THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN ONLINE LEARNING AND STUDENT’S PERFORMANCE IN THE RURAL AREAS IN MALAYSIA - THE MEDIATING ROLE OF PARENT’S SUPPORT

Kumaran Kanapathipillai\textsuperscript{1i}, Subaneeswasri Narayanan\textsuperscript{2}
\textsuperscript{1}Faculty of Business and Technology, UNITAR International University,  
Tierra Crest, Jalan SS6/3, Kelana Jaya, 47301 Petaling Jaya, Selangor, Malaysia  
\textsuperscript{2}Academician, Independent Researcher & Education Consultant, Malaysia

Abstract:
This study was undertaken during the Covid-19 pandemic lockdown to scrutinise the mediating role of parental support on the relationship between online learning and student’s academic performance. To realise the objectives, the researchers used the quantitative approach to explore this mediating effect. The population of this study was 7.4 million families who are living in the rural areas in Malaysia. Using the simple random sampling method, 650 parents in rural areas were chosen as the participants in this research. For data collection, closed-ended questionnaires were used by the researchers. Out of the 650 questionnaires, 437 were collected, but only 426 could be used as the respondents did not fully complete the rest. The results of this research illuminated that parent’s intervention and support are statistically and significantly necessary during their children’s online learning sessions to enhance their children’s academic performance during the Covid-19 pandemic. This research can guide academics in public and private education sectors, parents, and the Ministry of Education to focus and upgrade the online learning and teaching methodologies rather than just depending on conventional teaching and learning methodologies. Online learning could be beneficial whenever a catastrophic incident occurs that may disrupt children’s education; as such, it would be wise to encourage parents to be always ready for this new way of learning in the new milieu.
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1. Introduction

The unforgiving silent enemy (Covid-19) virus has ravaged 4.5 million lives as of September 2021 worldwide, and the numbers are growing (WHO, 2021). A staggering amount of 310,074 children in Malaysia have been infected with the SARS-CoV-2 from the beginning of the pandemic till September 2021. A total of forty-seven children under the age of eighteen have lost their lives to this merciless silent killer (Ishak, 2021). With the onslaught of this silent killer rampaging the nation, The Ministry of Education has closed the schools since November 2020. During this closure, teaching and learning shifted from the conventional "brick and mortar" or face-to-face mode to e-learning or online mode (Smith et al., 2016). Moreover, (UNESCO, 2020) has encouraged online learning so that student's learning process is not disrupted. Shehzadi (2020) has highlighted that many educational institutions and schools have opted for online learning to fight the SARS-CoV-2 virus as this is the best solution to break the chain of infection.

The online mode of teaching and learning was the best solution to maintain physical distancing and curb the spread and casualties of Covid-19. However, one question remains unanswered whether online learning could escalate student's performance similar to physical classroom interaction. Additionally, students in the suburban area may be affected by the closure of schools. The suburban communities lack internet access and digital devices, as mentioned by (Dube, 2020). Therefore, the suburban student's performance may decline as young learners compared to mature learners (Cheesman et al., 2006). Parents' intervention is vital to ensure that the students in these disadvantaged communities maintain their performance, as elucidated by (Wang et al., 2020). Nevertheless, parents working from home may also face a dilemma when their children need help during the online session (Bhamani, et al., 2020). Parents were needed to support their young children. As such, the parents had to balance their work and their children's education (Wang et al., 2020; Bhamani, et al., 2020; Waters et al., 2014). Additionally, parents who are front liners may not be able to support their children who are engaged in online learning at home. Therefore, the performance
of these students may decline (Daniela, 2021). Front liner parents faced a formidable challenge to support their children in their online learning and have decided that they should defer their education to next year as they feel that online classes may not be effective (Daniela, 2021; Pokhrel & Chhetri, 2021).

1.2 Research Objectives
RO1: To examine if there is a significant relationship between online learning and parent’s support.
RO2: To scrutinise if there is a significant relationship between parent’s support and student’s academic performance.
RO3: To study if there is a significant relationship between online learning and student’s academic performance.
RO4: To analyse if parent’s support mediates the relationship between online learning and student’s academic performance.

1.3 Research Questions
RQ1: Is there is a significant relationship between online learning and parent’s support.
RQ2: Is there is a significant relationship between parent’s support and student’s academic performance.
RQ3: Is there is a significant relationship between online learning and student’s academic performance.
RQ4: Does parent’s support mediate the relationship between online learning and student’s academic performance.

2. Literature Review and Hypothesis Development

The following sub-sections focuses on the literature and hypothesis developed with regards to online learning, parent’s support, and student’s academic performance.

2.1 Relationship Between Online Learning and Parent’s Support
Before the closure of schools due to the Covid-19 pandemic, conventional teaching and learning were the most preferred method. Online teaching and learning were encouraged when the schools were closed to prevent the SARS-CoV-2 virus from spreading. Parents’ roles to ensure student’s learning experience was not tarnished in any way was vital immaterial whether it was conventional face-to-face mode or online mode (Amber et al., 2020; Fontanesi et al., 2020; Waters et al., 2014). During the online sessions, parent's guidance and support were crucial to ensure the student's learning process was as smooth as elucidated by (Ribeiro et al., 2021; Tran et al., 2020; Boonk et al., 2018). Besides, scholars (Daniela, 2021; Abuhammad, 2020; Dong et al., 2020; Bhamani et al., 2020; Garbe et al., 2020; Ahn et al., 2013) have indicated that young learners may not be able to use the online platforms such as Microsoft Teams (Ilag, 2018), Google Classroom (Ventayen et al., 2018). Additionally, students may accidentally assess websites that are not suitable
for them or not educational (Bruni et al., 2015; Byeon & Hong, 2015). Therefore, parents' support for young learners is vital to ensure that the learning process occurs without any glitches (Mohring et al., 2020; Antipkina & Ludlow, 2020; Gugiu et al., 2019; Hoover-Dempsey et al., 2005; Hoover-Dempsey & Sandler, 1997). Furthermore, (Amber et al., 2020; Bozkurt, 2020) in their research found that parents need to inspire and guide the student during the online learning sessions so that students get the most out of the online learning mode.

Moreover, (Bozkurt, 2020; Mohring et al., 2020) have discovered that during the online learning sessions, parents lead the students so that the learning process will be constructive and the student's educational goals will be achieved. Additionally, (Wang et al., 2020; Wilder, 2014) indicated that a bond between the parents and the students would also be formed during the online learning process. Besides, (Mohring et al., 2020; Hurley et al., 2017; Waters et al., 2014) clarify that parents act as ancillary teachers during the online sessions which might cause adverse effects to the online educators. With parent's support, students will be able to follow the online syllabus set by the teachers so that students become independent but within the context of the online syllabus (Dong et al., 2020; Kong, 2020).

Additionally, younger students may not be able to follow the schedules of the online learning sessions. Therefore, based on research conducted by (Kong, 2020; Mohring et al., 2020; Wilder, 2014), parent intervention is needed to keep the students updated so that the students do not miss the online sessions. Parents must set up a conducive learning environment (Sanders & Sheldon, 2009; Cai, 2003). Parents support online learners by allocating a suitable home space, ensuring that the student's learning is continuously monitored. Contrarily, a study conducted by (Yi & Lee, 2021; Dong et al., 2020) uncovered that parents had numerous problems and challenges during their children’s online learning sessions. Additionally, the parents were not well versed with the online platforms and were not ready to accept online learning as a good learning approach.

From the literature above, it was evident that parents will have a significant direct impact on the student’s online learning. Therefore, parents must balance being a member of the family and an educator during the online learning process. Based on this theoretical literature, the following hypothesis is devised:

**H1**: There is a significant relationship between online learning and parent’s support.

### 2.1 Relationship Between Parent’s Support and Student’s Academic Performance

Parental support is any form of engagement between the students and the parent that can hypothetically be envisaged to enhance the student's performance. In other words, parental support is activities that help a student attain or outshine in academic performance. According to (Ribeiro et al., 2021; Epstein, 1994), parental support involves
parents' involvement with their children related to children's learning process at home and school.

Several research scholars (Lara & Saracostti, 2019; Amponsah et al., 2018; Masa, 2017; Otani, 2017; Porumbu & Necsoi, 2013; Valerie, 2011; Lindsay & Davis, 2009; Harris & Goodall, 2008; Desforges & Abouchaar, 2003; Jeynes, 2007; Velez & Jones, 1997; Epstein & Sander, 2000; Bowen, 1999; Gould, 1999) have demonstrated that parent support in student's learning is significantly associated with high performance. Furthermore, these researches indicated that the more the parents supported their children, the more positive is the performance effects. These researchers have also proven that the performance is heightened for all types of parent's support in the student's online learning immaterial of the children's ages.

The most effective forms of parent support are when the parents participate directly with the student during the online learning sessions at home (Lara & Saracostti, 2019; Amponsah et al., 2018). When parents participate in activities such as providing guidance on homework tasks, reading with the student, and instructing students utilizing the study materials provided by the teachers amplifies performance according to (Amponsah et al., 2018; Otani, 2017; Guolaug, 2010; Sui-Chu & Willms, 1996).

Additionally, researchers (Porumbu & Necsoi, 2013; Valerie, 2011; Friedel et al., 2010) have discovered that when parents are more proactive than passive, the students tend to show better performance in their studies. Therefore, when parents react enthusiastically to teachers' messages regarding their children's learning and continuously communicate with the teacher, more excellent performance ensues compared to parents who leave their children's learning process to the teachers (Nyarko, 2011; Guolaug, 2010).

Furthermore, when parent actively supports their children at home, this creates a bond between the parent and the children, leading to the more excellent performance of the children's learning (Sheldon, 2009; Richardson, 2009; Sanders, 2009). Moreover, when parents participate in the student's online learning process, they will gain greater assurance and confidence that they will always get their parent's support and guidance, just like when parents get involved in traditional school activities such as field trips or classroom activities (Mau, 1997). This will increase their performance.

According to research conducted by (Gestwicki, 1996) when parents participate and support their children's learning in the early years performance of the children will increase significantly. This can be supported by (Liu et al., 2020; Langevine, 2020), who elucidated that parental support at an early age increases student's performance and promotes emotional development. Therefore, parent's support in student's early childhood education will prove to be effective later on in their educational endeavour.

Based on previous research and scholars, it was noted that parent's support is significant for student's performance. The literature above has indicated that parents provide support to their children in various ways, but no matter how, the parent's support will heighten the student's performance in their studies. It was quite a reverse in a study conducted by (Yi & Lee, 2021; Dong et al., 2020), who found that parents were
undergoing various problems, and the children’s academic performance decreased tremendously. Therefore, a gap exists in the literature with regards to parental support and student’s academic performance. Thus, based on this theoretical literature, the following hypothesis is developed:

**H2:** There is a significant relationship between parent’s support and student’s academic performance.

### 2.3 Relationship Between Online Learning and Student’s Academic Performance

During the Covid-19 pandemic lockdown, online learning and teaching have intensified (Bayrak et al., 2020; Shehzadi, 2020). Students and teachers were more involved in learning and teaching using online methods where the learning process can take place at home at a lower cost (Bowen, 2013; Garbett, 2011) and by maintaining physical distance (Green, 2010). Additionally, scholars (Zameni et al., 2011) elucidated that in a world plagued by the SARS-CoV-2 virus, online learning has improved student’s academic performance in various academic disciplines similar to traditional face-to-face learning methods (Navarro & Shoemaker, 2000). To further prove this (Pei & Wu, 2019; Means et al., 2010) conducted research verifying that students who used the online learning mode performed reasonably better than students who learned through the conventional classroom method. A more recent study conducted by (Gopal et al., 2021; Loton et al., 2020; Fatoni et al., 2020) has established that online learning during the Covid-19 pandemic has increased student’s academic performance compared to when classes were conducted in school. Moreover, (Darius et al., 2021; Lockman & Schirmer, 2020; Najafi, 2012; Riffell & Sibley, 2005) has affirmed that online learning has heightened student’s academic performance through the use of various online platforms and internet facilities. Through internet learning, students experience a new way of interacting with their teachers, which has stimulated interest and motivated students to gain knowledge, leading to amplified academic performance based on the findings (Mahdinejad & Amoi, 2011).

Online learning and teaching methods during the Covid-19 lockdown have allowed both teachers and students to experience desktop computers, laptops, iPads, smartphones, social media, and various multimedia devices to realise their learning and teaching quicker. According to (Zameni & Kardan, 2012), the utilisation of online technologies has changed the roles of teachers and the students whereby it has led the students to more excellent academic performance. On the other hand, (Yi & Lee, 2021) have uncovered that the student’s academic performance diminished during the online learning session. Their study revealed that most students were unable to complete their homework independently and lost their interest in learning, resulting in poor academic performance. As such, there exist a gap in the literature that needs addressing. Thus, based on this theoretical literature, the following hypothesis is developed:

**H3:** There is a significant relationship between online learning and student’s academic performance.
2.4 The Mediating Role of Parent’s Support Between Online Learning and Student’s Academic Performance

The involvement of parents in the student’s online learning process at home is termed as parental support in this study (Jeynes, 2005). Additionally, (Gálvez et al., 2016; Wang & Sheikh_Khalil, 2014; Spera, 2005) exerted that parents who participate in their children’s learning activities will result in their children’s success compared to parents who do not take the initiative to support their children when online learning session was initiated during the covid-19 pandemic. To support this (Lorenzo et al., 2017; Bradley & Corwyn, 2002) affirmed that parent’s support during online learning at home strengthens the parent-children relationship, which then helps to elevate the children’s educational performance.

Based on (Evans et al., 2000), parents can support children’s learning process through active involvement and manage the children’s learning in the home. This is true for students who are learning online at home during the Covid-19 pandemic lockdown. Parents should participate in cognitively invigorating tasks such as reading together and observing children’s online learning period (Finn, 1998). According to (Seginer, 2006) parent’s effective management during online learning sessions at home can enhance children’s motivation to learn and elevate their educational performance.

Parents who have children in the lower primary between the ages of six to twelve should provide more support than older children during the online learning sessions. The lower primary students will only have the ability to think grounded on the facts that they notice and will have problems when they confront probabilistic and nonfigurative things.

According to (Simatwa, 2010) children in the lower primary are at the level of Concrete Operations as stated in Piaget’s Theory of Cognitive Development. These early age students require detailed clarifications about the online lessons conducted at home. Therefore, parent’s support is highly crucial for lower primary students who are learning online. Through the parent’s continuous support, the student will become more independent in online learning at home and eventually achieve better performance. Based on (Sooter, 2013) the guidance and support provided by parents during the learning process will ultimately create students who are independent and mature in their actions. Conversely, a study conducted in China by (Cui et al., 2021) indicated that online learning caused stress on the parents and reduced the students’ academic performance. Therefore, a gap exists regarding the intervening role of parents during their children’s online sessions during the Covid-19 pandemic lockdown period. Thus, based on this theoretical literature, the following hypothesis is developed:

H4: Parent’s support mediates the relationship between online learning and student’s academic performance.

2.5 Proposed Conceptual Model

The purpose of this research is to scrutinize the mediating effect of parent’s support on the relationship between online learning and student’s academic performance in the
suburban areas in Malaysia. Figure 1 shows the proposed conceptual model developed to illuminate the relationship between variables of this research.

![Figure 1: Proposed Conceptual Model](image)

3. Methodology

This study scrutinises the relationship between online learning and student's performance with parent's support as the mediating factor. A total of 460 self-administered survey questionnaires were distributed among parents in the suburban areas in Malaysia. The questionnaires utilised Likert's 5-points scale varying from "Strongly disagree (1)" to "Strongly agree (5)". The survey instrument is comprised of four sections. The first section intended to obtain data about the respondents' demographic profile, the second section generated data on online learning during the Covid-19 lockdown. The third section produced data on the influence of parental support during the student's online sessions. Finally, the fourth section provided data on student's performance.

To obtain data on the independent variable (online learning), which is the 5-point Likert’s scale, was adapted from (Darius et al., 2021; Lockman & Schirmer, 2020; Najafi, 2012). It measured 5 items. Next, to acquire data on the mediating variable (parental support), the questions were adapted from (Cui et al., 2021; Seginer, 2006). The measure contained 5 items. To evaluate the dependent variable (student's performance), the questions were adapted from (Gopal et al., 2021; Bayrak et al., 2020; Shehzadi, 2020). The measure comprised 5 items. The demographic profile contained 5 questions to identify the profile of the respondents involved in this study.

To test the instrument's reliability used to gather the data for this study, Cronbach’s Alpha was examined. The α values were all above 0.8, which indicated that the instrument's internal consistency was good. Table 1 shows the reliability of the instrument used in this research.

| Variables                  | Cronbach’s Alpha | No of Items |
|----------------------------|------------------|-------------|
| Online Learning (ONL)      | 0.874            | 5           |
| Parent’s Support (PRS)     | 0.910            | 5           |
| Student’s Performance (STP)| 0.891            | 5           |

Table 1: Reliability Analysis
3.1 Population, Sampling and Measurements

The population of this study is Malaysian citizens living in the rural areas in Peninsula Malaysia. The Malaysian population is approximately 32.7 million in 2020 (DOSM, 2020). The total population in the rural areas of Malaysia is approximately 22.8 percent of the total population which is approximately 7.4 million (The World Bank, 2020). The (Krejcie and Morgan, 1970) population and sample size tabulation was utilised to obtain an appropriate sample size for this study from the population. Based on (Krejcie and Morgan, 1970) tabulation, the α value is 0.05, and the degree of accuracy is 0.05. Thus, no computations were required when establishing the sample size for this research. As the population of Malaysian citizens in the rural areas is 7.4 million (DOSM, 2020), according to (Krejcie and Morgan, 1970) formulation, the adequate sample size is 346. Therefore, a sample size of 650 was regarded as a fit for this study by the researcher.

A simple random sampling technique was used in this study. The respondents willingly participated in the survey without any persuasion. A total of 650 questionnaires were distributed to families living in the rural areas in the states of Selangor, Perak, and Pahang in Peninsular Malaysia. A total of 437 questionnaires were received, which is approximately a 67.2 percent return rate. While collation, it was released that 11 out of 437 questionnaires that were returned were not fully completed. Therefore, a total of 426 questionnaires provided the data for this research.

Factor Analysis was conducted, which explains the construction of associations within the group of variables. SPSS version 26 was used to analyse the descriptive statistics and correlation analysis, which provided the association between variables. A series of regression analyses using Jamovi (Version 2.0) (The Jamovi Project, 2021) was used to test the mediation effects, path estimates, and hypothesis.

4. Findings and Interpretation

The following section provides the findings of this study, which includes the demographic profiles of the respondents, factor analysis, descriptive analysis, correlation and regression analysis.

4.1 Demographic Profile of Parents

The profile of the respondents studied is displayed in Table 2.

The demographic profile of the parents surveyed in this research is shown in Table 2. The survey exhibits that the majority of the parents were females (66.7%). Age wise, the majority were between (35 – 40) years old (37.3%). A majority of (34.7%) parents in this study work as clerical staff. In terms of academic qualification, the majority of the parents have completed secondary education (74.2%). A majority of (63.8%) of the parents belong to the Malay ethnic group. In terms of the number of children, the majority of parents have between 2 – 4 children at schooling age (64.3%). Finally, in terms of the children’s academic level, the majority were studying at the higher primary (year 4 – year 6) level or (28.6%).
Table 2: Demographic Profile (N=426)

| Demographics       | Category       | Frequency | Percentage |
|--------------------|----------------|-----------|------------|
| Gender             | Male           | 142       | 33.3       |
|                    | Female         | 284       | 66.7       |
| Age                | 25 – 30        | 107       | 25.2       |
|                    | 35 – 40        | 159       | 37.3       |
|                    | 45 – 50        | 87        | 20.4       |
|                    | 55 – 60        | 73        | 17.1       |
| Occupation Level   | Unemployed     | 87        | 20.4       |
|                    | Self-employed  | 111       | 26.1       |
|                    | Clerical       | 148       | 34.7       |
|                    | Supervisory    | 59        | 13.8       |
|                    | Managerial     | 21        | 5.00       |
| Academic Qualification | Primary      | 7         | 1.60       |
|                    | Secondary      | 316       | 74.2       |
|                    | Diploma        | 79        | 18.6       |
|                    | Undergraduate  | 24        | 5.60       |
|                    | Post-Graduate  | 0         | 0          |
| Ethnicity          | Malay          | 272       | 63.8       |
|                    | Chinese        | 58        | 13.6       |
|                    | Indian         | 66        | 15.5       |
|                    | Others         | 30        | 7.10       |
| No of Children     | 1              | 65        | 15.3       |
|                    | 2 - 4          | 274       | 64.3       |
|                    | More than 4    | 87        | 20.4       |
| Children’s Academic Level | Lower Primary (Yr 1 – Yr 3) | 103 | 24.2 |
|                    | Higher Primary (Yr 4 – Yr 6) | 122 | 28.6 |
|                    | Lower Secondary (Fr 1 – Fr 3) | 116 | 27.2 |
|                    | Higher Secondary (Fr 4 – Fr 5) | 85 | 20.0 |

4.2 Factor Analysis

Table 3 Factor Analysis shows the principal variables that explain the framework of associations within the group of variables. Table 3 displays the factors, the items and factor loading of each item used in this study. Four factor loadings were greater than 0.8. Six factors loaded between 0.7 and 0.8 and five factors loaded between 0.6 and 0.7. Therefore, it can be deduced that the factor loadings were adequate in this research.

Table 3: Factor Analysis

| Factor ID | Factors & Items                                                                 | Factor Loading |
|-----------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------|
| ONL1      | My children can easily access the online learning platform.                      | 0.768          |
| ONL2      | My children were able to actively communicate with their teachers and classmates.| 0.695          |
| ONL3      | My children always show enthusiasm in their online sessions.                    | 0.775          |
| ONL4      | My children are motivated by the study materials provided in their online platform.| 0.814          |
ONL5  My children find it easy to collaborate with their classmates to complete their online class activities.  0.649

Parent's Support

PRS1  I help my children to setup or access the online platform whenever a problem occurs.  0.861

PRS2  I read reading assignments posted in the online portal and explain it to my children.  0.792

PRS3  I always help my children with their online homework.  0.693

PRS4  I often help my children plan and supervise their online learning sessions and study time.  0.877

PRS5  I have setup a conducive online learning environment for my children 0.715

Student's Academic Performance

STP1  The online sessions have enhanced my children’s written skills.  0.688

STP2  The online sessions have perfected my children’s problem-solving skills.  0.852

STP3  The online learning sessions have heightened my children’s understanding in all the subjects.  0.766

STP4  The online learning sessions have heightened my children’s reading skills.  0.640

STP5  My children have obtained good results in their online examinations.  0.736

4.3 Mean, Standard Deviation and Normality Analysis

The descriptive statistics in Table 4 shows the mean, standard deviation (SD), skewness and kurtosis values of this study.

| Factors                     | Mean  | SD    | Skew  | Kurtosis | Min  | Max  |
|-----------------------------|-------|-------|-------|----------|------|------|
| Online Learning (ONL)       | 4.411 | 0.446 | 0.276 | 1.582    | 3.6  | 5.0  |
| Parent’s Support (PRS)      | 4.332 | 0.506 | 0.277 | 1.290    | 3.4  | 5.0  |
| Student’s Performance (STP) | 4.345 | 0.533 | 0.084 | 1.371    | 3.2  | 5.0  |

From Table 4, the online learning indicates the highest mean value of 4.411 ± 0.446. The lowest mean value is parent’s support, 4.332 ± 0.506. The normality test shows that the skewness and kurtosis values have a threshold of ±2 which means that the data are distributed normal as elucidated by (Chinna & Yuen, 2015; Gravetter & Wallnau, 2014).

4.4 Correlation Analysis

To study how the variables are correlated, the correlation analysis is shown in Table 5: Correlation Matrix.

| Factors                     | ONL  | PRS  | STP  |
|-----------------------------|------|------|------|
| Online Learning (ONL)       | 1    |      |      |
| Parent’s Support (PRS)      | 0.721** | 1    |      |
| Student’s Performance (STP) | 0.672** | 0.797** | 1    |

** Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed)
Based on the correlation matrix shown in Table 5, it was noted that the data significantly backed the measurement model. The correlation matrix recorded that the item online learning strongly correlated with the item Parent’s support \( (r = 0.721; p < 0.001) \) and moderately correlated with student’s performance \( (r = 0.672; p < 0.001) \). The item parent’s support strongly correlated with the item student’s performance \( (r = 0.797; p < 0.001) \).

Therefore, it can be concluded that there is a positive association between the variable online learning and both the variables parent’s support and student’s performance. Additionally, there is also a positive association between parent’s support and student’s performance.

4.5 Mediation Analysis
To conduct the mediation analysis a series of regression analysis was conducted using Jamovi (Version 2.0) (The Jamovi Project, 2021).

| Effect | Estimate | SE  | Z    | p    | % Mediation | LLCI | ULCI |
|--------|----------|-----|------|------|-------------|------|------|
| Indirect | 0.561    | 0.0440 | 12.77 | <.001 | 70          | 0.474 | 0.653 |
| Direct  | 0.241    | 0.0490 | 4.92  | <.001 | 30          | 0.145 | 0.338 |
| Total   | 0.802    | 0.0429 | 18.71 | <.001 | 100         | 0.718 | 0.887 |

Based on Table 6: Mediation Estimates, the result shows that online learning positively predicts student’s academic performance \( (B=0.802, Z=18.71, p<0.001) \). Analysing the indirect effects, the results reveal that parent’s support significantly mediates the relationship between online learning and student’s academic performance \( (a*b=0.561, Z=12.77, p<0.001, 95\%\ CI, 0.474 to 0.653) \). Additionally, the bootstrap lower level confidence interval (LLCI=0.474) and the upper-level confidence interval (ULCI=0.653) are positive. The value zero is not contained between the LLCI and ULCI, which indicates that the variable parent’s support is a statistically significant mediator between the relationship online learning and student’s academic performance. Therefore, it can be deduced that the statistical data supported hypothesis H₄.

| Path | Estimate | SE  | Z    | p    |
|------|----------|-----|------|------|
| ONL  (a)------> PRS | 0.817 | 0.0381 | 21.46 | <.001 |
| PRS  (b)------> STP | 0.687 | 0.0432 | 15.89 | <.001 |
| ONL  (c')------> STP | 0.241 | 0.0490 | 4.92  | <.001 |

Based on Table 7: Path Estimates for (path a) shown in Figure 1: Proposed Conceptual Model, online learning positively affects parent’s support \( (B=0.817, Z=21.46, p<0.001) \). Therefore, hypothesis H₁ is supported by statistical data. Path estimate for (path b) shown in Figure 1: Proposed Conceptual Model, parent’s support, in turn, positively affects student’s academic performance \( (B=0.687, Z=15.89, p<0.001) \). Therefore, hypothesis H₂ is supported by statistical data.
Nevertheless, the results also suggest that even after accounting for the mediating role of parent’s support, online learning still has a positive impact on the student’s academic performance which is path c’ as shown in Figure 1: Proposed Conceptual Model ($B=0.241$, $Z=4.92$, $p<0.001$). Additionally, the mediating effect of parent’s support accounts for 70% of the total effect between online learning and the student’s academic performance as displayed in Table 6: Mediation Estimates. Therefore, hypothesis $H_3$ is also supported by statistical data.

Thus, it can be concluded that parent’s support statistically and significantly mediates the relationship between online learning and student’s academic performance in the rural areas in Malaysia.

5. Discussion

This study emphasised the mediating effect of parent’s support between online learning and students’ academic performance in Malaysia’s rural areas during the Covid-19 pandemic lockdown. A total of 426 responses from parents generated the data for this study.

The first objective of this study was to examine the significant relationship between online learning and parent’s support in rural areas in Malaysia. The result revealed that hypothesis $H_1$ is supported by data and subsequently disclosed a statistically significant relationship between online learning and parent’s support for their children during the Covid-19 pandemic lockdown in the rural area in Malaysia. This outcome is parallel to the findings of (Ribeiro et al., 2021; Tran et al., 2020; Boonk et al., 2018), who revealed that parent’s assistance and encouragement were essential to ensure student’s performance is maintained. Moreover, research has indicated that young learners may not be able to use the online platforms (Daniela, 2021; Abuhammad, 2020; Dong et al., 2020; Bhamani et al., 2020; Garbe et al., 2020). Therefore, parent’s constant observation and support are vital to ensure that their children’s learning process takes place without any tribulations. Additionally, the findings of this study were reinforced by scholars (Amber et al., 2020; Bozkurt, 2020) who found that parents need to inspire and guide the student during the online learning sessions so that students get the most out of the online learning mode.

Contrarily, a study conducted by (Yi & Lee, 2021) uncovered that the parents were not well versed with the online platforms and were not ready to accept online learning as a good learning approach. Though there exist contradicting findings, this research was able to close the gap and invalidate the findings of (Yi & Lee, 2021).

Therefore, previous discoveries and this research has proven that parent’s support is highly significant for their children during their online learning sessions. The support rendered by the parents will enable the students to engage in online learning more enthusiastically.

The second objective of this study was to scrutinise if there is a significant relationship between parent’s support and student’s academic performance. The result
disclosed that hypothesis H2 is supported by data and successively unveiled a statistically significant relationship between parent’s support and their children’s academic performance. This is in line with the findings of previous researchers (Lara & Saracostti, 2019; Amponsah et al., 2018; Masa, 2017; Otani, 2017;) who elucidated that parent support in student's learning process is significantly associated with improved academic performance. Additionally, researchers (Sheldon, 2009; Richardson, 2009; Sanders, 2009) have found that when parents are involved and support their children in their learning process, it leads to a more favourable academic performance and creates a strong bond between parent and child.

Conversely, (Yi & Lee, 2021; Dong et al., 2020) found that parents were having some difficulties supporting their children, which decreased their academic performance. However, this research and previous studies have nullified the findings of (Yi & Lee, 2021; Dong et al., 2020). Though there exist contradicting findings, this research was able to close the gap in the literature, indicating that the more the parents supported their children, the more positive the outcome of the children's academic performance.

The third objective of this study was to study if there is a significant relationship between online learning and student's academic performance. Consequently, exposing that hypothesis H3 is supported by data and a statistically significant relationship between online learning and students' academic performance in the rural areas during the Covid-19 pandemic lockdown period. The outcome of this study result can be corroborated by previous scholars (Gopal et al., 2021; Loton et al., 2020; Fatoni et al., 2020; Zameni et al., 2011; Pei & Wu, 2019; Means et al., 2010), who discovered that that student who used online learning mode performed reasonably better than students who learned through the conventional classroom method. To further support the findings of this research (Darius et al., 2021; Lockman & Schirmer, 2020; Mahdinejad & Amoii, 2011) has asserted that online learning has heightened student's academic performance through the use of various online platforms and has exposed students to a new way of interacting with their teachers and this has stimulated interest and motivated students to gain knowledge which led to amplified academic performance.

On the contrary, (Yi & Lee, 2021) revealed that most students could not complete their homework independently and lost their interest in online learning, which resulted in poor academic performance. Though there exist opposing findings, this research was able to close the gap in the literature, signifying that through online learning sessions, the students in the rural areas in Malaysia were still able to reach a significant increase in their academic performance during the Covid-19 pandemic lockdown.

The fourth objective of this study was to analyse if parent's support mediates the relationship between online learning and student's academic performance. The results established that hypothesis H4 is supported by data, subsequently denoting that parent's support mediates the relationship between online learning and student's academic performance. This outcome substantiates and parallels previous researchers (Lorenzo et al., 2017; Sooter, 2013; Seginer, 2006; Bradley & Corwyn, 2002) affirmed that parent's
support during online learning at home strengthens the parent-children relationship, which then helps to elevate the children's academic performance.

In contrast, (Cui et al., 2021) implied that online learning caused stress on the parents and reduced the students’ academic performance. Therefore, a gap exists regarding the intervening role of parents during their children’s online sessions during the Covid-19 pandemic lockdown period. However, this research was able to close the gap in the literature, demonstrating that through the parent’s continuous support, the student will become more self-reliant in their online learning at home and ultimately attain better academic performance.

6. Conclusion

This mediation study was performed to determine if parent’s support mediates the relationship between online learning at home and students’ academic performance during the Covid-19 lockdown in rural areas in Malaysia. The results verified that parent’s support for their children is highly significant. When the children are learning using the online platforms, parents’ constant encouragement and facilitation are significant for the child to attain better academic results. This can be corroborated by the findings of previous researchers (Ribeiro et al., 2021; Daniela, 2021; Gopal et al., 2021; Tran et al., 2020; Abuhammad, 2020; Dong et al., 2020; Bhamani et al., 2020; Garbe et al., 2020; Loton et al., 2020; Fatoni et al., 2020).

Some previous researchers (Yi & Lee, 2021; Cui et al., 2021; Dong et al., 2020) have implied that parents were going through some difficulties and stress when supporting their children’s online learning sessions, which decreased the children’s academic performance. However, this research has proven that parent’s support is statistically and significantly vital for their children’s online learning, which would enhance their children’s academic performance.

Finally, this study’s findings and literature can guide academics in public and private education sectors, parents, and the Ministry of Education to focus and upgrade the online learning and teaching facilities rather than just depending on face-to-face teaching and learning. Online learning could be beneficial whenever a catastrophic incident takes place, which may disrupt children’s education. As such, it would be wise to encourage parents to be always ready for this new way of learning in the new milieu.

6.1 Limitations and Further Research

The focus of this study was only on the students’ academic in some rural areas in the states of Selangor, Perak, and Pahang in Peninsular Malaysia. Consequently, to acquire more knowledge on this mediation study, research can be conducted on a much bigger scale encompassing other states in Malaysia. A study could also be undertaken to compare the support of parents in urban and rural areas in Malaysia.
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