Background: Adolescent women are a special age group affected by human papilloma virus (HPV). Most guidelines recommend surgical treatment for high-grade cytological lesions. However, some reports have attempted to demonstrate that the immune system is fully capable of clearing the virus without using conization. Our aim in this study was to describe the outcome of women <25 years old with high-grade cytology pap smears and no histologically confirmed cervical intraepithelial neoplasm [CIN] III.

Methods: This prospective cohort study, carried out at the Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Hospital 12 de Octubre, included 29 women aged 25 years or younger with high-grade cytological lesions recruited in screening programs and were followed up at 15 months. This study describes the clinical course of the women, and we determined the percentage of cytological, histological, and microbiological lesions produced by HPV that were cleared without surgical treatment in these women during their follow-up.

Results: During follow-up, 63% of high-grade cytological lesions and all high-grade histological lesions were cleared. HPV was eliminated from 23% of patients with one HPV serotype and 27% with multiple HPV serotypes without any treatment.

Conclusion: These results suggest that there is no need to use surgical treatment to clear high-grade cytological and cervical lesions in adolescent women, thus preventing damage to their reproductive future.
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Introduction

Infection with human papilloma virus (HPV) is considered the most common sexually transmitted disease. Adolescents are particularly at risk of infection because of the special characteristics of their cervical epithelium, which facilitates the infection, and their sexual practices that may leave up to 70% of them infected with HPV. A recent meta-analysis of HPV throughout the world showed that the prevalence peaks at 23% in women younger than 21 years.¹

Especially in this age group, most of these infections are transient, lasting only a few months. Natural history studies show that both HPV and low-grade squamous intraepithelial lesions (LSILs) are primarily transient in adolescents and young women, with more than 90% clearing the infection and 90% showing regression to normal within 3 years.²,³ Therefore, the recommended approach to cytological abnormalities in this group of women is observation without treatment to avoid over-diagnosis and over-treatment.⁴
A problem arises when a high-grade lesion is found. In adult women, the standard treatment for these lesions is surgery by conization, but this technique is an invasive procedure that can have adverse reproductive outcomes. A recent meta-analysis of cervical excisional procedures showed an increased risk of preterm delivery, low birth weight, and premature rupture of membranes.5

Through recent knowledge about the natural history of HPV infection, a change in the management of high-grade lesions in young women in favor of conservative treatment is being seen, which has already been endorsed by the American Association of Cervical Pathology following the publication of its latest clinical guidelines in 2006.6

The aim of this study was to observe the clinical course of high-grade cervical lesions in women aged 25 years or younger and confirm the efficacy of conservative management in preventing progression to invasive carcinoma. The main objective of the project is to provide an alternative follow-up for adolescents and young women with cytological results showing high-grade lesions, which are caused by transient HPV infections in a high percentage of cases, to avoid excisional surgical treatments that can seriously compromise their future reproductive potential.

Materials and methods
This was a prospective observational study performed in the Hospital 12 de Octubre in Madrid from February 2009 to May 2010. This research proposal was approved by the ethics committee of our hospital, and informed consent designed for this study was obtained at the beginning of the study. We included all patients aged 25 years or younger who had a diagnosis in the cervical cytology of high-grade squamous intraepithelial lesion (HSIL) at the screening program. A total of 29 patients were included. The follow-up was 15 months.

These patients presented at the Cervical Pathology Unit of the Hospital 12 de Octubre where they received a colposcopy, cervical biopsy, and endocervical microcurettage, and the samples were tested for HPV-DNA by polymerase chain reaction (PCR).

Procedure
After studying the pathological and biological lesions, patients were informed of their outcome. We considered whether there was an infection by a high risk HPV and whether the patient was infected with one or several HPV serotypes. Informed consent was required before the procedure. The study was managed as follows:

1. If the histological diagnosis of the lesion was low-grade or less, cytology and colposcopy with endocervical microcurettage were done every 6 months for 2 years if colposcopy was satisfactory and microcurettage was negative.
2. If the histological diagnosis of the lesion was high-grade (cervical intraepithelial neoplasm [CIN] II), cytology and colposcopy with endocervical microcurettage was conducted at 3 and 6 months, then every 6 months for 24 months if the colposcopy was satisfactory and negative microcurettage.
3. If the diagnosis was histologically high-grade lesion type CIN III, excisional treatment was carried out (conization).
4. In all cases, regardless of histological results, an HPV test was performed every 12 months. The patient was treated according to the screening protocol if HPV-DNA negative or at low risk.

Method of analysis
We collected data on variables and included them in an Excel database. Statistical analysis was performed with SPSS, version 15.0.

We used the chi-square test to assess the significance of the association between two categorical variables. Variables were calculated with the Fisher Student’s t-test. Calculation of the sensitivity, specificity, and positive predictive value of cytology association – HPV test (PCR) in relation to histological findings. Statistical significance was considered as $P<0.05$.

Results
Regarding risk factors
The study population consisted of 40.7% smokers and 59.3% nonsmokers. The mean cigarettes per day was 9 (range: 1
to 25). The average duration of smoking was 76 months (standard deviation [SD], 51.2 months; range: 12 to 192 months).

Oral contraceptives were taken by 59.3% at some time, whereas 40.7% had not. Of those who received oral contraceptives, 50% still received this factor, with an average exposure time of 39 months (SD, 32.2; range: 3 to 132 months). Of those who did not currently use hormonal contraception, 50% had a mean hormone-free period of 28 months after the last dose (range: 3 to 48 months).

A stable partner at the present time was reported by 70.4%, and 29.6% reported no stable partner at the present time. The average age of first sexual relations was 17 years (SD, 2.4 years; range: 12 to 22 years). The average number of partners in the past 3 years was 2.5 (SD, 2.5; range: 1 to 10).

Only one patient had microbiological tests positive for sexually transmitted disease. No patient had any type of immunosuppression.

Correlations between cytohistological and microbiological–histological testing
All patients in the study had high-grade cytological lesions. However, upon biopsy, only 52% had high-grade lesions (Figure 1). Although not reaching statistical significance, the correlation between the microbiology and the histology was similar ($P=0.5$) (Figure 2), and we noted that there was a higher percentage of multiple HPV serotypes in high-grade histological lesions ($P=0.09$) (Figure 3).

Monitoring the natural history
We studied the evolution of the cytological (Figure 4; Table 1), histological (Figure 5; Table 2), and microbiological (Table 3) characteristics of the lesions during the follow-up, noting that most of the lesions disappeared without any medical or surgical treatment.

Monitoring the behavior of CIN II
Studying the behavior of type CIN II histological lesions, we noted that all of these lesions disappeared at 9 months of follow-up without using conization in young girls (Figure 6).

Discussion
HPV infection is a necessary but not sufficient condition for the development of cervical cancer. However, knowledge of the natural history of the infection shows us that in almost 90% of cases, the infection is transient, and only 10% of infections persist.

Adolescent girls and young women are at particular risk of infection. Certainly, the reproducibility of HSIL is
Figure 4 Cytological monitoring: different cytological results during the follow-up.

Abbreviations: ASCUS, atypical squamous cells of undetermined significance; ASCUS H, atypical squamous cells of undetermined significance high; HSIL, high-grade squamous intraepithelial lesion; LSIL, low-grade squamous intraepithelial lesion.

Table 1 Cytological monitoring: different cytological results during the follow-up

|               | Basal | At 3 months | At 6 months | At 9 months |
|---------------|-------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| ASCUS H       | 3.6%  | –           | –           | –           |
| ASCUS         | 10.7% | 12.3%       | 4.3%        | 4.5%        |
| LSIL          | 14.3% | 16%         | 4.3%        | –           |
| HSIL          | 67.9% | 20%         | 4.3%        | 4.5%        |
| Negative      | 3.6%  | 36%         | 39%         | 22.7%       |
| Absent        | –     | 8%          | 8%          | 4.5%        |

Abbreviations: ASCUS, atypical squamous cells of undetermined significance; ASCUS H, atypical squamous cells of undetermined significance high; HSIL, high-grade squamous intraepithelial lesion; LSIL, low-grade squamous intraepithelial lesion.

Figure 5 Histological monitoring: histological results during the follow-up.

Abbreviation: CIN, cervical intraepithelial neoplasm.
less than desirable.³ Of adolescents referred for colposcopy because of HSIL, only 50% have confirmed CIN II or III, suggesting that many HSIL cases are “overcalls.”⁷ Up to 70% of these patients contracted the HPV virus at some point. Moscicki et al postulated the high prevalence of HPV in this age group as well as the ability to achieve spontaneous regression of the lesions.²³

In 2007, Moore et al⁸ presented a prospective observational cohort study that included 501 patients. Thirty-five percent had CIN II or higher, and 29% of patients with CIN II opted for watchful waiting; 18 months later, 65% showed regression.

There is scientific evidence that excisional treatment of high-grade lesions is closely related to the appearance of adverse reproductive events. In 2000, Sadler et al⁹ compared cases of preterm delivery and its subtypes among a group of 426 women who opted for watchful waiting for colposcopic lesions compared with 652 who underwent conization, resulting in an increase in premature rupture of membranes before 37 weeks in the second group (relative risk: 2.7, 95% confidence interval: 1.3–5.6).

Spanish protocols advise excisional treatment for all dysplasia of CIN II and higher. However, the American consensus in 2006 for the treatment of women with CIN suggests a follow-up colposcopy and cytology every 6 months, indicating that colposcopy is an alternative to conization in adolescent patients with histologically-confirmed CIN II or III. The same guide specifies that excisional treatment for CIN III is preferred. The reason is that HPV detected in adolescents with or without abnormal cytology at any one time point is likely to reflect a transient infection.¹⁰ Decreasing the number of conizations decreases the risk of preterm delivery, low birth weight, and perinatal mortality. Taking these facts into consideration, the option for conservative management of high-grade lesions in adolescents and young women is an alternative to surgery, but this is not yet included in many published clinical guidelines for the management of cervical dysplasia.

Our results suggest, as indicated by other authors, that conservative management of adolescent girls with high-grade cytological results is a good therapeutic option based on the latest knowledge about the natural history of HPV infection.

| Table 2 | Histological monitoring: histological results during the follow-up |
|---------|--------------------------------------------------|
|         | %                                               |
|         | Basal At 3 months At 6 months At 9 months       |
| CIN I   | 6.9% – –                                        |
| CIN I,II| 3.4% – –                                        |
| CIN II  | 41.4% 13% 4.5% –                              |
| CIN II,III| 6.9% – –                                  |
| CIN III | – 8.7% 4.5% –                                  |
| Negative| 24.1% 26.1% 9.1% 4.8%                        |

Abbreviation: CIN, cervical intraepithelial neoplasm.

| Table 3 | Microbiological monitoring: percentage of low and high oncogenic risk HPV during the follow-up period |
|---------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
|         | Basal At 3 months At 6 months At 9 months                                                       |
| High-risk serotype |                                                                                               |
| One       | 34.5% 36% 11.5% 11.5%                                                                          |
| Various   | 31% 20% 7.7% 3.8%                                                                            |
| Without HR| 17.2% 16% 15.4% 7.7%                                                                          |
| Not classified | 17.2% – 11.5% 3.8%                             |
| Not determined | – 20% 53.8% 73.1%                         |
| Low-risk serotype |                                                                                               |
| One       | 10.3% 26% 7.7% 3.8%                                                                            |
| Various   | 6.9% 12% – –                                                                                 |
| Without LR| 82.8% 48% 42.3% 23.1%                                                                        |
| Not classified | – – – –                                      |
| Not determined | – – 50% 73.1%                           |

Abbreviations: HPV, human papilloma virus; HR, high risk; LR, low risk.
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