Assessing Change-Points in Surface Air Temperature Over Alaska
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An understanding of low frequency climatic variations is important for climatologists and planning by the public for informed climate mitigation and adaptation. This study applies recent advances in statistical change-point methodology to the variability of temperatures from seven stations in Alaska and the Pacific Decadal Oscillation (PDO) climate index for the past decades. We allow for the presence of multiple change-points in any given data series and provide confidence intervals for the identified change-points. We analyze the multiple station data based on season and temperature means and extremes. Physical processes responsible for specific identified temperature changes have been explored through geopotential height field and sea level pressure (SLP) maps. Predominantly, temperature and PDO shifts were observed during winter and spring in the 1940s and the 1970s. The study also identifies anomalous changes in summer that have occurred either in 1960s or in the 1980s. This is a significant deviation from the changes found in the 1970s for winter and spring. Except for a change in the 1940s at King Salmon Airport (KSA) and one in the 1970s at Homer Airport (HA), no other changes were found in fall. Also, there is lack of clear low frequency cyclic variability in the northern North Pacific region. Due to strong interactions and feedbacks, Alaskan sea surface temperature changes identified in this study can have lasting impact upon a number of factors including sea ice, arctic snow cover, atmospheric heat transport, clouds, and others.
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INTRODUCTION

Climate scientists are concerned with identifying low frequency variability in regional as well as global climatic conditions. They are also interested in attributing the causes of climate change relative to internal climate variability, and the ways by which governments can mitigate the effects of climate change. The seriousness of these pursuits can be understood by the extensive series of Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) Assessments.

Detection of variability in the climate system represents one of the toughest challenges. It is only recently that near term climate projection experiments have been carried out focusing on internal climatic variations (Meehl et al., 2011, 2013; Dai et al., 2015). The Pacific Decadal Oscillation (PDO) is an index that tracks dominant variability in the North Pacific with phase shifts developing on
decadal time scales (Mantua et al., 1997). Dai et al. (2015) showed that the PDO is also associated with large temperature anomalies over both ocean and land. Other indices that have been associated with temperature anomalies in the North Pacific include Pacific North American pattern (PNA) (Liu et al., 2017), Arctic Oscillation (AO) (Thompson and Wallace, 1998), and others.

Time series analyses of historical data can address the structure of low frequency variability and the detection of potential shifts in the climatic conditions. These shifts are called “change-points” in statistical literature and “structural break-points” in econometrics. Applications of change-point methods for the study of climate change and global warming have been considered in the literature (Gay-García et al., 2009; Dupuis et al., 2015). It is often the case that the presence of one or more shifts or change-points in climatic data collected over a time period remains unknown until they are detected through proper statistical methods (Beaulieu et al., 2012).

Some of the methods that have been implemented for characterizing trends in Alaskan temperatures include: general circulation models (GCMs) (Fyfe et al., 2013; Bennett and Walsh, 2015), cluster analysis (Bieniek et al., 2012), simple linear fit (Wendler and Shulski, 2009; Wendler et al., 2012), stochastic trend (López-de-Lacalle, 2012), and long memory and seasonality (Gil-Alana, 2012). While these methods have merits, they also lack the ability to accommodate complex non-stationary trends in the temperature series. This is particularly the case with simple linear trend models. For example, López-de-Lacalle (2012) states that a linear fit does not appear to be plausible since the long-term pattern is more step-like than approximately linear. Step-like linear trend models were considered by Stevenson et al. (2012) and Bone et al. (2010), where data series were split into two or more sub-parts by choosing cut-off times as per the changing cycles of a proxy variable such as the PDO. This approach amounts to fitting a step-like model where the authors apriori fix both the number of cut-off points as well as their locations over time. Instead, change-point methods are better suited to fit step-like models where the change-point model itself selects both the number of steps as well as their locations, thereby removing the arbitrariness in choosing them apriori.

Clearly, existing literature on Alaskan climate lacks proper scientific way of identifying shifts in its temperature series. The same is true regarding the series on PDO. Noting that change-point methods are better suited for identifying unknown shifts in time series, the focus of this article is to apply recently developed change-point methods to data on surface air temperatures (SATs) of southern Alaska and northern North Pacific regions as well as in PDO series so that shifts in these series are identified in a scientifically valid manner.

**METHODS**

**Description of Datasets and Variables**

Several types of datasets have been considered in this article. These include: temperature anomalies, PDO, 500 mb geopotential height field anomalies, and sea level pressure (SLP) anomalies. In this section we begin by providing the sources of each of these datasets and describe other relevant aspects including the variables considered in the analysis.

Regarding data on temperature anomalies, seven stations from the state of Alaska (elevations between 12 and 475 ft) were investigated (Figure 1) in this analysis. Daily and monthly surface air temperature measurements were obtained from Weather Source (http://weathersource.com/). Weather Source obtains data from National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), National Climatic Data Center (NCDC), and the National Weather Service (NWS). The seven stations are: AB–Auke Bay, AC–Annex Creek, JIA–Juneau International Airport, FIA–Fairbanks International Airport, UES–University Experimental Station, KSA–King Salmon Airport, and HA–Homer Airport. The selection of stations was based on geographic location, climate division they represent (Bieniek et al., 2012), continuity of the data, and years for which data was available (see Table 1 for complete information).

We made every effort to utilize as much of data as possible for any given station without compromising on the quality and continuity. Weather Source utilizes multiple methods and data sources to clean the data and replace invalid values with “best estimates.” Even so, we needed to shorten the data length for some stations due to missing observations. For example, there were instances where data was missing continuously for several months in a year. In such cases we have removed the entire year from our analysis. At the same time, we have ensured that data was continuously available for every single year reported at any given station. There were only few occasional

![FIGURE 1](image-url) | Spatial distribution of all seven stations; stations located too close to each other are identified by the same number on the map (see the list below for complete information about each station).

| Map locations | Station | Period | Latitude | Longitude | Elevation | WS ID |
|---------------|---------|--------|----------|-----------|------------|-------|
| 1             | Auke Bay | 1963–2013 | 58.3814 | −134.646 | 42 ft | 21486 |
| 1             | Annex Creek | 1917–1986 | 58.3192 | −134.099 | 92 ft | 21484 |
| 1             | Juneau Int’l Arpt | 1950–2013 | 58.355 | −134.575 | 12 ft | 21485 |
| 2             | Fairbanks Int’l Arpt | 1950–2013 | 64.8039 | −147.876 | 452 ft | 21670 |
| 2             | University Exp Shn | 1906–2011 | 64.8564 | −147.862 | 475 ft | 21673 |
| 3             | King Salmon Arpt | 1928–2013 | 56.679 | −156.629 | 63 ft | 40147 |
| 4             | Homer Arpt | 1939–2013 | 59.6428 | −151.487 | 64 ft | 21499 |
months when data was missing. On such occasional months, the missing observations were filled by simulations based on mean and variance of five values before and after each missing observation. In order to make clear that missing observations were rare, we have included the % of missing months for all seven locations in Table 1. We shall now move on to describe the temperature variables that were part of the analysis in this article. Most studies that analyze temperature trends of the Alaska region use data on mean temperatures (Hartmann and Wendler, 2005; Wendler and Shulski, 2009; Bone et al., 2010; Bieniek et al., 2012, 2014; López-de-Lacalle, 2012; Stevenson et al., 2012; Wendler et al., 2012). However, it is important to consider changes in temperature conditions that are further away from the mean as they generally have greater impact on humans and ecosystems (Bennett and Walsh, 2015). With this in mind, we evaluate changes in monthly maxima, means, and minima. Nine temperature variables Max_Grt (highest value over a month of daily maxima), Mean_Grt (highest value over a month of daily means), Min_Grt (highest value over a month of daily minima), Max_Avg (average value over a month of daily maxima), Mean_Avg (average value over a month of daily means), Min_Avg (average value over a month of daily minima), Max_Lst (lowest value over a month of daily maxima), Mean_Lst (lowest value over a month of daily means), and Min_Lst (lowest value over a month of daily minima) for each month for which data is available are considered in the study.

| Station                  | Abbrev | Geograph. region     | Yrs of data | Geograph. division     | %Missing |
|--------------------------|--------|----------------------|-------------|------------------------|----------|
| Auke Bay                 | AB     | Southeast Alaska     | 1963–2013   | Central panhandle      | 0.98     |
| Annex Creek              | AC     | Southeast Alaska     | 1917–1988   | Central panhandle      | 3.94     |
| Juneau Int. Airport      | JA     | Southeast Alaska     | 1950–2013   | Central panhandle      | 4.46     |
| Fairbanks Int. Airport   | FIA    | Interior Alaska      | 1950–2013   | Central interior       | 0        |
| Univ. Exp. Station       | UES    | Interior Alaska      | 1905–2011   | Central interior       | 0.78     |
| King Salmon Airport      | KSA    | Southwest Alaska     | 1928–2013   | Bristol Bay            | 4.26     |
| Homer Airport            | HA     | Southwest Alaska     | 1939–2013   | Cook Inlet             | 0        |

Regarding PDO, data on standardized PDO indices for each month of a given year for the years 1900–2013 were obtained from http://research.jisao.washington.edu/pdo(PDO). These observations were utilized to compute PDO data for seasonal maximum, average, and minimum for every year. Apart from the PDO, other time series that may be related to southern Alaska and North Pacific climate variability include: geopotential height field (Overland et al., 2015), sea level pressure (SLP) (Johnstone and Mantua, 2014), Madden-Julian Oscillations (MJO) (Zhou et al., 2011; Oliver, 2014), Aleutian low pressure (Wendler et al., 2012; Johnstone and Mantua, 2014), greenhouse gas concentrations (Holland and Bitz, 2003), and volcanic aerosol loading and solar radiation (Overpeck et al., 1997). Among these, we shall consider in this article only the geopotential height field anomalies and SLP anomalies. Accordingly, the images for 500 mb geopotential height field anomalies and SLP anomalies were taken from the website http://www.esrl.noaa.gov/psd/ maintained by NOAA/ESRL Physical Sciences Division, Boulder, Colorado. The images themselves are based on Kalnay et al. (1996), a reanalysis project that produces various atmospheric fields. While complete details of data characteristics may be found in this article, we note here that spatially, the field data are based upon 2.5° latitude × 2.5° longitude grid. Even though we didn’t consider here, other levels for geopotential field maps may also be considered such as 200, 700, or 1,000 mb.

**Change-Point Detection**

Our objective is to study trends in each of the six temperature variables and four seasons through change-point analysis. We shall also implement change-point analysis for identifying shifts in PDO data. Here we would like to mention that other indices such as PNA and AO could also be included in this study for identifying shifts. However, we mainly considered the PDO since results for this index is available from 1900 onwards whereas data for both PNA and AO starts from the year 1950 only. Briefly, change-point analysis is a statistical methodology developed for detecting and identifying unknown locations of one or more time points at which a given data over time might have changed its statistical properties. Thus, given a time series data one might propose that there exist $m$ number of change-points occurring at unknown locations. The change-points could occur in either the mean or the variance of the data. The goal of change-point analysis is to estimate the number of change-points $m$ and their locations. There has been substantial interest in recent literature for identifying multiple change-points—some of the prominent methods are: binary segmentation (BS) (Cho and
Fryzlewicz, 2015), optimal partitioning (OP) (Jackson et al., 2005), pruned exact linear time (PELT) (Killick et al., 2012, 2014), Bayesian (Li and Lund, 2015), and minimum description length (MDL) (Davis et al., 2006; Li and Lund, 2012). For a more complete discussion and review of multiple change-point methods, one may see Jandhyala et al. (2013). In this article, we adapt the PELT method for detecting and identifying multiple change-points. There are many key advantages of the PELT method. First, it is an exact method and under mild conditions it has a computational cost that is linear in the number of data points. Killick et al. (2012) have shown that that PELT is better than OP. Also, PELT is more accurate than binary segmentation and faster than other exact search methods (Wambui et al., 2015). Furthermore, Wambui et al. (2015) investigated the power properties of PELT in relation to the size of change and also location of change-points. They found that the power of PELT increased with the size of change and that for a given size, the power remained the same for any location of change-points. Also, Corneli et al. (2017) compared PELT against triclustering approach of Guigourès et al. (2018) and found PELT to be superior for identifying multiple change-points in dynamical networks. The complete steps of the PELT algorithm are presented in Appendix 1.

Before we go ahead and implement PELT, we wish to state that PELT can be sensitive to the arbitrariness in choosing two of its parameters – (i) the nature of penalty function, and (ii) the gap between successive change-points. The authors (Killick et al., 2014) state that there is no objective way to pick an appropriate penalty function for PELT. So, one must fine tune the penalty function by trial and error before arriving at the chosen one. For example, for identifying changes in mean, the penalty functions we considered in our trial and error ranged between 10logn to 60logn. In the case of identifying changes in variance, the penalty functions ranged between 2logn to 3logn. The choice for the gap between two successive change-points mostly depends upon the particular application. For both temperature and PDO series, we chose this gap to be a minimum of 10 years. This particular choice avoids spurious and excessive number of change-points and at the same time does not unduly lower the number of identified changes.

While implementing PELT, we aim to identify multiple change-points in both the mean and variance of any given temperature variable. Upon detecting changes in both mean and variance, diagnostic checks have been performed on residuals and concluded that almost all variables including those that represented extremes followed the normal distribution quite well and that there were no serial correlations in the data series. Earlier, López-de-Lacalle (2012) also found both of these assumptions to be valid while studying Alaskan temperature changes among seasons through a time varying model.

The PELT method does not provide p-values or confidence intervals associated with the identified change-points. Hence, we first applied likelihood ratio change detection test (Csörgő and Horváth, 1997) and computed p-values for change-points identified by PELT. If the change in mean was significant (p-value < 0.05), a 95% (approx.) confidence interval (Fotopoulos et al., 2010) was calculated for the change-point. Methods of applying change detection test and computing the distribution of change-point estimate are both presented in Appendix 2. Here we need to note that the change-points identified may be either “artificial” shifts or “natural” shifts caused by climatic changes. Artificial shifts in data may occur due to changes in instrumentation, or other changes in the observation process. Such changes in data observation process are usually documented in the data collection process and one should be able to associate an identified shift as an artificial shift through a thorough understanding of the data.

Upon identifying the change-points, the percent of total variation (%Variation) explained by the change-point model is of interest. This is computed from %Variation = (SSM/SST) × 100, where SSM is the variation due to the change-point model and SST is the total sum of squares. One would also be interested in exploring whether there is spatial and temporal consistency in the detected number of change-points. This can be assessed by performing a contingency analysis on the number of detected change-points among various stations and seasons. However, in trying to ensure that the number of change-points was not too small in any given cell, we combined the seven stations into three geographical regions—central panhandle (AB, AC, JIA); central interior (FIA, UES); Bristol Bay and Cook Inlet (KSA, HA). Among seasons, it was sufficient to combine summer and fall into one group. Thus, we performed contingency table analysis among three regions and the three seasons.

Apart from the proposed change-point methodology, one must be open to other ways of modeling regime shifts such as modeling the data as a red noise process. The residuals under change-point methodology resemble a white noise process, where the observations have mean zero, finite variance and are serially uncorrelated (Overland et al., 2006). The observations in a red noise process also have mean zero and finite variance but are serially correlated. As noted by Overland et al. (2006), any time series with shifts in mean may also be modeled by a red noise process. For purposes of illustration, we pursue here only the autoregressive process of order one (AR(1)) as a red noise model and that too for variables with shifts in PDO data only. It then becomes a matter of whether the change-point model with white noise errors or the AR(1) model with red noise errors is better for modeling shifts in PDO variables. Here, we employ the widely used Akaike Information Criterion (AIC) (Akaike, 1974) for choosing the preferred model. Values of AIC are computed using $\text{AIC} = -2 \log (\text{maximum likelihood}) + 2 (\# \text{ of independent parameters in the model})$. Upon computing AIC values, one chooses the model with smaller AIC as the preferred model.

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

Throughout the 7 stations, 4 seasons, 6 temperature variables, and PDO, changes were significant predominantly in the mean but not in variance. Overall, PELT identified change in variance only at 5 instances, one each at AB, AC, JIA, KSA, and HA. Among these, only the change in Max_Lst at HA was found to be statistically significant. Thus, throughout this
study, we present results for changes in mean only. Table 2 shows results on change-points for PDO. The table consists of change-points identified by PELT, approximate 95% confidence interval (±years) computed only when p-value for a PDO change-point is <0.05, and the [amount of change in mean]. Among the 7 stations, similar results on change-points for stations JIA, FIA, and KSA are presented in Tables 3A–C, respectively; JIA represents southeastern region, FIA represents interior region, and KSA represents southwestern region. Similar results for the remaining 4 stations AB, AC, UES, and HA are presented in Tables 4A–D. In both Tables 3A–C, Tables 4A–D, we exclude all variables for which PELT did not identify any change-points. In Figure 2 we plot the time series data for all PDO variables in all seasons together with mean level as determined by significant change-points. When no significant change-point was found, a single mean level for the entire period is drawn for such variables. Similar depictions of data together with change-points for temperature variables are presented in Figures 3–5, selecting one station from each geographical region: Figure 3 – JIA (southeastern); Figure 4 – FIA (interior); Figure 5 – KSA (southwestern). To save space, we do not include such figures for other stations. Values of SST, SSE, SSM, and %Variation for representative stations of JIA, FIA, and KSA are presented in Table 5. Similar values for stations AB, AC, UES, and HA are presented Table 6. While we carried out the contingency table analysis among the three geographical regions and the three seasons, we do not include the corresponding contingency table to save space. However, we discuss the results of this analysis in the next section. Finally, we present in Table 7 the AIC values for AR(1) and change-point models for any PDO variable with at least one significant change-point (see Table 2, Figure 2).

We begin our discussion of results with AIC values in Table 7. Clearly, among eight PDO variables for which AIC has been computed, AIC prefers change-point model for five (winter_Max, winter_Mn_Avg, spring_Mn_Avg, spring_Mn_Grt, summer_Mn_Avg).

### Table 2 | Change-points in mean for PDO with: PELT change-point estimate ± width of approximate 95% confidence interval (when change detection test p-value < 0.05) and [amount of change in mean PDO], or only PELT change-point estimate (when change detection test p-value > 0.05).

| Season Variable | Change-point 1 ± Conf. interval width [Change in mean PDO] | Change-point 2 ± Conf. interval width [Change in mean PDO] | Change-point 3 ± Conf. interval width [Change in mean PDO] |
|-----------------|----------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------|
| PDO index (1900–2013) | | | |
| Winter Max | 1947 ± 4 [−1.24] | 1975 ± 16 [0.94] | |
| Winter Avg | 1944 ± 4 [−1.16] | 1975 ± 11 [0.96] | |
| Winter Min | 1943 ± 8 [−1.05] | 1975 ± 11 [1.07] | |
| Spring Max | 1947 ± 5 [−1.04] | 1976 ± 7 [1.17] | |
| Spring Avg | 1947 ± 4 [−1.04] | 1976 ± 6 [1.22] | |
| Spring Min | 1947 ± 4 [−1.07] | 1976 ± 4 [1.36] | |
| Summer Max | 1938 | 1989 | |
| Summer Avg | 1940 | 1978 | |
| Summer Min | 1940 | 1978 | |
| Fall Avg | 1944 ± 13 [−0.75] | 1975 | |
| Fall Min | 1944 ± 11 [−0.81] | 1975 | |

### Table 3A | Change-points in mean for station JIA representing southeastern region of Alaska with: PELT change-point estimate ± width of approximate 95% confidence interval (when change detection test p-value < 0.05) and [amount of change in mean in °C], or only PELT change-point estimate (when change detection test p-value > 0.05).

| Season Variable | Change-point 1 ± Conf. interval width [Change in mean PDO] | Change-point 2 ± Conf. interval width [Change in mean PDO] | Change-point 3 ± Conf. interval width [Change in mean PDO] |
|-----------------|----------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------|
| Juneau International Airport (1950–2013) | | | |
| Winter Max_Avg | 1981 ± 12 [1.82] | | |
| Winter Mn_Avg | 1963 | 1977 ± 3 [3.73] | |
| Winter Max_Lst | 1963 | 1977 ± 6 [4.11] | |
| Winter Mn_Lst | 1963 | 1977 ± 3 [6.20] | |
| Spring Max_Grt | 1975 | | |
| Spring Mn_Grt | 1977 ± 4 [1.48] | | |
| Spring Max_Avg | 1976 ± 10 [1.22] | | |
| Spring Mn_Avg | 1975 ± 5 [1.56] | | |
| Spring Mn_Lst | 1976 ± 3 [3.47] | 1995 | |
| Summer Mn_Avg | 1976 ± 1 [1.41] | | |
| Summer Mn_Lst | 1981 ± 3 [1.69] | | |
| Fall Mn_Lst | 1985 | | |

### Table 3B | Change-points in mean for station FIA representing interior region of Alaska with: PELT change-point estimate ± width of approximate 95% confidence interval (when change detection test p-value < 0.05) and [amount of change in mean in °C], or only PELT change-point estimate (when change detection test p-value > 0.05).

| Season Variable | Change-point 1 ± Conf. interval width [Change in mean PDO] | Change-point 2 ± Conf. interval width [Change in mean PDO] | Change-point 3 ± Conf. interval width [Change in mean PDO] |
|-----------------|----------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------|
| Fairbanks International Airport (1950–2013) | | | |
| Winter Max_Grt | 1966 | | |
| Winter Mn_Grt | 1965 | | |
| Winter Max_Avg | 1975 ± 9 [2.98] | | |
| Winter Mn_Avg | 1975 ± 7 [3.23] | | |
| Winter Max_Lst | 1976 | | |
| Winter Mn_Lst | 1975 ± 3 [5.83] | 1987 | |
| Spring Max_Grt | 1994 | | |
| Spring Max_Avg | 1977 | | |
| Spring Mn_Avg | 1972 | | |
| Spring Max_Lst | 1972 | | |
| Spring Mn_Lst | 1964 | 1992 | |
| Summer Mn_Grt | 1965 ± 3 [1.54] | | |
| Summer Mn_Avg | 1965 ± 2 [1.53] | | |
| Summer Mn_Lst | 1965 ± 3 [2.08] | | |
| Fall Max_Lst | 1979 | | |
| Fall Mn_Lst | 1979 | 1996 | |
TABLE 3C | Change-points in mean for station KSA representing southwestern region of Alaska with: PELT change-point estimate ± width of approximate 95% confidence interval (when change detection test p-value < 0.05) and [amount of change in mean in °C], or only PELT change-point estimate (when change detection test p-value > 0.05).

| Season | Variable | Change-point 1 ± Conf. interval width [Change in mean in °C] | Change-point 2 ± Conf. interval width [Change in mean °C] | Change-point 3 ± Conf. interval width [Change in mean °C] |
|--------|----------|------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------|
| Winter | Max_Grt  | 1975 ± 9 [1.69]                                           |                                                          |                                                          |
| Winter | Min_Grt  | 1975 ± 15 [1.55]                                          |                                                          |                                                          |
| Winter | Max_Avg  | 1944                                                     | 1975 ± 7 [3.26]                                           |                                                          |
| Winter | Min_Avg  | 1944 ± 8 [−3.53]                                          | 1975 ± 11 [3.40]                                         |                                                          |
| Winter | Max_Lst  | 1944 ± 6 [−4.87]                                          | 1976                                                     |                                                          |
| Winter | Min_Lst  | 1941 ± 4 [−4.63]                                          | 1975 ± 6 [4.69]                                           | 1987                                                     |
| Spring | Max_Grt  | 1989 ± 13 [2.01]                                          |                                                          |                                                          |
| Spring | Max_Avg  | 1943                                                     | 1977 ± 7 [2.33]                                          |                                                          |
| Spring | Min_Avg  | 1971                                                     |                                                          |                                                          |
| Spring | Max_Lst  | 1942                                                     | 1979                                                     |                                                          |
| Fall   | Max_Lst  | 1941 ± 8 [−2.56]                                          |                                                          |                                                          |
| Fall   | Min_Lst  | 1952                                                     |                                                          |                                                          |

TABLE 4A | Change-points in mean for station AB of Alaska with: PELT change-point estimate ± width of approximate 95% confidence interval (when change detection test p-value < 0.05) and [amount of change in mean in °C], or only PELT change-point estimate (when change detection test p-value > 0.05).

| Season | Variable | Change-point 1 ± Conf. interval width [Change in mean in °C] | Change-point 2 ± Conf. interval width [Change in mean °C] | Change-point 3 ± Conf. interval width [Change in mean °C] |
|--------|----------|------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------|
| Winter | Min_Grt  | 1973                                                      |                                                          |                                                          |
| Winter | Max_Avg  | 1973                                                      |                                                          |                                                          |
| Winter | Min_Avg  | 1973 ± 4 [2.92]                                          |                                                          |                                                          |
| Winter | Max_Lst  | 1977                                                      |                                                          |                                                          |
| Winter | Min_Lst  | 1977 ± 5 [4.84]                                          |                                                          |                                                          |
| Spring | Max_Grt  | 1976 ± 7 [1.73]                                          |                                                          |                                                          |
| Spring | Min_Grt  | 1976 ± 4 [1.53]                                          |                                                          |                                                          |
| Spring | Max_Avg  | 1976                                                      |                                                          |                                                          |
| Spring | Min_Avg  | 1975 ± 4 [1.52]                                          |                                                          |                                                          |
| Spring | Max_Lst  | 1976                                                      |                                                          | 2002                                                     |
| Spring | Min_Lst  | 1974                                                      |                                                          |                                                          |
| Summer | Max_Grt  | 1988                                                      |                                                          |                                                          |
| Summer | Min_Avg  | 1988 ± 2 [1.18]                                          |                                                          |                                                          |
| Summer | Min_Lst  | 1988 ± 4 [1.74]                                          |                                                          |                                                          |
| Fall   | Max_Lst  | 1978                                                      |                                                          |                                                          |
| Fall   | Min_Lst  | 1981                                                      |                                                          |                                                          |

TABLE 3B | Change-points in mean for station AC of Alaska with: PELT change-point estimate ± width of approximate 95% confidence interval (when change detection test p-value < 0.05) and [amount of change in mean in °C], or only PELT change-point estimate (when change detection test p-value > 0.05).

| Season | Variable | Change-point 1 ± Conf. interval width [Change in mean in °C] | Change-point 2 ± Conf. interval width [Change in mean °C] | Change-point 3 ± Conf. interval width [Change in mean °C] |
|--------|----------|------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------|
| Winter | Max_Grt  | 1946                                                      |                                                          |                                                          |
| Winter | Min_Grt  | 1930                                                      | 1946                                                     |                                                          |
| Spring | Max_Grt  | 1943                                                      | 1961                                                     |                                                          |
| Spring | Max_Lst  | 1935                                                      | 1964                                                     |                                                          |
| Spring | Min_Lst  | 1936                                                      | 1972                                                     |                                                          |
| Summer | Max_Grt  | 1936                                                      | 1972±3 [−2.07]                                           |                                                          |
| Summer | Max_Avg  | 1968 ± 4 [−1.86]                                          |                                                          |                                                          |
| Summer | Min_Avg  | 1956                                                      |                                                          |                                                          |
| Summer | Max_Lst  | 1968                                                      |                                                          |                                                          |
| Summer | Min_Lst  | 1956                                                      | 1971                                                     |                                                          |
| Fall   | Max_Grt  | 1950                                                      |                                                          |                                                          |
| Fall   | Min_Lst  | 1975                                                      |                                                          |                                                          |

TABLE 4B | Change-points in mean for station UES of Alaska with: PELT change-point estimate ± width of approximate 95% confidence interval (when change detection test p-value < 0.05) and [amount of change in mean in °C], or only PELT change-point estimate (when change detection test p-value > 0.05).

| Season | Variable | Change-point 1 ± Conf. interval width [Change in mean in °C] | Change-point 2 ± Conf. interval width [Change in mean °C] | Change-point 3 ± Conf. interval width [Change in mean °C] |
|--------|----------|------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------|
| Winter | Max_Grt  | 1925                                                      | 1944 ± 6 [−2.31]                                          | 1966                                                     |
| Winter | Min_Grt  | 1978                                                      |                                                          |                                                          |
| Winter | Max_Avg  | 1924                                                      | 1945                                                     | 1975 ± 15 [2.58]                                          |
| Winter | Min_Avg  | 1975                                                      |                                                          |                                                          |
| Winter | Max_Lst  | 1924 ± 2 [8.31]                                          | 1946                                                     | 1981                                                     |
| Winter | Min_Lst  | 1920                                                      | 1946                                                     | 1976                                                     |
| Spring | Max_Grt  | 1972                                                      |                                                          |                                                          |
| Spring | Max_Avg  | 1972                                                      |                                                          |                                                          |
| Spring | Min_Avg  | 1964 ± 31 [1.40]                                          |                                                          |                                                          |
| Spring | Min_Lst  | 1964 ± 16 [2.98]                                          |                                                          |                                                          |
| Summer | Min_Grt  | 1955                                                      |                                                          |                                                          |
| Summer | Max_Grt  | 1982 ± 3 [1.51]                                          |                                                          |                                                          |
| Summer | Min_Lst  | 1984 ± 2 [2.06]                                          |                                                          |                                                          |
| Fall   | Max_Lst  | 1922                                                      |                                                          |                                                          |
| Fall   | Min_Lst  | 1976                                                      |                                                          |                                                          |

TABLE 4C | Change-points in mean for station AC of Alaska with: PELT change-point estimate ± width of approximate 95% confidence interval (when change detection test p-value < 0.05) and [amount of change in mean in °C], or only PELT change-point estimate (when change detection test p-value > 0.05).

AR(1) model. Here, a good understanding of the physical nature of each of these two models is important. For example, the time points at which regime shifts take place are deterministic in a change-point model, whereas they are the result of purely random variations in an AR(1) model. Consequently, in a red noise model such as the AR(1), the times of shifts may not have any specific physical interpretation. However, the times of shifts in a change-point model may often be the result of one or more deterministic causes. A challenge in proposing a change-point model is in identifying the causes that lead to regime shifts in the underlying process.

Next, we discuss values for %Variation presented in Tables 5, 6. The range of values for %Variation for each station winter_Min, spring_Max, spring_Avg, spring_Min, and AR(1) model for three (winter_Avg, fall_Avg, fall_Min). Thus, there is greater preference for change-point model over the red noise model for three (winter_Avg, fall_Avg, fall_Min). Thus, there is greater preference for change-point model over the red noise model.
TABLE 4D | Change-points in mean for station HA of Alaska with: PELT change-point estimate ± width of approximate 95% confidence interval (when change detection test p-value < 0.05) and [amount of change in mean in °C], or only PELT change-point estimate (when change detection test p-value > 0.05).

| Season     | Variable | Date      | Amount  |
|------------|----------|-----------|---------|
| Winter     | Max_Grt  | 1983 ± 19 [1.28] |
| Winter     | Min_Grt  | 1974      |
| Winter     | Max_Avg  | 1975      |
| Winter     | Min_Avg  | 1975 ± 19 [2.30] |
| Winter     | Max_List | 1975      |
| Winter     | Min_List | 1975      |
| Spring     | Max_Grt  | 1991      |
| Spring     | Min_Grt  | 1978 ± 13 [1.01] |
| Spring     | Max_Avg  | 1972      |
| Spring     | Min_Avg  | 1977 ± 10 [1.59] |
| Spring     | Max_List | 1972      |
| Spring     | Min_List | 1971      |
| Summer     | Min_Grt  | 1982 ± 10 [0.90] |
| Summer     | Min_Avg  | 1976 ± 2 [1.49]  |
| Summer     | Max_List | 1971      |
| Summer     | Min_List | 1976 ± 2 [1.76]  |
| Fall       | Min_Grt  | 1977 ± 16 [1.07] |
| Fall       | Max_Avg  | 1977      |
| Fall       | Max_List | 1971      |
| Fall       | Min_List | 1971      |

For PDO indices (Table 2, Figure 2) changes in maximum, average, and minimum were relatively similar within a given season. There is strong evidence for two changes in all three variables during winter and spring seasons. The first change in winter (a cooling phase) began after 1944 (±4 years) with amount of change in the range −1.24 to −1.05, and the second change (warming phase) began after 1975 (±11 years) with amount ranging from 0.94 to 1.07. The two changes in spring were quite similar to those in winter season (1947 ± 4, amount −1.07 to −1.04; and 1976 ± 5, amount 1.17 to 1.36). During fall season, a change in the mid-1940s (1944 ± 11) occurred in average and minimum variables, while no significant changes were found in any of the variables during summer. Also, changes that apply to all three variables (maximum, average, and minimum) are strong only for winter and spring. Otherwise, they are weakly present during fall and non-existent during summer. If one goes by a presumed approximately 20–30 year cycle of warming and cooling phases for PDO (Mantua et al., 1997; McLean et al., 2009), then one would expect a change to have occurred around the year 2000 (25 years after the change in the mid-1970s). However, no significant change was found around the year 2000 in PDO. Similarly, 25 years prior to the change in the mid-1940s, one might have expected a change around the year 1920. However, despite data being available from 1900, no significant change was found around the year 1920. Thus our analysis is not supportive of a consistent 20–30 year cyclic behavior in PDO. If PDO is an important factor for temperature changes in Alaska, then, temperature changes should also be minimal to non-existent in the 1920s. To see whether this bears out, among the seven stations we have considered in this study, there is sufficient temperature data only at UES (1905–2011) station. At this station, no significant changes were found in and around in 1920s, except for only one variable in winter. Thus, both PDO and UES station seem to show some similarity in the absence of changes in 1920s.

For temperature changes among stations, seasons and variables (see Tables 3A–C, Tables 4A–D, Figures 3–5), there were many instances where likelihood ratio test showed no significance to changes identified by PELT. For example, from Table 4B it is clear that PELT identified two change-points at AC twice: Min_List – 1930 and 1946 during winter; and Max_List – 1935 and 1954 during spring. However, all of these changes were not statistically significant as per the change detection test (p-values not shown in Table 4B were within 0.236 to 0.907). Overall, from Tables 3A–C, Tables 4A–D, Figures 3–5, it is clear that most of the significant changes in temperatures occurred either in mid-1940s or in mid-1970s.

Temperature changes in mid-1940s are relevant only for stations AC, UES, and KSA (need sufficient data prior to mid-1940s). Among these, no significant change occurred at AC (Table 4B) in the mid-1940s while one change occurred at UES (Table 4C) in Max_Grt during winter (1944 ± 6, amount of change: −2.31°C). Most number of changes were at KSA (Table 3C, Figure 5) with winter having three changes (Min_Avg, Max_List, Min_List; amount of change: −4.87°C to −3.53°C) followed by one change in fall (Max_List; amount of change: −2.56°C). Overall, the average amount of change in the mid-1940s is −3.58°C, and the cooling occurred mostly at KSA (southwestern region) station leaving out both AC (southeastern region) and UES (central region) stations with little change.

As for temperature changes in the mid-1970s, all seven stations are relevant (all have sufficient data). We shall first
prepare a tally of number of times temperature changes occurred in the mid-1970s separately for stations, seasons and variables. In doing so, we consider a change to have occurred in the mid-1970s if the estimated time of change (when significant) was within the range 1972–1978. From Tables 3A–C, Tables 4A–D, Figures 3–5, it follows that temperatures changed in the mid-1970s for a total of 30 times (including one cooling instance at AC). The tally of these 30 changes at each station, season and variable, and the corresponding average amounts of change [average change °C] are as follows: stations: AB=5 [2.51], AC=1 [2.07], JIA=8 [2.90], FIA=3 [4.01], UES=1 [2.58], KSA=6 [2.82], HA=6 [1.54]; seasons: winter=15 [3.55], spring=10 [1.74], summer=4 [1.68], fall=1 [1.07]; variables: Max_Grt=3 [1.83], Min_Grt=5 [1.33], Max_Avg=5 [2.47], Min_Avg=10 [2.32], Max_Lst=1 [4.11], Min_Lst=6 [4.47]. From the above tally, it is clear that there is considerable variability in the number of changes as well as in the average amount of change among stations, seasons and variables. It is more appealing to view the changes at stations from geographical region point of view—the corresponding number of changes and average amounts are: southeastern=14 [2.70]; interior=4 [3.65]; southwestern=12 [2.18]. Clearly, southeastern and southwestern regions dominate the number of changes in the mid-1970s (total of 26 changes from 5 stations of southeastern and southwestern regions compared to 4 changes from 2 stations of interior Alaska). However, the highest average amount of change (3.65°C) occurs in the central region. Seasonally, winter and spring account for most of the changes in the mid-1970s (total of 25 changes) while summer and fall together consist of only 5 changes. This is consistent with the warming phase of PDO in the mid-1970s, where significant changes were found predominantly in winter and spring only. Moreover, the highest seasonal average amount of change (3.55°C) occurs during winter.

It is pertinent to view our results against earlier studies. Stafford et al. (2000) analyzed temperature data from 1949 to 1998 for 25 stations representing throughout Alaska. Their analysis based on mean temperatures showed annual and seasonal mean temperatures increased all across the state, and highest increase (2.2°C) occurred in winter in the interior of Alaska. This is in agreement with our findings except that in our case, the average amounts are somewhat higher (winter 3.55°C;
FIGURE 3 | JIA temperature data series for the time-period 1950–2013 for variables Max_Grt, Min_Grt, Max_Avg, Min_Avg, Max_Lst, and Min_Lst for winter (A: Rows 1–2), spring (B: Rows 3–4), summer (C: Rows 5–6), and fall (D: Rows 7–8) together with data means within each segment, where each segment is determined by change-point analysis; solid red lines represent data means within each segment with shifts identified by both the PELT method and the change detection test statistic; dashed red lines indicate data means for segments identified by PELT method but not confirmed by the change detection static when at least one other change is detected by methods.
FIGURE 4 | FIA temperature data series for the time-period 1950–2013 for variables Max_Grt, Min_Grt, Max_Avg, Min_Avg, Max_Lst, and Min_Lst for winter (A: Rows 1–2), spring (B: Rows 3–4), summer (C: Rows 5–6), and fall (D: Rows 7–8) together with data means within each segment, where each segment is determined by change-point analysis; solid red lines represent data means within each segment with shifts identified by both the PELT method and the change detection test statistic; dashed red lines indicate data means for segments identified by PELT method but not confirmed by the change detection statistic when at least one other change is detected by both methods.
FIGURE 5 | KSA temperature data series for the time-period 1928–2013 for variables Max_Grt, Min_Grt, Max_Avg, Min_Avg, Max_Lst, and Min_Lst for winter (A: Rows 1–2), spring (B: Rows 3–4), summer (C: Rows 5–6), and fall (D: Rows 7–8) together with data means within each segment, where each segment is determined by change-point analysis; solid red lines represent data means within each segment with shifts identified by both the PELT method and the change detection test statistic; dashed red lines indicate data means for segments identified by PELT method but not confirmed by the change detection statistic when at least one other change is detected by both methods.
interior 3.65°C). The higher amount of change in our case can be justified due to the fact that our analysis includes various extremes, whereas the analysis of Stafford et al. (2000) is based on mean temperatures. Applying best linear fit to data from 1906 to 2006 from Fairbanks, Wendler and Shulski (2009) found that changes in temperature were not uniform over the seasons in a year, just as the results of this study also vary over the seasons. Their seasonal data analysis showed that mean temperature increased during winter, spring, and summer, while it decreased slightly during fall. We found significant increases during winter and summer seasons at FIA and no significant changes during spring and fall. Wendler and Shulski (2009) attributed the increase in winter temperatures to increased advection due to a more intense Aluetian low. Hartmann and Wendler (2005) analyzed Alaskan temperature for the period 1951–2001 to study shift in 1976; they found mean temperatures increased by as much as 3.1°C after the year 1976. The overall increase from the 30 changes in the mid-1970s of our study is 3.49°C, which is slightly higher, quite likely due to various extremes in our study, than Hartmann and Wendler (2005).

Since changes in extremes are important, we compare changes in the two extreme variables Max_Grt and Min_Lst. With 6 changes Min_Lst dominates the changes compared to the 3 changes in Max_Grt. Furthermore, the average change in Min_Lst is 4.47°C, whereas in Max_Grt it is 1.83°C. The 4.5°C average for Min_Lst is highest among the averages for all six variables. Bennett and Walsh (2015) also noted that the largest amount of change occurred in the extreme minimum.

In addition to changes in the mid-1970s (1972–1978), there were 6 earlier changes in 1960s (AC summer−1, FIA summer−3,
noted that changes in PDO had strong relationship with Alaskan temperature changes and that the effect was variable across locations and seasons. Even nearby stations showed differences in PDO correlations.

Apart from PDO, other fields that correlate to temperature changes in arctic and Alaskan region include: geopotential height field (Overland et al., 2015), sea level pressure (SLP) (Timlin and Walsh, 2007; Johnstone and Mantua, 2014), Madden-Julian Oscillations (MJO) (Oliver, 2014), and Aleutian low pressure (Wendler et al., 2012). Most weather systems follow the 500 mb pressure level and the corresponding geopotential level for explaining changes in surface air temperature. While Overland et al. (2015) associate geopotential height to Alaskan temperature changes, they also mention other reasons such as: reduced summer albedo due to sea ice and snow cover loss; decrease of total cloudiness in summer and increase in winter; and additional heat generated by newly formed sea-ice free ocean areas. Other recent articles that associate geopotential height with climatic changes include Shulski et al. (2010), Schnetzler and Dierking (2008), Bond and Harrison (2006), Hartmann and Wendler (2005), and Bitz and Battisti (1999). Most recently Johnstone and Mantua (2014) studied interrelationships between Northeast Pacific (includes Alaska region) SLP, sea surface temperature (SST) and adjacent land SAT. Based on linear regression analysis, Johnstone and Mantua (2014) found that the positive trend in SAT is reduced by more than 80% when adjusted for SLP variability. The MJO is a dominant mode of large-scale subseasonal tropospheric variability over the tropical Indian and Pacific Oceans (Vecchi and Bond, 2004). Oliver (2014) found that MJO was strongly connected with SAT temperature change at Fairbanks during the period 1946–1966. In close agreement with Oliver (2014), in this article, we found significant change around 1965 during summer at FIA and during spring at UES (see Tables 3B, 4C, also Figure 4 for FIA). Apart from being a driver of PDO, the Aleutian low pressure also accounted for nearly all of the observed SST and SAT warming around the coastal northeast Pacific Arc (Johnstone and Mantua, 2014).

Following the above observations, we construct 500 mb geopotential height composite anomaly maps for southeastern region (stations AB, AC, JIA) for 5 years before change (1974–1978) for winter season (Figure 6A) and the corresponding 5 years after change (1979–1983) map (Figure 6B). We also prepared similar 5 year composite anomaly before and after change maps for SLP (see Figures 6C, D). In both geopotential and SLP cases, one can see substantial differences in before change to after change maps.

Next, we explore reasons for significant warming that occurred in Min_Grt and Min_Lst variables during summer of 1965 at FIA station. Even though Oliver (2014) associated MJO with such SAT temperature changes at Fairbanks, we construct 500 mb geopotential height and SLP maps for June-August period at FIA region: Figure 7A has the 5 years before change (1961–1965) composite anomaly 500 mb geopotential
height map, and Figure 7B has the corresponding map for 5 years after the change (1966–1970); the corresponding before change and after change composite anomaly maps for SLP are displayed in Figures 7C,D. Here, the before and after change maps are markedly different in both geopotential height and SLP cases. The differences in these before and after change maps are reflective of the temperature changes that might have occurred at surface level around the year 1965 at FIA station.

Some additional studies have also considered temperature changes in Alaskan region (Overpeck et al., 1997; Holland and Bitz, 2003; ACIA, 2005; Hinzman et al., 2005; Kaufman et al., 2009; Wood and Overland, 2010; Walsh et al., 2011; Koenigk et al., 2015). For example, Wood and Overland (2010) debate reasons for the early twentieth century arctic temperature warming and conclude it was due to internal variability. Overpeck et al. (1997) suggested that before 1920 volcanic aerosol loading and solar radiation were contributors to arctic SAT changes. Subsequent to 1920 increasing greenhouse gas concentrations dominated arctic temperature variability, an observation supported by Holland and Bitz (2003).

An aspect that requires discussion is the differences in temperature changes at nearby stations. For example, stations AB, AC, and JIA are all nearby stations and yet temperature changes in the mid-1970s do not quite match (see Table 4A for AB, Table 4B for AC, and Table 3A and Figure 3 for JIA). This is particularly true of JIA and AC which are separated by a distance of about 30 miles. The cooling in summer at AC and the warming in winter, spring and summer at JIA are quite contrasting. Before exploring any external factors, we first computed correlations for various variables across all seasons for all nearby stations including between AC and JIA (correlations are not shown). The correlations we computed are not uniformly high across all seasons and variables. Specifically, between AC and JIA, we notice that 8 out of 24 correlations (33%) are below 0.50. Thus, it appears that some external factors do indeed seem to alter temperature behaviors at these two close by stations.

What are possible explanations for differences in temperature behaviors at AC and JIA? Geographically, southeastern Alaska is a rugged mountainous terrain and weather conditions can vary considerably within short distances. Moreover,
Juneau is on the bank of the Gastineau channel whereas Annex Creek is on the interior bank of the Taku Inlet. Dierking (1998) studied how nearby locations in the area differed substantively in terms of their pressure gradients and maximum wind gusts during the occurrence of Taku wind events. Colman and Dierking (1992) noted that downtown Juneau was located on the fringe of the full force of the wind and hence did not usually record the more severe values.

CONCLUSIONS

We have identified changes in temperature series at seven Alaskan weather stations and in PDO series. Most of the changes were during winter and spring in the mid-1940s or in the mid-1970s, in agreement with changes in the PDO. While, there were no significant changes in the mid-1970s during summer, there were early or late changes in summer, an anomaly that has not been identified earlier in climatological studies. Southwestern and southeastern regions of Alaska accounted for most of the changes in the mid-1970s compared to the interior of Alaska. The amount of change was the greatest in Min_Lst.

While this study supports deterministic regime shifts for the northern North Pacific temperature variability due to internal and external forcing, random red-noise processes AR(1) cannot be ruled out as a plausible alternative. The percent variation explained by the change-point models was found to be in the range (8.72, 65.45). This range is comparable with (2.08, 60.15) that López-de-Lacalle (2012) computed between the PDO and Alaskan temperatures. It should be noted that shifts in temperature can have a lasting impact on other important Alaskan and Arctic factors including sea ice, arctic snow cover, atmospheric heat transport, clouds, and others. In this regard this article can perhaps enable climate scientists to study the wider issue of impact on Alaskan climatic factors due to temperature shifts identified here.
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