WAS 49B: AN OVERMASSIVE AGN IN A MERGING DWARF GALAXY?
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ABSTRACT

We present a combined morphological and X-ray analysis of Was 49, an isolated, dual AGN system notable for the presence of a dominant AGN Was 49b in the disk of the primary galaxy Was 49a, at a projected radial distance of 8 kpc from the nucleus. Using X-ray data from Chandra, NuSTAR, and Swift, we find that this AGN has a bolometric luminosity of $L_{\text{bol}} \sim 2 \times 10^{45}$ erg s$^{-1}$, with a black hole mass of $M_{\text{BH}} = 1.3^{+2.5}_{-0.5} \times 10^8$ $M_\odot$. Despite its large mass, our analysis of optical data from the Discovery Channel Telescope shows that the supermassive black hole is hosted by a stellar counterpart with a mass of only $5.6^{+4.8}_{-2.8} \times 10^9$ $M_\odot$, making the SMBH potentially larger than expected from SMBH-galaxy scaling relations, and the stellar counterpart exhibits a morphology that is consistent with dwarf elliptical galaxies. Our analysis of the system in the r and K bands indicates that Was 49 is a minor merger, with a mass ratio of Was 49b to Was 49a between ~1:7 and ~1:15. This is in contrast with findings that the most luminous merger-triggered AGNs are found in major mergers, and that minor mergers predominantly enhance AGN activity in the primary galaxy.

Subject headings: galaxies: active — galaxies: interactions — galaxies: Seyfert — galaxies: nuclei — galaxies: bulges — galaxies: dwarf

1. INTRODUCTION

Galaxy mergers are generally understood to be major drivers of galaxy evolution, as gravitational torques effectively funnel gas into the central regions of the merging galaxies (e.g., Toomre & Toomre 1972; Barnes & Hernquist 1991; Di Matteo et al. 2005). This inflowing gas enhances star formation (e.g., Ellison et al. 2008, 2010) as well as AGN activity (Koss et al. 2010; Ellison et al. 2011, 2013; Satyapal et al. 2014). Along with AGN ‘feedback’ (e.g., Silk & Rees 1998; Springel et al. 2005), these effects have led to a strong correlation between the mass of supermassive black holes (SMBHs) and the mass of their host galaxies’ stellar bulge (e.g., Magorrian et al. 1998; Gebhardt et al. 2000), suggesting a coevolution of SMBHs and their host galaxies throughout cosmic history (Richstone et al. 1998). Empirically, Ellison et al. (2011) find that while major mergers ($M_1/M_2 > 1/3$) enhance AGN activity in both galaxies, minor mergers ($M_1/M_2 < 1/3$) predominantly enhance AGN activity in the larger galaxy during the merger. Similarly, Koss et al. (2012) find that the most powerful AGNs in minor mergers are triggered in the more massive galaxy.

In this context, the dual AGN system Was 49 (Bothun et al. 1989) is quite peculiar. The system is composed of a disk galaxy, Was 49a, hosting a low luminosity Seyfert 2 nucleus, and a powerful Type 2 AGN, Was 49b, co-rotating within the disk (Moran et al. 1992) at a projected distance of ~8 kpc from the center of Was 49a. Nishiura & Taniguchi (1998), using the broad (~6000 km s$^{-1}$) polarized H$\beta$ emission seen in Was 49b, determine a black hole mass of $2.9 \times 10^8$ $M_\odot$. The optical continuum is almost featureless, and previous estimates led to an upper limit on any stellar component in the optical continuum of $\lesssim 15\%$ (Tran 1995a). Since these studies were published, the discovery of SMBH/galaxy scaling relations has provided a new context for the unusual nature of Was 49b, with its large SMBH and apparent lack of a stellar counterpart.

In this paper, we quantify the peculiarities of Was 49b. We determine the intrinsic luminosity and accretion rate of the AGN through a detailed X-ray spectral analysis, and we combine the results of our X-ray analysis with an analysis of its optical spectrum to derive an independent measure of the black hole mass. We perform a morphological analysis of the Was 49 system to estimate the mass of the stellar counterpart to Was 49b, and we estimate the stellar mass ratio of the primary galaxy Was 49a to Was 49b. We adopt $H_0 = 70$ km s$^{-1}$ Mpc$^{-1}$, $\Omega_M = 0.3$, and $\Omega_\Lambda = 0.7$. We use the redshift to the nucleus of the primary galaxy Was 49a ($z = 0.06328$) for distances.

2. METHODOLOGY

2.1. X-ray Analysis

In order to constrain the X-ray spectral parameters of Was 49b as tightly as possible, we obtained all archival X-ray data suitable for spectral fitting. These datasets come from the Chandra X-ray Observatory, the Nuclear Spectroscopic Telescope Array (NuSTAR; Harrison et al. 2013), and the Swift Burst Alert Telescope (BAT; Krimm et al. 2013). There is also data from the Advanced Satellite for Cosmology and Astrophysics (ASCA; Tanaka et al. 1994), however an estimated 10% of this low spatial resolution data is contaminated by RX J1214.4+2936, located 4.6 away (see Awaki et al. 2000), so we elect not to use it.

Swift: We downloaded the BAT spectrum and response file directly from the BAT 70-month Hard X-ray Sur-
background light curve using dmeaxtract. We found no significant (> 3σ) flaring intervals during this 5 ks observation. Within the same 30″ aperture that we used for the NuSTAR data is a third X-ray source, in addition to the nuclear source in Was 49a, without any obvious association with the Was 49 system, with 9 counts between 0.5-7 keV. Adding this to the Was 49a nuclear source, we find a contamination level within the NuSTAR aperture of (7.3±0.5)% between 0.5-7 keV, where the uncertainty represents the 90% confidence interval calculated using Poisson statistics. However, by extracting counts only in the harder 2-7 keV band, the contamination level drops to (3±2)%.

We therefore do not attempt to make any sort of contamination correction to our X-ray spectral analysis, which is dominated by the hard X-ray data from NuSTAR and Swift. We extracted X-ray spectra using specextract, and we used the same 3″ aperture we used to isolate emission from Was 49b, as we seek to minimize contamination at soft energies by other sources. Using GRPPHA, we grouped the spectra by a minimum of 20 counts for the χ² statistic.

We performed spectral analyses on the grouped spectra using xspec, version 12.9.0 [Arnaud 1996]. We confine our X-ray spectral analysis to 0.5-7 keV, 3-78 keV, and 14-195 keV for the Chandra, NuSTAR, and Swift spectra, respectively. To derive fluxes and uncertainties for specific model components, we appended the cflux convolution model, holding the additive model component of interest normalization fixed. We model the Galactic hydrogen column density as a fixed photoelectric absorption multiplicative component (phabs) with a value of N_H = 1.88 × 10²⁰ cm⁻², calculated using the Swift nhtot tool [2] which uses the prescription of Willingale et al. (2013). All X-ray spectral parameters, fluxes, and uncertainties given in this work are the values that minimize the χ² statistic, along with their 90% confidence intervals.

### 2.1.1. Inter-Epoch Variability

Owing to the difference in epochs between the X-ray data, variability may hamper joint spectral fitting. This is especially a concern for the higher-energy NuSTAR and Swift datasets, since the highest-energy photons are produced in the innermost accretion regions of the AGN. To address this issue, we looked for variability in the Chandra, and Swift data relative to the NuSTAR data, because NuSTAR shares its energy range with the other two. We first fit the Chandra and NuSTAR data with

---

1. Baumgartner et al. (2013) webpage
2. http://www.swift.ac.uk/analysis/nhtot/
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![Figure 1](image-url) Optical and X-ray images of the Was 49 system, with optical color representation following [Baumgartner et al. (2013)]. At the redshift of Was 49 (z = 0.06328), O III and Hβ fall within the g (blue) filter, the r (green) filter is predominantly continuum, and the i (red) filter contains Hα+[N II]. Note the extensive ionization region around Was 49b, indicating that the optical light is dominated by line emission. Images oriented north up, east left.

---

| Table 1
| Chandra Information |
| Was 49 | R.A. | Decl. | 0.5-7 keV counts | 2-7 keV counts |
|--------|------|-------|-----------------|----------------|
| a      | 12h14m18s.262 | +29°31′46″.75 | 7° | 0 |
| b      | 12h14m17s.816 | +29°31′43″.17 | 215 | 159 |

* The 1σ statistical uncertainty on the source position for a 7-count source, estimated using wavdetect, is ~ 0.7″.
an absorbed power-law model, allowing the model normalizations to vary. We find the *Chandra* and *NuSTAR* normalizations (keV$^{-1}$ cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$) to be $6.2^{+3.4}_{-2.3} \times 10^{-4}$ and $7.6^{+3.5}_{-2.3} \times 10^{-4}$, respectively, indicating no discernible variability between the two epochs.

We separately find normalizations of $8.4^{+7.2}_{-3.8} \times 10^{-4}$ and $8.5^{+4.5}_{-2.8} \times 10^{-4}$ for *Swift* and *NuSTAR*, respectively, making any flux variability between the datasets below the threshold of detectability. We note that in the above analysis, we have implicitly assumed that the power-law spectral index $\Gamma$ has remained unchanged from epoch to epoch. Soldi et al. (2014) find, using data from the BAT 58-month survey, that the majority of AGNs in the survey do not exhibit significant spectral variability. Hernández-García et al. (2014) similarly find, using a sample of 25 Seyfert 2 galaxies with *Chandra/XMM-Newton* observations, that X-ray variability is primarily in flux (e.g., the normalization of their power-law spectra) and not spectral shape (e.g., $\Gamma$). Moreover, setting the normalizations of the power-law fit to the *Swift* and *NuSTAR* data equal and allowing the spectral indices $\Gamma$ to vary, we find $\Gamma = 1.6 \pm 0.1$ and $\Gamma = 1.6^{+0.2}_{-0.1}$ for *Swift* and *NuSTAR*, respectively, consistent with insignificant spectral variability.

2.2. Estimating the Black Hole Mass

To obtain an independent measure of the mass of the black hole in Was 49b, we used the optical spectrum of Was 49b from the Barvon Oscillation Spectroscopic Survey (BOSS; Dawson et al. 2013) to determine the FWHM of the broad H$_\alpha$ line, and we used the intrinsic X-ray luminosity ($L_{2-10}$ keV) to estimate the size of the broad line region (BLR) using the $L_{2-10}$ keV-$R_{\text{BLR}}$ relation from Kaspi et al. (2005). We use the intrinsic X-ray luminosity, instead of the $L_{\lambda}(5100)$ Å luminosity commonly used to estimate the size of the BLR (e.g., Kaspi et al. 2000), because Was 49b is a known polarized BLR AGN (e.g., Tran et al. 1992, Tran 1995b), and so most if not all of the optical continuum is scattered to some degree, making it difficult to determine the intrinsic $5100$ Å luminosity. Moreover, the relation we employ here has been shown to be in agreement with maser-determined black hole masses for a sample of four polarized BLR AGNs, which includes NGC 1068 and Circinus (Kuo et al. 2011).

The optical continuum of Was 49b is almost entirely non-thermal, so we effectively subtracted the continuum by fitting a simple power-law model, after masking the emission lines. We treated the residuals after continuum subtraction as an additional source of uncertainty by adding the standard deviation of the residuals near lines of interest to the square root of the spectral variance, in quadrature.

The emission lines of Was 49b exhibit a complex morphology, showing multiple broadened components in the forbidden lines. To prevent this complex morphology from affecting our measure of the FWHM of H$_\alpha$, we first modeled the [S II] $\lambda\lambda 6713, 6731$ doublet, which provides an accurate parameterization of the non-BLR line emission (e.g., Filippenko & Sargent 1988; Ho et al. 1997), as a combination of several Gaussian components, with the wavelength of each member of the doublet separated by the laboratory difference, and allowing each Gaussian component to vary in redshift as well as FWHM and flux. Once a suitable model of the [S II] doublet was found, we applied this model to the H$_\alpha$+[N II] complex, holding the [N II] $\lambda\lambda 6555/6548$ flux ratio equal to the theoretical value of 2.96, and setting their wavelengths to the laboratory difference. We then added an additional broad line component to H$_\alpha$, and we also include the He I $\lambda 6678$ line seen in the spectrum, as it overlaps with the extended broad H$_\alpha$ emission. The free parameters we fit using this model are the H$_\alpha$, [N II] $\lambda\lambda 6555, 6583$, and He I fluxes, and the FWHM and redshift of the additional broad H$_\alpha$ line. In both the fit to the [S II] doublet and the fit to the H$_\alpha$+[N II] complex, we used the SciPy non-linear least squares fitting routine *curve_fit*, weighting by the uncertainty of the spectrum, and correcting for the wavelength-dependent instrumental spectral resolution.

2.3. Morphological Analysis

We observed Was 49 on 3 April 2016 with the *Discovery Channel Telescope* (DCT) Large Monolithic Imager, in the Sloan $u'g'r'i'z'$ bands. Owing to exceptional seeing ($\sim 0''.5$) during the observing run, we were able to achieve a much sharper view of Was 49 than is available from the SDSS archival images. We obtained $5 \times 100$ second images in each filter, dithering by $30''$ between images. For the $u'$ band images, we took sky flats, and we took dome flats for the $g'$, $r'$, and $i'$ band. The fringing typically seen in the $z'$ band has a strong dependence on illumination, so we used the $z'$ band images themselves to make a flat field by taking their median. The airmass of the observations was between 1.01-1.06.

After reducing our data using the flat and bias frames, we removed cosmic rays using the Python implementation of L.A.Cosmic (van Dokkum 2001). We found that the sky background in our data is very uniform, so we chose a number of source-free regions neighboring Was 49 to calculate the mean sky background, which we subtracted from our images. We aligned our images using the IRAF task *imalign*. We co-added the aligned images within each band to produce mean and variance images. Our final, co-added images have an angular resolution of $\sim 0''.5 - 0''.6$ (FWHM).

We initially corrected the astrometric solution of our data with *Astrometry.net*, version 0.67 (Lang et al. 2010), using SExtractor, version 2.19.5 (Bertin & Arnouts 1996) and a custom index file we built directly from the SDSS DR12 *PhotoObj* table. We then refined our astrometric solution in the following manner: first, we cross-matched sources in the *PhotoObj* table with the *SpecObj* table to produce a list of extragalactic sources in our field. We then randomly sampled between 20 and 80 sources in the *PhotoObj* table in an iterative manner, at each iteration performing a least squares fit to a linear six parameter plate model and using the resultant WCS solution to cross-match the position of the extragalactic sources in our field to their SDSS positions. If the mean value of the astrometric residuals is improved at a given iteration, we stored the new WCS solution. We were able to achieve a mean astrometric accuracy relative to

4 http://obswww.unige.ch/~tewes/cosmics_dot_py/
SDSS of 24 milliarcseconds with 68 references.

We calculated photometric zeropoints by using SExtractor to obtain instrumental magnitudes and fluxes, which we fit to the SDSS PhotoObj catalog fluxes by using unresolved sources in our data and comparing their instrumental magnitudes to their point spread function (PSF) magnitudes. We fit the instrumental magnitudes to their PSF magnitudes by selecting all sources with $\text{psfMag} < 21$ to minimize uncertainty due to low S/N. As expected, the instrumental and PSF magnitudes of these sources are tightly correlated, with a residual scatter of 0.01-0.02 mag. We hereafter refer to the DCT Sloan magnitudes as simply $ugriz$. We show the flux-calibrated DCT $gri$ image of Was 49 in Figure 1 left.

We fit the Was 49 system with GALFIT, version 3.0.5 (Peng et al. 2002, 2010), using the $r$-band image. This band was selected because it does not overlap with major emission lines (Hβ, [O iii], Hα+[N ii]) at the redshift of the system, and a comparison with the BOSS optical spectrum of Was 49b indicates that the $r$-band has a minimum of emission line contamination ($\sim 6\%$). The $r$ variance image as described above was used as weighting and to calculate the reduced chi-squared of the fit, and our model of the system was convolved with an empirical PSF template constructed from stars within a few arcminutes of Was 49.

3. RESULTS

3.1. X-rays

We fit the X-ray spectrum of Was 49b with an absorbed power-law model. To account for the fraction of the intrinsic continuum that is scattered at large radii (e.g., Awaki et al. 2000), we append an additional power-law component multiplied by a constant that is free to vary, representing the scattered fraction. Explicitly, our model is: $\text{phabs*(zphabs*zpow*const*zpow)}$, with the second power-law component’s parameters tied to the first. We find a good fit, with $\chi^2$/dof = 81.76/67, $\Gamma = 1.6 \pm 0.1, N_H = 2.3^{+0.5}_{-0.4} \times 10^{23}$ cm$^{-2}$, and a scattering fraction of $3.8^{+1.6}_{-1.2}\%$ (see Figure 2). Our model yields unabsorbed fluxes (erg cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$) of $F_{0.5-195}$ keV = $(2.4 \pm 0.2) \times 10^{-11}$, $F_{2-10}$ keV = $4.0^{+0.6}_{-0.6} \times 10^{-12}$, and $F_{14-195}$ keV = $1.7^{+0.3}_{-0.2} \times 10^{-11}$. The corresponding intrinsic X-ray luminosities (erg s$^{-1}$) are $L_{0.5-195}$ keV = $(2.4 \pm 0.2) \times 10^{34}$, $L_{2-10}$ keV = $4.0^{+0.7}_{-0.6} \times 10^{34}$, and $L_{14-195}$ keV = $1.7^{+0.3}_{-0.2} \times 10^{34}$. We note an apparent absence of the Fe Kα 6.4 keV in the spectrum of Was 49b. We calculated an upper limit on the strength of this line by freezing the model parameters and adding a Gaussian model component at 6.4 keV with a width of $\sigma = 0.1$ keV. We calculate a 90% upper limit on the equivalent width (EW) of this line of $\sim 0.08$ keV. To test for the effect of grouping on our data, we repeated this procedure for an ungrouped version of our data, using Cash statistics (Cash 1979), but we found nearly identical results, with a 90% upper limit on the EW of $\sim 0.07$ eV. This upper limit on the Fe Kα EW, however, is not inconsistent with expectations for hydrogen column densities of $\sim 10^{23}$ cm$^{-2}$ (e.g., Murphy & Yaqoob 2003; Brightman & Nandra 2011). To estimate the bolometric luminosity and accretion rate of Was 49b, we used the relation between $L_{\text{bol}}$ and $L_{14-195}$ keV from Winter et al. (2012), giving $L_{\text{bol}} \sim 1.3 \times 10^{45}$ erg s$^{-1}$.

3.2. Black Hole Mass

Using a model of three Gaussian components derived from the [S ii] doublet, we achieved a good fit to the Hα+[N ii] complex after adding the additional broad line component (Figure 3). The FWHM of this broad line component is $6440 \pm 60$ km s$^{-1}$, where the uncertainty is 1σ and derived from the fit covariance matrix. As a check on this uncertainty, we created a ‘null’ spectrum without any additional broad Hα component, and using the best-fit parameters for the rest of the line components of Hα, [N ii], and He i. We then made 10$^5$ permutations of this spectrum, adding to each a broad line with a FWHM randomly chosen between 4000 and 7000 km s$^{-1}$, and with a flux randomly chosen between 1/3 and 3 times the flux of the line measured in the original spectrum. We then added Gaussian noise to the spectrum with sigma taken from the spectral uncertainty described in Figure 2. After fitting each spectrum with our model, we find that the scatter between the input FWHM and the fit FWHM has a standard deviation of $\sim 100$ km s$^{-1}$, which we take as a more realistic estimate of the uncertainty. We repeated this procedure on the SDSS spectrum of Was 49a, but we did not find evidence for an additional broad line component.

Because of the weakness of the He i line, one of the three Gaussian components had a flux consistent with zero. We removed this component to better constrain our model.
the environment around the AGN, with a FWHM of $\alpha$ somewhat with a knot of H$\alpha$ because it yields a more conservative estimate of the use the FWHM of the broad line from the Gaussian-based component is already factored into our fit of the $\alpha_{\text{FWHM}} = 6540 \; \text{km s}^{-1}$, with a scatter of 0.44 dex, and we calculate $R_{\text{BLR}} \sim 18$ light-days with an uncertainty of 52% ($\alpha_{\text{FWHM}} = 6440 \; \text{km s}^{-1}$, with about a factor of 2 increase in the uncertainty. To remain conservative, we retain our estimate of 6440 km s$^{-1}$ for the FWHM of the BLR, and we adopt a factor of 2 increase of the uncertainty, equal to the uncertainty of 200 km s$^{-1}$ assumed by [Kuo et al. 2011].

We calculate the black hole mass as $M_{\text{BH}} = fR_{\text{BLR}} \sigma_{\text{H} \alpha}^2/G$, where we adopt a virial coefficient of log $(f) = 0.72$ ([Woo et al. 2010], which has an intrinsic scatter of 0.44 dex, and we calculate $R_{\text{BLR}} \sim 18$ light-days with an uncertainty of 52% ([Kaspi et al. 2005]. Propagating these uncertainties and the uncertainty of the FWHM of the broad H$\alpha$, log $(M_{\text{BH}}/M_\odot) = 8.1 \pm 0.5$, where the uncertainty is 1σ, consistent with the mass estimate from [Nishiura & Taniguchi 1998].

Finally, we note that while the $L_{2-10 \; \text{keV}} - R_{\text{BLR}}$ relation from [Kuo et al. 2005] that we use has been shown to predict maser masses for several highly obscured AGNs like Was 49b to a high degree of accuracy ([Kuo et al. 2011]), there are some possible caveats. The objects studied in [Kuo et al. 2011] had typical $L_{2-10 \; \text{keV}}$ luminosities of about $10^{44}$ erg s$^{-1}$, over an order of magnitude less luminous than Was 49b. Of the objects studied in [Kaspi et al. 2005] with $L_{2-10 \; \text{keV}}$ luminosities similar to Was 49b, while most also have BLR sizes of around $\sim 20$ light-days, there are some outliers. The most notable is IC 4392A, with a BLR size of around $\sim 2$ light-days, despite having $L_{2-10 \; \text{keV}} = 4.5 \times 10^{43}$ erg s$^{-1}$. If Was 49b has a BLR of similar size, then its SMBH has a mass of log $(M_{\text{BH}}/M_\odot) \sim 7.2$, and it is radiating very close to its Eddington limit. This is inconsistent with its X-ray spectral properties, as we discuss in [Barr et al. 2009] but moreover the BLR size uncertainty for IC 4392A is very large, and as such it is not included in other works on the radius-luminosity relationship (e.g., [Barr et al. 2009]). Conversely, other objects with similar $L_{2-10 \; \text{keV}}$ luminosities to Was 49b that have much smaller BLR size uncertainties have BLR sizes that are quite large, up to $\sim 100$ light-days. This being the case, the SMBH in Was 49b has a mass of log $(M_{\text{BH}}/M_\odot) \sim 8.9$. Neither of these extremes is inconsistent with the 0.5 dex uncertainty that we have derived for our SMBH mass, and the SMBH may in fact be somewhat larger given the uncertainty associated with IC 4392A. With these considerations, we use the value of log $(M_{\text{BH}}/M_\odot) = 8.1 \pm 0.5$ originally calculated, noting the caveats detailed above.

### 3.3. Morphology

The best fit morphological model consists of seven model components, presented in Table 2. Was 49a was fit with four components, and Was 49b was fit with three. Both Was 49a and Was 49b have an unresolved nuclear source, although the source in Was 49a is considerably fainter. The unresolved nuclear source in Was 49b is coincident with the Chandra X-ray source and is buried within a region of extensive ionization (Figure 4, top left panel). The surrounding ionized region extends $\sim 1''5$

![Figure 3. BOSS spectrum of Was 49b. The model spectrum is in red, the residuals are in grey, and the zero flux line is in green. We plot the additional broad H$\alpha$ component as the dashed black line.](image)
The results of our morphological fitting \( g - r \) directly. Instead, we utilized the fact that \( g - r \) is correlated with galaxy morphology, as quantified by the Sérsic index \( n \) \citep[e.g.,][]{Blanton2009}. For galaxies with a Sérsic index of \( \sim 1 \), the typical \( g - r \) color is about 0.4-0.5. We estimated that the scatter on this relationship is \( \sim 0.2 \) using galaxies from the bulge+disk decomposition catalog of \cite{Simard2011} with Sérsic indices between 0.5 and 1.5. Propagating this scatter and conservatively assuming an uncertainty of 50% for the corresponding mass-to-light ratio \( \log (M/L_g) = 0.26 \), we find that the stellar mass of the bulge of Was 49b is \( \log (M_*/M_\odot) = 9.75 \pm 0.27 \).

We also attempted to constrain the velocity dispersion of Was 49b, using a high S/N SDSS spectrum of a K-type star as a template for a faint Ca II K line seen in the BOSS spectrum. We subtracted a second-order polynomial from the continuum on either side of the Ca II K line, and normalized the line to the corresponding feature in the rest-frame spectrum of Was 49b. We iteratively stepped through a range of velocity dispersions \( \sigma_g \), between 70 km s\(^{-1}\) and 500 km s\(^{-1}\), in increments of 10 km s\(^{-1}\), convolving the star’s Ca II K line with the corresponding Gaussian kernel, and measuring \( \chi^2 \) versus \( \sigma_g \). At each step, we also added Gaussian noise to the original spectrum of Was 49b, taken from the variance of the spectrum, in order to estimate the significance of our results. We found that the faintness of the Ca II K line precluded us from constraining the velocity dispersion of Was 49b to any useful range.

The unresolved nuclear component in Was 49b may be stellar in nature. While its \( g - r \) color of \( \sim -0.1 \) indicates considerable emission line contamination in the \( g \) band, it has an \( i - g \) color of \( \sim -0.7 \), much lower than the surrounding ionized region, which has an \( i - g \) of \( \sim 0.4 \) to \( \sim 0.1 \). Furthermore, we do not expect the optical counterpart of Was 49b to be composed significantly of direct emission from the AGN, owing to a high level of line-of-sight obscuration \( E(B-V) \). Using the empirical relationship between \( E(B-V) \) and \( N_\text{H} \) for AGNs \cite{Maio2001}, \( N_\text{H} = 2.3 \times 10^{23} \text{ cm}^{-2} \) corresponds to an \( A_V \) of \( \sim 12 \), given \( R_V = 3.1 \). If the nuclear component is stellar, then it may be a nuclear star cluster (NSC). NSCs are massive, dense clusters of stars that are often present in late-type and bulgeless galaxies in the absence of a classical bulge, and are unique in that they are characterized by recurrent star formation and younger stellar populations \citep{Walcher2006}. If we suppose that this possible NSC has a similar mass-to-light ratio as the surrounding bulge, then it has a stellar mass of \( \sim 2 \times 10^8 M_\odot \), which is consistent with expectations for NSCs, which are generally 1 to 10 times the mass of their SMBHs \cite{Seth2008}. However, we emphasize that this is a highly tentative estimate, as the mass-to-light ratio is not known and the contribution to the \( r \) band from residual line contamination and scattered AGN continuum has not been calculated.

To estimate the stellar mass ratio of Was 49b to Was 49a, we calculated their \( r \)-band light ratio. Without their nuclear components, the \( r \)-band light ratio of Was 49b to Was 49a is 1:7. With the addition of the nuclear components, the \( r \)-band light ratio is 1:6; however, we again urge caution that the unresolved nuclear component in Was 49b may be considerably contami-
nated by non-stellar emission. We have not included the tidal feature associated with Was 49a and modeled in our galfit analysis. This tidal feature may be some unknown mixture of material from Was 49a and Was 49b, and so we cannot quantify its contribution to either. If, however, this feature is material entirely with Was 49a, the $r$-band light ratio is 1:13, in line with the $K$-band light ratio calculated below. Conversely, if this feature is material entirely from Was 49b, the light ratio is 1:1, implying that the Was 49 system a major merger. There are strong reasons why we do not consider this to be the case, as we will discuss in [4].

We note that residuals in Figure 5, right, can be interpreted as being a mixture of tidal streams and regions of star formation in the disk of Was 49a, as can be seen in the $gri$ image presented in Figure 1 left. It is plausible that at least some of the tidal streams seen in the residual image originate in the progenitor galaxy of Was 49b, perhaps in a disk that was tidally stripped earlier in the merger. In order to get a sense for the maximum possible mass that we might be missing, we summed the entire residual image. We find an absolute $r$-band magnitude of the residuals of -18.1, corresponding to $2.3 \times 10^9 M_\odot$, assuming a similar mass-to-light ratio. The maximum total galaxy mass of Was 49b would therefore be $\sim 8 \times 10^9 M_\odot$

We also note that we do not have reason to think that we may be underestimating the stellar mass of Was 49b due to dust obscuration. We examined this possibility by measuring the $K$-band light ratio of Was 49b to Was 49a using an image from the UKIRT Infrared Deep Sky Survey (UKIDSS; Lawrence et al. 2007), taken 2010 February 27. At 2.2$\mu$m the AGN is manifest as a dominant, unresolved source in Was 49b (Figure 6 left). Because of the dominance of the AGN and the shallowness of the UKIDSS image, it was difficult to model any extended emission in Was 49b using Galfit, as was done for the DCT images. We instead subtracted the AGN and measured the remaining emission by determining the expected $K$-band AGN luminosity given its intrinsic luminosity found in [3.1]. Using five bright stars within a few arcminutes of Was 49, we made an empirical template of the PSF. We found that we could accurately model the stars in the image with a PSF composed of three Gaussian components. With our PSF template, we fit the unresolved source in Was 49b (Figure 6, left). Because of the dominance of the AGN, we subtracted both the $V$- and the $K$-band magnitude of 13.52, corresponding to $L_K,\text{observed} = 3.34 \times 10^{43}$ erg s$^{-1}$. Before subtracting this unresolved source from the $K$-band image, we performed a check to ensure that it is consistent with the expected AGN emission. Using the value of $A_V \sim 12$ for direct AGN continuum calculated above and the extinction curve of Cardelli et al. (1989), we find $A_K \sim 1.3$, implying an intrinsic AGN luminosity of $L_K \sim 10^{44}$ erg s$^{-1}$. If we assume that the intrinsic spectral energy distribution is approximately flat in the near to mid-IR for luminous AGNs, then we can use $L_K \sim L_{6\mu m} \sim L_{2-10 \text{ keV}}$ (e.g., Mateos et al. 2015) to calculate $L_{K,\text{expected}} \sim 4 \times 10^{43}$ erg s$^{-1}$, consistent with the intrinsic value, given the scatter on $E_{B-V}/N_H$ and

### Table 2

| Was 49 | RA Decl. | $M_r^\dagger$ | $\log L_r^{\dagger}$ | $R_{\text{eff}}$ | $R_{\text{eff}}^\dagger$ | $n$ | $b/a$ | PA (deg) |
|--------|----------|---------------|------------------------|----------------|-----------------|-----|-----|---------|
| a: nucleus | 12$^h$14$^m$18$^s$.256 +29$^\circ$31′46″66′′ | -16.7 | 8.54 | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... |
| a: bulge | 12$^h$14$^m$18$^s$.256 +29$^\circ$31′46″66′′ | -20.0 | 9.86 | 0.96 | 1.19 | 1.43 | 0.80 | 82.9 |
| a: disk | 12$^h$14$^m$18$^s$.281 +29$^\circ$31′47″12′′ | -20.8 | 10.2 | 5.26 | 6.49 | 0.42 | 0.41 | 77.2 |
| a: tidal | 12$^h$14$^m$18$^s$.303 +29$^\circ$31′44″56′′ | -21.0 | 10.3 | 8.02 | 9.90 | 0.36 | 0.73 | 27.1 |
| b: nucleus | 12$^h$14$^m$17′.819 +29$^\circ$31′43″11′′ | -17.8 | 8.99 | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... |
| b: bulge | 12$^h$14$^m$17′.816 +29$^\circ$31′43″14′′ | -19.1 | 9.50 | 1.31 | 1.62 | 1.07 | 0.68 | 344.0 |
| b: ionization | 12$^h$14$^m$17′.789 +29$^\circ$31′43″79′′ | -18.2 | 9.14 | 0.52 | 0.64 | 0.41 | 0.63 | 340.1 |

Note: the labeling of components by ‘a’ or ‘b’ is based on their spatial association.

† $r$-band luminosity $L_r$ calculated using $M_r,\odot = 4.67$ as per Bell et al. (2003).
Figure 6. Result of our model of the AGN in Was 49b as an unresolved source in the $K$-band UKIDSS image, displayed with an arcsinh scale.

$L_{\text{6\mu m}}/L_{2-10\text{ keV}}$. As the observed unresolved source in Was 49b is consistent with expectations for the AGN, we subtract it from the background-subtracted $K$-band image, and photometer the remaining emission in Was 49b for comparison with Was 49a. We do not attempt to model any contribution to the $K$-band emission from the AGN in Was 49a, as the faint, soft X-ray source in Was 49a (§3) suggests that the 2-10 keV luminosity, and therefore the expected $K$ band luminosity, is negligible. We used the position and inclination angle for the disk of Was 49a (Table 2) to build an elliptical isophotal profile of Was 49a, which we interpolated across the position of Was 49b using a low-order polynomial and taking the median isophotal value. Subtracting this profile, and estimating the variance from a source-free region in the UKIDSS image, the $K$-band light ratio is consistent with the Was 49 system being a $\sim 1:15^{+17}_{-15}$ merger, where the confidence intervals are $\sim 90\%$. We emphasize that these confidence intervals assume that the AGN has been accurately subtracted from Was 49b. This may not be the case, as there is scatter in the relationship between the intrinsic X-ray luminosity and the intrinsic IR luminosity of AGNs. If our model of the AGN over-estimated the observed $K$-band luminosity of the AGN, then the $K$-band light ratio would be somewhat less. It is less likely, however, that our model under-estimated the observed $K$-band luminosity of the AGN, as increasing the modeled luminosity would quickly lead to negative flux values when subtracting it from the $K$-band data. With these considerations, we consider Was 49 to be a minor merger, with a mass ratio between $\sim 1:7$ and $\sim 1:15$.

4. DISCUSSION

Our results show that the AGN in Was 49b is radiating at a very high luminosity ($\sim 10^{45}$ erg s$^{-1}$), and is hosted by a possible dE galaxy in the disk of Was 49a. Without the presence of a stellar host for Was 49b, Was 49b may have been a candidate for a recoiling black hole, as SMBH coalescence is thought to be the final stage in galaxy mergers and some SMBHs may be kicked out by gravitational-wave recoils at thousands of km s$^{-1}$, manifesting as an offset quasar for up to tens of Myr (e.g., Blecha & Loeb 2008, Blecha et al. 2011). However, Was 49a would also have been left with no black hole, which is not the case, and the apparent co-rotation of Was 49b with the disk of Was 49a (Moran et al. 1992) would be difficult to explain. Compared to other known dual AGNs, the Was 49 system is highly unusual in that it is a minor merger with the more luminous AGN hosted in the secondary galaxy. In all but one of the dual AGNs with BAT detections studied by Koss et al. (2012), the BAT AGN is hosted in the more massive galaxy, and the sole exception (NGC 3758) is a major merger (stellar mass ratio of 1:2).

We may gain some insight by comparing Was 49 to results from a numerical simulation of a gas-rich minor merger (Callegari et al. 2011, Van Wassenhove et al. 2012). This simulation of a coplanar, minor (1:10) merger bears some resemblance to the Was 49 system, given the apparent co-rotation of Was 49b within the disk of Was 49a, and the fact that the AGN in the primary galaxy is largely quiescent throughout the merger. However, the secondary AGN only rarely reaches high luminosities ($L_{\text{bol}} > 10^{43}$ erg s$^{-1}$). Capelo et al. (2015) also simulate a coplanar, 1:10 merger, and find similar results. However, these simulations assumed that the central BHs were initially on the $M_{\text{BH}}$-$M_{\text{bulge}}$ relation: the the Haring & Rix (2004) relation in the case of former; the Marconi & Hunt (2003) relationship in the case of the latter. Consequently, their secondary BHs start at masses of $6 \times 10^{4}$ and $3.5 \times 10^{5}$ $M_{\odot}$, respectively, much smaller than the mass of $1.3 \times 10^{8}$ $M_{\odot}$ we find for Was 49b. Assuming a similar Eddington ratio and scaling the bolometric luminosity of the secondary BH in the Capelo et al. (2015) simulation up by the ratio of BH masses, their simulation implies that Was 49b should have a bolometric luminosity of a few times $10^{44} - 10^{45}$ erg s$^{-1}$, in line with the bolometric luminosity we calculated in §3. However, it is not clear how meaningful this scaling is, as the bulge mass of Was 49b is about 30 times larger. Moreover, while the BHs in these simulations were $\sim 0.03 - 0.2\%$ the mass of their host bulge as expected from scaling relations, the BH in Was 49b is apparently over-massive, at about 2.3%. It is therefore not certain how well these numerical studies can inform our picture of the Was 49 system.

While the uncertainty on our SMBH mass of 0.5 dex means that it is possible that the SMBH is not as over-massive than the data suggests, we note that if Was 49b does follow the above BH-galaxy scaling relations, then the AGN would be radiating very near its Eddington limit. However, the hard X-ray spectral index of $\Gamma = 1.6$ implies that the AGN is radiating at a small fraction of its Eddington limit (e.g., Brightman et al. 2016, and references therein). For example, the relation between the Eddington ratio $\lambda_{\text{Edd}}$ and $\Gamma$ from Brightman et al. (2013) implies that the AGN in Was 49b is radiating at
only $\sim 1\%$ of its Eddington limit, and other relations between $\lambda_{\text{edd}}$ and $\Gamma$ from the literature consistently imply that the Eddington ratio is only a few percent. Given the bolometric luminosity calculated in $3.1$, the Eddington ratio for a $1.3 \times 10^8 M_\odot$ black hole is 0.08, meaning that our mass estimate is generally consistent with the X-ray spectral index.

Conversely, for a SMBH of $M_{\text{BH}} = 1.3 \times 10^8 M_\odot$, the host spheroid should have a mass of $\sim 10^{11} M_\odot$, using the same scaling relations as Callegari et al. (2011) and Capelo et al. (2013), making the Was 49 system a major merger, which is not the case, owing to two considerations. First, in a major merger between two disk galaxies, both disks are severely disrupted, while in minor mergers the disk of primary galaxy is left relatively unperturbed (e.g., Cox et al. 2008; Hopkins et al. 2009), and there is no known mechanism by which only one member of an equal-mass system can be stripped. Second, while a stellar disk can reform following a major merger (e.g., Robertson et al. 2006; Governato et al. 2009), the Was 49 merger is still ongoing, as Was 49b is at a projected separation from Was 49a of $\sim 8$ kpc. This implies that the disk of Was 49a is the original. Moreover, Was 49a has a typical disk galaxy rotation curve (Moran et al. 1992), which strongly disfavors significant perturbation by Was 49b.

Empirically, Was 49 is not the only minor merger in which the smaller galaxy hosts the more luminous AGN. For example, NGC 3341 is a minor merger composed of two dwarf galaxies merging with a giant disk galaxy. One of the dwarf galaxies, 1/25 the size of the primary galaxy, hosts an AGN with an X-ray luminosity of $4.6 \times 10^{41}$ erg s$^{-1}$, while the primary galaxy is likely quiescent (Bianchi et al. 2013). Was 49b is 100 times more luminous, making it a unique system that may provide insight into the nature of minor-merger driven AGN fueling.

As noted in $3.3$, Was 49a is a consistent with being a pseudobulge galaxy, a morphology with a distinctly different and largely merger-free origin, suggesting that it has not gone through any major mergers in the recent past. Indeed, the Was 49 system is isolated: a manual inspection of SDSS images/spectra shows that there are no other major galaxies ($M_r \lesssim -20$) within $\pm 1,000$ km s$^{-1}$ with a projected distance closer than about 1 Mpc. Was 49b, being consistent with a dE galaxy in terms of mass and light profile, may have once been a late-type/dwarf galaxy that was transformed into an elliptical morphology via galaxy ‘harassment’ (e.g., Moore et al. 1996), or it may have been a primordial tidal dwarf galaxy (Dabringhausen & Kroupa 2013).

The isolation of the system, however, implies that whatever morphological changes Was 49b underwent happened during the beginning of its encounter with Was 49a, and so it has not been severely tidally stripped (unlike, for example, the SMBH-hosting ultracompact dwarf galaxy M60-UCD1; Seth et al. 2014), suggesting that the SMBH was intrinsically over-massive, or that perhaps the black hole’s growth during the early phase of the merger happened well before the buildup of its host galaxy (e.g., Medling et al. 2015). If Was 49b was originally a late-type/dwarf galaxy, its SMBH is a factor of $10^2$-$10^4$ times more massive than other black holes found in this galaxy type, which are typically between $10^4$-$10^6 M_\odot$ (e.g., Filippenko & Ho 2003; Barth et al. 2004; Shields et al. 2008; Izotov & Thuan 2008; Reines et al. 2011; Secrest et al. 2012; Dong et al. 2012; Reines et al. 2013; Secrest et al. 2013; Maksym et al. 2014; Moran et al. 2014; Secrest et al. 2015; Mezcua et al. 2016; Satyapal et al. 2016), potentially giving new insight into how SMBHs form and grow in isolated systems. For example, there has been recent work that has suggested that BH mass growth at higher redshifts precedes bulge growth (e.g., Zhang et al. 2012), while other work has found no such effect (e.g., Schulze & Wisotzki 2014). Numerical simulations suggest an evolution in BH/galaxy scaling relations with redshift (e.g., Sijacki et al. 2013; Volonteri et al. 2016), although it is not a dramatic effect, and numerical simulations also predict increasing scatter in BH/bulge scaling relations with decreasing bulge mass (e.g., Jahnke & Macciò 2011). Nonetheless, if early BH growth is indeed common, it may help explain systems like Was 49b. A detailed discussion of this topic is, however, beyond the scope of this work.

5. CONCLUSIONS

We have performed a morphological decomposition of the Was 49 system using GALFIT and high-resolution optical images from the DCT, as well as a comprehensive X-ray analysis of Was 49b between 0.5-195 keV using data from Chandra, NuSTAR, and Swift. Our main results can be summarized as follows:

1. Was 49, an isolated, dual AGN system, is a pseudobulge disk galaxy Was 49a in a minor merger ($\sim$1.7 to $\sim$1.15) with a potential dwarf elliptical galaxy Was 49b of stellar mass $5.6_{-2.6}^{+4.9} \times 10^9 M_\odot$. The black hole mass of Was 49b is $1.3_{-0.9}^{+0.8} \times 10^8 M_\odot$, $\sim$2.3% as massive as the galaxy it resides in and larger than black hole scaling relations predict.

2. The AGN in Was 49b is extremely luminous, with an intrinsic 0.5-195 keV luminosity of $L_{0.5-195\text{ keV}} = (2.4 \pm 0.2) \times 10^{44}$ erg s$^{-1}$, and a bolometric luminosity of $L_{\text{bol}} \sim 2 \times 10^{45}$ erg s$^{-1}$. This is highly unusual for an AGN in the smaller galaxy of a minor-merger system, and makes Was 49 a unique system that can potentially yield insights into how AGNs are triggered in minor mergers.
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