Ultrasound-enhanced fluorescence imaging and chemotherapy of multidrug-resistant tumors using multifunctional dendrimer/carbon dot nanohybrids
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\begin{abstract}
Development of innovative nanomedicine enabling enhanced theranostics of multidrug-resistant (MDR) tumors remains to be challenging. Herein, we report the development of a newly designed multifunctional yellow-fluorescent carbon dot (y-CD)/dendrimer nanohybrids as a platform for ultrasound (US)-enhanced fluorescence imaging and chemotherapy of MDR tumors. Generation 5 (G5) poly(amidoamine) dendrimers covalently modified with efflux inhibitor of α-tocopherol polyethylene glycol 1000 succinate (G5-TPGS) were complexed with one-step hydrothermally synthesized y-CDs via electrostatic interaction. The formed G5-TPGS@y-CDs complexes were then physically loaded with anticancer drug doxorubicin (DOX) to generate (G5-TPGS@y-CDs)-DOX complexes. The developed nanohybrids display a high drug loading efficiency (40.7%), strong y-CD-induced fluorescence emission, and tumor microenvironment pH-preferred DOX release profile. Attributing to the DOX/TPGS dual drug design, the (G5-TPGS@y-CDs)-DOX complexes can overcome the multidrug resistance (MDR) of cancer cells and effectively inhibit the growth of cancer cells and tumors. Furthermore, the introduction of US-targeted microbubble destruction technology was proven to render the complexes with enhanced intracellular uptake and anticancer efficacy \textit{in vitro} and improved chemotherapeutic efficacy and fluorescence imaging of tumors \textit{in vivo} due to the produced sonoporation effect. The developed multifunctional dendrimer/CD nanohybrids may represent an advanced design of nanomedicine for US-enhanced theranostics of different types of MDR tumors.
\end{abstract}

1. Introduction

Breast cancer is currently the leading cause of cancer-associated mortality in women worldwide. Malignant transformation of tumors is characterized by the rapid proliferation, high invasiveness and metastasis, and multidrug resistance (MDR) of cancer cells [1,2]. Indeed, rapid development of MDR is a major obstacle in the treatment of breast cancer [3], and reversing MDR is crucial in developing therapies against this disease [4,5]. The causes of MDR are complex, however, a current study indicates that MDR is mainly related to elevated expression of P-glycoprotein (P-gp), which is a member of the adenosine triphosphate (ATP)-binding cassette (ABC) transporter family [6]. P-gp is essentially an ATP-dependent drug pump that can pump drugs out of cells and reduce intracellular drug concentrations [7,8].

In recent years, drug efflux inhibitors have been increasingly used to overcome MDR [2]. Polyethylene glycol (PEG) 1000 vitamin E succinate (TPGS) is a P-gp inhibitor. The structure of TPGS includes a vitamin E succinate (α-TOS) and a hydroxyl ester of PEG 1000, which can inhibit the function of P-gp ATPase, disrupt mitochondrial membrane potential, and reduce intracellular ATP levels [9,10]. For these reasons, TPGS can overcome tumor MDR and increase drug accumulation within cancer cells. Furthermore, TPGS, as a mitochondriotropic substance, was reported to have mitochondrial targeting capability [11], which may accelerate the mitochondrial dysfunction [12]. Nanomaterials can...
be modified with TPGS to achieve the effects described above [13]. Combining TPGS with chemotherapy drugs is critical to achieve effective chemotherapy. For instance, in a previous study, we have proven that 2-dimensional nano-clays modified with TPGS and loaded with doxorubicin (DOX) are able to overcome MDR and significantly inhibit tumor growth [14]. However, the developed nanosystem just owns enhanced permeability and retention (EPR)-based passive tumor targeting and lacks simultaneous imaging guidance.

Among the numerous nano-delivery systems, carbon dots (CDs) have garnered a great deal of attention because of their low toxicity, water solubility, stability in physiologic environments, and high and tunable fluorescence emission property [15]. The unique structural characteristics of CDs have rendered their uses as a carrier to load anticancer drugs for chemotherapy. For instance, Gong et al. synthesized phosphorus- and nitrogen-doped hollow CDs for delivery of DOX and fluorescence imaging [16]. Due to the lack of functionalization of CDs, it is vital to combine them with other nanosystems to achieve the desired functionalities such as dual drug loading with enhanced loading efficiency and MDR overcoming.

Dendrimers, in particular, poly(amidoamine) (PAMAM) dendrimers are a class of branched, monodispersed, and synthetic macromolecules with both internal cavity and periphery functional groups [17]. The unique structural features of dendrimers allow the entrapment of inorganic nanoparticles (NPs) within their interiors and covalent conjugation of targeting ligands, drugs and bioactive ingredients for various drug delivery and imaging purposes [18–23]. It is advantageous to combine dendrimers with CDs for nanomedicinal applications. For instance, Matai et al. developed self-assembled hybrids of fluorescent CDs and PAMAM dendrimers for delivery of epirubicin and intracellular fluorescence imaging. The developed hybrids were able to induce breast cancer cell death [24], but were not applicable for suppressing multidrug-resistant (MDR) tumors. In our previous work [25], we prepared dual drug-loaded dendrimer/CD nanohybrids (CDs-DOX)@G5-RGD-TPGS for enhanced and targeted chemotherapy and fluorescence imaging of cancer cells in vitro [25]. However, this system used a blue fluorescence CDs with a size of 1.4 nm that lack desired drug loading capacity, and the system was not verified in MDR cancer cells and tumor models.

Another challenge in nanomedicine involves the adequate tumor accumulation and penetration of drugs. Ultrasound (US)-targeted microbubble destruction (UTMD) technology enables the creation of numerous small holes on the surface of the cell membrane via a cavitation effect for enhanced delivery of exogenous macromolecules, gene transfection, and NP tumor penetration for improved tumor drug delivery [26–28]. The combination of dendrimers and UTMD technology can not only effectively enhance the delivery of genes or drugs in cells, but also achieve targeting through the ultrasonic irradiation. Previously, we have shown that under the assistance of UTMD technology, dimer-trapped Au NPs coloaded with gemcitabine drug and an microRNA-21 inhibitor can be significantly delivered to tumor site for enhanced combinational therapy of a pancreatic cancer model [29]. These prior advances related to CD-based theranostic platforms, dendrimer nano-technology, and UTMD technology prompted us to propose that a novel nanoconstruct based on CD/dendrimer nanohybrids loaded with dual drugs could be developed for US-enhanced fluorescence imaging and chemotherapy of MDR tumors via inhibition of the P-gp pathway.

In this present study, we report a new design of CD/dendrimer nanoconstructs for US-enhanced theranostics of MDR tumors (Scheme 1). First, yellow-fluorescent CDs (y-CDs) were synthesized via one-step hydrothermal treatment of 4-amino salicylic acid (4-ASA). Concurrently, generation 5 (G5) PAMAM dendrimers were covalently modified with the drug-efflux inhibitor TPGS to form G5-TPGS conjugates. After that, G5-TPGS conjugates were complexed with y-CDs via electrostatic interaction to form G5-TPGS@y-CDs complexes, which were then physically loaded with anticancer drug DOX to form (G5-TPGS@y-CDs)-DOX complexes. The dual drug-loaded complexes were thoroughly characterized in all physicochemical aspects. We then systematically investigated their in vitro cellular uptake capacity, ability to reverse MDR, and potential for enhanced chemotherapeutic effect in vitro with or without UTMD. Lastly, the multifunctional CD/dendrimer nanohybrids were used for US-enhanced fluorescence imaging and chemotherapy of a xenografted human breast MDR tumor model. The main idea of this study is to develop a unique dendrimer-CD nanohybrid platform that can combine both advantages of dendrimers (easy surface modification with targeting ligands and secondary TPGS drug) and CDs (fluorescence imaging and drug loading capacity) to achieve US-boosted delivery of nanomedicine for enhanced theranostics of MDR tumors. According to our thorough literature investigation, this study represents the updated design of CD/dendrimer nanohybrids for UTMD-promoted fluorescence imaging and chemotherapy of MDR tumors via a P-gp inhibition pathway.

2. Experimental methods

2.1. Preparation of y-CDs

y-CDs with yellow fluorescence emission were synthesized using 4-ASA as a precursor via a one-step hydrothermal method [30]. In brief, 4-ASA (0.2 g) dissolved in 10 mL of water at room temperature was transferred to a 50-mL Teflon-equipped stainless steel autoclave, and heated at 180°C for 4 h in a drying oven. After cooling down to room temperature, the y-CDs were collected by removing larger particles through centrifugation at 4000 rpm for 20 min and then the supernatant was filtrated using a 220-nm pore membrane and freeze-dried to obtain the y-CDs solid.

2.2. Preparation of G5-TPGS conjugates

TPGS (20.74 mg, dissolved in 5 mL DMSO) was mixed with 20 M equiv. of N, N-carbonyldimidazole (CDI, 47.25 mg, dissolved in 10 mL DMSO) under stirring for 6 h to activate the hydroxyl groups of TPGS. Then, G5 PAMAM dendrimers (17.83 mg, dissolved in 20 mL DMSO) was dropwise added to the activated TPGS solution under stirring for 72 h. The reaction mixture was dialyzed against water via a dialysis membrane (MWCO = 5000) and lyophilized to get the G5-TPGS product.

2.3. Preparation of (G5-TPGS@y-CDs)-DOX complexes

To prepare G5-TPGS@y-CDs complexes, y-CDs were mixed with G5-TPGS dendrimers to form complexes via either covalent interaction or non-covalent interaction at different mass ratios. For covalent interaction, the y-CDs were activated by 1-ethyl-3-(3-dimethylaminopropyl) carbodiimide hydrochloride (EDC)/N-hydroxysuccinimide in water and reacted with G5-TPGS at different G5-TPGS/y-CDs mass ratios (1:1, 3:1, 5:1 and 7:1, respectively) under magnetic stirring for 3 days. The mixture was dialyzed (MWCO = 5000) and lyophilized to get the G5-TPGS@y-CDs complexes. Alternatively, y-CDs complexes were physically mixed with G5-TPGS at a G5-TPGS/y-CDs mass ratio of 3:1 to form complexes via electrostatic interaction. After stirring for 24 h, the mixture was lyophilized to get the G5-TPGS@y-CDs complexes.

To load DOX within the G5-TPGS@y-CDs complexes, DOX·HCl (5.26 mg) was dissolved in methanol (600 μL) and neutralized by 10 μL of trimethylamine to form DOX. The DOX solution was then added into an aqueous solution of G5-TPGS@y-CDs (5.26 mg, 5.0 mL in water) and the mixture was vigorously stirred overnight to evaporate the methanol solvent. Then, the (G5-TPGS@y-CDs)-DOX mixture solution was centrifuged (8000 rpm) for 20 min to remove the precipitate. The supernatant solution was collected and lyophilized to obtain the (G5-TPGS@y-CDs)-DOX complexes. For comparison, single drug-loaded (G5-mPEG@y-CDs)-DOX complexes were also prepared and characterized under similar conditions.

2.4. Cell culture

Different from regular MCF-7 cells, MCF-7/ADR cells were cultured and passaged using Minimum Eagle's Medium (MEM) supplemented
with 10% fetal bovine serum, 100 U mL\(^{-1}\) penicillin, 100 U mL\(^{-1}\) streptomycin, and 5 μg/mL DOX·HCl. The drug-free y-CDs were subjected to cytotoxicity assay, while the single-drug or dual-drug complexes were subjected to cellular uptake, fluorescence imaging, therapeutic activity, ATP level, mitochondrial membrane potential, and reactive oxygen species generation assays after the cells were incubated with them with or without UTMD.

2.5. Animal Experiments

All animal studies were approved by the Shanghai General Hospital Animal Care and Use Committee and performed in accordance with guidelines and regulations of National Ministry of Health. After intravenous injection of the dual drug-loaded CD/dendrimer nanohybrids, fluorescence imaging was performed to evaluate the EPR-based targeting to tumors with or without UTMD. In addition, we thoroughly assessed the therapeutic efficacy of the dual-drug complexes to treat the MDR tumors under UTMD through tumor volume and body weight measurements, survival rate analysis, histological hematoxylin-eosin staining (H&E) and TdT-mediated dUTP Nick-End Labeling (TUNEL) staining of tumors, and US imaging of tumor size and blood perfusion. A full description of experimental procedures can be found in the Supporting Information.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Synthesis and characterization of y-CDs

First, we used 4-ASA as a precursor to synthesize y-CDs via a one-step hydrothermal method without further surface passivation or modification according to the literature [30]. The generated y-CDs were characterized via different methods. Apparently, the y-CDs display a characteristic absorption peak at 282 nm in the UV–vis spectrum and maximum excitation/emission wavelengths at 490 and 547 nm, respectively (Fig. 1a). The maximum emission wavelength at 547 nm does not appear to change at different excitation wavelengths (Fig. 1b). As shown in Fig. 1c, the y-CDs have a lifetime of 2.31 ns, and their fluorescence quantum yield was calculated to be 23.8% using quinine sulfate (in 0.1 M H\(_2\)SO\(_4\), 56%) as a standard [31]. Fourier transform infrared (FTIR) spectroscopy was used to check the functional groups on the surface of y-CDs (Supporting Information Fig. S1). In comparison to free 4-ASA (curve 1), y-CDs show peaks at 3359 cm\(^{-1}\) and 3294 cm\(^{-1}\) (curve 2), which are related to the stretching vibration of the hydroxyl group. Meanwhile, the peaks at 1596 cm\(^{-1}\) and 1467 cm\(^{-1}\) correspond to the asymmetric and symmetrical stretching vibrations of the carboxyl groups, and the peak at 1258 cm\(^{-1}\) is associated to the C–O stretching, which is consistent with the literature [30,32]. These absorption features of the y-CDs are quite similar to those of the precursor 4-ASA, indicating that y-CDs display abundant carboxyl and hydroxyl groups on their surface.

Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) was performed to observe the size and size distribution of y-CDs (Fig. 1d and e). The y-CDs display a spherical or semi-spherical shape with a mean particle size of 7.3 ± 2.7 nm. Likewise, we show that the y-CDs possess excellent water dispersibility and colloidal stability, and no precipitation occurs after the y-CDs were dispersed in water for at least 3 months. Next, we also checked the fluorescence intensity of y-CDs at 547 nm under different pHs (Supporting Information Fig. S2). It can be found that the y-CDs exhibit the strongest fluorescence intensity at pH 6.3, and their fluorescence intensity gradually decreases with the increase and decrease of pH starting from the peak pH of 6.3. The maximum fluorescence intensity at a slightly acidic tumor microenvironmental pH ensures their tumor imaging applications with the optimum sensitivity.

3.2. Synthesis and characterization of (G5-TPGS@y-CDs)-DOX complexes

Next, we synthesized G5-TPGS conjugates to prepare the dual drug-loaded CD/dendrimer nanohybrids. \(^{1}H\) NMR was used to characterize the
TPGS and G5-TPGS conjugates (Supporting Information Fig. S3 a and b). The characteristic peaks in the range of 2.4–3.4 ppm can be assigned to the methylene protons of G5 dendrimer, and the characteristic peak at 0.7 ppm is attributed to the TPGS, in agreement with our earlier study [25]. The NMR data confirmed the successful synthesis of G5-TPGS conjugates. According to NMR integration, the number of TPGS connected to each G5 PAMAM dendrimer was estimated to be 13.4.

Next, G5-TPGS@y-CDs complexes were prepared via either EDC-mediated covalent coupling between the dendrimer leftover terminal amines and carbonyl groups of y-CDs or electrostatic interaction under different dendrimer/CD mass ratios (1:1, 3:1, 5:1, or 7:1). Fluorescence spectroscopy data reveal that y-CDs show the strongest fluorescence at the dendrimer/CD mass ratio of 3:1 for the G5-TPGS@y-CDs complexes formed via the covalent method (Supporting Information Fig. S4). Further increasing the dendrimer/CD mass ratio leads to decreased fluorescence intensity of the y-CDs, quite consistent with our previous results [25]. However, the strongest fluorescence intensity of the complexes was obtained at a dendrimer/CD mass ratio of 3:1, which is different than that reported in our earlier study. This discrepancy may have occurred because y-CDs synthesized here are much larger than the ones (1.4 nm) reported in our earlier study, and can bind more G5-TPGS conjugates on their surface. Based on this optimization, we used an electrostatic interaction to physically combine y-CDs and G5-TPGS under the dendrimer/CD mass ratio of 3:1. Apparently, compared to the covalently prepared complexes, the same complexes prepared using the non-covalent method display much higher fluorescence intensity. Hence, we decided to use the non-covalent method to prepare the G5-TPGS@y-CDs complexes at the dendrimer/CD mass ratio of 3:1 for subsequent characterization and biological applications.

UV–vis spectra of y-CDs, G5-TPGS conjugates, and G5-TPGS@y-CDs complexes dispersed in water are shown in Supporting Information Fig. S5. The G5-TPGS conjugates and y-CDs display a single featured absorption peak at 290 and 282 nm, respectively. Concurrently, the formed G5-TPGS@y-CDs complexes show a characteristic peak at 289 nm, which can be ascribed to the overlap between the absorption of G5-TPGS and y-CDs. Further, the shoulder peak at 230 nm appears in the spectra of both y-CDs and the complexes, suggesting the successful formation of the complexes. As shown in Fig. 2a, in contrast to G5-TPGS conjugates that do not show fluorescence emission, the complexes display a strong emission peak at 550 nm. The slight broader emission peak of G5-TPGS@y-CDs than that of y-CDs may be due to the strong interaction between G5-TPGS and y-CDs [33].

Next, we physically loaded DOX within the G5-TPGS@y-CDs complexes and the dual drug-loaded (G5-TPGS@y-CDs)-DOX complexes were characterized through both UV–vis absorption and fluorescence emission spectra. We first evaluated the drug loading content (DLC) and drug loading efficiency (DLE) of the complexes to be 17.4% and 40.7%, respectively (Supporting Information Table S1). Compared with the results obtained in our previous study (DLC = 7.8% and DLE = 22.3%) [25], the DLC and DLE of the complexes are significantly improved. This may be due to the fact that compared to the previous study involved the use of dendrimer/CD mass ratio of 1:1 and smaller sized CDs (1.4 nm) to form complexes, here the DOX could be both loaded within the hydrophobic internal cavity of more G5 dendrimers [19] and loaded onto or within y-CDs with a much larger size (7.3 nm) via non-covalent hydrogen bonds [34,35] and π-π stacking [36].

As shown in Fig. 2b, as compared to the UV–vis spectra of G5-TPGS@y-CDs, the formed (G5-TPGS@y-CDs)-DOX complexes display characteristic peaks at 490 nm (DOX), 290 nm (overlapped absorptions of G5-TPGS and y-CDs), and 240 nm (DOX), indicating that DOX has been successfully loaded. The inset of Fig. 2b shows the reddish brown color of the (G5-TPGS@y-CDs)-DOX complexes in aqueous solution, which is different from the pale yellow color of the G5-TPGS@y-CDs solution, also validating the DOX loading. As compared to the fluorescence intensity of DOX-free (G5-TPGS@y-CDs) complexes and free y-CDs, the loading of DOX leads to slightly decreased fluorescence intensity of the (G5-TPGS@y-CDs)-DOX complexes (Fig. 2a), which possibly ascribed to the charge of the electron transfer from CDs to dendrimers after DOX loading.

We also measured the surface potentials of y-CDs, G5-TPGS conjugates, G5-TPGS@y-CDs complexes, and (G5-TPGS@y-CDs)-DOX complexes to further verify the successful formation of nanohybrids (Supporting Information Table S2). The surface potential of y-CDs (−25.6 mV) increases to −5.7 mV after complexation with the positively charged G5-TPGS conjugates (15.2 mV), confirming the successful formation of G5-TPGS@y-CDs complexes via non-covalent interactions. Further loading of DOX leads to an increased surface potential of (G5-TPGS@y-CDs)-DOX complexes (6.6 mV), implying the successful DOX loading.

Meanwhile, the hydrodynamic sizes of different materials were measured to confirm the successful preparation of (G5-TPGS@y-CDs)-
DOX complexes (Supporting Information Table S3). The hydrodynamic size of G5-TPGS increased from 120.8 nm to 245.1 nm after combined with y-CDs. Further loading of DOX led to a further increase of their hydrodynamic sizes to 279.2 nm, confirming the successful formation of (G5-TPGS@y-CDs)-DOX complexes. The morphology of the complexes was also observed by TEM (Fig. S6a). Clearly, the complexes display a cluster structure with a diameter around 101.8 nm. The TEM size of the complexes is different from that measured by dynamic light scattering due to the different measurement mechanisms and sample preparation processes [37]. Besides, the (G5-TPGS@y-CDs)-DOX complexes dispersed in water, PBS and MEM remained to be a stable dispersion without precipitation for at least one week (Supporting Information Fig. S6b). In addition, there was no significant change in the hydrodynamic size of (G5-TPGS@y-CDs)-DOX complexes dispersed in water for 7 days (Supporting Information Fig. S7), demonstrating the excellent stability of the complexes.

We next investigated the DOX release kinetics from the (G5-TPGS@y-CDs)-DOX complexes under different pHs (Fig. 2c). At 72 h, approximately 52% of DOX is released at pH 5.5, however, at the same time point only 36% of DOX is released at pH 7.4. This indicates that the DOX release from the (G5-TPGS@y-CDs)-DOX complexes is pH-dependent, displaying a higher release rate under slightly acidic pH conditions than under physiological pH conditions. The rapid DOX release under slight acidic conditions may be ascribed to the protonation of DOX under acidic pH conditions, thus having improved water solubility [38]. In addition, we found that there was no appreciable effect on the release of DOX after US treatment for 2 min (Supporting Information Fig. S8) under the same conditions used for UTMD (see below). This is likely due to the fact that a single US treatment (1 MHz, 0.4 W/cm², and 2 min) is not sufficiently strong to promote DOX release from the (G5-TPGS@y-CDs)-DOX complexes.

3.3. Fluorescence imaging of MDR cancer cells in vitro

Due to the fluorescence emission property of the y-CDs, we first checked if the (G5-TPGS@y-CDs)-DOX complexes were able to be taken up by MDR cancer cells in vitro for fluorescence imaging of the cells (Fig. 2d). After 6 h incubation, compared to the PBS control, MCF-7/ADR cells (a human breast cancer adriamycin resistant cell line) treated with y-CDs and DOX-HCl display yellow-green and red fluorescence.
signals, respectively, implying that both y-CDs and DOX-HCl are able to be taken up by cells, possibly through two distinct mechanisms of phagocytosis and diffusion through cell walls [22,39]. Cells treated with the (G5-TPGS@y-CDs)-DOX complexes show both yellow-green and red fluorescence signals with merged images displaying orange fluorescence signal, verifying the co-localization of y-CDs and DOX signals. This indicates that the developed (G5-TPGS@y-CDs)-DOX complexes are able to be internalized within the MDR cancer cells through the mechanisms described above, in good agreement with results reported in the literature [40]. The CDs together with dendrimers are able to deliver DOX in a way quite similar to DOX loaded within just dendrimer carriers without CDs [41].

To further explore the cellular uptake pathway of the complexes, MCF-7/ADR cells were first treated with endocytic pathway inhibitors of chlorpromazine (CPZ) or nystatin, followed by treatment with the (G5-TPGS@y-CDs)-DOX complexes (Supporting Information Fig. S9 and Fig. S10). In general, clathrin-mediated uptake pathway could be blocked by CPZ, a cationic amphiphile [42]. Apparently, the relative cellular uptake of (G5-TPGS@y-CDs)-DOX complexes is significantly reduced after the cells were treated with CPZ, suggesting that the internalization of complexes is related to clathrin-dependent uptake pathway. In contrast, the nystatin treatment group does not seem to result in any reduction of the cellular uptake of (G5-TPGS@y-CDs)-DOX complexes.

3.4. UTMD-promoted cellular uptake of complexes and anticancer activity assay

To examine whether UTMD can promote the cellular uptake of the nanohybrids, we used flow cytometry to analyze the MCF-7/ADR cells treated with the single drug-loaded (G5-mPEG@y-CDs)-DOX complexes, and (G5-TPGS@y-CDs)-DOX complexes without or with UTMD. We first prepared and characterized the single-drug (G5-mPEG@y-CDs)-DOX complexes. $^1$H NMR was used to characterize the G5-mPEG conjugates (Supporting Information Fig. S2c). Through integration, the number of mPEG conjugated to each G5 dendrimer was estimated to be 14.7. After DOX loading, the DLC and DLE were measured to 13.72% and 58.35%, respectively (Supporting Information Table S1). At the same DOX concentration, MCF-7/ADR cells treated with the (G5-TPGS@y-CDs)-DOX + UTMD exhibit much stronger fluorescence than those treated with G5-mPEG@y-CDs)-DOX and (G5-TPGS@y-CDs)-DOX complexes (p < 0.001, Fig. 3a and b). This indicates that UTMD promotes the cellular uptake of the (G5-TPGS@y-CDs)-DOX complexes through the rendered sonoporation effect. Notably, MCF-7/ADR cells treated with the (G5-TPGS@y-CDs)-DOX complexes exhibit much higher fluorescence intensity than those treated with the (G5-mPEG@y-CDs)-DOX complexes at a DOX concentration of 5.0 μg/mL (p < 0.001). This could be because the linked TPGS on the surface of nanohybrids can afford them with mitochondria targeting specificity, thus facilitating the enhanced cellular endocytosis of the nanohybrids [43].

To investigate the anticancer activity of the (G5-TPGS@y-CDs)-DOX complexes in vitro, we first tested the cytocompatibility of drug-free y-CDs via a CCK-8 cell viability assay (Fig. 3c). Obviously, the viability of MCF-7 and MCF-7/ADR cells does not seem to be affected by y-CDs at a concentration as high as 1.0 mg/mL, verifying the good cytocompatibility of y-CDs. Next, the DOX-free G5-TPGS@y-CDs and G5-mPEG@y-CDs were tested to check their therapeutic activity (Supporting Information Fig. S11). In the equiv. DOX concentration range of 0.125–25 μg/mL, the DOX-free G5-mPEG@y-CDs do not seem to create any significant inhibition effect for both MCF-7 and MCF-7/ADR cells, implying their good cytocompatibility. However, the G5-TPGS@y-CD complexes display enhanced inhibition effect for both MCF-7 and MCF-7/ADR cells. At the complex concentration of 489.1 μg/mL, the viabilities of MCF-7 and MCF-7/ADR cells are 48% and 51%, respectively. The inhibitory effect of DOX-free complexes is likely due to the linked α-TOS, the active component of TPGS that can inhibit the growth of cancer cells without the necessity to be released [37,44]. It is noticeable that the concentrations of G5-TPGS@y-CD complexes used are equivalent to those of DOX for the DOX-loaded complexes (vide infra), allowing for reasonable comparison of the activity of single drug-versus dual drug-loaded complexes.

MCF-7/ADR cells display much higher levels of P-gp on the cell surface than regular MCF-7 cells [45]. Hence, substrates of P-gp (such as DOX) can be transported extracellularly, causing MDR of cancer cells [46]. Here, we first assessed the ability of (G5-TPGS@y-CDs)-DOX complexes to inhibit both MCF-7 and MCF-7/ADR cells in the absence and presence of UTMD. For regular MCF-7 cells, at the DOX concentration of 0.25 μg/mL or above, the inhibitory effect follows the order of free DOXHCl > (G5-TPGS@y-CDs)-DOX + UTMD > (G5-TPGS@y-CDs)-DOX > (G5-mPEG@y-CDs)-DOX (Fig. 3d). At a DOX concentration of 25 μg/mL (p < 0.01), the single drug-loaded (G5-TPGS@y-CDs)-DOX complexes have a better inhibitory effect than the single DOX-loaded (G5-mPEG@y-CDs)-DOX complexes and single TPGS-loaded G5-TPGS@y-CDs complexes (e.g., cell viability of 48% at equiv. DOX concentration of 25 μg/mL). The TPGS may play two roles in the enhancement effect: (1) increasing the accumulation of DOX within MCF-7 cells through mitochondrial targeting, and (2) exerting the α-TOS cancer cell inhibitory effect. With the assistance of UTMD, the inhibitory effect of (G5-TPGS@y-CDs)-DOX complexes can be further enhanced through the generated sonoporation effect.

Next, we verified the inhibitory effect of MCF-7/ADR cells treated with the (G5-TPGS@y-CDs)-DOX complexes (Fig. 3e). Similar to the case of regular MCF-7 cell treatment, the MCF-7/ADR cells display decreased viability with the increase of DOX concentration for all formulations. It is obvious that the inhibition effect of both free DOXHCl and (G5-mPEG@y-CDs)-DOX complexes is quite limited when compared to that of the (G5-TPGS@y-CDs)-DOX complexes, which are able to reduce the cell viability to 27.8% at a DOX concentration of 25 μg/mL. Without the conjugation of TPGS, the P-gp-induced MDR effect cannot be overcome by free DOXHCl and (G5-mPEG@y-CDs)-DOX complexes. Instead, the developed dual drug-loaded (G5-TPGS@y-CDs)-DOX complexes should be able to overcome the MDR effect [47]. Specifically, TPGS can inhibit the activity of P-gp ATPase to lead to intracellular accumulation of DOX, bind to mitochondrial respiratory complexes to cause mitochondrial dysfunction, and induce cellular apoptosis [48]. In the presence of UTMD, the cell viability can be further decreased to 16.8% after treatment with the dual-drug complexes. Apparently, the adoption of UTMD further enhanced through the generated sonoporation effect.

3.5. Mechanistic evaluation of MDR reversal of cancer cells

To confirm the TPGS-induced MDR reversal of cancer cells, we next investigated the mitochondrial membrane potential, ATP level, and reactive oxygen species (ROS) generation after the MDR cells were treated with dual-drug complexes since these parameters are cooperative to prove the effective treatment. As a P-gp inhibitor, TPGS can bind to mitochondria and induce decreased membrane potential, thus reducing the level of intracellular ATP, increasing ROS levels and activating cell apoptosis to overcome MDR [12]. The mitochondrial dysfunction was
PEG@y-CDs)-DOX complexes are more effective than G5-TPGS@y-CDs complexes to generate ROS under the same DOX or equiv. DOX concentrations (p < 0.001). Taken together with the ATP level and mitochondria membrane potential measurements, our results collectively validate the effective reversal and treatment of MDR cancer cells using the designed dual-drug complexes.

3.6. In vivo fluorescence imaging

With the fluorescence properties rendered by y-CDs, we next checked the ability of the dual-drug (G5-TPGS@y-CDs)-DOX complexes for tumor fluorescence imaging in the presence and absence of UTMD. Nude mice bearing MCF-7/ADR xenografts were imaged in vivo after intravenous injection of y-CDs and (G5-TPGS@y-CDs)-DOX complexes (with or without UTMD). As presented in Supporting Information Figs. S13a–b, upon injection of the (G5-TPGS@y-CDs)-DOX complexes, the fluorescence signal could be viewed in the tumor region even at 6 h post-injection, which may be due to the EPR-based passive tumor targeting effect since the nanohybrids have a relative large size of 101.8 nm. In contrast, the fluorescence signal of tumors in the y-CDs group was significantly weaker than those in the other groups at the same time points, suggesting that the free y-CDs could be quickly metabolized due to their small size (7.3 nm). Furthermore, with the assistance of UTMD, a significantly enhanced fluorescence signal was observed stably in the tumor region, validating the role played by the UTMD-rendered sonoporation effect.

The UTMD-rendered enhanced tumor fluorescence imaging was further demonstrated by ex vivo organ and tumor fluorescence imaging at 6 h post-injection, where the strongest ex vivo tumor fluorescence signal was observed in the (G5-TPGS@y-CDs)-DOX + U group (Supporting Information Fig. S14). As recorded in Supporting Information Fig. S15, the fluorescence intensities of different organs in the (G5-TPGS@y-CDs)-DOX and (G5-TPGS@y-CDs)-DOX + U group follow the order of liver > kidney > lung > spleen > heart. In addition, the fluorescence intensities of different organs and tumor in the y-CDs group follow the order of liver > kidney > spleen > lung > tumor > heart.
3.7. Therapeutic efficacy of MDR tumors in vivo

We lastly checked the therapeutic efficacy of the dual-drug complexes to treat xenografted MCF-7/ADR tumor model in vivo (Fig. 5a). As shown in Fig. 5b, the tumor inhibitory effect after 20 days treatment follows the order of (G5-TPGS@y-CDs)-DOX + UTMD > (G5-TPGS@y-CDs)-DOX > (G5-mPEG@y-CDs)-DOX > free DOX·HCl > saline. Notably, we have demonstrated that single UTMD treatment has no obvious inhibition effect on the tumor growth [28]. The significantly enhanced tumor inhibition ability of the (G5-TPGS@y-CDs)-DOX + UTMD treatment is attributed to the TPGS-based dual drug design to effectively reverse MDR in tumors and enhance the antitumor activity, and the applied UTMD technique to promote tumor accumulation of the complexes. The body weights of mice treated with the (G5-TPGS@y-CDs)-DOX with or without UTMD do not change significantly when compared with those treated with the (G5-mPEG@y-CDs)-DOX complexes and free DOX·HCl (Fig. 5c), indicating that all treatments do not seem to generate systemic toxicity of mice. Interestingly, different from free DOX treatment of regular tumor types to induce significant side effects [52], free DOX does not exhibit toxicity in MDR tumor mice. Furthermore, the mouse survival rate after the whole experimental time period shows that the (G5-TPGS@y-CDs)-DOX + UTMD and (G5-TPGS@y-CDs)-DOX groups have much higher survival rates than the (G5-mPEG@y-CDs)-DOX and free DOX·HCl groups, and all mice are dead in the saline control group (Fig. 5d). These results further confirm that the treatment with (G5-TPGS@y-CDs)-DOX + UTMD is the most effective for MDR tumor chemotherapy.

To check the tumor treatment efficacy, ultrasound imaging was used to monitor the tumor size and blood perfusion (Fig. 5e). As shown in the B-mode images, the tumor sizes in groups display an order of (G5-TPGS@y-CDs)-DOX + UTMD > (G5-TPGS@y-CDs)-DOX > (G5-mPEG@y-CDs)-DOX < free DOX·HCl < saline. The treatment of (G5-TPGS@y-CDs)-DOX + UTMD appears to be more effective than that of (G5-mPEG@y-CDs)-DOX to reduce tumor volume. This clearly indicates the UTMD-enhanced role for chemotherapy of MDR tumors. Since contrast-enhanced ultrasound (CEUS) imaging is a reliable modality to reveal vascular flow within tumors and to evaluate tumor treatment effect [53,54], subcutaneous tumor vascularity was also evaluated post-treatment using CEUS imaging to assess the therapeutic effects. Clearly, the most significant intratumoral blood perfusion can be detected in the (G5-TPGS@y-CDs)-DOX + UTMD group, indicating the best chemotherapeutic effect and prognosis. As expected, increased blood perfusion results in the enhanced drug permeability and hence enhanced tumor chemotherapeutic effect.

Next, we evaluated the therapeutic efficacy of different groups through hematoxylin and eosin (H&E) and TdT-mediated dUTP Nick-End Labeling (TUNEL) staining of tumor slices (Supporting Information Fig. S16). Apparently, in the saline and free DOX·HCl groups, the tumors show well-shaped cell morphology and no obvious necrotic and apoptotic areas emerging in the tumor site. For the free DOX·HCl group, this may have occurred for the MDR tumor model that is resistant to DOX treatment. A small necrotic and apoptotic areas in the tumor site emerge for the single-drug (G5-mPEG@y-CDs)-DOX group, while (G5-TPGS@y-CDs)-DOX and (G5-TPGS@y-CDs)-DOX + UTMD groups display much larger necrotic and apoptotic areas, demonstrating that the dual-drug (G5-TPGS@y-CDs)-DOX complexes are able to inhibit the MDR tumors and the enhanced treatment effect can be rendered by UTMD. Quantitative analysis of the tumor cell apoptosis rate (Supporting Information Fig. S17) shows the ordering of the treatment efficacy as (G5-TPGS@y-CDs)-DOX + UTMD group (79.2%) > (G5-TPGS@y-CDs)-DOX group (59.0%) > (G5-mPEG@y-CDs)-DOX group (23.0%) > free DOX·HCl group (7.3%) > saline group (4.4%).

Lastly, H&E staining was also used to examine the morphological changes in the organs of mice after different treatments (Supporting Information Fig. S18). Obviously, all the treatment groups do not seem to generate any significant changes in the morphology of major organs when compared to the saline control group. Overall, our results indicate that the treatment of dual drug (G5-TPGS@y-CDs)-DOX complexes with or without UTMD does not generate organ compatibility issues and displays a quite low systemic toxicity.
4. Conclusion

In summary, we developed a multifunctional nanomedicine based on y-CD/dendrimer nanohybrids loaded with dual drugs of TPGS and DOX for US-enhanced fluorescence imaging and chemotherapy of MDR tumors. We show that through electrostatic interaction, G5-TPGS conjugates and y-CDs can be complexed for further DOX loading with a high drug loading capacity, and the formed dual-drug complexes display tumor micro-environmental pH-preferred DOX release. With the loaded TPGS, an inhibitor of P-gp, the dual-drug complexes are able to overcome the MDR of cancer cells through reducing the intracellular ATP level and mitochondria membrane potential and enhancing the ROS generation, thus significantly inhibiting the MDR cancer cells. Additionally, the application of UTMD further enhances the chemotherapeutic efficacy of the dual drug (G5-TPGS@y-CDs)-DOX complexes to treat cancer cells and a subcutaneous tumor model with MDR through the produced sonoporation effect. This can also be reflected through the enhanced tumor fluorescence imaging and be characterized to display enhanced intratumoral blood perfusion for the in vivo tumor therapy. With the versatile dendrimer nanotechnology, the developed CD/dendrimer nanohybrid platform may also be modified with targeting ligands to achieve specific targeting of a particular cancer type for improved cancer theranostics. Overall, the developed tumor MDR-overcoming nanomedicine with fluorescence imaging ability represents a new design through combination of CDs and dendrimers, and may be employed for US-enhanced theranostics of other tumor types.
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