Abstract. Irradiation with a specific wavelength of light using light-emitting diodes (LEDs) has various effects on cells and organisms. Recently, the antitumor effects of visible blue light on tumor cells were reported; however, the mechanism and effects on the tumor microenvironment remain unclear. Human colon cancer cells (HCT-116) were injected into the rectal wall of nude mice. Tumors were irradiated with a 465-nm LED light at 30 mW/cm² for 30 min. Tumor volumes and the expression levels of opsin 3 (Opn3), autophagy-related factors, cancer-associated fibroblast (CAF) markers, and programmed cell death 1-ligand (PD-L1) were measured. Additionally, human intestinal fibroblasts were cultured in HCT116-conditioned medium (CM) to prepare CAFs. CAFs were divided into an LED group and a control group, and the effect of the LED light on CAF activation in colon cancer cells was examined. Irradiation with blue LED light suppressed tumor growth; Opn3 expression was localized to the cell membrane in the LED group. Irradiated tumors exhibited increased autophagy-related gene expression. Furthermore, in the LED group, TGF-β and α-SMA expression levels in the fibroblasts were decreased. Regarding CAFs, α-SMA and IL-6 expression levels were decreased in the LED group. HCT-116 cells cultured in CAF-CM with LED irradiation showed no enhanced migration or invasion. In the HCT-116 cells cultured in CM of CAFs irradiated with LED, the relative increase in PD-L1 expression was lower than that noted in the CAF-CM without LED irradiation. Blue LED light may have a direct antitumor effect on colon cancer and also an inhibitory effect on CAFs.

Introduction

Irradiation with specific wavelengths of light using light-emitting diodes (LEDs) has several different effects on living cells and organisms. In the field of anticancer treatment, photodynamic therapy (PDT) and photothermal therapy (PTT), which combines LED light with photosensitizers, have been developed. PDT has gained attention as a novel minimally invasive alternative therapy to chemoradiotherapy and it is being used for cancers such as skin cancer, prostate cancer, lung cancer, brain tumors, and esophageal cancer in clinical settings (1). There are also some reports on the effects of PDT and PTT on colorectal cancer in vitro and in vivo (2-4); however, blue LED light itself has been demonstrated to be effective in killing certain types of cells. Recent studies suggest that irradiation with blue visible light inhibits tumor growth in various cancer cell lines (5-8). The phototoxic and antiproliferative effects of blue light have been reported to cause apoptosis (7), autophagy (5), and cell cycle arrest (8). Blue LED irradiation also causes reactive oxygen species (ROS) production and DNA damage in colorectal cancer cells (9); however, the mechanism of photoreception in 'nonvisual' tumor cells is unknown. We previously reported that blue LED light irradiation at 465 nm (30 mW/cm², 30 min) inhibits the proliferation of colon cancer cells through the autophagy pathway (10). Furthermore, we found that the photoreceptor opsin 3 (Opn3) promotes the cytopathic effect of blue LED light (10).

Opsin is a G protein-coupled receptor (GPCR) expressed in photoreceptors, such as retinal rods and cone cells. It is activated by light and is involved in neurotransmission via G proteins. In recent years, it has been revealed that Opn3 is widely expressed not only in the retina but also in organs unrelated to vision, such as the brain, respiratory epithelium, liver, kidney, and heart, and it is thus referred to as a nonvisual opsin (11,12). Opn3 functions as a receptor of blue light and regulates GPCR signaling (13). Opn3 has an absorption maximum at 460-470 nm and activates the G1/o subtypes of G proteins, which inhibit adenylate cyclase and decrease cyclic
adenosine monophosphate (cAMP) (13,14). Previous reports have shown that opsin is associated with phototherapy as a photoreceptor for malignant melanocytes (15), and we reported that Opn3 is associated with phototherapy as a photoreceptor in colon cancer cells (10). However, the role of photoreceptors in colorectal cancer in vivo and the effects of blue LED on the tumor microenvironment are unknown.

In recent years, it has become evident that not only tumor cells but also the tumor microenvironment, including fibroblasts and immune cells, are extremely important in cancer treatment. Fibroblasts are also activated by tumors to generate cancer-associated fibroblasts (CAFs) and secrete various cytokines, chemokines, growth factors and extracellular vesicles, and play an important role in crosstalk in the tumor microenvironment and increased tumor malignancy (16,17).

It has been reported that blue light significantly decreases fibroblast-induced α-SMA protein expression in fibroblastic diseases associated with elevated α-smooth muscle actin (α-SMA) expression (18), and it has been suggested that blue LED irradiation is a useful tool for not only fibroblastic diseases but also the tumor microenvironment.

The aim of this study was to investigate the impact of blue LED light on colon cancer and the tumor microenvironment and to examine the contribution of photoreceptors in vivo.

Materials and methods

Cell culture. The human colon cancer cell lines HCT-116 and HT-29 (KAC Co., Ltd.; cat. nos. EC91091005-F0 and EC91072201-F0) and human intestinal fibroblasts (ScienCell Research Laboratories, Inc.; cat. no. 2920) were used for in vitro and in vivo experiments. The cells were tested for mycoplasma and confirmed to be negative, and the cell lines were maintained and cultured in accordance with international guidelines for proper cell culture practices. The cells were cultured in RPMI-1640 medium (Wako Pure Chemical Industries, Ltd.) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS), 100 U/ml penicillin, and 100 µg/ml streptomycin (Sigma-Aldrich; Merck KGaA) in a 37°C humidified incubator containing 5% CO₂.

Animals. Sixteen 4 weeks old female nude mice (BALB/c) were purchased from Charles River Japan, Inc. (Kanagawa, Japan). The average weight of the mice used was 18.2±0.6 g. During the experiment, the animals were maintained behind barriers under controlled conditions and provided with water and a standard laboratory diet for at least 7 days before use. The animals had free access to tap water and food before and after surgery. Two weeks after irradiation, the mice were sacrificed by cervical dislocation and the tumors were removed for tissue processing.

The research was conducted in compliance with the Division for Animal Research Resources, Institute of Health Biosciences, University of Tokushima, Japan. The experiments and procedures were approved by the Animal Care and Use Committee of the University of Tokushima, Japan. All animal experiments were performed in accordance with the U.K. Animals (Scientific Procedures) Act, 1986 and associated guidelines (https://www.statutory instruments.gov.uk/ukpga/1986/14/contents), EU Directive 2010/63/EU for animal experiments (https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/PDF/?uri=CELEX:32010L0063), and the National Institutes of Health Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals (NIH Publications No. 8023, revised 1978) (https://grants.nih.gov/grants/oicr/Guide-for-the-Care-and-Use-of-laboratory-animals.pdf).

Orthotopic model. HCT-116 cells were harvested with 1 mM ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS), washed three times with PBS, and resuspended at a density of 1x10⁷ cells/ml in PBS containing 500 mg/ml of Matrigel (Becton Dickinson Labware). Animals were anesthetized with ether and then placed in a supine position. A 7-mm incision was made in the anterior rectal wall to prevent colonic obstruction caused by rectal tumor progression. Suspended HCT-116 cells (1x10⁶) were slowly injected into the submucosa of the posterior rectal wall using a 29-gauge needle. Long and short diameters of the primary tumor mass were measured every week after tumor implantation. Tumor volume was calculated using the following formula: Tumor volume (mm³) =1/2x(long diameter)x(short diameter)².

LED irradiation. Sixteen mice developed rectal cancer at 7 days after cell implantation and were randomized into four experimental groups comprising four animals each and irradiated as in the following settings in accordance with our previous reports (10,19): i) LED irradiation (465 nm, 30 mW/cm², 30 min, once) group sacrificed 2 weeks after cell implantation; ii) LED irradiation (465 nm, 30 mW/cm², 30 min, 1 day/week) group sacrificed 3 weeks after cell implantation; iii) control group sacrificed 2 weeks after cell implantation; iv) control group sacrificed 3 weeks after cell implantation (Fig. 1A). The blue LED light was irradiated onto the tumor from the surface of the skin without any surgical procedure.

Immunohistochemistry. The tissues resected from the orthotopic model or cultured cells were fixed in 10% formalin (Wako Pure Chemical Industries, Ltd.; cat. no. 066-03847) for 24 h at room temperature, paraffin-embedded, and sliced to 4-µm thickness. Next, 3% BSA (MACS® BSA Stock Solution; MACS Miltenyi Biotec; cat. no. 130-091-376) was used for blocking for 1 h at room temperature, and the anti-β-arrestin 1 antibody (15361-1-AP; Proteintech; dilution 1:100), anti-Opn3 antibody (SAB2700986; Sigma-Aldrich; Merck KGaA; dilution 1:250), anti-TGF-β antibody (SAB4502954; Sigma-Aldrich; Merck KGaA; dilution 1:200) and anti-α-SMA antibody (ab7817; Abcam K.K.; dilution 1:100) were used for immunostaining. Secondary antibodies were Alexa Fluor® 594 goat anti-mouse IgG (A11005; Abcam K.K.; dilution 1:500) or Alexa Fluor® 488 goat anti-rabbit IgG (A11008; Abcam K.K.; dilution 1:500) for 1 h at room temperature. A KEYENCE BZ-710 fluorescence microscope (Keyence, Osaka, Japan) with x20 or x40 magnification was used for imaging.

RT-PCR analysis. Total RNA was isolated using the RNeasy Mini Kit (Qiagen GmbH) and was reverse transcribed using a high-capacity cDNA reverse transcription kit (Applied Biosystems; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.). The 7500 real-time PCR system, TaqMan gene expression assays on demand, and TaqMan universal master mix (Applied Biosystems;
Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.) were used to perform quantitative real-time PCR. The following TaqMan primers (identification number) were used: LC-3 (Hs01076567_g1), beclin-1 (Hs01061917_g1), α-SMA (Hs00426835_g1), IL-6 (Hs00985639_m1), and PD-L1 (Hs00204257_m1). GAPDH (4326317E) was used as an internal control for mRNA expression (all from Applied Biosystems; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.). The thermal cycling conditions were as follows: 2 min at 50°C, 10 min at 95°C, and then 40 cycles of 15 sec at 95°C followed by 1 min at 60°C. Amplification data were analyzed using the Prism 7500 Sequence Detection System ver. 1.3.1 (Applied Biosystems; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.). The Step One Plus Real-Time PCR System (Applied Biosystems; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.) was used to perform RT-qPCR. The relative abundance of target transcripts was evaluated and normalized to the expression of GAPDH as an internal control using the 2^ΔΔCq method (20).

**Figure 1. Irradiation protocol in the orthotopic and CAF models.** (A) We created an orthotopic model by injecting HCT-116 cells into the rectal wall of nude mice. In the irradiation group, the tumors were irradiated with blue LED light (465 nm, 30 mW/cm^2, 30 min, 1 day/week). (B) CAF-derived cancer cell-CM were prepared by adding cancer cell-CM to HIF for 48 h. The medium was then changed once and the supernatant was collected. These supernatants were designated CAF-conditioned medium (CAF-CM). LED, light-emitting diode; CAF, cancer-associated fibroblast; CM, conditioned medium.

Preparation of the conditioned medium (CM). HCT-116 cells were cultured in a 10-cm dish up to 80% confluency. The cells were washed with PBS and then incubated in FBS-free medium. After 48 h of incubation, the medium was collected, centrifuged (750 x g, 10 min), and passed through a 0.2-µm filter to obtain cancer cell-CM. The CM was used without additional FBS. CAF-derived cancer cell-CM was prepared by adding cancer cell-CM to human intestinal fibroblasts for 48 h. The CAFs of the irradiation group were treated with blue LED light (465 nm, 30 mW/cm^2, 30 min). Next, the medium was changed...
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Migration and scratch assays. Transwell inserts (Corning, Inc.) with an 8-µm pore size were used for the migration assays. Cancer cells (2.0x10^4) were seeded into the upper chamber. After cell attachment, the medium in the upper chambers was discarded and fresh medium containing 1% FBS was added. Each CM containing 10% FBS was added to the lower chamber. After incubation at 37°C for 24 h, the cells that migrated to the bottom of the Transwell membrane were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde for 15 min, stained with 0.2% crystal violet solution (Wako Pure Chemical Industries, Ltd.) for 20 min at room temperature, and examined under a phase contrast microscope (BX43; Olympus Corp.). The stained cells were counted in three random fields per membrane (x100 magnification).

For the scratch assays, cancer cells were seeded at a density of 2.0x10^4 cells/well in 6-well plates. Once the cells attained confluency, a plastic pipette tip was scraped across the center of the well to produce a 1-mm wide wound area. The medium was discarded and fresh DMEM medium containing 1% FBS followed by the addition of corresponding CM at a ratio of 1:1; the final FBS concentration was 0.5%. After incubation at 37°C for an additional 24 h, images of the wound areas were captured using a phase-contrast microscope (magnification, x40; DP22-CU; Olympus Corp.) at 0 and 24 h after scratching. The wound healing rates were calculated using ImageJ v1.46r software (National Institutes of Health) and using the following equation: Wound healing rate (%)=[area (0 h)−area (24 h)]/area (0 h) x100.

Statistical analysis. All statistical analyses were performed using Stat View version 5.0 software (SAS Institute). The Mann-Whitney U-test and Wilcoxon signed-rank test were used for statistical comparisons. Subsequently, the multiple comparisons were assessed by Dunnett or Tukey's test. P-values of <0.05 were considered statistically significant.

Results

Opn3 expression in colon cancer. Opn3 expression was observed in colon cancer cells by immunohistochemistry. No Opn3 expression was detected in normal intestinal epithelial cells, whereas diffuse Opn3 expression was observed in the cytoplasm of tumor cells (Fig. 2A).

Effects of blue LED irradiation on colon cancer. Two weeks after the start of irradiation, the growth of colon tumors was suppressed by blue LED irradiation (P=0.048) (Fig. 2B). The largest tumor diameter and volume were 15 mm and 750 mm³, respectively. Regarding morphological changes, the tumor cells were found to be swollen near the tumor surface following LED irradiation (Fig. 2C). In the control group, Opn3 expression was diffuse throughout the cytoplasm, whereas in the irradiation group, Opn3 expression was observed at the cell membrane (Fig. 3A). Using immunostaining, the localization of Opn3 was evaluated by the stained area relative to the cytoplasm, and the stained area was significantly decreased in the irradiated group (P<0.01). When this phenomenon was examined in vitro by immunostaining, Opn3 expression was diffuse throughout the cytoplasm in both colon cancer cell lines in the control group and localized to the cell membrane in the LED group (Fig. 3B). Arrestin was also expressed in the cytoplasm of the control group, and it was observed on the cell membrane of the LED group in fluorescent immunostaining of the orthotopic models (Fig. 3C). In addition, immunostaining was performed and the results revealed that transforming growth...
factor (TGF-β) and α-SMA expression levels in the fibroblasts were attenuated in the LED group (TGF-β: P=0.035, α-SMA: P=0.022) (Fig. 4).

Blue LED irradiation induces the upregulation of autophagy-related factors. The expression levels of the LC-3 and beclin-1 genes were analyzed by RT-PCR at 1 week following LED irradiation. RNA levels of LC-3 (P=0.0025) and beclin-1 (P=0.031) were significantly elevated in the LED group compared with those in the control group (Fig. 5A and B).

Blue LED irradiation suppresses the CAF activation in vitro. α-SMA and IL-6 expression in the CAFs was evaluated by PCR. α-SMA expression was attenuated by blue LED irradiation (P=0.0036) (Fig. 6A) and IL-6 expression was significantly decreased in the LED group (P<0.0001) (Fig. 6B). Furthermore, migration (Fig. 7A) and scratch assays (Fig. 7B) for HCT-116 cells cultured in CAF-CM were performed. CAF-CM promoted the migration and invasion by HCT-116 cells. In contrast, compared with CAF-CM, CAF-CM derived from LED-irradiated CAF did not promote the migration or invasion of HCT-116 cells (P=0.0053 and P=0.019, respectively).

Finally, the expression of PD-L1 in HCT-116 cells was evaluated by PCR. PD-L1 expression was increased in the HCT-116 cells cultured in CAF-CM compared to the control group (P<0.0001); however, the relative increase in PD-L1 expression was lower in the CAFs irradiated with blue LED light than that in the CAFs without LED irradiation (P<0.0001) (Fig. 7C).

Discussion

It has been reported that radiation therapy, photodynamic therapy (PDT), and phototherapy induce autophagy in colon cancer cells (21). Recently, we reported that blue light-emitting diode (LED) light irradiation at 465 nm (30 mW/cm², 30 min) induced autophagy via Opn3 (Opn3) and coupled G protein in colon cancer cells (10). In the present study, irradiation for 30 min with LED light at 465 nm suppressed tumor growth in human colon cancer, and autophagy was determined to be the mechanism underlying growth suppression in vivo. Furthermore, Opn3 was diffusely expressed throughout the cytoplasm of colon cancer cells in the control group but strongly expressed in the cell membrane of the LED group. Opn3 is known as a blue light receptor that is widely expressed in nonvisual cells (22). It is predicted that Opn3 must be expressed in the cell membrane to function as a photoreceptor, and the translocation of opsins from the cytoplasm to the cell membrane in melanocytes has been reported (15). These results suggest that irradiation with blue
LED light induces Opn3 translocation from the cytoplasm to the cell membrane where Opn3 acts as a photoreceptor. Cell membrane-associated Opn3 may then promote autophagy in colon cancer cells. It is known that arrestin inactivates opsin expressed in the cell membrane of photoreceptors by drawing it into the cytoplasm (23). In the present study, arrestin was also diffusely expressed in the cytoplasm of the controls and localized to the cell membrane following blue LED irradiation. These results suggest that arrestin is also involved in the migration of Opn3 into colorectal cancer cells.

Previous reports have shown that autophagy may induce caspase-independent cell death and inhibit tumor growth (24). In addition, autophagy was negatively regulated by cAMP signaling (25,26). Irradiated Opn3 activates the Gi/o subtype of the G proteins which inhibits cAMP activation. Based on these findings, blue LED irradiation may induce autophagy and inhibit the growth of colon cancer cells via the Opn3 photoreceptor pathway. In addition, we previously found that Opn3 protein was highly expressed in human colon cancer cells and no expression of Opn3 was observed in human normal colonic mucosa (10). Also in the present in vivo experiments, Opn3 was not expressed in the normal colonic mucosa, and since the tumor-suppressive effect of blue LED was canceled when Opn3 was knocked down in a previous study, it is considered that there is no Opn3-mediated cytotoxicity to the normal mucosa. These findings indicate that blue light irradiation can be used clinically for the treatment of colon cancer.
efficacy of anticancer therapy. Fibroblasts are also activated by cancer cells and become cancer-associated fibroblasts (CAFs). Transforming growth factor (TGF)-β and Interleukin (IL)-6 are markers of CAFs (27), and CAFs contribute to the

Figure 6. Effects of LEDs on CAFs in vitro. (A) α-SMA expression was attenuated by blue LED irradiation (P=0.0036). (B) IL-6 expression was significantly decreased in the LED group (P<0.0001). All of the experiments were performed in triplicate. Error bars show the SD. **P<0.01 and ****P<0.0001; n.s. not significant. LED, light-emitting diode; CAFs, cancer-associated fibroblasts; α-SMA, α-smooth muscle actin; IL-6, interleukin 6; HIF, human intestinal fibroblast.

Figure 7. Suppressive effects of LEDs and CAF-CM in vitro. CAF-CM promoted the (A) migration and (B) invasion of HCT-116 cells. In contrast, compared to them, CAF-CM collected from LED-irradiated CAFs did not promote migration or invasion of HCT-116 cells (P<0.0053 and P=0.019, respectively). *P<0.01 and **P<0.05; n.s. not significant. (C) PD-L1 expression was increased in the HCT-116 cells cultured in CAF-CM; however, in CAFs irradiated with blue LED, the relative increase in PD-L1 expression was lower than in CAFs without LED irradiation (*P<0.05 and ****P<0.0001; n.s. not significant). All of the experiments were performed in triplicate. Error bars show the SD. LED, light-emitting diode; CAFs, cancer-associated fibroblasts; CM, conditioned medium; PD-L1, programmed cell death 1-ligand.
malignant phenotype. In a previous study, we also reported that IL-6 in the tumor microenvironment increases malignancy (28,29). In the present study, immunostaining for TGF-β and α-smooth muscle actin (α-SMA) revealed that their expression in fibroblasts in the tumor microenvironment was attenuated in the LED group, which suggests the use of phototherapy to target the tumor microenvironment, including CAFs. We found that LED irradiation on CAFs not only reduced the migration and invasion ability of colorectal cancer cells, but also had no cell proliferation-promoting effect in the conditioned medium (CM) of LED-irradiated CAFs (data not shown).

An in vitro study also showed the effects of LEDs that suppress CAF activation and IL-6 expression. It has been reported that IL-6 increases programmed cell death 1-ligand (PD-L1) expression via STAT3 (30). Therefore, we considered the possibility that blue light suppresses the activation of CAFs as well as tumor cells, further reducing tumor malignancy. Consequently, LED irradiation of CAFs indirectly reduced tumor malignancy and suppressed PD-L1 expression in the HCT-116 cells. PD-L1 expression in the colon cancer cells was also reduced by LED irradiation on CAFs in vitro. It has been reported that the expression of TGF-β in the tumor microenvironment correlates with PD-L1 expression in cancer cells and is closely related to tumor immunity (31). Thus, blue LED may exert antitumor effects by influencing tumor immunity.

The major limitation of this study is that the significance of Opn3 expression in tumors and fibroblasts has not been fully investigated because we have not conducted experiments with tumors without Opn3 or Opn3-knockout mice. In addition, since we were unable to collect the amount of samples necessary for the evaluation of protein expression in this in vivo experiment, it is necessary to conduct the experiment again to examine protein expression levels such as LC-3, Beclin1, PDL-1, αSM and IL-6. In our previous in vitro studies, daily irradiation for 5 min and once-irradiation for 30 min were used (10,19), and similar tumor suppressive effects were observed. Therefore, weekly irradiation for 30 min was used in vivo this time. In the future, it will be necessary to study the effects of short-duration divided irradiation and multiple 30-min irradiation sessions.

The mechanism by which blue light suppresses CAFs is unclear, and there are no reports of photoreceptor-mediated effects, thus the role of photoreceptors in CAFs is an important issue for future investigation. Although further studies are warranted to elucidate the mechanism of action of blue LED irradiation on tumors and the microenvironment, including Opn3 expression, translocation and photoimmunotherapeutic effects, this study suggests that blue LED irradiation may have an inhibitory effect on colorectal cancer and the tumor microenvironment.
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