Impact of gender and genetics on emotion processing in Parkinson’s disease - A multimodal study

Julia Hellea,b, Shahram Mirzazadea,b, Sandro Romanzettia,b, Ute Habelc,d, Birgit Derntle, Nils M. Freitagf, Jörg B. Schulza,b, Imis Dogana,b, Kathrin Reetz\textsuperscript{a,b,1}

\textsuperscript{a} Department of Neurology, RWTH Aachen University, Pauwelsstraße 30, Aachen, Germany
\textsuperscript{b} JARA-BRAIN Institute Molecular Neuroscience and Neuroimaging, Forschungszentrum Jülich GmbH and RWTH Aachen University, Germany
\textsuperscript{c} Department of Psychiatry, Psychotherapy and Psychosomatics, RWTH Aachen University, Pauwelsstraße 30, Aachen, Germany
\textsuperscript{d} JARA-BRAIN Institute Brain Structure-Function Relationships: Decoding the Human Brain at Systemic Levels, Forschungszentrum Jülich GmbH and RWTH Aachen University, Germany
\textsuperscript{e} Department of Psychiatry and Psychotherapy, University of Tübingen, Osianderstraße 24, Tübingen, Germany
\textsuperscript{1} Authors contributed equally.

\textbf{ARTICLE INFO}

\textbf{Keywords:}
Parkinson’s disease (PD)
Emotion
Gender
Genetics
Functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI)

\textbf{ABSTRACT}

\textbf{Background:} Parkinson’s disease (PD) has been suggested to affect males and females differently. Neuropsychiatric symptoms are common and disabling in PD. However, previous studies focusing on emotion recognition in PD have neglected the confounder of gender and lack evidence on the underlying endocrinological and genetic mechanisms. Moreover, while there are many imaging studies on emotion processing in PD, gender-related analyses of neural data are scarce. We therefore aimed at exploring the interplay of the named factors on emotion recognition and processing in PD.

\textbf{Methods:} 51 non-demented PD patients (26 male) and 44 age- and gender-matched healthy controls (HC; 25 male) were examined clinically and neuropsychologically including an emotion recognition task (Ekman 60faces test). A subsample of 25 patients and 31 HC underwent task-based functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI) comprised of videos of emotional facial expressions. To examine the impact of hormones and genetics on emotion processing, blood samples were taken for endocrinological (testosterone, estradiol, progesterone) and genetic testing (S-HTTLPR, Val158Met COMT polymorphisms).

\textbf{Results:} No group or gender differences emerged regarding cognitive abilities. Male but not female PD patients exhibited confined impairments in recognizing the emotion anger accompanied by diminished neural response to facial expressions (e.g. in the putamen and insula). Endocrinologically, fear recognition was positively correlated with estrogen levels in female patients, while on the genetic level we found an effect of Val158Met COMT genotype on the recognition of fear in PD patients.

\textbf{Conclusions:} Our study provides evidence that impaired emotion processing in PD specifically affects male patients, and that hormones and genetics contribute to emotion recognition performance. Further research on the underlying neural, endocrinological and genetic mechanisms of specific symptoms in PD is of clinical relevance, as it can improve our understanding of the phenomenology and pathobiology of the disease and may allow a more personalized medicine.
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1. Introduction

Over the past years, it has increasingly been acknowledged that the second most common neurodegenerative disorder, Parkinson’s disease (PD), affects males and females differently (Haaxma et al., 2007; Heller et al., 2014). Among others, previous reports have suggested a less frequent incidence and more benign phenotype in women, which is thought to be mediated by neuroprotective effects of estrogens and genetic factors (Haaxma et al., 2007; Heller et al., 2014; Taylor et al., 2007; Burn, 2007).

In the context of non-motor symptoms (NMS) in PD, impairments in facial emotion recognition have constantly been a matter of debate (Pietschnig et al., 2016; Assogna et al., 2008; Gray and Tickle-Degnen, 2010; Dujardin et al., 2004; Ibarretxe-Bilbao et al., 2009). Hereby, several studies reported deficits in PD patients in the recognition of fear and/or sadness (Ariatti et al., 2008; Martinez-Corrall et al., 2016; Saenz et al., 2013), others revealed impairments in the recognition of anger and/or disgust (Martinez-Corrall et al., 2016; Clark et al., 2010; Lawrence et al., 2007; Suzuki et al., 2006; Clark et al., 2008). Adding to the inconsistency, a number of studies failed to observe any abnormalities (Adolphs et al., 2004; Pell and Leonard, 2005; Ille et al., 2016).

As shown by a previous meta-analysis on this topic, gender differences have almost fully been neglected in this context (Gray and Tickle-Degnen, 2010) and might be a major source for the variety of outcomes. To our knowledge, there is only one study specifically addressing the impact of gender on facial emotion recognition in a subanalysis and with a small sample of 20 PD patients (ten male; Clark et al., 2008). This study revealed a confined impairment of male patients at identifying fear and thus authors suggest that male patients might experience greater pathology than female patients in brain regions involved in the processing of fear (e.g. the amygdala; Clark et al., 2008). These assumptions remain speculative due to the lack of imaging data and thus uncertainty on the underlying neural mechanisms. However, studies that have actually focused on the neural underpinnings of impaired emotion processing in PD confirm the hypothesis of amygdala involvement (e.g. Ibarretxe-Bilbao et al., 2009; Tessitore et al., 2002; Yoshimura et al., 2005). Apart from that, proposed basal ganglia-thalamo-cortical circuits hint at more widespread functional brain changes during emotion processing in PD. This includes abnormalities in the PD-associated basal ganglia (putamen, palladium, caudate nucleus) as well as the anterior cingulate cortex, hippocampus and insula (Phan et al., 2004; Alexander et al., 1986; Schienele et al., 2015; Moonen et al., 2017; Lotze et al., 2009). Moreover, previous studies suggest altered neuroanatomy and functioning of other limbic structures, such as the prefrontal and orbitofrontal cortex to underlie emotion processing deficits in PD (Ibarretxe-Bilbao et al., 2009; Moonen et al., 2017). Nevertheless, there are also studies lacking identification of any differences in neural activity between PD patients and HC for these regions (e.g., Schienele et al., 2015). Hormonal factors such as concentration of progesterone, estradiol and testosterone have been found to mediate emotional recognition and the concomitant neural response in brain regions such as the amygdala, orbito- and prefrontal cortex in general (Dernst et al., 2008a; Dernst et al., 2008b; Goldstein et al., 2005; van Wingen et al., 2011; Stanton et al., 2009; Dernst et al., 2009). Overall, high progesterone levels have been associated with increased amygdala reactivity and emotional memory in females (Sundström Poromaa and Gingnell, 2014). In males, endogenous testosterone levels seem to be negatively related to amygdala and positively associated with ventromedial prefrontal cortex responses to faces displaying anger (Stanton et al., 2009). On the genetic level, serotonin gene polymorphisms and in particular the repeat length polymorphism in the promoter region of the serotonin transporter gene (5-HTTLPR) have been associated with altered brain circuit activation during emotional facial processing (Raab et al., 2016). Not only is this candidate gene suggested to regulate limbic activation such as amygdala response to threat-related stimuli but also to be implicated in mood disorders in PD (Mössner et al., 2001; Menza et al., 1999). Additionally, the functional Val158Met polymorphism of the catechol-O-methyltransferase (COMT) gene determines metabolic degradation of dopamine in the prefrontal cortex via the COMT enzyme (Weiss et al., 2007), which in turn has been reported to be a critical component for emotion recognition (Kienast et al., 2008; Smolka et al., 2005; Smolka et al., 2007). In this context, the COMT Val158Met polymorphism has for instance been shown to influence limbic and prefrontal brain activation in response to emotional stimuli (Smolka et al., 2005; Domschke et al., 2008) and to impact gender-related activation patterns in limbic and paralimbic brain regions (Kempton et al., 2009; Domschke et al., 2012). Interestingly, estrogen was previously found to down-regulate COMT activity and by this to positively impact emotion processing (Kempton et al., 2009; Coman et al., 2010), which may also underline the assumption of a neuroprotective effect of estrogen (Haaxma et al., 2007; Heller et al., 2014; Taylor et al., 2007; Burn, 2007). Accounting for the hormonal and genetic modulation additionally to a possible gender effect will help determining individual phenotypical disease manifestations and contribute to a better understanding of the previous inconsistency in results regarding emotion processing in PD.

In view of these considerations, we aimed at (i) investigating emotion recognition deficits and their underlying neural correlates in PD patients compared to HC by applying functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI). Based on previous behavioural data (Clark et al., 2008), we expected particularly male patients to exhibit worse performance in emotion recognition and specific neural activity alterations in the named brain regions associated with PD and emotion processing (e.g. basal ganglia and insula). Concerning gender, we further intended to (ii) investigate the effects of sex-related hormones on emotion recognition and processing. Here, we especially expected estrogen and progesterone to moderate preserved emotion recognition performance in female patients and testosterone levels to modulate the respective limbic and prefrontal cortex responses in males (cf. Stanton et al., 2009; Sundström Poromaa and Gingnell, 2014; Kempton et al., 2009; Coman et al., 2010). Finally, we aimed at (iii) exploring the contribution of the genetic polymorphisms 5-HTTLPR and COMT Val158Met to emotion processing deficits in PD. Given the genetic influence of COMT Val158Met and 5-HTTLPR on emotion recognition and on activity in brain regions critical for emotional processing, we expected both polymorphisms to modulate emotion processing in PD.

2. Methods

2.1. Participants, neurological and neuropsychological assessment

Our study was conducted in accordance with the declaration of Helsinki. All participants gave written informed consent prior to participation in this study, which was approved by the institutional review board of the RWTH Aachen University (EK027/13).

51 PD patients (26 male; age: 64.0 ± 9.2 years; Table 1) were recruited via the outpatient Clinic for Movement Disorders at the Department of Neurology, RWTH Aachen University, and compared to 44 age- and gender-matched HC (25 male; age: 62.9 ± 9.4 years). From this sample, 25 patients (12 male; age: 62.4 ± 10.9 years; Supplementary Table S-1) and 31 HC (17 male; age: 61.5 ± 10.2 years) showed no MR contraindications and underwent structural and functional MRI.

Participants were all Caucasian, right-handed as confirmed by a laterality quotient of ≥50 of the Edinburgh Inventory for Assessment and Analysis of Handedness (Oldfield, 1971), and had no history of neurological and psychiatric conditions other than PD. The latter was also confirmed by the short German version of the Structured Clinical Interview for DSM-IV (SKID-screening; Demal, 1999). All subjects were further screened for global cognition and only included if the Montreal Cognitive Assessment (MoCA; Nasreddine et al., 2005) did not indicate cognitive impairment (cut-off score ≥26). As a measure of educational
level premorbid intelligence was estimated using the German “Mehr-
fachwahl-Wortschatztest” (MWT-B; Lehrl, 2005), while attention and
working memory were measured with the digit-span task (forward and
backward) from the Wechsler Memory Scale. We further screened for
depression and anxiety using the Beck Depression Inventory (BDI-II;
Beck et al., 1996) and the Beck Anxiety Inventory (BAI; Beck et al.,
1996) as well as for alexithymia using the 26 item version of the Tor-
onto Alexithymia Scale (TAS-26; Kupfer et al., 2001).

2.2. Emotion recognition and fMRI paradigm

To evaluate general face perception, we performed the 16-item
version of the Benton facial recognition test (BFRT; Benton et al., 1994).
We further used the computer-based Ekman 60faces test (Ekman and
Friesen, 1975), which provides 60 validated photographs and examines
the participants’ abilities to identify emotional facial expressions in-
cluding happiness, disgust, anger, fear, sadness and surprise. After
becoming familiarized with the task by five test trials prior to the actual
experiment participants were asked to name the emotion that best de-
scribed the facial expression displayed on the computer screen. Stimuli
disappeared after 5 s but there was no limit on response time hereafter.

Neural response to emotional facial expressions was assessed using
event-related fMRI, during which subjects watched 120 videos of three
seconds duration of actors performing the emotions happiness, sadness,
anger, fear, disgust and neutral (20 clips each) as detailed by Dogan
et al. (2013). To ensure maintenance of attention, each video was fol-
dowed by a fixation cross for three patients and two HC, additionally reporting intake of oral
contraceptives. PD patients and HC did not undergo hormonal replacement therapies; gender effects for hormone levels were neglected and two-sample
t-tests were only calculated for PD vs. HC; vs. = versus; n.a. = not applicable; n.s. = not signi-
ficant.

Table 1

| Sample characteristics. |
|-------------------------|
| Demographics           |
| Age (in years)          | 63.9 ± 8.4 | 64.0 ± 10.0 | 62.6 ± 9.0 | 63.4 ± 10.1 | n.s. |
| MWT-B (IQ)              | 116.6 ± 16.4 | 120.0 ± 27.5 | 118.6 ± 28.0 | 125.0 ± 13.5 | n.s. |
| MRI (n)                 | 12 | 13 | 17 | 14 | n.a. |
| Clinical and neuropsychological data |
| DD (in months)          | 98.2 ± 81.9 | 65.9 ± 44.8 | n.a. | n.a. | n.s. |
| UPDRS-III               | 26.8 ± 11.6 | 20.0 ± 7.7 | (0.8 ± 1.1) | (0.8 ± 0.9) | n.s. |
| UPDRS total             | 44.0 ± 17.2 | 33.4 ± 13.1 | (2.0 ± 1.9) | (2.0 ± 1.8) | n.s. |
| Hoehn & Yahr†           | 2.0 [1.5; 2.6] | 1.5 [1.0; 3.0] | n.a. | n.a. | n.s. |
| LEDD                    | 578.4 ± 97.6 | 651.1 ± 391.1 | n.a. | n.a. | n.s. |
| DA-/non-DA recipients†† | 20/6 | 18/7 | n.a. | n.a. | n.s. |
| NMS-Quest               | 10.6 ± 4.6 | 7.8 ± 4.0 | (2.9 ± 2.5) | (2.9 ± 2.1) | n.s. |
| Motor subtype: TD/AR††  | 11/15 | 7/18 | n.a. | n.a. | n.s. |
| Motor onset: L/R††      | 13/13 | 12/13 | n.a. | n.a. | n.s. |
| BDI-II                  | 8.0 ± 4.6 | 10.4 ± 8.1 | 4.1 ± 4.2 | 3.2 ± 3.5 | PD vs. HC: F = 23.04; p < 0.0001 |
| BA1                     | 9.1 ± 6.8 | 10.8 ± 8.6 | 2.9 ± 3.9 | 2.0 ± 3.2 | PD vs. HC: F = 34.03; p < 0.0001 |
| TAS-26                  | 41.0 ± 8.3 | 41.7 ± 9.0 | 40.5 ± 8.5 | 37.0 ± 6.5 | n.s. |
| MoCA                    | 28.0 ± 1.3 | 28.3 ± 1.3 | 28.2 ± 1.5 | 28.7 ± 1.5 | n.s. |
| Digit span              |
| Forward                 | 8.5 ± 1.8 | 9.0 ± 2.2 | 9.0 ± 1.7 | 8.7 ± 1.9 | n.s. |
| Backward                | 6.4 ± 1.4 | 7.0 ± 1.9 | 7.1 ± 2.0 | 7.6 ± 2.3 | n.s. |
| BFRT                    | 44.3 ± 3.9 | 44.4 ± 4.8 | 45.3 ± 3.6 | 45.6 ± 3.5 | n.s. |
| Hormone levels†         |
| Testosterone            | 14.3 ± 6.1 | 0.5 ± 0.4 | 14.4 ± 4.1 | 0.3 ± 0.2 | n.s. |
| Estradiol               | 18.9 ± 10.0 | 8.9 ± 9.1 | 16.8 ± 8.7 | 5.2 ± 0.7 | n.s. |
| Progesterone            | 0.3 ± 0.2 | 0.5 ± 1.4 | 0.3 ± 0.2 | 0.1 ± 0.1 | n.s. |
| Genetic polymorphisms   |
| 5-HTTLPR low/high††     | 17/9 | 18/6 | 17/7 | 15/0 | PD vs. HC: p = 0.031 |
| Val158Met COMT low/high†† | 18/8 | 18/6 | 20/4 | 13/2 | n.s. |

Note: PD = Parkinson’s disease; HC = healthy controls; MWT-B = German Mehrfachwahlwortschatz-Intelligenztest Version B; MRI = magnetic resonance imaging; DD = disease
duration; UPDRS = Unified Parkinson’s disease rating scale; UPDRS-III = motor scale of the UPDRS; LEDD = levodopa equivalent daily dose; DA = dopamine agonist; NMS-Quest = non-
motor symptoms questionnaire; TD/AR = tremor dominant/akinetic-rigid; L/R = left/right; BDI-II = Beck depression inventory version II; BA1 = Beck anxiety inventory; TAS-26 = 26
item version of the Toronto Alexithymia Scale; MoCA = Montreal cognitive assessment; BFRT = Benton facial recognition test; S-HTTLPR polymorphism: low functioning (SS, SL, LSL,
SLG, LGL) versus high functioning (5-HTTLPR polymorphism: low functioning (SS, SL, LSL, SLG, LGL) versus high functioning (Val158Met COMT low/high vs. Val158Met COMT high).

To evaluate general face perception, we performed the 16-item
version of the Benton facial recognition test (BFRT; Benton et al., 1994).
We further used the computer-based Ekman 60faces test (Ekman and
Friesen, 1975), which provides 60 validated photographs and examines
the participants’ abilities to identify emotional facial expressions in-
cluding happiness, disgust, anger, fear, sadness and surprise. After
becoming familiarized with the task by five test trials prior to the actual
experiment participants were asked to name the emotion that best de-
scribed the facial expression displayed on the computer screen. Stimuli
disappeared after 5 s but there was no limit on response time hereafter.

Neural response to emotional facial expressions was assessed using
event-related fMRI, during which subjects watched 120 videos of three
seconds duration of actors performing the emotions happiness, sadness,
anger, fear, disgust and neutral (20 clips each) as detailed by Dogan
et al. (2013). To ensure maintenance of attention, each video was fol-
dowed by an instruction to categorize the respective emotion by
choosing one of the two options provided at the bottom of the screen
via key press. One of the displayed labels represented the correct op-
tion; the second one was randomly assigned. Subjects were asked to
respond as quickly as possible within 3 s. Afterwards, a fixation cross
(baseline) appeared with a jittered inter-stimulus interval of 12–16 s
(according to Dale, 1999) with no specific task.
2.3. MRI data acquisition

MRI measurements were run on a 3 Tesla Siemens MR Scanner (Siemens Medical Systems, Erlangen, Germany). To detect specific structural alterations in PD compared to HC, we performed high-resolution T1-weighted anatomical imaging (TR = 2.3 s, TE = 2.98 ms, TI = 900 ms, FoV = 240 × 256 mm, 240 × 256 matrix, 176 sagittal slices, slice thickness = 1 mm). Event-related fMRI images were acquired using gradient echo planar imaging (EPI; TR = 2.2 s, TE = 30 ms, FoV = 198 × 198 mm, 64 × 64 matrix, 36 slices, slice thickness = 3 mm), starting with five dummy images allowing for MR signal saturation.

2.4. Analysis of functional imaging data

Processing and statistical analysis of fMRI data were performed using SPM 8 (www.fil.ion.ucl.ac.uk/spm) running on Matlab 7.2 (Mathworks Inc., Sherborn, MA, USA). We first performed slice scan time correction to control for artefacts resulting from non-simultaneous slice acquisition. Functional images were then realigned to mean EPI volumes, co-registered with subject-specific anatomical images, normalized and smoothed with an 8 mm full-width-at-half maximum (FWHM) isotropic Gaussian kernel as detailed elsewhere (Dogan et al., 2013). PD patients and HC did not show major head movements of > 4 mm and > 3°. We analysed data by a two-level approach applying the general linear model (GLM; Friston et al., 1995). For single subject analyses, we convolved the hemodynamic response with the onset of each experimental event, while accounting for motion artefacts using the realignment parameters as nuisance covariates. We created contrast images for every subject by comparing each facial expression with the implicit baseline. The second-level model was generated using a full factorial design for each emotion, where we performed activation differences between groups (male PD versus male HC, female PD versus female HC) using age as a covariate. We conducted whole brain analyses using cluster-level family-wise error (FWE) correction at p ≤ 0.05 (uncorrected at voxel-level with p ≤ 0.001) and labeled brain structures using the Anatomy Toolbox version 2.2c (Eickhoff et al., 2005). In addition, we specified PD- and emotion-related regions of interest (ROI) based on the literature on emotion processing in PD and functional imaging studies in HC (Schienle et al., 2015; Fustar-Poli et al., 2009; Adolphs, 2002; Phan et al., 2002). Accordingly, our a priori ROI comprised the amygdala, insula, anterior cingulate cortex, (para-) hippocampal gyri, orbitofrontal and medial prefrontal cortices, pallidum, caudate nucleus and putamen. We also considered the thalamus as a ROI as it is strongly interconnected with the basal ganglia which are known to play a key role in PD pathology (Redgrave et al., 2010). As detailed elsewhere (Dogan et al., 2013), pre-defined ROI masks were unilaterally derived by means of cytoarchitectonic probabilistic maps from the Anatomy-Toolbox (Eickhoff et al., 2005) or the Automatic Anatomical Labeling atlas implemented in the WFU Pickatlas (Tzourio-Mazoyer et al., 2002; Maldjian et al., 2004; Maldjian et al., 2003). ROI results were thresholded at p ≤ 0.05 using FWE-correction at voxel-level and an extend threshold of k ≥ expected voxels (8 mm³ voxel size) per cluster implemented in SPM.

To systematically investigate the association of clinical and endocrinal parameters with neural activity during emotion processing, we extracted functional parameter estimates for each of the significant ROIs and ran exploratory post-hoc analyses. However, on the genetic level, MRI subgroups of the COMT and 5-HTTLPR genes were too small to carry out statistical analyses.

2.5. Voxel-based morphometry analysis

We applied voxel-based morphometry (VBM; Ashburner and Friston, 2000; Good et al., 2001) using the VBMS toolbox (http://dbm.neuro.uni-jena.de/vbm) to compare regional gray matter (GM) differences between patients and HC. Data preprocessing was performed following the standard VBM8 pipeline and was previously described elsewhere (Dogan et al., 2013). After non-linear modulation of normalized GM images to correct for individual brain sizes and smoothing with an 8 mm FWHM Gaussian kernel, we again employed a full factorial design including the factors group and gender and tested for GM differences between groups (male PD versus male HC, female PD versus female HC) with age as nuisance variable. Results were thresholded at p ≤ 0.05 using FWE-correction at the voxel-level (1.5 mm isotropic) across the whole brain and within the same ROI used in fMRI analyses.

2.6. Genetic and endocrinal testing

Blood samples were analysed concerning levels of plasma testosterone, 17β-estradiol (estrogen) and progesterone and genotyped for 5-HTTLPR and Val158Met COMT polymorphisms in the Laboratory Diagnostics Centre and Institute of Human Genetics of the RWTH Aachen University. We based statistical analyses of the 5-HTTLPR polymorphism on the tri-allelic dichotomized classification model (Klucken et al., 2015). Accordingly, we considered 5-HTTLPR genotypes (short [S]/long [L] alleles) and supplementary effects of the single nucleotide A/G-polymorphism (rs25531) and distinguished low-functioning SS, SLG, LGLG, SLA and LALG from high-functioning VALA variants. Participants were further genotyped and grouped according to Val158Met COMT polymorphisms into high-functioning valine (Val/Val) and low-functioning methionine carriers (Met/Met and Val/Met).

2.7. Statistical analyses of behavioural data

We analysed our data using the software application IBM SPSS Statistics 21 (Armonk, NY, USA). Group and gender differences in clinical, neuropsychological test scores and hormone levels were tested using two-sample t-tests, analyses of variances or Mann-Whitney-U tests where appropriate.

Emotion recognition was studied for each condition by means of analyses of covariances (ANCOVA) with the factors group (PD/HC) and gender (male/female) including age as covariate. Further, ANCOVA with the factors group (PD/HC) and genetic expression (5-HTTLPR/Val158Met COMT) were applied to analyse the impact of the dichotomous genetic variables. However, due to the less frequent gene expression of 5-HTTLPR-LALA and Val158Met COMT Val/Val gene variants in female HC (cf. Table 1), ANCOVA including the factor gender were only carried out for patients. To further assess the association of emotion recognition and processing with clinical (e.g. disease duration, UPDRS-III) and hormonal data (testosterone, estradiol, progesterone), we performed correlation analysis using Spearman’s rho or Pearson’s product-moment coefficients where appropriate. For all analyses, we set a p-value of ≤0.05 as threshold for statistical significance, Bonferroni-corrected for the number of emotions.

3. Results

3.1. Sample characteristics

Detailed characteristics of the study sample are summarized in Table 1. Additionally, MRI subsample data are presented in Supplementary Table S-1 and a detailed comparison of the MRI subsample with the sample exclusively undergoing behavioural examination in Supplementary Table S-2. There were no group or gender effects regarding age, global cognitive abilities (MoCA), premorbid intelligence (MWT-B), attention and WM (digit-span test) as well as alexithymia (TAS-26). However, we found significantly greater anxiety (PD: 10.0 ± 7.7; HC: 2.5 ± 3.6; F1,88 = 32.7, p < 0.0001) and more depressive symptoms (PD: 9.2 ± 6.7; HC: 3.7 ± 3.9; F1,88 = 22.9, p < 0.0001) in patients compared to HC, but no main or interaction
effects with gender. In PD, there were no gender differences regarding H&Y scores (p = 0.275), disease duration (t49 = 1.74; p = 0.089) or LEDD (t49 = 0.66; p = 0.514), but males presented with higher NMS-Quest, UPDRS-III and UPDRS total scores than females (Table 1).

### 3.2. Emotion recognition

All participants exhibited normal face processing according to BFRT-scores (PD: 44.4 ± 4.3; HC: 45.4 ± 3.6; t60 = 1.3, p = 0.206) and showed above chance accuracy in overall emotion recognition (Ekman 60faces test; PD: 55%–93%; HC: 53%–92%; chance: 16.7%).

With regard to potential differences between groups, we found a main effect of gender with females outperforming males in total emotion recognition performance (F1,90 = 6.20; p = 0.015; Table 2). Analysis of specific emotions showed that this gender effect also emerged for the recognition of disgust (F1,90 = 8.37; p = 0.030, Bonferroni-corrected; Table 2; Fig. 1). We further identified a significant interaction of group and gender for the recognition of anger (F1,90 = 7.76; p = 0.042; Bonferroni-corrected). Post-hoc t-tests showed no significant differences in females (PD versus HC) and between female and male HC, while male PD patients performed significantly worse than female PD patients (t49 = 2.62; p = 0.012) and male HC (t49 = 2.41; p = 0.020; Table 2; Fig. 1). Results withstood the correction for the PD-related variables displaying significant differences between male and female patients (i.e., NMS-Quest, UPDRS-III and UPDRS total score) as well as the correction for disease duration. Apart from that, there were no significant effects for dopamine agonist intake.

### 3.3. Structural and functional MRI

Whole brain VBM analyses did not reveal significant differences between patients and HC. However, ROI analyses unveiled bilateral GM atrophy in female patients relative to female HC in the putamen (left cluster maxima [MNI-coordinates x/y/z]: −32/−1/1, t = 3.85, kE = 188vox, p = 0.018; right: 30/−4/−9, t = 4.00, kE = 158vox, p = 0.012).

For the fMRI task, there were no significant group differences in emotion recognition performance behaviourally (emotion recognition: all p ≥ 0.066, reaction times: all p ≥ 0.096; Bonferroni-corrected for the number of emotions). Both whole brain and ROI analyses did not reveal significant differences in blood-oxygen-level dependent (BOLD) response between PD patients and HC or between female patients and female HC. However, male patients compared to male HC presented decreased neural activity during videos displaying angry, happy, sad and neutral faces. More precisely, male PD patients displayed decreased activity in the bilateral insula extending to the putamen, left thalamus, Heschl’s gyrus and right superior temporal gyrus while processing angry facial expressions (Table 3; Fig. 2). During processing of happy faces, male patients further showed decreased BOLD-response in the left thalamus extending to the left putamen and Heschl’s gyrus as well as in the right middle and inferior temporal gyrus, while processing of sad faces revealed decreased activity of the bilateral insula, putamen, hippocampus, fusiform gyrus and cerebellum (lobule V), left thalamus and Heschl’s gyrus, and right superior and inferior temporal gyrus. For this emotion ROI analyses additionally unveiled decreased activity of the right parahippocampus. Furthermore, ROI analyses showed decreased activity in the right middle and inferior temporal gyrus, while processing sad faces revealed decreased activity of the bilateral insula, putamen, hippocampus, fusiform gyrus and cerebellum (lobule V), left thalamus and Heschl’s gyrus, and right superior and inferior temporal gyrus. For this emotion ROI analyses additionally unveiled decreased activity of the right parahippocampus.

![Significant group differences in emotion recognition according to the Ekman 60 faces test.](image)

**Table 2**

| Emotion | PD Patients | Healthy Controls | ANOVA (p-values) |
|---------|-------------|-----------------|----------------
|         | Male | Female | Male | Female |          | Group | Gender | Group by gender |
| Angr    | 72.1 ± 20.0 | 86.0 ± 14.7 | 84.4 ± 12.6 | 76.8 ± 22.9 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 0.042 |
| Fear    | 55.0 ± 24.0 | 57.6 ± 25.5 | 50.0 ± 24.0 | 58.4 ± 25.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 |
| Happiness | 98.0 ± 4.9 | 92.8 ± 2.8 | 98.4 ± 3.7 | 99.0 ± 3.2 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 |
| Sadness | 73.1 ± 20.0 | 74.8 ± 16.9 | 73.2 ± 17.3 | 75.3 ± 19.8 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 |
| Disgust | 68.1 ± 21.0 | 72.4 ± 22.2 | 64.0 ± 24.3 | 85.3 ± 15.4 | 1.0 | 0.030 | 0.354 |
| Surprise | 86.9 ± 11.9 | 92.0 ± 11.5 | 81.2 ± 14.2 | 86.3 ± 12.6 | 0.204 | 0.336 | 1.0 |
| Total   | 75.7 ± 9.0  | 80.3 ± 8.8   | 75.2 ± 8.7  | 80.1 ± 11.2 | 0.799 | 0.015 | 0.928 |

Note: PD = Parkinson’s disease; numbers indicate mean ± standard deviation; ANCOVA with the factors group (PD versus healthy controls) and gender (male versus female) and age as covariate; p-values are Bonferroni-corrected for the number of emotions; bold numbers indicate significant differences.
Table 3
Significant group differences in neural response revealed by whole brain analysis of emotion processing.

| Anatomical region | MNI co-ordinates (L/R x y z T) |
|-------------------|--------------------------------|
| **Sadness: Male HC > Male PD** | |
| Insula            | L -34  -18  2  4.08 |
| Putamen           | R 38   -8   -4  3.86 |
| Thalamus          | L -6   -26  8  5.05 |
| Hippocampus       | L -16  -34  8  4.57 |
| Fusiform Gyrus    | R 36   -30  -10 4.43 |
| Cerebellum (lobule V) | R 28  -40  -10 4.35 |
| Superior Temporal Gyrus | L -40  -18  4  4.03 |
| Inferior Temporal Gyrus | R 46   -12  -8 4.38 |

| **Anger: Male HC > Male PD** |
|-------------------------------|
| Insula                        | L -30  12  -12 4.49 |
| Putamen                       | R 34   14  4  4.52 |
| Thalamus                      | L -28  -8  12 4.24 |
| Heschl’s Gyrus                | R 32   -14  -4 4.20 |
| Superior Temporal Gyrus       | L -22  -12  12 4.50 |
| Inferior Temporal Gyrus       | R -38  -20  4  4.30 |

| **Happiness: Male HC > Male PD** |
|----------------------------------|
| Putamen                          | L -32  -10  -2 4.54 |
| Thalamus                         | L -20  -6   10 4.71 |
| Heschl’s Gyrus                   | R -40  -20  2  3.96 |
| Middle Temporal Gyrus            | R 48   -54  6  4.36 |
| Inferior Temporal Gyrus          | R 42   -56  -6 3.84 |

Note: PD = Parkinson’s disease; HC = healthy controls; L = left; R = right; T = maximum t-value for the anatomical area. Results are cluster-level family-wise error (FWE) corrected at p < 0.05 (uncorrected at the voxel level with p ≤ 0.001) across the whole brain.

3.4. Association of emotion recognition with clinical, hormonal and genetic variables

There were no correlations between emotion recognition performance and disease duration, LEDD, NMS, UPDRS-III, H&Y or tremor/non-tremor scores in PD patients. Neither patients nor HC displayed correlations between emotion recognition and BAI or BDI-II scores. Apart from that, neural activity did not correlate with emotion recognition performance in the Ekman 60faces test. During the processing of sad faces, female HC displayed a trend for a negative correlation of estradiol levels with activity in the right putamen (r = -0.681, p = 0.060; Bonferroni-corrected), while in male HC there was a trend for a positive correlation of progesterone levels with activation in the left putamen during the processing of happiness (r = 0.614, p = 0.054; Bonferroni-corrected). There were no correlations between neural activity and hormonal data in PD patients.

4. Discussion

This multimodal behavioural and imaging study demonstrates gender-specific effects in emotion processing in non-demented PD patients, revealing worse recognition performance of the emotion anger accompanied by reduced neural response to emotional stimuli in male but not female patients. Sex-specific hormones such as estradiol and genetic factors (e.g., Val158Met COMT polymorphism) seem to contribute to these differences in emotion processing in PD.

4.1. Emotion recognition and gender

Our analyses revealed a confined impairment of male but not female PD patients in recognizing the emotion anger, which is in line with previous suggestions of female PD patients developing more benign disease phenotypes (Haaxma et al., 2007; Heller et al., 2014; Taylor et al., 2007; Burn, 2007).

Similarly to our findings, the only preceding study on gender differences in emotion recognition in PD reported a confined impairment of fear recognition in male PD patients, whereas impaired recognition of anger was not related to gender (Clark et al., 2008). The limited sample size used in Clark et al. might at least partially provide an explanation for the divergence of findings. Also, with fear and anger being the most difficult emotions to identify (Elfenbein et al., 2002), differences in cognitive states of included samples might additionally have led to inconsistent results. In the current study both female and male PD patients reached mean MoCA scores of ≥ 28 points (male PD patients: 28.0 ± 1.3; female PD patients: 28.3 ± 1.3), while the PD sample of Clark et al. reached a mean score of 28.7 ± 1.4 in the Mini-Mental State Examination, which roughly corresponds to a MoCA score of 25–26 points (van Steenoven et al., 2014).

4.2. Neural correlates of emotion processing

In addition to the impaired recognition of anger, male PD patients also showed reduced neural activity during fMRI. During the processing of angry, sad, happy and neutral faces, male PD patients mainly showed diminished activity of the putamen and thalamus. Putaminal involvement is in line with the amplified model of coexisting cortico-basal ganglia circuits, explaining the degeneration of cognitive (associative loop) and emotional-limbic functions (limbic loop; Redgrave et al., 2010; Draganski et al., 2008) in PD. In the same vein, PD patients have been shown to exhibit decreased bilateral putaminal activation while confronted with emotionally evocative stimuli from the International Affective Picture System (Lang et al., 1997). Interestingly, reduced striatal dopamine transporter availability of the left putamen has previously also been found to correlate with PD patients’ errors in emotional gesture recognition (Lotze et al., 2009). Our finding of decreased activity during the processing of neutral facial expressions (Table 4). Of note, the above stated results withstood the correction for premorbid intelligence (MWT-B scores), which was reduced in both female and male PD patients undergoing MRI as compared to the subsample exclusively being assessed behaviourally (cf. Supplementary Table S-2). Apart from that, results remained unchanged when controlling for disease duration and there were no significant effects for dopamine agonist intake.

3.5. Association of fMRI data with clinical and hormonal variables

Neither in HC nor in PD did we find significant correlations of neural activity with BAI or BDI-II scores as well as any PD-related variables (i.e., disease duration, LEDD, NMS-Quest, UPDRS-III, H&Y or tremor/non-tremor scores). Apart from that, neural activity did not correlate with emotion recognition performance in the Ekman 60faces test. During the processing of sad faces, female HC displayed a trend for a negative correlation of estradiol levels with activity in the right putamen (r = -0.681, p = 0.060; Bonferroni-corrected), while in male HC there was a trend for a positive correlation of progesterone levels with activation in the left putamen during the processing of happiness (r = 0.614, p = 0.054; Bonferroni-corrected). There were no correlations between neural activity and hormonal data in PD patients.

5. Conclusion

Our findings, which are in line with previous suggestions of female PD patients developing more benign disease phenotypes (Haaxma et al., 2007; Heller et al., 2014; Taylor et al., 2007; Burn, 2007), suggest that gender differences in emotion recognition in PD may be explained by the differential impact of hormones and genetic factors. These findings challenge the prevailing view of PD as a disease that mainly affects males, and raise important questions for the future research on the role of sex and gender in PD.
Fig. 2. Significant group differences in neural response during emotion processing.
Note: PD = Parkinson’s disease; HC = healthy controls; L/R = left/right; coordinates in MNI space; colour bars represent T-values; A) Significant differences between male PD patients and male HC in neural response during emotion processing; results are cluster-level FWE-corrected at $p \leq 0.05$ (uncorrected at the voxel level with $p \leq 0.001$) across the whole brain. B) Parameter estimates showing group differences in selected regions of interest (ROI); results are FWE-corrected at $p \leq 0.05$ within ROI (MNI coordinates in brackets); data represent means (95% confidence interval shown as error bars).

| Anatomic region | MNI co-ordinates | Anatomic region | MNI co-ordinates |
|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|------------------|
| Anger: Male HC > Male PD | | Sadness: Male HC > Male PD |
| Putamen         | L 180 −24 −12 10 4.46 | Putamen         | L 94 −18 0 10 4.83 |
|                 | R 57 32 12 4 4.48            |                 | R 61 32 10 4 4.00 |
| Thalamus        | L 87 −22 −12 12 4.50         | Thalamus        | L 123 −6 −26 8 5.05 |
|                 | R 34 −30 12 −12 4.49        |                 | R 78 −14 −4 10 4.98 |
| Insula          | L 64 −28 14 10 4.52          | Insula          | L 35 36 12 4 3.96  |
|                 | R 34 −30 12 −12 4.49        |                 | R 78 −14 −4 10 4.98 |
|                 | 83 42 −8 −4 4.84            |                 | R 57 28 −38 −8 4.34 |
|                  | 83 42 −8 −4 4.84            |                 | R 57 28 −38 −8 4.34 |
| Hipocampus      | R 104 34 14 4 4.52          | Parahipocampus  | R 87 36 −30 −10 4.43 |
|                 | R 57 28 −38 −8 4.34        |                 | R 57 28 −38 −8 4.34 |
| Neutral: Male HC > Male PD |
| Putamen         | R 56 28 8 8 4.22            | Putamen         | L 265 −22 −8 10 4.58 |
| Thalamus        | L 97 −8 −28 8 5.47          |                 |                 |

Note: PD = Parkinson’s disease; HC = healthy controls; L = left; R = right; k = number of voxels (voxel size 8 mm$^3$); T = maximum t-value for the anatomical area. Results are FWE-corrected at $p \leq 0.05$ within ROI.
thalamus. However, we did not find any activity alterations in the medial prefrontal cortex, while previous studies applying static images reported altered neuroanatomy and functioning of this region to underlie emotion processing deficits in PD (Ibarretxe-Bilbao et al., 2009; Moonen et al., 2017). Despite the alterations on the neural level, PD patients recognized the respective emotions of the fMRI task to the same level as HC. While this finding lacks correlation with Ekman 60faces performance, it may again point to the methodological issue of using static images for the offline task and videos during fMRI. We also employed a comparatively simple fMRI task during which participants solely had to choose between two provided response options in contrast to the Ekman 60faces test. Additionally, the fact that there were no differences in reaction times for the fMRI task allows the conclusion that our imaging findings are not merely explainable by processing speed deficits or cognitive dysfunction of our PD sample. Of note, the pattern of reduced activity in our male PD sample is also not merely explainable by regional brain volume loss, as VBM analysis did not indicate overt structural degeneration. In fact, we found reduced bilateral putaminal volume in female PD patients, who did not exhibit altered neural response. This again emphasizes the need to refrain from applying one standard to all PD patients but consider possibly disease-modifying variables such as gender (Haaxma et al., 2007; Heller et al., 2014).

4.3. Influence of hormonal and genetic variables

The functional Val158Met COMT polymorphism has recently gained increasing interest with respect to emotion processing, since the COMT enzyme has been found to be involved in the metabolic degradation of the neurotransmitter dopamine in brain regions such as the prefrontal cortex, amygdala and striatum (Weiss et al., 2007; Herrmann et al., 2009; Hong et al., 1998). Dopamine in these regions in turn is known to modulate brain activity to aversive stimuli and by this regulate emotion processing (Kienast et al., 2008). The Met variant of the COMT gene is associated with lower COMT enzymatic activity as compared to the more efficient Val variant. Met thus results in slower dopamine degradation and increased synaptic dopamine in the respective neural pathways (Lotta et al., 1995). The Val variant has consequently been associated with impaired processing of emotional stimuli, however, this effect has only been shown for emotions of negative but not positive valence (Smolka et al., 2005; Smolka et al., 2007; Herrmann et al., 2009). In line with this, our analyses revealed worse performance of patients with Val158Met COMT Val/Val expression compared to Met allele carriers in fear recognition. Since for the present work MRI subgroups for the COMT gene were too small to carry out statistical analyses, the underlying neural processes of this finding will have to be elucidated by future studies. Nevertheless, this finding provides evidence that genetic factors are not only to be considered when it comes to determining an individual’s predisposition for PD but also when investigating the phenotypical manifestation of the disease. Along with that, it may provide an explanation for the bias in previous results regarding emotion and especially fear processing in PD, even though we did not discover any group (PD versus HC) or gender effects for this emotion.

Of note, estrogen has previously been shown to down-regulate COMT activity in addition to the gene variant itself (Kempton et al., 2009; Coman et al., 2010). Correspondingly, we identified a positive correlation of estradiol levels with performance in fear recognition in our female PD sample, which also underlines the assumption of a neuroprotective effect of estrogen (Haaxma et al., 2007; Heller et al., 2014; Taylor et al., 2007; Burn, 2007). Any interactions of COMT with estrogen as well as with dopamine replenishment resulting from dopaminergic medication may currently hamper an explanation of the exact underlying processes and future studies are needed to follow up on this complex topic.
4.4. Limitations and conclusion

A potential limitation of our study is that we did not consider the side of motor symptom onset as a possible moderator variable, while it has previously been shown to influence emotion recognition in PD (Clark et al., 2008). This might also be of importance in the context of the respective neural correlates underlying emotion recognition deficits. However, side of motor symptom onset was balanced in our subjects and further subgrouping for laterality would require a larger sample. Likewise, and for the same reason, we did not study emotion processing in different PD phenotypes (tremor dominant/akinetic-rigid). Notably, post-hoc correlation analyses between tremor/non-tremor scores and performance in emotion recognition or neural activity did not indicate any coherence. However, a recent resting-state fMRI study showed that akinetic-rigid PD patients predominantly exhibit altered mesolimbic activity, while tremor-dominant patients rather present cerebellar activity alterations (Zhang et al., 2015). Thus, diminished cerebellar activity in our male patients during the processing of sad faces might be driven by the tremor-dominant subgroup. Future studies are needed to investigate such associations.

Another limitation of our study is that disease duration was highly variable in our PD sample. However, we did not find any significant associations between disease duration and emotion processing, neither behaviourally nor with functional imaging data.

Finally, one major limitation of our study is that we employed static images during the behavioural task while using dynamic stimuli to assess the neural correlates of emotion processing in PD. As stated above (cf. 4.2 Neural correlates of emotion processing), neural correlates of static and dynamic facial emotion recognition have been reported to differ in meaningful ways (e.g., Kessler et al., 2011) and our results should thus be interpreted carefully. Future studies should address this issue by using either static or dynamic stimuli for both conditions. On the other hand, imaging examinations studying emotion processing based on different modalities impacting the neural correlates in PD may offer new insights and strengthen converging results on emotion processing deficits in PD.

Overall, this work is the first fMRI study examining the impact of gender on emotion recognition in PD patients, providing an enhanced insight into the underlying neural mechanisms while additionally considering the influence of sex-related hormones and genetics. Our study highlights that we need to be aware of such possibly disease-modifying variables in PD, and further research on the underlying neural, endocrinological and genetic mechanisms of specific symptoms in PD is of clinical relevance, as it can improve our understanding of the phenomenology of the disease and may allow a more personalized medicine.
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