Characterization of *Staphylococcus aureus* from Distinct Geographic Locations in China: An Increasing Prevalence of spa-t030 and SCC*mec* Type III
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**Abstract**

*Staphylococcus aureus* belongs to one of the most common bacteria causing healthcare and community associated infections in China, but their molecular characterization has not been well studied. From May 2011 to June 2012, a total of 322 non-duplicate *S. aureus* isolates were consecutively collected from seven tertiary care hospitals in seven cities with distinct geographical locations in China, including 171 methicillin sensitive *S. aureus* (MSSA) and 151 MRSA isolates. All isolates were characterized by spa typing. The presence of virulence genes was tested by PCR. MRSA were further characterized by SCC*mec* typing. Seventy four and 16 spa types were identified among 168 MSSA and 150 MRSA, respectively. One spa type t030 accounted for 80.1% of all MRSA isolates, which was higher than previously reported, while spa-t037 accounted for only 4.0% of all MRSA isolates. The first six spa types (t309, t189, t034, t377, t078 and t091) accounted for about one third of all MSSA isolates. 121 of 151 MRSA isolates (80.1%) were identified as SCC*mec* type III. *pvl* gene was found in 32 MSSA (18.7%) and 5 MRSA (3.3%) isolates, with ST22-MSSA-t309 as the most commonly identified strain. Compared with non-epidemic MRSA clones, epidemic MRSA clones (corresponding to ST239) exhibited a lower susceptibility to rifampin, ciprofloxacin, gentamicin and trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole, a higher prevalence of *sea* gene and a lower prevalence of *seb, sec, seg, sei* and *tst* genes. The increasing prevalence of multidrug resistant _spa-t030_ MRSA represents a major public health problem in China.
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**Introduction**

As one of the most important antibiotic-resistant pathogens in many parts of the world, the rates of methicillin resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA) have been swiftly increasing worldwide over the past decades [1]. A review of the data from two main antimicrobial resistance surveillance programs (Mohnarin and CHINET) in China suggested that the proportion of MRSA among clinical *S. aureus* isolates increased dramatically from 20% in 1980 to 60% in 2008 [2]. Another Gram-Positive Cocci Resistance Surveillance program conducted in 12 teaching hospitals across China showed that the prevalence of MRSA dropped from 53.9% in 2005 to 48.1% in 2010, which might be due to the enhancement of infection control policy recently in China [3]. However, the high incidence of MRSA infection still represents a major problem in many hospital settings.

The evidence of many large molecular epidemiological studies showed that a limited number of predominant clones were responsible for the high prevalence of MRSA [4–6]. Only five _spa_ types accounted for almost half (48.1%) of all MRSA isolates causing invasive infections in 26 European countries [6]. One multiply antibiotic resistance clone, defined by multilocus sequence typing (MLST) as ST239, is now widely disseminated in many Asian countries and China in particular [4,7,9], although it might be originated from European countries [9,10]. ST239 is highly associated with the staphylococcal chromosomal cassette *mec* (SCC*mec*) type III genetic element and mainly corresponds to two _spa_ types: t037 and t030 [4,10]. Comparison of genome phylogeny with _spa_ typing suggested that t037 represents the
an ancestral ST239 spa type [11], which is in accordance with the findings that t030 has replaced t057 as the most frequent MRSA type in China, although t057 still accounted for a high proportion of MRSA [12].

The characteristics of epidemic clones, their evolution and the reason for why some epidemic clones such as MRSA-ST239 are so common in hospitals in China are still unclear at present. A recent reason for why some epidemic clones such as MRSA-ST239 are so resistance and virulence determinants including sasX genes among MRSA epidemic clones, non-epidemic clones and MSSA isolates.

Materials and Methods

Clinical isolates

From May 2011 to June 2012, a multicenter antibiotic-resistant bacteria surveillance program integrated by 8 clinical laboratories in China has been performed. A total of 322 non-duplicate staphylococcus aureus (S. aureus) isolates from different patients were consecutively collected from seven tertiary care hospitals in seven cities, which are located in distinct geographies in China (Northeast (Harbin), North (Beijing, Ji’nan), Northwestern (Urumqi), Central (Nanchang), East (Suzhou), Southwest (Chengdu) (Figure S1 of the supporting information). The clinical sources of isolates included the respiratory tract (n = 76), wound (n = 57), skin and soft tissue (n = 44), blood culture (n = 35), body fluid (n = 16), drainage (n = 11), urine (n = 7) and other sources (n = 76), such as pus, cerebral spinal fluid, catheter, et al. Eight isolates were from the outpatients or emergency departments, 30 isolates were obtained from intensive care units, 284 isolates were from the other inpatients departments. All the confirmed S. aureus isolates were sent to Chinese PLA Institute for Disease Control & Prevention in Beijing, who was responsible for organizing this surveillance program and conducting the molecular experiments.

Antimicrobial susceptibility testing

Antimicrobial susceptibility was determined by the disk diffusion or minimal inhibitory concentration (MIC) methods according to the guidelines of Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI) [14]. The antimicrobial agents tested included oxacillin (OX), penicillin (P), erythromycin (E), clindamycin (CLI), rifampin (RIF), ciprofloxacin (CIP), gentamicin (GM), tetracycline (TET), trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole (SXT), fusidic acid (FD), linezolid (LZD), nitrofurantoin (T), teicoplanin (TEC) and vancomycin (VA) (Oxoid ltd, Basingstoke, Hants, Chicago). Zone diameters ≤ 18 mm for fusidic acid was considered to be resistant as described previously[15], the susceptibility results of the other drugs were interpreted according to CLSI [14]. S. aureus strain ATCC 29213 or ATCC 29213 were used as quality control. Multidrug resistance was defined as resistance to ≥ 4 classes of antibiotics tested.

Molecular experiments

DNA extraction. DNA was extracted by adding a mixture of 60 μl of 10 mg/ml lysozyme and 60 μl of 10 mg/ml lysostaphin for efficient lysis according to manufacturers’ technical manual (Wizard® Genomic DNA Purification Kit, Promega Corporation, Madison, WI, USA). The DNA was used as the template in all PCRs described below.

Detection of mecA and mecC genes. All the S. aureus isolates were screened for the presence of mecA gene as previously described [16]. A sample of mecA-negative isolates was screened for the presence of mecC gene using a specific PCR method [17]. The isolates carrying mecA or mecC gene were identified as MRSA. spa typing and MLST. spa typing was performed as described previously [18]. Purified spa PCR products were sequenced with Applied Biosystems 3730XL DNA sequencer, spa types and repeats were assigned by using the spa database website (http://www.ridom.de/spaserver). The spa types were clustered into spa clonal complexes using the algorithm BURP using the Ridom StaphType version 2.2 software (http://www.ridom.de), with the calculated cost between the members of a group being less than or equal to 4 [19]. Multiple locus sequence typing (MLST) were performed in some representative isolates with special spa clones or virulence determinants, each allele sequence profile and the sequence type were determined according to the MLSB database (http://saureus.mlst.net).

SCCmec typing. The mec complex of all the MRSA isolates were typed by multiplex PCR (M-PCR) with 20 primers as described by Milheirico et al [20]. Staphylococcal chromosomal cassette mec (SCCmec) types I to V were identified by comparing the M-PCR banding patterns of the isolates to those of the following reference strains: NCTC10442 (SCCmec type I), N315 (SCCmec type II), 85/2082 (SCCmec type III), JCSC4744 (SCCmec type IV), and CQ12109 (SCCmec type V). Single PCR assays with primers pairs of the M-PCR and a sequence based cerB typing [21,22] were performed for the nontypeable isolates. Amplification of the cassette chromosome recombinase gene, ccrC, was additionally performed as previously described [23], with a modification of annealing temperature to 52°C. Some of the PCR products were sequenced and further compared against the NCBI non-redundant protein database using BLASTP.

Identification of virulence genes. The presence of pol gene encoding the Panton-Valentine leukocidin (PVL) toxin, tst gene encoding the toxic shock syndrome toxin 1 (TSST-1), virulence-associated gene sasX and 11 other virulence genes sea-sec, sig-sg, eta and etb was tested by PCR for all isolates with the primers described previously [13,24,25]. Reaction mixtures contained 5 ng chromosomal DNA, oligonucleotide primers (0.2 μM), 100 μM each deoxynucleotide triphosphates, 10×PCR buffer (Mg²⁺ Plus), and 1.25 U Taq polymerase (Takara Bio Inc., Kyoto, Japan) in a final volume of 50 μl. PCR products were amplified with the following conditions: 94°C for 5 min, followed by 30 cycles of 94°C for 40 s, 52°C for 40 s, 72°C for 40 s, and a final elongation step of 72°C for 5 min. 4 μL of PCR product was run on a 1% agarose gel. The reaction was recorded as positive if a single clear band with the correct size was present.

Collection of clinical data

The isolates information and corresponding medical records of the patients were reviewed by the local microbiological workers. The following variables were collected according to the standard protocol: isolation date, origin of clinical specimen, patient demographics (gender and age), patient location within the hospital; underlying diseases; epidemiological context (hospital acquisition or hospital onset when S. aureus isolated ≥48 h after admission, community onset when the isolation date was within 48 h after admission), patient’s live status 14 days after initial isolate.

The study was approved by the institutional ethics committees of the Academy of Military Medical Sciences of the Chinese People’s Liberation Army, Beijing, China. As all data were anonymously collected and interpreted, the need for written
informed consent from the participants was waived by the institutional ethics committees.

**Statistical analysis**

Statistical analysis was carried out using SPSS 16.0 for Windows (SPSS inc., Chicago, IL, USA). Chi-square test and nonparametric test were used for comparing proportions and the median age, respectively, for the summary statistics. Fisher’s exact test was used to compare the prevalence of antibiotic resistance and virulence factors as appropriate. The index of diversity of *spa* typing and the 95% confidence intervals (CIs) were calculated as described previously [26]. A *p* value of <0.05 was considered statistically significant.

**Results**

**Isolates and patients**

Among the 322 non-duplicate *S. aureus* collected from seven hospitals between May 2011 to June 2012, 171 (53.1%) and 151 (46.9%) isolates were identified as MSSA and MRSA, respectively (Table 1). All the MRSA isolates carried *mecA* gene and no *mecC* positive isolates were found. The proportion of MRSA among *S. aureus* obtained from the ICU wards was significantly higher than that from other wards (86.7% VS 42.8%, *p*<0.001). Patients with MRSA colonization or infection were older with a median age of 50 y compared to MSSA patients with a median age of 45 y (*p* = 0.005). One hundred of 127 MRSA (78.7%) and 50 of 143 MSSA (35.0%) were reported as hospital onset isolates, respectively. The difference of hospital acquisition proportions between MRSA and MSSA isolates was significant (*p*<0.001). The gender distribution and all-cause mortality of patients 14 d after isolation of *S. aureus* did not differ between MSSA and MRSA (Table 2).

**spa** typing

A total of 81 *spa* types were assigned to 318 *S. aureus*, leaving 4 isolates not typeable. 168 MSSA contained 74 *spa* types, while 150 MRSA had 16 *spa* types. There were 9 *spa* types (t002, t030, t078, t127, t437, t701, t376, t4549 and t5554) found in both MSSA and MRSA. The index of diversity (D1) for MSSA was 0.975 (95% CI: 0.968-0.983), which was significantly higher than for MRSA (D1 = 0.347, 95% CI: 0.246-0.448) (Table 2). 121 and 6 MRSA isolates were identified as *spa* type t030 and t037, respectively, which accounted for 80.1% and 4.0% of all MRSA isolates, whereas the first six *spa* types (t309, t189, t034, t377, t078 and t091) accounted for 33.3% of all MSSA isolates. Four novel *spa* types (t12440, t12441, t12442, t12444) were identified firstly in MSSA in our study.

*spa*-t030 was uniformly predominant in MRSA isolates from all the seven hospitals, with the proportion ranging from 56% in a hospital in Suzhou to 94% in a hospital in Harbin (Figure S1). However, the predominant *spa* types in MSSA isolates differed largely from different hospitals. The most common MSSA isolates, *spa*-t030, were all from the hospital in Urumqi, while seven *spa*-t078 isolates were all from the hospital in Harbin, and *spa*-t1189 were mainly indentified in isolates from the hospitals in Chengdu and Nanchang (Table 1). As shown in Table 3, *spa*-t030 was the most frequent *spa* type in *S. aureus* isolates of different sources, except for skin or soft tissue and urine. Among the *S. aureus* isolates from skin or soft tissue, t309 (*n = 7*), t437 (*n = 5*) and t796 (*n = 3*) were three most frequent *spa* types.

BURP analysis of 318 typeable *S. aureus* strains showed that 301 strains were clustered into 17 *spa*-CCs. The four most common CCs were CC030, CC034, CC309 and CC437, which accounted for 42.1%, 6.9%, 5.3% and 5.3% of all the typeable strains, respectively. MSSA were found in all *spa*-CCs, while MRSA were found in only eight *spa*-CCs (Figure 1).

**SCCmec typing**

Among the 151 MRSA isolates, four SCCmec types including II (3, 2.0%), III (121, 80.1%), IV (10, 6.6%), V (8, 5.3%) were identified, leaving 9 isolates (6.0%) classified as nontypeable (NT), although repeated singular PCR assay and cerC typing were conducted. Three *spa*-002 isolates from Suzhou were identified as SCCmec type II, which exhibited multidrug resistance patterns and carried multiple virulence genes. *spa*-t030 accounted for 91.7% of all the SCCmec type III isolates, which also exhibited multidrug resistance patterns and widely distributed in the seven hospitals. All the six *spa*-t037 MRSA were identified as SCCmec type III. Six of the seven *spa*-t437 MRSA were identified as SCCmec type IV (Table 4). The cerC gene, which always lies in the left extremity of SCCmec elements, was identified in 120 of the 121 type III strains and all the type V strains. The *spa* type of the strain without cerC was t078.

**Distribution of pvl, sarX and other virulence genes**

Thirty-seven isolates (11.5% of all) were found positive for the presence of *pvl* gene, including 32 MSSA and 5 MRSA isolates. Of the 93 and 50 MSSA isolates that were reported as community...
and hospital onset isolates, 23(24.7%) and 6(12.0%) were pvl-positive, respectively. However, the difference was not significant ($\chi^2 = 3.26, p = 0.071$). Fourteen pvl-positive isolates were cultured from skin or soft tissue. The first four spa types of pvl-positive isolates were t309 (n = 9, 24.3%), t437 (n = 5, 13.5%), t011(n = 3, 8.1%) and t189 (n = 3, 8.1%). Three pvl-positive MRSA (spa-t437) were classified as SCCmec type IV, the other two (spa-t437 and spa-t87) were SCCmec type V.

Five MRSA isolated from four different sample sources (drainage, pus, sputum, body fluid) in four hospitals were found positive for the presence of sasX gene. Of them, three isolates were spa-t037 exhibiting resistance to all nine kinds of antibiotics tested and the other two were spa-t030 exhibiting also multidrug resistance pattern. All the five sasX-positive isolates belonged to SCCmec type III and carried sea virulence gene. The sea gene was identified with the proportion of 58.5% and 82.1% in MSSA and MRSA, respectively. The prevalence of seb-sed, seh, eta, etb, and tst genes in MSSA and MRSA were all less than 10%. The eta and etb genes were only found in MSSA isolates. No see gene was found in both MSSA and MRSA.

### Table 2. Summary statistics of patients and spa typing for MSSA/MRSA isolated in seven hospitals.

| Statistics                      | n* | MSSA | MRSA | Total/Overall | $\chi^2$ | p-value<sup>b</sup> |
|---------------------------------|----|------|------|---------------|---------|-------------------|
| Male gender (%)                 | 321| 112(65.9) | 103(68.2) | 215(67.0) | 0.19 | 0.658 |
| Median age (IQR)                | 320| 45(26–59) | 50(37–65) | 47(32–64) | - | 0.005 |
| ICU wards (%)                   | 322| 4(2.3) | 26(17.2) | 30(9.3) | 20.01 | <0.001 |
| Hospital acquisition (%)        | 270| 50(35.0) | 100(78.7) | 150(55.6) | 52.20 | <0.001 |
| No. spa types                   | 318| 74 | 16 | 81 | - | - |
| No. not typeable               | 4 3 | 1 | 4 | - | - |
| Index of diversity (95% CI)     | 318| 0.975(0.968–0.983) | 0.347(0.246–0.448) | 0.844(0.804–0.884) | - | <0.005<sup>a</sup> |
| PVL (%)                        | 322| 32(18.7) | 5(3.3) | 37(11.5) | 18.71 | <0.001 |
| All-cause mortality of patients 14 d after isolation of S. aureus | 250| 4(3.1) | 8(6.7) | 12(4.8) | 1.80 | 0.180 |

<sup>a</sup>Number of isolates for which data were available.

<sup>b</sup>p-value for the comparison of MSSA versus MRSA.

<sup>c</sup>Deduced from non-overlapping 95% confidence intervals.

### Table 3. The prevalence of MRSA, PVL and predominant spa types among different isolate sources.

| Isolate sources | Total Number | MRSA no.(%) | PVL no.(%) | Predominant spa types (%) |
|-----------------|--------------|------------|-----------|----------------------------|
| Blood culture   | 35           | 17(48.6)   | 1(2.9)    | t030(40.0), t078(8.6)      |
| Body fluid      | 16           | 11(68.8)   | 2(12.5)   | t030(68.8)                 |
| Drainage        | 11           | 8(72.7)    | 0(0.0)    | t030(63.6)                 |
| Skin or soft tissue | 44     | 5(11.4)    | 14(31.8)  | t309(15.9), t437(11.4), t796(6.8) |
| Sputum          | 76           | 53(69.7)   | 1(1.3)    | t030(61.8), t002(3.9), t034(3.9) |
| Urine           | 7            | 1(14.3)    | 1(14.3)   | UN                         |
| Wound           | 57           | 31(54.4)   | 5(8.8)    | t030(49.1), t189(7.0)     |
| Others          | 76           | 25(32.9)   | 13(17.1)  | t030(18.4), t437(7.9), t034(5.3), t002(3.9), t037(3.9) |
| Total           | 322          | 151(46.9)  | 37(11.5)  | t030(37.9), t039(4.3), t437(4.0), t189(3.4), t034(3.1), t002(2.8) |

UN: The numbers of different spa types were equally distributed.

### MLST typing

To show S. aureus clones more clearly, seventy strains, including 12 spa-t030 strains, 4 spa-t037 strains, 17 other representative MRSA clones and all the 37 pvl-positive strains were chosen for MLST typing. All of the 16 spa-t030 and spa-t037 isolates tested (including five sasX-positive isolates), as well as three other spa-CC030 MRSA clones, spa-t233, spa-t459 and spa-t748 were identified as ST239, which represents epidemic MRSA clones in our study. Six spa-t437 and one spa-t441 MRSA clones were identified as ST59 (Table 5). Eleven ST types were indentified among the 37 pvl-positive S. aureus isolates. The first three ST types were ST22 (n = 10, 27.0%), ST398 (n = 8, 21.6%) and ST59 (n = 6, 16.2%). The detailed information of the 37 pvl-positive S. aureus isolates were shown in the supplemental information (Table S1).

### Comparisons of the prevalence of antibiotic resistance and presence of virulence genes

The results of susceptibility testing showed that all the isolates tested were susceptible to vancomycin, teicoplanin and linezolid without exception and only 2 isolates (MRSA-t030 and MSSA-t2019) were resistant to nitrofurantoin. The resistance rates of other antibiotics ranged from 6.9% (flusidic acid) to 95.6% (nimodipine).
Figure 1. Population snapshot of 318 *Staphylococcus aureus* isolates described in the current study, using the results of *spa* typing. Clusters of linked isolates correspond to clonal complexes by means of the BURP algorithm. Primary founders (in blue) are positioned centrally in the cluster. The highlighted numbers indicate *spa* types identified in the MRSA populations.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0096255.g001

Table 4. The first five *spa* types, antibiotic resistance profile, virulence gene profile and distribution by city among MRSA and MSSA isolates.

| MRSA or MSSA | *spa* type | No. of isolates (%) | Predominant Resistance phenotype (no. of isolates)* | Predominant virulence gene profile (no. of isolates) | Distribution by city (no. of isolates)** |
|--------------|------------|---------------------|-----------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|
| MRSA         | t030       | 121(80.1)           | P,E,CLL,RIF,CIP,GM,TET (49)                         | sea (109)                                           | BJ(19),CD(13),HB(32), JN(12), NC(10), SZ(5), Ur(30) |
|              | t437       | 7(4.6)              | P,E,CLI (6)                                         | pvl (4)                                             | JN(4),CD(2),NC(1)                        |
|              | t037       | 6(4.0)              | P,E,CLL,CIP,GM,TET (6)                              | sea (6)                                             | NC(2),BJ(1),CD(1),JN(1),Ur(1)           |
|              | t002       | 3(2.0)              | P,E,CLL,CIP,GM,TET (3)                              | seb,sec,sei,sei, tst (3)                           | SZ(3)                                  |
|              | t4549      | 2(1.3)              | P(2)                                                | -                                                   | CD(2)                                  |
| MSSA         | t309       | 14(8.2)             | P,E, SXT(8)                                        | sea,pvl (8)                                         | Ur(14)                                 |
|              | t189       | 11(6.4)             | P(10)                                               | seb,pvl (2)                                         | CD(SJ),JN(2),NC(2),BJ(1),Ur(1)          |
|              | t034       | 10(5.8)             | P,E(8)                                              | sea (4)                                             | CD(4),BJ(3),JN(1),NC(1),Ur(1)          |
|              | t377       | 8(4.7)              | P,E,CIP(5)                                          | -                                                   | CD(5),BJ(1),JN(1),Ur(1)                |
|              | t078       | 7(4.1)              | P,E,CIP,GM (4)                                     | sea,sei,sei(6)                                      | HB(7)                                  |

*P, penicillin; E, erythromycin; CLI, clindamycin; RIF, rifampin; CIP, ciprofloxacin; GM, gentamicin; TET, tetracycline; SXT, trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole.

**BJ, Beijing; CD, Chengdu; HB, Harbin; JN, Ji’nan; NC, Nanchang; SZ, Suzhou; Ur, Urumqi.

NT: not typeable.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0096255.t004
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Table 5. The distribution of MLST types, SCCmec types and virulence genes in different MRSA clones according to spa clone complex.

| spa-CC  | spa type | No. (%) of isolates | MLST (no. of isolates tested) | MLST allele profileb | SCCmec type (no. of isolates) | Carriage of virulence genes (no. of isolates) |
|---------|----------|---------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|
| CC030   | t030     | 121 (80.1)          | ST239 (12)                    | 2-3-1-1-4-4-3        | ?(111), IV(1), V(3), NT (6)   | seb(109), seh(1), sec(2), sed(1), seg(8), seh(2), sej(2), se(5), tst(1), saxX(2) |
| t037    |          | 6 (4.0)             | ST239 (4)                     | 2-3-1-1-4-4-3        | ?(6)                          | seb(6), saxX(3)                            |
| t233    |          | 1 (0.7)             | ST239 (1)                     | 2-3-1-1-4-4-3        | ?(1)                          | seh(1), sec(1)                             |
| t459    |          | 1 (0.7)             | ST239 (1)                     | 2-3-1-1-4-4-3        | ?(1)                          | seh(1)                                     |
| t748    | t030     | 1 (0.7)             | ST239 (1)                     | 2-3-1-1-4-4-3        | I(V)                          | seh(1)                                     |
| CC437   | t437     | 7 (4.6)             | ST59 (6)                      | 19-23-15-2-19-20-15  | IV(6), V(1)                   | pvf(4), seh(1), seb(4), sec(1), seh(7), seh(1) |
| t441    |          | 1 (0.7)             | ST59 (1)                      | 19-23-15-19-20-15    | IV(1)                         | None                                        |
| CC002   | t002     | 3 (2.0)             | ST764 (2)                     | 1-136-1-4-12-1-10    | ?(3)                          | seh(1), seh(3), seh(5), seh(5), seh(3), seh(3), seh(3) |
| Singleton | t4549  | 2 (1.3)             | ST630 (2)                     | 12-3-1-1-4-4-3       | V(2)                          | seh(1)                                     |
| CC127   | t127     | 1 (0.7)             | ST1 (1)                       | 1-1-1-1-1-1-1        | I(V)                          | seh(1)                                     |
| CC227   | t287     | 1 (0.7)             | ST25 (1)                      | 4-1-1-1-5-5-4        | V(1)                          | pvf(4), seh(1), seh(5), seh(1) |
| CC701   | t701     | 1 (0.7)             | ST6 (1)                       | 12-4-1-4-12-1-3      | NT (1)                        | None                                        |
| CC1376  | t1376    | 1 (0.7)             | ST1298 (1)                    | 22-1-14-109-12-4-31  | NT (1)                        | seh(1)                                     |
| t3622   |          | 1 (0.7)             | ST88 (1)                      | 22-1-14-23-12-4-31   | I(V)                          | seh(1)                                     |
| Singleton | t078   | 1 (0.7)             | NT(1)                         | 1-1-4-1-new-1-new-1  | ?(1)                          | seh(1)                                     |
| Unknown | t5554    | 1 (0.7)             | ST630 SLV                     | 12-334-1-1-4-4-3     | V(1)                          | None                                        |
| NT      | t078     | 1 (0.7)             | NT(1)                         | 1-4-1-169-169-57-11  | NT (1)                        | None                                        |

aCC: clone complex.  
bAllelic profile in order of arcC-aroe-iplf-gmk-pta-tpi-yspl.

SLV: single locus variant.

NT: not typeable, the reasons for the nontypeable of some isolates were mainly due to that a low quality of sequence chromatogram was present for spa typing, or at least one new allele was identified in the seven housekeeping genes for MLST typing, or no corresponding band was found in the multiplex PCR for SCCmec typing. The typing experiments were repeated at least twice for the nontypeable isolates.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0096255.t005

(penicillin). We divided MRSA isolates into epidemic clones group (all of the isolates corresponding to ST239 in our study) and non-epidemic clones group according to the results of spa typing and MLST. Compared with non-epidemic MRSA clones, epidemic MRSA clones exhibited a lower susceptibility to rifampin, ciprofloxacin, gentamicin and trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole. Compared with MSSA, epidemic MRSA clones exhibited a lower susceptibility to eight kinds of antibiotics commonly used, while non-epidemic MRSA clones exhibited a lower susceptibility only to tetracycline (Figure 3, Table S2).

Epidemic MRSA clones didn’t carry pvl genes. Compared with both non-epidemic MRSA clones and MSSA, epidemic MRSA clones exhibited a higher prevalence of sea gene and a lower prevalence of seh, sec, seh and sej genes. Epidemic MRSA clones also had a lower prevalence of sed, seh and sej genes than MSSA. The non-epidemic MRSA clones exhibited a lower prevalence of sea as well as a higher prevalence of seh and tst genes than MSSA (Figure 3, Table S2).

Discussion

S. aureus represents a substantial disease burden mainly related to health care in China. To the present, however, the molecular characterizations of clinical S. aureus isolates from China remain unclear, especially for MSSA isolates. spa typing, which has been showed to possess a higher discriminatory power than MLST [19], is one of the most widely molecular typing techniques for S. aureus in terms of easy, fast and comparable between different laboratories. In this study, we mainly used spa typing and MLST to illustrate the molecular characterizations of clinical S. aureus isolates in China.

In comparison to other studies [5,6,12,27], our typing results indicated that different predominant clones circulated in certain districts and may change over time. In our study, spa-t030 accounted for 80.1% of all MRSA isolates and predominated in all the seven hospitals, which was generally in accordance with previous report, but with a much higher proportion [4,28,29]. One large study conducted in China found that ST15-MRSA-II-t030 was a major MRSA clone other than ST239 clones and accounted for nearly 16% of MRSA in China, spa-t037 predominated in Dalian and Shenyang in the northeast of China, spa-t037 predominated in Shanghai in the east of China [4]. However, in our study, only three and six MRSA were identified as t002 and t037, respectively. Although Shanghai was not contained in our study, it has been shown recently that Shanghai, Chongqing and Guangzhou were three major sources of MRSA-t002 and MRSA-t037 clones in China, while in other parts of China, including Shenyang, t030 was the only predominant spa type in MRSA [28,30]. Our study strongly suggested that the prevalence rates of ST239-MRSA-t030 in Beijing, Harbin and Urumqi, which are all in the north of China, were especially high.

Another major MRSA clone spa-t037 accounted for only 4.0% of all MRSA isolates in our study. Therefore, it seemed that spa-t037 was continuously replaced by spa-t030 in China. A recent microarray-based comparative genomic study found that three gene clusters (vSa4, phage ISa1, and ISa3) were unique to spa-t030.
and may contribute to its rapid replacement of spa-t037 [31]. But the exact determinants of this evolution process were still unclear, as whole genome analysis did not give any answer to questions concerning epidemic of MRSA clones so far. Although the sasX gene plays a key role in MRSA colonization and pathogenesis [13], it could not be the main reason for MRSA epidemic in clinical settings in China, as its prevalence was relatively low in both spa-t030 and spa-t037 isolates.

None of the four spa types (t032, t008, t041, t003) which accounted for 41.6% of invasive MRSA infection in Europe [6] were identified in our study. On the other hand, t008 and t002, which were the most common spa types among blood and nasal culture isolates of MRSA in USA [27], were rarely found in our study. Interestingly, two spa-t002 MRSA strains in our study corresponded to ST764, but not frequent ST5 in other studies [32]. spa-t437 strains, which were closely associated with community acquired skin and soft tissue infection [33], possessed a high prevalence in both MRSA and MSSA isolates, and all corresponded to ST59 in our study. This clone has been mainly reported in many Asian countries [34].

Among the first six spa types of MSSA isolates, t189 was also identified as predominant in Malaysian and China [35,36], t034,

Figure 2. The comparisons of antibiotics resistance of epidemic MRSA clones (corresponding to ST239) and non-epidemic MRSA clones with MSSA isolates. Chi-square test and Fisher’s exact test were used for comparison. P, penicillin; E, erythromycin; CLI, clindamycin; RIF, rifampin; CIP, ciprofloxacin; GM, gentamicin; TET, tetracycline; SXT, trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole, FD, flusidic acid. * P<0.05.
doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0096255.g002

Figure 3. The comparisons of virulence genes distribution of epidemic MRSA clones (corresponding to ST239) and non-epidemic MRSA clones with MSSA isolates. Chi-square test and Fisher’s exact test were used for comparison. * P<0.05.
doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0096255.g003
which always corresponds to ST398, was characterized as livestock-associated isolates and prevalent among isolates from skin or soft tissue infection in many countries including China [37–39], t078 was recently found to be associated with community-acquired skin and soft tissue infection [40], t091 has recently been showed to be a major genotype of MSSA isolated from bacteraemia in China [41], t309 and t377 were seldom noted for Chinese isolates previously. In general, MSSA possessed a high genetic diversity, which suggested that the epidemic MRSA isolates were more likely to be imported or clone transmitted instead of arisen from successful MSSA clones and is in accordance with previous studies [6,42].

The results of SCCmec typing showed that spa-t030 and t037 clones were typically associated with SCCmec type III, which constituted most of the noscomial MRSA and was responsible for the high prevalence of multidrug resistance in clinical MRSA isolates from China [7]. Six of the ten SCCmec type IV isolates (60.0%) were typed as spa-t437, which was the first predominant clone of community-associated MRSA isolates in Asian countries and showed high resistance rates to erythromycin and clindamycin [34].

PVL has been associated with community-associated or onset MRSA and tended to cause skin infections and severe necrotizing pneumonia [43]. The prevalence of PVL among S. aureus isolates varied a lot with different genetic or epidemiological background or from different countries/regions. A multicenter study from Europe showed that 51% of community onset MRSA isolates were PVL-positive [44]. It has been reported that 43.2% of MRSA and 9.6% of MSSA isolates from blood culture in China were PVL-positive [35]. However, another study showed that there was a high prevalence of PVL among MSSA isolates (41.5%) causing skin and soft tissue infection in Beijing, China [39]. In our study, the prevalence of PVL was significant higher among MSSA isolates than MRSA isolates (19.3% VS 3.4%, p<0.001), though both at a relatively low level. In addition, we found that pvl gene was more commonly identified among isolates from skin and soft tissue than from other sources, such as blood culture, sputum, urine, etc. It was not surprising to see that PVL was not limited to specific genetic backgrounds or clones due to the high diversity of MSSA isolates. However, we identified an unusually high prevalence of PVL-producing ST22-MSSA-t309 strains in a hospital form Urumqi in the Northwest of China for the first time (Table S1).

As a major cause of MRSA infections previously or currently in many Asian and European hospitals, including Singapore, Austria, Eastern Russia and Turkey [9,43–47], ST239 has diversified into a clonal group including many spa types, such as t005, t030, t037, t221, t459, etc. [41,45,48]. Our classification of epidemic MRSA clones and non-epidemic MRSA clones corresponds to ST239 and non-ST239 group and is justified for the comparisons of the prevalence of antimicrobial resistance and virulence factors. Our analysis showed that the epidemic MRSA clones exhibited a higher resistance rate than non-epidemic MRSA clones over many commonly prescribed antibiotics, including rifampin and trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole, which might be one important reason for the increasing prevalence of spa-t030 among MRSA isolates in China. However, nosocomial MRSA currently prevalent in Europe (ST22, ST225) are mostly resistant to β-lactams, fluoroquinolones, erythromycin, and clindamycin only, the same is applicable to MRSA ST5, which is prevalent in the USA [49,50]. It suggests that epidemicity of hospital associated MRSA is not simply based on multiple resistances.

We also found that epidemic MRSA clones differed from non-epidemic MRSA clones in the distribution of many virulent determinants, including a higher prevalence of spa gene and a lower prevalence of seh, sea, seg and sei genes. When epidemic MRSA clones were excluded from the analysis, the difference in the prevalence of many virulent genes between MRSA and MSSA isolates became non-significant, which implies that non-epidemic MRSA clones were highly similar to MSSA in terms of virulence profiles and might have recently evolved from MSSA through horizontal transfer of some mobile genetic elements. However, it remains unclear why the epidemic MRSA clones, especially spa-t030, are so widely distributed in China and some other countries. One good explanation as described previously was that the multiplicity of cultural and social behaviors and habits, such as frequency and destination of travel, infection control measures and antibiotic prescription and consumption habits, may have shaped population structure of MRSA in these countries [44]. The high frequency of patient transfer between hospitals from different cities and abuse of antibiotics in China could contribute to the widely dissemination of spa-t030, but international medical travel between China and other countries is still not so common, which might help to prevent spa-t030 from spreading too fast. However, this situation may change in the near future.

In conclusion, our study provided a new genetic snapshot of S. aureus isolates from hospitals in distinct geographies in China, identified an increasing prevalence of spa-t030 strains, as well as illustrated many information about the clinical, resistance, and molecular characterization of clinical S. aureus isolates in China.
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