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SUMMARY

Robust axon regeneration of motoneurons (MNs) occurs in rodent models upon peripheral nerve injury (PNI). However, genome-wide dynamic molecules and permissive microenvironment following insult in MNs remain largely unknown. Here, we firstly tackled by high-coverage and massive sequencing of laser-dissected individual ChAT+ cells to uncover molecules and pro-regenerative programs of MNs from injury to the regenerating phase after PNI. “Injured” populations at 1d~7d were well distinguished and three response phases were well defined by elucidating with several clues (Gap43, etc). We found remarkable changes of genes expressed by injured motoneurons to activate and enhance intrinsic axon regrowth or crosstalk with other cellular or non-cellular counterpart in the activated regenerative microenvironment, specifically microglia/macrophage. We also identified an injury and regeneration-associated module and critical regulators including core transcription factors (Atf3, Arid5a, Klf6, Klf7, Jun, Stat3, and Myc). This study provides a vital resource and critical molecules for studying neural repair of axotomized motoneurons.

INTRODUCTION

Peripheral nerve injury (PNI) is a common and severe clinical problem (Li et al., 2020b). Unlike limited regenerative capacity after CNS injury, axons of sensory and spinal motor neurons could regenerate and rebuild neuromuscular connections after PNI. Extensive studies have explored molecular changes and cellular heterogeneity in sensory neurons after PNI (Avraham et al., 2020; Renthal et al., 2020; Wang et al., 2021). However, limited studies have investigated those in spinal motoneurons (MNs) after PNI. Rodent sciatic nerve crush (SNC) injury is a well-characterized and robust model for studying peripheral nerve regeneration. In addition to the activation of the intrinsic regrowth program, the microenvironment also plays important roles in the successful axon regeneration, including resident glia, peripheral infiltrated immune cells (e.g. macrophage), vascular cells, and extracellular matrix homeostasis (Lei et al., 2012; Scheib and Hoke, 2013). A pioneering study has explored molecular change under translating in MNs using RiboTag; ChATCre mouse model at 7 days post-SNC (Shadrach et al., 2021). However, this only covered limited mRNA forming their protein products and dynamic gene expression at the genome-wide level in MNs in the first seven days when undergoing dramatic change in gliosis and angiogenesis (Milich et al., 2021; Yu et al., 2019) after injury is still unknown.

Laser capture microscopy (LCM) combined with antibody rapid staining could capture cell types of interest in situ and coupled with Smart-seq2 (LCM-seq) could provide the accurate quantification of gene expression in dissected cells (Nichterwitz et al., 2016). LCM-seq has been successfully applied in studies of nervous system diseases, including Parkinson disease and amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (ALS) (Aquila et al., 2021; Nichterwitz et al., 2016, 2020). Unlike other cell isolation methods, such as fluorescence-activated cell sorting and microfluidics, LCM could harvest target cells from the microenvironment without dissociation of the tissue and preserved in situ spatial information (Nichterwitz et al., 2016). Though inevitable encompassing close its neighboring cells or non-cells around a target cell, LCM-seq just also provides additional information for its microecology.

MNs are large and sparse neurons in the ventral horn of the spinal cord which is difficult and inefficient to obtain sufficient and qualified MNs. Moreover, dynamic cellular heterogeneity around MNs during injury...
and regeneration that nuclei isolation would lose substantial information. Thus, we employed LCM-seq to investigate the dynamic expression profile of spinal MNs soma and its minimum niches during axonal injury and regeneration. We investigated six timepoints post-SNC and the naive rat with approximately a single MN resolution (a motor neuron was contained in a sample). Comparison with another study (Shadrach et al., 2021) in gene expression of injured MNs and our immunostaining indicated the high quality of our data in reproducibility and accuracy. In the SNC rodent model, not all dorsal root ganglion (DRG) neurons or motoneurons are axotomized (~50%) (Holland et al., 2019; Shadrach et al., 2021). Based on clustering analysis and expression of neuronal injury marker (Atf3), we could well distinguish “intact” and “injured” MNs at 1, 3, and 7 days and estimated axotomized MNs ratio were approximated to previous studies (Holland et al., 2019; Shadrach et al., 2021). We found numerous robustly differentially expressed genes (DEGs) reported as regeneration-associated genes (RAGs) in DRG after PNI was robustly upregulated in “injured and regenerating” MNs by comparison with the naive and “intact” MNs. We also demonstrated highly dynamic microenvironments around “injured and regenerating” MNs with dramatic changes of genes related to the response of MNs, microglia/macrophage, vascular cells, and extracellular matrix reorganization. We also demonstrated critical regulators and a potential injury and regenerative-related co-expression module post-SNC. Specifically, we found a candidate master regulator (a transcription factor and RNA-binding protein, ARID5A) which may be associated with axon regeneration, presenting robust upregulation in injured neurons (including sensory and motor neurons) and co-expression with other well-known regulators (Atf3, Klf6, Myc, and Sox11) and significantly inhibiting neurites outgrowth of primary sensory neurons by knockdown of Arid5A in vitro. Finally, we present a web-based resource for easily exploring gene expression of MNs after PNI (MN-niches-seq). Our dataset not only provides the first temporal-spatial profile of individual MNs and its niche providing dynamic microenvironment information during axonal injury and regeneration, which are crucial for studying nerve regeneration and motoneuron degeneration disease, such as ALS.

RESULTS

Two distinct clusters were revealed by LCM-seq

We employed a sciatic nerve crush (SNC) rat model, and investigated timepoints: 0.5, 3, 12 h, 1 d, 3 d, and 7 d (hours/days), and compared to that from naive rats to study molecular dynamics for axonal injury and regeneration (Figure 1A). Motoneurons located in the ventral anterior horn of the lumbar spinal cord (L4/5) were labeled using rapid immunofluorescent staining of ChAT antibody and a circular region of the diameter around 1.5–2 times of a large motoneuron soma was cut using LCM under direct microscopic visualization, subjected to Smart-Seq2, and sequenced (Figures 1A and S1). Finally, a total of 700 samples were sequenced successfully with a depth of 44–134 million clean reads per sample. Samples with low expression coding genes were expressed, at least three samples in one group (FPKM > 1) were discarded and left 682 samples (94–104 per condition) and a total of 16,163 protein-coding genes were expressed, at least three samples in one group (FPKM ≥ 1, 12,098–13,074 genes per condition, Figure 1C). Pearson’s correlation analysis showed a high correlation among dissected samples in each condition (Figure 1B). Neuronal activity was estimated with the AUCell package using neuronal markers (Aibar et al., 2017; Cahoy et al., 2008) and showed a high neuronal signature with an AUC score of 676 samples (~99%) greater than the estimation (0.12, Figure 1D). Besides, high expression of markers for alpha motoneurons (Rbfox3 and Spp1) and low expression of the maker for gamma motoneurons (Htr1a) demonstrated that dissected samples here almost encompassed alpha motoneurons (Figure S2). Dimension reductions analyses demonstrated a clear two separated clusters with one consisting of naive samples and early injured samples (0.5–12 h) and most samples from 1 day (93.9%) and samples (47.9%–62.9%) from 3 to 7 days, while the other consisting of 37.1% and 52.1% of samples from 3 to 7 days, respectively (Figures 1E and 1F). Identification of the top 20 markers showed that genes with high expression in cluster 2 were related with the response of neuron and glia to axonal injury, such as neuronal released factors (Atf3, Ccl2 (Shadrach et al., 2021), Reg3b (Namikawa et al., 2005), and Serpina3n (LOC299282) (Vicuna et al., 2015)) microglial activation (Reg3b (Li et al., 2020a; Namikawa et al., 2005), C1qa, Csf1r, Anxa3 (Zhang et al., 2021), and Ly2), and astroglial activation (Gfap, Il13 (Gadani et al., 2015b), and Timp1 (Ogier et al., 2005)). Anxa3 (annexin A3) was most expressed in microglia/macrophages and endothelial cells in the spinal cord (Blum et al., 2021) and functions in inflammation, angiogenesis, and rat liver regeneration (Harashima et al., 2008; Park et al., 2005). Immunostaining of ANXA3 showed obviously increased ANXA3+ cells in ventral horn were detected as early as 1 day, but close contact between ANXA3+ cells and motoneurons were obviously increased at 3 and 7 days reflected by adhesion to the neuronal perikaryon, which is consistent with the result of IBA1 immunostaining (Figures 1I and S3), suggesting increased crosstalk in neuron-microglia. This was generally consistent with our sequenced data. The top 20 upregulated genes in cluster 2 also included known RAGs (Atf3, Gap43, Ngfr, Timp1, and Ccl2), energy metabolism (Ldha), ECM (Timp1)
Figure 1. Two distinct clusters in ventral lumbar spinal motoneurons post-SNC
(A) Overview of the investigated timepoints and workflow of the experiment.
(B) Pearson’s correlations among samples captured based on expressed genes (gene with average FPKM >1 was kept).
(C) Gene number identified per condition. The number above the boxplot represented the sample number.
(D) Histogram of AUC score of neuronal markers reflecting the high activity of neuronal characteristics.
(E) Principal component analysis (PCA) visualization of samples distribution.
(F) UMAP clustering of samples. Two distinct clusters were shown.
(G) The sample proportion of each group from different injury timepoints in two clusters.
(H) Expression profiles of top 20 differential expression genes in either cluster 1 or cluster 2.
(I) Immunostaining of ANXA3 (red) in rat ventral lumbar spinal cord at different injured timepoints post-SNC. Neuron and nuclear were labeled with NeuN (green) and DAPI (blue). Scale bar: 100 μm.
and Timp1 receptor (Cd63, a tetraspanin), and pro/anti-inflammation (Reg3b, Ccl2, Il33). Timp1 interacting with Cd63-activating β1 integrin signaling could activate cellular signaling cascades, promoting cell survival and migration and regulating cytoskeletal reorganization (Lee et al., 2014). Taken together, we suspected that samples in cluster 2 contained injured MNs and underwent regenerating as discussed below.

Three response stages were revealed by LCM-seq

Atf3 is a sensitive and specific neuronal marker with injured peripheral axons (Tsujino et al., 2000). Separate UMAP plots of Atf3 expression showed high expression in samples from cluster 2 and also showed high expression in part samples from cluster 1 at 1 day post-SNC (Figure 2A). We found the expression of Atf3 was greater than 1 in almost samples from cluster 2 while less than 1 in other samples except for part samples from cluster 1 at 1 day (26 samples, 26.5%, Figure 2A). Interestingly, this ratio (26.5%) was approximate to the estimation (~23.8%) of injured sensory neurons at 1 day post sciatic nerve injury (Renthal et al., 2020). Only 1–2 samples in cluster 2 from 3 to 7 days showed expression of Atf3 was less than 1 that we directly assigned based on the clustering results (Figure S4). Namely, 37.1% and 52.1% of “injured” MNs were estimated at 3 and 7 days respectively, which were also consistent with the estimation in motoneurons using ATF3-CreERT2 knockin mouse post-SNC (45 ± 2% for 4 days) (Holland et al., 2019). Thus, we divided these samples into three response stages and termed these stages with stages 1–3 (namely 0.5–12 h, 1 day, and 3–7 days) and three states (states 1–3). Atf3 was significantly upregulated with remarkable fold-change in state 2 and state 3 (81- to 82-fold at 1 day, 122-fold at 3 days, and 131-fold at 7 days) while no significance in state 1 (Figure S5). Our estimation is approximate to the fold-change (134-fold) of Rpl22HA immunoprecipitation (IP) in ipsilateral motoneurons compared to the contralateral ones from RiboTag; ChaTCre mouse model at 7 days post-SNC (Shadrach et al., 2021), suggesting high reproducibility and accuracy of our data. Besides, we employed bootstrapping strategy to identify robust differential expression genes (DEGs) (Aguila et al., 2021) and showed remarkably robust (>90%) differential expression of Atf3 in state 2 and state 3 (Figure S5). The SCENIC (Arbar et al., 2017) analysis showed that the activity of Atf3 regulon was also significantly higher in state 2 and state 3, indicating Atf3 was mostly activated as early as 1 day (Student’s t test, *p < 0.05, **p < 0.0001, Figure S4). Immunolabeling of ATF3 in rat spinal cord upon axonal injury supported our sequencing results that ATF3 was expressed as early as 1 day and co-localized with the nucleus of part motoneurons, indicating injured MNs (Figure 2B). ATF3-positive injured MNs most located in ventral posterolateral nucleus were motor pools for innervation muscles of a limb.

Next, we analyzed DEGs by comparison with the naive to assess whether separation based on a single marker could well obtain a distinct expression profile that reflects cell states. The result showed a significant increase in DEG number after separation with approximately 2-fold of DEG numbers without separation (Figure S6). A smaller number of DEGs were detected in in state 1 from timepoints (0.5 h~1 day, <500 DEGs) than that detected from 3 (874 DEGs) and 7 days (2,049 DEGs) (Figure 2C). A larger DEG number was detected in state 2 and/or state 3 than that detected in the corresponding state 1. A similar DEG number in state 2 and state 3 was detected at 1 day, while a larger DEG number was detected in state 2 at 3 and 7 days, suggesting distinct expression profiles between 1 and 3 days/7 days. 189 and 104 genes showed consistent upregulation and downregulation in state 2 and state 3 at 1 day, respectively (Figure 2D), and upregulated genes were significantly enriched in terms of “response to wounding”, “cell proliferation”, “angiogenesis”, and several signal pathways, such as MAPK and Jak-STAT signaling pathways (Figure 2E). These evidences suggested that our sample separation could well represent distinct cell states by supporting with distinct expression profiles.
Figure 3. Robust DE-Gs in response to axon injury and regeneration

(A) Significant correlation of fold-change of DEGs between the study and our data at 7 days post-SNC.

(B) Venn diagram depicts the overlapped gene numbers by comparison with the naive or corresponding state 1 following SNC.

(C) Nine robust upregulated DEGs in our study.
Robustly differentially expressed genes of MN niches in response to axonal injury

We next performed differential expression analysis by comparison with the naive. We compared our results (state 2 from 7 days) with DEGs (301 out of 342 with ortholog in rat were considered) identified by comparing expression of Rp1221AIP in injured and regenerating MNs with the uninjured in mouse spinal cord at 7 days post-SNC (Shadrach et al., 2021). Significant correlation between these two datasets (Pearson’s correlation: 0.58, p-value < 2.2e-16) was observed based on the fold-change of common DEGs compared to the corresponding control. 189 out of 196 (96.2%) overlapped DEGs showed consistent either upregulation or downregulation, including Atf3, Bst2, and Cyp1b1 (Figure 3A and Table S1). Of the common DEGs, we found dramatical upregulation of genes was released or expressed by injured neurons, such as Atf3, Ccl7, Ccl2, Ngfr, Vip, Crh, Gal, Sprr1a, Ankrd1, Csf1, Adcyap1, and Serpina3n. Besides, we also found genes related to other cellular (endothelial cells, microglia/macrophage) or non-cellular (ECM) were present, suggesting their important roles in axon regeneration. Our result showed a small number of DEGs (<500) at early injury (0.5–12 h) and state 1 from 1 day (Figure 2C) under the relaxing threshold. Though a relatively large number of DEGs (847–2,049) were detected in state 1 at 3 and 7 days (Figure 2C). However, GO enrichment showed that DEGs in state 1 at 3 and 7 days were almost enriched in terms related to inflammation and immune response, such as “positive regulation of cytokine production” and “regulation of inflammatory response” (Figure S7 and Table S2). Axonal injury triggers sterile inflammation with peripheral immune cell infiltration, migration, proliferation and differentiation, and CNS microglia activation, proliferation (Gadani et al., 2015a), forming an inflammatory milieu. Thus, DEGs detected in state 1 from 3 to 7 days may be explained by the physiological response to detrimental stimuli in “intact” motoneurons. Moreover, captured and discriminated “intact” motoneurons and its minimal niches at 1, 3, and 7 days provide a valuable control for further screening crucial molecules involved in axon regeneration.
We next employed bootstrap strategy (Aguila et al., 2021) to identify robust and reliable DEGs (average frequency ≥ 50%). 80 robust DEGs presented in early injury (61 up- and 19 downregulated genes, 0.5–12 h, Figure 3B and Table S3). We found genes, Zfp36, Egr1, Klf4, Junb, Apold1, Dusp1, and Nr4a1, were also significantly upregulated at early injury response in spinal cord injury (Yu et al., 2019) (0.5–3 h, Figures 3C, 3D, and S8). Most of these seven genes were expressed in endothelial and pericyte cells (Milich et al., 2021) (Figure S9), including genes related with angiogenesis (Apold1, endothelial cell-specific) and reported as early stress response (Egr1 and Nr4a1 (Shaked et al., 2015)) and related with inflammation (Zfp36, Dusp1, Nr4a1, Junb, Klf4, and Egr1) (Shaked et al., 2015; Trizzino et al., 2021), suggesting the immediate response of vascular network and inflammation around motoneurons after injury.

Robust DEGs at 1, 3, and 7 days were further screened with either up- or downregulation in comparison with the naive or corresponding state 1 group (upregulation was shown in Figure 3B). A total of 4,916 robustly up- or downregulated genes were identified (Table S4). GO enrichment of upregulated genes (Figure 3E) showed that terms related to inflammation and immune response were the most enriched at 3 and 7 days, such as “positive regulation of cytokine production” and “acute inflammatory response”. We also found terms related to myeloid cells (differentiation, migration, and homeostasis) were significantly enriched (Figure 3E). To estimate the abundance of immune cells around a motor neuron upon injury, we employed the CIBERSORT (Newman et al., 2015) deconvolution analysis, a tool estimated the abundances of member cell types in a mixed cell population. The result showed a significantly increased cell fraction of M2 macrophage at 3 and 7 days, specifically in state 2, based on a leukocyte gene signature matrix (LM22), suggesting accumulation of anti-inflammation macrophage around injured and regenerating motoneurons (Figures 3F and S10). We found microglia/macrophage markers showed robust upregulation at 1, 3, and 7 days, specifically at 3 and 7 days, such as Tmem119, P2ry12, Aif1 (IBA1), Csf1r, Cx3cr1 (Figure 3G) and several (Tmem119, Trem2, Aif1, Itgam (Cd11b), Adgre1 (F4/80), P2ry6, and Tyrobp (DAP12)), were also present in another study (Shadrach et al., 2021) (Figure 3A). Significant robust upregulation expression of microglia related in comparison with the naive and “intact”, suggesting the importance of microglia-motoneuron crosstalk in axonal injury and repair, such as genes involved in activation (Csf1, Spi1, Irf8, Laptm5, Reg3b, etc), migration (Trem2, Csf1, and P2ry12), proliferation (Il33), and transition from M1 to M2 (Arg1 and Igf1, Figure 3H).

We also found terms related to axon regrowth were the most enriched in “injured and regenerating” at 3 and 7 days, such as actin cytoskeleton organization (Pdlim1, 4, and Rnd1) and microtubule polymerization (Tubb2b, Figures 3F and 3H). We found 23 RAGs with literature evidence present at the top 100 of ranked upregulated genes, including injured MNs released peptide/hormone (Vip, Adcyap1), cytokines (Ccl2, Ccl17, and Tslp), growth factors/receptor (Ngrf and Gmn), and regenerating axon (Sprt1a, Figure 3G). Of which, injury-induced cytokines, Ccl2 (322-fold at 3 days and 243-fold at 7 days) and Ccl7 (788-fold at 3 days and 624-fold at 7 days), proven mostly released by injured neurons to activate or recruit microglia/macrophage for enhancing axon regeneration were presented at the top 10 ranked upregulation, which was consistent with the study (Kwon et al., 2015; Shadrach et al., 2021). Vip (immunoreactive vasoactive intestinal peptide) and Adcyap1 (also known as PACAP), released by neurons, could promote and accelerate early myelination and axon regrowth after PNS injury (Woodley et al., 2019; Zhang et al., 2002). We found low expression of Vip and Adcyap1 in the naive, early injury (0.5 h–1 day)), and “intact” neurons at 3 and 7 days (<1 FPKM) but dramatic increased at state 2 from 3 to 7 days (17–39 FPKM). Adcyap1 exerts pleiotropic roles with neurotrophic factor, neuromodulator, and neurotransmitter and could enhance neurite growth by binding its predominant receptor, Adcyap1r1 (PAC1), in human sensory neurons (Baskozzos et al., 2020).

Except for the response from glia and neurons, we also found genes related to angiogenesis, such as Procr, Hif1a, Cd40, Gmn, and Angptl4 (Figure 4H). Procr (Endothelial protein C receptor precursor), a marker of endothelial stem cells, was only significantly upregulated at state 2 (20-fold at 1 day, 42- to 47-fold at 3 and 7 days), and upregulation was also observed in the study (9-fold at 7 days, Figure 3A) (Shadrach et al., 2021). Neurovascular units are critical to nerve regeneration and angiogenesis plays vital roles in wound healing by neurotrophic support, such as released growth factors (Vegfa and Vegfb) contributing a permissive microenvironment for axonal regrowth (Guaquil et al., 2014). Injury induces hypoxia condition that activation of Hif1a (hypoxia-inducible factor, 3.6- to 3.7-fold at 3 and 7 days), a critical regulator in regenerating neurons, orchestrate various angiogenic factors, such as VEGF, bFGF, angiopoietins, and angiopoietin-like (ANGPTL) proteins to enhance nerve regeneration (Cho et al., 2015; Inoue et al., 2014).
Figure 4. Vital TFs and core regulons in axonal injury and regeneration
(A) Three of five clusters of TF expression patterns.
(B) Heatmap plot depicts clustering among regulons inferred from SCENIC based on connection specificity index (CSI) based on AUC score of regulons.
(C) Frequency and log2-transformed fold-change of key regulators in M4 from Figure 4A across different injured time points compared to the naive. Regulators of regulons from M4 were shown at the bottom of the heatmap and key regulators were shown at the right of the heatmap. Only samples of state 3 from 1 day and state 2 from 3 to 7 days were considered.
(D) The top 25 regulons at 1 day were identified by the master regulator analysis algorithm (MARINA) compared to the naive. Vertical bars indicated positions of the targets belonging to each TF’s regulon and colors indicated the Spearman’s correlation between the expression profiles of the TF and its targets (blue: negative correlation; red: positive correlation).
(E) Venn diagrams depict the overlap of candidate master regulators inferred from MARINA and SCENIC. Regulators with FDR < 0.05 inferred by MARINA at 1 day were selected. Co-operated regulators at M4 inferred by SCENIC were selected.
(F) Expression of Arid5a at different injured time points at distinct states. Each line depicts a sample.
(G) Binding sites between ATF3 and Arid5a were identified by the FIMO program. Red blocks indicated ATF3-binding sites. Numbers on the x axis represent the base number 5’ upstream from Arid5a transcription start sites.
(H) Immunostaining of ARID5A in the ventral horn of the rat lumbar spinal cord at different timepoints post-injury.
(I) Knockdown of Arid5a by siRNA significantly reduced the total neurite length in primary DRG neurons. Up: representative images of Arid5a knockdown (siRNA-Arid5a) and negative control (NC) in culture neurons. Down: total neurite length per DRG neuron after Arid5a knockdown was quantified. The significant difference was performed using Student’s t-test.
(J) Enrichment score distribution of geneset (873 ortholog genes in rat with significantly downregulated in Figure 3A) showed significant upregulation in the “pre-regeneration phase” and/or “regeneration phase”. We also found nine TFs (Arid5a, Nr3f3, Atf3, Crem, Sox11, etc, Figure 4A and Table S1) present in DEGs of the study (Shadrach et al., 2021). GO category of the biological process showed these TFs mainly involved in myeloid cell differentiation and homeostasis (Spp1, Ifit8, etc), regulation of angiogenesis (12 TFs, Runx1, Hif1a, Xbp1, Stat3, etc), neurogenesis (Cux1, Nkx6-2, Hif1a, Myc, Sox11, Etv5, etc), and gliogenesis (Myc, Sox11, Etv5, Nkx6-2, etc), and ER unfolded protein response (Atf3, Creb3, Xbp1, etc) (Table S7).

Thus, robust upregulation of proangiogenic genes in endothelial cells may provide a permissive microenvironment to promote axon regeneration.

We also found terms related to ECM, such as cell-matrix adhesion and cell adhesion mediated by integrin, were significantly enriched at 3 and 7 days (Figure 3E). ECM is composed of fibrous proteins (e.g. collagen and glycosaminoglycans) and other proteins secreted by neighboring cells or exocytosis, which is important for guiding regenerating axons, regulating cell behavior, and enhancing cell-cell interaction (Roumazieles et al., 2018; Yu et al., 2008). Cell adhesion molecules, such as interacting between immune cells-matrix (Igαm and Igb2), neuron-matrix (Csf1 and Adcyap1), and endothelial-matrix (Nrp1) showed robust upregulation following SNC at 3 and/or 7 days.

Dynamic TFs and inference of co-operated key TFs related to axon regrowth
A total of 345 differentially expressed TFs (47.4% of 727 TFs with average FPKM ≥ 1) were identified by comparison with the naive. Of which, 176 TFs showed robust differently expressed after axonal injury (frequency ≥ 50%, Figure 4A and Table S6) and were clustered into five distinct clusters. Three clusters (k1–3 in Figure 4A) showed significant upregulation in the “pre-regeneration phase” and/or “regeneration phase”. We also found nine TFs (Arid5a, Nr3f3, Atf3, Crem, Sox11, etc, Figure 4A and Table S1) present in DEGs of the study (Shadrach et al., 2021). GO category of the biological process showed these TFs mainly involved in myeloid cell differentiation and homeostasis (Spp1, Ifit8, etc), regulation of angiogenesis (12 TFs, Runx1, Hif1a, Xbp1, Stat3, etc), neurogenesis (Cux1, Nkx6-2, Hif1a, Myc, Sox11, Etv5, etc), and gliogenesis (Myc, Sox11, Etv5, Nkx6-2, etc), and ER unfolded protein response (Atf3, Creb3, Xbp1, etc) (Table S7).

To screen co-operated key TFs or other regulators (e.g. epigenetic modification-related genes), we performed regulatory network analysis using the SCENIC pipeline. Given a relatively high correlation in expression of DEGs between rat and mouse post-SNC (Figure 3A) and lacking cis-regulatory motifs for the rat, we inferred regulon (a regulator and its targets) activity based on mouse motif dataset (–500–100 bp) and then performed clustering analysis of connection specificity index (CSI) (Suo et al., 2018). The regulons could be divided into seven distinct modules and two modules (M4 and M7) were focused with pro-growth TFs hits, specifically M4 (Atf3, Stat3, Myc, Jun, Smad1, Kif6, and Kif7, Figure 4B). Master regulators of 16 regulons (M4) were robust DEGs, respectively (Figure 4C). GO enrichment showed M4 regulons were significantly enriched in terms related to cytoskeleton, neurogenesis, signaling transduction, inflammatory and immune response, and response to wounding (Table S8). Except for well-known regulators (Atf3, Stat3, Jun, etc) in M4, we also found TFs related to unfolded protein response (UPR) were enriched (Atf3, Creb3, and Xbp1). ER stress could be provoked by tissue injury. UPR is important for preserving ER proteostasis and consists of three branches (IRE1α-XBP1, PERK-CHOP, and ATF6-SREBP) depending on their activation in either promoting protein folding or apoptotic process or sterol biosynthesis. We observed upregulation of Xbp1 at 3 and 7 days post-SNC, but Ern1 (also known as IRE1α) showed no
significant difference. Creb3, a homolog with Atf6, could be retrograded transported to the nucleus to regulate the UPR and cholesterol biosynthesis by binding with Srebfl or Srebfl2 (Ying et al., 2014). We found Creb3 and Srebfl2 were co-occurred in M4 and robust upregulation at 3 and/or 7 days post-SNC. Besides, enrichment analysis of UPR-related terms showed PERK-mediated UPR was the most enriched from 1 to 7 days (Figure S11), but its downstream regulator (CHOP) has no significant difference. These results suggested Creb3-Srebfl2 pathway may be the predominant UPR in spinal motor neurons after PNI. We also found epigenetic modification-related regulators occurred in M4 (Hdac2 and Gadd45a). “Chromatin remodeling” was significantly enriched at 3 and 7 days post-SNC (Figure S12). Strikingly, we found a chromatin-remodeling enzyme, Apobec1, a known DNA demethylation mediator, showed a dramatic increase at 3 (74.2-fold) and 7 days (60.5-fold) post-SNC. We also found other seven known DNA demethylation mediators (Weng et al., 2017) (Tet3, Tet2, Gadd45a, b, g, and Apobec1 and 3, Figure S12) showed significant upregulation, specifically Apobec1, 3 and Gadd45g. Apobec1 was solely expressed in microglia and knockdown of Apobec1 led to aberrant myelination, increased inflammation, and lysosomal anomalies in mouse brain (Cole et al., 2017). Thus, dramatic upregulation of Apobec1 may be beneficial for axon regeneration by maintaining a balance between the homeostatic and activated immune functions of microglia.

We also employed the Algorithm for the Reconstruction of Accurate Cellular Network (ARACNe) which could identify transcriptional interaction based on information-theoretic method on a large gene expression data (Margolin et al., 2006). We analyzed 1,595 TFs or genes with “DNA-binding transcription factor activity” and then employed ssMARINA to infer candidate master regulators (MRs) post-SNC (Aytes et al., 2014). We focused on activated MRs at 1 day which is critical on axon regeneration as we extensively discussed above. MARINA identified 41 candidate MRs (FDR <0.05, Table S9) at 1 day and top 10 ranked MRs including Atf3, Klf6, Myc, and Sox11, which were well-known pro-regenerative MRs in axon growth (Venkatesh et al., 2021) (Figure 4D). We found 13 out of 20 co-operated regulators in M4 were also present in MRs identified by MARINA (Figure 4E). Specifically, we noticed the top MR, Arid5a (AT-Rich interaction domain 5A), has dual roles as TF and RNA-binding protein, that previously reported induction by inflammation stimulation to translocate from nucleus to the cytoplasm, stabilizes the expression of inflammatory genes, such as Il6 and Stat3 (Masuda et al., 2013; Nyati et al., 2019). We found the expression of Arid5a was only significantly upregulated at state 2 and/or state 3 (1 day: 7.69-fold at state 3 and 9.61-fold at state 2; 3 days: 8.58-fold; 7 days: 10-fold, Figure 4F), showing the activation at 1 day. Additionally, we found Arid5a was one target of the MR, Atf3, which presented a binding site of Atf3 motif within 1k bp from 5’ upstream of transcription start site (Figure 4G) and was also supported by ChIP data (Gilchrist et al., 2006). We found ARID5A mostly expressed in spinal neurons and obviously upregulated in injured MNs at 1 day and gradually increased at 3 and 7 days post-injury, specific in the cytoplasm (Figure 4H). This trend was consistent with our sequencing data. Furthermore, reanalysis of a single-cell sequencing (Hu et al., 2016) of sensory neurons and translatome datasets (Rozenbaum et al., 2018) of sensory neurons and glia in mouse DRG after sciatic nerve injury also showed robust upregulation of Arid5a in injured sensory neurons (Figure S14), which was consistent with the findings in MNs. These evidences indicated upregulation of Arid5a was conserved in injured neurons against sciatic nerve injury. Knockdown of Arid5a in primary sensory neurons showed a significant reduction of total neurite length in vitro (Figures 4I and S15, p < 0.001), suggesting its new role in axon regeneration. Reanalysis of recently released RNA-seq dataset of mouse embryonic fibroblast cells (MEFs, could be induced into neuronal cells (Treutlein et al., 2016)) from wild type or Arid5a−/− with or without IL6 stimulation (Nyati et al., 2021) showed that 986 genes (873 genes were orthologs in rat) were significantly downregulated in Arid5a−/− while less change induced by IL6 compared to the wild type (Figure S13). We termed these genes as Arid5a-dependent and the top 20 downregulated genes included Stmn2 (also known as SCG10, a marker of regenerating axons and regulation of microtubule dynamics). GO enrichment of Arid5a-dependent genes showed the term “regulation of neuron projection development” was significantly enriched, including genes related to axon regeneration (Gap43, Stmn2, and Stmn4, Figure S13). GSEA enrichment of Arid5a-dependent genes in our data showed significant enrichment in state 2 (injury and regenerating state) from 3 to 7 days post-injury (Figure 4J).

An axon regeneration-associated co-expressed module

Next, we performed weighted co-expression analysis (WGCNA) to detect regeneration-associated modules and identified 19 distinct modules (Figure 5A). We found the “turquoise” (1,705 genes, Table S10) showed a significant correlation with state 2 from 3 to 7 days based on the module eigengene (adjust p-value < 0.05, Figure 5A). Genes in “turquoise” showed a high expression in state 2 (Figure 5B). We compared this module with the “regenerative” module (413 genes) in DRG identified by
Chandran et al. (2016) and 36.9% were also present in our "turquoise" module, such as Ccl2, Ccl7, Atf3, Cd44, Il6, and Jun. Next, we used a ternary plot-based method to explore expression patterns of genes in the "turquoise" module. Genes in the "turquoise" module were classified into seven major clusters and then focused on two clusters with upregulation after SNC (cluster 1 and 6, Figure 5C). We found most RAG genes were present in cluster 1 (Ngfr, Pdlim1, Gap43, Mmp2, Tspo, etc) and cluster 6 (Atf3, Il6, Myc, Jun, Socs3, Sox11, Hif1a, Klf6, etc). GO enrichment analysis of genes in these two clusters showed...
inflammation and immune response were the most enriched categories, such as “microglial cell activation”, “neuroinflammatory response”, and “positive regulation of cytokine production” (Tables S11 and S12), suggesting that sterile inflammation induced by injury is closely related with axon regeneration. In addition, we found TF-related terms (regulation of DNA binding) were significant enrichment in cluste r6, including Bcl3, Jak2, Jun, Myc, and Sox11, supporting our above hypothesis that pro-growth TFs were activated as early as 1 day post-SNC. In addition, pro-regenerative pathways, such as MAPK cascade was also enriched at 1 day post-SNC. Genes related with “actin filament organization” and “axon regeneration” were enriched at stage 3 (namely 3ays and 7days), such as Gap43, Tsop, and Rac2, suggesting that axon regeneration was mainly initiated as early as 3 days.

Next, we inferred the potential protein-protein interaction (PPI) network of genes in clusters 1 and 6. A high ly connected PPI network showed the importance of proteins related injured neuron-microglia/macro phage crosstalk (Il6, Csf1, Ccl2, and Csf1r) with high connection and dramatic transcriptional changes (degree ≥ 20, Figure 5F). We found genes involved in microglia response (activation and proliferation) were present in the network, such as Trem2, Tyrobp, Spi1, Irf8, Cx3cr1, and Aif1. We also found the pro-regenerative TFs, Jun, Atf3, Myc, and Hif1a, were present in the network. Potential genes identified here will provide important candidates for further drug development for PNS treatment.

**DISCUSSION**

Intrinsic regenerative capacity and exogenic supportive microenvironment greatly contribute to successful axon regeneration, specific immune system (Scheib and Hoke, 2013). Taking advantage of LCM-seq featured with collecting the target cell and its microecology in situ and in-depth sequencing, enabled us to obtain temporal-spatial profiles of MNs and the in vivo interactions between injured MNs and non-neuronal cells within the context of axon injury and regeneration through thorough molecule analysis. To our current knowledge, this new dataset was the first, massive and in-depth sequencing at approximate single-MN resolution. To facilitate exploration by other researchers, our data are easily searchable at MNiches-seq.

In this study, we established dynamic expression of MN niches at hours (0.5, 3, and 12 h) or days (1, 3, and 7 days) following SNC and the naive and divided into three response stages via thorough molecules analysis (0.5–12 h: early stress response phase; 1 day: pre-regeneration phase; 3–7 days: regeneration phase, Figure 6). Comparison of DEGs at 7 days post-SNC between our study and the study (Shadrach et al., 2021) demonstrated significant correlations and together with immunohistochemical validation indicating reproducibility and accuracy of our data. Based on clustering analysis and Atf3, we could well discriminate samples with injured MNs or “intact” MNs at 1, 3, and 7 days, which were supported by immunostaining results that ATF3-positive MNs present as early as 1 day of part MNs. Besides, the estimated injured ratios were in accordance with the estimation in previous studies (Holland et al., 2019; Renthal et al., 2020). Two cell states (state 1: “intact” and state 2: “injury”) were distinguished at 3 and 7 days, which was perfectly supported by both Atf3 expression and clustering results. Strikingly, in addition to “intact” and “injury” states, we also found an additional state (defined as state 3, 26.5% of cells at 1 day, Figure 2A) that transient dramatic up-regulation of Atf3 indicating injury which represents predominate state at 1 day, while “injury” states were rarely captured (defined as state 2, 6.1%), which suggested that state 3 at 1 day was intermediate transition states and rarely dissected MNs in state 2 may be explained by individual fast growth MNs. Considering the small number of MNs in state 2 at 1 day, we majorly focused on state 3 at 1 day. DEG analysis compared with the naive showed clear and distinct gene profiles after sample separation suggested that this could well reflect cell states at 1, 3, and 7 days post-SNC. Evidences of regenerating axon marker, RAGs, enrichment of multiple pro-regenerative pathways showed early pro-regenerative and master regulators (e.g., Atf3, Jun, Sox11, Smad1, and Stat3) were activated as early as 1 day while regenerating RAGs (e.g. Gap43 and Sprr1a) were activated in samples with injured MNs at 3 and 7 days, suggesting “pre-regenerative” at 1 day and “regenerative” at 3 and 7 days. The small number of DEGs at 0.5–12 h and significant increase of DEGs at 1 day (state 2 and state 3) and dramatic increase of DEGs at 3 and 7 days (state 2) suggest 1 day post-injury is a key point. Though the increase of DEG number in state 1 at 3 and 7 days, inflammation and immune response-related genes were the most enriched which provide a vital control to explore crucial genes that contributed to the injury response and regeneration in injured MNs.

By comparison with samples containing the naive and corresponding “intact” MNs, we found genes involved in crosstalk between injured neuron-microglia/infiltrated macrophage was ranked at the top of
DEGs, such as neuronal Iil6, Ccl2, Ccl7, Csf1, and Reg3b, which was not different between samples with “intact” MNs and the naive. Significant upregulation of microglia/macrophage markers, e.g., Aif1 (IBA1), Lya2, Clec7a, Trem2, Tyrobp, Adgre1, Itgam, Ly86, and C1qa, in samples with “injury” MNs at 3 and 7 days compared to that with the naive and “intact” MNs, suggested closely crosstalk between injured neuron-microglia, which was also present in the DEGs of the study (Shadrach et al., 2021). Our immunostaining of ANXA3 and IBA1 demonstrated that microglia almost adhered around injured MNs at 7 days post-SNC, which was in accordance with our sequenced result and other study (Rotterman and Alvarez, 2020). Single-cell sequencing of the injured spinal cord showed the significant microglia subtypes transition from homeostatic microglia subtype to disease-associated microglia subtype at 1 day then revert back to a more homeostatic state (3 and 7 days) (Milich et al., 2021), suggesting closely crosstalk between injured neuron-microglia, which was also present in the DEGs of the study (Shadrach et al., 2021). Our immunostaining of ANXA3 and IBA1 demonstrated that microglia almost adhered around injured MNs at 7 days post-SNC, which was in accordance with our sequenced result and other study (Rotterman and Alvarez, 2020). Single-cell sequencing of the injured spinal cord showed the significant microglia subtypes transition from homeostatic microglia subtype to disease-associated microglia subtype at 1 day then revert back to a more homeostatic state (3 and 7 days) (Milich et al., 2021), suggesting closely crosstalk between injured neuron-microglia, which was also present in the DEGs of the study (Shadrach et al., 2021). Our immunostaining of ANXA3 and IBA1 demonstrated that microglia almost adhered around injured MNs at 7 days post-SNC, which was in accordance with our sequenced result and other study (Rotterman and Alvarez, 2020). Single-cell sequencing of the injured spinal cord showed the significant microglia subtypes transition from homeostatic microglia subtype to disease-associated microglia subtype at 1 day then revert back to a more homeostatic state (3 and 7 days) (Milich et al., 2021), suggesting closely crosstalk between injured neuron-microglia, which was also present in the DEGs of the study (Shadrach et al., 2021). Our immunostaining of ANXA3 and IBA1 demonstrated that microglia almost adhered around injured MNs at 7 days post-SNC, which was in accordance with our sequenced result and other study (Rotterman and Alvarez, 2020).
pro-regenerative peptides/hormones and growth factors/receptors, such as Vip, Adcyap1, Ngfr, Gm, and anti-apoptotic proteins (e.g., Gadd45a) and upregulate axon regrowth factor (e.g., Gap43, Sprr1a, Rac2, and Pdlim1).

We also deeply explored potential regulators, including TF and epigenetic modification (e.g., demethylation and acetylation). We identified potential co-operated regulators including well-known TFs (Klf6, Klf7, Atf3, Myc, Stat3, and Smad1) and unfolded protein response (Atf3, Creb3, and Xbp1) and epigenetic modification (Hdac2 and Gadd45a). Except for the well-known TFs supported by MARAINa analysis, we also highlighted a candidate master regulator, Arid5a. Its roles in the regulation of chondrocyte-specific transcription (acting as a transcription factor) and inflammatory processes (acting as a RNA-binding protein) by stabilizing inflammatory mRNA transcripts were described (Amano et al., 2011; Masuda et al., 2013). However, studies of Arid5a expression and potential roles during axonal injury and regeneration were blank. We found significant upregulation of Arid5a in samples with injured MNs both at transcriptional and protein level post-SNC (Figures 4G and 4I). This was also supported by significant upregulation of translating Arid5a level in injured MNs compared to the control (Shadrach et al., 2021). Knockdown of Arid5a in primary sensory neurons suggested its potential new role in axon growth (Figure 4I). Additionally, Arid5a is also a potential target of the pro-regenerative regulator, Atf3, and showed a high correlation in expression (Figure 4A, Pearson’s cor: 0.98). Reanalysis of Arid5a knockout (KO) dataset of mouse embryonic fibroblast cell showed axon growth-related genes were largely downregulated in Arid5a KO group and geneset enrichment of these downregulated genes showed significantly enriched at samples with injured and regenerating MNs (Figure 4J), indicating the importance of Arid5a in axon regeneration. Recently, a study of brain ischemic injury showed that overexpression of ARID5A in primary cortical neurons elicited neuroprotection against oxygen-glucose deprivation injury (Cai et al., 2019). Taken together, we suspected that upregulation of Arid5a in samples with injured neurons may promote axon regeneration and might be an undescribed role of the less studied function of Arid5a in PNI, and its mechanism required further investigation.

In conclusion, we firstly present the landscape of cellular and molecular interactions between injured and regenerating MNs and its permissive microenvironment in situ during the complex first seven days post-injury using LCM-seq. Specifically, distinguishing and comparison of “intact” and “injury” MN niches at the similar environment yielding numerous injury-induced and pro-regenerative genes, though most of their function in promoting axon regeneration of MNs remains to be explored. Through thorough molecular analysis, we resolved three response stages and molecular characteristics of the injured MN and its microenvironment and highlighted critical regulators, for instance, Arid5a. This study not only provides gene regulation patterns for regeneration of the injured spinal motoneuron and its dynamic microenvironment but also gains insights into potential new targets for the treatment of motoneuron dysfunction and gives the genetic basis for development of tissue engineering and regenerative medicine.

Limitations of the study
Two major limitations exist in this study. First, acting as a method for single-cell isolation, LCM has a major disadvantage that inevitably contains neighboring cells or non-cells of the target cell. Thus, the expression profile presented here not only contained the motoneuron but also mixed with its microecology, including other cells or non-cells. Second, for hypothetical roles in axonal injury and regeneration and underlying mechanisms of candidate genes, for example, Arid5a, further functional experiments in vitro and in vivo are required.
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## Key Resources Table

| REAGENT or RESOURCE | SOURCE | IDENTIFIER |
|---------------------|--------|------------|
| **Antibodies**      |        |            |
| Anti-Choline Acetyltransferase antibody | Abcam | Cat# ab137349, RRID:AB_2754985 |
| Anti-IBA1 antibody  | Abcam  | Cat# ab153696, RRID:AB_2889406 |
| Anti-ARID5A antibody | Sigma-Aldrich | Cat# SAB2100148, RRID:AB_10598838 |
| Annexin A3 antibody | Proteintech | Cat# 11804-1-AP, RRID:AB_2057455 |
| ChAT Polyclonal antibody | Thermo Fisher Scientific | Cat# PA5-29653, RRID:AB_2547128 |
| ATF3 antibody       | Abcam  | Cat# ab58668, RRID:AB_879578 |
| NeuN antibody       | Sigma-Aldrich | Cat# MAB377 |
| Anti-Beta-III Tubulin antibody | R & D Systems | Cat# MAB1195, RRID:AB_357520 |
| **Chemicals, peptides, and recombinant proteins** |        |            |
| Diethyl pyrocarbonate | Sigma-Aldrich | Cat# D5758-100ML |
| Collagenase type I | Sigma-Aldrich | Cat# SCR103-250MG |
| Neurobasal-A medium | Thermo Fisher Scientific | Cat# 10888022 |
| B-27 Supplement    | Thermo Fisher Scientific | Cat# 17504044 |
| L-Glutamine        | Thermo Fisher Scientific | Cat# 25030149 |
| Penicillin-Streptomycin | Beyotime | Cat# C0222 |
| poly-L-lysine      | Sigma-Aldrich | Cat# P4832 |
| Trypsin-EDTA       | Gibco   | Cat# 25200-072 |
| **Critical commercial assays** |        |            |
| Lipofectamine RNAiMAX reverse transfection reagent | Thermo Fisher Scientific | Cat# 13778075 |
| **Deposited data** |        |            |
| Raw and analyzed data | This paper | CNGBdb: CNP0002605 |
| Single-cell sequencing of mouse spinal cord after injury | Milich et al. (2021) | GEO: GSE162610 |
| Bulk RNA-seq of rat spinal cord after injury | Yu et al. (2019) | NODE: OEP000369 |
| Mouse embryonic fibroblast cells (MEFs) in wild-type or Arid5a knockout | Nyati et al. (2021) | GEO: GSE178250 |
| Single-cell sequencing of mouse DRG neurons | Hu et al. (2016) | GEO: GSE71453 |
| RiboTag data from DRG ganglia from Isl1-Cre and Dhh-Cre RiboTag mice | Rozenbaum et al. (2018) | GEO: GSE102316 |
| RiboTag data of motoneurons from RiboTag; ChATCre mice | Shadrach et al. (2021) | GEO: GSE162028 |
| **Experimental models: Organisms/strains** |        |            |
| Sprague-Dawley rat (SPF) | Experimental Animal Center of Nantong University | SCXK(Su)2019-0001 |
| **Software and algorithms** |        |            |
| ImageJ   | Schneider et al. (2012) | https://imagej.nih.gov/ij |
| NeuronJ-1.4.3 | Meijering et al. (2004) | http://www.imagescience.org/meijering/software/neuron/ |
| R for statistical computing-4.1.0 | R Core Team (2018) | https://www.r-project.org |
| Seurat-4.1.0 | Butler et al. (2018) | https://satijalab.org/seurat/ |

(Continued on next page)
RESOURCE AVAILABILITY

Lead contact
Further information and requests for resources and reagents should be directed to and will be fulfilled by the lead contact, Jian Yang (dna2009@ntu.edu.cn).

Materials availability
This study did not generate new unique reagents.

Data and code availability
All sequencing data generated in this study have been deposited in the China National GeneBank Database (CNGBdb) under accession number CNP0002605. Gene expression exploration of this study could be easily accessed at web-based MNniches-seq (http://121.41.67.1:3838/MNniches-seq/). Custom scripts and any other relevant data are available from the corresponding authors upon reasonable request.

EXPERIMENTAL MODEL AND SUBJECT DETAILS

Animals
Adult Sprague-Dawley (SD) rats (male, weighted ~200g, provided by the Experimental Animal Center of Nantong University) were housed under standard conditions with a 12-h dark/light cycle and had free access to food and water. The rats were randomly divided into seven groups (naive, injured timepoints: 0.5, 3, 12h, 1, 3ays, and 7days) to be deeply anesthetized by an intraperitoneal injection of a compound anesthetic (chloral hydrate 4.25 g, magnesium sulfate 2.12 g, sodium pentobarbital 886 mg, ethanol 14.25 mL, and propylene glycol 33.8 mL in 100 mL) at a dose of 0.2–0.3 mL/100 g.

METHOD DETAILS

Nerve crush
The bilateral sciatic nerve crushed models were conducted as follows: the sciatic nerve was lifted from the right hindlimb on the lateral aspect of the mid-thigh and a 1-cm segment was clamp-injured with a sterile forceps for 30 s. After crush injury, the muscle was then sutured. One group was euthanized immediately and the other groups at hours, 0.5, 3, 12h, and days 1, 3, 7 days were euthanized after surgery. All animal experiments in this study were performed in accordance with the guidelines for animal care and were approved by the Administration Committee of Experimental Animals of Jiangsu.
Tissue preparation and rapid staining of MNs before laser capture microscopy

All dissection tools and work surfaces were cleaned with RNase ZAP wipes. Animals were anesthetized by the compound mentioned above and sacrificed by decapitation. The lumbar intumescence part (L4 and L5) of the spinal cord was rapidly removed, immediately embedded in a pre-cooled OCT compound on dry ice to avoid thawing of the tissue. Thin coronal sections (30 μm) were cut at −20°C using a cryostat (Leica CM3050 S) and placed onto PEN Membrane Slide (Leica). Slides were allowed for complete dehydration in the dry box for 10 min at −20°C. Slides containing tissue sections were incubated with Alexa Fluor® 488 Anti-Choline Acetyltransferase antibody (ChAT; 1:50 dilution, Abcam) for 20 min at 4°C, in dark. After being washed with DEPC water, keep the slides into the dry box at 4°C for 20 min until they were dried. All steps were performed on ice and all aqueous reagents were freshly prepared with DEPC water for each experimental day.

Laser capture microscopy

Preheat the Leica LMD6500 Laser Microdissection System and shut on the CTR6500 controller. Placed slides into the slide holder and captured neurons of anterior crus in the spinal cord which were labeled green signal. To avoid damage MNs and ensure a single MN was contained as possible, we cut circular outlines with 1.5–2 times the diameter of obvious MN morphology, and MNs with a visible nucleus in the ventral horn of the spinal cord were dissected. Cells were cut at 40 × magnification while keeping laser power to a minimum. The Leica system uses gravity to collect cells, which fall into the cap of the collection tube (0.2 mL RNase free PCR tubes) that is placed directly under the slide. These tubes were added 5 μL lysis buffer acquired from Annoroad Gene Technology Co. Ltd. company in advance. Samples were centrifuged in a table centrifuge for 10 s. The tubes were carefully sealed with parafilm (Pechiney Plastic Packaging), labeled, and snap-frozen on dry ice. The whole process from thawing the slides until sample freezing never took longer than 3 h in any of the experiments. Samples were kept in collection tubes at −80°C until further processing.

Smart-seq2 procedure for cDNA library preparation and sequencing

The cDNA sequencing library was prepared as follows the Smart-seq2 protocol (Picelli et al., 2013), including cell lysis, cDNA synthesis, PCR amplification and purification, and quality control. Qualified libraries were then sequenced using the Illumina HiSeq Xten platform. Library construction and sequencing in this study were conducted by Annoroad Gene Technology Co. Ltd. Company.

Immunofluorescence histochemistry

Under anesthesia, the animals were perfused with normal saline and 4% paraformaldehyde. Lumbar intumescence parts of the spinal cords were excised. The samples were post-fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde for 8 h, dewatered in 10%–20%–30% sucrose, and cut on a cryostat into 12 μm thick sections. The immunofluorescent staining was performed using rabbit anti-IBA1 antibody (1:1000 dilution, Abcam), mouse anti-ANXA3 antibody (1:1000 dilution, 11804-1-AP, Proteintech), rabbit anti-ARID5A antibody (1:100 dilution, SAB2100148-100UL, Sigma-Aldrich), mouse anti-ATF3 antibody (1:100 dilution, ab58668, Abcam), rabbit anti-ChAT antibody (1:250 dilution, PA5-29653, Invitrogen), and mouse anti-NeuN antibody (1:250 dilution, MAB377, Sigma) and Hoechst 33342 (1:3000 dilution, Abcam), respectively. The samples were incubated with the primary antibody at 4°C for 12 h, followed by further reaction with the secondary antibody (Goat anti-Mouse IgG-Alex-488, 1:500; Goat anti-Rabbit IgG-Cy3, 1: 100) at room temperature for 1 h. At last, images were taken under fluorescence microscopy (Leica, Germany).

DRG neuron culture and siRNA transfection

DRGs were dissociated from adult male Sprague-Dawley rats (200-220g, Provided by Experimental Animal Center of Nantong University, Nantong, China). DRGs were digested with 0.1% collagenase type I (Sigma, St Louis, MO, USA) in the oxygenated DMEM (Corning, New York, USA). After 120 min incubation at 37°C, DRGs were transferred into 0.25% trypsin (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) for more 15 min at 37°C. Then, single-cell suspension was centrifuged for 5 min at 1000 r/min with 15% BSA. After chucking the supernatant, the cells were resuspended and plated with Neurobasal-A medium (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) enriched with L-Glutamine (1%, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA), B27 supplement (2%, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA), and penicillin-streptomycin (1%, Beyotime, Shanghai, China). Experimental plates were pre-coated with poly-L-lysine (Sigma, St Louis, MO, USA). During the plating, DRG neurons were transfected with siRNAs (OBiO, Shanghai, China) using Lipofectamine.
RNAiMAX reverse transfection reagent (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) according to product instructions. After transfection, the culture medium was changed 16 h later. The sequence of siRNA-Arid5a was sense 5’-CCACUGAGAAGCGUACAATT-3’, antisense 5’-UUCUCAGCUUCUGAGGTTT-3’. The sequence of scramble siRNA was sense 5’-UCUCUGACGUGACGUGTT-3’, antisense 5’-ACGUACGACGUGACGUGTT-3’. After cultured purified DRG neurons were transfected with siRNA for 16 h, the medium was changed to remove the redundant siRNA. Then, after another 48 h of culture, the DRG neurons were resuspended with 0.05% Trypsin-EDTA (Gibco, Carlsbad, CA, USA) for 1.5 min at 37°C and replanted to experimental plate. 18 h after replant, DRG neurons were fixed with 4% PFA. Neurites were labeled by immunostaining of β-tubulin (Tuj1, 1:500, R&D, Minneapolis, MN, USA), and its immunofluorescence was acquired by Zeiss microscopy (Zeiss, Axio Imager M2m). Total neurite length (only neurite length greater than soma diameter was considered) of each neuron was measured using ImageJ (Schneider et al., 2012) (NeuronJ (Meijering et al., 2004), v1.4.3). Approximately 100 cells were analyzed for each condition.

QUANTIFICATION AND DATA ANALYSIS
RNA-seq mapping, quantification, and clustering analysis
Rat genome and annotation were retrieved from the Ensembl database (v89). After trimming low-quality reads, clean reads were mapped against the reference genome with default setting using HISAT2 (Kim et al., 2015). HTseq package (Anders et al., 2015) was used to obtain gene count and normalized into FPKM by considering sequencing depth and gene length. Samples with low expression of Chat (FPKM<1) were discarded in the downstream analysis and 682 samples were kept. Sample correlation was estimated based on Pearson’s correlation of log2-transformed FPKM. To estimate neuron signature activity, we used the AUCCell package to calculate the AUC score of neuronal markers. To investigate sample distribution, we performed Principal Component Analysis (PCA) based on log-transformed FPKM using the R program (R Core Team, 2018). UMAP clustering was performed using the Seurat.

Differential expression analysis
DESeq2 package (Love et al., 2014) was employed to detect differential expression genes (DE-Gs). Due to the small DEG number in the early injury stage, we adopt a relatively relaxed threshold that considers both significance and fold-change. Genes with p-value ≤ 0.05 and \( \log_{2} \text{fold-change} \geq 1.5 \) were defined as DE-Gs compared to the control (naive or corresponding state1). Considering varied sample sizes among different timepoints after sample separation, we further used a bootstrap strategy to detect robust and reliable DE-Gs according to the study (Aguila et al., 2021). Simply as follows.

a) Sample sizes were assigned as 3,4,5,6,10, 15/20, ...n, where n is the minimal sample size of the investigated group and the control group. Internal of 5 was used at times points (1, 3ays, and 7days) and internal of 10 was used at timepoints (0.5, 3, 12h).

b) For each sample size, we randomly chose samples from two groups respectively. Then performed differential expression analysis with DESeq2 package and screened DE-Gs.

c) Repeated b) for 100 times

d) The frequency of DE-Gs was calculated.

To define robust DE-Gs, we adopt the threshold, average frequency ≥ 50%.

Transcriptional factors (TFs) analysis
SCENIC pipeline (Aibar et al., 2017) was used to perform regulatory network inference and mouse RisTarget motif database (−500–100 bp) was employed. Critical regulons were screened by clustering analysis of connection specificity index (Suo et al., 2018) (CSI) based on area under the recovery curve (AUC) score of regulons (AUC score ≥ 0.1 were considered). ARACNe (Margolin et al., 2006) was also used to reconstruct gene regulatory networks, which was based on an information-theoretic method on large gene expression data. Then, master regulons were inferred by the ssMARINA method (Aytes et al., 2014). ATF3 motif (accession: MA0605) was retrieved from JASPAR database (Forbes et al., 2020) and FIMO (Grant et al., 2011) was used to identify binding sites of Atf3 in Arid5a within 1k bp upstream from the transcription start sites.
Gene set enrichment analysis

Rat geneset were retrieved using the msigdb package. GSEA (Subramanian et al., 2005) was used to perform enrichment analysis. Terms with FDR q-value $\leq 0.25$ and NOM p value $\leq 0.05$ was defined as a significant enrichment.

WGCNA co-expression analysis

WGCNA package (Langfelder and Horvath, 2008) was applied to construct a single co-expression network and inferred important modules related to axonal injury and regeneration. Firstly, low expressed genes were filtered with row average FPKM <1. An automatic, one-step network construction method was employed using the default parameters except for the power of $\beta = 4$. The expression profile of each module was estimated based on the first principal component (module eigengene, ME). The correlation and significance between modules and cell states at each injured timepoints were calculated using the function “cor” and “corPvalueStudent” and adjusted p-value was calculated using the function “p.adjust”. The ternary plot-based method could be used for defining expression patterns of triads (Ramirez-Gonzalez et al., 2018). We employed the ternary to explore expression patterns of genes from “turquoise” module along three injured stages (stage1: 0.5, 3, 12h; stage2: 1 day from state3; stage3: 3 days and 7 days from state2). For each gene from “turquoise”, the average expression were normalized against the total expression within the triad to represent the relative expression. The R package “ggtern” was used for the ternary plot (Hamilton and Ferry, 2018). Next, we divided these triads into seven clusters by 20, 20 and 60 rule. For example, if a triad with relative expression in stage1 and stage2 less than 20 while the relative expression in stage3 large than 60, then this triad belongs “Stage3 dominant”.

Functional enrichment analysis

ClusterProfiler package (Yu et al., 2012) was used to perform Gene Ontology (GO) and KEGG pathway enrichment analysis.

Public dataset analysis

Time-series course bulk RNA-seq of a long-term investigation of gene expression after rat spinal cord injury (hemi-transection) were retrieved from our previous study (Yu et al., 2019). For the single-cell RNA-seq of spinal cord injury dataset, we retrieved the expression matrix from the GEO database (GSE162610) and performed standard analysis (normalization and standardization) with Seurat (Butler et al., 2018) (V3.0). Original cell type assignment (Milich et al., 2021) was used and expression of key genes was visualized using Seurat. For stranded RNA-seq of wild-type and Arid5a$^{-/-}$ mouse MEFs with or without IL6 stimulation were retrieved from the GEO database (GSE178250) and were reanalyzed. DE-Gs identified by comparison expression of Rpl22$^{HA}$ IP in injured and regenerating MNs with the uninjured in mouse spinal cord at 7 days post-SNC were retrieved from the supplement (Shadrach et al., 2021). Datasets of single-cell sequencing of DRG sensory (GSE71453) and translatome (GSE102316) of Iis1-ribotag and Dhh-ribotag were retrieved from the GEO database respectively.