Development of PCR-RFLP Technique for Identify Several Members of *Fusarium incarnatum-equiseti* Species Complex and *Fusarium fujikuroi* Species Complex
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*Fusarium incarnatum-equiseti* species complex (FI-ESC) contain over 40 members. The primer pair Smibo1FM/Semi1RM on the *RPB2* partial gene has been reported to be able to identify *Fusarium semitectum*. The *F. fujikuroi* species complex (FFSC) contains more than 50 members. The *F. verticillioides* as a member of this complex can be identified by using VER1/VER2 primer pair on the *CaM* partial gene.

In this research, the Smibo1FM/Semi1RM can amplify *F. sulawesiense*, *F. hainanense*, *F. bubalinum*, and *F. tanahbumbuense*, members of FI-ESC at 424 bp. The VER1/VER2 can amplify *F. verticillioides*, *F. andiyazi*, and *F. pseudocircinatum*, members of FFSC at 578 bp. Polymerase chain reaction-restriction fragment length polymorphism by using the combination of three restriction enzymes *EcoRV*, *MspI*, and *HpyAV* can differentiate each species of FI-ESC used. The two restriction enzymes *HpaII* and *NspI* can distinguish each species of FFSC used. The proper identification process is required for pathogen control in the field in order to reduce crop yield loss.
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*Fusarium* is a genus known to cause several plant diseases (Leslie and Summerell, 2006). Over the last 100 years, the *Fusarium* taxonomy has undergone a variety of modifications. The *Fusarium incarnatum-equiseti* species complex (FI-ESC) comprises 33 phylospecies and over 40 species members. This species complex is still being developed (Xia et al., 2019). The *F. fujikuroi* species complex (FFSC) has more than 50 members with a wide host range (O’Donnell et al., 2015). Morphological data are insufficient and unreliable as a basis for the identification of a species, as they can lead to incorrect identification. Molecular techniques must be implemented to accurately identify pathogenic fungi up to phylogenetic levels. Molecular observations are needed for fungal identification. This method can be employed in various ways, including using polymerase chain reaction (PCR), species-specific primers, DNA sequencing, or PCR-restriction fragment length polymorphism (RFLP). Therefore, research on accurate identification methods are required.

The molecular identification performed using PCR by species-specific primer becomes an efficient method. Its high sensitivity enables the pathogen to be directly detected even though the fungal mycelia in complex mixtures and invisible under the microscope (Jurado et al., 2006). Mulè et al. (2004) has designed a species-specific assay based on calmodulin partial (*CaM*) gene for the identification of *F. verticilloides*, *F. proliferatum*, and *F. subglutinans* which have been widely used by the researchers across the world.
Hong et al. (2010) designed Smibo1FM/Semi1RM primer pair for molecular identification of *F. semitectum* (=*F. incarnatum*) as quarantine fungal species in Korea. There have been no other reports on specific primers that can identify each species specifically.

The PCR-RFLP has been used to detect intraspecies and interspecies variations. PCR-RFLP is the amplification of the fragment containing the variation (Rasmussen, 2012). This technique involves treating the amplified fragment with an appropriate restriction enzyme (Rasmussen, 2012). The restriction analysis of PCR-RFLP is an appropriate method for taxonomic studies in *Fusarium* spp. (Konstantinova and Yli-Mattila, 2004; Nicholson et al., 1993). The PCR-RFLP technique has been used to distinguish members of *F. graminearum* species complex (Hafez et al., 2020; Suga et al., 2008), members of FFSC (Suga et al., 2014), and has also been used to study genetic diversity in *F. oxysporum* f. sp. *fragariae* (Kim et al., 2017).

The PCR-RFLP method can be used to group fungal types or select genetic characteristic-based isolates (Diguta et al., 2011). This method began with the amplification of DNA from the gene target area using a PCR machine and then moved on to the RFLP method of cutting DNA amplicons using restriction enzymes (Diguta et al., 2011). The PCR-RFLP technique produces high polymorphism in specific fungal isolates, such as *Fusarium*, by using species-specific markers (Datta et al., 2011). This marker has also been presented to be inexpensive, simple to use, and does not take a long time to investigate the diversity of fungal species through the fungal isolation process (Diguta et al., 2011) or without the isolation stage (Viaud et al., 2000).

Molecular diagnostics are also important for an accurate identification of pathogens. Therefore, the authors hypothesized that the PCR-RFLP technique would accurately identify FIESC and FFSC members. The objectives of this study are to (1) generate molecular amplification using species-specific primer, and (2) develop a PCR-RFLP technique for identifying several member of FIESC and FFSC. The findings of the research will form the basis for appropriate pathogen control measures in the future.

**Fungal isolates and genomic DNA extraction.** A total of 27 isolates of FIESC and FFSC were procured from previous research by Pramunadipa et al. (2022). The authors also used the Northern Regional Research Laboratory (NRRL) 22172 Agricultural Research Service Culture Collection isolate as a positive control for *F. verticillioides* (Table 1). The *Fusarium* spp. isolates were grown on potato dextrose broth at 25°C for 3-4 days. The mycelia were air-dried for 24 h before being powdered with sterilized small steel wire with a vortex in the microtube. The mycelial powder was mixed with 300 µl of potassium ethyl xanthogenate solution and then incubated at 60°C for 30 min as previously described (Suga et al., 2008). The final DNA pellet was resuspended in 400 µl of water.

**PCR assay and sequencing.** The PCR was used to amplify the *RPB2* gene region of the FIESC using the Smibo1FM (5′-GCAAAAAGGCCTCTGCAC-C3′) and Semi1RM (5′-AGGTTTAGAGATTCGCG-3′) primer pair (Hong et al., 2010). *CaM* gene region of FFSC was amplified using the VER 1 (5′-CTTCTCTGAGTTGCTTCC-3′) and VER 2 (5′-AATTGGGTATTATAATTATACTA-3′) primer pair (Mulè et al., 2004). Reactions were performed in the BioRad T100 Thermal Cycler (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Inc., Hercules, CA, USA) under the following PCR conditions: (1) initial denaturation at 94°C for 2 min; (2) 30 cycles of denaturation at 94°C for 1 min; (3) annealing at 61°C for 1 min for Smibo1FM/Semi1RM primer pair; (4) extension at 72°C for 2 min; (5) final extension at 72°C for 7; and (6) cooling process at 15°C. The PCR conditions for the VER1/VER2 primer pair were the same as for the Smibo1FM/Semi1RM primer pair, with the exception that the annealing temperature was set to 56°C for 1 min. The PCR products were then visualized using 1% agarose gel (Seakem GTG agarose, Lonza Bioscience, Basel, Switzerland) together with a 100-bp ladder (Geneaid Biotech Ltd, New Taipei City, Taiwan) as a standard size at 100 V for 35 min. Agarose gel was stained with an ethidium bromide solution for 10 min and then visualized under UV light. DNA purification for representative isolates was conducted by using PCR Clean-up Gel Extraction Nucleospin Extract II (Macherey-Nagel, Düren, Germany). The sequence mix was sent to the Life Science Research Center, Gifu University, Japan for sequencing. Sequences were obtained using the ABI PRISM 3100 Genetic Analyzer (Hitachi, Ltd., Tokyo, Japan) as previously described (Suga et al., 2008). Sequences were then deposited in the GenBank with accession number (MW246174-MW246179).

**Development of PCR-RFLP technique.** The results of the partial *RPB2* gene and partial *CaM* gene sequences were then aligned using MEGA X software (Kumar et al., 2018).

A group of restriction enzymes were selected by computational PCR-RFLP analysis based on the discrimination of numerous species using Genetyx version 4.0 (Genetyx, Tokyo, Japan). The sequences were then subjected to *in-silico* RFLP analysis using the pDRAW32 DNA analysis software (http://www.acaclone.com/) and the restriction maps were drawn using Photoshop 2020 software. The
PCR-RFLP was performed using Smibo1FM/Semi1RM and VER1/VER2 primer pairs. The total volume of the reaction mixture was 20 µl as previously described (Suga et al., 2008). Cycling parameters used same as above with 35 cycles of denaturation, annealing and extension. The PCR amplicons of 424 bp for RPB2 gene and 578 bp am-

| Species | Isolate code<sup>a</sup> | Host plant | Geographic origin | TEF 1-α accession no.<sup>a</sup> | PCR-RFLP pattern |
|---------|-------------------------|------------|-------------------|---------------------------------|------------------|
| *Fusarium incarnatum-equiseti* species complex | | | | | |
| *F. sulawesiense* | LP 3 | *Oryza sativa* | Indonesia | MT138454 | RPB2, EcoRV, MspI, and HpyAV<sup>bc</sup> |
| | JTG 1 | | | MT138455 | - |
| | JTM 35 | | | MT138456 | - |
| | DIY 9 | | | MT138457 | - |
| | NTB 1 | | | MT138458 | - |
| | NTT 2 | | | MT138459 | - |
| *F. hainanense* | SMU 3 | | | MT138469 | - |
| | SMU 11 | | | MT138470 | - |
| | SMU 24 | | | MT138471 | - |
| | LP 2 | | | MT138472 | - |
| | BTN 4 | | | MT138473 | - |
| | JBR 10 | | | MT138474 | - |
| | DIY 7 | | | MT138475 | - |
| *F. bubalinum* | SMB 1 | | | MT138461 | - |
| | SMB 2 | | | MT138462 | - |
| | JBR 5 | | | MT138463 | - |
| | JBR 13 | | | MT138464 | - |
| | JTG 14 | | | MT138465 | - |
| | JTM 10 | | | MT138466 | - |
| | SL 3 | | | MT138467 | - |
| | SL 4 | | | MT138468 | - |
| *F. tanahbumbuense* | NTT 6 | | | MT138460 | - |
| *Fusarium fujikuroi* species complex | | | | | |
| *F. andiyazi* | JBR B | *O. sativa* | Indonesia | MT138495 | VI |
| | SMU W | | | MT138489 | VII |
| | BL O | | | MT138490 | VII |
| | BL AA | | | MT138491 | VII |
| | BL AB | | | MT138492 | VII |
| *F. pseudocircinatum* | NRRL22172<sup>d</sup> | *Zea mays* | Germany | AF160262 | V |
| *F. verticillioides* | | | | | |

PCR-RFLP, polymerase chain reaction-restriction fragment length polymorphism.

<sup>a</sup>Isolate and GenBank accession number derived from Pramunadipta et al. (2022).

<sup>b</sup>GenBank accession of isolate used in this research and available on NCBI/DDBJ/EMBL.

<sup>c</sup>PCR-RFLP pattern are shown in Figs. 2 and 3.

<sup>d</sup>Collection isolate from Gifu University.

PCR-RFLP was performed using Smibo1FM/Semi1RM and VER1/VER2 primer pairs. The total volume of the reaction mixture was 20 µl as previously described (Suga et al., 2008). Cycling parameters used same as above with 35 cycles of denaturation, annealing and extension. The PCR amplicons of 424 bp for RPB2 gene and 578 bp am-
Fig. 1. Polymerase chain reaction amplification of *Fusarium* spp. with Smibo1FM/semi1RM primer pair (A) and VER1/VER2 primer pair (B). Lane: I, *F. sulawesiense*; II, *F. hainanense*; III, *F. bubalinum*; IV, *F. tanahbumbuense*; V, *F. verticillioides*; VI, *F. andiyazi*; VII, *F. pseudocircinatum*; N, water; M, 100 bp ladder (Geneaid).

Fig. 2. Analysis of polymerase chain reaction-restriction fragment length polymorphism (PCR-RFLP) by using Smibo1FM/semi1RM primer pair. (A) Sequencing result of Smibo1FM/semi1RM primer pair and restriction sites of *MspI*, *HpyAV*, and *EcoRV*. (B) Restriction map of three restriction enzymes combination. (C) Gel electropherograms of PCR-RFLP in-silico. (D) Gel electropherograms of PCR-RFLP in laboratory. Lane M, 100 bp ladder (NEB).
plicons for CaM gene were confirmed by subjecting 5 µl of the PCR mixture to 1% agarose gel electrophoresis. The remaining 10 µl of PCR mixture was digested with 0.5 units of EcoRV, MspI, and HpyAV (New England Biolabs, Ipswich, MA, USA) for RPB2 gene and HpaI and NspI for CaM gene in each 15 µl reaction volume. The mixtures were incubated for 1 h at 37°C and then visualized using electrophoresis in Metaphor agarose gel (Cambrex Bio Science, Rockland, ME, USA) together with a 100-bp ladder (New England Biolabs) as a standard size.

**Table 2.** Size of fragments expected for PCR-RFLP developed in this study

| Species             | Target gene | Restriction enzyme | Sizes of fragments (bp) | Pattern |
|---------------------|-------------|--------------------|-------------------------|---------|
| FIESC               |             |                    |                         |         |
| *Fusarium sulawesiense* | RPB2       | EcoRV, MspI, and HpyAV | 190, 87, 81             | I       |
| *F. hainanense*     |             | HpyAV              | 168, 130, 60            | II      |
| *F. bubalinum*      |             |                    | 141, 130, 81, 60        | III     |
| *F. tanahbumbuense* |             |                    | 130, 87, 81, 60         | IV      |
| FFSC                |             |                    |                         |         |
| *F. verticillioides* | CaM         | HpaI and NspI      | 319, 132, 67, 60        | V       |
| *F. andiyazi*       |             |                    | 386, 132, 60            | VI      |
| *F. pseudocircinatum* |           |                    | 446, 132               | VII     |

PCR-RFLP, polymerase chain reaction-restriction fragment length polymorphism; FIESC, *Fusarium incarnatum-equiseti* species complex; FFSC, *F. fujikuroi* species complex.

PCR-RFLP technique for identifying several members of FIESC. A total of 22 FIESC isolates were used for confirmation of developing PCR-RFLP. PCR amplification for *F. sulawesiense, F. hainanense, F. bubalinum,* and *F. tanahbumbuense* by using Smibo1FM/Semi1RM primer pair had amplification at 424 bp (Fig. 1A). The representative of FIESC isolates were then sequenced by using Smibo1FM/Semi1RM primer pair. Different results were shown in the DNA base sequence for the Smibo1FM/Semi1RM primer pair sequencing results, indicating that each fungal species differs. This primer encodes the RPB2 region in FIESC and can be used as a starting point for fungal identification PCR-RFLP design (Fig. 2A).

Three combination of restriction enzymes, i.e., EcoRV, MspI, and HpyAV tested in each FIESC species, showed four types of fragment patterns by in-silico RFLP analysis (Fig. 2B and C). The result of PCR-RFLP amplification in laboratory using combination of three restriction enzyme, showed same pattern as in-silico RFLP analysis (Fig. 2D). *F. sulawesiense* showed pattern I, *F. hainanense* showed pattern II, *F. bubalinum* showed pattern III, and *F. tanahbumbuense* showed pattern IV (Tables 1 and 2, Fig. 2D). PCR-RFLP fragment between *F. bubalinum* and *F. tanahbumbuense* looked the same, but there were differences in some fragments. One of *F. bubalinum* fragment pattern showed at 141 bp, but not showed at 87-bp fragment. Meanwhile, the one of *F. tanahbumbuense* fragment pattern showed at 87 bp, but not showed at 141-bp fragment (Table 2, Fig. 2C and D). PCR-RFLP fragments under 100 bp were showed in the *F. sulawesiense, F. hainanense, F. bubalinum,* and *F. tanahbumbuense.* The fragments that appear are fragments that support fragments above 100 bp. In this study, PCR-RFLP fragments above 100 bp are enough to distinguish between species in the FIESC.

The specific primer (Smibo1FM/Semi1RM) developed for the identification of *F. semitectum* by Hong et al. (2010) was found to be non-specific in this study, as this primer also showed amplification in *F. sulawesiense, F. hainanense, F. bubalinum,* and *F. tanahbumbuense.* The PCR-RFLP method by using this primer pair and the combination of three restriction enzymes above can display specific patterns in each species. These results indicate that this method can be used as an alternative to identify those members of the FIESC.

PCR-RFLP technique for identifying several members of FFSC. A total of 6 FFSC isolates were used for developing PCR-RFLP. PCR amplification for *F. verticillioides, F. andiyazi,* and *F. pseudocircinatum* by using VER1/VER2 primer pair amplified at 578 bp (Fig. 1B). PCR products of the representative of FFSC isolates were then sequenced. The differentiated results were shown in the DNA base sequence for the VER1/VER2 primer pair sequencing results, indicate that each fungal species differed. This primer was used to amplify the partial gene of the calmodulin (CaM) gene region, and could be used as a starting point for fungal identification PCR-RFLP design (Fig. 3A).

Two combination of restriction enzyme i.e., HpaI and NspI in each tested FFSC isolate showed three specific patterns by using in-silico RFLP analysis (Fig. 3B and C). The result of PCR-RFLP amplification using combination of
two restriction enzyme for each species showed the same pattern as that of the in-silico RFLP analysis (Fig. 3D). *F. verticillioides* showed pattern V, *F. andiyazi* showed pattern VI, *F. pseudocircinatum* showed pattern VII (Tables 1 and 2, Fig. 3D). PCR-RFLP fragments between *F. verticillioides*, *F. andiyazi*, and *F. pseudocircinatum* produced same fragment on 132 bp, but difference for other fragments. *F. verticillioides* fragment showed at 319 bp, *F. andiyazi* fragment showed at 386 bp, while *F. pseudocircinatum* fragment showed at 446 bp (Fig. 3C and D). *F. verticillioides* and *F. andiyazi* had PCR-RFLP fragments under 100 bp. The fragments that appear are those that support fragments above 100 bp. In this study, PCR-RFLP fragments above 100 bp were found to be sufficient for distinguishing between species in the FFSC. The specific primers (VER1/VER2) designed for the detection of *F. verticilloides* by Mulè et al. (2004) was shown to also provide specific amplification in *F. andiyazi* and *F. pseudocircinatum*. These three species were further distinguished using the PCR-RFLP method, which included the use of the VER1/VER2 primer pair and the use of the two restriction enzymes mentioned above to produce different pattern in each species. These findings suggest that this method can be used to identify FFSC members as an alternative method.

*Fusarium* spp. needed to be identified using molecular techniques (Pramunadipta et al., 2022). The use of a species-specific primer to identify microbes does not always produce accurate results. One of the most important properties of a primer is its target specificity (Ye et al., 2012). In an ideal situation, a primer pair would only amplify the intended target and not the unintended ones. It seems to be unusual for a primer pair designed for one target to bind to another, resulting in non-specific target amplification (Ye et al., 2012). Based on the findings of this study, the PCR-RFLP method can be used to correctly identify fungi as an alternative method. This technique needs to be improved in order to identify the fungi species used in research with other fungi.
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