The Association Between Mycobacteria-Specific Antigen-Induced Cytokines and Host Response to Latent Tuberculosis Infection Treatment in a Chinese Population
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Objectives: Exploring biomarkers monitoring latent tuberculosis infection (LTBI) treatment effectiveness would benefit optimizing the therapeutic regimen. This study aims to identify potential mycobacteria-specific antigen-induced cytokines associated with host responses to preventive treatment.

Methods: Based on a randomized controlled trial on LTBI treatment among individuals with chest radiography abnormalities suggestive of prior tuberculosis (TB), the dynamically changed cytokine levels in QuantiFERON-TB Gold In-Tube (QFT) supernatants were estimated during the treatment by bead-based multiplex assays and enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay.

Results: In total, 63 treated participants and 32 untreated controls were included in the study. The levels of 13 background-corrected mycobacteria-specific antigen-stimulated cytokines [basic fibroblast growth factor (FGF), growth-regulated oncogene (GRO)-α, interleukin (IL)-1α, IL-1ra, IL-12 (p70), stem cell factor (SCF), tumor necrosis factor-related apoptosis-inducing ligand (TRAIL), IL-8, interferon (IFN)-α2, IL-5, IL-12 (p40), leukemia inhibitory factor (LIF), and IL-17A] were found to be statistically different between before and after treatment in treated participants, while no statistically differences were observed in untreated controls. Among these 13 cytokines, the level of IL-8 was significantly lower in the QFT reversed group than that in the non-reversed group (p = 0.028) among treated participants, while such a difference was not found for untreated controls (p = 0.292).

Conclusion: Our results suggested that the lower level of mycobacteria-specific antigen-induced IL-8 might be associated with the host’s positive response to LTBI treatment.
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INTRODUCTION

Approximately one-fourth of the world’s population were infected with Mycobacterium tuberculosis (M.tuberculosis), and there were more than 7 million new cases of active tuberculosis (TB) being reported in 2019 (World Health Organization, 2020a). It is estimated that 5–10% of individuals with latent tuberculosis infection (LTBI) might develop active disease during their lifetime. Promoting TB preventive treatment among high-risk populations with LTBI is one of the key tools to achieve the End TB Strategy targets as recommended by the World Health Organization (2020b). At present, assessing the decline of active TB incidence is a traditional way to evaluate the effect of preventive treatment that is usually influenced by multiple factors and that needs a long follow-up period and huge resource inputs. Therefore, identifying biomarkers promptly reflecting treatment effect is necessary, which might also provide insights into surrogate markers of protective immunity against TB.

Host immune cells secrete a number of cytokines and chemokine signals (Cooper, 2008; Chowdhury et al., 2014), which play active roles in the initiation and regulation of the immune response at various stages of disease development. Previous studies have reported several specific antigen-stimulated or unstimulated serum cytokine biomarkers for monitoring the potential effect of anti-TB treatment, such as tumor necrosis factor (TNF)-α, interleukin (IL)-10, IL-6, IL-1ra, macrophage inflammatory protein (MIP)-1β, IL-2/interferon (IFN)-γ, and IFN-inducible protein (IP)-10 (Cooper, 2008; Eum et al., 2010; Chowdhury et al., 2014). However, to date, few studies evaluated the usefulness of the cytokines in monitoring host response to LTBI treatment (Kabeer et al., 2011; Petruccioli et al., 2013). Although IFN-γ release assay (IGRA) is a valuable tool for establishing the diagnosis of LTBI, our recent study based on a randomized controlled trial (RCT) showed that similar decreased IFN-γ levels were observed in serial QuantiFERON-TB Gold In-Tube (QFT; a commercial IGRA) tests regardless if preventive treatment was initiated or not (Xin et al., 2020). Previous meta-analysis also validated our results that the IGRA was not suitable for monitoring host response to LTBI treatment (Clifford et al., 2015; Zhang et al., 2018). Therefore, our current study aimed to track mycobacteria-specific antigen-induced cytokine responses to LTBI treatment based on an RCT to identify potential biomarkers correlating with host response to preventive treatment.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study Population

Study participants in the current study were selected from an RCT aiming to explore the effect of ultra-short regimen among rural residents with chest radiography abnormalities suggestive of prior TB lesions in China. Briefly, all participants with QFT (Qiagen, United States)-positive result (TB Ag-Nil ≥ 0.35 IU/ml) and without current active TB at baseline survey were included in the RCT. They were randomly classified into two groups [Intervention group: 6 weeks regimen of twice-weekly rifapentine (RPT) plus isoniazid (INH), with a maximum dose of 600 mg for each, between October 20, 2018, and November 30, 2018; Control group: without treatment). In the current study, 63 participants with available QFT supernatants who completed the assigned regimens and 32 subjects from the control group were randomly selected for cytokine measurements.

The protocol of the present study has been approved by the ethics committees of the Institute of Pathogen Biology, Chinese Academy of Medical Sciences (No.: IPB-2018-1). All participants have signed the written informed consent.

Cytokine Measurements in the Supernatants of QuantiFERON-TB Gold In-Tube

Supernatants of QFT before the start of LTBI treatment (T0) and at 1 week post LTBI treatment (T1) have been collected and retained. Ready-made cytokine kit (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Hercules, CA, United States) that could simultaneously detect 48 cytokines [cutaneous T-cell attracting chemokine (CTACK), eotaxin, growth-regulated oncogene (GRO)-α, IP-10/C-X-C motif chemokine ligand (CXCL)10, macrophage chemotactic protein (MCP)-1/monocyte chemotactic and activating factor (MCAF), MCP-3, macrophage migration inhibitory factor (MIF), monokine induced by IFN-γ (MIG), MIP-1α, MIP-1β, platelet-derived growth factor (PDGF)-BB, Regulated upon Activation, Normal T Cell Expressed and Presumably Secreted (RANTES), stromal cell-derived factor (SDF)-1α, granulocyte-macrophage colony-stimulating factor (GM-CSF), G-CSF, stem cell factor (SCF), M-CSF, vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF)-A, leukemia inhibitory factor (LIF), basic fibroblast growth factor (FGF), hepatocyte growth factor (HGF), β-nerve growth factor (NGF), stem cell growth factor (SCGF)-β, IFN-α2, IFN-γ, TNF-α, TNF-β, TNF-related apoptosis-inducing ligand (TRAIL), IL-1α, IL-1β, IL-1ra, IL-2, IL-3, IL-4, IL-5, IL-6, IL-7, IL-8, IL-9, IL-10, IL-12 (p70), IL-12 (p40), IL-13, IL-15, IL-16, IL-17A, and IL-18] were used. The levels of cytokines in the Nil supernatants (unstimulated) and the TGAg supernatants [stimulated with the mycobacteria-specific antigens: early secretory antigen target-6 (ESAT-6), culture filtrate protein 10 (CFP-10), and TB7.7] were analyzed by magnetic bead suspension array using the Bio-Plex Pro Human Cytokine panels according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Prior to measuring the samples, the supernatants were diluted 4× according to the manufacturers’ instructions. As the level of IL-8 was above the detection limit when measured for 4×-diluted supernatants by the Bio-Plex Pro Human Cytokine panels, the supernatants were diluted 100× and measured by an enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) (Human IL-8 Platinum ELISA kit #BMS2043; eBioscience, San Diego, CA). Cytokines with >50% of the samples below the lower detection level (LDL) or above the upper limit of the assay will be excluded from further statistical analysis. Additionally, cytokines with occasional values (<50%) below the LDL were assigned an averaged value between 0 and the lowest detectable level in each assay plate (Xin et al., 2019). Cytokines with occasional values (<50%) above the upper limit were assigned
TABLE 1 | Characteristics of the participants included in the study.

| Variables                        | Treated participants | Untreated controls | p-value |
|----------------------------------|----------------------|--------------------|---------|
| Total*                           | 63                   | 32                 | 0.950†  |
| Age (median, Q25–Q75) (years)    | 61.00 (50.00, 69.00) | 60.00 (54.50, 68.00)| 0.717‡  |
| Gender, n (%)                    |                      |                    |         |
| Male                             | 43 (68.25)           | 23 (71.88)         | 0.717‡  |
| Female                           | 20 (31.75)           | 9 (28.12)          |         |
| Smoking, n (%)                   |                      |                    | 0.606ª  |
| Never smoked                     | 42 (66.67)           | 23 (71.88)         |         |
| Ever smoked                      | 21 (33.33)           | 9 (28.12)          |         |
| Presence of TB history, n (%)    |                      |                    | 0.723ª  |
| No                               | 54 (85.71)           | 29 (90.63)         |         |
| Yes                              | 9 (14.29)            | 3 (9.38)           |         |
| BCG scar, n (%)                  |                      |                    | 0.896ª  |
| Absent                           | 10 (15.87)           | 4 (12.50)          |         |
| Present                          | 53 (84.13)           | 28 (87.50)         |         |
| Median BMI (Q25–Q75) (kg/m²)     | 23.44 (21.51, 25.59) | 24.24 (22.15, 25.70)| 0.454†  |
| Median IFN-γ release of QFT (Q25–Q75) (IU/ml) |          |                    |         |
| T0                               | 2.16 (0.92, 4.67)    | 2.07 (0.66, 4.96)  | 0.909†  |
| T1                               | 0.66 (0.17, 3.10)    | 1.13 (0.15, 3.81)  | 0.491†  |
| Self-reported history of immunological diseases |                    |                    | 1.000ª  |
| No                               | 61 (96.83)           | 31 (96.88)         |         |
| Yes                              | 2 (3.17)             | 1 (3.12)           |         |

Q25, 25% quantile; Q75, 75% quantile; QFT, QuantiFERON-TB Gold In-Tube; T0, Before the start of LTBI treatment; T1, At 1 week post LTBI treatment; TB, tuberculosis; BCG, Bacille Calmette–Guérin; BMI, body mass index; IFN, interferon.
*All study subjects were not infected with HIV and had no history of diabetes.
†p for Wilcoxon rank sum test.
‡p for χ² test.
ªp for Fisher’s exact test.

the upper limit (Ruhwald et al., 2008). Background-corrected mycobacteria-specific antigen-stimulated cytokine concentration was defined by subtracting the concentration in Nil supernatants from the corresponding concentration in TBAg supernatants.

Statistical Analysis
Statistical analyses were performed using SAS 9.4 version (SAS Institute, Cary, NC) and GraphPad Prism 9 (GraphPad software, San Diego, CA). Participants who completed ≥90% doses of the therapy were defined as completed the regimens. In order to reflect the change of host infection status, a more strict definition of QFT reversion was used in the present study as IFN-γ level declined from >0.70 IU/ml at T0 to <0.20 IU/ml at T1 (van Zyl-Smit et al., 2009; Tagmouti et al., 2014; Nemes et al., 2017). Chi-square (χ²) test and Fisher’s exact test were used to compare the distribution of categorical variables across groups. The level of background-corrected mycobacteria-specific antigen-stimulated cytokine concentrations was presented with median (Q25–Q75). Wilcoxon rank sum test was used to compare responses between different participants at the same time point. Wilcoxon signed rank test was used to evaluate the responses for the same person at different time points. To assess the ability of the cytokines to reflect infection status, receiver operating characteristic (ROC) analysis was conducted, and the area under ROC curves (AUCs) was calculated. A two-tailed p-value < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

RESULTS
Characteristics of the Study Participants
A total of 32 untreated controls and 63 treated participants were included in this study. Table 1 shows major characteristics of the study participants. All of the study participants were HIV negative and without a history of diabetes. Roughly two-thirds of the treated and untreated participants were males, with a median age of 61 and 60 years, respectively. The majority of treated and untreated participants had a Bacille Calmette–Guérin (BCG) scar. No significant difference was found between the two groups with respect to age, gender, smoking, presence of TB history, and history of immunological diseases. In addition, there were no statistical differences in the IFN-γ levels between treated and untreated groups both at T0 (before the start of LTBI treatment) and T1 (at 1 week post LTBI treatment).

Median Levels of Background-Corrected Mycobacteria-Specific Antigen-Stimulated Cytokines at Different Time Points
Table 2 shows the levels of the cytokines in different time points. As the levels of the two tested cytokines (MCP-1 and MIP-1α) by bead-based multiplex assays were below the LDL, they were not included for further data analysis.
| Cytokine | Cytokine levels in treated participants (n = 63) Median [Q25–Q75] (pg/ml) | Cytokine levels in untreated controls (n = 32) Median [Q25–Q75] (pg/ml) |
|---------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
|         | T0  | T1  | P* for difference | T0  | T1  | P* for difference |
| CTACK   | −126.69 (−355.38, 310.37) | −207.32 (−418.83, 325.42) | 0.113 | −214.3 (−210.68, 281.68) | −190.66 (−444.45, −60.76) | <0.001 |
| Eotaxin | −101.86 (−238.52, 0.60)  | −107.95 (−242.26, 144.00) | 0.782 | −45.95 (−214.81, 423.54) | −334.25 (−517.47, −235.34) | <0.001 |
| Basic FGF | 81.04 (21.04, 292.26)  | 80.11 (−39.97, 158.40)  | <0.001 | −62.43 (−111.41, 10.46)  | −31.45 (−59.91, −5.70)  | 0.202 |
| G-CSF   | 519.58 (−1,659.44, 5,427.18) | −1,096.43 (−6,476.26, 4,077.83) | <0.001 | −344.47 (−6,853.46, 4,148.10) | −6,565.22 (−7,795.16, −1,221.98) | 0.009 |
| GM-CSF  | 4.71 (−3.81, 22.90)  | 1.93 (−3.87, 15.40)  | <0.001 | −6.69 (−10.52, −0.32)  | −2.53 (−3.58, 0.45)  | 0.006 |
| GRO-α  | 809.51 (−1,265.36, 81,992.25) | 595.50 (−1,134.21, 13,300.15) | <0.001 | −988.19 (−5,966.80, 8,593.11) | −456.33 (−899.75, 0.00)  | 0.985 |
| HGF     | −148.07 (−582.83, 829.71) | −49.29 (−808.09, 658.56) | 0.037 | 1.88 (−844.65, 371.83)  | 532.48 (−788.68, −338.72) | 0.021 |
| IFN-α/2 | 26.16 (5.19, 124.89)  | 27.52 (−3.38, 79.35)  | <0.001 | −1.30 (−22.00, 9.35)  | −5.88 (−17.48, 7.55)  | 0.661 |
| IFN-γ  | 121.89 (−182.46, 1,170.19) | −10.67 (−283.85, 776.98) | <0.001 | 85.98 (−14.39, 613.95) | 55.75 (−118.75, 196.54) | 0.018 |
| IL-1α  | 120.76 (−5.66, 421.61) | 88.43 (−12.61, 323.20) | 0.006 | −45.27 (−133.76, 61.05) | −13.23 (−67.36, 34.49) | 0.253 |
| IL-1β  | 100.06 (−37.61, 561.80) | 131.44 (−91.44, 395.38) | 0.448 | 81.01 (−92.19, 284.87) | 76.33 (−39.97, 346.43) | 0.358 |
| IL-1ra  | 5,313.52 (2,238.55, 10,237.62) | 3,281.28 (1,399.17, 6,058.60) | 0.002 | 1,636.99 (1,535.85, 3,162.22) | 480.65 (−1,726.70, 856.02) | 0.066 |
| IL-2    | 162.99 (34.15, 886.96) | 52.65 (−4.28, 267.25) | <0.001 | 109.41 (27.75, 255.30) | 23.40 (−4.20, 52.59) | <0.001 |
| IL-2α   | 41.57 (−22.20, 294.21) | 66.28 (−133.81, 270.83) | 0.043 | −34.95 (−189.65, 84.15) | −95.49 (−169.82, −63.47) | 0.016 |
| IL-3    | 2.86 (0.71, 6.51) | 0.76 (0.07, 2.94) | 0.017 | 1.08 (−0.11, 2.06) | 4.55 (0.07, 8.91) | 0.002 |
| IL-4    | 3.84 (−0.78, 16.87) | 3.02 (−1.59, 9.17) | <0.001 | 0.80 (−3.64, 3.43) | −2.11 (−3.99, −0.47) | 0.005 |
| IL-5    | 63.47 (27.05, 220.79) | 65.48 (22.19, 153.38) | <0.001 | −5.82 (−31.96, 29.86) | −12.51 (−39.10, 0.00) | 0.348 |
| IL-6    | 418.17 (−475.29, 1,923.64) | 409.00 (−7.01, 984.98) | 0.675 | −86.44 (−1,203.28, 772.15) | 31.75 (−69.02, 182.86) | 0.409 |
| IL-7    | 1.34 (−1.63, 4.26) | 2.30 (−9.46, 5.38) | 0.105 | 0.96 (−5.60, 14.35) | −8.98 (−11.18, −5.64) | <0.001 |
| IL-9    | 9.32 (−64.26, 254.14) | −12.47 (−121.84, 144.24) | <0.001 | 0.18 (−98.14, 114.11) | −128.29 (−171.28, −69.85) | <0.001 |
| IL-10   | 0.11 (−4.84, 10.26) | 2.91 (−5.32, 8.92) | 0.992 | 1.69 (−8.06, 17.48) | −6.62 (−11.93, −4.31) | <0.001 |
| IL-12 (p70) | 1.42 (−2.78, 17.64) | 0.00 (−11.98, 9.97) | <0.001 | −2.85 (−9.75, 1.91) | 1.04 (−1.35, 2.66) | 0.170 |
| IL-12 (p40) | 123.97 (−13.53, 715.25) | 140.63 (−164.59, 481.72) | <0.001 | −173.10 (−235.07, 54.61) | −83.20 (−144.59, −19.47) | 0.784 |
| IL-13   | 4.97 (−0.59, 15.45) | 2.01 (−0.58, 7.27) | <0.001 | 4.195 (0.00, 9.28) | −1.76 (−3.07, −0.59) | <0.001 |

(Continued)
### TABLE 2 | Continued

| Cytokine | Cytokine levels in treated participants (n = 63) Median [Q25–Q75] (pg/ml) | Cytokine levels in untreated controls (n = 32) Median [Q25–Q75] (pg/ml) |
|----------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------|
|          | T0                                                                 | T1                                                                 | P* for difference |
| IL-15    | 0.29 (–164.50, 715.55)                                                   | 1.48 (–286.59, 485.34)                                                 | <0.001 |
| IL-16    | –138.75 (–291.14, 350.07)                                                | –72.56 (–560.79, 350.35)                                               | 0.019  |
| IL-17A   | 25.43 (4.46, 73.11)                                                      | 27.16 (–7.34, 51.39)                                                  | 0.009  |
| IL-18    | 1.88 (–16.01, 59.88)                                                     | 14.69 (–23.28, 43.01)                                                 | 0.075  |
| IP-10    | 7.671.40 (2.985.82, 31.427.30)                                           | 3.728.97 (380.94, 12.104.73)                                          | <0.001 |
| LIF      | 38.99 (–49.93, 414.39)                                                   | 53.35 (–53.31, 211.77)                                                | 0.039  |
| MCP-3    | 237.46 (–491.24, 1.413.60)                                               | 106.61 (–122.82, 614.29)                                              | 0.030  |
| M-CSF    | 14.46 (–3.25, 48.34)                                                     | 23.95 (–14.06, 40.14)                                                 | 0.096  |
| MIF      | –4,463.78 (–18,742.85, 54,602.15)                                        | –4,716.22 (–32,368.99, 23,609.38)                                     | 0.012  |
| MIG      | 10,868.28 (2,936.25, 43,696.62)                                           | 4,087.57 (–1,437.25, 15,899.27)                                       | <0.001 |
| MIP-1β   | 808.92 (–638.27, 2,166.50)                                               | 632.93 (–113.95, 1,511.35)                                            | 0.444  |
| β-NGF    | 0.99 (–4.52, 14.13)                                                      | 1.44 (–2.54, 7.35)                                                    | 0.124  |
| PDGF-BB  | 33.27 (–1,082.29, 2,226.18)                                              | –163.05 (–1,278.39, 984.52)                                           | 0.126  |
| RANTES   | 1,082.81 (–4,462.73, 18,580.12)                                          | 4,817.44 (–2,040.70, 12,509.52)                                       | 0.626  |
| SCF      | 42.33 (–75.85, 310.61)                                                   | 20.61 (–55.24, 168.30)                                                | 0.010  |
| SCGF-β   | 16,978.97 (4,901.23, 31,760.59)                                           | 22,843.18 (8,420.48, 41,655.35)                                       | 0.444  |
| SDF-1α   | –232.05 (–376.06, 951.00)                                                | –147.56 (–1,085.19, 867.26)                                          | <0.001 |
| TGF-β    | 731.72 (301.32, 1,621.62)                                                | 746.67 (281.86, 1,165.15)                                             | 0.426  |
| TNF-β    | 218.02 (118.55, 256.88)                                                  | 231.68 (175.97, 286.04)                                               | 0.374  |
| TRAIL    | 214.15 (–147.40, 756.54)                                                 | 136.19 (–26.94, 374.05)                                               | 0.001  |
| VEGF-A   | –261.63 (373.92, 468.14)                                                 | –247.88 (–554.52, 341.60)                                             | <0.001 |
| IL-8     | 12,242.90 (1,103.07, 37,141.72)                                          | 4,604.09 (–618.26, 16,283.70)                                         | 0.002  |

QFT: Quantiferon-TB Gold In-Tube; T0: Before the start of LTBI treatment; T1: At 1 week post LTBI treatment; Q25, 25% quartile; Q75, 75% quartile.

*Wilcoxon signed rank test; CTACK, cutaneous T cell-attracting chemokine; GRO, growth-regulated oncogene; TNF, tumor necrosis factor; MCP, macrophage chemotactic protein; MIF, macrophage migration inhibitory factor; MIG, monokine induced by IFN-γ; PDGF, platelet-derived growth factor; RANTES, Regulated upon Activation, Normal T Cell Expressed and Presumably Secreted; SDF, stromal cell-derived factor; GM-CSF, granulocyte-macrophage colony-stimulating factor; SCF, stem cell factor; VEGF, vascular endothelial growth factor; LIF, leukemia inhibitory factor; FGF, fibroblast growth factor; HGF, hepatocyte growth factor; NGF, nerve growth factor; SCGF-β, stem cell growth factor; TRAIL, TNF-related apoptosis-inducing ligand; IL, interleukin; MIP, macrophage inflammatory protein; IFN, interferon; mIFN, interferon inducible protein.

Bold values correspond to cytokines related to preventive treatment, which were identified like this: compared to T0, changes were statistically significant for cytokines at T1 in treated participants, while there was no difference in levels of cytokines between T0 and T1 in untreated controls.
The definition used to identify background-corrected mycobacteria-specific antigen-stimulated cytokines related to preventive treatment was shown in Figure 1. According to this definition, compared with the level at T0, there were statistically significant decreases in levels of basic FGF, GRO-α, IL-1α, IL-1ra, IL-12 (p70), SCF, TRAIL, IL-8, IFN-α2, IL-5, IL-12 (p40), LIF, and IL-17A at T1 in treated participants (Table 2). However, in untreated participants, as compared with the level at T0, there was no statistically significant difference being observed in the levels of the above cytokines at T1 (Table 2).

**Median Levels of the Selected Background-Corrected Mycobacteria-Specific Antigen-Stimulated Cytokines Classified by QuantiFERON-TB Gold In-Tube Results**

In order to further explore the relationship between the selected cytokines and host infection status (the level of released IFN-γ in the QFT test was used to reflect infection status), the treated and untreated participants were both divided into two groups according to their QFT retesting results at T1 (reversed...
or not reversed) (Figure 1). As shown in Figure 2 and Table 3, for treated participants, only the level of background-corrected mycobacteria-specific antigen-stimulated IL-8 was significantly lower in the reversed group as compared to that in the non-reversed group at T1. However, there was no significant difference in the level of the cytokine between the two groups at T0. For untreated participants, there was no significant difference in the level of IL-8 between the two groups at both T0 and T1 (Table 4).

**Performance of Background-Corrected Mycobacteria-Specific Antigen-Stimulated IL-8 on Reflecting Infection Status**

To further evaluate the performance of background-corrected mycobacteria-specific antigen-stimulated IL-8 in reflecting infection status reflected by a more strict definition of reversion, the ROC analysis was performed and the AUC was calculated among treated participants at T1. As shown in Figure 3, the AUC for IL-8 was 0.74.

**DISCUSSION**

Based on a randomized controlled study, the level of 13 cytokines [basic FGF, GRO-α, IL-1α, IL-1ra, IL-12 (p70), SCF, TRAIL, IL-8, IFN-α2, IL-5, IL-12 (p40), LIF, and IL-17A] induced by mycobacteria-specific antigen was changed significantly after LTBI treatment. Notably, IL-8 might be a potential marker to reflect the change of TB infection status, indicating that it might play an important role in host immune protection against TB infection.

There have been several studies illustrating the importance of cytokines as a biomarker for monitoring the anti-TB or LTBI preventive treatment. A prospective study by Clifford et al. (2017) observed a statistically significant decline in IL-1ra (CFP-10, ESAT-6, PPD), IL-2 (CFP-10, ESAT-6), and IP-10 (CFP-10, ESAT-6) responses after treatment with INH in participants with LTBI. Our previous study in which samples from LTBI participants were unstimulated with mycobacteria-specific antigen also reported a decrease in IL-1ra responses after treatment and additionally reported that serum IL-1ra was statistically higher among those who developed active disease during follow-up compared with those who stayed healthy (Zhang et al., 2020). A similar finding was observed in our current study, which found the level of IL-1ra decline after preventive treatment for LTBI, suggesting the possibility that it might be used for real-time treatment effect monitoring. In addition, a prospective study conducted in active TB cases reported that all antigens induced higher levels of TNF-α and IL-17 and low levels of IL-10 and sIL-2R-α before treatment and after 2 weeks of treatment (Mensah et al., 2014). These studies consistently suggested that mycobacteria-specific cytokines might be potential correlates of successful treatment of both active TB and LTBI.

Assessing the effect of preventive treatment promptly by biomarkers remains challenging yet is crucial to TB control. For active TB, the current standard for use as a surrogate treatment effect remains focused on culture conversion supplemented by clinical and radiological improvement. However, for LTBI without symptoms or signs, exploring the change of blood biomarkers indicating changing of M.tb infection status is one way to reflect the response to preventive treatment, facilitate treatment monitoring, and individualize treatment regimen adjustment. A review has suggested a clearance model...
### TABLE 3 | Median levels of the selected background-corrected mycobacteria-specific antigen-stimulated cytokines classified by the value of QFT results among treated participants.

| Cytokine | T1 Median [Q25–Q75] (pg/ml) | T0 Median [Q25–Q75] (pg/ml) |
|----------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|
|          | Non-reversed (n = 55)       | Reversed (n = 8)          |
| Basic FGF | 81.04 (12.94, 276.97)       | 145.22 (67.88, 328.15)     |
| GRO-α    | 519.97 (−1,472.48, 71,627.55) | 42,047.20 (−716.50, 230,889.60) |
| IFN-α2   | 23.01 (2.34, 124.27)        | 63.27 (21.60, 132.83)      |
| IL-1α     | 97.05 (−10.07, 421.61)      | 301.88 (26.35, 465.80)     |
| IL-1ra    | 5,143.59 (1,298.25, 10,237.62) | 6,817.13 (4,253.17, 11,206.12) |
| IL-5      | 60.76 (23.53, 206.45)       | 130.78 (60.93, 252.10)     |
| IL-12 (p70) | 1.84 (−2.32, 13.22)       | −0.03 (−3.07, 20.58)      |
| IL-12 (p40) | 123.97 (−31.39, 622.58)    | 312.16 (27.64, 829.70)     |
| IL-17A    | 25.43 (3.25, 73.11)        | 31.64 (17.94, 90.72)       |
| IL-5       | 3.88 (−19.40, 15.28)       | −24.88 (−28.98, −18.25)   |
| IL-12     | 1,852.02 (126.15, 3,720.75) | −3,519.87 (−6,811.04, −1,546.90) |
| IL-13(70) | 25.31 (−75.85, 310.03)     | 140.15 (−48.96, 307.95)   |
| SCF       | 204.34 (−155.68, 756.54)   | 351.16 (193.24, 797.38)    |
| TRAIL     | 14,104.96 (1,052.14, 35,418.65) | 9,755.15 (2,196.48, 39,288.45) |

### TABLE 4 | Median levels of the selected background-corrected mycobacteria-specific antigen-stimulated cytokines classified by the value of QFT results among untreated participants.

| Cytokine | T1 Median [Q25–Q75] (pg/ml) | T0 Median [Q25–Q75] (pg/ml) |
|----------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|
|          | Non-reversed (n = 28)       | Reversed (n = 4)          |
| Basic FGF | −50.31 (95.83, 11.85)       | −121.17 (−142.33, −70.82)  |
| GRO-α    | −525.93 (−2,499.48, 21,403.38) | −22,322.45 (−31,326.96, −12,086.71) |
| IFN-α2   | −0.44 (−19.40, 15.28)       | −24.88 (−28.98, −18.25)   |
| IL-1α     | 3.88 (−126.90, 74.28)       | −260.31 (−454.41, −28.72) |
| IL-1ra    | 1,852.02 (126.15, 3,720.75) | −3,519.87 (−6,811.04, −1,546.90) |
| IL-5      | 6.35 (−30.33, 33.15)        | −75.75 (−81.41, −49.11)   |
| IL-12 (p70) | −8.37 (−9.54, 1.91)         | −5.86 (−13.36, 13.29)     |
| IL-12 (p40) | −130.44 (−209.32, 59.41)   | −241.56 (−336.59, −204.68) |
| IL-17A    | −8.37 (−33.59, 12.08)       | −19.11 (−26.51, −9.81)   |
| IL-5      | −3.87 (−137.34, 27.11)      | −44.28 (−48.33, −42.05)  |
| SCF       | 19.71 (−11.17, 67.53)       | 39.16 (25.94, 84.27)      |
| TRAIL     | 109.39 (207.65, 283.72)     | 232.74 (605.18, 47.33)    |
| IL-8      | 9,682.43 (394.28, 287.35)   | 2,830.40 (11,746.80, 13,275.50) |

QFT, QuantiFERON-TB Gold In-Tube; T0, Before the start of LTBI treatment; T1, At 1 week post LTBI treatment; Q25, 25% quantile; Q75, 75% quantile; GRO, growth-regulated oncogene; SCF, stem cell factor; LIF, leukemia inhibitory factor; FGF, fibroblast growth factor; TRAIL, TNF-related apoptosis-inducing ligand; IL, interleukin; IFN, interferon.

#Wilcoxon rank sum test.
of M.tb based on animal and ex vivo human experiments (Verrall et al., 2014) that showed that, in addition to immune clearance by early and delayed clearance, M.tb could be eliminated from host tissue by anti-TB drugs. A subsequent study used an in vitro model of treated M.tb infection coupled with gel-enriched liquid chromatography–tandem mass spectrometry (GeLC MS/MS) to identify and quantify peptides that may indicate M.tb infection clearance and found that several activated proteins were associated with several mechanisms against M.tb infection and could potentially be used as biomarkers for TB treatment monitoring (Kaewseekhao et al., 2015).

In this study, we used a more rigorous definition for QFT reversion to reflect infection status and found that the level of IL-8 was lower in the reversed group than that in the non-reversed group after treatment in the treated group. IL-8 was firstly isolated from monocytes as a neutrophil attractant (Schröder et al., 1987; Walz et al., 1987; Yoshimura et al., 1987). It is a CXC chemokine that is also chemotactic for T lymphocytes (Larsen et al., 1989; Gerszten et al., 1999). Monocytes and macrophages infected with M.tb may be primary producers of IL-8 during the course of developing active disease (Zhang et al., 1995; Riedel and Kaufmann, 1997; Ameixa and Friedland, 2002), and neutrophils and respiratory epithelial cells also have the capability to secrete this chemokine (Lin et al., 1998; Wickremasinghe et al., 1999; Silva Miranda et al., 2012). Previous studies have shown that epithelial cells secrete IL-8 and other chemokines following infection by pathogenic respiratory viruses (Fiedler et al., 1995; Subauste et al., 1995) and bacteria (DiMango et al., 1995; Naumann et al., 1997). IL-8 mainly exhibits two main biological activities: chemical attraction and activation of several types of white blood cells. These characteristics can have important clinical consequences by affecting the pathogenesis of infectious diseases, such as TB and coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) (Krupa et al., 2015; Del Valle et al., 2020). Besides, IL-8 was ever proven to play a central role in normal immune response to M.tb and had been shown to be absolutely required for granuloma formation (Silva Miranda et al., 2012). It also had the ability to directly interact with M.tb and in this way enhance antimicrobial functions of pro-inflammatory cells, that is, macrophages and neutrophils (Krupa et al., 2015). Moreover, our previous study found that the serum level of IL-8 was dramatically lower in the QFT reversion group than that in the QFT persistent positive group (Xin et al., 2019). Based on the above evidence, decreased IL-8 level in our study might reflect reduced bacterial replication activity caused by preventive treatment and further suggested a predisposing role of IL-8 as a biomarker to indicate M.tb infection clearance. Furthermore, the level of mycobacteria-specific antigen-induced IL-8 was anticipated to be more sensitive to the change of M.tb infection status compared to the level in peripheral blood.

When interpreting the results of the study, several limitations should be kept in mind. First, although we used the same Luminex kit to test QFT supernatants collected at different time points, the influence of inconsistent sample quality on testing results could not be completely ruled out. Second, as the target population of the RCT was those with chest radiography abnormalities suggestive of prior TB lesions, generalization of the study results should be with caution. Third, previous studies reported that decreased IFN-γ levels in the QFT test should not be used for monitoring host response to LTBI treatment. Therefore, we conducted this study to identify alternative biomarkers reflecting preventive treatment effect. Although we used a more strict definition of QFT reversion (from IFN-γ > 0.7 IU/ml at T0 to <0.2 IU/ml at T1) to reflect infection clearance, misclassification still could not be excluded.

CONCLUSION

Our results suggested that the level of mycobacteria-specific antigen-induced IL-8 decreased along with preventive treatment and might be used to identify infection clearance suggested by a strict definition of QFT reversion. It provides a clue for exploring prognosis biomarkers to evaluate the performance of LTBI treatment.
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