Concurrent proton-pump inhibitors increase risk of death for lung cancer patients receiving 1st-line gefitinib treatment - a nationwide population-based study
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Purpose: Concurrent proton pump inhibitor (PPI) use might reduce the plasma concentration of epidermal growth factor receptor-tyrosine kinase inhibitors (EGFR-TKIs). Clinically, the adverse effect of PPIs on patients with non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) treated with first-line EGFR TKIs remains controversial. This study was conducted to evaluate whether the combined use of gefitinib with PPIs affected NSCLC outcomes.

Patients and methods: We performed a nationwide cohort study of patients newly diagnosed with NSCLC between 1997 and 2013 using the Taiwan Cancer Registry and Taiwan National Health Insurance databases. We identified patients who were treated with first-line EGFR TKIs and analyzed the association between use of PPIs and TKI treatment outcome. We defined the coverage ratio of PPIs as duration of PPI treatment in days divided by duration of TKIs in days. Patients who exhibited an overlap of >20% between PPI and TKI usage days were defined as having a high coverage ratio.

Results: A total of 1278 patients were treated with first-line gefitinib, 309 of which took PPIs at the same time and 145 had a high PPI coverage ratio. Patients had similar time to failure regardless of their PPI coverage ratio during gefitinib treatment. However, higher PPI coverage ratio significantly decreased overall survival (OS) compared with that of patients with a lower PPI coverage ratio or no PPI treatment in univariate analysis (median OS, 13.5, 16.7, and 21.8 months, respectively, p<0.01) and multivariate analyses (high coverage ratio HR: 1.67; low coverage ratio HR: 1.29). Exposure to PPIs during first line gefitinib treatment significantly decreased overall survival of patients with NSCLC.

Conclusion: Concurrent use of PPIs was associated with lower overall survival in patients with EGFR-mutant NSCLC under first-line gefitinib treatment.
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Introduction

Lung cancer is the leading cause of cancer-related mortality worldwide.1 Over the last decade, one of the most important advances for the therapy of non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) was the development of epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) tyrosine kinase inhibitors (TKIs).2,3 EGFR mutations are detected in more than 50% of patients with the histological subtype of adenocarcinoma in East Asia.4,5 Among patients harboring an activating EGFR mutation, EGFR-TKIs significantly improve not only the patient’s quality of life,6 but also progression-free survival (PFS)7,9 and
overall survival (OS) compared with platinum-based doublet chemotherapy. Nevertheless, almost all patients who initially respond to EGFR-TKIs eventually show disease progression. Many studies have aimed to extend the effective period of EGFR-TKIs. However, identifying potential drug-drug interactions that may reduce the efficacy of EGFR-TKIs is equally important.

There are two widely available first-generation EGFR-TKIs, gefitinib and erlotinib, and most studies have focused on erlotinib. The recommended dose of gefitinib (250 mg/day) for NSCLC is around only one-third of its maximum tolerated dose, but the recommended dose used for erlotinib (150 mg/day) is its maximum tolerated dose. Besides, compared with gefitinib, exposure to erlotinib results in both higher maximum plasma concentration (Cmax) and the area under the serum concentration versus time curve (AUC) at standard dosing. Therefore, we assume that the effects of gefitinib are more likely to be affected by concurrent drug treatments than the effects of erlotinib would.

Proton pump inhibitors (PPIs), the major treatment for reflux esophagitis or peptic ulcers, are one of the most widely used classes of drugs in the world. In healthy volunteers, PPI use may decrease the AUC and peak plasma concentration of first-generation EGFR-TKIs. However, the clinical impact of PPIs on the treatment outcome of EGFR-TKIs remains controversial. There have been few large, multi-center studies focused on patients receiving first-line EGFR-TKIs, and all the studies enrolled patients being treated with other antacid agents, not PPIs only. Therefore, we used nationwide data from the Taiwan National Health Insurance Research Database to evaluate the impact of PPIs on first-line EGFR-TKIs treatment outcomes.

Materials and methods
Data source
In Taiwan, the National Health Insurance (NHI) is a single-payer mandatory national health insurance system that covers more than 99% of citizens and provides comprehensive medical care. The National Health Insurance Research Database (NHIRD), established by the National Health Research Institutes (NHRI) of the Taiwanese government, is one of the largest and most complete healthcare databases in the world, and has been used to thoroughly study lung cancer. In the database, all information is encrypted to protect the anonymity of the patients. This study met the confidentiality regulations of the Bureau of NHI. The institutional review board of Chang Gung Memorial Hospital proved that patient consent was not required to review their medical records in this retrospective database study (201700257B1). Patients’ anonymity and confidentiality were ensured throughout the study.

Definition of lung cancer
Using the NHIRD, we identified patients older than 18 years of age with lung cancer according to the 9th Revision International Classification of Disease, Clinical Modification [ICD-9-CM] code 162 from the Registry of Catastrophic Illness Patients Database. The Registry of Catastrophic Illness Patients Database is a subset of NHIRD, and pathological confirmation of lung cancer is required to apply this registry.

Definition of first-line EGFR-TKI
Among patients with ICD-9-CM code 162 between 1997 and 2013, we used Anatomical Therapeutic Chemical (ATC) code to identify patients with NSCLC who were treated with gefitinib between Jun 2011 and Jun 2013. This timeline was chosen because NHI has reimbursed EGFR-TKIs as a first-line therapy for late-stage EGFR-mutant primary lung cancer since Jun 2011.

According to the NHI policy, physicians must seek approval every 3 months when prescribing first-line EGFR-TKIs with initial pathological diagnosis, EGFR mutation type analysis, and image evidence confirming advanced lung cancer in patients, and re-apply every 3 months according to the tumor response as evaluated by image studies with chest computer tomography, bone scans, and brain magnetic resonance imaging, which must be peer reviewed. NHI policy states that EGFR-TKI use is not allowed beyond radiological progression.

To exclude patients who were not taking first-line EGFR-TKIs, we identified patients who had received prior chemotherapy using ATC codes including: L01XA01 (Cisplatin); L01XA02 (Carboplatin); L01BA04 (Pemetrexed); L01CA04 (Vinorelbine); L01CD01 (Paclitaxel); L01CD02 (Docetaxel); and L01BC05 (Gemcitabine).

Thus, according to the NHI policy, patients taking first-line EGFR-TKIs without previous chemotherapy must have late-stage EGFR-mutant primary lung cancer. For those treated with first-line EGFR-TKIs, we followed from the index date of gefitinib use until treatment failure, death, or the end of 2013. Time to treatment failure (TTF)
was defined as the time from the start of the first-line treatment to the last day of receiving geftinib. The last prescription date was further confirmed by observing no additional prescription of geftinib within the subsequent 28 days.

**Definition of PPIs**

Using ATC codes including A02BC01 (Omeprazole); A02BC03 (Lansoprazole); A02BC05 (Esomeprazole); A02BC02 (Pantoprazole); A02BC04 (Rabeprazole); A02BC06 (Dexlansoprazole), we identified patients who were prescribed PPIs after starting EGFR-TKI therapy. We defined the PPI coverage ratio as the duration of PPI treatment in days divided by the duration of TKI treatment in days. Patients who exhibited an overlap of >20% between PPI and TKI usage days were defined as having a high coverage ratio.

**Statistical data analysis**

Differences between high and low PPI coverage ratios were evaluated using Student’s t-test. TTF, OS, and 95% confidence intervals (CIs) were compared using the Kaplan-Meier method. Comparisons between groups were performed by the log-rank test. Association of risk factors was evaluated with Cox proportional hazards regression modeling. Variables including age, gender, urbanization level, income, and comorbidities were included in the multivariable analysis. Statistical significance was defined as p<0.05. All analyses were performed using the statistical package SPSS version 20 (IBM SPSS Inc, Chicago, IL, USA).

**Results**

A total of 2021 patients with lung cancer that were diagnosed between Jun 2011 and Jun 2013 (Figure 1), older than 18 years old, and received first-line geftinib treatment were included. We excluded 743 patients. Among them, 252 expired within 3 months after initiating geftinib treatment (and took geftinib for less than 3 months) (n=252); 567 had previous frontline chemotherapy; 93 took geftinib for less than 3 months and had previous frontline chemotherapy; and 17 patients had missing data (urban: n=17).

There were 969 patients who took geftinib only, and they used geftinib for 331.9±213.5 days on average. Among 309 patients who took PPIs during their geftinib treatment period, PPIs were used for 78.4±104.1 days while using geftinib for 347.1±211.8 days on average. Among patients with combination therapy, 164 who had a PPI coverage ratio less than 20% were defined as the low coverage ratio group, and 145 patients who had a PPI coverage ratio more than 20% were defined as the high coverage ratio group (Figure 1). In patients with high and low PPI coverage ratios, PPIs were used for 142.0±120.9 days and 22.2±28.1 days on average, respectively. There was no statistically significant difference between patients who had combination therapy or not regarding gender, age, urbanization level, and income. Patients receiving PPI and TKI combination therapy had a higher percentage of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease than those receiving geftinib treatment alone (62.14% versus 31.58%, p=0.0409) (Table 1).

As of the last follow-up date, 985 (77.07%) patients exhibited geftinib treatment failure and 632 (49.45%) had died. The patients with single geftinib treatment, low PPI coverage ratio, and high PPI coverage ratio had similar median TTF values (median: 0.83, 0.97, and 0.74 years, respectively, p=0.32, Figure 2). However, patients with high PPI coverage ratio had a significantly shorter OS compared to that of patients with a low PPI coverage ratio or geftinib treatment only (median, 1.82, 1.39 and 1.12 years, respectively, p<0.01, Figure 3).

Multivariate analyses using Cox’s proportional hazard model revealed no significant difference in TTF among patients with single geftinib or combination therapy of PPIs with geftinib, and no association between TTF and age, urbanization level, or comorbidities including diabetes, hypertension, and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. The adjusted hazard ratios (HR) of TTF in groups with lower and higher coverage ratios compared with
single gefitinib group were 0.89 (95% CI: 0.74–1.08, p=0.239) and 1.11 (95% CI: 0.91–1.36, p=0.306). Significant associations were found between shorter TTF and male sex (adjusted HR =1.15, 95% CI: 1.00–1.32, p=0.047) and lower monthly income (p=0.0015). Additionally, patients who received single gefitinib treatment had a better OS compared to that of patients with low or high PPI coverage ratios (lower coverage ratio HR: 1.29, 95% CI: 1.03–1.62, p=0.027; higher coverage ratio HR: 1.67, 95% CI: 1.33–2.09, p<0.001) (Figure 3). Other significant associations were found with male sex (HR: 1.43, 95% CI: 1.21–1.69, p<0.001), age older than 65 years (HR: 1.66, 95% CI: 1.30–2.12, p<0.001) and lower income (p=0.0015).

### Table 1 Baseline patient characteristics

| Variable          | High coverage ratio, N=145 | Low coverage ratio, N=164 | p-value | Gefitinib with PPIs Total N=309 | Gefitinib only N=969 | p-value |
|-------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------|---------|--------------------------------|----------------------|---------|
|                   | N (%)                       | N (%)                     |         | N (%)                          | N (%)                |         |
| Gender            |                             |                           |         |                               |                      |         |
| Male              | 42 (28.97)                  | 63 (38.41)                | 0.080   | 105 (33.98)                    | 346 (35.71)          | 0.580   |
| Female            | 103 (71.03)                 | 101 (61.59)               |         | 204 (66.02)                    | 623 (64.29)          |         |
| Age (years)       |                             |                           |         |                               |                      |         |
| Young (<65)       | 62 (42.76)                  | 65 (39.63)                | 0.578   | 182 (58.90)                    | 558 (57.59)          | 0.684   |
| Old (>65)         | 83 (57.24)                  | 99 (60.37)                |         | 127 (41.10)                    | 411 (42.41)          |         |
| Income (TWD)      |                             |                           |         |                               |                      |         |
| 0                 | 23 (15.86)                  | 33 (20.12)                | 0.096   | 56 (18.12)                     | 175 (18.06)          | 0.090   |
| 1–15,840          | 19 (13.10)                  | 14 (8.54)                 |         | 33 (10.68)                     | 137 (14.14)          |         |
| 15,841–25,000     | 85 (58.62)                  | 83 (50.61)                |         | 168 (54.37)                    | 456 (47.06)          |         |
| >25,000           | 18 (12.41)                  | 34 (20.73)                |         | 52 (16.83)                     | 201 (20.74)          |         |
| Comorbidities     |                             |                           |         |                               |                      |         |
| DM                | 47 (32.41)                  | 53 (32.32)                | 0.986   | 100 (32.36)                    | 259 (26.73)          | 0.055   |
| Hypertension      | 96 (66.21)                  | 105 (64.02)               |         | 201 (65.05)                    | 605 (62.44)          | 0.407   |
| COPD              | 54 (37.24)                  | 63 (38.41)                |         | 117 (62.14)                    | 306 (31.58)          | 0.041*  |

Note: *p-value <0.05.

**Abbreviations:** PPI, proton-pump inhibitor; TWD, new Taiwan dollar; DM, diabetes mellitus; COPD, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease.

---

**Figure 2** Time to treatment failure.
65 (HR: 1.45, 95% CI: 1.21–1.75, p<0.001), and lower monthly income (p<0.001) (Table 2).

**Discussion**

This nationwide population-based cohort study evaluated the impact of PPIs on first-line EGFR-TKIs among patients with NSCLC. In the present study, combined use of PPIs with EGFR-TKIs was dose-dependently associated with a significantly reduced OS. Risk of death among patients with low and high PPI coverage ratios was increased 29% and 67%, respectively. This result was essentially compatible with results of previous study by Chen et al. Similarly, Sharma et. al recently reported that concomitant TKI-PPI treatment adversely affected OS using the SEER-Medicare database. Risk of mortality increased 21% within 90 days among patients with lung cancer who were receiving erlotinib with a concomitant PPI. Treatment with other TKIs like sunitinib and imatinib had no significant association between the risk of death and concomitant TKI-PPI treatment.

Despite the recommendation of the US Food and Drug Administration (FDA) to avoid concomitant use of gefitinib with PPIs, we found that 24.17% patients with lung cancer taking first-line gefitinib received PPIs at the same time. In practice, a higher percentage of patients with concomitant use of gefitinib and PPIs could be expected since the NHI only reimburses PPIs for patients with reflux esophagitis or peptic ulcers confirmed by panendoscopy or 24 hr pH-meter monitoring within 4 months. Data for patients who may have paid for PPIs out of pocket, such as those who were unable or who declined panendoscopy, is not available in this database.

The clinical impact of combining gastric acid suppressive agents with first line TKIs among patients with EGFR-mutant NSCLC remains controversial. Hilton et al retrospectively analyzed the BR.21 trial database to evaluate the clinical outcome of gastric acid suppressive agents and erlotinib. There were no differences in plasma drug levels between the two groups. Gastric acid suppressive agents did not appear to negatively impact PFS or OS. On the other hand, Chu et al reported shorter PFS and OS in patients taking gastric acid suppressive agents in 507 patients with non-small cell lung cancer unselected for EGFR status. Multivariate Cox proportional hazards ratios for PFS and OS were 1.83 (95% CI, 1.48–2.25) and 1.37 (95% CI, 1.11–1.69), respectively. However, neither study selected EGFR status, and only evaluated patients receiving erlotinib. Chen et al retrospectively analyzed 269 patients receiving first-line EGFR TKIs who took antacid agents or not. The results showed that antacid agent use did not significantly affect PFS, but significantly reduced OS. The multivariable analysis demonstrated that the hazard ratio of OS among PPI users compared with the non-antacid agent group was 2.27 (95% CI, 1.26–4.11). However, two other studies for first-line EGFR-TKIs both reported no significant

| PPIs coverage ratio | N  | Median OS (Year) | 95% CI       |
|---------------------|----|-----------------|--------------|
| 0                   | 969| 1.82            | 1.69 – 2.10  |
| ≤20%                | 164| 1.39            | 1.21 – 1.92  |
| >20%                | 145| 1.12            | 0.96 – 1.42  |

Figure 3 Overall survival.
influence on PFS or OS despite combination use of PPIs, but both studies included small sample sizes.\cite{16,17}

One of the reasons PPIs may be related with changes in OS or PFS is because PPI use reflects comorbidities such as cardiovascular diseases treated with aspirin, gastric ulcers with active bleeding, or smokers with reflux esophagitis or chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. However, in the present study, there was no statistically significant difference in multivariable analysis for comorbidities including diabetes, hypertension, and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. Combination use of PPIs and EGFR-TKIs may also increase the side effects of both drugs. In a recent study, Cho et al showed that anti-acid-secreting agents increased gefitinib-induced hepatotoxicity about 1.5- to 1.7-fold.\cite{23} Furthermore, PPIs inhibit gastric acid secretion and increase the intra-gastric pH, leading to gut dysbiosis and an increased risk of enteric infection and diarrhea.\cite{24} This can partially explain the increased incidence of diarrhea and infections in patients enrolled in the BR21 study receiving gastric acid suppression medications during treatment with erlotinib.\cite{12}

The other theory to explain the shorter OS, but not TTF, in the PPI combination group is that more patients may have distant metastasis and/or uncontrolled metastases because of insufficient serum levels of TKIs. Previous studies show that low TKI serum levels are still sufficient for treating EGFR mutant lung cancer. However, the cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) penetration rate of first-generation TKIs is only around 2%.\cite{25,26} Since the co-administration of PPIs decreases the absorption of EGFR-TKIs, CSF concentration of EGFR-TKIs would likely further reduce to an insufficient level. The NHI database did not record the metastatic site of lung cancer, so further studies may be needed to identify the effect of PPIs on distant metastasis of lung cancer after TKI treatment.

There were some limitations in this study. First, we could not determine which drugs were prescribed without NHI payment. In Taiwan, PPIs are only paid for by the NHI for patients with a positive result of reflux esophagitis or peptic ulcer according to endoscopic or 24 hr pH meter results within 4 months. Patients who refused such invasive studies and bought PPIs by themselves from the local

Table 2 Multivariate analyses of time to treatment failure and overall survival

| Variable | Time to treatment failure | Overall survival |
|----------|---------------------------|-----------------|
|          | Adjusted HR | 95% CI | P-value | Adjusted HR | 95% CI | P-value |
|          | Adjusted HR | 95% CI | P-value | Adjusted HR | 95% CI | P-value |
| Drugs    |             |        |         |             |        |         |
| No PPIs  | 1.00        |        | 0.239   | 1.00        |        | 0.027   |
| Low coverage ratio | 0.89 | 0.74 | 1.08 | 1.29 | 1.03 | 1.62 | *<0.001 |
| High coverage ratio | 1.11 | 0.91 | 1.36 | 1.67 | 1.33 | 2.09 |
| Gender   |             |        |         |             |        |         |
| Female   | 1.00        |        | 0.047   | 1.00        |        | *<0.001 |
| Male     | 1.15        | 1.00   | 1.32 | 1.43 | 1.21 | 1.69 |
| Age (years) |         |        |         |             |        |         |
| 19–65    | 1.00        |        | 0.782   | 1.00        |        | *<0.001 |
| >65      | 1.02        | 0.88   | 1.18 | 1.45 | 1.21 | 1.75 |
| Income (TWD) |             |        |         |             |        |         |
| 0        | 1.00        |        | 0.238   | 1.00        |        | 0.307   |
| 1–15,840 | 0.87        | 0.70   | 1.09 | 0.87 | 0.66 | 1.14 | *<0.001 |
| 15,841–25,000 | 0.83 | 0.70 | 0.98 | 0.78 | 0.63 | 0.96 | *<0.001 |
| >25,000  | 0.78        | 0.63   | 0.95 | 0.55 | 0.42 | 0.73 | *<0.001 |
| Comorbidities |             |        |         |             |        |         |
| Diabetes mellitus | 1.09 | 0.94 | 1.26 | 1.09 | 0.91 | 1.30 | 0.3478 |
| Hypertension  | 1.00        |        | 0.9613 | 1.00 | 0.83 | 1.20 | 0.9681 |
| COPD     | 0.94        | 0.82   | 1.07 | 0.3487 | 0.96 | 0.81 | 1.14 | 0.6248 |

Note: *P-value <0.05.

Abbreviations: HR, hazard ratio; CI, confidence interval; PPI, proton-pump inhibitor; TWD, new Taiwan dollar; COPD, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease.
pharmacy could not be determined. Second, there were no available laboratory results or reports of radiographic disease during progression from the NHIRD. Thus, we did not definitely know the EGFR status or disease status, but the data was reliable because of patients who taking first-line EGFR-TKIs without previous chemotherapy must have late-stage EGFR mutant lung primary lung cancer, and physicians have to apply EGFR-TKIs with initial pathological diagnosis, EGFR mutation type, and image evidence confirming advanced stage of lung cancer. To select patients with sensitive EGFR mutations more precisely, we also excluded all patients who had expired within the first 3 months of taking EGFR-TKIs. Third, we analyzed the effect of PPIs on gefitinib treatment, but there was no available data for erlotinib or afatinib from the NHIRD. The NHI has reimbursed erlotinib treatment since April 01, 2013 and afatinib since May 01, 2014, and we did not have the data released from NHIRD after Dec 31, 2013. Finally, in the present study, we could not conclude that taking PPIs directly increases the mortality of patients receiving gefitinib. However, we showed that the high coverage ratio of combined PPI and gefitinib use was associated with reduced OS through an unknown mechanism. Nevertheless, to our knowledge, this is the first and the largest nationwide cohort study to associate PPI use with a negative effect on OS among patients with EGFR-mutant NSCLC treated with first-line gefitinib.

Compared with gefitinib or erlotinib, afatinib is highly soluble throughout the physiologic pH range (1–7.5) and may therefore have fewer interactions with acid-reducing drugs. Vishwanathan et al reported two phase I, open-label studies to assess the impact of food or omeprazole on the exposure of osimertinib. Co-administration with omeprazole did not affect osimertinib exposure. For patients with advanced-stage EGFR mutant lung cancer who need to use PPIs, atinib or osimertinib may be a better choice than gefitinib. Soda intake increases the bioavailability of erlotinib during esomeprazole treatment. For patients receiving first-line EGFR-TKIs and PPIs, taking gefitinib or erlotinib with an acidic beverage like soda could be an alternative choice.

Conclusion
Patients with EGFR-mutant NSCLC taking gefitinib with PPIs exhibited an increased risk of death by 29% and 67% in groups with low or high coverage ratios, respectively. For patients with NSCLS receiving first-line treatment with gefitinib, concurrent use of PPIs should be avoided.

Abbreviations
EGFR, epidermal growth factor receptor; TKIs, tyrosine kinase inhibitors; PFS, progression-free survival; OS, overall survival; Cmax, maximum plasma concentration; AUC, area under the serum concentration curve versus time; PPIs, Proton Pump Inhibitors; NHI, National Health Insurance; NHIRD, National Health Insurance Research Database; NHRI, National Health Research Institutes; ICD-9-CM, International Classification of Diseases, Ninth Revision, Clinical Modification; ATC, Anatomical Therapeutic Chemical; TTF, Time to treatment failure; CI, confidence interval; HR, hazard ratio; FDA, US Food and Drug Administration.
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