INTRODUCTION

Some neuropsychiatric disorders, like autism spectrum disorder and attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder, start to manifest early in life and continue to burden affected individuals with other mental comorbidities through adulthood [1]. The prevalence of these disorders is alarmingly increasing that it has consequently resulted to their substantial contribution to the disease burden worldwide [2]. Frustratingly, market-available treatments are lacking, and the discovery of novel therapeutics has not seen extensive progress in decades. This may be traced to the complex pathobiology of neuropsychiatric disorders and that ethical and practical challenges obviously do not permit the examination of a living human brain [3]. However, researchers are trying to bridge the gap between these identified limitations and desired substantial progress through thoroughly utilizing animal models.

Using animal models works on the assumption that underlying behavioral and molecular mechanisms are evolutionary conserved across species [4]. While this assumption may be valid in modeling a single sensory or motor function, it may be inadequate in translating the entire complexities of human behavior. Modeling resulted in acute low-dose MK-801 administration
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a single human symptom may be more appropriate than trying to amalgamate symptoms to replicate a rather complex disorder. Fernando and Robbins have consequently argued that modeling the symptoms of a disorder, rather than its entirety, boosts the model’s specificity, validity, and utility [5]. One model that has strong face validity in terms of recapitulating key symptoms of various neuropsychiatric disorders is the MK-801 rodent model.

MK-801, or dizocilpine, a N-methyl-D-aspartate receptor (NMDAR) antagonist, was first used as a pharmacologic model of psychosis in rats in 1999 [6] and is still widely utilized as a model for symptoms observed in schizophrenia. MK-801 may not be able to model schizophrenia in its entirety but it can mimic particular cognitive deficits such as behavioral inflexibility [7], impaired spatial memory (reviewed in [8]), and social withdrawal [9]. However, the use of MK-801 as a pharmacological model should not be limited to the symptoms of schizophrenia, considering the complexity and the multiple overlapping domains of neuropsychiatric disorders in humans [10, 11]. Considering this, researchers are afforded with avenues to try to recapitulate other psychiatric symptoms such as autism-related phenotypes on animals using MK-801 [12].

As a noncompetitive NMDAR antagonist, the stretch of MK-801’s effect almost mirrors the span of the physiological response to glutamate transmission, affecting learning, memory, and motor activity and appears to be capable of eliciting a wide range of behavioral aberrations at varying doses. For example, a relatively higher dose of MK-801 (0.3 mg kg$^{-1}$) induced stereotypy [13] and ataxic movements [14, 15], while very low doses of MK-801, like 0.02 mg kg$^{-1}$, demonstrated paradoxical effects on the behavior of C57Bl/6 mouse. Behaviors like locomotion, rearing, and grooming were replaced with periods of immobility, suggesting behavioral inhibition [16]. Moreover, each mouse strain seemingly shows differential dose-response relationship for some of the MK-801-inhibition [16]. Moreover, each mouse strain seemingly shows differential dose-response relationship for some of the MK-801-inhibited behaviors. For example, increase in locomotor activity was induced in CD-1 mouse at 0.5 mg kg$^{-1}$ of MK-801 in a two-hour observation of horizontal counts. BALB/c and C57Bl6/J mice, however, demonstrated increases in horizontal counts at 0.32 mg kg$^{-1}$ [17]. “Popping,” or irregular episodes of intense jumping behavior, was observed in the Balb/c mouse strain when administered with 0.56 mg kg$^{-1}$ of MK-801 [18], while the same dose induced stereotypy in C57Bl/6 mice [13]. Several MK-801 studies utilizing inbred mouse strains have afforded a well-characterized account of the dosages and the behavioral phenotypes they induced. The same could not be said in outbred mouse strains, however. Thus, in this particular study, we chose to utilize CD-1 IGS (also known as Crl:CD1(ICR)) mice, one of the most commonly used outbred strains because it is robust, cheap to purchase, and can be easily reproduced, are robust [19, 20], with it being widely utilized in fields of cancer, toxicology, and pharmacology [20]. We examined a potential pre-clinical model for several types of MK-801-induced behavioral aberrations such as cognition impairment, hyperactivity, social impairment, and impulsivity present not only in neuropsychiatric disorders but also in neurodevelopmental disorders, using 4-week old male CD-1 mice.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Animals**

We purchased post-natal day 24 male CD-1 mice from Orient Bio (Seoul, Korea) and habituated them in the designated animal room for five days with automated systems for lights on and off-cycle (lights on – 24:00, lights off – 12:00) and a constant temperature (23±2°C) and humidity (50±10%). We provided them with food and water *ad libitum*. We performed all procedures in accordance with the Principles of Laboratory Animal Care (NIH publication No. 85-23, revised 1985), including animal treatments and maintenance and were implemented according to the Animal Care and Use Committee of Konkuk University, Korea (KU17143).

**Drugs**

We purchased (+)-MK-801 hydrogen maleate (MK-801 or Dizocilpine Cat No.77086-22-7) from Sigma-Aldrich (Sigma-Aldrich Korea, South Korea). We dissolved the MK-801 powder in 0.9% NaCl solution at a volume of 10 ml kg$^{-1}$ of body weight and administered to subject mice through intraperitoneal injection depending on their body weight (19–23g), while the vehicle group received the same volume of 0.9% NaCl solution (Fig. 1).

**Behavioral tests**

**Open field test**

We measured the locomotor and exploratory activity of the mice treated with MK-801 through an open field test (OFT). The apparatus is made up of four boxes at sizes 40×40×30 cm. We gently placed each mouse in the center of the arena and allowed it to freely explore the area for 30 min. We designated the first five minutes as the habituation period and measured the total distance moved (cm) and movement duration (s) for the remaining 25 minutes. We monitored all tests automatically using a computerized motion tracking apparatus and software equipped with a CCD camera using Ethovision software (version XT 14; Noldus Information Technology, Wageningen, the Netherlands).

**Y-maze**

Spontaneous alternation behavior measured in Y-maze requires
attention [21] and working spatial memory. Normally, mice investigate a different arm of the maze rather than revisiting a previously explored one, indicative of sustained cognition [22]. We used a Y-shaped maze with three black, opaque, plastic arms at a 120° angle from each other. Each arm measured 5.5 cm wide, 35 cm long, and 12.5 cm high. We first placed each mouse in one end of the Y-maze, the start arm, facing the central intersection, and allowed to explore the maze for 8 minutes. We recorded an arm entry when four paws and tail of the mouse are within the arm zone and an actual alternation when there were consecutive entries into the three arms with a stepwise combination of the three different arms. We considered three successive entries to the different arms as a complete alternation. Total entries and percentage of spontaneous alternations calculated as (actual alternations/total entries–2)×100 were quantified and recorded.

Three-chamber social assay
Adapted from Crawley [23] and modified by Kim et al. [24], this assay examines the general sociability and preference for social novelty of a 4-week old subject mice. We performed the test in an arena (70 cm wide, 40 cm long) with three communicating compartments, an environment novel to the mice. We used wire cages to encage the stranger mice. The dividing walls had rectangular openings providing access through the compartments. The chamber was cleaned before every trial. This test was divided into three phases. First was the habituation phase where the mouse was placed in the center compartment and was allowed to explore for 5 minutes. Immediately after the habituation, an encaged stranger mouse was added in a random side of the compartment and an empty wire cage on the other side, starting the social interaction phase. This measures the sociability of the subject mouse through its interaction with the novel mouse. Compartment preference index (% S/E) was defined as the ratio between the duration in stranger side (S) and empty side (E). After 10 minutes, we placed another stranger mouse in the empty compartment commencing the final phase, the social interaction phase. For another 10 minutes, we observed the subject mouse its preference between the familiar mouse (F) and the novel mouse (N) through compartment preference index (% of N/F), the ratio between the duration spent in the novel and familiar side.

Self-grooming test
We performed the self-grooming test in the experimental mice as previously reported [25] with slight modification. Prior to the experiment, each mouse was placed in a polycarbonate cage (42×29×19 cm) without bedding and habituated for 10 min. We recorded the total time spent with self-grooming for the succeeding 10 min.

Cliff avoidance test
We measured cumulative jumping events (CJE) using a rounded platform (20 cm in diameter), supported by a plastic rod (50 cm long), like a bar stool. Mice were gently placed on the platform and the latency to jump off the platform was recorded. Any mouse which fell from platforms was placed back on its home cage. The test went on for 20 min. We calculated CJE as:

\[ \% \text{(CJE)} = \frac{(\text{number of total mice}-\text{the number of intact mice})}{\text{number of total mouse}} \times 100 \]

based on previously published papers [26, 27].
**Statistical analysis**

All data are expressed as mean±standard error of the mean (SEM). We analyzed statistical significance using a one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) followed by Tukey’s multiple comparisons test, comparing the effects of each dose against the vehicle group; except that of the cumulative jumping events where we used Chi-square analysis. We performed all statistical analyses using GraphPad Prism Version 6.01 (California, USA) and set the significant p-value at <0.05.

**RESULTS**

**Acute MK-801 administration results in changes in the locomotor activity of mice in the open field test**

We first examined the effects of the different doses of MK-801 on locomotion using open-field test, since locomotor hyperactivity is typically considered as one of the models for the positive symptoms of schizophrenia [6, 28] and is widely used in testing novel treatments for the disorder [29]. Consistent with published data [16, 30], we observed dose-dependent rise in locomotor activity \([F(5, 71)=10.57, p<0.0001]\) in mice administered with a single dose of MK-801, except in 0.1 mg kg\(^{-1}\) (Fig. 2). All the administered doses, however, elicited a significant increase in the total duration of movement of the mice (data not shown).

**Acute MK-801 administration alters spontaneous alternation and total arm entries of mice in Y-maze**

We observed perturbed spatial working memory as shown by a significant decline in the spontaneous alternation of CD-1 mice administered with 0.1 mg kg\(^{-1}\) of MK-801 during Y-maze, without necessarily affecting their total arm entries to a significant level. Acute administration of MK-801 induced impairment in spontaneous alternation \([F_{(5,84)}=10.74, p<0.0001]\) in all doses. Except for 0.1 mg kg\(^{-1}\), the other doses resulted to an increase in the total number of entries \([F_{(5,84)}=7.981, p<0.0001]\) (Fig. 3).

**Acute MK-801 administration disrupts social behaviors of mice in the three-chamber social assay**

Another test paradigm we performed in this study was the three-chamber social assay. We observed a decrease in social interaction, but not in social preference, in mice treated with 0.12 and 0.15 mg kg\(^{-1}\) of MK-801. This observation agrees with the reported social dysfunction found in mice administered with MK-801 in other studies [31-33]. As is shown in Fig. 4, MK-801 exerted a reducing effect in the duration of stay in the stranger side and increase in the empty side \([F_{(8,276)}=3.546, p=0.0006]\) in the subject mice. This observation was mirrored in groups administered with MK-801 at doses 0.12 and 0.15 mg kg\(^{-1}\) as they showed a significant decrease in sociability index \([F_{(4,92)}=3.062, p=0.0204]\) (Fig. 4B). Although there were no significant differences in the social preference test (Fig. 4C–D), a noticeable dose-dependent trend is observed which mirrors that of the result seen in the social interaction test.

**Fig. 2.** Effects of MK-801 administration on locomotor activity of mice in the open field test. Subject mice were administered with either MK-801 (0.1, 0.12, 0.15, 0.2 and 0.3 mg kg\(^{-1}\)) or 0.9% NaCl thirty minutes prior to the experiment. Open field test was then performed for 25 minutes, measuring the distance moved (Fig. 2A) and its progression in a five-minute time bin (Fig. 2B) as parameters. Data were analyzed using a one-way ANOVA test and are expressed as the mean±S.E.M (n=10–15 mice per group). *p<0.05, **p<0.01, ***p<0.001, ****p<0.0001 vs. vehicle group as revealed by post hoc Tukey’s multiple comparisons test.
Effects of acute MK-801 administration on self-grooming behavior of mice

Fig. 5 shows the effects of MK-801 on self-grooming behavior of mice. Significant inhibitory-like effects on self-grooming were observed at the higher dose range of the compound, 0.2 and 0.3 mg kg\(^{-1}\) \([F_{(2,80)}=13.62, p<0.0001]\). On the other hand, groups administered with 0.1 and 0.12 mg kg\(^{-1}\) of MK-801 demonstrated a slight increase in self-grooming behavior, though not at a significant level.
Acute MK-801 administration diminishes cliff avoidance reaction of mice in the cliff-avoidance test

In our study, we chose the cliff avoidance test observing for the cumulative number of jumps as a measure of impulsivity [34, 35] as it allowed the acute administration of MK-801. All groups treated with MK-801 exhibited a significant impairment of their cliff avoidance reaction (Chi-square(100)=523.6, p<0.0001). Practically, 50% of MK-801-treated mice jumped down at an 8-min time point, except for those administered with 0.1 and 0.2 mg kg\(^{-1}\). In the jumping latency (s), 0.12 and 0.2 mg kg\(^{-1}\) showed a significant decrease in the latency time, while 0.3 mg kg\(^{-1}\) demonstrated the shortest (Fig. 6).

DISCUSSION

Earlier studies have implicated NMDAR blockade as the primary mechanism for the schizophrenia-like brain dysfunctions induced by phencyclidine (PCP) [36, 37]. Hence, NMDAR hypofunction hypothesis of schizophrenia has been postulated as either an alternative or a link to the dopamine hypothesis. Along with PCP, ketamine and MK-801 are widely used non-competitive NMDAR antagonists in modeling symptoms of schizophrenia in both humans and rodents. Also, using MK-801, Xi et al. [38] proposed that repeated injection of MK-801 at low doses (<0.1 mg kg\(^{-1}\)) seemingly recapitulate the effects of NMDA hypofunction and that repeated administration of higher doses (>0.3 mg kg\(^{-1}\)) produces results reminiscent to that of the positive symptoms observed in patients. Interestingly, memantine, another non-competitive NMDAR antagonist, is instead being considered as an adjunctive therapy for schizophrenia [39] (and reviewed in [40]). Studies pointed out that memantine regulates post-synaptic density protein transcripts differently compared to ketamine and MK-801 [41, 42]. In the current study, we demonstrated how MK-801 can be utilized not only to mimic behavioral symptoms limited to characterizing schizophrenia, but also of other neuropsychiatric disorders.

Here we demonstrated how systemic administration of MK-801 at varying doses (0.1, 0.12, 0.15, 0.2, and 0.3 mg kg\(^{-1}\)) exerts its effect on behaviors observed in different test paradigms using 4-week old CD-1 male mice. Interestingly, we observed a significant difference in the distance traveled between groups treated with 0.15 mg kg\(^{-1}\) and 0.3 mg kg\(^{-1}\) in 5- to 10-min time point of the OFT session. The gap between the two doses narrowed during the next ten minutes and finally intersected before the 25-min time point. While the 0.15 mg kg\(^{-1}\) group showed decline in its traveled distance as time progressed, locomotion in 0.3 mg kg\(^{-1}\) was just

Fig. 5. Effects of MK-801 administration on the self-grooming behavior of mice. Subject mice were administered with either MK-801 (0.1, 0.12, 0.15, 0.2 and 0.3 mg kg\(^{-1}\)) or 0.9% NaCl thirty minutes prior to the observation of self-grooming behavior. The total time spent self-grooming was then measured and recorded. All data expressed as the mean±S.E.M (n=14–15 mice per group). *p<0.05, ****p<0.0001 vs. Vehicle group as revealed by post hoc Tukey’s multiple comparisons test.

Fig. 6. Effects of MK-801 administration on cliff avoidance reaction of mice in the cliff-avoidance test. Cliff avoidance test was performed on mice thirty minutes after they were administered with either MK-801 (0.1, 0.12, 0.15, 0.2 and 0.3 mg kg\(^{-1}\)) or 0.9% NaCl, with CJE in a 1-min time bin (Fig. 6A) and jumping latency (Fig. 6B) as parameters measured. All data are expressed as the mean±S.E.M (n=10 mice per group). *p<0.05, ***p<0.001, ****p<0.0001 vs. vehicle group as revealed by post hoc Tukey’s multiple comparisons test and Chi-square analysis test.
beginning to rise by the end of the 30-min observation period. The initially slower increase in the locomotion observed in 0.3 mg kg\(^{-1}\) might be attributed to the reported stereotypy in high doses of MK-801 [13], though not measured in this study, or to the gradual degradation of the effects of MK-801 which was observed to peak at 40–60 min in the rat’s brain ECF concentration after systemic injection [43]. We also observed ataxic-like movements at doses 0.5 and 1 mg kg\(^{-1}\) (data not shown). The apparent manifestation of stereotypy in 0.3 mg kg\(^{-1}\) group requires researchers to be more critical in analyzing locomotor behavior in subject mice. Stereotypy, though signifying another type of abnormal or repetitive behavior, may obscure other natural behaviors of the mice.

Also, NMDAR antagonists such as ketamine, PCP, and MK-801 in various studies were used to further examining the role of NMDA in learning and memory. NMDARs are reported to preferentially regulate cortical GABA interneurons [44] and their blockade may result to the disinhibition of the medial prefrontal cortex, an important neural substrate aiding in the modulation of working memory in rodents [45], and subsequent increase in glutamate release [46] may possibly result to working memory deficit [47]. In our study, although doses higher than 0.1 mg kg\(^{-1}\) effectively impaired spontaneous alternation of the subject mice, they have also increased the total number of their arm entries, which may be imputed to the induced hyperlocomotion in these dosages. While a valid cognition impairer, the application window of MK-801 is relatively narrow [8] as sensorimotor side effects appear at slight increases of the dose, suggesting that a dose threshold of NMDAR antagonists must be maintained to produce memory deficits without necessarily affecting locomotion and sensorimotor processing [48, 49]. While there are several studies which have identified doses of NMDAR antagonists that only result to memory deficits, they have not elucidated the mechanisms of such threshold. It might be possible that even low doses of NMDAR blockers, such as MK-801, might be enough to perturb the working memory based on the hypothesis that NMDAR antagonists preferentially affect the NMDARs on inhibitory neurons which causes a net disinhibition of principal cell activity controlling the firing of pyramidal neurons [50]. Interestingly, mice lacking NMDARs in fast-spiking parvalbumin interneurons demonstrated only selective working memory deficit [51] compared to the genetic deletion of NMDAR in a mixed population of GABAergic interneurons which is reported to have induced a wide range of aberrant behaviors, including deficits in cognition and PPI, novelty-induced hyperlocomotion, and anxiety-like behaviors [52]. Further studies will be required to confirm whether MK-801 also preferentially targets the NMDARs in the fast-spiking parvalbumin interneurons explaining the mentioned threshold. Indeed, the use of low dose MK-801 as a model for cognitive dysfunction helps in preventing non-cognitive side effects, such as hyperlocomotion, from complicating result interpretation.

Most often, researchers interpret NMDAR antagonist-induced social behavior deficits as a model of negative symptoms of schizophrenia [9, 53, 54], lending further support to the NMDA receptor hypofunction hypothesis of schizophrenia [55]. However, deficits in social behavior are predominantly non-specific and that NMDAR hypofunction-induced social dysfunctions are also consistent with autism-like phenotypes [56]. For example, Neuroligin-1\(^{-/-}\) and Shank2\(^{-/-}\) mice exhibited reduced NMDAR function, along with mild to marked social deficits and increased self-grooming [57, 58]. NMDARs interact with signaling molecules, scaffolding proteins, and other receptors to mediate enduring changes in synaptic strength through downstream signaling pathways [59]. These components interacting with NMDARs must maintain a delicate balance among them to ensure a homeostatic functioning of the receptor, and that any upstaging of this equilibrium may result to NMDAR dysfunction [60]. For one, NMDA is implicated in the regulation of the mammalian target of rapamycin complex 1 (mTORC1), whose overactivity contributes significantly to social dysfunction and cognitive deficits in patients with tuberous sclerosis complex (TSC) [61]. NMDAR activation, through modulating intraneuronal concentration of arginine and controlling the phosphorylation state of extracellular signal regulating kinase 1/2 (ERK1/2), may dampen mTORC1 activity [61]. Studies associating NMDAR hypofunction with autism allow the exploration of MK-801 as a model for other autistic-like phenotypes. One study demonstrated autistic-like electrophysiological endophenotypes such as alterations in P1 and N1 latency, evoked gamma power, gamma inter-trial coherence, and gamma baseline, upon administration of MK-801 [12]. However, some of these symptoms are also shared by schizophrenia, suggesting that MK-801 instead models the overlapping neurophysiological characteristics between schizophrenia and ASD.

In this regard, we also measured the changes in self-grooming induced by MK-801. Interestingly, we observed a marked decline in the time spent by mice administered with higher doses of MK-801 in self-grooming. This could be from the increasing locomotor activity of the experimental mice. It should be noted that these observations were recorded after a ten-minute habituation to the novel environment; thus, we did not observe the proposed stereotypy earlier which might have caused the initial slower increase in the locomotion of the 0.3 mg kg\(^{-1}\) group during OFT. Also, stereotypy reported in other MK-801 studies were broadly defined and typically involved head weaving, rotation, or ataxic behaviors [13], and not necessarily the observation of self-grooming behaviors.
Although to a degree, glutamate is also implicated in the modulation of self-grooming [62]. Repeated subchronic exposure to PCP, for example, induced an increase in self-grooming in rats, but only disrupted the self-grooming behavior sequencing when the animals were under stress [63].

Finally, acute administration of MK-801 at select dosages influences impulsivity control in CD-1 mice. To our knowledge this is the first study demonstrating how MK-801 impacts impulsivity in mice. Interestingly, while groups given with 0.12, 0.2, and 0.3 mg kg\(^{-1}\) MK-801 exhibited a significant decrease in jumping latency (s), the mice administered with 0.15 mg kg\(^{-1}\) did not. Impulsive behavior (CAR) is modulated by complex interplay of several neurotransmitter systems in several brain regions such as nucleus accumbens, amygdala, etc. It might be possible that different concentrations of MK-801 may have different effects on different neurotransmitters, which may affect the outcome of CAR. Future studies such as microdialysis examination of MK-801 effects on neurotransmitters may aid to find the answer of the seemingly complicated behavioral consequences.

In Long-Evans and Sprague-Dawley rats, MK-801 induced an increase in premature responding in 5-CSRT at 0.015 and 0.063 mg kg\(^{-1}\), respectively [58, 59]. While we examined whether acute administration of MK-801 influences impulsivity control in CD-1 mice. Reports have demonstrated that systemic injections of NMDAR antagonists, including MK-801, induce impulsive behavior in rodents determined through five-choice serial reaction time task (5-CSRT) [64, 65], implicating the neurotransmission of glutamate in impulsivity and making NMDARs a novel target to assuage impulsive symptoms [66]. However, while some studies attributed impulsive behavior to excess extracellular glutamate [46, 67], Murphy et al. [68] argued that resultant behavioral changes may be independent of this. They suggested that excessive glutamate after NMDAR antagonism in the infralimbic prefrontal cortex may activate GABAergic interneurons, which modulates the activity of projecting pyramidal cells, ultimately inhibiting output pyramidal neurons. Their study also demonstrated that GABA\(_\alpha\) receptor antagonism antagonized the effect of NMDAR blockade on impulsivity, highlighting the intricacy of the interaction of the glutamate-GABA systems in the prefrontal cortex.

Nevertheless, to fully characterize the effect of MK-801 on impulsivity in mice, other test paradigms measuring impulsive behavior must be employed, such as the above-mentioned 5-CSRT, stop-signal task, or go/no-go task. However, the timing and duration of drug administration must be carefully considered as these tests require training before the actual test.

Our study recapitulated well-characterized MK-801-induced behavioral aberrations such as hyperlocomotion, social behavior deficits, and cognitive dysfunction using CD-1 mice, in a dose-dependent manner (summarized in Supplementary Table 1). However, the observed variations of the elicited behavior from different doses require researchers to be critical in choosing the appropriate dose to model desired neuropsychiatric symptoms, considering that increased locomotor activity may confound the interpretation of some test paradigms. We also recommend that doses lower than what we used in this study should be investigated. For example, 0.1 mg kg\(^{-1}\) of MK-801 has significantly decreased spontaneous alternation of subject mice in Y-maze, without increasing arm entries. It is possible that lower doses such as 0.05 mg kg\(^{-1}\) may also produce the same impairment, as it has produced cognitive dysfunctions in other similar test paradigms (Supplementary Table 2). The same can also be said in cliff avoidance test. It is also important to note that short-term spatial memory and impulsivity control has shown most sensitivity to the lowest dose of MK-801 used in this study as shown by the perturbed spontaneous alternation in the Y-maze test and increased jumping in CAR test, implying that MK-801 affects the cognition and impulse control first before it modulates other behavior.

The test paradigms that we have performed in this study could be used in acutely modeling several symptoms not only of schizophrenia, but also that of autism. In addition to the two aforementioned disorders, one study examined the effects of multiple administration of MK-801 at 0.5 mg kg\(^{-1}\) to mice at postnatal day 1 and observed symptoms of hyperactivity and inattention and cell degeneration in hippocampus, frontal cortex, cerebellum, and parietal cortex, linking them to possible mechanisms of attention-deficit hyperactive disorder (ADHD) [69]. In fact, there is a growing plethora of studies, in both humans and animals, implicating glutamatergic dysfunction in the disorder genesis of ADHD like the observation of genetic polymorphisms in NR2A and NR2B subunits of NMDAR in ADHD patients [70] or the demonstration of dysfunctional NR2B subunit and related reduction in synaptic transmission in spontaneous hypertensive rats [71], a prevailing animal model for ADHD. Indeed, the acute administration of MK-801 at relatively lower dose range to evaluate its transient behavioral effects on mice provides a rather straightforward way of modeling wider symptom spectra of various neuropsychiatric disorders, and that this model should not be limited in only recapitulating features of schizophrenia.

The straightforwardness in producing this animal model allows for ease in testing novel therapeutics against NMDA dysfunction or in evaluating the different regulatory roles of MK-801 in various neurotransmitter systems. However, how the resulting experimental data should be interpreted and related to a specific disorder requires careful judgment. Our current study has focused
only on the acute effects of MK-801 administration in 4-week old male CD-1 mice, but manipulation of the timing and duration of administration or usage of female CD-1 should also be characterized in future studies using MK-801. The idea of treating MK-801 during prenatal to neonatal period, an evolution of NMDA hypofunction hypothesis, will also lend a neurodevelopmental element to the disease model [72].
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