**In Vivo** Scanning Laser Confocal Microscopy of Conjunctival Goblet Cells in Medically-controlled Glaucoma
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**Abstract.** Aim: The aim of this study was to evaluate the goblet cell density (GCD) of conjunctiva in medically-controlled glaucoma using laser scanning confocal microscopy (LSCM). Materials and Methods: Fifty-five glaucomatous patients were enrolled and divided into two groups: Group 1 (27 eyes), controlled with one medication; and group 2 (28 eyes), controlled with two medications. Seventeen patients with dry eye disease (DED) and 17 healthy individuals served as controls. Patients completed the Ocular Surface Disease Index (OSDI) questionnaire and underwent determination of tear film break-up time (BUT), corneal staining, and Schirmer test I. For the GCD assessment, 12 high-quality images were acquired from the upper conjunctival epithelium (superior nasal, superior central, and superior temporal sectors). Results: Overall, GCD was significantly reduced in both glaucoma groups and those with DED compared to healthy controls (p<0.001), with values markedly lower in group 2 compared to group 1 (p<0.05). GCD was not significantly different between those with DED and group 2. A significant negative correlation was found of GCD with OSDI and with BUT (p<0.001; R=-0.795 and R=-0.756, respectively). Conclusion: Glaucoma therapy leads to a marked reduction of GCs, especially in the associative regimens. Given the negative correlation with tear film function tests, GCD reduction may play a pivotal role in the pathophysiology of the glaucoma-related disease of the ocular surface.

Glaucoma is a cause of irreversible blindness worldwide (1) and characterized by optic neuropathy with progressive loss of retinal ganglion cells and their axons, resulting in a permanent loss of the visual field. Glaucoma is a multifactorial disease, in which the intraocular pressure (IOP) increases due to an impairment of outflow structures (2), is the main and only modifiable risk factor, while neuroprotective drugs can complement hypotensive therapies (3, 4). The goal of glaucoma treatment is to maintain patient visual function and quality of life by lowering the IOP with therapy.

Medical therapy is the first-line approach in glaucoma treatment. Guidelines recommend initiating treatment with single medication (5); if the initial therapy is not effective, the addition of a second drug should be considered, combining agents with different and synergistic mechanisms of action. In the advanced stage of disease, several drugs may be combined to obtain low IOP values and reduce as much as possible the rate of damage progression.

The long-term use of anti-glaucoma medications produces several alterations of ocular surface components, with the most prominent changes involving the cornea, limbus, and conjunctiva (6-9). The most common epithelial modifications of the conjunctiva are squamous metaplasia, cellular desquamation and keratinization, dendritic cell activation, conjunctiva-associated lymphoid tissue activation, involution, and goblet cell (GCs) loss (7, 10, 11). In humans, GCs play crucial tasks in the homeostasis of the ocular surface since they are considered the main source of mucin production and along with other alterations leads to the induction of iatrogenic ocular surface disease (OSD) (12).
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GCs are key markers in assessing the severity of therapy-related epithelial modifications because their number rapidly decreases after exposure to inflammatory and toxic effects of medications, although they tend to significantly recover when the irritating stimuli are relieved (13).

Nowadays, the most reliable method for analyzing GCs in vivo is laser scanning confocal microscopy (LSCM), a non-invasive in vivo technology that evaluates the ocular surface tissues at the cellular level, providing a valuable method to identify and characterize GC morphology in different OSDs including glaucoma-related OSD (14-16). Nevertheless, to date, no study was specifically dedicated to determining the effects of the commercially available classes of glaucoma medications, and their regimens, on the density of conjunctival GCs in patients with glaucoma.

The aim of this study was to evaluate by means of LSCM the GC density (GCD) and the modification of tear film function clinical tests in glaucomatous patients treated with different medication regimes. In addition, a comparison between glaucomatous patients, patients with dry eyes and healthy individuals was performed.

Materials and Methods

This was a case–control, cross-sectional, non-interventional study. The study adhered to the tenets of the Declaration of Helsinki and the approval from our Institutional Review Board (4121/2016) was obtained. Written informed consent was obtained from all patients prior to enrolment, after explanation of the nature of the study and possible consequences. All the study participants were consecutively enrolled at the Eye Clinic of the University of L’Aquila. We enrolled 55 consecutive Caucasian patients with glaucoma [primary open angle glaucoma (OAG), pseudo-exfoliative or pigmentary glaucoma] controlled with medical therapy who were referred to our Glaucma Centre for routine visits.

For glaucomatous patients, the inclusion criteria were the following: best-corrected visual acuity ≥8/10, refractive error ≤3 diopters, mean IOP at the time of diagnosis higher than 22 mmHg and well controlled at enrolment (IOP<18 mmHg: mean of three measurements taken during the day) with one or two medications; the therapy had to be the same in both eyes during the 12 months prior to enrolment; central corneal thickness ranging from 530 to 570 μm, visual field test [30-2 test, full-threshold; Humphrey field analyzer II 750 (Carl Zeiss Meditec Inc., Dublin, CA, USA)] showing at least three contiguous points on the total deviation probability plot at the less than 2% level, Glaucoma Hemifield Test “outside normal limits” and ophthalmoscopic signs of glaucomatous optic neuropathy, which had to be consistent with the visual field alterations. The exclusion criteria were: history of systemic diseases or therapies in the previous 12 months that could have modified the status of the ocular surface; topical therapy other than anti-glaucoma medications, ocular surface inflammatory disease, previous ocular surgery or laser treatments, ocular trauma, end-stage glaucoma, pregnancy, and contact lens use.

Seventeen age- and gender-matched healthy individuals and 17 patients with Sjogren syndrome-related dry eye disease (DED), defined using International Dry Eye Workshop criteria (17) were included as controls. Exclusion criteria for patients with DED were: non-Sjogren syndrome-related DED, autoimmune deficiency syndrome, sarcoidosis, diabetes mellitus, corneal dystrophy, use of contact lens, previous ocular surgery, glaucoma, topical therapy with steroids or nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs. Exclusion criteria for healthy controls were: history of systemic or topical therapy, ocular or systemic diseases in the previous 12 months, pregnancy, and contact lens use.

In cases in which both eyes were eligible for the study, only one eye per patient was randomly selected (by a computer-generated randomization) for the statistical analysis.

All patients underwent a complete ophthalmic evaluation that included best corrected visual acuity, refraction, Goldmann applanation tonometry, slit-lamp biomicroscopy, central corneal thickness measurement (ultrasound pachimetry), gonioscopy, opthalmoscopy, visual field testing with full-threshold 30-2 test. Clinical tests included an Ocular Surface Disease Index (OSDI) (18) questionnaire and tear film function tests.

The OSDI is a 12-item disease-specific quality-of-life questionnaire with three subscales (ocular discomfort, ocular symptoms during daily activities, and environmental triggers) that assesses the impact of DED on vision-related quality of life. The frequency of ocular symptoms in the previous week was reported. A score from 0, indicating “none of the time,” to 4, indicating “all of the time.” was recorded, averaged and transformed to a scale ranging from 0 to 100, with higher scores representing greater disabilities. Tear film function tests included, consecutively, break-up time (BUT), corneal staining, and Schirmer test I (STI, performed 30 minutes after BUT measurements), according to the Dry Eye Workshop guidelines (19). BUT was recorded as the average of three consecutive measurements; STI results were expressed as the length of the strip that was wet after 5 minutes; corneal staining was evaluated with 1% sodium fluorescein (using the van Bijsterveld method) (20).

LSCM was performed using HRT III Rostock Cornea Module (RCM; Heidelberg Engineering GmbH, Dossenheim, Germany) 24 hours after clinical assessment in order to avoid artefacts due to the examinations of tear film, the use of topical anesthetics and sodium fluorescein. LSCM incorporates laser scanning optics with a detachable objective system for imaging the ocular surface and adnexa. The laser light with wavelength of 670 nm, generates high-contrast and high-quality images with an area of 400×400 μm, transversal optical resolution of 2 μm and longitudinal optical resolution of 4 μm. Numerous types of information (both quantitative and qualitative) can be obtained when the system is coupled with image analysis software such as RCM (RCM cell count plugin for Image-J software; http://rsb.info.nih.gov/ij/download.html). The microscope can be manually advanced through the full thickness of the tissue providing different optical section of the ocular tissue, but automated control of the optical section is only possible through a depth of 80 μm.

For GC assessment, sequential images derived from automatic scans and manual frame acquisition throughout upper bulbar conjunctiva with the eye in downward gaze (15-30 μm of depth) were acquired. Twelve high-quality images, without motion blur or compression lines, were selected from the three conjunctival sectors (superior nasal, superior central, superior temporal) to calculate the number of GCs using Cell Count Software (Heidelberg Engineering GmbH) in manual mode. This definition was adopted taking into account reference images and the morphological characterization,
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Table I. Demographics and clinical data for the study subjects. Group 1: Patients with glaucoma controlled with one medication; group 2: patients with glaucoma controlled with two medications.

| Group    | Age (years), mean±SD | Males/females, n | IOP (mmHg), mean±SD | MD (db), mean±SD | Therapy (months), mean±SD |
|----------|----------------------|------------------|----------------------|------------------|----------------------------|
| Control  | 53.45±4.34           | 8/9              | 16.13±2.32           | +1.38±0.42       | NA                         |
| DED      | 54.89±5.67           | 9/8              | 13.74±3.76           | +1.54±0.36       | 48±3.4                     |
| Group 1  | 56.12±3.92           | 12/15            | 16.28±2.62           | –2.67±1.18*      | 52±3.2                     |
| Group 2  | 57.48±2.12           | 14/14            | 16.96±1.57           | –4.38±2.26*      | 54±6.1                     |

DED, Dry eye disease; MD, mean defect; NA, not applicable; SD, standard deviation. *p<0.05 versus healthy controls and DED.

Results

A total of 89 Caucasian patients were consecutively enrolled in this study, from January 2017 throughout May 2017. Table I summarizes the demographic and clinical data of all enrolled participants. Fifty-five eyes of 55 patients affected with medically controlled OAG were divided into two groups according to the number of medications: Group 1 (27 eyes) controlled with single therapy and Group 2 (28 eyes) receiving two drugs. Table II shows the therapy of glaucomatous patients at enrollment; medications and therapy regimens were not modified from treatment onset.

Seventeen eyes of age- and sex-matched patients with Sjogren syndrome-related DED who also were referred to our Ocular Surface Diseases Centre were enrolled.

A control group of seventeen eyes of 17 healthy patients (husbands and wives of patients not included in the study, who underwent examinations at the Eye Clinic of the University of L’Aquila) was also enrolled as controls.

All ocular surface clinical test parameters (Table III) were significantly worse in the two glaucoma groups and those with DED compared to healthy controls (p<0.001). Patients with DED had the worst clinical test values, whereas no
significant differences were found between those with DED and glaucoma treated with multi-therapy (group 2). Patients controlled with one drug (group 1) had significantly lower OSDI and corneal staining scores, and higher BUT and STI values compared to patients of group 2 (p<0.05).

GCs were recognized in all enrolled participants and their morphological features were consistent with those reported in other confocal studies (21-23). They appeared as large cellular elements, hyper-reflective and oval-shaped, containing hypo-reflective nuclei; their dimensions were greater than those of the surrounding epithelial cells, occasionally crowded in groups or dispersed within the epithelial layer of the conjunctiva, at 20-30 μm depth (Figure 1).

Overall, GCD was significantly reduced in both glaucoma groups and those with DED, compared to healthy controls (p<0.001), with values markedly lower in group 2 compared to group 1 (p<0.05). Moreover, a non-statistically significant difference was found between those with DED and those with glaucoma of group 2. The GCD of the study groups are described in Table IV. Correlation analysis between GCD and clinical data revealed a significant negative correlation between GCD and BUT (p<0.001, R=-0.756) and between GCD and OSDI (p<0.01, R=-0.795); conversely, STI and corneal staining did not show any significant correlation with GCD.

Discussion

In the past two decades, the introduction of LSCM as a diagnostic tool significantly increased the ability to analyze the ocular surface in patients with glaucoma. This technology is capable of showing several modifications of the ocular surface and adnexa at the cellular level, in vivo, permitting an imaging biopsy. In patients with glaucoma, LSCM was used to assess the modifications of the unconventional aqueous humor pathways as well as the alterations induced by anti-glaucoma drugs (7, 24, 25).

Table IV. Conjunctival goblet cell density (GCD) for the study subjects. Group 1: Patients with glaucoma controlled with one medication; group 2: patients with glaucoma controlled with two medications.

| Group      | GCD (cells/mm²), mean±SD | p-Value       |
|------------|--------------------------|---------------|
| Control    | 252.45±23.34             |               |
| DED        | 117.63±15.38             | <0.001 vs. Control |
| Group 1    | 152.59±17.23             | <0.001 vs. Control; <0.01 vs. DED |
| Group 2    | 119.21±17.10             | <0.001 vs. Control; 0.87 vs. DED; <0.05 vs. Group 1 |

DED, Dry eye disease.

In this LSCM study, we investigated the microscopic modifications of a specific cellular population of the conjunctiva, namely GCs, in patients with medically controlled glaucoma and in patients with DED. Overall, in both glaucoma and DED, we found a marked reduction in GCD and tear film function worsening in glaucoma, compared to healthy controls. In addition, the GC loss was significantly greater in patients treated with two drugs compared to those under monotherapy, without differences between glaucoma under multi-therapy and DED.

Since GCs work to maintain tear film stability on the ocular surface, their density may be considered a key factor in assessing the ocular surface status. GC loss has a significant clinical impact since it leads to dry eye, and dramatically reduces the clearance of toxic and inflammatory agents; in this way cytotoxic mediators persist on the ocular surface finally inducing chronic conjunctival inflammation (6, 26).

Several studies showed that GCs and mucin markers were reduced after long-term therapy with IOP lowering drugs whether containing preservative or preservative-free (PF)
Nevertheless, based on literature findings, one may state that cumulative BAK dosage, and a hypothetically more intensive decrease because the present study did not consider this. the duration of therapy, the number of daily instillations, and the active compound played the main role in the final GCD factors contributing to the GCD alterations in patients with glaucoma. Because of this, patients requiring an associative therapy, who represent a great part of the glaucoma population, are at higher risk of developing GCD alterations. These results were confirmed in the present study, in which patients receiving two drugs showed a greater GC loss compared to patients receiving a single medication. Interestingly, in patients receiving multi-treatment, the decrease of GCs was similar to that in patients with DED, suggesting that glaucoma medications and DED may lead to a similar effect in terms of conjunctival inflammation and risk of OSD. GCD was also significantly well correlated with both OSDI and BUT, which are the most commonly used clinical indicators of DED and OSD. Therefore, in patients with glaucoma, LSCM may be considered a valuable non-invasive procedure and finding of in vivo GCD decrease as a surrogate marker of ocular discomfort and worsening of quality of life.

Recently, pre-operative GCD and positivity for MUC5AC (the most important GC-derived mucin) were found to negatively correlate with the outcome of trabeculectomy (31, 32), a filtration surgical procedure in which an intra-scleral fistula drains the aqueous humor from the anterior chamber into the subconjunctival space (filtration bleb). In fact, patients who underwent surgery with high preoperative GCD values had a greater probability of having a functioning filtration bleb compared to patients undergoing surgery with a severe GC loss.

As well as ocular surface homeostasis, GCs have been reported to play an active role in the drainage of the aqueous humor through the bleb wall (31, 33). In fact, in an immunocytological and LSCM study, Amar et al. observed a great number of modified and atypical GCs on the conjunctival surface of functioning filtration blebs after trabeculectomy (33). At LSCM, they appeared as hyporeflective structures sometimes containing several nuclei, closely located to epithelial microcysts (EM), which have been considered as in vivo indicators of the trans-bleb aqueous humor passage (24). Three-dimensional spatial reconstruction of LSCM images further clarified the nature of these structures (34), showing that EM are close to but separated from the epithelium, and confirmed their involvement in the outflow of aqueous humor through the bleb wall after filtration surgery. All these studies supported the theory that modified GCs are active cytological carriers of the aqueous humor. Notably, EM were also found in untreated ocular hypertension (35), in patients with medically controlled primary open angle and low-tension glaucoma (36), in patients taking PGAs (37), and in patients with refractory glaucoma after ultrasonic cyclo-coagulation (38). This latter evidence further suggests that EM and, therefore, GCs are in vivo markers of unconventional outflow of aqueous humor in glaucomatous patients.

All this evidence strongly suggests that the preservation of GCs represents a crucial task in constraining OSD in...
patients controlled with medical therapy, and in increasing the likelihood of bleb survival in patients with uncontrolled glaucoma undergoing filtration surgery.

This study has certain limitation. Firstly, we included only white Caucasian patients, which did not allow evaluation of the racial differences in the GC population. Secondly, we enrolled patients with a similar duration of disease in different therapy groups: therefore, because baseline GC value before the initiation of therapy was unavailable, definitive conclusions about the progressive effects of therapy over time cannot be drawn. Further prospective studies are mandatory to answer this question. Thirdly, the presence of other conjunctival cell phenotypes with features similar to those of GCs, and a high inter-individual variation may introduce a bias in measuring GCD. Nevertheless, the GC features observed in our study were very similar to those reported in all other previous LSCM studies. Finally, we did not consider patients controlled with three or more medications since the data of ocular surface modifications with multi-therapies were previously reported (31, 32) and demonstrated a more severe toxic effect.

In conclusion, the present study confirmed that LSCM is a reliable method for the in vivo analysis of conjunctival GCs in patients with glaucoma, and that the density of these cells is objectively measurable and in line with previous literature findings. Anti-glaucoma medications have a detrimental effect on GCs, especially when an associative therapy is required to lower the IOP to the target value, leading to a condition quite similar to a iatrogenic DED. Given the role GCs play in the maintenance of ocular surface homeostasis, supported by the strong correlation between GCD and OSDI, these results should be carefully considered in order to limit and manage OSD.
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