Development of Peptide Vaccines in Dengue
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Abstract: Dengue is one of the most important arboviral infections worldwide, infecting up to 390 million people and causing 25,000 deaths annually. Although a licensed dengue vaccine is available, it is not efficacious against dengue serotypes that infect people living in South East Asia, where dengue is an endemic disease. Hence, there is an urgent need to develop an efficient dengue vaccine for this region. Data from different clinical trials indicate that a successful dengue vaccine must elicit both neutralizing antibodies and cell mediated immunity. This can be achieved by designing a multi-epitope peptide vaccine comprising B, CD8+ and CD4+ T cell epitopes. As recognition of T cell epitopes are restricted by human leukocyte antigens (HLA), T cell epitopes which are able to recognize several major HLAs will be preferentially included in the vaccine design. While peptide vaccines are safe, biocompatible and cost-effective, it is poorly immunogenic. Strategies to improve its immunogenicity by the use of long peptides, adjuvants and nanoparticle delivery mechanisms are discussed.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Dengue is a mosquito-borne viral disease that occurs mainly in the tropical and subtropical regions [1]. It causes an annual estimated 390 million infections, of which 500,000 require hospitalization and 25,000 deaths are reported [2, 3]. Dengue is transmitted to humans by female Aedes aegypti or Aedes albopictus mosquitoes infected with the dengue virus. Most patients have asymptomatic or self-limiting Dengue Fever (DF), however others may suffer from life-threatening Dengue Haemorrhagic Fever (DHF) or Dengue Shock Syndrome (DSS) [4]. Severe dengue is a potentially deadly complication due to plasma leakage, fluid accumulation, respiratory distress, severe bleeding, and organ impairment.

Dengue virus belongs to the Flaviviridae family and is endemic in more than 100 countries worldwide [3]. Dengue infection can be caused by four distinct, but closely related serotypes, DENV-1, DENV-2, DENV-3 and DENV-4, sharing 65-70% sequence homology [5]. Dengue virus has a positive stranded ~11kb RNA genome, encoding for a polypeptide chain of approximately 3400 amino acids. This polypeptide is made up of three structural proteins (capsid, membrane and envelope), and seven non-structural (NS) proteins (NS1, 2a, 2b, 3, 4a, 4b and 5). The structural proteins form the external viral structure, while the NS proteins are involved in the viral replication machinery.

2. HOST IMMUNITY AGAINST DENGUE VIRUS

Immunity against viral infection can be divided into the innate and adaptive arms. Upon entry of viruses into the human host, it is first recognized by the innate immunity, which is the first line of defense against pathogens. Cells of the innate immunity recognize viral components as being ‘foreign’ by using different types of pattern recognition receptors such as Toll-like Receptors (TLRs), Nucleotide Oligomerization Domain-like receptors (NOD) and retinoic acid-inducible gene I-like receptors (RLRs). These receptors trigger downstream intracellular signaling cascades, eliciting the production of pro-inflammatory cytokines and chemokines [6, 7]. Of these, type I interferons (IFNs) are the primary cytokines that inhibit viral replication in both infected and uninfected cells [8]. In addition, type I IFNs promote the initiation of adaptive immune responses by mediating activation of Dendritic Cells (DCs), enhancing the effector functions of macrophages and lymphocyte, and stimulating the humoral response to neutralize viruses [9].

Adaptive immunity against viral infections are mediated by antibodies (humoral immunity) and cellular mediated immunity. Humoral immunity is mediated by B cells, which when stimulated by CD4+ T helper cells, secrete specific anti-viral antibodies. These antibodies “neutralize” the virus by binding to its envelope or capsid proteins, thereby preventing its attachment and entry into host cells. Antibodies can also function to opsonize viral particles and promote their destruction by phagocytes such as macrophages and neutrophils [9]. Viral neutralization and opsonization by antibodies are only effective when they are extracellular.

Once virus has infected the cells, its elimination is mediated by the killing of the infected cell by CD8+ cytotoxic T-lymphocytes (Tc). Viral proteins are degraded by the proteolytic enzymes in the endosome, and resulting peptides are loaded on either Major Histocompatibility Complex (MHC) class I or class II molecules. Recognition of viral peptides bound to MHC-I by T-cell Receptor (TCR), followed by co-stimulatory signals generated by CD4+ T-helper (Th) cells and DCs [10] is necessary to stimulate the activation of CD8+ T-cells. Activated CD8+ Tc, release cytotoxic granules containing granzymes, perforins and granulysin that induce the apoptosis of viral-infected cells, and cytokine production of TNF-α and IFN-γ.

During the primary DENV infection, antibodies against the virus are able to neutralize the viral infection and confer lifelong protection against it. Upon heterologous infection with another DENV serotype, there is an initial phase of cross-protection. However, these antibodies are short-lived, and are superseded by the non-neutralizing cross-reactive antibodies [11]. They form antibody-virus complexes and bind to the FcR receptors of circulating monocytes and macrophages, allowing the heterologous DENV to infect these cells more efficiently, leading to high viral replication and viral load, eventually causing a more severe form of dengue...
infection. This phenomenon is known as Antibody-Dependent Enhancement (ADE) [12, 13].

While the humoral response to secondary heterologous dengue infection leads to ADE, the equivalent aberrant response in the cellular immunity is termed as the “original antigenic sin” [14]. The original antigenic sin, or the Hoskins effect refers to the propensity of the immune system to favor the immunological memory of the first infecting antigen, when an altered version of the antigen is encountered later. In the case of dengue, during the secondary dengue infection (with a virus serotype different from the primary infection), the cellular immunity is dominated by cross-reactive memory T cells generated during the first infection. Although the cross-reactive T cells are activated more rapidly and are present in large numbers, they are inefficient in lysis of DENV-infected cells due to sub-optimal TCR triggering. This results in poor virus clearance from the host [14, 15].

The exact role of T cells, as being protective or pathologic during dengue infection is still being debated [16-18]. Initial research in this area mainly supports the pathological role of T cells in dengue. The delay in viral elimination leading to a more severe dengue immunopathology observed during secondary infections could be due to lower affinity CD8+ T cells that were dysfunctional [14]. Several studies highlighted the observation of DENV-specific T cells that produced high levels of TNF-α (versus IFN-γ) during secondary infection as a potential cause of immunopathology [19-21]. High amounts of TNF-α can lead to vascular leakage, a symptom of severe dengue. Accordingly, as IFN-γ is implicated in anti-viral activity, the lower IFN-γ secretion observed during heterologous infection indicates reduced clearance of viral infected cells. Apart from the TNF-α / IFN-γ balance, the lack of cytotoxic activity of T cells is also a contributing factor to the negative role of T cells in secondary infections [22].

Of late however, data from studies on CD8+ T cells support its protective role in secondary dengue infections. A recent study by Rivino et al. (2015) reported that the DENV-specific CD8+ T cells in patients with acute secondary dengue infection had anti-viral effector phenotype, characterized by high IFN-γ, CD107a and low TNF-α cytokines [23]. It was previously suggested that high levels of TNF-α found in serum of severe dengue patients could be the causal factor of plasma leakage [24]. The TNF-α cytokine may not originate from dengue-specific T cells, as it has been reported that these cells were only detected in the blood of dengue infected patients post-viremia resolution, indicating that it was unlikely that T cells were linked to plasma leakage [25]. Furthermore, individual HLA alleles have been implicated in dengue susceptibility or protection. In a study performed on dengue seropositive individuals from Sri Lanka, the protective CD8+ T cells had higher response magnitude and were polyfunctional in terms of cytokine production [26].

3. CURRENT PREVENTION OPTIONS FOR DENGUE

The burden and costs of dengue continue to grow, with an estimation of more than 96 million symptomatic dengue infections in the world annually. At present, the most successful method of controlling dengue is based primarily on mosquito vector control and dengue case management, but these strategies are only partially successful. Of late, there has been an increasing amount of research in the field of dengue vaccine development. Although one vaccine (Dengvaxia®) has been registered and several others are in late phases of clinical trials, so far, none has provided effective protection against all DENV serotypes. The challenge in dengue vaccine development arises from the presence of four serotypes of viruses that can cause the disease, and the previous observations that subsequent heterologous infection often results in a more severe form of dengue, implying that natural humoral or cell-mediated immune response against the primary dengue infection is insufficient to confer complete protection against the heterologous DENV.

Dengvaxia® CYD-TDV by Sanofi Pasteur is currently the only vaccine approved for use in endemic populations. It is a tetravalent live-attenuated vaccine (LAV), composed of prM/E genes of the four DENV serotypes on the 17D yellow fever RNA backbone [27]. The yellow fever virus belongs to the same virus family as dengue, and has previously shown good immunogenicity and safety profile as a vaccine [28]. In general, Dengvaxia® showed higher efficacy in DENV seropositive recipients (having pre-existing anti-DENV antibodies) compared to seronegative recipients [29, 30]. The efficacy of Dengvaxia® varied according to serotype and age of the vaccinees. In individuals above 9 years old, higher overall protection was observed against DENV-4 (83.2%) and DENV-3 (73.6%), and was less efficient against DENV-1 (58.4%) and DENV-2 (47.1%) [31]. Protection against DENV-2 was particularly low in the Asian population at only 36.8% [31], although seroconversion was observed [32]. Efficacy of Dengvaxia® was higher against severe dengue and hospitalization in recipients above 9 years old (90.9% and 81.6%, respectively), compared to those below 9 years of age (44.5% and 51.6%, respectively) [31].

Reduced efficacies to all serotypes were observed in children less than 9 years old, in decreasing order from DENV-3 (62.1%), DENV-4 (51.7%), DENV-1 (46.6%) and DENV-2 (33.6%). In a large phase III study that involved 10,275 children from five Asian countries, Dengvaxia® CYD14 only produced an endpoint of 56.5% efficacy [29]. Asian children (2-5 years old) receiving Dengvaxia® had significantly higher hospitalization risk for virologically confirmed dengue cases, 15 cases in the vaccine group versus one case in the control group [30, 31, 33]. In addition, the number of serious adverse effects reported in the vaccinees (402 cases) was almost double of that in the control group (245 cases) [29], raising serious concerns against the use of Dengvaxia® as the preferred vaccine against dengue infection.

Although Dengvaxia® has several shortcomings, it is currently the only licensed vaccine against dengue infection. WHO has recommended the use of Dengvaxia® in countries where dengue is endemic, and for patients of 9 – 45 years old [34]. The lower efficacy of Dengvaxia® against DENV-1 and DENV-2, coupled with its low safety levels in children below 9 years old calls for the need of a more efficacious vaccine candidate, primarily in regions where DENV-1 and -2 are the prevailing serotypes, such as in Malaysia and Singapore [35-37]. The main mode of immune response of Dengvaxia® seems to be via the humoral route, by eliciting neutralizing antibodies. Despite the seroconversion against different serotypes, the lack of protection of Dengvaxia® (in particular against DENV-2) indicates that neutralizing antibodies alone are insufficient to confer protection, and there is a need for vaccine developers to pay attention on eliciting cellular immunity against dengue [26, 38].

Few other vaccine candidates are currently undergoing clinical trials, of which TV003/ TV005 and DENVax (TDV) are in the frontline [39]. TV003 and TV005 are tetravalent LAVs developed by the National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases (NIAID) in the United States. The composition of TV003 and TV005 differs by its dose of the attenuated DENV-2, which was 10 fold higher in TV005 [40]. TV003/TV005 were attenuated by creating deletion of 30/31 base pairs in the 3’ untranslated region for each serotype, and for DENV-2, the prM/E proteins were substituted on the rDENVΔ30 backbone [41]. TV003 is currently in phase III clinical trial (ClinicalTrials.gov Identifier: NCT02406729) while TV005 is in phase II trial (NCT02678455). The overall tetravalent neutralizing antibody responses reported for TV003 and TV005 were 74.0% and 90.0%, respectively [39, 42]. Seroconversion rates for TV005 were more balanced between different serotypes, with 92% against DENV-1 and 97% against DENV 2-4, when compared to TV003 [42]. So far, results from clinical trials have been showing promising outcomes. In the phase II study, all 21 individuals vaccinated against TV003 and challenged with rDEN2Δ30 did not de-
velop viremia, neutropenia or rash and were protected from infection. All patients were also protected against the second challenge administered 6 months after the initial vaccination [43]. In contrast to Dengvaxia®, TV003 conferred higher degree of protection against DENV-2, and induced strong CD8⁺ T cell responses, directed against the highly conserved non-structural protein epitopes of all DENV serotypes [44].

DENVax (TDV) is a tetravalent LAV developed by Takeda Pharmaceuticals, containing the prM and E genes of DEN-1, -3, and -4 on the DENV-2 PDK-53 genetic backbone [33]. The DENV2-PDK-53 has been attenuated by 53 serial passages in primary dog kidney cells, and further attenuated by gene mutation of NS3 [33, 39]. Phase I studies for DENVax were performed in participants aged 18-45 years old in the United States and Columbia. Different doses and routes of vaccine administration were evaluated. Neutralizing antibodies were produced against all serotypes regardless of dose and injection routes. Approximately 62% of the participants were able to seroconvert to all four serotypes 30 days after the second vaccination dose was administered [45]. Titers of neutralizing antibodies varied according to the serotype, with the highest against DENV-1 and -2, and lowest to DENV-4 [46]. In the multicentre, double-blind phase II studies conducted in Puerto Rico, Columbia, Singapore and Thailand, DENVax was well tolerated with no serious adverse events reported, irrespective of pre-vaccination dengue exposure profile and age of volunteers [46]. In addition to eliciting neutralizing antibodies, as the architecture of DENVax contained the genes that encode non-structural proteins of DENV-2, it was able to elicit multifunctional CD8⁺ T cell responses against NS1, NS3 and NS5 proteins of DENV-2, able to cross-react to the same proteins in other serotypes [33, 47, 48].

Other anti-dengue vaccine candidates that are currently in the pipeline include live attenuated vaccines, inactivated vaccines, recombinant vector vaccines, DNA vaccines and subunit vaccines [33, 49-53]. The peptide based vaccine strategy for dengue is currently intensely researched, via discovery of specific B, Tc and Th epitopes (Table 1) and represents an approach with great potential to prevent the disease.

4. PEPTIDE VACCINES COMPARED TO OTHER TYPES OF DENGUE VACCINATIONS

Peptide based vaccines are currently being developed to be used both as therapeutic or prophylactic treatment for a multitude of diseases, ranging from cancers and viral infections, to allergies and Alzheimer’s disease. A total of 475 clinical trials (266 in phase I, 198 in phase II, 11 in phase III) that used peptide vaccines for different diseases have been reported in ClinicalTrials.gov, a database of the US National Institute of Health (Fig. 1). So far, no peptide vaccine has reached phase IV trial. While the number of clinical trials reported from March 2014 (506 trials) [96] to date (15 June 2017, 475 trials) has not changed much, it still signifies a widespread interest of this vaccination strategy. Most of the peptide vaccines in clinical trials were against different cancers, followed by viral infections, allergy and auto-immune diseases (Fig. 2). The viral based peptide vaccines were in phase I (29 clinical trials) and phase II (13 clinical trials) against HIV, HBV, HCV and CMV (Fig. 2). No peptide vaccine against dengue is currently in clinical trial.

Currently, there are 103 clinical trial entries in ClinicalTrials.gov using the search terms “dengue” and “vaccine” (data accessed on 15 June 2017). This is a 72% increase of the number of trials, compared to the 60 studies reported in 2014 [41].

In dengue research, all four vaccines which are currently in the late clinical trial development stages (phase II and above) have been designed using the live attenuated virus (LAV) strategy. Although LAVs are very potent immunological stimulators, and are able to ideally generate appropriate immune responses to protect the host against subsequent viral infections, there are several risks associated with LAVs. As LAVs are living organisms, there is a risk of reversion to its original pathogenic form, which is capable of causing disease. This has been previously observed in the cases of vaccine-associated paralytic poliomyelitis and disease-causing vaccine-derived poliovirus associated with oral polio vaccine [97, 98]. LAVs could cause potential harm to immunocompromised patients (e.g. HIV infected) as they may not be able to respond adequately to the vaccine [99]. Other disadvantages include high storage and transportation costs, as LAVs usually require storage at low temperatures to remain active. In the case of dengue, as it can be caused by 4 serotypes, LAVs need to be prepared from all serotypes, and the resulting downstream growth and purification of these strains can be laborious and costly.

In an adaptive immunological response against foreign antigens, only short segments of the antigens (termed epitopes) are recognized by the B- and T-cell receptors [100]. Synthetic peptide vaccines (or “designer” vaccines) are composed of selected epitope(s) of the antigenic protein, thus enabling the induction of highly targeted immune responses. Using well defined antigen(s), the disadvantages commonly associated with whole pathogen vaccines, such as reversion to the wild type virus and contamination with other antigenic components can easily be avoided [101]. Compared to conventional vaccines, peptide synthesis is highly reproducible, fast and cost effective [96, 101]. However, despite its high safety profile compared to live attenuated vaccines, synthetic peptide vaccines are generally poorly immunogenic. They are susceptible to enzymatic degradation, making them unstable in vivo [101].
Table 1. Distribution of CD4+ and CD8+ epitopes based on dengue proteins.

| No. | Protein                                | No. of Epitopes | Subset | Refs. |
|-----|----------------------------------------|----------------|--------|-------|
| 1   | C, NS2A, NS3, NS4B, NS5               | 8              | CD8    | [54]  |
| 2   | C, NS4B, NS5                          | 4              | CD8    | [55]  |
| 3   | C                                      | 2              | CD4    | [56]  |
| 4   | NS3                                    | 2              | CD8    | [57]  |
| 5   | NS3                                    | 1              | CD8    | [14]  |
| 6   | NS3                                    | 3              | CD4    | [58]  |
| 7   | NS3                                    | 2              | CD4    | [21]  |
| 8   | NS3                                    | 1              | CD8    | [59]  |
| 9   | NS3                                    | 1              | CD4    | [60]  |
| 10  | NS3                                    | 1              | CD8    | [61]  |
| 11  | NS3                                    | 1              | CD4    | [62]  |
| 12  | NS3                                    | 1              | CD4    | [63]  |
| 13  | NS3                                    | 1              | CD8    | [64]  |
| 14  | NS3, NS4B, NS5                        | 10             | CD8    | [65]  |
| 15  | NS3                                    | 1              | CD8    | [22]  |
| 16  | NS3                                    | 1              | CD8    | [66]  |
| 17  | NS3                                    | 1              | CD8    | [20]  |
| 18  | NS3, NS5                               | 2              | CD8    | [67]  |
| 19  | NS3                                    | 3              | CD8    | [68]  |
| 20  | NS3, NS4B, NS5                        | 5              | CD8    | [69]  |
| 21  | NS5                                    | 14             | CD8    | [70]  |
| 22  | E                                      | 8              | CD8    | [71]  |
| 23  | E                                      | 3              | CD8    | [72]  |
| 24  | C, PrM, M, E, NS1, NS2A, NS3, NS4A, NS4B, NS5 | 18          | CD4, CD8 | [73] |
| 25  | C, E, NS2B, NS3, NS4A, NS4B, NS5       | 13             | CD8    | [74]  |
| 26  | C, M, E, NS2A, NS3, NS4B, NS5          | 25             | CD8    | [26]  |
| 27  | E, NS2A, NS3, NS4B                     | 9              | CD8    | [75]  |
| 28  | M, E, NS1, NS2A, NS2B, NS3, NS4A, NS4B | 16             | CD4, CD8 | [76] |
| 29  | C, NS1, NS3                            | 5              | CD4, CD8 | [77] |
| 30  | E, NS2A, NS4A, NS4B                    | 7              | CD8    | [78]  |
| 31  | NS2b, NS3, NS4a, NS4b, NS5             | 8              | CD8    | [79]  |
| 32  | C, E, NS3                              | 4              | CD4    | [80]  |
| 33  | C, M, E, NS2A, NS4B, NS5               | 12             | CD8    | [81]  |
| 34  | C, E, NS3, NS4B, NS5                   | 5              | CD8    | [82]  |
| 35  | E, NS4A, NS4B                          | 4              | CD8    | [15]  |
| 36  | C, PrM, M, E, NS3, NS4A                | 47             | CD4, CD8 | [83] |

(Table 1) Contd....
DQ and DR genes (presenting CD4+ T cell epitopes). The polyepitopes, while MHC-II molecules are encoded by HLA-DP, -DQ, and -DR genes. There seems to be a great diversity in HLA-alleles that confer susceptibility or protection across various populations (Table 1). Most HLA associations have been investigated for class I HLA molecules, and very little is known regarding the role of HLA-II molecules. The identification of T cell epitopes of antigens is the first step in designing peptide-based vaccines. This can be achieved either experimentally, using functional assays, or by in silico predictive approaches, with each method having its own advantages and limitations. An experimental method that is commonly used to identify T cell epitopes in dengue is by synthesizing a peptide library consisting of short overlapping synthetic peptides, spanning either the whole virus protein, or focusing on a specific protein of interest. These peptides are then tested using a functional assay such as ELISPOT to measure the release IFN-γ from PBMCs isolated from patients [83, 84, 124], intracellular cytokine staining of IFN-γ and TNF-α from patients CD4+ and CD8+ T cells of patients [19], or in vitro lymphocyte proliferation response of peptide-primed lymphocytes [89]. Alternatively, similar functional assays may also be performed using purified recombinant protein fragments [89]. MHC-microarray is another technique performed by spotting MHC-I molecules on microarray slides, and interacting it with peptides. Alternatively, similar functional assays may also be performed using purified recombinant protein fragments [89].

### 5. VIRAL T-CELL EPITOPE MAPPING BY EXPERIMENTAL OR PREDICTIVE APPROACHES

Epitopes can be broadly classified into linear or conformational epitopes, based on their interaction with the paratope. T cell epitopes (specific to either CD4+ or CD8+ cells) are linear, whereas their sequence is discontinuous, based on the primary amino acid sequence of the antigen. B cell epitopes can be linear or conformational. Conformational epitopes are based on the three-dimensional protein structure interaction with the B-cell receptor, therefore its amino acid sequence is discontinuous.

Current vaccination formulations against dengue target the humoral immunity, and have been shown to be insufficient to effectively protect against the infection. Cytotoxic T cells play an important role in killing infected cells and control viremia of dengue virus. Hence, we focus the scope of this review on the use of T cell epitopes in peptide vaccine formulations, and the different strategies that could be employed to improve immunogenicity of the vaccine formulation.

### Table 1: Dengue Viral Epitopes against HLA-A, -B and -C

| No. | Protein | No. of Epitopes | Subset | Refs. |
|-----|---------|-----------------|--------|-------|
| 37  | C, M, E, NS1, NS2A, NS2B, NS3, NS4A, NS4B, NS5 | 30 | CD4, CD8 | [84] |
| 38  | E, NS1, NS2A, NS2B, NS3, NS4A, NS4B, NS5 | 19 | CD4, CD8 | [85] |
| 39  | E, NS1 | 2 | CD8 | [86] |
| 40  | NS1, NS3, NS5 | 16 | CD4 | [87] |
| 41  | NS3, NS5 | 5 | CD8 | [88] |
| 42  | E | 10 | CD4 | [89] |
| 43  | E | 3 | N/A | [90] |
| 44  | prM | 4 | N/A | [91] |
| 45  | E | 9 | CD4 | [92] |
| 46  | E, NS1, NS3, NS5 | 29 | N/A | [93] |
| 47  | E, NS2B, NS3, NS4A, NS4B, NS5 | 12 | CD4, CD8 | [94] |
| 48  | E | 7 | CD4 | [95] |

N/A – not available

These shortcomings can be overcome by using several strategies, which will be explored in a greater detail in this review, such as increasing peptide length, addition of T-helper epitope sequences, addition of the third signal, adjuvants, delivery methods, or a combination of several approaches. The final goal is to develop a safe, reproducible and genetically stable vaccine, which is capable of inducing the appropriate immune response.
Table 2. Susceptible and protective HLA to dengue based on population.

| Population                      | Susceptible HLA                  | Protective HLA                  | Reference |
|---------------------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------|
| Malaysia (total)                | HLA-B*53                         | HLA-A*03                        | [110]     |
|                                 |                                 | HLA-B*18                        |           |
| Malaysia (Malay population)     | HLA-B*13                         |                                 | [110]     |
| Philippines (children)          | HLA-B*44                         | HLA-A*3301(severe dengue)       | [111]     |
| Brazil (Latin American population) | HLA-DQ*01                       | HLA-B*07, HLA-DR*13             | [112]     |
| Brazil (White population)       | HLA-A*01 (DHF)                   | HLA-B*14                        | [113]     |
|                                 |                                 | HLA-A*29                        |           |
|                                 | HLA-B*31 (DHF)                   | HLA-DRB1*07                     | [73]      |
|                                 | HLA-B*51                         | HLA-DRB1*04                     |           |
| Vietnam (children)              | HLA-A*24 (DHF)                   | HLA-A*33 (DHF)                  | [88]      |
|                                 | HLA-A*2402 (DHF/DSS)             | HLA-DRB1*0901 (DSS)             | [116]     |
|                                 | HLA-A*2403 (DHF/DSS)             |                                 |           |
|                                 | HLA-A*2410 (DHF/DSS)             |                                 |           |
| Mexico                          | HLA-DQB1*0302 (DHF, not DF)      | HLA-B*35 (DF)                   | [117]     |
|                                 | HLA-DQB1*0202 (DF only)          |                                 |           |
| Sri Lanka                       | HLA-DRB1*08 (DHF/DSS)            |                                 | [118]     |
|                                 | HLA-A*31 (DHF/DSS)               |                                 |           |
| Thai                            | HLA-B*51 (DHF, secondary infection) | HLA-A*0203                    | [119]     |
|                                 | HLA-A*0207 (DHF)                 | HLA-B*44                        |           |
|                                 | HLA-B*52 (DHF, primary infection)| HLA-B*62                        |           |
|                                 |                                  | HLA-B*76                        |           |
|                                 |                                  | HLA-B*77                        |           |
|                                 | HLA-A*02 (secondary infection)   | HLA-B*44 (DHF, secondary infection) | [120] |
|                                 | HLA-A*01 (secondary infection)   |                                 |           |
|                                 | HLA-A*03 (secondary infection)   |                                 |           |
|                                 | HLA-A*02                         | HLA-B*13                        | [121]     |
|                                 | HLA-B*40 (DF)                    | HLA-A*03 (DF)                   | [122]     |
|                                 | HLA-B*57 (DF)                    | HLA-A*03 (DF)                   |           |
|                                 |                                 | HLA-CW*04                       |           |
|                                 |                                 | HLA-DRB5*01                     |           |
|                                 |                                 | HLA-DRB5*02                     |           |
|                                 |                                 | HLA-DQB1*02                     |           |
|                                 |                                 | HLA-DQB1*03                     |           |

that can be recognized by T-cells. By adding anti-cytokine antibodies to this assay, the amount of cytokine secreted by the target T cell can be measured, similar to that in an ELISPOT. This method has been used to map T cell epitopes in HIV [125], but has not gained popularity due to high cost and complexity of sample preparation and instrumentation. In cell ELISAs, the solid multi-well surface is first coated with an anti-cytokine antibody, followed by the addition of peptides and T cells. If the T cells recognize the
peptide, it would secrete cytokines which can be detected by capture of the solid-phase antibody. T cell epitope mapping of a multiple sclerosis related intracellular pathogen, Mycobacterium avium, has been delineated using this method [126].

The binding of peptide epitope to HLA molecules is the prerequisite of peptide-TCR recognition and eventually T cell activation and differentiation. Identification of the antigenic peptides that bind to HLA molecules in vivo can now be performed using immunoproteomics approaches. Compared to other experimental approaches, this method identifies clinically-relevant epitopes in a straightforward and direct manner, not subjected to any biases such as selection of dominant epitopes based on its level of IFN-γ secretion, or predictive scores of HLA-binding based on certain algorithms. This approach has been used to identify the MHC-I binding epitopes of dengue virus [54, 55]. The MHC-I epitopes identified originated from both structural and non-structural DENV proteins, indicating that Tc epitopes of DENV is more wide-spread than previously thought [26]. More importantly, this approach enabled the identification of two promiscuous Tc epitopes, binding to HLA-A2 and HLA-A24 [54], which allows for broader vaccine coverage and efficacy.

While experimental methods are unbiased, epitope identification involves testing many peptides, rendering this approach costly and tedious. Predictive bioinformatics approaches, on the other hand, are high throughput and economical as only the predicted epitopes with high confidence would be tested in functional biological assays to validate its activity. The bioinformatics approach has been used heavily in predicting T cell epitopes in dengue. The first step of in silico T cell epitope prediction is to predict peptide binding to HLA alleles and supertypes. Several prediction methods are available, based on the method of machine learning used. These include Position Specific Scoring Matrices (PSSM) such as RANKpep [80, 82], SYFPEITHI [73, 78, 82], BIMAS [73], Support Vector Machines (SVM) [127, 128] and Artificial Neural Network (ANN) (e.g. netMHC [129]). The previously listed algorithms identify peptide binding to individual HLA alleles. Now, it is possible to predict promiscuous peptide binding to HLA, based on its binding groove, termed panHLA binding. Several pan-specific algorithms exists such as MULTIPRED [130] netMHCpan [70, 131], KISS [132], TEPITOPExpan [133], Pick-Pocket [134] and ADT [135]. Once the HLA-binding peptide is predicted, other computational algorithms that model the MHC class I antigen processing, such as proteosomal cleavage, transport associated protein (TAP) transport (e.g. NetCTL [79, 136] and MHC-PATHWAY [137]) and hydopathy [138] may be applied to improve the prediction stringency. Finally, selected predicted epitopes should be tested using functional biological assays to validate its biological function. The predictive approaches have its own limitations - identification of false positive epitopes, and the poor discrimination between MHC-binding peptides which are T cell epitopes or non-epitopes.

7. PEPTIDE LENGTH AFFECTS ANTIGENICITY

Immunogenicity has been shown to be dependent on peptide length [139, 140]. Short peptides (8-11 amino acids) are able to bind directly to the MHC-I molecule, without the need of processing by professional APCs [141, 142]. As a consequence, such short peptides containing the minimal Tc epitopes are capable of binding to MHC-I molecules on any cell, including non-professional APCs, which lack the co-stimulatory molecules required to generate effector Tc cells, resulting in CD8+ T cell tolerance [143, 144]. On the other hand, longer peptides (more than 17 amino acids) have been reported to require proteosomal processing by APCs prior to its presentation by MHC molecules [145]. Synthetic peptides that were extended so that they were now sufficiently long to be processed by DCs were able to trigger the expansion of effector Tc [146]. Several studies that performed direct comparisons between minimal Tc epitopes and long peptides containing the Tc epitopes in different antigens (human papillomavirus, ovalbumin or adenovirus) have shown that longer peptides were able to elicit more robust and effective Tc responses [140, 147].

8. IMPROVING THE EFFICACY OF SYNTHETIC PEPTIDES – ADDING THE CD4+ T CELL EPITOPE

Activation of cytotoxic T cells requires two signals. The first signal is the recognition of the antigen via its presentation on the MHC-I molecules by the Tc cell receptor on the naïve cytotoxic T cells. The second signal involves the interaction between co-stimulatory molecules which are expressed on the surfaces of APC and the naïve cytotoxic T cell. T-helper cells are among the APCs that express co-stimulatory molecules. Experiments performed by co-injecting the minimal epitopes of Tc and Th were successful in preventing Tc tolerance [140], and augmenting the Tc response [148-150] as both signal 1 and 2 were present, allowing Tc cells to mature into effector cells. The delivery of multi-epitope peptide vaccines by linking both the minimal epitopes of Tc and Th synthetically as a single peptide appears to be more efficient than co-injecting a mixture of each epitope [151-153]. As the multi-epitope vaccine is now a longer peptide, it is highly likely that its increased potency could be attributed to it being processed by the APCs, ensuring the accurate Tc epitopes presented on MHC-I, as well as the activation via co-stimulatory molecules. In fact, a 15-mer peptide which was initially reported to be able to induce Tc responses in the absence of any adjuvants, was later identified to also contain a Th epitope, which was highly targeted and induced Th responses [154].

As in MHC-I, MHC-II genes are also highly polymorphic, and the recognition of Tc epitopes are restricted by its HLA alleles. To overcome this, an artificial pan HLA-DR helper T-lymphocyte epitope (PADRE) peptide was constructed by introducing anchor residues for different DR motifs within a polyalanine backbone to generate a ‘universal’ T-helper epitope [10]. PADRE binds to majority of the human HLA-DR receptors with moderate to high affinity, hence it is able to overcome the HLA class II restriction by providing ‘universal’ immune stimulation in a MHC-heterologous population [101, 155]. PADRE has been shown to increase the immune response to associated peptide antigens. In a study using HBV-transgenic mice, a construct of HBV-specific Tc epitope and PADRE could break the Tc tolerance [156]. Potent HPV-16 E7-specific CD8+ T cell immune responses were generated when C57BL/6 mice were injected with HPV-16 E7 (9-aa-long peptide) in combination with PADRE and polyriboinosinic: polyribocytidyl acid [poly (I:C)] [157]. Ghaffari-Nazari et al. (2015) demonstrated that the addition of the PADRE peptide and CpG adjuvant to synthetic, multi-epitope, long Her2/neu CTL peptide, P3+45 (44 aa-long peptide, inclusive of the RR linker) enhanced Tc immune response and anti-tumor effects [145]. In dengue vaccine development, a multi-epitope vaccine was designed containing a Tcell epitope (E345-359), a B cell epitope (E383-397), both originating from the envelope domain III (EDIII) of DENV-2 and PADRE. Mice immunized with this multi-epitope vaccine generated specific antibodies against EDIII, and were able to induce in vitro lymphoproliferation and Tc1-dominated cytokine release [158].

Instead of manually selecting dominant Tc and Th epitopes to design a peptide vaccine, an alternative is to use either very long synthetic peptides (approximately 100 amino acids long) [159], or a mixture containing long overlapping synthetic peptides spanning the antigen of choice [160]. In both cases, the long synthetic peptide used will contain the naturally occurring antigen-specific Tc and Th epitopes. Due to its length, these synthetic peptides have to be processed by the professional APCs, prior to presentation on MHC-I or MHC-II receptors. This approach therefore offers the advantage of not biasing the Tc or Th epitope selection. In addition, as the APC is required to proteolytically digest and present the epitopes on HLA-I and HLA-II molecules, the in vivo cellular machinery would select
the correct HLA-restricted epitopes, eliminating the laborious (and perhaps erroneous) pre-selection of epitopes.

9. OTHER CO-STIMULATORY MOLECULES

The first signal alone (TCR recognition of antigen epitope presented via MHC) is insufficient for T cell activation and maturation, and often leads to anergy. The second signal of activation is provided upon interaction of co-stimulatory molecules expressed on the surfaces of T cells and APC. However, T cell activation and differentiation has been shown to occur in the absence of APC, if the co-stimulatory molecule was introduced together with the first signal. This was demonstrated in a study by den Boer et al. (2001) where mice were injected subcutaneously with a Tc peptide derived from the human adenovirus type 5 E1A in incomplete Freund’s adjuvant (IFA) with or without CD40-activating antibodies [161]. They observed that the Tc peptide alone was not able to generate E1A-specific Tc responses, but mice that received the Tc peptide and CD40 treatment were completely protected against tumor outgrowth [161]. In another study performed in murine models, co-injection of a nine-amino acid CD8\(^+\) T cell epitope peptide originating from HPV-16 E7 protein with soluble 4-IBBL, a co-stimulatory molecule, showed higher efficacy in eradicating established TC-1 tumors compared to injection of the peptide alone [162]. In general, co-stimulatory receptors can be broadly classified into two families; the CD28 family (e.g. CD28, CTLA-4, ICOS, PD-1 and BTLA) and the TNFR family (e.g. CD27, 4-1BB, CD40, TRAIL, CD30 and OX40). Each member appears to be important in different phases of the immune response, and has been described in detail in a review by Duttagupta et al. [163].

10. ADJUVANTS AND CARRIERS FOR PEPTIDE VACCINES

10.1. Inclusion of Inflammatory Cytokines (Third Signal)

Adjuvants are agents that can stimulate the immune system, and when administered together with vaccines, improve the antigenicity of the vaccine. Various molecules have been used as adjuvants, including (but not limited to) inflammatory cytokines, TLR agonists, emulsions, bacterial cell components, carbohydrates, mineral salts or combinations of several molecules. In addition to signal 1 (recognition of MHC presented epitopes by TCR) and signal 2 (co-stimulation), optimal T-cell activation and maturation requires the correct inflammatory cytokine milieu (signal 3) [164, 165]. The types of cytokines present in the microenvironment of the CD4\(^+\) T cells after activation and co-stimulation determine the differentiation pathway taken by the cell to become T\(_{\text{H1}}\), T\(_{\text{H2}}\) or T\(_{\text{H17}}\) cells [165].

One of the early experiments to demonstrate the requirement of the third signal was performed in an APC-free environment, using naïve T cells from TCR transgenic mice and artificial MHC peptide/protein antigen complexes immobilized on inert latex microspheres [166]. It was observed that CD8\(^+\) T cells required the presence of IL-12 in addition to the antigen peptide and IL-2 to have optimal differentiation and clonal expansion, while for CD4\(^+\) T cells, IL-1 was the necessary third signal [166]. More recent studies have demonstrated that CD8\(^+\) T cells require IL-12 or type I IFN to support the expansion of this cell type in vivo. In experiments where cells lacked the receptors for IL-12, type I IFN, or both, the dependency on the third signal changed when different infections were introduced. The CD8\(^+\) T cell expansion was shown to be dependent on type I IFN for choriomeningitis virus (LCMV) infection [164]. In vesicular stomatitis virus (VSV) infection, type I IFN was able to substitute the function of IL-12 in CD8\(^+\) T cell expansion [150, 167]. Neither IL-12 nor type I IFN was required for cell expansion during vaccinia virus (VV) infection [164]. On one hand, it has been reported that high amounts of the co-stimulatory signal IL-2 supported CD8\(^+\) T cell cytolytic activity and its differentiation into effector T\(_{\text{c}}\), [147, 168] and that IL-2 was able to support T cell activation, but the question remains if IL-2 is able to compensate for IL-12 and type I IFN during vaccinia virus infection.

Another frequently used cytokine adjuvant is the granulocyte-monocyte colony stimulating factor (GM-CSF). GM-CSF is able to augment both humoral and cellular immunity by upregulating the surface expression of MHC-II and co-stimulatory molecules, CD40 and CD86 [169], enhancing antigen processing and presentation [170]. GM-CSF has been used successfully as an adjuvant with the commercialized HBV vaccine, Engerix-B® [171] and with several peptide vaccines in clinical trials [172, 173]. In dengue vaccination, the use of GM-CSF has so far been restricted to DNA vaccines where it was capable of eliciting stronger immune responses [174, 175]. While GM-CSF is safe and effective, it’s only disadvantage seems to be its short circulating half-life [176], which can be overcome by either attaching a polyethylene glycol moiety to GM-CSF [177], or by encapsulation in a micro or nanoparticle [178, 179].

10.2. Toll-Like Receptor (TLR) Agonists

TLRs are transmembrane proteins that are localized either on the cell surface or intracellular components such as endosomes. TLRs of the cell surface (TLR1, 2, 4, 5, and 6) are primarily involved in detection of extracellular bacterial products, while TLRs of the endosomes (TLR 3, 7, 8 and 9) target the identification of viral and bacterial nucleic acids [180]. TLRs are able to identify pathogen-associated microbial patterns (PAMPs) from microorganisms, and initiate the signaling cascade of early innate immune responses.agonists of TLR3, 4, 7 and 9 have been shown to enhance the efficacy and potency of anti-viral or anti-cancer peptide vaccines in mouse models [147, 181-186]. In fact, the success of early vaccines is due to its accidental contamination with bacterial components that were able to elicit TLR activation, providing it the additional ‘adjuvant effect’. Some examples are the lesions from cowpox patients that contained vaccinia virus and TLR 9 agonists, and the presence of TLR 2, 4 and 9 in the heat-killed Mycobacterium tuberculosis, which is a major component of complete Freund’s adjuvant [180].

TLRs are able to act as adjuvants of the poorly immunogenic peptide vaccines, as they can activate Dendritic Cells (DCs) [187]. When DCs are activated, the expression of co-stimulatory molecules such as CD80/86 and CD40 get upregulated, and are key in subsequent T-cell activation and differentiation [188]. In addition, TLR ligands are able to recruit MHC molecules from the endosomal recycling compartments, and thus enhancing antigen cross-presentation [189]. TLRs are often used in viral vaccine formulation owing to their strong adjuvant properties. Imidazoquinoline, a TLR-7/8 agonist, was able to act as an adjuvant and broaden responses to the avian influenza virus H5N1 HA based antigens in related viral clades, conferring a wider protection against this virus [190]. A highly selective TLR7 agonist, GS-9620, when administered together with a HIV peptide showed increased CD8\(^+\) T cell degranulation, cytolytic activity and cytokine secretion [190]. Some studies performed in humans and mice propose using several TLR agonists in combination for a more potent adjuvant effect [191, 192]. Combination of three TLRs (TLR 2/6, TLR 3 and TLR 9) increased protective efficacy of HIV envelope peptide vaccination in mice, compared to when only 2 TLR ligands were used. Increased vaccine efficacy was most likely due to higher production of IL-15 and its receptor IL-15R which contributed to the high avidity of T cells to the HIV antigen, enhancing viral clearance [191]. In dengue vaccine development, a group from Taiwan has evaluated the use of CpG oligodeoxynucleotides (a TLR-9 agonist) in a subunit vaccine preparation containing dengue-1 envelope protein domain III and water-in-oil-in-water emulsion [193]. This vaccine preparation was able to induce anti-DENV-1 neutralizing antibodies and increased IFN-γ secretion from splenocytes following in vitro stimulation, unlike another preparation formulated using aluminium phosphate [193]. The applications of TLR agonists are
vast, and has also been shown to be efficacious in the areas of cancer and infection immunotherapy [180, 194, 195].

10.3. Alum

Alum (aluminium hydroxide) is a safe, well-known, and US FDA (US Food and Drug Administration)-approved adjuvant for human use [196]. Antigens bind to alum by adsorption, and the resulting alum-antigen complex has enhanced antigen uptake and presentation by APCs [197]. Despite the wide use of alum in different vaccine formulations, it is a poor activator of DCs, and induces poor Th1-associated immune response essential for anti-viral vaccines [198].

10.4. Emulsion Based Carriers

Complete Freund’s Adjuvant (CFA) is one of the most commonly used adjuvants in animal research. CFA is not approved for human application as it is toxic, exhibiting high reactogenicity and has reported to cause local necrotic ulcers. It is made up of a combination of bacterial and emulsion adjuvants, specifically heat killed bacillus Calmette-Guérin (BCG) (Mycobacterium bovis strain) and mineral oils. The mode of action of CFA is two-pronged: the mineral oil encapsulation of antigen provides a depot for slow antigen release, favoring phagocytosis. The heat killed bacterium activates DCs and the Th1 pathway via its adjuvant effect. In animal immunizations, CFA is usually only used during the first antigen injection, as it induces a strong Th1 dominated inflammatory response at the site of injection. For subsequent injections, antigen is injected with the less toxic IFA, which lacks the Mycobacterium component.

As synthetic peptide vaccines are poorly immunogenic, encapsulation of peptide vaccines are helpful as it provides what is known as the “depot effect”, which is a prolonged exposure, and slow release from the emulsion to ensure a complete immune response. In addition, encapsulation protects peptide vaccines against proteolytic digestion. However, in some cases, the depot effect has resulted in prolonged local chronic infection [10, 140, 199]. Additionally, in the absence of the second signal, the depot effect can risk promoting CD8+ T cell tolerance, which has been observed in vaccination carried out with minimal T cell peptides [10, 140, 200, 201].

Encapsulated carriers are customizable, and are either delivered as water-in-oil emulsions, or multi-loaded with other adjuvants such as inflammatory cytokines or TLR agonists. Two of the newer emulsion based carriers that have been used in human vaccinations include MF59 and Montanide. MF59 is a microfluidized water-in-oil emulsion made up of squalene, polysorbate 80 and sorbitan trioleate [202]. It is the first adjuvant to be used in FLUAD®, a seasonal influenza vaccine [203, 204]. Montanide™ is another family of water-in-oil emulsion adjuvants, of which two formulations, Montanide ISA51 (IFA) and Montanide ISA720 are specific for human use. Its applications are vast and has been used in about 300 clinical trials involving vaccines of malaria, AIDS, cancer and autoimmune diseases. Commercially, Montanide ISA51 has been used in the formulation of Cimavax-EGF, a vaccine against non-small-cell lung carcinoma [205]. Other squalene-based emulsions such as AF03, AS03 and AS04 have also been approved for human applications, but are disease and country specific.

10.5. Liposomes

Liposomes are self-assembling vesicular structures composed of phospholipid bilayer shell with an aqueous core, and have been used extensively as drug delivery vehicles [206]. They can be generated as either multimamellar vesicles which consist of several concentric phospholipid shells separated by layers of water, or unilamellar vesicles made of a single phospholipid bilayer [207, 208]. Liposomes are biocompatible and completely biodegradable, making them advantageous over other vaccine carriers because of their lack of toxicity. Liposome are chemically and structurally flexible, and can encapsulate or conjugate to either a hydrophilic antigen, or a lipophilic component which can intercalate between the lipid molecules, promoting a better uptake by APCs due to improved antigen accessibility [206]. In addition, surfaces of liposomes can be designed to target immune cells and co-deliver immune-stimulatory agents, to enhance the immune response [124, 209]. For example, liposomes have been used in the delivery of diphtheria toxoid in combination with poly (I:C) [209]. It has also been approved in vaccine formulations for human use, such as Inflexal® (influenza vaccine) and Epanal V® (hepatitis A vaccine) [210, 211]. In the field of dengue vaccine research, a novel vaccine candidate was formulated using truncated recombinant envelope protein from all 4 serotypes (DEN-80E) and ionisable cationic lipid nanoparticles [212]. Immunization of mice, rodents and non-human primates with this vaccine formulation was able to elicit high titers of DENV neutralizing antibodies, and induced DEN-80E specific CD4+ and CD8+ T cell responses [212].

10.6. Virus-Like Particles (VLPs)

VLPs are self-assembling nanoparticle structures that resemble viruses, composed of viral structural proteins (such as capsid and envelope proteins). However, they are non-infectious and are unable to replicate as they do not contain any viral genetic material. Hence, VLPs are a safer alternative to LAVs, having the same external viral protein assembly that is capable of inducing strong humoral and cell-mediated immunity, without the adverse effects associated with LAVs [208, 213, 214]. The use of VLPs as a vaccination strategy in dengue has also been explored. Recombinant VLPs containing prM and E proteins of each dengue serotype were generated in mammalian cells, and evaluated for their humoral and cell-mediated immune responses in mice [215]. Both monovalent and tetravalent VLPs demonstrated specific antibody production and neutralizing antibody activity. Splenocytes harvested from mice vaccinated with either dengue VLPs or virions were then stimulated in vitro with VLPs, and both groups showed comparable IFN-γ release, indicating that the VLPs were capable of stimulating cell-mediated immunity [215]. In another similar study, the secretion of TNF-α and IL-10 were reported in mice immunized with tetravalent VLPs containing the prM and E proteins [216]. Although the VLP approach for dengue vaccination has been shown to be efficacious in mice, its effectiveness in humans is yet to be tested. These experiments would be important to do, as the current knowledge of epitopes point to the fact that dengue virus structural proteins (and NS1) mainly elicit the humoral response, while the cell-mediated immunity is primarily generated against T cell epitopes from the non-structural regions, and is mostly concentrated at NS3, NS4B and NS5 [26].

10.7. Other Nanoparticles

Several other nanoparticle strategies for the delivery of peptide vaccines exist, and are summarized in Table 3. Among these nanoparticles, some have been investigated in relation to dengue vaccines such as polymeric nanoparticles (PLGA and chitosan) and inorganic nanoparticles (carbon nanotubes) (Table 3).

11. CREATIVE STRATEGIES IN DESIGNING MULTI-EPIITOPE PEPTIDE VACCINES

Designing a multi-epitope peptide vaccine allows for customization of the molecule, in order to stimulate the appropriate immune response desired. Using the multi-epitope strategy, it is possible to “hand-pick” epitopes of interest, thereby combining epitopes of interest from different protein subunits in one long synthetic peptide. This was elegantly demonstrated in a vaccine candidate of hepatitis C virus, where the peptide vaccine contained three immunodominant CD8+ T cell epitopes from different protein subunits, a CD4+ epitope and a B cell epitope [230]. When tested in Balb/c mice, this vaccine candidate was able to elicit specific HCV IgG1 and IgG2a antibodies, increased IFN-γ levels, and a stable and
and IgG antibodies, increased IFN-γ levels, and a stable and long lasting immune response when tested 9 weeks after the last immunization [230]. A similar approach was employed in designing an anti-foot and mouth disease virus (FMDV) vaccine candidate, consisting of tandem repeats of five B cell epitopes from different FMDV variants and one T cell epitope [231].

Another study combined the approaches of multi-epitope peptides and subunit vaccine. The Multi-Epitope Assembly Peptide (MEAP) against Herpes Simplex Virus Type 2 (HSV-2) was constructed by inserting 12 different epitopes (six B cell epitopes, four CD4+ T cell epitopes and two CD8+ T cell epitopes) into the extracellular fragments of HSV-2 glycoprotein D [232]. This MEAP construct could be a potential vaccine candidate against HSV-2, as it was able to completely protect immunized mice against HSV-2 infection by eliciting high titers of neutralizing antibodies and cell-mediated immune responses [232].

The peptide vaccine approaches described so far have used different epitopes from a single protein. Creative designing of vaccines using multiple peptides derived from multiple proteins have been gaining attention of late. In cancer, as Tumor Associated Antigen (TAA) expression is limited to a proportion of tumors, the use of multi-protein peptide vaccine is more advantageous compared to traditional single-protein peptide vaccine. Multiple peptides originating from different proteins have the possibility of targeting higher amounts of Tc, and have shown to be effective in studies involving myeloma [233], esophageal cancer [234] and non-small cell lung cancer [235]. A multi-protein peptide vaccine for renal cancer, IMA901, is currently undergoing phase III trial [236], and has shown to be clinically beneficial. This approach has a potential to be explored in the area of dengue, given that Tc epitopes have been identified in multiple proteins of a particular dengue virus serotype, and that epitopes of all four virus serotypes must be included in the final vaccine formulation to confer complete protection.

HLA restriction of epitopes is one of the major stumbling blocks in selecting the appropriate epitopes to design a successful peptide vaccine. Two different strategies could be used to overcome this issue. First, a mixture epitopes specific to different HLA molecules could be co-delivered during vaccination. This approach has been used successfully in a phase II trial for melanoma [237]. In this study, either 4 or 12 defined melanoma peptides from different proteins, melanocytic differentiation proteins (tyrosinase and gp100), cancer testis antigens (MAGE-A1, -A3, -A10 and NY-ESO-1) and five other novel peptides, that were restricted to HLA-A1, HLA-A2 and HLA-A3 were co-delivered with tetanus toxoid peptide, GM-CSF and Montanide ISA51 [237]. The authors observed that the more complex 12-peptide mixture induced a broader and more robust immune response. In addition, despite competition due to additional peptides specific to MHC molecules that did not match MHC of the patients, immunogenicity of individual peptides were maintained [237]. The second approach entails the use of T cell epitopes that are promiscuous in their binding to HLA. The HLA-DR restricted CD4+ T cell epitope of cancer-testis antigen HCA587 was shown to behave as a promiscuous epitope, as it was able to stimulate T cells in the context of multiple HLA-II alleles [238]. In dengue research, the group of Ernesto Marques identified DENV-3 T cell epitopes that were highly conserved among all four dengue serotypes, and demonstrated that these epitopes were also promiscuous in their HLA binding, some able to bind to as many as 14 HLA molecules [93], making these epitopes promising candidates to be used in dengue vaccination.

12. FUTURE OUTLOOKS IN DESIGNING PEPTIDE VACCINES AGAINST DENGUE

In the last few years, there have been concentrated research efforts in identifying T- and B-cell epitopes against dengue virus. This was reflected in the number of dengue-specific epitopes deposited in the immune epitope database (IEDB). In May 2017, there were 825 HLA-I restricted epitopes and 884 HLA-II restricted epitopes, which was a significant increase from what was previously reported [38]. The DENV proteome is made up of 10 proteins, three structural and seven non-structural. The results of multiple studies point out that the humoral response is mostly directed against the structural proteins (pre-membrane and envelope) and NS1 [239, 240], CD8+ T-cell epitopes are concentrated in the non-structural region [76] (mainly NS3 and NS5) [19, 26, 69, 78] and CD4+ T cell epitopes recognize the capsid, envelope and NS3 regions [19, 26, 77, 83, 84]. An exception is DENV3, where the CD8+ T cell epitopes were found in both structural and non-structural proteins [241].

Dengvaxia®, the only licensed vaccine to date is not effective against DENV-1 and -2. As prM and E proteins were the only dengue proteins in Dengvaxia® which was built on a YFV backbone, it was not surprising that it only elicited anti-prM and anti-E antibody responses in vaccinated individuals, while no cell-mediated immunity was reported, likely due to the absence of dengue NS proteins in the vaccine construct. The other two most advanced vaccines in clinical trials, DENVax (Takeda) and TV003/TV005 (NIAID) is made up entirely of dengue proteins, and were therefore able to elicit both humoral and T-cell responses against DENV. As these vaccines are LAVs, there are two main disadvantages - the significant risks associated with its use, and the high cost factor of producing tetravalent LAVs.

Finding a good dengue vaccine has been difficult as the pathogenesis of the disease is complexed by the four co-circulating serotypes, and the worsening of the symptoms during secondary heterologous infections. An ideal dengue vaccine must mimic the natural immune response against the natural virus- that is having both
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humoral and cell-mediated immune responses against all four serotypes, while being safe, and ideally affordable. HLA-restriction of CD8 and CD4 T cell epitopes must also be factored in the vaccine design. As shown from multiple studies (Table 2), the HLA-restriction observed in different populations (with regards to the protective and susceptible HLA molecules) were heterogeneous. Studies done to investigate peptide binding to cell lines expressing single HLA molecules or purified HLA proteins showed that as several HLA molecules shared peptide binding specificities, only a small number of HLA needs to be targeted in order to cover the restriction profile of the majority of a population [106, 107]. In relation to dengue vaccines, once the major protective HLA molecules for a certain population have been identified, these HLA-restrictions should be taken into account to select the appropriate Tc epitopes in the multi-epitope vaccine design.

One of the hallmarks of a successful vaccine candidate is its ability to protect against viral infections. In the case of peptide vaccines against dengue, this was evaluated by first immunizing the mice with the peptides in relevant adjuvants, followed by infection using a mouse-adapted viral strain of dengue. Two groups have shown that different formulations of multi-epitope peptide vaccines were able to protect vaccinated mice against dengue viral challenge, likely via a combination of humoral and cell-mediated pathways [69, 158]. Similar promising outlooks were reported in peptide vaccines developed against other viruses such as influenza [242, 243], vaccinia and variola viruses [244] and foot-and-mouth disease virus [245] that were able to confer protection when challenged with virus.

An effective anti-dengue vaccine should be capable of eliciting both neutralizing antibodies and activating CD8+ cytotoxic T cells to kill virus-infected cells, eventually leading to viral clearance from the host. However, it is insufficient for a peptide vaccine candidate to elicit high levels of peptide-specific IgG antibodies without sufficient neutralizing activity [90], as this could be potentially cause ADE [246, 247], subsequently leading to severe dengue. The live attenuated tetravalent vaccine Dengvaxia® was able to elicit high levels of neutralizing antibodies [range: 47% (DENV2) to 83% (DENV4)] against all four dengue virus serotypes, but still reported poor efficacy against DENV1 (~58%) and DENV2 (~47%) [31]. This suggests that complete immune protection cannot be conferred solely by neutralizing antibodies, and should be complemented by the cellular immunity. Initial clinical trial data of the three other dengue vaccines, two from NIAID [42, 43], one from Takeda [46], showed that they were able to stimulate both neutralizing antibodies and cytotoxic T cell response against dengue virus. However, protective efficiencies of both vaccines will be known based on the phase III clinical trial outcomes.

The multi-epitope peptide vaccine based strategy appears to be an attractive route to pursue as it is safe, reproducible and cost-effective. The vaccine will be able to elicit both humoral and cellular immunity to mimic the natural immune response against dengue as it is comprised of B- and T-cell epitope sequences that are highly conserved in all four serotypes. Immunogenicity of the peptide vaccine may be improved by the addition of adjuvants, and its delivery to lymphocyte cells targeted using nanoparticles.

CONCLUSION
Dengue remains a threat in modern society and is endemic in more than 100 countries. Although some advances have been made in terms of dengue vaccines, there are still areas of improvements in terms of efficacy and safety profiles. Peptide-based vaccine holds potential promise as it is safe, highly biocompatible and is cost-effective. In addition, peptide vaccines are customizable and can be engineered to target both the cell-mediated and humoral responses against dengue. The use of biological or chemical based adjuvants, as well as carriers (emulsions, lipids, nanoparticles) may significantly improve the immunogenicity of peptide vaccines.
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