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**Abstract**

**Background:** At Yaokoffikro field site near Bouaké, in central Côte d’Ivoire, a group of experimental huts built in 1996 served over many years for the evaluation of insecticides against highly resistant mosquitoes. Breeding sites of mosquitoes and selection pressure in the area were maintained by local farming practices until a war broke out in September 2002. Six years after the crisis, we conducted bioassays and biochemical analysis to update the resistance status of *Anopheles gambiae* s.s. populations and detect other potential mechanisms of resistance that might have evolved.

**Methods:** *An. gambiae* s.s. larvae from Yaokoffikro were collected in breeding sites and reared to adults. Resistance status of this population to insecticides was assessed using WHO bioassay test kits for adult mosquitoes with seven insecticides: two pyrethroids, a pseudo-pyrethroid, an organochloride, two carbamates and an organophosphate. Molecular and biochemical assays were carried out to identify the L1014F *kdr* and *ace-1R* alleles in individual mosquitoes and to detect potential increase in mixed function oxidases (MFO), non-specific esterases (NSE) and glutathione S-transferases (GST) activity.

**Results:** High pyrethroids, DDT and carbamate resistance was confirmed in *An. gambiae* s.s. populations from Yaokoffikro. Mortality rates were less than 70% with pyrethroids and etofenprox, 12% with DDT, and less than 22% with the carbamates. Tolerance to fenitrothion was observed, with 95% mortality after 24 h. PCR analysis of samples from the site showed high allelic frequency of the L1014F *kdr* (0.94) and the *ace-1R* (0.50) as before the crisis. In addition, increased activity of NSE, GST and to a lesser extent MFO was found relative to the reference strain Kisumu. This was the first report detecting enhanced activity of these enzymes in *An. gambiae* s.s from Yaokoffikro, which could have serious implications in detoxification of insecticides. Their specific roles in resistance should be investigated using additional tools.

**Conclusion:** The insecticide resistance profile at Yaokoffikro appears multifactorial. The site presents a unique opportunity to evaluate its impact on the protective efficacy of insecticidal products as well as new tools to manage these complex mechanisms. It calls for innovative research on the behaviour of the local vector, its biology and genetics that drive resistance.
Background
The scaling up of Long Lasting Insecticidal Nets (LLINs) and to some extent Indoor Residual Spraying (IRS) is a major element of international strategies to control malaria, particularly in sub-Saharan Africa [1]. Pyrethroids are the only class of insecticide currently recommended for use on LLINs [2]. During the last decade pyrethroid resistance has become widespread in Anopheles gambiae s.s. in sub-Saharan Africa [3-5], probably as a consequence of use of pyrethroids in agriculture [6,7] but also increasingly through exposure to LLINs, as coverage is scaled up [8,9]. Even for IRS, with only four insecticide classes currently available and resistance reported to all four of these in some populations of An. gambiae s.s. [10], the options for managing resistance and providing sustainable vector control with existing chemicals are limited. Recent product development partnership has been established to stimulate the search for chemicals are limited. Recent product development partnership has been established to stimulate the search for chemicals belonging to different chemical classes were prepared using WHO test kits [34]. Diagnostic concentrations of seven insecticides of technical grade quality belonging to different chemical classes were prepared and tested as follows:

- permethrin 25/75 (1%) (Agrevo, Berkhamsted, UK)
- lambdacyhalothrin (0.05%) are pyrethroids obtained from Syngenta, UK;
- etofenprox (0.05%), a pseudo-pyrethroid from Mitsui Toatsu, Japan;
- DDT (4%), an organochlorine from Syngenta; carbo-sulfan (0.4%) from FMC, Philadelphia, USA and profoxur (0.1%) from Bayer,
- Leverkusen, Germany, are both carbamates;
- fenitrothion (1%), an organophosphate purchased at Sigma-Aldrich, St Louis, MO, USA.

Methods
Study area
Yaokoffikro, a suburban village of Bouaké city in central Côte d’Ivoire, geo-referenced at 5°1’ W longitude and 7° 11’ N latitude. The village is situated along a large valley producing rice and vegetables for local consumption. These farming practices constituted suitable breeding sites for mosquitoes. A group of experimental huts belonging to the “Institut Pierre Richet (IPR)” were constructed in 1998 at the site and served over many years for the evaluation of different insecticides under the auspices of WHOPES [18-24].

Mosquito population in the area is composed of An. gambiae s.s., Culex sp. and Mansonia sp. An. gambiae s. s. is mostly S molecular form [25,26] and strongly resistant to pyrethroids and DDT with the Leu-Phe kdr mutation (L1014F kdr) showing allelic frequency above 0.90 [16,24,27,28]. Resistance to carbamates and organophosphates involving the ace-1 G119S mutation (ace-1R) was also highly expressed in this species with allelic frequency averaging 0.45 [24,29,30].

The style of the huts, typical of the region has been widely described in previous trials conducted at the site [31-33].

Mosquito collection
During the rainy season in June 2008, larvae of An. gambiae s.s. were collected at the site and reared at IPR insectary for emergence and testing of adults. An insecticide susceptible An. gambiae s.s. Kisumu served as a reference strain.

Insecticide susceptibility tests
Susceptibility bioassays on adult mosquitoes were conducted using WHO test kits [34]. Diagnostic concentrations of seven insecticides of technical grade quality belonging to different chemical classes were prepared and tested as follows:

- permethrin 25/75 (1%) (Agrevo, Berkhamsted, UK)
- lambdacyhalothrin (0.05%) are pyrethroids obtained from Syngenta, UK;
- etofenprox (0.05%), a pseudo-pyrethroid from Mitsui Toatsu, Japan;
- DDT (4%), an organochlorine from Syngenta; carbo-sulfan (0.4%) from FMC, Philadelphia, USA and profoxur (0.1%) from Bayer,
- Leverkusen, Germany, are both carbamates;
- fenitrothion (1%), an organophosphate purchased at Sigma-Aldrich, St Louis, MO, USA.
Impregnated papers were prepared in our laboratory using technical grades of the above insecticides dissolved via acetone in silicone oil 556 (Dow Corning, Midland, MI, U.S.A) as a carrier. Treatment of the filter paper was made on the basis of 3.6 mg of oil per cm². Whatman filter papers (12 cm × 15 cm) were impregnated with a mixture of 0.7 mL silicone oil + 1.3 mL insecticide acetic solution. Papers were stored at 4°C and used no more than three times.

Tests were performed with batches of 25 unfed females of An. gambiae s.s., 3-5 days old, four replicates per concentration. Mosquitoes were exposed to the insecticide treated papers for 60 min at 25 ± 2°C and 80% RH. After the exposure period, all the mosquitoes were transferred to the observation tube of the test kit, supplied with honey solution and held for 24 h before scoring mortality. Batches exposed to untreated papers were used as control.

Field samples were compared to a susceptible reference strain of An. gambiae s.s. Kisumu. All control survival specimens (including the susceptible reference mosquito) from the tests were frozen at -80°C for biochemical analysis. The exposed samples of mosquitoes to the different insecticides were kept in the fridge for molecular analysis.

PCR detection of the L1014F kdr and ace-1 G119S mutations
Genomic DNA was extracted from individual An. gambiae s.s., following the method of Collins et al. [35]. This was used for the detection of the L1014F kdr as per Martinez-Torres et al. [36] and the ace-1 G119S mutation (ace-1P) as per Weill et al. [37].

Biochemical analysis
Biochemical assays were performed to compare the levels of activity of mixed function oxidases (MFO), non-specific esterases (NSE) using α-naphthyl acetate as a substrate and glutathione S-transferases (GST) [38] in the An. gambiae s.s. susceptible Kisumu and the field population from Yaokoffikro. Activity of AChE insensitivity was also measured and compared between Kisumu and Yaokoffikro mosquitoes following the method by Hemingway et al. [38]. Mosquitoes used for the biochemical analysis have not been exposed to any insecticides prior to the assay.

Data analysis
WHO [34] criteria was adopted for distinguishing between resistance/susceptibility status of the tested mosquito populations. Biochemical assay data (enzymatic activity per mg protein, levels of MFO, NSE, GST and AChE inhibition between Kisumu and Yaokoffikro An. gambiae s.s.) were compared using Mann-Whitney non-parametric U-test (Statistica software). Conformity of L1014F kdr and ace-1 G119S mutation frequency with Hardy-Weinberg expectations was tested for An. gambiae s.s. population from Yaokoffikro using the exact probability test [39]. Statistical significance was set at the 5% level.

Results
Bioassays
The susceptibility data on An. gambiae s.s. Kisumu and Yaokoffikro are gathered in Table 1.

Control mortality was consistently below 5%. All discriminating concentrations of the insecticides tested killed 100% of An. gambiae s.s. Kisumu, confirming susceptibility of this strain to the insecticides and the good quality of the impregnated papers.

Based on WHO criteria, the An. gambiae s.s. population from Yaokoffikro displayed resistance to all insecticides tested, (<69% mortality) except fenitrothion (95% mortality) (Table 1). However, resistance was more marked towards DDT and the two carbamates, with less than 23% mortality after 24 h holding period.

Detection of resistance genes by PCR
Of the total number of mosquitoes (111) analysed for the L1014F kdr mutation, 108 (97%) were carriers of the L1014F kdr mutation whereas it was 0.50 for the ace-1P due to deficiency of homozygous.

Biochemical assays
Table 2 shows the mean activity of MFO, NSE, GST and AChE inhibition rate of An. gambiae s.s. populations from Yaokoffikro versus susceptible reference Kisumu strain.
The mean NSE (0.336 ± 0.190) and GST (0.154 ± 0.115) activities were significantly higher in *An. gambiae* s.s. from Yaokoffikro than in the reference strain Kisumu (0.064 ± 0.022 for NSE and 0.050 ± 0.035 for GST) (P < 0.001). Such a 5-fold significant increase in NSE and 3-fold in GST in samples from Yaokoffikro suggest a strong involvement of these enzymes in insecticide resistance at this site. Although the samples from Yaokoffikro displayed mean MFO activity (0.039 ± 0.037) significantly higher than the level seen in susceptible Kisumu strain (0.031 ± 0.015, P = 0.007) (Table 2), the increase was only 1.25 fold. This was due to 2.7% outlier individuals displaying values over 0.09 mol EU mg\(^{-1}\) protein.

The mean inhibition rate of the AChE in Yaokoffikro samples (0.60 ± 0.19) was significantly lower than in Kisumu (81 ± 12.4, P < 0.001), confirming the presence of an altered AChE responsible for carbamate and organophosphate resistance in this field population of Yaokoffikro.

### Discussion

Six years after the armed conflict in Côte d’Ivoire, this study was designed to update on resistance status of *An. gambiae* s.s. at a previous WHOPES field site (Yaokoffikro), where a group of experimental huts have served for the evaluation of insecticides several years prior to the crisis. The results presented here show that insecticide resistance in this vector population is multifactorial and includes, in addition to target site mutations, enhanced metabolic mechanism component never identified before. At least four resistance mechanisms were found in the S form of *An. gambiae* s.s. at this locality: high frequency of the L1014F *kdr*, *ace-1*\(^{B}\) and increased activity of NSE and GSTs. The specific role of these enzymes in resistance has yet to be determined using more advanced techniques such as quantitative multiplex RT-PCR.

High resistance to DDT, pyrethroids and carbamates as detected previously was still present and the resistance profile did not change over the 6 year break [16,24,28,30]. This was because unaffected farmers did stick to their farming practices during the crisis. They continued to use pesticides to treat vegetables, which maintained the selection pressure, in conjunction with use of LLINs in the area. Previous studies in Benin, already demonstrated the influence of vegetable farming on selection of resistance in malaria vectors [6,40,41].

The present study identified a set of resistance mechanisms to pyrethroids and carbamates currently deployed for IRS and net treatments. Whether these mechanisms on their own and/or their combination thereof in *An. gambiae* s.s are associated with a fitness cost remains to be investigated. Since *kdr* and *ace-1*\(^{B}\) mutations in mosquitoes interact to positively or negatively influence a mosquito’s fitness, both in the presence or absence of insecticides [42], additional interactions would suggest the dynamics of resistance will be difficult to predict in populations where multiple resistance mechanisms such as these are present or that are subject to treatment by different insecticides.

Pyrethroid resistance associated with cross-resistance to DDT is well documented in *An. gambiae* s.s. from Yaokoffikro, and is closely associated with L1014F *kdr* mutation [16,24,28]. The predominance of L1014F *kdr* was confirmed in the current study. It appeared to be highly conserved, with frequency (0.94) within the range of that reported previously (0.90), [24].

The results also confirmed carbamate resistance detected in *An. gambiae* s.s. populations from Yaokoffikro [29,30]. Resistance to the carbamates (carbosulfan and propoxur) in this vector was intensely present whilst only tolerance to the organophosphate (fenitrothion) was found. The mortality rates recorded were less than 22% with the carbamates and it was 95% with fenitrothion. The presence of cross-resistance to carbamates and to some minor extent to fenitrothion, suggests the presence of the *ace-1* G119S mutation, further identified by PCR. Besides this phenotypic expression, the frequency of the *ace-1* G119S in *An. gambiae* from Yaokoffikro was 0.50, similar to what was found by Asidi *et al.* [24]. However, although the *ace-1* G119S mutation conferred cross-resistance to carbamates and organophosphates, the resistance level varied greatly between both insecticide families. Such variation could be due to the differences observed in the dominance

### Table 2 Genotype frequencies of the *kdr*, *ace-1* locus and mean level of NSE, MFO and GST activity in *An. gambiae* s.s. Kisumu and Yaokoffikro

|        | F(*kdr*) | F(*ace-1*) | NSE \(\mu mol/mim/mg\) | MFO nmol P450 unit/mg | GST \(\mu mol GSH/mim/mg\) | AChE % inhibition |
|--------|----------|------------|--------------------------|----------------------|---------------------------|------------------|
| Kisumu | 0.064 ± 0.022\(^a\) | 0.031 ± 0.015\(^a\) | 0.05 ± 0.035\(^a\) | 81.0 ± 12.4\(^a\) |
| Yaokoffikro | 0.94 | 0.50 | 0.336 ± 0.190\(^b\) | 0.039 ± 0.037\(^b\) | 0.154 ± 0.115\(^b\) | 604 ± 19.1\(^b\) |

Number of mosquitoes analysed in parentheses; Numbers in the same column sharing a letter superscript do not differ significantly (P > 0.05) at the 5% level.
level of the allele, as this mutation has been shown to be recessive with organophosphates but dominant towards carbamates [43].

Despite high L1014F kdr frequency found in An. gambiae s.s. (S molecular form) from Yaokoffikro, several trials of insecticide treated nets in that area repeatedly showed high mortality and continued protection against mosquito bites [18,21,22]. This contrasts with a type of pyrethroid resistance found in M molecular form of An. gambiae s.s., (also associated with high L1014F kdr) in southern Benin that seems to be highly protective against pyrethroid effects [44,45]. The difference in pyrethroid toxicity between Benin and Côte d’Ivoire might lie in the resistance pattern found at both sites: metabolic resistance enhancing the resistance already caused by kdr include NSE and oxidases in south Benin [17,46] and GSTs, NSE in Côte d’Ivoire.

Glutathione S-transferases catalyse the dehydrochlorination of DDT to DDE and have been reported to be involved in DDT-resistance in many insects including An. gambiae [47]. The significantly higher level of DDT-resistance found in Yaokoffikro samples may be explained by the co-occurrence of GST and L1014F kdr at this site.

MFOs are involved in the detoxification of substrates and generally associated with resistance to pyrethroids [17,48,49]. The slightly increased level of MFOs seen in the present experiment would suggest their involvement in pyrethroid resistance at Yaokoffikro, although this seems to be a growing phenomenon at this site because only 2.7% of individuals showed activity higher than in the Kisumu strain. Further exploration establishing correlation between the activity of these enzymes, bioassay mortality and their inhibition with synergists would be necessary.

The involvement of L1014F kdr, ace-1 G119S, NSE, GST and to a lesser extent MFO in resistance at Yaokoffikro stand in contrast with other field sites at Pitoa (Cameroon), where greater oxidase and esterase activities were observed in An. gambiae s.s. [49,50] but kdr and ace-1 G119S were absent. So far, only L1014F kdr and ace-1 G119S mutations were observed in An. gambiae s.s. at Vallée du Kou in Burkina Faso [51]. These results suggest that each field site has its own characteristics regarding the diversity of vector populations and the resistance mechanisms they bear inside them. The degree of the threat that each of these complex mechanisms may pose to any new control intervention would vary according to geographical context.

Conclusion
In addition to high L1014F kdr and ace-1 G119S previously detected and confirmed in the present experiment, highly significant increase in NSE and GST activities were found in An. gambiae s.s. from Yaokoffikro field site. Some increase in oxidase levels was also observed. The results suggest that a package of resistance mechanisms are present in this area of Côte d’Ivoire. Trials to evaluate their impact on the protective efficacy of malaria control interventions as well as new tools to manage these complex mechanisms are urgently needed. The site calls for innovative research on the behaviour of the local vector, its biology and genetics that drive resistance.
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