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The current study presents a direct CF2H radical addition to C=N bonds predicated on the photocatalytic activation of commercially available zinc difluoromethanesulfinate. The mild conditions in place lead to impressive structural diversity, as quinoxalinones and dibenzazepines, among others, are successfully functionalized.

Fluorine stands as the most abundant halogen on Earth, yet it has played an insignificant role during the natural biosynthesis of organic molecules.1 Despite shortage of organofluorides in Nature, chemists have discovered and exploited the unique properties of fluorine-containing compounds for decades, flooding the field of pharmaceutical, agrochemical and material science with a wide toolbox of innovative and unique strategies to achieve fluorine incorporation.2 In the realm of drug discovery and development, the installation of fluoromethyl groups (-CF3, -CF2H) into organic molecules has received significant consideration.3 Fittingly, over 20% of the currently approved drugs contain one or more fluorine atoms in their scaffolds.4 Fluoroalkylated compounds generally display enhanced bioavailability and drug uptake given their: i) higher lipophilicity than non-fluorinated analogues, leading to better membrane permeability, ii) resistance towards oxidation, which results in increased metabolic stability, and iii) improved binding selectivity.5 Pointedly, the difluoromethyl group (-CF2H) can serve as a suitable isostere to traditional hydrogen-bond donors such as alcohols, thiols or hydroxamic acid.6

Although trifluoromethylation processes have been studied extensively over the years,7 direct difluoromethylation has remained elusive.8 Insertion of the CF2H functionality into a specific target typically relies on multi-step methodologies, in which a CF2-FG derivative is attached to the desired site and then, the functional group (FG) is removed to generate the CF2H fragment.9 This shortcoming is clearly exemplified when reviewing C=N bond difluoromethyl additions (Scheme 1A). Hu and co-workers have been at the forefront of this synthetic challenge, generating highly nucleophilic sulfonyl- and thio-difluoromethyl anions to achieve aldime difluoromethylation, requiring initial activation and final sulfur removal (top, Scheme 1A).10 In this regard, the Hu group achieved a variant of this process through challenging activation of rather inert TMSCF2H.11 Prakash et al. have also reported an interesting follow-up on their trifluoromethylation strategy,12 in which addition of the Ruppert-Prakash reagent (TMSCF3), increased fluoride loading and subsequent reduction afforded the corresponding difluoromethylated amines (bottom, Scheme 1A).13a To the best of our knowledge, these two-electron approaches represent the only existing pathways to achieve difluoromethylation at the C=N bond. Furthermore, the strong base, toxic reagents and restrictive experimental conditions limit range and applicability. Therefore, it would be highly desirable to develop a direct and benign CF2H addition to C=N bonds.

Alternatively, photoredox catalysis has been established as a powerful tool for radical generation under milder reaction setups, while also proving to be extremely chemoselective regarding substrates outside their range of oxidative and reductive potential.14 In this context, radical fluoroalkylation

![Scheme 1](image-url)

Scheme 1. A) Previous difluoromethyl anionic additions to C=N bonds. B) This work: direct difluoromethyl radical addition to C=N bonds.
has benefited greatly from the recent renaissance in photochemistry, especially trifluoromethylation protocols. Nevertheless, the trifluoromethyl radical represents a markedly electrophilic species, impeding its addition onto an innately dyes). Photosensitizers (both organometallic complexes and organic Transfer) events with a large number of readily accessible comparison between the CF$_2$ and CF$_3$ radicals was reported, achieving exclusive C-H functionalization at nucleophilic and electrophilic sites of heteroarenes, respectively. The use of the CF$_3$H radical as a nucleophilic species, however, remains deeply unexplored. In fact, the direct photocatalytic difluoromethyl addition to C-N bonds has never been accomplished to the best of our knowledge. Given the challenge this combination represents, we herein report the direct difluoromethylation of imines and its application to a wide array of C=N bond-centric structures (Scheme 1B).

Difluoromethylation studies began with judicious selection of the reacting partners. Diphenyl-substituted aldimine 1a was chosen as model substrate because of its straightforward backbone, and methodical variations of its structure could give valuable information on its reactivity. Moreover, zinc difluoromethanesulfinate (DFMS) was quickly identified as an optimal CF$_2$H source since it is commercially available, air-stable and easy to handle (see ESI).17,19 Most importantly, it features a mild oxidation potential (E$_{ox}$ = +1.35 V vs. SCE in MeCN, see ESI for voltammetry), thus possibly engaging in SET (Single-Electron Transfer) events with a large number of readily accessible photosensitizers (both organometallic complexes and organic dyes). A summary of the most noteworthy results during initial experimentation is shown in Table 1 (see ESI for detailed optimization studies). Preliminary testing in the presence of standard Ir- and Ru-based photocatalysts (entries 1 and 2) yielded promising results. Following thorough photocatalyst screening, inexpensive Rhodamine 6G (Rh-6G, entry 3) provided the best results when irradiated near its local absorbance maximum (540 nm). This xanthene-based dye, however, has surfaced as interesting substrates given their privileged position such as quinolines, quinoxalinones and dibenzazepines rapidly achieved to the best of our knowledge. Given the challenge this combination represents, we herein report the direct difluoromethylation of imines and its application to a wide array of C=N bond-centric structures (Scheme 1B).

Difluoromethylation studies began with judicious selection of the reacting partners. Diphenyl-substituted aldimine 1a was chosen as model substrate because of its straightforward backbone, and methodical variations of its structure could give valuable information on its reactivity. Moreover, zinc difluoromethanesulfinate (DFMS) was quickly identified as an optimal CF$_2$H source since it is commercially available, air-stable and easy to handle (see ESI). Most importantly, it features a mild oxidation potential (E$_{ox}$ = +1.35 V vs. SCE in MeCN, see ESI for voltammetry), thus possibly engaging in SET (Single-Electron Transfer) events with a large number of readily accessible photosensitizers (both organometallic complexes and organic dyes). A summary of the most noteworthy results during initial experimentation is shown in Table 1 (see ESI for detailed optimization studies). Preliminary testing in the presence of standard Ir- and Ru-based photocatalysts (entries 1 and 2) yielded promising results. Following thorough photocatalyst screening, inexpensive Rhodamine 6G (Rh-6G, entry 3) provided the best results when irradiated near its local absorbance maximum (540 nm). This xanthene-based dye, however, has displayed enhanced photocatalytic activity upon irradiation with a more energetic wavelength (450 nm). Gratifyingly, this behavior could be exploited, delivering a significant increase in yield of 2a (entry 4). Optimal conditions were reached after solvent screening and adjustment of DFMS loading (entries 5-7). Meanwhile, control experiments revealed that photocatalyst and light irradiation are indispensable for the reaction to take place (entries 8 and 9).

With the optimized reaction conditions in hand, the structural scope of this class of diarylamines was evaluated (Table 2). Electron-donating substitution on the benzaldehyde ring — p-Me and p-OMe — was well tolerated (2b and 2c, respectively). Halide compatibility was also achieved; the reaction proceeded smoothly in the presence of electron-withdrawing p-fluoride (2d), and the p-bromide functionality remained intact during preparation of difluoromethylated amine 2e. Sterically demanding o-substitution was also responsive to this protocol (2f). Remarkably, pyridyl-substituted imine 1g underwent chemoselective CF$_2$H radical addition, providing the fluorinated heteroaryl amine with moderate yield (2g). Lastly, glyoxylate-derived imine 1h was successfully subjected to the newly developed conditions (2h). Even at its early developmental stage, this method had already showcased interesting applicability, giving access to 2e, which could be useful in orthogonal cross-coupling reactions, and unlocking difluoromethylated pyridyl and amino acid derivatives (2g and 2h, respectively). Further modifications were evaluated, yet N-phenyl substitution resulted essential for efficient reactivity, most likely due to the stabilizing effect it has on the N-centered radical intermediate that is formed upon CF$_2$H radical addition to the C=N bond, and the intrinsic stability of the imine under the reaction conditions.

Following this initial scope evaluation, exploration of relevant cores featuring C-N bonds susceptible to CF$_2$H radical addition was taken into account. Fused nitrogen heterocycles such as quinolines, quinoxalinones and dibenzazepines rapidly surfaced as interesting substrates given their privileged position among bioactive scaffolds. Particularly, quinolines presented an intriguing case due to their multiple reactive sites. The set of results shown in Scheme 2 highlights the importance of the generated intermediates in this process. Unsubstituted

### Table 1. Optimization of the photocatalytic CF$_2$H radical addition to aldimine 1a.

| Entry | Photocatalyst | hv (nm)$^1$ | 1a:DFMS molar ratio | Solvent | Yield (%)$^1$ |
|-------|--------------|-------------|----------------------|--------|--------------|
| 1     | [Rh(ppy)$_2$(bpy)]PF$_6$ | 420 | 1.2 | DMF | 39 |
| 2     | Rh-6G | 540 | 1.2 | DFMS | 42 |
| 3     | Rh-6G | 450 | 1.2 | MeCN | 54 |
| 4     | Rh-6G | 450 | 1.2 | MeCN | 85 |
| 5     | Rh-6G | 450 | 1.1:1 | MeCN | 70 (85)$^4$ |
| 6     | Rh-6G | 450 | 1:1 | MeCN | 46 |
| 7     | Rh-6G | 450 | 1:1 | MeCN | 77 (72)$^4$ |
| 8     | - | 450 | 1:1 | MeCN | 0 |
| 9     | - | - | 1:1 | MeCN | 0 |

$^1$ Reaction conditions: 0.1 mmol scale using 1.0 equiv. of aldimine 1a and 2 mol% of photocatalyst in 1.0 mL of solvent during 16 h. $^2$ Entries marked with asterisks represent optimized conditions for the following entries.

### Table 2. Exploration of the photocatalytic CF$_2$H radical addition to aldimines 1.$^3$

| Entry | Photocatalyst | hv (nm)$^1$ | 1a:DFMS molar ratio | Solvent | Yield (%)$^1$ |
|-------|--------------|-------------|----------------------|--------|--------------|
| 1     | DFMS | 450 | 1:1 | MeCN | 72% |
| 2     | - | - | 1:1 | MeCN | 73% |
| 3     | - | - | 1:1 | MeCN | 51% |
| 4     | - | - | 1:1 | MeCN | 54% |
| 5     | - | - | 1:1 | MeCN | 50% |
| 6     | - | - | 1:1 | MeCN | 57% |
| 7     | - | - | 1:1 | MeCN | 53% |
| 8     | - | - | 1:1 | MeCN | 33% |

$^1$ Reaction conditions: 0.1 mmol scale using 1.0 equiv. of aldimine 1 and 2 mol% of DFMS and 2 mol% of Rh-6G in 1.0 mL of MeCN during 16 h. Isolated yields are indicated under each entry. $^2$ Reaction time: 24 h. $^3$ Reaction time: 48 h.
Among pharmaceutical motifs, dibenzazepines constitute an essential component of second generation or atypical antipsychotics.²² As such, these tricyclic moieties were subjected to the difluoromethylating conditions (Table 4). Evaluation of the structural scope rendered exciting results as dibenzoazepine 7a underwent photocatalytic difluoromethylation efficiently (8a). Electron-donating (8b-8d) and electron-withdrawing (8e and 8f) bias was once again tolerated under the present conditions, regardless of the placement of the substitution. As observed in previous instances, amine 8g bearing the easily cross-coupled bromide functionality could be prepared in good yield. In an attempt to scale up the reaction, substrate 8g revealed modest results at a 0.75 mmol scale. As for analogous dibenzoazepines, performance appeared to feature similar reactivity to their oxo-analogues, giving access to unbiased (8h), electron-rich (8i) and electron-poor (8j) substrates in synthetically useful yields.

From a mechanistic standpoint, a proposal based on a series of experimental trials is outlined in Scheme 3 (see ESI for detailed mechanistic studies). Initial excitation of the photocatalyst Rh-6G under visible light irradiation leads to the formation of the excited species *Rh-6G. Stern-Volmer quenching studies indicate that this species is quenched by the difluoromethylation reagent DFMS affording *CF₂H through single-electron oxidation and extrusion of SO₂ (E(SO₂) = +1.35 V vs. SCE). The resulting difluoromethyl radical reacts with the C=N bond acting as a pseudo-nucleophile en route to aminyl radical intermediate I. At this stage, two possible outcomes could be expected: i) a Hydrogen Atom Transfer (HAT) event with intermediate I, generating the final difluoromethylated product 2a; or ii) a single-electron reduction of intermediate I, followed by proton abstraction to yield the final adduct 2a. Throughout the development of this protocol, several H atom donors were tested, observing no positive effect on the final yield. In fact, incremental addition of redox-inactive 1,4-cyclohexadiene led to decreased yield, or even total inhibition of the reaction.
Phenothiazines are successfully functionalized with the substituted aldimines, quinolines, quinoxalinones and proposal based on several experimental trials is presented, in pharmacologically crucial CF₂ (a) C. Hollingworth and V. Gouverneur, which •CF₂ has been developed. The photocatalytic activation of reaction, as evidenced by the formation of the fully deuterated acetonitrile as the proton source for the final step of the out.
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