Ultrasound enhanced Azo Dye adsorption by Graphene Oxide Nanocomposite
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Abstract

Reactive azo dyes appearing in almost all streams of wastewater reportedly possess carcinogenic and mutagenic properties and are often biorecalcitrant in nature. In recent years, the process of adsorption has received much significance as one of the most efficient, easy to operate and cost effective procedures implemented for treatment of effluents containing different types of dyes. The present study was performed to investigate the potential of Graphene Oxide (GO) – Zinc oxide nanoparticle (ZnO NPs) composites as an inexpensive, convenient and non-toxic adsorbent for removal of Methylen blue (MB) from solution. The ZnO NPs used in this study were synthesized in a green route from leaf extracts of basil (Ocimum sanctum). The adsorption phenomenon was catalysed by ultrasound to achieve higher rates of removal in significantly reduced time. The process was optimized using Response Surface Methodology. Under optimized conditions, 99.87% removal of upto 120 ppm dye was achieved in 6 min using only 0.75 g L⁻¹ GO-ZnO NP nanocomposite as adsorbent. Characterization of this nanocomposite (using Electron Microscopy and Fourier Transform Infrared Spectroscopy) depicted changes in the surface morphology and chemical properties of the adsorbent resulting from MB uptake. Antibacterial properties of the GO-ZnONP nanocomposite was also determined and found to be higher than its individual components. Results indicated that the fabricated adsorbent was highly efficient and may be investigated further for treatment of industrial or domestic effluents.
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Introduction

Alarming proportions of azo dyes present in industrial effluents exert a severe impact on the environment by causing harm to humans and ecosystems adjacent to their point of discharge [1,2]. 7X10⁵ tonnes of dyes are approximately manufactured commercially every year for industrial applications [3]. These dyes are reckoned as primary toxicants due to their potentials of causing carcinogenesis and mutagenesis [4]. Therefore it has become highly essential to ensure efficient removal azo dyes from effluents bearing them in order to maintain health and safety of the environment [4].

Of all other methods of effluent treatment reported till date for treatment of dye compounds present in effluents, adsorption has been widely reckoned as the most efficient due to its applicability for continuous effluent treatment, low cost and non-requirement of harsh chemicals and organic solvents [2-5]. Recent investigations have reported graphene oxide (GO) as a highly suitable adsorbent due to its benign nature, simple synthesis, defined and homogenous structure and good stability [2]. However, GO sheets tend to aggregate on being suspended in aqueous media rendering the
same unsuitable for adsorption by reducing its potential for reuse [4-6]. In order to overcome this limitation of GO, recent studies have reported insertion of nanoparticles between adjacent GO layers for reducing aggregation and obtaining greater surface area for adsorption [6]. Incorporation of nanoparticles were found to widen the space between adjacent GO sheets and facilitate better fluid percolation [6].

In the present study composites were prepared using GO and ZnO nanoparticles (ZnO NPs) and investigated for adsorption of cationic azo dye methylene blue (MB). Ultrasonic irradiation was applied throughout the treatment for accelerating the process of adsorption and in turn facilitating higher uptake of dye by the GO composite with significantly reduced duration of treatment and cost [4]. The composites were characterized using scanning electron microscopy (SEM), transmission electron microscopy (TEM) and Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (FTIR). Determination of the effect of interaction of significant process parameters (like initial dye concentration, solution pH, ambient temperature, adsorbent dosage and duration of exposure to ultrasound irradiation) on MB uptake by GO-ZnO nanocomposite and process optimization were elucidated with Central Composite Design (CCD) scheme of Response Surface Methodology (RSM), an integrated mathematical and statistical tool.

Experimental Methodology

Materials and equipment

All chemicals (analytical grade) used here in were commercially obtained from Merck, India and used as received. Stock solutions (100 mg L⁻¹) of MB (λmax = 664nm) were prepared using distilled water and diluted with the same for obtaining working solutions of desired concentrations. All through the study, solution pH was adjusted using 0.1N HCl or 0.1M NaOH as required.

Ultrasonic irradiation was provided using an ultrasonic bath (Rivotek, India) having a heating system which was operated at a frequency of 30 ± 3 kHz with a power of 120W for performing ultrasound aided MB adsorption. Residual MB concentrations at any point was determined with an UV-VIS spectrophotometer (Perkin Elmer λ 35, USA) using a quartz cuvette. The GO-ZnO nanocomposites were characterized by SEM (ZEISS EVO-MA10, Germany) after gold-coating the samples with a sputter coater. Nanocomposites were also analysed using a TEM (JEOL, Japan; Model No.JEM2100h) using samples cast and air dried on carbon coated copper grids. Chemical analysis of the GO-ZnO nanocomposites was conducted with a FTIR (JascoFTIR-6300, Japan) in transmittance mode via the KBr pellet method. Samples pelleted using KBr (FTIR grade) were scanned over a range of 400–4000 cm⁻¹.

Preparation of GO-ZnO NP nanocomposite

GO used in this study was prepared by modified Hummers method as reported by Banerjee et al [6]. ZnO NPs used in this study were synthesised in a green route reported by Devi and Gayathri using the leaf extracts of Basil (Ocimum sanctum) [7]. For synthesis of the GO-ZnO NP composite, 5g GO was suspended in 20 mL ethanol and exposed to ultrasonic irradiation for 2 h. The ZnO nanoparticles (5g) prepared previously were suspended into a mixture of methanol (18 mL) and chloroform (2mL) and separately exposed to ultrasonic irradiation for 2 h. At the end of 2h, the GO and ZnO suspensions were mixed and subjected to constant stirring for 24 h. The composite was then separated by centrifugation, washed using methanol (several times), dried, ground and stored for further studies.

RSM (CCD) study

For optimization of ultrasound aided MB adsorption by GO-ZnO, a five factor based model was developed using RSM (CCD) with Design Expert, Version 7.0 (Minneapolis, USA). 50 experiments suggested by this model were carried out in this study. The ranges, levels and design matrix of selected parameters have been given in Table 1. Performance target and ramp were kept constant for ensuring best results.

Table 1. Computational details of Response Surface Methodology (CCD) for MB removal using GO-ZnO NP composite in presence of ultrasound irradiation

| Factors               | Units   | Low (-1) | Central (0) | High (+1) | Star point α = 2.38 |
|-----------------------|---------|----------|-------------|-----------|---------------------|
| Initial MB Conc.      | mg L⁻¹  | 57.07    | 90.70       | 124.34    | 10.7049 170.705     |
| pH                    | °C      | -α       | Central (0) | +α        | 0.51366 15.51366    |
| Temperature           | °C      | 25.0     | 32.5        | 40.0      | 14.66189 50.33811   |
| Adsorbent Dose        | g L⁻¹   | 0.63     | 0.89        | 1.15      | 0.266905 15.16905   |
| Ultrasonic Exposure   | min     | 4.8      | 8.0         | 11.2      | 0.51366 15.51366    |
| Ultrasonic Duration   | min     | -α       | Central (0) | +α        | 0.51366 15.51366    |

The empirical association between the selected independent variables was determined by the quadratic polynomial equation given as follows:

\[
Y = m_0 + \sum_{i=1}^{a} m_{i1} X_i + \sum_{j=1}^{a} m_{j1} X_j + \sum_{i=1}^{a} \sum_{j=1}^{a} m_{ij} X_i X_j + \sum_{i=1}^{a} m_{i2} X_i^2 + \epsilon - (5)
\]

Whereby, \(Y\), \(m_0\), \(m_{i1}\) (\(p = a, b, ab, etc.)\), \(X_i\) (\(q = a, b, etc.)\) represent dependent variable (response), constant coefficients, regression coefficients (linear, quadratic and interaction respectively), independent variables (experimental parameters) and error respectively. 50 experiments suggested by RSM (CCD) were replicated thrice for reducing handling error. Optimized experimental parameters were derived using Derringer’s desirability function [4]. The applied goals were maintained within range and at a maximum for experimental parameters and responses respectively.

Reusability study

Reusability potential of adsorbents are of high significance for investigations related to adsorption, desorption and adsorbate recovery. On attaining its maximum adsorption capacity, the adsorbents were retrieved by centrifugation and subjected to treatment with 4.0 M NaOH for regeneration after complete desorption. The regenerated adsorbents were re-applied for MB adsorption under optimized conditions. This processes of adsorption and desorption were repeated for ten consecutive cycles.

Statistical analysis

All experiments were repeated thrice and the results have been presented as Mean ± SD. Statistical significance was calculated with ANOVA using Design Expert, Version 7.0, USA.
Results and Discussion

RSM (CCD) analysis

The output (% MB removal) of the 50 combinations of experimental parameters suggested by the RSM (CCD) has been shown in Table 2. A large F value (442.57) indicated the high significance (p < 0.0001) of the quadratic model predicted by RSM and also established that the quadratic polynomial equation guiding this process was significant at 95% confidence level. The insignificant lack of fit (>0.05) also indicated the validity of the quadratic model for the present study. The considerable agreement between the regression coefficient R² (0.995), the adjusted R² (0.992) and the predicted R² (0.981) for MB uptake suggested appropriateness of the quadratic polynomial model for predicting the output of the concerned process.

Table 2. Experiments suggested by Response Surface Methodology (CCD) for MB removal using GO-ZnO NP composite in presence of ultrasound irradiation and their respective responses

| Run | Initial MB conc. (mg L⁻¹) | pH | Temperature (°C) | Adsorbent dose (g L⁻¹) | USI duration (min) | MB removal (%) |
|-----|--------------------------|----|------------------|------------------------|-------------------|---------------|
| 1   | 90.70                    | 8.0| 32.5             | 0.89                   | 15.5              | 62.87         |
| 2   | 57.07                    | 11.2| 25               | 1.15                   | 11.2              | 62.87         |
| 3   | 57.07                    | 11.2| 40               | 0.63                   | 11.2              | 62.87         |
| 4   | 57.07                    | 4.9 | 25               | 0.63                   | 11.2              | 62.87         |
| 5   | 124.34                   | 11.2| 25               | 0.63                   | 11.2              | 62.87         |
| 6   | 124.34                   | 4.9 | 40               | 0.63                   | 11.2              | 62.87         |
| 7   | 90.70                    | 8.0 | 32.5             | 0.27                   | 11.2              | 62.87         |
| 8   | 124.34                   | 11.2| 40               | 1.15                   | 4.9               | 62.87         |
| 9   | 57.07                    | 11.2| 25               | 0.63                   | 4.9               | 62.87         |
| 10  | 90.70                    | 8.0 | 32.5             | 0.89                   | 8.0               | 79.27         |
| 11  | 10.70                    | 8.0 | 32.5             | 0.89                   | 8.0               | 79.27         |
| 12  | 90.70                    | 14.0| 32.5             | 0.89                   | 8.0               | 79.27         |
| 13  | 90.70                    | 8.0 | 32.5             | 0.89                   | 8.0               | 79.27         |
| 14  | 124.34                   | 11.2| 40               | 1.15                   | 4.9               | 79.27         |
| 15  | 57.07                    | 4.9 | 25               | 0.63                   | 4.9               | 79.27         |
| 16  | 57.07                    | 4.9 | 40               | 0.63                   | 4.9               | 79.27         |
| 17  | 124.34                   | 11.2| 40               | 1.15                   | 4.9               | 79.27         |
| 18  | 57.07                    | 11.2| 25               | 0.63                   | 4.9               | 79.27         |
| 19  | 124.34                   | 4.9 | 40               | 0.63                   | 4.9               | 79.27         |
| 20  | 124.34                   | 11.2| 40               | 1.15                   | 4.9               | 79.27         |
| 21  | 57.07                    | 4.9 | 25               | 0.63                   | 4.9               | 79.27         |
| 22  | 57.07                    | 4.9 | 40               | 0.63                   | 4.9               | 79.27         |
| 23  | 124.34                   | 11.2| 40               | 1.15                   | 4.9               | 79.27         |
| 24  | 57.07                    | 11.2| 25               | 0.63                   | 4.9               | 79.27         |
| 25  | 90.70                    | 8.0 | 50.3             | 0.89                   | 8.0               | 87.17         |
| 26  | 170.70                   | 8.0 | 32.5             | 0.89                   | 8.0               | 87.17         |
| 27  | 90.70                    | 8.0 | 32.5             | 0.89                   | 8.0               | 87.17         |
| 28  | 124.34                   | 4.9 | 25               | 0.63                   | 11.2              | 87.17         |
| 29  | 57.07                    | 4.9 | 25               | 0.63                   | 11.2              | 87.17         |
| 30  | 90.70                    | 2.0 | 32.5             | 0.89                   | 8.0               | 87.17         |
| 31  | 124.34                   | 4.9 | 40               | 0.63                   | 11.2              | 87.17         |
| 32  | 90.70                    | 8.0 | 32.5             | 0.89                   | 8.0               | 87.17         |
| 33  | 90.70                    | 8.0 | 32.5             | 0.89                   | 8.0               | 87.17         |
| 34  | 57.07                    | 4.9 | 40               | 0.63                   | 11.2              | 87.17         |
| 35  | 90.70                    | 8.0 | 32.5             | 0.89                   | 8.0               | 87.17         |

The Adeq Precision (60.07) indicated that the selected model determined % MB removal in the semi-empirical equation given below.

% MB Removal (Predicted) = -409.00672 + 0.58278 * Initial MB conc. + 20.57580 * pH + 10.85249 * Temperature + 187.90975 * Adsorbent dose + 19.56903 * USI duration + 0.022893 * Initial MB conc. * pH + 4.07799E-003 * Initial MB conc. * Temperature - 0.12763 * Initial MB conc. * Adsorbent dose + 3.62392E-003 * Initial MB conc. * USI duration + 0.11007 * pH * Temperature + 6.57854 * pH * Adsorbent dose + 0.28724 * pH * USI duration - 1.75337 * Temperature * Adsorbent dose - 0.033747 * Temperature * USI duration - 3.26589 * Adsorbent dose * USI duration - 74.74979E-003 * Initial MB conc. * pH - 0.13491 * Temperature² - 77.12424 * Adsorbent dose² - 1.00074 * USI duration²

The response values predicted using this equation was in good accord with that obtained experimentally (as shown in Figure 1).

Figure 1. Graph showing comparison between % dye removal predicted by RSM (CCD) analysis and % dye removal obtained experimentally for MB adsorption using GO-ZnO NP composite

3D surface plots representing inter-parameter interactions effecting ultrasound aided MB adsorption by GO-ZnO NP composite have been shown in Figures 2 and 3. The significant inter-parameter interactions (p<0.0001) indicated by ANOVA analysis has been discussed as follows.
Figure 2. 3D plots obtained from RSM (CCD) analysis showing effect of independent variables on MB adsorption using GO- ZnO NP composite. Figures A–D represent interactions of varying initial MB concentration and solution pH, solution pH and ambient temperature, solution pH and adsorption dosage and ambient temperature respectively on the process of adsorption reported in this study.

Figure 3. 3D plots obtained from RSM (CCD) analysis showing effect of independent variables with respect to duration of ultrasound irradiation (USI) on MB adsorption using GO- ZnO NP composite. Figures A–D represent interactions of duration of USI with varying initial MB concentration, solution pH, ambient temperature and adsorption dosage respectively on the process of adsorption reported in this study.

**Initial MB conc. vs. pH**

As evident from Figure 2A, MB uptake by GO-ZnO NP composite was found to rise with a parallel increase in solution pH and initial dye concentration till attaining pH 8. However, % dye removal was found to decline with further rise in solution pH. This may have had occurred due to weakening of attractive forces between cationic dye moieties and adsorbent surface under basic pH conditions [6-8].

**Ambient temperature vs. solution pH**

As evident from Figure 2B, MB uptake was found to increase with a corresponding rise in both ambient temperature and solution pH (till pH 8). Increase in temperature may have enhanced porosity and pore volume in turn facilitating higher dye adsorption [4].

**Adsorbent dosage vs. solution pH**

As evident from Figure 2C, MB uptake was found to increase with a corresponding rise in both adsorbent dosage and solution pH (till pH 8). Higher adsorbent dosage may have provided greater surface area with higher number of adsorption sites thereby enabling better rates of dye uptake [2, 4, 9].

**Adsorbent dosage vs. ambient temperature**

As shown in Figure 2D, dye uptake was found to increase with a corresponding rise in both adsorbent dosage and ambient temperature. Diffusion of dye molecules at higher temperatures and increased adsorptive surface area may have had facilitated higher uptake of MB by GO-ZnO NP composites [10].

**Duration of ultrasonic irradiation application vs. other significant process parameters**

Effect of duration of ultrasonic irradiation in combination with parameters like initial dye concentration, solution pH, temperature and adsorbent dosage has been shown as Figure 3 (A-D) respectively. It was observed that dye uptake had improved with a corresponding increase in all tested parameters. The significantly increased rates of adsorption achieved over highly reduced duration of treatment could be attributed to robust effects of ultrasound irradiation exerted on mass transfer [11]. Exposure to shock waves and drastic micro jets arising from violent collapse of bubbles formed at the adsorbent-adsorbate interface due to cavitation may have had caused enhanced MB uptake by GO-ZnO NP composites [4-8]. Increase in MB uptake with parallel increase in temperature also suggested an endothermic nature of the concerned process of adsorption [4].

**Optimization using RSM(CCD)**

As determined by the Derringer’s desirability function, the optimized conditions favoring most efficient MB adsorption using the GO- ZnO NP composite were an initial MB concentration of 124.34 mg L\(^{-1}\), a solution pH of 8.8, an ambient temperature of 35.7 °C, an adsorbent dosage of 0.72 g L\(^{-1}\) and an ultrasound exposure of 8.6 min. A maximum of 99.94% of MB removal was recorded with these optimized experimental parameters.

**Adsorbent characterization**

**Electron microscopic analysis**

Images of GO-ZnO Nanoparticle composites (before and after adsorption) recorded using SEM have been shown in Figure 4 (A and B) respectively. Figure 4A shows oval ZnO NPs lodged between adjacent GO sheets. Figure 4B shows smoothening of composite surfaces probably arising from adsorption of MB.

Images of GO-ZnO NP composites (before and after adsorption) recorded using TEM have been shown in Figure 4 (C and D) respectively. Images obtained with TEM are similar to those recorded with SEM.
FTIR analysis

FTIR analysis of GO-ZnO NP composites (before and after adsorption) has been shown in spectrum A and B of Figure 5 respectively. Spectrum A shows characteristic peaks of GO at 3448.00, 1703.80, 1612.40 and 1034.60 cm⁻¹ indicating –OH, -C=O (carboxyl), -C=C and –C-O (alkoxy) groups respectively. Spectrum A also revealed characteristic peaks of ZnO NPs at 440.00 cm⁻¹ indicating Zn – O respectively [12]. Besides characteristic peaks of GO and ZnO NPs, additional peaks at 3302.40, 1470.40, 1250.60 and 593.96 cm⁻¹ recorded in spectrum B indicated –CH₃ (asymmetric), carbonyl groups and mono-substitution of acetylene benzene derivatives respectively and were considered as characteristic peaks of MB occurring due to efficient adsorption of the same [13].

Reusability potential

Adsorption efficiency of GO-ZnO NP nanocomposites reported in this study were found to remain unaltered up to eight out of ten adsorption–desorption cycles conducted under optimized conditions. Maximum % MB removal was found to be 85.62% and 78.18% in the last two cycles respectively. This reduction in % MB removal may have had occurred due to alterations of adsorbent surface arising from repeated alkali exposure or loss of mass encountered during regeneration studies.

Conclusion

Results obtained in the present study established GO-ZnO NP composite as an effective adsorbent for methylene blue from its aqueous solutions. Ultrasound irradiation was also proved to be a significant tool for accelerating rate of adsorption. The convenient synthesis of GO-ZnO NP composite, its reusability potential, requirement in highly low doses and rapid rate of pollutant uptake rendered the same as an extremely promising adsorbent suitable for wide-scale effluent treatment. Hence, it may be concluded that GO-ZnO NP may be further investigated for treatment of various streams of wastewater.
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