Antigenic and genetic characterization of a divergent African virus, Ikoma lyssavirus
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INTRODUCTION

The discovery of novel viruses has flourished with the advent of highly sensitive molecular detection techniques (Bexfield & Kellam, 2011; Lipkin & Firth, 2013). These include metagenomic studies of the viral flora of healthy animals aimed at predicting transmission risks to other species, and the detection of pathogens in clinical samples and excreta for diagnosis (Bodemewes et al., 2013; Ge et al., 2012; Li et al., 2011; Phan et al., 2011; van den Brand et al., 2012). Such studies provide valuable information on the presence and variability of viral pathogens in different animal populations. However, the detection of viral nucleic acid alone provides limited information on the zoonotic and pathogenic potential of such viruses.

Recent expansion of the genus Lyssavirus, within the family Rhabdoviridae, provides an example of rapid increase in the number of novel viruses identified, with the number of lyssavirus species doubling in the past 10 years. There are 12 lyssavirus species classified by the International Committee on Taxonomy of Viruses, with two awaiting classification (Dietzgen et al., 2011) and genetic evidence for a further putative lyssavirus detected in Spain (Aréchiga Ceballos et al., 2013). Lyssaviruses are divided into at least two phylogroups, based on genetic and antigenic distance (Badrane et al., 2001; Evans et al., 2012; Hanlon et al., 2005; Horton et al., 2010; Table S1, available in the online Supplementary Material). All lyssaviruses cause rabies, which remains untreatable once clinical signs develop (Johnson et al., 2010) with a near 100% fatality rate. Therefore, prevention of disease relies upon pre- and post-exposure prophylaxis through administration of vaccine and immune globulin. All licensed vaccines and immune globulin...
treatments are based on inactivated preparations or antibodies directed against ‘classical’ rabies virus (RABV). These preparations elicit protective immunological responses to all RABV variants tested, but have variable efficacy against several other lyssaviruses (Badrane et al., 2001; Brookes et al., 2005a; Hanlon et al., 2005; Lodmell et al., 1995). Although difficult to quantify, this variation is related to antigenic distances of such lyssaviruses from vaccine strains (Badrane et al., 2001; Evans et al., 2012; Hanlon et al., 2005; Horton et al., 2010). A strong immunological response to standard rabies vaccine has been shown to protect against lyssaviruses that are classified within phylogroup I, but not against viruses classified within other phylogroups (Fooks, 2004).

In 2009, an African civet (Civettictis civetta), with clinical signs of rabies, was killed in the Serengeti National Park (SNP), Tanzania, after biting a child. The child received appropriate wound care and post-exposure rabies prophylaxis and remained healthy. Direct antigen-detection tests on brain samples from the civet confirmed infection with a lyssavirus, and genetic analysis demonstrated that the causative agent (Ikoma lyssavirus, IKOV) was highly divergent from all lyssaviruses characterized previously (Marston et al., 2012a, b). This finding was particularly significant as dogs are not permitted in the SNP, and the area of the park where the civet was encountered had been free from canine rabies for over 8 years (Lembo et al., 2008). Prediction of antigenic distance and by extrapolation, degree of protection, provided by rabies vaccine strains using sequence data alone is not precise, but the degree of genetic divergence suggested that currently available vaccines would not be likely to be able to confer protection against IKOV (Evans et al., 2012; Horton et al., 2010).

The African civet, a solitary scavenger, does not fill the ecological niche nor have the population dynamics typical of a carnivore rabies host (Cleaveland & Dye, 1995; Estes, 1992). The few published reports of rabies in civets have been either dog- or mongoose-associated RABV variants, suggestive of spillover rather than maintenance of infection (Sabetta et al., 2008). Despite dogs being responsible for the majority of human rabies cases worldwide, a variety of RABV lineages and numerous diverse lyssavirus species have been detected in wildlife, and particularly in a range of bat species (Banyard et al., 2011; Hayman et al., 2012; Kuzmin et al., 2010). Antibodies to a phylogenetically related lyssavirus, West Caucasian bat virus (WCBV), have been detected in Miniopterus spp. bats in Kenya close to the border with Tanzania (Kuzmin et al., 2008a), but there are no published studies showing evidence for lyssavirus antibodies in bats in the SNP. Therefore, with evidence for bat reservoirs for closely related viruses, it is possible that the natural host and reservoir for IKOV is a bat species.

The detection of genetic material from a new virus causing rabies in a potential spillover host, with no known reservoir, requires further investigation. Here we describe the isolation and characterization of viable IKOV in vivo and in vitro, assess the degree of serological cross-neutralization of IKOV with RABV and WCBV, and assess the efficacy of rabies vaccination against IKOV in an animal model. We also present results of surveillance for IKOV in bats living in close proximity to the location of the index case.

**RESULTS**

*In vitro characterization*

IKOV was not easily isolated from clinical material. Repeated blind passage of civet brain homogenate in neuroblastoma cell culture failed to amplify viable virus. IKOV was initially isolated through intracranial (IC) inoculation of 4-week-old CD-1 mice and stocks of virus were then generated by six serial passages in murine fibroblast (baby hamster kidney, BHK-21) cells. The fluorescent antibody test (FAT) was performed on brain smears post-mortem. Viral antigens were detected using FITC-conjugated anti-rabies nucleocapsid protein antibodies. This preparation of antibodies has demonstrated high sensitivity and specificity in detecting lyssaviruses (Robardet et al., 2012). Virus titres at passage five ($10^{4.2}, \text{TCID}_{50} \text{ml}^{-1}$) and passage six ($10^{4.8} \text{TCID}_{50} \text{ml}^{-1}$) were comparable to those seen with other lyssaviruses (Brookes et al., 2005a; Horton et al., 2010; Koraka et al., 2012).

A modified fluorescent antibody virus neutralization (mFAVN) test was used to assess the degree of cross-neutralization between IKOV and other lyssaviruses (Brookes et al., 2005b; Cliquet et al., 1998). Sera from four humans and ten dogs vaccinated with commercial rabies vaccines demonstrated negligible levels of cross-neutralization against IKOV (Table 1). Three of these individuals had exceptionally high reciprocal antibody titres against RABV (Challenge Virus Standard, CVS) of over 1:30,000, and yet had reciprocal titres of less than 1:8 against IKOV, indistinguishable from negative controls and therefore effectively negative. In addition, neat human rabies immune globulin (HRIG), at a concentration of 270 IU ml$^{-1}$, showed no detectable neutralization of IKOV. Sera from mice inoculated with IKOV that showed reciprocal antibody titres of 1:27 to 1:420 against IKOV failed to neutralize CVS in standard FAVN tests. Furthermore, rabbit serum with a neutralizing antibody titre of over 1:1000 against WCBV failed to neutralize IKOV (Table 1). This lack of detectable cross-neutralization precluded accurate positioning of IKOV on an antigenic map developed previously (Horton et al., 2010).

*In vivo pathogenesis*

All mice inoculated IC with 0.03 ml tissue culture passaged IKOV at high dose ($10^{4.8} \text{TCID}_{50} \text{ml}^{-1}$) or a 10-fold dilution ($10^{3.8} \text{TCID}_{50} \text{ml}^{-1}$) succumbed to challenge with an incubation period of between 4.5 and 6 days, with no apparent dose effect. There was, however, a detectable dose effect (albeit not statistically significant at the 95% level) for the intramuscularly (IM) challenged mice, with 5/5 of the mice challenged IM with 0.03 ml IKOV at $10^{4.8} \text{TCID}_{50}$.
Table 1. Cross-neutralization of sera from rabies vaccinated humans and animals against IKOV

Neutralizing antibody titres against RABV (Challenge virus standard, CVS) and IKOV in reciprocal 50% end point titres. International units (IU) are given for rabies by comparison to a standard control (not applicable to IKOV). Mice 3, 4 and 5 were inoculated IM with IKOV at \(10^{3.8}\) TCID\(_{50}\) ml\(^{-1}\) and survived. Rabbit 821 is rabbit anti-WCBV serum with a reciprocal titre of 1448 against WCBV. ND, not done.

| Sample ID  | CVS (100 TCID\(_{50}\)) | IKOV (100 TCID\(_{50}\)) |
|------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|
| HUMAN - 1  | 23                      | <8                      |
| HUMAN - 2  | 31                      | <8                      |
| HUMAN - 3  | 1093                    | <8                      |
| HUMAN - 4  | 1093                    | <8                      |
| DOG 1      | 53                      | <8                      |
| DOG 2      | 41                      | <8                      |
| DOG 3      | 1094                    | <8                      |
| DOG 4      | 122                     | <8                      |
| DOG 5      | 365                     | <8                      |
| DOG 6      | 1                       | <8                      |
| DOG 7      | 1094                    | <8                      |
| DOG 8      | 364                     | <8                      |
| DOG 9      | 14                      | <8                      |
| DOG 10     | 41                      | <8                      |
| HRIG       | 270                     | <8                      |
| Mouse 3    | <0.2                    | <16                     |
| Mouse 4    | <0.2                    | <16                     |
| Mouse 5    | ND                      | 81                      |
| Rabbit 821 | <0.2                    | <16                     |

ml\(^{-1}\) succumbing between 6.5 and 9 days, but only 2/5 of the group receiving IKOV at \(10^{3.8}\) TCID\(_{50}\) ml\(^{-1}\) succumbing, with incubation periods of 7 and 11 days (Fischer’s exact test, \(P=0.08\); Fig. 1). The remaining three mice inoculated IM with the lower dose had no detectable lyssavirus antigens in the brain post-mortem, but had seroconverted when subjected to euthanasia at 28 days (Table 1). Prodromal clinical signs were similar to those recorded for other lyssaviruses in mice, including reduced appetite, ruffled fur and hunched posture (Healy et al., 2013). Mice then progressed to either hind limb paralysis, or hyperexcitability and convulsions, with a predominance of the latter, and were subjected to euthanasia.

Pathology

Mice inoculated with IKOV and subject to euthanasia at a clinical score of 2 to 3 had developed non-suppurative encephalitis (Fig. 2a). The inflammatory changes were very mild, with occasional perivascular cuffing but without notable gliosis or degenerative changes in neurons. IKOV antigens were observed using immunohistochemistry (IHC) in the perikaryon and neuropil in all brain regions examined, with the majority of staining in the medulla and only rare and dispersed antigens in the cortex and thalamus (Fig. 2b).

Vaccine challenge experiments

Nineteen mice were vaccinated with one dose of a commercial rabies vaccine, and 18 of these had seroconverted against RABV by day 21 (Titre range 0.70–256 IU ml\(^{-1}\)), confirming an adequate response to vaccination. Mice vaccinated under identical conditions were previously demonstrated to be protected against IC challenge with RABV (Brookes et al., 2005a). All 19 mice challenged IC with IKOV in a modified National Institutes of Health (NIH) test developed rabies, as did all the unvaccinated controls. The presence of IKOV antigens and RNA was confirmed in the brains of challenged mice.

Genetic characterization

Comparison of the full genome of IKOV with the full genome of representatives of other lyssaviruses allows interpretation of genetic relationships among the lyssaviruses (Fig. 3). Analysis of the full genomes supports the previously reported relationship of WCBV and IKOV. Lagos bat virus (LBV), Shimonoi Bat virus (SHIBV) and Mokola virus (MOKV), all previously characterized as phyllogroup II, comprise a strongly supported separate monophyletic group. The European bat lyssaviruses have separate ancestors. European bat lyssavirus type-1 (EBLV-1) shares a common ancestor with Duvenhage virus (DUVV) and Irkut virus (IRKV), separate from the ancestor common to European bat lyssavirus type-2 (EBLV-2) and the remaining lyssavirus species [RABV, Aravan virus (ARA), Khujand virus (KHUV), Bokeloh bat lyssavirus (BBLV) and Australian bat lyssavirus (ABLV)]. IKOV and WCBV form a monophyletic group outside of the phyllogroup I and phyllogroup II lyssaviruses with significant bootstrap support, although they are separated by long genetic distance (63.4% nucleotide identity for the concatenated coding gene sequences).

Sampling of bats in Africa for antibodies to IKOV

The common and ubiquitous free-tailed bats (Chaerephon pumilus) in the immediate vicinity of the index IKOV case in the SNP were roosting in the roof space of the few human dwellings, used by park employees and research scientists. These were therefore the priority for sampling in Tanzania, and were the only species encountered during sampling in SNP in 2012. Sera were available from 25 of these free-tailed bats (C. pumilus) sampled within SNP, and in two settlements in close proximity to the north-western boundary of the SNP. Two other species (Hipposideros sp., \(n=16\), and Nycteris sp., \(n=1\) were also sampled in these settlements. In Kenya, 441 individuals from at least 10
different bat species were caught in multiple locations during 2011, in the framework of the Global Disease Detection Program of the US Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) (Table S2). These included 229 Miniopterus spp., of which 48 neutralized WCBV (titre range 1.3–3.1 log10 ED50). All 483 of these sera from Tanzania and Kenya failed to show any neutralizing activity against IKOV (see Table S2 and Methods provided in the online Supplementary Material).

**DISCUSSION**

The discovery of a novel lyssavirus (IKOV) causing rabies in an African civet, in a wildlife-rich area with potential for wildlife–human interaction, required further investigation to assess its public and animal health significance. Here, we have demonstrated that peripheral pathogenicity of IKOV is comparable to that of RABV in a rodent model. The virus is antigenically distinct to all other lyssaviruses, and vaccination with rabies vaccine produced no cross-neutralizing antibodies in humans or animals, and did not elicit protection in an animal challenge model.

The G protein is the immunodominant lyssavirus protein, and comparison of the G coding sequence of IKOV with other lyssavirus species demonstrates 46–50 % and 52–55 % similarity at the nucleotide and amino acid levels, respectively (Evans et al., 2012). Previous quantitative assessments of the effect of these genetic differences on antigenicity suggested that 72 % amino acid identity along the G ectodomain is a threshold for efficient cross-neutralization (Badrane et al., 2001), and that a 4.8 % difference in amino acid sequence identity over the ectodomain region would cause, on average, a twofold difference in antibody titre against a virus (Horton et al., 2010). Therefore, with only 47 % amino acid identity with CVS, we would expect to see no cross-neutralization between RABV and IKOV. Here we were able to confirm this hypothesis, demonstrating a complete lack of cross-neutralization in sera of humans and animals vaccinated from rabies, even if they demonstrated very high neutralizing titres against CVS.

Similar studies of phylogroup I lyssaviruses showed reduced but significant neutralization of EBLVs relative to CVS (Brookes et al., 2006; Malerczyk et al., 2009), and even studies of the most divergent lyssavirus prior to this discovery, WCBV, showed a limited neutralization by sera of rabbits that had high neutralizing titres against CVS (Hanlon et al., 2005; Horton et al., 2010). In this study, we have demonstrated a lack of cross-neutralization between IKOV and WCBV, despite the viruses having a monophyletic relationship in phylogenetic reconstructions. Our
observations suggest that demarcation of lyssaviruses into phylogroups may be more complex than a comparison of glycoprotein identity values and amount of cross-neutralization as proposed initially (Badrane et al., 2001).

Crucially, HRIG at 270 IU ml\(^{-1}\) failed to show significant neutralization of IKOV (Table 1). In all category three exposures, HRIG is recommended as defined by the WHO (Anon, 2009), but these data demonstrated it is unlikely that HRIG would be effective against IKOV. This lack of protection afforded by rabies biologics reinforces the value of thorough wound cleaning and antiseptic treatment in cases of exposure to carnivores and bats (Anon, 2009).

The current gold standard test for assessment of rabies vaccine potency is the NIH test, which uses an IC challenge in mice vaccinated with serial dilutions of vaccine (Wilbur & Aubert, 1996). The test has been successfully modified for the assessment of protection against other viruses by using a single vaccine dilution, and mice vaccinated using this technique have previously been protected against IC challenge with RABV (Brookes et al., 2005a; Wilbur & Aubert, 1996). However, all 19 mice vaccinated with commercial rabies vaccine and challenged with IKOV succumbed to disease, demonstrating that no protection was conferred. These results confirm the predictions obtained from the genetic and in vitro antigenic studies that rabies vaccines do not elicit protection against IKOV in mice and are therefore unlikely to provide protection in other mammals, including humans.

Analysis of full concatenated coding gene sequences of representative lyssaviruses showed similar topology to previous analyses based on partial N-gene sequences and glycoprotein sequences, suggesting that IKOV and WCBV form a monophyletic group, albeit with deeply rooted divergence (Evans et al., 2012; Marston et al., 2012a). One difference seen here is that in the previous partial N-gene analyses, MOKV, WCBV and IKOV formed a monophyletic group separate from LBV, which is not the case in this full genome comparison.

Knowledge of the reservoir of zoonotic diseases is important, to inform prevention of spillover infections. IKOV was detected in an African civet, an unlikely reservoir for a lyssavirus. Civets are mostly solitary scavengers, with presumed low contact rates (Estes, 1992). Consistent with this ecology is the detection of varied canid and mongoose variants of RABV in the few reported cases of rabies in civets, suggesting that civets are spillover hosts (Sabetta et al., 2008). No further cases caused by IKOV have been detected, despite high levels of tourist and research-related activity in the area that have been sufficient to detect RABV in another wild carnivore since the index IKOV case. This suggests that the civet case did not result in a sustained chain of transmission. Nonetheless, a civet reservoir cannot be ruled out definitively, so vigilance for rabies in civets and other wild carnivore species still needs to be maintained, including virus characterization of any positive cases.

In light of the association of lyssaviruses with bats (Banyard et al., 2011), attention has inevitably focused on bat populations in and around SNP to find the reservoir of IKOV. The IKOV-positive civet was encountered on the edge of the Serengeti plains, with few suitable roosting places for bats. Previous studies demonstrated up to 70% seroprevalence of bats to various lyssaviruses (Harris et al., 2009; Hayman et al., 2012; Kuzmin et al., 2010, 2008a, b; Turmelle et al., 2009) but all bat sera tested in this study were negative for antibodies to IKOV. The limited sample
size, species coverage and narrow time frame preclude robustly ruling out IKOV infection in bats. However, based on these data, and seroprevalence levels demonstrated in reservoir bat populations to other lyssaviruses, it is unlikely that IKOV was circulating in the local free-tailed bat population at the time of sampling. However, the presence of other bat species, the variable success of bat capture techniques for different species, and the possibility of long-distance bat movements, suggests that the reservoir of IKOV is still likely to be in a bat species. The most recently detected putative lyssavirus species, Lleida bat lyssavirus, was detected in a Miniopterus schreibersii bat in Spain, and is phylogenetically related to WCBV and IKOV (Aréchiga Ceballos et al., 2013). Miniopterus spp. bats are also a putative reservoir for WCBV in Kenya (Kuzmin et al., 2008a). There was a notable absence of Miniopterus spp. captured in the SNP, despite the species being detected in large numbers in neighbouring Kenya. All Miniopterus spp. bat sera from Kenya were negative for IKOV antibodies, but there are at least 12 species of Miniopterus bats described in Africa (IUCN, 2013), and some species roost mainly in caves and can travel long distances to feed. Sampling of cavedwelling bats in and around the SNP, together with serological screening of other wildlife samples from the SNP, would be a logical next step to investigating potential reservoirs.

In the experimental animal model used in this study, the incubation period, clinical signs and pathology of IKOV infection were consistent with those caused by other lyssaviruses (Healy et al., 2013), and these data therefore confirm that IKOV causes rabies. The peripheral pathogenicity of IKOV concurs with recent studies showing that substitution at a key domain of the glycoprotein (K/R333D) which is shared by IKOV and phylogroup II leishmanoides species, would be a logical next step to investigating potential reservoirs.

METHODS

In vitro. Civet brain material was stored frozen at the Tanzania National Parks veterinary field laboratory in the Serengeti from 2009 until 2011 and then shipped to AHVLA for virus isolation and characterization. Brain homogenates from the first mouse passage were used to inoculate BHK-21 cell cultures, and the virus was passaged to a high titre for in vitro analysis during six passages: 0.5 ml clarified 10 % mouse brain homogenate in PBS was added to 2 ml of a BHK-21 cell suspension at 2 x 10^5 cells ml⁻¹, and incubated at 37 °C for 20 min with intermittent agitation. The infected cells were then added to a cell culture flask with fresh Glasgow minimal essential medium supplemented with 10 % FBS and 10 % tryptose phosphate broth. Every 3–5 days the cells were disrupted using antibiotic–trypsin–versene and transferred to a new flask with fresh media, and uninfected cells at a 1:1 ratio of infected to uninfected cells. Virus titre was assessed at passages five and six using techniques described previously (Aubert, 1996) and calculated with the Spearman–Kärber method. Viral antigens were visualized in aceton-fixed cells by the direct fluorescent antibody test (FAT) using standard techniques (Dean et al., 1996) with an FITC-conjugated antibody (FITC anti-rabies monoclonal globulin; Fujirebio Diagnostics).

A modified fluorescent antibody neutralization test (mFAVN) was developed and optimized for IKOV using tissue culture passaged virus supernatant (TCSN) (Horton et al., 2010). A standard quantity of virus (100 TCID₅₀ per 50 μl) was added to serial twofold dilutions of serum in duplicate, with the quantity of virus checked by back-titration on each test. Sera and virus were incubated with BHK-21 cells for 48 h before fixing in aceton and staining with FITC-conjugated antibody (Fujirebio Diagnostics). The 50 % end point serum dilution was calculated with the Spearman–Kärber method (Aubert, 1996). Mouse serum from the second mouse passage of IKOV was used as a positive control, and serum from uninfected mice was used as a negative control. A panel of sera from animals and human vaccinees, with proven high serum neutralizing antibody levels to RABV, were tested for their ability to neutralize IKOV (Table 1). A rabbit anti-WCBV serum (rabbit 821), which neutralized WCBV at a reciprocal titre of 1:1448, was also tested in the same assay (Horton et al., 2010).

In vivo. All experimental work in animal models was undertaken under Home Office Licence after independent ethical review. Virus was first isolated from clinical material in 4-week-old OF1 mice, after unsuccessful isolation attempts in cell culture despite repeated passages using the rabies tissue culture inoculation test (RTCIT; Marston et al., 2012a). Clarified civet brain homogenate (30 μl) was inoculated into mice (n=5) IC. Mice were monitored twice daily using a clinical scoring system from 1 to 5 (Healy et al., 2013) and subjected to euthanasia as soon as they progressed beyond clinical score 1. Brains of mice were tested by FAT. To investigate the virulence of IKOV, four groups of five OF1 mice were challenged with either neat TCSN (high dose, neat 10⁴.₅ TCID₅₀ ml⁻¹) or a 10-fold dilution (low dose 10⁻⁸ TCID₅₀ ml⁻¹) by either IC or IM inoculation, followed by twice daily observation and euthanasia when clinical score progressed beyond 1. IHC demonstration of RABV antigens in post-mortem samples from brains of mice was performed as described previously (Hicks et al., 2009).

To assess protection provided by vaccination, a group of 19, 4-week-old OF1 mice were vaccinated with 0.5 ml of a commercially available vaccine (VERORAB, Sanofi Pasteur MSD) by intraperitoneal injection, as standard. A group of five control mice were left unvaccinated. After 21 days a blood sample was taken from the tail vein of each mouse and the level of RABV neutralizing antibodies was assessed using a CVS pseudotype assay (Wright et al., 2009). The mice were then challenged IC with IKOV TCSN at 10⁻⁸ TCID₅₀ ml⁻¹,
observed twice daily and subjected to euthanasia at the first sign of disease.

**Molecular analyses.** Nucleic acids were extracted using Trizol (Invitrogen) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. A pan-lyssavirus real-time PCR assay using iScript (Bio-Rad) was then used to test for the presence of lyssavirus RNA in mouse brain (Hayman et al., 2011).

**Phylogenetic analyses.** The full genome of IKOV was previously determined directly from clinical civet brain material using a combination of next generation sequencing and Sanger sequencing methods (GenBank accession number JX193798; Marston et al., 2012b). In this report, concatenated gene sequences for all genes (N+P+M+G+L) from representatives from all lyssavirus species were compared to those of IKOV using neighbour-joining analysis, thereby avoiding the potential issue of different evolutionary rates between genes. Complete concatenated sequences were aligned using the CLUSTAL W algorithm in MEGA version 4, a neighbour-joining tree was constructed using p-distances with 1000 bootstrap replicates, and visualized using FigTree(v1.2).

**Field sampling of bats in Africa.** To investigate the possibility of a local bat reservoir for IKOV, after ethical review and under permit from local authorities, bats were sampled both in the immediate vicinity of the index IKOV case, and in areas of human habitation (Bunda town and Fort Ikoma village) close to the north-western border of the SNP in Tanzania (n=42) in July 2012. Sera were also analysed from bats sampled in multiple locations in Southern Kenya (n=441) as part of a separate study (Table S2). All 483 serum samples from at least 11 bat species were tested for the presence of neutralizing antibodies to IKOV using mFAVN and modified rabies fluorescent focus inhibition test (see Methods section in the online Supplementary Material).
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