Proton-proton forward scattering at the LHC
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Recently the TOTEM experiment at the LHC has released measurements at \( \sqrt{s} = 13 \text{ TeV} \) of the proton-proton total cross section, \( \sigma_{\text{tot}} \), and the ratio of the real to imaginary parts of the forward elastic amplitude, \( \rho \). Since then an intense debate on the \( C \)-parity asymptotic nature of the scattering amplitude was initiated. We examine the proton-proton and the antiproton-proton forward data above 10 GeV in the context of an eikonal QCD-based model, where nonperturbative effects are readily included via a QCD effective charge. We show that, despite an overall satisfactory description of the forward data is obtained by a model in which the scattering amplitude is dominated by only crossing-even elastic terms, there is evidence that the introduction of a crossing-odd term may improve the agreement with the measurements of \( \rho \) at \( \sqrt{s} = 13 \text{ TeV} \). In the Regge language the dominant even(odd)-under-crossing object is the so called Pomeron (Odderon).

PACS numbers: 12.38.Lg, 13.85.Dz, 13.85.Lg

INTRODUCTION

The rise of the total cross section with energy in hadron-hadron collisions was theoretically predicted many years ago [1]. This rise, as indicated by the data accumulated from collider and cosmic ray experiments over the last several decades, is dictated by jets with transverse energy \( E_T \) much smaller than the total energy \( s \) available in the hadronic collision [2]. From the QCD viewpoint, these minijets arise from semihard scatterings of elementary partons, defined as hard scatterings of partons carrying very small fractions of momenta of their parent hadrons [2, 3]. In this picture the high-energy behavior of the cross sections is driven mainly by semihard processes involving gluons, since they give the dominant contribution at small \( x \) [3, 4]. In accordance with very general predictions based on axiomatic field theory, all models using this QCD-based formalism have assumed over the years that at high energies the scattering amplitude is dominated by only a single crossing-even elastic amplitude. In the Regge language this dominant even-under-crossing object is named Pomeron [5]; in the QCD language the Pomeron is, in its simplest configuration, a color singlet made up of two gluons [5, 6]. Very recently the TOTEM experiment at the LHC has released measurements at \( \sqrt{s} = 13 \text{ TeV} \) of the proton-proton (\( pp \)) total cross section, \( \sigma_{\text{tot}} = 110.6^{+3.4}_{-3.0} \text{ mb} \) [11], and in a subsequent work another independent measurement, \( \sigma_{\text{tot}} = 110.3^{+3.5}_{-3.2} \text{ mb} \), together with measurements of the ratio real-to-imaginary parts of the forward amplitude, \( \rho = 0.99 \pm 0.01 \) and \( \rho = 0.10 \pm 0.01 \) [12]. These measurements can be simultaneously well-described by models that include the odd-under-crossing partner of the Pomeron: The Odderon [13, 14]. The Odderon concept is physically reasonable since its presence does not violate asymptotic theorems based on analyticity, unitarity and crossing. Also from the QCD viewpoint the Odderon is, in its simplest configuration, a color singlet made up of three gluons [15]. More specifically, in perturbative QCD the Odderon can be associated to a colorless \( C \)-odd \( t \)-channel state with an intercept at or near one. The TOTEM results have since then triggered an intense debate on the question of whether or not the combined behavior of \( \sigma_{\text{tot}} \) and \( \rho \) at high energies is actually a manifestation of the Odderon [14, 16].

This Letter revisits this issue by investigating the behavior of the forward quantities \( \sigma_{\text{tot}} \) and \( \rho \), from \( pp \) and \( \bar{p}p \) (antiproton-proton) scattering, through an eikonal QCD-based model with a scattering amplitude dominated asymptotically by only single crossing-even amplitudes [2, 17, 18]. We consider a model in which the bridge between the parton dynamics, described by QCD, and the dynamics of observable hadron systems is provided by the QCD parton model using updated sets of quark and gluon distribution functions, standard QCD cross sections for parton-parton processes and physically motivated cutoffs that restrict the parton-level dynamics to the semihard sector. The nonperturbative dynamics of the QCD is treated in the context of a well-established infrared effective charge dependent on the dynamical gluon mass. Such a model, involving only even-under-crossing amplitudes dominant at very high energies, provides a satisfactory (from a statistical viewpoint) global description of \( \sigma_{\text{tot}} \) and \( \rho \) data over a wide range of energies, and furthermore, the larger \( \rho \) value predicted by the model at \( \sqrt{s} = 13 \text{ TeV} \) suggests that a crossing-odd elastic term
may play an important role in the soft and semihard interactions.

**THE MODEL**

The unitarity of the matrix $S$ requires that the absorptive part of the elastic scattering amplitude receives contributions from both elastic and inelastic channels. In impact parameter ($b$) representation the unitarity condition implies the relation

$$2 \text{Re} h(s, b) = |h(s, b)|^2 + G_{\text{inel}}(s, b),$$

where $h(s, b)$ is the profile function and $G_{\text{inel}}(s, b)$ is the inelastic overlap function, i.e. the contribution from all inelastic channels. The profile function $h(s, b)$ is related to the elastic scattering amplitude $A(s, t)$ by

$$A(s, t) = i \int_{0}^{\infty} b \, db \, J_0(b \sqrt{-t}) \, h(s, b),$$

where $t$ is the usual Mandelstam variable. In order to satisfy unitarity constraints, the profile function is conveniently written as $h(s, b) = 1 - e^{-\chi(s, b)}$, where the eikonal function $\chi(s, b)$ is a complex generalized phase-shift. In terms of the eikonal function the elastic amplitude reads

$$A(s, t) = i \int_{0}^{\infty} b \, db \, J_0(b \sqrt{-t}) \left[ 1 - e^{-\chi(s, b)} \right],$$

where $\chi(s, b) = \text{Re} \chi(s, b) + i \text{Im} \chi(s, b) = \chi_\text{el}(s, b) + i \chi_\text{in}(s, b)$. Hence the scattering amplitude is completely determined once the eikonal function is known. The eikonal is written in terms of even and odd parts connected by crossing symmetry. In the case of $pp$ and $\bar{p}p$ channels, this combination reads $\chi_{pp}(s, b) = \chi^+(s, b) \pm \chi^-(s, b)$. Two observables that play a key role to unravel the structure of the elastic scattering amplitude at high energies, namely $\sigma_{\text{tot}}$ and $\rho$, can be written in terms of $\chi(s, b)$. We see from the optical theorem that the total cross section is such that

$$\sigma_{\text{tot}}(s) = 4\pi \text{Im} A(s, t = 0),$$

thus, from (3) we have

$$\sigma_{\text{tot}}(s) = 4\pi \int_{0}^{\infty} b \, db \left[ 1 - e^{-\chi(s, b)} \right] \cos \chi_i(s, b)]].$$

The parameter $\rho$, the real-to-imaginary ratio of the forward elastic scattering amplitude,

$$\rho = \frac{\text{Re} A(s, t = 0)}{\text{Im} A(s, t = 0)},$$

can, in turn, be written using (3) as

$$\rho(s) = -\frac{\int_{0}^{\infty} b \, db \, e^{-\chi_R(s, b)} \sin \chi_i(s, b)}{\int_{0}^{\infty} b \, db \left[ 1 - e^{-\chi_R(s, b)} \cos \chi_i(s, b) \right]}.$$

Since at high energies the soft and the semihard components of the scattering amplitude are closely related, we can assume that the eikonal function is additive with respect to the soft and semihard ($\text{SH}$) parton interactions, and write the eikonal as $\chi(s, b) = \chi_{\text{so}}(s, b) + \chi_{\text{SH}}(s, b)$. We assume that the odd semihard eikonal is null and the even contribution is obtained as follows. From considerations based on the QCD parton model and the unitarity condition, the probability that neither nucleon is broken up in a collision at impact parameter $b$ is given by $e^{-2\chi_{\text{so}}(s, b)}$.

$$\chi_{\text{SH}}(s, b) = \frac{1}{2} \sigma_{\text{QCD}}(s) \, W_{\text{SH}}(s, b),$$

where $W_{\text{SH}}(s, b)$ is an overlap function for the partons at $b$. Thus,

$$W_{\text{SH}}(s, b) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{0}^{\infty} dk_{\perp} k_{\perp} J_0(k_{\perp} b) G^2(s, k_{\perp}),$$

and $\sigma_{\text{QCD}}(s)$ is the usual QCD cross section

$$\sigma_{\text{QCD}}(s) = \sum_{ij} \int_{0}^{\infty} dx_1 \int_{0}^{\infty} dx_2 \int_{Q_{\text{min}}^2}^{\infty} d|t| \frac{d|\Delta ij|}{d|t|}(\hat{s}, \hat{t}) \times f_{ij/A}(x_1, |\hat{t}|) f_{j/B}(x_2, |\hat{t}|) \Theta \left( \frac{\hat{s}}{2} - |\hat{t}| \right),$$

where $\hat{s}$ and $\hat{t}$ are the Mandelstam invariants for the parton-parton collision, with $|\hat{t}| \equiv Q^2$ and $x_1 x_2 > 2|\hat{t}| > 2Q_{\text{min}}^2$, where $Q_{\text{min}}^2$ is the minimal momentum transfer in the semihard scattering. In the above expression $x_1$ and $x_2$ are the fractions of the momenta of the parent hadrons $A$ and $B$ carried by the partons $i$ and $j$, with $i, j = g, q, \bar{g}, d, s, u, d, s, u$ and $|\Delta ij|/|t|$ is the differential cross section for $ij$ scattering, and $f_{ij/A}(x_1, |\hat{t}|) (f_{j/B}(x_2, |\hat{t}|))$ is the parton $i$ ($j$) distribution in the hadron $A$ ($B$).

Since the gluon distribution becomes very large as $x \to 0$, the parton-parton scattering processes used in the computation of $\chi_{\text{SH}}(s, b)$ must contain at least one gluon in the initial state. Thus, in the calculation of $\sigma_{\text{QCD}}(s)$, we select the processes $gg \to gg$, $qg \to qg$, $\bar{q}g \to \bar{q}g$, and $gg \to \bar{q}g$. In fact, at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV and $Q_{\text{min}} = 1.3$ GeV, their relative contribution to $\sigma_{\text{QCD}}(s)$ is around 98.8% for the post-LHC fine-tuned parton distribution functions (PDFs) such as CT14.

It is well known that these elementary processes are plagued by infrared divergences. Nevertheless, they can be regularized by considering an effective charge whose finite infrared behavior is constrained by a gluon dynamical-mass scale. The dynamical mass is intrinsically related to an infrared finite strong coupling $\alpha_s$, and its existence, based on the fact that the non-perturbative dynamics of QCD may generate an effective momentum-dependent mass $M_g(Q^2)$ for the gluons (while preserving the local $SU(3)$C invariance).
strongly supported by QCD lattice results \cite{23}. More specifically, lattice simulations reveal that the gluon propagator is finite in the infrared region \cite{24} and this behavior corresponds, from the Schwinger-Dyson formalism, to a massive gluon \cite{25}. In this Letter we adopt the functional forms of $\bar{g}$, and $\bar{M}_{g}(Q^{2})$ obtained through the use of the pinch technique in order to derive a gauge invariant Schwinger-Dyson equation for the gluon propagator and the triple gluon vertex \cite{21}:

$$\bar{\alpha}_{s}(Q^{2}) = \frac{4\pi}{\beta_{0} \ln \left[ (Q^{2} + 4M_{g}^{2}(Q^{2}))/\Lambda^{2} \right]},$$

$$M_{g}^{2}(Q^{2}) = m_{g}^{2} \left[ \ln \left[ \left( Q^{2} + 4m_{g}^{2} \right)/\Lambda^{2} \right] \right]^{3/2},$$

where \( \Lambda \) is the QCD scale parameter, \( \beta_{0} = 11 - 2n_{f}/3 \) \( (n_{f} \) is the number of flavors) and \( m_{g} \) is the gluon mass scale. From lattice simulations and phenomenological results its value is typically found to be of the order \( m_{g} = 500 \pm 200 \) MeV \cite{7,17,18,24,25}. Note that in the limit \( Q^{2} \rightarrow 0 \) the effective charge \( \bar{\alpha}_{s}(Q^{2}) \) has an infrared fixed point, i.e. the dynamical mass tames the Landau pole.

We consider a semihard overlap density \( W_{sH}(s, b) \) that takes into account a “broadening” of the spatial distribution \cite{17,26}:

$$W_{sH}(s, b; \nu_{sH}) = \frac{\nu_{sH}^{2}}{96\pi} (\nu_{sH} b)^{3} K_{3}(\nu_{sH} b),$$

where \( \nu_{sH} = \nu_{1} - \nu_{2} \ln(s/s_{0}) \), with \( \sqrt{s_{0}} \equiv 5 \) GeV, and \( K_{3}(x) \) is the modified Bessel function of the second kind. Here, \( \nu_{1} \) and \( \nu_{2} \) are constants to be fitted. The above expression is obtained assuming a dipole form factor \( G(s, k^{2}; \nu_{y}) = \left( \nu_{y}^{2}/(k_{1}^{2} + \nu_{y}^{2}) \right)^{2} \) in equation (9). The energy dependence of \( W_{sH}(s, b) \) is suggestive of a parton picture where the semihard interactions are dominated by gluons whereas soft interactions are mainly related to interactions among valence quarks \cite{17}. Hence even and odd soft contributions based on Regge-Gribov formalism \cite{8,10,27,28} are very well suited for our purposes:

$$\chi_{sH}^{+}(s, b) = \frac{1}{2} W_{sH}(s, b; \mu_{sH}^{+}) \left[ A + \frac{B}{\sqrt{s}} + C s^{3/2} \right],$$

$$\chi_{sH}^{-}(s, b) = \frac{1}{2} W_{sH}(s, b; \mu_{sH}^{-}) \frac{D}{\sqrt{s}} e^{-i\pi/4},$$

where \( s \equiv s/s_{0} \), \( \mu_{sH}^{+}, \mu_{sH}^{-} \) \( \equiv 0.5 \) GeV, \( \lambda = 0.12 \), and \( A, B, C, D \) and \( \mu_{sH}^{+}, \mu_{sH}^{-} \) are fitting parameters. The term \( C s^{3/2} \) in \cite{14} represents the contribution of a soft Pomeron, whose behavior is well described by a power close to \( s^{0.12} \) \cite{28}. In order to study the soft contribution to the total cross sections at high energies we have also attempted a Froissar-son \cite{14} term \( C \ln^{2}(s) \) in the place of the power, but we have not observed any significant difference between the two cases. The soft form factors are simply “static” versions of \cite{13}:

$$W_{sH}(s, b; \mu_{sH}^{+}) = \frac{(\mu_{sH}^{+})^{2}}{96\pi} (\mu_{sH}^{+} b)^{3} K_{3}(\mu_{sH}^{+} b).$$

In this scenario the soft odd eikonal \( \chi_{sH}^{-}(s, b) \) is significant only in the low-energy regime. We ensure the correct analyticity properties of the amplitudes from the substitution \( s \rightarrow se^{-i\pi/2} \) throughout Eqs. \cite{14,13} and \cite{14,15,19}, valid for an even amplitude and equivalent to the use of a dispersion relation. The Eq. \cite{13} already have the correct analyticity structure. The prescription \( s \rightarrow se^{-i\pi/2} \) is applied on Eq. \cite{13} taking into account the fact that \( \sigma_{QCD}(s) \) corresponds to the real part of a more general complex analytic function \( F(-is) \). Thus \( \sigma_{QCD}(s) \) can be correctly reproduced by means of a novel flexible function \( F \), and if \( F \) is a holomorphic function in a region \( \Omega \), the analytical continuation ensures the uniqueness of the real part of \( F(-is) \). Specifically, we consider a complex analytic parametrization given by

$$F(-is) = b_{1} + b_{2} e^{b_{3}[X(s)]^{1.01b_{4}}} + b_{5} e^{b_{6}[X(s)]^{1.05b_{7}}} + b_{8} e^{b_{9}[X(s)]^{1.09b_{10}}},$$

where \( b_{1}, ..., b_{10} \) are free fit parameters and \( X(s) = \ln(-is) \). In this procedure the \( b_{1}, ..., b_{10} \) parameters are then adjusted to optimally satisfy the relation \( \text{Re}F(-is) = \sigma_{QCD}(s) \) with less than 1% error. It follows that \( \text{Im}F(-is) = \text{Im}\sigma_{QCD}(s) \). In the case of CT14, the data reduction yields: \( b_{1} = 100.220 \) GeV$^{-2}$, \( b_{2} = 0.434 \times 10^{-1} \) GeV$^{-2}$, \( b_{3} = 1.274 \), \( b_{4} = 1.919 \), \( b_{5} = 0.122 \times 10^{-7} \) GeV$^{-2}$, \( b_{6} = 14.050 \), \( b_{7} = 0.504 \), \( b_{8} = 3.699 \times 10^{3} \) GeV$^{-2}$, \( b_{9} = -80.280 \), \( b_{10} = -2.632 \).

### RESULTS AND CONCLUSIONS

In our analyses we carry out a global fit to forward \( (t = 0) \) scattering data from \( \sqrt{s_{\min}} = 10 \) GeV to LHC energies. We use data sets compiled and analyzed by the Particle Data Group \cite{20} as well as the recent data at LHC from the ATLAS \cite{30} and the TOTEM \cite{11,12} Collaborations, with the statistic and systematic errors added in quadrature. Specifically, we fit to the total cross sections, \( \sigma_{\text{tot}}^{pp} \) and \( \sigma_{\text{tot}}^{pp} \), and the ratios of the real to imaginary part of the forward scattering amplitude, \( \rho^{pp} \) and \( \rho^{pp} \). In doing this we utilize a \( \chi^{2} \) fitting procedure, adopting an interval \( \chi^{2} - \chi^{2}_{\min} \) corresponding to the projection of the \( \chi^{2} \) hypersurface containing 68.3% of probability, namely 1σ. The value of \( \chi^{2}_{\min} \) is distributed as a \( \chi^{2} \) distribution with \( \zeta \) degrees of freedom. As a convergence criteria we consider only data reductions which imply positive-definite covariance matrices, since theoretically the covariance matrix for a physically motivated function must be, at the minimum, positive-definite. As tests of
goodness-of-fit we adopt the chi-square per degree of freedom $\chi^2/\zeta$ and the integrated probability $P(\chi^2; \zeta)$.

In performing calculations using the QCD-based formalism it is necessary to make some choice out of the many PDFs available. We have chosen the CT14 PDFs from a global analysis by the CTEQ-TEA group [20]. The CT14 is a next generation of PDFs that include data from the LHC for the first time. The CT14 set, that also includes updated data from the HERA and Tevatron experiments, is available from LHAPDF [31]. The CTEQ-TEA team have incorporated important enhancements that made CT14 instrumental to our analysis. More specifically, CT14 employs, at initial scale $Q_0$, a flexible parametrization based on the use of Bernstein polynomials, which permit a better capture of the PDFs variations in the DGLAP evolution; CT14 also includes for the first time measurements of inclusive production of vector bosons [32], as well as of jets [33], at 7 and 8 TeV as input for the global fits. The inclusion of single-inclusive jet production measurements at 7 TeV is sufficient to constrain the gluon distribution function and leads to a clear improvement in the gluon PDF uncertainty.

The values of the fitted parameters are given in Table 1. The $\chi^2/\zeta$ for the fit was obtained for 166 degrees of freedom. The results of the fits to $\sigma_{\text{tot}}$ and $\rho$ for both $pp$ and $\bar{p}p$ channels are displayed, together with the experimental data, in Figs. 1 and 2. In Fig. 1 we include, only as illustration, two estimates of $\sigma_{\text{tot}}$ from cosmic-ray experiments: the Auger result at 57 TeV [34] and the Telescope Array result at 95 TeV [35].

Notice that our QCD-based model allows us to describe in a satisfactory way the forward scattering observables $\sigma_{\text{tot}}^{pp}$ and $\rho^{pp}$ from $\sqrt{s} = 10$ GeV to 13 TeV. Our predictions for the total cross section and $\rho$ parameter at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV are $\sigma_{\text{tot}}^{pp} = 105.6^{+8.3}_{-6.3}$ mb and $\rho^{pp} = 0.1182^{+0.0074}_{-0.0057}$, respectively. The uncertainties in our theoretical predictions have been estimated taking into account the typical uncertainty of the gluon mass scale and the PDFs uncertainties on the production cross sections at the LHC, as discussed in Ref. [7]. These results show that our model, whose formulation is compatible with analyticity and unitarity constraints, is well suited for predictions of forward observables to be measured at high-energy scales. We argue that the distinction in the model between semihard gluons, which participate in hard parton-parton scattering, and soft gluons, emitted in any given parton-parton QCD radiation process, is highly relevant to understanding our simultaneous description of $\sigma_{\text{tot}}$ and $\rho$ data over a wide range of energies. The introduction of infrared properties of QCD, by considering that the nonperturbative dynamics of Quantum Chromodynamics generate an effective gluon mass, is of central importance since at high energies the soft and the semihard components of the scattering amplitude are closely related [2]. Most importantly, from a rigorous statistical point of view, our analysis shows that the

### Table 1. Best fit parameters of the QCD-based model with CT14 PDFs.

| Parameter | Value      |
|-----------|------------|
| $\nu_1$ (GeV) | 1.70±0.21 |
| $\nu_2$ (GeV) | 0.015±0.014 |
| $A$ (GeV$^{-2}$) | 88.2±9.6 |
| $B$ (GeV$^{-2}$) | 51.7±9.7 |
| $C$ (GeV$^{-2}$) | 27.2±5.7 |
| $D$ (GeV$^{-2}$) | 24.2±1.4 |
| $\mu_{\text{soft}}^2$ (GeV) | 0.90±0.16 |
| $\zeta$ | 166 |
| $\chi^2/\zeta$ | 1.30 |
| $P(\chi^2; \zeta)$ | $5.4 \times 10^{-3}$ |

$\nu_1$ and $\nu_2$ are the free parameters of the model. $A$, $B$, and $C$ are the parameters of the Bernstein polynomials that describe the forward scattering observable. $D$ is the parameter of the density function. $\mu_{\text{soft}}^2$ is the parameter of the soft gluon mass. $\zeta$ is the number of degrees of freedom. $\chi^2/\zeta$ is the goodness-of-fit. $P(\chi^2; \zeta)$ is the integrated probability.
TOTEM measurements can be simultaneously described by a QCD scattering amplitude dominated by only single crossing-even elastic terms. Crossing-even dominance entails that $\sigma_{pp}^{tot} - \sigma_{\overline{p}p}^{tot} \rightarrow 0$ and $\rho_{pp}^{tot} - \rho_{\overline{p}p}^{tot} \rightarrow 0$ as $s \rightarrow \infty$.

However, it is worth noting that the values of $\rho$ measured by TOTEM at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV are lower than our prediction: $\rho = 0.09 \pm 0.01$ and $\rho = 0.10 \pm 0.01$ \cite{15}. Note that these experimental values are measured with quite extraordinary precision, and even taking into account our theoretical uncertainties the model prediction is not compatible with the $\rho$ values measured by TOTEM at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV. These measurements, if eventually confirmed by other experimental groups, suggest that a crossing-odd elastic term may play a central role in the soft and semihard interactions at high energies. In other words, the TOTEM $\rho$ data suggest the existence of an Odderon.
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