Evidence for two independent associations with type 1 diabetes at the 12q13 locus
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Genome-wide association studies have identified associations between type 1 diabetes and single-nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) at chromosome 12q13, surrounding the gene ERBB3. Our objective was to fine map this region to further localize causative variants. Re-sequencing identified more than 100 putative SNPs in an 80-kb region at 12q13. By genotyping 42 SNPs, spanning ~214 kb, in 382 affected sibling pair type 1 diabetes families, we were able to genotype or tag 67 common SNPs (MAF ≥ 0.05) identified from HapMap CEU data and CEU data from the 1000 Genomes Project, plus additional rare coding variants identified from our resequencing efforts. In all, 15 SNPs provided nominal evidence for association (P < 0.05), with type 1 diabetes. The most significant associations were observed with rs2271189 (P = 4.22 × 10^-6), located in exon 27 of the ERBB3 gene, and an intergenic SNP rs11171747 (P = 1.70 × 10^-4). Follow-up genotyping of these SNPs in 2740 multiplex type 1 diabetes families validated these findings. After analyzing variants spanning more than 200 kb, we have replicated associations from previous GWAS and provide evidence for novel associations with type 1 diabetes. The associations across this region could be entirely accounted for by two common SNPs, rs2271189 and rs11171747.
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Introduction

Type 1 diabetes is an autoimmune disease resulting from the actions of both genetic and environmental risk factors.1 Genome-wide association studies (GWAS) have identified a substantial number of loci contributing to type 1 diabetes disease susceptibility,2–7 including a location on chromosome 12q13, near the gene ERBB3.

The first report of association between single-nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) at chromosome 12q13 and type 1 diabetes identified a highly significant association with SNP rs11171739, located between the RPS26 and ERBB3 genes.2 Subsequent studies, including a meta-analysis, have replicated the reported association at rs11171739 and identified a second, more significantly associated SNP, rs2292239, located in intron 7 of ERBB3.4–8 On the basis of CEU data from the International HapMap project,9 alleles at rs11171739 and rs2292239 are correlated (r² = 0.71) and are located within a block of significant linkage disequilibrium that includes a number of additional SNPs. Although these two SNPs in or near ERBB3 provide the strongest evidence for association with type 1 diabetes, the strong linkage disequilibrium across flanking genes leaves unresolved which genes in the 12q13 region may be involved in type 1 diabetes risk. The candidate genes include ERBB3, IKZF4, RPS26 and PA2G4.

ERBB3 encodes a member of the epidermal growth factor receptor family of receptor tyrosine kinases that is capable of ligand binding, but lacks an active kinase domain. Heterodimerization of the ERBB3 protein with other members of the epidermal growth factor family results in the activation of pathways resulting in cell proliferation and differentiation. ERBB3 is also known to interact with several proteins in lymphocytes that are involved in cell signaling, such as the Syk kinases, ZAP70 and SH2B3.10 SH2B3 is, itself, also a candidate type 1 diabetes susceptibility gene based on GWAS data.8 Previous studies suggest that insulin deficiencies increase ERBB3 mRNA and protein levels.11 The protein encoded by IKZF4 is a member of the Ikaros family of DNA-binding proteins that are required for correct development of B and T lymphocytes.12 RPS26 gene expression has been suggested to influence risk for developing type 1 diabetes.13,14 The product of the fourth gene in this region, PA2G4, is ERBB3-binding protein 1 (EBP1), which interacts with the cytoplasmic domain of the ERBB3 receptor and may contribute to the transduction of growth regulatory signals.15
The distribution of confirmed SNPs in the ERBB3 region is atypically sparse. Therefore, to identify potentially disease-associated SNPs from the 12q13 region, we carried out re-sequencing of the region in type 1 diabetes patients, and extracted and evaluated sequence and SNP data from both HapMap and 1000 Genomes Project testing the SNPs identified for association with type 1 diabetes.

### Results

Re-sequencing of an 80-kb region at 12q13 identified 113 SNPs, of which 68 SNPs were present in dbSNP (Build 129). Among those SNPs not present in dbSNP, 10 were also detected in the 1000 Genomes project data, while 35 were unique to this study (Supplementary Table 1). Of the 113 variants identified by sequencing, only 5 were coding variants (rs2271189, rs773123, Novel ERBB3 Exon 6 (A/G), rs2292045 and rs2292046).

Collectively, 78 SNPs were present in data from either HapMap or the 1000 Genomes project. Of these, 26 SNPs were located in repetitive sequence or ambiguously mapped to the reference genome. Three of the known SNPs (rs66911160, rs61003310 and rs72247105) were insertions/deletions (indels). These indels are present but not validated in dbSNP, also mapped to repetitive sequence, and were detected only as discrepancies observed in assembling the reference sequence. These indels were not amenable to SNP-based genotyping, therefore they were excluded from further analyses.

A total of 42 SNPs were genotyped in 1744 individuals from 382 type 1 diabetes multiplex families. Excluding SNPs that were not designable on Illumina (Illumina Inc., San Diego, CA, USA) or Eclipse (Epoch Biosciences, Bothell, WA, USA) genotyping platforms, we were able to genotype or tag 67 common variants (MAF > 0.05) identified from HapMap CEU data and CEU data from the 1000 Genomes Project, plus additional rare coding variants identified from our re-sequencing efforts. After data cleaning, four of these SNPs (rs10876864, rs1131017, rs3759094 and rs773121) were eliminated from further analyses owing to excessive numbers of Mendelian errors, indicative of a failed assay. The remaining 38 SNPs were tested for association with type 1 diabetes. Evidence of association with type 1 diabetes was observed for 15 SNPs, with P-values ranging from 0.0219–4.22 × 10⁻⁵ (Table 1). The most significant associations were observed with rs2271189 (P = 4.22 × 10⁻⁵), a synonymous coding SNP located in exon 27 of ERBB3, and an intergenic SNP, rs11171747 (P = 1.70 × 10⁻⁶), located ~21 kb 5' of ERBB3.

Conditional analysis, using the 'main effects' test of Cordell and Clayton, was as implemented in the program UNPHASED, was used to evaluate the independence of the observed associations with type 1 diabetes. Upon conditioning on either rs2271189 or rs11171747, no additional SNPs provided residual evidence of association with type 1 diabetes (Table 1). To replicate our initial observations we further tested rs2271189 and rs11171747 for association in our complete set of 2740 type 1 diabetes families. This evidence for association with type 1 diabetes for both SNPs was again, significant (rs2271189 P = 1.06 × 10⁻⁶, OR = 1.23 (1.15–1.32); rs11171747 P = 4.24 × 10⁻⁷, OR = 0.79 (0.74–0.85)) (Table 2). Conditional analyses in this larger dataset indicate that the two SNPs are independently associated with type 1 diabetes.

### Discussion

We have investigated genetic variation across ~214 kb surrounding the ERBB3 gene at 12q13, in 382 affected sibling pair type 1 diabetes families from the Type 1 Diabetes Genetics Consortium in order to fine map potential risk variants. The association of this region with type 1 diabetes risk is already well established at genome-wide significance levels, and there is similar evidence for association in this region with rheumatoid arthritis.
Evidence of association with type 1 diabetes was observed for 15 of the 42 SNPs genotyped (Figure 1). The most statistically significant associations were observed with a synonymous coding SNP in exon 27 of \textit{ERBB3} and an intergenic SNP. Alleles at the two SNPs are common, with MAF \(= 0.35\) and are modestly correlated \((r^2 = 0.42)\). Although eight additional SNPs located outside of the coding region of \textit{ERBB3} were nominally associated with type 1 diabetes, upon conditioning on either rs2271189 or rs11171747, there was no significant residual evidence of association at these SNPs. This included rs2292239 and rs11171739 that were reported to be associated with type 1 diabetes in prior GWAS.

The distinct locations of the two implicated SNPs (23 kb apart), one within the coding region of \textit{ERBB3} and one located in the intergenic region between \textit{ZC3H10} and \textit{ESYT1}, as well as the residual evidence of association at each SNP after conditioning on the other, suggest that the two SNPs have at least some independent effects on risk. Although rs2271189 is located within the coding region of \textit{ERBB3}, it does not alter the amino acid sequence. Thus, if it is acting to affect \textit{ERBB3} function, the most plausible explanation is via an effect on mRNA expression, splicing or stability. The second SNP is not located within a known coding region.

Previous studies searching for loci affecting the quantitative expression of genes on a genome-wide basis without particular reference to type 1 diabetes (http://eqtl.uchicago.edu) have identified 21 variants within the 12q13 region of interest, as cis-acting expression quantitative trait loci (eQTLs). Of these 21 SNPs, 16 were genotyped or tagged by SNPs present in our study. Although neither rs2271189 nor rs11171747 was among the previously identified eQTLs, there is detectable linkage disequilibrium between alleles at these SNPs and four eQTL variants \((r^2 = 0.22–0.39)\). Notably, a number of these reported eQTL, while physically located within the \textit{ERBB3} gene, affect expression of nearby flanking genes, in particular the \textit{RPS26} gene. These reports suggest that caution is appropriate in interpreting the locations of the disease-associated SNPs identified here with regard to their possible effects on the expression of specific genes.

In summary, in the current study we evaluated variants spanning \(\sim 214\) kb of the \textit{IKZF4}-\textit{RPS26}-\textit{ERBB3}-

| Marker       | Minor allele frequency | Transmitted minor allele count | Untransmitted minor allele count | TDT P-value | Odds ratio (95% CI) | rs2271189 adjusted P-value | rs11171747 adjusted P-value |
|--------------|------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------|---------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|
| rs2271189    | 0.43                   | 1871                           | 1516                            | 1.06 \times 10^{-9} | 1.23 (1.15–1.32) | 1.0000                     | 0.0110                     |
| rs11171747   | 0.36                   | 1437                           | 1813                            | 4.24 \times 10^{-11} | 0.79 (0.74–0.85) | 0.0301                     | 1.0000                     |

\(\text{Adjusted } P\)-values are calculated using the main effects option implemented in the program UNPHASED.

Figure 1 Association plot for single-SNP associations with type 1 diabetes. The SNP position and \(-\text{LOG} (P\text{-value})\) are plotted on the x and y axis, respectively. All symbols above the dashed line represent a significant association \((P \leq 0.05)\). SNPs rs2271189 and rs11171747 are represented by larger black squares.
PA2G4 gene region to further refine the previously reported regional association with type 1 diabetes susceptibility. Two SNPs were identified that display significantly stronger associations with type 1 diabetes than those reported in prior GWAS studies. Alleles at these two SNPs are only modestly correlated and conditional analyses suggest that they may confer independent effects on risk for type 1 diabetes. The nature of the two SNPs, one coding but synonymous and the other intergenic, suggest that their most likely mechanism for affecting disease risk would be through effects on gene expression.

Materials and methods

Subjects
This study was conducted under Institutional Review Board approval from the University of Virginia. DNA from 192 samples, recruited from both the Virginia Mason Medical Center and Puget Sound Blood Center (Seattle, WA, USA) was utilized for next generation DNA sequencing. Affected sibling pair families obtained from the Type 1 Diabetes Consortium and Human Biological Data Interchange repository were used for subsequent association studies. Information regarding identification, clinical characteristics and recruitment of the type 1 diabetes affected sibling pair families, is accessible from the Type 1 Diabetes Consortium web site (http://www.t1dgc.org).

Re-sequencing and SNP selection
The International HapMap project (HapMap B35) genotyped 25 common SNPs (MAF > 0.05), spanning ~96 kb of the 12q13 region, in the CEU population. Using a pairwise tagging approach (r² threshold = 0.8) in Haploview 3.2, 9 tagging SNPs capture the 25 SNPs, with an average r² = 0.958.

Owing to the limited number of common SNPs genotyped in the HapMap CEU population, next generation sequencing was utilized to identify additional SNPs throughout the region. DNA pooling and long-range PCR (Roche Diagnostics, Indianapolis, IN, USA) approaches were used to isolate an 80-kb region encompassing ERBB3, IKZF4, RPS26 and PA2G4. In all, Eight PCR primer pairs were designed to amplify overlapping fragments ~10 kb in size, spanning the 80 kb region, in 48 DNA pools, each containing DNA from 4 individuals (192 individuals total). The PCR products were then mixed in equimolar amounts, sheared by sonication and adaptors added. The resulting sequencing library had an average fragment size of 550 bp. Paired-end, 35 bp sequencing was performed using the Genome AnalyzerIIx (illumina Inc.). The resulting paired-end sequences (readpairs) were aligned to the human reference genome (Build 36) using the program Mosaic (unpublished; http://code.google.com/p/mosaic-aligner/). To minimize false-positive SNPs, subsequent analyses were restricted to readpairs that aligned uniquely to the targeted region. SNPs were identified among the aligned readpairs using a custom script based upon the SAMTOOLS ‘pileup’ program. For a given SNP to be included in our analyses, we required a minimum posterior SNP probability of 0.99 (that is, pileup SNP quality of at least 20) and at least 10 aligned reads at the polymorphic locus.

Additional novel SNPs were identified from 1000 Genomes Project data (denoted with the prefix ERBB3rsx). Sequencing data, derived from 36 unrelated CEU individuals, were downloaded from ftp://ftp-trace.ncbi.nih.gov/1000genomes/ftp/release/2009_02/Pilot1/ (February 2009 data release). Custom scripts were developed, which extracted 65 common SNPs across 12q13 (that is, chromosome 12, from 54.6 Mb–54.9 Mb).

Genotyping
In all, 42 SNPs were genotyped in 382 affected sibling pair type 1 diabetes families, using Eclipse (Epoch Biosciences) and Illumina GoldenGate BeadXpress (Illumina Inc.) genotyping platforms. SNPs with poor clustering or significant deviations from Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium were excluded from further analysis. The two most significant SNPs were further genotyped for replication purposes in the complete set of 2740 type 1 diabetes families.

Statistical analyses
Families with Mendelian errors, as determined using Pedcheck, were zeroed out before performing tests for association, using the TDT option in PLINK v1.07. The ‘main effects’ test of Cordell and Clayton, as implemented in the program UNPHASED, was used to perform association tests conditioning on markers rs2271189 and rs11171747.
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