The lysin motif-containing proteins, Lyp1, Lyk7 and LysMe3, play important roles in chitin perception and defense against *Verticillium dahliae* in cotton
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**Abstract**

**Background:** Lysin motif (LysM)-containing proteins are important pattern recognition receptors (PRRs) in plants, which function in the perception of microbe-associated molecular patterns (MAMPs) and in the defense against pathogenic attack. To date, the LysM genes have not been systematically analyzed in cotton or effectively utilized for disease resistance.

**Results:** Here, we identified 29, 30, 60, and 56 LysM genes in the four sequenced cotton species, diploid *Gossypium raimondii*, diploid *G. arboreum*, tetraploid *G. hirsutum* acc. TM-1, and *G. barbadense* acc. 3–79, respectively. These LysM genes were classified into four groups with different structural characteristics and a variety of expression patterns in different organs and tissues when induced by chitin or *Verticillium dahliae*. We further characterized three genes, Lyp1, Lyk7 and LysMe3, which showed significant increase in expression in response to chitin signals, *V. dahliae* challenge and several stress-related signaling compounds. Lyp1, Lyk7 and LysMe3 proteins were localized to the plasma membrane, and silencing of their expression in cotton drastically impaired salicylic acid, jasmonic acid, and reactive oxygen species generation, impaired defense gene activation, and compromised resistance to *V. dahliae*.

**Conclusion:** Our results indicate that Lyp1, Lyk7, and LysMe3 are important PRRs that function in the recognition of chitin signals to activate the downstream defense processes and induce cotton defense mechanisms against *V. dahliae*.
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**Background**

Various immune responses are triggered in plants against invading pathogens upon the perception of so-called pathogen associated molecular patterns (PAMPs) at the plant cell surface. Most of the known PAMPs have been well-characterized as either polypeptide type or carbohydrate type, such as two ligands, Flg22 (a peptide representing the elicitor-active epitope of the bacterial flagellin) and Elf18 (an EF-Tu-derived peptide). And their interrelated receptor proteins FLS2 and EFR have been identified as the leucine-rich repeat receptor-like kinases (LRR-RLKs) in *Arabidopsis thaliana* [1, 2]. Chitin, a major component of the fungal cell wall, is a well-known PAMP that can be recognized by pattern recognition receptors (PRRs) at the plant cell surface and activate PAMP-triggered immunity (PTI) [3]. PTI includes the activation of pathogenesis-related (PR) genes and the production of reactive oxygen species (ROS) [4]. In addition, chitin binding sites and plasma membrane receptor proteins have been detected in membrane preparations of different plants, and have been shown to activate downstream defense processes [5–8].
The Lysin motif (LysM) domain, a protein module that recognizes chitoooligosaccharides, peptidoglycan and other related N-acetylglucosamine (GlcNac)-containing oligosaccharides, which usually contains about 40 amino acids (AA) and is a ubiquitous modular cassette that exists in all living organisms except for Archaea [9, 10]. In plants, a chitin elicitor binding protein, CEBiP, was first reported to be a LysM domain-containing protein and involved in the binding and perception of chitoooligosaccharides in rice [3]. Subsequently, LysM-encoding genes were identified in a broad range of organisms at a genome-wide level using the increasing numbers of transcript and genomic sequences. According to the subcellular location and domain structure of LysM genes, members of the family can be divided into four subgroups; LysM-containing receptor-like kinases (Lyks), LysM-type receptor-like proteins (Lyps), extracellular LysM proteins (LysMes) and nonsecretory intracellular LysM proteins (LysMns) [10, 11]. The multiple domains and the complex structures of the LysM genes are indicative of the variety of functions they carry out.

As PAMP receptors, LysM domain-containing proteins can sense bacterial oligosaccharides, peptidoglycan (PGN) and fungal chitin, and respond by promoting the plant’s defenses. In Arabidopsis, Lyks are defined as receptors for chitin, and hence, are implicated in plant defense mechanisms against fungal pathogens [12]. AtCERK1, which is a cell surface chitin elicitor receptor kinase 1, directly binds chitin through its LysM-containing ectodomain (AtCERK1-EC) to trigger immune responses [13, 14]. At LYK4 also mainly assists chitin signal transduction and activates plant innate immunity through chitin recognition [15]. In addition, AtLYK5 not only shares functions with AtLYK4 in mediating the chitin response, but also binds to chitin with a higher affinity than AtCERK1. AtLYK5 is also necessary for chitin-induced AtCERK1 phosphorylation and homodimerization. AtCERK1, AtLYK4 and AtLYK5 are membrane-localized proteins, and are all involved in plant responses to chitin [16]. Two rice LysM receptor molecules, CEBiP and CERK1, have been identified as critical in the regulation of chitin elicitor signaling [17]. Moreover, two LysM receptor proteins, LYP4 and LYP6, have also been identified as peptidoglycan and chitin perception receptors in rice [18]. To date, however, the functions of the LysM genes in cotton remain largely unknown.

Verticillium dahliae, a destructive soil-borne fungal pathogen, causes cotton Verticillium wilt and leads to severe reductions in cotton yield across the world [19]. Although huge efforts have been made to generate wilt-resistant cotton cultivars through traditional breeding, this remains a challenge [20]. Recently, progress has been made in excavating PR genes and exploring the molecular mechanisms of responses to V. dahliae invasion in cotton. Several V. dahliae-responsive genes, such as GhNDR1, GhNaD1, GhWRKY1, GhSSN, and GhMLP28, have been shown to be functionally related to defense responses to V. dahliae attack in cotton [21–25]. Nevertheless, there is an urgent requirement to mine more candidate genes in order to develop Verticillium wilt-resistant cotton cultivars.

The availability of data on the whole-genome of different Gossypium cotton species, including G. raimondii (D5) [26], G. arboreum (A2) [27], G. hirsutum acc. TM-1 (AD1) [28], and G. barbadense acc. 3–79 (AD2) [29], has made it possible to systematically identify and analyze the targeted genes on a genome-wide level, and has thus enriched our understanding of the molecular mechanisms of cotton responses to V. dahliae invasion. In the present study, we systematically surveyed LysM members in four sequenced cotton species for the first time, and analyzed their phylogenetic relationships, gene structures, subcellular localizations and expression patterns in different tissues in control conditions, and in response to PAMPs, V. dahliae and different stress-related signaling compounds. Furthermore, we found that silencing of Lyp1, Lyk7, and LysMe3 expression in cotton significantly impaired tolerance of V. dahliae, indicating that these genes played important roles in the defense response mediated by chitin recognition. Moreover, down-regulation of Lyp1, Lyk7, and LysMe3 expression hampered downstream resistance-related pathways and the activation of PR genes. The study not only enriches our knowledge of the networks involving in LysMs in cotton, but also provides effective gene resources for the development of Verticillium wilt-resistant cultivars through cotton-breeding programs.

**Results**

**Genome-wide identification and characterization of LysM genes**

We used HMMER 3.0 and the domain “lysin” from the Pfam protein family database (PF01476) to search for LysM genes in four released genome sequences; diploid G. raimondii and G. arboreum, and tetraploid G. hirsutum and G. barbadense. Subsequently, we used the SMART [30] and INTERPROSCAN [31] programs to verify the predicted genes. As a result, 29, 30, 60, and 56 LysM genes were identified in G. raimondii, G. arboreum, G. hirsutum acc. TM-1, and G. barbadense acc. 3–79, respectively (Additional file 1: Table S1).

Based on the conserved domains, motif components and exon-intron organization of the LysM genes, we classified them into four categories; Lyks, Lyps, LysMes and LysMns (Additional file 2: Figure S1). The LysM genes were relatively conserved within
each group, but obvious differences existed between groups. To elucidate the chromosomal distribution of these LysM genes, we integrated 13 scaffolds of the *G. raimondii* genome (named Chr01 to Chr13) [26] into the previously reported high-density interspecific genetic map of allotetraploid cultivated cotton species [32], and then reordered the 13 *G. raimondii* scaffolds according to the corresponding D1 to D13 chromosomes of the tetraploid cotton species (Fig. 1). Following the new order of the *G. raimondii* chromosomes, the LysM genes in *G. raimondii* were named GrLyp1 to GrLyp4, GrLyk1 to GrLyk8, GrLysMe1 to GrLysMe9, and GrLysMn1 to GrLysMn8 (Fig. 1). The 29 LysM genes in *G. raimondii* were matched to 12 scaffolds, and no genes were matched to the D7 chromosome scaffold. The chromosomal distribution of the LysM members was uneven, with chromosomes D1, D6, D9, D10, D11, D12 and D13 containing more than three genes each, and the other chromosomes containing smaller numbers of LysM genes. Furthermore, the corresponding orthologs in three other sequenced cotton species, *G. arboreum*, *G. hirsutum* acc. TM-1, and *G. barbadense* acc. 3–79, were named respective to the orthologs in *G. raimondii* (Additional file 1: Table S1).

Two publicly available algorithms, SignalP and TargetP, were used to predict the subcellular locations of these LysM proteins. SignalP predicted that 15 of the 29 LysM proteins contained signal peptides, meanwhile TargetP analyses predicted that 18 of these proteins were involved in secretory pathways. In addition, SMART programs confirmed that 12 of the LysM proteins contained transmembrane domains (Additional file 3: Table S2). These findings indicate that LysM proteins are involved in MAMP recognition and therefore perform crucial roles in plant defense responses.

**Expression analysis of LysM genes in response to two PAMPs and *V. dahliae***

To further investigate the roles of LysM genes in tetraploid cotton, we used transcriptome data from *G. hirsutum* acc. TM-1 vegetative tissues (root, stem, and leaf), floral tissues (petal and anther), and ovule and fiber tissues at −3, 0, 3, 5, 10, 20, and 25 days post anthesis (DPA) to determine the expression patterns of these genes [28]. A total of 50 LysM genes were expressed in *G. hirsutum* acc. TM-1 with FPKM > 1.0, and the developmental and spatial regulation of these genes differed between the various tissues (Fig. 2). As previously reported, many LysM genes played important roles in chitin recognition, chitin signal transduction and the activation of plant innate immunity [13–15, 18, 33]. To better understand the function of LysM genes, we selected 20 genes with relatively high expression levels in the root tissue and analyzed their expression when induced by *V. dahliae* and by two PAMPs, insoluble crab shell chitin and soluble chitin fragment N-acetylchitohexaose. In detail, the transcripts of 16 LysM genes, 6 Lyks, 4 Lyps, 2 LysMes, and 4 LysMns, were significantly upregulated following treatment with two PAMPs (Fig. 3). These 16 LysM genes exhibited maximal expression levels 1 h, 2 h or 4 h after treatment, and both insoluble crab shell chitin and soluble chitin fragment N-acetylchitohexaose treatment caused a 6- to 13-fold increase in their expression. Significantly, Lyk7 of the Lyk category had a higher expression level than other members; reaching maximal levels of a 13-fold increase 4 h after N-acetylchitohexaose treatment, and a 10-fold increase 2 h after chitin treatment. The expression of Lyp1 in the Lyps category was significantly upregulated following treatment, with increases of 11- and 7-fold 2 h after N-acetylchitohexaose treatment and 1 h after chitin treatment, respectively. In the
In the **LysMes** category, an 11-fold increase in *LysMe3* transcript levels was induced 4 h after N-acetylchitohexaose treatment, and an 8-fold increase was seen 2 h after chitin treatment. In the **LysMn**s category, the expression of *LysMn2* was increased by 9-fold at 4 h after N-acetylchitohexaose treatment, but the peak values of *LysMn3*, *LysMn5*, and *LysMn6*, of 7.8-, 5.2-, and 5.4-fold increases, respectively, were observed 2 h after treatment. In addition, the expression levels of *LysMn2* and *LysMn3* increased 4.2- and 9.6-fold 4 h after chitin treatment; however, the level of *LysMn5* and *LysMn6* transcript expression increased more quickly, with respective peaks of 3.9- and 6.6-fold increases reached 2 h after treatment. These results suggest a role for LysM genes in chitin recognition; an important part of cotton innate immunity.

**Fig. 2** Transcriptional profiling of LysM genes in different tissues and organs of *G. hirsutum* acc. TM-1. Roots, stems, leaves, petals, stamens, ovules at −3, 0, and 3 DPA, and fibers at 5, 10, 20, and 25 DPA were used for comparative transcriptome analysis. The expression data were converted to Log2 (FPKM) to calculate the expression levels of the LysM genes in TM-1. Differences in gene expression are shown in the colors indicated in the scale. The RNA-Seq data used here can be downloaded from [http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/bioproject/PRJNA248163/](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/bioproject/PRJNA248163/)
Plant receptor kinases and receptor proteins containing lysin motifs play crucial roles in the recognition of carbohydrate patterns commonly associated with microbial surfaces and in the defense against microbial infection [3, 12, 14–17, 33]. To better ascertain the functions of LysM proteins in plant defenses against *V. dahliae*, we analyzed the expression patterns of 16 LysM genes after *V. dahliae* inoculation in Hai7124 and Junmian 1, which exhibited resistance and susceptibility to *V. dahliae*, respectively. Overall, the expression levels of these LysM genes were higher in Hai7124 than in Junmian 1. Further, 12 LysM genes, 4 Lyks, 3 Lyps, 1 LysMe, and 4 LysMns, were significantly induced by *V. dahliae* and quickly reached peak expression levels at different time points in Hai7124 (Fig. 4). Among them, Lyk7, Lyp1, LysMe3, and LysMn6 showed the highest expression levels of their respective groups after *V. dahliae* treatment, suggesting that these genes played important roles in chitin recognition and defense against *V. dahliae*.

**Silencing Lyp1, Lyk7, and LysMe3 impairs cotton tolerance to *V. dahliae***

To obtain further evidence of the role of LysM genes in *V. dahliae* resistance, we selected Lyp1, Lyk7, LysMe3, and LysMn6, which had the highest expression levels of their groups and were significantly induced after chitin, chitin fragment N-acetylchitohexaose, and *V. dahliae* treatments, for functional identification via virus-induced gene silencing (VIGS) analysis.

We constructed TRV: GbLyp1, TRV: GbLyk7, TRV: GbLysMe3 and TRV: GbLysMn6 vectors to silence endogenous genes in Hai7124, and used TRV: 00 as a mock treatment and TRV: GhCLA1 as a positive control to validate the efficiency of the VIGS assay. As expected, the cotton leaves showed an obvious photobleaching phenotype 2 weeks after agroinfiltration with the GhCLA1 construct (Additional file 4: Figure S2), indicating that the VIGS system worked well in our experimental operations. The cotton seedlings that were confirmed to be infiltrated with one of the constructs and those with the mock treatment were selected for RNA isolation and quantitative real-time PCR (qRT-PCR) analysis. Hai7124 and Junmian 1 plants were used as controls resistant to and susceptible to *V. dahliae*, respectively, and were challenged with *V. dahliae* strain V991 by the dip-infection method at a final concentration of 1 × 10^7 spores per milliliter (ml) [34]. About 10 days after inoculation, the seedlings of the Junmian 1 plants showed
obvious cotyledon wilting, but only a small number of Hai7124 plants displayed the leaf wilting phenotype and these only appeared at least 15 days after inoculation with *V. dahliae*. These results further confirmed that Hai7124 and Junmian 1 plants were resistant and susceptible to *V. dahliae*, respectively, and could be used as test cultivars to investigate the virulence of *V. dahliae* in cotton. The phenotypes of the two control plants at 20 and 25 days after inoculation with *V. dahliae* are shown in Additional file 5: Figure S3.

Compared with the controls, the expression levels of *Lyp*1 and *Lyk*7 were significantly lower in *Lyk*- and *Lyp*-silenced plants (Fig. 5a); however, the transcript levels of the other genes in the same group were not changed in the VIGS plants (Additional file 6: Figure S4). Further, the *Lyp*1- and *Lyk*7- silenced plants were inoculated with *V. dahliae*. No significant phenotypic differences were observed between the VIGS plants and Hai7124 control plants before pathogen invasion. However, 15 days after infection, the VIGS plants began to show obvious leaf-yellowing phenotypes, and 20 or 25 days after inoculation with *V. dahliae* are shown in Additional file 5: Figure S3.

In parallel, we also performed qRT-PCR to analyze the expression of *LysMe*3 and *LysMn*6- silenced plants, respectively. Only *LysMe*3 and *LysMn*6 were significantly down-regulated in the VIGS plants compared to the TRV: 00 control plants (Fig. 6a, Additional file 6: Figure S4). The *LysMe*3- and *LysMn*6-silenced plants were inoculated with *V. dahliae*. Down-regulation of *LysMe*3 resulted in impaired tolerance to *V. dahliae*, yet there were no significant changes in tolerance to *V. dahliae* in the *LysMn*6-silenced plants compared to controls (Fig. 6b). The percentage of diseased leaves in *LysMe*3-silenced plants was consistently higher than in controls and reached 80% 35 days after inoculation, but in *LysMn*6-silenced plants, only 56% of leaves displayed wilting or defoliation, which was similar to...
that in TRV: 00 and Hai 7124 plants (Fig. 6c, Additional file 8: Table S4).

Taken together, these results show that silencing Lyp1, Lyk7, and LysMe3 in cotton plants significantly increases their susceptibility to V. dahliae, suggesting that these three genes play important roles in the resistance to V. dahliae infection.

Lyp1, Lyk7 and LysMe3 are located in the plasma membrane
To gain direct evidence of the subcellular localization of Lyp1, Lyk7 and LysMe3, we transiently expressed these proteins fused to GFP in onion epidermal cells using biolistic bombardment. A pBIN-GFP4 vector was used as a control and fluorescence was detected under a confocal
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**Fig. 5** Silencing of Lyp1 and Lyk7 significantly impaired the resistance to V. dahliae in G. barbadense cv. Hai7124. Lyp1 and Lyk7 were silenced in V. dahliae resistant Hai 7124 seedlings by VIGS, and about 2 weeks later, the seedlings were inoculated with V. dahliae at a concentration of $1 \times 10^7$ spores/mL. a Analysis of Lyp1 and Lyk7 expression levels. Total RNA was extracted from the leaves of the VIGS seedlings 14 d post-agroinfiltration, and transcription levels of Lyp1 and Lyk7 in the Lyp1- and Lyk7-silenced plants were compared with that of the control (TRV: 00 plants). Asterisks indicate statistically significant differences, as determined by Student’s t-tests ($**P < 0.01$). b Disease symptoms of the Lyp1 and Lyk7-silenced plants 20 and 25 days after V. dahliae inoculation; c The percentage of diseased leaves of the Lyp1- and Lyk7-silenced plants and controls after V. dahliae inoculation. These experiments were repeated using at least 20 seedlings per treatment. Error bars show the standard deviation of three biological replicates. Asterisks indicate statistically significant differences in the percentage of diseased leaves between treated plants and TRV: 00 controls, as determined by Student’s t-tests ($*P < 0.05$, $**P < 0.01$).

**Fig. 6** Increased susceptibility of the LysMe3- and LysMn6- silenced cotton plants to V. dahliae. LysMe3 and LysMn6 were silenced by VIGS in Hai7124 seedlings, and about 2 weeks later, the seedlings were inoculated with V. dahliae at a concentration of $1 \times 10^7$ spores/mL. a The expression levels of LysMe3 and LysMn6 were compared in the LysMe3-, LysMn6- silenced and TRV: 00 cotton plants. Asterisks indicate statistically significant differences, as determined by Student’s t-tests ($**P < 0.01$). b Phenotypes of the LysMe3-, LysMn6-silenced plants 20 and 25 days after V. dahliae inoculation; c The percentage of diseased leaves in the LysMe3-, and LysMn6-silenced plants and controls after V. dahliae inoculation. All experiments were repeated using at least 20 seedlings, and error bars show the standard deviation of three biological replicates. Asterisks indicate statistically significant differences in the percentage of diseased leaves between treated plants and TRV: 00 controls, as determined by Student’s t-test ($P < 0.05$, $**P < 0.01$).
microscope. As shown in Fig. 7, fluorescence from the Lyp1, Lyk7 and LysMe3 GFP fusion proteins was detected in the cell membrane, and the shape of the membrane was changed during the plasmolytic processes. However, GFP control fluorescence (35S–GFP) was detected throughout the cell. Taken together, these findings suggest that Lyp1, Lyk7, and LysMe3 are located in the cell membrane, and these typically membrane-anchored proteins might be involved in recognizing PAMPs and activating a variety immune responses.

**Lyp1, Lyk7, and LysMe3 are crucial for downstream defense reactions**

To better investigate the roles of Lyp1, Lyk7, and LysMe3 in the cotton- *V. dahliae* interaction, we further analyzed their expression patterns in cotton treated with the defense-related signaling molecules, salicylic acid (SA), jasmonic acid (JA), ethylene (ET) and hydrogen peroxide (H$_2$O$_2$). As shown in Fig. 8a, Lyp1, Lyk7, and LysMe3 were significantly upregulated following SA, JA, or H$_2$O$_2$ treatment at different time points. In detail, Lyp1 and Lyk7 were clearly induced by SA, JA, and H$_2$O$_2$ treatment, whereas LysMe3 was only upregulated by treatment with SA. These findings hint at a potential involvement of Lyp1, Lyk7, and LysMe3 in the SA, JA, and H$_2$O$_2$ signaling pathways.

Further, we analyzed the expression levels of several key genes involved in the SA (GbEDS1, GbPAD4, GbEDS5, and GbSID2) [35, 36], JA (GbAOS, GbOPR3, GbMYC2, and GbJAZ1) [37], and H$_2$O$_2$ (GbRBOH, GbCAT1, GbPOD, and GbSOD) [38, 39] pathways in Lyp1-, Lyk7-, and LysMe3- silenced cotton plants. Compared with TRV: 00 control plants, GbEDS1, GbPAD4, GbEDS5, and GbSID2 transcripts were significantly downregulated in the Lyp1-, Lyk7-, and LysMe3- silenced cotton plants, meanwhile the expression of GbAOS, GbOPR3, GbMYC2, and GbJAZ1 was also reduced in the Lyp1-, Lyk7- silenced plants. In addition, down-regulation of Lyp1, Lyk7, and LysMe3 resulted in reduced expression of GbRBOH and GbCAT1, and GbPOD and GbSOD were also down-regulated in the Lyp1- silenced plants (Fig. 8b). Based on these results, Lyp1, Lyk7, and LysMe3 might participate in the SA pathway and ROS production, and Lyp1 and Lyk7 may also be involved in JA generation and accumulation. These findings indicate that the three membrane-anchored proteins, Lyp1, Lyk7, and LysMe3, are responsible for activating downstream SA, JA, and ROS pathways as part of the cotton defense against *V. dahliae*.

As previously reported [40–43], the PR genes play important roles in signal recognition and plant immunity. To identify the PR proteins involved in cotton defense mechanisms against *V. dahliae*, we analyzed the expression patterns of PR1 [40], PR4 [41], PR5 [42], and PR10 [43] in Hai7124 and Junmian 1 after treatment with *V. dahliae* strain V991. As shown in Fig. 9a, these four genes were significantly induced in the two cotton cultivars after *V. dahliae* infection, however, the expression levels were higher in Hai7124 than in Junmian 1, suggesting that these genes play important roles in

![Fig. 7 Subcellular localization of Lyp1, Lyk7, and LysMe3 proteins. The GFP, Lyp1-GFP, Lyk7-GFP, and LysMe3-GFP fusion proteins were transiently expressed in onion epidermal cells. GFP fluorescence was visualized by confocal microscopy, and 20% sucrose solution was used for the plasmolysis of the onion cells. Scale bars = 100 μm](image-url)
Fig. 8 (See legend on next page.)
protecting cotton plants against *V. dahliae* infection. In addition, the levels of transcripts of these four defense-related genes were upregulated in cotton following exogenous application of SA, JA, and ROS (Fig. 9b).

To determine whether *Lyp1*, *Lyk7*, and *LysMe3* silencing affected downstream defense-related genes, we further analyzed the expression of *PR1*, *PR4*, *PR5*, and *PR10* in the VIGS plants. As shown in Fig. 9c, compared with that in TRV: 00 control plants, the expression of the four genes was significantly lower in the *Lyp1*-, *Lyk7*-, and *LysMe3*-silenced cotton plants. We suppose that *Lyp1*, *Lyk7*, and *LysMe3* act as plasma membrane receptors in cotton plants to recognize chitin signals and activate a common downstream pathway, and subsequently induce the expression of related defense genes, therefore enhancing resistance to *V. dahliae* (Fig. 10).

(See figure on previous page.)

**Fig. 8** *Lyp1*, *Lyk7*, and *LysMe3* were involved in SA, JA, and ROS production. **a** Comparison of the expression levels of *Lyp1*, *Lyk7* and *LysMe3* in cotton plants treated with SA, JA, and ROS signal compounds and H2O-treated control plants. **b** The transcription levels of genes related to SA, JA, and ROS signaling pathways were analyzed in *Lyp1*, *Lyk7*, and *LysMe3*-silenced plants and TRV: 00 control plants by qRT-PCR. Error bars represent the standard deviation of three independent experiments with three technical replicates for each experiment. Asterisks indicate statistically significant differences, as determined by Student’s *t*-tests (*P* < 0.05, **P** < 0.01).

**Fig. 9** *Lyp1*, *Lyk7*, and *LysMe3* activated downstream defense genes. **a** The expression of defense-related genes (*PR1*, *PR4*, *PR5*, and *PR10*) was analyzed in Hai7124 and Junmian 1 after inoculation with *V. dahliae* strain V991. The 0 h treatments of the Hai7124 and Junmian 1 acted as controls. Asterisks indicate statistically significant differences, as determined by Student’s *t*-tests (*P* < 0.05, **P** < 0.01). **b** The transcript levels of the four PR genes in cotton treated with SA, JA, and H2O2 were detected, with H2O treatment acting as a control. **c** The expression levels of the defense genes were compared in the TRV: 00 control and VIGS cotton plants. Error bars represent the standard deviation of three independent experiments with three technical replicates for each experiment. Asterisks indicate statistically significant differences, as determined by Student’s *t*-tests (*P* < 0.05, **P** < 0.01).
Discussion

Genome-wide distribution and characterization of LysM genes in various plant species

LysMs, carbohydrate-binding modules with a length of approximately 40 amino acids, can bind to N-acetylglucosamine (GlcNAc)-containing glycans, such as peptidoglycan, chitin, and chitin-like compounds [44]. These genes therefore usually act as PRRs to detect PAMPs of invading pathogens, and activate defense genes and plant innate immunity. Based on the genomes of several plants, systematic genome-wide investigation of LysM genes has been carried out in these species.

LysM genes are distributed unevenly in the chromosomes in a variety of species [45]. For example, in Arabidopsis, 14 LysM genes, 5 Lyks, 3 Lyps, 3 LysMes, and 3 LysMns, are distributed throughout all five of the chromosomes. In Glycine max, 47 LysM genes, comprising 21 Lyks, 4 Lyps, 16 LysMes, and 6 LysMns, are also positioned on all chromosomes, expect chromosome 12 (https://phytozome.jgi.doe.gov/pz/portal.html). However, in rice, 20 LysM genes, comprising 6 Lyks, 6 Lyps, 4 LysMes, and 4 LysMns are only positioned on 8 of 12 chromosomes, and in P. trichocarpa, 35 LysM genes, comprising 11 Lyks, 7 Lyps, 10 LysMes, and 7 LysMns, are distributed in 12 of 19 chromosomes. The differences in the distribution of LysM genes between species might be related to the individual defense characteristics of each species that developed during the evolutionary process, and interestingly, many duplicated LysM genes also display different expression patterns [10, 45]. Here, we first systematically identified 29, 30, 60, and 56 LysM genes in four sequenced cotton species; the diploid cottons G. raimondii and G. arboreum, and the tetraploid cottons, G. hirsutum acc. TM-1 and G. barbadense acc. 3–79, respectively. The 29 LysM genes in G. raimondii were anchored to all 12 chromosomes, except Chr. D7, implying that the LysM genes are widely distributed in the Gossypium genome. From an evolutionary point of view, we can consider that one member of the LysM gene family in the diploid species G. raimondii corresponds to one homologous gene in G. arboreum and two homologs from the A and D subgenomes in tetraploid G. hirsutum acc. TM-1 and G. barbadense acc. 3–79. We found that 14 members of the LysM gene family had such a correspondence in the four sequenced cotton species, indicating that the A- and D-subgenomes evolved independently after polyploid formation (Additional file 1: Table S1). The other inconsistencies may result from chromosome segmental or tandem duplication events during the evolution of different cotton species, the sequence quality and type of sequencing methods used in different cotton species, or assembly error in partial chromosomal regions. This requires further investigation.

The secretory pathways, signal peptides and transmembrane domains of proteins are particularly crucial to cellular function during defense against both biotic and abiotic stresses [46]. In this study, characteristics of the LysM genes, including their signal peptides (SPs), subcellular localization, and transmembrane domains were investigated in G. raimondii (Additional file 3: Table S2). We found that SPs existed in 15 LysM proteins, suggesting that the proteins are synthesized as pre-proteins, are subsequently cleaved at the signal peptide site to form a mature protein, and function by targeting the general secretory pathway. In addition, 12 LysM proteins, comprising all the GrLyks, GrLyp1, GrLysMe3, GrLysMe9, and GrLysMn7, possessed transmembrane domains; indicating that they have multiple complex functions. In addition to containing transmembrane domains and being involved in secretory pathways, eight LysM proteins, Lyp1–5, Lyk7, Lyk8, and Lyp1, also possessed signal peptides, indicating that they play important roles in the defense against a variety of stresses in cotton.

![Image of V. dahliae defense](https://phytozome.jgi.doe.gov/pz/portal.html)
LysM genes show multiple expression patterns

Previous reports have shown that the expression of plant LysM genes can be both constitutive and induced [10, 11, 47, 48]. Most LysM kinase genes in *Glycine max* are predominantly expressed in the roots, and orthologous genes have similar tissue expression patterns [10]. In the present study, we systematically analyzed the expression patterns of LysM genes in cotton. Using transcriptome data from *G. hirsutum* acc. TM-1 vegetative and reproductive organs, a total of 50 LysM genes were found to have diverse developmental and spatial regulation patterns (Fig. 2), and most genes showed both diverse and overlapping expression patterns in various tissues and organs, suggesting that these genes have a range of functions but with the identical conserved domains.

There is an increasing volume of data to indicate that LysM-containing proteins can detect several PAMPs, such as the bacterial oligosaccharide, peptidoglycan, and fungal chitin. Upon detection of PAMPs, these proteins then activate a wide range of physiological responses, including the production of SA, JA, ET, and ROS, as well as mitogen activated protein kinase (MAPK) phosphorylation, calcium influx, and the expression of defense-related genes [18, 49]. In *A. thaliana*, AtCERK1 was shown to be a key chitin receptor, and mediates chitin-induced signaling through homodimerization and phosphorylation [12, 14], and in rice, OsCEBiP associates with chitin-elicitor receptor kinase 1 (OsCERK1) to mediate MAMP-triggered immunity (MTI) in response to chitin [17, 50]. To better validate the roles of cotton LysM genes in chitin recognition and chitin signal transduction, we analyzed the expression patterns of 20 highly expressed LysM genes in different Hai7124 tissues following treatment with insoluble crab shell chitin and soluble chitin fragment N-acetyltrehalose. Sixteen genes were significantly upregulated in response to these two PAMPs at different time points, suggesting that LysM proteins play important roles in chitin recognition (Fig. 3). Further, we found that 12 LysM genes, 4 Lyks, 3 Lyps, 1 LysMe, and 4 LysMns, had high expression levels in Hai7124 after inoculation with *V. dahliae* strain V991, reaching peak expression levels at different time points (Fig. 4). Lyp1, Lyk7, LysMe3, and LysMn6 were significantly induced following treatment with both chitin signals and *V. dahliae*. These findings imply that the LysM genes play critical roles in fungal perception and function in cotton defense mechanisms against *V. dahliae*.

The *G. hirsutum* and *G. barbadense* species probably originated from a single hybridization event between A- and D- diploid species, however, the two have very different agronomic and fiber quality characteristics. For example, most of modern *G. barbadense* cultivars are resistant to *V. dahliae*, however, *G. hirsutum* cultivars are not. As described above, we found that Lyp1, Lyk7 and LysMe3 were significantly upregulated in cotton roots at different time points after inoculation with *V. dahliae*, and had higher expression levels in *G. barbadense* cv. Hai7124 than *G. hirsutum* cv. Junmian 1, indicating that these genes act as positive regulators in plant resistance to *V. dahliae*.

LysM genes function in the defense against biotic stresses

LysM genes mainly function in recognizing chitin elicitor signals and activating plant immune responses. This has been investigated in various plant species, such as Arabidopsis, wheat, rice, and tomato [15, 17, 47, 51]. In Arabidopsis, CERK1, a LysM receptor kinase, responds to chitin elicitors resulting in MAPK activation and ROS generation [14]. Like CERK1, LYK4 and LYK5 have been localized to the plasma membrane, and are involved in chitin recognition, chitin signal transduction and plant innate immunity [15, 16]. LYM1 and LYM3, two plasma membrane proteins, physically interact with peptidoglycans and mediate immunity to bacterial infection [33]. In rice, a plasma membrane glycoprotein, OsCEBiP, and a receptor kinase, OsCERK1, act as critical components of chitin signaling recognition pathways [17]. Similarly, LYP4 and LYP6 are promiscuous PRRs for PGN and chitin recognition, and are involved in ROS generation, defense gene activation, and callose deposition in rice [18]. In *Medicago*, LYK3 is proposed to function as the entry receptor in rhizobial nodulation factor signaling and specifically controls responses to infection [48]. Taken together, these data show that LysMs are important in the recognition of PGN and chitin signals, and the activation of plant immune responses to biotic stresses.

In this study, cotton Lyp1, Lyk7, LysMe3, and LysMn6 genes were upregulated at different time points after *V. dahliae* treatment. We observed that Lyp1-, Lyk7-, and LysMe3-silenced *G. barbadense* cv. Hai7124 plants had more-severe disease symptoms than the TRV: 00 control and LysMn6- silenced plants after *V. dahliae* infection (Figs. 5b and 6b). In addition, statistical analysis suggested that the percentage of diseased leaves in Lyp1-, Lyk7-, and LysMe3-silenced plants was significantly higher than in control plants (Fig. 5c, Additional file 7: Table S3; Fig. 6c, Additional file 8: Table S4). These findings imply that Lyp1, Lyk7, and LysMe3, which have transmembrane domains, function as important chitin receptors, allowing them to recognize *V. dahliae* and induce cotton immunity.

**Lyp1, Lyk7, and LysMe3 contribute to *V. dahliae* resistance through the activation of plant innate immune responses**

Chitin, a major component of the fungal cell wall, is a typical PAMP and is recognized by PRRs, which activate
PTI. PTI is characterized by a wide range of physiological responses, including the production of ROS, calcium influx, and the expression of defense-related genes [4]. In addition, chitin signaling pathways appear to be independent, and different PAMPs might activate a common downstream pathway to induce pathogen resistance [1, 52, 53]. An increasing volume of data further demonstrates that plasma membrane receptors recognize chitin signals and activate downstream SA, JA, ET, and ROS pathways [3, 18, 54].

Chitin binding sites have been identified in the plasma membrane of several plants [5–8]. Here, we analyzed the subcellular location of Lyp1, Lyk7, and LysMe3, and obtained the direct evidence that these are membrane-anchored proteins (Fig. 7). To determine whether Lyp1, Lyk7, and LysMe3 affect downstream SA, JA, ET, and ROS production, we examined the expression of Lyp1, Lyk7, and LysMe3 after SA, JA, ET, and H2O2 treatments. Compared to the control, all three genes were significantly induced after SA, JA, and H2O2 treatment (Fig. 8a). We also examined the expression of several important genes in the SA pathway, GbEDSI, GbPAD4, GbEDS5, and GbSID2 [35, 36], the JA pathway, GbAOS, GbOPR3, GbMYC2, and GbJAZ1 [37], and the ROS pathway GbBBOH, GbCAT1, GbPOD, and GbSOD [38, 39] in VIGS plants. These genes were significantly down-regulated in the Lyp1-, Lyk7-, and LysMe3-silenced cotton plants compared to the control (Fig. 8b), indicating that the SA, JA, and ROS pathways were perturbed in Lyp1-, Lyk7-, and LysMe3-silenced plants.

PR genes play important roles in signal recognition and plant immunity. For example, in tobacco, PRI is involved in the stress response and is associated with resistance to oomycete pathogens [40]. PR4 encodes chitinase, which is an endogenous plant defense enzyme that generates signaling molecules (elicitors) for the induction of further defenses [41]. In A. thaliana, PR5 is thought to regulate SA biosynthesis and lead to the accumulation of high levels of camalexin in order to protect the plant against pathogen infection [42]. In addition, PRI0 is suggested to increase pepper’s resistance to the oomycete pathogen, Hyaloperonospora arabidopsis [43]. In the present study, we examined the expression of several defense-related marker genes, PR1, PR4, PR5, and PRI0, in Lyp1-, Lyk7-, and LysMe3-silenced VIGS plants. The four PR genes were significantly upregulated in control plants after V. dahliae infection (Fig. 9a); but were all significantly down-regulation in the Lyp1-, Lyk7-, or LysMe3-silenced plants compared to the control, suggesting that defense-related processes were restrained in the VIGS plants, leading to moderate susceptibility to fungal pathogens such as V. dahliae. When challenged by pathogens, plant levels of the signaling compounds, SA, JA, ET, and ROS change, PR gene expression is induced and plant resistance to infection is enhanced [55, 56]. In our study, the expression of PR1, PR4, PR5, and PRI0 was significantly increased after SA, JA, or ROS treatment in cotton plants, but was significantly lower in the Lyp1-, Lyk7-, and LysMe3- silenced cotton plants (Fig. 9b, c). Taken together, these findings suggest that cotton LysM-containing proteins are involved in activating downstream defense processes to enhance resistance to V. dahliae.

Recent studies have shown that common mechanisms for receptor activation involve receptor homodimerization or oligomerization and subsequent phosphorylation, and that the LysM receptors might function as protein complexes [16]. In Arabidopsis, AtFLS2 was associated with AtBAK1 upon flagellin treatment, which initiated cellular defense signaling [57, 58]. In addition, the AtLYK5-AtCERK1 interaction is chitin dependent, yet AtLYK4 can interact with AtCERK1 independently of the presence of chitin [16]. Here, we confirmed that the three LysM-containing proteins, Lyp1, Lyk7, and LysMe3, act as plasma membrane receptors in cotton and recognize chitin signals, activate downstream SA, JA, and ROS pathways, induce PR gene expression, and enhance resistance to V. dahliae (Fig. 10). However, the functional characteristics of Lyp1, Lyk7, and LysMe3 in the resistance network remain to be clarified. Future investigations into the effect of the three LysMs on specific defense-related genes and their involvement in the defense network will be meaningful, not only to improve our understanding of the molecular mechanisms of LysM-chitin interactions, but also for developing fungal-resistant cultivars through breeding programs for cotton and other crops.

Conclusion
Cotton Verticillium wilt, a highly destructive vascular disease caused by the soil-borne pathogen V. dahliae, leads to devastating reductions in plant mass, lint yield, and fiber quality. Although efforts have been made to produce wilt-resistant cotton cultivars by traditional breeding, to date, Verticillium wilt is not effectively controlled in most cotton producing areas. Here, we focused on LysM-containing proteins, which can sense pathogen PAMPs and promote plant defenses. We mined the key LysM genes involved in the cotton defense response to V. dahliae infection. Lyp1, Lyk7, and LysMe3 were found to act as plasma membrane receptors that recognize chitin signals, activate downstream defense processes, and enhance resistance to V. dahliae. These findings not only clarify the important roles of LysM genes in the defense against V. dahliae infection in cotton, but also enrich our knowledge of the networks of plant resistance.
Methods
Identification of the LysM genes in four sequenced cotton species
Data were obtained from the genomic databases of four sequenced cotton species, *G. raimondii* (http://www.phytozome.net/), *G. arboreum* (http://cgp.genomics.org.cn), *G. hirsutum* acc. TM-1 (http://mascot-ton.njau.edu.cn/) and *G. barbadense* acc. 3–79 (http://cotton.cropdb.org/cotton/). The Hidden Markov Model (HMM) profile of the LysM domain (PF01476) was downloaded from the Pfam database (http://pfam.xfam.org/) [59], and this profile then acted as a query to screen all LysM proteins in the sequenced cotton species using HMMER (V3.0) software [60]. The existence of the conserved domains of LysM was further verified in the sequences using SMART [30] and INTERPROSCAN [31].

Chromosomal localization, phylogenetic tree construction, and characterization analysis
MapInspect software (http://www.softsea.com/review/MapInspect.html) was used to analyze the distribution of LysM genes in *Gossypium*. ClustalX (version 2.0) was used to construct multiple sequence alignments of LysM proteins without gaps and poorly aligned sections. A phylogenetic tree was generated by the MEGA 5.1 software (http://www.megasoftware.net/) using the maximum likelihood method, and the reliability of interior branches was assessed with 1000 bootstrap resampling. The online Gene Structure Display Server (GSDS) program (http://gsds1.cbi.pku.edu.cn/) was used to analyze exon/intron structures through alignment of the genomic DNA sequences with their corresponding coding sequences. In addition, LysM protein motifs were investigated using MEME (http://meme-suite.org/), which set the maximum number of motifs at 8 to further verify the phylogenetic classification of each protein. Signal peptides were predicted using the SignalP 4.1 Server (http://www.cbs.dtu.dk/services/SignalP/). The TargetP 1.1 Server (http://www.cbs.dtu.dk/services/TargetP/) was used to analyze the subcellular localization of LysM proteins and the SMART [30] program was used to analyze the transmembrane domains of LysM genes.

Plant materials and treatments
The expression of LysM1 genes was analyzed in *G. barbadense* cv. Hai7124, *G. hirsutum* cv. Jinnian 1, and *G. hirsutum* cv. Jinnian 19 following different stress treatments. Seedlings were grown in the same controlled environment chamber under the same conditions: a 16 h light/8 h dark cycle at 28 °C for 2 weeks. All necessary permits for collecting Hai7124, Jinnian 1 and Jinnian 19 were obtained from Nanjing Agricultural University, Jiangsu Province, China.

Hai7124 and Jinnian 1 seedlings, which showed resistance and susceptibility to *V. dahliae*, respectively, were inoculated with the fungal pathogen, *V. dahliae*, using the dip-inoculation method [34]. V991, a highly aggressive and defoliating strain of *V. dahliae*, was obtained from our lab (State Key Laboratory of Crop Genetics & Germplasm Enhancement, Nanjing Agriculture University, Nanjing, China), which was cultured on potato dextrose agar medium (PAD) at 24 °C for 4–5 days, and then transferred to Czapek’s medium for incubation at 25 °C for 5 days [37]. Subsequently, we used deionized water to adjust the concentration to 10⁷ conidia per milliliter for inoculation of the seedlings. Seedling roots were harvested at 0, 24, 48, 96, and 144 h after V991 treatment.

Hai7124 seedlings were also treated with 200 mg/mL of insoluble crab shell chitin and soluble chitin fragment N-acetylchitohexaose (Seebio, Shanghai, China). The insoluble crab shell chitin and soluble chitin fragment N-acetylchitohexaose were dissolved in 1% acetic acid and deionized water, respectively. Next, the leaves of Hai7124 seedlings were sprayed with chitin and N-acetylchitohexaose, and leaves were harvested at 0, 0.5, 1, 2, 4, and 6 h following treatment.

*G. hirsutum* cv. Jinnian 19 seedlings, which have a high tolerance to abiotic stresses, were treated with four solutions of defense-related signaling molecules, containing 100 μM JA, 1 mM ET, 100 mM SA and 10 mM H₂O₂, respectively. ddH₂O was used as a solvent control, and leaves were collected at 0, 1, 4, 12, and 24 h after treatment.

For each treatment, three biological repeats were harvested, then quick-frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at −80 °C before RNA extraction.

RNA isolation and expression pattern analysis
Total RNA was isolated from cotton seedling leaves and roots using the CTAB-acidic phenolic method [61], and the RNA samples (2 μg per reaction) were reversely transcribed into cDNA using the HiScript Q RT Super-Mix for qPCR (+gDNA wiper) (Vazyme).

The gene-specific primers for qRT-PCR analysis were designed using Beacon Designer 7.0. Cotton histone3 (AF024716) was used as the reference gene. All primer information is available in Additional file 9: Table S5. Real-time PCR amplification reactions were performed on an ABI 7500 Real Time PCR System (Applied Biosystems, USA) using AceQ SYBR Green Master (Low Rox Premixed) (Vazyme) with three technical replicates for each biological sample. Expression data from three biologically independent experiments were analyzed and presented as means ± S.D.

*G. hirsutum* acc. TM-1 high-throughput RNA-sequencing data from Zhang et al. [28] was used to
systematically analyze the expression patterns of LysM genes in different tissues, including vegetative tissues (root, stem, and leaf), floral tissue (petal and stamen), ovule tissues (~3, 0, and 3 DPA), and fiber tissues (5, 10, 20, and 25 DPA). Subsequently, the \( \log_2 \) (FPKM) formula was used to calculate and analyze the expression levels of the LysM genes, where FPKM refers to fragments per kilobase of exon model per million mapped reads as identified using Cufflinks software (http://cufflinks.cbcb.umd.edu/). A heat map was generated with Multi Experiment Viewer v. 4.9 (http://en.bio-soft.net/chip/MeV.html).

For statistical analysis, all generated data in this study were repeated at least three times on three biological replicates. The data from three biologically independent experiments were analyzed and presented as means ± S.D. In addition, statistical significance was determined by Student’s \( t \)-tests.

Cloning of the LysM genes in *G. barbadense* cv. Hai 7124

Based on the known sequences of four cotton species, gene-specific primers were designed using Primer 5.0 software to amplify the homologous genes of LysM with complete open reading frames (ORFs) in *G. barbadense* cv. Hai7124, a cultivar resistant to *V. dahliae* (Additional file 9: Table S5). High-fidelity ExTaq DNA Polymerase (TaKaRa Biotechnology [Dalian] Co. Ltd., China) was used in standard PCR reactions. All PCR products were cloned into pMD19-T cloning Vectors (TaKaRa, Dalian, China) and transformed into bacterial strains *E. coli* DH5α that was obtained from our lab (State Key Laboratory of Crop Genetics & Germplasm Enhancement, Nanjing Agriculture University, Nanjing, China). At least six clones per gene were randomly selected and sequenced.

Vector construction and functional characterization of candidate genes via virus-induced gene silencing (VIGS)

The \( \mu \)g transformants were transferred into Agrobacterium strain GV3101 that was obtained from our lab (State Key Laboratory of Crop Genetics & Germplasm Enhancement, Nanjing Agriculture University, Nanjing, China), and the Agrobacteria containing TRV1 were subsequently mixed with TRV: 00, TRV: *GhCLA1*, TRV: *Lyp1*, TRV: *Lyk7*, TRV: *LysMe3*, and TRV: *LysMn6* at a 1:1 ratio, before being infiltrated into the fully expanded cotyledons of eight-day-old Hai7124 cotton seedlings [63]. All plants were grown in the same growth chamber at 23/21 °C (day/night), with a 16 h light/8 h dark cycle and changes in plant phenotypes were observed. Two weeks after Agrobacterium infiltration, the TRV: *GhCLA1* plants showed highly uniform bleaching in newly emerged leaves. We harvested the leaves from at least three seedlings per treatment and isolated their RNA. All VIGS cotton seedlings, as well as the susceptible control Junmian 1, and the resistant control Hai7124 without Agrobacterium infiltration, were removed from the soil and dip-inoculated with V991 conidia suspension (1 × 10⁷ conidium/mL) as described previously [34]. Subsequently, all plants were grown in the same growth chamber at 25/23 °C (day/night), with a 16 h light/8 h dark cycle for 7 weeks and the ratio of diseased to healthy leaves was analyzed. Each treatment was applied to more than 20 plants and the VIGS experiments were repeated at least three times to increase the reliability of the results. Statistical analyses were used to compare the percentage of diseased leaves in experimental plants with that in TRV: 00 controls.

Subcellular localization of *Lyp1*, *Lyk7*, and *LysMe3* in onion epidermal cells

The ORFs of the *Lyp1*, *Lyk7*, and *LysMe3* genes were fused to green fluorescent protein (GFP) under the control of the 35S promoter in the pBin-GFP4 expression vector [64]. Plasmids harboring GFP alone were used as controls. The onions were bought in the vegetable supermarket and the onion epidermal cells were cultured in MS culture medium at 24 °C for 3 h in the lab. Plasmid DNA at a concentration of 1 μg/μL or greater was used for biolistic bombardment. Helios Gene Gun (Bio-Rad) was used to bombard plasmid DNA into onion cells. After transformation, the onion cells were incubated at 25 ± 1 °C for 16 h in dark conditions. Fluorescent signals were visualized with a confocal microscope (Zeiss, LSM710; Germany), and 20% sucrose solution was used for the plasmolysis of the onion cells.
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