The Butanol Fraction of Bitter Melon (*Momordica charantia*) Scavenges Free Radicals and Attenuates Oxidative Stress
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**ABSTRACT:** To investigate radical scavenging effects and protective activities of bitter melon (*Momordica charantia*) against oxidative stress, *in vitro* and a cellular system using LLC-PK₁ renal epithelial cells were used in this study. The butanol (BuOH) fraction of bitter melon scavenged 63.4% and 87.1% of 1,1-diphenyl-2-picrylhydrazyl (DPPH) radicals at concentrations of 250 and 500 μg/mL, respectively. In addition, the BuOH fraction of bitter melon effectively scavenged hydroxyl radicals (·OH). At all concentrations tested, the scavenging activity of the BuOH fraction was more potent than that of the positive control, ascorbic acid. Furthermore, under the LLC-PK₁ cellular model, the cells showed a decline in viability and an increase in lipid peroxidation through oxidative stress induced by pyrogallol, a generator of superoxide anion (O₂⁻). However, the BuOH fraction of bitter melon significantly and dose-dependently inhibited cytotoxicity. In addition, 3-morpholinosydnonimine (SIN-1), a generator of peroxynitrite (ONOO⁻) formed by simultaneous releases of nitric oxide and O₂⁻, caused cytotoxicity in the LLC-PK₁ cells while the BuOH fraction of bitter melon ameliorated oxidative damage induced by ONOO⁻. These results indicate that BuOH fraction of bitter melon has protective activities against oxidative damage induced by free radicals.
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**INTRODUCTION**

Oxidative stress, defined as an imbalance between the production of reactive oxygen species (ROS) and antioxidant defense, is associated with a number of pathological conditions, such as inflammation, carcinogenesis, aging, atherosclerosis, and reperfusion injury (1). Overproduction of ROS as well as reactive nitrogen species (RNS) mediates damage to cell structures, nucleic acids, lipids, and proteins (2). The harmful biological effects of ROS and RNS are termed oxidative and nitrosative stress, respectively. Free radical-mediated oxidative and nitrosative stress leads to pathological conditions and has been implicated in a variety of degenerative diseases as well as in the aging process (3-6). Therefore, antioxidants that prevent free radical damage have attracted much attention, and there has been a great deal of effort to identify safe and effective therapeutic agents for oxidative stress-related diseases. Compelling evidence indicates that increased consumption of dietary antioxidants or vegetables with antioxidant properties may improve quality of life by delaying the onset and reducing the risk of degenerative diseases (7-9).

Bitter melon (*Momordica charantia*) is an indigenous medicinal and vegetable plant found in the tropical and subtropical regions of the world and is also commonly known as bitter gourd (10). Several biological effects of bitter melon have been reported such as hypoglycemic effects, anti-rheumatic, anti-inflammatory, antiseptic and anti-diabetic remedies (11,12). In addition, bitter melon also has been reported to have other medicinal properties such as anti-carcinogenic, hypocholesterolemic, anti-viral, anti-cytotoxic, hypoglycemic and anti-mutagenic properties and dissipate melancholia (13). In addition, the main constituents of bitter melon which are responsible for these biological and medicinal effects are triterpenes, proteins, steroids, alkaloids, inorganic compounds, lipids, and phenolic compounds (14). We previously reported the protective effects of the methanol extract and their four fractions from bitter melon against oxidative stress and found the butanol (BuOH) fraction has the strongest activity against oxidative stress (15).
Therefore, we investigated the radical scavenging effect of the active BuOH fraction from bitter melon on 1,1-di-
phenyl-2-picrylhydrazyl (DPPH) and hydroxyl (·OH) radicals by in vitro scavenging assays, and evaluated the pro-
teective activity against oxidative damage in a cellular system.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Materials**

*M. charantia*, bitter melon, was obtained from the Farming Cooperation Hamyang (Hamyang, Korea). The air-
dried powdered fruit of bitter melon was extracted with methanol under reflux. The resultant extract was com-
bined and concentrated under reduced pressure to afford the residue. The methanol extract was suspended in wa-
ter and then fractionated successively with equal volumes of *n*-hexane, dichloromethane, ethyl acetate, and 
BuOH.

**Chemicals**

3-Morpholinosydnonimine (SIN-1), pyrogallol, and 3-(4,5-
dimethyl-2-thiazolyl)-2,5-diphenyl-2*H* tetrazolium bro-
mide (MTT) were purchased from Sigma Chemical Co.
(St. Louis, MO, USA).

**DPPH radical scavenging activity**

In a microwell plate, 100 μL of sample (control: 100 μL
of 50% ethanol) was added to an ethanolic solution of
DPPH (60 mM) according to the method described by 
Hatano et al. (16). For the assay, phosphate-buffered 
saline (PBS) was used for sample solution and three 
sample concentrations (100, 250 and 500 μg/mL) were 
prepared. After being mixed gently and left for 30 min at 
room temperature, the DPPH radical was measured at
540 nm using a microplate reader (model SPECTRAmax 
340PC, Molecular Devices, Sunnyvale, CA, USA). Ascorbic 
acid was used as the DPPH-scavenging positive control 
compound.

\[
\text{DPPH radical scavenging activity (\%)} = \frac{(\text{Abs} - \text{Abs}_0)}{\text{Abs}} \times 100 \\
(\text{Abs}, \text{Abs}_0: \text{Absorbance of control; Abs}, \text{Abs}_0: \text{Absorbance of sample})
\]

**·OH radical scavenging activity**

The reaction mixture contained 0.45 mL of 0.2 M so-
dium phosphate buffer (pH 7.0), 0.15 mL of 10 mM 
2-deoxyribose, 0.15 mL of 10 mM FeSO₄·EDTA, 0.15 
ml of 10 mM H₂O₂, 0.525 mL of H₂O, and 0.075 mL of 
sample solution. Three sample concentrations (10, 25 
and 50 μg/mL) were prepared for BuOH fraction. The 
reaction was initiated with the addition of H₂O₂. After 
incubation at 37°C for 4 hr, the reaction was stopped by 
adding 0.75 mL of 2.8% trichloroacetic acid and 0.75 mL
of 1.0% 2-tribarbituric acid in 50 mL of NaOH. The sol-
ution was boiled for 10 min and then cooled in water at 
room temperature. The absorbance of the solution was 
measured at 520 nm. ·OH radical scavenging activity 
was evaluated as the inhibition rate of 2-deoxyribose ox-
idation by ·OH (17). Ascorbic acid was used as an ·OH 
radical scavenging positive control compound.

**Cell culture**

LLC-PK₁ porcine renal epithelial cells were provided by 
ATCC (Manassas, VA, USA). Delbecco’s modified Eagle’s 
medium/nutrient mixture F-12 (DMEM/F-12) and fetal 
bovine serum (FBS) were purchased from Hyclone 
(Grand Island, NY, USA) and Gibco (Cleveland, OH, 
USA), respectively. Commercially available LLC-PK₁ re-
nal tubular epithelial cells were maintained in a culture 
flask containing 5% FBS-supplemented DMEM/F-12 
medium (pH 7.2) at 37°C in a humidified atmosphere 
of 5% CO₂ in air. All subsequent procedures were car-
ried out under these conditions. The cells were sub-cul-
tured weekly with 0.05% trypsin-EDTA in PBS.

**Radical generation**

After confluence had been reached, the cells were plated 
into 96-well plates at 10⁴ cells/mL and allowed to ad-
here for 2 hr. Next, 0.25 mM of pyrogallol, and 1.0 mM 
of SIN-1 were treated to generate superoxide (O₂⁻), 
and peroxynitrite (ONOO⁻), respectively. After 24 hr of in-
cubation, the BuOH fraction was treated in the test 
wells at various concentrations for 24 hr.

**MTT cytotoxicity assay**

Cell viability was assessed using the MTT colorimetric 
assay. MTT solution (1 mg/mL) was added to each 96-
well culture plate and incubated for 4 hr at 37°C, and 
then the medium containing MTT was removed. The in-
corporated formazan crystals in the viable cells were 
solubilized with 100 mL of dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) 
and the absorbance of each well was read at 540 nm us-
ing a microplate reader.

**Statistical analysis**

Significance was verified by performing Duncan’s multi-
ple range tests using SAS software (version 6.0, SAS 
Institute, Cary, NC, USA).

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

Biologically active compounds found in vegetables may 
play roles in reducing the risk of degenerative diseases 
caused by oxidative stress (18). However, studies exam-
inig the protective activity of bitter melon and its active 
compounds under free radical-induced oxidative stress
are few in number. Therefore, in the present study, before finding active compounds from bitter melon, we investigated the ability of the BuOH fraction, the active fraction of bitter melon, against free radical-induced oxidative stress in an in vitro and cellular system.

DPPH is a stable free radical that is widely used to test for the ability of compounds or plant extracts to act as free radical scavengers or hydrogen donors. Antioxidants react with the DPPH radical directly and restore it by transferring electrons or hydrogen. Moreover, ·OH causes injury to surrounding organs and plays a vital role in some clinical disorders. Therefore, removal of ·OH is the most effective defense against diseases (19). ·OH is an extremely reactive and short-lived species that can attack biological molecules such as DNA, proteins, and lipids. The reactivity of ·OH has been shown to be related to several human diseases such as neurodegenerative diseases and diabetes. Therefore, radical scavenging activity has received much attention (1,20,21).

The DPPH and ·OH radical scavenging effects of the BuOH fraction from bitter melon are shown in Fig. 1 and 2. Treatment with the BuOH fraction increased the DPPH radical scavenging activity in a dose-dependent manner. At the BuOH fraction concentrations of 250 and 500 μg/mL, the DPPH scavenging activity was 63.4% and 87.1%, respectively. In addition, the BuOH fraction scavenged ·OH radicals effectively. At the BuOH fraction concentrations of 10, 25, and 50 μg/mL, ·OH radical scavenging activity was 87.7%, 87.8% and 89.7%, respectively. These results indicate that the BuOH fraction from bitter melon has a marked protective effect against the strong and toxic ·OH radical, and is an effective free radical scavenger that can protect against radical-induced oxidative damage.

We further investigated the antioxidative activity of the BuOH fraction from bitter melon in a cellular system using LLC-PK1 renal epithelial cells. LLC-PK1 renal epithelial cells are susceptible to oxidative stress. Therefore, experimental model of oxidative damage on LLC-PK1 cells exposed to free radicals would be useful for searching for agents that can provide effective protection from free radicals. A generator-induced cellular oxidative model was employed to investigate the protective effects of the BuOH fraction of bitter melon against oxidative damage (22). Consistent with the present results, several studies demonstrated that cellular oxidative stress in LLC-PK1 cells was induced by free radical generators such as SIN-1, SNP, pyrogallol, and 2,2’-azobis(2-amidinopropan) dihydrochloride (23,24).

To evaluate the protective activity of the BuOH fraction against free radical-induced oxidative stress, pyrogallol and SIN-1 were used. As shown in Fig. 3, O₂⁻ generated by pyrogallol decreased cell viability to 42.8% compared to 100% viability in non-treated cells. When
the BuOH fraction from bitter melon was treated at concentrations of 100, 250, and 500 μg/mL, cell viability was increased to 58.4%, 56.7%, and 67.2%, respectively. O$_3^-$ reacts rapidly with NO to produce the more toxic ONOO$^-$, which then combines rapidly to form ONOO$^-$, and is therefore widely used experimental models (29). While cell viability declined to 48.1% after treatment of SIN-1, treatment with the BuOH fraction from bitter melon increased cell viability in a dose-dependent manner (Fig. 4). In particular, at the concentration of 500 μg/mL, cell viability increased to more than 86%. From these results, the BuOH fraction acted against O$_2^-$ and ONOO$^-$-induced oxidative stress and recovered cell viability in a dose-dependent manner in LLC-PK$_1$ cells.

Oishi reported blood glucose and serum neutral fat-lowering effects of the saponin fraction (BuOH-soluble fraction) as an active fraction of bitter melon (30). In addition, Kobori showed that the BuOH-soluble fraction of bitter melon extract strongly suppresses LPS-induced TNF alpha production in RAW 264.7 cells (31). Our present study also supports that the BuOH fraction of bitter melon is an active fraction.

In conclusion, the BuOH fraction from bitter melon showed strong direct scavenging activities against DPPH and O$_2^-$ in concentration dependent manners. In addition, it attenuated the oxidative stress induced by O$_2^-$ and ONOO$^-$ through elevations of cell viability. Therefore, this study suggests that bitter melon, especially its BuOH fraction, would be a promising agent with protective activities against oxidative stress induced by free radicals. Further investigations using an in vivo model and for identification of active components in bitter melon are needed.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This research was supported by Basic Science Research Program through the National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF) funded by the Ministry of Education, Science and Technology (2010-0005480).

REFERENCES

1. Halliwell B, Gutteridge JMC, Cross CE. 1992. Free radicals, antioxidants, and human disease: Where are we now? J Lab Clin Med 119: 598-620.
2. Valko M, Leibfritz D, Moncol J, Cronin MTD, Mazur M, Telser J. 2007. Free radicals and antioxidants in normal physiological functions and human disease. Int J Biochem Cell Biol 39: 44-84.
3. Bokov A, Chaudhuri A, Richardson A. 2004. The role of oxidative damage and stress in aging. Mech Ageing Dev 125: 811-826.
4. Gibson GE, Huang HM. 2005. Oxidative stress in Alzheimer’s disease. Neurobiol Aging 26: 575-578.
5. Scott JA, King GL. 2004. Oxidative stress and antioxidant treatment in diabetes. Ann NY Acad Sci 1031: 204-213.
6. Yu BP, Chung HY. 2001. Oxidative stress and vascular aging. Diabetes Res Clin Pract 54: S73-S80.
7. Ames BN, Shigenaga MK, Hagen TM. 1993. Oxidants, antioxidants, and the degenerative diseases of aging. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 90: 7915-7922.
8. Meydani M, Lipman RD, Han SN, Wu D, Beharka A, Martin KR, Bronson R, Cao G, Smith D, Meydani SN. 1998. The effect of long-term dietary supplementation with antioxidants. Ann NY Acad Sci 854: 352-360.
9. Steinmetz KA, Potter JD. 1996. Vegetables, fruit, and cancer prevention: a review. J Am Diet Assoc 96: 1027-1039.
10. Lee SY, Eom SH, Kim YK, Park NI, Park SU. 2009. Cucurbitane-type triterpenoids in Momordica charantia Linn. J Med Plants Res 3: 1264-1269.
11. Leatherdale BA, Panesar RK, Singh G, Atkins TW, Bailey CJ, Bignell AH. 1981. Improvement in glucose tolerance due to hypoglycaemic principle isolated from the fruit of Momordica charantia Linn. Indian J Med Res 69: 129-132.
12. Anila L, Vijayalakshmi NR. 2000. Beneficial effects of flavonoids from Sesamum indicum, Emblica officinalis and Momordica charantia. Phytother Res 14: 592-595.
13. Lotikar MM, Rajarama Rao MA. 1966. Pharmacology of a hypoglycaemic principle isolated from the fruit of Momordica charantia Linn. Indian J Pharm Sci 28: 129-132.
14. Grover JK, Yadav SP. 2004. Pharmacological actions and potential uses of Momordica charantia: A review. J Ethnopharmacol 93: 123-132.
15. Sin SM, Mok SY, Lee SH, Cho KM, Cho EJ, Kim HY. 2011. Protective effect of bitter melon (Momordica charantia) against oxidative stress. Cancer Prev Res 16: 86-92.
16. Hatano T, Edamatsu R, Hiramatsu M, Mori A, Fujita Y, Yasuhara T, Yoshida R, Okuda T. 1989. Effects of the interaction of tannins with co-existing substances. VI.
Effects of tannins and related polyphenols on superoxide anion radical, and on 1,1-diphenyl-2-picrylhydrazyl radical. Chem Pharm Bull 37: 2016-2021.
17. Gutteridge JM. 1987. Ferrous-salt-promoted damage to deoxyribose and benzoate. The increased effectiveness of hydroxyl-radical scavengers in the presence of EDTA. Biochem J 243: 709-714.
18. Rice-Evans CA, Miller NJ, Bolwell PG, Bramley PM, Pridham JB. 1995. The relative antioxidant activities of plant-derived polyphenolic flavonoids. Free Radic Res 22: 375-383.
19. Lin JM, Lin CC, Chen MF, Ujiie T, Takada A. 1995. Scavenging effects of Mallotus repandus on active oxygen species. J Ethnopharmacol 46: 175-181.
20. Halliwell B, Gutteridge JM. 1984. Oxygen toxicity, oxygen radicals, transition metals and disease. Biochem J 219: 1-14.
21. Zhang D, Yasuda T, Yu Y, Zheng P, Kawabata T, Ma Y, Okada S. 1996. Ginseng extract scavenges hydroxyl radical and protects unsaturated fatty acids from decomposition caused by iron-mediated lipid peroxidation. Free Radic Biol Med 20: 145-150.
22. Yokozawa T, Rhyu DY, Cho EJ. 2003. Protection by the Chinese prescription Wen-Pi-Tang against renal tubular LLC-PK1 cell damage induced by 3-morpholinosydnonimine. J Pharm Pharmacol 55: 1405-1412.
23. Piao XL, Kim HY, Yokozawa T, Lee YA, Piao XS, Cho EJ. 2005. Protective effects of broccoli (Brassica oleracea) and its active components against radical-induced oxidative damage. J Nutr Sci Vitaminol 51: 142-147.
24. Yokozawa T, Sato A, Cho EJ, Kashiwada Y, Ikeshiro Y. 2005. Protective role of Coptidis Rhizoma alkaloids against peroxynitrite-induced damage to renal tubular epithelial cells. J Pharm Pharmacol 57: 367-374.
25. Beckman JS, Koppenol WH. 1996. Nitric oxide, superoxide, and peroxynitrite: the good, the bad, and the ugly. Am J Physiol 271: 1424-1437.
26. Ischiropoulos H. 1998. Biological tyrosine nitration: a pathophysiological function of nitric oxide and reactive oxygen species. Arch Biochem Biophys 356: 1-11.
27. Nakazawa H, Fukuyama N, Takizawa S, Tsumi C, Yoshitake M, Ishida H. 2000. Nitrotyrosine formation and its role in various pathological conditions. Free Radic Res 33: 771-784.
28. Cericello A, Mercuri F, Quagliaro L, Assaloni R, Motz E, Tonutti L, Taboga C. 2001. Detection of nitrotyrosine in the diabetic plasma: evidence of oxidative stress. Diabetologia 44: 834-838.
29. Singh RJ, Hogg N, Joseph J, Konorev E, Kalyanaraman B. 1999. The peroxynitrite generator, SIN-1, becomes a nitric oxide donor in the presence of electron acceptors. Arch Biochem Biophys 361: 331-339.
30. Oishi Y, Sakamoto T, Udagawa H, Taniguchi H, Kobayashi-Hattori K, Ozawa Y, Takita T. 2007. Inhibition of increases in blood glucose and serum neutral fat by Momordica charantia saponin fraction. Biosci Biotechnol Biochem 71: 735-740.
31. Kobori M, Nakayama H, Fukushima K, Ohnishi-Kameyama M, Ono H, Fukushima T, Akimoto Y, Masumoto S, Yukizaki C, Hoshi Y, Deguchi T, Yoshida M. 2008. Bitter gourd suppresses lipopolysaccharide-induced inflammatory responses. J Agric Food Chem 56: 4004-4011.