Nedd8 processing enzymes in *Schizosaccharomyces pombe*

Jean E O’Donoghue¹, Dawadschargal Bech-Otschir¹, Ida B Larsen², Mairi Wallace¹, Rasmus Hartmann-Petersen² and Colin Gordon¹*

**Abstract**

**Background:** Conjugation of the ubiquitin-like modifier Nedd8 to cullins is critical for the function of SCF-type ubiquitin ligases and thus facilitates ubiquitin conjugation and ultimately degradation of SCF substrates, including several cell cycle regulators. Like ubiquitin, Nedd8 is produced as a precursor that must first be processed before it becomes active. In *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* this is carried out exclusively by the enzyme Yuh1.

**Results:** Here we show that in the fission yeast, *Schizosaccharomyces pombe*, the Yuh1 orthologue, Uch1, is not the sole Nedd8 processing enzyme. Instead it appears that deubiquitylating enzymes can efficiently process the Nedd8 precursor in vivo.

**Conclusions:** Several enzymes contribute to Nedd8 precursor processing including a number of deubiquitylating enzymes.
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**Background**

Post translational modification of proteins with ubiquitin and ubiquitin-like modifiers is an essential mechanism that regulates many cellular processes including protein degradation, endocytosis, DNA repair and cell signalling. The protein called Nedd8 in humans and Rub1 in budding yeast is a ubiquitin-like modifier that is covalently conjugated to a lysine residue in the cullin subunits of SCF-type E3 ubiquitin-protein ligases [1] and possibly a few other proteins [2,3]. The Nedd8 modification, also known as neddylation, of cullins is important for function and the recruitment of E2s and other components [4-6] to SCF-type ubiquitin ligases and therefore facilitates ubiquitin conjugation of SCF substrates. Similar to ubiquitin, Nedd8 conjugation requires an E1, E2 and E3 enzyme [3]. In the fission yeast *Schizosaccharomyces pombe* [6,7], as in mammals [8], the null mutation of either Nedd8 itself or components of its conjugation pathway, i.e. its E1 or E2 enzymes, are lethal to the cell. Curiously, the Nedd8 system is not essential for viability of the budding yeast *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* [9,10].

The reason for this difference is unknown, but could reflect differences in Nedd8 substrates between these organisms.

Just as ubiquitylation is reversed by ubiquitin isopeptidases, Nedd8 conjugation is reversed by Nedd8 isopeptidases in a process known as deneddylation. The best characterized deneddylation enzymes include the COP9 signalosome subunit Csn5 [11-13] and NEDP1 (also known as SENP8 and DEN1) [14,15]. In general these enzymes are surprisingly specific for Nedd8 over ubiquitin [3,14], but some have been shown to have dual specificity [15,16]. More recently, certain cysteine proteases, encoded by herpesviruses, were also shown to display deneddylase activity [17].

In all eukaryotic organisms the *NEDD8* gene is conserved (Additional file 1: Figure S1) and encodes a non-conjugatable precursor that contains one or more residues downstream of the mature C-terminus at position 76. To generate mature Nedd8 from the inactive precursor, specific hydrolases cleave off the C-terminal residues generating a diglycine motif in the mature Nedd8 C-terminus [1]. This precursor processing is strictly required for Nedd8’s recognition by the E1 enzyme and so the enzymes that catalyse this processing must be essential for Nedd8 function.
In *S. cerevisiae* the sole Nedd8-precursor processing enzyme has been identified as Yuh1 [18] (*S. pombe* Uch1, Additional file 1: Figure S2). In the Yuh1 null mutant, there is no longer any neddylation of cullin1 [18]. Here, we present results aimed at identifying the Nedd8 processing enzyme(s) important for Nedd8 function in *S. pombe*. We show that unlike the situation in budding yeast, *S. pombe* cells contain several enzymes capable of processing the Nedd8 precursor.

**Methods**

**S. pombe strains and techniques**

Fission yeast strains used in this study are derivatives of the wild type heterothallic strains 972hi and 975h+. Standard genetic methods and media were used and *S. pombe* transformations were performed using the lithium acetate procedure [19]. The PCR mutagenesis was performed according to a previously published procedure [20].

**Antibodies**

The antibody to tubulin was the TAT-1 monoclonal (Sigma). The antibodies to *S. pombe* Cul1/Pcu1 have been described before [21], and were kindly provided by Prof. Dieter A. Wolf (La Jolla, USA).

**Plasmids, expression and purification**

The *E. coli* expression constructs used here were wild type cDNA encoding Uch1, Uch2, Nep1 and Nep2 subcloned to the pGEX-KG or pGEX-6p-1 vector (GE Healthcare). All recombinant proteins were expressed as glutathione S-transferase (GST) fusion proteins in *E. coli* BL21 (DE3) pLysS and purified on glutathione-Sepharose beads (GE Healthcare) by standard methods. The protein: bead ratio was normalized by SDS-PAGE and Coomassie staining.

**Assays**

For analyses of the deneddylating activity of purified recombinant proteins and in cell extracts, the fluorogenic substrate, Nedd8-7-amino-4-methylcoumarin (Nedd8-AMC) (Boston Biochemicals) was used according the instructions provided by the manufacturer and as described previously [22]. Protein concentrations were determined by Bradford assays (Pierce). Ubiquitin aldehyde (Enzo Life Sciences) was used at 5 μM, while o-phenanthroline (Sigma) was used at 5 mM.

**Results**

**Uch1/Yuh1 is a deneddylating enzyme**

The orthologue of *YUH1* in *S. pombe* is *uch1*+, which has a paralogue, *uch2*+. To investigate the role of *uch1*+ in the Nedd8 pathway, we created a null mutation in the *uch1*+ gene by PCR mutagenesis.

We first noted that cells carrying this *Δuch1::G418* mutation were viable (Figure 1) and appeared to have no physiological ill effects. If Uch1, as Yuh1 in *S. cerevisiae*, was the sole Nedd8-precursor processing enzyme, we would have expected the *uch1*+ deletion to be lethal. In order to detect any change in the neddylation status of a Nedd8 substrate we replicated the experiment carried out in *S. cerevisiae* [18]. We used an antibody to fission yeast cullin 1 (Cul1/Pcu1) [21] to detect Cul1 in cell extracts prepared from the *Δuch1* strain. Unlike in *S. cerevisiae*, Cul1 was neddylated to a similar extent in wild type and *Δuch1* *S. pombe* strains (Figure 2).

To assess whether or not *S. pombe* Uch1 could process Nedd8-precursor *in vitro* we purified a GST-tagged version of Uch1 and used Nedd8-AMC as a substrate. From this, we observed that Uch1 was indeed capable of processing Nedd8-AMC *in vitro* (Figure 3).

**Uch2/Uch37 accounts for some deneddylating activity in fission yeast**

In an attempt to isolate other potential Nedd8-precursor processing enzymes we turned to *uch2*++, a paralogue of *uch1*+, and carried out the same set of experiments as described above using a GST-tagged version of Uch2 (Figure 3), a *Δuch2::ura4* strain, and a double *Δuch1Δuch2* strain (Figures 1–2). As can be seen from these data, despite the fact that Uch2 is capable of processing Nedd8-AMC *in vitro* (Figure 3), the deletion of both homologues of *YUH1* in *S. pombe* does not result in any loss of Cul1 neddylation (Figure 2). In addition, no loss in cell viability was apparent (Figure 1), as would be expected in a Nedd8 compromised *S. pombe* strain.

**Deneddylating enzymes are functionally redundant**

Two genes that appear to be orthologues of the mammalian NEDP1/DEN1 have been identified in *S. pombe* [23]. These are *nep1*+ and *nep2*+. In mammalian cells NEDP1/DEN1 has been described as a Nedd8-precursor processing enzyme [24], and while *S. pombe* has two such orthologues, *S. cerevisiae* has none. We hypothesised therefore that these enzymes may possess the remaining Nedd8-precursor processing activity in *S. pombe* that was unaccounted for by the two *YUH1* orthologues. To ascertain this, we created GST-tagged versions of Nep1 and Nep2 and showed that these have processing activity *in vitro* (Figure 3). We then created null mutants in each of these genes, *Δnep1::G418* and *Δnep2::arg3*, and crossed them to our double mutant *Δuch1Δuch2* strain in attempt to completely abrogate processing function in fission yeast. Surprisingly, we found that this *Δnep1Δnep2Δuch1Δuch2* strain was entirely viable (Figure 1), and Cul1 could be efficiently neddylated in this strain (Figure 2).
Figure 1 Growth curves of wild type (WT) and mutant strains. All mutant strains were viable and showed growth characteristics similar to the WT strain. The doubling time was about 2 hours and 45 minutes.

Figure 2 SDS-PAGE and western blotting showing the neddylation status of Cul1 in the wild type (WT) and mutant strains. In all strains a band corresponding to a neddylated Cul1 can be detected using an anti-Cul1 antibody. Tubulin was used to check for equal loading.
The final candidate we examined as a potential Nedd8 processing enzyme in fission yeast was csn5+. This subunit of the COP9/signalosome complex is well described as a metalloprotease capable of deconjugating Nedd8 from its cullin substrates [25,26]. Indeed, as observed before [21,26], hyperneddylation of Nedd8 was readily apparent in our Δcsn5::ura4 mutant (Figure 2). Whether Csn5 can process Nedd8-precursor, however, is unclear. The Δcsn5 mutant was crossed to the quadruple knockout strain to create a Δnep1Δnep2Δuch1Δuch2Δcsn5 strain. Even this quintuple knockout strain was viable (Figure 1). However, the hyperneddylation observed in the Δcsn5 single mutant (Figure 2) was not as apparent in the Δnep1Δnep2Δuch1Δuch2Δcsn5 strain, suggesting that a reduced amount of processed Nedd8 is available for Cul1 modification in this background (Figure 2). Despite this effect on Cul1 neddylation, the quintuple knockout strain did not display any growth defect when compared to the wild type control or the other mutants (Figure 1).

Deubiquitylating enzymes also provide deneddylating activity

In an attempt to estimate the remaining Nedd8-precursor processing activity we examined the Nedd8-AMC processing activity of the Δnep1Δnep2Δuch1Δuch2 and Δnep1Δnep2Δuch1Δuch2Δcsn5 strains. This was done by preparing protein extracts of the strains and incubating with Nedd8-AMC as described previously [22]. The results revealed that albeit the processing activity in these strains was reduced, it was by no means eliminated (Figure 4). Most deubiquitylating and deneddylating enzymes in fission yeast are cysteine proteases, but a few are metalloproteases [27]. To determine what may be responsible for this remaining activity in the quintuple mutant we used two enzymatic inhibitors, ubiquitin aldehyde and o-phenanthroline. Ubiquitin aldehyde is used to inhibit deubiquitylating enzymes, while o-phenanthroline inhibits metalloproteases. As evident from the data, while ubiquitin aldehyde can inhibit some of the remaining activity from the Δnep1Δnep2Δuch1Δuch2Δcsn5 strain, o-phenanthroline cannot (Figure 4). This implies that the remaining activity present in the Δnep1Δnep2Δuch1Δuch2Δcsn5 strain is due to the activity of ubiquitin protease(s), but not metalloproteases.

Discussion

In the present work we found that unlike in S. cerevisiae, the Nedd8-precursor processing activity in S. pombe is not solely associated with the YUH1 orthologue uch1+. In fact the deletion of five candidate genes for Nedd8-precursor processing activity appears to have little physiological effect on the cell, despite the predicted lethality associated with the lack of Nedd8-precursor processing in fission yeast.

At first we were surprised by these results, but perhaps it is not so unexpected given what we know of Nedd8 in S. pombe, i.e. that lack of Nedd8-precursor processing will undoubtedly lead to death of the cell, and that Nedd8 and ubiquitin are 50% identical in amino acid sequence. Perhaps the requirement for Nedd8-precursor processing, forces the cell to utilize those enzymes which perform a similar function for ubiquitin, turning them into Nedd8-precursor processing enzymes. This is suggested by the remaining processing activity and cell viability once the genes for the five enzymes Uch1, Uch2, Nep1, Nep2 and Csn5 were deleted. Despite these data, it is possible that in the wild type cell under normal conditions these enzymes are performing a function that is similar to, but distinct from, the function of Nedd8-precursor processing enzymes.
physiological conditions, there is only one main Nedd8-precursor processing enzyme in *S. pombe*, and it is only when the function of this enzyme is lost that the cell recruits other enzymes to perform the vital task of Nedd8-precursor processing in fission yeast.

The observation that hyper neddylation of Cul1 is lost in the quintuple mutant also suggests that these five enzymes provide the cell with most of the Nedd8-precursor processing activity. However, since the quintuple mutant is viable, a limiting amount of processed Nedd8 must be sufficient to support neddylation. Some DUBs are regulated on the level of their subcellular localization [28]. We note that our deneddylation assays were performed in cell extracts, and therefore do not take this into account.

Recently, Nedd8 overexpression was found to result in neddylation of ubiquitin substrates catalyzed by ubiquitin enzymes [29,30]. This atypical neddylation suggests that ubiquitin activating enzymes can moonlight as Nedd8 activating enzymes. The results presented here suggest that deubiquitylating enzymes similarly may also target Nedd8. These results are also in agreement with a recent paper on budding yeast Nedd8 (Rub1) cleavage [31], which shows that heterologous Rub1-ubiquitin chains are disassembled by the COP9 signalosome and proteasome-associated DUBs. Hence, although Yuh1 in budding yeast appears to be the major processing enzyme in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*, the profound structural similarity between ubiquitin and Nedd8 [31] leads to functional cross-talk in both yeasts.

**Conclusions**

Unlike the situation in budding yeast, several enzymes contribute to Nedd8 precursor processing in *S. pombe*,
including a number of deubiquitylating enzymes. This functional redundancy may be connected with the essential nature of Nedd8 conjugation in fission yeast.
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