Effect of Micronutrients on Growth, Seed Yield and Seed Quality of Wheat (Triticum aestivum L.)
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A B S T R A C T

An experiment was carried out at Department of Plant Physiology, N. D. University of Agric. and Tech., Faizabad 2012-13. Experiment was conducted on wheat variety of PBW 343 to evaluate the effect of zinc and boron on seed yield and other quality parameters of wheat. Four doses of zinc viz, 0.0, 2.5, 5.0 and 7.5 kg ha⁻¹ and four doses of boron namely 0.0, 0.5, 1.0 and 1.5 kg ha⁻¹ were applied. The results showed that the effect of Zn was significant to all the parameters except leaf area index at 60 & 90 DAS, chlorophyll intensity, canopy temperature depression, chlorophyll fluorescence, days to 50 % heading, plant height, and days to maturity, spike length and seed length. However, application of boron exhibited significant effect with respect to leaf area index at 30 DAS, plant height, number of tillers per m², raw and graded seed yield, seed length, 1000 seed weight, standard germination per cent, seedling length and seedling vigour index. The 7.5 kg Zn and 1.5 kg B ha⁻¹ have been found to be most appropriate for increasing seed yield and seed quality of wheat crop.
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Introduction

Wheat (Triticum aestivum L.) is the leading food crop in world farming. It is main food crop of temperate zone. It is also extended to warm regions of temperate and sub tropics to tropical low lands. India is probably one of the few countries in the world where three wheat types are grown. The major area i.e. 86 per cent, is under bread wheat (Triticum aestivum L.), about 12 per cent under durum wheat (Triticum turgidum L.) and the remaining under dicoccum wheat (Triticum monococcum L.). India is the second largest producer of wheat in the world next only to China and the crop has provided the fastest pace of growth to Indian agriculture.

In India, wheat is next only to rice among the cereals, cultivated over an area of 29.86 m ha with a total production and productivity of 92.45 m t and 3.1 tonnes ha⁻¹, respectively during 2012-13. Wheat contains 12.6-14 g protein, 1.5-1.9 g fat, 68-71 g carbohydrate, 12.2 g dietary fibre, 360 kcal energy, 39 mg calcium, 239 mg magnesium, 842 mg phosphorus, 892 mg potassium, 12.29 mg zinc and 6.26 mg of iron, 17-20 per cent of the
daily requirement in human body. (Watt and Breyer-Brandwijk, 1962. USDA Nutrient Database, 2006). The importance of micronutrients application in increasing crop production has been recognized in India and it is becoming evident that without the use of the micronutrient, it is not possible to get the maximum benefits of NPK fertilizers and high yielding varieties of wheat (Shukla and Warsi 2000). Excess or deficiency of certain elements from the crop can affect its yield, quality and subsequent post-harvest life.

Zinc is an essential micronutrient for plant growth and is absorbed by the plant roots in the form of \( \text{Zn}^{2+} \). It is involved in diverse metabolic activities, influences the activities of hydrogenase and carbonic anhydrase, synthesis of cytochrome and the stabilization of ribosomal fractions and auxin metabolism (Tisdale, et al., 1984). Due to the deficiency of zinc, plant exhibits poor growth, interveinal chlorosis and necrosis of lower leaves. Reddish or brownish spot often occurs on the older leaves and ultimately seed production is strikingly reduced due to its deficiency (Throne, D.W. 1957). Zinc deficiency also decreases nutritional quality of wheat grain and contributes to health problems in human beings, mainly in developing countries where cereals are major staple food (Kalayci, et al., 1999).

Owing due to high demand of zinc by rice plant, a little amount of zinc is left in the soil which is supposed to be least available to wheat crop. Under such circumstances growth yielding ability and seed quality of wheat is badly affected. Hence, it is obvious to find out suitable level of zinc requirement for optimal growth, better yield and seed quality of the crop.

Seed and grain production are reduced with low boron supply. A primary function of boron is related to cell wall formation, so boron-deficient plants may be stunted. Sugar transport in plants, flower retention and pollen formation and germination also are affected by boron. Boron-deficiency symptoms first appear at the growing points. This results in a stunted appearance (rosetting), barren ears due to poor pollination, hollow stems and fruit (hollow heart) and brittle, discoloured leaves and loss of fructing bodies. Borate ions are mobile in soil and can be leached from the root zone. Boron deficiencies are more pronounced during drought periods when root activity is restricted.

Materials and Methods

Experiment was conducted at Department of Plant Physiology, N. D. University of Agric. and Tech., Faizabad Rabi 2012-13 to assess the seed yield and quality parameters of wheat variety PBW 343. The experiment was laid out in Factorial RBD. The crop was sown on 2th December 2013 using a seed rate of 100 Kg ha\(^{-1}\) at row spacing of 23.0 cm. A recommended dose of NPK 120:60:40 Kg ha\(^{-1}\) were applied. There were 16 treatment combinations with four doses of Zinc viz. 0.0, 2.5, 5.0 and 7.5 kg ha\(^{-1}\) and four doses of Boron namely 0.0, 0.5, 1.0 and 1.5 kg ha\(^{-1}\) were applied in the form of Zinc Sulphate (21% Zinc) and Borax (10.5% Boron), respectively. One hoeing and weeding was done 30 days after sowing (DAS). On 10 randomly selected plants yield contributing and morpho-physiological characters were recorded from each plot i.e. days to 50% heading, plant height, leaf area index, specific leaf weight, chlorophyll intensity, canopy temperature depression, chlorophyll fluorescence, number of tillers sqm\(^{-1}\), number of seeds spike\(^{-1}\), spike length, days to maturity, raw seed yield q ha\(^{-1}\), graded seed yield q ha\(^{-1}\) and quality parameters in lab i.e. seed length, seed breadth, 1000 seed weight, standard germination, seedling length and seedling vigour index.
Results and Discussion

Significant influence of Zn & B application was found for leaf area index at 30 DAS, number of tillers, 1000 seed weight, raw and graded seed yield, standard germination, seedling length and seedling vigour index but specific leaf weight, number of seeds spike\(^{-1}\) and seed breadth were effected significantly by application of zinc whereas plant height and seed length by application of boron (Table- 1, 2 & 3).

The interaction of zinc × boron showed non-significant effect with respect to all parameters.

Application of 7.5 kg Zn ha\(^{-1}\) exhibited significantly maximum leaf area index at 30 DAS (1.68) and specific leaf weight (6.65 mg/cm\(^2\)). The later findings confirm the results reported by (Singh, et al., 2009) in wheat. The number of tillers increased significantly with increase in Zn level over control.

Similar finding have been reported by (Sharma, et al., 2008). Highest raw seed yield 42.68 q ha\(^{-1}\) as well as graded seed yield (40.80 q ha\(^{-1}\)) was observed in 7.5 kg Zn ha\(^{-1}\). This findings are in conformity to the results obtained by Singh, et al., (2009), Rather and Sharma, (2009) and Tyagi, et al., (2011) in wheat.

The maximum seed breadth (3.52 mm) was observed in 7.5 kg Zn ha\(^{-1}\). The profound effect of Zn in increasing 1000 seed weight of wheat have been found and significantly highest (43.20 g) 1000 seed weight was produced by the treatment 7.5 kg Zn ha\(^{-1}\), while lowest (40.51 g) was found in control. Similar finding have been reported by Kharub and Gupta (2003) & Zeidan, et al., (2010) in wheat. The standard germination (83.25%), seedling length (25.57 cm) and seedling vigour index (2520.90) was found significantly superior as compared to others at dose of 7.5 kg zinc ha\(^{-1}\). However, number of seeds spike\(^{-1}\) was found superior at 5.0 kg Zn ha\(^{-1}\) The application of zinc sulphate did not influence the leaf area index at 60 and 90 DAS, chlorophyll intensity, canopy temperature depression, chlorophyll fluorescence, days to 50% heading, plant height, days to maturity, spike length and seed length.

The dose of 1.5 kg B ha\(^{-1}\) showed maximum leaf area index at 30 DAS (1.63) which was significantly higher from control (1.52). The higher doses of boron (1.0 kg ha\(^{-1}\) & 1.5 kg ha\(^{-1}\) ) had significantly accelerated the plant height (87.30 & 87.73 cm) and number of tillers (346.08 & 349.08 per m\(^2\)), whereas, the significantly highest raw seed yield (42.58 q ha\(^{-1}\)), graded seed yield (41.09 q ha\(^{-1}\)) and 1000 seed weight (41.96 g) were found by application of 1.5 kg B ha\(^{-1}\). Similar findings have been reported by (Moeinian, et al., (2011), & Esringu, et al., (2011), in wheat. In the present investigation, a profound effect of boron on wheat has been found. As the boron level increased the yield was also enhanced that indicates positive association between boron levels and graded seed yield. Significantly maximum quality in terms of 1000 seed weight (42.96 g), Seed length (7.32 mm), standard germination (82.08%), seedling length (25.20 cm) and seedling vigour index (2469.93) were also found when applied 1.5 kg B ha\(^{-1}\).

These results are in conformity with the findings of (Muhammad, et al., (2009) in wheat. However, boron application did not influence the leaf area index at 60 & 90 DAS, specific leaf weight, chlorophyll intensity, canopy temperature depression, chlorophyll fluorescence, days to 50% heading, days to maturity, spike length, number of seeds per spike and seed breadth.
### Table 1 Mean effect of Zinc and Boron on morpho-physiological parameters of wheat Variety PBW 343

| Treatment          | Leaf Area Index 30 DAS | Leaf Area Index 60 DAS | Leaf Area Index 90 DAS | Chlorophyll Intensity | Canopy Temperature Depression (°C) | Specific Leaf Weight (SLW) (mg/cm²) | Chlorophyll Fluorescence (Fv/Fm) |
|--------------------|------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| 0.0 kg ha⁻¹ (Zn₀) | 1.50                   | 2.45                   | 3.48                   | 45.63                 | 4.35                              | 6.22                              | 0.752                            |
| 2.5 kg ha⁻¹ (Zn₁) | 1.54                   | 2.55                   | 3.48                   | 45.89                 | 4.77                              | 6.29                              | 0.753                            |
| 5.0 kg ha⁻¹ (Zn₂) | 1.53                   | 2.52                   | 3.55                   | 45.43                 | 4.65                              | 6.57                              | 0.756                            |
| 7.5 kg ha⁻¹ (Zn₃) | 1.68                   | 2.62                   | 3.61                   | 45.10                 | 4.80                              | 6.65                              | 0.759                            |
| SE(d)              | 0.03                   | 0.07                   | 0.07                   | 0.41                  | 0.19                              | 0.12                              | 0.005                            |
| CD (p=0.05)        | 0.07                   | NS                     | NS                     | NS                   | NS                                | 0.25                              | NS                               |
| 0.0 kg ha⁻¹ (B₀)   | 1.52                   | 2.48                   | 3.47                   | 46.01                 | 4.52                              | 6.39                              | 0.749                            |
| 0.5 kg ha⁻¹ (B₁)   | 1.53                   | 2.52                   | 3.52                   | 45.44                 | 4.70                              | 6.42                              | 0.755                            |
| 1.0 kg ha⁻¹ (B₂)   | 1.56                   | 2.57                   | 3.55                   | 45.17                 | 4.67                              | 6.37                              | 0.758                            |
| 1.5 kg ha⁻¹ (B₃)   | 1.63                   | 2.57                   | 3.58                   | 45.43                 | 4.69                              | 6.51                              | 0.758                            |
| SE(d)              | 0.03                   | 0.07                   | 0.07                   | 0.41                  | 0.19                              | 0.12                              | 0.005                            |
| CD (p=0.05)        | 0.07                   | NS                     | NS                     | NS                   | NS                                | NS                                | NS                               |

### Table 2 Mean effect of Zinc and Boron on seed yield and quality parameters of wheat Variety PBW 343

| Treatment          | Days to 50% Heading | Plant Height (cm) | Days to Maturity (DAS) | Number of Tillers/ m² | Spike Length (cm) | Number of Seeds Spike⁻¹ | Seed Length (mm) |
|--------------------|---------------------|-------------------|------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------|--------------------------|------------------|
| 0.0 kg ha⁻¹ (Zn₀) | 84.33               | 86.33             | 126.92                 | 332.92                | 8.62              | 48.18                    | 7.17             |
| 2.5 kg ha⁻¹ (Zn₁) | 84.41               | 86.04             | 126.00                 | 341.00                | 8.93              | 51.41                    | 7.22             |
| 5.0 kg ha⁻¹ (Zn₂) | 84.91               | 87.19             | 126.00                 | 347.75                | 8.87              | 52.95                    | 7.25             |
| 7.5 kg ha⁻¹ (Zn₃) | 84.83               | 87.47             | 126.00                 | 349.08                | 8.92              | 51.87                    | 7.17             |
| SE(d)              | 0.48                | 0.68              | 0.28                   | 4.65                  | 0.23              | 0.53                     | 0.00             |
| CD (p=0.05)        | NS                  | NS                | NS                     | 9.49                  | NS                | 1.09                     | NS               |
| 0.0 kg ha⁻¹ (B₀)   | 84.33               | 85.59             | 126.50                 | 333.17                | 8.58              | 50.28                    | 7.11             |
| 0.5 kg ha⁻¹ (B₁)   | 84.75               | 86.53             | 126.33                 | 339.83                | 8.81              | 51.55                    | 7.17             |
| 1.0 kg ha⁻¹ (B₂)   | 84.91               | 87.30             | 126.58                 | 346.08                | 8.91              | 51.23                    | 7.22             |
| 1.5 kg ha⁻¹ (B₃)   | 84.50               | 87.73             | 126.33                 | 349.67                | 9.03              | 51.35                    | 7.32             |
| SE(d)              | 0.48                | 0.68              | 0.28                   | 4.65                  | 0.23              | 0.53                     | 0.00             |
| CD (p=0.05)        | NS                  | 1.40              | NS                     | 9.49                  | NS                | NS                      | 0.01             |
**Table 3** Mean effect of Zinc and Boron on germination and seed quality parameters of wheat Variety PBW 343

| Treatment          | Seed Breadth (mm) | 1000 Seed Weight (g) | Raw Seed Yield (q ha\(^{-1}\)) | Graded Seed Yield (q ha\(^{-1}\)) | Standard Germination (%) | Seedling Length (cm) | Seedling Vigour Index (SVI) |
|--------------------|-------------------|----------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------------|----------------------|---------------------------|
| 0.0 kg ha\(^{-1}\) (Zn\(_0\)) | 3.37              | 40.51                | 39.33                         | 38.13                             | 79.10                    | 24.10                | 2325.98                   |
| 2.5 kg ha\(^{-1}\) (Zn\(_1\)) | 3.40              | 40.66                | 39.84                         | 38.45                             | 79.49                    | 24.46                | 2385.67                   |
| 5.0 kg ha\(^{-1}\) (Zn\(_2\)) | 3.43              | 40.71                | 41.17                         | 39.92                             | 81.22                    | 25.41                | 2455.29                   |
| 7.5 kg ha\(^{-1}\) (Zn\(_3\)) | 3.52              | 43.20                | 42.68                         | 40.80                             | 83.25                    | 25.57                | 2520.90                   |
| SE(d)              | 0.00              | 0.53                 | 0.60                          | 0.43                              | 0.80                     | 0.22                 | 25.55                     |
| CD (p=0.05)        | 0.07              | 1.08                 | 1.23                          | 0.90                              | 1.63                     | 0.45                 | 52.17                     |
| 0.0 kg ha\(^{-1}\) (B\(_0\)) | 3.41              | 39.64                | 39.34                         | 38.12                             | 79.67                    | 24.49                | 2367.31                   |
| 0.5 kg ha\(^{-1}\) (B\(_1\)) | 3.43              | 40.55                | 40.08                         | 38.57                             | 80.62                    | 24.86                | 2416.35                   |
| 1.0 kg ha\(^{-1}\) (B\(_2\)) | 3.46              | 41.89                | 40.92                         | 39.62                             | 80.88                    | 25.00                | 2434.25                   |
| 1.5 kg ha\(^{-1}\) (B\(_3\)) | 3.46              | 42.96                | 42.58                         | 41.09                             | 82.08                    | 25.20                | 2469.93                   |
| SE(d)              | 0.00              | 0.53                 | 0.60                          | 0.43                              | 0.80                     | 0.22                 | 25.55                     |
| CD (p=0.05)        | NS                | 1.08                 | 1.23                          | 0.90                              | 1.63                     | 0.45                 | 52.17                     |

Interaction Zn × B (Zinc × Boron) were found non-significant (NS).
The dose 7.5 kg Zn and 1.5 kg B ha$^{-1}$ have been found to be the most appropriate in enhancing the seed yield over control i.e. 7.26 and 7.79 per cent, respectively, with maximum seed quality in terms of germination and vigour.
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