Functional results of surgical treatment for acromioclavicular joint dislocation using the modified weaver-dunn surgical technique

Abstract

Objective: To evaluate the functional outcome of patients who underwent surgical treatment using the modified Weaver-Dunn technique for the treatment of acute acromioclavicular dislocation.

Method: Retrospective case series study (level of evidence IV) based on their view of medical records of 20 patients who participated in a 25-week postoperative follow-up, in which they were assessed using the UCLA score and submitted to radiological control. This follow-up was due to surgical treatment for acromioclavicular dislocation using the modified Weaver-Dunn technique, with two 5.5mm-Peek Zip® anchors fixed to the coracoid process, with ties on the clavicle, and transfer of the coracoacromial ligament to the distal clavicle.

Results: The patients were followed-up for 25 weeks, and all presented satisfactory functional results, with 70% considered excellent and 30% classified as good. However, a high rate of reduction loss of the acromioclavicular joint was observed, which corresponded to 6 of the 20 cases monitored. The average time before returning to routine activities was 20.7 weeks; the shortest was 16 weeks, and the longest, 30 weeks.

Conclusion: In the present study, we found that all patients who were submitted to the described technique presented a low level of morbidity and satisfactory functional results (excellent and good), successfully returning to their everyday activities.
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Introduction

Acromioclavicular joint injuries are prevalent among the disorders caused by trauma, corresponding to around 9% of all injuries involving the shoulder girdle, with a total of approximately 1.8 people per 10,000 inhabitants sustaining the injury per year. The primary risk factors include contact-involving sports activities and automobile accidents, which explains its higher incidence among young adult males.

A fundamental principle in the treatment of joint injuries is to restore congruence, aiming to decrease the incidence of arthritis. Many acromioclavicular joint injuries receive conservative treatment, varying from the use of a simple shoulder sling to a splint or cast, while others require surgery.

The Rockwood classification for acromioclavicular dislocation, which varies from types I to VI, has been widely utilized. Overall, there is an agreement in which injuries type I and II must be treated conservatively, while types IV, V, and VI are treated surgically. However, there has been considerable discussion comparing the conservative method of treatment with the surgical one regarding type-III injuries, with several studies conducted on the issue but with no consensus on the ideal choice.

There are more than 200 surgical techniques, and most of those that aim at joint fixation and reconstruction of the coracoclavicular ligament involve the use of metal implants, including Kirschner wires, Steinmann pins, hook plate fixation, and Bosworth screws. One of the most employed and widespread techniques for surgical treatment is the modified Weaver-Dunn procedure, which involves the transfer of the coracoacromial ligament and its insertion in the acromion to the distal third of the clavicle with the aid of ties.

The objective of the present study was to evaluate the functional results of patients who underwent surgical treatment using the modified Weaver-Dunn technique for the treatment of acromioclavicular dislocation, with the UCLA (University of California, Los Angeles) score system. It is understood that this technique will lead to a good functional outcome, returning the same working function prior to injury.

Materials and methods

This retrospective study comprised the review of medical records of 32 patients diagnosed with acromioclavicular dislocation, who underwent surgery using the modified Weaver-Dunn technique by the Orthopedics and Traumatology service of a tertiary referral hospital in northeastern Brazil between April 2011 and January 2016. The preoperative evaluation of such patients was carried out using the modified Weave-Dunn procedure, which involves the transfer of the coracoacromial ligament and its insertion in the acromion to the distal third of the clavicle with the aid of ties.

The inclusion criteria were: ages between 18 and 70, Rockwood classification of type-III injury or higher, use of the modified Weaver-Dunn technique, and an outpatient follow-up of at least 24 weeks.
Exclusion criteria included previous injury of the operated shoulder, more than one fracture in the same shoulder, follow-up interruption, or lack of sufficient data in the medical records.

The minimum follow-up time was 06 months, with follow-up appointments after 2, 6, 12, and 25 weeks. After surgery, the operated limb was immobilized in a Velpeau sling for six weeks. As part of the rehabilitation program, pendulum movements and internal and external rotations at zero degrees were authorized immediately after surgery. Passive and active movements (to gain range of motion) started six weeks after the procedure. Each follow-up appointment consisted of a radiological control similar to that in the preoperative stage (Figure 1) and physical examinations. The medical team chose the UCLA score as the criterion for evaluation due to its practicality and the inclusion of the patient’s satisfaction criterion, with the results being interpreted using Ellman criteria.

The UCLA score was applied at 6, 12, and 25 weeks. The average time before returning to usual activities was 20.7 weeks, the shortest being 16 weeks, and the longest, 30 weeks. The radiological control for contralateral comparison regarding the coracoacromial distance showed similar or lower level in 14 patients, elevation lower than 50% in three, between 50 and 100% in two, and higher than 100% in one patient.

The UCLA score was applied at 6, 12, and 25 weeks. The average number of points after six weeks was 21.7; after 12 weeks, 29.95; and after 25 weeks, 33.95, with variation between 30 and 35. After 25 weeks (when the UCLA score was last applied in the follow-up), only 20 of the patients completed the postoperative follow-up of 25 weeks and, therefore, were included in the study. Eleven were classified as type III and nine as type V injury, according to the Rockwood classification. All patients in the study were operated on by the same shoulder specialist.

Seventeen patients were male and three were female. The average age was 42.75 years, varying from 23 to 66 years of age, and all of them were right-handed. Six of the patients had injuries on the left shoulder, and fourteen, on the right. As for the trauma mechanism, nine were caused by motorcycle accidents, ten by falling to the ground, and one by a higher than 1-meter fall.

The average time before returning to usual activities was 20.7 weeks, the shortest being 16 weeks, and the longest, 30 weeks. The radiological control for contralateral comparison regarding the coracoacromial distance showed similar or lower level in 14 patients, elevation lower than 50% in three, between 50 and 100% in two, and higher than 100% in one patient.

The UCLA score was applied at 6, 12, and 25 weeks. The average number of points after six weeks was 21.7; after 12 weeks, 29.95; and after 25 weeks, 33.95, with variation between 30 and 35. After 25 weeks (when the UCLA score was last applied in the follow-up),
14 patients had 35 points, classified as an excellent result according to the Ellman criteria, and six had between 30 and 33 points, classified as good (Figure 3).

Figure 3 Post operative radiograph. Left: normal shoulder. Right: operated shoulder.

Among the cases with complications due to reduction loss, one was more evident, with severe vertical and horizontal instability. It is noteworthy that this patient did not follow postoperative guidance, not wearing the sling, nor completing the period for joint immobilization (Patient 4). Another complication we had was a case (Patient 1) of infection with positive cultures for S. aureus, with complete remission after antibiotic therapy and surgical debridement.

Discussion

The most common mechanism leading to acromioclavicular dislocation is direct force applied to the acromion with the joint in an adducted position, usually caused by a drop on the lateral side of the shoulder. This justifies the fact that the two main trauma-causing events found in the present study were falls and motorcycle accidents, representing 55% and 45% of the cases, respectively. The latter may be explained by the large number of motorcycles existing in the state where our service operates, which, according to data from the Brazilian National Transport Confederation, is the highest among all the states in northeastern Brazil. Falling, on the other hand, may justify a higher age average of 42.75 years observed in our patients when compared to other studies, in which activities involving physical contact, such as sports, were more significant.

The majority of the evaluated patients were male (85%), corroborating several studies. Such finding may be explained by the trauma mechanism, since men are more exposed to risky behavior. The modified Weaver-Dunn procedure is a widely used technique that involves coracoclavicular ligament transfer and its insertion in the acromion toward the distal third of the clavicle with the aid of ties. Although excellent results have been reported with the use of this technique, there has also been evidence of some subluxation and complications in fixation. One of the reasons is that the resistance of the coracoclavicular ligament comprises around 25% of the strength of the coracoclavicular ligaments, as shown by biomechanical studies. Besides, this non-anatomical reconstruction only guarantees coronal stability but does not correct the instability in the transverse or axial plane. One of the most positive points of this technique is the preservation of the acromioclavicular joint, since there is no thread penetration, with no need for another surgical intervention for suture removal.

Arliane et al. in their study involving 122 orthopedic surgeons working in Brazil, evaluated the prevalence of several surgical techniques used for the treatment of these injuries. They evidenced that, among the acute injuries, 25.4% gave preference to the subcoracoid ties with acromioclavicular fixation, while 24.6% used only subcoracoid ties. Some of the complications associated with the first method include thread or endobutton migration and breakage of materials.

In the present study, we evaluated the functional outcomes based on the UCLA score, and all the results were classified as satisfactory, with 70% considered excellent, and 30%, good. However, there was evidence of a high rate of reduction loss of the acromioclavicular joint, which corresponded to 6 of the 20 cases monitored. After an in-depth comparative functional analysis, we noticed that the average UCLA score after 25 weeks in the group presenting loss of reduction was 32 points, with five results considered good and one result classified as excellent. When evaluating the UCLA average after the same period in the 14 patients without loss of reduction, we noticed an average of 34.7 points, with 13 results considered excellent, and one result, good. There was evidence of a slight relationship between the functional and radiological aspects, without significantly compromising the overall functional result, since even in cases of subluxation as a complication, there was no dissatisfaction or limitation regarding the return to routine activities.

Some authors say that the loss of reduction is mainly due to the detachment or loosening of the threads from the clavicle, as well as the tear or rupture of the transferred coracoclavicular ligament. It has been proved that the coracoacromial ligament is biomechanically inferior, and may lead to subluxation or chronic dislocation of the acromioclavicular joint in 30% of the cases.

Nascimento et al. showed in their study that there was some reduction loss in all their cases when compared with the immediate postoperative period, which occurs around the 13th week. Only one case required a new approach due to symptomatology. Studies in the literature have shown that the loss of reduction does not affect the final clinical result of the treatment, as observed in our study.

Conclusion

In the present study, we found that all the patients who underwent the described procedure presented satisfactory functional results (excellent and good), successfully returning to their everyday activities.
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