A GntR family transcription factor positively regulates mycobacterial isoniazid resistance by controlling the expression of a putative permease

Jialing Hu1†, Lei Zhao1† and Min Yang2*

Abstract

Background: Bacteria use transcriptional regulation to respond to environmental stresses. Specifically, exposure to antibacterial drugs is deemed to be an atypical stress, and altering transcriptional regulation in response to such stress can increase bacterial drug resistance. However, only a few transcription factors that regulate drug resistance have been reported.

Results: In the present study, a GntR family transcription factor, encoded by the MSMEG_0535 (Ms0535) gene, was shown to be an isoniazid (INH) resistance regulator in Mycobacterium smegmatis. When the Ms0535 gene was overexpressed, cells showed a significant increase in INH resistance. First, the interaction between Ms0535 and its own promoter was determined, and a conserved 26-bp palindromic DNA binding motif was identified using electrophoretic mobility shift and DNaseI footprinting assays. Second, quantitative reverse transcription-PCR assays showed that Ms0535 acted as a transcriptional activator, and positively regulated its own expression, as well as that of a permease encoded by the MSMEG_0534 (Ms0534) gene. Similar to the case for the Ms0535 gene, a recombinant Ms0534-overexpressing strain also exhibited increased INH resistance compared with the wild-type strain. Furthermore, we showed that Ms0535 and Ms0534 deletion strains were more sensitive to INH than the wild-type strain. Interestingly, overexpressing Ms0534 in the Ms0535 deletion strain enhanced its INH resistance. In contrast, the Ms0534 deletion strain was still sensitive to INH even when Ms0535 was overexpressed. These findings suggest that Ms0534 is an effector protein that affects INH resistance in M. smegmatis.

Conclusions: In summary, the GntR transcriptional regulator Ms0535 positively regulates INH resistance by transcriptionally regulating the expression of the Ms0534 permease in M. smegmatis. These results improve our understanding of the role of transcriptional regulation in INH drug resistance in mycobacteria.
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Background

Transcriptional regulation plays an important role in the bacterial response to environmental stresses. Antibacterial drugs are deemed to be atypical stressors, and changes in transcriptional regulation can increase bacterial drug resistance [1]. When exposed to such drugs, bacteria can undergo complex responses, such as inactivating the targets, modifying the drugs, or expressing drug efflux system proteins. Today, more than 20 transporter proteins have been found to be associated with tetracycline efflux systems [2]. Bacterial drug efflux pumps can efflux drugs out of the cell, thereby lowering the drug concentration, and up-regulating the expression of membrane drug efflux pump proteins is the key mechanism by which bacteria increase their drug resistance [3]. Five different categories of drug transporters have been investigated: the ATP-binding cassette (ABC) superfamily, the major facilitator superfamily (MFS), the multidrug and toxic compound extrusion (MATE) family, the small multidrug resistance (SMR) family, and the resistance/nodulation/cell division (RND) family [2].

MFS members are widespread, and they play important roles in drug transport in organisms ranging from bacteria...
to humans [4]. The MFS was first found to transport glucose, and, subsequently, drugs, phosphates, and oligosaccharides [5]. An MFS permease is directly involved in the bacterial drug efflux process [4]. Some bacterial transcription regulatory proteins, such as Bacillus subtilis BmrR, Staphylococcus aureus QacR, and Mycobacterium smegmatis LfrA, can regulate the expression of drug transporters by acting either as transcriptional repressors or activators of drug efflux genes [6–8]. However, our knowledge of the transcriptional factors that regulate anti-mycobacterial drug transporter-related genes is still limited.

Mycobacterium smegmatis is a relatively fast-growing and non-pathogenic mycobacterium, and it is frequently used as a model organism to study the gene regulatory mechanisms of M. tuberculosis [9, 10]. Notably, the genome of M. tuberculosis encodes at least 20 possible drug efflux transporters [11]. Some of these transporter proteins play a role in mycobacterial resistance to isoniazid (INH), rifampicin (RIF), tetracycline, and other antibiotics [12–14]. In comparison with M. tuberculosis, M. smegmatis has more drug efflux systems and transcription factors; however, the mechanisms by which they are regulated are largely unknown.

GntR family transcription factors, which are named after a gluconate operon repressor in B. subtilis, are widely distributed in bacteria [15, 16]. GntR members contain a conserved N-terminal helix-turn-helix (HTH) domain for DNA-binding and diverse C-terminal domains [15]. The variable C-terminal domain provides the basis for their classification into six subfamilies. Except for Ms2173, a global Cu²⁺-responsive transcription factor [17], members of the GntR/FadR subfamily have been rarely reported in M. smegmatis. GntR family members usually function as transcriptional repressors, although some of them are transcriptional activators. For instance, Serratia marcescens PigT [18], Enterococcus faecalis CitO [19], FadR [20], and McbR/YncC [21] from Escherichia coli, NorG from Staphylococcus aureus [22, 23], HpxS from Klebsiella pneumoniae [24], and B. subtilis GabR [25] were shown to act as transcriptional activators or repressor/activators.

In this study, we have shown that a GntR family transcription factor, encoded by the Ms0535 gene, plays a role in M. smegmatis drug resistance. Ms0535 specifically bound its own promoter by recognizing a 26-bp palindromic sequence motif that is separated by four nucleotides. It regulates M. smegmatis INH resistance by functioning as a transcriptional activator that regulates the expression of the MFS permease gene Ms0534, which is located in the same operon. This is the first study to clarify the regulatory mechanism of the transcriptional factor Ms0535 and its role in M. smegmatis INH resistance.

Results

Mycobacterium smegmatis Ms0535 potentially contributes to mycobacterial INH resistance

To identify potential transcription factors that regulate drug resistance in M. smegmatis, we screened a transcriptional regulator library by spotting these recombinant strains onto plates containing INH (20 μg/mL). About 500 predicted regulatory genes in the genome of the M. smegmatis strain mc²155 (Genbank accession number CP000480) were cloned into pMV261, and the recombinant plasmids were transformed into M. smegmatis. Transformant strains were spotted onto 7H10 medium containing 20 μg/mL INH. The recombinant plasmids were isolated from the INH-resistant M. smegmatis transformants, which enabled the positive regulator genes to be characterized by sequencing.

A hypothetical transcriptional factor, encoded by the Ms0535 gene, was isolated as a potential contributor to INH resistance in M. smegmatis. As shown in Fig. 1a, the mycobacterial strain transformed with pMV261-Ms0535, which overexpresses Ms0535, was more resistant to 20 μg/mL INH than the wild-type strain transformed with the empty pMV261 vector (Fig. 1a). The evidence suggested that Ms0535 is potentially involved in regulating INH drug resistance in M. smegmatis. A sequence analysis revealed that Ms0535 contains an N-terminal winged HTH DNA-binding domain and a typical all-helical C-terminal domain belonging to the FadR subfamily [26]. The absence of the first α-helix indicates that Ms0535 encodes a VanR-like regulator (Fig. 1b).

Ms0535 binds its own promoter

Several assays were performed to detect the DNA binding activity of Ms0535. First, a bacterial one-hybrid system [27] was used to detect protein-DNA interactions. The promoter region (500 bp upstream) of Ms0535, Ms0535p, and the unrelated promoter Ms0540p (500 bp upstream of Ms0540) were cloned into the reporter vector pBXcmtT [27] and co-transformed into reporter strains with pTRG-Ms0535. Co-transformants of both the positive control pTRG-Rv3133c/pBX-Rv2031p, as well as pTRG-Ms0535/pBX-Ms0535p, grew very well in the screening medium (Fig. 2a). In contrast, no growth was observed for the self-activated controls and the negative control (Fig. 2a). Thus, Ms0535 can bind to its promoter, Ms0535p.

Second, electrophoretic mobility shift assays (EMSAs) were conducted to show that Ms0535 binds to Ms0535p in vitro. As shown in Fig. 2b, 0.03 nM of the Ms0535p DNA substrate was co-incubated with different amounts (1–4 μM) of his-tagged Ms0535 protein, and clear band shifts were observed, indicating the formation of DNA-protein complexes (Fig. 2b, lanes 1–4). In contrast, Ms0535 did not bind to the Ms0540p negative control promoter (Fig. 2b, lanes 5–8). Additionally, a competition assay was
Fig. 1 The effect of Ms0535 on the drug resistance of *M. smegmatis* and a sequence alignment of the Ms0535 C-terminal domain. (a) Isoaizid (INH)-resistance of the *M. smegmatis* (Msm) strain overexpressing Ms0535. Msm/pMV261 and Msm/pMV261-Ms0535 were grown in medium containing 30 μg/mL kanamycin for 36 h. Then, different concentrations of freshly grown bacteria were streaked onto 7H10 plates containing kanamycin (30 μg/mL) and INH (20 μg/mL). The control plate did not contain INH. (b) Analysis of the structural characteristics of Ms0535. The Ms0535 amino acid sequence was analyzed using the online tool SWISS-MODEL. The N-terminal region of Ms0535 contains a winged helix-turn-helix GntR DNA-binding domain, while its C-terminus contains a domain that is similar to that of the FCD family. Amino acid residues present in α-helices are highlighted in gray, and spaces in the consensus sequence denote insertions within the alignment.
performed. Unlabeled Ms0535 and Ms0540 promoters were used to compete with labeled Ms0535, and Ms0535, but not Ms0540, could competitively inhibit the binding of Ms0535 to the labeled Ms0535 promoter (Fig. 2c).

These findings strongly suggest that Ms0535 can specifically bind to its own promoter, Ms0535p.

**Ms0535 recognizes a palindromic sequence motif**

To identify the DNA-binding site for Ms0535, a DNase I footprinting assay was performed as described previously [28]. The results showed that Ms0535 protected the sequence 5′-CCTTGCATGCA-3′ in the Ms0535p promoter (Fig. 3a). The protected DNA region extended from positions −19 to −44 (assuming the Ms0535 start codon to be +1) in the coding strand (Fig. 3b). In this region, a palindromic motif formed by two IRs (5′-CCTTGCATGCA-3′), which are separated by 4 nt, was found (Fig. 3b). To detect binding between Ms0535 and the binding motif, short oligonucleotides were synthesized, and EMSAs were conducted. In the experiments, the wild-type motif and three mutants were included (Fig. 3c). The results showed that Ms0535 bound to the wild-type Ms0535p0 DNA substrate, resulting in shifted bands (Fig. 3c, lanes 1–5). In addition, Ms0535 also bound to Ms0535p1 and Ms0535p2, in which one IR was mutated (Fig. 3c, lanes 5–8 and 9–12, respectively). In contrast, Ms0535 did not bind the Ms0535p7 fragment, in which both IRs were mutated (Fig. 3c, lanes 13–16). Interestingly, when Ms0535 bound to Ms0535p1 and Ms0535p2, the single IR mutants, only one shifted band was observed (Fig. 3c, lanes 5–8 and 9–12, respectively), suggesting that Ms0535 may bind the promoter in two steps.

In conclusion, these results indicate that Ms0535 recognizes a 26-bp palindromic sequence motif.

**The Ms0535 and Ms0534 genes reside in an Ms0534-0535 operon**

Because Ms0535 binds to a 26-bp sequence motif in the upstream region of its own promoter, we created a system
to locate the putative promoter and to detect the operator region of Ms0535 (Fig. 3d). A genomic location analysis suggested that Ms0535 shares the same upstream DNA region with the MFS permease Ms0534. Subsequent reverse transcription-PCR (RT-PCR) assays showed that the two genes were co-transcribed (Fig. 4). The cDNA used in this experiment was reverse transcribed by a reverse primer that was complementary to a region within the Ms0535 gene. DNA fragments encompassing the Ms0534 and Ms0535 genes, as well as the region between the genes, can be amplified from the cDNA template. An mRNA template and the amplification of an unrelated DNA fragment encompassing the Ms0540 gene were used as negative controls. This result suggests that the Ms0534 and Ms0535 genes are co-transcribed and reside in an Ms0534-Ms0535 operon in M. smegmatis.
Ms0535 positively regulates its own expression and the expression of Ms0534

To characterize the biological function of Ms0535, we constructed a *M. smegmatis* Ms0535 knockout strain using a gene replacement strategy (Additional file 1: Figure S1). Subsequently, the expression of the adjacent gene, Ms0534, in both the wild-type and Ms0535 deletion strains was compared by quantitative RT-PCR (qRT-PCR) assays. As shown in Fig. 5a, the expression of Ms0534 was significantly down-regulated in the Ms0535 knockout strain compared with that in the wild-type strain. In contrast, the expression of the negative control gene Ms0540, whose promoter does not contain a conserved binding motif, did not show any obvious changes. This finding suggested that Ms0535 could function as a positive regulator. Consistent with this view, when Ms0535 was overexpressed (by approximately six-fold), the expression of Ms0534 was significantly up-regulated (Fig. 5b). These results indicate that Ms0535 can function as a positive regulator of Ms0534/Ms0535 in *M. smegmatis*.

As we proved, overexpressing Ms0535 can increase the INH resistance of *M. smegmatis*. To determine whether INH can affect the expression of Ms0535, qRT-PCR experiments were performed on the wild-type strain after cultivation in the presence or absence of INH for 6 h (Fig. 5c). Cultivation in the presence of 10 μg/mL INH did not alter the expression of the Ms0534 and Ms0535 genes. However, in the presence of 30 μg/mL INH, the expression of Ms0535 increased by 1.4-fold relative to bacteria grown in the absence of INH, while Ms0534 expression increased 1.6-fold. Since these differences in expression were not very significant, we concluded that these two genes are not INH-responsive genes, although overexpression of either Ms0535 or Ms0534 increases INH resistance (see below).

Ms0535 regulates *M. smegmatis* antibiotic resistance via the putative permease Ms0534

Ms0534 has been predicted to encode a permease that is possibly involved in drug export. Moreover, using the sequence of the Ms0535 DNA binding motif as a BLAST query, no more binding site was found in the *M. smegmatis* genome, except for the Ms0535p promoter. Taken together, we hypothesized that Ms0534 should be the effector protein that confers INH resistance in Ms0535-overexpressing strains. To test this hypothesis, Ms0535 (Additional file 1: Figure S1) and Ms0534 knockout strains (Additional file 2: Figure S2), together with multiple complementary strains, were constructed.

We first determined the growth curves of the wild-type and Ms0534/Ms0535-overexpressing strains. As shown in Fig. 6a, the Ms0535-overexpressing strain grew much better than the wild-type strain in 7H9 medium containing 10 μg/ml INH (Fig. 6a, left panel), but their growth was

---

**Fig. 4** Assays for Ms0534-Ms0535 co-transcription by reverse transcription-PCR. (a) The schematic operon structure of Ms0534-Ms0535. Primers designed for the assays are indicated by black arrows; F1 primers are complementary to the sequences of the two adjacent genes. (b) Reverse transcription-PCR assays for Ms0534-Ms0535 co-transcription. In this assay, the resulting cDNA was transcribed by the F3 reverse primer. For the F1 fragment, mRNA (DNA-free) was used as a negative control (lane 1). In lane 2, a 189-bp reverse transcription-PCR product indicates the co-transcription of Ms0534-Ms0535. The 146-bp F2 and 100-bp F3 extension products are shown in lanes 2 and 3. The negative result in lane 5 reveals that the F4 fragment cannot be amplified from the cDNA template. The PCR procedure was as follows: the reactions underwent 35 cycles of denaturation at 95 °C for 30 s, annealing at 60 °C for 30 s, and extension at 72 °C for 30 s.
similar in the absence of INH (Additional file 3: Figure S3A, left panel). Comparably, the Ms0534-overexpressing strain grew much better than the wild-type strain in the presence (Fig. 6a, right panel), but not in the absence of INH (Additional file 3: Figure S3B).

Furthermore, the growth curves of the wild-type, Ms0535 deletion, and complemented strains were determined. As shown in Fig. 6b, both the Ms0535 (left panel) and Ms0534 deletion strains (right panel) were more sensitive to INH, and their sensitivity could be complemented by inducing the expression of Ms0535 or Ms0534, respectively, using the pMindD system [17]. All these strains grew similarly in the absence of INH (Additional file 3: Figure S3B).

In addition, the Ms0535 deletion strain was complemented with the Ms0534 gene, and the Ms0534 deletion strain was complemented with the Ms0535 gene, and the growth curves of these strains were determined with (Fig. 6c) or without (Additional file 3: Figure S3C) INH. As shown in Fig. 6c, complementation with the Ms0534 gene improved the growth of the Ms0535 deletion strain (Fig. 6c, left). However, complementation with the Ms0535 gene did not improve the growth of the Ms0534 deletion strain (Fig. 6c, right).

These results support our hypothesis, and they indicate that Ms0535 regulates INH resistance through Ms0534, and Ms0534 is the effector protein that confers INH resistance to the Ms0535-overexpressing strain.

**Discussion**

In recent decades, bacteria, especially some important pathogens such as *M. tuberculosis*, exhibiting drug and multidrug resistance (MDR) have become a major health issue worldwide [29]. In the current study, we showed that a GntR family transcription factor, encoded by the Ms0535 gene, activates the expression of the efflux pump protein Ms0534, an MFS permease, thereby affecting the drug resistance of *M. smegmatis*.

Drug efflux pump proteins play an important role in bacterial drug resistance [30, 31]. Several transcription factors involved in the regulation of these proteins have been characterized [6–8]. Ms2173, a global Cu\(^{2+}\)-responsive GntR family transcription factor, was found to be involved in regulating the expression of 37 membrane transport genes, and it was shown to negatively affect mycobacterial drug resistance [18]. GntR family transcription factors, which can regulate MDR, antibiotic biosynthesis, cell permeability, and virulence [5, 32], are widely distributed in bacteria [26]; GntR is named after a repressor of the *B. subtilis* gluconate operon [5]. The family members usually function as transcriptional repressors, although there are also reports of GntR activators, such as *S. marcescens* PigT [18], *E. faecalis* CitO [19], and *B. subtilis* GabR [25]. The genomes of mycobacteria contain a large number of GntR family transcription factors [11], although little is known about the function of the other members of this family. In this study, we isolated and characterized the GntR/FadR subfamily transcriptional factor Ms0535, which acts as an activator and positively affects drug resistance in *M. smegmatis*. DNaseI footprinting assays and EMSAs confirmed that Ms0535 specifically recognized a 26-bp palindromic sequence motif, which was separated by 4 nt (Fig. 3a).

Fig. 5 Quantitative real-time PCR assays. Gene expressions were determined in the *M. smegmatis* Ms0535 deletion strain (a), in the Ms0535-overexpressing strain (b), and in wild type strain with or without isoniazid (c). The relative expression levels of the genes were normalized using the sigA gene as an invariant transcript. An unrelated promoter of the Ms0540 gene was used as a negative control. Data were analyzed using the 2\(^{-\Delta\Delta Ct}\) method as described in the Methods. P-values of the relative expression data were calculated by an unpaired two-tailed Student’s t-test.
The current study showed that most of the mycobacterial drug efflux pumps belong to the MFS. This confirmed that the transporters of the MFS play an important role in mycobacterial drug resistance [33]. LfrA is a transporter protein that belongs to the MFS, and it is mainly involved in the efflux of EB and acriflavine in bacteria. It is the first drug efflux pump been discovered in \(M. \text{tuberculosis}\) [8]. Ms0534 is a permease that belongs to the MFS. It is located in the same operon as the GntR family transcriptional factor Ms0535. In this study, we found that Ms0535 activates the expression of Ms0534 (Fig. 5a). An interesting finding from the present work was the determination that both Ms0535- and Ms0534-overexpressing mycobacterial strains exhibited higher levels of INH resistance than the wild-type strain (Fig. 6a left and right panels, respectively). Conversely, the Ms0535 and Ms0534 deletion strains were more sensitive to INH than the wild-type strain (Fig. 6a right panel; Fig. 6b, left panel). Furthermore, we found that overexpressing Ms0534 in the Ms0535 deletion strain enhanced mycobacterial INH resistance (Fig. 6b, middle panel). However, overexpressing Ms0535 in the Ms0534

**Fig. 6** Determinations of growth curves of \(M. \text{smegmatis}\) strains. Mycobacterial strains were grown in 7H9 medium in the presence of 10 \(\mu\)g/ml isoniazid, and growth curves were determined. (a) Left: the wild-type (WT/pMV261) and Ms0535-overexpressing (WT/pMV261-Ms0535) strains; Right: the WT (WT/pMV261) and Ms0534-overexpressing (WT/pMV261-Ms0534) strains. To avoid potential side effects, the empty pMV261 vector was included in the WT strain. (b) Left: the WT (WT/pMindD), Ms0535 deletion (ΔMs0535/pMindD), and Ms0535 complemented (ΔMs0535/pMindD-Ms0535) strains; Right: the WT (WT/pMindD), Ms0534 deletion (ΔMs0534/pMindD), and ΔMs0534 complemented (ΔMs0534/pMindD-Ms0534) strains. To avoid potential side effects, the empty pMindD vector was included in the WT and Ms0534/Ms0535 deletion strains. (c) Left: the Ms0535 deletion (ΔMs0535/pMV261) strain and the ΔMs0535 strain complemented with Ms0534 (ΔMs0535/pMV261-Ms0534); Right: the Ms0534 deletion strain (ΔMs0534/pMV261) and the ΔMs0534 strain complemented with Ms0535 (ΔMs0534/pMV261-Ms0535). To avoid potential side effects, the empty pMV261 vector was included in the Ms0534/Ms0535 deleted strains. Error bars represent the standard deviation of three biological replicates.
deletion strain did not affect INH resistance (Fig. 6b, right panel). This suggests that Ms0535 acts as a transcriptional activator that regulates the expression of the drug efflux pump protein Ms0534, which positively regulates the drug resistance of *M. smegmatis*.

It is noteworthy that although the complementation strains grew better than the deletion strains under INH stress, their resistance to INH are still far from the wild-type control (Fig. 6b). One probable reason for this result is that the corresponding promoter activities are different in the pMindD vector and the *M. smegmatis* genome. Another possibility is that induce of *lyg* gene may cause polar effect in gene expression. Using a scarless gene deletion may avoid this effect by removing antibiotic resistance genes with phage infection [34].

As is well known, INH is active exclusively against mycobacteria, but pathogenic *M. tuberculosis* and non-pathogenic *M. smegmatis* display greatly different sensitivities to INH. Compared with its activity against *M. tuberculosis*, INH is 100 times less active against *M. smegmatis* [35]. Additionally, genomic variations between the two species may also be responsible for this difference. The *M. smegmatis* genome encodes more than 500 potential regulatory factors; however, only 214 regulatory factors are encoded by the *M. tuberculosis* genome. Ms0535 is a GntR family transcription factor encoded by the *M. smegmatis* genome, while absent in *M. tuberculosis*. Furthermore, its target Ms0534, a putative permease, is absent in *M. tuberculosis* as well. According to our results, these two genes may contribute the drug resistance of *M. smegmatis* and this partially explain the difference in INH resistance between the two mycobacterial species.

Studies have shown that MFS proteins can transport molecules such as drugs, glucose, and phosphate [5]. Consistently, we showed that overexpression of the transcription factor Ms0535 and the MFS permease Ms0534 affects the INH resistance of *M. smegmatis*. Additionally, no potential Ms0535 target genes were identified by searching the *M. smegmatis* with the sequence of the Ms0534 DNA binding motif. This suggests that Ms0534 may transport INH, and that Ms0535 specifically regulates this process.

**Conclusions**

In the present study, a GntR family, VanR-like transcriptional factor, Ms0535, was shown to be a transcription activator and to positively regulate INH resistance of *M. smegmatis*. Ms0535 specifically recognized a 26-bp palindromic sequence motif separated by a 4-nucleotide spacer, and it activated its own expression, as well as that of the Ms0534 efflux pump gene in the same operon. Our findings establish Ms0535 as a novel GntR family activator in mycobacteria, and they significantly enhance our understanding of the regulatory mechanism of bacterial drug resistance.

**Methods**

**Strains, enzymes, plasmids and reagents**

*E. coli* BL21 cells and pET28a vector were purchased from Novagen (Darmstadt, Germany). pBT, pTRG vectors and *E. coli* XR host strains were purchased from Stratagene (La Jolla, CA, USA) (Additional file 4: Table S1). Restriction enzymes, T4 ligase, Modification enzymes, Pyrobest DNA polymerase, dNTPs and all antibiotics were obtained from TaKaRa Biotech (Shiga, Japan). The reagents for one-hybrid assay were purchased from Stratagene. Polymerase Chain Reaction (PCR) primers were synthesized by Invitrogen (Carlsbad, CA, USA) (Additional file 4: Table S2).

**The screening of isoniazid related transcriptional regulators**

Over 500 transcriptional regulator genes were amplified from *M. smegmatis* genomic DNA. The gene fragments were mixed as a pool and cloned into pMV261 vector [36] to construct the regulatory genes overexpression plasmids library. The plasmids library were electrophoretic transferred into *M. smegmatis* mc2 155, and the strains were screened on 7H10 plates containing 20 μg/mL INH. As a result, those having increased INH resistance or decreased INH susceptibilities were identified as primary candidates. To avoid random mutations that may contribute to INH resistance, plasmid were extracted from each of the primary candidates, and transformed into the wild type *M. smegmatis* and assayed thrice in a similar way. In final, the increased INH resistance is sufficient to attribute to the overexpression of the corresponding transcriptional regulator.

**Bacterial one-hybrid assay**

Bacterial One-Hybrid assays were carried out as described previously [27]. Ms0535 was cloned into the pTRG vector (Stratagene). Promoters (500 bp upstream of the open reading frame) of the *M. smegmatis* genes were amplified using appropriate primers (Additional file 4: Table S2) and cloned into the pBXcmT vector. The selective plate contains 20 mM 3-AT (3-amino-1,2,4-triazole), 16 μg/ml streptomycin, 15 μg/ml tetracycline, 34 μg/ml chloramphenicol and 30 μg/ml kanamycin. A co-transformant containing pBX-Rv2031/pTRG-Rv3133 plasmids was served as positive control (CK+) and a co-transformant containing empty vector pBX and pTRG was served as negative control (CK–). A co-transformant containing pTRG-Ms0535/pBXcmT plasmids and pTRG/pBX-Ms0535p plasmids were served as self-activated controls. The plates were incubated at 30 °C for 3–4 days.

**Cloning, expression and protein purification**

Cloning, expression and protein purification were performed as previously described [37]. *M. smegmatis* mc2 155 genes were amplified using specific primers
Ms0535 was cloned into the pET28a vector to produce recombinant vectors (Additional file 4: Table S1).

**Electrophoretic mobility shift assay (EMSA)**

DNA fragments for the DNA-binding activity assays were amplified by PCR from *M. smegmatis* genomic DNA using their primers labeled with Fluorescein Isothiocyanate (FITC) or directly synthesized (Additional file 4: Table S2). The amplified products were purified with BioFlux PCR DNA Purification kit (BioFlux) and then subjected to similar binding reaction as in EMSA. DNaseI footprinting was performed using the method of dye primer sequencing [38, 39].

**Recombinant strains**

Knockout of the Ms0535 and Ms0534 gene in *M. smegmatis* was performed as described previously [38, 40]. Deletion of Ms0535 and Ms0534 were further verified by Southern blotting [39]. Primers used in the assays were provided in Additional file 4: Table S1.

Ms0534 and Ms0535 genes were amplified from *M. smegmatis* genomic DNA by their respective primers (Additional file 4: Table S2). To overproduce in *M. smegmatis*, Ms0534 gene was inserted downstream of the hsp60 promoter of pMV261 [36]. And the Ms0534 and Ms0535 genes were cloned into a pMind vector [41] for complementing in knockout strains. The recombinant plasmids were electroporated into *M. smegmatis* mc2 155 and selected on 7H10 medium containing 30 mg/mL kanamycin.

**Quantitative real time PCR (qRT-PCR)**

qRT-PCR were performed as described previously [38]. Isolation of mRNA and cDNA preparation of wild type strains, deletion mutants and overexpression *M. smegmatis* strains were performed and real-time PCR analysis was subsequently carried out according to previously described procedures [37]. Each PCR reaction (20 μl) contained 2 μl of 2 × SYBR Green Master Mix Reagent (Applied Biosystems), 1.0 μl of cDNA samples and 200 nM gene-specific primers (Additional file 4: Table S3). Gene expression levels were normalized to the levels of sigA rRNA gene transcripts and relative expression changes were calculated using the 2-ΔΔCt method [38, 42].

**Determination of mycobacterial growth curves**

Growth patterns of the wild-type (Ms) mycobacterial strain, Ms0535-deleted mutant (Ms/Ms0535::hyg), Ms0534-deleted mutant (Ms/Ms0534::hyg), the overexpression (Ms/pMV261-Ms0535, Ms/pMV261-Ms0534) mycobacterial strains and complemented strains (Ms/MpMind-D-Ms0535, Ms/pMindD-Ms0534) were determined according to previously described [9].
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- **Additional file 1: Figure S1.** Construction of the *M. smegmatis* Ms0535 knockout strain and Southern blotting assay. (A) Schematic of the recombination strategy for the deletion of the Ms0535 gene from the *M. smegmatis* genome. (B) A map of the recombinant vector pMind-Ms0535 containing the upstream and downstream sequences of Ms0535 and the gene that confers resistance against hygromycin. (C) Schematic of the DNA fragments of the wild-type and ΔMs0535 knockout strains treated with the restriction enzyme SmaI. The probe is indicated with a black bar. (D) Southern blot assays. A 300-bp probe corresponding to the sequences of the Ms0535 upstream genomic fragment of *M. smegmatis* was obtained by PCR and labeled with digoxigenin dUTP (Roche, Mannheim Germany). The probe was used to detect changes in the size of the SmaI-digested genomic fragment of *M. smegmatis* before and after recombination. (TIFF 942 kb)

- **Additional file 2: Figure S2.** Construction of the *M. smegmatis* Ms0534 knockout strain and Southern blotting assays. (A) Schematic of the recombination strategy for the deletion of the Ms0534 gene from the *M. smegmatis* genome. (B) A map of the recombinant vector pMind-Ms0534 containing upstream and downstream sequences of Ms0534 and the gene that confers resistance against hygromycin. (C) Schematic representation of the DNA fragments of the wild-type and ΔMs0534 knockout strains treated with the restriction enzyme NarI. The probe is indicated with a black bar. (D) Southern blot assays. A 300-bp probe corresponding to the sequences of the Ms0534 upstream genomic fragment of *M. smegmatis* was obtained by PCR and labeled with digoxigenin dUTP (Roche). The probe was used to detect changes in the size of the NarI-digested genomic fragment of *M. smegmatis* before and after recombination. (TIFF 671 kb)

- **Additional file 3: Figure S3.** Growth curves of *M. smegmatis* strains in the absence of isoniazid. The strains are the same as those described in Fig. 6. (TIFF 228 kb)

- **Additional file 4: Table S1.** Strains and plasmids used in this study. Table S2. Primers used in this study. Table S3. Primers used for quantitative real-time PCR and reverse transcription PCR. (DOC 67 kb)

**Abbreviations**

3-AT: 3-aminotriazol; qRT-PCR: Quantitative real-time PCR; ABC: ATP-binding cassette superfamily; BB: Ethionamide bromide; EMB: Ethambutol; EMSA: electrophoretic mobility shift assay; FITC: Fluorescein Isothiocyanate; INH: Isoniazid; MFS: Major facilitator superfamily; MDR: Multi-drug resistance; MATE: Multidrug and toxic compound extrusion family; MFS: Major facilitator superfamily; RIF: Rifampicin; RND: Resistance/nodulation/cell division family; SMR: Small multidrug resistance family.

**Competing interests**

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

**Authors’ contributions**

MY conceived the study, coordinated the experimental work and, along with LZ and JH, wrote the manuscript. Both JH and LZ carried out the experimental work. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.
Acknowledgements
This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (31301079), Financial Grant from the China Postdoctoral Science Foundation (2013 M540587 and 2014 T70709).

Author details
1National Key Laboratory of Agricultural Microbiology, Center for Proteomics Research, College of Life Science and Technology, Huazhong Agricultural University, Wuhan 430070, China. 2College of Life Science and Technology, Huazhong Agricultural University, Wuhan 430070, China.

Received: 23 March 2015 Accepted: 8 October 2015
Published online: 16 October 2015

References
1. Li X-Z, Nikaido H. Efflux-mediated drug resistance in bacteria: an update. Drugs. 2006;69:1555–63.
2. Alekhun MN, Levy SB. Molecular mechanisms of antibacterial multidrug resistance. Cell. 2007;128:1037–50.
3. Walsh C. Molecular mechanisms that confer antibacterial drug resistance. Nature. 2000;406:775–81.
4. Yan N. Structural advances for the major facilitator superfamily (MFS) transporters. Trends Biochem Sci. 2013;38:151–9.
5. Pao SS, Paulsen IT, Saier MH. Major facilitator superfamily. Microbiol Mol Biol Rev. 1998;62:1–34.
6. Grkovic S, Brown MH, Roberts NJ, Paulsen IT, Skurray RA. QacR is a repressor protein that regulates expression of the Staphylococcus aureus multidrug efflux pump QacA. J Biol Chem. 1998;273:18665–73.
7. Ahmed M, Bonchi CM, Taylor SS, Vázquez-Laslop N, Neyfakh AA. A protein that activates expression of a multidrug efflux transporter upon binding the transporter substrates. J Biol Chem. 1994;269:28506–13.
8. Buroni S, Manina G, Guglierame P, Pasca MR, Riccardi G, De Rossi E. LfrR is a repressor that regulates expression of the efflux pump LfrA in Mycobacterium smegmatis. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2006;50:4044–52.
9. Yang M, Gao C, Wang Y, Zhang H, He Z-G. Characterization of the interaction and cross-regulation of three Mycobacterium tuberculosis RvBE modules. PLoS One. 2010;5:e16072.
10. Li Y, Zeng J, Zhang H, He Z-G. The characterization of conserved binding motifs and potential target genes for M. tuberculosis MnrAB reveals a link between the two-component system and the drug resistance of M. smegmatis. BMC Microbiol. 2010;10:242.
11. Cole ST, Brosch R, Parkhill J, Garnier T, Churcher C, Harris D, et al. Deciphering the genetic and physiological basis of antibiotic resistance in Mycobacterium tuberculosis. Nature. 2000;406:775–81.
12. Fineran PC, Everson L, Slater H, Salmond GPC. A GntR family transcriptional regulator involved in biofilm formation. Biochemistry. 2014;53:7223–31.
13. Truong-Bolduc QC, Hooper DC. The transcriptional regulators NorG and MgrA mediate resistance to both quinolones and beta-lactams in Staphylococcus aureus. J Bacteriol. 2007;189:2996–3005.
14. Hoskisson PA, Rigali S. Chapter 1: Variation in form and function of the helix-turn-helix regulators of the GntR superfamily. Adv Appl Microbiol. 2009;69:1–22.
15. Guzmán K, Badia J, Giménez R, Aguilar J, Baldoma L. Transcriptional regulation of the gene cluster encoding allantoinase and guanine deaminase in Klebsiella pneumonia. J Bacteriol. 2011;193:2197–207.
16. Edathuhamangalum R, Wu R, García R, Wang Y, Wang W, Kreinbrinck CA, et al. Crystal structure of Bacillus subtilis GntR, an autorepressor and transcriptional activator of gntR. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2013;110:17820–5.
17. Rigali S, Derouaux A, Giannotta F, Dufort J. Subdivision of the helix-turn-helix GntR family of bacterial regulators in the FacR, HucR, MocR, and YtaR subfamilies. J Biol Chem. 2002;277:12507–15.
18. Guo M, Feng H, Zhang J, Wang W, Wang Y, Li Y, et al. Dissecting transcription regulatory pathways through a new bacterial one-hybrid reporter system. Genome Res. 2009;19:1301–8.
19. Zanni M, Tessanne K, Merighi M, Lagana R, Tabita FR. Identification of the DNA bases of a DNase I footprint by the use of dye primer sequencing on an automated capillary DNA analysis instrument. J Biomol Tech JBT. 2006;17:103–13.
20. World Health Organization. Global Tuberculosis Control WHO Report. 2010. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2010.
21. Nikaido H, Zuprasky H. AcrAB and related multidrug efflux pumps of Escherichia coli. J Mol Microbiol Biotechnol. 2001;3:215–8.
22. Ryan BM, Dougherty TJ, Beaudeau D, Chuang J, Dougherty BA, Barnett JF. Efflux in bacteria: what do we really know about it? Expert Opin Investig Drugs. 2001;10:1409–22.
23. Subudhi S, Kudrind P, Hongthong A, Sirijuntarut M, Cheewadhanarak S, Tanticharoen M. Isolation and functional characterization of Spirulina sp. D6D gene promoter: role of a putative GntR transcription factor in transcriptional regulation of D6D gene expression. Biochem Biophys Res Commun. 2008;365:643–9.
24. De Rossi E, Arrigo P, Bellinzoni M, Silva PAE, Martin C, Ainsa JA, et al. The multidrug transporters belonging to major facilitator superfamily in Mycobacterium tuberculosis. Mol Biol. 2002;8:714–24.
25. Xu X, Vilcèzhe C, Av-Gay Y, Gómez-Velasco A, Jacobs Jr WR. Precise null deletion mutations of the mycobacterium synthesis genes reveal their role in isoniazid and ethambamide resistance in Mycobacterium smegmatis. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2011;55:3133–9.
26. Wildenhe G, Jacobs Jr WR. The mechanism of isoniazid killing: clarity through the scope of genetics. Annu Rev Microbiol. 2007;61:35–50.
27. Snapper SB, Melton RE, Mustafa S, Kieser T, Jacobs WR. Isolation and characterization of efficient plasmid transformation mutants of Mycobacterium smegmatis. Mol Microbiol. 1990;4:1191–9.
28. Yang M, Gao C, Cui T, An J, He Z-G. A TetR-like regulator broadly affects the expressions of diverse genes in Mycobacterium smegmatis. Nucleic Acids Res. 2012;40:1009–20.
29. Wang Y, Huang Y, Xue C, He Y, He Z-G. Cfr protein–protein regulator specifically recognizes RelA protein–independent promoter motif and broadly regulates expression of DNA damage-inducible genes in mycobacteria. J Biol Chem. 2011;286:159–67.
30. Rolla JR, Do SV, Long F, Liu L, Su C-C, Lei H-T, et al. Structural and functional analysis of the transcriptional regulator Rv3366 of Mycobacterium tuberculosis. Nucleic Acids Res. 2012;40:3540–55.
31. Blokpoel MC, Murphy NN, O'Toole R, Wiles S, Ravn ES, Stewart GR, et al. TetR-like transcriptional regulators of the GntR family. Nucleic Acids Res. 2005;33:e22.
32. Stover CK, de la Cruz VF, Fuerst TR, Burlein JE, Benson LA, Bennett LT, et al. The complete genome sequence of Mycobacterium smegmatis. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2001;45:5313–9.
33. De Rossi E, Arrigo P, Bellinzoni M, Silva PAE, Martin C, Ainsa JA, et al. The multidrug transporters belonging to major facilitator superfamily in Mycobacterium tuberculosis. Mol Biol. 2002;8:714–24.
34. Bolla JR, Do SV, Long F, Dai L, Su C-C, Lei H-T, et al. Structural and functional analysis of the transcriptional regulator Rv3366 of Mycobacterium tuberculosis. Nucleic Acids Res. 2012;40:3540–55.
35. Livak KJ, Schmittgen TD. Analysis of relative gene expression data using real-time quantitative PCR and the 2-ΔΔC(T) Method. Methods. San Diego Calif. 2001;25:402–8.