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ABSTRACT

Objectives Previous literature on the effects of marijuana exposure on neonatal outcomes has been limited by the reliance on maternal self-report. The objective of this study was to examine the relationship of prenatal marijuana exposure on neonatal outcomes in infants with marijuana exposure confirmed with meconium drug testing.

Design Retrospective cohort study.

Setting and participants Meconium drug screens obtained on infants born in a hospital system in the Pacific Northwest in the USA over a 2.5-year period. 1804 meconium drug screens were initially obtained, with 1540 drug screens included in the analysis.

Primary and secondary outcome measures Neonates with meconium drug screens positive for delta-9-tetrahydrocannabinol (THC) only were compared with neonates with negative drug screens. The following neonatal outcomes were examined: gestational age, preterm birth (<37 weeks), birth weight, low birth weight (defined as birth weight <2.5 kg), length, head circumference, Apgar scores and admission to the neonatal intensive care unit (NICU). Using multivariable logistical and linear regression, we controlled for confounding variables.

Results 1540 meconium drug screens were included in the analysis, with 483 positive for delta-9-THC only. Neonates exposed to delta-9-THC had significantly lower birth weight, head circumference and length (p<0.001). Neonates with THC exposure had 1.9 times the odds (95% CI 1.3 to 2.7, p=0.001) of being defined as low birth weight. Birth weight was on average 0.16 kg lower (95% CI 0.10 to 0.22, p<0.001) in those exposed to THC.

Conclusions Prenatal marijuana exposure was significantly associated with decreases in birth weight, length and head circumference, and an increased risk of being defined as low birth weight. These findings add to the previous literature demonstrating possible negative effects of prenatal marijuana use on neonatal outcomes.

INTRODUCTION

Marijuana is frequently used in pregnancy with increasing prevalence of use over the past 10 years.1 In the 2018 National Survey on Drug Use and Health, 4.7% of pregnant women aged 15–44 years and 9.8% of pregnant women aged 18–25 years used marijuana in the previous month.1 Complicating the issue is data suggesting that the self-report of marijuana use may underestimate the actual prevalence.2–4 Both the American Academy of Pediatrics (AAP) and the American College of Obstetrics and Gynecology (ACOG) have policy statements recommending against marijuana use during pregnancy.5 6 In addition, the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) and the Surgeon General recommend not using marijuana during pregnancy.7 8 Despite public health campaigns, there remains a large proportion of pregnant women who perceive marijuana use as without risk.9 This discussion is particularly important with studies showing increased use of marijuana in states with legalisation.10

Previous literature examining the effect of marijuana on neonatal outcomes is varied.11 12 A 2016 metanalysis by Gunn et al13 found a decrease in birth weight and higher neonatal intensive care unit (NICU) admission rates in infants exposed to marijuana. One limitation of this metanalysis was many of the studies did not control for or exclude individuals with polysubstance use, including alcohol and tobacco, which limited the ability to examine the independent effect of marijuana.11 In addition, many of the studies relied on the self-report of marijuana rather than on biochemical samples.11 A separate metanalysis by Conner et al14 did control for tobacco and polysubstance drug use. In the
unadjusted analysis, marijuana use was associated with lower birth weight and preterm birth. However, in the adjusted analysis, when controlling for concomitant tobacco use, marijuana use was not found to be associated with low birth weight or preterm birth. One of the limitations of this metanalysis was that 20 of the 31 included studies determined marijuana exposure by self-report alone. Meconium drug screens are an objective way to evaluate drug exposure and have traditionally been considered the gold standard for detection. Meconium screens are thought to primarily reflect second and third trimester drug exposure and are therefore most useful in assessing drug use in the later portion of pregnancy.

With the background of this varied literature, the objective of this current study was to examine the effect of prenatal marijuana exposure on the following neonatal outcomes: gestational age, preterm birth (<37 weeks), birth weight, low birth weight (defined as <2.5kg), length, head circumference, Apgar scores and admission to the NICU.

**METHODS**

**Design, setting and participants**

This was a retrospective cohort study using an electronic medical record with individual chart review from 1 January 2017 to 20 June 2019 for a complete hospital network in the Pacific Northwest. Recreational use of marijuana was legal during the entire study timeframe. Inclusion criteria included all cases with meconium drug screens recorded. Cases were excluded if any other drug (other than delta-9-tetrahydrocannabinol (THC)) was detected in meconium and in charts with significant missing data.

| Table 1 | Patient characteristics, comorbidities and risk factors by THC status, n=1540 |
|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| Overall, n=1540 | THC positive, n=483 | No substance, n=1057 |
| Patient characteristics | | | |
| Maternal age, mean(SD) | 27.2 (5.6) | 26.5 (5.1) | 27.6 (5.7) |
| Race and ethnicity, n (%) | | | |
| White | 1231 (79.9) | 408 (84.5) | 823 (77.9) |
| Black | 47 (3.1) | 16 (3.3) | 31 (2.9) |
| Hispanic | 115 (7.5) | 22 (4.6) | 93 (8.8) |
| Other/unknown | 147 (9.6) | 37 (7.7) | 110 (10.4) |
| Comorbidities and risk factors, n (%) | | | |
| Tobacco use | 612 (39.7) | 214 (44.3) | 398 (37.7) |
| Alcohol use | 35 (2.3) | 12 (2.5) | 23 (2.2) |
| Diabetes | 211 (13.7) | 53 (11) | 158 (15) |
| Hypertension | 289 (18.8) | 84 (17.4) | 205 (19.4) |
| Cervical insufficiency | 19 (1.2) | 4 (0.8) | 15 (1.4) |
| Multiple gestation | 41 (2.7) | 11 (2.3) | 30 (2.8) |

THC, tetrahydrocannabinol.
Clinical Laboratory Improvement Amendments regulations and the test is used for clinical purposes.15

Meconium drug screens are routinely obtained on infants within the hospital system based on the following criteria: no prenatal care, less than five prenatal visits, prenatal care initiated at 20 weeks or later, documented or admitted drug use by the mother or spouse within 2 years, mother in drug rehabilitation programme or infant exhibiting drug withdrawal. Alcohol and tobacco use was evaluated from maternal self-report through routine prenatal visit questionnaires. The timing and amount of exposure to alcohol and tobacco was not specifically evaluated. The study received exempt status from the hospital system’s institutional review board.

Outcomes
The primary predictor was prenatal exposure to marijuana as defined by a positive meconium test for THC. Covariates collected included maternal age, race/ethnicity, self-reported alcohol/tobacco use, cervical insufficiency, multiple gestation, maternal diabetes and hypertension. Outcomes included gestational age, preterm birth, NICU admission, low birth weight (defined as less than 2.5 kg), birth weight, length, head circumference and Apgar scores. To examine the bivariate association between rates of preterm birth and NICU admission with prenatal marijuana exposure, we performed χ² tests. To determine if there was an adjusted difference in birth weight, height and head circumferences between those with versus without prenatal marijuana exposure, we used two-sample t-tests. To control for type I error, we calculated p values using the Benjamini and Hochberg false discovery rate correction. For outcomes with a significant (p<0.05) bivariate association with THC, we conducted multivariable regression analyses to control for important maternal and gestational factors, including tobacco use, alcohol use, diabetes, hypertension, cervical insufficiency and multiple gestation. For the dichotomous outcome of preterm birth, we utilised multivariable logistic regression. For the continuous outcomes of birth weight, length and head circumferences, we used multivariable linear regression.

Patient and public involvement
Patients or the public were not involved in the design, conduct or reporting of this study.

RESULTS
Population characteristics
There were 1804 patients for which a meconium sample was screened, 101 (5.6%) of which were excluded for significant missing data (figure 1). There were a total of 11617 births in the hospital network during the study period; therefore, close to 15% of all newborns had a meconium drug screen obtained. For the primary analysis, we excluded patients whose sample contained any substances in addition to/other than THC (163, 9.6%) (online supplemental file 1), leading to a final sample size of 1540. THC was detected in 483 (31.3%) of meconium samples. Within this cohort, patients who tested positive for THC were more likely to be Caucasian, use tobacco and less likely to have diabetes (table 1).

In unadjusted analyses, neonates who tested positive for THC had significantly lower birth weight, shorter length and smaller head circumference (p<0.003) (table 2).

Adjusted analysis
In the adjusted analysis, neonates exposed to THC had significantly lower birth weight, shorter length and smaller head circumference (p<0.001) (table 3).

Birth weight was on average 0.16 kg lower (95% CI 0.10 to 0.22, p<0.001) in those exposed to THC. Head circumference was on average 0.52 cm lower (95% CI 0.27 to 0.78, p<0.001) in those exposed to THC. Length was on average 0.71 cm lower (95% CI 1.3 to 2.7, p<0.001) of being defined as low birth weight in the adjusted analysis (table 4).

There were no significant association between THC exposure and preterm birth, NICU admission and Apgar scores. We were not able to include race in the

| Outcomes                      | Overall, n=1540 | THC positive, n=483 | No substance, n=1057 | P value* |
|-------------------------------|----------------|--------------------|----------------------|---------|
| Gestational age (weeks), mean (SD) | 38.9 (2.0)    | 38.9 (1.7)         | 38.9 (2.1)            | 0.651   |
| Preterm birth (<37 weeks), n (%) | 152 (9.9)     | 44 (9.1)           | 108 (10.2)            | 0.651   |
| NICU admission, n (%)         | 189 (12.3)    | 56 (11.6)          | 133 (12.6)            | 0.651   |
| Length (cm), mean (SD)        | 50.1 (3.1)    | 49.5 (2.9)         | 50.3 (3.2)            | 0.003   |
| Weight (kg), mean (SD)        | 3.25 (0.58)   | 3.13 (0.56)        | 3.31 (0.59)           | 0.003   |
| Low birth weight (<2.5 kg), n (%) | 136 (8.8)    | 59 (12.2)          | 77 (7.3)              | 0.004   |
| Head circumference (cm), mean (SD) | 34 (2.2)     | 33.6 (2.5)         | 34.2 (2)              | 0.003   |
| 5 min Apgar, mean (SD)        | 8.7 (0.7)     | 8.8 (0.7)          | 8.7 (0.7)             | 0.533   |

Marijuana exposed neonates were also more likely to be designated as low birth weight (<2.5 kg).

*t-Tests for continuous data; χ² tests for categorical data; reported p values are corrected for multiple testing using the Benjamini and Hochberg false discovery rate correction.

NICU, neonatal intensive care unit; THC, tetrahydrocannabinol.
### Table 3  Results from adjusted linear regression analyses, n=1539

| Model covariates | Birth weight regression coefficient (95% CI) | P value | Head circumference regression coefficient (95% CI) | P value | Length regression coefficient (95% CI) | P value |
|------------------|---------------------------------------------|---------|-----------------------------------------------|---------|----------------------------------------|---------|
| THC positive     | −0.16 (−0.22 to −0.10)                     | <0.001  | −0.52 (−0.78 to −0.27)                        | <0.001  | −0.71 (−1.03 to −0.39)                 | <0.001  |
| **Patient characteristics** | | | | | | |
| Maternal age     | 0.01 (0.00 to 0.01)                        | 0.032   | 0.02 (0.01 to 0.04)                           | 0.009   | 0.02 (−0.01 to 0.05)                   | 0.159   |
| **Race/ethnicity** | | | | | | |
| White referent   | 0.449                                       | referent| 0.861                                        | referent| 0.212                                  |         |
| Black            | −0.04 (−0.21 to 0.12)                      | −0.01 (−0.88 to 0.85) | −0.51 (−1.65 to 0.62)                      |
| Hispanic         | −0.04 (−0.15 to 0.07)                      | −0.09 (−0.48 to 0.30) | −0.30 (−0.99 to 0.40)                       |
| Other/unknown    | 0.06 (−0.04 to 0.16)                       | −0.16 (−0.56 to 0.25) | 0.42 (−0.09 to 0.93)                        |
| **Comorbidities and risk factors** | | | | | | |
| Tobacco use      | −0.15 (−0.21 to −0.09)                     | <0.001  | −0.41 (−0.64 to −0.17)                       | 0.001   | −0.79 (−1.12 to −0.46)                 | <0.001  |
| Alcohol use      | −0.12 (−0.31 to 0.07)                      | 0.228   | −0.78 (−2.01 to 0.45)                       | 0.214   | −0.56 (−1.48 to 0.36)                  | 0.232   |
| Diabetes         | 0.03 (−0.05 to 0.11)                       | 0.462   | −0.01 (−0.33 to 0.32)                       | 0.957   | 0.14 (−0.32 to 0.59)                   | 0.550   |
| Hypertension     | −0.18 (−0.25 to −0.10)                     | <0.001  | −0.36 (−0.62 to −0.10)                       | 0.008   | −0.72 (−1.14 to −0.29)                 | 0.001   |
| Cervical insufficiency | −0.18 (−0.43 to 0.08) | 0.173   | −0.48 (−1.53 to 0.56)                       | 0.362   | −1.61 (−3.52 to 0.30)                  | 0.099   |
| Multiple gestation | −0.66 (−0.84 to −0.49) | <0.001  | −1.47 (−2.13 to −0.81)                       | <0.001  | −3.48 (−4.49 to −2.47)                 | <0.001  |

Multivariable linear regression controlled for tobacco use, alcohol use, diabetes, hypertension, cervical insufficiency, and multiple gestation. Note: there was one patient missing age and was excluded from multiple regression models.

Bold values denote statistical significance.

THC, tetrahydrocannabinol.
multivariable analysis of dichotomous outcomes due to insufficient sample size. In preliminary adjusted logistic regression analyses, the p value for race was >0.60 for all dichotomous outcomes and thus was chosen for removal.

We used robust SEs for the analyses of head circumference and length due to evidence of heteroskedasticity of the residuals. All models were tested for multicollinearity, which was not present. All other regression diagnostics indicated good model fit.

**DISCUSSION**

This study found that prenatal marijuana exposure was significantly associated with decreased birth weight, length and head circumference. In addition, infants exposed to marijuana were more likely to be defined as low birth weight compared with those unexposed.

Similar to many previous studies, our data showed a decreased birth weight in infants exposed to marijuana. On average, the birth weight in infants exposed to marijuana in our cohort was 160 g lower than in those unexposed and exposed infants were more likely to be classified as low birth weight. This finding is similar to previously published work demonstrating a higher incidence of low birth weight infants exposed to marijuana. These findings are particularly relevant in terms of newborn care as it relates to the increased need for blood work and testing. Increased newborn blood draws can be associated with breastfeeding disruption, hyperalgesia and parental anxiety, underscoring the importance in ameliorating factors such as THC use that may contribute to lower birth weight.

Our study further demonstrated a decreased birth length in infants exposed to marijuana. Previous studies evaluating this outcome have been contradictory. In our cohort, infants exposed to marijuana were also more likely to have a decreased birth head circumference. Similarly, previous work evaluating this outcome has been conflicting. The finding of decreased head circumference in the exposed group is potentially multifactorial. Previous studies have linked maternal alcohol use with decreased head circumference. Given that alcohol use was self-reported, and potentially under reported, in our population, one could hypothesise that the decreased head circumference could be partially related to alcohol use.

Previous literature evaluating the effect of marijuana exposure on NICU admission is also inconsistent. Similar to previously reported data, our study did not show an increased risk of NICU admission in infants exposed to marijuana. This is in contrast to research demonstrating an increased risk of NICU admission in infants exposed to marijuana. Our study is also similar to previous literature that did not show an association with marijuana exposure and preterm birth.

Our study used a potentially higher risk initial population due to the inclusion criteria for obtaining a meconium drug screen. However, both the study group (THC positive meconium) and comparison group (THC negative meconium) were derived from this initial population of infants that had a meconium collected. Meconium drug screens that were positive for drugs other than THC were excluded from the analysis. Therefore, the comparison group consisted of infants with completely negative meconium drug screens. The authors intentionally did not derive a comparison group from infants who did not have meconium collected given the concern that this may have introduced significant bias between the study and comparison group.

The aetiology of discrepant findings of marijuana exposure on neonatal outcomes is likely multifaceted. Previous authors have hypothesised that the strong reliance on self-report of marijuana use could bias studies towards the null hypothesis by miscategorising marijuana users as non-users. To our knowledge, our study is one of the largest in the USA ever to examine the effects of marijuana on neonates using targeted drug screen results, rather than maternal self-report. As previously noted, in the meta-analysis by Conner et al, 20 of the 31 studies included relied on maternal self-report of marijuana use. Unlike the Gunn et al metaanalysis, which included many studies that did not control for tobacco use, our study rigorously controlled for potential confounders such as tobacco use, increasing the ability to evaluate for the independent effect of marijuana on neonatal outcomes. This ability to control for important confounders, large sample size, use of biochemical data to define THC use and the exclusion of polysubstance use may explain some of the differences found in our study compared with previous literature. Our findings underscore the importance in continued

---

**Table 4** Results from adjusted logistic regression analyses, n=1539

| Model covariates | Low birth weight OR (95% CI) | P value |
|------------------|-----------------------------|---------|
| THC positive     | 1.9 (1.3 to 2.7)            | 0.001   |
| Patient characterisitic |                 |         |
| Maternal age     | 1.0 (1.0 to 1.0)            | 0.960   |
| Comorbidities and risk factors |               |         |
| Tobacco use      | 1.8 (1.2 to 2.6)            | 0.002   |
| Alcohol use      | 1.2 (0.4 to 3.4)            | 0.792   |
| Diabetes         | 1.6 (1.0 to 2.6)            | 0.054   |
| Hypertension     | 1.6 (1.0 to 2.4)            | 0.033   |
| Cervical insufficiency | 2.9 (0.9 to 9.2)         | 0.072   |
| Multiple gestation | 5.7 (2.8 to 11.4)         | <0.001  |

Multivariable logistic regression controlled for tobacco use, alcohol use, diabetes, hypertension, cervical insufficiency and multiple gestation. Note: there was one patient missing age and was excluded from multiple regression models. Bold values denote statistical significance. THC, tetrahydrocannabinol.
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adherence to both AAP and ACOG guidelines that recommend counselling women against using marijuana during pregnancy. Our research adds to the growing literature demonstrating potential negative effects of marijuana use during pregnancy and highlights the need for continued national conversations regarding its widespread use.

This study has some limitations. The retrospective cohort design inherently limits the ability to determine causality. There was lack of racial diversity in the cohort, and we were unable to include race in the multivariable analysis, possibly limiting generalisability. We were unable to assess the precise reason for a meconium screen being obtained other the general category of reasons previously enumerated, which may have introduced unmeasured confounders. Both alcohol and tobacco use were self-reported, which may have resulted in the under-reporting of exposure. We may have introduced selection bias by only examining neonates who had meconium drug screens rather than using a cohort with universal testing. However, it could be hypothesised that if we had compared neonates without meconium drug screens, we may have found even greater differences. Future prospective studies could ameliorate this possible bias by studying cohorts that employ universal drug testing. As meconium screens primarily detect second and third trimester drug exposure, we did not evaluate early pregnancy drug use. There was no separation of maternal hypertensive disorders or maternal type of diabetes, and there was no exclusion of anomalous fetuses or those with genetic disorders that may have introduced confounding. We did not exclude mothers taking medications associated with low birth weight or exclude mothers with autoimmune conditions that may have also introduced confounding. Finally, we did not quantify marijuana exposure in our population that would have allowed for more granular interpretation and analysis.

CONCLUSIONS
To our knowledge, our study is one of the largest in the USA ever to examine the effects of marijuana on neonates using targeted drug testing results rather than maternal self-report. In our study, prenatal marijuana exposure was significantly associated with decreased birth weight, length, head circumference and risk of being low birth weight after controlling for important confounders. These findings highlight the need for continued education of pregnant women and adherence to both AAP and ACOG guidelines in avoiding marijuana use in pregnancy.
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