Aggregation Gatekeeper and Controlled Assembly of Trpzip β-Hairpins
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ABSTRACT: Protein and peptide aggregation is an important issue both in vivo and in vitro. Herein, we examine the aggregation behaviors of two well-studied β-hairpins, Trpzip1 and Trpzip2. Previous studies suggested that Trpzip2 remains monomeric up to a concentration of ∼15 mM whereas Trpzip1 readily aggregates at micromolar concentrations at acidic or neutral pH. This disparity is puzzling considering that these two peptides differ only in their turn sequences (i.e., GN vs NG). We hypothesize that these peptides can aggregate from their folded states via native edge-to-edge interactions and that the Lys8 residue in Trpzip2 is a more effective aggregation gatekeeper, because of a more favorable orientation. In support of this hypothesis, we find that increasing the pH to 13 or replacing Lys8 with a hydrophobic and photolabile Lys analogue, Lys(nvoc), leads to a significant increase in the aggregation propensity of Trpzip2, and that the aggregation of this Trpzip2 mutant can be reversed upon restoring the native Lys side chain via photocleavage of the nvoc moiety. In addition, we find that while both Trpzip1 and Trpzip2 form parallel β-sheet aggregates, the Lys(nvoc) Trpzip2 mutant forms antiparallel β-sheets and more stable fibrils. Taken together, these findings provide another example showing how sensitive peptide and protein aggregation is to minor sequence variation and that it is possible to use a photolabile non-natural amino acid, such as Lys(nvoc), to tune the rate of peptide aggregation and to control fibrillar structure.

P protein and peptide aggregation and amyloid formation are commonly associated with various pathological disorders and, thus, have been the subject of many studies. In addition, aggregation poses a major obstacle in de novo protein design and also in mechanistic studies of protein folding where relatively high protein or peptide concentrations are required. While it is easily recognized that many factors can come into play in determining the aggregation propensity of a given protein or peptide system, in practice the most commonly used strategy in protein design is to incorporate a certain number of charged residues to prevent or alleviate aggregation. For example, Marqusee and Baldwin have shown that the solubility of alanine-based α-helical peptides in aqueous solution can be significantly increased by dispersing either lysine or glutamate residues in the peptide sequence of interest. For β-sheet systems, however, the situation can be much more complicated, as the edge strands are often poised for further intermolecular strand–strand association and as a result, only a small difference in peptide sequence could lead to a significant difference in aggregation propensity. One distinctive example, which is also the focus of this study, is that two designed, closely related β-hairpins, Trpzip1 and Trpzip2, show very different aggregation behaviors. Previous studies indicated that Trpzip2 remains completely monomeric in the concentration range of 5–12 mM at acidic pH, whereas Trpzip1 has previously shown measurable aggregation at concentrations of >500 μM. For this reason, Trpzip2 has been extensively used as a model to study the mechanism of β-hairpin folding, whereas the stronger aggregation propensity of Trpzip1 has made it a less attractive system. As shown (Table 1), these two β-hairpins differ only in the order of the two amino acids in the turn region (i.e., NG vs GN). Considering the fact that both peptides adopt a stable β-hairpin

Table 1. Sequences and Thermal Melting Temperatures (Tm) of the Peptides Studied Herein

| Peptide     | Sequence     | Tm (°C)  |
|-------------|--------------|----------|
| Trpzip1     | SWTENKHWTWK  | 49.8 ± 0.3† |
| Trpzip2     | SWTENKHWTWK  | 71.9 ± 0.1‡ |
| Trpzip2-K   | SWTEN(K*)WTWK| 56.8 ± 0.5‡ |
| Trpzip2-W4A | SWTENK(W4)WK | 23.7 ± 2.1‡ |
| Trpzip2-KK  | SWTEN(K*)WTWK| –        |

*K* represents lysine-4,5-dimethoxy-2-nitrobenzoyloxycarbonyl, and K* represents the acylated lysine (Scheme 1). †From ref 23. ‡Figure S1 of the Supporting Information.
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conformation in solution at room temperature and their sequences are almost identical, this difference is surprising.

As shown (Figure 1), a comparison of the averaged nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) structures\(^{23}\) of Trpzip1 and Trpzip2 indicates that the major structural variation between these two \(\beta\)-hairpins is in the relative orientation of the Lys8 side chain. Specifically, in Trpzip1, the side chain of Lys8 is oriented orthogonal to the \(\beta\)-hairpin axis so that it points straight outward, and away from the Trp hydrophobic cluster, whereas in Trpzip2, the same side chain points in an upright parallel direction with respect to the \(\beta\)-hairpin axis. It is well-known that a solvent-exposed Lys side chain is relatively flexible and can fluctuate among several rotamer conformations. Therefore, to obtain a more quantitative assessment of the difference in the Lys8 orientations of these two peptides, we measured the dihedral angles of Lys8 in an ensemble of 20 NMR structures obtained from the Protein Data Bank (PDB)\(^{23}\) using built-in functions in Visual Molecular Dynamics (VMD).\(^{39}\) The results indicate that the greatest angle disparity arises from the difference in \(\chi_2\), which describes the angle between the \(C_{\beta}–C_q\) and \(C_{\beta}–C_\delta\) planes. For Trpzip1, the Lys8 side chain always adopts a \textit{trans} configuration along \(\chi_2\) (171 ± 11°). However, for Trpzip2, \(\chi_2\) fluctuates between a \textit{trans} and \textit{gauche}(+) configuration. When the averaged structure of all frames of Trpzip2 was evaluated, \(\chi_2\) of Lys8 is \(\sim 70 ± 10°\), indicative of a \textit{gauche}(+) rotamer along the \(C_{\beta}–C_\delta\) bond.\(^{40,41}\) Thus, we hypothesize that the difference in the aggregation propensities of Trpzip1 and Trpzip2 can be explained by this variation in \(\chi_2\). In other words, Lys8 in Trpzip2 is an effective aggregation gatekeeper,\(^{37–47}\) which prevents edge-to-edge \(\beta\)-hairpin association through unfavorable electrostatic interactions between neighboring Lys8 contacts. To this end, we further point out that our hypothesis is based on the assumption that the \(\beta\)-hairpin unit in the peptide aggregates possesses a native or native-like turn structure, which, in conjunction with the confinement effect induced by peptide association, would place Lys8 in a specific configuration that could disfavor aggregation.

To test this hypothesis, we examined the aggregation kinetics of both peptides under different concentration and pH conditions. In addition, for Trpzip2, we also used a chemical approach to eliminate the positive charge of Lys8 by replacing it with Lys(4,5-dimethoxy-2-nitrobenzyloxycarbonyl).\(^{43,44}\) The latter is a lysine analogue [hereafter termed Lys(nvoc)] with a photolabile hydrophobic moiety and has been used to control the disassembly of peptide aggregates and hydrogels via illumination.\(^{44,45}\) Should Lys8 indeed serve as an aggregation gatekeeper of Trpzip2, we expect that this Trpzip2 mutant (hereafter termed Trpzip2-K) will exhibit a significantly stronger aggregation propensity.

### MATERIALS AND METHODS

**Materials and Sample Preparation.** D\(_2\)O (D, 99.96%) and deuterium chloride (D, 99.5%) were purchased from Cambridge Isotope Laboratories (Andover, MA). Fmoc-Lys(4,5-dimethoxy-2-nitrobenzyloxycarbonyl)-OH [Fmoc-Lys-(nvoc)-OH] was purchased from AnaSpec, Inc. (Fremont, CA) and used without further purification. Fmoc-protected amino acids were purchased from Advanced Chem Tech (Louisville, KY). All peptides were synthesized on a PS3 peptide synthesizer (Protein Technologies, Woburn, MA) and purified by reverse-phase high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC). The identity of each peptide was further verified by matrix-assisted laser desorption ionization (MALDI) mass spectrometry. Residual trifluoroacetic acid (TFA) from peptide synthesis was removed by multiple rounds of lyophilization against a 0.1 M DCl solution. All peptide samples were prepared by directly dissolving the lyophilized peptide solid in D\(_2\)O, and the pH of the peptide samples was approximately 3, unless explicitly indicated separately. The peptide concentration was determined optically using the absorbance at 280 nm, with an ε\(_{280}\) of 22760 cm\(^{-1}\) M\(^{-1}\).

**Acylation of Lys12.** Lyophilized peptide was first dissolved in 100 mM phosphate buffer (pH 7.4) to a final peptide concentration of 250 μM. This peptide solution was then mixed with a 30 mM N-acryloyxycinnimide (Sigma-Aldrich) solution prepared in 100 mM phosphate buffer (pH 7.4) containing 10% dimethyl sulfoxide with a final N-acryloyxycinnimide:peptide concentration ratio of 8:1. The reaction mixture was stirred for 9 h at 4 °C. The resulting peptide product was purified by HPLC and verified by MALDI mass spectrometry.

**Circular Dichroism (CD) and Fourier Transform Infrared (FTIR) Measurements.** CD data were collected on an Aviv 62A DS spectrometer (Aviv Associates) using a 1 mm sample cuvette. FTIR spectra were collected on a Magna-IR 860 spectrometer ( Nicolet ) at 2 cm\(^{-1}\) resolution using a temperature-regulated, 52 μm CaF\(_2\) sample cell.\(^{46}\)

**Photocleavage Experiments.** Irradiation of samples was conducted by placing the sample in the optical path of a FluoroLog fluorometer (HORIBA Jobin Yvon), at room temperature. The excitation wavelength was set to 355 nm with a slit width of 0.75 cm. The excitation intensity is approximately 8.8 mW cm\(^{-2}\), estimated on the basis of the measured power of the excitation light and the beam diameter. The nvoc moiety has an extinction coefficient\(^{44} \varepsilon_{330}\) of 5485 M\(^{-1}\) cm\(^{-1}\) and a photochemical yield (Φ\(_{365}\)) of 0.023.\(^{47–49}\)

**Atomic Force Microscopy (AFM) Measurements.** AFM experiments were performed in air at room temperature, using a multimode atomic force microscope (model 5500, Agilent, Santa Clara, CA), equipped with a 90 μm closed loop piezoscanner. Five microliters of a sample solution was applied to a freshly cleaved mica surface and allowed to sit for ∼10 s, rinsed with 100 μL of Millipore water, and subsequently dried.
with a slow stream of \( \text{N}_2 \) gas. Tapping-mode imaging was conducted with a silicon cantilever, where the tip radius was <10 \( \mu \text{m} \) and the force constant was 40 N/m (Ted Pella, Redding, CA). Height and deflection images were obtained with a scan rate of 1.6 Hz and a tapping frequency of 285 kHz. Multiple images were obtained for each sample at different locations on the mica substrate to confirm the presence of fibrils.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Aggregation Kinetics of Trpzip1 and Trpzip2. The aggregation kinetics of Trpzip1 and Trpzip2 were examined using FTIR and CD spectroscopy. In particular, the amide I’ band (amide I band in \( \text{D}_2\text{O} \)) of the peptide was used as an IR probe of the aggregation process, as this band has proven to be sensitive to intermolecular \( \beta \)-sheet association. For example, the development of a narrow amide I’ band at approximately 1615 cm\(^{-1} \) is indicative of peptide aggregation to form parallel \( \beta \)-sheets,\(^{50} \) whereas the appearance of a pair of narrow bands, i.e., a strong one at \( \sim 1618 \text{ cm}^{-1} \) and a weak one at \( \sim 1685 \text{ cm}^{-1} \), signifies the formation of antiparallel \( \beta \)-sheets.\(^{51,52} \)

As shown (Figure 2), the amide I’ bands of Trpzip1 obtained at different concentrations indicate that its aggregation rate is concentration-dependent, as expected. For example, at \( \sim 2 \text{ mM} \) and 25 °C, the peptide sample becomes almost completely aggregated after 24 h, as judged by the full development of the 1616 cm\(^{-1} \) band, whereas at \( \sim 350 \mu \text{M} \), aggregate formation becomes detectable after just 1 day. In comparison, even at a much higher concentration (i.e., \( \sim 10 \text{ mM} \)), Trpzip2 does not show any signs of aggregation under the same conditions (Figure 2, inset). As shown (Figure 3), further time-dependent measurements indicate that the aggregation kinetics of Trpzip1 at a concentration of 1.2 mM, determined by the growth of the 1616 cm\(^{-1} \) band, follow a biexponential function; the magnitude of the signal increases quickly within the first 10 h and then slowly reaches a plateau over \( \sim 150 \text{ h} \). Repeating this measurement at a higher peptide concentration, which results in a faster overall aggregation rate, reproduces this biphasic kinetic pattern (Figure S3, Supporting Information). Similar biphasic growth kinetics have been observed in other peptide aggregation studies,\(^{53–55} \) which were attributed to a separation in the time scales of the fibril nucleation and elongation processes.

Aggregation Mechanism and Gatekeeper. In principle, the initial aggregation step can occur through interactions between two folded \( \beta \)-hairpins or two unfolded peptides. However, a simple calculation, based on the thermal stabilities of Trpzip1 and Trpzip2,\(^{23} \) indicates that at 25 °C the unfolded concentrations of Trpzip1 (at a total concentration of 350 \( \mu \text{M} \)) and Trpzip2 (at a total concentration of 10 mM) are 89 and 900 \( \mu \text{M} \), respectively. Thus, these results strongly suggest that the aggregation of these \( \beta \)-hairpins is not initiated by association of two unfolded peptides; instead, it is triggered by dimerization of two folded \( \beta \)-hairpins. This notion is consistent with that put forward by Richardson and Richardson,\(^{5} \) who showed that naturally occurring \( \beta \)-sheets can cause aggregation via edge-to-edge \( \beta \)-sheet interactions and that an effective strategy used by nature to defend against this is to place a charged side chain (or gatekeeper residue) on the hydrophobic face of an edge \( \beta \)-strand to mask the aggregation-prone regions with a solvent favorable interaction. In the current case, we hypothesize that Lys8 in both peptides acts as an aggregation gatekeeper residue; however, it is more effective to prevent Trpzip2 from aggregating. Because Lys8 in Trpzip1 and Trpzip2 appears on the opposite face of the hydrophobic core (i.e., the four Trp residues), we note that the aggregation gatekeeper role of this charged residue is somewhat different from that discussed by Richardson and Richardson, but the overall idea remains the same: a charge is used to disfavor the process of intermolecular association. As proposed in Figure 1, in the early stages of the aggregation process, should two Trpzip2 \( \beta \)-hairpins stack to form a dimer in a parallel fashion, the positively charged Lys8 side chains can lead to a more unfavorable intermolecular electrostatic interaction, because of their upward-pointing rotamer geometry and thus greater proximity. As a result, the aggregation propensity of Trpzip2 is
The growth of the aggregate nucleus into long 227 nm observed for the folded Trpzip1,62,63 indicating that the CD spectrum of the aggregated Trpzip1 lacks the distinctive positive band at 1616 cm−1, subsequently substantiated by the pair of bands centered at 1616 and 1685 cm−1 (Figure 3). This observation is consistent with an aggregation mechanism that requires flattening of the native β-hairpin structure upon incorporation of the peptide into a tightly packed and well-organized fibrillar matrix. The CD spectra shown in Figure S4 of the Supporting Information further substantiates our initial hypothesis. Previously, the CD spectrum of the aggregated Trpzip2 sample shows a peak at 1685 cm−1, whereas the CD spectrum of the aggregated Trpzip2-K (0.5 mM, pH 3) obtained after different sample incubation times, as indicated. Shown in the inset is the intensity of the 1616 cm−1 band as a function of incubation time. The band intensities were obtained from the FTIR spectra shown in Figure S5 of the Supporting Information.

As shown (Figure 5), the amide I′ spectra of Trpzip2 (~6 mM) at pH 13 measured after the peptide sample had been incubated for 1 and 6 days, as indicated.

...
in the mutant case, having Lys(nvoc) in this orientation provides another or possibly stronger avenue for aggregation-prone hydrophobes to associate. Moreover, as shown (Figure S6, Supporting Information), upon removal of the nvoc group using light,44,45 which converts Lys(nvoc) to Lys, the aggregates formed by Trpzip2-K spontaneously disassemble to yield Trpzip2 monomers. Thus, this result provides additional evidence supporting the aggregation gatekeeper role of Lys8 in Trpzip2.

To further verify the notion that it is Lys8, not Ly12, that plays a key role in mediating the aggregation process, we conducted another photocleavage experiment on an aggregate sample formed by a Tripzip2-K derivative wherein the charged Lys12 side chain was converted to a neutral species. Specifically, the amine group of Lys12 in Trpzip2-K was allowed to react with a common acylation agent, N-hydroxysuccinimide (NHS) ester, to form an amide bond (Scheme 1),66,67 and the resulting peptide is termed Trpzip2-KK. Similar to Trpzip2-K, this peptide readily aggregates at low concentrations, as expected. As shown (Figure 7), however, when the native side chain of Lys8 is recovered via photocleaveage of the nvoc group, the aggregates formed by Trpzip2-KK disassemble. Because the resulting peptide contains only one charged Lys side chain at position 8, this result thus substantiates our hypothesis that Lys12 does not play a significant role in preventing Trpzip hairpins from aggregating.

Finally, to rule out the possibility that the weaker aggregation propensity of Trpzip2 is a direct outcome of its higher thermal stability, we tested a second variant in which Trp4 was mutated to Ala (hereafter termed Trpzip2-W4A). As expected (Figure S1, Supporting Information), this mutation significantly decreases the thermal stability of the β-hairpin ($T_m$ of $\sim$23 °C). However, as indicated (Figure 8), Trpzip2-W4A (10 mM) does not show any detectable aggregation even after incubation for 5 days. Thus, this result further corroborates the proposed notion that the difference in the aggregation propensities of Trpzip1 and Trpzip2 stems from the difference in the Lys8 orientations and is not due to their difference in stability. Furthermore, this result suggests that any interactions between

---

**Scheme 1. Acylation of the Primary Amine of Lys12 in Trpzip2-K**

```
N-acyloyxsuccinimide

Lys12 in Trpzip2-K
```

---

\*The resulting peptide is termed Trpzip2-KK."
Lys8 and Trp4 are not critical in preventing the β-hairpin from aggregating.

It is well-known that a stronger turn-promoting sequence can increase the stability of β-hairpins. However, the effect of turn sequence on the aggregation propensity of β-hairpins has not been systematically examined. On the basis of results obtained from this study, we can begin to think of several possible scenarios. If aggregation proceeds from an unfolded conformation, and the native turn structure is not preserved in the aggregates, the effect of a specific turn sequence on aggregation would be directly correlated with its effect on the β-hairpin stability. On the other hand, if aggregation is initiated by association of folded or partially folded β-hairpins, then the effect of a specific turn sequence on aggregation becomes more subtle. Depending on how it directs the distribution of key charged residues, a turn sequence could prevent or retard β-hairpin aggregation by creating unfavorable intermolecular electrostatic interactions or facilitate aggregate formation by weakening any repulsive interactions. To this end, the aggregation gatekeeper notion used in this study should not be simply interpreted as an independent action of one amino acid; rather, it should be discussed in the context of the underlying aggregation mechanism and aggregate structures.

**Aggregate Stability and Morphology.** Because both the strength of hydrophobic interactions and the unfolded population increase with an increase in temperature, many proteins and peptides show a stronger tendency to aggregate at higher temperatures.\(^{68-71}\) Interestingly, temperature has the opposite effect on Trpzip1 aggregation. As shown (Figure 9), Trpzip1 aggregates readily dissociate at higher temperatures. On the other hand, the aggregates formed by Trpzip2-K do not show any detectable heat-induced dissociation (Figure 10). These results suggest that the aggregates formed by Trpzip1 are less stable and less rigid than those formed by Trpzip2-K, which is corroborated by AFM measurements. As shown (Figure 11), the AFM image of a Trpzip2-K aggregate sample shows a well-defined fibrillar network, with a homogeneous distribution of fibrils approximately 3.8 nm wide, consistent with previously engineered β-hairpin aggregates.\(^2\) In comparison, the AFM image of a Trpzip1 aggregate sample reveals a more heterogeneous morphology, with the presence of variously sized fibrils and amorphous aggregates (Figure 12). Thus, taken together, the FTIR and AFM results indicate that the nvoc moiety in Lys(nvoc) not only significantly increases the aggregation rate of the peptide by eliminating the native
aggregation gatekeeper in Trpzip2 but also guides the β-hairpins in the fibrils to stack in an antiparallel fashion. In other words, these results suggest that intermolecular Lys(nvoc) interactions can provide a strong driving force for peptide association and thus a new avenue for fibril formation. We believe this is an important finding as it suggests that it is possible to use Lys(nvoc) to control the fibrilization rate, as well as the fibrillar architecture, of the peptide of interest. In addition, another advantage of using Lys(nvoc) is that it makes the fibrils photoreresponsive, a feature that could be exceedingly useful in certain bioengineering applications.73–76

CONCLUSION

Protein and peptide aggregation can have dire biological consequences. For example, it may lead to degenerative diseases in vivo and dysfunction of protein and peptide therapeutics in vitro. Therefore, many studies have been conducted in the past, with the aim of understanding the important factors that control protein and peptide aggregation and devising strategies to prevent it from happening. Herein, we study the aggregation properties of two closely related β-hairpins, Trpzip1 and Trpzip2, seeking to gain further insight into the mechanism of this phenomenon. Despite the minor difference in their turn sequences (i.e., NG vs GN), these two peptides exhibit totally different aggregation propensities; at acidic pH, Trpzip1 readily aggregates at micromolar concentrations, while under the same conditions, Trpzip2 does not show detectable aggregation even at concentrations of tens of millimolar. On the basis of the difference in their NMR structures and the fact that both peptides form aggregates rich in parallel β-sheets, we propose that (1) aggregation is initiated by association of two folded β-hairpins via edge-to-edge interactions and (2) Lys8 acts as an aggregation gatekeeper in both cases and its higher efficiency in preventing Trpzip2 from aggregating arises from the vertically pointing side chain rotamer preference. To test this hypothesis, we utilized two strategies, one by increasing the pH and the other by mutating Lys8 to a non-natural amino acid, Lys(nvoc), to examine how elimination of the positive charge on Lys8 affects the aggregation kinetics. We found that at pH 13 both Trpzip1 and Trpzip2 aggregate faster, which is consistent with the notion that Lys8 behaves as an aggregation gatekeeper. Further evidence in support of this hypothesis is that the Lys(nvoc) Trpzip2 mutant aggregates quickly, even at submillimolar concentrations, to form antiparallel amyloid-like fibrils that can be disassembled via photocleavage of the nvoc group. Moreover, our findings are consistent with an aggregation mechanism in which folded β-hairpins first associate to form a nucleus and the subsequent growth of this nucleus requires partial unfolding of the native structure. Finally, our results indicate that Lys(nvoc), because of its high hydrophobicity, can alter the aggregation mechanism and, hence, can be used to control, in conjunction with light, the morphology and structure of peptide fibrils.
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