The main aim of this work is to discussthe effects of Dufour, Soret, thermal radiation, chemical reaction, heat generation, viscous and ohmic dissipations on the boundary layer motion of an electrically conducting Carreau nanofluid past an infinite vertical heated stretching surface. The motion is stressed by a uniform external magnetic field as was as the effects of Brownian motion and thermophoresis. The system of non-liner partial differential equations describe the fluid motion is transformed to an ordinary differential equations by using a similarity transformations, then solved numerically by using Runge-Kutta method with shooting technique subjected to the approbriate boundary conditions. The effects of the physical parameters of the fluid on the obtained solutions are discussed numerically and illustrated graphically through set of figures.

Formulate of the problem:-

The flow of non- Newtonian nonfluid in the boundary layer past a stretching sheet is considered. By using the Cartesian coordinates ( x, y ), where x direction is taken along the vertical sheet and y-axis perpendicular to it as seen in Figure (1). The surface is stretched by a velocity $u_w = ax^m$. The components of the applied magnetic field are (0, B, 0). The induced magnetic field is negligible, when the assumption of small magnetic Reynolds number is considered. Also, electric field and the polarization are ignored.
In above equations $\tau_{ij}$ is the extra stress tensor and $\dot{\gamma}$ is defined as follows

$$\dot{\gamma} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j} \dot{\gamma}_{ij} \dot{\gamma}_{ij}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \Pi$$

Here $\Pi$ is the second invariant strain tensor, in Cartesian coordinates we can write:

$$\dot{\gamma}_{11} = 2 \frac{\partial u}{\partial x}, \quad \dot{\gamma}_{12} = \dot{\gamma}_{21} = \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial v}{\partial x}, \quad \dot{\gamma}_{22} = 2 \frac{\partial v}{\partial y}$$

Employing the Oberbeck-Boussinesq approximation, the governing equations of the flow field in steady state in Cartesian coordinates can be written in the dimensional form as

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} = 0$$

$$\rho_f \left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + \nu \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} \right) = \mu_f \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial y^2} + \mu_f \frac{3(n-1)\eta^2}{2} \left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} \right)^2 \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial y^2} + \left( 1 - C_\infty \right) \rho_{f,\infty} \beta_f (T - T_\infty) - \left( \rho_p - \rho_{f,\infty} \right) \beta_C (C - C_\infty) \right] g - \alpha B^2 u - \frac{\mu_f}{\kappa_0} u - \frac{\gamma_0}{k_0} u.$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)

$$u \frac{\partial T}{\partial x} + v \frac{\partial T}{\partial y} = \alpha \frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial y^2} + \tau \left\{ D_B \frac{\partial T}{\partial y} \frac{\partial c}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial T}{\partial x} \left( \frac{\partial T}{\partial y} \right)^2 \right\} + \frac{\mu_f}{\rho_{f,p}} \left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} \right)^2 + \frac{\eta}{\rho_{f,p}} \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} + \frac{\sigma_0}{\rho_{f,p}} \frac{\partial^2 c}{\partial y^2} + \frac{q_0}{\rho_{f,p}} \left( T - T_\infty \right) - \frac{1}{\rho_{f,p}} \frac{\partial q_r}{\partial y} + \frac{\rho_{m} k_f}{\epsilon_f} \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial y}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (5)

$$u \frac{\partial c}{\partial x} + v \frac{\partial c}{\partial y} = D_B \frac{\partial^2 c}{\partial y^2} + \frac{\partial T}{\partial x} \frac{\partial c}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial T}{\partial x} \frac{\partial c}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial T}{\partial x} \frac{\partial c}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial T}{\partial x} \frac{\partial c}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial T}{\partial x} \frac{\partial c}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial T}{\partial x} \frac{\partial c}{\partial y} - K (C - C_\infty).$$  \hspace{1cm} (6)

Magnetic field is chosen such that $B(x) = B_0 \sqrt{x^{-1}}$

The subject appropriate boundary conditions are:

$$u = u_w + u_s, \quad v = \pm v_w, \quad -k \frac{\partial T}{\partial y} = h(T_f - T), \quad C = C_w \quad \text{at} \quad y = 0.$$  \hspace{1cm} (8)

$$u \rightarrow 0; \quad T \rightarrow T_\infty; \quad C \rightarrow C_\infty \quad \text{as} \quad y \rightarrow \infty.$$  \hspace{1cm} (9)

The slip velocity $u_s$, defined as:

$$u_s = \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} \right|_{y=0}, \quad \text{while} \quad u_w = ax^m$$

In equation (6) the term of radiation can be take the form

$$\frac{\partial \theta}{\partial y} = -\frac{16 \eta T_\infty}{3 \kappa_e} \frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial y^2}$$

Invoking equation (10), equation (6) gets modified as

$$u \frac{\partial T}{\partial x} + v \frac{\partial T}{\partial y} = \left( \alpha + \frac{16 \eta T_\infty}{3 \kappa_e \rho_{f,p}} \right) \frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial y^2} + \tau \left\{ D_B \left( \frac{\partial T}{\partial y} \right)^2 \frac{\partial c}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial T}{\partial x} \left( \frac{\partial T}{\partial y} \right)^2 \right\} + \frac{\mu_f}{\rho_{f,p}} \left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} \right)^2 + \frac{\eta}{\rho_{f,p}} \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} + \frac{\sigma_0}{\rho_{f,p}} \frac{\partial^2 c}{\partial y^2} + \frac{q_0}{\rho_{f,p}} \left( T - T_\infty \right) + \frac{\rho_{m} k_f}{\epsilon_f} \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial y}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (11)

Consider stream function $= \psi(x, y)$.
$$u = \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial y}, \quad v = -\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x}$$

Introducing following similarity transformations in the above equations, where

$$\psi = \sqrt{\frac{2 \alpha x^{m+1}}{m+1} f(\eta)}, \quad \theta = \frac{T-T_\infty}{T_w-T_\infty}, \quad \phi = \frac{C-C_\infty}{C_w-C_\infty}$$

$$u = ax^m f'(\eta), \quad v = \sqrt{\frac{a(m+1)x^{-m-1}}{2} \left[ f(\eta) + \frac{m-1}{m+1} \eta f'(\eta) \right]}, \quad \eta = \sqrt{\frac{a(m+1)x^{-m-1}}{2v}} y.$$

$$N_r = \frac{k_e x}{\sigma_{b, T_\infty}}, \quad R = \frac{4}{3 N_r}, \quad \lambda = \frac{Gr}{Re^3}, \quad \delta = \frac{Gm}{Re^3}.$$

$$Pr = \frac{\nu}{a}, \quad S_c = \frac{\nu}{D_f}, \quad Nb = \frac{r D_f}{\nu} (C_w - C_\infty), \quad Nt = \frac{r D_f}{\nu} (T_w - T_\infty),$$

$$Re_x = \frac{u_w(x) x}{\nu}, \quad Gr = \frac{(1 - C_\infty) \left( \frac{\rho f}{\rho_1} \right) \eta \left( T_w - T_\infty \right)}{\nu^3 Re^3}, \quad Gm = \frac{(\rho f - \rho_1)}{\rho_1 \nu} (C_w - C_\infty).$$

$$We = \frac{a_x (m+1)x^{-m-1}}{2v}, \quad \beta = \frac{\sigma_{f_0}}{\rho_f a}, \quad Q = \frac{\rho_0}{\rho_1 a c_p}, \quad D_i = \frac{D_i \nu \nu}{c_s c_p (T_w-T_\infty)}.$$  

$$S_r = \frac{\nu_{m} k(T_w-T_\infty)}{\nu_{m} (C_w-C_\infty)}.$$  

In view of the above similarity, the equations (5, 7 and 11) reduce to

$$f'''' + ff'' - \frac{2}{m+1} (m + \frac{1}{2}) f' + \frac{(m-1)}{2} \nu^2 (f'')^2 f''' + \nu \left( \frac{1}{m+1} \right) \left( \delta \theta - \delta \phi \right) - \left( \frac{\nu}{m+1} \right) \left( M^2 + \frac{1}{2a_f} \right) f' = 0. \quad (13)$$

$$\frac{1}{Pr} \left( (1 + R) \eta'' + f f' + Nb \eta \phi' + Nt \eta \phi' + Ec \eta f'' + Ec \eta f'' + \frac{m-1}{2} \nu Ec \eta f'' + \frac{2}{m+1} M^2 Ec \eta f'' + \frac{\eta}{\rho_0} \right) \theta'' - K_c S_c \phi'' = 0. \quad (14)$$

$$\phi'' + S_c f \phi' + \left( \frac{Nt}{Nb} + S_c S_r \right) \theta'' - K_c S_c \phi'' = 0. \quad (15)$$

Here $\beta_T, \beta_C$ are proportional to $x^{-3}, \beta_T = N_T x^{-3}$ and $\beta_C = N_C x^{-3}$ $[20].$

Corresponding boundary conditions:

$$f = F_w, \quad f' = 1 + \zeta f''', \quad \theta' = -B t (1 - \theta), \quad \phi = 1 \quad \text{at} \quad \eta = 0.$$  

$$f' = 0, \quad \theta = 0, \quad \phi = 0 \quad \text{as} \quad \eta \to \infty.$$  

Where

$$F_w = \frac{\nu_{w}}{\sqrt{a_x m^{-1} \nu \eta (m+1)}}$$

is the suction/injection parameter.

$$\zeta = \frac{(a(m+1)) \nu^{m-1}}{2v}$$

is the slip parameter for liquid, and
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\[ \frac{\theta_i}{\sqrt{Re_x}} = \frac{hx}{k} \sqrt{\frac{2}{m+1}} \quad \text{(Surface convection parameter or reduced Biot number).} \]

Where
\[ P_{mx} = \frac{x^{m-1}(m+1)}{2} \]

This parameter obliges our equations to be solved locally. Redefining \( F_W \), \( \zeta_p \) based on the non-linear \( P_{mx} \) yields an independent \( f_w, \zeta \) from \( x \) and \( m \) as follows [21]:
\[ F_W = \frac{f_w}{\sqrt{P_{mx}}}, \quad \zeta_p = \zeta \sqrt{P_{mx}} \quad \text{(17)} \]

Physical quantities of interest for present study are:
\[ C_f = \frac{\tau_w}{\rho u_w^2} = \frac{\mu_f}{\rho u_w^2} \left[ \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} + \frac{(n-1)\rho}{2} \left( \frac{\partial \Theta}{\partial y} \right) \right]_{y=0} \quad \text{(18)} \]
\[ C_f = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2(m+1)}} \Re^{-1/2} \left[ f''(0) + \frac{(n-1)\rho \Theta^2}{2} f''(0) \right] \quad \text{(19)} \]
\[ Nu = -\frac{x}{\tau_w - \tau_{in}} \frac{\partial \Theta}{\partial y} \bigg|_{y=0} \quad \text{and} \quad Sh = -\frac{x}{\tau_w - \tau_{in}} \frac{\partial \Theta}{\partial y} \bigg|_{y=0} \quad \text{(20)} \]

Or by introducing the transformations (12), we get:
\[ Nu = -\sqrt{\frac{m+1}{2}} \Re^{1/2} \Theta'(0) \quad \text{and} \quad Sh = -\sqrt{\frac{m+1}{2}} \Re^{1/2} \Theta'(0) \quad \text{(21)} \]

RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

The problem of non-Newtonian nanofluid through porous medium with heat and mass transfer is discussed and the system of nonlinear partial differential equations describing this motion is solved numerically. The effects of the Physical parameters of the problem on the obtained solutions are discussed numerically and cleared graphically through a set of figures (2-12). Also, figures a,b,c and d and table (**) illustrated the comparison for special cases of our work.

To save the space of this manuscript we most of figures which describe the effects of parameters are excluded and they are available under your request.

Figure (2) illustrated the effect of nonlinear stretching parameter \( m \) on the velocity distribution \( f(\tilde{h}) \). It is observed that an increase of \( m \) leads to decrease the velocity field, while the increasing values of Weissenberg number \( We \) enhances the velocity, this because, rising values of the power-law index \( n \) helps to reduce the resistive force. The effect of injection parameter \( f_{inj} \) on the velocity is to increase it close to the surface of the sheet, this appear through the figure (3). Also, the velocity reduces with the increase of the slip parameter \( \zeta \) and then, decreases asymptotically to zero at the edge of the boundary layer, this is clear in figure (4). The relation between the velocity and the magnetic field parameter \( M \) is plotted, and it is shown that when the values of \( M \) rising the velocity depreciates, this due to the fact that the increasing of \( M \) creates a drag force and develop the Lorentz force which reduces the motion. The effect of heat generation \( Q \) on the velocity is discussed and it is seen that when \( Q \) increases the velocity increases. Also, the effect of Prandtl number \( Pr \), Schmidt number \( Sc \), thermophoresis parameter \( Nt \), Forchheimer number \( Fs \) are to increases the velocity field. It is observed also,
that the velocity increases with increasing the Darcy number $Da$, this due to when $Da$ increases the porosity of the medium increases, then the fluid flow increases.

The effects of the physical parameters on the temperature $\Theta$ are illustrated through some figures. Figure (5) shows that temperature increases with the increase of the magnetic parameter $M$. This due to that the nano fluid contains nano size particles whose motion is affected by the magnetic field, and these particles act as energy carrier the fluid, this causing the increasing in nano temperature. The temperature increases with $\zeta$, while it decreases with $f_w$. Also, the temperature increases with the increasing of thermal and solutal buoyancy parameters the increasing of $Q$ enhances the temperature but it decreases when the radiation $R$ increases it is observed also that the temperature increases with increasing of Brownian motion parameter $Nb$. Also, when the Diffusion-thermo parameter $Du$ increases the temperature is increasing, this due to $Du$ causes an increase in the thermal boundary layer thickness. The effect of the thermal buoyancy parameter $\lambda$ on $\Theta$ are discussed and shown through figure (6). It is observed that $\Theta$ decreases when $\lambda$ increases. The effect of the physical parameter on the nanoparticles concentration $\Phi$ are discussed also. It is seen from figure (7) that the concentration increases as the radiation $R$ increases. Figure (8) illustrates the relation between $\Phi$ and $Nt$ it’s clear that $\Phi$ decreases as the thermophoresis parameter $Nt$ increases. Also, we noticed that $\Phi$ decreases when $\zeta$, $Q$, $D_m$, chemical reaction $Kr$, Soret number $Sr$ increases, while it increases when the injection parameter $f_w$ and Prandtl number $Pr$ increase.

The effect of solutal buoyancy parameter $\delta$ on the skin friction $C_f$ is to decreases it, this appear through the figure (9). The skin friction increases with increasing both of $Q$, $Pr$, $Sr$ and $Du$. The effect of Prandtl number $Pr$ on $C_f$ is illustrated through figure (10). The effects of parameters on Nusselt number $Nu$ are illustrated and its observed that $Nu$ decreases with increasing both of, $\delta$, $Q$, $Pr$, $Sr$ and $Du$. Figure (11) shows the relation between $Nu$ and $Du$. At last the effects of these parameters on Sherwood number $Sh$ are illustrated. It is found that the Sherwood $Sh$ increases with increasing both of, $\delta$, $Q$, $Pr$, $Sr$ and $Du$. Figure (12) illustrates the relation between $Sh$ and $Du$.

CONCLUSION

In our work, we were interested to discuss the Dufour, Soret, chemical reaction, thermal radiation, heat generation; viscous and ohmic dissipation effects on the heat and mass transfer of an electrically conducting Carreau nanofluid flow past an infinite vertical heated permeable stretching sheet with convective boundary conditions. A uniform transverse magnetic field affects the flow in the presence of Brownian motion and thermophoresis. We reduced the governing boundary layer equations to ordinary differential equations, and used Runge-Kutta method with shooting technique to solve the resulting equation numerically. We have systematically examined the influence of various governing parameters on the velocity, temperature, concentration distributions as well as skin-friction coefficient, heat and mass transfer rates. The following concluded remarks can be drawn from the present numerical investigation:

- Velocity accelerates with an increase in Weissenberg number $We$, heat generation parameter $Q$, Prandtl number $Pr$, Schmidt number $Sc$, thermophoresis parameter $Nt$, the non-linear stretching parameter $m$, local Forchheimer number $Fs$ and local Darcy number $Da$ and decelerates with an increase in slip parameter $\zeta$ and magnetic field $M$.

- Temperature rises with an increase in the slip parameter $\zeta$, magnetic field parameter $M$, heat generation parameter $Q$, Brownian motion parameter $Nb$, thermophoresis parameter $Nt$, Dufour number $Du$ and it is fall with an increase in the thermal
buoyancy parameter $\lambda$, injection parameter $f_w$, radiation parameter $R$ and Darcy number $Da$.

- There is an increase in particles concentration with increasing in the injection parameter $f_w$ or radiation parameter $R$, whereas there is a decrease in the concentration with the increase in the value of the slip parameter $\zeta$, heat generation parameter $Q$, Prandtl number $Pr$, thermophoresis parameter $Nt$, chemical reaction $Kr$ and Soret number $Sr$.

- There is an increase in Skin friction coefficient as a result of increasing the heat generation parameter $Q$, Prandtl number $Pr$, Soret number $Sr$, Dufour number $Du$ whereas there a decrease following an increase in the solutal buoyancy parameter $\delta$.

- There is a fall in heat transfer rate as a result of increasing the solutal buoyancy parameter, heat generation parameter, Prandtl number, Soret number or Dufour number.

- There is an increase in mass transfer as a result of increasing the solutal buoyancy parameter or heat generation parameter or Prandtl number or Soret number or Dufour number.

**Applications**

1. A great number of researchers have been interested in the problems of free convective and heat transfer flows through a porous medium under the influence of a magnetic field due to their applications in transportation cooling of re-entry vehicles and rocket boosters, cross-hatching on ablative surfaces and film vaporization in combustion chambers.

2. There are several engineering and geophysical applications of flow through a porous medium e.g filtration and purification process in chemical engineering, studying the underground water resources in agriculture engineering and studying the movement of natural gas, oil and water through the oil reservoirs in petroleum technology.

3. It is greatly important to analyze non-Newtonian fluid flows generated by a stretching sheet in several manufacturing processes such as extrusion of molten polymers through a slit die for the production of plastic sheets, processing of food stuffs, paper production, and wire and fiber coating.
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Figure (2) The velocity distribution is plotted against $\eta$ for different values of $m$ with $n = 2$, $We = 0.3$, $M = 1$, $\lambda = 1$, $\delta = 1$, $Q = 0.5$, $R = 0.5$, $Pr = 0.7$, $Nb = 0.5$, $Sc = 1$, $Nt = 0.5$, $Ec = 0.1$, $Re = 0.3$, $Kr = 0.2$, $Sr = 1$, $Du = 0.05$, $Fs = 0.1$, $Da = 0.5$, $f_w = 0.1$, $\xi = 0.1$ and $Bi = 0.5$.
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Figure (3) The velocity distribution is plotted against $\eta$ for different values of $f_w$ with $n = 2$, $m = 2$, $M = 1$, $\lambda = 1$, $\delta = 1$, $Q = 0.5$, $R = 0.5$, $Pr = 0.7$, $Nb = 0.5$, $Sc = 1$, $Nt = 0.5$, $Ec = 0.1$, $Re = 0.3$, $Kr = 0.2$, $Sr = 1$, $Du = 0.05$, $Fs = 0.1$, $Da = 0.5$, $We = 0.1$, $\xi = 0.1$ and $Bi = 0.5$. 
Figure (4) The velocity distribution is plotted against $\eta$ for different values of $\zeta$ with $n = 2, m = 2, M = 1, \lambda = 1, \delta = 1$, $Q = 0.5, R = 0.5, Pr = 0.7, Nb = 0.5, Sc = 1, Nt = 0.5, Ec = 0.1, Re = 0.3, Kr = 0.2, Sr = 1, Du = 0.05, Fs = 0.1, Da = 0.5, f_w = 0.1, We = 0.3 and Bi = 0.5.

Figure (5) The temperature distribution is plotted against $\eta$ for different values of $M$ with $n = 2, m = 2, \zeta = 0.1, \lambda = 1, \delta = 1$, $Q = 0.5, R = 0.5, Pr = 0.7, Nb = 0.5, Sc = 1, Nt = 0.5, Ec = 0.1, Re = 0.3, Kr = 0.2, Sr = 1$, $Du = 0.05, Fs = 0.1, Da = 0.5, f_w = 0.1, We = 0.3$ and $Bi = 0.5$.

Figure (6) The temperature distribution is plotted against $\eta$ for different values of $\lambda$ with $n = 2, m = 2, M = 1, \zeta = 0.1, \delta = 1$, $Q = 0.5, R = 0.5, Pr = 0.7, Nb = 0.5, Sc = 1, Nt = 0.5, Ec = 0.1, Re = 0.3, Kr = 0.2, Sr = 1$, $Du = 0.05, Fs = 0.1, Da = 0.5, f_w = 0.1, We = 0.3$ and $Bi = 0.5$.

Figure (7) The nanoparticles concentration distribution is plotted against $\eta$ for different values of $R$ with $n = 2, m = 2, M = 1, \lambda = 1, \delta = 1$, $Q = 0.5, f_w = 0.1, Pr = 0.7, Nb = 0.5, Sc = 1, Nt = 0.5, Ec = 0.1, Re = 0.3, Kr = 0.2, Sr = 1$, $Du = 0.05, Fs = 0.1, Da = 0.5, \zeta = 0.1, We = 0.3$ and $Bi = 0.5$.

Figure (8) The nanoparticles concentration distribution is plotted against $\eta$ for different values of $Nt$ with $n = 2, m = 2, M = 1, \lambda = 1, \delta = 1$, $Q = 0.5, R = 0.5, Pr = 0.7, Nb = 0.5, Sc = 1, f_w = 0.1, Ec = 0.1, Re = 0.3, Kr = 0.2, Sr = 1$, $Du = 0.05, Fs = 0.1, Da = 0.5, \zeta = 0.1, We = 0.3$ and $Bi = 0.5$.

Figure (9) The skin friction distribution is plotted against $\eta$ for different values of $\delta$ with $n = 2, m = 2, M = 1, \lambda = 1, \zeta = 0.1$, $Q = 0.5, R = 0.5, Pr = 0.7, Nb = 0.5, Sc = 1, Nt = 0.5, Ec = 0.1, Re = 0.3, Kr = 0.2, Sr = 1$, $Du = 0.05, Fs = 0.1, Da = 0.5, f_w = 0.1, We = 0.3$ and $Bi = 0.5$.
Figure (10) The skin friction distribution is plotted against $Pr$ for different values of $Pr$ with $n = 2$, $m = 2$, $M = 1$, $\lambda = 1$, $\zeta = 0.1$, $\delta = 0.1$, $R = 0.5$, $Q = 0.5$, $Nb = 0.5$, $Sc = 1$, $Nt = 0.5$, $Ec = 0.1$, $Re = 0.3$, $Kr = 0.2$, $Sr = 1$, $Du = 0.05$, $Fs = 0.1$, $Da = 0.5$, $f_w = 0.1$, $We = 0.3$ and $Bi = 0.5$.

Figure (11) The Nusselt number distribution is plotted against $\eta$ for different values of $Du$ with $n = 2$, $m = 2$, $M = 1$, $\lambda = 1$, $\zeta = 0.1$, $Q = 0.5$, $Pr = 0.7$, $Nb = 0.5$, $Sc = 1$, $Nt = 0.5$, $Ec = 0.1$, $Re = 0.3$, $Kr = 0.2$, $Sr = 1$, $\delta = 0.1$, $Fs = 0.1$, $Da = 0.5$, $f_w = 0.1$, $We = 0.3$ and $Bi = 0.5$.

Figure (12) The Sherwood number distribution is plotted against $\eta$ for different values of $Du$ with $n = 2$, $m = 2$, $M = 1$, $\lambda = 1$, $\zeta = 0.1$, $Q = 0.5$, $Pr = 0.7$, $Nb = 0.5$, $Sc = 1$, $Nt = 0.5$, $Ec = 0.1$, $Re = 0.3$, $Kr = 0.2$, $Sr = 1$, $\delta = 0.1$, $Fs = 0.1$, $Da = 0.5$, $f_w = 0.1$, $We = 0.3$ and $Bi = 0.5$.

Fig.(a) The velocity distribution is plotted against $\eta$ in the case of $We = 0$, $Nb = 0$, $Nt = 0$ and $F_w = 0$.

Fig.(b) The velocity distribution is plotted against $\eta$ in the case of the solid wall $F_w = 0$.

Fig.(c) The velocity distribution is plotted against $\eta$ in the case of the Newtonian fluid $We = 0$, $Nb = 0$, $Nt = 0$.
Fig. (d) The velocity distribution is plotted against $\eta$ in the case of nanoparticles

$$\text{Nb} = 0, \quad \text{Nt} = 0$$

Table (**) illustrated the comparison of the values the velocity, the temperature, the concentration of the fluid when $n = 2, m = 2, M = 1, \lambda = 1, \delta = 1, \text{Q} = 0.5, \text{R} = 0.5, \text{Pr} = 0.7, \text{Sc} = 1, \text{Ec} = 0.1, \text{Re} = 0.3, \text{Ke} = 0.2, \text{Sr} = 1, \text{Du} = 0.05, \text{Fs} = 0.1, \text{Da} = 0.5, \text{Bi} = 0.5$.

In general case and for special cases the following. Solid wall $F_w = 0$, in the absence of nanoparticles $\text{Nb} = 0, \quad \text{Nt} = 0$, in ordinary Newtonian fluid $\text{We} = 0$.

| Nb  | Nt  | $F_w$ | $\text{We}$ | $f'(0)$  | $\theta(0)$  | $\phi(0)$  |
|-----|-----|-------|-------------|----------|--------------|------------|
| 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.1   | 0.3         | 0.159742 | 0.525715     | 0.139238   |
| 0   | 0   | 0.1   | 0.3         | 0.119236 | 0.423857     | 0.230237   |
| 0.5 | 0   | 0.1   | 0.3         | 0.133907 | 0.474678     | 0.212135   |
| 0   | 0.5 | 0.1   | 0.3         | 0.131192 | 0.475016     | 0.207844   |
| 0.5 | 0.5 | 0     | 0.3         | 0.165613 | 0.56769      | 0.119912   |
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الملخص العربي

التأثير الإشعاعي على تدفق مائع نانو غير نيوتونى ممغنط يتبع نموذج كاريو مع الأنتقال الحراري و الكتلي على سطح مطاطي في وجود قوى مختلفة.
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تم فيه دراسة تدفق الحركة الأذرلاندية الجدارية لمائع نانو غير نيوتونى ممغنط يتبع نموذج "Carreau" على سطح رأسي مطاطي نفاذا ساخنا خلال وسط مساوي غير داري مع الانتقال الحراري والكتلي في وجود إشعاعي و توليد حراري و تفاعلا كيميائي مع الأخذ في الاعتبار تأثير التشتت الناتج عن اللزوجة والمغناطيسية و قد تم تمثيل هذه الدراسة رياضيا من خلال مجموعة من المعادلات التفاضلية الجزئية غير الخطية التي تمثل كمية الحركة و الطاقة و التركيز التي تم تحويلها إلى معادلات تفاضلية عادلة باستخدام تحويلات تماثلية. و باستخدام شروط حدية مناسبة أمكن إيجاد الحلول العددية لهذه المعادلات باستخدام طريقة رنج- كوتتا (Runge-Kutta) مع العرضا البياني باستخدام برنامج الماثيماتيكا و ذلك عند قيم مختلفة لبارامترات الفيزيائية الخاصة بالمسألة و باستعانة بالأشكال البيانية تم توضيح تأثير المعاملات الفيزيائية المختلفة على مجالات السرعة و الحرارة و التركيز و كذلك الاحتكاك السطحي و معدل الانتقال الحراري والكتلي.