Suitability of leached soils of Western Serbia for potato growing
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A B S T R A C T

Potato can be grown on a large number of soil types. In order to determine the suitability of leached soils for potato growing in Western Serbia, a trial was set up with the aim of observing agrophysical and agrochemical properties of this type of soil in the region of the Radočelo mountain massif in 2020. Three soil profiles were opened. Results pointed out that leached soils of Western Serbia are suitable for potato growing according to their agrophysical properties, but unfavourable as regards some agrochemical parameters (a low content of available phosphorus, high values of active, exchangeable, and hydrolytic acidity). Nevertheless, it is possible to achieve high yields that approach the biological potential of potato using appropriate soil amendment practices (timely application of proper amounts of the complex NPK fertiliser with increased phosphorus and potassium levels, basal liming of the soil along with manuring, and use of physiologically neutral nitrogen fertilisers).
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ИЗВОД

Кромпир се може гајити на већем броју типова земљишта, па је у циљу утврђивања погодности лесивираних земљишта за гајење кромпира на подручју Западне Србије постављен оглед са испитивањем агрофизичких и агрохемијских особина овог типа земљишта. Оглед је постављен током 2020. године на подручју планинског масива Радочело, где су отворена три педологска профиле. Добијени резултати указују да су лесивирана земљишта Западне Србије по агрофизичким особинама погодна за гајење кромпира, док су агрохемијске особине у појединим параметрима незадовољавајуће (низак садржај приступачног фосфора, високе вредности активне, супституционе и хидролитичке киселости), али уз адекватну поправку истих (примена адекватне и правовремене количине комплексног NPK ђубрива са повећаним садржајем фосфора и калијума, калиоризације земљишта заједно са хумизацијом и довготрајним ђубривима са физиолошки неутралном реакцијом), могуће је остварити високе приносе који се приближавају његовом биолошком потенцијалу за принос.

Кључне речи: лесивирено земљиште, кромпир, агрофизичке особине, агрохемијске особине.

1. Introduction

Luvisols or leached soils belong to the group of automorphic soils, and to the class of eluvial-illuvial soils with the A-E-B-C profile. According to the international system of soil classification (World Reference Base for Soil Resources – WRB), this type of soil is defined as Alfisol or Albicluvisol. These soils are characterised by prolonged clay migration/leaching from the surface layers of soils into deeper layers, as well as by CaCO₃ leaching (dealkalisation), which results in the formation of the eluvial E and illuvial B horizons, which are rich in phyllosilicates. Therefore, typical luvisols have an A-E-Bt-C or A-E-Bt-(B)-C profile structure unless the process of gleyisation is present (Dugalić and Gajić, 2012).

Physical properties of luvisols are non-uniform and depend on regions in which these soils are present, as well as on the parent material. According to Kapović-Solomun and Eremita (2017) and Tomić et al. (2011), there are two subtypes of leached soils depending on the parent material on which they have developed: 1) luvisols formed on silicate or silicate-calcareous substrate and 2) luvisols formed on limestone or dolomite. Correspondingly, physical and chemical properties also differ. However, Dugalić and Gajić (2012) further classified luvisols in the following subtypes taking into account the direction of their additional development: typical leached soils, pseudogleyic soils and podzolic leached soils. A humus accumulative Ah horizon is most often sandy-loam (Bordević et al., 2011), i.e. coarse to medium silty loam (Dugalić and Gajić, 2012). Unlike the surface Ah horizon, the Bt horizon is richer in clay by 1.5 times (Bordević et al., 2011), and therefore it is of a heavier soil texture (heavy loam to clay). If a process of pseudo-
Gleyisation occurs and if upper groundwater is formed above the Bt horizon, then it is designated as Bg horizon (Kapović-Solomun and Eremija, 2017). Water and air properties of typical luvisols vary, and they are significantly poorer in the Bt horizon, but, unlike pseudo-gley luvisols, they are characterised by relatively good water permeability, and there is no formation of upper groundwater. As found by Dugalić et al. (2011), water capacity is medium, as is the aeration of the Ah horizon. Water capacity is the highest in the eluvial horizon, 15–20 vol% (Driessen et al., 2001).

Chemical properties of these soils are quite non-uniform and unfavourable, depending on the parental material and weather conditions. The active acidity of the surface horizon varies from pH 5.5 to pH 6 (Đorđević et al., 2011), while the corresponding pH values range from 4.7 to 6.5, with the acidity not exceeding 5.5 in the majority of observed soil samples (Dugalić and Gajić, 2012). The illuvial horizon (Bt) has a slightly higher pH value (6–6.5) (Đorđević et al., 2011), which is in accordance with the results obtained by Kapović-Solomun and Eremija (2017), who determined that the acidity increased at greater depths at almost all soil sampling sites. The humus content in the Ah horizon of leached soil significantly varies from 1% to over 8% (Dugalić and Gajić, 2012), but it usually does not exceed 2–3% (Kapović-Solomun and Eremija, 2017). With regard to the supply of soil base cations, Dugalić and Gajić (2012) and Kapović-Solomun and Eremija (2017) indicated that luvisol was rather poorly supplied, including high deficits of bases, especially in the humus accumulative horizon. Slightly more favourable ion adsorption capacity occurred in luvisols formed on limestone.

Potato can be grown on a number of soil types, achieving its maximum biological potential of 40 t ha⁻¹ in intensive production, which points to its great adaptability (Bošković-Rakošević et al., 2018). It is generally grown on acid to slightly acid soils with pH 5.2–6.4. Regarding soil texture, the biological potential of potato is best expressed on coarse to medium heavy loams and coarse clays, while tuber growth and development are poorer on heavy clays, which are usually water-impermeable, and therefore the yield is lower. Skeletal soils are not suitable for potato cultivation (Mcharlin, 2014), but potato growth is possible on sandy soils under treatments involving timely, regular irrigation and fertilisation with organic fertilisers prior to planting during primary tillage. With regard to soil moisture, the soil should be water permeable, i.e. have good filtration ability, and be well aerated.

The objective of this study was to determine the suitability of leached soils in the region of Western Serbia for potato cultivation, based on the obtained values of observed agrophysical and agrochemical properties.

2. Materials and methods

The samples were drawn in the region of the Radočelo mountain massif (slopes of Mount Golija 44°34' N, 19°46' E, 1100 masl) in 2020, in order to observe the agrophysical and agrochemical properties of leached soil. Three soil profiles were opened and samples were drawn in the following order: in the first soil profile, disturbed samples were drawn to study soil texture at the depths of 0–30 cm, 30–50 cm, 50–70 cm and 70–90 cm. It was noticed that the given soil had a structure of the Ah-AE-Bt-C profile. In the second soil profile, three undisturbed soil samples were drawn in Kopecky cylinders from each soil horizon in order to determine porosity, bulk density/volume weight, specific gravity, packing density, pore pressure coefficient, as well as pore diameter. In the third soil profile, three disturbed samples were drawn for testing water and air properties of the soil at the depths of 0–30 cm, 30–50 cm and 50–70 cm. Moreover, three undisturbed samples were drawn in Kopecky cylinders for testing water filtration in the horizons. In order to observe basic agrochemical properties, samples were drawn from the second soil profile at the depths of 0–25 cm, 25–40 cm, 40–60 cm and 60–90 cm.

Testing of agrophysical and agrochemical properties was performed at the Institute of Soil Science in Belgrade using the following methods: for soil texture testing, the sieve method was applied for large fractions (%) and the pipette method (sedimentation in stagnant water) for small fractions (%), while filtration (cm⁻¹) was determined by the water column constant pressure laboratory method. The following laboratory methods were used to determine agrochemical properties: the Kvtzman method for the humus content; potentiometric method for active (pH₁₀) and exchangeable (pH₃₅Cl⁻) acidity; the Kappen method for hydrodytic acidity (Y₁); the Egenes-Riehm Al method for readily available phosphorus (colourimetrically) and potassium (flamephotometrically). The values of the remaining properties were calculated.

3. Results and discussions

As shown by the obtained data (Table 1), the soil texture of the Ah horizon at the depth of 0–30 cm was characterised as light/coarse clay (according to the two-member classification based on the clay content, Dugalić and Gajić (2012)) with the dominance of physical clay fractions (53.15%). The humus accumulative horizon was slightly skeletal, and a significant amount of gravel and sand fractions was present. The percentage of colloidal clay in the transitional AhE horizon was slightly smaller than in the humus accumulative horizon, while the silt content was increased, which affected water and air properties, but the horizon still belonged to coarse clays according to the classification.

The clay fraction increased (35.12 %) in the Bt horizon at the depth of 50–70 cm, as previously determined by Đorđević et al. (2011). The content of colloidal clay was also high at the depth of 70–90 cm and the soil was classified into coarse clays. Kapović-Solomun et al. (2015) tested the soil on serpentinites and determined that the humus accumulative horizon of leached soil was of a loamy texture, as was the eluvial horizon, while the illuvial horizon was of a somewhat heavier, loamy-clay texture, and contained a significant amount of serpentinite fragments. Knežević and Košanin (2006) pointed out that observed luvisols varied from silty clays to silty loams.
Water and air properties of leached soil

The obtained data revealed that the porosity of the observed soil was very high at the depth of 0–30 cm (59.93%; classification according to Pelišek (1964)), while it decreased with increasing depth, and it was moderate at the depths of 30–50 cm and 50–70 cm. Bulk density in the humus accumulative horizon was low and indicated that the soil texture was favourable, while it increased with increasing depth, and thus soil compaction was greater (Đugalić and Gajić, 2012), which was the case with the analysed leached soil.

Table 1.
Texture of leached soils

| Number of profiles | Horizon | Depth cm | Pore diameter, in µm | Total porosity | Bulk density Mgm⁻³ | Specific gravity Mgm⁻³ | Packing density Mgm⁻³ | Pore pressure coefficient |
|--------------------|---------|----------|----------------------|----------------|-------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|
|                    |         |          | >30 | 30–10 | 10–3 | < 3 |                  |                    |                         |                         |
| 1                  | Ah      | 0–30     | 7.10 | 7.00  | 3.33  | 42.50 | 59.93 | 1.07 | 2.67 | 1.24 | 1.50 |
|                    | AhE     | 30–50    | 6.83 | 4.80  | 3.27  | 36.22 | 51.12 | 1.31 | 2.68 | 1.55 | 1.05 |
|                    | Bt      | 50–70    | 4.60 | 2.47  | 1.67  | 41.81 | 50.55 | 1.33 | 2.69 | 1.64 | 1.02 |

With regard to the specific gravity of the tested soil (Table 2), there were more mineral particles than organic ones, and their values were practically equal throughout the depth. The packing density of particles depends on the clay content fraction and bulk density (Belić et al., 2014); in this study, packing density in the Ah horizon was lower than in the transitional AhE and Bt horizons, and therefore the soil was less compacted than in the other two horizons, in which the soil was moderately compacted. The pore pressure coefficient also indicated compaction/porosity, which confirms the fact that the soil in the humus accumulative horizon is loose, while the deeper horizons are somewhat denser.

The air capacity of the tested luvisol (Table 3) was good, very good and moderate in Ah, AhE and Bt horizons, respectively, according to the British criteria, while it was high in Ah and AhE horizons, and low in the Bt horizon under the German criteria. In addition, the observed soil had very high water retention capacity at the depth of 0–70 cm (318 mm) after the runoff of gravitational water. The values of wilting moisture confirmed that the given soil was predominately clayey due to its good ability to retain water. As calculated, the best retention and the highest availability of water were in the humus accumulative horizon, primarily due to the presence of humus (5.91%, Table 4). With regard to filtration capacities, the obtained values were in the range of optimal, ecologically desirable values (Đugalić and Gajić, 2012).

Table 2.
Basic physical properties of analysed luvisol

| Number of profiles | Depth cm | Pore diameter, in µm | Total porosity | Bulk density Mgm⁻³ | Specific gravity Mgm⁻³ | Packing density Mgm⁻³ | Pore pressure coefficient |
|--------------------|----------|----------------------|----------------|-------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|
|                    |          | >30 | 30–10 | 10–3 | < 3 |                  |                    |                         |                         |
| 1                  | Ah       | 0–30 | 7.10 | 7.00  | 3.33  | 42.50 | 59.93 | 1.07 | 2.67 | 1.24 | 1.50 |
|                    | AhE      | 30–50| 6.83 | 4.80  | 3.27  | 36.22 | 51.12 | 1.31 | 2.68 | 1.55 | 1.05 |
|                    | Bt       | 50–70| 4.60 | 2.47  | 1.67  | 41.81 | 50.55 | 1.33 | 2.69 | 1.64 | 1.02 |

Table 3.
Water and air properties of leached soil

| Number of profiles | Horizon | Depth cm | Air capacity | Water retention capacity | Wilting moisture | Water available to plants | Filtration, cms⁻¹ |
|--------------------|---------|----------|--------------|--------------------------|-----------------|--------------------------|------------------|
|                    |         |          | %            | % mm                     | % mm           | % mm                     |                  |
| 1                  | Ah      | 0–30     | 14.10        | 49.27 | 148 | 29.26 | 88 | 20.01 | 60 | 2.90*10⁻⁴ |
|                    | AhE     | 30–50    | 16.63        | 39.80 | 80 | 19.07 | 38 | 20.73 | 42 | 2.01*10⁻⁴ |
|                    | Bt      | 50–70    | 7.07         | 45.17 | 90 | 23.65 | 47 | 21.52 | 43 | 1.85*10⁻⁴ |
|                    | 0–70    | -        | -            | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |

The observed physical traits of leached soil are very favourable for potato cultivation. A loose humus accumulative horizon with favourable water and air properties is characteristic of leached soils, as is the eluvial horizon, while the illuvial horizon with a somewhat more clayey faction is looser in comparison with typical leached soils.

Despite the favourable physical properties, the chemical properties of leached soils are somewhat less favourable (Table 4). The content of P₂O₅ (3.7 mg 100 g⁻¹ in the Ah horizon) was low, which is a typical property of leached soil. Due to its poorer mobility in the soil, P₂O₅ was detected in minimum amounts at depths as low as 25–40 cm, while no amounts were detected at greater depths. Similar results were obtained by Kapović-Solomun and Eremija (2017), who detected a somewhat higher content of organically bound P₂O₅ in the ochric horizon, while its content abruptly decreased at depths as low as 14–45 cm, i.e. in the eluvial horizon. Leached soils were well supplied with available potassium (22.6 mg 100 g⁻¹ soil at the depth of 0–25 cm). Furthermore, a good supply of K₂O was observed in the Bt horizon due to the presence of a larger amount of phyllosilicates – clay minerals rich in K₂O.

In addition to P₂O₅, potato cultivation was limited by a slightly more acidic soil solution, where active acidity was highest in the humus accumulative horizon (pH H2O 4.8), and gently decreased with increasing depth.
Exchangeable acidity was rather constant throughout the soil depth and averaged 3.9. Hydrolytic acidity was very high (47.35 cm³), which indicated the necessity of basal liming/calcification. As reported by Gudžić et al. (2017), the application of various rates of CaO, hydrolytic acidity significantly decreased in proportion to the applied rate. The necessity of basal liming was indicated by the degree of saturation of the soil with adsorbed base cations in the Ah horizon that was moderately base unsaturated (31.31 %), and increasing depth resulted in an increased degree of base saturation due to the greater presence of phyllosilicates in relation to the plough layer.

Table 4. Basic chemical properties of observed leukisol

| Depth, cm | Hum % | YI cm³ | T-S | S | T | pH H₂O | K₂O | mg 100 g⁻¹ P₂O₅ |
|----------|-------|--------|-----|---|---|--------|-----|--------------|
| 0–25     | 5.91  | 47.35  | 30.78| 14.03 | 44.81 | 31.31 | 4.8 | 3.9 | 22.6 | 3.7 |
| 25–40    | 1.82  | 27.76  | 18.04| 14.37 | 32.41 | 44.35 | 5.1 | 4.1 | 12.1 | 0.1 |
| 40–60    | 0.81  | 24.51  | 15.93| 19.52 | 35.45 | 55.06 | 5.3 | 4.0 | 22.0 | 0.0 |
| 60–90    | 0.51  | 29.02  | 29.02| 22.08 | 40.94 | 53.93 | 5.3 | 3.9 | 23.3 | 0.0 |

According to Dugalić and Gajić (2012), the cation exchange capacity (CEC or T) and values presented in Table 4 indicated that it was a clayey and poorly humus soil mainly consisting of phyllosilicates that did not have a high adsorption power, as previously determined by Kapović-Solomun and Eremija (2017). These values suggest that Al³⁺ and Mn²⁺ ions were present in the soil (Nduwumuremyi et al., 2013). If present at high concentrations, these ions can be phytotoxic. The sum of exchangeable bases (S) was correlated with the cation exchange capacity, and its values were slightly lower; therefore, taking into account all the determined values, the properties of the adsorptive soil complex were found to be favourable. The humus content in the Ah horizon was high (5.91%), and abruptly decreased with increasing depth. These results showed that the chemical properties of the tested leached soil were somewhat less favourable for potato growing, but that potato can be grown successfully if proper agroameliorative practices, such as basal liming, manuring and NPK fertilisation, are used (Bošković-Rakočević et al. 2018).

4. Conclusions

Leached soils of Western Serbia are suitable for potato cultivation, because their agrophysical properties suit the growth of potato. On the other hand, agrochemical properties are unsatisfactory in some parameters (a low content of available phosphorus, high values of active, exchangeable and hydrolytic acidity), but their proper improvement can lead to high yields that approach the biological potential of potato. Potato can be cultivated on leukisol if proper amounts of complex NPK fertilisers with increased phosphorus and potassium levels are timely applied, coupled with basal liming treatments, manuring and the use of physiologically neutral nitrogen fertilisers.
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