Abstract
While many accept climate change and its growing impacts, few converse about it well, limiting the adoption speed of societal changes necessary to address it. In order to make effective climate communication easier, we aim to build a system that presents to any individual the climate information predicted to best motivate and inspire them to take action given their unique set of personal values. To alleviate the cold-start problem, the system relies on a knowledge base (ClimateKB) of causes and effects of climate change, and their associations to personal values. Since no such comprehensive ClimateKB exists, we revisit knowledge base construction techniques and build a ClimateKB from free text. We plan to open source the ClimateKB and associated code to encourage future research and applications.

1. Introduction
Today, climate change is widely recognized as one of the biggest and most threatening global challenges currently facing humanity (Masson-Delmotte et al., 2018).

While 72% of adult Americans think global warming is happening, there is a lack of acceptance that it personally affects us and a lack of motivation to address it, according to 2020 national public opinion polling (Howe et al., 2015; Leiserowitz A. & E., 2021).

This is demonstrated by how 47% think global warming will harm them little or not at all, and that 2 out of every 3 Americans rarely or never discuss climate change. Lack of public engagement on climate change for any country can threaten its ability to reach its Nationally Determined Contribution to reduce emissions for the Paris agreement.

Another critical reason for the lack of motivation for action and disconnect with personal risk acceptance are cognitive biases, such as confirmation bias, motivated reasoning, and cultural cognition. Standard communication about climate change must not ignore these biases, and will likely be ineffective if simply assuming a passive, blank-slate audience (Scheufele, 2014; Druckman & McGrath, 2019; Akin & Landrum, 2017). Several studies suggest that effective and motivating climate communication requires transmission to be shaped based on underlying values, mental and cultural models of the audience and include practical, viable, accessible, and attractive solutions to address it (Carpenter, 2019; Iyengar & Massey, 2019).

Our goal is to make climate communication more effective with a recommendation system informed by personal values and powered by climate change concepts mined from current, reputable, and truthful climate news articles. Inspired by framing theory (Chong & Druckman, 2007), the system aims to present each user with climate change impacts and solutions that are most relevant and motivational based on that user’s personal values. To achieve this, the system must a) access a knowledge base of climate change impacts and solutions b) be provided a user’s motivational profile, and c) rank the climate concepts based on the user’s profile. Figure 1 shows the outline of the proposed recommendation system.
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techniques fail to generalize well to new domains (Auer et al., 2007; Etzioni et al., 2008; Mintz et al., 2009). In this work, we build a novel knowledge discovery system to build a climate knowledge base (ClimateKB) semi-automatically from the articles. Additionally, the climate concepts in ClimateKB are linked to motivational reference characteristics by domain experts since it is hard to mine these correlations in the absence of user interaction information. Lastly, we obtain a user motivational profile using a questionnaire and rank the concepts in ClimateKB based on the user’s profile. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first initiative to build a ClimateKB and a climate recommendation system and revisits open research challenges in these problems.

To summarize, our main contributions are: (1) a knowledge base, ClimateKB, that contains causes and effects of climate change, (2) a knowledge discovery system for populating ClimateKB semi-automatically from text, and (3) a recommendation system powered by ClimateKB. Since ClimateKB has many more potential downstream applications such as fact-checking and retrieval, we will freely release it in the easily accessible Web Ontology Language (OWL) format.

2. Climate Knowledge Discovery System

Figure 2 shows the overview of our knowledge discovery system. Given a corpus of trusted climate articles, the system first identifies sentences describing cause-effect relationships about climate change. It then finds entity mentions, the cause and the effect, from each causal sentence. It then canonicalizes the entity mentions and verifies the climate facts before populating the ClimateKB.

Figure 2. Knowledge discovery pipeline with 3 key components: causal sentence detection, entity extraction, and canonicalization.

2.1. ClimateKB

We first describe the data model (Figure 3) of ClimateKB. We focus on sentences in climate articles to find cause-effect relationships. An entity mention is a reference to a climate entity, such as the phrase "warming ocean" in the example sentence. A climate entity is a real-world concept relating to climate science (e.g., "sea level rise"), social science (e.g., "increased conflict events"), etc.

In order to accurately represent information about climate change, we model each mention as a tuple of the form (state, base, unit). For instance, simply extracting "ocean" or "sea" as the entity will lead to erroneous facts in the KB. We, therefore, additionally extract the associated state of change and the unit of measurement. Note that the vastness of entities in the domain makes ClimateKB unique from other general-purpose KBs about real-world entities (e.g., person, location) or concepts (e.g., drug names).

Figure 3. Data Model of ClimateKB

2.2. Data Collection

We focus on news articles to build the ClimateKB and power the recommendation system. Unlike scientific articles that tend to contain complicated jargon, news articles are easy for users and domain experts to understand. Additionally, well-reputed news sources often cite scientific articles and summarize their key information more coherently. To build a corpus of reliable climate articles, we manually aggregated popular, reputed, and relevant news articles over a period of one year. Our final corpus has about 800 articles on a broad diversity of climate change issues including wildfires, coral bleaching, and extreme weather.

2.3. Causality Detection

The system next has to find causal sentences from the climate articles. While transformer-based models (Devlin et al., 2019) have shown state-of-the-art performance on the task (Khetan et al., 2021), their performance is known to deteriorate substantially on out-of-domain datasets. To boost the performance, we first adapt the model to our domain by further pre-training BERT on climate news articles, scientific papers, IPCC reports, and books written for the public by climatologists. We refer to the domain-adapted model as ClimateBERT. Next, we fine-tune ClimateBERT for causality-detection using SemEval2007 (Girju et al., 2010) and SemEval2010 (Hendrickx et al., 2019) benchmarks. On a test set of 600 sentences curated by domain experts from climate articles, the resulting model achieves 90% precision and 28% recall. Although the performance is passable for the downstream tasks, further improvements
require techniques for robust domain adaptation and fine-tuning with limited data.

2.4. Entity Extraction and Canonicalization

ClimateKB entities are complex and typically have a base, a state, and a unit. The base refers to the core climate or social concept (e.g., wind, ocean, suicide), the unit refers to the measurable aspect of the base (e.g., events, frequency, level), and the state describe the change in the unit (e.g., increasing, warming). Since these entities are domain specific and complex, off-the-shelf named entity recognition models cannot be directly used.

End-to-end neural models (Jie & Lu, 2019) and pre-trained language models (Devlin et al., 2019) have state-of-the-art NER performance. However, fine-tuning these models requires high-quality annotated data. Our initial attempts to curate training data for entity extraction reveal several challenges, including ambiguity in labels, the presence of implicit entities, and the use of anaphora. Some of these challenges are illustrated in Example 1.

Example 1 E1: In the sentence, “warmer temperatures lead to ...”, the entity “air” is implied.
E2: In the sentence, “climate pressures can adversely impact resource availability ...”, the token “pressure” can be a base or unit.
E2: In the sentence, “this can trigger a chain of ...”, the token “this” refers to an entity from the previous sentence.

Annotators who are not careful or lack background knowledge can potentially make label mistakes, which can negatively impact model training. This opens up new research avenues for developing novel frameworks that can handle mistakes in the training data and/or can help guide the annotators so they make fewer mistakes.

3. ClimateKB-based recommendations

Our goal is to use ClimateKB to catalog climate change impacts and solutions and recommend climate information that best motivates users to take action. Due to the novelty of the task, our proposed system profiles the user explicitly based on researched reference characteristics and leverages the manually curated associations of these characteristics to entities in the ClimateKB.

For reference characteristics, we use the personal values framework from Schwartz’s theory of basic human values (Schwartz, 2012; Sagiv et al., 2017). Following prior work (Ding & Pan, 2016; op den Akker et al., 2015; Leuzinger et al., 2019), we focus on the following 10 personal values: conformity, tradition, benevolence, universalism, self-direction, stimulation, stimulation, hedonism, achievement, power, and security.

For obtaining a user’s personal values, we used a slightly modified version of the Portrait Value Questionnaire (PVQ)(Schwartz, 2003). Specifically, we modified the ultra short 10 question version (Sandy et al., 2017) to refer directly to users instead of requesting that users compare themselves to someone of the same gender. Each question assesses a different personal value and uses a 6-point Likert scale (Joshi et al., 2015) with values from “strongly disagree” to “strongly agree”.

Example 2 “decrease in population of moose available to hunt”

Positive association: power, stimulation, hedonism
Negative association: universalism
Neutral association with remaining values

Next, the entities in the ClimateKB must be linked to the 10 personal value characteristics. To ensure high quality associations, we ask domain experts to assign an association: positive, negative, or neutral, to each entity applicable in the KB. Example 2 shows a climate entity phrase and its associations to different characteristics of personal values. More formally, for a personal value \( v_i \) they assign an association score \( a_{v_i} \), where \( a_{v_i} = 1 \) if the association is positive, \(-1 \) if the association is negative and \(0\) if the association is neutral.

Lastly, we compute the relevance of a climate entity to a user. Note the scoring method proposed is for proof-of-concept and is simple. Let \( u_{v_i} \) indicate the positive, centered, and scaled Likert score of a personal value \( v_i \) obtained from a user’s responses to the questionnaire. Given a climate entity \( e \), let \( a_{v_i}^e \) indicate the different associations of the entity. The relevance \( S_e \) of climate entity \( e \) to the user then can be computed by:

\[
S_e = \sum_{n=1}^{10} u_{v_i} \cdot a_{v_i}^e
\]

The recommendation system could be improved to use more sophisticated measures that learn from user interactions in the app. More refined and sophisticated versions of our proposed motivation scoring and recommendation system are likely to exist, and could be evaluated by comparing each to actual user’s behavior, preferences, and psychology.

4. Future Outlook

In the coming years, we expect climate change to worsen. Consequently, there will be more news coverage around those impacts and more need for climate action. This research will help us build a pipeline that expands the ClimateKB in a scalable manner. This research also builds the foundation for us to effectively capture and add to the ClimateKB climate change adaptations and solutions, even as more are developed. Expanding ClimateKB will bol-
ster our recommendation system, allowing it to motivate a more diverse set of people and generate more conversation around climate change. Since our work is freely available, we hope others can find additional and novel applications of ClimateKB and other models we provide.
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