The Role of Host Genetic Signatures on Root–Microbe Interactions in the Rhizosphere and Endosphere
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Microbiomes inhabiting plants are crucial for plant productivity and well-being. A plethora of interactions between roots, microbiomes, and soil shapes the self-organization of the microbial community associated with the root system. The rhizosphere (i.e., the soil close to the root surface) and endosphere (i.e., all inner root tissues) are critical interfaces for the exchange of resources between roots and the soil environment. In recent years, next-generation sequencing technologies have enabled systemic studies of root-associated microbiomes in the endosphere and interactions between roots and microbes at the root-soil interfaces. Genetic factors such as species and genotype of host plants are the driving force of microbial community differentiation and composition. In this mini-review, we will survey the role of these factors on plant–microbe interactions by highlighting the results of next-generation genomic and transcriptomic studies in the rhizosphere and endosphere of land plants. Moreover, environmental factors such as geography and soil type shape the microbiome. Relationships between the root-associated microbiome, architectural variations and functional switches within the root system determine the health and fitness of the whole plant system. A detailed understanding of plant–microbe interactions is of fundamental agricultural importance and significance for crop improvement by plant breeding.
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INTRODUCTION

In vascular plants, the root system is the main interface for interactions with the soil microbiome. Roots influence biological activity and diversity of microbes in the soil by secreting organic substances including amino acids, carbohydrates and organic acids as well as by depositing root cap border cells and polysaccharide mucilage to soil environments (Marschner, 1995). Thus, root systems provide nutrient-rich niches for microbes. The interactions of roots and microbes are also enhanced by the highly active uptake of water and soluble molecules by roots and by transporting them to the energy delivering green part of plants. Soil provides a vital habitat for microorganisms (Marschner, 1995). Genomic studies have indicated that the soil type has in general a stronger influence on the composition of the plant root microbiome in both the rhizosphere and the endosphere than the plant species (Bulgarelli et al., 2012; Peiffer et al., 2013; Schreiter et al., 2014). However, under identical soil conditions, the plant genotype drives the diversity of root microbial community structure and function, thus demonstrating that plants are able to filter their root microbiomes in a defined environment (Reinhold-Hurek and Hurek, 2011). Different plant
species even genotypes within a species have shown distinct metabolic activities thus producing different types and amounts of organic compounds. Early studies have demonstrated that microbial communities of root systems varied between different plant genera (Smalla et al., 2001) and species (Tan et al., 2011). For instance, highly diverged angiosperm species vary with respect to bacterial diversity and composition in both the rhizosphere and endosphere (Fitzpatrick et al., 2018). In addition, the composition of root microbiomes can be divergent at the level of subspecies, as shown from different cultivars of potato (Sessitsch et al., 2002; Andreote et al., 2010) and rice (Haridoim et al., 2011). Comparative genomic analyses of microbial communities in the endosphere and rhizosphere, require suitable methods to efficiently separate these compartments along the complex morphological and anatomical structure of the root system (Reinhold-Hurek et al., 2015).

Recent studies have applied high-throughput DNA sequencing to study the composition and organization of different plant microbiomes (16S rRNA gene based community profiling), including both dicot (Bulgarelli et al., 2012; Lundberg et al., 2012; Schlaeppe et al., 2014) and monocot (Knief et al., 2012; Peiffer et al., 2013; Edwards et al., 2015; Walters et al., 2012; Schlaeppi et al., 2014) species. This mini review will highlight recent progress in deciphering the influence of the genetic background of host plants on the microbial diversity and composition in the rhizosphere and endosphere of the root system.

COMMUNITY PROFILING OF HOST–MICROBE INTERACTION IN THE RHIZOSPHERE

The rhizosphere is the narrow soil zone directly surrounding the root system, which is highly modulated by the root system (Marschner, 1995). Roots release low-molecular-weight exudates, which decompose very quickly. Those exudates attract soil microorganisms into the rhizosphere where they multiply and enrich by several orders of magnitude compared to bulk soil (Sasse et al., 2017). Attracted microorganisms strongly influence plant nutrition by mineralizing organic nutrients and transformation of inorganic nutrients (Marschner, 1995). Moreover, host–microbe interaction studies have revealed that plants are able to recruit beneficial microbes to increase microbial activity and reduce pathogen attacks to maintain plant health in the rhizosphere (Berendsen et al., 2012). Recent surveys have revealed that different plant species can shape their rhizosphere microbiome, as shown from the host specific microbial communities grown under identical soil condition (Ofek-Lalzar et al., 2014). Rhizosphere microbiome composition has been suggested to be controlled by root exudate composition (Radri et al., 2009; Lakshmanan et al., 2012; Carvalhais et al., 2013; Lebes et al., 2015). Particular plant species and even specific cultivars shape the composition of the rhizosphere microbiome (Peiffer et al., 2013; Turner et al., 2013; Ofek-Lalzar et al., 2014).

Human selection during domestication has significantly reduced the genetic diversity of modern crop species in comparison to their progenitors (Doebly et al., 2006). Recent work has emphasized that domestication strongly shaped microbial diversity in the rhizosphere (Pérez-Jaramillo et al., 2016; Schmidt et al., 2016). Domesticated barley (Hordeum vulgare) shows a distinct microbiome compared to its wild progenitor with respect to function (Bulgarelli et al., 2015). Some of the genes affecting host–microbe interactions in domesticated barley show evidence of positive selection (Bulgarelli et al., 2015). Moreover, the maize progenitor teosinte shows significantly higher bacterial abundance and diversity in the rhizosphere compared to modern maize sweet corn and popcorn inbred lines (Szoboszlay et al., 2015). Nevertheless, a significant fraction of endophytic bacterial diversity observed in teosinte is conserved in modern hybrid maize (Johnston-Monje and Raizada, 2011; Johnston-Monje et al., 2014). In vegetable species as for instance beet and lettuce, rhizosphere bacterial community composition of wild ancestors is different from their corresponding modern varieties (Zachow et al., 2014; Cardinale et al., 2015). Similarly, genetically divergent wild and modern bean genotypes display distinct bacterial communities (Pérez-Jaramillo et al., 2017). Interestingly, recent findings indicate that fungal communities are stronger influenced by host genotypes than by bacterial communities in the rhizosphere during sunflower domestication and breeding (Leff et al., 2017). These results indicate that microbial composition and diversity in the rhizosphere co-evolved with host domestication and modern breeding but that it is also dependent on their own genetic and genomic identity in plants.

High-yielding maize hybrids display a significantly higher bacterial abundance and more elite probiotic rhizospheric strains with higher productivity of 2,4-diacylphloroglucinol and superior root-colonization ability in the rhizosphere than their parental inbred lines (Picard and Bosco, 2006). It has been suggested that this is due to more secretion of exudates relative to their parental inbred lines (Picard et al., 2004, 2008; Picard and Bosco, 2005, 2006). Specifically, the antibiotic 2,4-diacylphloroglucinol produced by probiotic rhizobacteria has been shown growth-promoting properties and inhibition of phytopathogenic bacteria and fungi in crops (Haas and Keel, 2003; Vacheron et al., 2013). In rice, enrichment of a particular group of Alphaproteobacteria and Ascomycota has been shown in hybrid cultivars in comparison to conventional cultivars (Hussain et al., 2011). These results suggest that hybrids display beneficial plant–microbe interactions that might be related to heterosis i.e., the superior vigor of these plants. Thus, it would be interesting to compare additional hybrids to survey how heterosis affects the root microbiome.

COMMUNITY PROFILING OF HOST–MICROBE INTERACTION IN THE ENDOSPHERE

The endosphere, which comprises all inner root tissues is inhabited by microbes (Reinhold-Hurek et al., 2015). Function
and composition of microbial communities are very different between the rhizosphere and the endosphere. These observations indicate that the endosphere of plants has the potential to attract or filter the microbes inhabiting the rhizosphere (Edwards et al., 2015; Naylor et al., 2017). Microbial communities inhabiting the root endosphere also engage in symbiosis, which is defined as close and long-term biological interaction between two different biological organisms with their host. Endophytic communities of the root system are distinct assemblies and not mere subsets of the microbial communities in the rhizosphere (Gottel et al., 2011). Microbes have been successfully isolated from the endosphere by sonication in Arabidopsis (Bulgarelli et al., 2012; Lundberg et al., 2012). Recent experiments have demonstrated that the host genotypes have relatively little effects on the composition of bacteria in the endosphere of the Arabidopsis root (Bulgarelli et al., 2012; Lundberg et al., 2012). A study in rice has demonstrated that spatially separated parts of the endosphere harbor distinct and overlapping bacterial communities, which are affected by the genotype of the host plant (Edwards et al., 2015). These findings indicate that microbiomes are selected distinctively by the root interior and the rhizosphere in response to multiple signals from the plant. A systemic investigation of cereals suggests that bacterial communities of the host root endosphere correlate with host phylogenetic distance (Naylor et al., 2017). These findings highlight that the composition and diversity of microbial communities in the endosphere depend on specific species and genotypes under a certain geographical condition during the evolutionary history.

It has been shown that beneficial association between host and plant growth promoting rhizobacteria is an inherited trait in the endosphere of tomato plants (Smith et al., 1999). Moreover, it has been demonstrated that hybrids tend to enrich more phylogenetic subgroups of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi in comparison to their parental inbred lines in the endosphere (Picard et al., 2008). In addition, arbuscular mycorrhizal colonization between inbred lines varies with diverse geographic origin and year of release in maize (An et al., 2010). Finally, modern maize hybrids have a substantially higher colonization rate with arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi than their parental inbred lines or landraces (An et al., 2010). These results substantiate the notion that modern breeding lead to beneficial association between maize and arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi in the endosphere.

In contrast to the model plant Arabidopsis and most dicot plant species, cereal plants display distinct root types including seminal roots and postembryonic shoot-borne crown and brace roots, which show diverse anatomical structures and transcriptomic signatures during development (Hochholdinger et al., 2018). Moreover, lateral roots contribute substantially to the overall surface for water and nutrient uptake in maize (Yu et al., 2016). Recent observations have demonstrated that distinct functional and metabolic characteristics of different root types significantly influence root-inhabiting microbiomes in both maize and Brachypodium (Kawasaki et al., 2016; Yu et al., 2018). These results highlight, that microbiomes diverge even between distinct regions of the root system (Yu et al., 2018). Moreover, some earlier studies have shown that metabolic and genetic fingerprinting along the root are highly diversified for different plant species (Yang and Crowely, 2000; Baudoin et al., 2002). This results in distinct microbial signatures along the different root zones (Kawasaki et al., 2016). These discoveries demonstrate that distinct root microbiomes of specific root types will be averaged out by studying the microbial community structure in a whole root system (Kawasaki et al., 2016). Therefore, it will be necessary to compare root type-specific microbial communities between dicot and monocot species. This will provide an evolutionary perspective to the understanding of how developmental characteristics affect the microbiome in the endosphere and rhizosphere.

**CONCLUSION AND PERSPECTIVES**

Microbial diversity of the rhizosphere and endosphere is regulated by physical and chemical characteristics of the rhizosphere. These are partly determined by the species and genotype of the host root. Nevertheless, it is still unclear whether the phylogenetic distance between genotypes is correlated with the microbial community composition in the rhizosphere (Bouffaud et al., 2012, 2014; Peiffer et al., 2013; Schlaeppepi et al., 2014). Differences in microbial community composition might only be observed between genotypes which display large genetic distances. For example, domesticated genotypes usually display distinct microbiome compositions in comparison with their wild progenitor (Schmidt et al., 2016). Similarly, genetically diverse modern inbred lines also display largely distinct microbiomes (Peiffer et al., 2013; Walters et al., 2018). Another instrumental factor determining the divergence of microbiome composition between different genotypes within a species is the sampling strategy to harvest the rhizosphere and endosphere. Many rhizosphere sampling strategies are likely not reproducible enough because of their low resolution. Recent studies in maize and Brachypodium indicate, that different root types harbor different microbial communities (Kawasaki et al., 2016; Yu et al., 2018), indicating microbiome variations even in the same organ of a single plant. Longitudinal separation of developmentally diverse root zones (root tip, elongation zone, differentiation zone and maturation zone) in single root types will be beneficial to comprehensively detect the development-dependent microbial gradients of host–microbe interaction. In addition, fine-scale isolation of rhizosphere and endosphere by laser capture microdissection in combination with next-generation sequencing would be an important tool to systematically target the direct interaction between plants and microbes at the cellular level.
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