X-ray observation of micro-failures in granular piles approaching an avalanche
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An X-ray imaging technique is used to probe the stability of 3-dimensional granular packs in a slowly rotating drum. Well before the surface reaches the avalanche angle, we observe intermittent plastic events associated with collective rearrangements of the grains located in the vicinity of the free surface. The energy released by these discrete events grows as the system approaches the avalanche threshold. By testing various preparation methods, we show that the pre-avalanche dynamics is not solely controlled by the difference between the free surface inclination and the avalanche angle. As a consequence, the measure of the pre-avalanche dynamics is unlikely to serve as a tool for predicting macroscopic avalanches.

PACS numbers: 45.70.Cc, 45.70.Ht, 81.05.Rm

When a granular pack is submitted to a slowly varying stress its apparent response consists in intermittent bursts of plasticity during which large irreversible deformations take place \cite{1, 2}. Between these discrete events the system behaves as a rigid body. Formation of shear-bands in granular systems in triaxial tests \cite{3}, the jamming and unjamming of an hourglass \cite{4} or sequences of avalanches down a sandy slope are common examples of this intermittent behavior. Because of its relevance to geophysics and the possibility of direct observations, the case of avalanches have been studied in great detail since the early work of Bagnold \cite{5}. It was found that the intermittent regime occurs between two limiting angles of the heap surface: the repose and the avalanche angle which depend on the geometry as well as the characteristics of the material (shape and surface properties of the grains) \cite{6}. Although the main features of the avalanche flow are rather well understood \cite{6, 7, 8}, one important question remains to date unsolved: what is the nature of the microscopic process by which the flow is triggered and later stopped during a single avalanche?

To address this question several experimental and numerical studies have recently focused on micro-plasticity \cite{9, 10}. Indeed it appears that even in the absence of visible flow, any modification of the external force applied to a granular pack induces some micro-displacements which allow the system to mechanically adapt to the new constraints. By analogy with earthquakes, these events could be seen as precursors of the macroscopic failure of the pack. Although they dissipate only a small fraction of the energy as compared to the macroscopic avalanches, they could impact the stress distribution within the static pack therefore controlling its overall stability.

In the present work, we use an X-ray imaging technique to probe the energy and spatial characteristics of these precursors in 3D granular systems slowly tilted towards the avalanche angle. Experiments are done in a cylindrical acrylic drum 55 mm in diameter with a depth of 30 mm. The drum is half filled with various types of grains, Table I and mounted with its rotation axis parallel to the X-ray beam. To prevent a bulk rotation of the sample, a disordered monolayer of grains is glued to the inner surface of the cylinder. The drum is co-axially mounted inside the central aperture of a precision rotation stage which allows for very smooth rotation and a maximal rotation speed of 0.5\degree s\(^{-1}\). A micro-focus X-ray source is placed 230 cm from the drum and provides a weakly divergent beam which crosses the stage aperture, producing a radiograph on a scintillator-coupled CCD camera \cite{12}, figure \(\text{II}(a)\). For a monochromatic beam, the transmitted intensity of the incident beam at a position \([x, z]\) reads:

\[
I_{x, z} = A(t) \cdot I_0[x, z] \cdot a_c[x, z] \cdot \exp \left( -\frac{L_g[x, z]}{\lambda_g} \right) \tag{1}
\]

In this expression, \(A(t) \cdot I_0[x, z]\) is the incident intensity map without sample (\(A(t)\) being the temporal fluctuations of the X-ray source intensity), \(a_c[x, z]\) corresponds to the absorption of the empty container, and \(\lambda_g\) is the absorption length of the grain material. The total length of the grain material which projects through each position \([x, z]\) on the drum is denoted, \(L_g[x, z]\).

To prepare the grain pack in a reproducible way, the drum is initially rotated 360\degree clockwise, leaving the pack surface at the avalanche angle \(\theta_a\). The drum is then rotated incrementally counter-clockwise. To probe whether a displacement has occurred over any given increment \(\delta \theta\) (0.5\degree), we use the following protocol: at \(\theta\) a radiograph of the pack is taken, \(I_1[x, z](\theta)\). The drum is further rotated to the angle \(\theta + \delta \theta\), stopped for 5 s, and then rotated back to \(\theta\) whereupon another radiograph \(I_2[x, z](\theta)\) is taken. Finally, the drum rotates back to \(\theta + \delta \theta\) in preparation for the next increment. The change in \(L_g[x, z]\), by direct
Several measurements may be extracted from $\Delta L_g[x,z]$. The quadratic average of $\Delta L_g(\theta)$, denoted $Q(\theta)$, is a probe of the amplitude of small relative grain movements (a fraction of the grain size). Since this average also integrates the detector noise, we evaluate the contribution of the latter, denoted $Q_0$, by measuring the quadratic noise on successive pictures without rotation and taken under the same conditions as in the experiment. We subtract this value so that $Q(\theta)$ only reflects grains motions:

$$Q(\theta) = \langle \Delta L_g(\theta)^2 \rangle_{[x,z]} - Q_0 \quad (3)$$

We can also extract, for the same measurements, the displacement $(\Delta x_b, \Delta z_b)$ of the barycenter of the pack, for every angular increment, $\delta \theta$:

$$\left( \frac{\Delta x_b}{\Delta z_b} \right) = K \cdot \sum_{\{x,z\}} \left( \frac{x}{z} \right) \Delta L_g(\theta)[x,z] \quad (4)$$

The sum is performed over all the positions $[x,z]$. $K$ is chosen in order to express the distances $\Delta x_b$ and $\Delta z_b$ in drum radius unit. Though expressed as a length, $\Delta z_b$ may also be interpreted as an energy variation.

Figure 1(c) displays the evolution of the quadratic fluctuations $Q(\theta)$. Its spikes are a direct signature of the existence of intermittency in the micro-movements of the grains, well before the surface reaches the avalanche angle. This observation is consistent with the 2D numerical simulations of Staron et al. [10]. The discrete events become detectable when the surface inclination approaches the horizontal. We thereafter observe a progressive increase of the magnitude of $Q(\theta)$, but no significant evolution of the intermittency frequency.

The displacements of the pack barycenter, on different systems, and with different grains sizes, are displayed in figure 2. Three regimes may be distinguished. For $-\theta_a < \theta < 0$, we measure small values of $\Delta z_b$, whereas $\Delta x_b$ remains insignificant. This indicates that a slight compaction occurs in the sample as expected when a granular system is mechanically perturbed. For $0 < \theta < \theta_a$, we observe a strong increase on both $\Delta x_b$ and $\Delta z_b$. This evolution is associated with a progressive increase of the mean displacement direction, given by $\tan^{-1}(\Delta x_b/\Delta z_b)$, that eventually aligns with the free surface, figure 2 inset. As the inclination reaches the avalanche angle $\theta_a$, we observe a sudden increase of the dynamics; beyond $\theta_a$, the flow occurs along the surface of the pack ($\langle \tan^{-1}(\Delta x_b/\Delta z_b) \rangle = \theta_a$). The values of $\Delta x_b$ and $\Delta z_b$ simply correspond to the average grain flow necessary to compensate for the rotation of the drum.
Surprisingly, the measurement in the pre-avalanche regime seems to be independent of the grain type, and in particular of the grain size. This observation can be used to discriminate between two possible interpretations (see figure 3): (a) If the dynamics were due to a purely superficial effect, such as grains rolling, the thickness of the moving layer and the magnitude of the displacement would be controlled by the grain size. Hence, the average displacement in the pre-avalanche regime, expressed in units of drum radius, should scale as the square of the grain size. This is inconsistent with our observations. (b) In contrast, the independence of the dynamics with grain size strongly suggests that the surface flow penetrates onto a depth \(d\) controlled by the drum geometry (diameter and/or thickness). The pre-avalanche regime thus corresponds to a macroscopic instability of the pack structure, though preferentially occurring in the vicinity of the surface.

In considering figure 2 it is tempting to describe this instability as being controlled by the surface angle. To probe this hypothesis, we explore the effect of other parameters - such as the preparation - on the pre-avalanche dynamics. The following sequence of tilt is thus applied to the pack before starting the measurement: the pack is first rotated 360° clockwise, then slowly tilted backwards until the surface inclination reaches a prescribed angle \(\theta_0\), and finally returned to a fixed value \(-10^\circ\), figure 4(a). From that point, the dynamics are recorded as the drum is rotated counter-clockwise using the usual protocol.

The figure 4(a) shows the evolution of the quadratic fluctuations \(Q(\theta)\) (equation 3) obtained for three different values of \(\theta_0\), which are compared with the reference curve obtained with data from figure 1 inset. Interestingly, the dynamics are, in all cases, negligible until the drum reaches the angle \(\theta_0\). Beyond this value, the activity increases rapidly and shows similar features as in the non pre-loaded sample. This result demonstrates that the pre-avalanche dynamics does not depend solely on the surface inclination. The absence of detectable plastic events from \(-10\) to \(\theta_0\) shows that the successive static configurations that the system experiences during a tilt experiment are stable over a large range of surface inclinations.

To probe in more detail the range of stability of each structure we perform a complementary experiment depicted in Figure 4(b). As previously shown, the system is rotated 360° clockwise, then tilted backwards to a prescribed angle \(\theta_0 < \theta_a\). The dynamics is then recorded as the pack is rotated counter-clockwise towards the avalanche angle. We observe that the threshold angle for which detectable motion occurs, and consequently the range of mechanical stability of the pack, depend on the preparation angle \(\theta_0\). For \(\theta_0\) of the order (or less) than 0, the pack remains stable until we reach \(-\theta_a\). For larger values of \(\theta_0\), the onset of internal dynamics occurs after a rotation of roughly 30°, regardless of \(\theta_0\). These results indicate that each structural state of the pack is associated with a range of inclination angle within which it is mechanically stable (within the resolution of our measurements). The width of this...
In contrast, the avalanche is a dynamical instability that primarily involves the dissipative properties of the material. An avalanche is triggered when the pile cannot dissipate the inertial energy produced by a any small failure.

We have presented here a new experimental technique suitable for the study of 3D confined granular dynamics, that allowed us to measure minute displacements of the structure. In contrast to other techniques (DWS [15], capacitive measurements [10]), our method allows for local and quantitative measurements of the deformation. We are currently using X-ray computerized tomography in order to obtain 3D information on these plastic events [17]. Beyond the displacement field, this method might enable us to directly probe the statistical properties of the contact network, which might control the plastic response of the material.
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