1 INTRODUCTION

Cellular levels of the bacterial second messenger bis-3',5'-cyclic di-guanosine monophosphate (c-di-GMP) are controlled by the competing activities of GGDEF-domain containing diguanylate cyclases (DGCs) that produce the molecule out of GTP, and by phosphodiesterases (PDEs) that carry an EAL or HD-GYP domain to degrade the second messenger (Jenal et al., 2017). Multiplicity of c-di-GMP-turnover genes within a genome is widespread in bacteria, making it challenging to understand how individual DGCs and PDEs control specific cellular responses while sharing common enzymatic activities (Hengge, 2009). For example, Escherichia coli K-12 has 12 DGCs and 13 PDEs. While deleting distinct DGCs and PDEs has no effect on cellular c-di-GMP levels, it has drastic consequences for E. coli
biofilm formation (Sarenko et al., 2017). In Vibrio cholerae, which possesses 53 proteins with c-di-GMP-metabolizing domains, only a subset of these proteins affects motility, biofilm formation, or both (Lim et al., 2006).

c-di-GMP is renowned for its function in guiding the transition between motility and sessility in most bacteria (Römling et al., 2013). High levels of the second messenger favor the switch to sessility, a process that often involves formation of self-organized, structured biofilms as a survival strategy. In the nonmotile streptomycetes, c-di-GMP is a key factor controlling the transition between their filamentous lifestyle and spore formation. However, in these bacteria, low levels of the molecule favor initiation of their sporulation survival strategy. For example, overexpression of the E. coli PDE PdeH in S. venezuelae induces premature, massive sporulation (Tschowri et al., 2014). A classical Streptomyces life cycle includes the erection of hyphae into the air when the bacteria switch to their stationary growth phase, followed by the morphogenesis of these aerial filaments into chains of spores. In S. venezuelae, aerial mycelium formation is completely bypassed when c-di-GMP levels are too low, due to PDE overexpression (Tschowri et al., 2014). A phenotypically identical response can be caused through deleting the DGC-encoding gene cdgC—one of the 10 chromosomally encoded GGDEF/EAL/HD-GYP genes in S. venezuelae (Al-Bassam et al., 2018; Latoscha et al., 2019). Deletion of yet another DGC-encoding gene, cdgB, also leads to precocious sporulation; however, the cdgB mutant still undergoes the classical Streptomyces life cycle and forms spores on reproductive aerial hyphae like the wild type. Therefore, deleting cdgB shifts sporulation timing but does not affect the principle mode of spore formation, that is, transition of aerial hyphae into chains of spores. Conversely, overexpressing the S. coelicolor DGC, CdgB, FIGURE 1 c-di-GMP signaling components in the control of Streptomyces development. The diguanylate cyclase (DGC) CdgC contains 10 predicted transmembrane (TM) helices (black bars), PAS-PAC signaling domains, an active GGDEF and a degenerate EAL domain that has no enzymatic activity Al-Bassam et al. (2018). CdgB is a soluble DGC with GAF-PAS-PAC signaling domains and an active GGDEF domain (Tran et al. 2011). The phosphodiesterases (PDEs) RmdA and RmdB both contain conserved GGDEF and EAL domains. Only the GGDEF domain of RmdA has residual DGC activity (indicated by (*), see Figure 2b and text). RmdA contains PAS-PAC domains for signal integration, RmdB has 6 predicted TM helices. c-di-GMP specified by CdgC, CdgB, RmdA, and RmdB controls developmental transitions via BldD and RsiG-\(\sigma^{WhiG}\). BldD binds tetrameric c-di-GMP to repress transcription of genes for aerial mycelium formation, and thus, determining vegetative growth Tschowri et al. (2014). Dissociation of the BldD-(c-di-GMP) complex at low c-di-GMP results in derepression of BldD-targets and allows formation of aerial mycelium. During the transition from aerial growth to sporulation, the sigma factor \(\sigma^{WhiG}\) is kept inactive by the anti-sigma factor RsiG and c-di-GMP. Released \(\sigma^{WhiG}\) at low c-di-GMP activates expression of whiI and whiH that both encode developmental regulators controlling large regulons Gallagher et al. (2020). Activation of WhiI- and WhiH-dependent genes induces spore formation.
causes an opposing phenotype in S. venezuelae, in that it prolongs filamentous, vegetative growth (Al-Bassam et al., 2018); this process can be mimicked by deleting either rmdA or rmdB, which encode functional PDEs (Hull et al., 2012).

Streptomyces development is controlled by a complex network of Bld and Whi regulators. Strains mutated in bld genes fail to develop aerial hyphae, while deletion of whi genes blocks the transition of aerial hyphae into spores (Bush et al., 2015). c-di-GMP signals are integrated in the two Streptomyces cell-fate establishing stages and determine (I) the period of vegetative, filamentous growth by binding to the transcriptional regulator, BldD, (Tschowri et al., 2014) and (II) initiation of sporulation by controlling the activity of the sporulation-specific sigma factor $\sigma^{WhiG}$ (Figure 1) (Gallagher et al., 2020). Binding of c-di-GMP to BldD induces protein dimerization and stimulates BldD-binding to target DNA. In S. venezuelae, BldD binds to 282 target sequences in vivo and represses sporulation. Consequently, the $\Delta$cdgC mutant bypasses aerial mycelium formation and sporulates precociously (Tschowri et al., 2014). $\sigma^{WhiG}$ activity is determined by the anti-$\sigma$ factor Rsig, which sequesters $\sigma^{WhiG}$ when in complex with c-di-GMP. Upon release at low c-di-GMP levels, $\sigma^{WhiG}$ directly activates three genes: whil, whih, and vnz15005. Through the sporulation-specific regulators Whil and Whih, $\sigma^{WhiG}$ thus controls a large regulon of sporulation genes. Overexpressing either whig or co-overexpressing whil and whih, induces hypersporulation (Gallagher et al., 2020), as seen for $\Delta$cdgC.

Although direct targets of the two c-di-GMP-sensors in Streptomyces, BldD and $\sigma^{WhiG}$, are known, there is a major gap in our understanding of c-di-GMP-responsive genes in the genus. The
specific molecular targets driving hypersporulation in the two DGC mutants (∆cdgB and ∆cdgC) on the one hand, and delaying sporulation in the two PDE mutants (∆rmdA and ∆rmdB) on the other hand, are not defined. Moreover, it is unclear why the cdgB mutant forms premature spores within aerial hyphae, while the cdgC mutant is unable to raise aerial mycelium, despite their products possessing the same enzymatic function. To understand the shared, and specialized roles of the two DGCs and PDEs, respectively, we used RNA-seq to compare the transcriptional profiles of ∆cdgB, ∆cdgC, ∆rmdA, and ∆rmdB, with wild-type S. venezuelae. We found that expression of the hydrophobic sheath genes is strongly responsive to DGC and PDE deletions. Chaplin and rodlin are upregulated in ∆cdgB, but are downregulated in ∆cdgC, explaining the failure of ∆cdgC to raise aerial mycelium. Moreover, we show that DGCs and PDEs antagonistically control expression of cell division components, likely contributing to c-di-GMP-induced shifts in timing of sporulation initiation. The distinct regulons of the DGCs CdgB and CdgC, and of the PDEs RmdA and RmdB, imply that these enzymes orchestrate distinct cellular responses to specific environmental and metabolic signals.

2 | RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

2.1 | Biochemical and physiological activities of the GGDEF and EAL domains of RmdA and RmdB

The cytosolic RmdA and the membrane-bound RmdB are composite GGDEF-EAL domain proteins (Figure 1) that are functional PDEs in S. coelicolor (Hull et al., 2012) but their enzymatic activities have not been characterized for the S. venezuelae homologs. The GGDEF and the EAL domains are fully conserved in both proteins. GGDEF domains bind GTP and can allosterically modulate the activities of EAL-domains when organized in tandem, as demonstrated for the GGDEF-EAL PDE CC3396 from Caulobacter crescentus (Christen et al., 2005). We wondered whether the GGDEF domains of RmdA and RmdB were capable of GTP conversion into c-di-GMP, or if they had any influence on the activity of their associated EAL domains. We purified RmdA fused to a maltose-binding protein (MBP) tag at its N-terminus, and an N-terminally 6x His-tagged cytosolic fraction of RmdB. The PDE PdeH from Escherichia coli and the DGC PleD+ from C. crescentus served as positive controls for the PDE and DGC assays, respectively (Paul et al., 2004; Pesavento et al., 2008). [32P]-labeled c-di-GMP or [32P]GTP was added as a substrate for in vitro PDE and DGC assays, respectively, and the reactions were separated by thin layer chromatography (TLC).

Our data show that RmdB hydrolyzed [32P]c-di-GMP to the linear [32P]pGpG (Figure 2a). This reaction was more efficient in presence of manganese than magnesium ions, revealing that Mn2+ is the preferred cofactor (Figure S1). In contrast, RmdA cleaved [32P]c-di-GMP to [32P]GMP via the intermediate [32P]pGpG. Interestingly, excess GTP inhibited the RmdA-mediated hydrolysis of [32P]pGpG to [32P]GMP, suggesting that GTP binding to the GGDEF domain compromises the PDE activity of the EAL domain (Figure 2a). While EAL-domain protein-mediated hydrolysis of c-di-GMP to pGpG is considered to be physiologically relevant (Schmidt et al., 2005), further cleavage of pGpG to GMP, as we demonstrate here for RmdA (Figure 2a), has also been reported for PdeL, PdeR, and PdeH from E. coli (Schmidt et al., 2005; Lindenberg et al., 2013).

Incubation of RmdB with [32P]GTP did not result in any reaction products, suggesting that the GGDEF domain is inactive, at least under the conditions tested here (Figure 2b). In contrast, we detected an additional spot after separating the reaction sample containing RmdA and [32P]GTP. We hypothesized that this spot represented an intermediate product of c-di-GMP synthesis. To reduce the immediate EAL-domain-mediated hydrolysis of any [32P]c-di-GMP produced by the GGDEF domain of RmdA, we added nonlabeled c-di-GMP as competitor. Indeed, we detected both [32P]c-di-GMP synthesized by RmdA, and [32P]pGpG that arose due to rapid degradation of [32P]c-di-GMP by its EAL domain (Figure 2b). To confirm that c-di-GMP production by RmdA required an intact GGDEF site, we mutagenized the GGDEF to GGAAF motif and used purified MBP-RmdA(GGAAF) in the DGC assays. As expected, neither c-di-GMP nor pGpG were detectable in the reaction containing the mutagenized RmdA(GGAAF) protein (Figure 2b). Altogether, these data show that RmdB from S. venezuelae is a monofunctional PDE that cleaves c-di-GMP to the linear pGpG. Conversely, RmdA hydrolyzes c-di-GMP to GMP via pGpG and has weak DGC activity that likely remains cryptic, since the c-di-GMP generated by the GGDEF domain appears to be immediately hydrolyzed by the PDE activity of the EAL domain. Such residual DGC activity in tandem proteins is not uncommon and has also been reported for the GGDEF-EAL protein PdeR from E. coli (Lindenberg et al., 2013). However, we cannot exclude the possibility that, under certain conditions sensed by the PAS-PAC signaling domains, the DGC activity of RmdA becomes dominant over the PDE function.

To assess the impact of the individual GGDEF and EAL domains of RmdA and RmdB on developmental control in vivo, we generated strains carrying chromosomal mutations in either GGDEF or EAL active sites. The strain expressing rmdb with an AAA motif instead of the EAL motif (rmdbAAA) showed a delay in development, similar to that of the rmdb null mutant (Figure 2c). In contrast, mutagenizing the GGDEF motif to ALLEF in the chromosomal locus of rmdb (rmdbALLEF) had no effect on differentiation compared to wild type (Figure 2c). Similarly, a strain carrying the mutant AAA motif (in place of the EAL motif) in the EAL domain of rmdb (rmdbBBAA) was delayed in development, like the rmdb null mutant. We were unable to generate a strain expressing the rmdbALLEF allele from the chromosome, so instead we applied complementation analysis. We found that an rmdb allele carrying the mutagenized GGAAT motif in the GGDEF site could complement the differentiation defect of the rmdb mutant (Figure 2c). These data suggest that a functional EAL domain is crucial for the in vivo functions of RmdA and RmdB. While the GGDEF domain of RmdA can synthesize c-di-GMP in vitro, this activity does not seem to contribute to differentiation control by RmdA in vivo under the conditions tested.
FIGURE 3  RNA-seq profiles of ∆cdgB, ∆cdgC, ∆mdA, and ∆mdB strains. (a) Histogram showing total numbers of down- and upregulated genes in the analyzed mutants. Out of these, numbers of differentially expressed direct BldD-targets in each strain are visualized in pink. Venn diagrams depict number of upregulated (b) and downregulated (c) genes that overlap between the two DGC mutants ∆cdgC and ∆cdgB, or between the two PDE mutants ∆mdA and ∆mdB. (d) Heat map showing differentially expressed genes associated with developmental processes. Genes with twofold (log2 > 1/−1; p<sub>adj</sub> < .05) change in expression were considered as significant.
2.2 Genome-wide transcriptional profiling of S. venezuelae c-di-GMP mutants

Control of developmental progression is the key function of c-di-GMP in all tested Streptomyces models (Tran et al., 2011; Tschowri et al., 2014; Makitrynskyy et al., 2020; Yan et al., 2020). Nevertheless, targets of the second messenger have not yet been addressed on a genome-wide scale. Out of the 10 GGDEF/EAL/HD-GYP-proteins encoded by S. venezuelae, only four enzymes control c-di-GMP-mediated differentiation processes. Deleting the DGC-encoding cdgB and cdgC causes precocious sporulation, but, the phenotypes of the two mutants differ, in that the ∆cdgB strain forms spores on aerial hyphae, whereas the ΔcdgC strain completely skips the aerial mycelium formation stage. On the contrary, deleting either the PDE-encoding rmdA or rmbD delays development. However, the phenotypes of these two strains are not identical: losing rmdB abolishes the wild-type mycelium formation stage. On the contrary, deleting either the PDE-encoding rmdA or rmbD delays development. However, the phenotypes of these two strains are not identical: losing rmdB arrests S. venezuelae in the vegetative growth phase for ca. 1 day longer than deleting rmdA (Al-Bassam et al., 2018). These phenotypes suggest that the two DGCs and PDEs not only share common functions, but also play unique roles in developmental regulation. To understand their functions, we conducted RNA-sequencing (RNA-seq) of the transcriptomes of the four mutants and their wild-type parent strain. When cultivated in liquid Maltose-Yeast Extract-Malt Extract (MYM) medium, ∆cdgB, ∆cdgC, ∆rmdA, and ∆rmbD mutants did not show a significant difference in growth rate in comparison to the wild type (Figure S2b). However, the distinct phenotypes of the mutants were particularly pronounced when S. venezuelae was grown on MYM agar. Hence, for the RNA-seq analyses, we harvested macrocolonies from plates that were inoculated with identical numbers of spores (12 µl of 2 × 10^5 CFU/µl) and were grown for 30 h at 30°C. For each strain, three independent macrocolonies were pooled for RNA-isolation and two samples were sequenced per strain. Thus, the resulting transcriptional profiles would be representative of six (combined) biological replicates.

We were specifically interested in genes that are known components of cascades controlling differentiation (Bush et al., 2015); however, a complete table of differentially expressed genes is presented in Dataset S1. To reduce the complexity of interpreting the RNA-seq data, we considered genes that exhibited a more than two-fold (log2 > 1/-1; p adj < 0.05) increase or decrease in expression in the mutants relative to the wild type as significant. Impressively, in the cdgC mutant, 1,458 genes exhibited significant changes in transcription, with 844 genes being up- and 616 downregulated in comparison to the wild type (Figure 3a, Dataset S1). In ∆cdgB, ∆rmdA, and ∆rmbD, 312, 293, and 164 genes, respectively, were differentially expressed (Figure 3a). We conclude that c-di-GMP controlled transcription, with 844 genes being up- and 616 downregulated in comparison to the wild type (Figure 3a). Thus, out of the 1,770 genes that are in sum differentially expressed in the two mutants, only ~8% of genes overlapped. When examining the transcription profiles of the ∆rmdA and ∆rmbD mutants, we found 52 upregulated genes and 51 downregulated genes that were common to both strains (Figure 3b,c). In total, this corresponds to ~23% of all differentially expressed genes being similarly impacted by both RmdA and RmbD. This shows that despite a shared enzymatic activity, the DGCs and the PDEs, respectively, control characteristic sets of genes. The N-termini of CdgC and RmbD are anchored in the cell membrane, CdgB has GAF-PAS-PAC N-terminal sensory domains and RmdA contains PAS-PAC domains at the N-terminus (Figure 1) (Latoscha et al., 2019). Likely, the signals perceived by the characteristic sensory domains specify the distinct functions of CdgB, CdgC, RmdA, and RmbD.

By comparing the transcriptomes of ∆cdgB and ∆cdgC, we found only 92 upregulated and 41 downregulated genes that were shared in the two DGC mutants (Figure 3b,c). Thus, out of the 1,770 genes that are in sum differentially expressed in the two mutants, only ~8% of genes overlapped. When examining the transcription profiles of the ∆rmdA and ∆rmbD mutants, we found 52 upregulated genes and 51 downregulated genes that were common to both strains (Figure 3b,c). In total, this corresponds to ~23% of all differentially expressed genes being similarly impacted by both RmdA and RmbD. This shows that despite a shared enzymatic activity, the DGCs and the PDEs, respectively, control characteristic sets of genes. The N-termini of CdgC and RmbD are anchored in the cell membrane, CdgB has GAF-PAS-PAC N-terminal sensory domains and RmdA contains PAS-PAC domains at the N-terminus (Figure 1) (Latoscha et al., 2019). Likely, the signals perceived by the characteristic sensory domains specify the distinct functions of CdgB, CdgC, RmdA, and RmbD.

2.3 bld and whi genes with altered expression in the DGC/PDE mutants

Proteins of the Bld and Whi families are key regulators of the developmental genetic network. BldD sits on top of the developmental regulatory cascade, and when in complex with c-di-GMP, it binds to 282 target promoters in the S. venezuelae chromosome (Tschowri et al., 2014; Bush et al., 2015). BldD acts as a transcriptional represor on most target promoters (Elliot et al., 2001; den Hengst et al., 2010), but it can also activate gene expression (Yan et al., 2020). Unexpectedly, we found few bld and whi genes to be differentially expressed in the studied mutants. In agreement with a delay in development, bldN, bldM, whiD, whiH, and whi were downregulated in ∆rmdA; however, of these, only whiH was also downregulated in ∆rmbD. In ∆cdgB, only whi was upregulated at the tested time-point, while in ∆cdgC, both whi and whiD were upregulated, while bldH and bldN were downregulated (Figure 3d and S3a).

The expression of whi and whiH is directly activated by the sigma factor σ^WhiG, whose activity is controlled by the RsiG-(c-di-GMP) anti-sigma factor. Expression of whi completely depends on whiH, whereas whiH expression is only partially dependent on the sigma factor (Gallagher et al., 2020). Thus, the fact that whi was upregulated in both ∆cdgB and ∆cdgC, reflected the activation of σ^WhiG in the two DGC mutants. whiH and whi were, however, both downregulated in ∆rmdA; whiH was also less expressed in ∆rmbD. This collectively suggests reduced activity of σ^WhiG in the two PDE mutant strains. The inversely correlated transcription profiles of these σ^WhiG-dependent genes imply that the two DGCs and two PDEs all contribute to modulating σ^WhiG-activity.

The BldN ECF sigma factor activates the expression of the chaplin and rodlin genes, which encode the hydrophobic sheath proteins that encase aerial hyphae and spores (Bibb et al., 2012). BldD-(c-di-GMP) directly represses bldN expression (Schumacher et al., 2017) (Elliot et al., 2001; Yan et al., 2020). Thus, we expected increased...
transcription of \( bldN \) in the DGC mutants, due to loss of BldD repressive activities, and reduced expression of \( bldN \) in the PDE mutants. It was, therefore, a surprise that \( bldN \) expression was downregulated in the \( \Delta cdgC \) strain. Because of that we set out to examine the expression patterns of all known BldD-(c-di-GMP) target genes in our different mutants. Of the 282 direct BldD-(c-di-GMP) targets in \( S. venezuelae \), we found 19, 57, 27, and 8 genes to be differentially expressed in \( \Delta cdgB \), \( \Delta cdgC \), \( \Delta rmdA \), and \( \Delta rmdB \), respectively (Figure 3a).

These analyses revealed that, at least under the conditions tested, only a relatively minor fraction of all BldD-(c-di-GMP)-targets responded to c-di-GMP changes in the studied mutants. Notably, the direct BldD-regulon was determined in \( S. venezuelae \) grown in liquid culture, and some of the observed differences may be explained by the fact that here, colonies grown on solid medium were analyzed. However, many direct BldD-targets are co-regulated by multiple transcription factors in a hierarchical manner (Bush et al., 2015), and thus, require multiple, additional signals for proper expression. For example, in \( S. venezuelae \), the response regulator MtrA, binds directly to a number of \( bld \) and \( whi \) genes that are also direct BldD-targets, including \( bldM \), \( bldN \), and \( whiG \) (Som et al., 2017). MtrA acts as both activator and repressor in other actinomycetes, but how it impacts \( bld \) and \( whi \) gene expression remains to be addressed in \( S. venezuelae \). Another example is the MerR-like regulator, BldC, which binds to a number of promoters that are also direct targets of BldD; like MtrA, BldC can have both repressor and activator functions (Schumacher et al., 2018b).

2.4 Hydrophobic spore coat genes are sensitive to c-di-GMP

The chaplin and rodlin proteins are major components of the hydrophobic sheath that covers the aerial hyphae and spores in Streptomyces (Claessen et al., 2003; Elliot et al., 2003). \( S. venezuelae \) secretes two long (ChpB and ChpC) and five short (ChpD-H) chaplins, and these proteins are expected to self-assemble into amyloid-like filaments on the cell surface, where they then permit the aerial hyphae to escape the surface tension. As further components of the hydrophobic layer, \( S. venezuelae \) produces three rodlin proteins.
Expression of genes encoding the different hydrophobic sheath components was significantly affected in the four tested mutants. As shown using RNA-seq, deleting cdgB resulted in upregulation of chpD, chpF, chpG, rdlA, rdlC, ramS, and ramC (Figure 3d). In addition, quantitative RT-PCR (qRT-PCR) data revealed that chpH was also upregulated in a cdgB mutant (Figure 4a). Surprisingly, our data showed that in contrast to ΔcdgB, all chaplin genes (except chpB and chpD), and the three rodlin genes, rdlA-C, were downregulated in the cdgC mutant (Figure 3d), despite this strain having the same rapid sporulation phenotype as the cdgB mutant. qRT-PCR data confirmed that expression of chpC, chpE, and chpH was 11-fold, 21-fold, and 11-fold, respectively, lower in ΔcdgC than in wild type (Figure 4a). We also detected a strong downregulation of the chaplin and rodlin genes in both ΔmDA and ΔmDB strains (Figures 3d and 4a).

We tested the water repellent properties of the colony surface of the different wild-type and mutant strains, and found that wild type and ΔcdgB both repelled aqueous solutions (seen as pearl droplet formation on the colony surface), suggesting that they possessed a hydrophobic layer atop their colonies. In contrast, ΔmDA, ΔmDB, and ΔcdgC colonies were highly hydrophilic, with water droplets immediately dispersing (Figure 4b). The observed properties associated with these colony surfaces are consistent with expression of chp genes in wild type and ΔcdgB, and reduced expression of the chaplin genes in ΔcdgC, ΔmDA, and ΔmDB.

We wondered whether chaplin overexpression could restore the inability of ΔcdgC, ΔmDA, and ΔmDB to form aerial mycelium. To test this, we introduced chpB-F and chpH, under the control of the constitutive ermE promoter, on the integrative pMS82 vector into each mutant strain. Colony morphology analysis revealed that none of the overexpressed chaplin genes could fully restore aerial mycelium formation to the studied mutants, when overexpressed individually (Figure S4). Presumably, fine-tuned expression of multiple chp genes is needed to overcome this developmental defect (Di Berardo et al., 2008).

In conclusion, our data revealed that production of the amyloid-forming chaplin and rodlin proteins is controlled by c-di-GMP in S. venezuelae. This is reminiscent of many bacteria, in which the synthesis of extracellular matrix components is activated by c-di-GMP. For example, in E. coli, expression of csgA and csgB, encoding the main components of the amyloid curli fibers, is activated by c-di-GMP (Pesavento et al., 2008). However, strikingly, chp and rdl genes are downregulated upon deletion of the DGC cdgC, while deletion of the DGC cdgB has the opposite effects, leading to upregulation of these genes. The contrasting expression profile of these genes in the two DGC mutants explains the morphological difference between them. Obviously, lack of a hydrophobic layer means ΔcdgC is unable to break the surface tension at the air-agar interface and raise aerial hyphae, so that instead the spores are formed on the upper layer of the substrate mycelium. The downregulation of chp and rdl genes in ΔcdgC is likely a result of bldN downregulation in this strain (Figures 3d and S3a), where bldN encodes an ECF sigma factor needed for expression of these genes. bldN expression is governed by BldD-(c-di-GMP), while BldN activity is controlled by the membrane-bound anti-sigma factor, RsbN (Schumacher et al., 2018a). Since CdgC is associated with the membrane via its transmembrane helices, it will be interesting to test whether this enzyme affects chp and rdl expression through its modulation of RsbN activity.

2.5 | Cell division genes are upregulated in the DGC mutants and downregulated in the PDE mutant strains

Our RNA-seq data showed that multiple genes encoding components of the cell division, cell wall synthesis and chromosome segregation machineries, were upregulated upon deletion of cdgC (Figure 3d). Among these targets were ssgB, whose product is important for the assembly of FtsZ rings at cell division sites (Willemsen et al., 2011); ssgD, encoding a protein that appears to be involved in lateral cell wall synthesis; and ssgE, whose product was proposed to control the correct timing of spore dissociation (Noens et al., 2005). In addition, the three Streptomyces mreB-like genes (mreB, vnz35885, and mbl) and mreC were upregulated in ΔcdgC (Figure 3d). MreB, Mbl, and MreC have crucial roles in the synthesis of a thickened spore wall and contribute to resistance of spores to various stresses such as heat, detergents and salt stress (Heichlinger et al., 2011; Kleinschnitz et al., 2011). The smeA-sffA operon, which encodes SffA, a putative DNA translocase that participates in chromosome segregation into spores, and the membrane protein SmeA, which localizes SffA to sporation septa (Ausmees et al., 2007), was highly upregulated in ΔcdgB and ΔcdgC and downregulated in ΔmDA (Figure 3d).

Differentiation of Streptomyces hyphae into spores requires the conserved tubulin-like GTPase FtsZ, which polymerizes into filaments, called Z-rings, close to the membrane and recruits additional cell division proteins (Jakimowicz & van Wezel, 2012; Haeusser & Margolin, 2016). Ladder-like array of multiple FtsZ rings define the future sporulation septa. In S. coelicolor, ftsZ expression is controlled by three promoters (Flärdh et al., 2000); the same organization was observed for the ftsZ promoter region in S. venezuelae (Figure 5a). The onset of sporulation coincides with a strong upregulation of ftsZ transcription, and this increased expression is crucial for sporulation septation (Flärdh et al., 2000). We expected to detect increased ftsZ transcript levels in the cdgB and cdgC mutants that sporulate precociously, but RNA-seq did not reveal significant changes in ftsZ expression in any of the mutants. Since the two DGC mutant strains have already formed spores when harvested for RNA-isolation from plates after 30 h of growth, we suspected that harvesting at an earlier time point may have revealed changes in ftsZ transcript levels.

Given this, we sought to address ftsZ expression in our mutant strains using an alternative approach. We introduced an ftsZ-ypet
translational fusion, under the control of the native ftsZ promoter on the pSSS plasmid (Schlimpert et al., 2017), into the ΦBT1 phage integration site in the wild-type strain, alongside the cdgB, cdgC, rmdA, and rmdB mutants. After 12 h of growth in liquid MYM medium, wild type and the two PDE mutant strains grew vegetatively and only weak FtsZ-YPet signals were detected. In contrast, in the two DGC mutants, the ftsZ::ypet fusion was highly upregulated, with abundant Z-ring ladders observed, signaling the initiation of sporulation septation. In ΔcdgC, single spores were already detectable at this early stage of growth (Figure 5b). Immunoblot analysis using an anti-GFP antibody confirmed that FtsZ::YPet was most abundant in ΔcdgC, and was elevated in ΔcdgB relative to the wild-type. In contrast, in ΔrmdA and ΔrmdB, FtsZ::YPet levels were strongly reduced when compared with wild-type levels (Figure 5c).

BldD integrates c-di-GMP signals into ftsZ transcriptional control since BldD-(c-di-GMP) directly binds to the S. venezuelae ftsZ promoter region, as detected using ChIP-seq analysis (Tschowri et al., 2014). The BldD-binding site in the ftsZ promoter was defined in S. coelicolor (den Hengst et al., 2010) and is fully conserved in S. venezuelae (yellow box). (b) Fluorescence (left) and phase contrast microscopy images (right) showing that FtsZ-YPet is upregulated in ΔcdgB and ΔcdgC after 12 h of growth in liquid MYM. FtsZ-YPet was expressed from the ΦBT1 integration site under control of the native ftsZ promoter from the pSSS vector Schlimpert et al. (2017). (c) Immunoblot analysis using anti-GFP antibody for FtsZ-YPet detection. Strains were grown for 12 h in liquid MYM. Fourteen µg of total protein was loaded per lane (see Figure S5 for loading control). WT free of the FtsZ-YPet fusion was used as negative control. For quantification, arbitrary units (AIU) were determined using ImageQuantTL. CPM: color prestained protein marker (NEB).
2.6 Genes encoding second messenger enzymes with altered expression in the DGC/PDE mutants

In vivo ChIP-seq analysis identified cdgA, cdgB, cdgC, and cdgE as direct BldD-(c-di-GMP) targets in *S. venezuelae* (Tschowri et al., 2014). For cdgB, this finding was confirmed biochemically using EMSAs (Schumacher et al., 2017), but such confirmation had not been performed for cdgA, cdgC, and cdgE. We systematically tested binding of BldD to promoters of all genes coding for c-di-GMP-metabolizing enzymes in *S. venezuelae* using EMSAs. Our in vitro data confirmed that BldD bound in a c-di-GMP-responsive manner to the promoter regions of cdgA, cdgC, and cdgE (Figure 6a), but we did not detect any protein binding to the promoters of cdgD, cdgF, rmdA, rmdB, and hdgAB (data not shown). BldD binds to a pseudo-palindromic

![Figure 6](image)

**FIGURE 6** cdgA, cdgC, and cdgE are direct BldD targets. (a) EMSA analysis of BldD binding to cdgA, cdgC, and cdgE promoter DNA ± c-di-GMP (0.25–1 µM). (b) Putative BldD-binding box in the promoter regions of cdgA, cdgC, and cdgE. DNA consensus motif bound by BldD was determined by den Hengst et al. (2010) and is located 215 bp upstream of the GTG start in *cdgAp*, 224 bp upstream of the GTG start in *cdgCp*, and 59 bp upstream of the ATG start in *cdgEp*. (C) Enzyme assay shows that CdgE is an active DGC and that non-labeled c-di-GMP inhibits CdgE-mediated conversion of $[^{32}P]$GTP into $[^{32}P]$c-di-GMP. The DGC PleD* from *C. crescentus* served as positive control. Co: $[^{32}P]$GTP control.
In addition to genes coding for c-di-GMP-turnover enzymes, we found that rshA, encoding a RelA/SpoT homolog containing a conserved HD-domain for hydrolysis of the alarmone (pppGpp) (Latoscha et al., 2019) was downregulated in ΔcdgC and ΔrmdA (Figure 3d). Moreover, cya, encoding a cAMP synthetase was upregulated in ΔcdgC, suggesting that CdgC links c-di-GMP-sensing to (p)ppGpp and cAMP metabolism.

2.7 Natural product genes differentially expressed in ΔcdgB and ΔcdgC

Streptomyces spore pigments are frequently aromatic polyketides that are produced by enzymes encoded in the highly conserved whiE cluster. In S. coelicolor, this cluster comprises an operon of seven genes (whiE-ORFI to whiE-ORFVII; sco_5320–sco_5314) and the divergently transcribed gene whiE-ORFVIII (sco_5321) (Kelemen et al., 1998). In S. venezuelae, the homologous cluster is similarly organized and encompasses the genes vnz_33525 to vnz_33490. In the cdgB and cdgC mutants, whiE-ORFI to whiE-ORFVII genes were up to 12-fold upregulated (Figure 3d).

Since the whiE genes are developmentally regulated and expressed only in spores (Kelemen et al., 1998), their upregulation correlates with the morphology of ΔcdgB and ΔcdgC strains that had already sporulated after 30 h of growth on MYM agar. In contrast, S. venezuelae wild type, ΔrmdA and ΔrmdB were still in the vegetative phase after same incubation period (Figure S2a) and were not expressing the whiE genes. whiE expression is controlled by the sporulation-specific BldM-WhiI heterodimer (Al-Bassam et al., 2014). Since whi is transcribed in an RsiG-(c-di-GMP)-σWIG-controlled manner (Gallagher et al., 2020), this regulatory circuit is likely responsible for the whiE sensitivity to c-di-GMP.

Modulation of c-di-GMP can be an efficient way to manipulate antibiotic production in Streptomyces (Makitrynskyy et al., 2020). Therefore, we were also interested in identifying antibiotic genes whose expression changed in response to deletion of ΔcdgB, ΔcdgC, ΔrmdA, or ΔrmdB. S. venezuelae NRRL B-65442 produces the bacteriostatic antibiotic chloramphenicol, a potent inhibitor of bacterial protein biosynthesis. The chloramphenicol biosynthetic gene cluster comprises 17 cml genes (vnz_04400–vnz_04480). These genes were significantly downregulated in both ΔcdgB and ΔcdgC strains, but were unaffected in ΔrmdA and ΔrmdB (Figure 3d). The direct BldD-(c-di-GMP) target gene bldM was reported to indirectly repress chloramphenicol genes (Fernandez-Martinez et al., 2014) and may represent a link between c-di-GMP signals and chloramphenicol gene expression.

3 Conclusions

The DGCs (CdgB and CdgC) and the PDEs (RmdA and RmdB) antagonistically control expression of ftsZ via the c-di-GMP-sensors BldD and σWIG. Upregulation of ftsZ together with other cell division sequence, designated the BldD box; such boxes were located 215, 224, and 59 bp upstream of the translational start codons of cdgA, cdgC, and cdgE, respectively (Figure 6b). CdgA, CdgB, and CdgC are active DGCs (den Hengst et al., 2010; Tran et al., 2011; Al-Bassam et al., 2018). We sought to test the DGC activity for CdgE (possessing GAF-GGDEF domains), and found that indeed it too had DGC activity (Figure 6c). Intriguingly, CdgE activity was subject to product inhibition, since added nonlabeled c-di-GMP inhibited conversion of [32P]GTP into [32P]-c-di-GMP (Figure 6c).

This regulatory feedback loop comprising BldD as c-di-GMP sensor that controls expression of four active DGCs lets us hypothesize that expression of cdgA, cdgB, cdgC, and cdgE may be altered in the analyzed DGC/PDE mutant strains. However, according to RNA-seq, neither transcript abundance of cdgA, nor that of cdgE, was affected at the tested time point in any of the mutants (Figure 3d), cdgC expression was reduced upon rmdA deletion, while cdgB transcript levels were lower in ΔcdgC than in wild type (Figure 3d and S3b). Deleting cdgC also resulted in downregulation of rmdA and upregulation of cdgF (Figure 3d and S3b), which codes for a PAS-PAC-GGDEF-EAL protein that contains 10 predicted transmembrane helices (Latoscha et al., 2019).

Transcriptional regulation of c-di-GMP-metabolizing enzymes in S. venezuelae is complex and involves the action of multiple global regulators, likely explaining why BldD activity modulation due to changes in c-di-GMP levels in the tested DGC/PDE mutants was not associated with significant transcriptional changes in these genes, at least under the studied conditions. The four direct BldD-(c-di-GMP) targets (cdgA, cdgB, cdgC, and cdgE) are also directly controlled by the response regulator MrTA, which further binds to the promoters of cdgF and rmdB (Som et al., 2017). Moreover, cdgB is directly repressed by the transcription factor WhiA, while cdgE is directly activated by the MerR-like regulator BldC (Bush et al., 2013, Bush et al., 2019). Such multilayered transcriptional control of c-di-GMP synthesis and degradation suggests that levels of this molecule are fine-tuned in response to disparate signal transduction cascades.

Differential expression of c-di-GMP-metabolizing enzymes in the analyzed mutants and the regulatory feedback loop comprising BldD-(c-di-GMP) and the four active DGCs CdgA, CdgB, CdgC, and CdgE let us question the c-di-GMP levels in ΔcdgC, ΔcdgB, ΔrmdA, and ΔrmdB. We determined intracellular c-di-GMP levels in cell extracts using liquid chromatography tandem mass spectrometry (LC-MS/MS) (see extended experimental procedures in the supplementary information) and detected ~twofold elevated levels of the second messenger in the four mutants when compared to wild-type levels (Figure S2c). Increased c-di-GMP in ΔrmdA and ΔrmdB is not surprising, but is unexpected for ΔcdgB and ΔcdgC and shows that levels of c-di-GMP do not correlate with the opposing sporulation phenotypes of the PDE and DGC mutants. In E. coli, global c-di-GMP levels do not correlate with biofilm formation phenotype since local c-di-GMP-sensing mechanisms control the synthesis of curli fibers and of pEtN-cellulose (Sarenko et al., 2017) (Richter et al., 2020). Thus, it is likely that locally acting c-di-GMP is also involved in regulation of Streptomyces sporation.
genes in the DGC mutants is associated with precocious sporulation, while reduced expression of \( ftsZ \) in the PDE mutants presumably delays sporulation-specific cell division. Thus, c-di-GMP-responsive expression of cell division genes likely contributes to the decision when the spores are formed. In addition, expression of chaplin and rodlin genes—encoding the major components of the hydrophobic sheath that covers the aerial hyphae and spores in \textit{Streptomyces}—is controlled by c-di-GMP. Their expression in combination with the transcriptional profile of cell division genes determines where the spores are made: on aerial hyphae or out of substrate mycelium. The c-di-GMP enzymes studied here contribute to balanced combination of cell division components and hydrophobins for coordinated progression of the \textit{Streptomyces} life cycle.

4 | MATERIALS AND METHODS

4.1 | Bacterial strains, plasmids and oligonucleotides

All strains, plasmids and oligonucleotides used in this study are listed in Tables S1 and S2 in the supplemental material. \textit{E. coli} strains were grown in LB medium under aerobic conditions. When required, LB was supplemented with 100 \( \mu \)g/ml ampicillin (Amp), 50 \( \mu \)g/ml kanamycin (Kan), 50 \( \mu \)g/ml apramycin (Apr), or 15 \( \mu \)g/ml chloramphenicol (Cam). For hygromycin B (Hyg) ‒based selection, nutrient agar (NA; Bacterial strains; Table S2) or on MYM agar, both supplemented with trace element solution (Kieser \textit{et al.}, 2000). Liquid cultures were inoculated with spores to a final concentration of 10^6 colony-forming-units (CFU) per ml. To study development on MYM agar, 12 ml of 2 \( \times \) 10^5 CFU/ml \textit{S. venezuelae} spores were spotted and incubated for the indicated period of time. For hydrophobicity tests, 5 ml of ddH\( _2 \)O stained with Coomassie Brilliant Blue G:250 were pipetted on top of the colonies that were grown for 43 h. The resulting macrocolonies were photographed using a binocular (Stemi 2000C, Zeiss) coupled with a camera (AxioCAM ICc 3, Zeiss). Digital images were edited using Photoshop CS6 and Illustrator CS6 software (Adobe).

4.2 | Generation of \textit{S. venezuelae} mutant strains

To generate \textit{rmdA}^\textit{ALLEF}, \textit{rmdA}^\textit{AAA}, and \textit{rmdB}^\textit{AAA} mutations on the SV3-B05 and SV2-B03 cosmid, respectively, recombining using single-strand oligonucleotides (Table S1) in \textit{E. coli} HME68 was performed as described in (Feeney \textit{et al.}, 2017). Prior to this, the kanamycin-resistance cassette of both cosmids was replaced by \textit{apr-oriT} in \textit{E. coli} BW25113/pIJ790. For that, the \textit{apr-oriT} sequence with neo-specific extensions was amplified by PCR from pIJ773 (Table S1 and S2).

Successful mutagenesis was confirmed by PCR and Sanger sequencing and the confirmed mutant cosmids were transformed into \textit{E. coli} ET12567/pUZ8002 for conjugation into \textit{S. venezuelae}, as described in (Bibb \textit{et al.}, 2012). Conjugation plates were incubated at room temperature overnight, and then overlayed with Apr. Ex-conjugants were re-streaked once on plates containing Apr and nalidixic acid, and then several times on nonselective medium. The desired mutants arising from a double crossing over were screened for Apr-sensitivity followed by PCR to confirm the desired mutations. PCR products comprising the mutated regions were sequenced and the resulting strains were named SVJH29 (\textit{rmdA}^\textit{ALLEF}), SVJH30 (\textit{rmdA}^\textit{AAA}), and SVJH31 (\textit{rmdB}^\textit{AAA}).

4.3 | Complementation of ∆\textit{rmdB}

For complementation analysis of ∆\textit{rmdB} with \textit{rmdB}^{GGAFA}-FLAG, pJJ10170-\textit{rmdB}^{GGAFA}-FLAG was constructed using PCR with pSVJH02 containing \textit{rmdB}-FLAG under the control of the native promoter (Al-Bassam \textit{et al.}, 2018) as a template and the PRJH36/PRJH37 primer pair (Table S1). The resulting pSVJH03 plasmid was introduced into the phage integration site \( \Phi \text{BW} \) in the ∆\textit{rmdB} mutant by conjugation and the strain was named SVJH4.

4.4 | Immunoblot analysis

For detection of FtsZ-YPet, \textit{S. venezuelae} strains expressing ftsZ-Ypet controlled by the native \textit{ftsZ} promoter on the pSS5 vector (Schlimpert \textit{et al.}, 2017) integrated at the \( \Phi \text{BW} \) phage site, were grown in liquid MYM for 12 h. Two ml were harvested, washed, and homogenized in lysis buffer (20 mM Tris, pH 8, 0.5 mM EDTA and complete protease inhibitor cocktail tablets, EDTA-free (Roche) using a BeadBeater (Biozymb; six cycles at 600 m/s; 30 s pulse; 60 s interval). Total protein concentration was determined using the Bradford Assay (Roth). For immunodetection, anti-GFP antibody was used and bound primary antibody was detected using anti-rabbit IgG-HRP secondary antibody following visualization with the Clarity™ Western ECL Substrate (BioRad) and subsequent detection in a ECL Chemiluminescent Imager (Intas Pharmaceuticals Limited). For semi-quantitative densitometric evaluation of detected FtsZ-YPet, ImageQuant TL software (GE Healthcare Life Sciences) was used to calculate the amount of pixel per band in equal sized areas indicated as arbitrary intensity units (AIU). Signals were normalized to FtsZ-YPet in wild type that were set to 100%.

4.5 | Protein overexpression and purification

Plasmids for overexpression of cdgE, rmdB (amino acids 244-704), and \textit{rmdA} (amino acids 164-721) were generated using PCR with oligonucleotides listed in Table S1 and either genomic DNA, pSVJH01,
or pSVJH02 (Al-Bassam et al., 2018) as templates. cdgE and rmdB were cloned into pET15b (Novagen), rmdA into pMAL-c2 (NEB); rmdA \( \text{G}368\text{G}369\text{G};\text{D}370\text{A};\text{E}370\text{A};\text{F}371\text{F} \) was created using site directed mutagenesis using the pMAL-c2-\text{rmdA} plasmid as template. Protein overexpression was induced with IPTG during logarithmic growth of \( E.\ coli \text{BL21 (DE3) pLysS} \) containing relevant plasmids. 6x His-CdgE and 6x His-Rmdb were purified via Ni-NTA chromatography. For MBP-RmdA and MBP-RmdA\( ^{\text{GGA}} \), purification, amylose resin (NEB) was applied. For details, please see supplemental material and methods.

4.6 | DGC and PDE assay

Enzymatic activity of RmdA, RmdA\( ^{\text{GGA}} \), Rmdb, and CdgE was tested in vitro in PDE and DGC assays, respectively, as described in (Christen et al., 2005; Weber et al., 2006) with minor modifications. One µM purified protein in cyclase reaction buffer (25 mM Tris HCl, pH 7.5; 250 mM NaCl; 10 mM MnCl\(_2\) or MgCl\(_2\); 5 mM β-mercaptoethanol; 10% glycerol) was incubated with 4.16 nM \( \text{[32P]}\text{GTP} \) (Hartmann Analytic GmbH) or 2.08 nM \( \text{[32P]}\text{di-GMP} \) (Hartmann Analytic GmbH) at 30°C for 60 min. To stop the reaction, 5 µl 0.5 M EDTA, pH 8 was added to an equal volume of reaction mixture followed by heating to 95°C for 5 min. In DGC assays, PleD*, a constitutive active DGC from \( C.\ crescentus \) (Paul et al., 2004), was added as positive control. In PDE assays, PdeH from \( E.\ coli \) (Pesavento et al., 2008) served as a positive control. Samples were separated by thin layer chromatography on Polygram CEL 300 PEI cellulose TLC plates (Macherey-Nagel) incubated in 1:1.5 (v/v) saturated (NH\(_4\))\(_2\)SO\(_4\) and 1.5 M K\(_2\)HPO\(_4\); pH 3.6. After drying, the plates were exposed on a Phosphor Imaging Screen (Fuji) which was then scanned using a Typhoon Scanner FLA 7,000 (GE).

4.7 | EMSA

Promotor regions of cdgA (172 bp), cdgC (205 bp) and cdgE (121 bp) were amplified by PCR using specific oligonucleotides (Table S1). Twenty ng of DNA was incubated with 0.6 µM His-tagged BldD, 0.5 µg poly[dI-dC] (Roche) competitor DNA, and increasing concentrations of c-di-GMP. Each sample was supplemented with 2 µl 10x Bandshift buffer (100 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.5; 100 mM NaCl; 50 mM DTT; 10 mM EDTA; 10 mM MgCl\(_2\); 50% glycerol) and ddH\(_2\)O to a total volume of 20 µl. Samples were incubated for 20 min at room temperature and loaded onto a 5% of polyacrylamide gels prepared with TBE buffer. After separation for 1 h at 90 V in 0.5 TBE buffer, DNA was visualized by staining with GelRed (Genaxxon) and exposing to UV light.

4.8 | RNA isolation, RNA-seq and qRT-PCR

Three \( S.\ venezuelae \) macrocolonies that were grown for 30 h at 30°C on MYM agar were pooled for one biological replicate and two replicates were used in total for RNA-seq. Cells were resuspended in 200 µl ice-cold stop solution (5% phenol (pH 4.3) in 98% ethanol) and RNA was isolated using the SV Total RNA Isolation Kit (Promega). After elution, RNA was treated with DNaseI (Turbo DNA-free, Ambion). RNA quantity and quality were analyzed using NanoDrop 2000 (Thermo Scientific) and Bioanalyzer 2,100 (Agilent). qRT-PCR was performed using the SensiFAST SYBR No-ROX One-Step Kit (Bioline) and primers listed in Table S1. The RNA-seq libraries were prepared and sequenced in the Illumina NextSeq system by vertis Biotechnologie AG, generating 75 bp single-end reads. The adapter sequences were trimmed from the single-end fastq files using Cutadapt (version 1.18), and low-quality reads were removed.

4.9 | Data analysis

Reads were aligned to the Streptomyces venezuelae strain NRRL B-65442 genome (accession no. CP018074) using Bowtie 2, with one mismatch allowed. Samtools (version 1.4.1) was used for downstream coverage calculation. The number of reads per gene was obtained using featureCounts (version 1.5.0-p1). The aligned reads were normalized per kilobase per million (RPKM). Differentially transcribed genes were identified using DESeq2 package in R using \( P_{\text{adj}} \) values <0.05 and log2 fold-change <1 for (downregulated genes) or >1 (for upregulated genes) as significance thresholds. To generate a heat map of differentially expressed genes, we first grouped the targets into selected functional groups. Then we plotted the RPKM normalized values of those genes if they were differentially transcribed in at least one of the cdgB, cdgC, rmdA, or rmdB mutants, using seaborn (version 0.9.0) in Python. To generate Venn diagrams for all the differentially transcribed genes, we used the Venn library (version 0.1.3) in Python. Sequencing data were deposited to the NCBI SRA site under the bioproject accession ID PRJNA608930.

4.10 | Phase-contrast and fluorescence microscopy

Before imaging, samples taken from \( S.\ venezuelae \) liquid cultures were washed twice in 1x PBS and 5 µl were pipetted on a thin agarose pad on a microscopy slide. Cells were imaged using the Zeiss Axio Observer Z.1 inverted epifluorescence microscope at 100x magnification and the Axiocam 506 mono. Digital images were organized using ADOBE Photoshop software.
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