Overweight in Rural Quilombola and Non-quilombola Adolescents From the Northeast of Brazil
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Introduction: Overweight is an emerging problem among children and adolescents that leads to the development of several morbidities and health risks. Overweight occurs differently in different populations, especially in vulnerable groups like the rural and quilombola communities (an African-descendant population). This study aimed to estimate the prevalence of overweight and to investigate the possible associated factors in rural adolescents living in both quilombola and non-quilombola communities in Northeast Brazil.

Methods: This study is a population-based cross-sectional study with a household approach carried out in 2015 with 390 adolescents (age 10–19 years) living in rural quilombola and non-quilombola communities. The nutritional status was gauged using z-scores calculated for body mass index (BMI) and varies with gender and age. Prevalence ratios (PRs) and 95% confidence intervals (95% CIs) were used to establish associations between the results and explained variables. The multivariate analysis followed a model with a hierarchical entry of covariables controlled by gender and age.

Results: The study showed that 18.5% of rural adolescents were overweight, of which 17.9% were quilombolas and 19.0% were non-quilombolas. A significant difference in overweight between the samples was not found. In the multivariate-adjusted model, age ≥16 years (PR: 0.51; 95% CI: 0.28–0.95), the habit of having regular breakfast (PR: 0.58; 95% CI: 0.35–0.98), and process of attending school (PR: 0.35; 95% CI: 0.17–0.71) were associated with a lower prevalence of overweight. Stationary screen time, in contrast, was associated with a higher prevalence (PR: 1.61; 95% CI: 1.05–2.46). The process of attending school was associated with a lower prevalence of overweight (PR: 0.26; 95% CI: 0.09–0.69), even for the quilombolas.

Conclusions: A low prevalence of overweight was identified in rural adolescents. Overweight was significantly associated with the habit of having regular breakfast, older age, stationary screen time, and the process of attending school. The results reveal
that school is a potential space for health promotion interventions, specifically in the most vulnerable rural regions, such as the quilombola communities. Besides, the study emphasizes the importance of adopting a healthy lifestyle early in life, including cultivating the habit of having regular breakfast and reducing stationary screen time.
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**INTRODUCTION**

Adolescence is a period in which physical and psychological changes that contribute to vulnerability occur in one’s life cycle (1). During this period, there is a great change in body composition due to many factors, such as eating habits, physical activities, age, and gender (2).

In the adolescent population, an increase in sedentariness and a decrease in physical activity are frequently observed; poor eating habits, including a high intake of ultra-processed foods, long intervals between meals, low intake of fruits and vegetables, and replacement of traditional meals with fast food are common (3, 4). These behaviors contribute to weight gain and metabolic alterations, besides being risk factors for nutritional deficiencies and non-communicable chronic diseases. Poor eating habits and decreased physical activity have both short- and long-term effects on adulthood (5).

Overweight and obesity are considered the most direct consequences of these bodily changes and emerging problems among children and adolescents (6). A study that gathered and analyzed data from 2,416 population-based studies included 31.5 million children and adolescents worldwide between 5 and 19 years of age and showed that the prevalence of obesity increased from 0.7 to 5.6% in girls and 0.9 to 7.8% in boys between 1975 and 2016 (7). Obesity was prevalent in more than 30% of children and adolescents living in Oceania and around 20% of children and adolescents in Polynesia and Micronesia, the Middle East, north of Africa, the Caribbean, and the USA (7). In Brazil, results of the Pesquisa Nacional de Saúde do Escolar (PeNSE), a national school-based health survey carried out in 2015, showed that 23.7 and 7.8% of adolescents between 13 and 17 years of age were overweight or obese, respectively, in the capitals and metropolitan areas of the country (8). In the Northeast region, 20.5% were overweight and 6.4% were obese (8).

However, such problems can occur in different ways in different populations. Considering the social and economic contexts, especially in the urban/rural Brazilian scenario, access to education and health services in the rural area affects people in all age groups (9). In the traditionally vulnerable groups like the quilombola communities, the health conditions are poor (10), especially concerning bad eating habits and overweight (11). Quilombola communities are distributed throughout Brazil, and most of them are located in rural areas of the Northeast (12). These communities still live with social inequities (13, 14) and suffer the effects of historical racial segregation and expropriation (12).

Among the quilombola adolescents, discrimination can influence growth issues, including health, in a negative way (15). A study carried out in the Northeast of Brazil showed differences in the intake of healthy food between quilombola and non-quilombola rural adolescents. Quilombola adolescents had a lower intake of milk, vegetables, and fruits when compared to the non-quilombola adolescents (16), which may adversely impact the weight of this population.

Considering that adolescence is a vulnerable period for human development, healthy habits, and learned behaviors during this period can have long-term consequences extending to adulthood. Therefore, it is necessary to investigate the occurrence of and factors causing overweight in this age group. Identifying overweight in rural adolescents can help prevent health problems, specifically among the quilombolas. Therefore, this study aimed to estimate the prevalence of overweight and the factors associated with it in rural adolescents from the Northeast of Brazil.

**METHODS**

**Study Design, Population, and Sample**

This is a population-based cross-sectional study with a household approach carried out with adolescents, 10–19 years old (17), from rural communities of Vitoria da Conquista, State of Bahia, Northeast of Brazil. The study analyzes data from the research “Adolescer: saúde do adolescente da zona rural e seus condicionantes” (Adolescer: Rural Adolescent Health and Its Conditioning) carried out in 2015.

To carry out the population estimate, we collected data from Brazilian Primary Health Care forms used by the community health workers during the household visits. The Program of Community Health Workers covered 97.4% of the rural area of Vitoria da Conquista at the time of the study.

We used a sampling strategy that took into account the territorial extension of rural communities and populations of adolescents to ensure viability and representativeness of the research. The sampling principles used were as follows: (1) the number of households was selected proportionally to the number of adolescents per community and (2) only one adolescent was interviewed per household. Moreover, in order to obtain valid estimates for quilombola and non-quilombola populations, the sample size was calculated separately for each stratum.

We calculated the sample size using the following criteria: a prevalence of 50%, given the heterogeneity of the events measured in the main project; an accuracy of 5%; a confidence level of 95%; a design effect equal to 1.0; and an addition of 15% for possible losses. However, as only one adolescent per household was interviewed and because the number of households was smaller for the quilombola communities, 7.1% of
losses were added to the quilombola stratum. OpenEpi, version 3.01 (open source epidemiological statistics for public health) (18), was used for this estimation. The presence of severe mental disorders among adolescents was used as an exclusion criterion.

Sampling for non-quilombola adolescents was carried out in two stages: (1) random selection of households with adolescents according to the proportional distribution of adolescents per community and (2) random selection of adolescents in each household. For the quilombola sample, only random selection of adolescents in each household was used. All adolescents had the same probability of inclusion in the study.

The research was approved by the Institutional Review Board of the Federal University of Bahia (Comitê de ética em pesquisa com seres humanos da Universidade Federal da Bahia—Instituto Multidisciplinar em Saúde—Campus Anisio Teixeira) under rule number 639.966. The participants received information about the research objectives and data confidentiality prior to study initiation. They were required to read and sign the free informed consent form and informed consent form for adolescents under 18 years of age.

Data Collection Survey

For data collection, a semistructured survey was formulated based on questionnaires from national inquiries, such as Pesquisa Nacional de Saúde do Escolar (PeNSE) by the national school-based health survey and Pesquisa Nacional de Saúde (PNS) by the National Health Survey (19, 20). The software, Questionnaire Development System (QDSTM™, NOVA Research Company) version 2.6.1, was used for constructing and visualizing the questionnaires.

The survey was divided into two parts: (i) the first part was answered by the adolescents or their legal representatives and addressed general characteristics regarding the residence, income, and schooling of the household; (ii) the second part was answered only by the adolescents (in the absence of their parents and in a comfortable place that guaranteed confidentiality of the answers and minimized potential information bias) and addressed the characteristics of the adolescents, support from society, characteristics of their work, lifestyle, perception of health conditions and self-image, deficiencies (intellectual, physical, hearing, and visual), use of illicit drugs, accidents and violence, sexual and reproductive health, oral health and hygiene, and use of health services.

The final version of the survey was evaluated after the pretests and a pilot study. The vocabulary and response options were adapted and normalized for the rural context while retaining the original validated structure for better understanding and ensuring comparability of information. Pretests were performed, and the survey was normalized based on (1) language, (2) sequence of and coherence between questions, (3) instructions on questions to be skipped, and (4) the time required to finish the survey. After the pilot study, new changes were made to the language to obtain the final version.

The pilot study was carried out in December 2014 in a rural community that was originally not a participant in the main study; the population was equal to 10% of the sample size of the main study. The exclusion criteria included situations in which both the adolescents or their legal representatives were unable to answer the questions because they were drunk at the time of data collection or had serious mental health problems and cognitive interference.

Data Collection

The data were collected between January and May 2015. To ensure the credibility of the data, interviews were repeated for 5% of the samples within 7 days after the interview. The interviewers received training to conduct the interviews and used portable computers (HP Pocket Rx5710).

The interviewing team was composed of 15 undergraduate students from nursing, pharmacy, nutrition, medicine, and psychology branches, who had previously participated in rural research projects. They received a 20-h training conducted by the coordination team and focused on the following aspects: approaching adolescents, conducting interviews, ethical aspects, measuring anthropometric measures, handling and using equipment and software, mapping territories, and identifying households.

The anthropometric measurements were done according to Normas Técnicas do Sistema de Vigilância Alimentar e Nutricional (SISVAN) do Brasil—Brazilian Technical Rules of Diet and Nutrition Surveillance System (21). The weight was measured in kilograms (kg) for barefoot individuals wearing light clothes using a portable scale (Marte, model LC 200pp) having a maximum capacity of 200 kg and precision of 0.05 kg. The height was measured in centimeters (cm) for barefoot individuals in a standing posture using a portable stadiometer (CauMag, model est-22) with lateral readings, a maximum height of 2 m, and graduations in millimeters (mm).

Variables

The nutritional status was gauged by calculating the body mass index (BMI) and height deficit. BMI was classified according to the curves proposed by World Health Organization (WHO) using WHO AntroPlus version 1.0.4. The software calculates Z-scores for BMIs based on gender and age (22). The cutoff points include low weight (−2 > z-score ≥ −3), eutrophy (+1 ≥ z-score ≥ −2), overweight (+2 > z-score > +1), and obesity (z-score > +2) (23). Based on the cutoff points, overweight and obesity were identified through responses in the questionnaire; the responses were categorized as “yes” (z-score > +1) and “no” (z-score ≤+1). The height deficit for age was evaluated with the following cutoff points: deficit present (−2 > z-score ≥ −3) and deficit absent (z-score ≥ −2) (23).

The independent variables were gender; age; race/color (non-black—white, Asian, Brazilian indigenous; black—mulatto and black); school years; economic level (A/B and C/D—Associação Brasileira de Pesquisas e Mercados—Brazilian Association of Market Research) (24); currently attending school; family composition; the number of close friends; experience with bullying; the practice of physical activities (active, ≥300 min/week; inactive, <300 min/week) (25); stationary screen time (the time spent in front of the TV daily >2 h) (26); regular intake of unhealthy food (intake of typically unhealthy food ≥5 days per week, such as processed meats, crackers, cookies, fried...
chips, dainties, and soda); breakfast intake (frequency $\geq 5$ days per week) (27); habit of eating while watching TV (frequency $\geq 5$ days per week) (27).

**Statistical Analysis**

Simple frequencies were calculated, and the differences between *quilombola* and *non-quilombola* samples were compared using Pearson's chi-square or Fisher's exact test. Differences between proportions were assessed using Pearson's chi-square or Fisher's exact test. The prevalence ratio (PR) and 95% confidence interval (95% CI) were used to estimate the association between the results and explained variables. Poisson regression with robust variance was used for the multivariate model to obtain better estimates of PR for very frequent results.

The multivariate analysis followed the model of hierarchical entry of co-variables in blocks controlled by gender and age according to the following sequence: social demography and economic status; family and social context; lifestyle and health conditions (*Figure 1*). Models were built with samples from each community (*quilombola* and *non-quilombola*), and all the co-variables that presented associations with the results, with significance levels under 20% in the bivariate analysis, were included in the initial models. A level of significance under 5% was used in all the tests and for the permanence of variables in the final model. The models were compared through the Akaike criterion, and the adequacy of the predicted values was assessed by the chi-square test, since the models were nested and had different covariables, and followed a chi-square distribution.

To evaluate the effect of losses on the outcome, the natural expansion factors were calibrated (28). Overweight estimates were compared using the test of proportions for the entire sample and each stratum. The Stata program, version 15.0 (Stata Corporation, College Station, USA), was used for data analysis.

**RESULTS**

The study interviewed 390 adolescents; 167 lived in *quilombola* and 223 lived in *non-quilombola* communities, with losses of 15.2 and 7.9%, respectively. The losses varied with sex, with a higher prevalence in males for *non-quilombola* adolescents ($p = 0.038$). However, the estimated outcomes, with and without the calibration factor for this variable for the entire sample and for each stratum, did not present significant differences. Therefore, the variable was considered in the analyses performed.

Of the 390 adolescents who participated in the research, data on the nutritional status were missing in seven (three losses for height and four for weight), resulting in a total...
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Among the participants, 18.5% (95% CI: 14.9–22.8%) presented overweight (overweight/obesity)—17.9% (95% CI: 12.7–24.6%) were quilombolas and 19.0% (95% CI: 14.3–24.8%) non-quilombolas. Height deficiency occurred in 4.4% (95% CI: 2.7–6.9%) of rural adolescents—6.7% (95% CI: 3.7–11.7%) were quilombolas and 2.7% (95% CI: 1.2–5.9%) were non-quilombolas (Figure 3).

In the bivariate analysis, the prevalence of overweight was significantly higher among adolescents with stationary screen time (PR: 1.58; 95% CI: 1.03–2.41). The prevalence was lower in those who attended school (PR: 0.50; 95% CI: 0.30–0.83) and had the habit of having breakfast regularly (PR: 0.59; 95% CI: 0.36–0.96). The quilombola adolescents who attended school had a lower prevalence of overweight (PR: 0.33; 95% CI: 0.17–0.63). No association was observed between overweight and explained variances among non-quilombolas (Table 1).

In the multivariate-adjusted model, overweight among rural adolescents was negatively associated with age ≥16 years (PR: 0.51; 95% CI: 0.28–0.95), the habit of having breakfast regularly (PR: 0.58; 95% CI: 0.35–0.98), and the condition of attending school (PR: 0.35; 95% CI: 0.17–0.71). Stationary screen time increased the occurrence of overweight (PR: 1.61; 95% CI: 1.05–2.46). For quilombolas, overweight remained associated with attending school (PR: 0.26; 95% CI: 0.09–0.69). In the adjusted model for non-quilombolas, despite the absence of statistical significance, stationary screen time (PR: 1.61; 95% CI: 0.92–2.83) was important to explain the results (Table 2).
DISCUSSION

The present study evidenced a low prevalence of overweight among rural adolescents, in line with data recorded previously for the adolescent population in Brazil (23.7%) (8). Aspects such as having breakfast regularly, attending school, and being in the age group \( \geq 16 \) years reduced the prevalence of overweight, whereas stationary screen time increased the prevalence.
## TABLE 1 | Overweight among quilombola and non-quilombola adolescents, according to studied variables, from a rural area of the Northeast of Brazil (n = 383).

| Variances                                      | Total sample | Non-quilombola | Quilombola |
|-----------------------------------------------|--------------|----------------|------------|
|                                               | n (%)        | p-value PR 95% CI | n (%)        | p-value PR 95% CI | n (%)        | p-value PR 95% CI |
| Living in quilombola community                |              |                |            |                |            |                |
| No                                           | 42 (19.0)    | 1.00           | -          | -              | -          | -              |
| Yes                                          | 29 (17.9)    | 0.94           | 0.61-1.45  | -              | -          | -              |
| Economic level                               | 0.147        | 0.160          | 0.625      |                |            |                |
| B/C                                          | 33 (22.2)    | 1.00           | -          | 28 (22.7)      | 1.00       | -              |
| D/E                                          | 38 (16.2)    | 0.73           | 0.48-1.12  | 17 (15.3)      | 0.67       | 0.39-1.18      |
| Gender                                       | 0.124        | 0.125          | 0.558      |                |            |                |
| Male                                         | 29 (15.4)    | 1.00           | -          | 17 (15.0)      | 1.00       | -              |
| Female                                       | 42 (21.5)    | 1.40           | 0.91-2.14  | 25 (23.2)      | 1.54       | 0.88-2.69      |
| Age                                          | 0.404        | 0.100          | 0.674      |                |            |                |
| 12 years old                                 | 29 (22.1)    | 1.00           | -          | 21 (18.6)      | 1.00       | -              |
| 13–15 years old                              | 18 (15.8)    | 0.71           | 0.42-1.21  | 10 (15.4)      | 0.58       | 0.29-1.14      |
| 16 years old or more                         | 24 (17.4)    | 0.79           | 0.48-1.28  | 11 (14.3)      | 0.54       | 0.28-1.04      |
| Race/color                                    | 0.877        | 0.454          | 0.417      |                |            |                |
| Not black                                     | 16 (18.0)    | 1.00           | -          | 10 (15.9)      | 1.00       | -              |
| Black                                         | 55 (18.7)    | 1.04           | 0.63-1.72  | 32 (20.3)      | 1.28       | 0.67-2.44      |
| School years                                  | 0.086        | 0.142          | 0.582      |                |            |                |
| Under 5 years old                            | 29 (19.5)    | 1.00           | -          | 16 (19.3)      | 1.00       | -              |
| 6–9 years old                                 | 35 (21.1)    | 1.08           | 0.70-1.70  | 21 (22.8)      | 1.18       | 0.66-2.12      |
| 10 years old or more                         | 6 (9.0)      | 0.46           | 0.20-1.06  | 4 (8.90)       | 0.46       | 0.16-1.30      |
| Family composition                            | 0.760        | 0.568          | 0.409      |                |            |                |
| Lives with parents                           | 48 (18.4)    | 1.00           | -          | 27 (17.3)      | 1.00       | -              |
| Lives with father or mother                  | 18 (20.5)    | 1.11           | 0.68-1.81  | 11 (22.5)      | 1.18       | 0.66-2.12      |
| Does not live with parents                   | 5 (14.7)     | 0.80           | 0.34-1.87  | 4 (25.0)       | 1.44       | 0.58-3.62      |
| Experience with bullying                     | 0.356        | 0.396          | 0.413      |                |            |                |
| Never                                        | 45 (18.2)    | 1.00           | -          | 25 (17.4)      | 1.00       | -              |
| Rarely/sometimes                             | 19 (17.3)    | 0.95           | 0.58-1.54  | 13 (20.6)      | 1.19       | 0.65-2.17      |
| Often/always                                 | 7 (29.2)     | 1.60           | 0.81-3.15  | 4 (30.8)       | 1.77       | 0.73-4.32      |
| Number of close friends                      | 0.761        | 0.920          | 1.000      |                |            |                |
| Until 2                                      | 11 (17.2)    | 1.00           | -          | 7 (18.4)       | 1.00       | -              |
| 3 or more                                    | 60 (18.8)    | 1.09           | 0.61-1.96  | 35 (19.1)      | 1.04       | 0.50-2.16      |
| The practice of physical activity             | 0.598        | 0.450          | 0.929      |                |            |                |
| Active                                       | 31 (17.4)    | 1.00           | -          | 17 (16.8)      | 1.00       | -              |
| Inactive                                     | 40 (19.5)    | 1.12           | 0.73-1.71  | 25 (20.8)      | 1.24       | 0.71-2.16      |
| Stationary screen time                       | 0.034†       | 0.068          | 0.257      |                |            |                |
| No                                           | 31 (14.8)    | 1.00           | -          | 18 (14.8)      | 1.00       | -              |
| Yes                                          | 40 (23.3)    | 1.58           | 1.03-2.41  | 24 (24.5)      | 1.66       | 0.96-2.88      |
| Regular intake of unhealthy food             | 0.634        | 0.908          | 0.540      |                |            |                |
| No                                           | 26 (19.9)    | 1.00           | -          | 14 (19.4)      | 1.00       | -              |
| Yes                                          | 45 (17.9)    | 0.90           | 0.58-1.39  | 28 (18.8)      | 0.97       | 0.54-1.72      |
| Breakfast intake                             | 0.040*       | 0.206          | 0.137      |                |            |                |
| No                                           | 15 (28.9)    | 1.00           | -          | 8 (27.6)       | 1.00       | -              |
| Yes                                          | 56 (16.9)    | 0.59           | 0.36-0.96  | 34 (17.7)      | 0.64       | 0.33-1.25      |
| The habit of eating while watching TV        | 0.509        | 0.607          | 0.109      |                |            |                |
| No                                           | 44 (19.6)    | 1.00           | -          | 24 (17.9)      | 1.00       | -              |
| Yes                                          | 27 (17.0)    | 0.86           | 0.56-1.33  | 18 (20.7)      | 1.16       | 0.67-2.00      |

Research Adolescer, Bahia, 2015.

n (%), absolute and relative frequency; p-value calculated by Pearson's chi-square or Fisher's exact test; PR, prevalence ratio; 95% CI, 95% confidence interval.

Economic level: B and C, R$ 1,277.00 (US$ 481.89) to R$ 6,006.00 (US$ 2,266.41) average gross family income/month; D and E, up to R$ 895.00 (US$ 337.73) average gross family income/month. Estimate US commercial dollar values based on the quote currency on December 31, 2014 (US$ 1.00 = R$ 2.65).

Statistically significant (p < 0.05).

†Associated with a higher prevalence of overweight.

*Associated with a lower prevalence of overweight.
TABLE 2 | Factors associated with the occurrence of overweight, according to multivariate analysis, for the total sample, non-quilombola and quilombola.

| Variances                  | Total sample | Non-quilombola | Quilombola |
|----------------------------|--------------|----------------|------------|
|                            | PR 95% CI    | PR 95% CI      | PR 95% CI  |
| Gender                     |              |                |            |
| Male                       | 1.00 –       | 1.00 –         | 1.00 –     |
| Female                     | 1.17 0.76–1.81 | 1.38 0.78–2.44 | 1.29 0.67–2.48 |
| Age                        |              |                |            |
| ≤12 years old              | 1.00 –       | 1.00 –         | 1.00 –     |
| 13–15 years old            | 0.70 0.42–1.19 | 0.55 0.28–1.07 | 1.04 0.42–2.58 |
| ≥16 years old              | 0.51 0.28–0.95* | 0.53 0.28–1.02 | 0.77 0.27–2.16 |
| Breakfast intake           |              |                |            |
| No                         | 1.00 –       | –              | –          |
| Yes                        | 0.58 0.35–0.98* | –              | –          |
| Stationary screen time     |              |                |            |
| No                         | 1.00 –       | 1.00 –         | –          |
| Yes                        | 1.61 1.05–2.46† | 1.61 0.92–2.83 | –          |
| Currently attending school |              |                |            |
| No                         | 1.00 –       | –              | 1.00 –     |
| Yes                        | 0.35 0.17–0.71* | –              | 0.26 0.09–0.69* |

PR, adjusted prevalence ratio; 95% CI, 95% confidence interval.
Statistically significant (p < 0.05).
†Associated with a higher prevalence of overweight.
*Associated with a lower prevalence of overweight.

Attending school reduced the prevalence, specifically, among quilombola adolescents.

A cross-sectional study that used data from III Pesquisa Estadual de Saude e Nutricao (PESN) (III State Research of Health and Nutrition) carried out in 2006 showed that 13.3% of children and adolescents of Pernambuco in the Northeast of Brazil were overweight (29). Ramires et al. (30) found a higher prevalence of overweight/obesity (24.0%) among children and adolescents between 5 and 19 years of age in the Northeast. Similar to our study, Cordeiro et al. (31) showed that 17.2% of quilombola children and adolescents registered in urban and rural schools in 12 cities in Goias in the Midwest of Brazil were overweight.

International studies showed a great variation in the percentage of overweight adolescents. Kulaga et al. (32) researched on school children and adolescents in Poland and found that 19.4% boys and 13.0% girls were overweight. López-Sánchez et al. (33) evaluated children and adolescents living in Southern Europe between 7 and 19 years of age and estimated that 37.3% were overweight. Results obtained in three cross-sectional studies on children and adolescents in 1985, 1995, and 2005 in China showed that the prevalence of overweight and obesity was significantly higher in urban adolescents aged between 13 and 18 years (2.7, 10.9, 19.1%, respectively) compared to the rural ones (0.6, 2.5, 10.1%, respectively). However, another Chinese cross-sectional study in 2014 displayed a substantial increase in the prevalence of overweight in rural areas (10.1% in 2005 to 17.1% in 2014) in comparison to urban areas (19.1% in 2005 to 19.5% in 2014) (34).

Sedentary lifestyle and the lack of physical activity are the most important risk factors for noncommunicable chronic diseases, such as obesity, cardiovascular diseases, hypertension, and diabetes mellitus (35). Despite the adolescent being classified as active in our study, an association between sedentary behavior and poor lifelong health conditions may prevail (36). Sedentary behavior in relation to stationary screen time is defined as the time a resting individual spends in front of a screen, including TV, computer monitor, cellphone, and tablet (26). In this study, the stationary screen time increased the prevalence of overweight by 61% in rural adolescents.

Due to engrossing technological advances, adolescents often replace active leisurely activities with resting activities related to screen time (37). The PeNSE (2015) showed that around 60% of Brazilian students have the habit of watching TV for more than 2 h on a weekday (8). This leads to overweight and obesity because of a lower calorie burn and higher intake of high-calorie food (38, 39). In Brazil, overweight children and adolescents often indulge in sedentary behavior (40). In rural areas, besides social and economic vulnerabilities, the lack of an appropriate environment for physical activities can contribute to a higher screen time and a consequent weight gain among adolescents (41).

Prevention of unhealthy behavior was important to avoid overweight. The importance of regular physical activity, reducing sedentariness, and a healthy diet should be highlighted. Besides, attending school lowered the prevalence of overweight by promoting a healthy lifestyle and changing inadequate behaviors through education (42).
to encourage physical activities in children and adolescents (43). WHO advises adolescents to practice moderate- to high-intensity physical activities for 60 min or more per day (25). This can be achieved with an appropriate calorie burn during the physical education classes occurring in opposite shifts, especially during the sports matches and championships promoted by schools. However, a new law states that high school students can be exempted from mandatory physical education classes. This will gradually reduce the rights and contribute negatively to the nutritional status of adolescents.

In contrast, the rural scenario and their physical activities are relevant factors for this study. A study on adolescents in Pernambuco, a Brazilian Northeastern state, presented higher levels of physical activities, lower preference for passive leisure, and lower sedentariness in rural adolescents than in urban ones. Rural adolescents often take up jobs earlier and involve themselves in activities that require physical strength in the countryside/agriculture and household chores; these contribute to their active lifestyles (44). Our research on the rural quilombola and non-quilombola communities revealed poor access to public transportation and poorly accessible roads; these drive them to resort to other modes of transport including walking or riding a bicycle. These may be associated with the lower prevalence of overweight among rural adolescents.

Regarding healthy nutritional habits, the regular intake of breakfast reduced the prevalence of overweight by 42% in rural adolescents. This practice is also related to a more regular consumption of meals and reducing the habit of snacking on high-carb foods throughout the day (45). Besides, healthy diet and weight control have been associated with a low intake of fat and balanced intake of grains, fruits, and dairy at breakfast (46, 47).

According to Sousa et al. (16), adolescents from the same rural regions investigated in the present study presented healthier food intake and diet than urban adolescents. The quilombola adolescents had a high intake of beans but a low intake of more expensive foods, like milk, vegetables, and fruits, which correlate with the higher vulnerability of these communities by impacting their access to a healthier and more varied diet (16).

Concerning the school environment, in the public school, rural adolescents have access to a subsidized diet with good nutritional quality as per the Programa de Alimentação Escolar (PNAE)—School Diet Program—from the Brazilian Ministry of Education. In schools with more vulnerable groups, like the indigenous Brazilians and quilombolas, a different budget is calculated and food is offered in the elementary school with the aim of improving the nutritional status and valuing the diet culture of these adolescents (48).

Programa de Saude na Escola (PSE)—Health at School Program—is also a Brazilian public policy that influences schools and aims at developing projects that help children and adolescents to face vulnerabilities in their daily lives (49). Therefore, a partnership between the health and education sectors is an important way to reduce the main health risk factors because many actions that promote adolescents’ health still do not cover their needs (50).

The adolescents’ age can also influence their choices, life habits, and developmental changes of the body and metabolism. According to our results, adolescents who are ≥16 years are 49% less overweight compared to the younger ones; this was in accordance with the trends observed in Brazilian adolescents where a higher prevalence of overweight was observed among younger adolescents between 13 and 15 years of age (25.1%) (8). Another study on abdominal obesity showed that the older adolescents (13–15 and 16–19 years of age) had an inverse correlation with abdominal obesity; older adolescents showed a lower prevalence of abdominal overweight (51). Boricic et al. (52) seconded this with evidence suggesting that overweight reduced as age increased in adolescents considered in their study, in both genders.

Growth spurts are characteristic of the adolescence period and work against fat gain. They result in a consequent increase of bone and muscles mass (53). These metabolic alterations can help lower the prevalence of overweight, accompanied with the adoption of a healthy lifestyle. Besides these, older adolescents may have already taken up jobs. Working in jobs that require greater physical activity reduces sedentariness.

The prevalence of overweight (18.5%) and height deficit (4.4%) was low among rural adolescents in our study. However, the characteristics of an incomplete nutrition transition process were not examined. A nutritional deficit may show a progressive and meaningful reduction while there is a gradual increase in overweight/obesity (30, 54). The high speed of nutrition transition was evidenced by Azzopardi et al. (55) when they identified a 120% increase of overweight or obese cases in adolescents worldwide between 1990 and 2016. Abarca-Gómez et al. (7) mentioned that an unhealthy nutrition transition can contribute to height deficit and overweight in children, adolescents, and adults, resulting in a high BMI and poor lifelong health conditions. A study with data from the Pesquisas Estaduais de Saude e Nutricao no Pernambuco (1997 and 2006)—State Researches on Health and Nutrition in Pernambuco—showed that even with the reduction of height deficit during this period, there was a significant percentage (10.9%) of adolescents with height issues (56).

Even without assessing malnutrition history in our study, the height deficit in these communities indicate past malnutrition. This is because compromised nutritional status, including the low intake of specific nutrients, is one of the most significant determiners of height deficit (57). Besides that, the height deficit is usually associated with poor social and economic conditions. Therefore, height deficit can be considered a useful sign to demonstrate the health conditions of a population (58). The quilombola adolescents who were assessed showed a higher prevalence of height deficit (6.7%) compared to the non-quilombolas (2.7%), and although not statistically significant, this difference reemphasizes the worrying scenario of vulnerability among quilombola communities who continue to be exposed to racial and ethnical discrimination. Another
study conducted in these communities showed that despite the absence of malnutrition, food shortage still exists among quilombola families in the Northeast. This may have a negative influence on the health, perspectives, and behaviors of these adolescents (13).

**Study Strengths and Limitations**

This study features a comprehensive population as, assesses health aspects of communities that are traditionally vulnerable, mainly from the social and economic points of view and their accessibility to health policies and services. Certain methodological aspects of this study, such as (i) sample calculation that guarantees valid estimates for both groups (quilombolas and non-quilombolas); (ii) partnership with health teams of the region, allowing access to residences that resulted in a fewer number of refusals, show that our results could be broadly applicable.

Nevertheless, our study has some limitations. As this is a cross-sectional study, it is not possible to infer the temporal nature of some observed associations. We also did not consider the stage of sexual maturation of adolescents [as measured by the Tanner scale (59)], which may have resulted in fewer discrepancies. However, BMI curves of age and gender that we used as nutritional status marks are commonly used in population studies and are recommended by the WHO.

**CONCLUSION**

The adolescents in the study showed a low prevalence of overweight among the quilombola and non-quilombola rural adolescents. The habit of having breakfast regularly, old adolescents, and attending school negatively influences overweight, while stationary screen time positively influences overweight. Evidence suggests that school is an important space for interventions that improve the quality of life of these individuals, minimizing their vulnerability. In addition, our results reinforce the importance of early adoption of healthy lifestyle habits such as regularly having breakfast and reducing stationary screen time.

Considering the negative consequences of overweight on health, not only in adolescence but also in adulthood, it is still necessary to carry out some actions. These include periodic monitoring of the nutrition status of children and adolescents, providing incentives to encourage the intake of healthy foods and practice of physical activities, and respecting the diversity, culture, beliefs, and dietary habits of the rural populations.
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