Emergent bound states and impurity pairs in chemically doped Shastry-Sutherland system
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Impurities often play a defining role in the ground states of frustrated quantum magnets. Studies of their effects are crucial in understanding the phase diagram in these materials. SrCu$_2$(BO$_3$)$_2$, an experimental realization of the Shastry-Sutherland (SS) lattice, provides a unique model system for such studies using both experimental and numerical approaches. Here we report effects of impurities on the crystals of bound states, and doping-induced emergent ground states in Mg-doped SrCu$_2$(BO$_3$)$_2$, which remain stable in high magnetic fields. Using four complementary magnetometry techniques and theoretical simulations, a rich impurity-induced phenomenology at high fields is discovered. The results demonstrate a rare example in which even a small doping concentration interacts strongly with both triplets and bound states of triplets, and thus plays a significant role in the magnetization process even at high magnetic fields. Our findings provide insights into the study of impurity effects in geometrically frustrated quantum magnets.
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Geometrical frustration in low-dimensional quantum spin systems underlies many of the exotic states of matter that are of great current interest in condensed matter physics. One of the central topics in studying such systems is to understand the effects of impurities that are unavoidable in realistic materials and sometimes intentionally introduced by chemical doping. However, such studies are often hindered by the complex Hamiltonians in analytical and numerical studies, and difficulties in finding a suitable model system to make a direct comparison between theoretical calculations and experimental results. Here we show that SrCu$_2$(BO$_3$)$_2$, a realization of the exactly solvable SS model, provides an important test ground for our understanding of the effects of impurities in frustrated quantum magnets.

The parent compound of SrCu$_2$(BO$_3$)$_2$ consists of two-dimensional layers of Cu$^{2+}$ (S = 1/2) orthogonal dimers arranged on a square lattice (Fig. 1a inset), which form the ab-plane of its tetragonal unit cell. A spin gap $\Delta \sim 3$ meV separates the S = 0 singlet ground state from an excited triplet state. Without doping, SrCu$_2$(BO$_3$)$_2$ has a ground state of a valence bond solid at low temperature and zero magnetic field. Studies on doped SrCu$_2$(BO$_3$)$_2$ have been largely motivated by the prospect of resonating valence bond (RVB) superconductivity, when holes are doped into this material, as realized in SrCu$_2$–Mg$_x$(BO$_3$)$_2$ with $x \approx 0.05$, which has been understood as a result of the crystalization of $S_z = 2$ pinwheels of bound states of two triplets, which are energetically more favorable than crystals of $S_z = 1$ triplets. This picture is well established in the undoped system. In the doped system, however, it is not clear how the added impurities would interact with the triplets and bound states of triplets, and hence alter their crystalization.

We report a comprehensive doping dependence study of the magnetometry in high magnetic fields, revealing a surprisingly rich impurity-induced phenomenology in these systems: doping-induced triplet states and emergent impurity pairs. It was found that the conventional magnetization measurements alone do not provide a full picture explaining the subtle changes associated with such a study. Therefore, we combined four complementary techniques: tunnel diode oscillator (TDO) and torque magnetometry, which measure magnetic susceptibility; magnetization measurements, which probe magnetization response directly; and magnetostriction measurements, which detect lattice correlations to the magnetic order in very high magnetic fields. The results are in remarkable agreement with our numerical simulations using infinite projected entangled pair states (iPEPS), providing an accurate account of the various impurity-induced emergent states. Our results offer essential implications for the understanding of doped quantum spin systems.

**Results**

**Magnetization plateaus.** The TDO and torque magnetometry experiments were conducted at the National High Magnetic Field Laboratory (NHMFL) dc field facility, while the magnetization and magnetostriction measurements were carried out at the NHMFL pulsed field facility. Single crystals of SrCu$_2$(BO$_3$)$_2$ and SrCu$_{2-x}$Mg$_x$(BO$_3$)$_2$, with $x$ up to 0.05, were grown using the optical floating zone technique (see “Methods”). In the doped samples, the magnetic Cu$^{2+}$ sites are replaced with non-magnetic isoelectronic Mg$^{2+}$, which effectively breaks the spin dimers into free S = 1/2 spins, without introducing structural distortions. The doping concentrations were confirmed by susceptibility measurements (see Supplementary Fig. 1).

We show in Fig. 1a the magnetization response for SrCu$_{2-x}$Mg$_x$(BO$_3$)$_2$ with $x$ = 0.02, 0.03, and 0.05 at 0.4 K with H || a-axis up to 60 T. At low fields, a notable finite magnetization, which
increases with doping, is observed (see Fig. 1a lower right inset). For $H$ smaller than $6 \sim 8 \, T$, $M(H)$ exhibits a Brillouin-like paramagnetic behavior for all three dopings, and the results are consistent with the field-induced alignment of free $S = 1/2$ impurity spins. However, a full saturation of magnetization is interrupted at $H$ above $6 \sim 8 \, T$, suggesting a more complicated picture than one solely explained by the impurity-induced free spins; as will be discussed later. The sharp onset of magnetization in $H$ smaller than $6 \sim 8 \, T$ is attributed to the increase in population of triplets, as spin gap closes with increasing field.$^1$. In doped SrCu$_2$(BO$_3$)$_2$, Fig. 1a clearly shows that the magnetization is suppressed with increasing doping, suggesting a suppressed density of triplets in the presence of impurities. Nevertheless, for all doping concentrations, magnetization plateau similar to those in the undoped case are observed at the same onset fields, albeit with important differences as discussed below.

First, the plateaus in the doped systems can no longer be identified as fractions ($1/8$, $1/4$, $1/3$, ...) of the saturation magnetization $M_{sat}$, where all the magnetic Cu$^{2+}$ moments are fully saturated. Therefore, for clarity, these plateaus are named as pseudo-$1/8$, pseudo-$1/4$, and pseudo-$1/3$ plateaus in the doped systems, to be differentiated from the $1/8$, $1/4$, and $1/3$ plateaus in the undoped case. Second, a term that is more general than $M_{sat}$ can be defined: the reference magnetization $M_{ref}$. In the undoped system, $M_{ref}$ is the same as $M_{sat}$, and the magnetization plateaus occur at fractions ($1/8$, $1/4$, $1/3$, ...) of $M_{sat}$ ($=\mu_0 g_m \mu_B \frac{1}{3}$). In the doped system, however, the magnetization values of the pseudo-$1/n$ ($n = 2, 3, 8, ...$) plateaus are found to be fractions ($1/8$, $1/4$, $1/3$, ...) of a doping-dependent magnetization value, which is different from the true saturation magnetization $M_{sat}$ of these doped systems, as demonstrated later. This magnetization value is identified as $M_{ref}$. It can be extracted from the pseudo-$1/3$ plateaus for the doped system (or $1/3$ plateau for the undoped case): $M_{ref} = 3 \times M_{sat}$, where $M_{sat}$ refers to the magnetization at the pseudo-$1/3$ (or $1/3$) plateau. We plot in Fig. 2 the normalized magnetization curves, $M/M_{ref}$ as function of field, for both the undoped and doped samples. Here, it is easy to verify that the pseudo-$1/8$ and pseudo-$1/4$ plateaus in the doped systems have magnetization values that are approximately $1/8$ and $1/4$ of $M_{ref}$ similar to the undoped case. Using this method, $M_{ref}$ are found to be 1.065, 0.952, 0.913, and 0.881 $\mu_B$ per Cu for the $x = 0$, 0.02, 0.03, and 0.05 samples, respectively (see Figs. 1a and 2). Note that the $x = 0$ data is reproduced from ref. 24 (see Supplementary Note 1), rescaled to allow comparison with our $H || a$-axis data on the doped samples.

The observation that the values of $M_{ref}$ decrease upon doping is rather interesting. One might expect that in the doped systems, $M_{1/3}$ at the pseudo-$1/3$ plateau has contributions from both superstructures of bound states$^{23}$ (as in the undoped samples) and the free spins located on broken dimers with impurity sites (up to 2.5% with the highest doping $x = 0.05$), and therefore, the value of $M_{ref}$ ($3M_{sat}$) would be slightly larger than the saturation magnetization, due to the overvaluation of the magnetization of the free spins. This is obviously not the case.

Moreover, as mentioned above, $M_{ref}$ is also different from the true saturation magnetization $M_{sat}$ in the doped systems. For example, $M_{sat}$ for the $x = 0.05$ sample is expected to be 2.5% smaller than that in the undoped case, because of the loss of 2.5% of magnetic Cu$^{2+}$ moments. However, $M_{ref}$ for the same doping ($0.881 \mu_B$ per Cu) is found to be ~17% less than $M_{sat}$ ($=M_{ref}$) for the undoped sample ($1.065 \mu_B$ per Cu). Therefore, $M_{ref}$ must reflect an intrinsic change in the spin superstructures as a result of doping. Indeed, it is not surprising that doping with nonmagnetic static impurities would disrupt and soften the superstructure of the bound states underlying the magnetization plateaus: the formation of the $1/3$ superstructure is perturbed in a certain neighborhood of the impurities, resulting in plateaus of superstructures rather than a perfect $1/3$ superstructure with 2.5% of the sites removed. In this scenario, $M_{ref}$ is the total magnetization of all the patches of superstructures and does not include the nonmagnetic spin singlets which lie in between. The fact that $M/M_{ref}$ curves for the undoped$^{24}$ and doped samples overlap and show the same sequence for plateaus at $1/8$ (pseudo-$1/8$), $1/4$ (pseudo-$1/4$), and $1/3$ (pseudo-$1/3$), as seen in Fig. 2, suggests that the undoped and doped systems share the same underlying superstructures$^{23}$ at these plateaus, enabled by some collaborative geometrical arrangement of the impurities and the triplets in the doped samples. The same onset fields of these (pseudo-$1/n$) plateaus also suggest the excitation energy (or effective chemical potential) of the superstructures of the bound states of triplets does not depend on doping. The smearing of the pseudo-$1/n$ plateaus with doping is consistent with the softening of the superstructures in the system. For instance, the volume of the superstructures in the undoped system is close to 100% of the total volume of the sample, and it is reduced to $M_{ref}/M_{sat} \sim 85\%$ for the $x = 0.05$ sample. At the highest fields, however, all the moments are fully saturated, and the magnetization should eventually reach $M_{sat}$. It is interesting to speculate how this process takes place, and extremely high magnetic fields are required for such a study.

Complementary magnetostriction measurements (see “Methods”) performed for both the undoped and doped samples are plotted in Fig. 1b and Supplementary Fig. 2. These results reveal contraction along the $a$-axis, which closely corresponds to changes in magnetization and are consistent with previous results reported for the undoped system$^{20,23}$. Furthermore, these results clearly show the (pseudo-) $1/8$, (pseudo-) $1/4$, and (pseudo-) $1/3$ plateaus, for which the onset fields agree very well with those determined from the magnetization measurements. The increasingly softened pseudo-$1/n$ plateaus with doping also suggest that the overall lattice coupling is suppressed with the increased density of impurities.
Emergent magnetization states at low $H$. Our most important results are obtained from a close examination of the magnetization response for the doped samples in the field region below the pseudo-1/8 plateau, as presented in Figs. 3 and 4. In fact this region is of broad interest, though not well understood even in the undoped system. For example, other than the 1/9 plateau\cite{9,20}, spin superstructures with even smaller fractions, i.e., larger unit cells, remain elusive. Theoretical considerations seem to suggest that they are energetically favorable only in very limited field ranges, if at all possible\cite{21}. In a doped system, the phase diagram becomes even richer as the density of impurities increases.

We plot in Fig. 3a and b the magnetization curves as function of $x$ for the low-field region. Indeed, the magnetization measurements clearly show three low-field anomalies, i.e., jumps in $M(H)$ curves, as can be seen for all doped samples. For the $x = 0.05$ sample, the onset fields of these anomalies are determined as $H_{C1} \sim 17.1$ T, $H_{C2} \sim 21.7$ T, and $H_{C3} \sim 25.0$ T (see Supplementary Figs. 3a and 4). For the $x = 0.02$ and 0.03 samples, three anomalies are also identified at similar fields. Figure 3b shows the magnitudes of these anomalies, measured by $dM/dH$, are much smaller than that of the pseudo-1/8 plateau for all $x$. Their doping dependence, however, are exactly the opposite: the $H_{C1}^x$, $H_{C2}^x$, and $H_{C3}^x$ anomalies are enhanced with higher doping concentration, while the pseudo-1/8 plateau is suppressed. This completely different behavior on doping suggests that these anomalies have origins that are different from the pseudo-1/n plateaus. The broad maxima at very low $H \sim 1 \text{ T} - 2 \text{ T}$ are attributed to field-aligned free $S = 1/2$ impurity spins, associated with the onset of finite magnetization, as discussed above.

We plot in Fig. 3c and d the results for TDO magnetic susceptibility measurements (see Methods), where $dfH/dH$ is proportional to $dM^2/dH^2$; the corresponding comparison is clearly shown in Fig. 3d for the $x = 0.05$ sample. The TDO measurements performed in a quieter magnet environment, i.e., a steady magnetic field instead of a pulsed field, show more clearly the emergence of the $H_{C1}^x$, $H_{C2}^x$, and $H_{C3}^x$ anomalies with doping, and their absence in the undoped system (see Fig. 3c). This is consistent with the fact that these anomalies were never observed in the undoped system\cite{19,21,24}. Strikingly, another broad anomaly at $H_{C3}^x \sim 9$ T, which is much weaker than its higher field counterparts, is only observed for the highest doping concentration $x = 0.05$ sample. The confirmation of such a weak anomaly underlines the importance of adopting different techniques for measuring the same physical quantity when the signal is weak. These anomalies are found to be suppressed with increasing temperature (see Fig. 3d inset, Supplementary Figs. 5 and 6). In the $x = 0.05$ samples, for example, they disappear at $T \sim 2$ K, before the pseudo-1/n plateaus melt at $-3$ K$ - 4$ K (see Supplementary Figs. 2d and 5d). The different energy scales suggest that the underlying spin structures of these anomalies are different from the superstructures of bound states of triplets associated with the pseudo-1/n plateaus. This is confirmed by our simulation results as discussed below.

The coupling of these anomalies to the lattice is investigated using magnetostriction measurements. In both undoped and doped samples, the axial magnetostriction along a-axis deviates from zero at fields that gradually decrease from $\sim 18$ T in $x = 0$ to $\sim 14$ T in the $x = 0.05$ sample, as indicated by arrows in Fig. 4a. As can be seen in Fig. 4b, however, no anomalies are observed for any of the samples at fields below the pseudo-1/8 plateau. Though a lack of sufficient resolution cannot be completely ruled out, the absence of these anomalies in the magnetostriction data suggests their weak coupling to lattice. This is unlike the strong lattice coupling observed for magnetization plateaus corresponding to the crystallization of bound states of triplets, confirming their different origins. This interpretation is further strengthened by the fact that the $H_{C1}^x$ and $H_{C3}^x$ anomalies appear at fields comparable with, or below, the gap closing fields at which bound states of triplets are absent and cannot play any role in their formation. Indeed, iPEPS numerical simulations clearly demonstrate that the observed anomalies all have impurity-induced origins, as we explain in the following sections.

Infinite projected entangled pair states. Our simulation results are obtained using iPEPS—a variational tensor network ansatz to represent a 2D ground state directly in the thermodynamic limit\cite{26-28}. The ansatz consists of a unit cell of tensors which is periodically repeated on the infinite lattice, where in the present case we use one tensor per dimer\cite{23,29,30}. The accuracy of the ansatz can be systematically controlled by the bond dimension $D$ of the tensors.

The optimization of the variational parameters has been done using the simple update method which provides good estimates of ground state energies while being computationally affordable, even in the limit of very large unit cell sizes (up to 12 $\times$ 12 dimers in the present work). For the computation of observables, a variant\cite{31,32} of the corner-transfer matrix method\cite{33,34} is used. To improve the efficiency, we exploit the U(1) symmetry of the model\cite{35,36}. For an introduction to the method, see Refs. 37,38 for example.
The magnetization process, which we will investigate below using iPEPS, of impurities we can expect that these free excitations are localized, i.e., that the magnetization plateaus actually correspond to crystals of triplets, which has led to the viewpoint that the magnetization plateaus found in SrCu$_2$(BO$_3$)$_2$ correspond to crystals of triplets.

At zero external magnetic field, the ground state is given by a configuration of eight impurity sites, corresponding to a doping $x = 0.056$. For this system, a localized bound state first occurs at $H_{C2} = (0.428 \pm 0.001)J$ (21.8 $\pm$ 0.1 T). In the infinite limit, since the system contains many locations in the lattice with similar energy costs to form a bound state, we may expect the non-smooth magnetization curve, exhibiting a jump at $H_{C2}$, to be compatible with the anomaly observed in experiments at $H_{C2} \sim 21.7$ T (Fig. 3). This value is essentially independent of the doping concentration, since a change of doping concentration only alters the density of such locations in the lattice, not the excitation energy. This is consistent with our experimental findings that the magnetization anomaly at $H_{C2}$ becomes stronger with increasing doping concentration, while the value of $H_{C2}$ is doping-independent. It is interesting to point out that $H_{C2}$ is close to the energy scale necessary to create a localized bound state in systems without impurities, 0.427. However, a localized bound state does not appear, because its excitation energy (0.427) is higher than that of a delocalized bound state, 0.41. Therefore, in undoped systems, bound states are delocalized in the dilute limit of excitations, and a smooth increase of the magnetization, without a jump (anomaly) at $H_{C2}$, is expected.

Upon further increasing the magnetic field, the doped lattice gets occupied by more and more localized bound states. At a certain characteristic field $H_{C3} = (0.452 \pm 0.004)J$ (23.1 $\pm$ 0.2 T) we observe the appearance of additional triplet excitations in the lattice, accompanied by a rapid change of slope in the magnetization curve. This can be understood from the fact that a bound state occupies more space than a triplet excitation, so that at locations with several nearby impurity sites it can become energetically favorable to place a triplet excitation rather than a bound state.

We model the Mg doping by introducing impurity sites where each impurity replaces one of the $S = 1/2$ spins on a dimer with a non-magnetic site (i.e., with no coupling to the neighboring sites), leaving a free $S = 1/2$ spin on the other site of the dimer. A single impurity in the lattice leads to a two-fold degenerate ground state, since it costs no energy to flip a single spin. Thus, in the dilute limit of impurities we can expect that these free $S = 1/2$ spins immediately align with an external magnetic field. The question is now how the presence of these impurities with attached $S = 1/2$ spins affects the magnetization process, which we will investigate below using iPEPS simulations.

**Model used for the Mg-doped SrCu$_2$(BO$_3$)$_2$.** A well-established effective model to describe the low-energy physics of SrCu$_2$(BO$_3$)$_2$ is the SS model given by the Hamiltonian

$$H = J \sum_{\langle ij \rangle} S_i \cdot S_j + J' \sum_{\langle ij \rangle} S_i \cdot S_j' - h \sum_i S_i^z$$  

(1)

where the bonds with coupling strength $J$ build an array of orthogonal dimers and the bonds with coupling $J'$ denote inter-dimer couplings, and $h$ is the strength of the external magnetic field. In the present work we use $J'/J = 0.63$ (with $J \sim 51$ T) which was obtained from a fit to high magnetic field data.

At zero external magnetic field, the ground state is given by a product of singlets on the dimers. Early on, it was found that the SS model has almost localized triplet excitations which has led to the viewpoint that the magnetization plateaus in SrCu$_2$(BO$_3$)$_2$ correspond to crystals of triplets. It was predicted that $S_0 = 2$ excitations, which can be seen as a bound state of two triplets, are energetically lower in the dilute limit of excitations. Based on iPEPS simulations, it was shown that these bound states are energetically favored even when they are localized, i.e., that the magnetization plateau actually corresponds to crystals of localized bound states rather than crystals of triplets.

We model the Mg doping by introducing impurity sites where each impurity replaces one of the $S = 1/2$ spins on a dimer with a non-magnetic site (i.e., with no coupling to the neighboring sites), leaving a free $S = 1/2$ spin on the other site of the dimer. A single impurity in the lattice leads to a two-fold degenerate ground state, since it costs no energy to flip a single spin. Thus, in the dilute limit of impurities we can expect that these free $S = 1/2$ spins immediately align with an external magnetic field. The question is now how the presence of these impurities with attached $S = 1/2$ spins affects the magnetization process, which we will investigate below using iPEPS simulations.
The size of the spins scale with the magnitude of the local magnetic moment, where black (red) arrows point along (opposite to) the external magnetic field. From computing the excitation energy in a 8 × 8 cell we obtain a critical field. From computing the excitation energy in a 8 × 8 cell we obtain a critical field $H_{C1}$ and $H_{C2}$. A clear slope change is identified at $H_{C3}$. As shown in Fig. 5e, there exist also additional configurations at lower excitation energies, which is consistent with the experimental observation of the broad maximum at an onset field $H_{C2} \sim 9 \text{T}$. These further distant impurities have a smaller gap $\Delta E$, with $\Delta E \rightarrow 0$ in the limit of large separations. The excitation energies are influenced also by additional impurities nearby. We note that the state at $H_{C2}$ is less prominent than the one at $H_{C1} = 0.238J$, since the probability of these configurations to appear is smaller: e.g., the probability for the state with $\Delta E = 0.153J$ is only half of that at $H_{C1}$.

**Discussion**

One of the most prominent properties of SrCu$_2$(BO$_3$)$_2$ is the sequence of magnetization plateaus noticeably at 1/8, 1/4, and 1/3 of the saturation magnetization, which have been shown to correspond to various superstructures that break the translational
symmetry of the lattice. It is remarkable that the high-field magnetization curve exhibits even more features in the presence of impurities. Impurities create local defects that are usually saturated by a small field. Our findings, however, portray a very different picture. Here, even a small concentration of impurity plays an important role in the magnetization process at very high magnetic fields. It highlights a nontrivial interplay between impurities, triplets, and bound states of triplets.

At high magnetic fields, the presence of impurities is found to disrupt the formation of superstructure of bound states of triplets and break it into small patches. In the \( x = 0.05 \) sample, these patches of superstructures account for \(~85\%\) of the total \( Cu^2+ \) moments, as shown earlier. This significant softening of the superstructures is the reason for the smearing of the pseudo-1/2 plateaus in the doped sample. It is expected that the pseudo-1/2 plateaus would eventually be totally suppressed as the superstructures are further disrupted with increasing doping. Indeed, the fate of symmetry-breaking phases in the presence of impurities is itself of great current interest. For the crystals of bound states, disrupted at the magnetization plateau of \( SrCu_2(BO_3)_2 \), the non-magnetic impurities generically break the symmetries of their order parameters and play the role of random field disorder. Therefore, it is interesting to speculate if there exists impurity-induced Bragg glass phase analogous to that in a type II superconductor. It is also intriguing for future studies to search for other novel phases such as Bose glass, which has been found near Bose-Einstein condensate phase in another doped quantum magnet.

At fields lower than the pseudo-1/8 plateau, the emergence of the \( H_{C2} \) and \( H_{C3} \) anomalies upon doping is also a striking and unexpected result. In the undoped system, the energy cost for creating localized bound states is higher than that for the delocalized ones. Therefore, localized bound states are absent, and the bound states are delocalized in the dilute limit, leading to smoothly increasing magnetization curve (i.e., no anomaly at \( H_{C2} \)). In the doped system, however, the bound states cannot delocalize anymore due to the presence of the impurities. At \( H_{C2} \), all the locations which are sufficiently far away from the impurities (and which have a similar energy cost to form a bound state) will be populated by a bound state, leading to a small jump in the magnetization (i.e., an anomaly) at \( H_{C2} \). The \( H_{C3} \) anomaly is also absent in the undoped case, where bound states form a superfluid in the dilute limit and regular crystals at higher density, i.e., localized triplets do not occur. Therefore, only in the presence of impurities, the \( H_{C2} \) and \( H_{C3} \) anomalies are realized. It is interesting to speculate how these states evolve, and if more exotic states with some special configurations of impurities and triplets would appear at higher doping.

The observation of the various 2-impurity configurations (impurity pairs) that survive up to \( H_{C0} \) and \( H_{C3} \) is another significant result. It is found that the excitation energies for these impurity pairs are doping independent, at least in the doping range of our study, but the probability of finding them increases with doping. Our results demonstrate a rich and interesting geometrical arrangement of impurities in the doped \( SrCu_2(BO_3)_2 \). Moreover, these spin-singlet impurity pairs are fairly stable (binding energy \( \gtrsim g_{\mu B}H_{C0} \sim 1 \) meV), and it is interesting to speculate the formation of Cooper pairs if holes (or electrons) can be associated with the impurities without too much energy cost. Note that the impurity pairs that we observe are strongly localized, suggesting that Cooper pairs, if present, might also be strongly localized. It is interesting to note that in cuprates as well as the doped \( SrCu_2(BO_3)_2 \) studied in ref. 11, the impurities reside in between the copper-oxide planes, while in \( SrCu_2 \sim Mg_2(BO_3)_2 \), \( Mg^{2+} \) replaces \( Cu^{2+} \) in the \( CuO_2 \) plane. Further studies are required to explore the difference between the impurity configurations in the two cases.

In summary, our results present the Mg-doped \( SrCu_2(BO_3)_2 \) as a model system for studying the effects of non-magnetic impurities in a frustrated quantum magnet, where results from theoretical simulation and multiple experimental methods can be directly compared. We have provided a clear description of the magnetization process for a Shastry-Sutherland system in the presence of impurities, which has a profound effect on the formation of the crystals of bound states of triplets. The results also reveal a rich impurity-induced phenomenology at fields below the magnetization plateaus, suggesting that even for samples with a Mg-doping as low as 1% \( \sim 2.5\% \), a single-impurity description such as that discussed in ref. 15,16 is not enough to capture the essential physics, and interactions between the impurities and triplets must be considered. Further studies are desired to better understand the impurity-induced emergent states, to search for other possible novel phases (Bragg glass, Bose glass) at high fields, and to pursue the grand prize of RVB superconductivity.

**Methods**

**Sample synthesis and characterization.** High quality single crystal samples of both \( SrCu_2(BO_3)_2 \) and \( SrCu_{2-x}Mg_x(BO_3)_2 \) (\( x = 0.02, 0.03, \) and 0.05) were grown by the optical floating zone technique using self-flux, at a growth rate of 0.2 mm h\(^{-1} \) in an O\(_2\) atmosphere. The \( x = 0.02 \) and 0.03 samples were successfully grown and were characterized by X-ray powder diffraction. The free 5 = 1/2 impurities, i.e., the Mg-doping concentrations, for the \( x = 0.02 \) and 0.03 samples were also characterized with measurements of the dc susceptibility as a function of temperature, using a commercial Quantum Design MPMS (see Supplementary Fig. 1).

**Magnetization measurements.** Magnetization measurements were conducted on samples with approximate dimensions of \( \sim 3.0 \times 0.5 \times 0.5 \) mm\(^3\) (\( a \times b \times c \)) using a sample-extraction magnetometer in a 25 ms, 65 T pulsed magnet at the pulsed field laboratory of the National High Magnetic Field Laboratory in Los Alamos, NM. The sample was placed inside a plastic capsule, which is inserted into or extracted from a pair of coaxial counterwound coils. The background signal was also determined for each temperature and subtracted from the data. The sample was oriented for \( H \parallel a\)-axis down to 0.4 K, and calibrated with absolute values measured in a SQUID magnetometer from Quantum Design.

**Tunnel diode oscillator.** The TDO measurements were carried out on cylinder-shaped crystals with approximate dimensions of \( \sim 2 \) mm in length and \( \sim 1 \) mm in diameter, at the dc field facility of the National High Magnetic Field Laboratory in Tallahassee, FL. A tunnel diode, operating in its negative resistance region, was used to provide power that maintains the resonance of a LC-circuit, at a frequency range between 10 and 50 MHz. The sample was placed inside a detection coil, with the \( a\)-axis of the sample aligned with the coil axis, forming the inductive component of the LC circuit. Changes in sample magnetization induce a change in the inductance, which is detected as a shift in the resonance frequency. The ability to measure the resonance frequency to a very high precision ensures the highly sensitive measurements in changes of magnetic moments \( ~10^{-12} \) emu.

**Torque magnetometry.** Torque magnetometry measurements were conducted to probe the susceptibility anisotropy of samples with approximate dimensions of \( \sim 0.2 \times 0.2 \times 0.2 \) mm\(^3\) (\( a \times b \times c \)) in a static magnetic field. Samples were attached with silicone grease to the commercial piezoresistive atomic force microscopy (AFM) cantilevers (Seiko PBC200), which form a Wheatstone bridge configuration with two additional adjustable resistors. Changes of sample magnetization with field induced torque on the cantilever, and are detected as a voltage across the bridge.

**Magnetostriiction measurements.** An optical fiber, equipped with a 1-mm-long fiber Bragg grating (FBG), was attached to single crystal samples with approximate dimensions of \( \sim 3.0 \times 0.5 \times 0.5 \) mm\(^3\) (\( a \times b \times c \)) along their \( a\)-axes, using cyanoacrylate. The samples were held in place solely by the fiber, and were orientated such that the applied field is parallel with the \( a\)-axes of the samples. The FBG is illuminated by a broadband light (1525–1565 nm) source, and reflects a narrow band of light (\( \sim 1550 \) nm). The length variation \( \Delta L / L \) along the \( a\)-axis axial configuration is detected by monitoring the shift of the reflected light by the FBG.

**Data availability**

The data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.
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