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CASE STUDY

A Study on the Effectiveness of Sensory Branding in Cafe Coffee Day Outlets in Coimbatore

RV Rupini
PSG Institute of Management, PB No: 1668, Peelamedu, Coimbatore-641004, Tamilnadu, India

ABSTRACT
The purpose of the study were: (1) to develop a reliable and valid scale and model for sensory experience, (2) to empirically test the model using Roberts’ (2004) lovemarks theory by examining the effect of the two brand image dimensions on the lovemark experience (brand love and brand respect) and, (3) to examine the relationship among brand loyalty, brand trust and overall brand equity. The empirical results show that the model is found to be fit and the hypotheses are significant and the variables have a strong correlation with one another.
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INTRODUCTION
This study investigated effects of cafe retail outlets’ sensory experience and the effects and the growth of cafe retail outlets. “Almost our entire understanding of the world is experienced through our senses.” Martin Lindstrom, Brand Sense. The 5 human senses are of great importance for an individual’s experience of different purchase, consumption process, and frequency of purchase. It is through the five human senses that a customer can distinguish one brand from other similar brands. Researchers draw on neuroscience, physiology and consumer psychology to understand how sensory interactions influence people’s behaviour and judgements.

Marketers have to market their brand or the dedicated point of sale along with the sensory attributes like touch, taste, smell, sight and sound that will produce the activation of emotions that make customers aspire a given product. Brands can create emotional links in the customer’s mind by appealing to their senses. Sensory Branding is a type of marketing technique that appeals to all the senses (sight, smell, touch, sound, taste) in relation to the brand. Sensory branding has been one of the most important marketing tools used by the top successful companies.

According to Marc Gobe, author of the book “Emotional Branding”, every brand should contain subliminal codes that generate the perception of positive emotions with regard to a product. Sensory experience of the brands helps to create brand loyalty and thereby boost sales and revenues of the company. A strong brand is one that can benefit from the human senses that can enhance, affirm and create trust with the customers. It is evident from studies that customers make buying decisions based on the perception of the brand and that the perception is formed a collection of stimulations that come from the sensory receptors. Senses are strongly linked to our memories and emotions. Five sensorial strategies are suggested that highlight the human senses as the centre of the company’s sensory marketing. Sensory marketing is a technique that aims to seduce the consumer by using his/her senses to influence feelings and behaviour. The important factor in sensory marketing is to activate the largest number of receptors by using incentives to develop a positive association and reaction which plays a major role in purchase decisions. A picture of chocolate chip cookie helps consumers identify and recall memories of eating the cookie, creating a mental image of a pleasurable experience thus creating a positive response. Aradhna Krishna suggests that even a simple change in the visuals can increase customers, eating enjoyment and come back for more.

According to Rieunier (2002), the sensory marketing technique tries to fill in the deficiencies of the “traditional marketing” which is too rational. Classic marketing is based on the idea that the customer is rational. Sensory
marketing puts the understanding lived by the consumers and his/her feelings in the process. These experiences have sensorial, emotional, behavioural, cognitive, and relational dimensions. Sensory marketing aims to create the adequacy of the products with their packaging and design, and then to valorize (maintaining the value or price of a product) them in a commercial environment to make them eye-catching. There, the consumer is behaving according to his/her emotions and impulsions. The fortune 500 companies have understood that the five senses (sight, smell, touch, taste, sound) play an important role in branding and establishing a marketing strategy that appeals to multiple senses which is necessary for future brand building and revenue generation in the past eight years. A multi-sensory brand experience generates certain beliefs, feelings, thoughts and opinions to build a brand image in the consumer's mind.

**REVIEW OF LITERATURE**

**Sensuality**: The sensory aspect of a brand image refers to brand experiences, shaped through a consumer’s physical senses (sight, sound, smell, taste, touch). The marketplace of today has undergone a notable change, where we have gone from selling and promoting products and services to enticing customers and selling through experiences (Joy and Sherry, 2003). Companies should appeal towards customers’ feelings, facilitating faster responses (Pham et al., 2001). The human senses have been recognized as important factors in consumer behaviour, where they have been acknowledged as powerful cues influencing our perception, emotions, and behaviour (Peck and Childers, 2008). Providing sensory experience is very important for generating positive perceptions of a brand (Gobe, 2001; Schmitt & Simonson, 1997). Businesses like restaurant, hotel and departmental store do use marketing activities through sense of the customer for example, scent of bakery, feel of the fabric, the colour of the sign, the song played in the store, sampling of the snack, packing and representation. In service industry, if the service can use scents that can activate memories of pleasant emotions, it could be the great tool in order to create loyalty to a brand or service (David et al., 2003). Brenda Soars (2009) explained that sensory stimuli could persuade environments, improve the shopper experience and alter the nature of behaviour in ways beyond our consciousness and she also mentioned that if the sensory tool is utilized appropriately, it can persuade the decision – making and also have positive attitude and approach from customers. Music lovers are aware that sound can be extremely powerful in creating emotional connections and triggering memories. The growl of Harley Davidson engine, tune of Britannia, the ping of Intel, jingle of Blackberry (we are blackberry boys), etc. Additional studies approve a direct link between the type of music played and the overall satisfaction (Morrison, Gan, Dubelaar, & Oppewal, 2011). E.g. Sunburn concerts, Tomorrowland concerts and various other concerts happening all around the world. The first and foremost sense is sight when it comes to identifying a brand or a logo so, it dominates over the other four senses and it is the most seductive sense of all. A recent Turkish analysis found 84.7 % of consumers say colour is the primary reason they buy a product. According to a consumer touch is an important factor in determining the products and their quality. Research suggests that olfactory incentives reach the brain much faster than any other senses and also has an evocative power of memories. Sight sense increases the brand awareness and image by means of visualizations.

A survey articulates that the purchasing decision is made within 90 seconds of seeing a product and that 62 to 90 % of the judgment made is influenced by colour alone rather than other variables such as price, quality and texture. Colours can affect the spending habits. Research on olfactory shows that odor can be used as an asset to change consumers’ mood, by making them feel more comfortable and relaxed (Solomon, 2009, p. 9-11). It’s believed that scent could bring a powerful force on consumers’ behaviour. It can contribute a favorable perception of the services (Chebat & Michon, 2003). On an average a human being can remember more than 10,000 scents and the sensitivity of a scent familiarity in the past is enough to associate with earlier memories. Scents can add to sensory experiences that create long lasting memory pictures in the customers’ intellect and build awareness and craft an image of a brand both long term and short term. The $35 billion fragrance market is growing fastest in the BRICs.

The five primary taste sensations are salty, sour, bitter, sweet, and umami (savoury flavour-found in mushrooms, soya sauce, sea foods, etc.). The food industry is highly mastered and makes the most of to become accustomed to regional preferences. Studies show that there is a direct association between perceived food quality and consumers intentions on becoming regulars. It is important that restaurants make it very clear as to what consumers can expect. Because if consumers have dissimilar dining motivations, it is most likely that they assess the experience differently, this finally might lead to dissatisfaction (Jin, Lee, & Huffman, 2012, p. 545). Psychology research has shown that after consumers have felt a product, they experience a small sense of ownership, making them more likely to buy it (Brick & Mortar, 2007). The feeling of the smooth, silky surface of an ad in a magazine, no doubt, generates an emotional bond with the advertised brand. Transmission of information from the receptors passes via sensory nerves through tracts in the spinal cord and in to the brain (Baars & Gage, 2010).

**Brand Love**: Researchers and research studies have proved that only satisfaction isn’t enough to keep the customers loyal (Jones & Sasser, 1995). Many satisfied customers toggle to competitor’s brand after some time (Reichheld, 1996). Therefore, satisfaction results in loyalty when the satisfaction is sustained for an extended period of time and gets deeper. A fraction of the satisfied customers tend to love a brand (Carroll & Ahuvia, 2006). They found that satisfaction is an antecedent of brand love and brand love is an antecedent of brand loyalty. Carroll & Ahuvia (2006) have defined brand love as a blend of emotion and passion. According to Keh et al (2007) brand love includes long term commitment to the brand in addition to emotion and passion. According to Keh, Pang
Brand love includes intimacy, passion and commitment. So, marketers must sketch how to make the satisfied customers love a brand.

**Brand Trust:** According to Chaudhuri & Holbrook (2001) brand trust is defined as the “willingness of the general consumer to rely on the capability of the brand to carry out its stated function”. Morgan and Hunt (1994) developed a commitment-trust theory and it talks about the existence of relationship commitment and trust is critical to successful relationship marketing. Since trust establishes an important link between customers and brand, it is one of the determinants of brand loyalty (Morgan and Hunt, 1994). Literature studies determined that trust as a predictor of loyalty and if consumer’s trust is established, consumers will be satisfied (Chaudhuri and Holbrook, 2001). Trust is very important for satisfaction (Berry, 2000). The creation of satisfied consumers has become one of the most critical priorities in management (Veloutsou et al., 2005). According to Geyskens et al. (2009) satisfaction is an antecedent to trust. The level of satisfaction from the existing experience of contact with the company will influence the bond developed. Satisfaction contributes in consumer retention and is a key interpreter of loyalty (Beerrli et al., 2004; Voss et al., 2010). Satisfaction is various contexts decodes in to loyalty when satisfaction is really high in various contexts (Harris and Goode, 2004; Chandrashekar et al., 2007; Han et al., 2008; Christodoulides and Michaelidou, 2011). According to research trust, satisfaction and brand loyalty show a positive relation.

**Brand Respect:** Roberts (2004) stated that the term brand respect is a combination of the three elements of performance, trust and reputation. Literature from academic and industry describe the importance of sensory experience. A brand creates respect through good performance, which creates a sense of trust and builds a positive reputation (Roberts, 2004). Roberts (2004) has highlighted the importance of brand respect by building a strong relationship between the consumer and brand. Psychology and sociology researchers have that respect is an important factor in fabricating a close interpersonal relationship (Frei & Shaver, 2002; Gottman, 1994, 1996; Hendrick & Hendrick, 2006; Zacchilli, Hendrick, & Hendrick, 2009). Frei & Shaver (2002) and Jackson, Essen & Burris (2001) have defined respect an attitude which is shaped by feelings and thoughts of quality of a person. When brand communications generate unforgettable optimistic experiences with a brand or personally relevant messages may lead to brand respect. These messages are delivered through the use of myths or iconic characters may build respect for a brand by emphasizing on the brand’s performance, reputation and trust. Excellent design is seen as an innovation and sign of quality which might increase the brand respect.

**Brand Loyalty:** Brand loyalty has played an innermost role both in brand literature and customer loyalty literature (Jacoby and Chestnut, 1978). Aaker (1991, p. 39) defines loyalty as “the attachment that a customer has to a brand”, and consider it to be a primary dimension of the brand equity. In contrast, Keller (1993) views loyalty as a consequence of brand equity because when favourable attitudes results in repeated purchase of the product. Customer loyalty offers several benefits to the brands they like or love: it creates entry barriers for the competing brands and it makes it possible to charge higher prices. Therefore, gives the company time to react on competitors innovations and also function as a buffer in times of intensive price-competition (Aaker, 1996). Brand loyalty is broadly divided in to two spectrums: behavioral loyalty and attitudinal loyalty. Yoo and Donthu (2001) developed a global measure, which according to their empirical studies capture brand equity. Their “overall brand equity” has been utilized by Atilgan et al. (2005) and Washburn and Plank (2002). Na et al., (1999) on the other hand uses satisfaction as a global measure of brand equity. Chaudhuri and Holbrook (2001) proposed a model of brand loyalty that suggests that purchase/behavioral loyalty tends to lead to greater market share, while attitudinal loyalty leads to higher relative brand pricing. Previous studies show that loyalty is positively influenced by customer satisfaction (Anderson and Sullivan, 1993; Bloemer and Ruyter, 1998; Da Silva and Syed Alwi, 2006; Yang and Peterson, 2004). When consumers are satisfied with the brand, they are more likely to repurchase and recommend them to their family or friends (Benett and Rundle-Thiele, 2004). Also, customers’ brand trust leads to brand loyalty.

### Table 1: Source: Summarized from Roberts (2004, pp.74-75)

| Components | Sub-components | Descriptions and examples |
|------------|----------------|---------------------------|
| Performance| Innovation/Quality | Performs better than competitors. |
| Service | | Does the right thing by consumers local community. |
| Identity | | Possess a heritage that consumers know. |
| Value | | Offers the best possible value. |
| Trust | Reliability | Receives consistent feedback from consumers. |
| | Ease of Access | Welcomes consumer input to enhance changes in the brand. |
| | Security | Consistent’s perception of the brand matches expectations. |
| Reputation | Leadership | A leader in its field. |
| | Honesty | Keeps the right things when something goes wrong. |
| Responsibility | | Keeps promises, even if it burn the bottom line. |
| | Efficacy/Usefulness | Provides user friendly brands. |

### Overall Brand Equity

Brand equity is strongly interconnected with brand awareness, brand image and brand loyalty (Gil, Andres and Salinas, 2007; Keller, 1993, 2001; Yoo et al., 2000). Previous studies found a strong effect of brand loyalty on overall brand equity (Gil et al., 2007; Taylor et al., 2004; Tong and Hawley, 2009b; Yoo et al., 2000). Yoo et al. (2009) demonstrated that the relationship of loyalty to overall brand equity was much stronger than that of other equity dimensions (i.e., perceived quality, brand awareness and associations). Based on the finding, Yoo et al. (2000) suggested that brand loyalty is the most important dimensions that affect an increase in overall brand equity.
CONCEPTUAL FRAMEWORK

Based on the above model identified the study aims at testing the following hypothesis:

H1. Sensuality has significant influence over brand love.
H2. Sensuality has significant influence over brand respect.
H3. Sensuality has significant influence over brand trust.
H4. Brand love significant impact on brand loyalty.
H5. Brand respect has significant influence over brand loyalty.
H6. Brand trust has significant influence over brand loyalty.
H7. Brand loyalty has significant influence over overall brand equity.

ENTRY AND GROWTH OF CAFE RETAIL OUTLETS IN INDIA

The café market in India started growing in the 1990s. However, major expansion in the coffee shops and café market in India was observed during past 5-6 years. The industry has grown well since 2005. India is the sixth largest producer of coffee and with the increasing developments in the café market, the consumption of coffee is also rising. India has pre-dominantly been a tea consuming country and coffee has been a luxury drink. However, the notion is changing with the shifting lifestyles, along with progressing disposable incomes, increased influence of western countries, easy accessibility to internet and other media. Coffee has turned out to be a trendy beverage for the young population of the country and with the high ratio of young population in India; the entire coffee market has been benefited. The coffee shops and café’s turn out to be the best locations to hangout for youngsters and are thus, preferred over other venues. The coffee shops and café market in India is expected to witness phenomenal growth in the next five years. A large number of coffee shops in India are being expanded, with the proposition of food & beverages. The average bill amount at a coffee shop in India was around INR 135-150 in 2010 and is expected to increase to INR 230-255 by 2017. Also, Mumbai turns out to be the most high-priced city for average bill amount and Kolkata remains to be the least expensive. It is anticipated that the coffee shops/café’s market in India will cross INR 5600 Crore by 2017. Café Coffee Day is the biggest player in the coffee shops and café market in India, followed by Barista Lavazza and Costa Coffee. There are a few other players/brands in the market, which include The Chocolate Room, Javagreen, Coffee N U, Coffee Bean & Tea Leaf, and Gloria Jeans etc. The global coffee giants Starbucks and Dunkin Donuts opened their stores in India in the end of 2012.

Coffee Consumption: Coffee is the beverage of choice in many south Indian states and these states consume the bulk of the coffee in India. While this southern market consumes most of the coffee as filter coffee (usually with Chikori added), rest of the India is mainly an instant coffee market.

Volume-wise south India consumes ~75% of the total coffee that is consumed in India. (Well, the same was >90% just a decade back). The Value-wise break-up is a less skewed, given that most of the consumption in the rest of the India is out of home (restaurants, cafes etc & hence of high value).

Coffee consumption (volume) in India is growing at a CAGR of ~6% per year during the last decade, which clearly indicates at a strong trend of newer people & regions being exposed to coffee.

Estimated Domestic Consumption (From 2000)

| Calendar Year | Quantity (in MTs) |
|---------------|------------------|
| 2000          | 60000            |
| 2001          | 64000            |
| 2002          | 68000            |
| 2003          | 70000            |
| 2004          | 75000            |
| 2005          | 80000            |
| 2006          | 85000            |
| 2007          | 90000            |
| 2008          | 94,400           |
| 2009          | 102,000          |
| 2010          | 108,000          |
| 2011 (prov.)  | 115,000          |

Changing lifestyle and increased disposable income is driving the younger generation in urban areas to coffee outlets. Organized retail chains continue to grow at the current pace (~25% annually). The coffee chain market in India is worth Rs.1,820 crore ($292.6 million). The coffee retail business in India is valued at over Rs 8 billion and growing at 6% annually with the potential space for nearly 3000 retail outlets.

Growth drivers for Cafe retail outlets in India

- Raising disposable incomes of Indian consumers which are changing the traditional approach to spending on consumables & leisure.
- Business meetings, get together with friends, wi fi usage, cafe retail outlets in hospitals and college campus, airports, tech parks are convenient for consumers.
- Increasing westernization of the Indian society.
- Large shift from rural to urban population (due to which our urban population is increasing at more than 3% per year). This is creating high density population clusters, which are favourable for such businesses.
- Increasing expat & tourist arrivals (~6.5 million per year).

INCREASING PRESENCE OF GLOBAL COFFEE GIANTS IN INDIA

Starbucks: Since 1971, Starbucks Coffee Company has been dedicated to ethically sourcing and roasting the highest-quality arabica coffee in the world. Starbucks has
Coffee and Gloria Jean’s plan to increase their stores to 300 and 100 respectively, by 2017.

**ELEMENTS OF ROBERT’S SENSUALITY CONCEPT**

| Table 4: Elements of sensuality. Source: Summarized from Roberts (2004, pp.156-194) |
|---|---|
| **Vision** | Visual product presentation, logo design, packages, and colour scheme prompt particular emotions. |
| **Smell** | Scent is a direct, personal, and specific experience, because scent is not transformed by judgments or beliefs. Attractive olfactory stimulation increases sales. Smell is intertwined with taste. |
| **Sound** | Quiet retail environment or certain tunes/tones/rhythm/melodies/ volume in a store leads to specific moods or feelings. |
| **Touch** | Smooth, rough, hard, soft, wet, dry, hot, and cold texture stimulates senses |
| **Taste** | Sour, sweet, salty, and bitter are types of taste that entail specific moods or feelings. Apple advertised iMac in shades of strawberry and blueberry to arouse positive emotions. |

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

Data collection was done with the help of a structured questionnaire which was given to respondents. The questionnaire was framed with the help of previous study and not validated since the model is new and validation of questions for the proposed model was done with the data collected.

**Population & Sampling Design**

The study has considered coffee consumers of CCD of age group from 20-35 in Coimbatore city since most of the consumer in this age group will be the target audience for CCD because the brand and economic influence and spending capacity for these age group will be high. Research being exploratory in nature and due to time constraint convenient sampling was taken into consideration. Sample size of 100 was taken for the study. The sampling procedures denotes the basis on which the respondent were selected. The idea behind considering consumer between 20 and 35 is the brand and economic influence over their purchase of products.

**Sampling Frame**

Sampling frame for this research was chosen based on the demographic details including age and frequency of their visit to CCD outlets and how much money and time they spend in the outlet. Consumer who visit frequently or occasionally are considered for the study.

**Sampling Method**

Sampling is concerned with the selection of a subset of individuals from within a statistical population to estimate characteristics of the whole population. For this study, Convenience sampling, a type of non-probability sampling that involves the sample being drawn from that part of the population that is close to hand, has been used. That is, a sample population is selected because it is readily available and convenient, as researchers are drawing on relationships or networks to which they have easy access.
Sample size
Since the research being conducted is an empirical study, where the theory needs to be proved beyond sampling frame, it is necessary to check whether the sample size of 200 is adequate for conducting the research and arriving at a proper conclusion. Sample size calculation makes use of many thumb rules. However the most prudent way to determine a sample size will be to make use of power analysis. For this purpose G power 3 software was used. Effect size is a measure of the strength of the effects being studied in the research. According to Cohen (1978) the value of effect size is 0.02 for small effects, 0.15 for medium effects and 0.35 for large effects. Most researches usually study the largest relationships between constructs.

Tools for analysis
1. Construct reliability analysis was done using Cronbach’s alpha measure with SPSS software to determine the reliability of the various dimensions being studied.
2. Correlation analysis between construct scores was conducted to test the significance of correlation between related constructs.
3. PLS Path Modelling structural equation technique was conducted on the model proposed to ascertain the validity of the constructs proposed and the paths postulated in the model.

RESULTS
Sensuality: Sensuality were initially represented by 39 variables of 11 represent visual, 7 from auditory, 6 from gustative, 9 from tactile and 6 from olfactory. The analysis began with purification using CITC values. The CITC values corresponding to each variable are shown in table below. At the end of the purification process all the 39 variables has passed the test. All variables having CITC values greater than 0.5 are taken and also tested for Cronbach value greater than 0.75 and the variable passes these test are taken into consideration.

| Variable     | Cronbach’s alpha |
|--------------|------------------|
| Visual       | 0.90             |
| Auditory     | 0.93             |
| Gustative    | 0.82             |
| Tactile      | 0.87             |
| Olfactory    | 0.89             |
| Brand Love   | 0.91             |
| Brand Respect| 0.93             |
| Brand Trust  | 0.90             |
| Brand Loyalty| 0.91             |
| Overall Brand Equity | 0.87          |

| Table.5: Cronbach’s alpha value |

Conceptual Model and Hypothesis Testing: A construct level correlation analysis was used as a preliminary check for the four hypotheses proposed. Visual PLS is used to calculate the construct scores. A rigorous test of the significance of various proposed relations can be tested using the bootstrap function in Visual PLS. PLS path modelling is a non-parametric method, and as such cannot be used for performing a t-test. But it is possible to use resampling methods (bootstrap and jack knife) to obtain the significance of the various paths in the model (Efron 1979; Efron and Gong 1983). Bootstrap is more reliable in estimating the significance of paths. So this research has considered and used bootstrap for the purpose of determining causal relations proposed in the model. In boot strap used in this research, random samples sized 75 (the respondent number) were taken, and 500 such samples were taken (to get best estimates a resample number of 500 is recommended although in theory an infinite resample is needed for the purpose). The Results were examined for significance. At 5% level of significance the cutoff t-statistic is 1.96. In general it is assumed that if the t-statistic is more than 2, the path is significant.

H1. Sensuality has significant influence over brand love
The relation was found to be highly significant (r=15.759). R square value is also high (0.464). The path coefficient or the beta value also suggests that the sensuality have strong effects on Brand love (0.681). Path coefficients explain how strong the effect of one variable is on the other. The weight of different path coefficients enables us to rank their relative statistical importance. Thus hypothesized path between sensuality and brand love is statistically significant.

H2. Sensuality has significant influence over brand respect
The relation was found to be highly significant (r=22.671). R square value is also high (0.564). The path coefficient or the beta value also suggests that the sensuality have strong effects on Brand respect (0.751). Path coefficients explain how strong the effect of one variable is on the other. The weight of different path coefficients enables us to rank their relative statistical importance. Thus hypothesized path between sensuality and brand respect is statistically significant.

H3. Sensuality has significant influence over brand trust
The relation was found to be highly significant (r=2.609). R square value is also high (0.529). The path coefficient or the beta value also suggests that the sensuality have strong effects on Brand trust (0.728). Path coefficients explain how strong the effect of one variable is on the other. The weight of different path coefficients enables us to rank their relative statistical importance. Thus hypothesized path between sensuality and brand trust is statistically significant.

H4. Brand love significant impact on brand loyalty
The relation was found to be highly significant (r=4.567). R square value is also high (0.574). The path coefficient or the beta value also suggests that the brand love have strong effects on brand loyalty (0.681). Path coefficients explain how strong the effect of one variable is on the other. The weight of different path coefficients enables us to rank their relative statistical importance. Thus hypothesized path between brand love and brand loyalty is statistically significant.
H5. Brand respect has significant influence over brand loyalty

The relation was found to be highly significant (t=4.237). R square value is also high (0.574). The path coefficient or the beta value also suggests that the brand respect have strong effects on Brand loyalty (0.323). Path coefficients explain how strong the effect of one variable is on the other. The weight of different path coefficients enables us to rank their relative statistical importance. Thus hypothesized path between brand respect and brand loyalty is statistically significant.

H6. Brand trust has significant influence over brand loyalty.

The relation was found to be highly significant (t=4.470). R square value is also high (0.574). The path coefficient or the beta value also suggests that the brand trust have strong effects on Brand loyalty (0.370). Path coefficients explain how strong the effect of one variable is on the other. The weight of different path coefficients enables us to rank their relative statistical importance. Thus hypothesized path between brand trust and brand loyalty is statistically significant.

H7. Brand loyalty has significant influence over overall brand equity.

The relation was found to be highly significant (t=15.759). R square value is also high (0.464). The path coefficient or the beta value also suggests that the brand loyalty have strong effects on overall brand equity (0.654). Path coefficients explain how strong the effect of one variable is on the other. The weight of different path coefficients enables us to rank their relative statistical importance. Thus hypothesized path between brand loyalty and overall brand equity is statistically significant.

Path Coefficient Value

| S.No | Path                              | Path Coefficient Value |
|------|-----------------------------------|------------------------|
| 1    | Sensuality >>> Brand Love         | 0.681                  |
| 2    | Sensuality >>> Brand Respect      | 0.751                  |
| 3    | Sensuality >>> Brand Trust        | 0.728                  |
| 4    | Brand Love >>> Brand Loyalty      | 0.399                  |
| 5    | Brand Respect >>> Brand Loyalty   | 0.323                  |
| 6    | Brand Trust >>> Brand Loyalty     | 0.370                  |
| 7    | Brand Loyalty >>> Overall Brand Equity | 0.654               |

Table VI: Path coefficient value

Path coefficient values for all the assigned paths are greater than 0.2 which means they path and the variables are highly related to each other and the model is fit.

Figure Model Validation

Fig. 3: Conceptual model validation

Terms used in the Model: S: Sensuality. BL: Brand Love. BR: Brand Respect. BT: Brand Trust

This figure reflects the value obtained from Visual PLS path modelling software used to find the validity between the constructs.

Validation Table Summary

| Hypothesis | Entire sample estimate | Mean of sub-samples | Standard error | T-statistic |
|------------|------------------------|---------------------|----------------|-------------|
| H1         | 0.681                  | 0.6856              | 0.0432         | 15.7598     |
| H2         | 0.7510                 | 0.7522              | 0.0331         | 22.6715     |
| H3         | 0.7280                 | 0.7308              | 0.0330         | 22.0885     |
| H4         | 0.3990                 | 0.4130              | 0.0874         | 4.5674      |
| H5         | 0.3230                 | 0.1002              | 0.0729         | 4.2373      |
| H6         | 0.3700                 | 0.3643              | 0.0826         | 4.4706      |
| H7         | 0.6540                 | 0.6551              | 0.0753         | 8.6862      |

FINDINGS AND SUGGESTIONS

From above analysis the model is found to be fit and the hypotheses are significant and the variables have a strong correlation with one another. So it can be easily understood that sensuality experience will increase overall brand equity. The sensory aspect of brand image refers to brand experiences, shaped through a consumer’s physical senses (i.e., vision, smell, sound, touch, and taste). Sensuality reflects pleasant sensory experiences (Roberts, 2004). Sensuality creates a deep emotional attachment between brand and consumers. The nature of this bonding is very similar to the interpersonal love and attachment.

Sensuality perceptions arise out of different levels of cognitive and emotional abstraction and allow us to know how trustworthy the brand is. Brand respect is created by positive perception that consumers have towards a particular brand, based on evaluation of brand performance with the input from the perception of sensuality. Pleasing sensuality experience may trigger a feeling of love towards the brand. Thus, it is reasonable to expect a positive relationship between sensory experience and brand love. Brand equity is strongly interrelated with brand awareness, image, and loyalty which is created by the sensuality elements of the consumer based on the perception and experience they get from the outlet.

This sensory experience may affect consumer perceptions of the product’s aesthetic value and efficacy/usefulness, which affects perceived quality (i.e., performance) and this in turn leads to brand respect. The ambience associated with the brand creates a positive
vibe so the brand is reliable and trustworthy. Brand love mediates the relationship between customer satisfaction and brand loyalty if an individual remains satisfied with a brand then it is likely that the satisfaction will get transformed into an emotional and passionate bonding with the brand. Loving a brand (and not mere satisfaction) is a step towards loyalty.

CONCLUSION
Thus Consumer psychologists focus on mental processes such as thinking, perceiving, remembering, and learning to get maximum benefit for the brand. In order to survive, brands will have to evaluate and integrate all their sensory touch points. Brands will need to have a sensory brand platform in the same way that human beings need oxygen. Providing sensory experience is very important for generating positive perceptions of a brand. Shopping and service experiences occur when a consumer interacts with a store’s physical environment, its personnel, and its policies and practices. Sensuality therefore leads to brand trust, brand love, and brand respect and which in turn leads to brand loyalty and to overall brand equity. This article explains how the senses affect the decision making and perception of consumers about the brand.
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