Estimating stellar wind parameters from low-resolution magnetograms

M. Jardine1, A. A. Vidotto2 and V. See1

1 SUPA, School of Physics & Astronomy, University of St Andrews, North Haugh, St Andrews, KY16 9SS, UK
2 School of Physics, Trinity College Dublin, The University of Dublin, Dublin-2, Ireland

Accepted XXX. Received YYY; in original form ZZZ

ABSTRACT

Stellar winds govern the angular momentum evolution of solar-like stars throughout their main-sequence lifetime. The efficiency of this process depends on the geometry of the star’s magnetic field. There has been a rapid increase recently in the number of stars for which this geometry can be determined through spectropolarimetry. We present a computationally efficient method to determine the 3D geometry of the stellar wind and to estimate the mass loss rate and angular momentum loss rate based on these observations. Using solar magnetograms as examples, we quantify the extent to which the values obtained are affected by the limited spatial resolution of stellar observations. We find that for a typical stellar surface resolution of 20°-30°, predicted wind speeds are within 5% of the value at full resolution. Mass loss rates and angular momentum loss rates are within 5-20%. In contrast, the predicted X-ray emission measures can be underestimated by 1-2 orders of magnitude, and their rotational modulations by 10-20%.
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1 INTRODUCTION

The angular momentum evolution of solar-like stars is governed by the action of their winds and in particular by the interaction between the hot, escaping gas and the stellar magnetic field (Parker 1958). Studies of these stellar winds are hampered however by the low density of the wind plasma which makes direct detection difficult (Wood et al. 2005). Often the mass loss can only be inferred by studying the rotational distributions of samples of coeval stars in young clusters (Irwin et al. 2011; Delorme et al. 2011). The strength of the stellar magnetic field, which principally determines the extent of the level arm that the wind may apply, is clearly an important parameter in determining the instantaneous torque applied by the wind (Weber & Davis 1967). The field topology is also important however as only open field lines can support a wind (Mestel 1968; Mestel & Spruit 1987).

The open flux of magnetic field depends crucially on the geometry of the magnetic field. Over the last decade, advances in spectropolarimetry have provided surface magnetograms for a wide range of stellar masses and ages through the technique of Zeeman-Doppler imaging (Donati & Landstreet 2009). These underpin theoretical efforts to model the structure and evolution of the coronae and winds of these stars (Vidotto et al. 2009; Cranmer & Saar 2011; Matt et al. 2012; Vidotto et al. 2013; Cohen & Drake 2014; Vidotto et al. 2014a,b; Matt et al. 2015; Réville et al. 2015b,a; Vidotto et al. 2015; See et al. 2015). Advances in the application of 3D MHD wind models to this data have allowed us to study the unusually powerful winds of low mass stars (Vidotto et al. 2010), young active stars (Cohen et al. 2010), the role of non-potential field (Jardine et al. 2013), the impact of stellar winds on exoplanetary magnetospheres (Cohen et al. 2011; Vidotto et al. 2012) and the relationship between mass loss rates and X-ray fluxes (Vidotto et al. 2016).

Zeeman-Doppler imaging has some limitations, however. It is relatively insensitive to flux in dark (spotted) regions. If this missing flux is a large contribution to the total stellar magnetic flux, its neglect may have a significant effect on the predicted X-ray emission measure (Arzoumanian et al. 2010; Johnstone et al. 2010). The effective surface resolution is also limited and while at best may be of order 5° it is typically 20°-30°. Since the polarisation signature of small-scale structures may cancel out, as much as 85%-95% of the surface flux may be missed (Reiners & Basri 2009). A consistent picture is emerging, however, of the effect of this missing flux. By adapting solar magnetograms, Garraffo et al. (2013) re-distributed large-scale flux onto smaller length scales, thus reducing the open flux, while Lang et al. (2014) artificially added a carpet of small-
scale field to Zeeman-Doppler maps of 12 M dwarfs. In the first case, the open flux was reduced, and hence the wind properties varied. In the second case, however, the open flux was unaffected. While these studies have shown the robustness of the stellar wind to the presence of unresolved flux, they demonstrate the much more sensitive response of the predicted X-ray emission.

As the number of stars whose surface fields has been mapped grows, so does the scope of the models of the corona and winds of these stars. While fully 3D MHD models provide insight into the nature of these winds, it is clear that a more computationally efficient method of assessing the nature of these winds is needed. In the case of the solar wind, the availability of in situ measurements has made it possible to develop such an empirical wind model that is calibrated to reproduce the velocity of the solar wind at Earth. The WSA model (Wang & Sheeley 1990; Arge & Pizzo 2000) requires only the surface magnetogram as an input. The output is a fully 3D wind model, providing the local wind speed for any location within the solar wind. This model maps wind speeds directly to the degree of expansion of individual flux tubes and so is completely determined by the geometry of the magnetic field. This is typically calculated using a Potential Field Source Surface method that assumes the field is potential and is opened at some specified radius (Altschuler & Newkirk 1969). The location of this opening radius (the source surface) is a free parameter of the model that is calibrated using solar eclipse images. Using high-resolution solar magnetograms Cohen (2015) compared this model with the output of a fully 3D MHD treatment and found differences in arrival times at Earth of more than five hours (out of a travel time of order 3 days) for only 20–40% of field lines. He also concluded that doubling the resolution of the magnetograms from 2° to 1° has little effect on the predicted wind speeds.

While the WSA model has been developed for the Sun, and underlies many space weather and solar wind studies (Pinto et al. 2011; Gressl et al. 2014; Pinto et al. 2016), it has also been used in conjunction with stellar magnetograms obtained from ZDI in order to predict the impact of stellar winds on exoplanets (Fares et al. 2010, 2012; See et al. 2014). As more exoplanets are discovered around stars with a greater range of masses and ages, there is clear demand for an efficient but reliable method of estimating wind speeds and mass loss rates of a large number of stars. In this paper, we quantify the reliability of the WSA method when used with stellar magnetograms which have a much lower resolution than the solar magnetograms for which the method was developed.

2 METHOD

We take solar magnetograms obtained from the US National Solar Observatory, Kitt Peak, over two solar cycles, from February 1975 (CR1625) to April 2000 (CR1962). Fig. 1 shows one example, taken from close to solar maximum. The surface field can be expressed as a sum of spherical harmonics, it is possible to truncate this sum at any order of the expansion. A magnetogram where a maximum order $\ell_{max}$ has been used therefore corresponds to a minimum spatial scale at the stellar surface of $180^\circ/\ell_{max}$. We extrapolate...
2.1 Modelling the magnetic field

We assume that the field is potential and divergence-free, such that if \( \mathbf{B} = -\nabla \psi \), then \( \psi \) satisfies Laplace’s equation \( \nabla^2 \psi = 0 \) with solution in spherical co-ordinates \((r, \theta, \phi)\)

\[
B_r = \sum_{l=1}^{N} \sum_{m=-l}^{l} \left[ a_{lm} r^{l-1} - (l+1) b_{lm} r^{-(l+2)} \right] P_l(m \cos \theta) e^{im \phi} \tag{1}
\]

\[
B_\theta = -\sum_{l=1}^{N} \sum_{m=-l}^{l} \left[ a_{lm} r^{l-1} - b_{lm} r^{-(l+2)} \right] \frac{d}{d\theta} P_l(m \cos \theta) e^{im \phi} \tag{2}
\]

\[
B_\phi = -\sum_{l=1}^{N} \sum_{m=-l}^{l} \left[ a_{lm} r^{l-1} - b_{lm} r^{-(l+2)} \right] \frac{P_l(m \cos \theta)}{\sin \theta} i m e^{im \phi} \tag{3}
\]

where all radii are scaled to a stellar radius and the associated Legendre polynomials are denoted by \( P_l \). The two unknowns are the coefficients \( a_{lm} \) and \( b_{lm} \). One of these can be determined by imposing the radial field at the surface from the Zeeman-Doppler maps. The second is determined by imposing the condition that at the source surface \((r = r_s)\), the field is purely radial, such that \( B_\theta(r_s) = B_\phi(r_s) = 0 \). We use a code originally developed by van Ballegooijen et al. (1998) (see also Jardine et al. (2002)).

Fig. 2 shows the surface flux and the open flux for maps sampled at different \( \ell_{\text{max}} \) values. Fig. 3 shows, for two example magnetograms (one close to solar maximum and the other close to solar minimum), the distribution of power in the magnetic field at different lengthscales. The top panel shows that when the Sun is at its most active, the peak power is around \( \ell_{\text{max}} = 13 \), i.e. the scale size of the magnetic bipoles captured by the magnetograms shown in Fig. 1 (see MNRAS 000, 1–6 (2016)).
also Vidotto (2016)). At higher \( \ell \)-values, there is progressively less power. When the Sun is inactive, the peak power is in the dipole term and there is little power beyond \( \ell_{\text{max}} = 5 \). The bottom panel shows that in the wind-dominated regime, only the lowest-order modes survive.

2.2 Modelling the wind

For each field line (labelled \( i \)) the velocity \( u_i \) along that field line at the Earth’s orbit is given by (Wang & Sheeley 1990; Arge & Pizzo 2000)

\[
u_i[\text{km s}^{-1}] = 267.5 + \frac{410.0}{f_i^{2/5}}
\]

where the expansion factor \( f_i \) of any field line is given by:

\[
f_i = \frac{r_i^2}{r_\odot^2} B_i(r_\odot) / B_i(r_s).
\]

We assume that the magnetic field expands radially beyond the source surface and determine the mass loss rate from the Alfvén radius by integrating over the Alfvén surface (Wang & Sheeley (1990); Arge & Pizzo (2000)). At higher \( \ell \)-values, there is progressively less power. When the Sun is inactive, the peak power is in the dipole term and there is little power beyond \( \ell_{\text{max}} = 5 \). The bottom panel shows that in the wind-dominated regime, only the lowest-order modes survive.

2.3 Modelling the X-ray emission measure

We model the X-ray emission measure by assuming that the gas on each closed field line is in hydrostatic, isothermal equilibrium. The gas pressure is therefore \( \rho v c \cdot \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial s} ds \), where \( g_s = \frac{\rho v c[B] \cdot [B]}{[\rho v c]} \) is the component of gravity (allowing for rotation) along the field and \( g(r, \theta) = -GM_\odot/r^2 + \Omega^2 r \sin^2 \theta \Omega^2 r \sin \theta \cos \theta \). The plasma pressure at the base of each field line \( p_0 = \kappa_w B_0^2 \) where the free parameter \( \kappa_w \) is fixed by the overall stellar X-ray luminosity. In order to quantify the effect of changing the resolution of the surface magnetogram, we select the example in Fig. 1. Fig. 5 shows the resulting emission measures that correspond to a temperature of 10^8 K, and their rotational modulations.

3 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

By decomposing solar magnetograms into spherical harmonics which can be truncated at different orders, we have shown the variation over the solar cycle of the various contributions to the Sun’s magnetic field. As also found by DeRosa et al. (2012), Fig. 2 shows that the dipole mode has a cyclic variation that is in antiphase with the higher order modes. At each time we can also analyse the distribution of power in the magnetic field at various lengthscales (or spherical harmonic orders). At cycle maximum, this power peaks at an spherical harmonic degree determined by the spatial scale on which magnetic bipoles appear on the surface. At cycle minimum in contrast, only the lowest-order (ie largest length scale) modes contribute (Vidotto 2016). By extrapolating this surface field out into the corona, we find that only the lowest-order modes persist out to the height at which the wind dominates over the closed corona. The flux of open magnetic field is therefore sensitive only to the lowest order modes and therefore the largest lengthscale variations of the surface field. This behaviour alone suggests that the behaviour of the stellar wind (its speed, mass loss rate and angular momentum loss rate) can be well approximated by the information in low-resolution stellar magnetograms.

To quantify this effect, we select as an example the WSA model that predicts the 3D distribution of the solar wind speed at the Earth’s orbit. We determine the variation of this wind speed with the resolution of the surface magnetogram and find that for a typical stellar surface resolution of 20°-30°, typical predicted wind speeds are within 5% of the value at full resolution. Mass loss rates and angular momentum loss rates are typically within 5-20%.

For comparison, we also calculate the variation of the X-ray emission measure with surface resolution. The low-resolution maps do not capture the magnetic field in the sunspots and so have lower overall field strengths and correspondingly lower emission. For a star with the same level
of surface activity as the Sun, we might underestimate the emission measure by 1-2 orders of magnitude. The rotational modulation is also affected, varying from zero (the aligned dipole at solar minimum) to 80% at full resolution. Our studies therefore suggest that wind speeds, mass, and angular momentum loss rates are insensitive to the loss of information produced by the low surface resolution of stellar magnetograms. These calculations, however, involve two free parameters - the source surface radius and the rotation rate, to govern the predicted wind properties. This produces mass loss rates for solar-like stars that compare well with those determined from fully 3D MHD wind models (See et al., 2015).

Extending this method to other stars for which surface magnetograms are available clearly requires some assumptions about the behaviour of $r_s$ and $\kappa$. Following West & Spruit (1987) we suggest fixing both to the values used here for the Sun, allowing the surface magnetograms (which determine $B_0$) in addition to the stellar mass, radius and rotation rate, to govern the predicted wind properties. This produces mass loss rates for solar-like stars that compare well with those determined from fully 3D MHD wind models (See et al., 2017, submitted).
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