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Abstract

\textbf{Background:} Anticoagulation-free continuous renal replacement therapy (CRRT) was recommended by the current clinical guideline for patients with increased bleeding risk and contraindications of citrate. Nevertheless, anticoagulation-free CRRT yielded heterogeneous filter lifespan. Furthermore, the specific cutoff values for traditional coagulation parameters to predict sufficient filter lifespan of anticoagulation-free CRRT have not yet been determined. The purpose of our present study was to develop and validate a model for predicting sufficient filter lifespan in anticoagulation-free CRRT patients. 

\textbf{Methods:} Patients who underwent anticoagulation-free CRRT in our center between June 2013 and June 2019 were retrospectively included. The primary outcome was sufficient filter lifespan ($\geq 24$ h). Thirty-seven predictors were included for modeling based on their clinical significance and previous reports. The final model was developed by using multivariable logistic regression analysis and was validated in a separate external cohort. 

\textbf{Results:} The development cohort included 170 patients. Sufficient filter lifespan was observed in 80 patients. Thirteen variables were independent predictors for sufficient filter lifespan by logistic regression: body temperature, mean arterial pressure, activated partial thromboplastin time, direct bilirubin, alkaline phosphatase, blood urea nitrogen, vasopressor use, body mass index, white blood cell, platelet count, d-dimer, uric acid, and pH. The area under the curve (AUC) of the stepwise model and internal validation model was 0.82 (95\% confidence interval [CI] [0.76–0.88]) and 0.8 (95\% CI [0.74–0.87]), respectively. The positive predictive value and the negative predictive value of the stepwise model were 0.77 and 0.79, respectively. The validation cohort included 44 eligible patients and the AUC of the external validation model was 0.82 (95\% CI [0.69–0.96]).

\textbf{Conclusions:} The use of a prediction model instead of an assessment based only on coagulation parameters could facilitate the identification of the patients with filter lifespan of $\geq 24$ h when they accepted anticoagulation-free CRRT.
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Introduction

Continuous renal replacement therapy (CRRT) is the most commonly used renal support modality in the critical care setting [1]. Anticoagulation is a key intervention to maintain the patency of the extracorporeal circuit [2]. Unfractionated heparin is the most widely used anticoagulant for CRRT worldwide [3]. However, critically ill patients are commonly complicated with impaired coagulation or increased bleeding risk [4]. A platelet (PLT) count of <50 × 10^9/L was seen in 12–15% of the critically ill patients and a prolonged global coagulation time (i.e., prothrombin time or activated partial thromboplastin time [APTT]) in 14–28% [5]. The reported bleeding incidence in patients undergoing heparin anticoagulated CRRT ranged from 4 to 25% [6, 7]. Therefore, the increased bleeding risk limits the applicability of heparin [7]. Regional citrate anticoagulation (RCA) is gaining increasing popularity due to its advantage over heparin in terms of prolonged filter lifespan and reduced bleeding risk [8]. The KDIGO guideline recommended RCA as the preferred anticoagulation strategy in patients without citrate contraindications, including severe liver failure and shock with muscle hypoperfusion [4]. The reported incidence of liver dysfunction and shock with hypoperfusion in intensive care unit patients was 2–5% [9, 10] and 40% [11, 12], respectively. Therefore, a significant number of intensive care unit patients had the contraindications of both heparin and citrate. For these patients, CRRT was suggested to proceed without the use of any anticoagulant [4]. In clinical practice, approximately 33–50% patients did not receive any anticoagulants during CRRT [13–15]. The averaged or median filter lifespan of anticoagulation-free CRRT ranged from 10 to 40 h [16–18], which were associated with significant heterogeneity. For anticoagulation-free CRRT, 60% of the filters were replaced because of filter failure before the accomplishment of a treatment regimen (commonly 24 h) [19].

Several parameters, including PLT, international normalized ratio (INR), and APTT, were reported to be related to the filter lifespan in anticoagulation-free CRRT patients. However, the specific cutoff points have not been determined for these parameters to indicate the possibility of sufficient filter lifespan for anticoagulation-free CRRT [4]. To the best of our knowledge, there was no effective model to predict sufficient filter lifespan in anticoagulation-free CRRT patients as well [20]. The development of an effective prediction model for sufficient filter lifespan would be helpful for the individual choice of anticoagulation strategy for CRRT patients. Therefore, the aim of our present study is to develop a clinical prediction model to predict sufficient filter lifespan for a treatment regimen (commonly 24 h) in anticoagulation-free CRRT patients.

Materials and Methods

Study Design

Our present study was a retrospective cohort study and was performed in accordance with the Transparent Reporting of a Multivariable Prediction Model for Individual Prognosis or Diagnosis (TRIPOD) statement [21] and the Declaration of Helsinki. Our present study was approved by the Ethics Committee of our hospital.

Development Cohort

Critically ill patients who underwent CRRT between June 2013 and June 2019 in our center (a tertiary teaching hospital with >2,000 CRRT patients per year) were retrospectively screened. The inclusion criteria included (1) adult patients (≥18 years) and (2) received anticoagulation-free CRRT. Patients were excluded if they fulfilled any of the following criteria: (1) received systemic anticoagulation within 24 h prior to or during CRRT for indications other than CRRT; (2) received other extracorporeal therapies (i.e., plasmapheresis, plasma exchange, hemoperfusion, or extracorporeal membrane oxygenation) during CRRT; (3) switched to systemic heparin or RCA after the start of an anticoagulation-free CRRT regimen; (4) patients underwent CRRT via arteriovenous fistula; (5) patients with missing data of important parameters (i.e., liver function, coagulation parameters, or filter lifespan); (6) the first circuit was replaced within 24 h due to selective reasons (i.e., imaging procedures, transport, low blood pressure, resuscitation discharge, surgery, or death); or (7) the center venous catheter function was insufficient for the targeted blood flow.

Validation Cohort

A separate external validation cohort was retrospectively recruited to assess the generalizability of the prediction model. The patients who underwent CRRT between January 2018 and December 2019 in West China Hospital of Sichuan University were screened according to the inclusion and exclusion criteria employed in the development cohort.

CRRT Protocol in the Development Cohort

Continuous venovenous hemofiltration was the modality routinely adopted during the study period. The machines used for CRRT were the Prismaflex System (Gambro) and DIAPACT (Braun), which were equipped with Multiflow-100 (0.9 m², AN69 membrane) and AV600 (polysulfone, 1.4 m²; Fresenius) hollow-fiber filters, respectively. The vascular access was built by inserting a 13.5 F double lumen catheter into the femoral vein or jugular vein. Blood flow was maintained at 200 mL/min. Commercially available replacement fluid was infused at a rate of 2 L/h with a ratio of pre to postdilution of 1:1. In cases of large body size (weight >100 kg), the prescribed dose was set at 20–25 mL/kg/h according to the recommendations of the 2012 KDIGO guideline [4]. A bi-
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carbonate buffered fluid was infused prefilter separately at an appropriate rate depending on the acid-base status of the patients. The ultrafiltration rate was adjusted according to the hemodynamic parameters and the goal of treatment.

**CRRT Protocol in the Validation Cohort**

Continuous venovenous hemodiafiltration (CVVHDF) was the sole CRRT modality used in the validation cohort. CVVHDF was performed using the Prismaflex System (Gambro) machine equipped with several types of filters, including ST150, M150, and M100. The parameters of CVVHDF were following: blood flow rate 200 mL/min; dialysate flow rate 1 L/h; and replacement fluid flow rate 1 L/h with pre-, post-, or hybrid dilution models.

**Definitions**

Illness severity was evaluated using the Acute Physiology and Chronic Health Evaluation (APACHE) II and Sequential Organ Failure Assessment (SOFA) score. Increased bleeding risk [22] was defined according to the following criteria: (1) coagulopathy (APTT >60 s, INR >2, or PLT count <60 x 10^9/L); (2) active bleeding; (3) post-surgery within 24 h; or (4) experienced major bleeding within 48 h. Contraindications to citrate included severe liver failure (total bilirubin [Tb] >34.2 μmol/L) [23], shock with muscle hypoperfusion (serum lactate >2 mmol/L) [24], and hypoxemia (PaO2 < 60 mm Hg).

**Outcomes**

Sufficient filter lifespan was defined as filter lifespan ≥24 h. Filter lifespan was defined as the time interval (hours) between initiation and cessation of an individual circuit. Filter failure was confirmed by (1) transmembrane pressure >300 mm Hg, (2) visible clots, or (3) inability to operate the blood pump [25, 26].

**Factors Included in the Analysis**

Thirty-seven factors (online suppl. material 1, online suppl. Table 1; see www.karger.com/doi/10.1159/000519409 for all online suppl. material) were included in the analysis based on their clinical significance and previous reports [27]. Data including demographic characteristics, admission diagnosis, pre-existing disease, illness severity, indications of CRRT, risk of bleeding, contraindications for citrate, laboratory tests, mechanical ventilation, vasoactive agents use, transfusion requirement, and CRRT protocol characteristics were collected from the patient medical records. In order to control confounding bias, only the first circuit in the first session was analyzed for patients who received several sessions of CRRT during 1 admission.

**Missing Data**

The missing data were addressed by mean imputation using SPSS (IBM Corporation) software version 24.

**Statistical Analysis**

Normal distribution and non-normal distribution continuous variables were presented as mean ± standard deviation and median (interquartile range) and compared using Student’s t test and the Wilcoxon test, respectively. For categorical variables, data were presented as counts (percentage) and compared using χ^2 test or Fisher’s exact test. The filter survival probability at different time points was graphically analyzed using Kaplan-Meier survival curves with Log-rank test.

All the candidate factors were included in a multivariable logistic regression model with the continuous variables retained on the original scale. The collinearity test was performed at first and variables with variance inflation factor >10 were eliminated. Thereafter, a stepwise approach was employed for the final model selection based upon Akaike Information Criterion (AIC) [28]. We also de-
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**Fig. 1.** The participant flow diagram of the development cohort. CRRT, continuous renal replacement therapy; CVVH, continuous venovenous hemofiltration; RCA, regional citrate anticoagulation.
### Table 1. Characteristics of the development and validation cohort at the time of starting CRRT

| Characteristic                                      | Development cohort* (n = 170) | Validation cohort* (n = 44) | p value  |
|----------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------|----------|
| Age, year                                          | 52.7±15.6                     | 52.3±17.3                   | 0.88     |
| T, °C                                              | 36.8 (36.5–37.4)              | 36.7 (36.4–37.4)            | 0.26     |
| Male sex                                           | 120 (70.6)                    | 29 (66)                     | 0.54     |
| BMI, kg/m²                                         | 23±3.75                       | 21.8 (20.2–23.8)            | 0.06     |
| MAP, mm Hg                                         | 79.6 (70.3–91.4)              | 87±13                       | 0.01     |
| APACHE II score                                    | 24.5 (18–30)                  | 25±7.8                      | 0.71     |
| SOFA score                                         | 12.7±4.2                      | NA                          | –        |
| Mechanical ventilation                             | 84 (49.4)                     | 44 (100)                    | <0.001   |
| Vasopressor use                                    | 95 (55.9)                     | 39 (88.6)                   | <0.001   |
| Hb, g/L                                            | 95 (80.2–117)                 | 99.8±34.7                   | 0.72     |
| WBC, 10⁹/L                                        | 12.7 (8.8–18.5)               | 11.7±7                      | 0.03     |
| PLT, 10⁹/L                                        | 59 (29–115)                   | 146±94                      | <0.001   |
| APTT, s                                            | 48.2 (37–63.8)                | 35.4 (29.4–47.6)            | <0.001   |
| INR                                               | 1.55 (1.24–2.03)              | 1.37 (1.09–1.74)            | 0.02     |
| D-dimer, mg/L                                      | 11.7 (3.8–39.2)               | 5.29 (1.43–14.1)            | <0.001   |
| Tb, μmol/L                                         | 57.6 (23.6–111.3)             | 22.7 (10–76)                | 0.01     |
| Db, μmol/L                                         | 37.1 (11.2–76.6)              | 13 (3.6–62)                 | 0.02     |
| ALP, IU/L                                          | 84 (55.2–140.2)               | 97 (70–131)                 | 0.18     |
| Creatinine, μmol/L                                 | 258.5 (180.5–443.5)           | 193 (86–343)                | <0.001   |
| BUN, μmol/L                                        | 18.6 (11.6–27.1)              | 11.45 (6.45–19)             | 0.002    |
| UA, μmol/L                                         | 472.8 (354.2–499.2)           | 415±243                     | 0.09     |
| pH                                                | 7.38 (7.3–7.44)               | 7.32±0.1                    | 0.03     |
| Serum lactate, μmol/L                              | 4.1 (2.35–9.6)                | 4.4±1.4                     | 0.18     |
| Diagnosis before CRRT                              |                               |                             |          |
| Sepsis                                             | 45 (26.5)                     | NA                          | –        |
| Post-cardiac surgery                               | 19 (11)                       | NA                          | –        |
| Severe pancreatitis                                | 6 (3.5)                       | NA                          | –        |
| MODS                                               | 58 (34)                       | NA                          | –        |
| Liver failure                                      | 48 (28.2)                     | NA                          | –        |
| Trauma                                             | 9 (5.3)                       | NA                          | –        |
| Exertional heat stroke                             | 5 (3)                         | NA                          | –        |
| Others*                                            | 27 (15.8)                     | NA                          | –        |
| Indications for CRRT                               |                               |                             |          |
| AKI                                                | 144 (84.7)                    | NA                          | –        |
| Fluid overload                                     | 44 (25.8)                     | NA                          | –        |
| Severe metabolic acidosis                          | 58 (34)                       | NA                          | –        |
| Hyperkalemia                                       | 52 (30.5)                     | NA                          | –        |
| Hypotension                                        | 20 (11.7)                     | NA                          | –        |
| Rhabdomyolysis                                     | 12 (7)                        | NA                          | –        |
| Hypernatremia                                      | 11 (6.4)                      | NA                          | –        |
| Hypokalemia                                        | 7 (4)                         | NA                          | –        |
| Uremia                                             | 6 (3.5)                       | NA                          | –        |

Data were expressed as mean ± SD, median (IQR) or n (%). AKI, acute kidney injury; APACHE, Acute Physiology and Chronic Health Evaluation; APTT, activated partial thromboplastin time; ALP, alkaline phosphatase; BMI, body mass index; BUN, blood urea nitrogen; CRRT, continuous renal replacement therapy; Hb, hemoglobin; INR, international normalized ratio; MODS, multiple organ dysfunction syndrome; MAP, mean arterial blood pressure; NA, not available; PLT, platelet; SOFA, sequential organ failure assessment; T, body temperature; UA, uric acid; WBC, white blood cell; SD, standard deviation; IQR, interquartile range; Tb, total bilirubin; Db, direct bilirubin. * Variables with missing values were analyzed before imputations. # Other diagnoses included acute kidney injury, cancer, hypovolemic shock, electrolyte disturbance, respiratory failure, anemia, uremia, pulmonary infection, coagulopathy, cerebral hemorrhage, cerebral infarction, gastrointestinal bleeding, chronic kidney diseases, peritoneal cavity infection, and acute fatty liver of pregnancy.
developed a full model and a multivariable fractional polynomial (mfp) model. Nomograms [29] were constructed based on the results of the models. Discrimination and calibration of the models were assessed by the area under the receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve (AUC) with a 95% confidence interval (CI) and the calibration curve, respectively [30]. Sensitivity, specificity, positive predictive value (PPV), negative predictive value (NPV), positive likelihood ratio (PLR), and negative likelihood ratio (NLR) were calculated at the optimal cutoff values of the models which were identified at the maximum Youden Index. In addition to external validation, the performance of the models was internally validated by using bootstrapping (1,000 times). Statistical differences in the AUCs were compared using the Delong test [31]. A 2-sided p value <0.05 was considered statistically significant. All statistical analyses were performed by using the R software (version 3.4.3).

Results

Model Development

Patients Characteristics

The development cohort included 170 patients and the patients inclusion flowchart is showed in Figure 1. The baseline characteristics of the development cohort are shown in Table 1. The reasons for increased bleeding risk and contraindications for citrate are detailed in online supplementary material 2, online supplementary Table 2.

Filter Survival

During CRRT, clotting occurred in 122 (72%) filters. The overall median filter lifespan was 21.5 (12.5–30.1) hours. Sufficient filter lifespan was observed in 80 (47%) of the included circuits. Median filter lifespan of the clotted filters was 16 (10.5–25) h. The accumulated filter survival probabilities at 12-, 24-, and 48-h were 80, 47, and 7%, respectively (Fig. 2a).

Risk Factors for Filter Failure

In the univariate regression analysis, SOFA score, PLT count, hemoglobin, hematocrit (Hct), APTT, Tb, and direct bilirubin (Db) were significantly related to sufficient filter lifespan. In the multivariate analysis, red blood cell, Hct, INR, Tb, and alanine aminotransferase were removed from the model because of collinearity. Finally, the stepwise model demonstrated that temperature, mean

![Fig. 2. Kaplan-Meier curve for filter survival in the development cohort illustrating survival rate and numbers of survival filters at 12 h, 24 h, 36 h, and 48 h. Overall filters (a). Filters stratified by the optimal cutoff value of the stepwise model (b).](image-url)
arterial pressure (MAP), APTT, Db, alkaline phosphatase (ALP), blood urea nitrogen (BUN), and vasopressor use were positively related to sufficient filter lifespan, and BMI, white blood cell, PLT count, d-dimer, uric acid (UA), and pH were negatively related to sufficient filter lifespan (Table 2).

Model Performance

The AUC of the stepwise, mfp, and full model was 0.82 (95% CI [0.76–0.88]), 0.81 (95% CI [0.74–0.87]), and 0.83 (95% CI [0.77–0.89]), respectively. The calibration curves revealed good agreements between the predicted and observed outcomes. The AUC of the BS-stepwise and BS-full model was 0.8 (95% CI [0.74–0.87]) and 0.83 (95% CI [0.77–0.89]), respectively. The discrimination power of
the models is demonstrated in Table 3. The ROC curves coupled with calibration curves of the stepwise and BS-stepwise models are illustrated in Figure 3 and those of the mfp, full, and BS-full models in online supplementary material 3, online supplementary Fig. 1.

The AUC of the stepwise model was significantly increased, compared with routinely tested coagulation parameters including APTT ($p < 0.001$), INR ($p < 0.001$), and PLT ($p < 0.001$). Furthermore, the AUC of the stepwise model was superior to that of the model including all
of these 3 parameters as well (0.82 [0.76–0.88] vs. 0.7 [0.62–0.78], \( p = 0.0016 \)) (online suppl. material 4, online suppl. Fig. 2).

**Final Model Selection**

The full model and the mfp model did not show better accuracy than the stepwise model. Furthermore, the complicated formula of the mfp model compromised the convenience for application. Therefore, the stepwise model was the most parsimonious model under the premise of guaranteeing discrimination performance and was selected as the final model.

Accordingly, the probability of sufficient filter lifespan could be calculated using the following regression formula: 
\[
P(\%) = \frac{\exp(Z)}{1 + \exp(Z)}, \quad \text{where} \quad Z = 0.49896 - (0.08552 \times \text{BMI}) + (0.44107 \times T) + (0.03373 \times \text{MAP}) - (0.03389 \times \text{white blood cell}) + (1.51579 \times (\text{vasopressor} = 1)) - (0.01132 \times \text{PLT}) + (0.00422 \times \text{ALP}) - (2.66910 \times \text{pH}) - (0.00214 \times \text{UA}) + (0.05992 \times \text{BUN}) + (0.00400 \times \text{Db}) - (0.00014 \times \text{D-dimer}) + (0.02818 \times \text{APTT}).
\]
In order to facilitate the application in clinical practice, we developed a Microsoft Excel spreadsheet (online suppl. material 5: the Excel calculator) and a nomogram (online suppl. material 6, online suppl. Fig. 3), based on this formula. An optimal cutoff value of \( Z \) was identified as \(-0.1052\). The final model could discriminate 61 out of the 80 patients with sufficient filter lifespan and, the PPV and the NPV were 0.77 and 0.79, respectively. Furthermore, the median filter lifespan was significantly longer in patients with \( Z > -0.1052 \) (26 [24–38.25] h vs. 15.5 [9.75–21.5] hours, \( p < 0.001 \), Figure 2b).

**External Validation**

After the screening, 44 eligible cases were included in the external validation cohort (online suppl. material 7, online suppl. Fig. 4). The baseline characteristics of these patients are shown in Table 1. There were no significant differences in age, body temperature, male proportion, BMI, MAP, and APACHE II score between development cohort and validation cohort. The AUC of the ROC of the external validation model was 0.82 (95% CI [0.69–0.96], Figure 4a). According to the optimal cutoff value of the development model, 18 out of the 28 patients with sufficient filter lifespan were discriminated and the sensitivity,
specificity, PPV, and NPV were 0.64, 0.81, 0.85, and 0.56, respectively. The median filter lifespan was significantly longer in patients above the cutoff value (32 [24–51] h vs. 23 [16–29] h, \( p = 0.03 \), Figure 4b). The overall median filter lifespan in the validation cohort was 26.5 (21–46.2) h.

**Discussion**

We have developed and externally validated a clinical prediction model that can be used to predict sufficient filter lifespan in patients who underwent anticoagulation-free CRRT. Lower PLT count, UA, and D-dimer as well as higher MAP, APTT, ALP, and BUN, and the use of vasopressor were independently related to longer filter lifespan during anticoagulation-free CRRT. Our prediction model could facilitate the choice of an optimal anticoagulation strategy for an individual patient.

Fealy et al. [26] reported in a randomized controlled trial that longer APTT and decreased PLT count were independently associated with a reduced likelihood of circuit clotting. The similar association of APTT [32] and PLT count [33, 34] with CRRT filter lifespan were reported in observational studies as well. Zhang et al. [35] reported that lower pH was significantly associated with longer filter lifespan in a multivariable Cox regression model. These evidences demonstrated the reliability of the predictors in our present model. However, the mechanism of filter failure is highly sophisticated [36–40] and could not be solely interpreted or predicted by classical markers of coagulation, including PT, APTT, and PLT count [41]. A recent meta-analysis [27] divided nonanticoagulant determinants of filter lifespan into vascular access factors, circuit factors, and patient factors. Therefore, a clinical prediction model, which was commonly based on more comprehensive indicators, could most likely provide more accurate prediction for filter failure and sufficient lifespan.

To our knowledge, studies developing prediction models for filter lifespan of CRRT are scarce. A prognostic model reported by Fu et al. [20] suggested that insufficient blood flow, without anticoagulation, and values of Hct, lactate, and APTT could be used to predict the likelihood of extracorporeal circuit clotting within 24 h in patients underwent CRRT with all kinds of anticoagulation models. The AUC of this model was 0.79 (95% CI [0.7–0.87]). Despite both of the AUCs of this model and ours were >0.75, a threshold deemed as useful discrimination [30], our model has several advantages. First, we included only CRRT patients without the use of any anticoagulation, which could offer important information for the choice of anticoagulation strategies, mainly use or no use of anticoagulation. The study by Fu et al. [20] included patients with and without anticoagulation in their model. The use of anticoagulation definitely played a major role in the prolonged filter lifespan and the filter lifespan in patients accepted anticoagulation were mainly attributed to the effective anticoagulation, including the anticoagulant types and the sufficient dose of anticoagulant. Therefore, the model by Fu et al. [20] could not offer key clues for the use or no use of anticoagulation for clinicians, especially for patients with relative contraindications to anticoagulation. Second, all the included predictors in our model were readily available prior to CRRT initiation, which could be helpful and useful for clinical decision-making. Third, in addition to the regression formula, the nomogram and Excel calculator could provide more convenient and accurate prediction. At last, our model has been validated in an external validation cohort, which suggested very good reliability.

Several previous studies also suggested the use of coagulation parameters and bleeding markers to determine the use of anticoagulation-free protocol. However, in the study by Morabito et al. [42], 45% of the patients who initially received anticoagulation-free protocol switched to heparin anticoagulation because the filter lifespan was <24 h. In another study by Morabito et al. [19], 33 patients switched to RCA-CRRT because of early circuit clotting (<24 h) without anticoagulation, and only 40% of the circuits reached a lifespan of more than 24 h before switch. In our development cohort and validation cohort, 76 and 64% of the patients with sufficient filter lifespan were accurately predicted, respectively. These evidences indicated that the use of a prediction model instead of an assessment based only on coagulation parameters could significantly improve the discrimination ability to identify eligible patients for anticoagulant-free CRRT.

Our model can facilitate the assessment of filter failure risk and the selection of appropriate anticoagulation for CRRT in patients with relative contraindications to anticoagulation. The patient with expected sufficient filter lifespan could initially undergo anticoagulation-free CRRT. For those patients with expected insufficient filter lifespan, a less risky anticoagulation strategy could be considered. Future studies with prospective, randomized, and multicenter design are warranted to validate our findings.

There are some limitations to our present study. First, there were potential confounding factors and biases due to the retrospective nature of our present study. We had strictly predefined the inclusion and exclusion criteria and enrolled consecutive real-life patients over a 6-year
period in an effort to reduce the selection bias and confounding factors. Second, the application of the model might be complex as the final model includes 13 variables. However, most of these predictors were clinically relevant and easily available in routine practice. Furthermore, an Excel calculator was generated and would facilitate the clinical application of our model. Third, the definition of “filter failure” did not account for the bubble trap clotting which is independent to membrane clotting but also frequently causes failure [43]. However, most of the included filters were judged as failure by transmembrane pressure >300 mm Hg or visible clots in the other parts of the circuit with less possibility of bubble trap clotting. Fourth, 2 types of filter with different membrane sizes were included in the development cohort, which could partially contribute to the heterogeneity of filter lifespan [44]. The heterogeneity of filter type was caused by the use of different CRRT machines. In order to identify a model for the prediction of filter function in all kinds of filter type, we did not include the filter type and membrane size in the model. Filters with uniform membrane size are warranted in the future studies. Additionally, only Chinese patients were included in both the development and validation cohort. Further adjustment might be necessary when employing our model in other ethnic population.

Conclusions

The use of a prediction model instead of an assessment based only on coagulation parameters could facilitate the identification of the patients with filter lifespan of ≥24 h when they accepted anticoagulation-free CRRT. Our model most likely could facilitate the choice of an optimal anticoagulation strategy for an individual patient.
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