The impact of fire on the forest and plants diversity in Iranian Oak forest

Abas Jamshidi Bakhtar¹*, Khosro Sagheb-Talebi ², Mohamad Reza Marvi Mohajer ² and Maziar Haidari ³

¹M.Sc. Graduated of silviculture and forest ecology, University of Tehran, Karadj, Iran, 
²Department of Forestry, Faculty of Natural Resources, University of Tehran, Karaj, Iran, 
³Ph.D. student of forestry, Department of forestry, Sari University of Agricultural Sciences and Natural Resources, sari, Iran.

ABSTRACT

Fire, as a natural ecological disturbance factor in forest, this study located in the Marivan region, Northern Zagros forest, and western Iranian state of Kurdistan. In each burned and unburned area 30 circle sample plot (1000 m²) were collected by randomized–systematic method in the 100×200 m net (in total 60 plots). In every sample plot the kind of species, number of tree, the height of coppice shoot, the diameter of coppice shoot at breast height, tree crown diameter, shrub and regeneration information (i.e. coppice and seed provenance) were recorded. In the sample plots the micro plots of 2 m by 2 m (i.e. area of 4 m²) were designed and herb information was recorded then. Species diversity indexes including Shannon Wiener (H'), Simpson (1-D) and Margaleff (R₁) were used to evaluate plant diversity in each sample plot. Data analyzing was done by SPSS16 and Ecological Methodological software’s. In this forest have 79 plant species, which consist of 6 trees, 4 shrubs and 69 herbaceous species. Results indicated that Compositae families have the highest number of species. Herbaceous layer in burned area has higher the unburned area, but shrub layers in the unburned area higher the burned area. T-test analysis indicated the differences between diversity indexes in the shrub and Herbaceous in the two areas were statistically significant, but differences between diversity indexes in the tree layer in the two areas not statistically significant. Herbaceous layer had the highest richness, evenness and diversity in the vegetation layer.

Key words: Burned area, Fire, Marivan region, Oak forest, Plant diversity, Zagros forest.

INTRODUCTION

With due attention to climate conditions of Iran that 65% area includes arid and semi-arid and degradation rapid of north and west, because of degradation of natural resources will cause to degradation agricultural lands and human environmental (Dastmalchi, 1998, Zabiholahii et al, 2012, Haidari et al, 2012, Haidari et al, 2013a and Askari et al, 2013a). Forests cover about 12 million ha in Iran (Forest and Rangeland Organization, 2002; Haidari et al, 2013b, Haidari et al, 2013c), including 5 million ha in the mountainous Zagros region. The major element of Zagros
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forest destruction include: fire, grazing, farm operation in forest, fuel wood and timber, mining, semi-parasite plant and non-wood forest production (Jazirei and Ebrahimi Rastaghi, 2003, Haidari et al, 2013d, Haidari et al, 2013e, Bazyar et al, 2013a, Parma and Shataei, 2013). Increasing population, low level of development and high dependence of local communities on forests for their primary livelihood needs, are the main reasons of this destruction. The lack of regeneration in these forests is a major concern (Fattahi 1994, Jazirei and Ebrahimi Rastaghi, 2003, Bazyar et al, 2013b, Rezaei et al, 2013, Askari et al, 2013c, Haidari et al, 2012b, Haidari et al, 2012c). For centuries, these ecosystems have been subject to much human activity, such as cutting to obtain wood, and clearing and fire. Recurrent fires have seen an enormous increase in frequency over the recent decades and they are the main disturbances to this ecosystem (Luis-Calabuig et al., 2000). Disturbances such as fire, windstorms, floods, and grazing play a role in the maintenance of species diversity that has become well recognized in ecological theory (Mackey and Currie, 2000). Biodiversity has been an important objective of forest management because it provides a broader array of ecosystem services (Hooper et al., 2005). Effects of Fire on vegetation are usually the most obvious impacts of burning. Fire affects natural ecosystems by consuming plants, altering successional patterns, and changing vegetative resources such as timber, forage and wildlife habitats (DeBano et al, 1978). Burning alone can result in increased forb abundance (Wienk et al, 2004) grained abundance and under story species richness (Laughlin et al, 2004). Many studies have been carried out on plant biodiversity indices in Iran and around the world. The zagros where fire occurs in 300-400 ha annually (Anonymous, 2002). Atrakchaiee, (2000) proclaimed that fire increased herbal species cover in burned area but did not effect on biodiversity indices in temperate forest of northeast of Iran. Banj Shafiei et al (2006) study effect of fire on herbal layer biodiversity in a temperate forest of northern Iran and results showed the biodiversity indices and coverage percent of shade tolerant species in unburned area were higher then burned area. Pourreza et al (2009) investigated on the preliminary results of post fire re sprouting of manna oak (Quercus brantii Lindl.) in the Zagros forests and results showed that post-fire re sprouting is positively related to the number of pre-fire sprouts and the fire intensity. In pine/oak forest USA, most post fire under story dominants were previously inconspicuous or absent from the wetter communities and these species increased significantly more than others (Plocher, 1999). The burned plots in ponderosa pine/Douglas-fire forest had reduced species richness and cover of the under story in early years after fire, however after three years, richness increased to the level of the unburned plots. Simpson evenness increased in subsequent years (Metlen and Fiedler, 2005). In another research that was conducted bay sanghoon et al (1997) cited that richness and evenness indices were higher in burned area than unburned area on year after burning in mixed broad leaves oak forest. Also richness index in burned pine/oak forest was higher compare with control (Mehta et al, 1997).These are mostly surface fire and effect mainly undergrowth and young trees. Despite such fires, there are unfortunately limited scientific studies or published papers about investigation of fire effects on temperate forests in Iran. Undergrowth biodiversity could help scientists determine if forest fire influences the presence or absence of certain plant species. Biodiversity is useful to understand the distribution of new and native species in the study area. The present study was conducted to assess the status of plant biodiversity in both burned and unburned area using biodiversity indices. In this study we compared the effects of fire on plant diversity in Zagros vegetation, Marivan region, Kurdistan province, Northern Zagros forest.
MATERIAL AND METHODS

Site description

This research was investigated in the Marivan region, Northern Zagros forest, and western Iranian state of Kurdistan (Fig. 2). Mean annual precipitation is 909.5 mm, ranging from 590.8 to 1422.2 mm, Mean annual temperature is 13.3º C, and the length of dry season is 4 month (based on embrothermic curve) from June to August. Type of climate is sub humid with cold winters in the basis of Emberger’s formula (Pourbabaei and Navgran, 2011). For this study, the Fire event occurred in 2007 where 15 ha of forest were burned in 1 day, field data and sample collection was in 2008.

Analysis

In each burned and unburned area 30 circle sample plot (1000 m²) were collected by randomized–systematic method in the 100×200 m net (in total 60 plots). In every sample plot the kind of species, number of tree, the height of coppice shoot, the diameter of coppice shoot at breast height, tree crown diameter, shrub and regeneration information (i.e. coppice and seed provenance) were recorded. In the sample plots the micro plots of 2 m by 2 m (i.e. area of 4 m²) were designed and herb information was recorded then. Species diversity indexes including Shannon Wiener ($H'$) and Margaleff ($R_1$) were used to evaluate plant diversity in each sample plot.
(table 1). The means of different between diversity indexes in the two sites were estimated by t-test. Data analyzing was done by SPSS16 and Ecological Methodological software’s.

**Table 1:** Biodiversity Indices used in this paper

| Indices          | References | Equation |
|------------------|------------|----------|
| Shannon (H)      | (Peet, 1974) | $H = \sum p_l \ln(p_l)$ |
| Simpson (1-D)    | (Peet, 1974) | $1 - D = \left( \sum p_l \right)^2$ |
| Margaleff (R)    | (Ejtehadi, 2009) | $R = \frac{s - 1}{\ln(n)}$ |

*S and pi refer to total number of species in the sample and proportion of individuals in the species, respectively.

**RESULTS**

Biodiversity measurement is recognized as guidance for conservation plans in local scale. Species biodiversity is used greatly in vegetation studies, and environmental evaluation is one of the main criteria to determine ecosystems condition (Mirdavoodi and Zahedi Pour 2005).

**Table 2:** List of Scientific and Family name of plant species in the burned and unburned areas.

| Unburned area | Burned area | Tree/Shrub | Family name | Scientific name | N | O |
|---------------|-------------|------------|-------------|-----------------|---|---|
| *             | *           | Tree       | Fagaceae    | *Quercus libani* Oliv | 1 |   |
| *             | *           | Tree       | Fagaceae    | *Quercus infectoria* Oliv. | 2 |   |
| *             | *           | Tree       | Fagaceae    | *Quercus Brantii* Lindl. | 3 |   |
| *             | *           | Shrub      | Rosaceae    | *Cerasus microcarpa* | 4 |   |
| *             | *           | Tree       | Rosaceae    | *Crataegus meyeri* | 5 |   |
| *             | *           | Shrub      | Caprifoliaceae | *Lonicera nummularifolia* Jaub & spach. | 6 |   |
| *             | *           | Tree       | Aceraceae   | *Acer Monspessulanum* L. Subsp. cinerascens (Boiss) | 7 |   |
| *             | *           | Shrub      | Rosaceae    | *Cotoneaster nummularius* Fisch & Mey. | 8 |   |
| *             | *           | Shrub      | Rosaceae    | *Rosa canina* | 9 |   |
| *             | *           | Tree       | Rosaceae    | *Pyrus communis* L. | 10 |   |
| *             | *           | Herb       | Compositae  | *Achillea millefolium* L. | 11 |   |
| *             | *           | Herb       | Gramineae   | *Aegilops triuncilis* L. | 12 |   |
| *             | *           | Herb       | Gramineae   | *Aegilops cylindrica* Host. | 13 |   |
| *             | *           | Herb       | Aristolochiaceae | *Aristolochia bottae* Jaub & spach. | 14 |   |
| *             | *           | Herb       | Crucifereae | *Alyssum sp.* | 15 |   |
| *             | *           | Herb       | Compositae  | *Anthemis hauss knechtii* Boiss & Reut. | 16 |   |
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| * | * | Herb | Compositae | *Anthemis tinctoria* L. | 17 |
| * | Herb | Pappilionaceae | *Astragalus* sp. | 18 |
| * | * | Herb | Liliaceae | *Bellevia pycantha.* | 19 |
| * | Herb | Gramineae | *Bromus Danthonia* Trin. | 20 |
| * | * | Herb | Gramineae | *Bromus sterilis* L. | 21 |
| * | * | Herb | Campanulaceae | *Campanula ceceli* Rech. F. | 22 |
| * | * | Herb | Crucifereae | *Capsela bursa-pastoris* (L.) Medicus. | 23 |
| * | Herb | Compositae | *Centarea iberica* Trev. | 24 |
| * * | Herb | Rosaceae | *Cerasus* sp. | 25 |
| * | * | Herb | Umbelliferæ | *Chaerophyllum sp. macropodum* | 26 |
| * | * | Herb | Umbelliferæ | *Chaerophyllum tuberosum.* | 27 |
| * | * | Herb | Umbelliferæ | *Conium maculatum* L. | 28 |
| * | Herb | Pappilionaceae | *Coronilla varia* L. | 29 |
| * * | Herb | Compositae | *Cretaegus meyeri* | 30 |
| * | * | Herb | Compositae | *Crepis sanctus* | 31 |
| * | * | Herb | Gramineae | *Dactylis glomerata* L. | 32 |
| * | * | Herb | Euphorbiaceae | *Euphorbia orientalis* L. | 33 |
| * | Herb | Umbelliferæ | *Eryngium billardieri* F. | 34 |
| * | * | Herb | Umbelliferæ | *Eryngium bungei* Boiss. | 35 |
| * | Herb | Euphorbiaceae | *Euphorbia szovitsii.* | 36 |
| * | * | Herb | Gramineae | *Ferula macrocolea* Bioss. | 37 |
| * | Herb | Gramineae | *Festuca* sp. | 38 |
| * | * | Herb | Fumariaceae | *Fumaria asepala* Boiss. | 39 |
| * | Herb | Graninaceae | *Geranium tuberosum* L. | 40 |
| * | * | Herb | Compositae | *Gundelia tournefortii* L. | 41 |
| * | Herb | Cistaceae | *Heilantherum ledifolium* Miller. | 42 |
| * | Herb | Gramineae | *Hordeum violaceum.* | 43 |
| * | * | Herb | Gramineae | *Hordeum sp.* | 44 |
| * | Herb | Hypericaceae | *Hyoscyamus arachnoides.* | 45 |
| * | * | Herb | Umbelliferæ | *Jorinea macrocephala.* | 46 |
| * | * | Herb | Compositae | *Lactuca serriola.* | 47 |
| * | Herb | Pappilionaceae | *Lathyrus sphaericus.* | 48 |
| * | * | Herb | Pappilionaceae | *Lens cyanea.* | 49 |
| * | Herb | Gramineae | *Lolium rigidum* Gaudin. | 50 |
| * * | Herb | Pappilionaceae | *Lotus corniculatus* L. | 51 |
| * | Herb | Malvaceae | *Malva neglecta* Wallr. | 52 |
| * * | Herb | Caryophyllaceae | *Minuartia* sp. | 53 |
| * | * | Herb | Boraginaceae | *Onosma microcarpum* DC. | 54 |
| * | Herb | Papaveraceae | *Papaver orientalis.* | 55 |
| * * | Herb | Papaveraceae | *Papaver sp.* | 56 |
| * | Herb | Labiatae | *Phlomis persica.* | 57 |
| * | * | Herb | Compositae | *Picnomen Acarna* Cass. | 58 |
| * | * | Herb | Umbelliferæ | *Pimpinella olivieri* Vill. | 59 |
| * | Herb | Gramineae | *Poa bulbosa* L. | 60 |
The tree species identified in the region studied belonged to six tree species in the three Families. In this forest have 79 plant species, which consist of 6 trees, 4 shrubs and 69 herbaceous species. (Table 2).

Figure 2: The number of plant species in the plant family in the study area.

The tree, shrub and herbaceous species belonged to 21 families and 79 species were identified in the study area (Table 2). Thus for the classes of Compositae, Gramineae, Umbelliferae,
Pappilionaceae, Labiateae, twelve, twelve, ten, eight and three species were existed and have larger number of species, respectively (Fig. 2).

**Fig. 3:** Mean diversity index in the: (a) Herbaceous (b) Shrub and (c) tree layer in the burned and unburned area.

A result showed in the herbaceous layer in burned area has higher the unburned area, but shrub layers in the unburned area higher the burned area (Fig.3). Tree layer mean diversity index in burned area as well as than unburned area. T-test analysis indicated the differences between diversity indexes in the shrub and Herbaceous in the two areas were statistically significant, but differences between diversity indexes in the tree layer in the two areas not statistically significant (table 3).

**Fig. 4:** The means of diversity indices in the vegetation layer.

Results Figure 4 showed Herbaceous layer had the highest richness, evenness and diversity in the vegetation layer.
The results of Figure 5 showed that the computed plant species diversity index is as follows as: mean species Shannon index: 1.90, Simpson index: 0.77 and Margaleff index: 3.06.

Table 3: The results of t-test to compared the means diversity index in the vegetation layer in the burned and unburned areas.

| Vegetation Layers | Diversity index | DF  | F       | Sig.    |
|-------------------|-----------------|-----|---------|---------|
| Tree              | Shannon(H)      | 29  | 1492.34 | 0.065** |
|                   | Simpson (1-D)   | 29  | 87.12   | 0.07**  |
|                   | Margaleff (R)   | 29  | 1904.39 | 0.056** |
| Shrub             | Shannon(H)      | 29  | 984.31  | 0.005** |
|                   | Simpson (1-D)   | 29  | 106.63  | 0.045   |
|                   | Margaleff (R)   | 29  | 1173.51 | 0.000***|
| Herbaceous        | Shannon(H)      | 29  | 3095.49 | 0.000***|
|                   | Simpson (1-D)   | 29  | 298.34  | 0.003   |
|                   | Margaleff (R)   | 29  | 4934.86 | 0.000***|

* Different letters indicate significant differences in 5% level, ** Different letters indicate significant differences in 1% level.

Result table 3 indicated the differences between diversity indexes in the shrub and Herbaceous in the two areas were statistically significant, but differences between diversity indexes in the tree layer in the two areas not statistically significant.

**DISCUSSION**

Disturbances such as fire, windstorms, floods, and grazing play a role in the maintenance of species diversity that has become well recognized in ecological theory (Huston 1994, Mackey and Currie 2000). Fires have negative impacts on native plant diversity, with varying effects on species and ecosystems, including the potential for localized extinction (Franklin et al., 2005). Fire was historically a major influence on landscape patterns and species diversity in the forests (Delcourt and Delcourt, 1997). One of the serious threats to most of the Iranian ecosystems is drought, because much of Iran lies in the arid or semi-arid regions. The other threats for plants
are: fire, overgrazing, fuel wood extraction, conversion of forest and other wild lands for agriculture, road construction, overexploitation, and unscientific extraction of plant resources for medicine and food. A total of 79 plant species were found in the studied area, of which 10 woody species (6 trees, 4 shrubs) and 69 herbaceous species existed (Table 2). Also, it can be deduced from Table 2 that Rosaceae and Fagaceae families play an important role in among woody species. Moreover, Compositae and Gramineae families were most abundant amongst herbaceous species. Results showed in this study area Compositae family have higher number of species in the study area (Fig. 2). Results showed herbaceous layer in burned area has higher the unburned area, but shrub layers in the unburned area higher the burned area. Tree layer mean diversity index in burned area as well as than unburned area. T-test analysis indicated the differences between diversity indexes in the shrub and Herbaceous in the two areas were statistically significant, but differences between diversity indexes in the tree layer in the two areas not statistically significant (table 3). Fire has negative effect on the shrub species diversity and reduce diversity but have positive effect on the Herbaceous diversity. In this study area herbaceous layer had the highest richness, evenness and diversity in the vegetation layer (fig.4). This study showed that the computed plant species diversity index is as follows as: mean species Shannon index: 1.90, Simpson index: 0.77 and Margaleff index: 3.06. Therefore, Margaleff index has highest average in this study area. Sanghoon et al (1997) and Mehta et al (1997) showed that plant diversity in the burned higher the unburned area and in our study emphasis this research. Banj Shafiee et al (2006) showed the biodiversity indices and coverage percent of shade tolerant species in unburned area were higher then burned area but our study showed plant diversity in the burned higher the unburned area.

CONCLUSION

Fire, as natural ecological disturbance factors in forest, in this study plant diversity (herb and shrub layer) were higher in the burned area and fire has positive effect on the plant diversity in the Oak forest.

Acknowledgements

We thank Mr. Sorosh Zabiholahli for their help in the field and we thank Dr. Manocher Namiranian and Mr. Hossain Marofi for their help in the analysis of data.

REFERENCES

Askari. A, Kafash Saei. E, Delpasand. S, Rezaei.D. (2013c), Evaluation of Crategus sp. spatial pattern in the Central Zagros Forest, International journal of Advanced Biological and Biomedical Research, 1(2),179-185.

Askari. Y., Parsapour. M.K., hosseni. Z. (2013). Modeling of Suitability Iranian Oak site for establish of coppice regeneration in Zagros forest. International journal of Advanced Biological and Biomedical Research (IJABBR), 1(1): 61-70.
Anonymous, 2002. Statistical Yearly Report. Management and planning Organization of Iran, 156p.

Atrakchaieem. A, 2000. Fire effects on vegetation changes in Golestan National Park, Iran. Forestry M. Sc. Thesis, University of Mazandaran, 85p.

Banj Shafiei, Abbas., Akbarinia, Moslem., Jalali, Seyyed Gholamali., Azizi Pirouz and Hosseini, Seyyed Mohsen, 2006. Effect of Fire on Herbal Layer Biodiversity in a Temperate Forest of Northern Iran. Pakistan Journal of Biological Sciences, 9(12): 2273-2277.

Bazyar. M., Bonyad. A., Babaie Kafaki. S. (2013a). Study of most element of forest destruction by used the IRS-1C and LANDSAT image in the southern zagros forest (Case study: Kohkeloeye and Boveirahmad province). International journal of Advanced Biological and Biomedical Research (IJABBR), 1(1): 35-44.

Bazyar. M., Haidari. M., Shabanian. N., Haidari. R.H. (2013b). Impact of physiographical factors on the plant species diversity in the Northern Zagros Forest (Case study, Kurdistan Province, Marivan region). Annals of Biological Research, 4 (1):317-324.

Dastmalchi, M., 1998. Investigation compatibility experimental of tree species Ardabil province. Jangal and Senoubar J. Inst. For. Ranglands Res, NO.203: 168.

Debano, L.F., Conrad, C.E, 1978. The effect of fire on nutrients in a chaparral ecosystem. Ecology, 59: 489-497.

Delcourt H. R., Delcourt P. A, 1997. Pre-Columbian Native American use of fire on southern Appalachian landscapes. Conservation Biology, 11: 1010-1014.

Ejtehadi, H., Sepehry, A., Akkafi, HR, 2009. Method of measuring biodiversity. Ferdowsi University of Mashhad Publication No. 530. Mashhad, Iran.

Fattahi, M, 1994. Study on Zagros oak forests and the most important their destruction causes. Institute of Forests and Rangelands Research press. Sanandaj. Iran.

Franklin, J., Syphard, A.D., Hong, S.H., Mladenoff, D.J., 2005. Altered fire regimes affect landscape patterns of plant succession in the foothills and mountains of southern California. Ecosystems, 8: 885–898.

Haidari. M., Jalivand. H., Haidari. R.H., Shabanian. N., (2012 a). Study of Plant Biodiversity in Grazed and Non-grazed Areas in the Iran-o-Turanian Ecological Zones (Case Study: Yazd Province, IRAN). Annals of Biological Research, 3 (11):5019-5027.

Haidari. M., Namiranian. M., Gahramani. L., Zobeiri. M., Shabanian. N. (2013a). Study of vertical and horizontal forest structure in Northern Zagros Forest (Case study: West of Iran, Oak forest). European Journal of Experimental Biology, 3(1):268-278.

Haidari. M., Etemad. V and Khosropour. E. (2013b). Study of tree regeneration in the grazed and non-grazed areas in the Iran-o- Turanian Ecological Zones. International journal of Advanced Biological and Biomedical Research (IJABBR), 1(1): 18-24.
Haidari, M., Rezaei, D. (2013c). Study of plant diversity in the Northern Zagros forest (Case study: Marivan region). *International journal of Advanced Biological and Biomedical Research (IJABBR)*, 1(1): 1-10.

Haidari, M., Namiranian, M., Zobeiri, M and Ghahramany, L. (2013d). Evaluation of different sampling method to study of tree density (tree/hectare) in the Zagros forest. *International journal of Advanced Biological and Biomedical Research (IJABBR)*, 1(1): 11-17.

Haidari, M. (2013e). Study of herb diversity in the zagros forest (Case study: Kurdistan province). *International journal of Advanced Biological and Biomedical Research (IJABBR)*, 1(1): 25-34.

Haidrai, M., Bazyar, M., Hosseini, S.A., Haidari, R.H., Shabanian, N. (2013f), Study of forest destruction by used the diversity index in the Northern Zagros Forest (Case study: Oak forest). *International Journal of Biological & Medical Research*, 4(1): 2720-2725.

Haidari, M, Yarali, N, Shabanian, N. (2013F). Evaluation of different sampling method to study of Diameter at Breath Height in the Zagros forest, *International journal of Advanced Biological and Biomedical Research*, 1(2), 96-103.

Huston, M. A, 1994. Biological diversity. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK.

Hooper, D.U., Chapin, F.S., Ewel, J.J., Hector, A., Inchausti, P., Lavorel, S., Lawton, J.H., Lodge, D.M., Loreau, M., Naem, S., Schmid, B., Setala, H., Symstad, A.J., Vandermeer, J., Wardle, D.A. 2005. Effects of biodiversity on ecosystem functioning: a consensus of current knowledge. *Ecological Monographs*, 75: 3–35.

Jazirehi, MH., Rostaghi, EM, 2003. Silviculture in Zagros. University of Tehran Press. Tehran. 520.

Laughlin, D.C., J.D. Bakker, M.T.Stoddard, M.L.Daniels and J.D.Springer, 2004. Toward reference conditions: Wildfire effects on flora in an old-growth ponderosa pine forest, *Forest Ecol and Manage*, 192: 137-152.

Luis-Calabuig, E., Ta´ rrega, R., Calvo, L., Marcos, E., Valbuena, L., 2000. History of landscape changes in northwest Spain according to land use and management. In: Trabaud, L. (Ed.), *Life and Environment in the Mediterranean*. WIT Press, Southampton, pp. 43–86.

Mirdavoodi, HR., Zahedi Pour, H, 2005. Determination of suitable species diversity model for Meghan playa plant association and effect of some ecological factors on diversity change. *Pajuhesh & Sazandegi*, 68: 56-65.

Mackey, R. L., and D. J. Currie. 2000. A re-examination of the expected effects of disturbance on diversity. *Oikos* 88: 483–493.

Mehta, J.P., S.C. Tiwari and B.S. Bhandari, 1997. Phytosociology of woody vegetation under different management regimes in Garhwal Himalaya. *J.Trop Forest Sci*, 10: 24-34.

Metlen, K.L., C.E.Fiedler, 2005. Restoration treatment effects on the under story of Ponderosa Pine/Douglas-Fire Forest in Western Montana, USA. *Forest Ecol. Manage*, 222: 355-369.
Parma. R., Shataee. S. (2013). Estimation of species diversity of trees and shrubs using ETM+ sensor data (Case study of forests in Qalajeh Kermanshah province). *International journal of Advanced Biological and Biomedical Research*, 1(1): 71-78.

Peet, R.K. 1997. The measurement of species diversity. *Ann. Rev. Ecol, Systematics* 5, pp.285-307.

Plocher, A.E., 1999. Plant population dynamics in response to fire in long leaf pine, turkey Oak Barrens and adjacent Wetter communities in southeast Virginia. *J.Torry Bot. Soc*, 126: 213 – 225.

Pourbabaei. H., Navgran. S. 2011. Study on floristic and plant species diversity of the Lebanon oak site (Quercus libani) in the western Iran. *Biocenose Journal*, 3 (1): 15-22.

Pourreza, M., Safari, H., Khodakarami, Y., Mashayekhi, S, 2009. Preliminary results of post fire resprouting of manna oak (Quercus brantii Lindl.) in the Zagros forests, Kermanshah. *Iranian Journal of Forest and Poplar Research*, 17(2): 225-236.

Rezaei. R, Irannezhad Parizi. M.H, Jafari Kokhdan. A, Zolfaghari. R (2013), Study qualities and quantities tree parameters in the protected and non-protected areas in the Dena Biosphere Reserve, *International journal of Advanced Biological and Biomedical Research*, 1(2), 171-178.

Sanghoon, C., K.Woen and S.Che, 1997. Comparison of plant community structures in cut and uncut areas at burned area of Mt. Gnmo-San.J.Kor.Forestry Soc, 86: 509-520.

Wienk, C.L., C.H. Sieg and G.R. McPherson, 2004. Evaluating the role of cutting treatments, fire and soil seed banks in an experimental framework in Ponderosa Pine Forest of the Black Hills. *South Dakota Forest Ecol and Manage*, 192: 375-393.

Zabiholahii. S., Haidari. M., Namiranian. N., Shabanian. N. (2012). Effect of traditional forest management practices in Havare khol pattern on forest structure (Case study: Kurdistan province, Northern Zagros forest). *IOSR Journal of Pharmacy and Biological Sciences (IOSR-JPBS)*, 5(1): 42-47.