Biomimetic, Mild Chemical Synthesis of CdTe-GSH Quantum Dots with Improved Biocompatibility
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Abstract

Multiple applications of nanotechnology, especially those involving highly fluorescent nanoparticles (NPs) or quantum dots (QDs) have stimulated the research to develop simple, rapid and environmentally friendly protocols for synthesizing NPs exhibiting novel properties and increased biocompatibility. In this study, a simple protocol for the chemical synthesis of glutathione (GSH)-capped CdTe QDs (CdTe-GSH) resembling conditions found in biological systems is described. Using only CdCl₂, K₂TeO₃ and GSH, highly fluorescent QDs were obtained under pH, temperature, buffer and oxygen conditions that allow microorganisms growth. These CdTe-GSH NPs displayed similar size, chemical composition, absorbance and fluorescence spectra and quantum yields as QDs synthesized using more complicated and expensive methods. CdTe QDs were not freely incorporated into eukaryotic cells thus favoring their biocompatibility and potential applications in biomedicine. In addition, NPs entry was facilitated by lipofectamine, resulting in intracellular fluorescence and a slight increase in cell death by necrosis. Toxicity of the as prepared CdTe QDs was lower than that observed with QDs produced by other chemical methods, probably as consequence of decreased levels of Cd²⁺ and higher amounts of GSH. We present here the simplest, fast and economical method for CdTe QDs synthesis described to date. Also, this biomimetic protocol favors NPs biocompatibility and helps to establish the basis for the development of new, “greener” methods to synthesize cadmium-containing QDs.

Introduction

CdTe QDs are being intensively used for producing solar panels [1,2], optoelectronic devices [3] and as fluorescent probes in bioimaging and biosensing [4,5] among other applications. When compared with organic fluorophores, QDs exhibit important advantages such as i) narrow emission spectra, ii) increased chemical stability, iii) “tunable” spectroscopic properties, iv) photochemical stability, v) high quantum yields and vi) the ability to generate multi-color fluorescence with a single excitation wavelength. Given these characteristics, QDs are mainly used in biomedicine, in studies of bioimaging as fluorescent probes [6], quantum yields allowing their use as biological probes when fused to different proteins or antibodies [6,20,21]. However, two major problems hindering the widespread use of CdTe QDs fused to thiols still remain. First, CdTe QDs synthesis in aqueous media is cumbersome and protocols still require high temperatures, strong reducing agents, hazardous compounds such as H₂Te (toxic and flammable gas) or reagents such as NaHTe (highly unstable in the presence of oxygen), which are expensive and require great care and inert atmospheres for operation [22,23]. Second, although using thiols as capping agents has facilitated CdTe QDs applications, biocompatibility levels remain insufficient and the damage they produce in different organisms, organs or cells has not been defined.

An attractive novel alternative to produce QDs that can bypass the above difficulties is NP biosynthesis. In fact, using CdTe NPs capped with different thiols represents a strategy to promote stability and biocompatibility [15,16].

To date, protocols for synthesizing thioglycolic acid- [17], glutathione- [18], cysteine- [11] and mercaptosuccinic acid-capped CdTe QDs [19] have been described. These QDs exhibit sizes and quantum yields allowing their use as biological probes when fused to different proteins or antibodies [6,20,21]. However, two major problems hindering the widespread use of CdTe QDs fused to thiols still remain. First, CdTe QDs synthesis in aqueous media is cumbersome and protocols still require high temperatures, strong reducing agents, hazardous compounds such as H₂Te (toxic and flammable gas) or reagents such as NaHTe (highly unstable in the presence of oxygen), which are expensive and require great care and inert atmospheres for operation [22,23]. Second, although using thiols as capping agents has facilitated CdTe QDs applications, biocompatibility levels remain insufficient and the damage they produce in different organisms, organs or cells has not been defined.

An attractive novel alternative to produce QDs that can bypass the above difficulties is NP biosynthesis. In fact, using
microorganisms to produce NPs may permit the generation of more biocompatible CdTe QDs, decreasing both the requirement of dangerous reagents for synthesis and the toxicity of the final products. In the last decade, NPs biosynthesis has displayed some progress, particularly in the case of silver, gold and iron NPs found in magnetotactic bacteria magnetosomes [24–26]. The toxicity of biosynthesized NPs is substantially lower and CdTe QDs formed extracellularly by Escherichia coli or Saccharomyces cerevisiae exhibit increased biocompatibility in HeLa cells when compared to chemically produced CdTe QDs [27,28]. Nevertheless, QDs biosynthesis is still a poorly studied phenomenon and in particular, intracellular synthesis of CdTe NPs has not been reported to date.

In this work, we developed a green chemical method for synthesizing QDs that defines the minimal conditions required for the in vitro synthesis of CdTe QDs, and that represents the basis for the future development of protocols for biosynthesizing QDs in vivo. The reported biomimetic method requires substrates as CdCl₂ and TeO₂, whose interaction with bacterial cells has been intensively studied. It also involves the cellular reducing agent GSH and conditions allowing the growth and development of some microbes as temperature, pH and the presence of oxygen, among others.

Results and Discussion

Synthesizing and characterizing CdTe QDs

To develop a simple protocol for the aqueous synthesis of CdTe QDs, we decided to use CdCl₂ and K₂TeO₃ as the Cd²⁺ and Te²⁻ sources since the effects that these two compounds have on microorganisms are relatively well known [29–32]. Both produce oxidative stress mainly by decreasing non-enzymatic antioxidant defenses as consequence of GSH-mediated Cd³⁺ or Te⁴⁺ reduction [32–34]. Additionally and taking into consideration the redox properties of GSH [35], its abundance in cells (eukaryotes and Gram negative bacteria) and the previously described stabilization of CdSe and CdTe QDs by this tripeptide [17,18], we decided to test this biological thiol as a reducing and capping agent for the aqueous synthesis of CdTe QDs. As result, a simple protocol requiring only CdCl₂, K₂TeO₃ and GSH was developed.

A typical reaction at 90°C in citrate buffer, pH 9.0, allowed the generation of highly fluorescent green and red NPs after 2 or 12 h, respectively (Fig. 1). Aliquots withdrawn at the indicated times showed absorbance and fluorescence spectra exhibiting the characteristic CdTe QDs absorption and fluorescence peaks ranging from ~450–550 nm and ~500–600 nm, respectively [11,18,19] (Fig. 1A). In addition, fractions exposed to UV light (312 nm) were highly fluorescent with an absolute intensity that was proportional to incubation time, shifting from green to yellow and then red after 12 h (Fig. 1B). Absorption and emission peaks were very narrow (with a full bandwidth at half maximum of ~50 nm), supporting the possibility of using these NPs as cellular probes because of their tunable fluorescence.

Size is an important factor affecting potential biological applications of NPs. CdTe-GSH QDs ranged from 3–6 nm in size with green and red NPs exhibiting average sizes of 3.3 and 5 nm, respectively (Fig. 1C). As expected, yellow NPs exhibited intermediate sizes. A homogeneous, narrow size distribution was observed for all NPs with a distribution range ~2–3 nm, which favors their use in fluorescent applications (Fig. 1C). Additionally, NPs nanometric size was confirmed by TEM analysis, and most NPs were average sized (4.6 nm), confirming the DLS results previously determined (not shown).

Elemental composition of the as prepared CdTe-GSH QDs

To confirm the presence of GSH in QDs, vibrational studies were conducted using CdTe-GSH NPs and GSH as standards (Fig. 2). IR broad absorption bands around 1713–1602 cm⁻¹ (symmetric vCOO⁻), 1397 cm⁻¹ (asymmetric vCOO⁻), 1713 cm⁻¹ (antisymmetric vC = O) and 1280 cm⁻¹ (OH) indicate the presence of a –COOH group, while the band at 1075 cm⁻¹ can be assigned to a stretching vC-N. Characteristic vN-H stretching modes observed at 3346 cm⁻¹ and 3250 cm⁻¹ provide evidence of a -NH₂ group. The characteristic -SH stretching mode is clearly seen at 2526 cm⁻¹. As expected, the IR absorption bands of the main functional groups, -COOH, -SH and -NH₂ are detected in the neat GSH [37]. In CdTe-GSH NPs, the disappearance of the S-H group vibration at 2526 cm⁻¹ (vS-H) is likely the result of covalent bond formation between the thiol and the Cd atom at the CdTe QD surface, suggesting the oxidation of cysteine residues. These IR results are identical to those described for other CdTe-GSH NPs [37,38]. GSH presence in the as-prepared QDs was also confirmed by Raman spectroscopy (Fig. S1).

Quantum yield of CdTe-GSH QDs

Infrared spectroscopy

Regarding fluorescence efficiency, quantum yields (QYs) of green and red CdTe-GSH NPs were about 10 and 30%, respectively. Similar results were obtained with NPs synthesized at 60°C (see below), showing that the best fluorescence yields are associated with larger QDs. These results are similar to those described for other thiol-capped CdTe QDs produced by organometallic chemical methods or other aqueous procedures that use NaBH₄ as the reducing agent [11,18,22,23]. Higher QYs observed for large aqueous QDs are probably the consequence of thiol ligand decomposition, leading to the above mentioned CdS layer formation on CdTe crystals [36]. This situation may reflect the increase in QYs observed for QDs produced after 10 h at 90°C and is in agreement with Cd and S composition determined for red and green CdTe-GSH QDs (Table 1). The latter could also explain the QY difference observed for NPs of the same color.
produced at 90°C (~30%) or 60°C (~22%). NPs exhibiting QYs ~80–90% have been reported but it requires complex chemical methods, QDs post-treatment (e.g. photochemical etching and long term illumination) or stabilization with chemical compounds that affect their biological compatibility [14,22,39,40]. X-ray diffraction (XRD) experiments were conducted to confirm the crystalline structure of the as-prepared QDs (not shown). Samples showed some amorphous material which is evidenced by broad bands and low intensity of the diffraction spectrum. This situation could affect NPs optical properties, for example, lower quantum yield. On the other hand, peaks were located between positions for CdTe and CdS crystals (not shown), similarly to other reported QDs. Also, this result may indicate a partial hydrolysis of GSH that could result in a CdS layer deposited on CdTe NPs. 

**Effect of pH and temperature on QDs synthesis**

The effects of pH and temperature on CdTe QDs synthesis and spectroscopic characteristics were assessed (Figs. 3A and 3B). Highly fluorescent NPs were obtained in a pH range of 9–12 (Fig. 3A). Below pH 8.5 neither fluorescent molecules nor absorption or emission peaks were observed after 48 h. Even though absorption and emission peaks associated with red NPs appear earlier at pHs 11–12, the best spectroscopic results were obtained at pH 9–10 (Fig. 3A). These observations may be explained in terms of the chemical characteristics of GSH, particularly the pK_a (8.92) of glutathione’s thiol group [41]. In fact, the reducing potential of the GSH/GSSH redox couple becomes more negative as the pH increases [35] and GSH is expected to display maximum reduction potential at pH values near its pK_a. 

The protocol described in this work allows highly fluorescent CdTe-GSH QDs formation in borax-citrate, citrate and phosphate or Tris buffer (not shown). Although QDs were obtained at

---

**Table 1. EDS elemental analysis of the as-prepared CdTe-GSH NPs.** The element per cent in green, yellow and red CdTe-GSH NPs was determined.

| Element | NP color | green  | yellow | red   |
|---------|----------|--------|--------|-------|
| C       |          | 36.57  | 36.48  | 35.34 |
| N       |          | 15.60  | 15.50  | 12.31 |
| O       |          | 14.43  | 11.22  | 7.10  |
| Na      |          | 2.33   | 1.45   | 1.02  |
| S       |          | 6.02   | 5.56   | 5.01  |
| Cd      |          | 17.74  | 22.60  | 32.24 |
| Te      |          | 7.23   | 7.19   | 6.98  |

---

**Figure 1. Absorbance and fluorescence emission spectra of CdTe-GSH QDs synthesized at 90°C.** A, samples were withdrawn at the indicated times. B, fluorescence of the as-produced CdTe-GSH QDs at the indicated times of synthesis and excited with UV light at 312 nm. C, Dynamic Light Scattering (DLS) analysis of green, yellow and red CdTe-GSH nanoparticles. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0030741.t001
similar rates, NPs produced in borax-citrate buffer grew faster, with optimal spectroscopic characteristics and no contaminating precipitates (Te\(^\text{2+}\), Cd\(^\text{2+}\) or metal oxides).

Since a goal of this study was to develop the basis for producing CdTe NPs by microbes, QDs were synthesized in the presence of microbial culture media. Both rich (LB) and minimal (M9) culture media supported the synthesis of fluorescent CdTe QDs at pH 10–12 after 24 h at 90\(^\circ\)C (not shown). QDs synthesis at pH 7.0 was observed in both media only when NaBH\(_4\) was present. This was intriguing since the NaBH\(_4\)-dependent extracellular production of CdTe NPs by bacterial cells has been previously reported [27,28]. In this context, we successfully synthesized CdTe NPs \textit{in vitro} under the same conditions described by Bao \textit{et al.} (2010a,b) but in the absence of bacterial cells.

Figure 2. IR spectra of CdTe-GSH NPs and GSH.
doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0030741.g002

Figure 3. Absorbance and fluorescence emission spectra of CdTe-GSH QDs synthesized at different pHs at 90\(^\circ\)C. A, above, CdTe-GSH QDs after 2 h; below, same CdTe-GSH QDs after 4 h. Samples prepared at pH 13 showed no fluorescence at 4 h. B, Effect of nucleation temperature on CdTe-GSH absorbance peaks measured at different times of synthesis.
doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0030741.g003
Experiments to unveil this apparent controversy are under way in our laboratory. A direct relationship between QDs synthesis rate and temperature was determined when nucleation temperatures of 60, 70, 80 or 90°C were used (Fig. 3B). In fact, red NPs were obtained after ~40, 21, 17 and 14 h at these temperatures, respectively. By using the biomimetic procedure, NP synthesis was achieved at in less than two days and after two weeks at 30 and 37°C, respectively.

To test more “biological” temperatures, QDs synthesis was analyzed in more detail at 60°C, a temperature at which several thermophilic microorganisms grow and thus can be potentially used for biosynthesizing CdTe QDs. NP synthesis was slowed down and green or red fluorescent QDs developed only after 24 or 48 h, respectively. Despite this, small QY differences were determined at both temperatures, reinforcing the idea that synthesizing highly fluorescent QDs can be achieved at temperatures that are compatible with bacterial growth.

CdTe QDs-cell interaction
To date, various kinds of QDs have been used in cell imaging and biomedicine and it has been reported that their biodistribution and biocompatibility may depend on various factors derived from their physicochemical properties [42]. In this context, MKN45 gastric cancer cells were incubated with 100 µg/ml of CdTe-GSH QDs for 24 h and their cellular distribution and biocompatibility was assessed by fluorescence microscopy. No fluorescence was observed inside MKN45 cells, in contrast with the observation that cysteine-and mercaptopyrrole-acid-coated CdTe QDs enter human hepatocellular SMMC-7721 [43] and pancreatic carcinoma cells [10], respectively. However, when MKN45 cells were exposed to CdTe NPs in the presence of the cationic liposome lipofectamine, the amount of green fluorescence in the cytoplasm increased in a concentration-dependent manner (Figs. 4A and S2). When MKN45 cells nuclei were stained with propidium iodide (Pl), QDs were preferentially detected at the perinuclear region (Fig. 4B). These results show that although not freely incorporated, CdTe-GSH QDs are stable in the cytoplasm making them suitable for cell tracking, labeling and other bioimaging applications. No changes in cell morphology were observed upon incubation with NPs, suggesting that CdTe-GSH QDs do not produce toxic effects at the concentrations tested.

QDs incorporation to MKN45 cells was quantified by flow cytometry after incubating with 25, 50 or 100 µg/ml CdTe-GSH QDs for 24 h in the presence of the same amount of lipofectamine (Fig. 5A). No fluorescent cells were observed in the absence of the liposome (not shown) and in its presence the per cent of cells incorporating QDs increased in proportion to QDs concentration, confirming the results obtained by confocal microscopy (Figs. 4A and S2). The average fluorescence of the cell population was calculated as the median fluorescence intensity value (MFI). MKN45 cells incubated with 100 µg/ml QDs plus lipofectamine exhibited the highest MFI associated with QDs incorporation (Fig. 5B). No significant differences in MFI were observed in cells incubated with 25 or 50 or µg/ml QDs as compared to controls, which is in agreement with the low numbers determined in Fig. 5A.

Previous results indicated that a mechanism underlying CdTe QDs cytotoxicity is related to Cd²⁺ release and the generation of reactive oxygen species (ROS), such as hydrogen peroxide and various hydroperoxide radicals. In turn, ROS can damage proteins, DNA, and lipids thereby leading to severe cell functional impairments and eventually cell death [14]. To demonstrate CdTe-GSH NPs biocompatibility, cell viability of MKN45 cells exposed for 24 h to CdTe QDs was analyzed by flow cytometry, as previously described [44,45]. Cells were stained with PI to quantitatively viable (QD⁺ PI⁻) and dead (QD⁺ PI⁺) cells after incubating with QDs either at 25, 50 or 100 µg/ml. Only 10-20% of dead cells were detected in the presence of 50 or 100 µg/ml QDs (Fig. 5C), suggesting that QDs uptake could be responsible for a small increase in cytotoxicity. In the absence of lipofectamine QDs did not induce cell death, regardless of the concentration of NPs used, even up to 200 or 400 µg/ml (not shown). The viability of cells exposed to green QDs was very similar in the absence or presence of lipofectamine, at all the concentrations of QDs used (Fig. S3). Basal cell death was close to 18% (Fig. S3 and Fig. 5C), which could result because of cell detachment from the monolayer (see Materials and methods).

CdTe-GSH mediated cell death
To characterize the type of cell death induced by QDs, i.e. apoptosis or necrosis, fluorescence intensity of the total population of QD⁺ cells was determined [45]. Two populations of PI-permeable (PI⁺) dead cells can be distinguished on the basis of fluorescence intensity, hypodiploid apoptotic cells or necrotic cells with intact DNA [44,45]. After exposure to CdTe-GSH QDs (100 µg/ml) plus lipofectamine, MKN45 QD⁺ cells exhibited the same degree of apoptosis as untreated cells (10%) (Fig. 5D), indicating that QDs uptake is not associated with an increased apoptosis. However, a slight increase in necrosis (~9%) was observed in cells treated with 100 µg/ml CdTe-GSH compared to controls or cells incubated with lower QDs concentrations (Fig. 5D). These results demonstrate that CdTe-GSH QDs display less cytotoxic effects than those previously reported using less biocompatible CdTe QDs [46–48].

Significant degrees of toxicity have been described for CdTe QDs under similar conditions to those used here. Experiments carried out with CdTe-MPA or CdTe-cysteamine QDs in rat hepatocellular carcinoma cells (PC12) indicated that these NPs are cytotoxic at concentrations as low as 10 µg/ml [42]. Higher toxicity levels and apoptotic cell death was observed in this cell line with uncoated CdTe at 1 µg/ml [14]. Also, tropism to core histones and histone-rich organelles has been previously reported for CdTe-TGA QDs in macrophage THP1 cells [49]. This observation may explain in part the cellular toxicity of CdTe QDs and why apoptotic cell death is observed in some cases. However, as described here, CdTe-GSH QDs did not localize to the nucleus, the modest levels of death observed were mainly due to necrosis. This result confirms the importance of GSH as a capping agent and indicates that, at least, part of the QDs biocompatibility results from their lack of affinity for particular cell organelles or macromolecules.

Interestingly, mercaptosuccinic acid (MSA)-coated CdTe NPs are less efficiently incorporated into MKN45 cells and generate higher levels of cell death (not shown), indicating that CdTe-GSH QDs produced by the biomimetic method exhibit better biocompatibility than CdTe NPs capped with other thiols as MSA.

In summary and regarding costs, efficiency, low toxicity and because of its simplicity in terms of substrates and conditions required, the method described to produce CdTe QDs is more convenient than other methods published to date. These results favor their potential biological applications and the development of new methods for microorganism-mediated production of CdTe NPs and other similar QDs with biotechnological applications as Cds, CdSe and ZnS, among others.

Materials and Methods
Synthesis of CdTe-GSH QDs
A CdCl₂ (4 mM) solution was prepared in 15 mM borax-citrate buffer, pH 9.0. Then GSH was added (up to 10 mM final) with
intense vortexing to avoid the formation of a white precipitate (Cd\(^6^+)\). After 5 min, tellurite (as K\(_2\)TeO\(_3\)) was added at 1 mM (final concentration) to produce a 4:10:1 ratio of CdCl\(_2\): GSH: K\(_2\)TeO\(_3\). At this point the solution turned slightly green as result of CdTe “seeds” formation. QDs nucleation was initiated by raising the temperature up to 90°C. Although these were the basic conditions, different pH values (3.5–13), temperatures (37–90°C), citrate, phosphate, M9 minimal or LB microbiological culture media, were evaluated for synthesizing CdTe-GSH NPs. The reaction could be stopped at any time simply by incubating on ice or at 4°C. To store or to determine production yields, CdTe-GSH NPs can be precipitated with two volumes of ethanol and centrifuged

Figure 4. Uptake and intracellular localization of CdTe-GSH QDs by MKN45 cells. A, Confocal fluorescence images of MKN45 cells incubated with 100 μg/ml CdTe-GSH QDs in the absence (100 QD) or presence of lipofectamine (100 QD+L). QDs are shown in green and cell cytoplasm was stained by phalloidin (red). B, MKN45 cells incubated with 100 μg/ml CdTe-GSH QDs plus lipofectamine (100 QD+L). QDs are shown in green and cell nuclei were stained by PI (red).

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0030741.g004

for 20 min at 13,000 × g. CdTe-GSH QDs in aqueous solution prepared by this method are stable and highly fluorescent for months at room temperature, 4°C or as powder after alcohol precipitation. These aqueous QDs do not precipitate when incubated in various biological buffer solutions (phosphate buffered saline, Tris-HCl, citrate) or in bacterial or cell culture media.

Spectroscopic characterization

NPs absorption spectra were recorded in a Perkin-Elmer Lambda 11 UV-vis spectrophotometer in a 10-mm quartz cell. NPs fluorescence spectra were recorded in a ISS-PC photo-counting spectrophurometer. Quantum yields (QY) of fluorescence were assessed by comparing with fluorescein (ϕf = 0.95) in water as described previously [50].

Nanoparticle composition

The elemental analysis of CdTe-GSH QDs was carried out using a FEI Quanta 200 Environmental Scanning Electron Microscope with an Everhart-Thornley Secondary Electron Detector and a Solid State Backscatter Detector. EDS analysis was performed in an EDAX Si-Li Detector, using Genesis Software.

IR studies

Infrared transmission spectra of purified NPs were recorded in KBr pellets from 2000 to 400 cm⁻¹ on a Nicolet Impact 410 IR spectrophotometer.

Figure 5. Characterization of QDs incorporation into MKN45 cells by flow cytometry. A, Per cent of cells incorporating QDs. B, MFI represent the amount of QDs incorporated after incubation with 25, 50 and 100 µg/ml QDs in the presence of lipofectamine. C, Viability of MKN45 cells incubated with QDs with or without lipofectamine. The total population of cells (QD⁺PI⁻, QD⁺PI²⁻ and QD⁻ PI²⁻) is referred to as 100%. Numbers indicate concentrations (µg/ml) and L stands for lipofectamine. D, Characterization of cell death in MKN45 cells incubated with QDs plus lipofectamine. 100% stands for the total population of QD⁺ cells.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0030741.g005

Nanoparticle size determination

Dynamic Light Scattering measurements of aqueous CdTe-GSH QDs were carried out with a Zetasizer nano S90 light scattering system (Malvern Instruments Limited, UK) using a refractive index of 2.6.

Cell culture

Gastric cancer (MKN45) cell lines were cultured in RPMI medium (GIBCO-BRL, Paisley, Scotland, UK) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum and antibiotics (100 U/ml penicillin, 10 µg/ml streptomycin). Cells were incubated at 37°C in a humidified atmosphere with 5% CO₂.

Confocal fluorescence imaging

MKN45 cells [51] were cultured on circular cover slips for 24 h and then incubated with QDs in the presence or absence of lipofectamine (24 h). Cells were fixed with PBS-4% paraformaldehyde for 30 min and after washing three times with PBS samples were mounted onto slides with 10% Mowiol-2.5% 1,4-diazobicyclo [2.2.2]octane (DABCO) and visualized with a Carl Zeiss Axiovert-135 M confocal microscope (LSM Microsystems) following excitation at 488 (QDs) or 543 nm (PI). Optical sections obtained for co-localization studies were processed with Imaris software (Bitplane AG, Zurich, Switzerland).

QDs incorporation into MKN45 cells

After 24 h incubation with QDs or QDs plus lipofectamine, cells were washed twice with PBS, detached with 0.3% trypsin-
ETDA and analyzed by flow cytometry (Becton Dickinson, USA). 5,000 events were analyzed in the R1 gated region and size (Forward Scatter - FSC) and granularity parameters were determined (Side Scatter - SSC) excluding cell debris. Results were analyzed using the FCS Express software. The incorporation of QDs was referred as the per cent of positive QDs cells and the amount of QDs incorporated was referred to the mean fluorescence intensity (MFI).

**Viability assays**

Cellular viability and cell death were assessed in MKN45 cells exposed for 24 h to QDs or QDs plus lipofectamine. Cells were harvested, washed with FACS Flow buffer (BD, USA), centrifuged at 900 rpm for 5 min, incubated with 10 µg/ml PI and analyzed by flow cytometry. PI negative (not permeable) and positive cells (apoptotic or necrotic) were differentiated according to fluorescence intensity [45]. The same filter was used for detecting green QDs and PI-associated fluorescence (excitation laser line 488 nm), and green and red fluorescence channels were used for QDs and PI, respectively. The emission spectrum maxima for QDs and PI were 525 and 575 nm, respectively. As control, QDs and PI were separately before performing a reading with both labels.
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