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HIGHLIGHTS

• COVID-19 adversely affected indoor soundscapes while beneficially altering outdoors.
• Pandemic soundscapes substantially influenced inhabitants’ health-related outcomes.
• Averaged noise-level reduction was associated with COVID-19 stringency level.
• Urban morphology and noise source type modified pandemic-related noise reduction.
• COVID-19 pandemic has driven necessity of SDG practices for resilient soundscape.

GRAPHICAL ABSTRACT

ABSTRACT

The current prolonged coronavirus disease (COVID-19) pandemic has substantially influenced numerous facets of our daily lives for over two years. Although a number of studies have explored the pandemic impacts on soundscapes worldwide, their works have not been reviewed comprehensively nor systematically, hence a lack of prospective soundscape goals based upon global evidence. This review study examines evidence of the COVID-19 crisis impacts on soundscapes and quantifies the prevalence of unprecedented changes in acoustic environments. Two key-research classes were identified based on a systematic content analysis of the 119 included studies: (1) auditory perceptual change and (2) noise level change due to the COVID-19 pandemic/lockdown. Our qualitative synthesis ascertained the substantial adverse consequences of pandemic soundscapes on human health and well-being while beneficial aspects of the COVID-19 pandemic on soundscapes were yet identified. Furthermore, meta-analysis results highlight that the observed average noise-level reduction (148 averaged samples derived from 31 studies) varied as a function of the stringency level of the COVID-19 confinement policies imposed by the governments, which would be further moderated by urban morphology and main noise sources. Given these collective findings, we propose soundscape materiality, its nexus with related the United Nations’ sustainable development goals (SDGs), and prospective approaches to support resilient soundscapes during and after the pandemic, which should be achieved to enhance healthy living and human well-being.
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1. Introduction

Nearly two years into a global pandemic of coronavirus disease (COVID-19), yet no country is out of this crisis (WHO, 2022a), and we are still in the midst of the fight against COVID-19. The official records of COVID-19 deaths have passed 5.6 million among the 376 million of the con-

midst of the pandemic. Although social lockdowns in many countries were found to re-
duce emissions of air and water pollutants (Paital, 2020), some of these re-
pandemic. Although social lockdowns in many countries were found to re-
duce emissions of air and water pollutants (Paital, 2020), some of these re-

Some COVID-19 vaccines have been rapidly developed during the pandemic. Despite the fact that several potential factors have been suggested for interpreting the acoustical environments observed during the COVID-19 pandemic, including road/air traffic volume (Basu et al., 2021; Amoatey et al., 2021) and human activity or mobility patterns (Aletta et al., 2020b; Garg et al., 2022), which are determined above all by the government policies on restrictions in each country. Therefore, it would be reasonable to assume that the severity level of the government’s restrictions (e.g., lockdown, state of emergency) would be potentially associated with the acoustical changes. However, global estimates of the effects of the governments’ restriction policies on the quantitative changes in acoustical environments have been missing. For the reasons mentioned above, we have evidently neither learned current soundscape issues from the COVID-19 pandemic nor prepared to optimize prospective soundscape designs despite the two years of our pandemic ex-
periences. It is urgent to clearly establish an overarching goal with potential approaches and practical remediations for current and post-pandemic soundscapes.

Here, we explore how COVID-19 impacts influenced soundscapes and acoustical environments. The aim of this literature review study is to provide a comprehensive qualitative synthesis of the COVID-19 impacts on soundscapes. To determine to what degree COVID-19 confinement influenced noise level reduction, we further conducted a meta-analysis as a part of quantitative synthesis. Incorporating qualitative and quantitative syntheses will firmly establish insight into soundscapes amid the COVID-19 pan-
demic and highlight potential prospective approaches to promote positive acoustical environments for supporting human health and well-being during and even after the pandemic. Three specific research objectives will be ad-
dressed in this systematic literature review and meta-analysis:

- How did the COVID-19 pandemic affect our soundscapes?
- To what extent were noise level changes affected by COVID-19 con-
  finements?
- What are prospective soundscape approaches for the current and post-
  pandemic era?

Given numerous efforts and contributions from the researchers who had explored the COVID-19 impacts on the soundscapes as local-level observations (either country-level, city-level, or even personal-level experiences), this review study systematically compiles their vital works and provides comprehensive insights at a global level of soundscapes atmosphere.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Searching individual studies

The PRISMA guidelines (Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses) were employed as a basis for reporting
systematic reviews in this study (Liberati et al., 2009). A search for individual studies was conducted through three journal databases and search engines: Scopus, Web of Science, and PubMed. The search keywords included “COVID-19” and “soundscape” or “acoustic environment” terms, exploring through title, abstract, and keywords of publications (Table A.1). The peer-reviewed scientific papers published from 2019, written in English, were included. The search included gray literature such as peer-reviewed conference proceedings. The database search was conducted in November 2021, and further updated in April 2022 to seek any additional publications while finalizing this review. The initial search was intended to be broad, to locate studies in a variety of disciplines. A protocol of this review strategy was registered with PROSPERO (CRD42021290742) (Hasegawa and Lau, 2021). To sum up, the number records 1506 publications from the three databases. Moreover, 16 additional papers were manually identified through other sources (i.e., reference lists of previous reviews, special issues). All duplications and those with uncompleted citations were manually removed, which creates the list of 747 unique publications that should go through an initial screening process.

2.2. Inclusion and exclusion criteria

Pre-determined inclusion and exclusion criteria (Table A.2) were applied to this review to ensure that the papers should be related to this study’s objectives. Firstly, the titles and abstracts of all the 747 publications were manually screened by two independent reviewers, including the authors, and 520 studies have been discarded. Then, the remaining 227 papers went through a full-text article assessment for eligibility. As a result, the final number of eligible articles in this qualitative review was 119. The process of this systematic review is illustrated in Fig. A.1.

2.3. Data extraction and aggregation procedure

2.3.1. Literature data analysis

The collected information derived from the database search included article title, authors, abstract, author-supplied keywords, and references. To propose a suitable and structured review, a co-occurrence network of terms extracted from the article titles and abstracts of the included papers was constructed (Van Eck and Waltman, 2014). Literature data analysis was conducted by VOSviewer version 1.6.18, 2022.

The results of the term co-occurrence map (Fig. 1) shows that the existing available papers can be grouped in two underlying classes. The first group (highlighted by red bubbles) of 23 items are primarily devoted to psychological and environmental aspects of soundscape changes during the COVID-19 pandemic, including subjective perception and health-related responses. In this class, there are several (online) surveys addressing major confinement environments (i.e., home) people spent during the outbreak. The second class (represented by green bubbles) of 18 items concern physical noise level changes due to the COVID-19 lockdown. Several terms of this class (noise level, city, change, etc.) show strong links to the term “reduction”, which anticipates noise level reduction in urban states due to the suspension of human activity. Based on the results of the literature data analysis, the current study was conceived in two categories that have been influenced by the COVID-19 outbreak: psychological or perceptual changes due to the prevalence of pandemic soundscapes (reflecting the first class—assessed by qualitative synthesis) and physical noise level changes due to lockdown (centering on the second class—mainly assessed by quantitative synthesis), which will be developed in the Sections 3.1 and 3.2, respectively.

2.3.2. Qualitative data synthesis

According to the literature data analysis above, all 119 included studies were structurally reviewed and qualitatively synthesized. Their
characteristics were recorded according to the taxonomy (measurement data structure) developed by Asensio et al. (2020a) with additional author-specified items (i.e., study design, analysis, etc.) (Table A.3). All the recorded information were analyzed by Microsoft Power BI. To assess the risk of bias for each included study that involves human subjects (e.g., observational human studies), we adapted the OHAT risk of bias rating tool (OHAT, 2015).

2.3.3. Quantitative data synthesis

The included studies presenting noise level changes (either reduction, increase, or unchanged) due to the COVID-19 pandemic were subsequently used for aggregative descriptive statistics (Cooper et al., 2019) in a quantitative synthesis of this review. Differences in noise levels between pre, during, and/or post-COVID-19 measures have been defined and reported in various ways. The terms of noise reduction/increase were used uniformly throughout the review. Averaged noise-level changes in traditional acoustic parameters (e.g., equivalent sound pressure level: $L_{\text{eq}}$, day-evening-night level: $L_{\text{den}}$) in dB(A) were extracted to make studies comparable with others for analysis. Since the studies have reported noise-level changes in largely different ways, acoustical results in dB(A) were extracted either from the direct description by authors or from tables/figures. GetData Graph Digitizer was used if approximated numerical values cannot be directly extracted from figures; otherwise excluded from the meta-analysis.

During the pandemic, governments imposed different levels of restrictions and called them various titles (e.g., full/partial lockdown, night curfew, state of emergency, circuit breaker). Therefore, we need clear indices to measure the strictness of their restrictions and logically compare it across multiple different areas. To estimate severity levels of COVID-19 containment and closure policy measures imposed by governments from different countries in a consistent manner, stringency index was collected from the Oxford Covid-19 Government Response Tracker (OxCGRT). Stringency index represents the strictness of ‘lockdown style’ policies that primarily restrict people’s behavior (Oxford University, 2022). Country names and dates of data collections (i.e., measurement dates) were used to extract values of the index. Maximum differences in the indices (from 0 to 100) between pre and during COVID-19 periods were computed and used for the meta-analysis.

The measurement information compiled through the data extraction were carefully reviewed regarding the comparability and homogeneity of the collected studies. Meta-regression analyses were conducted, where the effect estimate (i.e., mean noise-level reduction) was predicted according to the values of the exploratory variable (i.e., stringency index). Overall aggregation will provide summary estimates of the extracted individual estimates containing homogenous measurements. These individual estimates were displayed as a function of the severity of the COVID-19 measures (i.e., OxCGRT stringency index) to seek whether drastic noise changes were associated with greater severity of the COVID-19 measures. IBM Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS version 26) was used for the analyses.

Given that the effects of acoustic parameters, location types, noise sources, or geographical areas might differ, subgroup analysis was conducted to investigate how these summary estimates might be affected by the heterogeneity of the study characteristics by presenting "category-wise" summary estimates.

To determine whether any measurement uncertainty (e.g., number of measurement locations, instrumentation, measurement durations) affected the total uncertainty in the analysis outcomes, sensitivity analysis was conducted by changing one input factor at a time.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Prevalence of pandemic soundscapes (qualitative synthesis)

In this systematic review of peer-reviewed literature, about 54 % of studies exploring the COVID-19 impacts on soundscapes ($n = 64$) measured changes of auditory perceptions or human-related responses, including noise perception, noise annoyance/disruption, acoustic quality (satisfaction, comfort), and noise complaints. Nearly 39 % of studies ($n = \ldots$)
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46) investigated sound level changes due to the COVID-19 confinements (e.g., lockdown) and infection mitigation measures. The remaining studies conducted both perceptual and noise level assessments \((n = 9)\). Most of the perceptual changes of auditory sensations were evaluated by research participants or volunteers, some of the studies employed authors’ intensive evaluations or assessments based on the surrounding observations. Majority studies \((91.6 \%, n = 109)\) employed observational or cross-sectional study design. Other studies occurred in an experimental setting \((n = 4)\), conducted retrospective assessments \((n = 4)\) or performed multiple analytical studies \((n = 2)\). The geographical distribution of the research investigations from the collected studies is shown in Fig. 2. It is noted that more than half of the included studies have investigated EU countries \((52.1 \%, n = 62)\). Other locations observed frequently are countries from Asia and North America. Some of the papers cited similar findings from their former studies \((n = 8)\), hence their findings were considered as replicated or non-unique. The results of the OHAT risk of bias assessment for the individual included studies in human subjects are shown in Table A.4.

Of the 119 included studies with 160 individual impacts, we identified 151 unique impacts on soundscapes under the COVID-19 pandemic as well as lockdown conditions \((Fig. 3)\). It was highlighted that the COVID-19 influenced our soundscapes both adversely and beneficially. Of the positive aspects of the COVID-19 impacts on quantitative noise levels—that have been already revealed from the previous reviews—most studies observed the reduction of the physical noise levels from external anthropogenic noise sources, including roads \((Aletta et al., 2020b; Terry et al., 2021)\), aircraft \((Montano and Gushiken, 2020; Vogiatzis et al., 2020; Amoatey et al., 2021)\), and seaport activity noise \((Čurowić et al., 2021)\) and outdoor noises from human activities \((Kalawapudi et al., 2021; Manzano et al., 2021)\). The other aspects presented either increase in noise level (e.g., utilizing natural ventilation strategies) or other fluctuation patterns in noise levels, etc. \((Aguilar et al., 2021; de la Hoz-Torres et al., 2021)\). In contrast, there are almost equal amounts of positive and negative impacts on perceptual responses. Positive perceptual changes, including perceptions of traffic noises reduced and more natural sounds were heard \((Garrido-Cumbrera et al., 2021; Derryberry et al., 2020)\), would be interpretable based on their objective counterpart (i.e., traffic noise levels reduced, then unmasked natural sounds). Enhanced natural sounds would lead to great restorative quality \((Qiu and Zhang, 2021)\), and in turn with better perceived health \((Dzhambov et al., 2021)\) as well as improved comfort conditions while working at home \((Torresin et al., 2022)\). Even the short-term noise reduction, as experienced during the lockdown, a recent study found the substantial decrease of adverse cardiovascular events because of the reduction in aircraft noise during the lockdown \((Wojciechowska et al., 2022)\), hence a psychophysiological benefit. This finding could support the hypothetical arguments for improvement in cardiovascular health resulting from the COVID-19 related noise reduction \((Amaotey et al., 2021; Ramphal et al., 2022; Yildirim and Arefi, 2021)\). These results are parts of the consequential outcomes of the positive changes due to the pandemic soundscapes. In a few studies, employees found acoustic conditions more suitable and satisfactory at home compared to their regular office spaces or classrooms \((Umishio et al., 2021; Fatjas et al., 2021)\); although, noise problems in their regular spaces were further conspicuous and should be improved. On the other hand, there is insufficient evidence of noise level changes that can explain negative perceptual changes, including perceptions of indoor housing noises increased and the presence of neighborhood noise \((Lee and Jeong, 2021; Kracht et al., 2021; Jaines Torres et al., 2021)\). Besides, the negative changes were associated with more variety of perceptual dimensions such as annoyance \((Andargie et al., 2021; Şentop Dümen and Saher, 2020)\), disturbance \((Nassar, 2021)\), and increasing of unsatisfactory opinions \((Lee and Jeong, 2021)\), compared to those associated with the positive aspects. Most adverse perceptions were regarded with internal or external neighborhood or indoor housing noises. Notably, adverse consequences of the negative COVID-19 soundscapes seem more substantial than their counterparts, as disclosed below:

- **Negative impacts on work-from-home (WFH) and learn-from-home (LFH) environments due to the affected soundscapes, including reduced appropriateness for working environments** \((Torresin et al., 2021)\), reduced WFH/LFH ability \((Andargie et al., 2021; Chere and Kirkham, 2021; Telli et al., 2021)\), less concentration \((Puglisi et al., 2021)\), and increased vocal fatigue while WFH \((Siqueira et al., 2021)\)

---

**Fig. 3.** Comprehensive reflects of qualitative synthesis of the collected studies in the two research classes. This figure highlights important facets of negative soundscape changes due to the COVID-19 pandemic and their adverse consequences. WFH = Work from home; LFH = Learn from home; EJC = Environmental justice community. \(n_i\) = Number of pandemic-related impacts on soundscapes.


• Negative effects on psychological responses due to the pandemic soundscapes include perceived stress increased (Bourin-Bédés et al., 2021), less restorative environments (Dzhambov et al., 2021), and unhealthier mental status (García-Esquinas et al., 2021).

• Negative responses were exacerbated among environmental justice communities (EJCs) —those who suffer most from environmental stressors as the US Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) defines them as overburdened and underserved communities (USEPA, n.d.). Increase of noise complaints (Tong et al., 2021; Ramphal et al., 2022), more severely suffered by excessive noises (González-Rábago et al., 2021; Bower et al., 2021), and more dissatisfaction were observed among low-income or unemployed communities.

As shown above, it was concluded that the negative aspects of the COVID-19 impacts on acoustic environments—that have not been fully revealed yet in the previous reviews—would considerably influence human health and well-being. However, current evidence is limited regarding objective measurements of the indoor acoustic environments.

Table 1 summarizes the study characteristics of the 14 selected articles regarding the results of the auditory perceptual changes (from the 73 studies). Notice that majority of the studies employed human subjects, including residents, academics (e.g., students, instructors), and general office workers who performed WFH, to assess changes in human auditory perceptions amid the COVID-19 pandemic. The median age of the participants was 32.4 years ($M = 33.90, SD = 12.37$) ranging from ages 4 (children) to 74.5 (older adults) while males were underrepresented (42.8%), according to the studies with available data ($n = 53$). Sample sizes hugely varied among the included studies, ranging from three (personal-level experiences) to 10,765 (national surveys) ($M = 1250, SD = 1969.30$) while the median sample size was 500. More than half of the observational studies used non-probabilistic sampling methods (e.g., convenience/snowball sampling) while only 12 studies performed probabilistic sampling (e.g., cluster/simple-random sampling). Of the 73 studies, about 40% studies ascertained their results have been statistically adjusted for confounders (e.g., socio-demographic, housing typological, and human behavioral factors). Only a few studies included other environmental factors, including indoor air quality, lighting, and thermal factors. Almost one third studies successfully collected or externally acquired pre-COVID datasets to make comparisons between pre and during/post COVID-19 situations.

In qualitative synthesis, study characteristics of the included papers in the two research classes are illustrated: their approaches, methods, locations, measures, and analytical outcomes are well-partitioned by the literature data analysis (Fig. 1) and further summarized. It is highlighted that the COVID-19 measures and pandemic situations positively influenced outdoor soundscapes by reducing external anthropogenic noise sources, while negatively affected indoor and surrounding soundscapes. Particularly, adverse impacts of the COVID-19 pandemic on soundscapes would result in even more various negative consequences compared to their counterpart.

### 3.2 Understanding of noise level reductions (quantitative synthesis)

Of the 55 included studies investigating noise level changes due to the COVID-19 measures, 49 studies that have analyzed pre-post quantitative acoustical data were initially considered for the meta-analysis. Assessing comparability of the collected parameters and measurement stages among the eligible studies, 148 individual observations (i.e., samples of averaged noise level changes) from 31 unique papers were finally selected for the meta-analysis (Fig. A.2). Nineteen of the studies have presented time series (long-term trends/variations) of acoustic parameters, while the majority cases reported hourly or weekly values of $L_{eq}$ and/or $L_{den}$ from January to June 2020, with the March–April peak period (Table A.5). Given that most of these quantitative studies utilized descriptive statistics, we integrated the evidence across the studies by conducting aggregate analysis (Cooper et al., 2019) to provide descriptions of the quantitative noise-level reductions worldwide due to the COVID-19 pandemic. Although quantitative synthesis may not be perfectly meaningful for all the included studies, further considerations of the comparability and homogeneity of the methodologies and measurement techniques will be accounted for in the following sensitivity analysis.

Aggregating the descriptive statistics demonstrated that strictness of the governments’ containment measures against COVID-19 spread was significantly positively related to noise level reduction in the measured locations ($\beta = -0.48, p < .001$), as shown in Fig. 4. That is, the more severe the COVID-19 confinements became (closer to 100), the greater noise level reduction was observed. This statistically significant trend was observed more apparent for continuous sound pressure level ($L_{eq, 24h}$, $L_{den}$ day-night level: $L_{dn}$) ($\beta = -0.55, p < .001$), followed by day ($L_d$) and evening ($L_e$) levels ($\beta = -0.50, p = .001$ and $\beta = -0.47, p = .038$, respectively), whereas the trend was in-significant for night ($L_n$) levels ($\beta = -0.30, p = .074$). It would be probable that noise reduction at night was unrelated to the strictness of the government policy but may be associated with local activities occurred during the night-time period (i.e., night-life area). Importantly noted that, among the continuous parameters $L_{eq, 24h}$, $L_{den}$ and $L_{dn}$ there are no substantial differences in their mean noise reductions and their associations with the stringency levels.

To explore how this overall trend might be affected by the heterogeneity of the study characteristics, a series of subgroup analyses were performed to provide a category-wise summary estimates and descriptions (Table 2). It was highlighted that the urban morphology factor influenced the association between the stringency level and the noise level reduction. The largest mean noise reduction was observed in active areas (e.g., restaurants, commercial areas), which reflects significant behavior modifications by people (e.g., store closure, avoiding crowds). The largest variance in quiet areas may be due to some studies declaring areas around hospitals, courts, or other facilities as silence areas—expeditiously included as quiet areas (Garg et al., 2022; Mimani and Singh, 2021). The observed noise reduction was significantly associated with the government stringency level of restrictive policies in quiet areas ($\beta = -0.63, p < .001$). Whereas this association was yet significantly related in active ($\beta = -0.26, p = .049$) —not as remarkable as the quiet area though—and was unrelated in traffic-dominated areas ($\beta = -0.33, p = .063$). This result highlights that strictness of the governments’ policies significantly contributed to the noise level reduction in quiet areas, including parks and calm residential areas, which demonstrates that the governments’ orders directly influenced residents’ daily lives and their core-living environments, hence residential or neighborhood soundscapes. In contrast, people would have significantly limited their regular activities in commercial, leisure, or tourist destinations as being affected of such an unknown virus at the initial stage of the pandemic rather than being fully restricted by the governments. Therefore, higher noise reduction observed in active areas would be related with the stricter level of the governments’ restrictions, but it may not be as substantive as their core-residential or neighborhood areas. Moreover, noise level reduction was less obvious in traffic-dominated areas (e.g., nearby major roads, traffic intersections), and would be irrelevant to the policy strictness. This observation might be because some essential transportations were continuously operated even when the strict measures were imposed, such as emergency medical service (e.g., ambulance with sirens) (Mishra et al., 2021; Zambon et al., 2021) and private vehicles of critical workers (e.g., supply chain and food delivery workers) (Aletta et al., 2020b). Besides, traffic noise was caused by not only traffic volume but also by speed of individual vehicles. The significant reduction in traffic volume reduced in a noticeable manner in the traffic speed with fewer vehicles, hence little noise reduction (Aletta et al., 2020a) or even noise levels increased (Terry et al., 2021). The overall mean noise-reduction levels in the subcategories of the three urban morphologies were presented (Fig. 5), which reflects more detailed variability in the averaged noise-level reductions among the individual areas.

Likewise, the noise source type affected the association between the mean noise reduction and stringency level. The noise reductions owing to traffic noise was irrelevant with the strictness of the governments’ restrictions whereas the noise reductions owing to unspecified noise...
| Author(s)             | Year  | Country       | Objective                                                                 | Sampling Method                                                                 |
|----------------------|-------|---------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Chen et al. (2021)   | 2019  | China         | To explore the direct association between perceived noise and psychological distress | Convenience sampling (Online survey, phone)                                      |
| Amoatey et al. (2021)| 2019  | Ghana         | To explore perceived noise in multi-unit dwellings during the lockdown       | Convenience sampling (Online survey, telephone)                                  |
| Puglisi et al. (2021)| 2019  | Italy         | To explore the subjective noise annoyance in indoor environments             | Convenience sampling (Online survey, mail)                                       |
| Telli et al. (2021)  | 2019  | USA           | To identify the perceived academic noise annoyance in distance learning      | Convenience sampling (Online survey, phone)                                      |
| Qiu et al. (2021)    | 2019  | Australia     | To explore how the interactive restorative characteristics of natural soundscapes impact wellbeing | Convenience sampling (Online survey, biweekly)                                   |
| Torresin et al. (2021)| 2021 | UK            | To explore the direct association between perceived noise and psychological distress | Convenience sampling (Online survey, Social media)                              |
| Şaher (2020)         | 2020  | Turkey        | To explore the impact of the lockdown on noise annoyance                       | Convenience sampling (Online survey, telephone)                                  |
| Şentop Dümen and     | 2021  | Turkey        | To explore the direct association between perceived noise and psychological distress | Convenience sampling (Online survey, phone)                                      |
| Tong et al. (2021)   | 2019  | UK            | To explore the direct association between perceived noise and psychological distress | Convenience sampling (Online survey, Social media)                              |
| Yiğitcan and         | 2021  | USA           | To explore the direct association between perceived noise and psychological distress | Convenience sampling (Online survey, Social media)                              |

**Note:** The table includes studies that have investigated auditory perceptual changes. Gender = (mean) percentage in male participants. D = Demographic or sociodemographic; P = Psychological; H = Housing typological; IEQ = Indoor Environmental quality (e.g., thermal comfort, air quality); SP = Spatial (e.g., distance from city center); B = Behavioral. The confounders that were statistically adjusted for are in **boldface.**
sources were statistically significantly related to that strictness. Although non-negligible sample-size difference was found in these groups, the above-mentioned results were substantially consistent when we solely considered the continuous parameters. The mean noise reductions owing to aircraft and sea-traffic noises were $-7.9$ dBA ($SD = 2.58$) and $-3.48$ dBA ($SD = 0.21$), respectively. However, the number of samples was small; thus, no conclusive findings regarding the air and sea-traffic noises can be provided. The road-traffic noise levels—that had been sampled not only at traffic-dominated areas but also at some active and quiet areas—could not be reduced by the stricter government’s policy. In contrast, the unspecified broader noise levels could be decreased with a proper government’s restrictions.

Note that significant differences in group sizes were found among two factors: geographical area and measurement day of the week, hence no decent comparative evaluation. However, their majority patterns (e.g., Asia or Europe areas, both weekday and weekend measurements) are akin to the overall trend. Likewise, the comparison type (longitudinal versus temporal) did not affect the association between the severity level and the noise reduction. Namely, the strictness of the COVID19 confinements influenced the noise level reduction regardless of whether the pre-pandemic periods (i.e., reference-dominated periods) were either previous years (e.g., 2018, 2019) or the same year (e.g., 2020).

If we only included studies with low measurement uncertainty (hence high precision), the regression coefficients were still significant while $95\%$ confidence intervals and standard errors were narrower and smaller (Table A.6). Therefore, it was concluded that the comparability and homogeneity of the measurement methodologies and instrumentations would influence the precision of the estimates.

In the quantitative synthesis, the average noise reduction levels were associated with the strictness of the restrictive policies imposed by the governments. This trend is consistent for most acoustic parameters, reference periods, as well as the majority of geographical areas on both weekday and weekend conditions. Meanwhile, noise level reduction was statistically positively related with the severity level of the government restriction in quiet and active areas whereas traffic-dominated areas did not observe much noise reduction even with the stricter government policies. Likewise, the noise reduction owing to unspecified noise sources was significantly associated with the government’s restriction level while the noise reduction owing to road-traffic noises was not. Nevertheless, this finding shows a snapshot of how much quieter environments can be at which area or regarding what noise source in our cities.

Although the two-fold approach, based on auditory perceptual and noise level changes, is sensible, an integration of their research findings provides comprehensive understanding of the pandemic impacts on soundscape—regarding internal and/or external noise sources observed across the various locations. A possible reconciliation between these changes would be achieved regarding the external anthropogenic/natural noises in residential—quiet area, such that perceiving reduced traffic noise and unmasked natural sounds was comparable to the pandemic restriction-related noise level reduction in quiet areas. This observation; thus, verified that the auditory perceptual and environmental factors were mutually influenced by the COVID-19 pandemic.

4. Prospective soundscape approaches for current and post-pandemic era

Based on our qualitative and quantitative syntheses of the COVID-19 impacts on soundscapes, we propose a framework of prospective approaches for the current and post-pandemic soundscapes by means of three soundscape materiality concepts and their nexuses with five sustainable development goals (SDGs), as shown in Fig. 6.

Remote-working or learning environments were not familiar to the general population until the COVID-19 pandemic emerged, and they have suddenly become commonplace since 2020 (Niebuhr et al., 2022). The world
was unprepared for the transaction from on-site to virtual environments, which may lead to inappropriate acoustic conditions that could be associated with poor academic/working performance, including cognitive and reading tasks, and less motivation (Jahncke et al., 2011; Klatte et al., 2013; Shield and Dockrell, 2008). Due to the long-lasting (or even default) work-from-home (WFH) and learn-from-home (LFH) conditions, we are yet in urgent need of improving indoor acoustic environments, which is especially pertinent in the context of SDGs 4 and 8. Acquiring easy access to a quiet environment or a room facing a quiet side of the dwelling is one of the prospective options, and it is even more beneficial for the undergraduate students, which were significantly related with greater academic difficulty (Telli et al., 2021). Besides, listening to music or wearing headphones while studying were reported by two-thirds of people who have been stressed due to the COVID-19 pandemic (Qiu et al., 2021).

Pandemic soundscapes have unintentionally demonstrated some ideal atmospheres for acoustic environments reflecting as the positive facets in this review (Caniato et al., 2021; Garrido-Cumbraer et al., 2021). The given snapshot of the possible outside-noise reduction in quiet and active areas was associated with the severity of the pandemic restriction. However, increased indoor noises, including those transmitted through neighborhood paths, seemed unacceptable during the COVID-19 pandemic, which would ultimately affect public health. Besides, the observed acoustically-desirable atmosphere thanks to the severe pandemic restrictions was certainly unsustainable. We have already witnessed anthropogenic noises that have re-emerged and masked natural sounds again, hereby reversing physical noise levels, after lifting the pandemic restrictions (Montano and Gushiken, 2021; Redel-Macias et al., 2021; Rumpler et al., 2021). These notions highlight the imperative need of feasible approaches to compensate the reversed acoustic environments with more appropriate soundscapes while maintaining public health and well-being, which should be stressed as the need to reach SDGs 3 and 11. Utilization of restorative impacts of natural soundscapes (e.g., comfort bird sounds, waterscapes) on public mental health is one of the prospective options, and it is even more beneficial for people who have been stressed due to the COVID-19 pandemic (Qiu et al., 2021). Their several advantages—being as complimentary open resources, away from crowds, and enjoyable for all ages—are specifically desirable under the COVID-19 pandemic. Besides, the balance of soundscapes qualities, including both indoor and outdoor-caused sound qualities, should be adequately managed by evaluating with the urban morphology and the prevailing sound sources. While enhancing more accessible restorative soundscapes, we should design adequate balance of our total acoustic environments for relieving people mentally stressed due to the pandemic situations, for supporting public health.

The above-mentioned support should be equitably promoted, and priority should be given to environmental justice communities (EJCs) as the

---

Table 2
Subgroup analysis.

| Parameter | Ns  | Slope (β) | t (t value) | r (t value) | FANOVA | H (p) |
|-----------|-----|-----------|-------------|-------------|--------|-------|
| Leq, 24h | 48  | -0.18     | -0.55***    | -0.52***    | -1.45/ -18.06 (-6.33 ± 3.47) | 0.81  | 3.38  |
| Ldn       | 38  | -0.17     | -0.50***    | -0.52***    | 0.28/ -18.95 (-5.30 ± 3.84)  | 1.99  | 5.70  |
| Ldn       | 20  | -0.23     | -0.47*      | -0.40       | -1.95/ -13.50 (-2.69 ± 2.19) | 1.99  | 5.70  |
| Ldn       | 36  | -0.09     | -0.30       | -0.28       | -0.30/ -13.63 (-5.58 ± 3.16) | 0.98  | 9.54  |

Note: n = 31. Comparison type: Longitudinal = data from previous years (e.g., 2019) were used for comparisons as pre-pandemic periods; Temporal = data from the same year (e.g., 2020) were used for comparisons as pre-pandemic period. Measurement day of week: Both = measurements were conducted on both weekdays and weekends; Weekday = measurements were conducted on weekdays; Weekend = measurements were conducted on weekends.

Due to the limited amount of samples distributed non-normally, equivalent non-parametric statistics (r; Spearman correlation that is analogous to parametric Pearson correlation: r; Kruskal-Wallis H test: H) are reported.

Urban morphology was referred to Asensio et al. (2020b).
pandemic has widened the disparity in various facets, including employment support and housing security (Paremoer et al., 2021; Perry et al., 2021). It was suggested that acoustic environments in EJCs should be considerably assessed (Walker et al., 2021) because the communities potentially experience risks of disproportionate noise exposure (Casey et al., 2017). Some students from distressed communities still utilize remote learning at home, and their challenging learning environments are likely to be different from those from high-income families under well-protected conditions. Governments should provide direct support through financial and technical subsidies to address environmental justice issues, which devotes to the focus area of SDG 10. Prospective research efforts are needed to challenge the inequitable environmental issues and identify adequate solutions. Although the impacts of pandemic soundscapes on WFH performance were highlighted in this review, works that are amenable to be performed at home generally pay more while lower-paid workers usually do not have this option, hence significant inequalities associated with the WFH ability in the current and post-pandemic periods (Nwosu et al., 2021). Excessive focus on a particular environment (in this case, WFH) worsens inequality by mostly helping those high-income parties; therefore, research benefits would not be available to broader populations, including low-income workers.

Given the insights into the proposed framework, it is outlined that COVID-19 pandemic has driven the necessity of SDG practices and its appropriate implementations throughout the prospective soundscape approaches, which would promote resilient and sustainable acoustic environments. Unpreparedness towards resilient soundscapes would fail quick
adaptations to prolonged disruptions to society’s normal operations and/or potential unprecedented changes. Governments should consider long-term financial subsidies and professional technical assistance without any communities being neglected or relegated to a distressed status.

5. Limitations

The literature exploring the COVID-19 impacts on soundscape has emerged rapidly worldwide. Despite the fact that this review compiled as many papers as possible, it might have missed some reports, especially non-English literature. Resolving this language limitation, more inclusive views of the studied impacts could be provided. In the qualitative synthesis, the reliability of the included studies remains questionable since majority of these studies had a probably high risk of bias linked to sampling selection and exposure/outcome detection, which is presumably the result of the nature of our research topic. In the quantitative synthesis, the meta-analysis utilized the aggregate indices from the OxCGRT. However, the calculation of these indices is mainly based on the country-level data, and only a few subnational data are available (e.g., USA state-level responses) (Oxford University, 2022). Most countries have no state-level or province-level OxCGRT data although their government policies would differ among their nations. Therefore, it would be possible that the extracted OxCGRT data may not fully reflect the actual restriction levels in some investigated locations. Besides, presentation of the urban morphology through the chosen references may limit polysemy of concepts of some terms such as “quiet area” which varies widely depending on the location of the world and its context. Additionally, a separate meta-analysis to estimate the effect of the pandemic sound environments on the perceptual facets of human responses would also be valuable. Furthermore, there is a lack of details in methodologies and measurement techniques in the included studies; thus, the conditions addressed for the measurement uncertainty in the sensitivity analysis were yet limited. Additions of other uncertainty conditions such as weather/ground condition, distance from the source, and the measurement time interval (ISO, 2017) should be appropriate in prospective research. Ultimately, some well-established tools for assessing the risk of bias in environmental noise studies are needed to allow proper reviews.

6. Conclusion

The current study has presented a comprehensive synthesis of the literature examining the COVID-19 impacts on soundscape and acoustic environments. Based on a systematic review of the 119 studies, it was concluded that the adverse consequences of pandemic soundscape on human health and well-being were substantial, while beneficial aspects of the COVID-19 pandemic on soundscape were yet identified. Our meta-analysis indicated that the averaged noise level reduction was associated with the strictness of the governments’ policies and restrictions fighting against the COVID-19 transmission. This association was significantly altered by the urban morphology and noise source; that is, the stringency of the imposed restrictions directly influenced residents’ daily lives and their core-living environments or where unspecified broader noise sources were predominant, hence residential or neighborhood soundscape. Given the results of our qualitative and quantitative syntheses, a framework of soundscape materiality, its nexus with the related five SDGs actions, and prospective insights into resilient soundscape was proposed to overcome the present and future impacts of the COVID-19 pandemic. Future research should consider the substantial implications of the resilient soundscape and determine the policy measures that could effectively tune residents’ acoustic environments to enhance human health and well-being.
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