Rational first integrals of geodesic equations and generalised hidden symmetries
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Abstract
We discuss novel generalisations of Killing tensors, which are introduced by considering rational first integrals of geodesic equations. We introduce the notion of inconstructible generalised Killing tensors, which cannot be constructed from ordinary Killing tensors. Moreover, we introduce inconstructible rational first integrals, which are constructed from inconstructible generalised Killing tensors, and provide a method for checking the inconstructibility of a rational first integral. Using the method, we show that the rational first integral of the Collinson–O’Donnell solution is not inconstructible. We also provide several examples of metrics admitting an inconstructible rational first integral in two and four-dimensions, by using the Maciejewski–Przybylska system. Furthermore, we attempt to generalise other hidden symmetries such as Killing–Yano tensors.
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1. Introduction

In general relativity, hidden symmetries of spacetime such as Killing tensors [1] and Killing–Yano tensors [3–6] have helped us in understanding various phenomena in a strong gravitational field. An important example is the Kerr spacetime, which describes an isolated stationary rotating black hole in a vacuum. In the Kerr spacetime, the geodesic equations can be solved by separation of variables due to the presence of a Killing tensor [8]. It is also

1 Author to whom any correspondence should be addressed.
known that the Klein–Gordon and Dirac equations can be solved by separation of variables due to the presence of a Killing–Yano tensor \([9, 10]\). Moreover, if a four-dimensional spacetime possesses a (nondegenerate rank-2) Killing–Yano tensor, the canonical form for metrics is provided in the Carter–Plebanski form \([11]\) and it is shown that the Kerr spacetime with a NUT parameter is the only vacuum solution of the Einstein equations with such a hidden symmetry \([8, 12]\). In recent years, hidden symmetries of higher-dimensional black hole spacetimes have been uncovered (see, e.g., \([13, 14]\) for reviews). In mathematics, (conformal) Killing tensors \([2]\) and (conformal) Killing–Yano tensors \([7]\) have been studied from the modern geometric point of view.

The purpose of this paper is to discuss novel generalisations of Killing tensors, as well as Killing–Yano tensors, to stretch the concept of hidden symmetries of spacetime. Various generalisations have been proposed in the past. A remarkable one is the generalised (conformal) Killing–Yano tensors introduced by Wu \([22]\) and Kubizňák, Kunduri and Yasui \([23]\). The authors replaced the Levi-Civita connection in the Killing–Yano equation by connections with totally skew-symmetric torsion\(^2\). Recently, the generalised (conformal) Killing–Yano tensors have been studied well in the context of string theories \([24–29]\). On the other hand, such a generalisation does not affect the Killing equation. Killing equations defined by connections with a totally skew-symmetric torsion are, if the connections satisfy the metric condition, completely equivalent to the ordinary Killing equation with the Levi-Civita connection.

To generalise Killing tensors, we consider certain connections which are torsion-free but do not satisfy the metric condition. We replace the Levi-Civita connection in the Killing equation by these connections. Physically, this generalisation is justified by considering rational first integrals of geodesic equations. It is known that ordinary Killing tensors are introduced by considering polynomial first integrals of geodesic equations. The condition that a polynomial function is a first integral leads us to the Killing equation. In analogy with this, when we consider the condition that a rational function is a first integral, we are naturally led to introduce the generalised Killing equation (see section 2 for details).

In this paper, we shall restrict our analysis to the geodesic equations on a space or spacetime \((M, g_{\mu\nu})\). The Hamiltonian is given by

\[
H = \frac{1}{2} g^{\mu\nu} p_\mu p_\nu, \tag{1}
\]

where \(g^{\mu\nu}\) is the inverse of \(g_{\mu\nu}\). We will use \(x^\mu\) and \(p_\mu\) as canonical coordinates and momenta of a particle on \(M\), respectively. Geometrically, \((x^\mu, p_\mu)\) may be considered as local coordinates on \(T^* M\). Following Kozlov’s notation \([20]\), we consider a rational first integral \(F\), which has the form

\[
F = \frac{P}{Q}, \tag{2}
\]

where \(P\) and \(Q\) are nonzero polynomials of degree \(r\) and \(s\) in \(p_\mu\), i.e., \(F\) is rational in \(p_\mu\). We assume that \(r \geq s\), which can be done without loss of generality because, if \(F\) is a first integral, \(F^{-1}\) is also a first integral. We also assume that \(P\) and \(Q\) are relatively prime in the sense that as polynomials in \(p_\mu\), they have no common root. Moreover, a rational first integral is said to be irreducible if the degrees of \(P\) and \(Q\) cannot be reduced by using the Hamiltonian

\(^2\) It should be remarked that the idea of considering connections with a totally skew-symmetric torsion was already proposed by Strominger \([21]\) in the context of string theories, where such a torsion is identified with the three-form flux living in the theories.
or other first integrals. For example, if $p_i/p_i$ is a first integral, $(p_i + H p_i)/p_i$ and $(p_i^2 + p_i^2)/p_i p_i$ are also first integrals but they are not irreducible.

Besides, we introduce the notion of *inconstructible* rational first integrals, which has been little discussed in previous works. It is obvious that if $P$ and $Q$ are first integrals, $F$ is a first integral. Still obvious is that if there exists a function on $M$, $\psi = \psi(x^a)$, such that $\bar{P} = \psi P$ and $\bar{Q} = \psi Q$ are first integrals, $F = \bar{Q}/\bar{P}$ is a first integral. This suggests that the rational first integrals which can be constructed from two polynomial first integrals are not so meaningful. Hence, we distinguish the ones from the others which cannot be constructed from two first integrals. Such rational first integrals are said to be inconstructible. Although there is a possibility that $\psi$ is a function on $T^* M$, $\psi = \psi(x^a, p_a)$, we do not think about such a case in this paper.

In mathematical physics, the study of rational first integrals was already initiated by Darboux [15]. Related to it, a lot of works have been conducted. Yet, many of them are about autonomous systems and their significance in general relativity is unclear. A striking example in general relativity is the Collinson–O’Donnell solution [18], which is a solution of the vacuum Einstein equations admitting a rational first integral of the geodesic equations. However, as we will show in section 3, the rational first integral is not inconstructible, so that the Collinson–O’Donnell solution is not a nontrivial example in that sense. Hence, it is another purpose of this paper to obtain nontrivial examples for solutions in general relativity admitting an inconstructible rational first integral.

This paper is organised as follows: in the next section, we discuss the conditions that $F$ is a first integral of geodesic equations and show that they naturally introduce a generalisation of Killing tensors. For Killing vectors, this was introduced by Collinson [16]. After introducing the notion of inconstructible generalised Killing tensors, we provide a method for checking whether a generalised Killing tensor is inconstructible. We also show that the defining equation of the generalised Killing tensors can be written in the same form as ordinary Killing tensors, where the Levi-Civita connection is replaced by certain connections. Furthermore, we provide the integrability conditions for generalised Killing vectors in terms of the present connections. In section 3, by using the method we provided in section 2, we investigate whether the rational first integral of the Collinson–O’Donnell solution is inconstructible. In section 4, we construct several metrics admitting an inconstructible rational first integral in two and four-dimensions, by using the Maciejewski–Przybylska system [19]. At the same time, we investigate their geometric properties described by the metrics obtained. In section 5, we generalise other hidden symmetries: conformal Killing tensors, Killing–Yano tensors and conformal Killing–Yano tensors. Section 6 is devoted to summary and discussion.

2. Generalised Killing tensors

2.1. Rational first integrals and generalised Killing tensors

The condition that $F$, given by equation (2), is a first integral for a Hamiltonian $H$, given by equation (1), leads to

$$\{P, H\} Q - \{Q, H\} P = 0,$$

(3)

where $\{,\}$ is the Poisson bracket. Introduced an auxiliary function $A$, it is equivalent to

$$\{P, H\} = AP, \quad \{Q, H\} = AQ,$$

(4)

where $A$ is called a *cofactor* of $P$ and $Q$.
When $P$ and $Q$ are homogeneous polynomials, they are written as $P = \xi^{\mu_1\cdots\mu_p} p_{\mu^1} \cdots p_{\mu_p}$ and $Q = \eta^{\mu_1\cdots\mu_p} p_{\mu^1} \cdots p_{\mu_p}$, where $\xi^{\mu_1\cdots\mu_p}$ and $\eta^{\mu_1\cdots\mu_p}$ are totally symmetric tensors. Substituting these expressions into equation (4) together with the Hamiltonian (1) and evaluating it order by order in $p_{\mu}$, we find that $A$ is required to be a polynomial of linear order in $p_{\mu}$, so that writing $A = f^{\mu} p_{\mu}$, we are able to rewrite equation (4) as

$$
\nabla_\mu \xi_{\mu_1\cdots\mu_p} = f^{\mu} \xi_{\mu_1\cdots\mu_p}, \quad \nabla_\mu \eta_{\mu_1\cdots\mu_p} = f^{\mu} \eta_{\mu_1\cdots\mu_p},
$$

(5)

where $\nabla_\mu$ denotes the Levi-Civita connection and the round bracket denotes symmetrisation of indices. If $P$ and $Q$ are inhomogeneous polynomials, we divide them into the parts by order, i.e., $P = \sum_{k=0}^{r} P_k$ and $Q = \sum_{k=0}^{r} Q_k$ where $P_k$ and $Q_k$ denote the order-$k$ parts of $P$ and $Q$, respectively. We then find in the similar fashion as above that since the Hamiltonian (1) is homogeneous, $A$ is required to be a polynomial of linear order in $p_{\mu}$, and $P_k$ and $Q_k$ satisfy

$$
\{P_k, H\} = A P_k, \quad \{Q_k, H\} = A Q_k,
$$

(6)

for every $k$, which lead to equation (5) order by order in $p_{\mu}$. Hence, if a Hamiltonian is homogeneous, we only have to consider the case when $P$ and $Q$ are homogeneous. Equation (5) have the same form as the Killing tensor equations if $f^{\mu} = 0$. This motivates us to introduce the following definition for generalised Killing tensors.

**Definition 2.1.** A symmetric tensor $K_{\mu_1\cdots\mu_p}$ is called a generalised Killing tensor if there exists a one-form $f^{\mu}$ satisfying the differential equation

$$
\nabla_\mu K_{\mu_1\cdots\mu_p} = f^{\mu} K_{\mu_1\cdots\mu_p},
$$

(7)

where $f^{\mu}$ is called the associated one-form of $K_{\mu_1\cdots\mu_p}$. In particular, a generalised Killing tensor is called a Killing tensor if the associated one-form vanishes.

For rank 1, they are the generalised Killing vectors introduced by Collinson [16]. To obtain a rational first integral of geodesic equations, we need to find a pair of generalised Killing tensors $\xi_{\mu_1\cdots\mu_p}$ and $\eta_{\mu_1\cdots\mu_p}$ satisfying the conditions (5) with a common associated one-form $f^{\mu}$. This pair is called a Killing pair [17, 18].

It is worth commenting that in the defining equation (7), the associated one-form $f^{\mu}$ is uniquely determined. If there were two different associated one-forms $f^{(1)}{\mu}$ and $f^{(2)}{\mu}$, it would lead to the existence of the one-form $k_{\mu} \equiv f^{(2)}{\mu} - f^{(1)}{\mu}$ which satisfies

$$
k_{\mu} K_{\mu_1\cdots\mu_p} = 0.
$$

(8)

However, such a one-form does not exist because it is possible to show that if one component of $k_{\mu}$ is nonzero, all the components of $K_{\mu_1\cdots\mu_p}$ must vanish: Let $k_i$ be a nonzero component of $k_{\mu}$ ($0 \leq i \leq n = \dim M$). Then the $(i, i, \cdots, i)$ component of equation (8), $k_i K_{ii\cdots i} = 0$, induces $K_{ii\cdots i} = 0$. Next, for $j \neq i$, the $(i, i, \cdots, i, j)$ component of equation (8), $nK_{ii\cdots j} k_i + K_{ii\cdots j} k_j = 0$, leads to $K_{ii\cdots j} = 0$. Moreover, for $\ell \neq j$, the $(i, i, \cdots, i, j, \ell)$ component of equation (8), $(n - 1)K_{ii\cdots j \ell} k_i + K_{ii\cdots j \ell} k_j = 0$, leads to $K_{ii\cdots j \ell} = 0$. In the repetitive manner, it is shown that all the components of $K_{\mu_1\cdots\mu_p}$ vanish.

We find some properties of the generalised Killing tensors. Given two generalised Killing tensors, their symmetric tensor product is also a generalised Killing tensor. If two generalised Killing tensors have the common associated one-form, their linear combination is also a generalised Killing tensor. The following property is about a functional multiplication of a generalised Killing tensor.
Proposition 2.2. Suppose $K_{\mu_1\ldots\mu_p}$ is a generalised Killing tensor. Then, $\tilde{K}_{\mu_1\ldots\mu_p} \equiv \psi K_{\mu_1\ldots\mu_p}$ is also a generalised Killing tensor for an arbitrary function $\psi$.

Proof. Since $K_{\mu_1\ldots\mu_p}$ satisfies equation (7), we have

$$\nabla_{\nu} (\tilde{K}_{\mu_1\ldots\mu_p}) = K_{(\mu_1\ldots\mu_p} \partial_{\nu)} \psi + f_{(\nu} K_{\mu_1\ldots\mu_p)} \psi$$

$$= \tilde{f}_{\nu} K_{\mu_1\ldots\mu_p)},$$

where $\tilde{f}_{\mu} = f_{\mu} + \partial_{\mu} \ln \psi$.

From this proposition, it turns out that a functional multiplication of a Killing tensor is also a generalised Killing tensor. However, not all generalised Killing tensors can be written as a functional multiplication of a Killing tensor. A generalised Killing tensor $K_{\mu_1\ldots\mu_p}$ is said to be inconstructible if there exists no function $\psi$ such that $\tilde{K}_{\mu_1\ldots\mu_p} \equiv \psi K_{\mu_1\ldots\mu_p}$ is a Killing tensor. This notion is important due to the fact that if we construct a rational first integral from two constructible generalised Killing tensors with a common associated one-form, the first integral obtained becomes constructible.

Proposition 2.3. A generalised Killing tensor is constructible if and only if the associated one-form is closed.

Proof. Let $K_{\mu_1\ldots\mu_p}$ be a generalised Killing tensor, which satisfies equation (7). (if) Since the associated one-form $f_{\mu}$ is closed, $\nabla_{[\nu} f_{\mu]} = 0$, there exists a function $\psi$ such that $f_{\mu} = \partial_{\mu} \ln \psi$. Using this function, we define $\tilde{K}_{\mu_1\ldots\mu_p} \equiv \psi^{-1} K_{\mu_1\ldots\mu_p}$ and find that $\tilde{K}_{\mu_1\ldots\mu_p}$ is a Killing tensor, which satisfies the Killing equation $\nabla_{\nu} (\tilde{K}_{\mu_1\ldots\mu_p}) = 0$. (only if) Since $K_{\mu_1\ldots\mu_p}$ is constructible, there exists a function $\psi$ such that $\tilde{K}_{\mu_1\ldots\mu_p} \equiv \psi^{-1} K_{\mu_1\ldots\mu_p}$ is a Killing tensor. Using this, we obtain

$$\nabla_{\nu} (K_{\mu_1\ldots\mu_p}) = \nabla_{\nu} (\psi \tilde{K}_{\mu_1\ldots\mu_p}) = (\partial_{\nu} \ln \psi) K_{\mu_1\ldots\mu_p)},$$

(10)

Since $f_{\mu}$ must be given uniquely, $f_{\mu}$ is written by $f_{\mu} = \partial_{\mu} \ln \psi$. Hence, if $K_{\mu_1\ldots\mu_p}$ is constructible, then $f_{\mu}$ is closed.

Given a rational first integral, we obtain a pair of generalised Killing tensors with the common associated one-form. Proposition 2.3 states that by investigating whether the associated one-form is closed or not, we can check whether a rational first integral is inconstructible. Using this fact, we investigate several concrete examples of rational first integrals in the next two sections.

2.2. Geometric formulation of generalised Killing tensors

Let us introduce the connection $\mathcal{D}_{\mu}$ on $\otimes^n T^* M$ which acts on a tensor $T_{\mu_1\ldots\mu_n}$ as

$$\mathcal{D}_{\mu} T_{\mu_1\ldots\mu_n} = \nabla_{\mu} T_{\mu_1\ldots\mu_n} - \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathcal{A}_i \left( T_{(\mu_1\ldots\mu_{i-1}\mu_{i+1})\mu_{i+2}\ldots\mu_n} \right),$$

(11)

where $\mathcal{A}_i$ is a one-form. This connection is torsion-free, and the metric condition does not hold, $\mathcal{D}_{(\mu} \mathcal{D}_{\nu)} \equiv 0$. Hence, the curvature tensor of $\mathcal{D}_{\mu}$, defined by $\mathcal{R}_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} V_{\rho} \equiv (\mathcal{D}_{[\mu} \mathcal{D}_{\nu]} - \mathcal{D}_{[\nu} \mathcal{D}_{\mu]} ) V_{\rho}$, has antisymmetry with respect to the initial two indices, $\mathcal{R}_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} = -\mathcal{R}_{\nu\mu\rho\sigma}$, and the Bianchi identities $\mathcal{R}_{(\mu\nu\rho\sigma)}^{\sigma} = 0$ while antisymmetry of the latter
two indices does not hold. Using this connection, we can show that the generalised Killing tensor equation (7) is written in the form

$$\mathcal{D}_\mu K_{\nu_1...\nu_p} = 0,$$  \hspace{1cm} (12)

with the identification of $f_\mu = pA_\mu$. The point is that this equation has same form as the ordinary Killing tensor equation, where the Levi-Civita connection $\nabla_\mu$ is replaced by the present connection $\mathcal{D}_\mu$. This fact is suggestive to generalise other hidden symmetries.

Since ordinary Killing tensors form a (graded) Lie algebra with respect to the Schouten–Nijenhuis (SN) bracket of the Levi-Civita connection [30, 31], it would be interesting to ask whether generalised Killing tensors do as well. Unfortunately, it fails with respect to the SN bracket of neither the Levi-Civita nor the present connection.

### 2.3. Integrability conditions

An application of introducing the torsion-free connection (11) is that the integrability conditions for generalised Killing tensors can be written in a simple form. For simplicity, let us consider generalised Killing vectors, which are given by

$$\mathcal{D}_\mu \xi_\nu = 0.$$  \hspace{1cm} (13)

The integrability conditions for generalised Killing vectors were already provided by Collinson [16], which are written in terms of the Riemann tensor and the associated one-form but the expressions provided are rather complicated. On the other hand, we now obtain from equation (13) the equations

$$\mathcal{D}_\mu L_\nu = L_{\mu\nu},$$  \hspace{1cm} (14)

$$\mathcal{D}_\mu L_{\nu\rho} = -\mathcal{R}_{\nu\rho\sigma\tau} \xi_\sigma.$$  \hspace{1cm} (15)

where $L_{\mu\nu} \equiv \mathcal{D}_\mu \xi_\nu$. Hence, the integrability conditions for generalised Killing vectors are given by

$$\mathcal{D}_\mu [\mathcal{R}_{\rho\sigma\nu\tau}] \xi_\lambda + \mathcal{R}_{\rho\mu\nu\lambda} L_{\sigma\tau} + \mathcal{R}_{\rho\sigma\nu\lambda} L_{\tau\mu} = 0,$$  \hspace{1cm} (16)

which has same form as those for ordinary Killing vectors but the Riemann tensor $\mathcal{R}_{\rho\mu\nu\sigma}$ has been replaced by the curvature tensor $\mathcal{R}_{\rho\mu\nu\sigma}$. This is also available for generalised Killing tensors of arbitrary rank. The integrability conditions for second-rank Killing tensors have been provided in terms of the Riemann tensor [32]. Replacing the Riemann tensor with the curvature tensor of $\mathcal{D}_\mu$, we will obtain the integrability conditions for second-rank generalised Killing tensors.

### 3. Collinson–O’Donnell solution

Vaz and Collinson [17] have found the canonical forms for the metrics of spacetimes in four-dimensions admitting a pair of generalised Killing vectors under the assumption that one of the generalised Killing vectors is hypersurface orthogonal. Using one of the results, which is the case when one of the generalised Killing vectors is null and not orthogonal to the other, Collinson and O’Donnell [18] have obtained the solutions of the vacuum Einstein equations, which were classified into two cases. The solution of case 2 was given in the form
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\[ ds^2 = -\frac{2}{x} \frac{dz}{dt} dx + \frac{y}{x^2} dx^2 + \frac{\alpha^2}{2 \sqrt{y}} (dy^2 + dz^2) - \frac{2 \sqrt{y}}{x} \frac{\alpha^2}{2 dx} \left( \frac{f}{\sqrt{y}} dy - g \sqrt{y} dz \right), \]

where \( \alpha \) is a constant, \( f \) and \( g \) are arbitrary functions of \( y \) and \( z \) satisfying the differential equations

\[ \partial_y f - y \partial_z g = -\frac{C^2}{y^{1/2}}, \quad \partial_y f + y \partial_z g = \frac{C}{\sqrt{y}}, \]

with a constant \( C \). For this metric, the geodesic equations admit an irreducible rational first integral

\[ F = \frac{p_x}{p_t}, \]

and a pair of generalised Killing vectors \( \partial_t \) and \( \partial_t \) can be found with the common associated one-form \( (2/x) dx \). We note that \( F \) is a rational first integral even if \( f \) and \( g \) do not satisfy equation (18). Since the associated one-from is closed, we find from proposition 2.3 that the rational first integral is constructible. Indeed, we find that \( x \partial_t \) and \( x \partial_t \) are independent Killing vectors, and the rational first integral is given by \( F = Q_2/Q_1 \) with two independent polynomial first integrals \( Q_1 = xp_t \) and \( Q_2 = xp_t \).

The solution of case 1 is obtained as the limiting case. Indeed, if we take \( y \to 1 + \epsilon y \) and \( z \to \epsilon z \) with \( f \to \epsilon f \), \( g \to \epsilon g \) and \( \alpha^2 \to \alpha^2/\epsilon^2 \) and then send \( \epsilon \to 0 \), we obtain the metric

\[ ds^2 = -\frac{1}{x} \frac{dz}{dt} dx + \frac{f}{x^2} dx^2 + \frac{\alpha^2}{2} (dy^2 + dz^2) - \frac{2 \alpha^2}{x} dx (f dy - g dz), \]

where \( f \) and \( g \) are functions of \( y \) and \( z \) satisfying

\[ \partial_y f - y \partial_z g = -C^2, \quad \partial_y f + y \partial_z g = C. \]

Since this metric still has two independent Killing vectors \( x \partial_t \) and \( x \partial_t \), two generalised Killing vectors \( \partial_t \) and \( \partial_t \) are not inconstructible. It consequently follows that the rational first integral is not inconstructible.

4. Metrics admitting an inconstructible rational first integral

4.1. Two-dimensions

To construct metrics admitting an inconstructible rational first integral in two-dimensions, we consider the Maciejewski–Przybylska system [19]. The Hamiltonian is given by

\[ H = \frac{1}{2} (p_x^2 + p_y^2) + f (p_x, p_y) (x p_x - \alpha y p_y), \]

where \( \alpha \) is a constant and \( f (p_x, p_y) \) is a function of \( p_x \) and \( p_y \). The Hamiltonian admits a first integral of the form
for arbitrary $\alpha$ and $f$. To obtain a rational first integral, we assume that $\alpha$ is a negative rational number. Actually, setting $\alpha = -s/r$ with relatively prime, positive integers $r$ and $s$, we have a rational first integral $F' = p_1^f / p_2^s$. Moreover, we take $f = p_i + p_j$ to make the Hamiltonian quadratic. Then, the Hamiltonian describes geodesic flows on a two-dimensional surface with the metric

$$ds^2 = \frac{(1 - 2\alpha y)dx^2 - 2(x - \alpha y)dxdy + (1 + 2\alpha)dy^2}{Q(x, y)},$$

where

$$Q(x, y) = 1 + 2x - 2\alpha y - (x + \alpha y)^2.$$  \hspace{1cm} (24)

A single parameter $\alpha$ is contained.

First, we focus on the case when $\alpha = -1$. In this case, the metric (24) is flat. Since the first integral is given by $F = p_1 / p_2$, $\partial_x$ and $\partial_y$ are generalised Killing vectors. The common associated one-form is dual to $-(\partial_x + \partial_y)$. Since the associated one-form is closed, it turns out from proposition 2.3 that $\partial_x$ and $\partial_y$ are constructible. More explicitly, we perform the coordinate transformation

$$x = u + v + \frac{1}{2}(u^2 + v^2), \quad y = u - v + \frac{1}{2}(u^2 + v^2).$$

In the $(u,v)$ coordinates, the metric is given by $ds^2 = du^2 + dv^2$ and the generalised Killing vectors are given by

$$\partial_x = \frac{1 - v}{1 + u} \partial_u + \partial_v = \frac{1}{1 + u} (\partial_u + (v\partial_u - u\partial_v)), \hspace{1cm} (27)$$

$$\partial_y = \frac{1 + v}{1 + u} \partial_u - \partial_v = \frac{1}{1 + u} (\partial_u - (v\partial_u - u\partial_v)). \hspace{1cm} (28)$$

Indeed, they are constructible.

For general $\alpha = -s/r$, since $F' = p_1^f / p_2^s$ is a rational first integral, $(\partial_x)^f$ and $(\partial_y)^f$ are respectively generalised Killing tensors with the common associated one-form which is dual to $-(\partial_x + \partial_y)$. Since the associated one-form is not closed except for $\alpha = -1$, the rational first integral is inconstructible for $\alpha = -1$. Thus, we have constructed the metric (24) admitting an inconstructible rational first integral of the geodesic equations in two-dimensions, with the exception for the flat case ($\alpha = -1$).

### 4.2. Four-dimensions

We are able to generalise the Maciejewski–Przybylska system (22) to the $n$-dimensional system. The Hamiltonian is given by

$$H = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} p_i^2 + f(p_1, \ldots, p_n) \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i x^i,$$ \hspace{1cm} (29)

where $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n$ are constants and $f(p_1, \ldots, p_n)$ is a function of $p_1, \ldots, p_n$. The Hamiltonian admits a first integral

$$F = p_1^{\alpha_1} p_2^{\alpha_2} \cdots p_n^{\alpha_n},$$ \hspace{1cm} (30)
where $\beta_1, \ldots, \beta_n$ are constants satisfying the condition
\[
\alpha_1 \beta_1 + \alpha_2 \beta_2 + \cdots + \alpha_n \beta_n = 0. \tag{31}
\]
We remark that this system is integrable because equation (30) describes $n-1$ constants of motion at the same time. In fact, introducing $n-1$ constants $Q_i$ ($i = 2, \ldots, n$), we obtain the relations $p_i = Q_i p_i^{\alpha_i}/\alpha_i$ for $i = 2, \ldots, n$. Moreover, substitute these relations into the Hamiltonian and consider the energy $H = Q_1$, then, in principle, all the momenta can be written as functions of $m$ including $n$ constants $Q_i$ ($i = 1, \ldots, n$).

When we take $f$ as $f = a_1 p_1 + a_2 p_2 + \cdots + a_n p_n$, where $a_1, \ldots, a_n$ are constants, the Hamiltonian (29) describes geodesic flows on the $n$-dimensional curved space with the inverse metric
\[
g^{ij} = 1 + 2a_i \alpha_i x^i, \quad g^{ij} = a_j \alpha_j x^j + a_i \alpha_i x^i. \tag{32}
\]
For simplicity, let us consider the Maciejewski–Przybylska in four-dimensions. Moreover, we adopt the following setup: $a_1 = a_2 = a_3 = 1$, $a_4 = -\sqrt{-1}$, $\alpha_1 = 1$, $\alpha_2 = -\alpha$ and $\alpha_3 = \alpha_4 = 0$. Under this setup, the Hamiltonian is independent of the coordinates $x^3$ and $x^4$, so that $p_3$ and $p_4$ are first integrals. Since another first integral is given by $F = p_1^{\beta_1} p_2^{\beta_2}$ with $\beta_1 - \beta_2 \alpha = 0$, we normalise $\beta_2$ as $\beta_2 = 1$ and then obtain $\beta_1 = \alpha$. Moreover, identifying the coordinates $x^1, x^2, x^3$ as $x, y, z$ and $x^4$ as $\sqrt{-1} w$, we obtain the Hamiltonian
\[
H = \frac{1}{2}(p_x^2 + p_y^2 + p_z^2 - p_w^2) + (p_x + p_y + p_z + p_w)(xp_x - \alpha yp_y) \tag{33}
\]
with the first integrals $p_x, p_w$ and $F = p_x/p_y$. Hence, we obtain a one-parameter family of four-dimensional metrics admitting integrable geodesic flows. In particular, when we take $\alpha = -1$, the metric becomes scalar-flat. Namely, the scalar curvature vanishes while the Ricci tensor is nonzero. The components of the scalar-flat metric are given by
\[
\begin{align*}
g_{xx} &= \frac{1 + 2y}{K(x, y)}, \quad g_{yy} = \frac{1 + 2x}{K(x, y)}, \quad g_{xy} = \frac{-x - y}{K(x, y)},
g_{xz} &= \frac{1 + 2x + 2y + 2xy}{K(x, y)}, \quad g_{zw} = \frac{-x^2 - y^2}{K(x, y)},
g_{xc} &= \frac{y^2 - xy - x}{K(x, y)}, \quad g_{zc} = \frac{x^2 - xy - y}{K(x, y)},
g_{zw} &= \frac{2(x - y)^2 - 1 - 2x - 2y + 2xy}{K(x, y)},
g_{zw} &= \frac{-y^2 + xy + x}{K(x, y)}, \quad g_{yw} = \frac{-x^2 + xy + y}{K(x, y)},
\end{align*}
\tag{34}
\]
where
\[
K(x, y) = 1 + 2x + 2y + 2xy - x^2 - y^2. \tag{35}
\]
This metric admits a rational first integral $F = p_x/p_y$, which is inconstructible. Thus, we have constructed the scalar-flat metric (35) admitting an inconstructible rational first integral of the geodesic equations in four-dimensions. We expected the scalar-flat metric being a solution of the Einstein–Maxwell equations in four-dimensions, but it ended up in failure unfortunately.
5. Generalised hidden symmetries

5.1. Generalised conformal Killing tensors

For the integration of the equations of motion in a constrained system with \( H = 0 \), it is sufficient to find a quantity conserved at least along the zero energy orbits. Denoted by \( F \), such a quantity is expressed by the condition \( \{ H, F \} = LH \) with some function \( L \). If we consider \( F \) as a polynomial in momenta, the condition leads to the conformal Killing tensor equation

\[
\nabla_{\mu} N_{\nu_1 \cdots \nu_p} = g_{(\mu \nu_1} N_{\nu_2 \cdots \nu_p)},
\]

where \( N_{\nu_1 \cdots \nu_p} \) is a symmetric tensor. In analogy to this, we consider \( F \) as a rational quantity in momenta. When \( F \) is given by equation (2), the condition is written as

\[
\{ H, P \} = AP + L_1 H, \quad \{ H, Q \} = AQ + L_2 H,
\]

where \( A, L_1 \) and \( L_2 \) are some functions related to \( L \) by \( L = L_1 Q - L_2 P \). Hence, writing \( P, A \) and \( L_1 \) as \( P = K_{\mu_1 \cdots \nu_p} p_{\mu_1} \cdots p_{\nu_p}, \quad A = f^\nu p_\nu \) and \( L_1 = N^{\mu_1 \cdots \nu_p} p_{\mu_1} \cdots p_{\nu_p} \), we obtain the generalised Killing tensor equation

\[
\mathcal{D}_{\mu} K_{\nu_1 \cdots \nu_p} = g_{(\mu \nu_1} N_{\nu_2 \cdots \nu_p)},
\]

where \( \mathcal{D}_\mu \) is the connection given by equation (11). If \( \mathcal{D}_\mu \) were the Levi-Civita connection, this equation would be the conformal Killing tensor equation. Hence, we call the symmetric tensor \( K_{\mu_1 \cdots \nu_p} \) satisfying the equation (38) a generalised conformal Killing tensor. In the same manner as generalised Killing tensors, we need to find a pair of generalised conformal Killing tensors with a common connection to obtain a rational quantity conserved only along the zero energy orbits.

5.2. Generalised (conformal) Killing–Yano tensors

Employing the connection \( \mathcal{D}_\mu \), we may define the generalised Killing–Yano tensor \( f_{\mu_1 \cdots \nu_p} \) by the differential equation

\[
\mathcal{D}_\mu f_{\nu_1 \cdots \nu_p} = 0,
\]

where \( f_{\mu_1 \cdots \nu_p} \) is a \( p \)-form. If the connection \( \mathcal{D}_\mu \) is the Levi-Civita connection, this equation is the Killing–Yano equation. In the similar fashion, we are also able to define the generalised conformal Killing–Yano tensor \( f_{\mu_1 \cdots \nu_p} \) by the differential equation

\[
\mathcal{D}_\mu f_{\nu_1 \cdots \nu_p} = g_{\mu \nu} \xi_{\mu_1 \cdots \nu_{p-1}} + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (-1)^i g_{\mu (\nu} \xi_{\nu_1 \cdots \nu_{p-1})},
\]

where the hat \( (\cdot) \) eliminates the index and

\[
\xi_{\mu_1 \cdots \nu_{p-1}} = \frac{1}{n - p + 1} \mathcal{D}^\sigma f_{\mu_1 \cdots \nu_{p-1}}
\]

is called the associated \( (p - 1) \)-form of \( f_{\mu_1 \cdots \nu_p} \) and \( n \) is the dimension of a space or spacetime. If the connection \( \mathcal{D}_\mu \) is the Levi-Civita connection, this equation is the conformal Killing–Yano equation. It is remarkable that these generalised (conformal) Killing–Yano tensors are also related to rational first integrals of geodesic equations because the ‘square’ of a generalised (conformal) Killing–Yano tensor, \( K_{\mu \nu} \equiv f_{\mu_1 \cdots \nu_p} f_{\nu_1 \mu_2 \cdots \nu_{p-1}}, \) becomes a generalised (conformal) Killing tensor. Further investigations are left as a future problem.
6. Summary and discussion

In this paper, we have discussed rational first integrals of geodesic equations. We introduced the notion of inconstructible rational first integrals, which cannot be constructed from two polynomial first integrals, and showed in proposition 2.3 that a rational first integral is not inconstructible if and only if the associated one-form of the generalised Killing tensors read from the rational first integral is closed. Using this fact, we showed that the rational first integral of the Collinson–O’Donnell solution is not inconstructible. We also constructed several examples for metrics in two and four-dimensions admitting an inconstructible first integral of geodesic equations by using the Maciejewski–Przybylska system. In particular, we obtained a scalar-flat metric in four-dimensions. Unfortunately, the scalar-flat metric is not a solution of the Einstein–Maxwell equations. Hence, it would be an important task in general relativity to construct a physically interesting solution of the Einstein equations.

We have discussed novel generalisations of hidden spacetime symmetries, which are related to rational first integrals of geodesic equations. The generalised Killing tensors (12) are defined by the Killing equation with the Levi-Civita connection replaced by the torsion-free connection (11). In the similar fashion, we introduced the generalised conformal Killing tensors (38), Killing–Yano tensors (39) and conformal Killing–Yano tensors (40). In this paper, we have worked based on some geometric aspects of hidden symmetries. However, as the concept of hidden spacetime symmetries have helped us in understanding various gravitational phenomena especially in black hole physics, it would be meaningful to consider their applications.
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