A Virulent Babesia bovis Strain Failed to Infect White-Tailed Deer (Odocoileus virginianus)
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Abstract

Wildlife are an important component in the vector-host-pathogen triangle of livestock diseases, as they maintain biological vectors that transmit pathogens and can serve as reservoirs for such infectious pathogens. Babesia bovis is a tick-borne pathogen, vectored by cattle fever ticks, Rhipicephalus spp., that can cause up to 90% mortality in naïve adult cattle. While cattle are the primary host for cattle fever ticks, wild and exotic ungulates, including white-tailed deer (WTD), are known to be viable alternative hosts. The presence of cattle fever tick populations resistant to acaricides raises concerns regarding the possibility of these alternative hosts introducing tick-borne babesial parasites into areas free of infection. Understanding the B. bovis reservoir competence of these alternative hosts is critical to mitigating the risk of introduction. In this study, we tested the hypothesis that WTD are susceptible to infection with a B. bovis strain lethal to cattle. Two groups of deer were inoculated intravenously with either B. bovis blood stabilate or a larval extract supernatant containing sporozoites from infected R. microplus larvae. The collective data demonstrated that WTD are neither a transient host nor reservoir of B. bovis. This conclusion is supported by the failure of B. bovis to establish an infection in deer regardless of inoculum. Although specific antibody was detected for a short period in the WTD, the PCR results were consistently negative at multiple time points throughout the experiment and blood from WTD that had been exposed to parasite, transferred into naïve recipient susceptible calves, failed to establish infection. In contrast, naïve steers inoculated intravenously with either B. bovis blood stabilate or the larval extract supernatant containing sporozoites rapidly succumbed to disease. These findings provide evidence that WTD are not an epidemiological component in the maintenance of B. bovis infectivity to livestock.
Introduction

Stable enzootic foci of arthropod-borne pathogens are successfully maintained as a result of the interaction between mammalian reservoirs and competent arthropod vectors [1,2]. The ability of the pathogen to infect both vertebrate and invertebrate hosts is essential for the spread of disease [3–5]. This complex cycle is exemplified by tick-borne pathogens such as Babesia bovis and B. bigemina, the causative agents of bovine babesiosis [6,7]. In endemically stable areas, female cattle fever ticks, Rhipicephalus microplus and R. annulatus, serve as competent vectors that acquire babesial parasites from the mammalian reservoir [7,8]. The parasites infect tick midgut epithelial cells where they complete transformation into kinetes. This is a critical step in the transmission cycle, as kinetes are transmitted transovarially and the resulting tick offspring are then capable of transmitting the pathogen to naïve hosts, maintaining the endemic cycle [3,4,6]. It is increasingly clear that wildlife, especially white-tailed deer (Odocoileus virginianus; WTD), play an important epidemiological role in sustaining cattle fever tick populations in the presence or absence of livestock [9–12]. Deer serve as viable alternative hosts for cattle fever ticks, and free-ranging WTD are capable of disseminating these ticks into areas previously free of the biological vector [12,13]. This is especially important in the southern region of the United States where WTD populations have increased and are gradually expanding in distribution. Further, the frequent movement of WTD between the U.S. and Mexico increases the likelihood of transporting cattle fever ticks into the U.S. from Mexico where bovine babesiosis is endemic [8]. Should these cattle fever ticks be infected with B. bovis, they could transmit to naïve cattle resulting in significant economic losses for the livestock industry. The role of WTD as reservoirs for B. bovis and B. bigemina is unclear.

Kuttler et al (1972) reported that WTD do not develop a detectable level of Babesia parasites upon either infestation with R. annulatus larval progeny originating from female cohorts reared on a Babesia-infected calf from Mexico or inoculation with blood from an infected bovine [14]. However, the Babesia spp. was unknown, parasite load being delivered to the deer was not quantified, and sensitive detection methods were not available for monitoring the experimental animals. More recently, molecular and serological analyses of samples from free-ranging WTD in Texas and northern Mexico implicated cervids as potential reservoirs of bovine babesiosis parasites [15–18]. The results suggested either transient babesial infection or cross reactivity to parasites that are capable of establishing an infection in deer. Due to the nature of the sample acquisition, the animals could not be monitored over time to distinguish between these possibilities.

In the current study, we addressed these issues and determined that a strain of B. bovis lethal to cattle failed to infect WTD via intravenous inoculation. Blood infected with B. bovis generated in a splenectomized calf or a larval extract supernatant containing B. bovis sporozoites from infected Rhipicephalus larvae did not infect WTD. In contrast, naïve steers inoculated intravenously with either B. bovis blood stabilate or the larval extract containing sporozoites rapidly succumbed to disease. We discuss our findings in the context of epidemiology, transmission and reservoir capacity for bovine babesiosis parasites.

Materials and Methods

Pathogen, ticks and animals

In this study, we used a highly transmissible strain of B. bovis that is lethal to cattle and for which there exists a complete and annotated genome [19]. This strain of B. bovis originated from a quarantined animal in Texas. The La Minita strain of R. microplus isolated from cattle on pasture in Starr County, TX was used to produce a larval extract supernatant containing...
B. bovis sporozoites. Previous studies demonstrated that this R. microplus colony is efficient in acquiring and transmitting B. bovis parasites to naïve cattle [3,4].

To determine the susceptibility of WTD to B. bovis, eight WTD doe fawns were hand raised at the Knipling-Bushland U.S. Livestock Insects Research Laboratory (KBUSLIRL) in tick-free facilities. Steers were used to verify the viability and infectivity of B. bovis parasitized erythrocytes or the larval extract containing sporozoites. Prior to use, all animals tested negative for B. bovis by immunoblots and PCR amplification targeting the 18S rRNA gene [15,20].

Inoculum preparation

Babesia bovis parasitized erythrocytes were generated in a splenectomized calf [21] and used as the source of infection for the deer experiments. To prepare B. bovis blood stabilate, a splenectomized calf was infected intravenously with a lethal strain of B. bovis. When the parasitemia reached 1.1%, blood was collected, washed to remove white blood cells and cryopreserved using dimethyl sulphoxide in liquid nitrogen [21]. A larval extract supernatant containing B. bovis sporozoites was produced from infected tick larvae. Briefly, R. microplus larvae were applied under a cloth patch on a splenectomized naïve calf. When ticks molted to adults, approximately 10^7 B. bovis parasitized erythrocytes were inoculated intravenously into the calf. Female ticks that fed to engorgement during an ascending parasitemia were collected to rear infected larvae. Egg masses from infected engorged female ticks were pooled and one gram of tick eggs per vial was incubated at 26°C with 96% relative humidity. After hatching, larvae were incubated at 15°C with 96% relative humidity [3,4].

To stimulate the development of B. bovis sporozoites in tick salivary glands [3,4], infected larvae from one gram of eggs were placed under a cloth patch on a naïve calf. Ticks were forcibly removed after four days of larval feeding. The stimulation fed, infected larvae were incubated on ice for 30 min. Approximately 300 larvae were added to cold sterile phosphate buffered saline (PBS) and ground using a tissue homogenizer. Supernatants were pooled and centrifuged at 400g, 4°C for 10 min to remove tick debris. The pellets were discarded and the larval supernatant containing B. bovis sporozoites was used to challenge WTD.

Challenge

Two groups of WTD were used to determine their susceptibility to B. bovis. The parasite levels in the inocula were determined by quantitative real time PCR [4,22]. Group 1 contained four 2-year old WTD and one intact steer as a control. Group 2 contained four 3-year old WTD and one intact steer as a control. Group 1 received B. bovis parasitized erythrocytes and group 2 received a larval extract containing B. bovis sporozoites produced from infected R. microplus larvae. The inocula were suspended in 10% homologous deer or bovine serum in Puck’s saline G and inoculated intravenously into the jugular vein.

Infection status of animals

The infection status of WTD and control cattle was determined by monitoring for clinical signs of babesiosis including alteration in body temperature and packed cell volume (PCV). The infection status was confirmed by performing molecular and serological assays at multiple time points after parasite inoculation. Deer blood samples and sera were collected daily for the first two weeks of the study and weekly up to 84 days post-challenge. Genomic DNA was isolated from whole blood with the DNeasy Blood and Tissue Kit (Qiagen) and was used as template in a nested PCR targeting 18S rRNA, a multi copy gene [15,19]. It was predicted that the 18S rRNA outer primers (Nbab-1 forward, 5’-AAG CCA TGC ATG TCT AAG TAT AAG CTT TT-3’ and Nbab-1 reverse, 5’-CTT CTC CTT CCT TTA AGT GAT AAG GTT CAC-3’) would
amplify a fragment of 1,600 bp [23]. PCR was carried out under the following conditions: 95°C for 5 min; 35 cycles of 95°C for 30 sec, 50°C for 30 sec, and 72°C for 1 min; final extension at 72°C for 5 min. The reaction was conducted in 32 μl containing 2 μl of extracted genomic DNA, 2.0 mM MgCl₂, 200 μM dATP, dCTP, dGTP, dTTP; 1.0 μM of each primer set, and 1.3 U of FastStart Taq (Roche). It was predicted that the 18S rRNA inner primers (forward, 5’ – AATCTGACACGGGAGGTAGTGAC-3’ and reverse, 5’ –CTAAGAATTTCACCTCTGAGT-3’ ) would amplify a fragment of 390 bp. Nested PCR was carried out under the following conditions: 95°C for 5 min; 35 cycles of 95°C for 30 sec, 65°C for 30 sec, and 72°C for 30 sec; final extension at 72°C for 5 min. The reaction was conducted in 30 μl containing 0.1 μl from the first reaction, 2.0 mM MgCl₂, 200 μM dATP, dCTP, dGTP, dTTP; 1.0 μM of each primer set, and 1.25 U of FastStart Taq (Roche). DNA isolated from all collection dates were screened by PCR and ten replicate amplifications were conducted per animal per sampling date to capture low parasitemia levels. To determine the sensitivity of the nested PCR, serial dilutions of B. bovis infected erythrocyte cultures were performed. Briefly, cultures with 10⁷ infected erythrocytes per ml of blood were diluted serially 10 fold in uninfected bovine blood to a final parasitemia of 10⁻² infected erythrocytes per ml of blood. Genomic DNA was extracted and nested PCR performed. Ten replicate amplifications were conducted per dilution to determine the lowest parasitemia level detected by nested PCR. All ten replicates were consistently positive with 10³ infected erythrocytes per ml of blood. In contrast, only four of 10 replicates were positive with 10² infected erythrocytes per ml of blood. All replicates with 10² infected erythrocytes per ml of blood were negative. The sensitivity of our nested PCR was determined to be 10³ infected erythrocytes per ml of blood which corresponded to a parasitemia as low as 0.000016%.

An immunofluorescence assay (IFA) was performed to detect B. bovis antibodies in deer sera. Briefly, cultures with 5% parasitized bovine erythrocytes were prepared by washing four times at 400xg in PBS. Infected erythrocyte pellets were suspended in PBS containing 1% bovine serum albumin (Fraction V) and thin smear microscope slides of infected erythrocytes prepared. The slides were air dried, covered, and stored at -80°C. Prior to performing the IFA, slides were warmed at room temperature in a desiccator jar for 30 min and fixed in cold acetone for 1 min. Sera were diluted 1:50 in PBS and applied to the antigen. The slides were incubated at 37°C in a humidity chamber for 30 min. After three successive washings in PBS, the slides were dried and exposed for 30 min with fluorescein conjugated Protein G (Rockland) diluted 1:5,000 in PBS to detect specific bovine and deer IgG. Protein G binds WTD immunoglobulin [24]. Slides were washed in PBS, dried and mounted in glycerol/PBS (1:1) and examined with an epifluorescence microscope.

Immunoblots were performed to identify B. bovis proteins recognize by specific antibodies in deer sera. Briefly, cultures with 30% parasitized bovine erythrocytes were washed with PBS and the pellets suspended in lysis buffer containing 50 mM Tris [pH 8], 5 mM EDTA, 1% Nonidet P-40, and Complete Protease Inhibitor (Roche) [20]. Babesia bovis or normal bovine erythrocyte antigens were resolved by SDS-PAGE, transferred to nitrocellulose membranes, and blocked with a solution containing 150 mM NaCl, 10 mM Tris- HCl, 1% polyvinylpyrrolidone, 0.2% Tween-20 and 5% non-fat milk (blocking solution). The membrane was cut into strips and incubated in sera from experimental WTD or control steers diluted 1:100 in blocking solution. The membrane strips were washed and incubated with a 1:20,000 dilution of Protein G conjugated with horseradish peroxidase in blocking solution. After washing, reactivity was detected by using HyGlo Quick Spray chemiluminescent substrate solution (Denville Scientific) and the membrane exposed to x-ray film.

Positive control steers were examined daily to determine when signs of bovine babesiosis occurred including alteration in body temperature, anorexia, anemia, weakness and depression.
The infection of the steers was confirmed by 18S rRNA nested PCR amplification of DNA isolated from whole blood [15].

Transfer of deer blood to susceptible recipient calves: Whole blood (50 ml) from each WTD was collected in citrate phosphate dextrose anticoagulant and shipped on ice overnight to the U.S. Department of Agriculture-Agricultural Research Service-Animal Disease Research Unit (USDA-ARS-ADRU) facility in Pullman, WA. Deer blood was sub-inoculated into a susceptible splenectomized calf to determine if *B. bovis* had established an infection in WTD. To minimize the number of recipient animals, the blood from each WTD was inoculated separately into a single splenectomized recipient calf. Recipient calf #1 received blood from WTD challenged with *B. bovis* blood stabilate and calf #2 received blood from WTD challenged with the larval extract containing *B. bovis* sporozoites. Recipient animals were monitored daily for clinical signs of babesiosis for 35 days post-blood inoculation. Upon completion of this observation period, the susceptibility of the calves was tested by intravenous inoculation with *B. bovis*.

Ethics Statement

This study was approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Protocol Committees of the University of Idaho, Moscow, Idaho and the Institutional Animal Care and Use Protocol Committees of the Knipling-Bushland United States Livestock Insects Research Laboratory, Kerrville, Texas, in accordance with institutional guidelines based on the U.S. National Institutes of Health (NIH) Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals. All splenectomy procedures were performed under sedation with xylazine and isoflurane inhalation, and all efforts were made to minimize suffering. All bovine and deer exposed with an exotic pathogen were sacrificed by injection intravenously of sodium pentobarbitone formulated for euthanasia, and the resulting sera and whole blood stored at −80°C.

Results

In this study we tested the hypothesis that WTD are susceptible to infection and are competent reservoirs for *B. bovis*. Deer challenged with either *B. bovis* blood stabilate or larval supernatant extract containing sporozoites showed no evidence of transient or long-term infection, as determined by molecular and serological techniques. This was confirmed by the failure to cause infection upon transfer of blood from *B. bovis*-challenged WTD into susceptible, naïve calves.

Challenge with *Babesia bovis* blood stabilate

Deer challenged with $10^9$ *B. bovis* infected erythrocytes showed no clinical signs of babesiosis throughout the experiment. Body temperature and PCV of the WTD remained within normal ranges of 38.4°C (±0.13) and 38.7% (± 2.1), respectively. Blood collected at multiple time points demonstrated that *B. bovis* failed to establish infection in deer, as determined by nested PCR (Fig 1a, Table 1). Immunofluorescence showed that three of four deer challenged with *B. bovis* blood stabilate developed antibodies against *B. bovis* and bovine erythrocytes. The same sera were tested by immunoblots and revealed that WTD developed antibody responses against proteins of 40, 70 and 220 kDa. Reactivity to the 40 kDa protein was observed only on immunoblots using *B. bovis* infected erythrocyte antigen (Fig 2a), but not on immunoblots using normal bovine erythrocyte antigen (Fig 2b). In contrast, reactivity to the 70 and 220 kDa proteins was also detected on immunoblots using normal bovine erythrocyte antigens (Fig 2b). Antibody responses against the inoculum were no longer detectable after 56 days post-inoculation. Consistent with previous studies, the blood stabilate readily infected the control steer by 9 days post-inoculation as determined by PCR (Fig 1a) and severe clinical signs of bovine babesiosis including elevation of body temperature (40.7°C) and a decline in the PCV to 29%. The
steer was euthanized to minimize animal suffering. Immunofluorescence demonstrated that the steer had an antibody response to *B. bovis* antigens. Immunoblots demonstrated that the steer responded to multiple *B. bovis* antigens ranging from 40 kDa to 120 kDa (Fig 2a and 2b).

**Challenge with larval extract supernatant containing *Babesia bovis* sporozoites**

Infectivity of a larval extract containing 10⁵ *B. bovis* sporozoites was confirmed by intravenous inoculation of a control steer with the same inoculum used for the WTD challenge. The steer

---

### Table 1. Evaluation of the white-tailed deer challenged with *Babesia bovis*.

| Week post-inoculation | Group 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |
|-----------------------|---------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|----|----|----|
| Doe 1                 | N       | n | n | n | n | n | n | n | n | n | n | n  | n  | n  |
| Doe 2                 | n       | n | n | n | n | n | n | n | n | n | n | n  | n  | n  |
| Doe 3                 | n       | n | n | n | n | n | n | n | n | n | n | n  | n  | n  |
| Doe 4                 | n       | n | n | n | n | n | n | n | n | n | n | n  | n  | n  |
| Steer 1               | N       | \( p^{SD} \) | |

| Week post-inoculation | Group 2 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |
|-----------------------|---------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|----|----|----|
| Doe 5                 | n       | n | n | n | n | n | n | n | n | n | n | n  | n  | n  |
| Doe 6                 | n       | n | n | n | n | n | n | n | n | n | n | n  | n  | n  |
| Doe 7                 | n       | n | n | n | n | n | n | n | n | n | n | n  | n  | n  |
| Doe 8                 | n       | n | n | n | n | n | n | n | n | n | n | n  | n  | n  |
| Steer 2               | \( p^{SD} \) | |

White tailed deer challenged with *B. bovis*. Group 1: blood stabilate and group 2: a larval extract containing sporozoites. Nested PCR targeting 18S ribosomal RNA. N: negative; P: positive, \( p^{SD} \): Severe Clinical Disease and *: steers were euthanized.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0131018.t001
was PCR positive at 6 days post-sporozoite inoculation (Fig 1b). The larval extract containing *B. bovis* sporozoites caused rapid severe clinical signs of bovine babesiosis in the steer including elevation of body temperature (40.8°C) and a decline in the PCV to 23%. To minimize animal suffering, the steer was euthanized.

WTD challenged with larval extract containing $10^5$ *B. bovis* sporozoites showed no evidence of infection. Body temperature as well as PCV of the deer remained within normal ranges of 38.9°C (± 0.09) and 38.3% (±3.5), respectively. PCR amplification of blood collected weekly from the deer indicated that *B. bovis* sporozoites within the larval extract failed to establish infection (Table 1). Throughout the experiment, none of the deer in this group showed evidence of an antibody response against *B. bovis* by either IFA or immunoblots using *B. bovis* infected erythrocyte antigen (Fig 2a) or normal bovine erythrocyte antigen (Fig 2b).

**Blood transfer from deer into susceptible splenectomized cattle**

Blood from WTD challenged with either *B. bovis* infected erythrocytes or a larval extract containing sporozoites failed to infect susceptible cattle. Clinical signs of babesiosis were not
observed in the splenectomized calves throughout the experiment (data not shown). PCR results during the five weeks post-inoculation showed no evidence of infection (Fig 3). To test the susceptibility of the calves, *B. bovis* stablate was inoculated intravenously and severe clinical signs of bovine babesiosis were observed at day 10 post-inoculation including body temperature exceeding 40.6°C. During acute infection, parasites were detected by Giemsa blood smear or PCR amplification and calves were euthanized to minimized animal suffering.

Discussion

The wildlife-livestock interface is a restriction to the success of controlling and eradicating diseases of domestic animals [25–29] highlighting the necessity to understand the importance of wildlife in the epidemiology and maintenance of infectious diseases of livestock. This is illustrated by the incidence of tick-transmitted bovine theileriosis in Africa. The disease is caused by *Theileria parva* and is typically transmitted from infected to susceptible cattle via ticks. However, Cape buffalo (*Syncerus caffer*) are known competent reservoirs for *T. parva* and occupies overlapping habitats with cattle, providing a continuous source of parasite for tick transmission [25,28,30]. Biological vectors that acquire infection while feeding on buffalo can transmit the pathogen to either buffalo or cattle causing significant economic loss to the livestock industry.

It is critical to understand if wildlife can be a source of pathogens for transmission to livestock. The lack of knowledge regarding pathogens that infect wildlife and the difficulties with disease surveillance of wildlife create obstacles to detection and monitoring for organisms that can cause significant disease in livestock. Recent reports suggested that free-ranging WTD commingling with livestock could be a source of tick-borne pathogens that cause bovine babesiosis [15–17]. However, it remained unclear whether WTD were indeed competent reservoirs. In this study, we tested the hypothesis that *B. bovis* blood stablate or a larval extract supernatant containing sporozoites were infective to WTD. The collective data does not support an epidemiological role for WTD in the maintenance of *B. bovis*. This conclusion is supported by the failure of *B. bovis* to establish an infection in WTD by either *B. bovis* blood or tick stages. Nested PCR results were consistently negative at multiple time points post-inoculation, suggesting there was no transient infection. Blood from WTD challenged with *B. bovis* failed to infect naïve susceptible recipient calves, confirming that WTD are not a reservoir for *B. bovis*.

A previous attempt also failed to infect WTD with bovine babesial parasites upon inoculation of spleen-intact or splenectomized deer with infected blood from a naturally infected bovine [14]. However, the level of parasitemia used in the challenge study was undetectable by light microscopy of stained thin smears. With our challenge strain, a minimum dose sufficient to infect cattle and cause severe disease is ten *B. bovis* infected erythrocytes [21]. In order to
definitively determine whether or not WTD are susceptible to *B. bovis* infection, we challenged the animals with >10^8 more parasites needed to sufficiently infect cattle. Although we challenged WTD with a high dose, the deer showed no evidence of infection suggesting that WTD are not susceptible to *B. bovis* infection. Our results shed light on the inability of blood and serum from WTD to support *in vitro* culture of *B. bovis* [31].

Attempts to transmit babesial parasites using infected ticks harvested from a natural infected animal failed to infect deer [14]. Persistently infected calves harbor dramatically lower levels of parasites in peripheral blood, resulting in low larval infection rates from eggs of *R. microplus* females allowed to acquisition feed [3]. The infected larvae used by Kuttler et al (1972) to infest WTD were obtained from female *R. annulatus* fed on a clinically negative animal naturally infected with babesial parasites. Further, larval feeding rates of cattle fever ticks on WTD can be variable depending on the level of resistance to tick infestation and grooming [13,32]. To overcome these issues, we produced a larval extract supernatant containing *B. bovis* sporozoite from infected *R. microplus* larvae and inoculated them into WTD. This approach allowed us to quantify the amount of *B. bovis* and ensure a high dose was delivered to each animal. The results provide additional evidence that WTD are not susceptible to *B. bovis* infection.

A salient distinction between the current study and previous *Babesia* spp. surveillance studies is our use of tick-naïve WTD. Deer challenged with a single exposure responded to a single *B. bovis* protein, but because there was not continuous stimulation by an established infection, antibodies against *B. bovis* were no longer detected after 6 weeks. In contrast, acute infection in cattle resulted in a response to multiple *B. bovis* proteins. A single inoculum of 10^5 *B. bovis* parasites contained within a larval extract supernatant failed to stimulate a deer immune response demonstrating that *B. bovis* did not establish infection in deer. In contrast, free-ranging WTD captured at a single time point likely had multiple exposures to various tick species, each providing opportunities for exposure to the parasite delivered via tick saliva during feeding. Such parasite exposure would elicit an immune response in WTD, explaining the detection of anti-*B. bovis* and *B. bigemina* antibodies in these samples. Tick salivary proteins can modulate the mammalian immune response to the feeding tick, enabling larvae to feed for days [33]. Thus, the parasite can be continuously inoculated into the blood stream via saliva. Detection of DNA isolated from peripheral blood may be possible without an infection necessarily being established due to the accumulation of parasites in the blood. Indeed, Cantu et al (2009) observed that the frequency of *Babesia* spp. detection by serology or PCR was elevated in scenarios where exposure to cattle ticks was increased [17]. Pharmacologically bioactive tick proteins may enhance *Babesia* transmission. However, the results from the current study using a larval extract containing *B. bovis* sporozoites and a previous study performed by Kuttler et al (1972) that attempted to transmit parasites via on-animal infestation with infected *Rhipicephalus* larvae demonstrated no evidence of *Babesia* infection in WTD. Together, these collective results indicate that these free-ranging WTD do not present a risk for *B. bovis* transmission.

In conclusion, we demonstrated that WTD are not transiently infected with and are not a reservoir of *B. bovis*. These findings provide evidence that WTD are not an epidemiological threat for maintenance of bovine babesiosis to livestock. However, it is possible that co-evolution between the mammalian host-vector-pathogen may influence parasite adaptation to wildlife and these animals could become potential reservoirs of parasites. Therefore, it is important for the United States to maintain continuous surveillance of wildlife, including WTD, to provide control measurement for preventing bovine babesiosis emerging in areas free of infectious disease.
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