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In silico screening of FDA approved drugs reveals ergotamine and dihydroergotamine as potential coronavirus main protease enzyme inhibitors
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A B S T R A C T

Coronaviruses with the largest viral genomes are positive-sense RNA viruses associated with a history of global epidemics such as the severe respiratory syndrome (SARS), the Middle East respiratory syndrome (MERS) and recently the coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19). There has been no vaccines or drugs available for the treatment of human coronavirus infections to date. In the present study, we have explored the possibilities of FDA approved drugs as potential inhibitors of the coronavirus main protease, a therapeutically important drug target playing a salient role in the maturation and processing of the viral polyproteins and are vital for viral replication and transcription. We have used molecular docking approach and have successfully identified the best lead molecules for each enzyme target. Interestingly, the anti-migraine drugs such as ergotamine and its derivative, dihydroergotamine were found to bind to all the three target enzymes within the Cys-His catalytic dyad cleft with lower binding energies as compared to the control inhibitors (α-ketoamide 13b, SG85 and GC813) and the molecules are held within the pocket through a good number of hydrogen bonds and hydrophobic interactions. Hence both these lead molecules can be further taken for wet-lab experimentation studies before repurposing them as anti-coronaviral drug candidates.

© 2020 The Author(s). Published by Elsevier B.V. on behalf of King Saud University. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

1. Introduction

Coronaviruses are enveloped positive-sense RNA viruses which have crown-like appearance under the electron microscope and usually ranges from 60 to 140 nm in diameter (Richman et al., 2016). There are four coronaviruses (OC43, 229E, NL63 and HKU1) which cause mild respiratory distress in humans (Singhal, 2020). The cross-species transmission of animal beta coronaviruses to humans have been reported since last two decades—the first event which occurred in 2002–2003 when the bat originated coronaviruses crossed over to humans via palm civet cats as intermediary host and caused severe respiratory syndrome (SARS) in humans and was known as SARS coronaviruses (SARS-CoV) which infected 8422 people in China and Hong Kong and caused 916 deaths with a mortality rate of 11% (Chan-Yeung and Xu, 2003). In 2012, almost a decade later, another bat-originated virus emerged in Saudi Arabia and the transmission to humans was via dromedary camels. The virus was designated as the Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus (MERS-CoV) which infected 2494 people and caused 858 deaths with fatality rate of 34% (Singhal, 2020). There is a recent global health emergency around the world with the rapid emergence and spread of 2019 novel coronavirus (2019-nCoV) or the severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) which has caused a pandemic known as coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19). The outbreak was first reported in Wuhan, Hubei province, China in December 2019 (Wang et al., 2020). The intermediary hosts which led to the transmission of this
bat-originated virus to humans is still an enigma. According to the WHO report, there has been 25,49,632 confirmed cases of COVID-19 and 1,75,825 confirmed deaths to date (23/04/2020) (Coronavirus disease Pandemic, 2020).

The coronaviruses (CoVs) RNA genome has a size ranging from 27 to 31 kb and it is the largest viral RNA genomes known to date (Lai and Holmes, 2001). The two overlapping polyproteins (pp1a and pp1ab) encoded by the CoV replicase gene are essential for viral replication and transcription (Snijder and Spaan, 1995; Yang et al., 2003). These polyproteins need to undergo a complex cascade of proteolytic processing for maturation which in turn regulates viral gene expression and replication (Xue et al., 2008). The enzyme CoV main protease (CoV Mpro; also known as 3C-like protease or 3CLpro) catalyzes the most of the maturation cleavage events within the precursor polyproteins (Lee et al., 1991; Ziebuhr et al., 2000). It is a three-domain (domains I to III) cysteine protease with a chymotrypsin-like fold at the N terminus and a Cys-His catalytic located in a cleft between domains I and II (Anand et al., 2003; Yang et al., 2003). The CoV Mpro has emerged as an attractive drug target for anti-coronaviral drug design because of its vital role in the maturation and processing of the replicase polyprotein (Xue et al., 2008; Ziebuhr et al., 2000).

| ZINC ID       | Common Name   | Binding Energy (kcal/mol) | Molecular Interactions Hydrogen bonds | Hydrophobic interactions |
|---------------|---------------|--------------------------|--------------------------------------|-------------------------|
| ZINC000003078005 | Dihydroergotamine | -9.4                     | O1 ...N(Gly143) 3.13 Å, O4 ...N(Gly143) 2.80 Å | Thr25, His41, Cys44, Met49, Asn142, Cys145, His164, Met165 and Glu166 (N = 9) |
| ZINC000003932831 | Avodart       | -9.3                     | N1 OXGln192 3.29 Å, F3 OXHis163 3.07 Å | Leu141, Asn142, Gly143, Cys145, Met165, Glu166, Pro168, Arg188, Gln189 and Thr190 (N = 10) |
| ZINC000001612996 | Irinotecan    | -9.3                     | N4 OXThr26 3.00 Å, O3 OXHis163 2.90 Å | Thr24, Thr25, His41, Met49, Asn119, Gly143, Cys145, His164, Met165, Glu166, Pro168, Gln189 and Thr190 (N = 13) |
| ZINC000052955754 | Ergotamine    | -9.3                     | O4 ...N(Gly143) 3.13 Å | Thr25, His41, Cys44, Met49, Asn142, Cys145, His164, Met165, Glu166, Asp187 and Arg188 (N = 11) |
| ZINC000164760756 | Olysio        | -9.2                     | O6 OXG1(Thr25) 2.94 Å | His41, Cys44, Thr45, Ser46, Met49, Phe140, Leu141, Asn142, Gly143, His163, His164, Met165, Glu166, Gln189 and Thr190 (N = 15) |
| Control (O6K) | α-ketoamide 13b | -6.9                     | O26 OXHis41 3.10 Å, O37 ...N(Glu1660 3.23 Å | Thr25, Met49, Cys145, His163, Met165, Pro168, Gln189 and Thr190 (N = 8) |
enzyme has been targeted previously with antiviral phytochemicals (Gurung et al., 2020; Islam et al., 2020; Tahir ul Qamar et al., 2020), marine natural products (Gentile et al., 2020) and FDA approved drugs (Kandeel and Al-Nazawi, 2020; Lobo-Galo et al., 2020).

The lack of effective therapeutics against human coronaviral infections (Graham et al., 2013) and the high mortality rates due to the recent emergence of novel coronavirus (2019-nCoV) have necessitated the discovery of new vaccines or drugs. In the present study, we have explored the possibility of the FDA approved drugs as potential inhibitors of Mpro enzyme which will help in halting the virus replication and curtail the progression of the disease. We have used molecular docking study to explore the binding interaction of the FDA approved drugs with three target enzymes (SARS-CoV Mpro, SARS-CoV-2 Mpro and MERS-CoV Mpro) and short-listed suitable lead molecules for each target.

2. Materials and methods:

2.1. Retrieval and preparation of structures of FDA approved drugs:

A set of 1390 chemical structures of FDA approved drugs were downloaded from ZINC 15 database (Sterling and Irwin, 2015). The 3D structures of the molecules in SDF format were retrieved and the molecules having only 2D structure available were processed into 3D structures using Open Babel version 2.4.1 software (O’Boyle et al., 2011) and subsequently energy-optimized using MMFF force field (Halgren, 1996) following our previously described protocol (Gurung et al., 2016). The molecules were prepared for docking using AutoDock Toos-1.5.6 by the addition of Gasteiger charges and hydrogen atoms and torsions for each molecule were optimally defined. The structures of the compounds were saved in PDBQT format.

Fig. 1. Binding conformation (compounds are represented as green sticks and key interacting residues are displayed in thin red wireframe representation) and molecular interaction between SARS-CoV-2 Mpro and (A) Dihydroergotamine (ZINC000003978005) (B) α-ketoamide 13b inhibitor (O6K). The hydrophobic interactions are indicated by red arcs with radiating spikes and green dashed lines correspond to hydrogen bonds.
### Table 2

Binding energy scores and molecular interactions between top 5 leads and SARS-CoV M^{pro}. The figures in bracket indicate the hydrogen bond length.

| ZINC ID       | Common Name | Structure | Binding Energy [kcal/mol] | Molecular Interactions | Hydrophobic interactions |
|---------------|-------------|-----------|---------------------------|------------------------|--------------------------|
| ZINC000026985532 | Saquinavir  | ![Saquinavir Structure](image) | -9.6 | [O6:...N(Glu166) [2.83 Å], N5:...OE1(Gln189) [3.06 Å], O2:...O(Leu141) [2.75 Å], O1:...N(Gly143) [2.89 Å]] | Thr25, Thr26, His41, Met49, Phe140, Asn142, Cys145, His164, Met165, Leu167, Thr190 and Gln192 (N = 12) |
| ZINC000052955754 | Ergotamine | ![Ergotamine Structure](image) | -9.5 | [O1:...N(Gly143), O4:...N(Gly143)] | Thr25, His41, Met49, Asn142, Cys145, His164, Met165, Glu166 and Gln189 (N = 9) |
| ZINC000006716957 | Nilotinib | ![Nilotinib Structure](image) | -9.4 | [N4:...OD(Asn142) [3.03 Å], N1:...O(His164) [3.15 Å]] | Thr25, His41, Cys44, Met49, Phe140, Cys145, His163, Met165, Glu166 and Gln189 (N = 10) |
| ZINC000013831130 | Raltegravir | ![Raltegravir Structure](image) | -9.2 | [O4:...O(His41) [2.95 Å], O2:...N(Cys145) [3.25 Å], N5:...OD1 (Asn142) [2.83 Å]] | Thr25, Thr26, Leu27, Cys44, Met49, Leu141, Gly143, Asp187, Arg188 and Gln189, (N = 10) |
| ZINC000003978005 | Dihydroergotamine | ![Dihydroergotamine Structure](image) | -9.2 | [O4:...N(Ser144) [3.34 Å], O4:...N(Gly143) [2.67 Å], O1:...N(Gly143) [2.98 Å]] | Thr25, Leu27, His41, Cys44, Met49, Asn142, Cys145, His164, Met165, Glu166 and Gln189 (N = 11) |
| SG85 | Control (G85) | ![SG85 Structure](image) | -7.9 | [O19:...N(Cys145) [3.20 Å], O19:...SC(Cys145) [3.28 Å], N49:...OE1(Gln189) [2.89 Å], O47:...N(Glu166) [3.02 Å]] | Thr25, Thr26, His41, Met49, Phe140, Leu141, Asn142, Gly143, Ser144, His163, His164, Met165, Asp187, Thr190, Ala191 and Gln192 (N = 16) |
2.2. Retrieval and preparation of structures of target enzymes

The three-dimensional structures of the enzyme targets-SARS-CoV-2 M<sup>pro</sup> (PDB ID: 6Y2F), SARS-CoV M<sup>pro</sup> (PDB ID: 3TNT) and MERS-CoV M<sup>pro</sup> (PDB ID: 5WKK) solved through high-resolution X-ray crystallographic technique at a resolution of 1.95 Å, 1.59 Å and 1.55 Å respectively were retrieved from Protein Data Bank (http://www.rcsb.org/). Each target enzyme was prepared by removing the heteroatoms including ions, co-crystallized ligands (O6Y, G85 and AW4 corresponding to PDB IDs: 6Y2F, 3TNT and 5WKK respectively) and water molecules. Further, an optimum number of polar hydrogen atoms and Kolmann charges were added to each protein target using AutoDock Toos-1.5.6 and the structures were saved in PDBQT format.

![Fig. 2. Binding conformation and molecular interaction (compounds are represented as green sticks and key interacting residues are displayed in thin red wireframe representation) between SARS-CoV M<sup>pro</sup> lead compounds and. (A) Saquinavir (ZINC000026985532) (B) inhibitor SG85 (G85). The hydrophobic interactions are indicated by red arcs with radiating spikes and green dashed lines correspond to hydrogen bonds.](image-url)
2.3. Evaluation of binding affinity of the compounds with the target enzymes

The binding affinity of each molecule along with the control inhibitors was evaluated against the three enzyme targets using molecular docking approach. The binding sites for the compounds were defined by choosing grid box of dimensions of $25 \times 25 \times 25 \, \text{Å}^3$ with exhaustiveness value of 8 centred at $x:9.6421$, $y:0.3396$, $z:18.3327$; $x:25.6069$, $y:44.4317$, $z:-5.6802$ and $x:-21.9764$, $y:24.4145$, $z:4.8599$ of SARS-CoV-2 Mpro.

Table 3

| ZINC ID       | Common Name | Structure | Binding Energy (kcal/mol) | Molecular Interactions | Hydrogen bonds Hydrophobic interactions |
|---------------|-------------|-----------|--------------------------|------------------------|----------------------------------------|
| ZINC000052955754 | Ergotamine  | ![Ergotamine structure](image) | -9.6                     | O3 ...SG(Cys145) [3.23 Å] | Met25, His41, Leu49, Leu144, Cys145, Cys148, Met168, Glu169, Asp190, Lys191, Gln192, Val193 and His194 (N = 12) |
| ZINC00003860453 | Ak-Fluor    | ![Ak-Fluor structure](image) | -9.4                     | O2 ...NE2(His41) [3.01 Å] 05 ...O(Leu144) [3.23 Å] 05 ...NE2(His166) [3.20 Å] 05 ...OG(Ser147) [3.13 Å] | Met25, Phe143, Cys145, Gly146, Cys148, Gln167, Met168, Glu169, Lys191 and Gln192 (N = 10) |
| ZINC00003932831 | Avodart     | ![Avodart structure](image) | -9.3                     | Nil                     | His41, Leu49, Leu144, Cys145 Gln167, Met168, Glu169, Lys191 and Gln192 (N = 10) |
| ZINC00003978005 | Dihydroergotamine | ![Dihydroergotamine structure](image) | -9.3                     | O1 ...SG(Cys145) [3.35 Å] | Met25, His41, Leu49, Leu144, Cys145, Met168, Glu169, Asp190, Lys191, Gln192 and His194 (N = 11) |
| ZINC000026664090 | Saquinavir  | ![Saquinavir structure](image) | -9.3                     | O2 ...SG(Cys145) [3.21 Å] O3 ...NE2(His41) [2.97 Å] N3 ...OE1(Gln192) [3.13 Å] N5 ...OE1(Gln192) [2.99 Å] N4 ...O(Asp190) [3.18 Å] | Met25, Thr26, Leu49, Phe143, Leu144, Gly146, Ser147, Cys148, His166, Met168, Glu169 and Lys191 (N = 12) |
| Control (AW4) | GC813       | ![GC813 structure](image) | -8.1                     | N13 ...O(Leu144) [2.80 Å] N13 ...OG(Ser147) [3.14 Å] O16 ...N(Ser147) [2.99 Å] O16 ...SG(Cys148) [3.17 Å] O16 ...N(Cys148) [3.10 Å] O16 ...N(Gly146) [3.26 Å] N03 ...DE1(Gln192) [2.80 Å] | Met25, His41, Leu49, Tyr54, Cys145, Met168, Glu169, Asp190, Lys191, Val193 and His194 (N = 11) |
SARS-CoV M\textsuperscript{pro} and MERS-CoV M\textsuperscript{pro} respectively around the bound co-crystallized ligand. Autodock vina was used for performing molecular docking study which executes docking calculations based on sophisticated gradient optimization method (Trott and Olson, 2010).

2.4. Evaluation of binding energy scores and study of binding poses

The lowest binding energy score of each ligand was taken into account for studying their binding poses. The molecular interactions (hydrogen bonds and hydrophobic interactions) between the target proteins and compounds were studied using LigPlot + version 1.4.5 tool (Laskowski and Swindells, 2011).

3. Results and discussion

The binding affinities of a total of 1390 FDA approved drugs were tested against three enzyme targets-SARS-CoV-2 M\textsuperscript{pro}, SARS-CoV M\textsuperscript{pro} and MERS-CoV M\textsuperscript{pro}. The docking scores were benchmarked using three control inhibitors- α-ketoamide 13b (O6K), SG85 (G85) and GC813 (AW4). The top five lead molecules identified for SARS-CoV-2 M\textsuperscript{pro} were Dihydroergotamine...
complex modes of pharmacological binding with several receptors such as 5-HT (5-hydroxytryptamine), dopamine and noradrenaline receptors and are potent vasoconstrictors (Bigal and Tepper, 2003; Tfelt-Hansen et al., 2000).

4. Conclusion

Understanding the global health emergency and the immediate need for drugs and vaccines for the treatment of coronaviral infection, the present study is undertaken to identify promising inhibitors for main protease enzymes of coronaviruses through molecular docking approach. Our study suggests that antimigraine drugs such as Ergotamine (ZINC000052955754) and its derivative, Dihydroergotamine (ZINC000003978005) are the most potent lead molecules which can be taken for further studies in wet lab experiments.
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