Blockade of sustained tumor necrosis factor in a transgenic model of progressive autoimmune encephalomyelitis limits oligodendrocyte apoptosis and promotes oligodendrocyte maturation
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Abstract

Background: Tumor necrosis factor (TNF) is associated with several neurodegenerative disorders including multiple sclerosis (MS). Although TNF-targeted therapies have been largely unsuccessful in MS, recent preclinical data suggests selective soluble TNF inhibition can promote remyelination. This has renewed interest in regulation of TNF signaling in demyelinating disease, especially given the limited treatment options for progressive MS. Using a mouse model of progressive MS, this study evaluates the effects of sustained TNF on oligodendrocyte (OLG) apoptosis and OLG precursor cell (OPC) differentiation.

Methods: Induction of experimental autoimmune encephalomyelitis (EAE) in transgenic mice expressing a dominant-negative interferon-γ receptor under the human glial fibrillary acidic protein promoter (GFAPγR1Δ) causes severe non-remitting disease associated with sustained TNF. Therapeutic effects in GFAPγR1Δ mice treated with anti-TNF compared to control antibody during acute EAE were evaluated by assessing demyelinating lesion size, remyelination, OLG apoptosis, and OPC differentiation.

Results: More severe and enlarged demyelinating lesions in GFAPγR1Δ compared to wild-type (WT) mice were associated with increased OLG apoptosis and reduced differentiated CC1⁺Olig2⁺ OLG within lesions, as well as impaired upregulation of TNF receptor-2, suggesting impaired OPC differentiation. TNF blockade during acute EAE in GFAPγR1Δ both limited OLG apoptosis and enhanced OPC differentiation consistent with reduced lesion size and clinical recovery. TNF neutralization further limited increasing endothelin-1 (ET-1) expression in astrocytes and myeloid cells noted in lesions during disease progression in GFAPγR1Δ mice, supporting inhibitory effects of ET-1 on OPC maturation.

Conclusion: Our data implicate that IFNγ signaling to astrocytes is essential to limit a detrimental positive feedback loop of TNF and ET-1 production, which increases OLG apoptosis and impairs OPC differentiation. Interference of this cycle by TNF blockade promotes repair independent of TNFR2 and supports selective TNF targeting to mitigate progressive forms of MS.
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Background

Multiple sclerosis (MS) is a chronic inflammatory disease associated with focal demyelinating lesions in white and gray matter of the brain (BR) and spinal cord (SC) [1–7]. Active lesions characteristic of relapsing remitting MS (RR-MS) contain leukocyte infiltrates and are associated with blood-brain barrier (BBB) leakage, loss of mature oligodendrocytes (OLG), and partial axonal damage, which is balanced by endogenous repair. Remyelination by OLG progenitors cells (OPC) requires clearance of myelin debris, migration of OPC to areas of damaged myelin, and their proliferation and differentiation to newly myelinating cells [8–13]. Irrespective of initially effective treatment options prolonging the onset of severe neurological cognitive and motor impairments in patients with RR-MS, therapeutic interventions fail in patients with primary and secondary progressive (P)-MS. This disease state is characterized by sparse inflammation, lack of remyelination, mitochondrial dysfunction, axonal loss, and CNS atrophy [1–7, 14]. Progress in understanding mechanisms underlying irreversible disease progression has been hampered by the lack of animal models exhibiting the pathology and progressive disability observed in P-MS patients [15, 16]. Further, while much attention has focussed on detrimental functions of pro-inflammatory factors, monocytes, and microglia, less is known about the transition to repair functions by glia and mechanisms shifting the balance to irreversible damage. For example, astrocytes, interferon γ (IFNγ), and tumor necrosis factor (TNF) individually are all known to promote inflammation but also mediate neuroprotective functions in demyelinating disease [17–21].

Astrocytes play a vital role in maintaining CNS homeostasis and display a wide range of functions in response to injury and subsequent disease processes, including demyelination. These range from altering morphology and biochemical metabolism, inducing pro- and anti-inflammatory responses, as well as cytokines guiding peripheral immune cells or resident OPC for repair, directly enhancing or inhibiting remyelination, and forming glial scars [19]. In MS, activated astrocytes can also take on several morphological states depending on lesion activity [4, 9, 21, 22], While it is thus apparent that astrocytes display highly dynamic functions guided by their integration of stimuli in the local environment at various stages of disease [23–25], the extent of their transient or permanent adaptation and function once injury or inflammation subsides requires better characterization.

We have recently reported that transgenic mice, in which astrocytes are unable to respond to IFNγ by expression of a dominant negative IFNγR receptor capable of IFNγ binding, but not signaling (GFAPγR1Δ), develop a chronic non-resolving form of EAE [26]. This progressive disease recapitulates some hallmarks of P-MS including increasing morbidity associated with extensive spinal cord white matter demyelination, axonal damage, and astrogliosis in white and gray matter. The inability of GFAPγR1Δ mice to resolve acute EAE was associated with elevated inflammation, including IL-6, and sustained TNF production specifically by macrophages [26–28]. Disease-enhancing activity of TNF is supported by TNF in active MS lesions [29] as well as elevated TNF in serum and cerebral spinal fluid [30]. In rodents, TNF in the CNS correlates with EAE disease [31] and transgenic TNF expression within the CNS leads to demyelinating disease [32–34]. TNF can not only directly induce OLG death [35–42], but also inflict excitotoxic damage to OLG and neurons by modulating the release of glutamate from astrocytes [35, 39], thereby impairing OPC differentiation [40]. Consistent with a detrimental role of sustained elevated TNF in GFAPγR1Δ mice undergoing EAE, TNF neutralization initiated at the peak of acute disease ameliorated disease and demyelination, limited CNS cellular infiltrates indiscriminately without affecting peripheral T cell responses, and limited BBB breakdown [28]. Similar anti-TNF treatment in WT mice did not accelerate EAE disease remission [28]. Furthermore, in MS patients, anti-TNF therapy failed to mitigate RR-MS and even worsened disease [43]. These contrasting results and historic setbacks in targeting TNF in MS patients have since been attributed to distinct TNF activities mediated by interactions of soluble (s)TNF and its transmembrane (tm)TNF precursor with their preferential TNFR1 and TNFR2 receptors: sTNF with higher affinity for TNFR1 mediates apoptosis and chronic inflammation [17]. Conversely, tmTNF with higher affinity for TNFR2 activates genes important for cell survival, resolution of inflammation, and OPC differentiation [17, 44–49]. By promoting remyelination [17, 44–49] as well as Treg differentiation and survival [50, 51], TNF/TNFR2 signaling thus acts at multiple levels to enhance protection and repair. Blockade or genetic manipulation of distinct TNF activities in EAE has substantiated the dual pro- and anti-inflammatory activities of TNF in regulating disease activity [48, 52, 53].

The difficulties in targeting TNF as a therapeutic strategy to limit demyelination and favor remyelination thus clearly depend on the interplay of both TNF forms with their respective receptors on distinct cell types. The effectiveness of anti-TNF mAb therapy on EAE progression in our GFAPγR1Δ mouse model without evidence of detrimental effects supported the notion that the TNFR2 pathway may be overwhelmed once a pathological threshold is reached. Herein, we examined how TNF blockade during acute EAE in GFAPγR1Δ mice affects both de- and re-myelination. Distinct from a
reduction in demyelinating lesion size during EAE disease remission in WT mice, lesion size increased during progressive EAE in GFAPyR1Δ mice, suggesting ongoing demyelination overrides any efforts of remyelination. Anti-TNF treatment significantly reduced lesion size coincident with reduced astrocyte and macrophage/microglia reactivity within demyelinating lesions. Moreover, anti-TNF mAb not only limited OLG apoptosis, but also promoted OPC differentiation within lesioned areas in GFAPyR1Δ mice. The implication that sTNF/TNFR1 mediated OLG death and inhibition of OPC differentiation dominates over TNFR2-mediated repair during disease progression in GFAPyR1Δ mice was supported by reduced TNFR2 relative to TNFR1 mRNA expression compared to remitting EAE in WT mice. Importantly, TNF blockade further limited expression of the vasoconstrictive peptide endothelin 1 (ET-1) [54], which was vastly upregulated in lesions during progressive EAE in GFAPyR1Δ mice. Taking into account the inhibitory effects of ET-1 on OPC maturation [55], our data demonstrate that TNF blockade limits progressive demyelination by reducing OLG death and increasing OPC differentiation, thereby promoting repair during progressive EAE. These dual effects support TNF as a potential target for therapeutic approaches in progressive forms of MS.

**Methods**

**Mice**

Homozygous H-2b GFAP/IFNγR1ΔIC (GFAPyR1Δ) transgenic mice expressing a dominant negative IFNγ receptor alpha chain under the human glial fibrillary acidic protein (GFAP) promoter were previously described and bred locally [26, 56]. C57BL/6 (H-2b) WT mice were purchased from The Jackson Laboratories (Bar Harbor, ME).

**EAE and anti-TNF treatment**

EAE was induced in 7–8-week-old mice as previously described [26–28]. Briefly, mice were immunized subcutaneously in the right flanks with 200 μl of myelin oligodendrocyte glycoprotein (MOG)35–55 peptide (Biosynthesis, Lewisville, TX) at 3 mg/ml in an emulsion of PBS and an equal volume of incomplete Freund's adjuvant (IFA; Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO) supplemented with 5 mg/ml of Mycobacterium tuberculosis strain H37Rv (Difco, Detroit, MI). At day 0 and day 2 post-immunization (p.i.), mice also received 200 ng of pertussis toxin intraperitoneally (i.p.). At d7 p.i., a second dose of 200 μl MOG was administered into the left flank. Clinical symptoms were monitored daily using the following scale: 0 = no signs of disease, 1 = flaccid tail, 2 = flaccid tail and partial hind limb paralysis, 3 = complete hind limb paralysis, 4 = moribund state, and 5 = dead. Mice received 0.5 mg of either neutralizing rat anti-murine TNF (clone MD6-XT3.11, BioXCell, West Lebanon, NH) or control rat IgG1 anti-β-galactosidase (clone GL113, gift from Dr. Robert Coffman, DNAX Corp, Palo Alto, CA) monoclonal antibody (mAb) i.p. starting at the peak of acute disease (d19 p.i.) followed by injections every 2 days for a total of four doses as described [28]. The anti-TNF mAb penetrated the CNS as monitored by positive reactivity for rat Ig in brain supernatants by ELISA (data not shown).

**Immunohistochemistry and microscopy**

Spinal cords from WT and GFAPyR1Δ mice perfused with ice-cold PBS during acute (d19 p.i.) and chronic (d30 p.i.) EAE were collected in OCT embedding compound (Scigen Scientific, Gardena, CA). Frozen longitudinal sections (cut at 12-μm thickness) were fixed for 20 min in 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA) followed by permeabilization with ice-cold 1% Triton x-100 (Sigma-Aldrich) for 20 min. For mouse anti-mouse APC (1:500, CC1, Millipore, Burlington, MA) and rabbit anti-mouse Olig2 (1:500, Calbiochem, Temecula, CA) staining, sections were permeabilized using sodium citrate (0.01 M, pH 6.0) antigen retrieval, subsequently blocked using 5% BSA 10% goat serum at room temperature for 1 h and then stained with primary Ab overnight at 4 °C. Immunoreactivity was visualized using fluorescently labeled secondary Abs. All primary and secondary Abs and respective dilutions as well as Neuroscience Information Framework (NIF) antibody registry number are listed in Table 1. Nuclear staining was performed using Prolong Gold with 4',6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI) (Molecular Probes, Eugene, OR). OPCs were identified as CC1− Olig2+, differentiated myelinating OLG as CC1+Olig2+, and more mature myelinating OLG as CC1+Olig2− following previously described characterization [55, 57, 58]. Fluoromyelin red fluorescent myelin stain (1:300, Molecular Probes) was used to identify demyelinating lesions within spinal cords as directed by the manufacturer. Lesion size was determined in five to six mice per group of two to three independent experiments with at least two to three fields per spinal cord using ImageJ (NIH, Bethesda, MD). Apoptotic cells in spinal cord sections were labeled using the in Situ Cell Death Detection Kit, TMR red (TdT-mediated dUTP-X nick end labeling, TUNEL) (Roche Diagnostics, Mannheim, Germany), following the manufacturer's instructions. All images were captured using a multiphoton microscope (Leica TCS SP5 II, Lawrenceville, GA) and analyzed using ImageJ.

The proportion of ET-1 reactivity colocalizing with either GFAP or CD11b was assessed by dividing the ET-1+GFAP or ET-1+CD11b area, respectively, by the total ET-1+ area. Similarly, the percentage of either GFAP or CD11b reactivity costaining for ET-1 within demyelinated
Flow cytometry
Infiltrating macrophages and microglia were enumerated by flow cytometry as previously described [28]. Briefly, at d30 p.i., brains from mice perfused with ice-cold PBS were individually homogenized in 4 ml of RPMI medium containing 25 mM HEPES, pH 7.2, using chilled Tenbroeck tissue grinders. To separate myelin debris, homogenates were resuspended in 30% Percoll (Amersham Biosciences, Piscataway, NJ), underlaid with 1 ml 70% Percoll, and isolated from the 30/70% interface following centrifugation at 800×

areas was calculated by divided by the ET-1+GFAP⁺ or ET-1+CD11b⁺ area by the total GFAP⁺ or CD11b⁺ area, respectively. All analyses were performed using ImageJ.

Gene expression
Snap-frozen spinal cords from PBS-perfused mice were homogenized in Trizol (Invitrogen) as described [28]. RNA was extracted according to the manufacturer’s instructions, treated with DNase I (Ambion, Austin, TX), and converted to cDNA as described [28]. For gene expression analysis by OLG, brains from WT and GFAPγR1Δ at d30 p.i. were homogenized using a Papain Neuronal Tissue Dissociation Kit (Miltenyi, Auburn, CA). Cell pellets from homogenates were resuspended in RPMI medium containing 25 mM HEPES (pH 7.2), adjusted to 30% Percoll, and purified from a discontinuous 30/70% Percoll gradient as described above. Cells were washed in RPMI medium and blocked prior to staining as described above. Cells were then stained with anti-CD45 (clone 30-F11, BD Biosciences) APC, unconjugated O4 mAb, and anti-mouse IgM PE (clone R6-60.2) (BD Biosciences) for 30 min and OLG-purified by FACS based on their CD45⁻O4⁺ phenotype as described [59, 60] using a FACS/ARIA cell sorter (BD Biosciences). OLG were resuspended in 450 μl of Trizol reagent (Invitrogen), and RNA was extracted using the Direct-zol RNA mini-prep kit (Zymo, Irvine, CA) following the manufacturer’s instructions. ET-1 (Mm00438656_m1), TNFR1 (Mm00441883_g1), and TNFR2 (Mm00441889_m1) messenger RNA (mRNA) levels were measured by quantitative real-time PCR using the Taqman Gene Expression Assay and Taqman primers (Life Technologies, Carlsbad, CA). Gene expression analysis was performed using a 7500 Fast real-time PCR System (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA).

Statistical analysis
Data represent the mean ± SEM. Significance was determined using two-tailed Student’s t test or Wilcoxon rank sum-test using SigmaStat V3.5. A value of P < 0.05 was
considered statistically significant. Graphs were plotted using GraphPad Prism v5.02 software. For IHC analysis, data is presented from two to three separate fields per mouse with a minimum of three mice per group and time point and experiment. Two independent experiments were evaluated for each analysis. For RT-PCR analysis, spinal cords from four to five mice per group were used.

**Results**

**TNF neutralization reduces demyelinating lesion size during progressive EAE**

Monoclonal antibody (mAb)-mediated TNF blockade in GFAPγR1Δ mice developing progressive EAE was previously shown to be therapeutic as evidenced by substantially reduced clinical disease, inflammation, and demyelination [28]. To assess whether TNF neutralization not only limits ongoing demyelination but also promotes tissue repair, we measured demyelinating lesion size during acute (d19 p.i.) and chronic (d30 p.i.) EAE in spinal cords of both WT and GFAPγR1Δ mice (Fig. 1a, b). In WT mice, lesion size decreased by ~2-fold during disease remission, implying tissue repair and remyelination. Although lesion size in GFAPγR1Δ mice undergoing acute EAE was slightly reduced compared to WT mice, it was significantly increased during disease progression, consistent with previous results [26–28]. Anti-TNF treatment of GFAPγR1Δ diminished lesion size by ~2.5-fold compared to isotype mAb-treated controls. Moreover, lesion size shrank by 50% compared to the acute phase suggesting that TNF blockade in GFAPγR1Δ not only limits lesion progression but also promotes repair.

Tissue damage is associated with astrogliosis and microglia/macrophage activation as indicated by thickening of astrocytic extensions and mesh formation [21, 24], as well as retraction and thickening of microglia/macrophage protrusions [61]. Spinal cords during acute and chronic EAE from all groups were therefore stained for fluoromyelin and GFAP or Iba1 reactivity to determine the extent of astrocyte and macrophage/microglia activation within lesions (Additional file 1). Astrogliosis within demyelinated areas was significantly increased in GFAPγR1Δ mice during both acute and chronic disease compared to WT mice (Fig. 1c). However, GFAP reactivity within each mouse group was similar between the acute and chronic phase. Contrasting GFAP reactivity, no differences were evident in myeloid cell reactivity during acute EAE comparing WT and GFAPγR1Δ mice (Fig. 1d). However, while Iba1 reactivity decreased within the lesions during disease remission in WT mice, it remained elevated within lesions during progressive EAE in GFAPγR1Δ mice (Fig. 1d).
Nevertheless, both GFAP and Iba1 reactivity in GFAPγR1Δ mice were significantly ameliorated by TNF blockade relative to isotype mAb-treated controls as well as mice in the acute EAE phase. Increased macrophage/microglia reactivity correlated with elevated numbers of both monocyte-derived CD45<sup>hi</sup> CD11b<sup>+</sup> macrophages (Fig. 1e) and CD45<sup>int</sup> CD11b<sup>+</sup> microglia (Fig. 1f) in the CNS of GFAPγR1Δ, which were reduced to levels in remitting WT mice by anti-TNF treatment. These data suggest that mechanisms underlying repair in remitting WT mice are dysregulated in GFAPγR1Δ mice due to increased astrogliosis already evident in lesions during the acute phase and maintained during chronic disease. Increased astrogliosis and myeloid reactivity is mitigated by neutralization of excessive TNF.

**TNF blockade reduces OLG apoptosis during progressive EAE**

TNF has been implicated in both promoting demyelination by inducing mature OLG apoptosis [36–39, 41, 42] and inhibiting OPC differentiation [40]. To address whether sustained TNF in GFAPγR1Δ mice enhances OLG apoptosis during progressive EAE, spinal cord sections were stained for CC1 expression to mark mature myelinating OLG and OLIG2 to mark OLG lineage cells and for TUNEL reactivity to identify apoptotic cells. During acute EAE, apoptotic mature myelinating OLG (CC1<sup>+</sup>Olig2<sup>−</sup> TUNEL<sup>+</sup>) per square millimeter lesion area were comparable between WT and GFAPγR1Δ mice (Fig. 2a, c). The number remained similarly low during disease remission in WT mice, but increased by ~4-fold/mm<sup>2</sup> lesion area in GFAPγR1Δ mice with progressive EAE (Fig. 2b, c). Elevated apoptotic OLG at d30 p.i. in GFAPγR1Δ correlated with enlarged lesions (Fig. 1a, b) and increased cellular density determined by DAPI staining. TNF blockade significantly reduced apoptotic OLG per square millimeter lesion area to levels in WT mice. OPC (CC1<sup>−</sup> Olig2<sup>−</sup> TUNEL<sup>+</sup>) apoptosis per square millimeter lesion area remained sparse in all groups (Fig. 2d). Sustained TNF thus correlated with increased lesion size and increasing OLG death consistent with accumulating adverse effects of TNF. The numbers of apoptotic OLG in non-lesioned areas were significantly lower (~5-fold less) relative to lesioned areas during acute EAE with no evident differences between both groups (Additional file 2A). However, GFAPγR1Δ mice with progressive EAE exhibited a ~2-fold increase in OLG apoptosis relative to remitting WT mice. TNF neutralization also resulted in a ~2-fold reduction of apoptotic OLG in non-lesioned areas. These results demonstrate that TNF neutralization prevents increasing OLG death during progressive EAE and that OPC are spared from TNF toxicity in this transgenic model.

While the majority of TUNEL<sup>+</sup> cells within lesions were OLG, a significant number did not co-stain with...
CC1 (Fig. 2a, b). As EAE is also characterized by prominent T cell apoptosis [62–64], and T cells remain elevated during progressive EAE in GFAPγR1Δ mice [26–28], we also assessed potential differences in T cell apoptosis within demyelinating lesions. Apoptotic T cells per square millimeter lesion area reached about 50% of apoptotic OLG numbers during acute EAE at d19 p.i., with no differences between the WT and GFAPγR1Δ mice (Additional file 2B). However, at d30 p.i., TUNEL+ T cells were increased ~8-fold in WT and ~10-fold GFAPγR1Δ relative to their respective levels in the acute phase. Comparing apoptotic T cells in the chronic phase, GFAPγR1Δ mice had ~2-fold increased numbers than WT mice, which were reduced to WT levels by anti-TNF treatment. Overall, these data support that OLG are the primary cells undergoing apoptosis within demyelinating lesions during progressive EAE in GFAPγR1Δ mice.

**Anti-TNF treatment promotes OPC differentiation during progressive EAE**

In addition to mediating OLG apoptosis, TNF impairs OPC differentiation through a mitochondria-dependent metabolic process [40]. However, TNFR2 signaling promotes OPC differentiation, questioning the relative balance of both effects during a given disease stage. Since TNF blockade appears to promote repair in GFAPγR1Δ mice as indicated in Fig. 1, we assessed the effects of TNF neutralization on OPC differentiation using the dual CC1+Olig2+ phenotype to distinguish differentiated myelinating OLG from more mature CC1+Olig2− OLG [55, 57, 58]. Spinal cords were stained for CC1 and Olig2 expression in combination with DAPI at 19 and 30 days p.i. and CC1+Olig2+ OLG quantified per square millimeter lesion area. Despite similar lesion size between WT and GFAPγR1Δ during acute EAE, differentiated OLG were surprisingly lower in GFAPγR1Δ mice (Fig. 3a, c). During disease remission in WT mice, CC1+Olig2+ OLG per square millimeter lesion area increased ~2-fold (Fig. 3a–c). Although CC1+Olig2+ OLG also increased ~2-fold between the acute and progressive time points in GFAPγR1Δ mice, they remained reduced by ~1.5-fold compared to WT mice undergoing remission. Importantly, anti-TNF mAb treatment raised the numbers of CC1+Olig2+ OLG to those observed in WT lesions. These data suggest that TNF neutralization overcomes a block in OPC maturation already evident in the acute EAE disease phase in GFAPγR1Δ mice. CC1+Olig2+ OLG numbers within non-lesioned areas were ~2-fold lower than within lesions in both acute and chronic EAE in WT mice (Additional file 3A). However, in GFAPγR1Δ mice, CC1+Olig2+ OLG numbers were similar between lesioned and non-lesioned areas at d19 and d30 p.i. TNF neutralization did not affect differentiated CC1+Olig2+ OLG numbers within non-lesioned areas.

**Fig. 3** TNF blockade promotes OPC differentiation during progressive EAE in GFAPγR1Δ mice. Representative confocal Z-stack images showing CC1 (green), Olig2 (red), and DAPI (blue) staining within spinal cord demyelinating lesions (dotted line) during a acute (d19) and b chronic (d30) EAE in WT and GFAPγR1Δ mice, treated with isotype control or anti-TNF mAb. White arrows indicate differentiated myelinating CC1+Olig2+ cells. Scale bar, 50 μm. c Quantification of differentiated OLG (CC1+Olig2+) per square millimeter lesion area. d Quantification of OPCs (CC1+Olig2−) per square millimeter lesion area. Data represent the mean values ±SEM from five to seven separate fields per mouse with two to three mice per group of two independent experiments. *P* values were determined by Wilcoxon rank sum-test. GFAPγR1Δ in all panels represents GFAPγR1Δ mice treated with isotype control mAb.
To assure that impaired OPC differentiation in lesions during progressive EAE is not due to reduced OPC recruitment, the number of OPC (CC1+Oig2+) per square millimeter lesion area was determined. GFAPγR1Δ mice harbored ~3-fold higher numbers of OPCs than WT mice during acute EAE (Fig. 3d). While OPCs per lesion area increased by ~2-fold during remission in WT mice, they remained similar to the acute phase in GFAPγR1Δ mice during disease progression. Overall, OPC numbers in GFAPγR1Δ mice were ~2-fold higher than in WT mice during chronic EAE, but significantly reduced by TNF blockade, supporting their differentiation to myelinating OLG (Fig. 3d). OPC numbers in non-lesioned white matter were similar during acute EAE in both groups, but ~3-fold higher per square millimeter lesion than non-lesioned areas in GFAPγR1Δ mice (Additional file 3B). Conversely, in chronic EAE, OPCs were ~1.6-fold increased within demyelinated lesions relative to non-demyelinated areas in WT mice, while no differences were noted in GFAPγR1Δ mice with progressive EAE; comparison of OPCs in non-lesioned areas of GFAPγR1Δ mice relative to WT mice showed a ~2-fold increase. Anti-TNF treatment also reduced the OPCs in non-lesioned areas correlating with the increase in OPC differentiation. These data suggest that progressive EAE in GFAPγR1Δ mice is not only associated with increased OLG apoptosis, but also a defect in OPC differentiation, which is overcome by TNF blockade.

**TNFR2 mRNA expression is reduced during progressive EAE**

Enhanced OPC differentiation and remyelination by total TNF2-mediated effects in promoting OPC differentiation [17, 44–49, 65]. This apparent discrepancy suggested that elevated and sustained TNF during progressive EAE acts primarily via detrimental TNFR1 signaling without an evident protective TNFR2 component. To assess whether protective TNF/TNF2-mediated effects are overwhelmed and/or if TNFR2 is dysregulated, we determined TNFR1 and TNFR2 mRNA expression during progressive EAE in total spinal cord RNA (Fig. 4a). EAE in WT and GFAPγR1Δ mice did not alter TNFR1 mRNA levels compared to naïve mice at d19 p.i. Moreover, although TNFR1 mRNA levels were upregulated at day 30 p.i., they remained comparable among groups. TNFR2 mRNA levels were elevated in both groups relative to naïve mice during acute EAE. However, while WT mice undergoing disease remission strongly upregulated TNFR2 mRNA, GFAPγR1Δ mice with progressive EAE exhibited no increase in TNFR2 mRNA expression. Moreover, TNF blockade did not overcome the apparent block in TNFR2 mRNA upregulation.

Since the overall TNFR2 expression is reduced during progressive EAE and TNFR2 signaling on OLG is important for OLG survival and function, TNFR2 mRNA expression was also specifically evaluated in FACS sorted O4+ OLG at d30 p.i. (Fig. 4b). TNFR2 mRNA levels were indeed ~6-fold lower in O4+ OLG derived from GFAPγR1Δ than from WT mice. Reduced TNFR2 mRNA expression in OLG correlates with increased OLG apoptosis and reduced OPC differentiation during progressive EAE. No difference in TNFR1 mRNA between WT and GFAPγR1Δ was observed. These data support the notion that excessive TNF preferentially acts through TNFR1 due to an imbalance between TNFR1/TNFR2 signaling. Importantly, these results are consistent with no evident negative effects of antibody-mediated neutralization of both TNF forms in GFAPγR1Δ mice.

**TNF neutralization reduces endothelin-1 (ET-1) levels during progressive EAE**

The overriding detrimental TNF effects during progressive EAE suggested additional mediators may impair OPC differentiation and mask TNFR2-mediated repair in GFAPγR1Δ mice. A review of the literature revealed ET-1, a potent vasoactive peptide produced by endothelial cells and reactive astrocytes [54, 66], as a potential candidate affecting demyelinating disease. ET-1 promotes reactive astrogliosis in both MS and EAE and exacerbates clinical disease in EAE [54, 67–70]. Overexpression of ET-1 by astrocytes results in severe EAE [67], while blocking ET-1 signaling ameliorates disease [68]. A focal demyelination model further revealed that ET-1 released by reactive astrocytes ameliorates disease [55]. Critical to the present studies, TNF stimulation of ET-1 is reciprocal in various non-CNS diseases [71, 72].

To better understand mechanisms underlying limited OPC differentiation during progressive EAE, we compared ET-1 expression in spinal cords during acute and chronic EAE phases in WT and GFAPγR1Δ mice (Fig. 5a, b). ET-1 immunoreactivity was minimal in spinal cords from naïve WT and GFAPγR1Δ mice (data not shown). During EAE, ET-1 localization was restricted to defined areas consistent with lesions in both groups. While no differences in expression were noted during acute EAE, GFAPγR1Δ mice exhibited a ~5-fold increase in ET-1 immunoreactivity per field of view compared to the acute phase as well as to WT mice in the chronic phase (Fig. 5a, b). By contrast, ET-1 levels in remitting WT mice remained constant or slightly decreased relative to the acute phase. Importantly, TNF blockade significantly reduced ET-1 to levels similar to or below those in WT mice. RT-PCR analysis of ET-1 mRNA levels in spinal cords during acute and
Fig. 5 TNF blockade limits ET-1 expression during progressive EAE. a Representative confocal images (Z-stack) of longitudinal spinal cord sections stained for ET-1 during chronic (d30) EAE in WT and GFAPγR1Δ mice, treated with isotype control or anti-TNF mAb. b Quantification of ET-1+ area per field (mm²) in spinal cords stained as indicated in panel a during acute (d19) and chronic (d30) EAE. Data are from six to eight separate fields from two individual mice per group and two independent experiments. c ET-1 mRNA levels in spinal cords from EAE mice as indicated determined by RT-PCR. Data represent mean ± SEM values from individual mice of two separate experiments, each comprising three to four mice per group. d Representative confocal images (Z-stack) of spinal cords from WT and GFAPγR1Δ mice, treated with isotype control or anti-TNF mAb, during chronic (d30) EAE stained for ET-1 (green), fluoromyelin (red), and DAPI (blue) within demyelinated lesion (dotted line). Images representative of three to four separate fields from two to three mice per group. Scale bars, 50 µm. P values were determined by Student’s t test. GFAPγR1Δ in all panels represents GFAPγR1Δ mice treated with isotype control mAb.
chronic EAE supported the histology results (Fig. 5c). ET-1 is highly produced by reactive astrocytes within demyelinated areas in MS and experimental lysolipid-induced demyelination [54, 55, 66]. To confirm that ET-1 expression is mainly restricted to lesions, we performed co-staining with anti-ET-1 mAb and fluoromyelin. Over 90% of ET-1 was localized to demyelinated lesions in all EAE groups (Fig. 5d).

ET-1 primarily colocalizes with lesion-associated myeloid cells and reactive astrocytes during progressive EAE

ET-1 is constitutively and inducibly expressed in a variety of cell types, including endothelial cells, reactive astrocytes, and myeloid cells [66, 71, 73]. The dominant source of increased ET-1 during progressive EAE was thus assessed by colocalization of ET-1 reactivity with GFAP (astrocytes) or CD11b (macrophages and microglia) (Fig. 6a). The relative proportion of ET-1 colocalizing with astrocytes or myeloid cells was quantified by dividing the area dually positive for ET-1 and GFAP (Fig. 6b) or ET-1 and CD11b (Fig. 6c) staining, respectively, by the total ET-1 area per field of view. During acute EAE in both WT and GFAPγR1Δ mice, ET-1 expression distributed fairly evenly between astrocytes and myeloid cells with a slightly higher proportion colocalizing to astrocytes in WT mice (Fig. 6b, c). However, the ratios diverged significantly comparing remitting versus chronic EAE. While almost 80% of ET-1 colocalized with astrocytes and ~ 40% with myeloid cells in remitting WT EAE, the ratio was reversed in GFAPγR1Δ mice with less than 50% of ET-1 localizing to astrocytes and almost 80% to myeloid cells. The observation that relative percentages are above 100% of total was attributed to the density and spatial proximity of GFAP and CD11b staining within lesions. TNF blockade in GFAPγR1Δ mice not only reduced total levels of ET-1, but also reverted the proportion of ET-1 expression in astrocytes to ~ 80% and reduced the fraction of ET-1 colocalizing with CD11b to ~ 10% of total ET-1 area. Together, these data suggest that ET-1 is prominently associated with reactive astrocytes during EAE disease remission in WT and anti-TNF-treated GFAPγR1Δ mice but is significantly increased in myeloid cells during aggravated progressive disease in control GFAPγR1Δ mice.

In addition to evaluating the relative distribution of ET-1 expression among astrocytes and myeloid cells, we also assessed whether the proportion of astrocytes or myeloid cells expressing ET-1 within...
lesions was altered. This was achieved by dividing either the dually reactive GFAP$^+$ ET-1$^+$ or CD11b$^+$ ET-1$^+$ area by the total GFAP$^+$ or CD11b$^+$ area, respectively, per region of interest (Fig. 6d, e). Analysis of the acute disease phase revealed ~20–25% of astrocytes colocalized with ET-1 with no difference between WT and GFAP$\gamma$R1$\Delta$ mice. In the chronic phase, the fraction of ET-1$^+$ astrocytes increased to ~60% in WT and 80% in GFAP$\gamma$R1$\Delta$ mice, revealing that ET-1 expression by astrocytes within demyelinated areas was significantly elevated in both groups (Fig. 6d). Interestingly, anti-TNF treatment reduced the proportion of ET-1$^+$ astrocytes within lesioned areas to less than 20% resembling levels during the acute phase. The proportion of CD11b$^+$ area co-staining with ET-1 was already increased by 3-fold during acute EAE in GFAP$\gamma$R1$\Delta$ relative to WT mice. Moreover, during the chronic phase, the fraction of the dually staining ET-1$^+$CD11b$^+$ area rose to ~40% in WT and ~80% in GFAP$\gamma$R1$\Delta$ mice (Fig. 6c). TNF blockade dramatically reduced the proportion of ET-1-expressing myeloid cells to less than 20%. These results indicated TNF blockade is associated with a significant reduction in ET-1 expression by both astrocytes and myeloid cells, which correlates with reduced astrocyte and myeloid cell reactivity within lesions observed in Fig. 1c, d.

**Endothelin-1 receptor B expression is increased during progressive EAE**

The deleterious effects of ET-1 are primarily mediated by ET-1 signaling through ET-1 receptor B (EDNRB) on reactive astrocytes [74]. To assess EDNRB levels and identify cells expressing EDNRB during progressive EAE, spinal cords were stained for EDNRB and GFAP or CD11b expression. EDNRB primarily colocalized with GFAP in both WT and GFAP$\gamma$R1$\Delta$ at d19 and d30 p.i. (Fig. 7a, b). Colocalization of EDNRB with CD11b was minimal in WT mice but increased during progressive EAE. In anti-TNF-treated GFAP$\gamma$R1$\Delta$ mice, EDNRB primarily colocalized with GFAP and only sparsely with CD11b. EDNRB immunostaining in naive mice was near detection levels (data not shown). During acute and chronic EAE, the EDNRB$^+$ area per field of view was ~2-fold higher in GFAP$\gamma$R1$\Delta$ than in WT mice and reduced by ~4-fold following anti-TNF treatment (Fig. 7b). EDNRB mRNA levels were ~3-fold higher in progressive EAE in GFAP$\gamma$R1$\Delta$ mice than subsiding EAE in WT mice; however, TNF neutralization did not alter EDNRB mRNA levels (data not shown). EDNR A mRNA levels were similar among all groups and did not change from naive mice (data not shown).

**Discussion**

TNF blockade is therapeutic in several progressive autoimmune diseases such as rheumatoid arthritis, ankylosing....
spondylitis, and inflammatory bowel disease. However, despite strong preclinical data supporting TNF neutralization as a therapeutic for MS, relapsing remitting patients treated with lenecoprit, a soluble TNFR1 IgG fusion protein, developed increased relapse rates and worsening of neurological functions [43]. Similarly, treatment of two rapidly progressing MS patients with anti-TNF mAb exacerbated disease consistent with augmented lesion numbers and lymphocyte infiltration [75]. Conversely, treatment of primary and secondary P-MS patients with the TNF inhibitor pirfenidone improved clinical disability [76, 77]. These opposing results in MS may be attributed to the dual functions of TNF engagement of TNFR1 and TNFR2 in CNS inflammation and demyelination, as well as disease severity. EAE studies in WT mice demonstrated that TNF blockade in early stages of disease ameliorates or delays disease onset [78], while it worsens disease when initiated at the peak of acute EAE [46]. The implication that TNF contributes to tissue damage through TNFR1 at early disease stages but conversely exerts protective effects through TNFR2 during chronic EAE was confirmed in respective TNFR knockout mice [48, 52, 53]. However, distinct from these studies, neutralization of both TNF forms in GFAPγR1Δ mice ameliorated disease; moreover, reduced demyelination coincided with reduced BBB permeability, reduced CNS cellular infiltration indiscriminate of cell type, and enhanced anti-inflammatory responses [28]. These findings suggested that detrimental TNF signals can override protective TNF/TNFFR2 effects in some clinical settings during progressive disease. This was supported by continued lesion expansion and destruction in GFAPγR1Δ mice during chronic EAE, contrasting decreasing lesion size in WT mice. Importantly, anti-TNF treatment not only constrained lesion expansion, but also decreased lesion size compared to the acute phase, suggesting repair.

In vivo and in vitro, TNF/TNFFR1 signaling leads to neuron and OLG death and increased chronic inflammation, whereas TNF/TNFFR2 interactions increase cell survival, anti-inflammatory signals, and remyelination [44, 46–49]. Analysis of the mechanisms underlying increased lesion size during EAE in GFAPγR1Δ mice indicated sustained TNF both enhanced mature OLG death and inhibited OPC differentiation into myelinating OLG. Despite an increase in differentiated OLG during progressive EAE relative to the acute phase in GFAPγR1Δ mice, their numbers remained significantly lower than in WT mice. Notably, these differences could not be attributed to impaired OPC recruitment. Thus, although present, newly differentiated OLG in demyelinated areas during progressive EAE were insufficient to repair the extensive tissue damage. Importantly, anti-TNF treatment limited OLG death and also promoted remyelination independent of TNFR2. Despite the established influence of TNFR1 and TNFR2 signaling, the relative temporal regulation and dynamics of their function in various stages of demyelinating disease are less well defined. Our analysis of TNFR mRNA expression surprisingly revealed that TNFR2 but not TNFR1 mRNA levels were significantly reduced in the CNS of GFAPγR1Δ compared to those of WT mice during chronic EAE, although no differences in TNFR1 or TNFR2 mRNA were evident in naïve GFAPγR1Δ mice. Moreover, reduced TNFR2 mRNA expression in FACS-purified OLG from GFAPγR1Δ compared to WT mice suggested an imbalance in TNFR1 relative to TNFR2 signaling during progressive EAE counteracts repair, which may explain why neutralization of both TNF forms confers protection in GFAPγR1Δ but not WT mice. Increased mature OLG death observed in the GFAPγR1Δ mice during chronic EAE may be partially responsible for differential TNFR1 and TNFR2 expression. However, numerous other cells express TNFR2, including microglia, macrophages, and regulatory T cells (Treg). As TNFR2 signaling on these cells has been shown to contribute to repair via enhancing clearance of debris and Treg suppressor function [47, 58], a potential role of these cells awaits future analysis. Irrespectively, reduced TNFR2 expression in GFAPγR1Δ mice implies sustained elevated TNF over time preferentially signals through TNFR1 to mediate OLG apoptosis. These findings are reminiscent of the therapeutic effects of the TNF inhibitor pirfenidone in P-MS patients [76, 77] and suggest that dysregulated TNFR2 expression may contribute to P-MS.

Impaired OPC differentiation may also reside in TNFR2-independent functions mediated by ET-1. ET-1 has been demonstrated to indirectly inhibit OPC maturation by upregulating expression of jagged-1 in reactive astrocytes, which binds to notch-1 in OPCs [55]. While TNF induces ET-1 in a variety of cell types [71, 72, 79], specific induction in astrocytes has not been reported to our knowledge. The ability of ET-1 to promote TNF production [80] and TNF to induce ET-1 production [81] implicates an autonomous self-enhanced loop exacerbating OLG death and blocking repair. This scenario is indeed supported by a vast increase in ET-1 expression coincident with increased and sustained macrophage-derived TNF during disease progression in GFAPγR1Δ mice. The dramatic reduction in ET-1 by TNF blockade supports TNF in promoting ET-1 production. Similar to MS, ET-1 primarily localized to white matter lesions in both subsiding and progressive EAE. However, while ET-1 primarily colocalized with astrocytes during subsiding EAE in WT mice, it colocalized with both astrocytes and myeloid cells during progressive EAE in GFAPγR1Δ.
mice, implicating microglia/macrophages as an additional potent source of ET-1 during exacerbated demyelination. Whether ET-1 is primarily produced by monocyte-derived macrophages or microglia remains to be determined. Nevertheless, the increased population of monocyte-derived macrophages in the CNS of GFAPγR1Δ mice [28] implicates this population as the more prominent source analogous to TNF.

The relevant CNS cell types responding to ET-1 have been under investigation [54, 69, 82]. After CNS injury, the ET-1 receptor ENDRB is upregulated in glial cells and specifically in reactive astrocytes during demyelination [83]. During lysolecithin-induced demyelination, ET-1 signals through EDNRB in reactive astrocytes, thereby mediating inhibitory jagged-1/notch-1 signaling in OPCs [55, 74]. Moreover, loss of EDNRB in astrocytes, but not OPC, promotes remyelination [74]. Our data confirm EDNRB expression predominantly in reactive astrocytes in subsiding WT EAE. GFAPγR1Δ mice undergoing progressive EAE exhibited vastly increased EDNRB expression, which was also mostly associated with astrocytes, although modest macrophage/microglia colocalization was also evident. Anti-TNF treatment of GFAPγR1Δ mice resulted in reduced astrocyte and macrophage/microglial activation coincident with reduced EDNRB expression. As little is known about the role of ET-1 and EDNRB expression by myeloid cells in CNS pathology, further analysis is essential to delineate the contribution of ET-1/EDNRB in myeloid cells versus astrocytes in this model. Similar EDNRA mRNA expression in naïve and EAE mice (data not shown) suggested EDNRA does not play a role in progressive EAE. Overall, our study supports an inhibitory role of ET-1, EDNRB, and astrocytes in limiting repair.

ET-1 has several other functions contributing to CNS damage, including breakdown of BBB integrity, thereby promoting CNS entry of peripheral inflammatory cells [82]. It also induces astrocytic production of CCL2, a chemoattractant for inflammatory monocytes, as well as IL-1β, IL-6, and reactive oxygen species, factors all contributing to CNS cellular damage and dysfunction [80]. Lastly, it inhibits CX3CL1, which confers neuroprotection in several demyelinating models [84]. Progressive EAE in GFAPγR1Δ mice is indeed associated with increased BBB permeability and elevated indiscriminate leukocyte infiltration compared to remitting WT mice, which are both alleviated by TNF blockade [28]. On the other hand, ET-1 has been shown to induce transforming growth factor β (TGFβ) [85, 86] and an M2 pheno-type in human macrophages in vitro [73], known mediators of protection during EAE. Nevertheless, no evidence for increased TGFβ [26] or M2 macrophage/microglia during progressive EAE dismiss ET-1 mediated anti-inflammatory effects in our model.

Several mechanisms independent of OLG apoptosis may further contribute to lesion expansion during EAE in GFAPγR1Δ mice. Apoptotic T cells may sustain cytokine release and contribute to tissue debris. During

---

**Fig. 8** Model of astrocyte-dependent regulation of remyelination during progressive EAE. IFNγ signaling to astrocytes temporally coordinates the progression of inflammation and primes tissue for repair by limiting OLG apoptosis and promoting OPC differentiation. The absence of IFNγ-mediated anti-inflammatory responses in astrocytes increases astrogliosis and sustains TNF production by infiltrating monocytes, leading to elevated ET-1 expression in both astrocytes and myeloid cells. TNF/TNFR1-mediated interactions promote OLG death while ET-1/EdnRB signaling arrests OPC differentiation. TNF blockade reduces ET-1 and limits progressive demyelinating pathology.
injury or insult, debris clearance triggers tissue regeneration and is an essential component for CNS homeostasis [87]. Insufficient debris clearance by microglia is highly prevalent in many neurodegenerative diseases and is associated with impaired remyelination. Increased apoptotic T cells observed in GFAPPyR1Δ mice during progressive EAE may thus hinder tissue repair. Given the more reactive phenotype of macrophages relative to microglia during progressive EAE [27, 28], ET-1 and EDNRB expression mostly restricted to macrophages may additionally sustain pro-inflammatory effects. Overall, enhanced lesion activity was supported by significantly increased astrocyte and myeloid cell activation during progressive EAE, which was limited by anti-TNF treatment. Furthermore, in a model of viral-induced demyelination, we have recently uncovered that the anti-inflammatory cytokine IL-10 is critical in limiting expansion of demyelinating lesions by promoting glial mesh formation at lesion borders [88]. Interestingly, IL-10 is downregulated during progressive EAE, and TNF neutralization increases IL-10 production [28]. Although IL-10 is known to downregulate TNF production [89], it is not clear whether TNF suppresses IL-10. Elevated IL-10 after TNF blockade may thus contribute to limiting demyelination during progressive EAE.

Conclusions

Overall, our results demonstrate that the inability of astrocytes to respond to IFNγ leads to progressive disability associated with sustained TNF production by macrophages, elevated ET-1 levels, and continued demyelinating lesion expansion. We propose a model in which a positive feedback loop sustaining TNF and ET-1 production exacerbates OLG apoptosis, inhibits OPC differentiation, and aggravates astrogliosis and myeloid cell activation in lesions. TNF blockade during sustained acute disease prevents ongoing lesion progression and severe clinical disability and promotes repair independent of TNFR2 (Fig. 8). The data further highlight that a better characterization of the interplay between TNF, ET-1, and regulation of their respective detrimental and beneficial receptors in the CNS promises to lead to more targeted therapies promoting remyelination and treating progressive forms of MS. More selective drugs targeting distinct TNF or ET-1 signaling pathways to alleviate demyelination have indeed being proposed. For example, neutralization of sTNF using the sTNF specific inhibitor, Xpro1595, ameliorates EAE and promotes remyelination [46]. Similarly, sTNF blockade stimulates remyelination by enhancing myelin debris clearance by microglia during cuprizone-induced demyelination [47]. The EDNRB inhibitor BQ-123 ameliorated EAE [68], and administration of a heterologous EDNRB inhibitor, BQ-788, accelerated OPC differentiation during focal demyelination [55]. Dissecting mechanisms underlying selection of TNF or ET-1 blockade in distinct demyelinating models thus shows promise to improve strategies promoting OPC differentiation following established demyelination and combat P-MS.

Additional files

Additional file 1: TFN blockade reduces astrocyte and myeloid cell reactivity during progressive EAE in GFAPPyR1Δ mice. Longitudinal spinal cord sections from WT and GFAPPyR1Δ mice, treated with isotype control or anti-TNF mAb, were stained for astrocyte (GFAP) or myeloid cell (Iba-1) reactivity at d19 and d30 as indicated. Data represents three to four separate fields per mouse with two to three mice per group. (TIF 1843 kb)

Additional file 2: TFN neutralization limits OLG and T cell apoptosis during progressive EAE in GFAPPyR1Δ mice. Longitudinal spinal cord sections from WT and GFAPPyR1Δ mice, treated with isotype control or anti-TNF mAb, were stained for apoptosis during acute (d19) and chronic (d30) EAE. A. Quantification of apoptotic mature OLG (TUNEL+CC1+Olig2+) per square millimeter non-lesioned area. B. Quantification of apoptotic T cells (TUNEL+CD3+) per square millimeter lesion area. Data represent mean ± SEM of two to three separate fields per mouse with two to three mice per group from two independent experiments. P values were determined by Student’s t test. GFAPPyR1Δ in all panels represents GFAPPyR1Δ mice treated with isotype control mAb. (TIF 133 kb)

Additional file 3: OPC maturation and recruitment is not impaired in non-lesioned areas during progressive EAE in GFAPPyR1Δ mice. Longitudinal spinal cord sections from WT and GFAPPyR1Δ mice, treated with isotype control or anti-TNF mAb, were stained for OLG and OPC during acute (d19) and chronic (d30) EAE. A. Quantification of differentiating myelinating OLG (CC1+Olig2+) per square millimeter non-lesioned area. B. Quantification of OPCs (CC1−Olig2+) per square millimeter non-lesioned area. Data represent the mean ± SEM of five to seven separate fields per mouse with two to three mice per group from two independent experiments. P values were determined by Wilcoxon rank sum test. GFAPPyR1Δ in all panels represents GFAPPyR1Δ mice treated with isotype control mAb. (TIF 153 kb)
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