A random forest classifier predicts recurrence risk in patients with ovarian cancer
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Abstract. Ovarian cancer (OC) is associated with a poor prognosis due to difficulties in early detection. The aims of the present study were to construct a recurrence risk prediction model and to reveal important OC genes or pathways. RNA sequencing data was obtained for 307 OC samples, and the corresponding clinical data were downloaded from The Cancer Genome Atlas database. Additionally, two validation datasets, GSE44104 (20 recurrent and 40 non-recurrent OC samples) and GSE49997 (204 OC samples), were obtained from the Gene Expression Omnibus database. Differentially expressed genes were screened using the differential expression via distance synthesis algorithm, followed by gene ontology enrichment analysis and weighted gene coexpression network analysis (WGCNA). Furthermore, subnetwork analysis was conducted for the protein-protein interaction (PPI) network using the BioNet package. Finally, a random forest classifier was constructed based on the subnetwork nodes, and its reliability was validated using the GSE44104 and GSE49997 validation datasets. A total of 44 upregulated and 117 downregulated genes were identified in the recurrent samples. Enrichment analysis indicated that cytochrome P450 family 17 subfamily A member 1 (CYP17A1) was associated with ‘positive regulation of steroid hormone biosynthetic processes’. WGCNA identified turquoise and grey modules that were significantly correlated with status and prognosis. A significant PPI subnetwork containing 16 nodes was also identified, including: Transcription factor GATA-4; fibroblast growth factor 9; aromatase; 3β-hydroxysteroid dehydrogenase/Δ5-4-isomerase type 2; corticosteroid 11β-dehydrogenase isozyme 1; CYP17A1; pituitary homeobox 2; left-right determination factor 1; homeobox protein ARX; estrogen receptor β; steroidogenic factor 1; forkhead box protein L2; myocardin; steroidogenic acute regulatory protein mitochondrial; vesicular inhibitory amino acid transporter; and twist-related protein 1. A random forest classifier was constructed using the subnetwork nodes as feature genes, which exhibited a 92% true positive rate when classifying recurrent and non-recurrent OC samples. The classifying efficiency of the random forest classifier was validated using the two other independent datasets. Overall, 44 upregulated and 117 downregulated genes associated with OC recurrence were identified. Furthermore, the 16 subnetwork node genes that were identified may be important molecules in OC recurrence.

Introduction

Ovarian cancer (OC), which frequently occurs in postmenopausal women (1), is a cancer with no apparent symptoms until it reaches an advanced stage. The symptoms include bloating, abdominal swelling, pelvic pain and loss of appetite (2). The three most common OC subtypes are high-grade serous carcinomas, sex cord stromal tumors and germ cell tumors (3), which may metastasize to the peritoneum, liver, lungs or lymph nodes (4). OC is difficult to detect and metastasizes early in disease progression; therefore, patients with OC frequently have a poor prognosis (5). Globally, OC affects 1.2 million women and led to 161,100 mortalities in 2015 (6,7). Thus, obtaining an improved understanding of OC progression and recurrence is of great importance for improving its prognosis.

Previously, genes affecting OC were identified, including p21-activated kinase 4 (Pak4), cyclin E1 (CCNE1), RNA binding motif protein 3 (RBM3), YY1 associated protein 1 (YAP) and prominin-1 (CD133). Pak4 overexpression has been reported to contribute to OC cell migration, invasion and proliferation, thus making it a promising prognostic indicator and therapeutic target (8). CCNE1 amplification has been demonstrated to markedly reduce disease-free survival and overall survival, thus indicating that CCNE1-targeted treatment may benefit patients with OC who have upregulated CCNE1 expression (9,10). In epithelial ovarian cancer, RBM3 expression has been associated with cisplatin sensitivity and correlated with a positive patient prognosis (11). Furthermore,
YAP has been associated with cell growth and tumorigenesis, and its coexpression with TEA domain transcription factor 4 serves as a predictor of a poor outcome (12,13). CD133 also serves as a predictor of poor OC patient survival, thus suggesting that it may serve as a biomarker of cancer stem cells during disease (14). However, the further identification of prognostic indicators and their potential uses is required.

In recent years, bioinformatics analysis of expression profile data has been gradually used to examine the pathogenesis of human diseases (15). It is known that the progress of a disease is usually mediated by multiple relevant genes and not by a single gene (16,17). Therefore, the present study was designed to mine subnetwork features and build a model to assess OC recurrence risk. In the present study, OC expression profiles were downloaded from a public database, and differentially expressed genes (DEGs) were analyzed and functionally enriched. Following identification of a functional subnetwork, a random forest classifier was constructed and validated. It was considered that this constructed classifier may provide an improved approach for predicting the prognoses of patients with OC.

Materials and methods

Data source. RNA sequence data from 307 OC samples and their corresponding clinical data (including patient vital status and overall survival) were downloaded from The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA; https://cancergenome.nih.gov) database. Based on patient vital status, 180 recurrent samples and 72 disease-free samples were identified. Additionally, the GSE44104 dataset (including 20 recurrent and 40 non-recurrent OC samples; platform, HG-U133 Plus 2.0 Array, Affymetrix; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc., Waltham, MA, USA) and the GSE49997 dataset (including 204 OC samples; platform, GPL2986 ABI Human Genome Survey Microarray version 2, Applied Biosystems; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.) were obtained from the Gene Expression Omnibus (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo) database and utilized as validation sets.

Data preprocessing and DEG screening. Using a z-score algorithm (18), the expression value of each gene was normalized to a normal distribution (mean=0; variance=1). The samples were subsequently analyzed using the differential expression via distance synthesis (DEDS) algorithm, which may be applied to obtain differential expression levels via the distance synthesis of relevant data (19). This approach was used to screen DEGs in the recurrent samples relative to the disease-free samples.

Functional enrichment analysis. Gene ontology (GO; http://www.geneontology.org) analysis may be used to predict the potential functions of gene products (20). Using GO Term Finder (http://search.cpan.org/dist/GO-TermFinder/) as previously described (21), upregulated and downregulated genes were separately enriched. Functional terms with P<0.05 and an association with at least three genes were selected as significant terms.

Weighted gene coexpression network analysis (WGCNA). Genes may jointly influence alterations in functional terms through their interactions, with functional consistencies between genes also confirmed by significant expression level correlations. To systematically analyze how DEGs with similar expression profiles co-affect OC prognosis, WGCNA (22) was performed. Based on the obtained weighted gene coexpression levels, DEG coexpression was suggested to be significantly associated with OC prognosis. To further identify the genes that were able to differentiate between patients with OC with different prognoses, the verified DEG protein-protein interaction (PPI) pairs were used to construct a PPI network using BioNet 1.24.1 package (http://www.bioconductor.org/packages/release/bioc/html/BioNet.html).

Subnetwork analysis and classifier construction. The BioNet package (http://bionet.bioapps.biozentrum.uni-wuerzburg.de) provides an extensive framework that enables functional subnetworks to be isolated from biological networks. Using the BioNet package in R 3.1.0 (23), as previously described (24), subnetwork analysis was conducted for the PPI network with the P-value/false discovery rate set to 0.01. With the subnetwork nodes as feature genes, a random forest classifier (25) was constructed. For sample labels (recurrence/no recurrence), true and false positive rates were calculated and combined with leave-one-out cross validation (26). Additionally, a receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve was constructed (27) to evaluate the classification efficiency of the random forest classifier.

Validation using other independent datasets. To confirm that the subnetwork nodes were able to effectively differentiate between patients with OC with different prognoses, the classification efficiency of the random forest classifier for the validation set (GSE44104) was analyzed and presented using a confusion matrix. Additionally, a Kaplan-Meier (KM) survival analysis (28) was performed and combined with the clinical information belonging to the TCGA dataset.

Results

DEG screening. Following implementation of the DEDS algorithm, a total of 44 upregulated and 117 downregulated genes were identified in the recurrent samples relative to the non-recurrent samples, with more downregulated genes identified compared with upregulated genes. Additionally, a volcano plot was constructed to examine DEG expression distributions (Fig. 1).

Functional enrichment analysis. Using the GO Term Finder, significant GO terms were enriched for the upregulated and downregulated genes separately. For the upregulated genes, the enriched GO terms were primarily associated with the ‘regulation of synapse assembly’ (P=1.04x10^-7), ‘regulation of synapse organization’ (P=5.26x10^-7), and ‘regulation of synapse structure or activity’ (P=5.71x10^-7; Table 1A). The downregulated genes were associated with ‘single-multi-cellular organism process’ (P=4.11x10^-9), ‘multicellular organismal process’ (P=5.14x10^-4) and ‘positive regulation of steroid hormone biosynthetic process’ (P=3.83x10^-2; Table 1B), which included cytochrome P450 family 17 subfamily A member 1 (CYP17A1).
Table I. Significant functional terms enriched for the upregulated and downregulated genes.

### A, Upregulated genes

| Term                                         | Corrected P-value | Count | Genes                                      |
|----------------------------------------------|-------------------|-------|--------------------------------------------|
| Regulation of synapse assembly              | 1.04x10^{-7}      | 5     | DKK1, LINGO2, TPBG, SLITRK5, SLITRK3       |
| Regulation of synapse organization          | 5.26x10^{-7}      | 5     | DKK1, LINGO2, TPBG, SLITRK5, SLITRK3       |
| Regulation of synapse structure or activity | 5.71x10^{-7}      | 5     | DKK1, LINGO2, TPBG, SLITRK5, SLITRK3       |
| Synapse assembly                            | 2.08x10^{-6}      | 5     | DKK1, LINGO2, TPBG, SLITRK5, SLITRK3       |
| Positive regulation of synapse assembly     | 6.78x10^{-6}      | 4     | LINGO2, TPBG, SLITRK5, SLITRK3             |
| Synapse organization                        | 2.23x10^{-5}      | 5     | DKK1, LINGO2, TPBG, SLITRK5, SLITRK3       |
| Regulation of nervous system development    | 2.72x10^{-4}      | 6     | LRRC7, DKK1, LINGO2, TPBG, SLITRK5, SLITRK3|
| Positive regulation of nervous system developent | 4.44x10^{-4}    | 5     | LRRC7, LINGO2, TPBG, SLITRK5, SLITRK3       |
| Regulation of developmental process         | 1.131x10^{-3}     | 8     | LRRC7, DKK1, SLITRK3, BVES, LINGO2, MEGF10, SLITRK5, TPBG |
| Regulation of multicellular organismal developent | 1.96x10^{-3}    | 7     | LRRC7, DKK1, LINGO2, MEGF10, TPBG, SLITRK5, SLITRK3 |
| Positive regulation of developmental process | 2.64x10^{-3}    | 6     | LRRC7, DKK1, LINGO2, TPBG, SLITRK5, SLITRK3 |
| System development                          | 2.84x10^{-3}      | 10    | LRRC7, DKK1, SLITRK3, WDR69, BVES, C8orf85, LINGO2, MEGF10, TPBG, SLITRK5 |
| Regulation of multicellular organismal process | 3.63x10^{-3}    | 8     | LRRC7, DKK1, SLITRK3, BVES, LINGO2, MEGF10, SLITRK5, TPBG |
| Single-organism development process         | 5.75x10^{-3}      | 11    | LRRC7, DKK1, SLITRK3, WDR69, BVES, C8orf85, LINGO2, MEGF10, MMP7, TPBG, SLITRK5 |
| Developmental process                       | 6.65x10^{-3}      | 11    | LRRC7, DKK1, SLITRK3, WDR69, BVES, C8orf85, LINGO2, MEGF10, MMP7, TPBG, SLITRK5 |
| Single-multicellular organism process       | 8.10x10^{-3}      | 11    | LRRC7, DKK1, SLITRK3, WDR69, BVES, C8orf85, LINGO2, MEGF10, MMP7, TPBG, SLITRK5 |
| Positive regulation of multicellular organismal process | 8.16x10^{-3}    | 6     | LRRC7, DKK1, LINGO2, TPBG, SLITRK5, SLITRK3 |
| Multicellular organism development          | 9.65x10^{-3}      | 10    | LRRC7, DKK1, SLITRK3, WDR69, BVES, C8orf85, LINGO2, MEGF10, TPBG, SLITRK5 |
| Regulation of cellular component biogenesis | 9.92x10^{-3}      | 5     | DKK1, LINGO2, TPBG, SLITRK5, SLITRK3       |

### B, Downregulated genes

| Terms                                         | Corrected P-value | Count | Genes                                      |
|----------------------------------------------|-------------------|-------|--------------------------------------------|
| Single-multicellular organism process        | 4.11x10^{-3}      | 23    | GJA8, TRIM71, TCF23, FOXL2, SCNN1G, CPNE5, ARX, CACNA2D2, SLC32A1, AQP5, CCR4, SPTB, HOXC5, RD3, FGF9, PDZD7, PROK1, IL33, WNT6, CYP17A1, BMP6, LGII, COLEC11 |
| Multicellular organismal process             | 5.14x10^{-3}      | 25    | GJA8, TRIM71, TCF23, FOXL2, SCNN1G, CPNE5, ARX, CACNA2D2, SLC32A1, AQP5, CCR4, TASI1R3, SPTB, HOXC5, RD3, FGF9, PDZD7, PROK1, IL33, WNT6, ACCN3, CYP17A1, BMP6, LGII, COLEC11 |
| Eye development                             | 5.32x10^{-3}      | 7     | RD3, GJA8, FGF9, BMP6, AQP5, FOXL2, WNT6  |
Table I. Continued.

| Term                                      | Corrected P-value | Count | Genes                                                                 |
|-------------------------------------------|-------------------|-------|----------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Sensory organ development                 | 7.88x10^{-5}      | 8     | RD3, GJA8, FGF9, BMP6, PDZD7, AQP5, FOXL2, WNT6                       |
| Multicellular organism development        | 1.86x10^{-4}      | 20    | GJA8, TRIM71, TCF23, FOXL2, CPNE5, ARX, SLC32A1, AQP5, CCR4, SPTB, HOXC5, FGF9, RD3, PDZD7, PROK1, WNT6, CYP17A1, BMP6, LGI1, COLEC11 |
| Anatomical structure development          | 1.87x10^{-4}      | 21    | GJA8, TRIM71, TCF23, FOXL2, CPNE5, ARX, CACNA2D2, SLC32A1, AQP5, CCR4, SPTB, HOXC5, FGF9, RD3, PDZD7, PROK1, WNT6, CYP17A1, BMP6, LGI1, COLEC11 |
| Single-organism developmental process     | 5.26x10^{-4}      | 21    | GJA8, TRIM71, TCF23, FOXL2, CPNE5, ARX, CACNA2D2, SLC32A1, AQP5, CCR4, SPTB, HOXC5, FGF9, RD3, PDZD7, PROK1, WNT6, CYP17A1, BMP6, LGI1, COLEC11 |
| System development                        | 5.74x10^{-4}      | 18    | GJA8, TRIM71, TCF23, FOXL2, CPNE5, ARX, SLC32A1, AQP5, CCR4, SPTB, FGF9, RD3, PDZD7, PROK1, WNT6, CYP17A1, BMP6, LGI1 |
| Developmental process                     | 6.76x10^{-4}      | 21    | GJA8, TRIM71, TCF23, FOXL2, CPNE5, ARX, CACNA2D2, SLC32A1, AQP5, CCR4, SPTB, HOXC5, FGF9, RD3, PDZD7, PROK1, WNT6, CYP17A1, BMP6, LGI1, COLEC11 |
| Positive regulation of steroid hormone biosynthetic process | 3.83x10^{-3}      | 2     | CACNA2D2, FGF9, LGI1, CPNE5, ARX                                      |
| Positive regulation of growth             | 4.05x10^{-3}      | 5     | CACNA2D2, FGF9, LGI1, CPNE5, ARX                                      |
| Nervous system development                | 4.48x10^{-3}      | 12    | FGF9, PDZD7, TRIM71, WNT6, CPNE5, CYP17A1, ARX, BMP6, LGI1, SLC32A1, CCR4, SPTB |
| Positive regulation of organ growth       | 7.93x10^{-3}      | 3     | CACNA2D2, FGF9, ARX                                                  |
| Camera-type eye development               | 8.92x10^{-3}      | 5     | RD3, GJA8, AQP5, FOXL2, WNT6                                        |
| Anatomical structure morphogenesis        | 9.15x10^{-3}      | 12    | FGF9, PROK1, PDZD7, TRIM71, FOXL2, WNT6, CPNE5, ARX, BMP6, LGI1, AQP5, SPTB |
WGCNA. WGCNA was performed and turquoise and grey modules were identified within the cluster dendrogram (Fig. 2). Within the turquoise module, 87 genes were identified (14 upregulated and 73 downregulated), while in the grey module, 74 were identified (30 upregulated and 44 downregulated). Correlations between the two modules regarding status/prognosis were also analyzed. The results demonstrated that the two modules had significant correlations with status and prognosis (P<0.05; Fig. 3). Furthermore, sample correlations were further examined via heatmap analysis, and it was indicated that the samples in the turquoise module were more strongly correlated when compared with those in the grey module (Fig. 4).

Subnetwork analysis and classifier construction. Following building of the PPI network, subnetwork analysis was performed and a significant subnetwork was identified (Fig. 5). The importance scores for the 16 subnetwork nodes (transcription factor
GATA4 (GATA4); fibroblast growth factor 9 (FGF9); aromatase (CYP19A1); 3β-hydroxysteroid dehydrogenase/δ5-4-isomerase type 2 (HSD11B1); CYP17A1; cytochrome P450 family 17 subfamily A member 1; PITX2, pituitary homeobox 2; LEFTY1, left-right determination factor 1; ARX, homeobox protein ARX; ESR2, estrogen receptor β; NR5A1, steroidogenic factor 1; FOXL2, forkhead box protein L2; MYOCD, myocardin; STAR, steroidogenic acute regulatory protein mitochondrial; SLC32A1, vesicular inhibitory amino acid transporter; TWIST1, twist-related protein 1.

Table II. Importance scores of the subnetwork nodes.

| Node   | Score |
|--------|-------|
| ARX    | 15.203|
| CYP17A1| 10.956|
| CYP19A1| 10.902|
| ESR2   | 10.537|
| FGF9   | 10.148|
| FOXL2  | 9.827 |
| GATA4  | 9.786 |
| HSD11B1| 9.223 |
| HSD3B2 | 9.196 |
| LEFTY1 | 8.259 |
| MYOCD  | 7.867 |
| NR5A1  | 7.634 |
| SLC32A1| 6.367 |
| STAR   | 6.167 |
| PITX2  | 5.094 |
| TWIST1 | 4.708 |

Figure 5. Significant subnetwork identified within the protein-protein interaction network. Upregulated genes are denoted in red and downregulated in green. GATA4, transcription factor GATA-4; FGF9, fibroblast growth factor 9; CYP19A1, aromatase; HSD3B2, 3β-hydroxysteroid dehydrogenase/δ5-4-isomerase type 2; HSD11B1, corticosteroid 11β-dehydrogenase isomerase 1; CYP17A1, cytochrome P450 family 17 subfamily A member 1; PITX2, pituitary homeobox 2; LEFTY1, left-right determination factor 1; MYC, myocardin; STAR, steroidogenic acute regulatory protein mitochondrial; SLC32A1, vesicular inhibitory amino acid transporter; TWIST1, twist-related protein 1.

Validation using other independent datasets. The random forest classifier was used to differentiate between samples in the GSE44104 validation set, and the prediction accuracies for the non-recurrence and recurrence groups were 87.5% and 85%, respectively (Fig. 6B). These findings indicated that the subnetwork nodes were important in predicting OC prognosis.

Of the 307 OC samples in the TCGA dataset, only 262 samples remained upon removal of samples without follow-up or survival time information. These 262 samples were divided into high- and low-risk groups using the random forest classifier, and further examined using KM survival analysis. The results demonstrated that the low risk group had a significantly longer survival time compared with the high-risk group (P=0.0166; Fig. 7A). Subsequently, the samples from the second validation set (GSE49997) were divided into high- and low-risk groups using the random forest classifier. Following analysis using a KM survival curve, a significant difference was noted in survival time between the low- and high-risk groups (P=0.0165; Fig. 7B). These findings suggested that the random forest classifier had portability and repeatability.

Discussion

In the present study, 44 upregulated and 117 downregulated genes were identified in the recurrent samples relative to the non-recurrent samples. When performing WGCNA, turquoise and grey modules were identified that had significant correlations with status and prognosis. Furthermore, a significant subnetwork was identified from the PPI network, with the subnetwork nodes (including GATA4, FGF9, CYP19A1, HSD3B2, CYP17A1, ARX, ESR2, NR5A1, FOXL2, MYOCD, STAR, SLC32A1 and TWIST1) being utilized as feature genes for constructing a random forest classifier. Moreover, the classification efficiency of the random forest classifier was validated and confirmed.
Of the identified nodes, previous studies have reported that GATA4 overexpression, in conjunction with human epidermal growth factor receptor 2, may predict a shorter disease-free survival time and may be utilized as a prognostic marker in patients with ovarian granulosa cell tumor to optimize follow-up management in the early stages (29,30). In OC, GATA4 and transcription factor GATA-6 expression is frequently lost, with this feature specifying the histological subtype prior to tumorigenic transition of the ovarian surface epithelium (31). The upregulation of FGF9 has been detected in primary ovarian endometrioid adenocarcinomas carrying a defective Wnt/β-catenin pathway and serves an important role in promoting the cancer phenotype (32,33). The aromatase enzyme encoded by the CYP19A1 gene acts in the conversion of androgen to estrogen, with CYP19A1 variants potentially able to influence OC susceptibility (34). Thus, GATA4, FGF9 and CYP19A1 appear to be implicated in the mechanisms of OC pathogenesis. Enrichment analysis demonstrated that CYP17A1, which is involved in ‘positive regulation of steroid hormone biosynthetic processes’, was downregulated. A previous study demonstrated that steroid hormones serve a role in OC pathogenesis, and their receptors influence OC patient survival (35). Therefore, CYP17A1 may affect OC patient prognoses through the positive regulation of steroid hormones.

The overexpression of PITX2 has been implicated in OC progression by facilitating cell growth, migration and invasion, and thus may potentially serve as a therapeutic target for patients with high-grade OC (36,37). PITX2 has also been demonstrated to promote OC cell proliferation through the Wnt pathway, which is closely associated with ovarian development and OC (38). In ovarian clear cell carcinoma, the overexpression of LEFTY, a transforming growth factor-β superfamily member, exhibits an anti-tumor effect by affecting cell proliferation and cellular susceptibility to apoptotic signals (39). Estrogen receptor β, which is encoded by the ESR2 gene, has been suggested to serve as a critical factor during OC carcinogenesis (40). Furthermore, within the ESR2 promoter region, the genotypic and allelic frequencies of the single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) rs3020449
have been demonstrated to exhibit significant differences based on OC stage, thus indicating that SNP rs3020449 may be associated with OC progression (41). These findings suggest that PITX2, LEFTY1 and ESR2 may also serve roles in OC pathogenesis.

NR5A1 serves an important role in ovarian function and development, with an NR5A1 mutation reported to induce 46 XY disorders of sex development (42). FOXL2 is critical for GC (granulosa cell) differentiation during the process of folliculogenesis, with its downregulation potentially serving as an ovarian granulosa cells tumor prognostic factor (43). TWIST1, which may induce epithelial-mesenchymal transition and contribute to tumor metastasis, has been demonstrated to be associated with poor survival in patients with cancer (44,45). Thus, NR5A1, FOXL2 and TWIST1 may be associated with patient survival in OC. Moreover, the multiple interactions within the PPI subnetwork (including HSD3B2-NR5A1, HSD11B1-HSD3B2, CYP17A1-GATA4, ARX-FOXL2, MYOC-GATA4, STAR-FGFR9 and SLC32A1-PITX2) indicate that HSD3B2, HSD11B1, CYP17A1, ARX, MYOC, STAR and SLC32A1 may also function in OC by interacting with other genes.

However, the present study has several limitations to note. First, the datasets used in the present study had sample size differences, platform differences and data heterogeneities that may affect the prediction accuracy of the random forest classifier. Second, the smaller patient numbers and analytical methods may limit the predictive capability of the present model. Finally, only bioinformatics analyses were conducted in the present study, and no direct experimental validation was performed. Therefore, further analyses are required to validate the obtained results.

In conclusion, 44 upregulated and 117 downregulated genes associated with OC recurrence were identified. Furthermore, the 16 subnetwork node genes that were identified may be critical molecules associated with OC recurrence.
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