Molecular docking analysis of bioactive compounds from *Plectranthus amboinicus* with glucokines
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Diabetes mellitus, characterized by chronic hyperglycemia and abnormalities in the metabolism of carbohydrates, fat, and protein [1]. Diabetes mellitus has been identified as a major health issue in the world. According to the WHO, about 143 million people worldwide suffer from diabetes [2]. Diabetes is extremely prevalent and severe in developing countries, especially India. India alone has over 40 million diabetics, accounting for approximately 20% of the global diabetes population [3]. In diabetic patients, glucosidase activity is abnormal, which facilitates hypo- or hyperglycemia [4]. Along with insulin, various forms of oral hypoglycaemic agents such as biguanides and sulphonylurea were approved for the management of diabetes. While these medications can help prevent diabetic complications, these can have side effects. The treatment of diabetes mellitus without causing adverse effects continues to be a problem for the medical system. Complementary medicine, on the other hand, has increased in popularity in recent years. As a result, pharmacologists have expressed an interest in developing diabetes treatments based on medicinal plants due to their efficacy, lack of adverse effects in clinical trials, and relative low cost. Numerous indigenous Indian medicinal plants have been described as being beneficial in the effective management of diabetes, and some have been tested [5, 6]. The leaf of P. amboinicus is used medicinally for a variety of ailments, most notably coughs, stomachaches, headaches, skin infections, asthma, and urinary disorders [7]. Extracts of this plant have been shown to possess a variety of pharmacological properties, including antioxidant, antibacterial, antimicrobial, anti-inflammatory, and fungi toxic properties [8, 9&10]. Therefore, it is of interest to document the molecular docking analysis of bioactive compounds from *Plectranthus amboinicus* with protein Glucokinase.

Materials and Methods:

**Protein structure preparation:**

The crystal structures of Glucokinase (1V4S), a diabetic molecular target, have been downloaded from the PDB database (http://www.rcsb.org). The protein preparation wizard of Argus lab 4.0 (http://www.argus lab.com) was used to optimize and minimize the protein structure. The energies of the protein structure were minimized using the Argus lab Suite’s steepest descent minimizes.

**Ligands preparation:**

Thirty natural products derived from *Plectranthus amboinicus* were downloaded from the PubChem database (http://www.pubchem.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov). Then its energy form were minimized and converted to pdbqt format by Open Babel in PyRx 0.8 as ligand for virtual screening. The thirty compounds chosen for this analysis was listed in Table 1.

### Table 1: List of Selected compounds from *Plectranthus amboinicus*

| S.No | Compound Name |
|------|---------------|
| 1    | 1,2-Benzenediol 4-(1,1 dimethylethyl), CID_12290195 |
| 2    | 1-Epi-cubenol, CID_519857 |
| 3    | 2-Phenyl ethyl tiglate Structure_SID_316964912 |
| 4    | 3,7,11,15-Tetramethyl-2-hexadecen-1-ol_CID_5366244 |
| 5    | 4 1,5,7-Trihydroxyflavone (apigenin), CID_5280443 |
| 6    | 5,4′-Dihydroxy-3,7-dimethoxy flavone_CID_5318869 |
| 7    | Aromadendrene_CID_91354 |
| 8    | Carvacrol_CID_10364 |
| 9    | Chavicol_CID_68148 |
| 10   | Chrysoeriol_CID_5280666 |
| 11   | Cirsimartirin_CID_188323 |
| 12   | Durohydroquinone_CID_136346 |
| 13   | Eriodictyol_CID_440735 |
| 14   | Eugenol_CID_3314 |
| 15   | Geraniol_CID_637566 |
| 16   | Germacrene D_CID_521569 |
| 17   | Luteolin_CID_5280445 |
| 18   | p-Coumaric acid_CID_637542 |
| 19   | Rosmarinic acid_CID_5281792 |
| 20   | Rutin_CID_5280808 |
| 21   | Salvianolic acid A_CID_5281793 |
| 22   | Salvigenin_CID_161271 |
| 23   | Spathulenol_CID_92231 |
| 24   | Thymoquinone_CID_10281 |
| 25   | Trans-salvin B hydrate_CID_12315151 |
| 26   | trans-a-Bergamotene_CID_521569 |
| 27   | β-Amyrin_CID_1230659 |
| 28   | β-Cedrene epoxide_CID_9174951 |
| 29   | β-Sesquiphellandrene_CID_519764 |
| 30   | δ-3-Carene_CID_442461 |
Virtual screening of compounds from *Plectranthus amboinicus*:

After optimization, docking against natural compounds from *Plectranthus amboinicus* was performed using the PDB coordinate data. Auto DockVina was used to perform molecular docking and virtual screening through the PyRX [11, 12] interface, providing partial receptor versatility while maintaining high performance and accuracy of results.

**Table 2**: Molecular Docking Results obtained from PyRx.

| S.No | Compound Name               | Docking Score | H-bond details     |
|------|-----------------------------|---------------|--------------------|
| 1    | Rutin                       | -8.8          | ASP-78, GLY-81, SER-151, THR-228, GLY-229, SER-411, SER-441, GLU-443 |
| 2    | Salvianolic acid A_CID_5281793 | -7.6          | GLY-81, THR-82, SER-151, LYS-169, THR-228, GLY-229, SER-411, LYS-443 |
| 3    | Luteolin_CID_5280445        | -7.4          | SER-151, ASP-205, THR-228, GLY-169, LYS-169, LYS-443 |
| 4    | Salvigenin_CID_161271        | -7.3          | SER-151, LYS-169, GLU-443 |

Visualization of docked complexes:
The docked protein-ligand complexes were analyzed and visualized using Pymol molecular visualization software.

**Results and Discussion:**

Herbal medicines might continuously consider various biological processes through interactions among multiple compounds and cellular target proteins. As a result, it changes the biological networks from disease to health. Due to the fact that a group of compounds found in the herbal remedy may have a beneficial effect, the dose may be reduced to mitigate toxicity and side effects. The present study screened 30 compounds from *Plectranthus amboinicus* against the diabetes-specific target protein Glucokinase (1V4S). When compared to the other compounds, the virtual screening revealed that four compounds had the highest inhibitory activity against the target molecule. According to the docking results, Rutin, Salvianolic acid, Luteolin, and Salvigenin have the lowest docked binding energy. The average binding energies varies from - 8.8 and -7.3 kcal/mol. The optimal binding modes for the selected docked complexes were visualized by using the Pymol tool version 1.1 (Pymol Molecular Graphics System, Version 1.1). The generated images are shown in Figure 1, and the associated energy values are described in Table 2. Figure 1 shows the result of docking analysis of human glucokinase (1V4S) with Rutin it showed the good binding of the protein and ligand with ASP-78, GLY-81, SER-151, LYS-169, THR-228, GLY-229, SER-411, SER-441, and GLU-443 amino acid residues. Compared to other compounds it showed the highest docking score of -8.8 kcal/mol. Figure 1b showed the interaction between the glucokinase and Salvianolic acid. It formed nine hydrogen bonds through the amino acids of GLY-81, THR-82, SER-151, LYS-169, THR-228, GLY-229, ASP-409, SER-411 & LYS-414. This also showed very good binding to target protein docking score of -7.6 kcal/mol. Luteolin also showed efficient binding with glucokinase receptor with docking score of - 7.4 Kcal/mol. It formed the three H-bond interaction with amino acids SER-151, ASP-205 and THR-228 respectively. All these interaction were shown in Figure 1. The compounds Salvigenin formed two H bond interaction (LYS-169 and GLU-443) with glucokinase receptor and also showed the good docking score -7.3 kcal/mol. This was showed in Table 2 and can be seen in Figure 1. This interaction helped to intercalating the compound in the active site of the Target protein. Glucokinase is required for glucose homeostasis control and is expressed exclusively in liver and pancreatic beta cells. By ensuring a gradient for glucose transport through hepatocytes, regulation of hepatic glucose disposal promotes the glucokinase. Glucokinase is involved in the control of insulin release in beta cells as a result of the cell’s glucose supply. In diabetic patients, inadequate or deficient insulin disrupts carbohydrate metabolism, resulting in decreased activity of metabolic enzymes such as glucokinase, resulting in impaired glucose consumption and increased hepatic glucose output. As a result of this, we hypothesized that the selected compounds would increase glucokinase activity, thereby increasing glucose consumption and consequently lowering blood sugar levels.

**Conclusion:**

We document the molecular docking analysis of bioactive compounds (Rutin, Salvianolic acid, Luteolin, and Salvigenin) from *Plectranthus amboinicus* with protein Glucokinase for further consideration in drug discovery.
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