“Horrendoplasty” – A case of total perineal destruction by agricultural implement
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INTRODUCTION

Posterior urethral injuries (PUI) typically occur in conjunction with multisystem trauma from traffic or industrial accidents and falls.[1] PUI occurs exclusively in pelvic fractures with disruption of the pelvic ring. Management options include immediate or deferred treatment.[2] Although primary endoscopic realignment may be attempted in very select situations, immediate suprapubic tube placement remains the standard of care. Definitive treatment consists of elective open posterior anastomotic urethroplasty through a perineal approach. The authors present a 53-year-old man who sustained total, massive perineal destruction resulting from work accident with an agricultural implement. Immediate suprapubic tube placement was performed followed by delayed elective transperineal anastomotic posterior urethroplasty. A major multidisciplinary approach was necessary in the management strategy, including orthopedic, general, plastic, vascular surgeries, and reconstructive urology teams. At a later stage, with the patient stabilized and recovered from major, life-threatening lesions dealt with by a multidisciplinary team, urethral reconstruction can be undertaken with ultimate good functional outcomes.

Abstract
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CASE REPORT

A 53-year-old man was taken to the Emergency Department, poly-traumatized by an agricultural implement, resulting in pelvic fracture, right leg fracture, left hip disarticulation, massive perineal soft tissue destruction, and bulbomembranous urethral injury [Figures 1 and 2]. On examination, he presented with blood at the meatus, inability to urinate, and a palpable full bladder. An immediate retrograde urethrogram was not performed due to hemodynamic instability associated with life-threatening injuries, and the patient was taken immediately to the operating room (OR) where he underwent exploratory laparotomy, hemostatic measures, protective colostomy, fixation of fractures, and suprapubic tube placement.

Once he recovered from the initial trauma, urological evaluation for urethral injury was initiated. Retrograde and antegrade urethrogram (through suprapubic tube) showed complete urethral obliteration at the level of bulbomembranous urethra [Figure 3]. Pelvic MRI showed bulbomembranous urethral disruption with both stumps separated by a 4-cm gap [Figure 4]. Three months after the initial trauma, the patient underwent transperineal posterior anastomotic urethroplasty with resection of extensive fibrotic tissue. Intraoperatively, the proximal urethral stump was encased in a significant amount of dense, hard, fibrotic tissue, making its identification and mobilization extremely difficult. Both urethral stumps faced abnormal, unusual directions, the proximal stump lying horizontally and facing the perineal wall alongside the anterior rectal wall, with extensive fibrosis between them, which made dissection and tissue resection a laborious task. The total bulbar urethra was mobilized and the two crural bodies were separated up to the base of the penis. After mobilizing both stumps and resecting all scar tissue, about 2 cm of urethral length were lost. Both ends of the urethra were then spatulated on opposite sides, six 5/0 absorbable full-thickness sutures were placed, and a tension-free anastomosis was performed, leaving a 16F silicone Foley urethral catheter in situ for

Figure 1: Operating room in the emergency department before agricultural implement disengage

Figure 2: Left hip disarticulation

Figure 3: Urethrogram showing complete urethral obliteration at the level of bulbomembranous urethra

Figure 4: Pelvic magnetic resonance imaging showing bulbomembranous urethral disruption with both stumps separated by a 4-cm gap
3 weeks. One month later, flexible urethroscopy showed recurrent stenosis with complete urethral obliteration at the level of the anastomosis [Figure 5]. The patient underwent excision of fibrosis with primary re-anastomosis, losing about 1 cm more of urethral tissue. A 14F silicone Foley urethral catheter was left for 3 weeks. Three months later, retrograde urethrogram showed a patent urethra [Figure 6], and uroflowmetry showed maximum flow rate of 21 ml/s. Ultimately, the patient had a normal voiding pattern with his urinary continence preserved, although erectile dysfunction was unavoidable.

DISCUSSION

We describe a 53-year-old male who sustained a catastrophic accident caused by an agricultural implement. This type of perineal injury is associated with a high level of mortality or permanent disability and present complex management issues. Identification and treatment of life-threatening injuries are critical and should precede initial perineal wound care. Fracture of the anterior pelvic ring or pubic diastasis is almost universally present when urethral disruption occurs. Because of hemodynamic instability and multiple fractures, our patient was taken to the OR immediately after a large-bore vascular access (central line), and airway control were established.

Due to the gravity of the clinical situation, radiographs, and other tests deemed superfluous should be limited or avoided until after hemorrhage is controlled and the patient has been adequately resuscitated. Once patients have survived the initial critical resuscitation and all life-threatening injuries have been addressed, attention should turn to the management of the perineal injuries.

At this stage, our patient was examined for evidence of anorectal and genitourinary injuries and a suprapubic catheter placement and a protective colostomy were instituted. Adequate debridement of necrotic tissue and distal rectal washout were performed as frequently as necessary to avoid fecal contamination and pelvic sepsis, which are known to be the most common source of late morbidity and mortality.

Posterior urethral reconstruction

In patients with PUI, there are two options for initial management: (1) early primary endoscopic realignment, and (2) placement of a suprapubic catheter with delayed urethroplasty of the inevitable stricture. We could not find any safe advantage or benefit of early primary urethral realignment for our patient due to the hemodynamic instability and the severity and magnitude of the multiple life-threatening injuries. In our opinion, early urethral realignment has no place in hemodynamically unstable patients with complex perineal injuries. Moreover, our patient sustained disarticulation of the left hip and contralateral femur fracture, making him an unsuitable candidate to be placed in the lithotomy position for early endoscopic realignment. However, in a more appropriate setting and adequately selected patients, some authors have reported good success rates surpassing 70%, with potential for improvement with more experience. Our patient was initially managed with a suprapubic cystostomy during open exploratory laparotomy for other concomitant pelvic injuries. It is generally agreed that the definitive urethral repair should be delayed until 3–6 months after the initial injury, thus allowing for tissue healing. As a consequence, an obliterator bulbomembranous urethral stricture of variable length is inevitable. However, most of these strictures are nearly always amenable to...
elective anastomotic urethroplasty. In our patient's first reconstructive attempt, identification and safe mobilization of the proximal urethral stump were extremely difficult due to the dense scar tissue involved resulting from significant areas of necrotic perineal tissues and a difficult plane of dissection between the abnormally orientated urethra and the anterior rectal wall.

The surgical repair of these posterior urethral defects has a rich history. In the early 1950s, Johanson was the first urethral surgeon to express his concerns with immediate intervention and realignment for pelvic fracture urethral injury, because of the high operative morbidity and mortality rates, thereby encouraging the use of initial suprapubic catheter placement with delayed urethroplasty.[8] Improved access to the proximal urethra using abdominal pubectomy (transpubic) was described in the 1970s by Turner-Warwick.[9] This approach was reserved to cases where the anastomosis could not be made perineally, or in cases of associated pelvic abscess, urorectal fistulae, all of which he considered formal indications for an abdominoperineal approach, facilitated by pubectomy.[9]

In 1985, Webster reported a four-step modified approach that avoided abdominal exposure and total pubectomy for long urethral defects, involving only a limited wedge inferior pubectomy via the perineum, this following other surgical steps that allowed for improved perineal exposure of the apex of the prostate for anastomosis and straightening of the bulbar urethra for a tensionless anastomosis, currently known as the “Webster's elaborated perineal approach.”[10] Despite this ability to now address even the longest posterior urethral defects, an abdominoperineal approach can still be necessary to address the intrapelvic complications, such as pelvic cavity and abscess and urorectal fistula. In our patient, we managed to achieve a patent posterior urethral anastomosis at a second attempt using urethral mobilization and corporal separation for approximately 5 cm in length. Because of the symphysis pubis diastasis, there was no need for inferior pubectomy to reduce distance and anastomotic tension.

In the setting of complex, vast destruction of the perineum with lower abdomen and lower limb orthopedic complications, we believe that the optimal approach to urethral reconstruction is early suprapubic cystostomy followed by delayed transperineal anastomotic posterior urethroplasty using the Webster’s elaborated perineal approach with high success rates. Redo posterior urethroplasty is associated with good functional outcomes. The urethral reconstructive surgeon should include a wide array of maneuvers and techniques in his armamentarium, particularly where injuries are more complex, and thus avoid excessive anastomotic tension or conversion to a more morbid transpubic approach.
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