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ABSTRACT

Lopinavir is an antiretroviral, antiparasitic agent and recently utilized in treatment of COVID-19. Unfortunately, lopinavir exhibited poor oral bioavailability due to poor dissolution, extensive pre-systemic metabolism, and significant P-glycoprotein intestinal efflux. Accordingly, the aim was to enhance dissolution rate and intestinal absorption of lopinavir. This employed co-processing with menthol which is believed to modify crystalline structures and inhibit intestinal efflux. Lopinavir was mixed with menthol at different molar ratios before ethanol assisted kneading. Formulations were evaluated using FTIR spectroscopy, differential scanning calorimetry (DSC), X-ray powder diffraction (XRD) and dissolution studies. Optimum ratio was utilized to assess lopinavir intestinal permeability. This employed in situ rabbit intestinal perfusion technique. FTIR, DSC and XRD indicated formation of lopinavir-menthol co-crystals at optimum molar ratio of 1:2. Additional menthol underwent phase separation due to possible self-association. Co-crystallization significantly enhanced lopinavir dissolution rate compared with pure drug to increase the dissolution efficiency from 24.96% in case of unprocessed lopinavir to 91.43% in optimum formulation. Lopinavir showed incomplete absorption from duodenum and jejuno-ileal segments with lower absorptive clearance from jejuno-ileum reflecting P-gp efflux. Co-perfusion with menthol increased lopinavir intestinal permeability. The study introduced menthol as co-crystal co-former for enhanced dissolution and augmented intestinal absorption of lopinavir.

1. Introduction

Lopinavir is a protease inhibitor that has been widely used for many years as therapy for human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) infection in both adults and children. As antiretroviral therapy, lopinavir effectively inhibits viral replication and offers a higher quality of life for the patients [1–4]. Lopinavir is also used as a prophylactic treatment for pregnant HIV-mothers to protect their neonates [5,6]. Lopinavir has been shown to be safe for HIV-infected children with severe acute malnutrition [7]. Lopinavir exhibited an inhibition of growth of some fungal cells and has potential effect as a therapy for fungal infections [8,9]. In addition, lopinavir has been investigated as a treatment plan for some parasitic infections [10,11]. Recently, lopinavir has been evaluated alone or in combination with ritonavir in the treatment of COVID patients, but limited success has been shown [12–15].

Lopinavir experiences extensive gastrointestinal (GI) and liver metabolism by the enzyme cytochrome P450 3A4 and is significantly affected by P-glycoprotein efflux. This in addition to its poor aqueous solubility and slow dissolution (BCS class II) resulted in poor oral bioavailability of lopinavir [16–19]. Accordingly, lopinavir doesn’t reach the therapeutic concentration in the blood stream. Fixed dose combinations of lopinavir and ritonavir are now commercially available to solve this bioavailability hassle. Ritonavir is an analogue to lopinavir that is also a protease inhibitor and when co-administered, enhances the absorption of lopinavir from the intestinal lumen to the systemic circulation by acting as a CYP3A4 and P-gp inhibitor, thereby boosting the oral bioavailability of lopinavir. However, the in-vivo action of ritonavir has been reported to be negligible, since lopinavir is ten times more potent than ritonavir which indicates that the lopinavir-ritonavir mixture in-vivo action is mainly contributed to lopinavir [20]. Moreover, the oral solution of lopinavir-ritonavir combination have a very bitter taste and contains high amount of alcohol that causes some GI side effects [2,4,21,22]. Therefore, it is certain that there is a need for a formulation that doesn’t include ritonavir to improve the oral bioavailability of lopinavir.

Various pharmaceutical strategies have been employed to promote...
Lopinavir as a single therapy for HIV, increase its solubility, dissolution, and hence enhance its oral bioavailability without the need for ritonavir. These included amorphous solid dispersion [12,23]. In addition, freeze-dried formulations reported higher lopinavir oral bioavailability [2,24]. Amino acid prodrugs of lopinavir were also investigated for their potential to evade P-glycoprotein efflux pump and the extensive first pass effects [18].

Crystalline structure modification has been shown to enhance the dissolution rate of drugs. The crystalline structure modification involved amorphization, co-crystallization or formulation of eutectic mixture [25–28]. Co-crystals and eutectics are considered as non-covalent derivatives and can be developed by co-processing drugs with benign co-formers. A eutectic mixture develops if the cohesive forces dominate and results in formation of new crystalline species with reduced melting point. This is expected to weaken the crystalline structure resulting in enhanced dissolution rate. It is also believed that reduction of melting point can augment lipid solubility. Furthermore, the eutectic mixture has many advantages since its preparation is fairly inexpensive, and the formed compound is crystalline offering better stability compared to amorphous compounds [27,29–32].

Co-crystallization result when the adhesive forces between the drug and co-former dominate [33–35]. The mechaenochemical approach is the most commonly employed strategy for formation of co-crystals. The task is to develop supramolecular mixing of solids using either neat grinding or liquid assisted grinding [36]. Co-crystallization was successfully adopted to enhance the dissolution rate of a variety of poorly soluble drugs [25,26]. The benefit will be augmented if the selected excipient was able to enhance the biopharmaceutical properties of drugs.

Menthol is believed to enhance the membrane permeability of drugs probably by fluidization of membrane lipids in addition to potential inhibition of P-glycoprotein efflux transporters [19,37–41]. Menthol was shown to develop eutectic mixture or co-crystals [42,43].

Accordingly, the objective of this study was to investigate the effect of co-processing of lopinavir with menthol on the crystalline structure, dissolution rate and intestinal permeability of lopinavir.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Materials

Lopinavir was purchased from Haihang Industry Co., Ltd, China. Pharmaceutical grade potassium dihydrogen phosphate, potassium hydroxide, ortho-phosphoric acid, potassium chloride, disodium hydrogen phosphate, sodium chloride and tween 80 were procured from El Nasr Pharmaceuticals Chemicals Co., Cairo, Egypt. Acetonitrile (HPLC grade) was obtained from Fisher Scientific UK, Loughborough, Lecis, United Kingdom. L-menthol was obtained from Bhagat Aromatics Ltd, India. PEG 200 was purchased from Sigma Aldrich, Steinheim, Germany. Avicel (PH 102) and aerosil 200 were kindly donated by Sigma Pharmaceutical Co., Quesna, Egypt.

2.2. Preparation of co-crystals

Mixtures of lopinavir and menthol at molar ratios of 1:1, 1:1.5, 1:2, and 1:3 were fabricated. The actual composition of the prepared formulations is presented in Table 1 as weight ratios. For dissolution studies, the formulations included avicel and aerosil which were added to prevent formation of sticky aggregates. The mixtures were prepared using ethanol assisted kneading technique [44]. Briefly, lopinavir and menthol were mixed in a mortar and ethanol was added dropwise with continuous grinding to form a smooth paste. The paste was subjected to continuous grinding until evaporation of ethanol. The process of paste formation was repeated and avicel/aerosil mixture was added gradually with mixing. Mixing process continued until evaporation of the organic solvent and development of flowable powder. The developed system was left at ambient conditions (25°C) for an overnight.

2.3. Determination of drug content

Known weight of the tested formulation equivalent to 50 mg of lopinavir was dissolved in ethanol and suitably diluted with dissolution medium before drug quantification using HPLC method. These procedures were repeated in triplicates. The following equation was utilized for calculating the drug content in each formulation:

\[
\text{Drug content (\%) = \frac{(Recovered \ amount \ \ Theoretical \ amount) \times 100}{100}}
\]

2.4. High pressure liquid chromatography

High pressure liquid chromatographic method was employed for quantification of lopinavir. The utilized chromatographic system (1260 infinity, DE, Germany) was a product of Agilent Technologies. This system was supported with variable wavelength UV detector (VWD 1260) which was adjusted at wavelength of 210 nm for quantitative measurement of lopinavir concentration in the tested samples. The sampling system (TCC 1260) was automatic and fully computerized system. A reversed phase column (Intersil® C18 column, 150 mm × 4.6 mm (i.d.) with an average particle size of 5 μm produced by GL Sciences Inc., Tokyo, Japan) was used as the stationary phase. Samples containing lopinavir (30 μl) were injected into the system during the isocratic flow of the mobile phase which comprised 0.02 Molar potassium dihydrogen phosphate buffer adjusted to pH 6.8 and acetonitrile at a ratio of 25:75. The software (Agilent OpenLAB ChemStation) was employed in data analysis.

2.5. Fourier’s transform infrared spectroscopy (FTIR)

The FTIR spectral patterns of pure unprocessed lopinavir, menthol and the tested formulations were examined using the spectrophotometer (Bruker Tensor 27, Germany) which was equipped with DLaTGS detector (Ettingen, Germany). This was done to assess any changes in the spectral pattern of the main functional groups after processing that can indicate possible interaction and formation of new species. The tested sample was mixed with spectroscopic grade potassium bromide and was compressed using hydraulic press into solid disks. Sample scan was performed in the range of 4000 to 400 cm⁻¹. Opus IR (FTIR spectroscopy) software was adopted for data curation.

2.6. Differential scanning calorimetry (DSC)

The thermal behavior of lopinavir and menthol both as pure entities and co-processed form were investigated using the differential scanning calorimeter (Discovery DSC 25-TA instrument, Newcastle, DE, USA). Known weight of the tested sample was packed in an aluminum pan, which was occluded with its lid, and was finally crimped. The crimped pan was placed in the sample holder and an empty one was employed as reference. The thermogram was recorded by heating the sample at a rate of 10°C/min starting from 20 to 400°C. This process was operated under continuous and constant flow of nitrogen gas (50 ml/min). The

| Formulation | Lopinavir (mg) | Menthol (mg) | Avicel (mg) | Aerosil (mg) |
|-------------|---------------|--------------|-------------|--------------|
| Lop: Menth 1:1 | 628.8 | 156.3 | 1257.6 | 139.7 |
| Lop: Menth 1:2 | 628.8 | 312.67 | 1257.6 | 139.7 |
| Lop: Menth 1:3 | 628.8 | 468.9 | 1257.6 | 139.7 |
recorded data were curatued using TRIOS software. The thermal parameters including transition midpoint (Tm) and enthalpy were assessed for each transition peak.

2.7. X-ray powder diffraction (XRPD)

The X-ray diffraction pattern and crystallinity of lopinavir, menthol, and the fabricated mixtures were evaluated using PAN analytical X-Ray diffractometer (model X’Pert PRO, Philips, Amsterdam, Netherlands). This X-Ray diffractometer is supported with secondary monochromator, Cu-Kα radiation (λ = 1.542 Å). The monochromator was operated at current of 35 mA and 45 KV. The equipment was supplied with X’Celerator detector that enables data collection. Sample scan was performed with continuous scan mode at ambient temperature using 2 theta scan axis. The scanning was recorded in the range of 3–60°, operating the system at scanning step size of 0.03°.

2.8. Dissolution studies

In order to assess the impact of lopinavir wet co-processing with menthol on its dissolution rate, the dissolution studies were performed. The study employed USP 2 dissolution apparatus (paddle type) which is a product of Copley Scientific Dis 6000, Nottingham, UK. The test was performed in 900 ml dissolution medium comprising 1% w/v Tween 80 solution in 0.01 M phosphate buffer (pH 6.8). The temperature of the dissolution medium was adjusted at 37 ± 0.5 °C to mimic the in vivo condition. The tested sample (400 mg of lopinavir or its equivalent) was added to the dissolution vessel and the paddle of the apparatus was rotated at speed of 50 rpm. At fixed time intervals (5, 10, 15, 30, 45, and 60 min), samples (5 ml) were withdrawn, and were immediately filtered by 0.45 μm Millipore filter. After sample withdrawal, equivalent volume of the fresh dissolution media was added to keep on the constant volume for maintaining sink conditions. The developed high pressure liquid chromatographic method was employed to determine lopinavir concentration in the dissolution samples. Dissolution study for each formulation was conducted in triplicates. After measuring lopinavir concentration in the dissolution samples, the cumulative amounts of lopinavir dissolved were computed as percentage of the load and plotted against the time to generate dissolution profiles. Utilizing the generated profiles, the amount of drug dissolved within the first 5 min (%Q5) and the dissolution efficiency (% DE) were calculated. The nonlinear trapezoidal rule was employed in the estimation of the dissolution efficiency. These parameters were used to compare between different formulations. Further comparison between the overall dissolution profiles was achieved using the similarity factor test.

2.9. In-situ perfusion model

2.9.1. In-situ intestinal perfusion studies

The well established in-situ rabbit intestinal perfusion was adopted to assess the intestinal permeability of lopinavir. Firstly, perfusion solution of lopinavir containing 30 μg/ml was prepared in phosphate buffered saline (containing 10% v/v polyethylene glycol 200). This was prepared both in absence and presence of menthol which was included at 1:2 molar ratio of lopinavir to menthol. These solutions were used to investigate the effect of menthol on intestinal absorption of lopinavir. The tested solutions were freshly prepared just before the experiment. The perfusion solution containing lopinavir and menthol was prepared at the optimum molar ratio of co-crystallization which produced the best dissolution pattern. This composition is expected to mimic the composition of drug solution after dissolution in presence of menthol.

2.9.2. Segment preparation

Segment preparation is well documented in literature. Briefly, anesthesia was achieved by IM administration of chlorpromazine HCl (2 mg/kg) as to provide muscle relaxation before injection of ketamine HCl in 2 doses of 45 mg/kg given at 15 min interval and another dose of 25 mg/kg may be required.

The anesthetized rabbit was fixed in supine position on thermostated matrix before shaving the abdominal hair and making a midline incision (6–8 cm length). The required intestinal segment was recovered. The desired length of tested intestinal segment was measured and tied from both sides with surgical threads then they were cannulated. This length used depended on the segment; 15 cm for duodenum, and 30 cm for jejuno-ileum. Normal saline maintained at 37 °C was utilized to clean the desired segment.

2.9.3. Experimental design

The study protocol was approved by the Faculty of Pharmacy, Tanta University Ethical Committee (Approval number, 1822017). The study employed 6 male albino rabbits weighing 2 ± 0.11 kg. Rabbits were divided into 2 groups. The first group comprised 3 rabbits which were utilized to investigate the duodenal and jejuno-iliac absorption of lopinavir in absence of menthol. The second group of animals (3 rabbits) was employed to assess the effect of menthol on the intestinal membrane transport parameters of lopinavir.

2.9.4. In-situ intestinal perfusion

The tested lopinavir solutions prepared in phosphate buffered saline were pumped at a rate of 0.27 ml/min using constant rate perfusion pump (Harvard Apparatus-22, Millis, MA, USA). The samples eluted from the investigated segment were gathered every 10 min for 2 h in stoppered tubes with a known weight. After that, the tubes were weighed again to determine the effluent weight, which is the difference in tube weight before and after sample collection.

2.9.5. Sample analysis

For quantification of the lopinavir concentration in perfusate samples, the solutions were treated as follow. First, the samples were centrifuged then 1 ml of the supernatant was diluted 1 in 4 with phosphate buffered saline free from PEG 200 before injection into the HPLC for drug assay.

2.9.6. Data analysis

2.9.6.1. Absorptive clearance. The amount of lopinavir in each sample (C<sub>out</sub>) was calculated by correcting the recovered concentration to the net water flux. The ratio between C<sub>out</sub> and the amount of lopinavir perfused (C<sub>in</sub>) produces the fraction of lopinavir remaining after perfusion. The fraction remaining at steady state (C<sub>out</sub>/C<sub>in</sub>)<sub>ss</sub> was taken as the average of the fractions remaining in samples collected during the second hour of perfusion. This was used to calculate the absorptive clearance (PeA) which was expressed as ml/minute using equation (1), in which A is the effective surface area (cm<sup>2</sup>), Pe is apparent permeability coefficient (cm/min), and Q is the average flow rate of the perfusate through the target segment (ml/min).

\[
\frac{\text{PeA}}{\text{ml/minute}} = Q \times \ln \left( \frac{\text{C}_{\text{out}}}{\text{C}_{\text{in}}} \right)_{\text{ss}}
\]  

(1)

The fraction of the drug absorbed at steady state (Fa) was calculated using equation (2).

\[
\text{Fa} = 1 - \left( \frac{\text{C}_{\text{out}}}{\text{C}_{\text{in}}} \right)_{\text{ss}} = 1 - \exp \left( -\text{PeA}Q_s \right)
\]  

(2)

The length of the intestine remaining after complete drug absorption is described as the anatomical reserve length (ARL) and was computed using equation (3) in which L<sup>ss</sup> is the physiological length of the selected intestinal segment in centimeters and L<sup>*</sup> (cm) is the length needed for complete absorption of drug.

\[
\text{ARL} = (L_{\text{ss}}) - (L^*)
\]  

(3)

Basically, lopinavir cannot disappear completely form the intestinal lumen particularly in a logarithmic situation. Thus, a 5% fraction was
suggested to stay with 95% absorption being considered as an approximation of complete absorption. The length needed for 95% absorption (L95%) was thus computed using equation (4).
\[
0.05 = \exp \left( -\frac{(\text{Pe}_A \cdot \text{I}^*)}{Q} \right),
\]
(4)
Where \(\text{Pe}_A\) is absorptive clearance normalized to length and \(I^*\) is L95% for the given drug.

2.9.6.2. Effect of water flux on intestinal absorption. Lopinavir absorption was assessed as a function of water flux by plotting the absorptive clearance as a function of net water flux \(J_w\) (ml/min) which was computed from the difference between the volume of the perfusate pumped during a given time period \(Q_{in}\) and the volume of perfusate recovered from the segment during this time \(Q_{out}\). This is simplified by equation (5).
\[
J_w = Q_{in} - Q_{out}
\]
(5)
The rate of drug absorption \(J_{ss}\) in \(\mu g/\text{min}\) results from diffusive and convective processes. The net amount of drug absorbed per unit time can be deducted from equation (6).
\[
J_{ss} = K_s(C-C_p) + \frac{\partial S}{\partial t} \frac{J_s}{w}
\]
Where the diffusive process is described by \([K_s (C-C_p)]\) in which \(K_s\) is the diffusive permeability coefficient of the drug. The concentration of the drug in the intestinal lumen is expressed as \(C\) with that in the plasma being defined as \(C_p\) and the convective process is represented by \([\partial S/\partial t \cdot J_s/w]\) in which \(S\) is the sieving coefficient of drug. Equation (6) can be approximated to equation (7) at steady state, taking into consideration the existence of sink conditions in blood.
\[
J_{ss} = \frac{\text{DAKp}}{\Delta x} (C_{in} - C_{out})
\]
(7)
Where \(J_{ss}\) is the steady state solute flux \((\mu g/\text{min})\), \(D\) is the diffusion coefficient of the drug, \(A\) is the effective surface area of drug absorption \(Kp\) is the (octanol/water) partition coefficient of the compound. \(\Delta x\) is the path length. \(C_{in}\) is the length averaged steady state concentration of the solute in the lumen \((\mu g/\text{ml})\). Rearrangement of equation (7) gives:
\[
J_{ss}/C_{in} = \frac{\text{DAKp}}{\Delta x} + \frac{\partial S}{\partial t} J_s/w
\]
(8)
The term \(J_{ss}/C_{in}\) is the overall absorptive clearance \((\text{ml/min})\) and can be practically calculated as permeability surface area product, \(\text{Pe}_A\). When \(\text{Pe}_A\) is dependent on the water flux, the absorption mechanisms include a paracellular component. On the other hand, if the permeability coefficient term \((\text{DAKp}/\Delta x)\) is different from zero, this reflects a role for transcellular absorption.

2.10. Statistical analysis

In vitro and in-situ data were subjected for statistical analysis to assess the significance of the effect of co-processing of lopinavir with menthol on the dissolution rate and intestinal permeability of lopinavir. This was achieved using the Kruskal-Wallis test followed by Tukey’s multiple comparisons as post hoc analysis employing IBM SPSS 16 software.

Dissolution profiles were also compared using similarity factor test which computes the F2 value that must be less than 50% for the dissolution profiles to differ significantly [27].

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Characterisation of the prepared formulations

3.1.1. Drug content

The lopinavir drug content values were determined to be 104.7% ± 1.3, 105.6% ± 1.2, 103.3% ± 1.3 and 115.4% ± 3.2 respectively for formulations containing lopinavir with menthol at molar ratios of 1:1, 1:1.5, 1:2, and 1:3. The closeness of the calculated drug content values to 100% in addition to the small SD reflects the homogeneity of the prepared mixtures.

3.1.2. FTIR spectroscopy

Spectral pattern for pure lopinavir was recorded to assess possible interaction after co-processing with menthol at different molar ratios. The FTIR spectrum of lopinavir showed the main characteristic peaks that correspond to its different functional groups (Fig. 1). The peak recorded at 3402 cm\(^{-1}\) and 3300 cm\(^{-1}\) can be assigned to both OH and N-H stretching vibration. The sharpness of the absorption band of the OH group reflects its freedom. The C-H aromatic and aliphatic were noted as a band starts from 2864 to 3066 cm\(^{-1}\). The C=O of the primary and secondary amides were recorded as strong peaks at 1604 and 1514 cm\(^{-1}\) respectively. The stretching peak of C-N was evident at 1448 cm\(^{-1}\) and C-O stretching vibrations (asymmetric and symmetric) were recorded as multiple peaks at 1240, 1197, 1093 and 1050 cm\(^{-1}\). Similar FTIR spectrum for lopinavir was reported by various investigators [20, 50].

The FTIR spectrum of menthol showed the characteristic phenolic O-H stretching vibration as a broad peak at 3416 cm\(^{-1}\). The stretching vibration for the aromatic and the aliphatic C-H were observed in the range of 2870–2957 cm\(^{-1}\). The isopropyl group stretching vibration was noted at 1380 cm\(^{-1}\) (Fig. 1). All these characteristic peaks were recorded previously for pure menthol [51–53].

The FTIR spectrum of the prepared formulations showed a summation of the main peaks that were recorded for lopinavir and menthol except for the absorption band of the NH group which showed broadening and shifting to lower wave number (Fig. 1). This suggests possible hydrogen bonding. This effect might imply possible transformation in the crystalline structure which is to be verified by other instrumental techniques in the proceeding sections.

3.1.3. Differential scanning calorimetry (DSC)

The changes in the thermal behavior of lopinavir after wet co-grinding with menthol at different molar ratios were monitored using DSC. The recorded thermal patterns are shown in Fig. 2. These thermograms were employed to calculate the thermodynamic parameters which are presented Table 2.

For pure unprocessed lopinavir, the recorded thermogram showed broad asymmetric endothermic peak with apex being towards the higher temperature. This endotherm has an onset of 104 °C and end-set of 126.8 °C with the apex being recorded at 121 °C. This endotherm can be attributed to melting of lopinavir. Another broad exothermic peak was shown at Tm of 340.1 °C which can be attributed to lopinavir decomposition events (Fig. 2). Similar thermal behavior was reported previously for lopinavir [20,54,55].

The thermogram of menthol showed two successive endothermic peaks. The first of which was shown at Tm value of 49 °C (onset at 44.2 °C and end-set at 56.6 °C). This peak was accredited to menthol melting. The second endotherm was detected at 172.8 °C and was credited to complete thermal decomposition of menthol. The same thermal behavior of menthol was stated in literature [37,51–56].

Wet co-grinding of lopinavir with menthol resulted in significant modulation in the recorded thermal pattern regardless the molar ratio used. The thermograms showed sharp symmetric endothermic peak at 119.8 °C. This sharp endotherm was recorded in all tested molar ratios of lopinavir to menthol but with different enthalpy. The enthalpy of the recorded endotherm increases with increasing menthol concentration in the system up to 1:2 ratio (lopinavir to menthol). This modulation was accompanied by complete disappearance of melting transition endotherm of menthol. Further increase in menthol reduced the enthalpy of this endotherm and was associated with the appearance of an endothermic peak at 40 °C which can be attributed to excess menthol which underwent phase separation in formulation containing lopinavir with
menthol at molar ratio of 1:3. The Tm of the decomposition exotherm of lopinavir did not show significant change after co-processing (Fig. 2). This thermal behavior suggests development of new crystalline species with mixture containing lopinavir and menthol at 1:2 M ratio being optimum for this transformation. Taking the published data on menthol into consideration, it was expected to have eutectic mixture formation [57,58] but the recorded thermal behavior did not reflect significant reduction in the melting point. Accordingly, the developed crystalline species can be considered as co-crystal of lopinavir with menthol. Menthol induced co-crystallization was reported in few literature reports with some compounds such as lidocaine and xylitol [35,42].

3.1.4. X-ray powder diffraction

X-ray diffraction was used to investigate further the nature of the new crystalline species which was assumed to develop based on thermal analysis after co-processing of lopinavir with menthol. Fig. 3 shows the X-ray diffraction of lopinavir, menthol and their co-processed mixtures. The recorded diffractogram of lopinavir confirms its crystalline nature as revealed from the presence of multiple diffraction peaks at 2θ values of 5.2, 6.7, 7.7, 12.5, 13.2, 15.1, 16.8, 18.3, 19.6, 21.05, 22.4, 26.1°. Similar diffraction pattern for lopinavir was reported in the literature reports [20,55,59]. For pure ground menthol, the diffractogram shows several diffraction peaks at 2θ of 8.2, 9.5, 12.6, 14.3, 15.3, 16.7, 17.3, 19.2, 20.4, 21.8, 22.5°. This diffraction pattern suggests the crystallinity of the ground menthol and complies with the previously published data [50,51]. Wet co-grinding of lopinavir with menthol at increasing molar ratios produced crystalline product with XRD pattern which is different from the sum of diffraction pattern of lopinavir and menthol highlighting the development of new crystalline species. The modulated diffraction pattern was characterized by development of new diffraction peaks at 2θ of 12.5, 13.2, 23 and 23.3 in addition to a change in the relative heights of diffraction peaks. This new pattern was shown in all formulations but that containing lopinavir with menthol at 1:3, molar ratio showed evidence for excess menthol as seen from the appearance of its diffraction peaks. These data confirm the recorded thermal analysis results and supports development of new crystalline species with optimum composition of 1:2, lopinavir to menthol. Additional menthol underwent phase separation. The recorded changes in the X-ray diffraction pattern supports the supposition of co-crystallization between menthol and lopinavir. Similar changes in the diffractograms after coprocessing have been taken as indication for co-crystal formation in literature reports [26,60].

| Table 2 | The thermodynamic parameters calculated from thermal analysis of lopinavir before and after co-processing with menthol. |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| Formulation | Onset (°C) | End-set (°C) | Tm (°C) | Enthalpy ΔH (J/g) |
| Pure Lopinavir | 104 | 126.8 | 121 | 38.6 |
| Pure Menthol | 44.2 | 56.6 | 49 | 15.36 |
| Lop: Menth 1:1 | 110.8 | 126.8 | 119.8 | 45.84 |
| Lop: Menth 1:1.5 | 112.3 | 126.8 | 119.9 | 55.16 |
| Lop: Menth 1:2 | 112 | 128.6 | 119.8 | 59 |
| Lop: Menth 1:3 | 111.54 | 128 | 119.7 | 33.9 |

Fig. 1. FTIR spectra of menthol, pure lopinavir, and formulations containing lopinavir and menthol at molar ratios of 1:1, 1:1.5, 1:2, and 1:3.

Fig. 2. Representative DSC thermograms of menthol, pure lopinavir, and formulations containing lopinavir and menthol at molar ratios of 1:1, 1:1.5, 1:2, and 1:3.
3.1.5. Dissolution studies

To examine the effect of lopinavir co-processing with menthol on lopinavir dissolution rate, dissolution studies were performed. The resulted dissolution profiles are shown in Fig. 4. These profiles generated the dissolution parameters (DE% and Q5) which are presented in Table 3. For pure untreated lopinavir, the dissolution profile revealed slow and incomplete dissolution with the % dissolved in the first 5 min being 1.56%. The total amount liberated after 1 h was 41.85% of the dose. The overall dissolution efficiency was 24.96 ± 1.48%. These values are not acceptable for an immediate release. This slow dissolution rate reflects the hydrophobic nature of lopinavir and correlates with the published work on the drug [16].

Wet co-grinding of lopinavir and menthol resulted in significant enhancement in lopinavir dissolution rate (P < 0.01). This enhancement depended on the molar ratio of lopinavir to menthol. The computed % Q5 values for the co-processed mixtures at molar ratio of 1:1, 1:1.5, 1:2, and 1:3 lopinavir to menthol were 26.14, 20.73, 76.55, and 23.45% respectively. The calculated % DE for the same tested formulations were 50.58 ± 1.76, 61.33 ± 2.6, 91.43 ± 0.92, 44.79 ± 1.25%. This enhancement in lopinavir dissolution rate in case of the formulations containing lopinavir and menthol at molar ratios of 1:1, 1:1.5, and 1:2 can be accredited to formation of a new crystalline species with weaker crystallinity. Similar behavior was recorded previously with other hydrophobic molecules and are elucidated in the same manner [25, 26].

Studying the effect of menthol concentration in the formulation on the dissolution behavior of lopinavir, the dissolution parameters increased with menthol concentrations up to a molar ratio of 1:2 (lopinavir:menthol). Further increase in menthol concentration was associated with a decrease in the dissolution parameters compared to the optimum molar ratio (1:2). The similarity factor test reflected the same pattern with formulation containing 1:2 being significantly better than other formulations with respect to dissolution behavior (Table 4). This behavior can be explained taking into consideration the thermal analysis and X-ray diffraction data which indicated possible phase separation of excess menthol. The decrease in dissolution rate at higher concentration of menthol may suggest self-association of menthol after separation of excess menthol. Certain degree of phase separation after co-crystallization has been shown [61].

3.2. In-situ intestinal perfusion of lopinavir

The primary objective of this research was to investigate the effect of co-processing of lopinavir with menthol on the dissolution rate and intestinal absorption of lopinavir. The intestinal absorption was monitored using in-situ intestinal perfusion technique. The rabbit in-situ intestinal perfusion was employed based on previous investigations which

| Formulation | % Q5 | % DE |
|-------------|------|------|
| Pure Lopinavir | 1.56 (0.54) | 24.96 (1.48) |
| Lop: Menth 1:1 | 26.04 (1.12) | 50.58 (1.76) |
| Lop: Menth 1:1.5 | 20.73 (2.6) | 61.33 (2.83) |
| Lop: Menth 1:2 | 76.55 (5.32) | 91.43 (0.92) |
| Lop: Menth 1:3 | 23.45 (5.03) | 44.79 (1.25) |

Values between brackets are SD, n = 3.

| Formulation | Pure Lopinavir | Lop: Menth 1:1 | Lop: Menth 1:1.5 | Lop: Menth 1:2 | Lop: Menth 1:3 |
|-------------|---------------|---------------|-----------------|---------------|----------------|
| Pure Lopinavir | – | 29 | 24 | 5 | 28 |
| Lop: Menth 1:1 | 29 | – | 48 | 14 | 34 |
| Lop: Menth 1:1.5 | 24 | 48 | – | 13 | 22 |
| Lop: Menth 1:2 | 5 | 14 | 13 | – | 9 |
| Lop: Menth 1:3 | 28 | 34 | 22 | 9 | – |
reflected the feasibility of this model in monitoring the intestinal absorption. This model eliminates the effect of food by excluding the stomach. Moreover, the use of rabbit guarantees the presence of similar anatomical and physiological features to human intestine while providing much information about the absorption rate and pathway [48, 49, 62, 63]. The calculated permeation parameters are presented in Table 5. The effect of water flux on intestinal absorption is shown in Fig. 5.

Perfusion of simple aqueous solution of lopinavir reflected the incomplete absorption from duodenum and jejuno-ileum segments. This incomplete absorption was reflected from the recorded negative values for the ARL which were −261.18, −240.22 cm in case of the duodenum and jejuno-ileum, respectively. This was further manifested from the computed 195% values which were 276.18 and 339.54 cm for duodenum and jejuno-ileum, respectively (Table 5). The absorptive clearance normalized to segment length values were ranked as duodenum > jejuno-ileum. This suggests a decrease in the absorptive clearance of lopinavir as we move distally through small intestine. This rank is the reverse of the magnitude of expression of P-gp efflux transporters which are preferentially expressed in the distal parts of small intestine [64–66]. Accordingly, we can postulate that the incomplete absorption of lopinavir can be at least partially due to P-gp efflux mechanism. This postulation correlates with the published data on lopinavir [16–19].

The effect of water flux on the absorptive clearance of lopinavir was investigated to estimate the pathway of lopinavir absorption. This investigation involved plotting the absorptive clearance per unit length as a function of water flux per unit length. Regression analysis of these plots was used to determine the contribution of transcellular and paracellular pathways. These plots are shown in Fig. 5. The intercept with Y axis was taken as a measure for the absorptive clearance at zero water flux which represents the fraction absorbed via transcellular pathway. Comparing this value with the overall absorptive clearance, the contribution of paracellular pathway was estimated. This calculation indicated that 61.7% of the absorption was via transcellular pathway with 38.3% being absorbed via the paracellular pathway in case of duodenum. The dominance of transcellular absorption was clear in case of jejuno-ileac segment with 100% of lopinavir disappearing via transcellular pathway (Table 5 and Fig. 5). The absence of significant effect for water flux on lopinavir absorption from jejuno-ileum was further confirmed by statistical analysis which indicated that the slope of the plot of absorptive clearance as a function of water flux was not significantly different from zero (P > 0.05, regression analysis).

Co-perfusion of lopinavir with menthol increased the intestinal absorption of lopinavir compared with the corresponding aqueous solution. The absorptive clearance normalized to segment length was increased by 1.6 and 1.3-fold after co-perfusion of lopinavir and menthol at molar ratio of 1:2 in case of duodenum and jejuo-ileum respectively. Statistical analysis of these data revealed the existence of a trend of enhanced absorption after co-perfusion with menthol (P > 0.05). This requires future confirmation with larger number of replicates. This increase in the absorptive clearance was reflected as an increase in the % fraction absorbed (%Fa) in addition to reduction in length required for complete drug absorption (L95%) (Table 5). The increase in absorptive clearance was generally through the transcellular pathway as noticed from the data of the duodenum which showed increased contribution of transcellular pathway after co-perfusion with menthol (Table 5). The recorded enhancement of intestinal permeation can be explained on the base of the intestinal membrane fluidizing effect of menthol. This effect has been suggested in literature reports [37–40, 67, 68]. The ability of menthol to inhibit the effect of P-gp efflux transporters has been suggested by other investigators [19, 41]. However, this effect can not be taken as the main factor contributing to menthol-mediated enhancement in intestinal absorption of lopinavir. This comment is based on the recorded data which reflected better effect for menthol on the absorptive clearance from the duodenum which is believed to have lower magnitude of expression of P-gp transporters compared with the jejuno-ileum.

### Table 5

| Parameters | Pure Lopinavir | Log/Menth 1:2 |
|------------|----------------|---------------|
|            | Duodenum | Jejuno-ileum | Duodenum | Jejuno-ileum |
| PeA/L (ml/min/cm) | 0.0049 (0.0014) | 0.0038 (0.001) | 0.0068 (0.0008) | 0.0049 (0.0014) |
| Rout/Rin | 0.7599 (0.008) | 0.657 (0.083) | 100.92 (13.06) | 181.39 (40.87) |
| L95% (cm) | 276.18 (121.5) | 339.54 (79.03) | 100 (12.23) | 2.50 (0.23) |
| %Fa/L (% of cm) | 1.61 (0.454) | 1.17 (0.27) | 1.0002 (0.0007) | 0.0024 (0.0004) |
| JW/L (ml/min/cm) | 0.0012 (0.0006) | 0.0002 (0.0007) | 1.00 | 24.8 |
| ARL (cm) | 261.18 | 240.22 | 75.2 | 94.4 |
| Absorption pathway | Transcellular (%) | Paracellular (%) | |
|                | 61.7 | 38.3 | 100 | 0 |
|                | 24.8 | 5.6 | |

PeA/L is absorptive clearance normalized to the segment length, Rout/Rin is the fraction remaining after absorption, %Fa/L is the percentage fraction absorbed per unit length, L95% is the required length for 95% absorption, ARLs is the anatomical reserve length and JW/L is the water flux normalized to the segment length. Values between brackets are SEM (n = 3). The percentage of lopinavir absorbed via transcellular and paracellular pathways.

### 4. Conclusion

Ethanol assisted kneading of lopinavir with menthol resulted in co-crystal formation with augmented dissolution rate compared with pure lopinavir. The optimum ratio for co-crystallization was 1:2 M ratio of lopinavir and menthol. Formulations containing higher proportions of menthol showed phase separation of menthol due to possible self-association. Co-crystallization with menthol hastened both the dissolution rate, and intestinal permeability of lopinavir. The study introduced menthol for modification of the crystalline structure, enhancing dissolution rate and augmenting intestinal absorption of lopinavir after simple co-processing.
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