Receptor binding domain proteins of SARS-CoV-2 variants produced in Nicotiana benthamiana elicit neutralizing antibodies against variants of concern
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Abstract
The constantly emerging severe acute respiratory syndrome-coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) variants of concerns (VOCs) with mutations in the receptor-binding domain (RBD) spread rapidly and has become a severe public health problem worldwide. Effective vaccines and optimized booster vaccination strategies are thus highly required. Here, the gene encoding six different RBD (Alpha, Beta, Gamma, Kappa, Delta, and Epsilon variants) along with the Fc fragment of human IgG1 (RBD-Fc) was cloned into plant expression vector and produced in Nicotiana benthamiana by transient expression. Further, the immunogenicity of plant-produced variant RBD-Fc fusion proteins were tested in cynomolgus monkeys. Each group of cynomolgus monkeys was immunized three times intramuscularly with variant RBD-Fc vaccines at Day 0, 21, 42, and neutralizing antibody responses were evaluated against ancestral (Wuhan), Alpha, Beta, Gamma, and Delta variants. The results showed that three doses of the RBD-Fc vaccine significantly enhanced the immune response against all tested SARS-CoV-2 variants. In particular, the vaccines based on Delta and Epsilon mutant RBD elicit broadly neutralizing antibodies against ancestral (Wuhan), Alpha, Beta, Gamma, and Delta variants. These proof-of-concept results will be helpful for the development of plant-derived RBD-Fc-based vaccines against SARS-CoV-2 and its variants.
1 | INTRODUCTION

The world is currently dealing with pandemic coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) caused by the severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2). As of May 20, 2022, more than 521 millionconfirmed cases, and 6.2 million deaths were reported by World Health Organization (WHO). As soon as the virus outbreak, several research groups, and biopharmaceutical companies attempt to develop effective and promising SARS-CoV-2 vaccines utilizing different technologies. Subunit vaccines based on synthetic peptides or recombinant proteins have been established for SARS-CoV and Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus (MERS-CoV), which are shown to be effective in animal models. Earlier reports showed that the receptor-binding domain (RBD) located on the Spike (S) protein of SARS-CoV-2 is considered the main target for neutralizing antibodies and therapeutic vaccine development. The subunit-based vaccines developed against SARS-CoV-2 such as NVX-CoV2373 (Novavax), ZF2001 (Anhui Zhifei Longcom), and KBP-COVID-19 (Kentucky Bioprocessing Inc.) showed encouraging clinical trial results.

Recently, few COVID-19 vaccines have been approved by WHO including those produced by Pfizer/BioNTech, Oxford/AstraZeneca-SK Bio, Janssen, Moderna, Sinopharm/BIBP, Sinovac, and Bharat Biotech. Several countries introduced massive vaccination campaigns to combat the virus infection. However, the virus evolves into new variants that evade host immunity with increasing infectivity, virulence, and replication fitness. Five variants of concerns (VOCs) were categorized by WHO including Alpha (B.1.1.7), Beta (B.1.351), Gamma (P.1), Delta (B.1.617.2), and Omicron (B.1.1.529), as of April, 2022. These VOCs contain multiple mutations on the spike and RBD region that plays a vital role in viral entry by interaction with the host cell receptor Angiotensin-Converting Enzyme 2 (ACE2). Some of the key mutations identified in variants are reported to be reducing the efficacy of vaccines. BNT162b2 (Pfizer/BioNTech) elicited antibodies showed a 2.6-fold and 8.8-fold reduction in neutralizing Alpha and Beta variants compared with the ancestral (Wuhan) variant. However, 3-fold and 16-fold decrease in the neutralization titers against the Delta and the Beta variants compared to the Alpha variant were reported. Furthermore, 5-fold and 9-fold reduction in neutralization titers against the Delta and the Beta variants relative to the Alpha variant were reported for the ChAdOx1 nCoV-19 vaccine (Oxford/AstraZeneca). Both Pfizer and AstraZeneca vaccines showed notable neutralizing antibody responses against the Delta variant after the second dose. In addition, BNT162b2 recipient sera showed neutralizing antibody response against the Omicron 40-fold lower than the ancestral (Wuhan) variant and none of the CoronaVac (Sinovac) recipients sera showed neutralizing response against the Omicron variant.

Therefore, urgent research is required to fill the knowledge gaps on SARS-CoV-2 and its variants for effective vaccine design and treatment to combat its infection. In addition, the efficacy of available vaccines and other potential candidates against VOCs should be investigated.

The cost-effective platform for producing recombinant vaccines could reduce the overall vaccine cost, which, in turn, reduce the financial burden and improve vaccine accessibility. Recently, the plant-based platform has been gaining popularity for the production of recombinant proteins, enzymes, vaccine antigens, antimicrobial peptides, diagnostic/research reagents, and monoclonal antibodies. Rapid scale-up of recombinant proteins, low risk of human pathogen contamination, ability to perform posttranslational modifications, and low cost are some of the major advantages of plant expression system. Previously, our group has successfully demonstrated the production of SARS-CoV-2 antigens and monoclonal antibodies in Nicotiana benthamiana in response to emergency demands. We have also shown the potential of plant-produced recombinant SARS-CoV-2 RBD- Fc fusion protein adjuvanted with alum in inducing the neutralizing antibodies in both mice and cynomolgus monkeys. In addition, we have demonstrated the role of adjuvants in enhancing the immune response of plant-produced subunit vaccine candidates against SARS-CoV-2.

In this study, SARS-CoV-2 variant RBD proteins, Alpha (N501Y, A570D, and E484Q), Beta (K417N, E484K, N501Y, and D614G), Gamma (K417T, E484K, N501Y, and D614G), Kappa (L452R, E484Q, and D614G), and Epsilon (L452R and D614G) were fused with Fc region of human IgG1 for subunit vaccine development. Fc-fused protein vaccines against several diseases have been evaluated including SARS-CoV and influenza. Here, six recombinant variant RBD proteins were fused with the Fc region of human IgG1 and produced in N. benthamiana by transient expression. The yield of purified plant-produced variant RBD-Fc proteins were found to be in the range of 20–28 μg/g fresh weight. Further, the in vivo immunogenicity of the plant-produced variant RBD-Fc proteins were evaluated in cynomolgus macaques, and the ability to neutralize antibodies elicited by these vaccines against ancestral (Wuhan) and mutant viruses (variants) were also investigated.

2 | MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 | Preparation of recombinant RBD proteins

The ancestral RBD sequence (Wuhan) of SARS-CoV-2 RBD (F318-C617) containing 3XGGGGS linker fused with Fc region of human
IgG1 (P35-K255) in the recombinant plasmid pBYR2eK2Md (Figure 1A) was used as a template to generate a series of RBD point mutation (Alpha, Beta, Gamma, Kappa, Delta, and Epsilon RBD-Fc) (Figures 1B and S1). The construct of Alpha (N501Y, A570D, and D614G) and Beta RBD-Fc (K417N, E484K, N501Y, and D614G) were produced as previously described. Gamma (K417T, E484K, N501Y, and D614G), Kappa (L452R, E484Q, and D614G), Delta (L452R, T478K, and D614G), and Epsilon (L452R and D614G) RBD were constructed using the set of primers by polymerase chain reaction (PCR) (Table S1). Briefly, Gamma RBD was constructed by using Beta RBD-Fc as a template with SP-F/K417T-R primers and K417T-F/D614G-R primers to introduce K417T mutation. The ancestral (Wuhan) RBD-Fc construct was used as the template for generating Epsilon RBD by using SP-P/L452R-R and L452R-F/D614G-R primers to introduce L452R and D614G mutations. Kappa and Delta RBD were developed by using Epsilon RBD as the template with SP-F/E484Q-R and E484Q-F/D614G-R primers for Kappa (E484Q), and SP-F/T478K-R and T478K-F/D614G-R primers for Delta (T478K). Then, all variant RBD were ligated with linker and Fc region via BamHI site by T4 DNA ligase (New England Biolabs). Then, each variant RBD-Fc was ligated into geminiviral vector pBYR2eK2Md (pBY2eK) via XhoI, and SacI sites. The recombinant plasmids were transformed to Agrobacterium tumefaciens GV3101 by electroporation. Wild-type N. benthamiana plants were agroinfiltrated with Agrobacterium harboring each variant RBD-Fc constructs. Infiltrated plants were harvested 3 days post infiltration and the recombinant protein was purified by protein A affinity chromatography (GE Healthcare) as previously described.

2.2 Vaccine formulation

The purified plant-produced SARS-CoV-2 variant RBD-Fc fusion proteins at a dose of 10 μg protein were formulated with 0.5 mg alum, Alhydrogel® 2% (Croda), as an adjuvant. The phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) containing alum without the antigen was used as a control. A total volume of 0.5 ml of the vaccine was used for immunization.

**FIGURE 1** Schematic representation of geminiviral vector (pBY2eK) map of SARS-CoV-2 variant RBD-Fc fusion proteins produced in N. benthamiana. T-DNA region between LB (left border) and RB (right border) of pBY2eK consists of PinII 3’ (terminator from potato proteinase inhibitor II gene), P19 (P19 gene from tomato bushy stunt virus (TBSV)), TMV 5’UTR (5’ untranslated region of tobacco mosaic virus Ω), P35S (cauliflower mosaic virus (CaMV) 35S promoter), LIR (long intergenic region of BeYDV genome), NbPsaK2T 5’UTR (5’ untranslated region), GOI (gene of interest), Ext3’FL (3’ full length of the tobacco (Nicotiana tabacum) extension gene), Rb7 (tobacco R7 promoter), SIR (short intergenic region of BeYDV genome), and C2/C1 (bean yellow dwarf virus (BeYDV) open reading frames C1 and C2 encoding for replication initiation protein (Rep) and RepA) (A). Diagrammatic representation of the SARS-CoV-2 variant RBD-Fc fusion proteins. The RBD protein with the predicted mutation sites in the SARS-CoV-2 variants compared to the RBD of original strain Wuhan was highlighted (B). RBD, receptor-binding domain; SARS-CoV-2, severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2.
2.3 Immunization in cynomolgus monkeys

The study was performed at the National Primate Research Center of Thailand-Chulalongkorn University (NPRCT-CU; AAALAC International Accredited facility). The animal use and the experimental procedures were approved by the NPRCT-CU Animal Care and Use Committee (Protocol review no. 2175005 and 2175007).

Thirty-five male and female cynomolgus monkeys (Macaca fascicularis) aged 2.5–9 years and body weight between 2.5 and 6.4 kg, supplied by the NPRCT-CU breeding facility, were divided into seven groups; plant-produced SARS-CoV-2 Alpha, Beta, Gamma, Kappa, Delta, Epsilon RBD-Fc vaccines (n = 5) and control group (n = 3). Monkeys were intramuscularly injected in the quadriceps femoris muscle with 0.5 mL of vaccines or alum alone on Days 0, 21, and 42 (3-week interval). The blood samples were collected on Day 0 (before the first injection) and 14 days after each immunization on Day 14, 35, and 56, to assess the antigen-specific antibody titer, live virus-neutralizing antibody, and pseudovirus neutralization antibody titer. The immunization schedule was shown in Figure 3A.

2.4 Evaluation of RBD-specific antibody titer by enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA)

SARS-CoV-2 spike protein RBD (Cat. No. Z03479; GenScript) at 100 ng/well was used to coat a high binding 96-well plate (Greiner bio-one) and incubated overnight at 4°C. Next, the wells were blocked with 3% w/v bovine serum albumin (BSA; HIMedia Laboratories) in 1×PBS pH 7.4 for 1 h at 37°C. Then, monkey sera were diluted with 1% w/v BSA in 1×PBS to 2-fold serial dilution by starting at 1:100. The diluted sera were loaded in each well as duplicates and incubated for 1 h at room temperature (RT). After washing, goat anti-monkey IgG HRP conjugate (Abcam) at 1:5,000 dilution was added, and the reaction was stopped by adding 1 M H₂SO₄. The absorbance at 450 nm (A₄₅₀) was measured by James Temperton, University of Kent), the expression plasmid containing the open reading frame (ORF) that encodes a full-length recombinant SARS-CoV-2 spike glycoprotein (S) fused with the human ACE2 receptor (Cat. No. Cp072918.3; GenScript) was transfected into HEK293T cells. The cell lysate was harvested at 48 h posttransfection, and the supernatant was concentrated to 1×PBS.

2.5 Microneutralization assay

Microneutralization assay was performed in 96-well microplates containing confluent Vero E6 cells and live SARS-CoV-2 virus, ancestral (SARS-CoV-2/human/THA/LU07_P3/2020), Alpha (B.1.1.7, SARS-CoV-2/human/THA/NH657_P3/2021), Beta (B.1.351, SARS-CoV-2/human/THA/NH088_P3/2021), and Delta (B.1.617.2, SARS-CoV2/human/THA/OTV007_P3/2021), isolated from COVID-19 patients in Thailand. The experiment was conducted in a certified biosafety level (BSL) 3 facility of Microbiology Department, Faculty of Science, Mahidol University, Thailand, as previously described with some modifications.

Briefly, immunized monkey sera and the convalescent serum from COVID-19 patients (positive control) were heat-inactivated at 56°C for 30 min. Two-fold serially diluted sera were mixed with 100 placebo control (效应剂) in 1×PBS containing 0.1% Tween 20 at room temperature (RT) for 1 h. Virus control and cell control wells were included in all plates. Then, the mixture was applied to a Vero E6 cell monolayer and incubated at 37°C for 2 days. Subsequently, the cells were washed once with 1×PBS, fixed, and permeabilized with chilled 1:1 methanol/acetone fixative solution at 4°C for 20 min. After washing three times with 1×PBST and the plates were blocked with 2% BSA in 1×PBS containing 0.1% Tween 20 at room temperature (RT) for 1 h. Viral infection was then assessed using 1:5,000 of SARS-CoV/SARS-CoV-2 nucleocapsid (N) monoclonal antibody (Sino Biological) in 1×PBS containing 0.5% BSA and 0.1% Tween 20 as a primary antibody and incubated at 37°C for 1 h followed by adding 1:2000 of HRP-conjugated goat anti-rabbit polyclonal antibodies (Dako) in 1×PBS as a secondary antibody and incubated at 37°C for 1 h. The KPL Sureblue™ TMB substrate (SeraCare) was added, and the reaction was stopped by 1 N HCl. The absorbance was measured using a Sunrise™ microplate reader (Tecan). The differences of A₄₅₀ of samples were compared with the 50% of the cut point, which was calculated as previously described. The assay was performed in duplicates.

2.6 Pseudovirus neutralization assay

Lentiviral pseudoviruses bearing CoV spike protein were constructed as previously described with minor modifications. Briefly, the combination of plasmids including the lentiviral backbone expressing a firefly luciferase reporter gene (pcSFLW, kindly provided by Dr. Nigel James Temperton, University of Kent), the expression plasmid expressing H1 structural/regulatory proteins (pCMVΔR8.91), and pCAGGS expressing the codon-optimized spike gene (ancestral (Wuhan), Alpha, Beta, Gamma, and Delta) was used to generate pseudoviruses. Unless otherwise indicated, HEK293T/17 producer cells were seeded in 6-well plates at 7.5 × 10⁵/well 24 h before being transfected with the following plasmids: 600 ng pCMVΔR8.91, 600 ng pCSFLW, and 500 ng of pCAGGS-Spike, in Opti-MEM (Gibco) with 10 µL polyethyleneimine (PEI). Transfected cells were incubated at 37°C, 5% CO₂. At 12 h after transfection, the cells were washed and cultured in DMEM-10%. Pooled harvests of supernatants containing pseudoviruses were taken at 72 h posttransfection, centrifuged at 1500×g for 10 min at 4°C to remove cellular debris, aliquoted, and stored at −80°C.

To titrate pseudoviruses, HEK 293T/17-ACE2 cells were first transfected with the expression plasmid encoding for human ACE2.
TMPRSS2 using Fugene HD (Promega) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. At 24 h after transfection, the supernatant was replaced by DMEM containing 10% FBS and subsequently used as pseudovirus target cells. Supernatants containing pseudoviruses were serially two-fold diluted in a DMEM medium in 96-well, flat-bottomed culture plates, and TMPRSS2-expressing HEK 293 T/17-ACE2 target cells (1 × 10^4 cells/well) were added to each well. After 72 h, the luminescence of cell cultures (in Relative Luminescence Units or RLUs) was evaluated by luminometry (Synergy Plate Reader) using the Bright-Glo assay system (Promega).

To measure the neutralizing activity of the serum samples, a two-fold serial dilution of heat-inactivated sera was prepared, starting from 1:40, in a culture medium (DMEM high glucose without FBS). The sera were mixed with pseudoviruses displaying the CoV spike of interest in a 1:1 vol/vol ratio in a 96-well culture plate. The pseudovirus input used was normalized to 1 × 10^5 RLU/well. The serum-pseudovirus mixture was then incubated for 1 h at 37°C. Subsequently, cell suspensions of HEK293T-ACE2 pre-transfected with pCAGGS expressing human TMPRSS2 (2 × 10^4 cells/ml) were mixed with the serum-pseudovirus mixture seeded into each well of CulturPlate™ Microplates (PerkinElmer). The plates were incubated at 37°C for 48 h, and the neutralizing antibodies were determined based on luciferase activity as previously described.40

2.7 | Statistical analysis

Statistical significance was calculated across groups by Two-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) and multiple comparisons using GraphPad Prism 9 (GraphPad Software, Inc.). The data were plotted as a geometric mean titer (GMT) with ± 95% confidence interval (CI). The p-value <0.05 was considered statistically significant.

3 | RESULTS

3.1 | Expression of variant RBD-Fc fusion proteins in N. benthamiana

SARS-CoV-2 variant RBD-Fc fusion proteins were successfully expressed in N. benthamiana and purified from the plant crude extracts using Protein A affinity chromatography. The purified proteins were confirmed by sodium dodecyl sulfate-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE) and western blot analysis. The SDS-PAGE followed by western blot analysis of plant-produced variant RBD-Fc proteins performed under reducing and nonreducing conditions and the result was presented in Figure 2. All samples showed the major band at approximately 150 kDa and 75 kDa in nonreducing and reducing conditions as expected. The yield of purified plant-produced variant RBD-Fc were found to be in the range of 20–28 μg/g fresh weight.

3.2 | Plant-produced variant RBD-Fc vaccines induced antibody responses in monkeys

To investigate the in vivo immunogenicity of variant RBD-Fc proteins produced in N. benthamiana, cynomolgus macaques were immunized with 10 μg of variant RBD-Fc vaccines on Days 0, 21, and 42 and the blood was collected for the detection of RBD-specific IgG antibodies by ELISA. As shown in Figure 3B, Alpha, Gamma, Delta, and Epsilon RBD-Fc vaccines induced considerably higher IgG titers 14 days after the first immunization with geometric mean titer (GMT) of 174, 115, 459, and 1213, respectively. After second immunization (Day 35), Kappa vaccine group (GMT = 16 890) showed significantly higher IgG titer than control group (GMT = 100) with p < 0.01, and Alpha (GMT = 5572), Beta (GMT = 4222), and Gamma (GMT = 3805) RBD-Fc vaccine groups with p < 0.05, but not with Epsilon RBD-Fc vaccinated group (GMT = 12 800). Delta RBD-Fc vaccinated group (GMT = 29 407) exhibited significantly higher IgG antibody titer than control, Alpha, Beta, Gamma, and Epsilon RBD-Fc groups with p < 0.0001, and Kappa group with p < 0.05. IgG titers were elicited after the third immunization on day 56 with those of Delta (GMT = 22 286) and Epsilon vaccine groups (GMT = 22 286) exhibiting significantly higher titer than the control group (GMT = 16 890) with p < 0.01. Notably, the IgG titers of both Delta and Epsilon groups were significantly higher than those of Beta group (GMT = 6400) with p < 0.01, and the Gamma (GMT = 9051) and Kappa (GMT = 11 143) groups with p < 0.05, but not with Alpha (GMT = 11 143) RBD-Fc immunized group.

3.3 | Plant-produced variant RBD-Fc vaccines induced neutralizing antibodies against SARS-CoV-2 variants

3.3.1 | Microneutralization assay

Plant-produced variant Alpha, Beta, Gamma, Kappa, Delta, and Epsilon, RBD-Fc vaccines induced detectable neutralizing antibodies against live SARS-CoV-2 ancestral (Wuhan), Alpha, Beta, and Delta strains at 14 days after first immunization (Day 14) in monkeys as shown in Figure 4.

We have measured the neutralizing antibody titers against ancestral (Wuhan) strain; Epsilon RBD-Fc vaccinated group (GMT = 80) induced significantly higher neutralizing titer than Alpha (GMT = 15), Beta (GMT = 10), Gamma (GMT = 11), and Kappa (GMT = 15) RBD-Fc immunized groups with p < 0.01 and control (GMT = 10) with p < 0.05 on Day 14, but not in Delta group (GMT = 35). After second immunization (Day 35), the neutralizing titer of Delta RBD-Fc immunized group (GMT = 2560) was significantly increased compared with Beta (GMT = 92), Kappa (GMT = 368), and control (GMT = 10) groups with p < 0.01, and Alpha (GMT = 422) and Gamma (GMT = 538) RBD-Fc immunized groups with p < 0.05, with no significant differences in Epsilon group (GMT = 2229). The neutralizing titer after third immunization (Day 56) showed that the Epsilon RBD-Fc
(GMT = 4457) significantly induced the neutralizing antibodies when
compared to Beta (GMT = 211), Gamma (GMT = 381), and control
(GMT = 10) groups with p < 0.01, with no significant differences in
Alpha (GMT = 1280) and Delta (GMT = 2941) RBD-Fc immunized
groups.

The neutralizing titer against Alpha strain showed that Delta RBD-Fc
vaccinated group (GMT = 2560) was significantly higher than Beta
(GMT = 139), Kappa (GMT = 557) and Gamma (GMT = 538) RBD-Fc
groups with p < 0.01. After 14 days of third immunization (Day 56),
neutralizing titer induced by Delta RBD-Fc vaccine group (GMT = 4457)
against Alpha strain was significantly higher than Beta (GMT = 279) and
Kappa (GMT = 368) RBD-Fc groups with p < 0.01; Gamma (GMT = 538)
and control (GMT = 10) groups with p < 0.05. Further, Epsilon RBD-Fc
vaccine group (GMT = 4457) induced significantly higher neutralizing
antibodies than Beta, Gamma, Kappa RBD-Fc and control groups with
p < 0.01, whereas no significant differences was observed when
compared to Alpha (GMT = 1280) and Delta groups.

The potency of the neutralizing response against Beta strain was
also evaluated. Alpha (GMT = 422), Beta (GMT = 970), Gamma
(GMT = 2153), Kappa (GMT = 844), Delta (GMT = 368), Epsilon
(GMT = 735) vaccine groups, and control group (GMT = 13) were
not significant different after 14-day of second immunization (Day
35). After third immunization (Day 56), Beta RBD-Fc vaccine group
(GMT = 3378) induced significantly higher titer than Delta (GMT =
735), Epsilon (GMT = 844), and control (GMT = 13) groups with
p < 0.01, and Kappa group (GMT = 1689) with p < 0.05, with no
significant differences compared to Alpha (GMT = 1689) and Gamma
RBD-Fc (GMT = 3620) immunized groups.

For the neutralizing titer against Delta strain, Delta vaccine group
(GMT = 4457) induced significantly higher titer than Alpha (GMT =
121), Beta (GMT = 23), Gamma (GMT = 57), Kappa RBD-Fc (GMT =
211), and control (GMT = 10) groups with p < 0.0001, and Epsilon
(GMT = 1689) with p < 0.01 on Day 35. The neutralizing titer of Delta
vaccine (GMT = 3378) was significantly higher than Beta (GMT =
121) and Gamma (GMT = 135) groups with p < 0.05, with no significant
differences compared with Alpha (GMT = 844), Kappa (GMT = 422),
Epsilon (GMT = 2,941), and control (GMT = 10) groups on Day 56.
The results showed that the levels of neutralizing antibodies found in the sera on Day 56 were slightly higher than Day 35 whereas Delta RBD-Fc vaccinated group showed a slightly lower titer on the sera collected on Day 56 compared to Day 35. Overall, Delta and Epsilon vaccines elicited broadly neutralizing antibodies against ancestral (Wuhan), Alpha, and Delta except for the Beta variant.

3.3.2 | Pseudovirus neutralization assay

We investigated the breadth of inhibition of virus entry by plant-produced variant RBD-Fc against Alpha, Beta, Gamma, and Delta variants using spike pseudovirus neutralization assay. The detectable neutralizing antibodies against all SARSCOV-2 variants were observed 14 days after first immunization (Day 14) as shown in Figure 5.

Sera Collected from Epsilon RBD-Fc immunized group on day 35 (GMT = 6228) neutralized pseudovirus bearing the spike protein of the ancestral (Wuhan) strain significantly higher than those of Beta (GMT = 26) and Kappa (GMT = 520) RBD-Fc groups with \( p < 0.05 \), whereas the difference is not significant compared to Alpha (GMT = 291), Gamma (GMT = 377), Delta (GMT = 4512) RBD-Fc and control (GMT = 1). After the third immunization (Day 56), the neutralizing titer of Alpha RBD-Fc vaccine group (GMT = 4157) was significantly higher than Gamma (GMT = 411), Kappa (GMT = 670), and control (GMT = 1) with \( p < 0.001 \), and Beta group (GMT = 366) with \( p < 0.0001 \). The titer of Delta RBD-Fc vaccine group (GMT = 2674) against the ancestral (Wuhan) strain was substantially higher than the control with \( p < 0.05 \). Furthermore, the Epsilon RBD-Fc vaccinated group (GMT = 4222) was significantly higher than the Beta and control groups with \( p < 0.001 \), and Gamma and Kappa RBD-Fc groups with \( p < 0.01 \).

For PVNT against the Alpha variant on Day 35, Alpha (GMT = 327), Beta (GMT = 91), Gamma (GMT = 617), Kappa (GMT = 502), Delta (GMT = 5969), Epsilon (GMT = 5626), and control (GMT = 1) groups were not significantly different. On Day 56, the neutralizing titer of Alpha vaccine (GMT = 3951) was significantly higher than Kappa (GMT = 748) and control (GMT = 1) groups with \( p < 0.001 \), and Beta (GMT = 968) and Gamma (GMT = 959) with \( p < 0.01 \) whereas, Epsilon vaccine group (GMT = 3243) induced significantly higher titer than control group with \( p < 0.05 \). No significant difference was observed in Delta vaccine (GMT = 2312).

Neutralizing titers against Beta variant on Day 35 showed that there is no significant difference was observed in Alpha (GMT = 27), Beta (GMT = 168), Gamma (GMT = 1357), Kappa (GMT = 413), Delta (GMT = 445), Epsilon (GMT = 540), and control (GMT = 1) groups. After the third immunization (Day 56), neutralizing titers of Alpha (GMT = 196), Beta (GMT = 1653), Gamma (GMT = 1632), Kappa (GMT = 587), Delta (GMT = 619), Epsilon (GMT = 444), and control (GMT = 1) groups were also not significantly different.

Similarly, the neutralizing titer of Alpha (GMT = 28, 455), Beta (GMT = 354, 1368), Gamma (GMT = 1639, 1278), Kappa (GMT = 424,
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Neutralization of the Delta variant pseudovirus tested using sera collected on Day 14 showed that the Delta vaccine (GMT = 133) induced significantly higher than Kappa (GMT = 9) and control (GMT = 1) groups with \( p < 0.05 \), and Alpha (GMT = 7), Beta (GMT = 1), and Gamma (GMT = 14) groups with \( p < 0.01 \). Further, Epsilon group (GMT = 407) induced significantly higher neutralizing antibodies than Alpha, Beta, Gamma, and control groups with \( p < 0.01 \), and Kappa group with \( p < 0.05 \), but not in Delta group. On Day 35, neutralizing titer of the Delta vaccine group (GMT = 19,684) was significantly higher than in Alpha (GMT = 181), Beta (GMT = 31), Gamma (GMT = 169), Kappa (GMT = 536), Epsilon (GMT = 10,889), and control (GMT = 1) groups with \( p < 0.0001 \). The Epsilon vaccine was also significantly higher than in Alpha, Beta, Gamma, Kappa, and control groups with \( p < 0.0001 \). After the third immunization (Day 56), the neutralizing titer of the Delta vaccine group (GMT = 52,421) was significantly higher than Beta (GMT = 148), Gamma (GMT = 174), Kappa (GMT = 469), and control (GMT = 1) groups with \( p < 0.0001 \), and Alpha group (GMT = 1,603) with \( p < 0.01 \), but not significantly higher compared with the Epsilon group (GMT = 2,584).

Taken together, these results suggested that Delta and Epsilon RBD-Fc vaccines elicit broadly neutralizing antibodies against ancestral (Wuhan), Alpha, and Delta, except Beta and Gamma variants, while Beta and Gamma RBD-Fc based vaccines significantly neutralize their respective strains.

4 | DISCUSSION

Currently, SARS-CoV-2 variants with multiple mutations are predominately emerging globally. SARS-CoV-2 variants with mutations in their RBD, increase the affinity of the virus binding to the host receptor ACE2 and also result in immune escape. The mutations E484K, N501Y, and D614G were reported as the enhancer for virus binding with human ACE2.41–43 The mutations K417N/T, E484K, and L452R were reported to be associated with the ability to evade the immunity induced by convalescent plasma and vaccinated sera.41,44–47 Recently, the neutralizing activity of the vaccine recipients against VOCs has been reviewed and compared with the ancestral (Wuhan) strain of SARS-CoV-2.14 The neutralizing titer is reduced against the Alpha strain among vaccinated individuals, and varying effects were observed against the Beta strain for mRNA, viral vector, inactivated, and subunit vaccines. For Gamma and Delta
variants, minimal to moderate neutralizing activity was also reported. Furthermore, a substantial reduction of neutralizing response against the Omicron variant was reported among BNT162b2 recipients, whereas no detectable neutralizing antibody titer was observed among Coronavac recipients.\textsuperscript{18} The variant-SARS-CoV-2 vaccines are likely required to cope with the emerging new variants, especially those that are less susceptible to immunity elicited by currently available vaccines.

In this study, we have evaluated different variant-specific subunit vaccines which are developed based on the variant SARS-CoV-2 RBD protein produced in the plant expression system. Previously, plant-produced SARS-CoV-2 RBD-Fc subunit vaccine (the ancestral (Wuhan) strain) adjuvanted with alum has been shown to elicit robust immune responses in both mice and monkeys against the original SARS-CoV-2.\textsuperscript{31} Besides, our group has also reported that the plant-produced Alpha and Beta RBD-Fc can be successfully expressed from \textit{N. benthamiana} and purified. The major bands of variant RBD-Fc proteins showed a similar molecular weight of approximately 150 kDa and 75 kDa in nonreducing and reducing conditions, respectively. However, in the western blot analysis, the affinity of anti-RBD HRP-conjugated antibody was found to be different for each variant RBD-Fc protein. The most intense major band was observed in Delta RBD-Fc protein, whereas the band intensity was found to be lower in Kappa, Epsilon, Gamma, Beta, and Alpha RBD-Fc proteins.

Immunogenicity of plant-produced variant vaccines were assessed in monkeys and the results revealed that both Delta and Epsilon RBD-Fc proteins showed a similar molecular weight of approximately 150 kDa and 75 kDa in nonreducing and reducing conditions, respectively. However, in the western blot analysis, the affinity of anti-RBD HRP-conjugated antibody was found to be different for each variant RBD-Fc protein. The most intense major band was observed in Delta RBD-Fc protein, whereas the band intensity was found to be lower in Kappa, Epsilon, Gamma, Beta, and Alpha RBD-Fc proteins.

To gain more insights into the effect of key mutations commonly found in each VOC, respective mutations were introduced in the RBD sequence of SARS-CoV-2 to generate Alpha (N501Y, A570D, and D614G), Beta (K417N, E484K, N501Y, and D614G), Gamma (K417T, E484K, N501Y, and D614G), Kappa (L452R, E484Q, and D614G), Delta (L452R, T478K, and D614G), and Epsilon (L452R and D614G) RBD and fused with Fc region of human IgG1. The fusion proteins were transiently expressed in \textit{N. benthamiana} and purified. The major bands of variant RBD-Fc proteins showed a similar molecular weight of approximately 150 kDa and 75 kDa in nonreducing and reducing conditions, respectively. However, in the western blot analysis, the affinity of anti-RBD HRP-conjugated antibody was found to be different for each variant RBD-Fc protein. The most intense major band was observed in Delta RBD-Fc protein, whereas the band intensity was found to be lower in Kappa, Epsilon, Gamma, Beta, and Alpha RBD-Fc proteins.

Immune responses of plant-produced variant vaccines were assessed in monkeys and the results revealed that both Delta and Epsilon RBD-Fc vaccines induced 2-3.5-fold higher RBD-specific total IgG titer than the other tested variant vaccines after third immunization (Figure 3B). Subsequently, neutralizing antibodies response against SARS-CoV-2 variants in vitro and pseudoviruses was tested using the vaccinated monkey sera. The results showed that both Delta and Epsilon RBD-Fc (sharing L452R and D614G
mutations) vaccinated groups showed decreased neutralization activities against ancestral (Wuhan), Alpha and Delta strains higher than other vaccinated groups. On the other hand, neutralizing titer of Beta and Gamma RBD-Fc (sharing E484K, N501Y, D614G sites) vaccinated groups, was found to be higher against Beta and Gamma strains respectively compared to other immunized groups. Our results are in line with Amanat et al., who reported that the mice immunized with recombinant spike proteins from the wild-type Wuhan-1 strain, B.1.1.7, B.1.351, and P.1 displayed high neutralization titers against the homologous viruses and decline in neutralization were detected for B.1.1.7–B.1.351 (4.8-fold), from B.1.1.7 to P.1 (4.4-fold), and from B.1.351 to P.1 (4.2-fold).

Based on our results, the SARS-CoV-2 variant-specific vaccine might not cross-react or neutralize all the circulating variants. Recently, the concept of cocktail vaccine has been proposed by combining the antigens for eliciting a broad immune response against SARS-CoV-2 variants. Wang et al. revealed that application of RBD with multiple mutations as the cocktail vaccine increased variant-specific antibodies than the single antigen vaccine formulation or infection with wild-type SARS-CoV-2.49

In conclusion, our study demonstrated that the SARS-CoV-2 variant vaccine might not induce broad protection against all the SARS-CoV-2 variants. The variant vaccine could be considered for booster dose to increase the breadth of the immune response. However, research warrants further efficacy studies to corroborate the findings. In addition, another possible approach is that the variant antigens could be combined to develop as a cocktail vaccine. Further studies are needed to validate the cocktail vaccine strategy and its efficacy against SARS-CoV-2 and the circulating variants. Overall, these proof-of-concept results facilitate the design and development of plant-produced variant-specific subunit vaccines against SARS-CoV-2 variants.
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