Rapid heating induced ultrahigh stability of nanograined copper
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Inherent thermal and mechanical instability of nanograin materials bottlenecks their processing and technological applications. In addition to the traditional stabilization strategy, which is based on alloying, grain boundary relaxation was recently found to be effective in stabilizing nanograin pure metals. Grain boundary relaxation can be induced by deforming very fine nanograins below a critical size, typically several tens of nanometers. Here, we found that rapid heating may trigger intensive boundary relaxation of pure Cu nanograins with sizes up to submicrometers, a length scale with notable instability in metals. The rapidly heated Cu nanograins remain stable at temperatures as high as 0.6 T_m (melting point), even higher than the recrystallization temperature of deformed coarse-grained Cu. The thermally induced grain boundary relaxation originating from the generation of high-density nanotwins offers a alternative approach to stabilizing nanostructured materials.

INTRODUCTION

Metals become hardened after plastic deformation but unstable at elevated temperatures as the deformation-introduced defects such as dislocations and grain boundaries (GBs) are eliminated through recovery and recrystallization. In metals with normal purity (~100 parts per million impurity), recrystallization typically starts at about 0.4 T_m (melting point) (1, 2). The recrystallization temperature drops with increasing strains (density of defects) (3). The heavily deformed metals with an extremely high density of GBs and dislocations, i.e., nanograin or submicro-grained materials, may become unstable even at ambient temperature (1, 4).

Stabilizing the deformed metals is crucial for their technological applications, especially for nanostructured metals with bespoke properties. Alloying is traditionally used to retard recrystallization kinetics as solute atoms may suppress GB mobility (5–7). Adopting low energy boundaries such as twin boundaries and low-angle GBs, which are more reluctant to recrystallize (8–10), is an alternative stabilization strategy without changing material chemistry. Our recent study revealed that nanograin metals with random GBs can be stabilized through GB relaxation (11–13). Both thermal and mechanical stability of very fine nanograins in a number of face-centered cubic (fcc) metals are enhanced considerably, originating from a deformation-induced autonomous GB relaxation to lower energy states through emission of stacking faults or twins from the boundaries. Nevertheless, this effect is limited to nanograins below a critical size, usually several tens of nanometers. Stabilizing larger grains in pure metals is challenging indeed, e.g., the submicro-sized grains as usually obtained from plastic deformation techniques.

In principle, GB relaxation might be alternatively triggered by thermal activation as twins can be formed through thermal annealing. For instance, in metals with low stacking fault energies such as Cu, austenitic stainless steels, and some Ni-based superalloys, twins appear frequently accompanying recrystallization of the deformed structures upon annealing at elevated temperatures (14–16). Annealing twins are used in so-called GB engineering for improving corrosion resistance and other properties of the materials in which plenty of \( \Sigma 3 \) or \( \Sigma 3^n \) boundaries are iteratively generated by deformation and subsequent annealing (17, 18). Literature showed that in Cu with a purity of 99.9 to 99.99% and a deformation strain below 100%, annealing twins are usually formed upon annealing at a temperature ranging from 473 to 523 K (19, 20). Unfortunately, this temperature window is well above that for nanograin coarsening in Cu, typically 393 to 450 K for grain sizes of 50 to 200 nm (11). In other words, as nanograins are heated, nanograin coarsening through GB migration occurs before formation of annealing twins.

GB migration is a thermal activation process that is heating rate dependent. Higher heating rates may shift the process to occur at higher temperatures. As demonstrated in submicro-grained Ni samples prepared from plastic deformation, grain coarsening temperature elevates from 420 to 500 K as heating rate increases from 0.3 to 10 K/min (21). In terms of the Kissinger equation for a thermally activated process such as grain coarsening, the onset temperature \( T_o \) is related to heating rate \( HT \) by

\[
\ln \left( \frac{HT}{T_o} \right) = \frac{E}{RT_o} + \text{constant}
\]

where \( E \) is the apparent activation energy [72.5 kJ/mol for Cu (22)] and \( R \) is the gas constant. Taking \( T_o = 393 K \) for the 80 nm grains (11) at a heating rate of 1 K/min, we obtained \( T_o = 473 K \) at a rate of 62 K/min, a temperature when annealing twins are formed in Cu (19, 20). As formation of annealing twins does not show obvious heating rate dependence (23), it is anticipated that nanograins be heated rapidly enough (above the critical rate) so that the onset temperature of GB migration exceeds that of twin formation and twinning may take place in nanograins before grain coarsening. Consequently, it is reasonable to assume that formation of annealing twins may trigger boundary relaxation of nanograins, as in the mechanically induced GB relaxation. This will be exploited in nanograin pure Cu in the present study.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

We prepared a gradient nanograin surface layer on a coarse-grained oxygen-free Cu bar specimen with a purity of 99.97% by using surface mechanical grinding treatment (SMGT) in liquid nitrogen (table S1). Randomly oriented grains with an average transversal size of ~40 nm and an aspect ratio of 1.7 are found in the topmost surface layer. The transversal grain sizes increased gradually...
with increasing depth, to about 60 nm at 18 μm depth and 200 nm at 150 μm depth. A weak \{111\} \langle 110 \rangle texture in the nanograin layer was identified. Deformed coarse-grained structures can be found underneath the nanostructured surface layer. The as-prepared gradient nanograin specimen was then heated at different rates to a preset temperature of 523 K [formation temperature of annealing twins in coarse-grained Cu (19, 20)] and cooled down to ambient temperature immediately. Four heating rates (1, 80, 160, and 240 K/min, respectively) accurately controlled on a differential scanning calorimetry equipment were used to identify the rate effect on coarsening kinetics of nanograins.

Upon heating the sample at 1 K/min, nanograin coarsening with resultant micrometer-sized grains initiated in the subsurface layer deeper than 18 ± 4 μm, in which the as-prepared grains are larger than 60 nm. In contrast, the top 18-μm-thick layer containing nanograins with an average size of 40 to 60 nm remains stable after annealing (Fig. 1A), as confirmed by transmission electron microscopy (TEM) observations. A few sporadic abnormally coarsened grains were detected in this layer. The stabilized nanogranular surface layer, which is in agreement with our previous study, was attributed to the mechanically induced GB relaxation in this layer during the SMGT process (11). The instability temperature of the 60-nm grains after the mechanical GB relaxation is around 523 K (11), coincident with our observations.

Upon heating at 80 K/min (above the estimated critical heating rate), the top surface layer with stable nanograins is thickened to about 28 ± 9 μm, corresponding to an original grain size span of 40 to 100 nm. Grain coarsening occurs in the subsurface layer with original grains larger than 100 nm. Grain sizes of the coarsened layer are much smaller than that at 1 K/min owing to the reduced time for grain coarsening. Obviously, nanograins with sizes ranging from 60 to 100 nm that are unstable heated at 1 K/min are stabilized at 80 K/min. When heating rate is increased to 160 K/min, the stabilized nanograin layer is much thickened, covering a grain size span of 40 to 160 nm, and the grain sizes in the coarsening layer are even smaller. Further increasing to 240 K/min, the thickness of the stabilized surface layer seems to be saturated, as in Fig. 1E.

The stabilized nanograins in the surface layer were systematically characterized under TEM. At a depth of about 25 μm corresponding to the 80-nm grains in the as-prepared sample, no obvious difference was detected in grain size distribution and the average size, as well as in electron diffraction pattern after the rapid heating (at 160 K/min; Figs. 1, A, B, and D, and 3A). In contrast, micro-sized grains with sharp boundaries are formed at the same depth at 1 K/min (Fig. 1C).

**Fig. 1.** Nanograins stabilized by rapidly heating in Cu. (A) Typical cross-sectional SEM images of the gradient nanograin surface layer in the as-prepared Cu sample and that heated to 523 K at different rates (1, 80, and 160 K/min, respectively). (B to D) Typical TEM bright-field images of the nanostructures at a depth of ~25 μm from the surface [as indicated in (A), with an average grain size about 80 nm in the as-prepared sample] in the as-prepared and the heated samples with different heating rates. Insets are corresponding selected area electron diffraction patterns. (E) The corresponding as-prepared grain size range in the stabilized surface layer of the gradient nanograin samples after heating to 523 K at different rates. Solid and hollow circles represent the upper and the lower limits of stable grain sizes observed, respectively. The dashed line represents the average grain size in the as-prepared sample (D* ~ 60 nm) upon annealing at 523 K from (10). Error bars are standard errors of the average grain size.
Measured nanohardness at this depth is 2.35 ± 0.10 GPa in the as-prepared sample. It increases slightly after rapid heating, being about 2.20 ± 0.12 GPa. These evidences confirmed no coarsening of nanograins with sizes of 40 to 155 nm in the top surface layer after rapid heating to 523 K. Such a size range is much wider than that in the as-prepared sample after the mechanical GB relaxation (40 to 60 nm).

In other words, an extra stabilized grain size region from 60 to 160 nm (red region in Fig. 1E) is resulted from the rapid heating.

Comparing TEM images in Fig. 1 (B and D), we found twins in many nanograins (as circled) in the rapidly heated sample. By tilting the sample, twins appear in almost each nanograin, which seem to be emitted from GBs and terminated at the opposite boundaries. High-resolution TEM images (Fig. 2A) revealed numerous through-grain twins in the nanograins with spacing varying from several to tens of nanometers. The morphology is analogous to that in nanograins after the mechanical GB relaxation (11, 12). Twin density in the nanograins is orders of magnitude higher than that observed in deformed coarse grains after recrystallization. Although twins exist in some very fine nanograins in the as-prepared sample (Fig. 1B), TEM-measured distribution maps of GB character showed that twin density increases considerably after rapid heating (Fig. 2, B and C). Statistical results showed that the fraction of Σ3 boundaries increases from 7.8% in the as-prepared sample to about 16.2% after rapid heating (table S2).

The top surface layer was also analyzed by using micro-beam x-ray diffraction to estimate the twin probability (β) based on the diffraction peak asymmetry, a statistical measure of twin density (see Materials and Methods). From the obtained diffraction peak profiles of various (hkl) planes, the β value of the rapidly heated sample was calculated, being about 1.37%, meaning one twin in every 73 (111) planes, roughly consistent with the TEM observations. The β value (0.15%) is one order magnitude lower in the as-prepared sample. Although this estimation involves relatively large errors, the much higher β value confirmed an obvious increase in twin density after rapid heating.

The above evidences point to the fact that, during rapid heating, the 80-nm grains do not show obvious coarsening. Instead,
high-density twins are generated inside the nanograins. This behavior differs distinctly from the obvious coarsening without twinning in nanograins of the same size heated at low rates (Fig. 1C). It verifies our prediction that the coarsening process of nanograins is postponed by rapid heating to higher temperatures so that annealing twins form in advance. Annealing twins are usually believed to form during GB migration of the recrystallization process in the deformed coarse-grained Cu to decrease the overall excess energy of GBs (24, 25). Clearly, in the present case, twinning occurs without grain coarsening or recrystallization in the nanograins during rapid heating. The twinning decoupled from the GB migration process seems to be in accordance with the “pop-out” stacking fault model for reorienting GBs so as to facilitate dislocation absorption (26).

Previous experimental and simulation investigations in several fcc metals indicated that generation of twins or stacking faults from GBs, both evolving emission of partial dislocations, may induce GB dissociation (27, 28). It provides a general approach to GB relaxation for lowering the energy state, especially in those metals with low stacking fault energy such as Cu. Zooming in on boundaries of the nanograins of the rapidly annealed samples under high-resolution TEM, we observed that many GBs are associated with twins, which become faceted, forming steps of (111) planes of several nanometers in length. As shown in the high-resolution images in Fig. 2 (D and E), the steps are connected by other (111) planes, forming zigzag configurations. Obviously, after rapid heating, boundaries of nanograins tend to rearrange themselves to low excess energy states. This observation is in accordance with a previous study in which formation of nanometer-scale twins from a GB lowers its excess energy in Cu, slowing down the atomic diffusion along the GBs adjacent to the triple point where they meet a twin boundary (29). Hence, we may conclude that boundary relaxation of nanograins is induced in the surface layer by heating at high heating rates, analogous to that by mechanical activation via straining.

Thermal stability of nanograins with sizes ranging from 50 to 140 nm after thermally induced GB relaxation was determined by subsequent annealing at various temperatures from 573 to 873 K held for 15 min. For nanograins with an average size of 80 nm (Fig. 3A), no obvious grain size change was noticed below 773 K (Fig. S1). At 823 K, grain coarsening became apparent. Nanohardness of the 80-nm grains after annealing at 773 K increases slightly to 2.4 GPa. It drops to 1.20 GPa upon annealing at 873 K, indicative of apparent grain coarsening. From the measured grain size variation at various annealing temperatures, onset coarsening temperature for the 80-nm grains was determined to be about 810 K, ~0.6 $T_m$.

Thermal stability of the 50-nm grains at a depth of about 8 μm from the surface after rapid heating was also examined. Because of the mechanical GB relaxation during the SMGT process, the instability temperature of the 50-nm grains in the as-prepared state is about 600 K, higher than that of the 80-nm grains. However, after the thermally induced GB relaxation, their thermal stability is further elevated. Obvious grain coarsening starts at about 810 K, very close to that of the 80-nm grains as shown in Fig. 3B. Similarly, we measured the onset grain coarsening temperature of the 140-nm grains (at a depth of about 65 μm from the surface) after the thermal GB relaxation, which is about 810 K.

As shown in Fig. 4, the instability temperature of nanograins after thermally induced GB relaxation seems to be independent of grain size within a range of 50 to 140 nm, distinct from the strong grain size dependence of the instability temperature in the samples after the mechanical GB relaxation. The grain size span stabilized by thermal GB relaxation is much wider, extending to the submicrometer scale, a typical length scale with notable instability in metals and the typical saturation size of metals and alloys undergone heavy plastic deformation.

The nanograins after thermal GB relaxation exhibit an extraordinary high thermal stability with an onset coarsening temperature at ~0.6 $T_m$. It is not only higher than that of the Cu nanograins after mechanical GB relaxation (about 0.45 $T_m$) but also about 280 K higher than the conventional recrystallization temperature of deformed coarse-grained Cu (0.4 $T_m$). It implies that a more stable state is achieved in the thermally relaxed boundaries of nanograins than the conventional GBs of deformed coarse-grained Cu. The remarkable stability difference of GBs may be inferred from a much higher twin density in the rapidly heated nanograins, of which the boundaries are more intensively relaxed. The nature of the thermally relaxed GBs and their properties at elevated temperatures will be of significance to study.

CONCLUSIONS

The thermally induced GB relaxation originates from generation of twins from boundaries of nanograins during rapid heating. In principle, this novel stabilization strategy will be applicable to other nanostructured materials with low stacking energies including the engineering alloys such as austenitic stainless steels and Ni-based superalloys. Therefore, it is of particular significance for advancing nanostructured materials for high-temperature applications. Annealing twins are difficult to form in materials with high stacking fault energies such as Al and Ni; principles and techniques to stabilizing those nanostructured materials need further investigations.
**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Sample preparation**

Pure Cu (99.97 weight % in purity) was annealed at 450°C for 2 hours to form recrystallized structures. The Cu rods with a diameter of 10 mm were processed by using SMGT (30) in liquid nitrogen at 77 K with processing parameters listed in table S1. For each sample, the SMGT process was repeated 10 times to achieve a thick and uniform gradient nanograin surface layer. The processed surface is very smooth with a roughness of Ra = 0.3 μm. No surface crack was identified in the as-prepared SMGT samples.

**Structure characterization**

Structural characterization of the samples was carried out on scanning electron microscopes (FEI Verios 460) and transmission electron microscopes (FEI Tecnai F20 and FEI Talos F200X), respectively. Texture components in the surface layer of the as-SMGT Cu samples were determined by using electron backscatter diffraction equipped in scanning electron microscopes. The characteristics of GBs were analyzed through the orientation mapping in the transmission electron microscope for different samples, which were conducted by using a NanoMEGAS (Brussels, Belgium) hardware and an ASTAR system. Detailed information about this technique can be found in (31). Briefly, a region of interest in the foil sample was scanned by a nanosized electron beam with a very fine step size (3.6 nm in this study) in TEM diffraction mode, and the electron diffraction pattern (EDP) at each scanned point was acquired at a high speed by a fast CCD (charge-coupled device) camera. Then, each EDP is auto-indexed via cross-correlation matching techniques with precalculated EDP templates, and the orientation of grains could be identified. We counted the fraction of Σ11, Σ3, and Σ5-29 boundaries and the triple junctions connected with Σ3 and Σ5-29 boundaries. We found that the fraction of special low-energy GBs increases evidently after the rapid heating, as listed in table S2.

Cross-sectional sample preparation for scanning electron microscopy (SEM) and TEM observations follows the ordinary route of cutting, mechanical polishing, and final electrochemical polishing or thinning. The final finishing was accomplished by electrochemical method using an electrolyte consisting of 25% alcohol, 25% phosphorus acid, and 50% deionized water at 0°C for Cu. Before cutting, the processed surface was protected by a thin Cu layer made by using electrodeposition.

**Rapid heating treatment**

The rapid heating treatments were carried out in a PerkinElmer differential scanning calorimetry equipment with a temperature control accuracy of ±0.1 K. The as-SMGT samples were heated to a preset temperature of 523 K at different heating rates and cooled down to room temperature immediately for SEM and TEM observations. Four heating rates are selected: 1, 80, 160, and 240 K/min. We also performed the treatments by rapid heating the as-SMGT samples to 523 K and maintaining the temperature for 15 min. We found that the grain sizes of the subsurface coarsened layer increase with the holding time. However, the holding time has no obvious effect on the nanograin structures in the top surface layer.

We performed another test on the temperature effect on the thermally induced GB relaxation. We heated the as-prepared samples rapidly (at a rate of 160 K/min) to 393 K and held for 15 min. This temperature is below the lowest formation temperature of annealing twins in pure Cu (473 K). The rapidly heated sample was then annealed at 523 K, held for 15 min; we observed violent coarsening of the nanograins of 60 to 180 nm in size to about a few micrometers in the top surface layer, as shown in fig. S2. It verified that rapid heating below the formation temperature of annealing twins does not induce GB relaxation in the nanograins.

**Thermal stability measurements**

Thermal stability of the as-SMGT and the rapidly heated Cu samples was determined by annealing at different preset temperatures and holding for 15 min in a tube furnace under a protective Ar atmosphere and with a temperature control accuracy of ±2 K. After annealing, the samples were cooled down for SEM and TEM observations.

**X-ray diffraction analysis**

We estimated the twin density in the top 50-μm-depth layer in the gradient nanograin Cu before and after rapid heating to 523 K by x-ray diffraction (XRD) (micro-area x-ray diffractometry) using a Co-Kα ray. A scanning step of 0.005° was applied to record the XRD pattern in the 20 range of 40° to 120°, and the x-ray spot size is 50 μm in diameter. The XRD patterns of the as-prepared sample and the rapidly heated samples are shown in fig. S3. Values of twin probability, β, have been estimated from the observed peak asymmetry according to the relation (32)

\[
\beta = \frac{\Delta \cdot C \cdot G \cdot (2 \theta^\circ_{111}) - \Delta \cdot C \cdot G \cdot (2 \theta^\circ_{200})}{11 \tan \theta_{111} + 14.6 \tan \theta_{200}}
\]

where \(\Delta \cdot C \cdot G \cdot (2 \theta^\circ_{hkl}) = (2 \theta^\circ_{C, G} - \theta^\circ_{max})_{hkl}\), \(2 \theta^\circ_{hkl}\) values correspond to the peak maximum, \(2 \theta^\circ_{C, G}\) are the centers of gravity of the point-counted profiles, and \(2 \theta^\circ_{max}\) have been determined from the chart-recorded profiles using the midpoint chord extrapolation method (33). The calculated value of β of the rapidly heated sample is about 1.37%, meaning one twin in every 73 (111) planes. The β value of the as-prepared sample is about 0.15%, i.e., one twin in every 667 (111) planes. Such results manifest that twin density in the rapidly heated sample is notable higher than that in the as-prepared sample.

**Nanohardness measurements**

Nanohardness tests of the samples were performed on a Hysitron Triboindenter. Measurement conditions include a preset load of 3000 μN, a loading and unloading rate of 600 μN/s, and a holding time of 2 s. Nanohardness values were averaged with at least 10 indentations. The spacing between two neighboring indents is above 15 μm to avoid the overlapping effect.

**SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIALS**

Supplementary material for this article is available at http://advances.sciencemag.org/cgi/content/full/6/17/eaaz8003/DC1
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