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Abstract: Nanostructured catalysts of platinum (Pt) supported on commercial TiO$_2$, as well as TiO$_2$-CeO$_2$ (1, 5 and 10 wt% CeO$_2$), were synthesized through the Sol-Gel and impregnation method doped to 1 wt% of Platinum, in order to obtain a viable photocatalytic material able to oxidate organic pollutants under the visible light spectrum. The materials were characterized by different spectroscopy and surface techniques such as Specific surface area (BET), X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS), XRD, and TEM. The results showed an increase in the diameter of the pore as well as the superficial area of the supports as a function of the CeO$_2$ content. TEM images showed Pt nanoparticles ranking from 2–7 nm, a decrease in the particle size due to the increase of CeO$_2$. The XPS showed oxidized Pt$^{2+}$ and reduced Pt$^0$ species; also, the relative abundance of the elements Ce$^{3+}$/Ce$^{4+}$ and Ti$^{3+}$ on the catalysts. Additionally, a shift in the Eg band gap energy (3.02–2.82 eV) was observed by UV–vis, proving the facticity of applying these materials in a photocatalytic reaction using visible light. Finally, all the synthesized materials were tested on their photocatalytic oxidation activity on a herbicide used worldwide; 2,4-Dichlorophenoxyacetic acid, frequently use in the agriculture in the state of Jalisco. The kinetics activity of each material was measured during 6 h of reaction at UV–Vis 190–400 nm, reaching a removal efficiency of 98% of the initial concentration of the pollutant in 6 h, compared to 32% using unmodified TiO$_2$ in 6 h.
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1. Introduction

As the population continues to grow, pollution has increased in all water resources, producing an urgent need to create solutions to remediate it. Studying the literature regarding a reliable technology for water treatment able to oxidate persistent organic molecules heterogeneous catalysts, it has been proven to be able to remove a wide range of contaminants [1–3]. By doping the reaction with metallic and nanometric catalysts helps to increase the surface energy of the individual particles, increasing the probability of aggregation, which can reduce the specific surface area of the catalyst and its efficiency since they are widely used materials due to their relatively low cost, and they can be reused [4,5]. To avoid aggregation, it is important to immobilize the active metal nanoparticles on mesoporous solid support, as well as to transform the metal into its oxide form [6].

The morphological structure of the catalyst support determines the dispersion of the nanoparticles and the surface area of the catalytic active sites. Various semiconductor materials including titanium dioxide (TiO$_2$), zinc oxide (ZnO), vanadium pentoxide (V$_2$O$_5$), cerium oxide (CeO$_2$) and tungsten trioxide (WO$_3$) have been extensively studied by photocatalysis reaction [1,7,8]. One of the most researched compositions for a support system is TiO$_2$ because it is an effective, inexpensive, and stable photocatalyst used for the decomposition of organics [1,4]. However, TiO$_2$ can only absorb the ultraviolet portion and
can only take advantage of about 4% of the intensity of the sunlight spectrum due to its high bandgap (3.0–3.2 eV) [1,9]. This represents a major limitation since its photocatalytic properties are not fully used; even so, an alternative that has been explored to extend its photo response range to the region is to dope the surface with metallic nanoparticles or combine it with another support [4]. Selected methods like doping and composites have been attempted to achieve photo-initiation into the visible spectrum, therefore decreasing cost and increasing efficiency [6]. Another support that has gained importance recently and that can be used as a photocatalyst is CeO$_2$. This is due to its unique redox properties that consist of reversibly creating and eliminating oxygen vacancies on the surface [10].

The relation between the photocatalytic activity of TiO$_2$ and CeO$_2$ composite under UV and visible light has been studied [8,11–13]. Liu et al. in 2005 found that TiO$_2$-CeO$_2$ under visible illumination exhibits more photocatalytic activity than pure TiO$_2$ and CeO$_2$ films. Another study reported by Tian et al. in 2013, who prepared heterostructures of CeO$_2$/TiO$_2$ nanobelts using a hydrothermal method. These authors found that both UV and visible photocatalytic activities of CeO$_2$/TiO$_2$ nanobelts heterostructures were enhanced compared to TiO$_2$ nanobelts and CeO$_2$ nanoparticles. More recently, Henych et al. (2021) found the strong interaction of Ti with Ce within the composites led to the formation of Ce$^{3+}$ and Ti$^{4+}$ states, reduction of titania crystallite size, change of acid-base and surface properties, and synergetic effects that are all responsible for highly improved degradation efficiency of organophosphorus compounds. In addition, the thermocatalytic, photocatalytic, and photothermocatalytic oxidation of some volatile organic compounds, 2-propanol, ethanol, and toluene, were investigated over brookite TiO$_2$-CeO$_2$ composites [11]. Other studies have focused on improving catalyst synthesis methods using green methods [14] or adding doping of TiO$_2$-CeO$_2$ supports to improve photocatalytic properties [15,16].

In order to improve the combination of TiO$_2$ and CeO$_2$ supports, the incorporation of platinum nanoparticles was studied in this research. Platinum nanoparticles are advantageous in biological, biosensor, electro-analytical, analytical, and catalytic applications [17]. They are unique because of their large surface area and their numerous catalytic applications, such as their use as automotive catalytic converters and as petrochemical cracking catalysts [18]. As mentioned above, the present work takes advantage of the combined photoactivity properties of two semiconductors TiO$_2$-CeO$_2$ supports and the platinum nanoparticles forming Pt/TiO$_2$-CeO$_2$ photocatalyst. The TiO$_2$-CeO$_2$ supports were prepared by sol-gel method at different contents of the cerium oxide (2–10 wt%) and the platinum nanoparticles (1.0 wt%) were prepared by the impregnation method to obtain Pt/TiO$_2$-CeO$_2$ photocatalysts. This project is innovative because it will use the semiconductor, TiO$_2$ mixed with CeO$_2$, mechanically alloyed, to shift photo-initiation into the visible range.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Materials

2.1.1. Impregnation Synthesis

The TiO$_2$ supports was prepared using TiO$_2$ Degussa P25 (Aldrich, 99.5%) it was first placed in a thermal treatment at 500 °C for 2 h with an airflow of 50 mL/min. The CeO$_2$ was incorporated into the TiO$_2$ with different contents (1, 5, and 10% by weight of CeO$_2$) with an aqueous solution of Ce (NO$_3$)$_3$ * 6H$_2$O. This solution was added to the TiO$_2$ that was placed in a ball flask. The mixture was stirred for 3 h on a rotary evaporator. Afterwards, the samples were dried under vacuum in a 60 °C water bath. Subsequently they were dried
in an oven at 120 °C for 12 h and calcined at 500 °C for 4 h with an air flow (50 mL/min) and a heating ramp of 2 °C/min. This process was performed in duplicate.

2.2.2. Sol-Gel Synthesis

To prepare the TiO$_2$ support material by sol-gel, titanium IV butoxide (Aldrich, 97%) was used as a TiO$_2$ precursor with water, ethanol, and a few drops of HNO$_3$ to fix the pH in the solution to 3. The preparation of the supports was made in a three-necked flask, mixing dropwise the n-Butoxide into the mix in the water/alkoxide solution (8:1 molar ratio). Then the mixture was placed to reflux and stirred vigorously for 24 h. The temperature of the preparation was maintained in a range between 75–80 °C. The samples were dried under vacuum on a rotary evaporator with a 75 °C water bath. Finally, the supports were calcined at 500 °C for 4 h with an airflow (50 mL/min) and a heating ramp of 2 °C/min [19].

The process to add the CeO$_2$ into the support web was the same as described previous for the TiO$_2$ sol-gel, with the difference of adding the reagent Ce (NO$_3$)$_3$ * 6H$_2$O by previously preparing a solution in order to obtain the desired percentages in the support web. This process was performed in duplicate and in parallel.

2.3. Pt Catalysts Preparation

The catalysts were prepared by wet impregnation, using the support material previously synthesized with TiO$_2$ and CeO$_2$. Prior to catalyst preparation, both TiO$_2$ and TiO$_2$-CeO$_2$ supports were previously air-dried at 100 °C for 24 h. Subsequently, the supports were added to a ball flask to which a 0.001 M hydrochloric acid solution was used to adjust the pH. Then the mixed solution with the support was left stirring until it became homogenized, after which the Pt solution was added, and commercial salt of H$_2$PtCl$_6$ * 6H$_2$O at 37.5% purity was employed as a precursor for Pt in order to obtain a semiconductor material with a 1% weight metal content. The suspended solution was heated to 60 °C with vigorous stirring for 4 h. The leftover solids were dried in the oven at 120 °C for 24 h and calcined at 500 °C with an airflow of 1 cm$^3$/s and heating rate of 2 °C/min. Finally, the samples were placed in a vacuum desiccator in amber glass vials wrapped in foil paper to mitigate the exposure to light [20].

2.4. Characterization Techniques

The TiO$_2$-CeO$_2$ supports and the platinum nanoparticles that form the Pt/TiO$_2$-CeO$_2$ photocatalyst synthesized by sol-gel and impregnation at different concentrations were characterized to determine which method improved their photocatalytic properties. The determination of the specific surface area was carried out using the standard Brunauer, Emmett and Teller (BET) method using nitrogen physisorption in Micromeritics ASAP 2020. The X-ray diffraction (XRD) was used to determine their phases and crystallinity. This was carried out using an Empyrean by Malvern Panalytical, Almeio, equipped with Cu-K$\alpha$ radiation ($\lambda = 0.154$ nm). The phase content of anatase and rutile were calculated with the XRD intensity of the characteristic peaks of the phases [21,22], as shown in Equation (1).

$$ W_A = \frac{K_A I_A}{K_A I_A + I_R} $$

where $W_A$ is the mole fractions of anatase, $I_A$ and $I_R$ are the X-ray integrated intensities of the anatase and the rutile, respectively, and $K_A = 0.886$.

The presence of elements in the catalyst and the percentage of each element were determined using X-ray photoelectron spectrometry (XPS) Phoipos 150 (ESCALAB 210, VG Scientific Ltd., East Grinstead, UK) and Raman spectroscopy (Cora 5500 Anton Paar, Anton Paar, Germany). Transmission Electron Microscopy (TEM) has been used to explicate the innermost structure, morphology, and exact particle size of the composite system (FEI TITAN G2 80–300, Hillsborough, OR, USA) operated at 300 keV. The UV–Vis (UV–Visible) spectrophotometer (Shimadzu UV-2600, Kyoto, Japan) was used to determine the energy level of the band gap for all composites of Pt/TiO$_2$-CeO$_2$ photocatalyst synthesized.
2.5. Photocatalytic Reaction

The photodegradation experiments of 2,4-D was carried out at room temperature, using a slurry reactor, a glass beaker of 200 mL capacity with 150 mL of a mother solution with 200 ppm of 2,4-Dimethylamine salt, and 200 mg of catalyst mixed with air (BOYUS air pump 4000 B, with pressure of 0.012 MPa and an output of 3.2 L per minute). The reaction was kept in agitation for 30 min in complete darkness until the adsorption desorption equilibrium had reached light striking the reactor with a UV lamp (4 watts). The run time for adsorption tests was 6 h under darkness, at the natural pH of the slurry. An example was obtained every 30 min, using a filtrating syringe to extract 4 mL of the slurry and using a membrane to separate the suspension material. Every example was measured in a UV–Vis (UV-2600 Shimadzu) at 190–400 nm. To obtain the kinetics activity of each material. The concentration of the reaction was calculated from the absorption band at 282 nm, applying the equation of Beer–Lambert. The conversion percentage was calculated using the Equation (2).

\[
X_{2,4D} = \frac{2,4D_0 - 2,4D_f}{2,4D_0} \times 100\% \tag{2}
\]

where \(X_{2,4D}\) is the percentage of the 2,4-D conversion, \(2,4D_0\) is the concentration of the pollutant at the beginning of the reaction, and \(2,4D_f\) is the concentration of the pollutant at the end of the reaction.

The heterogenous photocatalysts were carried out by employing the following catalyst: Aerioxide P-25® Commercial TiO\(_2\), TiO\(_2\)-CeO\(_2\) (5, 10 wt%), Pt-TiO\(_2\)-CeO\(_2\) (5, 10 wt%) synthesis by impregnation and TiO\(_2\)-CeO\(_2\) (1, 5, 10 wt%), Pt-TiO\(_2\)-CeO\(_2\) (1, 5, 10 wt%) synthesis by sol-gel.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Specific Area by the BET Method

In order to investigate the effect that was created in the surface of the support with the addition of CeO\(_2\) in different concentrations, several material characterization techniques were made. Starting with the specific area determined by the BET method, as well as the average pore diameter of the TiO\(_2\) and TiO\(_2\)-CeO\(_2\) supports, we can observe that the effect of adding CeO\(_2\) to TiO\(_2\) increased the pore diameter and the specific area decreased. The impregnation method generated a higher diameter of pores and low specific surface area than the sol-gel method, probably because the sol-gel method had better dispersion of CeO\(_2\) in the TiO\(_2\). Similar effects have been reported by other authors [23]. Table 1 shows the results of the specific surface area, and the pore diameter of the catalyst support.

| Support          | Method     | Diameter Pore (Å) | Specific Surface Area (m\(^2\)/g) |
|------------------|------------|-------------------|-----------------------------------|
| TiO\(_2\)        | Sol-gel    | 52.54             | 185.59                            |
| TiO\(_2\)-CeO\(_2\) 1% | Sol-gel    | 53.08             | 181.46                            |
| TiO\(_2\)-CeO\(_2\) 10% | Sol-gel    | 77.51             | 104.36                            |
| TiO\(_2\)-CeO\(_2\) 1% | Impregnation | 295.06           | 43.56                             |
| TiO\(_2\)-CeO\(_2\) 10% | Impregnation | 304.05           | 43.61                             |

3.2. X-ray Diffraction (XRD)

An X-ray diffraction characterization test was performed to evaluate the content of the anatase and rutile phases in the supports for both methods. The crystalline phases of TiO\(_2\) and TiO\(_2\)-CeO\(_2\) can be seen in the diffraction patterns found in Figure 1. For the Pt-TiO\(_2\) catalyst and the mixed oxides TiO\(_2\)-CeO\(_2\) synthesized by sol-gel and impregnation, the presence of the peaks \(2\theta = 25.19, 37.60, 53.95, 54.36, 62.68, 75.04, \) and 82.7 are attributed to the anatase phase, corresponding to the plane (JCPDS no. 21-1272). Sol-gel presented the rutile phase whose peaks associated with the phase are \(2\theta = 70.16\) and impregnation in \(2\theta = 35.81, 41.04, \) and 70, according to JCPDS with reference number 23-0278. The anatase
phase is dominant in both methods due to the heat treatment to which the material was subjected. This is good since the anatase phase is a better photocatalyst than rutile because the exciton diffusion is twice as long [6]. In addition, in the sol-gel method it is possible to observe a decrease in the peaks of the anatase phase due to the increase of CeO2 in the network of the support. For CeO2 the peaks 2θ = 47.80, are associated with the cerenite phase that corresponds to a cubic packing of CeO2. With the impregnation method, CeO2 was observed at 2θ = 27.29, 47.80, and 56.54. In Pt/TiO2-CeO2 catalysts, the cerenite phase was observed very little, probably because it is very dispersed within the TiO2 structure, this can be observed in the shift to the right of the characteristic peaks of the TiO2 (JCPDS, no. 04-0802).

3.2. X-ray Diffraction (XRD)

An X-ray diffraction characterization test was performed to evaluate the content of the mixed oxides TiO2-CeO2, which were prepared by the sol-gel and impregnation method. In the diffraction patterns found in Figure 1. For the Pt/TiO2 supports and the mixed oxides TiO2-CeO2, which were prepared by the sol-gel and impregnation method, peaks corresponding to the anatase phase 398–400, 518–520, and 640 cm\(^{-1}\) are observed. Observing a slight Raman shift, which means that CeO2 has been integrated into the structure of the TiO2 support. It is assumed that the ≡ Ti-O-Ti ≡ bonds of the corresponding TiO2 network of the anatase phase are disturbed by the presence of cerium oxide, which suggests some substitutions of the Ti\(^{4+}\) by Ce\(^{4+}\) that form ≡ Ti-O- Ce ≡ bonds in the structure of titanium oxide.

3.3. Raman Spectroscopy

On the other hand, as seen in Figure 2, in the Raman spectra of the TiO2 supports and the mixed oxides TiO2-CeO2, which were prepared by the sol-gel and impregnation method, peaks corresponding to the anatase phase 398–400, 518–520, and 640 cm\(^{-1}\) are observed. Observing a slight Raman shift, which means that CeO2 has been integrated into the structure of the TiO2 support. This is good since the anatase phase is a better photocatalyst than rutile because the exciton diffusion is twice as long [6]. In addition, in the sol-gel method it is possible to observe a decrease in the peaks of the anatase phase due to the increase of CeO2 in the network of the support. For CeO2 the peaks 2θ = 47.80, are associated with the cerenite phase that corresponds to a cubic packing of CeO2. With the impregnation method, CeO2 was observed at 2θ = 27.29, 47.80, and 56.54. In Pt/TiO2-CeO2 catalysts, the cerenite phase was observed very little, probably because it is very dispersed within the TiO2 structure, this can be observed in the shift to the right of the characteristic peaks of the TiO2 (JCPDS, no. 04-0802).

![Figure 1. XRD of the catalysts Pt/TiO2 and Pt/TiO2-CeO2: (a) Sol-gel and (b) Impregnation.](image1)

![Figure 2. Raman spectrum for the support system TiO2, TiO2-CeO2 (1, 5, 10 wt%): (a) Sol-gel and (b) Impregnation.](image2)
3.4. Transmission Electron Microscope (TEM)

In the transmission electron microscope (TEM) information obtained is the particle size by analyzing the images in software capable of measuring the diameter of the particle on a nanometric scale. Their respective alpha images of each of the elements and how it is dispersed inside and outside the support is shown in Figure 3. The particle size dispersion histograms were obtained by analyzing the series of data obtained in the TEM images, as shown in Figure 3.

Figure 3. Transmission electron microscopy images of the Pt/TiO$_2$-CeO$_2$ 10%: (a) Sol-gel to 100 nm scale, (b) Sol-gel take α of the Ti, (c) Sol-Gel take α of the Ce, (d) Sol-Gel take α of the Pt, (e) Impregnation to 100 nm scale, (f) Impregnation take α of the Ti, (g) Impregnation take α of the Ce, and (h) Impregnation take α of the Pt.

Figure 4 shows how the particle size decreases, with the addition of CeO$_2$ to the network of the support, that can suggest an increment of the specific surface area of the support. The micrographs reveal that the sizes of the metallic particles for the photocatalysts ranged from 2 to 6 nm. The smallest Pt particle size was observed in Pt/TiO$_2$ CeO$_2$ 10 wt%.

3.5. X-ray Photoelectron Spectrometry (XPS)

The binding energy and the atomic ratios of Pt, Ti and Ce, for the Pt/TiO$_2$-CeO$_2$ catalysts (5 and 10 wt%) prepared by impregnation and sol-gel are reported in Table 2. The relative abundance of the Pt$^{0}$–Pt$^{2+}$ and Ce$^{3+}$ Ce$^{4+}$ species were calculated from the area under the curve of the respective peaks of the XPS spectra for the different catalysts (Figure 5). In Table 2, the corresponding binding energies for Pt 4f$_{7/2}$ are shown; the values of the binding energies for the Pt/TiO$_2$ and Pt/TiO$_2$-CeO$_2$ catalysts are around 73.0 to 75.9 eV corresponding to Pt$^{0}$ and Pt$^{2+}$. A shift in the binding energy towards higher energies can be observed with an increase the amount of cerium oxide in the Pt/TiO$_2$-CeO$_2$ catalysts (5% by weight and 10%). This is due mainly to the fact that CeO$_2$ is considered as an oxygen supplier which makes the platinum species in the reduced state Pt$^{0}$ transform to the oxidized species of Pt$^{2+}$ [24–26].
Figure 3. Transmission electron microscopy images of the Pt/TiO$_2$-CeO$_2$ 10%: (a) Sol-gel to 100 nm scale, (b) Sol-gel take $\alpha$ of the Ti, (c) Sol-Gel take $\alpha$ of the Ce, (d) Sol-Gel take $\alpha$ of the Pt, (e) Impregnation to 100 nm scale, (f) Impregnation take $\alpha$ of the Ti, (g) Impregnation take $\alpha$ of the Ce, and (h) Impregnation take $\alpha$ of the Pt.

Figure 4. Size particle distribution of the Pt determinates by TEM for the photocatalyst Pt/TiO$_2$-CeO$_2$ 10 wt% (a) Sol-gel and (b) Impregnation.

Figure 5. XPS spectra (Ce 3d region) for photocatalyst (a) Sol-gel Pt/TiO$_2$-CeO$_2$ 5%, (b) Sol-gel Pt/TiO$_2$-CeO$_2$ 10%, (c) Impregnation Pt/TiO$_2$-CeO$_2$ 5%, and (d) Impregnation Pt/TiO$_2$-CeO$_2$ 10%.
Table 2. Binding energy and relative abundance of the different species obtained by XPS of the catalysts.

| Support          | Method       | Pt (4f\textsubscript{7/2}) | Ti (2p\textsubscript{3/2}) | Ce (3d\textsubscript{5/2}) | Pt\textsuperscript{0}−Pt\textsuperscript{2+} Ti\textsuperscript{4+} Ce\textsuperscript{3+} Ce\textsuperscript{4+} | Relative Abundance (%) |
|------------------|--------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
| Pt/TiO\textsubscript{2} | Sol-gel     | 73.0                        | 75.9                        | 458.1                      | -                                                               | 80–20                  |
| Pt/TiO\textsubscript{2}-CeO\textsubscript{2} 5% | Sol-gel     | 77.09–78.09                 | 458                        | 880–900                   | 53–47                                                           | 100                    |
| Pt/TiO\textsubscript{2}-CeO\textsubscript{2} 10% | Sol-gel     | 77.09–78.09                 | 458.1                      | 881–900.1                 | 47–53                                                           | 100                    |
| Pt/TiO\textsubscript{2}-CeO\textsubscript{2} 5% | Impregnation | -                           | 457.7                      | 880–900                   | -                                                               | 100                    |
| Pt/TiO\textsubscript{2}-CeO\textsubscript{2} 10% | Impregnation | -                           | 465                        | 880–900                   | -                                                               | 100                    |

Table 2 reports the binding energies for TiO\textsubscript{2}, which can have values ranging between 457.7–458.1 eV [27], as shown in Figure 6, which indicates that there was no modification due to the doping effect with the CeO\textsubscript{2} content, nor with the preparation method of the Pt supports. The binding energy was also determined for the Ce 3d\textsubscript{5/2} level (Table 2 and Figure 6); it was found in the region of 870–920 eV [28]. Relative abundance calculated from these XPS spectra showed that Ce\textsuperscript{4+} (oxidized) species increased with increasing CeO\textsubscript{2} content relative to Ce\textsuperscript{3+} (reduced). Coinciding with Rocha et al. (2015), it is possible to observe that at a lower concentration of CeO\textsubscript{2}, a greater number of atoms in the Ce\textsuperscript{3+} oxidation state will be obtained.

Figure 6. UV–vis spectra for the TiO\textsubscript{2}-CeO\textsubscript{2} supports Sol-Gel and Impregnation.

3.6. UV–Vis for Band Gap

The photophysical properties UV–Vis absorption spectra of the catalysts were evaluated to investigate the effect of CeO\textsubscript{2} on the support network. Figure 6 shows the spectra of UV–Vis materials by diffuse reflectance for sol-gel and impregnation methods. All samples have a shift between these wavelengths, which can be attributed to the transitions of the Ti-O electrons of the TiO\textsubscript{2} and TiO\textsubscript{2}-CeO\textsubscript{2} nanocrystals.

Table 3 shows the results where a change in activation energy (3.02–2.8 eV) was observed for the TiO\textsubscript{2}-CeO\textsubscript{2} samples from 1% to 5% by weight of CeO\textsubscript{2}, compared to the reference TiO\textsubscript{2} in anatase phase (3.4 eV). The band gap energies were calculated by a linear fit of the slope to the abscissa and are reported in Table 3. It diminished from 3.45 eV, for the bare TiO\textsubscript{2}, to 2.82 eV, for the TiO\textsubscript{2}-CeO\textsubscript{2} (at 5 wt%) sample. It is evident that cerium oxide modifies the bulk semiconductor properties of TiO\textsubscript{2}. The shift of the Eg band gap
to a lower energy can be attributed to the incorporation of Ce$^{4+}$ cations, which substitute some Ti$^{4+}$ cations.

Table 3. Band Gap Energy and Wavelengths.

| Catalyst ID Name     | Band Gap (eV) | Wavelengths (nm) |
|----------------------|--------------|------------------|
| TiO$_2$              | 3.45         | 359              |
| Pt/TiO$_2$           | 3.39         | 365              |
| Pt/TiO$_2$-CeO$_2$-1%| 3.05         | 406              |
| Pt/TiO$_2$-CeO$_2$-5%| 2.82         | 439              |

3.7. Photocatalysts Degradation of 2,4-Dichlorophenoxyacetic Acid

The photocatalytic degradation reactions of 2,4-D acid were carried out at room temperature at 298 K for 6 h, with a concentration of 200 ppm of the reagent, followed by the UV absorption band of 283 that corresponds mainly to the transition electron n → π$, which is mainly attributed to the C-Cl bond $[29,30]$. The percentage conversion as a function of time for both supports and catalysts impregnated and prepared by the sol-gel method at 360 min of reaction are shown in Figure 7 and Table 4.

![Figure 7](image.png)

(a) Photocatalysts degradation of 2,4-Dichlorophenoxyacetic acid. (a) Impregnation and (b) Sol-Gel materials.

Table 4. Photocatalysts degradation of 2,4 Dichlorophenoxyacetic acid.

| Catalysts            | Method  | Pt (wt%) | X%  | $C_f$ (ppm) |
|----------------------|---------|----------|-----|-------------|
| TiO$_2$              | Commercial | -       | 38  | 160         |
| TiO$_2$-CeO$_2$ 5%   | Impregnation | -      | 49  | 128         |
| TiO$_2$-CeO$_2$ 10%  | Impregnation | -     | 45  | 138         |
| Pt-TiO$_2$-CeO$_2$ 5%| Impregnation | 1     | 62  | 95          |
| Pt-TiO$_2$-CeO$_2$ 10% | Impregnation   | 1     | 56  | 110         |
| TiO$_2$              | Sol-Gel   | -       | 38  | 155         |
| TiO$_2$-CeO$_2$ 1%   | Sol-Gel   | -       | 61  | 98          |
| TiO$_2$-CeO$_2$ 5%   | Sol-Gel   | -       | 61  | 66          |
| TiO$_2$-CeO$_2$ 10%  | Sol-Gel   | -       | 45  | 138         |
| Pt-TiO$_2$-CeO$_2$ 1%| Sol-Gel   | 1       | 95  | 11          |
| Pt-TiO$_2$-CeO$_2$ 5%| Sol-Gel   | 1       | 97  | 7           |
| Pt-TiO$_2$-CeO$_2$ 10%| Sol-Gel    | 1     | 89  | 27          |
| Photolysis           |          | -       | 32  | 169         |
The photocatalytic degradation of 2,4-D in the absence of support or catalyst had a conversion of 32% while the maximum conversion reached was 95% and 97% for the Pt/TiO₂-CeO₂ 1% and Pt/TiO₂-CeO₂ catalysts 5% prepared by the sol-gel method (Figure 7B), and the catalysts prepared by the impregnation method reached a maximum of 62% conversion. On the other hand, the supports prepared by impregnation reached a maximum of 49% TiO₂ while those prepared by the sol-gel method reached up to 61% (Figure 7A). The highest yield achieved in the catalysts prepared by sol-gel Pt/TiO₂-CeO₂ 1% and Pt/TiO₂-CeO₂ 5% could be attributed to an optimal concentration of CeO₂, which allows the insertion within the CeO₂ of the TiO₂ and leads to the deformation of the lattice, modifying the mobility of the oxygen atoms and favoring the oxidation-reduction process [26]. In contrast, the results of XPS in the Pt/TiO₂-CeO₂ 1% and Pt/TiO₂-CeO₂ 5% catalysts showed that the proportion of oxidized species of Pt²⁺ and Ce⁴⁺ are essential to function as oxygen scavengers, which are important in oxidation-reduction processes. Additionally, the smallest particle size in the catalysts prepared with the supports by sol-gel was in a range of 2 to 6 nm. This is due to a greater specific area due to a good integration of CeO₂, which favors a better dispersion of the metallic nanoparticles on the surface of the supports, favoring the catalytic activity in the degradation of 2,4-D.

4. Conclusions

In the present work, the TiO₂ and TiO₂-CeO₂ supports, prepared by the sol-gel method and increasing the CeO₂ concentration in a 1–10 ratio in the TiO₂ support network, significantly increased the pore diameter, affecting the specific surface area for the catalyst. On the other hand, in the supports prepared by impregnation, no important modification was observed, either in the area or in the pore diameter due to the addition of CeO₂, since these remained constant. However, when comparing the results of both materials we can conclude that sol-gel supports can obtain pore diameters four times smaller than those obtained with impregnation. By having less exposed area, the Pt catalyst particles will be larger because they tend to agglomerate, as they do not have enough space to disperse efficiently. Affecting the catalytic activity of the material, the Pt particles, being well dispersed, favored the catalytic activity of the material. Another important fact is that it was possible to obtain Pt nanoparticles on the sol-gel supports in the order of 2 and 6 nm, dependent of the CeO₂ content in the support. A cerium oxide shift in the energy band gap was observed in the Pt/TiO₂-CeO₂ photocatalysts. It is proposed that the high activity showed by the Pt/TiO₂-CeO₂ photo-catalysts can be due to a synergetic effect between the cerium oxide and the platinum of oxidizing agent.
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