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Wafer-Scale Synthesis and Optical Characterization of InP Nanowire Arrays for Solar Cells

Lukas Hrachowina, Nicklas Anttu, and Magnus T. Borgström*

ABSTRACT: Nanowire solar cells have the potential to reach the same efficiencies as the world-record III–V solar cells while using a fraction of the material. For solar energy harvesting, large-area nanowire solar cells have to be processed. In this work, we demonstrate the synthesis of epitaxial InP nanowire arrays on a 2 inch wafer. We define five array areas with different nanowire diameters on the same wafer. We use a photoluminescence mapper to characterize the sample optically and compare it to a homogeneously exposed reference wafer. Both steady-state and time-resolved photoluminescence maps are used to study the material’s quality. From a mapping of reflectance spectra, we simultaneously extract the diameter and length of the nanowires over the full wafer. The extracted knowledge of large-scale nanowire synthesis will be crucial for the upscaling of nanowire-based solar cells, and the demonstrated wafer-scale characterization methods will be central for quality control during manufacturing.
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INTRODUCTION

Nanowires (NWs) are promising candidates for future solar cells.1–5 They hold the potential to reach the high efficiencies of world-record III–V semiconductor technology while using only about 10% of the material.4,5 Furthermore, NWs have unique advantages, such as the possibility to be integrated in polymers6–9 to create flexible solar cells with high efficiencies. Due to their geometry, NWs are radiation hard, which makes them ideal candidates for space applications.10,11 So far, the highest NW solar cell efficiencies have been reached by top-down processing12 which does not take full advantage of the possibilities that NWs enable, and bottom-up synthesis of epitaxial NW arrays.13,14 Recently, there have been efforts to understand the efficiency-limiting factors of NW solar cells using electron-beam-induced current (EBIC) measurements—among other techniques such as cathodoluminescence15, scanning photocurrent microscopy,16 and conductive-probe atomic force microscopy17—both on processed devices18–21 and on single NWs.22–26 However, to become a competitive photovoltaic technology, large-area NW solar cells are needed. Wafer-scale patterning necessary for NW synthesis has been shown by the use of nanoimprint lithography (NIL)27 and displacement Talbot lithography (DTL).28 Here, we demonstrate that wafer-scale synthesis of epitaxial InP NW arrays is feasible. In this work, we have used DTL to pattern 2 inch InP wafers. On one wafer, Sample 1, we defined five regions with different exposure doses to create NW arrays with different diameters. The other wafer, Sample 2, was patterned homogeneously. In order to characterize the material’s quality of both samples, we measured photoluminescence (PL) and time-resolved photoluminescence (TRPL) with a PL mapper. The most direct method to measure the length of epitaxial NWs is cross-sectional scanning electron microscopy (SEM) imaging, for which the sample has to be cleaved. Therefore, NW arrays are often imaged by use of a tilted stage, which increases the uncertainty in the measurement. In addition, only small areas with high magnification can be characterized by the use of SEM, and it would be too time-consuming to investigate a full wafer. In situ reflectivity is a convenient method to control the NW length during synthesis,29 but it gives information on a small central area of only about 1 mm². By the creation of a simulated database that describes the reflectivity of NWs with a given diameter (D) and length (L), it is possible to extract those parameters from ex situ reflectivity measurements. This method has been used to measure the homogeneity across a small NW-array sample by time-consuming manual movement of the measurement spot over a 2 mm long line across the sample.30 Here, we use a PL mapper equipped with a white light source to automatically measure several thousand reflectivity spectra and utilize such a
RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Figure 1 shows SEM images of the patterned wafer, Sample 1, after development of a polydimethylglutarimide (PMGI) resist exposed with 4.5 J/cm² almost merge together (Figure 1e). At 4.0 and 4.5 J/cm² (Figure 1d,e) second-order diffraction effects can be observed between the intended exposures but these are not transferred to the substrate after gold evaporation and liftoff. The hole size after DTL exposure and development is difficult to measure because the resist is affected by the electron beam of the SEM. It is more precise to measure the diameter of the gold particles after evaporation and liftoff. However, for NWs synthesized by the vapor–liquid–solid (VLS) mechanism,23 it has to be considered that the NW diameter is determined by the interface area between the liquid seed particle and the substrate, which is dependent on the contact angle and the temperature-dependent solubility of the growth species in the seed particle.

In order to measure the homogeneity of the NW dimensions, we used a PL mapper equipped with a white light source and measured reflectance spectra of the NW arrays. Here, we performed the extraction of $D$ and $L$ similarly as in ref 30. That is, we created a database for modeled $R(\lambda, D, L)$ for varying wavelength ($\lambda$), $D$, and $L$ at a fixed period of 500 nm for the hexagonal array of nanowires. We varied $D$ in the range from 0 to 500 nm in steps of 1 nm, $L$ in the range from 0 to 10000 nm in steps of 1 nm, and $\lambda_{\text{mod}}$ in the range from 400 to 750 nm in steps of 5 nm. We chose this upper limit for $\lambda_{\text{mod}}$ since at longer wavelengths the reflectance from the metal particle becomes more crucial for the optical response of the array. The lower limit of 400 nm is given by the lower limit of the measurements. Due to conveniently available tabulated refractive index values,32 we modeled the metal as pure Au, whereas in reality it has formed a Au–In alloy. For the refractive index of InP, we used values from Glembocki and Piller.33

The modeling was done using the Fourier modal method,34 and the Au particle was assumed to be a hemisphere on top of the InP nanowires, which was modeled having a circular cross section. In the modeling, we assumed that the light is incident at a normal angle, since the measurements were performed with an objective of small numerical aperture (NA).

For the extraction of $D$ and $L$ from the measured spectrum $R_{\text{meas}}(\lambda)$ at a given position on the wafer, we calculated $s(D, L) = \sum (R_{\text{meas}}(\lambda_i) - R_{\text{mod}}(\lambda_i, D, L))^2$, the sum of the square deviations between measured and modeled data, with $\lambda_i$ being the modeled wavelengths. In this calculation of $s(D, L)$, we smoothed $R_{\text{meas}}$ over five measurement points, that is, over 2.8 nm in wavelength, and interpolated the smoothed $R_{\text{meas}}$ onto the modeled wavelengths $\lambda_i$. We assigned those values that minimize this $s(D, L)$ as extracted $D$ and $L$. The model accurately fits the data obtained by mapping Samples 1 and 2, including the five separate areas on Sample 1 (Figure 2).

For Sample 1 we observe, as expected, a continuously increasing extracted $D$ for increased DTL exposure doses (Figure 2a) and, similarly, a decreasing extracted $L$ (Figure 2d). This wafer mapping demonstrates both homogeneous $D$ and $L$ within each of these five areas.

Figure 2b,e shows the extracted $D$ and $L$ maps of Sample 2, respectively. From a line scan through the center of the wafer, we find a homogeneous $D$ of 200 nm, except for the last 2 mm at the edge of the wafer, where the diameter drops by 10 nm (Figure 2c). In contrast, $L$ peaks at the center of the wafer with a value of 2270 nm and drops to 2160 nm at approximately 15 mm from the center, after which it starts increasing rapidly toward the edge of the wafer (Figure 2f). Similar gradients in $L$ were confirmed by SEM measurements (not shown).
In Figure 2g−l we show the measured and best-matching modeled reflectances for the different DTL exposure doses of Sample 1 and the center of Sample 2. For all these points, we find that $R_{\text{exp}}$ and $R_{\text{mod}}$ corresponded well to each other in both spectral shape as well as in absolute value. Furthermore, the spectra between different positions vary noticeably, depending on both $D$ and $L$, which allows for the simultaneous extraction of $D$ and $L$.30

In order to verify the lengths and diameters obtained from the above optical extraction, we characterized the nanowire length at the cross section of Sample 1 using SEM. Simultaneously, we measured the EBIC currents of at least five NWs from each of the different regions. The growth conditions had been optimized for 9 × 11 mm$^2$ substrates and an NW diameter of 200 nm. As seen from the EBIC measurements (Figure 3), the doping incorporation was not affected strongly by the substrate size or by the NW diameter. All across Sample 1 similar EBIC profiles were measured, although the highest currents were measured for 3.5 and 4.0 mJ/cm$^2$. This proves that all measured NWs, regardless of $D$ and $L$, have a well-defined p−i−n junction suitable for further processing to NW solar cells.

Table 1 gives $D$ and $L$ values of Sample 1 both extracted from reflectance maps (Figure 2g−k) and measured in the SEM: that is, from Figure 3. We find excellent agreement between the two measuring methods. The cross-sectional SEM was performed on a central horizontal line of the wafer, using several NWs of five different vertical positions. For the optical
extraction, on the other hand, we analyzed the whole areas of the regions with different DTL exposures, excluding the outermost 1 mm. In Figure S1 we plot the extracted $D$ against the extracted $L$ on the basis of these 2939 measurement points. Note that we did not cleave the full wafer Sample 2 for accurate side-view SEM measurements, since it was used for later processing. Instead, on the basis of the verification of the extraction of $D$ and $L$ on Sample 1 with the optical method, we rely on this optical extraction for Sample 2.

In order to measure the homogeneity of the material’s quality, we measured PL spectra over the samples in steps of 0.2 mm. The peak maxima and positions of the resulting PL maps are shown in Figure 4. Sample 1 demonstrates two effects. First, the PL intensity shows radial symmetry and peaks at the center of the wafer. Second, we notice an increased intensity not only toward the edge of the wafer but also toward the edges of the lithography-defined areas. Both effects complicate a direct comparison between the different areas, but the areas with higher DTL doses show higher PL intensities in comparison to the areas with lower DTL doses. Sample 2 exhibits a similar intensity distribution, but without the additional edge effect from lithography.

The peak position does not show such a pronounced radial dependence and is more homogeneous over the area of the wafer. Toward the edge of the wafer, but not toward lithography-defined edges, we measure a higher band gap. To explain this band gap variation, we note that InP NWs are known to be polymorphic and occur in both zincblende and wurtzite crystal structures, with band gaps at room temperature corresponding to 1.35 and 1.42 eV, respectively:35 that is, 918 and 873 nm in corresponding photon wavelength. Furthermore, the top n segment tends to be more wurtzite than p-doped InP nanowires, for which it has been shown that Zn doping leads to a zincblende structure.36 Additionally, most of the light absorption occurs at the tip of the NWs.37 Therefore, we explain the blue-shifted peak position toward the edge of the wafer with an increase in NW length toward the edge, which is expected to increase the length of the n segment as well. Thus we expect, due to the longer n segment, relatively stronger absorption in the n segment than in the p segment toward the edge of the wafer and therefore relatively stronger wurtzite-type, blue-shifted PL at the edge of the wafer.

Additionally, Sample 1 shows that the blue shift in band gap is dependent on the NW diameter and is more prominent for thinner NWs. As the reflectance measurements only yield the total NW lengths, we used the EBIC measurements to estimate the lengths of the p, i, and n segments at the center of each region, as shown in Figure S2. The n segments of the NWs with the thinnest diameter are slightly longer than the n segments of the other NWs, but not long enough to explain the

| DTL dose (mJ/cm²) | $D$ (nm) from $R_{exp}$ | $L$ (nm) from $R_{exp}$ | $D$ (nm) from SEM | $L$ (nm) from SEM |
|------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|------------------|------------------|
| 2.5              | 140.3 ± 6.4             | 3814 ± 271              | 134 ± 5          | 3580 ± 96       |
| 3.0              | 157.8 ± 3.3             | 3028 ± 139              | 164 ± 5          | 2958 ± 27       |
| 3.5              | 179.9 ± 3.7             | 2665 ± 267              | 182 ± 4          | 2707 ± 15       |
| 4.0              | 193.5 ± 3.2             | 2367 ± 133              | 196 ± 3          | 2412 ± 13       |
| 4.5              | 201.6 ± 1.6             | 2167 ± 57               | 199 ± 4          | 2089 ± 16       |

aSee Figure 2g–l for the corresponding reflectance spectra.

Figure 4. Photoluminescence maps of wafers with varied (a, b) and homogeneous (c, d) DTL doses. (a) and (c) show the highest measured intensity of every pixel. (b) and (d) show the peak position of the measured PL.
blue shift of the whole region. However, we note that a D value of 140 nm is actually optimized to absorb wavelengths from 600 to 650 nm.38 Therefore, we modeled the diameter-dependent axial absorption profiles of the 632 nm excitation laser, shown in Figure S3. Using the estimated segment lengths from the EBIC measurements, we calculated the absorption of the different segments of the p–i–n NWs. Due to the strong absorption of the laser wavelength, the relative absorption in the n segment in comparison to the p segment, for NWs with a D value of 140 nm, is about 100 times higher than for the thicker NWs, as can be seen in Table 2. Thus, for the smallest diameter region, we expect a much stronger relative photogeneration into the n segment in comparison to the p segment and hence more pronounced wurtzite type, blue-shifted photoluminescence.

Furthermore, we have measured TRPL maps of both samples. Due to the increased measurement time, a larger step size of 1 mm was used to give a feasible time for the full mapping. Also with this larger step, the different areas of Sample 1 are clearly distinguishable. We used a biexponential decay to fit the TRPL kinetics. The decay curves consist of a fast initial decay with a short charge carrier lifetime \( \tau_1 \) followed by a second decay with a longer charge carrier lifetime \( \tau_2 \). We did not observe significant differences over the sample area in the first initial decay. In order to illustrate the TRPL maps, Figure 5 shows the second, longer, charge carrier lifetime \( \tau_2 \) for every measured decay curve.

The decay times of the TRPL kinetics show a trend similar to that in the PL intensity maps, with a longer \( \tau_2 \) correlating with a higher PL intensity. Thus, (i) the carrier lifetime shows radial dependence that peaks toward the center of the wafer, (ii) the carrier lifetime increases toward the edges on both samples, and (iii) although the radial gradient dominates on Sample 1, we notice that the NWs with the largest diameter have a longer carrier lifetime than the NWs with the smallest diameter. Astonishingly, we measure carrier lifetimes that are 10 times longer than those of similar NW arrays with a sample size of 9 \( \times \) 11 mm\(^2\) that were homogeneously doped instead of having p–i–n junctions.26 Long carrier lifetimes have been reported in mixed-phase InP NWs,39,40 and it has been shown that the type II band offset between zincblende and wurtzite InP can increase recombination lifetimes.41 However, we have studied similar NWs using transmission electron microscopy and observed mixed crystal phases even for homogeneously doped NWs;42 consequently, it is not obvious that the type II band offset is the cause for the increase in the carrier lifetimes of the NWs discussed in this paper. Another beneficial factor for the lifetime is definitely the size of these samples, as the center of samples that are 9 \( \times \) 11 mm\(^2\) in size corresponds to an edge distance of 5 mm on the 2 inch wafer and we see here that \( \tau_2 \) increases continuously toward the center of the 2 inch wafer. However, just such a sample-size effect does not explain the full increase in carrier lifetimes, and therefore the p–i–n junction clearly increases the carrier lifetime, presumably by separating charge carriers along the NW axis. This is expected to increase the carrier lifetime, since the separated excess carriers can then recombine only by diffusing back to the junction region with a very low concentration of electrons and holes. A quantitative analysis of the recombination in TRPL experiments has been shown with three-dimensional numerical simulations,43 but the model has yet to be extended to take p–i–n junctions into account.

### CONCLUSION

In conclusion, we have synthesized InP NW arrays on 2 inch wafers, which is crucial to fabricate solar cells with areas on the order of cm\(^2\), characterized them by optical methods, and compared the results to modeling results. We have studied the homogeneity of the material’s quality across the wafer by measuring PL and TRPL maps. Furthermore, the NW diameter and length could be obtained by fitting reflectivity spectra to a calculated database. Cross-sectional SEM showed an excellent agreement with the dimensions obtained by these optical measurements. Finally, we measured EBIC on NWs from different areas of the wafers and showed that the dopants are homogeneously incorporated. This work paves the way for the fabrication of NW solar cells with an area of up to 2 inch wafers, and the methods we have used are applicable to 4 inch or even larger wafers.

![Figure 5](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.nanolett.1c02542)

Figure 5. Charge carrier lifetime maps of the TRPL kinetics of Sample 1 (a) and Sample 2 (b). Representative TRPL kinetic from the center of Sample 2 (c).
ASSOCIATED CONTENT

Supporting Information
The Supporting Information is available free of charge at https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.nanolett.1c02542.

Experimental methods, statistics of optically extracted NW dimensions of Sample 1, extracted EBIC profiles of Sample 1, and diameter-dependent axial absorption profiles for the NWs from Sample 1 (PDF).

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author
Magnus T. Borgström – NanoLund and Division of Solid State Physics, Lund University, 221 00 Lund, Sweden;
orcid.org/0000-0001-8061-0746;
Email: magnus.borgstrom@ftf.lth.se

Authors
Lukas Hrachowina – NanoLund and Division of Solid State Physics, Lund University, 221 00 Lund, Sweden;
orcid.org/0000-0002-3795-1585
Nicklas Anttu – Physics, Faculty of Science and Engineering, Åbo Akademi University, FI-20500 Turku, Finland;
Department of Electronics and Nanoengineering, Aalto University, FI-00076 Aalto, Finland

Complete contact information is available at: https://pubs.acs.org/10.1021/acs.nanolett.1c02542

Author Contributions
The manuscript was written through contributions of all authors. All authors have given approval to the final version of the manuscript.

Funding
This work was financially supported by NanoLund, Myfab, the Swedish Research Council, the Swedish Energy Agency, and the Knut and Alice Wallenberg Foundation.

Notes
The authors declare no competing financial interest.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We acknowledge the computational resources provided by the Aalto Science-IT project.

REFERENCES

(1) LaPierre, R. R.; et al. III-V Nanowire Photovoltaics: Review of Design for High Efficiency. Phys. Status Solidi RRL 2013, 7 (10), 815–830.
(2) Ottes, G.; Bergström, M. T. Towards High Efficiency Nanowire Solar Cells. Nano Today 2017, 12, 31–45.
(3) Barrigón, E.; et al. Synthesis and Applications of III-V Nanowires. Chem. Rev. 2019, 119 (15), 9170–9220.
(4) Wallentin, J.; et al. InP Nanowire Array Solar Cells Achieving 13.8% Efficiency by Exceeding the Ray Optics Limit. Science 2013, 339 (6123), 1057–60.
(5) Anttu, N. Shockley-Queisser Detailed Balance Efficiency Limit for Nanowire Solar Cells. ACS Photonics 2015, 2 (3), 446–453.
(6) Plass, K. E.; et al. Flexible Polymer-Embedded Si Wire Arrays. Adv. Mater. 2009, 21 (3), 325–328.
(7) Kelzenberg, M. D.; et al. Enhanced Absorption and Carrier Collection in Si Wire Arrays for Photovoltaic Applications. Nat. Mater. 2010, 9 (3), 239–244.
(8) Zhang, Y. W.; et al. Self-Limiting Polymer Exposure for Vertical Processing of Semiconductor Nanowire-Based Flexible Electronics. ACS Appl. Nano Mater. 2020, 3 (8), 7743–7749.
(9) Chen, Y. Semiconductor Nanowire Array for Transparent Photovoltaic Applications. Appl. Phys. Lett. 2021, 118 (19), 191107.
(10) Espinet-Gonzalez, P.; et al. Radiation Tolerant Nanowire Array Solar Cells. ACS Nano 2019, 13 (11), 12860–12869.
(11) Espinet-Gonzalez, P.; et al. Nanowire Solar Cells: A New Radiation Hard PV Technology for Space Applications. IEEE J. Photovolt. 2020, 10 (2), 502–507.
(12) van Dam, D.; et al. High-Efficiency Nanowire Solar Cells with Omnidirectionally Enhanced Absorption Due to Self-Aligned Indium-Tin-Oxide Mie Scatterers. ACS Nano 2016, 10 (12), 14114–14119.
(13) Åberg, L.; et al. A GaAs Nanowire Array Solar Cell With 15.3% Efficiency at 1 Sun. IEEE J. Photovolt. 2016, 6 (1), 185–190.
(14) Hrachowina, L.; et al. Development and Characterization of a bottom-up InP Nanowire Solar Cell with 16.7% Efficiency. 2020 47th IEEE Photovoltaic Specialists Conference (PVSC) 2020, 1754.
(15) Yao, M. Q.; et al. GaAs Nanowire Array Solar Cells with Axial p-n Junctions. Nano Lett. 2014, 14 (6), 3293–3303.
(16) Kelzenberg, M. D.; et al. Photovoltaic Measurements in Single-Nanowire Silicon Solar Cells. Nano Lett. 2008, 8 (2), 710–714.
(17) Mikulik, D.; et al. Conductive-probe Atomic Force Microscopy as a Characterization Tool for Nanowire-Based Solar Cells. Nano Energy 2017, 41, 566–572.
(18) Tchernycheva, M.; et al. Photovoltaic Properties of GaAsP Core–Shell Nanowires on Si(001) Substrate. Nanotechnology 2012, 23 (26), 265402.
(19) Tognolli, A. S.; et al. Core–Shell Heterojunction Solar Cells Based on Disordered Silicon Nanowire Arrays. J. Phys. Chem. C 2016, 120 (5), 2962–2972.
(20) Gao, Q.; et al. Axial p–n Junction Design and Characterization for InP Nanowire Array Solar Cells. Prog. Photovoltaiacs 2019, 27 (3), 237–244.
(21) Barrigón, E.; et al. Unravelling Processing Issues of Nanowire-Based Solar Cell Arrays by use of Electron Beam Induced Current Measurements. Nano Energy 2020, 71, 104575.
(22) Zhong, Z.; et al. Efficiency Enhancement of Axial Junction InP Single Nanowire Solar Cells by Dielectric Coating. Nano Energy 2016, 28, 106–114.
(23) Ottes, G.; et al. Understanding InP Nanowire Array Solar Cell Performance by Nanoprobe-Enabled Single Nanowire Measurements. Nano Lett. 2018, 18 (5), 3038–3046.
(24) Piazza, V. Nanoscale Analysis of Electrical Junctions in InGaP Nanowires Grown by Template-Assisted Selective Epitaxy. Appl. Phys. Lett. 2019, 114 (10), 103101.
(25) Barrigón, E.; Hrachowina, L.; Borgström, M. T. Light Current-Voltage Measurements of Single, As-Grown, Nanowire Solar Cells Standing Vertically on a Substrate. Nano Energy 2020, 78, 105191.
(26) Hrachowina, L.; et al. Imaging the Influence of Oxides on the Electrostatic Potential of Photovoltaic InP Nanowires. Nano Res. 2021, DOI: 10.1007/s12274-021-3344-9.
(27) Heidari, B.; Maximov, I.; Montelius, L. Nanoimprint Lithography at the 6 in. Wafer Scale. J. Vac. Sci. Technol., B: Microelectron. Process. Phenom. 2000, 18 (6), 3557–3560.
(28) Gomez, V. J.; et al. Wafer-Scale Nanofabrication of sub-100 nm Arrays by Deep-UV Displacement Talbot Lithography. Nanotechnology 2020, 31 (29), 295301.
(29) Heurlin, M.; et al. In Situ Characterization of Nanowire Dimensions and Growth dynamics by Optical Reflectance. Nano Lett. 2015, 15 (5), 3597–602.
(30) Anttu, N.; et al. Optical Far-Field Method with Subwavelength Accuracy for the Determination of Nanostructure Dimensions in Large-Area Samples. Nano Lett. 2013, 13 (6), 2662–7.
(31) Wagner, R. S.; Ellis, W. C. Vapor-Liquid-Solid Mechanism of Single Crystal Growth (New Method Growth Catalysis from Impurity Whisker Epitaxial + Large Crystals Si E). Appl. Phys. Lett. 1964, 4 (5), 89.
(32) Johnson, P. B.; Christy, R. W. Optical Constants of the Noble Metals. Phys. Rev. B 1972, 6 (12), 4370–4379.
(33) Glembocki, O. J.; Piller, H. Indium Phosphide (InP). In *Handbook of Optical Constants of Solids*, Palik, E., Ed.; Academic Press: 1985; pp 503–16.

(34) Anttu, N.; et al. Comparison of Absorption Simulation in Semiconductor Nanowire and Nanocone Arrays with the Fourier Modal Method, the Finite Element Method, and the Finite-Difference Time-Domain Method. *Nano Express* 2020, 1 (3), 030034.

(35) Zilli, A.; et al. Temperature Dependence of Interband Transitions in Wurtzite InP Nanowires. *ACS Nano* 2015, 9 (4), 4277–87.

(36) Algra, R. E.; et al. Twinning Superlattices in Indium Phosphide Nanowires. *Nature* 2008, 456 (7220), 369–72.

(37) Chen, Y.; et al. Optimization of the Short-Circuit Current in an InP Nanowire Array Solar Cell through Opto-Electronic Modeling. *Nanotechnology* 2016, 27 (43), 435404.

(38) Anttu, N.; et al. Absorption of Light in InP Nanowire Arrays. *Nano Res.* 2014, 7 (6), 816–823.

(39) Joyce, H. J.; et al. Ultralow Surface Recombination Velocity in InP Nanowires Probed by Terahertz Spectroscopy. *Nano Lett.* 2012, 12 (10), 5325–30.

(40) Joyce, H. J.; et al. Electronic Properties of GaAs, InAs and InP Nanowires Studied by Terahertz Spectroscopy. *Nanotechnology* 2013, 24 (21), 214006.

(41) Pemasiri, K.; et al. Carrier Dynamics and Quantum Confinement in type II ZB-WZ InP Nanowire Homostuctures. *Nano Lett.* 2009, 9 (2), 648–654.

(42) Lindelow, F.; et al. Doping Evaluation of InP Nanowires for Tandem Junction Solar Cells. *Nanotechnology* 2016, 27 (6), 065706.

(43) Ren, D. K. A Three-Dimensional Insight into Correlation between Carrier Lifetime and Surface Recombination Velocity for Nanowires. *Nanotechnology* 2018, 29 (50), 504003.