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Introduction

Severe obesity (SO) affects about 6% of youth in the United States, augmenting the risks for cardiovascular disease and type 2 diabetes. Herein, we obtained paired omental adipose tissue (omVAT) and abdominal subcutaneous adipose tissue (SAT) biopsies from girls with SO undergoing sleeve gastrectomy (SG), to test whether differences in cellular and transcriptomic profiles between omVAT and SAT depots affect insulin sensitivity differently. Following weight loss, these analyses were repeated in a subgroup of subjects having a second SAT biopsy. We found that omVAT displayed smaller adipocytes compared with SAT, increased lipolysis through adipose triglyceride lipase phosphorylation, reduced inflammation, and increased expression of browning/beiging markers. Contrary to omVAT, SAT adipocyte diameter correlated with insulin resistance. Following SG, both weight and insulin sensitivity improved markedly in all subjects. SAT adipocytes’ size became smaller, showing increased lipolysis through perilipin 1 phosphorylation, decreased inflammation, and increased expression in browning/beiging markers. In summary, in adolescent girls with SO, both omVAT and SAT depots showed distinct cellular and transcriptomic profiles. Following weight loss, the SAT depot changed its cellular morphology and transcriptomic profiles into more favorable ones. These changes in the SAT depot may play a fundamental role in the resolution of insulin resistance.

Severe obesity is the fastest-growing subcategory of obesity in youth, afflicting about 6% of all youth in the United States (1–7). Of note, tracking of adiposity from childhood into adulthood is more pronounced in the severely obese (8–10), with a high body mass index (BMI) in adolescence being associated with increased risk of cardiovascular disease, type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM), and premature death (11–16).

Given the limited effectiveness of lifestyle and pharmacological interventions for severe obesity in youth, surgical procedures that have proven health benefits for adults are increasingly being considered for severely obese adolescents (1, 17). Recently, Inge et al. provided longitudinal 5-year outcomes of gastric bypass in adolescents as compared with adults, indicating that adolescents had remission of diabetes and hypertension more often than adults (18). The outcomes data from the Teen Longitudinal Assessment of Bariatric Surgery (Teen-LABS) have greatly reinforced the use of bariatric surgery in adolescents with severe obesity (SO), which is now officially recommended by the Endocrine Society Clinical Practice Guideline (19). Despite the clinical relevance of the Teen-LABS studies, the mechanisms by which weight loss induced by bariatric surgery, specifically by sleeve gastrectomy (SG), leads to greater remission in cardiometabolic health in youth is unknown. It is conceivable that changes in the biology of 2 key adipose depots, the omental adipose tissue (omVAT) and subcutaneous abdominal adipose tissue (SAT), after weight loss have a greater modulatory effect on metabolism, given the greater plasticity of white adipose tissue during adolescence. However, the lack of parallel assessment of the omental versus subcutaneous abdominal depots in adolescents with SO greatly limits the understanding of the putative inter depot differences and their potential changes following weight loss after SG.

Lipolysis, the process by which triglycerides (TGs) are hydrolyzed to free fatty acids (FFAs) and glycerol, occurs by sequential action of adipose triglyceride lipase (ATGL), hormone-sensitive lipase (HSL),
and monoglyceride lipase (MGL) (20–26). The first step of the lipolytic cascade involves the activation/phosphorylation of ATGL that appears to be dependent on CGI58 association (20–23). During this stage, a lipid droplet–associated surface protein, perilipin 1 (PLIN1), plays an important role during the lipolytic cascade as suppressor of CGI58 (24). When inactivated, PLIN1 binds to CGI58 and blocks the activation of ATGL. When PLIN1 is phosphorylated, it releases CGI58, and therefore the lipolytic cascade can continue. During this first step of lipolysis, triacylglycerol is hydrolyzed to diacylglycerol (DAG) and TG. The second step shows the involvement/phosphorylation of HSL, that uses DAG as substrate and produces monoaecylglycerol (MAG) and TG (25). Finally, during the final step of lipolysis, the MGL degrades MAG, generating FFA and glycerol (26). Both hormones/nutrients regulate this important pathway. During negative energy balance states, activation of lipolysis results in a profound increase in FFA release from adipose tissue, thereby providing the organism with substrates for oxidative metabolism.

To dissect differences in cellular morphology and biologic pathways between the omVAT depot compared with those of the SAT, we obtained paired adipose tissues from these 2 depots in a group of obese adolescent girls with SO who were undergoing SG. Additionally, we followed these subjects during their weight loss over a period of a year, and in a subgroup we repeated the abdominal SAT biopsy after weight loss. We tested the following hypotheses: (a) differences in the cellular, lipolytic activity, and transcriptomic profiles of the SAT compared with those of the omVAT depot may play an essential role in the development of insulin resistance in youth with SO; (b) weight loss after the bariatric surgery and the dramatic metabolic changes occurring in the SAT may reduce insulin resistance and its ominous consequences; and (c) weight loss induced by SG might be associated with browning of the SAT depot.

Results

Anthropometric, clinical, and metabolic characteristics at baseline. From The Yale Study of Body Fat Patterning in Obese Adolescents cohort, we studied 10 female subjects (7 adolescents and 3 young adults) with SO (class 2/3 obesity) who underwent bariatric surgery using SG procedure. The anthropometric, clinical, and metabolic characteristics of participants are presented in Table 1. All subjects had a mean age of 18.3 years (range 16–22), a Tanner stage 4 to 5, and a mean BMI of 45.9 kg/m² (range 36.7–57.2). Among all the participants, there were 3 subjects with early T2DM and 2 with impaired glucose tolerance.

Adipocytes’ size distribution in SAT and omVAT depots. To determine the difference in adipocytes’ morphology, we obtained paired samples from both abdominal SAT and omVAT during the SG surgery and fixed the tissues in osmium tetroxide, as previously described (27).

The adipocyte cell size distribution profile from the SAT depot showed a distinct shift to the right compared with that seen in omVAT, with the adipocytes from SAT being significantly larger than those from omVAT \((P = 0.002)\) (Figure 1A and Table 2). Interestingly, while the peak diameter of SAT cells directly correlated with the increase in insulin resistance (HOMA-IR) \((P = 0.037)\), in the omVAT such correlation was not present (Figure 1B). Correlations between BMI and cell peak diameter were not statistically significant either in SAT or in omVAT (SAT: \(r = –0.21, P = 0.56\); omVAT: \(r = 0.06, P = 0.89\)).

Table 2 shows additional adipose cell size parameters in SAT and omVAT. Interestingly, the percentage of small cells (i.e., cells below nadir) and the ratio of small to large cells in SAT were significantly higher compared with those from the omVAT depots. Of note, we found a strong positive correlation between omVAT cell peak diameter and both percentage of SAT small cells \((r = 0.729, P = 0.021)\) and SAT ratio of small to large cells \((r = 0.754, P = 0.015)\) (Figure 1, C and D). However, the opposite was not true. These significant correlations suggest a possible cross-talk from omVAT to SAT but not vice versa (SAT to omVAT).

Before SG, omVAT and SAT depots showed similar profiles for genes regulating lipolysis but distinctly different profiles for browning and inflammation markers. To determine potential differential gene signatures/profiles in SAT versus omVAT, we used RNA-sequencing (RNA-Seq). Cluster analysis using the 4155 most significantly expressed genes correctly distinguished SAT and omVAT, as shown by the heatmap (Figure 2A) and principal component analysis (PCA) (Figure 2B). Furthermore, 1492 differentially expressed transcripts were identified (FDR > 0.05, \(P_{adj} < 0.05\)), of which 448 were upregulated \((\log_2 \text{fold change} > 2, \ P_{adj} < 0.05)\) and 1044 were downregulated \((\log_2 \text{fold change} < –2, \ P_{adj} < 0.05)\). We elected to focus on genes involved in lipid metabolism, white/browning adipose tissue markers, and inflammation, based on the degree of differential expression of each gene and its known functional roles in metabolic diseases.

Ingenuity Pathway Analysis (IPA) revealed that the top 20 highly enriched canonical pathways were mainly associated with several transcription factor pathways, stem cells’ pluripotency, as well as
WNT/β-catenin signaling and immune cell (both granulocyte and agranulocyte) migration. Surprisingly, IPA pointed out a significant differential regulation of genes associated with the browning of white adipose tissue in SAT compared with omVAT. In particular among all the genes associated with this process, 14% were downregulated and 5% upregulated in SAT (Figure 2C).

Using RNA-Seq analysis we found a distinct pattern in gene expression between SAT and omVAT (Figure 2, A and B). Surprisingly, we did not observe any significant difference in the expression of the majority of the key genes involved in lipogenesis and lipolysis (Supplemental Figure 1, A–C; supplemental material available online with this article; https://doi.org/10.1172/jci.insight.135448DS1), as well as many of the genes involved in adipogenesis (Supplemental Figure 1E). However, we found a significant increase in leptin (LEP), CCAAT enhancer binding protein–β, and phosphoenolpyruvate carboxykinase 2 (PCK2) gene expression (Supplemental Figure 1, D and E). Of particular note, we found in omVAT a significantly increased expression in genes associated with the browning of white adipose tissue (28–33) (including PGC1A, UCP1, CID, and EBF2) compared with the SAT depot (Supplemental Figure 1, F and G). Interestingly, CD36 (described as a lipid intake channel and positively correlated with adipocytes’ differentiation) was also significantly reduced in omVAT compared with the SAT depot (Supplemental Figure 1H).

In addition, we studied potential differential expression of genes regulating inflammation in omVAT and SAT. Overall, omVAT did display a slight reduction in macrophage content by CD68 gene expression and a consistently significant decrease in several proinflammatory genes (including IL6, MCP1, iNOS, IL8, CD11C) compared with SAT. However, the profile of antiinflammatory genes (Supplemental Figure 1I) was not significantly different between the depots.

To quantify more precisely the differential expression found by RNA-Seq analysis, the expression levels of genes involved in lipid metabolism, browning, and inflammation were confirmed and validated by real-time PCR. As already shown by RNA-Seq, we did not find significant differences by real-time PCR between SAT and omVAT in the expression of the major lipolytic, lipogenic, and adipogenic genes (Figure 3, A–C).

Table 1. Clinical and metabolic characteristics of the obese adolescents undergoing bariatric surgery

|                        | Mean     | Range     |
|------------------------|----------|-----------|
| Age (years)            | 18.3     | 16–22     |
| Ethnicity (nH/H)       | 4/6      |           |
| Tanner stage (4/5)     | 5/5      |           |
| **Anthropometrics**    |          |           |
| Weight (kg)            | 125.7    | 96.9–178.7|
| Height (cm)            | 165.1    | 155–185.4 |
| BMI (kg/m²)            | 45.9     | 36.7–57.2 |
| % fat mass             | 48.8     | 42.8–56.6 |
| Class of obesity (2/3) | 1/9      |           |
| **Metabolic measurements** |          |           |
| Fasting glucose (mg/dL)| 87.3     | 69–100    |
| Two-hour glucose (mg/dL)| 130.4    | 80–202    |
| Fasting insulin (μU/mL)| 33.13    | 9.4–71    |
| HOMA-IR                | 6.99     | 0.5–17.51 |
| Leptin (ng/mL)         | 127.21   | 84.38–223.66|
| **Lipids**             |          |           |
| Total cholesterol (mg/dL)| 167.9     | 121–261   |
| HDL (mg/dL)            | 45       | 27–57     |
| LDL (mg/dL)            | 101.6    | 61–190    |
| TGs (mg/dL)            | 113.4    | 40–256    |
| FFA (μmol/L)           | 0.574    | 0.338–0.765|
| **Liver**              |          |           |
| ALT (U/L)              | 32.3     | 14–96     |
| AST (U/L)              | 23.5     | 17–42     |

Demographic, anthropometric, and metabolic characteristics are shown for 10 obese adolescent females undergoing gastric sleeve bariatric surgery. nH, non-Hispanics; H, Hispanics; HOMA-IR, Homeostatic Model Assessment of Insulin Resistance; ALT, alanine aminotransferase; AST, aspartate aminotransferase.
Only LEP and CD36 were significantly reduced in omVAT compared with SAT (Figure 3, B and C). Interestingly, we observed a slight reduction of CD68 gene expression (a general inflammation marker) as well as several proinflammatory markers (including IL6, MCP1, and TNFA) in omVAT compared with SAT, but no differences were observed among the antiinflammatory markers (Figure 3D). Using a specific antibody against CD68, we were also able to visualize and localized by IHC the macrophages in both omVAT and SAT (SAT CD68 = 22.0 ± 4.0 macrophages/100 adipocytes; omVAT CD68 = 14.9 ± 2.9 macrophages/100 adipocytes; \( P = 0.09 \)). These representative images showed a slight reduction of CD68+ cells in omVAT compared with SAT depot (Figure 3E and Supplemental Figure 2A).

Because we found by both IPA and RNA-Seq analysis an increased expression in mitochondrial markers and genes associated with the browning of adipose tissue, we focused our attention on these genes. Using real-time PCR we were able to confirm the increase in gene expression of several genes associated with browning of white adipose tissue (including UCP1, PGC1A, CIDEA, and EBF2) and specific mitochondrial genes (including COX4L1, COX7C, PCK2, and creatine kinase 1 [CKMT1]) in the omVAT depot (Figure 4A).

Given that the browning/beiging of white adipose tissue in both human and mouse models is modulated by cold exposure and/or winter (34–39), we tested in our subjects whether the season could affect the expression of browning/beiging markers in SAT. Overall, we did not observe any significant increase in browning/beiging gene expression in SAT from patients who underwent biopsy during the cold season (winter/fall) compared with those who underwent SG during the warm season (summer/spring) (5 subjects each group, data not shown). These observations are in line with the observation previously published by Kern et al. in SAT from an obese population (40).
Of note, omVAT adipocyte cell peak diameter showed a strong inverse correlation with the gene expression of **UCP1** (master regulator of the browning/beiging markers), **PGC1A**, and **EBF2** (Figure 4, D–F). Similar correlations were also observed with the mitochondrial gene expression of **PCK2**, **CKMT1**, and **COX4L1** (Figure 4, E–G). Interestingly, several other genes that failed to achieve the statistically significant difference between SAT and omVAT depots did show a strong and significant inverse correlation between gene expression and adipocyte peak in omVAT (**CITED1**: \( r = -0.770, P = 0.013; \) **TBX1**: \( r = -0.867, P = 0.002; \) **CKMT2**: \( r = -0.758, P = 0.015; \) **COX7C**: \( r = -0.634, P = 0.054 \)). Regardless, no correlation was observed between SAT adipocytes’ peak and gene expression (data not shown). These data indicate that in the omVAT depot the browning of white tissue is associated with adipocytes with small diameter.

Given that we observed a significant induction in browning of omVAT compared with SAT, we investigated in paired SAT and omVAT from different subjects the expression at the protein level of UCP1, the major browning/beiging marker. As shown in Figure 4H and Supplemental Figure 2, B and C, by immunofluorescence, UCP1 staining was strongly increased in the omVAT compared with SAT depot (SAT fluorescence intensity = 9.2 ± 1.5 AU; omVAT fluorescence intensity= 19.8 ± 1.2 AU; \( P = 0.002 \)), supporting the real-time PCR data.

**ATGL but not HSL phosphorylation regulates omVAT adipocytes’ cell size, enhancing lipolysis.** Because omVAT adipocytes showed smaller cell peak diameter compared with SAT adipocytes, but RNA-Seq profile data of lipid metabolism regulatory genes were not able to explain this phenomenon, we examined whether differences in the function/activity at the protein level of 2 of the master regulators in lipolysis, ATGL and HSL, would emerge between the 2 depots and whether the phosphorylation of these key proteins would be correlated with adipocyte size. Therefore, we compared the protein expression level of phosphorylated (p-) ATGL and p-HSL in SAT and omVAT. In particular, we observed a significant increase in p-ATGL in omVAT compared with SAT (\( P < 0.01 \)) and a trend of increasing HSL phosphorylation (Figure 5, A–C). Moreover, surprisingly, p-ATGL significantly correlated with SAT cell diameter (\( r = 0.742, P = 0.042 \)) but not omVAT cell diameter (Figure 5D), and notably, p-ATGL correlated with HOMA-IR in both SAT and omVAT (Figure 5E). No significant correlations were observed between p-HSL and cells’ peak diameter or HOMA-IR in both omVAT and SAT (data not shown).

Interestingly, in obese adolescents PLIN1 protein expression was undetectable in both the SAT and omVAT depot (data not shown).

**Effects of SG on changes in weight, insulin sensitivity, and fatty liver.** After bariatric surgery only 1 patient discontinued the follow-up for personal reasons and therefore was not included in the analysis. As expected, all patients who underwent SG had a marked reduction in body weight, absolute BMI, and percentage change in BMI (Table 3), reaching after 6 months a plateau in body weight loss, which was maintained through the 10-month follow-up visit (Figure 6A). Interestingly, 6 out of 9 patients had a repeated measure of insulin sensitivity using the HOMA-IR, which indicated a strong improvement in insulin sensitivity, particularly in the obese girls who were more insulin resistant (Figure 6B).

During the follow-up period, 4 girls consented to having a second abdominal SAT biopsy, thus providing the unique opportunity to compare the changes in the SAT depot characteristic before (baseline) and after weight loss (follow-up biopsy). These 4 subjects were representative of the main group because they showed a reduction in BMI, percentage change in BMI, and body weight after surgery similar to the other subjects (Table 3 and Supplemental Figure 3, A–D).

### Table 2. Comparison of adipose cell size variables in SAT and omVAT depots from all patients (n = 10)

| Cell size variables       | SAT                | omVAT              | \( P \) value |
|---------------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------|
| Peak diameter (μm)        | 129.73 ± 4.12      | 104.60 ± 5.85      | 0.002        |
| Fraction of large cells   | 0.68 ± 0.07        | 0.96 ± 0.10        | 0.002        |
| Nadir (μm)                | 79.61 ± 3.35       | 61.06 ± 4.04       | 0.002        |
| Ratio small/large cells   | 0.90 ± 0.11        | 0.56 ± 0.10        | 0.006        |
| Percentage of small cells | 45.23 ± 3.64       | 33.38 ± 4.45       | 0.01         |
| Median (μm)               | 85.19 ± 4.69       | 83.13 ± 3.67       | 0.84         |
| Mean (μm)                 | 88.21 ± 2.47       | 83.40 ± 2.90       | 0.23         |

Data are expressed as mean ± SEM. The nonparametric 2-tailed paired Student’s \( t \)-test was used for comparing SAT versus omVAT, and \( P \) values less than 0.05 were considered significant (in bold).
SAT adipocytes are smaller after weight loss induced by SG. The Multisizer adipose cell size profile from the SAT follow-up biopsy showed a clear shift to the left compared with the baseline biopsy cell curve profile. The cell peak calculated for the baseline biopsy (124.86 ± 5.61 μm) was significantly higher than the cell peak calculated from the follow-up biopsy (99.99 ± 0.71 μm, P = 0.0046) (Figure 6, C and D, and Supplemental Figure 3, E–H).

Gene profiling after weight loss showed an increase in lipolytic genes, and induction of genes regulating browning activity, but a reduction in proinflammatory genes in the SAT depot. We analyzed using both RNA-Seq and
real-time PCR the expression of the same panel of genes associated with lipid metabolism, browning, and inflammation markers in SAT obtained at baseline and at follow-up biopsy from the same subjects. We found an increase in lipolytic and adipogenic genes’ (including ATGL, HSL, PLIN1, PLIN4, and ADIPOQ) expression levels in the follow-up biopsy compared with the baseline biopsy (Figure 7, A–C, and Supple-
mental Figure 4, A–C).

In contrast, we found a reduction in the proinflammatory status of SAT after weight loss as shown by stark downregulation of IL6, MCP1, IL8, IL1B, and CD11C (Figure 7D and Supplemental Figure 4D). Overall, the total amount of macrophages was maintained between baseline and follow-up biopsy, as shown by CD68 mRNA expression levels (Figure 7D) and IHC using a specific CD68 antibody (baseline biopsy CD68 = 22.0 ± 4.0 macrophages/100 adipocytes; follow-up biopsy CD68 = 18.3 ± 2.4 macrophages/100 adipocytes; \( P = 0.493 \) (Figure 7E and Supplemental Figure 5A).

Of note, an increase in genes that regulate the browning of SAT was also observed after weight loss as well as genes associated with mitochondrial activity (Figure 8, A and B, and Supplemental Figure 4, E and F).
The increase of CIDEA gene expression was also assessed by immunofluorescence (Figure 8C and Supplemental Figure 5, B and C). The specific staining for CIDEA supported the mRNA expression results and showed a strong increase in SAT tissue obtained from a biopsy after weight loss (CIDEA fluorescence intensity = 40.7 ± 2.6 AU) compared with the staining from the baseline biopsy (CIDEA fluorescence intensity = 26.8 ± 2.6 AU; *P* value baseline vs. after weight loss = 0.0098). It should be noted that all the follow-up biopsies were done during the warm season (spring/summer), which would exclude the possibility that the observed browning/beiging of the white adipose tissue was due to any seasonal effects.
PLIN1 but not ATGL phosphorylation regulates SAT adipocytes’ cell size after weight loss. Because we found that the posttranslational activation of the lipolytic proteins is the key indicator of the lipolytic activity in adipocytes, we investigated the level of p-ATGL and p-PLIN1 in SAT samples obtained at baseline during bariatric surgery and follow-up biopsy (Figure 9, A and B). Surprisingly, we observed that after weight loss, there was a slight reduction in the ATGL phosphorylation. On the other hand, this depot showed a strong and significant overexpression of the total form of PLIN1 (which was almost not expressed in SAT before weight loss) (Figure 9C), and consequently we were able to detect the phosphorylation of PLIN1 after weight loss. These data indicate that during the weight loss the reduction of adipocyte size and therefore adipocyte lipolytic activity may be mainly under the control of PLIN1.

Discussion
The present study provides insights into the potential underlying differences in the cellular and transcriptomic profiles of paired biopsy samples from the omental (omVAT) and subcutaneous abdominal (SAT) depots, from a group of adolescent girls with SO, with a spectrum of insulin sensitivity, undergoing SG. Following SG, weight changes were closely monitored in the entire group. In a subset of subjects, a repeated SAT biopsy was performed and measurements of cellularity and gene expression were repeated, during weight stabilization.

The major findings, summarized in Figure 10, are as follows.

First, at baseline, before SG, we found that omVAT compared with SAT displayed (a) smaller adipocytes and increased lipolytic activity, (b) reduction of proinflammatory markers, (c) increased markers of browning/beiging of white adipose tissue, and (d) (opposite from SAT) adipocytes’ peak diameter did not correlate positively with insulin sensitivity.

Second, after SG-induced weight loss, all subjects showed (a) a marked reduction in body weight, absolute BMI, and percentage change in BMI, reaching after 6 months a plateau in body weight loss, which
was maintained through the 10-month follow-up visit; and (b) a marked improvement in insulin sensitivity, particularly in the obese girls who were more insulin resistant.

Third, in the 4 subjects who agreed to have a repeated SAT biopsy after weight loss, we found (a) reduction in cells’ diameter compared with the baseline biopsy and improvement in insulin sensitivity, (b) increased lipolytic activity, (c) strong induction of browning markers, and (d) a substantial reduction of proinflammatory markers.

A unique aspect of this study is the particularly young age of the subjects, who were studied during adolescence, a developmental and maturational stage during which the adipose tissue experiences not only changes in body fat distribution but also a great accretion in fat mass. Indeed, adolescence is considered a critical time for obesity development (41). Given the major sex differences in body fat distribution (42–45) and higher prevalence rates of SO among females, we elected to study only girls.

There is very limited information regarding the differences in the cellularity and transcriptomic profiles of the omental and SAT depots from obese adolescents with SO. Likely due to the greater plasticity of the white adipose tissue at this particular young age (46–48), the findings in the cellularity, the transcriptomic profiles, and their responses to SG-induced weight loss may be specific to this developmental stage of adolescence, compared with those described in older subjects with obesity.

**Interdepot differences in cell size.** Adipocytes from the omental depot (omVAT) were smaller compared with those from the SAT. These cell size differences are consistent with those reported in adult obese women (49). Surprisingly, we found no relation between cell size and insulin sensitivity in the omVAT in contrast to the significant correlation with the large cell diameter and insulin sensitivity in the SAT. This finding would support the primary role of the SAT rather than the omVAT in the development of insulin resistance at this particular developmental stage.

Further analysis of the cellular patterns suggests that in adolescents with SO, the proportion of small/large cells and the percentage of small cells from the SAT depot were significantly higher compared with those seen from the omVAT depot (see Table 2). Of note, the cell diameter of the adipocytes from the omVAT depot positively correlated with the ratio of small to large cells from the SAT ($r = 0.754, P = 0.015$, Figure 1D). The reason for the differential small cell accumulation between the 2 depots is not clear but could be related to the regional differences of fat tissue and presence of insulin resistance. To some extent, our results regarding the interdepot differences in the proportion of small/large cells are different from those reported in adults by Liu et al. This discrepancy is likely due to the difference in the age of the subjects studied, that is, adolescents versus adults (49).

To the best of our knowledge, our study may be the first to document, using Multisizer technology, that increasing cell size in omVAT is associated with accumulation of small cells in SAT in adolescent girls with SO. Additionally, it suggests that the cell diameter of the adipocytes from the omVAT depot may regulate the proportion of the small to large cells in the SAT (see Figure 1). Regardless of the directionality of these relations, what is emerging is that the presence of large cells and the greater proportion of small to large cells in the SAT may be playing a greater role in shaping the onset of insulin resistance compared with that seen in the omVAT. Whether this difference is due to the particular maturational stage or due to the regional site of the depot remains unclear.

---

### Table 3. Comparison of anthropometric characteristics between all follow-up and selected returning patients

|                      | All follow-up patients ($n = 9$) | Returning girls ($n = 4$) |
|----------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------------|
| **Baseline**         | After 6 mo $P$ value vs. baseline | After 10 mo $P$ value vs. baseline | After 6 mo $P$ value vs. baseline | After 10 mo $P$ value vs. baseline |
| HT (cm)              | 163.0 ± 1.6                      | 164.6 ± 1.8              | 0.016                                  | ns                                  |
| BW (kg)              | 120.9 ± 6.4                      | 95.3 ± 5.5               | 0.004                                  | ns                                  |
| BMI (%)              | 45.7 ± 2.3                       | 35.4 ± 2.2               | 0.004                                  | ns                                  |
| % fat                | 48.8 ± 1.4                       | 40.3 ± 1.7               | 0.016                                  | ns                                  |
| **Change from baseline** |                                |                          |                                        |                                     |
| BW (%)               | -21.3 ± 1.2                      | -20.0 ± 2.5              | 0.004                                  | n.s.                               |
| BMI (%)              | -22.8 ± 1.5                      | -21.3 ± 2.5              | 0.004                                  | n.s.                               |

All values are expressed as mean ± SEM. The nonparametric 2-tailed paired Student’s t test was used for comparing groups. $P$ values less than 0.05 were considered significant. $^a$ $P$ value of the returning 4 girls compared with all girls at the same follow-up time. BW, body weight; HT, height.
To further understand the interdepot differences in cellularity, we examined whether differences in the function/activity at the protein level of 2 of the master regulators in lipolysis, ATGL and HSL, would emerge between the 2 depots and whether the phosphorylation of these key proteins would be correlated with adipocyte size. To this end, we compared the protein expression level of p-ATGL and p-HSL in SAT and omVAT. In particular, we found a significant increase in p-ATGL in omVAT compared with SAT (P < 0.01) and a trend of increasing HSL phosphorylation, which did not reach statistical significance (Figure 5, A–C). Surprisingly, in SAT p-ATGL levels significantly correlated with cell diameter (r = 0.742, P = 0.042) but not in omVAT (Figure 5D), and notably, both SAT and omVAT p-ATGL strongly correlated with HOMA-IR (Figure 5E). No significant correlations were observed between p-HSL and cells’ peak diameter or HOMA-IR in both omVAT and SAT (data not shown). Although phosphorylation of ATGL would suggest greater lipolytic activity of omVAT, the fact that p-ATGL significantly correlated with SAT cell size diameter and HOMA-IR supports the idea of SAT having a primary role in the development of insulin resistance, at least during adolescence.

Differential transcriptomic profiles between omVAT and SAT depots. The use of both RNA-Seq and real-time PCR allowed further understanding of the interdepot differences at the molecular level by assessing potential differences in key pathways essential to regulation of lipolysis/adipogenesis, browning/beiging, and inflammation.

Although no significant differences between the 2 depots emerged regarding the regulatory genes for lipolysis and adipogenesis, we found differences in the phosphorylation of ATGL in omVAT compared with SAT, consistent with greater lipolytic activity of the omVAT depot (50–52), even at this stage.
Despite the general thinking that the amount of brown adipose tissue (BAT) declines right after birth, several studies demonstrated the presence of brown-like adipocytes in white adipose tissue (WAT) also in kids and adults (53–55). Besides BAT, white adipocytes are also reported to be able to “convert” to brown-like cells in response to appropriate stimuli, such as different hormones and cold exposure (56, 57). However, the depot-specific expression of browning genes in human WAT is still poorly investigated. Therefore, of particular interest are the differences in the genes regulating the browning/beiging and inflammation in these 2 depots (see Figure 4). First, we found that the markers of genes regulating the browning of WAT were significantly upregulated in omVAT compared with SAT (Figure 4, A–C).

In accordance with our data, other studies showed that in adults omVAT did exhibit a distinct increase in UCP1 gene expression compared with SAT (58, 59). However, gene expression of other browning/beiging markers in human WAT obtained from adolescents with SO has not yet been described. Moreover, the higher expression of PGC1A, TBX1, and EBF2 was found to be related inversely and strongly to the omVAT cell diameter. In other words, the larger the cells in omVAT, the lower the level of gene expression (Figure 4). These findings would suggest that there might be a relation between cell size in omVAT and the activity of browning in this depot. At this point, however, this statement is purely speculative because we have no data on whether the activation of the browning process in the omVAT depot was present, as it was not assessed.

In contrast, in SAT, we found no evidence at baseline for the browning/beiging markers.

As for the genes regulating inflammation, we found that CD68 as well as many of the proinflammatory genes were upregulated in SAT as opposed to omVAT. These differences were seen by RNA-Seq.
and confirmed by RT-PCR. Consistent with studies from Leibel et al. in obese adolescents (60), our data showed a similar trend but more robust reduction of CD68 and other proinflammatory markers in the SAT depot. However, these data are in contrast with those reported in adults (49). Several papers reported that in a population of obese adults, visceral adipose tissue exhibits an evident increase of infiltrating macrophages as well as an elevated expression and secretion of proinflammatory cytokines (such as IL6 and MCP1) compared with SAT (49, 61–64). These differences in omVAT/SAT inflammation profiles between obese youths and adults support the idea that adolescence and adulthood are 2 metabolically different stages of human life.

**Figure 8. Upregulation of browning genes in SAT after weight loss.** Validation by real-time PCR of RNA-Seq results of a panel of genes involved in (A) browning of white adipose tissue and (B) mitochondrial markers (n = 4). (C) Representative immunofluorescence staining shows an increase of CIDEA in SAT adipose tissue after weight loss (follow-up biopsy) compared with the baseline biopsy. Scale bar: 50 μm. Error bars represent mean ± SEM. Gene expression data were compared using paired Student’s t test. P values less than 0.05 were considered significant.

Effects of SG-induced weight loss on cell size and gene expressions from SAT. All subjects lost a substantial amount of fat mass after the first 6 months following SG. Thereafter, the weight remained stable until the end of the study. As expected, insulin sensitivity also improved significantly in parallel with resolution of prediabetes and T2D. These remarkable clinical and metabolic improvements are very similar to those described in the young subjects from the Teen-LABS (18, 65).

The novelty of the current study relies on the mechanisms causing the observed changes in metabolism. First, we found a profound change in the cell size of the SAT of these girls after weight loss. Second, the lipolytic activity as measured by Western blotting showed a decrease in ATGL phosphorylation but an increase in PLIN1 phosphorylation. At baseline, PLIN1 was expressed and phosphorylated at very low levels in SAT adipocytes. Therefore, the lipolysis was regulated almost exclusively by ATGL phosphorylation.
On the contrary, after weight loss, PLIN1 was reexpressed and phosphorylated. It was therefore able to lead lipolysis in combination with ATGL (which almost did not change in phosphorylation level after weight loss) (see Figure 9). The increase in total PLIN1 expression after weight loss that we observed in our cohort was in accordance with previous observations in SAT obtained from adults (64, 66–68).

Perhaps the most novel findings are the induction of the gene markers related to browning/beiging of WAT (see Figure 8) and the downregulation of the inflammatory genes in SAT after weight loss (see Figure 7).

The downregulation of inflammatory genes in SAT after weight loss that we observed in our cohort of adolescent girls is in accordance with the observation of other groups that showed a reduction in inflammatory markers, such as CD68 and MCP1, after surgery or after caloric restriction (65, 69–71). In contrast, others described a reduction or even no significant changes in IL6, TNF, or IL18 after weight loss (72, 73).

Similar disagreement was observed in relation to the overexpression of gene markers of the browning of WAT in SAT induced after weight loss. In fact, although some groups confirmed the presence of beige adipocytes in SAT after weight loss (74), others showed a reduction in key genes associated with the browning process (70).

A number of studies in mice have shown that activation of browning/beiging of WAT facilitates weight loss, ameliorates insulin resistance, and corrects hyperlipidemia in the obese state (75–78). Other studies in mice have demonstrated that browning/beiging is induced by caloric restriction, mediated mainly through IL-4 and other M2-polarized macrophages’ cytokine signaling (79–81). It is also important to highlight that Zuriaga et al. clearly describe an inverse pattern of browning gene expression between mice and humans, suggesting that extrapolation of data from mice on adipose biology to humans should be done with some caution (82). Consistent with other clinical studies done in human adults, our findings showed an increase of browning/beiging markers in omVAT that led to an improvement of oxidative metabolism and decrease of body fat mass (75, 82, 83). However, in our cohort, the browning activation happened at a much younger age. These opposite changes in the regulatory browning genes and inflammatory genes would suggest modulatory effects on the changes in insulin sensitivity.

Our finding showing the induction of browning/beiging in SAT after SG-induced weight loss is in contrast to findings reported in adults after caloric restriction (84). A recent study in a large cohort of adults who were obese showed that caloric restriction and diet-induced weight loss diminishes browning features in SAT and that diet-induced changes in body fat are independent of subcutaneous abdominal WAT browning (84). The result and conclusion of the lack of activation of browning in the SAT depot induced by diet-induced weight loss cannot be extrapolated to bariatric surgery–induced weight loss. Indeed, several studies recently reported bariatric surgery–induced BAT activation and WAT browning in the neck (85–88),
suggesting perhaps that activation of WAT browning may be among one of the many potential mechanisms by which bariatric surgery causes weight loss.

Our study limitations are due to the small number of subjects undergoing SG and consenting to have a repeated follow-up biopsy. Moreover, the limited amount of tissue collected during the biopsies limited our ability to perform further functional assays/experiments to assess browning activity and in vivo/vitro lipolysis assays. We were able to measure only the mRNA expression of the pro-/antiinflammatory markers in this study, which could be different from protein secretion, although in the literature it was suggested that mRNA of adiponectin and IL-6 correlates well with the protein secretion (89–92). Furthermore, in our study, we did not have paired omental SAT biopsies from a non–caloric-restricted group. Also, we did not have a non–surgically treated group (weight loss induced by caloric restriction only) to identify whether the observed metabolic changes were due to caloric restriction or SG.

In conclusion, this study demonstrates that in adolescent girls with SO there are radical differences in the cellular and transcriptomic profiles of paired biopsy samples from the omVAT and SAT depots. We were able to identify in the omVAT a specific profile consisting of the increase of browning/beiging markers and decrease of proinflammatory markers. Of note, following weight loss, the SAT depot cellular and transcriptomic profile changed profoundly and was associated with a reversal in insulin resistance.

Methods
Study design and subject characteristics. From The Yale Study of Body Fat Patterning in Obese Adolescents, we recruited 10 girls with class 2/3 obesity (7) who elected to have bariatric surgery as a weight loss intervention. These 10 obese female patients were between 16 and 22 years old (7 adolescents and 3 young adults), underwent SG, and agreed to have a paired subcutaneous periumbilical (SAT) and visceral-omental (omVAT) adipose tissue biopsy on the same day of the surgery procedure at Yale done by our pediatric bariatric surgeon. Body weight and metabolic/clinical parameters were monitored during follow-up visits.
in 9 patients; 1 patient left the study/follow-up after surgery for personal reasons. Four patients consented to have a second SAT biopsy after weight stabilization following weight loss. The follow-up biopsies were performed at Yale Center for Clinical Investigation-Hospital Research Unit (YCCI-HRU) after administration of local anesthesia (lidocaine without adrenaline/epinephrine).

The clinical characteristics of enrolled subjects are described in Table 1.  

**Analytical methods.** Plasma glucose levels were measured using the Yellow Springs Instruments 2700 STAT Analyzer, and lipid levels were measured using an autoanalyzer (747-200; Roche-Hitachi). Plasma insulin, adiponectin, and leptin levels were measured using radioimmunoassay (Linco).

**Adipocyte size measurement.** Samples of abdominal subcutaneous and visceral-omental adipose tissue were collected during SG surgery. Two 20- to 30-μg samples were immediately used for adipose cell size distribution analysis by osmium tetroxide fixation (Multisizer 4; Beckman Coulter). We performed a curve-fitting analysis technique as previously described (27). We calculated the “peak diameter” of the large adipocytes, defined as the mean diameter that showed the highest frequency of the large cell population. In addition to determining the peak diameter of the large adipose cells as described, we calculated the “% of adipose cells above” (percentage of large cells) and “% below” (percentage of small cells) the nadir.

**Real-time PCR.** Fat tissues were homogenized into QIAzol Lysis Reagent (QIAGEN Inc.). Total RNA was isolated using RNeasy Mini Kit (QIAGEN Inc.) and reverse-transcribed to cDNA using High-Capacity cDNA Reverse Transcription Kit (Applied Biosystems, Thermo Fisher Scientific). Real-time PCR was performed using SYBR Green master mix (Bio-Rad) on an Applied Biosystems 7500 Fast Real-Time PCR System (Thermo Fisher Scientific). The expression of each gene was normalized to the expression of the housekeeping gene TATA-binding protein. All reactions were performed in triplicate. The relative expression levels of each transcript were calculated using the 2^ΔΔCt method and values were expressed in AU. The list of all primers used is in Supplemental Table 1.

**RNA next-generation sequencing and analysis.** The total RNA isolated from SAT and omVAT depots was measured using an Agilent 2200 Bioanalyzer to evaluate quality and quantity. RNAs with RNA integrity number greater than 8.0 were used to construct the cDNA library, and sequencing was subsequently performed with Illumina HiSeq 4000.

The sequencing reads for each of the samples were aligned to the GRCh38 human reference genome using HISAT2 (93). Then, gene-level read counts were generated using the featureCounts function of Rsubread (94), based on annotations from the ENCODE v27 GTF file. Differential gene expression was performed using DESeq2 (95). The DESeq2 analysis results were submitted to the IPA software (QIAGEN Inc.) (96), and a core analysis was used to perform pathway enrichment analysis on the differentially expressed genes. RNA-Seq data will be deposited into the National Center for Biotechnology Information’s Gene Expression Omnibus, accession GSE145284.

**Western blotting.** Protein extraction from fat tissues was performed using RIPA buffer supplemented with phosphatase (PhosSTOP, Roche) and protease (cOmplete MINI, Roche) inhibitors, and protein content was quantified using BCA assay (Pierce, Thermo Fisher Scientific). After denaturation with heat and β-mercaptoethanol, an equal amount of proteins was run in 4%–12% Tris-Glycine Gel (Novex, Invitrogen, Thermo Fisher Scientific). Proteins were transferred to PVDF membranes (MilliporeSigma) by semidy transfer and blocked with 3% BSA. Membranes were blotted overnight at 4°C with specific primary antibodies. Actin (catalog 4967), ATGL (catalog 2138), HSL (catalog 4107), p-Ser660 HSL (catalog 4126), and perilipin 1 (catalog 3467) antibodies were from Cell Signaling Technology; p-Ser406 ATGL (catalog ab135093) antibody was from Abcam, and p-Ser522 perilipin 1 (catalog 4856) antibody was from VALA Sciences. Membranes were washed in TBS-Tween (TBS-T) 3 times and then incubated 1 hour with HRP-conjugated secondary antibody (catalog 7076 and catalog 7074, Cell Signaling Technology). After 3 washings in TBS-T, the specific band was visualized using enhanced ECL chemiluminescence substrate (Pierce, Thermo Fisher Scientific). Films were developed within the linear dynamic range of signal intensity and then scanned. The intensity of the bands was measured using ImageJ software (NIH).

Because of the limited amount of SAT tissue collected, the protein expression assay was performed on n = 8 SAT tissues collected during the SG.

**Immunofluorescence and IHC.** Adipose tissue biopsy samples were used for immunofluorescence staining for browning markers (UCP-1, R&D Systems, Bio-Techne MAB6158; CIDEA-FITC, Bioss bs-7649R). Formalin-fixed and paraffin-embedded tissue blocks were deparaffinized and rehydrated. Sections were rinsed in PBS before epitope retrieval with 10 mM citrate buffer (pH 6.0). After blocking in 5% BSA at
room temperature, sections were incubated overnight at 4°C with primary antibodies. After rinsing in PBS-Tween, sections were incubated with specific fluorescent secondary antibodies conjugated to Alexa Fluor 488 (catalog A-11001, Invitrogen, Thermo Fisher Scientific) and mounted with Prolong Antifade mounting medium with DAPI (Invitrogen, Thermo Fisher Scientific). Sections stained with secondary antibody while omitting the primary antibody served as negative controls. Images were acquired using a Leica SP5 confocal microscope using the following settings: blue fluorescence: 405 laser power = 16%, gain = 1041; green fluorescence: 488 laser power = 20%, gain = 30; all images were acquired using the same pixel dwell time settings = 721 ns.

IHC staining was performed using a standard protocol on sections from formalin-fixed, paraffin-embedded tissue blocks. Briefly, sections were deparaffinized, rehydrated, and treated with 10 mmol/L citrate buffer (pH 6.0) in a steamer, and then endogenous peroxidase was blocked with 3% H$_2$O$_2$. The sections were then incubated for 1 hour at room temperature with primary antibodies, mouse monoclonal anti-CD68 (Ab-3 clone KP1; Thermo Fisher Scientific). After rinsing in Tris-buffered saline solution containing 0.25% Triton X-100 (pH 7.2), sections were incubated with ENVISION+ (K4007 or K4011; DAKO), followed by visualization with 3.39-diaminobenzidine tetrachloride (DAKO). All sections were counterstained with GILL III hematoxylin, dehydrated, and coverslipped with a resinous mounting medium. Images were acquired using Aperio digital whole-slide scanner and representative images were presented.

**Statistics.** Before analysis, the data were tested for normality. When appropriate, log-transformed data were tested with 2-tailed Student's $t$ test. Weighted means for adipocytes were calculated for adipose cell size of the abdominal and visceral fat. Wilcoxon’s matched-pairs signed-rank test was applied, unless otherwise specified. Correlation tests were performed by linear regression test and by nonparametric Spearman's correlation analysis. For all analyses a $P$ value less than 0.05 was considered statistically significant. Data are expressed as mean ± SEM. GraphPad Prism 8.2 was used for all statistical analysis.

**Study approval.** The nature and potential risks of the study were explained to all subjects before investigators obtained subjects' written informed consent. The study was approved by the ethics committees of Yale University Hospital (HIC1109009034 and HIC1503015459) and registered on ClinicalTrials.gov (NCT02004561 and NTC02395003, respectively).
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