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ABSTRACT
The most common food using hemp (Cannabis sativa L.) is hempseed oil (HSO) because it is a rich source of nutrients with nutritional and functional beneficial effects for human body. Harvesting time can affect the quality of HSO, consequently the aim of this study was to evaluate the composition of lipid fraction, fatty acids, tocopherols and sterols, during ripening. Two cultivars, Futura 75 and Carmagnola, were collected at three ripening stages during August and September 2015 and their lipid composition was determined by analytical techniques. Among the fatty acid identified, the linoleic acid was the preponderant, followed by oleic, α-linolenic and palmitic acid. Linoleic:α-linolenic acid and polyunsaturated:saturated fatty acid ratios decreased and increased, respectively, in both varieties with ripening. γ-tocopherol was the preponderant tocopherol identified, Futura 75 showed the highest content in the middle of maturation while Carmagnola at the beginning. β-sitosterol was the predominant sterol identified in both varieties, followed by campesterol, Δ-avenasterol, stigmasterol and Δ-stigmasterol. Total sterol content increased and decreased with ripening in Futura 75 and Carmagnola, respectively. The study confirms that ripening stage affects the quality of hempseed oil, important parameter to consider for hemp seed producers.
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1. INTRODUCTION

*Cannabis sativa* L., belonged to Cannabaceae family, is an annual plant known by its long, thin flower and spiky leaves (MONTSERRAT-DE LA PAZ et al., 2014). *Cannabis sativa* subsp. *sativa* is characterized by a low content of THC (Δ9-tetrahydrocannabinol), it must be lower than 0.2% on dry basis to be cultivated in most European countries (Official Journal of European Union, 2008). Industrial hemp with a low THC content has no psychoactive effects (SIUDEM et al., 2019). The most common food using hemp is hempseed oil (HSO); it is a rich source of nutrients that provide nutritional and functional support for humans (CRESCENTE et al., 2018). HSO represents the 25-35% of hemp seed (CALLAWAY, 2004; MONTSERRAT-DE LA PAZ et al., 2014; CRIMALDI et al., 2017) and it contains more than 80% of polyunsaturated fatty acids (PUFAs) (PETROVIĆ et al., 2015; SIUDEM et al., 2019) including essential fatty acids usually not contained in oils used for human diet (PETROVIĆ et al., 2015); in particular ω-6 linoleic acid (LA) and ω-3 α-linolenic acid (ALA). In addition, LA:ALA ratio is 3:1 which agrees with European Food Safety Agency recommendations (EFSA, 2009). HSO is composed of 1.5-2% unsaponifiable fraction, a source of interesting minor compounds like tocopherols, fat-soluble vitamin D and E (SIUDEM et al., 2019) and phytosterols (MONTSERRAT-DE LA PAZ et al., 2014). Therefore, HSO has a lot of beneficial effects: cancer and cardiovascular disease prevention, cholesterol level normalization, blood pressure lowering (DEVİ et al., 2019) and rheumatoid arthritis and dermatitis treatment (OOMAH et al., 2002; CHOW, 2008). For all these reasons industries are attracted by HSO for drugs, cosmetics, body care products and dietary supplement production (CALLAWAY, 2004; KOLODZIEJCZYK et al., 2012).

To the best of our knowledge, in literature are reported only two studies about the effect of maturation on yield, quality of fiber and oil of industrial hemp. HÖPPNER et al. (2007) studied the yield and the quality of fiber and oil of different hemp cultivars in Germany at two different harvest times (“intensive flowering” and “initial seed maturity”). The different harvest stages did not have effect on stem diameter, fiber content and yield; on the other hand, seed yield and seed oil content increased with maturation while the γ-linolenic acid content decreased. BURCZYK et al. (2009) studied the effect of sowing density and date of harvest (beginning of panicle forming, full bloom and full seed maturity) on industrial hemp yields. They observed that the maximum yields of biomass, cellulose and fiber can be obtained at 30 kg/ha sowing density at full bloom; while considering hemp for seed or panicles the highest yields were obtained at 10-20 kg/ha of density at full maturity of panicles. The aim of this study was the characterization of lipid fraction from seeds of two different cultivars of *Cannabis sativa* L., *Futura 75* and *Carmagnola*, harvested at three different harvest stages; in order to investigate the quality of hempseed oil during the maturation, which was never investigated before. To this end, fatty acids, tocopherols and sterols content were determined using fast chromatographic techniques, HPLC and GC equipped with different detectors.
2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1. Fruit harvest and sample preparation

*Cannabis sativa* L. seeds from two different varieties, *Futura 75* and *Carmagnola*, were picked in Ancona area in Italy approximately 43° 30' 34286" N, 13°15' 33052" E. Fruits were harvested at three different harvesting stages during August and September 2015. At first harvest, *Futura 75* were collected on August 26th (F1) and *Carmagnola* on September 3rd (C1); at the second harvest, *Futura 75* were collected on September 3rd (F2) and *Carmagnola* on September 15th (C2); and at third harvest, *Futura 75* were collected on September 15th (F3) and *Carmagnola* on September 23rd (C3). At each sampling time 20 g of seeds were picked from different field’s area; all of them were grounded before analyses.

2.2. Oil extraction and moisture content determination

The lipid content was obtained by grounding the samples of *Cannabis sativa* L. seeds (5 g) and the oil was extracted with *n*-hexane in a Soxhlet apparatus according to ISO method 659:1998. The remaining solvent was removed under vacuum and the oil was taken up with *n*-hexane/isopropanol (4:1 v/v) solution and stored at −18°C until use. Each extraction was carried out two times for each cultivar.

Moisture content (%) was evaluated on hemp seeds samples in an oven at 105°C until constant weight was reached. For each samples, 3 replicates of 3 g weighted for each extraction were dried (*n*=6) (AOAC, 1995).

2.3. Fatty acid analysis

The fatty acid composition was determined as fatty acid methyl esters (FAMEs) by capillary gas chromatography analysis after alkaline treatment (CHRISTIE, 1982). Methyl tridecanoate (C13:0, 2 mg/mL) was used as internal standard and FAMEs were measured on a GC 2010 Plus gas chromatograph (Shimadzu Corporation, Kyoto, Japan) equipped with a flame ionisation detector (FID) and an AOC-20s auto sampler (Shimadzu Corporation), at the same conditions reported in MARZOCCHI et al. (2018). Peak identification was accomplished by comparing peak retention time with GLC-463 standard mixture from Nu-Check (Elysian, MN, USA) and FAME 189-19 standard mixtures from Sigma-Aldrich Chemicals (St. Louis, MO, USA) and expressed as weight percentage of total FAMEs. FAMEs composition was measured in 3 replicates for each lipid extract (*n*= 6) and each analysis lasted 7 minutes.

2.4. Tocopherols analysis

Approximately 50 mg of hempseed oil was dissolved in 0.5 ml of *n*-hexane. After homogenization, the solution was filtered through a 0.2 μm nylon filter and 2.5 μl was injected in a HPLC 1200 series (Agilent Technologies, Palo Alto, California, USA) equipped with a fluorimeter detector (Agilent, Palo Alto, CA, USA). The excitation wavelength was 290 nm and the emission wavelength was 325 nm. The separation of tocopherols was performed by a HILIC Poroshell 120 column (100 mm × 3 mm and 2.7μm particle size; Agilent Technologies, USA), in isocratic conditions, using an *n*-hexane/ethyl acetate/acetic acid (97:3:1.8:0.9 v/v/v/v) mobile phase. The flow rate was 0.8 ml/min. Tocopherols were identified by co-elution with the respective standards. The calibration
curve used for quantification was constructed with α-tocopherol standard solutions. Tocopherols composition was measured in 3 replicates for each lipid extract \( n = 6 \) and expressed in mg/100g of oil; each analysis lasted 8 minutes.

2.5. Sterols determination

In order to determine the sterols content, 0.5 ml of dihydro-cholesterol (2 mg/mL) was added to 250 mg of oil and saponification was conducted at room temperature (SANDER et al., 1989). After about 20 h, the organic fraction was washed with 10 ml of diethyl ether and 10 ml of water. The unsaponifiable fraction was further extracted twice with 10 ml of diethyl ether, 10 ml of 0.5 N aqueous KOH and 10 ml of distilled water, respectively. The organic solvent was removed under vacuum and the unsaponifiable fraction was used for the sterols analysis. Before injection, samples were silylated according to SWELEY et al. (1963) and the sterol separation was performed by GC/MS (GCMS-QP2010 Plus, Shimadzu, Tokyo, Japan) in the same chromatographic conditions reported by CARDENIA et al. (2012). Sterols identification was achieved by comparing peak mass spectra with peaks of standard mixture and by comparing them to the GC–MS data reported by PELILLO et al. (2003). An internal standard was used to quantify all the sterols identified in seed samples. Sterols composition was measured in 3 replicates for each lipid extract \( n = 6 \) and expressed in mg/100g of oil.

2.6. Statistical analysis

Relative standard deviation was obtained, where appropriate, for all data collected. One-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) was evaluated using Statistica 10 software (StatSoft, Tulsa, OK, USA). The differences between the means of data for the two different cultivars at the three different harvesting stages were compared at the 5% level of significance \( (p<0.05) \) using Tukey honest significant difference (HSD) test.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1. Oil and moisture content

Table 1 shows oil content of Futura 75 and Carmagnola at three different harvest stages expressed on dry basis. Futura 75 showed a significantly higher \( (p<0.05) \) oil content during the second harvest stage (29.9%) rather than the other two harvestings, 22.9% and 26.4% for the first and the third harvest, respectively. This trend should be related to a non homogeneous maturation, in fact Cannabis sativa L. shows a maturation from the bottom to the top of the plant and for this reason is not suitable wait the complete seeds maturation because they tend to fall from the plant. This can be solved operating a constant plant selection to make a more uniform maturation and by adopting appropriate cultivation techniques. In addition, also climatic conditions should be responsible of this trend, in fact Cannabis sativa L. shows higher yield in raining condition (MADIA et al., 1998). On the other hand, Carmagnola did not show any significant differences \( (p<0.05) \) in oil content during maturation, in fact it was 24.5%, 24.8% and 24.9% at first, second and third harvest, respectively. Our results are in line, or slightly lower, with literature, LATIF et al. (2009), DA PORTO et al., (2012) and KOSTIĆ et al. (2014) that reported an oil content of 26-32%, 30% and 25-29%, respectively. The lower oil content may be attributed to cultivation
techniques and climatic conditions; in addition, seeds were completely covered by a green skin that certainly influenced the oil content, it took up space which was reflected in the overall oil content.

As regard moisture (Table 1), an expected reduction in percentage of moisture was recorded in both varieties with the increasing of maturation; it is well known that immature seeds contain a higher water content rather than mature seeds (MATTHÄUS et al., 2006). Futura 75 starting from a moisture value of 50% at F1, that decreased significantly (p<0.05) at F2 (32%) reaching a final value of 20% at full maturity. Moisture content in Carmagnola had the same trend, starting from a value of 31% at C1 and decreasing significantly (p<0.05) at C2 (17%) and finally at C3 (10%). Moisture value reported in various studies, OOMAH et al. (2002), TANG et al., (2006) and DA PORTO et al. (2012), are much lower than ours; in fact, they reported values of 7.7, 6.7 and 7.8%, respectively. This could be due to the green skin, as previously mentioned, that covered the seeds that certainly had a high impact on moisture content. SACILIK et al. (2006) conducted a study on physical properties of hemp seeds (size, density and porosity) as a function of moisture content and found out values more similar to ours (ranging from 8.6 to 20.9%).

| Table 1. Oil (%) and moisture content (%) of the two different cultivars of hemp at three harvest stages. |
|---------------------------------------------------------------|
| **FUTURA 75** | **CARMAGNOLA** |
| F1 | F2 | F3 | C1 | C2 | C3 |
| Oil content | 22.9±0.6  c | 29.9±0.6  a | 26.4±0.4  b | 24.5±0.2  a | 24.8±0.2  a | 24.9±1.1  a |
| Moisture | 50.0±1.0  a | 32.0±0.4  b | 20.7±1.3  c | 31.1±2.1  a | 17.5±0.2  b | 10.0±1.1  c |

Abbreviations: F1, Futura 75 first harvest stage; F2, Futura 75 second harvest stage; F3, Futura 75 third harvest stage; C1, Carmagnola first harvest stage; C2, Carmagnola second harvest stage; C3, Carmagnola third harvest stage. Data are reported as mean (n=6)±standard deviation. Results of the analysis of variance by Tukey’s test are showed: p<0.05, lowercase letters on the same row show significantly different values within each cultivar at three different harvest stages.

3.2. Fatty acid profile

A total of 17 and 15 fatty acids in Futura 75 and in Carmagnola, respectively, were identified and quantified by fast GC-FID analysis, within a run time less than 7 minutes. As showed in Table 2, the predominant fatty acid in all samples was linoleic acid (C18:2, ω-6), ranging from about 49 to 54%. Oleic acid (C18:1 cis9) was the second major fatty acid detected (~15-16%), followed by α-linolenic acid (C18:3, ω-3, ~12-15%), palmitic acid (C16:0, ~7-9%), stearic acid (C18:0, ~2-3%) and γ-linolenic acid (C18:3, ω-6, ~2-3%). In Futura 75, the saturated fatty acids (SFA) were present in significantly (p<0.05) greater amount at the first harvesting time (16.7%), whereas mono-unsaturated fatty acids (MUFA) did not showed significant differences among the three different harvest stages (18.7%, 18.3% and 18.1% for F1, F2 and F3, respectively). Polyunsaturated fatty acids (PUFA), on the other hand, increased significantly (p<0.05) their concentration with hemp maturation, accounting for 64.6%, 69.6% and 69.6% for F1, F2 and F3, respectively. These trends reflect those of the main fatty acid: in fact, PUFA was the most abundant class because of linoleic acid concentration. In Carmagnola, SFA had a significantly higher (p<0.05) concentration at first harvest stage (12.2%), than the other two (11.8% and 11.6%)
in C2 and C3, respectively); while MUFA did not show significant differences between first and third harvest stages (18.2% and 18.0%, respectively), with a slightly decrease in the middle stage (16.7%). PUFA, on the contrary, showed a significant increase ($p<0.05$) at the second harvest stage (71.6%), between first and third harvestings (69.7% and 70.5%). Comparing our results with literature, SFA content is generally higher than data already reported for hemp native from Italy (7%, DA PORTO et al., 2012) and from other countries like Croatia (9-11%, PETROVIĆ et al., 2015), Spain (11%, MONTSERRAT-DE LA PAZ et al., 2014) and Turkey (9-10%, KIRALAN et al., 2010); this because of palmitic and stearic acid content that is higher than reported literature. Only in a study conducted by DEVI et al. (2019) is reported a similar concentration of palmitic acid compared to our results, 10% referred to hemp cultivated in India. MUFA content was higher in our study than literature; 12-16% (KIRALAN et al., 2010), 11% (DA PORTO et al., 2012), 13% (MONTSERRAT-DE LA PAZ et al., 2014) and 10-14% (PETROVIĆ et al., 2015). This because of oleic acid, that represent almost the entire MUFA content. On the contrary, PUFA determined in this study was lower than the already cited literature; in fact, KIRALAN et al. (2010) showed a 73-78%; DA PORTO et al. (2012) a 80-81%, MONTSERRAT-DE LA PAZ et al. (2014) a 75% and Petrović et al. (2015) a 74-80%. For this reason, the ratio between unsaturated and saturated fatty acids reported in our study, 3-5 in Futura 75 and 5-6 in Carmagnola is slightly lower than that reported in literature (6,7 showed by MONTSERRAT-DE LA PAZ et al., 2014). In general, this characteristic high ratio between unsaturated and saturated fatty acids can reduce serum cholesterol and atherosclerosis; and prevent heart diseases (REENA et al., 2007). On the other hand, because of its high unsaturation level and susceptibility to oxidation, hemp seeds oil has a short shelf life (KIRALAN et al., 2010) and it is not suitable for hot uses (DA PORTO et al., 2012).

As regard to the ratio between the two essential polyunsaturated fatty acids, linoleic and α-linolenic acid, both varieties, Futura 75 and Carmagnola, showed the highest value at the first harvest, 4.05 and 3.90, respectively (Table 2). This even though linoleic and α-linolenic acids did not have the same trend in the two different varieties. In Futura 75 linoleic acid showed a significant ($p<0.05$) increase from the beginning to the end of maturation (49.2%, 52.3% and 52.5% for F1, F2 and F3); in Carmagnola, instead, its concentration increased significantly ($p<0.05$) from first (53.7%) to second harvest (54.7%) and then decreased again at the third harvest stage (53.9%). α-linolenic acid, instead, in Futura 75 increased significantly ($p<0.05$) between first (12.1%) and second harvest (14.3%), before decreased again at third harvest stage (13.9%); in Carmagnola its concentration increased significantly during all the maturation; 13.8%, 14.6% and 14.9% for C1, C2 and C3, respectively. The high quantity of α-linolenic acid improves hemp oil quality for its positive nutritional implications and beneficial effects against coronary disease and cancer (ARSHAD et al., 2011; FRETTS et al., 2013). In general, our results about these two fatty acids are slightly lower than results in literature, in fact linoleic acid content is reported in a range between 48 and 59% and α-linolenic acid in a range between 16 and 26% (MONTSERRAT-DE LA PAZ et al., 2014; ORSANOVA et al., 2015; PETROVIĆ et al., 2015; MIKULCOVÁ et al., 2017; DEVI et al., 2019; SIUDEM et al., 2019).

3.3. Tocopherols

The individual tocopherols identified are showed in Table 3; a total of 49.8, 86.9 and 41.2 mg/100g of oil were quantified in F1, F2 and F3, respectively; while a total of 94.8, 87.7 and 77.8 mg/100 g of oil were quantified in C1, C2 and C3, respectively. It is recognized
that tocopherols are the most important natural antioxidants because of their free radical scavenging activity, involving a tocopherol-tocopheryl semiquinone redox system (MONTSERRAT-DE LA PAZ et al., 2014). Tocopherols, as well as phenols compounds, have shown different beneficial effects, on degenerative diseases such as atherosclerosis, cardiovascular disease, Alzheimer’s disease and certain type of cancer (FROMM et al., 2012).

Table 2. Fatty acids composition (mg/100 mg FAMEs) of the two different cultivars of hemp at three different harvest stages.

| Fatty Acids | FUTURA 75 | CARMAGNOLA |
|------------|-----------|------------|
|            | F1        | F2         | F3         | C1         | C2         | C3         |
| C14:0      | 0.1±0.0 a | 0.1±0.0 a  | 0.1±0.0 a  | n.d.       | n.d.       | n.d.       |
| C16:0      | 9.3±0.8 a | 7.7±0.2 b  | 7.5±0.2 b  | 7.4±0.1 a  | 7.3±0.1 c  | 7.3±0.1 b  |
| C16:1 t    | 0.1±0.0 a | 0.1±0.0 a  | 0.1±0.0 a  | n.d.       | n.d.       | n.d.       |
| C16:1 c    | 0.2±0.0 a | n.d.       | n.d.       | 0.1±0.0 a  | 0.1±0.0 a  | 0.1±0.0 a  |
| C17:0      | n.d.      | 0.05±0.0 ab| 0.0±0.1 a  | 0.04±0.3 a | 0.06±0.0 a | 0.07±0.0 a |
| C18:0      | 3.4±0.5 a | 2.7±0.1 b  | 2.9±0.1 b  | 3.3±0.1 a  | 3.0±0.0 b  | 3.0±0.2 c  |
| C18:1 t    | n.d.      | n.d.       | 0.03±0.0 a | 0.2±0.1 a  | 0.1±0.0 a  | 0.12±0.0 a |
| C18:1 c11  | 1.6±0.6 a | 1.6±0.3 a  | 1.6±0.3 a  | 1.6±0.2 a  | 15.1±1 b   | 16.4±1 a   |
| C18:2, ω-6 | 49.2±1.4 b| 52.3±0.2 a | 52.5±0.6 a | 53.7±0.3 b | 54.7±1 a   | 53.9±1 b   |
| C18:3, ω-6 | 2.9±0.1 a | 2.9±0.1 a  | 3.0±0.1 a  | 2.2±0.1 a  | 2.2±0.1 a  | 1.5±0.1 a  |
| C18:3, ω-3 | 12.2±0.4 b| 14.3±0.1 a | 13.9±0.2 a | 13.8±0.1 c | 14.6±1 b   | 14.9±1 a   |
| C20:0      | 1.3±0.1 a | 0.9±0.2 b  | 1.1±0.1 a  | 1.0±0.2 a  | 0.9±0.1 b  | 0.9±0.1 c  |
| C20:1 c    | 0.7±0.3 a | 0.5±0.0 a  | 0.7±0.1 a  | 0.4±0.1 b  | 0.5±0.1 a  | 0.4±0.0 b  |
| C20:2, ω-6 | n.d.      | 0.03±0.0 a | 0.04±0.0 a | 0.02±0.0 a | 0.02±0.0 a | 0.05±0.0 a |
| C20:3, ω-6 | 0.4±0.1 a | 0.1±0.0 b  | 0.2±0.0 ab | 0.02±0.0 b | 0.1±0.0 ab | 0.1±0.0 a  |
| C22:0      | 1.9±0.7 a | 0.6±0.1 b  | 0.7±0.1 b  | 0.5±0.0 a  | 0.5±0.0 a  | 0.4±0.0 b  |
| SFA        | 16.7±1.6 a| 12.1±0.2 b | 12.3±0.2 b | 12.2±0.1 a | 11.8±0.1 b | 11.6±0.1 c |
| MUFA       | 18.7±0.5 a| 18.3±0.2 a | 18.1±0.7 a | 18.2±0.3 a | 16.7±0.1 b | 18.0±0.1 a |
| PUFA       | 64.6±2.0 b| 69.6±0.2 a | 69.6±0.8 a | 69.7±0.4 c | 71.6±1 a   | 70.5±1 b   |
| Omega6     | 52.5±2.4 | 55.3±3.2   | 55.7±2.9 | 55.9±1.8 | 57.0±1.3 | 55.6±2.4 |
| LA/ALA     | 4.05      | 3.65       | 3.76      | 3.90      | 3.75      | 3.61      |
| PUFA/SFA   | 3.87      | 5.66       | 5.85      | 5.70      | 6.08      | 6.06      |

Abbreviations: F1, Futura 75 first harvest stage; F2, Futura 75 second harvest stage; F3, Futura 75 third harvest stage; C1, Carmagnola first harvest stage; C2, Carmagnola second harvest stage; C3, Carmagnola third harvest stage. Data are reported as mean (n=6)±standard deviation. Results of the analysis of variance by Tukey’s test are showed: *p<0.05, lowercase letters on the same row show significantly different values within each cultivar at three different harvest stages.

As expected, considering the literature, in all samples γ-tocopherol was the predominant compound followed by β-tocopherol, α-tocopherol and α-tocotrienol. In Futura 75 γ-tocopherol showed a significative increase (p<0.05) between first and second harvest (40.8 and 82 mg/100 g of oil in F1 and F2, respectively) and then significantly (p<0.05) decrease
at last maturation time (F3, 37.1 mg/100 g of oil). The other tocopherols were present in very low concentration; in fact, β-tocopherol was present only at the first harvesting stage (9.0 mg/100 g of oil) and α-tocotrienol just in the last one (3.3 mg/100 g of oil). α-tocopherol was not detected at first maturation stage, but only in the other two, with a significative \( p<0.05 \) decrease between them, 4.9 and 0.8 mg/100 g of oil for F2 and F3, respectively. For this reason, the total tocopherols content had the same trend of γ-tocopherol, increasing significantly \( p<0.05 \) the total concentration at the second harvest stage (F2) reaching a value about 87 mg/100 g of oil (Table 3). This trend is related to oil content trend, in fact it increased at the second maturation stage, increasing the total tocopherols content. Also in Carmagnola γ-tocopherol was the predominant tocopherol with a significative decrease \( p<0.05 \) during maturation, 90.2, 81.8 and 72.9 mg/100 g of oil for F1, F2 and F3, respectively. β-tocopherol, on the contrary, was not detected during the entire maturation. α-tocopherol was present in every maturity stage with a significant increase \( p<0.05 \) in the middle of maturity; 3.5, 5.9 and 4.9 mg/100 g of oil in C1, C2 and C3, respectively. Finally, α-tocotrienol was present just at the beginning of maturity (C1) with a concentration of 1.1 mg/100 g of oil. Total tocopherol concentration follows γ-tocopherol trend, so a constant significative decrease during maturation was recorded; 94.8, 87.7 and 77.8 mg/100 g of oil in C1, C2 and C3, respectively.

The total tocopherols content showed a different trend in the two cultivars analyzed and considering they were cultivated in the same field this is related to the cultivars different origin and their gene pool.

Our results agree with literature where γ-tocopherol represents the 90% of the total tocopherols content (OOMAH et al., 2002; ANWAR et al., 2006; LATIF et al., 2009; MONTSERRAT-DE LA PAZ et al. 2014). In a study conducted by KRIESE et al. (2004), where hempseed oil was extracted by supercritical fluids, the general tocopherol content was much lower than ours, so, in addition to botanical characteristics, climatic and cultivation conditions, also the extraction method affects the tocopherols content.

### Table 3. Tocopherols content (mg/100 g of oil) of the two different cultivars of hemp at three different harvest stages.

|                    | FUTURA 75 |               |               |               | CARMAGNOLA |               |               |               |
|--------------------|-----------|---------------|---------------|---------------|------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
|                    | F1        | F2            | F3            | C1            | C2         | C3            |               |               |
| α-tocopherol       | n.d.      | 4.9±0.0 a     | 0.8±0.1 b     | 3.5±1.0 b     | 5.9±0.1 a  | 4.9±0.2 b     |               |               |
| α-tocotrienol      | n.d.      | n.d           | 3.3±0.1 a     | 1.1±0.1 a     | n.d        | n.d           | n.d           |               |
| β-tocopherol       | 9.0±0.3   | n.d           | n.d           | n.d           | n.d        | n.d           | n.d           |               |
| γ-tocopherol       | 40.8±1.8 b| 82.0±4.9 a    | 37.1±8.2 b    | 90.2±4.8 a    | 81.8±3.8 ab| 72.9±2.0 b    |               |               |
| **Total**          | 49.8±0.1 b| 86.9±5.7 a    | 41.2±1.0 b    | 94.8±6.7 a    | 87.7±3.8 ab| 77.8±0.9 b    |               |               |

Abbreviations: F1, Futura 75 first harvest stage; F2, Futura 75 second harvest stage; F3, Futura 75 third harvest stage; C1, Carmagnola first harvest stage; C2, Carmagnola second harvest stage; C3, Carmagnola third harvest stage. Data are reported as mean (n=6)±standard deviation. Results of the analysis of variance by Tukey’s test are showed: \( p<0.05 \). Lowercase letters on the same row show significantly different values within each cultivar at three different harvest stages.

### 3.4. Sterols

Analysis of the trimethylsilyl derivatives of phytosterols led to identify nine compounds in both varieties of Cannabis sativa L. such campesterol, campestanol, stigmasterol,
differences. Considering other vegetable oils, olive oil, linseed oil and hazelnut oil contain sterols. Comparing sterols composition of HSO with other oils it is possible to see some (190.5 mg/100 g of oil) and campesterol (50.6 mg/100 g of oil) were the same for Spanish hempseed oil (total content of 279.4 mg/100 g of oil) but authors for Spanish hempseed oil (total content of 279.4 mg/100 g of oil) but.

To the best of our knowledge, only MONTSERRAT-DE LA PAZ et al. (2014) reported a study of sterols in hemp seed oil. Our results were higher than what is reported by these authors for Spanish hempseed oil (total content of 279.4 mg/100 g of oil) but β-sitosterol (190.5 mg/100 g of oil) and campesterol (50.6 mg/100 g of oil) were the same predominant sterols. Comparing sterols composition of HSO with other oils it is possible to see some differences. Considering other vegetable oils, olive oil, linseed oil and hazelnut oil contain

Table 4. Sterols content (mg/100 mg of oil) of the two different cultivars of hemp at three different harvest stages.

|                   | FUTURA 75 | CARMAGNOLA |
|-------------------|-----------|------------|
|                   | F1        | F2         | F3         | C1         | C2         | C3         |
| Campesterol       | 74.5±2.5  | 88.6±4.5   | 123.6±17.0 | 66.6±4.6   | 69.8±1.3   | 61.8±0.4   |
| Campestanol       | 4.5±0.6   | 6.9±2.9    | 8.2±1.4    | 2.4±0.8    | 3.7±0.7    | 3.3±0.3    |
| Stigmasterol      | 34.1±1.3  | 33.7±3.5   | 41.8±1.9   | 16.1±2.7   | 16.2±1.5   | 15.7±1.6   |
| Clerosterol       | 6.1±0.5   | 6.3±0.3    | 8.6±0.4    | 4.3±0.7    | 3.9±0.8    | 3.4±0.3    |
| β-sitosterol      | 402.1±4.3 | 423.7±6.6  | 575.9±6.1  | 344.1±3.9  | 324.2±4.7  | 293.6±1.4  |
| Sitostanol        | 30.3±1.7  | 27.5±3.6   | 33.1±5.3   | 14.8±0.7   | 11.3±0.4   | 14.2±0.2   |
| Δ5-avenasterol    | 50.6±2.3  | 54.6±1.2   | 71.8±19.0  | 47.3±2.1   | 52.8±8.5   | 38.8±0.8   |
| Δ24-stigmastadienol | 8.7±0.3   | 8.4±0.6    | 9.0±0.8    | 7.2±0.7    | 6.4±0.3    | 4.8±0.1    |
| Total             | 642.8±14.4| 679.1±21.5 | 913.4±35.7 | 532.7±17.5 | 518.7±34.3 | 456.1±0.8  |

Abbreviations: F1, Futura 75 first harvest stage; F2, Futura 75 second harvest stage; F3, Futura 75 third harvest stage; C1, Carmagnola first harvest stage; C2, Carmagnola second harvest stage; C3, Carmagnola third harvest stage. Data are reported as mean (n=6)±standard deviation. Results of the analysis of variance by Tukey’s test are showed: p<0.05. Lowercase letters on the same row show significantly different values within each cultivar at three different harvest stages.
an higher amount of campesterol, $\beta$-sitosterol and $\Delta$-avenasterol than HSO. Campesterol reaches values of 40, 50-95, 785 mg/kg; stigmasterol values of 20, 10-18, 343 mg/kg; $\beta$-sitosterol values of 750, 1050-1700, 1600 mg/kg and $\Delta$-avenasterol value of 40-140, 20-80, 369 mg/kg in olive oil, hazelnut oil (AZADMARD-DAMIRCHI et al., 2015) and linseed oil (MATTHÄUS et al., 2017), respectively. In addition, linseed oil contains cholesterol, brassicasterol and 5,24-stigmatasterol that are not present in HSO. On the other hand, HSO is richer in sterols that sunflower oil, in fact campesterol, stigmasterol, $\beta$-sitosterol and $\Delta$-avenasterol report value of 20, 28, 186 and 20 mg/100g, respectively (YILMAZ et al., 2019). Corn oil has the same stigmasterol content of HSO (about 33 mg/100g); is poorer in $\beta$-sitosterol than HSO (266 mg/100 g) and, on the other hand, is richer in campesterol (191 mg/100 g) (YANG et al., 2018).

In general, even if sterols are minor constituents of vegetable oils and are present in the unsaponifiable fraction (GUSAKOVA et al., 1998), it is well known that they have a lot of beneficial effects on human health. In fact, they can reduce the serum level of cholesterol concentration, atherosclerotic risk (NTANIOS et al., 2003; PATEL et al., 2006), low-density lipoprotein cholesterol and they are related to a lower risk of myocardial infarction (KLINGBERG et al., 2013).

4. CONCLUSION

This study confirms that ripening stage affects the quality of hempseed oil extracted from Cannabis sativa L.; oil content was constant during the maturity only in cultivar Carmagnola and moisture content decreased constantly for both cultivars; essential fatty acids (LA and ALA) increased with ripening while tocopherols decreased. Sterols showed a different trend in the two varieties considered, in Futura 75 the total concentration increased and in Carmagnola decreased. Knowledge of the influence of ripening stage on hempseed oil quality has important consequences for industrial output, as harvest could be programmed when oil, tocopherols and sterols are most abundant. Futura 75 seemed to have a higher concentration of oil, sterols and LA/ALA ratio; while Carmagnola a higher concentration of tocopherols and PUFA/SFA ratio. The comparison between the two varieties and the results obtained are very important for hemp seeds producers since they can choose the best variety to plant from a production and quality point of view.
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