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In Silico Docking of HNF-1α Receptor Ligands
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Background. HNF-1α is a transcription factor that regulates glucose metabolism by expression in various tissues. Aim. To dock potential ligands of HNF-1α using docking software in silico. Methods. We performed in silico studies using HNF-1α protein 2GYP·pdb and the following softwares: ISIS/Draw 2.5SP4, ARGUSLAB 4.0.1, and HEX5.1. Observations. The docking distances (in angstrom units: 1 angstrom unit (Å) = 0.1 nanometer or 1 × 10^{-10} metres) with ligands in decreasing order are as follows: resveratrol (3.8 Å), aspirin (4.5 Å), stearic acid (4.9 Å), retinol (6.0 Å), nitrazepam (6.8 Å), ibuprofen (7.9 Å), azulfidine (9.0 Å), simvastatin (9.0 Å), elaidic acid (10.1 Å), and oleic acid (11.6 Å). Conclusion. HNF-1α domain interacted most closely with resveratrol and aspirin.

1. Introduction

Hepatic nuclear factor 1 alpha (HNF-1α) is a liver enriched transcription factor that was first discovered in studies aimed at identifying proteins that were responsible for tissue-specific regulation of gene expression in the human liver [1]. These transcription factors were also found in tissues other than liver, including pancreatic islets and kidneys, suggesting they could have a more widespread role in physiological processes [2]. Together, the HNF family is part of a network of transcription factors that together control gene expression during embryogenic development and during adulthood [1]. Genes regulated by HNF-1α also encode products involved in the synthesis of seroproteins, carbohydrates, and in detoxification [2]. HNF encoding genes arose by duplication of an ancestral gene at the onset of vertebrate evolution, an evolutionary mechanism for the generation of novel functions [3]. Mutations of HNF transcription family are well known to cause the autosomal dominant maturity onset diabetes of young (MODY), a clinically heterogeneous form of early onset of diabetes resulting from a primary defect in pancreatic beta cell function [4]. Some consider diabetes to be “a disorder of abnormal transcription factors” [4]. However it is now established that MODY results from a dysfunction of transcription factors [5] that regulate beta cell function by controlling downstream targets [6]. Protein-ligand interactions are increasingly employed to derive three dimensional structures of protein complexes. Computational techniques have become important to understand the molecular mechanisms of biological systems, as well as in obtaining leads for therapeutic agent identification. Considering the wide ranging effects of transcription factors in beta cell physiology, and the diverse pharmacological ligands that are available to manage the metabolic disturbances characterized by premature aging of diabetes, we performed an exploratory in silico study using various ligands as potential docking partners for HNF-1α.

2. Methods

We performed in silico studies using HNF-1α protein (PDB id: 2GYP-pdb) and fatty acids (listed in the table) by using the software: ISIS/Draw 2.5 [7], ARGUSLAB4.0.1, HEX5.1 [8].
Table 1: Docking of ligands with HNF-1a.

| Ligand drug/fatty acid | Binding distance with HNF-1a (Angstroms) Å |
|------------------------|------------------------------------------|
| Resveratrol            | 3.8                                      |
| Aspirin                | 4.5                                      |
| Stearic acid           | 4.9                                      |
| Retinol                | 6.0                                      |
| Nitrazepam             | 6.8                                      |
| Ibuprofen              | 7.9                                      |
| Azulfidine             | 9.0                                      |
| Simvastatin            | 9.0                                      |
| Palmitic acid          | 9.8                                      |
| Elaidic acid           | 10.1                                     |
| Oleic acid             | 11.6                                     |
| Sirtuin 6              | 17.6                                     |
| Sirtuin 3              | 28.9                                     |
| Sirtuin 4              | 43.5                                     |
| Sirtuin 1              | 43.5                                     |
| Sirtuin 2              | 43.9                                     |
| Sirtuin 5              | 43.9                                     |

2.1. ISIS/Draw. ISIS/Draw is a chemical drawing GUI software, commonly employed as a chemical structure drawing software following the advent of bioinformatics explosion [7]. It is a simple and concise pure chemical drawing software, which is generally the first choice for use in 2D drawings.

2.2. ArgusLab. ArgusLab is a freely distributed software for Windows platform, commonly used as an introductory molecular modeling package especially in the academic environment [8]. It has a user-friendly interface and an intuitive calculation menus. The docking engine approximates an exhaustive search method. It requires a PDB format file for both ligand and receptor [8]. 2D depictions of prospective ligands were drawn using the ISIS/Draw 2.5 and these structures were optimized for energy using AGRUS Lab. The following ligands designed in silico were used: resveratrol, aspirin, stearic acid, retinol, nitrazepam, ibuprofen, azulfidine, simvastatin, elaidic acid, and oleic acid.

2.3. HEX5.1. The energy optimized ligand structures are docked with HNF-1a using HEX5.1.

3. Results

The docking distances with different ligands are presented in Table 1 and the structures are shown in Figure 1. The decreasing docking distance were as follows: resveratrol (3.8 Å), aspirin (4.5 Å), stearic acid (4.9 Å), retinol (6.0 Å), nitrazepam (6.8 Å), ibuprofen (7.9 Å), azulfidine (9.0 Å), simvastatin (9.0 Å), elaidic acid (10.1 Å), and oleic acid (11.6 Å).

4. Discussion

A convergence of biochemical, mathematical, and computational approaches is being applied to evaluate protein-ligand interactions for identifying pharmacological targets to modulate protein activity. HNF-1a is a transcription factor that belongs to a family of proteins having “DNA binding domains that specifically recognize a short DNA sequence and of an activation or repression domain that influences gene transcription” [5]. It is a conserved protein in vertebrate evolution, composed of three functional domains, belonging to the homeodomain family [4, 5]. It has functions in multiple tissues and organs; an absence of the gene manifests after birth, showing its role in diverse metabolic networks. Recent studies have identified as yet unknown proteins such as the high mobility group protein-B1 (HMGB1) that can interact with HNF-1a [9], forming another layer of regulation in the HNF transcriptional network. Resveratrol activates HNF family of proteins, which exist in all domains of life [10]. Sirtuin resveratrol interactions have led to intense search for compounds that can enhance life-span and delay the process of senescence in tissues and organisms. Sirtuin (Sir-2) was first identified in the bacterium Saccharomyces cerevisiae as a regulator of DNA recombination, gene silencer, and playing roles in DNA repair and chromosomal longevity. It is believed to be the critical link between caloric restriction and enhanced life span. Ligands of sirtuins, of which resveratrol is the first identified activator, [11], have gained recognition. The only non-genetic and non-pharmacological way to extend life span and prevent the metabolic changes of aging is by calorie restriction: “dietary regimen in which an organism is provided with at least 20% fewer calories than it would naturally consume ad libitum while maintaining adequate nutrition” [10]. The effectiveness of resveratrol has been observed in vitro, in vivo, and across many species [10]. Considering its effectiveness across species, the underlying mechanism is believed to be “ancient, relatively simple, and well conserved.” Sirtuins, the modulators of this interaction evolved from an ancient molecule that responded to stress and to the availability of food. The family of sirtuins have multiple roles in metabolism, and are modified by dietary changes: SIRT1 promotes fat metabolism in white adipose tissue through interaction with PPAR gamma and adiponectin; it affects pancreatic beta cell in mice by affecting uncoupling protein 2 gene (UCP2) which uncouples oxygen consumption from ATP generation, and dissipates energy as heat; SIRT3 decreases the production of reactive oxygen species; and SIRT4 regulates amino-acid-stimulated insulin secretion in pancreatic beta cells. The sirtuins are versatile energy sensors that enable transcription to sense the metabolic rate of the cell. They act at various levels to repress transcription and to deacetylate non histone proteins including forkhead box type O transcription factor (FOXO) and PPAR gamma [12]. Expressed ubiquitously in human tissues, they show sequence homology and contain conserved...
Figure 1: Structures of protein and ligands and docked area.
catalytic and NAD binding domains. They have important roles in the control of cell proliferation and in metabolic regulation. Together they could be “important determinants of whole-body metabolism and protect against many chronic diseases associated with metabolic dysfunction” [12].

Protein docking methods calculate 3D structure of a protein complex starting from its individual structural components, and give information of protein-ligand interactions. Practical difficulties with high throughput structural genomics are likely to result in computational techniques being increasingly employed for understanding biological systems. Undoubtedly protein docking problem is “easy to state but hard to solve.” A number of docking algorithms now use two-step search and score procedures: ab initio methods generate an initial list of ligands which are then re-scored with available biophysical information and knowledge-based potentials from analyzing existing interfaces.

HEX5.1 is a commonly used molecular docking package that appropriates an exhaustive search method. The ligand is described as a torsion tree; grids are constructed overlying the binding site. Root node is placed on a search point in the binding site and a set of rotations is created. For each rotation, torsions in breadth-first order are constructed. Those that survive the torsion search are scored. Even though it may not perform as well as commercially available docking methods, HEX5.1 is a first method to narrow lead ligands, owing to its graphical user interface, and its relative speed compared to other complicated algorithms. Even though extending life span without genetic modification and without compromising nutritional status appears inviting, though extending lifespan without genetic modification and delay ageing in metazoans, “a potential longevity pathways are most likely not mutually exclusive.”

In summary our in silico docking study suggests that resveratrol and aspirin are ligands that could potentially modulate the hepatic nuclear factor network. It provides a lead for further studies to evaluate such interactions.

Conflict of Interests

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interests related to this paper.

References

[1] S. S. Fajans, G. I. Bell, and K. S. Polonsky, “Molecular mechanisms and clinical pathophysiology of maturity-onset diabetes of the young,” The New England Journal of Medicine, vol. 345, no. 13, pp. 971–980, 2001.
[2] S. Cereghini, “Liver-enriched transcription factors and hepatocyte differentiation,” The FASEB Journal, vol. 10, no. 2, pp. 267–282, 1996.
[3] C. Haumaitre, M. Reber, and S. Cereghini, “Functions of HNF1 family members in differentiation of the visceral endoderm cell lineage,” Journal of Biological Chemistry, vol. 278, no. 42, pp. 40933–40942, 2003.
[4] K. Yamagata, “Regulation of pancreatic β-cell function by the HNF transcription network: lessons from maturity-onset diabetes of the young (MODY),” Endocrine Journal, vol. 50, no. 5, pp. 491–499, 2003.
[5] G. U. Ryffel, “Mutations in the human genes encoding the transcription factors of the hepatocyte nuclear factor (HNF)1 and HNF4 families: functional and pathological consequences,” Journal of Molecular Endocrinology, vol. 27, no. 1, pp. 11–29, 2001.
[6] S. A. Duncan, M. A. Navas, D. Dufort, J. Rossant, and M. Stoffel, “Regulation of a transcription factor network required for differentiation and metabolism,” Science, vol. 281, no. 5377, pp. 692–695, 1998.
[7] Z. Li, H. Wan, Y. Shi, and P. Ouyang, “Personal experience with four kinds of chemical structure drawing software: review on chemdraw, chemwindow, ISIS/draw, and chemsketch,” Journal of Chemical Information and Computer Sciences, vol. 44, no. 5, pp. 1886–1890, 2004.
[8] A. Chikhi and A. Bensegueni, “Docking efficiency comparison of Surflex, a commercial package and Arguslab, a licensable freeware,” Journal of Computer Science & Systems Biology, vol. 1, pp. 81–86, 2008.
[9] M. Yu, J. Wang, W. Li et al., “Proteomic screen defines the hepatocyte nuclear factor 1α-binding partners and identifies HMGBl as a new cofactor of HNF1α,” Nucleic Acids Research, vol. 36, no. 4, pp. 1209–1219, 2008.
[10] S. Michan and D. Sinclair, “Sirtuins in mammals: insights into their biological function,” Biochemical Journal, vol. 404, no. 1, pp. 1–13, 2007.
[11] J. G. Wood, B. Rogina, S. Lavu et al., “Sirtuin activators mimic caloric restriction and delay ageing in metazoans,” Nature, vol. 430, no. 7000, pp. 686–689, 2004.
[12] H. Yamamoto, K. Schoonjans, and J. Auwerx, “Sirtuin functions in health and disease,” Molecular Endocrinology, vol. 21, no. 8, pp. 1745–1755, 2007.

[13] M. Wei, P. Fabrizio, F. Madia et al., “Tor1/Sch9-regulated carbon source substitution is as effective as calorie restriction in life span extension,” PLoS Genetics, vol. 5, no. 5, Article ID e1000467, 2009.

[14] E. M. Dioum, R. Chen, M. S. Alexander et al., “Regulation of hypoxia-inducible factor 2α signaling by the stress-responsive deacetylase sirtuin 1,” Science, vol. 324, no. 5932, pp. 1289–1293, 2009.

[15] J. Luo, A. Y. Nikolaev, S. I. Imai et al., “Negative control of p53 by Sir2α promotes cell survival under stress,” Cell, vol. 107, no. 2, pp. 137–148, 2001.

[16] A. Brunet, L. B. Sweeney, J. F. Sturgill et al., “Stress-dependent regulation of FOXO transcription factors by the SIRT1 deacetylase,” Science, vol. 303, no. 5666, pp. 2011–2015, 2004.

[17] M. C. Motta, N. Divecha, M. Lemieux et al., “Mammalian SIRT1 represses forkhead transcription factors,” Cell, vol. 116, no. 4, pp. 551–563, 2004.

[18] V. M. Nayagam, X. Wang, C. T. Yong et al., “SIRT1 modulating compounds from high-throughput screening as anti-inflammatory and insulin-sensitizing agents,” Journal of Biomolecular Screening, vol. 11, no. 8, pp. 959–967, 2006.

[19] J. C. Milne, P. D. Lambert, S. Schenk et al., “Small molecule activators of SIRT1 as therapeutics for the treatment of type 2 diabetes,” Nature, vol. 450, no. 7170, pp. 712–716, 2007.

[20] M. Sengottuvelan, K. Deeptha, and N. Nalini, “Resveratrol ameliorates DNA damage, prooxidant and antioxidant imbalance in 1,2-dimethylhydrazine induced rat colon carcinogenesis,” Chemico-Biological Interactions, vol. 181, no. 2, pp. 193–201, 2009.

[21] D. M. Erion, S. Yonemitsu, Y. Nie et al., “SirT1 knockdown in liver decreases basal hepatic glucose production and increases hepatic insulin responsiveness in diabetic rats,” Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, vol. 106, no. 27, pp. 11288–11293, 2009.

[22] R. M. Anderson, D. Shanmuganayagam, and R. Weindruch, “Caloric restriction and aging: studies in mice and monkeys,” Toxicologic Pathology, vol. 37, no. 1, pp. 47–51, 2009.