Anaphylaxis following intralesional triamcinolone acetonide (Kenacort) injection
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Intralesional triamcinolone acetonide injection is indicated for multiple skin conditions such as keloid scars, alopecia areata, and hypertrophic lichen planus. Immediate hypersensitivity reaction remains uncommon. We report on a 24-year-old woman who had received multiple intralesional injections with triamcinolone acetonide (Kenacort) plus lidocaine for keloid scar treatment without any reaction for the previous 10 years. The immediate reaction occurred 15 minutes after injection, with numbness on her face and 5 minutes later with urticaria on her chest wall and upper extremities, together with hypotension (blood pressure of 90/60 mmHg). Allergology workup revealed positive skin prick test for triamcinolone acetonide (Kenacort). Skin tests for other corticosteroids (hydrocortisone, methylprednisolone, and dexamethasone), excipients (carboxymethylcellulose, benzyl alcohol, and polysorbate 80) and lidocaine were negative, including subcutaneous challenge for lidocaine and oral challenge for carboxymethylcellulose. IgE-mediated hypersensitivity reaction must be considered in cases of multiple applications of triamcinolone acetonide injection.
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INTRODUCTION

Steroids are widely used as anti-inflammatory drugs for different diseases, while immediate allergic reactions are uncommon. In this article, we report a case of IgE-mediated hypersensitivity following intralesional triamcinolone acetonide injection.

CASE REPORT

A 24-year-old woman with keloid scars on her upper back had been treated with multiple intralesional injections of triamcinolone acetonide plus 1% lidocaine (xylocaine) during the previous 10 years without any reaction. After her most recent treatment, she developed generalized urticaria, lip angioedema, numbness on her face, abdominal pain, vomiting,
chest tightness, and hypotension 2 minutes after injection. Lidocaine hypersensitivity was suspected. She decided to stop the treatment, without any medication being prescribed for her condition. She was healthy, with no history of any other medication use and no history of drug/food allergy or atopic diseases. After 1 year she returned for intraliesional injection of triamcinolone acetonide only (without lidocaine), and was scheduled once a month for this regimen. The first injection was tolerated well. The reaction occurred at the time of the second injection, with numbness on her face developing 15 minutes after injection and 5 minutes later with urticaria on her chest wall and both arms, together with hypotension (blood pressure of 90/60 mmHg). At the emergency room, her vital signs revealed blood pressure 90/60 mmHg, pulse rate 72 beats/min, respiratory rate 20 breaths/min, temperature 37.2°C, and oxygen saturation 95% on room air. Immediate treatment with an intramuscular injection of adrenaline, intravenous dexamethasone and chlorpheniramine was given, and the patient quickly recovered. Laboratory investigation showed white blood cells 13.7×10⁹/L (neutrophils 86%, lymphocytes 11%, monocytes 2%, eosinophils 1%) and serum tryptase 5.89 ng/mL (1.9–13.5 ng/mL).

Anaphylaxis was diagnosed. The allergology workup was performed 4 weeks after the anaphylaxis event to clarify the identity of the culprit drug. Skin tests with triamcinolone acetonide, lidocaine, and the excipients carboxymethylcellulose (CMC), benzyl alcohol and polysorbate 80, were performed, together with alternative corticosteroids (hydrocortisone, methylprednisolone, and dexamethasone).

The skin test concentrations were as previously reported [1, 2] and are summarized in Table 1. Histamine and normal saline were used as positive and negative controls, respectively. The skin prick test (SPT) was considered positive when a wheal of more than 3 mm in diameter presented after 15 minutes. If the SPT was negative, an intradermal test (IDT) was performed by injecting 0.02–0.05 mL of the reagent solution intradermally. Results were interpreted after 20 minutes, and a positive result was declared when the wheal and erythema extended more than 2 mm from the initial injection papule [2]. When SPT and IDT were negative, a provocation test was performed.

The patient had a positive SPT for triamcinolone acetonide (Table 1), which confirmed IgE-mediated hypersensitivity (Fig. 1). The SPT for the other corticosteroids, including hydrocortisone, methylprednisolone, and dexamethasone, were negative. Subcutaneous provocation with lidocaine (cumulative dose of 3.2 mL of 1% lidocaine) and oral CMC (cumulative dose of 250 mg) was well-tolerated and considered negative.

**DISCUSSION**

Corticosteroids are widely used in different diseases due to their anti-inflammatory and immunoregulatory effects.

| Drug                          | Prick test | Intradermal test | Provocation test |
|-------------------------------|------------|------------------|------------------|
|                               | Concentration (mg/mL) | Result | Concentration (mg/mL) | Result |                  |
| **Corticosteroids**           |            |                  |                  |
| Triamcinolone acetonide (Kenacort) | 10         | Positive         | 0.01             | ND     | ND               |
| Hydrocortisone (Solu-Cortef)  | 1          | Negative         | 0.01, 0.1        | Negative | ND   |
| Methylprednisolone            | 40         | Negative         | 0.04, 0.4        | Negative | ND   |
| Dexamethasone                 | 4          | Negative         | 0.04, 0.4        | Negative | ND   |
| **Excipients**                |            |                  |                  |
| Carboxymethylcellulose        | 5          | Negative         | 0.005, 0.05      | Negative | Negative |
| Benzyl alcohol                | 10         | Negative         | -                | ND     | ND               |
| Polysorbate 80                | 0.4        | Negative         | -                | ND     | ND               |
| **Local anesthetic agent**    |            |                  |                  |
| 1% Lidocaine                  | Undiluted  | Negative         | Undiluted        | Negative | Negative |

ND, not done.
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However, hypersensitivity reactions can occur. Corticosteroid hypersensitivity reactions can be classified into 2 categories: (1) type IV, delayed-type (T cell-mediated) [3]; and (2) type I, immediate-type (IgE-mediated). Immediate hypersensitivity reactions are relatively rare, with a prevalence of approximately 0.1% [4, 5]. The clinical presentations vary from urticaria and angioedema to anaphylaxis [1].

Corticosteroids are classified into A, B, C, and D groups (with the latter further subdivided into D1 and D2 subgroups) based on their structural and clinical characteristics [6, 7]. Cross-reactivity within the same group and between groups of corticosteroids has been reported, especially groups A, B, and D, according to their stereospecificity [8-10]. However, some studies were unable to demonstrate cross-reactions between corticosteroids [1, 11]. In 2011, Baeck et al. [12, 13] proposed a new classification based on cross-reactions and molecular structure, which divided corticosteroids into 3 groups (Table 2). Allergic reactions were found to occur more frequently in group I corticosteroids, whereas group III had the fewest cross-reactions [14].

Several case reports on triamcinolone acetonide hypersensitivity have been published, in which the culprit was either the corticosteroid [1, 15] or its excipients [16, 17], including CMC, benzyl alcohol, and polysorbate 80.

In this case, triamcinolone acetonide and lidocaine were taken into account for the anaphylactic reaction at the first episode. We extensively investigated both drugs, excipients, and other corticosteroids to evaluate the cross-reactivity between groups.

Skin test results for triamcinolone acetonide were positive, whereas other corticosteroids, lidocaine, and the excipients of triamcinolone acetonide (CMC, benzyl alcohol, and polysorbate 80) were negative. The provocation test to lidocaine was negative. Instead of a subcutaneous CMC provocation test, the oral route was chosen due to the lack of a standard protocol and the irritation property of CMC.

Baker et al. [18] reported on a case series of 23 patients with suspected corticosteroid hypersensitivity. Almost all of the cases with a positive skin test had a history of anaphylaxis; intra-articular

Table 2. Classification of corticosteroids

| Classification | Group 1 | Group 2 | Group 3 |
|----------------|---------|---------|---------|
| Characteristic | Nonmethylated nonhalogenated molecules | Halogenated molecules with cis-ketal or diol structure at C16/C17 | Halogenated methylated molecules at C16 |
| Compare with the classification of Coopman et al. [6] | Budesonide, groups A and D2 | Group B | Groups C and D1 |
| Examples | Budesonide | Negative | Beclomethasone dipropionate |
| | Hydrocortisone | Triamcinolone | Betamethasone |
| | Methylprednisolone | Fluocortolone | Clobetasol propionate |
| | Prednicarbate | Fluocinonide | Dexamethasone |
| | Prednisolone | | Fluticasone propionate |
| | | | Mometasone furoate |

Fig. 1. Results of the skin prick test.
triamcinolone acetonide was the causative agent in 5 out of 8 patients. To our knowledge, the present report is the first case in Thailand and one of the few cases of confirmed IgE-mediated triamcinolone acetonide hypersensitivity via the intralesional route. Despite widespread use, reports of type I hypersensitivity to corticosteroids are rare. It is important to be aware of the risk of anaphylaxis with multiple intralesional injections of corticosteroids. We suggest that extensive allergology testing both for corticosteroids and their excipients would be valuable.

A limitation of this report is that we could not perform in vitro specific IgE for triamcinolone acetonide and CMC; however, evidence of IgE-mediated hypersensitivity from the skin test was sufficient for a definitive diagnosis.
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