Permo-Triassic Clastic Rocks from the Ghomaride Complex and Federico Units (Rif Cordillera, N Morocco): An Example of Diagenetic-Metamorphic Transition
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Abstract: A detailed characterization of the mineralogy corresponding to the low-grade diagenetic-metamorphic sequence of the clastic rocks from the Beni Mezala antiform has allowed the processes implied in their origin to be established, integrating them in the geodynamic evolution of the Rif-Betic mountain range during the Alpine orogeny. A progressive evolution towards chemical and textural equilibrium was observed. The illite “crystallinity” (CIS) ranges from diagenetic-lower anchizone in Ghomaride complex (CIS: 1.50–0.37 Δ°2θ) to epizone in the deepest Federico units (CIS: 0.29–0.21 Δ°2θ). The main phyllosilicates in the diagenetic samples are illite (2M1-1M polytypes) and kaolinite, with mixed-layer illite/smectite and chlorite, but the mixed layers disappear in the lower anchizone samples, which show sudoite and dickite. Pyrophyllite is also present in the upper anchizone (0.43–0.29 Δ°2θ) whereas the epizone samples show muscovite (2M1-3T polytypes), chlorite, paragonite, and intermediate micas. The chlorite geothermometers give a T range of 150 to 400 °C, and the b parameter of 2M1 micas (8.992–9.029 Å) indicates low to intermediate pressure regional metamorphism (1–3 kbar) although the wide range of phengitic substitution in micas and the 3T polytype suggest a wider pressure range in coherence with the clockwise PTt evolution for the Rif Cordillera during the Alpine orogeny.
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1. Introduction

The establishment of metamorphic paths in very low- and low-grade metamorphic stratigraphic sequences of clastic rocks is not an easy task as textural relations among minerals are not obvious; intergrowths of phases, a general lack of equilibrium, and changes in the mineral paragenesis are characteristic below the biotite isograd [1–5]. For these reasons, researchers have relied on criteria, such as polytypism, crystallite size values, or chemical characterization of the metastable sheet silicates, that are only qualitative indicators of the stages the phyllosilicates have reached through a series of metastable mineral reactions during low-temperature metamorphism [6]. This situation emphasizes the notion of reaction progress: Metastable phyllosilicates undergoing reactions towards the state of stable chemical and textural equilibrium reached in greenschist-facies rocks [7,8]. According to Árkai [9], two progressive trends of phyllosilicate crystal-chemical changes occur between early diagenesis and epizone in pelitic sequences: dioctahedral smectite–illite/smectite
interstratified clay mineral–illite–dioctahedral K-rich white mica (muscovite) and trioctahedral smectite–corrensite/smectite interstratified clay mineral–chlorite/corrensite–chlorite. There is another dioctahedral series that evolves from kaolinite towards pyrophyllite, but details about this series are currently sparse in metapelitic rocks, being much more abundant in coarse-grain rocks ([10] and references therein). In addition, the data derived from the application of different geothermometric methods, under these metamorphic conditions, may also contribute to the knowledge of the pressure ($P$)–temperature ($T$) paths and their tectonic significance [11,12].

The aim of this contribution was to characterize the low-grade metamorphism in the Ghomaride complex and Federico units from the higher units of the Internal Zone of the Rif Cordillera (N Morocco) in order to establish the $T$ and $P$ conditions reached by these metasediments and improve the interpretation of their tectonometamorphic evolution. For this purpose, a multiproxy approach was applied, including X-ray diffraction (XRD) measurements, textural and chemical characterization of mineral phases by scanning electron microscopy (SEM), and thermometric calculations. The XRD determinations include the measuring of the crystal-chemical parameters: The $b$ cell parameter [13] and the illite “crystallinity” of white micas [14]. The dataset provided here is an example of how knowledge of crystal-chemical parameters of phyllosilicate minerals contributes to the understanding of the evolution of an orogen. For the thermometric calculations, semi-empirical approaches based on the chlorite compositions [15,16] were used. Finally, the very fine-grained texture of these rocks, in some cases, made it necessary to examine them not only by petrographic and scanning electron microscopy but also by transmission electron microscopy (TEM). Therefore, this research aimed to establish the prograde and retrograde processes responsible for the low-grade mineral assemblages and their textural characteristics from the crystal-chemical parameters, compositions, and textural relationships up to lattice scale of phyllosilicates. In addition, there is little knowledge of the effect of more coarse-grained lithologies on the mineralogical changes during very low-grade metamorphism, and in the studied area, clastic rocks with different grain sizes were sampled, from phyllites to sandstones. Lastly, we tried to correlate all these data with the geodynamic evolution of the Rif Cordillera during the Alpine orogeny. This type of study has been previously applied to the metamorphic evolution in the equivalent Betic Cordillera units, on the other side of the Gibraltar Strait ([17–20]; among others). Meanwhile, many authors have studied the Moroccan and southernmost part of the Betic-Rif orogen in the last decades (e.g., [21–29]) although mainly from a structural, geodynamic, lithostratigraphic, palaeostratigraphic, and palaeogeographic point of view.

2. Geological Setting and Materials

The Rif and Betic chains, at the south and north of the Alboran Sea, respectively, form an arc-shaped mountain belt around the Strait of Gibraltar as a consequence of the convergence between Africa and Iberia during late Mesozoic to Cenozoic. They both represent the westernmost peri-Mediterranean alpine chains (Figure 1) and are characterized by the Internal and External Zones, Flyschs domain, and Neogene basins (Figure 1b). The Internal Zone comprises two tectonic complexes in the Rif Cordillera: the Sebtide at the bottom and the Ghomaride at the top (so-called Alpujárride and Maláguide, respectively, in the Betic Cordillera) (Figure 2a). The geometric relationship between them is practically constant, with the Ghomaride complex tectonically overlying the Sebtide complex except for some locations due to local and later thrusts. The differentiation of the Ghomaride and Sebtide complexes is commonly based on variations in lithology and metamorphic grade. In the Ghomaride complex, Triassic rocks include red conglomerates, sandstones, and lutites, all displaying diagenetic conditions [30–34], and also carbonates at the end of the sequence. In contrast, the Permo-Triassic rocks of the underlying tectonic nappe (Sebtide) mainly include schists, phyllites with quartzitic intercalations, and marbles, reflecting incipient-to-advanced metamorphic conditions [22,30,34–36]. In addition, the presence of intermediate units between these two complexes has been alternatively considered as upper Sebtide units ([22,30,36,37] and references therein) or as units intermediate between the Ghomaride and Sebtide complexes ([33] and references therein). According to Kornprobst [30], these units have been pointed out several places with the name of Federico units, with the denomination followed in this article.
Figure 1. Geological map of the Betic-Rif Cordillera: (a) location of the orogen in the Mediterranean Sea; (b) distribution of the Internal and External Zones, Flyschs and Neogene basins of the Betic-Rif Cordillera, and location of the study area (red square) (modified from Sanz de Galdeano [38]).

The samples described in this work belong to the Permo-Triassic materials of the Ghomaride complex and Federico units. The sector where ghomaride and federico materials were sampled is the area between Ceuta and Fnideq (Figure 2). Several tectonic units have been differentiated in this location, which are, from top to bottom: Beni Hozmar, Koudiat Tiziane, and Aakaili (with clear ghomaride affinity; [30,34,35]) and Tizgarine, Boquete Anjera, Beni Mezala 2, and Beni Mezala 1 (Federico units, [30]) (Figure 2b). The three ghomaride units are formed by the same stratigraphic sequence of materials: Paleozoic phyllites, limestones, conglomerates and greywackes, Triassic conglomerates, sandstones, and lutites, with some carbonate intercalations in the middle Triassic and also carbonates at the end of the Triassic, passing to the Jurassic (Figure 3). With respect to the Federico units, all of them show similar stratigraphic sequences: Carboniferous metagreywackes and dark phyllites at the bottom, and Permo-Triassic metapelites and conglomerates and Triassic quartzites and carbonates at the top, as can be seen in Figure 3. According to Sanz de Galdeano et al. [33], the stratigraphic Triassic sequences from the Federico units have intermediate characteristics (the existence or not of levels of conglomerates, the presence of pelites or metapelites and specially the development of carbonates) in relation to the Triassic successions of the sebtide and ghomaride units. The transition from the upper unit (Tizgarine) to the lower units is marked by a change in color from red to blue and an increase in metamorphic grade, which was recognized earlier by Milliard [39].

A total of 46 samples of Permo-Triassic clastic rocks were taken, 17 from the Ghomaride complex and 29 from the Federico units (Tables 1 and 2). During the sampling, the outer surficial material was removed to avoid any contamination by surface weathering.
Figure 2. (a) Geological map of the Rif Cordillera between Ceuta and Jebhá with location of the study area (modified from Chalouan and Michard [21], and El Adraoul et al. [40]); (b) detailed geological sketch map of the studied area (modified from Kornprobst [30] and Kornprobst and Durand-Delga [41]). Numbers represent the range of samples taken in each of the itineraries. The Beni Hozmar unit does not appear in the figure (b). Samples from this unit were taken 2.5 km southwest of sample MG-40, on the national road between Fnideq and Dradia.

Figure 3. Stratigraphic columns corresponding to the Ghomaride complex and Federico units. Sandstones position is marked by asterisks in the Ghomaride complex. The Permo-Triassic clastic rocks of the Ghomaride complex and Federico units described in this work are included between the red lines.
**Table 1.** Lithology, mineralogy, CIS (Crystallinity index standard) values ($\Delta^2 \theta$), polytypes, and $b$ parameter (Å) of illites from the studied samples in the Ghomaride complex. Mineral abbreviations according to Whitney and Evans [42]. In addition, Na-K: Na-K intermediate white mica, I/S: mixed layer illite/smectite. n.d.: non-determined. In bold, samples studied by HRTEM.

| Samples    | Lithology                | Mineral Assemblage | CIS  | CIS EG | Polytype | $b$ (Å) |
|------------|--------------------------|--------------------|------|--------|----------|---------|
| **Beni Hozmar unit** |                          |                    |      |        |          |         |
| BH-1       | Red sandstone            | Qz, Kfs, Pl, Hem, Ilt, Kln, I/S | 1.50 | 0.75   | 2M$_1$–1M | n.d.    |
| BH-2       | Light sandstone          | Qz, Kfs, Ilt, Kln  | 0.62 | 0.56   | 2M$_1$–1M | n.d.    |
| BH-3       | Red sandstone            | Qz, Kfs, Hem, Ilt, Kln, Chl, I/S | 0.77 | 0.53   |          | n.d.    |
| **Koudiat Tiziane unit** |                          |                    |      |        |          |         |
| MG-40      | Light sandstone          | Qz, Kfs, Pl, Ilt, Chl | 0.37 | 0.43   | 2M$_1$–1M | n.d.    |
| MG-41      | Red sandstone            | Qz, Kfs, Hem, Ilt  | n.d. | n.d.   |          | n.d.    |
| MG-42      | Red sandstone            | Qz, Kfs, Hem, Ilt, Kln | 0.58 | 0.53   |          | n.d.    |
| MG-43      | Light sandstone          | Qz, Kfs, Ilt      | 0.80 | 0.85   |          | n.d.    |
| **MG-44**  | Red lutite               | Qz, Kfs, Pl, Hem, Ilt, Chl | 0.65 | 0.59   |          | 9.0162  |
| MG-45      | Red sandstone            | Qz, Kfs, Hem, Ilt  | 1.13 | 1.11   |          | 9.0096  |
| MG-46      | Red sandstone            | Qz, Kfs, Pl, Dol, Hem, Ilt, Chl | 0.92 | 0.83   | 2M$_1$–1M | 9.0096  |
| MG-47      | Red sandstone            | Qz, Kfs, Pl, Dol, Hem, Ilt, Chl, Kln | 0.92 | 0.80   | 2M$_1$–1M | 9.0072  |
| MG-48      | Red siltite              | Qz, Kfs, Pl, Hem, Ilt, Kln, Dck, Sud | 0.46 | 0.47   | 2M$_1$–1M | 8.9916  |
| MG-49      | Purple-red sandstone     | Qz, Kfs, Pl, Hem, Ilt, Chl, Kln, Dck, Sud | 0.47 | 0.43   | 2M$_1$  | 9.0024  |
| MG-50      | Purple-red sandstone     | Qz, Kfs, Pl, Hem, Ilt, Chl, Kln, Dck, Sud | 0.51 | 0.47   | 2M$_1$  | 9.0006  |
| MG-51      | Purple-red sandstone     | Qz, Kfs, Pl, Cal, Hem, Ilt, Chl, Dck, Sud | 0.51 | 0.47   | 2M$_1$  | 9.0006  |
| **Aakali unit** |                          |                    |      |        |          |         |
| MG-56      | Purple-red sandstone     | Qz, Kfs, Pl, Hem, Ilt, Na-K, Dck, Sud | 0.64 | 0.51   | 2M$_1$  | n.d.    |
| MG-57      | Purple-red lutite        | Qz, Kfs, Pl, Hem, Ilt, Na-K, Dck, Sud | 0.85 | 0.82   | 2M$_1$  | 8.9958  |
Table 2. Lithology, mineralogy, CIS values ($\Delta^{20\theta}$), polytypes, and $b$ parameter (Å) of illites from the studied samples in the Federico units. Mineral abbreviations according to Whitney and Evans [42]. In addition, Na-K: Na-K intermediate white mica, C/V: mixed-layers chlorite/vermiculite. n.d.: non-determined. In bold, samples studied by HRTEM.

| Samples | Lithology       | Mineral Assemblage          | CIS  | CIS EG | Polytype | $b$ 2M | $b$ 3T |
|---------|-----------------|-----------------------------|------|--------|----------|-------|-------|
| **Tizgarine unit** | | | | | | | |
| MG-38   | Pink sandstone  | Qz, Kfs, Pl, Hem, Ilt, Na-K, Chl | 0.29 | 0.31  | 2M     | 8.9982 |       |
| MG-37   | Purple-red phyllite | Qz, Kfs, Pl, Hem, Ilt, Dck, Prl, Sud | 0.39 | 0.43  | 2M | 8.9222 |       |
| MG-35   | Purple-red phyllite | Qz, Kfs, Pl, Hem, Ilt, Prl, Chl | 0.43 | 0.47  | 2M | 8.9892 |       |
| MG-34   | Yellow sandstone | Qz, Kfs, Pl, Ilt, Dck, Prl | 0.35 | 0.39  | 2M | 8.9892 |       |
| **Boquete Anjera unit** | | | | | | | |
| MG-23f  | Purple phyllite  | Qz, Kfs, Pl, Hem, Ms, Pgm, Na-K, Chl | n.d. | 2M | 8.9868 |       |       |
| MG-22   | Green phyllite   | Qz, Kfs, Pl, Ms, Na-K, Pgm, Chl | 0.37 | 0.38  | 2M | 9.0006 |       |
| MG-21   | Purple phyllite  | Qz, Kfs, Pl, Hem, Ms, Na-K, Pgm, Chl | 0.29 | 0.30  | 2M | 9.0072 |       |
| MG-11   | Smoke blue phyllite | Qz, Kfs, Hem, Ms, Chl | 0.22 | 0.21  | 2M–3T | 9.0116 | 9.0475 |
| MG-11'  | White quartzite  | Qz, Kfs, Ms, Chl | 0.21 | 0.21  | 2M–3T | 9.0114 | 9.0498 |
| MG-7    | White quartzite  | Qz, Kfs, Ms, Chl | 0.21 | 0.21  | 2M–3T | n.d.  | n.d.  |
| MG-8    | Blue phyllite    | Qz, Kfs, Ms, Chl | 0.21 | 0.21  | 2M–3T | 9.0138 | 9.0474 |
| MG-9    | Blue phyllite    | Qz, Kfs, Hem, Ms, Chl | 0.21 | n.d.  | 2M–3T | 9.0162 | 9.0384 |
| MG-10   | Smoke blue phyllite | Qz, Kfs, Hem, Ms, Chl | 0.22 | 0.20  | 2M–3T | 9.0228 | 9.0474 |
| **Beni Mezala 2 unit** | | | | | | | |
| MG-61   | White quartzite  | Qz, Kfs, Dol, Ms | 0.22 | 0.23  | 2M–3T | 9.0228 | 9.0498 |
| MG-62   | Smoke blue phyllite | Qz, Kfs, Hem, Ms, Chl, (C/V) | 0.23 | 0.23  | 2M–3T | 9.0228 | 9.0546 |
| **Beni Mezala 1 unit** | | | | | | | |
| MG-19   | Yellow quartzite | Qz, Kfs, Pl, Ms | 0.25 | 0.25  | 2M | 9.0114 |       |
| MG-18   | Whitish schist   | Qz, Kfs, Pl, Hem, Ms, Tlc, Vrm, C/V, Kln | 0.24 | 0.25  | 2M | 9.0360 |       |
| MG-18'  | Whitish quartzite | Qz, Kfs, Pl, Hem, Ms, Chl | 0.29 | 0.26  | 2M | 9.0228 |       |
| MG-17   | Dark blue phyllite | Qz, Kfs, Hem, Ms, Chl | 0.23 | 0.22  | 2M–3T | 9.0072 | 9.0432 |
| MG-16   | Dark blue phyllite | Qz, Kfs, Hem, Ms, Pgm, Chl | 0.22 | 0.23  | 2M | 9.0096 |       |
| MG-15   | Dark blue phyllite | Qz, Kfs, Pl, Hem, Ms, Na-K, Pgm, Chl | 0.27 | 0.28  | 2M | 9.0138 |       |
| MG-14   | Dark blue phyllite | Qz, Pl, Hem, Ms, Na-K, Na-Ca, Pgm, Prl, Chl | 0.27 | 0.27  | 2M | 8.9934 |       |
| MG-13   | Dark blue phyllite | Qz, Kfs, Pl, Hem, Ms, Na-K, Pgm, Chl | 0.31 | 0.31  | 2M | 9.0024 |       |
| RMG-13Df| Dark blue phyllite | Qz, Pl, Pmp, Ep, Ms, Vrm | n.d. | 2M | 9.0036 |       |
| RMG-13Af| Dark blue phyllite | Qz, Kfs, Pl, Hem, Ms, Pgm, Na-K, Chl | n.d. | 2M | 9.0006 |       |
| MG-12   | Quartzite        | Qz, Kfs, Ms, Chl, Vrm | 0.24 | 0.26  | 2M–3T | 9.0252 | n.d.  |
| MG-63   | Gray schist      | Qz, Kfs, Hem, Ms, Chl, (C/V) | 0.26 | 0.25  | 2M | 9.0228 |       |
| MG-64   | Black schist     | Qz, Kfs, Hem, Ms, Chl, (C/V) | 0.23 | 0.23  | 2M–3T | 9.0204 | 9.0546 |
| MG-65   | Smoke blue schist | Qz, Kfs, Hem, Ms, Chl | 0.23 | 0.23  | 2M–3T | 9.0204 | 9.0546 |
3. Methods

3.1. X-Ray Diffraction (XRD)

Samples were washed and, after coarse crushing, homogeneous rock chips were used for preparation of samples for XRD. XRD analyses were carried out using a Philips X’Pert PRO MPD powder diffractometer (University of Málaga, Málaga, Spain) with CuKα radiation, voltage of 45kV and a current of 35 mA, Ge monochromator, and automatic divergence slit. Two size fractions (2–20 µm and <2 µm) were separated by centrifugation through a column of water, on the basis of Stokes’s law. Oriented aggregates were prepared by sedimentation on glass slides. Ethylene glycol (EG) and heat treatments were carried out to corroborate the identification of smectite, vermiculite, mixed-layer illite/smectite, and mixed-layer chlorite/vermiculite on the basis of the expandability of these phases. Preparation of samples and experimental conditions for measurements of illite “crystallinity” (Kübler Index, KI) of the <2 µm fraction were carried out according to IGCP 294 IC Working Group recommendations [43]. The KI measurements (x) were transformed into crystallinity index standard (CIS) values (y) according to the equation, $y = 1.9794x - 0.0733$, obtained in the laboratory using the international standards of Warr and Rice [44]. The $b$ parameter of mica was obtained from the (060) peak measured on the whole-rock powdered samples [45]. For all the measurements of spacings, quartz from the sample itself was used as an internal standard. In this contribution, a simple qualitative estimate of the existence of mica polytypes based on the presence of the 1M 112 and 112, 2M 114 and 3T 1015, 1017, and 1018 reflections were also done according to Bailey [46].

3.2. X-Ray Fluorescence (XRF)

Whole-rock analyses of the major elements of selected samples were carried out using X-ray fluorescence in a Philips Magix Pro (PW-2440) spectrometer (Centro de Instrumentación Científica, CIC, University of Granada, Granada, Spain). Glass beads with lithium tetraborate were employed to minimize the preferential orientation of phyllosilicates. The detection limit for major elements was 0.01 wt.%. Loss on ignition (LOI) was determined using 0.5 g of powdered sample, first dried at 110 °C and then heated at 1000 °C for one hour. The proportion of ferrous iron was determined by wet-chemical analyses, specifically, by the volumetric method. Crushed and dried samples were decomposed by a mixture of H2SO4 (98%) and HF (48%) in a graphite crucible with a cover of Ni at reducing atmosphere. The released Fe2+ was titrated with K2Cr2O7 1/20 N solution in the presence of H3PO4, using 10 drops of 0.2% sodium diphenylamine sulphonate as the redox indicator (modified after Pratt’s method [47]).

3.3. Electron Microscopy

Following the XRD and optical studies, polished and carbon-coated thin sections were prepared for imaging using back-scattered electrons (BSEs) with a scanning electron microscopy (SEM) to obtain textural and chemical data. These observations were carried out using two microscopes both in the CIC (University of Granada, Granada, Spain): (a) A ZEISS DSM 950 scanning electron microscope equipped with an X-ray energy-dispersive (EDX) system (LINK QX 2000) at an accelerating voltage of 14 kV and 2 nA beam current; and (b) a LEO 1430VP with a EDX system, at an accelerating voltage of 20 kV, 1 nA beam current, and counting time of 100 s per step to analyze the phyllosilicates using both natural and synthetic standards: albite (Na), orthoclase (K), periclase (Mg), wollastonite (Si, Ca), and synthetic Al2O3 (Al), Fe2O3 (Fe) and MnTiO3 (Ti and Mn). Analytical data were ZAF (atomic number, absorption and fluorescence) corrected.

Due to the very fine-grained nature of some of the studied clastic rocks, a high-resolution transmission electron microscopy (HRTEM) study was carried out on ion-milled and carbon-coated Cu-rings preparations. Three different microscopes were used: (a) A Philips CM20 scanning transmission electron microscope (STEM) operating at 200 kV, with LaB6 filament and a point-to-point resolution of 2.7Å in the TEM mode and 50 Å in the STEM mode (CIC, University of Granada, Granada, Spain); (b) a JEOL JEM 2100 STEM operating at 200 kV and with a point-to-point resolution
of 2.5 Å in the TEM mode (National Electron Microscopy Center, Complutense University of Madrid, Madrid, Spain); and (c) a JEOL JEM 300F STEM operating at 300 kV and with a point-to-point resolution of 1.7 Å in the TEM mode (National Electron Microscopy Center, Complutense University of Madrid, Madrid, Spain). Lattice-fringe images of phyllosilicates were obtained using (001) reflections. The EDX spectra of ion-milled samples performed on the Philips CM20 were obtained using a raster of (0.10 × 0.02) µm in scanning mode to minimize alkali diffusion and volatilization with the long axis oriented parallel to the length of phyllosilicate packets. Counting times were 100 s except for Na and K, which were analyzed using counting times of 30 s to minimize alkali-loss problems as short counting times improve reproducibility for K and Na [48]. The analytical electron microscopy (AEM) quantitative chemical analyses were calculated from the EDX spectra using standards (albite, olivine, biotite, spessartine, muscovite, chlorite, and titanite) to obtain k-factors for transformation of intensity ratios to concentration ratios according to the approximation of Cliff and Lorimer [49]. The structural formulae of micas (illite-muscovite, paragonite, and intermediate Na-K and Na-Ca micas) and pyrophyllite were calculated on the basis of 22 negative charges O_{10}(OH)_{2}. The chlorite, chlorite-rich mixed-layer compositions, and kaolinite were calculated on the basis of 28 negative charges O_{10}(OH)_{8}.

3.4. Thermometric Methods

Semi-empirical thermometric methods [15,16] were applied in chlorites analyzed by SEM under the conditions previously indicated. The choice of these two chlorite thermometers was guided by the compositional range of the studied chlorites, and by the T range. In both cases, the structural site location of Ti, Mn, Na, Ca, and K was done considering the configuration followed by each author. In addition, all iron was considered as Fe^{2+}.

The uncertainties on the temperatures calculations are approximately ±50 °C [16].

4. Results

4.1. Petrography

The XRD analysis and petrographical and electron microscopy studies showed that quartz, feldspars and K-micas are the principal phases in all samples (Tables 1 and 2). Specifically, the phyllosilicates present in the Ghomaride complex are illite, kaolinite, and minor quantities of mixed-layer illite/smectite and chlorite in the Beni Hozmar unit. Koudiat Tiziane unit is mainly characterized by illite and some kaolin group minerals (kaolinite and/or dickite) with a minor presence of trioctahedral chlorite and sudoite, and the deepest unit, Aakaili, shows illite, dickite, sudoite, and Na-K intermediate mica. In addition, samples from the intermediate unit (Koudiat Tiziane) have carbonates (dolomite or calcite) and Fe-oxides are present in the reddish samples. With respect to the Federico unit samples, the phyllosilicates characteristics of the Tizgarine unit are illite, pyrophyllite and minor dickite, Na-K intermediate mica, trioctahedral chlorite, and sudoite. Boquete Anjera and Beni Mezala units also show paragonite and intermediate white micas. Occasionally, in the deepest samples (Beni Mezala), talc, vermiculite, and mixed layer chlorite/vermiculite were detected.

4.1.1. Sandstones

Specifically, sampled sandstones, which appear distributed along the whole sequence, show a moderate diversity and are mainly quartzarenites (>95% quartz), sublitharenites, and subarkoses, although sandstones from the deepest Federico units (Boquete Anjera and Beni Mezala) are orthoquartzites. In general, the ghomaride and tizgarine sandstones show a framework formed by quartz grains, which range from tens to several hundred of µm in diameter with varied shapes. The detrital minerals in these units are quartz, feldspars (sanidine and oligoclase), lithic fragments (sedimentary and metamorphic), and phyllosilicate flakes (di- and trioctahedral micas and chlorite). Detrital phyllosilicates were identified based on their large size, homogeneity of individual grains, and, in general, well-crystallized aspect (Figure 4a). The matrix is scarce and mostly composed of quartz and very fine-grained hematite. The presence of Fe-oxides in the matrix is responsible for the
reddish color of the ghomaride sandstones in hand samples. The cement, which completely fills the porosity, is clayey, mainly kaolinitic in the Beni Hozmar and Koudiat Tiziane samples and with the appearance of dickite, illite, and sudoite in the deepest ghomaride samples (Figure 4b). The cement in the sandstones of the Tizgarine unit consists of fine-grained dickite with a variable degree of transformation into white mica, sudoite, and pyrophyllite. The whitish orthoquartzites present in the deepest Federico units are characterized by a framework of smaller quartz grains, with phyllosilicate intercalations (white mica and, sometimes, chlorite) showing a cleavage development more evident in the Beni Mezala sandstones (Figure 4c).

4.1.2. Phyllites and Schists

Phyllites and schists are the predominant lithologies in Boquete Anjera and Beni Mezala units (Table 2). Finely grained phyllites display a well-developed slaty cleavage defined by parallel, preferentially oriented packets of phyllosilicates (K and Na dioctahedral micas and trioctahedral chlorite, Figure 4d), and elongated quartz grains as well as feldspars, rutile, and hematite. Locally, the main cleavage is affected by an incipient crenulation (Figure 4e). The whitish schist described in Beni Mezala unit is composed of light and brown alternating sub-parallel millimeter bands. The lightest ones are characterized by disoriented very fine-grained phyllosilicates (white mica, vermiculite, and kaolin group minerals), quartz, and albite. However, the brown bands show a well-developed cleavage defined by K-dioctahedral micas with many inclusions of rutile, vermiculite, and K-feldspar (Figure 4f). Interstratified chlorite/vermiculite was detected in the darkest bands. Nevertheless, talc was not recognized by imaging (neither optic nor BSE) although it was detected by XRD in the whitish schist (Table 2). Probably, the talc is interstratified with other phyllosilicates at the nanometer scale.
Figure 4. Microscopy images showing the aspect of the sandstones and metapelites: (a) backscattered electron (BSE) image corresponding to a ghomaride sandstone with a detrital white mica surrounded by quartz grains and pore-filling cement (white arrows); (b) BSE image of the pore-filling cement mainly composed of sudoite and Na-K mica; (c) Beni Mezala sandstone (Federico units) with alternation of quartz and phyllosilicate bands showing an incipient cleavage development (petrographical image with crossed nicols); (d) Beni Mezala phyllite with a well-developed foliation (petrographical image, crossed nicols); (e) Beni Mezala phyllite with an incipient crenulation (petrographic image, crossed nicols); (f) BSE image corresponding to a detail of the light and brown bands (under petrographic microscope) from Beni Mezala whitish schist; for further explanation see the text.

4.2. Crystal-Chemical Parameters

The CIS values for both sandstones and metapelites reveal an increase in metamorphic grade from typical diagenetic-low grade anchizone values (1.50–0.37 Δ2θ, Table 1) in the Ghomaride complex to upper anchizone (Tizgarine)-epizone (Boquete Anjera and Beni Mezala) conditions (0.43–0.21 Δ2θ, Table 2) in the Federico units according to the revised anchizone boundaries of the CIS scale (from 0.52 to 0.32 Δ2θ) [50]. The significant variation in values for different samples in the
Ghomaride complex is typical of the diagenesis [51]. In addition, most of the Ghomaride complex samples have CIS values larger than those of the EG-treated samples (Figure 5), probably implying the presence of smectite layers interstratified with diagenetic illite.

Figure 5. Diagram of CIS values from the <2µm untreated vs. <2 µm EG-treated samples.

The illite-muscovite polytypes analysis shows the presence of the 2M1 in all the studied samples coexisting with the 1M in some of the ghomaride samples (Table 1) and with the 3T in some of the Federico units (Table 2).

An average of 9.004 Å (σ = 0.008) was obtained for the b cell parameter of dioctahedral micas in the ghomaride samples (Table 1), whereas the average for the Federico units was 9.010 Å (σ = 0.012). If considering only samples where 2M1 polytype and 3T polytype (indicative of high-pressure conditions, HP; [52–54]) coexist (Figure 6), the average b parameter increases softly up 9.017 Å (σ = 0.006). Nevertheless, the average corresponding to the Federico samples with only the 2M1 polytype is 9.005 Å (σ = 0.013).

Figure 6. Selected zones of the XRD pattern of the deepest Federico unit samples: (a) positions of the hkl peaks of 2M1 and 3T polytypes of dioctahedral white micas; (b) position of the 060 peaks of two dioctahedral white micas (enlarged and smoothed profile).
4.3. Chemical Bulk Composition

Chemical analyses of the major elements of sandstones and metapelites are given in Table 3. The comparison of these data with the reference average of Post-Archean Australian Shales (PAAS), published by Taylor and McLennan [55] shows that the sandstones are richer in Si and poorer in Al and Fe than the PAAS and coeval metapelites. The metapelites show average contents very similar to the PAAS, although Na and Ca are slightly lower and K values a bit higher than in PAAS.
| Unit/Sample         | SiO₂ | Al₂O₃ | FeO₃ | Fe₂O₃ | MnO | MgO | CaO | Na₂O | K₂O | TiO₂ | P₂O₅ | LOI | Total (1) | Al₂O₃ (1) | Fe₂O₃ (1) | FM (1) | CaO (1) | KNa |
|--------------------|------|-------|------|-------|-----|-----|-----|------|-----|------|------|-----|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-----|
| **Ghomaride complex** |      |       |      |       |     |     |     |      |     |      |      |     |       |       |       |       |       |     |
| Koudiat Tiziane     |      |       |      |       |     |     |     |      |     |      |      |     |       |       |       |       |       |     |
| MG-44               | 62.11| 19.42 | 7.33 | n.d.  | 0.02| 1.64| 0.08| <LLD | 5.79| 0.89 | 0.13 | 2.11| 99.52 | 56.65 | 21.38 | 4.84  | 0.23  | 16.89 |
| MG-46               | 67.34| 11.79 | 3.74 | 0.49  | 0.07| 2.74| 2.52| 0.14  | 3.25| 0.71 | 0.08 | 6.40| 99.27 | 47.66 | 15.12 | 3.59  | 10.19 | 13.70 |
| MG-47               | 58.84| 10.99 | 2.44 | 0.94  | 0.27| 5.14| 6.45| 0.45  | 2.53| 0.64 | 0.11 | 11.89| 100.69| 37.62 | 8.35  | 21.74 | 22.08 | 10.20 |
| MG-48               | 67.00| 17.05 | 5.28 | 0.73  | 0.03| 1.13| 1.00| 0.57  | 2.82| 0.96 | 0.04 | 4.03| 99.73 | 61.53 | 19.05 | 6.82  | 0.36  | 12.23 |
| MG-49               | 69.90| 14.62 | 4.36 | 1.26  | 0.04| 1.23| 0.17| 0.88  | 2.23| 0.85 | 0.07 | 3.36| 98.97 | 58.98 | 17.59 | 10.21 | 0.69  | 12.55 |
| MG-50               | 69.06| 14.97 | 4.54 | 0.98  | 0.03| 1.35| 0.28| 0.83  | 2.36| 0.88 | 0.07 | 3.72| 99.08 | 59.08 | 17.92 | 9.31  | 1.10  | 12.59 |
| **MG-44**           |      |       |      |       |     |     |     |      |     |      |      |     |       |       |       |       |       |     |
| **MG-46**           |      |       |      |       |     |     |     |      |     |      |      |     |       |       |       |       |       |     |
| **MG-47**           |      |       |      |       |     |     |     |      |     |      |      |     |       |       |       |       |       |     |
| **MG-48**           |      |       |      |       |     |     |     |      |     |      |      |     |       |       |       |       |       |     |
| **MG-49**           |      |       |      |       |     |     |     |      |     |      |      |     |       |       |       |       |       |     |
| **MG-50**           |      |       |      |       |     |     |     |      |     |      |      |     |       |       |       |       |       |     |
| **MG-44**           |      |       |      |       |     |     |     |      |     |      |      |     |       |       |       |       |       |     |
| **MG-46**           |      |       |      |       |     |     |     |      |     |      |      |     |       |       |       |       |       |     |
| **MG-47**           |      |       |      |       |     |     |     |      |     |      |      |     |       |       |       |       |       |     |
| **MG-48**           |      |       |      |       |     |     |     |      |     |      |      |     |       |       |       |       |       |     |
| **MG-49**           |      |       |      |       |     |     |     |      |     |      |      |     |       |       |       |       |       |     |
| **MG-50**           |      |       |      |       |     |     |     |      |     |      |      |     |       |       |       |       |       |     |
| **MG-44**           |      |       |      |       |     |     |     |      |     |      |      |     |       |       |       |       |       |     |
| **MG-46**           |      |       |      |       |     |     |     |      |     |      |      |     |       |       |       |       |       |     |
| **MG-47**           |      |       |      |       |     |     |     |      |     |      |      |     |       |       |       |       |       |     |
| **MG-48**           |      |       |      |       |     |     |     |      |     |      |      |     |       |       |       |       |       |     |
| **MG-49**           |      |       |      |       |     |     |     |      |     |      |      |     |       |       |       |       |       |     |
| **MG-50**           |      |       |      |       |     |     |     |      |     |      |      |     |       |       |       |       |       |     |
| **MG-44**           |      |       |      |       |     |     |     |      |     |      |      |     |       |       |       |       |       |     |
| **MG-46**           |      |       |      |       |     |     |     |      |     |      |      |     |       |       |       |       |       |     |
| **MG-47**           |      |       |      |       |     |     |     |      |     |      |      |     |       |       |       |       |       |     |
| **MG-48**           |      |       |      |       |     |     |     |      |     |      |      |     |       |       |       |       |       |     |
| **MG-49**           |      |       |      |       |     |     |     |      |     |      |      |     |       |       |       |       |       |     |
| **MG-50**           |      |       |      |       |     |     |     |      |     |      |      |     |       |       |       |       |       |     |
| **MG-44**           |      |       |      |       |     |     |     |      |     |      |      |     |       |       |       |       |       |     |
| **MG-46**           |      |       |      |       |     |     |     |      |     |      |      |     |       |       |       |       |       |     |
| **MG-47**           |      |       |      |       |     |     |     |      |     |      |      |     |       |       |       |       |       |     |
| **MG-48**           |      |       |      |       |     |     |     |      |     |      |      |     |       |       |       |       |       |     |
| **MG-49**           |      |       |      |       |     |     |     |      |     |      |      |     |       |       |       |       |       |     |
| **MG-50**           |      |       |      |       |     |     |     |      |     |      |      |     |       |       |       |       |       |     |
| **MG-44**           |      |       |      |       |     |     |     |      |     |      |      |     |       |       |       |       |       |     |
| **MG-46**           |      |       |      |       |     |     |     |      |     |      |      |     |       |       |       |       |       |     |
| **MG-47**           |      |       |      |       |     |     |     |      |     |      |      |     |       |       |       |       |       |     |
| **MG-48**           |      |       |      |       |     |     |     |      |     |      |      |     |       |       |       |       |       |     |
| **MG-49**           |      |       |      |       |     |     |     |      |     |      |      |     |       |       |       |       |       |     |
| **MG-50**           |      |       |      |       |     |     |     |      |     |      |      |     |       |       |       |       |       |     |
| **MG-44**           |      |       |      |       |     |     |     |      |     |      |      |     |       |       |       |       |       |     |
| **MG-46**           |      |       |      |       |     |     |     |      |     |      |      |     |       |       |       |       |       |     |
| **MG-47**           |      |       |      |       |     |     |     |      |     |      |      |     |       |       |       |       |       |     |
| **MG-48**           |      |       |      |       |     |     |     |      |     |      |      |     |       |       |       |       |       |     |
| **MG-49**           |      |       |      |       |     |     |     |      |     |      |      |     |       |       |       |       |       |     |
| **MG-50**           |      |       |      |       |     |     |     |      |     |      |      |     |       |       |       |       |       |     |
| Unit/Sample | SiO$_2$ | Al$_2$O$_3$ | Fe$_2$O$_3$ | FeO | MnO | MgO | CaO | Na$_2$O | K$_2$O | TiO$_2$ | P$_2$O$_5$ | LOI | Total | $^{(1)}$Al$_2$O$_3$ | $^{(1)}$Fe$_2$O$_3$ | $^{(1)}$FM | $^{(1)}$CaO | $^{(1)}$KNa |
|------------|---------|-------------|------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|--------|-------|--------|-------|-----|-------|----------------|----------------|-------|--------|--------|
| RMG-13Df   | 50.48   | 23.31       | 5.00       | 2.52| 0.15| 1.22| 7.39| 1.03   | 3.34  | 1.02   | 0.10  | 3.62| 99.18 | 53.03          | 11.37           | 8.85  | 16.81  | 9.94   |
| RMG-13Af   | 50.40   | 26.85       | 8.59       | 1.53| 0.03| 1.21| 1.00| 1.35   | 3.82  | 1.30   | 0.26  | 3.40| 99.74 | 60.50          | 19.36           | 6.24  | 2.25   | 11.65  |
| MG-64      | 55.25   | 22.93       | 6.20       | 1.95| 0.01| 1.37| 0.14| 0.01   | 7.24  | 0.90   | 0.14  | 3.39| 99.53 | 57.54          | 15.56           | 8.36  | 0.35   | 18.19  |
| MG-65      | 64.73   | 17.75       | 4.08       | 1.61| 0.01| 1.13| 0.13| <LLD   | 5.56  | 0.86   | 0.10  | 3.65| 99.61 | 58.64          | 13.48           | 9.08  | 0.43   | 18.37  |
| PAAS       | 62.80   | 18.90       | 6.50       | 0.11| 2.20| 1.30| 1.20| 3.70   | 1.00  | 0.16   | 6.00  | 99.90| 55.74 | 0.00           | 25.98           | 3.83  | 14.45  |

$^{(1)}$ Normalized content of Al$_2$O$_3$, Fe$_2$O$_3$, FM, CaO and KNa (oxide wt.%), where FM = FeO + MgO + MnO and KNa = K$_2$O + Na$_2$O. n.d.: not determined; <LLD: Lower Limit Detection. LOI: Loss On Ignition.
4.4. TEM Observations

Based on XRD and petrographic data, six samples corresponding to different units of the studied sequence were chosen for HRTEM study specially focused on the phyllosilicates: MG-44 (Koudiat Tiziane, Ghomaride), MG-56 (Aakaili, Ghomaride), MG-21 (Boquete Anjera, Federico), MG-62 (Beni Mezala 2, Federico), and the deepest ones, MG-18 and MG-14 (Beni Mezala 1, Federico). The HRTEM characterization confirms the general mineralogical data determined by XRD and SEM for the different units of the studied area but also allowed deepening in the very fine-grained phyllosilicates identification or in the textural relations among phyllosilicates and their chemistry at the nanometer scale.

Deep diagenetic lutite MG-44 is mainly composed of illite and chlorite. The low-magnification images show illite packets with both parallel and contact-angle boundaries. The thickness of the packets ranges between tens and a few hundreds of amstrongs, typical of diagenetic clays. $2M_1$ and $1M_1$ polytypes were identified on the basis of selected area electron diffraction patterns (SAED); this observation is in accord with the periodicities of the lattice fringes, showing 10 Å and 20 Å periodicities (Figure 7a). In some cases, lattice fringe images reveal contrast differences, suggesting the presence of smectite interlayers (light contrast, Figure 7b), which is in coherence with the observations made in Figure 5 with respect to the variations in the CIS values between the <2 µm vs. <2 µm EG-treated fractions. In addition, AEM analyses of these areas have relatively high Si and low K contents, confirming the mixed layering.

Sandstone MG-56 is characterized by a cement with a greater phyllosilicate diversity (illite, Na-K mica, dickite and sudoite). According to Ruiz-Cruz et al. [3], this is a lower anchizone sample in spite of its deep diagenetic CIS value probably due to the presence of Na-bearing mica. TEM images reveal the presence of all these phyllosilicates showing parallel boundaries and with one included within the other and even forming mixed layers (Figure 8).

![TEM images corresponding to diagenetic lutite MG-44 (Ghomaride): (a) illite packets with well-defined boundaries; $1M$ and $2M_1$ polytypes are present in the SAED (see inset); (b) low-magnification image of illite packets with contrast differences implying the presence of smectite-like (light fringes) layers. SAED pattern shows 10 Å periodicity.](image-url)
In relation to the Federico units’ samples, epizonal metapelite MG-21 includes muscovite, Na-K intermediate mica, and a minor presence of paragonite and chlorite. The most evident features of this sample at the nanometer scale is the thickness of the phyllosilicate packets, in most of the images >500 Å, and the variety of orientations from parallel to well-defined high-angle grain boundaries (Figure 9a). Grain sizes of dioctahedral micas with different interlaminar composition are approximately equal (Figure 9b), suggesting their formation under the same conditions. In addition to the presence of Na-K micas, muscovite and paragonite, both $2M_{1}$, coexist as separate crystals (Figure 9c). Chlorite packets are clinochlore (Mg/(Mg + Fe) = 0.84), with a few thousands of amstrongs thick and SAED patterns with sharp reflections consistent with large, defect-free crystals (Figure 9d).
Figure 9. TEM images corresponding to a phyllite (MG-21, Federico units): (a) textural relation among phyllosilicates (muscovite, Na-K mica, paragonite and trioctahedral chlorite); (b) lattice-fringe image showing the relation between K-dioctahedral micas and Na-K dioctahedral micas; and (c) lattice-fringe image showing the coexistence of paragonite and muscovite. Identifications were based in part on AEM analyses. The inset SAED pattern shows well-defined reflections indicating for both phases a two layers polytype; (d) thick packets of chlorite and mottled Na-K mica with net parallel boundaries.

Sample MG-62 is an epizonal metapelite, with a slaty cleavage defined by parallel, preferentially oriented packets of phyllosilicates, mainly large crystals of muscovite and trioctahedral chlorite (>3000 Å).

The study of the whitish schist MG-18 at the TEM scale has focused on the Mg-rich areas, the dark bands described previously and which are characterized by a slaty cleavage defined mainly by K-dioctahedral micas but also by vermiculite and K-feldspar (Figure 4f). In low-magnification images, chlorite/vermiculite mixed-layers (C/V) developing lenticular voids are observed, probably as a consequence of the vacuum collapse (Figure 10a). The identification of C/V is based also on the SAED patterns and on the presence of packets with 24 Å layers in the lattice fringe images (Figure 10b). In addition, TEM images reveal that both 2M1 muscovite and vermiculite occur as large, defect-free crystals, more than 1000 Å thick (Figure 10c).

Figure 10. Nanometer scale view of the “dark” bands distinguished by petrographic microscopy in the MG-18 schist (Federico units): (a) phyllosilicate packets (10 Å periodicity, see SAED) with lenticular voids; (b) lattice-fringe image and SAED showing 24 Å periodicity, which corresponds to chlorite/vermiculite mixed-layers; and (c) thick crystals of muscovite and vermiculite (>1000 Å). C/V: mixed-layers chlorite/vermiculite.

Sample MG-14, an epizonal metapelite with a well-developed slaty cleavage, contains a varied presence of phyllosilicates: muscovite, Na-K mica, Na-Ca mica, paragonite, chlorite, and subordinate pyrophyllite. The phyllosilicates are mostly defect-free crystals with more than several 1000 Å thick coexisting dioctahedral micas with different interlaminar composition as separate crystals (Figure 11a). AEM data reveal the presence of Na-K mica with an average of Ms0Fg50, whose projection falls
close to one limb of the solvus between the muscovite and paragonite system. Chlorite packets, crystalline in appearance and uniform, with a few thousands of amstrongs thick and an ordered polytype (Figure 11b), are clinochlore \((\text{Mg}/(\text{Mg} + \text{Fe}) = 0.77)\). Occasionally, periodicities of 20 Å were detected in 14 Å areas (Figure 11c).

**Figure 11.** TEM images showing defect-free crystals corresponding to the phyllite MG-14 (Federico units): (a) several dioctahedral mica packets with different interlayer contents; (b) chlorite packets with clinochlore composition; and (c) 20 Å periodicities (Ms) inside 14 Å packets (Chl).

### 4.5. Chemical Composition of Phyllosilicates

The chemical compositions of the phyllosilicates were determined mostly by EDX on the SEM except for some cases in which the EDX spectra of ion-milled samples studied in the TEM have helped the chemical characterization of very fine-grained phyllosilicates under the conditions previously indicated in the methods section.

#### 4.5.1. Dioctahedral Micas

The average structural formulae of K-rich dioctahedral micas of authigenic origin, corresponding to samples from different units, are presented in Table 4. Authigenic micas corresponding to the ghomaride sandstones display deficiencies in interlayer cations, with this observation being consistent with the presence of illite/smectite mixed layers described above (Figures 5 and 7b) and the excess of Si, mainly caused by both illitic (Figure 12a) and phengitic components (Figure 12b). In addition, Na contents are significant in some of the analyzed illites (up to 0.32 \(\text{apfu}\) in the Aakaiti analyses). In the Federico units, K-dioctahedral micas corresponding to the Tizgarine unit (MG-34) are more homogeneous but also with a clear illitic substitution (Figure 13). Nevertheless, Boquete Anjera and Beni Mezala analyses, in spite of the heterogeneity, are close to true muscovite, with interlayer cation sums close to 1 \(\text{apfu}\) in most cases (Figure 13a). This is in accord with the epizonal grade of these samples, where, according to Abad et al. [56], the illitic component becomes insignificant. In any case, in micas from Federico units, the proportions of Si, Al, and Fe + Mg are mostly controlled by the phengitic and the ferrimuscovitic vectors (Figure 13b). However, the white micas with the highest Si and Fe + Mg contents show high Mg values and deficits in the
interlaminar content (white micas in the whitish schist); this trend could represent contamination by trioctahedral phases (Figure 10a,c).

Figure 12. Relationships among chemical components of authigenic dioctahedral micas from the Ghomaride Complex: (a) Si vs. K + Na + Ca, the dotted line corresponds to the ideal illitic substitution (Ilt); (b) Si vs. Mg + Fe, the dotted line corresponds to the ideal phengitic substitution (Ph). Fe-Ms: the ferri-muscovitic exchange vector. Solid circles indicate values for the theoretical muscovite (Ms).

Figure 13. Relationships among chemical components of authigenic dioctahedral micas from the Federico units: (a) Si vs. K + Na + Ca, the dotted line corresponds to the ideal illitic substitution; (b) Si vs. Fe + Mg, the dotted line corresponds to the ideal phengitic substitution (Ph). Fe-Ms: the ferri-muscovitic exchange vector; Prl: Pyrophyllitic exchange vector. Solid circles indicate values for the theoretical muscovite (Ms).

Typical compositions of micas intermediate to the end-members muscovite-paragonite and paragonite-margarite, called Na-K and Na-Ca micas respectively, were also analyzed in samples from the Federico units (Table 5). These compositions are quite variable, expanding the entire range from muscovite to paragonite in the case of the Na-K micas (Figure 14).
### Table 4. Average structural formulae for authigenic white micas from Ghomaride and Federico units obtained by SEM/EDX and normalized to O_{10}(OH).2

| Unit/Sample | n | Si | SiIV | SiVI | Al | Ti | Fe2+ | Mn | Mg | Ca | K | Na | Σ Oct. | Σ Inter. | t.i.c. | Al | #Mg |
|-------------|---|----|------|------|----|----|------|----|-----|----|----|----|--------|----------|-------|-----|-----|-----|
| **Ghomaride complex** | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Beni Hozmar | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| BH-3        | 10| Mean | 3.19 | 0.81 | 1.84 | 0.01 | 0.08 | 0.00 | 0.13 | 0.02 | 0.77 | 0.05 | 2.05 | 0.84 | 0.86 | 2.64 | 63 |
|             |   | SD  | 0.04 | 0.04 | 0.05 | 0.01 | 0.03 | 0.00 | 0.03 | 0.03 | 0.04 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.05 | 0.06 | 0.09 | 7  |
| Koudiat Tiziane | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| MG-40       | 3 | Mean | 3.18 | 0.82 | 1.85 | 0.01 | 0.08 | 0.00 | 0.13 | 0.00 | 0.70 | 0.12 | 2.07 | 0.81 | 0.81 | 2.67 | 63 |
|             |   | SD  | 0.04 | 0.04 | 0.09 | 0.00 | 0.03 | 0.00 | 0.04 | 0.00 | 0.13 | 0.07 | 0.01 | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.13 | 2  |
| MG-49       | 4 | Mean | 3.13 | 0.87 | 1.85 | 0.01 | 0.08 | 0.00 | 0.11 | 0.01 | 0.86 | 0.03 | 2.05 | 0.90 | 0.91 | 2.71 | 57 |
|             |   | SD  | 0.03 | 0.03 | 0.04 | 0.01 | 0.03 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.07 | 0.03 | 0.01 | 0.08 | 0.08 | 0.03 | 8  |
| Aakaili     | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| MG-56       | 10| Mean | 3.15 | 0.85 | 1.91 | 0.00 | 0.06 | 0.00 | 0.08 | 0.01 | 0.59 | 0.21 | 2.06 | 0.81 | 0.82 | 2.77 | 55 |
|             |   | SD  | 0.04 | 0.04 | 0.05 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.11 | 0.06 | 0.02 | 0.07 | 0.08 | 0.07 | 8  |
| **Federico units** | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Tizgarine   | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| MG-34       | 7 | Mean | 3.15 | 0.85 | 1.82 | 0.03 | 0.14 | 0.00 | 0.10 | 0.00 | 0.78 | 0.07 | 2.08 | 0.85 | 0.85 | 2.67 | 40 |
|             |   | SD  | 0.04 | 0.04 | 0.05 | 0.01 | 0.03 | 0.00 | 0.04 | 0.00 | 0.05 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.03 | 0.03 | 0.08 | 6  |
| Boquete Anjera | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| MG-23       | 15| Mean | 3.13 | 0.87 | 1.86 | 0.01 | 0.12 | 0.00 | 0.06 | 0.02 | 0.75 | 0.13 | 2.06 | 0.90 | 0.92 | 2.73 | 33 |
|             |   | SD  | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.03 | 0.01 | 0.03 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.05 | 0.03 | 0.03 | 0.04 | 0.04 | 0.08 | 6  |
| MG-23f      | 11| Mean | 3.10 | 0.90 | 1.87 | 0.01 | 0.11 | 0.00 | 0.06 | 0.00 | 0.77 | 0.13 | 2.06 | 0.90 | 0.91 | 2.77 | 33 |
|             |   | SD  | 0.04 | 0.04 | 0.04 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 0.03 | 0.00 | 0.04 | 0.04 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.07 | 10 |
| MG-21       | 3 | Mean | 3.17 | 0.83 | 1.81 | 0.02 | 0.12 | 0.00 | 0.11 | 0.00 | 0.81 | 0.09 | 2.07 | 0.91 | 0.91 | 2.64 | 48 |
|             |   | SD  | 0.06 | 0.06 | 0.04 | 0.01 | 0.04 | 0.00 | 0.04 | 0.00 | 0.06 | 0.03 | 0.02 | 0.05 | 0.06 | 0.10 | 12 |
| MG-11'      | 16| Mean | 3.13 | 0.87 | 1.80 | 0.01 | 0.15 | 0.00 | 0.09 | 0.00 | 0.90 | 0.07 | 2.05 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 2.67 | 38 |
|             |   | SD  | 0.04 | 0.04 | 0.03 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.03 | 0.00 | 0.04 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.04 | 0.04 | 0.06 | 8  |
| MG-7        | 10| Mean | 3.21 | 0.79 | 1.61 | 0.02 | 0.26 | 0.00 | 0.17 | 0.01 | 0.98 | 0.03 | 2.06 | 1.02 | 1.02 | 2.40 | 40 |
|             |   | SD  | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.03 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.03 | 0.02 | 0.03 | 0.03 | 0.03 | 4  |
| Beni Mezala 2 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| MG-61       | 11| Mean | 3.15 | 0.85 | 1.78 | 0.01 | 0.14 | 0.00 | 0.11 | 0.00 | 0.97 | 0.04 | 2.05 | 1.01 | 1.01 | 2.63 | 45 |
|             |   | SD  | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.03 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.03 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.03 | 0.03 | 0.02 | 6  |
| MG-62       | 15| Mean | 3.18 | 0.82 | 1.71 | 0.02 | 0.22 | 0.00 | 0.13 | 0.00 | 0.91 | 0.05 | 2.08 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 2.53 | 37 |
|             |   | SD  | 0.03 | 0.03 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.04 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.04 | 0.04 | 0.04 | 2  |
| Beni Mezala 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
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| Unit/Sample | n  | Si  | ⁴⁺Al | ⁶⁺Al | Ti | Fe²⁺ | Mn | Mg | Ca | K | Na | Σ Oct. | Σ Inter. | t.i.c. | Al⁷⁺ | #Mg |
|-------------|----|-----|------|------|----|------|----|----|----|----|----|--------|----------|-------|------|-----|
| MG-19       | 12 | Mean| 3.25 | 0.75 | 1.76 | 0.01 | 0.04 | 0.00 | 0.21 | 0.00 | 0.93 | 0.04   | 2.03     | 0.97  | 0.97 | 2.50 | 83 |
| SD          |    |     | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 0.03 | 0.02 | 0.02   | 0.04     | 0.04  | 0.03 | 2   |
| MG-18       | 16 | Mean| 3.31 | 0.69 | 1.63 | 0.02 | 0.14 | 0.00 | 0.28 | 0.00 | 0.85 | 0.03   | 2.07     | 0.89  | 0.89 | 2.32 | 65 |
| SD          |    |     | 0.06 | 0.06 | 0.07 | 0.01 | 0.04 | 0.00 | 0.06 | 0.00 | 0.05 | 0.02   | 0.05     | 0.05  | 0.12 | 6   |
| MG-15       | 21 | Mean| 3.12 | 0.88 | 1.76 | 0.02 | 0.19 | 0.00 | 0.09 | 0.00 | 0.81 | 0.15   | 2.07     | 0.96  | 0.96 | 2.64 | 32 |
| SD          |    |     | 0.03 | 0.03 | 0.03 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 0.03 | 0.04   | 0.01     | 0.03  | 0.03 | 0.06 | 5  |
| MG-14       | 6  | Mean| 3.07 | 0.93 | 1.88 | 0.02 | 0.13 | 0.00 | 0.04 | 0.00 | 0.74 | 0.17   | 2.07     | 0.91  | 0.92 | 2.81 | 19 |
| SD          |    |     | 0.03 | 0.03 | 0.06 | 0.02 | 0.04 | 0.01 | 0.04 | 0.01 | 0.05 | 0.06   | 0.03     | 0.07  | 0.06 | 0.08 | 17 |
| RMG-13D f   | 6  | Mean| 3.15 | 0.85 | 1.80 | 0.01 | 0.15 | 0.00 | 0.10 | 0.01 | 0.77 | 0.12   | 2.07     | 0.90  | 0.91 | 2.65 | 40 |
| SD          |    |     | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.06 | 0.03   | 0.02     | 0.05  | 0.04 | 0.02 | 3  |
| MG-12       | 7  | Mean| 3.17 | 0.83 | 1.70 | 0.02 | 0.21 | 0.00 | 0.14 | 0.00 | 0.96 | 0.04   | 2.07     | 1.00  | 1.01 | 2.53 | 40 |
| SD          |    |     | 0.04 | 0.04 | 0.10 | 0.01 | 0.08 | 0.00 | 0.04 | 0.00 | 0.03 | 0.02   | 0.02     | 0.02  | 0.02 | 0.14 | 5  |
| MG-63       | 16 | Mean| 3.13 | 0.87 | 1.76 | 0.02 | 0.18 | 0.00 | 0.10 | 0.00 | 0.93 | 0.06   | 2.07     | 0.99  | 0.99 | 2.63 | 36 |
| SD          |    |     | 0.04 | 0.04 | 0.06 | 0.01 | 0.05 | 0.00 | 0.03 | 0.00 | 0.03 | 0.03   | 0.02     | 0.02  | 0.02 | 0.10 | 4  |
| MG-64       | 20 | Mean| 3.15 | 0.85 | 1.72 | 0.01 | 0.20 | 0.00 | 0.12 | 0.00 | 0.96 | 0.04   | 2.05     | 1.01  | 1.01 | 2.57 | 36 |
| SD          |    |     | 0.03 | 0.03 | 0.03 | 0.00 | 0.03 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.02   | 0.02     | 0.02  | 0.02 | 0.04 | 4  |
| MG-65       | 10 | Mean| 3.14 | 0.86 | 1.76 | 0.02 | 0.18 | 0.00 | 0.10 | 0.00 | 0.94 | 0.04   | 2.06     | 0.98  | 0.99 | 2.62 | 36 |
| SD          |    |     | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.04 | 0.01 | 0.03 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.02   | 0.01     | 0.02  | 0.02 | 0.05 | 7  |

n: number of the measured grains; SD: standard deviation (with italic); Σ oct.: sum of octahedral cations; Σ inter.: sum of the interlayer cations; t.i.c.: total interlayer charge.

\[ \text{t.i.c.} = (2 \times \text{Ca}) + \text{Na} + \text{K}; \text{Al}^7 = 4\text{Al} + 6\text{Al}; \#\text{Mg} = \left[ \text{Mg}/(\text{Mg} + \text{Fe}^{2+}) \right] \times 100. \]
Table 5. Selected structural formulae for intermediate white micas and paragonite from Federico phyllites normalized to O$_{10}$(OH)$_2$.

| Unit/Sample | Si | $^4$Al | $^6$Al | Ti | Fe$^{2+}$ | Mn | Mg | Ca | K | Na | Σ Oct. | Σ Inter. | t.i.c. | Al$^+$ | #Mg | #Na |
|-------------|----|--------|--------|----|-----------|----|-----|----|----|----|--------|----------|--------|--------|------|-----|
| **Boquete Anjera** |    |        |        |    |           |    |     |    |    |    |        |          |        |       |      |     |
| SEM data    |    |        |        |    |           |    |     |    |    |    |        |          |        |       |      |     |
| MG-23f C.2.2.1 4 | 2.95 | 1.05 | 1.92 | 0.01 | 0.10 | 0.00 | 0.03 | 0.09 | 0.34 | 0.46 | 2.07 | 0.89 | 0.97 | 2.97 | 25 | 57 |
| MG-23f P 20 | 3.06 | 0.94 | 1.95 | 0.01 | 0.08 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.05 | 0.25 | 0.47 | 2.08 | 0.77 | 0.81 | 2.89 | 14 | 65 |
| MG-23f C.3.1 2 | 3.08 | 0.92 | 1.90 | 0.01 | 0.07 | 0.00 | 0.04 | 0.05 | 0.54 | 0.30 | 2.03 | 0.89 | 0.94 | 2.82 | 35 | 36 |
| AEM data    |    |        |        |    |           |    |     |    |    |    |        |          |        |       |      |     |
| MG-21 1k TEM | 2.92 | 1.08 | 1.89 | 0.11 | 0.09 | 0.00 | 0.58 | 0.34 | 2.09 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 2.97 | 45 | 37 |
| MG-21 5k TEM | 3.00 | 1.00 | 2.01 | 0.00 | 0.05 | 0.00 | 0.29 | 0.57 | 2.06 | 0.86 | 0.86 | 3.01 | 100 | 66 |
| MG-21 11 TEM | 3.24 | 0.76 | 1.69 | 0.14 | 0.12 | 0.00 | 0.33 | 0.73 | 1.95 | 1.06 | 1.06 | 2.45 | 46 | 69 |
| MG-21 9 TEM | 3.08 | 0.92 | 1.65 | 0.23 | 0.14 | 0.07 | 0.09 | 0.81 | 2.02 | 0.97 | 1.04 | 2.57 | 38 | 90 |
| MG-21 10 TEM | 3.17 | 0.83 | 1.66 | 0.20 | 0.09 | 0.09 | 0.11 | 0.85 | 1.95 | 1.05 | 1.14 | 2.49 | 31 | 89 |
| **Beni Mezala 1** |    |        |        |    |           |    |     |    |    |    |        |          |        |       |      |     |
| SEM data    |    |        |        |    |           |    |     |    |    |    |        |          |        |       |      |     |
| MG-15 C.7.1 1 | 2.97 | 1.03 | 1.93 | 0.01 | 0.08 | 0.00 | 0.04 | 0.06 | 0.27 | 0.56 | 2.06 | 0.89 | 0.95 | 2.96 | 32 | 67 |
| MG-15 C.5.1.1.1 1 | 3.08 | 0.92 | 1.86 | 0.01 | 0.15 | 0.00 | 0.04 | 0.02 | 0.57 | 0.35 | 2.06 | 0.94 | 0.96 | 2.78 | 23 | 38 |
| MG-14 51PM | 2.76 | 1.24 | 2.01 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.19 | 0.22 | 0.66 | 2.04 | 1.07 | 1.26 | 3.25 | 0 | 75 |
| MG-14 53PM | 3.16 | 0.84 | 1.90 | 0.00 | 0.06 | 0.02 | 0.03 | 0.00 | 0.58 | 0.33 | 2.04 | 0.91 | 0.91 | 2.74 | 36 | 36 |
| MG-14 48PM | 2.61 | 1.39 | 2.03 | 0.01 | 0.05 | 0.02 | 0.05 | 0.33 | 0.03 | 0.48 | 2.15 | 0.83 | 1.15 | 3.42 | 53 | 95 |
| MG-14 50PM | 2.70 | 1.30 | 2.00 | 0.02 | 0.04 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.23 | 0.08 | 0.69 | 2.09 | 1.00 | 1.22 | 3.30 | 0 | 90 |
| MG-14 45PM | 2.89 | 1.11 | 1.99 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.05 | 0.14 | 0.08 | 0.68 | 2.07 | 0.90 | 1.04 | 3.10 | 71 | 89 |
| MG-14 C.3 1 | 2.80 | 1.20 | 2.00 | 0.00 | 0.04 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.14 | 0.06 | 0.79 | 2.04 | 0.99 | 1.14 | 3.20 | 0.00 | 93 |
| AEM data    |    |        |        |    |           |    |     |    |    |    |        |          |        |       |      |     |
| MG-14 12 TEM | 2.92 | 1.08 | 1.77 | 0.15 | 0.12 | 0.00 | 0.73 | 0.37 | 2.04 | 1.10 | 1.10 | 2.85 | 44 | 34 |
| MG-14 15 TEM | 3.16 | 0.84 | 1.75 | 0.14 | 0.14 | 0.00 | 0.56 | 0.36 | 2.03 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 2.59 | 50 | 39 |

Σ oct.: sum of octahedral cations; Σ inter.: sum of the interlayer cations; t.i.c.: total interlayer charge; Pg: paragonite. t.i.c. = (2 × Ca) + Na + K; Al$^+$ = $^4$Al + $^6$Al; #Mg = [Mg/(Mg + Fe$^{2+}$)] × 100; #Na = [Na/(Na + K + Ca)] × 100.
Figure 14. Compositional diagram showing the K and Na contents of intermediate white micas from the Federico units. Solid circles indicate values for the theoretical muscovite (Ms) and paragonite (Pg).

The analyses of detrital-like micas of an anchizonal sandstone of the Ghomaride complex and epizonal quartzites from the Beni Mezala units (Table 6) were compared with the data of the authigenic ones from the same samples (Figure 15). These data show that the detrital micas are more heterogeneous than authigenic micas, suggesting potential different sources for the different studied units. In addition, whereas detrital mica and chlorite coexist with authigenic phases in the uppermost slices, at increasing tectonic depths, micas and chlorite with detrital signals are almost lacking.

Figure 15. Si vs. Al contents of detrital and authigenic white micas from ghomaride and federico samples. Solid symbols: detrital micas; open symbols: authigenic micas.
Table 6. Selected structural formulae of K-rich dioctahedral micas from detrital-like crystals from ghomaride sandstone and Federico quartzites obtained by SEM/EDX and normalized to O₁₀(OH)₂.

| Unit                     | Si | IVAl | VIAl | Ti | Fe²⁺ | Mn | Mg | Ca | K | Na | Σ Oct. | Σ Inter. | t.i.c. | Al¹ | #Mg |
|--------------------------|----|------|------|----|------|----|----|----|----|----|--------|----------|-------|------|-----|
| Ghomaride complex        |    |      |      |    |      |    |    |    |    |    |        |          |       |      |     |
| *Koudiat Tiziane unit*   |    |      |      |    |      |    |    |    |    |    |        |          |       |      |     |
| MG-40 C1 1               | 3.10 | 0.90 | 1.90 | 0.02 | 0.05 | 0.00 | 0.08 | 0.00 | 0.75 | 0.10 | 2.05 | 0.85 | 0.85 | 2.80 | 61 |
| MG-40 SC3Z1B 2           | 3.11 | 0.89 | 1.81 | 0.04 | 0.06 | 0.00 | 0.09 | 0.00 | 0.84 | 0.12 | 2.02 | 0.96 | 0.96 | 2.70 | 59 |
| MG-40 C7Z1 5             | 3.12 | 0.88 | 1.91 | 0.00 | 0.04 | 0.00 | 0.06 | 0.00 | 0.95 | 0.00 | 2.01 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 2.79 | 58 |
| MG-40 SC3Z1 4            | 3.15 | 0.85 | 1.78 | 0.04 | 0.09 | 0.00 | 0.10 | 0.00 | 0.89 | 0.09 | 2.01 | 0.98 | 0.98 | 2.63 | 54 |
| MG-40 CS5Z3 1            | 3.16 | 0.84 | 1.77 | 0.02 | 0.12 | 0.00 | 0.13 | 0.00 | 0.83 | 0.12 | 2.04 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 2.61 | 51 |
| MG-40 C7Z1 4             | 3.19 | 0.81 | 1.91 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.09 | 0.00 | 0.90 | 0.00 | 2.00 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 2.72 | 100 |
| MG-40 SC3Z1 3            | 3.20 | 0.80 | 1.80 | 0.03 | 0.05 | 0.00 | 0.14 | 0.02 | 0.78 | 0.10 | 2.01 | 0.91 | 0.93 | 2.60 | 74 |
| MG-40 CS5Z3B 4           | 3.20 | 0.80 | 1.73 | 0.02 | 0.15 | 0.00 | 0.15 | 0.00 | 0.90 | 0.04 | 2.05 | 0.94 | 0.94 | 2.54 | 50 |
| MG-40 CS5Z3B 5           | 3.22 | 0.78 | 1.72 | 0.02 | 0.14 | 0.00 | 0.16 | 0.00 | 0.88 | 0.05 | 2.04 | 0.93 | 0.93 | 2.50 | 53 |
| Federico units           |    |      |      |    |      |    |    |    |    |    |        |          |       |      |     |
| *Beni Mezala 2*          |    |      |      |    |      |    |    |    |    |    |        |          |       |      |     |
| MG-61 4d                 | 3.07 | 0.93 | 1.84 | 0.03 | 0.10 | 0.00 | 0.06 | 0.00 | 0.88 | 0.11 | 2.02 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 2.77 | 36 |
| MG-61 5d                 | 3.35 | 0.65 | 1.63 | 0.02 | 0.15 | 0.00 | 0.25 | 0.00 | 0.94 | 0.01 | 2.05 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 2.28 | 63 |
| MG-61 6d                 | 3.36 | 0.64 | 1.61 | 0.02 | 0.16 | 0.00 | 0.28 | 0.00 | 0.92 | 0.02 | 2.06 | 0.94 | 0.94 | 2.25 | 64 |
| *Beni Mezala 1*          |    |      |      |    |      |    |    |    |    |    |        |          |       |      |     |
| MG-19 13d                | 3.35 | 0.65 | 1.70 | 0.02 | 0.07 | 0.00 | 0.24 | 0.01 | 0.85 | 0.06 | 2.03 | 0.92 | 0.93 | 2.36 | 77 |
| MG-12 26d                | 3.08 | 0.92 | 1.90 | 0.02 | 0.07 | 0.00 | 0.04 | 0.00 | 0.86 | 0.10 | 2.03 | 0.96 | 0.97 | 2.83 | 39 |
| MG-12 27d                | 3.19 | 0.81 | 1.62 | 0.02 | 0.30 | 0.00 | 0.15 | 0.00 | 1.01 | 0.01 | 2.09 | 1.02 | 1.02 | 2.43 | 34 |
| MG-12 28d                | 3.23 | 0.77 | 1.66 | 0.01 | 0.23 | 0.01 | 0.16 | 0.00 | 0.97 | 0.01 | 2.08 | 0.98 | 0.98 | 2.43 | 40 |

Σ Oct.: sum of octahedral cations; Σ Inter.: sum of the interlayer cations; t.i.c.: total interlayer charge. t.i.c. = (2 × Ca) + Na + K; Al¹ = IVAl + VIAl; #Mg = [Mg/(Mg + Fe²⁺)] × 100.
4.5.2. Chlorites

The trioctahedral chlorites, which have an Fe/(Fe + Mg) ratio <0.3, correspond mainly to the clinochlore (Mg-rich) variety (Table 7). Very small amounts of K, Na, and Ca have been found, thought to be the result of contamination by dioctahedral micas as a result of the fine intergrowths of these phyllosilicates in the studied rocks or by the presence of isolated layers of micas inside the chlorites packets (Figure 11c). In addition, some analyses of these phyllosilicates in Beni Mezala samples are characterized by a sum of octahedral cations around 5.5 apfu and a Si content higher than 3 apfu. In these analyses, total Fe was considered as Fe\(^{2+}\) although the sum of octahedral cations could suggest a major presence of Fe\(^{3+}\) according to Fransolet and Bourguignon [57]. However, considering the TEM data (Figure 10b), most likely, these analyses are the result of contamination by vermiculite layers. With respect to sudoites, these di-trioctahedral chlorites were identified in Ghomaride complex and Tizgarine unit, but the analyses are not shown due to the high grade of contamination by alkali elements, probably due, as in the case of clinochlore, to the presence of mica layers.

4.5.3. Other Phyllosilicates

The composition of kaolinite from ghomaride samples (Table 8) shows a high Al content, with minor quantities of alkaline elements as a consequence of the contamination with illite. In general, the kaolinite analyzed in Tizgarine unit is pure.

Pyrophyllite was only analyzed in the Tizgarine unit. It has an Al-rich composition and low interlaminar occupation (Table 8), maybe due also to contamination with illite.
Table 7. Representative structural formulae of chlorites and mixed-layer chlorite/vermiculite from Federico samples normalized to O10(OH)8.

| Mineral/Unit/Sample | Si | IVAl | VAl | Ti | Fe\(^{2+}\) | Mn | Mg | Ca | K | Na | Σ Oct. | Σ Inter. | t.i.c. | Al\(^{3+}\) | #Mg |
|----------------------|----|------|-----|----|-------------|----|----|----|----|----|--------|---------|------|------|-----|
| **Chlorite**<br>Boquete Anjera/SEM data | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| MG-21 3.2 14 | 2.72 | 1.28 | 1.43 | 0.01 | 1.02 | 0.02 | 3.42 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.05 | 5.90 | 0.06 | 0.06 | 2.72 | 77 |
| MG-11' 1.7 25 | 2.67 | 1.33 | 1.47 | 0.00 | 0.90 | 0.00 | 3.55 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 5.93 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 2.80 | 80 |
| MG-11' 3.3 29 | 2.70 | 1.30 | 1.47 | 0.00 | 0.88 | 0.00 | 3.57 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 5.92 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 2.77 | 80 |
| MG-11' 3.4 33 | 2.73 | 1.27 | 1.52 | 0.00 | 0.91 | 0.00 | 3.42 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.05 | 5.88 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 2.79 | 79 |
| **Boquete Anjera/AEM data** | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| MG-21 AEM/TEM | 2.63 | 1.37 | 1.64 | 0.00 | 0.59 | 0.00 | 3.39 | 0.00 | 0.05 | 0.00 | 5.62 | 0.05 | 0.05 | 3.01 | 85 |
| MG-21 AEM/TEM | 2.64 | 1.36 | 1.54 | 0.00 | 0.67 | 0.00 | 3.43 | 0.00 | 0.05 | 0.00 | 5.63 | 0.05 | 0.05 | 2.90 | 84 |
| **Beni Mezala 2/SEM data** | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| MG-62 5.2.2 | 2.71 | 1.29 | 1.32 | 0.00 | 1.12 | 0.01 | 3.49 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 5.95 | 0.03 | 0.04 | 2.60 | 76 |
| MG-62 5.1.2 | 2.73 | 1.27 | 1.33 | 0.00 | 1.17 | 0.00 | 3.45 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 5.96 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 2.61 | 75 |
| MG-62 5.2.1 | 2.80 | 1.20 | 1.44 | 0.00 | 1.05 | 0.00 | 3.40 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 5.89 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 2.64 | 76 |
| **Beni Mezala 1/SEM data** | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| MG-15 5.1.3.2 | 2.68 | 1.32 | 1.41 | 0.00 | 1.93 | 0.01 | 2.56 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.06 | 5.92 | 0.07 | 0.08 | 2.74 | 57 |
| MG-15 6.2.3 | 2.67 | 1.33 | 1.40 | 0.00 | 1.90 | 0.00 | 2.63 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.03 | 5.94 | 0.05 | 0.06 | 2.73 | 58 |
| MG-15 1.1-2.2 | 2.71 | 1.29 | 1.32 | 0.00 | 2.03 | 0.03 | 2.53 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 5.94 | 0.02 | 0.04 | 2.61 | 56 |
| MG-14 P 4 | 2.45 | 1.55 | 1.55 | 0.00 | 1.01 | 0.00 | 3.49 | 0.01 | 0.04 | 0.00 | 6.05 | 0.05 | 0.05 | 3.10 | 78 |
| MG-14 3b.3 | 2.64 | 1.36 | 1.37 | 0.00 | 1.02 | 0.03 | 3.57 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 5.99 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 2.73 | 78 |
| MG-14 4.3.5 | 2.72 | 1.28 | 1.47 | 0.00 | 0.97 | 0.02 | 3.42 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 5.88 | 0.05 | 0.05 | 2.76 | 78 |
| MG-14 P27 | 2.86 | 1.14 | 1.74 | 0.01 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 3.06 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 5.81 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 2.88 | 75 |
| MG-65 43 | 2.88 | 1.12 | 1.32 | 0.00 | 1.40 | 0.03 | 3.23 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 5.98 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 2.44 | 70 |
| **Beni Mezala 1/AEM data** | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| MG-14 AEM/TEM | 2.71 | 1.29 | 1.56 | 0.00 | 0.90 | 0.00 | 3.06 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 5.52 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 2.85 | 77 |
| **Mixed layer Chlorite/Vermiculite**<br>Beni Mezala 2/SEM data | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| MG-62 1.3.1.1 | 3.19 | 0.81 | 1.71 | 0.00 | 1.51 | 0.01 | 2.26 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 5.50 | 0.04 | 0.06 | 2.52 | 60 |
| MG-62 1.1.2.3 | 3.21 | 0.79 | 1.66 | 0.01 | 1.61 | 0.01 | 2.27 | 0.03 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 5.56 | 0.04 | 0.08 | 2.45 | 58 |
| **Beni Mezala 1/SEM data** | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| MG-18 M1.3.2 | 3.21 | 0.79 | 1.50 | 0.00 | 0.79 | 0.00 | 3.35 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 5.64 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 2.29 | 81 |
| MG-18 M1.3.11 | 3.11 | 0.89 | 1.52 | 0.00 | 0.61 | 0.00 | 3.55 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 5.68 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 2.41 | 85 |
| MG-63 2.1.1.2 | 3.17 | 0.83 | 1.63 | 0.00 | 1.45 | 0.01 | 2.41 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.04 | 5.49 | 0.05 | 0.05 | 2.46 | 62 |
| MG-63 1.2.2 | 3.26 | 0.74 | 1.67 | 0.01 | 1.54 | 0.00 | 2.24 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.04 | 5.45 | 0.05 | 0.05 | 2.40 | 59 |

Σ oct.: sum of octahedral cations; Σ inter.: sum of the interlayer cations; t.i.c.: total interlayer charge. t.i.c. = (2 × Ca) + Na + K; Al\(^{3+}\) = IVAl + VAl; #Mg = [Mg/(Mg + Fe\(^{3+}\))] × 100.
Table 8. Average structural formulae of kaolinite and pyrophyllite from samples normalized to O_{10}(OH)_8 and O_{10}(OH)_2, respectively. Fe calculated as Fe^{3+}.

| Unit/Sample       | n    | Si  | IVAl | VAl | Ti   | Fe^{3+} | Mn | Mg | Ca  | K    | Na    | Σ Oct. | Σ Inter. | t.i.c. | Al^6 |
|-------------------|------|-----|------|-----|------|---------|----|----|-----|------|-------|--------|----------|--------|------|
| **Kaolinite**     |      |     |      |     |      |         |    |    |     |      |       |        |          |        |      |
| Ghomaride complex |      |     |      |     |      |         |    |    |     |      |       |        |          |        |      |
| Beni Hazmar/BH-3  | 12   | Mean| 3.98 | 0.03| 3.93 | 0.00    | 0.01| 0.00| 0.02| 0.01| 0.09  | 0.02   | 3.96      | 0.11   | 0.12 | 3.97 |
|                   |      | SD  | 0.05 | 0.03| 0.07 | 0.01    | 0.01| 0.00| 0.02| 0.01| 0.08  | 0.02   | 0.05      | 0.09   | 0.09 | 0.09 |
| Aakaili/MG-56     | 6    | Mean| 3.98 | 0.03| 3.89 | 0.00    | 0.03| 0.00| 0.10| 0.00| 0.04  | 0.04   | 4.01      | 0.08   | 0.08 | 3.92 |
|                   |      | SD  | 0.04 | 0.02| 0.08 | 0.00    | 0.02| 0.00| 0.10| 0.00| 0.03  | 0.03   | 0.05      | 0.06   | 0.06 | 0.08 |
| **Federico units**|      |     |      |     |      |         |    |    |     |      |       |        |          |        |      |
| Tizgarine/MG-34   | 3    | Mean| 4.11 | 0.00| 3.83 | 0.00    | 0.01| 0.00| 0.01| 0.01| 0.01  | 0.00   | 3.85      | 0.01   | 0.02 | 3.83 |
|                   |      | SD  | 0.09 | 0.00| 0.10 | 0.00    | 0.01| 0.01| 0.01| 0.01| 0.00  | 0.00   | 0.12      | 0.01   | 0.01 | 0.10 |
| **Pyrophyllite**  |      |     |      |     |      |         |    |    |     |      |       |        |          |        |      |
| Federico units    |      |     |      |     |      |         |    |    |     |      |       |        |          |        |      |
| Tizgarine/MG-34   | 7    | Mean| 3.93 | 0.09| 1.98 | 0.00    | 0.01| 0.00| 0.01| 0.00| 0.04  | 0.01   | 2.00      | 0.06   | 0.06 | 2.07 |
|                   |      | SD  | 0.09 | 0.07| 0.07 | 0.00    | 0.01| 0.00| 0.01| 0.00| 0.03  | 0.02   | 0.07      | 0.05   | 0.05 | 0.13 |

n: number of the measured grains; SD: standard deviation (with italic); Σ oct.: sum of octahedral cations; Σ inter.: sum of the interlayer cations; t.i.c.: total interlayer charge. t.i.c. = (2 × Ca) + Na + K; Al^6 = IVAl + VAl.
4.6. Chlorite Thermometry

Semi-empirical thermometry was applied in samples studied by electron microscopy after a selection of the analyses according to the criteria related to the Si content and the value of octahedral vacancies given by Bourdelle et al. [15] and Lanari et al. [16]. Finally, only analyses from four samples of the Federico units (two from Boquete Anjera unit, one from Beni Mezala 2 unit, and one from Beni Mezala 1 unit) were valid for the calculations. The Lanari geothermometry calculations were done considering a $P$ from 1 to 3 kbar in function of the crystal-chemical parameters determined in the micas of the selected samples. As the values obtained from the different pressures are very similar, the data presented here correspond to the average pressure (2 kbar). The average temperature of each sample with the standard deviation (SD) are listed in Table 9, except for sample MG-62, that gives significant differences between the two values obtained (387 and 245 °C according to Bourdelle et al. [15] thermometer and 508 and 254 °C, respectively, with the Lanari et al. [16] thermometer). The temperature estimations of the studied rocks with both the Bourdelle et al. [15] and Lanari et al. [16] approaches are rather similar (Figure 16). Temperatures range between 250 and 400 °C in samples from the Boquete Anjera unit and between 150 and 350 °C in Beni Mezala 1 unit. In any case, the predominant values are higher than 300 °C (Figure 16), which is in coherence with the CIS values of epizone obtained for these units (Figure 5).

**Figure 16.** Histograms showing the geothermometric data based on semi-empirical thermometers [15,16] for the deepest intermediate units: (a) temperatures obtained for the Boquete Anjera samples (MG-21 and MG-11'); (b) temperatures obtained for the Beni Mezala 1 sample (MG-14).
Table 9. Summary of temperatures (°C) calculated in chlorites with semi-empirical methods.

| Tectonic units | Boquete Anjera Unit | Beni Mezala 1 Unit |
|----------------|----------------------|--------------------|
| Samples        | MG-21                | MG-11'             |
| Thermometry    |                      |                    |
| Bourdelle et al. [15] | 289 ± 42          | 319 ± 31           |
| Lanari et al. [16]  | 361 ± 37           | 331 ± 42           |
| n = 2          | n = 9               | n = 17             |

Notes: errors are expressed at SD level and numbers of analyses are signaled with “n”.

5. Discussion

The study of the mineral assemblages, crystal-chemical parameters of K-white micas, and chemical characterization of phyllosilicates of the clastic rocks of the Ghomaride complex and Federico units is of relevance to the understanding of the diageneric-metamorphic evolution of these materials after their deposition during the Permo-Triassic.

The rocks of the studied sector include a large range of diagenesis in the Ghomaride complex, with the illite “crystallinity” defining a trend from a very low-temperature environment to epizonal metamorphism in the deepest Federico units (Figure 5). Nevertheless, the evolution of the illite “crystallinity” is not strictly progressive; rather, it shows an abrupt change at the boundary between the Ghomaride complex and Federico units and another step within the Boquete Anjera unit, close to the boundary with the Tizgarine one, probably due to mechanical contacts between Ghomaride complex and Federico units and between Tizgarine and Boquete Anjera tectonic units (Figure 17). The first one marks the transition from the diagnostic to the anchizone and the second one the transition from the anchizone to the epizonal conditions. In any case, there is undoubtedly a clear increase of illite “crystallinity” with depth and this is in coherence with the mineralogical and textural evolution, as it will be addressed in the following paragraphs.
Figure 17. Illite “crystallinity” (CIS values) of the studied samples in relation to their geographic position and geological context.

Specifically, the crystal-chemical parameters and mineral assemblages of the ghomaride sandstones established by XRD are similar to the few pelites found in the Ghomaride complex. Obviously, the pelites have a higher proportion of phyllosilicates. However, according to previous works [58,59], although sandstones and pelites can be very different in relation to macroscopic features and mechanical aspects, it is not like that at the nanoscale nor in the chemistry of phyllosilicates. Illite, kaolinite, and minor quantities of illite/smectite mixed-layers and chlorite are present in the shallowest samples. Other phyllosilicates as dickite and sudoite were also identified in deeper samples with CIS values close to the diagenesis–anchizone boundary, forming, in some cases, intergrowths with illite or a wide variety of mixed-layer structures at the nanoscale. A detailed study about the mechanisms of the formation of kaolinite, dickite, sudoite, and illite in these units have been carried out by Ruiz Cruz et al. [3], where a temperature of ~150 °C is given for the kaolinite-dickite reaction in coherence with the CIS data presented here. Moreover, these clay minerals have also been described in the equivalent units of the Betic Cordillera [3,17,60]. Lastly, in the deepest ones (Aakaili Unit), Na-K mica appears for the first time. The mineral assemblages of the Federico units’ samples are characterized by the appearance of an index mineral, pyrophyllite in Tizgarine unit, coinciding with the lower anchizone limit (T ~200 °C) and coexisting with minor dickite, illite-muscovite (K and Na-K), and chlorite (tri- and di-tri). The appearance of pyrophyllite was explained as a transformation of dickite observed in selected Triassic samples from the Betic-Rif Cordillera ([3], Figure 5). Clastic rocks from Boquete Anjera show several differences from the previous ones: the first appearance of paragonite, the presence of true muscovites, and, from the textural point of view, a well-developed slaty cleavage. All these observations coincide with epizone values. These features are also present in the samples corresponding to the deepest unit (Beni Mezala), where Na-Ca mica, talc, vermiculite, chlorite/vermiculite, and kaolinite have been well described sporadically, although the last two mineral phases are not the result of a prograde evolution, as it will be commented later.

The mineral evolution described through the Beni Mezala antiform is a very good example of reaction progress in the frame of the Ostwald-step processes [7]: Metastable clay systems undergoing reactions towards chemical and textural equilibrium, which is almost reached in the epizone samples. The coexistence of illite/smectite mixed-layers and illite small packets in the diagenetic samples, which are substituted by large crystals of muscovite and paragonite in the highest metamorphic grade samples, or the presence of pyrophyllite in Tizgarine unit (lower anchizone), but the absence of kaolinite, fit quite well with the trends previously described by other authors ([8–10]; among others). In this sense, samples in which muscovite, paragonite, and intermediate Na-K mica occur together (Table 2 and Figure 14) are consistent with metastability, not only because more than two such micas appear but also because their compositions are incompatible with the solvus in the muscovite-paragonite system [61,62]. The existence of true intermediate Na-K mica was already demonstrated by TEM/AEM studies [61,63], although later, Livi et al. [64] proposed the nano-domain model, which supposes that clay minerals may contain a wide range of cations mixed non-homogeneously within an interlayer at low temperatures. Finally, in relation to the mica polytypism, the most common polytype in the studied samples is the 2M1, which is typical of metamorphic micas, but the 1M polytype occurs also in some diagenetic samples (Ghomaride complex) and the 3T polytype in some epizone samples. The appearance of different mica polytypes is also indicative of a lack of equilibrium. The occurrence of minerals that correspond to different conditions and consequently, different times on the PTt (Pressure-Temperature-time) path, giving non-equilibrium mineral assemblages, is a common feature in low-grade metamorphic rocks.

The b cell parameter of dioctahedral micas, ranging from 8.987 to 9.036 Å and roughly increasing from the ghomaride samples to the Federico ones, is also characteristic of low (1–2 kbar) to intermediate (2–3 kbar) pressure regional metamorphism according to Guidotti and Sassi [13]. However, in the deepest Federico units (Boquete Anjera and Beni Mezala units), the presence of 3T mica polytype (Figure 6) and the wide range of phengitic substitution values (Si from 3 up to more than 3.35 apfu, Figure 13b) indicates the coexistence of mica grains formed under a wider range of
pressure. The detailed research carried out by Ruiz-Cruz et al. [65] about the petrology of the phyllites and the enclosed veins found in the Beni Mezala 1 sequence showed the presence of pumpellyite—actinolite—epidote in the veins from Permo-Triassic formations, indicating pressures of ~2 kbar. This agrees with the estimations deduced from crystal-chemical parameters of white mica (CIS, b) from the enclosing pumpellyite-free phyllites (MG-14 and MG-13, Table 2). Nevertheless, the outstanding presence of kyanite-bearing veins in the same area suggests pressure conditions of 5 kbar [65].

With respect to the temperature conditions, in addition to the CIS values and the presence of minerals as pyrophyllite ($T$ ~200 °C, lower anchizonal limit) and paragonite (anchizone and epizone mineral), semi-empirical chlorite thermometers show results for the deepest Federico units that are coherent with the rest of the data. Temperature ranges are narrower in samples from the Boquete Anjera unit (250–400 °C) than in Beni Mezala 1 unit (150–350 °C), but in any case, these temperature ranges suggest the genesis of several populations of chlorites during the PTt evolution of the orogen as in the case of dioctahedral micas as it is commented below. In relation to the thermal conditions, the enclosed veins found in the Beni Mezala 1 sequence indicate temperatures of ~250 °C and the kyanite-bearing veins in the same area suggest peak conditions of 400 °C [65]. Nevertheless, these estimates in Beni Mezala 1 unit are far from those deduced by Bouybaouène [22] and Bouybaouène et al. [24] based on paragenesis with carpholite and talc, and other data relative to the Betic Cordillera [66].

According to our data, the presence of micas 3T is interpreted as being formed during the Alpine episode of high pressure and low temperature (HP/LT), which implies a collisional regime ([22,24,66,67]; and see [68–70] for a review). Nevertheless, the 2M1 micas have to be the result of a rebalancing of part of the first population of micas 3T during the highest temperature and lowest pressure episode that characterized the beginning of the exhumation of the orogen. A similar interpretation was made by Frey et al. [52] and Stökhert [53] for explaining the coexistence of these two polytypes of mica in polymetamorphic rocks of the Alps. In this research, the continuous trend observed in mica compositions also corroborates this hypothesis, reflecting the decompression path after the peak pressure. The maximum phengitic values give the pressure of the HP episode and the average is coherent with the $b$ parameter. A similar reasoning was developed by Abad et al. [71] for the Tien Shan Cordillera. Coherently, the Ghomaride samples show a lower range of phengitic compositions (Figure 12b) and a lower $b$ parameter. The large range of diagenesis in the Ghomaride complex, with the CIS data defining a trend from a very low-temperature environment to epizonal metamorphism in the deepest Federico units, just overlying the Sebtide complex, fits with the PTt path established by Azañón and Crespo-Blanc [72] for the Central Betics, resulting in other cases of diagenetic to low-grade metamorphic sequence subject to tectonic stress, which favored the prograde reaction series, instead of burial depth ([17,73], among others).

In relation to the presence of low-$T$ mineral phases as chlorite/vermiculite and kaolinite (MG-18, Table 2) in the deepest Federico units, the study of strongly deformed sudoite-bearing synfolial quartz veins present in this whitish schist [74] suggests a retrograde event altering the sudoite to chlorite/vermiculite intergrowth, kaolinite, and minor Fe oxide, probably during the latest stage of exhumation, under low-temperature conditions. This retrograde diagenetic [75] process has been described in both the intermediate units [17,19] and other complexes of the Betic Cordillera [76,77].

Lastly, considering the different positions in the geological literature on the existence or not of intermediate units between the Ghomaride and Sebtide complexes over time ([22,25,30,33,37] and references therein), the mineral data presented here suggest that the transition between Ghomaride and Sebtide complexes is gradual as concluded by Sanz de Galdeano et al. [33]. So, we suggest that the Federico units can be considered intermediate units, and in the case that they were considered the cover of Sebtide complex, it is evident that Tizgarine unit shows more affinity for the ghomaride units than for the deepest Federico ones, as evidenced by the lithological characteristics, the progressive trends of phyllosilicates assemblages, and chemical compositions of the K-white micas.

6. Conclusions

The Beni Mezala antiform is characterized by a complete sequence of clastic rocks ranging from diagenetic (Ghomaride complex) to epizone conditions (Boquete Anjera and Beni Mezala, Federico
The identification of different polytypes in micas (1M, 2M1 in ghomaride samples and 2M1, 3T in Federico samples) together with the continuous trend observed in the mica composition, with a wide range of phengitic values, suggests a PTt evolution of the orogen after the peak pressure, which is coherent with the average value given by the b parameter (3–1 kbar), reflecting a decompression path. The 2M1 micas would be the result of the rebalancing of part of the high P population of micas 3T (characteristic of the collisional setting) during a subsequent low pressure and high temperature episode, which characterized the beginning of the exhumation of the orogen. The temperature estimates based on index minerals, CIS data, and chlorite geothermometry give ranges from 150 to 400 °C, the highest temperatures in the deepest samples of the Federico units. The chlorite geothermometry also allowed confirmation of the genesis of several populations of chlorite.

Finally, and regarding the results shown in this article, Federico units can be considered intermediate units between the diagenetic Gomaride complex and the green-schist facies that characterized the Sebite complex, although under the mineral data presented here Tizgarine unit shows more affinity for the Gomaride complex than for the deepest Federico units.
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