Development of a Quantitative Loop-Mediated Isothermal Amplification Assay for the Rapid Detection of Novel Goose Parvovirus
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An infectious disease characterized with short bills and protruding tongues has attacked to meat ducks in China since March 2015, which has caused ducks poor growth and enormous economic losses to duck industry of China. It was eventually proved to be caused by parvovirus after pathogen isolation and identification. As the genomic sequence analysis showed, this pathogen shared 90.8–94.6% of nucleotide identity with goose parvovirus (GPV), and it was called duck-origin novel goose parvovirus (N-GPV). In this study, a quantitative loop-mediated isothermal amplification (qLAMP) assay was developed for the rapid diagnosis of N-GPV. A set of four specific primers, two inner and two outer, were designed targeting at VP3 gene, which could be completed within 60 min at 65°C in water bath or on a real-time PCR instrument for quantitative analysis. Specificity test of LAMP assay showed that there was no cross-reactivity between N-GPV and other duck pathogens, and the detection limit of qLAMP assay was 1.0 \times 10^2 copies/µL. The repeatability of this method was confirmed by inter-assay and intra-assay tests with variability ranging from 0.74 to 2.25%. The results have indicated that the qLAMP assay was a simple, rapid, accurate, sensitive, and specific method for detecting N-GPV, especially on field detection.
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INTRODUCTION

Waterfowl parvovirus causes high morbidity and mortality in geese and Muscovy ducks, and mortality rate ranges from 10 to 80%. These diseases can lead to enteric symptoms, watery diarrhea, prostration, and growth retardation, thus resulting in serious economic losses to waterfowl industry (Glávits et al., 2005). In 2015, a disease, which emerged in France and Poland in the early 1970s in mule and Muscovy ducks was first reported in Chinese mainland (Chen et al., 2015). It mainly caused short beak, protruding tongues, fragile tibia and pteroid, and growth retardation to commercial ducks. The morbidity rate ranges from 10 to 30%, and even up to 50%, thereby resulting in enormous economic losses to duck industry of China. In order to identify the exact pathogen that caused this disease, pathogen isolation and identification and genomic sequence analysis were performed.
analysis were carried out. Isolated pathogen was detected by polymerase chain reaction (PCR) assay, and the result showed that only GPV was positive; Genomic sequence analysis showed that this new pathogen shared 90.8–94.6% of nucleotide identity with goose parvovirus (GPV). These two studies above indicated that this new pathogen shared higher homology with other GPV strains. Therefore, it was called duck-origin novel goose parvovirus (N-GPV) (Chen et al., 2016). In common with other waterfowl parvovirus, N-GPV was also a member of the Parvoviridae family with a single-stranded DNA genome, and has a small, nonenveloped, icosahedral capsid packaging a single-stranded DNA genome of approximately 5,100 nucleotides. There are two open reading frames (ORFs) encoding non-structural proteins (NS), and capsid proteins (VP) (Chen et al., 2015).

Recently, with advances in virological diagnostic techniques, a novel nucleic acid amplification method—loop-mediated isothermal amplification (LAMP) has attracted intense attention, which relies on auto-cycling strand displacement DNA synthesis by the Bst DNA polymerase large fragment with high strand displacement activity and a set of specific primers that recognizes six distinct sequences in the target DNA (Notomi et al., 2000). In addition, LAMP has been considered as a time-saving, low-cost, highly specific and sensitive method (Chotiwan et al., 2017), which can be completed within 60 min under condition of constant temperature, and it has been established to detect GPV, Muscovy duck parvovirus (MDPV), porcine parvovirus (PPV), canine parvovirus (CPV), and others targeting at VP gene (Cho et al., 2006; Chen et al., 2009; Ji et al., 2010; JinLong et al., 2010). Results of LAMP can be judged by either turbidity, or end-products that can be visible to naked eyes with fluorescent reagents such as SYBR Green I (Tomita et al., 2008).

Lately, a novel Eva Green-based quantitative LAMP assay has become popular (Wang et al., 2006; Lee et al., 2017). Compared with SYBR Green I-based quantitative LAMP assay, Eva Green dye® is less inhibitory to PCR and less likely to cause nonspecific amplification (Ihrig et al., 2006). Meanwhile, aerosol pollution of this method can be avoided due to tubes closed. After amplification, results can be analyzed by amplification curve, turbidity, or fluorescence of end-products under ultraviolet light, thus judging whether target DNA is amplified (Mori et al., 2004).

In this study, a detection method quantitative loop-mediated isothermal amplification (qLAMP) for amplifying VP3 gene of N-GPV was established. Amplification curves and good linear relationship have been obtained. Specificity of this method was determined by GPV and other duck-origin viruses, such as duck plague virus, duck tembusu virus, duck hepatitis virus, duck reovirus, Muscovy duck parvovirus, and H9N2-AIV. In addition, sensitivity of qLAMP was carried out with plasmid substances after ten-fold series dilutions. These test results above demonstrated that this method has advantages with strong specificity, high sensitivity, easy operated, and low cost so that is valuable and suitable for clinical application in basic level production.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Viruses and Samples

N-GPV used in this study was isolated from morbid ducks liver in Liaocheng city, Shandong province, China (named SDLC strain). GPV (HN strain), duck plague virus (ZB strain), duck tembusu virus (GL strain), duck hepatitis virus (LC strain), duck reovirus (HB strain), Muscovy duck parvovirus (GX strain), and duck-origin H9N2-AIV (GT strain) were preserved by the Research Institute of Poultry Disease of Shandong Agricultural University. Sixty clinical liver samples and 60 cloacal swabs were collected from dead ducks and clinical healthy ducks respectively in Shandong, Jiangsu, and Henan province of China in different large-scale duck farms.

Virus Isolation

The liver samples were homogenized in dulbecco’s modified eagle medium (DMEM), frozen and thawed three times, then centrifuged at 5000 × g for 15 min. The supernatant were filtered through a 0.22 μm filter, and the filtrate was inoculated into duck embryo fibroblasts (DEF) cells. The culture supernatant was harvested after 5 days post-inoculated for three passages, and detected by PCR.

Extraction of DNA and RNA

DNA was extracted by TIANamp Genomic DNA Kit (TIANGEN biotech, Beijing, China). RNA was extracted by MiniBEST Universal RNA Extraction Kit (TaKaRa, Dalian, China).

Sequence Analysis

VP3 gene of N-GPV, GPV, and MDPV were aligned by the MegAlign software, and phylogenetic tree was constructed with MEGA version 7.0 using the neighbor-joining method (Figure 1). The reference waterfowl parvovirus isolates are listed in Table 1.

Primer Design

A set of four primers and PCR primers were designed based on the VP3 gene of N-GPV using an online program (PrimerExplorer V4, http://primerexplorer.jp/elamp4.0.0/index.html) and Primer 5.0 software respectively. Primers including two inner (FIP and BIP), two outer (F3 and B3), and PCR primers are shown in Table 2.

Preparation of Standard Substance

Outer primers were used to amplify target DNA, and the amplified PCR products were purified with a gel extraction kit (Takara, Dalian, China), cloned into the pMD18-T vector (Takara, Dalian, China) and transformed into E. coli DH5α competent cells. Clones were sequenced by BGI Tech (BGI Tech, Shenzhen, China), and analyzed results. High fidelity clone was cultured and extracted plasmid with Pureplasmid Mini Kit (CWBIO, Beijing, China). Meanwhile, 1.0 × 10^7 copies/μL–1.0 × 10^8 copies/μL substances were used for constructing standard curve.
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FIGURE 1 | Phylogenetic relationship between SDLC (●) and other waterfowl parvovirus strains based on the VP3 gene in the phylogenetic tree built using the neighbor-joining method.

TABLE 1 | Description of waterfowl parvovirus isolates involved in this study.

| Isolates | Accession number | Host     | Separatum |
|----------|------------------|----------|-----------|
| B        | U25749           | Goose    | Hungary   |
| NC001701 | NC001701         | Goose    | Hungary   |
| VG32/1   | EU583392         | Goose    | Germany   |
| DB3      | EU588102         | Goose    | China     |
| SDLC01   | KT343253         | Duck     | China     |
| SHM319   | U34761           | Goose    | Germany   |
| 82-0321V | EU583389         | Goose    | Taiwan    |
| 82-0308  | AY382883         | Goose    | Taiwan    |
| GD       | AY512830         | Goose    | China     |
| SYG61V   | KC996729         | Goose    | China     |
| D        | JF926896         | Muscovy duck | China |
| FM       | NC006147         | Muscovy duck | France |
| U22967   | U22967           | Muscovy duck | Hungary |
| X75093   | U22967           | Muscovy duck | China |

The qAMP assay was conducted in a 25 µL reaction system, which containing 1 µL FIP and BIP primers (80 µM of each), 1 µL F3 and B3 primers (10 µM of each), 2.5 µL 10 × Isothermal amplification buffer, 1.5 µL 100 mM MgSO4, 3.5 µL 10 mM dNTP Mix, 1.25 µL 20 × EvaGreen® dye, 1 µL Bst DNA polymerase, 1 µL target DNA, 11.5 µL nuclease-free water, and performed using 7300 Real time PCR System (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, United States). Mixtures were incubated at 65°C in water bath for 60 min, and terminated by heating at 80°C for 20 min (followed by NEB typical LAMP protocol), or carried out on 7300 Real time PCR system followed by procedure: 60 cycles of 64°C 10 s and 65°C 50 s, fluorescence signals were collected at the end of 65°C step, and the cutoff point for Tt (time threshold, a cycle indicates 1 min) value was determined as 50 by the previous reported method (Tang et al., 2016). Data was analyzed by SDS software program (version 1.4). The qAMP results were also analyzed by gel electrophoresis with 2% agarose gel, and observed under UV light.

PCR Conditions

The PCR assay was conducted in 20 µL reaction system, containing 10 µL 2 × Ex Taq Mix, 6 µL ddH2O, 1 µL forward primer, 1 µL forward primer, 1 µL reverse primer, and 2 µL DNA,
and performed using PCR System (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, United States). PCR conditions were as follows: 95°C for 5 min, 30 cycles of denaturation (95°C for 30 s), annealing (55°C for 30 s), and extension (72°C for 30 s), followed by a final extension at 72°C for 10 min.

Sensitivity of qLAMP Assay
Ten-fold series dilutions (10⁷–10⁹ copies/µL) of standard substance were used as templates for qLAMP amplification to confirm its sensitivity.

Specificity of qLAMP Assay
Quantitative loop-mediated isothermal amplification assay was carried out using different viruses including GPV, duck plague virus, duck tembusu virus, duck hepatitis virus, duck reovirus, Muscovy duck parvovirus, and H9N2-AIV to validate specificity of this method.

Comparation of PCR Assay, qLAMP Assay, and Virus Isolation
Sensitivity test was performed to compare PCR and LAMP assay, and plasmid with different dilutions was used as templates for PCR detection. F3, B3 Primers for PCR amplification were shown in Table 2. PCR results were electrophoresed in 2% TAE agarose gel and observed in the UV transilluminator.

Reproducibility of qLAMP Assay
To confirm that the qLAMP detection method has good reproducibility to detect N-GPV, tenfold series dilutions of plasmid substances, from 1.0 × 10⁷ copies/µL to 1.0 × 10⁹ copies/µL were used. For the intra-assay test, triplicate from each dilution were tested in the same run. For the inter-assay test, samples from each dilution were tested in three independent runs. The results were evaluated by coefficient of variation.

Clinical Samples Detection
Sixty clinical liver samples and 60 cloacal swabs from dead ducks and clinical healthy ducks respectively were collected to test reliability of qLAMP, PCR assay, and virus isolation assay.

RESULTS

Phylogenetic Analysis of VP3 Genes
To determine genetic relationship between SDLC and other GPV and MDPV strains, phylogenetic tree of VP3 genes was constructed. Phylogenetic trees based on VP3 genes showed that the SDLC strains were in the same branch with European GPV and vaccine isolates, and shared 93.4–98.9% identity with GPV isolates, while only shared 80.4–88.7% with MDPV, which indicated that evolutionary relationship of SDLC strain is closer to GPV strains, and N-GPV is a novel variant of GPV.

Preparation of Standard Substance and Establishment of Standard Curve
Concentration of positive plasmid was 253.50 ng/µL, determined by DS-11 Spectrophotometer (Denovix, America), and the copy number was 7.91 × 10¹⁰ copies/µL. The standard curve was generated by Tt values obtained from this study, and a good linear relationship was established between the log of the plasmid copy numbers (copies/µL) and the Tt values (R² = 0.997), with a regression line revealing an average intercept of 51.14 and an average slope of –5.2 (Figure 2).

Sensitivity of qLAMP Assay
Performed by ten-fold series dilutions (1.0 × 10⁷–1.0 × 10⁹ copies/µL) of standard substance, the qLAMP method for N-GPV detection has the lowest limit of 10² copies, and no amplification signals were observed in negative control (NC) (Figure 3A). In our strategy, the results of qLAMP assay could not only be evaluated by naked eyes at the endpoint with EvaGreen® fluorescent dye in the UV light (Figure 3B) and agarose gel electrophoresis (Figure 3C), it could also be quantitatively monitored using the real-time PCR system during the reaction.

Specificity of qLAMP Assay
Specificity test of qLAMP method was carried out by seven duck-origin viruses and a goose-origin virus (mentioned in virus and samples). The results showed that our assay could only detect N-GPV and GPV, while no amplification signals were detected in other viruses (Figure 4A). The specificity of qLAMP assay could also be confirmed by green fluorescence in the UV light (Figure 4B), and typical ladder pattern seen in the agarose gel electrophoresis (Figure 4C). In addition, no positive results were obtained in negative control (NC), which indicates that this qLAMP assay has a good specificity for N-GPV detection.

![Graph showing the standard curve of quantitative loop-mediated isothermal amplification (qLAMP) assay using tenfold series dilutions of plasmid substances in TE buffer (1.0 × 10⁷ copies/µL–1.0 × 10⁹ copies/µL).](image-url)
Reproducibility of qLAMP Assay

In the intra-assay test, the coefficient of variation of the Tt values varied from 0.74 to 1.81%; In the inter-assay test, the coefficient of variation of the Tt values varied from 0.82 to 2.25% (Table 3). These results revealed that the qLAMP method has a high reproducibility and excellent stability in detecting N-GPV.

Contrast Experiment of qLAMP and PCR Assay

Different dilution plasmids ($1.0 \times 10^7$–$1.0 \times 10^0$ copies/µL) were used as templates for LAMP and PCR amplification. Results showed that the detection limit of qLAMP assay was $10^2$ copies, while PCR assay was $10^4$ copies, which indicated the sensitivity of LAMP method was 100 times higher than PCR method.

Clinical Samples Detection

Loop-mediated isothermal amplification, PCR, and virus isolation assay were performed on clinical samples and cloacal swabs of ducks from different regions of China. The results were shown by statistical analysis in Table 4. The results showed that

| Virus isolation | + | − | + | − | Total |
|-----------------|---|---|---|---|------|
| **Livers** | | | | | |
| qLAMP           | 3 | 25 | 0 | 23 | 51   |
| PCR             | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9  | 9    |
| **Total**       | 3 | 25 | 0 | 32 | 60   |
| **Cloacal swabs** | | | | | |
| qLAMP           | 4 | 33 | 0 | 17 | 54   |
| PCR             | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6  | 6    |
| **Total**       | 4 | 33 | 0 | 23 | 60   |

CV, Coefficient Variation; SD, Standard Deviation ($n = 3$).
the consistency of these three methods in every sample. The sensitivity of qLAMP assay was the highest, and there was no positive amplification in negative control in qLAMP assay, PCR, and virus isolation assay.

DISCUSSION

Currently, as a laboratory assay and conventional method, PCR is used most widely. However, not only does it require expensive equipments and much time, but also it has a high requirement for experiment conditions. Meanwhile, although the Taqman-based real-time PCR assay has been established for the detection of N-GPV, it is time-consuming and costly; In addition, the detection limit (8.8 × 10^1 copies/μL) of N-GPV, it is time-consuming and costly; In addition, the specificity of this method was as low as 1.0 × 10^2 copies/μL, especially the intra and inter-assay-variation, — just 0.74–2.25% only. In addition, the specificity of this method was 100 times higher than traditional PCR assay, and was almost the same as qPCR assay.

Although traditional SYBR Green I-based LAMP assay is a good method with high sensitivity and specificity, adding fluorescence dye after reaction would cause aerosol pollution, thus resulting in a false positive. However, SYBR Green I would inhibit PCR amplification if added before LAMP reaction (Eischeid, 2011). So, the novel EvaGreen-based quantitative LAMP assay has overcome these disadvantages and is more suitable for further application. This method could also be carried out in a water bath or on a real-time PCR instrument for quantitative analysis, and results can be easily observed by turbidity, fluorescence in the UV by naked eyes and agarose gel electrophoresis.

This study was the first to report the EvaGreen-based LAMP assay for detecting N-GPV. Based on the data above, the detection limit of this method was as low as 1.0 × 10^2 copies/μL, especially the intra and inter-assay-variation, — just 0.74–2.25% only. However, SYBR Green I would inhibit PCR amplification if added before LAMP reaction (Eischeid, 2011). So, the novel EvaGreen-based quantitative LAMP assay has overcome these disadvantages and is more suitable for further application. This method could also be carried out in a water bath or on a real-time PCR instrument for quantitative analysis, and results can be easily observed by turbidity, fluorescence in the UV by naked eyes and agarose gel electrophoresis.
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