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Abstract: A key factor affecting malaria vector control efforts in Cameroon is the rapid expansion of insecticide resistance in Anopheles gambiae s.l (An. gambiae) populations; however, mechanisms involved in insecticide resistance in forest mosquito populations are still not well documented yet. The present study was conducted to screen molecular mechanisms conferring insecticide resistance in An. Gambiae s.l. populations from the South Cameroon forest region. WHO bioassays were conducted with F0 An. gambiae females aged three to four days from forest (Sangmelima, Nyabessan, and Mbandjock) and urban sites (Yaoundé (Bastos and Nkolondom)), against pyrethroids (permethrin 0.75% and deltamethrin 0.05%) and carbamates (bendiocarb 0.1%). Members of the An. Gambiae s.l. species complex were identified using molecular diagnostic tools. TaqMan assays were used to screen for target site mutations. The expression profiles of eight genes implicated in insecticide resistance were assessed using RT-qPCR. Cuticle hydrocarbon lipids were measured to assess their potential implication in insecticide resistance. Both An. Gambiae and An. coluzzii were detected. An. gambiae was highly prevalent in Sangmelima, Nyabessan, Mbandjock, and Nkolondom. An. coluzzii was the only species found in the Yaoundé city center (Bastos). Low mortality rate to both pyrethroids and bendiocarb was recorded in all sites. High frequency of L1014F allele (75.32–95.82%) and low frequencies of L1014S (1.71–23.05%) and N1575Y (5.28–12.87%) were recorded. The G119S mutation (14.22–35.5%) was detected for the first time in An. gambiae populations from Cameroon. This mutation was rather absent from An. coluzzii populations. The detoxification genes Cyp6m2, Cyp9k1, Cyp6p4, Cyp6z1, as well as Cyp4g16 which catalyzes epicuticular hydrocarbon biosynthesis, were found to be overexpressed in at least one population. The total cuticular hydrocarvbon content, a proxy of cuticular resistance, did not show a pattern associated with pyrethroid resistance in these populations. The rapid emergence of multiple resistance mechanisms in An. Gambiae s.l. population from the South Cameroon forest region is of big concern and could deeply affect the sustainability of insecticide-based interventions strategies in this region.
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1. Introduction

According to the 2018 World malaria report, Cameroon is classified as one of 11 countries most affected by malaria in the world [1]. The whole country is exposed to malaria transmission risk with high and perennial transmission occurring in the forest region [2,3]. An. gambiae which was absent from forested zones has now invaded the area [4,5]. The rapid deforestation taking place in the forest region has provided suitable breeding opportunities for An. gambiae, which in most forest settings is now becoming the predominating species responsible for most malaria transmission cases [6–9]. In Cameroon, members of the An. gambiae complex consist of An. gambiae, An. coluzzii, An. melas, and An. arabiensis, but only An. gambiae and An. coluzzii have been reported from forest settings [4,5]. Although local forest anopheline species are still highly susceptible to insecticides due to their high exophilic and exophagic behavior [10], studies conducted so far suggested increased insecticide resistance in An. gambiae s.l. populations [8,11]. However, there is still not enough information on mechanisms conferring resistance to these forest An. gambiae s.l. populations.

The rapid spreading of insecticide resistance in main malaria vectors, particularly An. Gambiae s.l., is considered to impair the effectiveness of control efforts [12,13]. A recent review conducted in Cameroon indicated high prevalence of pyrethroid and DDT resistance almost everywhere [11]. Carbamate resistance is also present but at a limited scale [11,14]. According to the World Health Organization, over 15 compounds are considered to have been regularly used for vector control across the world. This includes DDT (organochlorine), bendiocarb and propoxur (carbamates), fenitrothion, malathion, and temephos (organophosphate), alpha-cypermethrin, bifenthin, cyfluthrin, cypermethrin, cyphenothrin, deltamethrin, etofenprox, lambda-cyhalothrin, and permethrin (pyrethroid) [15]. The spread of pyrethroid resistance is thought to have resulted from the intensification of vector control measures on the field and pesticide use in agriculture [16–18]. In Cameroon, pyrethroid treated nets are the main tools used for malaria prevention. During the last decade two important mass distribution campaigns of LLINs have been conducted respectively in 2011 and 2015 and permitted the distribution of over 20 million nets to the population [2]. Concerning agriculture, with the increasing demands for new or exotic products, (fruits or vegetables), or the introduction of new agricultural practices, there has been increased usage of pesticides in agriculture in most forest settings [16,19]. How these practices are now affecting the bionomic of vector populations is not well documented. Two main mechanisms confer resistance to insecticides: Target site resistance and metabolic resistance. Target site resistance involving both L1014F (kdr West Africa) and L1014S (kdr East Africa) point mutations in the paravoltage-gated sodium channel (VGSC) gene [20], is well documented and largely spread across the country [11,21–23]. The mutation L1014F is largely predominant and has been reported to be involved in most cases of resistance to both DDT and type I pyrethroids [11,24–26]. The L1014S allele frequency is still low across the country and is considered to have a minor role in vector resistance [11]. The insensitive acetylcholinesterase (iAChE) G119S mutation in the ace-1 gene [27] conferring resistance to both carbamates and organophosphates has currently not been reported across the country [28]. Several mutations such as the N1575Y [29] in the VGSC gene and different haplotypes have been reported [25,30]. Concerning metabolic resistance, several key detoxification genes were reported to be involved in mosquito resistance. These include the cytochrome P450-dependent monoxygenases (P450) Cyp6P3, Cyp6m2, Cyp6p4, and the glutathione-S-transferases Gst1-6 [31]. Overexpression of additional genes implicated in insecticide resistance such as the oxidative decarboxylase Cyp4G16 which catalyses epicuticular hydrocarbon biosynthesis, the superoxide dismutases Sod3, Sod2, Gsts1-2, and P450s Cyp4H24, Cyp6P3, Cyp325c2 has also been recorded in pyrethroid-resistant An. arabiensis populations from North Cameroon [32]. Overexpression of P450s detoxification genes could be involved in resistance to bendiocarb (carbamate), pyrethroids, and a large number of compounds [28,31]. It is
not known whether additional mechanisms such as cuticular modifications that delay insecticide uptake [33] are involved in insecticide resistance in An. Gambiae s.l. populations from Cameroon.

The present study focuses on the analysis of the insecticide resistance status, underlying molecular mechanisms conferring resistance in forest and city An. gambiae populations from Cameroon. This study also intends to examine cuticle alterations as an additional mechanism of insecticide resistance in Cameroon An. gambiae s.l. populations.

2. Methods

2.1. Study Sites

Mosquitoes were collected in four localities in Cameroon: Sangmelima, Nyabessan, Mbandjock, and Yaoundé. All these localities are situated within the Congo-Guinean equatorial climate domain characterized by four seasons: Two rainy seasons (from March to June and from September to November) and two dry seasons (from December to February and from July to August). Yaoundé (3°52’ N; 11°31’ E) is a city of about 3 million inhabitants, occupying a surface area of over 400 km². Although Yaoundé belongs to the equatorial forest domain, the landscape of the city is highly degraded with the extension of the city limits. The landscape of the city is characterized by an alternation of high and lowland areas frequently exploited for agriculture. Mosquito sampling in Yaoundé was conducted in the district of Bastos in the city center, a residential area with no practice of agriculture, and Nkolondom, a periurban district with large surface areas exploited for agriculture. Sangmelima (2°56’ N, 11°58’ E) is a small city of about 70,000 inhabitants located about 150 km south of Yaoundé in the heart of the equatorial forest with a high forest cover. Mbandjock (4°14’ N; 11°54’ E) is situated about 100 km north of Yaoundé in a semi-degraded forest domain at the limit with humid savanna. The city is situated close to the Sanaga River, the most important river in the country. Nyabessan (2°24’ N; 10°24’ E) is situated in the heart of the equatorial forest region close to the border with Equatorial Guinea. Nyabessan is situated close to the newly constructed Memve’ele dam and lies along the edges of the river Ntem.

2.2. Collection of Mosquito Larvae, Rearing, and Processing

Field sampling of anopheline larvae and processing was conducted from September to November 2018 during the long rainy season in the five sites (Sangmelima, Nyabessan, Mbandjock, Yaoundé (Nkolondom, and Bastos)). Larval collections were undertaken in different habitats including temporary water collections, puddles, and semi-permanent sites to avoid over sampling single mosquito families. Collected samples from these different breeding sites were pooled per collection site and reared together in the insectary of the Malaria Research Laboratory of OCEAC. Larvae were fed with Tetramin® fish food until pupae. Pupae were collected in cardboard cup and placed in netting cages for adult emergence.

After emergence, adults were offered sugar solution until processing. A subset of 30–40 unexposed, non-blood fed, 3–5 days post eclosion/emergence female An. gambiae s.l. from different populations were preserved in RNA later for characterization of molecular mechanisms of insecticide resistance; that is knockdown resistance mutations and metabolic resistance genes. The same sample was also used for species identification. Another subset of about 120–150 mosquitoes per population were used for insecticide bioassays; survivors after exposure to insecticide were preserved in 70% alcohol and used for confirmation of molecular species identification.

2.3. Insecticide Bioassay

Adult female An. gambiae s.l. reared from larval collections in different collection sites were tested alongside the susceptible laboratory strains Kisumu and Ngousso against three insecticides (deltamethrin, permethrin, and bendiocarb) following WHO guidelines [34].
An. gambiae s.l. females aged 3–4 days reared from larvae collected on the field were placed in batches of 20 to 25 mosquitoes per tube. The mosquitoes were then transferred to tubes with insecticide-impregnated papers and exposed for 1 h. The insecticide susceptible strains An. gambiae Kisumu and Ngousso were used as control to assess the quality of the impregnated papers. The number of mosquitoes knocked down by the insecticide was recorded after 1h of exposure; next, mosquitoes were fed with a 10% sugar solution and the number of dead mosquitoes was recorded 24 h post-exposure. Mosquitoes subjected to untreated papers were systematically included as controls.

2.4. Mosquito Processing

2.4.1. Total RNA and DNA Extraction from Mosquito Pools

Total RNA and DNA of a pool of female adult mosquitoes aged between 3–5 days were extracted using a magnetic beads-based approach with the MagSi kit (MagnaMedics Diagnostics B.V., Geleen, The Netherlands, Cat. No. MD01017). The quantity and purity of DNA and total RNA were assessed spectrophotometrically via Nanodrop measurements. The quality of RNA was assessed by 1.0% w/v agarose gel electrophoresis.

2.4.2. Genotyping of Mosquito Samples and Multiplex RT-qPCR for Gene Expression Analysis

Species identification and target site mutation (L1014F, L1014S, N1575Y, G119S) determination were performed using the assays described in the IVCC Vector Population Monitoring Tool (VPMT) Protocol Manual [35] with modifications. Analysis of An. gambiae molecular forms was based on the insertion polymorphisms of SINE200 retrotransposons within speciation islands [36]. When analyzing mosquito pools, the % allele frequency for the previously mentioned traits was calculated with regression models using a methodology previously developed [37].

The previously described quantitative Reverse Transcription-real-time PCR (qRT-PCR) 3-plex TaqMan® assays was used for the quantification of eight genes’ expression implicated in insecticide resistance (Cyp6p3 [38], Cyp6m2 [39], Cyp9k1 [40], Cyp6p4 [41], Cyp6z1 [42], Gste2 [43], Cyp6r1 [44], and Cyp4G16 [33]), using RPS7 for normalization purposes in each assay [45].

A list of the primers and probes used in this study is provided in Table S1. Reactions were performed in the Viia7 Real-Time PCR system (Applied Biosystems, Waltham, MA, USA) using a one-step RT-PCR master mix supplied by FTD (Fast-track diagnostics, Luxembourg) in a total reaction volume of 10 µL. The thermal cycle parameters were: 50 °C for 15 min, 95 °C for 3 min, and 40 cycles of 95 °C for 3 s and 60 °C for 30 s, allowing a sample to result time of ~75 min. Samples were amplified in at least two technical replicates, using eight biological replicates for allele frequency estimation and four biological replicates for gene expression analysis for each population. Each run always included a non-template control. The QuantStudio™ Real-Time PCR system v1.3 (Applied Biosystems) software was used for the calculation of Ct values for each reaction, which were then used to calculate fold-changes according to the Pfaffl method [37] and allelic frequencies according to Mavridis et al. [37].

2.4.3. Extraction and Quantification of Cuticle Hydrocarbon Lipids

Female mosquitoes of susceptible control strains (Kisumu and Ngousso) and the field populations were collected and stored in an Eppendorf tube at −80°C until use. Before analysis, mosquitoes were air-dried at 25°C for 48 h and then pooled (20–25 female mosquitoes/replicate, 3 replicates for each population/strain), the dry weight of each replicate was measured, and Cuticular Hydrocarbon Lipids(CHC) analysis was performed by GC-MS and GC-FID as previously described in Balabanidou et al. [33] and Simma et al. [46]. Briefly, cuticular lipids from all samples were extracted by 1-min immersion in Hexane (x3) with gentle agitation; extracts were pooled and evaporated under a N2 stream. CHCs were separated from other components and concentrated prior to chromatography by Solid Phase Extraction (SPE). Quantitative amounts were estimated by co-injection of nC24 as an
internal standard (2890 ng/mL in Hexane). CHC quantitation was calculated as the sum of area of 32 peaks in total, using the internal standard.

2.5. Statistical Analysis

Calculation of 95% CIs and statistical significance was performed according to the Pfaffl method [3]. Graphs of metabolic gene expression were constructed with the SigmaPlot software (v12.0). The mortality rate was expressed as the ratio between the number of mosquitoes that were found dead or not capable to stand on their legs and exposed ones. Confidence intervals were computed using Medcalc. Comparison of mortality rate and fold-change were performed using chi square and Student t-test, respectively.

3. Results

3.1. Bioassays Results

All bioassays were carried out using females of three to four days deriving from larval collection in different study sites (Sangmelima, Nyabessan, Mbandjock, Bastos, and Nkolodom) and two (2) susceptible An. gambiae laboratory strains (Kisumu and Ngoussou) available in the insectary of OCEAC for more than 10 years. A total of 900 An. gambiae from the laboratory strain and 1050 from field populations were screened.

The laboratory strains Kisumu and Ngoussou were all susceptible to all compounds used with mortality ranging between 98–100%. The five collected field populations showed high resistance to pyrethroids. Mortality rate varied between 4–12% for deltamethrin 0.05% and 3–12% for permethrin 0.75%. For test with bendiocarb, the mortality rate varied from 66–86% (Table 1).

3.2. Species ID and Molecular Forms

A total of 280 specimens were genotyped to determine species present in each site. From the analysis, it appeared that in Bastos Yaoundé only An. coluzzii was recorded, whereas in Nkolondom An. gambiae was the only species present. In Nyabessan, Sangmelima, and Mbandjock, both An. gambiae and An. coluzzii were recorded (Table 2).
Table 2. Distribution of An. Gambiae s.l. species in the different study sites.

| Populations/Strains          | Species      | %     |
|------------------------------|--------------|-------|
| Kisumu laboratory strain     | An. gambiae  | 100%  |
| Ngousso laboratory strain    | An. coluzzii | 100%  |
| Bastos (Yaoundé)             | An. coluzzii | 100%  |
| Nkolondom (Yaoundé)          | An. gambiae  | 100%  |
| Sangmelima                   | An. gambiae  | 59.98%|
| An. coluzzii                 | 40.02%       |
| Mbandjock                    | An. coluzzii | 34.66%|
| Nyabessan                    | An. gambiae  | 90.56%|
| An. coluzzii                 | 9.44%        |

3.3. Screening of Target Site Mutations (kdr L1014F/S, kdr N1575Y, iAChE G119S)

The distribution of different mutations associated with insecticide resistance was assessed. No mutations were detected in the susceptible laboratory strains. The L1014F allele was predominant in all sites with a frequency varying from 75.32% to 95.82% (Table 3 and Figure S1). The L1014S kdr allele was not detected in the populations from Bastos and Nkolondom in the city of Yaoundé, but was present in the remaining sites with a frequency varying from 1.38% to 23.05%. The N1575Y was recorded in Nyabessan, Nkolondom, and Mbandjock. The iAChE mutation G119S was recorded in almost all settings except in Bastos with a prevalence varying from 14.22% to 35.5% (Table 3 and Figure S1).

Table 3. Incidence of resistance alleles in different populations of An. Gambiae s.l. mosquitoes.

| Populations      | Sample Size (Alleles) | Resistant Mutation Allelic Frequencies (Mean ± SE) | Pyrethroids | Carbamates/ Organophosphates |
|------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------------------------------|-------------|------------------------------|
|                  |                       | % kdr L1014F | % kdr L1014S | % kdr N1575Y | % iAChE G119S |
| Kisumu lab strain| 80                    | 0           | 0           | 0           | 0             |
| Ngusso lab strain| 80                    | 0           | 0           | 0           | 0             |
| Bastos           | 80                    | 81.33 ± 5.1 | 0           | 0           | 0             |
| Nyabessan        | 80                    | 75.32 ± 1.6 | 23.05 ± 2.07| 5.28 ± 3.1 | 14.22 ± 8.85  |
| Sangmelima       | 80                    | 90.06 ± 4.62| 1.38 ± 1.38 | 0           | 20.25 ± 7.06  |
| Nkolondom        | 80                    | 95.82 ± 1.53| 0           | 9.46 ± 3.2  | 21.78 ± 12.8  |
| Mbandjock        | 80                    | 89.06 ± 4.05| 1.71 ± 1.71 | 12.87 ± 3.2 | 35.5 ± 4.3    |

3.4. Expression Analysis of Genes Implicated in Insecticide Resistance

Quantitative PCR analyses were conducted to assess the expression profile of eight different genes involved in insecticide resistance. This includes Cyp6p3, Cyp6m2, Cyp9k1, Cyp6p4, Cyp6z1, Gste2, Cyp6p1, and Cyp4G16. High overexpression ratios were obtained when field populations were compared to the Kisumu strain (An. gambiae) originating from East Africa (Table 4 and Figure S2). On the other side, moderate overexpression ratios were obtained when field populations of either An. gambiae or An. coluzzii were compared to the Ngousso laboratory strain (An. coluzzii). The following genes Cyp9k1, Cyp6m2, Cyp6z1, and Cyp4G16 always displayed the highest fold-changes in the different comparisons (Table 4 and Figure S2).
Table 4. Gene expression analysis in the five resistant mosquito populations compared to the susceptible strains. Bold letters indicate statistically significant upregulation, asterisks (*) indicate consistent upregulation compared to both susceptible strains.

| Population | Fold Changes (95% CI) |
|------------|-----------------------|
|            | CYP6P3  | CYP6M2  | CYP9K1  | CYP6P4  | CYP6Z1  | GSTE2   | CYP6P1  | CYP4G16 |
| Bastos vs KIS | 4.21    | 4.39 *  | 7.30 *  | 3.74 *  | 3.36 *  | 2.46    | 0.85    | 1.71    |
|             | (2.63–6.96) | (2.93–6.10) | (4.03–19.5) | (2.56–5.09) | (2.36–4.93) | (1.99–3.12) | (0.71–0.97) | (1.20–3.76) |
| vs NG       | 1.18    | 2.70 *  | 2.68 *  | 2.95 *  | 2.42 *  | 0.71    | 0.45    | 1.18    |
|             | (0.80–1.78) | (1.73–3.75) | (1.76–5.71) | (1.93–4.17) | (1.64–3.42) | (0.56–0.87) | (0.36–0.56) | (0.92–1.55) |
| Nyabessan vs KIS | 0.94    | 1.07    | 2.55    | 0.49    | 2.01    | 0.74    | 0.90    | 2.04    |
|             | (0.34–1.97) | (0.31–2.33) | (1.13–8.30) | (0.31–0.69) | (1.28–3.33) | (0.47–1.21) | (0.75–1.13) | (1.07–5.33) |
| vs NG       | 0.27    | 0.66    | 0.94    | 0.39    | 1.45    | 0.41    | 0.28    | 1.41    |
|             | (0.10–0.51) | (0.20–1.44) | (0.49–2.49) | (0.24–0.57) | (0.89–2.31) | (0.13–0.34) | (0.38–0.64) | (0.86–2.27) |
| Sangmelima vs KIS | 0.63    | 1.64    | 4.15 *  | 1.26    | 1.79    | 1.37    | 1.24    | 3.11 *  |
|             | (0.24–1.24) | (0.85–4.40) | (2.22–10.7) | (0.61–3.03) | (1.14–2.92) | (1.07–1.80) | (1.01–1.42) | (2.31–6.49) |
| vs NG       | 0.18    | 1.01    | 1.52 *  | 0.99    | 1.29    | 0.40    | 0.66    | 2.15 *  |
|             | (0.07–0.32) | (0.50–2.71) | (1.03–2.26) | (0.46–2.48) | (0.80–2.03) | (0.30–0.50) | (0.51–0.82) | (1.76–6.66) |
| Nkolodom vs KIS | 1.71    | 5.27 *  | 8.74    | 3.17 *  | 2.79    | 1.56    | 0.835   | 2.65 *  |
|             | (0.81–3.68) | (1.72–14.9) | (3.07–28.4) | (1.18–5.93) | (0.99–6.01) | (1.34–2.06) | (0.71–1.10) | (1.70–6.83) |
| vs NG       | 0.48    | 3.24 *  | 3.21 *  | 2.50 *  | 2.01    | 0.451   | 0.411   | 1.83 *  |
|             | (0.25–0.96) | (1.08–9.18) | (1.29–8.33) | (1.09–5.76) | (0.735–4.17) | (0.38–0.60) | (0.36–0.62) | (1.30–2.61) |
| Mbandjock vs KIS | 1.51    | 3.31 *  | 6.26    | 1.89    | 2.13 *  | 0.98    | 1.01    | 1.85    |
|             | (0.73–2.75) | (2.07–4.59) | (3.25–16.1) | (1.11–2.78) | (1.39–3.30) | (0.69–2.16) | (0.77–1.16) | (1.30–4.08) |
| vs NG       | 0.42    | 2.04 *  | 2.30 *  | 1.49    | 1.53 *  | 0.29    | 0.53    | 1.28    |
|             | (0.22–0.71) | (1.12–2.92) | (1.37–4.71) | (0.84–2.32) | (1.01–2.32) | (0.19–0.35) | (0.39–0.67) | (0.99–1.67) |

KIS: Kisumu susceptible laboratory strain; NG: Ngousso susceptible laboratory strain. Statistical significance was assessed according to the Pfaff method [37].

3.5. Analysis of Cuticular Hydrocarbon Lipids as a Marker of Pyrethroid Resistance

Analysis of CHCs and total mosquito HCs showed no significant quantitative increases in the CHC profiles in any of the field caught populations, compared to the susceptible control specimens (Table S2).

4. Discussion

The study aim was to characterize the profile and mechanisms conferring resistance in An. gambiae populations from South Cameroon forest settings. Both An. gambiae and An. coluzzii were present in the different settings. This was consistent with previous studies [4]. However, although sharing similar habitats, An. gambiae and An. coluzzii have been reported to segregate across different gradients: Distance from the coastal line and altitude [47]. An. coluzzii was also found to be more tolerant to ammonia and salinity in Cameroon compared to An. Gambiae [47,48]. The study indicated a multi-resistance profile in An. gambiae s.l. populations from the South Cameroon forest region. A close association was recorded between phenotypic expression of resistance (low mortality rate) and genetic mechanisms involved with mosquito resistance to insecticides. A widespread distribution of target site mutations in both An. gambiae and An. Coluzzii was evident in the study’s populations. More precisely, the following mutations were recorded: kdr1014F, kdr1014S, N1575Y, iAChe (G119S).

For the first time the G119S mutation conferring resistance to both carbamates and organophosphates was recorded in Cameroon. This mutation is largely spread in West Africa and has been reported in different studies [49–56]. Whether the emergence of this mutation in Cameroon is a de novo phenomenon which appeared independently in forest An. gambiae populations or if this is the result of intense gene flow between An. gambiae populations warrants further investigations. The mutation was not recorded in An. coluzzii populations and this probably suggests that the mutation...
could have emerged recently in the country. The mutation is still absent from several countries in Central Africa [40,44,57], while in West Africa, *An. gambiae*, *An. coluzzii*, and *An. arabiensis* have been reported to carry the allele [33,58] with evidence of duplication of the Ace-1 gene to generate fixed heterozygotes [58,59]. It was also reported in *An. gambiae* that duplication produces extremely resistant and multiple resistant phenotypes [60]. In *Culex* sp. it was demonstrated that duplication reduces the high fitness cost associated to G119S mutation [61].

The presence of different resistance mechanisms in mosquito populations from forested zones (Table S3), support intense selective pressure taking place on the field. In addition to treated nets, the use of pesticides in agriculture could constitute the predominating factors selecting for resistance [17,19,62]. During the last decade intense deforestation has given way to the practice of agriculture with different crops being cultivated year-round. The rapid deforestation has provided significant opportunities for the expansion of the malaria vector *An. gambiae* which is now highly distributed in the area [4,47]. The cultivation of crops such as tomatoes, cabbages, vegetables, and new cultural practices in wetland requiring huge amounts of pesticides have set ground for an increasing selection of vector populations [16,19]. Agricultural practices create numerous trenches that retain water from rain and irrigation systems. *An. gambiae* s.l. females were reported to often lay their eggs in breeding sites located around agricultural settings suggesting that larvae may undergo a selection pressure from agricultural pesticides that promotes the emergence of resistance [24]. Studies conducted in agricultural cultivated sites indicated the frequent use by farmers of cocktail insecticides containing organochlorine, pyrethroids, carbamates, and organophosphates for fighting against pests [16,19,24]. In West Africa, studies conducted in agricultural cultivated sites indicated a high usage rate of pesticides and a high level of resistance in vector populations and the prevalence of different resistant mechanisms [60,62,63].

The kdrWest allele (1014F) was found in very high frequencies across the different studied populations, whereas kdrEast mutation (1014S) was found in low frequencies. These findings were in accordance with previous reports suggesting the high distribution of the L1014F allele across the country [11]. The kdr mutation was reported to be closely associated with resistance to DDT and permethrin in Cameroon [24]. The N1575Y mutation on the other side was recorded at a low frequency in the different sites. This mutation has been previously reported to increase the level of resistance of *An. gambiae* populations to type 2 pyrethroids in West Africa [29]. This mutation as well as the L1014S mutation were less frequent in *An. coluzzii*.

Eight insecticide resistance related genes (seven detoxification genes and one oxidative decarboxylase that catalyzes epicuticular hydrocarbon biosynthesis) among those reported in previous studies in Cameroon and West Africa, were characterized in the present study. Five of the eight genes screened including Cyp6m2, Cyp9k1, Cyp6p4, Cyp6z1, and Cyp4g16 were overexpressed in at least one population. Cyp9k1 showed the greatest folds of upregulation (up to 8.74-folds) and was found to be upregulated in most (4/5) of the study’s populations. Cyp9k1 was recently reported in Equatorial Guinea to be involved with increased metabolism of deltamethrin [40]. Functional characterization of this gene indicated that it could metabolize both deltamethrin and pyriproxyfen but this gene was not found to metabolize bendiocarb [40]. This gene was recorded highly overexpressed in DDT and pyrethroid-resistant *An. gambiae* populations from Cameroon [31]. Cyp6m2 was also found to be intensively upregulated (up to 5.27 folds) in 3/5 populations. This gene has been recorded in previous studies in DDT-resistant *An. gambiae* populations [31]. Cyp6m2 alongside Cyp9k1, Cyp6p4 have been previously found to be upregulated in DDT and pyrethroid-resistant *An. gambiae* mosquitoes from Cameroon [31]. Cyp6m2 expression in Drosophila was found to generate Drosophila phenotypes-resistant to bendiocarb, DDT, and class I and II pyrethroids [60]. This gene was rather not found to be associated to resistance to bendiocarb in Cameroon [28]. Cyp6p3 was also recorded highly overexpressed in most populations, Cyp6p3 overexpression has always been linked with pyrethroid resistance in *An. gambiae*. Recombinant Cyp6p3 enzymes was found to metabolize bendiocarb in vitro [60]. Cyp6z1 and Cyp6p4 were additionally found to be upregulated also in bendiocarb-resistant *An. gambiae* mosquitoes from Cameroon [5]. Cyp4g16 recorded overexpressed, is a functional oxidative
decarboxylase gene known to catalyze epicuticular lipid biosynthesis [33]. It is also considered to contribute to insecticide resistance via the enrichment of the CHC content, thus reducing pyrethroid uptake [33].

In contrast to previous studies, which showed that the epicuticle CHC were enriched in pyrethroid resistance An. Gambiae [33] and An. arabiensis [46] female mosquitoes, we did not detect any significant increase of CHC in any of the populations analyzed, compared to the susceptible strains analyzed, possibly indicating that this type of cuticle resistance (epicuticular thickening due to elevated CHC) may not play a major role in the phenotype.

5. Conclusions

The study suggested multiple mechanisms involved in pyrethroid and carbamate-resistant An. gambiae populations from the South Cameroon forest region. If no action is taken, the rapid escalation of insecticide resistance could ultimately result into control failure. There is therefore an urgent need for more studies to assess the operational impact of insecticide resistance on LLINs efficacy in different epidemiological settings in order to preserve this tool. Cameroon launched a third nationwide campaign of free distribution of LLINs during the month of June 2019. This campaign as the previous ones still saw the distribution of first generation LLINs to the population despite evidences of their poor efficiency against pyrethroid-resistant mosquitoes. It becomes urgent that strategies to mitigate insecticide resistance, such as the replacement of current treated nets by new generation nets treated with alternative insecticides or a mixture of insecticides, be implemented for a better management of insecticide resistance. Moreover, integrated control strategies need to be implemented on the field for malaria control and elimination in Cameroon.

Supplementary Materials: The following are available online at http://www.mdpi.com/2073-4425/10/10/741/s1. Figure S1: Incidence of resistance alleles in different populations of An. gambiae mosquitoes, Figure S2: Gene expression analysis in the five populations of the study compared to the two susceptible laboratory strains (Kisumu, Ngousso), Table S1: List of primers and probes used in the present study, Table S2: Relative abundance of each CHC peak, Table S3: Summary of all resistance mechanisms for each of the study’s populations.

Author Contributions: R.B. and C.A.-N. conceptualized and designed the study; R.B., N.S.-C., and K.M. performed the field and laboratory experiments. R.B. and K.M. performed the statistical analysis. N.S.-C., K.M., T.T., C.S.W., and J.V. critically reviewed and amended the manuscript. R.B. and C.A.-N. wrote the manuscript with input from all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Funding: The study was partly sponsored by a Wellcome Trust Senior Fellowship in Public Health and Tropical Medicine (202687/Z/16/Z) to CAN. Part of this research has received funding from the European Union’s Horizon 2020 research and innovation program under grant agreement No 731060 (INFRAVEC2). We thank Vasileia Balabanidou (IMBB-FORTH) for conducting the CHC analysis.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

References
1. WHO. World Malaria Report 2018; Licence: CC BY-NC-SA 3.0 IGO; World Health Organization: Geneva, Switzerland, 2018.
2. Minsanté. Enquete Post Camagne Sur L’utilisation Des Moustiquaires Imprégnées à Longue Durée D’action 2016/2017; Minsante Press: Yaoundé, Cameroon, 2017; p. 120.
3. Ntonga Akono, P.; Tonga, C.; Mbida Mbida, J.; Ngo Hondt, O.; Awono-Ambene, H.; Ndo, C. Anopheles gambiae, vecteur majeur du paludisme à Logbessou, zone péri-urbaine de Douala (Cameroun). Bull. Soc. Pathol. Exot. 2015, 108, 360–368. [CrossRef]
4. Simard, F.; Ayala, D.; Kamdem, G.; Pombi, M.; Etouna, J.; Ose, K. Ecological niche partitioning between Anopheles gambiae molecular forms in Cameroon: the ecological side of speciation. BMC Ecol. 2009, 9, 17. [CrossRef]
5. Wondji, C.; Frederic, S.; Petrarca, V.; Etang, J.; Santolamazza, F.; Della, T.A. Species and populations of the Anopheles gambiae Complex in Cameroon with special emphasis on chromosomal and molecular forms of Anopheles gambiae s.s. J. Med. Entomol. 2005, 42, 998–1005. [CrossRef]
6. Awono-Ambene, P.; Antonio-Nkondjio, C.; Toto, J.; Ndo, C.; Etang, J.; Fontenille, D.; Simard, F. Epidemiological importance of the Anopheles nili group of malaria vectors in equatorial villages of Cameroon, Central Africa. *Sci. Med. Afr.* 2009, 1, 13–20.
7. Doumbe-Belisse, P.; Ngadjue, C.S.; Sonhafoou-Chiana, N.; Talipouo, A.; Djamouko-Djonkam, L.; Kopya, E.; Bamou, R.; Toto, J.C.; Mounchili, S.; Tabue, R. High malaria transmission sustained by *Anopheles gambiae* s.l occurring both indoors and outdoors in the city of Yaoundé, Cameroon. *Wellcome Open Res.* 2018, 3, 164. [CrossRef]
8. Bamou, R.; Mbakop, L.R.; Kopya, E.; Ndo, C.; Awono-Ambene, P.; Tchuinkam, T.; Rono, M.K.; Mwangangi, J.; Antonio-Nkondjio, C. Changes in malaria vector bionomics and transmission patterns in the equatorial forest region of Cameroon between 2000 and 2017. *Parasites Vectors* 2018, 11, 464. [CrossRef]
9. Ndo, C.; Kopya, E.; Donbou, M.A.; Njiokou, F.; Awono-Ambene, P.; Wondji, C. Elevated Plasmodium infection rates and high pyrethroid resistance in major malaria vectors in a forested area of Cameroon highlight challenges of malaria control. *Parasites Vectors* 2018, 11, 157. [CrossRef]
10. Antonio-Nkondjio, C.; Simard, F. Highlights on *Anopheles nili* and *Anopheles moucheti*, Malaria Vectors in Africa. In *Anopheles Mosquitoes: New Insights into Malaria Vectors*; Manguin, S., Ed.; InTech: Rijeka (HR), Croatia, 2013.
11. Antonio-Nkondjio, C.; Sonhafoou-Chiana, N.; Ngadjue, C.S.; Doumbe-Belisse, P.; Talipouo, A.; Djamouko-Djonkam, L. Review of the evolution of insecticide resistance in main malaria vectors in Cameroon from 1990 to 2017. *Parasit Vectors* 2017, 10, 472. [CrossRef]
12. Ranson, H.; N’Guessan, R.; Lines, J.; Moiroux, N.; Nkuni, Z. Pyrethroid resistance in African anopheline mosquitoes: What are the implications for malaria control? *Trends Parasitol.* 2011, 27, 91–98. [CrossRef]
13. Wondji, C.; Coleman, M.; Kleinschmidt, I.; Mzilahowa, T.; Irving, H.; Ndula, M.; Rehman, A.; Morgan, J.; Barnes, K.; Hemingway, J. Impact of pyrethroid resistance on operational malaria control in Malawi. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 2012, 109, 19063–19070. [CrossRef]
14. Menze, B.D.; Wondji, M.J.; Tchapga, W.; Tchoupo, M.; Riveron, J.M.; Wondji, C.S. Bionomics and insecticides resistance profiling of malaria vectors at a selected site for experimental hut trials in central Cameroon. *Malar. J.* 2018, 17, 317. [CrossRef]
15. *The Technical Basis for Coordinated Action Against Insecticide Resistance: Preserving the Effectiveness of Modern Malaria Vector Control*; WHO Press: Geneva, Switzerland, 2011.
16. Nwane, P.; Etang, J.; Chouaibou, M.; Toto, J.; Kerah-Hinzoumbe, C.; Mimpfoundi, R. Trends in DDT and pyrethroid resistance in *Anopheles gambiae* s.s. Populations from urban and agro-industrial settings in southern Cameroon. *BMC Infect. Dis.* 2009, 9, 163. [CrossRef]
17. Chouaibou, M.; Etang, J.; Brevault, T.; Nwane, P.; Hinzoumbe, C.; Mimpfoundi, R. Dynamics of insecticide resistance in the malaria vector *Anopheles gambiae* s.l. from an area of extensive cotton cultivation in northern Cameroon. *Trop. Med. Int. Health* 2008, 13, 476–486. [CrossRef]
18. Bigoga, J.; Ndagoh, D.; Awono-Ambene, P.; Patchoke, S.; Fondjo, E.; Leke, R. Pyrethroid resistance in *Anopheles gambiae* from the rubber cultivated area of Njie, South Region of Cameroon. *Acta Trop.* 2012, 124, 210–214. [CrossRef]
19. Pouokam, G.B.; Lemnyuy Album, W.; Ndikontar, A.S.; Sidatt, M.E.H. A Pilot Study in Cameroon to Understand Safe Uses of Pesticides in Agriculture, Risk Factors for Farmers’ Exposure and Management of Accidental Cases. *Toxics* 2017, 5, 30. [CrossRef]
20. Lynd, A.; Weetman, D.; Barbosa, S.; Egyir Yawson, A.; Mitchell, S.; Pinto, J.; Hastings, I.; Donnelly, M.J. Field, genetic, and modeling approaches show strong positive selection acting upon an insecticide resistance mutation in *Anopheles gambiae* s.s. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* 2010, 27, 1117–1125. [CrossRef]
21. Nwane, P.; Etang, J.; Chouaibou, M.; Toto, J.; Koffi, A.; Mimpfoundi, R. Multiple insecticide resistance mechanisms in *Anopheles gambiae* s.l. populations from Cameroon, Central Africa. *Parasit Vectors* 2013, 6, 41. [CrossRef]
22. Nwane, P.; Etang, J.; Chouaibou, M.; Toto, J.; Mimpfoundi, R.; Simard, F. Kdr-based insecticide resistance in *Anopheles gambiae* s.s. populations in Cameroon: Spread of the L1014F and L1014S mutations. *BMC Res. Notes* 2011, 4, 463. [CrossRef]
23. Mandeng, S.E.; Awono-Ambene, H.P.; Bigoga, J.D.; Ekoko, W.E.; Binyang, J.; Piameu, M.; Mbakop, L.R.; Fesuh, B.N.; Mvondo, N.; Tabue, R.; et al. Spatial and temporal development of deltamethrin resistance in malaria vectors of the *Anopheles gambiae* complex from North Cameroon. *PLoS ONE* **2019**, *14*, e0212024. [CrossRef]

24. Antonio-Nkondjio, C.; Fossog, B.; Ndó, C.; Djantio, B.; Togouet, S.; Awono-Ambene, P. *Anopheles gambiae* distribution and insecticide resistance in the cities of Douala and Yaoundé (Cameroon): Influence of urban agriculture and pollution. *Malar. J.* **2011**, *10*, 154. [CrossRef]

25. Etang, J.; Vicente, J.; Nwane, P.; Chouaibou, M.; Morlais, I.; Do Rosario, V. Polymorphism of intron-1 in the *Anopheles gambiae* voltage-gated sodium channel gene of *Anopheles gambiae* ss populations from Cameroon with emphasis on insecticide knockdown resistance mutations. *Mol. Ecol.* **2009**, *18*, 3076–3086. [CrossRef]

26. Etang, J.; Manga, L.; Toto, J.; Guillet, P.; Fondjo, E.; Chandre, F. Spectrum of metabolic-based resistance to DDT and pyrethroids in *Anopheles gambiae* s.l populations from Cameroon. *J. Vect. Ecol.* **2007**, *32*, 123–133. [CrossRef]

27. Djogbenou, L.; Weill, M.; Hougard, J.M.; Raymond, M.; Akogbeto, M.; Chandre, F. Characterization of insensitive acetylcholinesterase (ace-IR) in *Anopheles gambiae* (Diptera: Culicidae): Resistance levels and dominance. *J. Med. Entomol.* **2007**, *44*, 805–810. [CrossRef]

28. Antonio-Nkondjio, C.; Poupardin, R.; Tene, B.F.; Kopya, E.; Costantini, C.; Awono-Ambene, P. Investigation of mechanisms of bendiocarb resistance in *Anopheles gambiae* populations from the city of Yaoundé, Cameroon. *Malar. J.* **2016**, *15*, 424. [CrossRef]

29. Jones, C.; Liyanapathirana, M.; Agossa, F.; Weetman, D.; Ranson, H.; Donnelly, M.; Wilding, C. Footprints of positive selection associated with a mutation (N1575Y) in the voltage-gated sodium channel of *Anopheles* species. *Malar. J.* **2015**, *14*, 155. [CrossRef]

30. Antonio-Nkondjio, C.; Tene Fossog, B.; Kopya, E.; Poupardin, R.; Costantini, C.; Awono-Ambene, P. Resistance to pyrethroid resistance prevalence in *Anopheles gambiae* populations from the cities of Douala and Yaoundé (Cameroon). *Malar. J.* **2014**, *15*, 15. [CrossRef]

31. Tene Fossog, B.; Poupardin, R.; Costantini, C.; Awono-Ambene, H.; Wondji, C.; Ranson, H. Resistance to DDT in an urban setting: Common mechanisms implicated in both M and S forms of *Anopheles gambiae* in the city of Yaoundé Cameroon. *PLoS ONE* **2013**, *8*, e61408. [CrossRef]

32. Muller, P.; Chouaibou, M.; Pignatelli, P.; Etang, J.; Walker, E.; Donnelly, M. Pyrethroid tolerance is associated with elevated expression of antioxidants and agricultural practice in *Anopheles arabiensis* sampled from an area of cotton fields in northern Cameroon. *Mol. Ecol.* **2007**, *17*, 1145–1155. [CrossRef]

33. Balabanidou, V.; KAMPOURAKI, A.; MACLEAN, M.; Blomquist, G.J.; TITTIGER, C.; JL; M. E., Z. M. P.; MIJAILOVSKY, S.J.; Chalepakis, G.; Anthousi, A.; Lynd, A.; et al. Cytochrome P450 associated with insecticide resistance catalyzes cuticular hydrocarbon production in *Anopheles gambiae*. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **2016**, *113*, 9268–9273. [CrossRef]

34. WHO. *Test Procedures for Insecticide Resistance Monitoring in Malaria Vector Mosquitoes*; WHO: Geneva, Switzerland, 2013. [CrossRef]

35. Bass, C.; Nikou, D.; Vontas, J.; Donnelly, M.J.; Williamson, M.S.; Field, L.M. The Vector Population Monitoring Tool (VPMT): High-Throughput DNA-Based Diagnostics for the Monitoring of Mosquito Vector Populations. *Malar. Res. Treat.* **2010**, *4*, 190434. [CrossRef]

36. Santolamazza, F.; Mancini, E.; Simard, F.; Qi, Y.; Tu, Z. Insertion polymorphisms of SINE200 retrotransposons within speciation islands of *Anopheles gambiae* molecular forms. *Malar. J.* **2008**, *7*, 163. [CrossRef]

37. Mavridis, K.; Wipf, N.; Muller, P.; Traoré, M.; Muller, G.; Vontas, J. Detection and Monitoring of Insecticide Resistance Mutations in *Anopheles gambiae*: Individual vs Pooled Specimens. *Genes* **2018**, *9*, 479. [CrossRef]

38. Muller, P.; Warr, E.; Stevenson, B.J.; Pignatelli, P.M.; Morgan, J.C.; Steven, A.; Yawson, A.E.; Mitchell, S.N.; Ranson, H.; Hemingway, J.; et al. Field-caught permethrin-resistant *Anopheles gambiae* overexpress CYP6P3, a P450 that metabolises pyrethroids. *PLoS Genet.* **2008**, *4*, e1000286. [CrossRef]

39. Mitchell, S.N.; Stevenson, B.J.; Muller, P.; Wilding, C.S.; Eggyir-Yawson, A.; Field, S.G.; Hemingway, J.; Paine, M.J.; Ranson, H.; Donnelly, M.J. Identification and validation of a gene causing cross-resistance between insecticide classes in *Anopheles gambiae* from Ghana. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **2012**, *109*, 6147–6152. [CrossRef]
40. Vontas, J.; Grigoraki, L.; Morgan, J.; Tsakiridou, E.; Dahan-Moss, Y.L.; Christie, N.; Christian, R.N.; Coetzee, M.; Koekemoer, L.L. Malaria P450 detoxification are associated with deltamethrin and DDT resistance in Anopheles arabiensis. Insect. Biochem. Mol. Biol. 2016, 43(1), 1–14. [CrossRef]

41. Tene Fossog, B.; Ayala, D.; Acevedo, P.; Kengne, P.; Ngomo Abeso Mebuy, I.; Makanga, B. Habitat segregation and ecological character displacement in cryptic African malaria mosquitoes. Evol. Appl. 2015, 8, 326–345. [CrossRef]

42. Essandoh, J.; Yawson, A.; Weetman, D. Acetylcholinesterase (Ace-1) target site mutation 119S is strongly diagnostic of carbamate and organophosphate resistance in Anopheles gambiae populations from West Africa. Malar. J. 2015, 14, 507. [CrossRef]

43. Nardini, L.; Hunt, R.H.; Dahan-Moss, Y.L.; Christie, N.; Christian, R.N.; Coetzee, M.; Koekemoer, L.L. Malaria vectors in the Democratic Republic of the Congo: The mechanisms that confer insecticide resistance in Anopheles gambiae and Anopheles funestus. Malar. J. 2017, 16, 448. [CrossRef]

44. Badolo, A.; Bando, H.; Traoré, A.; Ko-Ketsu, M.; Guelbeogo, W.M.; Kanuka, H.; Ranson, H.; Sagnon, N.F.; Fukumoto, S. Detection of G119S ace-1 (R) mutation in field-collected Anopheles gambiae from West Africa. Insect. Mol. Biol. 2013, 22(1), 27–34. [CrossRef]

45. Djogbénou, L.; Chandre, F.; Berthomieu, A.; Dabiré, R.K.; Namountougou, M.; Diabaté, A.; Adja, M.; Tia, E.; Kouassi, P.; Kone, M.; Chandre, F. Distribution of ace-1(R) and resistance to carbamates and organophosphates in Anopheles gambiae s.s. populations from Cote d’Ivoire. Malar. J. 2010, 9, 167. [CrossRef]

46. Simma, E.A.; Dermauw, W.; Balabanidou, V.; Snoeck, S.; Bryon, A.; Clark, R.M.; Yewhalaw, D.; Vontas, J.; Duchateau, L.; Van Leeuwen, T. Genome-wide gene expression profiling reveals that cuticle alterations and ecological divergence along an urbanization gradient: Differences in gene expression between populations of Anopheles gambiae and Anopheles funestus. PLoS ONE 2014, 9, e101484. [CrossRef]

47. Mavridis, K.; Wipf, N.; Medves, S.; Erquiaga, I.; Müller, P.; Vontas, J. Rapid multiplex gene expression assays for monitoring metabolic resistance in the major malaria vector Anopheles gambiae. Parasites Vectors 2019, 12, 9. [CrossRef]

48. Ranson, H.; Jensen, B.; Wang, X.; Prapanthadara, L.; Hemingway, J.; Collins, F.H. Genetic mapping of two kdr mutations within Anopheles gambiae populations and first report of the Ace1 G119S mutation in Anopheles gambiae. Parasites Vectors 2009, 2, 8. [CrossRef]

49. Ibrahim, S.S.; Riveron, J.M.; Stott, R.; Irving, H.; Wondji, C.S. The cytochrome P450 CYP6P4 is responsible for differential tolerance to ammonia among molecular forms of the malaria mosquito Anopheles gambiae. BMC Evol. Biol. 2013, 13, 1. [CrossRef]

50. Djogbénou, L.S.; Assogba, B.; Essandoh, J.; Constant, E.A.V.; Makoutodé, M.; Akogbéto, M.; Donnelly, M.J.; Weetman, D. Estimation of allele-specific Ace-1 duplication in insecticide-resistant Anopheles mosquitoes from West Africa. Malar. J. 2015, 14, 507. [CrossRef]

51. Djogbénou, L.; Chandre, F.; Berthomieu, A.; Daïbou, R.; Koffi, A.; Alout, H. Evidence of introgression of the ace-1(R) and ace-1 duplication in West African Anopheles gambiae s.s. PLoS ONE 2008, 3, e2172. [CrossRef]

52. Badolo, A.; Bando, H.; Traoré, A.; Ko-Ketsu, M.; Guelbeogo, W.M.; Kanuka, H.; Ranson, H.; Sagnon, N.F.; Fukumoto, S. Detection of G119S ace-1 (R) mutation in field-collected Anopheles gambiae mosquitoes using allele-specific loop-mediated isothermal amplification (AS-LAMP) method. Malar. J. 2015, 14, 477. [CrossRef]

53. Dabiré, R.K.; Namountougou, M.; Diabaté, A.; Soma, D.D.; Bado, J.; Toé, H.K. Distribution and frequency of kdr mutations within Anopheles gambiae s.l. populations and first report of the Acc1 G119S mutation in Anopheles arabiensis from Burkina Faso (West Africa). Malar. J. 2018, 17, 253. [CrossRef]

54. Loots, L.; Demoulin, M.H.; Dupuis, S.; Vontas, J. The malaria vector Anopheles gambiae processes two different Arginine-rich (Arg) domains in the 44D binding protein. Insect. Biochem. Mol. Biol. 2016, 73, 158–166. [CrossRef]

55. Salako, A.S.; Ahogni, I.; Aïkpon, R.; Sidick, A.; Dagnon, F.; Sovi, A.; Somiahouni, A.A.; Agossa, F.; Iyiirenga, L.; Akogbeto, M.C. Insecticide resistance status, frequency of L1014F Kdr and G119S Ace-1 mutations, and expression of detoxification enzymes in Anopheles gambiae (s.l.) in two regions of northern Benin in preparation for indoor residual spraying. Parasites Vectors 2018, 11, 618. [CrossRef]
57. Hemingway, J.; Vontas, J.; Poupardin, R.; Raman, J.; Lines, J.; Schwabe, C. Country-level operational implementation of the global plan for insecticide resistance management. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 2013, 110, 9397–9402. [CrossRef]

58. Weetman, D.; Mitchell, S.; Wilding, C.; Birks, D.; Yawson, A.; Essandoh, J. Contemporary evolution of resistance at the major insecticide target site Ace-1 by mutation and copy number variation in the malaria mosquito *Anopheles gambiae*. *Mol. Ecol.* 2015, 24, 2656–2672. [CrossRef]

59. Assogba, B.S.; Djogbénou, L.S.; Saizonou, J.; Milesi, P.; Djossou, L.; Djegbe, I. Phenotypic effects of concomitant insensitive acetylcholinesterase (ace-1(R)) and knockdown resistance (kdr (R)) in *Anopheles gambiae*: A hindrance for insecticide resistance management for malaria vector control. *Parasit Vectors* 2014, 7, 548.

60. Edi, C.V.; Djogbenou, L.; Jenkins, A.M.; Regna, K.; Muskavitch, M.A.; Poupardin, R. CYP6 P450 enzymes and ACE-1 duplication produce extreme and multiple insecticide resistance in the malaria mosquito *Anopheles gambiae*. *PLoS Genet.* 2014, 10, e1004236. [CrossRef]

61. Alout, H.; Djogbenou, L.; Berticat, C.; Chandre, F.; Weill, M. Comparison of *Anopheles gambiae* and *Culex pipiens* acetylcholinesterase 1 biochemical properties. *Comp. Biochem. Physiol. Part B Biochem. Mol. Biol.* 2008, 150, 271–277. [CrossRef]

62. Chouaïbou, M.S.; Fodjo, B.K.; Fokou, G.; Allassane, O.F.; Koudou, B.G.; David, J.-P.; Antonio-Nkondjio, C.; Ranson, H.; Bonfoh, B. Influence of the agrochemicals used for rice and vegetable cultivation on insecticide resistance in malaria vectors in southern Côte d’Ivoire. *Malar. J.* 2016, 15, 426. [CrossRef]

63. Edi, C.V.; Koudou, B.; Jones, C.; Weetman, D.; Ranson, H. Multiple insecticide resistance in *Anopheles gambiae* mosquitoes, southern Côte d’Ivoire. *Emerg. Infect. Dis.* 2012, 18, 1508–1510. [CrossRef]

© 2019 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).