Recognising and managing eating disorders in the emergency department
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ABSTRACT

Compared with other mental health conditions or psychiatric presentations, such as self-harm, which may be seen in emergency departments, eating disorders can seem relatively rare. However, they have the highest mortality across the spectrum of mental health, with high rates of medical complications and risk, ranging from hypoglycaemia and electrolyte disturbances to cardiac abnormalities. People with eating disorders may not disclose their diagnosis when they see healthcare professionals. This can be due to denial of the condition itself, a wish to avoid treatment for a condition which may be valued, or because of the stigma attached to mental health. As a result their diagnosis can be easily missed by healthcare professionals and thus the prevalence is underappreciated. This article presents eating disorders to emergency and acute medicine practitioners from a new perspective using the combined emergency, psychiatric, nutrition and psychology lens. It focuses on the most serious acute pathology which can develop from the more common presentations; highlights indicators of hidden disease; discusses screening; suggests key acute management considerations and explores the challenge of mental capacity in a group of high-risk patients who, with the right treatment, can make a good recovery.

BACKGROUND

The death of a teenager with an eating disorder in the UK in 2012 prompted a Parliamentary and Health Service Ombudsman’s 2017 report which noted ‘missed opportunities to prevent her from deteriorating’. Following from that, in 2020, The Royal College of Emergency Medicine subsequently issued a safety alert highlighting the high mortality rate of anorexia nervosa (AN); the risk of sudden AN death; evasion of early warning score detection; and illness, such as sepsis, being masked by absent physiological response. Young people with eating disorders appear to visit the emergency department (ED) significantly more often than those without, presenting the opportunity to recognise either a new diagnosis or a deterioration. In a US study, gastrointestinal (GI) and genitourinary presenting complaints were more common in young people with eating disorders, however, only 1 of the 246 patients screening positive for an eating disorder in this study actually mentioned having an eating disorder-related problem on initial presentation. A high level of vigilance for the diagnosis creates an opportunity for more successful early intervention.

An Australian study suggests low perceived confidence among the vast majority of clinicians when dealing with eating disorders. A UK study of general practice (GP) referrals also found a wide variation in referral patterns, with more from practices with female GPs and those with Membership of the Royal College of General Practice qualifications. This low confidence and variation of detection may be due to lack of curriculum attention to eating disorders. In the UK, undergraduate medical education spends less than 2 hours on the subject, a finding that triggered calls for urgent review. A very recent study of US ED physicians and residents echoed this lack of training and awareness of appropriate supporting resources with the vast majority in favour of improved education in this area.

One invaluable tool in detecting undisclosed and deteriorating eating disorders is information from carers and next of kin. The current COVID-19 pandemic, which has not only increased eating disorder morbidity but created a constraint that friends and relatives should not attend hospital appointments with patients, presents a new and very real risk of missing this vital narrative due to visitor restrictions and therefore unaccompanied patients.

PURPOSE OF REVIEW AND METHODS

A limited search of the literature was undertaken to identify papers on eating disorders specific to acute practice in the ED. First, the top 16 emergency medicine (EM) journal sites (according to the journal ranking site www.scimagojr.com) were searched for the terms “anorexia”, “eating disorder”, “bulimia”, “binge eating” and “avoidant restrictive food intake disorder”. Twenty-five relevant papers were found. This was supplemented by a PubMed® search combining derivatives of “emergency department” with the same terms. This identified an additional 23 papers. For full details of the search findings, see online supplemental appendix 1. This focused approach to highlighting the literature provided mostly an exploration of case reports of eating disorder presentations which the EM community have identified as important and unexpected. There were no recent practice reviews in the EM literature. The case reports have informed the subsequent discussion of ED presentations. Furthermore, the team of authors from EM, gastroenterology, psychiatry and psychology have brought together literature and experience from their specialties to provide practical support to those acutely managing eating disorder patients.
DEFINITIONS AND EPIDEMIOLOGY

There are four main eating disorders: AN, bulimia nervosa (BN), binge eating disorder and avoidant-restrictive food intake disorder. Table 1 describes these main classifications.

Eating disorders are a range of related, often overlapping, mental health conditions which centre around abnormal attitudes to eating and the body. AN is the most familiar and dangerous of the eating disorder spectrum. Its diagnostic triad involves:
► A distorted preoccupation with body shape.
► Specific sustained behaviours enacted to reduce body weight.
► Significant weight loss.

People with AN may severely restrict their intake or engage in bingeing with compensatory mechanisms to lose weight, such as self-inducing vomiting or misusing laxatives/diuretics. BN is commoner than AN, with a similar drive to be thin, but does not fulfil the weight loss criteria for AN. However, bingeing and purging can be severe. This review refers mostly to AN/BN however its common principles can apply to the other eating disorders which are shown in table 1.

The extent of the problem

Although AN is widely considered to have the highest mortality of all psychiatric conditions, the epidemiological data is of inconsistent quality. Broadly around one-fifth of those dying do so from suicide which leaves a large proportion (54% where the information was actually available) who die from the medical complications of eating disorders. One study estimates the prevalence of AN in the UK at 0.6% of over-16s, while BN is approximated at 1%. The eating disorders charity BEAT (beateatingdisorders.org.uk) suggests similar numbers, although their data is based on several studies alongside some baseline assumptions. Importantly, while the average age of onset of both of these conditions lies between 16 and 19 years, they can present at any age. Given AN’s substantial mortality rate of around 20%, ED and acute medical (AM) practitioners need to be adept at detecting, understanding and managing such a potentially fatal condition.

Underlying psychology and neurophysiology

The development and maintenance of AN results from a combination of psychological, biological, neurological, genetic and socio-environmental factors. The experience of shame of oneself has been associated with AN, and is both positively correlated with severity and a known barrier to seeking help. Patients who develop AN can experience an ‘anorexic voice’ which can be powerful, negative and omnipotent. The strength of this ‘voice’ has been positively associated with childhood emotional abuse. This voice may also complicate the assessment process, as it may leave patients feeling anxious about being in healthcare settings and the intention of the healthcare staff they encounter. Externalisations conversations about AN, which locate the condition and its voice outside of the person, rather than as being a part of them, shifts the locus of volition.

Furthermore, the cognitive, emotional and behavioural effects of AN, become self-perpetuating, particularly as individuals lose weight. The longer AN is present, the more entrenched it becomes, with neurological changes contributing to this such as a grey and white matter volume loss. Nevertheless, research indicates that people with more severe and enduring AN can make meaningful progress in specialist treatment.

DANGER POINTS: WHEN TO SUSPECT, HOW TO SCREEN AND WHO TO ADMIT

Detecting the hidden cases

The spectrum of potential ‘flag’ features is very broad especially given the hidden nature of some diagnoses. There are some patient groups where suspicion should be especially high. In particular type 1 diabetes mellitus (T1DM) presents a specific challenge as patients can deliberately misuse insulin to lose...
weight and therefore may become regular attenders with diabetic ketoacidosis. Those with T1DM as well as AN have a much higher mortality rate than either condition on its own; possibly reflective of the paucity of expertise in managing the conditions in parallel. Therefore, it is important to additionally screen such patients with a direct question as to whether they have been intentionally omitting insulin in order to control weight. Other features and patient profiles to be alert to are shown in box 1.

**Screening tools**

The SCOFF questionnaire is widely considered to be a useful and simple screening tool for eating disorders: a score of 0 is considered to be negative for an eating disorder, a score of 2 or more indicates possible AN/BN (see box 2). It does need to be used with some caution however as its sensitivity is highest for AN and BN rather than the wider spectrum of eating disorders. Furthermore, it has been found to work best in young women. Pooled meta-analysis suggests a sensitivity of 0.86, and while this may seem reassuring, the sensitivity was widely variable depending on study setting. Therefore, while there is not enough evidence to recommend it as screening-tool on its own, if positive it may prove useful especially in conjunction with other suspicious clinical features.

A useful bedside test shown in figure 1 is the Sit up-Squat Stand (SUSS) test of muscle power. A score of 0 on either element of the test denotes inability to complete the requested movement without use of hands whereas a score of 3 suggests there was no difficulty. While lacking validated evidence, this simple test for proximal muscle weakness adds some objective evidence of loss of muscle mass to a suspected and/or severe case of eating disorder, particularly if there is no other known medical cause for it.

**Knowing when to admit**

There is variation internationally as to what constitutes definitive admission criteria in eating disorders. Indeed the UK, at the time of writing, do not specifically define medical admission criteria. The French admission threshold for asymptomatic adult hypoglycaemia is the equivalent of 1.7 mmol/L which appears very low. However no comment is made as to what level it would need to be corrected to in order to satisfy discharge criteria in someone who presented with asymptomatic hypoglycaemia of slightly higher than 1.7mmol/L.

In the USA, percentage (<83%) of ‘healthy’ body weight is used in place of body mass index (BMI) as an indication to admit despite the DSM-V (Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders-5) criteria classification of mild AN as a BMI >17; moderate as a BMI 16–17; severe as a BMI 15–16 and extreme as a BMI <15. This classification varies from the current UK MARSIPAN guidelines which categorises a BMI of 15–17.5 as low risk; medium as a BMI of 13–15 and high risk as a BMI <13(see table 2). This reflects differences in national policies regarding management of risk. It should be noted, however, that depending on the BMI status at commencement of weight loss and the rapidity of weight loss, there can be severe medical consequences even at normal or high BMIs.

Patient-reported weight may be falsely elevated and achieving a weight reading without heavy clothing (which may have

---

**Box 1 Potential flags to pay attention to in uncovering a hidden diagnosis**

- Typical profile: academically high-achieving young female or athlete.
- Atypical profile: increasing in males and ethnic minorities.
- Frequent abdominal pain/bloating/constipation/diarrhoea/reflux/vomiting.
- Menstrual disturbance; subfertility; low libido.
- Unexplained cardiac arrhythmias, electrolyte disturbance, hypoglycaemia, hepatic dysfunction.
- Low pulse in the apparently athletic young person.
- Low energy fractures in young people with reduced bone mineral density.
- Agitation; microexercise around bed space.
- Warm clothes in hot weather; oversized, full coverage clothes.
- Comorbidities as a cover for weight loss:
  - Coeliac disease.
  - Type 1 diabetes mellitus*.
  - Food allergies.
  - Substance/alcohol abuse*.

*Along with personality disorders and attempted suicide these risk factors increase mortality.

---

**Box 2 SCOFF Questionnaire**

- Do you make yourself SICK because you feel uncomfortably full?
- Do you worry you have lost CONTROL over how much you eat?
- Have you recently lost QME stone in a 3-month month period?
- Do you believe yourself FAT when others say you are too thin?
- Would you say that FOOD dominates your life?
Table 2  Medical criteria suggesting need for hospitalisation of patients with anorexia nervosa from UK, France, Australia and New Zealand and the USA

| UK (Marsipan, Royal Colleges of Psychiatrists/Physicians/Pathologists) | France (Haute Autorité de Santé) | Australia and New Zealand (Royal Australian and New Zealand College of Psychiatrists) | USA (American Psychiatric Association) |
|-------------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| **BMI (kg/m²)** | <13 (deemed high risk) | <14 | <12 | <85% ‘healthy’ body weight |
| **Weight loss** | – | loss of 20% body weight in 3 months | 1 kg/week over several weeks or grossly inadequate nutritional intake (<100 kcal daily) or continued weight loss despite community treatment | Acute weight decline with food refusal |
| **HR (bpm)** | <40 | <40 Or HR >60 at rest if BMI <13 | <40 or >120 | <40 |
| **Temp °C** | <35 | <35 | <35 | <36.1 |
| **BP** | Low | <90/60 mm Hg | <80 mm Hg SBP | <90/60 mm Hg |
| **Orthostatic changes** | Postural symptoms | postural tachycardia or >20 mm Hg | – | – |
| **Volume status** | – | Dehydration | – | Dehydration |
| **ECG** | QTc >450 ms, T-wave changes, hypokalaemia changes | – | Any arrhythmia, prolonged QTc or non-specific ST/T changes | Cardiovascular organ compromise needing acute treatment |
| **Blood glucose** | <3.0 mmol/L | Symptomatic <3.3 mmol/L or asymptomatic <1.7 mmol/L | <2.5 mmol/L | <3.3 mmol/L |
| **Potassium** | <3.0 mmol/L | <3.0 mmol/L | <3.0 mmol/L | <3.0 mmol/L |
| **Sodium** | <130 mmol/L | <125 or >150 mmol/L | <125 mmol/L | Electrolyte imbalance |
| **Liver transaminase** | Raised transaminases | Ten times normal | AST or ALT >500 | Hepatic organ compromise needing acute treatment |
| **White cells** | – | <1.0×10⁹/L | – | – |
| **Neutrophils** | – | <0.5×10⁹/L | <1.0×10⁹/L | – |
| **Magnesium** | – | – | Below normal | Electrolyte imbalance |
| **Phosphatase** | – | <0.5 mmol/L | Below normal | Electrolyte imbalance |
| **Renal function** | Raised urea or creatinine | Creatinine clearance <40 mL/min | eGFR <60 mL/min (or 25% drop in a week) | Renal organ compromise needing acute treatment |
| **Other** | SUSS score two or less (particularly if falling) | Hypotonia/muscle weakness, altered consciousness, intractable vomiting | Albumin <30 g/L | Poorly controlled diabetes |

*It should be noted that the UK do not define admission criteria as such, these are for consideration as ‘high risk’.
ALT, alanine aminotransferase; AST, aspartate aminotransferase; BMI, body mass index; BP, blood pressure; eGFR, estimated Glomerular Filtration Rate; HR, heart rate; SBP, systolic blood pressure; SUSS, Sit up-Squat Stand.

Admission should also be considered if the patient:
► Has unexplained symptoms despite normal blood tests.
► Is not showing appreciation of the gravity of their symptoms.
► Is unwilling to eat or drink more.
► Has a high risk that the symptoms will recur once sent home.
► Has insufficient support at home.

Where the risks are severe or unknown and/or patients (or carers, if underrated) appear to lack insight into the gravity of their situation, medical admission to monitor their physical state and observe their ability to eat with the intention to ask for urgent specialist eating disorder assessment, may be appropriate.

**CAUTIONS AND CASES FROM EATING DISORDERS PRESENTING TO THE ED**

Patients with eating disorders clearly present to the ED in a myriad of ways. They can be low, normal or high weight. Presenting problems may be primarily psychiatric, such as self injury or food and fluid refusal, however, they can also be medical. EM and AM practitioners are well versed in the standard text-book presentations such as lanugo hair, poor dentition/teeth-marks on hands from repeated induced vomiting and electrolyte disturbances. However acute clinical practice is rarely

deliberately heavy objects in the pockets) or prior water loading requires a good rapport and some sensitivity in approach. It is advisable for patients who are suspected to have eating disorders to be weighed in a private room in their underclothes with only a light patient gown under supervision by an appropriate healthcare professional, who takes into consideration whether the patient wishes to see or know their weight, and does not publicly announce it. Single weights provide an estimate of weight loss – loss of 20% body weight in 3 months or continued weight loss despite community treatment. Where the risks are severe or unknown and/or patients (or carers, if underrated) appear to lack insight into the gravity of their situation, medical admission to monitor their physical state and observe their ability to eat with the intention to ask for urgent specialist eating disorder assessment, may be appropriate.

**PRESENTING TO THE ED**

Patient presentation may be psychiatric, such as self injury or food and fluid refusal, however, they can also be medical. EM and AM practitioners are well versed in the standard text-book presentations such as lanugo hair, poor dentition/teeth-marks on hands from repeated induced vomiting and electrolyte disturbances. However acute clinical practice is rarely

| **Patient** | **Situation** | **Admission considered if** |
|-------------|---------------|--------------------------|
| Patient 1   | Has insufficient support at home. | Has insufficient support at home. |
| Patient 2   | Has a high risk that the symptoms will recur once sent home. | Has a high risk that the symptoms will recur once sent home. |
| Patient 3   | Is unwilling to eat or drink more. | Is unwilling to eat or drink more. |
| Patient 4   | Is not showing appreciation of the gravity of their symptoms. | Is not showing appreciation of the gravity of their symptoms. |
| Patient 5   | Has unexplained symptoms despite normal blood tests. | Has unexplained symptoms despite normal blood tests. |
| Patient 6   | ► Bradycardia of less than 40 beats per minute. | ► Bradycardia of less than 40 beats per minute. |
| Patient 7   | ► Potassium of less than 3.0 mmol/L (unless longstanding and being adequately managed). | ► Potassium of less than 3.0 mmol/L (unless longstanding and being adequately managed). |
| Patient 8   | ► Hypothermia. | ► Hypothermia. |
| Patient 9   | ► An episode of severe hypoglycaemia. | ► An episode of severe hypoglycaemia. |
| Patient 10  | ► Some evidence of renal dysfunction. | ► Some evidence of renal dysfunction. |

The latter is challenging to detect as low muscle mass at baseline will lead to falsely reassuring estimated glomerular filtration rates.29
Prolonged QT interval may result from bradycardia, elevated sympathetic activity is possible, leading to tachycardia due to increased vagal activity (risking structural cardiac changes, electrolyte disturbance and concomitant QT-prolonging drugs such as antidepressants/antipsychotics) risking progression to ventricular dysrhythmia.\(^3\) \(^4\) \(^5\) 

### Electrolyte disturbance
- Due to long-term altered GI tract function, normally innocuous treatments, such as magnesium citrate for gastric decontamination post-overdose, can precipitate grossly deranged electrolytes shortly afterwards.\(^6\)
- Severe hypokalaemia can cause cardiac arrest as a first presentation of an eating disorder in extremis.\(^7\)
- Common electrolyte disorders such as hyponatraemia may not only be multifactorial and challenging to manage, but mixed advice from different inpatient teams without unifying expertise can risk cycles of failed correction.\(^8\)

### Cardiac rhythm disturbance
- Sinus bradycardia due to increased vagal activity (risking progression to second/third degree heart block) might be ignored as a red flag, if seemingly explained by athleticism, when in fact intensive exercise might be a vehicle for creating calorie deficit in the context of disordered eating.\(^9\)
- Elevated sympathetic activity is possible, leading to tachycardia and reports of catecholamine cardiomyopathy.\(^10\)
- Prolonged QT interval may result from bradycardia, structural cardiac changes, electrolyte disturbance and concomitant QT-prolonging drugs such as antidepressants/antipsychotics risking progression to ventricular dysrhythmia.\(^11\) \(^12\)

### Box 3 Medical presentations of eating disorders
- Altered gastrointestinal tract function presenting with:
  - Reflux.
  - Bloating.
  - Constipation.
  - Non-specific abdominal pain.
- Misuse of laxatives presenting with
  - Diarrhoea.
  - Biochemical abnormalities.
- Mallory-Weiss tears (from frequent vomiting)
- Dizziness, syncope, fatigue, chest pain palpitations and seizures associated with:
  - Electrolyte disturbance.
  - Dehydration.
  - Structural cardiac change.
  - Significant malnutrition.\(^13\)

### Toxicity of purgative agents
- Diurex® is a widely available weight loss supplement containing caffeine and magnesium salicylate which can potentiate one another’s toxicity: the hypokalaemia caused by the former can be exacerbated by treatment of the salicylism caused by the latter.\(^14\)
- Abuse of caffeine alone poses a risk of myocardial infarction which may present many hours later.\(^15\)
- Common table salt, if ingested in high enough quantities (only a few tablespoons) to induce an osmotic diarrhoea, can cause life-threatening hypernatraemia.\(^16\)
- Less familiar but highly dangerous (and available online) are cardiac glycosides such as ‘pong-pong’ from South East Asia which has both a reputation for weight loss and suicide.\(^17\)

### Hepatic dysfunction
- Unexplained abnormal liver enzymes in a young patient with weight loss may indicate a diagnosis of AN.\(^18\) \(^19\)

### Fracture risk
- Young patients with unexpected or low-energy fractures, especially those usually seen in osteoporotic older patients (such as hip or vertebral fractures) may have an undisclosed eating disorder.\(^20\)

### Complications of thiamine deficiency
- Thiamine deficiency is a common sequela of poor nutritional state and can, within a matter of weeks, lead to heart failure which is reversible with nutritional supplementation.\(^21\)
- Wernicke-Korsakoff’s syndrome also has reversibility to its neurological manifestations as long as it is promptly and fully treated with high dose thiamine replacement.\(^22\)

### Acute gastric dilatation
- Abdominal pain, tenderness and distension following a binge-eating episode should prompt consideration of acute gastric dilatation; even in restrictive eating disorders, impaired gastric emptying may lead to the same presentation.\(^23\) \(^24\) \(^25\)
- The dilated GI tract can generate enough backward-pressure on adjacent structures to induce pancreatitis.\(^26\)
- Compression of surrounding organs and vasculature may also lead to significant ischaemia due to the development of an abdominal compartment syndrome, especially in a group already at risk of superior mesenteric artery syndrome.\(^27\) \(^28\) \(^29\)
- Large-bore nasogastric tube decompression undertaken without delay after imaging may reverse ischaemia, improve any obstructive kidney injury, avoid progression to gastric necrosis and prevent potential circulatory collapse.\(^30\) \(^31\) \(^32\)

### Gastric rupture
- Gastric necrosis following prolonged abdominal compartment syndrome caused by gastric dilatation may lead to gastric rupture, requiring urgent surgical intervention and gastrectomy.\(^33\) \(^34\)

### Ischaemic bowel
- The small bowel and colon may also become ischaemic following a binge episode, while rare, it carries with it high mortality.\(^35\)

### Foreign body ingestion
- Purging behaviour using a long implement such as a spoon, fork or toothbrush should give a clear diagnosis of an
ingested foreign body (if disclosed) requiring either endoscopic or surgical removal. 58 59

- There is potential for airway compromise and need for laryngoscopic removal of accidentally misplaced purging implements or large food boluses, while a simple diagnosis, patients may not disclose a clear history of how it occurred. 60 61

IMMEDIATE ED MANAGEMENT CONSIDERATIONS
Simple correction and discharge of a hypokalaemic or hypoglycaemic patient with an eating disorder is only likely to temporise the problem: without either admission or robust ongoing management and follow-up, they will very likely relapse. 62

Given the overall depleted potassium and glycogen stores it is challenging in the short ED stay to be sure that such a relapse will not prove fatal.

Refeeding syndrome is one important risk discussed below however, if there is hypoglycaemia, this should be promptly addressed with food in the first instance and glucose as necessary with additional vitamin supplementation including thiamine. Care must also be taken with fluid administration given the potential for disease-related cardiac dysfunction. 63

Syncope should be considered in more depth in patients with AN. An obvious cause for this might be hypoglycaemia, however, in other cases, it may indicate underlying cardiac rhythm disturbance, therefore, with any heightened suspicion, a careful re-read of the ECG and a period of monitoring is wise. 64

If, after full assessment, no medical reason for admission is obtained, there should be a consideration of whether there are psychiatric reasons to admit in which case there should be a low threshold for discussion with the specialist mental health team. If discharge to the community is still deemed appropriate it should be accompanied by clear documentation and communication with the relevant outpatient focal point (possibly eating disorder services or GP) to provide an adequate safety net. Communicating this plan with next of kin is a priority however this can present yet another challenge if the patient does not wish for this to happen. Some effort should be made to gently convince the patient to involve at least someone in their social support network in their discharge planning.

EM/AM practitioners will recognise that this is not a straightforward consultation with some of the specific challenges highlighted in box 4.

A NUTRITION PERSPECTIVE
Nutritional replacement
In addition to the presenting body weight, multiple other variables need to be considered including:

- The speed of weight loss.
- The number of calories being consumed in the days or weeks prior to presentation.
- Active comorbidities and infections.

EM/AM practitioners should not be aiming to rapidly replenish nutrition in the ED. In fact, because some patients may be in compensated states of malnutrition, caution should be exercised as to what is introduced to a patient in this setting to avoid serious refeeding or fluid overload complications. Refeeding syndrome caused by either introducing or increasing the amount of calories can cause significant metabolic and electrolyte imbalance which can manifest itself as life-threatening hypophosphataemia, hypokalaemia and hypomagnesaemia. 65 66

Refeeding is usually done under the supervision of experienced dietitians with multimineral and multivitamin supplementation. Controlled oral or nasogastric tube intake is the preferred route of calorie replenishment in those with severe malnourishment. Therefore (unless immediate hypoglycaemia is being corrected), careful consideration should be given to the inadvertent calorie content of intravenous dextrose solutions especially when being used as a medium for administration of other medications. Thus any nutritional replacement, balancing the risks of refeeding with the continued risk posed by undernutrition, is best done in conjunction with an expert nutrition team as international guidance recommends starting at anything between 5 kcal/kg/day and 25 kcal/kg/day. 28 63-68

If an EM/AM practitioner has decided to admit a patient due to nutritional concerns, then early administration of thiamine, folate and multivitamins would be recommended. An alcohol history is also pivotal as patients with an eating disorder who also have an alcohol dependency are at greater risk of severe vitamin depletion (more common in BN or AN binge eating/purging subtypes). 69 70

Refeeding syndrome as a presenting complaint
Patients with eating disorders may present to the ED with significant electrolyte abnormalities because they have already developed refeeding syndrome in the community or as an inpatient in a psychiatric unit without on-site AM facilities. Intravenous thiamine and multivitamins should be given in addition to correcting underlying electrolyte abnormalities. The choice of fluid used to correct electrolytes in these circumstances should be made following specialist advice as the dextrose and sodium content needs to be carefully balanced. 71

INTERACTION WITH HEALTHCARE PRACTITIONERS
Negative attitudes towards eating disorders are well documented as healthcare professionals may see this patient group as being responsible for their own difficulties. 72 73

Research with primary care physicians demonstrated a reluctance to manage eating disorders, alongside some strong negative emotional responses leading to dismissive and trivialising care experiences; this can in turn lead to iatrogenic harm or neglect, increased patient resistance to seeking support, and increased eating disorder behaviours. 74 75

This can increase the stigma around mental health and demonstrates the importance of clinicians questioning their own beliefs and biases. Clinicians may feel more confident in performing objective medical tests than communicating with the patient and engaging in behavioural management. 76 This may lead to missed opportunities to support patients within the ED in their referral to specialist eating disorder services.

When clinicians are knowledgeable about eating disorders and demonstrate good interpersonal skills including a compassionate, non-judgemental approach this creates a more positive patient experience. 74 This may lead to the patient being more open about their difficulties. It has been noted the experience of accessing care is associated with apprehension of losing control therefore it is worthwhile encouraging open dialogue, rather than trying to problem-solve. 77 78

While the inherent power imbalance between the healthcare system and the patient may provoke anxiety around control being taken away, it can also be seen as a safe-haven in which control is relinquished. 74 Thus, clinicians should adopt a directive, but not authoritarian approach to patients with eating disorders. In doing so, it becomes less likely that the ED team will need to resort to involuntary treatment as the patient may agree to the acute management plan.
ADMISSION FOR INVOLUNTARY TREATMENT

In addition to medical complications, patients with eating disorders may also present with suicidal ideation or following attempted suicide as concurrent depression and suicidality is common.79 Navigating admission and treatment has some specific hurdles in this patient group whose underlying psychiatric disorder cannot be separated from their acute presentation.80 Patients, therefore, may: refuse admission and try to leave, refuse treatment especially if it involves feeding; and engage in challenging behaviours such as obsessive exercise and self-harm.

Initial steps should determine:
► The patient’s capacity to refuse treatment.
► If it is appropriate to give treatment against their will.
► What powers staff have to keep the patient in the department to prevent dangerous consequences.

Most countries have legislation which allows treatment of eating disorders without consent. In England and Wales, for example, the Mental Capacity Act (MCA) (2005) and the Mental Health Act (MHA) ((2007) provide a framework for assessment.81 82 The precise detail of mental health legislation varies internationally. However global guidance is available from the WHO standards based on the universal declaration on human rights where a careful balance must be struck between: maintaining patient autonomy/avoiding abuse of power, and the need to be able to protect the well-being of a patient suffering with a mental health condition. All of these considerations are important, while ensuring that healthcare professionals offer treatment in the patients’ best interests.83

The case where a patient with an eating disorder warrants admission from the ED, due to physical complications of their condition, it is likely that the criteria of ‘serious threat to health’ is met. In England and Wales, the MCA (2005) and its related legislation is more commonly used in acute inpatient settings. However local legislation is required, which will provide the framework to assess and decide if a patient fits into this criteria. The mental capacity assessment must be done in a subjective and systematic manner, where a clear balance of what is in the patient’s best interests and their wishes must be established.84

The patient’s capacity to refuse treatment.
► If it is appropriate to give treatment against their will.

The patient’s capacity to refuse treatment.
► If it is appropriate to give treatment against their will.

In the case where a patient with an eating disorder warrants admission from the ED, due to physical complications of their condition, it is likely that the criteria of ‘serious threat to health’ is met. In England and Wales, the MCA (2005) and its related legislation is more commonly used in acute inpatient settings and EM practitioners in particular may be more comfortable applying legislation for incapacity. In the case of eating disorders, it is possible that patients may have impaired capacity, however detecting this is not straightforward.84 Many patients with eating disorders, even if making a decision most would deem irrational, have the intellectual capacity to understand the risks and benefit

Main messages
► Eating disorders are frequently undetected among our acute patients; this is a specific concern in patients with masking comorbidities.
► There are specific features which should immediately lower threshold for admission or further investigation of eating disorders.
► Appreciating the interplay between all aspects of the patient presentation - medical, nutritional, psychiatric, psychological and ethical—is likely to result in a safer approach to such patients.

Current research questions
► What impact does improved clinician education on eating disorders have on the outcomes of those patients attending emergency departments?.
► Can the Sit up-Squat Stand test be used as a valid screening tool for anorexia nervosa in the emergency department?.
► What is emergency/acute clinician understanding of the role of mental capacity in decision to discharge against medical advice in those patients with anorexia nervosa?.
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Self assessment questions
Q1 Anorexia nervosa is has the highest mortality rate of all mental health conditions
► True
► False
Q2 Having type I diabetes is a protective factor for the mortality rate of those with anorexia nervosa
► True
► False
Q3 In anorexia nervosa, a heart rate of 39 bpm is an indication for admission
► True
► False
Q4 If someone with an eating disorder is symptomatic of hypoglycaemia, treatment of the hypoglycaemia should be delayed until full assessment and expert opinion has been obtained
► True
► False
Q5 You deem a patient with an eating disorder is seriously ill and needs admission for treatment and they refuse to see any other professional or remain in hospital, you therefore assess their capacity and feel they meet the criteria for mental capacity. You document this assessment and their wishes and should now discharge them.
► True
► False

Answers
► True
► False
► True
► False
► False
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of treatment and communicate a decision and the complexities of this, which makes capacity assessment fraught with difficulty. Therefore, as the patient is fundamentally suffering from a mental disorder (to use the terminology of the MHA) and their health cannot be preserved without treatment, the MHA (2007) is likely to be a more appropriate route to compulsory hospitalisation in England and Wales. To be detained under the MHA a patient must fulfil three criteria:

- Have a mental disorder which requires treatment as an inpatient.
- Inpatient management is necessary for the health and safety of the patient/others.
- There is treatment available.

Nasogastric feeding and associated interventions for example, blood tests and correction of electrolytes are considered medical treatment under the act. A patient suffering severe AN presenting to the ED would likely meet these criteria. However, the ED is not an inpatient setting and therefore in the UK a patient suffering severe AN who is not accepting treatment in any form needs swift discussion with the liaison or eating disorder mental health team to ensure the appropriate compulsory treatment can be enacted to both assess and treat without consent.

Many countries do not take this binary approach to mental incapacity and mental health legislation. In Scotland, the Mental Health (Care and Treatment) (Scotland) Act 2003 incorporates an ‘impaired decision-making criterion’; that a patient must in some way lack capacity to be detained under mental health legislation. Other parts of North America and Europe take a hybrid legal position which applies similar reasoning of the MHA (2007) for detention of patients but additionally an incapacity criterion, to treatment of these patients.

Capacity: a specific challenge

If required to assess compulsory hospitalisation of an eating disorder patient on the basis of capacity it is important to consider certain factors that may be unique to eating disorders. First, a person with an eating disorder may initiate behaviours and then feel compelled to maintain them and others may view them as both the perpetrator and victim of their condition. Eating disorders can also affect a person’s values and personal identity which can make it difficult for them to differentiate their genuine wishes and desires from the drive of the disorder or to decide they wish to recover, even if they are able to articulate an apparently rational thought process.

Second, people with severe eating disorders may present with an apparent lack of motivation regarding treatment and recovery due to their need to retain control, a strong drive to continue and lose weight, or an inability to apply the facts to themselves: yet even so they often retain an ability to reason. These factors may lead to a superficial appearance of capacity but when explored, many of these patients may lack capacity to make decisions about treatment for their eating disorder. This has been underlined by Court of Protection cases which have found patients with severe AN to lack capacity to make decisions about the treatment of their eating disorders. The importance of involving healthcare professionals who have in-depth understanding and specific experience of eating disorders when risks to health are severe, but patients appear to have capacity and are refusing treatment, cannot be overstated.

SUMMARY

Eating disorders are a challenge to the EM and AM clinician on almost every level. They require some understanding of physiological, anatomical, biochemical, psychological, psychiatric and legal implications. They also mandate an understanding of community and inpatient structures of management. With some focused education and robust management pathways those in the acute setting have an opportunity to alter the outcome of the most severely unwell patients with AN and contribute to reducing morbidity and mortality in the most deadly of mental health conditions.
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