Influence of Calcium Carbonate and Slip Agent Addition on Linear Medium Density Polyethylene Processed by Rotational Molding
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In this paper, the influence of calcium carbonate and slip agent on linear medium density polyethylene (LMDPE) processed by rotational molding was evaluated. LMDPE was dry blended with different CaCO$_3$ masterbatch ratios, erucamide slip agent and then extruded, micronized and rotomolded. The powder samples were characterized using MFI (Melting flow index), dry flow and bulk density. The characterization of rotomolded samples properties was performed by DSC (Differential scanning calorimetry), microscopy analysis, izod impact and tensile test. The results pointed out that the CaCO$_3$ addition modifies the bulk density compared to neat LMDPE, but did not significantly affect the dry flow and MFI. The erucamide addition decreased the dry flow and increased the bulk densities. The porosity degree data showed that CaCO$_3$ addition in the LMDPE increased the quantity of pores. The DSC results showed no significant difference in the crystallinity degree. The behavior of porosity led to a decrease in the mechanical properties of LMDPE with the CaCO$_3$ addition.
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1. Introduction

Rotational molding is a method for manufacturing hollow or open plastic articles in many different shapes and sizes. Rotational molding involves powder mixing, melting, sintering, and melting solidification. One of the great advantages of rotational molding is the low cost and the simplicity of mold, because the molten polymer takes the shape of the mold under very low stresses$^{1,2}$. The principal polymer used in rotational molding is polyethylene, due to its high thermal stability and the wide range of grades developed for this process.$^{3,5}$ Hafsaoui et al.$^4$ affirm that the principal disadvantage of rotational molding is the relatively long cycle time compared to conventional techniques and they present a thermal model for simulation which permits to follow the local evolution of the temperature in various areas of rotational molding and gives a good representation of experimental data. Monzón et al.$^7$ proposed a modified mold where it was directly heated by oil. The studies with the prototype showed a reduction in cycle time (50%). Other disadvantage is the presence of air bubbles in the material that reduces the mechanical properties and the surface quality of the final product part.$^8$ Asgarpour et al.$^4$ using a three-dimension model for the densification of a powder compact during rotational molding observed that factors as power particle size and packing arrangement controlled the initial bubble size, the polymer properties (surface tension and viscosity) affect the densification rate, molding condition and polymer properties (surface tension and viscosity) influence in the bubble life time. High surface tension and temperature increase the diffusivity of gas into the polymer and decrease bubble life time.

Beyond performance, industry has a necessity for materials that increase performance and/or reduce cost of their products to become more competitive in the market. Yan et al.$^4$ mention that the rotational molding industry is progressing rapidly with growth rate of 10-20% per annum, thus research has been carried out in order to improve the process efficiency and product quality in rotational molding.

Studies related to the addition of fillers in polymers for rotational molding, such as glass fibers, natural fibers, graphite and calcium carbonate has been evaluated because it is a fast and cheap method to modify the properties of the matrix material.$^{9-13}$ López-Bañuelos et al.$^{12}$ studied the properties of agave fibers/polyethylene composites manufactured by rotational molding. They observed that 10% of fiber was an optimum fiber concentration due to the difficulty to the matrix wet all fibers during rotational molding process. The introduction of fillers in polymers, such as calcium carbonate, is increasing interest because this material has both good performance and low cost.$^{14}$ Harkin-Jones and Kanokboriboon$^{15}$ investigated the ability of nano-scale calcium carbonate (nm-CaCO$_3$) to improve the impact strength of rotationally moulded polypropylene parts. González et al.$^{15}$ evaluated the effects of coupling agents on mechanical and morphological behavior of the PP/HDPE blend with two different CaCO$_3$. Thio et al.$^{16}$ investigated the toughening of isotatic polypropylene with CaCO$_3$ particles and observed the addition of fillers (in slow tension) decrease the yield stress and increase de modulus.

Besides the addition of fillers, the distribution of fillers has a great influence on the viscoelasticity of the polymer matrix, which can be improved by the addition of dispersants.
or slip agents. These additives reduce the viscosity of the matrix and prevent the fillers from forming cluster or network, besides improving processing, the characteristics of the surface and the final properties and lifetime of polymer materials\cite{17,18}. Browning et al.\cite{18} evaluated the effects of slip agent and talc surface-treatment on the scratch behavior of thermoplastics olefins and pointed out that these additives tend to exhibit prolonged ductile drawing and increase the load require to initiate scratch visibility. Chaudhary\cite{20} used low molecular weight additives as sintering enhancers in rotational molding and they observed a reduction in melt viscosity, besides faster densification and bubble removal were also observed. Kulikov et al.\cite{21} evaluated a novel processing additives for rotational moulding of polyethylene and observed that the additives as silica fume, vinyl-silanes and stearates improve the flowability of PE micro-pellets during the rotomoulding process. The present contribution focuses on evaluate the influence of calcium carbonate with and without slip agent on the porosity and mechanical properties of the linear medium density polyethylene (LMDPE) processed by rotational molding.

2. Experimental

2.1. Materials

The resin used in this study was linear medium density polyethylene (LMDPE) MR435UV, supplied by Braskem with MFI of 4.0 g/10 min and density of 0.934 g/cm$^3$. In order to add CaCO$_3$ filler to LMDPE, firstly the CaCO$_3$ was dried in vacuum oven at 60 °C for 24h and masterbatch with the CaCO$_3$ was prepared by the Karina company in the proportion of 80% CaCO$_3$ and 20% LMDPE. The average particle size of CaCO$_3$ was 2.0 µm. The slip agent called erucamide (Crodamide ER Powder - Croda Brazil ) and a blue pigment (supplied by Cristal Master) was used in the composite in the proportion of 0.2% and 1.0%, respectively.

2.2. Composite preparation

LMDPE was dry blended with different CaCO$_3$ masterbatch ratios (2.0, 5.0 and 10.0%), slip agent and pigment and then extruded using Ciola B-50 single-screw extruder with a pelletizer. The screw length-over-diameter (L/D) was 25/1. The extrusion temperature was around 180 °C. The granules were micronized using an equipament of Tritumaq TM250. Table 1 presents the composites obtained, pointing out the final filler proportion in each composite.

2.3. Specimen fabrication

The molding of the composites with different compositions was carried out using a rock-and-roll machine with a cylindrical steel mould having a length of 750 mm, a diameter of 1180 mm, and a wall thickness of around 2.5 mm. In this type of machine, the mold rotates through the axis of support arm, and the oven performs a swinging motion (from 45 ° to 45 ° to the right to the left) perpendicular to the support arm, allowing the spreading of the material for the ends of the piece. The specimens were cut from the cylindrical container walls and machining was done according to the standard methods (Figure 1). The specimens dimension for tensile strength test was 200 × 130 × 2 mm and to impact test was 80 × 10 × 2 mm. The rotational molding process parameters are listed in Table 2.

2.4. Composite characterization

2.4.1. Particle size distribution

The particle size distributions of the composites were carried out according to ASTM D1921\cite{22}. This test determines “percent passing” or “percent retained” for a given sieve. The conditions tested were initial weight 100g and time sieving 10min.

2.4.2. Melt Flow Index

The melt flow index (MFI) of the composites were determined according to ISO1133\cite{23}, using a moderated strain-rate of 2.16 kg of static mass at 190 °C in a Pantec MFI400E device.

![Figure 1. Schematically, the cylindrical container rotomolded and the specimen cut from the walls.](image)

| Composite | CaCO$_3$ (%) | Erucamide (%) | Pigment (%) |
|-----------|--------------|---------------|-------------|
| LMDPE00   | LMDPE neat   | -             | 1.0         |
| LMDPE02   | 1.6          | -             | 1.0         |
| LMDPE05   | 4.0          | -             | 1.0         |
| LMDPE10   | 8.0          | -             | 1.0         |
| LMDPE02A  | 1.6          | 0.2           | 1.0         |
| LMDPE05A  | 4.0          | 0.2           | 1.0         |
| LMDPE10A  | 8.0          | 0.2           | 1.0         |

| Parameters | Values |
|------------|--------|
| Mold heating time | 3.6 min |
| Rotomolding process time | 12 min |
| Rotomolding process cooling time | 10.3 min |
| External mold temperature during the material rotomolding process | 150 °C |
| External mold temperature after the cooling process | 45 °C |
2.4.3. Dry flow

Powder dry flow properties are important during rotational molding as they determine how the polymer distributes itself within the mold and how well the polymer melt flows into complex shapes. The method used to measure this property for the powder composites was based on ASTM D-1895. It is the time taken for 100 g of powder to flow through a standard funnel. The dry flow is quoted in seconds.

2.4.4. Bulk density

Bulk density is a measure of the efficiency with which the powder particles pack together. In order to evaluate the efficiency of the packing of the powder particles, the bulk density was determined by pouring a weighed amount of powder (40 g) into a standard container to measure its volume. This test was based on ASTM D-1895 and 5 samples were tested.

2.4.5. Differential Scanning Calorimetry

Differential scanning calorimetry (DSC) curves for the rotomolded composites were obtained using a differential calorimeter (DSC Q20 TA instruments) from 25 to 300 °C at a heating rate of 10 °C/min. The average sample size was 5 mg and the nitrogen flow rate was 25 cm³/min. The percent of crystallinity (Xc) was determined from the enthalpy of crystallization of PE, Equation 1, using a value of ΔH°_f = 293 J/g for PE 100% crystalline and the enthalpy values were corrected for PE weight present in the composite.

\[ X_c = \left( \frac{\Delta H_{f,\text{sample}}}{\Delta H_f} \right) \times 100 \]  

(1)

2.4.6. Microscopy Analysis

The pore analysis and the morphology of the rotomolded composites were examined using optical microscopy (OM) and scanning electron microscopy methods (SEM), respectively. The pore analysis of the rotomolded composites surfaces was carried out using an Olympus BX-51 optical microscope with 50x magnification and phase contrast. The program used to estimate the pore content was Image Pro-Plus. In order to analyze the content, the quantity and average size of the pores were considered. The porosity percentage was calculated according Equation 2. The total area analyzed for each composite was around 113 mm². The pore analysis was adapted from studies of Spencer and Crawford. These authors have also analyzed the pores in the surface and cross section of the rotomolded parts.

Porosity (%) = \( \sum \) pore area / \( \sum \) analyzed area

(2)

The cryogenic fracture surfaces of the rotomolded composites were investigated with a JEOL/JSM 6360 scanning electron microscope.

2.4.7. Mechanical testing

Standard tests were conducted at room temperature to determine the mechanical properties, such as tensile strength and Izod impact strength for the rotomolded composites. Tensile testing was performed using an elongation velocity of 10 mm/min in a universal testing machine EMIC DN 3000 based in ISO 527. The force was measured by a load cell of 2000 N and the displacement recorded by an extensometer and 10 samples was tested.

Izod Impact testing was based in ISO 180 with samples thinner than recommend by the standard due to limitation of process. It was performed in the Microtest Impact Tester, and the samples were V-shaped notched (tip radius 0.25 mm, depth 2.0 mm) and 15 samples was tested.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Powder characterization

Table 3 presents dry flow and bulk density results. Powder dry flow properties are important during rotational molding as they determine how the polymer distributes itself within the mold and how well the polymer melt flows into complex shapes. Calcium carbonate addition does not significantly modify the dry flow. However, the erucamide (slip agent) addition decreased the dry flow, improving material flowability and suggests that the slip agent decreased the friction coefficient among particles, as pointed out by Quijada-Garrido et al. No evidences of interaction between the erucamide and CaCO₃ was observed in these results.

The bulk density of CaCO₃ composites was superior to that of neat PE. The composites without erucamide present an increase of 7% in bulk density for composites with 2 and 5% of CaCO₃ and 8% for composites with 10% of CaCO₃ and materials with slip agent present an increase of 10%, 12% and 15% in bulk density for composites with 2%, 5% and 10% respectively. According to Crawford and Throne, bulk density is a measure of the efficiency with which the powder particles pack together and it is dependent on the particle shape, particle size, and particle size distribution of the powder. It was found that there are more particles with smaller sizes in the composites with CaCO₃ than neat PE, which can lead to a better packing of the particles, hence its higher bulk density (Table 4). Dry flow and bulk density are inversely related, in that an increase in the bulk density corresponds to a faster dry flow rate. The melt flow index results for all composites were approximately 4.0 g/10 min, showing that the filler proportions and erucamide added do not significantly change the MFI. Some authors also observed that the calcium carbonate can retain the

| Material | Dry flow s/100g | Bulk density kg/m³ |
|----------|----------------|-------------------|
| LMDPE00  | 26 ± 1         | 390 ± 5           |
| LMDPE02  | 25 ± 2         | 417 ± 4           |
| LMDPE05  | 27 ± 2         | 417 ± 3           |
| LMDPE10  | 25 ± 3         | 423 ± 4           |
| LMDPE02A | 18 ± 3         | 432 ± 2           |
| LMDPE05A | 19 ± 2         | 437 ± 3           |
| LMDPE10A | 18 ± 2         | 450 ± 4           |
MFI value of PP and they suggested that this behavior was related with the ability of CaCO$_3$ to increase the plasticity and processability of the polymer.

The quality of rotationally molded parts depends not only on the physical properties, but also on the characteristics of the powder, such as its shape, size and particle uniformity. According to Galera$^3$ and Crawford and Throne$^{24}$ it is difficult to define the best particle size distribution. These authors suggested particle size distribution among 150 µm to 500 µm is quite acceptable in rotational molding, with a maximum of 5% of particles above 500 µm, and 15% below 150 µm. The excess of coarse particles increases the material melting time, generates a rough surface on the inside of the part and increases the porosity. However, the excess of thinner particles will cause loss of material due to the air suspension and hinder the flowability of the material. Table 4 presents the percentage of large and small composite particle sizes. These results show that all composites had more than 5% of particles larger than 500 µm, which can increase the melting time of the material, creating an irregular surface on the inside part and increased porosity$^{33}$.

### 3.2. Rotational molded characterization

Table 5 presents melting temperature (Tm), melting enthalpy ($\Delta H_{\text{melt}}$) and percent of crystallinity ($X_c$) of composites. It can be noted that addition of CaCO$_3$ does not show any significant difference in melting temperature. The degree of crystallinity for all samples ranges from 36 for neat LMDPE and 30 to 34 for all composites. In this case, we could not affirm that there is significant difference in the crystallinity degree. Tiemprateeb et al.$^{34}$ also observed that crystallinity fo PE remain comparatively constant for different CaCO$_3$ content. Huang et al. suggested$^{35}$ that microparticles can nucleate because they can provide sufficiently large flat domains, whereas the size of microparticles is comparable to the spherulite size of PE and thus also hinder the spherulite growing, so the degree of crystallinity in microcomposites is not significantly larger than that of the neat LDPE.

Figures 2 and 3 illustrate the optical and SEM micrographs of the external surface and the cross section of rotomolded composites, respectively. These micrographs

| Table 4. Percentage of large and small composite particle sizes. |
|-----------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| Literature      | LMDPE  | LMDPE02 | LMDPE05 | LMDPE10 | LMDPE02A| LMDPE05A| LMDPE10A|
| > 500µm         | Max. 5%| 5.6%    | 9.0%    | 7.0%    | 8.0%    | 6.2%    | 9.5%    | 7.2%    |
| < 150µm         | Máx. 15%| 4.8%    | 14.1%   | 12.5%   | 14.8%   | 11.1%   | 11.5%   | 13.0%   |

| Table 5. DSC results of rotational molded samples. |
|-----------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| Material        | Tm (°C) | $\Delta H_{\text{melt}}$ (J/g) | $X_c$ (%) |
| LMDPE00         | 119.4   | 141.6   | 36      |
| LMDPE02         | 118.2   | 124.1   | 31      |
| LMDPE05         | 118.8   | 131.4   | 33      |
| LMDPE10         | 119.7   | 118.5   | 30      |
| LMDPE02A        | 118.8   | 123.1   | 31      |
| LMDPE05A        | 119.5   | 124.2   | 31      |
| LMDPE10A        | 118.9   | 134.8   | 34      |

**Figure 2.** Optical microscopy of the external surface of rotomolded composite: (a) LMDPE05A and (b) LMDPE10A, with magnification of 50x.
clearly show the porous presence along the external surface and cross section surface for both composites. The others composites compositions showed similar behavior. The porous presence in both rotomolded composites can be influenced by the particles size, which has more than 5% of particles larger than 500 µm (Table 4) and the viscosity observed in the by MFI analysis results. As mention previously, this percentage of large particles can increase the melting time of the material, creating an irregular surface on the inside part and increased porosity. Referent to MFI, Spence and Crawford\(^3\) in their studies with rotationally molded products observed that materials with an MFI of less than 5.0 g/10min showed a porous surface in the rotomolded samples, and concluded that in longer polymer chains the melt is more viscous and the passage of air bubbles through the melt polymer is more difficult. Both facts indicate that during the rotomolding process there is not sufficient time and temperature for the air bubbles to diffuse out of the polymer melt with MFI of 4.0 g/10min. According these authors\(^3\), is recommended as a solution to solve the problems of bubbles in rotomolded parts, to raise the oven temperature, to increase the heating cycle or to use a high melt flow index material.

The porosity analysis results of the surface and fracture cross section of rotomolded composites are shown in Table 6. The cross section porosity for neat LMDPE was not possible to analyze due to its pore sizes being too small. The composites show that in the cross section the porosity degree increased with the increase in CaCO\(_3\) fractions. The composites with superior CaCO\(_3\) content present minor average pore size, but higher amount of pore per area (mm\(^2\)), this fact indicate that the filler hinder the diffusion of the bubbles throughout the part. This behavior is not observed in sample LMDPE10A. Spence and Crawford\(^3\) observed the same behavior with the carbon black addition, they suggested that this filler obstruct and inhibit the passage of air molecules through the polymer melt. The porosity presented by the surface of rotomolded composite shows the opposite behavior compared with the porosity presented by the cross section, indicating again, that the fillers hamper diffusion of air bubbles along the part. The increase of porosity indicates that the material requires longer processing time for the densification process\(^{11,36}\).

The fracture surface cross-section of rotomolded composites with the erucamide addition showed, according Table 6, a slight decrease in the porosity related to the rotomolded composites without the additive. This fact suggests that the slip agent reduced the coefficient of friction between the particles, consequently improving the packing particles because less air bubbles will be trapped into the particles, increasing the densification process in these rotomolded composites.

The cryogenic fracture micrographs of the rotomolded composites are shown in Figure 4. The non-adherence

![Figure 3. SEM micrographs of the cross section fractured surface of rotomolded composite: (a) LMDPE02 and (b) LMDPE05, with magnification of 50×.](image)

| Composite    | Cross section Porosity | Avareage pore size (µm) | Pores Quantities (poros/mm\(^2\)) | Surface Porosity |
|--------------|------------------------|-------------------------|------------------------------------|------------------|
| LMDPE00      | -                      | -                       | -                                  | 3.4              |
| LMDPE02      | 6.7                    | 255 ± 127               | 0.27                               | 5.4              |
| LMDPE05      | 7.5                    | 166 ± 73                | 0.72                               | 4.3              |
| LMDPE10      | 9.1                    | 165 ± 69                | 0.90                               | 3.5              |
| LMDPE02A     | 5.0                    | 225 ± 97                | 0.27                               | 3.4              |
| LMDPE05A     | 6.5                    | 168 ± 40                | 0.69                               | 3.2              |
| LMDPE10A     | 8.7                    | 283 ± 103               | 0.30                               | 3.1              |
between the CaCO₃ particle and LMDPE matrix is visible (indicated by arrows), probably due to the weak interfacial bonding. The same behavior was observed in composites with erucamide, indicating that there is no interaction between the erucamide and CaCO₃ and the matrix. Considering the average size of the CaCO₃ particles used in this study is about 2.0 µm and in the composites (Figure 4) the average size of particle is about 3.0 up to 10.0 µm, it can be suggested that there are filler agglomeration.

Table 7 summarizes the results for mechanical property tests obtained for all rotomolded composites. It can be seen that the impact strength decreased with the addition of CaCO₃ compared with neat LMDPE. The trend of impact resistance decrease may be related to average pore size increase. The pore size could change the tension state of the specimen and change the behavior of the material in impact test. The composites with erucamide showed similar behavior, indicating that the additive does not seem to have

Figure 4. Cryogenic fracture micrographs of the rotomolded composites.
influenced the impact strength of the rotational molded samples. According to Gogos\(^7\), the pores must be removed before solidification, because most of the physical properties (impact resistance, tensile strength, elongation, etc.) are affected by the presence of pores. Pick and Harkin-Jones\(^8\) reported a correlation between the number of voids (pores) and impact strength in a rotational molded sample, and they observed that the greater the number of pores, the lower the impact resistance.

It is possible to observe that LMDPE composites with CaCO\(_3\) with and without erucamide do not show any significant difference on yield strength and yield deformation. On the other hand, Young’s modulus was reduced by up to 35% with the addition of CaCO\(_3\), behavior also observed with erucamide addition. This decrease can be related with the increase of the porosity. The porosity reduces cross section area and thus decreases the Young’s Modulus. It can be also related to the weak interfacial bonding between different phases, as shown in Figure 4.

### 4. Conclusion

The characterization of the powder samples revealed that the amount of CaCO\(_3\) added into LMDPE altered the properties of bulk density, but did not affect the behavior of the dry flow and MFI. However, the addition of erucamide as a slip agent showed changes in the dry flow properties and bulk density, suggesting a reduction in the coefficient of friction between the particles and a better packing and no evidences of interaction between the slip agent and CaCO\(_3\) was observed.

The porosity analysis in the rotomolded samples showed that the CaCO\(_3\) addition increased the porosity. This behavior can be due to the filler hindering the densification of the particles. The analysis of particle size distribution indicated that all powder samples had more than 5% of particles larger than 500 µm. This fact also may have contributed to the increased porosity. The erucamide addition showed a slight reduction of the porosity degree in both rotomolded composites, suggesting an improvement in packing particles.

Scanning electron microscopy analyses exhibited the poor adhesion between the CaCO\(_3\) particles and the LMDPE matrix, probably due to the weak interfacial bonding between the materials. The porosity and poor adhesion between the filler and matrix can contribute to the decrease in mechanical properties, even though the percentage of the filler added was not significant. The addition of erucamide did not influence the mechanical properties for any samples. To make this project technically feasible it is necessary studying the effect of raise the oven temperature or to increase the heating cycle, so it could possible reduce the porosity and then improve the mechanical properties.
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