Short hairpin RNAs targeting M and N genes reduce replication of porcine deltacoronavirus in ST cells
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Abstract
Porcine deltacoronavirus (PDCoV) is a recently identified coronavirus that causes intestinal diseases in neonatal piglets with diarrhea, vomiting, dehydration, and post-infection mortality of 50–100%. Currently, there are no effective treatments or vaccines available to control PDCoV. To study the potential of RNA interference (RNAi) as a strategy against PDCoV infection, two short hairpin RNA (shRNA)-expressing plasmids (pGenesil-M and pGenesil-N) that targeted the M and N genes of PDCoV were constructed and transfected separately into swine testicular (ST) cells, which were then infected with PDCoV strain HB-BD. The potential of the plasmids to inhibit PDCoV replication was evaluated by cytopathic effect, virus titers, and real-time quantitative RT-PCR assay. The cytopathogenicity assays demonstrated that pGenesil-M and pGenesil-N protected ST cells against pathological changes with high specificity and efficacy. The 50% tissue culture infective dose showed that the PDCoV titers in ST cells treated with pGenesil-M and pGenesil-N were reduced 13.2- and 32.4-fold, respectively. Real-time quantitative RT-PCR also confirmed that the amount of viral RNA in cell cultures pre-transfected with pGenesil-M and pGenesil-N was reduced by 45.8 and 56.1%, respectively. This is believed to be the first report to show that shRNAs targeting the M and N genes of PDCoV exert antiviral effects in vitro, which suggests that RNAi is a promising new strategy against PDCoV infection.
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Introduction
Porcine deltacoronavirus (PDCoV) is a new member of the Deltacoronavirus genus Coronavirus that causes intestinal disease. Clinical symptoms include vomiting, diarrhea, dehydration, and even death of piglets [1–3]. Since the first report of PDCoV in Hong Kong in 2012 [4] and the outbreak of PDCoV in the USA in 2014 [5], the novel porcine coronavirus has been detected in Canada, South Korea, Thailand, Mexico, and China [3, 6–8]. PDCoV has become an important pathogen affecting healthy development in the pig industry. However, there are currently no vaccines or treatments that can effectively control PDCoV [6].

PDCoV is an enveloped, single-stranded, and positive-sense RNA virus. The entire genome contains about 25,400 nucleotides [4]. PDCoV has four major structural proteins: spike (S), envelope (E), membrane (M), and nucleocapsid (N) [1, 2, 9]. The detailed function of each PDCoV proteins is unknown. According to studies on other coronaviruses, M protein is the most abundant component of the viral envelope. It plays an important role in viral assembly process and budding [10, 11]. The M protein can also induce production of protective antibodies [10, 12]. The N protein of the coronavirus forms a helical nucleocapsid with genomic RNA and protects the viral genome from external interference [13, 14].

RNA interference (RNAi) is a process that effectively silences or inhibits the expression of a gene of interest, which is achieved by double-stranded RNA (dsRNA), which
selectively inactivates the mRNA of the target gene. RNAi has been successfully used in infection inhibition studies of animal viruses, such as porcine epidemic diarrhea virus (PEDV) [15], influenza virus A [16], porcine transmissible gastroenteritis virus (TGEV) [17], and porcine reproductive and respiratory syndrome virus [18]. However, whether RNAi inhibits the replication of PDCoV has not been reported.

RNAi interferes with viral replication through short hairpin RNAs (shRNAs) or small interfering RNAs (siRNAs). shRNA is more stable, long-lasting, and more efficient than siRNA. Therefore, in this study, we constructed two shRNAs (pGenesil-M and pGenesil-N) in a plasmid expression system that targeted the M and N genes of PDCoV, and investigated the efficiency of shRNA-mediated RNAi of PDCoV replication in vitro.

Materials and methods

Cell culture, virus propagation, and titration

ST cells were cultured in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium containing 10% heat-inactivated fetal bovine serum (Zhejiang Tianhang Biotechnology Co. Ltd., Hangzhou, China) and 1% penicillin–streptomycin solution, and incubated in a 37 °C environment containing 5% carbon dioxide. The PDCoV strain HB-BD (GenBank No. MF948005) was propagated in ST cells as previously described [1]. After 80% of the cells developed a cytopathic effect (CPE) of viral infection, the culture (cells plus medium) was collected and subjected to three freeze–thaw cycles to lyse the cells. The virus titer was determined by the 50% tissue culture infectious dose (TCID50) as described previously [6].

shRNA sequence selection and shRNA expression plasmid construction

The predicted and analyzed shRNAs were obtained by predicting the M and N gene shRNAs of PDCoV using RNAi target finder software (www.Ambition.com/techlib/misc/siRNA). Using the BLAST program, the candidate shRNA sequences were aligned with the pig genome and other PDCoV sequences submitted to GenBank, and the specific strong shRNAs were selected. To ensure a similar RNAi effect on different PDCoV strains, two theoretically effective sequences at nucleotide positions 328–346 (M) and 270–288 (N) were selected. dsDNA sequences encoding the shRNAs were synthesized, with 4-nt 5′ single-stranded overhangs complementary to BamHI and HindIII-cleaved DNA at the ends. Scrambled siRNA sequences were designed as negative controls (NC). The sequences are shown in Table 1. Each ds-shRNA-coding sequence was ligated into the BamHI and HindIII restriction sites of the shRNA expression vector pGenesil-1 (Wuhan Genesil Biotechnology, China) and transformed into Escherichia coli competent cells. The recombinant plasmids were named pGenesil-M, pGenesil-N, and pGenesil-NC.

Transfection of shRNA plasmids and virus infection

ST cells were seeded in a 24-well plate at 2 × 10⁵ per well in 500 μL medium without antibiotics in a conventional manner and cultured for 18–24 h at 37 °C in a 5% CO₂ environment. When the degree of monolayer cell confluence reached 70–80%, transfection was started according to the manufacturer’s instructions of LipoGene™ 2000 plus transfection reagent (US Everbright Inc., San Francisco, US). Briefly, 2 μg of transfection reagent was diluted with 50 μL of Opti-MEM and stand for 5 min at room temperature, then mixed with 50 μL diluted shRNA-expressing plasmid (1 μg shRNA-expressing plasmid was diluted with 50 μL of Opti-MEM). After 20 min, the cells of each well were washed three times and overlaid with 100 μL of the transfection mixture. The cells were incubated for 4 h at 37 °C, and the medium was changed. After 24 h, the cells were infected with 100 TCID₅₀ PDCoV. ST cells infected with PDCoV but had undergone the transfection procedure without plasmid DNA added served as mock-transfected controls. Expression vector pGenesil-1 encodes the enhanced green fluorescent protein (EGFP). Therefore, EGFP expression in a cell line can be used as a

Table 1  Sequences of designed shRNAs

| Plasmids     | SHRNA                                                                 |
|--------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| pGenesil-M   | Sense: 5′-GATCCGCAAGGGATTATGGAATCCCAAATTCCTGCTTTTTTA-3′               |
|              | Antisense: 5′-AGCTTTAAAAAAGCAGGGATTATGGAATCCCAAATTCCTGCTTTTTTA-3′     |
| pGenesil-N   | Sense: 5′-GATCCGCAACCACCTGTTATTTCCAAGAGAAGGAACAGTAGTGGTCTTTTTTA-3′   |
|              | Antisense: 5′-AGCTTTAAAAAAGCAACCCCTGTTATTTCCAAGAGAAGGAACAGTAGTGGTCTTTTTTA-3′ |
| pGenesil-NC  | Sense: 5′-GATCCCGCTATGTCAGTAATGCATTCTGCTTTTTTA-3′                    |
|              | Antisense: 5′-AGCTTTAAAAAAGCAGTAATGCATTCTGCTTTTTTA-3′                |

The underlined sequences are siRNAs targeting the M and N genes and the bold italic letters indicate the loop sequence.
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transfection marker to evaluate the transfection efficiency. In this study, we used inverted fluorescence microscopy to capture images to evaluate the cell transfection efficiency and CPE.

Assay and determination of TCID<sub>50</sub>

PDCoV cultures in shRNA expression plasmid-transfected ST cells were harvested 48 h after virus infection. After three repeated freeze–thaw cycles, the virus was diluted from $10^{-1}$ to $10^{-10}$ and added to a 96-well plate with eight wells per dilution of virus. CPE was observed and recorded daily, and viral titer was measured by TCID<sub>50</sub> using the Reed–Muench method as previously described [1, 6].

Analysis of RNA levels by real-time quantitative RT-PCR

N gene of PDCoV was used as a standard to assess the inhibitory effect of shRNA on viral replication. Total RNA was extracted from shRNA expression plasmid-transfected ST cells after 48 h of infection, and reverse transcription was performed using the PrimeScript<sup>TM</sup> 1st Strand cDNA Synthesis Kit (TaKaRa Biotechnology, Dalian, China). Quantitative real-time PCR (qRT-PCR) analysis was performed to amplify N gene using the cDNA as the template and the β-actin cDNA as the internal standard. The primers used are shown in Table 2. The quantitative RT-PCR contained 7.5 μL SYBR Mixture (CWBIO, Beijing, China), 0.5 μL (10 μM) forward primer, 0.5 μL (10 μM) reverse primer, 1.5 μL cDNA template, and 10 μL RNase-free deionized distilled water. The reaction protocol was as follows: 95 °C for 600 s, followed by 40 cycles of 95 °C for 10 s and 60 °C for 30 s; the melting curve stage comprised 95 °C for 10 s, 65 °C for 60 s, and 97 °C for 1 s, as well as 37 °C for 30 s. Each experiment was repeated three times. The relative number of viral RNA copies in cells interfered with different plasmids was calculated by the $2^{-\Delta\Delta C_t}$ method.

### Results

#### Transfection efficiency of shRNA expression plasmids

ST cells transfected with shRNA recombinant plasmids (pGenesil-M and pGenesil-N) and a scrambled shRNA recombinant plasmid (pGenesil-NC) were observed under fluorescence microscopy (Fig. 1). Normal ST cells showed no fluorescence, while ST cells transfected with recombinant plasmid showed fluorescence. The shRNA recombinant plasmids were successfully transfected into ST cells, and the transfection efficiency of the two recombinant plasmids and the scrambled shRNA plasmid was similar.

#### Examination of shRNA effects by CPE analysis

To analyze whether shRNA can prevent ST cells from exhibiting CPE due to PDCoV infection, recombinant plasmids pGenesil-M and pGenesil-N were transfected into ST cells seeded in triplicate in 24-well plates. The vector pGenesil-NC expressing the non-specific shRNA was used as a negative control. At 24 h after transfection, cells were infected with PDCoV at 100 TCID<sub>50</sub>, and cells were examined for CPE every day. CPE was observed 48 h after infection and photographed (Fig. 2). ST cells infected with virus only or ST cells transfected with a negative control plasmid (pGenesil-NC) became enlarged, round, dense granular cells, occurring individually or in clusters. We also observed signs of cell shrinkage and detachment from the monolayer. As for the cells transfected with plasmids pGenesil-N and pGenesil-M expressing specific shRNAs, observation showed that the extent of CPE was reduced.

#### Examination of shRNA effects on PDCoV replication by TCID<sub>50</sub> assay

To analyze the inhibition of PDCoV replication by shRNA, viral titers in ST cells were calculated by the Reed–Muench method at 48 h after viral infection (Fig. 3). The results showed that the titers of PDCoV were $10^{4.15}$ and $10^{3.76}$ TCID<sub>50</sub>/mL in cells harboring pGenesil-M and pGenesil-N, respectively. The PDCoV titer was $10^{5.35}$ TCID<sub>50</sub>/mL in cells pre-transfected with pGenesil-NC, which was similar to the titer of $10^{5.27}$ TCID<sub>50</sub>/mL in mock-transfected cells. In comparison with the titers of virus produced by cells transfected with pGenesil-NC, that of pGenesil-M- and pGenesil-N-transfected cells corresponded to 13.2- and 32.4-fold reductions, respectively. The titers of virus produced by cells transfected with the recombinant plasmids pGenesil-N and pGenesil-M were

| Table 2 | Primers for real-time quantitative RT-PCR |
|---------|------------------------------------------|
| Primer  | Sequences                               | Product length |
| PDCoV-NF | 5′- TACTGGTGCCAAATGTCGGC -3′             | 262 bp         |
| PDCoV-NR | 5′- GTTGGTTGGTTCGCGGGGTCGCGG -3′        |                 |
| β-actin F | 5′- CCATCGTGCACCGAATAT -3′              | 112 bp         |
| β-actin R | 5′- CAAATAAAGCATGCCAATC -3′             |                 |
significantly different from the virus titers transfected with pGenesil-NC (P < 0.05), while the difference between pGenesil-NC and mock-transfected cells was not significant. pGenesil-N showed higher inhibition efficiency than that of pGenesil-M shRNA.

Examination of shRNA inhibitory effect by real-time quantitative RT-PCR analysis

If RNAi is successful, replication of PDCoV is inhibited, and the amount of the corresponding M and N genes is
We used the N gene as a standard to analyze the effect of shRNA inhibition of PDCoV replication. Real-time quantitative RT-PCR analysis of N gene level was normalized to the corresponding β-actin in the same sample (Fig. 4). The relative amount of N gene in mock cells was regarded as 1.000, whereas the relative amounts of N gene in cells infected with PDCoV after being transfected with pGenesil-M, pGenesil-N, and pGenesil-NC were 0.542, 0.439, and 1.079, respectively. Analysis of these data revealed that the amount of viral RNA in samples transfected with pGenesil-M and pGenesil-N was reduced by 45.8 and 56.1%, respectively, compared to the mock control. This suggests potent inhibition of PDCoV replication triggered by sequence-specific shRNAs in ST cells.

Discussion

PDCoV is a recently discovered porcine enteropathogenic coronavirus [8, 19–21]. Since 2015, PDCoV has emerged in many provinces, leading to significant economic losses in swine husbandry in China. However, there are presently no effective treatments or vaccines available to control PDCoV [6]. So, there is an urgency to develop an effective method for treatment of PDCoV.

RNAi is a gene silencing mechanism at the post-transcriptional level with high specificity and can inhibit gene expression with high efficiency. Therefore, RNAi has been considered as an effective strategy to protect against bacterial and viral pathogens [22, 23]. RNAi is triggered by endogenous or exogenous 21–23 nt RNA duplexes [17], and shRNA and siRNA are two commonly used RNA molecules to block gene expression [17, 24]. Compared with siRNA, the interference efficiency induced by shRNA was more effective. Recently, the interference efficiency of shRNA in some coronaviruses has been studied. Wang designed and constructed three recombinant plasmids targeting the M gene of porcine TGEV. After transfection into PK15 cells, M gene expression was reduced by 13, 68, and 70% [17]. Shen et al. [15] have constructed five shRNA-expressing plasmids targeting the N, M, and S genes of PEDV. PEDV RNA in Vero cells pre-transfected with these plasmids was reduced by 22–94.5%. However, because the success rate of PDCoV isolation was low [1, 6, 25], there are no reports on the use of shRNA to inhibit replication of PDCoV, which belongs to the same virus family as PEDV and TGEV.

In our previous study, PDCoV strain HB-BD was successfully isolated and serially passaged in cell culture and characterized. In this study, we designed two shRNAs based on the theoretically valid sequences of the M and N genes of PDCoV with nucleotide positions of 328–346 (M) and 270–288 (N), and established three shRNA recombinant expression plasmids to study whether shRNA-mediated RNAi inhibited PDCoV replication in vitro. To guarantee a similar RNAi effect on different PDCoV strains, the two theoretically effective sequences were analyzed by BLAST to ensure that they did not have any similar sequences in the swine genome, but shared 100% similarity with the published sequences of different PDCoV strains. Both the shRNAs inhibited PDCoV replication in ST cells. The interference properties were revealed by reductions in CPE formation, virus TCID_{50} titers, and viral RNA copy numbers in the infected cells. The CPE and TCID_{50} assay of pGenesil-M- and pGenesil-N-transfected cells showed viral suppression 48 h after infection and the titers of pGenesil-M- and
pGenesil-N-transfected cells were reduced 13.2- and 32.4-fold, respectively. The real-time quantitative RT-PCR assay showed that the viral RNA copy number was reduced by 45.8% in pGenesil-M-transfected cells and 56.1% in pGenesil-M-transfected cells. The inhibitory efficiency of pGenesil-N was higher than that of pGenesil-M. Although the interference efficiency of shRNAs against PDCoV in our study was lower than that of the shRNAs targeting other coronaviruses [15, 17], these results indicate that RNAi against PDCoV mediated by shRNAs can inhibit PDCoV replication in vitro. A disadvantage of this transient transfection/expression system is that virus replication can be inhibited only in cells that are expressing the shRNA, and cells not expressing the shRNA can be infected. Therefore, one explanation for the lower interference efficiency of shRNAs against PDCoV in this study compared to shRNAs targeting other coronaviruses in other studies could be lower transfection efficiency of the shRNA expression plasmids.

In conclusion, our results indicate that both shRNAs plasmids targeting the M (328–346) and N (210–288) genes of PDCoV genome inhibit PDCoV replication in ST cells with high efficiency. Therefore, the two nucleotide positions are two potential targets for the inhibition of PDCoV replication by RNAi. However, whether the two shRNAs can inhibit PDCoV replication in vivo, and whether shRNAs targeting other nucleotide positions of the M and N genes or other genes also inhibit PDCoV replication need further research.
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