Zipper-like interaction between proteins in adjacent daughter cells mediates protein localization
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Protein localization is crucial for cellular morphogenesis and intracellular signal transduction cascades. Here we describe an interaction between two membrane proteins expressed in different cells of the Bacillus subtilis sporangium, the mother cell protein SpoIIIAH and the forespore protein SpoIIQ. We used affinity chromatography, coimmunoprecipitation, and the yeast two-hybrid system to demonstrate that the extracellular domains of these proteins interact, tethering SpoIIIAH to the sporulation septum, and directing its assembly with SpoIIQ into helical arcs and foci around the forespore. We also demonstrate that this interaction can direct proteins made in the same cell to active division sites, as when SpoIIQ is made in the mother cell, it localizes to nascent septa in a SpoIIIAH-dependent manner. Both SpoIIIAH and SpoIIQ are necessary for activation of the second forespore-specific transcription factor (σ⁵⁴) after engulfment, and we propose that the SpoIIIAH–SpoIIQ complex contributes to a morphological checkpoint coupling σ⁵⁴ activation to engulfment. In keeping with this hypothesis, SpoIIIAH localization depends on the first step of engulfment, septal thinning. The SpoIIQ–SpoIIIAH complex reaches from the mother cell cytoplasm to the forespore cytoplasm and is ideally positioned to govern the activity of engulfment-dependent transcription factors.
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Dynamic protein localization is crucial for bacterial development, signal transduction cascades, and the cell cycle. In recent years, microbial cell biologists have discovered tubulin-like filaments involved in cell shape and chromosome segregation (Carballido-Lopez and Errington 2003a,b; Shih et al. 2003; Gerdes et al. 2004), oscillating proteins that govern division site selection (Hu and Lutkenhaus 1999; Raskin and de Boer 1999a,b), chromosome segregation, and cellular differentiation (Glaser et al. 1997; Lin et al. 1997; Auvray and Errington 2003), as well as localized proteins required for pathogenesis, cell division, development, and signal transduction cascades [for review, see Lybarger and Maddock 2003; Shapiro et al. 2003; Ryan and Shapiro 2003]. Although bacterial cells lack membrane-enclosed compartments, a growing body of evidence suggests that the activity of signal transduction proteins can be efficiently compartmentalized by being confined to a specific subcellular address. For example, in Caulobacter crescentus, cell division results in the production of morphologically distinct daughter cells: the motile swarmer cell and the sessile stalked cell, which is the only daughter capable of immediately initiating a new cell cycle [McAdams and Shapiro 2003; Quardokus and Brim 2003; Jacobs-Berger 2004; Skerker and Laub 2004]. These divergent cell cycles are controlled by two oscillating regulatory proteins that accumulate in opposite daughter cells: one represses chromosome replication and the cell cycle in the swarmer cell while the other promotes the cell cycle in the stalked cell [Holtzendorff et al. 2004]. Therefore, it is clearly important to understand the spatial and temporal distribution of bacterial proteins as well as their biochemical properties.

Protein localization is also important in B. subtilis sporulation, during which the synthesis of an asymmetrically positioned septum produces a smaller forespore, which will become the spore, and a larger mother cell, which will lyse after contributing to spore development [for review, see Errington 2003]. A variety of proteins involved in morphogenesis and intracellular signal transduction localize to the septum between these cells [Driks et al. 1994; Arigoni et al. 1995; Resnekov et al. 1996; Fawcett et al. 1998; Perez et al. 2000; Abanes-De Mello et al. 2002; van Ooij and Losick 2003; Rubio and...
pressed membrane protein is conserved in all endospore-forming bacteria [Stragier 2002], required for synthesis and activation of σE, and under some conditions, for engulfment [Londoño-Vallejo et al. 1997; Sun et al. 2000]. Previous work has shown that SpoIIQ localizes to the septum, tracks the engulfing mother cell membrane, assembles helical arcs and foci surrounding the forespore, and is degraded after engulfment [Rubio and Pogliano 2004]. Interestingly, retention of SpoIIQ at the septum requires mother cell gene expression, suggesting that its extracellular domain interacts with that of a mother cell tether [Rubio and Pogliano 2004]. This interaction between SpoIIQ and a mother cell tether provides a potential route for signaling between the mother cell and forespore that is necessary for σE activation [Partridge and Errington 1993].

A second conserved group of proteins required for σE activity is the proteins encoded by the spoIIA operon [Kellner et al. 1996]. This operon encodes eight proteins, one of which, SpoIIIAG, shows homology to the AAA family of ATPases, whereas the rest are predicted to be membrane proteins. To investigate the role of these proteins in σE activation and determine if they interact with SpoIIQ, we initiated studies of their subcellular distribution, obtaining functional fusions between the protein encoded by the last gene in the operon, SpoIIIAH and the Flag epitope. We here demonstrate that SpoIIIAH is localized to the septum by a direct interaction between its extracellular domain and that of SpoIIQ and that the two proteins colocalize in arcs and foci surrounding the forespore. Furthermore, SpoIIIAH localization depends on engulfment, suggesting that this dynamic complex plays a central role sensing engulfment.

Results

Localization of SpoIIIAH

The final gene in the spoIII operon is spoIIIAH, which encodes a protein predicted by HMMTOP [Tusnady and Simon 2001] and TM pred [Holmam and Stoffel 1993] to have one membrane-spanning segment and a large extracellular domain. As the last gene in the spoIIIA operon, it was relatively simple to construct a Flag epitope fusion to the 3′-end of spoIIIAH and integrate a plasmid into the B. subtilis chromosome by a single recombination event. The resulting strain expressed SpoIIIAH-Flag [but not SpoIIIAH] from its native promoter without disrupting other genes in the spoIIIA operon. This strain produced wild-type levels of spores, indicating that SpoIIIAH-Flag was functional; fractionation data demonstrated that it was membrane-associated [data not shown]. We localized SpoIIIAH-Flag using immunofluorescence microscopy and used the membrane stain FM 4-64 to correlate localization with engulfment. In sporangia that have initiated engulfment and have slightly curved septa, SpoIIIAH-Flag localized to the septum, often with discrete foci at the septum and faint mother cell fluorescence [Fig. 2A, arrow]. During engulfment, SpoIIIAH-Flag tracked the engulfing mother cell mem-

Figure 1. Stages of sporulation and cell-specific gene expression. (A) Following synthesis of the sporulation septum, σE becomes active in the smaller cell, the forespore, followed by activation of σF in the larger mother cell. (B) During the first step of engulfment (i), septal peptidoglycan [gray] is removed, starting at the septal midpoint. (C) Subsequently, the mother cell membrane migrates around the forespore [steps ii–iii], meets [D] then fuses [E] at the forespore pole to release the forespore into the mother cell cytoplasm [step iv], at which time σE and σF become active in the forespore and mother cell, respectively.

In theory, localized membrane proteins could either be directly inserted into their target membrane domain, or be inserted elsewhere and diffuse to their correct location. It appears that both pathways operate during sporulation. In the forespore, even membrane proteins that are ultimately randomly distributed throughout the forespore membrane initially localize to the septum, suggesting, although not conclusively demonstrating, that membrane protein insertion is restricted to the forespore septum [Rubio and Pogliano 2004]. In contrast, in the mother cell, it has been proposed that membrane protein insertion is nonlocalized [Rudner et al. 2002], since mother cell membrane proteins engineered to be expressed before division are initially randomly distributed, but then relocalse from this nonlocalized pool to the septum [Rudner et al. 2002, van Ooij and Losick 2003]. Both direct and random insertion require the capture of membrane proteins at the correct location to prevent their rapid diffusion, which could be achieved if they interact with another molecule that is stationary and localized.

Bacillus subtilis sporulation generates a unique bacterial cell that has two separate membrane-enclosed compartments, a consequence of engulfment [for review, see Errington 2003]. During engulfment, the membrane of the mother cell migrates around the forespore, until the leading edge meets and fuses to release the forespore into the mother cell cytoplasm [Fig. 1]. After engulfment, the forespore is bounded by two membranes: its cytoplasmic membrane and a second membrane derived from the mother cell, the outer forespore membrane. The generation of a cell with two separate membrane compartments could have important consequences for the localization of membrane proteins targeted to the outer forespore membrane and is a morphological checkpoint essential for the activation of two late transcription factors: σE in the forespore and σF in the mother cell [for review, see Kroos and Yu 2000; Errington 2003].

SpoIIQ is an attractive candidate for a protein that senses the completion of engulfment. This forespore-ex-

Pogliano 2004] and in many cases disrupting localization has severe consequences for development [King et al. 1999].
SpoIIIAH localization depends on SpoIIQ, but not SpoIIAA–AF or SpoIIIJ

SpoIIIAH is required to activate the second forespore transcription factor σG after engulfment, as are SpoIIIJ, SpoIIQ, and the remaining SpoIIA proteins. We were interested in determining whether localization of SpoIIIAH-Flag would be affected by the absence of any of these proteins, or by the absence of σG itself. Localization of SpoIIIAH-Flag was not affected in strains with mutations in the gene encoding σG (spoIIIG) (data not shown) or in spoIIIJ (Supplementary Fig. 1); therefore, σG-dependent proteins are not required for the stages of SpoIIIAH localization described here. In contrast, SpoIIIAH-Flag appeared randomly distributed throughout the mother cell membrane in the absence of SpoIIQ (Fig. 2C,D, arrows), with a slight enrichment at the engulfing membrane (Fig. 2D, arrowhead), likely due to the presence of a double layer of mother cell membrane formed as the leading edge migrates around the forespore (Fig. 2). SpoIIIAH localized identically in the spoIIQ null and in the absence of forespore-specific gene expression (Supplementary Fig. 1), suggesting that SpoIIQ is the primary forespore-specific localization determinant of SpoIIIAH.

To determine if SpoIIIAH localized in the absence of the first six SpoIIA proteins (SpoIIAAA–AF), we introduced spoIIIAH-Flag into strains containing an EZ::TN(kan) insertion in the first gene in the operon, spoIIAAA [Materials and Methods], and with in-frame deletions in each spoIIA gene. Immunoblot analysis indicated that SpoIIIAH-Flag reached similar levels in the spoIIAAA::EZ::TN(kan) strain as in wild type (data not shown), supporting the existence of a second spoIIIAH promoter. Immunofluorescence microscopy showed that many early sporangia had normal SpoIIIAH localization (Fig. 2D, arrowhead), while others showed little septal localization (Fig. 2E, arrow). Later, most spoIIAAA::EZ::TN(kan) sporangia, as well as strains with in-frame deletions in spoIIAAA, spoIIAB, spoIIAC–AD, spoIIAE, spoIIIIF, and spoIIIAG showed SpoIIIAH-Flag foci apparently identical to wild type (Fig. 2F, arrow). Thus, the spoIIAAA::EZ::TN(kan) insertion delays the initial localization of SpoIIIAH to the septum, but ultimately SpoIIIAH assembles normally.

Figure 2. Localization of the mother cell protein SpoIIIAH. Immunofluorescence microscopy was used to localize SpoIIIAH-Flag [green] with the membranes stained with FM 4-64 [red]. Sporulation was initiated by resuspension and samples harvested after 2 h (A,J), 3 h (B,K,F) of sporulation. [A–I] SpoIIIAH-Flag in wild type (KP856). Bar, 2 µm. (C,D) SpoIIIAH-Flag localization in the spoIIQ null (KP857). (E,F) SpoIIIAH-Flag localization in spoIIAA::EZ::TN(kan) (KP858). (G) Cartoon depicting SpoIIIAH-Flag expressed during growth from the xylose promoter (KP886). (H) Cartoon depicting localization of SpoIIIAH-Flag [green circles] in wild-type sporangia throughout sporulation. (I) Cartoon depicting SpoIIIAH-Flag localization without SpoIQQ.

[Image 299x467 to 572x716]
Evidence for a direct interaction between SpoIIQ and SpoIIIAH

SpoIIQ and SpoIIIAH each track the engulfing mother cell membrane, assemble helical arcs and foci, and are predicted to contain one transmembrane domain and a large extracellular domain. Although these proteins are in separate cells, it is possible that their extracellular domains interact within the septal space to mediate SpoIIAH localization. To test this possibility, we used a yeast two-hybrid system (Finley and Brent 1994), fusing the hydrophilic C terminus of each protein (amino acids 25–218 for SpoIIAH, amino acids 41–283 for SpoIIQ) to either LexA [a DNA-binding protein] or to the transcriptional activation domain of AB. Interaction between AB and LexA fusion proteins is indicated by increased β-galactosidase activity in a yeast strain carrying lacZ preceded by eight LexA-binding sites. When SpoIIQ was fused to LexA and SpoIIQ was fused to AB, the yeast strain produced ~25-fold more β-galactosidase activity than negative controls [Table 1]. In the reciprocal experiment [SpoIIAH-LexA and SpoIIQ-AB], a small but reproducible increase in β-galactosidase activity was observed.

We used affinity chromatography to further investigate the interaction between the extracellular domains of SpoIIQ and SpoIIIAH. We first constructed a plasmid encoding a GST-SpoIIQ fusion protein, and bound GST-SpoIIQ or GST [as a negative control] to GST trap columns. Extracts from sporulating B. subtilis cells expressing either SpoIIAH-Flag or FtsH-Flag [as a negative control] or a mixture of the two were loaded onto these columns and washed, and the eluates were subjected to immunoblot analysis to determine if SpoIIAH-Flag was retained on the column. These experiments demonstrated that SpoIIAH-Flag but not FtsH-Flag interacted with GST-SpoIIQ but not with GST itself [Fig. 3A]. Importantly, the eluates included only a few bands [Fig. 3A], one the same size as GST-SpoIIQ. Together with the yeast two-hybrid data described above, these experiments support a direct interaction between the extracellular domains of SpoIIAH and SpoIIQ.

SpoIIQ and SpoIIIAH are present in the same membrane-bound complex in B. subtilis

We used coimmunoprecipitation to demonstrate that SpoIIQ and SpoIIIAH interacted in living cells. Strains were constructed that expressed either GFP-SpoIIQ or MalF-GFP with SpoIIAH-Flag, and membrane fractions were prepared and solubilized with 0.5% NP-40 (see Materials and Methods) and incubated with anti-Flag affinity gel. Total protein in lysates and eluates after immunoprecipitation (from 0.3 to 1.2 mL of culture, respectively) were detected by Coomassie staining, and GFP fusion proteins by immunoblot analysis. Forespore expressed MalF-GFP did not copurify with SpoIIAH [lanes 12,16], but the larger of two GFP-SpoIIQ bands [labeled SpoIIQ] almost quantitatively copurified with SpoIIAH-Flag [lanes 11,15]; a band of similar size was visible after Coomassie staining [lane 7, double arrowhead]. The smaller band [SpoIIQ*] could be a degradation product. During elution, a small amount of antibody heavy and light chain [arrowheads] and SpoIIAH-Flag [*] was released.

Table 1. Two-hybrid interaction between SpoIIAH and SpoIIQ

| AB fusion | Empty | spoIIAH | spoIIQ |
|-----------|-------|---------|--------|
| Empty     | ND    | 3.5     | 2.3    |
| spoIIAH   | 2.2   | ND      | 58.8   |
| spoIIQ    | 1.7   | 6.1     | ND     |

The numbers shown are the average Miller Units produced in two independent experiments.
the membrane fraction prior to purification demonstrated that the larger of the two GFP-SpoIIQ bands was almost quantitatively recovered from the affinity gel, indicating that this protein, but not the shorter product, was completely bound to SpoIIIAH. The presence of SpoIIIAH-Flag in the eluate (Fig. 3B, asterisk) was confirmed by immunoblot with Flag-specific antibodies (data not shown). Thus, SpoIIQ and SpoIIIAH are present in the same membrane-bound complex in *B. subtilis* cells. Importantly, the shared topology of these two proteins [N terminus in, C terminus out] and the fact that they are synthesized in different cells means that this complex reaches from the mother cell cytoplasm across the septal space (where their C termini interact) to the forespore cytoplasm.

*SpoIIIAH is not the primary tether for SpoIIQ*

Retention of SpoIIQ at the septum requires an unidentified mother cell protein, since in the absence of mother cell gene expression (SpoIIGB; KP854), GFP-SpoIIQ was uniformly distributed throughout the forespore membrane in the absence of engulfment (arrow), although sporangia with very faint GFP fluorescence show enrichment at the septum (arrowhead), as does any forespore expressed nonlocalized protein (i.e., MalF) (Rubio and Pogliano 2004). (F) Cartoon showing SpoIIQ localization (blue circles) throughout engulfment, culminating in the degradation of SpoIIQ to release cytoplasmic GFP. (G) GFP-SpoIIQ localization in the absence of SpoIIIAG–AH; some SpoIIQ moves ahead of the engulfment membrane. (H) In the absence of mother cell gene expression, SpoIIQ is distributed throughout the forespore membrane and engulfment is blocked. (J) Mother-cell-expressed GFP-SpoIIQ (KP897) localizes exclusively to the second division site in the mother cell (arrow), which is activated, leading to partial septa opposite the forespore (arrowhead). The dotted line indicates sporangia added to the field to include more rare partial septa. (K) In a *spoIIIAA–AH* mutant (KP898), mother-cell-expressed GFP-SpoIIQ is only slightly enriched at some partial septa (arrow), and is rapidly degraded to yield cytoplasmic GFP fluorescence. (L) Depiction of SpoIIQ (blue) and SpoIIIAH (green) expressed in the same cell. (M) We propose that the binding sites are oriented such that the two proteins interact only at membrane invaginations. (N) Mother-cell-expressed GFP-SpoIIQ fails to localize without SpoIIIAH.

**Figure 4.** Localization of the forespore protein SpoIIQ. In all strains shown here, GFP-SpoIIQ (green) was expressed in strains lacking wild-type SpoIIQ protein and images collected from live cells at *t*<sub>s</sub> of sporulation. Membranes were stained with mitotracker red [A–E] or FM 4-64 [red; I, J]. [A] GFP-SpoIIQ localization in wild type (KP845). Bar, 2 µm. [B] GFP-SpoIIQ localization in ΔspoIIAG–AH (KP872). [C] GFP-SpoIIQ localization in *spoIIIJ* (KP873). [D] GFP-SpoIIQ localization in ΔspoIIAG–AH *spoIIIJ* double mutant (KP874). [E] GFP-SpoIIQ localization in the strain lacking all mother cell gene expression (*spoIIGB*, KP854). GFP-SpoIIQ was uniformly distributed throughout the forespore membrane in the absence of engulfment (arrow), although sporangia with very faint GFP fluorescence show enrichment at the septum (arrowhead), as does any forespore expressed nonlocalized protein (i.e., MalF) (Rubio and Pogliano 2004). [F] Cartoon showing SpoIIQ localization (blue circles) throughout engulfment, culminating in the degradation of SpoIIQ to release cytoplasmic GFP. [G] GFP-SpoIIQ localization in the absence of SpoIIIAG–AH; some SpoIIQ moves ahead of the engulfment membrane. [H] In the absence of mother cell gene expression, SpoIIQ is distributed throughout the forespore membrane and engulfment is blocked. [J] Mother-cell-expressed GFP-SpoIIQ (KP897) localizes exclusively to the second division site in the mother cell (arrow), which is activated, leading to partial septa opposite the forespore (arrowhead). The dotted line indicates sporangia added to the field to include more rare partial septa. [K] In a *spoIIIAA–AH* mutant (KP898), mother-cell-expressed GFP-SpoIIQ is only slightly enriched at some partial septa (arrow), and is rapidly degraded to yield cytoplasmic GFP fluorescence. [L] Depiction of SpoIIQ (blue) and SpoIIIAH (green) expressed in the same cell. [M] We propose that the binding sites are oriented such that the two proteins interact only at membrane invaginations. [N] Mother-cell-expressed GFP-SpoIIQ fails to localize without SpoIIIAH.
cell gene expression, SpoIIQ moves around the forespore ahead of the engulfing mother cell membrane (Rubio and Pogliano 2004). To test if SpoIIAH was this tether, we introduced GFP-SpoIIQ into a ΔspoIIIAC–AH strain. In wild type, GFP-SpoIIQ tracks the engulfing mother cell membrane, often in multiple foci similar to those seen for SpoIIIAH-Flag (Fig. 4A, arrow), while later in sporulation it is degraded to release cytoplasmic GFP (Fig. 4F, Rubio and Pogliano 2004). Early in sporulation, 31% of ΔspoIIIAC–AH sporangia showed normal SpoIIQ localization (Fig. 4B, arrow, double arrowhead), although 69% showed a small amount of GFP-SpoIIQ ahead of the engulfing membranes (Fig. 4B [arrowhead], G; Supplementary Table 1). This defect in early SpoIIQ localization is much less severe than occurs in mutants lacking all mother cell gene expression (spoIIGB), when SpoIIQ is uniformly distributed throughout the forespore membrane, moving ahead of the engulfing mother cell membrane (Figs. 4E [arrow], 2H). Thus, SpoIIAH is not the primary tether for SpoIIQ.

The effect of spoIIA mutations on GFP-SpoIIQ localization could be increased by either inactivating spoIIIJ or using strains that also expressed wild-type SpoIIQ. While the spoIIJ mutation had no discernible effect on GFP-SpoIIQ localization (Fig. 4C), spoIIA spoIIIJ double-mutant sporangia showed increased GFP-SpoIIQ fluorescence ahead of the engulfing membranes compared to spoIIA single mutants (Fig. 4D, arrowhead). We conclude that the absence of SpoIIAH only slightly reduces the affinity of SpoIIQ for the sporulation septum, and that another mother cell protein is the primary SpoIIQ tether.

SpoIIAH mediates localization of mother-cell-expressed SpoIIQ

If two extracellular protein domains interact only in opposing membranes rather than in the same membrane, their interaction could mediate localization to active division sites in symmetrically dividing cells. To determine if the interaction between SpoIIQ and SpoIIAH could mediate septal localization when each protein was made in the same cell, GFP-SpoIIQ was expressed only in the mother cell, where it failed to complement the null mutation. In strains also expressing SpoIIAH, GFP-SpoIIQ localized as a ring at the partial septa formed when division initiates at the second potential site of polar septation (Fig. 4I,K). However, in strains lacking SpoIIAH, GFP-SpoIIQ was delocalized, with a slight enrichment at the second division site of some sporangia and strong cytoplasmic fluorescence (Fig. 4J,M). Thus, SpoIIAH can mediate localization of mother-cell-expressed SpoIIQ to active sites of cell division and protect it from degradation.

Colocalization of SpoIIAH and SpoIIQ

If the extracellular domains of SpoIIQ and SpoIIAH interact, they should colocalize at least until after engulfment, when SpoIIQ is cleaved. To test this, we used immunofluorescence microscopy to colocalize SpoIIQ-myc and SpoIIAH-Flag. During engulfment, SpoIIQ-myc substantially colocalized with SpoIIAH-Flag, and both formed foci along the engulfing membrane (Fig. 5A, arrow). After engulfment, many SpoIIQ-myc foci colocalized with SpoIIAH-Flag foci (Fig. 5B, arrow), although some foci did not colocalize (Fig. 5B, arrowhead), perhaps due to loss of cell structure during preparation of the cells for immunofluorescence (which requires fixation and lysozyme treatment to permeabilize the cells). Some sporangia showed greatly reduced SpoIIQ-myc immunostaining and clear SpoIIAH-Flag foci (Fig. 5B, double arrowhead), likely because SpoIIQ-myc (or the myc epitope) is unstable during sporulation [Rubio and Pogliano 2004]. Thus, the extracellular domains of SpoIIAH and SpoIIQ colocalize to a significant extent, although SpoIIAH appears to persist during sporulation, whereas the extracellular domain of SpoIIQ-myc is less stable.

SpoIIAH localization is inhibited by septal peptidoglycan

SpoIIAH and SpoIIQ are ideally suited to couple σ25 activity to engulfment, as they interact across the septal space and move around the forespore during engulfment. If so, then engulfment mutants might alter SpoIIAH localization by preventing its interaction with SpoIIQ. Three mother cell proteins [SpoIID, SpoIIM, and SpoIIP] are required for septal thinning, when peptidoglycan is degraded from the septal midpoint to the edges [Fig. 1, step i], and for membrane migration [Abanes-De Mello et al. 2002]. In the absence of SpoIID, SpoIIM, or SpoIIP, septal thinning is incomplete, and the growing forespore pushes through the septum, and bulges into the mother cell cytoplasm (Fig. 6K). Septal thinning is also defective.

Figure 5. Colocalization of SpoIIAH-Flag and SpoIIQ-myc. Immunofluorescence microscopy was used to colocalize epitope fusions to the C termini of SpoIIQ (myc, green) and SpoIIAH [Flag, false-colored red, strain KP868], and the membranes stained with FM 4-64 [false-colored blue], at t2.5 (A) and t2.5 (B). In late sporangia with α/β SASP/DNA rings (data not shown), SpoIIQ immunostaining was greatly reduced, while SpoIIAH remained in foci [double arrowhead]. C) Observed colocalization pattern of the C termini of SpoIIQ [blue circles] and SpoIIAH [green circles]. Bar, 2 µm.

Zipper-mediated protein localization
in spoIIB spoVG strains (Margolis et al. 1993; Perez et al. 2000). Electron micrographs show that in septal thinning mutants, the septum but not the bulge contains peptidoglycan (Illing and Errington 1991; Margolis et al. 1993; Smith et al. 1993; Frandsen and Stragier 1995; Perez et al. 2000).

Most spoIIB spoVG double-mutant sporangia with no bulge showed little or no localization of SpoIIAH-Flag [Fig. 6C, arrow; scored in Supplementary Table 2]. Once the bulge had formed [Fig. 6C,D [double arrowheads], K], SpoIIAH-Flag localized to the bulge [Fig. 6C,D, Supplementary Table 2]. The SpoIIAH assemblage in the bulge looked similar to that seen in wild type, as it was spherical and had multiple foci [Fig. 6D, double arrowhead]; however, the sphere was restricted to the bulge, rather than enclosing the forespore. Thus, SpoIIAH localization spatially correlated with the absence of peptidoglycan, as in the spoIIB spoVG mutant, it localized specifically to bulges, which have little peptidoglycan.

The spoIID- and spoIIP-null mutants also had clear localization defects early in sporulation, with increased immunostaining in the mother cell cytoplasmic membrane [Fig. 6E,G, arrows; Supplementary Table 2]. However, later in sporulation, this nonlocalized material decreased, and SpoIIAH localized mostly to the bulge [Fig. 6F–H, double arrowheads, Supplementary Table 2]. The spoIID spoIIP double mutant eliminates bulge formation, perhaps by decreasing residual septal thinning (Pogliano et al. 1999). It also showed a more persistent SpoIIAH localization defect, with immunostaining remaining in the mother cell cytoplasmic membrane even late in sporulation [Fig. 6I, arrow]. Together with the preferential localization of SpoIIAH to the bulge, these results suggest that a peptidoglycan-free region of the septum facilitates the assembly of the SpoIIQ–SpoIIAH complex.

Discussion
Most bacterial cells contain one membrane system, the cytoplasmic membrane, with localized membrane pro-

![Figure 6. Effect of septal thinning mutants on SpoIIAH-Flag localization. Immunolocalization of SpoIIAH-Flag in samples harvested early (t<sub>2.5</sub> [A,C, I] or late in sporulation (t<sub>3.5</sub> [B,D, F–J]). PY79 strains sporulated somewhat more rapidly, with similar engulfment seen 30 min later in MB24-derivatives. In engulfment mutants with forespore bulges, the double arrowheads indicate the bulge, and the arrowhead indicates the forespore. (A,B) The wild-type strain KP875. Bar, 2 µm. (C,D) The spoIIB spoVG strain KP878. (E,F) The spoIID strain KP861. (C,H) The spoIIP strain KP862. The dotted line indicates a sporangium that was pasted into the field. (I,J) The spoIID spoIIP double-mutant strain KP863 fails to form bulges, and SpoIIAH remained in the mother cell cytoplasmic membrane late in sporulation. (K) Localization of SpoIIAH (green circles) in the engulfment mutants before (left) and after (right) bulge formation.]


teins being restricted to a particular region of the membrane, such as the cell pole or division site. Within such a cell, diffusion of these proteins away from their appropriate cellular location must be prevented by either a local reduction in membrane fluidity or interaction with another macromolecule that tethers the protein to the appropriate location. The cell wall provides an attractive candidate for tethering cell surface proteins, because it is stationary and encodes positional information. Indeed, the cell-wall-binding domain of *Listeria monocytogenes* murein hydrolase Ply118 mediates its localization to the septum and cell poles (Loessner et al. 2002). Here we describe a second simple mechanism to restrict membrane proteins to their appropriate subcellular address: an interaction between extracellular domains of proteins in adjacent daughter cells, in this case the mother cell protein SpoIIIAH and the forespore protein SpoIIQ (Fig. 7A–D). This interaction localizes SpoIIIAH to the sporulation septum, and without SpoIIQ, SpoIIIAH remains in foci and $o^{+}$ is activated. (E) Interaction between extracellular domains could also localize proteins in symmetrically dividing cells, if the proteins only interact in opposing membranes (such as those formed during division) but not when adjacent to one another in the cytoplasmic membrane. (F) Enlarged view of the septum prior to septal thinning [as in the cell shown in A], showing the peptidoglycan (PG) between the forespore membrane (FM) and the mother cell membrane (MM). Interaction between SpoIIQ (“IIQ”, blue) and SpoIIAH (“IIAH”, green) is inhibited by septal peptidoglycan. (G) After septal thinning the proteins interact, mediating SpoIIIAH localization [as in B, C]. (H) After membrane fusion [as in D], SpoIIQ is degraded by a protease (red pacman) (Rubio and Pogliano 2004) and $o^{+}$ is activated.
Table 2. Strains used in this study

| Strain | Genotype | Reference |
|--------|----------|-----------|
| PY79   | Prototrophic | |
| KP856  | spoIIAH-flag::cm | Youngman et al. 1984 |
| KP857  | spoIIAH-flag::cm, ΔspoIQA::spec | This study |
| KP858  | spoIIAH-flag::cm, spoIIA::EZ::TN1kan | This study |
| KP861  | spoIIAH-flag::cm, spoID::Trn917m1s | This study |
| KP862  | spoIIAH-flag::cm, ΔspoIIP::tet | This study |
| KP863  | spoIIAH-flag::cm, spoID::Trn917m1s, ΔspoIIP::tet | This study |
| KP864  | spoIIAH-flag::cm, ΔspoIIA::spec, amy E::PspoIIA::spoIIR::neo | This study |
| KP865  | spoIQA::myc::km | Rubio and Pogliano 2004 |
| KP866  | amyE::PspoIIA::gfp::spoIQA::km | This study |
| KP867  | spoIQA::gfp::spoIQA::km, amy E::PspoIIA::spoIIR::neo | This study |
| KP868  | amyE::PspoIIA::gfp::spoIQA::km, ΔspoIQA::spec | This study |
| KP869  | amyE::PspoIIA::gfp::spoIQA::km, ΔspoIQA::spec, spoIQA::tet | This study |
| KP870  | amyE::PspoIIA::gfp::spoIQA::km, ΔspoIQA::spec, spoIQA::tet | This study |
| KP871  | amyE::PspoIIA::gfp::spoIQA::km, ΔspoIQA::spec, spoIQA::tet | This study |
| KP872  | amyE::PspoIIA::gfp::spoIQA::km, ΔspoIQA::spec, spoIQA::tet | This study |
| KP873  | thrC::cotD-lacZ::km, spoIIA::flag::km | This study |
| KP874  | thrC::cotD-lacZ::km, spoIIA::flag::km | This study |
| KP875  | ΔspoIQA::flag::km, spoIIA::flag::km | This study |
| KP876  | ΔspoIQA::flag::km, spoIIA::flag::km | This study |
| KP877  | ΔspoIQA::flag::km, spoIIA::flag::km | This study |
| KP878  | ΔspoIQA::flag::km, spoIIA::flag::km | This study |
| KP879  | ΔspoIQA::flag::km, spoIIA::flag::km | This study |
| KP880  | ΔspoIQA::flag::km, spoIIA::flag::km | This study |
| KP881  | ΔspoIQA::flag::km, spoIIA::flag::km | This study |
| KP882  | ΔspoIQA::flag::km, spoIIA::flag::km | This study |
| KP883  | ΔspoIQA::flag::km, spoIIA::flag::km | This study |
| KP884  | ΔspoIQA::flag::km, spoIIA::flag::km | This study |
| KP885  | ΔspoIQA::flag::km, spoIIA::flag::km | This study |
| KP886  | ΔspoIQA::flag::km, spoIIA::flag::km | This study |
| MB24   | trpC2, metC3 | Kellner et al. 1996 |
| KP875  | trpC2, metC3, spoIIA::flag::km | This study |
| KP876  | trpC2, metC3, spoIIA::flag::km | This study |
| KP877  | trpC2, metC3, spoIIA::flag::km | This study |
| KP878  | trpC2, metC3, spoIIA::flag::km | This study |
| KP879  | trpC2, metC3, spoIIA::flag::km | This study |
| KP880  | trpC2, metC3, spoIIA::flag::km | This study |
| KP881  | trpC2, metC3, spoIIA::flag::km | This study |
| KP882  | trpC2, metC3, spoIIA::flag::km | This study |
| KP883  | trpC2, metC3, spoIIA::flag::km | This study |
| KP884  | trpC2, metC3, spoIIA::flag::km | This study |
| KP885  | trpC2, metC3, spoIIA::flag::km | This study |
| KP886  | trpC2, metC3, spoIIA::flag::km | This study |
| Yeast two hybridization strains | | |
| 1     | pEG202 [lexA] pJG4-5 [spoIQA::ab] | This study |
| 2     | pEG202 [lexA] pJG4-5 [spoIQA::ab] | This study |
| 3     | pEG202 [spoIQA::lexA] pJG4-5 [ab] | This study |
| 4     | pEG202 [spoIQA::lexA] pJG4-5 [ab] | This study |
| 5     | pEG202 [spoIQA::lexA] pJG4-5 [spoIQA::ab] | This study |
| 6     | pEG202 [spoIQA::lexA] pJG4-5 [spoIQA::ab] | This study |

at which individual proteins engage the membrane insertion apparatus. 

SpoIIAH and SpoIIQ are both required to activate the late forespore transcription factor, σ^G, which is synthesized by the early forespore transcription factor, σ^F but activated only after engulfment [for review, see Rudner and Losick 2001; Errington 2003]. Once active, σ^G directs its own synthesis, resulting in a positive feedback loop that allows high levels of σ^G activity. Multiple levels of regulation are therefore required to keep σ^G activity in check during vegetative growth and sporulation. Expression of spoIIIG [which encodes σ^G] is likely subject to post-transcriptional control, since there are differences in the ability of transcriptional and translational spoIIIG-lacZ fusions to be expressed by σ^G in vivo and in vitro [Sun et al. 1991]. Expression of spoIIIG also requires both mother cell gene expression and SpoIIQ [Partridge and Errington 1993; Sun et al. 2000], suggesting that signaling between the forespore and mother cell is required for σ^G synthesis. In contrast, spoIHA mutants, and mutants that fail to complete engulfment, show almost normal levels of spoIIIG expression [Kellner et al. 1996; Sun et al. 2000], indicating that the SpoIIA proteins are more specifically required for σ^G activation. 

SpoIIIHA and SpoIIQ coassemble multiple foci and arcs that ultimately enclose the forespore in an icosahedron-like structure [Rubio and Pogliano 2004]. Our data suggests that this complex is ideally suited to couple activation of late transcription factors to engulfment. First, assembly of the SpoIIIHA–SpoIIQ complex is inhibited by septal peptidoglycan [Fig. 7F–H], which is removed during the first step of engulfment [Abanes-De
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Mello et al. 2002). Second, given the interaction between the extracellular domains of SpoIIQ and SpoIIAH, at least until SpoIIQ is degraded (Rubio and Pogliano 2004), the complex reaches from the mother cell cytoplasm across the septum to the forespore cytoplasm, and is thus well positioned to transmit signals from one cell to the other. It will be interesting to determine more precisely the structure of the SpoIIAH–SpoIIQ complex, and if other proteins involved in cell–cell communication localize to this structure.

Materials and methods

Bacterial strains, genetic manipulations, and growth conditions

B. subtilis strains (Table 2) were constructed by transformation (Dubnau and Davidoff-Abelson 1971) from PY79 [Youngman et al. 1984] and MB24 [Kellner et al. 1996]. KP867 was constructed by transforming KP856 with KP845 DNA, selecting spectinomycin resistance (100 µg/mL); Spo+ transformants also acquired ampyE::PspoIIQ-gfp-spoIIQcat. KP868 was constructed by transforming KP890 with KP889 DNA, selecting Mla1 [1 µg/mL erythromycin and 25 µg/mL lincomycin]; Spo+ recombinants also acquired spoIIIJ-Flaglcm. Sporulation was induced by resuspension (Sterlini and Mandelstam 1969) or nutrient exhaustion (Schafer et al. 1968). Plasmids were constructed by PCR (using primers described in Supplemental Material), propagated in E. coli DH5α, TG1, or KJ622 (pCINB), and sequenced.

Microscopy

Live cells were stained with 4′,6′-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI) [0.2 µg/mL, Molecular Probes] and Mitotracker Red (0.1 µg/mL, Molecular Probes) [Sharp and Pogliano 1999], and images were collected with an Applied Precision Spectris optical sectioning microscope. Immunofluorescence microscopy (Perez et al. 2000) used 0.5 µg/mL mouse monoclonal anti-myc antibodies (Sigma), and rabbit polyclonal [0.2 µg/mL, Sigma] or mouse monoclonal [1.0 µg/mL, Sigma] anti-Flag antibodies.

spoIIIJ-Ag and spoIIIJ-jag deletions

PCR amplification used primers O1 and O2 [726-bp fragment upstream of spoIIIJ-Ag] and O3 and O4 [705-bp fragment downstream of spoIIIJ-Ag], the fragments were digested and cloned to 5′ and 3′ of the pXJ52 kan gene. The plasmid was transformed into PY79, integrated by double recombination, replacing spoIIIJ-Ag and spoIIIJ-AH with kan, yielding KP896. PCR amplification using primers O5 and O6 [524-bp fragment upstream of spoIIIJ] and O7 and O8 [498-bp fragment downstream of jag], the fragments were cloned to 5′ and 3′ of the pXJ5 chloramphenicol cassette [cat]. The plasmid was transformed into PY79, integrated by double recombination, replacing spoIIIJ and jag coding regions with cat, yielding KP892.

Isolation of EZ::TN[kan] insertions in spoIIIJ-A and spoIIIJ

For mutagenesis, a kanamycin drug cassette [kan] from pH1 (Trieu-Cout and Courvalin 1983) was cloned into pMOD-2 (Epicientre) and EZ::TN[kan] isolated by PCR. In vitro transposition was carried out, mixing equimolar amount of target DNA (KP893 chromosomal DNA) and EZ::TN[kan] using transposase and buffers [Epicientre]. Products were purified (QIAGEN QiagenII, and single-stranded gaps repaired by T4 DNA polymerase and T4 DNA ligase (PelUSIC et al. 2000). Mutated DNA was transformed into KP893, plating on DSM X-gal (60 µg/mL) kanamycin (10 µg/mL). White colonies were isolated and screened by microscopy to identify two mutants that blocked GFP-SpoIIQ degradation. These were sequenced by random primed PCR (Jacobs et al. 2003). The insertions were between codon Arg 115 and Ile 116 of spoIIIJ, and between codon Gly 8 and Leu 9 of spoIIIJ.

Construction of spoIIIJ-Flag, ftsH-Flag, and Pcyn-spoIIIJ-Flag

SpoIIIJ and FtsH were Flag epitope tagged by amplifying the 3′-end of each gene [spoIIIJ-O9 and O10; ftsH-O21 and O22] to insert the Flag epitope before the stop codon of each gene. PCR products were cloned into pCR2.1 (Invitrogen) and the cat cassette from pMS38 [ZiLHao et al. 2004] excised with BamHI and BglII and cloned into BamHI-digested pCR2.1. Transformation of the resulting plasmid into MB24 integrated the plasmids via a single recombination event at spoIIIJ or ftsH.

AmyE::Pcyn-spoIIIJ-Flag was constructed by PCR amplification of spoIIIJ using primers O11 and O12 and the 3′-end of spoIIIJ cloned adjacent to the BamHI site on pCR2.1. The gene was excised using BamHI, and inserted into pX (Kim et al. 1996), placing the 5′-end of spoIIIJ adjacent to Pcyn. The plasmid was transformed into MB24 amyE::erm and integrated at the amyE gene by double recombination, replacing amyE::erm with amyE::Pcyn-spoIIIJ-Flag. SpoIIIJ-Flag synthesis was induced by adding 1% xylose to the growth medium.

Construction of mother-cell-expressed GFP-SpoIIQ

The spoIIQ coding region was amplified [using the primers described in Rubio and Pogliano 2004] and cloned into Eagl-digested pMDIS14 [Sharp and Pogliano 2002], and the resulting plasmid was transformed into the spoIIQ-null mutant strain KP575.

Functionality of GFP-SpoIIQ

Although GFP-SpoIIQ supports efficient engulfment and spore formation, and localizes identically to native SpoIIQ using immunofluorescence, expressing GFP-SpoIIQ together with wild-type SpoIIQ eliminated the ability of GFP-SpoIIQ to form foci (Supplementary Fig. 2). In a strain also deleted for spoIIIJ-AH, 84% of sporangia showed faint GFP-SpoIIQ fluorescence ahead of the engulfing membranes (Supplementary Fig. 2; Supplementary Table 1). We suggest that GFP-SpoIIQ is slightly less functional than the wild-type protein, which out-competes GFP-SpoIIQ for assembly into foci and interaction with the SpoIIQ tether.

Yeast two-hybrid analysis

Yeast strains were grown on YPD media. Yeast strain EGY48 [ura3 trp1 his3 3LexA-operator-lexA] was used with reporter pSH18-34 [ura3 8LexA-operator-lexA], spoIIAH was amplified from B. subtilis DNA with primers O15 and O16, and spoIIQ was similarly amplified with primers O17 and O18. These fragments were cloned into vectors pEG202 [his3 blu lexA] and pG4-5 [trp1 blu ab] using EcoRI and XhoI sites. The plasmids were transformed using Yeastmaker transformation system (Clontech) and β-galactosidase assays performed [Reynolds et al. 1997].
Affinity chromatography

A plasmid encoding GST-SpoIIQ was constructed using primers O19 and O20 to amplify the region of spoIIQ encoding the extracellular domain, and cloning this fragment into the EcoRI and XhoI sites of the GST-encoding pGEX-4T-3 (Amersham). DH5α carrying this plasmid was grown to OD600 0.5–0.8 and induced with 1 mM IPTG. One-hundred milliliters of culture was concentrated 25-fold in PBS, passed through a French press, and centrifuged at 4000g for 10 min. The cleared lysate was loaded onto GStrap columns (Amersham) and washed with PBS. B. subtilis strains expressing either SpoIIAH-Flag or FtsH-Flag were sporulated by resuspension, and 100 mL of the cultures was concentrated 25-fold in PBS plus 1% Triton X-100 and 0.1 mg/mL lysozyme and passed through a French press twice. The lysates were centrifuged at 4000g for 10 min and loaded, in three column volumes, onto GST trap columns prebound with either GST or GST-SpoIIQ and incubated for 90 min. The columns were washed with PBS plus 1% Triton X-100 and eluted with 50 mM Tris and 10 mM glutathione [pH 8], and 0.5-mL fractions were collected. For immunoblot analysis in Figure 3, 6 columns were washed with PBS plus 1% Triton X-100 and eluted three column volumes, onto GST trap columns prebound with B. subtilis. The appropriate Coimmunoprecipitation (Amersham).

Western blot analysis

Western blot samples were prepared [Pogliano et al. 1997], heated 10 min at 50°C, loaded on 12.5% SDS-polyacrylamide gel, transferred to PVDF [Perez et al. 2000], and probed with 0.4 µg/mL mouse monoclonal anti-GFP antibodies [Roche], using 1:1,500 horseradish peroxidase-labeled anti-mouse antibodies, gel, transferred to PVDF (Perez et al. 2000), and probed with 0.4 heated 10 min at 50°C. Western blot samples were prepared (Pogliano et al. 1997), Western blot analysis loaded for immunoblot analysis of whole cell proteins, 16.6 µL of culture. Extract corresponding to 166 µL of culture was loaded for immunoblot analysis of whole cell proteins, 16.6 µL for Coomassie blue staining.

Coimmunoprecipitation

The appropriate B. subtilis strains were induced to sporulate by resuspension and fractionated; 25 mL of culture was harvested at t1/2, and fractionated essentially as described [Rudner and Losick 2002], except the lysate was ultracentrifuged at 100,000g for 1 h, and the membrane pellet was resuspended in 1 mL of Buffer B (50 mM Tris at pH 8.0, 150 mM KCl, and 10% glycerol). A final concentration of 0.5% NP-40 was added, and the samples were incubated on ice for 1 h with gentle mixing every 10 min. The samples were centrifuged at 4°C at 16,100g for 15 min, and 0.5 mL of supernatant was added to 20 µL of anti-Flag M2 affinity gel [Sigma] pre-equilibrated in Buffer B. Samples were incubated overnight at 4°C with gentle rolling, and washed three times with 0.5 mL of Buffer B plus 0.5% NP-40 at 4°C. The bound proteins were eluted with 250 µL of 1x SDS-loading buffer (without reducing agent) at room temperature. βME was added to 0.355 M, and 25 µL of eluate was loaded for SDS-PAGE and Western blot analysis. Mouse anti-GFP monoclonal antibody [Roche] was used to detect GFP-SpoIIQ on Western blots.
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