1. Introduction

Lithium-sulfur batteries (LSBs), with high theoretical energy density (2500 W h kg\(^{-1}\)) and capacity (1672 mA h g\(^{-1}\)), low cost, and nontoxicity, are attracting increasing attention as promising next-generation rechargeable batteries.\(^2\) The cathode material is the core part of LSBs. Therefore, in order to overcome the difficulties existing in LSBs and realize the commercialization of LSBs as so possible, the existing issues in the cathode materials must be solved first, mainly including the following three aspects. (I) Insulation properties of S and discharge end product Li\(_2\)S; (II) “Shuttle Effect” caused by the dissolution of intermediate polysulfide lithium in organic electrolyte; (III) the volume expansion problem (\(\sim\)80%) caused by S during charging and discharging.\(^3\) These reduce the utilization of active substances in LSBs, resulting in poor electrochemical performance (cycle life, multiplier performance, coulombic efficiency, etc.).

In the past, massive efforts have been carried out to address these obstacles to boost the performance of LSBs.\(^5\) Some novel cathode nanocomposites based on the carbon series are proposed, such as carbon nanofibers,\(^6\) carbon nanotubes,\(^6\) graphene/graphene oxides,\(^7\)\(^\text{a}\)\(^\text{b}\) mesoporous/microporous carbon,\(^7\)\(^\text{a}\)\(^\text{b}\) and core–shell carbon structures.\(^7\)\(^\text{a}\)\(^\text{b}\)\(^\text{c}\)\(^\text{d}\)\(^\text{e}\)\(^\text{f}\) As the host of the sulfur electrodes, although carbon-based cathode nanocomposites have presented a positive effect on improving the cycle life and sulfur loading content due to their high electrical conductivity, the existed weak interaction between polar polysulfide and non-polar carbon in LSBs still suffers from a low coulombic efficiency and poor long-term cycling performance. Recently, it can be found that polar metal oxide materials, such as Ti\(_3\)O\(_7\),\(^18\) TiO\(_2\),\(^19\) SiO\(_2\),\(^20\) Al\(_2\)O\(_3\) (ref. 21) and MnO\(_2\),\(^22\) can restrain the polysulfides shuttle by chemisorption and then provide higher efficiency and better long-term cycling stability due to their inherently hydrophilic surfaces.\(^23\) Nevertheless, most of them are either nanoparticles or nanosheets, thus cannot intrinsically store the polysulfides by the space limitation.\(^24\) Furthermore, the detailed mechanisms of chemical bonding between the polar materials and polysulfides are still controversial and unclear.

Considering that metal oxides generally have poor electrical conductivity, which is not conducive to improving coulomb efficiency, adding carbon-based conductive agents can solve this problem well. Herein, nickel oxide, as the polar metal oxide, can efficaciously retard volume change and store the polysulfides during charging and discharging;\(^25\) carbon nanotube, as the host of sulfur, has extremely high conductivity, hierarchical porous architecture, and low cost.\(^26\) In this case, NiO–carbon nanotube/sulfur (NiO–CNT/S) composites were fabricated by the hydrothermal and thermal treatments. The NiO–CNT/S composites combined the stronger absorbability of NiO and ultrahigh conductivity of CNT to improve the electrochemical performance for sulfur electrodes. The NiO–CNT/S electrode presents a preferable initial reversible discharge capacity (1072 mA h g\(^{-1}\)) and holds 609 mA h g\(^{-1}\) after 160 cycles discharged at 0.1C, the coulombic efficiencies achieved 99.4% after 100 cycles.

2. Experiment

All material sources are listed in Table S1.\(^\dagger\)

2.1 Preparation

Synthesis of NiO. In a typical synthesis, K\(_2\)C\(_6\)H\(_5\)O\(_7\) • H\(_2\)O (28 mg), NiSO\(_4\) • 6H\(_2\)O (140 mg), and CO(NH\(_2\))\(_2\) (35 mg) is dissolved in deionized water by ultrasonic, respectively. Then, the
NiSO₄·6H₂O and CO(NH₂)₂ solution are in sequence added into the K₂C₆H₄O₇·H₂O solution to obtain the nickel hydroxide precursors under constant stir. Subsequently, the pH value of precursor solution is adjusted into ~10 by using dilute ammonia solution. Finally, the mixture is transferred into a 100 mL Teflon-lined autoclave and remains at 180 °C for 3.5 h. Collecting the green powder by the centrifugation and washing with deionized water, and then dried at 70 °C for 8 h. The ultimate black product (NiO) is obtained by annealing at 320 °C for 2.5 h in the quartz tube.

**Synthesis of NiO–CNT composites.** Firstly, 200 mg CNT (carbon nanotubes) is dispersed in 50 mL deionized water using ultrasound to form suspension. The CNT suspension is added into the precursor of the nickel hydroxide and processed as NiO. Then, after the hydrothermal reaction at 180 °C for 200 min, the mixture is washed with deionized water until neutral. After drying at 70 °C, the solid is placed in a quartz tube and calcined for 2 h in an Ar atmosphere to obtain the NiO–CNT composites.

**Synthesis of CNT/S, NiO/S and NiO–CNT/S composites.** The CNT, NiO and NiO–CNT is ground with S in an agate mortar at a mass ratio of 2 : 3 for 30 min, respectively. The mixture is then placed into a 100 mL Teflon-lined autoclave filled with Ar. Finally, the solid is dried at 70 °C and annealed at 155 °C for 12 h to obtain the CNT/S, NiO/S and NiO–CNT/S composites, respectively. The whole preparation process can be illustrated in Fig. 1.

**Preparation of Li₂S₄ solution.** Li₂S₄ is prepared by subliming S and Li₂S powders (molar ratio of 3 : 1) are added into the THF solution. Then, the mixed solution is magnetically stirred until it is clarified at 80 °C. Finally, the Li₂S₄ solution (3 mg mL⁻¹) is obtained. The whole preparation process is completed in a glove box filled with Ar.

**2.2 Electrochemical measurements**

Cathode materials are prepared via pouring uniform slurry containing 5wt% styrene butadiene rubber (SBR), 5 wt% sodium carboxymethylcellulose (NaCMC), 10 wt% super P carbon black (TIMCAL), and 80 wt% active materials onto the aluminum foil. Subsequently, the obtained electrode films are dried at 65 °C for 10 h in vacuum oven, then punch holes into small circular disc (8 mm diameter). Considering S is about 58.7% of NiO–CNT/S, therefore the calculation results show that the areal sulfur loading in NiO–CNT/S cathode is 2.1 mg cm⁻². The 2032-type cell is assembled and sealed in the Ar-filled glovebox by employing lithium as the anode and Celgard 2300 as the membrane separator. The electrolyte contains LiNO₃ (1 wt%) and LiTFSI (1 mol L⁻¹) in the 1,2-dimethoxyethane and 1,3-dioxolane mixed solvent with a volume ratio of 1 : 1. The E/S (electrolyte/sulfur) ratio is 16 μL mg⁻¹, and these parameters are kept same in all the tests. Electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) and cyclic voltammetry (CV) tests are determined by using an electrochemical workstation (CHI760E, Chenhua, China). Galvanostatic charge/discharge tests are carried out with different rates by using Neware BTS-4000 battery tester.

**2.3 Characterization**

X-ray diffraction (XRD) of obtained samples are collected by an X-ray diffractometer (X’Pert PRO, PANalytical, Holland) with Cu Ka radiation. Raman spectra are recorded using a Raman spectrometer (XperRam200, Nanobase, Republic of Korea). The morphologies of the samples are collected using scanning electron microscopy (SEM; Sirion200, FEI, USA) equipped with energy disperse spectroscopy (EDS). Elemental compositions and chemical states are determined by X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS; ESCALAB 250XI, Thermo, USA). Thermogravimetry (TGA; TGA4000, PerkinElmer, USA) is conducted from 25 to 600 °C at a heating rate of 10 ºC min⁻¹ under N₂ atmosphere.

**3. Results and discussion**

XRD analysis of all samples is carried out to determine the phase composition of the composites, as shown in Fig. 2a. The NiO presents the diffraction characteristic peaks at 2θ = 37.1°, 43.1° and 62.6°, which correspond to the (111), (200) and (220) planes of face-centered cubic structure NiO (JCPDS 89-7130).²⁷ The CNT shows obvious characteristic diffraction peaks at 25.9° and 42.7°, corresponding to the (002) and (001) crystal planes, respectively. The characteristic peaks of CNT and NiO are obviously observed in the NiO–CNT composites, which indicates that the crystal structure of NiO did not change significantly and the structure of CNT was not damaged during the growth of NiO in the CNT-disperse solution. Additionally, because the characteristic peaks of these three composites overlap with the characteristic peaks of elemental S, the characteristic diffraction peaks of CNT and NiO are basically
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**Fig. 1** Diagram of the preparation process of NiO–CNT/S composite.
covered, so the characteristic peaks of CNT and NiO could hardly be observed after S perfusion.

Fig. 2b presents the Raman spectra of the CNT, NiO–CNT, CNT/S and NiO–CNT/S samples, which exhibits two distinct characteristic peaks in the interval of 1332–1348 cm$^{-1}$ and 1567–1584 cm$^{-1}$, which correspond to the D peak and G peak of CNT respectively, where D peak represents the defects of C atomic lattice in CNT and G peak represents the in-plane stretching vibration of C atomic sp$^2$ hybridization.$^{28}$ Their intensity ratios ($I_D/I_G$) are 1.22, 1.22, 1.25 and 1.29, respectively, with little change in ratio, indicating that the structure of CNT is not significantly changed and destroyed, which played a crucial role in improving the electrochemical performance of S cathode materials.

It can be seen that the morphology of NiO (Fig. 3a) presents a series of honeycomb balls caused by the combination of nanosheets. The microporous and mesoporous structure of NiO can provide a place for the partial loading of S by a certain physical adsorption effect.$^{29}$ In the NiO/S composite (Fig. 3b), the porous structure of NiO completely disappeared, and just the size of NiO/S was a little bigger than NiO because of S perfusion, indicating that the structure of NiO was not damaged after S perfusion. Fig. 3c shows the staggered arrangement of CNT with a diameter of about 50 nm. As shown in Fig. 3d, it is found that the crisscross CNT are completely wrapped by S after mixing sulfur by the melt-di ffusion method. The injection of S made the CNT intertwine with each other, and the ectotheca of the CNT is completely coated by S. If the high conductivity CNT is introduced at this point, not only the remaining S can be loaded, but also the overall conductivity of the positive pole of S can be enhanced. This synergistic effect may result in better electrochemical performance of NiO–CNT/S than NiO/S and CNT/S. The NiO–CNT/S composites (Fig. 3e) reveal that CNT enclosed by S completely, which is about 100 nm in diameter, and no excess sulfur particles on their surface. The energy-dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDS) elemental mapping (right side of Fig. 3e) is carried out to analyze the element distribution, which indicates that the sulfur is evenly distributed in the NiO–CNT/S composites. Furthermore, it also reveals the presence of

![Fig. 2](image-url)  (a) XRD analysis of NiO, CNT, NiO–CNT, NiO/S, CNT/S, NiO–CNT/S and S; (b) Raman spectra of CNT, NiO–CNT, CNT/S and NiO–CNT/S.

![Fig. 3](image-url)  SEM images of (a) NiO, (b) NiO/S composite, (c) CNT, (d) CNT/S composite, (e) NiO–CNT/S composite as well as the corresponding EDS element mappings of C, Ni, O and S.

![Fig. 4](image-url)  TGA curves of CNT, CNT/S, NiO–CNT/S samples.
carbon, oxygen, nickel, so that assuring the encapsulation of sulfur successfully.

Thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) is tested to determine the sulfur content in obtained samples. It reveals that the sulfur content of the CNT/S and CNT–NiO/S composite is 63.6% and 64.8%, respectively (Fig. 4). Such increasing of the sulfur content may be attributed to some interactions between NiO and sulfur.

The natural interactions between NiO and sulfur can be further analyzed using XPS. It can be seen the presence of Ni, C, O, S elements in NiO–CNT/S composite by their corresponding characteristic peaks and the presence of the S 2p and S 2s shows the successful recombination of S with NiO–CNT (Fig. 5). The high-resolution XPS spectrum of Ni 2p can be divided into three component peaks corresponding its Ni 2p3/2 (854.6 and 856.9 eV), Ni 2p1/2 (874.2 eV) and satellite peaks (861.8 and 880.2 eV) respectively. Among them, two bifurcation peaks at 854.6 and 856.9 eV (Ni 2p1/2) correspond to Ni2+ and Ni3+, respectively, although corresponding two independently coupled peaks overlap at 874.2 eV (Ni 2p3/2), which implied the existence of mixed valences (Ni2+ and Ni3+). After treating with Li2S4, the oxidation and reduction of Li2S4 in the NiO–CNT/S composite result from the redox couple of Ni2+/Ni3+, which contributes to controlling the polysulfide dissolution by the chemical adsorption. Additionally, two characteristic peaks of S 2p3/2 are found in 164.3 and 163.2 eV, which ascribed to the bridging S and terminal S, respectively. Meanwhile, the peak appeared in 170.0 eV can be explained into two parts, one is strong interaction between NiO and sulfur, the other one is high-valence sulfates of thiosulfate and polythionate.

Fig. 6a shows the typical cyclic voltammetry (CV) curves of the LSBs based on NiO–CNT/S cathodes to analyze the

![Fig. 5 XPS spectra of the NiO–CNT/S composite and high-resolution Ni 2p and S 2p (inset).](image)

![Fig. 6](image)
electrochemical performance, which are performed with a scan rate of 0.1 mV s\(^{-1}\) range from 1.7 to 2.8 V (vs. Li/Li\(^+\)). Two reduction peaks at around 2.27 V and 2.04 V in the CV of NiO–CNT/S composites are more noticeable, which implied that the reductions of S in the discharge process are carried out in several parts. High potential 2.27 V corresponds to the reduction of S\(_8\) into long-chain polysulfide lithium (Li\(_2\)S\(_x\)), and low potential 2.04 V corresponds to the further reduction of long-chain polysulfide lithium (Li\(_2\)S/Li\(_2\)S\(_x\)) insoluble in organic electrolyte. One oxidation peak corresponds to the oxidation of the long-chain polysulfides to the Li\(_2\)S\(_x\) & Li\(_2\)S. Fig. 6b presents the constant current charge–discharging curves, which indicates a two-voltage plateau in keeping with the CVs results. It can be observed that the initial specific capacity of NiO/S, CNT/S and NiO–CNT/S cathodes at 0.1C is 468, 955 and 1072 mA h g\(^{-1}\), respectively.

Fig. 6c displays the coulombic efficiency and cycling stability of the LSBs at 0.1C. Although the CNT/S cathode deliver a good initial discharge capacity (955 mA h g\(^{-1}\)), its discharge capacity attenuates to ~580 mA h g\(^{-1}\) after 10 cycles. A high capacity decay will occur in the absence of NiO, demonstrating the serious polysulfides shuttle. After 100 cycles, the discharge capacities of the NiO/S, CNT/S and NiO–CNT/S composites fades to 288, 358, 746 mA h g\(^{-1}\) with the capacity decline rate of 0.385%, 0.623%, 0.216% per cycle, respectively. Moreover, the NiO–CNT/S cathode exhibits stable cycle ability (609 mA h g\(^{-1}\)) over 160 cycles and presents an ultrahigh coulombic efficiency (~100%) and lower capacity decline in comparison to that of NiO/S and CNT/S. This proves once again that both CNT and NiO in NiO–CNT/S composites play a common role in promoting the electrochemical performance of S electrode. On the one hand, the high conductivity of CNT can shorten the ion transmission path in electrochemical reaction. On the other hand, the strong chemical bond between NiO and lithium polysulfide inhibits the dissolution of polysulfide ions and effectively alleviates the “shuttle effect”, resulting in reducing the loss of active substances.

### 4. Conclusion

In summary, a novel NiO–CNT/S composite was prepared as the cathode material of LSBs. The developed NiO–CNT/S composites present higher cycling stability, lower capacity decline and higher capacity retention in comparison with that of pure CNT and NiO. This may be attributed to that the S and lithium polysulfide are subjected to stronger acting force under the double adsorption of CNT and NiO (physical adsorption and chemical adsorption), which reduces the loss of active substances and enables NiO–CNT/S to have better electrochemical performance. This design idea offers a novel approach to further construct the low-cost, high-energy, long-life LSBs.
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