Abstract

We examine the formation of vortical “smoke rings” as a result of thermalization of energy lost by a jet. We simulate the formation and evolution of these rings using hydrodynamics and define an observable that allows to probe this phenomenon experimentally. We argue that observation of vorticity associated with jets would be an experimental confirmation of the thermalization of the energy lost by quenched jets, and also a probe of shear viscosity.

1. Introduction

Two of the most studied results in heavy ion physics at ultra-relativistic energies are jet energy loss [1, 2, 3, 4, 5] and fluid behavior [6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11]. The first shows that colored degrees of freedom form “a medium” opaque to fast partons, and the second shows this medium thermalizes very quickly and subsequent evolution is nearly inviscid. Both results are usually interpreted as evidence that the medium created in heavy ion collisions is a “strongly coupled liquid”. However, considerable theoretical uncertainty exists regarding the fate of the energy lost by the jet. If the plasma is a very good fluid it is a reasonable hypothesis that the jet energy should thermalize and contribute to the fluid flow gradients. However, we do not have a clear experimental signature of this. Partially, this is because the models of parton-medium interaction are inconclusive [12], and partially it is because direct signatures of fluid behavior, such as “Conical flow”, have not been conclusively observed [13, 14].

Recently, a new intriguing manifestation of hydrodynamic behavior has been found: A polarization, measurable via parity violating decays [15]. It seems to be aligned to the global vorticity of the fluid and, to an extent, with near-ideal hydrodynamic vorticity being transferred into Polarization via an isentropic transition, respecting angular momentum conservation [16]. As well as a further confirmation of the fluid-like behavior of the medium, this observation opens the door to use polarization as a tool to study the medium’s dynamics.

We propose to use polarization to understand the fate of locally thermalized energy emitted by the jet. A schematic picture of the physical situation is shown in Fig. 1. A hard parton generates a dijet structure and one of these is partially quenched by the quark-gluon plasma, while the other is not. The quenched portion of the jet introduces a initial velocity gradient in the fluid. As is known from everyday physics, smoke-rings, eddies and so on are ubiquitous in fluids when a velocity gradient is present. This is certainly the case when a fast parton deposits energy into a medium. The only difficulty is, of course, that the jet’s direction fluctuates event-by-event which vanishes after the event averaging.

This is, however, easily surmountable: As argued in [17], the interplay between vorticity and transverse expansion can be used to define a “jet production plane”. This insight can be sharpened into the definition of an experimental observable that ties the polarization direction, the angular momentum and a desired reference vector, which can be defined event-by-event. In this work, we shall focus on defining the reference vector as a high-$p_T$ trigger particle. This observable, if measured to be non-zero in classes of events where jet suppression exists, would provide unique and compelling evidence that the energy lost by the jet is indeed thermalized. Moreover, it can be used to infer the medium’s viscosity, provided the initial velocity gradients generated by the jet are quantified.
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Figure 1: Schematic representation of the physical situation proposed. A hard parton generates a dijet structure and one of these jets is par-
tially quenched by the quark-gluon plasma, while the other is not. The quenched portion of the jet introduces a momentum gradient in
the fluid which in turn will generate a vortex ring.

2. A model for the jet thermalization

Our first step is to choose a suitable model for the
medium in which the jet will deposit (part of) its energy. We choose a model which incorporates three di-

Now we turn our attention to the jet thermaliza-
tion. We consider a scenario of jet creation inside the
medium, where one jet will lose a negligible amount of
energy and momentum while the other will be partially
quenched, causing an asymmetry in jet emission. This

Table 1: Input parameters for TαENTo 3D.

| Parameter       | Value |
|-----------------|-------|
| Rapidity mean coefficient | 0.0   |
| Rapidity standard coefficient | 2.9   |
| Rapidity skewness coefficient | 7.3   |
| Skewness type     | Relative skewness |
| Jacobian          | 0.75  |
| Reduced thickness | 0.007 |
| Nucleon width     | 0.956 fm |
| Nucleon minimum distance | 1.27 fm |

is measured experimentally using the jet asymmetry ob-

\[ x_J \equiv \frac{p_{T_1}}{p_{T_2}}, \]  \[ A_J \equiv \frac{(E_{T_1} - E_{T_2})/(E_{T_2} + E_{T_1})}. \]

The index “1” denote the trigger jet (the one that does not deposit energy and momentum in the medium) while the index “2” refers to the partially quenched jet.

From Eqs. (1) and (2), one can obtain the momentum (energy) of the quenched jet from the values of \( x_J \) (\( A_J \)) and the momentum (energy) of the trigger jet. Once \( E_{T_1} \) and \( p_{T_2} \) are determined, one may get the energy and momentum deposited in the medium as

\[ p_{th} = p_{T_1} - p_{T_2}, \]
\[ E_{th} = E_{T_1} - E_{T_2}. \]

We will use the data from [4] Fig. 3] and [22] Fig. 8] to determine the values of \( p_{th} \) and \( E_{th} \). These are the distribution of \( dN/dA_J \) and \( dN/dx_J \) for central Pb–Pb collisions at \( \sqrt{s_{NN}} = 2.76 \text{ TeV} \). The energy and momentum of the trigger jet in these measurements were \( E_{t} > 100 \text{ GeV} \) and \( p_{T_1} = 89.5 \text{ GeV/c}. \) For the values of \( A_J \) and \( x_J \), we choose the ones that have the high-
est value of multiplicity, i.e. \( A_J = 0.425 \) and \( x_J = 0.525 \). This gives us \( E_{th} = 59.6 \text{ GeV} \) and \( p_{th} = 43 \text{ GeV/c}. \) This implies that the situation studied in what follows cor-
responds to a dijet structure with a momentum of
89.5 GeV/c for the unquenched jet and 59.5 GeV/c for the partially quenched jet, noting that it is the latter that defines the direction in which lambda polarization will be studied.

The measurements that will be proposed later will be shown as a function of the difference between the azimuthal angle of the partially quenched jet and the emitted \( \Lambda \). For simplicity, we choose the jet in the \( x \)-direction without loss of generality. With this choice, we may write the thermalized four-
momentum as \( p_{th}^\mu = (E_{th}, p_{th}, 0, 0) \) and build an

\footnote{We attempted halving the grid spacing in \( x \) and \( y \) directions and our main results changed by only 1%, at the expense of a much greater computational effort.}
energy-momentum tensor $T^\mu\nu$ following

$$T^\mu\nu = 1 \frac{p^\mu_{th} p^\nu_{th}}{E_{th}},$$

where $V$ is the volume over which the energy and momentum is deposited. The volume is chosen to be an oblate spheroid centered on the origin of the system, with axis size equal to 0.5 fm in the $x$ and $y$ directions and $\approx 0.29$ fm in the $z$-direction (which equates to $\eta_s = 1$ at $\tau = 0.25$ fm/$c$).

We apply the Landau matching procedure $T^\mu\nu u_\nu = \varepsilon u^\mu$ to solve for the local energy density and flow velocity from the energy-momentum tensor in Eq. (4):

$$\varepsilon = 1 \frac{E_{th}^2 - p_{th}^2}{V E_{th}},$$

$$u^\mu = \frac{p_{th}}{\sqrt{E_{th}^2 - p_{th}^2}}.$$  

The remaining spatial components of $u^\mu$ are zero and $u^\tau$ is obtained by imposing the condition $u^\mu u_\mu = 1$. This procedure (energy-momentum tensor building and subsequent matching to a hydrodynamic-like energy-momentum tensor) was inspired by the procedure used for computing vorticity generated in the AMPT model in Ref. [24].

By inserting in Eqs. (5) and (6) the values for $E_{th}$ and $p_{th}$ obtained above, we obtain $\varepsilon V = 29$ GeV and $v_z = 0.69 c$, where $V$ is the volume over which the energy density will be deposited. In our simulations, we rounded these values to $\varepsilon V = 30$ GeV and $v_z = 0.7 c$.

We verified that the injected energy-momentum generates on average 1% more final state particles per unit of pseudo-rapidity.

3. Fluid vorticity and polarization measurements

3.1. Jet induced fluid vorticity and $\Lambda$’s polarization

The described initial condition is evolved with 3D viscous hydrodynamics [25, 26, 27]. We use the lattice-QCD based equation of state from the HotQCD Collaboration [28] and start the evolution at $\tau = 0.25$ fm/$c$. The six independent components of the vorticity tensor are then saved over a hypersurface of $T = 151$ MeV. We then compute the mean spin of $\Lambda$ following Eq. (2) of Ref. [15], which we reproduce below for completeness.

$$P^\mu(p) = -\frac{1}{8m} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} p_\nu \left[ \int d\Sigma_A p^\rho n_F (1 - n_F) \omega_{\nu\rho} \right],$$

$$n_F = \frac{1}{1 + \exp\left(\frac{p_\mu - \mu Q/T}{T}\right)},$$

$$\omega^\mu = \frac{1}{2} (\partial^\mu \beta^\nu - \partial^\nu \beta^\mu) \quad \text{and} \quad \beta^\mu = \frac{u^\mu}{T}.$$  

In our case, we do not consider baryon density and baryon currents and thus $\mu = 0$ MeV.
With the six components of the vorticity tensor \( \omega^{\mu} \) we calculate a vorticity vector \( \omega^{\mu} \) (inspired on the Pauli–Lubanski pseudovector), which will act as a proxy for the local spin polarization,

\[
\omega^{\mu} \equiv \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} u_{\nu} \omega_{\rho\sigma} . \tag{8}
\]

In Figure 2 we show the spatial distributions of \( \omega \) (along a slice of \( \eta_s = 0 \)) and \( |\omega| \) (along a slice of \( x = 0.3 \) fm) at \( \tau = 1.25 \) fm/c. The external energy-momentum from the jet induces a ring-shaped concentration of vorticity around the jet axis during the hydrodynamic evolution.

To verify the vortical structures in the fluid velocity field are mapped to the spin polarization of emitted \( \Lambda \), we compare the averaged \( \omega \) on the particlization hypersurface in the region \( |\eta| < 0.5 \) with the \( \Lambda \)'s \( P^z \), averaged over the region \( |y| < 0.5 \) and \( p_T < 3.0 \) GeV/c in Fig. 3. To obtain the azimuthal angle of each cell on the particlization hypersurface, we use the cell’s four-velocity, i.e. \( \varphi = \arctan(u^y/u^x) \). Since the fluid is expanding in a mostly radial way, the velocity angle \( \varphi \) is close to the spatial azimuthal angle of the cell. Figure 3 shows that the sign of \( \Lambda \) polarization correlates well with that of the fluid vorticity vector \( \omega^{\mu} \) in Eq. (8).

![Figure 3: Comparison between the weighted average of the z-component of the vorticity vector (see Eq 8) and the weighted average of the z-component of the \( \Lambda \)-polarization (see Eq 7) at mid-rapidity.](image)

Furthermore, we investigated the dependence of the \( z \)-component of the \( \Lambda \)-polarization \( (P^z) \) with transverse momentum and the angular distance (in the transverse plane) from the partially quenched jet, which we present in Fig. 4 as a color map. The markers indicate the positions of the \( |P^z| \)'s maxima in each \( p_T \)-bin. The \( |P^z| \)'s maxima are closer to the jet axis at high \( p_T \) than those at low \( p_T \) bins.

![Figure 4: Distribution of the weighted average of the z-component of the polarization \( (P^z) \), using \( \Lambda \)-multiplicity as weight and as function of \( p_T \) and the angular distance in the transverse place.](image)

### 3.2. The ring observable

We focused on the longitudinal component of polarization/vorticity for a jet that travels along the \( +\hat{x} \) direction. Since the transverse components are anti-symmetric with respect to rapidity/spatial-rapidity (see Fig. 2, right panel), they will average to zero in the above calculations and we lose information about them. However, the formation of a vortex ring due to our choice of initial condition has similarities with the vortex rings present in \( p+\Lambda \) collisions which were studied in Ref. [29]. There we introduced the ring observable \( \langle \mathcal{R}_{\Lambda} \rangle \), which we replicate below for completeness

\[
\langle \mathcal{R}_{\Lambda} \rangle \equiv \frac{\langle \vec{P}_{\Lambda} \cdot (\hat{i} \times \vec{P}_{\Lambda}) \rangle}{|\vec{P}_{\Lambda} \times \hat{i}|_{pr,y}} \tag{9}
\]

Here, \( \hat{i} = \hat{J} \) is the axis direction of the jet\(^2\) and \( \langle \cdot \rangle_{pr,y} \) denotes an weighted average over transverse momentum (in the range \( 0.5 \) GeV/c \( < p_T < 3.0 \) GeV/c) and rapidity (in the range \( |y| < 0.5 \)), using \( \Lambda \) multiplicity as

\(^2\) on our calculation, \( \hat{J} = \hat{i} \)
weight. The use of $\Lambda^\prime$ will filter most contributions to the polarization which were not induced by the jet thermalization while allowing us to take into account effects in the direction besides $\hat{z}$. We will focus on $\Lambda^\prime$ from now on.

The use of thermal vorticity, as shown in Eq. [4], has been debated in the literature [30, 31, 32]. There are three other definitions of vorticity which are popularly employed. The “kinetic vorticity” consists of the replacement $\beta^\mu \to u^\mu$ and is appealing because it can be more intuitively interpreted. The “temperature vorticity” or “$T$-vorticity” relies on the replacement $\beta^\mu \to Tu^\mu$ and also allows vorticity generation by temperature gradients. Finally, there is the “spatially projected kinetic vorticity” which replaces the derivative $\partial^\mu$ by $\nabla^\mu = (g^\mu\nu - u^\mu u^\nu)\partial_\nu$. This has the effect of removing local acceleration terms from the kinetic vorticity. It also has a direct connection to the fluid vorticity in the non-relativistic limit. We show a comparison between the polarization results using these four different vorticity values in Fig. 5. The fact that polarization from kinetic, thermal, and temperature vorticities are essentially equal implies that in this case the vorticity is predominantly generated by gradients in velocity, not in temperature. The higher value for the polarization from the spatially projected kinetic vorticity implies that local acceleration (caused mostly by the fluid expansion) has the effect of reducing the final $\Lambda$ polarization.

We study the sensitivity of the ring observable $\Lambda^\prime$ on medium’s specific shear viscosity. In addition to $\eta/s = 0.08$, we perform calculations with $\eta/s = 0.00, 0.01, 0.16$ and $0.24$. Figure 6 shows that the medium’s shear viscosity suppresses the ring observable $\Lambda^\prime$. We observe a higher sensitivity of $\Lambda^\prime$ to small viscosity values $\eta/s < 0.08$ than $\eta/s > 0.08$. This trend is consistent with the vorticity ring being quenched by the medium, an effect which will be stronger for higher viscosity, but that eventually gets saturated. This is in contrast to elliptic flow, which has a more or less uniform dependence with viscosity [33].

It is possible to argue that a jet which is quenched at the center of the system will not be accompanied by an unquenched jet. Instead, there would be a pair of quenched jets, inducing a pair back-to-back vortex rings. One could approximately treat the medium excitation from the two quenched jets as independent superposition (after rotating one of them by $\pi$ rad). However, this would neglect the possibility of interactions between the two vortexes during the hydrodynamic evolution. We investigate the possibility of a double-quenched jet by displacing the energy-momentum deposition to $x = 0.6$ fm. In the sequence, we add a second one at $x = -0.6$ fm with momentum in the opposite direction of the first. We compare the superposition scenario with the full simulation in Fig. 7. It is clear
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\caption{Distribution of $\Lambda^\prime$ (see Eq. [9]) for different specific shear viscosities.}
\end{figure}
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\caption{$\Lambda^\prime$ (see Eq. [9]) computed from $\Lambda$-polarization calculations using four types of vorticity tensor.}
\end{figure}
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\caption{The angle where the signal is strong has a small dependence on viscosity as well.}
\end{figure}
to see that the superposition scenario has a polarization which is almost double the one where we evolve the two quenched jets, indicating the interaction between them during hydrodynamic evolution is crucial and has a self-cancelling effect.

![Graph](image_url)

**Figure 7:** Comparison between the $\hat{R}_A$ in a double-quenched jet scenario versus the single-quenched jet case. The blue curve shows the result from the simulation and the red one by superimposing two single-quenched jets (shown in green).

4. Conclusions

We modeled the thermalization of the energy-momentum from a hard parton as a “hot spot” which propagates inside fluid dynamic simulations. Such configuration of velocities will generate a vortex ring, which can be quantified by the vorticity of the fluid. The vorticity will lead to the emission of polarized hadrons on the particilization hypersurface as described in [30] [15].

To obtain the energy and momentum deposited in the medium by the jet thermalization, we assumed a jet with a transverse momentum of 89.5 GeV/c that would deposit approximately 40% of its energy in the medium, motivated by [14] Fig. 3 and [22] Fig. 8. The polarized hadron emission would accompany a partially quenched jet, meaning that experimentally any analysis aiming to measure this effect would have to focus on an asymmetric jet pair, with the higher momentum jet having momentum of the order of 90 GeV/c and the lower momentum being of order 60 GeV/c. Other options, such as using high-momentum trigger particles, will also be investigated in future work.

We computed the polarization of the $\Lambda$ hyperon due to the vorticity caused by our model of jet thermalization. We showed that, for this specific case, the effects are dominated by velocity gradients and thus there is little difference in using thermal vorticity versus other definitions which are often suggested in the literature. We also showed that the strength of the signal is highly sensitive to the fluid’s shear viscosity.

The angular distribution of the ring observable $\hat{R}_A$ in the transverse plane with respect to the quenched jet peaks in the range 0.5 rad to 1.0 rad, depending on transverse momentum. This position depends also on the shear viscosity as well, albeit in a more subtle way than the polarization amount. We also showed that the addition of a second quenched jet will not significantly affect the region where $\hat{R}_A$ peaks. Instead, it will only dampen the overall magnitude in addition of an expected additional lobe in the opposite direction.

We point out that, despite the effect being of the order of only a few tenth of a percentiles, the proposed ring observable $\hat{R}_A$ should be measurable by experiments, since it has the same of magnitude as reported per ALICE and STAR for the global $\Lambda$-polarization [16] [34]. We also inspected the typical maximum value found for $\hat{R}_A$. We found that $\hat{R}_A < 0.25\%$ always, peaking in the $p_T$ range of 0.5 GeV/c $< p_T < 1.0$ GeV/c.

We devote a future study to quantify the effects of event-by-event fluctuations in the fluid on $\hat{R}_A$.

We note that the discussed jet induced polarization effect requires both color opacity and rapid thermalization. Thus, it is very likely present in AA and might disappear in pp and pA collisions (which may have rapid thermalization, but very small opacity). Since the reference is a high momentum trigger rather than a global quantity like the reaction plane, it should be possible for experiments to examine events with one $\Lambda$ and one high momentum triggered hadron to verify this effect. If it turns out that indeed $\hat{R}_A$ is non-zero for AA events, one could proceed to do more detailed model-data comparisons as a way to constrain viscosity and jet energy loss.
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