COMPUTING THE DUST DISTRIBUTION IN THE BOW SHOCK OF A FAST-MOVING, EVOLVED STAR
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ABSTRACT

We study the hydrodynamical behavior occurring in the turbulent interaction zone of a fast-moving red supergiant star, where the circumstellar and interstellar material collide. In this wind–interstellar-medium collision, the familiar bow shock, contact discontinuity, and wind termination shock morphology form, with localized instability development. Our model includes a detailed treatment of dust grains in the stellar wind and takes into account the drag forces between dust and gas. The dust is treated as pressureless gas components binned per grain size, for which we use 10 representative grain size bins. Our simulations allow us to deduce how dust grains of varying sizes become distributed throughout the circumstellar medium. We show that smaller dust grains (radius \(< 0.045 \mu m\)) tend to be strongly bound to the gas and therefore follow the gas density distribution closely, with intricate fine structure due to essentially hydrodynamical instabilities at the wind-related contact discontinuity. Larger grains which are more resistant to drag forces are shown to have their own unique dust distribution, with progressive deviations from the gas morphology. Specifically, small dust grains stay entirely within the zone bound by shocked wind material. The large grains are capable of leaving the shocked wind layer and can penetrate into the shocked or even unshocked interstellar medium. Depending on how the number of dust grains varies with grain size, this should leave a clear imprint in infrared observations of bow shocks of red supergiants and other evolved stars.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Hydrodynamical simulations of the circumstellar medium of low-to-intermediate mass stars (LIMS) have traditionally focused on the morphology of the gas and neglected the presence of dust grains in the stellar wind (e.g., Wareing et al. 2007). Those simulations that do include dust tend to focus on the inner wind region (Woitke 2006) or deal with the protoplanetary disks surrounding very young stars (Paardekooper & Mellema 2006). With recent advances in infrared observations, such as satellites like Spitzer and Herschel, it has become possible to make detailed infrared observations of the circumstellar environment. The higher sensitivity of these satellites has enabled us to observe the weak signatures of the bow shocks that form when the wind of a moving star collides with the surrounding interstellar medium (ISM). Since dust grains are the primary source of infrared radiation, it becomes necessary to fully integrate them into the simulations to determine how the distribution of dust grains correlates with the morphology of the circumstellar gas and how the presence of dust influences the structure of the shock that occurs where the stellar wind interacts with the ISM.

As an example, we have investigated the behavior of dust grains in the circumstellar environment of a fast-moving red supergiant star. As input parameters we use the values obtained for \(\alpha\)-Orionis, which has been observed in infrared with the AKARI satellite (Ueta et al. 2008). This star shows evidence of a bow shock, indicating that it is moving through the ISM at a velocity of \(40 \pm 0.5\) km s\(^{-1}\) with \(n_H\) the dimensionless local hydrogen density (Ueta et al. 2008).

So far, most simulations of fast-moving stars have focused on hot, high-mass stars (Brighenti & D’Ercole 1995; Comeron & Kaper 1998; van Marle et al. 2006), while simulations of the bow shocks of cool, lower mass stars were done by Wareing et al. (2007). However, none of these simulations took the presence of dust into account.

2. MODELING GAS AND DUST INTERACTIONS

2.1. Governing Equations

If one wants to incorporate dust grains in the hydrodynamical models, one should not only take into account the motion of the dust grains themselves, it also becomes necessary to add the kinetic interaction between gas and dust to the equations of hydrodynamics.

We use the MPI-AMRVAC code (Meliani et al. 2007; Keppens et al. 2011), which solves sets of near-conservation laws on an adaptive mesh. For this particular study, we added a new module to the code which calculates the behavior of dust grains as coupled to the familiar gas dynamic equations. The dust and gas are linked through drag forces which they exert on each other. Besides conservation of mass, the momentum and energy equations for the gas become

\[
\frac{\partial (\rho \mathbf{v})}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot (\rho \mathbf{v} \mathbf{v}) = - \nabla p + \sum_{d=1}^{n} f_d, \quad (1)
\]

\[
\frac{\partial e}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot [(p + e) \mathbf{v}] = \sum_{d=1}^{n} \mathbf{v} \cdot f_d - \frac{\rho^2}{m_H^2} \Lambda(T), \quad (2)
\]

with \(\rho\) the gas density, \(\mathbf{v}\) the gas velocity, \(p\) the thermal pressure, and \(e\) the total energy density for the gas. We assume a standard ideal gas law for closure. The right-hand side source terms for the energy evolution describe optically thin radiative cooling and the work done by the drag forces \(f_d\). Radiative losses depend on the hydrogen and electron particle densities (derived...
from $\rho$ assuming full ionization with hydrogen mass $m_h$) and involve a temperature-dependent cooling curve $\Lambda(T)$. As most of the gas in our simulation is at comparatively low temperature, we use a cooling curve $\Lambda(T)$ that extends down to 1 K, with tabulated information based on numerical calculations done with the CLOUDY code (Ferland et al. 1998). The drag force for species $d$ per unit volume $f_d$ is specified in Equation (5); since we treat multiple dust species we sum over the individual drag forces.

We follow the prescription from Paardekooper & Mellema (2006), treating the dust as a gas without pressure, since the internal energy of a dust grain only influences its surface temperature, but has no influence on its movement. Therefore, the motion of each dust species can be treated with the equations of conservation of mass and momentum:

$$\frac{\partial \rho_d}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot (\rho_d \mathbf{v}_d) = 0,$$

$$\frac{\partial (\rho_d \mathbf{v}_d)}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot (\rho_d \mathbf{v}_d \mathbf{v}_d) = -\mathbf{f}_d,$$

where $\rho_d$ and $\mathbf{v}_d$ are the mass density and velocity of the dust species. The drag force $f_d$ is given by a combination of Epstein’s drag law for the subsonic regime and Stokes’ drag law for the supersonic regime (Kwok 1975), which in closed form is written as

$$f_d = -\left(1 - \alpha\right)\pi n_d \rho_d a_d^2 \Delta v \sqrt{\Delta v^2 + v_t^2}.$$

Its form involves the dust particle density $n_d$, the particle radius $a_d$ (assuming that the dust particles are spherical), the velocity difference between dust and gas $\Delta v = v - v_d$, and the thermal speed of the gas $v_t = \sqrt{3kT/\mu}$. This also includes the sticking coefficient $\alpha$, which is a measure of the percentage of atoms that stick to the dust grain after collision. This treatment neglects interactions between the different dust species. However, because of the low particle densities ($\ll 1$ cm$^{-3}$) and the small collision cross-section ($\ll 1$ $\mu$m$^2$) of the individual grains, the gas–dust interaction will dominate over the interaction between dust particles. As in Kwok (1975) we assume that $\alpha = 0.25$, so 75% of the collisions are supposed to be elastic.

### 2.2. Simulation Parameters and Setup

For our simulation, we use a two-dimensional cylindrical grid with $r = 0–2$ pc and $z = -2$ to 2 pc. The base grid has a resolution of $80 \times 160$ grid points, and we allow grid adaptivity up to a maximum of six additional refinement levels, which translates in an effective resolution of $5120 \times 10,240$ grid points. The adaptive mesh is handled dynamically and traces the presence of dust, ensuring that the front of each expanding dust type is always fully resolved. We initialize the stellar wind by filling a spherical area of radius 0.1 pc around the origin with the mentioned size distribution, this then fixes the dust particle densities $n_d$, which in combination with the injection velocity (constant for all grain sizes) determine the dust mass loss. The particle sizes and masses used in this Letter can be found in Table 1.

### 3. GAS AND DUST DISTRIBUTIONS

The results of our simulation are shown in Figures 1–4 after a period of 75,000 years, which show the gas density and the particle densities for the individual dust species.

In Figure 1 (right) we show the gas density, which clearly shows the bow shock and the wind termination shock, with the shocked gas layer in between. The distance between the shocks and the star is approximately 0.3 pc, which corresponds to observations (Ueta et al. 2008). The shocked gas region shows that the contact discontinuity between shocked wind and shocked ISM is subject to Rayleigh–Taylor-type instabilities, which dominate the interaction front. These instabilities result from the density difference between the shocked wind and ISM and are enhanced by the dust, which tend to move through the contact discontinuity. The temperature and absolute velocity of the gas are shown in Figure 2. The shocked wind initially has a temperature of about 4000 K. By the time it

---

### Table 1

Specification of the Logarithmic Distribution of Dust Grain Sizes

| Name | $a$ (µm) | $\Delta a$ (µm) | Mass Per Grain (g) | Max. Distance (pc) |
|------|---------|----------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| 1    | 0.00500 | 0.00136        | $1.728 \times 10^{-18}$ | 0.34 |
| 2    | 0.00772 | 0.00346        | $6.366 \times 10^{-18}$ | 0.35 |
| 3    | 0.0119  | 0.00535        | $2.345 \times 10^{-17}$ | 0.37 |
| 4    | 0.0184  | 0.00826        | $8.639 \times 10^{-17}$ | 0.37 |
| 5    | 0.0284  | 0.0128         | $3.183 \times 10^{-16}$ | 0.37 |
| 6    | 0.0439  | 0.0197         | $1.172 \times 10^{-15}$ | 0.38 |
| 7    | 0.0679  | 0.0304         | $4.320 \times 10^{-15}$ | 0.42 |
| 8    | 0.105   | 0.0470         | $1.591 \times 10^{-14}$ | 0.53 |
| 9    | 0.162   | 0.0726         | $5.863 \times 10^{-14}$ | N/A$^a$ |
| 10   | 0.250   | 0.0441         | $2.160 \times 10^{-13}$ | N/A$^a$ |

Notes. Column 2 gives the size of each particle species, Column 3 the size interval which the species represent (see Equation (6)), Column 4 their mass, and the last column gives the maximum distance that they reach in the direction of the motion of the star before being stopped by the drag force.

$^a$ This species has not been stopped by the drag force within the physical space of this simulation and continues to expand into the ISM.
Gas density in g cm$^{-3}$ (right) and particle density in # cm$^{-3}$ of the smallest dust grains (type 1, radius 0.005 μm) in the circumstellar medium of a fast-moving red supergiant star after 75,000 years of simulation time. The general shape of the bow shock between the stellar wind and the ISM has reached an equilibrium position. The interaction region shows strong Rayleigh–Taylor instabilities as a result of the density difference between the shocked wind and the shocked ISM. The dust tends to pile up at the contact discontinuity and follows the local instabilities. This also indicates which part of the gas is wind material as these small grains tend to pile up at the transition between wind and ISM.

(A color version of this figure is available in the online journal.)

Gas velocity in km s$^{-1}$ (left) and temperature in K (right) at the same time as Figure 1. The shocked ISM is hotter than the shocked wind since it starts with more kinetic energy and cools less efficiently due to its lower density. Note that the velocity is not in the comoving frame, but rather in the rest frame of the ISM.

(A color version of this figure is available in the online journal.)

reaches the contact discontinuity, the temperature has decreased to about 1000 K due to radiative cooling. This decrease in temperature leads to compression as the thermal pressure of the gas decreases, resulting in a thin, high-density feature at the contact discontinuity. A more parametric study of this phenomenon has been shown in van Marle & Keppens (2011). The shocked ISM has a higher temperature (~12,000 K) because of the higher relative velocity of the ISM relative to the star (about a factor two) and cools less efficiently due to its lower density.

In the free-streaming wind, the dust grains have the same velocity as the gas, so they feel no drag force. As the dust grains cross the shock, they begin to experience a strong drag force because of the sudden difference in velocity with the...
Figure 3. Particle density in # cm$^{-3}$ of the intermediate-sized dust grains (type 5, $a = 0.0284$ μm) from Table 1 on the left compared to the gas density (right) at the same time as Figures 1 and 2. These grains tend to follow the forward shock, rather than the contact discontinuity, but still show the influence of the instabilities in the gas.

(A color version of this figure is available in the online journal.)

Figure 4. Particle density distribution in # cm$^{-3}$ of the larger dust grains (type 8, radius 0.105 μm) compared with the smallest grains (type 1, radius 0.005 μm), at the same time as Figures 1–3. These grains show little influence from the shocked gas layer and penetrate deeply into the unshocked ISM before being stopped by the drag force.

(A color version of this figure is available in the online journal.)

gas. Because the dust initially has the same velocity as the pre-shock gas and the shock reduces the gas velocity by a factor four (according to the Rankine–Hugoniot conditions for an ideal gas), the initial velocity difference is $\frac{3}{4} v_{\text{wind}}$. The thermal velocity of the particles in the wind is of the same order of magnitude, so both the Stokes and Epstein drag forces (Equation (5)) contribute to the total force. As the dust grains slow down due to the drag force, the Epstein regime starts to dominate. How quickly the velocity difference decreases depends on the size of the dust grains. Large grains, which have a large momentum relative to their effective collision cross-section will take longer to slow down than small dust grains. As a result, the distribution of the dust grains over the gas becomes a function of the grain size.
The smallest dust grains (left-hand side of Figure 1) tend to follow the same pattern as the gas. As the wind slows down due to the wind termination shock, so do the smaller dust grains, which tend to pile up in the shocked wind region. For the smallest grains, the coupling to the gas is so strong that they follow the Rayleigh–Taylor instabilities (Figure 1). Some cross the contact discontinuity to enter the shocked ISM and are carried away downstream. This plot also allows us to determine which part of the gas is wind material and which is interstellar: the small dust grains stay in the wind material. This is shown clearly in the region behind the star, where the contact discontinuity is almost parallel with the motion of the ISM. Figure 3 shows the region behind the star, where the contact discontinuity is almost parallel with the motion of the ISM. Figure 3 shows the distribution of larger dust grains (type 5, $a_5 = 0.0284 \mu m$), which have enough momentum to cross into the shocked ISM region and reach the forward shock. They are less susceptible to instabilities in the gas, though the effect of these instabilities is still visible.

The largest dust grains can cross the shocked wind region due to their larger individual momentum and smaller collision surface relative to their mass. As a result, they mostly ignore the gas instabilities and eventually penetrate the forward bow shock to cross into the free-streaming (in the frame of the star) ISM. Since the free-streaming ISM is cold (assumed 1 K for this simulation), the Stokes’ regime dominates over Epstein’s drag law in Equation (5). In the Stokes’ regime, the drag force varies with the velocity difference squared. This makes the free-streaming ISM quite effective at stopping the oncoming dust grains (which move in the opposite direction, so the velocity difference is large) causing most of the particles to halt as soon as they cross into the free-streaming ISM (Figure 3). Only the largest particles can continue. These will penetrate deeply into the ISM as they are all but immune to the drag force. This is shown in Figure 4, which shows the distribution of the type 8 dust grains ($0.105 \mu m$) compared to the distribution of the smallest grains. The large grains almost completely ignore the morphology of the shocked gas shell and penetrate a considerable distance ($\sim 0.1–0.2$ pc) into the unshocked ISM. Since they are very low in number, they will not influence the gas morphology.

Since in nature the distribution of dust grains over the grain sizes is smooth, rather than existing of 10 separate species, we can expect the distribution to be smoother than the relatively peaked positions found in our simulations. In the shocked gas, we could expect at least one and possibly several peaks in the grain density. The first peak would be in the shocked wind region, where the smallest grains will tend to pile up. A second peak may be visible just beyond the forward shock, where those grains that manage to cross the shocked gas region encounter the unshocked ISM. In the unshocked ISM, the dust grains would spread out according to their size, with the largest grains extending furthest. This would not lead to any distinctive features. The tendency of large dust grains to pass through the shocked gas, while small grains are trapped, will deliver a disproportionately large number of large dust grains into the ISM. For this particular simulation, the division lies by grains with a radius of approximately $0.045 \mu m$. Smaller grains will stay inside the shocked gas, larger grains tend to continue outward; the distance reached by the individual dust species is quantified in Table 1.

4. CONCLUSIONS

In the circumstellar nebula of a fast-moving red supergiant, the small-sized dust grains ($a < 0.045 \mu m$) tend to follow the motion of the gas. Therefore, observations of infrared radiation emitted by these particles will be representative of the gas morphology as well as the morphology of the dust itself. The larger grains tend to create a morphology of their own, as they are only weakly coupled to the gas.

Because the small dust grains will tend to dominate the observations as a result of their larger number and larger collective radiative surface area, the infrared observations of circumstellar nebulae can be used to determine the location of the shocked gas directly. However, observers should be aware of this phenomenon as it may influence observational results. For example, depending on the distribution of the number of grains over the grain sizes, a single shock may be observed as a series of separate features due to dust grains piling up in different areas dependent on their size.

In the future we plan to extend our simulations to a more general parameter study and attempt to duplicate the morphology of observed circumstellar nebulae around other cool stars, such as CW Leo (Ladjal et al. 2010; Cox et al. 2011). We will also investigate in detail how the presence of dust influences the behavior of the gas.
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