Ultrasound-defined remission for good functional status in rheumatoid arthritis
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Background & objectives: It has been shown that joint damage due to subclinical synovitis progresses despite apparent clinical remission in rheumatoid arthritis (RA). Hence, finding more objective methods to investigate subclinical synovitis has become a current issue. Ultrasonography (US) has been among the most investigated methods. This study was conducted to detect whether there was subclinical inflammation in RA patients in clinical remission by power Doppler ultrasonography (PDUS) and to evaluate the effects of this inflammation on upper extremity function.

Methods: Forty five RA patients fulfilled the remission criteria of disease activity score 28 using erythrocyte sedimentation rate (DAS28-ESR), were enrolled in the study. Bilateral wrist, 2nd and 3rd metacarpophalangeal and proximal interphalangeal joints and 2nd and 5th metatarsophalangeal joints were examined by PDUS. Upper extremity function was assessed with Michigan Hand Outcomes Questionnaire (MHQ) and handgrip strength. The pain was evaluated by visual analogue scale (VAS).

Results: In 29 of 45 RA patients in clinical remission, synovitis was detected by PDUS at least in one joint. VAS and DAS28-ESR scores were significantly lower and total MHQ, some subgroup scores of MHQ (overall hand function, activity of daily living and work performance) and grip strength of the dominant hand were higher in patients with PD signal negativity.

Interpretation & conclusions: PDUS showed a crucial role in determining the subclinical synovitis. Subclinical synovitis negatively affects the upper extremity function. Ultrasound-defined remission may be considered for good functional status and real remission in patients with RA.
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therapeutic goal for preventing disability and maintaining quality of life\(^6\). The current methods for evaluating remission in RA are based on composite scores, which are combinations of clinical and laboratory indicators of inflammation. However, some studies suggest that joint destruction continues despite apparent clinical remission\(^3,4\), and conventional clinical approaches for detection of synovitis have inadequate sensitivity\(^5\).

In the last decade, musculoskeletal ultrasonography (US) has shown added value over clinical assessment. US can be used for direct visualization and objective quantification of synovial inflammation. It has been found to be more sensitive and reliable than clinical examination in the detection of synovial hypertrophy, effusion and inflammation\(^6-8\). Since it also helps to determine current disease activity, it is also suggested to be used in monitoring therapy\(^6\).

Synovitis may also affect functional status of patients with RA. There are a few studies on the relationship between functional status and power Doppler ultrasonography (PDUS)\(^6,10-13\), with varied findings. The aim of this study was to investigate whether there was subclinical inflammation in patients with RA in clinical remission with PDUS and to evaluate the effects of this probable inflammation on upper extremity functional status and physical function.

**Material & Methods**

This study was planned as a cross-sectional study at the Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation department and Rheumatology division of Cumhuriyet University, Medical Faculty Education and Research Hospital, in Sivas, Turkey. Forty five RA patients were classified according to criteria of 1987 American College of Rheumatology (ACR)\(^14\) and 2010 ACR-European League Against Rheumatism (EULAR)\(^15\) and fulfilled the remission criteria of disease activity score 28 using erythrocyte sedimentation rate (DAS28-ESR)\(^6\), who agreed to participate in this study, were selected from the outpatient rheumatology clinics between August 2013 and February 2014. The patients in clinical remission for at least for six months were included in the study\(^17\). Patients with juvenile idiopathic arthritis and those with problems which may affect the hand grip strength, such as fracture history, upper extremity peripheral nerve injury, entrapment neuropathy and other neurological diseases were excluded. History was taken for biological (rituximab, adalimumab, etanercept, infliximab, golimumab, abatacept, certolizumab pegol, tocilizumab) and non-biological (methotrexate, leflunomide, sulphasalazine, hydroxychloroquine) disease modifying anti-rheumatic drugs (DMARDs) intake. Variables such as age and gender characteristics were recorded.

All patients underwent US examination within 30 min after the clinical evaluation. Disease activity was evaluated by DAS28-ESR and scores <2.6 were considered as remission\(^16\). Pain intensity was measured by visual analogue scale (10 cm VAS). Michigan Hand Outcomes Questionnaire (MHQ)\(^18\) was used to assess upper extremity function and disability. Hand grip strength was measured bilaterally with hydraulic hand dynamometer (Model SH5001, Saehan Corporation, Masan, Korea) after the US examination on the same day.

**US Assessment:** US examination was performed with LOGIQ P5 (General Electric Medical System, Korea) with a linear probe at 7-12 MHz and a Doppler frequency of 5-6.7 MHz. The wrist, 2\(^{nd}\) and 3\(^{rd}\) metacarpophalangeal (MCP) joints, 2\(^{nd}\) and 3\(^{rd}\) proximal interphalangeal (PIP) joints and the 2\(^{nd}\) and 5\(^{th}\) metatarsophalangeal (MTP) joints were examined bilaterally by US\(^9\) according to the EULAR\(^19\) guidelines. The wrist was examined for synovitis from the dorsal aspect; the probe was parallel to the extensor digitorum tendons (dorsomedian). Second and 3\(^{rd}\) MCP and PIP joints were evaluated for synovitis from the palmar and dorsal aspects and 2\(^{nd}\) and 5\(^{th}\) MTP joints were examined only from the dorsal aspect.

Power Doppler (PD) imaging was obtained by selecting a region of interest that included the bony margins, articular space and a variable view of surrounding tissues. Pulse repetition frequency was adjusted to the lowest available value to increase sensitivity, which ranged from 500 to 750 Hz. The colour gain was set just below the level that any signal should not be visualized at the underlying bony surface, ranging between 15 and 30 dB. After the synovial tissue was detected by grey scale US in the region of interest, the degree of activation was determined with PD. The intra-articular PD signal was subjectively graded on a semiquantitative scale from 0 to 3 (0=absence, no intra-articular flow; 1=mild, single vessel signal; 2=moderate, confluent signals in less than half of the synovial area; 3=marked, signals in more than half of the synovial area\(^6\)). The patients with at least one joint involvement according to PD signal positivity were accepted as active regarding US evaluation.

The local ethics committee approved the study protocol. Informed written consent was obtained from each participant.
Power Doppler ultrasonography (PDUS) intra-observer reliability: Intra-observer reliability of PDUS assessment was evaluated by recording representative images from the full baseline examination of 45 patients in this study. The stored images were blindly read and scored for PD signal by the same expert who performed all US examinations a minimum of three months after the corresponding real time scanning.

Statistical analysis: The Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS) version 22.0 for Windows (SPSS, IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA) was used for the statistical analysis. The normality of distribution of parameters was assessed by the Kolmogorov–Smirnov test. Differences between groups with respect to non-normally distributed variables were compared using the Mann–Whitney U-test and normally distributed variables were compared by Student’s t test. Relationships between parameters were analyzed using Spearman’s or Pearson’s correlation coefficient, depending on the variable distribution. Chi-square difference test was performed for categorical data. Intra-observer agreement in US findings was calculated by the intraclass correlation coefficient (ICC) and Kappa (κ) statistics. Receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve analysis was done to determine a new cut-off value for DAS28-ESR (instead of 2.6). Optimal cut-off values were obtained by the maximum value of sensitivity plus ‘1-specificity’.

Results

Demographic and clinical features of the 45 RA patients who fulfilled remission according to DAS28-ESR are given in Table I. Twenty one (46.7%) patients were on non-biological DMARDs (methotrexate, hydroxychloroquine, sulphasalazine, leflunomide). Four (8.9%) patients were on biological DMARDs (infliximab, etanercept, adalimumab, golimumab). Twenty (44.4%) patients were using both non-biological and biological DMARDs.

None of the patients had any active synovitis on clinical examination. Dominant hand was right in all patients. Ninety wrist, 180 MCP, 180 PIP and 180 MTP joints were evaluated by US. Synovial PD signal was detected in joints of 29 patients (64.4%) in remission according to DAS28-ESR. There was PD signal positivity in 63 joints. The most commonly affected joints were the right (n=17, 27%) and left (n=17, 27%) wrists. The least involved joints were the left (n=1, 1.6%) 3rd MCP, right (n=1, 1.6%) and left (n=1, 1.6%) 2nd PIP and the left (n=1, 1.6%) 2nd MTP. There was grade 2 PD signal positivity in only three joints (a right wrist, a left wrist and 5th MTP). The PD signal positivity was grade 1 in other active joints.

Table I. Clinical and demographic characteristics of the rheumatoid arthritis patients in clinical remission (n=45)

| Characteristics | Values |
|-----------------|--------|
| Age (yr)*        | 49±12.6 |
| Disease duration (yr)* | 6 (14.5) |
| Gender, n (%)b |        |
| Female          | 37 (82.2) |
| Male            | 8 (17.8)  |
| MS (min)c       | 0 (25)   |
| Visual analogue scale (cm)c | 2 (2) |
| DAS28-ESRc      | 2.3 (0.9) |
| MHQ             |        |
| Total*          | 67.5±19.5 |
| OHE*            | 64.6±18.8 |
| ADL*            | 83.9 (39.3) |
| WP*             | 65 (67.5) |
| Pain*           | 55 (60)  |
| Aesthetic*      | 87.5 (34.4) |
| PS*             | 64.2±18.6 |
| PDUS, n (%)b    |        |
| Positive        | 29 (64.4) |
| Negative        | 16 (35.6) |
| Hand grip strength (kg)c |        |
| Dominant hand   | 35 (32.5) |
| Non-dominant hand | 28.3 (31.7) |

Data are given as ‘mean±SD; number (%); median (Interquartile range, IQR); DAS28-ESR, disease activity score 28-erythrocyte sedimentation rate; MHQ, Michigan Hand Outcomes Questionnaire; OHE, overall hand function; ADL, activity of daily living; WP, work performance; PS, patients satisfaction; PDUS, power Doppler ultrasonography; SD, standard deviation; MS, morning stiffness

PD signal positivity in MTP joints was seen in two patients without involvement of the wrist or hand joints. The data of these two patients were not taken into account in comparing the MHQ scores in patients with or without synovitis (n=43; positive PD signal n=27, negative PD signal n=16). Four RA patients had deformity in the hand. In comparing the grip strength of patients with or without synovitis, data of these four patients with hand deformities (three with positive PD
signal) and two patients with only MTP joint synovitis were not included (n=39; positive PD signal n=24, negative PD signal n=15).

There was significant difference between the 29 patients with positive PD signals and the 16 patients with negative PD signals regarding VAS score and DAS28-ESR score (Table II). There was no difference regarding medical treatment in patients with or without synovitis according to PDUS (Table II). Total MHQ and some subgroup scores of MHQ [overall hand function (OHF), activity of daily living (ADL) and work performance (WP)] were significantly higher in patients with PD signal negativity (Table II). Grip strength of the dominant hand was found to be higher in patients with PD signal negativity (n=15). There was a significant correlation between the joint count detected by PDUS and VAS (P=0.039, r=0.309), total MHQ (P=0.002, r=−0.460) and subgroups of MHQ, namely, OHF (P=0.008, r=−0.399), ADL (P=0.008, r=−0.400), WP (P=0.009, r=−0.395) and patient satisfaction (PS) (P=0.004, r=−0.427).

The intra-observer ICC for the number of joints with a PD signal was 0.96 (95% CI 0.92, 0.98; P<0.001) and κ-correlation coefficient (CC) was 0.76 (P<0.001). The intra-observer ICC for the cumulative flow score (CFS) was 0.94 (95% CI 0.90, 0.97; P<0.001) and κ-CC was 0.70 (P<0.001).

ROC curve analysis was performed to determine a new cut-off value for DAS28-ESR (instead of 2.6) for evaluating activation in patients with PD signal positivity. The new cut-off value of DAS28-ESR for activation was determined to be 2.08 with a sensitivity of 0.724 and specificity of 0.625 (P=0.041, area=0.685) (Figure).

**Discussion**

The results revealed that there was inflammation in the joints detected by PDUS in 64.4 per cent of the RA patients in clinical remission. The upper extremity functional status, physical functions, VAS and DAS28-ESR scores were also better in patients without subclinical inflammation compared to those with inflammation.

### Table II. Data of the rheumatoid arthritis patients with and without power Doppler ultrasonography signal

| Variables                        | Patients with PDUS signal (n=29) | Patients without PDUS signal (n=16) |
|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| **Age (yr)**                     | 50.5±12.1                        | 48.3±13.6                          |
| **MS (min)**                     | 0 (25)                           | 0 (22.5)                           |
| **Visual analogue scale**        | 2 (3)†                           | 1.5 (1)                            |
| **DAS28**                        | 2.3 (0.6)†                       | 1.8 (1)                            |
| **MHQ, n**                       | 27                               | 16                                 |
| **Total**                        | 63±20.8†                         | 76.3±15                            |
| **OHF**                          | 59.4±19†                         | 72.8±16.3                          |
| **ADL**                          | 70 (35.4)†                       | 94 (21.4)                          |
| **WP**                           | 50 (45)†                         | 82.5 (38.8)                        |
| **Pain**                         | 55 (60)                          | 87.5 (53.8)                        |
| **Aesthetic**                    | 75 (31.3)                        | 100 (37.5)                         |
| **PS**                           | 60.2±18.1                        | 71.2±18                            |
| **Medical treatment, n (%)**     | 29                               | 16                                 |
| **Non-biological DMARDs**        | 13 (28.9)                        | 8 (17.8)                           |
| **Biological DMARDs**            | 3 (6.7)                          | 1 (2.2)                            |
| **Non-biological DMARDs plus biological DMARDs** | 13 (28.9) | 7 (15.5) |
| **Hand grip strength (kg), n**   | 24                               | 15                                 |
| **Dominant hand**                | 25.8 (23.8)†                     | 43.4 (45)                          |
| **Non-dominant hand**            | 25.8 (26.7)                      | 40 (43.3)                          |

*P<0.05 compared to patients without PDUS. Data are given as *mean±SD; †median (IQR); ‡numbers (%). MS, morning stiffness; DAS28, disease activity score 28; MHQ, Michigan Hand Outcomes Questionnaire; OHF, overall hand function; ADL, activity of daily living; WP, work performance; PS, patient satisfaction; PDUS, power Doppler ultrasonography; DMARDs, disease modifying anti-rheumatic drugs; SD, standard deviation*
RA treatment targets suppressing inflammation for eliminating synovitis, preventing further joint destruction and disability and maintaining the quality of life. For this purpose, clinical remission as defined by the DAS28-ESR has been commonly used as an ideal therapeutic target. DAS28-ESR <2.6 has been recommended by the EULAR and ACR as a measure of remission. Several studies have reported that progressive radiographic damage occurs in patients who are in remission according to the DAS28-ESR. The progression of radiological destruction despite the clinical remission indicates that the existing scales are not enough to evaluate the remission successfully. More objective methods are required to evaluate the synovitis and joint destruction. US is considered to be an inexpensive, harmless and easily accessible method which also enables evaluation of many joints at the same time.

A considerable number of patients in clinical remission according to various clinical criteria show inflammation on US. Geng et al. reported that it would be better to use more than one composite remission index at the same time to eliminate the subclinical synovitis.

In the present study, synovitis by PD was detected in 64.4 per cent patients with RA. Our results indicate that subclinical synovitis that is responsible for bone damage is ongoing in majority of RA patients achieving clinical remission. It may be suggested that not only clinical remission but also remission by imaging should be targeted. It has also been suggested to compose assessment criteria by involving US evaluations along with clinical scores such as US-DAS.

In our study, VAS and DAS28-ESR scores were found to be significantly higher in patients with synovitis according to PDUS. Balsa et al. accepted remission according to PD signal negativity and found SDAI scores significantly higher in active patients whereas there was no difference in DAS28 scores and reported SDAI to be superior to DAS28 in defining clinical remission. In another study where both clinically active and inactive patients with RA were involved, a correlation between PDUS and VAS scores was
To achieve and maintain a good functional status is an essential part of remission in RA patients. In a study by Ozgocmen et al., a significant correlation of CFS was reported with Duruoz’s Hand Index, Hand Function Test and Health Assessment Questionnaire (HAQ). However, they included both clinically active and inactive patients in their study whereas only clinically inactive (according to DAS 28-ESR) patients were included in the present study. Some studies found no relationship of PDUS with functional status in patients with RA, tests evaluating functional condition and physical functions should be considered into daily practice.

In the present study, we evaluated the functions of upper extremity which is more frequently involved and has an important role in activities of daily living by MHQ and grip strength. Upper extremity functional status was found to be better in patients satisfying remission according both to DAS28-ESR and PDUS evaluation. There was also a correlation between the total MHQ score, the subgroups of MHQ, namely, the OHF, ADL, WP and PS scores with the active joint count by PDUS. Taking these results into consideration, it may be suggested that functional status is also related to subclinical synovitis and can be an indicator of it. Accordingly, cut-off values to determine the subclinical synovitis for MHQ and grip strength may also be defined in studies with more number of patients in remission according to PDUS. These cut-off values may be used in clinics instead of US where it is not available. While determining remission in patients with RA, tests evaluating functional condition and physical functions are required.

Our study had several limitations. First, we assessed intra-observer reliability on recorded images in place of real time evaluation of the same patients. Second, there were some limitations in the use of PDUS such as the absence of technical standardization in PDUS parameters, the utilization of semiquantitative scoring method, impressionability by pathologies in periarticular structures (tenosynovitis, bursitis) and patients and performers movements. The training and experience of the user and the quality of the US machine are also important for PDUS measurements.

In conclusion, PDUS showed a crucial role in determining the subclinical synovitis in RA patients. This subclinical synovitis also negatively affects the upper extremity functions. Hence, tests which evaluate upper extremity functional status and physical functions should be considered into daily practice. Ultrasound-defined remission may be considered for good functional status and real remission in patients with RA.
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