Clinical characteristics of resected solitary ground-glass opacities: Comparison between benign and malignant nodules
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Abstract

Background: The management of ground-glass opacities (GGOs) depends mainly on personal experience. In clinical practice, benign GGOs are not rare in resected specimens, for which operations may be avoided. We retrospectively compared the clinical features of resected GGOs to identify differential diagnostic characteristics.

Methods: Among 1456 patients with suspected malignant GGOs who underwent surgical resection, 105 patients (35 with benign GGOs and 70 matched controls with malignant GGOs) were included. Clinical characteristics, including demographics and radiologic, surgical and pathologic characteristics, were collected.

Results: The smoking index ($P = 0.044$), frequency of coughing ($P = 0.026$), GGO size ($P = 0.003$), size change during follow-up ($P = 0.011$), location ($P = 0.022$), presence of air bronchogram sign ($P = 0.004$), distance to the pleura ($P = 0.021$) and positron emission tomography/computed tomography (PET/CT) appearance ($P = 0.003$) showed significant differences between the benign and malignant groups. Pathologically, the resected benign GGOs included focal fibrosis (17), inflammation or infection (seven), lymphoproliferative disorder (one), hamartoma (three), inflammatory myofibroblastic tumor (two), hemangioma or vascular malformation (two), endometriosis (two) and pulmonary cyst (one).

Conclusions: A higher smoking index, coughing, larger size, similar or increased size during follow-up, location in the upper and middle lobes, air bronchogram sign on CT, lesion margin to pleura distance over 1 cm, and malignant tendency on PET/CT reports were associated with malignant GGOs. Relatively active surgical interventions could be considered for GGOs highly suspected of malignancy.

Introduction

Ground-glass opacities (GGOs) on computed tomography (CT) are defined as hazy opacities with preserved bronchial and vascular margins in the lung parenchyma.¹ With the availability of low-dose spiral CT scans of the lung, the detection of GGOs has increased rapidly. Pathologically, GGOs may be caused by partial airspace filling, interstitial thickening with inflammation, edema, fibrosis, neoplastic proliferation, normal respiratory conditions or increased pulmonary capillary blood volume.²

Although GGOs are nonspecific CT findings, the management of GGOs has gained increasing attention as these nodules may indicate lung cancer, most of which are adenocarcinomas. Several guidelines on GGO management have been published. In clinical practice, nonetheless, the decision to offer surgery and the timing of surgery still...
highly depend on personal experience. How to distinguish between benign and malignant GGOs remains a problem. Many studies have highlighted the radiologic characteristics of GGOs. However, well-recognized features of malignant GGOs are scarce. We herein report the clinical characteristics of resected GGOs, which were highly suspected to be malignant before surgery. The aim of this study was to retrospectively compare the clinical characteristics of benign and malignant GGOs in an attempt to identify characteristics that would assist in the differential diagnosis of these nodules and to help the future determination of resection necessity. A discussion of surgical strategy based on our experience is provided.

**Methods**

**Patients**

Between January 2016 and December 2019, 1456 patients at Peking Union Medical College Hospital in Beijing, China, were suspected to have malignant GGOs based on outpatient evaluations and underwent surgical resection. Of these patients, we excluded those with the following: (i) more than one nodule ($n = 764$); (ii) pathologically malignant nodules ($n = 646$, including atypical adenomatous hyperplasia); and (iii) unavailable entire pathologic sections ($n = 7$) or no lesion after resection ($n = 4$). Ultimately, 35 patients were included in this study (Fig 1).

Patients with benign GGOs were matched at a ratio of 1:2 with controls based on sex and age ($±$ five years). The matched controls included only patients with histologically confirmed malignant GGOs and were identified from our prospective database during the same period as the benign cases. The case control matching function of SPSS was used to conduct this randomized matching.

**Clinical evaluation**

All clinical features, including demographic information (age, sex), personal history (smoking history, drinking history, malignant tumor history, family history of lung cancer in a first-degree relative), and clinical features (manifestation, comorbidity, history of anti-inflammatory therapy and follow-up time), were retrospectively collected from the medical records and our prospective database. Descriptions of the variables are listed in Table S1.

**Radiologic evaluation**

All GGOs were evaluated using high-resolution CT (slice thickness = 2.5 mm) images within one month before surgery. The CT characteristics, including tumor size, spicule sign, vessel convergence sign, lobulated sign, calcifications, pleural indentation, thick wall cavity, thin wall cavity, air bronchogram sign, and vacuole sign, were reviewed blindly by two experienced thoracic surgeons. Any discrepancies were resolved by discussion with the third surgeon. The definitions of the characteristics are listed in Table S1.

**Figure 1 Flow chart of patient enrollment.**

Positron emission tomography/computed tomography (PET/CT) was performed for systemic evaluation within one month before surgery. The PET/CT images were evaluated by nuclear medicine physicians (>12 years of experience in PET/CT interpretation) before surgery. Notably, some patients chose other auxiliary examinations (i.e., MRI, CT or B-mode ultrasound) for systemic evaluation, as PET/CT is expensive and not usually covered by medical insurance.

**Surgical management and pathological examination**

The strict indications for surgical interventions included: (i) a pure GGO with diameter 8–15 mm becoming larger or where a solid component or other malignant signs appeared during follow-up; (ii) a pure persistent GGO with a diameter $>15$ mm, or becoming larger after a 3–6-month follow-up period; and (iii) a mixed persistent GGO, or becoming larger after a 3-month follow-up period. The relative indications for GGOs with diameters of 5–8 mm included: (i) a GGO located close to the pleura and suitable for wedge resection; (ii) a GGO highly resembling malignancy upon CT scan (i.e., containing a solid component, lobulated sign, pleural indentation or spicule sign); and
(iii) the patient being extremely anxious and unable to tolerate follow-up. All patients underwent video-assisted thoracoscopic surgery (VATS). The GGOs were completely resected via wedge resection, segmentectomy or lobectomy of the lung. The surgical information (description of pleural adhesions and hydrothorax) was recorded by the surgeon. When necessary, an intraoperative frozen section (FS) was made during surgery. All resected GGOs were examined by routine pathology. The specimens were examined by experienced pathology specialists, whose observations were recorded. The pathological diagnoses were based on the 2015 World Health Organization criteria.3, 4

**Statistical analysis**

Comparisons were made using the independent-samples t-test, the two-sample Kolmogorov–Smirnov test, the chi-square test or the Mann-Whitney U test, as appropriate. The Shapiro-Wilk test was used to evaluate if the variables followed a normal distribution (Table S2). A two-sided P < 0.05 was considered statistically significant. Statistical analyses were performed using SPSS 22.0 software (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA).

**Table 1** Comparison of the clinical characteristics of patients with solitary pulmonary GGOs

| Variable                                      | Overall (n = 105) | Benign (n = 35) | Malignant (n = 70) | P-value |
|-----------------------------------------------|-------------------|----------------|-------------------|---------|
| Demographic information                       |                   |                |                   |         |
| Age (± SD) (years)                            | 53.9 (±12.4)      | 53.9 (±12.5)   | 53.9 (±12.4)      | 0.983   |
| Sex, n (%)                                    |                   |                |                   |         |
| Male                                          | 33 (31.4%)        | 11 (31.4%)     | 22 (31.4%)        | 1.000   |
| Female                                        | 72 (68.6%)        | 24 (68.6%)     | 48 (68.6%)        |         |
| Smoking history, n (%)                        | 16 (15.2%)        | 6 (17.1%)      | 10 (14.3%)        | 0.701   |
| Smoking index (median, [IQR])                 |                   |                |                   |         |
| Overall                                       | 0 (0)             | 0 (0)          | 0 (0)             | 0.858   |
| Smokers only                                  | 425 (556)         | 300 (447)      | 700 (900)         | **0.044** |
| Drinking history, n (%)                       | 11 (10.5%)        | 4 (11.4%)      | 7 (10.0%)         | 0.822   |
| History of malignant tumor, n (%)             | 4 (3.8%)          | 2 (5.7%)       | 2 (2.9%)          | 0.471   |
| Family history of lung cancer, n (%)          | 8 (7.6%)          | 1 (2.9%)       | 7 (10.0%)         | 0.193   |
| Clinical information                          |                   |                |                   |         |
| Manifestation, n (%)                          |                   |                |                   |         |
| Cough                                         | 14 (13.3%)        | 1 (2.9%)       | 13 (18.6%)        | **0.026** |
| Hemoptysis                                    | 2 (1.9%)          | 1 (2.9%)       | 1 (1.4%)          | 0.614   |
| Abnormal phlegm                               | 7 (6.7%)          | 1 (2.9%)       | 6 (8.6%)          | 0.268   |
| Fever                                         | 8 (7.6%)          | 3 (8.6%)       | 5 (7.1%)          | 0.795   |
| Comorbidity, n (%)                            |                   |                |                   |         |
| Hypertension                                  | 30 (28.6%)        | 7 (20.0%)      | 23 (32.9%)        | 0.169   |
| Diabetes                                      | 5 (4.8%)          | 1 (2.9%)       | 4 (3.3%)          | 0.517   |
| Impaired liver function                       | 23 (21.9%)        | 4 (11.4%)      | 19 (27.1%)        | 0.066   |
| Impaired kidney function                      | 29 (27.6%)        | 12 (34.3%)     | 17 (24.3%)        | 0.280   |
| Ventilation dysfunction                       | 8 (7.6%)          | 5 (14.3%)      | 3 (4.3%)          | 0.069   |
| Weight loss (median, [IQR]) (kg)              | 0 (0)             | 0 (0)          | 0 (0)             | 0.461   |
| History of anti-inflammatory therapy, n (%)   | 32 (30.5%)        | 12 (34.3%)     | 20 (28.6%)        | 0.549   |
| Follow-up time (median, [IQR]) (days)         | 120 (210)         | 120 (305)      | 90 (173)          | 0.165   |

Descriptions of the variables are listed in Table S1. IQR, interquartile range; SD, standard deviation.

**Results**

A total of 105 patients with solitary pulmonary GGOs were retrospectively analyzed. The comparison of clinical characteristics between the benign and malignant groups is shown in Table 1. The average patient age was 53.9 years, and 31.4% were males. A total of 16 (22.6%) patients had a history of smoking, with no between-group difference (17.1% vs. 14.3%, P = 0.701). However, smokers in the malignant group showed a higher smoking index than those in the benign group (P = 0.044). The incidence of cough was significantly different between the benign and malignant groups (2.9% vs. 18.6%, P = 0.026), with no differences observed in hemoptysis (2.9% vs. 1.4%, P = 0.614), abnormal phlegm (2.9% vs. 8.6%, P = 0.268) or fever (8.6% vs. 7.1%, P = 0.795). A history of anti-inflammatory therapy was present in 34.3% and 28.6% of the benign and malignant groups, respectively (P = 0.549). The median follow-up time seemed longer in the benign group (120 days) than in the malignant group (90 days), although the difference was not significant. Notably, GGOs were more common to get larger in the benign group than in the malignant group (34.3% vs. 21.4%). The GGOs in three patients decreased in size, and all were benign. There
were no significant differences regarding drinking history (11.4% vs. 10.0%, P = 0.822), history of malignant tumors (5.7% vs. 2.9%, P = 0.471), family history of lung cancer (2.9% vs. 10.0%, P = 0.193), hypertension (20.0% vs. 32.9%, P = 0.169), diabetes (2.9% vs. 3.3%, P = 0.517), impaired liver function (11.4% vs. 27.1%, P = 0.066), impaired kidney function (34.3% vs. 24.3%, P = 0.280) or ventilation dysfunction (14.3% vs. 4.3%, P = 0.069).

The radiologic findings of the GGOs are shown in Table 2. There were no differences in the distribution of pure GGOs (22.9% vs. 31.4%), GGO-predominant nodules (34.3% vs. 41.4%) and solid-predominant nodules (42.9% vs. 27.1%) between the benign and malignant groups (P = 0.261). The details of the involved lobes are listed in Table S3. In both groups, nodules in the upper and middle lobes appeared more frequently involved than those in the lower lobes. Significantly more GGOs were present in the lower lobes in the benign group than in the malignant group (42.9% vs. 21.4%, P = 0.022). The median nodule size was smaller in the benign group (1.0 vs. 1.2, P = 0.003). The benign group showed fewer air bronchogram signs (11.4% vs. 38.6%, P = 0.004). More GGOs were located less than 1 cm from the pleura in the benign group (80.0% vs. 57.1%), (P = 0.021). Other characteristics, that is, spicule sign (57.1% vs. 65.7%, P = 0.392), vessel convergence sign (54.3% vs. 55.7%, P = 0.890), lobulated sign (57.1% vs. 68.6%, P = 0.248), calcifications (2.9% vs. 0%, P = 0.155), pleural indentation (2.9% vs. 1.4%, P = 0.614), thin wall cavity (2.9% vs. 1.4%, P = 0.614), and vacuole sign (48.6% vs. 50.0%, P = 0.890), showed no between-group differences.

PET/CT was conducted in 71 patients. After excluding uncertain diagnoses, the sensitivity of PET/CT for malignant GGOs was 90.6% (=29/[29 + 3]), and the specificity was 42.1% (=8/[8 + 11]). The positive predictive value was 72.5% (=29/[29 + 11]), and the negative predictive value was 72.7% (=8/[8 + 3]). The maximum standardized uptake (SUVmax) values were similar between the benign and malignant groups (0.9 vs. 0.8, P = 0.870).

Tables 3 and 4 summarize the pathological patterns of the benign and malignant GGOs, respectively. For benign

| Variable | Overall (n = 105) | Benign (n = 35) | Malignant (n = 70) | P-value |
|----------|------------------|----------------|------------------|---------|
| GGO feature, n (%) | | | | |
| Pure GGO | 30 (28.6%) | 8 (22.9%) | 22 (31.4%) | 0.261 |
| GGO-predominant | 41 (39%) | 12 (34.3%) | 29 (41.4%) | |
| Solid-predominant | 34 (32.4%) | 15 (42.9%) | 19 (27.1%) | |
| Involved lobes, n (%) | | | | |
| Upper + middle | 75 (71.4%) | 20 (57.1%) | 55 (78.6%) | 0.022 |
| Lower | 30 (28.6%) | 15 (42.9%) | 15 (21.4%) | 0.003 |
| Tumor size (median, (IQR)) (cm) | 1.1 (0.9) | 1.0 (0.4) | 1.2 (0.9) | |
| Size change during follow-up, n (%) | | | | |
| No change | 75 (71.4%) | 20 (57.1%) | 55 (78.6%) | 0.011* |
| Larger | 27 (25.7%) | 12 (34.3%) | 15 (21.4%) | |
| Smaller | 3 (2.9%) | 3 (8.6%) | 0 (0%) | |
| CT characteristics | | | | |
| Spicule sign, n (%) | 66 (62.9%) | 20 (57.1%) | 46 (65.7%) | 0.392 |
| Vessel convergence sign, n (%) | 58 (55.2%) | 19 (54.3%) | 39 (55.7%) | 0.890 |
| Lobulated sign, n (%) | 68 (64.8%) | 20 (57.1%) | 48 (68.6%) | 0.248 |
| Calcifications, n (%) | 1 (1%) | 1 (2.9%) | 0 (0%) | 0.155 |
| Pleural indentation, n (%) | 38 (36.2%) | 9 (25.7%) | 29 (41.4%) | 0.114 |
| Thick wall cavity, n (%) | 5 (4.8%) | 2 (5.7%) | 3 (4.3%) | 0.746 |
| Thin wall cavity, n (%) | 2 (1.9%) | 1 (2.9%) | 1 (1.4%) | 0.614 |
| Air bronchogram sign, n (%) | 31 (29.5%) | 4 (11.4%) | 27 (38.6%) | 0.004 |
| Vacuole sign, n (%) | 52 (49.5%) | 17 (48.6%) | 35 (50.0%) | 0.890 |
| Distance to pleural <1 cm, n (%) | 68 (64.8%) | 28 (80.0%) | 40 (57.1%) | 0.021 |

PET/CT diagnosis*, n (%) | | | | |
| Benign | 11 (15.5%) | 8 (22.9%) | 3 (6.5%) | 0.018 |
| Malignant | 40 (56.3%) | 11 (44.0%) | 29 (42.9%) | |
| Uncertain | 20 (28.2%) | 6 (17.1%) | 14 (21.4%) | |
| SUVmax* (median, (IQR)) | 0.9 (1.2) | 0.9 (0.8) | 0.8 (1.2) | 0.870 |

Descriptions of the variables are listed in Table S1. CT, computed tomography; IQR, interquartile range; PET, positron emission tomography; SUV, standardized uptake value.

*P is 0.087 when comparing the no-change group and the larger group.
Table 3 Pathological patterns of the benign GGOs

| Type                                | N (n%) |
|-------------------------------------|--------|
| Focal fibrosis                      | 17 (48.5%) |
| Inflammation or infection           |        |
| Granuloma                           | 3 (8.5%)  |
| Tuberculosis                        | 1 (2.9%)  |
| Aspergillus                         | 1 (2.9%)  |
| Others                              | 2 (5.7%)  |
| Lymphoproliferative disorder        | 1 (2.9%)  |
| Hamartoma                           | 3 (8.5%)  |
| Inflammatory myofibroblastic tumor  | 2 (5.7%)  |
| Hemangiomia or vascular malformation| 2 (5.7%)  |
| Endometriosis                       | 2 (5.7%)  |
| Pulmonary cyst                       | 1 (2.9%)  |

Table 4 Pathological findings of the malignant GGOs

| Variable                              | N (n%) |
|---------------------------------------|--------|
| Adenocarcinoma subtypes               |        |
| AIS                                   | 10 (14.3%) |
| MIA                                   | 7 (10.0%)  |
| IA                                    |        |
| Lepidic predominant                   | 30 (42.9%) |
| Acinar predominant                    | 20 (28.6%) |
| Papillary predominant                 | 3 (4.3%)  |
| Pathologic stage                      |        |
| 0                                     | 4 (5.7%)  |
| IA1                                   | 25 (35.7%) |
| IA2                                   | 27 (38.6%) |
| IA3                                   | 7 (10.0%)  |
| IB                                    | 5 (7.1%)  |
| IIB                                   | 2 (2.9%)  |

Subtypes of adenocarcinoma are based on the 2015 WHO classification of lung tumors.

Discussion

In the present study, we reported 35 patients with benign GGOs through a retrospective analysis of 1456 resected GGOs. We summarized the clinical features, imaging manifestations and pathological features of benign GGOs and compared benign and matched malignant cases. This case series, to the best of our knowledge, is the first to evaluate the clinical characteristics of resected benign GGOs.

Previous studies have found that GGOs do not necessarily indicate malignancy.5–7 Benign conditions, including focal interstitial fibrosis,3 infection, inflammatory processes or pulmonary hemorrhage,8 can present as GGOs on CT. Our present study provided pathological patterns of resected benign solitary GGOs. Focal fibrosis was identified in 17 cases (48.5%) and infection/inflammation in seven cases (20.0%). In addition, we reported cases of lymphoproliferative disorder, hamartoma, inflammatory myofibroblastic tumor, hemangioma or vascular malformation, endometriosis and pulmonary cyst, some of which have not been previously reported. The main components of focal fibrosis are interstitial septal thickening with fibroblast proliferation and preservation of the intra-alveolar airspace, and the solid components may be related to the presence of fibrotic foci or alveolar collapse.7 Some of the pathologic changes are irreversible, which may explain why GGOs do not disappear during follow-up. Inflammation can be related to any kind of infectious pneumonia, but cytomegalovirus (CMV) and Pneumocystis jirovecii are the most frequently reported.9 Several reports of pulmonary hemorrhage presenting as a GGO have been reported in the literature,10, 11 but this was not observed in our study. Patients with pulmonary hemorrhage should have been identified during outpatient evaluations; thus, no surgery was performed.

The differential diagnoses between benign and malignant GGOs are based mainly on personal experience. Several studies have investigated the risk factors of malignancy. GGO size is a well-recognized indicator.12 We also observed a correlation between GGO size and malignancy. Previous studies also suggested that an increase in size or solid component might predict a malignant tendency.13, 14 In our study, we did not observe a significant increase in size (P = 0.087). This might be because the follow-up time in our study was shorter than that in other studies, which were usually long-term studies.

Sawada et al. suggested that a higher consolidation diameter/tumor diameter (C/T) ratio was associated with invasive cancer.13 Our study shows that the C/T ratio was not a risk factor for malignant GGOs, as there were no between-group differences in the distribution of pure GGOs, GGO-predominant nodules and solid-predominant nodules. Air bronchogram signs were another CT feature...
that showed between-group differences in our study. This is consistent with studies conducted in solid tumors.\textsuperscript{15} However, it was suggested that air bronchogram signs could also be present in inflammatory nodules.\textsuperscript{16} It is interesting that significantly more benign GGOs (80.0\% vs. 57.1\%) were located within 1 cm of the pleura. This might result from selection bias, as lesions located near the pleura were suitable for wedge resection. Although other CT features (spicule sign, vessel convergence sign, lobulated sign, calcifications, pleural indentation, thick/thin wall cavity, vacuole sign) are widely used to distinguish malignant solid tumors, we did not find between-group differences in our GGO series.

Bryan \textit{et al.} reported that lung cancer was mostly located in the upper lobes (37\% in the right upper lobe, 27\% in the left upper lobe) after analyzing 13 650 cases, and the corresponding percentages were 15\% in the right lower lobe and 14\% in the left lower lobe. In our study, 78.6\% of malignant GGOs were located in the upper and middle lobes, which was close to Bryan’s data. However, a significantly lower proportion of benign GGOs were found in this location (57.1\%). Extensive basic studies and large-scale clinical analyses are needed to explain this phenomenon.

Recent studies showed that PET/CT had an advantage in identifying MIA and IA among GGOs. In our study, PET/CT showed potential in identifying malignant GGOs, as the sensitivity was 90.6\% and the specificity was 42.1\% after excluding uncertain patients. Nonetheless, the proportion of uncertain patients was so high that the clinical value of PET/CT remains to be determined.

Sufficient studies have discovered the population at high risk for solitary nodules, that is, asymptomatic patients aged...
55 to 80 years with a 30 pack-year smoking history who currently smoke or have quit within the previous 15 years. In our study, females accounted for 68.6% of the benign group, slightly exceeding than that reported for lung adenocarcinoma (62%). No differences in smoking history were found between the benign and malignant groups, although heavier smokers were found in the malignant group. Cough was the only manifestation with between-group differences and was less frequent in the benign group. It is slightly surprising that the incidences of all comorbidities were similar between the two groups, as we initially thought patients with comorbidities such as diabetes would be more susceptible to infection or inflammation. Our study also shows that antibiotics are not necessary, as there was no difference in anti-inflammatory therapy between the two groups.

Several guidelines on management strategies for GGOs have already been published. In the Fleischner Society guidelines, and resection is recommended for high-risk malignancies.17 In guidelines, resection is recommended only when GGO growth or changes are observed.18 The rationale for CT surveillance is that even if the GGOs are malignant, the incidence of IA is low,19 and delayed surgery typically does not affect prognosis.20, 21 However, these guidelines are usually based on Western populations. Preliminary evidence from many Asian studies suggest a possibly higher rate of malignancy or malignant potential among GGOs.21–24 It is believed that if surgery can be conducted before progression, the long-term survival rate can reach 100%.21, 25, 26 Therefore, surgeons in East Asia tend to be slightly more aggressive in terms of offering surgery.23, 27 In clinical practice, we would actively consider surgery when the GGO is suspected to be malignant, even when some are unchanged during follow-up. The present retrospective study shows that only 35 out of 692 cases (5.1%) were benign GGOs. The incidence of malignant GGOs in our study was much higher than that reported in Western countries (usually 70% to 89%).26

Furthermore, previous studies suggested that the pathology of GGOs was usually AIS, MIA or LPA, all of which have good prognoses. However, studies in East Asia have suggested that GGOs can be associated with non-LPA,28–30 and they have poorer prognoses. In our study, as many as 23 cases (32.9%) of non-LPA were found. This also supports our opinion on active surgery.

Three patients with benign GGOs underwent surgery even though the size decreased during follow-up. This might be because the GGOs were still considered to have a possibility of malignancy on imaging, and the size reduction was regarded as absorption of the surrounding inflammation. However, none of the malignant GGOs shrunk during follow-up in our study. We thus suggest that when GGOs shrink, CT surveillance should be continued even if the nodule appears high risk on imaging.

In conclusion, our study shows that a higher smoking index, coughing, large size, unchanged or increased size during follow-up, location in the upper and middle lobes, air bronchogram sign on CT, lesion-margin-to-pleura distance of over 1 cm and malignant tendency on PET/CT were associated with malignant GGOs. However, classifying GGOs as malignant or benign is still difficult, especially when they are highly suspected to be malignant in outpatient evaluations. We thus recommend a relatively active surgical strategy, as the proportion of benign GGOs was small in resected nodules, and the degree of malignancy was not low. Further prospective and multicenter studies may provide more accurate results.
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