Broadband photocurrent enhancement in a-Si:H solar cells with plasmonic back reflectors

Seweryn Morawiec,1,2,* Manuel J. Mendes,1 Sergej A. Filonovich,3 Tiago Mateus,3 Salvatore Mirabella,3 Hugo Águas,3 Isabel Ferreira,3 Francesca Simone,2 Elvira Fortunato,3 Rodrigo Martins,3 Francesco Priolo,1,2,4 and Isodiana Crupi1

1MATIS IMM-CNR, via S. Sofia 64, I-95123 Catania, Italy
2Dipartimento di Fisica e Astronomia, Università di Catania, via S. Sofia 64, I-95123 Catania, Italy
3CENIMAT/I3N, Departamento de Ciência dos Materiais, and CEMOP/UNINOVA, Faculdade de Ciências e Tecnologia, Universidade Nova de Lisboa, 2829-516 Caparica, Portugal
4Scuola Superiore di Catania, Università di Catania, Via Valdisavoia 9, 95123 Catania, Italy

*seweryn.morawiec@ct.infn.it

Abstract. Plasmonic light trapping in thin film silicon solar cells is a promising route to achieve high efficiency with reduced volumes of semiconductor material. In this paper, we study the enhancement in the opto-electronic performance of thin a-Si:H solar cells due to the light scattering effects of plasmonic back reflectors (PBRs), composed of self-assembled silver nanoparticles (NPs), incorporated on the cells’ rear contact. The optical properties of the PBRs are investigated according to the morphology of the NPs, which can be tuned by the fabrication parameters. By analyzing sets of solar cells built on distinct PBRs we show that the photocurrent enhancement achieved in the a-Si:H light trapping window (600 – 800 nm) stays in linear relation with the PBRs diffuse reflection. The best-performing PBRs allow a pronounced broadband photocurrent enhancement in the cells which is attributed not only to the plasmon-assisted light scattering from the NPs but also to the front surface texture originated from the conformal growth of the cell material over the particles. As a result, remarkably high values of $J_{sc}$ and $V_{oc}$ are achieved in comparison to those previously reported in the literature for the same type of devices.

©2014 Optical Society of America

OCIS codes: (290.5850) Scattering, particles; (250.5403) Plasmonics; (350.6050) Solar energy.

References and links
1. H. A. Atwater and A. Polman, “Plasmonics for improved photovoltaic devices,” Nat. Mater. 9(3), 205–213 (2010).
2. F. Priolo, T. Gregorkiewicz, M. Galli, and T. F. Krauss, “Silicon nanostructures for photonics and photovoltaics,” Nat. Nanotechnol. 9(1), 19–32 (2014).
3. J. Müller, B. Rech, J. Springer, and M. Vanecek, “TCO and light trapping in silicon thin film solar cells,” Sol. Energy 77(6), 917–930 (2004).
4. K. Söderström, F. J. Haug, J. Escarré, C. Pahud, R. Biron, and C. Ballif, “Highly reflective nanotextured sputtered silver back reflector for flexible high-efficiency n–i–p thin-film silicon solar cells,” Sol. Energy Mater. Sol. Cells 95(12), 3585–3591 (2011).
5. M. J. Mendes, S. Morawiec, F. Simone, F. Priolo, and I. Crupi, “Colloidal plasmonic back reflectors for light trapping in solar cells,” Nanoscale (2014), doi: 10.1039/C3NR06768H
6. Z. Ouyang, X. Zhao, S. Varlamov, Y. Tao, J. Wong, and S. Pillai, “Nanoparticle-enhanced light trapping in thin-film silicon solar cells,” Prog. Photovolt. Res. Appl. 19(8), 917–926 (2011).
7. V. E. Ferry, M. A. Verschuuren, M. C. Lare, R. E. I. Schropp, H. A. Atwater, and A. Polman, “Optimized spatial correlations for broadband light trapping nanopatterns in high efficiency ultrathin film a-Si:H solar cells,” Nano Lett. 11(10), 4239–4245 (2011).
8. D. M. Callahan, J. N. Munday, and H. A. Atwater, “Solar cell light trapping beyond the ray optic limit,” Nano Lett. 12(1), 214–218 (2012).
10. C. V. Thompson, “Solid-state dewetting of thin films,” Annu. Rev. Mater. Res. 42(1), 399–434 (2012).
11. S. Morawiec, M. J. Mendes, S. Mirabella, F. Simone, F. Priolo, and I. Crupi, “Self-assembled silver nanodots for plasmon-enhanced solar cell back reflectors: correlation between structural and optical properties,” Nanotechnology 24(26), 265601 (2013).
12. N. C. Lindquist, P. Nagpal, K. M. McPeak, D. J. Norris, and S.-H. Oh, “Engineering metallic nanostructures for plasmonics and nanophotonics,” Rep. Prog. Phys. 75(3), 036501 (2012).
13. K. R. Catchpole and A. Polman, “Design principles for particle plasmon enhanced solar cells,” Appl. Phys. Lett. 93(19), 191113 (2008).
14. F. J. Beck, S. Mokkapati, and K. R. Catchpole, “Light trapping with plasmonic particles: beyond the dipole model,” Opt. Express 19(25), 25230–25241 (2011).
15. S. Pillai, K. R. Catchpole, T. Trupke, and M. A. Green, “Surface plasmon enhanced silicon solar cells,” J. Appl. Phys. 101(9), 093105 (2007).
16. M. J. Mendes, A. Luque, I. Tobias, and A. Marti, “Plasmonic light enhancement in the near-field of metallic nanospheroids for application in intermediate band solar cells,” Appl. Phys. Lett. 95(7), 071105 (2009).
17. C. Eminian, F. J. Haug, O. Cubero, X. Niquille, and C. Ballif, “Photocurrent enhancement in thin film amorphous silicon solar cells with silver nanoparticles,” Prog. Photovolt. Res. Appl. 19(3), 260–265 (2011).
18. H. Tan, R. Sanbergen, A. H. M. Smets, and M. Zeman, “Plasmonic Light Trapping in Thin-film Silicon Solar Cells with Improved Self-Assembled Silver Nanoparticles,” Nano Lett. 12(8), 4070–4076 (2012).
19. H. Tan, R. Sanbergen, Y. Guangtao, A. H. M. Smets, and M. Zeman, “Combined optical and electrical design of plasmonic back reflector for high-efficiency thin-film silicon solar cells,” Phot. IEEE J. 3, 53–58 (2013).
20. A. Araújo, R. Barros, T. Mateus, D. Gaspar, N. Neves, A. Vicente, S. A. Filonovich, P. Barquinha, E. Fortunato, A. M. Ferraria, A. M. B. Rego, A. Bicho, H. Águas, and R. Martins, “Role of a disperse carbon interlayer on the performances of tandem a-Si solar cells,” Sci. Technol. Adv. Mater. 14(4), 045009 (2013).
21. M. J. Mendes, E. Hernández, J. Cáceres, F. J. Haug, L. Águas, S. Pereira, L. Silva, A. Gonçalves, I. Ferreira, and E. Fortunato, “Nanostructured silicon and its application to solar cells, position sensors and thin film transistors,” Philos. Mag. 89, 2699–2721 (2009).
22. R. Martins, P. Almeida, P. Barquinha, L. Pereira, A. Pimentel, I. Ferreira, and E. Fortunato, “Electron transport and optical characteristics in amorphous indium zinc oxide films,” J. Non-Cryst. Solids 352(9-20), 1471–1474 (2006).
23. P. Barquinha, G. Gonçalves, L. Pereira, R. Martins, and E. Fortunato, “Effect of annealing temperature on the properties of IZO films and IZO based transparent TFTs,” Thin Solid Films 515(24), 8450–8454 (2007).
24. R. S. A. Sesuraj, T. L. Temple, and D. M. Bagnall, “Optical characterisation of a spectrally tunable plasmonic reflector for application in thin-film silicon solar cells,” Sol. Energy Mater. Sol. Cells 111, 23–30 (2013).
25. T. L. Temple and D. M. Bagnall, “Broadband scattering of the solar spectrum by spherical metal nanoparticles,” Prog. Photovolt. Res. Appl. 21, 600–611 (2013).
26. M. J. Mendes, E. Hernández, E. López, P. García-Linares, I. Ramiro, I. Artacho, E. Antolin, I. Tobias, A. Marti, and A. Luque, “Self-organized colloidal quantum dots and metal nanoparticles for plasmon-enhanced intermediate-band solar cells,” Nanotechnology 24(34), 345402 (2013).
27. H. Sai, K. Saito, and H. P. Herzig, and C. Ballif, “Plasmonic silicon solar cells: impact of material quality and geometry,” Opt. Express 21(5), A786–A797 (2013).
28. H. Sai, K. Saito, and M. Kondo, “Enhanced photocurrent and conversion efficiency in thin-film microcrystalline silicon solar cells using periodically textured back reflectors with hexagonal dimple arrays,” Appl. Phys. Lett. 101(17), 173901 (2012).
29. J. Zhu, C.-M. Hsu, Z. Yu, S. Fan, and Y. Cui, “Nanocone solar cells with efficient light management and self-cleaning,” Nano Lett. 10(6), 1979–1984 (2010).
30. P. Kowalczyzewski, M. Liscidini, and L. C. Andreani, “Light trapping in thin-film solar cells: impact of material quality and geometry,” Opt. Express 21(5), A808–A820 (2013).
31. C. Palud, O. Isabella, A. Naqvi, F.-J. Haug, M. Zeman, H. P. Herzig, and C. Ballif, “Plasmonic silicon solar cells: impact of material quality and geometry,” Opt. Express 21(5), A808–A820 (2013).
32. S. Calnan and A. N. Tiwari, “High mobility transparent conducting oxides for thin film solar cells,” Thin Solid Films 518(7), 1839–1849 (2010).
33. J. A. Thornton, “High Rate Thick Film Growth,” Annu. Rev. Mater. Sci. 7(1), 239–260 (1977).
34. M. Solak, B. Perovic, and T. Nenadovic, “Electrical and structural properties of thin gold films obtained by vacuum evaporation and sputtering,” Thin Solid Films 241(1), 89–100 (1994).
35. D. Depla, S. Mahieu, and J. E. Greene, “Chapter 5 - Sputter Deposition Processes,” in Handbook of Deposition Technologies for Films and Coatings (Third Edition), P. M. Martin, ed. (William Andrew Publishing, 2010), pp. 253–296.

1. Introduction

Thin film (TF) silicon solar cells are nowadays attractive cost competitors for the traditional wafer-based photovoltaic technologies. Effective light trapping is, however, essential for the long-wavelength photon absorption in the thin semiconductor material as the absorption coefficient decreases significantly with increasing illumination wavelength [1, 2]. Light trapping is most commonly realized by a random texturing of either the transparent conductive oxide (TCO) front contact, in superstrate cell configurations [3], or the metallic
back reflector in substrate configurations [4]. The texturing approach is limited by the surface 
roughness that it introduces which contributes to the creation of defects and increased 
recombination in the bulk and surface of the silicon layers, thereby deteriorating the electrical 
performance of the cells. Therefore, recently there is a growing interest in the application of 
subwavelength metallic nanoparticles (NPs) for light trapping in TF solar cells [5–8] as they 
can scatter light efficiently over a broad wavelength range of the solar spectrum, due to the 
localized surface plasmon (LSP) resonances, with the theoretical possibility of exceeding the 
classical $4n^2$ limit of geometrical optics [5, 9].

To be directly applicable in the photovoltaic industry, the NPs fabrication method needs to 
be reliable, low-cost and scalable up to square meter sizes. Therefore, self-assembly methods 
are attracting much attention, amongst which the most commonly used is the solid state dewetting 
(SSD) process [10, 11] (deposition of a precursor thin film followed by thermal treatment). 
Silver (Ag) is the metal of choice for the NPs as it enables high radiative efficiency and low 
imaginary permittivity in the visible and near-infrared (NIR) [12]. The scattering and 
absorption properties of the NPs are strongly dependent on their geometry (size and shape) 
and on the refractive index of the surrounding medium [13–16]. Thus, the proper design of the 
NPs and the device structure is essential to maximize the scattering and minimize absorption 
termed parasitic absorption) over the wavelength range important for light trapping. The 
optical losses in the NPs at short wavelengths can be suppressed by placing them on the rear 
side of the solar cell, so that they interact only with the long-wavelength photons which are 
not absorbed during the first pass through the cell absorber material. Therefore, the NPs are 
preferentially located between the silicon and the back reflector (BR), forming a so-called 
plasmonic back reflector (PBR) [17].

Recently, Tan et. al. [18] have demonstrated that SSD self-assembled Ag NPs in a PBR 
configuration can provide light trapping performance comparable to state-of-the-art random 
texturing in single-junction TF hydrogenated amorphous silicon (a-Si:H) solar cells. 
Nonetheless, the morphology and optical properties of the self-assembled NPs are strongly 
sensitive to the fabrication conditions [11]. It is thus important to investigate the optical 
performance of the PBRs according to the range of parameters of the fabrication process, with 
the aim of optimizing their light trapping efficiency. In this paper, the optical properties of 
several PBRs formed with distinct fabrication parameters are analyzed and correlated to the 
photocurrent improvements that they produce in a-Si:H cells. The tuning of parameters 
allowed us to achieve a pronounced broadband photocurrent enhancement in the cells. In 
particular, the best-performing devices obtained in this work show high values of short circuit 
current density ($J_{sc} = 15.75$ mA/cm$^2$) and open circuit voltage ($V_{oc} = 0.85$ V) in comparison 
with those reported so far for plasmon-enhanced a-Si:H solar cells with self-assembled 
nanoparticles [17–19].

2. Experimental details

Plasmon-enhanced back reflectors, schematically shown in Fig. 1(a), are fabricated on soda-
lime glass substrates by sequential deposition of a 100 nm thick Ag mirror, a 40 nm thick 
aluminum-doped zinc oxide (AZO) spacer layer [20], and a thin precursor Ag film, using RF 
magnetron sputtering. The sputtering of the precursor Ag film was performed with a relatively 
high power of 1 W/cm$^2$ and low working pressure of 1 Pa, at a deposition rate of 0.8 nm/s. 
The deposition is followed by annealing in flowing nitrogen, in which self-assembled NPs are 
formed by SSD from the topmost thin Ag layer. In order to embed the NPs, a coating of 
80 nm AZO is deposited as the last fabrication step. The NP structures investigated in this 
work were formed from 8 and 12 nm thick Ag films annealed in a variety of conditions: 
temperature ranging from 200 to 500 °C and time ranging from 0.5 to 4 h. Reference BRs 
without NPs were fabricated in the same processes by partially covering the samples during 
the deposition of the Ag precursor film, in order to avoid NPs formation.
The thicknesses of the Ag and AZO layers are determined from Rutherford Backscattering Spectrometry (RBS, 2.0 MeV He\textsuperscript{+} beam) in high resolution mode. The morphology of the NPs is investigated by Field Emission Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM – Zeiss Supra 25 microscope). The distributions of NPs were determined by digital analysis of SEM images conducted with the Gatan Digital Microscope software package. The surface morphology of a PBR with NPs formed from a 8 nm Ag precursor film is shown in the tilted SEM of Fig. 1(b). The optical properties of the PBRs, in terms of total and diffuse reflection (R\textsubscript{Total} and R\textsubscript{Diff}, respectively), are measured using a Varian Cary 500 double-beam scanning UV-Vis-NIR spectrophotometer equipped with a 4-inch integrating sphere.

![Fig. 1.](image)

Fig. 1. (a) Schematic drawing of a plasmonic back reflector (PBR) with the structure: Glass substrate/100nm Ag mirror/40nm AZO spacer/Ag NPs/80nm AZO cover. (b) 70° tilted SEM image of the surface morphology of a PBR with NPs formed from a 8 nm thick Ag film annealed at 400 °C for 1 h, covered with a 80 nm AZO layer.

The n-i-p a-Si:H solar cells are deposited on the PBRs at a temperature of 170 °C using a multi-chamber plasma-enhanced chemical vapor deposition (PECVD) system [21], followed by the sputter deposition of the indium doped zinc oxide (IZO) front contact [22, 23]. Finally, in order to improve the electrical performance, all the cells are annealed in low vacuum at 150 °C for 2 h. The devices are characterized by measuring the J–V curves, under AM1.5G (100mW/cm\textsuperscript{2}) illumination in a Spire Sun Simulator 240A, and external quantum efficiency (EQE) in short-circuit condition in the 350–800 nm wavelength range with a 10 nm wavelength interval between each measured data point. The open-circuit voltage (V\textsubscript{oc}) and fill factor (FF) of the cells are extracted from the J–V curves, and the short-circuit current density (J\textsubscript{sc}) is determined from the integration of the EQE convoluted with the AM1.5G photon flux.

3. Results and discussion

3.1 Optical properties of plasmonic back reflectors

The morphology of the NPs has a strong impact on the optical properties of the PBRs and can be tuned by several parameters, such as the thickness of the precursor Ag film, annealing temperature and annealing time. It is generally desirable to prevent the formation of small (diameters < 60 nm) or irregularly-shaped NPs, as they are known to increase the parasitic absorption. At the same time, big NP sizes (heights > 200 nm) are not desirable as they can degrade the electrical properties of the cells due to the roughness induced in the Si layers [19]. The impact of the AZO spacer layer thickness on the scattering and parasitic absorption was recently investigated by Sesuraj et. al. [24] who reported an optimum value in the range of 40 – 50 nm, depending on the size of the NPs. Tan et. al. [19] reported that a AZO cover layer of at least 60 nm flattens out the sharp surface features caused by the NPs, preventing them from deteriorating the quality of the a-Si:H cell layers.
Figure 2. (a-b) Planar and (c-d) 70° tilted SEM micrographs of uncovered NPs formed, respectively, from 8 and 12 nm thick Ag films annealed at 400 °C for 1 h. (e) Histogram of relative counts (counts normalized to the total number of NPs), as a function of the NPs’ in-plane diameter, for the NPs presented in the micrographs.

Figure 2 depicts the morphology of the NPs formed from 8 [Figs. 2(a) and 2(c)] and 12 nm [Figs. 2(b) and 2(d)] thick Ag films, in the same annealing process (400 °C for 1 h). From the analysis of the tilted SEM images [Figs. 2(c) and 2(d)], it was found that most NPs are roughly semi-spherical and tend to flatten out their shape with increasing size. The corresponding relative counts distribution of the NPs’ in-plane diameters is shown in Fig. 2(e). Comparing the two samples, the nanostructure formed from 8 nm of Ag contains a higher number of particles with diameter lower than 80 nm. The mean surface coverage [11], increases from 136 ± 4 to 206 ± 2 nm when the precursor film thickness is increased from 8 to 12 nm. Thicker precursor films could result in less uniform NP geometries with bigger NP sizes [11] which can be disadvantageous due to the increased roughness that they produce in the solar cell material.

For typical a-Si:H solar cells with ~300 nm thick intrinsic region, the wavelength range in which light trapping can provide significant photocurrent gain extends from 600 to 800 nm, which is the range between the full extinction of light in a single pass through the cell and the bandgap of the amorphous silicon. The main optical quantity that describes the suitability of the PBRs for light trapping is their diffuse reflection (R_{Diff}), as it determines the amount of light scattered away from the specular direction and, thus, the amount of photons that have an increased probability to generate photocurrent and contribute to the absolute increase of EQE. The contribution of the PBRs specular reflection (R_{Total} - R_{Diff}) is only significant at the wavelengths (550-650 nm) that are not fully absorbed during the first pass through the cell but have high probability of being absorbed in the second pass after the specular reflection. However, due to the rapid decrease of the absorption coefficient for longer wavelengths, in the near bandgap region (650-800nm) only the R_{Diff} plays a role since the light requires path length enhancements much higher than the cell thickness to be absorbed.
Figure 3. (a) Total and (b) diffuse reflection of the plasmonic back reflectors (PBRs) with NPs formed from 8 (circles) and 12 nm (triangles) thick Ag films annealed at 400 °C for 1 h, before (solid symbols) and after (open symbols) the deposition of the AZO cover layer. The $R_{\text{Total}}$ and $R_{\text{Diff}}$ of a flat BR reference (without NPs) are also shown (open diamonds) for comparison. The wavelength range important for light trapping in a-Si:H (600 – 800 nm) solar cells is indicated by the vertical dashed lines.

Figure 3 depicts the spectral behavior of the total and diffuse reflection of a reference flat back reflector and two PBRs with NPs formed from 8 and 12 nm thick Ag films, annealed in the same conditions (400 °C for 1 h), before and after the final deposition of the AZO cover layer. As expected, the reference BR shows nearly 100% $R_{\text{Total}}$ and almost negligible $R_{\text{Diff}}$ over the a-Si:H light trapping spectral window. The PBR with uncovered NPs formed from 8 nm of Ag shows a broad single peak resonance with maximum at 800 nm, while the 12 nm one shows a double-peak behavior with maxima at 500 and 1050 nm. The embedment of the NPs in AZO results in, first, the decrease of $R_{\text{Total}}$, second, the increase of $R_{\text{Diff}}$, and third, the spectral broadening of $R_{\text{Diff}}$. Unexpectedly, the $R_{\text{Diff}}$ and $R_{\text{Total}}$ of both PBRs become similar in the light trapping window after covering with AZO. The differences are more evident in the NIR region as the $R_{\text{Diff}}$ plateau of the 12 nm PBR extends 300 nm further to longer wavelengths, from 800 to 1400 nm.

The distinct behavior of the PBRs with NPs formed from 8 and 12 nm of Ag originates from the distinct NPs morphology. As the 12 nm structure contains bigger NPs, the broad dipolar resonance peak is redshifted relative to the 8 nm one, and the quadrupolar mode is more pronounced resulting in the $R_{\text{Diff}}$ peak at 400-500 nm. The higher number of small NPs present in the 8 nm sample causes higher parasitic absorption, thus, the values of $R_{\text{Total}}$ are lower than those of the 12 nm sample, for both covered and uncovered NPs. The effects
related to the embedment of the NPs in the AZO are chiefly attributed to the change in
effective refractive index of the material surrounding the NPs, which redshifts and increases
both the scattering (higher R_{\text{Diff}}) and the absorption (lower R_{\text{Total}}) cross sections of the NPs [25, 26].

At the LSP resonance frequencies, practically all the incident photons interact with the
NPs as almost all the light that is not absorbed is reflected diffusively from the PBRs. Therefore, the main factor limiting the performance of the PBRs is the parasitic absorption. In this respect, there is a significant discrepancy between theoretical calculations and experimental results since, according to single-particle electromagnetic modeling, Ag NPs with sizes above 100 nm should exhibit dominant scattering and negligible absorption cross section [5, 11, 13, 14, 25]. Though the origin of the unexpectedly high absorption remains unclear, it can be attributed to dissipative interactions between the NPs themselves and between the NPs and the mirror, the roughness and sharp features of the NPs, and the possible polycrystalline nature of the NPs material [27].

The suitability of a particular PBR for application in thin film a-Si:H solar cells depends
on its optical properties which can be characterized by the average total reflection (\langle R_{\text{Total}} \rangle) and the average diffuse reflection (\langle R_{\text{Diff}} \rangle) in the light trapping wavelength range from \lambda_i to \lambda_f:

\[
\langle R_X \rangle_{\lambda_i-\lambda_f} = \frac{\int_{\lambda_i}^{\lambda_f} R_X d\lambda}{\lambda_f - \lambda_i}
\]

where X corresponds to Total or Diff.

The dependence on annealing time of \langle R_{\text{Total}} \rangle_{600–800\text{nm}} and \langle R_{\text{Diff}} \rangle_{600–800\text{nm}} is shown in
Fig. 4 for the PBRs with NPs formed from 8 and 12 nm thick Ag films annealed at 200, 400 and 500 °C. First of all, samples fabricated at 200 °C exhibit significantly worse performance, in both \langle R_{\text{Total}} \rangle_{600–800\text{nm}} and \langle R_{\text{Diff}} \rangle_{600–800\text{nm}}, than those fabricated at 400 and 500 °C. Such
lower performance originates from the irregular nanostructures (irregular, island-like shaped
NPs and high surface coverage – not shown here) which are obtained at low annealing
temperatures [11]. This reveals the need to use a high temperature for NP formation, in
accordance with Tan et al. [18].

The saturation behavior of \langle R_{\text{Total}} \rangle_{600–800\text{nm}} and \langle R_{\text{Diff}} \rangle_{600–800\text{nm}} when the annealing
time exceeds 1 h is observed for all the samples, except that fabricated from a 8 nm thick Ag
film annealed at 500 °C. Furthermore, the 12 nm samples show systematically higher values
of total reflection than the 8 nm ones. The highest temperature of 500 °C used for NP
formation was found to significantly increase the \langle R_{\text{Diff}} \rangle_{600–800\text{nm}} and decrease the
\langle R_{\text{Total}} \rangle_{600–800\text{nm}} of the 12 nm samples, mostly due to the spectral shift of the plasmonic
resonance towards the NIR. A high value of diffuse reflection was obtained for the PBR with
NPs formed from 8 nm of Ag annealed at 500 °C for 1 h, despite its rapid decrease of
performance with increasing annealing time due to the possible out-diffusion of silver.
Fig. 4. Annealing time dependence of the average (a) total and (b) diffuse reflection in the 600 – 800 nm wavelength range for the plasmonic back reflectors (PBRs) with NPs formed from 8 (open symbols) and 12 nm (solid symbols) thick Ag films annealed at 200, 400 and 500 °C. The absolute differences in $<R_{Total}>_{600-800nm}$ and $<R_{Diff}>_{600-800nm}$ observed between PBRs fabricated in distinct batches, with the same fabrication parameters, were below 2.3%. That is the main error associated to the data points in the plots.

The optical performance of the PBRs analyzed in this section will be affected when they are implemented in solar cells, since the scattering properties of the NPs plus mirror system change when they are placed in the vicinity of a high refractive index material (silicon). The cell material generates additional back-reflected fields interacting with the NPs which can alter their polarizability and, consequently, the PBRs scattering spectra. Despite these effects, in previous studies of PBRs implemented in thin film Si cells [17–19] it has been observed that the reflection spectra of the PBR structures alone correlate with the light extinction and quantum efficiency enhancement spectra achieved when they are coupled to the cells. As such, the results presented in Fig. 4 constitute reasonable quantities for a preliminary evaluation of the PBRs, to determine the preferential fabrication parameters.

3.2 Plasmon-enhanced a-Si:H solar cells

To investigate the plasmonic light trapping in TF silicon solar cells, several PBRs with distinct optical performance were selected as substrates for the fabrication of n-i-p a-Si:H solar cells. Reference cells without NPs were fabricated in the same process on substrates containing only the flat Ag mirror and the AZO layers.

The structure of the a-Si:H n-i-p solar cells deposited on the PBRs is schematically shown in Fig. 5(a) and in cross SEM (20° tilt angle) in Fig. 5(b). It consists of a stack of 33 nm n-type amorphous, 300 nm intrinsic amorphous, 13 nm p-type nanocrystalline and 7 nm p-type amorphous Si:H. For the front contact, a 240 nm thick indium doped zinc oxide (IZO) layer was used.
The conformal growth of silicon and IZO on top of the NPs resulted in the random honeycomb-like surface texture shown in Figs. 5(c)-5(d) for two of the best-performing PBRs, 8 nm 500 °C 1 h (c) and 12 nm 400 °C 4 h (d), respectively. The surface of the cell with NPs formed from 8 nm of Ag is denser and exhibits smaller features, in agreement with the NPs morphology observed by SEM. The rms roughness determined by atomic force microscopy (AFM) was of 25 and 28 nm for the top surface of, respectively, the 8 and 12 nm cells of Figs. 5(c) and 5(d).

Fig. 6. External quantum efficiency (EQE) curves of solar cells fabricated on two PBRs with NPs formed from 8 and 12 nm thick Ag films. The EQE of a reference cell with a flat back reflector is shown for comparison.
The EQE curves of the cells fabricated on these two PBRs and on a flat BR reference are shown in Fig. 6. Both PBRs provide a broadband EQE enhancement relative to the reference cell, not only in the red-NIR light trapping window (600-800 nm) but also in the blue-green spectral range (430 – 570 nm). The improvement in the short wavelength range originates from the texture of the solar cells front surface, as in this spectral region all the incident light is absorbed in the Si layers during the first pass without interacting with the NPs. The light coupling provided by the honeycomb-like texture is more efficient than the reference flat IZO layer acting as a simple antireflection coating [28, 29]. For wavelengths longer than 600 nm, the EQE enhancement can have contributions from both the surface texture and the plasmon-assisted scattering from the Ag NPs; and the impact of the two mechanisms cannot be measured separately. However, previous computational studies indicate that moderate surface roughness should only significantly increase absorption in Si thin films for wavelengths roughly below 500 nm [30], whereas Ag NP structures can provide pronounced absorption enhancement only at longer wavelengths [13, 14]. Therefore, and considering the diffusion properties of the PBRs analyzed in section 3.1, the main light trapping effect leading to the observed photocurrent gains in the red-NIR range (600 - 800 nm) is attributed to LSP-assisted scattering.

### Table 1. Electrical parameters of the solar cells fabricated on two PBRs with NPs formed from 8 and 12 nm thick Ag films, in comparison with the reference cell deposited on a flat back reflector (EQE curves shown in Fig. 6)*

|                | Voc (V) | Jsc (mA/cm²) | Jsc 600-800 nm (mA/cm²) | FF   | η (%) |
|----------------|---------|--------------|-------------------------|------|-------|
| Flat reference | 0.848   | 12.88        | 3.60                    | 0.396| 4.33  |
| PBR 8 nm 500 °C 1 h | 0.862   | 15.48        | 5.52                    | 0.390| 5.20  |
| PBR 12 nm 400 °C 4 h | 0.854   | 15.75        | 5.85                    | 0.403| 5.42  |

*The Jsc 600-800 nm is the current density obtained from integrating the EQE curve, convoluted with the AM1.5G photon flux, only in the 600-800 nm wavelength range.

The electrical parameters of the cells are listed in Table 1. The Jsc value of 15.75 mA/cm² and Voc of 0.85 V measured for the devices fabricated on the PBR with 12 nm Ag, annealed at 400 °C for 4 h, are among the highest values reported for plasmonic light trapping in a-Si:H solar cells with self-assembled NPs [17–19] due to the high photocurrent enhancement (22.3% for the total Jsc and 62.5% for the Jsc over the 600-800 nm range) provided by such PBR, without degrading the Voc of the cells.

The devices, however, suffer from low values of fill factor (FF), caused by both high series resistance (Rs) and low shunt resistance (Rp). The high Rs should be mainly caused by the relatively high resistivity (7.2x10⁻⁴ Ωcm) of the IZO top contact, compared to that (∼10⁻³ Ωcm) of state-of-art TCOs [31], and possible defects in the TCOs and doped Si layers. The low Rp should result from process-induced shunting paths in the n-i-p junction created during the PECVD deposition, and possible damaging of the p-type layer during the sputtering of IZO. For these reasons, only moderate conversion efficiencies (η) were attained. Nevertheless, it is important to underline that no deterioration of the FF was observed between the reference and the NP-enhanced cells. Even though the solar cell fabrication conditions are not fully optimized, it is demonstrated that the implementation of the PBRs leads to a considerable increase of the photocurrent.
Figure 7 depicts the relation between the optical performance of PBRs, in terms of \(< R_{\text{Diff}} >_{600-800\text{nm}}\), and the \(J_{\text{sc}}\) enhancement in the corresponding wavelength range, based on more than 40 solar cells. Each symbol corresponds to the average value of a set of 4 to 6 cells, while the error bars indicate the maximum and the minimum enhancement of the set. A linear relation between the \(J_{\text{sc}}\) 600-800 nm enhancement and \(< R_{\text{Diff}} >_{600-800\text{nm}}\) was found for both 8 and 12 nm PBRs with approximately the same slope (2.75 ± 0.09 and 2.8 ± 0.4, respectively). The 8 nm PBRs, however, show systematically lower enhancement than the 12 nm ones mainly due to the lower total reflection, and hence higher parasitic absorption, in the 8 nm structures. Furthermore, the 8 nm samples exhibit lower values of \(R_{\text{Diff}}\) over the 600 – 700 nm wavelength range, where light trapping effects contribute more pronouncedly to the generated photocurrent.

The clear linear relations shown in Fig. 7 prove that the EQE enhancement observed in the 600 – 800 nm region originates mainly from the scattering of light by the NPs sustaining plasmonic resonances. In addition, the extrapolation of the linear fits indicates that values of \(< R_{\text{Diff}} >_{600-800\text{nm}}\) higher than 24.5% and 22.5%, respectively for 8 and 12 nm PBRs, are required to compensate the intrinsic loses of light in the NPs and produce a positive \(J_{\text{sc}}\) 600-800 nm enhancement. This reveals the importance of the trade-off between beneficial effects of scattering and negative effects of parasitic absorption on the solar cells’ photocurrent.

The EQE enhancement of around 60% in the 600 – 800 nm wavelength range, relatively high compared to other reports in the literature [17–19], can be attributed to two main factors. First, a more optimized performance of the PBRs, due to improved physical properties (sizes, shapes) of the NP structures, resulting from the investigation of a broader range of fabrication parameters (Ag film thickness, annealing temperature and time). Second, the Ag deposition method employed in this work, using sputtering with relatively high power and low pressure instead of the commonly-used thermal evaporation process [6, 15, 18, 19], should enable the growth of precursor films with better crystallinity and fewer number of voids due to the high kinetic energy of sputtered species and, thus, higher surface mobility of adatoms [32–34]. This results in less dissipative interactions (parasitic absorption) at the plasmonic resonances due to the improved material quality of the self-assembled NPs [27].
4. Conclusions

In conclusion, we investigate the optical properties of plasmonic back reflectors with self-assembled silver nanoparticles, formed by solid-state dewetting with distinct fabrication conditions, and their impact on the performance of n-i-p a-Si:H solar cells. The method used in this work to deposit the precursor Ag films by sputtering is distinct to the conventionally-used thermal evaporation process. This should allow a better crystalline quality of the NPs’ material and thus less energy dissipation at the LSP resonances. The PBRs produced in this way provide broadband quantum efficiency enhancement in the visible and NIR range which is attributed to both the cells front surface texture induced by the NPs shape and to plasmon-assisted scattering.

The best-performing solar cells were obtained with a PBR containing NPs formed from a 12 nm thick Ag film annealed at 400 °C for 4 h. Such PBR provided a pronounced 22.3% enhancement of $J_{sc}$ (and 62.5% for the photocurrent in the 600-800 nm range), which allowed the achievement of high absolute values of $J_{sc} = 15.75$ mA/cm² and $V_{oc} = 0.854$ V relative to those reported so far for plasmon-enhanced a-Si:H solar cells. Although the devices suffer from low values of fill factor, no deterioration occurs due to the presence of the NPs since the PBR-enhanced cells have similar FF as the reference cells without particles.

The EQE response of more than 40 solar cells, deposited on distinct types of PBRs, was analyzed and correlated to the previously-measured light scattering properties of the PBRs. This allowed the determination of a linear relation between the average diffuse reflection of the PBRs, in the 600 – 800 nm wavelength range, and the corresponding $J_{sc}$ enhancement. This reveals that the identification and the minimization of the parasitic absorption in the NPs is a crucial point for further improvement of plasmonic light trapping.
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