Energy transfer from luminescent centers to Er$^{3+}$ in erbium-doped silicon-rich oxide films
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Abstract

The energy transfer mechanism between luminescent centers (LCs) and Er$^{3+}$ in erbium-doped silicon-rich oxide (SROEr) films prepared by electron beam evaporation is investigated. Intense photoluminescence of the LCs (weak oxygen bonds, neutral oxygen vacancies, and Si=O states) within the active matrixes is obtained. Fast energy transfer from Si=O states to Er$^{3+}$ takes advantage in the SROEr film and enhances the light emission from Er$^{3+}$. The introduction of Si nanoclusters, which induces the Si=O states and facilitates the photon absorption of the Si=O states, is essential to obtain intense photoluminescence from both Si=O states and Er$^{3+}$.
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Background

In the recent years, a great amount of researchers have focused on the luminescent materials of Si-based light sources to obtain efficient light emission, which is a critical step for the achievement of the Si-based optical interconnections [1]. These materials include silicon-rich oxide (SRO) [2-6], silicon-rich nitride [6,7], Ge-on-Si luminescent materials [8], and rare-earth-doped Si-based materials [9-14]. Among all these Si-based materials, erbium-doped SRO (SROEr) films have attracted a great research interest in these years as the 1.54-μm luminescence of Er$^{3+}$ is compatible with both the optical telecommunication and the Si-based microphotonics [11-18]. The excitation mechanism of Er$^{3+}$ in SROEr has been basically discussed, while three indirect excitation mechanisms of Er$^{3+}$ have been proposed in the literatures: (1) slow energy transfer process ($\tau_r$ = approximately 4 to 100 μs) from exciton recombination in silicon nanoclusters (Si NCs) followed by internal relaxation to Er$^{3+}$ [11,16,18,19], (2) fast energy transfer process (nanosecond and faster) between hot carriers inside the Si NCs and Er$^{3+}$ [20,21], (3) fast energy transfer process (very fast, sub-nanosecond) from luminescent centers (LCs) in the SROEr matrixes to Er$^{3+}$ [17].

The Si NCs acting as the classical sensitizers embedded in the SROEr films can provide large excitation cross-section and efficient energy transfer to Er$^{3+}$, from which the luminescence of Er$^{3+}$ can be improved significantly [11]. Both light emitting diodes [12] and optical gain [13] have been achieved from the Si NC-sensitized SROEr systems. However, the luminescence intensity and optical gain of Er$^{3+}$ are still limited due to the low fraction of Er$^{3+}$ ions sensitized by the Si NCs [15]. Moreover, the confined carrier absorption (CCA) process that exists in the Si NC-sensitized SROEr systems would be accelerated by the slow energy transfer process between the Si NCs and Er$^{3+}$, from which the optical properties of Er$^{3+}$ would be further degenerated [16,17]. Besides, the introduction of nonradiative decay channels due to the presence of the Si NCs would also degenerate the optical performances of the Si NC-sensitized SROEr systems [18]. Furthermore, the luminescence intensity of Er$^{3+}$ would be quenched by the Auger process produced during the energy transfer process between hot carriers and Er$^{3+}$ [20,21].

Compared to the indirect energy transfer process from the Si NCs and hot carriers to the nearby Er$^{3+}$, the sensitization from the LCs in the SROEr matrix to Er$^{3+}$ could effectively overcome the above disadvantages, and the 1.54-μm luminescence of Er$^{3+}$ might be improved significantly. This improvement partially originated from the “atomic”-size scale of the LCs, where the sensitizer (LCs) with high density could be obtained. Meanwhile, the CCA...
as well as the Auger process that existed in the Si NC-sensitized SROEr systems could be degenerated obviously since the energy transfer process could be extremely fast ($\tau_r = \text{approximately 100 ns}$) [17]. Furthermore, the LCs could be obtained in the SROEr matrices with low Si excesses; therefore, the nonradiative decay channels caused by the incorporation of the Si NCs could be significantly suppressed [22]. However, previous research about LC-mediated luminescence of Er$^{3+}$ in SROEr films has shown that the LCs are unstable during the high-temperature annealing process, which limits the photoluminescence (PL) performance of both LCs and Er$^{3+}$ [17]. Therefore, intense and stable emission of LCs in SROEr film is required in the view of obtaining efficient luminescence of Er$^{3+}$ by the energy transfer process from LCs to the Er$^{3+}$.

In this work, SROEr films with stable LCs were prepared by electron beam evaporation (EBE) following a post-annealing process. The evolution of the PL from the SROEr films during the annealing process is investigated. The effect of energy transfer from the LCs to the nearby Er$^{3+}$ on the luminescent performance of SROEr film is demonstrated, and the optimization of its PL property is expected. Furthermore, the effect of the introduction of Si NCs on the performance of LCs is studied.

**Methods**

The SROEr films were deposited on p-type silicon substrates by EBE using a SiO and Er$_2$O$_3$ mixed target (Er atomic concentration of approximately 20 at%), with the deposition rate of 1 to 3 Å/s controlled by the electron beam current. The base pressure of the deposition chamber was pumped to lower than $5 \times 10^{-3}$ Pa, and the substrates were maintained at 300°C. The atomic compositions of the as-deposited (A.D.) films were detected by Rutherford back scattering analysis using 2.02-MeV He ion beam at a scattering angle of 165°. The Si atomic concentration in the SROEr films was about 36 at%, and the Er concentration was around $3 \times 10^{19}$ at./cm$^3$. The Er concentration was low enough to avoid the Er clustering procedure [23]. After the deposition of the SROEr films, a thermally annealing process at 700°C to 1,150°C in a quartz furnace under nitrogen ambient was experienced to form the different sensitizers (LCs and/or Si NCs). The structural characteristics of the films were studied using high-resolution transmission electron microscopy (HRTEM) image. Room temperature PL was detected by charge-coupled device (PIXIS: 100 BR, Princeton Instruments, Trenton, USA) and InGaAs photon multiple tube (PMT, Hamamatsu R5509, Iwata City, Japan) for visible and infrared emission ranges, respectively, where a He-Cd laser with a wavelength of 325 nm was employed as the excitation light source. Time-resolved PL excited by a 405-nm picosecond laser diode was performed by a multichannel photon counting system (Edinburgh Instruments Ltd., Livingston, UK). A xenon lamp with continuous wavelength in the range from 200 to 900 nm was employed for the measurement of the PL excitation (PLE) spectra. The infrared (IR) spectroscopy was performed using a Bruker IFS 66 V/S Fourier transform IR (FTIR, Bruker BioSpin AG Ltd., Beijing, China) spectroscope under the transmission mode and vacuum condition.

**Results and discussion**

The evolution of the optical property from the SROEr matrixes with the annealing process is investigated by PL, as shown in Figure 1. From the Gauss fittings of these PL spectra, three PL bands could be resolved,
which were in the ranges from 3.0 to 3.1, 2.6 to 2.8, and 2.2 to 2.5 eV, respectively. The one in the range from 3.0 to 3.1 eV originated from weak oxygen bonds (WOBs) [24], where the relative intensity of this band decreases during the annealing process. The PL band in the range from 2.6 to 2.8 eV originated from neutral oxygen vacancies (NOVs) [25]. These NOVs are instable and only exist in the annealed films with proper annealing temperatures (700°C to 900°C in our experiments). While for the dominant PL band in the range from 2.2 to 2.5 eV, either the Si NCs or the Si=O states in the matrix could contribute to it. The emission of the Si NCs could be explained by the quantum confinement model, according to which the PL band would redshift with the increasing sizes of the Si NCs [26]. However, in our experiment, the PL band in the range from 2.2 to 2.5 eV blueshifts slightly when the sizes of the Si NCs increase after high-temperature annealing (≥ 900°C). Hence, we consider that this PL band mainly originated from the luminescence of the Si=O states in the matrix.

To further determine the existence and the PL mechanism of the Si NCs and the Si=O states in the matrix, the HRTEM image and the time-resolved PL spectra of the SROEr film annealed at 1,150°C for 30 min are measured, as shown in Figure 2. The high-density Si NCs with the average diameter of about 2 nm are obtained. Moreover, from the fitting of the time-resolved PL spectra by a stretched exponential function, we can obtain that the characteristic decay time of the PL peak at approximately 2.2 eV is about 1.7 ns, as shown in Figure 2, which fits well with the lifetime of the Si=O states [27]. Similar values of the characteristic decay time of this emission band (about 2.2 to 2.5 eV) could be also obtained from the as-deposited and annealed SROEr films (not shown here). Furthermore, the time-resolved PL spectrum which peaked at 2.2 eV is also detected at the time range of microsecond since the PL decay time of the Si NCs is around 100 μs [28,29]. However, the microsecond-decay dynamics is undetected in our experiments. Therefore, we attribute the luminescent band in the range from 2.2 to 2.5 eV mainly to the radiative recombination of the Si=O states in the SROEr matrix.

The FTIR spectra of the SROEr films with various annealing temperatures confirm the impact of the Si=O states on the luminescent band in the range from 2.2 to 2.5 eV, as shown in Figure 3. The intensity of the main peak (1,065 to 1,085 cm⁻¹) characterized by the Si-O-Si stretching mode [30] enhances gradually with the increase of the annealing temperatures. Meanwhile, the position of this peak is redshifted to a higher wavenumber, which indicates the phase decomposition of the SROEr matrix (see our previous paper in [4]). Moreover, three Gaussian bands could be resolved, as shown in Figure 3, which represent the Si-O-Si bulk stretching mode (sub-peak A), Si-O-Si surface stretching mode (sub-peak B), and Si=O symmetric stretching mode (sub-peak C) [16]. Interestingly, the rate of the Si=O symmetric stretching mode in the SROEr films gradually decreased with the increase of the annealing temperatures, as shown in the inset of Figure 3, which is opposite to our previous investigations on SRO matrixes.

Figure 2

Decay curve of PL peaked at 2.2 eV and HRTEM image for the SROEr film. Decay curve of the PL signal recorded at 2.2 eV for the SROEr film annealed at 1,150°C for 30 min (denoted by empty circles). The experiment data is fitted by stretched exponential function (denoted by solid line). The inset shows the HRTEM image of the SROEr film annealed at 1,150°C for 30 min.

Figure 3

FTIR spectra and the percentage of Si=O symmetric stretching mode for the SROEr films. FTIR spectra of the SROEr films annealed at different temperatures in N2 ambience for 30 min, the FTIR spectra of the A.D. sample is denoted by empty square and that of the annealed samples are denoted by the colored lines (red, 700°C; blue, 800°C; magenta, 900°C; violet, 1,000°C; and dark yellow, 1,150°C). A typical fitting of the FTIR spectra is provided for the A.D. sample (the fitting data is denoted by dash dot line). The sub-peaks A, B, and C represent the components from the Si-O-Si bulk, Si-O-Si surface, and Si=O symmetric stretching modes, respectively. The inset shows the percentage of the Si=O symmetric stretching mode for the SROEr films with different annealing temperatures.
without the doping of Er [6]. This decrease might be caused by the activation of the Er ions in the SROEr matrices to their trivalent coordination [31], where the Si=O bonds would be decomposed significantly. Importantly, the downtrend of the percentage of the Si=O symmetry slows down obviously for the SROEr films annealed above 900°C, as shown in the inset of Figure 3, illustrating the serious clustering of the Si NCs that induce the Si=O states. Moreover, the introduction of the Si NCs would also facilitate photon absorption of the Si=O states. It is worth to note that enhanced PL intensity of the Si=O states has been obtained after high-temperature annealing despite the reduction of the concentration of the Si=O states, as shown in Figure 1. This might be caused by the introduction of the Si NCs in the SROEr matrix after high-temperature annealing, from which the energy transfer between the Si NCs and the Si=O states would enhance the PL intensity of the Si=O states.

Obviously, the LCs (WOBs, NOVs, Si=O states, and so on) could act as the sensitizers in the SROEr matrices. For the investigation of the energy transfer from these sensitizers to Er\(^{3+}\), the PL spectra of Er\(^{3+}\) in the infrared band \(4I_{15/2} \rightarrow 4I_{13/2}\) were measured, as shown in Figure 4a. Interestingly, the PL signal from Er\(^{3+}\) could not be detected from the SROEr films annealed at <900°C, although the intense visible PL from the LCs (WOBs, NOVs, and Si=O states) can be observed. However, for the samples annealed above 900°C, the PL of Er\(^{3+}\) could be obviously resolved (its intensity increases significantly with the annealing temperatures). Therefore, the energy transfer from the NOVs could be excluded since the NOVs disappear after high-temperature annealing (1,150°C). Moreover, the sensitization of the temperature-dependent PL of Er\(^{3+}\) from the WOBs could also be excluded due to their almost identical PL from the as-deposited and annealed SROEr films. Meanwhile, the evolution of the PL intensity from Er\(^{3+}\) is in accordance with that from the Si=O states at higher-annealing temperatures (≥900°C, the critical temperature that the Si NCs begin to precipitate in a great amount). Hence, we consider that the sensitization of Er\(^{3+}\) is mainly caused by the Si=O states in the SROEr matrix. According to the discussion above, the Si=O states would be induced greatly when the Si NCs precipitate in a great amount, and the energy transfer process between the Si=O states and Er\(^{3+}\) is also controlled by the Si NCs in the SROEr matrix. The introduction of the Si NCs can not only enhance the luminescence of the Si=O states by facilitating the photon absorption of the Si=O states but also improve the PL of Er\(^{3+}\) by the energy transfer process of the Si=O states. Besides, the PL of Er\(^{3+}\) would also be enhanced by the activation of Er\(^{3+}\) in the SROEr films after high-temperature annealing (≥900°C). The PL intensity of Er\(^{3+}\) increased significantly when the annealing time increased from 30 to 120 min for the SROErs annealed at 1,150°C, as shown in Figure 4a. It means that further improvement of the PL property of Er\(^{3+}\) could be achieved by optimizing the annealing condition of the SROEr films.

To further determine the energy transfer mechanism in the SROEr films, the PLE spectra of the Si=O states (collected at 2.2 eV) and Er\(^{3+}\) (collected at 0.8 eV) for the SROEr film annealed at 1,150°C for 30 min were measured, as shown in Figure 4b, with the intensities normalized by their correspondingly maximal values. The well overlap between the PLE spectrum for the Si=O states and that for Er\(^{3+}\) indicates that energy transfer from the Si=O states to Er\(^{3+}\) plays a dominant role in this SROEr film. The little discrepancy between these two spectra might have originated from the resonant excitation of Er\(^{3+}\). Besides, the peak around 3.8 eV which appears in the PLE spectra might be related to the optical excitation of the Si NCs since the introduction of the Si NCs would enhance the PL intensity of both Si=O states and Er\(^{3+}\).
Conclusions
In summary, the efficient luminescence of Er$^{3+}$ in the SROEr film is achieved by the energy transfer process from fast recombination centers (LCs). The SROEr films with abundant LCs (WOBs, NOVs, and Si-O states) and Si NCs are prepared by electron beam evaporation following a post-annealing process. Intense and stable PL of LCs dominated by the Si-O states is obtained in the SROEr matrix. From the investigation of the evolution of the PL properties and microstructures from the SROEr films, we consider the fast energy transfer from the Si=O states to Er$^{3+}$ as the main transfer mechanism. The introduction of the Si NCs induces the Si=O states and facilitates the photon absorption of the Si=O states, which is essential to obtain intense PL from both Si=O states and Er$^{3+}$. Further improvement of the PL property of both the Si=O states and Er$^{3+}$ might be achieved by optimizing the annealing condition of the SROEr films.
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