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Circulating brain injury biomarkers increase after endoscopic surgery for pituitary tumors
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A B S T R A C T

Pituitary tumors and subsequent treatment with endoscopic transphenoidal surgery (ETSS) may cause injury to suprasellar structures, causing long-term fatigue and neurocognitive impairment. A method to quantify brain injury after ETSS is not available. In this prospective, exploratory study of patients undergoing ETSS for pituitary tumors, a novel approach to detect possible neuronal damage is presented. Plasma concentrations of brain injury biomarkers (glial fibrillary acidic protein [GFAP], tau, and neurofilament light [NFL]) were measured the day before surgery, immediately after surgery, at day 1 and 5, and at 6 and 12 months after surgery, using enzyme-linked immunosorbent assays. The association between the increase of biomarkers with preoperative tumor extension and postoperative patient-perceived fatigue was evaluated. Suprasellar tumor extension was assessed from MRI scans, and self-perceived fatigue was assessed using the Multidimensional Fatigue Inventory before and 6 months after surgery. Thirty-five patients were included in the analysis. Compared to baseline, GFAP showed a maximal increase at day 1 after surgery (p = 0.0005), tau peaked postoperatively on the day of surgery (p = 0.019), and NFL reached its maximum at day 5 after surgery (p < 0.0001). The increase in GFAP correlated with preoperative chiasmal compression (p = 0.020). The increase in tau was correlated with preoperative chiasmal (p = 0.011) and hypothalamic compression (p = 0.016), and fatigue score 6 months after surgery (p = 0.016). In conclusion, the concentrations of brain injury biomarkers in blood increased after ETSS for pituitary tumors. The results indicate that postoperative plasma GFAP and tau might reflect astroglial and neuronal damage after ETSS.

1. Introduction

Pituitary tumors account for approximately 15–20% of intracranial tumors [1,2]. The majority of pituitary tumors are benign ade...
Surgery for pituitary tumors is most commonly performed using a transsphenoidal route with the assistance of a microscope or an endoscope [10]. This type of surgery is usually considered a procedure with a low risk of complications due to the fact that there often is an arachnoid layer between the tumor and the nervous structures. However, in some cases, manipulation of the structures adjacent to the tumor is unavoidable [11].

Many patients experience improvement of symptoms, such as visual disturbance, headache and health-related quality of life (HRQoL) after surgical decompression, but the possibility to identify the subgroup of patients that are at risk for a worsening in long term outcome would be beneficial. Previous studies assessing the impact of pituitary surgery on HRQoL have provided ambiguous results, with some reporting beneficial effects [12] and others not [13–15]. Symptoms such as fatigue, neurocognitive impairment, obesity, and sleep disorders have been reported, and although most of these problems probably are caused by inadequate endocrine replacement therapy, it has been proposed that in some cases these symptoms may be due to hypothalamic injury caused by the tumor and/or the surgical procedure [14–17]. Methods to measure minor damage to neuronal structures in relation to neurosurgery are not available. An early detection of brain injury after pituitary surgery would enable health care providers to initiate preventive measures for weight gain and coping strategies for cognitive dysfunction.

The possibility of using circulating levels of neuronal biomarkers to measure brain damage has gained increasing attention in recent years due to the development of novel ultrasensitive measurement techniques [18,19]. Increased plasma concentrations of neuronal biomarkers have been associated with the severity of injury and clinical outcomes in diseases such as traumatic brain injury and acute brain ischemia [20–24]. A limited number of studies have indicated that neuronal biomarkers can be used to predict neurological outcome after surgery for brain tumors [25,26].

The primary aim of the present exploratory study was to study if an increase in circulating brain injury biomarkers glial fibrillary acidic protein (GFAP), tau, and neurofilament light (NFL) could be detected after transsphenoidal pituitary surgery and to explore the time profile of the postsurgical release of these neuronal biomarkers in plasma in order to determine the optimal timing for blood sampling in future studies. We also wanted to investigate the relationships between the biomarkers and perioperative factors and clinical outcome 6 months after surgery.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Patients

The data was derived from the Gothenburg Pituitary Tumor (GoPT) study, a prospective study that is enrolling patients scheduled for pituitary surgery at Sahlgrenska University Hospital, which is the sole provider of neurosurgical services for 1.8 million people in the western region of Sweden. The study was approved by the Regional Ethical Review Board in Gothenburg, Sweden (Dnr: 387-15). Written consent was obtained from all participants prior to any intervention.

Between June 2016 and October 2017, 68 patients were admitted for pituitary surgery and 66 of these patients were included in the GoPT study (two patients were not included due to emergency surgery). Sequential blood sampling suitable for the present study was feasible in 35 (53%) patients. Information about patient characteristics, including preoperative morbidity, clinical symptoms, hormone replacement therapy, preoperative visual field defects, and radiological findings was collected. Self-perceived fatigue was assessed preoperatively and 6 months after surgery using the Multidimensional Fatigue Inventory (MFI-20) [27] The MFI-20 is a questionnaire that assesses five self-reported dimensions of fatigue (general fatigue, physical fatigue, reduced activity, mental fatigue, and reduced motivation).

2.2. Anesthesia and surgical techniques

All patients underwent endoscopic transsphenoidal resection of their pituitary tumor. General anesthesia was induced with propofol in all patients and maintained with volatile anesthesia (sevoflurane) and remifentanil/fentanyl or remifentanil only.

2.3. Analysis of biomarkers

Blood samples were collected the day before surgery, immediately after surgery, at day 1 and 5, and at 6 and 12 months after surgery. Blood samples (approximately 12 mL) were collected in Vacutainer tubes, which were centrifuged for 10 min at 3800 rpm, and then 1-ml plasma aliquots were pipetted into cryotubes. The samples were stored at −70 °C before being transported to the Clinical Neurochemistry Laboratory at Sahlgrenska University Hospital, Sweden, for analysis.

The concentrations of glial fibrillary acidic protein (GFAP) and total tau (t-tau) in plasma were measured using commercially available digital enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) reagents with a Single molecule array (Simoa) HD-1 Analyzer (Quanterix, Billerica, MA). The concentration of NFL in plasma was measured using in-house digital ELISA reagents on the Simoa platform, as previously described in detail [28]. All measurements were performed by board-certified laboratory technicians blind to clinical information. The samples were analyzed in two rounds of experiments (n = 26 and n = 9, respectively). Importantly, paired samples from the same individual were analyzed in each run; for interpretation of the changes between the pre- and postoperative values, paired analysis was used, in that every patient served as his/her own control. The intra-assay coefficients of variation were below 10% for all biomarkers.

2.4. Radiology

All patients underwent magnetic resonance imaging (Philips Medical Systems Achieva dStream 3 T with gadolinium contrast-enhanced T1-weighted sequences) before surgery. The DICOM image series were imported into the open-source software 3D Slicer (version 4.8.1, https://www.slicer.org/) using a PC and tumor volumes were calculated.

To grade the risk of possible manipulation of nervous structures during surgery, the preoperative suprasellar extension of the tumors was classified using coronal magnetic resonance images. The relationship to the optic chiasm and the hypothalamus was assessed as separate variables and the tumors were classified according to three grades for each of the two structures: 1) did not reach the suprasellar nervous structures (compression = none); 2) reached the chiasm or hypothalamus (compression = some); and 3) compression of the chiasm or hypothalamus (compression = pronounced) (Fig. 1).

2.5. Statistics

Patient characteristics are presented in the form of means ± standard deviation (SD) or numbers with percentages. The pre- and postoperative levels of the biomarkers assumed a skewed distribution. Hence, non-parametric tests were used for statistical analyses. For visualizing the temporal profiles, both the absolute concentration change and the percentage change from baseline were used, while for higher statistical accuracy, all calculations were performed using absolute concentration changes from...
the baseline value [29]. For paired (longitudinal) data, the Wilcoxon signed-rank test was used and bootstrapping was performed to generate confidence intervals (CIs). For comparisons between groups, Spearman’s correlation coefficient was used in the case of three or more groups with ordinal data; otherwise, a Mann-Whitney U test was used. The correlations between continuous data values were assessed using Spearman’s correlation coefficient. All tests were two-sided and \( p < 0.05 \) was considered significant.

Statistical tests were performed using SAS, version 9 (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, North Carolina, USA). The data were visualized using GraphPad Prism, version 8 (GraphPad Software, San Diego, California, USA).

3. Results

Thirty-five patients (20 men and 15 women) with a mean age of 60.2 ± 15.9 years were included in the study. The patient characteristics are presented in Table 1.

3.1. GFAP

GFAP concentration increased immediately after surgery and at postoperative days 1 and 5, with the maximal increase observed on day 1. From a baseline mean value of 127 ± 73 pg/ml, the absolute mean postoperative changes were 221 pg/mL (95% CI 94–366 pg/mL, \( p = 0.0017 \)) immediately after surgery, 327 pg/mL (95% CI 41–842 pg/mL, \( p = 0.0005 \)) at day 1, and 72 pg/mL (95% CI 15–153 pg/mL, \( p = 0.0003 \)) at day 5. The baseline levels and the longitudinal postoperative changes in GFAP levels are presented in Fig. 2 and Table 2. Baseline levels and peak GFAP levels at day 1 were used for further statistical analysis.

The preoperative levels of GFAP correlated with age (\( p < 0.001 \)) but not with tumor volume, gender, tumor type, or degree of chiasmal or hypothalamus compression. The increase in GFAP on day 1 correlated with the degree of chiasmal compression (\( p = 0.020 \)) and showed a tendency towards correlation with the degree of hypothalamus compression (\( p = 0.077 \)) (Fig. 3). No correlation was observed between the increase in GFAP on day 1 and age, gender, tumor volume, tumor type, preoperative visual field defects, duration of anesthesia, perioperative cerebrospinal fluid leakage, or postoperative new hormone replacement therapy.

3.2. Tau

From a baseline mean value of 2.1 ± 1.3 pg/ml, the maximum postoperative absolute increase in tau concentration (mean 2.3 pg/mL, 95% CI 0.6–4.2 pg/mL, \( p = 0.019 \)) was observed immediately after surgery. A decrease from baseline was observed at day 5.
Fig. 2. Temporal profiles of plasma brain injury biomarkers. Mean absolute concentration changes (95% CI) and individual changes (%) from baseline (Preop), respectively, for:
(A and B) glial fibrillary acidic protein (GFAP); (C and D) tau; and (E and F) neurofilament light (NFL). Maximal mean increases were at day 1 after surgery for GFAP, immediately after surgery for tau, and at day 5 after surgery for NFL. *Indicates patients with high NFL values at 6 months who had undergone further transcranial surgery and radiotherapy.
Wilcoxon signed-rank test was used for comparisons within groups.

Table 2
Longitudinal changes in plasma concentration of glial fibrillary acidic protein (GFAP), neurofilament light (NFL), and tau after endoscopic transsphenoidal surgery for pituitary tumors.

| Timepoint       | Variable                        | GFAP (n = 35) | NFL (n = 35) | Tau (n = 34) |
|-----------------|---------------------------------|---------------|--------------|--------------|
|                 | Mean ± SD (95% CI)              | P-value within group | Mean ± SD (95% CI) | P-value within group | Mean ± SD (95% CI) | P-value within group |
| Baseline        | Absolute concentration (pg/mL)  | NA            | 37.1 ± 53.4  | NA            | 2.1 ± 1.3     | NA            |
|                 | [no., %]                        |               | [n = 35, 100%] |               | [n = 34, 97%] |               |
| Immediately     | Absolute concentration          | 221 ± 262     | –4.0 ± 29.2  | 2.3 ± 3.4     | 0.019         |
| after surgery   | difference from baseline        | (94 to 366)   | (–21.6 to 8.1)| (6.6 to 4.2)  |              |
|                 | (95% CI)                        |               | [n = 14, 40%] |               | [n = 14, 40%] |              |
| Day 1           | Absolute concentration          | 327 ± 1291    | –4.3 ± 15.7  | 0.6 ± 3.0     | 0.56          |
|                 | difference from baseline        | (41 to 842)   | (–10.4 to –0.4) |              |              |
|                 | (pg/mL)                         |               | [n = 32, 91%] |               | [n = 32, 91%] |              |
| Day 5           | Absolute concentration          | 72 ± 210      | 8.2 ± 11.2   | –0.3 ± 0.7    | 0.0091        |
|                 | difference from baseline        | (15 to 153)   | (4.5 to 12.0) |              |              |
|                 | (pg/mL)                         |               | [n = 33, 94%] |               |              |
| Month 6         | Absolute concentration          | 14 ± 31       | 3.0 ± 17.8   | 0.4 ± 1.9     | 0.45          |
|                 | difference from baseline        | (–2 to 34)    | (–3.1 to 9.7) |              |              |
|                 | (pg/mL)                         |               | [n = 29, 83%] |               | [n = 32, 91%] |              |
| Month 12        | Absolute concentration          | 8 ± 24 (–5 to 23) | 1.2 ± 4.6   | –0.2 ± 0.7    | 0.68          |
|                 | difference from baseline        | (–5 to 23)    | (–1.0 to 4.3) |              |              |
|                 | (pg/mL)                         |               | [n = 11, 31%] |               | [n = 10, 29%] |              |

Wilcoxon signed-rank test was used for comparisons within groups.

(mean –0.3 pg/mL, 95% CI –0.6 to –0.1 pg/mL, p = 0.009). The baseline levels and the longitudinal postoperative changes in tau levels are presented in Fig. 2 and Table 2. Baseline and peak tau levels immediately after surgery were used for further statistical analysis.

The preoperative levels of tau were not correlated with any patient or tumor characteristics. The increase in tau immediately after surgery correlated with the degree of chiasmal and hypothalamic compression (p = 0.011 and p = 0.016, respectively) (Fig. 3). A greater increase was observed in patients with new postoperative hormone deficiencies (p = 0.016). There were no significant differences in fatigue between patients with or without new hormone deficiencies (p = 0.091). No correlations were found between the change in total MFI-20 score and the increase in GFAP at day 1 or with the increase in NFL at day 5 (Fig. 4).

4. Discussion

In this prospective exploratory study, we found that the plasma concentration of circulating brain injury biomarkers increased in patients who underwent endoscopic transthyroidal surgery for pituitary tumors. For GFAP and tau, this increase correlated with a higher degree of preoperative suprasellar tumor extension and, for tau, also to self-perceived fatigue 6 months after surgery. This is to the best of our knowledge the first report showing that brain injury biomarkers in blood may be used to quantify the possible impact on nervous tissue during transphenoidal pituitary tumor surgery.

4.1. Brain injury biomarkers and release patterns

GFAP is an intermediate filament protein that is almost exclusively present in the central nervous system and represents a major component of the cytoskeleton in astrocytes [30–32]. The release of GFAP in the bloodstream after trauma to the central nervous system indicates astrogliosis in and/or activation and several reports have studied its utility as a biomarker in predicting clinical outcomes in traumatic brain injury (TBI) [33–35]. In our study, there was a significant postoperative increase in GFAP levels, reaching a peak at day 1 after surgery. The temporal profile was similar to that described after TBI [22].

Tau protein is highly expressed in the thin, non-myelinated axons of cortical interneurons [32,36]. Increased blood levels of tau have been observed in neurodegenerative diseases [37,38], after hypoxic brain injury [20], and after head trauma [39]. In our study, we observed a significant postoperative increase in tau levels immediately after surgery with a time profile that was similar to that described after head trauma [40].

NFL is a subunit of neuron-specific intermediate filaments and is most abundant in large-caliber myelinated axons that project...
Fig. 3. Correlations between postoperative increase in brain injury biomarkers and grade of preoperative chiasmal and hypothalamus compression: (A) Correlations between chiasmal compression and increase of glial fibrillary acidic protein (GFAP) at day 1 after surgery, tau immediately after surgery and neurofilament light (NFL) at day 5 after surgery. (B) Correlations between hypothalamus compression and increase of glial fibrillary acidic protein (GFAP) at day 1 after surgery, tau immediately after surgery and neurofilament light (NFL) at day 5 after surgery. Correlations were calculated using Spearman’s correlation coefficient.

Fig. 4. Correlations between change in Mental Fatigue Inventory (MFI-20) [fatigue] total score and postoperative increase in brain injury biomarkers: (A) glial fibrillary acidic protein (GFAP) at day 1 after surgery; (B) tau immediately after surgery; and (C) neurofilament light (NFL) at day 5 after surgery. Correlations were calculated by Spearman’s correlation coefficient.
Transsphenoidal surgery had both undergone additional transcra-
neous balloon compression of the trigeminal nerve due to trigem-
 neuronal structures. One patient with a preoperative NFL value
postoperative hormone replacement therapy. This may indicate
direct damage, or release secondary to decompression, of neuronal
intrasellar tumors, the risk of manipulation of neuronal structures
in patients with tumors compressing suprasellar structures com-
in GFAP at day 1 and in tau immediately after surgery were seen
in patients with tumors compressing suprasellar structures com-
pared to patients with tumors with no preoperative compression.
In the latter group, which mainly constitutes patients with pure
intraselar tumors, the risk of manipulation of neuronal structures
can be considered to be very low and, as expected, they have a sig-
nificant lower increase in brain injury biomarkers. Possible me-
chanisms behind the perioperative release of GFAP and tau could be
a direct damage, or release secondary to decompression, of neuronal
and glial structures, which would be more likely with greater
suprasellar tumor extension. We also noted a significantly higher
increase in tau immediately after surgery in patients who required
postoperative hormone replacement therapy. This may indicate
that tau is more sensitive than the other biomarkers to surgical
manipulation affecting the pituitary gland and its function.

The postoperative increase in NFL was not associated with any
patient or tumor characteristics. However, data based on three of
our cases indicates that NFL is sensitive to the manipulation of
neuronal structures. One patient with a preoperative NFL value
3-times higher than the mean NFL value had undergone percuta-
neous balloon compression of the trigeminal nerve due to trigem-
inal neuralgia 1 month prior to pituitary surgery. The other two
patients with remarkably high NFL values 6 months after the
transsphenoidal surgery had both undergone additional transcran-
ial surgery.

4.3. Outcome after pituitary surgery

The long-term outcome after pituitary surgery is dependent on
multiple factors, and the impact of a possible brain injury caused
by the tumor itself or its treatment is one. Neuropsychological sequelae
due to damage of suprasellar structures have been suggested in
previous studies [14–16]. If this damage could be detected through
measurement of brain injury biomarkers, identification of patients
with higher risk for a postoperative neurocognitive deterioration
might be possible. Early initiation of preventive and/or supportive
measures for e.g., sleep dysfunction, weight gain and coping strate-
gies for cognitive impairment might be beneficial for these
patients.

In our study, we could not demonstrate higher fatigue scores
after 6 months on a group level, but it is interesting to note the
positive correlation between the increase in tau values immedi-
ately after surgery and the change in the total MFI-20 score
between baseline and 6 months after surgery. Higher tau concentra-
tion after surgery therefore seem to be related to a worsening,
or at least a decreased improvement, in fatigue during the first
6 months after surgery. Since increased postoperative tau was also
associated with new postoperative hormone deficiencies, it is
impossible to conclude whether this relationship was caused by
new hormone deficiencies or by an actual neuronal injury during
surgery.

There are some limitations in the study. This is an explorative
study with a small number of observations, not allowing for appro-
priate multivariable analyses. Sequential blood sampling was feasi-
ble in 53% of patients, which could pose a risk for selection bias.
However, the practical reasons for not acquiring sequential blood
sampling were considered to have resulted in a random drop-
out, and should not reflect a systematic error that would influence
the results. Although all patients underwent the same type of sur-
gery, the tumor diagnoses were heterogeneous. The study does not
include a formal control group, but patients with tumors without
suprasellar extension were included, where the risk of manipula-
tion of neuronal and astroglial structures could be considered min-
imal. The increase of GFAP and tau were lower among these
patients than in those with suprasellar extension. However, our
results need to be confirmed in other studies where appropriate
control groups are included in order to further understand the
mechanisms and clinical importance of our findings.

5. Conclusion

In conclusion, this study showed that circulating neuronal
biomarkers increased after endoscopic transsphenoidal pituitary
surgery. For GFAP and tau, their increase after surgery was associ-
ated with the degree of preoperative suprasellar tumor extension,
and the increase in tau was also associated with worse self-
perceived fatigue 6 months after surgery. Despite being a small
exploratory study, our data suggests that measurement of GFAP
and tau in plasma might be of interest in future studies investigat-
ing the potential neuronal impact of pituitary tumor surgery.
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