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Abstract

Background

Bentonite, a montmorillonite clay, has been used as a classical remedy strategy for a long time. Recently, bentonite has been used as a raw material in cosmetic products because of its antibacterial and antioxidant properties. However, the therapeutic effect of bentonite on burn injuries has not yet been identified. Here, we explored the therapeutic effect of a novel bentonite complex, which was developed for medical use, on burn wounds and the anti-inflammatory function of bentonite clay in the complex in vitro.

Methods

A novel bentonite complex and bentonite clay were provided by C&L Biotech Co., Ltd. (Seoul, Korea). The elements of bentonite clay were analysed using inductively coupled plasma optical emission spectroscopy. Burn wounds were induced on the dorsal skin of two Yucatan minipigs, and tissue regeneration was determined by cell proliferation, angiogenesis, and collagen deposition using immunohistochemistry and Masson's trichrome staining. Anti-inflammatory function was determined using quantitative real-time PCR and enzyme-linked immunosorbent assays.

Results

We found that by inducing collagen synthesis, cell proliferation, and angiogenesis, the bentonite complex improved skin regeneration in burn wounds. It was also identified that the expression of inflammatory cytokines associated with cyclooxygenase 2 (COX-2) signalling was significantly inhibited by treatment of the burn wounds with the bentonite complex. An in vitro study to identify the anti-inflammatory effect of bentonite clay, a major component of the bentonite complex, showed that COX-2 signalling was significantly regulated in both HacaT keratinocytes and 3D4/2 macrophage cell lines in vitro.

Conclusions

This study identified the therapeutic effect of a novel bentonite complex in burn wounds by inducing skin regeneration and bentonite-mediated anti-inflammatory responses with bentonite complex treatment.

Background

A burn is one of the most severe injuries caused by contact with a hot object, fire, and even chemical agents [1, 2]. Burn wounds are classified into three degrees based on wound depth and total body surface area (TBSA) [3]. Patients with high degree burns (> 40% of TBSA) are vulnerable to pathogenic infection through a broken epidermal layer [4]. Burn wounds recover through a complex process, which is classified into four phases in the order of cutaneous hemostasis, regulation of inflammation, cell proliferation, and
tissue remodelling [5-7]. The management and treatment of burn wounds has been accomplished by facilitating the progression of the recovery phases. Thus, researchers and medical companies are struggling to find novel materials that promote regeneration and anti-inflammation [8,9].

Bentonites, which originate from altered volcanic ash, have been used as major components in cosmetic and medical products [10-13]. Formerly, bentonite has been considered a therapeutic material and even applied to wounds in cases of emergencies [14]. Recently, the biological functions of bentonites have been reported in various studies. Due to their physical characteristics, bentonites effectively remove toxins and protect against pathogenic infections caused by skin injury [15]. In addition, some studies have reported that bentonites improve cell migration, expansion, spreading, and differentiation [16]. Nevertheless, the therapeutic effects of bentonite on burn wounds have not yet been identified.

Using a minipig burn wound model, we explored the therapeutic effects of a novel bentonite complex developed for medical use (C&L Biotech, Seoul, Korea). Minipigs were chosen because their skin is similar to those of humans in terms of tissue structure and physiological and immunological contents [17-19]. Burn inducement and post-management were performed in the same manner as previous studies [20, 21]. Skin regeneration was evaluated using macroscopic and microscopic investigations. Contraction of the wound area, scab formation, and inflammatory phenotypes were examined by macroscopic observation [22]. To analyse microscopic phenotypes of wound healing, the expressions of Ki67, VEGF, and collagen deposition were measured by immunohistochemistry (IHC) and Masson's trichrome staining methods [23-26]. The anti-inflammatory effect of the bentonite complex was determined by analysing the inhibition of cyclooxygenase 2 (COX-2) / prostaglandin E2 (PGE2) signalling using quantitative real-time PCR (qRT-PCR) and enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) methods [27,28]. Furthermore, to identify the anti-inflammatory function of bentonite clay, a major component of the bentonite complex, the expressions of COX-2-associated cytokines were analysed in keratinocyte and macrophage cell lines, which constitute the dermal immune system [29, 30].

Materials And Methods

Materials

The bentonite complex was provided by C&L Biotech Co. Ltd. The ingredients of the bentonite complex are listed in Table 1. The bentonite complex for the in vivo assay was prepared at two concentrations (T1, 1 mg/mL; T2, 6 mg/mL) to evaluate dose dependency. Silver sulfadiazine 1% cream (Dongwha Pharm Co. Ltd, Seoul, South Korea) and saline solution were used for each positive control (PC) and negative control (NC).
### Table 1
Components of bentonite complex

| Name                        | Use                        | EWG green grade<sup>1</sup> |
|-----------------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Bentonite clay              | Anti-inflammation           | 2                           |
| Purified water              | Solvent                    | 1                           |
| Glycerine                   | Moisturizer                | 2                           |
| Mineral oil                 | Skincare product           | 2                           |
| Sparassis crispa extract    | Moisturizer                | 1                           |
| Cetearyl alcohol            | Moisturizer                | 1                           |
| Shea butter                 | Moisturizer                | 1                           |
| Cetyl ethylhexanoate        | Skin conditioning agent    | 1                           |
| Theobroma cacao seed butter | Moisturizer                | 1                           |
| Hydrogenated polyisobutene | Emollient                  | 1                           |
| Coconut oil                 | Skin conditioning agent    | 1                           |
| Cyclopentasiloxane          | Skin conditioning agent    | 3                           |
| Beeswax                     | Skin care agent, detergent | 1                           |
| Setearyl alcohol            | Moisturizer                | 1                           |
| 1,2-hexanediol              | Antioxidant                | 1                           |
| Olea europaea olive fruit oil | Water evaporation blocking agent | 1                         |
| Cetearyl glucoside          | Emulsifier                 | 1                           |
| Dimethicone                 | Sebum controlling agent    | 1                           |
| Glyceryle stearate          | Skin conditioning agent    | 1                           |
| Cyclohexasiloxane           | Skin conditioning agent    | 2                           |
| Dimethicone                 | Skin protecting agent      | 3                           |
| Dysodium edta               | Antioxidant                | 1                           |

<sup>1</sup>EWG (Environmental Working Group) green grade: EWG green grade means scoring products within the range of 1 to 10 according to the standards set from the EWG’s study, with 1 representing the best score and 10 representing the worst.

For the in vitro assay, bentonite clay (C&L Biotech Co. Ltd) was autoclaved at 121°C for 1 h. The specific elements of the bentonite clay in the mixture were precisely measured using inductively coupled plasma optical emission spectroscopy (ICP-OES, Agilent ICP-MS 7700S, Table 2). Sterilised bentonite clay was
suspended in 1 mL of UV-irradiated phosphate buffered saline, diluted 10-fold (100, 10, and 1 µg/mL), and incubated for 1 h at room temperature. The solution was centrifuged at 13,000 × g for 2 h to elute the soluble fraction to avoid physical damage to the cell lines. The supernatant was carefully collected and passed through a 0.22 µm micropore filter to remove any insoluble debris.

Table 2
The elements of bentonite clay

| Elements | Detection limit (mg/kg) |
|----------|------------------------|
| Li       | 44.6 ± 8.7             |
| B        | 5661.5 ± 483.5         |
| Na       | 32950 ± 10855          |
| Mg       | 8455 ±105              |
| Al       | 50400 ± 3200           |
| Si       | 209500 ± 19500         |
| K        | 19400 ± 400            |
| Ca       | 14450 ± 250            |
| Ti       | 2070 ± 30              |
| V        | 27.95 ± 0.45           |
| Cr       | 44.65 ± 1.55           |
| Mn       | 289 ± 5                |
| Ni       | 79.4 ± 11.2            |
| Cu       | 8.03 ± 0.85            |
| Zn       | 65.4 ± 9.2             |
| Sr       | 370.5 ± 15.5           |
| Ba       | 694 ± 26               |
| Pb       | 121 ± 16               |

The elements of the bentonite clay were measured by ICP-OES. Detection limit is represented as mean ± standard deviation (n = 2).

Animals

Male and female Yucatan minipigs (*Sus scrofa domesticus*, Optipharm, Cheongju Korea), aged 7-8 months old and weighing 9.5-12.5 kg, were subjected to surgery to create burn wounds. They were bred in individual cages under specific pathogen-free (SPF) and controlled conditions (40-60 % humidity; 12 h/12
h light/dark cycle). Water was provided *ad libitum*, and food (Purina, St. Louis, USA) was provided daily at 2% of the body weight. Clinical status was monitored every morning, and checkpoints were increased to twice a day after surgery. A designated photographer recorded macroscopic observations by taking pictures of each wound on days 2, 10, and 21 (necropsy).

For burn induction, the minipigs were anaesthetized by intramuscular injection of a mixture of ketamine (20 mg/kg) and xylazine (2 mg/kg). Anaesthesia was maintained with 0.5 ~ 5% isoflurane. The burn wound was induced with a soldering iron with a square-shaped tip at 180°C, held against the skin for 5 s. Each wound was 3 × 3 cm², spaced at a 5 cm interval, and had a depth of approximately 3 mm. The dorsal region of the minipigs was shaved with an electric clipper and cleaned with an alcohol swab to avoid infection. Full-thickness burns were induced on the dorsal region of minipigs, producing a total of eight wounds induced on each side of the spine line for NC, PC, T1, and T2. Post-surgical pain was managed with a peroral injection of acetaminophen (20 mg/kg). This study was approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (approval number: KIT 1910-0348).

**In vitro assay**

The porcine lung macrophage cell line 3D4/2 (ATCC CRL-2845) and the human keratinocyte cell line HacaT (ATCC PCS-200-011) were used for the *in vitro* assays. The cells were grown at 37°C and 5% CO₂ in culture media containing 10% foetal bovine serum (Gibco, Waltham, MA, USA) and 1% penicillin-streptomycin (10,000 unit/mL; Gibco). A total of 20 ng of lipopolysaccharide (LPS, Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA) were added over a period of 6 h to induce immune cell stimulation. The bentonite clay was then mixed with the culture media (1:1) and treated with HacaT and 3D4/2.

**Quantitative real-time PCR**

Total RNA was isolated manually using the phenol-chloroform method. Reverse transcription reactions were performed using the QuantiTena Reverse Transcription Kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany). Quantitative real-time PCR (qRT-PCR) was performed in a 25 µL reaction mixture that included 2× Power SYBR Green PCR Master Mix (Applied Biosystems, Waltham, MA, USA), each primer at a concentration of 0.5 µM, and QuantStudio 5 Real-Time PCR System (Applied Biosystems). The following amplification parameters were used in the qRT-PCR: an initial denaturation step at 95°C for 10 min followed by 40 cycles of denaturation at 95°C for 15 s, annealing and elongation at 60°C for 1 min, without the final elongation step. Primer sequences used for qRT-PCR are listed in Table 3. *GAPDH* was used as an endogenous control. The 2−ΔΔCt method was used for relative quantification of target genes.
| Species | Gene symbol | Primer sequences (from 5' to 3') | Length (bp) | Gene Bank ID |
|---------|-------------|----------------------------------|-------------|--------------|
| Porcine | COX-2       | F: TTCAACCAGCAATTCCAATACCA       | 87          | NM_214321.1  |
|         |             | R: GAAGGGCTAGCAGCAGAG           |             |              |
|         | PTGES       | F: AGAGCATGAAACCAGTCACTCC       | 248         | NM_001038631.1|
|         |             | R: CTCAAGGACATTCTGTAGGTTTGC    |             |              |
|         | CCL2        | F: CTCCCACACCAGGCTTGA           | 120         | NM_214214.1  |
|         |             | R: TAATTGCATCTGCGGGGCA          |             |              |
|         | CXCL2       | F: ATCCAGGACCTGAAGGTTGA         | 116         | NM_001001861.2|
|         |             | R: TTCTTCACCAGGCGGGCT          |             |              |
|         | TGFβ        | F: AGGGCTACCTGCGCAATTCT        | 101         | NM_214015.2  |
|         |             | R: CGGGTTTGCTGGTTGTA        |             |              |
|         | TNFα        | F: ATGAGCAGTGAGCATGATCCG       | 163         | NM_214022.1  |
|         |             | R: CCTCGAGTGACAGAGCCA     |             |              |
|         | IL-1β       | F: GAGCATCAGCAGGATGTT          | 134         | NM_214055.1  |
|         |             | R: CAAGGATGAGGGCTCT        |             |              |
|         | IL-6        | F: GCTGCTTCTGGAGCTTGACTGCC   | 318         | NM_001252429.1|
|         |             | R: TGAAACTCCACAAAGGCGGTGTA    |             |              |
|         | GAPDH       | F: ACAGACAGCAGGTGTGG          | 62          | NM_001206359.1|
|         |             | R: ACCTTCACCAGCTGTCT        |             |              |
| Human   | COX-2       | F: GAATGGGGTGATGAGCATG         | 99          | NM_000963.4  |
|         |             | R: GCCACTCAAGTGGCGACAT        |             |              |
|         | IL-1β       | F: GTGGCAATGAGAGATGACTGTCAG   | 103         | NM_000576.3  |
|         |             | R: TAGTGGTGTCGGAGATTGCA      |             |              |
|         | PTGES       | F: CTGGTGGTCAAGAGTGTACG       | 223         | NM_004878    |
|         |             | R: GGTTAGGACCAGAAAGGAGT       |             |              |
|         | TGFβ        | F: CCCTGGACACCAACTATTGC      | 131         | NM_000660.7  |
| Species | Gene symbol | Primer sequences (from 5’ to 3’) | Length (bp) | Gene Bank ID |
|---------|-------------|----------------------------------|-------------|--------------|
|         |             | R: GCAGAAGTTGGCATGTTAGC           |             |              |
| GAPDH   |             | F: CCACTCCTCCACCTTTGAC            | 102         | NM 002046.7  |
|         |             | R: ACCCTGTTGCTGTAGCCA             |             |              |

**Enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA)**

The production of prostaglandin e2 (PGE2) in cell lines and skin tissues was determined by a Prostaglandin E2 Parameter Assay Kit (R&D Systems, Minneapolis, MN, USA). The assay was performed according to the manufacturer's instructions.

**Immunohistochemistry**

Tissues were fixed in neutral buffered formalin overnight and embedded in paraffin. Tissue samples were sectioned (5 µm), deparaffinized, processed for antigen retrieval, blocked, and incubated with the primary antibody (dilution 1:100) targeting the Ki-67 antigen (cat#9949, Cell Signalling Technology, MA, USA), VEGF (cat#ab1316, Abcam, CA, UK) and peroxidase-conjugated secondary antibody. The samples were mounted and photographed using a microscope (LSM800 or AxioVision; Zeiss, Oberkochen, Germany). For comparison among the experimental groups, images were captured at the same exposure time. For the peroxidase-conjugated secondary antibody, 3,3- diaminobenzidine substrate was used, followed by hematoxylin for nuclear counterstaining. Expressions of VEGF and Ki67+ cells were measured using the open-source image analysis tool, Image J software (version 1.53 https://imagej.nih.gov/ij/index.html, National Institutes of Health, MD, USA).

**Masson’s trichrome staining**

The experiments were performed according to the manufacturer's protocol (cat#IFU-2, ScyTek, Logan, UT, USA). Deparaffinized slides were incubated with Weigert’s iron hematoxylin, Biebrichscarlet-acid fuchsin solution, phosphomolybdic-phosphotungstic acid solution, and aniline blue solution. The slides were then rinsed with 1% acetic acid solution. Collagen connective tissue showed a bluish colour. Dermal regeneration was calculated using the following formula: 100 × collagen deposition (blue colour) length/total dermis layer length.

**Statics**

The comparison analysis was performed using Prism 7 (GraphPad Software, San Diego, CA, USA). All graphs are presented as the mean ± standard deviation. All experiments were performed in triplicate. Statistical analyses were performed using one-way analysis of variance and two-tailed Student’s t-test. Differences were considered statistically significant at p < 0.05.

**Results**
A novel bentonite complex induces skin regeneration in a minipig burn wound model

Macroscopic observation revealed that the wound areas were not significantly different between the bentonite complex and control groups until day 21 (Figure 1). However, scabs were removed from the skin surface in the bentonite complex group compared with the NC group. Histological analysis showed that collagen deposition significantly increased in the T1 (1 mg/mL), T2 (6 mg/mL), and PC (silver sulfadiazine) groups than in the NC (saline) group (Figure 2). Consistent with the macroscopic findings, few scabs were detected in the bentonite complex treatment groups, indicating that the bentonite complex induced clearance of scabs. Ki67+ cells significantly increased in the T1 and T2 groups, and the number of cells in the T1 group was greater than that in the PC group (Figure 3). Furthermore, the relative expression of VEGF also significantly increased in both the T1 and T2 groups in contrast to that in the NC group (Figure 4). Thus, we infer that the bentonite complex promotes skin regeneration in burn wounds by inducing collagen synthesis, cell proliferation, and angiogenesis.

A novel bentonite complex inhibits COX-2-mediated inflammatory response on the burn wound

To identify skin regeneration by the anti-inflammatory effect of the bentonite complex, the gene expression of the inflammatory markers was analysed. Expression of interleukin 1 beta (IL-1β) and COX-2 was significantly downregulated in T1, T2, and PC compared with that in the NC group (Figure 5A). In addition, the expression of the anti-inflammatory cytokine interleukin 10 (IL-10) significantly increased in T1, T2, and PC in contrast to that in the NC group. Consistent with the previous result, the production of PGE2, a potent inflammatory mediator synthesised by COX-2, significantly decreased in the T1, T2, and PC groups in all minipigs (Figure 5B). Therefore, the bentonite complex inhibited the inflammatory response in burn wounds by regulating the COX-2 pathway.

Bentonite clay mediates anti-inflammatory response in vitro.

To identify the anti-inflammatory effect of bentonite clay in the bentonite complex, we analysed the expression of COX-2 mediated inflammatory cytokines and chemokines in HacaT keratinocytes and 3D4/2 macrophage cell lines. qRT-PCR results showed that the expression of IL-1β, COX-2, and prostaglandin E synthase (PTGES) in 3D4/2 macrophage cells significantly decreased in all bentonite treatment groups. IL-10, an anti-inflammatory cytokine, also decreased but without statistical significance (Figure 6A). In HacaT keratinocytes, the expression of the same panels decreased in all bentonite treatment groups. However, IL-10 significantly increased, contrary to the results of 3D4/2. PGE2 secretion in both HacaT and 3D4/2 cells decreased by bentonite (Figure 6B).

To observe the direct anti-inflammatory effect of bentonite on immune cells, we treated 3D4/2 macrophages with LPS to mimic the inflammatory response in vitro. As a result, the expression of IL-1β, COX-2, PTGES, and other cytokines; tumour necrosis factor alpha (TNFα); interleukin 6 (IL-6); C-C motif
chemokine ligand 2 (CLL2); and C-X-C chemokine ligand 2 (CXCL2) were inhibited by treatment with bentonite clay (Figure 7).

**Discussion**

By inducing tissue regeneration without any toxicological response, an *in vivo* study was able to confirm the therapeutic effect of a novel bentonite complex in burn wounds. Cell proliferation, angiogenesis, and collagen deposition were activated in burn wounds after treatment with the bentonite complex. In addition, the quantity of scabs on the surface of wounds visibly decreased (especially in males) in both macroscopic observation and Masson's trichrome staining. This suggests that the bentonite complex creates moist conditions, which are beneficial to cell growth, and conditions conducive to tissue reconstruction [31, 32].

The anti-inflammatory function of the bentonite complex was also detected at the gene and protein levels. IL-1β, a potent inflammatory cytokine that induces COX-2 transcription through NF-κB signalling, was increased in burn wounds by the bentonite complex. Production of PGE2 synthesised by the COX-2 enzyme was also significantly inhibited in burn wounds. In addition, the bentonite complex significantly increased gene expression of IL-10, an anti-inflammatory cytokine, in burn wounds (Figure 5A). Taken together, the *in vivo* study showed that the bentonite complex improved tissue repair and inhibited the inflammatory response in burn wounds by inhibiting the COX-2 pathway (Figure 8A).

*An in vitro* study identified that bentonite clay, a major component of the bentonite complex, inhibited the expression of *IL-1β*, *COX-2*, and PTGES in 3D4/2 macrophages. Interestingly, the expression of *IL-10* was significantly increased in keratinocytes after treatment with bentonite clay. Some studies have reported that IL-10 induces tissue repair as well as an anti-inflammatory effect [33, 34].

Other studies on inflammatory conditions induced by immune cells have consistently demonstrated the anti-inflammatory effect of bentonite clay. Expressions of COX-2 mediated cytokines and chemokines significantly increased in 3D4/2-treated LPS only. However, they were all suppressed by treatment with the bentonite clay solution, even when compared with the NC group. These findings indicate that the bentonite clay regulated COX-2 signalling in macrophages with the paracrine assistance of IL-10 released from keratinocytes (Figure 8B).

However, in the macroscopic images, we could not observe any significant wound contraction after treatment with the bentonite complex (Figure 1). Our experimental schedule for wound healing may not have been sufficient for complete tissue remodelling, which is the final phase of skin regeneration [35]. A study reported that wound contraction could be delayed by physiological stress involved in myofibroblast differentiation, independent of the anti-inflammatory process [36].

**Conclusion**
Recently, bentonite clay has become a commonly used raw material due to its possible therapeutic effects. Using the minipig burn wound model, we verified the therapeutic effects of the bentonite complex in the tissue repair mechanism (Figure 8) [12]. This study demonstrated the therapeutic efficacy and clinical safety of a novel bentonite complex and identified the anti-inflammatory effect of bentonite clay, providing a basis for developing bentonite-based products for medical and cosmetic use.
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Figures
Figure 1

Macroscopic observation of minipig burn wounds. The pictures of wounds were taken on days 2, 10 and 21. The burns were induced on both sides of the back and sized $3 \times 3 \text{ cm}^2$. The bentonite complex and control agents were equally applied in a volume of 1 mL (NC, saline; PC, Silver sulfadiazine 1% cream; T1 and T2, 1 and 6 mg/mL of a novel bentonite complex).
Figure 2

Masson's trichrome staining of the dermis layer of burn wounds. (a) Microscopic observation of collagen deposition in the burn wounds. Collagen-rich fibrotic region was marked in blue. Cytoplasm and muscle fibers were marked in red. S = Scab, E = Epidermis, D = Dermis. (b) Percentage of collagen deposition (Y-axis) was determined by measuring the depth of collagen (n = 4). All data are represented as mean ± standard deviation. Scale bars: 100 μm. (*P ≤ 0.05, compared to the NC group).
Figure 3

Immunohistochemistry analysis of Ki67 expression in burn wounds. (a) Microscopic images of Ki67 staining in the burn wounds. Ki67 antigens were marked in brown, and nucleus were counterstained with hematoxylin (blue). (b) The number of Ki67+ cells (Y-axis) was determined by counting the nuclei of Ki67+ cells (n = 4). All data are represented as mean ± standard deviation. Scale bars: 100 μm. (* p ≤ 0.05, *** p ≤ 0.001 compared to the NC group; # p ≤ 0.05, compared to PC group).

Figure 4

Immunohistochemistry analysis of VEGF expression in burn wounds. (a) Microscopic images of VEGF staining in the burn wounds. VEGF were marked in brown and nuclei were marked in blue. (b) Relative expression of VEGF (Y-axis) was determined by measuring brown area (n = 4). All data are represented as mean ± standard deviation. Scale bars: 100 μm. (* p ≤ 0.05, compared to NC group).
Figure 5

Gene and protein expression of inflammatory cytokines in the burn wounds. (A) Expressions of IL-1β, COX-2, and IL-10 were analysed using qRT-PCR. (B) Comparison of PGE2 concentration in burn wounds of minipigs using ELISA. All samples were run in triplicate. All data are represented as mean ± standard deviation (n = 4; *p ≤ 0.05; **p ≤ 0.01, *** p ≤ 0.001 **** p ≤ 0.0001 compared to the NC group).
Gene and protein expression analysis of COX-2 mediated inflammatory cytokines in HacaT and 3D4/2.

(a) qRT-PCR result represents COX-2 mediated inflammatory gene expression of HacaT and 3D4/2 after treatment of the water-soluble fraction of the bentonite solution. (b) ELISA result measured production of PGE2 from HacaT and 3D4/2. All samples were run in triplicate. X-axis indicates the concentration of
bentonite clay (µg/ml). All data are represented as mean ± standard deviation (n = 3; *p ≤ 0.05; **p ≤ 0.01; ***p ≤ 0.005; ****p ≤ 0.001, compared to the NC group).

Figure 7

Gene expression analysis of inflammatory cytokines in LPS-induced 3D4/2. The graph represents changes of inflammatory gene expression in LPS-induced and conventional 3D4/2 cells after treatment with water-soluble fraction of the bentonite solution. All samples were run in triplicate. All data are
represented as mean ± standard deviation (n = 3; *p ≤ 0.05; **p ≤ 0.01; ***p ≤ 0.005; ****p ≤ 0.001, compared with only treatment of LPS group).

**Figure 8**

Graphical summary (a) In vivo assay evaluated the therapeutic effect of a novel bentonite complex on the burn wound of a minipig by promoting tissue regeneration and inhibiting COX-2 mediated inflammatory response. (b) In vitro assay identified that the bentonite clay in the bentonite complex regulated inflammatory signalling by inhibiting COX-2 / PGE2 axis in inflammatory macrophages and activating anti-inflammation in keratinocytes.