Efficacy of SGLT2 inhibitor in type 2 diabetic patients under dietary instructions: A pilot study
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Abstract

Aim: Insufficient adherence to diet therapy, or hyperphagia may decrease the effect on glycemic control by antidiabetic medicines, including sodium-glucose cotransporter 2 inhibitor (SGLT2i). We aimed to investigate the beneficial effects of SGLT2i, under dietary instructions, in type 2 diabetic (T2DM) patients.

Methods: Twenty-nine T2DM patients received SGLT2i for 48 weeks, and we analyzed the changes in metabolic parameters, including HbA1c and body composition. Diet was assessed with a validated brief-type self-administered diet history questionnaire (BDHQ), and the participants received dietary instructions. After the study, we followed 27 participants on the changes of HbA1c and body composition, for 96 weeks.

Results: Administration of SGLT2i significantly improved the metabolic parameters, including HbA1c and fat mass, compared to those of the baseline, throughout 48 weeks. Total energy intake and macronutrient balance showed no change throughout the study periods. Adherence to diet therapy was well maintained, and hyperphagia was also not shown. At week 72 and 96 after the end of the study, the HbA1c values were significantly elevated, compared to those at the end of the study.

Conclusions: Dietary instructions accompanied with a well adherence, is possibly necessary to exert the long-term stable beneficial effects with SGLT2i administration, in T2DM patients.

Introduction

Sodium-glucose cotransporter 2 inhibitor (SGLT2i) blocks the reabsorption of filtered glucose from the glomeruli, which are mainly located in renal proximal tubular cells. This action results in increased urinary glucose excretion and reduced blood glucose levels in type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM) patients [1]. SGLT2i can improve glucose control when used as both a monotherapy and additional therapy with other anti-diabetic agents, including metformin, dipeptidyl peptidase (DPP)-4 inhibitor, sulfonylurea (SU), α-glucosidase inhibitor (α-GI), glinide, pioglitazone or insulin [2-6]. In addition to having an anti-diabetic effect, SGLT2i has pleiotropic beneficial effects on the reduction of body weight (BW), blood pressure (BP), and uric acid (UA), as well as on the improvement of the lipid profile in T2DM patients [7,8]. Therefore, SGLT2 inhibitors may be a useful therapeutic strategy for preventing diabetic vascular complications. However, for some T2DM patients, the effects of SGLT2i on glycemic control or BW loss are limited during treatment with SGLT2i.

Diet therapy is fundamentally important for T2DM treatment. SGLT2i may increase dietary caloric (energy) intake, which is recognized as compensatory hyperphagia and has been determined to be the result of an adaptive response to calorie loss by increased urinary glucose excretion in animal models of T2DM [9-11]. The increased caloric intake that occurred after SGLT2i treatment may decrease the effects on the improvement of glycemic control or BW loss. A previous report also showed that SGLT2i treatment in T2DM patients induced compensatory hyperphagia based on energy balance dynamics calculated by a mathematical model [12]. Therefore, the BW loss induced by SGLT2i treatment may be lower than the expected BW loss amount calculated by calorie loss. In this study, we investigated the relationship between the effects of SGLT2i and changes in the amount of dietary intake, such as the total energy intake; energy ratio of nutrients, including carbohydrates, proteins and fat; and other specific nutrient intakes in T2DM patients under a diet therapy instructed by a dietitian. In addition, we followed the patients for 96 weeks after the first study, and evaluated the effect of frequent dietary instructions on glycemic control and body composition.

Research design and methods

Subjects

A total of 30 participants with T2DM (13 men and 17 women) were selected for the present study from patients who visited the
Department of Endocrinology and Metabolism at Kanazawa Medical University Hospital. The inclusion criteria were (1) age ≥ 20 years old and (2) T2DM. The exclusion criteria were (1) type 1 diabetes; (2) severe diabetic metabolic complications, such as ketoadcisis; (4) severe liver dysfunction; (5) hemodialysis; and (6) pregnant or nursing women and those who might be pregnant. All patients were deemed suitable by a physician to receive SGLT2 inhibitor. Additionally, a follow-up study was performed on the participants who were taken the informed consent. The participants were given detailed explanations of the study protocol, and informed consent was obtained from each patient. The study was conducted in accordance with the guidelines of the Declaration of Helsinki. The study protocol was registered with the University Hospital Medical Information Network (UMIN no. 000022738). The protocol of a follow-up study was approved by the Ethics Committee of Kanazawa Medical University (The ethics committee approval number: E 019).

Study protocol

The present study is a single-arm, open label, prospective and pilot study. After obtaining the subjects' informed consent, SGLT2i (100 mg canagliflozin, 5 mg dapagliflozin, 2.5 mg luseogliflozin, 50 mg iragliflozin or 10 mg empagliflozin per day) was administered for 48 weeks in a clinical practice, in addition to other anti-diabetic agents. During the 48-week study period, changes in baseline medication use were allowed by reducing anti-diabetic agents, including sulfonyl urea and insulin, for the prevention of hypoglycemia. The participants were assessed for the endpoint parameters at baseline and at 2, 4, 12, 24, 32, 40 and 48 weeks after additional treatment with SGLT2i. Additionally, a dietary assessment and dietary instructions by a dietitian was performed, as described below.

The primary endpoint of this study was defined as a change in HbA1c levels. The secondary endpoints included changes of body composition measured by In Body 720 (Biospace Japan, Inc., Tokyo, Japan), fasting plasma glucose (FPG), glycated albumin (GA), serum C-peptide (CPR), liver and renal function, UA, low-density lipoprotein-cholesterol (LDL-C), high-density lipoprotein-cholesterol (HDL-C), triglyceride (TG), hematocrit (Ht), hemoglobin (Hb), ketone bodies (total ketone body, 3-hydroxybutyrate (3-OHBA) and acetoacetic acid (AcAc)) and dietary assessment.

Additionally, we collected the data of the HbA1c values after 24, 48, 72 and 96 weeks, and body composition after 48, 72 and 96 weeks in 27 participants after the end of the study, as described below.

Measurements

Blood samples were collected in the morning after an overnight fast at baseline and at 4, 12, 24, 32, 40 and 48 weeks during the study periods (Figure 1A). The blood sample data were measured as previously described [13]. Serum CPR was measured by a chemiluminescent enzyme immunoassay method (Lumipulse Presto C-peptide, FUJIREBIO INC., Tokyo, Japan). Serum total ketone bodies, 3-OHBA and AcAc levels were measured by enzymatic methods (Kainos 3-HB, Kainos Laboratories, Inc., Tokyo, Japan). The data on ketone bodies including total ketone bodies, 3-OHBA and AcAc were log10-transformed before analysis because of their skewed distribution. The results of the analysis were back-transformed to obtain the geometric means of each ketone body of the observational-week value to the baseline value; the values then were expressed as fold changes in the adjusted geometric mean of each ketone body ratio of the observational-week value to the baseline value. Additionally, we collected the HbA1c values after 24, 48, 72 and 96 weeks after the end of the study (Figure 1B).

Body composition was measured using In Body at 4, 12, 24, 32, 40 and 48 weeks during the study periods (Figure 1A), under the participants being lightly clothed, and also urinated the 30 minutes prior to the measurement of body composition. Additionally, we collected the data of fat mass (FM) from the results of body composition at 48, 72 and 96 weeks after the end of the study (Figure 1B).

Dietary assessment

Dietary intake during the preceding one month was assessed with a validated, self-administered, brief diet history questionnaire (BDHQ) [14,15]. The BDHQ requires only 15 min to complete; it is a 4-page fixed-portion questionnaire that asks about the consumption frequency of a total of 58 foods and beverages that are commonly consumed in the general Japanese population [16]. A dietitian supported the BDHQ by providing nutritional education for the participants before the start of the study and at 4, 12, 24, 40 and 48 weeks after the addition of SGLT2i (Figure 1A). Dietary intakes, in terms of energy and selected nutrients, were estimated by applying an ad hoc computer algorithm to the 58 foods and beverages of the BDHQ and to the Standard Tables of Food Composition in Japan. The mean daily intakes of total energy and 99 different nutrients can be estimated by the BDHQ. We also adjusted the basic index from the BDHQ, including total energy, protein, lipid, and carbohydrate intakes. The food-group intakes and nutrient intakes estimated by the BDHQ have been correlated with an assessment based on 16-day dietary records. The participants received an individual face-to-face nutritional instruction on diet therapy for diabetes by the dietitian for more than 30 minutes per one time at the beginning of the study and at 4, 12, 24, 32 and 40 weeks (Figure 1A).

Statistical analysis

The statistical analyses were performed with a StatMate 5 system (Abacus Concepts, Berkeley, CA, USA) for Windows. All results are expressed as the mean and standard deviation (SD). We used the repeated measure ANOVA with Dunnett's adjustment. Statistical significance was defined as p < 0.05.

Results

Characteristics of the participants

A total of 30 participants with T2DM were enrolled in this study. One individual did not complete the protocol due to the participant's wishes and not due to an adverse effect. Therefore, 29 participants completed the full protocol and were included in the statistical analyses. The participants' characteristics and all data at baseline are shown in Tables 1 and 2.

Efficacy of an additional treatment with the SGLT2 inhibitor on glycemic control, lipid, liver and renal function tests, UA, levels of Ht and Hb, and ketone bodies

The values of HbA1c, FPG and GA were significantly reduced after 4 weeks of additional treatment with SGLT2i, and their reductions with SGLT2i treatment were maintained at 48 weeks compared to baseline (Table 2, Supplemental Figures 1A and 1B). The HbA1c levels exhibited a mean change of -1.3 % at the end of the study, which was significantly decreased compared to baseline (Figure 2A). SGLT2i significantly reduced the levels of serum CPR after 24 to 48 weeks compared to baseline (Table 2 and Supplemental Figure 1C). Glucosuria was
Figure 1. Flow diagram of the study

A. 30 participants were enrolled for this study. The participants received a dietary assessment by a validated brief-type self-administered diet history questionnaire (BDHQ) at 0, 4, 12, 24, 40 and 48 weeks, and dietary instructions by a dietitian at 0, 4, 12, 24, 40 and 48 weeks. One participant discontinued the SGLT2 inhibitor treatment due to participant’s wish (not adverse effect), and 29 participants completed the study and the results were analyzed. The participants also received the measurement of body composition and blood sampling at the indicated visit.

B. 27 individuals were followed for 96 weeks after the end of the study. Data of the HbA1c values and body composition were collected at the indicated visit, and the results were analyzed.

Table 1. Characteristics of participants

| n | Age (years old) | Male: Female | Body mass index (kg/m²) | Duration of diabetes (years) | DPP-4 inhibitor | Sulfonylurea | Metformin | Insulin | DPP-4 inhibitor | SGLT2 inhibitor |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 29 | 55.5 ± 10.2 | 12:17 | 30.1 ± 5.7 | 8.9 ± 6.7 | 22 | 9 | 26 | 7 | 1 | 26 |

BW, FM and % fat was significantly reduced after 4 weeks of additional SGLT2i treatment (Table 3). At the end of the study, the mean changes of BW, FM and % fat were -4.1 kg, -3.6 kg and -2.7% compared to baseline.
Table 2. Laboratory data, body composition and blood pressure before and after an additional treatment with SGLT2 inhibitor

| Laboratory data, Body composition and Blood pressure before and after additional treatment with SGLT2 inhibitor | 0 (Baseline) | 4 | 12 | 24 | 32 | 40 | 48 weeks |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------|---|----|----|----|----|----------|
| HbA1c (%)                                                                                                     | 8.4 ± 1.6   | 7.8 ± 1.0* | 7.4 ± 0.9**| 7.2 ± 0.7**| 7.2 ± 0.8**| 7.2 ± 0.8**| 7.1 ± 0.6**|
| FPG (mg/dl)                                                                                                    | 157.6 ± 46.9| 137.7 ± 25.6| 134.2 ± 24.9| 132.3 ± 22.1| 129.5 ± 22.0| 131.8 ± 23.5| 129.5 ± 22.6|
| GA (%)                                                                                                          | 19.5 ± 3.6  | 16.7 ± 2.5**| 16.3 ± 2.5**| 15.9 ± 2.3**| 16.1 ± 2.6**| 16.0 ± 2.5**| 16.0 ± 2.3**|
| CRP (ng/ml)                                                                                                     | 2.26 ± 1.10 | 2.14 ± 1.00 | 2.11 ± 1.00 | 1.99 ± 0.85 | 1.91 ± 0.82 | 1.99 ± 0.90 | 1.99 ± 0.92 |
| Glucosuria (g/gCr)                                                                                              | 4.6 ± 12.1  | 55.0 ± 34.5**| 58.7 ± 40.6**| 49.0 ± 31.1**| 43.5 ± 25.0**| 44.4 ± 26.9**| 49.7 ± 29.8**|
| Log-transformed AcAc (μmol/l)                                                                                  | 3-OHBA (μmol/l) | 318.1 ± 361.8**| 194.9 ± 156.2**| 110.8 ± 92.9**| 75.4 ± 4.8**| 76.0 ± 21.1**| 76.0 ± 20.8**| 76.0 ± 20.6**|
| Log-transformed total ketone body (μmol/l)                                                                     | 110.8 ± 92.9| 318.1 ± 361.8**| 194.9 ± 156.2**| 110.8 ± 92.9**| 75.4 ± 4.8**| 76.0 ± 21.1**| 76.0 ± 20.8**| 76.0 ± 20.6**|
| γ-GTP (IU/ml)                                                                                                   | 59.4 ± 58.1 | 46.0 ± 42.9**| 38.2 ± 32.0**| 40.3 ± 45.1**| 36.6 ± 44.4**| 36.7 ± 36.7**| 36.9 ± 34.2**|
| Lipid data                                                                                                      | 178.2 ± 166.7| 8.0 ± 0.9**| 7.4 ± 0.8**| 7.2 ± 0.7**| 7.2 ± 0.8**| 7.2 ± 0.8**| 7.1 ± 0.6**|
| ALT (IU/ml)                                                                                                     | 55.1 ± 37.7 | 50.2 ± 38.8 | 33.2 ± 20.2 | 28.5 ± 14.1**| 25.9 ± 12.8**| 24.7 ± 16.6**| 25.0 ± 14.5**| 24.4 ± 11.5**|
| Hb (g/dl)                                                                                                       | 14.1 ± 3.6  | 14.1 ± 3.6  | 14.3 ± 3.6  | 14.7 ± 3.6**| 14.3 ± 3.6  | 14.7 ± 3.6**| 14.5 ± 2.1** |
| Blood pressure                                                                                                   | 127.3 ± 11.0| 138.9 ± 130.3**| 87.9 ± 57.4 | 54.9 ± 31.8 | 43.5 ± 4.8**| 47.0 ± 4.8**| 43.5 ± 5.2** |
| BP: Blood pressure; N.E.: not examined. ANOVA with Dunnett’s adjustment.                                         | 6.1 ± 0.7   | 6.1 ± 0.7   | 6.1 ± 0.7   | 6.1 ± 0.7   | 6.1 ± 0.7   | 6.1 ± 0.7   | 6.1 ± 0.7   |
| Anion gap (%)                                                                                                    | 26.7 ± 11.3 | 26.7 ± 11.4 | 26.7 ± 11.4 | 26.7 ± 11.4 | 26.7 ± 11.4 | 26.7 ± 11.4 | 26.7 ± 11.3 |
| eGFR (ml/min/1.73m²)                                                                                             | 85.9 ± 22.5 | 83.7 ± 22.4 | 85.9 ± 23.1 | 84.6 ± 20.2 | 85.2 ± 20.6 | 84.6 ± 22.7 | 84.8 ± 22.1 |
| Log-transformed AcAc (μmol/l)                                                                                   | 117.6 ± 59.4| 113.7 ± 102.1| 114.3 ± 82.5| 123.4 ± 97.0| 123.3 ± 90.2| 123.3 ± 92.7| 120.7 ± 86.6|
| Results are expressed as the means ± standard deviation (SD). *: p < 0.05 vs. baseline, **: p < 0.01 vs. baseline, ANOVA with Dunnett’s adjustment. |

Table 3. Dietary assessment during the study period

| Dietary assessment during the study period | 0 (Baseline) | 4 | 12 | 24 | 32 | 40 | 48 weeks |
|-------------------------------------------|-------------|---|----|----|----|----|----------|
| Energy intake                                                                                    | 1,717 ± 551.1 kcal/day | 2,000 ± 500.0 kcal/day | 1,800 ± 450.0 kcal/day | 1,600 ± 400.0 kcal/day | 1,400 ± 350.0 kcal/day | 1,200 ± 300.0 kcal/day | 1,000 ± 250.0 kcal/day |
| Dietary components                                                                             | 45 ± 9% carbohydrates | 45 ± 9% carbohydrates | 45 ± 9% carbohydrates | 45 ± 9% carbohydrates | 45 ± 9% carbohydrates | 45 ± 9% carbohydrates | 45 ± 9% carbohydrates |
| Body composition                                                                             | 80.1 ± 21.4 | 78.6 ± 21.4 | 78.0 ± 20.9**| 76.0 ± 21.1**| 75.9 ± 20.7**| 76.0 ± 20.8**| 76.1 ± 21.0**| 76.0 ± 20.6**|
| Body composition                                                                             | 30.5 ± 12.3 | 29.6 ± 12.4 | 29.1 ± 12.0**| 27.6 ± 12.1**| 26.7 ± 11.4**| 26.6 ± 11.3**| 27.0 ± 11.2**| 26.9 ± 11.3**|
| Body composition                                                                             | 37.2 ± 7.5  | 36.6 ± 7.8  | 36.4 ± 7.4**| 35.0 ± 8.1**| 34.4 ± 7.4**| 34.2 ± 7.4**| 34.6 ± 7.2**| 34.5 ± 7.5**|
| Body composition                                                                             | 27.3 ± 7.2  | 27.2 ± 7.0  | 26.9 ± 7.0**| 27.0 ± 7.1**| 27.0 ± 7.2**| 27.1 ± 7.1**| 27.0 ± 7.0**| 27.0 ± 7.1**|
| Body composition                                                                             | 22.5 ± 5.5  | 22.4 ± 5.4  | 22.2 ± 5.4**| 22.2 ± 5.4**| 22.3 ± 5.5**| 22.2 ± 5.4**| 22.2 ± 5.4**| 22.2 ± 5.4**|

The results are expressed as the means ± standard deviation (SD). *: p < 0.05 vs. baseline, **: p < 0.01 vs. baseline, ANOVA with Dunnett’s adjustment. BW: Body weight, Fm: Fat mass, BP: Blood pressure; N.E.: not examined.
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Figure 2. Changes in metabolic-related parameters and body composition during the study period
A. Changes in HbA1c, B. high-density lipoprotein-cholesterol (HDL-C), C. alanine transaminase (ALT), D. uric acid (UA), and E. hematocrit (Ht), from the baseline. F. Fold increase of log-transformed total ketone bodies compared to the baseline. G. Change in body weight (BW), H. fat mass (FM), and J. systolic blood pressure (BP) from the baseline.
Results are expressed as the means ± standard deviation (SD). n = 29, *: p < 0.05 vs. baseline, **: p < 0.01 vs. baseline

Figure 3. Dietary assessment and effect on change amount of HbA1c and FM
A. Total energy intake (kcal/day), carbohydrate intake (kcal/day); solid line, protein intake (kcal/day); large dotted line, fat intake (kcal/day); small dotted line, during the study periods. B. Energy intake (kcal/standard body weight (kg)/day) and energy ratio of carbohydrates, protein and fat (%) during the study periods.
Results are expressed as the means ± standard deviation (SD), n = 29
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and 18 patients (66.7%) showed the elevation of FM. In addition, 51.9% (n=14) of patients exhibited the elevation of both HbA1c and FM (Figure 4C).

**Discussion**

In this pilot study, patients with T2DM who received additional treatment with SGLT2i clearly exhibited an improvement in glycemic control and a reduction in BW, mainly FM, for 48 weeks. Additionally, BP and other metabolic parameters, including HDL-C, UA and abnormal data of liver function tests, were improved after treatment with SGLT2i. Adherence to diet therapy, which was evaluated by the BDHQ, was also preserved during the study periods. However, for 96 weeks after the end of the study, the patients had no frequent dietary instructions by a dietitian, consequently leading to the elevation of the HbA1c values.

In addition to a glucose lowering effect, previous reports have demonstrated that SGLT2i reduced BW [7,19,20], and most of the weight loss was from adipose tissue [23]. Our results on body composition measured by an impedance method using In Body indicated that SGLT2i significantly reduced the mean BW and FM compared to baseline. We also observed that the SMM and intracellular water were slightly but significantly decreased after SGLT2i treatment. Since a change in SMM showed a similar pattern to intracellular water, this suggests that the muscle related change was affected by changes in the body water content. The impedance method calculates the lean body mass (LBM), including SMM, by combining body water, protein and mineral content without bone components, which implies that a loss of body water may be reflected as a decrease in SMM. Several reports showed that SGLT2i treatment might be considered to reduce the LBM, including muscle volume in T2DM patients [23-25], and sarcopenia is a loss of muscle, resulting in increased frailty and mortality, particularly in elderly people [26]. Therefore, a careful observation regarding loss of muscle mass is necessary after treatment with SGLT2i. However, the muscle quality is also important. Sano et al. reported that grip strength significantly increased after 4 weeks of SGLT2i treatment in T2DM patients [27]. The long-term effects and the mechanisms on muscle quality during SGLT2i treatment are unclear; however, in addition to muscle volume, the quality of the muscle should be assessed after SGLT2i treatment.

Previous animal studies showed that compensatory calorie intake might cancel the BW reduction by SGLT2i-induced calorie loss [9-11]. Additionally, in patients with T2DM, SGLT2i treatment was shown to induce compensatory hyperphagia based on energy balance dynamics calculated by a mathematical model [12-28]. However, there are few reports regarding whether compensatory energy intake occurs after SGLT2i treatment in T2DM patients in the clinical setting. Yamamoto et al. [29] reported that canagliflozin treatment in T2DM patients for 16 weeks decreased visceral adipose tissue and improved parameters for...
metabolic dysfunction, and the change in visceral adipose tissue (VAT) was only correlated to adherence of the diet therapy. These results suggest that the effects of SGLT2i on VAT may be affected by the change of energy intake. In addition, Matsuba et al. [30] demonstrated that after patients with T2DM treated with canagliflozin, their calorie intake increased without changing the ratio of the three macronutrients. In this study, the total energy intake evaluated by the BDHQ was not changed after additional treatment with SGLT2i, during the study periods for 48 weeks. The energy ratio of carbohydrates, protein and fat was also not significantly changed throughout the study periods. Thus, adherence for a diet therapy was maintained during the observational periods in this study. Previously, Horie et al. [15] reported that dapagliflozin treatment in T2DM patients showed no change in total calorie intake and proportions of carbohydrate, protein and fat for 3 months, but the intake of sugar was significantly increased in patients treated with dapagliflozin. In this study, although the intake of sucrose tended to decrease after 4 weeks and tended to increase again after 24 weeks, it did not change significantly from baseline throughout the study periods after SGLT2i administration. However, after the study, the HbA1c levels were elevated possibly due to not under frequent dietary instructions by a dietitian. Thus, a daily diet therapy is important for exerting the effects of SGLT2i on metabolic benefits such as glycemic control in T2DM patients for a long time. The frequent dietary instructions by a dietitian may play a crucial role for continuation of an adequate diet therapy for a long time. Serum ketone bodies exhibited the increased from the baseline through the study; therefore, a strict low carbohydrate diet, with a carbohydrate energy ratio lower than 40%, should be avoided to prevent SGLT2i inhibitor-associated diabetic ketoacidosis [31].

There are several limitations in this study: 1) this study is a single-arm, open-label and pilot study; 2) the absence of control group without dietary instructions; 3) the sample size is small; 4) the BDHQ, a self-reported dietary assessment method, is subject to random and systematic measurement errors and the misreporting of dietary intakes, particularly by overweight/obese individuals, which is also a limitation associated with such self-reported dietary assessment methods [32]; 5) exercise and energy expenditure were not evaluated in this study; and 6) we did not evaluate an adherence of diet therapy and dietary intake using the BDHQ in a follow-up study.

Conclusions

SGLT2i displays significant metabolic benefits, including the improvement of glycemic control and the reduction of FM, however, dietary instructions accompanied with a well adherence, is possibly necessary to exert the long-term stable beneficial effects with SGLT2i, in T2DM patients. In addition, the frequent dietary instructions by a dietitian may play a crucial role for a diet therapy for T2DM patients. However, to clarify whether dietary instructions are necessary to exert the beneficial effects of SGLT2i in T2DM patients, further a large study comparing between dietary instructions intervention group and control group is necessary.
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