Comparative Analysis of Bacterial Diversity and Community Structure in the Rhizosphere and Root Endosphere of Two Halophytes, Salicornia europaea and Glaux maritima, Collected from Two Brackish Lakes in Japan
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Microbial community structures associated with halophytes and their compositions among different habitats, particularly natural saline sites, have not yet been investigated in detail. In the present study, we examined the diversity and composition of the rhizosphere and root endosphere bacteria of two halophytes, Salicornia europaea L. and Glaux maritima L., collected from two adjacent brackish lakes, Lake Notoro and Lake Tofutsu, in Japan. The bacterial species richness and diversity indices of the two halophytes collected from both lakes showed no significant differences in the rhizosphere or root endosphere. In contrast, beta diversity and taxonomic analyses revealed significant differences in the bacterial communities from each halophyte between the two lakes even though the two locations were natural saline sites, indicating that the bacterial communities for S. europaea and G. maritima both fluctuated in a manner that depended on the geographical location. Common and abundant genera associated with each halophyte across the two lakes were then identified to verify the bacterial genera specifically inhabiting each plant species. The results obtained showed that the composition of abundant genera inhabiting each halophyte across two lakes was distinct from that reported previously in other saline soil areas. These results suggest that each halophyte in different geographical sites had an individual complex bacterial community.
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Soil salinization is one of the most critical environmental factors limiting the productivity of agricultural crops. According to the Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO) guidelines on sustainable food and agriculture for achieving the 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs), salinization is one of the ten major threats to soil erosion because salts, particularly sodium salts, facilitate the diffusion of clay minerals, degrade the soil structure, and suppress water infiltration. The Voluntary Guidelines for Sustainable Soil Management from the FAO aim to prevent or minimize soil salinization, sodification, and alkalinization (FAO, 2017, 2018a). The handbook for saline soil management from the FAO describes the utilization of halophytes as desalinization agents and phytoremediators for the reclamation of salt-affected soil. Halophytic plants uptake salts from soils and irrigation water and concentrate these salts in the above-ground biomass; halophytic plants with an above-ground biomass of 18–20 t ha⁻¹ uptake salts from soil at a rate of 8–10 t ha⁻¹ year⁻¹ (FAO, 2018b). Therefore, salt-affected fields may be regenerated by the removal of above-ground plant parts.

Halophytes adapt well to high salinity conditions by using two strategies, salt tolerance and avoidance (Mishra and Tanna, 2017). Salt tolerance mechanisms include reductions in the influx of Na⁺, compartmentalization, and the excretion of sodium ions (Flowers and Colmer, 2015). Salt avoidance is a morphological response that functions through the secretion of excess salt and succulence of above-ground plant parts (Shabala, 2013; Shabala et al., 2014). Salt-secreting structures, such as salt hairs or glands, are well-distributed in halophytes and have the capacity to excrete excess salt solution within halophytes.

In addition to the unique strategies used by halophytes, the root-associated microbial community plays an important role in adapting halophytic plants to high salt conditions (Redman et al., 2002; Yuan et al., 2016). Halotolerant plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria (PGPR) associated with halophytes enhance the growth and salt tolerance of their host plants. Rhizosphere bacteria from Salicornia strobilacea have been shown to stably colonize the rhizoplane and improve the growth of its host plant (Marasco et al., 2016). Furthermore, the salt tolerance of Arthrocennum macrostachyum was enhanced by its endophytic bacteria (Navarro-Torre et al., 2017; Tian and Zhang, 2017). Increases in the above-ground biomass of halophytes are attributed to the ability of halotolerant PGPR to absorb salt more effectively from salt-affected soil; therefore, the combined use of halophytes and halotolerant PGPR may be use-

* Corresponding author. E-mail: ky200683@nodai.ac.jp; Tel: +81–3–5477–3190; Fax: +81–3–5477–2942.

Citation: Yamamoto, K., Matsutani, M., Shiwa, Y., Ishige, T., Sakamoto, H., Saitoh, H., and Tsushima, S. (2020) Comparative Analysis of Bacterial Diversity and Community Structure in the Rhizosphere and Root Endosphere of Two Halophytes, Salicornia europaea and Glaux maritima, Collected from Two Brackish Lakes in Japan. Microbes Environ 35: ME20072. https://doi.org/10.1264/jsme2.ME20072

Article ME20072
ful in the reclamation of salt-damaged fields. However, the diversity of halotolerant PGPR in saline soil and halophyte-associated endophytic bacteria depends on the physico-chemical properties of soil as well as the plant species (Qin et al., 2016; Szymańska et al., 2016). Therefore, interactions between certain halotolerant PGPR and halophytes may also depend on soil properties and/or the plant species. To address this issue, it is important to investigate the diversity of bacterial communities associated with the rhizosphere and root endosphere of various halophytes at different locations (Etesami and Beattie, 2018), which will clarify and describe ecological analogies between natural habitats of halophytes and salt-affected fields. To date, several studies have characterized the composition of the bacterial microbiota in the rhizosphere and root endosphere associated with the following halophytes: Glaux maritima (Yamamoto et al., 2018), Limonium sinense (Qin et al., 2018), Messerschmidia sibirica (Tian and Zhang, 2017), Salicornia europaea (Zhao et al., 2016; Yamamoto et al., 2018), and Suaeda salsa (Yuan et al., 2016). We previously reported differences in bacterial diversity and community structure between S. europaea and G. maritima in an identical lake habitat (Yamamoto et al., 2018). These findings prompted us to examine whether the bacterial diversity and community structure associated with each halophyte is distinct between two natural saline sites. Different bacterial communities in the shoots and roots of S. europaea were previously observed in a naturally saline site at which brine appeared from Zechstein salt deposits as well as in an anthropogenic pond with salinity due to soda factory wastewater; these findings demonstrated that differences in the origin of salinity at the two sites had an impact on the bacterial microbiome composition of S. europaea (Szymańska et al., 2018; Furtado et al., 2019). A previous study reported that the bacterial communities associated with the stems and roots of A. macrostachyum markedly differed between a natural location (salt marsh) and artificial location (sea salt farm), which was caused by differences in the soil saline composition of the two sites (Mora-Ruiz et al., 2016). However, the bacterial communities associated with halophytes from two natural saline sites have not yet been simultaneously investigated using culture-independent methods, such as 16S rRNA gene sequencing.

Therefore, we herein examined the bacterial diversity and community structure of the rhizosphere and root endosphere of two halophytes, S. europaea L. and G. maritima L., collected from two brackish lakes, Lake Notoro and Lake Tofutsu, Japan. These lakes are located only 17.5 km from each other and have the same origin of salinity, i.e., the Sea of Okhotsk. Furthermore, to elucidate the bacterial genera associated with each halophyte, we identified common and abundant genera in the rhizosphere and root endosphere of each halophyte from the two lakes. The results of the present study will expand our understanding of the bacterial ecology of the rhizosphere and root endosphere in halophytes.

Materials and Methods

Sample collection and fractionation

We sampled both S. europaea and G. maritima from Lake Notoro and Lake Tofutsu in the eastern part of Hokkaido, Japan, in July 2017 at low tide. Since the two halophytes constituted individual communities in Lake Notoro, S. europaea was collected from 44°2′51″N/144°11′30″E and G. maritima from 44°2′50″N/144°11′25″E as described previously (Yamamoto et al., 2018). On the same date, the two halophytes were also collected from Lake Tofutsu (Fig. 1). In contrast to Lake Notoro, the two halophytes grew at the same location (43°57′04″N/144°21′40″E) in Lake Tofutsu. Sampling from both lakes was conducted as permitted by Hokkaido Prefecture. Sampling was performed according to the method described by Schlaeppi et al. (2014). We excavated whole plants including the surrounding soil in blocks (~20 cm in length, ~20 cm in width, and 10–20 cm in depth). The plants in their soil cores were brought to the laboratory, and the root systems were sampled within 12 h of the removal of the plants from their natural habitat. Twenty individual plants were pooled into four samples: each sample contained five plants. The rhizosphere and root compartments from four samples per species were fractionated according to previously described methods (Schlaeppi et al., 2014; D’Amico et al., 2018). Root segments were cut into pieces that were 3 cm in length, starting 0.5 cm below the root base. These root segments were placed into 15-ml sterile tubes containing 10 mL PBS-S buffer (130 mM NaCl, 7 mM Na2HPO4, 3 mM NaH2PO4, pH 7.0, and 0.02% Silwet L-77) and shaken at 180 rpm for 20 min for washing. The roots were then transferred to a new 15-ml sterile tube, and the remaining soil suspension was centrifuged at 4,000×g for 20 min. The pellet was defined as the rhizosphere (Rh) sample and frozen in liquid nitrogen for storage at ~80°C. After a second wash under the same conditions, the roots were transferred to a new 15-ml sterile tube with 10 mL PBS-S buffer and subjected to sonication for 10 min with a water bath sonicator at 40 kHz (Model 5510; Branson Ultrasonics). Following an additional wash using the same procedure described above, root samples were transferred to a new 15-ml sterile tube and then frozen in liquid nitrogen for storage at ~80°C. These root samples were defined as root endosphere (Re) samples. After all the plants had been harvested from the soil block, bulk soil samples were collected from 0.5 to 3.5 cm of the soil surface, which corresponded to the same area as the root sample, frozen in liquid nitrogen, and stored at ~80°C. The Rh, Re, and bulk control soil (Bl) samples collected were used for DNA extraction.

DNA extraction, PCR amplification, and sequencing

Regarding Rh and Bl, 0.5 g of each sample was used for DNA extraction. Re samples were frozen in liquid nitrogen and ground into a fine powder with a sterilized mortar and pestle, and 0.5 g of the powder was used for DNA extraction. Total DNA was extracted using a Nucleospin Soil Kit (Macherey-Nagel) containing buffer SLI and enhancer SX, which have previously been used to extract high-quality DNA in large quantities from paddy soil (Knauth et al., 2013). The bacterial amplicon library was generated using a primer set targeting the V3–V4 hypervariable region of the 16S rRNA gene as described previously (Yamamoto et al., 2018). The libraries were sequenced as paired-ends and 300-bp reads on an Illumina MiSeq sequencer (run center: the NODAI Genome Research Center). All sequenced data obtained in the present study have been deposited in the DDBJ Sequence Read Archive (DRA) database under the accession numbers DRA006852 and DRA009815.

Sequence processing and analysis

Sequence processing was performed according to the method described by Zabat et al. (2018). Raw paired-end FASTQ files were quality-filtered, trimmed, de-noised, and merged using
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Fig. 1. Study area. Each sample was collected from both ‘Lake Notoro’ and ‘Lake Tofutsu’ (eastern part of Hokkaido, Japan). Satellite imagery was obtained using Google earth pro software (A). *Salicornia europaea* (B and E) and *Glaux maritima* (C and F) grew at two different tidal areas in Lake Notoro. In contrast to Lake Notoro, the two halophytes (D and G) grew at the same location in Lake Tofutsu. Each halophyte was harvested and the root system was sampled as described in the Materials and Methods.

DADA2 (Callahan et al., 2016) in QIIME2 (ver. 2017.11, https://qiime2.org/). After the chimeric sequences were removed, the consensus method in DADA2 was used to cluster the sequences into operational taxonomic units (OTUs) at 100% identity. A taxonomic analysis of OTUs was performed using the QIIME2 q2-feature-classifier plugin with a pre-trained Naïve Bayes classifier on the SILVA 99% OTU database (version 128; http://www.arb-silva.de) trimmed to the V3-V4 region of the 16S rRNA gene (DeSantis et al., 2006). Multiple sequence alignments and phylogenetic reconstructions were conducted using MAFFT and FastTree, respectively (Price et al., 2009; Katoh and Standley, 2013).

**Results**

Analyses of 16S rRNA gene sequencing data

In total, 27,232,388 raw reads were obtained from the Miseq sequencing analysis of 44 samples, ranging between 1,284,467 and 334,380 reads in each sample. After read-quality filtering, 12,823,193 quality-filtered reads were obtained, ranging between 625,901 and 142,027 reads in each sample with an average length of 419–416 bp. A total of 116,830 OTUs were extracted, ranging between 5,040 and 1,074 OTUs in each sample (Table S1). As shown in Fig. S1, the rarefaction curve for the OTUs obtained from each individual sample was almost saturated, indicating that most of the bacterial species had been sampled.

Species richness and diversity of bacteria associated with two halophytes collected from two brackish lakes

In *S. europaea* samples, the BI sample from Lake Tofutsu (T-BI) had the highest bacterial species richness and diversity, and exhibited significantly higher OTUs ($P=0.0122$ against N-SE-BI and $P=0.0485$ against T-SE-Re), Chao1
Comparative analysis of bacterial communities associated with halophytes collected from two brackish lakes

To compare the bacterial community structure associated with each halophyte collected from Lake Notoro and Lake Tofutsu, a beta diversity analysis was performed based on CLC (Fig. 3A and B) and PCoA (Fig. 3C and D). In the CLC tree, all samples were clustered into two groups according to habitat. Four replicates of the Bl, Rh, and Re samples for each halophyte clustered together, except for the S. europaea Re sample from Lake Notoro (Fig. 3A and B). Similar results were obtained from PCoA. Axis 1 showed the influence of habitat, which explained 35.1 and 35.0% variations for S. europaea (Fig. 3C) and G. maritima (Fig. 3D) samples, respectively. The quadruplicate Bl, Rh, and Re samples obtained for each halophyte also appeared to be clustered together, explaining 21.4% (axis 2) of the variation (Fig. 3C and D). These results indicated that the microbiota of the three sample types for each halophyte from Lake Notoro markedly differed from those from Lake Tofutsu.

Bacterial taxonomic analysis at the phylum level

In the S. europaea samples from both lakes, 58 phyla were identified (Table S2). The relative abundance of the top 16 phyla (>1% of relative abundance in at least one sample) is shown in Fig. 4A. These results represent the average of four replicates. Proteobacteria and Bacteroidetes were the dominant phyla (>10% of relative abundance) across all samples, accounting for 41.5–67.5 and 15.3–23.0%, respectively, of all high-quality sequences. Planctomycetes, Acidobacteria, Chloroflexi, Actinobacteria, and Verrucomicrobia were the sub-dominant phyla (>1% of relative abundance) in all samples, accounting for 2.6–5.3, 1.2–1.9, 1.0–2.9, 2.0–4.7, and 1.4–2.8%, respectively, of all high-quality sequences. The relative abundance of Cyanobacteria, Latescibacteria, Chlamydiae, Gracilibacteria, and Spirochaetes was higher in the Rh and Re samples from Lake Notoro (N-SE-Rh and N-SE-Re) than in those from Lake Tofutsu. On the other hand, Ignavibacteriae showed more than 1% relative abundance in the Rh samples from Lake Tofutsu (T-SE-Rh), but not in the samples from Lake Notoro. Deferrribacteres, Parcubacteria, and Fibrobacteres were the sub-dominant phyla in the Bl samples from Lake Notoro and Lake Tofutsu only (N-SE-Bl and T-Bl). The other 42 phyla had low abundance (less than 1% of high-

Lake Tofutsu (T-SE-Rh), and Shannon (P=0.0047 against N-SE-Bl and P=0.0035 against T-SE-Re) indices than those of the Bl sample from Lake Notoro (N-SE-Bl) and Re sample from Lake Tofutsu (T-SE-Re) (Table 1). No significant differences were observed in alpha diversity between the Rh and Re samples from Lake Notoro (N-SE-Rh and N-SE-Re) and Lake Tofutsu (T-SE-Rh and T-SE-Re). On the other hand, comparisons of the alpha diversity metrics of G. maritima samples from both lakes revealed that the two Re samples (N-GM-Re and T-GM-Re) had significantly lower OTUs (P=0.0016 and P=0.0096), Chao1 (P=0.0016 and P=0.0096), and Shannon (P=0.0006 and P=0.0114) indices, respectively, than those of the Bl sample from Lake Notoro (N-GM-Bl) (Fig. 2B and Table 1). Similar to the alpha diversity of the S. europaea Rh and Re samples, no significant differences were observed in alpha diversity between G. maritima Rh and Re samples from Lake Notoro (N-GM-Rh and N-GM-Re) and Lake Tofutsu (T-GM-Rh and T-GM-Re).

**Fig. 2.** Alpha diversity indices for 16S rDNA sequences. Box plots show observed OTUs, Chao1, and Shannon indices in bulk control soil, rhizosphere, and root endosphere samples associated with Salicornia europaea (A) or Glaux maritima (B) collected from two brackish lakes, Lake Notoro and Lake Tofutsu. Whiskers represent minimum and maximum values. All other points are contained within the box, and the bar represents the median. A Holm-adjusted P-value was calculated from Dunn’s test of multiple comparisons using rank sums. Asterisks indicate significant differences between pairs (P<0.05, **P<0.01 and ***P<0.001). Data related to S. europaea or G. maritima collected from Lake Notoro were used in a previous study (Yamamoto et al., 2018). N, Lake Notoro; T, Lake Tofutsu; SE, S. europaea; GM, G. maritima; Bl, bulk control soil; Rh, rhizosphere; Re, root endosphere.
quality sequences). Therefore, these phyla were defined as rare phyla and referred to as “others” in Fig. 4A.

In the G. maritima samples from the two lakes, 59 phyla were identified (Table S3). The relative abundance of the top 15 phyla (>1% of relative abundance in at least one sample) is shown in Fig. 4B. Proteobacteria was the dominant phyla (>10% of relative abundance) across all samples, accounting for 47.4–74.1% of all high-quality sequences. Bacteroidetes, Actinobacteria, Planctomycetes, and Chloroflexi were the sub-dominant phyla (>1% of relative abundance) in all samples, accounting for 8.1–23.0, 2.0–26.3, 2.6–8.6, and 1.0–5.7%, respectively, of all high-quality sequences. Verrucomicrobia and Acidobacteria were the sub-dominant phyla (>1% of relative abundance) in most of the samples, except for the Re samples from both lakes. The relative abundance of Cyanobacteria and Gemmatimonadetes was higher in the Rh sample from Lake Tofutsu (T-GM-Rh) than in that from Lake Notoro. Ignavibacteriae was the sub-dominant phyla in the Bl and Rh samples from Lake Tofutsu (T-BI and T-GM-Rh), but not in those from Lake Notoro. Chlamydiae was the sub-dominant phyla in the Rh samples from Lake Notoro (N-GM-Rh), but not in those from Lake Tofutsu. The relative abundance of Latescibacteria, Parcubacteria, Fibrobacteres, and Spirochaetae was more than 1% of the high-quality sequences identified in the Bl sample from Lake Tofutsu (T-BI). The 44 low abundance (less than 1% of high-quality sequences) phyla were defined as rare phyla and referred to as “others” in Fig. 4B.

**Bacterial taxonomic analysis at the genus level**

Comparisons of the relative abundance of the top 50 classified genera based on a heatmap analysis revealed the significant influence of habitat differences in the bacterial community structure among the sample types. Furthermore, more abundant genera, which were defined as having at least 2-fold higher relative abundance among the sample types, were distributed in each Rh and Re sample related to the two halophytes from the two lakes.

Fig. 5 shows clustering of the top 50 classified genera in the S. europaea Bl, Rh, and Re samples from the two lakes. These genera belonged to 10 phyla: 32 genera belonged to Proteobacteria, 6 to Bacteroidetes, 3 to Plactomycetes, 2 to Actinobacteria, 2 to Verrucomicrobia, 1 to Cyanobacteria, 1 to Deferribacteres, 1 to Deinococcus-Thermus, 1 to Ignavibacteria, and 1 to Spirochaetae (Fig. 5 and Table S4). The distribution of genera markedly differed across different samples. Maribacter, Roseovarius, Halochromatium, and Haloferula in the Rh sample from Lake Notoro (N-SE-Rh) had at least 2-fold higher relative abundance than that in other samples, whereas only the genus Haloglobus was more abundant in the Rh samples from Lake Tofutsu (T-SE-Rh). Defluviicoccus, Coleofasciculus, Aestuariispira, and Geopsychrobacter exhibited the highest abundance (>2-fold higher relative abundance) in the Re samples from Lake Notoro (N-SE-Re). Erythrobacter, Marinomonas, Labrenzia, and BD1-7 clade showed the highest relative abundance in the Re samples from Lake Tofutsu (T-SE-Re).

Fig. 6 shows a heatmap of the top 50 classified genera in the G. maritima Bl, Rh, and Re samples from the two lakes. The top 50 classified genera belonged to 8 phyla: 30 genera belonged to Proteobacteria, 7 to Bacteroidetes, 5 to Plactomycetes, 3 to Actinobacteria, 2 to Acidobacteria, 1 to Deferribacteres, 1 to Ignavibacteria, and 1 to Spirochaetae (Table S5). Zeaanthinibacter showed the highest relative abundance (>2-fold higher relative abundance) in the Rh sample from Lake Tofutsu. Pelobacter, Simiduia, Methyloptera, Actinoplanes, Mariniscillium, and Marinomonas were the more abundant genera in the Rh sample from Lake Notoro (N-GM-Rh); however, there was no significantly enriched genus in the Rh sample from Lake Tofutsu. Pelobacter, Simiduia, Methyloptera, Actinoplanes, Mariniscillium, and Marinomonas were the more abundant genera in the Re sample from Lake Notoro (N-GM-Re), whereas Geobacter, Shewanella, and Azoarcus were distributed more abundantly in the Re sample from Lake Tofutsu (T-SE-Re).

**Analysis of common and abundant bacteria at the genus level**

An abundant genus was defined as a genus having >0.5% relative abundance in the top 50 classified genera in the Bl, Rh, and Re samples associated with each halophyte from Lake Notoro or Lake Tofutsu, and common and abundant genera across the two brackish lakes were then estimated in the Rh and Re samples related to each halophyte. As shown in Table 2, Aquibacter, Luteibacter, Demequina, Planctomyces, and Winogradskyella were the common and abundant genera in the S. europaea Rh and Re samples from Lake Notoro.
Fig. 3. Complete linkage clustering (CLC) (A and B) and principal coordinate analysis (PCoA) (C and D) of bacterial communities in different samples associated with *Salicornia europaea* or *Glaux maritima* collected from two brackish lakes based on weighted UniFrac distances. Data related to *S. europaea* or *G. maritima* collected from Lake Notoro were used in a previous study (Yamamoto et al., 2018). N, Lake Notoro; T, Lake Tofutsu; SE, *S. europaea*; GM, *G. maritima*; Bl, bulk control soil; Rh, rhizosphere; Re, root endosphere.

Both lakes. The common and abundant genera in *S. europaea* Rh samples only across the two lakes were *Ilumatobacter*, *Halochromatium*, *Candidatus Alysiosphaera*, Sva0081 sediment group, and Pir4 lineage. *Labrenzia*, BD1-7 clade, *Sulfurimonas*, and *Vibrio* were the common and abundant genera in the *S. europaea* Re samples across the two lakes (Table 2, S6, and S7).

On the other hand, the common and abundant genera in the *G. maritima* Rh and Re samples from the two lakes were *Aquibacter*, *Ilumatobacter*, and Pir4 lineage (Table 3, S8, and S9). The following eight genera, *Planctomyces*, *Winogradskyella*, *Blastocatella*, *Sneathiella*, *Demequina*, *Halioglobus*, *Rhodopirellula*, and *Haliangium* were common and abundant in the *G. maritima* Rh samples across the two lakes. Furthermore, the seven common and abundant genera in the *G. maritima* Re samples across the two lakes were *Paraglaciecola*, *Photobacterium*, *Marinomonas*, *Rhizobium*, *Labrenzia*, *Vibrio*, and *Actinoplanes* (Table 3, S8, and S9). The following eight abundant genera, *Aquibacter*, *Ilumatobacter*, Pir4 lineage,
Planctomyces, Winogradskyella, Demequina, Labrenzia, and Vibrio, generally existed in the Rh and/or Re samples associated with both S. europaea and G. maritima across the two lakes.

Discussion

The alpha diversity indices of the Rh and Re samples of S. europaea and G. maritima showed no significant differences between Lake Notoro and Lake Tofutsu. Szymańska et al. (2018) reported no significant differences in the diversity indices of the root-associated endophytic bacteria of S. europaea between natural or anthropogenic saline sites, which had significantly different soil parameters. Therefore, alpha diversity indices for the two halophytes may not be susceptible to location effects, suggesting a similarity in the microniche available for bacteria in Lake Notoro and Lake Tofutsu. On the other hand, based on beta diversity analyses using CLC and PCoA, the three sample types (Bl, Rh, and Re) related to each halophyte showed completely different bacterial community structures in the two natural saline sites, which were located only 17.5 km from each other and had the same origin of salinity, the Sea of Okhotsk. These results were also supported by heatmap analyses at the genus level, i.e., the rhizosphere and root endosphere associated with each halophyte retained unique “more abundant genera” in both natural lakes (Fig. 5 and 6). Consistent with the present results, Furtado et al. (2019) reported differences in bacterial community compositions in the roots and rhizosphere related to S. europaea at two test sites, i.e., natural and anthropogenic saline sites. Therefore, the present results and previous findings collectively indicate that the bacterial community structures for both S. europaea and G. maritima fluctuated in a manner that depended on the geographical location.

In all samples of the two halophytes, the most dominant bacterial phyla were Proteobacteria followed by Bacteroidetes, Planctomycetes, Chloroflexi, and Actinobacteria (>1% of high-quality sequences in all tested samples). Previous studies also demonstrated that Proteobacteria was the most represented phylum, followed by Bacteroidetes in the root endosphere associated with S. europaea (Zhao et al., 2016; Szymańska et al., 2018; Furtado et al., 2019). Therefore, bacteria classified into the phyla Proteobacteria and Bacteroidetes may play important roles in the environmental ecology of both S. europaea and G. maritima because these phyla are dominant not only in S. europaea, but also in other halophytes (Shi et al., 2015; Mukhtar et al., 2017; Tian and Zhang, 2017). Szymańska et al. (2018) recently demonstrated that Planctomycetes, Chloroflexi, and Actinobacteria were the dominant phyla among the root endophytes for S. europaea across the tested sites, which is consistent with the present results.

We speculated that the common and abundant genera (>0.5% of relative abundance; He et al., 2017; Karimi et al., 2018) present across the two brackish lakes were specific bacterial genera associated with each halophytic plant species; therefore, we defined the common and abundant genera related to the Rh and Re samples within the top 50 classified genera in the three sample types (Bl, Rh, and Re) related to each halophyte from each brackish lake. In the S. europaea Rh and Re samples, 14 genera were identified as common and abundant genera (Table 2). Within these genera, Vibrio was previously described as an abundant genus...
in the roots of *S. europaea* (Furtado *et al.*, 2019), whereas the other bacterial genera were only abundant in the present study. Similarly, 18 common and abundant genera were distinguished in the *G. maritima* Rh and Re samples (Table 3). The following four genera, *Haliangium*, *Rhizobium*, *Actinoplanes*, and *Vibrio* were previously reported as abundant genera associated with one of the following three halophytes: *A. macrostachyum*, *M. sibirica*, and *S. europaea*. (Zhao *et al.*, 2016; Tian and Zhang, 2017; Camacho-Sanchez *et al.*, 2020). However, the 14 other genera were identified as abundant genera in the present study only. These results indicate that the composition of abundant genera inhabiting each halophyte across two lakes is distinct from that in other saline soil areas reported previously, and the diversity of genera inhabiting these areas may also depend on the geographical location.

We also attempted to identify potential halotolerant PGPR candidates within the common and abundant genera, which have been reported to have PGP traits. Tables 2 and 3 summarize the possible functions of these common and abundant bacterial genera. *Luteibacter* was the common and abundant genus in the root endosphere and rhizosphere of *S. europaea*. *Luteibacter* functions in the chelation of ferric ions, solubilization of monocalcium phosphate, and production of indole-3-acetic acid (IAA) (Guglielmetti *et al.*, 2013), suggesting a role in the rhizosphere and root endosphere of *S. europaea* (Table 2). In addition, the sulfur-oxidizing bacterial genus, *Sulfurimonas*, was common and abundant in the root endosphere in *S. europaea*. A previous study indicated functions for *Sulfurimonas* in host detoxification by oxidizing sulfide and producing sulfate as an end-product, suggesting that the accumulation of these bacteria around the rhizosphere is important for the host tolerance of coastal environments (Fahimipour *et al.*, 2017). Furthermore, the genus *Vibrio* was common and abundant in the root endosphere of *S. europaea*. Gutierrez *et al.* (2009) reported that *Vibrio* spp. isolated from the roots of estuarine grasses produced IAA. Therefore, the genera *Luteibacter*, *Sulfurimonas*, and *Vibrio* may play important roles in the growth of *S. europaea* in coastal areas; however, it currently remains unclear whether these genera exert beneficial effects on plant growth in salt-affected fields. On the other hand, the common and abundant genera in the root endosphere of *G. maritima* were *Marinomonas*, *Rhizobium*, and *Actinoplanes*. Many species of *Marinomonas*, *Rhizobium*, and *Actinoplanes* have been reported to exert plant growth-

---

**Fig. 5.** Heatmap and cluster analyses of the bacterial distribution of the top 50 abundant genera in three *Salicornia europaea* sample types collected from two brackish lakes. The dendrogram shows complete linkage agglomerative clustering based on the Euclidean distance. The heatmap color (blue to red) represents the row z-score of the mean relative abundance from low to high. Data related to *S. europaea* or *Glaux maritima* collected from Lake Notoro were used in a previous study (Yamamoto *et al.*, 2018). N, Lake Notoro; T, Lake Tofutsu; SE, *S. europaea*; BI, bulk control soil; Rh, rhizosphere; Re, root endosphere.

---

**Table 2.** List of potential PGPR candidates identified in the *Salicornia europaea* rhizosphere and root endosphere, based on their reported functions in plant growth promotion. The columns indicate the bacterial genus, strain number, and the function or traits associated with each strain.
Fig. 6. Heatmap and cluster analyses of the bacterial distribution of the top 50 abundant genera in three *Glaux maritima* sample types collected from two brackish lakes. The dendrogram shows complete linkage agglomerative clustering based on the Euclidean distance. The heatmap color (blue to red) represents the row z-score of the mean relative abundance from low to high. Data related to *Salicornia europaea* or *G. maritima* collected from Lake Notoro were used in a previous study (Yamamoto et al., 2018). N, Lake Notoro; T, Lake Tofutsu; GM, *G. maritima*; Bl, bulk control soil; Rh, rhizosphere; Re, root endosphere.

Table 2. Possible functions of common and abundant bacterial genera in the root endosphere and/or rhizosphere of *Salicornia europaea* across Lake Notoro and Lake Tofutsu.

| Genus                | Sample type | Possible function                                      | References                          |
|----------------------|-------------|--------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Aquibacter           | Rh, Re      | Zeaxanthin-producing activity.                         | Hameed et al., 2014                 |
|                      |             | There are no studies on beneficial effects on host plants. |                                     |
| Luteibacter          | Rh, Re      | Chelates ferric ions, solubilizes monocalcium phosphate, and IAA production. | Guglielmetti et al., 2013            |
|                      |             |                                                        |                                     |
| Demequina            | Rh, Re      | Unknown.                                               |                                     |
|                      |             |                                                        |                                     |
| Planctomyces         | Rh, Re      | Phosphorus removal and oxidation of ammonium. There are no studies on beneficial effects on host plants. | Ma et al., 2018                     |
|                      |             |                                                        |                                     |
| Winogradskyella      | Rh, Re      | Unknown.                                               |                                     |
|                      |             |                                                        |                                     |
| Ilumatobacter        | Rh          | Unknown.                                               |                                     |
|                      |             |                                                        |                                     |
| Halochromatium       | Rh          | Sulfur-oxidizing activity.                              | Montoya et al., 2011                |
|                      |             | There are no studies on beneficial effects on host plants. |                                     |
| Candidatus Alysiophaera | Rh          | Unknown.                                               |                                     |
| Sva0081_sediment_group | Rh          | Unknown.                                               |                                     |
| Pir4 lineage         | Rh          | Unknown.                                               |                                     |
| Labrenzia            | Re          | Unknown.                                               |                                     |
|                      |             | Isolated from the root endosphere of halophytes.       | Bibi et al., 2014; Fidalgo et al., 2016 |
| BD1-7 clade          | Re          | Unknown.                                               |                                     |
| Sulfurimonas         | Re          | Host detoxification by the oxidation of sulfide.        | Fahimpour et al., 2017; Hasler-Sheetal and Holmer, 2015 |
|                      |             | There are no studies on beneficial effects on host plants. |                                     |
| Vibrio               | Re          | IAA production.                                        | Gutierrez et al., 2009              |

IAA, indole-3-acetic acid.
promoting effects on host plants, such as the production of IAA, indole-3-pyruvic acid (IPYA), and gibberellic acid (GA$_3$), antifungal activity, N$_2$ fixation, phosphate solubilization, siderophore production, and 1-aminocyclopropane-1-carboxylate (ACC) deaminase activity (Table 3; El-Tarabily et al., 2009; Jha et al., 2012; Sorty et al., 2016). Furthermore, the plant growth-promoting abilities of several *Rhizobium* spp. have been demonstrated in the following three halophytes: *Psoralea corylifolia*, *S. brachiate*, and *S. bigelovii* (Etesami and Beattie, 2018). In addition, the following three genera, *Haliangium*, *Photobacterium*, and *Vibrio*, were common and abundant in the rhizosphere of *G. maritima* (Table 3). The genus *Haliangium* includes species that produce haliangicin, an antifungal metabolite (Fudou et al., 2001). Mathew et al. (2015) reported that *Photobacterium* spp. strain MELD1 exhibited mercury-reducing and IAA-producing activities. Therefore, the six genera described above may exert beneficial effects on the host plant. Previous studies demonstrated that the inoculation of bacterial species (*R. leguminosarum*) belonging to the genus *Rhizobium* promoted root and shoot growth in maize, lettuce, and wheat under greenhouse and field conditions (Mehboob et al., 2009), indicating the benefits of the combined use of *Rhizobium* inhabiting *G. maritima* and halophytes in the regeneration of salt-damaged fields. However, the functions of any of the other bacteria in their host plants currently remain unclear (Table 2 and 3).

In conclusion, the present results revealed marked differences in bacterial components (beta diversity) between the same halophytes collected from two natural saline sites (Lake Notoro and Lake Tofutsu). We also demonstrated the distinct compositions of the abundant genera inhabiting each halophyte across two lakes from those in other saline soil areas reported previously. These results suggest that the bacterial community structures associated with halophytes in salt-damaged fields also depend on geographical locations. Moreover, we observed differences in bacterial genera that have been reported to have PGP traits from *S. europaea* and *G. maritima* samples. Some common and abundant genera may promote the growth of each halophyte in the saline environment as halotolerant PGPR. Further studies are needed to clarify the function of the bacteria belonging to these genera as halotolerant PGPR and whether these bacteria play important roles in the interactions between plants and microbes in coastal areas.
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