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ABSTRACT: Technical challenges in precision medicine and environmental remediation create an increasing demand for smart materials that can select and deliver a probe load to targets with high precision. In this context, soft nanomaterials have attracted considerable attention due to their ability to simultaneously adapt their morphology and functionality to complex ambients. Two major challenges are to precisely control this adaptability under dynamic conditions and provide predesigned functionalities that can be manipulated by external stimuli. Here, we report on the computational design of a distinctive class of soft nanocarriers, built from armored nanodroplets, able to selectively encapsulate or release a probe load under specific flow conditions. First, we describe in detail the mechanisms at play in the formation of pocket-like structures in armored nanodroplets and their stability under external flow. Then we use that knowledge to test the capacity of these pockets to yield flow-assisted encapsulation or expulsion of a probe load. Finally, the rheological properties of these nanocarriers are put into perspective with those of delivery systems employed in pharmaceutical and cosmetic technology.

KEYWORDS: smart materials, nanocarrier, Pickering emulsions, flow-assisted encapsulation, dissipative particle dynamics

Considerable attention has been devoted to the design, characterization, and development of nanocarriers built on smart materials due to their potential in targeted-oriented active molecule delivery in biological environments and their active role in environmental remediation strategies. This includes soft smart material and soft robotic technology platforms that can be sufficiently miniaturized and demonstrate flexible and adaptive architecture in complex ambients. Materials that fall into this category include but are not limited to structured liquids, shape-memory alloys and polymers, stimulus-responsive hydrogels, and carbon nanotubes.

The morphological and physicochemical properties of soft nanomaterials provide advantages that enable the design of autonomous nanoscale devices that can more closely mimic or interact with biological structures with stimulus-responsive characteristics. In this context, emulsions stabilized with nanoparticles (NPs), also known as Pickering emulsions, offer real advantages in a wide range of fundamental or industrial and medical applications. They can serve as a template for autonomous platforms that are able to change their shape and adopt different functionalities as a result of morphological transformation obtained via interfacial self-assembly and cross-linking, dynamical control of the NP distribution with electric or magnetic fields, or dynamical control of the fluidic environment, among other techniques.

NP-coated droplets have been intensively used as drug-delivery vehicles in topical medication, where their surfactant-free character makes them attractive for different applications since surfactants often produce adverse effects, such as irritation and hemolytic disturbances. They can serve as ideal compartments for reactions catalyzed by NPs attached at the oil–water interfaces and can be used in bacterial recognition technologies. Another important and useful advantage of NP-coated droplets over conventional...
surfactant-stabilized systems is their enhanced stabilization against coalescence and their smaller environmental footprint.

While tremendous progress has been made in highly controlled particle-based microfluidic technology and NP assembly at fluid interfaces, the inherent limitation in experimental resolution eludes direct access to local observables, such as the particles’ three-phase contact angle distribution and the details of the particles’ interfacial network, which present complex geometries. This information can be accessed by numerical simulations.

Predicting and controlling this interfacial arrangement under external stimuli to advance the design of the next generation of smart nanocarriers, incorporating soft-matter architectures for precision medicine, is even more challenging.

The computational design of a distinctive class of soft nanocarriers inspired by Pickering nanoemulsion systems, which can have potential in targeted-oriented active molecule encapsulation and release under specific flow conditions. Those conditions are consistent with the high-shear regime of spreading topical medication on the skin and the transport of targeted carriers in pathological alterations of the vascular system, both of them being successfully reproduced in microfluidic devices.

Dissipative particle dynamics (DPD) is employed as a mesoscopic simulation method with two aims: (1) to describe in detail the formation of pocket-like structures in NP-coated nanodroplets and their stability under specific flow conditions and then (2) to test the capacity of the formed pockets to encapsulate or expel a probe load.

Water nanodroplets coated with spherical NPs with different diameters and immersed in an organic solvent are considered. The coating is formed by Janus NPs, whose initial three-phase contact angles result in maximum adsorption energy at the fluid−fluid interface. We first

Figure 1. Formation of pocket-like structures. (a) Simulation snapshots representing the initial (left) and final (center) water in oil droplets armored with different nanoparticle surface coverages, obtained from the evaporation process: uniformly covered droplets with small NPs (UCₜ) and heterogeneously covered droplets with either each hemisphere covered with small or large NPs (HCₜ) or three distinct layers made of small—large—small NPs (HC₂). The cross-sectional view of each system is also shown (right). Cyan and purple spheres represent the small and large Janus NPs, respectively. The detailed structure of the NPs is shown in Figure S1a in the SI. Pink spheres represent water beads. The oil molecules surrounding the system are not shown for clarity. (b) Evolution of the radius of gyration, $R_{GYR}$, of UCₜ, UCₗ, HC₁, and HC₂, as a function of the dimensionless parameter $\Delta N_W = N_W/N_W^0$. $N_W$ represents the number of water beads that remain in the droplet after each removal, and $N_W^0$ is the initial number of water beads. The statistical errors are estimated as one standard deviation from the average obtained for equilibrated trajectories and are always smaller than the symbols. The dashed lines represent the spherical-shrinking regime defined as $R_{GYR} \approx (\Delta N_W)^{1/3}$. (c) Three-phase contact angle distribution of small (blue) and large (red) NPs for HC₁ after the last pumping/equilibration iteration ($\Delta N_W \approx 0.35$). (d) Evolution of the radial distribution function, $g(r)$, with $r$ the distance between the center of the NPs, of small (blue) and large (red) NPs for HC₁ (b) and HC₂ (c).
characterize the interfacial properties of the system (three-phase contact angles and diffusion coefficient of the individual NPs, decrease of interfacial tension). We study the role of the NP surface coverage and the interfacial mechanisms at play in the surface mechanical instabilities responsible for the deformation of the armored nanodroplets, when the volume of the droplet is reduced. In particular, we observe in detail the formation of crater-like depressions with selective geometry, which can structurally favor the loading of a probe load. We then investigate the dynamical response of these pocket-like structures subjected to homogeneous shear flow using nonequilibrium simulations and the SLLOD equations of motion. Under specific conditions, we observe the formation of long-lived anisotropic structures, characteristic of a jammed particle coverage at the liquid–liquid interface. Furthermore, we examine the capacity of the system to control the flow-assisted encapsulation or expulsion of a probe load, which depends on the interplay between NP surface coverage, the level of buckling, and the shear flow conditions. Finally, we discuss the plausibility of applying the latter predictions to systems in the micron scale, of interest but not limited to pharmaceutical and cosmetic technology.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

System Characteristics. We first give the characteristics and assess the interfacial properties of the NP-coated droplets considered in this work. In Figure 1a we show representative snapshots of the water emulsion nanodroplets in an organic solvent (decane) stabilized with Janus NPs. The scaled temperature in the DPD framework is equivalent to 298.73 K. The details of the numerical parametrization and NP structures are given in the Methods section and the Supporting Information (SI). The configurations differ by the size of the NPs and the characteristics of the surface coverage. We consider small (S) and large (L) NPs with diameters $d_S \approx 2.2$ nm and $d_L \approx 4.5$ nm, whose diffusion coefficients measured on a planar decane/water interface are $D_S \approx (4.7 \pm 3.1) \times 10^{-7}$ cm$^2$ s$^{-1}$ and $D_L \approx (1.8 \pm 0.7) \times 10^{-7}$ cm$^2$ s$^{-1}$, respectively (see Methods and Figure S1c in the SI).

The NPs are originally located at the surface of the emulsion nanodroplets of diameter $d_0 \approx 45$ nm. Similar NP surface coverage $\phi \approx 0.8$ is considered on the armored nanodroplets. This yields similar initial three-phase contact angles $\theta_S \approx 84.1 \pm 2.7^\circ$ and $\theta_L \approx 86.8 \pm 1.1^\circ$ for the small and large NPs, respectively (see Methods and Figure S1b in the SI), in qualitative agreement with simulations and experimental observations. From the error bars estimated, it is observed that the small NPs are more sensitive to thermal fluctuations at the interface compared to the large ones, characteristic of the increase of the adsorption energy with the particle radius. We also measure the decrease of the interfacial tension, $\Delta \gamma_S$ and $\Delta \gamma_L$, for small and large NPs at planar interfaces for similar NP surface coverage (see Methods). We obtain $\Delta \gamma_S = \gamma_0 - \gamma_S \approx 5.1$ mN m$^{-1}$ and $\Delta \gamma_L = \gamma_0 - \gamma_L \approx 2.2$ mN m$^{-1}$, with $\gamma_0 \approx 51.7$ mN m$^{-1}$ being the interfacial tension for a planar decane/water interface, and $\gamma_S$ and $\gamma_L$ the interfacial tension when the interface is covered with small or large NPs, respectively. In particular, large NPs have less effect on the reduction of the interfacial tension and are less diffusive than smaller ones, in qualitative agreement with simulations and experimental observations.

As we will see in the following sections, the difference in mobility and size of the NPs play a key role in the pocket formation.

Formation of Pocket-like Structures. To obtain the desired pocket-like morphology at the surface of the nanodroplets, which would eventually favor the loading of a probe load, the volume of the droplets is systematically reduced, by iteratively pumping a small constant proportion of water molecules out of the droplets and letting the systems equilibrate between each iteration (see details in the SI). The systems present dimples and cups at the droplet interface followed by the formation of crater-like depressions, characteristic of the buckling instability. This process is physically equivalent to a process of solubilization of the dispersed phase into the solvent. We arbitrarily stop the pumping when the number of water molecules constituting the droplets reaches the value $\Delta N_W = N_W^0/N_W^f \approx 0.35$, where $N_W^0$ and $N_W$ are the initial number of water beads and the number of water beads remaining in the droplets, respectively.

In Figure 1b, we show the evolution of the radius of gyration of the emulsion nanodroplets, $R_{GVR}$, as a function of the dimensionless parameter $\Delta N_W$. We initially consider spherical droplets whose surface is either uniformly covered (UC) with NPs of identical diameter or heterogeneously covered (HC) with NPs of different diameters segregated on each side. Specifically, UC$S$ (respectively UC$L$) is solely covered with small (respectively large) NPs, as shown in Figure 1a. HC$S$ and HC$L$ have each hemisphere covered with small and large NPs or three distinct layers made of small–large–small NPs, respectively (cf. Figure 1a). When $\Delta N_W > 0.75$, the radius of gyration of the four systems follows a similar evolution, regardless of the NP coverage (UC or HC), characteristic of a spherical-shrinking regime, $R_{GVR} \approx (\Delta N_W)^{1/3}$ (dashed line in Figure 1b). When $\Delta N_W < 0.75$, the systems follow different transitions from spherical shrinking to buckling, depending on the characteristics of the NP interfacial packing originating from the difference in surface coverage. This transition happens when the NP monolayer becomes close to its maximum packing, as observed with the evolution of the radial distribution function $g(r)$, with $r$ being the distance between the center of the NPs, shown in Figure 1d and Figures S2 in the SI. The radial distribution function (rdf) has been intensively used to study the evolution of the ordering of NP aggregates in Pickering emulsions, consistent with experimental observations. In particular, UC$S$ and UC$L$ show different morphological evolutions when $\Delta N_W$ decreases, with UC$S$ entering the buckling regime at larger $\Delta N_W$ than UC$L$, in qualitative agreement with the numerical work of Gu et al. Finally, below $\Delta N_W \approx 0.45$, $R_{GVR}$ increases as the droplets can be described as half-sphered.

The structures of the armored nanodroplets obtained after the last pumping/equilibration iteration are shown in Figure 1a (central panel). Visual inspection shows different folding morphologies, depending on the characteristics of the NP coverage. Unlike UC, where crater-like depressions form evenly at the interface under the effect of a compressive surface stress, we observe the formation of well-localized crater-like depressions in heterogeneously covered systems (HC$S$ or HC$L$). This latter evolution depends on the localization of the interfacial areas covered with small or large NPs. Notably, we observe the crater-like depressions form in the interfacial areas covered with the smallest NPs, where maximum packing of the interfacial network is achieved quicker and the interfacial tension is lower than those measured for larger NPs.
Table 1. Measure of the Mean (μ) and Standard Error (σ) of the Three-Phase Contact Angle Distribution in UC and HC Droplets in the Initial (ΔN_w ≈ 1.0) and Final (ΔN_w ≈ 0.35) Configurations

| ΔN_w | UC_s | UC_l | HC_1 | HC_2 |
|------|------|------|------|------|
| 1.0  | 84.1 ± 2.7° | 86.8 ± 1.1 | 84.1 ± 2.7° | 84.1 ± 2.7° |
| 0.35 | 82.9 ± 5.9° | 83.6 ± 9.9° | 82.8 ± 6.0° | 82.4 ± 6.4° |

Figure 2. Dynamical response under shear flow. (a) Temporal evolution of the velocity center of mass V_COM and the relative shape anisotropy κ² of HC_1 subjected to shear flow and after abrupt shear cessation for three different values of the shear rate ̇ε. The shear flow is continuously applied for a time duration Δt ≈ 0.6 μs before it is abruptly stopped and the structure relaxes for another Δt ≈ 0.6 μs. (b) Representative snapshots of the armored nanodroplets obtained just before the flow cessation (t ≈ 0.6 μs) and at the end of the simulation (t ≈ 1.2 μs). Cyan and purple spheres represent the small and large Janus NPs, respectively. The detailed structure of the NPs is shown in Figure S1a in the SI. Pink spheres represent water beads. The oil molecules surrounding the system are not shown for clarity. (c) Radial distribution function, g_s(r) and g_l(r), with r being the distance between the center of the NPs, of small (blue) and large (red) NPs for HC_1. The corresponding radial distribution functions measured before the shear flow is applied (HC1) are shown in black color for comparison.

The properties of the interfacial layers are quantitatively assessed via the analysis of the distribution of the three-phase contact angles, θ^S and θ^L, of small and large NPs, respectively. As shown in Figure S1b in the SI, θ^S and θ^L follow Gaussian distributions in the initial configurations (ΔN_w ≈ 1), where the shape of the droplets is spherical. When the volume of UC_s and UC_l is reduced, θ^S and θ^L uniformly evolve from Gaussian to skewed unimodal distributions, in line with previous work. The values of the respective means, μ^S and μ^L, and standard deviations, σ^S and σ^L, for small and large NPs, respectively, are shown in Table 1. Whereas the contact angle distributions show a single peak centered at the same value as the one measured for the initial configuration, σ^S and σ^L show significant variations when the volume of the droplets is reduced, characteristic of the skewness of the distribution and the decrease of the NP–NP distance (cf. Figure S2 in the SI). When the volume of HC_1 and HC_2 is reduced, on the other hand, we observe significant differences in the evolution of the distributions of θ^S and θ^L, due to the heterogeneity in NP size and surface coverage. In particular, the distribution of θ^S is similar to the one measured in the initial configuration, while the distribution of θ^L shows large variability, similar to the one measured in UC_s during the buckling transition, originating from the difference in packing of the monolayer at the droplet interface, as shown in Figure 1c.

From the analysis above, we showed that the formation of well-localized pocket-like structures at the surface of the NP-coated droplets can be selectively controlled via the
coated droplets. Depressions that can form in each hemisphere of the NP-droplets. The characteristic of the NP interfacial layer can be observed to be primarily controlled by the reorganization of the interfacial layer. The system is subjected to shear flow at a shear rate, \( \dot{\epsilon} \). We focus our analysis on the parameter \( \alpha = 1, 1.5, 2 \). The length of the simulation is chosen to be sufficiently long for the velocity center of mass of the droplet, \( V_{COM} \), to level off to a plateau whose value matches that obtained from the stationary velocity profile of laminar flow, \( V_{COM} = \dot{\epsilon} \times L_y/2 \), with \( L_y \approx 77 \) nm, the size of the simulation box along the y-axis. When the flow is abruptly halted, the dynamical stability of the droplet is studied for a time duration \( \Delta t \approx 0.6 \) ms.

Figure 3. Encapsulation and release of the probe load. (a) Representative snapshots of the structural morphology of HC1 preliminary loaded with small (S) and large (L) hydrophobic spherical solute of diameter \( d_s \approx 7.7 \) nm (top panel) and \( d_s \approx 15.4 \) nm (bottom panel), respectively, at different simulation stages. Cyan and purple spheres represent the small and large Janus NPs, respectively. The detailed structure of the NPs is shown in Figure S1a in the SI. Pink and gold spheres represent water and solute beads. The oil molecules surrounding the system are not shown for clarity. (b) Representative temporal evolution of the relative shape anisotropy, \( \kappa^2 \), of HC1 loaded with small and large solute, subjected to shear flow (\( \dot{\epsilon} = 2 \times \dot{\epsilon}_0 \)) and after abrupt shear cessation. The shear flow is continuously applied for a time duration \( \Delta t \approx 0.6 \) ms before it is abruptly stopped and the structure relaxes for another \( \Delta t \approx 0.6 \) ms. The evolution of \( \kappa^2 \) for the free system is shown for comparison.
the droplet interface, as shown with the gradual decrease of its first peak associated with the first coordination sphere, eventually recovering the distribution observed in the initial spherical configuration shown in Figure 1d.

From the nonequilibrium simulations performed above, we showed the ability of the NP interfacial layer to control the structural evolution and dynamical stability of the armored nanodroplets under the application of external homogeneous shear flow of the surrounding fluid. Whereas the formation of the pocket-like structures was essentially driven by the reorganization of the small NPs at the liquid/liquid interface, the dynamical evolution under shear flow appears to be driven by steric effects with the local reorganization of the large NPs forming the jammed NP coverage at the droplet interface, which can be selectively tuned with the intensity of the shear rate. These results suggest that the morphology of the pocket-like armored droplet could be dynamically adjusted to accommodate probe loads of various sizes, as we investigate in the following.

**Encapsulation and Release of Probe Load.** Our results so far allow us to address our second aim of investigating the dynamical response of the system under shear stress, when the buckled armored nanodroplet is first loaded with a probe load, as shown in Figure 3a. We determine the ability of HC1, to lead to the encapsulation or release of the solutes under flow conditions identical to those studied in the free configuration. We consider the largest shear rate, \( \dot{\varepsilon} = 2 \times 10^4 \text{ s}^{-1} \), which shows the strongest morphological deformation of the system, as shown in Figure 2a (right panel). Two spherical hydrophobic solutes are considered: one small \((S_S)\) with radius \( r_S \approx 4 \text{ nm} \) and one large \((S_L)\) with a radius \( r_L \approx 8 \text{ nm} \), respectively. The sizes of \( S_S \) and \( S_L \) are specifically chosen so that they can be initially loaded in the crater-like depression formed at the interface of HC1, obtained after the last removal of water (cf. Figure 1a). \( S_S \) and \( S_L \) however, differ in their ability to eventually fit or not in HC1 when the shear stress is applied. The characteristics of the spherical solutes in the DPD framework are given in the Methods section.

The system is first stressed under constant shear rate along the x-axis for a time duration \( \Delta t \approx 0.6 \text{ ms} \), sufficiently long to observe the flow-assisted encapsulation or release of the small and large solutes, respectively. The flow is then abruptly halted, and the relaxation of the system is studied for a time duration \( \Delta t \approx 0.6 \text{ ms} \). In Figure 3a we show representative snapshots of the systems loaded with the two spherical solutes, \( S_S \) and \( S_L \), at different simulation stages. When the solute is sufficiently small, the particle-laden interface folds inward under surface stress, leading to the encapsulation of the solute. When the solute is sufficiently large, however, the crater-like depression cannot accommodate the solute when the system is stressed. Therefore, \( S_L \) is progressively expelled from the pocket following the narrowing and elongation of the nanodroplet. As the flow is abruptly halted, the armored nanodroplet relaxes its structural morphology, accommodating the solute load inside the residual pocket, regardless the size of the load.

The evolution of the structural morphology of the loaded nanodroplets is quantitatively assessed with the estimation of the relative shape anisotropy, \( \kappa^2 \), as shown in Figure 3b. In particular, we compare the average value of \( \kappa^2 \) in the stationary regime, i.e., \( 0.2 \mu s \leq t \leq 0.6 \mu s \), defined as \( \langle \kappa^2 \rangle = \frac{1}{\Delta t} \int_{0}^{\Delta t} \kappa^2(t) \, dt \), along with the relative change \( \delta \kappa^2 = \kappa^2(t = 1.2 \mu s) - \kappa^2(t = 0.6 \mu s)/\kappa^2(t = 0.6 \mu s) \), measured between the beginning \( t = 0.6 \mu s \) and the end \( t = 1.2 \mu s \) of the relaxation period. As shown in Table 2, the values of \( \langle \kappa^2 \rangle \) estimated in the free and loaded configurations do not differ significantly within the standard errors, suggesting the pocket-like nanodroplet passively encapsulates or exerts the small and large solutes, respectively, under the flow conditions and solute characteristics considered in this work. When the flow is abruptly halted, on the other hand, we observe the relaxation of the system, which accommodates the solute load inside the residual pockets. During this process, the relaxation of the structural morphology of the loaded nanodroplets differs from the solute-free configuration, as quantified with the relative change \( \delta \kappa^2 \) in Table 2, in qualitative agreement with the visual inspection in Figure 3a.

The results above highlight the ability of the buckled armored droplets to dynamically adjust their morphology under external stress and adapt their response to probe loads with definite size and shape. This versatility is essential for the design of soft nanocarriers capable of adapting their physical properties when exposed to an external stimulus, such as mechanical stress, in complex ambients, as discussed in the next section.

**Perspectives in Delivery Technology.** The flow-assisted encapsulation and release of load probes in armored nanodroplets reported so far can be extended to systems of larger dimensions under conditions similar to those expected in the high-shear regime of spreading topical medication on the skin (such as creams and ointments) and the transport of targeted carriers in pathological alterations of the vascular system (such as venous or arterial thrombosis). These predictions would depend on the original dimension of the spherical droplet along with the initial NP surface coverage and the NP dimension to droplet size ratio, which would affect the surface area to volume ratio of the system and the average surface pressure of the particle-laden interface, respectively.

To extend our results, the flow properties of the system are analyzed with two essential control parameters, i.e., the Weber number \( (We) \) and the Ohnesorge number \( (Oh) \), commonly used in microfluidic and droplet formation.\(^\text{67,71}\) The Weber number, \( We = \rho_w \omega^2 d_0/\gamma \), represents the ratio of the disrupting inertial force to the restorative surface tension force, where \( \rho_w \) and \( \gamma \) are the density and the relative velocity of the ambient fluid (decane oil) and \( d_0 \) and \( \gamma \) are the diameter and the interfacial tension of the droplet, respectively. The Ohnesorge number, \( Oh = \mu_w / \sqrt{\rho_w \gamma d_0} \), represents the relative importance of the viscous force to the inertial and surface tension forces, where \( \mu_w \) and \( \rho_w \) are the dynamic viscosity and the density of the water droplet, respectively. From the calculation of \( Oh \), one can define the critical Weber number, \( We_{cr} = 12(1 + 1.5 \times Oh)^{5/3} \), which corresponds to the minimum Weber number, \( We_{cr} = 12 \).
number for a droplet to exhibit breakup modes. Given $\gamma \approx 51.7 \text{ mN/m}$ is the interfacial tension for a planar decane/water interface, $\rho_w \approx 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$ and $\mu_w \approx 726 \text{ kg/m/s}$ are the density of water and decane oil, respectively, $v \approx 50$–70 m/s is the stationary velocity of the laminar flow (cf. Figure 3a), $\mu_w \approx 8.9 \times 10^{-3} \text{ Pa.s}$ is the dynamic viscosity of water, and $d_0 \approx 40 \text{ nm}$ is the droplet diameter obtained from the measure of $R_{\text{GVR}}$ (cf. Figure 2a), we obtain $Oh \approx 0.6$, $We_C \approx 25$, and $We \approx 1.4$–2.8, indicating the armored droplets considered in the flow-assisted encapsulation and release processes are outside their breakup regime.\(^{74}\)

Now, based on the estimation of the Weber number, we extend our predictions to the high-shear regime of spreading water-in-oil/oil-in-water emulsion-based products. Given the relation $v \approx \dot{e} \times L_1$ with $L_1$ being the dimension of the system orthogonal to the flow direction, we obtain $We \approx \rho_w \dot{e}^2 L_1^2 d_0 / \gamma$. Considering the average thickness of a cream $L_1 \approx 1 \text{ cm}$ and representative shear rates $\dot{e} \approx 10^3$–$10^4 \text{ s}^{-1}$,\(^{75,76}\) we obtain the characteristic dimension of the emulsion droplet $d_0 \approx 1$–100 $\mu$m, corresponding to the minimal droplet size to observe the encapsulation or release mechanism, in agreement with the range of characteristic droplet sizes commonly used in topical pharmaceutical products.\(^{72,77}\)

Either by skin adsorption or other intake paths, targeted carriers can reach the bloodstream as required. The complexity of the flow scenarios present in the circulatory system defies the full description of the behavior of our model carrier once entering the body. However, it is possible to put our predictions into perspective with the transport of our model carrier in the vascular subsystem, in particular in the pathological flow conditions encountered in venous or arterial thrombosis.\(^{78}\) The fluid properties of the hepatic artery (representative of a large artery) in nonpathological conditions have a characteristic dimension $L_1 \approx 5 \text{ mm}$ and shear rate $\dot{e} \approx 500 \text{ s}^{-1}$.\(^{79}\) A pathological flow, on the other hand, can be defined as where the blood reaches shear rates $\dot{e} > 5000 \text{ s}^{-1}$, resulting, for example, from pathological clotting of blood within the lumen of a vessel.\(^{80}\) Considering $\rho_{\text{blood}} \approx 1060 \text{ kg/m}^3$ and $\gamma \approx 42 \text{ mN/m}$ as representative values of the average density and interfacial tension (against fluorocarbon) of the blood fluid,\(^{81}\) along with the narrowing of the pathological vessel, $L_1 \rightarrow L_1 / 2$, we obtain $d_0 \approx 500 \text{ nm}$ for the minimal droplet dimension under the condition of a hepatic artery with pathological alterations to observe the encapsulation or release mechanism. For comparison, we obtain $d_0 \approx 10 \mu$m for the minimal droplet dimension in the conditions of the normal hepatic artery, in the range of sizes characteristic of leucocytes and red blood cells.\(^{82}\)

This analysis suggests that the process of targeted delivery of active compounds (such as antithrombotic agents) can be selectively controlled with the size of the model nanocarrier, as shown in Figure 4, depending on the dimension of the compound. For instance, cargo nanodroplets of dimension $\sim 500 \text{ nm}$ would only release the therapeutic payloads they carry once they reach pathological alterations where the diameter of the artery significantly decreases, increasing, consequently, the shear rate of the surrounding fluid. Finally, the important advances in the development of microfluidics devices to closely reproduce cardiovascular systemic conditions in the presence of cells and tissues\(^ {77–89}\) depict a framework adequate to test and refine our predictions.

**CONCLUSIONS**

The numerical simulations discussed above allowed us to explore the design and dynamical stability of a distinctive class of soft nanocarriers inspired by Pickering nanoemulsions, which can have potential in targeted-oriented active molecule encapsulation and release under specific flow conditions. The success in controlling the creation of crater-like depressions on the surface of Pickering micron-sized droplets recently reported by Rozynek et al.\(^ {26}\) demonstrates the plausibility of our predictions as well as the dynamics here presented. The interplay between the evolution of the structural morphology of the armored nanodroplets and the organization of the NP interfacial network, when the volume of the system is reduced, is in qualitative agreement with experimental observation.\(^ {62}\) We showed that finite-size NPs can strongly affect the droplet shape with the formation of *pocket-like* depressions, which can structurally favor the loading of a probe load.

We then focused our analysis on the dynamical tuning of the NP distribution at the surface of the armored nanodroplets under external shear stress, which is more representative of biological atmospheres. The dynamical response of specifically designed *pocket-like* nanodroplets under different shear flow conditions exhibited the formation of long-lived anisotropic structures, characteristic of a jammed particle coverage at the liquid–liquid interface, associated with the dynamical rearrangement of the NP interfacial network. Most importantly, the ability of *pocket-like* nanodroplets to encapsulate or release spherical solute loads located inside the crater-like depression, during their transport under shear-flow conditions, was validated.

Our predictions on the flow-assisted encapsulation and release of load probes in armored nanodroplets were extended to systems in the micron scale encountered in pharmaceutical and cosmetic technologies. Noticeably, we demonstrated that the mechanism reported in our work could be at play at larger scales, such as those encountered in the high-shear regime of spreading creams and ointments on the skin and the transport of targeted carriers in pathological alterations of the vascular system. As an example, we put the physical properties of our model carrier into perspective within the conditions encountered in pathologies of the hepatic artery, where the formation of a blood clot inside the blood vessel can obstruct the flow of
blood through the circulatory system, increasing the hemodynamic shear stress and the risk of bleeding complications. In particular, hepatic artery thrombosis can be a very serious complication of liver transplantation, with mortality in children as high as 70%.83 Hence, it is essential to develop distinctive means to control the process of targeted delivery of antithrombotic agents in the vascular system.

The physical insights discussed here provide a deeper understanding on the potential role played by nanoparticle-stabilized emulsions in the biomimetic design of hybrid soft materials for targeted-oriented active load delivery. This capability would allow including the rheological properties of the system must be quantitatively assessed. Eventually, our method would allow including specific interactions inside the formed cavity in order to mimic, for example, protein binding pockets or catalytic nanosurfaces. Finer morphological changes and functionalization of the surface of the armored droplets could also be considered using pH- or electro-responsive NPs, therefore controlling their distribution and physicochemical properties at the liquid/liquid interface with external stimuli.20-23

METHODS

Mesoscopic Framework. The dissipative particle dynamics simulation method84 is implemented within the simulation package LAMMPS.85 In the DPD simulations, a particle represents a cluster of atoms rather than an individual atom. These particles interact with each other through soft-particle–particle interactions. The movement of the particle can be realized by solving the Newton equation of motion:

\[
\frac{dr_i}{dt} = v_i, \quad m_i \frac{dv_i}{dt} = F_i
\]

where \(m_i, r_i, v_i, \) and \(F_i\) denote the mass, position, velocity, and total force acting on the \(i\)th particle, respectively. The total force \(F_i\) is divided into three parts, the conservative force \((F^c_{ij})\), dissipative force \((F^D_{ij})\), and random force \((F^R_{ij})\), and defined as \(F_i = \sum_{j \neq i} (F^c_{ij} + F^D_{ij} + F^R_{ij})\) with

\[
F^c_{ij} = \sigma \left( \frac{1}{r_{ij}} - \frac{1}{\epsilon r_{ij}^6} \right) \hat{r}_{ij} \tag{2}
\]

\[
F^D_{ij} = -\Gamma \frac{\partial \phi(r_{ij})}{\partial \hat{r}_{ij}} \hat{r}_{ij} \tag{3}
\]

\[
F^R_{ij} = \sigma \sqrt{\frac{2\sigma}{3N_B}} \left( \theta_{ij} \hat{r}_{ij} - \frac{1}{r_{ij}} \right) \tag{4}
\]

where \(r_{ij} = r_i - r_j, \hat{r}_{ij} = \frac{r_i - r_j}{r_{ij}}\), and \(v_i = \dot{r}_i = \frac{dr_i}{dt}\). The weight function \(\phi(r_{ij})\) equals \((1 - r_{ij}/\epsilon)^2\) with a cutoff distance \(\epsilon\), \(\sigma\), \(\Gamma\), \(\theta_{ij}\) are the repulsive parameter, friction coefficient, noise amplitude, and Gaussian random variable, respectively. To keep the temperature of the system constant, \(\Gamma\) and \(\sigma\) satisfy the fluctuation–dissipation theorem as \(\sigma^2 = 2\Gamma k_B T\), where \(k_B\) and \(T\) are the Boltzmann and the absolute temperature, respectively.

The system simulated here is composed of water, oil (decane), NPs, and solute molecules. Following previous work80,84 we choose the degree of coarse graining \(N_c = 5\) with the understanding that one “water bead” \((w)\) represents five water molecules. Within this assumption, the volume of each bead is \(\frac{100}{N_c} \approx 150 \AA^3\). The scaled density is set to \(\rho = 3\) beads/\(\text{R}_w^3\), where \(\text{R}_w\) is the DPD cutoff distance given as \(\text{R}_w = \sqrt[3]{\frac{100}{N_c}} \approx 0.766\) nm. The scaled mass of each bead (oil, water, solute molecule, and NP beads) was set to 1. One decane molecule is modeled as two “oil beads” \((o)\) connected by one harmonic spring of length \(0.72\text{R}_w\) and spring constant \(350 k_B T/\text{R}_w^2\). The size of the triclinic simulation box (initially orthogonal) is \(L_x \times L_y \times L_z \equiv 200 \times 100 \times 100 \text{R}_w^3\), where \(L_x\) (respectively \(L_y\) and \(L_z\)) is the box length along the X (respectively Y and Z) direction. Periodic boundary conditions are applied in all three directions. The solute molecules and the NPs are modeled as hollow rigid spheres, as already described in previous work.38,44,46,54 The hydrophilic solute molecules are made of nonpolar DPD beads, whereas the NPs contain polar \((p)\) and nonpolar \((ap)\) DPD beads on their surface.87 One DPD bead was placed at the NP and solute molecule centers for convenience, as described elsewhere.73,84 All types of beads in our simulations have a reduced mass of 1. We maintain the surface bead density on the NPs and solute molecule sufficiently high to prevent other DPD beads (either decane or water) from penetrating the NPs and solute molecules.88

The interaction parameters shown in Table 3 are used here. These parameters are adjusted to reproduce selected atomistic simulation results, as explained in prior work.54 The interaction parameters between NP polar and nonpolar beads, as well as solute molecule beads, are adjusted to ensure that NPs/NPs and NPs/solute are able to assemble and disassemble without yielding permanent dimers at the water/oil interface. The scaled temperature was set to 1, equivalent to 298.73 K. The time step \(\delta t = 0.03 \times \tau\) was used to integrate the equations of motion, where \(\tau\) is the DPD time constant. As demonstrated by Groot and Rabone,86 the time constant of the simulation can be gauged by matching the simulated self-diffusion of water, \(D_{wat}\), with the experimental water self-diffusion coefficient, \(D_{wat} = 2.43 \times 10^{-5} \text{cm}^2/\text{s}\),89 calculated as \(\tau = \frac{N_D R_d^2}{D_{wat}}\), as shown in previous work.48 When \(a_{w-w} = 131.5 k_B T/\text{R}_w^2\), this results in a time step \(\delta t = 5.6\) ps.

While the DPD framework satisfies the Navier–Stokes equations in the continuum limit,84 the traditional DPD algorithm cannot reproduce the vapor–liquid coexistence of water at the droplet interface.80 This is due to the DPD conservative force, which determines the thermodynamics of the DPD system and yields the equation of state84

\[
p = \rho k_B T + a \rho^2
\]

where \(p\) is the pressure, \(\rho\) is the number density of the DPD beads, \(a\) is the repulsion strength, and \(\alpha\) is a fitting parameter equal to 0.101 ± 0.001 in DPD reduced units.84 As shown by Warren,90 the DPD system is unstable for \(a < 0\), so one is restricted to \(\alpha \geq 0\) and therefore to strictly repulsive (conservative) interactions. This implies that calculations such as the vapor–liquid coexistence and free-surface simulations cannot be attempted. This can be adjusted by considering higher order terms of the density, \(\rho\), in eq 5, i.e., making the conservative force in eq 2 density dependent.

Nonequilibrium Simulation. To simulate the response of the system subjected to a homogeneous shear flow, we employ the SLLOD algorithm45-46 coupled with the Lee–Edwards periodic boundary conditions,87 as implemented in the simulation package LAMMPS.85 The SLLOD algorithm modifies the equations of motion in eq 1 as

\[
\frac{dr}{dt} = v + \epsilon \hat{r}_{ij}
\]

Table 3. DPD Interaction Parameters Expressed in \(k_B T/\text{R}_w^2\) Units

| \(w\) | \(o\) | \(ap\) | \(p\) | \(s\) |
|------|------|------|------|------|
| 131.5 | 198.5 | 178.5 | 110 | 670 |
| 131.5 | 161.5 | 218.5 | 161.5 |
| 450 | 670 | 450 |
| 450 | 670 |
| 131.5 |

Symbols \(w, o, ap, p,\) and \(s\) stand for water beads, oil beads, NP nonpolar beads, NP polar beads, and solute beads, respectively.
\[
m_t \frac{dv}{dt} = F - m_t \epsilon_{v_{xy}} \tag{7}
\]

where \( \epsilon = dv_y/dv_x \) is the shear rate of the external flow and \( \epsilon_{v_{xy}} \) are the unit vectors along the \( x \) and \( y \) directions, respectively. The velocity of the \( i \)-th particle is divided into two parts, that is, the peculiar velocity \( v_i \) representing the random thermal motions and the shear flow velocity \( \epsilon_{v_{xy}} \) relating to the external disturbance strength. Specifically, we impose a linear velocity profile in the \( y \) direction with a constant gradient in the \( x \) direction, keeping the density of the system constant, by changing the \( x-y \) tilt factor, \( T_{xy} \), of the triclinic simulation box at a constant shear rate, \( \epsilon \), as

\[
T_{xy}(t) = T_{xy}^{(0)} + \epsilon L_x \Delta t \tag{8}
\]

In eq 8, \( T_{xy}^{(0)} \) and \( L_x \) are the initial tilt factor and the original length of the box perpendicular to the shear direction. This can be related to the shear stress of the external shear flow \( \tau_s = \mu \dot{e} \), with \( \mu \) being the dynamic viscosity of the continuous phase.

**Three-Phase Contact Angle.** To estimate the three-phase contact angle, \( \theta_{I} \), for the NPs on the droplets, we calculate the fraction of the spherical NP surface area that is wetted by water,

\[
\theta_{I} = 180 - \arccos \left( 1 - \frac{2A_w}{4\pi R^2} \right) \tag{9}
\]

where \( A_w \) is the area of the NP surface that is wetted by water and \( R \) is the radius of the NP. The ratio \( A_w/4\pi R^2 \) is obtained by dividing the number of NP surface beads (ap or p) that are wetted by water by the total number of beads on the NP surface. One surface bead is wet by water if a water bead is the solvent bead nearest to it. One standard deviation from the average is used to estimate the statistical uncertainty.

**Interfacial Tension.** The interfacial tension \( \gamma \) at the water/oil interface as a function of the NP surface coverage \( \Phi \) is calculated as

\[
\gamma = \left( \frac{p_{xx} + p_{yy}}{2} \right) \frac{L_x}{2} \tag{10}
\]

In eq 10, \( p_{ij} \) is the \( ij \)-element of the pressure tensor, \( L_x \) is the simulation box length in the \( z \) dimension, and the angular brackets denote the ensemble average.

**Self-Diffusion Coefficient.** To characterize the self-diffusion coefficient of the NPs at the water/oil interface, we estimate the mean squared displacement of a single NP adsorbed at a planar interface parallel to the \( x-y \) plane. For each particle size, the simulated diffusion coefficient is estimated according to

\[
D_{v_{xy}} = \frac{1}{4} \left( \frac{r_i(t) - r_i(0))^2}{t} \right) \tag{11}
\]

where \( r_i(t) \) is the position of particle \( i \) at time \( t \) on the plane of the interface.

**Gyration Tensor.** To measure the evolution of the structural morphology of the emulsion droplet, we estimate the principal components of the gyration tensor, \( S_{ij} \), which allow the evaluation of the overall shape of the system and reveal its symmetry. Considering the definition for the gyration tensor,

\[
\mathbf{T}_{\text{GYR}} = \frac{1}{N} \left[ \begin{array}{ccc}
\sum x_i x_i & \sum x_i y_i & \sum x_i z_i \\
\sum y_i x_i & \sum y_i y_i & \sum y_i z_i \\
\sum z_i x_i & \sum z_i y_i & \sum z_i z_i
\end{array} \right] \tag{12}
\]

where the summation is performed over \( N \) atoms and the coordinates \( x, y, \) and \( z \) are related to the geometrical center of the atoms, one can define a reference frame where \( \mathbf{T}_{\text{GYR}} \) can be diagonalized:

\[
&
\begin{bmatrix}
S_1^2 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & S_2^2 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & S_3^2
\end{bmatrix}
\]

In eq 13, we follow the convention of indexing the eigenvalues according to their magnitude, i.e., \( S_1 > S_2 > S_3 \). We define the radius of gyration \( R_{\text{GYR}} \equiv S_1^2 + S_2^2 + S_3^2 \) and the relative shape anisotropy \( k^2 = (S_2^2 + S_3^2 + S_4^2)^2 - \frac{1}{2} \), and we calculate \( R_{\text{GYR}} \) and \( k^2 \) using the centers of the water beads.

**ASSOCIATED CONTENT**

Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge at https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acsnano.1c00955.

Additional information about numerical simulation methods; system characterization (PDF)

**AUTHOR INFORMATION**

Corresponding Author

François Sicard — Department of Physics and Astronomy, University College London, WC1E 6BT London, U.K.; Department of Chemical Engineering, University College London, WC1E 7JE London, U.K.; orcid.org/0000-0003-1408-7963; Email: francois.sicard@free.fr

Author

Jhoan Toro-Mendoza — Centro de Estudios Interdisciplinarios de la Física, Instituto Venezolano de Investigaciones Científicas, Caracas 1020A, Venezuela; orcid.org/0000-0003-4916-7445

Complete contact information is available at: https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acsnano.1c00955

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

**ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

F.S. acknowledges Javier Reguera for fruitful suggestions and Alberto Striolo for useful discussions. The authors thank the anonymous reviewers for their many insightful comments and suggestions and Reginald Hardman for careful reading of the manuscript. Via our membership of the UKs HEC Materials Chemistry Consortium, which is funded by EPSRC (EP/L000202), this work used the ARCHER UK National Supercomputing Service (http://www.archer.ac.uk).

**REFERENCES**

1. Genchi, G. G.; Marino, A.; Tapeinos, C.; Ciofani, G. Smart Materials Meet Multifunctional Biomedical Devices: Current and Prospective Implications for Nanomedicine. *Front. Bioeng. Biotechnol.* 2017, 5, 80.

2. Wang, P., Ed. *Smart Materials for Advanced Environmental Applications*; Smart Materials Series; The Royal Society of Chemistry: Cambridge, UK, 2016; pp P001–252.

3. Shi, S.; Russell, T. Nanoparticle Assembly at Liquid–Liquid Interfaces: From the Nanoscale to Mesoscale. *Adv. Mater.* 2018, 30, 1–22.

4. Hu, C.; Pané, S.; Nelson, B. Soft Micro- and Nanorobotics. *Annual Review of Control, Robotics, and Autonomous Systems* 2018, 1, 53–75.
ACS Nano
(49) Rabiee, N.; Ahmadi, S.; Fatahi, Y.; Rabiee, M.; Bagherzadeh, M.; Dinavvar, R.; Bagheri, B.; Zarrintaj, P.; Saeb, M. R.; Webster, T. J. Nanotechnology-Assisted Microfluidic Systems: From Bench to Bedside. Nanomedicine 2021, 16, 237–258.

(50) Groot, R.; Warren, P. Dissipative Particle Dynamics: Bridging the Gap between Atomistic and Mesoscopic Simulation. J. Chem. Phys. 1997, 107, 4423–4435.

(51) Zhang, J.; Grzybowski, B.; Granick, S. Janus Particle Synthesis, Assembly, and Application. Langmuir 2017, 33, 6964–6977.

(52) Agrawal, G.; Agrawal, R. Janus Nanoparticles: Recent Advances in Their Interfacial and Biomedical Applications. ACS Appl. Nano Mater. 2019, 2, 1738–1757.

(53) Binks, B.; Lumsdon, S. Influence of Particle Wettability on the Type and Stability of Surfactant-Free Emulsions. Langmuir 2000, 16, 8622–8631.

(54) Lu, X.-C.; Yu, J.; Striolo, A. Nanoparticles Adsorbed at the Water/Oil Interface: Coverage and Composition Effects on Structure and Diffusion. Langmuir 2013, 29, 7221.

(55) Fan, H.; Resasco, D.; Striolo, A. Amphiphilic Silica Nanoparticles at the Decane-Water Interface: Insights from Atomistic Simulations. Langmuir 2011, 27, 5264–5274.

(56) Fan, H.; Striolo, A. Nanoparticle Effects on the Water-Oil Interfacial Tension. Phys. Rev. E 2012, 86, 051610.

(57) Arnaudov, L.; Cayre, O.; Cohen Stuart, M.; Stoyanov, S.; Paunov, V. Measuring the Three-Phase Contact Angle of Nanoparticles at Fluid Interfaces. Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys. 2010, 12, 328–331.

(58) Binks, B.; Fletcher, P. Pickering Emulsions Stabilized by Monodisperse Latex Particles: Effects of Particle Size. Langmuir 2001, 16, 21–41.

(59) Jiang, S.; Granick, S. Janus Balance of Amphiphilic Colloidal Particles. J. Chem. Phys. 2007, 127, 161102.

(60) Khedr, A.; Striolo, A. Self-Assembly of Mono- and Poly-Dispersed Nanoparticles on Emulsion Droplets: Antagonistic vs. Synergistic Effects as a Function of Particle Size. Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys. 2020, 22, 22662.

(61) Wang, D.; Yordanov, S.; Paroo, H.; Mukhopadhyay, A.; Li, C.; Butt, H.; Koynov, K. Probing Diffusion of Single Nanoparticles at Water–Oil Interfaces. Small 2011, 7, 3502–3507.

(62) Datta, S.; Shum, H.; Weitz, D. Controlled Buckling and Crumpling of Nanoparticle-Coated Droplets. Langmuir 2010, 26, 18612–18616.

(63) Gu, C.; Botto, L. Buckling vs. Particle Desorption in a Particle-Covered Drop Subject to Compensative Surface Stresses: A Simulation Study. Soft Matter 2018, 14, 711.

(64) Basavaraj, M.; Fuller, G.; Franko, J.; Vermant, J. Packing, Flipping, and Buckling Transitions in Compressed Monolayers of Ellipsoidal Latex Particles. Langmuir 2006, 22, 6605–6612.

(65) Evans, D. J.; Morriss, G. P. Non-Newtonian Molecular Dynamics. Comput. Phys. Rep. 1984, 1, 297.

(66) Evans, D.; Morriss, G. Nonlinear-Response Theory for Steady Planar Couette Flow. Phys. Rev. A: At., Mol., Opt. Phys. 1984, 30, 1528.

(67) Lees, A.; Edwards, S. F. The Computer Study of Transport Processes under Extreme Conditions. J. Phys. C: Solid State Phys. 1972, 5, 1921.

(68) Vynetal, J.; Vondrášek, J. Gyration- and Inertia-Tensor-Based Collective Coordinates for Metadynamics. Application on the Conformational Behavior of Polyalanine Peptides and Trp-Cage Folding. J. Phys. Chem. A 2011, 115, 11455–11465.

(69) Arkin, H.; Janke, W. Gyration Tensor Based Analysis of the Shapes of Polymer Chains in an Attractive Spherical Cage. J. Chem. Phys. 2013, 138, 054904.

(70) Hall, S.; Pacek, A.; Kowalski, A.; Cooke, M.; Rothman, D. The Effect of Scale and Interfacial Tension on Liquid–Liquid Dispersion in In-Line Silverson Rotor–Stator Mixers. Chem. Eng. Res. Des. 2013, 91, 2156–2168.

(71) Xu, Z.; Wang, T.; Che, Z. Droplet Deformation and Breakup in Shear Flow of Air. Phys. Fluids 2020, 32, 052109.

(72) Reas-Escalonza, N.; Williams, Y. O.; Cruz-Barrios, E.; Toro-Mendoza, J. Intertwining Roles of the Disperse Phase Properties during Emulsification. Langmuir 2018, 34, 6480–6488.

(73) Gelfand, B. Droplet Breakup Phenomena in Flows with Velocity Lag. Prog. Energy Combust. Sci. 1996, 22, 201–265.

(74) Derby, B. Inkjet Printing of Functional and Structural Materials: Fluid Property Requirements, Feature Stability, and Resolution. Annu. Rev. Mater. Res. 2010, 40, 395–414.

(75) Walicka, A.; Falicki, J.; Iwanowska-Chomiak, B. Rheology of Drugs for Topical and Transdermal Delivery. Int. J. of Applied Mechanics and Engineering 2019, 24, 179–198.

(76) Simoes, A.; Veiga, F.; Vitorino, C. Progressing towards the Sustainable Development of Cream Formulations. Pharmaceutics 2020, 12, 647.

(77) Lu, G.; Gao, P. In Handbook of Non-Invasive Drug Delivery Systems; Kulkarni, V. S., Ed.; Personal Care & Cosmetic Technology; William Andrew Publishing: Boston, USA, 2010; pp 59–94.

(78) Esmon, C. Basic Mechanisms and Pathogenesis of Venous Thrombosis. Blood Rev. 2009, 23, 225–229.

(79) Sakariassen, K.; Orning, L.; Turitto, V. The Impact of Blood Shear Rate on Arterial Thrombus Formation. Future Sci. OA 2015, 1, FSO30.

(80) Herbig, B.; Yu, X.; Diamond, S. Using Microfluidic Devices to Study Thrombosis in Pathological Blood Flows. Biomicrofluidics 2018, 12, 042201.

(81) Mottaghy, K.; Hahn, A. Interfacial Tension of Some Biological Fluids: A Comparative Study. Clin. Chem. Lab. Med. 1981, 19, 267–271.

(82) Phillips, R.; Kondov, J.; Therriot, J.; Garcia, H.; Orme, N. Physical Biology of the Cell, 2nd ed.; Garland Science, Taylor & Francis Group: New York, USA, 2013.

(83) Acharya, S. S.; Sarangi, S. N. In Lanzkowsky’s Manual of Pediatric Hematology and Oncology, 6th ed.; Lanzkowsky, P., Lipton, J. M., Fish, J. D., Eds.; Academic Press: San Diego, USA, 2016; pp 279–333.

(84) Groot, R.; Warren, P. Dissipative Particle Dynamics: Bridging the Gap between Atomistic and Mesoscopic Simulation. J. Chem. Phys. 1997, 107, 4423–4435.

(85) Plimpton, S. Fast Parallel Algorithms for Short-Range Molecular Dynamics. J. Comput. Phys. 1995, 117, 1–19.

(86) Groot, R.; Rabone, K. Mesoscopic Simulation of Cell Membrane Damage, Morphology Change and Rupture by Nonionic Surfactants. Biophys. J. 2001, 81, 725.

(87) Calvaresi, M.; Dallavalle, M.; Zerbetto, F. Wrapping Nanotubes with Micelles, Hemimicelles, and Cylindrical Micelles. Small 2009, 5, 2191–2198.

(88) Luu, X.-C.; Yu, J.; Striolo, A. Ellipsoidal Janus Nanoparticles Adsorbed at the Water-Oil Interface: Some Evidence of Emergent Behavior. J. Phys. Chem. B 2013, 117, 13922–13929.

(89) Partington, J.; Hudson, R. F.; Bagnall, K. Self-Diffusion of Aliphatic Alcohols. Nature 1952, 169, 583.

(90) Warren, P. Vapor-Liquid Coexistence in Many-Body Dissipative Particle Dynamics. Phys. Rev. E: Stat. Phys., Plasmas, Fluids, Relat. Interdiscip. Top. 2003, 68, 066702.

(91) Fan, H.; Striolo, A. Mechanistic Study of Droplets Coalescence in Pickering Emulsions. Soft Matter 2012, 8, 9533–9538.

(92) Solc, K. Shape of a Random-Flight Chain. J. Chem. Phys. 1971, 55, 335.