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Abstract

Background: Osteosarcoma is the most common primary bone cancer in growing adolescents and young adults. The prognostic role of C-reactive protein (CRP) in patients with osteosarcoma is not fully investigated. The purpose of this study is to perform a meta-analysis and literature review on the role of CRP in osteosarcoma and to assess the potential role of serum CRP as a prognostic factor for patients with osteosarcoma.

Methods: A detailed literature search was made in Medline for related research publications written in English. Methodological quality of the studies was also evaluated. The data were extracted and assessed by two reviewers independently. Analysis of pooled data were performed, risk ratio (RR) and corresponding confidence intervals (CIs) were calculated and summarized respectively.

Results: Final analysis of 397 patients from 2 eligible studies was performed. Combined RR of CRP expression suggested that the raised serum CRP level had an adverse prognostic effect on overall survival of patients with osteosarcoma (n = 397 in 2 studies; RR = 0.35; 95% CI: 0.18–0.68; p = 0.002). In the uni- and multivariate survival analysis, response rate and CRP levels were the only independent prognostic variables.

Conclusions: The results of this meta-analysis suggest that CRP expression confers a worse prognosis in patients with osteosarcoma. Large prospective studies are necessary to provide solid data to confirm the prognostic significance of CRP.

Introduction

The two most common primary bone malignancies, osteosarcoma (OS) and Ewing sarcoma (ES), are both aggressive, highly metastatic cancers that occur in children and young adults [1,2]. OS originates from primitive bone-forming mesenchymal cells and ranks the eighth most common cancer of childhood in the United States. About 15–20% patients present with overt lung metastases at initial diagnosis and 40% patients develop metastases at a later stage [3,4]. Despite multidisciplinary treatments including surgery, neoadjuvant and adjuvant chemotherapy, the overall five-year survival rate for OS remains 60–70% [5]. The mechanism underlying OS metastasis is still limited, neovascularization, invasion, anoikis resistance, chemoresistance, and evasion of the immune response are considered to be required for progression and metastasis in OS [6]. OS metastasis causes a pejorative prognosis [7,8,9]. In order to improve the clinical outcomes for patients with poor prognosis, it is urgent to find new diagnostic and therapeutic approaches to identify high-risk patients and block metastasis in this disease.

C-reactive protein (CRP) is an acute phase protein, which is produced principally by hepatocytes with serum level correlated with systemic inflammation [10]. It belongs to a highly conserved phylogenetically ancient family called pentraxins, which have five identical non-covalently linked subunits [11]. CRPs are characterized by their homopentameric structure and calcium-dependent ligand-binding affinity for the phosphocholine (PhC) moiety [12,13]. The wide distribution of PhC in polysaccharides of pathogens and in cellular membranes enables CRP to recognize a range of pathogenic targets as well as membranes of damaged and necrotic host cells [13]. Expression of CRP is principally induced at the transcriptional level by interleukin-6 (IL-6), which can be synergistically enhanced by IL-1 and tumor necrosis factor (TNF). In humans, the CRP level is low (0.1–0.5 mg/ml) under normal conditions, but can increase its serum level up to 100-fold during systemic inflammation [12], which probably allows CRP to be the single most useful molecule for monitoring acute-phase reactions. CRP is a nonspecific but sensitive marker of inflammation. Increase CRP level is considered to be an important risk factor for inflammatory disease [14], atherosclerosis, myocardial infarction, peripheral vascular disease, and ischemic stroke [15,16,17,18,19,20]. It is positively associated with weight loss, anorexia-cachexia syndrome, extent of disease, and recurrence in advanced/high-grade cancer [21,22]. Its role as a predictor of survival has been shown in multiple myeloma, melanoma,
lymphoma, ovarian, renal, pancreatic, and gastrointestinal tumors [17,21,23,24,25].

In this study, we sought to perform a meta-analysis and systematic review to evaluate whether CRP was correlated with outcome of OS patients. A pool of research data published between 2007 and 2013 on the study of the correlation between CRP and patients’ survival, histological response to adjuvant chemotherapy and recurrence in OS were analyzed. Our analysis indicated that CRP had an unfavorable impact on OS patients’ overall survival.

Methods

Search strategy and selection criteria
Medline, Pubmed and Web of Science were searched using the search terms: ‘c-reactive protein’, ‘prognosis’ and ‘osteosarcoma’. All searched data were retrieved. Authors’ bibliographies and references of selected studies were also searched for other relevant studies. The most complete study was chosen to avoid duplication if same patient populations were reported in several publications.

Two reviewers estimated the eligibility of studies independently by reviewing titles and abstracts found by the search. The inclusion criteria included: 1) CRP evaluated in the primary OS tissues; 2) relationship displayed between CRP serum levels and OS clinicopathological variables or prognosis; 3) CRP serum levels detected by latex-enhanced immuno-turbidimetric test; 4) publications written in English; 5) data provided sufficient information to analyze risk ratio (RR) and 95% confidence interval (CI). The exclusion criteria included: 1) duplicate data set on the same patient populations; 2) articles published in non-English; 3) case reports, editorials, letters, reviews and conference abstracts. The detailed information of 13 relevant citations was listed in Table 1.

Data extraction and study assessment
Two reviewers (JY, DW) extracted data from selected studies independently. Any discontent was discussed and reached a consensus for all issues. The following items were collected from each study: first author’s name, year of publication, country, number of patients, age, sex and CRP expression. Duplicated studies were avoided by checking the authors’ name of the institutions.

Statistic analysis
Hazard ratio (HR) and its variance for each individual study were extracted or analyzed based on the published data according to the methods described previously [26,27]. A HR > 1 was regarded as a risk factor for worse survival in patients with elevated CRP serum levels. This impact of CRP on survival was regarded as statistically significant if the corresponding 95% CI for the summary HR did not overlap 1 unit. Odds ratios (OR) were utilized to measure the relationship of CRP and histological response to neoadjuvant chemotherapy, serum CRP level and tumor size. All P values were two sided. Statistical analyses were conducted using GraphPad Prism (GraphPad Software Inc., LaJolla, California; version 4.00, 2003).

Results

Study selection and characteristics
13 relevant citations were selected for initial review utilizing search strategies as described above. Of these, 11 were initially excluded after reading the abstracts (Figure 1). As a result, the systematic literature search generated a total of 2 datasets [5,22] and 397 patients for ultimate analysis. These data were published from 2011 to 2013 to fit the inclusion criteria for our meta-analysis.

A HR on 5 year disease-specific survival could be extracted from these studies, respectively. The survival data were summarized from 2 eligible studies [5,22] and analyzed the correlation between CRP and histological response to neoadjuvant chemotherapy.

Methodological quality of the studies
2 selected studies [5,22] were evaluated by two reviewers with high levels of methodological quality (>6 stars) according to Newcastle-Ottawa quality assessment scale [28].

| Author | Included/excluded | Comments |
|--------|-------------------|----------|
| Mulrooney DA et al. 2013 [98] | Excluded | Pilot study of vascular health in survivors of osteosarcoma |
| Nakamura T et al. 2013 [5] | Included | Determine whether circulating CRP predicts survival in bone sarcoma |
| Peng Ti et al. 2012 [99] | Excluded | Osteosarcoma 143B cells were utilized for study of mitochondrial dynamics |
| Fuj iwara T et al. 2011 [100] | Excluded | A study of macrophage infiltration in prediction of human ewing sarcoma |
| Funovics PT et al. 2011 [22] | Included | A study of CRP as independent prognostic factor for survival in osteosarcoma patients |
| Jansson AF et al.2009 [101] | Excluded | A study of clinical score for nonbacterial osteitis in patients |
| Schwinger W et al. 2005 [102] | Excluded | A study of effect of interleukin-2 treatment in pretreated solid tumor patients including osteosarcoma |
| Patino WD et al. 2005 [103] | Excluded | A study of biomarkers such as osteosarcoma (FOS) gene in atherosclerosis |
| Das T et al. 2003 [97] | Excluded | A study of glycosylation in human CRP under different pathological conditions |
| Trapani S et al. 2000 [104] | Excluded | A study of incidence of occult cancer in children presenting with musculoskeletal symptoms |
| Kleinerman ES et al. 1995 [105] | Excluded | A study of combined therapy on patients with relapsed osteosarcoma |
| Asano T et al. 1993 [106] | Excluded | A study of a novel biologic agent for osteosarcoma therapy |
| Kleinerman ES et al. 1992 [107] | Excluded | Phase II study of liposomal muramyl tripeptide in osteosarcoma |
| Kleinerman ES et al. 1992 [107] | Excluded | Phase II study of liposomal muramyl tripeptide in osteosarcoma |

Table 1. All 13 citations identified through database searching.

DOI:10.1371/journal.pone.0094632.t001
Impact of CRP on EFS of OS patients

Two studies [5,22] with a total of 397 OS patients regarding CRP and disease-specific survival were meta-analyzed. Because of heterogeneity ($I^2 = 19\%$), a random effect model was used in this analysis. The pooled HR was 2.16, illustrating that CRP was significantly correlated with the poor survival of OS patients (Figure 2). The representative figure of the correlation between survival and CRP levels were shown as Figure 3.

CRP and histological response to neoadjuvant chemotherapy

Two of the selected studies [5,22] consisting of 397 patients dealt with the correlation between CRP and histological response to neoadjuvant chemotherapy. Due to heterogeneity ($I^2 = 19\%$), a random effect model was selected for analysis. In the uni- and multivariate survival analysis, response rate and CRP levels were the only independent prognostic variables (Table 2).

Assessment of publication bias

Due to the less number of studies selected in our meta-analysis, we did not draft funnel plot to display publication bias.
Discussion

CRP is a representative acute phase reactant due to its rapid production and short half-life in circulation. CRP is mainly produced by hepatocytes in the liver in response to inflammatory cytokines, the level of CRP increases when there is inflammation throughout the body. Extrahepatic production of CRP has also been found in tumor cells, monocytes, lymphocytes and neuron as a part of local inflammation, but the amount is too little to affect serum CRP [21]. CRP is a sensitive but non-specific serum biomarker induced by infectious and non-infectious processes [29] including cancer and tissue damage [21]. In addition to its role as a very sensitive indicator of current disease activity for inflammation, the role of serum CRP has recently been re-evaluated by extending its clinical use to the diagnosis of cardiovascular diseases as well as risk prediction of cancer [21].

The linkage of inflammation and cancer has been extensively investigated since the first study reported by Virchow et al [30]. Actually inflammation is the seventh hallmark for cancer initiation and progression, it represents the linkage between intrinsic factors (oncogenes, genome instability) and extrinsic factors (immune and stromal components) [31]. Cancer cells in tumor tissues are always embedded by a persistent inflammatory state, called tumor microenvironment. The leukocytes, lymphocytes and macrophages along with cytokines/chemokines in tumor microenvironment serve as mediators to induce genomic instability, epigenetic changes and subsequent malignancy phenotype of cancer cells [32,33]. CRP and other acute phase proteins are induced to be synthesized in hepatocytes by cytokines/chemokines and released into circulation. The circulating CRP acts on tumor cells, which lead to tumor cell lysis and facilitate tumor progression [21].

In most prevalent studies, serum CRP levels have been demonstrated to be highly elevated in cancer patients compared to healthy individuals. The prognostic significance of serum CRP was found in various cancer patients and in the advanced stage of cancers, including renal cell carcinoma [34,35,36,37,38,39,40], bladder [41,42,43,44], breast [45,46,47,48,49,50], stomach [51,52,53,54,55], colon and rectum [56,57,58,59,60,61], head and neck [62,63,64], esophagus [25,65,66,67,68,69], prostate [70,71,72,73,74], lung [75,76,77,78,79] and pancreatic cancer [80,81]. The high CRP levels have also been associated with aggressive diseases and poor survival outcomes for these malignancies. Its role as a predictor of survival has been shown in multiple myeloma, melanoma, lymphoma, ovarian, renal, pancreatic, and gastrointestinal tumors [23]. Its predictive ability for the survival and individualized therapy of patients with advanced cancers can be improved by combining CRP with other parameters such as tumor necrosis factor (TNF), serum albumin, and serum B12 [21,82].

With similarity of the role of CRP in other malignancies, elevated level of CRP is also associated with poor prognosis of soft-tissue sarcoma patients [5,83,84]. This current review and meta-analysis demonstrated that CRP had an unfavourable impact on disease-specific survival of OS patients. Funovics et al [22] have revealed that pre-operative circulating CRP levels before tumor resection are associated with disease-specific outcomes, that is, patients with CRP levels higher than the cut-off value (1 mg/dl) had a significant worse survival. Therefore, the level of circulating pre-operative CRP was considered to be an independent prognostic factor for survival in patients with high-grade OS [22]. Similar observations were obtained for pre-treatment CRP are demonstrated to be correlated with a decreased disease-specific survival and an increased rate of local recurrence in patients with a sarcoma of bone [5]. Although pre-treatment serum CRP is a significant prognostic factor and a monitor of tumor aggressiveness in OS patients, it does not apply to Ewing’s sarcoma and chordrosarcoma.

The reasons why CRP levels correlated with prognosis in tumor patients including OS remain to be determined. An experimental data obtained using transgenic mouse models provides a possible explanation, which showed that serum CRP levels are involved in host defenses against infections [85,86,87]. The strong correlation between CRP levels and cancer risk and/or poor prognosis may be due to (1) causality: elevated CRP levels cause cancer, (2) reverse causality: occult cancer increases CRP levels, (3) or confounding: a third factor, e.g. inflammation, increases both CRP levels and the risk of cancer [17,88]. In addition, the chronic elevation of CRP observed in obese subjects may potentiate leptin resistance, contributing to the carcinogenesis, tumor progression and poor outcomes of tumor patients [89,90,91].

The CRP gene is located on the chromosome 1(1q21–q23). The generation of CRP in hepatocytes is induced at the transcriptional level by IL-6, and can be synergistically increased by IL-1β. The synergistic induction of CRP gene expression by IL-6 plus IL-1 requires transcriptional factors CCAAT/enhancer binding protein (C/EBP family α/β/δ) [92,93], as well as signal transducers and activators of transcription (STAT3). The nuclear factor κB (NF-κB) subunits p50 and p65 through protein kinase C signaling pathway also participates in cytokine-induced CRP synthesis [94,95]. In addition, transcriptional complex formation of c-Fos, STAT3, and hepatocyte NF-1 alpha is essential for cytokine-driven CRP gene expression [96]. As a result, the interaction of these transcriptional factors induce maximal production of CRP in

| Table 2. Univariate and multivariate analysis for predictive factors for survival (CI, confidence interval). |
|-------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------|
| Variable                                        | Univariate p | Multivariate p | Hazard risk(95% CI) |
| FUNOVICS                                       | NAKAMURA                               | FUNOVICS                                       | NAKAMURA                                       |
| Sex                                             | 0.281       | 0.92           | 0.410             | 1.5 (0.6–3.7)                                  |
| Age                                             | 0.003       | 0.6            | 0.003             | 1.1 (1.0–1.1)                                  |
| Tumor size                                       | 0.153       | 0.002           | 0.267             | 1.0 (1.0–1.1)                                  |
| Histological subtype                            | 0.052       | 0.519           | 0.6 (0.1–3.3)     | 0.598 (0.32 to 1.115)                          |
| Tumor grade                                     |             | 0.002           | 0.024             | 10.8 (1.4–85.6)                                |
| Response to chemotherapy                        | 0.002       | 0.04            | 0.024             | 1.4 (1.0–1.8)                                  |
| C-reactive protein                              | <0.001      | <0.0001         | 0.031             | 0.598 (0.333 to 0.892)                         |

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0094632.t002
hepatocytes. Alternatively the unique glycosylated molecular variants of CRP can be induced and subsequently influence biological function in different clinical pathological conditions [97].

It's still unclear that the relationship between CRP and cancer is a causal one, since so many conditions can elevate CRP levels without increasing cancer risk. Some studies have been conducted to determine if elevated CRP levels and cancer are directly related. Many clinical findings reveal that CRP tends to increase in cancer patients, but the direct relation has not yet been established. The increase in CRP levels could be due to cancerous illness causing inflammation in the body or vice versa. Irrespective of relatively small number of patients, as well as the fact that the analysis was retrospective, the current meta-analysis indicated that the CRP levels can be used as a key indicator of prognosis for osteosarcoma. Nevertheless, additional research in the future especially larger prospective studies will be needed to provide more definitive answers to confirm these results. Overall, measurement of plasma CRP is simple, rapid, cost effective, suitable and provides valuable information for patients with cancer. We believe that development of agents decreasing CRP levels will provide a promising benefit in the prevention and treatment of many different types of human malignancies.

Supporting Information

Checklist S1 PRISMA checklist.

Author Contributions

Conceived and designed the experiments: ZL, JY DW. Performed the experiments: ZL, JY DW, JH, AX. Analyzed the data: ZL, JY DW, XL. Wrote the paper: ZL.

References

1. Gill J, Alshawa MA, Keller D, Gerlich R (2013) New targets and approaches in osteosarcoma. Pharmacol Ther 137: 89-100.

2. Sadikovic B, Park PC, Selvarajah S, Zielenska M (2013) Array comparative genomic hybridization in osteosarcoma. Methods Mol Biol 973: 227–247.

3. Provior AJ, Ettinger LJ, Nachman JB, Krailo MD, Malkey JT, et al. (1997) Treatment of nonmetastatic osteosarcoma of the extremity with preoperative and postoperative chemotherapy: a report from the Children’s Cancer Group. J Clin Oncol 15: 76–84.

4. Ferguson WS, Goorin AM (2001) Current treatment of osteosarcoma. Cancer Invest 19: 292–315.

5. Nakamura T, Grimer RJ, Gaston CL, Watanuki M, Sudo A, et al. (2013) The prognostic value of the serum level of C-reactive protein for the survival of patients with a primary sarcoma of bone. Bone Joint J 95-B: 411–418.

6. Zhu L, McManus MM, Hughes DP (2013) Understanding the Biology of Bone Sarcoma from Early Initiating Events through Late Events in Metastasis and Disease Progression. Front Oncol 3: 230.

7. Mialou V, Philip T, Kalifa C, Perol D, Gentet JC, et al. (2005) Metastatic osteosarcoma at diagnosis: prognostic factors and long-term outcome—the French pediatric experience. Cancer 104: 1109–1109.

8. Kaya M, Wada T, Akasuka T, Kawaguchi S, Nagoya S, et al. (2000) Vascular endothelial growth factor expression in untreated osteosarcoma is predictive of pulmonary metastasis and poor prognosis. Clin Cancer Res 6: 572–577.

9. Dunn D, Dehner LP (1987) Metastatic osteosarcoma to lung: a clinicopathologic study of surgical biopsies and resections. Cancer 40: 3054–3064.

10. Marnell I, Meld G, Du Clos TW (2005) C-reactive protein: ligands, receptors and role in inflammation. Clin Immunol 117: 104–111.

11. Shrine AK, Cheetham GM, Holden D, Myles DA, Turnell WG, et al. (1996) Three dimensional structure of human C-reactive protein. Nat Struct Biol 3: 346–354.

12. Pepys MB (1981) C-reactive protein fifty years on. Lancet 1: 653–657.

13. Volanakis JE (2001) Human C-reactive protein: expression, structure, and function. Mol Immunol 38: 189–197.

14. Deodhar SD (1989) C-reactive protein: the best laboratory indicator available for monitoring disease activity. Cleve Clin J Med 56: 126–130.

15. Devaraj S, Singh U, Jialal I (2009) The evolving role of C-reactive protein in cardiovascular disease. Curr Opin Cardiol 24: 95–102.

16. Gabay C, Kushner I (1999) Acute-phase proteins and other systemic responses to inflammation. N Engl J Med 340: 448–454.

17. Heikikila K, Ehriam S, Lawlor DA (2007) A systematic review of the association between circulating concentrations of C reactive protein and cancer risk. J Epidemiol Community Health 61: 324–333.

18. Jialal I, Devaraj S, Venugopal SK (2004) C-reactive protein: risk marker or mediator in atherothrombosis? Hypertension 44: 6–11.

19. Kushner I, Rzewnicki D, Samols D (2006) What does minor elevation of C-reactive protein signify? Am J Med 119: e117–128.

20. Venugopal SK, Devaraj S, Jialal I (2009) Effect of C-reactive protein on vascular cells: evidence for a proinflammatory, proatherogenic role. Curr Opin Nephrol Hypertens 18: 33–37.

21. Wang CS, Sun CF (2009) C-reactive protein and malignancy: clinicopathological association and therapeutic implication. Chang Gung Med J 32: 471–482.

22. Finovic PV, Edelhauer G, Funovic MA, Lauw C, Berzacy D, et al. (2011) Pre-operative serum C-reactive protein as independent prognostic factor for survival but not infection in patients with high-grade osteosarcoma. Int Orthop 35: 1529–1536.

23. Mahmoud FA, Rivera NI (2002) The role of C-reactive protein as a prognostic indicator in advanced cancer. Curr Oncol Rep 4: 250–255.

24. Helles LA, Concini N, Holsterter G, Marth C, Mustea A, et al. (2000) Serum C-reactive protein as an independent prognostic variable in patients with ovarian cancer. Clin Cancer Res 14: 710–714.

25. Nozoe T, Sasaki H, Sugimachi K (2001) Significance of preoperative elevation of serum C-reactive protein as an indicator of prognosis in esophageal carcinoma. Ann Surg 234: 197–201.

26. Arai T, Auperin A, Burdett S, Higgins JP, Johnson DH, et al. (2010) Adjuvant chemotherapy, with or without postoperative radiotherapy, in operable non-small-cell lung cancer: two meta-analyses of individual patient data. Lancet 375: 1267–1277.

27. Parmar MK, Torri V, Stewart L (1998) Extracting summary statistics to perform meta-analyses of the published literature for survival endpoints. Stat Med 17: 2013–2034.

28. Kota GF, de Sousa MR, Ferregutti TO, Rabello A (2013) Efficacy of anti-leishmania therapy in visceral leishmaniasis among HIV infected patients: a systematic review with indirect comparison. PLoS Neg Trop Dis 7: e2195.

29. Luu YX, Yu XC, Zhu MY (2013) Comparison of the diagnostic value of procalcitonin and C-reactive protein after hematopoietic stem cell transplantation: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Transplant Infect Dis 15: 290–299.

30. Balkwill F, Mantovani A (2001) Inflammation and cancer: back to Virchow? Lancet 357: 539–545.

31. Terfizzi M, Casolaro V, Pinto A, Sorrentino R (2014) Inflammasome: Cancer’s friend or foe? Pharmacol Ther.

32. Smith GB, Missiandl S (2004) Cancer, inflammation and the AT1 and AT2 receptors. J Immunol (Lond) 1: 3.

33. Schwarzbard PM (2003) Chronic inflammation as inducer of pro-cancer microenvironment: pathogenesis of dysregulated feedback control. Cancer Metastasis Rev 22: 95–102.

34. Saito K, Inokushita H, Kondo T, Shimohara N, Kasahara T, et al. (2013) Prognostic factors of patients with metastatic renal cell carcinoma with removed metastases: a multicenter study of 336 patients. Urology 82: 846–851.

35. de Mattino M, Klute T, Seemann C, Waldert M, Hailé A, et al. (2013) Validation of serum C-reactive protein (CRP) as an independent prognostic factor for disease-free survival in patients with localised renal cell carcinoma (RCC). BJU Int 111: E438–E535.

36. Steffen S, Kohler A, Rudolph R, Eggers H, Seidel C, et al. (2012) Validation of CRP as prognostic marker for renal cell carcinoma in a large series of patients. BMC Cancer 12: 399.

37. Itou K, Yoshii H, Sato A, Kuroda K, Asakuma J, et al. (2011) Impact of postoperative C-reactive protein level on recurrence and prognosis in patients with non-M0M0 clear cell renal cell carcinoma. J Urol 180: 430–435.

38. Saito K, Tatekuro M, Fujii Y, Irimura Y, Koga F, et al. (2009) Impact of C-reactive protein kinetics on survival of patients with metastatic renal cell carcinoma. Eur Urol 55: 1145–1153.

39. Karczewicz H, Hutterer GC, Trinh QD, Jeldres C, Perrotte P, et al. (2007) C-reactive protein is an informative predictor of renal cell carcinoma-specific mortality: a European study of 313 patients. Cancer 110: 1241–1247.

40. Komiya Y, Saito K, Sakai K, Morimoto S (2007) Increased prosecretive serum C-reactive protein level predicts a poor prognosis in patients with localized renal cell carcinoma. BJU Int 98: 77–80.

41. Eggers H, Seidel C, Schrader AJ, Lehmann R, Wegener G, et al. (2013) Serum C-reactive protein: a prognostic factor in metastatic urothelial carcinoma of the bladder. Med Oncol 30: 705.

42. Saito K, Urakami S, Komai Y, Yasuda Y, Kubo Y, et al. (2012) Impact of C-reactive protein kinetics on survival of patients with advanced urothelial carcinoma treated by second-line chemotherapy with gemcitabine, etoposide and cisplatin. BJU Int 110: 1478–1484.
43. Gakis G, Toderdoscher T, Renninger M, Schilling D, Sievert KD, et al. (2011) Development of a new outcome prediction model in carcinoma invading the bladder based on preoperative serum C-reactive protein and standard pathological risk factors: the TNR-C score. BJU Int 108: 1800–1805.

44. Yoshida S, Saito K, Koga F, Yokoyama Y, et al. (2008) C-reactive protein level predicts prognosis in patients with muscle-invasive bladder cancer treated with chemoradiotherapy. BJU Int 101: 978–981.

45. Han Y, Mao F, Wu Y, Fu X, Zhu X, et al. (2011) Prognostic role of C-reactive protein in breast cancer: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Int J Biol Markers 26: 209–215.

46. Allin KH, Nordsetgaard BG, Flyger H, Bojesen SE (2011) Elevated pre-treatment levels of plasma C-reactive protein are associated with poor prognosis after breast cancer: a cohort study. Breast Cancer Res 13: R55.

47. McCall P, Caldwel J, McArdle PA, McMullan DC, Edwards J (2011) Tumoral C-reactive protein and notch1 expression in breast cancer: a novel mechanism. J Exp Clin Cancer Res 30: 130.

48. Shimada H, Nabeya Y, Okazumi S, Matsubara H, Shiratori T, et al. (2003) Evaluation of preoperative serum C-reactive protein level is related to poor prognosis in esophageal squamous cell carcinoma. J Surg Oncol 83: 248–252.

C-Reactive Protein for Human Osteosarcoma

69. Feng JF, Zhao HG, Liu JS, Chen QX (2013) Significance of preoperative C-reactive protein as a parameter in patients with small cell carcinoma of the esophagus. Onco Targets Ther 6: 1147–1151.

70. Foul GR, Armstrong AJ, Wood BA, Leopold L, Galsky MD, et al. (2012) Alumunination of C-reactive protein to complement multiple prognostic classifiers in patients with metastatic castration resistant prostate cancer receiving docetaxel-based chemotherapy. BJU Int 110: E461–468.

71. Ito M, Saito K, Yasuda Y, Sukegawa G, Kubo Y, et al. (2011) Prognostic impact of C-reactive protein for determining overall survival of patients with castration-resistant prostate cancer treated with docetaxel. Urology 78: 1111–1135.

72. McCall P, Caldow J, McArdle PA, McMullan DC, Edwards J (2011) Tumoral C-reactive protein and notch1 expression in breast cancer: a novel mechanism. J Exp Clin Cancer Res 30: 130.

73. Voleubi B, Angriu A (2005) Regulation of basal and induced expression of C-reactive protein through an overlapping element for OCT-1 and NF-kappaB on the proximal promoter. J Immunol 175: 3386–3390.
96. Nishikawa T, Hagiwara K, Serada S, Isobe T, Matsumura A, et al. (2008) Transcriptional complex formation of c-Fos, STAT3, and hepatocyte NF-1 alpha is essential for cytokine-driven C-reactive protein gene expression. J Immunol 180: 3492–3501.

97. Das T, Sen AK, Kempf T, Pramanik SR, Mandal C (2003) Induction of glycosylation in human C-reactive protein under different pathological conditions. Biochem J 373: 343–355.

98. Mulrooney DA, Ness KK, Huang S, Solovey A, Hebbel RP, et al. (2013) Pilot study of vascular health in survivors of osteosarcoma. Pediatr Blood Cancer 60: 1703–1708.

99. Peng TI, Hsiao CW, Reiter RJ, Tanaka M, Lai YK, et al. (2012) mtDNA T8993G mutation-induced mitochondrial complex V inhibition augments cardiolipin-dependent alterations in mitochondrial dynamics during oxidative, Ca(2+), and lipid insults in NARP cybrid: a potential therapeutic target for melatonin. J Pineal Res 52: 93–106.

100. Fujiwara T, Fukushi J, Yamamoto S, Matsumoto Y, Setsu N, et al. (2011) Macrophage infiltration predicts a poor prognosis for human ewing sarcoma. Am J Pathol 179: 1157–1170.

101. Jansson AF, Muller TH, Gileria L, Ankerst DP, Wintergerst U, et al. (2009) Clinical score for nonbacterial osteitis in children and adults. Arthritis Rheum 60: 1158–1159.

102. Schwinger W, Klass V, Benesch M, Lackner H, Dornbusch HJ, et al. (2005) Feasibility of high-dose interleukin-2 in heavily pretreated pediatric cancer patients. Ann Oncol 16: 1199–1206.

103. Patino WD, Mian OY, Kang JG, Matoba S, Bartlett LD, et al. (2005) Circulating transcriptome reveals markers of atherosclerosis. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 102: 3423–3428.

104. Trapani S, Grisola F, Simonini G, Calabri GB, Falci F (2006) Incidence of occult cancer in children presenting with musculoskeletal symptoms: a 10-year survey in a pediatric rheumatology unit. Semin Arthritis Rheum 29: 348–359.

105. Kleinerman ES, Meyers PA, Raymond AK, Jia SF, et al. (1992) Phase II study of liposomal muramyl tripeptide in osteosarcoma: the cytokine cascade and monocyte activation following administration. J Clin Oncol 10: 1310–1316.