A Bovine Collagen Type I-Based Biodegradable Matrix as a Carrier for Tissue-Engineered Urothelium
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Abstract

Engineering of lower urinary tract tissue suitable for reconstructive surgery requires biomaterials as cell carriers, particularly in patients for whom autologous grafts are not available. Matrices should support growth, improve mechanical stability, feature excellent biocompatibility, and fully degrade without signs of scarring at the implantation site. In this study, a new bovine collagen type I-based biodegradable non-cross linked matrix was investigated for its suitability as a carrier for porcine and human urothelial cells in vitro. Initial cell adherence, metabolic activity, and proliferation behaviour of cells isolated from tissue biopsies were analyzed. Constructs were characterized immunohistologically in comparison with matrix-free cell sheets established on plastic surface (=controls). Even for high-density seeding, adherence on collagen cell carrier (CCC) was excellent. Metabolic activity and proliferation of stratifying porcine and human urothelial cells cultured on CCC were comparable to that of controls. Immunofluorescence analysis confirmed epithelial phenotype, cell-cell junction formation and ongoing differentiation of the multilayered urothelium on CCC. This study proved CCC as a suitable carrier for urothelial cells for the future aim for urethral reconstruction.
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Introduction

Urogenital impairment resulting from congenital diseases, traumatic injuries, inflammation, tumours, or medical intervention requires reconstructive surgery techniques. In patients for whom autologous grafts are not available, suitable tissue equivalents are needed for reconstructive purposes [1]. For this reason, tissue engineering (TE) has become a promising technique in recent years [2,3]. Current methods of TE aim to develop biological structures and devices that restore, maintain, or improve functions of different diseased mammalian tissues [4]. The primary two TE objectives in reconstructive urology are to provide the surgeon with a tissue-engineered construct using “off-the-shelf” material and reduce the need for a second surgical intervention. The first step in TE techniques for the lower urinary tract include the isolation and the expansion of primary urothelial cells followed by the development of a 3D urothelial differentiated and stratified tissue. Monolayered primary urothelial cell cultures are induced to differentiate and stratify into matrix-free urothelial tissue or are seeded on biodegradable cell carriers to obtain matrix-stabilized urothelium [5-7].

To be suitable as tissue equivalents, biomaterials should support primary cell attachment and proliferation, be biocompatible, induce tissue regeneration, and rapidly degrade in vivo [8,9]. In addition, it should allow the induction of differentiation and development of typical 3D stratified urothelial tissue. Synthetic polymers [3,10,11], biological compounds such as heterologous acellular tissue matrices including small intestinal submucosa (SIS) [7,12-14], bladder acellular matrix graft (BAMG) [15-18], and naturally-derived processed materials such as collagen [19,20] have been extensively investigated for their applicability. However, the quest for the ideal substitute is still ongoing.

Collagen is the major extracellular matrix protein in vertebrates ensuring integrity of various tissues and organs. It forms a framework in conjunction with other extracellular molecules. Thus, collagen affects cell attachment, proliferation, migration, differentiation, and survival in vivo. Since their enzyme-based degradation does not produce any toxic degradation products, collagens are considered biocompatible. In view of its chemical and physical properties, which include low solubility, controllable stability, biodegradability, low immunogenicity, and high tensile strength, fibrous collagen appears to be an interesting cell carrier material for tissue engineering purposes. Of the 28 collagens identified to date, fibrillar collagen type I is the most abundant structural component in arteries, bone, cornea, ligaments, skin, and tendons. It represents 90% of the collagen in the human body and is an important stress-withstanding protein [21-23]. Diverse collagen matrices have been investigated as matrices or scaffolds in various fields of TE, including urologic TE [3,24,25].

With the focus on reconstructive surgery of the lower urinary tract in tissue engineered matrix-stabilized urothelium, the aim of this study was to investigate the suitability of a new, highly standardised manufactured, ultrathin bovine collagen type I-based cell carrier (CCC) as a matrix for urothelial cells in vitro. We therefore studied this material with regard to the following characteristics: adherence of porcine and human urothelial cells (PUC and HUC) on the CCC, metabolic activity, proliferation behaviour of 3D-constructed urothelial tissues, and its expression profile of specific urothelial markers.
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Materials and Methods

Production of the bovine collagen cell carrier (CCC)

The CCC used in this study was provided by Viscofan Bioengineering, a business unit of Naturin Viscofan GmbH, Weinheim, Germany. The raw materials for CCC production were bovine hide splits that meet Regulation ISO 22442-2:2007 requirements (Medical Devices Using Animal Tissues and Their Derivatives in terms of tissue safety and traceability—Part 2: Controls on Sourcing, Collection and Handling). The hide splits were dehaired and split into three layers: grain, central, and flesh split, of which the central split was roughly pre-cut, washed with water, and subsequently decomposed using alkaline (Ca(OH)$_2$/NaOH, pH 13 for 120 h at 20°C). After neutralization, the material was washed and mechanically processed to obtain a concentrated collagen gel under temperature control of under 23°C and finally diluted to a collagen concentration of 2.0% (w/w). The pH value was adjusted to 2.9 and deaerated. The mixture was subsequently passed through a homogenizer, poured through a slit, and the resulting gel film (21 × 14.8 cm) was fumigated with ammonia gas. The physiological pH value was increased by washing followed by incubation for 30 min in a CO$_2$ incubator in cell culture medium (pH 7.3 by a Sørensen buffer/glycerol mixture). The collagen sheets were then sterilized by gamma irradiation (25 kGy) [26].

Culture of porcine urothelial cells

Porcine urothelial cells (PUC) were isolated either from bladder biopsies or ureter specimens of minipigs under sterile conditions. Tissue specimens were immediately placed in ice-cold transport medium [27]. Tissues of up to 4 cm$^2$ pieces were transferred into a stripping solution containing Hank’s Balanced Salt Solution (HBSS)/1% EDTA (Biochrom), buffered with 10 mM HEPES (Invitrogen) including 20 kU/ml aprotinin (Bayer AG). After 2 h of incubation at 37°C, the urothelium was removed from the stroma by means of gentle scraping. Isolated cells were washed in keratinocyte serum-free medium (KSF; Invitrogen), supplemented with 50 µg/ml bovine pituitary extract, 5 ng/ml human recombinant epidermal growth factor (both Invitrogen), 30 ng/ml cholera toxin (List Biological Laboratories), and 100 U/ml penicillin/100 µg/ml streptomycin (Gibco). After centrifugation at 250 × g for 5 min cells were seeded into CellBIND culture flasks (Corning), subsequently. Urothelial cultures were maintained at 37°C in a humidified atmosphere of 5% CO$_2$. The culture medium was replaced for the first time after 2 days and thereafter every other day. For further passaging, subconfluent monolayers were washed in Dulbecco’s phosphate-buffered saline (DPBS) w/o Ca$^{2+}$ and Mg$^{2+}$ (Gibco) and cell layers were detached with 0.25% Trypsin-EDTA (Gibco) incubating for a maximum of 5 min at 37°C. Trypsin inhibition was performed with culture medium supplemented with 10% FCS. After centrifugation cell pellets were resuspended in culture medium after centrifugation and seeded into CellBIND culture flasks.

For stratification experiments, PUC were seeded in high-density (3–4 × 10$^4$ cells/cm$^2$). Confluence was checked 2 days after seeding and if found, differentiation was induced by adding CaCl$_2$, to the culture medium to a final concentration of 1.09 mmol/l.

Culture of human urothelial cells

Human ureter tissue samples were obtained from adult patients (aged 29–74 years; mean age, 52 years) undergoing open nephrectomy (with approval by the local ethics committee). Excess fat and connective tissue were removed. Isolation and culture were performed according to the PUC protocol, with the following modifications as previously described [28]. In brief: After 3 h of incubation in stripping solution at 37°C, the urothelium was removed from the stroma by means of gentle scraping, then centrifuged, resuspended and seeded as described above. For further passaging, subconfluent monolayers were incubated in PBS containing 0.1% EDTA (Biochrom) for 5–10 min at 37°C, followed by exposure to trypsin equivalent TrypLE Express (Invitrogen) for another 1–2 min. The detached cells were resuspended in culture medium and seeded into CellBIND culture flasks.

Loading culture dishes with CCC

Sterile discs of the CCC (diameter 14 mm) were transferred into 24-well microplates and soaked with pre-warmed DPBS with Ca$^{2+}$ and Mg$^{2+}$ (Biochrom). The discs were adjusted, buffer was suck-off and CCC was dried overnight in the operating laminar flow. After redrying, the CCC attached firmly to the well bottom (Figure 1). Before cell seeding, the dried CCC was equilibrated for 30 min in KSFM at 37°C and 5% CO$_2$.

PKH26 labelling

Subconfluent urothelial monolayers were detached. The suspended cells were labelled with the red fluorescent cell linker PKH26 according to the manufacturer’s protocol (Sigma) and seeded on the CCC. As a control, PKH26-labelled HUC were seeded on a standard plastic surface.

Cell adherence

The degree of primary cell adherence on standard plastic and on the CCC was indirectly ascertained by counting the non-adherent cells in the supernatant 1 day after initial cell seeding and calculating the percentage of non-adherent cells compared to the total number of initially seeded cells. Therefore, both 6 PUC cultures and 6 HUC cultures seeded in low-density (2.5 × 10$^4$ cells/cm$^2$) and seeded in high-density (3–4 × 10$^5$ cells/cm$^2$) conditions were counted.
Metabolic activity and proliferation behaviour of urothelial cells on the CCC

The metabolic activity of urothelial cells can be determined relatively via WST-1 assay in viable cells. For quantification of metabolic activity three PUC and HUC primary cultures each were seeded at passages 3-7 with high-density of $3 \times 10^5$ cells/cm$^2$ in 24-well microwraps and analyzed using WST-1 colorimetric assay (Roche) according to the manufacturer's protocol. The results for CCC were expressed as the optical density (OD) percentage related to the standard plastic surface as control. Analogous to the WST-1 assay, three urothelial cell cultures each (passages 2-7) were seeded and the proliferation behaviour was analysed with the colorimetric 5-bromo-2’-deoxyuridine (BrdU) assay (Roche), according to the manufacturer's protocol.

For both assays experiments were performed each prior to and 1, 4, and 8 days after induction of differentiation.

Immunofluorescence analysis of 3D human urothelium on CCC

Urothelial cells were seeded in high-density on the CCC, and differentiation was induced in confluent cultures by increasing calcium chloride concentration. On differentiation day 8, multilayered urothelium on CCC were fixed in 3.7% neutral buffered paraformaldehyde for 10 min at room temperature, washed with PBS, and embedded in tissue-freezing medium for subsequent cryoconservation. Immunostaining was performed on 5 µm cryostat sections with the primary antibodies detecting pankeratin (epithelial phenotype; Millipore), keratin 20 (urothelial differentiation marker; Dako), p63 (epithelial marker; Dianova), zona occludens 1 (tight junctions; Invitrogen) and E-cadherin (epithelial adherens junctions; DAKO). Primary antibody binding was visualized using fluorescein isothiocyanate (FITC)-conjugated polyclonal rabbit-anti-mouse secondary immunoglobulin (Dako). The seeded CCC was compared with matrix-free standard cultures on plastic surface as control.

Results

Primary cell adherence

For PUC seeded in high-density on CCC (Figure 2A), the mean percentage of non-adherent cells (13.6% of total number of seeded cells) were comparable to controls on standard plastic surface (12.7%). In low-density seeding, the mean percentage of non-adherent cells was slightly higher for CCC than for controls (15.3% vs 6.6%), indicating a decreased initial adherence on CCC.

For adherence of HUC (Figure 2B), the results for high-density seeding were equivalent between standard plastic surface and CCC (2.0% vs. 2.2%), whereas adherence was decreased for CCC with low-density seeding (2.2% vs 16.2%). Note that adherence of HUC was better than of PUC on CCC in high-density seeding (Figures 2A and 2B, right columns).

Metabolic activity in stratifying PUC and HUC cultures

Based on the results concerning adherence, the metabolic and proliferation assays were performed only with high-density seeding. For PUC cultures on CCC, metabolic activity determined as mean percentage of control values showed a slightly higher and increasing activity from 104% at day 0 to 119% at day 8 after induction of stratification. In stratifying HUC cultures, metabolic activity on CCC remained constant over time at approximately 90% of control on standard plastic surface (Figure 3).

Proliferation behaviour in stratifying PUC and HUC cultures

In all the proliferation assays performed prior to induction of
determination as well as in the on-going stratification, cell proliferation on CCC was comparable or even exceeded that of the corresponding controls (Figure 4). For PUC, the proliferation behaviour on CCC shown as mean percentages referred to the control values decreased from 122% to 96% over 8 days of differentiation. For HUC, a minor drop of proliferation was observed by a mean value trend from 139% (day 0) to 117% (day 8).

**Immunofluorescence analysis of 3D urothelium grown on CCC**

Compared to matrix-free established urothelial sheets on standard plastic surface, PKH26-labelled HUC cultures grown on CCC were likewise stained positive for the specific marker pankeratin thus demonstrating an epithelial phenotype (Figure 5). As expected the expression of p63 was most prominent in the basal cell layer. K-20 stained only partially positive, indicating an ongoing urothelial differentiation. Consistent expression of E-cadherin provided evidence for epithelial integrity (Figure 6). Altogether, fluorescence appeared slightly weaker in the flattened cells on CCC than in controls from plastic with more round shaped urothelial cells.

**Discussion**

In monolayered urothelial cultures established either from tissue biopsies or from bladder washings, differentiation can be induced by increasing the exogenous calcium concentration after culture confluence has been reached [29,30]. Similar to previous studies, we demonstrated that stratified matrix-free urothelial sheets showed immunoreactivity for pankeratin, p63, and partially for K-20 comparable to native human urothelium [28,30]. These results indicate the suitability of tissue-engineered multi-layered urothelium for applications in reconstructive urology, for example, in urethral reconstruction. Matrix-free cell sheets are fragile, mechanically delicate, and difficult to handle with surgical instruments or even to suture [30,31]. Therefore, different strategies for improving the stability of tissue-engineered urothelial constructs have been pursued. Fraser et al. described the transfer of tissue-engineered, matrix-free urothelial sheets to a polyglactin woven mesh for composite cystoplasty in a minipig model [2]. Although there was no macroscopic sign of shrinkage or contracture, histological analysis revealed that epithelial coverage was incomplete. Another strategy of Shiroyanagi et al. was to autograft in *vivo*-generated, matrix-free urothelial sheets onto demucosalized gastric flaps resulting in a native-like multilayered epithelium suitable for application in a dog model [32].

A further option is the use of biomaterials as cell carriers to provide mechanical stability and therefore facilitate *in vivo* application. Additionally, cell carrier matrices can promote urothelial cell growth. The use of poly (lactic-co-glycolic acid) scaffolds for bladder augmentation with or without seeding of cells was investigated by Jayo et al. in a large animal model [33]. Bladder regeneration was more complete with cell-seeded scaffolds than with unseeded scaffolds. These results are consistent with the study by Fu et al., who also achieved better results with seeded matrices compared to matrix alone used for urethral reconstruction in a rabbit model [34].

Primary cell adherence is the first critical point for the suitability of a biomaterial for seeding specific cell types. Furthermore the proliferation behaviour of the seeded cells is also important. In a previous study, seeding experiments were performed with a commercially available SIS acellular matrix in a serum-free cell culture system [7]. The investigated seeding of HUC on this clinically used matrix showed neither substantial cell adherence, nor measurable cell viability, so that SIS-conditioned cell culture medium was considered to be cytotoxic for HUC. Additionally, porcine DNA residues were detected within the SIS [7].

Biomaterials can be coated with extracellular matrix proteins, other adhesion molecules, or growth factors if necessary to enhance primary cell adherence and cell proliferation. In an attempt to improve primary cell adhesion, Danielsson et al. modified a collagen fleece using a coating with fetal bovine serum proteins and showed that proliferation of seeded smooth muscle cells was improved on these scaffolds and furthermore the released cells could be detected longer in vivo when high cell density seeded collagen fleeces were used for...
transplantation in mice [9]. In a study by Hudson et al., primary HUC attachment was improved on plates coated with collagen type I or IV compared to fibronectin or laminin [35]. HUC grown on fibronectin, collagen type IV, or laminin coating revealed increased DNA synthesis but no differences in metabolic activity were observed between these coatings. Kanematsu et al. incorporated β-FGF in a collagen sponge and investigated the matrices for functioning as a reservoir of this and others exogenous growth factors when subcutaneously applied in mice. They showed a sustained release and the induction of local angiogenic activity in vivo correlating with the degradation of the matrix [36,37].

In the present experiments, the data concerning primary cell adherence with high-density seeding on CCC were similar to those obtained on standard plastic surfaces, which were interestingly even better for HUC than for PUC. The small decrease that was observed in the initial adherence of both UC cultures with low-density seeding could be a considerable disadvantage in certain experimental settings, but in this instance, it is considered trivial due to the reduced time needed for confluent cell layering where seeding can be executed for a higher amount of cells. Thus, both WST-1 and BrdU assays were also performed after high-density seeding. Together with the subsequent induction of differentiation and stratification, the results showed that HUC seeded on CCC were similarly metabolically active and proliferate well compared to standard cultures. The minor difference in metabolic activity was overcome within the necessary time frame to develop the multi-layered bioartificial urothelium. In contrast to the matrix-free urothelial sheets contracted after detachment from the cell culture dish, the fluorescence of the investigated markers for the controls appeared to be more intense than that for the urothelium-CCC-constructs. Nevertheless, the urothelial phenotype and advanced stratification for both PUC and HUC were confirmed in cell sheets grown on the investigated CCC. Altogether, no indications were found for any cellular toxicity effects being administered through the CCC. This is consistent with the published data of Arana M et al., who investigated the seeding of ADSC on this kind of CCC matrix successfully and demonstrated the high cell density without any sign of apoptosis.

Collagen is known to induce only minor inflammation and antigenic responses. It combines tensile strength and flexibility and can be processed into different structures [38]. Commercially available collagen matrices show great variation regarding their regeneration potential [26]. They are very thick (e.g. SURGISIS® Soft Tissue Grafts from Cook® with 200 µm) which in most cases is associated with the time needed for degradation during in vivo experiments. The investigated bovine collagen type I-based non-cross linked cell carrier, CCC, in this study can be manufactured within a large-scale industrial production process and is of constant high quality. It is characterized by its outstanding biocompatibility; it’s very thin film thickness of approximately 20 µm, its high mechanical stability, its elasticity, and the proven adherence and proliferation of urothelial cells resulting in a wide range of applications in reconstruction of the lower urinary tract. The even better results for HUC-CCC than for the PUC-CCC gives great hope to attain even better results in needed clinical trial.

These characteristics, produced by highly standardised industrial production techniques, offer an interesting biodegradable matrix for use in genitourinary tissue engineering. However, this material has not yet been certified as a medicinal product. Regulatory certification of this particular material is needed to pave the way for clinical application but it is expected to receive approval for the first production line certified by DIN EN ISO 13485 (=medical products) before the end 2015.

Conclusion
The present study showed excellent adherence of PUC and HUC on the CCC when cells were seeded in high-density without any sign of toxicity for the seeded urothelium cells. The investigations of the metabolic activity and proliferation behaviour even demonstrated good support of urothelial cell growth. The immunohistological analysis of stratifying HUC cultures on CCC revealed homogeneous multilayer formation, ongoing urothelial differentiation, and typical junction formation in vitro. The process of generating a tissue-engineered urothelium was fully capable to manage marginal deviations in the initial culturing. Thus, the data obtained in these experiments demonstrated the suitability of CCC as a collagen matrix for proliferating and differentiating urothelial cells as well as for generating 3D urothelial tissues in vitro. As the next step towards clinical use of in vitro-generated urothelium-CCC-implants for reconstructive surgery of the lower urinary tract, in vivo investigations will be performed in small and large animal models addressing important aspects of tissue regeneration in urology such as instrumental handling, feasibility, biodegradability, and functional follow-up.
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