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1. Introduction

An embedded tree $B$ in the unit disk $D$, such that the intersection $B \cap \partial D$ consists of one terminal vertex $r$ of $B$, is called a rooted planar tree. For a rooted planar tree $B$ there exists an immersed copy $P_B \subset D$ of the interval $[0, 1]$ with the following properties:

(i) The immersion is relative, i.e. the endpoints are embedded in $\partial D$.
(ii) The immersion is generic, i.e. there are only transversal crossing points, only the endpoints lie on $\partial D$ and the immersion is transversal to $\partial D$.
(iii) The double points of $P_B$ lie in the interior of the edges of $B$, and the local branches are transversal to the corresponding edge of $B$.
(iv) Each connected component of $D \setminus P_B$ contains exactly one vertex of $B$.
(v) The only intersection points of $P_B$ with $B$ are the double points of $P_B$.

The immersed curve $P_B$ is well defined up to regular relative isotopy and is called the slalom curve or slalom divide of the rooted planar tree $B$, see Fig. 1, 2, 4.

Fig. 1. – Rooted planar tree, its Dynkin diagram $E_{10}$ and slalom

The slalom curve $P_B$ is a divide to which corresponds a classical knot $K_B$ in $S^3$, which we call a slalom knot. The complement of the slalom knot $K_B$ admits a fibration over the circle $S^1$, see [AG4] and Section 2 for basic definitions and properties. The Dynkin diagram $\Delta_B$ of the divide $P_B$ is deduced from the rooted tree $B$ as follows: First make a new tree $B'$ by subdividing each edge of $B$ with a new vertex, which is
placed at the crossing point of $P_B$ on the edge; next, remove from $B'$ the root vertex $r$ and the terminal edge of $B'$ pointing to $r$. In Fig. 1 the tree $B$ has the shape of the classical Dynkin diagram $D_6$ but the Dynkin diagram $\Delta_B$ of $P_B$, which we can denote by $E_{10}$ has 10 vertices. The Dynkin diagram $\Delta_B$ of a rooted tree $B$ is a bicolored rooted tree with an embedding in the plane. The root is the new vertex which lies on the edge of $B$ originating from the root point of $B$ and the bicoloring is such that the new vertices are of the same color. Moreover, the Dynkin diagram $\Delta_B$ has the property that the terminal vertices of $\Delta_B$ different from the root, are never new. The purpose of this paper is to prove the following theorem.

Theorem 1. — Let $B$ be a rooted tree. The complement of the slalom knot $K_B$ admits a complete hyperbolic metric of finite volume, if and only if the Dynkin diagram $\Delta_B$ is neither the diagram $A_{2k}$, $1 \leq k$, nor the diagram $E_6$, or $E_8$.

If the Dynkin diagram $\Delta_B$ is among $A_{2k}$, $1 \leq k$, $E_6$, $E_8$, the knot $K_B$ is the torus knot $(2, 2k+1)$, $(3, 4)$ or $(3, 5)$ and appears as local knot of a simple plane curve singularity [AC1]; the monodromy diffeomorphism (with free boundary) of the knot $K_B$ can be chosen to be of finite order in those cases and its complement does not carry a complete hyperbolic metric. We only need to prove the if part of the theorem.

From the above theorem we get many examples of hyperbolic fibered knots, whose monodromy diffeomorphism and gordian number are known explicitly. The monodromy diffeomorphism of a slalom knot can be realized as the product of right Dehn twists of a system of simple closed curves on the fiber surface, such that the union of the curves is a spline in the fiber surface and the dual graph of the system is the Dynkin diagram of the rooted tree; the gordian number of a slalom knot equals the number of crossings of the slalom divide [AC4]. We call (see section 3) the isotopy class of the monodromy diffeomorphism of the slalom knot of a rooted tree the Coxeter diffeomorphism of the Dynkin diagram of the rooted tree. It follows from Theorem 1 that a Coxeter diffeomorphism of a Dynkin diagram of a rooted tree is pseudo-Anosov, if and only if the Dynkin diagram is not a classical Dynkin diagram (see Theorem 3). We do not know the lattice in $\text{Is}(H^3) = \text{PSL}(2, \mathbb{C})$ of the hyperbolic uniformization for the complement of the hyperbolic slalom knots $K_B$. I wish to thank Makoto Sakuma for explaining to me his joint work with Jeff Weeks on hyperbolic 2-bridge links [S-W], which indicates a road leading to a description of the uniformization lattice and the canonical decomposition in ideal hyperbolic simplices of the complement of hyperbolic slalom knots.
2. Divide and knot of a planar rooted tree.

Let $B$ be a rooted planar tree in the unit disk $D \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ and let $P_B$ be its divide. The knot $K_B$ of the tree $B$ is the knot of its divide $P_B$ (see [AG3-4]), i.e.

$$K_B := \{(x, u) \in T(P_B) \mid \|(x, u)\| = 1\} \subset S(T(R^2)) = S^3$$

where $T(P_B) \subset T(R^2)$ is the subspace of tangent vectors to the divide $P_B$ in the space of tangent vectors to the plane $R^2$. A tangent vector of the plane $(x, u) \in T(R^2) = R^2 \times R^2$, is represented by its foot $x \in R^2$ and its linear part $u \in T_x(R^2) = R^2$. The norm $\|(x, u)\|$ is the usual euclidean norm of $R^4$. In Fig. 5 is shown a computer drawing of the knot of the divide Lys (see Fig. 4). This knot can be presented with 11 crossings and its gordian number equals the number of crossing points of the divide, i.e. 4. Since a slalom divide is connected, the complement of the knot $K_B$ of a rooted tree fibers over the circle [AC4]. A model for the fiber surface and monodromy diffeomorphism can be read by a graphical algorithm from the divide $P_B$ as follows: replace each crossing point of $P_B$ by a square, which has its vertices on the local branches of $P_B$ at the crossing point, and get a trivalent graph $\Gamma$ embedded in the disk $D$; the fiber is diffeomorphic to the interior of the surface with boundary $F$ obtained from a thickening of the graph $\Gamma$. The thickening corresponds to the cyclic ordering of the edges of $\Gamma$ at each vertex of $\Gamma$, which alternatingly agrees or disagrees with an orientation of the ambient plane. The graph $\Gamma$ has only circuits of even length, so the alternating cyclic ordering of the edges at the vertices of $\Gamma$ exists. For each of its squares and for each region of the divide $P_B$ the graph $\Gamma$ has a circuit, which surrounds the square or region. To these circuits of $\Gamma$ correspond simple closed curves on the surface $F$. The monodromy $T$ is the product of the right Dehn twists along those closed curves.

File: divide_knot.pdf
This product is well defined up to conjugacy in the relative mapping class group of the surface \((F, \partial F)\) since the non-commutation graph of this set of Dehn twists is precisely the Dynkin diagram \(\Delta_B\), which is a tree ([B], Fascicule XXXIV, Chap. 4, par. 6, lemme 1). The graph \(\Gamma\) with its cyclic orientation of the edges at the vertices allows us to give a combinatorial description of the diffeomorphism \(T\) of the surface \(F\), which can be used as input to the Bestvina-Handel algorithm, [B-H] see also [L]. In practice, we use the Cayley code for rooted trees, see [S-Wh], and a maple program to deduce from the Cayley code the combinatorial description of \(T\), which was finally the input to the program TRAINS, written by Tobi Hall, doing the Bestvina-Handel algorithm. This way we get extra stimulating evidence for Theorem 1 and 3. I would like to thank Tobi Hall for allowing me to use his program TRAINS.

3. Conway spheres and Bonahon-Siebenmann decomposition for slalom knots.

Let \(B\) be a rooted tree with slalom divide \(P_B \subset D\) and slalom knot \(K_B\). Let \(f_B : D \to \mathbb{R}\) be a Morse function for the divide \(P_B\) as in the proof of the fibration theorem of [AC4], i.e. a generic \(C^\infty\) function, such that \(P_B\) is its 0-level and that each interior region has exactly one non-degenerate minimum and that each region which meets the boundary has exactly one non-degenerate maximum or minimum on the intersection of the region with \(\partial D\). The underlying tree of the slalom divide can be reconstructed up to isotopy as the closure of the union of the gradient lines of \(f_B\), which lie in \(\{f_B < 0\}\) and which contain a saddle point in their closure. The singular gradient lines \(L\) of \(f_B\) in \(\{f_B > 0\}\) give enough Conway spheres to build the Bonahon-Siebenmann decomposition [B-S] of the knot \(K_B\), see [K]. For a singular gradient line \(L\) of \(f_B\) in \(\{f_B > 0\}\) we define \(C(L) := \{(x, u) \in T(D) \mid x \in L, ||x||^2 + ||u||^2 = 1\}\). Observe that such a gradient line passes through a saddle point of \(f_B\) and both end points of \(L\) are on \(\partial D\). It follows that \(C(L)\) is a smooth embedded 2-sphere in \(S^3\). Each sphere \(C(L)\) is invariant under the involution \((x, u) \mapsto (x, -u)\).

We state without proof:

**Theorem 2.** — Let \(B\) be a rooted tree and \(f_B\) its Morse function. The spheres \(C(L)\) of the singular gradient \(L\) lines of \(f_B\) in \(\{f_B > 0\}\) are Conway spheres for the slalom knot \(K_B\). The spheres \(C(L)\) which correspond to edges of the tree \(B\), with at least one endpoint of valency \(\geq 3\) or equal to the root vertex, give the Bonahon-Siebenmann decomposition of the slalom knot.

We wish to mention here that the knot of the slalom divide of the tree \([0,1,2,2]\) is the knot 10_139 of the table of Rolfsen's book [R], which is equivalent to the Montesinos knot \(M(1, (3, 1), (3, 1), (4, 1))\), see [Ka]. The gordian number of 10_139 is shown to be 4 by Tomomi Kawamura [Kaw].

I am grateful to Mikami Hirasawa for explaining to me his method of constructing a knot diagram for slalom knots directly from the slalom divide. He first
doubles the slalom divide and then changes according to local rules the doubled divide to a knot diagram. It follows from his construction that slalom knots are arborescent knots in the sense of Bonahon and Siebenmann [B-S]. The notation as arborescent knot for the slalom knot PB is the Dynkin diagram $\Delta_B$ with the weighting 2 at each vertex.

3. Trees, forms, plumbings.

Let $A$ be a tree with vertex set $\{v_1, v_2, ..., v_n\}$. We will choose the numbering of the vertices such that for some $m$, $1 < m < n$, there are no pairs of vertices $v_i$ and $v_j$ connected by an edge of $A$ with $i < m$ and $j < m$ or with $m < i$ and $m < j$. The chosen numbering corresponds to a bicoloring of the vertices of the tree. The real vector space $V_A$ generated by the set of vertices of $A$ carries a quadratic form $q_A$, whose matrix is $q_A(v_i, v_i) = -2$, $1 \leq i \leq n$, and $q_A(v_i, v_j) = 1$, if and only if, the vertices $v_i$ and $v_j$ are connected by an edge of $A$. To each vertex $v_i$ corresponds an isometry $R_i$ of $(V_A, q_A)$

$$R_i(v_j) := v_j + q_A(v_i, v_j)v_i,$$

which is a reflection. Since the non-commutation graph of the set $\{R_1, R_2, ..., R_n\}$ is a tree the product of the reflections $R_i$ does not depend up to conjugacy on the order in which the product is evaluated [B] and is called the Coxeter element $C_A$ of the tree $A$. The vector space $V_A$ also carries a skew form $sq_A$, whose matrix is $sq_A(v_i, v_j) = 1$ or $sq_A(v_i, v_j) = -1$ if and only if the vertices $v_i$ and $v_j$ are connected by an edge of $A$. If $i < m$ then $sq_A(v_i, v_j) = 1$ else if $j < m$ then $sq_A(v_i, v_j) = -1$. To each vertex $v_i$ corresponds an endomorphism $T_i$ of $(V_A, sq_A)$

$$T_i(v_j) := v_j + sq_A(v_i, v_j)v_j,$$
which is a transvection. The product of the transvections $T_{ij}$ is equal to $-C_A$, and we call its conjugacy class in the group of the form $sQ_A$, well defined by [B], the skew Coxeter element $sC_A$ of the tree $A$.

From [AC2] we recall the following (see also [Hu]). If the tree $A$ is not among the diagrams $A_k, D_{k+3}, D_{k+3}, 1 \leq k, E_6, E_7, E_8, F_4, G_2, F_4$, the endomorphism $C_A$ has a real eigenvalue $\lambda_{\max} > 1$ with multiplicity 1, such that for any eigenvalue $\lambda$ of $C_A$ we have $|\lambda| < |\lambda_{\max}|$ unless $\lambda = \lambda_{\max}$. We call $\lambda_{\max}$ the dominating eigenvalue of $C_A$ and $-\lambda_{\max}$ the dominating eigenvalue of $sC_A$.

Let $A$ be a planar tree with vertex set $\{v_1, v_2, ..., v_n\}$. To the tree $A$ corresponds a surface $S_A$ by the following plumbing. First realize the planar tree $A$ by a planar circle packing with small overlappings. Each vertex $v_i$ is represented by an oriented circle $c_i$. As orientation we choose the counterclockwise orientation. The circles $c_i, c_j$ are disjoint if the vertices $v_i$ and $v_j$ are not connected in $B$ and touch each other from the outside with a small overlap, if $v_i$ and $v_j$ are connected in $A$. Let $C_i$ be a tubular neighborhood in the plane of $c_i$, which is an oriented cylinder. The surface $S_A$ is obtained by plumbing the cylinders $C_i$ and $C_j$ at one of the intersection points of $c_i$ and $c_j$ and making an overcrossing at the other intersection point, if the vertices $v_i$ and $v_j$ are connected in $A$. The choice at which intersection point the plumbing takes place, is made such that on the surface $S_A$ the cycles $c_i$ and $c_j$ have the intersection number $sQ_A(v_i, v_j)$. The surface $S_A$ is naturally immersed in the plane. Let $D_i$ be the right Dehn twist with core the curve $c_i$ of $S_A$. Let $T_A : S_A \to S_A$ be the composition $D_1 \circ D_2 \circ ... \circ D_n$, which we call the Coxeter diffeomorphism of the planar tree $A$.

![Fig. 4. – The slalom $L_{JS}$](image)

The numerical function $A \mapsto \lambda_{H_1}(T_A)$ on trees is monotone for the inclusion of trees [AC2]. Question: is the function $A \mapsto \lambda_{\pi_1}(T_A)$ monotone?
4. Trees and hyperbolic knots.

We now give the proof of the if part of Theorem 1:

**Proof.** — Let $B \subset D$ be a rooted tree, such that the Dynkin diagram $\Delta_B$ is neither the diagram $A_{2k}, 1 \leq k$, nor $E_6$ or $E_8$. We will show that the isotopy class of the monodromy of the fibered knot $K_B$ is pseudo-Anosov. The geometric monodromy $T$ of the knot $K_B$ is up to conjugacy the diffeomorphism $T_A : S_A \rightarrow S_A$, where we put $A := \Delta_B$, see [AC4]. The action of $T_A$ on the first homology of $S_A$ is conjugate to the skew Coxeter element $sC_A$ of the tree $A$. It follows from [AC2] that the biggest absolute value $s$ of an eigenvalue of the action of $T_A$ on the first homology of $S_A$ strictly exceeds 1. So for the homological entropy we have $\lambda_{\text{H}_1}(T) > \log(s) > 0$. By the entropy inequality, we deduce for the isotopical entropy $\lambda_{\text{isotop}}(T)$ the inequalities

$$0 < \lambda_{\text{H}_1}(T) \leq \lambda_{\text{P}_1}(T) \leq \lambda_{\text{isotop}}(T) \leq \lambda_{\text{top}}(T)$$

where $\lambda_{\text{isotop}}(T)$ is the minimum of the topological entropy $\lambda_{\text{top}}(T)$ over the relative isotopy class of $T$. Since the isotopical entropy of $T$ is positive, we conclude that in the decomposition of Thurston [T1] in quasi-finite and pseudo-Anosov pieces of the diffeomorphism $T$ at least one pseudo-Anosov piece occurs. So, to prove that the isotopy class of the diffeomorphism $T$ is pseudo-Anosov, we need to prove that $T$ is irreducible. A reduction of the diffeomorphism $T$ would give an essential torus in the complement of the knot $K_B$. Since the knot $K_B$ is an arborescent knot, as shown by the construction of Mikami Hirasawa, we conclude with the proposition 2.1 of [B-Z], see also [O], that the complement of the knot $K_B$ does not have an essential torus. So, the diffeomorphism $T$ is irreducible and hence pseudo-Anosov. We can conclude with a celebrated Theorem of W. Thurston [T2], see [O], that the mapping torus of the diffeomorphism $T$, which is diffeomorphic to the complement of the knot $K_B$, admits a complete hyperbolic metric. \(\square\)

The knot of the slalom curve $E_8$ of the rooted tree with Cayley code $[0,1,1,2]$ is not hyperbolic (see Fig. 2). The knots of the slalom curve $Lys$ of the tree with code $[0,1,1,1]$ and of the slalom curve $E_{10}$ of the tree $[0,1,1,2,4]$ are hyperbolic (see Fig. 1, 4).

In fact, for a diffeomorphism $T$ of surfaces the equality $\lambda_{\text{P}_1}(T) = \lambda_{\text{isotop}}(T)$ holds, and moreover, for pseudo-Anosov diffeomorphisms the equality $\lambda_{\text{P}_1}(T) = \lambda_{\text{top}}(T)$ holds. It would be very interesting to compute the hyperbolic volume of the knot of the rooted tree $E_{10}$ and to relate it with $\lambda_{\text{isotop}}(T_{E_{10}})$.

The knots $K_B$ for $B$ such that the Dynkin diagram equals $A_{2k}, E_6$ or $E_8$, are links of singularities and the corresponding monodromies are irreducible and of finite order. From this fact and from the proof of the theorem we deduce that Coxeter diffeomorphisms of rooted trees have in general a pseudo-Anosov isotopy class. More precisely, with the notation of section 2 we have:
Theorem 3. — Let $A \subset D$ be a rooted, bicolored, tree embedded in the plane such that the root is a terminal vertex and that no other terminal vertex has the color of the root. The Coxeter diffeomorphism $T_A : S_A \to S_A$ is irreducible. Moreover, if $A$ is not among $A_{2n}, E_6, E_8$, the diffeomorphism is pseudo-Anosov.

The pseudo-Anosov diffeomorphisms given by this theorem are products of Dehn twists, which belong to the same conjugacy class in the mapping class group of a surface with one boundary component and the union of the cores of the Dehn twists of the product decomposition is a spline in the surface. The pseudo-Anosov diffeomorphisms, which we obtain here, differ from the examples of R. C. Penner [P], see also [F], since all Dehn twists in the product belong to the same conjugacy class. The diffeomorphism is pseudo-Anosov, if and only if the Dynkin diagram of the intersection of the core curves is not a classical Dynkin diagram of a finite Coxeter group. A finite tree can be realized as Dynkin diagram of a slalom divide of a (disk wide) web, which we define as an embedded finite tree $B$ in the unit disk $D$ such that the intersection $B \cap \partial D$ is a set of terminal vertices of $B$, which are called root vertices of $B$. The definition of a slalom curve remains unchanged, except for the slalom of a web without root vertices, where we consider an immersion of the circle instead of the interval. For instance the extended Dynkin diagram $E_8$ with 9 vertices is the Dynkin diagram of the slalom of Fig. 6, which is the slalom of a web with 2 root vertices.

The link of the slalom divide $E_8$ has 2 components; it is the Montesinos link $M(0,(2,1),(3,1),(6,1))$. The extended Dynkin diagram $D_4$ with 5 vertices corresponds to the slalom of the web with 4 root vertices and a single vertex of valency 4 and its link is the Montesinos link $M(0,(2,1),(2,1),(2,1),(2,1))$. It is interesting to observe that both links are in the list $b)$ of proposition 2.1 of [B-Z]. The Coxeter diffeomorphism of a Dynkin diagram, which we suppose to be a tree here, is always the monodromy diffeomorphism.
of a fibered link by the fibration theorem of [AC4]. The Dynkin diagram $\tilde{D}_4$ is realized as the Dynkin diagram of a complete intersection curve singularity by Marc Giusti [G] and the Coxeter diffeomorphism of $\tilde{D}_4$ appears as monodromy in the unfolding of this singularity. The fibered link of the web with $n + 1$ vertices and $n$ root vertices, $n \geq 5$, is a chain with $n$ links. This link is studied in the lecture notes of W. Thurston and is hyperbolic.

Remark. — The complexity $C_{\pi_1}(\phi)$ of an orientation preserving isotopy class $\phi$ of diffeomorphisms of a surface can be defined as the minimum of the quantity $a + b$ over all the product decompositions of $\phi$ as product of Dehn twists, where $a$ is the length of the product and where $b$ is the number of intersection points of the core curves of the twists involved in the product decomposition. The corresponding homological complexity is the complexity $C_{H_1}(\phi)$ where we minimize the quantity $a + h$, where $h$ stands for the sum of the absolute values of the mutual homological intersection numbers of the core curves.

The homological complexity of the monodromy $T$ of a non trivial fibered knot is estimated from below by $C_{H_1}(T) \geq 4\delta - 1$, where $\delta$ is the genus of the fiber. So, we can observe that both complexities coincide and are minimal with respect to this estimation by the genus for monodromies of knots of slalom curves. It would be nice to deduce from this observation that the homological and isotopical entropy of monodromies of knots of slalom curves coincide.
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