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Abstract

Background: Antenatal care is widely established and provides an opportunity to inform and educate pregnant women about pregnancy, childbirth and care of the newborn. It is expected that this would assist the women in making choices that would contribute to good pregnancy outcome. We examined the provision of information and education in antenatal clinics from the perspective of pregnant women attending these clinics.

Methods: A cross sectional survey of 457 pregnant women attending six urban and six rural antenatal clinics in the largest health division in The Gambia was undertaken. The women were interviewed using modified antenatal client exit interview and antenatal record review questionnaires from the WHO Safe Motherhood Needs Assessment kit. Differences between women attending urban and rural clinics were assessed using the Chi-square test. Relative risks with 95% confidence intervals are presented.

Results: Ninety percent of those interviewed had attended the antenatal clinic more than once and 52% four or more times. Most pregnant women (70.5%) said they spent 3 minutes or less with the antenatal care provider. About 35% recalled they were informed or educated on diet and nutrition, 30.4% on care of the baby, 23.6% on family planning, 22.8% on place of birth and 19.3% on what to do if there was a complication.

About 25% of pregnant women said they were given information about the progress of their pregnancy after consultation and only 12.8% asked their provider any question.Awareness of danger signs was low. The proportions of women that recognised signs of danger were 28.9% for anaemia, 24.6% for hypertension, 14.8% for haemorrhage, 12.9% for fever and 5% for puerperal sepsis. Prolonged labour was not recognised as a danger sign. Women attending rural antenatal clinics were 1.6 times more likely to recognise signs of anaemia and hypertension as indicative of danger compared to women attending urban antenatal clinics.

Conclusion: Information, education and communication during antenatal care in the largest health division are poor. Pregnant women are ill-equipped to make appropriate choices especially when they are in danger. This contributes to the persistence of high maternal mortality ratios in the country.
Background

Antenatal care provides an opportunity to inform and educate pregnant women on a variety of issues related to pregnancy, birth and parenthood. The aim of this exercise is to equip them to make appropriate choices that will contribute to optimum pregnancy outcome and care of the newborn [1]. This concept has made antenatal education programmes a standard component of antenatal care worldwide [2].

There has been controversy about the impact of antenatal education on pregnancy outcome [3]. However, a recent synthesis of experience with information, education and communication (IEC) makes the point that it works [4]. In other words, an appropriate strategy of IEC leads to or reinforces desirable attitudes and behaviour.

Educated women have better pregnancy outcome compared with uneducated women [5,6]. This may be partly because they are better informed and make better choices. Literacy among women in many developing countries is low and there are socio-cultural beliefs and practices with adverse effects on pregnancy and birth even among educated women [7]. The "Three Phases of Delay Model" highlights the importance of IEC in the prevention of maternal death by describing the sequence of events from late recognition of danger signs to maternal death [8]. Therefore, an appropriate programme of health literacy or behaviour change communication is highly desirable.

In The Gambia, over 90% of pregnant women visit the antenatal clinic at least once and 70% attend four or more times [9]. This high antenatal coverage and relatively high frequency of visits provides an excellent opportunity for information, education and communication. The principal guiding documents for the delivery of maternity services in The Gambia show that the provision of information, education and communication is a major component of antenatal care [10-12]. The IEC strategy was first articulated in the early 1990s but has undergone revisions in line with emerging needs. The strategy is to inform and educate pregnant women on a variety of topics including nutrition, malaria, STIs/HIV/AIDS, danger signs of pregnancy and delivery, and care of the newborn. Furthermore, antenatal care providers are expected to engage in dialogue with pregnant women to develop appropriate and individualized delivery plans based on the concept of birth preparedness and complication readiness [12]. This strategy should be implemented routinely in all antenatal clinics.

Despite the foregoing, a recent qualitative study of maternal deaths suggested that low levels of awareness of danger signs of pregnancy and delivery contribute to continuing high maternal mortality ratios in the country [13].

To further explore this issue, we undertook a study to: (i) determine the experiences of pregnant women with antenatal IEC; (ii) determine their levels of awareness of danger signs during pregnancy and delivery; and (iii) compare experiences and levels of awareness between pregnant women attending urban and those attending rural antenatal clinics in the largest health division in The Gambia. We sought to compare urban and rural antenatal clinics because maternal mortality ratios in rural areas were two times higher than in urban areas in the most recent national maternal mortality survey [9]. This data will be useful for reinforcing or modifying behaviour change communication for pregnant women as part of an overall strategy for achieving the country's Millennium Development Goal for Maternal Health.

Methods

The Western Health Division, one of six health divisions, comprises three local government councils – Banjul, Kanifing and Brikama. It covers 17.3% of the geographical area of the country but accommodates 55% of the national population [14].

Most antenatal care is provided through government facilities (80–85%) and Non-Governmental Organizations (15–20%). Less than 3% of pregnant women receive antenatal care at private facilities [9]. Registration at a public sector antenatal clinic depends on place of residence. There are six base antenatal clinics in urban areas and five base antenatal clinics in rural areas in Western Health Division. Urban or rural status was assigned based on definitions laid down by the Central Statistics Department of The Gambia [14]. Antenatal clinics open on week days from 8.00 a.m. to 2.00 p.m. and are led by trained midwives. Pregnant women are usually given monthly appointments until 28 weeks gestation, two-weekly appointments until 36 weeks and then weekly appointments until birth. The programme of activities for each clinic session includes a health talk; assessment of pregnant women through history taking, examination and laboratory tests (as indicated) and; provision of tetanus toxoid immunizations and iron/folate supplementation. Health talks are intended to cover nutrition, malaria, STIs/HIV/AIDS, danger signs of pregnancy and delivery, family planning, breastfeeding and care of the newborn. Other management and/or referral take place as indicated. In addition to the above routine, services to prevent parent to child transmission of HIV were offered at two of the facilities as a pilot programme. Staff at these facilities received additional training in order to provide the service. At some base clinics, staff "trekked" to outreach posts.
to conduct antenatal clinics for parts of their catchment population.

We adapted the Antenatal Client Exit Interview and Antenatal Record Review questionnaires in the Safe Motherhood Needs Assessment (SMNA) Kit [15]. The questionnaires were translated into two local languages (Mandinka and Wolof) and back-translated to ensure consistency.

To achieve a 95% confidence level with a 10% confidence interval, we required a sample size of 192 pregnant women based on an estimated number of 30,000 maternities per year in Western Health Division [15]. Since we intended to compare pregnant women at rural and urban clinics, we determined the sample size for rural and urban women separately giving a desired sample size of 384 (192 rural and 192 urban). We aimed to interview 50 pregnant women at large (busy) antenatal clinics and 25 pregnant women at smaller centres for proportional representation. If there were more women than required at the clinic, systematic random sampling was done. If there were fewer women than required, all were selected and a second visit was made to the clinic to complete the required number.

All pregnant women attending the antenatal clinic when the interviewers were present were eligible to participate. Pregnant women who had emergency conditions were excluded. Individual women were approached, given information regarding the purpose of the study, invited to participate, assured of confidentiality and reassured that opting out would not compromise the care they would receive. Out of 459 women who were approached, 457 participated in the survey. The two who opted out did not give any reasons. The high response rate is not surprising because surveys are common among women of reproductive age in The Gambia. AH and LESJ interviewed the selected women individually and in private at the end of their antenatal clinic consultation. Interviews began in the morning and continued into the afternoon. The study took place in November and December 2004.

The differences between women attending urban and rural clinics were assessed using the Chi-square test. Relative risks with 95% confidence intervals are presented.

The study was approved by the Department of State for Health and Social Welfare, Banjul. The pregnant women who participated gave individual informed consent.

Results

Characteristics of antenatal clients

We analyzed questionnaires from 457 antenatal clients at six urban base clinics, four rural base clinics and two rural outreach antenatal clinics. The characteristics of the respondents are presented in Table 1. Most women attended the antenatal clinic for the first time when pregnancy was advanced. The proportion of women who had their first visit at 20 weeks or less was 25.6% (117/457). Only 10% of women were attending the antenatal clinic for the first time on the day of the interview.

Provision of information, education and communication

Table 2 displays the proportion of antenatal clients who reported that they had received information, education or counseling (IEC) on the selected topics at any of their antenatal visits. According to the respondents, diet and nutrition was the topic most likely to have been discussed. However, only about one-third of the women reportedly benefited from this. Less than 25% of the pregnant women recalled having discussed child spacing or family planning with their antenatal provider. Provision of IEC on what to do in an emergency was recalled by even fewer women.

Among women with one or more prior deliveries (346), 13% (45) had a previous caesarean section, stillbirth or convulsion. However, only 28.9% (13/45) of this high-risk group said they were advised to give birth at a health facility in the index pregnancy.

| Characteristic                  | Percentage (%) |
|--------------------------------|----------------|
| Age distribution (years)        |                |
| N = 447                        |                |
| < 20                           | 17.7           |
| 20–24                          | 33.6           |
| 25–29                          | 29.1           |
| 30–34                          | 12.3           |
| 35+                            | 7.4            |

Gravidity

N = 456

| Characteristic | Percentage (%) |
|----------------|----------------|
| 1              | 23.9           |
| 2              | 21.3           |
| 3              | 16.0           |
| 4              | 12.7           |
| 5              | 10.5           |
| 6+             | 15.6           |

Gestational age at first visit

N = 457

| Characteristic                  | Percentage (%) |
|--------------------------------|----------------|
| First trimester (0–13 weeks)    | 8.1            |
| Second trimester (14–27 weeks)  | 62.8           |
| Third trimester (28+ weeks)     | 29.1           |

Number of visits at interview

N = 457

| Characteristic | Percentage (%) |
|----------------|----------------|
| 1              | 10             |
| 2–3            | 38             |
| 4+             | 52             |
Provider-client interaction

Communication between antenatal care provider and antenatal client was poor. One quarter of pregnant women said they were told about the progress of their pregnancy following consultation on the day of the interview (Table 3). An even smaller proportion of clients (12.8%) asked their provider any question.

Only 2.5% (11/438) said they spent 10 or more minutes with the provider while 70.5% of women (309/438) said they spent 3 minutes or less with their antenatal care provider. About 64% (287/450) felt they were attended to with adequate privacy.

Awareness of danger signs in pregnancy

Table 4 shows that signs related to anaemia and hypertensive disorders in pregnancy were the commonest listed by respondents but only by relatively small proportions of them. Only 14.8% of pregnant women listed heavy bleeding as a danger sign. However 30.8% (39/127) of women in their fourth or more pregnancy did so compared to 10.3% (23/224) of women who had fewer pregnancies. This difference was statistically significant (Relative risk = 2.5; 95% confidence interval = 1.6–4.1; p = 0.0001). Apart from this multi-gravidity and higher number of visits were not significantly associated with higher levels of awareness of danger signs.

Under "other signs", abdominal pain was the commonest condition considered indicative of danger (Table 5).

Differences between women attending rural and urban antenatal clinics

Pregnant women who received antenatal care in rural areas (202) and those that received antenatal care in urban areas (255) were similar in most respects. Out of 13 variables tested, the only variables with statistically significant differences related to privacy and awareness of two danger signs in pregnancy with pregnant women attending rural antenatal clinics at an advantage (Table 6).

Discussion

The results of this study show that although a large proportion of women attending antenatal clinics did so repeatedly, they were not benefiting from effective information, education and communication which together form one of the primary purposes of antenatal care. Ninety percent of those interviewed had attended the antenatal clinic more than once and 52% four or more times. However, most of them (70.5%) said they spent 3 minutes or less with the antenatal care provider and less than 40% could recall being informed or educated about important subjects such as diet and nutrition, care of the baby, family planning, place of birth. An even smaller proportion (19.3%) could recall being educated about what to do if there was a complication.

One of the lessons learned from the Safe Motherhood Initiative is that community involvement is a key requirement for sustainable reduction of maternal mortality [16]. However, individual women, families and communities need information and education to be empowered to contribute positively to making pregnancy safer. Therefore, the large proportion of pregnant women in this study who...
reported that they were not informed or educated about important issues is of concern.

We observed that pregnant women were least likely to recall having received the IEC that related to the recognition of danger and response to pregnancy-related problems. In a study of women 6–12 months after giving birth in another health division, Walraven et al. found even lower levels of antenatal education on danger signs and complication readiness [17]. This low level of awareness of danger signs contributes to a failure to obtain adequate care in time and hence, maternal death in The Gambia [13]. There was even less awareness about danger signs for the unborn child.

Information, education and communication require time. The new antenatal care model recommends 30–40 minutes for the first visit and 20 minutes for subsequent visits to carry out all activities including individual IEC [18]. However, most women said they spent 3 minutes or less with their provider. Communicating effectively under this circumstance would be an enormous challenge and could explain the poor provider-client interaction. It was not surprising that in this "rushed" scenario very few women asked questions. On the other hand, it is encouraging that 95% of women understood the answers given to the questions they asked. Direct observation in Nepal revealed that an average of only one minute was spent on counseling [19]. Little attention was also paid to danger signs and complication readiness with communication flowing mostly in one direction from health worker to pregnant woman. Although, the health system in Nepal is probably different from ours, it suggests that the challenge to provide adequate antenatal IEC is not unique to our setting.

On the other hand, the new antenatal care model also recommends fewer routine antenatal visits with the expectation that this would give providers more time to spend communicating effectively with clients.

Staff shortages are a major constraint in the delivery of health services in The Gambia but midwives are particularly affected by excessive workloads [20]. Since each midwife must attend to a relatively large number of women in a defined period, the provision of IEC may be given less priority. Even where there are adequate numbers, staff training, attitudes, supervision and incentives would be important determinants of the quality of the services that are delivered. We did not assess these issues but believe that they would be important for planning and organization of services.

There are efforts to improve the human resource situation but in the short term, an effective IEC strategy is needed. Traditionally, IEC has been provided at the antenatal clinic level. In view of the human resource constraints and the need to reach a wider audience, we propose a strategy that provides IEC through the mass media – addressing various issues and encouraging women to ask questions at the antenatal clinic. This has several advantages. A uniform message will be disseminated, it will reach non-pregnant women who will be better informed and able to

### Table 4: Proportion of pregnant women that were aware of danger signs in pregnancy.

| Pregnancy-related danger signs                                      | N = 418 |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------|---------|
|                                                                    | n   | %    |
| Anaemia/pallor/fatigue/breathlessness                              | 121  | 28.9 |
| Hypertension/headache/swelling/fits                                | 103  | 24.6 |
| Haemorrhage/heavy bleeding                                         | 62   | 14.8 |
| Sepsis/foul smelling discharge/postpartum abdominal pain           | 21   | 5.0  |
| Cessation of fetal movement/baby does not move                     | 13   | 3.1  |
| Light bleeding/spotting                                            | 9    | 2.2  |
| Abnormal lie/position of fetus                                     | 3    | 0.7  |
| Multiple pregnancy/large abdomen                                   | 0    | 0.0  |
| Difficult/obstructed/prolonged labour/sun set two times           | 0    | 0.0  |
| Previous bad obstetric history/abdominal scars/previous stillbirth| 0    | 0.0  |

### Table 5: Other signs considered indicative of danger by pregnant women

| Other signs considered suggestive of danger | N = 418 |
|--------------------------------------------|---------|
|                                            | n   | %    |
| Abdominal pain                             | 181  | 43.3 |
| Fever/malaria                              | 54   | 12.9 |
| Backache/waist pain/pain                   | 31   | 7.4  |
| Illness (unspecified)                       | 25   | 6.0  |
| Vomiting                                   | 12   | 2.9  |
| Chest pain                                 | 11   | 2.6  |
| Early labour                               | 11   | 2.6  |
| Drainage of liquor                          | 9    | 2.2  |
| Labour                                     | 8    | 1.9  |
| Poor appetite                               | 4    | 1.0  |
| Cough                                      | 3    | 0.7  |
| Asthma/diabetes                             | 1    | 0.2  |
make better choices early on when they become pregnant (such as early attendance which is particularly important for effective intermittent preventive treatment of malaria). These messages will also reach men (who are influential in maternal health) to encourage positive participation as partners in making pregnancy safer. Cultural perceptions at family and community levels influence how the woman, family and community respond to pregnancy-related complications [15]. By using the mass media, family members and the community as a whole would also be reached with messages that encourage positive attitudes and participation. This is more consistent with intentions of the National Reproductive Health Policy [11].

Conclusion

Pregnant women who do not have adequate and appropriate information about pregnancy and childbirth would be ill-equipped to make choices that will contribute to their own well-being. Delivering carefully developed messages through the mass media, especially the radio is an attractive and feasible strategy that has proven successful with HIV/AIDS in the country. Another vital part of the strategy would be to clearly identify the barriers to individual counseling at the clinic level and institute appropriate interventions to ensure that the peculiar circumstance of each pregnant woman is dealt with.

On the other hand, pregnant women would be unable to make optimal use of the information they have been provided if services are not readily available. Therefore,
improving access to services that they have been advised to make use of is vital. In our context, this would mean improving the access to skilled attendance at delivery particularly for rural women.

We believe these steps would contribute to meeting the United Nations millennium development goals for maternal and newborn health as well as for gender equality and empowerment of women in The Gambia.

Competing interests
The author(s) declare that they have no competing interests.

Authors’ contributions
SEA conceived the study, contributed to the design, analyzed the results and wrote the first draft. AH and LESJ contributed to the concept and design of the study, collected the data and contributed to the drafting the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Acknowledgements
The authors are grateful to Ramou Cole-Ceesay and Mamady Cham of the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

References
1. Renkert S, Nutbeam D: Opportunities to improve maternal health literacy through antenatal education: an exploratory study. Health Promotion International 2001, 16:381-388.
2. Turan JM, Sale L: Community-based antenatal education in Istanbul, Turkey: effects on health behaviours. Health Policy and Planning 2003, 18(4):391-398.
3. Gagnon AJ: Individual or group antenatal education for childbearing/parenthood. Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews 2000, 4:CD002869.
4. World Health Organization: Information, education and communication: lessons from the past, perspectives for the future. WHO/RHR/01.22. Geneva 2001.
5. Harrison KA: Childbearing, health and social priorities: A survey of 22,774 consecutive births in Zaria, Northern Nigeria. Br J Obstet Gynaecol 1985, 92(suppl 5):i:1-i:119.
6. Harrison KA: The importance of the educated healthy woman in Africa. Lancet 1997, 349:644-647.
7. Onah HE: Formal education does not improve the acceptance of cesarean section among pregnant Nigerian women. Int J Gynaecol Obstet 2002, 76:321-323.
8. Maine D: Too far to walk: maternal mortality in context. Soc Sci Med 1994, 38:1091-1110.
9. Department of State for Health and Social Welfare: Report on the national survey on maternal, perinatal, neonatal and infant mortality and contraceptive prevalence – 2001. Banjul 2002.
10. Department of State for Health and Social Welfare: Manual for the maternal and child health services. Banjul 1993.
11. Department of State for Health and Social Welfare: National Reproductive Health Policy for The Gambia, 2001 – 2006. Banjul 2001.
12. Department of State for Health and Social Welfare: Reproductive health training manual for The Gambia – a guide for health workers. Banjul 2003.
13. Mamady C, Sundby J, Vangen S: Maternal mortality in the rural Gambia, a qualitative study on access to emergency obstetric care. Reproductive Health 2005, 2:1. doi:10.1186/1742-4755-2-3.
14. Central Statistics Department: 2003 Population and housing census – provisional results. Banjul 2004.
15. Lissner C: Safe motherhood needs assessment. In WHO/RHT/ MSM/96.18 World Health Organization, Geneva; 2001.
16. World Health Organization: Maternal and newborn health: framework for the promotion and implementation of community-based interventions. AFR/MS/04.01. Geneva 2004.
17. Telfer ML, Rowley JT, Wallraven GE: Experiences of mothers with antenatal, delivery and postpartum care in rural Gambia. Afr J Reprod Health 2002, 6:74-83.
18. World Health Organization: WHO antenatal care randomized trial: manual for the implementation of the new model. Geneva 2002.
19. Albrecht J, Darlang M, Shah U, Diesfeld Hj: Maternity care in rural Nepal: a health service analysis. Trop Med Int Hlth 2000, 5:657-665.
20. Department of State for Health and Social Welfare: Human resources for health situational analysis report. Banjul 2004.
21. National AIDS Secretariat: The Gambia 2005 Behavioural Surveillance Survey. Banjul 2005.
22. Ferreira KM, Bailey PE, de Bocage E, Hurtado E, de Villagran SR, Mauthen J: Increasing awareness of danger signs in pregnancy through community- and clinic-based education in Guatemala. Matern Child Hlth J 2002, 6(1):19-28.

Pre-publication history
The pre-publication history for this paper can be accessed here:

http://www.biomedcentral.com/1471-2393/8/9/prepub