Prostaglandin E₂ hydrogel improves cutaneous wound healing via M2 macrophages polarization
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Abstract

Wound healing is regulated by a complex series of events and overlapping phases. A delicate balance of cytokines and mediators in tissue repair is required for optimal therapy in clinical applications. Molecular imaging technologies, with their versatility in monitoring cellular and molecular events in living organisms, offer tangible options to better guide tissue repair by regulating the balance of cytokines and mediators at injured sites.

Methods: A murine cutaneous wound healing model was developed to investigate if incorporation of prostaglandin E₂ (PGE₂) into chitosan (CS) hydrogel (CS+PGE₂ hydrogel) could enhance its therapeutic effects. Bioluminescence imaging (BLI) was used to noninvasively monitor the inflammation and angiogenesis processes at injured sites during wound healing. We also investigated the M1 and M2 paradigm of macrophage activation during wound healing.

Results: CS hydrogel could prolong the release of PGE₂, thereby improving its tissue repair and regeneration capabilities. Molecular imaging results showed that the prolonged release of PGE₂ could ameliorate inflammation by promoting the M2 phenotypic transformation of macrophages. Also, CS+PGE₂ hydrogel could augment angiogenesis at the injured sites during the early phase of tissue repair, as revealed by BLI. Furthermore, our results demonstrated that CS+PGE₂ hydrogel could regulate the balance among the three overlapping phases—inflammation, regeneration (angiogenesis), and remodeling (fibrosis)—during cutaneous wound healing.

Conclusion: Our findings highlight the potential of the CS+PGE₂ hydrogel as a novel therapeutic strategy for promoting tissue regeneration via M2 macrophage polarization. Moreover, molecular imaging provides a platform for monitoring cellular and molecular events in real-time during tissue repair and facilitates the discovery of optimal therapeutics for injury repair by regulating the balance of cytokines and mediators at injured sites.
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Introduction

Tissue repair and regeneration following injury, surgery or in diseases remains a significant clinical challenge [1, 2]. In response to tissue damage, complex biological processes are involved in the
activation and coordination of numerous cellular and molecular pathways [3]. Effective tissue repair and regeneration proceed in sequential phases of inflammation, tissue formation, and remodeling involving a complex orchestration of resident stem cells, immune cells, cytokines, and extracellular matrix (ECM) [4]. However, imbalances or defects in these processes can perturb the delicate equilibrium of cells and signaling pathways necessary for complete tissue repair, which can result in chronic wounds and fibrotic scars, further impairing normal tissue functions and ultimately leading to organ failure and death [4, 5]. Ideally, the aim for tissue repair in the clinic is to achieve an optimal balance between cytokines and mediators; however, the field has not yet produced therapeutically applicable outcomes [4].

Recent studies revealed that tissue repair and regeneration are orchestrated by immune responses to tissue damage in the local microenvironment including networks of cellular and signaling components [1, 4]. Macrophages are considered the primary effector cells in regulating tissue repair and the reprogramming of macrophage phenotype is mediated through the microenvironment of injured sites [6-11]. Rapid advances in stem cell therapy have demonstrated that prostaglandin E2 (PGE2) secreted by mesenchymal stem cells (MSCs) might induce M2 phenotype of macrophages to attenuate sepsis [12] and promote cutaneous wound healing [13]. These findings suggest that PGE2 not only can relieve inflammation but also has significant therapeutic potential for tissue regeneration through macrophages.

PGE2, a lipid-signaling molecule that acts as both an inflammatory mediator and a fibroblast modulator, is a promising therapeutic candidate for improving tissue repair and regeneration [14, 15]. Moreover, suppression of PGE2 inhibits tissue regeneration and leads to excessive wound scar formation [16, 17]. However, the short half-life of PGE2 in circulation results in less contact time with cells and hampers the ability of PGE2 to effectively participate in physiological processes [18]. Engineered bio-matrices can provide temporally and spatially controlled release of growth factors or cytokines, providing the possibility to mimic the complex signaling patterns of endogenous tissue regeneration [1, 19, 20]. Furthermore, designed biomimetic scaffolds can recruit native stem cells to sites of injury and stimulate the body’s own healing mechanisms [1, 4].

In this study, we hypothesized that chitosan (CS) hydrogel incorporating PGE2 (CS+PGE2 hydrogel) could prolong the release of PGE2 and might have a better effect on tissue repair and regeneration. To test this hypothesis, we assessed the therapeutic effects of CS+PGE2 hydrogel in a murine model of cutaneous wound healing. We investigated the kinetic regulation of macrophage polarization by CS+PGE2 hydrogel both in vivo and in vitro. Furthermore, by using molecular imaging approaches, we monitored CS+PGE2 hydrogel-derived anti-inflammatory and pro-angiogenesis responses in wound healing.

Methods

Preparation of CS+PGE2 hydrogel

CS hydrogel was prepared as previously reported [19]. Ultra-pure CS (M=200000; Haidebei Bioengineering Company, Jinan, China) with a deacetylation degree of 90% was used to prepare the gel. In brief, 200 mg CS was dissolved in 20 mL HCl (0.1 M) stirred overnight, dialyzed through a membrane (molecular cut-off of 8 kDa to 10 kDa) using 2 L of distilled water for 1 week to ensure removal of residual acetic acid and then lyophilized to obtain CS hydrochloride. CS hydrochloride (2% w/v) solution was prepared in β-glycerophosphate (β-GP) (70% w/v) (Sigma Aldrich, Ireland) in sterile water. The ice-cold β-GP solution (2.29 M) was added to the CS solution dropwise with stirring in an ice bath for about 0.5 h. CS hydrogel was stored at 0-8 ℃. The pH value of the dialyzed CS/β-GP solution was 7.2. The preparation process of chitosan hydrogel incorporating PGE2 (CS+PGE2 hydrogel) was as described above except that PGE2 (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Santa Cruz, CA) solution was added dropwise to the CS solution while stirring on ice for 30 min. A homogenous gel was obtained by adding the β-GP solution to the chitosan/PGE2 solution dropwise while stirring on ice. The final concentrations of CS and β-GP in the mixture were 1.9% w/v and 0.68% w/v, respectively. The PGE2 powder was dissolved in phosphate buffered saline (PBS) or water to prepare the PGE2 solution without hydrogel at a concentration of 0.1 mg/mL to 5 mg/mL.

Harvesting of macrophages

Peritoneal macrophages were harvested as previously reported [21]. In brief, 3 days after intraperitoneal injection of 3 mL 3% thioglycollate solution (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO), the mice were anesthetized with 4% chloral hydrate. The cell suspensions containing the vast majority of macrophages (about 95%) were collected by peritoneal lavage. The harvested cells were cultured in 6-well flat-bottom culture plates at a density of 3×10^6 cells per well with Dulbecco’s Modified Eagle’s Medium (DMEM; Gibco, Grand Island, NY) and 3% fetal bovine serum (FBS; HyClone, Australia). After incubation for 2-4 h, the nonadherent cells were
removed by rinsing with PBS. To investigate if peritoneal macrophages could be stimulated by lipopolysaccharides (LPS), the cells were treated with interleukin-4 (IL-4; 20 ng/mL) (Sigma Aldrich, St Louis, Mo), LPS (10 ng/mL) (Sigma Aldrich, St Louis, Mo), free PGE2 (1 µM) or PGE2 hydrogel (1 µM) for 48h.

**Enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay**

To investigate if CS hydrogel could prolong the halftime of PGE2 in culture system, 2×10⁵ peritoneal macrophages per well were seeded in 24 well-plates. Free PGE2 or CS+PGE2 hydrogel was added to the culture medium with an equal amount of PGE2 (1 ng per well) in each experimental system. The supernatants were collected at indicated times over 48 h. The concentration of PGE2 in culture mediums was measured by commercially available ELISA kits (Cayman Chemicals, Ann Arbor, MI) according to the manufacturer’s protocol.

**CCK-8 assay**

To investigate if PGE2 could affect the proliferation of macrophages, the mouse peritoneal macrophages were seeded into 96-well plates at a density of 1×10⁴ cells per well with different concentrations of PGE2 (0.5 µM, 1 µM, 1.5 µM and 2 µM). After incubating for 24 h and 48 h, the reagent of Cell Counting Kit-8 (CCK-8; Dojindo Molecular Technologies, Rockville, MD) was added to the medium and incubated for an additional 2 h. The absorbance value of each well was recorded at 450 nm using a microplate reader (Thermo Labsystems, Vantaa, Finland).

To determine if the stability of CS+PGE2 hydrogel is affected by the storage temperature, a cell proliferation assay was performed. Mouse peritoneal macrophages were seeded into 96-well plates at a density of 1×10⁴ cells per well and CS+PGE2 hydrogel stored at different temperature conditions (-80 °C, 0 °C, 4 °C and 37 °C) was added to the 96-well plate with a final PGE2 concentration of 1 µM. Cell proliferation was analyzed after 24 h by using a CCK-8 Kit.

**Skin wound healing model**

VEGFR2-Luc transgenic mice (Xenogen Corp, Hopkinton, MA) constitutively expressing firefly luciferase (Fluc) under the promoter of vascular endothelial growth factor receptor 2 (VEGFR2-luc) [19, 22], was used in this study. Mice were raised under a specific pathogen-free (SPF) animal area at Animal Facility of Nankai University. Protocols were approved by the Animal Ethical Committee of the Nankai University Animal Care and Use Committee Guidelines, which conform to the Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals published by the US National Institutes of Health (8th Edition, 2011). The mice were anesthetized with intraperitoneal injection of chloral hydrate (4%, 350 mg/kg). Wounds were created using sterile ophthalmic scissors with a diameter of 1 cm skin full-thickness in the back deep to the fascia. A silicone film 0.5 mm in thickness was sutured on the wound to prevent wound contraction [23]. All mice were randomly divided into 4 groups, and the sites of injury of each group were evenly smeared with 20 µL of PBS, CS, PGE2 (10 µM) and CS+PGE2 hydrogel (10 µM) (n=10 of each group). To determine the efficacy of multiple applications of the CS+PGE2 hydrogel, we performed extra experiments. Mice received single PGE2 hydrogel (CS+PGE2 hydrogel was only administered on day 0 after injury for treatment), double (days 0 and 2), or triple (days 0, 2 and 4) treatments. The wound area was measured using ImageJ software (NIH, Bethesda, MD) by a blinded researcher at various time points (0, 1, 4, 7, 10, and 14 days). To ensure the accuracy of the data, the 10 wounds were measured at each time point for each treatment group and the experiments were performed in triplicate. The percent of original wound area was calculated as follows: wound area (%) = (wound area on day n/wound area on day 0) × 100 (%).

**Histology analysis**

At day 7 and 14 after injury, the mice were euthanized, and skin samples were harvested and fixed. Hematoxylin-Eosin (H&E) and Masson's staining were performed to detect wound healing and collagen deposition at the injured sites. Immunofluorescence staining was carried out to determine the angiogenic and macrophage effects at different time points. To detect angiogenic effects, rat anti-mouse CD31 (Abcam, Cambridge, MA) and Alexa Fluor 594 goat anti-rat IgG (Invitrogen, Grand Island, NY) were used. To track macrophages, anti-CD68, anti-β-SMA antibodies (Abcam), anti-iNOS antibodies (Abcam) were used. Moreover, anti-α-SMA antibody (Boster Bio-Engineering Company, Wuhan, China) was used to evaluate infiltration of myofibroblasts at the injured sites. Alexa Fluor 488 and 594 (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA) were applied appropriately. The cell nuclei were counter-stained with 4’, 6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI). Immunohistochemistry was performed to detect the expression of interleukin-1β (IL-1β) (Boster Bio-Engineering Company, Wuhan, China). The images were analyzed by ImageJ software.

**Bioluminescence imaging**

To monitor the angiogenic effects of the CS+PGE2 hydrogel in vivo, bioluminescence imaging...
(BLI) of the VEGFR2-Luc mice was performed using IVIS Lumina II system (Xenogen Corporation, Hopkinton, MA) as previously described [19, 22, 24]. The anesthetized mice were intraperitoneally injected with firefly luciferase substrate D-Luciferin (150 mg/kg; Biosynth International, Naperville, IL) to evaluate the expression of VEGF-R2. Furthermore, to detect the reactive oxygen species (ROS) at the injured site, the mice were injected with luminal (10 mg/kg; Sigma Aldrich Chemie, Steinheim, Germany). The mice were imaged with the IVIS Lumina II system 5 min after injection of the substrate. Bioluminescence signals were quantified in units of maximum photons per second per centimeter squared per steradian (photons/s/cm²/sr) as described [22, 25].

**Western blot analysis**

Cells were lysed on ice in radioimmunoprecipitation assay (RIPA) buffer. For tissue proteins analysis, the mouse skin tissue was cut in a 1.5 mL EP tube supplemented with a proteinase inhibitor cocktail (Sigma) and transferred to a homogenizer. The tissue homogenates were lysed on ice with RIPA for 30 min. Harvested proteins were separated on 10% SDS-PAGE and transferred to polyvinylidene fluoride membranes (PVDF; Millipore, Darmstadt, Germany). The primary antibodies included β-actin (1:1000, Santa Cruz Biotechnology, CA), collagen I (1:300, Boster Bio-Engineering Company, Wuhan, China), IL-10 (1:1000, Abcam, Cambridge, UK) and IL-6 (1:1000, Abcam, Cambridge, UK). β-actin was used as an internal control.

**Quantitative real-time PCR**

Total RNA was isolated from cells or tissues using TRIzol reagent (Invitrogen, Grand Island, NY) and was purified using RNeasy columns (Qiagen, Chatsworth, CA). First-strand cDNA was synthesized by reverse transcriptase (TransGen Biotech, China) using oligo dT primers. Subsequently, TransStart Green qPCR SuperMix Kit (TransGen Biotech, China) was used to quantify the mRNA expression levels in 20 µL reaction volumes. Real-time PCR analysis was performed on the Opticon® System (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA). The 2−ΔΔCt method was used to analyze relative gene expression. The sequences of primers are listed in Table S1.

**Statistical analysis**

Statistical analysis was performed using GraphPad Prism 5.0 software (GraphPad Software Inc., San Diego, CA). Statistically significant differences between groups were assessed by ANOVA or two-tailed Student’s t-test. Differences were considered significant at P values < 0.05.

**Results**

**Characterization of CS+PGE2 hydrogel**

Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) analysis showed that CS+PGE2 hydrogel was homogeneous and had interconnected pores with an average pore size of about 50 µm (Figure 1A). The CS+PGE2 hydrogel was thermosensitive and could transform from liquid at room temperature to hydrogel at 37 °C (Figure 1B). CCK-8 assay revealed that PGE2 could promote proliferation of macrophages at an optimal concentration of 1 µM (Figure 1C). To investigate whether the CS hydrogel could prolong the half-life of PGE2 in the culture system, the supernatants were analyzed by ELISA. The results showed that the concentration of PGE2 in the culture medium increased continually, reaching a peak at 10 h, then decreased over a period of 48 h, whereas the concentration of PGE2 decreased sharply without the CS hydrogel (Figure 1D). To test the stability of CS+PGE2 hydrogel, peritoneal macrophages were cultured with CS+PGE2 hydrogel stored at different temperatures for 7 days. Cell proliferation assay revealed that the stability of PGE2 hydrogel was not influenced by the storage conditions (Figure 1E).

**CS+PGE2 hydrogel augments skin regeneration**

To assess the therapeutic efficacy of the CS+PGE2 hydrogel in vivo, a murine model of cutaneous wound healing was used. For this purpose, the injured sites were treated with CS+PGE2 hydrogel, PGE2, or CS and the areas of the wound were measured every 3 days. Compared with other groups (PGE2, CS, and PBS), CS+PGE2 hydrogel promoted wound contraction and accelerated the wound healing processes (Figures 2A-C). Furthermore, CS+PGE2 hydrogel treatment demonstrated a more regenerative healing with improved skin structures including a greater return of hair follicles and sebaceous glands on day 14 (Figure S1A-B). To observe the efficacy of multiple applications of the CS+PGE2 hydrogel, skin tissues were harvested on day 14 after treatment. HE staining revealed that multiple dosing of PGE2 could lead to tissue hyperplasia and extracellular scar formation (Figure S2).

**Enhanced anti-inflammatory and angiogenic effects of CS+PGE2 hydrogel in vitro**

Recent studies have demonstrated that PGE2 could induce macrophage polarization to M2 phenotype in vitro [12]. To investigate whether CS+PGE2 hydrogel could reinforce its ability of phenotype transformation, we cultured macrophages with PBS, CS, PGE2 and CS+PGE2 hydrogel for 48 h.
CD68 and CD206, which are highly expressed in M2 phenotype macrophages, were significantly increased when the cells were co-cultured with CS+PGE₂ hydrogel (Figure S3A-C). These results were consistent with the expression of M2 macrophage-related genes (IL-10, IL-1RA and Arg-1) after CS+PGE₂ hydrogel treatment for 48 h (Figure 3A). Additionally, CS+PGE₂ hydrogel repressed the expression of M1 phenotype macrophages-related genes (IL-6, TNF-α, IL-1β) after treatment for 48 h (Figure 3B). The expression of M2 phenotype macrophages-related IL-10 and M1 phenotype macrophage-related IL-6 were analyzed by Western blotting. The results demonstrated that CS+PGE₂ hydrogel could promote the expression of IL-10 but inhibit the expression of IL-6 (Figure S4A-B). Also, RT-PCR results showed that, compared with other groups (LPS, CS and PGE₂), CS+PGE₂ hydrogel could significantly up-regulate the expression of CD206 (M2 phenotype macrophage) but inhibit the expression of iNOS (M1 phenotype macrophage) (Figure S3D). These findings indicated that CS+PGE₂ hydrogel could promote M2 macrophage polarization even in an inflammatory microenvironment.

To gain insight into the mechanisms of CS+PGE₂ hydrogel-induced early angiogenesis, we cultured macrophages with CS, PGE₂, and CS+PGE₂ hydrogel for 48 h in vitro followed by RT-PCR analysis. Our results revealed that angiogenesis-related genes VEGF-A, PLGF, b-FGF, Ang-2, Ang-1, and PDGF-BB were significantly upregulated in the CS+PGE₂ hydrogel-treated group compared with other groups (Figure 3C). These findings suggest that CS+PGE₂ hydrogel might induce the proangiogenic effects of macrophages and further promote cutaneous angiogenesis after injury.

**Enhanced anti-inflammatory effects of CS+PGE₂ hydrogel in vivo**

Inflammatory infiltration occurs early in the repair of wounds and plays a key role in injury repair [26]. To evaluate the effect of CS+PGE₂ hydrogel on inflammatory response of the injured sites, inflammatory infiltration of a mouse skin wound model was monitored by BLI in real time [27]. Inflammatory tissues can generate reactive oxygen species (ROS) [13], including many different types of molecular oxygen, which could be detected with luminol through BLI technology [28]. By analyzing the BLI signals of all groups from day 1 to 10, we observed that the ROS levels in the groups treated with CS+PGE₂ hydrogel and PGE₂ decreased from day 4, whereas the ROS levels of PBS and CS groups decreased from day 7 (Figure 4A-B). It has been reported that M1 macrophages are abundant during the early inflammatory response and produce a high amount of ROS and pro-inflammatory cytokines. We next analyzed the number of M1 macrophages at the injury sites on day 4 after skin injury. Compared with other groups, CS+PGE₂ hydrogel significantly decreased the accumulation of M1 macrophages (Figure 4C). Furthermore, CS+PGE₂ hydrogel also significantly reduced the local levels of pro-inflammatory cytokines IL-1β on day 4 after injury (Figure 4D). These results indicated that CS+PGE₂ hydrogel could decrease infiltration of inflammatory cells by an anti-inflammatory effect at the injured sites through a time-dependent regulation of ROS. CS+PGE₂ hydrogel also accelerated cutaneous wound healing, at least in part, by inhibiting infiltration of inflammatory cells and secretion of pro-inflammatory cytokines at injured sites.

![Figure 1. Characterization of CS+PGE₂ hydrogel. (A) Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) morphology of CS+PGE₂ hydrogel. Scale bars, 100 μm. (B) CS+PGE solution formed a hydrogel at 37 °C. (C) CCK-8 assay showed proliferation of macrophages with different concentrations of PGE₂. (D) Release profile of PGE₂ in the presence or absence of CS hydrogel by ELISA. (E) Cell proliferation of macrophages treated with CS+PGE₂ hydrogel stored under different temperature conditions up to 7 days. Data are expressed as mean ± SD. *P < 0.05 versus PBS. All experiments were performed in triplicate. CS+PGE₂: CS+PGE₂ hydrogel; PGE₂: free PGE₂.](http://www.thno.org)
M2 polarization of macrophages at injured sites

We next explored the distribution of macrophages at the CS+PGE2 hydrogel treatment sites by analyzing recruitment of CD68 and CD206, which are pan-macrophage and M2 macrophage markers respectively [29-31]. CS+PGE2 hydrogel treatment led to a time-dependent increase in the number of CD68 and CD206-positive macrophages (Figure 5A-B and Figure S5). Next, Western blot analysis revealed that CS+PGE2 hydrogel significantly improved secretion of IL-10, an M2 macrophage-associated molecule. However, CS+PGE2 hydrogel remarkably reduced secretion of IL-6 (Figure 5C and Figure S6), a pro-inflammatory cytokine. Moreover, the presence of M2 macrophages at the injured sites on day 4 was further confirmed by using specific antibodies to F4/80 and RELM-α, markers of M2 macrophages. CS+PGE2 hydrogel treatment increased accumulation of F4/80- and RELM-α positive cells (Figure 5D).

These results indicated that CS+PGE2 hydrogel could promote polarization of M2 macrophages at the injured site.

Enhanced angiogenic effects of CS+PGE2 hydrogel

Angiogenesis is a fundamental process for growth and tissue repair at the site of injury during the early stages of wound healing. To investigate whether CS+PGE2 hydrogel promotes angiogenesis at the sites of injury, VEGFR2-Luc transgenic mice were used to establish an excisional skin wound. At different time points after injury, angiogenesis was monitored by BLI in real-time. BLI signal could be detected in all groups, suggesting the feasibility of using BLI to monitor angiogenesis after injury. The strongest signal intensity was observed in the CS+PGE2 hydrogel treatment group, which indicated that CS+PGE2 hydrogel could promote angiogenesis by stimulating VEGF-R2 expression (Figure 6A-B). Microvascular density was also significantly
increased by application of CS+PGE2 hydrogel as revealed by CD31 staining, which was consistent with BLI results (Figure S7A-B). Furthermore, the expression of proangiogenic genes at the injured sites on day 4 was analyzed, and the results revealed that CS+PGE2 hydrogel treatment remarkably increased the expression of angiogenesis-related genes PLGF, VEGF-A, b-FGF, PDGF-BB, Ang-1, and Ang-2 (Figure S7C). These results indicated that CS+PGE2 hydrogel could enhance proangiogenic effects during wound healing.

Numerous studies have shown that macrophages are involved in the regulation of angiogenesis during tissue repair [32-36]. To investigate the proangiogenic effects of CS+PGE2 hydrogel at injured sites, immunostaining was performed by staining of CD206 and CD31 on day 4. The results revealed that a large number of CD206-positive cells gathered around the CD31-positive cells at injured sites (Figure 6C).

Figure 3. CS+PGE2 hydrogel enhanced anti-inflammatory and angiogenic effects in vitro. (A) RT-PCR analysis of M2-related gene (IL-10, IL-1RA, and Arg-1) expression in macrophages. (B) RT-PCR analysis of M1-related gene (IL-6, TNF-α, and IL-1β) expression in macrophages. (D) RT-PCR analysis of angiogenic factors expressions in macrophages cultured with PBS, CS, PGE2, and CS+PGE2 hydrogel for 48 h. Data are expressed as mean ± SD. *P < 0.05 versus PBS; #P < 0.05 versus CS; $P < 0.05 versus PGE2.
**Figure 4. CS+PGE₂ hydrogel enhanced anti-inflammatory effects in vivo.** (A) BLI could track ROS activities at the injured sites. (B) Quantitative analysis of BLI signals demonstrated that CS+PGE₂ hydrogel significantly inhibited ROS at the injured sites. n=8. (C) Representative images of iNOS (green) and F4/80 (red) immunostaining showed accumulation of M1 macrophages at the injured sites on day 4. Quantitative analysis revealed that CS+PGE₂ hydrogel could reduce accumulation of M1 macrophages. (D) Representative images of IL-1β expression at injured sites at day 4. Quantitative analysis revealed that CS+PGE₂ hydrogel could inhibit the expression of IL-1β significantly. Data are expressed as mean ± SD. *P < 0.05 versus PBS; #P < 0.05 versus CS; $P < 0.05 versus PGE₂. Scale bars, 50 μm. CS+PGE₂: CS+PGE₂ hydrogel; PGE₂: free PGE₂; CS: chitosan hydrogel; PBS: untreated wounds; Normal: unwounded skin tissue.

**CS+PGE₂ hydrogel attenuates skin fibrosis after injury**

Wound healing can lead to skin fibrosis resulting in scar formation and ultimately in the loss of skin functions [37] characterized by excessive accumulation of extracellular matrix consisting of mainly collagen I and fibronectin [38]. Thus, the expressions of anti-fibrotic genes including BMP-7 (bone morphogenetic protein-7) and TIMP (tissue inhibitor of metalloproteinase)-1 and -2 were analyzed on day 5 after skin injury. As displayed in Figure S8, compared with other groups, CS+PGE₂ hydrogel remarkably increased the expression of anti-fibrotic genes, implying that CS+PGE₂ hydrogel could exert anti-fibrotic effects during the early stage of skin wound repair. During the development of skin fibrosis, differentiated myofibroblasts are the main cells that produce ECM. Therefore, on day 14 after injury, we performed α-SMA immunofluorescent staining to evaluate infiltration of myofibroblasts in the skin tissue. The results showed that CS+PGE₂ hydrogel treatment remarkably decreased the expression of α-SMA (Figure 7A-B). Furthermore, Masson trichrome staining showed that CS+PGE₂ hydrogel and PGE₂ could both decrease the deposition of collagen with the minimum collagen deposition in the CS+PGE₂ hydrogel group (Figure 7C-D). RT-PCR analysis revealed that collagen type 1α1 (Col1A1), TGF-β, and fibronectin genes were
highly expressed in the PBS group, whereas the expression levels of these genes were significantly reduced in CS or PGE2 treatment groups, especially in the CS+PGE2 hydrogel group (Figure 7E). Western blot analysis also suggested that CS+PGE2 hydrogel could significantly reduce the expression of collagen I (Figure 7F-G).

Figure 5. CS+PGE2 hydrogel promoted polarization of M2 macrophages at injured sites. (A) Representative images display the expression of M2 and pan-macrophage markers CD206 and CD68 on day 4 at the injured sites. (B) Quantitative analysis revealed that CS+PGE2 hydrogel could promote M2 macrophage polarization. n=6. (C) Western blot analysis of IL-10 and IL-6 in injured tissues on day 4. (D) Representative images of F4/80 (red) and RELM-α (green) expression at injured sites on day 4. Quantitative analysis revealed that CS+PGE2 hydrogel could promote the expression of F4/80 and RELM-α significantly. Data are expressed as mean ± SD. n=6. *P < 0.05 versus PBS; #P < 0.05 versus CS. Scale bars, 50 μm. CS+PGE2; CS+PGE2 hydrogel; PGE2; free PGE2; CS: chitosan hydrogel; PBS: untreated wounds; Normal: unwounded skin tissue.
Discussion

In this study, we have shown that CS hydrogel could prolong the release of PGE2 and further enhance its wound healing potential. We further investigated the therapeutic mechanisms of CS+PGE2 hydrogel and determined that prolonged release of PGE2 could direct macrophages to anti-inflammatory, tissue-regenerating, and anti-fibrotic phenotypes. CS+PGE2 hydrogel could regulate the wound microenvironment by inducing M2 polarization of macrophages and could achieve a balance among the three overlapping phases—inflammation, regeneration (angiogenesis), and remodeling (fibrosis)—of cutaneous wound healing (Figure 7H). Furthermore, the anti-inflammatory and accelerated cutaneous wound healing effects of CS+PGE2 hydrogel were monitored by molecular imaging in real time.

While the inflammatory response during the first phase of cutaneous wound healing is crucial to protect the organism from infection and further harm, it is also a major factor in the pathogenesis of scar formation [39]. A delicate balance between cytokines and other mediators involved in the inflammatory response of tissue repair is important for optimal therapy in clinical applications. Identifying the dynamic nature of the wound microenvironment and signaling pathways in tissue repair and regeneration would certainly promote treatment of injury and degenerative diseases. In our present study, treatment with CS+PGE2 hydrogel accelerated wound healing while also alleviating fibrosis of the skin tissue after injury. However, multiple dosing of CS+PGE2 hydrogel could lead to tissue hyperplasia, formation of a scar, and even loss of skin functions, suggesting that it is crucial to precisely maintain a dynamic balance between wound healing and collagen deposition. With the recent insights into the molecular mechanisms of macrophages in tissue regeneration following injury, it has become feasible to modulate macrophage actions that might optimize healing of damaged tissues [40].

Macrophages perform a desirable function in the developmental processes and provide nutritional support to the tissues in which they live by producing growth factors and other mediators, demonstrating significant homeostatic activity in almost all organ

Figure 6. CS+PGE2 hydrogel enhanced early angiogenesis at injured sites. (A) Spatiotemporal kinetics of expression of VEGF-R2 was tracked by BLI following CS+PGE2 treatment. (B) Quantitative analysis of BLI signals demonstrated that CS+PGE2 hydrogel could promote angiogenesis significantly during the early few days after injury. (C) Representative images showed M2 macrophages (CD206, green) and endothelial cells (CD31, red) by double staining on day 4. Scale bars, 50 μm. CS+PGE2: CS+PGE2 hydrogel; PGE2: free PGE2; CS: chitosan hydrogel; PBS: untreated wounds.
systems [41]. The functions of macrophages rely on the change of their phenotypes, which is affected by the local microenvironment during wound healing [42]. In this respect, a better understanding of the intrinsic polarization mechanism of macrophages may allow the development of effective therapeutic approaches in regenerative medicine. In the microenvironment of damaged tissues, lipopolysaccharides (LPS) or interferon (IFN)-γ can induce pro-inflammatory macrophages (M1) that contribute to further tissue injury, inflammation, and subsequent fibrosis by upregulating pro-inflammatory cytokines consisting of tumor necrosis factor (TNF)-α, interleukin (IL)-6, inducible nitric oxide synthase (iNOS), IL-1β, or reactive oxygen species (ROS) [43, 44]. Anti-inflammatory factors, IL-4 or IL-10, in post-inflammatory tissues induce anti-inflammatory macrophages (M2), which could mediate tissue repair and regeneration by secreting high levels of anti-inflammatory factors IL-10, IL-1 receptor antagonist (IL-1RA), and arginase (Arg)-1 [44].

**Figure 7. CS+PGE2 hydrogel treatment attenuated skin fibrosis.** (A) Representative images of α-SMA staining on day 14. (B) Quantitative analysis showed that CS+PGE2 hydrogel could reduce the expression of α-SMA significantly. (C) Masson trichrome staining showed deposition of collagen on day 14. (D) Quantitative analysis revealed that CS+PGE2 hydrogel could decrease deposition of collagen during wound healing. (E) Real-time PCR analysis of the expressions of collagen type Iα1, TGF-β, and fibronectin genes on day 14. (F) Western blot analysis of the expression of Col1A1 on day 14. (G) Quantitative data of the expression of Col1A1. Data are expressed as mean ± SD. *P < 0.05 versus PBS; #P < 0.05 versus CS. All experiments were performed in triplicate. Scale bars, 50 μm. CS+PGE2: CS+PGE2 hydrogel; PGE2: free PGE2; CS: chitosan hydrogel; PBS: untreated wounds; Normal: unwounded skin tissue. (H) Schematic diagram depicts the regeneration effects of CS+PGE2 hydrogel. Wound healing events involve different phases including inflammation, tissue regeneration, and remodeling at the injured site. Our results indicated that CS+PGE2 hydrogel could regulate the wound microenvironment by increasing the anti-inflammatory and pro-angiogenic activities of macrophages and alleviating fibrosis. This therapeutic could achieve a balance between the overlapping inflammatory, regenerative (angiogenesis), and remodeling (fibrosis) phases of cutaneous wound healing.
Therapeutics for delivering cytokines, soluble factors, biomaterials, and stem cells targeting macrophages offer the promise to regulate the phenotypic switch of macrophages and then enhance wound healing and regeneration. Tissue repair by MSC-induced M2 macrophages is likely to be attributed to secretion of PGE2 by MSCs [13]. PGE2 could stimulate IL-10 secretion of macrophages or polarization of M2 macrophages, thereby inhibiting secretion of TGF-β and IL-6 and further reducing deposition of collagen [45]. Our study confirmed this effect and demonstrated that CS+PGE2 hydrogel could promote the switch from M1 to M2 phenotype of macrophages in an inflammatory environment and accelerate skin wound healing. This indicated that application of CS+PGE2 hydrogel could exert the desired therapeutic effect. Recent advances in stem cell biology indicate that the paracrine action of MSCs plays an essential role in reparative processes [22, 46]. Characterization of the specific MSC secretome profile including growth factors, cytokines, microRNAs and hormones, will provide a ready-to-use and cell-free therapeutic alternative to cell-based therapy [47].

Synthetic or natural materials serving as drug carriers or scaffolds exhibit great potential in tissue repair and regeneration [24, 48]. Naturally derived biomaterials including agar, agarose, collagen, gelatin, alginate, chitosan, hyaluronic acid, fibrin/fibrinogen, and silk have been widely used for regeneration therapy [49]. Thermosensitive chitosan hydrogel, which can transform from liquid at room temperature to hydrogel at 37°C, has been used as a wound dressing for hemostasis in cutaneous wound healing [50]. Engineered matrices with cytokines could sustain the release and improve the local retention of regenerative factors, which are required during tissue regeneration [51]. Chitosan can establish intermolecular interactions between amines and carboxyl groups with linear polysaccharides of N-acetylg glucosamine and glucosamine, and can load growth factors, cytokines, and small molecules for controlled release [48, 50]. In addition, the size of the pore structures in CS hydrogel can affect loading and release of PGE2. Future success of clinical applications of CS-PGE2 hydrogel rely on optimization of PGE2 release to regulate the temporal and spatial molecular signals precisely at the injury sites during wound healing.

Wound healing is well regulated by overlapping phases and a complex series of events including inflammation, proliferation, and remodeling. Dysregulation in certain stages of the healing processes results in formation of abnormal scars or chronic non-healing wounds. For example, excessive M1 macrophages lead to chronic inflammation and tissue destruction and excessive M2 macrophages promote fibrosis [1]. Molecular imaging can visualize cellular functions and molecular processes in live animals and can access detailed molecular events at the molecular-pathology level during certain wound healing stages [22, 52]. In this study, we provided dynamic real-time imaging by BLI of ROS and VEGFR2 in the wound healing stages of inflammation and regeneration. During wound healing, angiogenesis provides oxygen to injured sites, thus promoting tissue repair and regeneration. Thus, we can conclude that CS+PGE2 hydrogel can downregulate the inflammatory response and enhance tissue regeneration. By providing insights into the molecular mechanisms governing tissue repair and regeneration, our study highlights the importance of molecular imaging in developing an optimal therapeutic strategy for promoting tissue regeneration [19, 53].

Conclusion

In summary, we developed and characterized a CS+PGE2 hydrogel for cutaneous wound healing. Incorporation of PGE2 into the CS hydrogel could prolong its release and enhance therapeutic effects for tissue repair and regeneration. CS+PGE2 hydrogel increased anti-inflammatory and angiogenesis-related factors contributing to tissue repair after injury. Increased polarization of M2 macrophages at the site of injury was identified as a novel mechanism to promote tissue damage repair. Moreover, molecular imaging used in this study elucidated the signaling pathways involved in wound healing during inflammation and in the transition to the proliferative phase. Taken together, these findings highlight the potential of CS+PGE2 hydrogel as a novel therapeutic strategy for promoting tissue regeneration.
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