Circulating exosomal long noncoding RNA PRINS—First findings in monoclonal gammopathies
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Abstract
Multiple myeloma is the second most common hematological malignancy characterized by focal lesions of malignant plasma cells in the bone marrow. These lesions contain subclones that directly influence survival of patients. Bone marrow biopsies are single-site biopsies and thus cannot contain all information about the tumor. In contrast, liquid biopsies analyze circulating cells and molecules that are secreted from all sites of the tumor. Long noncoding RNA molecules are one class of these molecules. We performed a two-phase biomarker study investigating IncRNA expression profiles in exosomes of peripheral blood serum of newly diagnosed multiple myeloma (MM) patients, monoclonal gammopathy of undetermined significance (MGUS) patients in comparison with healthy donors (HD). Surprisingly, this analysis revealed dysregulation of only one exosomal IncRNA PRINS in MM vs HD. Overall, MM and MGUS patients were distinguished from HD with sensitivity of 84.9% and specificity of 83.3%. Our study suggests a possible diagnostic role for exosomal IncRNA PRINS in monoclonal gammopathy patients.
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1 INTRODUCTION

Monoclonal gammopathies (MG), including multiple myeloma (MM) and monoclonal gammopathy of undetermined significance (MGUS), are diseases characterized by malignant proliferation of clonal plasma cells in the bone marrow (BM). Monoclonal gammopathy of undetermined significance (MGUS) is a heterogeneous disease with focal lesions in the BM but also elsewhere in the body; these lesions contain subclones that directly influence survival of patients as well as response to treatment. Therefore, analysis of biopsy specimen obtained from a single site in the BM does not contain information about all pathological clones. Liquid biopsies (biopsies of peripheral blood) represent a real promise for such diseases since circulating molecules detectable in peripheral blood (PB) mirror the complex heterogeneity of MG and can serve as potential diagnostic, prognostic, and predictive markers. We and others showed that these...
molecules include cell-free DNA\textsuperscript{2,3} and noncoding RNA (ncRNA), especially microRNA (miRNA)\textsuperscript{4-6} and long noncoding RNA (lncRNA)\textsuperscript{7}. LncRNA expression is tissue specific and implicated in diverse biological functions.\textsuperscript{8} LncRNA are involved not only in tumorigenesis but also in tumor progression and metastases.\textsuperscript{9} These molecules also circulate in body fluids.\textsuperscript{2,7} This two-phased biomarker study focused on circulating lncRNA as potential diagnostic markers of MG.

2 | MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 | Patients and healthy donors

In total, 141 serum samples obtained from newly diagnosed MM patients (56 samples), MGUS patients (49 samples), and healthy donors (HD) (36 samples) were evaluated for this study (Table 1). Multiple myeloma and MGUS patients were diagnosed according to the International Myeloma Working Group (IMWG) guidelines.\textsuperscript{1} All patients’ samples were collected at the time of diagnosis prior to treatment. Healthy donors’ samples were age/sex matched to patients as described in Table 1. All patients were diagnosed at the University Hospital Brno, Czech Republic, and signed the informed consent form approved by the Ethics committee of the hospital in accordance with the current version of the Helsinki Declaration.

2.2 | Sample preparation and exosome isolation

Serum samples were collected as previously described.\textsuperscript{5} Serum exosomes were isolated by miRCURY Exosome Isolation Kit (Exiqon, Vedbæk, Denmark) according to manufacturer’s protocol and characterized by transmission electron microscopy (negative dyeing, 2% ammonium molybdate). Exosomal fraction of serum was used for total RNA extraction as described below.

2.3 | Extraction of total RNA

Total RNA was extracted using miRNeasy Serum/Plasma Kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) based on manufacturer’s instructions. RNA quantity and purity was determined spectrophotometrically using NanoDrop ND-1000 Spectrophotometer (Thermo Scientific, Wilmington, Delaware).

2.4 | Screening phase of the study—lncRNA profiling

In total, 84 candidate lncRNA were determined by RT2 lncRNA PCR Array—Human lncRNA Finder (Qiagen, Germany) using exosomal fraction of serum of six MM patients and six HD in the screening part of the study according to manufacturer’s instructions on 7500 Real-Time PCR System. Analysis of data was performed using SDS version 2.0.1 software (Applied Biosystem, USA). The relative expression levels of

| TABLE 1 | Baseline characteristics of HD, MM, and MGUS patients |
|----------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
|          | HD               | MGUS            | MM              |
| No. of patients/donors | 36               | 49              | 56              |
| Gender: Males-females | 18-18            | 28-21           | 27-29           |
| Age median (min-max) (y) | 61 (51-65)       | 66 (35-88)      | 72 (31-89)      |
| ISS stage: I-II-III | ND               | ND              | ND              |
| D-S stage: I-II-III | ND               | ND              | ND              |
| D-S stage: A-B | ND               | ND              | ND              |
| Ig isotype: IgG-IgA-IgM-FLC-Polyclon | ND            | 32.4-10.2-1     | 34.12-0.9-1   |
| Light chains: Kappa-lambda | ND            | 23-26           | 34-22           |
| Hemoglobin (g/L) | ND               | 138 (76-170)    | 98 (62.6-157)   |
| Thrombocytes (countx109) | ND               | 233 (56.5-454)  | 223.5 (44.8-493)|
| Calcium (mmol/L) | ND               | 2.4 (2.09-2.68) | 2.37 (2.4-2.27) |
| Albumin (g/L) | ND               | 43.4 (31.8-50.7) | 34.5 (20.8-47.7) |
| Creatinine (μmol/L) | ND               | 84 (52-920)     | 93.5 (50-923)   |
| B2-microglobulin (mg/L) | ND               | 2.22 (1.17-17.5) | 4.8 (1.7-32.7)  |
| Lactate dehydrogenase (u kat/L) | ND               | 3.7 (1.3-6.84)  | 3.26 (1.15-7.72) |
| C-reactive protein (mg/L) | ND               | 1.7 (1-40.5)    | 5.2 (1-111.5)   |
| Monoclonal Ig (g/L) | ND               | 5.2 (0-22.3)    | 35.1 (3.3-85.6) |
| PCs infiltration of BM (%) | ND               | 0.41 (0.1-4)    | 15 (0.1-99.6)   |

Chromosomal abnormality: Positive/negative (%)

|                | HD | MGUS | MM |
|----------------|----|------|----|
| 13q14 deletion | ND | 0/6 (12.24%) | 9/23 (57.14%) |
| 17q13 deletion | ND | 2/18 (40.82%) | 22/11 (58.93%) |
| Translocation t(4;14) | ND | 4/14 (36.73%) | 18/16 (60.71%) |
| 1q21 gain | ND | 0/20 (40.82%) | 6/27 (58.93%) |
| Hyperdiploidy | ND | 0/4 (8.16%) | 8/10 (32.14%) |

Abbreviations: BM, bone marrow; HD, healthy donors; MGUS, monoclonal gammopathy of undetermined significance; MM, multiple myeloma; ND, not defined.
target lncRNA were determined as $2^{\Delta \text{Ct}}$. Average values of three most stable reference genes—B2M, RPLP0, and RN7SK—were used for normalization.

### 2.5 Validation of candidate IncRNA by qPCR

For validation, significantly deregulated IncRNA from the screening phase (PRINS, LINC-ROR) and previously published IncRNA (UCA1, NEAT1)\(^{10}\) were used. These candidate IncRNA were validated using the relative quantification approach $2^{\Delta \text{Ct}}$ on 50 MM patients, 49 MGUS patients, and 30 HD. After RNA extraction, high-capacity cDNA reverse transcription kit (Applied Biosystems, USA) was used according to manufacturer’s recommendations. Expression levels of LINC-ROR, PRINS, UCA1, and NEAT1 were detected by RT-qPCR using TaqMan ncRNA assays (UCA1: Hs01909129_s1, NEAT1: Hs03453535_s1). TaqMan Gene Expression Assays (LINC-ROR: Hs04332550_m1, PRINS: Hs03671803_s1) (all Applied Biosystems, USA). Ct values were obtained using 7500 SDS Software v 1.4.0 (Applied Biosystems, USA). Relative expression levels of target IncRNA were determined by the equation $2^{\Delta \text{Ct}}$. The 18S rRNA reference gene was selected by comparing the expression levels of 12 candidate reference genes from Reference Gene Panel Human (TATAA Biocenter, Sweden). The 18S rRNA gene was chosen as reference gene.

### 2.6 Interphase fluorescence in situ hybridization analysis (I-FISH)

Interphase fluorescence in situ hybridization analysis was performed as described previously\(^{11}\) and examined for presence of gain(1)(q21), del(13)(q14), del(17)(p13), t(4;14), and hyperdiploidy status of MM patients. Available I-FISH data are listed in Table 1.

### 2.7 Statistical evaluation

Expression data from IncRNA profiling were statistically evaluated in the environment of statistical language R by use of Bioconductor package and LIMMA approach. P values below 0.05 were considered as statistically significant. P values from profiling were adjusted according to Bonferroni correction for multiple comparisons.

Standard descriptive statistics were applied. Statistical significance of differences in continuous variables among groups of patients was analyzed using nonparametric Kruskal-Wallis or Mann-Whitney U test. For the robust analysis of continuous parameters relationship, the Spearman correlation coefficient was used.

Receiver operating characteristic (ROC) was used to determine sensitivity and specificity of each IncRNA or their combinations based on multivariate logistic regression model and area under the curve (AUC). Survival rates were estimated using the Kaplan-Meier method. Univariate and multivariate Cox proportional hazards models were used to assess the association of prognostic factors with overall survival. The variables in the multivariate model were chosen according to clinical significance in MM patients' evaluation and survival prediction. Statistical analysis was performed using the GraphPad Prism 5 (GraphPad Software, San Diego, California), MedCalc Statistical Software v.14.8.1 (MedCalc Software, Ostend, Belgium) and IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows, Version 23.0 released in 2013 (IBM Corp., Armonk, New York).

## 3 RESULTS

### 3.1 Screening phase of the study—IncRNA profiling

In the screening phase of the study, we determined expression profiles of 84 IncRNA in serum exosomes of six MM patients vs six HD. We identified IncRNA PRINS as differentially expressed in MM patients (all adjusted $P < 0.042$) (Figure 1). We selected UCA1, NEAT1, LINC-ROR, and PRINS for further independent validation.

### 3.2 Validation of candidate IncRNA by qPCR

We employed IncRNA specific assays (UCA1, NEAT1, LINC-ROR, and PRINS) on a larger cohort of 50 newly diagnosed MM patients, 49 newly diagnosed MGUS patients, and 30 HD to test candidate IncRNA expression in exosomal fraction of serum. Results confirmed...
statistically significant (P ≤ 0.05) difference only in expression of one lncRNA—PRINS—in MM and MGUS patients and HD (Figure 2).

The ROC curve analysis was calculated in order to demonstrate sensitivity and specificity of lncRNA dysregulation. In the validation phase of the study, exosomal PRINS in MM vs HD had sensitivity of 80.77% (95% CI, 60.6–93.4), specificity of 76.92% (95% CI, 56.4–91.0), AUC = 0.753 with a cutoff value of ≤−0.3676 (Figure 3A). In MGUS vs HD, sensitivity was 83.33% (95% CI, 62.6–95.3), specificity 80.77% (95% CI, 60.6–93.4), AUC = 0.857 with a cutoff value of ≤−3.4436 (Figure 3B).

Altogether, statistically significant difference was found when MG (MM and MGUS) patients were compared with HD. Monoclonal gammopathies patients were distinguished from HD with sensitivity of 84.85% (95% CI, 68.1–94.9) and specificity of 83.33% (95% CI, 62.6–95.3), AUC = 0.846 with a cutoff value of ≤−3.4519.

3.3 Correlation of PRINS expression with biochemical parameters

In order to determine correlation of lncRNA expression levels with clinical parameters and infiltration of BMPCs, we performed Spearman bivariate correlation. In MM patients, expression levels of exosomal PRINS negatively correlated with BMPCs infiltration (rS = −0.422; P < 0.05). In the group of MGUS patients, expression levels of exosomal PRINS negatively correlated with albumin levels (rS = −0.440; P < 0.05) and positively with creatinine levels (rS = 0.512; P < 0.05), β2-microglobulin (rS = 0.611; P < 0.005), and lactate dehydrogenase (rS = 0.482; P < 0.05) (Table S1).

We did not observe dysregulation of PRINS expression levels between patients at different DS and ISS stages.

3.4 LncRNA expression levels and cytogenetic aberrations association

Expression levels of PRINS were correlated with typical MM chromosomal aberrations, such as gain(1)(q21), del(13)(q14), del(17)(p13), t(4;14), and hyperdiploidy. Translocation t(4;14) was associated with lower exosomal PRINS levels (P < 0.05) in MM patients (Figure S1). No cytogenetics data were available for MGUS patients.

3.5 Analysis of overall survival

LncRNA expression levels were studied as a possible indicator of survival. Univariate Cox proportional hazards survival model with one explanatory variable showed no significant prognostic impact on OS for exosomal PRINS (HR 0.663 [95% CI, 0.203–2.162], P = 0.496). Kaplan-Meier analysis was performed; however, no statistically significant relationship of PRINS expression levels with OS was found.

4 DISCUSSION

Expression levels of circulating molecules are potent enough to serve as markers of diagnosis, classification, prognostic assessment of cancer, and predictive evaluation of treatment effectiveness. For monoclonal gammopathies, including MM, BM biopsies are still the golden standard for diagnosis; these biopsies are unpleasant and sometimes painful for patients. Unlike BM biopsies, liquid biopsies (biopsies of circulating molecules) represent a more available, less painful, and more complex approach that can be repeated as often as needed. This could lead to profiling of biologically relevant information for diagnosis as well as monitoring of treatment response and detection of minimal residual disease. For monoclonal gammopathies, liquid biopsies appear to be the way of the future. The aim of this two-phase biomarker study was to detect circulating lncRNA molecules in serum of MG patients and HD with possible diagnostic and prognostic potential.

In the first part of the study, only PRINS lncRNA was detected as differentially expressed in MM patients compared with HD (P < 0.05). Based on results of profiling, literature and our own results, four lncRNA (PRINS, LINC-ROR, NEAT1, and UCA1) were selected for validation on a larger cohort of patients. However, in the validation...
phase, only two lncRNA, UCA1 and PRINS, were detected—and only PRINS remained statistically significant.

PRINS (psoriasis susceptibility-related RNA gene induced by stress) is an lncRNA that has been described in stress-induced psoriasis; its higher expression may increase susceptibility to this disease.\textsuperscript{12–14} The gene is located on chromosome 10 (10p12.1); the transcript is about 3.6-kb long. Increased expression of this lncRNA occurs with respect to proliferation and differentiation of keratinocytes and stress factors (UVB, viral infection, translation inhibition). In cells exposed to stress, PRINS has a protective role. Expression of this lncRNA was demonstrated in adrenocortical carcinoma.\textsuperscript{15} However, expression of PRINS has not been described in hematological malignancies.

In the validation phase of the study, PRINS expression level was significantly different in the exosomal fraction of MM patients compared with HD ($P < 0.01$), and the ROC curves distinguished these groups with sensitivity of 80.77% and specificity of 76.92%. The difference in PRINS expression levels in exosomal fraction of serum was also observed between MGUS patients and HD when the two groups were distinguished with sensitivity of 83.33% and specificity of 80.77%. Overall, statistically significant difference was found when MG (MM and MGUS) patients were compared with HD—with sensitivity of 84.85% and specificity of 83.33% in exosomes.

Routine diagnostic methods reach sensitivity and specificity of 80%. In our study, exosomal PRINS in MM/MGUS vs HD reached similar values, so these pilot results are comparable with values of standard methods while not requiring invasive BM aspiration. However, at this point, lncRNA cannot be incorporated into the current diagnostic criteria as very limited data concerning these molecules have been published.

When evaluating MM and MGUS patients, PRINS expression was associated with some clinical parameters. Analysis of MM patients showed a negative correlation of PRINS expression in serum exosomes and percentage infiltration of PCs in BM.

Based on our results, it seems that PRINS expression levels are not directly related to MM pathogenesis but may correlate with other processes in the body of the patients.

In MGUS patients, exosomal PRINS expression level negatively correlated with albumin levels, which reflects disease activity. Positive correlations with creatinine, β2-microglobulin and lactate dehydrogenase were observed indicating worse disease prognosis.

Moreover, there was no statistically significant association of PRINS expression and OS in MM patients. Exosomal PRINS expression levels were associated with translocation t(4;14); the presence of this translocation is a negative prognostic factor of MM.\textsuperscript{1} While the association of PRINS expression with negative prognosis was not confirmed, it may be due to limited availability of cytogenetics data (32/56).

The number of tested lncRNA in this study was limited because of the used Qiagen platform. A more comprehensive platform would have possibly identified more dysregulated lncRNA as candidate molecules for further qPCR verification. In addition, since expression levels of lncRNA in body fluids are lower than levels of lncRNA in cells by its nature, it would be preferable to use a more sensitive method, which would allow detection of unknown lncRNA, ie, next-generation RNA sequencing.

In our previous study,\textsuperscript{10} we identified deregulated UCA1 in PCs of MM patients. We included UCA1 in the validation phase of the current study; however, it does not seem to be released into the serum of either group of patients or HD. In addition, in our previous study, we did not find PRINS to be differentially expressed between PCs of MM patients and HD. As we are not sure which tissue the circulating lncRNA are originating from, we did not expect similar results to our previous study.

There is only a limited amount of information available for circulating lncRNA in MM. To the best of our knowledge, three papers have been published so far. In the study of Isin et al,\textsuperscript{7} they analyzed expression levels of only five chosen candidate lncRNA molecules by qPCR and observed that expression levels of TUG1, MALAT1, HOTAIR, and GASS were deregulated in MM patients. Correlation of circulating lncRNA with clinical subgroups of MM patients was observed, indicating that TUG1 could participate in MM progression. TUG1, MALAT1, HOTAIR, and GASS were included in the panel of 84 lncRNA tested in our experiment but only TUG1 was detected, but it was not significantly deregulated between MM/MGUS patients and HD. The discordance between our study and study of Isin et al\textsuperscript{7} could be based on a different approach (prepicked candidate lncRNA according to literature, without a screening phase), and more importantly, different type of samples—peripheral blood plasma samples in the case of Isin versus peripheral blood serum in our study.

In the second study, the authors analyzed expression of only one circulating lncRNA—PCAT-1. They showed higher expression of circulating PCAT-1 in MM patients than in HD by qPCR. PCAT-1 was able to distinguish these two groups with 71.7% sensitivity and 93.8% specificity; its levels correlated with serum β2-microglobulin levels.\textsuperscript{16} On contrary, our study used a more comprehensive approach of analysis of 84 lncRNA on a commercial platform and found deregulated expression of PRINS in exosomes of MM and MGUS patients.

The last study published recently showed elevated expression of lncRNA H19 in a cohort of MM patients by qRT-PCR. H19 was shown to correlate with MM staging.\textsuperscript{17} However, this lncRNA was not expressed in our cohort.

5 | CONCLUSION

Our study indicates a potential of lncRNA as a possible minimally invasive marker of MM and MGUS. However, in order to use lncRNA molecules in the so-called liquid biopsies, further studies are needed.
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