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Abstract

Research Question

Preterm birth (PTB) is the leading cause of perinatal mortality worldwide, with the greatest burden occurring in resource-constrained settings. Based on the hypothesis that altered placental angiogenesis and inflammation early in pregnancy lead to PTB, we examined whether levels of inflammatory and angiogenic mediators, measured early in pregnancy, were predictive of spontaneous PTB (sPTB).

Study Design

Plasma samples were collected from a prospective cohort of primigravid Tanzanian women between 12–27 weeks gestation. A panel of 18 markers was screened on a training cohort of 426 women. Markers associated with sPTB in the training cohort were repeated in a test cohort of 628 women. All markers were measured by ELISA.

Findings

In both the training and test cohorts plasma levels of IL-18BP, sICAM-1, sEndoglin and CHI3L1 were elevated and Leptin was lower at enrollment in women who subsequently experienced sPTB. In multivariate analysis women with plasma levels of CHI3L1, C5a, sICAM-1, AngptL3, sEndoglin, sFlt-1 and IL-18BP in the highest quartile had an increased risk of sPTB compared with those in the lowest quartile. Women with Leptin and Ang2 in
the highest quartile had a reduced risk of sPTB compared with women in the lowest quartile.

**Implications**

Levels of angiogenic and inflammatory mediators measured at mid-pregnancy were associated with subsequent sPTB. These findings provide insight into mechanisms underlying sPTB and suggest biomarkers that may have clinical utility in risk-stratifying pregnancies.

**Introduction**

Preterm birth (delivery <37 weeks gestation) is the leading cause of perinatal mortality worldwide [1, 2]. Despite the introduction of public health and intervention strategies, rates of preterm birth (PTB) are rising in both developed and developing countries [3, 4]. It is estimated that each year 14.9 million births are preterm with the majority occurring in resource-constrained settings [1, 2]. In addition to its impact on mortality, PTB is associated with long term disabilities in survivors including neurodevelopmental disorders and an increased risk of chronic diseases [5]. The financial and social costs associated with PTB place a large burden on families and public health systems, particularly in low-resource settings [6, 7].

Despite its impact on global health, little is known about the mechanisms underlying spontaneous PTB (sPTB). In high resource settings an estimated 70% of all prematurity is due to sPTB [8]. This rate is likely higher in resource-constrained settings where medically indicated or elected preterm delivery is rare [9]. Current evidence suggests that the events leading to sPTB occur early in pregnancy and are linked to the premature initiation of inflammatory pathways and alterations in what are normally tightly regulated angiogenic processes [10–12]. While the induction of inflammatory pathways play a role in the initiation of labor at term [12, 13], clinically apparent and sub-clinical infections may initiate these pathways prematurely, inducing PTB [13, 14]. Inflammation has also been observed in PTB in the absence of infection, suggesting inflammation may be a common pathway leading to premature birth [15].

Disruptions to normal angiogenic processes early in pregnancy may also contribute to sPTB by altering the balance between pro- and anti-angiogenic factors required for normal placental vascular remodeling and fetal growth [16]. Histopathological evidence of abnormal placentation has been reported in cases of spontaneous preterm delivery [17, 18]. Altered placentation, insufficient vascular development and disruptions to the normal transformation of spinal arteries can lead to increased placental vascular resistance coupled with reduced blood flow in the intervillous space [18, 19]. The resulting limited nutrient delivery to the fetus may ultimately contribute to sPTB due to the inability of the placenta to support third trimester fetal growth [18, 20].

In this study we used a train and test study design to test the hypothesis that biomarkers of angiogenic and inflammatory pathways measured at mid-pregnancy may identify women at risk of sPTB in a low resource setting. Biomarkers of sPTB could help elucidate the mechanisms underlying prematurity, develop predictive tools to identify high-risk pregnancies, and identify new targets for intervention for this global health priority.
Materials and Methods

Study Site and Participants

This study cohort was nested within a larger prospective trial of multivitamin supplementation in pregnant women conducted in Dar es Salaam, Tanzania [21]. In this randomized trial, HIV negative women with an estimated gestational age (based on last menstrual period) between 12 and 27 weeks attending antenatal clinics in Dar es Salaam were invited to participate. Enrollment blood samples were collected (one sample per participant) prior to assignment to treatment arms (daily micronutrient supplementation or placebo). All women, regardless of treatment arm, were given daily doses of iron (60 mg of elemental iron) and folic acid (0.25 mg) as well as presumptive anti-malarial therapy with sulfadoxine-pyrimethamine tablets (Fansidar, Roche) at 20 and 30 weeks gestation as described [21]. In the present study samples were tested separately at two distinct times and in two testing cohorts; first a training cohort (assays conducted in January 2012) and second, a test cohort (assays performed in January 2013). The train and test approach enables internal validation of the biomarkers using assessment of the biomarker panel in a training cohort followed by refinement and validation of the panel in the test cohort.

Training Cohort

The training cohort was comprised of primigravid women with singleton live birth and known birth outcome (n = 432) that were randomly selected from this larger prospective study (N = 8648)[21]. Random sample selection was achieved through the use of a random number list generated by SAS v9.2 (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC) software. Sample size (n = 432) was calculated based on previous data indicating the power required to detect clinically significant differences in mean angiopoietin-1 (Ang1) and Ang2 levels in peripheral plasma that were associated with adverse birth outcomes[22]. All cases of induced PTB (n = 6) were excluded.

Test Cohort

The test cohort consisted of all remaining primigravid women with singleton live birth and known birth outcome (n = 646) from the parent trial (N = 8648) that fit the inclusion criteria. All cases of induced preterm birth were excluded (n = 18). From the combined cohort (training plus test sets) a total of 1054 samples were analyzed.

Ethics Statement

Ethical approval for this study was obtained from review boards at the Muhimbili University College of Health and Allied Sciences, the Harvard School of Public Health and the University Health Network. Signed consent forms were obtained from all participants in the initial cohort study to allow for blood collection and subsequent protein analysis of plasma samples.

Biomarker Assays

Maternal peripheral plasma samples were collected and stored in EDTA at -80°C prior to testing. Plasma samples were assessed (as indicated) for levels of Ang1, Ang2, Angiopoietin-Like 3 (AngptL3), Vascular Endothelial Growth Factor (VEGF-A), Factor D, Monocyte Chemoattractant Protein-1 (MCP-1), Interleukin-1 Beta (IL1B), Soluble fms-like tyrosine kinase 1 (sFlt-1), soluble Tumor Necrosis Factor Receptor 2 (sTNFR2), Placental Growth Factor (PGF), Macrophage Inflammatory Protein-1 Beta (MIP-1β), Leptin, Interleukin-18 Binding Protein (IL-18BP), soluble Intercellular Adhesion Molecule-1 (sICAM-1), soluble Endoglin (sEndoglin), C-reactive protein (CRP), Chitinase-3-Like Protein-1 (CHI3L1), and complement component
C5a (C5a) using commercially available enzyme linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) kits (Duosets, R&D Systems, Minneapolis, MN). All laboratory procedures followed the manufacturers' protocol (R&D System Duosets) with two notable exceptions; our laboratory used a longer incubation period (overnight at 4°C) and samples were developed using ExtrAvidin (1:1000 dilution, Sigma-Aldrich Co.) and SIGMAFAST p-Nitrophenyl phosphate Tablets (Sigma-Aldrich Co.). Sample dilutions were determined based on previous pilot studies and results in our laboratory. Analysis was performed blinded to the patient group. Biomarkers were selected based on previous studies implicating these factors, or the pathways they reflect, as potential mediators of adverse pregnancy outcomes [12–16, 19, 20]

**Statistical Analysis**

Statistical analysis was performed using SAS v9.2 (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC), STATA v12.1 (StataCorp., College Station, TX), SPSS v20 (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY) and GraphPad Prism v5 (GraphPad Software Inc., La Jolla, CA) software. Baseline characteristics were compared between women who delivered sPTB and women who delivered at term. Categorical variables were compared using the Pearson Chi-square test or Fisher’s exact test where appropriate. Concentrations of biomarkers showed deviation from normality (Shapiro-Wilks p < 0.05), and therefore were non-parametrically tested for differences in biomarker levels between groups using the Wilcoxon rank-sum test. We controlled for multiple comparisons using a Bonferroni-corrected p-value. Since the balance of pro and anti-angiogenic mediators required for successful birth outcomes is tightly regulated across gestation, polynomial curves with the best fits to the data were used to examine the effect trends in peripheral biomarker levels in relation to gestational age at enrollment. We compared first order polynomial and second order polynomial curves using the least squares fitting method. The best model was selected based on F-test.

Log binomial regression with the log link function was used to estimate relative risks of sPTB and 95% confidence intervals across quartiles of biomarker levels, with the lowest quartile as the reference category. When the model failed to converge, a log-Poisson model was used. Baseline variables associated with the primary outcome of interest, spontaneous preterm delivery, at a p-value less than 0.2 were included in the multivariate model. Multivariate models were adjusted for maternal age (continuous), marital status (yes/no), education (0–4, 5–7, 8–11, ≥12 years), Filmer-Pritchett wealth score less than median (yes/no), baseline gestational age (continuous), body mass index (BMI: kg/m²) at baseline (<18.5, 18.5–24.9, ≥25), and frequency of animal protein consumption per week (once a week or less vs. more than once per week). Micronutrient supplementation was associated with reduced incidence of low birth weight and small-for-gestational age outcomes had no impact on PTB in the randomized trial [21] and therefore it was not included in the multivariate analysis. Missing indicator variables, mean values, were imputed to replace missing observations (11.2%) for BMI. We tested for the presence of linear trends by assigning each quartile the median value and modeling this variable as a continuous variable.

**Results**

**Characteristics of Study Population**

The baseline characteristics of the train and test cohorts are shown in S1, S2 and S3 Tables. There were no significant differences between baseline characteristics of women in training and test sets (S1 Table). The baseline characteristics of the combined cohort are reported in Table 1. In the total study population of 1054 primigravid women, there were 156 spontaneous preterm deliveries (57 occurring in the training cohort and 105 in the test group). Overall
women who delivered sPTB had a lower gestational age at enrollment, lower maternal age, were more likely to be unmarried, had fewer years of education, lower BMI and skinfold thickness, lower birth weight, and lower socio-economic status (as indicated by Filmer-Pritchett wealth score). The median gestational age [IQR] at delivery (combined training and test cohorts) in women who delivered at term was 40.1 [39.0, 41.4] weeks compared with 34.7 [33.2, 36.1] weeks for women who spontaneously delivered preterm.

Altered circulating levels of angiogenic and inflammatory factors at mid-pregnancy are associated with spontaneous PTB in the training cohort

In the training cohort (n = 426), primigravid women who went on to deliver sPTB had increased circulating levels of IL-18BP (P = 0.01), sICAM-1 (P = 0.04), sEndoglin (P = 0.0002), CHI3L1 (P = 0.008) and decreased Leptin (P = 0.03), compared with women who delivered at term (Table 2). Elevations in levels of sEndoglin were statistically significant after controlling for multiple comparisons (P<0.003).

To account for socioeconomic and clinical risk factors of PTB observed between groups, multivariate models were developed adjusting for age, marital status, education, wealth score, BMI and gestational age at enrollment. After adjustment, women with levels in the highest quartiles for PGF, sICAM-1 and sEndoglin had an increased risk of delivering pre-term compared to women in the lowest quartile. Women with PGF and sEndoglin in the highest quartile

| Variable                                      | Term (n = 892)       | sPTB (n = 162)      |
|-----------------------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|
| Gestational age at enrollment (weeks)         | 22 [19.3, 24.4]      | 19.9 [17.1, 22.4]   |
| Gestational age at delivery (weeks)           | 40.1 [39.0, 41.4]    | 34.7 [33.2, 36.1]   |
| Maternal age (years)                          | 21.5 [19.5, 23.5]    | 20.5 [18.5, 22.5]   |
| Education (years)                             |                      |                     |
| 0–4                                           | 68 (7.5)             | 17 (10.8)           |
| 5–7                                           | 596 (65.9)           | 109 (69.4)          |
| 8–11                                          | 196 (21.7)           | 27 (17.2)           |
| ≥ 12                                          | 44 (4.9)             | 4 (2.5)             |
| Marital status                                |                      |                     |
| Married                                       | 183 (20.2)           | 114 (72.6)          |
| Divorced/single/widowed                       | 72 (79.4)            | 42 (26.8)           |
| Body Mass Index (kg/m²)                       | 23.4 [21.5, 25.6]    | 23.1 [21.3, 25.2]   |
| Baseline Hemoglobin (g/dL)                    | 10.2 [9.2, 11.1]     | 9.9 [8.7, 10.8]     |
| Baseline Skin-fold Thickness (cm)             | 17.1 [13.1, 21.6]    | 14.9 [11.8, 18.5]   |
| Birth Weight (g)                              | 3000 [2800, 3400]    | 2900 [2500, 3100]   |
| Peripheral Malaria Parasitaemia               |                      |                     |
| Yes                                           | 6 (0.7)              | 2 (1.3)             |
| No                                            | 898 (99.3)           | 155 (98.7)          |
| Literacy                                      |                      |                     |
| Yes                                           | 821 (90.8)           | 140 (89.2)          |
| No                                            | 80 (8.8)             | 17 (10.8)           |
| Frequency of meat/fish consumption            |                      |                     |
| < 1x per week                                 | 60 (6.6)             | 12 (7.6)            |
| ≥ 1x per week                                 | 844 (93.3)           | 145 (92.4)          |

Median [IQR] or number (%) where applicable

do:10.1371/journal.pone.0134619.t001
were over two times more likely to deliver preterm compared with women in the lowest quartile. Women in the highest quartile for Ang2 and Leptin had a minimum 54% reduced risk of sPTB ($p < 0.05$, Table 3). There was evidence of a linear trend in the associations between each biomarker and sPTB ($p$-value $< 0.05$ for linear trend test).

Markers associated with sPTB in the training cohort were replicated in the test cohort

Based on the results of the training set we refined the biomarker panel to include 11 markers: Ang2, AngptL3, PGF, sTNFR2, sFlt-1, CHI3L1, C5a, sICAM-1, sEndoglin, IL18-BP and Leptin. We also included C5a, AngptL3 and sTNFR2 based on clinical and preclinical studies implicating these markers/pathways in pathophysiological pathways leading to sPTB [20, 23]. Similar to the training cohort, women in the test cohort who delivered sPTB had increased median levels of IL-18BP ($P = 0.003$), sICAM-1 ($P = 0.01$), sEndoglin ($P = 0.03$), CHI3L1 ($P = 0.02$) compared with women who delivered at term. Compared with term deliveries, in the test cohort we also observed increased median sTNFR2 ($P = 0.01$) and AngptL3 ($P = 0.03$) and reduced median Ang2 ($P = 0.03$) levels in women at 12–27 weeks gestation who subsequently delivered sPTB (Table 4). When we repeated the multivariate model in the test cohort we observed women with sICAM-1, sTNFR2, CHI3L1, C5a and IL18-BP in this highest quartile had an

Table 2. Median biomarker$^a$ levels (pg/mL) according to term and preterm birth status in the training cohort.

| Biomarker$^a$ | Term Birth | | Pre Term Birth | | P-Value$^c$ |
|---------------|------------|----------------|---------------|------------|
|               | n$^b$      | Median [IQR]   | n$^b$         | Median [IQR] |
| Ang-1         | 368        | 19.43 [12.03, 28.83] | 57            | 18.82 [9.83, 29.29] | 0.40 |
| Ang-2         | 368        | 4.65 [2.10, 8.85]   | 57            | 3.93 [1.32, 8.16]  | 0.22 |
| AngptL3       | 369        | 120.01 [82.39, 167.15] | 57            | 127.21 [88.52, 157.70] | 0.43 |
| VEGF          | 368        | 51.08 [7.81, 320.28] | 57            | 69.54 [7.81, 280.93] | 0.74 |
| sFLT-1        | 369        | 1.18 [0.49, 3.80]   | 57            | 1.85 [0.95, 3.99]  | 0.08 |
| sTNFR2        | 369        | 5.45 [3.90, 7.99]   | 57            | 6.42 [4.21, 8.40]  | 0.16 |
| PGF           | 350        | 1.42 [0.81, 2.49]   | 55            | 1.83 [0.97, 3.60]  | 0.06 |
| MIP-1β        | 357        | 152.45 [73.64, 337.73] | 55            | 145.38 [92.74, 355.40] | 0.68 |
| MCP-1         | 360        | 46.68 [9.94, 254.69] | 56            | 43.86 [13.59, 199.03] | 0.93 |
| Leptin        | 368        | 8.00 [4.69, 12.86]  | 57            | 6.60 [3.83, 10.17]  | 0.03 |
| IL-1β         | 368        | 20.56 [3.91, 76.64] | 57            | 14.07 [3.91, 57.56] | 0.25 |
| IL-18 BP      | 369        | 13.07 [9.10, 18.09] | 57            | 14.97 [11.36, 21.98] | 0.01 |
| sICAM-1       | 369        | 155.65 [111.65, 228.33] | 57    | 196.09 [132.56, 273.68] | 0.04 |
| Factor D      | 360        | 489.88 [330.27, 675.78] | 56    | 469.14 [319.52, 617.41] | 0.53 |
| sEndoglin     | 368        | 22.26 [15.49, 29.89] | 57            | 27.18 [20.56, 39.96] | 0.0002 |
| CRP$^d$       | 353        | 1.83 [0.78, 4.15]   | 56            | 2.21 [0.88, 4.58]   | 0.41 |
| CHI3L1        | 353        | 37.42 [21.87, 63.74] | 57            | 51.57 [32.23, 104.56] | 0.008 |
| C5a           | 357        | 32.20 [17.24, 62.98] | 56            | 36.53 [16.97, 67.46] | 0.65 |

$^a$Angiopoietin-1 (Ang1), Angiopoietin-2 (Ang2), Angiopoietin-Like 3 (AngptL3), Vascular Endothelial Growth Factor (VEGF), Soluble fms-like tyrosine kinase 1 (sFlt-1), soluble Tumor Necrosis Factor Receptor 2 (sTNFR2), Placental Growth Factor (PGF), Macrophage Inflammatory Protein-1 Beta (MIP-1β), Leptin, Interleukin-18 Binding Protein (IL-18BP), soluble Interleukin-1 Receptor Accessory Protein-1 (sIL1R1), soluble Endoglin (sEndoglin), C-reactive protein (CRP), Chitinase-3-Like Protein-1 (CHI3L1), and complement component C5a (C5a)$^a$

$^b$Sample sizes were not equal for all biomarkers due to indeterminate assay results, where samples with undetectable values were excluded.$^b$

$^c$Results of Wilcoxon rank-sum test.$^c$

$^d$Values in mg/mL.$^d$
Table 3. Multivariate relative risks (95% Confidence Intervals) for sPTB according to quartiles in the training cohort\textsuperscript{a,b}.

|    | Q1 (Ref) | Q2          | Q3          | Q4          | P-trend  |
|----|----------|-------------|-------------|-------------|----------|
| Ang-1 | 1.00     | 0.58 (0.29, 1.14) | 0.74 (0.40, 1.48) | 0.98 (0.52, 1.85) | 0.77     |
| Ang-2 | 1.00     | 0.68 (0.38, 1.22) | 0.58 (0.29, 1.17) | 0.38 (0.19, 0.78) | 0.01     |
| AngptL3 | 1.00    | 1.87 (0.89, 3.93) | 2.38 (1.17, 4.85) | 1.52 (0.68, 3.43) | 0.25     |
| VEGF  | 1.00     | 1.27 (0.62, 2.62) | 1.26 (0.65, 2.43) | 1.42 (0.71, 2.84) | 0.44     |
| sFLT-1 | 1.00 | 0.95 (0.42, 2.15) | 1.96 (0.96, 4.00) | 1.32 (0.62, 2.83) | 0.58     |
| sTNFR2 | 1.00    | 0.62 (0.26, 1.46) | 1.67 (0.85, 3.26) | 1.11 (0.57, 2.16) | 0.33     |
| PGF   | 1.00     | 1.39 (0.64, 2.99) | 1.70 (0.77, 3.75) | 2.38 (1.12, 5.07) | 0.02     |
| MCP-1 | 1.00     | 1.33 (0.67, 2.64) | 1.14 (0.55, 2.36) | 1.01 (0.49, 2.10) | 0.64     |
| Leptin | 1.00   | 0.85 (0.46, 1.54) | 0.48 (0.23, 1.03) | 0.53 (0.26, 1.08) | 0.06     |
| IL-18 | 1.00     | 0.73 (0.38, 1.40) | 0.62 (0.30, 1.26) | 0.83 (0.47, 1.49) | 0.99     |
| IL-18 BP | 1.00 | 1.31 (0.59, 2.92) | 1.64 (0.76, 3.57) | 1.84 (0.87, 3.89) | 0.09     |
| sICAM-1 | 1.00  | 0.79 (0.34, 1.83) | 1.17 (0.55, 2.51) | 1.84 (0.96, 3.51) | 0.009    |
| Factor D | 1.00  | 0.87 (0.44, 1.70) | 1.01 (0.52, 1.96) | 0.93 (0.46, 1.90) | 0.94     |
| sEndoglin | 1.00    | 2.46 (0.98, 6.18) | 1.83 (0.70, 4.77) | 3.59 (1.47, 8.77) | 0.004    |
| CRP   | 1.00     | 1.09 (0.54, 2.20) | 1.14 (0.56, 2.30) | 1.11 (0.55, 2.23) | 0.87     |
| CHI3L1 | 1.00   | 2.02 (0.88, 4.64) | 2.21 (0.92, 5.33) | 2.36 (1.03, 5.33) | 0.08     |
| C5a   | 1.00     | 0.81 (0.38, 1.76) | 1.33 (0.66, 2.68) | 1.07 (0.53, 2.15) | 0.69     |

\textsuperscript{a} Relative risks and 95% confidence intervals were estimated using binomial regression with the log link function. When the log-binomial model failed to converge, log-Poisson models were used.

\textsuperscript{b} Multivariate models adjusted for maternal age, marital status (yes/no), education (0–4, 5–7, 8–11, 12+ years), Filmer-Pritchett wealth score less than median (yes/no), baseline gestational age, body mass index at baseline (lowest tertile vs. upper tertiles), frequency of meat consumption per week (once a week or less vs. more than once per week).

\textsuperscript{c} P-value for test for linear trend test calculated with median biomarker in each quartile as a continuous variable.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0134619.t003

Table 4. Median biomarker\textsuperscript{a} values (pg/mL) according to term and preterm birth status in the testing cohort.

| Biomarker\textsuperscript{a} | n\textsuperscript{b} | Term Birth Median [IQR] | n\textsuperscript{b} | Spontaneous PTB Median [IQR] | P-Value\textsuperscript{c} |
|-----------------------------|-------------------|-------------------------|------------------|-----------------------------|------------------|
| Angiopoietin-2 (Ang2)      | 523   | 4685.25 [2001.70, 9435.83] | 105  | 4224.28 [1894.81, 8112.10] | 0.03 |
| Angiopoietin-Like 3 (AngptL3) | 518  | 70597.30 [44498.08, 104057.70] | 104  | 77185.22 [42947.77, 121492.16] | 0.03 |
| Placental Growth Factor (PGF) | 495 | 900.01 [415.23, 1858.80] | 99   | 975.95 [433.41, 1988.17] | 0.14 |
| Soluble Fms-like tyrosine kinase 1 (sFlt-1) | 495 | 1260.93 [551.35, 2436.60] | 99   | 1101.95 [489.52, 3341.08] | 0.38 |
| Placental growth factor receptor 2 (sTNFR2) | 520 | 4563.51 [2773.45, 6868.92] | 105  | 5393.60 [3417.83, 8725.36] | 0.01 |
| Chitinase-3-Like Protein-1 (CHI3L1) | 523 | 35167.74 [21489.03, 62798.91] | 105  | 46241.21 [26809.82, 415009.08] | 0.92 |
| C5a                         | 523   | 137880.60 [58478.32, 406637.08] | 105  | 224461.74 [19632.14, 419980.47] | 0.14 |
| Soluble Interleukin-18 Binding Protein (IL-18BP) | 523 | 144004.74 [104975.00, 209439.48] | 105  | 164167.81 [106218.82, 237302.56] | 0.01 |
| Interleukin-18 Binding Protein (IL-18BP) | 523 | 20053.81 [14098.36, 27112.65] | 105  | 21942.50 [14371.43, 280204.60] | 0.04 |
| Leptin                      | 523   | 9092.89 [5005.73, 16282.79] | 105  | 6970.72 [3777.01, 12217.92] | 0.07 |

\textsuperscript{a}Angiopoietin-2 (Ang2), Angiopoietin-Like 3 (AngptL3), Placental Growth Factor (PGF), Soluble fms-like tyrosine kinase 1 (sFlt-1), soluble Tumor Necrosis Factor Receptor 2 (sTNFR2), Chitinase-3-Like Protein-1 (CHI3L1), complement component C5a (C5a), soluble Interleukin Adhesion Molecule-1 (sICAM-1), soluble Endoglin (sEndoglin), Interleukin-18 Binding Protein (IL-18BP), and Leptin

\textsuperscript{b} Sample sizes were not equal for all biomarkers due to indeterminate assay results, where samples with undetectable values were excluded.

\textsuperscript{c} Results of Wilcoxon rank-sum test.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0134619.t004
increased risk of delivering pre-term (p-value < 0.05 for linear trend test) compared to women in the lowest quartile. Women in the highest quartile for Ang-2 and Leptin had a reduced risk of PTB (p < 0.05, Table 5) compared to those in the lowest quartile. There was evidence of a linear trend in the associations between these biomarkers and sPTB (p-value < 0.05 for linear trend test).

Based on the consistency of the results between the training and test cohorts we combined both cohorts for the subsequent analysis. In the combined cohort (n = 1060), women who went on to deliver sPTB (n = 156) has higher median levels of sTNFR2 (P = 0.01), CHI3L1 (P = 0.0005), C5a (P = 0.05), sICAM-1 (P = 0.01), sEndoglin (P = 0.0021), IL-18BP (P = 0.0005) and reduced median levels of Leptin (P = 0.0003) (S4 Table). Women with CHI3L1, C5a, sICAM-1, AngptL3, sEndoglin, sFlt-1 and IL-18BP in the highest quartile at enrollment had an increased relative risk of sPTB compared with women in the lowest quartile (S5 Table). Women in the highest quartile for Ang2 and Leptin had a 56% reduced risk of sPTB compared to those in the lowest quartile. Trend tests showed significant linear trends across quartiles of each biomarker and sPTB.

Biomarker profiles at enrollment were differentially associated with early to moderate and late sPTB

To examine the associations between biomarkers and the degree of prematurity we examined the relative risk of early to moderate preterm (< 34 weeks gestation) and late preterm (34 to <37 weeks gestation) delivery in association with biomarkers. In the combined cohort, 61 women delivered at <34 weeks and 95 women delivered between 34 and <37 weeks. Only 8 women delivered at <28 weeks and 17 women at <32 weeks, therefore we grouped extremely, very and moderate preterm deliveries together to allow for a sufficient sample size for analysis. Women in the early to moderate group had a mean gestational age at delivery of 32.3 [30.8, 33.3]. The relative risk of sPTB increased with increasing quartiles of CHI3L1, sICAM-1, and IL-18BP (Table 6, p-value < 0.05 for linear trend test); while the risk of late sPTB increased with increasing quartiles of sTNFR2, CHI3L1, C5a, sICAM-1 and IL-18BP. Leptin and Ang2 in the highest quartile were associated with a reduced relative risk of sPTB (Table 7) compared to the lowest quartile.

Biomarker profiles were associated with the gestational age at enrollment (time of plasma sample collection)

We observed informative profiles of biomarkers depending on the gestational age when samples were collected (< 20 weeks, 20–23 weeks, >23 weeks, Fig 1). Compared to women who went on to deliver full term, CHI3L1, sICAM-1, IL-18BP, and AngptL3 were elevated across gestational age at enrollment at all times under 23 weeks, whereas differences in the levels of Ang-2, C5a, sFlt-1, PGF and sTNFR2 between term and spontaneous preterm deliveries varied depending on the gestational age at which the sample was collected. For example compared to women who delivered full term, levels of C5a were not elevated early in gestation (<20 weeks) but were increased at later time points in gestation (<20 weeks) in women with sPTB. For multiple biomarkers (e.g. Ang2, sFlt-1, sTNFR2) samples collected at <20 weeks showed the strongest association with sPTB (Table 8). In samples collected between 20–23 weeks gestation women with levels in the highest quartiles PGF had an increased risk of delivering pre-term compared to women in the lowest quartile.
Discussion

Little progress has been made in reducing sPTB in either resource-constrained or resource-rich settings. This is due, in part, to a limited understanding of the mechanisms underlying sPTB and the lack of tools that can be applied early in gestation to identify high-risk pregnancies. In this study we present data from a high disease burden setting supporting a role for altered angiogenic and inflammatory responses in the pathobiology of sPTB.

### Table 5. Multivariate relative risks (95% Confidence Intervals) for sPTB according to quartiles in the testing cohort\(^{a,b}\).

|     | Q1  | Q2   | Q3   | Q4   | P-trend\(^c\) |
|-----|-----|------|------|------|---------------|
| Ang-2 | 1.00 (Ref) | 1.10 (0.70, 1.74) | 0.87 (0.53, 1.41) | 0.64 (0.37, 1.08) | 0.04 |
| AngptL3 | 1.00 (Ref) | 0.79 (0.46, 1.35) | 0.92 (0.57, 1.49) | 1.44 (0.92, 2.25) | 0.07 |
| PGF   | 1.00 (Ref) | 1.24 (0.73, 2.12) | 1.37 (0.80, 2.35) | 1.31 (0.78, 2.21) | 0.43 |
| sFlt-1 | 1.00 (Ref) | 1.14 (0.71, 1.84) | 0.69 (0.38, 1.18) | 1.12 (0.54, 1.16) | 0.76 |
| sTNFR2 | 1.00 (Ref) | 1.00 (0.58, 1.72) | 1.57 (1.00, 2.56) | 1.76 (1.09, 2.85) | 0.01 |
| CHI3L1 | 1.00 (Ref) | 1.58 (0.94, 2.65) | 1.34 (0.76, 2.38) | 2.01 (1.22, 3.32) | 0.0017 |
| C5a   | 1.00 (Ref) | 0.73 (0.42, 1.27) | 1.17 (0.77, 1.79) | 1.44 (0.81, 1.89) | 0.02 |
| sICAM-1 | 1.00 (Ref) | 1.13 (0.68, 1.90) | 1.37 (0.83, 2.26) | 1.63 (1.01, 2.61) | 0.03 |
| sEndoglin | 1.00 (Ref) | 0.85 (0.50, 1.44) | 1.24 (0.77, 2.01) | 1.28 (0.81, 2.04) | 0.17 |
| IL-18BP | 1.00 (Ref) | 1.06 (0.59, 1.87) | 1.72 (1.01, 2.92) | 1.91 (1.17, 3.12) | 0.0014 |
| Leptin  | 1.00 (Ref) | 0.85 (0.55, 1.32) | 0.78 (0.50, 1.19) | 0.39 (0.20, 0.73) | 0.0023 |

\(^a\) Relative risks and 95% confidence intervals were estimated using binomial regression with the log link function. When the log-binomial model failed to converge, log-Poisson models were used.

\(^b\) Multivariate models adjusted for maternal age, marital status (yes/no), education (0–4, 5–7, 8–11, ≥12 years), Filmer-Pritchett wealth score less than median (yes/no), baseline gestational age, body mass index at baseline (lowest tertile vs. upper tertiles), frequency of meat consumption per week (once a week or less vs. more than once per week).

\(^c\) P-value for test of linear trend test calculated with median biomarker in each quartile as a continuous variable.

### Table 6. Multivariate relative risks (95% Confidence Intervals) for early to moderate sPTB (<34 weeks) in quartiles \(^{a,b}\).

|     | Q1  | Q2   | Q3   | Q4   | P-trend\(^c\) |
|-----|-----|------|------|------|---------------|
| Ang2 | 1.00 (Ref) | 0.67 (0.31, 1.46) | 0.56 (0.25, 1.25) | 0.44 (0.18, 1.06) | 0.10 |
| AngptL3 | 1.00 (Ref) | 1.50 (0.64, 3.50) | 1.51 (0.60, 3.79) | 2.22 (0.93, 3.79) | 0.07 |
| PGF   | 1.00 (Ref) | 0.95 (0.42, 2.18) | 1.03 (0.45, 2.39) | 1.41 (0.65, 3.07) | 0.17 |
| sFlt-1 | 1.00 (Ref) | 1.08 (0.71, 1.62) | 1.25 (0.49, 3.208) | 1.97 (0.83, 4.71) | 0.11 |
| sTNFR2 | 1.00 (Ref) | 1.32 (0.55, 3.17) | 1.63 (0.69, 3.86) | 1.93 (0.85, 4.38) | 0.47 |
| CHI3L1 | 1.00 (Ref) | 2.24 (0.81, 6.24) | 3.02 (1.13, 8.05) | 3.12 (1.17, 8.34) | 0.03 |
| C5a   | 1.00 (Ref) | 2.18 (0.96, 4.94) | 1.27 (0.49, 3.35) | 1.97 (0.82, 4.76) | 0.40 |
| sICAM-1 | 1.00 (Ref) | 0.78 (0.30, 2.04) | 1.66 (0.71, 3.85) | 2.06 (0.91, 4.68) | 0.024 |
| sEndoglin | 1.00 (Ref) | 1.22 (0.47, 3.11) | 1.65 (0.69, 3.99) | 1.95 (0.81, 4.74) | 0.09 |
| IL-18BP | 1.00 (Ref) | 0.83 (0.30, 2.33) | 2.55 (1.15, 5.70) | 1.90 (0.78, 4.62) | 0.043 |
| Leptin  | 1.00 (Ref) | 0.25 (0.10, 0.66) | 0.77 (0.40, 1.50) | 0.54 (0.23, 1.24) | 0.47 |

\(^a\) Relative risks and 95% confidence intervals were estimated using binomial regression with the log link function. When the log-binomial model failed to converge, log-Poisson models were used.

\(^b\) Multivariate models adjusted for maternal age, marital status (yes/no), education (0–4, 5–7, 8–11, ≥12 years), Filmer-Pritchett wealth score less than median (yes/no), baseline gestational age, body mass index at baseline (lowest tertile vs. upper tertiles), frequency of meat consumption per week (once a week or less vs. more than once per week).

\(^c\) P-value for test of linear trend test calculated with median biomarker in each quartile as a continuous variable.

---
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Based on the results of a training cohort we refined a panel of 11 markers and repeated our analysis on a testing cohort. In the test set we replicated the principal results of the training set observing elevated IL18-BP, sICAM-1, sEndoglin, CHI3L1 and lower Ang2 and Leptin in sPTB cases. In the test set we also observed elevated sTNFR2, AngptL3 and C5a in women who delivered sPTB. With the combined training and test cohorts, we observed an association between biomarker levels with respect to timing of preterm birth (early/moderate [<34 weeks] versus late [≥34-<37 weeks] preterm delivery) and gestational age at sample collection. Collectively these results suggest that levels of key angiogenic and inflammatory mediators measured in women when they typically first present for antenatal care in resource-constrained settings (~20 and 27 weeks gestation) may assist in identifying women at increased risk of sPTB.

The prospective design of the parent study, random selection of the nested cohorts, train and test structure, and the ability to control for multiple covariates are all strengths of the current study. A prevalence of 14.8 percent sPTB in this study is consistent with rates previously reported in Tanzania [2, 21]. To our knowledge this is the first study to assess mid-pregnancy biomarkers of sPTB in a low resource setting in Africa, where there is a very high burden of prematurity.

In this study gestational dating was performed by last menstrual period (LMP). While we recognize that the gold standard for gestational dating is ultrasound, this technology is often difficult to access in low resource settings. Younger maternal age, poor nutritional status, first pregnancy and fewer years of education are all associated with an increased risk of misclassification by LMP and were factors we included in our multivariate analysis [24]. In addition, post-term birth in this cohort could be a result of misclassification by LMP, which tends towards an overestimation of gestational age [24, 25]. We are now validating our findings with an external cohort of women using ultrasound dating. However, it is worth noting that women in low resource settings typically make their first antenatal visit in the second trimester, when intrauterine growth restriction, which is common in these regions, can confound gestational dating by ultrasound. Moreover we feel it is important to conduct this research in the

| Table 7. Multivariate relative risks (95% Confidence Intervals) for late sPTB (34 to <37 weeks) according to quartilesa,b. |
|-----------------------------------------------|
| **Q1** | **Q2** | **Q3** | **Q4** | **P-trend** |
| Ang2 1.00 (Ref) | 0.82 (0.50, 1.34) | 0.79 (0.47, 1.32) | 0.48 (0.27, 0.85) | 0.01 |
| AngptL3 1.00 (Ref) | 0.94 (0.55, 1.60) | 1.18 (0.69, 2.02) | 1.34 (0.78, 2.31) | 0.21 |
| PGF 1.00 (Ref) | 1.15 (0.66, 2.00) | 1.34 (0.76, 2.36) | 1.53 (0.90, 2.61) | 0.19 |
| sFlt-1 1.00 (Ref) | 1.05 (0.60, 1.83) | 0.83 (0.46, 1.50) | 1.40 (0.85, 2.31) | 0.11 |
| sTNFR2 1.00 (Ref) | 0.93 (0.51, 1.70) | 1.47 (0.86, 2.51) | 1.81 (1.07, 3.06) | 0.007 |
| CHI3L1 1.00 (Ref) | 1.76 (0.94, 3.27) | 1.47 (0.76, 2.84) | 2.82 (1.56, 5.08) | 0.0002 |
| C5a 1.00 (Ref) | 1.25 (0.71, 2.20) | 1.25 (0.70, 2.25) | 1.94 (1.15, 3.29) | 0.007 |
| sICAM-1 1.00 (Ref) | 1.19 (0.68, 2.06) | 0.98 (0.54, 1.79) | 1.91 (1.12, 3.29) | 0.01 |
| sEndoglin 1.00 (Ref) | 0.89 (0.50, 1.58) | 1.25 (0.73, 2.11) | 1.47 (0.88, 2.46) | 0.07 |
| IL-18BP 1.00 (Ref) | 1.42 (0.77, 2.60) | 1.76 (0.95, 3.18) | 2.60 (1.47, 4.63) | 0.0003 |
| Leptin 1.00 (Ref) | 1.34 (0.72, 1.80) | 0.62 (0.36, 1.06) | 0.55 (0.28, 1.07) | 0.03 |

a Relative risks and 95% confidence intervals were estimated using binomial regression with the log link function. When the log-binomial model failed to converge, log-Poisson models were used.
b Multivariate models adjusted for maternal age, marital status (yes/no), education (0–4, 5–7, 8–11, 12 years), Filmer-Pritchett wealth score less than median (yes/no), baseline gestational age, body mass index at baseline (lowest tertile vs. upper tertiles), frequency of meat consumption per week (once a week or less vs. more than once per week).
c P-value for test for linear trend test calculated with median biomarker in each quartile as a continuous variable.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0134619.t007
Fig 1. Levels of inflammatory mediators (a) CHI3L1, (b) C5a, (c) sICAM-1, (d) IL-18BP, (e) sTNFR2, (f) Endoglin and the angiogenic and anti-angiogenic mediators (g) sFlt-1, (h) PGF, (i) Ang2 and endocrine mediators (j) AngptL3 and (k) Leptin by gestational age at enrollment (time of blood sample collection) in term and spontaneous preterm births (sPTB). Figures depict polynomial curves with best fits to the data.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0134619.g001
Table 8. Multivariate relative risks (95% Confidence Intervals) for sPTB according to quartiles by gestational age at plasma sample collectiona,b.

| Biomarker  | Quartile | < 20 weeks | 20–23 weeks | > 23 weeks |
|-----------|----------|------------|-------------|------------|
|           | No. of cases | 85         | 48          | 29         |
| Ang2      | Q1       | 1.00 (Ref.) | 1.00 (Ref.) | 1.00 (Ref.) |
|           | Q2       | 0.81 (0.49, 1.35) | 1.42 (0.65, 3.10) | 0.31 (0.09, 1.10) |
|           | Q3       | 0.77 (0.44, 1.34) | 1.17 (0.54, 2.51) | 0.43 (0.15, 1.23) |
|           | Q4       | 0.38 (0.21, 0.69) | 1.21 (0.54, 2.69) | 0.64 (0.22, 1.92) |
|           | P-trendc | <0.0001     | 0.93        | 0.49       |
| Angptl3   | Q1       | 1.00 (Ref.) | 1.00 (Ref.) | 1.00 (Ref.) |
|           | Q2       | 1.30 (0.73, 2.30) | 1.48 (0.59, 3.68) | 0.48 (0.15, 1.53) |
|           | Q3       | 1.57 (0.86, 2.85) | 2.21 (0.86, 5.15) | 0.37 (0.12, 1.13) |
|           | Q4       | 1.89 (1.06, 3.39) | 2.13 (0.95, 4.76) | 0.55 (0.20, 1.49) |
|           | P-trendc | 0.02        | 0.04        | 0.28       |
| PGF       | Q1       | 1.00 (Ref.) | 1.00 (Ref.) | 1.00 (Ref.) |
|           | Q2       | 1.24 (0.69, 2.21) | 1.01 (0.36, 2.84) | 0.41 (0.15, 1.12) |
|           | Q3       | 1.36 (0.72, 2.58) | 1.88 (0.79, 4.50) | 0.43 (0.16, 1.17) |
|           | Q4       | 1.49 (0.86, 2.59) | 2.21 (0.92, 5.30) | 0.40 (0.13, 1.21) |
|           | P-trendc | 0.28        | 0.02        | 0.53       |
| sFlt-1    | Q1       | 1.00 (Ref.) | 1.00 (Ref.) | 1.00 (Ref.) |
|           | Q2       | 1.32 (0.68, 2.56) | 0.91 (0.40, 2.09) | 1.21 (0.42, 3.49) |
|           | Q3       | 0.99 (0.48, 2.05) | 0.88 (0.42, 1.85) | 1.05 (0.34, 3.21) |
|           | Q4       | 1.86 (1.02, 3.39) | 1.40 (0.74, 2.67) | 0.76 (0.24, 2.41) |
|           | P-trendc | 0.02        | 0.21        | 0.53       |
| sTNFR2    | Q1       | 1.00 (Ref.) | 1.00 (Ref.) | 1.00 (Ref.) |
|           | Q2       | 1.02 (0.54, 1.92) | 1.32 (0.56, 3.11) | 0.36 (0.10, 1.41) |
|           | Q3       | 1.63 (0.94, 2.84) | 1.13 (0.48, 2.69) | 0.89 (0.32, 2.51) |
|           | Q4       | 2.16 (1.23, 3.78) | 1.40 (0.63, 3.11) | 1.08 (0.39, 3.04) |
|           | P-trendc | 0.001       | 0.48        | 0.51       |
| sICAM-1   | Q1       | 1.00 (Ref.) | 1.00 (Ref.) | 1.00 (Ref.) |
|           | Q2       | 2.40 (1.16, 4.96) | 0.93 (0.35, 2.46) | 1.82 (0.52, 6.35) |
|           | Q3       | 2.28 (1.08, 4.83) | 0.89 (0.35, 2.25) | 2.16 (0.59, 7.96) |
|           | Q4       | 3.23 (1.57, 6.63) | 2.34 (1.08, 5.07) | 1.52 (0.39, 5.91) |
|           | P-trendc | 0.0007      | 0.004       | 0.73       |
| C5a       | Q1       | 1.00 (Ref.) | 1.00 (Ref.) | 1.00 (Ref.) |
|           | Q2       | 1.20 (0.71, 2.02) | 2.00 (0.74, 5.38) | 2.70 (0.58, 12.55) |
|           | Q3       | 0.90 (0.49, 1.65) | 1.50 (0.53, 4.25) | 3.29 (0.64, 16.76) |
|           | Q4       | 1.13 (0.67, 1.93) | 3.13 (1.22, 8.00) | 4.99 (1.06, 23.56) |
|           | P-trendc | 0.77        | 0.008       | 0.03       |
| sEndoglin | Q1       | 1.00 (Ref.) | 1.00 (Ref.) | 1.00 (Ref.) |
|           | Q2       | 1.51 (0.82, 2.78) | 0.81 (0.32, 2.08) | 0.43 (0.12, 1.53) |
|           | Q3       | 1.34 (0.69, 2.59) | 0.99 (0.41, 2.39) | 0.90 (0.35, 2.31) |
|           | Q4       | 2.42 (1.34, 4.40) | 1.92 (0.90, 4.09) | 0.56 (0.19, 1.63) |
|           | P-trendc | 0.002       | 0.03        | 0.49       |
| IL-18BP   | Q1       | 1.00 (Ref.) | 1.00 (Ref.) | 1.00 (Ref.) |

(Continued)
context of the standard of care in most resource poor regions, and the most feasible dating tool, which at this time is LMP.

Some studies have observed improved predictive value in combinations of several biomarkers compared with the use of markers individually [26–28]. A multi-marker predictive model developed based on this cohort will be validated on an external cohort in future studies. The results of this study will need to be tested in additional prospective studies with extended phenotyping of maternal complications, such as preeclampsia and gestational diabetes. Longitudinal mechanistic studies of pregnant women will also be required to determine the kinetics of these factors and how they vary over the course of normal and pathological pregnancies.

The results of this study add to the growing body of evidence implicating an imbalance of pro- and anti-angiogenic factors and altered host inflammatory responses in mediating adverse birth outcomes [10, 12, 18]. Although the molecular events leading to sPTB are not well understood, disruptions in early vascular development and placentation appear to influence the risk of sPTB outcomes [11]. Here we show, using a train and test approach as well as multivariate analysis, that elevated levels CHI3L1, IL-18BP, sICAM-1, sEndoglin, sTNFR2, AngptL3 and C5a as well as reduced Ang2 and Leptin were independent predictors of women who go on to deliver preterm.

Elevated pro-inflammatory markers in plasma, IL-18BP, sICAM-1, CHI3L1, C5a, and sTNFR2, were associated with an increased relative risk of sPTB. IL-18BP is a natural antagonist of the proinflammatory cytokine IL-18, and increases in response to elevated circulating levels of this cytokine. We used the levels of IL-18BP as a marker of an inflammatory response. CHI3L1 is a glycoprotein biomarker previously implicated in inflammatory responses as well as angiogenesis and extracellular matrix remodeling [29]. sICAM-1 is a soluble adhesion molecule cleaved in states of inflammation and endothelial activation [30]. Tumor necrosis factor (TNF) is a pro-inflammatory cytokine and increased levels of is soluble receptors, TNF receptor 1 and 2, have been observed in response to release of TNF [31]. Complement component C5a is a critical component of the complement cascade and a potent initiator and potent

Table 8. (Continued)

| Biomarker | No. of cases | < 20 weeks | 20–23 weeks | > 23 weeks |
|-----------|--------------|------------|-------------|------------|
|           |              | 85         | 48          | 29         |
| Q2        | 1.33 (0.71, 2.49) | 2.42 (0.6, 8.68) | 0.26 (0.06, 1.21) |
| Q3        | 1.94 (1.13, 3.35) | 2.70 (0.79, 9.29) | 0.70 (0.26, 1.88) |
| Q4        | 1.93 (1.05, 3.55) | 5.29 (1.60, 17.53) | 0.72 (0.27, 1.92) |
| P-trendc  | 0.01         | 0.0006     | 0.92        |
| Leptin    |              |            |             |            |
| Q1        | 1.00 (Ref.)  | 1.00 (Ref.) | 1.00 (Ref.) |
| Q2        | 0.83 (0.50, 1.40) | 0.93 (0.48, 1.81) | 0.92 (0.37, 2.35) |
| Q3        | 0.72 (0.43, 1.21) | 0.70 (0.32, 1.52) | 0.43 (0.13, 1.45) |
| Q4        | 0.69 (0.37, 1.27) | 0.53 (0.20, 1.40) | 0.28 (0.07, 1.18) |
| P-trendc  | 0.25         | 0.20       | 0.06        |

- **a** Relative risks and 95% confidence intervals were estimated using binomial regression with the log link function. When the log-binomial model failed to converge, log-Poisson models were used.
- **b** Multivariate models adjusted for maternal age, marital status (yes/no), education (0–4, 5–7, 8–11, ≥12 years), Filmer-Pritchett wealth score less than median (yes/no), baseline gestational age, body mass index at baseline (lowest tertile vs. upper tertiles), frequency of meat consumption per week (once a week or less vs. more than once per week).
- **c** P-value for test for linear trend test calculated with median biomarker in each quartile as a continuous variable.
enhancer of pro-inflammatory and anti-angiogenic cascades [32]. Our results confirm an association between an elevated inflammatory response and spontaneous preterm delivery.

Numerous inflammatory proteins and receptors interact with angiogenic pathways. The initiation of pro-inflammatory pathways has been linked to generalized endothelial dysfunction [33]. Angiogenic mediators regulate the growth, structure, and function of the placental vasculature, which impacts fetal growth and birth outcome. Elevated levels of anti-angiogenic sEndoglin and sFlt-1 were associated with an increased relative risk of sPTB while elevated levels of pro-angiogenic Ang2 were associated with a reduced risk. Soluble Endoglin, a soluble receptor of transforming growth factor (TGF) –β, is thought to play roles in immune regulation, angiogenesis, and vascular integrity [34]. Soluble Endoglin also inhibits vascular permeability and nitric oxide-mediated vasodilation, which may alter placental vascularization and fetal growth. sEndogolin has previously been associated with sPTB [35, 36]. The angiopoietins (Ang1 and Ang2) competitively bind to sTie-2 receptor with Ang1 inducing vascular maturation and Ang2 antagonizing the effects of Ang1 and causing destabilization of the vascular network and angiogenesis [37]. Therefore the angiogenic profile associated with sPTB in this cohort may reflect a shift towards an anti-angiogenic profile in pregnancies at risk of sPTB.

Both Leptin and AngptL3 are associated with metabolic function. AngptL3 is a lipoprotein inhibitor with structural similarities to the angiopoietins that regulates the clearance of circulating lipids as well as playing a role in endothelial cell adhesion [38]. Leptin, a peptide hormone that regulates neuroendocrine function, also has inflammatory actions and has been implicated in adverse pregnancy outcomes, in particular preeclampsia [39, 40]. While both AngptL3 and Leptin have been linked with inflammatory and/or angiogenic pathways our results also suggest that disruptions in metabolic pathways are associated with sPTB.

There is evidence of a linear relationship between increased risk of mortality and morbidity as gestational age at delivery decreases [9]. Preterm delivery is now categorized as extremely preterm (<28 weeks), very preterm (28 to <32 weeks), moderate preterm (32 to <34 weeks) and late preterm (34 to <37 weeks) [4]. In this cohort the majority of deliveries at <34 weeks were moderate preterm. Eight women delivered at <28 weeks and 17 women delivered at <32 weeks which precluded us from examining extremely and very preterm birth cases independently. Elevated CHI3L1, sICAM-1, and IL-18BP were associated with an increased relative risk of sPTB in both the early to moderate and late preterm groups. Elevated sTNFR2, C5a, Ang2, and Leptin were associated with sPTB in only the late preterm group. These results suggest that there may be unique biomarker profiles associated with the degree of severity of preterm birth outcomes.

To date several studies have examined circulating maternal biomarkers of spontaneous preterm birth but few have reported individual markers with promising clinical utility and even fewer have been conducted in settings where the burden of sPTB is highest [41, 42]. The complex pathophysiology leading to sPTB likely results from the synergistic action of multiple physiological pathways. The tight regulation and temporal sequence of pro- and anti-angiogenic factors required for successful pregnancy outcomes suggests that angiogenic biomarker profiles measured early in pregnancy, and adjusted for gestational age, may help to risk-stratify subsequent birth phenotype. We observed an association between biomarkers and the gestational age at which the plasma sample was collected. Ang2, sFlt-1, and sTNFR2 were associated with sPTB only in samples collected at <20 weeks, whereas PGF was associated with sPTB in women with samples collected between 20–23 weeks gestation. In women with samples collected at >23 weeks C5a was associated with sPTB. In our cohort CHI3L1, IL-18BP and sICAM-1 were elevated at all time-points <23 weeks in those who went on to deliver sPTB. The results suggest that the levels and the corresponding balance between these pro- and anti-angiogenic factors will vary depending on the time of sampling, which was later in gestation in the current study.
In summary our data support a role for an early imbalance in angiogenic and inflammatory mediators in the pathobiology of sPTB and suggest potential biomarkers to risk-stratify women for sPTB and new targets for intervention to prevent this complication.
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