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Abstract. We give a new formula for the special value at $s = 2$ of the Hasse-Weil zeta function for smooth projective fourfolds under some assumptions (the Tate & Beilinson conjecture and the finite generation of the Chow group $CH^2(X)$). Our formula may be considered as a generalization of the Artin-Tate(-Milne) formula for smooth surfaces, and expresses the special zeta value almost exclusively in terms of inner geometric invariants such as higher Chow groups (motivic cohomology groups). Moreover we compare our formula with Geisser’s formula for the same zeta value in terms of Weil-étale motivic cohomology groups, and as a consequence we obtain some presentations of weight two Weil-étale motivic cohomology groups in terms of higher Chow groups and unramified cohomology groups under the conjectures of Lichtenbaum and Parshin.
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1. Introduction

The Hasse-Weil zeta function is one of the most fundamental and important objects in arithmetic algebraic geometry. Let $X$ be a smooth projective variety of dimension $d$ defined over a finite field $\mathbb{F}_q$. This zeta function $\zeta(X, s)$ is defined for such $X$ by counting rational points of $X$:

$$\zeta(X, s) := Z(X, q^{-s}) \text{ with } Z(X, t) := \exp \left( \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{\# X(\mathbb{F}_q^n)}{n} t^n \right),$$
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and is expected to contain much information about $X$ itself, which has both arithmetic and geometric aspects. Arithmetically, the Hasse-Weil zeta function is a natural generalization of the Riemann zeta function, and geometrically, the Weil conjecture asserts that this zeta function ‘knows the topology’ of $X$. The Weil conjecture was proved by Grothendieck and Deligne ([SGA4],[D]), who developed the theory of étale cohomology to solve this conjecture. Since then, this powerful machinery has been a major tool in the study of Hasse-Weil zeta functions.

In this paper, we are concerned with a special value of Hasse-Weil zeta function that we define as follows: let $n$ be a natural number, and let $\rho_n$ be the order of the zero of $\zeta(X,s)$ at $s = n$. Then we define the special value of $\zeta(X,s)$ at $s = n$ as

$$\zeta^*(X,n) := \lim_{s \to n} \zeta(X,s)(1 - q^{n-s})^{-\rho_n} \quad (0 \leq n \leq d),$$

which is a non-zero rational number by the Weil conjecture.

In the case $d \geq 2$, the following theorem due to Tate, Artin ([Ta1, Theorem 5.2]), and Milne ([M1, Theorem 6.1]) is the first striking result on the study of zeta values. Let $X$ be a smooth projective surface defined over a finite field $\mathbb{F}_q$ of characteristic $p > 0$, and we denote $\overline{X} := X \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_q} \mathbb{F}_q$. It is well-known that the torsion part of the Picard group $\text{Pic}(X)_{\text{tors}}$ is finite and that the map $\iota : \text{Pic}(X) \to \text{Hom}(\text{Pic}(X), \mathbb{Z})$ induced by the intersection pairing $\text{Pic}(X) \times \text{Pic}(X) \to \mathbb{Z}$ has finite kernel and cokernel. Assume one of the following equivalent conditions ($\star$) for a simple prime number $\ell \neq p$:

$$(\star) \begin{cases} (1) \dim_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell} H^2_{\text{ét}}(\overline{X}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell(1))^\Gamma = \text{rank}_\mathbb{Z} \text{NS}(X) \\
 & \text{for some } \ell \text{ where } \Gamma := \text{Gal}(\mathbb{F}_q/\mathbb{F}_q). \\
(2) \ell\text{-torsion subgroup of the Brauer group } Br(X)\{\ell\} \text{ is finite.} \\
(3) \text{rank}_\mathbb{Z} \text{NS}(X) \text{ is equal to the multiplicity of } q \\
 & \text{as a reciprocal root of } P_2(X,t) \end{cases}$$

Here $\text{NS}(X)$ denotes the Néron-Severi group of $X$. Then the Brauer group $\text{Br}(X) = H^2_{\text{ét}}(X, \mathbb{G}_m)$ of $X$ is finite, and moreover the following formula holds:

$$(A) \quad \zeta^*(X,1) = (-1)^{S(1)} \cdot q^{\chi_{\text{Pic}(X)_{\text{tors}}}} \cdot \frac{|\text{Pic}(X)_{\text{tors}}|^2}{(q-1)^2 \cdot D \cdot |\text{Br}(X)|}.$$
Here $D$ is the order of the cokernel of the map $\iota : \text{Pic}(X) \to \text{Hom}(\text{Pic}(X), \mathbb{Z})$, and $S(1)$ and $\chi(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$ are the numbers defined by

\[ S(1) := \sum_{a > 2} \rho_a^2, \quad \rho_a^2 := \text{ord}_{s = a/2} \zeta(X, s), \]

\[ \chi(X, \mathcal{O}_X) = \sum_{0 \leq j \leq 2} (-1)^j \dim_{\mathbb{F}_q} H^j(X, \mathcal{O}_X), \]

where $\rho_a^2$ is the order of $\zeta(X, s)$ at $s = a/2$, and $a$ runs through all integers at least three. The formula (A) is called the Artin-Tate formula. The sign $(-1)^{S(1)}$ is due to Kahn and Chambert-Loir, and the equivalence of the above three conditions ($\star$) was proved by Tate ([Ta1, Theorem 5.1]).

After this pioneering work, Bayer, Neukirch, Schneider and Milne generalized the Artin-Tate formula to arbitrary $d$-dimensional smooth projective variety $X$ and weight $n$ under the assumption of Tate’s partial semisimplicity conjecture $SS^n(X, \ell)$ for all $\ell$ (see §2.2 for this conjecture) ([BN], [Sch], and [M2, Theorem 0.1]). For a prime number $\ell$, let $H^i_{\text{ét}}(X, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(n))$ be the $\ell$-adic étale cohomology group defined as follows:

\[ H^i_{\text{ét}}(X, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(n)) := \lim \left. H^i_{\text{ét}}(X, \mu_{\ell^n}) \right|_{\ell \neq p}, \]

\[ H^i_{\text{ét}}(X, \mathbb{Z}_p(n)) := \lim \left. H^i_{\text{ét}}(X, W_r\Omega^n_{X, \log}) \right|_{r \geq 1}, \]

where $\mu_{\ell^n}$ denotes the étale sheaf of $\ell^n$-th roots of unity, and $W_r\Omega^n_{X, \log}$ is the étale sheaf of the logarithmic part of the Hodge-Witt sheaf $W_r\Omega^n_X$ ([I]). We define $H^i_{\text{ét}}(X, \hat{\mathbb{Z}}(n))$ as follows:

\[ H^i_{\text{ét}}(X, \hat{\mathbb{Z}}(n)) := \prod_{\text{all primes } \ell} H^i_{\text{ét}}(X, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(n)). \]

By celebrated theorems of Deligne ([D, Théorème 1.6]) and Gabber ([Ga]), $H^i_{\text{ét}}(X, \hat{\mathbb{Z}}(n))$ is finite for all $i \neq 2n, 2n + 1$, and $H^{2n}_{\text{ét}}(X, \hat{\mathbb{Z}}(n))_{\text{tors}}$ is finite. Tate’s partial semisimplicity conjecture $SS^n(X, \ell)$ for all $\ell$ implies the finiteness of $\text{Ker}(\varepsilon^{2n})$ and $\text{Coker}(\varepsilon^{2n})$ where

\[ \varepsilon^{2n} : H^{2n}_{\text{ét}}(X, \hat{\mathbb{Z}}(n)) \to H^{2n+1}_{\text{ét}}(X, \hat{\mathbb{Z}}(n)) \]

is the cup product with the canonical element $1 \in \hat{\mathbb{Z}} \simeq H^1_{\text{ét}}(\text{Spec } \mathbb{F}_q, \hat{\mathbb{Z}})$ ([M2, §6]). Using these results, Milne gave the following general formula for
\( \zeta^*(X, n) : \)

\[
\zeta^*(X, n) = (-1)^{S(n)} \cdot q^{\chi(X, \mathcal{O}_X, n)} \cdot \prod_{i \neq 2n, 2n+1} \left| H^i_{\text{\acute{e}t}}(X, \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}(n)) \right|^{(-1)^i} \cdot \frac{|\text{Ker}(\varepsilon^{2n})|}{|\text{Coker}(\varepsilon^{2n})|}.
\]

Here \( S(n) \) and \( \chi(X, \mathcal{O}_X, n) \) are defined as

\[
S(n) := \sum_{a>2n} \rho_2^a, \quad \chi(X, \mathcal{O}_X, n) := \sum_{i,j} (-1)^{i+j}(n-j) \dim_{\mathbb{Q}} H^i(X, \Omega^j)
\]

\((0 \leq i \leq d, \ 0 \leq j \leq n),\)

and the presentation of \( S(n) \) is due to Kahn and Chambert-Loir ([K1, Remarque 3.11], [K2, Conjecture 66]). The above general formula shows that zeta values at integers are expressed in terms of \( \text{\acute{e}tale} \) cohomology groups. In this paper, we would like to find a formula for \( \zeta^*(X, n) \) using inner geometric invariants of \( X \), i.e., higher Chow groups (motivic cohomology groups).

The first result in this direction is due to Saito and Sato ([SS, Theorem B.1]). They proved a formula for \( \zeta^*(X, 2) \) for a smooth projective threefold \( X \) based on Milne’s formula assuming the finiteness of \( \text{Br}(X) \) and the unramified cohomology group \( H^3_{\text{ur}}(k(X), \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}(2)) \). Here, \( H^3_{\text{ur}}(k(X), \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}(2)) \) is defined as the kernel of the following boundary map \( \partial \) in the localization spectral sequence of \( \text{\acute{e}tale} \) cohomology groups (cf. [CT, p.21 (3.6)]):

\[
\partial : H^3_{\text{\acute{e}t}}(\text{Spec } k(X), \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}(2)) \to \bigoplus_{x \in X^{(1)}} H^4_{x, \text{\acute{e}t}}(\text{Spec } \mathcal{O}_{X,x}, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}(2)),
\]

where \( k(X) \) denotes the function field of \( X \), and \( X^{(1)} \) denotes the set of points of \( X \) of codimension one. (See §2.1 below for \( \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}(2) \).)

The first aim of this paper is to formulate a formula described almost exclusively in terms of Zariski topology for smooth projective fourfolds assuming the following two conjectures (see §2.2 for these conjectures).

\[
(\star \star) \quad \begin{cases} 
(i) \ CH^2(X) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \text{ is finite-dimensional over } \mathbb{Q}, \text{ and the order } \rho_2 \text{ of the pole of } \zeta(X, s) \text{ at } s = 2 \text{ is equal to } \dim_{\mathbb{Q}}(CH^2(X) \otimes \mathbb{Q}). \\
(ii) \ CH^4(X) \text{ is finitely generated.}
\end{cases}
\]

We call this hypothesis the Tate & Beilinson conjecture for \( X \) and denote it by \( TB^2(X) \).
There are examples of smooth projective 4-folds satisfying (**) which are constructed using Soulé’s result ([So, Théorème 3]) for 3-dimensional case. We present an example; let $A$ be a 3-dimensional abelian variety defined over $\mathbb{F}_q$, then $X = A \times_{\mathbb{F}_q} \mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbb{F}_q}$ is a 4-dimensional variety satisfying (**), i.e., $TB^2(X)$ and the finite generation of $CH^2(X)$. We will discuss the conjectures in (**) and their consequences in §2. The first main result of this paper is as follows.

1. **Theorem.** Let $X$ be a 4-dimensional smooth projective geometrically integral scheme defined over a finite field $\mathbb{F}_q$.

(a) If $TB^2(X)$ holds and $CH^2(X)$ is finitely generated, then $H^3_{ur}(k(X), \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}(2))$ is finite.

(b) $TB^2(X)$ implies that $H^5_{et}(X, \mathbb{Z}(2))$ is finite, where $\mathbb{Z}(2)$ is the étale sheafification of Bloch’s cycle complex on $X$ (see §2.3 for more details).

(c) $TB^2(X)$ implies that the intersection pairing

$$ CH^2(X) \times CH^2(X) \to CH^4(X) \simeq CH_0(X) \xrightarrow{\text{deg}} \mathbb{Z} $$

is non-degenerate when tensored with $\mathbb{Q}$.

(d) $CH^2(X, i)_{\text{tors}}$ is finite for $i = 1, 2, 3$ and zero for $i \geq 4$.

Based on this result, our formula is as the following:

2. **Theorem.** Let $X$ be a 4-dimensional smooth projective geometrically integral scheme defined over a finite field $\mathbb{F}_q$. Assume the above two conjectures in (**) for $X$. Then the following formula holds:

(B) $\zeta^*(X, 2) = (-1)^{s(2)} \cdot q^{\chi(X, 0, X, 2)} \cdot \frac{|H^3_{ur}(k(X), \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}(2))|^2}{|H^5_{et}(X, \mathbb{Z}(2))|} \cdot R_1$

$$ \cdot \prod_{i=0}^{3} |CH^2(X, i)_{\text{tors}}|^{2(-1)^i}. $$

Here $R_1$ is the order of the cokernel of the map

$$ \theta : CH^2(X) \to \text{Hom}(CH^2(X), \mathbb{Z}) $$
induced by the intersection pairing \((R_1 \text{ is well-defined by Theorem 1 (c))}, \text{ and } \chi(X, \mathcal{O}_X, 2)\) is defined as

\[
\chi(X, \mathcal{O}_X, 2) := \sum_{i,j} (-1)^{i+j}(2-i) \dim_{\mathbb{F}_q} H^j(X, \Omega^i_X) \quad (0 \leq i \leq 2, \ 0 \leq j \leq 4).
\]

We call this result the higher Chow formula for \(\zeta^*(X, 2)\), which is considered as a generalization of the Artin-Tate formula for the following two reasons. First, we consider the special value at the half-dimension-point, and second, comparing our formula with the Artin-Tate formula, there are some interesting analogies: the term \(D\) corresponds to \(R_1\) in our formula, \(\text{Pic}(X)_{\text{tors}} \cdot (q - 1)^{-2}\) corresponds to the higher Chow terms, and \(|\text{Br}(X)|\) corresponds to \(|H^2_{\text{ét}}(X, \mathbb{Z}(2))| \cdot |H^3_{\text{int}}(k(X), \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}(2))|^{-2}\), and both numbers are squares or twice squares.

Concerning the case of \(\dim X = 4\) such as in our case, Milne have already showed two formulas for \(\zeta^*(X, 2)\) in [M2, Theorem 0.6] and [M3, Theorem 6.6]. But both of two formulas are not expressed in terms of inner geometric invariants. In addition, his results are based on an additional condition of surjectivity of the cycle class map of codimension two (cf. \(T^n(X, \ell)\) in §2.2), which we do not assume in our main theorem. Our result gives a new zeta value formula in this sense.

As for \(\zeta^*(X, 1)\) and \(\zeta^*(X, 3)\), we unfortunately do not have fully a developed theory of a cycle class map of codimension three. Therefore, we do not have anything to say these special values in this paper.

Our second main theorem concerns the comparison of our higher Chow formula (B) with the following formula due to Geisser in terms of Weil-étale motivic theory:

\[
\zeta^*(X, 2) = (-1)^{S(2)} \cdot q^{\chi(X, \mathcal{O}_X, 2)} \cdot \frac{1}{R_2} \cdot \prod_{i=0}^{9} |H^i_{\text{W-ét}}(X, \mathbb{Z}(2))_{\text{tors}}|^{(-1)^i},
\]

under the assumptions (in addition to (**)) that the Weil-étale motivic cohomology groups \(H^i_{\text{W-ét}}(X, \mathbb{Z}(n))\) is finitely generated for every \(i\) and \(n\) ([G1, Theorem 9.1]) and that \(CH^2(X, 1) \otimes \mathbb{Q} = 0\) which is a part of the Parshin conjecture. Here \(R_2\) is the order of the cokernel of the map \(\vartheta : \)
$H^4_{W-\text{ét}}(X, \mathbb{Z}(2)) \to \text{Hom}(H^4_{W-\text{ét}}(X, \mathbb{Z}(2)), \mathbb{Z})$ induced by the following pairing

$$H^4_{W-\text{ét}}(X, \mathbb{Z}(2)) \times H^4_{W-\text{ét}}(X, \mathbb{Z}(2)) \twoheadrightarrow H^8_{W-\text{ét}}(X, \mathbb{Z}(4)) \rightarrow H^9_{W-\text{ét}}(X, \mathbb{Z}(2)) \text{deg} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z},$$

where $e$ is the canonical element corresponding to 1 under the isomorphism of $H^1_{W-\text{ét}}(\text{Spec } \mathbb{F}_q, \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}$. We compare this formula with our higher Chow formula (B). More precisely, we wish to clarify which term of the higher Chow formula corresponds to which term of Geisser’s formula. For this purpose, we begin with comparing higher Chow groups with Weil-étale motivic cohomology groups. By the duality arguments and standard facts on the cycle class map of codimension two, we get the following dictionary assuming $TB^2(X)$:

- $H^0_{W-\text{ét}}(X, \mathbb{Z}(2))_{\text{tors}} = 0,$
- $H^i_{W-\text{ét}}(X, \mathbb{Z}(2))_{\text{tors}} = CH^2(X, 4 - i)_{\text{tors}}$ ($i = 1, 2, 3$),
- $H^i_{W-\text{ét}}(X, \mathbb{Z}(2)) = (CH^2(X, i - 6)_{\text{tors}})^*$ ($i = 7, 8, 9$),
- $H^i_{W-\text{ét}}(X, \mathbb{Z}(2)) = 0$ ($i \geq 10$).

The cases $i = 4, 5$ and 6 are not so easy, but we have the following two facts by duality arguments: (i) under $TB^2(X)$ both $H^4_{W-\text{ét}}(X, \mathbb{Z}(2))_{\text{tors}}$ and $H^6_{W-\text{ét}}(X, \mathbb{Z}(2))_{\text{tors}}$ are finite and their orders are the same (Lemma 4.4.4), and (ii) under $TB^2(X)$ $H^5_{W-\text{ét}}(X, \mathbb{Z}(2))_{\text{tors}}$ is finite and the order of $H^5_{\text{ét}}(X, \mathbb{Z}(2))_{\text{tors}}$ is equal to that of $H^5_{W-\text{ét}}(X, \mathbb{Z}(2))_{\text{tors}}$ (Lemma 4.4.3).

The following equality finishes our comparison:

3. Theorem. Assume the same assumptions in (**) as in Theorem 2 for $X$, and assume further $CH^2(X, 1) \otimes \mathbb{Q} = 0$ and that $H^i_{W-\text{ét}}(X, \mathbb{Z}(2))$ is finitely generated for all $i$. Then the following equality holds:

$$\frac{1}{R_1} \left| H^3_{\text{ur}}(k(X), \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}(2)) \right|^2 \cdot |CH^2(X)_{\text{tors}}|^2 = \frac{1}{R_2} \left| H^4_{W-\text{ét}}(X, \mathbb{Z}(2))_{\text{tors}} \right| \cdot \left| H^6_{W-\text{ét}}(X, \mathbb{Z}(2))_{\text{tors}} \right| .$$

This equality is obtained by comparing $R_1$ and $R_2$ directly. The method is similar to the proof of Theorem 2, and we use a certain Weil-étale cycle
class map that we define in §4.2. This equality is also considered as a comparison of higher Chow groups with Weil-étale motivic cohomology groups $H^i_{W-\text{ét}}(X,\mathbb{Z}(2))$ for $i = 4$ and $i = 6$.

The organization of this paper is as follows. In the next section (§2), we briefly review on conjectures in (⋆⋆) and basic facts on étale cohomology groups. Moreover we prove Theorem 1 (b),(c). In §3, we prove our higher Chow formula (B) and Theorem 1 (a),(d). In §4, we prove Theorem 3.

Notations. Throughout this paper, we use the following notation:

$p$ : a prime number,
$\mathbb{F}_q$ : the finite field consisting of $q$ elements with $q = p^a$
$a \in \mathbb{Z}, a > 0$,
\(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q\) : the algebraic closure of $\mathbb{F}_q$,
\(\Gamma := \text{Gal}(\mathbb{F}_q/\mathbb{F}_q)\) : the absolute Galois group of $\mathbb{F}_q$,
$X$ : a smooth projective geometrically integral scheme
over $\mathbb{F}_q$,
\(\overline{X} := X \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_q} \overline{\mathbb{F}}_q\).

We often write $d$ for the dimension of $X$. For an abelian group $M$, $M^*$ denotes the Pontryagin dual $\text{Hom}(M, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$.
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2. Preliminaries

In this section, we give a brief review on some classical results and conjectures, and provide with some preliminary results. These results will play key roles in the later sections.

2.1. The $\ell$-adic étale cohomology group $H^i_{\text{ét}}(X, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(n))$

We recall the following important results on étale cohomology groups of a smooth projective scheme $X$ over $\mathbb{F}_q$. Let $\mu_m$ be the étale sheaf of $m$-th
roots of unity defined for a positive integer \( m \). We define \( \mathbb{Z}/\ell^n\mathbb{Z}(n) \) and \( \mathbb{Q}_\ell/\mathbb{Z}_\ell(n) \) for a prime number \( \ell \) and an integer \( n \) as follows:

\[
\mathbb{Z}/\ell^n\mathbb{Z}(n) := \begin{cases} 
\mu_{\ell^n} \otimes W_{\nu}^n \Omega^n_{X,\log}[-n] & (\ell \neq p) \\
W_{\nu} \Omega^n_{X,\log} & (\ell = p)
\end{cases}, \quad \mathbb{Q}_\ell/\mathbb{Z}_\ell(n) := \lim_{\nu} \mathbb{Z}/\ell^n\mathbb{Z}(n).
\]

where \( W_{\nu} \Omega^n_{X,\log} \) denotes the étale subsheaf of the logarithmic part of the Hodge-Witt sheaf \( W_{\nu} \Omega^n_X \) ([I, pp.596 - 597, I.5.7]). For a positive integer \( m = \ell_1^{e_1} \cdots \ell_r^{e_r} \) (\( \ell_1, \ldots, \ell_r \) are prime numbers, and \( e_1, \ldots, e_r \geq 1 \) are integers) and an integer \( n \), we define

\[
\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}(n) := \bigoplus_{j=1}^r \mathbb{Z}/\ell_j^{e_j} \mathbb{Z}(n), \quad \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}(n) := \lim_{\nu} \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}(n).
\]

With these notations, we define the \( \ell \)-adic étale cohomology groups \( H^i_{\text{ét}}(X, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(n)) \) for all primes \( \ell \) and their product \( H^i_{\text{ét}}(X, \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}(n)) \) as follows:

\[
H^i_{\text{ét}}(X, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(n)) := \lim_{\nu} \bigoplus_{j=1}^r \mathbb{Z}/\ell_j^{e_j} \mathbb{Z}(n), \quad H^i_{\text{ét}}(X, \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}(n)) := \prod_{\text{all primes } \ell} H^i_{\text{ét}}(X, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(n)).
\]

The first fundamental results concerning to these cohomology groups which are often used in this paper are as follows:

**2.1.1. Proposition.** Let \( X \) be a smooth projective scheme over \( \mathbb{F}_q \).

(1) Let \( \ell \) be a prime number different from \( p \). Then, there is an isomorphism \( H^i_{\text{ét}}(X, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(n)) \simeq H^i_{\text{cont}}(X, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(n)) \) for all \( i \) and \( n \), where \( H^i_{\text{cont}}(X, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(n)) \) is the continuous étale cohomology group due to Jannsen ([J]).

(2) There is a long exact sequence

\[
\cdots \to H^i_{\text{et}}(X, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(n)) \to H^i_{\text{et}}(X, \mathbb{Q}_\ell(n)) \to H^{i+1}_{\text{et}}(X, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}_\ell(n)) \to H^{i+1}_{\text{et}}(X, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(n)) \to \cdots
\]

for all prime numbers \( \ell \).
(3) There is a long exact sequence
\[
\cdots \to H^i_{\text{ét}}(X, \hat{\mathbb{Z}}(n)) \to H^i_{\text{ét}}(X, \hat{\mathbb{Z}}(n)) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \\
\to H^i_{\text{ét}}(X, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}(n)) \to H^{i+1}_{\text{ét}}(X, \hat{\mathbb{Z}}(n)) \to \cdots .
\]

Proof. (1) There is a following short exact sequence ([J, (0.2)]):
\[
0 \to \lim_{\nu} H^{i-1}_{\text{ét}}(X, \mathbb{Z}/\ell^n \mathbb{Z}(n)) \to H^i_{\text{cont}}(X, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(n)) \to H^i_{\text{ét}}(X, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(n)) \to 0,
\]
where \( \lim_{\nu} \) denotes the derived limit. Since \( H^i_{\text{ét}}(X, \mathbb{Z}/\ell^n \mathbb{Z}(n)) \) is finite for \( X := X \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_q} \mathbb{F}_q \) by [SGA4, Exposé XVI, Théorème 5.2], we see \( H^i_{\text{ét}}(X, \mathbb{Z}/\ell^n \mathbb{Z}(n)) \) is also finite by the following exact sequence:
\[
0 \to H^{i-1}_{\text{ét}}(X, \mathbb{Z}/\ell^n \mathbb{Z}(n)) \Gamma \to H^i_{\text{ét}}(X, \mathbb{Z}/\ell^n \mathbb{Z}(n)) \\
\to H^i_{\text{ét}}(X, \mathbb{Z}/\ell^n \mathbb{Z}(n)) \Gamma \to 0,
\]
which is obtained from the Hochschild-Serre spectral sequence
\[
E_2^{u,v} = H^u_{\text{Gal}}(\Gamma, H^v_{\text{ét}}(X, \mathbb{Z}/\ell^n \mathbb{Z}(n))) \Rightarrow H^{u+v}_{\text{ét}}(X, \mathbb{Z}/\ell^n \mathbb{Z}(n))
\]
\( (H^i_{\text{Gal}}(\Gamma, -)) \) denotes the Galois cohomology of \( \Gamma = \text{Gal}(\mathbb{F}_q/\mathbb{F}_q) \) and the Poincaré duality of the Galois cohomology of \( \Gamma \). Therefore \( \lim_{\nu} H^{i-1}_{\text{ét}}(X, \mathbb{Z}/\ell^n \mathbb{Z}(n)) \) is 0 for all \( i \), and we have \( H^i_{\text{cont}}(X, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(n)) \simeq H^i_{\text{ét}}(X, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(n)) \) by the first short exact sequence in this proof.

(2) We consider the following short exact sequence of complexes on \( X_{\text{ét}} \) for positive integers \( m \) and \( m' \) (see [CTSS, p.779, Lemme 3] for the \( p \)-primary part):
\[
0 \to \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}(n) \xrightarrow{xm'} \mathbb{Z}/mm'\mathbb{Z}(n) \to \mathbb{Z}/m'\mathbb{Z}(n) \to 0.
\]
When \( (m, m') = (\ell^n, \ell^\mu) \) for a prime number \( \ell \) (\( \ell \) may be \( p \)), this exact sequence \((\dagger)\) yields a long exact sequence
\[
\cdots \to H^i_{\text{ét}}(X, \mathbb{Z}/\ell^n \mathbb{Z}(n)) \to H^i_{\text{ét}}(X, \mathbb{Z}/\ell^n+\mu \mathbb{Z}(n)) \\
\to H^i_{\text{ét}}(X, \mathbb{Z}/\ell^\mu \mathbb{Z}(n)) \to \cdots .
\]
If \( \ell \neq p \), we have shown in (1) that these groups are all finite. If \( \ell = p \), we see that these groups are all finite as well by [M2, Theorem 1.14]. Therefore in any case, we obtain the desired long exact sequence by taking the projective limit with respect to \( \nu \) and then taking the inductive limit with respect to \( \mu \).

(3) We have the following long exact sequence using the above sequence (†):

\[
\cdots \rightarrow H^i_{\text{ét}}(X, \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}(n)) \rightarrow H^i_{\text{ét}}(X, \mathbb{Z}/m'm\mathbb{Z}(n)) \\
\rightarrow H^i_{\text{ét}}(X, \mathbb{Z}/m'm\mathbb{Z}(n)) \rightarrow \cdots .
\]

By taking projective limit with respect to \( m \), and taking inductive limit with respect to \( m' \), we obtain the desired long exact sequence. □

The second fundamental results are consequences of theorems by Deligne ([D, Théorème 1.6]) and Gabber ([Ga]). (cf. [CTSS, pp.779 - 786])

2.1.2. Theorem. Let \( X \) be a smooth projective scheme over \( \mathbb{F}_q \). Then

(1) The \( \ell \)-adic étale cohomology group \( H^i_{\text{ét}}(X, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(n)) \) is finitely generated for all \( \ell, \ i \) and \( n \).

(2) For all \( i \neq 2n, 2n + 1 \), \( H^i_{\text{ét}}(X, \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}(n)) \) is finite.

(3) \( H^{2n}_{\text{ét}}(X, \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}(n))_{\text{tors}} \) and \( H^{2n-1}_{\text{ét}}(X, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}(n)) \) are both finite.

Proof. (1) See [M4, Lemma V.1.11].

(2) We prove the following two assertions:

(a) For all \( i \neq 2n, 2n + 1 \) and all primes \( \ell \), \( H^i_{\text{ét}}(X, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(n)) \) is finite.

(b) For all \( i \neq 2n, 2n + 1 \) and almost all primes \( \ell \), \( H^i_{\text{ét}}(X, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(n)) = 0 \).

We first prove (a) assuming \( \ell \neq p \). By Proposition 2.1.1 (2), there is a long exact sequence

\[
\cdots \rightarrow H^i_{\text{ét}}(X, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(n)) \rightarrow H^i_{\text{ét}}(X, \mathbb{Q}_\ell(n)) \\
\rightarrow H^i_{\text{ét}}(X, \mathbb{Q}_\ell/\mathbb{Z}_\ell(n)) \rightarrow H^{i+1}_{\text{ét}}(X, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(n)) \rightarrow \cdots .
\]
We note that $H^i_{\text{ét}}(X, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(n))$ is a finitely generated $\mathbb{Z}_\ell$-module, $H^i_{\text{ét}}(X, \mathbb{Q}_\ell(n))$ is a finite-dimensional $\mathbb{Q}_\ell$-vector space, and $H^i_{\text{ét}}(X, \mathbb{Q}_\ell/\mathbb{Z}_\ell(n))$ is a cofinitely generated $\mathbb{Z}_\ell$-module. Using these properties, we see that the finiteness of $H^i_{\text{ét}}(X, \mathbb{Q}_\ell/\mathbb{Z}_\ell(n))$ is equivalent to that of $H^i_{\text{ét}}(X, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(n))$. Moreover, this finiteness condition is equivalent to $H^i_{\text{ét}}(X, \mathbb{Q}_\ell(n)) = 0$. Therefore, by the result of Colliot-Thélène-Sansuc-Soulé ([CTSS, p.780, Théorème 2]), $H^i_{\text{ét}}(X, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(n))$ is finite for all $i \neq 2n, 2n + 1$. For the case $\ell = p$, we use the long exact sequence of Proposition 2.1.1 (2) and the finiteness of $H^i_{\text{ét}}(X, \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p(n))$ for $i \neq 2n, 2n + 1$ ([CTSS, p.782, Théorème 3]).

To prove (b), we consider the above long exact sequence of Proposition 2.1.1 (2) again. By this exact sequence and [CTSS, p.780, Théorème 2], we see that $H^i_{\text{ét}}(X, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(n)) = 0$ for almost all $\ell \neq p$ and all $i \neq 2n, 2n + 1$ and $2n + 2$. For the case $i = 2n + 2$, we consider a short exact sequence

$$0 \to H^{2n}_{\text{ét}}(\overline{X}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell/\mathbb{Z}_\ell(n)) \to H^{2n+1}_{\text{ét}}(X, \mathbb{Q}_\ell/\mathbb{Z}_\ell(n))$$

which one can obtain by taking the inductive limit, with respect $\nu \geq 1$, of $(\circ)$ in the proof of Proposition 2.1.1 (1). By a theorem of Gabber, $H^{2n}_{\text{ét}}(\overline{X}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell/\mathbb{Z}_\ell(n))$ and $H^{2n+1}_{\text{ét}}(X, \mathbb{Q}_\ell/\mathbb{Z}_\ell(n))$ are both divisible for almost all $\ell \neq p$, which immediately imply that $H^{2n}_{\text{ét}}(\overline{X}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell/\mathbb{Z}_\ell(n)) \Gamma$ is divisible for the same $\ell$. On the other hand, we see that $H^{2n+1}_{\text{ét}}(X, \mathbb{Q}_\ell/\mathbb{Z}_\ell(n)) \Gamma$ is finite for almost all $\ell \neq p$ by the above fact due to Gabber [Ga] and an argument of [CTSS, p.781, proof of (ii)']. This completes the proof of (b).

(3) We use the long exact sequence of Proposition 2.1.1 (3). By [CTSS, p.780, Théorème 2], $H^{2n-1}_{\text{ét}}(X, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}(n))$ is finite. We have already seen that $H^{2n-1}_{\text{ét}}(X, \hat{\mathbb{Z}}(n)) \otimes \mathbb{Q} = 0$ by the above (2). Therefore, using the above long exact sequence, we see $H^{2n}_{\text{ét}}(X, \hat{\mathbb{Z}}(n))_{\text{tors}}$ is finite.

We will study $H^5_{\text{ét}}(X, \hat{\mathbb{Z}}(2))_{\text{tors}}$ in §2.3, which is a special case of $H^{2n+1}_{\text{ét}}(X, \hat{\mathbb{Z}}(n))_{\text{tors}}$ that was not treated in the above theorem.

The third important result is the following duality theorem due to Milne ([M2, Theorem 1.14]):

**2.1.3. Theorem (Milne).** Let $X$ be a 4-dimensional smooth projective scheme over $\mathbb{F}_q$. Then there is a canonical non-degenerate pairing of finite groups

$$H^1_{\text{ét}}(X, \mathbb{Z}/\ell^n\mathbb{Z}(2)) \times H^3_{\text{ét}}(X, \mathbb{Z}/\ell^n\mathbb{Z}(2)) \to \mathbb{Z}/\ell^n\mathbb{Z}$$
for all primes $\ell$. Consequently, taking limits, we have the following Pontryagin duality between compact groups and discrete groups:

$$H^i_{\text{ét}}(X, \hat{\mathbb{Z}}(2)) \times H^{3-i}_{\text{ét}}(X, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}(2)) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}.$$  

We often use this duality theorem in this paper.

### 2.2. Classical deep conjectures

We remind the basic notations and definitions of equivalence relations on algebraic cycles on a smooth projective scheme $X$ defined over $\mathbb{F}_q$ (cf. [Kl]). Let $Z^j(X)$ be the group of (algebraic) cycles of codimension $j$ on $X$, and $\bar{Z}^j(X)$ be the group of cycles of codimension $j$ which are equivalent to 0 with respect to an adequate equivalence relation $\sim$. In this paper, we consider three equivalence relations; rational equivalence ($\text{rat}$), $\ell$-adic homological equivalence for a prime number $\ell$ ($\text{hom}(\ell)$), and numerical equivalence ($\text{num}$). Let $\overline{X} := X \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_q} \overline{\mathbb{F}}_q$. Recall that a cycle $C$ of codimension $j$ is said to be $\ell$-adic homologically equivalent to 0 if $C$ goes to 0 under the $\ell$-adic cycle class map:

$$Z^j(X) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_\ell \rightarrow H^{2j}_{\text{ét}}(\overline{X}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell(j)) \quad (\ell \neq p),$$

$$Z^j(X) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p \rightarrow H^{2j}_{\text{cris}}(X/W) \otimes_W K \quad (\ell = p),$$

where $H^{2j}_{\text{cris}}(X/W)$ denotes the crystalline cohomology group of $X$ over $W$, $W := W(\mathbb{F}_q)$ denotes the ring of Witt vectors on $\mathbb{F}_q$, and $K$ denotes the field of fractions of $W$. The relationship between these three equivalence relations is as follows:

$$Z^j_{\text{rat}}(X) \subset Z^j_{\text{hom}(\ell)}(X) \subset Z^j_{\text{num}}(X).$$

We define the Chow group of codimension $j$ as $CH^j(X) := Z^j(X)/Z^j_{\text{rat}}(X)$. Tate and Beilinson raised the following conjectures for a prime number $\ell$ different from $p$:

1. $T^n(X, \ell)$: The $\ell$-adic cycle class map

$$CH^n(X) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_\ell \rightarrow H^{2n}_{\text{ét}}(\overline{X}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell(n)) \Gamma$$

is surjective, where $\Gamma$ denotes $\text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q/\mathbb{F}_q)$.  

2. $SS^n(X, \ell)$: $\Gamma$ acts semisimply on the generalized eigenspace of eigenvalue 1 in $H^{2n}_{\text{et}}(X, \mathbb{Q}_\ell(n))$.

3. $E^n(X, \ell)$: With rational coefficients, the $\ell$-adic homological equivalence agrees with the numerical equivalence (i.e., $Z^n_{\text{hom}(\ell)}(X) \otimes \mathbb{Q} = Z^n_{\text{num}}(X) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$).

4. $B^n(X, \ell)$: With rational coefficients, the rational equivalence agrees with the $\ell$-adic homological equivalence (i.e., $Z^n_{\text{rat}}(X) \otimes \mathbb{Q} = Z^n_{\text{hom}(\ell)}(X) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$).

For $\ell = p$, we need to introduce the following $p$-adic counterparts of these conjectures.

1. $T^n(X, p)$: Let $\varphi : H^{2n}_{\text{crys}}(X/W) \to H^{2n}_{\text{crys}}(X/W)$ be the crystalline Frobenius induced by the absolute Frobenius endomorphism on $X$. Then the $p$-adic cycle class map
\[ CH^n(X) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p \to (H^{2n}_{\text{crys}}(X/W) \otimes W K)^{\varphi = p^n} \]
is surjective.

2. $SS^n(X, p)$: The crystalline Frobenius $\varphi$ acts semisimply on the generalized eigenspace of eigenvalue $p^n$ in $H^{2n}_{\text{crys}}(X/W) \otimes_W K$.

3. $E^n(X, p)$: With rational coefficients, the $p$-adic homological equivalence agrees with the numerical equivalence (i.e., $Z^n_{\text{hom}(p)}(X) \otimes \mathbb{Q} = Z^n_{\text{num}}(X) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$).

4. $B^n(X, p)$: With rational coefficients, the rational equivalence agrees with the $p$-adic homological equivalence (i.e., $Z^n_{\text{rat}}(X) \otimes \mathbb{Q} = Z^n_{\text{hom}(p)}(X) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$).

In this paper, we sometimes assume the following Tate & Beilinson conjecture for $n = 2$:

$TB^n(X)$: $CH^n(X) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ is finite-dimensional over $\mathbb{Q}$, and the order $\rho_n$ of the pole of $\zeta(X, s)$ at $s = n$ is equal to $\dim_{\mathbb{Q}}(CH^n(X) \otimes \mathbb{Q})$. 
This conjecture is sometimes called strong Tate and Beilinson conjecture. The original form of this conjecture (cf. [Ta2, Theorem 2.9 (e)]) asserts that the rank of the group of numerical equivalence classes (not rational equivalence classes) of cycles of codimension \( n \) on \( X \) is equal to the order \( \rho_n \) defined in the above, and Tate proved that it is equivalent to \( T^n(X, \ell) + SS^n(X, \ell) \) for a single \( \ell \).

2.2.1. Proposition. Let \( X \) be a \( d \)-dimensional smooth projective scheme over \( \mathbb{F}_q \). Then the Tate & Beilinson conjecture \( TB^n(X) \) is equivalent to the combination of the conjectures \( T^n(X, \ell), SS^n(X, \ell), E^n(X, \ell) \) and \( B^n(X, \ell) \) for all prime numbers \( \ell \).

Proof. Obviously, the condition \( \dim_\mathbb{Q} \text{CH}^n(X) \otimes \mathbb{Q} = \dim_\mathbb{Q} A^n_{\text{num}}(X) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \) is equivalent to the condition \( Z^n_{\text{rat}}(X) \otimes \mathbb{Q} = Z^n_{\text{num}}(X) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \). Hence the assertion for the \( \ell \neq p \) follows from a result of Tate ([Ta2, Theorem 2.9]). As for the case \( \ell = p \), one can obtain analogous results as in [Ta2, Theorem 2.9] by replacing \( \ell \)-adic étale cohomology with crystalline cohomology (cf. [M5, Theorem 1.11]). Thus we obtain the proposition. □

\( TB^2(X) \) is a very strong assumption. As consequences of \( TB^2(X) \), we have the following facts which are useful in this paper.

2.2.2. Proposition. Let \( X \) be a 4-dimensional smooth projective geometrically integral scheme over \( \mathbb{F}_q \). Then

(1) \( TB^2(X) \) implies that the \( \ell \)-adic cycle class map

\[
\text{CH}^2(X) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_\ell \to H^4_{\text{ét}}(X, \mathbb{Q}_\ell(2))
\]

is bijective for all prime numbers \( \ell \).

(2) Assume \( TB^2(X) \) and that \( \text{CH}^2(X) \) is finitely generated. Then the \( \ell \)-adic cycle class map with \( \mathbb{Z}_\ell \)-coefficient

\[
\varrho^2_{\ell, \text{ét}} : \text{CH}^2(X) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_\ell \to H^4_{\text{ét}}(X, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(2))
\]

is injective for all prime numbers \( \ell \).
Proof. (1) We first prove the case \( \ell \neq p \). By a result due to Tate ([Ta2, Theorem 2.9]), \( TB^2(X) \) implies the following \( \ell \)-adic cycle class map is bijective:

\[
CH^2(X) \otimes \Q_\ell \xrightarrow{\sim} H^4_\et(X, \Q_\ell(2))^\Gamma.
\]

Thus it remains to prove an isomorphism \( H^4_\et(X, \Q_\ell(2)) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^4_\et(X, \Q_\ell(2))^\Gamma. \)

Since \( \Gamma \) has cohomological dimension one, the Hochschild-Serre spectral sequence for the continuous étale cohomology groups ([J, (3.5) b)]

\[
E_2^{u,v} = H^u_{\cont}(\Gamma, H^v_\et(X, \Q_\ell(2))) \Rightarrow H^{u+v}_{\cont}(X, \Q_\ell(2))
\]

and the isomorphism \( H^i_{\cont}(X, \Q_\ell(2)) \simeq H^i_\et(X, \Q_\ell(2)) \) in Proposition 2.1.1

(1) give the following exact sequence:

\[
\begin{align*}
0 & \to H^3_\et(X, \Q_\ell(2))^\Gamma \to H^4_\et(X, \Q_\ell(2)) \to H^4_\et(X, \Q_\ell(2))^\Gamma \to 0.
\end{align*}
\]

On the other hand, we have the following exact sequence for \( M := H^3_\et(X, \Q_\ell(2)) \):

\[
0 \to M^\Gamma \to M \xrightarrow{1-Fr} M \to M^\Gamma \to 0.
\]

Here \( Fr \) denotes the canonical generator of \( \Gamma \) acting on \( M \). Since \( M \xrightarrow{1-Fr} M \) is an isomorphism by the Weil conjecture (Deligne’s theorem [D, Théorème 1.6]), we see that \( M^\Gamma = 0 \). Therefore we have \( H^4_\et(X, \Q_\ell(2)) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^4_\et(X, \Q_\ell(2))^\Gamma \) by (♦), which proves the assertion if \( \ell \neq p \).

We prove the case \( \ell = p \). By Proposition 2.2.1, \( TB^2(X) \) implies that the following \( p \)-adic cycle class map is bijective:

\[
CH^2(X) \otimes \Q_p \xrightarrow{\sim} (H^4_{\crys}(X/W) \otimes_W K)^{\varphi=p^2}.
\]

We consider the long exact sequence (cf. [CTSS, p.785, (37)], [I, I.5.7.2])

\[
\cdots \to H^3_{\crys}(X/W) \otimes_W K \xrightarrow{p^2-\varphi} H^3_{\crys}(X/W) \otimes_W K \to H^4_\et(X, \Q_p(2)) \xrightarrow{\tau} H^4_{\crys}(X/W) \otimes_W K \xrightarrow{p^2-\varphi} H^4_{\crys}(X/W) \otimes_W K \to \cdots,
\]

where we have used the compatibility between the crystalline Frobenius operator and the Frobenius operator acting on the de Rham-Witt complex ([I, p.603, II (1.2.3)]). From this sequence, we see the map

\[
\tau : H^4_\et(X, \Q_p(2)) \to (H^4_{\crys}(X/W) \otimes_W K)^{\varphi=p^2}
\]
is surjective. It remains to prove that the map $p^2 - \varphi : H^3_{\text{crys}}(X/W) \otimes_W K \rightarrow H^3_{\text{crys}}(X/W) \otimes_W K$ is surjective. Let $a$ be the integer with $q = p^a$. Then, \( \Phi := \varphi^a \) is $K$-linear and we have

$$q^2 - \Phi = (p^2 - \varphi) \left( p^{2(a-1)} + p^{2(a-2)} \varphi + \ldots + p^2 \varphi^{a-2} + \varphi^{a-1} \right)$$

as endomorphism on $H^3_{\text{crys}}(X/W) \otimes_W K$. Moreover, $q^2 - \Phi$ is bijective on $H^3_{\text{crys}}(X/W) \otimes_W K$ by the Katz-Messing theorem ([KM]). Hence $p^2 - \varphi$ is surjective on $H^3_{\text{crys}}(X/W) \otimes_W K$ and we obtain the assertion.

(2) By (1), it remains to prove that $\varphi^2_{Z_{\ell}}$ is injective on torsion for all primes $\ell$, which has been proved by Colliot-Thélène-Sansuc-Soulé ([CTSS, p.787, Théorème 4] for the case of $\ell \neq p$) and Gros ([Gr, Théorème 2.1.11] for the case of $\ell = p$). □

2.3. Finiteness of $H^3_{\text{ur}}(k(X), \mathbb{Q}_\ell/\mathbb{Z}_\ell(2))$ and $H^5_{\text{et}}(X, \mathbb{Z}(2))$

In our second main theorem (Theorem 2), we assume three conjectures in (**), but these conjectures are not independent of each other. In this subsection, we prove Theorem 2.3.1 below concerning their relations. We recall the cycle complex of $X$ due to Bloch ([Bl]), which is a cochain complex of abelian groups of the following form:

$$\cdots \rightarrow z^n(X, j) \xrightarrow{d_j} z^n(X, j-1) \xrightarrow{d_{j-1}} \cdots \xrightarrow{d_1} z^n(X, 0).$$

Here $n$ is an integer, and $z^n(X, j)$ is placed in degree $-j$. Each $z^n(X, j)$ is defined as the free abelian group generated by all codimension $n$ integral closed subvarieties on $X \times \Delta^j$ of codimension $n$ which intersect properly with all faces of $X \times \Delta^j$, where $\Delta^\bullet$ is the standard cosimplicial scheme over $\text{Spec } \mathbb{Z}$ defined as follows:

$$\Delta^j := \text{Spec } \mathbb{Z}[x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_j]/(x_0 + x_1 + \ldots + x_j - 1).$$

We define $\mathbb{Z}(n)$ as the étale sheafification of the presheaf of this cycle complex shifted by degree $-2n$. Later we use $\mathbb{Q}(n)$ that is defined as $\mathbb{Q}(n) := \mathbb{Z}(n) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$.

2.3.1. Theorem. Let $X$ be a 4-dimensional smooth projective geometrically integral scheme defined over $\mathbb{F}_q$. 
(a) If $TB^2(X)$ holds and $CH^2(X)$ is finitely generated, then $H^3_{\text{ur}}(k(X),\mathbb{Q}_\ell/\mathbb{Z}_\ell(2))$ is finite for all prime numbers $\ell$.

(b) $TB^2(X)$ implies that $H^5_{\text{et}}(X,\mathbb{Z}(2))$ is finite.

(c) $TB^2(X)$ implies that the intersection pairing

$$CH^2(X) \times CH^2(X) \to CH^4(X) \cong CH_0(X) \xrightarrow{\deg} \mathbb{Z}$$

is non-degenerate when tensored with $\mathbb{Q}$.

Theorem 2.3.1 (a) will be used later in the proof of Theorem 1 (a) (see §3.2 below). Theorem 1 (b),(c) follows from the corresponding assertions of this theorem.

**Proof.** (a) We fix a prime number $\ell$, and consider the following local-global spectral sequence (cf. [BO]):

$$E_1^{u,v} = \bigoplus_{x \in X^{(u)}} H^u_{x,\text{ét}}(X,\mathbb{Q}_\ell/\mathbb{Z}_\ell(2)) \Rightarrow H^{u+v}_{\text{ét}}(X,\mathbb{Q}_\ell/\mathbb{Z}_\ell(2)).$$

By the relative cohomological purity theorem (cf. [M4, Theorem VI.5.1]), we have the following long exact sequence:

$$\cdots \to H^3_{\text{ét}}(X,\mathbb{Q}_\ell/\mathbb{Z}_\ell(2)) \to H^3_{\text{ur}}(k(X),\mathbb{Q}_\ell/\mathbb{Z}_\ell(2)) \to \cdots.$$

To prove the finiteness of $H^3_{\text{ur}}(k(X),\mathbb{Q}_\ell/\mathbb{Z}_\ell(2))$, we need to show that of $\text{Ker}(\varrho^2_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell/\mathbb{Z}_\ell})$. By the assumptions and Proposition 2.2.2, the cycle class map $\varrho^2_{\mathbb{Q}_\ell}: CH^2(X) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_\ell \to H^4_{\text{ét}}(X,\mathbb{Z}(2))$ is injective with finite cokernel. Based on these facts, we consider the following diagram with exact rows and columns:
The arrow (*) is injective because $H^3_{\text{ét}}(X, \mathbb{Q}_\ell(2)) = 0$ by the arguments in the proof of Theorem 2.1.2 (2). Since $H^3_{\text{ét}}(X, \mathbb{Q}_\ell/\mathbb{Z}_\ell(2))$ is finite by Theorem 2.1.2 (3), Coker($\varrho$) is also finite, and the map $H^4_{\text{ét}}(X, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(2)) \to H^4_{\text{ét}}(X, \mathbb{Q}_\ell(2))$ has finite kernel. By a diagram chase, we see Ker($\varrho_{\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}_\ell}$) is finite. As a result, $H^3_{\text{ur}}(k(X), \mathbb{Q}_\ell/\mathbb{Z}_\ell(2))$ is finite.

(b) By a result of Milne ([M3, p.95]), $TB^2(X)$ yields an isomorphism $H^5_{\text{ét}}(X, \mathbb{Z}(2)) \cong H^5_{\text{ét}}(X, \hat{\mathbb{Z}}(2))_{\text{tors}}$. Hence, we need to prove the finiteness of $H^5_{\text{ét}}(X, \hat{\mathbb{Z}}(2))_{\text{tors}}$. On the other hand, $TB^2(X)$ implies Tate’s partial semisimplicity conjecture $SS^2(X, \ell)$ for all $\ell$ (Theorem 2.2.1), and $SS^2(X, \ell)$ for all $\ell$ implies the finiteness of $H^5_{\text{ét}}(X, \hat{\mathbb{Z}}(2))_{\text{tors}}$ by another result of Milne ([M3, Introduction & Proposition 6.6]). This finishes our proof.

(c) Fix a prime number $\ell \neq p$. Then we have

$$CH^2(X) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_\ell \cong H^4_{\text{ét}}(X, \mathbb{Q}_\ell(2))^\Gamma \cong H^4_{\text{ét}}(X, \mathbb{Q}_\ell(2))$$

by Proposition 2.2.2 (1) and $SS^2(X, \ell)$. Hence the assertion follows from the Poincaré duality and Theorem 2.2.1. $\square$

3. Proof of the Higher Chow Formula (Theorem 2)

In this section, we prove Theorem 1 (a),(d) and higher Chow formula (B) stated in Theorem 2.

3.1. Proof of Theorem 1 (d)

We would like to rewrite étale cohomology groups $H^i_{\text{ét}}(X, \hat{\mathbb{Z}}(2))$ for $i \neq 4, 5$ in terms of higher Chow groups. These are calculated as follows:

3.1.1. Lemma. We have the following isomorphisms:

$$H^0_{\text{ét}}(X, \hat{\mathbb{Z}}(2)) = 0,$$

$$H^i_{\text{ét}}(X, \hat{\mathbb{Z}}(2)) \simeq H^{i-1}_{\text{ét}}(X, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}(2)) \simeq CH^2(X, 4-i)_{\text{tors}} \quad (i = 1, 2, 3),$$

$$(H^i_{\text{ét}}(X, \hat{\mathbb{Z}}(2)))^* \simeq H^{9-i}_{\text{ét}}(X, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}(2)) \simeq CH^2(X, i-6)_{\text{tors}} \quad (i = 7, 8, 9),$$

$$H^i_{\text{ét}}(X, \hat{\mathbb{Z}}(2)) = 0 \quad (i \geq 10),$$
where \(*\) means the Pontryagin dual. Moreover $CH^2(X, i)_{\text{tors}} = 0$ for $i \geq 4$.

This proves Theorem 1 (d) by the result of Theorem 2.1.2 (2).

In the proof of this lemma, we need the following facts and Theorem 2.1.3:

(1) We have the isomorphisms

$$H^i_{\text{ét}}(X, \hat{\mathbb{Z}}(2)) \simeq H^{i-1}_{\text{ét}}(X, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}(2)) \quad \text{for } i \neq 4, 5, 6.$$ 

This follows from the following long exact sequence:

$$\cdots \to H^i_{\text{ét}}(X, \hat{\mathbb{Z}}(2)) \to H^i_{\text{ét}}(X, \hat{\mathbb{Z}}(2)) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \to H^{i+1}_{\text{ét}}(X, \hat{\mathbb{Z}}(2)) \to \cdots,$$

which is given in Proposition 2.1.1 (3).

(2) We have the isomorphisms

$$H^{i-1}_{\text{ét}}(X, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}(2)) \simeq CH^2(X, 4 - i)_{\text{tors}} \quad \text{for } i \leq 3.$$ 

This follows from the following exact sequence due to Geisser-Levine (Geisser-Levine [GL1, Theorem 1.5],[GL2]) with Merkur’ev-Suslin’s theorem ([MS]) in our case:

$$0 \to CH^2(X, 4 - i) \otimes \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} \to H^i_{\text{ét}}(X, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}(2)) \to CH^2(X, 3 - i)_{\text{tors}} \to 0 \quad (i \leq 3),$$

and the fact that $CH^2(X, 4 - i) \otimes \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$ is finite and divisible at the same time.

Proof of Lemma 3.1.1. The assertions of Lemma 3.1.1 follow from the above two facts (1),(2) and Theorem 2.1.3:

$$H^0_{\text{ét}}(X, \hat{\mathbb{Z}}(2)) \simeq H^{-1}_{\text{ét}}(X, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}(2)) = 0,$$

$$H^i_{\text{ét}}(X, \hat{\mathbb{Z}}(2)) \simeq H^{i-1}_{\text{ét}}(X, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}(2)) \simeq CH^2(X, 4 - i)_{\text{tors}} \quad (i = 1, 2, 3),$$
\[
\left( H^i_{\text{ét}}(X, \hat{\mathbb{Z}}(2)) \right)^* \overset{\text{Theorem 2.1.3}}{\simeq} H^{9-i}_{\text{ét}}(X, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}(2)) \\
\overset{(2)}{\simeq} CH^2(X, i-6)_{\text{tors}} \quad (i = 7, 8, 9).
\]

For a negative integer \( i \), \( H^i_{\text{ét}}(X, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}(2)) = 0 \). Therefore we see \( CH^2(X, i)_{\text{tors}} = 0 \) for \( i \geq 4 \) by the above fact (2). This completes the proof. □

### 3.2. Proof of Theorem 1 (a)

By Theorem 2.3.1 (a), we know that \( H^3_{\text{ur}}(k(X), \mathbb{Q}_\ell/\mathbb{Z}_\ell(2)) \) is finite for all prime numbers \( \ell \). Thus the remaining task is to prove that it is 0 for almost all \( \ell \). As we have already discussed in the introduction, Milne, Kahn and Chambert-Loir showed the following general formula on \( \zeta^*(X, 2) \):

\[
(\sharp) \quad \zeta^*(X, 2) = (-1)^{s(2)} \cdot q^{\chi(X, \mathbb{Q}_\ell)} \cdot \prod_{i \neq 4, 5} [H^i_{\text{ét}}(X, \hat{\mathbb{Z}}(2))]|(-1)^i \cdot \frac{|\text{Ker}(\varepsilon^4)|}{|\text{Coker}(\varepsilon^4)|},
\]

where \( \varepsilon^4 : H^4_{\text{ét}}(X, \hat{\mathbb{Z}}(2)) \to H^5_{\text{ét}}(X, \hat{\mathbb{Z}}(2)) \) is the cup product with the canonical element \( 1 \in \hat{\mathbb{Z}} \simeq H^1_{\text{ét}}(\text{Spec } \mathbb{F}_q, \hat{\mathbb{Z}}) \) explained in the introduction. Let \( \ell \) be a prime number. We know that the \( \ell \)-adic part of (\( \blacklozenge \)) is 1 for almost all \( \ell \) by Theorem 2.1.2 (2). Therefore, we see from the above equality of rational numbers for \( \zeta^*(X, 2) \) that the \( \ell \)-adic part of (\( \blacklozenge \)) is 1 for almost all \( \ell \). In the below, we will relate the \( \ell \)-adic part of (\( \blacklozenge \)) to the unramified cohomology group \( H^3_{\text{ur}}(k(X), \mathbb{Q}_\ell/\mathbb{Z}_\ell(2)) \) for all prime numbers \( \ell \).

We use a similar argument as in [SS, Appendix B]. We consider the following diagram:

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
CH^2(X) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_\ell & \xrightarrow{\Theta_\ell} & \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}_\ell^{\text{mod}}}(CH^2(X) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_\ell, \mathbb{Z}_\ell) \\
\alpha_\ell & & \beta_2, \ell \\
H^4_{\text{ét}}(X, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(2)) & \xrightarrow{\varepsilon^4_\ell} & H^5_{\text{ét}}(X, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(2)).
\end{array}
\]
Here $\varepsilon_4^\ell : H^4_{\text{ét}}(X, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(2)) \to H^5_{\text{ét}}(X, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(2))$ is the cup product with canonical element $1 \in \mathbb{Z}_\ell \simeq H^1_{\text{ét}}(\text{Spec } \mathbb{F}_q, \mathbb{Z}_\ell)$, $\alpha_\ell : CH^2(X) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_\ell \to H^4_{\text{ét}}(X, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(2))$ is the codimension two cycle class map, $\beta_{1,\ell}$ is the map induced by the following pairing

$$H^4_{\text{ét}}(X, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(2)) \times H^5_{\text{ét}}(X, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(2)) \to H^9_{\text{ét}}(X, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(2)) \simeq \mathbb{Z}_\ell$$

due to Milne ([M3, Lemma 5.3]), and $\beta_{2,\ell}$ is the induced map by the cycle class map $\alpha_\ell$. We denote $\beta_\ell$ as a composition $\beta_{2,\ell} \circ \beta_{1,\ell}$. By Milne’s result ([M3, Lemma 5.4]), this diagram commutes. We have the following facts:

1. Assuming $\mathbf{TB}^2(X)$, $\alpha_\ell$ is injective by Theorem 2.2.2 (2).

2. Assuming $\mathbf{TB}^2(X)$ and the finite generation of $CH^2(X)$, we have the finiteness of $\text{Coker}(\alpha_\ell)$ and $|\text{Coker}(\alpha_\ell)| = |H^3_{\text{ur}}(k(X), \mathbb{Q}_\ell/\mathbb{Z}_\ell(2))|$ by Theorem 2.3.1 (a) ([SS, Proposition 4.3.5]).

3. $\beta_{1,\ell}$ is surjective and $\text{Ker}(\beta_{1,\ell}) = H^5_{\text{ét}}(X, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(2))_{\text{tors}}$ by a result of Milne ([M3, Lemma 5.3]). We remark that $H^5_{\text{ét}}(X, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(2))_{\text{tors}}$ is the $\ell$-primary torsion part of $H^5_{\text{ét}}(X, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(2))$ because there is an isomorphism $H^5_{\text{ét}}(X, \mathbb{Z}(2)) \xrightarrow{\simeq} H^5_{\text{ét}}(X, \hat{\mathbb{Z}}(2))_{\text{tors}}$ under $\mathbf{TB}^2(X)$ by Milne ([M3, p.95]).

4. Since we assume $\mathbf{TB}^2(X)$ and the finite generation of $CH^2(X)$, $\beta_{2,\ell}$ is injective by the finiteness of $\text{Coker}(\alpha_\ell)$ and Theorem 1 (a), and $\text{Ker}(\beta_\ell) = \text{Ker}(\beta_{1,\ell})$.

5. By Theorem 2.2.2 (2), $\text{Ker}(\Theta_\ell) = CH^2(X)_{\ell-\text{tors}}$ and $|\text{Coker}(\Theta_\ell)| = |\langle R_1 \rangle_\ell|$. Here $CH^2(X)_{\ell-\text{tors}}$ denotes the $\ell$-primary torsion part of $CH^2(X)$, and we denote $|\langle N \rangle_\ell| := \ell^{\text{ord}_\ell(N)}$ for a natural number $N$.

We prove the following fact concerning the cokernel of $\beta_\ell$:

3.2.1. LEMMA. Assume $\mathbf{TB}^2(X)$ and that $CH^2(X)$ is finitely generated. Then we have the following equality for all $\ell$:

$$|\text{Coker}(\beta_\ell)| = |\text{Coker}(\beta_{2,\ell})| = \frac{|H^3_{\text{ur}}(k(X), \mathbb{Q}_\ell/\mathbb{Z}_\ell(2))| \cdot |CH^2(X)_{\ell-\text{tors}}|}{|H^4_{\text{ét}}(X, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(2))_{\text{tors}}|}.$$
We first finish the proof of Theorem 1 (a) admitting this lemma. By the above facts 1–5 and the assumptions in (**) and Theorem 2.3.1, the maps $\Theta_\ell$, $\alpha_\ell$, $\beta_\ell$ and $\varepsilon_\ell^4$ have finite kernel and cokernel. Hence, we have the following equality of rational numbers:

\[
\frac{|\text{Ker}(\Theta_\ell)|}{|\text{Coker}(\Theta_\ell)|} = \frac{|\text{Ker}(\alpha_\ell)|}{|\text{Coker}(\alpha_\ell)|} \cdot \frac{|\text{Ker}(\varepsilon_\ell^4)|}{|\text{Coker}(\varepsilon_\ell^4)|} \cdot \frac{|\text{Ker}(\beta_\ell)|}{|\text{Coker}(\beta_\ell)|}.
\]

By Lemma 3.2.1 and the above facts 1–5, we rewrite this equality (b) as follows:

\[
|H^3_{\text{ur}}(k(X), \mathbb{Q}_\ell/\mathbb{Z}_\ell(2))|^2 = \frac{|\text{Ker}(\varepsilon_\ell^4)|}{|\text{Coker}(\varepsilon_\ell^4)|} \cdot \langle R_1 \rangle_\ell \cdot \frac{|H^4_{\text{et}}(X, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(2))|}{|H^5_{\text{et}}(X, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(2))|} \cdot \frac{|H^5_{\text{et}}(X, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(2))|}{|H^2(X, \ell)-\text{tors}|^2}.
\]

Since we assumed $TB^2(X)$ and the finite generation of $CH^2(X)$, both $\langle R_1 \rangle_\ell$ and $|CH^2(X, \ell)\text{-tors}|$ are 1 for almost all $\ell$. $|H^5_{\text{et}}(X, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(2))|\text{tors}$ is 1 for almost all $\ell$ under $TB^2(X)$ by the above fact 3 and Theorem 2.3.1 (b). $|H^4_{\text{et}}(X, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(2))|\text{tors}$ is also 1 for almost all $\ell$ by Theorem 2.1.2 (3). Therefore, $|H^3_{\text{ur}}(k(X), \mathbb{Q}_\ell/\mathbb{Z}_\ell(2))|^2$ is 1 for almost all $\ell$, and so is $|H^3_{\text{ur}}(k(X), \mathbb{Q}_\ell/\mathbb{Z}_\ell(2))|$. This proves Theorem 1 (a). Finally, we give a proof of the above Lemma 3.2.1:

**Proof of Lemma 3.2.1.** We fix a prime number $\ell$. Since we assume $TB^2(X)$ and that $CH^2(X)$ is finitely generated, there is a following exact sequence

\[
0 \to CH^2(X) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_\ell \to H^3_{\text{et}}(X, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(2)) \to G \to 0,
\]

where $G$ is a group whose order is equal to $H^3_{\text{ur}}(k(X), \mathbb{Q}_\ell/\mathbb{Z}_\ell(2))$ which is finite by our assumptions and Theorem 2.3.1 (a). Applying the Ext-functor $\text{Ext}_{\mathbb{Z}_\ell}\text{-mod}(\text{\_}, \mathbb{Z}_\ell)$ for the above sequence of $\mathbb{Z}_\ell$-modules, we get the following long exact sequence:

\[
0 \to \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}_\ell}\text{-mod}(G, \mathbb{Z}_\ell) \to \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}_\ell}\text{-mod}(H^4_{\text{et}}(X, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(2)), \mathbb{Z}_\ell) \to \text{Ext}_{\mathbb{Z}_\ell}\text{-mod}(G, \mathbb{Z}_\ell) \to \text{Ext}_{\mathbb{Z}_\ell}\text{-mod}(CH^2(X) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_\ell, \mathbb{Z}_\ell).
\]

\[
\to \text{Ext}_{\mathbb{Z}_\ell}\text{-mod}(G, \mathbb{Z}_\ell) \to \text{Ext}_{\mathbb{Z}_\ell}\text{-mod}(H^4_{\text{et}}(X, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(2)), \mathbb{Z}_\ell) \to \text{Ext}_{\mathbb{Z}_\ell}\text{-mod}(CH^2(X) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_\ell, \mathbb{Z}_\ell) \to 0.
\]
We denote the map $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}_\ell}(-, H^4_{\text{ét}}(X, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(2))) \to \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}_\ell}(-, CH^2(X) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_\ell)$ in the above sequence as $\beta_{2, \ell}$. This sequence of Ext-groups breaks up at degree one, because $\mathbb{Z}_\ell$ has Ext-dimension one. By the finiteness of $G$, $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}_\ell}(G, \mathbb{Z}_\ell) = 0$. Since the $\ell$-adic étale cohomology groups $H^4_{\text{ét}}(X, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(2))$ is finitely generated (Theorem 2.1.2 (1)), the quotient $H^4_{\text{ét}}(X, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(2))/H^4_{\text{ét}}(X, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(2))_{\text{tors}}$ is free, i.e., projective. For a projective $\mathbb{Z}_\ell$-module $M$, we have $\text{Ext}^2_{\mathbb{Z}_\ell}(-, \mathbb{Z}_\ell) = 0$ ($q \geq 1$), and have an isomorphism

$$\text{Ext}^1_{\mathbb{Z}_\ell}(M, \mathbb{Z}_\ell) \simeq \text{Hom}(M_{\text{tors}}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell/\mathbb{Z}_\ell).$$

Using this fact, one can rewrite $\text{Ext}^1$-groups in the above long exact sequence as follows:

$$\text{Ext}^1_{\mathbb{Z}_\ell}(G, \mathbb{Z}_\ell) \simeq \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}_\ell}(G, \mathbb{Q}_\ell/\mathbb{Z}_\ell),$$
$$\text{Ext}^1_{\mathbb{Z}_\ell}(H^4_{\text{ét}}(X, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(2)), \mathbb{Z}_\ell) \simeq \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}_\ell}(H^4_{\text{ét}}(X, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(2))_{\text{tors}}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell/\mathbb{Z}_\ell),$$
$$\text{Ext}^1_{\mathbb{Z}_\ell}(CH^2(X) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_\ell, \mathbb{Z}_\ell) \simeq \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}_\ell}(CH^2(X)_{\text{tors}}, \mathbb{Q}_\ell/\mathbb{Z}_\ell).$$

For a finite $\mathbb{Z}_\ell$-module $M$, we have $|\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}_\ell}(M, \mathbb{Q}_\ell/\mathbb{Z}_\ell)| = |M|$. Combining these facts, we finish the proof of Lemma 3.2.1, and that of Theorem 1 (a). □

### 3.3. Proof of the higher Chow formula

To obtain Theorem 2, we rewrite each term in Milne-Kahn-Chambert-Loir formula (1) for $\zeta^*(X, 2)$ in terms of higher Chow groups and unramified cohomology groups. By Lemma 3.1.1, it remains to compute $H^6_{\text{ét}}(X, \hat{\mathbb{Z}}(2))$ and (\. We have isomorphisms of finite groups

$$H^6_{\text{ét}}(X, \hat{\mathbb{Z}}(2)) \overset{\text{Theorem 2.1.3}}{\simeq} (H^3_{\text{ét}}(X, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}(2)))^* \simeq \left(H^4_{\text{ét}}(X, \hat{\mathbb{Z}}(2))_{\text{tors}}\right)^*,$$

where the latter isomorphism follows from the following short exact sequence

$$0 \to H^3_{\text{ét}}(X, \hat{\mathbb{Z}}(2)) \otimes \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} \to H^3_{\text{ét}}(X, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}(2)) \to H^4_{\text{ét}}(X, \hat{\mathbb{Z}}(2))_{\text{tors}} \to 0$$

and the finiteness of $H^3_{\text{ét}}(X, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}(2))$ by Theorem 2.1.2 (3). $H^4_{\text{ét}}(X, \hat{\mathbb{Z}}(2))_{\text{tors}}$ and (\..) are obtained by Lemma 3.2.1 and taking products with respect to all prime numbers $\ell$. Let $\beta_1 : H^5_{\text{ét}}(X, \hat{\mathbb{Z}}(2)) \to \text{Hom}_{\text{cont}}(H^4_{\text{ét}}(X, \hat{\mathbb{Z}}(2)), \hat{\mathbb{Z}})$ be the map induced by the pairing $H^3_{\text{ét}}(X, \hat{\mathbb{Z}}(2)) \times H^5_{\text{ét}}(X, \hat{\mathbb{Z}}(2)) \to H^8_{\text{ét}}(X, \hat{\mathbb{Z}}(2)) \simeq \hat{\mathbb{Z}}$ due to Milne ([M3, Lemma 5.3]), and $\beta_2$ is the induced map by the
codimension two cycle class map $CH^2(X) \otimes \hat{\mathbb{Z}} \to H^4_{\text{ét}}(X, \hat{\mathbb{Z}}(2))$. We denote $\beta$ as a composition $\beta_2 \circ \beta_1$. By Milne’s result ([M3, Lemma 5.3]), we see $\text{Coker}(\beta) = \text{Coker}(\beta_2)$. We have the following Key-Lemma:

3.3.1. Key-Lemma. Assume $TB^2(X)$ and that $CH^2(X)$ is finitely generated. Then $\beta$ and $\beta_2$ have finite cokernel, and the following equality holds:

$$|\text{Coker}(\beta)| = |\text{Coker}(\beta_2)| = \frac{|H^3_{\text{ur}}(k(X), \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}(2))| \cdot |CH^2(X)_{\text{tors}}|}{|H^4_{\text{ét}}(X, \hat{\mathbb{Z}}(2))_{\text{tors}}|}.$$ 

Proof. We have the following isomorphism:

$$\text{Coker}(\beta) = \prod_{\text{all primes } \ell} \text{Coker}(\beta_{2,\ell}).$$

As we have seen in §3.2, $|H^3_{\text{ur}}(k(X), \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}(2))|$, $|CH^2(X)_{\text{tors}} \otimes \mathbb{Z}_\ell|$ and $|H^4_{\text{ét}}(X, \hat{\mathbb{Z}}(2))_{\text{tors}}|$ are all 1 for almost all $\ell$ under the assumptions of $TB^2(X)$ and the finite generation of $CH^2(X)$. Thus taking product of the equality in Lemma 3.2.1 with respect to all prime numbers $\ell$ finishes our proof. □

This completes the proof of our higher Chow formula.

4. Comparison with Geisser’s Formula

In this section, we compare our higher Chow formula (B) with Geisser’s formula written in Weil-étale cohomology groups. Before starting our comparison arguments, we will recall basic properties of Weil-étale cohomology briefly.

4.1. Basics on Weil-étale motivic theory

Let $\Gamma = \text{Gal}(\mathbb{F}_q/\mathbb{F}_q)$ be the absolute Galois group of $\mathbb{F}_q$, and let $\Gamma_0 \cong \mathbb{Z}$ be the Weil subgroup of $\Gamma$ generated by the Frobenius element. Let $X$ be a smooth scheme defined over $\mathbb{F}_q$, and let $n$ be a non-negative integer.

Usual étale sheaves on $\overline{X}$ arising from $X$ are equipped with $\Gamma$-action. A Weil-étale sheaf on $\overline{X}$ is defined as an étale sheaf on $\overline{X}$ equipped with $\Gamma_0$-action. If we denote the étale topos on $X$ by $\mathcal{T}_{\text{ét}}$, and the Weil-étale topos
on $\overline{X}$ by $\mathcal{F}_{W-\text{ét}}$, then we have a morphism of topoi (cf. [SGA4, Exposé IV.3]):

$$\gamma = (\gamma^*, \gamma_*): \mathcal{F}_\text{ét} \xrightarrow{\gamma_*} \mathcal{F}_{W-\text{ét}},$$

where $\gamma^*: \mathcal{F}_\text{ét} \to \mathcal{F}_{W-\text{ét}}$ is the restriction functor.

Using the étale motivic complex $\mathbb{Z}(n)$ defined in §2.3, we define the Weil-étale motivic cohomology as the Weil-étale hypercohomology:

$$H^i_{W-\text{ét}}(X, \mathbb{Z}(n)) := \mathbb{H}^i_{W-\text{ét}}(X, \gamma^* \mathbb{Z}(n)).$$

We also define $H^i_{W-\text{ét}}(X, A(n))$ for an abelian group $A$ for by tensoring to the étale motivic complex $\mathbb{Z}(n) \otimes A$. We are mainly concerned with case $A \in \{\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}\}$. By results of Geisser [G1, Theorem 7.1], Weil-étale motivic cohomology groups are related with étale cohomology groups and higher Chow groups as follows:

4.1.1. **Theorem (Geisser).** Let $X$ be a smooth scheme defined over a finite field $\mathbb{F}_q$.

(1) There is a long exact sequence

$$\cdots \to H^i_\text{ét}(X, \mathbb{Z}(n)) \to H^i_{W-\text{ét}}(X, \mathbb{Z}(n))$$

$$\to H^{i-1}_\text{ét}(X, \mathbb{Q}(n)) \to H^{i+1}_\text{ét}(X, \mathbb{Z}(n)) \to \cdots.$$ 

(2) For torsion coefficients, Weil-étale motivic cohomology is isomorphic to étale cohomology for all degrees, i.e., we have the following for all $i$ and $n$:

$$H^i_{W-\text{ét}}(X, \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}(n)) \simeq H^i_\text{ét}(X, \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}(n)),$$

where $\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}(n)$ is as in §2.1.

(3) For rational coefficient, the following holds for all $i$ and $n$:

$$H^i_{W-\text{ét}}(X, \mathbb{Q}(n)) \simeq \left( CH^n(X, 2n - i) \oplus CH^n(X, 2n - i + 1) \right) \otimes \mathbb{Q}.$$

We will often use this theorem for $n = 2$ case in the following subsections.
4.2. Weil-étale cycle class map

Throughout this paper, we will use a Weil-étale cycle class map defined as follows; we consider the following adjunction morphism of complexes:

\[ Z(n) \to R\gamma_\ast \gamma^\ast Z(n). \]

Applying the hypercohomology functor for this map, we get the following composite map:

\[ CH^n(X) \to H_{\text{ét}}^{2n}(X, \mathbb{Z}(n)) \to H_{W-\text{ét}}^{2n}(X, \mathbb{Z}(n)). \]

We denote this map by \( \varrho^n_{W-\text{ét}} \), and call it a Weil-étale cycle class map.

4.3. Two conjectures on Weil-étale motivic cohomology

There are two important conjectures on Weil-étale motivic cohomology. Let \( X \) be a \( d \)-dimensional smooth projective scheme defined over a finite field \( \mathbb{F}_q \).

1. \( L^n(X) \): For every \( i \), \( H_{W-\text{ét}}^i(X, \mathbb{Z}(n)) \) is finitely generated.

2. \( K^n(X) \): For every prime number \( \ell \), Weil-étale motivic cohomology group is an integral model of the \( \ell \)-adic étale cohomology, i.e., the following map induced by the isomorphism in Theorem 4.1.1 (2) is an isomorphism

\[ H_{W-\text{ét}}^i(X, \mathbb{Z}(n)) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_\ell \to H_{\text{ét}}^i(X, \mathbb{Z}_\ell(n)). \]

The first conjecture \( L^n(X) \) is proposed by Lichtenbaum, and the second one \( K^n(X) \) is proposed by Kahn ([K3, Conjecture 3.2]). The second assumption in Theorem 3 in the introduction is nothing other than \( L^2(X) \). By the work due to Geisser ([G1, Theorem 8.4]), we have the following fact concerning the relations between these conjectures:

4.3.1. Theorem (Geisser). The following implications hold:

\[ K^n(X) + K^{d-n}(X) \Rightarrow L^n(X) \Rightarrow K^n(X) \Rightarrow TB^n(X). \]

Here \( TB^n(X) \) denotes the Tate & Beilinson conjecture stated in §2.2.

Consequently, if \( \dim X = 4 \), then \( L^2(X) \) is equivalent to \( K^2(X) \), and they imply \( TB^2(X) \). In the rest of this section, we are mainly concerned with 4-dimensional varieties \( X \) satisfying \( L^2(X) \).
4.4. Weight two calculations

Geisser calculated Weil-étale motivic cohomology in the case of weight 0 and 1 in [G1, Proposition 7.4]. For our purpose, we need to calculate the weight 2 case in terms of higher Chow groups. Throughout this subsection, let \( X \) be a 4-dimensional smooth projective scheme over \( \mathbb{F}_q \).

**4.4.1. Lemma.** There are isomorphisms for \( i \geq 6 \):

\[
H^i_{W-\text{ét}}(X, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}(2)) \cong H^{i+1}_{W-\text{ét}}(X, \mathbb{Z}(2)) \cong H^{i+1}_{\text{ét}}(X, \mathbb{Z}(2)) \cong H^i_{\text{ét}}(X, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}(2)).
\]

**Proof.** By the exact sequence

\[
\cdots \rightarrow H^i_{\text{ét}}(X, \mathbb{Z}(2)) \rightarrow H^i_{\text{ét}}(X, \mathbb{Q}(2))
\]

\[
\rightarrow H^i_{\text{ét}}(X, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}(2)) \rightarrow H^{i+1}_{\text{ét}}(X, \mathbb{Z}(2)) \rightarrow \cdots,
\]

and the vanishing fact \( H^i_{\text{ét}}(X, \mathbb{Q}(2)) = 0 \) for \( i > 4 \) ([G2, Theorem 3.6]), we have the isomorphism (c). We show (a) and (b). Consider the long exact sequence of Weil-étale motivic cohomology groups attached to \( \mathbb{Z}(2) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}(2) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}(2) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}(2)[1] \):

\[
\cdots \rightarrow H^i_{W-\text{ét}}(X, \mathbb{Z}(2)) \rightarrow H^i_{W-\text{ét}}(X, \mathbb{Q}(2))
\]

\[
\rightarrow H^i_{W-\text{ét}}(X, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}(2)) \rightarrow H^{i+1}_{W-\text{ét}}(X, \mathbb{Z}(2)) \rightarrow \cdots.
\]

By the results of Geisser (Theorem 4.1.1 (3)) and the fact that \( H^i_{\text{mot}}(X, \mathbb{Q}(2)) = 0 \) for \( i \geq 5 \), we have (a). (b) follows from Geisser’s long exact sequence (Theorem 4.1.1 (1))

\[
\cdots \rightarrow H^i_{\text{ét}}(X, \mathbb{Z}(2)) \rightarrow H^i_{W-\text{ét}}(X, \mathbb{Z}(2))
\]

\[
\rightarrow H^{i-1}_{\text{ét}}(X, \mathbb{Q}(2)) \rightarrow H^{i+1}_{\text{ét}}(X, \mathbb{Z}(2)) \rightarrow \cdots,
\]

and again the vanishing fact concerning \( H^i_{\text{ét}}(X, \mathbb{Q}(2)) \). □

By a vanishing theorem of Geisser ([G1, Theorem 7.3]), we have \( H^i_{W-\text{ét}}(X, \mathbb{Z}(2)) = 0 \) for \( i \geq 10 \), therefore the groups in Lemma 4.4.1 are zero.

**4.4.2. Lemma.** There are isomorphisms for \( i = 1, 2, 3 \)

\[
H^{i+6}_{W-\text{ét}}(X, \mathbb{Z}(2)) \cong (CH^2(X, i)_{\text{tors}})^*.
\]
PROOF. These are consequences of Lemma 3.1.1 and Lemma 4.4.1:

\[
\begin{align*}
H_{W-\text{ét}}^{i+6}(X, \mathbb{Z}(2)) & \xrightarrow{\sim} H_{\text{ét}}^{i+5}(X, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}(2)) \\
& \xrightarrow{\sim} H_{\text{ét}}^{i-1}(X, \hat{\mathbb{Z}}(2))^* \\
& \xrightarrow{\sim} (CH^2(X, i))^* \quad \text{for } i = 1, 2, 3,
\end{align*}
\]

where we used the assumption \( i = 1, 2, 3 \) to apply Lemma 4.4.1 and Lemma 3.1.1. □

4.4.3. LEMMA. Assume \( CH^2(X, 1) \otimes \mathbb{Q} = 0 \). Then we have \( H_{\text{ét}}^{5}(X, \mathbb{Z}(2)) \cong H_{W-\text{ét}}^{5}(X, \mathbb{Z}(2))_{\text{tors}} \).

PROOF. We consider the following commutative diagram with exact columns:

\[
\begin{array}{c}
H_{\text{ét}}^{4}(X, \mathbb{Q}(2)) \\
\downarrow (1) \\
H_{\text{ét}}^{4}(X, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}(2)) \\
\downarrow (2) \\
H_{\text{ét}}^{5}(X, \mathbb{Z}(2))_{\text{tors}} \\
\downarrow (3) \\
H_{W-\text{ét}}^{5}(X, \mathbb{Z}(2))_{\text{tors}}
\end{array}
\]

The right vertical sequences in this diagram is a long exact sequence stated in the proof of Lemma 4.4.1. We have the following isomorphisms:

\[
H_{\text{ét}}^{4}(X, \mathbb{Q}(2)) \xrightarrow{(d)} CH^2(X) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \xrightarrow{(e)} H_{W-\text{ét}}^{4}(X, \mathbb{Q}(2)).
\]

(d) is a result of Geisser ([G2, Proposition 3.6]), and (e) follows from Theorem 4.1.1 (3) and the assumption \( CH^2(X, 1) \otimes \mathbb{Q} = 0 \). As a consequence, the top horizontal arrow (1) is an isomorphism. The middle arrow (2) is an isomorphism by Theorem 4.1.1 (2). Thus the bottom arrow (3) is an isomorphism. Since we know that \( H_{\text{ét}}^{5}(X, \mathbb{Z}(2)) \) is a torsion group, \( H_{\text{ét}}^{5}(X, \mathbb{Z}(2))_{\text{tors}} = H_{W-\text{ét}}^{5}(X, \mathbb{Z}(2)) \). This completes our proof. □

4.4.4. LEMMA. Assume \( TB^2(X) \). Then \( H_{W-\text{ét}}^{i}(X, \mathbb{Z}(2))_{\text{tors}} \) is finite for all \( i \), and the equality

\[
|H_{W-\text{ét}}^{i}(X, \mathbb{Z}(2))_{\text{tors}}| = |H_{W-\text{ét}}^{10-i}(X, \mathbb{Z}(2))_{\text{tors}}|
\]
holds for all $i$.

**Proof.** Since we assume $TB^2(X)$, we have the following perfect pairing of finite groups

$$H^i_{W-\text{ét}}(X, \mathbb{Z}(2))_{\text{tors}} \times H^{10-i}_{W-\text{ét}}(X, \mathbb{Z}(2))_{\text{tors}} \to \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$$

by a result of Kahn ([K1, Corollaire 3.8]). This pairing gives the desired equalities. □

### 4.5. Proof of Theorem 3

Our task is to prove the following equality:

$$\frac{1}{R_1} \left| H^3_{\text{ur}}(k(X), \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}(2)) \right|^2 \cdot \left| CH^2(X)_{\text{tors}} \right|^2 = \frac{1}{R_2} \left| H^4_{W-\text{ét}}(X, \mathbb{Z}(2))_{\text{tors}} \right| \cdot \left| H^6_{W-\text{ét}}(X, \mathbb{Z}(2))_{\text{tors}} \right|,$$

where $R_1$ and $R_2$ are as we defined in the introduction. We investigate the relation between $R_1$ with $R_2$. The pairing stated in the introduction

$$H^4_{W-\text{ét}}(X, \mathbb{Z}(2)) \times H^4_{W-\text{ét}}(X, \mathbb{Z}(2)) \to H^8_{W-\text{ét}}(X, \mathbb{Z}(2))$$

induces the following map:

$$H^4_{W-\text{ét}}(X, \mathbb{Z}(2)) \to \text{Hom}(H^4_{W-\text{ét}}(X, \mathbb{Z}(2)), \mathbb{Z}).$$

Using the Weil-étale cycle class map $\varrho^2_{W-\text{ét}}$, we consider the following commutative diagram of pairings:

$$CH^2(X) \times CH^2(X) \to Z$$

$$\varrho^2_{W-\text{ét}} \times \varrho^2_{W-\text{ét}}$$

$$H^4_{W-\text{ét}}(X, \mathbb{Z}(2)) \times H^4_{W-\text{ét}}(X, \mathbb{Z}(2)) \to H^8_{W-\text{ét}}(X, \mathbb{Z}(2))$$

By Theorem 2.3.1 (c), the pairing $CH^2(X) \times CH^2(X) \to \mathbb{Z}$ is non-degenerate when tensored with $\mathbb{Q}$. Since $H^4_{W-\text{ét}}(X, \mathbb{Z}(2))$ is also assumed to be finitely generated, this pairing is non-degenerate when tensored with $\mathbb{Q}$ (see the proof of [G1, Theorem 9.1]). (The assumption that $H^4_{W-\text{ét}}(X, \mathbb{Z}(2))$
is finitely generated is $L^2(X)$ mentioned in §4.3. We remind that $L^2(X)$ implies $TB^2(X)$. By Theorem 2.2.2 (3) and Theorem 4.1.1 (3), the Weil-étale cycle class map $g^2_{W-\text{ét}}$ is bijective when tensored with $\mathbb{Q}$, and $g^2_{W-\text{ét}}$ has finite kernel and cokernel. By the perfectness of both pairings, the map $g'$ has also finite kernel and cokernel. The above diagram of pairings induce the following diagram:

$$
\begin{array}{c}
\text{CH}^2(X) \xrightarrow{\theta} \text{Hom}(\text{CH}^2(X), \mathbb{Z}) \\
H^3_{\text{W-ét}}(X, \mathbb{Z}(2)) \xrightarrow{\varrho} H^5_{\text{W-ét}}(X, \mathbb{Z}(2)) \xrightarrow{\vartheta} \text{Hom}(H^4_{\text{W-ét}}(X, \mathbb{Z}(2)), \mathbb{Z}),
\end{array}
$$

where $g'$ is the induced map by $g^2_{W-\text{ét}}$, and $\theta$ has finite kernel and cokernel (Theorem 2.3.1 (c)). The commutativity of the this diagram follows from Milne’s theorem ([M3, Theorem 5.4]). By these facts, the maps $g^2_{W-\text{ét}}$, $g'$, $\theta$ and $\vartheta$ have finite kernels and cokernels. Hence we have the following equality:

$$
\frac{|\text{Ker}(\theta)|}{|\text{Coker}(\theta)|} = \frac{|\text{Ker}(g^2_{W-\text{ét}})|}{|\text{Coker}(g^2_{W-\text{ét}})|} \cdot \frac{|\text{Ker}(\vartheta)|}{|\text{Coker}(\vartheta)|} \cdot \frac{|\text{Ker}(g')|}{|\text{Coker}(g')|}.
$$

We know the followings about $\theta$ and $\vartheta$:

(i) $|\text{Coker}(\theta)| = R_1$ and $|\text{Coker}(\vartheta)| = R_2$ by definition.

(ii) $\text{Ker}(\theta) = \text{CH}^2(X)_{\text{tors}}$ and $\text{Ker}(\vartheta) = H^4_{\text{W-ét}}(X, \mathbb{Z}(2))_{\text{tors}}$.

It remains to compute kernels and cokernels of $g^2_{W-\text{ét}}$ and $g'$.

4.5.1. LEMMA. Assume $L^2(X)$, that $\text{CH}^2(X)$ is finitely generated, and that $\text{CH}^2(X, 1) \otimes \mathbb{Q} = 0$. Then

(1) The Weil-étale cycle class map $g^2_{W-\text{ét}}$ is injective.

(2) The following equality holds: $|\text{Coker}(g^2_{W-\text{ét}})| = |H^3_{\text{ur}}(k(X), \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}(2))|$.

PROOF. (1) By the assumption $\text{CH}^2(X, 1) \otimes \mathbb{Q} = 0$, the Weil-étale cycle class map $g^2_{W-\text{ét}} \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ is bijective. Hence we need to show that $g^2_{W-\text{ét}}$ is
injective on torsions. Consider the following diagram:

\[ CH^2(X) \xrightarrow{\varrho_W^2} H^4_{W-\text{ét}}(X, \mathbb{Z}(2)) \xrightarrow{\varrho_2^2} H^4_{\text{ét}}(X, \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}(2)). \]

By Theorem 2.2.2 (2), \( \varrho_2^2 \) is injective on torsion, and therefore \( \varrho_W^2 \) is injective on torsion.

(2) We consider the following diagram for each prime number \( \ell \):

\[ CH^2(X) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_\ell \xrightarrow{\varrho_{W-\text{ét}}^2 \otimes \mathbb{Z}_\ell} H^4_{W-\text{ét}}(X, \mathbb{Z}(2)) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_\ell \xrightarrow{\varrho_{\text{ét}}^2} H^4_{\text{ét}}(X, \mathbb{Z}(2)), \]

where the map \((\bigodot)\) is an isomorphism because we assumed \( K^2(X) \), which is equivalent to \( L^2(X) \) by Theorem 4.3.1. We have an isomorphism \( \text{Coker} (\varrho_{W-\text{ét}}^2) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_\ell \simeq \text{Coker} (\varrho_{\text{ét}}^2) \). By a result of Saito-Sato ([SS, Proposition 4.3.5]), the equality \(|\text{Coker}(\varrho_{W-\text{ét}}^2)| = |H^3_{\text{ur}}(k(X), \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}(2))|\) holds, which is 1 for almost all \( \ell \) by Theorem 1 (a). Therefore, combining for all \( \ell \), and by \( K^2(X) \) (Weil-étale motivic cohomology group is an integral lattice of étale cohomology group), we have the desired equality \(|\text{Coker}(\varrho_{W-\text{ét}}^2)| = |H^3_{\text{ur}}(k(X), \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}(2))|\). □

Finally, we investigate the case of \( \varrho' \):

4.5.2. Key-Lemma. Assume \( L^2(X) \), that \( CH^2(X) \) is finitely generated, and that \( CH^2(X, 1) \otimes \mathbb{Q} = 0 \). Then

(1) \( \varrho' \) is injective.

(2) We have \(|\text{Coker}(\varrho')| = \frac{|H^3_{\text{ur}}(k(X), \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}(2))| \cdot |CH^2(X)_{\text{tors}}|}{|H^4_{W-\text{ét}}(X, \mathbb{Z}(2))_{\text{tors}}|}\).

Proof. We prove this lemma in the same way as in the proof of Key-Lemma 3.3.1. Apply the Ext-functor \( \text{Ext}_{\mathbb{Z}_{\text{mod}}}^i (-, \mathbb{Z}) \) to the following short exact sequence:

\[ 0 \to CH^2(X) \xrightarrow{\varrho_{W-\text{ét}}^2} H^4_{W-\text{ét}}(X, \mathbb{Z}(2)) \to G \to 0, \]
where \( \varrho_{W-\text{ét}}^2 \) is injective by Lemma 4.5.1 (1), and \( G \) is finite satisfying \(|G| = |H^3_{\text{ur}}(k(X), \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}(2))| \) by Lemma 4.5.1 (2). We have used the all assumptions here.

1. The injectivity of \( \varrho' \) immediately follows from \( \text{Hom}(G, \mathbb{Z}) = 0 \).
2. By similar arguments as for Key-Lemma 3.3.1, we now have the following exact sequence:

\[
0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}(H^4_{W-\text{ét}}(X, \mathbb{Z}(2)), \mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{\varrho'} \text{Hom}(CH^2(X), \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(G, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})
\]

\[
\rightarrow \text{Hom}(H^4_{W-\text{ét}}(X, \mathbb{Z}(2))_{\text{tors}}, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(CH^2(X)_{\text{tors}}, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow 0,
\]

which implies the assertion. \( \square \)

This completes the proof of Theorem 3.
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