Pulmonary function in preoperative, postoperative and post hospital discharge after heart surgery: controlled clinical study
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ABSTRACT
Background: Cardiac surgery may influence respiratory function at different times, such as before and after surgery, and after hospital discharge. Objective: Evaluate the respiratory functionality (respiratory muscle strength and peak expiratory flow-PEF) of pre, postoperative and post-hospital discharge of cardiac surgery patients at a Regional Hospital in the south of Minas Gerais. Methods: The sample consisted of 11 subjects: 6 women (54.4%) and 5 men (45.46%), with mean age of ± 60 years. The mean height, weight and BMI were respectively: ±1.61m, ±71.08kg and ±26.89. Results: The values of the functional variables were lower than expected; men had the highest values of maximal inspiratory pressure (MIP), maximal expiratory pressure (MEP) and PEF at the 3 moments; there was correlation r < 1 p < 0.01 of PRE MIP and POS MIP; PRE MEP and POS MEP; third day MIP and PRE MEP. Conclusion: men had the highest values of respiratory functional variables. The analysis of the variables at the 3 moments showed that after hospital discharge most of them reduced their values. The post hospital discharge should be a concern of professionals assisting cardiac surgery patients.
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BACKGROUND
Cardiac surgery (CS) is a highly complex procedure that causes changes in several physiological mechanisms and great organic and psychic stress to the subject¹. Approximately 350 cardiac surgeries / 1,000,000 inhabitant / year are performed in Brazil, including pacemakers and defibrillators². CS may cause decreased lung volume and capacity, as well as decreased respiratory muscle strength, which contributes to the increased incidence of respiratory complications that are the major cause of postoperative CS morbidity³. Patients undergoing cardiac surgery undergo a series of preoperative examinations and tests to avoid possible complications⁴.

Some of these tests performed preoperatively are manovacuometry and peak expiratory flow (PEF), low-cost tests that minimize the risk of respiratory function impairment⁵. The measurement of respiratory muscle strength allows the diagnosis of respiratory failure in several pathologies, in which occur changes in the contractile strength of these muscles, and depending on the intensity and quantification can be classified as respiratory muscle weakness, fatigue or failure⁶. There is evidence that patients who do not have high preoperative maximal inspiratory pressure(MIP) and maximal expiratory pressure (MEP) have a higher risk of developing postoperative pulmonary complications, and some authors have even suggested the inclusion of MEP on a risk factor scale for patients undergoing cardiac surgery⁷.

Usually the postoperative period comes with pulmonary complications which is a condition that may be caused by some conditions arising from cardiac surgery such as: anesthetic procedure, surgical incision, cardiopulmonary bypass (CPB), ischemia time, intensity of surgical manipulation and number of pleural drains, so preoperative physiotherapy work becomes indispensable⁸. In this context, the research aimed to follow cardiac surgery patients from pre, post and hospital discharge through pulmonary functional assessment.

METHODS
Study Ethics
This study was approved by the Ethics Committee of the Centro Universitário do Sul de Minas – Unis/ MG through the “Plataforma Brasil” with number 1.881.079 and CAAE 63229416.1.0000.511, following the rules established by CNS Resolution 466/12, maintaining anonymity and total privacy of the participants involved.

Type of Study
It is a clinical, controlled, observational, qualitative and prospective cohort study.
The reference values were calculated according\(^{(9)}\) to the current references. The equation for calculating MIP, regarding age and gender, for males is \(-1.24 \times \text{age} + 232.37\) and females is \(-0.46 \times \text{age} + 74.25\). The equation for \(\sigma\) calculating MEP for males is \(-1.26 \times \text{age} + 183.31\) and females is \(-0.68 \times \text{age} + 119.35\).

**Peak Expiratory Flow Assessment**

It was used the peak expiratory flow meter (Healthscan Products Inc.). It is a small portable device made of clear plastic material, containing a graduated measuring system that evaluates the force and velocity of air exiting the lungs in L/min. To obtain maximum peak expiratory flow measurements, the subject must forcefully exhale through the mouthpiece after maximum inspiration.

During data collection the researcher holds the device vertically, being careful to not block the air exit with the fingers and then the patient placed his/her mouth firmly around the plastic mouthpiece, making sure that no air escapes to not interfere with measurements, and blows hard and as fast as possible. At least 3 maximum peak expiratory flow measurements were performed, provided that the last one was not higher than the others and that there were no differences between the measurements greater than 5%. The largest measure was used for statistical analysis.

**Data analysis**

Results were presented as mean and standard deviation (\(M \pm SD\)). Respiratory muscle strength consistency and peak expiratory flow were analyzed using SPSS (Statistical Package for the Social Sciences). For continuous variables with normal distribution, the nonparametric Spearman test was used for paired samples and for correlation. The significance level adopted was \(p < 0.01\).

**RESULTS**

The sample consisted of 11 volunteers: 6 (54.4%) females and 5 (45.46%) males. The average age was \(\pm 60\) years: females \(\pm 63\) years and males \(\pm 57.7\) years. The average height variable was \(\pm 1.61\)m, being \(\pm 1.57\)m for females and \(\pm 1.65\)m for males. The average weight of the volunteers was \(\pm 71.08\) kg, being \(\pm 62.58\) kg for females and \(\pm 78.16\) kg for males. The body mass index (BMI) of the sample was \(\pm 26.89\), being \(\pm 25.39\) for females and \(\pm 28.48\) for males. Regarding the presence of comorbidities, the majority of the sample had hypertension 2 (18.19%) (Table 1).
Table 1. Distribution of participants according to comorbidities

| Variables                                                | n  | %     |
|----------------------------------------------------------|----|-------|
| Systolic Arterial Hypertension (SAH)                     | 2  | 18.19 |
| SAH/ASMA                                                 | 1  | 9.09  |
| Diabetes Mellitus type I (DMI) and Obesity               | 1  | 9.09  |
| SAH and hypothyroidism                                   | 1  | 9.09  |
| SAH/DMI and Kidney disease                              | 1  | 9.09  |
| SAH/ Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease               | 1  | 9.09  |
| COPD/Diabetes                                            | 1  | 9.09  |
| SAH/Obesity                                              | 1  | 9.09  |
| SAH/Asthma/Heart disease                                 | 1  | 9.09  |
| SAH/COPD/Ethylist/Varicose veins                         | 1  | 9.09  |
| Total                                                    | 11 | 100   |

The research investigated smoking in which it can verify that 7 (63.54%) never smoked; 3 (27.27%) are smokers and 1 (9.09%) are former smoker. Physical inactivity and physical activity were also investigated and showed that 11 (100%) volunteers are sedentary. Volunteers were investigated on clinical diagnosis and the predominance was 3 (27.28%) with coronary insufficiency. (Table 2.0). In table 3, it can be observed that the mean time of extracorporeal circulation (ECC) was 46.65 (± 15.89), being 49.8 (± 20.4) for males and 43.23 (± 7.4) for females.

Table 2. Distribution of participants according to diagnostic variable

| Variables                                           | n   | %    |
|-----------------------------------------------------|-----|------|
| Clinical diagnosis                                   |     |      |
| Coronary insufficiency (CI)                          | 3   | 27.28|
| Interatrial Communication                            | 2   | 18.18|
| Coronary insufficiency/Congestive Heart Failure      | 2   | 18.18|
| Aortic stenosis                                      | 1   | 9.09 |
| Aortic Valve Disease                                 | 1   | 9.09 |
| Aortic Insufficiency                                 | 1   | 9.09 |
| Acute myocardial infarction                          | 1   | 9.09 |
| Total                                                | 11  | 100  |
Regarding the type of surgery, there was a predominance in females as follows: 2 (18.18%) myocardial revascularization surgery (MRS); 2 (18.18%) aortic valve replacement (AVR); 1 (9.09%) AVR and MRS and 1 (9.09%) IC. In males the predominance was: 2 (18.18%) AVR and reoperation; 1 (9.09%) mitral VR and AVR and 1 (9.09%) MRS. The functional tests selected in the research were manovacuometry and peak expiratory flow evaluated at three moments: preoperative; 3rd postoperative day and hospital discharge. Table 4 presents the values for the 3 moments.

Table 3. Distribution of the volunteers according to the time of extra-corporeal circulation variable

| Variable                  | Male       | Female     | Total      |
|---------------------------|------------|------------|------------|
| Time of ECC extra-corporeal circulation (minutes) | 49.8 ± 20.4 | 43.23 ± 7.4 | 46.65 ± 15.89 |

Table 4. Distribution of participants according manovacuometry and peak expiratory flow

| Variables                  | Male       | Female     | Total      |
|----------------------------|------------|------------|------------|
| Preoperative               |            |            |            |
| MIP                        | -72 ±34.29 | -56.66±35.74 | -63.63±35.74 |
| MEP                        | 42±12.2    | 31.66±14.62 | 36.36±16.16 |
| PEF                        | 304 ±87.04 | 193.3±62.63 | 242.72±92.25 |
| 3rd postoperative day      |            |            |            |
| MIP                        | -72±31.24  | 46.66±24.94 | -58.18±30.69 |
| MEP                        | 52±4       | 26.66±12.47 | 38.18±15.85 |
| PEF                        | 168±56.35  | 166.6±47.84 | 167.27±51.88 |
| Hospital discharge         |            |            |            |
| MIP                        | -70±26.83  | 53.33±20.55 | -60.90±25.02 |
| MEP                        | 50±18.02   | 31.66±15.72 | 40±19.54 |
| PEF                        | 230±100.12 | 176.6±42.69 | 200.90±73.41 |

*Note: MIP: Massimo inspiratory pressure; MEP: Massimo expiratory pressure; PEF: peak expiratory flow*
Upon the expected values: male with MIP (201 cmH_2O), MEP (212 cmH_2O) and PEF (523 – 526 L/s) and female MIP (141.4 cmH_2O), MEP (154 cmH_2O) and PEF (371 – 383 L/s). The sample obtained values below expectations. Another observed data was that in the 3 moments of the collection males presented higher values of MIP, MEP and PEF, however on the 3rd postoperative day the PEF values showed a minimal superiority compare to females. Checking the MIP data, males in the preoperative period (-72 cmH_20 ± 34.29) and postoperative (-72 cmH_20 ± 31.24) maintained the mean, but in the post-hospital discharge (-70 cmH_20 ± 26.28) the inspiratory force decreased. Females in the preoperative (-56.66 cmH_20 ± 35.74) showed higher value regarding the postoperative (-46 cmH_20 ±24.94) and the post-hospital discharge (-53.33 cmH_20 ± 20.55).

The data of MEP for males were in the preoperative of 42 cmH_20 (±12.2) and in the post-hospital discharge of 50 cmH_20 (±18.02) and increased in the 3rd postoperative day (52 cmH_20 ±14.01). Regarding the females, the preoperative was 31.66 cmH_20 (±14.62) and the post-hospital discharge was 31.66 cmH_20 (±15.72) maintaining the values and decreased in the 3rd postoperative day (26.66 cmH_20 ±142.47). According to PEF, males had the following data: preoperative 302 (±87.04); 3rd postoperative day 168 (±56.35) and post-hospital discharge 230 (±100.12), then the best performance was preoperatively. And females had similar values: preoperative 193.33 (±62.63); 3rd postoperative day 166.66 (±47.84) and post-hospital discharge 176.66 (±42.69), i.e., the higher value was in the preoperative.

Table 5 describes the analysis of Spearman correlation (r_s) with p 0.01 significance related to the variables of the preoperative phase. There was correlation with the following variables: BMI with the weight (r_s = 0.768) p 0.006; Pre MIP and Post MIP (r_s = 0.781) p 0.005; Pre MIP and Post MEP (r_s = 0.876) p 0.000 and Pre MEP and Post MIP (r_s = 0.885) p 0.000. It can be understood that the sample obtained low BMI because the average weight is low. Preoperative inspiratory strength was low, which led to remain low after hospital discharge. The low inspiratory force contributed to keep the post-discharge expiratory force reduced. Preoperative expiratory muscle strength contributed to the decrease in postoperative inspiratory strength.

**Table 5. Correlation of preoperative variables according to Spearman (r_s) with p 0.01 of significance**

| Variables          | r_s < 1  | P         |
|--------------------|----------|-----------|
| BMI                |          |           |
| Pre MIP            | 0.764    | 0.006     |
| Post MIP           | 0.781    | 0.005     |
| Pre MIP            | 0.876    | 0.000     |
| Post MIP           | 0.885    | 0.000     |

*Note: MIP: Massimo inspiratory pressure; MEP: Massimo expiratory pressure; BMI: Body mass index. The variables were correlated in parallel for the performance of the non-parametric Spearman test r_s < 1, being p < 0.01.*

The Spearman correlation (r_s) analysis with p 0.01 of significance with the variables of the third postoperative day showed correlation with the following variables: MIP of the third postoperative day with the Pre MIP (r_s = 0.796) p 0.003 and MEP of the third postoperative day with the MIP of the third postoperative day (r_s = 0.824) p 0.002, (Table 6).

**Table 6. Correlation of 3rd postoperative day variables according to Spearman (r_s) with p 0.01 of significance**

| Variables          | r_s < 1  | P         |
|--------------------|----------|-----------|
| MIP 3rd day        | Pre MIP  | 0.796     | 0.003     |
| MEP 3rd day        | MIP 3rd day | 0.824     | 0.002     |

*Note: MIP: Massimo inspiratory pressure; MEP: Massimo expiratory pressure. The variables were correlated in parallel to the realization of the non-parametric Spearman test r_s < 1, being p < 0.01.*
Thus, the inspiratory force on the 3rd postoperative day is due to the reduced inspiratory force. The expiratory force of the 3rd day was decreased as the inspiratory force of the 3rd day. According to the table 7, the Spearman correlation \( r_s \) analysis with \( p 0.01 \) of significance with the variables post-hospital discharge showed correlation with the Post MEP with Pre MEP \( (r_s = 0.767) \) \( p 0.006 \), i.e., evidences that the reduced post-hospital discharge expiratory force accompanied the low preoperative expiratory force.

**Table 7.** Correlation of post-hospital discharge day variables according to Spearman \( (r_s) \) with \( p 0.01 \) of significance

| Variables     | \( r_s < 1 \) | \( P \)  |
|---------------|--------------|---------|
| Post MEP      | Pre MEP      | 0.767   | 0.006   |

**Note:** MEP: Massimo expiratory pressure. The variables were correlated in parallel to the realization of the non-parametric Spearman test \( r_s < 1 \), being \( p < 0.01 \).

**DISCUSSION**

The research verified that the sample obtained values below the expected before the functional variables according to gender: males with MIP -72 (-201 cmH2O expected), MEP 42 (212 cmH2O expected) and PEF 302 (523–526 L/s expected) and females with MIP -56.66 (-141.4 cmH2O expected), MEP 31.66 (154 cmH2O expected) and PEF 193.33 (371–383 L/s expected), this fact may have occurred because the sample consisted mostly of women. This question was confirmed in a study that had 2 groups: HR (high risk) and LR (low risk), in which the HR group was composed by 75% females, thus, the HR group presented MIP values 17.7% below the predicted while the LR group, in which most of them were males, showed a 14.1% increase when compared to the reference values, and regarding MEP in the HR group, the values found were 8.2% lower while in the LR group these values were increased by 81.2% of the predicted values for age and gender.

Regarding the reduction of the PEF variable, a study that had two groups, which control group (CO) consisted of 15 subjects (9 were women), presented a significant decrease in peak expiratory flow on the first postoperative day, maintaining low values at the time of discharge, in the preoperative of 238.32 ± 156.51 L/min, in the first postoperative day of 134.64 ± 80.20 L/min and in the last hospitalization day of 157.14 ± 102.29 L/min.

These differences in the values of maximal respiratory pressures and flow between the genders may be related to the unequal amount of lean mass, since males have higher amounts of muscle mass and, consequently, more strength than females. Males had higher values of MIP, MEP and PEF in the 3 moments of data measurement compared to women. However, analyzing each moment, men reduced MIP in the post-hospital discharge (-70 cmH2O), increased in the 3rd postoperative day the MEP (52 cmH2O) and PEF was higher preoperatively (302 L/s). Simões et al. (2007) found significantly lower values (\( p <0.01 \)) in all subgroups of females from both MIP and MEP compared to males of the same age group (40 to 49 years, 50 to 59, 60 to 69, 70 to 79, 80 to 89 years).

Other research data showed that males in the hospital discharge reduced MIP. Studies suggest that the fact that the patient is not assisted by the physiotherapist may contribute to this reduction. Another study pointed out that 96% of the sample, which was composed of males, reported that the incidence of tiredness, sleep pattern disorders and appetite change were reported at a high rate in the first 3 weeks after hospital discharge. These data may be directly linked to reduced respiratory muscle strength.

Respiratory and peripheral muscle strength are fundamental for health, for maintaining good functional capacity and for achieving a satisfactory quality of life. Patients with myocardial infarction, were physically ill-conditioned, unable to return to their domestic, social and professional activities when discharged from hospital, thus, it became evident that these patients need a therapeutic approach throughout the period of hospitalization and after hospital discharge. On the other hand, women presented higher value of Pre MIP (-56.66 cmH2O), decreased the MEP in the 3rd postoperative day (26.66 cmH2O) different from the males, and had PEF higher in the Pre (193.33 L/s) as males.
The reduction in MEP values of women in the 3rd postoperative day was observed in this study\(^3\). This statistically significant reduction (p < 0.05) in the MIP and MEP values in the POI, 3rd and 5th postoperative day in females when compared to the preoperative, explains that it may be a consequence of the non-restoration of respiratory muscle strength after surgery. Another explanation is through the study by Santos et al. (2010) in which the researcher explains that may be due to the lack of preparation of these muscles preoperatively, and the MEP was decreased in all periods when compared to the preoperative\(^3\).

**CONCLUSION**

It was concluded that men presented the highest values of respiratory functional variables, although the reference values were not reached. The analysis of the variables at the 3 moments showed that after hospital discharge most of them reduced their values. The post hospital discharge should be a concern of professionals assisting cardiac surgery patients.
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