A comparative investigation on structure evolution of ZrN and CrN coatings against ion irradiation
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Abstract

Binary ZrN and CrN nanostructured coatings deposited by magnetron sputtering were irradiated with 600 keV Kr 3+ at room temperature. The ion irradiation fluences varied from 0 to 1 × 10^{17} Kr^{3+}/cm^{2}. The results indicate the microstructure of the CrN illustrates higher stability during the Kr^{3+} ion irradiation compared to that of the ZrN. The ion irradiation produces surface etching of the CrN coating. However, the etching transfers to recrystallization and grain coarsening on the ZrN coating surface as the Kr^{3+} fluence increases.
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1. Introduction

Nuclear reactors fuelled with (U, Pu)O₂ are wildly used in Europe [1, 2, 3]. Consequently, plenty of plutonium and highly radioactive wastes are produced every year. In order to reduce the toxicity of the nuclear wastes, inert matrix fuels (IMFs) have been developed to optimize the burn up of the nuclear fuels [4, 5, 6]. The IMFs such as nitrides and carbides have been proposed to be suitable materials for fast neutronic
systems owing to their relatively high melting temperature, low neutron absorption cross-section, high thermal conductivity, superior hardness and high corrosion resistance [7, 8, 9, 10]. The nitrides such as ZrN can form a solid solution with the fuels (for example (U, Zr)N and (Pu, Zr)N) and act as the inert matrix to reduce the high fission density.

Unfortunately, sintering temperatures for bulk nitrides or carbides (such as ZrN, ZrC and TiC) are extremely high [11, 12, 13]. In addition, the grain sizes of the bulk ceramics range in dozens of micrometers. It is well known that nanocrystallization is one of the most important methods for strengthening the mechanical properties of materials [14, 15]. Therefore, there is a requirement to fabricate nanostructured nitrides under a relatively low temperature and investigate their irradiation behaviors against ion irradiation. In this study, both ZrN and CrN nanograined coatings deposited at 300 °C were irradiated. A comparative investigation on irradiation behaviors of the nanograined ZrN and the CrN against the ion irradiation was conducted.

2. Materials and methods

ZrN and CrN coatings were deposited on polished silicon (111) wafers and sapphire substrates by magnetron co-sputtering in N₂−Ar mixture atmosphere (99.999% purity for each gas). Zr and Cr metallic targets (Ø 76 mm, purity 99.9%) were used for the sputtering. The targets were pre-sputtered for 10 min to remove the surface contaminants after reaching the base pressure of 5.0 x 10⁻⁴ Pa. During the ZrN and CrN depositions, the working pressure was kept at 140 mPa with the N₂/(Ar + N₂) flow ratio of 40%. The deposition temperature, time and bias were maintained at 300°C, 90 min and -120 V, respectively. The thicknesses of the ZrN and the CrN were controlled at ~3 μm.

The nitride samples (deposited on sapphire substrates) were irradiated with 600 keV Kr³⁺ ions at room temperature in the Ion Beam Materials Laboratory at Los Alamos National Lab, using a 200 kV Danfysik High Current Research Ion Implanter. The 600 keV Kr³⁺ ions were implanted at normal incidence with an average flux of ~1.0x10¹² Kr³⁺/cm²/s. The total irradiation fluences were set at 0, 5.0x10¹⁵, 5.0x10¹⁶ and 1.0x10¹⁷ Kr³⁺/cm², respectively.

Both normal and grazing incidence X-ray diffraction (GIXRD, Rigaku Ultima IV, Japan) tests were conducted to obtain the phase structure of the samples. An incidence angle of 3° was used during the GIXRD tests. The microstructure of the pristine ZrN and CrN was investigated by TEM observation (FEI, Tecnai G² F20, U.S.). Scanning electron microscope (SEM, FEI, Nano 430, U.S.) was applied to obtain both surface and cross-sectional morphologies of the coatings. Both hardness and elastic modulus values of the coating were determined by nanoindentation tester (Anton-Paar TriTec, TTX-NHT², Austria). The maximum penetration depth was
set at \( \sim 10\% \) of the coating thickness (i.e. \( \sim 300 \text{ nm} \)) to minimize the influence of the indentation size effect. Both loading and unloading time of the indenter was fixed at 30 s. In order to release material creep, a 30 s pause time was applied after the loading.

3. Results & discussion

The TEM observation was carried out to acquire the microstructure of the coatings. Fig. 1 shows the bright field TEM images of the as-deposited coatings. An identical dense and continuous column structure can be noticed in both of the ZrN and the CrN coatings. No pores and microcracks can be observed in the coatings. In addition, the grain sizes of the ZrN and the CrN columnar grains varied in the same range of 30–50 nm. Thus, both nanostructured ZrN and CrN nitrides were fabricated.

Fig. 2 shows the GIXRD patterns of the ZrN and CrN coatings after the 600 keV Kr\(^{3+}\) ion irradiation with varying fluence. The normal XRD was used to indicate both phase structure and preferred orientation of the pristine coatings. The GIXRD was employed to determine the phase composition of the irradiated layers. In Fig. 2(a), the pristine ZrN (JCPDS No. 35-0753) coating illustrates the typical fcc crystalline structure with the preferred orientation of (200). No reaction or phase transformation can be observed after the ZrN coating was irradiated with the 600 keV Kr\(^{3+}\). However, the right shift of the characteristic peaks of the ZrN can be found as the Kr\(^{3+}\) fluence increases. This indicates the release of internal stress.

![Fig. 1. Bright field cross-sectional TEM images of the (a) ZrN and (b) CrN coatings. The solid arrows indicate the growth direction of the coating.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2019.e01370)
occurred during the ion irradiation. For the pristine CrN (JCPDS No. 76-2494), it presents the typical fcc crystalline structure with the preferred orientation of (200). No reaction or phase transformation can be observed after the ion irradiation as well. The phase structure of the CrN illustrates high stability during the Kr$^{3+}$ ion irradiation. However, a slightly left shifts of the characteristic peaks of the CrN can be found. The ion irradiation produced bombardment and subsequent densification (revealed by the cross-sectional observation, discussed below) of the CrN surface layer, resulting in the increasing of internal compressive stress.

Fig. 3 exhibits the surface SEM images of the ZrN and CrN coatings after the 600 keV Kr$^{3+}$ ion irradiation. For the ZrN, the pristine coating indicates a granular surface microstructure. After the ion irradiation with the fluence of 5.0×10$^{16}$ Kr$^{3+}$/cm$^2$, the microstructure of ZrN coating becomes denser.
the surface etching of the ZrN can be noticed. However, the etching transfers to recrystallization and grain coarsening on the ZrN coating surface as the ion fluence increases up to $5 \times 10^{16}$ Kr$^{3+}$/cm$^2$. A larger grain size of the ZrN can be achieved with further increasing the ion fluence to $1 \times 10^{17}$ Kr$^{3+}$/cm$^2$. In addition, microcracks (marked by arrows) can be observed on the surface grains of the ZrN. For the CrN, the pristine coating indicates a pyramid microstructure. After the Kr$^{3+}$ ion irradiation with the fluence of $5.0 \times 10^{16}$/cm$^2$, the surface etching of the CrN can be noticed as well. Additionally, the roughness of the coating surface decreases. With further increasing the ion fluence up to $1 \times 10^{17}$ Kr$^{3+}$/cm$^2$, only surface etching can be observed. This is substantially different from the irradiation behavior of the ZrN coating, which indicates the transformation from etching to recrystallization and grain coarsening. Nanoindentation tests were applied to illustrate the evolution in hardness and elastic modulus the coatings, the obtained values were shown in Table 1. Both the hardness and the elastic modulus the ZrN coating decrease with the applying of the Kr$^{3+}$ ion irradiation, owing to the increase of the grain sizes. However, both hardness and elastic modulus of the CrN coatings change slightly after the Kr$^{3+}$ ion irradiation. These above results reveal that the microstructure of the nanostructured CrN illustrates a higher stability during the Kr$^{3+}$ ion irradiation comparing to that of the nanograined ZrN.

Fig. 4 shows the cross-sectional SEM images of the ZrN and the CrN coatings after the 600 keV Kr$^{3+}$ ion irradiation with a fluence of $1 \times 10^{17}$ Kr$^{3+}$/cm$^2$. No bubbles or cracks can be observed in the cross-sections of these coatings. This indicates the higher irradiation tolerance of the nanostructured nitrides compared to the bulk ceramics of materials [9, 16, 17]. The column-grains can be observed in the ZrN bottom layer. No continuous and columnar grains can be noticed in the ZrN irradiated layers. It demonstrates that the continuous column-grains were interrupted on the ZrN coating surface. In addition, protrusion (marked by circles) on the coating surface can be noticed as well. Actually, when the recrystallization and the grain coarsening of the ZrN occurred, the ZrN columnar grains will be broken. However, the cross-section of the irradiated CrN still indicates a continuous and columnar structure. The average widths of the CrN columnar grains change slightly after the ion irradiation. In addition, in Fig. 4(d), the cross-section of the irradiated CrN was much smooth compared to the pristine CrN. It reveals that the ion irradiation

Table 1. Hardness ($H$) and elastic modulus ($E$) of the coatings after the $1 \times 10^{17}$ Kr$^{3+}$/cm$^2$ ion irradiation.

| Samples       | ZrN          | CrN          |
|---------------|--------------|--------------|
|               | $H$/GPa      | $E$/GPa      | $H$/GPa      | $E$/GPa      |
| Pristine coating | 23.9 ± 1.0   | 380.1 ± 20.1 | 18.6 ± 0.6   | 353.2 ± 12.1 |
| Irradiated coating | 14.9 ± 4.2   | 215.3 ± 40.4 | 19.1 ± 1.8   | 365.8 ± 27.4 |
produced bombardment and subsequent densification of the CrN irradiated layer, resulting in the increasing of internal compressive stress (Fig. 2(b)). These above results further prove that the microstructure of the CrN illustrates a higher stability during the Kr$^{3+}$ ion irradiation comparing to that of the ZrN. An analytical TEM investigation into the irradiated layers of the ZrN and the CrN coatings has to be conducted in future work.

4. Conclusions

In summary, both nanograined ZrN and CrN were irradiated with 600 keV Kr$^{3+}$ at room temperature. The CrN shows higher structure stability against the irradiation compared to that of the ZrN. The 600 keV Kr$^{3+}$ ion irradiation produces surface etching of the CrN coating. The ion irradiation with the fluence of $5.0 \times 10^{16}$ Kr$^{3+}$/cm$^2$ produces surface etching on the ZrN coating as well. However, recrystallization and grain coarsening of the ZrN occur under the Kr$^{3+}$ ion irradiation with a high fluence. The continuous cross-section microstructure of the ZrN was interrupted by the ion irradiation with a large fluence.

Fig. 4. The cross-sectional SEM images of the ZrN and CrN coatings. (a) and (c) show the cross-sections of the ZrN and CrN, respectively. (b) and (d) illustrate the cross-sections of 600 keV Kr$^{3+}$ ion irradiated ZrN and CrN, respectively. The fluence of the ions was $1 \times 10^{17}$ Kr$^{3+}$/cm$^2$. 
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