Evolution of the Ultraviolet Upturn at $0.3 < z < 1$: Exploring Helium-rich Stellar Populations
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Abstract

We measure the near-UV (rest-frame $\sim$2400 Å) to optical color for early-type galaxies in 12 clusters at $0.3 < z < 1.0$. We show that this is a suitable proxy for the more common far-ultraviolet bandpass used to measure the ultraviolet upturn and find that the upturn is detected to $z = 0.6$ in these data, in agreement with previous work.

We find evidence that the strength of the upturn starts to wane beyond this redshift and largely disappears at $z = 1$. Our data are most consistent with models where early-type galaxies contain minority stellar populations with non-cosmological helium abundances, up to around 46%, formed at $z \geq 3$, resembling globular clusters with multiple stellar populations in our Galaxy. This suggests that elliptical galaxies and globular clusters share similar chemical evolution and star formation histories. The vast majority of the stellar mass in these galaxies also must have been in place at $z > 3$.

Unified Astronomy Thesaurus concepts: Galaxy evolution (594); Horizontal branch stars (746); Galaxy clusters (584)

1. Introduction

It has long been known that potentially all early-type galaxies (ETGs) contain excess flux at short (vacuum ultraviolet) wavelengths when compared to expectations from their old, metal-rich stellar populations extrapolated to this wavelength regime (e.g., Code & Welch 1979; Bertola et al. 1982; Burstein et al. 1988—see also O’Connell 1999; Yi 2010 for reviews and discussions). Typical observed far-ultraviolet (FUV) – V colors for local ETGs are 2–3 magnitudes bluer than expected from spectrum synthesis models (e.g., Conroy et al. 2009) that, with standard initial conditions (solar metallicity, formation redshift of 4, star formation e-folding timescale of 0.3 Gyr5), reproduce the observed optical and infrared colors of ETGs and their evolution even at redshift ~2.

There is now a broad consensus that hot (blue) horizontal branch (HB) stars are responsible for producing the excess UV flux (Greggio & Renzini 1990; Dorman et al. 1993, 1995). These stars have been directly identified as the sources of the UV light in the nearby bulges of M31 and M32 (Brown et al. 1999b, 2000b). Rosenfield et al. (2012) find that the vast majority of the UV light in the bulge of M31 can only be produced by hot HB stars (unresolved in their imaging). Since the bulge of M31 is both old (Saglia et al. 2010) and metal-rich (Sarajedini & Jablonka 2005) it provides a good counterpart to the stellar populations of more distant ETGs. Contributions from sources other than blue HB stars are not fully consistent with observational evidence from local galaxies: post-asymptotic giant branch (PAGB) stars (Lee et al. 1999; Werle et al. 2020) are absent from the UV color–magnitude diagram (CMD) of M32 (Brown et al. 2000b; Brown 2004) and provide only a relatively small (~20%, Rosenfield et al. 2012) fraction of the UV flux in the M31 bulge: those found are actually descendants of the blue HB population producing the bulk of the UV flux (Brown et al. 1997; Rosenfield et al. 2012). FUV spectra of nearby ETGs from Astro Hopkins Ultraviolet Telescope (HUT) (Ferguson & Davidsen 1993; Brown et al. 1997) lack the broad absorption features that would be expected in a population of intermediate-luminosity white dwarfs (Werle et al. 2020). Young stars (Vazdekis et al. 2016; Salvador-Rusinol et al. 2020; Werle et al. 2020) cannot easily account for the UV excess light as well. No star hotter than B1V is observed in M31’s bulge (O’Connell et al. 1992; Rosenfield et al. 2012). Images of several ETGs from Astro Ultraviolet Imaging Telescope (UIT) (Stecher et al. 1997) exhibit none of the clumpiness usually associated with star formation elsewhere. FUV spectra of six nearby ETGs from Brown et al. (1997) and spectral energy distributions covering 1500–3000 Å for several dozen ETGs in Coma and A1689 (Ali et al. 2018a, 2018b) are consistent with a single blackbody and unlike the flux distribution produced by young stellar populations for normal initial mass functions. Finally, estimates of the star formation rate in ETGs from Hakobyan et al. (2012) and Sedgwick et al. (2021) using Type II supernovae imply much lower star formation rates (~0.01 to 0.1 $M_\odot$ yr$^{-1}$) than needed to account for the observed UV flux: furthermore, star formation tends to occur among lower-mass ETGs, whereas the UV upturn is stronger in more massive galaxies.

While neither of the above contributions to the UV upturn flux can be conclusively ruled out from current data (many deriving from nearly 30 yr old observations from space telescopes flown on the Space Shuttle), blue HB stars appear to be the most likely contributor to the bulk of the UV flux, at least for nearby galaxies. A fundamental assumption of this (and other papers) is that the redshift evolution of the UV upturn is due to one of these sources at all epochs (which of course is a reflection of the comparatively poor degree of spatial and spectral evolution available, especially for high-redshift galaxies, which are extremely faint in the UV), and that such sources should also have local counterparts in the Milky Way.

5 The star formation rate decays by a factor of $e$ during this timescale.
Way (e.g., blue He-rich stars in globular clusters, sdB binaries in the field, etc.).

However, standard stellar evolution models do not produce blue HB stars for ages and metallicities typical of ETGs (e.g., Catelan 2009). Blue HB stars are naturally produced by low-metallicity stellar populations (Park & Lee 1997, e.g., as in metal-poor globular clusters in our Galaxy). However, if such stars existed in sufficient numbers to account for the observed far-UV flux in ETGs, the optical colors and spectra of these galaxies would look dramatically different.

High-metallicity stars (of standard composition), on the other hand, can never evolve to the blue HB within cosmological timescales, unless they lose sufficient envelope mass during their first ascent of the red giant branch prior to the helium flash (Yi et al. 1997), to expose the hotter inner core, but this is ruled out by observations showing no evidence for extra mass loss in local open and globular clusters (Miglio et al. 2012; McDonald & Zijlstra 2015; Williams et al. 2018) over a range of 3 dex in metal abundance.6

Mass loss during the evolution of close binaries (Han et al. 2007; Hernández-Pérez & Bruzual 2014), losing their envelopes by angular momentum transfer as one star evolves off the main sequence and expands, has also been proposed as a possible mechanism, but such stars are rare in the globular clusters of our Galaxy (Moni Bidin et al. 2009; Kamann et al. 2020) and in the bulge (Badenes et al. 2018). As already noted by Smith et al. (2012), if such binaries existed, they would have to be systematically more frequent and tighter as a function of galaxy mass and metallicity to account for the observed trends of the UV upturn color with galaxy mass and metallicity (Burstein et al. 1988; Ali et al. 2018a). They would also have to be tighter and more frequent as a function of galactocentric radius to explain the radial color gradients in the UV upturn color and their correlation with radial gradients in Mg2 strength and overall metallicity as observed by Carter et al. (2011) and Jeong et al. (2012). The FUV − V color of cluster ETGs at z > 0.6 (Ali et al. 2018c) and the apparent decrease in the fraction of blue HB stars at 0.6 < z < 1.0 as inferred from mid-UV spectral indices in Le Cras et al. (2016) are not well reproduced by this model, where the binary contribution to the UV colors is instead nearly constant after the first gigayear.

However, stars enriched in helium can evolve to the blue HB even at high metallicities (Lee et al. 2005; Chung et al. 2011, 2017); the extra helium causes faster evolution of stars by increasing their mean molecular weight, which in turn results in smaller mass at the He-burning stage at a given age. Such He-rich stars are observed in the Milky Way globular clusters, where they produce anomalously blue HB stars (Piotto et al. 2007; Gratton et al. 2012) and are directly associated with the multiple stellar populations observed in such systems (see review by Bastian & Lardo 2018). Peacock et al. (2017) found evidence for hot HB stars in M87’s metal-rich globular clusters while Gouldfroy (2018) suggests that the disintegration of large numbers of such clusters may supply the UV upturn stars in galaxies.

Each of the above models produces a different scenario for the evolution of the UV upturn color with redshift (Yi 2010). Brown et al. (1998a, 2000a, 2003) obtained a UV color by differing two Space Telescope Imaging Spectrograph filters for a few bright galaxies in clusters at z = 0.33, 0.37, and 0.55; these data are consistent with mild or no evolution of the UV upturn over this redshift range. Ree et al. (2007) used bright ellipticals from GALEX out to z = 0.2 and again find little evidence for any significant change in the UV upturn color. Donahue et al. (2010) and Loubser & Sánchez-Blázquez (2011) study a small sample of brightest cluster galaxies (BCGs) at moderate redshifts (z < 0.2) and also find no or modest evolution. Boissier et al. (2018) use a large sample of BCGs in the background of the Virgo cluster and detect upturn in these objects out to z = 0.35 (showing no clear signs of evolution in their FUV − NUV color), with an excess of upturn sources found at z ∼ 0.25. In a follow-up study of Galaxy and Mass Assembly (GAMA) galaxies, Dantas et al. (2020) suggest an overabundance of upturn carriers at z ∼ 0.25, but with no clear statistical evidence to trends over z = 0.25. The evolution regarding the number of galaxies carrying the UV upturn beyond this redshift remains to be probed. These data are in mild disagreement with the metal-poor and metal-rich HB scenarios (with no extra He) but do not provide strong constraints on either of the models discussed above. Most of these studies, however, have limited redshift range and/or target only a small number of very bright galaxies. We (Ali et al. 2018a, 2018b, 2018c) have used archival data from the Hubble Space Telescope to measure the evolution of the UV upturn out to z = 0.7 and for galaxies reaching or below the M point in the luminosity function (i.e., the more normal population, as opposed to the brighter cluster galaxies used in most previous studies as cited). Our data show that there is no significant evolution in the color and scatter of the UV upturn out to z = 0.55, but there is clear evidence that at z = 0.7 the FUV − V color has become significantly redder. Although only a lower (blue) limit to the mean UV upturn color of red-sequence ETGs at z = 0.7 could be derived, this is ∼1.2 mag redder (at the 3σ level) than at lower redshifts (Ali et al. 2018c). This observation is not consistent with all other models we have discussed earlier for the origin of the UV upturn—but is best explained by a minority population of He-rich stars, while other models cannot reproduce this pattern of evolution—and constrains their helium abundance to be Y > 0.42, nearly twice the cosmological value from Big Bang nucleosynthesis. Similar evolutionary trends are also observed for group/field ETGs at z ∼ 0.05 (Phillipps et al. 2020) and to z = 0.6 (Atlee et al. 2009) and z = 1 (Le Cras et al. 2016).

A testable prediction of this model is that (a) FUV − V evolves rapidly to the red above a redshift specified by the epoch of galaxy formation and the helium abundance, and (b) the scatter in this color decreases as the upturn fades to match the small scatter in the optical/infrared colors of ETGs (i.e., the extra component due to the anomalous blue HB disappears). However, this generally requires deep observations in the vacuum UV that are hard to obtain. While not ideal, near-UV colors around 2300 Å are also a suitable proxy for the UV upturn, as the UV sources contribute more than three-quarters of the total flux in this region of the spectrum (Ali et al. 2019; Phillipps et al. 2020). The UV upturn “leaks” out to nearly 3000 Å and the older stellar populations of normal composition contribute little to this regime (e.g., see Ali et al. 2018a, 2018b), although the sensitivity to evolution is lower at longer wavelengths.

In this paper we extend the cluster-wide analysis of the UV upturn to red-sequence galaxies of 12 clusters, reaching out to z ∼ 1—the highest redshift as allowed by currently available
data, at which it is possible to reach down to and beyond the $M$ point\(^9\). At this redshift we explore an epoch in which the hot HB stars are no longer expected to exist, as the low-mass stars in ETGs simply have not had enough time to occupy the late stages (core helium burning) of stellar evolution. Typical evolutionary timescales for stars to reach the HB are $>6.5$ Gyr. Given $z = 0.6$ as the point at which they appear to ‘switch on the UV upturn,’ one would get ages of around 12 Gyr, i.e., $z \sim 3$–4, similar to globular clusters. The results for cluster galaxies in the range $0.3 < z < 1$ are compared to one another and to predictions from theoretical models of UV upturn. We also measure the scatter in the UV colors of cluster galaxies with increasing redshift and compare them to theoretical expectations. The aforementioned analyses will allow us to place stringent constraints on any helium enhancement present in these galaxies and on their formation epochs, and to probe the evolution of the upturn phenomenon at large.

We describe our data set in Section 2 and present results in Section 3. In Section 4 we discuss our results in the light of helium-rich models and theories of galaxy formation and evolution. We use the conventional cosmological parameters for this analysis: $H_0 = 67$ km s$^{-1}$ Mpc$^{-1}$, $\Omega_m = 0.3$, and $\Omega_\Lambda = 0.7$ (Planck Collaboration et al. 2020). All magnitudes quoted are in the AB system.

2. Data and Photometry

In order to perform a cluster-wide analysis of the upturn in the red-sequence population (and not just of the brighter luminous red galaxies—LRGs; e.g., Le Cras et al. 2016, or brightest cluster galaxies—BCGs; e.g., Brown et al. 1998a, 2000a, 2003) at high redshifts, one requires rest-frame UV data of sufficient depth below $\sim 2500$ Å down to the Lyman limit, the wavelength regime most sensitive to the upturn. At $z < 1$ these bandpasses still reside in the vacuum ultraviolet and need deep space-based observations. In this paper we measure the evolution of the UV upturn flux at $\sim 2400$ Å, where the main contribution to the observed flux is still dominated by the UV upturn sources (from the full UV spectral energy distributions (SEDs) we have derived in our previous work—Ali et al. 2018a, 2018b, 2019; Phillipps et al. 2020). Le Cras et al. (2016) carry out a similar measurement using a series of spectral indices at rest-frame wavelengths $\sim 2000$–$3000$ Å, i.e., within the near-ultraviolet regime we probe here.

We have identified 12 clusters in the Hubble Legacy Archive with data in filters F336W, F390W, F435W, and F475W probing a rest-frame bandpass centered at $\sim 2400$ Å out to $z \sim 1$. The clusters are identified in Table 1, where we detail all filters used for each cluster, their rest-frame central wavelengths, the respective programs and the relative exposure times. The clusters cover the redshift range from $z = 0.31$ (A2744) to $z = 0.96$ (CL 2011). All images were retrieved as drizzled and fully processed data on which photometry could be performed. In the cases where multiple observations exist in each band, those frames were combined together using IRAF’s `imcombine` function to produce the deepest possible images.

This provides us with a set of clusters at multiple redshift intervals, thus allowing for a study of the evolution of the upturn with lookback time, which is particularly important because most theoretical models predict a decline in the strength of the upturn at higher redshifts (except Han et al. 2007 where the UV upturn color is nearly constant with redshift to $z \sim 6$). Pinpointing the specific epoch at which this decline occurs can allow us to constrain the key model parameters, such as the He enhancement $Y$ and the age of formation of the stellar population.

As in our previous work we select a sample of passive cluster ETGs using red-sequence galaxies (spectroscopic samples—e.g., Rozo et al. 2015; De Propris et al. 2016—confirm that red-sequence galaxies have $>95\%$ likelihood of being quiescent cluster members, usually having morphologies consistent with their being early-type systems). The initial red sequence in all clusters is selected from an optical color: $F606W - F814W$ for A2744/AS 1063/MACS J0416/MACS J1149/MACS J0717, F555W − F814W for SDSS 1004, F125W − F160W for RCS 2327/MACS J0744, and F125W − F140W for CL 1226/CL 2011. Optical photometry for all clusters was performed using Source Extractor (Bertin & Arnouts 1996) to measure the Kron (1980) style total magnitude and an aperture magnitude within a fixed 10 kpc diameter for each galaxy in the image. The optical CMDs and the identification of red-sequence galaxies for all clusters are shown in Figure 1 (apart from SDSS 1004, which did not have data in multiple optical bands). All clusters exhibit a tight red sequence in their CMD with a scatter of roughly $\pm 0.1$ mag as is typical of ETGs. This red sequence is observed up to the point where the likelihood of contamination from noncluster members and dusty foreground galaxies increases significantly, as seen in Figure 1. The two thick lines around the red sequence identify the likely early-type cluster members we analyze in this paper.

We then further constrained our sample to exclude objects with even low levels of possible residual star formation, by deriving red sequences in (rest-frame) $u$-band CMDs for the optically selected red-sequence galaxies, and excluding objects bluer than the derived red sequence in these $u$-band colors. The use of multiple colors, particularly the $u$ band, allows for the selection of a truly passive sample of ETGs (Ali et al. 2019; Phillipps et al. 2020). The standard $u$ band is very sensitive to even low levels of star formation, while contamination from the putative UV upturn sources is relatively small, allowing us to discriminate against red-sequence galaxies with possible ongoing low-level star formation. The $u - r$ versus $r$ CMDs for all clusters are shown in Figure 2 (apart from RCS 2327, which had no rest-frame $u$-band data available). We finally check by eye all galaxies that survived both color cuts and classify them, to reject any that may have non-early-type morphologies, in order to maintain a likely purely passive sample of galaxies. Several of these clusters are common to our earlier work (De Propris et al. 2016), where we have measured the Sérsic indices of red-sequence galaxies, showing that they are consistent with those of local cluster ETGs (at least to $z = 0.8$). This threefold selection method allows us to discriminate truly quiescent early-type cluster members from all other interlopers, thus presenting us with a sample of galaxies for which the UV SED will almost entirely be dominated by the upturn, if present.

The rest-frame $\sim 2400$–optical color in all clusters can then be used to probe the near-UV output of the selected galaxies and gauge its evolution. While this color is clearly not as efficient for analyzing the upturn as the classical FUV – optical

\(^9\) The luminosity function of galaxies is well described by the Schechter function $\Phi(M) dM = \Phi^0 10^{-0.4(M - M^*)} \exp([-10^{-0.4(M - M^*)}])$ where $M^*$ is the characteristic magnitude of bright galaxies and $\alpha$ the slope of the faint end.
colors used in our previous studies (Ali et al. 2018a, 2018b, 2018c) and in other works, it still retains reasonable sensitivity to flux from sources of the upturn, as will be explored later. The UV photometry was carried out using IRAF’s aperture photometry package, centered at the R.A. and decl. of each galaxy’s optical position, within a metric 10 kpc diameter aperture after properly aligning the UV and optical images. This ensures the same physical aperture sizes for the measurement of UV—optical colors across all clusters, irrespective of redshift. A 5σ signal-to-noise photometric cut was then made and each object checked by eye to ensure their detection. In all clusters we detect galaxies in the UV about 2–3 magnitudes fainter than $M*$ in the luminosity function, as seen in Figure 4. However, for CL 1226/CL 2011, due to the large distance and relatively limited exposure times, we directly detect only the brightest galaxies in these clusters (above $M*$).

### Table 1
Summary of Observational Data

| Cluster         | Redshift | Filters | Rest-frame Central Wavelength (Å) | Total Exposure Time (ks) | Proposal ID | PI |
|-----------------|----------|---------|-----------------------------------|--------------------------|-------------|----|
| A2744           | 0.31     | F336W   | 2569                              | 27.7                     | 13389       | Siana |
|                 |          | F435W   | 3326                              | 45.7                     | 13405       | Lotz* |
|                 |          | F606W   | 4633                              | 23.6                     | 13405       | Lotz* |
|                 |          | F814W   | 6223                              | 104.3                    | 13405       | Lotz* |
| AS 1063         | 0.35     | F336W   | 2493                              | 22.6                     | 14209       | Siana |
|                 |          | F435W   | 3227                              | 46.4                     | 14037       | Lotz* |
|                 |          | F606W   | 4496                              | 25.8                     | 14037       | Lotz* |
|                 |          | F814W   | 6039                              | 120.2                    | 14037       | Lotz* |
| A370            | 0.38     | F336W   | 2446                              | 22.2                     | 14209       | Siana |
|                 |          | F435W   | 3164                              | 51.4                     | 14038       | Lotz* |
|                 |          | F606W   | 4407                              | 25.3                     | 14038       | Lotz* |
|                 |          | F814W   | 5920                              | 130.6                    | 14038       | Lotz* |
| MACS J0416−2403 | 0.40     | F336W   | 2407                              | 22.2                     | 14209       | Siana |
|                 |          | F435W   | 3116                              | 54.5                     | 13496       | Lotz* |
|                 |          | F606W   | 4341                              | 33.5                     | 13496       | Lotz* |
|                 |          | F814W   | 5831                              | 129.9                    | 13496       | Lotz* |
| MACS J0717.5 + 3745 | 0.54   | F390W   | 2516                              | 4.9                      | 12103       | Postman* |
|                 |          | F555W   | 3580                              | 8.0                      | 9722        | Ebeling |
|                 |          | F606W   | 3910                              | 27.0                     | 13498       | Lotz* |
|                 |          | F814W   | 5252                              | 114.6                    | 13498       | Lotz* |
| MACS J1149.5 + 2223 | 0.54   | F390W   | 2516                              | 4.9                      | 12068       | Postman* |
|                 |          | F555W   | 3580                              | 9.0                      | 9722        | Ebeling |
|                 |          | F606W   | 3910                              | 24.8                     | 13504       | Lotz* |
|                 |          | F814W   | 5252                              | 104.2                    | 13504       | Lotz* |
| MACS J2129.4−0741 | 0.59   | F390W   | 2453                              | 4.6                      | 10493       | Gal-Yam |
|                 |          | F555W   | 3491                              | 8.9                      | 9722        | Ebeling |
|                 |          | F814W   | 5119                              | 13.4                     | 10493       | Gal-Yam |
|                 |          | F125W   | 7862                              | 2.4                      | 12100       | Postman* |
|                 |          | F160W   | 10063                             | 5.0                      | 12100       | Postman* |
| SDSS 1004 + 4112 | 0.68    | F435W   | 2589                              | 13.4                     | 10509       | Kochanek |
|                 |          | F555W   | 3304                              | 8.0                      | 10509       | Kochanek |
|                 |          | F814W   | 4845                              | 5.4                      | 10509       | Kochanek |
| MACS J0744.8 + 3927 | 0.69  | F435W   | 2574                              | 4.0                      | 12067       | Postman* |
|                 |          | F555W   | 3284                              | 17.8                     | 12067       | Postman* |
|                 |          | F814W   | 4817                              | 25.8                     | 10493       | Gal-Yam |
|                 |          | F125W   | 7396                              | 2.5                      | 12067       | Postman* |
|                 |          | F160W   | 9467                              | 3.1                      | 12067       | Postman* |
| RCS 2327-0204   | 0.70     | F435W   | 2559                              | 4.2                      | 10846       | Gladders |
|                 |          | F814W   | 4788                              | 5.3                      | 10846       | Gladders |
|                 |          | F125W   | 7353                              | 4.6                      | 13177       | Bradac* |
|                 |          | F160W   | 9412                              | 4.6                      | 13177       | Bradac* |
| CL 1226.9 + 3332 | 0.89    | F475W   | 2513                              | 4.4                      | 12791       | Postman* |
|                 |          | F606W   | 3206                              | 32.0                     | 9033        | Ebeling |
|                 |          | F125W   | 6614                              | 2.5                      | 12791       | Postman* |
|                 |          | F160W   | 7407                              | 2.3                      | 12791       | Postman* |
| SPT-CL 2011−5228 | 0.96    | F475W   | 2423                              | 5.4                      | 14630       | Collett |
|                 |          | F606W   | 3092                              | 5.4                      | 14630       | Collett |
|                 |          | F125W   | 6378                              | 1.4                      | 14630       | Collett |
|                 |          | F140W   | 7143                              | 1.4                      | 14630       | Collett |

**Notes.**

* Lotz et al. (2017).
* Postman et al. (2012).
* Bradač et al. (2014).
To overcome this limitation, for both clusters we stacked all galaxies per magnitude bin in the UV from their optical positions in the range $21 < M_{F125W}(r) < 24$ (i.e., three bins), where very few galaxies are directly detected. For each magnitude bin the stacking procedure yielded at least a $5\sigma$ detection, thus allowing us to push beyond the direct detection limit and calculate the average UV–optical colors of the galaxies beyond $M^{*}$ in these higher redshift clusters and compare them to their lower redshift counterparts.

Furthermore, we also consider the potential for contamination of our sample by dust-reddened green valley or blue cloud galaxies. In a previous study, Phillipps et al. (2020) sought to define truly passive galaxies in the GAMA survey that exhibit a UV upturn by using a multiband color cut similar to that employed in this paper in order to isolate even low-level star-forming galaxies. For the galaxies that survived the initial optical/$u$-band cuts, a further cut was made in the WISE $W2 - W3$, which is a completely independent measure of star formation (essentially from reprocessed UV light) with minimal effect from internal dust extinction on the IR light directly. This color allows for the identification of potential star-forming galaxies that may have been dust-reddened into the red sequence and thought to be passive systems (Fraser-McKelvie et al. 2016). Almost all galaxies that survived the
initial selection but not the IR cut had NUV − r ≤ 5. Similar NUV − r cuts have also been used in other studies to identify potential green valley galaxies and residual star-formers from true ETGs (e.g., Crossett et al. 2014; Salim 2014). As such we check all clusters in our sample to identify the number of red-sequence members that have colors bluer than NUV − r < 5 (by using a simple single stellar population (SSP) as described in the next subsection to transform GALEX NUV − r = 5 to the equivalent rest-frame NUV − optical color of each cluster). We find that the number of galaxies that fall into this criterion for all clusters is roughly ~5% or less, and even then most of these blue galaxies are not significantly bluer than NUV − r = 5 (within approximately 0.5 mag). As such, we do not explicitly reject them from our sample, but these galaxies are identified later (in box plots) as statistical outliers in the overall UV color distribution of clusters.

2.1. Extinction and k-corrections

Extinction corrections are taken from the NASA/IPAC database, which uses the reddening maps from Schlafly & Finkbeiner (2011) and extrapolates to the UV following the Milky Way extinction law of Cardelli et al. (1989). For

---

Figure 2. u-band (rest-frame) color–magnitude diagrams for clusters analyzed in this paper. Galaxies in the red sequence are denoted with the red filled circles within the dashed lines and have photometric uncertainties of <0.05 mag in their optical colors. RCS 2327 did not have any u-band (rest-frame) data available to construct a corresponding CMD.
simplicity, we assume all galaxies within a cluster to have the same line-of-sight extinction, and given the distance to each cluster, there should be little variation in extinction from galaxy to galaxy. The extinction corrections made to the UV – optical colors for all clusters are given in Table 2. We also assume that all red-sequence members have little to no internal dust content, as is the case for ETGs in general and as demonstrated through model fitting of Coma red-sequence members in Ali et al. (2018a), where \( \Lambda_p \) was found to be <0.1 in most cases. In agreement with this assumption, a measurement for nearby ETGs from the Sloan Digital Sky Survey returns a mean internal extinction \( A_v \) of 0 (Barber et al. 2007). Observations in several nearby ETGs from Astro UIT and Astro HUT also imply very low internal extinction \( E(B-V) < 0.03 \) (Ferguson & Davidsen 1993) in the FUV bandpass, while Rettura et al. (2006, 2011) also find internal extinction values \( E(B-V) < 0.05 \) (and consistent with zero) for the majority of ETGs in clusters up to \( z = 1.3 \).

The clusters we use in this study were carefully selected such that, despite their varying redshifts, they have observations in filters (of increasing wavelength at higher cluster redshifts) that probe roughly the same wavelength range in the rest-frame UV (centered at \( \sim 2400 \) Å). This was done in order to avoid the large \( k \)-corrections that become necessary when using the same filter to probe the upturn across large redshift ranges. It is particularly important to minimize the magnitude of the \( k \)-corrections in the UV, where the spectra of ETGs are not as rigorously studied, and the stochastic nature of the upturn (which causes a large scatter in the UV colors compared to optical) introduces uncertainty to the \( k \)-corrections applied to each galaxy without a priori knowledge of their spectra, which we of course do not have.

Despite our careful selection of clusters, it is still necessary to perform some \( k \)-corrections to the data. This \( k \)-correction is driven by two key factors: (1) the difference in the rest-frame wavelengths covered by the filters, and (2) the difference in shape of the filters used to observe the rest-frame UV. These two elements are illustrated visually in Figure 3, where the different filters used do not exactly align in the wavelength regime and have varying shapes in their response curves. The \( k \)-correction term we apply seeks to correct for these two factors. However, given that the UV data for all clusters are centered between 2400 and 2500 Å, \( k \)-corrections only need to account for a shift of about 100 Å at maximum in the wavelength regime, so the correction is mostly dominated by the varying shape of the filters (i.e., bandpass correction).

To perform this correction, we use the Yonsei Evolutionary Population Synthesis (YEPS) spectrophotometric models of Chung et al. (2017), which seek to replicate the UV output of ETGs through He enhancement (any model that reproduces the observed UV SED could instead have been used, yielding similar results). We take two SSPs, both of which have \( Z = Z_{\odot} \), \( \tau_7 = 4 \), and an age of 12 Gyr, but with \( Y_{\text{ini}} \sim 0.23 \) (no upturn) and \( Y_{\text{ini}} \sim 0.43 \) (maximum upturn). The SSPs are shifted to the cluster redshift and \( k \)-corrections from both SSPs are calculated in the observed colors, then averaged. An average of the two SSPs is used because the vast majority of our observed galaxies have colors that lie between those of the aforementioned SSPs (see Figure 7 for the color evolution of the SSPs). Due to the stochastic nature of the upturn and large scatter in the UV colors, using a single \( k \)-correction value for all galaxies in a cluster introduces an uncertainty to the results, because not all galaxies will be fit by the same SSP, which is an issue that cannot be mitigated without detailed knowledge of the UV SED of each galaxy. However for any given galaxy, we find that the \( k \)-correction term used has an error of approximately \( \pm 0.2 \) mag, because the \( k \)-correction calculated using the \( Y_{\text{ini}} \sim 0.23 \) (no upturn) and \( Y_{\text{ini}} \sim 0.43 \) (maximum upturn) SSPs, which form the two extreme cases for what the galaxies’ UV colors can be, only vary by \( \sim \pm 0.2 \) mag from our adopted values.

The \( k \)-corrections as described above are particularly important for Figure 6, where we directly compare the evolution of the rest-frame UV – optical colors of cluster galaxies across redshift (which will be discussed in detail in later sections). We apply the \( k \)-correction to all clusters to bring them in line with those of CL 2011’s observed F475W – F125W at \( z = 0.9 \) (rest-frame 2400 – \( r \)) and because

\[^9\]The helium abundance \( Y \) of a stellar population is related to the initial helium abundance \( Y_{\text{ini}} \) and the metallicity \( Z \) through the following equation: \( Y = \Delta Y/\Delta Z \times Z + Y_{\text{ini}} \), where \( \Delta Y/\Delta Z \) is the galactic helium enrichment parameter, assumed to be 2.0.
the evolution of the models is also plotted in the observed (and redshifted) bands of CL 2011. To elaborate further on the error in the \( k \)-correction term, we can take for example MACS J1149, where the observed color is F390W \(- F814W\), which is roughly 2500 \(- V\) in the rest frame. The \( k \)-correction calculated (as described earlier) to bring this color to the rest-frame 2400 \(- r\) of CL 2011 is 0.6 mag. Using the \( Y_{\text{ini}} = 0.23 \) SSP, the \( k \)-correction is 0.74 mag, and using the \( Y_{\text{ini}} = 0.43 \) SSP yields 0.45 mag, both of which are within 0.2 mag of our adopted correction of 0.6.

The \( k \)-corrections as applied to all clusters in Figures 6 and 7 are shown in Table 2. The clusters for which the rest-frame color is closer to CL 2011 have smaller \( k \)-corrections as expected. No age/evolutionary corrections are made because these figures seek to analyze the age evolution of the UV colors in cluster galaxies.

A similar \( k \)-correction is also applied in each of the redshift bins of Figure 4 (detailed in the next section). In each subplot, all clusters are \( k \)-corrected to the redshift of one selected cluster to account for the small redshift difference between clusters in each redshift bin. For example, in the top left subplot (which shows the colors of A2744 at \( z = 0.31 \) and AS 1063 at \( z = 0.35 \)), a \( k \)-correction is applied to the AS 1063 galaxies to bring them in line with the rest-frame colors of A2744 (accounting for the redshift difference of 0.04). Similarly for the rest of the subplots, the clusters to which the others were \( k \)-corrected are MACS J0416, MACS J1149, RCS 2327, and CL 2011. It should be noted that in each case the \( k \)-correction is rather small (<0.2 mag in most cases) as the redshift difference between the clusters in each bin is 0.05 or less and because the clusters in each bin are observed using the same filters.

3. Results

3.1. Near-UV Color–Magnitude Diagrams

We present in Figure 4 the rest-frame 2400 \(- r\) or 2500 \(- g\) / 2500 \(- V\) colors for selected cluster ETGs (as previously described) in five redshift bins: A2744/AS 1063 at \( z = 0.31–0.35 \) (top left), A370/MACS J0416 at \( z = 0.38–0.40 \) (top right), MACS J1149/MACS J0717/MACS J2129 at \( z = 0.55–0.59 \) (middle left), SDSS 1004/RCS 2327/ MACS J0744 at \( z = 0.68–0.7 \) (middle right), and CL 1226/CL 2011 at \( z = 0.89–0.96 \) (bottom). For the rest-frame bins this rest-frame color lies in the observed F336W \(- F814W\), F390W \(- F814W\), F435W \(- F814W\), and F475W \(- F125W\), respectively. Also plotted for each set of clusters is the prediction for the same color from the YEPS infall model of Chung et al. (2017) with \( Z = Z_{\odot} \times 0.5 \) \( Z_{\odot} \) \( Z_{\odot} \), a fixed redshift of formation \(- z_{\text{f}} = 4 \), cosmological helium \( Y_{\text{ini}} = 0.23 \), and a delta burst star formation history (i.e., all stars formed instantly at the same age). These models represent the UV colors of galaxies with no upturn component (and standard composition)—the model colors are purely driven by age and metallicity effects, and are comparable to results from other population synthesis models that do not treat the UV upturn and reproduce the typical optical and infrared colors of ETGs even at these redshifts—e.g., see Mei et al. (2006).

While the upturn has been classically analyzed using photometry at shorter wavelengths, particularly the FUV, previous studies (Schombert 2016; Phillipp et al. 2020) have shown that even the NUV flux of quiescent ETGs is mostly dominated by the upturn. Given that the UV wavelength probed in this study is extremely close to the classical GALEX NUV wave band (centered at 2250 Å), we expect the near-UV bands (centered at \( \sim 2400 \) Å) to also contain significant flux from the upturn subpopulation, albeit with a stronger contribution from the short-wavelength tail of the blackbody emission of the majority main sequence/red giant branch stellar population. To visualize this, we plot in Figure 3 the UV SEDs below 3000 Å of the giant elliptical galaxies NGC 1139 and NGC 4649 (Chavez & Bertone 2011) as taken by the IUE. These are galaxies known for a strong UV upturn. Also plotted for comparison is the SED of a 10 Gyr old SSP with solar metallicity from Chavez et al. (2009), which acts as a representation for ETGs with no upturn component (such a model well fits the optical and infrared colors of normal ETGs in the local universe and even their evolution to high redshift). Superimposed on top of the SEDs are the response curves of the filters used to make the UV observations of the clusters analyzed in this paper. From the figure we can see that at >3000 Å, the SEDs of the observed ETGs and the reference model are nearly identical, while at <3000 Å, the upturn starts to contribute and the UV flux rises with decreasing wavelength down to the Lyman limit in the observed galaxies. Conversely the UV SED of the theoretical spectrum is nearly flat with almost no flux, as would be expected from a purely quiescent stellar population. Even in the near-UV region probed in this study, there is \( \sim 5–6 \) times more flux from galaxies with upturn than from one without. As such, we expect this wavelength region to still be reasonably sensitive to the upturn, while remaining vigilant about the contribution from the standard stellar population in ETGs in our analysis.

In Figure 4 we see in the first four redshift bins that the colors of a majority of our observed galaxies cannot entirely be reproduced with composite stellar populations (CSPs) of solar metallicity or higher, as is otherwise expected for standard ETGs of this luminosity. A large number of the brightest galaxies in our sample (around or above \( M_f \)) have UV colors that can only be reproduced with metallicities below solar, which is implausible given that the brightest cluster ETGs are known to have solar or supersolar abundances from their optical spectra/colors. Even lower-mass galaxies that are several magnitudes below \( M_f \) and are naturally expected to be bluer because of their lower metallicities (Gallazzi et al. 2005, 2014), are much bluer than the half-solar CSP in this near-UV color. In both instances of high- and low-mass galaxies, this suggests that metallicity (and age) alone cannot account for the extremely blue UV colors as observed in our galaxies. Instead there needs to exist a "second parameter" that drives the bluer UV colors in these otherwise quiescent galaxies. Interestingly, however, in the final highest redshift bin, most galaxies across the luminosity function seem to be reasonably fit with 0.5 \( Z_{\odot} < Z < 2 Z_{\odot} \) models with no upturn. Even in the fainter galaxies, including stacks (containing the average flux of galaxies with optical luminosities well below \( M_f \)), the colors are largely consistent with models down to \( Z > 0.5 Z_{\odot} \), as would be reasonably expected from the mass–metallicity relation (Gallazzi et al. 2005, 2014). Virtually no galaxy brighter than \( M_f \) (and very few overall) in these two \( z < 0.9 \) clusters has 2400 \(- r\) \( < 5 \), while most galaxies in the lower redshift clusters are this blue or even bluer. Any such object (if it existed) would be easily detected in these data to well below the \( M_f \) luminosity. Similarly, if such blue objects existed they would drive the colors of galaxy stacks in these
two higher redshift clusters to the blue, but this is not observed. This suggests that at this redshift the upturn is significantly weakened, in agreement with the findings of Le Cras et al. (2016), using near-UV spectral indices. However, we cannot exclude that a small upturn component may still be present for the brighter, more He-rich galaxies that formed earlier (as in Coma—Ali et al. 2018a) and as recently discovered by Lonoce et al. (2020) for one $z = 1.4$ galaxy in the COSMOS field (although this is a 2$\sigma$ detection based on a single index while all other indices are consistent with no upturn), but our data in

**Figure 4.** Rest-frame 2400–2500 $- \text{optical CMDs of clusters in five redshift bins in the range } 0.3 < z < 1 \text{ as denoted in each subplot. The vertical black line represents the respective cluster } M^*\text{ and the shaded region denotes the error in the measurement, taken and adjusted from Connor et al. (2017) and De Propris et al. (2013). The horizontal dotted lines in each plot are the colors as given by an infall model from Chung et al. (2017) with cosmological He } Y_{\text{ini}} = 0.23 \text{ and } z_f = 4, \text{ but varying metallicities of } Z = Z_\odot, 0.5 Z_\odot, \text{ and } 2 Z_\odot.$
3.2. Intrinsic Scatter

Another parameter of the CMDs that can be used to probe the strength of the upturn is the intrinsic scatter in the UV colors. The scatter in the optical colors of cluster ETGs is generally found to be extremely tight and of the order \( \sim 0.1 \) mag in \( g - r \), due to their similar ages and metallicities, leading to little variance in their SEDs. However, the same galaxies in the UV – optical colors exhibit several times larger scatter, e.g., \( \sim 1.5 \) mag in FUV – V (e.g., Ali et al. 2018a). While we expect the scatter to naturally increase at shorter wavelengths, this increase can be predicted using the optical colors and compared with observations to check whether the UV scatter can be attributable to simple age/metallicity effects as is the case in the optical regime. We use the Hyper-Fit software (Robotham & Obreschkow 2015) to measure the intrinsic scatter in the optical and UV CMDs (as in Figures 1 and 4) for each cluster. The code assumes a Gaussian distribution in the data and no covariance between errors in magnitude and color to fit the best representative linear model to the data and estimate the intrinsic scatter from the model. In Figure 5 we plot the observed scatter in the near-UV color for all of our clusters (apart from CL 1226/CL 2011 as we only individually detect a small subset of the brightest galaxies) against redshift. Qualitatively, we can see that the scatter in the colors seems to show a general decrease with increasing redshift—the largest decrease being seen around the \( z = 0.7 \) clusters, albeit with significant differences across the redshift range, possibly indicating cluster-to-cluster variations in the degree of helium enhancement or formation epoch. This variation is manifested statistically when we plot a linear fit to the data and the 95% confidence/prediction intervals to the fit. The fit shows a general decreasing trend with redshift, but the confidence/prediction intervals are very wide, suggesting a large variation in cluster-to-cluster scatter. Despite this large variation, the scatter still shows a gradual decrease with redshift.

We then use a model from Conroy et al. (2009) with parameters as described above to estimate the scatter in optical colors, assuming that the entire intrinsic scatter around the mass–metallicity relation is due to age, and from these derive the expected scatter in the UV – optical colors for various assumed formation redshifts, which are also plotted in Figure 5. At \( z < 0.6 \) there is clear excess scatter in the near-UV colors in most clusters, for any assumed formation redshift. However, and in agreement with our earlier work and the analysis shown from simple models discussed later, at \( z > 0.6 \) the scatter in near-UV colors appears closer to the predicted scatter from the optical colors, or is even lower than predicted for certain formation redshifts. This suggests a weakening of the UV upturn at these redshifts, as observed in Ali et al. (2018c) and, by a different approach, Le Cras et al. (2016).

One mechanism for this is that the UV upturn is produced by a population with non-cosmological helium abundance, with a significant fraction of stars having helium abundances larger than 0.23, as observed in Galactic globular clusters (e.g., in NGC 2808). The most extreme He-enhanced stars contribute most to the FUV flux. As these stars disappear (when they have younger ages and are too massive to reach the extreme HB), the remaining He-rich population cannot extend so far into the blue HB and provides more and more flux to the NUV regime, appearing gradually over the redshift range \( 0.6 < z < 1 \) as observed by Le Cras et al. (2016).

4. Discussion

4.1. Comparison with YEPS Models

In order to analyze the evolution of the upturn over cosmic time, in Figure 6 we compare our observed colors to those from YEPS spectrophotometric models of Chung et al. (2017). The YEPS models used in this figure are CSPs (with an infall chemical history) of given \( Z_e \) and \( z_e \) but also with varying initial helium abundances—\( Y_{ini} \). This He enhancement parameter represents the degree of UV upturn in stellar populations, with a larger \( Y_{ini} \) giving rise to hotter HB stars at earlier cosmic times. There have been many observations of multiple stellar populations producing hot and extended HBs in Milky Way globular clusters (e.g., Lee et al. 2005; Piotto et al. 2005, 2007), leading to a strong vacuum UV flux (e.g., see Dalessandro et al. 2012), with direct spectroscopic evidence of enhanced helium in stars within such systems (e.g., Marino et al. 2014 and references therein).

In Figure 6 we plot the time evolution of the rest-frame \( \sim 2400 - r \) over redshift/lookback time. First, in every subplot we show the evolution of the YEPS models with solar-like \( Y_{ini} = 0.23 \), for \( Z = Z_e \), 0.5 \( Z_e \), and 2 \( Z_e \) (represented as solid lines in the plot). These form an important set of baseline models that do not exhibit a UV upturn component, with the colors being driven largely by age and metallicity effects. Hence they act as a key point of comparison to both the observational data and the other models of increasing \( Y_{ini} \) to determine how enhanced helium affects the observed colors.

In each subplot we then show the evolution of this near-UV color as given by the YEPS model for four more increasing initial helium abundances: \( Y_{ini} = 0.28, 0.33, 0.38, \) and 0.43 (represented as dashed lines in the plot). In the individual
subplots we then vary the metallicity and the redshift of formation of these models. In each column, $z_f$ is varied between three values of 2.5, 4, and 10 (from top to bottom). In each row, $Z$ of the YEPS models is also varied between three values of $0.5 Z_e$, $Z_e$, and $2 Z_e$ (from left to right). This creates a grid of models of varying $Z$ and $z_f$, allowing us to examine the combined effect of these parameters as well as $Y$ in determining the evolution of ETGs in the UV.

Alongside the models, we plot the observed colors (rest-frame $2400 - r$) of ETGs in our 12 clusters from all redshift bins in the range $0.3 < z < 1$. The colors of A2744, AS 1063, A370, MACS J0416, MACS J1149, MACS J0717, MACS J1219, SDSS 1004, MACS J0744, RCS 2327, and CL 1226 (as seen in Figure 4) were $k$-corrected using the method described in Section 3.1.1 to that of CL 2011’s rest-frame $2400 - r$ ($F475W - F125W$ at $z = 0.96$). As detailed in Section 2.1, given that all clusters were observed at wavelengths close to rest-frame $2400 - r$, the results could be reliably compared after applying a relatively small $k$-correction that took into account the difference in rest-frame wavelengths and the shapes of the filters used to observe the clusters in the UV (as seen in Figure 3). The colors are plotted in the form of box plots for an

![Figure 6](image-url). YEPS infall (CSP) models showing the evolution of the rest-frame $2400 - r$ (observed $F475W - F125W$ at $z = 0.96$) color over redshift/lookback time for a range of initial helium abundances—$Y_{ini} = 0.28$, 0.33, 0.38, and 0.43 (dashed lines), formation redshifts ($z_f$), and metallicities as detailed in the figure legends. Also included in every subplot is the evolution of the same color for infall models with $Y_{ini} = 0.23$ (i.e., no upturn) for $Z = Z_e$, $0.5 Z_e$, and $2 Z_e$ (solid lines) at varying formation redshifts. Plotted on top for comparison are box plots that show the rest-frame $2400 - r$ colors of all clusters between $z = 0.3$ and 1. Photometric uncertainties in color are $<0.2$ mag.
intuitive look at the statistics of each cluster. The box plots show the median of the color distribution of each cluster, the 25% and 75% quartiles, and the entire range of colors in each cluster as indicated by the whiskers. Galaxies that have colors 1.5 times the interquartile range above and below the quartiles are plotted as individual points and are considered outliers. The notches around the median in each box plot represent the 95% confidence interval of the median value for each cluster. Due to the small number of directly detected galaxies in CL 2011 and CL 1226, the notches around the median are large, representing the uncertainty in the median value. For these clusters we also plot the stacks separately from the box plots because these represent amalgam values of all galaxies in several magnitude bins (as seen in Figure 4). The models and the cluster data combined allow us to probe the evolution of the upturn in the general cluster population out to $z = 0.96$.

From this figure we can see that the UV upturn is clearly detected in all clusters at $0.3 < z < 0.6$ and the $2400 - r$ colors are relatively consistent from cluster to cluster, showing no significant signs of evolution. A subset of galaxies can be reproduced using models of standard $Z_f$ and $Z$ combinations, but even in the most extreme cases that produce the bluest YEPS $Y_{\text{ins}}$ = 0.23 (no upturn) colors (i.e., $Z_f = 2.5$ and $Z = 0.5 Z_\odot$—see top left subplot), there still exists a large subset of bluer galaxies outside these models that can only be explained using models with enhanced $Y$. For more moderate and reasonable YEPS models with $Z_f = 4$ or higher (see subplots in the middle and bottom rows), the argument for an enhanced $Y$ is even stronger in order to account for the larger proportion of blue galaxies outside the bounds of the non-upturn models. Increasing $Y$ gradually also brings on the onset of the UV upturn at earlier redshifts/lookback times. This is affected by both $Z_f$ and $Z$ at a given $Y$, with an earlier evolution to bluer colors for higher $Z_f$ and lower $Z$ and vice versa. This is important as galaxies with different $Z_f$ and/or $Z$ within a cluster can thus have different strengths and times for the onset of the upturn.

There is also a detection of the upturn for galaxies in the $z \sim 0.7$ clusters, but at a slightly lower degree of confidence, as the "blue tail" of galaxies appear to be receding, and as seen in Figure 5, they have generally smaller observed scatter in their near-UV colors than their lower redshift counterparts. These factors may indicate that we are starting to see some signs of evolution in the strength and incidence of the upturn, which is backed up by the findings in Ali et al. (2018c), where SDSS 1004 galaxies even when stacked together showed only a weak $3\sigma$ detection of $7.2 \text{mag}$ in rest-frame 1650 $- g$ (about one mag redder than galaxies of similar mass at $z = 0.55$), in a color much more sensitive to the upturn than NUV $- r$. The fact that we still observe an upturn, albeit weakening, in $2400 - r$ in the same cluster (and those of similar redshift) may suggest that even the most extreme He-rich stars at this age are no longer able to achieve the high surface temperatures needed to produce flux in the FUV as their stellar envelopes are now too massive, and are thus contributing relatively more to the near-UV flux. As such we may be seeing the first signs of the upturn subpopulation starting to disappear.

This is further confirmed by the data in CL 1226 and CL 2011 where we see that the majority of galaxies out to 2 mag below $M$ can be encapsulated by a standard non-upturn $Y_{\text{ins}} = 0.23$ YEPS model of $Z$ roughly between above 2 $Z_\odot$ and 0.5 $Z_\odot$, assuming $Z_f = 2.5 - 4$ (top middle and middle subplots in Figure 6), without the need to invoke any significant He enhancement above primordial levels. It is likely that by these redshifts, even the most extreme He-rich stars are no longer old enough (have not had enough time to reach the HB) to achieve the surface temperatures required to output significant flux even in the near UV. This gradual fading of the upturn is an excellent agreement with the results of Le Cras et al. (2016), who also found the strength and incidence of the upturn to decrease from $z = 0.6$ to $z = 1$ from the analysis of a number of near-UV indices of a large sample of LRGs. While non-upturn YEPS models do appear to fit the data relatively well at higher redshifts, it is important to note that even at these redshifts not all galaxies will be without an upturn. A combination of earlier $Z_f$ and lower metallicity can lead to an earlier onset of the upturn, which may be applicable to some galaxies in a given cluster and explain the recent finding of a massive ETG exhibiting upturn at $z \sim 1.4$ (Lonoce et al. 2020, although this is based on a single line at the 2$\sigma$ level only, with other indicators disagreeing).

The overall color spread of the cluster galaxies is very similar across all clusters and in each individual redshift bin, indicating that all clusters exhibit similar upturns in their member galaxies irrespective of size or environment, as demonstrated in previous studies (Ali et al. 2019; Phillipps et al. 2020). Even between the clusters in the different redshift bins, we find the observed colors to be reasonably similar out to $z \sim 0.6$, suggesting that the upturn has not evolved significantly, although there is a slightly smaller fraction of very blue galaxies at higher redshifts. These results are mostly consistent with our previous studies, where we detected strong FUV emission from MACS J1149/MACS J0717 galaxies both directly and using stacking analysis to several magnitudes below $M_r$, which were also agreeing with colors measured in $z \sim 0.7$ clusters such as Coma, Fornax, and Perseus (Ali et al. 2018a, 2018b, 2018c). This finding led to the conclusion that the upturn color has remained broadly similar in cluster galaxies out to $z \sim 0.55$, which we reaffirm here.

Given a scenario in which the upturn color remains approximately constant out to $z \sim 0.6$, then shows signs of weakening at $z \sim 0.7$ and has mostly disappeared by $z \sim 0.9$, the YEPS models (assuming a moderate $Z_f$ and $Z_f = 4$—middle subplot in Figure 6) suggest a minimum $Y_{\text{ins}}$ of 0.42 to explain the observations. This equates to a $Y$ of 0.46 using the formula from Chung et al. (2017) cited earlier ($Y = Y_{\text{ins}} + 0.04$ for $Z_f$). An earlier formation epoch can reduce the requirement of high helium enhancement somewhat (by allowing more time for evolution), but this age can only be reasonably pushed back by 1 Gyr ($z = 10$ corresponds to 0.5 Gyr after the Big Bang, which is the most likely redshift for the formation of the first galaxy of Milky Way mass (Naoz et al. 2006), whereas under the assumption of simple spherical infall the core regions of galaxies cannot form until $z = 20$, yielding only an extra 200 Myr in time) at most, and even with the most extreme case of $Z_f = 10$ (bottom middle subplot in Figure 6), the required $Y_{\text{ins}}$ only decreases to about 0.40.

### 4.1.1. Comparison with Different Chemical Evolutionary Models

In Figure 7 we show the lookback time evolution of YEPS models with three different assumptions on the chemical evolution histories. The models are a simple stellar population and two variations of a CSP—simple (closed box) and infall assumptions as described in Kodama & Arimoto (1997). Using
the metallicity distribution function from Kodama & Arimoto (1997), simple stellar populations have been added up to mimic the CSP of ETGs. For all of the models we shift the resulting SEDs to the redshift of the clusters and measure the integrated color at observed passbands. We overplot the observed colors of our clusters on these models as before.

The SSP models exhibit the reddest UV – optical colors, while the closed box models show the bluest, and the infall models have colors in between. For a given $Y_{\text{ini}}$, SSPs appear to have a rapid onset of the upturn, while in CSPs the colors get bluer more gradually over time. This suggests that the upturn subpopulation in CSPs take longer to fully populate the HB and become UV-bright than SSPs. Our observations appear to support the somewhat gradual mode of evolution of CSPs, given that we observe a strong upturn in all galaxies up to $z = 0.6$, which then weakens (but does not fully disappear) at $z = 0.7$ and has mostly ceased by $z = 0.96$. Beyond our observations, CSPs generally provide a more accurate representation of ETGs, which will contain stellar populations with a range of ages and a metallicity distribution, unlike an SSP.

Amidst the CSP models, the closed box model being the bluest would require the reddest galaxies in our sample to have unusually high metallicities, much greater than $2 Z_{\odot}$. Conversely, the highest metallicity required by the infall model to fit our reddest galaxies is $\sim 2 Z_{\odot}$, which provides a very realistic upper limit for the metallicity of large cluster ETGs from their optical spectra, as confirmed in previous studies, e.g., in Coma (Price et al. 2011). The infall models thus provide overall the most realistic and economical fit to our data for all three parameters of $Y_{\text{ini}}$, $\delta_{\text{p}}$, and $Z$ simultaneously. Infall models are also generally heralded to better replicate the evolution of observed galaxies, particularly with their ability to solve the ‘G-dwarf’ problem of closed box models.

### 4.2. Comparison with Other UV Upturn Models

We can briefly consider the implications of these results for other models of the origin of the UV upturn.

Low-metallicity HB stars or high-metallicity HB stars (apart from the issues we have mentioned earlier) would start to appear only at $z \sim 0.3$ (Yi et al. 1997), well below the redshift where the presence of the UV upturn is already securely detected (at least $z \sim 0.55$). Therefore our results confirm that these objects (with normal $Y$ abundance) cannot provide the sources of the UV upturn and account for its evolution with time.

The observed evolution, here and in previous studies (Le Cras et al. 2016; Ali et al. 2018c) is also not consistent with the binary model of Han et al. (2007) and that of Hernández-Pérez & Bruzual (2014), where the UV upturn color in these models does not change significantly until $z \sim 6$ and there is clear evidence of evolution in our data. Similarly, PAGB stars would only stop contributing to the UV light about 10 Gyr prior to the present epoch (Lee et al. 1999), corresponding to $z \sim 3$, again far higher than observed here and in previous works.

Vazdekis et al. (2016) and Salvador-Rusinol et al. (2020), among others, suggest that residual star formation within ETGs may contribute to the UV upturn. However, the results presented here (and the observed decline of the UV upturn at $z > 0.6$ in Le Cras et al. 2016 and Ali et al. 2018c) cannot be explained in this fashion, because the residual star formation rate would have to decrease as a function of increasing redshift, opposite to all observed behavior for star-forming galaxies in the field and clusters (Finn et al. 2005). The galaxies in our sample, additionally, lie within rich clusters, where quenching of star formation is believed to be more efficient than in the field (but we note that the evolution of the UV upturn color appears to be similar between field and cluster environments (Atlee et al. 2009; R. De Propris et al., in preparation).
4.3. Implications for Galaxy Evolution

While there exist several other models for the upturn, the observed evolution of the near-UV colors is best fitted by He-rich models. Since He-rich stars have been directly observed in local globular clusters and are directly linked to a stronger UV output in hot HB stars, this mechanism is the only one thus far proposed that does not require significant modifications to cosmology or theories of stellar evolution, and where local counterparts are observed to exist.

In this scenario ETGs form, at least from the standpoint of chemical evolution, in the same manner as globular clusters, albeit at generally much higher metallicities (but He-rich metal-rich clusters are observed in M87—Peacock et al. 2017). This would imply that most ETGs form their stellar populations and assemble their mass at very early times. Indeed, the stellar mass needed to provide the observed flux in the UV corresponds to about 10% of the total stellar mass, and this must have been formed at $z > 2.5$ and probably closer to $z = 4$ as discussed above. However, these are second-generation stars, whose helium content must have been enriched by previous processing, likely in fast rotating massive stars (e.g., Decreassin et al. 2007) or in massive AGB stars (e.g., Ventura et al. 2001) during the third dredge-up. Given what is known about the likely yields of element production and the resulting timescales, and within a closed box model, the vast majority of the stellar mass must already have been present within ETGs at these redshifts, especially if we also need to account for the observed radial gradients in the UV upturn populations within galaxies (Carter et al. 2011; Jeong et al. 2012). This therefore suggests a very early period of mass assembly for ETGs, as indicated by the discovery of several massive, fully formed galaxies at redshifts approaching 4 (Guarnieri et al. 2019).

4.4. Caveats

Finally, we note here the key caveats in our data and analysis (see body of paper for a more thorough discussion).

1. The upturn has been conventionally classified using FUV — optical colors (centered at $\sim 1500$ Å), the wavelength regime where the upturn is at its strongest, while we have used an NUV — optical color for our analysis. Although this wavelength regime is not the most optimal and is partly affected by the tail end of the blackbody emission of the main-sequence population in ETGs, this study (e.g., Figure 3) and others (Schombert 2016; Phillipps et al. 2020) have shown that it is still mostly dominated by the emission from the upturn subpopulation. Hence the NUV — optical color should also trace the evolution of the upturn with redshift, as is predicted by models and seen in our results.

2. At high redshift, for CL 1226 and CL 2011 the data are not deep enough to individually detect galaxies below the cluster $M'$, unlike the lower redshift clusters. Clusters at higher redshift are also generally not as rich as their lower redshift counterparts, which when combined with the aforementioned detection limits can lead to small-number statistics particularly toward the faint end of the luminosity function. To overcome this issue we have stacked all galaxies below $M'$ and were able to clearly detect the average flux per magnitude bin in this region, reaching down to a similar point in the luminosity function to the lower redshift clusters and probing the more general ETG population. While stacking does not allow for us to explore the scatter in the color caused by the upturn, it does allow us to probe the general evolution of the color with redshift.

3. We have selected our clusters using the standard red-sequence selection method because there are no spectroscopic or accurate photometric redshifts, particularly for the high-redshift cluster galaxies. However, to reduce contamination from star-forming and background/foreground objects, we have selected the red sequence simultaneously in both optical and $u$-band colors. This method of cluster ETG selection is found to be rather successful from spectroscopic studies (Rozo et al. 2015).

4. While the He-enhanced models can definitely explain the evolution of the upturn with redshift most consistently and have local observed analogs such as in Milky Way globular and open clusters, there is still no theoretical construct by which one can get such anomalously He-enriched HB stars in old systems, potentially formed at very high redshifts ($z \sim 3–4$), to allow for the timeframes required to evolve on to the HB. Some suggestions have been made, such as the disintegration of globular clusters providing He-enhanced HB stars in ETGs (Goudfrooij 2018), but there is no observational evidence thus far to support such hypotheses. Further theoretical and observational efforts will be required on both the galaxy evolution and globular cluster fronts to discover any potential links between the systems (such as metal-rich globular clusters being observed in M87—Peacock et al. 2017) and to further constrain the source of the He enhancement in a subset of the stellar population in these systems.

5. Conclusions

We have measured an approximate NUV — r color for ETGs within clusters at $0.3 \lesssim z \lesssim 1$. At $z < 0.6$ we observe the classical UV upturn, showing little evolution and homogeneous UV colors with large spread. Above this redshift we find evidence of a decline in the strength of the upturn, which largely disappears by $z = 0.96$ (our most distant target). This is most consistent with CSP models with an infall chemical history where the UV upturn is produced by a population of blue HB stars with $Z = Z_\odot$ formed at $z = 2.5–4$, in turn originating from a subcomponent stellar population with high He content ($\sim 45%–47%$) similar to the second-generation population in globular clusters. These models are able to best replicate the evolution and scatter of the UV colors across the entire redshift range of $0.3 < z < 1$ analyzed in this study. Given the evolutionary timescales for these stars, the results imply a surprising degree of chemical evolution occurring within the first 2 Gyr of the history of the universe.
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