Experimental inoculation of pigs with pandemic H1N1 2009 virus and HI cross-reactivity with contemporary swine influenza virus antisera
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Background A novel A/H1N1 was identified in the human population in North America in April 2009. The gene constellation of the virus was a combination from swine influenza A viruses (SIV) of North American and Eurasian lineages that had never before been identified in swine or other species.

Objectives The objectives were to (i) evaluate the clinical response of swine following experimental inoculation with pandemic H1N1 2009; (ii) assess serologic cross-reactivity between H1N1 2009 and contemporary SIV antisera; and (iii) develop a molecular assay to differentiate North American-lineage SIV from H1N1 2009.

Methods Experiment 1: Weaned pigs were experimentally infected with A/California/04/2009 (H1N1). Experiment 2: The cross-reactivity of a panel of US SIV H1N1 or H1N2 antisera with three isolates of pandemic A/H1N1 was evaluated. Experiment 3: A polymerase chain reaction (PCR)-based diagnostic test was developed and validated on samples from experimentally infected pigs.

Results and Conclusions In experiment 1, all inoculated pigs demonstrated clinical signs and lesions similar to those induced by endemic SIV. Viable virus and antigen were only detected in the respiratory tract. In experiment 2, serologic cross-reactivity was limited against H1N1 2009 isolates, notably among virus antisera from the same HA phylogenetic cluster. The limited cross-reactivity suggests North American pigs may not be fully protected against H1N1 2009 from previous exposure or vaccination and novel tests are needed to rapidly diagnose the introduction of H1N1 2009. In experiment 3, an RT–PCR test that discriminates between H1N1 2009 and endemic North American SIV was developed and validated on clinical samples.
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Introduction

In March–April 2009, a novel pandemic H1N1 emerged in the human population in North America.1 The gene constellation of the emerging virus was demonstrated to be a combination of genes from swine influenza A viruses (SIV) of North American and Eurasian lineages that had never before been identified in swine or other species. The emergent H1N1 quickly spread in the human population and the outbreak reached pandemic level 6 as declared by the World Health Organization on 11 June 2009. Although the eight gene segments of the novel virus share lineage with available sequences of corresponding genes from SIV from North America and Eurasia, no closely related ancestral SIV with this gene combination has been identified in North America or elsewhere in the world.2,3 Other than sporadic transmission to humans,4,5 SIV of the H1N1 subtype historically have been distinct from avian and other mammalian H1N1 influenza viruses in characteristics of host specificity, serologic cross-reactivity, and/or nucleotide sequence. Since 1997–1998 in North America, multiple subtypes of endemic SIV (H3N2, H1N1, and H1N2) co-circulate in most major swine producing regions of the USA and Canada (reviewed in Ref. 6). The North American SIV have a triple reassortant internal gene (TRIG) constellation consisting of six genes excluding the surface glycoproteins, hemagglutinin (HA), and neuraminidase (NA), with the six TRIG genes being derived from swine, avian, and human influenza viruses.
Additionally, introduction of H1N1 and H1N2 viruses with the HA and NA genes originating from contemporary human seasonal influenza A viruses (hu-like H1) that are genetically and antigenically distinct from the classical swine H1 lineage were reported in pigs in Canada.7 The viruses identified in Canadian pigs were human virus lineage in entirety or double (human-swine) reassortants. Since 2005, hu-like H1N1 and H1N2 viruses have emerged in swine herds across the USA as human-swine reassortants possessing the TRIG.8 Four phylogenetic clusters (α, β, γ, and δ) of H1 SIV are now endemic in US swine.8,9 However, to date, Eurasian lineage SIVs have not been reported in the USA, thus the potential impact of transmission of the pandemic H1N1 virus to the US pig population is unknown.

In experiment 1, weaned pigs were experimentally inoculated with A/CA/04/2009 H1N1 to evaluate clinical signs and lesions during acute infection with the human pandemic virus in the swine host. As North American lineage H1 SIV are endemic in the USA, it is also important to understand the role that existing herd immunity against endemic swine H1 may play in protecting the swine population from the human pandemic H1N1 2009. The serological cross-reactivity of a panel of swine antisera generated against H1N1 or H1N2 SIV was investigated against three novel human A/H1N1 isolates in experiment 2 to predict the susceptibility of pigs with pre-existing immunity against North American lineage H1 swine viruses against the pandemic H1N1 2009. To rapidly differentiate the novel H1N1 from endemic North American H1 SIV, in experiment 3 a restriction fragment length polymorphism (RFLP) developed for the matrix (M) gene was evaluated for use as a method of discriminating H1 genotypes in swine specimens.

**Materials and methods**

**Virus isolates**

A/California/04/2009 (CA/09) (cell passage 1), A/New York/18/2009 (NY/09) (egg passage 3), and A/Mexico/4108/2009 (MX/09) (egg passage 2) received from the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) were propagated in Madin–Darby Canine Kidney (MDCK) cells for use in the studies described below.

**In vivo study**

In experiment 1, four 5-week-old cross-bred pigs from a herd free of SIV and porcine reproductive and respiratory syndrome virus (PRRSV) were housed in ABSL3 isolation and cared for in compliance with the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee of the National Animal Disease Center. Pigs were inoculated intra-tracheally with 2 ml of $1 \times 10^5$ 50% tissue culture infectious dose (TCID₅₀) of CA/09 (H1N1)ᵥ as previously described.9 Four additional age- and source-matched pigs were maintained as negative controls.

All pigs were screened for influenza A nucleoprotein antibody by ELISA (MultiS ELISA; IDEXX, Westbrook, ME, USA) before the start of the study to ensure absence of prior immunity. Pigs were observed twice daily for signs of clinical disease and fever. Nasal swabs (Fisherbrand Dacron swabs; Fisher Scientific, Pittsburg, PA, USA) were taken and placed into 2 ml minimal essential medium (MEM) on 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, and 5 days post-infection (dpi) to evaluate nasal virus shedding and stored at −80°C until study completion. Pigs were humanely euthanized with a lethal dose of pentobarbital (Sleepaway; Fort Dodge Animal Health, Fort Dodge, IA, USA) on 5 dpi to evaluate lung lesions and viral load in the lung and other selected tissues. Fresh samples were taken from lung, tonsil, inguinal lymph node, liver, spleen, kidney, semitendinosus skeletal muscle (ham), and colon contents (feces) using individual sterile instruments for each tissue. Fresh necropsy samples were stored at −80°C until processed for downstream assays. Additional samples of the same tissues were fixed in 10% buffered formalin and processed by routine methods for histopathologic and immunohistopathologic examination. Immunohistochemical methods for the detection of influenza antigen in tissues using monoclonal antibody against type A nucleoprotein were employed as previously described.10 Bronchoalveolar lavage fluid (BALF) samples from 5 dpi were screened for aerobic bacterial growth on blood agar and Casmin (Nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide (NAD) enriched) plates. Diagnostic polymerase chain reaction (PCR) for PCV211 and *Mycoplasma hyopneumoniae*,12 or an in-house reverse transcriptase PCR (RT–PCR) for PRRSV were conducted on nucleic acid extracts from BALF.

**Virus isolation from tissues**

Frozen necropsy samples were utilized to assess tissue viral load. Approximately 500 mg of tissue or colon contents (feces) were placed in a 1.5-ml pestle tube and homogenized in 300 μl of PBS with antibiotics. Subsequently, 200 μl of the tissue homogenate, serum, or nasal swab sample was then placed on confluent MDCK cells in 24-well plates to incubate for 1 h. After 1 h of incubation, the sample was removed and 400-μl MEM w/TPCK trypsin was added. The plate was checked at 24 and 48 h for cytopathic effects. After 48 h, 200 μl of cell culture supernatant from each well of the 24-well plate was subsequently passed onto a confluent 48-well plate after a freeze and thaw cycle. After 48 h, evidence of cytopathic effects was evaluated and presence of virus antigen confirmed by immuno-cytochemical staining. Two replicates of tissue virus isolation were conducted. Virus titers in BALF and nasal swabs were determined on MDCK cells in 96-well plates.

**RNA extraction from tissues and fluids**

The MagMax Microarray (Ambion, Austin, TX, USA) protocol for RNA extraction from tissues was followed.
Approximately 10 mg of each tissue was placed in a 1.5-ml pestle tube and homogenized in 300 μl of PBS w/antibiotics. Tissue homogenate (100 μl) from above was added to bromo–chloro–propane (10 μl), incubated for 5 min at room temperature, centrifuged at 12 000 × g for 10 min at 4°C and 100 μl of the aqueous phase was transferred to the processing plate for MagMax RNA extraction as per manufacturer’s instructions. The MagMax Viral RNA Isolation (Ambion) kit protocol was used as per manufacturer’s instructions for serum, nasal swab, or BALF by adding 50 μl of serum, nasal swab, or BALF sample to the Mag Max plate for RNA extraction.

Real time RT–PCR
A TaqMan RT–PCR assay targeting the matrix gene was performed as previously described with modification as per the USDA–APHIS National Veterinary Services Laboratory protocol to increase sensitivity for the pandemic virus matrix gene by adding a pandemic H1N1 2009 matched reverse primer.

Serologic assays
In experiment 2, 38 polyclonal antisera from pigs immunized with 19 H1 SIV isolated during 1999–2008 were tested against the pandemic viruses in a standard hemagglutination inhibition (HI) assay. Each of the four phylogenetic clusters (α, β, γ, and δ) of endemic North American H1 SIV were represented in the panel of sera as previously described and with additional SIV isolates using the same methodology (A.L. Vincent, unpublished). Virus isolates used to generate antisera are listed in Table 3. Immunized pigs received intramuscular injections of 106 TCID50 for RNA extraction. Experimental inoculation of pigs with pandemic H1N1 2009 and HI cross-reactivity results

RFLP analysis
To identify the Eurasian lineage matrix gene found in pandemic H1N1 2009 by RFLP analysis, isolated RNA was amplified by RT–PCR using forward primer 5′-CAT-CCCCGTCAAGGCCCCCCTCA and reverse primer 5′-CAT-GGCCCTCCGCTGCCGTGT in experiment 3. Specifically, 4 μl RNA was subjected to amplification by the One-Step RT–PCR Kit (Qiagen, Valencia, CA, USA) using a final concentration of each primer at 0.6 μM with 5 units of RNase inhibitor (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA) included in a total volume of 25 μl. Cycling conditions were as follows: 1 cycle of 50°C for 30 min followed by 95°C for 15 min; 35 cycles of 94°C for 30 s, 58°C for 40 s, and 72°C for 1 min; 1 cycle of 72°C for 10 min followed by a 4°C hold. After amplification, 17 μl was digested for 2 h with restriction endonuclease AlwNI under manufacturer’s suggested conditions (New England BioLabs, Ipswich, MA, USA). Digested samples were analyzed using a 1.2% agarose gel. Products migrated as an uncleaved 568-bp fragment for endemic North American SIV matrix genes or as cleaved (40, 185, and 343 bp) fragments for the Eurasian SIV-lineage matrix gene in the pandemic H1N1 2009.

Results
A/CA/04/2009 induced clinical disease in pigs
All pigs were free of influenza A virus and influenza A virus antibodies prior to the start of the experiment. All pigs were negative for extraneous viral and M. hyopneumoniae in the BALF at 5 dpi and were negative for significant aerobic bacterial growth from BALF. Negative control pigs remained negative for influenza A virus. All pigs infected with CA/09 developed clinical disease and elevated rectal
temperatures beginning as early as 24 h post-infection and extending to 4–5 dpi. Mean rectal temperatures peaked on 2 dpi at 40.4°C with a maximum individual temperature of 41.4°C. Clinical signs were typical of acute respiratory illness with SIV, including lethargy, inappetence, and increased respiration rate and respiratory effort. Nasal virus shedding was detected as early as 1 dpi by RT–PCR and increased respiration rate and respiratory effort. Nasal virus shedding with SIV, including lethargy, inappetence, and

**Serologic cross-reactivity**

The cross-reactivity of the panel of swine H1 antisera against the three pandemic H1N1 2009 isolates is summarized in Table 3. A reciprocal HI titer for individual pig antiserum is reported for each virus isolate. Cross-reactivity ranged from minimal to moderate among the three viral antigens based on reduction between the homologous and heterologous reciprocal HI titers. The greatest cross-reactivity was demonstrated between A/Mexico/4108/2009 and antisera generated against SIV from the H1\_1 phylogenetic cluster. Cross-reactivity with antisera from vaccinated pigs was limited against all three 2009 pandemic viral antigens.

**Discrimination of pandemic H1N1 2009 by RFLP analysis of the matrix gene**

An alignment of endemic swine influenza matrix gene nucleotide sequences with CA/09 (FJ966085, 972 bp) revealed two unique sites (nt 72 and 415) for restriction endonuclease AlwNI. To assess whether this site could be used to differentiate the novel H1N1 isolate from the pres-

![Table 1. Nasal shedding and viral load in the lung by RT–PCR and virus titration*](image)

| Pig | Nasal swab | Bronchoalveolar lavage fluids |
|-----|------------|-------------------------------|
|     | 1 dpi      | 2 dpi | 3 dpi | 4 dpi | 5 dpi | 5 dpi |
| 446 | +/0        | +/05 | +/3-5 | +/4-5 | +/4-5 | +/3-7 |
| 447 | −/0        | −/0  | +/05 | +/1-5 | +/2-3 | +/4-3 |
| 448 | +/0        | −/0  | +/1-5 | +/2-5 | +/2-3 | +/4-3 |
| 449 | −/0        | +/2-5| +/4-5 | +/4-5 | +/2-3 | +/4-3 |

*RT–PCR result/log_{10} TCID_{50} titer/mL.

![Table 2. Detection of virus by RT–PCR and virus isolation in blood and tissues at 5 dpi*](image)

| Pig | Lung | Tonsil | Lymph node | Serum | Liver | Kidney | Spleen | Muscle | Feces |
|-----|------|--------|------------|-------|-------|--------|--------|--------|-------|
| 446 | +/−  | +/−    | −/−        | −/−   | −/−   | −/−    | −/−    | −/−    | −/−   |
| 447 | +/+  | +/+    | +/−        | +/−   | −/−   | −/−    | −/−    | −/−    | −/−   |
| 448 | +/+  | +/+    | −/−        | −/−   | −/−   | −/−    | −/−    | −/−    | −/−   |
| 449 | +/−  | +/−    | −/−        | +/−   | −/−   | −/−    | −/−    | −/−    | −/−   |

*RT–PCR result/virus isolation result.
ent circulating SIV based on the matrix gene, a panel of 24 SIV isolated from cases of respiratory disease from US swine and three pandemic isolates were analyzed using the RFLP test. As shown in Figure 2, the M genes of none of the 24 viruses isolated from US swine were susceptible to digestion with AlwNI (lanes 1–24), whereas the M genes of all three human isolates were cleaved into 40, 185, and 343 bp fragments (lanes 25, 30, 31). RNA extracted directly from BALF from animals infected with CA/09 were also examined by this method (lanes 26–29) and produced identical results as the human isolates.

Discussion

Although the pandemic H1N1 2009 viruses are genetically related to SIV of North American and Eurasian lineages, the constellation of the eight gene segments in the pandemic H1N1 2009 is not known to circulate widely in pigs. Additionally, the HA from the pandemic H1N1 viruses circulating in the human population are phylogenetically distinct from the nearest swine virus sequences. As the virulence of the pandemic H1N1 2009 in pigs was not known, we experimentally infected weaned pigs with one isolate of pandemic H1N1 2009, CA/09. We demonstrated that weaned pigs are susceptible to infection and clinical disease induced by CA/09. Importantly, the infection was characteristic of acute influenza illness in swine. Clinical signs, pathologic changes, and virus replication were restricted to the respiratory tract. The results reported here are consistent with recent reports of experimental infections with other strains of pandemic H1N1 virus, where pigs developed pyrexia, anorexia, and dyspnea within several days following challenge.16,17 Likewise, there have been reports of swine becoming infected in the field with the pandemic H1N1 virus in which the pigs displayed mild respiratory disease (http://www.oie.int/eng/en_index.htm), similar to outbreaks with endemic SIV.

In the study reported here, tissues outside the respiratory tract were found to be negative by virus isolation at 5 dpi. Only respiratory tract samples were positive by both real-time RT–PCR and virus isolation. The inguinal lymph node from one pig and serum from two pigs were positive for viral RNA, but lymph node and serum samples from all pigs were negative by virus isolation. This demonstrates the sensitivity of the RT–PCR assay in that viral nucleic acid in lymph tissue and blood can be detected by RT–PCR when infectious virus cannot. In contrast, all day 5 post-infection BALF, nasal swabs, and lung tissue homogenates from two out of four pigs were positive by virus isolation and RT–PCR. Lung samples from two pigs were negative in both replicates of virus isolation but positive by RT–PCR. The negative virus isolation results from lung tissues were likely due to sampling and/or the presence of a severe inflammatory response in the lungs of these two pigs leading to virus inactivation. A future study is planned to evaluate influenza levels in tissues by VI and real-time RT–PCR at additional time points.

Limited serologic cross-reactivity with the pandemic H1N1 2009 isolates was demonstrated in HI tests with sera from pigs infected or vaccinated with contemporary H1 SIV, although variation in cross-reactivity between viral antigens was apparent. The reduction in reactivity was demonstrated by the eightfold or greater decrease in titer when compared to homologous H1 titer. An eightfold or greater loss in reactivity is considered a significant reduction that may be predictive of decreased protection from challenge. NY/09 was used as antigen against the swine anti-SIV panel with results similar to those obtained with CA/09. However, MX/09 demonstrated broader
Table 3. Serologic cross-reactivity between swine anti-influenza sera and pandemic H1N1 2009

| Antiserum                  | Homologous | CA/09 | NY/09 | MX/09 |
|---------------------------|------------|-------|-------|-------|
| H1a phylogenetic cluster  |            |       |       |       |
| A/sw/MN/37866/99          | 1280       | 20    | 40    | 160   |
|                           | 2560       | 80    | 40    | 320   |
| A/sw/MN/02053/08          | 320        | <10   | <10   | 20    |
|                           | 320        | <10   | <10   | <10   |
| A/sw/MN/02093/08          | 320        | <10   | <10   | <10   |
|                           | 320        | <10   | <10   | <10   |
| H1β phylogenetic cluster  |            |       |       |       |
| A/sw/NC/36883/02          | 640        | 40    | 40    | 160   |
|                           | 640        | 20    | 20    | 80    |
| A/sw/IA/00239/04          | 1280       | 20    | 10    | 80    |
|                           | 1280       | 20    | 10    | 40    |
| A/sw/KY/02086/08          | 640        | <10   | <10   | <10   |
|                           | 80         | <10   | <10   | <10   |
| A/sw/NE/02013/08          | 640        | <10   | <10   | <10   |
|                           | 160        | <10   | <10   | <10   |
| A/sw/NC/03084/08          | 640        | <10   | <10   | <10   |
|                           | 320        | <10   | <10   | <10   |
| H1γ phylogenetic cluster  |            |       |       |       |
| A/sw/MN/1192/01           | 320        | <10   | 40    | 160   |
|                           | 80         | <10   | <10   | 20    |
| A/sw/MN/00194/03          | 1280       | <10   | 40    | 160   |
|                           | 320        | <10   | 40    | 320   |
| A/sw/KS/00246/04          | 1280       | <10   | 40    | 160   |
|                           | 1280       | <10   | 40    | 160   |
| A/sw/OH/511445/07         | 640        | 20    | 20    | 160   |
|                           | 2560       | 80    | 40    | 640   |
| A/sw/MO/02060/08          | 1280       | 40    | 20    | 160   |
|                           | 640        | 80    | 40    | 320   |
| A/sw/OH/02026/08          | 640        | 40    | <10   | 80    |
|                           | 160        | <10   | <10   | 10    |
| A/sw/NC/02023/08          | 320        | <10   | <10   | 40    |
|                           | 320        | <10   | <10   | 20    |
| A/sw/IA/02096/08          | 160        | <10   | <10   | <10   |
|                           | 80         | <10   | <10   | <10   |
| H1δ phylogenetic cluster  |            |       |       |       |
| A/sw/TX/01976/08          | 320        | <10   | <10   | <10   |
|                           | 160        | <10   | <10   | <10   |
| A/sw/IA/02039/08          | 320        | <10   | <10   | <10   |
|                           | 160        | <10   | <10   | <10   |
| A/sw/MN/02011/08          | 2560       | <10   | <10   | <10   |
|                           | 640        | <10   | <10   | <10   |
| Commercial vaccine strains|            |       |       |       |
| Vaccine A                 | 1280       | 10    | <10   | 20    |
|                           | 1280       | <10   | <10   | <10   |
|                           | 640        | <10   | <10   | <10   |
|                           | 640        | <10   | <10   | <10   |
| Vaccine B                 | 320        | <10   | 10    | 40    |
|                           | 640        | <10   | <10   | <10   |
|                           | 640        | 40    | 10    | 40    |
| Vaccine C                 | –          | <10   | <10   | <10   |
| Vaccine D                 | 1280       | 20    | 10    | 40    |
|                           | 1280       | 40    | 20    | 80    |
|                           | 1280       | 20    | 10    | 40    |

(Continued)

Table 3. (Continued)

| Antiserum | Homologous | CA/09 | NY/09 | MX/09 |
|-----------|------------|-------|-------|-------|
| Vaccine E | –          | <10   | <10   | <10   |
| –         | <10        | <10   | <10   | <10   |

CA/09, A/California/04/2009; NY/09, A/New York/18/2009; MX/09, A/Mexico/4108/2009.

Cross-reactivity with the NADC H1 serum reference panel. This was especially apparent for sera from pigs immunized with viruses from the H1γ HA phylogenetic cluster. The cross-reactivity with antisera from viruses of this cluster is important as this is the HA clade in which the HA from the 2009 pandemic H1N1 is most closely related. Thus, it is possible to speculate that pre-existing immunity to certain currently circulating H1 SIV strains may provide some level of protection in pigs against the pandemic virus. However, the differences between the pandemic H1N1 2009 isolates suggest a possibility of biologic variation in host and/or virus properties responsible for the variation in serologic cross-reactivity. The CA/09 HA gene has 99.1% identity at the amino acid level with the NY/09 and MX/09 viruses, with four to five amino acid changes over the entire HA molecule depending on the reference sequences compared. However, none of these changes occurs at the receptor binding site or at putative antigenic sites, making the mechanism behind the differences in antigenicity unclear. The effect this variation would have on preventing transmission of the pandemic H1N1 2009 from the human population to pig populations with prior immunity to SIV remains unknown.

Serologic cross-reactivity with antisera from pigs vaccinated with five US commercial vaccines was additionally assessed by HI with the three pandemic H1N1 isolates. Cross-reactivity was consistently low between the vaccine antisera and all pandemic H1N1 2009 novel viruses tested, although titers were slightly higher with the isolate from Mexico. This suggests that currently available vaccines may provide only limited protection against infection with the novel human H1N1. Future studies will investigate a subset of the vaccines from this in vitro study in a vaccination efficacy challenge model to validate the serum cross-reactivity.

To discriminate between the endemic North American SIV isolates and the pandemic H1N1 2009, an RT–PCR–RFLP assay was developed and evaluated on a panel of virus isolates as well as clinical samples from the pigs experimentally infected with CA/09. None of the matrix gene amplicons from the panel of endemic SIV were cut by AlwNI. The matrix genes from the three examined pan-
demic H1N1 2009 isolates as well as the BALF samples from pigs infected with A/ sw/Ne/02013/2008 (H1N1), 18: A/swnc/02023/2008 (H1N1), 19: A/sw/ia/02039/2008 (H1N2), 20: A/sw/oh/02026/2008 (H1N1), 21: A/sw/mn/02053/2008 (H1N1), 22: A/sw/mo/02060/2008 (H1N1), 23: A/sw/nc/00573/2005 (H1N1), 24: A/sw/mn/1192/2001 (H1N1), 25: A/CA/04/2009 (H1N1)v, 26: A/CA/04/09 (H1N1)v BALF 446, 27: A/CA/04/09 (H1N1)v BALF 447, 28: A/CA/04/09 (H1N1)v BALF 448, 29: A/CA/04/09 (H1N1)v BALF 449, 30: A/NY/18/09 (H1N1)v, 31: A/Mexico/168/09 (H1N1)v, 32: RT-PCR Negative Control.
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