Altered functional connectivity during evaluation of self-relevance in women with borderline personality disorder
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ABSTRACT

Self-relevant functional abnormalities and identity disorders constitute the core psychopathological components in borderline personality disorder (BPD). Evidence suggests that appraising the relevance of environmental information to the self may be altered in BPD. However, only a few studies have examined self-relevance (SR) in BPD, and the neural correlates of SR processing has not yet been investigated in this patient group. The current study sought to evaluate brain activation differences between female patients with BPD and healthy controls during SR processing. A task-based fMRI paradigm was applied to evaluate SR processing in 23 female patients with BPD and 23 matched healthy controls. Participants were presented with a set of short sentences and were instructed to rate the stimuli. The differences in fMRI signals between SR rating (task of interest) and valence rating (control task) were examined. During SR rating, participants showed elevated activations of the cortical midline structures (CMS), known to be involved in the processing of self-related stimuli. Furthermore, we observed an elevated activation of the supplementary motor area (SMA) and the regions belonging to the mirror neuron system (MNS). Using whole-brain, seed-based connectivity analysis on the task-based fMRI data, we studied connectivity of networks anchored to the main CMS regions. We found a discrepancy in the connectivity pattern between patients and controls regarding connectivity of the CMS regions with the basal ganglia-thalamus complex. These observations have two main implications: First, they confirm the involvement of the CMS in SR evaluations of our stimuli and add evidence about the involvement of an extended network including the MNS and the SMA in this task. Second, the functional connectivity profile observed in BPD provides evidence for an altered functional interplay between the CMS and the brain regions involved in salience detection and reward evaluation, including the basal ganglia and the thalamus.

1. Introduction

Borderline personality disorder (BPD) is a severe mental disorder with a prevalence of 2–5% (Lenzenweger et al., 2007; Torgersen et al., 2001), contributing significantly to the global burden of disease (Soeteman et al., 2008). Patients with BPD commonly suffer from instabilities in affect regulation, impulse control, self-image, and interpersonal relationships (American Psychiatric Association, 2013; Lieb et al., 2004). The fifth version of the “Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders” (DSM-5) acknowledges identity disorders and self-related functioning impairments to be a central difficulty in BPD (Bender and Skodol, 2007; Jørgensen, 2006). Therefore, alterations in self-related processes are considered to be important targets in designing and improving therapeutic approaches.

Evaluating the relevance of environmental and mental events to the self is an important part of self-related processing. A seminal study by Rogers and colleagues (1977) found a memory advantage for information encoded with reference to the self. Also, it is quite well established that information processing differs significantly across self-relevant and self-irrelevant contexts (Ertac, 2011), indicating a “self-reference effect” in the cognitive, affective and executive domains of brain functioning. Evaluations of self-relevance (SR) affect the perception of social cues (Lombardo et al., 2010) as well as emotion control and reappraisal (Neacsiu et al., 2015), which are likely to be impaired in BPD. In fact, an increased tendency to attribute events to themselves has been observed in patients with BPD (Moritz et al., 2011). This may be associated with pathological manifestations such as arousal (Bayer et al., 2017), impulsivity, affective instability and, most importantly, identity disorder.

Unfortunately, there is a paucity of studies that investigate how
patients with BPD perceive, evaluate, and respond to self-related information. In a previous study, we demonstrated a higher SR for negative sentences in female patients with BPD compared to healthy controls (HC). We also uncovered a positive correlation between the SR for negative sentences and the severity of BPD symptoms (Sarkheil et al., 2019). Apart from this, most investigations of self-related processing in BPD have focused largely on the evaluation of self-esteem, revealing low (Bungert et al., 2015; Lynam et al., 2008) or unstable (Hochschild Tolpin et al., 2004) self-esteem in this group of patients. According to the available empirical evidence, patients with BPD are highly self-critical (Kopala-Sibley et al., 2012), harboring a pejorative view of themselves (Lieb et al., 2004; Rüsch et al., 2007) characterized by negative rather than positive traits (Beeney et al., 2016; Vater et al., 2015). The construct of self-esteem is very complex (Winter et al., 2017) and is likely to be reactive to self-relevant cues (Winter et al., 2015b) as well as negative emotions with respect to the self (Greenier et al., 1999). In patients with BPD, a negative evaluation bias toward self-referential information is thought to enhance and sustain the disapproving view of themselves (Winter et al., 2015a). The processing of SR on the whole seems to be a key factor in the formation of self-related disorders.

The brain networks responsible for appraising self-relevant information from the environment have already been studied using neuroimaging techniques in the healthy population. The cortical midline structures (CMS) have been shown to be involved in the processing of self-relevant stimuli (Kedia et al., 2019; Murray et al., 2015; Northoff et al., 2006; Philipp et al., 2012; Schmitz and Johnson, 2007; van der Meer et al., 2010; Wagner et al., 2012). The CMS involve the frontal and parietal parts of the default mode network (DMN) and have strong and reciprocal links to structures in the midbrain, the brain stem, and the limbic system, including the hippocampus, the amygdala, and the insula (Northoff and Bermpohl, 2004). It has been suggested that self-relevant stimuli are represented in the orbital part of the medial prefrontal cortex (MPFC), monitored in the anterior cingulate cortex (ACC), evaluated in the dorsal part of the MPFC, and integrated in the posterior cingulate cortex (PCC) (Northoff and Bermpohl, 2004). Through this loop, the CMS allow for bottom-up and top-down modulation between sensory, self-relevant and higher-order processing (Northoff et al., 2006). Additionally, a second neural system, the so-called “Mirror Neuron System” (MNS), has been shown to be associated with self-related processing (Rizzolatti and Craighero, 2004; Uddin et al., 2007). The MNS comprises the fronto-parietal regions, including the inferior frontal gyrus (IFG), the precuneus, the supramarginal gyrus (SMG), the inferior parietal lobule (IPL), the anterior insula and the anterior mesial frontal cortex (Rizzolatti and Craighero, 2004). The CMS and MNS are linked in the frontal and parietal regions, with the CMS being involved in social and psychological aspects of the self (Qin and Northoff, 2011) while the MNS, strongly associated with imitative behavior (Iacoboni, 2005), performs a physical self-other discrimination (Uddin et al., 2007).

Neural correlates of altered SR involving the CMS and the MNS have been reported in psychiatric diseases such as schizophrenia, autism spectrum disorder and unipolar depression (Zhao et al., 2013). Although evidence suggests that individuals with BPD exhibit a deviant appraisal of SR (Sarkheil et al., 2019; Sauer et al., 2014; Winter et al., 2015a), neural correlates of SR have yet to be investigated in this group of patients. Given the importance of self-related disturbances in the BPD psychopathology, neuroimaging studies that focus on the processing of SR are particularly well-suited for facilitating symptom stratification measures and treatment development.

In the current study, we aimed to evaluate the differences in SR judgment-related neural activation between patients with BPD and HC. We used short affective sentences and an SR evaluation task to induce various degrees of SR processing, while measuring the event-related fMRI activation in participants. Based on the available knowledge about the role of CMS in appraising the self-relevant content of the environment, we investigated the following hypotheses: 1) BPD and HC differ in terms of CMS activation, 2) the affective content of the stimuli affects SR-related activation in the CMS, and 3) BPD and HC exhibit differential connectivity patterns during SR.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Participants

Twenty-three female patients with BPD (mean age: 24.7 years ± 6.6 [range = 18–42]), who met the diagnostic criteria for BPD according to the DSM-5 (American Psychiatric Association, 2013), as assessed by a psychiatrist using the German version of the structured clinical interview for DSM-5, Personality Disorder Version (SCID-5-PD) (First et al., 2016a), and twenty-three matched HC (mean age: 24.7 years ± 2.9 [range = 20–33]) participated in the present study. To account for gender-specific clinical presentation of BPD (Sansone and Sansone, 2011), only female subjects were included. Patients were recruited from the inpatient psychiatric unit at the University Hospital RWTH Aachen. Healthy volunteers were recruited through public advertisements and matched with respect to age. The exclusion criteria for all participants included current pregnancy, MRI contraindications, acute psychotic symptoms, severe head trauma, current substance use disorder, or a history of alcohol and substance abuse within the past 6 weeks. Patients with benzodiazepine medication were also excluded. None of the HC subjects met the criteria for a psychiatric or neurological disease, neither currently nor historically. All participants were native German-speakers. Of the 23 patients, 18 presented with a comorbid psychiatric diagnosis, assessed with the SCID-5, Clinical Version (SCID-5-CV) (First et al., 2016b), and 16 were under psychotropic medication (for detailed diagnosis and medication list see Table 1). The study was conducted at the University Hospital RWTH Aachen, Germany. The research protocol was approved by the local ethics committee (The Independent Ethics Committee, medical faculty, RWTH Aachen University (EKO48-16)). Human research in this study was conducted according to the principles expressed in the Declaration of Helsinki. All participants gave written informed consent to participate in the study and received financial compensation.

Table 1

Patients characteristic: Clinical data.

| Medication (n), number of patients (%) | BPD (N = 23) |
|--------------------------------------|-------------|
| Medication type                      | total: 16 (69.5%) |
| 4 (17.4%) SSNRI                      | total: 16 (69.5%) |
| 3 (13.0%) SSNRI + AAP                | 4 (17.4%) SSNRI |
| 3 (13.0%) NDRI                       | 1 (4.3%) SSNRI |
| 1 (4.3%) AAP                         | 1 (4.3%) AAP |
| 1 (4.3%) SSRI + AAP                  | 1 (4.3%) SSRI + AAP |
| 1 (4.3%) AAP + ATC                   | 1 (4.3%) AAP + ATC |
| 1 (4.3%) AAP + PPI                   | 1 (4.3%) SSRI + AAP + NDRI |
| 1 (4.3%) SSRI                        | total: 18 (78.3%) |
| Comorbidities (n), number of patients (%) | 9 (39.1%) MDD |
| Comorbidity type                     | 6 (26.1%) eating disorder |
|                                     | 2 (8.7%) MDD + eating disorder |
|                                     | 1 (4.3%) MDD + eating disorder + PTSD |

Abbreviations: AAP, atypical antipsychotics (quetiapine/ariprazole/pi-pamperone); ATC, anticonvulsant (topiramate); NDRI, noradrenaline-dopamine-reuptake-inhibitor (bupropion); PPI, proton-pump inhibitor (pantoprazole); SSNRI, selective serotonin-noradrenaline-reuptake-inhibitor (venlafaxine/du-loxetine); SSRI, selective serotonin reuptake inhibitor (fluoxetine/sertraline); MDD, major depressive disorder; PTSD, posttraumatic stress disorder; BPD, borderline personality disorder.
2.2. Experimental assessments

2.2.1. Questionnaires

One day prior to the MRI measurement, all patients completed the BSL-95 (Borderline Symptom List) (Bohus et al., 2001) which comprises 95 items rated on a 5-point Likert scale (0 = not at all, 4 = very strong), to help assess borderline symptom severity. The items can be divided into the following subscales: Self-perception, affect regulation, auto-aggression, dysthymia, social isolation, intrusions and hostility. Additionally, all participants completed the German version (Kühner et al., 2007) of the BDI-II (Beck Depression Inventory-II) (Beck et al., 1996) to help measure depressive symptoms.

2.2.2. Task

To assess the perceived SR of information, a set of 56 sentences with third-person pronouns was created as stimuli. Twenty-eight negative and 28 positive terms from the German version (Schmidtke et al., 2014) of “Affective Norms for English Words (ANEW)“ (Bradley and Lang, 1999) were selected. The selection was based on affective valence categories (positive and negative) and were balanced across other dimensions such as arousal and dominance. The selected set of words was used to build 56 short sentences (28 for each condition) containing a third-person female pronoun (she, her). The sentences had a simple structure and consisted of 4–7 words. A sample of the sentences both in the German original and in English translation is presented in the Supplementary Material (Table S 1).

During the fMRI measurements (4 sessions, 28 trials each), the participants viewed the sentences and were asked to rate them based on either SR (“How strong is the feeling that the sentence is about you?”) or affective valence (“How do you evaluate the valence of the sentence?”). Each of the 56 sentences was presented twice for both SR rating and affective valence rating. Every trial started with a 2-second presentation of a question, which served as a cue for the subsequent rating and was followed by the display of a sentence for 6 seconds. Afterwards, the question was presented again for 4 seconds with the participants being asked to choose their response on a 4-point Likert scale (SR rating: 1 = low SR, 4 = high SR; valence rating: 1 = highly negative, 4 = highly positive) by pressing a button. At the end of each trial, a blank screen appeared for 3 seconds before the start of the next trial.

The fMRI task-related blood oxygen level-dependent (BOLD) signal analysis based on General Linear Models (GLM) in SPM12. For each condition (SR rating of positive sentences and valence rating of negative sentences), regressors in the de-noising step. Additionally, the general task effect has been regressed out based on confounds specified for each condition (SR rating of positive sentences, SR rating of negative sentences, valence rating of positive sentences and valence rating of negative sentences). After regression, hand-pass filtering was applied between 0.008 and 0.09 Hz.

2.2.3. MRI imaging

Brain imaging was performed on a 3.0 Tesla Siemens Prisma fit MRI scanner (Magnetom, Siemens Medical Systems, Erlangen, Germany) with a 32-channel head coil. Functional T2*-weighted images of the BOLD contrast were acquired with an echo planar imaging (EPI) sequence (repetition time (TR) = 2000 ms, echo time (TE) = 28 ms, flip angle = 77°, voxel size 3 × 3 mm, matrix size = 64 × 64 × 64, 34 transverse slices (interleaved acquisition) with white-matter coverage, slice thickness = 3 mm, 0.75 mm gap). Each experimental run comprised 258 volumes. T1-weighted anatomical images were acquired with a Magnetization Prepared Rapid Acquisition Gradient Echo (MPRAGE) sequence (TR = 2000 ms, TE = 30.3 ms, inversion time TI = 900 ms, flip angle = 9°, voxel size 1 × 1 mm, 176 sagittal slices, 1 mm slice thickness, FOV = 256 × 256 mm², GRAPPA factor 2).

2.3. Data analysis

2.3.1. Preprocessing

Data preprocessing for the whole-brain and region of interest (ROI) analyses was conducted with the SPM12 software (Wellcome Center for Human Neuroimaging, London, UK) implemented in MATLAB R2017b (The MathWorks, Inc., Natick, MA, USA). The preprocessing included realignment, normalization to Montreal Neurological Institute (MRI) template space and spatial smoothing with an 8-mm full-width at half-maximum (FWHM) Gaussian kernel. The first five images were excluded for T1 equilibration. The data were high-pass filtered (1/128 Hz cutoff) to remove low-frequency signal drifts.

The preprocessing for connectivity analysis was conducted using the MATLAB-based functional connectivity toolbox CONN v.18.2 (Whitfield-Gabrieli and Nieto-Castanon, 2012) and included realignment, un warp, slice-time correction, outlier detection (ART-based identification of outlier scans for scrubbing), segmentation to gray matter (GM), white matter (WM) and cerebrospinal fluid (CSF), normalization to MNI template space and spatial smoothing with an 8-mm FWHM Gaussian kernel. The de-noising step in the CONN toolbox uses linear regression to identify and remove confounding effects including motion and physiological artifacts. Individual time courses from WM and CSF (5 dimensions each) as well as global signal intensity fluctuations and 12 motion parameters (rigid body transformations and their first-order derivatives) were extracted and used as nuisance regressors in the de-noising step. Additionally, the general task effect has been regressed out based on confounds specified for each condition (SR rating of positive sentences, SR rating of negative sentences, valence rating of positive sentences and valence rating of negative sentences). After regression, hand-pass filtering was applied between 0.008 and 0.09 Hz.

2.3.2. Whole-brain first- and second-level analysis

The task-related blood oxygen level-dependent (BOLD) signal changes at the subject level were estimated using whole-brain first-level analysis based on General Linear Models (GLM) in SPM12. For each session, block design analysis with the following parameters: 28 trials each session, total 112 trials per subject, 128 time points per trial, 1mm slice thickness, FOV = 256 × 256 mm², GRAPPA factor 2).

Fig. 1. Trial design. Twenty-eight trials were presented in each session. A trial started with a question displayed for 2 seconds, followed by a sentence presented for 6 seconds. Subsequently, the question was presented again for 4 seconds with the participants being asked to choose their response by pressing a button. Finally, a blank screen was presented for 3 seconds.
subject, the following conditions of interest were defined: Positive sentences with SR rating task, positive sentences with valence rating task, negative sentences with SR rating task and negative sentences with valence rating task. To reduce motion artifacts, head movement parameters were included in the model. The first-level contrasts used for group analysis were: [positive sentences with SR rating task > baseline], [positive sentences with valence rating task > baseline], [negative sentences with SR rating task > baseline] and [negative sentences with valence rating task > baseline]. For the second-level analysis, beta values representing baseline-corrected responses for group analysis were: [positive sentences with SR rating task > baseline], [negative sentences with SR rating task > baseline] and [negative sentences with valence rating task > baseline].

2.3.3. ROI analysis

Based on the a priori hypotheses, a ROI analysis was performed including the following SR-related brain areas: The ACC, the PCC and the MPFC. All masks were generated based on the automated anatomical labeling (AAL) atlas (Tzourio-Mazoyer et al., 2002) included in the WFU PickAtlas toolbox v.3.0 (Maldjian et al., 2003) in SPM12. The MPFC mask was created by combining the bilateral medial orbital frontal regions, the rectus regions and the superior medial frontal regions (Yoon et al., 2018). Masks for the cingulate regions were generated by combining the left and right hemisphere masks of the ACC and the PCC, respectively. The ROI analysis was performed in SPM12 using the small volume correction. Second-level whole-brain results of the previous analysis were used while restricting to the predefined ROIs only. A voxel-level family-wise error correction \( p_{\text{FWE}} < .05 \) was applied for the group analysis.

2.3.4. Connectivity analysis

Seed-based functional connectivity analysis was performed using the functional connectivity toolbox CONN v.18.a (Whitfield-Gabrieli and Nieto-Castanon, 2012) with the masks used for the ROI analysis serving as the seed regions. The connectivity was calculated based on the time series of each seed region and correlations with the time series of all other voxels in the brain. The resulting correlations were Fisher’s z-normalized. On the group level, a 2x2 ANOVA investigated the interaction effects in seed-based connectivity between group (BPD, HC) and task (SR rating, valence rating). The results of the 2 x 2 mixed ANOVA interactions [BPD > HC; SR rating > valence rating] were corrected for multiple testing using a cluster-level false discovery rate correction \( p_{\text{FDR}} < .05 \) combined with a voxel-level threshold of \( p < .01 \). To investigate the relationship between symptom severity and functional connectivity, correlations of BSL-95 scores and connectivity estimates were performed in the patient group.

3. Results

3.1. Demographics

Patients with BPD and HC did not differ significantly in regard to age \((t(44) = -0.028, p = .978)\). The BDI-II scores in the BPD group (mean ± SD: 27.17 ± 14.45) were significantly higher compared to the HC group (3.74 ± 3.26; \( t(44) = 7.59, p < .001 \)) with the patients having a mean BSL-95 score of 1.82 ± 0.77. Sixteen out of 23 patients were medicated and 18 had at least one comorbid disorder (for detailed information see Table 1).

3.2. Whole-brain analysis

The contrast [SR rating task > valence rating task] in the GLM revealed increased activation in a widespread network including the ACC, the PCC, the MPFC, the middle frontal gyrus (MFG), the supplementary motor area (SMA), the precuneus, the angular gyrus, the middle temporal gyrus (MTG), the middle cingulate gyrus (MCG), the posterior orbital gyrus, the left orbitofrontal cortex (OFC) and the left cerebellum, (Fig. 2, Table 2). The contrast [valence rating task > SR rating task] showed an increased activation in the bilateral central operculum, the bilateral parietal operculum, the left postcentral gyrus, the left supramarginal gyrus, and the bilateral superior parietal lobule (SPL) (Table 2). The GLM analysis did not show any effect of group, nor any interaction between group and task or valence on the whole-brain level.

3.3. ROI analysis

Based on our hypothesis, a small volume correction for three a priori ROIs (the ACC, the PCC and the MPFC) was applied on whole-brain results. The positive effect of SR task [SR rating > valence rating] reported in whole-brain results was also found in the ROIs (Table 3). The contrast [valence rating task > SR rating task] did not show significant clusters of activation. The ROI analysis did not reveal any effect of group or valence nor any interaction between group and task or valence within the predefined ROIs.
Table 2  
Clusters showing significant activation for the contrasts [SR rating > valence rating] and [valence rating > SR rating] in the GLM in both groups on whole-brain level.

| Regions | Size (kE) | T value | MNI coordinates (x, y, z) |
|---------|-----------|---------|--------------------------|
| [SR rating > valence rating] | | | |
| ACC, MPFC/MFG, SMA L, R | 11272 | 10.26 | -36 -40 +06 |
| ACC, MPFC/MFG, SMA L, R | 11272 | 10.26 | -36 -40 +06 |
| ang. G. L | 1996 | 10.52 | -56 -28 +28 |
| ant. insula L, post. orb. G. L | 2107 | 8.95 | -30 -16 -12 |
| ant. insula L, post. orb. G. L | 2107 | 8.95 | -30 -16 -12 |
| angular G. R | 897 | 9.96 | 58 -56 +36 |
| MFG | 1996 | 10.52 | -56 -28 +28 |
| MTG L | 136 | 6.58 | -40 -60 -30 |
| MTG R | 178 | 5.59 | 60 -24 -08 |

| [valence rating > SR rating] | | | |
| central operculum L | 196 | 6.59 | -40 +02 +14 |
| central operculum L | 196 | 6.59 | -40 +02 +14 |
| central operculum R | 1910 | 6.27 | -48 -26 +40 |
| inferior temporal G. L | 170 | 6.22 | -42 -52 -06 |
| parietal operculum R | 165 | 5.86 | 24 -46 +74 |
| parietal operculum L | 55 | 5.49 | 48 -28 +26 |
| postcentral G. L | 136 | 5.41 | -26 -64 +52 |
| central operculum R | 101 | 5.33 | 42 -02 +14 |
| central operculum L | 127 | 5.27 | -28 -62 -38 |

Abbreviations: ACC, anterior cingulate cortex; MCG, middle cingulate gyrus; MFG, middle frontal gyrus; MPFC, medial prefrontal cortex; MTG, middle temporal gyrus; OFC, orbitofrontal Cortex; PCC, posterior cingulate cortex; SMA, supplementary motor area; SPL, superior parietal lobule. Voxel-level pFW < .05.

Table 3  
Increased brain activities after small volume correction analysis in self-relevance rating task as compared to affective valence rating.

| Brain region | Size (kE) | T value | MNI coordinates (x, y, z) |
|-------------|-----------|---------|--------------------------|
| [SR rating > valence rating] | | | |
| ACC | 2214 | 10.26 | -36 -40 +06 |
| ACC | 2214 | 10.26 | -36 -40 +06 |
| MPFC | 3190 | 9.73 | 00 +42 +22 |
| PCC | 413 | 12.70 | -50 -30 +36 |

Abbreviations: ACC, anterior cingulate cortex; MPFC, medial prefrontal cortex; PCC, posterior cingulate cortex; Voxel-level pFW < .05.

3.4. Connectivity analysis

The functional coupling of the ACC, the PCC and the MPFC was analyzed by means of a seed-based connectivity analysis. The analysis revealed patterns of significantly reduced and elevated connectivity across conditions. An increased connectivity is represented by higher correlations between the time series of other voxels in the brain. Compared to the HC, the patients with BPD demonstrated reduced functional connectivity between the ACC seed and the bilateral basal ganglia, the right amygdala, the right hippocampus, and the left SPL. For the same contrast, the BPD group, relative to the HC, also exhibited reduced functional connectivity between the PCC seed and the bilateral basal ganglia, the bilateral thalamus, and the right lateral occipital gyrus (LOG). The seed-based connectivity analysis for the MPFC seed revealed reduced functional connectivity, for patients with BPD relative to HC, with the bilateral LOG, the bilateral SPL, the right thalamus, the right angular gyrus and the left postcentral gyrus, and enhanced functional connectivity with the left MFG, the superior frontal gyrus (SFG) and the frontal pole. Fig. 3 shows patterns of reduced connectivity for the contrast [BPD > HC; SR rating > valence rating]. The comparison of SR rating of negative and positive sentences in the patient group [BPD; SR rating of negative sentences > SR rating of positive sentences] revealed decreased functional connectivity between the PCC and the bilateral LOG in SR ratings of negative content. There were no significant differences in SR-related connectivity of the ACC and the MPFC for negative vs. positive sentences in the patient group. Table 4 shows the coordinates of cluster regions demonstrating reduced and enhanced functional connectivity for the ACC, the PCC and the MPFC seeds for the contrasts [BPD > HC; SR rating > valence rating] and [BPD; SR rating of negative sentences > SR rating of positive sentences]. The correlation analysis of connectivity estimates with symptom severity (BSL-95) did not reveal a relationship between symptom severity and the functional connectivity strength of any of the brain regions in which between-group differences were found in the patient group.

4. Discussion

We investigated the fMRI activation in our subjects in search of the neural response that might underlie the altered sense of SR in BPD. To that end, we implemented an experimental paradigm, relying on the predictions of SR as opposed to emotional valence elicited by a set of statements. In line with our hypothesis, the regions belonging to the CMS were found to be particularly involved in the SR judgement task, confirming the applicability of our paradigm. Between-group comparisons of the ROI-focused data revealed an altered pattern of connectivity between the CMS regions and the basal ganglia-thalamus complex. In the patient group, the connectivity between the PCC and the bilateral LOG was reduced during the SR evaluations of negative sentences as compared to positive sentences.

The results of the task-based whole-brain fMRI analyses concerning SR indicated the involvement of the midline structures of the DMN (ACC, PCC and MPFC), known as the CMS (Northoff and Bermpohl, 2004; Qin et al., 2013), proving the involvement of an extended cortical network (the OFC, the middle temporal gyrus, the angular gyrus and the precuneus) associated with the MNS. The overlap of the midline regions with the DMN (Gusnard et al., 2001) is thought to reflect a link between self-related processing and intrinsic brain activity (Qin et al., 2013; Qin and Northoff, 2011). The role of the CMS in the evaluation of SR (Araujo et al., 2013; Northoff et al., 2006; Philipp et al., 2012; Schmitz and Johnson, 2007; van der Meer et al., 2010; Wagner et al., 2012) and its interaction with the MNS have been outlined in previous investigations (Molnar-Szakacs and Uddin, 2013; Qin and Northoff, 2011), as has been the involvement of MNS in self-other differentiations (Rizzolatti and Craighero, 2004). Our data, which are based on the evaluation of short sentences, contribute to the body of research with respect to the supramodal representation of SR in the brain (Schmitz and Johnson, 2007).

Remarkably, our findings indicate the involvement of the SMA in SR processing. Previous studies showing SMA activation during self-initiated movements (Jenkins et al., 2000) and self-agency (Seghezzi et al., 2019) suggest a functional role of this region in self-related processing. Our data corroborate those reports regarding SMA involvement in self-referential processing without overt motor activity (Northoff et al., 2006). The SMA activation in association with SR is compatible with the widely acknowledged cognitive role of the SMA in the planning and control of voluntary actions as a part of the motor system (Svoboda and Li, 2018).

The ability to properly judge the SR of the stimuli underlies rapid and vigilant reactions and is essential for adaptive behavior (Sui and Humphreys, 2015). Delineating the neural correlates of SR evaluation of short sentences, contribute to the body of research with respect to the supramodal representation of SR in the brain (Schmitz and Johnson, 2007).
Fig. 3. Results of the seed-based connectivity analysis. Images show functional connectivity for the seeds ACC, PCC and MPFC for the contrast [BPD > HC; SR rating > valence rating]. Blue-white color indicates clusters demonstrating reduced connectivity. Cluster-level $p_{FDR} < .05$; voxel-level $p < .01$. Seeds: ACC, anterior cingulate cortex; PCC, posterior cingulate cortex; MPFC, medial prefrontal cortex. BPD, borderline personality disorder, HC, healthy controls. (For interpretation of the references to color in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)

Table 4
Seed-based connectivity analysis. Brain regions showing significant changes in functional connectivity with ROI seeds for the contrasts [BPD > HC; SR rating > valence rating] and [BPD; SR rating of negative sentences > SR rating of positive sentences].

| Seed | Connectivity | Cluster Regions | Size ($k_E$) | T value | MNI coordinates (x, y, z) |
|------|--------------|-----------------|--------------|---------|---------------------------|
| **[BPD > HC; SR rating > valence rating]** | | | | | |
| ACC  | lower        | Basal ganglia L | 447          | −5.53   | −12 −02 −04               |
|      |              | Hippocampus R, amygdala R, basal ganglia R | 305 | −4.67 | +24 −22 −10 |
|      |              | SPL L           | 301          | −3.63   | −18 −54 +50               |
| PCC  | lower        | LOG R           | 594          | −4.95   | +28 −56 +28               |
|      |              | Thalamus L, R, basal ganglia L, R | 963 | −4.62 | −14 −14 +14 |
| MPFC | higher       | MFG L, SFG L, frontal pole L | 665 | 4.43 | −36 +26 +46 |
|      | lower        | LOG R, SPL R, angular G. R | 1205 | −4.53 | +26 −54 +30 |
|      |              | Thalamus R      | 296          | −4.30   | +06 −14 +20               |
|      |              | SPL L, postcentral G. L, LOG L | 792 | −3.73 | −16 −56 +50 |
| **[BPD; SR rating of negative sentences > SR rating of positive sentences]** | | | | | |
| ACC  | lower        | LOG L           | 530          | −4.92   | −22 −86 +04               |
|      |              | LOG R           | 726          | −3.76   | +34 −86 +00               |

Abbreviations: Seeds: ACC, anterior cingulate cortex; MPFC, medial prefrontal cortex; PCC, posterior cingulate cortex. Correlated regions: LOG, lateral occipital gyrus; MFG, middle frontal gyrus; SFG, superior frontal gyrus; SPL, superior parietal lobule. BPD, borderline personality disorder; HC, healthy controls. Cluster-level $p_{FDR} < .05$; voxel-level $p < .01$. 
altered. To this end, we adopted an ROI approach as the next exploratory step. The pre-defined ROIs corresponding to the ACC, the PCC and the MPFC as key regions of the CMS showed higher activation during the SR task. That the CMS contain a representation of SR was anticipated and confirmed by the independent whole-brain results. No significant between-group differences were found in the activation levels of these regions. Our results suggest that the activation of a network of regions concerning SR task, which is confirmed by literature, might be comparable between patients with BPD and HC. However, including a larger sample of patients and choosing sentences more individually adopted might reveal more information about the deviant activation patterns. Furthermore, the CMS regions are components of large-scale neural systems underlying self-related processing, which may not be delineated by the simple activation maps. Thus, functional connectivity analyses can potentially reveal additional information about how the components of these neural systems differ in their functional coupling during SR judgements.

Indeed, seed-based functional connectivity analysis revealed differences in functional coupling during SR judgements between the BPD and control groups. The connectivity of the CMS regions with the basal ganglia and the thalamus was found to be consistently reduced in the BPD group. Thus, the results of our task-based connectivity analysis highlight the association of the basal ganglia-thalamus complex with the self-system. Altered functioning of the basal ganglia in salience detection (Peters et al., 2016), reward evaluation (Haber, 2011), forecasting the outcome of actions (Seger, 2008) and action selection (Friend and Kravitz, 2014) may affect the evaluation of SR. Disturbed thalamus functioning may result in abnormal sensory gating and misinterpretation of the sensory inputs contributing to alterations of cognitive processing (McCormick and Bal, 1994). Based on our results the connectivity between the MPFC and the PCC of the DMN and the thalamus (salience network) was disturbed, which may reflect a dysregulation within the cortico-thalamic loops. Our findings on reduced interaction between the core regions of the default-mode and the salience networks could indicate limited flexibility in switching between networks. Disturbed inter-network connectivity between the default-mode and the salience network was also reported in a resting-state fMRI study in patients with BPD (Doll et al., 2013). Reduced functional connectivity between the DMN and occipital areas may be associated with an inflexible integration of sensory stimuli into self-referential processing in patients with BPD (Wolf et al., 2011). A disturbed SR for negative sentences provide additional evidence about SR is affected by unstable sense of self-esteem, culminating in self-destructive behavior and self-harm. The characterization of neural deviations related to SR processing may help identify the neural endophenotypes for self-related symptoms in BPD, facilitating the development of relevant diagnostic and treatment strategies.

5. Conclusion

Our study has identified a number of regions that appear to be involved in the evaluation of SR, adding to the existing evidence of a central brain system being attuned to decisions with respect to SR. The connectivity of these cortical brain areas with the subcortical reward-, salience- and emotion-related (e.g., the basal ganglia, the hippocampus and the amygdala) structures and the thalamus is compromised in patients with BPD. The altered functional connectivity likely indicates a neural correlate for the disturbed sense of self, which is marked by an unstable sense of self-esteem, culminating in self-destructive behavior and self-harm. The characterization of neural deviations related to SR processing may help identify the neural endophenotypes for self-related symptoms in BPD, facilitating the development of relevant diagnostic and treatment strategies.
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