Shell-model half-lives for r-process waiting point nuclei including first-forbidden contributions
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We have performed large-scale shell-model calculations of the half-lives and neutron-branching probabilities of the r-process waiting point nuclei at the magic neutron numbers N = 50, 82, and 126. The calculations include contributions from allowed Gamow-Teller and first-forbidden transitions. We find good agreement with the measured half-lives for the N = 50 nuclei with charge numbers Z = 28–32 and for the N = 82 nuclei $^{125}$Ag and $^{130}$Cd. The contribution of forbidden transitions reduce the half-lives of the N = 126 waiting point nuclei significantly, while they have only a small effect on the half-lives of the N = 50 and 82 r-process nuclei.

PACS numbers: 21.60.Cs, 23.40.-s, 26.30.Hj

I. INTRODUCTION

Although the actual site of the astrophysical r-process is still not known with certainty, it is commonly accepted that occurs in an explosive environment of relatively high temperatures ($T$ ≈ 10$^{10}$ K) and very high neutron densities ($> 10^{20}$ cm$^{-3}$) [1–5]. Under such conditions, neutron captures are much faster than competing beta decays and the r-process path in the nuclear chart proceeds through a chain of extremely neutron rich nuclei with relatively low and approximately constant neutron separation energies ($S_n ≲ 3$ MeV). Due to the relatively stronger binding of nuclei with magic neutron numbers, the neutron separation energies show discontinuities at the magic numbers N = 50, 82, and 126. As a consequence the r-process matter flow slows down when it reaches these neutron-magic nuclei and has to wait for several beta decays (which are also longer than for other nuclei on the r-process path) to occur until further neutron captures are possible carrying the mass flow to heavier nuclei. Thus matter is accumulated at these r-process waiting points associated with the neutron numbers N = 50, 82, and 126 leading to the well-known peaks in the observed r-process abundance distribution.

The beta half-lives of the waiting points have at least two important effects on the r-process dynamics and abundance distributions. At first, they mainly determine the time it takes the mass flow within the r-process to transmute seed nuclei to heavy nuclei in the third peak around $A$ ≈ 200. Second, in the astrophysical environment the nuclear r-process timescale (given by the sum of beta half-lives of nuclei in the r-process path) competes with some dynamical timescale of the environment, e.g. the expansion timescale of the ejected matter. If the r-process path and half-lives were known, the reproduction of the abundance distribution can be used to constrain the conditions of the astrophysical environment. If the r-process has sufficient time for beta-flow equilibrium to establish, the relative elemental abundances are proportional to the beta half-life [6].

Despite their importance only a few half-lives of waiting points with magic neutron numbers N = 50 and 82 are known experimentally [7–10], while no experimental data exist yet for the N = 126 waiting points. The situation is expected to improve in the near future with the advent of new experimental facilities. For example, the beta-decay half-lives of 38 new neutron-rich isotopes from Kr to Tc close to the r-process path have been measured at the new RIBF facility at RIKEN [11]. Furthermore, researchers at GSI have measured half-lives of nuclei close to N = 126 using a novel analysis method [12]. Despite this progress, the half-lives needed for r-process simulations have mainly to rely on theoretical estimates. As the Q-values involved are rather low, such calculations have traditionally been based on allowed, i.e. Gamow-Teller, transitions. Most of these studies used the Quasiparticle Random Phase Approximation (QRPA) either on top of semi-empirical global models [13,15] or the Hartree-Fock-Bogoliubov method [16]. Although the calculations give a fair account of the few experimental half-lives, it is well known that these models underestimate the correlations among nucleons which pull down the Gamow-Teller (GT) strength to low energies. This shortcoming is overcome within the interacting shell model which indeed describes the measured half-lives of r-process waiting point nuclei very well [17–19].

It is expected that the appearance of intruder single particle states with different parity may have influence on the low-energy spectra of the r-process waiting point nuclei. Thus it is conceivable that first-forbidden transitions might contribute to the half-lives of these nuclei. A first attempt to estimate such forbidden contributions...
has been taken within the gross theory \cite{14}. This model, however, has been found as rather inaccurate when applied to Gamow-Teller transitions. More recently, Borzov extended the QRPA studies based on the Fayans energy functional to a consistent treatment of allowed and first-forbidden contributions to r-process half-lives \cite{20}. While these calculations find that forbidden contributions give only a small correction to the half-lives of the $N = 50$ and $N = 82$ waiting point nuclei, they result in a significant reduction of the $N = 126$ half-lives. This important finding has been our motivation to extend our shell model calculations of waiting point half-lives to include also first-forbidden transitions. We expect that correlations among nucleons will not only affect the half-lives, but a reliable description of the detailed allowed and forbidden strength function is needed to estimate the probabilities for beta-delayed neutron emission rates which are known to be important to describe the decay of the r-process nuclei towards stability after freeze-out.

We note that GT and higher multipole transitions are relevant to describe neutrino-nucleus reactions which are important in many astrophysical sites \cite{21, 22}. Traditionally, these reactions have been studied within the Random Phase Approximation \cite{23, 24}, including nuclei relevant to r-process nucleosynthesis \cite{25, 27}. In an interesting recent development, neutrino-induced reactions on light nuclei with relevance to neutrino-nucleosynthesis \cite{28, 29} have been calculated on the basis of the shell-model, including GT and first-forbidden transitions \cite{30, 31}.

II. SHELL MODEL AND $\beta$ DECAY THEORY

In our half-life calculations, we consider allowed and first-forbidden contributions. These are obtained using the diagonalization shell model code NATHAN developed by Etienne Caurier \cite{32, 33} to calculate the initial and final nuclear states and the corresponding nuclear transition matrix elements. Model spaces and residual interactions will be discussed for the three different sets of transition matrix elements. We expect that correlations among nucleons will not only affect the half-lives, but a reliable description of the detailed allowed and forbidden strength function is needed to estimate the probabilities for beta-delayed neutron emission rates which are known to be important to describe the decay of the r-process nuclei towards stability after freeze-out.

We note that GT and higher multipole transitions are relevant to describe neutrino-nucleus reactions which are important in many astrophysical sites \cite{21, 22}. Traditionally, these reactions have been studied within the Random Phase Approximation \cite{23, 24}, including nuclei relevant to r-process nucleosynthesis \cite{25, 27}. In an interesting recent development, neutrino-induced reactions on light nuclei with relevance to neutrino-nucleosynthesis \cite{28, 29} have been calculated on the basis of the shell-model, including GT and first-forbidden transitions \cite{30, 31}.

The phase factor has the form:

$$f = \int_{1}^{W_{0}} C(W)F(Z, W)(W^{2} - 1)^{1/2}W(W_{0} - W)^{2}dW.$$  

(2)

$C(W)$ is the so called shape factor that depends on the electron energy, $W$ in units of the electron mass. $W_{0}$ is the maximum electron energy, also in electron mass units, that is given by the difference in nuclear masses between the initial and final nuclear states, $W_{0} = Q/(m_{e}c^{2}) = (M_{i} - M_{f})/m_{e}$. $F(Z, W)$ is the Fermi function that corrects the phase space integral for the Coulomb distortion of the electron wave function near the nucleus. The partial decay rate is related to the partial half-life: $\lambda = \ln 2/t$. The total decay rate is given by summing over the partial decay rates to all possible final states.

For allowed transitions, the shape factor does not depend on the electron energy and for $\beta^{-}$ decay has the form:

$$C(W) = B(GT).$$  

(3)

The GT reduced transition probability is given by:

$$B(GT) = \left(\frac{g_{A}}{g_{V}}\right)^{2} \frac{\langle f|\sum k \sigma^{k}t^{k}||i\rangle^{2}}{2J_{i} + 1},$$  

(4)

where the matrix element is reduced with respect to the spin operator $\sigma$ only (Racah convention \cite{34}) and the sum runs over all nucleons. For the isospin lowering operator, we use the convention $t.n = p$. Finally, $(g_{A}/g_{V}) = -1.2701(25)$ is the ratio of weak axial and vector coupling constants.

For first-forbidden (FF) transitions, the shape factor is:

$$C(W) = k + kaW + kb/W + kw^{2}$$  

(5)

where the coefficients $k, ka, kb, kc$ depend on the FF nuclear matrix elements, the maximum electron energy, $W_{0}$, and the quantity $\xi = \alpha Z/(2R)$ with $R$ the radius of a uniformly charged sphere approximating the nuclear charge distribution \cite{35}. Following the treatment of Behrens and Bühring \cite{36} they are given by:

$$k = \left[\zeta_{0} + \frac{1}{9}w^{2}\right]^{(0)} + \left[\zeta_{1} + \frac{1}{9}(x + u)^{2} - \frac{4}{9}\mu_{1}\gamma_{1}u(x + u) + \frac{1}{18}W_{0}^{2}(2x + u)^{2} - \frac{1}{18}\lambda_{2}(2x - u)^{2}\right]^{(1)} + \left[\frac{1}{12}z^{2}(W_{0}^{2} - \lambda_{2})\right]^{(2)},$$  

(6)

$$ka = \left[-\frac{4}{3}uY - \frac{9}{9}W_{0}(4x^{2} + 5u^{2})\right]^{(1)} - \left[\frac{1}{6}z^{2}W_{0}\right]^{(2)},$$

(7)

$$kb = \frac{2}{3}\mu_{1}\gamma_{1}\left\{-[\zeta_{0}w]^{(0)} + [\zeta_{1}(x + u)]^{(1)}\right\},$$

(8)

$$kc = \frac{1}{18}\left[8u^{2} + (2x + u)^{2} + \lambda_{2}(2x - u)^{2}\right]^{(1)} + \frac{1}{12}\left[z^{2}(1 + \lambda_{2})\right]^{(2)}.$$
The numbers in parenthesis on the closing bracket denote the rank of the operators inside the bracket. The parameter \( \gamma_1 \) is given by \( \sqrt{1 - (\alpha Z)^2} \). For the Coulomb functions \( \mu_1 \) and \( \lambda_2 \) we use the approximations \( \mu_1 \approx 1 \) and \( \lambda_2 \approx 1/3 \).

After a non-relativistic reduction, the matrix elements can be related to the form-factor coefficients, \( A^V F_{Kls} \), defined in refs. \([36, 37]\). In the Condon and Shortley phase convention \([38]\) the matrix elements are:

\[
\begin{align*}
    w &= -R A^0 F_{011}^0 \\
    &= -g_A \sqrt{3} \langle \sum_k r_k \left[ C_k^I \times \sigma^k \right] | t_k^0 \rangle | i \rangle, \\
    x &= -\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} R V F_{110}^0 \\
    &= (\langle f \sum_k r_k C_k^I t_k^0 \rangle | i \rangle, \\
    u &= -\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} R A^0 F_{111}^0 \\
    &= -g_A \sqrt{2} \left( \frac{\langle f \sum_k r_k C_k^I \times \sigma^k \right] | t_k^0 \rangle \times I_0 | i \rangle, \\
    y &= -\frac{2}{3} R A^0 F_{011}^0 (1, 1, 1, 1) \\
    &= -g_A \sqrt{3} \langle f \sum_k \frac{2}{3} r_k I(1, 1, 1, 1, 1) \left[ C_k^I \times \sigma^k \right] | t_k^0 \rangle | i \rangle, \\
    z &= -\frac{2}{3} R V F_{110}^0 (1, 1, 1, 1) \\
    &= -\langle f \sum_k \frac{2}{3} r_k I(1, 1, 1, 1, 1) C_k^I t_k^0 \rangle | i \rangle, \\
    \end{align*}
\]

where \( g_A = -1.2701(25) \) and \( M \) is the nucleon mass. The quantity \( I(1, 1, 1, 1, 1) \) appearing in the primed matrix elements takes in account the nuclear charge distribution that can be approximated by a uniform spherical distribution \([36]\):

\[
I(1, 1, 1, 1, r) = \frac{3}{2} \left\{ \begin{array}{ll}
  1 - \frac{1}{5} \left( \frac{r}{R} \right)^2, & 0 \leq r \leq R, \\
  \left( \frac{R}{r} - \frac{1}{5} \left( \frac{R}{r} \right)^3, & r \geq R.
\end{array} \right.
\]

Based on the conserved vector current theory and the assumption that the isospin is a good quantum number, the matrix element \( \xi^I y \) can be related to the \( x \) matrix element \([39]\):

\[
\xi^I y = E_\gamma x,
\]

where energy \( E_\gamma \) is defined as the energy difference between the isobaric analog of initial state and the final state:

\[
E_\gamma = E_{\text{las}(i)} - E_f = Q + \Delta E_C - (m_n c^2 - m_p c^2),
\]

where \( m_n \) and \( m_p \) are the neutron and proton masses and \( \Delta E_C \) is the Coulomb displacement energy between isobaric analog states that can be approximated by \([40]\):

\[
\Delta E_C = 1.4136(1) Z / A^{1/3} - 0.91338(11) \text{MeV},
\]

with \( Z = (Z_i + Z_f)/2 \).

To compare the first-forbidden and Gamow-Teller transitions, we define the averaged shape factor:

\[
C(W) = f / f_0,
\]

where \( f \) takes the form of Eq. \( \ref{eq:2} \) and \( f_0 \) is

\[
f_0 = \int \frac{W_0}{W} F(Z, W)(W^2 - 1)^{1/2} W(W_0 - W)^2 dW.
\]

### III. MODEL SPACES AND QUENCHING

We have performed beta-decay half-live calculations for \( r \)-process waiting points based on large-scale shell model calculations. In particular we chose the following model spaces and respective interactions.

For the \( N = 50 \) nuclei we have adopted a model space spanned by the \( 0f_{7/2}, 21/2 \) and \( 1p_{3/2}, 1/2 \) orbits for protons and by the \( 0f_{5/2}, 1p_{3/2}, 1/2 \), and \( 0g_{9/2} \) orbits for neutrons. The single-particle energies and the residual interaction are the ones adopted in \([41]\) to study the shell evolution between \( ^{68}\text{Ni} \) and \( ^{78}\text{Ni} \).

Our shell model calculations for the \( N = 82 \) waiting point nuclei follows the shell model studies presented in \([19]\). From the two model spaces defined in \([19]\) we adopt...
the one built on a $^{88}$Sr core. That is we explicitly consider the $1p_{1/2}$ proton orbit which is expected to be important for the description of the negative parity states and hence the first-forbidden transitions. Our model space is then spanned by the $0d_{7/2}, 1d_{5/2,3/2}, 2s_{1/2}, 0h_{11/2}$ orbits outside the $N = 50$ core for neutrons, and the $1p_{1/2}, 0g_{9/2,7/2}, 1d_{3/2,5/2}, 2s_{1/2}$ orbits for protons. This model space avoids spurious center-of-mass excitations by omitting the $0h_{11/2}$ orbit for protons and the $0h_{9/2}$ orbit for neutrons. We adopt the residual interaction given in [19] based on $^{88}$Sr core which gives a good account of the spectroscopy of nuclei in the neighborhood of $^{132}$Sn. In particular, our calculation reproduces the low-energy spectrum of $^{128}$Cd and of the $r$-process waiting point nucleus $^{130}$Cd [12].

The model space for the $N = 126$ waiting points has been spanned by the $0g_{7/2}, 1d_{5/2,3/2}, 0h_{11/2}$ and $2s_{1/2}$ orbits for protons and the $0h_{9/2}, 1f_{7/2,5/2}, 0h_{13/2}, 2p_{3/2,1/2}$ orbits for neutrons. As interaction we use the effective Kuo-Herling interaction $K_{HH}$ of ref. [43] which has been constructed based on holes in a $^{208}$Pb core. It is the same model space and effective interaction as has been used in a previous calculation of the half-lives, which, however, has only considered pure GT transitions [21]. These are mainly connected to neutron $0h_{9/2}$ to proton $0h_{11/2}$ transitions. However, it is expected that first-forbidden transitions can compete, mainly via neutron $0h_{13/2}$ to proton $0h_{11/2}$ transitions. Full diagonalization in this model space exceeds current computer capabilities. Hence, we performed truncated calculations following a generalize seniority scheme that allows for configurations with maximum seniority 8, i.e. we consider a maximum of 4 non-$J = 0$ pairs, for even even nuclei. For odd-even nuclei, the number of broken pairs had to be limited to three, while for $^{199}$Ta no limitation has been enforced. We expect our model spaces to be large enough to give a reasonable account for the low-lying Gamow-Teller and first-forbidden transitions. Nevertheless the model spaces are too restricted to recover the full Gamow-Teller and first-forbidden strengths built on the ground or isomeric states. The missed strength, however, resides mainly outside the $Q_\beta$ window and does hence not affect our half-life calculations.

Although the shell model usually gives a good account of the relative strength distributions, it overestimates the total strength. For Gamow-Teller transitions this shortcoming can be corrected for by replacing the bare Gamow-Teller operator by an effective operator $G_{\text{eff}} = q G_T$. The quenching factor $q$ has been found to be approximately constant over the nuclear chart [44,10]. In practice, using $q = 0.7$ has been shown to give a good reproduction of the absolute Gamow-Teller distributions. There is evidence that also the absolute first-forbidden transition strength is overestimated within shell model approaches. Ejiri and collaborators [43, 48] related this fact to core polarization effects and suggested the introduction of a constant hindrance factor. Based on perturbation theory, Warburton [43, 49] showed that the quenching of first-forbidden transitions depends slightly on the initial and final single particle orbits. In particular, Warburton found that transitions mediated by the rank 0 operator (Eq. 8h) (called relativistic matrix element) appear to be enhanced compared to the other first-forbidden transitions, due to meson exchange effects [50].

To treat the quenching of the Gamow-Teller and first-forbidden transitions in our shell model calculations we have assumed that the quenching factors are the same for all nuclei. Following the findings of Warburton [49, 50] we have furthermore assumed that the quenching factors for the operators of rank 0, 1, and 2 contributing to the first-forbidden transitions as defined in Eq. (8) can be different. To determine these individual quenching factors we have performed shell model calculations for experimentally known beta-decays of nuclei in the vicinity of the magic neutron numbers $N = 82$ and $N = 126$. Here, we have adopted the similar set of first-forbidden transitions of nuclei in the lead region as chosen in the study of Warburton [50], supplemented by the decays of the ground state of $^{205}$Pb ($N = 126$) and the $(1/2^-)$ isomeric states in $^{131}$In ($N = 82$) and $^{129}$In ($N = 80$) which are both known to decay by first-forbidden transitions. By performing a least-squares fit to the experimental data we obtained the following quenching factors for the various matrix elements defined in Eq. (8):

$$q(x') = 1.266, \quad q(w) = q(w') = 0.66, \quad q(x) = q(x') = 0.51, \quad q(w) = q(w') = 0.38,$$  \quad (16)

$$q(z) = 0.42.$$

The calculated half-lives and the corresponding average shape factors are summarized in Table I. Fig. 1 compares the experimental and calculated shape factors.

![FIG. 1. Comparison of calculated first-forbidden average shape factors, obtained for the best fit values of the quenching factors (Eq. (16)), with experimental data [51, 53].](image-url)
For the nuclei in the vicinity of $N = 126$ we find, however, a noticeably larger scatter between calculation and data. Satisfyingly there are no systematic deviations. With the exception of the two $^{205}$Au decays, where our calculation overestimates (to the 5/2$^-$ state in $^{205}$Hg) or underestimates (to the 1/2$^+$ state) the average shape factor roughly a factor 9, we generally find agreement of our calculated $C(W)$ with data within a factor of 4. As already observed while determining the quenching factor for GT transitions in shell model calculations the description of a decay between specific states is noticeably more sensitive to nuclear structure effects than global quantities like half-lives or total strengths. Hence we expect that our prescription of quenching for first-forbidden transitions yields a fair description of the $N = 126$ halflives.

As already stressed by Warburton the relativistic matrix element (Eq. 8h) is enhanced compared to the other first-forbidden transitions. We confirm this finding as the value for $q(\xi')u$ is noticeably larger than the other quenching factors.

Having determined the quenching of first-forbidden transitions, we adjust the quenching of the Gamow-Teller transition to the half-life of $^{139}$Cd which is expected to decay dominantly by Gamow-Teller. This is indeed borne out in our calculation. Using the quenching factor $q_{GT} = 0.66$ we reproduce the measured half-life using both GT and first-forbidden transitions. The latter contribute about 13% to the half-life and hence are small, but not negligible. Our factor $q_{GT}$ is only slightly smaller than the customary quenching value of 0.7.

All half-lives presented in the next sections for the r-process waiting point nuclei have been obtained using the quenching factors for Gamow-Teller and first-forbidden transitions derived above.

Before we present our results we have to discuss another potential shortcoming of our calculations of first-forbidden transitions and how we will handle it. A completely converged calculation of the first-forbidden transition strength in our chosen model space is prohibited due to computational limitations. We have derived the strength within the Lanczos scheme using 100 iterations. As a consequence the lowest states are converged and correspond to physical states, while the Lanczos states at higher excitation energies are unphysical and represent strength per energy interval. Furthermore, their energy positions depend on the sum rule (pivot) state used for the calculation of the strength function. For example, starting from the pivot state of the $x$ operator one obtains different energy positions for the non-converged states than using the lowest states. As we need to compute superpositions of operators like $u + x$, where both the magnitude and the phase of the individual operators matter, we have followed the same procedure as is used in shell-model calculations of double-beta decays. Hence we start with an arbitrary sum rule state that can be any linear combination of operators of the same rank. During the Lanczos iteration procedure we compute the overlaps with the individual operators. This iteration procedure is stopped when at least 80% of the total strength for each individual operator is recovered.

To illustrate this point, we have performed calculations for $^{199}$Ta in the model space defined above and have used two different linear combinations of rank 1 operators, $\xi' y - \xi (x + u)$ and $(x + u)$, as pivots for the Lanczos calculations of the operators $x$ and $u$. For the combination $\xi' y - \xi (x + u)$ we obtain 92% and 80% of the total strength for the operators $x$ and $u$, respectively, while for the combination $x + u$ we recover 85% and 95% after 100 iterations. We stress that the effect which these shortcomings have on the first-forbidden half-lives is mildened as the contributions of the low-lying states, which are converged in our Lanczos scheme, are strongly enhanced by the phase space energy dependence.

To quantify the potential uncertainty in our first-forbidden half-lives, we have performed again calculations for $^{199}$Ta in the model space as defined above, however, allowing only one proton pair to be broken in our seniority scheme. This truncated space allows for the calculation of a fully converged first-forbidden strength distribution in the $Q_{2\beta}$ window. Fig. 2 compares the partial decay rates to the various states in the daughter nucleus obtained in the fully converged calculation.

| Transition | Initial $N$ | Final $N$ | $\log f_{0t}$ | $C(W)^{1/2}$ |
|------------|-------------|------------|---------------|---------------|
| $^{131}$In(1/2$^-$) | $^{131}$Sn(5/2$^-$) | 5.32 | 65.8 | 85.4 |
| $^{131}$In(1/2$^-$) | $^{131}$Sn(1/2$^+$) | 5.74 | 6.5 | 41.1 |
| $^{129}$In(3/2$^-$) | $^{129}$Sn(5/2$^+$) | 5.57 | 5.9(3) | 49.8 |
| $^{129}$In(5/2$^+$) | $^{129}$Sn(5/2$^+$) | 5.80 | 5.5(1) | 38.1 |
| $^{205}$Hg(5/2$^-$) | $^{205}$Tl(7/2$^+$) | 5.37 | 5.257(11) | 62.3 |
| $^{205}$Hg(7/2$^+$) | $^{205}$Tl(7/2$^+$) | 6.77 | 7.03(25) | 12.5 |
| $^{205}$Hg(7/2$^+$) | $^{205}$Tl(7/2$^+$) | 7.26 | 6.51(21) | 18.9 |
| $^{205}$Hg(7/2$^+$) | $^{205}$Tl(7/2$^+$) | 6.32 | 7.61(22) | 10.3 |
| $^{206}$Hg(0$^+$) | $^{206}$Tl(0$^+$) | 8.16 | 8.70(21) | 1.91 |
| $^{206}$Hg(0$^+$) | $^{206}$Tl(1$^+$) | 5.18 | 5.24(10) | 77.6 |
| $^{206}$Hg(0$^+$) | $^{206}$Tl(1$^+$) | 5.68 | 5.67 | 43.6 |
| $^{207}$Tl(1/2$^+$) | $^{207}$Pb(5/2$^-$) | 5.14 | 5.108(6) | 81.7 |
| $^{207}$Tl(3/2$^-$) | $^{207}$Pb(5/2$^-$) | 6.18 | 6.157(22) | 24.7 |
| $^{206}$Tl(0$^-$) | $^{206}$Pb(0$^+$) | 5.42 | 5.1755(13) | 52.4 |
| $^{206}$Tl(0$^-$) | $^{206}$Pb(0$^+$) | 5.18 | 5.99(6) | 32.4 |
| $^{206}$Tl(0$^-$) | $^{206}$Pb(2$^+$) | 5.68 | 8.60(3) | 1.87 |
| $^{205}$Au(5/2$^+$) | $^{205}$Hg(5/2$^-$) | 6.79 | 5.79(9) | 12.1 |
| $^{205}$Au(5/2$^+$) | $^{205}$Hg(5/2$^-$) | 7.33 | 6.43(11) | 6.5 |
| $^{205}$Au(5/2$^+$) | $^{205}$Hg(5/2$^-$) | 5.82 | 6.37(12) | 37.3 |

TABLE I. Comparison of calculated $\log f_{0t}$ and $C(W)^{1/2}$ for first-forbidden transitions with experimental data [51–53].
and 716 ms when calculating the rank 1 operators from the linear combinations $\xi' y - \xi (x + u)$ and $(x + u)$, respectively, while the partial half-life in the converged study is 651 ms agreeing within 10 percent with the two approximate calculations. In the following we will calculate the contributions from the first-forbidden rank 1 operators using a Lanczos scheme with the pivot state $\xi' y - \xi (x + u)$ and 100 iterations.

IV. HALF-LIVES OF THE $N = 50$ WAITING POINT NUCLEI

To calculate half-lives, a good description of the transition matrix elements and also of the $Q_\beta$ values is required. As is demonstrated in Fig. 3 our shell model calculation reproduces the $Q_\beta$-values as given in the Audi-Wapstra compilation well [55]. Hence we will use the shell model $Q_\beta$ values in the following calculation of the half-lives and $\beta$-delayed neutron emission probabilities for the $N = 50$ waiting point nuclei.

As is shown in Table IV and in Fig. 4 the shell model half-lives agree quite well with the data, although they overestimate the ones of $^{82}\text{Ge}$ and $^{79}\text{Cu}$ by about 50%. Nevertheless the agreement is significantly better than obtained based on the global FRDM and ETFSI models. The HFB results [16], which are restricted to the decay of even-even nuclei, are very similar to the shell model results, except for the half-life of the double-magic nucleus $^{78}\text{Ni}$. Here only the shell model reproduces the measured value [7], while all other models predict a significantly longer half-life. This underlines again the fact that many-body configuration mixing is needed to reproduce the cross-gap correlations in double-magic nuclei. Similar results have been found in studies of the isotope shifts in calcium [57] or the M1 strength distributions in argon isotopes [58].
The contribution of first-forbidden transitions to the N = 50 half-lives is shown in Fig. 5. For the decay of the nuclei with Z ≥ 28 the probability is very small (less than 5%). However, first-forbidden transitions contribute about 25% to the 77Co decay, while they are smaller, but still sizable for the decay of the nuclei with charge numbers Z = 24–26.

To understand this behavior, we note that first-forbidden contributions are related to the transition from a $g_{9/2}$ neutron orbital to a $f_{7/2}$ proton orbital for the rank 1 operators and to a $f_{5/2}$ proton orbital for rank 2 operators. (There are no contributions from rank 0 operators in our model space.) In the simple Independent Particle Model the $f_{7/2}$ level gets completely occupied for $^{78}$Ni and consequently this transition is Pauli-blocked for N = 50 nuclei with Z ≥ 28. In the shell model, the blocking is partially removed by configuration mixing, but the importance of the first-forbidden transitions stay low. For these nuclei they are nearly exclusively due to contributions from the rank 2 operators. For the nuclei with Z < 28 the proton $f_{7/2}$ orbital is not fully occupied and first-forbidden transitions due to the rank 1 operators are possible. They are noticeably larger those of the rank 2 operators. Hence the total first-forbidden strength is significantly larger for nuclei with Z < 28 than for the nuclei with Z ≥ 28. Furthermore it increases with decreasing charge number due to the depopulation of the proton $f_{7/2}$ orbital in the daughter nucleus. However, also Gamow-Teller transitions from the neutron $f_{5/2}$ orbital into the proton $f_{7/2}$ orbital become unblocked. Hence reducing the charge number, increases both the GT and first-forbidden transitions due to decreasing Pauli-blocking of the dominant transitions into the $f_{7/2}$ orbital. However, the relative decrease for the GT half-lives with decreasing charge number is stronger than for the first-forbidden transitions. This is related to phase space. Examples of differential decay rates as function of excitation energies for nuclei $^{74}$Cr, $^{76}$Co, $^{78}$Ni and $^{72}$Ge are shown in Figs. 6. From these figures we note that the first-forbidden transitions are dominantly proceeding to states in the daughter at low excitation energies (usually up to 2-2.5 MeV) for the N = 50 nuclei with Z < 28, while the GT transitions go to states with excitation energies of order 5-7 MeV, simply reflecting the fact that it is energetically more favorable to have a $f_{5/2}$ neutron hole and a closed $g_{9/2}$ shell, than having a hole in the $g_{9/2}$ orbital. As the energy gain in the transitions is smaller for the GT transitions, they are more sensitive to the increase of the $Q_3$ value with decreasing charge number. This explains why the relative contribution of first-forbidden transitions decreases with reduced charge number below the double-magic $^{78}$Ni. Above $^{78}$Ni the GT transitions proceed to daughter states at relatively low excitation energies. (Fig. 6 shows the differential

![FIG. 4.](image-url) Comparison of half-lives of the N = 50 isotones from FRDM+QRPA [14], HFB+QRPA [16], DF3+QRPA [20, 21] and the present shell model approach.

![FIG. 5.](image-url) Percentage of the contributions from first-forbidden transitions to the half-lives of the N= 50 isotones from FRDM+QRPA [14], DF3+QRPA [20, 50] and the present shell model.
decay rates for $^{82}\text{Ge}$ as an example.) As a consequence first forbidden transitions, due to their smaller transition matrix elements, cannot compete with GT transitions.

V. HALF-LIVES OF THE $N = 82$ WAITING POINT NUCLEI

The present interaction and model space, based on a $^{88}\text{Sr}$ core, are not the same as used in Ref. [19] to calculate $Q_\beta$ values and Gamow-Teller strength functions. However, we stress that the present shell model calculation gives very similar results to the ones of Ref. [19]. In particular we reproduce the experimentally available $Q_\beta$ values very well, as is shown in Fig. 8. This figure also shows that the agreement of the $Q_\beta$ values obtained in other models is usually not as good as by the shell model results. We will in the following use the shell model $Q_\beta$ values for the calculation of the half-lives.

The $1/2^-$ isomer in $^{131}\text{In}$ corresponds approximately to a $^{132}\text{Sn}$ configuration with a hole in the $1p_{1/2}$ orbital. Our calculation reproduces the energy of the isomer at 0.302 MeV. (This quantity was one of the experimental ingredients to which the interaction has been adjusted.)

The calculated half-lives for the $N = 82$ waiting point nuclei are summarized in Table III and are compared to data and to previous theoretical estimates in Fig. 9. Compared to experiment, the half-life of $^{131}\text{In}$ is

FIG. 6. (Color online) Partial decay rates including GT and FF transitions for the $N = 50$ isotones $^{74}\text{Cr}$ (a), $^{78}\text{Ni}$ (b), $^{77}\text{Co}$ (c), and $^{82}\text{Ge}$ (d).
well reproduced, while the one for $^{129}$Ag is somewhat too long. This shortcoming had already been observed in the previous shell model calculations. In fact, the present shell model results, including contributions from first-forbidden transitions, agree very well with the shell model results of Ref. [19]. This, however, does not mean that first-forbidden transitions are negligible. As is shown in Fig. 11 first-forbidden transitions contribute about 13% to the half-life. However, this value is nearly the same for all $N = 82$ waiting point nuclei explaining the similarity between the present shell model results to those of Ref. [19]. Only for $^{131}$In, first-forbidden transitions contribute somewhat more, resulting in a slightly smaller half-life than in the shell model study based solely on Gamow-Teller transitions. We note that our prediction of an 18% contribution stemming from first-forbidden transitions to the decay of the $^{131}$In ground state is in agreement with the experimental limit of $\leq 20\%$. We further add that we calculate a Gamow-Teller contribution to the half-life of the $\frac{1}{2}^-$ isomer in $^{131}$In which is less than 1%, confirming our assumption to fix the quenching of the first-forbidden transition to this decay. Fig. 11 shows the partial decay rates to different final states for the nuclei $^{124}$Mo, $^{126}$Ru and $^{128}$Pd. We note that Gamow-Teller transitions are larger than first-forbidden transitions, which, however, proceed to levels at lower excitation energies which enhances them by phase space.

The $\beta$-delayed neutron emission probabilities, i.e., the probabilities that the decay leads to states in the daughter nucleus above the neutron separation threshold and hence is followed by the emission of a neutron, is obviously sensitive to a good description of both the neutron separation energies and the $\beta$ strength functions in the $Q_\beta$ window. As has been stressed in Refs. [17, 19] the...
improved description of correlations in shell model calculations gives a more realistic account of the fragmentation of the strength function than is obtained in QRPA studies. Fig. 12 compares the present shell model probabilities to those obtained in Ref. [19]. We find that the inclusion of first-forbidden transitions leads only to minor changes. A detailed comparison of the shell model results [19] to those obtained in other theoretical approaches is given in [14, 19].

VI. HALF-LIVES OF THE $N = 126$ WAITING POINT NUCLEI

Fig. 13 compares the calculated $Q_\beta$ values of the $N = 126$ isotones with other theoretical models. While the general trend of the $Q_\beta$ is quite similar than obtained in the FRDM model, the shell model values are slightly smaller than those from the FRDM model. As, however, no experimental data exist for these very neutron-rich $N = 126$ nuclei, it is not possible to decide which $Q_\beta$ are more realistic. In the following we will use the shell model values to calculate the half-lives and $\beta$-delayed neutron emission probabilities for the $N = 126$ r-process waiting point nuclei.

The shell model half-lives are listed in Table IV and are compared to other theoretical predictions in Fig. 14. Although recently researchers at GSI have been successful to measure half-lives of nuclei close to $N = 126$ with charge numbers below lead [12], supplying important constraints about the half-life trend towards the r-process nuclei, experimental data for the $N = 126$ r-process nuclei do yet not exist. Hence our results can only be compared to other theoretical predictions. We note that the present half-lives for $Z > 70$ are faster, by about a factor of two, than those obtained by Borzov within an QRPA approach on top of the density functional DF3, showing, however, a similar dependence with charge number [56]. Adopting a different parameterization, Borzov has also calculated half-lives for $N = 126$ isotones with $Z < 70$ which are slightly faster than the shell model values [62]. The shell model half-lives are noticeably faster than those predicted previously by global models, e.g. by the QRPA calculation on top of the microscopic-macroscopic FRDM or ETFSI approaches.

Recently Suzuki et al. [60] have presented the first shell model half-lives for $N = 126$ r-process nuclei, including both GT and first-forbidden contributions. However, our present model space including the ($0g_{7/2}, 1d_{5/2,3/2}, 0h_{11/2}, 2s_{1/2}$) proton orbits is noticeably larger than the one used in Ref. [60] (the $1d_{3/2}, 0h_{11/2}, 2s_{1/2}$ proton orbits). Relatedly the two shell model calculations differ in the residual interaction and additionally in the adopted quenching scheme for first-forbidden transitions. Nevertheless, as is shown in Table IV and Fig. 14 both shell model calculations predict very similar half-lives for the $N = 126$ nuclei. Both studies do not predict the strong odd-even staggering in the half-lives as observed in the QRPA results on top of the FRDM model.

As already noted in Ref. [20] based on the density functional calculations, first-forbidden transitions are expected to contribute significantly to the half-lives of the $N = 126$ r-process nuclei. This finding is supported by our shell model calculations (Fig. 15). One observes an increasing contribution from the first-forbidden transitions with increasing proton number. In fact, for nuclei with proton number $Z \geq 70$, contributions from first-forbidden transitions to the half-life dominate over Gamow-Teller transitions. This behavior can be understood by inspecting the partial decay rates arising from Gamow-Teller and first-forbidden transitions which are shown in Fig. 15 for selected nuclei. We note that Gamow-Teller transitions are related to the change of a neutron in the $0h_{9/2}$ orbit to a proton in the $0h_{11/2}$ orbit which, however, is fragmented over several states in the daughter nucleus due to correlations. Nevertheless, for the nuclei studied here these final proton states

### TABLE IV. Comparison of the present shell model half-lives and the one of reference [60]. All half-lives are in ms.

| Nucleus | Half-Life (ms) | present | SM (ref [60]) |
|---------|---------------|---------|---------------|
| $^{199}$Ta | 286.17 | 278.88 |
| $^{198}$Hf | 193.28 | 129.65 |
| $^{197}$Lu | 107.85 | 84.81 |
| $^{196}$Yb | 68.98 | 44.18 |
| $^{195}$Tm | 36.03 | 29.49 |
| $^{194}$Er | 24.58 | 18.11 |
| $^{193}$Ho | 13.58 | 10.94 |
| $^{192}$Dy | 10.10 | 7.75 |
reside at moderately high excitation energies around 3 MeV, while first-forbidden transitions connect to excited states at lower excitation energies. With increasing proton number, more protons occupy the final $0h_{11/2}$ orbit and the GT transitions get gradually Pauli blocked. This explains why the GT strength gets strongly reduced with increasing proton number. Actually also first-forbidden transitions get blocked with increasing proton number, which is, however, a significantly milder effect as for the GT transitions. We note that for all nuclei studied here first-forbidden transitions are mainly mediated by rank 0 and 1 operators (the latter contributes about 70% to the forbidden strength), while the contribution arising from rank 2 operators are very small. Due to its larger sensitivity to phase space ($\sim Q^7$) the relative contribution of rank 2 transitions increases slightly with decreasing charge number. For the $N = 126$ nuclei studied here, the depopulation of the $0h_{11/2}$ proton orbital with decreasing charge number, which increases both the Gamow-Teller and first-forbidden transitions, dominates the trend observed in our half-lives. Changes in phase space and pairing have lesser effects on our half-life systematics.

Gamow-Teller transitions proceed to final states mainly above the neutron threshold and hence are accompanied by neutron emission, while the final states

FIG. 11. (Color online) Partial decay rates including GT and FF transitions for the $N = 82$ isotones $^{124}$Mo (a), $^{126}$Ru (b) and $^{128}$Pd (c).

FIG. 12. (Color online) $\beta$-delayed neutron emission probability for selected $N = 82$ r-process nuclei from FRDM+QRPA [14], DF3+QRPA [20, 56], the present shell model and experiment [53].

FIG. 13. (Color online) Comparison of $Q_\beta$ values of the $N = 126$ isotones as calculated in the FRDM [14] and the present shell model approaches.
FIG. 14. (Color online) Comparison of half-lives of the $N = 126$ isotones as calculated in the FRDM+QRPA, DF3+QRPA(I) [20], DF3+QRPA(II) [61] and the present shell model approaches [60].

FIG. 15. (Color online) Percentage of the contributions from first-forbidden transitions to the half-lives of the $N = 126$ isotones are compared with results from DF3+QRPA(I) [20], DF3+QRPA(II) [61] and shell model approaches [60].

populated by first-forbidden transition predominantly reside below the neutron threshold. Hence we expect from the $Z$-dependence of the GT and first-forbidden transitions that the $\beta$-delayed neutron emission probability decreases with increasing proton number. This is indeed confirmed by Fig. 14. The striking odd-even staggering is related to pairing which reduces the neutron threshold energies in odd-odd daughter nuclei relatively to odd-$A$ nuclei, but does basically not affect the strength distributions, as discussed in [62]. The QRPA/FRDM neutron emission probabilities show a rather abrupt increase at $Z = 68$ which is likely due to the fact that QRPA calculations show significantly less fragmentation of the strength than shell model studies and that, for $Z < 69$, the few dominant transitions reside above the neutron threshold.

VII. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

We have calculated the half-lives and $\beta$-delayed neutron emission probabilities of the r-process waiting point nuclei with magic neutron numbers $N = 50, 82,$ and 126 within the framework of the large-scale shell-model. The calculations include contributions both from allowed Gamow-Teller and first-forbidden transitions. We find good agreement with the existing experimental data: i.e. the half-lives for the $N = 50$ nuclei with charge numbers $Z = 28-32$ and for the $N = 82$ nuclei $^{129}$Ag and $^{130}$Cd. In our calculations first-forbidden transitions significantly reduce the half-lives of the $N = 126$ waiting point nuclei, while they have a smaller effect on the half-lives of the $N = 50$ and 82 r-process nuclei.
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