INTRODUCTION

Traumatic injuries of the cervical spine carry a huge risk for serious neurological deficit and other debilitating conditions. Neurological damage in these cases results in devastating medical, social,
emotional, and financial consequences. Assessing the degree of structural destruction within the spinal cord and making a reliable and accurate prediction of the neurological outcome continues to be a very difficult task. Conventional magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) has become a standard in the routine exploration of the soft-tissue and spinal cord damages in spinal trauma. However, its correlation to the clinical aspects often are in strong antithesis with the clinical picture and fail to offer significant data to explain the severity of the neurological deficits. Recent studies have demonstrated that diffusion tensor imaging (DTI) is a sensitive measure for in-depth alteration in the structure of the spinal cord and correlates with the severity of the injury when compared to normal subjects. However, its correlation to the clinical status and its potential as a prognostic factor for this category of injuries have yet to be fully demonstrated. In our study, we looked at the changes in the apparent diffusion coefficient (ADC), fractional anisotropy (FA), and fiber length (FL) after traumatic cervical cord injury. We compared these against corresponding data from the same subjects in areas distant to the site of injury and analyzed the correlation of these values with the subaxial cervical spine injury classification (SLIC) and severity scale scores.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Our institutional ethics review Board approved this study

Twenty-eight patients with traumatic cervical spine injuries (mean, 50.1 ± 19.7 years of age; 3 women and 25 men) have been prospectively included in a MRI protocol that included conventional MRI and DTI. MRI studies were performed 10 h–6 days (mean, 36 ± 24 h) following the traumatic incident. Indications for MRI included neurologic deficit on clinical examination localized to the cervical spine (21 cases), spine fracture demonstrated on the X-ray or CT at admission – for the evaluation of the extent of ligamentous injury (3 cases), and cervical pain unexplained by the cervical spine radiographs or CT at admission (4 cases). Mechanisms of injury included motor vehicle accidents (6 cases), animal-powered vehicle accidents (7 cases), motorcycle accidents (3 cases), fall (8 cases), sports accidents (2 cases), and assault (2 cases). DTI data from 13 patients were not used for further analysis because of their poor quality and/or present artifacts. The 15 remaining patients formed the study group.

MRI technique

All MRI studies were performed on a 1.5T Siemens Avanto scanner (Siemens, Erlangen, Germany) with parallel imaging capability. Conventional MRI included axial and sagittal T1, sagittal and axial T2, fluid-attenuated inversion recovery (TE/TR/echo train), and axial T2* images. DTIs were obtained using an echo-planar imaging (EPI) sequence at a resolution of 128/128 over a 20 cm FOV. Sections had a 3 mm thickness and on average 80 (between 76 and 84) of them were produced to image the whole spine from cervical-medullar junction to the cervical-thoracic junction. A 12-channel head-neck array coil was used on all patients. Parallel imaging was carried on with the phase encoding in the anterior-to-posterior direction. For anatomic reference, we used sagittal T2-weighted images and b = 0 s/mm² images from the DTIs.

Image analysis

In echo-planar-based diffusion-weighted imaging (DWI) and DTI, the evaluation of diffusion parameters such as ADCs and anisotropy indices is affected by image distortions that arise from residual eddy currents produced by the diffusion-sensitizing gradients. We used FMRIB’s Diffusion Toolbox from FMRIB Software Library v5.0 (http://fsl.fmrib.ox.ac.uk/fsl/fslwiki/) to correct these currents. Maps of ADC and FA were generated using MedInria (https://med.inria.fr/). We selected two ROIs from the spinal cord. The first one was the region that was placed within the area of the spinal cord injury identified using conventional MRI, from which the DTI parameters were measured. The second was a healthy region of the spinal cord, used as a control [Figure 1]. Regions of interest were drawn using the T2-weighted and/or the b=0 portion of the DTI images; and the ADC, FA, RA, and VR were measured, as well as FL. For each of these parameters, four different values were calculated: the minimum, maximum, the average, and the variance. The regions of interest were carefully placed so that they included both the central gray and white matter. Region-of-interest locations were confirmed using the optimal conventional

Figure 1: The localization of regions of interest in the sagittal T2-weighted image.
MRI sequence, and care was taken to avoid inclusion of CSF. Data from the two regions were compared against each other for significant differences in all measured parameters. As ADC has been previously shown to be significantly different between upper, middle, and lower cervical cord, we continued the rest of the analysis with FA and FL.

**Clinical evaluation**

Each patient underwent a full neurological examination at admission. The SLIC score as described by Vaccaro et al. was computed taking into account the CT and MRI findings, as well as the neurological status. ASIA charts were completed as dictated by our institution protocol. Besides being the most important factor in therapeutic decision-making, the SLIC score was used in our study as the morphofunctional parameter against which we analyzed the DTI data.

**RESULTS**

Analyzing the differences in FA between the level of maximal injury and the distant, apparently intact spinal cord we found that both the maximum and the medium values were significantly different between the two ROIs (\(P < 0.02\), Student’s \(t\)-test). The minimum did not reach the significance level (\(P > 0.05\)). The two significant measures were used in the clinical correspondence test [Figure 2].

For ADC, we computed, besides the maximal, medial, and minimal values, the variance. When we tested the values between the two ROIs, the minimal and medial values did not reach the level of significance (\(P > 0.05\)). The maximal value and the variance, however, showed a highly significant difference (\(P < 0.003\) and \(P < 0.006\)) [Figure 3] and were further tested in conjunction with the clinical data.

Finally, for FL, the same for values were computed (maximal, minimal, medial, and variance). Again, the minimal values and the variance did not reach the level of significance (\(P > 0.05\)). For the maximal and medial values, however, the differences between the two regions were highly significant and were used further in the study [Figure 4].

We found that for measures that we decided to use in the context of clinical data, the measured values of DTI parameters and the SLIC score had a strong linear regression, except for the maximal FL [Figure 5]. We then computed the correlation between the four parameters and the SLIC score using Pearson’s correlation method. The results are summarized in [Table 1]. All values tested showed a strong correlation with SLIC except for maximal FL which came close but did not reach the 0.05 level of significance. As a control, we ran the same test for the data from the region of apparently healthy spinal cord. These data failed to correlate with the clinical score at all levels. These results are summarized in [Table 2].

| SLIC | FA med. pathol. | Pearson correlation | Sig. (two tailed) |
|------|----------------|---------------------|------------------|
|      | FA min. pathol. | -0.617              | 0.019            |
|      | FA max. pathol. | -0.690              | 0.006            |
|      | FL min. pathol. | -0.718              | 0.004            |
|      | FL max. pathol. | -0.475              | 0.086            |

SLIC: Subaxial cervical spine injury classification, FA: Fractional anisotropy, FL: Fiber length

**Figure 2:** Comparison of fractional anisotropy (FA) values in normal and pathological regions of interest for medium FA (left) and maximal FA (right).
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**Table 1:** Correlations of FA and FL values in pathological ROIs with SLIC values.
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**Figure 3:** Comparison of apparent diffusion coefficient (ADC) values in normal and pathological regions of interest for maximal ADC (left) and ADC variance (right).

**Figure 4:** Comparison of fiber length (FL) values in normal and pathological regions of interest for medium FL (left) and maximal FL (right).

**Figure 5:** Regression behavior of fractional anisotropy and fiber length values against subaxial cervical spine injury classification values.
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Imaging of the spinal cord through conventional MRI is
routinely used to document the location of cord injury and
the amount of cord compression from extramedullary
pathologic factors. Extending the investigation with DTI
proved to be of benefit in further defining the morphology
changes in white matter tracts within the spinal cord. The
measurable parameters of DWI showed a high sensitivity
in discovering changes in patients with apparently normal
cord structural and functional integrity).

The single direction of the cord white matter tracts
(craniocaudal) and their symmetry within the cord
makes them potential very good candidates for diffusion
measurements.[2] Existing data suggest that there is a
variation in the mean ADC and FA values between the
upper and lower cervical cord. However, other studies failed
to find significant differences in mean diffusivity along the
length of the normal cord.[16] Nonetheless, they noted a
significant increase in the FA in the mid and lower section
of the cord compared with the upper cord. Yet, other studies
demonstrated significantly higher mean ADC values in
the mid (C3-C5) and lower (C6-T1) cervical cord when
compared with the upper cervical (C1-C2) cord in normal
subjects. Furthermore, the same study found FA values
to be significantly lower in the mid and lower cervical cord.
These important differences among reported findings may
be attributed to a number of factors (measurements made at
different anatomic locations and variations in the choice of
pulse sequences among others).[13]

Our results support the hypothesis that DTI parameters,
including ADC values for cervical spine contusions,
are significantly lower than comparative values in the
apparently intact spinal cord. These data suggest that
the three DTI parameters we measured (FA, ADC, and
FL) represent sensitive markers of spinal cord injury. If
we look at the maximal values for all parameters, they
are the most sensitive markers of cord injury, especially
the ADC and FL. They showed a similar regression with a
strong r2 when run against clinical status scale and
also showed strong correlations with the clinical status.
The apparently normal cord failed to produce the same

**DISCUSSION**

Traumatic cervical spine injuries may lead to significant
morbidity and generate a number of disastrous
consequences culminating in severe neurological deficit
and death. Two major issues are commanded by these
patients. First is the question of the best treatment for each
particular case. Second is communicating to the patient and
his family an accurate evaluation of the present status and
of the prognostic based as much as possible on real data. A
multitude of evaluation and classification systems have been
introduced overtime. However, none of these showed a real
practical validation for a reliable and suggestive subaxial
cervical trauma assessment due to a number of factors
(vague terminology, retrospective injury mechanism devise,
failure in taking into account other essential variables
related to spine stability, and insufficient data about spinal
cord structural and functional integrity).

**Table 2: Correlations of FA and FL values in normal ROIs with
SLIC values.**

| SLIC | FA med. | Pearson correlation | 0.203 |
|------|---------|---------------------|------|
|      | Sig. (two tailed) | 0.487 |
| FA min. | Pearson correlation | 0.160 |
|        | Sig. (two tailed) | 0.585 |
| FA max. | Pearson correlation | 0.262 |
|        | Sig. (two tailed) | 0.365 |
| FL min. | Pearson correlation | 0.225 |
|        | Sig. (two tailed) | 0.440 |
| FL max. | Pearson correlation | -0.342 |
|        | Sig. (two tailed) | 0.232 |

SLIC: Subaxial cervical spine injury classification, FA: Fractional
anisotropy, FL: Fiber length

...
strong correlations. Our findings are in agreement with previously reported results.

Furthermore, our data suggest that the abnormalities in the DTI parameters in areas of the spinal cord that appears normal on conventional MRI could be used to document the true extent of injury and correlate with the neurologic deficit with a high degree of statistical significance. Our results also indicate that DTI parameters used in conjunction with the clinical evaluation could be an independent predictor of the severity of spinal cord injury.

However, we could not produce histological evidence of the injured cord in the studied patient group. Future studies that can correlate imagistic findings with histologic data are due to confirm these findings. There are also certain limitations inherent in this study that needs further addressing. In addition to its small sample size, the study did not correlate DTI parameters with histologic observations, as mentioned above. Future studies with a large sample size are necessary to further validate our results. Last but not least, further investigation of the dynamics of correlation from the supra-acute to chronic phases of cervical trauma (based on the findings from the above-mentioned studies looking at different pathologies) would be of interest and further added value.

CONCLUSION

Our study comes to strengthen the value of DTI parameters as an indicator of the extent of spinal cord injury and they are apparent even in the absence of changes on the conventional MR images. The DTI changes show a strong correlation with the clinical status and could be used as a supplementary, more in-depth predictor of the neurological outcome in these cases.
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