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Increase in the global energy demand has been leading to major energy crises in recent years. The use of excess fossil fuels for energy production is causing severe global warming, as well as energy shortage. To overcome the global energy crisis, the design of various chemical structures as efficient models for the generation of renewable energy fuels is very much crucial, and will limit the use of fossil fuels. Current challenges involve the design of Metal–Organic Framework (MOF) materials for this purpose to diminish the energy shortage. The large surface area, tunable pore environment, unique structural property and semiconducting nature of the highly porous MOF materials enhance their potential applications towards the production of enhanced energy fuels. This review is focused on the architecture of MOFs and their composites for fuels and essential chemicals production like hydrogen, methane, ethanol, methanol, acetic acid, and carbon monoxide, which can be used as renewable fuel energy sources to limit the use of fossil fuels, thereby reducing global warming.

1. Introduction

Considering the current energy demand, fossil fuels are used on a large scale mostly for electricity production to fulfill the global energy requirements. The burning of fossil fuels releases large amounts of greenhouse gases including carbon dioxide (CO$_2$) into the atmosphere, which causes global warming. CO$_2$ absorbs energy from infrared radiation for a longer time and releases it back into the air, thereby increasing the global temperature. As a consequence, various disasters are occurring, such as the melting of glaciers, floods, and the rise in sea levels, making the world an unsuitable place to survive for all living beings, as well as to the environment. The use of excess fossil fuels to accomplish energy requirements leads to the energy crises. It awakens researchers to come up with alternate energy sources to satisfy the energy demand. Therefore, challenges arise in the design of different models to produce efficient energy sources with no environmental drawbacks. Metal–organic framework (MOF)-based structures can be considered an effective model to produce renewable energy sources, photo-catalytically, electro-catalytically and photo-electro-catalytically. There are some reports on MOF-based materials that mention their potential applications in fuel cells, lithium-ion batteries, gas tanks, solar cells and supercapacitors. There are many reports on energy storage and conversion applications using MOF-based materials.* Scientists are trying to solve the energy and environmental issues in many ways. The structural diversity, uniform porosity, host–guest interactive property, tunability, functionalization and semiconducting behavior of MOFs stimulate the researchers to use MOF-based materials for energy storage and conversion applications. Furthermore, these unique properties of MOFs make them superior to other inorganic porous materials. The presence of inorganic metal ions and photoactive-functionalized organic ligands in the MOFs framework enhances their potential to act as catalytically active surface sites for promoting chemical reactions in the presence of light sources to boost energy applications. The integration of various metals, metal oxides, phosphides, sulphides, quantum dots and carbon based materials is now becoming a very challenging topic in the architecture of metal–organic framework composites for various applications. The incorporation of electron efficient guest molecules into MOFs has a greater impact on many kinds of applications. The excellent gas adsorption and storage ability of MOFs signify their potential uses in fuel cells, vehicle gas reservoirs and others. The development in nanotechnology and proper characterization equipment helps to design and characterize new materials in a proper way to enhance energy resources.

This review is based on the study of previous reports in the design of various MOFs and their composites for the development of renewable energy resources to boost the global economy. Different MOF-based materials with different synthetic methodology and advantages/disadvantages for energy related applications like fuel production have been discussed in this article. Specifically, sources of fuel energy from...
the CO₂ conversion into value-added chemicals and fuels, and water splitting reactions for hydrogen and peroxide production are discussed in this article. Through this, we aim to provide an overall summary of the current ongoing research using MOF-based materials for energy applications, showcasing its importance to the scientific community, while encouraging more contributions to this field to improve the global economy. We hope that this article will help researchers exploit MOFs-based materials for energy applications.

1.1. MOFs and their composites

There are challenges in the architecture of MOFs and their composite materials that exhibit potential applications in various fields, including energy-related applications. Metal–organic frameworks (MOFs) are a class of porous materials with strong coordination geometry, which are formed by the connection of metal ions or clusters with organic ligands or linkers in an ordered way. These are highly crystalline materials with ordered porosities. MOFs can exhibit one-, two- and three-dimensional framework structures with large surface area ranging from 1000 to 10 000 m² g⁻¹. The surface area for MOFs is larger than traditional porous materials like zeolites and other carbon-based materials. Moreover, MOFs exhibit unique structural diversity, tunable functionality, uniform porosity, flexibility, and multifunctionality, which makes them superior to other porous materials. MOFs were pioneered by Omar Yaghi in the late 1990s. Since then, more than 90 000 varieties of MOFs have been reported. There are a large number of applications using MOFs in gas adsorption, gas separation, catalysis, bioimaging, drug delivery, sensing, and energy applications that have been reported so far. Moreover, MOFs with controlled morphology and size open up a new way to traditional pristine MOFs. MOFs also act as support substrates to accommodate metals, metal-oxides/sulphides/phosphides, nanoparticles, and other complexes to form different nanostructures. The unique structural properties of MOFs have drawn major attention from young chemists and scientists to explore this field. A flow chart of different MOFs on various applications in each decade from its origin is exhibited in Fig. 1.

There are several methods for synthesizing MOFs and their composites, such as solvothermal, hydrothermal, mechano-chemical, microwave synthesis, son-chemical, microemulsion, diffusion, template strategy and electrochemical methods, which have been reported so far. Among these, solvothermal and hydrothermal methods have been considered the most prevailing methods for the synthesis of MOF-based materials. Other synthetic methods are also considered as effective ones, which provide nanoscale controllable MOF crystals in short reaction times. The synthesis of MOF-based materials depends on several parameters like the temperature, pressure, reaction time, metal nodes, ligands, presence of counterions and reaction kinetics that leads to nucleation and crystal growth. Solvent selection is one of the most important things for any kind of reaction, as it is a key to determine the activation energy and reaction thermodynamics. In addition, the single crystal growth in MOFs is of utmost importance as many of us face difficulties to do so. Furthermore, single crystals are highly ordered structures with unique thermal, optical, mechanical, electrical and other superior properties than polycrystalline materials. Owing to the excellent optical and electrical properties of single crystals, they are utilized in various important applications such as wireless and satellite communication, light-emitting diodes (LEDs), electronics, photodetectors, and wide band-gap devices. With the developing technology, demands for single crystals are growing accordingly. The growth of single crystals with high quality is a very challenging
topic since the last decade. From the literature, it has been found that there are three general approaches for the growth of bulk inorganic single crystals: melt, solution and vapor phase.25 Single crystal growth from melt is the most common way used for single crystal growth via solidification and crystallization of the melted material. This method allows for the growth of large single crystals of excellent quality in a short period of time compared to other methods.26 However, this method also has some limitations, such as difficulty in the maintenance of a stable temperature, gaining high melting points of some materials, and obtaining chemical consistency. Solution-growth technique is another approach where single crystals are obtained by dissolving the material into a suitable solvent or flux.27 Among the solution-growth techniques, high-temperature solution growth or flux-growth is one of the most promising techniques for materials that incongruently melt, and can be applied for single crystal growth of the materials that cannot be processed by the melt growth process. The advantage of using this technique is that the single crystals of materials can be obtained below their melting temperatures. This is a slow process of crystal growth, and the existence of flux ions is inescapable in this method. Another method for single crystal growth is the vapor-phase growth via sublimation process at low temperature. This lower temperature enhances the crystal quality, avoiding impurities due to phase transitions. This method is used to obtain thin single crystal films rather than obtaining bulk single crystals. However, this method has some limitations, such as low crystal growth and low vapor transport rates, for which this method is less utilized as compared to the other two methods. In spite of the three conventional methods mentioned above, there is one more technique known as solid-state single crystal growth (SSCG) that has received much attention recently.28-30 This is a method via the solid-state conversion of polycrystalline materials into a single crystal. The SSCG method overcomes all of the limitations found in the conventional methods. Control of the microstructure development during the conversion of polycrystalline materials into single crystals is the most challenging part of this method, for which it is limited to a few systems.

In this article, different MOFs and their composites for fuel production applications have been discussed based on previous reports.

2. MOF-BASED materials for fuels production

2.1. CO₂ conversion

Global warming and the shortage of renewable energy resources are a major concern worldwide for the future energy supply and solving environmental issues. In view of the natural photosynthesis process where solar energy is converted into chemical energy, scientists are trying to develop alternate processes by means of the artificial photosynthesis method where CO₂ reduction will produce hydrocarbons to fulfill the energy requirements. Several efforts have been made to develop appropriate catalysts for CO₂ storage and conversion. Still, challenges remain for developing excellent materials for CO₂ storage and reduction into useful compounds and fuels, as not all types of catalysts are capable of giving the desired products. This leads to various difficulties of CO₂ reduction. These include high overpotential of CO₂ (~1.9 V vs. SHE), less selectivity for product formation, low reaction rate, and the poor solubility of CO₂.31 In addition, the band gap energy of semiconductor catalysts is another important factor for CO₂ reduction. For a spontaneous CO₂ reduction process, the conduction band position of the semiconductor should be higher or more negative than the redox potential for CO₂ reduction. Furthermore, the valence band position of the semiconductor should be lower or more positive than the redox potential for water oxidation into oxygen molecule, as shown in Fig. 3. Moreover, the reaction medium and reaction conditions such as temperature, pressure play important roles for CO₂ reduction. For efficient production of carbonaceous products from CO₂, the chemisorption of CO₂ on the catalytically active sites of the catalyst surface is very important for activating the CO₂ molecule. To chemisorb CO₂ on the catalyst surface, proper dissolution of CO₂ in the solvent is another important factor. Since CO₂ shows poor solubility in the water medium, it leads to a low reaction rate of CO₂ reduction. To achieve the enhancement of CO₂ adsorption, researchers have found alternate ways such as the use of non-aqueous solvents (DMSO, acetonitrile, ethyl acetate, DMF) and a mixture of aqueous/non-aqueous solvents as the reaction medium, and the design of catalysts with large catalytically active sites.3 It has been found that the use of sacrificial materials such as triethanolamine (TEOA), triethyl amine (TEA), ethanol, and water can improve the catalytic activity for CO₂ conversion. CO₂ reduction leads to various useful chemicals and fuels like HCOOH, CH₃COOH, CH₄, C₂H₆, CH₃OH, and C₂H₅OH, which are considered as energy carriers or fuels and have industrial applications. The
multifunctionality and tunable functionality of MOFs make them promising catalysts for CO₂ storage and reduction activity. There are various reports of CO₂ conversion into value-added chemicals and fuels using solar and electrical energy for MOFs-based materials. Still, challenges remain for the large-scale fuel production rate using MOFs-based materials for industrial applications.

Fuels like methane, methanol, and acetic acid have tremendous usefulness in industry and as renewable energy resources. To limit the use of fossil fuels as energy sources, it would be benign to be used non-fossil fuels as energy sources to protect the energy for future generations. Methane is used as a fuel in home accessories such as ovens, water heaters, automobiles, and turbines. Refined liquid methane with the combination of liquid oxygen can be used as a rocket fuel. Methane gas is utilized to run or power engines and turbines in factories. Industries like food processors, pulp and paper, petroleum refineries and companies that work with clay, stone and glass utilize the energy it discharges. Methanol is used as non-fossil fuel energy sources in combustion engines of vehicles, or it can be mixed with gasoline to produce an efficient fuel like octane with lower emissions than the typically used gasoline. Methanol can also be used in industries, and as a fuel in cooking and thermal applications. Acetic acid is used in industries like food, chemical, pharmaceutical, chemical and plastic. It can also be used as a fuel to generate electricity via SOFC technology, which can be considered the most promising method for the generation of electricity for future power sustainability. Similarly, some more non-fossil fuels can be generated by the CO₂ conversion process, which have valuable uses in various aspects, including power generation.

Recent studies suggest three approaches for CO₂ reduction (a) photocatalytic approach, (b) electrocatalytic approach, and (c) photoelectrocatalytic approach. The photocatalytic CO₂ reduction is facilitated using solar energy and various powerful light sources like the Xe lamp and Hg lamp at particular wavelengths. The electrocatalytic process involves using electrical energy into useful products. The photoelectrocatalytic approach involves using both light energy and electrical energy sources. In the photoelectrochemical process, the use of light energy sources reduces the electricity consumption of the applied external voltage, and the application of an external voltage helps to separate the photogenerated electron–hole pairs, thereby enhancing the catalytic efficiency. Furthermore, the use of half cells inhibits the reoxidation of active final products. All of these three approaches are very important to activate CO₂ reduction. Still, the photocatalytic approach seems to be an ideal way for CO₂ reduction due to high abundance of solar energy. Moreover, some drawbacks have been found for this process, such as the fast charge recombination rate and difficulty in generating a large number of catalytically active sites.

(a) Photocatalytic approach. MOFs with tailored hybrid inorganic–organic building blocks and large surface areas with uniform porosity enhance the CO₂ conversion rate. This is achieved by generating catalytically active sites on its surface and by transferring electrons from these catalytically active sites to activate the CO₂ molecule for the production of value-added chemicals and fuels. To activate the CO₂ molecule, the proper solubility of CO₂ in water is an important factor. Otherwise, it will become a major drawback for CO₂ conversion. There are some approaches to enhance CO₂ dissolution: the use of appropriate non-aqueous solutions, the suitable design of photocatalysts, the increase of the CO₂ partial pressure and having a high CO₂ flow rate. The photocatalytic CO₂ conversion depends mainly on three factors: (a) photoreactor design, (b) photocatalyst used, and (c) experimental parameters (temperature, pressure, solvent, light source, wavelength, catalyst concentration).

Lin et al. first reported a doped UiO-67 metal–organic framework for photochemical CO₂ reduction using visible light by incorporation of Re²⁺(CO)₃(dcbpy)Cl[H₂L₄] into the UiO-67 framework. The photocatalytic CO₂ reduction activity into CO was studied using CO₂-saturated with acetonitrile (MeCN) and triethylamine as a sacrificial reducing agent (MeCN : Triethylamine = 20 : 1). The [Re²⁺(CO)₃(dcbpy)Cl]-doped UiO-67
showed excellent CO₂ reduction compared to the homogeneous catalyst, [Re₃(CO)₆(dcbpy)Cl]. The reduction process follows a unimolecular mechanism because of the uniform dispersion of the catalyst into MOFs-active surface sites [Re₃(CO)₆(dcbpy)Cl] (Re ~50%) doping in MOF enhanced the photochemical CO₂ reduction activity.

Jiang et al. reported on one highly photocatalytic porphyrin based-Zirconium MOF catalyst, PCN-222 for the photocatalytic CO₂ reduction into a formate anion using solar energy. Triethanolamine (TEOA) was used as a sacrificial agent. Ultrafast spectroscopy with time-resolved photoluminescence spectroscopy unveiled that presence of a long-term electron trap state in the PCN-222 (Zr ~2%) catalyst enhanced the photocatalytic conversion activity by inhibiting the electron–hole pair recombination and enhancing the reaction time. It provided greater activity to the PCN-222 catalyst than the H₂TCPP ligand present therein. The photocatalytic activity was studied using 50 mg photocatalyst in MeCN/TEOA (10 : 1 v/v, 60 mL) solution, and the solution was irradiated using a Xe lamp (wavelength ~420–800 nm). The spontaneous HCOO⁻ anion production rate was found to increase by up to 30 μmol in 10 h, which was much higher as compared to previous reports of MIL-125-NH₂ and UiO-66-NH₂ under similar conditions. This work confirms that the presence of an electron trap state in a catalyst can improve the catalytic efficiency (Fig. 4).

Choi and his co-workers reported on one nanoparticle-coated MOF photocatalyst for enhanced CO₂ reduction to CO. The Re₃-MOF photocatalyst contained two different functional units for the enhancement of the catalytic activity and catalytic stability, which were combined as a single construct. The highest photocatalytic activity was observed for Re₃-MOF by controlling the density of the framework, where n = 0, 1, 2, 3, 5, 11, 16, and 24 complexes per unit cell. They confirmed that the equilibrium vicinity between the photoactive centers enhances the photocatalytic activity. Based on this theory, they coated Re₃-MOF (Re ~23%) into Ag nanocubes (Ag⊂Re₃-MOF), which resulted in a seven-fold enhancement of the CO₂ conversion to CO under visible light irradiation. The photocatalytic experiments were studied using a sealed batch-type custom cell by dispersing the photocatalyst in a CO₂ saturated solution of acetonitrile/trimethanolamine, where trimethanolamine was used as a sacrificial electron donor. The solution was irradiated using Xe lamp (300 W) with visible band-pass filters in a wavelength range of 400 to 700 nm.

As Cadmium sulfide (CdS) has excellent visible-light responsive behavior and a suitably positioned conduction band for the photocatalytic reduction of CO₂, there are still some limitations when using CdS as semiconducting photocatalysts due to the fast recombination of photogenerated electron–hole pairs, photo corrosion, lack of catalytic sites and low CO₂ absorption. Recent progress has reported that CdS with MOFs combination can overcome these drawbacks by increasing the visible light response. However, limitations arise for the CdS@MOFs composites for the efficient photocatalytic CO₂ reduction activity due to the lack of catalytic sites and the bigger size of CdS. Han et al. reported on the ternary CdS/UiO-bpy/Co composites, where inorganic semiconductors and molecular redox catalysts were incorporated through UiO-bpy MOFs for the first time to see the photocatalytic CO₂ conversion activity under visible light. The ternary composites exhibited an excellent CO production rate, 235 μmol g⁻¹ h⁻¹ in 10 h irradiation, which was a 10.2-fold improvement in the CO production rate compared to the parent CdS (CO, 23 μmol g⁻¹ h⁻¹) and CdS/UiO-bpy (CO, 0 μmol g⁻¹ h⁻¹). The selectivity for CO was found to be 85%. The CdS/UiO-bpy/Co composites (Co loading 6.18 wt%) enhanced the separation and migration of the photo-induced charge carriers, thereby enhancing the CO₂ adsorption for better photocatalytic performance. The CO₂ reduction activity was studied using the photocatalyst in a mixture solution of acetonitrile and triethanolamine under visible light irradiation, where triethanolamine (TEOA) was used as an electron donor and acetoniitrile as the solvent. The CO generation was increased with irradiation time. The CO evolution rate for CdS with Co-bpy as the co-catalyst was 110 μmol g⁻¹ h⁻¹, which was lower as compared to the CdS/UiO-bpy/Co composites. Here, the CdS nanoparticle size was found to be smaller due to the presence of the bpydc bridging ligands.

Considering the potential use of carbon dots (CDs) that can act as both electron receptors and photosensitizers, Li et al. reported on CD-containing MOF photocatalysts for improving the CO₂ reduction activity. They synthesized two types of photocatalysts for this study, one was CD decorated and the other one was the CD-embedded NH₂-Uio-66 particles. During the study, they found that the positioning of CDs (28 mg mL⁻¹) in MOFs greatly affects the photocatalytic activity of NH₂-Uio-66 where CDs act as co-catalysts. The embedded CDs in NH₂-Uio-66 exhibited better activity for CO₂ reduction than the CD-decorated NH₂-Uio-66. From the charge kinetic investigations, they confirmed that the embedded CDs were more suitable for creating charge separation and promoting charge transfer in MOFs than the decorated CDs in MOFs. This is due to the possibility of a direct contact of the embedded CDs with the internal Zr–O clusters of MOFs, which builds up many small heterojunctions. In this work, CDs not only act as electron
receptors for charge separation, but also act as photosensitizers in the photocatalyst, which enhance its photocatalytic activity for CO2 reduction. They also confirmed that excessive CDs into MOFs can do harm to the photocatalytic activity. The photocatalytic activity was carried out using a Xe lamp (set at 100 mW cm\(^{-2}\)) as the light source with a UV cut-off filter to achieve visible light at >420 nm. The catalyst was dispersed in a CO2 saturated solution of CH\(_3\)CN and triethanolamine, where TEOA was used as the sacrificial agent. The CO production rate was 3.5 \(\mu\)mol g\(^{-1}\) h\(^{-1}\) with the pristine NH\(_2\)-UiO-66-66 catalyst. For the CDs decorated MOF (CD/NH\(_2\)-UiO-66), the rate was slightly increased to 4.0 \(\mu\)mol g\(^{-1}\) h\(^{-1}\). The CO production for CDs embedded MOF (CD@NH\(_2\)-UiO-66) was quite high at 16.6 \(\mu\)mol g\(^{-1}\) h\(^{-1}\), which was 4.7 times greater than the pristine NH\(_2\)-UiO-66 MOF. This confirms the importance of the ‘location effect’ of CDs in pristine MOFs for enhanced photocatalytic CO2 conversion application (Fig. 5).

Maina et al. reported on one zeolitic imidazolate framework (ZIF-8) with controlled encapsulation of TiO\(_2\) and Cu-TiO\(_2\) nanoparticles using the rapid thermal deposition (RTD) method.\(^{47}\) The photocatalytic performance of this composite towards CO2 conversion was studied in the presence of UV irradiation for 6 h reaction cycle. The CO2 conversion using a hybrid membrane reactor yielded methanol (CH\(_3\)OH) and carbon monoxide (CO), and the yield was strongly dependent on the amount and configuration of the dopant semiconductor particles. The CuTiO\(_2\) nanoparticles (7 \(\mu\)g)-doped ZIF-8 membranes exhibited the highest photocatalytic performance of the CO production yield, and was enhanced by 233% compared to the pristine ZIF-8 membrane. Furthermore, the methanol yield was enhanced by 70%. This work provides a new path to fabricate hybrid membranes encapsulating inorganic semiconducting nanoparticles and MOFs, with enhanced application in various fields. The photocatalytic efficiency of CO2 conversion using hybrid membrane reactors was monitored taking both dimethylacetamide (DMAc) and acetonitrile (MeCN) as solvents. However, the photocatalyst exhibited the highest CO2 conversion performance for methanol and carbon monoxide production using DMAc as a solvent as compared to MeCN. This is due to the high CO2 solubilization capacity and stability of DMAc under UV light source. Furthermore, due to the higher Lewis's basicity of DMAc (0.73 BKT) than MeCN (0.23 BKT), DMAc exhibits more close interaction with CO2 (which is a Lewis acid), thereby exhibiting favorable photo-conversion.

Sun et al. reported on the heterostructures of NH\(_2\)-MIL-101(Fe)/g-C\(_3\)N\(_4\) (MCN-X) as photocatalysts with tunable surface structures, electrochemical, optical, and physicochemical properties to improve the photocatalytic CO2 reduction rate via a solvent-free route.\(^{48}\) Among these heterogeneous photocatalysts, NH\(_2\)-MIL-101(Fe)/g-C\(_3\)N\(_4\)-30 wt% (MCN-3) exhibited an excellent CO2 to CO conversion rate of 132.8 \(\mu\)mol g\(^{-1}\) h\(^{-1}\), which was 3.6 times more than that of pristine NH\(_2\)-MIL-101(Fe) and 6.9 times larger than that of sole g-C\(_3\)N\(_4\). The NH\(_2\)-MIL-101(Fe)/g-C\(_3\)N\(_4\) composite with efficient interface electron transfer between NH\(_2\)-MIL-101(Fe) (Fe ~74%) and g-C\(_3\)N\(_4\) resulted in the improved photocatalytic CO2 reduction upon visible light irradiation. This visible light-driven photoreduction of CO2 by the MCN-X series was performed via a solvent-free route by loading the photocatalysts in the filter membrane, and employing TEOA as the sacrificial agent. During the visible light driven photoreduction process, the heterostructure photocatalyst exhibited no HCOOH, CH\(_3\)OH, H\(_2\) and CH\(_4\) generation as products due to the employed solvent-free process, which was confirmed by \(^1\)H NMR spectral measurement and GC measurement. The only product formation was CO by MCN-3 with a higher photocatalytic efficiency rate of 132.8 \(\mu\)mol g\(^{-1}\) h\(^{-1}\) as compared to individual g-C\(_3\)N\(_4\) and NH\(_2\)-MIL-101(Fe). MCN-4 with an increased amount of g-C\(_3\)N\(_4\) compared to MCN-4 reduced the catalytic activity, which may be attributed to the excess g-C\(_3\)N\(_4\) over the NH\(_2\)-MIL-101(Fe) surface that blocks the interaction between CO2 and the catalytic active sites. The proves the presence of a synergistic effect between the NH\(_2\)-MIL-101(Fe) and g-C\(_3\)N\(_4\). The GC-MS analysis ensures the formation of CO during the photoreduction of CO2 (Fig. 6).

Chen and Duan et al. reported on the Au/PPF-3 composite, hybrids of thin porphyrin paddle-wheel frameworks-3 (PPF-3) nanosheets (PPF-3_1) anchored with AuNPs for the photocatalytic CO\(_2\) conversion into HCOOH.\(^{49}\) This helped to improve the morphology and assembly mode of PPF-3 between the AuNPs and PPF-3 nanosheets. Thin nanosheets in the

Fig. 5  (a) Schematic illustration of two synthetic routes toward the CD-decorated NH\(_2\)-UiO-66 particle and CD-embedded NH\(_2\)-UiO-66 particle, respectively. The decorating strategy is based on different surface charges, and the encapsulating strategy is based on using CDs as seeds. (b) Electron transfer process and photocatalytic mechanism of two samples for CO\(_2\) reduction. These figures have been adapted from ref. 46 with permission from the ROYAL SOCIETY OF CHEMISTRY, copyright 2020.
photocatalytic process cause a quick charge transfer and high mass transport compared to thick nanosheets. Alternatively, nanosheets loaded with AuNPs will result in more effective light absorption than the AuNPs encapsulated nanosheets. The Au/PFP-3 composite exhibits better CO₂ conversion in the MeCN/ETOH system by plasmon resonance energy transfer process than pure PPF-3_1 or hybrids of thick PPF-3 nanosheets (PPF-3_2) supported AuNPs. PPF-3 nanosheets were accumulated with plasmonic AuNPs by electrostatic interaction to further enhance the light absorption ability of the Au/PFP-3 hybrids. The photocatalytic HCOOH production rate using Au/PFP-3_1A was ~4-fold higher than the pure pristine PPF-3_1 in the presence of visible light. The aspect ratio of the Au nanoparticles and PPF-3 MOF were 1 : 10 with 16% Co content in PPF-3 MOF for good CO₂ conversion activity. Through this work, they provided a potential and novel way to improve the rate of the photocatalytic activity by improving the MOFs framework via morphological assembly route.

Zhang et al. reported one Fe-porphyrin based-MOF, MAPbI₃@PCN-221(Fe0.2) encapsulating the Perovskite quantum dots, CH₃NH₂PbI₃ (MAPbI₃) for CO₂ photoreduction into CO and CH₄. The perovskite QDs in the MOF transfer the photogenerated electrons of QDs to the Fe catalytic site in the MOF to enhance the photocatalytic activity with 40% and 12% Zr and Fe contents in MOF, respectively. Using water as the source of an electron (sacrificial reductant), the MAPbI₃@PCN-221(Fe0.2) photocatalyst exhibits a high photocatalytic CO₂ reduction yield of 1559 mol g⁻¹ to CO (34%) and CH₄ (66%), which is 38-fold larger than PCN-221(Fe0.2) in the absence of perovskite QDs. Moreover, the MAPbI₃ QDs integration into MOF can significantly enhance the stability of both LHP QDs and PCN-221(Fe). The photocatalytic CO₂ reduction experiments were performed in a CO₂-saturated ethyl acetate solution containing a little water as the sacrificial reductant and the solution was irradiated using 300 W Xe-lamp with a 400 nm filter, which yielded CO and CH₄ as the main reaction products for all PCN-221(Fe) and MAPbI₃@PCN-221(Fe) photocatalysts containing Fe. In the absence of Fe in the system, CO was found as the only product for PCN-221 and MAPbI₃@PCN-221 with little photocatalytic activity. For the photocatalytic systems, no H₂ and other liquid products like CH₃OH and HCOOH were detected under similar irradiation time of 25 h. The presence of Fe plays a vital role for photocatalytic CO₂ reduction. MAPbI₃@PCN-221(Fe0.2) exhibited the highest yield for CO₂ conversion, leading to CO (104 μmol g⁻¹) and CH₄ (325 μmol g⁻¹) (Fig. 7).

Li and his co-workers reported on the UiO-66-NH₂/graphene composite synthesized by in situ assembly of UiO-66-NH₂ onto graphene via microwave synthesis method for CO₂ conversion into formic acid and methane. The UiO-66-NH₂ crystallizes were highly dispersed on the graphene surface, and junctions between the graphene surface and UiO-66-NH₂ was formed. The UiO-66-NH₂/2.0 graphene (2 wt% graphene) composite was capable of capturing CO₂ ~73 cm³ g⁻¹ and exhibited high performance towards CO₂ conversion into formic acid (35.5 μmol) with good selectivity (78.6%) under visible-light irradiation. The high photocatalytic activity for the photoreduction of CO₂ with high selectivity was attributed to the small crystals size of MOFs, strong CO₂ sorption, well-dispersion of MOF on graphene, and strong junction between MOF and graphene. This leads to the generation of more charge carriers by trapping light and quick electron transfer from bulk to the MOF surface, increasing the stability of the system. The presence of amino groups in the MOFs structure enhances the CO₂ adsorption capability due to their basic nature. The introduction of graphene reduced the competitive reaction of hydrogen evolution (13.2 μmol) for the UiO-66-NH₂/2.0 graphene composite as compared to pure UiO-66-NH₂ (16.9 μmol), and it led to efficient formic acid production during photocatalytic CO₂ conversion. Introducing graphene made the composite highly selective towards formic acid production compared to hydrogen evolution during the CO₂ photoreduction. The high selectivity of CO₂ reduction towards formic acid formation as compared to hydrogen evolution using the UiO-66-NH₂/graphene composite can be attributed to the higher CO₂ adsorption and highly negative conduction band (CB) potential of the composite (~0.82 eV) that stimulates the higher reduction of CO₂ into HCOOH. The photocatalytic CO₂ reduction activity was carried out using 300 W Xe lamp, λ >410 nm as light source (irradiation time ~4 h), and DMF was used as solvent. TEOA was used as a sacrificial agent and H₂O (3 mL) was used as a proton source for the photoreduction of CO₂ (Table 1).

(b) Electrochemical approach. Electrochemical CO₂ reduction is another important approach for the production of valuable chemicals and fuels. This approach is very helpful for CO₂ reduction considering the high reaction rates under ambient conditions, easy tuning of the overpotential value and voltage-dependent products. Still, challenges lie in the architecture of the catalysts, which can produce good catalytic efficiency with low applied potential, high faradaic efficiency, long-term stability of the reaction, good solubility of CO₂ in the electrolyte solution, low temperature working condition, and the use of a nonaqueous electrolyte solution. The faradaic efficiency is an important key for the electrochemical CO₂ conversion into
value-added fuels and chemicals. Some catalysts exhibit excellent efficiency towards selective product formation in CO₂ conversion. Catalysts executing CO₂ conversion with low overpotential, high current density and high faradaic efficiency values are considered as the best catalysts for this purpose. Despite having several good reports for electrocatalytic CO₂ conversion with good faradaic efficiency, scientists are facing various difficulties on developing excellent catalysts towards the selective product formation of CO₂ reduction. This is due to the high overpotential value owing to the symmetrical structure of CO₂ and higher oxidation state of carbon, low charge transfer kinetics or low current density, consistency of the electrodes for shorter periods, CO₂ concentration, reaction temperature and pressure, pH, solvent selection, and product selectivity, which

| Table 1 | MOFs composites for photocatalytic CO₂ conversion |
|---------|-----------------------------------------------|
| Sl. no. | MOF composite or photocatalyst | Light source | Solvent used | Sacrificial agent | Fuel product | Photocatalytic reaction rate | Ref. |
| 1       | [Re(CO)₃(dcbpy)Cl]⁻-doped UiO-67              | 450 W Xe lamp with 300 nm cut-off filter | MeCN : triethylamine = 20 : 1 TEA | CO | CO-TON: 5 | 36 |
| 2       | PCN-222                                        | Xe lamp, wavelength ~420–800 nm | MeCN/TEOA (10 : 1 v/v, 60 mL) | TEOA | HCOO⁻ | 30 μmol g⁻¹ h⁻¹ | 37 |
| 3       | Ag□Re₂-MOF                                    | 300 W Xe lamp with visible band pass filters, λ = 400–700 nm | Acetonitrile/triethylamine | TEOA | CO | TON: 2.8 | 39 |
| 4       | CdS/UiO-bpy/Co composite                       | 300 W Xe lamp, λ ≥420 nm | Acetonitrile/triethanolamine | TEOA | CO | 235 μmol g⁻¹ h⁻¹ | 45 |
| 5       | CDs embedded MOF (Cd₄)NH₂-Uio-66               | Xe lamp (set at 100 mW cm⁻²) UV-cut-off filter~ λ >420 nm | CH₃CN/triethanolamine | TEOA | CO | 16.6 μmol gcat⁻¹ h⁻¹ | 46 |
| 6       | CuTiO₂ doped ZIF-8                            | UV-lamp, λ = 320–480 nm | Dimethylacetamide (DMAc)/acetonitrile (MeCN) | TEOA | CO, CH₃OH | CO ~2170 ppm g⁻¹ cat⁻¹, CH₃OH ~2238 ppm g⁻¹ cat⁻¹ | 47 |
| 7       | NH₂-MIL-101(Fe)/g-C₃N₄ [MCN-3]                | Visible light, 6 h irradiation | Solvent free route | TEOA | CO, CH₄ | 0.90 μmol (CH₄) | 0.90 μmol (CH₄) |
| 8       | Au-PPF-3                                       | Visible light | MeCN/MeOH | Ethanol | HCOOH | 42.7 μmol g⁻¹ h⁻¹ | 49 |
| 9       | MAPbI₃@PCN-221(Fe)                            | 300 W xenon lamp | MeCN/MeOH | Ethanol | H₂O | 6.6 μmol g⁻¹ h⁻¹ | 50 |
| 10      | UiO-66-NH₂/2.0graphene λ >410 nm, 4 h irradiation | DMF/TEOA/H₂O | TEOA | HCOO⁻, H₂, CH₄ | 13.2 μmol (H₂), 35.5 μmol (HCOO⁻) | 51 |
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are the main reasons affecting the efficiency in the electrocatalytic CO₂ reduction limiting their practical uses to some extent. MOFs-based composites are considered as an effective heterogenous catalyst for the electrocatalytic conversion of CO₂ into value-added chemicals and fuels considering their unique properties. The heterogeneous electrochemical reduction of CO₂ occurs at the electrode–electrolyte interfaces following these steps:

(i) chemical adsorption of CO₂ on the catalyst surface, where the cathodic electrode acts as a catalyst.
(ii) Electron or proton migration for C–O bond breaking and C–H bond formation.
(iii) Product species rearrangement, followed by desorption from the surface of the electrode and diffusion into the electrolyte.

Schroder et al. reported on one MOF-based electrode as an electrocatalyst prepared by electro-synthesis of MFM-300(In) on indium foil to study electrochemical CO₂ reduction. Since the efficient CO₂ electro-reduction over the MOFs materials is suppressed due to the poor interaction between the electrode surface and thermally synthesized MOFs, it results in a low faradaic efficiency of the particular product and low electrochemical stability of the catalyst. The synthesized electrocatalyst, MFM-300(In)-e/In electrode, exhibits improvement in the conductivity as compared to the MFM-300(In)/carbon-paper electrodes. The resultant electrocatalyst, MFM-300(In)-e/In, exhibited exceptional activities for the electro-reduction of CO₂ with a current density of 46.1 mA cm⁻² at an applied potential of −2.15 V vs. Ag/Ag⁺. The electrocatalytic CO₂ reduction results in the formation of formic acid after 2 h electrolysis with an exceptional faradaic efficiency of 99.1%. Indium and [Ni₅] sites at the linker are responsible for the CO₂ absorption activity. The facile preparation of the MFM-300(In)-e/In electrode with excellent electrochemical stability affords a new pathway for the development of efficient electro-catalysts for CO₂ reduction. They have also reported that the electro-synthesized MOF integrates additional framework In⁺ sites as structural defects, which enhances the charge transfer capability and promotes the CO₂ activation to the radicals, maintaining the stability and excellent electrocatalytic activity of the catalyst. The catalysis mechanism was studied by density functional theory (DFT).

Liu et al. reported a novel bismuth-based organic framework (Bi-BTC-D MOF) for the electrochemical reduction of CO₂. The Bi-MOF obtained by the conventional hydrothermal synthesis method was found to be very stable and effective to ERCO₂. The resultant Bi-BTC-D MOF (42% Bi in MOF) produced formate as a product with a Faraday efficiency (FE) of 95.5% at a potential of −0.86 V vs. HRE and a current density of −11.2 mA cm⁻². The FE was found to be very effective even after 12 h of spontaneous electrolysis without significant reduction. The experimental analysis confirmed that the excellent catalytic performance was attributed to the morphology of the electrocatalyst. DFT results confirmed that the Bi sites of Bi-BTC-D MOF played a major role in the efficient HCOO⁻ production at very low overpotential value. The BTC and DMF ligands in the Bi-BTC-D structure control the catalytic activity of the Bi atoms effectively. This work reveals the potential uses of the MOFs materials for the electroreduction of CO₂ into sustainable fuels.

Yaghi and Yang et al. reported on thin films of nanosized MOFs as nanoscale materials for the efficient and selective reduction of CO₂ to carbon monoxide in aqueous electrolytes. They synthesized cobalt porphyrin MOF, Al₂(OH)₄TCPP-Co (TCPP-H₂ = 4,4′,4″- (porphyrin-5,10, 15, 20 tetrayl)tetrabenzoate) for CO production with 76% selectivity and stability up to 7 h with per-site turnover number (TON) of 1400. From in situ spectroelectrochemical analysis, they confirmed the cobalt oxidation state during the course of the reaction, where they found that the maximum catalytic centers in this MOF electrocatalyst are redox-accessible, where CO(u) is reduced to CO(0) during catalysis. They further confirmed 6.1 × 10¹⁶ Co-atoms per square centimeter loading on MOF for the significant CO₂ reduction to CO. This study signifies the development of MOF-based electrochemical CO₂ reduction methods, where the catalytic active site, thickness/loading and inorganic backbone were chosen rationally, and the resulting MOF was incorporated into a conductive backbone. Such type of system modularity results in different prospects to further enhance the performance and develop new ways in electrocatalysis (Fig. 8).

Albo and his co-workers reported on Cu-based metal–organic porous materials (MOPM) supported on gas diffusion electrodes (GDEs) to accelerate the electrocatalytic CO₂ conversion to alcohols. The four different synthesized MOPM-GDEs were named as, (1) HKUST-1 MOF, [Cu₃(μ₃-C₅H₇O₅)₆]; (2) CuAdeAce MOF, [Cu₃(μ₃-C₅H₇O₅)₆]; (3) CuDTA mesoporous metal–organic aerogel (MOA), [Cu(μ-C₂H₂N₂S₂)]; (4) CuZnDTA MOA, [Cu₀.8Zn₀.4(μ-C₂H₂N₂S₂)]. These electrodes exhibited comparatively large surface areas, large accessibility to the Cu catalytic centers, and favorable electrocatalytic CO₂ reduction activity with efficient production of methanol and ethanol in the liquid phase. The electrocatalytic reduction activity was carried out in a filter-press electrochemical cell under ambient conditions. The faradaic efficiencies of the electrodes for CO₂ conversion were obtained as HKUST-1 (15.9%), CuAdeAce (1.2%), and CuZnDTA (9.9%) at current density of 10 mA cm⁻². Among the four electrodes, the HKUST-1- and CuZnDTA-based electrodes exhibited stability in the electrocatalytic performance for up to 17 and 12 h, respectively. This work provides an idea to design efficient electrocatalysts for CO₂ reduction, including paddle-wheel motifs that exhibit square planar coordination geometry around the Cu(u) centers and create open metal sites to cause strong interaction with guest species over the porous framework.

Dong and Feng et al. reported on one effective bimetallic two-dimensional conjugated metal–organic framework (2D c-MOF) named as PcCu-O₉-Zn with copper-phthaloxyanine (CuN₄) as ligand and zinc-bis (dihydroxy) complex (ZnO₄) as a linkage for the electrocatalytic CO₂ reduction reaction (CO₂RR). The PcCu-O₉-Zn exhibited high selectivity towards CO (88%) with simultaneous water reduction to H₂ (12%). The TOF exhibited by PcCu-O₉-Zn was 0.39 s⁻¹ with long-term stability (>10 h). Varying the metal centers and the applied potential, the molar ratio of H₂/CO can be tuned, thereby upgrading the use of 2D c-MOFs for syngas industry applications. The
spectroelectrochemistry and theoretical calculation reveals the synergistic catalytic mechanism of the work, where ZnO complexes act as catalytic sites for CO2RR, while CuN4 centers cause the protonation of adsorbed CO2 during the CO2 reduction process. This work provides an idea to develop bimetallic MOFs electrocatalysts for syngas synthesis by synergistically catalyzing CO2RR.

Yu and Qiu et al. reported on one tailormade multifunctional Cu-MOF as an electrocatalyst, which was synthesized from Cu2O to Cu2O@Cu-MOF by time-resolved controllable restructuring.57 The synthesized Cu2O@Cu-MOF electrocatalyst (Cu ~33%) showed a time-responsive nature and large specific surface area for the strong chemisorption of CO2 on the catalytically active sites of the Cu2O surface of the MOF composite. The generated charge-transfer was derived from the Cu2O core, rather than the Cu-MOF. The Cu2O@Cu-MOF electrocatalyst yielded hydrocarbons with a high hydrocarbon faradaic efficiency of 79.4%. The faradaic efficiency of CH4 was found to be quite high at 63.2% at −1.71 V vs. RHE. The multiple functionalities (like catalysis, absorption capability and activation) of the MOF obtained from the combined effect of Cu2O and Cu-MOF are responsible for the high electrocatalytic performance and selective reduction of CO2 to CH4 (Fig. 9).

Heterogeneous electrocatalysts have the ability to ease the deactivation (like aggregation and dimerization) of active catalysts. Thus, these catalysts can improve the catalytic lifetime, catalyst’s solubility in any solvent, and controllability over surroundings of the catalyst’s active sites for enhanced performance. Heterogeneous catalysts have the capability to keep away the reduction catalyst from the electrode, in which the oxidation half-reaction (e.g., water to O2) occurs. Based on the principle of heterogeneous electrochemical conversion of CO2 to fuels under the requirement of high flux conditions to aggregate large reactant accessible catalysts on conductive surfaces via molecular catalysis, Hod and his group reported on the electrophoretic deposition of thin films of a perfectly chosen MOF material for electrocatalytic CO2 reduction into useful chemicals.58 This was an effective method for immobilizing the required catalyst quantity. They reported on one Fe-porphyrin incorporated MOF, where the functionalized Fe-porphyrins behaved as structurally and catalytically competent, and as redox-conductive linkers. This approach resulted in the highly effective surface coverage of electrochemically addressable catalytic sites (~1015 sites per cm2). The resulting MOF composite produced CO and H2 as CO2 reduction products with ~100% faradaic efficiency. The well-defined porosity of the MOF enabled the reactant, solvent and electrolyte access to the

Fig. 8 The MOF catalyst allows for the modulation of metal centers, molecular linkers, and functional groups at the molecular level (A). The organic building units, in the form of cobalt-metalated TCPP, are assembled into a 3D MOF, Al2(OH)2TCPP-Co with variable inorganic building blocks (B). Co, orange spheres; O, red spheres; C, black spheres; N, blue spheres; Al, light-blue octahedra; and pyrrole ring, blue. In this structure, each carboxylate from A is bound to the aluminum inorganic backbone. The MOF is integrated with a conductive substrate to achieve a functional CO2 electrochemical reduction system (C). This figure has been adapted from ref. 54 with permission from the AMERICAN CHEMICAL SOCIETY, copyright 2015.

Fig. 9 Schematic illustration of the process to synthesize Cu2O@Cu-MOF and the bar diagram showing the faradaic efficiency of generated hydrocarbons using the synthesized catalysts. This figure has been adapted from ref. 57 with permission from the AMERICAN CHEMICAL SOCIETY, copyright 2019.
surface of the catalytic sites. The metalloporphyrin in MOF helps in the delivery of reducing equivalents to catalytic sites, which are not in direct contact with the underlying electrode.

Quan et al. reported on oxide-derived Cu/carbon (OD Cu/C) catalysts by a facile carbonization of Cu-based MOF (HKUST-1). The electrocatalyst exhibited good selectivity, good stability and high activity towards electrochemical CO₂ reduction to alcohol products. The resultant catalyst, OD Cu/C-1000, yielded a faradaic efficiency of 13.8–8.3% and 31.4–34.8% for methanol and ethanol at −0.5 to −0.7 V, respectively vs. RHE. The onset potential for C₂H₅OH production was very low, which was approx. −0.1 V vs. RHE, corresponding to an overpotential value of 190 mV. The excellent enhancement in the selectivity and activity of the electrocatalyst was attributed to the synergistic effect between the highly dispersed copper and matrix of porous carbon. For a mechanistic study for the electrocatalytic CO₂ reduction on OD Cu/C electrode, in situ infrared spectrum was employed. Based on DFT, they proposed the possible reaction pathway for CO₂ electroreduction on the OD Cu/C-1000 electrode, as shown in Fig. 10 (Table 2).

(c) Photoelectrocatalytic approach. Photoelectrochemical (PEC) CO₂ reduction into fuels and useful chemicals is one of the most promising approaches. Yet, there are many challenges as few reports are found using MOF-based materials for photoelectrocatalytic CO₂ conversion. The PEC approach is an artificial photosynthesis method to produce valuable hydrocarbons that mainly uses the p-type semiconductor as a photocathode to conduct CO₂ reduction. The PEC of CO₂ follows both photocatalytic and electrocatalytic strategies. Thus, it utilizes the light energy sources to reduce the electricity consumption as compared to the electrocatalytic approach. The PEC approach results in an efficient catalytic rate due to the application of an external bias voltage that helps to promote the separation of photogenerated charge-carriers, which is very important to achieve high catalytic efficiency. No complete studies on PEC CO₂ conversion mechanism have been made until now. One H-type PEC reactor has been introduced with a suitable ion-exchange process in most of the cases. Also, PEC reactor configurations (like microfluidic, continuous flow, and PV) have attained great importance in recent years for their high performance in this regard.

The p-type semiconductors such as Cu₂O exhibit harmful photo-corrosion and chemical changes. In view of this, Xiong et al. reported on the Cu₃(BTC)₂/Cu₂O photocathode by coating Cu₃(BTC)₂ MOF on the Cu₂O photocathode, which has the ability to stop the photo-corrosion of Cu₂O and develop active catalytic sites for CO₂ reduction. By ultrafast spectroscopy, it was found that the newly formed interface can effectively enhance the charge separation and charge transfer. The MOF coating can enhance the activity and durability of Cu₂O for PEC CO₂ reduction. This work gives insight into the architecture of progressive hybrid photoelectrodes and the importance of synergistic effect between the highly dispersed copper and matrix of porous carbon.

### Table 2  MOFs composites for the electrocatalytic CO₂ conversion

| Sl no. | Electrode                  | Electrolyte                   | Potential          | Product                  | Faradaic efficiency (%) | Ref. |
|-------|----------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|------|
| 1     | MFM-300(In)-c/In           | 0.5 M Emim BF₄/MeCN          | −2.15 V vs. Ag/Ag⁺| HCOOH                    | 99.1                     | 52   |
| 2     | Bi-BTC-D MOF               | 0.5 M KHCO₃ aqueous solution | −0.86 V RHE        | HCOO⁻                    | 95.5                     | 53   |
| 3     | Cobalt-porphyrin MOF       | 0.5 M K₂CO₃                 | −0.5 to −0.9 V vs. RHE | CO                       | 76                       | 54   |
| 4     | Cu-based MOPM-GDEs         | 0.2 M KHCO₃                 | −0.9 to −1.75 V vs. Ag/AgCl | CH₃OH, C₂H₅OH (CH₃OH + C₂H₅OH) ~ HKUST-1 (15.9), CuAdA-ace (1.2), CuZnDTA (9.9) | 55   |
| 5     | PeCu-O₂-Zn                 | 0.1 M KHCO₃ aqueous solution | −0.7 V vs. RHE     | CO₂, H₂                  | CO ~88                    | 56   |
| 6     | Cu₂O@Cu-MOF                | 0.1 mol L⁻³ KHCO₃           | −1.71 V vs. RHE    | CH₄, C₂H₄                | CH₄ ~63.2, C₂H₄ ~16.2 (CO + H₂) ~100 | 57   |
| 7     | Fe-porphyrin incorporated MOF (Fe-MOF-525) | 1 M TBAPF₆ acetonitrile | −1.3 V vs. NHE     | CO₂, H₂                  | CO ~88                    | 58   |
| 8     | OD Cu/C                    | 0.1 M KHCO₃                 | −0.5–0.7 V vs. RHE | CH₃OH, C₂H₅OH (CH₃OH + 3.8–8.3), C₂H₅OH (31.4–34.8) | 59   |
interfacial charge dynamics in photoelectrocatalytic CO₂ conversion. The Cu-MOF/Cu₂O photocathode converted CO₂ to CO with a faradaic efficiency of 95% without using any sacrificial agent, as shown in Fig. 11.

In this work, photoelectrochemical (PEC) experiments were performed using a three electrode quartz photoelectrochemical cell under irradiation, combined with an electrochemical workstation and a gas chromatograph (GC). The analysis was done using CO₂-saturated acetonitrile containing 0.1 M tetrabutylammonium hexafluorophosphate as a supporting electrolyte, and a 300 W xenon lamp with a 420 nm long wave-pass cut-off filter (λ >420 nm) was used as the light source. The applied external potential for CO production was about −1.77 and −1.97 V vs. Fe/Fe³⁺, which leads to 95% FE (Table 3).

2.2. Hydrogen production

Hydrogen is a clean energy carrier that has various useful applications as fuel in power generation, vehicles and industrial applications, such as manufacturing of nitrogenous fertilizers and many useful organic products. Hydrogen is considered as one of the most promising fuels to overcome energy limitations for future generation. Therefore, the efficient production of hydrogen chemically using low-cost, feasible reaction processes has become very challenging for boosting the hydrogen economy. Nowadays, the water splitting process is considered an efficient route for hydrogen production efficiently using solar energy and other energy sources, along with hydrogen generation by CO₂ conversion process as discussed above. The design of efficient catalysts for this purpose is another important factor. Recently, many MOFs-based catalysts have been developed for hydrogen production considering the unique structural features of MOFs. Enhanced light absorption ability of MOFs accelerates the charge separation of photogenerated electron–hole pairs for efficient hydrogen evolution reactions. Recently, chemists have reported on many MOFs composites containing nanoparticles, g-C₃N₄, traditional inorganic semiconducting materials, complexes, COFs, metals, and other functional materials with enhanced H₂ production rate.

Many MOFs derivatives using MOFs as templates like oxides and sulfides have been reported for excellent H₂ production.

Water splitting reactions can proceed via three main routes: (a) photocatalytic water splitting, (b) electrocatalytic water splitting, and (c) photoelectrocatalytic water splitting. All three approaches are very convenient to carry out water splitting reactions for efficient hydrogen production. Recently, the photoelectrocatalytic approach has received much attention of the scientists due to the recent development, and considering that there are very few reports using both photo- and electro-catalytic methods.

(a) Photocatalytic approach. Photocatalytic hydrogen production is a very promising approach, which can utilize solar energy for conversion into chemical energy. Water plays the important role for photocatalytic hydrogen production, owing to its important properties like most abundant resource on earth and pollution-free hydrogen generation with high caloric value of hydrogen. Moreover, photocatalytic water reduction leads to two half reactions, oxidation and reduction, where oxygen and hydrogen are produced on the surface of the photocatalyst, respectively. Water splitting is a 4 e⁻ process thermodynamically that requires a Gibbs free energy (ΔG) of 237 kJ mol⁻¹, and it corresponds to a potential value of 1.23 eV. Therefore, the water splitting reaction uses more than 50% of solar energy, and the two half reactions acquire high energy to proceed. Hence, both thermodynamic and kinetic considerations are important for water splitting reactions. The photocatalytic H₂-evolution efficiency mainly depends upon the nature and amount of the photocatalysts and the irradiation source. The photocatalytic water splitting follows three main steps: (a) irradiation of the semiconductor photocatalyst to generate electrons in the excited state of the photocatalyst (CB or LUMO), and holes are generated in the valence band (VB) or HOMO of the photocatalyst. (b) The photogenerated charge carriers get separated by migration to the catalyst’s surface. (c) The excited electrons on the photocatalyst surface i.e., in LUMO of the photocatalyst react with water to produce hydrogen, and oxygen is produced in the holes of the photocatalyst (HOMO). Desorption of gases from the surface of...
the photocatalyst then occurs. For a feasible water splitting reaction, the LUMO or CB of the photocatalyst must be more negative than the redox potential of water reduction (0.00 V vs. NHE, pH = 0), and the VB or HOMO of the photocatalyst must possess more positive potential as compared to the redox potential for water oxidation (1.23 V vs. NHE, pH = 0) (Fig. 12).

From the literature, it was found that photocatalytic water splitting (PWS) has three main approaches:

(i) photocalytic overall water splitting (POWS) \[2\text{H}_2\text{O} \rightarrow 2\text{H}_2 + \text{O}_2\].

(ii) Photocatalytic partial water splitting (PPWS) \[2\text{H}_2\text{O} + \text{sacrificial reagent} \rightarrow 2\text{H}_2 + \text{oxidized sacrificial reagent products}\].

(iii) Photocatalytic intermediate water splitting (PIWS) \[2\text{H}_2\text{O} \rightarrow \text{H}_2 + \text{H}_2\text{O}_2\].

Among these three approaches, POWS is challenging because of the low photocatalytic efficiency, costly H$_2$/O$_2$ separation, and reverse reaction mixtures. PPWS requires costly sacrificial agents, and is not a sustainable one although it is commonly used. Furthermore, the photocatalytic rate is quite high. Recently, PIWS became the most challenging one. This approach is helpful for generating the most valuable byproduct H$_2$O$_2$, and no difficulty is associated with the produced H$_2$/O$_2$ separation. Furthermore, PIWS provides one H$_2$ from two H$_2$O molecules (Fig. 13).

Since the discovery of the first MOF for photocatalytic hydrogen production using visible light irradiation, various MOFs with structural diversity as semiconducting materials for hydrogen production have been developed. As compared to the traditional inorganic semiconductors, semiconducting MOFs have some advantages for use in photocatalytic water splitting reactions. These include the MOF's high porosity, tunable functionality, availability of catalytically active sites, enhanced charge separation ability, and introducing highly light-responsive co-catalyst or functional materials into highly porous MOFs surface. Pristine MOFs have direct uses as catalysts for photocatalytic hydrogen evolution on UV light irradiation. Silva et al. reported on the highly water stable UiO-66 MOF for photocatalytic hydrogen production under monochromatic light irradiation at a wavelength of 370 nm. The photocatalytic activity was carried out in an aqueous solution of methanol, where methanol was used as a sacrificial electron donor.

| Catalyst | Light source & time | Solvent | Product | Yield (µmol g$^{-1}$) & selectivity (%) | Ref. |
|----------|---------------------|---------|---------|----------------------------------------|------|
| CsPbBr$_3$ QDs (15%)/UiO-66(NH$_2$) | 300 W xenon arc lamp (≥420 nm), 12 h | H$_2$O/ethyl acetate | CO, CH$_4$ | 98.57, 3.08 | 62 |
| NH$_2$-rGO (5 wt%)/Al-PMOF | 125 W mercury lamp, 6 h | MeCN/TEOA | HCOO$^-$ | 4113.6 (100%) | 63 |
| NH$_2$-MIL-101(Fe) | 300 W xenon arc lamp (400 < λ < 780 nm), 5 h | MeCN/TEOA | HCOO$^-$ | 87.6 | 64 |
| Eu-Ru(phen)$_3$-MOF | 300 W [420 < λ < 800 nm], 6 h | MeCN/TEOA | HCOO$^-$ | 2205 | 65 |
| NH$_2$-UiO-66(Zr) | 500 W [420 < λ < 800 nm], 6 h | MeCN/TEOA | HCOO$^-$ | 2198 (72%) | 66 |
| Copper porphyrin MOF | 300 W Xe arc lamp (λ ≥ 420 nm), 1 h | Solvent free | CO | 262.6 (95%) | 67 |
| Ui-66-CrCAT | Visible, 1 h | MeCN/TEOA (4 : 1), 0.1 M BNAH | HCOOH | 1724, 959 | 68 |
| Ui-66-GaCAT | Visible, 10 h | MeCN/TEOA | HCOO$^-$ | 30 (51%) | 69 |
| PCN-22 | UV-vis, 10 h | H$_2$O | CO | 8.8 (31%), 0.99 | 70 |
| Co-ZIF-9/TiO$_2$ | 300 W xenon arc lamp (≥420 nm), TIPA, 12 h | MeCN/H$_2$O | HCOO$^-$ | 10.52 (17%) | 71 |
| Co$_x$-MOF | 150 W xenon lamp (420 ≤ λ ≤ 780), TEOA, 3 h | CO, H$_2$ | 39.36 (71%), 28.13 (51%) | 72 |
| MOF-74 | 500 W xenon lamp, 5 h | H$_2$O | CO | 7.42 | 73 |
| Pt/MOF-74 | CO,CH$_4$ | 8.85 (99.7%), 9.04 | 74 |
| Au@Pd@MOF-74 | CO | 12.31 (100%) | 74 |
| Pt/Au@Pd@MOF-74 | CO, CH$_4$ | 2.32 (99.6%), 12.35 | 74 |
| MOF-525-Co | 300 W xenon arc lamp (400 nm < λ < 800 nm), TEOA, 6 h | MeCN/TEOA | CO, CH$_4$ | 384.12, 37.2 | 74 |
| MOF-525-Zn | 150 W [420 < λ < 800 nm], TEOA, 6 h | MeCN/TEOA | CH$_4$, H$_2$ | 670.2, 69.81 | 76 |
| AUBM-4 | Cu$_x$(BTC)$_2$@TiO$_2$ | 300 W xenon arc lamp, λ < 400 nm, 4 h | H$_2$O | 2.64, 2.29 | 76 |
| Ag@Co-ZIF-9 | Visible light, 0.5 h | MeCN/TEOA/H$_2$O | CO, CH$_4$ | 28.4, 22.9 | 77 |
| g-CNQDs@MOF | Visible light, 24 h | DMF : H$_2$O (3 : 1), TEA | CH$_3$OH | 9264 | 78 (our work) |
donor. UiO-66 exhibited 2.4 mL H2 under 3 h irradiation time. At similar conditions, UiO-66-NH2 produced 2.8 mL H2 due to the increased light absorption by the amino group present.

To enhance the photocatalytic activities of a semiconductor, heterojunction construction is an effective route to ensure the charge carriers opposite migration by conduction-band (CB) and valence-band (VB) offsets. The cocatalyst loading on the semiconducting photocatalyst enhances the photocatalytic H2 evolution rate. Zhang et al. reported on the highly stable and visible-light responsive MOF, NH2-Uio-66, by constructing an excellent heterojunction with g-C3N4 and encapsulating CDs into the pores of NH2-Uio-66 with 38% Zr in MOF to form a ternary composite photocatalyst, Cd@NH2-Uio-66/g-C3N4, as carbon nanodots (CDs) have high light harvesting capacity and excellent electron transfer ability. In this work, the NH2-Uio-66/g-C3N4 heterojunction was built up at first, and the CDs were incorporated into the NH2-Uio-66 pores. The CDs were generated from encapsulated glucose in the uniform pores of NH2-Uio-66 MOF. The ternary composite, Cd@NH2-Uio-66/g-C3N4, exhibited a hydrogen production rate of 2.930 mmol h\(^{-1}\) g\(^{-1}\) under visible-light irradiation. The rate was quite high as compared to that of the bulk g-C3N4, NH2-Uio-66 and NH2-Uio-66/g-C3N4. The optimum concentration of CDs was 2.77 wt\%.

Light-absorbing chromophores such as porphyrin motifs, metal complexes, and NH2-BDC can be incorporated into MOFs to enhance the hydrogen evolution rate in the photocatalytic water splitting process, as these chromophore groups in the MOF framework enhance the energy conversion process by facilitating charge separation and improving the light harvesting capacity. Wang et al. reported on the Zr-based MOFs introducing light-harvesting Ir complexes. Pt nanoparticles were introduced into the pores of the MOFs as a co-catalyst, which enhanced the photocatalytic H2 evolution activity. The resulting Pt@MOF (Pt/Ir ∼17.8) composite exhibited efficient photocatalytic hydrogen evolution under visible light, with TONs of 7000, which was very high as compared to homogeneous systems.

Hybrid materials of covalent organic frameworks (COFs) and MOFs have drawn great attention for photocatalytic H2 production. Yang et al. reported a dye-sensitized Pd/MOF catalyst for photocatalytic hydrogen production. A nanosized Zr-MOF, Uio-66 (Zr ∼40%) was synthesized solvothermally and Pd was loaded on Zr-MOF by impregnation reduction. The photocatalytic hydrogen evolution study was performed using TEOA as a sacrificial donor in the presence of visible-light (\(\lambda \geq 420\) nm), and eosin Y (EY) was introduced as a photosensitizer. The Pd/MOF with Pd loading of 3% exhibited the maximum photocatalytic activity of 2.28 mmol h\(^{-1}\) g\(^{-1}\). The Pd nanoparticles in the Zr-MOF provided an electronic outlet, and the dye extended the spectral absorption range. The visible light source was a 5 W light-emitting diode lamp of wavelength 420 nm for studying the photocatalytic activity, and the evolved hydrogen was measured by gas chromatography. The mechanism of hydrogen evolution is shown in Fig. 15.

Light-absorbing chromophores such as porphyrin motifs, metal complexes, and NH2-BDC can be incorporated into MOFs to enhance the hydrogen evolution rate in the photocatalytic water splitting process, as these chromophore groups in the MOF framework enhance the energy conversion process by facilitating charge separation and improving the light harvesting capacity. Wang et al. reported on the Zr-based MOFs introducing light-harvesting Ir complexes. Pt nanoparticles were introduced into the pores of the MOFs as a co-catalyst, which enhanced the photocatalytic H2 evolution activity. The resulting Pt@MOF (Pt/Ir ∼17.8) composite exhibited efficient photocatalytic hydrogen evolution under visible light, with TONs of 7000, which was very high as compared to homogeneous systems.
production due to their ordered long-range geometry, excellent light absorption capacity, large surface area, and tunable band gaps. Lan and his group introduced a 2-D COF (TpPa-1-COF) with stable MOF (NH2-UiO-66). The MOF was covalently anchored on the surface of COF to form an interesting hybrid of MOF/COF. The resulting porous hybrid material NH2-UiO66/TpPa-1-COF, synthesized in a 4:6 ratio exhibited the maximum photocatalytic H2 evolution rate of 23.41 mmol g\(^{-1}\) h\(^{-1}\) with a TOF value of 402.36 h\(^{-1}\). The rate was about 20 times greater than that of the parent TpPa-1-COF. The experimental studies and DFT measurement confirmed that COF has effective light absorption capability with similar band gaps in between MOF and COF. There was also an efficient charge separation over the covalent heterojunction interface in the MOF/COF hybrid, which greatly contributed to the reaction rate for H2 evolution. The Zr content in the MOF was about 12.72 wt% and co-catalyst Pt loading in COF was 3 wt% for the resulting MOF/COF hybrid for efficient H2 production. Naggar et al.\(^98\) reported on two MOF structures containing single and binary central metal ions, such as nickel-benzene dicarboxylic acid (Ni-BDC) and nickel/copper-benzene tri-carboxylic acid (Ni/Cu-BTC). The two MOFs were then used for photocatalytic hydrogen evolution activity, and it was found that Ni-MOF (Ni ~67% in MOF) exhibited hydrogen productivity of 200 mmol h\(^{-1}\) with 50% purity. The Ni/Cu-MOF exhibited hydrogen storage activity due to the involvement of two metal cations within MOF (Table 4).

**Electrocatalytic approach.** There are several industrial methods for hydrogen production, such as steam reforming, coal gasification, and water splitting. Among these, the hydrogen evolution reaction (HER) by water splitting is gaining more importance due to advantages like performing the reaction at RT condition and at ambient pressure, easy separation of the reaction products selectively, and most efficiently, water is the most abundant component on earth. The electrocatalytic water splitting approach for H2 production using electrocatalysts was found to be fruitful because of the capability of the electrocatalysts to lower the electric voltage utilization, yielding a high reaction rate. Electolysis of water mainly involves two half reactions, the hydrogen evolution reaction (HER) and oxygen evolution reaction (OER), where HER occurs at the cathode and OER at the anode of an electroanalyser.\(^{100,101}\) The electrochemical HER via water splitting process involves two steps: absorption of the formed hydrogen atom during the release of H\(_2\)O or H\(_2\)O\(^+\) at the catalytically active sites (Volmer reaction) and H\(_2\) formation and evolution. The details of the HER mechanism by electrocatalytic water splitting process using electrodes under different conditions has been discussed broadly in some previous reports.\(^{102-106}\) The electrocatalytic hydrogen evolution reaction mechanism in both acidic and basic media has also been explained in detail in previous reports.\(^{107-109}\) Knowing the complete mechanistic insights in both acidic and basic media of electrocatalytic HER would help...
to differentiate the reaction pathways followed by the electrocatalytic system. Efficient hydrogen production via electrolytic water splitting using MOF-based catalysts has become another important strategy to develop renewable fuels as an energy source. Pristine MOFs and MOFs-based materials incorporating a catalytically active moiety into its porous framework can serve as excellent catalysts for electrocatalytic HER (Fig. 16).

Carbon electrocatalysts comprising metal complexes (e.g., MNx and MSx) are considered as promising materials to be used as replacements to costly Pt catalysts for HER. Seifert and Feng et al.\textsuperscript{110} reported on carbon-rich 2D MOF-based catalysts incorporating molecular metal dithiolenediamine (MS2N2, M = Co and Ni), metal bis(dithiolene) (MS4), and metal bis(diamine) (MN4) complexes, which were used as electrocatalysts for electrocatalytic hydrogen evolution. The 2D MOF-based catalysts with various metal complexes follow the order, MS2N2 > MN4 > MS4. The protonation complexes on the metal atoms, which was confirmed from experimental and DFT calculation. The simultaneous H2 evolution seemed to happen on the M – N units of the MS2N2 active centers. The electrocatalytic HER performance of the 2D MOF sheets was performed using the rotating disk electrode (RDE) method in the N2-saturated aqueous solution. The three different modified electrodes of the 2D MOF-sheets (THTA-Co, THTA-Ni, and THT-Co nanosheets) and a bare electrode were taken in a solution of 0.5 M H2SO4 for electrocatalytic HER, and it was found that THTA-Co-2D MOF sheets with CoS2N2 active sites exhibited the best H2 evolution performance as compared to the other three. Under a similar current density of 10 mA cm\textsuperscript{2}, THTA-Co-2D MOF exhibited a lower overpotential value (\textasciitilde 92 mV) and lower Tafel slope of 71 mV decade\textsuperscript{1}. At equilibrium potential, the active site (CoS2N2) of THTA-Co-2D MOF exhibited a lower Gibb's free energy of \textasciitilde 0.12 eV than the other MOFs electrodes. The lower Gibb's free energy suggests weaker H* adsorption on the active surface sites and weaker adsorption of H*, leading to excellent catalytic performance for the HER route. The high exchange rate of the current density with the small overpotential value and low Gibb's free energy results in enhanced HER. The order of activity of THTA-Co-2D MOF was found to be CoS2N2 (\textasciitilde 0.12 eV) > CoN4 (\textasciitilde 0.4 eV) > CoS4 (\textasciitilde 0.42 eV) for the three active sites.

Loh et al.\textsuperscript{111} reported on the GO/copper-MOF hybrid structure as an electrocatalyst for electrocatalytic HER, along with the oxygen evolution and reduction reaction. The catalyst has the ability to coordinate with more electronegative ligands of nitrogen and oxygen functional groups, thereby enhancing the stability of the framework structure, specifically encapsulating GO in acidic medium. Graphene oxide (GO) in the MOF framework serves as linkers to MOF nodes, and also behaves as an electron transfer mediator. The GO-encapsulated Cu-MOF composite with 41% Cu in MOF provides a power density, which is 76% that of the commercial Pt catalyst. The (GO 8 wt%)/Cu-MOF hybrid exhibited the best photocatalytic performance for HER with smaller Tafel slope of 84 mV dec\textsuperscript{1} with a minimum overpotential of \textasciitilde 0.209 V vs. RHE at a current density of \textasciitilde 30 mA cm\textsuperscript{2} as compared to that of Cu-MOF and (GO 6 wt%)/Cu-MOF.

Duan and his co-workers reported on an ultrathin nanosheet array of MOFs on various substrates via dissolution–crystallization mechanism.\textsuperscript{112} These materials showed excellent

### Table 4 A few examples of MOFs-based materials for photocatalytic H2 evolution

| Sl no | Photocatalyst | Light source | Solvent | Sacrificial agent | H2 production rate (µmol g\textsuperscript{\textendash}) | Ref. |
|-------|--------------|--------------|---------|------------------|----------------|-----|
| 1     | UiO-66 MOF & | 200 W Xe-doped | H2O/MeOH | —                | 0.0024 (2.4 mL) &   | 92  |
|       | UiO-66-NH2 MOF | lamp, λ \textasciitilde 370 nm, 3 h |   |   | 0.0028 (2.8 mL)   |     |
| 2     | CD2@NH2-UiO-66/g-C3N4 | Visible light irradiation (λ > 420 nm), 5 h | H2O | Sodium ascorbate | 14 650 | 94  |
| 3     | Pd/MOF | 5 W light-emitting diode lamp, λ > 420 nm, 4 h | H2O | TEOA | 9430 | 95  |
| 4     | Pt@MOFs | 450 W Xe-lamp with a 420 nm cutoff filter, 48 h | H2O | TEA | TON \textasciitilde 7000 | 96  |
| 5     | NH2-Uio 66/TpPza-1-COF | 300 W Xe lamp with a cut-off filter of 420 nm, 1 h | H2O | Sodium ascorbate | 23 410 | 97  |
| 6     | Ni-MOF | Visible light lamp, 1 h | H2O/MeOH | — | 200 000 | 98  |
performance for HER due to the presence of active molecular metal sites with ultra-small thin nanosheets, enhanced conductivity and ordered porosity. They designed a nickel-iron-based MOF array (NiFe-MOF), which exhibited a minimum overpotential value of 134 mV at a current density of 10 mA cm$^{-2}$ and Tafel slope of 256 mV dec$^{-1}$ for electrocatalytic HER. The presence of 23% Fe impurities in MOF enhanced the activities for Ni-based catalysts by introducing excess structural vacancies. In addition, they demonstrated excellent electrocatalytic performance for OER and overall water splitting. Roy and his co-workers reported a new MOF comprising cobaloximes that served as metallo-linkers between hexanuclear zirconium clusters. While the reported MOF material, UU-100(Co), was grown on conducting substrates and a reduction potential was applied, the cobaloxime linkers seemed to accelerate electron transport over the thin film. The TON was found to be much higher than the other equivalent cobaloxime system. The UU-100(Co) electrocatalyst with Zr : Co ≈ 2.8 ± 0.3 showed excellent electrocatalytic H$_2$ production from water at acidic medium. The Tafel analysis of the LSV data produced a Tafel slope of 250 mV dec$^{-1}$. When the catalyst was grown on glassy carbon, it exhibited electrochemical HER for 18 h at a constant current density of 1.7 mA cm$^{-2}$. Post-electrolysis analysis confirmed the intact molecular integrity of the cobaloxime linkers in the MOF material (Table 5).

(e) **Photoelectrocatalytic approach.** Photoelectrocatalytic (PEC) water splitting into hydrogen production is a very genuine eco-friendly approach that utilizes solar energy to produce oxygen and hydrogen molecules using a photocatalyst. The PEC water splitting mechanism proceeds through the following steps: (i) water splitting occurs at the photoanode of the electrochemical cell in the presence of solar light, creating photogenerated charge carriers. (ii) At the photoanode, oxygen is produced in the holes created. (iii) External circuits produce electrons at the anode, and these electrons get transferred to the cathode. (iv) H$^+$ produced at the anode moves to the cathode through the electrolyte solution to produce H$_2$ gas. The net reaction for H$_2$ production in PEC via water splitting is as shown below (Fig. 17).

$$2hv + H_2O (l) = \frac{1}{2}O_2 (g) + H_2 (g)$$

For a feasible PEC water splitting reaction, the light energy must possess energy equal to or more than the bandgap of the semiconductor photocatalyst. The first PEC water splitting concept was introduced by Fujishima and Honda in 1972. Since then, scientists have been trying to develop semiconducting photocatalysts for hydrogen generation by the PEC water splitting approach to convert photon energy of sunlight into electrochemical energy. MOFs with different structural diversity and semiconducting behavior, including the ability to introduce various functional materials into its highly porous surfaces, are drawing much scientific attention in recent years for the PEC hydrogen evolution reaction. The PEC water splitting activity depends on various factors, such as the bandgap of the semiconductor photocatalyst, sample morphology, and other operating conditions. For efficient catalytic activity, efficient charge separation is a key factor. For efficient charge separation, the band gap of the photocatalyst should be smaller for absorbing a significant amount of

---

**Table 5**  A few examples of MOFs-based materials for the electrocatalytic H$_2$ evolution reaction

| Sl. no | Catalyst | Reaction condition | Current density (mA cm$^{-2}$) | Overpotential value (mV) | Tafel slope (mV Dec$^{-1}$) | Ref. |
|-------|----------|--------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------------|------|
| 1     | THTA-Co-2D MOF | 0.5 M H$_2$SO$_4$ | 10                            | 92 mV                    | 71                          | 110  |
| 2     | (GO 8 wt%)/Cu-MOF | 0.5 M H$_2$SO$_4$ | −30                           | −0.209 V vs. RHE         | 84                          | 111  |
| 3     | NiFe-MOF | 0.1 M KOH | 10                            | 134 mV                   | 256                         | 112  |
| 4     | UU-100(Co) | NaClO$_4$ (0.1 M)/acetate (0.2 M) buffer | 1.7                         | —                        | 250                         | 113  |

---

Fig. 16  (a) Scheme of conventional water electrolyzers. (b) Water splitting reactions under acidic and alkaline conditions. This figure has been adapted from ref. 109 with permission from the AMERICAN CHEMICAL SOCIETY, copyright 2018.
Photocurrent density was measured RHE under AM 1.5 G simulated sunlight illumination. The enhancement of PEC activity in the TiO2 NRs, which has been explained in detail by Lee and his workers in this work. Similarly, Hu et al.122 reported on the photoactive amine-functionalized Ti-MOF layer (MIL(125)-NH2(Ti)), which was uniformly coated on vertically ordered TiO2 nanorods (NRs) through the hydrothermal process, and the photoelectrochemical (PEC) water splitting performance of the heterojunction photoanode was studied. MIL(125)-NH2/TiO2 NRs exhibited a photocurrent density of 1.63 mA cm\(^{-2}\) at 1.23 V vs. RHE under AM 1.5 G simulated sunlight illumination. The photocurrent density was ~2.7 times greater than that of pristine TiO2 NRs. The water oxidation was enhanced by the efficient photon/electron conversion rate of the MIL(125)-NH2/TiO2 NRs catalyst at a wavelength of \(\lambda_{\text{max}} = 340\) nm via efficient light sorption and charge separation. The MIL(125)-NH2 coating enhanced the PEC activity in the TiO2 NRs, which has been explained in detail by Lee and his workers in this work. Similarly, Hu et al.122 reported on the Pt@NH2-MIL-125(Ti) catalyst for photoelectrochemical hydrogen production by a double solvent method, which was followed by photoreduction. The synthesized photocatalyst was used as a photocathode material for PEC H\(_2\) evolution reaction. The 5 wt% Pt loading on MOF increased the photoelectrocatalytic H\(_2\) production rate. Huang and Wu et al.122 reported on the p-n heterojunction photoanode based on a p-type porphyrin MOF thin film and an n-type rutile titanium nanorod array for photoelectrochemical water splitting. The TiO2@MOF core–shell nanorod array was synthesized by MOF coating on the TiO2 nanorod array scaffold by a layer-by-layer self-assembly method. The formed p-n junction between TiO2 and MOF improves the extraction of the photogenerated electrons and holes out of the TiO2 nanorods. In addition, the MOF coating enhances the efficiency of the charge injection at the photoanode/electrolyte interface. Furthermore, the Co(III) introduction into the MOF layer improves the charge extraction capacity in the photoanode, thereby enhancing the charge injection efficiency. The resultant photoanode possessed a photocurrent density of 2.93 mA cm\(^{-2}\) at 1.23 V vs. RHE, which is ~2.7 times the photocurrent achieved with a bare TiO2 nanorod array under the irradiation of an unfiltered 300 W Xe lamp with an output power density of 100 mW cm\(^{-2}\). Huo and Liu et al.123 reported a review on MOFs as photosensitizers for photoelectrochemical water splitting reactions.

3. Conclusions and future aspects

Considering the serious global energy demand and extinction of fossil fuels due to daily human activities, it is highly advisable to discover and develop diverse new ways and models to cover up the annihilation gap for a sustained future generation with enhanced fuels and useful chemicals production. In this review, we discussed the recent developments on fuel energy production using MOFs-based materials. We have focused on different fuels production such as hydrocarbons (CO, CH\(_3\)OH, CH\(_4\), and HCOOH) from green-house gases like CO\(_2\) and H\(_2\) production mainly from water splitting reactions, where the employment of different approaches like photocatalytic, electrocatalytic and photo electrocatalytic have been studied. Since the late 1990’s until now, MOFs have gained much attentions of the researchers in a non-stoppable way owing to their unique structural properties. The use of MOFs in energy applications with efficient results are growing in the fastest way owing to their unique structural properties. The use of MOFs in energy applications with efficient results are growing in the fastest way owing to their unique structural properties. The use of MOFs in energy applications with efficient results are growing in the fastest way owing to their unique structural properties. The use of MOFs in energy applications with efficient results are growing in the fastest way owing to their unique structural properties.
photocatalytic, electrocatalytic and photoelectrocatalytic H₂ production from water splitting. Still, challenges remain in the design of perfect catalysts with high catalytic efficiency rate, and a proper mechanistic investigation has yet to be covered. The use of different functional materials such as co-catalysts into MOFs enhances the catalytic efficiency of the products formed. Also, sacrificial agents improve the fuel production rate via water splitting and CO₂ conversion. Therefore, the development of MOFs catalysts to produce useful chemicals and fuels with high reaction rates without the assistance of any costly sacrificial materials would be highly considerable. The interactive study of the functional materials with a porous MOFs surface is still not very clear, which requires the attention of the young researchers. Still, so many MOFs and MOFs-based materials with enhanced fuel production rate and selectivity have been explored so far. The unique structural properties and synergistic effect with other functional materials of highly semiconducting MOFs display tremendous activity in various fields, including energy conversion applications and fruitful fuels generation, providing renewable energy resources. Still, there are some limitations for practical applications of MOFs-based materials for fuels and chemicals production via CO₂ conversion and water splitting processes to date. Limitations include the appropriate catalysts and photoreactor design, low conducting properties, low conversion efficiency, lack of chemical stability, electrode potential, large band gap, insufficient catalytically active sites, suitable solvent selection, use of costly sacrificial agents and the large-scale production of fuels and chemicals.

For an efficient energy conversion process using MOF-based catalysts, the catalysts should have appropriate band positions that can be achieved by tuning the MOFs structural functionalities or introducing highly light-responsive guest molecules into MOFs. Solvent selection is another important factor. Proper dissolution of catalysts or reactants into the selected solvent leads to efficient energy conversion processes. The catalysts surface should also possess higher catalytically active sites to achieve high catalytic production rates, which can be obtained by structural/surface modifications of MOFs-based materials. For efficient electrochemical energy conversion, the electrode potential and current densities are two very crucial factors. MOFs-based catalysts also lead to selective chemicals and fuels production, depending on these factors. Most of the known catalysts have typical current densities on the order of mA cm⁻² of the electrodes. However, in industries, the catalyst surface of the water electrolyzers operates at a magnitude of 2 A cm⁻². Experimental parameters (such as the temperature, pressure, catalysts concentration, wavelength and duration of illumination, dissolved oxygen concentration) are also important factors for the selective product formation during energy conversion reactions. Suitable co-catalysts loading on MOFs-based catalysts can enhance the catalytic energy conversion rates by changing the energies of the charge transfer process. Additionally, it can enhance the continuous flow of photogenerated charge carriers on the catalysts surface, providing stability to the system.

Limitations also include the use of costly materials that restrict the large-scale production of important fuels and chemicals using MOFs-based catalysts. The costly materials included are mainly costly non-aqueous solvents, sacrificial materials, costly metals, and light sources (Xe, Hg lamp). Synthesizing cost-effective MOFs is very challenging nowadays, and scientists are working on it. To produce cost-effective MOFs, catalyst design should be based on low-cost materials that would additionally exhibit efficient energy conversion. For that, one should try to avoid the use of costly metals and solvents, and using of the easily available natural resources (like water) as a solvent and low-cost reactant materials to produce efficient MOFs-based catalysts with enhanced energy conversion applications. The loading of metal-free semiconducting co-catalysts on the MOFs surface instead of using metals containing costly semiconductors can be an alternative approach for producing cost-effective highly efficient MOFs-based catalysts with reduced toxicity for energy applications. Recently, researchers have found many ways for efficient fuels and chemical production using MOFs-based catalysts. Still, the production of cost-effective MOFs with large scale energy conversions remains a challenge for the upcoming generation to explore in this area.

Briefly, we are focused on the recent highly commanded energy applications of MOF-based materials for fuel and chemical production purpose from CO₂ conversion and sustainable clean hydrogen production from water. We have mentioned some previous reports related to this topic. We are also trying to show the challenges associated with enhancing the catalytic rate of fuel production, so as to bring this challenge to the focus of researchers. Undoubtedly, MOFs are excellent materials for creating renewable energy resources, but the challenge still remains with dealing with the limitations of the MOFs-based materials and bringing it into practical uses.
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