POLYADIC HOPF ALGEBRAS AND QUANTUM GROUPS
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ABSTRACT. This article continues the study of concrete algebra-like structures in our polyadic approach, where the arities of all operations are initially taken as arbitrary, but the relations between them, the arity shapes, are to be found from some natural conditions (“arity freedom principle”). In this way, generalized associative algebras, coassociative coalgebras, bialgebras and Hopf algebras are defined and investigated. They have many unusual features in comparison with the binary case. For instance, both the algebra and its underlying field can be zeroless and nonunital, the existence of the unit and counit is not obligatory, and the dimension of the algebra is not arbitrary, but “quantized”. The polyadic convolution product and bialgebra can be defined, and when the algebra and coalgebra have unequal arities, the polyadic version of the antipode, the querantipode, has different properties. As a possible application to quantum group theory, we introduce the polyadic version of braidings, almost co-commutativity, quasitriangularity and the equations for the $R$-matrix (which can be treated as a polyadic analog of the Yang-Baxter equation). Finally, we propose another concept of deformation which is governed not by the twist map, but by the medial map, where only the latter is unique in the polyadic case. We present the corresponding braidings, almost co-mediality and $M$-matrix, for which the compatibility equations are found.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Since Hopf algebras were introduced in connection with algebraic topology [Sweedler 1969], [Abe 1980], their role has increased significantly (see, e.g., [Radford 2012]), with numerous applications in diverse areas, especially in relation to quantum groups [Drinfeld 1987], [Sniider and Sternberg 1993], [Charl and Pressley 1996], [Kassel 1995], [Majid 1995]. There have been many generalizations of Hopf algebras (for a brief review, see, e.g., [Karaali 2008]).

From another perspective, the concepts of polyadic vector space, polyadic algebras and polyadic tensor product over general polyadic fields were introduced in [Duili 2019]. They differ from the standard definitions of $n$-ary algebras [De Azcarraga and Izquierdo 2010], [Michor and Vinogradov 1996], [Goze and Rausch de Traubenberg 2009] in considering an arbitrary arity shape for all operations, and not the algebra multiplication alone. This means that the arities of addition in the algebra, the multiplication and addition in the underlying field can all be different from binary and the number of places in the multiactio (polyadic module) can be more than one [Duili 2018a]. The connection between arities is determined by their arity shapes [Duili 2019] (“arity freedom principle”). Note that our approach is somewhat different from the operad approach (see, e.g., [Markl et al. 2002], [Loday and Vallette 2012]).

Here we propose a similar and consequent polyadic generalization of Hopf algebras. First, we define polyadic coalgebras and study their homomorphisms and tensor products. In the construction of the polyadic convolution product and bialgebras we propose considering different arities for the algebra and coalgebra, which is a crucial difference from the binary case. Instead of the antipode, we introduce its polyadic version, the querantipode, by analogy with the querelement in $n$-ary groups [Dörnke 1929]. We then consider polyadic analogs of braidings, almost co-commutativity and the $R$-matrix, together with the quasitriangularity equations. This description is not unique, as with the polyadic analog of the twist map, while the medial map is unique for all arities. Therefore, a new (unique) concept of deformation is proposed: almost co-mediality with the corresponding $M$-matrix. The medial analogs of braidings and quasitriangularity are introduced, and the equations for $M$-matrix are obtained.
2. POLYADIC FIELDS AND VECTOR SPACES

Let \( k = k^{(m_k, n_k)} = \left\langle K \mid \nu^{(m_k)}_k, \mu^{(n_k)}_k \right\rangle \) be a polyadic or \((m_k, n_k)\)-ary field with \(n_k\)-ary multiplication \( \mu^{(n_k)}_k: K^{n_k} \to K \) and \(m_k\)-ary addition \( \nu^{(m_k)}_k: K^{m_k} \to K \) which are (polyadically) associative and distributive, such that \( \left\langle K \mid \mu^{(n_k)}_k \right\rangle \) and \( \left\langle K \mid \nu^{(m_k)}_k \right\rangle \) are both commutative polyadic groups [Crombez 1972, Leeson and Butson 1980]. This means that \( \mu^{(n_k)}_k = \mu^{(n_k)}_k \circ \tau_{n_k} \) and \( \nu^{(m_k)}_k = \nu^{(m_k)}_k \circ \tau_{m_k} \), where \( \tau_{n_k} \in S_{n_k} \), \( \tau_{m_k} \in S_{m_k} \), and \( S_{n_k}, S_{m_k} \) are the symmetry permutation groups. A polyadic field \( k^{(m_k, n_k)} \) is derived, if \( \mu^{(n_k)}_k \) and \( \nu^{(m_k)}_k \) are iterations of the corresponding binary operations: ordinary multiplication and addition. The polyadic fields considered in Leeson and Butson [1980] were derived. The simplest example of a nonderived \((2, 3)\)-ary field is \( k^{(2,3)} = \mathbb{R} \), and of a nonderived \((3, 3)\)-ary field is \( k^{(3,3)} = \mathbb{Q} \), where \( p, q \in \mathbb{Z}^{odd} (i^2 = -1) \), and the operations are in \( \mathbb{C} \). Polyadic analogs of prime Galois fields including nonderived ones were presented in Duplij [2017].

Recall that a polyadic zero \( e \) in any \( \left\langle X \mid \nu^{(m)} \right\rangle \) (with \( \nu^{(m)} \) being an addition-like operation) is defined (if it exists) by

\[
\nu^{(m)} \left[ \hat{e}, z \right] = z, \quad \forall \hat{e} \in X^{m-1},
\]

where \( z \) can be on any place, and \( x \) is any polyad of length \( m - 1 \) (as a sequence of elements) in \( X \). A polyadic unit in any \( \left\langle X \mid \mu^{(n)} \right\rangle \) (with \( \mu \) being a multiplication-like operation) is an \( e \in X \) (if it exists) such that

\[
\mu^{(n)} \left[ e^{n-1}, x \right] = x, \quad \forall x \in X,
\]

where \( x \) can be on any place, and the repeated entries in a polyad are denoted by a power \( x^n = \underbrace{x \cdots x}_{n} \). It follows from (2.2), that for \( n \geq 3 \) the polyad \( e \) can play the role of a unit, and is called a neutral sequence [Usan 2003]

\[
\mu^{(n)} \left[ \hat{e}, x \right] = x, \quad \forall x \in X, \quad \hat{e} \in X^{n-1}.
\]

This is a crucial difference from the binary case, as the neutral sequence \( \hat{e} \) can (possibly) be nonunique.

The nonderived polyadic fields obey unusual properties: they can have several (polyadic) units or no units at all (nonunital, as in \( k^{(2,3)} \) and \( k^{(3,3)} \) above), no (polyadic) zeros (zeroless, as \( k^{(3,3)} \) above), or they can consist of units only (for some examples, see [Duplij and Werner 2015, Duplij 2017]). This may lead, in general, to new features of the algebraic structures using the polyadic fields as the underlying fields (e.g. scalars for vector spaces, etc.) [Duplij 2019].

Moreover, polyadic invertibility is not connected with units, but is governed by the special element, analogous to an inverse, the so called quearelement \( \bar{x} \), which for any \( \left\langle X \mid \mu^{(n)} \right\rangle \) is defined by [Dörnte 1929]

\[
\mu^{(n)} \left[ x^{n-1}, \bar{x} \right] = x, \quad \forall x \in X,
\]

where \( \bar{x} \) can be on any place (instead of the binary inverse “\( x \cdot x^{-1} = e \)”). An element \( x \in X \) for which (2.4) has a solution under \( \bar{x} \) is called queerable or “polyadically invertible”. If all elements in \( X \) are queerable, and the operation \( \mu^{(n)} \) is polyadically associative, then \( \left\langle X \mid \mu^{(n)} \right\rangle \) is a \( n \)-ary group. Polyadic associativity in \( \left\langle X \mid \mu^{(n)} \right\rangle \) can be defined as a kind of invariance relationship [Duplij 2018a]

\[
\mu^{(n)} \left[ \hat{x}, \mu^{(n)} \left[ y \right], \hat{z} \right] = \text{invariant},
\]
where \( \hat{x}, \hat{y}, \hat{z} \) are polyads of the needed size in \( X \), and \( \mu^{(n)}[\hat{g}] \) can be on any place, and we therefore will not use additional brackets. Using polyadic associativity \([2.5]\) we introduce \( \ell \)-iterated multiplication by

\[
(\mu^{(n)})^\ell[\hat{x}] = \mu^{(n)}[\mu^{(n)}[\ldots \mu^{(n)}[\hat{x}]]], \quad \hat{x} \in X^{\ell(n-1)+1},
\]

where \( \ell \) is “number of multiplications”. Therefore, the admissible length of any \( n \)-ary word is not arbitrary, as in the binary \( n = 2 \) case, but fixed (“quantized”) to \( \ell(n - 1) + 1 \).

**Example 2.1.** Consider the nonunital zeroless polyadic field \( k^{(3,3)} = \{ip/q\} \), \( i^2 = -1 \), \( p,q \in \mathbb{Z}^{od} \) (from the example above). Both the ternary addition \( \nu^{(3)}[x,y,t] = x + y + t \) and the ternary multiplication \( \mu^{(3)}[x,y,t] = xyt \) are nonderived, ternary associative and distributive. For each \( x = ip/q \) \((p,q \in \mathbb{Z}^{od})\) the additive querelement (denoted by a wave, a ternary analog of an inverse element with respect to addition) is \( \hat{x} = -ip/p' \), and the multiplicative querelement is \( \hat{x} = -iq/p \) (see \([2.4]\)). Therefore, both \( \langle \{ip/q \} | \mu^{(3)} \rangle \) and \( \langle \{ip/q \} | \nu^{(3)} \rangle \) are ternary groups (as it should be for a \((3,3)\)-field), but they contain no neutral elements (unit or zero).

The polyadic analogs of vector spaces and tensor products were introduced in [DUIJLJ [2019]]. Briefly, consider a set \( V \) of “polyadic vectors” with the addition-like \( m_v \)-ary operation \( \nu^{(m_v)}_v \), such that \( \langle V | \nu^{(m_v)}_v \rangle \) is a commutative \( m_v \)-ary group. The key differences from the binary case are: 1) The zero vector \( z_v \) does not necessarily exist (see the above example for \( k^{(3,3)} \) field); 2) The role of a negative vector is played by the additive querelement \( \bar{v} \) in \( \langle V | \nu^{(m_v)}_v \rangle \) (which does not imply the existence of \( z_v \)). A polyadic analog of the binary multiplication by a scalar \((\lambda v)\) is the multiaction \( \rho^{(r_v)} \) introduced in [DUIJLJ [2018a]]

\[
\rho^{(r_v)}_v : K^{r_v} \times V \to V.
\]

If the unit \( e_k \) exists in \( k^{(m_v,k_v)} \), then the multiaction can be normalized (analog of “\( 1v = v \)”) by

\[
\rho^{(r_v)}_v(e^{\nu^{(m_v)}}_k | v) = v, \quad v \in V.
\]

Under the composition \( \circ_{\nu^{(m_v)}} \) (given by the arity changing formula [DUIJLJ [2018a]], the set of multiactions form a \( n_{\rho} \)-ary semigroup \( S^{(n_{\rho})}_\rho \) of \( \left\{ \rho^{(r_v)}_v \right\} \circ_{\rho^{(n_{\rho})}} \). Its arity is less or equal than \( n_k \) and depends on one integer parameter (the number of intact elements in the composition), which is less than \( (r_v - 1) \) (for details see [DUIJLJ [2019]]).

A polyadic vector space over the polyadic field \( k^{(m_k,k_k)} \) is

\[
V = \mathcal{V}^{(m_v;m_k,k_k;r_v)} = \langle V, K | \nu^{(m_v)}_V, \nu^{(m_k)}_k, \nu^{(k_k)}_v, \rho^{(r_v)}_v \rangle,
\]

where \( \langle V | \nu^{(m_v)}_V \rangle \) is a commutative \( m_v \)-ary group, \( \langle K | \nu^{(m_k)}_k, \nu^{(k_k)}_v \rangle \) is a polyadic field, \( \left\{ \rho^{(r_v)}_v \right\} \circ_{\rho^{(n_{\rho})}} \) is a \( n_{\rho} \)-ary semigroup, the multiaction \( \rho^{(r_v)}_v \) is distributive with respect to the polyadic additions \( \nu^{(m_v)}_V, \nu^{(m_k)}_k \) and compatible with \( \nu^{(k_k)}_v \) (see (2.15), (2.16), and (2.9) in [DUIJLJ [2019]]). If instead of the underlying field, we consider a ring, then (2.9) define a polyadic module together with (2.7). The dimension \( d_v \) of a polyadic vector space is the number of elements in its polyadic basis, and we denote it \( V_{d_v} = \mathcal{V}^{(m_v;m_k,k_k;r_v)}_{d_v} \). The polyadic direct sum and polyadic tensor product of polyadic vector spaces were constructed in [DUIJLJ [2019]] (see (3.25) and (3.39) there). They have an unusual peculiarity (which is not possible in the binary case): the polyadic vector spaces of different arities can be added and multiplied. The polyadic tensor product is “k-linear” in
the usual sense, only instead of “multiplication by scalar” one uses the multiaction \( \rho^{(r_a)}_A \) (see [DUPLI 2019] for details). Because of associativity, we will use the binary-like notation for polyadic tensor products (implying \( \otimes = \otimes_k \)) and powers of them (for instance, \( x \otimes x \otimes \ldots \otimes x = x^{\otimes n} \)) to be clearer in computations and as customary in diagrams.

### 3. Polyadic Associative Algebras

Here we introduce operations on elements of a polyadic vector space, which leads to the notion of a polyadic algebra.

#### 3.1. “Elementwise” description

Here we formulate the polyadic algebras in terms of sets and operations written in a manifest form. The arities will be initially taken as arbitrary, but then relations between them will follow from compatibility conditions (as in [DUPLI 2019]).

**Definition 3.1.** A polyadic (associative) algebra (or \( \mathbb{k} \)-algebra) is a tuple consisting of 2 sets and 5 operations

\[
A = A^{(m_a, n_a; m_k, n_k; r_a)} = \left\{ A, K \mid \nu^{(m_a)}_A, \mu^{(n_a)}_A, \nu^{(m_k)}_k, \mu^{(n_k)}_k, \rho^{(r_a)}_A \right\},
\]

where:

1) \( \mathbb{k}^{(m_k, n_k)} = \left\{ K \mid \nu^{(m_k)}_k, \mu^{(n_k)}_k \right\} \) is a polyadic field with the \( m_k \)-ary field (scalar) addition \( \nu^{(m_k)}_k : K^{m_k} \to K \) and \( n_k \)-ary field (scalar) multiplication \( \mu^{(n_k)}_k : K^{n_k} \to K \);

2) \( A_{\text{vect}} = A^{(m_a, n_a; m_k, n_k; r_a)} = \left\{ A, K \mid \nu^{(m_a)}_A, \nu^{(m_k)}_k, \mu^{(n_k)}_k, \rho^{(r_a)}_A \right\} \)

is a polyadic vector space with the \( m_a \)-ary vector addition \( \nu^{(m_a)}_A : A^{m_a} \to A \) and the \( r_a \)-place multiaction \( \rho^{(r_a)}_A : K^{r_a} \times A \to A \);

3) The map \( \mu^{(n_a)}_A : A^{n_a} \to A \) is a \( \mathbb{k} \)-linear map (“vector multiplication”) satisfying total associativity

\[
\mu^{(n_a)}_A \left( \hat{a}, \mu^{(n_a)}_A \left( \tilde{b}, \hat{c} \right) \right) = \text{invariant},
\]

where the second product \( \mu^{(n_a)}_A \) can be on any place in brackets and \( \hat{a}, \tilde{b}, \hat{c} \) are polyads;

4) The multiaction \( \rho^{(r_a)}_A \) is compatible with vector and field operations \( \left( \nu^{(m_a)}_A, \mu^{(n_a)}_A, \nu^{(m_k)}_k, \mu^{(n_k)}_k, \rho^{(r_a)}_A \right) \).

**Definition 3.2.** We call the tuple \( (m_a, n_a; m_k, n_k; r_a) \) an arity shape of the polyadic algebra \( A \).

The compatibility of the multiaction \( \rho^{(r_a)}_A \) (“linearity”) consists of [DUPLI 2018a, 2019]:

1) Distributivity with respect to the \( m_a \)-ary vector addition \( \nu^{(m_a)}_A \) (“\( \lambda \ (a + b) = \lambda a + \lambda b \)"

\[
\rho^{(r_a)}_A \left\{ \lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_{r_a} \mid \nu^{(m_a)}_A [a_1, \ldots, a_{m_a}] \right\}
\]

\[
= \nu^{(m_a)}_A \left( \rho^{(r_a)}_A \left\{ \lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_{r_a} \mid a_1 \right\}, \ldots, \rho^{(r_a)}_A \left\{ \lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_{r_a} \mid a_{m_a} \right\} \right).
\]
2) Compatibility with \( n_a \)-ary “vector multiplication” \( \mu_A^{(n_a)} \) (\( (\lambda a) \cdot (\mu b) = (\lambda \mu) (a \cdot b) \))

\[
\mu_A^{(n_a)} \left[ \rho_A^{(r_a)} \{ \lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_{r_a} \mid a_1 \}, \ldots, \rho_A^{(r_a)} \{ \lambda_{r_a(n_a-1)}, \ldots, \lambda_{r_an_a} \mid a_{n_a} \} \right] = \rho_A^{(r_a)} \left\{ \mu_k^{(m_k)} [\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_{m_k}], \ldots, \mu_k^{(m_k)} [\lambda_{m_k(\ell-1)}, \ldots, \lambda_{m_k\ell}] \right\},
\]

\[
\lambda_{m_k\ell+1}, \ldots, \lambda_{r_an_a} \mid \mu_A^{(n_a)} \{ a_1, \ldots, a_{n_a} \} \right\}, \quad (3.5)
\]

\[
\ell (n_k - 1) = r_a (n_a - 1), \quad (3.6)
\]

where \( \ell \) is an integer, and \( \ell \leq r_a \leq \ell (n_k - 1), 2 \leq n_a \leq n_k. \)

3) Distributivity with respect to the \( m_k \)-ary field addition \( \nu_k^{(m_k)} \) (\( (\lambda + \mu) a = \lambda a + \mu a \))

\[
\rho^{(r_a)} \left\{ \nu_k^{(m_k)} [\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_{m_k}], \ldots, \nu_k^{(m_k)} [\lambda_{m_k(\ell'-1)}, \ldots, \lambda_{m_k\ell'}], \lambda_{m_k\ell'+1}, \ldots, \lambda_{r_am_a} \mid a \right\}
\]

\[
\lambda_{m_k\ell'+1}, \ldots, \lambda_{r_am_a} \mid \nu_k^{(m_k)} \{ a_1, \ldots, a_{m_a} \} \right\}, \quad (3.7)
\]

\[
\ell' (m_k - 1) = r_a (m_a - 1), \quad (3.8)
\]

where \( \ell' \) is an integer, and \( \ell' \leq r_a \leq \ell' (m_k - 1), 2 \leq m_a \leq m_k. \)

4) Compatibility \( n_k \)-ary field multiplication \( \mu_k^{(n_k)} \) (\( \lambda (\mu a) = (\lambda \mu) a \))

\[
\rho^{(r_a)} \left\{ \lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_{r_a} \mid \ldots \rho^{(r_a)} \{ \lambda_{r_a(n_{r-1})}, \ldots, \lambda_{r_an} \mid a \} \right\}
\]

\[
\mu_k^{(m_k)} [\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_{n_k}], \ldots, \mu_k^{(m_k)} [\lambda_{n_k(\ell''-1)}, \ldots, \lambda_{n_k\ell''}], \lambda_{n_k\ell'+1}, \ldots, \lambda_{r_an} \mid a \right\}, \quad (3.9)
\]

\[
\ell'' (n_k - 1) = r_a (n_{\rho} - 1), \quad (3.10)
\]

where \( \ell'' \) is an integer, and \( \ell'' \leq r_a \leq \ell'' (n_k - 1), 2 \leq n_{\rho} \leq n_k. \)

Remark 3.3. In the binary case, we have \( m_a = n_a = m_k = n_k = n_{\rho} = 2, r_a = \ell = \ell' = \ell'' = 1. \) The \( n \)-ary algebras [DE AZCARRAGA AND IZQUIERDO 2010], [MICHOR AND VINOGRAVOD 1996] have only one distinct arity \( n_a = n. \)

Definition 3.4. We call the triple \( (\ell, \ell', \ell'') \) a \( \ell \)-arity shape of the polyadic algebra \( A. \)

Proposition 3.5. In the limiting \( \ell \)-arity shapes the arity shape of \( A \) is determined by three integers \( (m, n, r) \), such that:

1) For the maximal \( \ell = \ell' = \ell'' = r_a \), the arity shape of the algebra and underlying field coincide

\[
m_a = m_k = m, \quad (3.11)
\]

\[
n_a = n_k = n_{\rho} = n, \quad (3.12)
\]

\[
r_a = r. \quad (3.13)
\]
2) For the minimal $\ell$-arities $\ell = \ell' = \ell'' = 1$ it should be $r_a| (m_k - 1)$ and $r_a| (n_k - 1)$, and
\[
m_a = 1 + \frac{m - 1}{r},
\]
\[
n_a = n_\rho = 1 + \frac{n - 1}{r},
\]
\[
m_k = m,
\]
\[
n_k = n,
\]
\[
r_a = r.
\]

Proof. This follows directly from the compatibility conditions \((3.5)–(3.9)\).

\[\triangleright\]

Proposition 3.6. If the multiaction $\rho_A^{(r_a)}$ is an ordinary action $K \times A \rightarrow A$, then all $\ell$-arities are minimal $\ell = \ell' = \ell'' = 1$, and the arity shape of $A$ is determined by two integers $(m, n)$, such that the arities of the algebra and underlying field are equal, and the arity $n_\rho$ of the action semigroup $S_\rho$ is equal to the arity of multiplication in the underlying field
\[
m_a = m_k = m,
\]
\[
n_a = n_k = n_\rho = n.
\]

As it was shown in [DuPLI17], there exist zeroless and nonunital polyadic fields and rings. Therefore, the main difference with the binary algebras is the possible absence of a zero and/or unit in the polyadic field $k^{(m_k,n_k)}$ and/or in the polyadic ring $A_{ring} = A^{(m_a,n_a)} = \left\langle A \mid \nu_A^{(m_a)}, \mu_A^{(n_a)} \right\rangle$,

\[
(3.21)
\]

and so the additional axioms are needed iff such elements exist. This was the reason we have started from Definition 3.1, where no existence of zeroes and units in $k^{(m_k,n_k)}$ and $A_{ring}$ is implied.

If they exist, denote possible units and zeroes by $e_k \in k^{(m_k,n_k)}$, $z_k \in k^{(m_k,n_k)}$ and $e_A \in A^{(m_a,n_a)}$, $z_A \in A^{(m_a,n_a)}$. In this way we have 4 choices for each $k^{(m_k,n_k)}$ and $A^{(m_a,n_a)}$, and these 16 possible kinds of polyadic algebras are presented in Table 1. The most exotic case is at the bottom right, where both $k^{(m_k,n_k)}$ and $A^{(m_a,n_a)}$ are zeroless nonunital, which cannot exist in either binary algebras or $n$-ary algebras [DE AZCARRAGA AND IZQUIERDO 2010].

**Table 1.** Kinds of polyadic algebras depending on zeroes and units.

| $k^{(m_k,n_k)}$ | $A^{(m_a,n_a)}$ | $z_A$ | $z_A$ | $z_A$ | $z_A$ |
|-----------------|----------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| $e_k$           | $e_A$          | unit 1| unit 1| unit 1| unit 1|
| $z_k e_k$       | unit 1         | unit 1| unit 1| unit 1| unit 1|
| no $z_k$        | nonunit 1      | nonunit 1| nonunit 1| nonunit 1| nonunit 1|
| $z_k e_k$       | nonunit 1      | nonunit 1| nonunit 1| nonunit 1| nonunit 1|
| no $z_k$        | unit 1         | unit 1| unit 1| unit 1| unit 1|
| $z_k e_k$       | unit 1         | unit 1| unit 1| unit 1| unit 1|
| no $z_k$        | nonunit 1      | nonunit 1| nonunit 1| nonunit 1| nonunit 1|
| $z_k e_k$       | nonunit 1      | nonunit 1| nonunit 1| nonunit 1| nonunit 1|
| no $z_k$        | unit 1         | unit 1| unit 1| unit 1| unit 1|
| $z_k e_k$       | unit 1         | unit 1| unit 1| unit 1| unit 1|
| no $z_k$        | nonunit 1      | nonunit 1| nonunit 1| nonunit 1| nonunit 1|
| $z_k e_k$       | nonunit 1      | nonunit 1| nonunit 1| nonunit 1| nonunit 1|

The standard case is that in the upper left corner, when both $k^{(m_k,n_k)}$ and $A^{(m_a,n_a)}$ have a zero and unit.
Example 3.7. Consider the (“$k$-linear”) associative polyadic algebra $A^{3,3;3,2}$ over the zeroless nonunital $(3,3)$-field $k^{(3,3)}$ (from Example 2.1). The elements of $A$ are pairs $a = (\lambda, \lambda') \in k^{(3,3)} \times k^{(3,3)}$, and for them the ternary addition and ternary multiplication are defined by
\[
\begin{align*}
\mu_A^{(3)} \left[ (\lambda_1, \lambda'_1) (\lambda_2, \lambda'_2) (\lambda_3, \lambda'_3) \right] &= (\lambda_1 \lambda'_2 \lambda_3, \lambda'_1 \lambda_2 \lambda'_3), \\
\nu_A^{(3)} \left[ (\lambda_1, \lambda'_1) (\lambda_2, \lambda'_2) (\lambda_3, \lambda'_3) \right] &= (\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \lambda_3, \lambda'_1 + \lambda'_2 + \lambda'_3), \quad \lambda_i, \lambda'_i \in k^{(3,3)}
\end{align*}
\]
(3.22)
where operations on the r.h.s. are in $C$. If we introduce an element $0 \notin k^{(3,3)}$ with the property $0 \cdot \lambda = \lambda \cdot 0 = 0$, then (3.22–3.23) can be presented as the ordinary multiplication and addition of three anti-diagonal $2 \times 2$ formal matrices \[
\begin{pmatrix}
0 & \lambda \\
\lambda' & 0
\end{pmatrix}.
\]
There is no unit or zero in the ternary ring $\langle A | \nu_A^{(3)}, \mu_A^{(3)} \rangle$, but both $\langle A | \mu_A^{(3)} \rangle$ and $\langle A | \nu_A^{(3)} \rangle$ are ternary groups, because each $a = (ip/q, ip'/q') \in A$ has the unique additive querelement $\tilde{a} = (-ip/q, -ip'/q')$ and the unique multiplicative querelement $\tilde{a} = (-iq'/p', -iq/p)$. The 2-place action (“2-scalar product”) is defined by $\rho^{(2)}(\lambda_1, \lambda_2 | (\lambda, \lambda')) = (\lambda_1 \lambda_2 \lambda, \lambda_1 \lambda_2 \lambda')$. The arity shape (see Definition 3.4) of this zeroless nonunital polyadic algebra $A^{3,3;3,2}$ is $(2, 2, 2)$, and the compatibilities (3.4–3.10) hold.

3.2. Polyadic analog of the functions on group. In the search for a polyadic version of the algebra of $k$-valued functions (which is isomorphic and dual to the corresponding group algebra) we can not only have more complicated arity shapes than in the binary case, but also the exotic possibility that the arities of the field and group are different as can be possible for multiplace functions.

Let us consider a $n_g$-ary group $G = G^{(n_g)} = \langle G | \mu_g^{(n_g)} \rangle$, which does not necessarily contain the identity $e_g$, and where each element is querable (see (2.4)). Now we introduce the set $A_f$ of multiplace ($s$-place) functions $f_i (g_1, \ldots, g_s)$ (of finite support) which take value in the polyadic field $k^{(m_k,n_k)}$ such that $f_i : G^s \to K$. To endow $A_f$ with the structure of a polyadic associative algebra (3.1), we should consistently define the $m_k$-ary addition $\nu^{(m_k)}_f : A^{(m_k)}_f \to A_f$, $n_k$-ary multiplication (“convolution”) $\mu^{(n_k)}_f : A^{(n_k)}_f \to A_f$ and the multiaction $\rho^{(r_f)}_f : K^{r_f} \times A_f \to A_f$ (“scalar multiplication”). Thus we write for the algebra of $k$-valued functions
\[
F_k (G) = \langle A_f | \nu^{(m_k)}_f, \mu^{(n_k)}_f, \nu^{(n_k)}_f, \rho^{(r_f)}_f \rangle.
\]
(3.24)
The simplest operation here is the addition of the $k$-valued functions which, obviously, coincides with the field addition $\nu^{(m_k)}_f = \nu^{(m_k)}_k$.

Construction 3.8. Because all arguments of the multiaction $\rho^{(r_f)}_f$ are in the field, the only possibility for the r.h.s. is its multiplication (similar to the regular representation)
\[
\rho^{(r_f)}_f (\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_{r_f} | f) = \mu_k^{(n_k)} [\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_{r_f}, f], \quad \lambda_i \in K, \ f \in A_f,
\]
(3.25)
and in addition we have the arity shape relation
\[
n_k = r_f + 1,
\]
(3.26)
which is satisfied “automatically” in the binary case.

The polyadic analog of $k$-valued function convolution (“$(f_1 * f_2) (g) = \Sigma h_1 h_2 = g f_1 (h_1) f_2 (h_2)$”), which is denoted by $\mu^{(m_k)}_f$ here, while the sum in the field is $\nu^{(m_k-1)+1}_k$, where $\ell_m$ is the “number of additions”, can be constructed according to the arity rules from [DUPLI 2018a, 2019].
Definition 3.9. The polyadic convolution of $s$-place $\mathbb{k}$-valued functions is defined as the admissible polyadic sum of $\ell_{\nu} (m_k - 1) + 1$ products

$$
\mu_f^{(n_k)} \left[ f_1 (g_1, \ldots, g_s), \ldots, f_{n_k} (g_1, \ldots, g_s) \right] = \\
\left( \nu_k^{(m_k)} \right)^{\ell_{\nu}} \left[ \mu_k^{(n_k)} \left[ f_1 (h_1, \ldots, h_s), \ldots, f_{n_k} (h_s, h_{(n_k-1)}, \ldots, h_{s_{n_k}}) \right] \right],
$$

where $\ell_{\text{id}}$ is the number of intact elements in the determining equations ("$h_1 h_2 = g$") under the field sum $\nu_k$. The arity shape is determined by

$$
sn_k = (s - \ell_{\text{id}}) n_g + \ell_{\text{id}},
$$

which gives the connection between the field and the group arities.

Example 3.10. If $n_g = 3$, $n_k = 2$, $m_k = 3$, $s = 2$, $\ell_{\text{id}} = 1$, then we obtain the arity changing polyadic convolution

$$
\mu_f^{(2)} \left[ f_1 (g_1, g_2), f_2 (g_1, g_2) \right] = \\
\left( \nu_k^{(3)} \right)^{\ell_{\nu}} \left[ \mu_k^{(2)} \left[ f_1 (h_1, h_2), f_2 (h_3, h_4) \right] \right],
$$

where the $\ell_{\nu}$ ternary additions are taken on the support. Now the multiact ion (3.25) is one-place

$$
\rho_f^{(1)} (\lambda | f) = \mu_k^{(2)} [\lambda, f], \ \lambda \in K, \ f \in A_f,
$$

as it follows from (3.25).

Remark 3.11. The general polyadic convolution (3.27) is inspired by the main heteromorphism equation (5.14) and the arity changing formula (5.15) of [DUPLI 2018a]. The graphical dependence of the field arity $n_k$ on the number of places $s$ is similar to that on FIGURE 1, and the "quantization" rules (following from the solutions of (3.28) in integers) are in TABLE 1 there.

Proposition 3.12. The multiplication (3.27) is associative.

Proof. This follows from the associativity quiver technique of [DUPLI 2018a] applied to the polyadic convolution. 

Corollary 3.13. The $\mathbb{k}$-valued multiplace functions $\{ f_i \}$ form a polyadic associative algebra $F_k (\mathbb{G})$. 
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3.3. “Diagrammatic” description. Here we formulate the polyadic algebra axioms in the more customary “diagrammatic” form using the polyadic tensor products and mappings between them (denoted by bold corresponding letters). Informally, the k-linearity is already “automatically encoded” by the polyadic tensor algebra over \( k \), and therefore the axioms already contain the algebra multiplication (but not the scalar multiplication).

Let us denote the \( k \)-linear algebra multiplication map by \( \mu^{(n)} \) (\( \mu^{(n)} \equiv \mu_{A}^{(n)} \) from (3.1)) defined as

\[
\mu^{(n)} \circ (a_1 \otimes \ldots \otimes a_n) = \mu^{(n)} [a_1, \ldots, a_n], \quad a_1, \ldots, a_n \in A.
\]  

(3.31)

**Definition 3.14** (Algebra associativity axiom). A polyadic (associative \( n \)-ary) algebra (or \( k \)-algebra) is a vector space \( A_{\text{vect}} \) over the polyadic field \( k \) (3.2) with the \( k \)-linear algebra multiplication map

\[
A^{(n)} = \langle A_{\text{vect}} \mid \mu^{(n)} \rangle, \quad \mu^{(n)} : A^{\otimes n} \rightarrow A,
\]

which is totally associative

\[
\mu^{(n)} \circ \left( \text{id}^{\otimes(n-1-i)} \otimes \mu^{(n)} \otimes \text{id}^{\otimes i} \right) = \mu^{(n)} \circ \left( \text{id}^{\otimes(n-1-j)} \otimes \mu^{(n)} \otimes \text{id}^{\otimes j} \right),
\]

\[
\forall i, j = 0, \ldots, n - 1, \quad i \neq j, \quad \text{id}_A : A \rightarrow A,
\]

(3.33)
such that the diagram

\[
\begin{array}{c}
A^{\otimes(2n-1)} \xrightarrow{\mu^{(n)} \otimes \text{id}^{\otimes i}} A^{\otimes n} \\
\downarrow \quad \text{id}_A^{\otimes(n-1-j)} \otimes \mu^{(n)} \otimes \text{id}^{\otimes j} \\
A^{\otimes n} \xrightarrow{\mu^{(n)}} A
\end{array}
\]

(3.34)

commutes.

**Definition 3.15.** A polyadic algebra \( A^{(n)} \) is called **totally commutative**, if

\[
\mu^{(n)} = \mu^{(n)} \circ \tau_n,
\]

(3.35)

where \( \tau_n \in S_n \), and \( S_n \) is the symmetry permutation group on \( n \) elements.

**Remark 3.16.** Initially, there are no other axioms in the definition of a polyadic algebra, because polyadic fields and vector spaces do not necessarily contain zeroes and units (see Table [1].

A special kind of polyadic algebra can appear, when the multiplication is “iterated” from lower arity ones, which is one of 3 kinds of arity changing for polyadic systems [DUPLIJ [2018a]].

**Definition 3.17.** A polyadic multiplication is called **derived**, if the map \( \mu_{\text{der}}^{(n)} \) is \( \ell_{\mu} \)-iterated from the maps \( \mu_0^{(n_0)} \) of lower arity \( n_0 < n \)

\[
\mu_{\text{der}}^{(n)} = \underbrace{\mu_0^{(n_0)} \circ \ldots \circ \mu_0^{(n_0)} \otimes \text{id}^{\otimes(n_0-1)}}_{\ell_{\mu}} \otimes \ldots \otimes \text{id}^{\otimes(n_0-1)},
\]

(3.36)

where

\[
n = \ell_{\mu} \cdot (n_0 - 1) + 1, \quad \ell_{\mu} \geq 2,
\]

(3.37)

and \( \ell_{\mu} \) is the “number of iterations”.

**Example 3.18.** In the ternary case \( n = 3 \) and \( n_0 = 2 \), we have \( \mu_{\text{der}}^{(3)} = \mu_0^{(2)} \circ \left( \mu_0^{(2)} \otimes \text{id} \right) \), which in the “elementwise” description is \([a_1, a_2, a_3]_{\text{der}} = a_1 \cdot (a_2 \cdot a_3)\), where \( \mu_{\text{der}}^{(3)} = [\cdot, \cdot, \cdot]_{\text{der}} \) and \( \mu_0^{(2)} = (\cdot) \).
Introduce a $k$-linear \textit{multiaction map} $\rho^{(r)}$ corresponding to the multiaction $\rho^{(r)} \equiv \rho^{(r)}_A$ \textup{(}by analogy with \textup{(}3.31\textup{)}) as
\[
\rho^{(r)} \circ (\lambda_1 \otimes \ldots \otimes \lambda_r \otimes a) = \rho^{(r)} (\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_r \mid a), \quad \lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_r \in K, \ a \in A.
\textup{(3.38)}
\]

Let $k$ and $A^{(n)}$ both be unital, then we can construct a $k$-linear \textit{polyadic unit map} $\eta$ by “polyadizing” $\mu \circ (\eta \otimes \text{id}) = \text{id}$ and the scalar product “$\lambda a = \rho (\lambda \mid a)$” with “$\eta (e_k) = e_a$”, using the normalization \textup{(}2.8\textup{)}, and taking into account the standard identification $k^{\otimes r} \otimes A \cong \text{AOKONUMA}$ \textup{[1992]}.

\textbf{Definition 3.19} (Algebra unit axiom). The \textit{unital polyadic algebra} $A^{(n)}$ \textup{(}3.32\textup{)} contains in addition a $k$-linear \textit{polyadic (right) unit map} $\eta^{(r,n)} : K^{\otimes r} \to A^{\otimes (n-1)}$ satisfying
\[
\mu^{(n)} \circ (\eta^{(r,n)} \otimes \text{id}_A) = \rho^{(r)} ,
\textup{(3.39)}
\]
such that the diagram
\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
K^{\otimes r} \otimes A & \xrightarrow{\eta^{(r,n)} \otimes \text{id}_A} & A^{\otimes n} \\
\rho^{(r)} \downarrow & & \downarrow \mu^{(n)} \\
A & \xrightarrow{\mu^{(n)}} & A^{\otimes n}
\end{array}
\textup{(3.40)}
\]
commutes.

The normalization of the multiact \textup{(}2.8\textup{)} gives the corresponding normalization of the map $\eta^{(r,n)}$ (instead of “$\eta (e_k) = e_a$”)
\[
\eta^{(r,n)} \circ \left( e_k \otimes \ldots \otimes e_k \right) = e_a \otimes \ldots \otimes e_a.
\textup{(3.41)}
\]

\textbf{Assertion 3.20.} In the “elementwise” description (see Subsection 3.1) the polyadic unit $\eta^{(r,n)}$ of $A^{(n)}$ is a $(n-1)$-valued function of $r$ arguments.

\textbf{Proposition 3.21.} The polyadic unit map $\eta^{(r,n)}$ is (polyadically) multiplicative in the following sense
\[
\mu^{(n)} \circ \ldots \circ \mu^{(n)} \circ \left( \eta^{(r,n)} \otimes \ldots \otimes \eta^{(r,n)} \right) = \eta^{(r,n)} \circ \left( \mu^{(n)}_k \right)^{\ell}.
\textup{(3.42)}
\]

\textit{Proof.} This follows from the compatibility of the multiact with the “vector multiplication” \textup{(}3.5\textup{)} and the relation between corresponding arities \textup{(}3.6\textup{)}, such that the number of arguments (“scalars” $\lambda_i$) in r.h.s. becomes $\ell (n_k - 1) + 1 = r (n - 1) + 1$, where $\ell$ is an integer. \hfill $\square$

Introduce a “derived” version of the polyadic unit by analogy with the neutral sequence \textup{(}2.24\textup{)}.

\textbf{Definition 3.22.} The $k$-linear \textit{derived polyadic unit (neutral unit sequence)} of $n$-ary algebra $A^{(n)}$ is the set $\hat{\eta}^{(r)} = \{ \eta_i^{(r)} \}$ of $n - 1$ maps $\eta_i^{(r)} : K^{\otimes r} \to A, i = 1, \ldots, n - 1$, satisfying
\[
\mu^{(n)} \circ (\eta_i^{(r)} \otimes \ldots \otimes \eta_{n-1}^{(r)} \otimes \text{id}_A) = \rho^{(r)},
\textup{(3.43)}
\]
where $\text{id}_A$ can be on any place. If $\eta_1^{(r)} = \ldots = \eta_{n-1}^{(r)} = \eta_0^{(r)}$, we call $\eta_0^{(r)}$ the \textit{strong derived polyadic unit}. Formally (comparing \textup{(}3.39\textup{)} and \textup{(}3.43\textup{)}), we can write
\[
\eta_{der}^{(r,n)} = \eta_1^{(r)} \otimes \ldots \otimes \eta_{n-1}^{(r)}.
\textup{(3.44)}
\]
3. POLYADIC ASSOCIATIVE ALGEBRAS

“Diagrammatic” description

The normalization of the maps $\eta_i^{(r)}$ is given by

$$\eta_i^{(r)} \circ \begin{pmatrix} e_1 \otimes \ldots \otimes e_k \end{pmatrix} = e_a, \quad i = 1, \ldots, n - 1, \quad e_a \in A, \quad e_k \in K,$$

and in the “elementwise” description $\eta_i^{(r)}$ is a function of $r$ arguments, satisfying

$$\eta_i^{(r)}(\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_r) = \rho^{(r)}(\{\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_r \mid e_a\}, \quad \lambda_i \in K,$$

where $\rho^{(r)}$ is the multiact $(2.7)$.

**Definition 3.23.** A polyadic associative algebra $A_{\text{der}}^{(n)} = \langle A_{\text{vect}} | \mu^{(n)}_{\text{der}}, \eta^{(r, n)}_{\text{der}} \rangle$ is called derived from $A_{\text{der}}^{(m)} = \langle A_{\text{vect}} | \mu^{(m)}_{\text{der}}, \eta^{(r, m)}_{\text{der}} \rangle$, if (3.38) holds and further

$$\eta^{(r, n)}_{\text{der}} = \eta^{(r, m)}_{0} \otimes \ldots \otimes \eta^{(r, m)}_{0}$$

is true, where $\eta^{(r, m)}_{0} = \eta^{(r)} \otimes \ldots \otimes \eta^{(r)}$ (formally, because $\text{id}_A$ in (3.43) can be on any place).

The particular case $n = 3$ and $r = 1$ was considered in [DUPLIJ 2001, 2018b] (with examples). Invertibility in a polyadic algebra is not connected with the unit or zero (as in $n$-ary groups DÖRnte [1929]), but is determined by the querelement (2.4). Introduce the corresponding mappings for the subsets of the *additively querable elements* $A_{\text{quer}}^{(\text{add})} \subseteq A$ and the *multiplicatively querable elements* $A_{\text{quer}}^{(\text{mult})} \subseteq A$.

**Definition 3.24.** In the polyadic algebra $A^{(m,n)}$, the *additive quemap* $q_{\text{add}} : A_{\text{quer}}^{(\text{add})} \rightarrow A_{\text{quer}}^{(\text{add})}$ is defined by

$$\nu^{(m)} \circ \begin{pmatrix} \text{id}_A^{(m-1)} \otimes q_{\text{add}} \end{pmatrix} \circ D^{(m)}_{a} = \text{id}_A,$$

and the *multiplicative quemap* $q_{\text{mult}} : A_{\text{quer}}^{(\text{mult})} \rightarrow A_{\text{quer}}^{(\text{mult})}$ is defined by

$$\nu^{(n)} \circ \begin{pmatrix} \text{id}_A^{(n-1)} \otimes q_{\text{mult}} \end{pmatrix} \circ D^{(n)}_{a} = \text{id}_A,$$

where $D^{(n)}_{a} : A \rightarrow A^{\otimes n}$ is the diagonal map given by $a \rightarrow a \otimes \ldots \otimes a$, while $q_{\text{add}}$ and $q_{\text{mult}}$ can be on any place. They send an element to the additive querelement $a^{\text{add}} \rightarrow \bar{a}$, $a \in A_{\text{quer}}^{(\text{add})} \subseteq A$ and multiplicative querelement $a^{\text{mult}} \rightarrow \bar{a}, a \in A_{\text{quer}}^{(\text{mult})} \subseteq A$ (see (2.4)), such that the diagrams

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
A & \xrightarrow{D^{(m)}_a} & A^{\otimes m} \\
\mu^{(m)} & \downarrow \text{id}_A^{(m-1)} \otimes q_{\text{add}} & \text{id}_A^{(m-1)} \otimes q_{\text{add}} \\
A^{\otimes m} & \xrightarrow{D^{(n)}_a} & A^{\otimes n}
\end{array}
$$

commute.

**Example 3.25.** For the polyadic algebra $A^{(3,3,3,2)}_{(3,3,3,2)}$ from Example 3.7 all elements are additively and multiplicatively querable, and so the sets of querable elements coincide $A_{\text{quer}}^{(\text{add})} = A_{\text{quer}}^{(\text{mult})} = A$. The
additive quermap \( q_{\text{add}} \) and multiplicative quermap \( q_{\text{mult}} \) act as follows (the operations are in \( \mathbb{C} \))

\[
\begin{align*}
\left( \frac{p}{q}, \frac{p'}{q'} \right) &\quad q_{\text{add}} \left( -\frac{p}{q}, -\frac{p'}{q'} \right), \\
\left( \frac{p}{q}, \frac{p'}{q'} \right) &\quad q_{\text{mult}} \left( -\frac{q'}{p'}, -\frac{q}{p} \right),
\end{align*}
\] (3.51)

\[
\begin{align*}
\tau_{\text{medial}} : (A_1 \otimes A_2) \otimes (A_1 \otimes A_2) &\rightarrow (A_1 \otimes A_1) \otimes (A_2 \otimes A_2)
\end{align*}
\] (3.55)

is defined by (evaluation)

\[
\begin{align*}
\left( a_1^{(1)} \otimes a_1^{(2)} \right) \otimes \left( a_2^{(1)} \otimes a_2^{(2)} \right) \tau_{\text{medial}} \left( a_1^{(1)} \otimes a_2^{(1)} \right) \otimes \left( a_2^{(1)} \otimes a_2^{(2)} \right).
\end{align*}
\] (3.56)

It is obvious that

\[
\tau_{\text{medial}} = \text{id}_A \otimes \tau_{\text{op}} \otimes \text{id}_A,
\] (3.57)

where \( \tau_{\text{op}} : A_1 \otimes A_2 \rightarrow A_1 \otimes A_2 \) is the permutation of 2 elements (twist/flip) of the tensor product, such that \( a^{(1)} \otimes a^{(2)} \tau_{\text{op}} a^{(2)} \otimes a^{(1)}, a^{(1)} \in A_1, a^{(2)} \in A_2, \tau_{\text{op}} \in S_2 \). This may be presented in the matrix form

\[
\bigotimes (a)_{2 \times 2} \tau_{\text{medial}} \bigotimes (a^T)_{2 \times 2}, \quad \bigotimes (a)_{2 \times 2} = \bigotimes \begin{pmatrix} a_1^{(1)} & a_1^{(2)} \\ a_2^{(1)} & a_2^{(2)} \end{pmatrix},
\] (3.58)

where \( T \) is the ordinary matrix transposition.

Let us apply (3.55) to arbitrary tensor products. By analogy, if we have a tensor product of \( mn \) elements (of any nature) grouped by \( n \) elements (e.g. \( m \) elements from \( n \) different vector spaces), as in (3.56), (3.58), we can write the tensor product in the \( (m \times n) \)-matrix form (cf. (3.18)–(3.19) in DUPLI [2018a])

\[
\bigotimes (a)_{m \times n} = \bigotimes \begin{pmatrix} a_1^{(1)} & a_1^{(2)} & \cdots & a_1^{(n)} \\ a_2^{(1)} & a_2^{(2)} & \cdots & a_2^{(n)} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_m^{(1)} & a_m^{(2)} & \cdots & a_m^{(n)} \end{pmatrix}
\] (3.59)

**Definition 3.27.** The **polyadic medial map** \( \tau_{\text{medial}}^{(n,m)} : (A^\otimes n)^\otimes m \rightarrow (A^\otimes m)^\otimes n \) is defined as the transposition of the tensor product matrix (3.59) by the evaluation (cf. the binary case (3.56))

\[
\bigotimes (a)_{m \times n} \tau_{\text{medial}}^{(n,m)} \bigotimes (a^T)_{n \times m}.
\] (3.60)
3. POLYADIC ASSOCIATIVE ALGEBRAS

We can extend the mediality concept [Evans 1963, Belousov 1972] to polyadic algebras using the medial map. If we have an algebra with \( n \)-ary multiplication (3.31), then the mediality relation follows from (3.59) with \( m = n \) and contains \((n + 1)\) multiplications acting on \( n^2 \) elements.

**Definition 3.28.** A \( k \)-linear polyadic algebra \( A^{(n)} \) (3.32) is called medial, if its \( n \)-ary multiplication map satisfies the relation

\[
\mu^{(n)} \circ \left( \left( \mu^{(n)} \right)^{\otimes n} \right) = \mu^{(n)} \circ \left( \left( \mu^{(n)} \right)^{\otimes n} \right) \circ \tau^{(n,n)}_{\text{medial}},
\]

where \( \tau^{(n,n)}_{\text{medial}} \) is given by (3.60), or in the manifest elementwise form (evaluation)

\[
\begin{align*}
\mu^{(n)} \left[ \mu^{(n)} \left[ a_1^{(1)}, a_1^{(2)}, \ldots, a_1^{(n)} \right], \mu^{(n)} \left[ a_2^{(1)}, a_2^{(2)}, \ldots, a_2^{(n)} \right], \ldots, \mu^{(n)} \left[ a_n^{(1)}, a_n^{(2)}, \ldots, a_n^{(n)} \right] \right] \\
\mu^{(n)} \left[ a_1^{(1)}, a_2^{(2)}, \ldots, a_n^{(n)} \right], \mu^{(n)} \left[ a_2^{(1)}, a_2^{(2)}, \ldots, a_n^{(n)} \right], \ldots, \mu^{(n)} \left[ a_1^{(n)}, a_2^{(n)}, \ldots, a_n^{(n)} \right].
\end{align*}
\]

(3.62)

Let us “polyadize” the binary twist map \( \tau_{op} \) from (3.57), which can be suitable for operations with polyadic tensor products. Informally, we can interpret (3.57), as “omitting the fixed points” of the binary medial map \( \tau_{\text{medial}} \), and denote this procedure by \( \tau_{op} = \tau_{\text{medial}} \setminus \text{id} \).

**Definition 3.29.** A (medially allowed) \( \ell_r \)-place polyadic twist map \( \tau^{(\ell_r)}_{op} \) is defined by

\[
\text{“} \tau^{(\ell_r)}_{op} = \tau^{(n,n)}_{\text{medial}} \setminus \text{id} \text{”}
\]

(3.63)

where \( \ell_r = mn - k_{\text{fixed}} \), and \( k_{\text{fixed}} \) is the number of fixed points of the medial map \( \tau^{(n,n)}_{\text{medial}} \).

**Assertion 3.30.** If \( m \neq n \), then \( \ell_r = mn - 2 \). If \( m = n \), then the polyadic twist map \( \tau^{(\ell_r)}_{op} \) is the reflection

\[
\tau^{(\ell_r)}_{op} \circ \tau^{(\ell_r)}_{op} = \text{id}_A
\]

and \( \ell_r = n(n - 1) \).

**Proof.** This follows from the matrix form (3.59) and (3.60).

Therefore the number of places \( \ell_r \) is “quantized” and for lowest \( m, n \) is presented in **Table 2**.

**Table 2.** Number of places \( \ell_r \) in the polyadic twist map \( \tau^{(\ell_r)}_{op} \).

| \( m \) | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
|-------|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| \( n \) | 2 | 4 | 6 | 8 | 10 | 12 |
| 3     | 4 | 6 | 10 | 13 | 16 | 19 |
| 4     | 6 | 10 | 12 | 18 | 22 | 26 |
| 5     | 8 | 13 | 18 | 20 | 28 | 33 |
| 6     | 10 | 16 | 22 | 28 | 30 | 40 |
| 7     | 12 | 19 | 26 | 33 | 40 | 42 |

This generalizes the binary twist in a more unique way, which gives polyadic commutativity.

**Remark 3.31.** The polyadic twist map \( \tau^{(\ell_r)}_{op} \) is one element of the symmetry permutation group \( S_{\ell_r} \) which is fixed by the medial map \( \tau^{(n,n)}_{\text{medial}} \) and the special condition (3.63), and it therefore respects polyadic tensor product operations.
Example 3.32. In the matrix representation we have

\[
\tau_{op}^{(4)} \big|_{n=3,m=2} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \tau_{op}^{(6)} \big|_{n=3,m=3} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (3.65)
\]

\[
\tau_{op}^{(6)} \big|_{n=4,m=2} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (3.66)
\]

The introduction of the polyadic twist gives us the possibility to generalize (in a way consistent with the medial map) the notion of the opposite algebra.

Definition 3.33. For a polyadic algebra \( A^{(n)} \) = \( \langle A \mid \mu^{(n)} \rangle \), an opposite polyadic algebra

\[
A_{op}^{(n)} = \langle A \mid \mu^{(n)} \circ \tau_{op}^{(n)} \rangle
\]

exists if the number of places for the polyadic twist map (which coincides in (3.67) with the arity of algebra multiplication \( \ell = n \)) is allowed (see TABLE 2).

Definition 3.34. A polyadic algebra \( A^{(n)} \) is called medially commutative, if

\[
\mu_{op}^{(n)} \equiv \mu^{(n)} \circ \tau_{op}^{(n)} = \mu^{(n)},
\]

where \( \tau_{op}^{(n)} \) is the medially allowed polyadic twist map.

3.5. Tensor product of polyadic algebras. Let us consider a polyadic tensor product \( \bigotimes_{i=1}^{n} A_{i}^{(n)} \) of \( n \) polyadic associative \( n \)-ary algebras \( A_{i}^{(n)} = \langle A_{i} \mid \mu_{i}^{(n)} \rangle, i = 1, \ldots, n \), such that (see (3.31))

\[
\mu^{(n)}_{i} \circ (a_{1}^{(i)} \otimes \ldots \otimes a_{n}^{(i)}) = \mu_{A_{i}}^{(n)} [a_{1}^{(i)}, \ldots, a_{n}^{(i)}], \quad a_{1}^{(i)}, \ldots, a_{n}^{(i)} \in A_{i}, \quad \mu^{(n)}_{A_{i}} : A_{i}^{(n)} \to A_{i}. \quad (3.69)
\]

To endow \( \bigotimes_{i=1}^{n} A_{i}^{(n)} \) with the structure of an algebra, we will use the medial map \( \tau_{medial}^{(n,m)} \) (3.60).

Proposition 3.35. The tensor product of \( n \) associative \( n \)-ary algebras \( A_{i}^{(n)} \) has the structure of the polyadic algebra \( A_{\otimes}^{(n)} = \langle \bigotimes_{i=1}^{n} A_{i}^{(n)} \mid \mu_{\otimes} \rangle \), which is associative (cf. (3.33))

\[
\mu_{\otimes} \circ (id_{A_{\otimes}^{(n-1-i)}} \otimes \mu_{\otimes} \otimes id_{A_{\otimes}^{(j)}}) = \mu_{\otimes} \circ (id_{A_{\otimes}^{(n-1-j)}} \otimes \mu_{\otimes} \otimes id_{A_{\otimes}^{(i)}}),
\]

\[
\forall i, j = 0, \ldots, n - 1, \quad i \neq j, \quad id_{A_{\otimes}^{(i)}} : A_{1}^{(n)} \otimes \ldots \otimes A_{n}^{(n)} \to A, \quad (3.70)
\]

if

\[
\mu_{\otimes} = \left( \mu_{1}^{(n)} \otimes \ldots \otimes \mu_{n}^{(n)} \right) \circ \tau_{medial}^{(n,n)}.
\]

(3.71)
Heteromorphisms of polyadic associative algebras

**Proof.** We act by the multiplication map \( \mu_\otimes \) on the element’s tensor product matrix \( (3.55) \) and obtain

\[
\mu_\otimes \circ \left( (a_1^{(1)} \otimes a_1^{(2)} \otimes \ldots \otimes a_1^{(n)}) \otimes \ldots \otimes (a_n^{(1)} \otimes a_n^{(2)} \otimes \ldots \otimes a_n^{(n)}) \right)
= \left( \mu_1^{(n)} \otimes \ldots \otimes \mu_n^{(n)} \right) \circ \tau_{\text{medial}} \circ \left( (a_1^{(1)} \otimes a_1^{(2)} \otimes \ldots \otimes a_1^{(n)}) \otimes \ldots \otimes (a_n^{(1)} \otimes a_n^{(2)} \otimes \ldots \otimes a_n^{(n)}) \right)
= \left( \mu_1^{(n)} \otimes \ldots \otimes \mu_n^{(n)} \right) \circ \left( (a_1^{(1)} \otimes a_2^{(1)} \otimes \ldots \otimes a_n^{(1)}) \otimes \ldots \otimes (a_1^{(n)} \otimes a_2^{(n)} \otimes \ldots \otimes a_n^{(n)}) \right)
= \mu_1^{(n)} \left[ a_1^{(1)}, a_2^{(1)}, \ldots, a_n^{(1)} \right] \otimes \ldots \otimes \mu_n^{(n)} \left[ a_1^{(n)}, a_2^{(n)}, \ldots, a_n^{(n)} \right],
\]

which proves that \( \mu_\otimes \) is indeed a polyadic algebra multiplication. To prove the associativity \( (3.70) \) we repeat the same derivation \( (3.72) \) twice and show that the result is independent of \( i, j \).

If all \( A_i^{(n)} \) have their polyadic unit map \( \eta_i^{(r,n)} \) defined by \( (3.39) \) and acting as \( (3.41) \), then we have

**Proposition 3.36.** The polyadic unit map of \( A_i^{(n)} \) is \( \eta_i^{(r,n)} : K^{\otimes nr} \to A_1^{\otimes (n-1)} \otimes \ldots \otimes A_n^{\otimes (n-1)} \) acting as

\[
\eta_\otimes \circ \left( e_k \otimes \ldots \otimes e_k \right) = \left( e_{a_1} \otimes \ldots \otimes e_{a_1} \right) \otimes \ldots \otimes \left( e_{a_n} \otimes \ldots \otimes e_{a_n} \right).
\]

**Assertion 3.37.** The polyadic unit of \( A_\otimes^{(n)} \) is a \( (n^2 - n) \)-valued function of \( nr \) arguments.

Note that concepts of tensor product and derived polyadic algebras are different.

3.6. Heteromorphisms of polyadic associative algebras. The standard homomorphism between binary associative algebras is defined as a linear map \( \varphi \) which “commutes” with the algebra multiplications (“\( \varphi \circ \mu_1 = \mu_2 \circ (\varphi \otimes \varphi) \)”). In the polyadic case there exists the possibility to change arity of the algebras, and for that, one needs to use the heteromorphisms (or multiplace maps) introduced in [DUPLI] [2018a]. Let us consider two polyadic \( \k \)-algebras \( A_1^{(n_1)} = \left\langle A_1 \mid \mu_1^{(n_1)} \right\rangle \) and \( A_2^{(n_2)} = \left\langle A_2 \mid \mu_2^{(n_2)} \right\rangle \) (over the same polyadic field \( \k \)).

**Definition 3.38.** A heteromorphism between two polyadic \( \k \)-algebras \( A_1^{(n_1)} \) and \( A_2^{(n_2)} \) (of different arities \( n_1 \) and \( n_2 \)) is a \( s \)-place \( \k \)-linear map \( \Phi_{s}^{(n_1,n_2)} : A_1^{\otimes s} \to A_2 \), such that

\[
\Phi_{s}^{(n_1,n_2)} \circ \left( \mu_1^{(n_1)} \otimes \ldots \otimes \mu_1^{(n_1)} \otimes \text{id}_{A_1} \otimes \ldots \otimes \text{id}_{A_1} \right) = \mu_2^{(n_2)} \circ \left( \Phi_{s}^{(n_1,n_2)} \otimes \ldots \otimes \Phi_{s}^{(n_1,n_2)} \right),
\]

and the diagram

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
A_1^{\otimes s} & \xrightarrow{\Phi_{s}^{(n_1,n_2)}} & A_2 \\
\downarrow{\mu_1^{(n_1)} \otimes \ldots \otimes \mu_1^{(n_1)} \otimes \text{id}_{A_1} \otimes \ldots \otimes \text{id}_{A_1}} & \left( \phi_{s}^{(n_1,n_2)} \right) & \mu_2^{(n_2)} \\
A_1^{\otimes s} & \xrightarrow{\Phi_{s}^{(n_1,n_2)}} & A_2
\end{array}
\]

commutes. The arities satisfy

\[
sn_2 = n_1 (s - \ell_{\text{id}}) + \ell_{\text{id}},
\]

where \( 0 \leq \ell_{\text{id}} \leq s - 1 \) is an integer (the number of “intact elements” of \( A_1 \)), and therefore \( 2 \leq n_2 \leq n_1 \).
Assertion 3.39. If \( \ell_{id} = 0 \) (there are no “intact elements”), then the \((s\text{-place})\) heteromorphism does not change the arity of the polyadic algebra.

Definition 3.40. A homomorphism between two polyadic \( k \)-algebras \( A_1^{(n)} \) and \( A_2^{(n)} \) (of the same arity or equiary) is a 1-place \( k \)-linear map \( \Phi^{(n)} = \Phi^{(n)}_{s=1} : A_1 \to A_2 \), such that

\[
\Phi^{(n)}(\mu_1^{(n)}) = \mu_2^{(n)} \circ \left( \Phi^{(n)}(\otimes \ldots \otimes \Phi^{(n)}) \right),
\]

and the diagram

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
A_1^{\otimes n} & \xrightarrow{(\Phi^{(n)})^\otimes} & A_2^{\otimes n} \\
\Phi^{(n)} & \downarrow & \Phi^{(n)} \\
A_1 & \xrightarrow{\mu_1^{(n)}} & A_2
\end{array}
\]

commutes.

The above definitions do not include the behavior of the polyadic unit under heteromorphism, because a polyadic associative algebra need not contain a unit. However, if both units exist, this will lead to strong arity restrictions.

Proposition 3.41. If in \( k \)-algebras \( A_1^{(n_1)} \) and \( A_2^{(n_2)} \) (of arities \( n_1 \) and \( n_2 \)) there exist both polyadic units \( \eta_1^{(r,n_1)} : K^{\otimes r} \to A_1^{\otimes (n_1-1)} \) and \( \eta_2^{(r,n_2)} : K^{\otimes r} \to A_2^{\otimes (n_2-1)} \), then

1) The heteromorphism \((3.74)\) connects them as

\[
\eta_2^{(r,n_2)} \otimes \ldots \otimes \eta_2^{(r,n_2)} = \left( \Phi_s^{(n_1,n_2)} \otimes \ldots \otimes \Phi_s^{(n_1,n_2)} \right) \circ \left( \eta_1^{(r,n_1)} \otimes \ldots \otimes \eta_1^{(r,n_1)} \right),
\]

and the diagram

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
K^{\otimes s} & \xrightarrow{(\eta_1^{(r,n_1)})^{\otimes s}} & A_1^{\otimes s(n_1-1)} \\
(\eta_2^{(r,n_2)})^{\otimes s} & \downarrow & (\Phi_s^{(n_1,n_2)})^{\otimes s(n_1-1)} \\
A_2^{\otimes s(n_2-1)} & \xrightarrow{(\Phi_s^{(n_1,n_2)})^{\otimes s}} & A_2^{\otimes s(n_1-1)}
\end{array}
\]

commutes.

2) The number of “intact elements” is fixed by its maximum value

\[
\ell_{id} = s - 1,
\]

such that in the l.h.s. of \((3.74)\) there is only one multiplication \( \mu_1^{(n_1)} \).

3) The number of places \( s \) in the heteromorphism \( \Phi_s^{(n_1,n_2)} \) is fixed by the arities of the polyadic algebras

\[
s(n_2 - 1) = n_1 - 1.
\]

Proof. Using \((3.76)\) we obtain \( s(n_2 - 1) = (s - \ell_{id})(n_1 - 1) \), then the \((n_1 - 1)\) power of the heteromorphism \( \Phi_s^{(n_1,n_2)} \) maps \( A_1^{\otimes s(n_1-1)} \to A_2^{\otimes s(n_1-1)} \), and we have \( s - \ell_{id} = 1 \), which, together with \((3.76)\), gives \((3.81)\), and \((3.82)\). □
3.7. **Structure constants.** Let $A^{(n)}$ be a finite-dimensional polyadic algebra (3.1) having the basis $e_i \in A, i = 1, \ldots, N$, where $N$ is its dimension as a polyadic vector space

$$A_{\text{vec}} = \langle A, K \mid \nu^{(m)}; \mu^{(mk)}_k; \rho^{(r)} \rangle$$

(3.83)

where we denote $\nu^{(m)} = \nu^{(m_0)}_A$ (see (2.9) and (3.2), here $N = d_0$). In the binary case $a = \sum_i \lambda^{(i)} e_i$, any element $a \in A$ is determined by the number $N_\lambda$ of scalars $\lambda \in K$, which coincides with the algebra dimension $N_\lambda = N$, because $r = 1$. In the polyadic case, it can be that $r > 1$, and moreover with $m \geq 2$ the admissible number of “words” (in the expansion of $a$ by $e_i$) is “quantized”, such that $1, m, 2m - 1, 3m - 2, \ldots \ell_N (m - 1) + 1$, where $\ell_N \in \mathbb{N}_0$ is the “number of additions”. So we have

**Definition 3.42.** In $N$-dimensional $n$-ary algebra $A^{(n)}$ (with $m$-ary addition and $r$-place “scalar” multiplication) the expansion of any element $a \in A$ by the basis $\{e_i \mid i = 1, \ldots, N\}$ is

$$a = (\nu^{(m)})^{\otimes N} \left[ \rho^{(r)} \left\{ \chi^{(1)}_1, \ldots, \chi^{(1)}_r \mid e_1 \right\}, \ldots, \rho^{(r)} \left\{ \chi^{(N)}_1, \ldots, \chi^{(N)}_r \mid e_N \right\} \right],$$

(3.84)

and is determined by $N_\lambda \in \mathbb{N}$ “scalars”, where

$$N_\lambda = r N,$$

(3.85)

$$N = \ell_N (m - 1) + 1, \quad \ell_N \in \mathbb{N}_0, \quad N \in \mathbb{N}, \quad m \geq 2.$$

(3.86)

In the binary case $m = 2$, the dimension $N$ of an algebra is not restricted and is a natural number, because, $N = \ell_N + 1$.

**Assertion 3.43.** The dimension of $n$-ary algebra $A^{(n)}$ having $m$-ary addition is not arbitrary, but “quantized” and can only have the following values for $m \geq 3$

$$m = 3, \quad N = 1, 3, 5, \ldots, 2\ell_N + 1,$$

(3.87)

$$m = 4, \quad N = 1, 4, 7, \ldots, 3\ell_N + 1,$$

(3.88)

$$m = 5, \quad N = 1, 5, 9, \ldots, 4\ell_N + 1,$$

(3.89)

$$\vdots$$

**Proof.** It follows from (3.86) and demanding that the “number of additions” $\ell_N$ is natural or zero. □

In a similar way, by considering a product of the basis elements, which can also be expanded in the basis “$e_i e_j = \sum_k \chi^{(k)}_{(i,j)} e_k$”, we can define a polyadic analog of the structure constants $\chi^{(k)}_{(i,j)} \in K$.

**Definition 3.44.** The **polyadic structure constants** $\chi^{(j)}_{(r,(i_1,\ldots,i_n))} \in K$, $i_1, \ldots, i_n, j = 1, \ldots, n$ of the $N$-dimensional $n$-ary algebra $A^{(n)}$ (with $m$-ary addition $\nu^{(m)}$ and $r$-place multiaction $\rho^{(r)}$) are defined by the expansion of the $n$-ary product of the basis elements $\{e_i \mid i = 1, \ldots, N\}$ as

$$\mu^{(n)} [e_{i_1}, \ldots, e_{i_n}] = (\nu^{(m)})^{\otimes N} \left[ \rho^{(r)} \left\{ \chi^{(1)}_{1,(i_1,\ldots,i_n)}, \ldots, \chi^{(1)}_{r,(i_1,\ldots,i_n)} \mid e_1 \right\}, \ldots, \rho^{(r)} \left\{ \chi^{(N)}_{1,(i_1,\ldots,i_n)}, \ldots, \chi^{(N)}_{r,(i_1,\ldots,i_n)} \mid e_N \right\} \right],$$

(3.90)

where

$$N_X = r N^{n+1}, \quad N, N_X \in \mathbb{N},$$

(3.91)

$$N = \ell_N (m - 1) + 1, \quad \ell_N \in \mathbb{N}_0, \quad m \geq 2.$$

(3.92)

As in the binary case, we have
Corollary 3.45. The algebra multiplication $\mu^{(n)}$ of $A^{(n)}$ is fully determined by the $rN^{n+1}$ polyadic structure constants $\chi^{(j)}_{r,\{i_1,\ldots,i_n\}} \in K$.

Contrary to the binary case $m = 2$, when $N$ can be any natural number, we now have

Assertion 3.46. The number of the polyadic structure constants $N$ of the finite-dimensional $n$-ary algebra $A^{(n)}$ with $m$-ary addition and $r$-place multiaction is not arbitrary, but “quantized” according to

$$N = r(\ell, m - 1 + 1)^{n+1}, \quad r \in \mathbb{N}, \quad \ell, m, n \geq 2.$$  \hspace{1cm} (3.93)

Proof. This follows from (3.91) and “quantization” of the algebra dimension $N$, see Assertion 3.43.

4. POLYADIC COALGEBRAS

4.1. Motivation. The standard motivation for introducing the comultiplication is from representation theory [CURTIS AND REINER 1962, KIRILLOV 1976]. The first examples come from so-called addition formulas for special functions (“anciently” started from sin/cos), which actually arise from representations of groups [SCHMIDT AND STEINBERG 1993, HAZELWINKEL ET AL. 2010].

In brief (and informally), let $\pi$ be a finite-dimensional representation of a group $G$ in a vector space $V$ over a field $k$, such that

$$\pi (gh) = \pi (g) \pi (h), \quad \pi : G \to \text{End} V, \quad g, h \in G.$$  \hspace{1cm} (4.1)

In some basis of $V$ the matrix elements $\pi_{ij} (g)$ satisfy $\pi_{ij} (gh) = \sum_k \pi_{ik} (g) \pi_{kj} (h)$ (from (4.1)) and span a finite dimensional vector space $C_\pi$ of functions with a basis $e_{\pi m}$ as $f_\pi = \sum_m \alpha_m e_{\pi m}$, $f_\pi \in C_\pi$. Now (4.1) gives $f_\pi (gh) = \sum_{m,n} \beta_{mn} e_{\pi m} (g) e_{\pi n} (h)$, $f_\pi \in C_\pi$. If we omit the evaluation, it can be written in the vector space $C_\pi$ using an additional linear map $\Delta_\pi : C_\pi \to C_\pi \otimes C_\pi$, in the following way

$$\Delta_\pi (f_\pi) = \sum_{m,n} \beta_{mn} e_{\pi m} \otimes e_{\pi n} \in C_\pi \otimes C_\pi.$$  \hspace{1cm} (4.2)

Thus, to any finite-dimensional representation $\pi$ one can define the map $\Delta_\pi$ of vector spaces $C_\pi$ to functions on a group, called a comultiplication.

It is important that all the above operations are binary, and the defining formula for comultiplication (4.2) is fully determined by the definition of a representation (4.1).

The polyadic analog of a representation was introduced and studied in [DUPUJI 2018a]. In the case of multiple representations, arities of the initial group and its representation can be different. Indeed, let $G^{(n)} = \langle G | \mu^{(n)}_G \rangle, \mu^{(n)}_G : G^{\times n} \to G$, be a $n$-ary group and $G^{(n')}_{\text{End} V} = \langle \{\text{End} V\} | \mu^{(n')}_{\text{End} V} \rangle$, $\mu^{(n')}_{\text{End} V} : (\text{End} V)^{\times n'} \to \text{End} V$, is a $n'$-ary group of endomorphisms of a polyadic vector space $V^{(n)}$.

In [DUPUJI 2018a] $G^{(n')}_{\text{End} V}$ was considered as a derived one, while here we do not restrict it in this way.

Definition 4.1. A polyadic (multiple) representation of $G^{(n)}$ in $V$ is a $s$-place mapping

$$\Pi^{(n,n')}_{s} : G^{\times s} \to \text{End} V,$$  \hspace{1cm} (4.3)
satisfying the associativity preserving heteromorphism equation [DUPLJ [2018a]

\[ \Pi_s^{(n,n')}\left( \mu_G^{(n)}(g_1, \ldots g_s), \ldots, \mu_G^{(n)}(g_n(s-\ell_{id}'), \ldots, g_n(s-\ell_{id})), \ldots, g_n(s-\ell_{id}'+1), \ldots, g_n(s-\ell_{id}'+\ell_{id}') \right) \]

\[ = \mu_E^{(n')} \left( \Pi_s^{(n,n')} (g_1, \ldots g_s), \ldots, \Pi_s^{(n,n')} (g_n(s'-1), \ldots g_{sn'}) \right) \]

such that the diagram

\[ \begin{array}{ccc}
G^{s\times n'} & \xrightarrow{\left( \Pi_s^{(n,n')} \right)^{\times n'}} & (\text{End } V)^{s\times n'} \\
\left( \mu_G^{(n)} \right)^{s\times (s-\ell_{id})} \times (\text{id}_G)^{s\times \ell_{id}} & \downarrow & \downarrow \\
G^{s\times n'} & \xrightarrow{\left( \Pi_s^{(n,n')} \right)^{\times n'}} & \text{End } V
\end{array} \]

(4.5)

commutes, and the arity changing formula

\[ sn' = n (s - \ell_{id}') + \ell_{id}' \]

(4.6)

where \( \ell_{id}' \) is the number of “intact elements” in l.h.s. of (4.4), \( 0 \leq \ell_{id}' \leq s - 1, 2 \leq n' \leq n \).

Remark 4.2. Particular examples of 2-place representations of ternary groups \( (s = 2, \text{ which change arity from } n = 3 \text{ to } n' = 2) \), together with their matrix representations, were presented in [DUPLJ [2018a], [BOROWIEC ET AL. [2006].

4.2. Polyadic comultiplication. Our motivations say that in constructing a polyadic analog of the comultiplication, one should not only “reverse arrows”, but also pay thorough attention to arities.

**Assertion 4.3.** The arity of polyadic comultiplication coincides with the arity of the representation and can differ from the arity of the polyadic algebra.

**Proof.** It follows from (4.1), (4.2) and (4.3). \[ \square \]

Let us consider a polyadic vector space over the polyadic field \( k^{(m_k,n_k)} \) as (see (3.2))

\[ C_{vect} = \left\langle C, K \mid \nu_C^{(m_k)}, \nu_k^{(m_k)}, \rho_k^{(n_k)} \right\rangle, \]

(4.7)

where \( \nu_C^{(m_k)} : C^{\times m_k} \to C \) is \( m_k \)-ary addition and \( \rho_C^{(n_k)} : K^{\times r_c} \times C \to C \) is \( r_c \)-place action (see (2.7)).

**Definition 4.4.** A polyadic \( (n') \)-ary comultiplication is a \( k \)-linear map \( \Delta^{(n')} : C \to C^{\otimes n'} \).

**Definition 4.5.** A polyadic (coassociative) coalgebra (or \( k \)-coalgebra) is the polyadic vector space \( C_{vect} \) equipped with the polyadic comultiplication

\[ C = C^{(n')} = \left\langle C_{vect} \mid \Delta^{(n')} \right\rangle, \]

(4.8)

which is (totally) coassociative

\[ \left( \text{id}_C^{\otimes (n'-1-i)} \otimes \Delta^{(n')} \otimes \text{id}_C^{\otimes i} \right) \circ \Delta^{(n')} = \left( \text{id}_C^{\otimes (n-1-j)} \otimes \Delta^{(n')} \otimes \text{id}_C^{\otimes j} \right) \circ \Delta^{(n')}, \]

\[ \forall i, j = 0, \ldots n - 1, \ i \neq j, \ \text{id}_C : C \to C, \]

(4.9)
and such that the diagram

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
C^{\otimes (2n'-1)} & \xrightarrow{id_C^{\otimes (n'-1)} \otimes \Delta (n') \otimes id_C^{\otimes 1}} & C^{\otimes n'} \\
\downarrow{id_C^{\otimes (n'-1)} \otimes \Delta (n') \otimes id_C^{\otimes 1}} & & \downarrow{\Delta (n')} \\
C^{\otimes n'} & \xrightarrow{\Delta (n')} & C
\end{array}
\]

commutes (cf. (3.34)).

**Definition 4.6.** A polyadic coalgebra \( C^{(n')} \) is called **totally co-commutative**, if

\[
\Delta (n') = \tau_{n'} \circ \Delta (n'),
\]

where \( \tau_{n'} \in S_{n'} \), and \( S_{n'} \) is the permutation symmetry group on \( n' \) elements.

**Definition 4.7.** A polyadic coalgebra \( C^{(n')} \) is called **medially co-commutative**, if

\[
\Delta_{\text{cop}} ^{(n')} = \tau_{\text{op}}^{(n')} \circ \Delta (n') = \Delta (n'),
\]

where \( \tau_{\text{op}}^{(n')} \) is the medially allowed polyadic twist map (3.63).

There are no other axioms in the definition of a polyadic coalgebra, following the same reasoning as for a polyadic algebra: the possible absence of zeroes and units (see Remark 3.16 and Table 1). Obviously, in a polyadic coalgebra \( C^{(n')} \), there is no “unit element”, because there is no multiplication, and a polyadic analog of counit can be only defined, when the underlying field \( k_{(m_k, n_k)} \) is unital (which is not always the case [DUPLII 2017]).

By analogy with (2.8), introduce the \( \ell' \)-coiterated \( n' \)-ary comultiplication by

\[
\left( \Delta^{(n')} \right)^{\circ \ell'} = \left( \left( id_C^{\otimes (n'-1)} \otimes \ldots \otimes id_C^{\otimes (n'-1)} \right) \Delta^{(n')} \ldots \circ \Delta^{(n')} \right) \circ \Delta^{(n')}, \quad \ell' \in \mathbb{N}.
\]

Therefore, the *admissible* length of any co-word is fixed (“quantized”) as \( \ell' (n' - 1) + 1 \), but not arbitrary, as in the binary case.

Let us introduce a co-analog of the derived \( n \)-ary multiplication (3.38) by

**Definition 4.8.** A polyadic comultiplication \( \Delta_{\text{der}} ^{(n')} \) is called **derived**, if it is \( \ell_d \)-coiterated from the comultiplication \( \Delta_0 ^{(n'_0)} \) of lower arity \( n'_0 < n' \)

\[
\Delta_{\text{der}} ^{(n')} = \left( \left( id_C^{\otimes (n'_0-1)} \otimes \ldots \otimes id_C^{\otimes (n'_0-1)} \right) \Delta_0 ^{(n'_0)} \ldots \circ \Delta_0 ^{(n'_0)} \right) \circ \Delta_0 ^{(n'_0)},
\]

or

\[
\Delta_{\text{der}} ^{(n')} = \left( \Delta_0 ^{(n'_0)} \right)^{\circ \ell_d},
\]

where

\[
n' = \ell_d (n'_0 - 1) + 1,
\]

and \( \ell_d \geq 2 \) is the “number of coiterations”.

The standard coiterations of \( \Delta \) are binary and restricted by \( n'_0 = 2 \) (SWEEDLER [1969]).
Example 4.9. The matrix coalgebra generated by the basis $e_{ij}$, $i, j = 1, \ldots, N$ of $\text{Mat}_N(\mathbb{C})$ with the binary coproduct $\Delta_0^{(2)}(e_{ij}) = \sum_k e_{ik} \otimes e_{kj}$ (see, e.g., [ABF 1980]) can be extended to the derived ternary coalgebra by $\Delta_{\text{der}}^{(3)}(e_{ij}) = \sum_{k,l} e_{ik} \otimes e_{kl} \otimes e_{lj}$, such that (4.14) becomes $\Delta_{\text{der}}^{(3)} = (\text{id}_C \otimes \Delta_0^{(2)}) \Delta_0^{(2)} = (\Delta_0^{(2)} \otimes \text{id}_C) \Delta_0^{(2)}$.

Example 4.10. Let us consider the ternary coalgebra $\langle C \mid \Delta^{(3)} \rangle$ generated by two elements $\{a, b\} \subseteq C$ with the von Neumann regular looking comultiplication

$$\Delta^{(3)}(a) = a \otimes b \otimes a, \quad \Delta^{(3)}(b) = b \otimes a \otimes b.$$  

(4.17)

It is easy to check that $\Delta^{(3)}$ is coassociative and nonderived.

Definition 4.11. A polyadic coalgebra $C^{(n')} (4.8)$ is called co medial, if its $n'$-ary multiplication map satisfies the relation

$$\left(\left(\Delta^{(n')} \otimes^{n'}\right) \circ \Delta^{(n')}\right) \circ \tau^{(n',n')}_\text{medial} = \left(\Delta^{(n')} \otimes^{n'}\right) \circ \Delta^{(n')},$$  

(4.18)

where $\tau^{(n',n')}_\text{medial}$ is the polyadic medial map given by (3.59)-(3.60).

Introduce a $k$-linear $r'$-place action map $\tilde{\rho}^{(r')} : K^{\otimes r'} \otimes C \rightarrow C$ corresponding to $\rho^{(r_e)}_C$ by (see 3.65)

$$\tilde{\rho}^{(r')} \circ (\lambda_1 \otimes \ldots \otimes \lambda_{r'} \otimes c) = \rho^{(r_e)}_C (\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_{r'} \mid c), \quad \lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_{r'} \in K, \ c \in C.$$  

(4.19)

Let $k^{(m_k,n_k)}$ be unital with unit $e_k$.

Definition 4.12. A $k$-linear $r'$-place coaction map $\sigma^{(r')} : C \rightarrow K^{\otimes r'} \otimes C$ is defined by

$$c \mapsto e_k \otimes \ldots \otimes e_k \otimes c.$$  

(4.20)

Assertion 4.13. The coaction map $\sigma^{(r')}$ is a “right inverse” for the multi action map $\tilde{\rho}^{(r')}$

$$\tilde{\rho}^{(r')} \circ \sigma^{(r')} = \text{id}_C.$$  

(4.21)

Proof. This follows from the normalization (4.3), (4.20). □

Remark 4.14. The maps (4.19) and (4.20) establish the isomorphism $k \otimes \ldots \otimes k \otimes C \cong C$, which is well-known in the binary case (see, e.g., [YOKONUMA 1992]).

We can provide the definition of counit only in the case where the underlying field $k$ has a unit.

Definition 4.15 (Counit axiom). The polyadic coalgebra $C^{(n)} (3.32)$ over the unital polyadic field $k^{(m_k,n_k)}$ contains a $k$-linear polyadic (right) counit map $\varepsilon^{(n',r')} : C^{\otimes (n'-1)} \rightarrow K^{\otimes r'}$ satisfying

$$\left(\varepsilon^{(n',r')} \otimes \text{id}_C\right) \circ \Delta^{(n')} = \sigma^{(r')}.$$  

(4.22)

such that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
K^{\otimes r'} \otimes C & \xrightarrow{\varepsilon^{(n',r')} \otimes \text{id}_C} & C^{\otimes n'} \\
\sigma^{(r')} \downarrow & & \Delta^{(n')} \downarrow \\
C & & C^{\otimes n'}
\end{array}$$  

(4.23)

commutes (cf. (4.40)).
Remark 4.16. We cannot write the “elementwise” normalization action for the counit analogous to (4.41) (and state the Assertion [3.20]), because a unit element in a (polyadic) coalgebra is not defined.

By analogy with the derived polyadic unit (see [3.43] and Definition 3.22), consider a “derived” version of the polyadic counit.

Definition 4.17. The $\mathbb{k}$-linear derived polyadic counit (neutral counit sequence) of the polyadic coalgebra $C^{(n')}$ is the set $\epsilon^{(r')} = \left\{ \epsilon^{(r')}_i \right\}$ of $n' - 1$ maps $\epsilon^{(r')}_i : C \rightarrow K^{\otimes r'}$, $i = 1, \ldots, n' - 1$, satisfying
\[
\left( \epsilon^{(r')}_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \epsilon^{(r')}_{n'-1} \otimes \text{id}_C \right) \circ \Delta^{(n')} = \sigma^{(r')},
\]
(4.24)
where $\text{id}_C$ can be on any place. If $\epsilon^{(r')}_1 = \cdots = \epsilon^{(r')}_{n'-1} = \epsilon^{(r')}_0$, we call it the strong derived polyadic counit. In general, we can define formally, cf. (3.44),
\[
\epsilon^{(n',r')}_{der} = \epsilon^{(r')}_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \epsilon^{(r')}_{n'-1}.
\]
(4.25)

Definition 4.18. A polyadic coassociative coalgebra $C^{(n')}_{der} = \langle C_{vect} | \Delta^{(n')}_{der}, \epsilon^{(n',r')}_{der} \rangle$ is called derived from $C^{(n')}_{0} = \langle C_{vect} | \Delta^{(n')}_{0}, \epsilon^{(n',r')}_{0} \rangle$, if (4.14) and
\[
\epsilon^{(n',r')}_{der} = \underbrace{\epsilon^{(n',r')}_{0}}_{n'_0-1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \epsilon^{(n',r')}_{0}
\]
(4.26)
hold, where $\epsilon^{(n',r')}_{0} = \epsilon^{(r')}_0 \otimes \cdots \otimes \epsilon^{(r')}_0$ (formally, because $\text{id}_C$ in (4.24) can be on any place).

In [DUPLI] (2001, 2018b) the particular case for $n' = 3$ and $r' = 1$ was considered.

4.3. Homomorphisms of polyadic coalgebras. In the binary case, a morphism of coalgebras is a linear map $\psi : C_1 \rightarrow C_2$ which “commutes” with comultiplications (“$(\psi \otimes \psi) \circ \Delta_1 = \Delta_2 \circ \varphi$”). It seems that for the polyadic coalgebras, one could formally change the direction of all arrows in (3.75). However, we observed that arity changing is possible for multivalued morphisms only. Therefore, here we confine ourselves to homomorphisms (1-place heteromorphisms [DUPLI] (2018a)).

Let us consider two polyadic (equiary) $\mathbb{k}$-coalgebras $C^{(n')}_1 = \langle C_1 | \Delta^{(n')}_1 \rangle$ and $C^{(n')}_2 = \langle C_2 | \Delta^{(n')}_2 \rangle$ over the same polyadic field $\mathbb{k}^{(m_1,n_k)}$.

Definition 4.19. A (coalgebra) homomorphism between polyadic (equiary) coalgebras $C^{(n')}_1$ and $C^{(n')}_2$ is a $\mathbb{k}$-linear map $\Psi^{(n')} : C_1 \rightarrow C_2$, such that
\[
\left( \Psi^{(n')} \otimes \cdots \otimes \Psi^{(n')} \right) \circ \Delta^{(n')}_1 = \Delta^{(n')}_2 \circ \Psi^{(n')},
\]
(4.27)
and the diagram
\[
\begin{tikzcd}
C_2 \otimes^{n'} \Psi^{(n')} & C_1 \otimes^{n'} \Psi^{(n')} \arrow[l, \Delta^{(n')}_2] \\
\Psi^{(n')} \arrow[r, \Delta^{(n')}_1] & C_1
\end{tikzcd}
\]
(4.28)
commutes (cf. \(3.75\)).

Only when the underlying field \(\mathbb{k}\) is unital, we can also define a morphism for counits.

**Definition 4.20.** The counit homomorphism for \(\epsilon_{1,2}^{(n',r')} : C_{1,2}^{(n'-1)} \to K^{\otimes r'}\) is given by

\[
\epsilon_2^{(n',r')} = \epsilon_1^{(n',r')} \circ \left( \Psi^{(n')} \otimes \ldots \otimes \Psi^{(n')} \right),
\]

and the diagram

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
K^{\otimes r'} & \xrightarrow{\epsilon_2^{(n',r')}} & C_2^{(n'-1)} \\
\epsilon_1^{(n',r')} \downarrow & & \downarrow (\Psi^{(n')})^{\otimes (n'-1)} \\
C_1^{(n'-1)} & \xrightarrow{} &
\end{array}
\]

commutes (cf. \(3.80\)).

**4.4. Tensor product of polyadic coalgebras.** Let us consider \(n'\) polyadic equiary coalgebras \(C_i^{(n')} = \left\langle C_i \mid \Delta_i^{(n')} \right\rangle, i = 1, \ldots, n'\).

**Proposition 4.21.** The tensor product of the coalgebras has a structure of the polyadic coassociative coalgebra \(C_{\otimes}^{(n')} = \left\langle C_{\otimes} \mid \Delta_{\otimes}^{(n')} \right\rangle, C_{\otimes} = \bigotimes_{i=1}^{n'} C_i\), if

\[
\Delta_{\otimes}^{(n')} = \tau_{\text{medial}}^{(n',n')} \circ \left( \Delta_i^{(n')} \otimes \ldots \otimes \Delta_i^{(n')} \right),
\]

where \(\tau_{\text{medial}}^{(n',n')}\) is defined in \(3.60\) and \(\Delta_{\otimes}^{(n')} : C_{\otimes} \to C_{\otimes} \otimes \ldots \otimes C_{\otimes}\).

The proof is in full analogy with that of **Proposition 3.35** If all of the coalgebras \(C_i^{(n')}\) have counits, we denote them \(\epsilon_i^{(n',r')} : C_i^{(n'-1)} \to K^{\otimes r'}, i = 1, \ldots, n'\), and the counit map of \(C_{\otimes}^{(n')}\) will be denoted by \(\epsilon_{\otimes}^{(n',r')} : C_{\otimes}^{(n'-1)} \to K^{\otimes r'}\). We have (in analogy to “\(\epsilon_{C_1 \otimes C_2} (c_1 \otimes c_2) = \epsilon_{C_1} (c_1) \epsilon_{C_2} (c_2)\)"

**Proposition 4.22.** The tensor product coalgebra \(C_{\otimes}^{(n')}\) has a counit which is defined by

\[
\epsilon_{\otimes}^{(n',r')} \circ \left( c_1 \otimes \ldots \otimes c_{n'(n'-1)} \right)
= \mu_k \circ \left( \epsilon_1^{(n',r')} \circ \left( c_1 \otimes \ldots \otimes c_{n'(n'-1)} \right) \otimes \ldots \otimes \epsilon_n^{(n',r')} \circ \left( c_{(n'-1)(n'-1)} \otimes \ldots \otimes c_{n'(n'-1)} \right) \right),
\]

\[c_i \in C_i, \ i = 1, \ldots, n' (n' - 1),\]

and the arity of the comultiplication coincides with the arity of the underlying field

\[n' = n_k.\]
4.5. **Polyadic coalgebras in the Sweedler notation.** The \( \mathbb{k} \)-linear coalgebra comultiplication map \( \Delta^{(n')} \) defined in **Definition 4.4** is useful for a “diagrammatic” description of polyadic coalgebras, and it corresponds to the algebra multiplication map \( \mu^{(n)} \), which both manipulate with sets. However, for concrete computations (with elements) we need an analog of the polyadic algebra multiplication \( \mu^{(n)} \equiv \mu_A^{(n)} \) from (3.3). The connection of \( \mu^{(n)} \) and \( \mu^{(n)} \) is given by (3.31), which can be treated as a “bridge” between the “diagrammatic” and “elementwise” descriptions. The co-analog of (3.31) was not considered, because the comultiplication has only one argument. To be consistent, we introduce the “elementwise” comultiplication \( \Delta^{(n')} \) as the coanalog of \( \mu^{(n)} \) by the evaluation

\[
\Delta^{(n')} \circ (c) = \Delta^{(n')} (c), \quad c \in C.
\]

(4.34)

In general, one does not distinguish \( \Delta^{(n')} \) and \( \Delta^{(n')} \) and may use one symbol in both descriptions.

In real “elementwise” coalgebra computations with many variables and comultiplications acting on them, the indices and various letters reproduce themselves in such a way that it is impossible to observe the structure of the expressions. Therefore, instead of different letters in the binary decomposition (“\( \Delta (c) = \sum_i a_i \otimes b_i \)” and [4.2]) it was proposed [Sweedler 1968] to use the same letter (“\( \Delta (c) = \sum_i c_{[1],i} \otimes c_{[2],i} \)”)), and then go from the real sum \( \sum_i \) to the formal sum \( \sum_i \) as (“\( \Delta (c) = \sum_{[c]} c_{[1]} \otimes c_{[2]} \)” remembering the place of the components \( c_{[1]}, c_{[2]} \) only), because the real indices pullulate in complicated formulas enormously. In simple cases, the sum sign was also omitted (“\( \Delta (c) = c_{[1]} \otimes c_{[2]} \)”), which recalls the Einstein index summation rule in physics. This trick abbreviated tedious coalgebra computations and was called the (sumless) Sweedler (sigma) notation (sometimes it is called the Heyneman-Sweedler notation [Heyneman and Sweedler 1969]).

Now we can write \( \Delta^{(n')} \) as an \( n' \)-ary decomposition in the manifest “elementwise” form

\[
\Delta^{(n')} (c) = (\nu^{(m)})^{\ell_{\Delta}} \left[ c_{[1],1} \otimes c_{[2],1} \otimes \ldots \otimes c_{[n'],1}, \ldots, c_{[1],N_\Delta} \otimes c_{[2],N_\Delta} \otimes \ldots \otimes c_{[n'],N_\Delta} \right], \quad c_{[j],i} \in C,
\]

(4.35)

where \( \ell_\Delta \in \mathbb{N}_0 \) is a “number of additions”, and \( N_\Delta \in \mathbb{N} \) is the “number of summands”. In the binary case, the number of summands in the decomposition is not “algebraically” restricted, because \( N_\Delta = \ell_\Delta + 1 \). In the polyadic case, we have

**Assertion 4.23.** The admissible “number of summands” \( N_\Delta \) in the polyadic comultiplication is

\[
N_\Delta = \ell_\Delta (m - 1) + 1, \quad \ell_\Delta \in \mathbb{N}_0, \quad m \geq 2.
\]

(4.36)

Therefore, the “quantization” of \( N_\Delta \) coincides with that of the \( N \)-dimensional polyadic algebra (see **Assertion 3.43**).

Introduce the **polyadic Sweedler notation** by exchanging in (4.35) the real \( m \)-ary addition \( \nu^{(m)} \) by the formal addition \( \nu_{[c]} \) and writing

\[
\Delta^{(n')} (c) = \nu_{[c]} \left[ c_{[1]} \otimes c_{[2]} \otimes \ldots \otimes c_{[n']} \right] \Rightarrow c_{[1]} \otimes c_{[2]} \otimes \ldots \otimes c_{[n']},
\]

(4.37)

Remember here that we can formally add only \( N_\Delta \) summands, because of the “quantization” rule.

The polyadic Sweedler notation power can be seen in the following
Example 4.24. We apply (4.37) to the coassociativity (4.9) with \( n' = 3 \), to obtain
\[
(id \otimes id \otimes \Delta^{(3)}) \circ \Delta^{(3)}(c) = (id \otimes \Delta^{(3)} \otimes id) \circ \Delta^{(3)}(c) = (\Delta^{(3)} \otimes id \otimes id) \circ \Delta^{(3)}(c) \Rightarrow (4.38)
\]
\[
= \nu_{[c]} [c_{[1]} \otimes c_{[2]} \otimes \nu_{[c_2]} \left[ (c_{[3]})_{[1]} \otimes (c_{[3]})_{[2]} \otimes (c_{[3]})_{[3]} \right]]
\]
\[
= \nu_{[c]} [c_{[1]} \otimes \nu_{[c_2]} \left[ (c_{[2]})_{[1]} \otimes (c_{[2]})_{[2]} \otimes (c_{[2]})_{[3]} \otimes c_{[3]} \right]]
\]
\[
= \nu_{[c]} \nu_{[c_2]} \left[ (c_{[1]})_{[1]} \otimes (c_{[1]})_{[2]} \otimes (c_{[1]})_{[3]} \otimes c_{[2]} \otimes c_{[3]} \right]. \tag{4.39}
\]
After dropping the brackets and applying the Sweedler trick for the second time, we get the same formal expression in all three cases
\[
\left( \nu_{[c]} \right)^{o_2} [c_{[1]} \otimes c_{[2]} \otimes c_{[3]} \otimes c_{[4]} \otimes c_{[5]}]. \tag{4.40}
\]

Unfortunately, in the polyadic case the Sweedler notation loses too much information to be useful.

Assertion 4.25. The polyadic Sweedler notation can be applied to only the derived polyadic coalgebras (see Definition 4.18).

Nevertheless, if in an expression there are no coiterations, one can formally use it (e.g., in the polyadic analog (4.22) of the counting axiom “\( \sum \in (c_{[1]} \otimes c_{[2]} = c') \)).

4.6. Polyadic group-like and primitive elements. Let us consider some special kinds of elements in a polyadic coalgebra \( C^{(n')} \). We should take into account that in the polyadic case, as in (4.35), there can only be the admissible “number of summands” \( N_\Delta \) (4.36).

Definition 4.26. An element \( g \) of \( C^{(n')} \) is called polyadic semigroup-like, if
\[
\Delta^{(n')} (g) = g \otimes \ldots \otimes g, \quad g \in C. \tag{4.41}
\]
When \( C^{(n')} \) has the counit \( \varepsilon^{(n', r')} \) (4.22), then \( g \) is called polyadic group-like, if (“\( \varepsilon (g) = 1 \)”)
\[
\varepsilon^{(n', r')} \circ \left( g \otimes \ldots \otimes g \right) = e_k \otimes \ldots \otimes e_k, \tag{4.42}
\]
where \( e_k \) is the unit of the underlying polyadic field \( k \).

Definition 4.27. An element \( x \) of \( C^{(n')} \) is called polyadic skew \( k_p \)-primitive, if (“\( \Delta (x) = g_1 \otimes x + x \otimes g_2 \)”)
\[
\Delta^{(n')} (x) = (\nu(m))^{o_2} \left[ \left( g_{k_p} \otimes \ldots \otimes g_{k_p} \otimes x \otimes \ldots \otimes x \right), \ldots,
\]
\[
\nu_{[n'-k_p]} \left[ x \otimes \ldots \otimes x \otimes g_{(N_\Delta - 1)k_p + 1} \otimes \ldots \otimes g_{N_\Delta k_p} \right], \tag{4.43}
\]
where \( 1 \leq k_p \leq n' - 1 \), \( N_\Delta = \ell_\Delta (m - 1) + 1 \) is the total “number of summands”, here \( \ell_\Delta \in \mathbb{N} \) is the “number of \( m \)-ary additions”, and \( g_i \in C, i = 1, \ldots, N_\Delta k_p \) are polyadic (semi-)group-like (4.41). In (4.43) the \( n' - k_p \) elements \( x \) move from the right to the left by one.
Assertion 4.28. If \( k_p = n' - 1 \), then \( \Delta^{(n')} (x) \) is “linear” in \( x \), and \( n' = \ell_{\Delta} (m - 1) + 1 \).

In this case, we call \( x \) a polyadic primitive element.

Example 4.29. Let \( n' = 3 \) and \( k_p = 2 \), then \( m = 3 \), and we have only one ternary addition \( \ell_{\Delta} = 1 \)

\[
\Delta^{(3)} (x) = \nu^{(3)} \left[ g_1 \otimes g_2 \otimes x, \ g_3 \otimes x \otimes g_4, \ x \otimes g_5 \otimes g_6 \right],
\]

(4.44)

\[
\Delta^{(3)} (g_i) = g_i \otimes g_i \otimes g_i, \ i = 1, \ldots, 6.
\]

(4.45)

The ternary coassociativity gives \( g_1 = g_2 = g_3 \) and \( g_4 = g_5 = g_6 \). Therefore, the general form of the ternary primitive element is

\[
\Delta^{(3)} (x) = \nu^{(3)} \left[ g_1 \otimes g_1 \otimes x, \ g_1 \otimes x \otimes g_2, \ x \otimes g_2 \otimes g_2 \right].
\]

(4.46)

Note that coassociativity leads to the derived comultiplication (4.14), because

\[
\Delta^{(3)} (x) = \left( \text{id} \otimes \Delta^{(2)} \right) \Delta^{(2)} (x) = \left( \Delta^{(2)} \otimes \text{id} \right) \Delta^{(2)} (x),
\]

(4.47)

\[
\Delta^{(2)} (x) = g_1 \otimes x + x \otimes g_2.
\]

(4.48)

The same situation occurs with the “linear” comultiplication of any arity \( n' \), i.e. when \( k_p = n' - 1 \).

The most important difference with the binary case is the “intermediate” possibility \( k_p < n' - 1 \), when the r.h.s. is “nonlinear” in \( x \).

Example 4.30. In the case where \( n' = 3 \) and \( k_p = 1 \), we have \( m = 3 \), and \( \ell_{\Delta} = 1 \)

\[
\Delta^{(3)} (x) = \nu^{(3)} \left[ g_1 \otimes x \otimes x, \ x \otimes g_2 \otimes x, \ x \otimes x \otimes g_3 \right],
\]

(4.49)

\[
\Delta^{(3)} (g_i) = g_i \otimes g_i \otimes g_i, \ i = 1, \ldots, 3.
\]

(4.50)

Now ternary coassociativity cannot be achieved with any values of \( g_i \). This is true for any arity \( n' \) and any “nonlinear” comultiplication.

Therefore, we arrive at the general structure

Assertion 4.31. In a polyadic coassociative coalgebra \( C^{(n')} \) polyadic primitive elements exist, if and only if the \( n' \)-ary comultiplication \( \Delta^{(n')} \) is derived (4.14) from the binary comultiplication \( \Delta^{(2)} \).

4.7. Polyadic analog of duality. The connection between binary associative algebras and coassociative coalgebras (formally named as “reversing arrows”) is given in terms of the dual vector space (dual module) concept. Informally, for a binary coalgebra \( C^{(2)} = \langle C \mid \Delta, \varepsilon \rangle \) considered as a vector space over a binary field \( k \) (a \( k \)-vector space), its dual is \( C^*_2 = \text{Hom}_k (C, k) \) with the natural pairing \( C^* \times C \to k \) given by \( f(c), f \in C^*, c \in C \). The canonical injection \( \theta : C^* \otimes C^* \to (C \otimes C)^* \) is defined by

\[
\theta (f_1 \otimes f_2) \circ (c_1 \otimes c_2) = f_1 (c_1) f_2 (c_2), \quad c_{1,2} \in C, \quad f_{1,2} \in C^*,
\]

(4.51)

which is an isomorphism in the finite-dimensional case. The transpose of \( \Delta : C \to C \otimes C \) is a \( k \)-linear map \( \Delta^* : (C \otimes C)^* \to C^* \) acting as \( \Delta^* (\xi) (c) = \xi \circ (\Delta (c)) \), where \( \xi \in (C \otimes C)^*, c \in C \). The multiplication \( \mu_\circ \) on the set \( C^* \) is the map \( C^* \otimes C^* \to C^* \), and therefore we have to use the canonical injection \( \theta \) as follows

\[
\mu_\circ : C^* \otimes C^* \xrightarrow{\theta} (C \otimes C)^* \xrightarrow{\Delta^*} C^*,
\]

(4.52)

\[
\mu_\circ = \Delta^* \circ \theta.
\]

(4.53)
4. POLYADIC COALGEBRAS

Polyadic analog of duality

The associativity of \( \mu_s \) follows from the coassociativity of \( \Delta \). Since \( k^* \cong k \), the dual of the counit is the unit \( \eta : k \xrightarrow{\varepsilon} C^* \). Therefore, \( C^{(2)*} = \langle C^* \mid \mu_s, \eta_s \rangle \) is a binary associative algebra which is called the dual algebra of the binary coalgebra \( C^{(2)} = \langle C \mid \Delta, \varepsilon \rangle \) (see, e.g. [RADFORD 2012]).

In the polyadic case, arities of the comultiplication, its dual multiplication and the underlying field can be different, but connected by (4.51). Let us consider a polyadic coassociative coalgebra \( C^{(n')} \) with \( n' \)-ary comultiplication \( \Delta^{(n')} \) over \( k^{(m_k, n_k)} \). In search of the most general polyadic analog of the injection (4.51), we arrive at the possibility of multiplace morphisms.

**Definition 4.32.** For the polyadic coalgebra \( C^{(n')} \) considered as a polyadic vector space over \( k^{(m_k, n_k)} \), a polyadic dual is \( C^* = \text{Hom}_k (C^{\otimes s}, K) \) with \( s \)-place pairing \( C^* \times C \times \ldots \times C \to K \) giving by \( f(s)(c_1, \ldots, c_s) \), \( f \in C^*, c_i \in C, s \in \mathbb{N} \).

While constructing a polyadic analog of (4.51), recall that for any \( n' \)-ary operation the admissible length of a co-word is \( \ell' (n' - 1) + 1 \), where \( \ell' \) is the number of the iterated operation (4.13).

**Definition 4.33.** A polyadic canonical injection map \( \theta^{(n^*, n', s)} \) of \( C^{(n')} \) is defined by

\[
\theta^{(n^*, n', s)} = \left( f^{(s)}_1 \otimes \ldots \otimes f^{(s)}_{n^*} \right) \circ \left( c_1 \otimes \ldots \otimes c_{\ell'(n' - 1) + 1} \right)
\]

\[
\left( \mu^{(n_k)}_k \right)_{\circ \mathbb{N}} \left[ f^{(s)}_1(c_1, \ldots, c_s), \ldots, f^{(s)}_{\ell_k(n_k - 1) + 1}(c_{(n^* - 1)s + 1}, \ldots, c_{n^*s}) \right], \tag{4.54}
\]

where

\[
n^*s = \ell' (n' - 1) + 1, \quad \ell' \in \mathbb{N}, \quad n' \geq 2,
\]

\[
n^* = \ell_k (n_k - 1) + 1, \quad \ell_k \in \mathbb{N}, \quad n_k \geq 2. \tag{4.55}\]

It is obvious that \( \theta^{(2,2,1)} = \theta \) from (4.51). Then, the polyadic transpose map of the \( n' \)-ary comultiplication \( \Delta^{(n')} : C \to \widehat{C} \otimes \ldots \otimes \widehat{C} \) is a \( k \)-linear map \( \Delta^{(n'^*)} : \left( \widehat{C} \otimes \ldots \otimes \widehat{C} \right)^* \to C^* \) such that

\[
\Delta^{(n'^*)} \circ \left( \xi^{(n'^*)} \right)(c) = \xi^{(n'^*)} \circ \left( \left( \Delta^{(n')} \right)^{\circ \ell'}(c) \right),
\]

\[
\xi^{(n'^*)} \in \left( \widehat{C} \otimes \ldots \otimes \widehat{C} \right)^*, \quad n'^* = \ell' (n' - 1) + 1, \quad c \in C \tag{4.57}
\]

where \( \ell' \) is the “number of comultiplications” (see (2.6) for multiplications and (4.13)).

**Definition 4.34.** A \( n^* \)-ary multiplication map \( \mu^{(n^*)}_s \) which is (one way) dual to the \( n' \)-ary comultiplication map \( \Delta^{(n')} \) is given by the composition of the polyadic canonical injection \( \theta^{(n^*, n', s)} \) (4.54) and the polyadic transpose \( \Delta^{(n'^*)}_s \) (4.57) by

\[
\mu^{(n^*)}_s = \Delta^{(n'^*)}_s \circ \theta^{(n^*, n', s)}. \tag{4.58}
\]
Indeed, using (4.54) and (4.57) we obtain (in Sweedler notation)
\[
\mu^{(n^*)}_* \circ \left( f_1^{(s)} \otimes \ldots \otimes f_{n^*}^{(s)} \right) \circ (c) = \Delta^{(n^*)}_* \circ \theta^{(n^*,n',s)}_* \circ \left( f_1^{(s)} \otimes \ldots \otimes f_{n^*}^{(s)} \right) \circ (c)
\]
\[
= \theta^{(n^*,n',s)}_* \circ \left( f_1^{(s)} \otimes \ldots \otimes f_{n^*}^{(s)} \right) \circ \left( \left( \Delta^{(n')} \right)^{\otimes f}(c) \right)
\]
\[
= \left( \mu^{(nk)}_k \right)^{\otimes f} \left[ f_1^{(s)} \left( C_{[1]}, \ldots, C_{[s]} \right), \ldots ; f_{k(nk-1)+1}^{(s)} \left( C_{[(n^*-1)s+1]}, \ldots, C_{[n^*s]} \right) \right],
\] (4.59)
and (4.55)–(4.56) are valid, from which we arrive at

**Assertion 4.35.** In the polyadic case the arity \(n^*\) of the multiplication \(\mu^{(n^*)}_*\) can be different from the arity \(n'\) of the initial coalgebra \(C^{(n')}\).

**Remark 4.36.** If \(n \neq n'\) and \(s \geq 2\), the word “duality” can only be used conditionally.

### 4.8. Polyadic convolution product

If \(A^{(2)} = \langle A \mid \mu, \eta \rangle\) is a binary algebra and \(C^{(2)}_k = \langle C \mid \Delta, \varepsilon \rangle\) is a coalgebra over a binary field \(k\), then a more general set of \(k\)-linear maps \(\text{Hom}_k(C, A)\) can be considered, while its particular case where \(A^{(2)} = k\) corresponds to the above duality. The multiplication on \(\text{Hom}_k(C, A)\) is the *convolution product* \((\ast)\) which can be uniquely constructed in the natural way: by applying first comultiplication \(\Delta\) and then multiplication \(\mu \equiv (\cdot)\) to an element of \(C\), as \(C \xrightarrow{\Delta} C \otimes C \xrightarrow{f \otimes g} A \otimes A \xrightarrow{\mu} A\) or \(f \ast g = \mu \circ (f \otimes g) \circ \Delta\), where \(f, g \in \text{Hom}_k(C, A)\). The associativity of the convolution product follows from the associativity of \(\mu\) and coassociativity of \(\Delta\), and the role of the identity (neutral element) in \(\text{Hom}_k(C, A)\) is played by the composition of the unit map \(\eta : k \to A\) and the counit map \(\varepsilon : C \to k\), such that \(e_* = \eta \circ \varepsilon \in \text{Hom}_k(C, A)\), because \(e_* \ast f = f \ast e_* = f\). Indeed, from the obvious relation \(\text{id}_A \circ f = \text{id}_C = f\) and the unit and counit axioms it follows that

\[
\mu \circ (\eta \otimes \text{id}_A) \circ (\text{id}_K \otimes f) \circ (\varepsilon \otimes \text{id}_C) \circ \Delta = \mu \circ (\eta \circ \text{id}_K \circ \varepsilon) \otimes (\text{id}_A \circ f \circ \text{id}_C) \circ \Delta = e_* \ast f = f, \tag{4.60}
\]
or in Sweedler notation

\[
\varepsilon \left( C_{[1]} \right) \cdot f \left( C_{[2]} \right) = f \left( C_{[1]} \right) \cdot \varepsilon \left( C_{[2]} \right) = f (c).
\]

The polyadic analog of duality and (4.59) offer an idea of how to generalize the binary convolution product to the most exotic case, when the algebra and coalgebra have different arities \(n \neq n'\).

Let \(A^{(n)}\) and \(C^{(n')}_k\) be, respectively, a polyadic associative algebra and a coassociative coalgebra over the same polyadic field \(k^{(m_k,n_k)}\). If they are both unital and counital respectively, then we can consider a polyadic analog of the composition \(\eta \circ \varepsilon\). The crucial difference from the binary case is that now \(\eta^{(r,n)}\) and \(\varepsilon^{(n',r')}\) are multiplace multivalued maps (3.33) and (4.22). Their composition is

\[
e^{(r',n')}_* = \eta^{(r,n)} \circ \gamma^{(r',r)} \circ \varepsilon^{(n',r')} \in \text{Hom}_k \left( C^{\otimes (n'-1)}, A^{\otimes (n-1)} \right), \tag{4.61}
\]
where the multiplace multivalued map \(\gamma^{(r',r)} \in \text{Hom}_k \left( K^{\otimes r'}, K^{\otimes r} \right)\) is, obviously, \((\ast)\), and the diagram

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
C^{\otimes (n'-1)} & \xrightarrow{\varepsilon^{(n',r')}} & K^{\otimes r'} \\
e^{(n',r')}_* \downarrow & & \downarrow \gamma^{(r',r)}(\ast) \\
A^{\otimes (n-1)} & \xrightarrow{\eta^{(r,n)}_*} & K^{\otimes r}
\end{array}
\] (4.62)

commutes.

The formula (4.61) leads us to propose

**Conjecture 4.37.** A polyadic analog of the convolution should be considered for multiplace multivalued \(k\)-linear maps in \(\text{Hom}_k \left( C^{\otimes (n'-1)}, A^{\otimes (n-1)} \right)\).
In this way, we arrive at the following

**Construction 4.38.** Introduce the $k$-linear maps $f^{(i)} : C^\otimes(n'-1) \to A^\otimes(n-1)$, $i = 1, \ldots, n_*$, where $n_* \geq 2$. To create a closed $n_*$-ary operation for them, we use the $\ell$-iterated multiplication map $(\mu^{(n)})^\ell : A^\otimes(\ell(n-1)+1) \to A$ and $\ell'$-iterated comultiplication map $(\Delta^{(n')})^\ell' : C \to C^\otimes(\ell'(n'-1)+1)$.

Then we compose the above $k$-linear maps in the same way as is done above for the binary case

\[
C^\otimes(n'-1) \xrightarrow{((\Delta^{(n')})^\ell')^\otimes(n'-1)} C^\otimes(n'(n'-1)+1) \xrightarrow{\tau_{\text{medial}}^{(n_*n',n')}} C^\otimes(n'(n'-1)+1) \\
\xrightarrow{f^{(1)} \otimes \ldots \otimes f^{(n_*)}} A^\otimes(n'(n'-1)+1) \xrightarrow{\tau_{\text{medial}}^{(n_*n',n_*)}} A^\otimes(n'(n'-1)+1) \xrightarrow{((\mu^{(n)})^\ell)^\otimes(n-1)} A^\otimes(n-1),
\]

where $\tau_{\text{medial}}^{(n_*n',n_*)}$ and $\tau_{\text{medial}}^{(n,n_*)}$ are the medial maps acting on the Sweedler components of $c$ and $f^{(i)}$, respectively. To make the sequence of maps consistent, the arity $n_*$ is connected with the iteration numbers $\ell, \ell'$ by $n_* = \ell(n-1) + 1 = \ell'(n'-1) + 1$, $\ell, \ell' \in \mathbb{N}$.

**Definition 4.39.** Let $A^{(n)}$ and $C^{(n')}$ be a $n$-ary associative algebra and $n'$-ary coassociative coalgebra over a polyadic field $k$ (the existence of the unit and counit here is mandatory), then the set $\text{Hom}_k \left( C^\otimes(n'-1), A^\otimes(n-1) \right)$ is closed under the $n_*$-ary convolution product map $\mu^{(n_*)}$ defined by

\[
\mu^{(n_*)} \circ \left( f^{(1)} \otimes \ldots \otimes f^{(n_*)} \right) = \\
\left( (\mu^{(n)})^\ell \right)^{\otimes(n-1)} \circ \tau_{\text{medial}}^{(n-1,n_*)} \circ \left( f^{(1)} \otimes \ldots \otimes f^{(n_*)} \right) \circ \tau_{\text{medial}}^{(n_*,n'-1)} \circ \left( (\Delta^{(n')})^\ell' \right)^{\otimes(n'-1)},
\]

and its arity is given by the following $n_*$-consistency condition

\[
n_* - 1 = \ell(n-1) = \ell'(n'-1). \tag{4.65}
\]

**Definition 4.40.** The set of $k$-linear maps $f^{(i)} \in \text{Hom}_k \left( C^\otimes(n'-1), A^\otimes(n-1) \right)$ endowed with the convolution product (4.64) is called a polyadic convolution algebra

\[
C^{(n',n)} = \left\{ \text{Hom}_k \left( C^\otimes(n'-1), A^\otimes(n-1) \right) | \mu^{(n_*)} \right\}. \tag{4.66}
\]

**Example 4.41.** An important case is given by the binary algebra $A^{(2)}$ and coalgebra $C^{(2)}$ ($n = n' = 2$), when the number of iterations are equal $\ell = \ell'$, and the arity $n_*$ becomes

\[
n_* = \ell + 1 = \ell' + 1, \quad \ell, \ell' \in \mathbb{N}, \tag{4.67}
\]

while the $n_*$-ary convolution product in $\text{Hom}_k \left( C, A \right)$ takes the form

\[
\mu^{(n_*)} \circ \left( f^{(1)} \otimes \ldots \otimes f^{(n_*)} \right) = \mu^{(n_*-1)} \circ \left( f^{(1)} \otimes \ldots \otimes f^{(n_*)} \right) \circ \Delta^{(n_*-1)}, \quad f^{(i)} \in \text{Hom}_k \left( C, A \right), \tag{4.68}
\]

where $\mu = \mu^{(2)}$ and $\Delta = \Delta^{(2)}$ are the binary multiplication and comultiplication maps respectively.

**Definition 4.42.** The polyadic convolution algebra $C^{(2,2)}$ determined by the binary algebra and binary coalgebra (4.68) is called derived.

**Corollary 4.43.** The arity $n_*$ of the derived polyadic convolution algebra is unrestricted and can take any integer value $n_* \geq 2$. 
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Remark 4.44. If the polyadic tensor product and the underlying polyadic field $\mathbb{k}$ are derived (see discussion in Section 2 and Duplij [2019]), while all maps coincide $f^{(i)} = f$, the convolution product (4.65) is called the Sweedler power of $f$ (Kashina et al. [2012]) or the Adams operator (Aguiar and Lauve [2015]). In the binary case they denoted it by $(f)^n$, but for the $n_*$-ary product this is the first polyadic power of $f$ (see (2.6)).

Obviously, some interesting algebraic objects are nonderived, and here they are determined by $n + n' \geq 5$, and also the arities of the algebra and coalgebra can be different $n \neq n'$, which is a more exotic and exciting possibility. Generally, the arity $n_*$ of the convolution product (4.64) is not arbitrary and is “quantized” by solving (4.65) in integers. The values $n_*$ for minimal arities $n, n'$ are presented in Table 3

| $n'$ | $n$ | $\mu$ | $n = 2$ | $n = 3$ | $n = 4$ | $n = 5$ |
|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| \(\Delta\) | $\ell'$ | $\ell$ | $\ell = 1$ | $\ell = 2$ | $\ell = 3$ | $\ell = 1$ | $\ell = 2$ | $\ell = 3$ |
| $n' = 2$ | $\ell' = 1$ | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 7 | 10 |
| | $\ell' = 2$ | 3 | 4 | 5 | 7 | 7 | 10 |
| | $\ell' = 3$ | 4 | 4 | 5 | 7 | 7 | 13 |
| $n' = 3$ | $\ell' = 1$ | 3 | 5 | 5 | 10 | 13 |
| | $\ell' = 2$ | 4 | 7 | 7 | 13 |
| | $\ell' = 3$ | 7 | 7 | 13 |
| $n' = 4$ | $\ell' = 1$ | 5 | 5 | 10 |
| | $\ell' = 2$ | 9 |
| | $\ell' = 3$ | 13 |

The most unusual possibility is the existence of nondiagonal entries, which correspond to unequal arities of multiplication and comultiplication $n \neq n'$. The table is symmetric, which means that the arity $n_*$ is invariant under the exchange $(n, \ell) \leftrightarrow (n', \ell')$ following from (4.65).

Example 4.45 (Hom$_k(C, A)$). In the simplest derived case (4.68), when both algebra $A^{(2)} = \langle A \mid \mu \rangle$ and coalgebra $C^{(2)} = \langle C \mid \Delta \rangle$ are binary with $n = 2, \ell = 2, n' = 2, \ell' = 2$, it is possible to obtain the ternary convolution product $\mu^{(3)}_*$ of the maps $f^{(i)} : C \to A, i = 1, 2, 3$, using Sweedler notation for $\Delta^{(2)} \equiv (\text{id}_C \otimes \Delta) \circ \Delta$ as $\Delta^{(2)}(c) = c_{[1]} \otimes c_{[2]} \otimes c_{[3]}$, $\mu^{(2)} \equiv \mu \circ (\text{id}_A \otimes \mu) : A^{(3)} \to A$, and the elementwise description using the evaluation

$$\mu^{(3)}_* \circ \left( f^{(1)} \otimes f^{(2)} \otimes f^{(3)} \right) \circ (c) = \mu^{(2)} \left[ f^{(1)}(c_{[1]}), f^{(2)}(c_{[2]}), f^{(3)}(c_{[3]}) \right].$$

Example 4.46 (Hom$_k(C, A^{(2)})$, Hom$_k(C^{(2)}, A)$). Nonbinary, nonderived and nonsymmetric cases:

1) The ternary algebra $A^{(3)} = \langle A \mid \mu^{(3)} \rangle$ and the binary coalgebra $C^{(2)} = \langle C \mid \Delta \rangle$, such that $n = 3, \ell = 1, n' = 2, \ell' = 2$ giving a ternary convolution product of the maps $f^{(i)} : C \to A^{(2)}$, $i = 1, 2, 3$. In the elementwise description $f^{(i)} \circ (c) = f^{(i)}(c) \otimes f^{(i)}(c), c \in C$. Using (4.64),
we obtain the manifest form of the nonderived ternary convolution product by evaluation
\[ \mu_*^{(3)} \circ (f^{(1)} \otimes f^{(2)} \otimes f^{(3)}) \circ (c) = \mu_*^{(3)} \left[ f^{(1)}_{[1]} (c_{[1]}), f^{(2)}_{[1]} (c_{[2]}), f^{(3)}_{[1]} (c_{[3]}) \right] \otimes \mu_*^{(3)} \left[ f^{(1)}_{[2]} (c_{[1]}), f^{(2)}_{[2]} (c_{[2]}), f^{(3)}_{[2]} (c_{[3]}) \right], \] (4.70)

where \( \Delta^{2} (c) = c_{[1]} \otimes c_{[2]} \otimes c_{[3]} \).

2) The algebra is binary \( A^{(2)} = \langle A \mid \mu \rangle \), and the coalgebra is ternary \( C^{(3)} = \langle C \mid \Delta^{(3)} \rangle \), which corresponds to \( n = 2, \ell = 2, n' = 3, \ell' = 1 \), the maps \( f^{(i)} : C^{\otimes 2} \to A, i = 1, 2, 3 \) in the elementwise description are two place, \( f^{(i)} \circ (c_1 \otimes c_2) = f^{(i)} (c_1, c_2), c_{1,2} \in C \), and
\( (\Delta^{(3)})^{\otimes 2} (c^{(1)} \otimes c^{(2)}) = \left( c^{(1)}_{[1]} \otimes c^{(1)}_{[2]} \otimes c^{(1)}_{[3]} \right) \otimes \left( c^{(2)}_{[1]} \otimes c^{(2)}_{[2]} \otimes c^{(2)}_{[3]} \right) \). The ternary convolution product is
\[ \mu_*^{(3)} \circ (f^{(1)} \otimes f^{(2)} \otimes f^{(3)}) \circ (c^{(1)} \otimes c^{(2)}) = \mu^{(2)} \left[ f^{(1)} (c_{[1]}), f^{(2)} (c_{[2]}), f^{(3)} (c_{[3]}) \right] \] (4.71)

Example 4.47 (\( \text{Hom}_K (C^{\otimes 2}, A^{\otimes 2}) \)). The last (fourth) possibility for the ternary convolution product (see Table 3) is nonderied and symmetric \( n = 3, \ell = 1, n' = 3, \ell' = 1 \), with both a ternary algebra \( A^{(3)} = \langle A \mid \mu^{(3)} \rangle \) and coalgebra \( C^{(3)} = \langle C \mid \Delta^{(3)} \rangle \). In the elementwise description the maps \( f^{(i)} : C^{\otimes 2} \to A^{\otimes 2}, i = 1, 2, 3 \) are \( f^{(i)} \circ (c_1 \otimes c_2) = f^{(i)}_1 (c_1, c_2) \otimes f^{(i)}_2 (c_1, c_2), c_{1,2} \in C \). Then
\[ \mu_*^{(3)} \circ (f^{(1)} \otimes f^{(2)} \otimes f^{(3)}) \circ (c^{(1)} \otimes c^{(2)}) = \mu^{(3)} \left[ f^{(1)}_{[1]} (c^{(1)}_{[1]}), f^{(2)}_{[1]} (c^{(1)}_{[2]}), f^{(3)}_{[1]} (c^{(1)}_{[3]}), f^{(1)}_{[2]} (c^{(2)}_{[1]}), f^{(2)}_{[2]} (c^{(2)}_{[2]}), f^{(3)}_{[2]} (c^{(2)}_{[3]}) \right], \] (4.72)

The above examples present clearly the possible forms of the \( n_*-ary \) convolution product, which can be convenient for lowest arity computations.

The general polyadic convolution product (4.54) in Sweedler notation can be presented as
\[ \mu^{(n_*)} \circ (f^{(1)} \otimes f^{(2)} \otimes \ldots \otimes f^{(n_*)}) = g, \ f^{(i)} \in \text{Hom}_K \left( C^{\otimes (n'-1)}, A^{\otimes (n-1)} \right), \]
\[ g_{[j]} \circ (c^{(1)} \otimes \ldots \otimes c^{(n'-1)}) = (\mu^{(n)})^{\otimes j} \left[ f^{(1)}_{[1]} (c^{(n'-1)}_{[1]}), f^{(2)}_{[1]} (c^{(n'-1)}_{[2]}), \ldots, f^{(n_*)}_{[1]} (c^{(n'-1)}_{[n_*]}) \right], \]
\[ f^{(i)}_{[j]} \in \text{Hom}_K \left( C^{\otimes (n'-1)}, A \right), \ i = 1, \ldots, n_*, \ j = 1, \ldots, n - 1, \ c \in C, \] (4.73)

where \( g_{[j]} \) are the Sweedler components of \( g \).

Recall that the associativity of the binary convolution product (\( \ast \)) is transparent in the Sweedler notation. Indeed, if \( (f \ast g) \circ (c) = f (c_{[1]}) \cdot g (c_{[2]}), f, g, h \in \text{Hom}_K (C, A), c \in C, (\cdot) \equiv \mu^{(2)}_A \), then
\( ((f \ast g) \ast h) \circ (c) = (f (c_{[1]}) \cdot g (c_{[2]})) \cdot h (c_{[3]}) = f (c_{[1]}) \cdot (g (c_{[2]}) \cdot h (c_{[3]})) = (f \ast (g \ast h)) \circ (c). \)

Lemma 4.48. The polyadic convolution algebra \( C^{(n,n)}_{(*)} \) is associative.
Proof. To prove the claimed associativity of polyadic convolution \( \mu^{(n_*)} \) we express (2.5) in Sweedler notation. Starting from

\[
h = \mu^{(n_*)} \circ \left( g \otimes f^{(n_*)+1} \otimes f^{(n_*)+2} \otimes \cdots \otimes f^{(2n_*)-1} \right), \quad h \in \text{Hom}_k \left( C^{\otimes (n'-1)}, A^{\otimes (n-1)} \right),
\]

where \( g \) is given by (4.73), it follows that \( h \) should not depend of place of \( g \) in (4.74). Applying \( h \) to \( c \in C \) twice, we obtain for its Sweedler components \( h_{[j]}, \ j = 1, \ldots, n-1, \)

\[
h_{[j]} \circ \left( c^{(1)} \otimes \cdots \otimes c^{(n'-1)} \right) = \left( \mu^{(n_*)} \right)^{\otimes \ell} \left[ \left( \mu^{(n)} \right)^{\otimes \ell} \left[ c^{(1)}_{[1]} \times \cdots \times c^{(n'-1)}_{[1]} \right], f^{(1)}_{[j]}, \ldots, f^{(n_*)}_{[j]} \right] \times \left[ \left( c^{(1)}_{[2]} \times \cdots \times c^{(n'-1)}_{[2]} \right), f^{(1)}_{[j]}, \ldots, f^{(n_*)}_{[j]} \right],
\]

\[
\ldots, f^{(2n_*-1)}_{[j]} \left( \left( c^{(1)}_{[2n_*-1]} \times \cdots \times c^{(n'-1)}_{[2n_*-1]} \right) \right),
\]

and here coassociativity and (4.65) gives \( (\Delta^{(n')})^{\otimes \ell'} (c) = c_{[1]} \otimes c_{[2]} \otimes \cdots \otimes c_{[2n_*-1]} \). Since \( n \)-ary algebra multiplication \( \mu^{(n)} \) is associative, the internal \( \left( \mu^{(n)} \right)^{\otimes \ell} \) in (4.75) can be on any place, and \( g \) in (4.74) can be on any place as well. This means that the polyadic convolution product \( \mu^{(n_*)} \) is associative.

Observe the polyadic version of the identity used in (4.60): for any \( f \in \text{Hom}_k \left( C^{\otimes (n'-1)}, A^{\otimes (n-1)} \right) \)

\[
\text{id}_A^{\otimes (n-1)} \circ f \circ \text{id}_C^{\otimes (n'-1)} = f. \tag{4.76}
\]

Proposition 4.49. If the polyadic associative algebra \( A^{(n)} \) is unital with \( \eta^{(r,n)} : K^r \rightarrow A^{\otimes (n-1)} \), and the polyadic coassociative coalgebra \( C^{(n)} \) is counital with \( \varepsilon^{(n',n)} : \otimes^{(n'-1)} \rightarrow K^r \), both over the same polyadic field \( k \), then the polyadic convolution algebra \( C^{(n_*)} \) (4.66) is unital, and its unit is given by \( \varepsilon_{[n',n]}^{(n_*)} \) (4.65).

Proof. In analogy with (4.60) we compose

\[
f = \left( \left( \mu^{(n)} \right)^{\otimes \ell} \right)^{\otimes (n-1)} \circ \tau^{(n-1,n_*)}_{\text{medial}} \circ \left( \left( \eta^{(r,n)} \right)^{\otimes (n_*)} \otimes \text{id}_A^{\otimes (n-1)} \right) \circ \left( \left( \gamma^{(r,r')} \right)^{\otimes (n'-1)} \otimes \text{id}_A^{\otimes (n-1)} \right)
\]

\[
\circ \left( \left( \text{id}_K^{\otimes (n'-1)} \otimes f \right) \right) \circ \left( \varepsilon^{(n',n')} \otimes \text{id}_A^{\otimes (n'-1)} \right) \circ \tau^{(n_*+n',n')}_{\text{medial}} \circ \left( (\Delta^{(n')})^{\otimes \ell'} \otimes (n'-1) \right)
\]

\[
\circ \left( \left( \text{id}_A^{\otimes (n-1)} \otimes f \circ \text{id}_C^{\otimes (n'-1)} \right) \circ \tau^{(n_*+n',n')}_{\text{medial}} \circ \left( (\Delta^{(n')})^{\otimes \ell'} \otimes (n'-1) \right) = \mu^{(n_*)} \circ \left( (\varepsilon_{[n',n]}^{(n_*)})^{\otimes (n_*)} \otimes f \right),\tag{4.77}
\]

which coincides with the polyadic unit definition (2.2). We use the identity (4.76) and the axioms for a polyadic unit (3.36) and counit (4.22). The same derivation can be made for any place of \( \mu^{(n_*)} \). \( \square \)
As in the general theory of \( n \)-ary groups [DÖRnte 1929], the invertibility of maps in \( G_{s}^{(n',n)} \) should be defined not by using the unit, but by using the querelement \( q_{s} \).

**Definition 4.50.** For a fixed \( f \in G_{s}^{(n',n)} \) its querelement \( q_{s}(f) \in G_{s}^{(n',n)} \) is the querelement in the \( n_{s} \)-ary convolution product

\[
\mu_{s}^{(n_{s})} \circ \left( f^{\otimes(n_{s}-1)} \otimes q_{s}(f) \right) = f,
\]

where \( q_{s}(f) \) can be on any place and \( n_{s} \geq 3 \). The maps in a polyadic convolution algebra which have a querelement are called coquerable.

Define the positive convolution power \( \ell_{s} \) of an element \( f \in G_{s}^{(n',n)} \) not recursively as in Post [1940], but through the \( \ell_{s} \)-iterated multiplication \( 2.6 \)

\[
f^{(\ell_{s})} = (\mu_{s}^{(n_{s})})^{\ell_{s}} \circ \left( f^{\otimes(\ell_{s}(n_{s}-1)+1)} \right),
\]

and an element in the negative convolution power \( f^{(-\ell_{s})} \) satisfies the equation

\[
(\mu_{s}^{(n_{s})})^{\ell_{s}} \circ \left( f^{(\ell_{s}-1)} \otimes f^{(\ell_{s}-2)} \otimes f^{(-\ell_{s})} \right) = (\mu_{s}^{(n_{s})})^{\ell_{s}} \circ \left( f^{(\ell_{s}(n_{s}-1))} \otimes f^{(-\ell_{s})} \right) = f. \tag{4.80}
\]

It follows from (4.79) that the polyadic analogs of the exponent laws hold

\[
\mu_{s}^{(n_{s})} \circ \left( f^{(\ell_{1})} \otimes f^{(\ell_{2})} \otimes \ldots \otimes f^{(\ell_{n_{s}})} \right) = f^{(\ell_{1}+\ell_{2}+\ldots+\ell_{n_{s}}+1)}.
\]

Comparing (4.78) and (4.80), we have

\[
q_{s}(f) = f^{(-1)}. \tag{4.83}
\]

An arbitrary polyadic power \( \ell_{Q} \) of the querelement \( q_{s}^{\ell_{Q}}(f) \) is defined by (4.78) recursively and can be expressed through the negative polyadic power of \( f \) (see, e.g., Dudek [2007] for \( n \)-ary groups). In terms of the Heine numbers [HEINE 1878] (or \( q \)-deformed numbers [Kac and Cheung 2002])

\[
[[l]]_{q} = \frac{q^{l} - 1}{q - 1}, \quad l \in \mathbb{N}_{0}, \quad q \in \mathbb{Z}, \tag{4.84}
\]

we obtain [Duplij 2018a]

\[
q_{s}^{\ell_{Q}}(f) = f^{\left[-[[\ell_{Q}]]_{2-n_{s}}\right]}, \tag{4.85}
\]

### 5. Polyadic bialgebras

The next step is to combine algebras and coalgebras into a common algebraic structure in some "natural" way. Informally, a bialgebra is defined as a vector space which is "simultaneously" an algebra and a coalgebra with some compatibility conditions (e.g., Sweedler [1969], Abe [1980]).

In search of a polyadic analog of bialgebras, we observe two structural differences with the binary case: 1) since the unit and counit do not necessarily exist, we obtain 4 different kinds of bialgebras (similar to the unit and zero in Table 1); 2) where the most exotic is the possibility of unequal arities of multiplication and comultiplication \( n \neq n' \) (see Assertion 4.35). Initially, we take them as arbitrary and then try to find restrictions arising from some "natural" relations.

Let \( B_{\text{vect}} \) be a polyadic vector space over the polyadic field \( \mathbb{k}^{(m_{k},n_{k})} \) as (see (3.2) and (4.7))

\[
B_{\text{vect}} = \left\langle B, K \mid \nu^{(m)}, \nu_{k}^{(n_{k})}, \mu_{k}^{(n_{k})}, \rho^{(r)} \right\rangle, \tag{5.1}
\]
where $\mu^{(m)} : B^{\times m} \to B$ is $m$-ary addition and $\rho^{(r)} : K^{\times r} \times B \to B$ is $r$-place action (see (2.7)).

**Definition 5.1.** A polyadic bialgebra $B^{(n,n')}$ is $B_{\text{vec}}$ equipped with a $\mathbb{k}$-linear $n$-ary multiplication map $\mu^{(n)} : B^{\otimes n} \to B$ and a $\mathbb{k}$-linear $n'$-ary comultiplication map $\Delta^{(n')} : B \to B^{\otimes n'}$ such that:

1. $\mu^{(n)} = \langle B_{\text{vec}} \mid \mu^{(n)} \rangle$ is a $n$-ary algebra;
   - a. The map $\mu^{(n)}$ is a coalgebra (homo)morphism (3.7).
   - b. The map $\mu^{(n)}$ is a coalgebra (homo)morphism (3.7).
2. $\Delta^{(n')} = \langle B_{\text{vec}} \mid \Delta^{(n')} \rangle$ is a $n'$-ary coalgebra;
   - a. $\Delta^{(n')} = \langle B_{\text{vec}} \mid \Delta^{(n')} \rangle$ is a $n'$-ary coalgebra;
   - b. The map $\Delta^{(n')} = \langle B_{\text{vec}} \mid \Delta^{(n')} \rangle$ is a $n'$-ary coalgebra.

The equivalence of the compatibility conditions 1b) and 2b) can be expressed in the form (polyadic analog of “$\Delta \circ \mu = (\mu \otimes \mu) (\text{id} \otimes \tau \otimes \text{id}) (\Delta \otimes \Delta)$”)

\[
\Delta^{(n')} \circ \mu^{(n)} = \left( \mu^{(n')} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mu^{(n')} \right) \circ \tau^{(n,n')} \circ \left( \Delta^{(n')} \otimes \cdots \otimes \Delta^{(n')} \right),
\]

(5.2)

where $\tau^{(n,n')}$ is the medial map (3.6) acting on $B$, while the diagram

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
B^{\otimes n} & \xrightarrow{\mu^{(n)}} & B^{\otimes n'} \\
\downarrow \mu^{(n)} & & \downarrow \Delta^{(n')} \\
B & \xrightarrow{} & B^{\otimes n'}
\end{array}
\]

(5.3)

commutes.

In an elementwise description it is the commutation of $n$-ary multiplication and $n'$-ary comultiplication

\[
\Delta^{(n')} (\mu^{(n)} [b_1, \ldots, b_n]) = \mu^{(n)} \left[ \Delta^{(n')} (b_1), \ldots, \Delta^{(n')} (b_n) \right],
\]

(5.4)

which in the Sweedler notation becomes

\[
\mu^{(n)} [b_1, \ldots, b_n]_1 \otimes \mu^{(n)} [b_1, \ldots, b_n]_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes \mu^{(n)} [b_1, \ldots, b_n]_n = \mu^{(n)} [b_1^{(n)}_1, \ldots, b_1^{(n)}_1] \otimes \mu^{(n)} [b_2^{(n)}_1, \ldots, b_2^{(n)}_1] \otimes \cdots \otimes \mu^{(n)} [b_n^{(n)}_1, \ldots, b_n^{(n)}_1].
\]

(5.5)

Consider the example of a nonderived bialgebra $B^{(n,n)}$ which follows from the von Neumann higher $n$-regularity relations [DUPLIJ 1998, DUPLIJ AND MARCINEK 2001, 2002, 2018].

**Example 5.2** (von Neumann $n$-regular bialgebra). Let $B^{(n,n)} = \langle B \mid \mu^{(n)}, \Delta^{(n)} \rangle$ be a polyadic bialgebra generated by the elements $b_i \in B$, $i = 1, \ldots, n - 1$ subject to the nonderived $n$-ary multiplication

\[
\mu^{(n)} (b_1, b_2, b_3, \ldots, b_{n-1}, b_1) = b_1,
\]

(5.6)

\[
\mu^{(n)} (b_2, b_3, b_4, \ldots, b_{n-1}, b_1, b_2) = b_2,
\]

(5.7)

\[
\vdots
\]

\[
\mu^{(n)} (b_{n-1}, b_1, b_2, \ldots b_{n-3}, b_{n-2}, b_{n-1}) = b_{n-1},
\]

(5.8)
and the nonderived \( n \)-ary comultiplication (cf. 4.17)
\[
\Delta^{(n)} (b_1) = b_1 \otimes b_2 \otimes b_3 \ldots, b_{n-2} \otimes b_{n-1} \otimes b_1, \tag{5.9}
\]
\[
\Delta^{(n)} (b_2) = b_2 \otimes b_3 \otimes b_4 \ldots, b_{n-1} \otimes b_1 \otimes b_2, \tag{5.10}
\]
\[
\vdots
\]
\[
\Delta^{(n)} (b_{n-1}) = b_{n-1} \otimes b_1 \otimes b_2 \otimes \ldots \otimes b_{n-3} \otimes b_{n-2} \otimes b_{n-1}. \tag{5.11}
\]

It is straightforward to check that the compatibility condition (5.4) holds. Many possibilities exist for choosing other operations—algebra addition, field addition and multiplication, action—so to demonstrate the compatibility we have confined ourselves to only the algebra multiplication and comultiplication.

If the \( n \)-ary algebra \( B_A^{(n)} \) has unit and/or \( n' \)-ary coalgebra \( B_C^{(n')} \) has counit \( \varepsilon^{(n',r')} \), we should add the following additional axioms.

**Definition 5.3 (Unit axiom).** If \( B_A^{(n)} = \langle B_{\text{vect}} \mid \mu^{(n)} \rangle \) is unital, then the unit \( \eta^{(r,n)} \) is a (homo)morphism of the coalgebra \( B_C^{(n')} = \langle B_{\text{vect}} \mid \Delta^{(n')} \rangle \) (see (4.27))
\[
\left( \Delta^{(n')} \otimes \ldots \otimes \Delta^{(n')} \right)^{\otimes (n'-1)} \circ \eta^{(r,n)} = \left( \eta^{(r,n)} \otimes \ldots \otimes \eta^{(r,n)} \right)^{\otimes (n'-1)}
\]
\[
\tag{5.12}
\]

such that the diagram
\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
K^{\otimes r} & \xrightarrow{\eta^{(r,n)}} & B^{\otimes (n-1)} \\
\approx & & \uparrow \left( \Delta^{(n')} \right)^{\otimes (n-1)} \\
K^{\otimes r n'} & \xrightarrow{\eta^{(r,n)}} & B^{\otimes (n-1)n'}
\end{array}
\]
\[
\tag{5.13}
\]
commutes.

**Definition 5.4 (Counit axiom).** If \( B_C^{(n')} = \langle B_{\text{vect}} \mid \Delta^{(n')} \rangle \) is counital, then the counit \( \varepsilon^{(n',r')} \) is a (homo)morphism of the algebra \( B_A^{(n)} = \langle B_{\text{vect}} \mid \mu^{(n)} \rangle \) (see (3.77))
\[
\varepsilon^{(n',r')} \circ \left( \mu^{(n)} \otimes \ldots \otimes \mu^{(n)} \right)^{\otimes (n'-1)} = \left( \varepsilon^{(n',r')} \otimes \ldots \otimes \varepsilon^{(n',r')} \right)^{\otimes (n'-1)}
\]
\[
\tag{5.14}
\]

such that the diagram
\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
K^{\otimes r} & \xrightarrow{\varepsilon^{(n',r')}} & B^{\otimes (n'-1)} \\
\approx & & \uparrow (\mu^{(n)})^{\otimes (n'-1)} \\
K^{\otimes r n} & \xrightarrow{\varepsilon^{(n',r')}} & B^{\otimes (n'-1)n}
\end{array}
\]
\[
\tag{5.15}
\]
commutes.

If both the polyadic unit and polyadic counit exist, then we include their compatibility condition
\[
\left( \varepsilon^{(n',r')} \right)^{\otimes (n-1)} \circ (\eta^{(r,n)})^{\otimes (n-1)} \simeq \text{id}_K,
\]
\[
\tag{5.16}
\]
such that the diagram

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
K^\otimes r(n'-1) & \xrightarrow{=} & K^\otimes r(n-1) \\
(\eta^{(r,n)})^\otimes (n'-1) \downarrow & & \downarrow (\varepsilon^{(n',r)})^\otimes (n-1) \downarrow \\
B^\otimes (n'-1)(n-1)
\end{array}
\]

(5.17)

commutes.

**Assertion 5.5.** There are four kinds of polyadic bialgebras depending on whether the unit \(\eta^{(r,n)}\) and counit \(\varepsilon^{(n',r)}\) exist:

1) nonunital-noncounital; 2) unital-noncounital; 3) nonunital-counital; 4) unital-counital.

**Definition 5.6.** A polyadic bialgebra \(B^{(n',n)}\) is called **totally co-commutative**, if

\[
\mu^{(n)} = \mu^{(n)} \circ \tau_n, \\
\Delta^{(n')} = \tau_{n'} \circ \Delta^{(n')},
\]

(5.18)

where \(\tau_n \in S_n, \tau_{n'} \in S_{n'}\), and \(S_n, S_{n'}\) are the symmetry permutation groups on \(n\) and \(n'\) elements respectively.

**Definition 5.7.** A polyadic bialgebra \(B^{(n',n)}\) is called **medially co-commutative**, if

\[
\mu_{op}^{(n)} \equiv \mu^{(n)} \circ \tau_{op}^{(n)} = \mu^{(n)}, \\
\Delta_{cop}^{(n')} \equiv \tau_{op}^{(n')} \circ \Delta^{(n')} = \Delta^{(n')},
\]

(5.20)  (5.21)

where \(\tau_{op}^{(n)}\) and \(\tau_{op}^{(n')}\) are the medially allowed polyadic twist maps (3.63).

### 6. Polyadic Hopf Algebras

Here we introduce the most general approach to “polyadization” of the Hopf algebra concept [Abe 1980, Sweedler 1969, Radford 2012]. Informally, the transition from bialgebra to Hopf algebra is, in some sense, “dualizing” the passage from semigroup (containing noninvertible elements) to group (in which all elements are invertible). Schematically, if multiplication \(\mu = (\cdot)\) in a semigroup \(G\) is binary, the invertibility of all elements demands two extra and necessary set-ups: 1) An additional element (identity \(e \in G\) or the corresponding map from a one point set to group \(e\)); 2) An additional map (inverse \(\iota : G \rightarrow G\), such that \(g \cdot \iota (g) = e\) in diagrammatic form is \(\mu \circ (id_G \times \iota) \circ D_2 = e\) (\(D_2 : G \rightarrow G \times G\) is the diagonal map). When “dualizing”, in a (binary) bialgebra \(B\) (with multiplication \(\mu\) and comultiplication \(\Delta\)) again two set-ups should be considered in order to get a (binary) Hopf algebra: 1) An analog of identity \(e_* = \eta e\) (where \(\eta : k \rightarrow B\) is unit and \(\varepsilon : B \rightarrow k\) is counit); 2) An analog of inverse \(S : B \rightarrow B\) called the antipode, such that \(\mu \circ (id_B \otimes S) \circ \Delta = e_*\) or in terms of the (binary) convolution product \(id_B \ast S = e_*\). By multiplying both sides by \(S\) from the left and by \(id_B\) from the right, we obtain weaker (von Neumann regularity) conditions \(S \ast id_B \ast S = S\), \(id_B \ast S \ast id_B = id_B\), which do not contain an identity \(e_*\) and lead to the concept of weak Hopf algebras [Duljii and Li 2001, Li and Duplij 2002, Szlachányi 1996].

The crucial peculiarity of the polyadic generalization is the possible absence of an identity or 1) in both cases. The role and necessity of the polyadic identity (2.2) is not so important: there polyadic groups without identity exist (see, e.g. Gal’Mak 2003, and the discussion after (2.3)). Invertibility is determined by the querelement (2.4) in \(n\)-ary group or the quemap (3.48) in polyadic algebra. So there are two ways forward: “dualize” the quemap (3.48) directly (as in the binary case) or use the most general version of the polyadic convolution product (4.64) and apply possible
restrictions, if any. We will choose the second method, because the first one is a particular case of it. Thus, if the standard (binary) antipode is the convolution inverse (coinverse) to the identity in a bialgebra, then its polyadic counterpart should be a coquerelement (4.78) of some polyadic analog for the identity map in the polyadic bialgebra. We consider two possibilities to define a polyadic analog of identity: 1) Singular case. The comultiplication is binary \( n' = 2 \); 2) Symmetric case. The arities of multiplication and comultiplication need not be binary, but should coincide \( n = n' \).

In the singular case a polyadic multivalued map in \( \text{End}_k (B, B \otimes (n-1)) \) is a reminder of how an identity can be defined: its components are to be functions of one variable. That is, with or without one argument it is not possible to determine its value when these are unequal.

**Definition 6.1.** We take for a singular polyadic identity \( \text{Id}_0 \) the diagonal map \( \text{Id}_0 = D \in \text{End}_k (B, B \otimes (n-1)) \), such that \( b \mapsto b \otimes (n-1) \), for any \( b \in B \).

We call the polyadic convolution product (4.64) with the binary comultiplication \( n' = 2 \) reduced and denote it by \( \tilde{\mu}_{(n_*)} \) which in Sweedler notation can be obtained from (4.73)

\[
\tilde{\mu}_{(n_*)} \circ \left( f^{(1)} \otimes f^{(2)} \otimes \cdots \otimes f^{(n_*)} \right) = g, \quad f^{(i)}, g \in \text{End}_k (B, B \otimes (n-1)),
\]

\[
g_{[\bar{j}]} \circ \left( b \right) = \left( \mu^{(n)} \right)^{\otimes \bar{j}} \left[ f^{(1)}_{[\bar{j}]} \left( b_{[1]} \right), f^{(2)}_{[\bar{j}]} \left( b_{[2]} \right), \ldots, f^{(n_*)}_{[\bar{j}]} \left( b_{[n_*]} \right) \right],
\]

\[
f^{(i)}_{[\bar{j}]} \in \text{End}_k (B, B), \quad i = 1, \ldots, n_*, \quad j = 1, \ldots, n - 1, \quad b \in B,
\]

(6.1)

The consistency condition (4.65) becomes reduced

\[
n_\ast = \ell (n - 1) + 1 = \ell' + 1.
\]

(6.2)

**Definition 6.2.** The set of the multivalued maps \( f^{(i)} \in \text{End}_k (B, B \otimes (n-1)) \) (together with the polyadic identity \( \text{Id}_0 \)) endowed with the reduced convolution product \( \tilde{\mu}_{(n_*)} \) is called a reduced \( n_\ast \)-ary convolution algebra

\[
C_{(2,n_*)} = \left\langle \text{End}_k (B, B \otimes (n-1)) \mid \tilde{\mu}_{(n_*)} \right\rangle.
\]

(6.3)

**Remark 6.3.** The reduced convolution algebra \( C_{(2,n_*)} \) having \( n \neq 2 \) is not derived (Definition 4.42).

Having the distinguished element \( \text{Id}_0 \in C_{(2,n_*)} \) as an analog of \( \text{id}_B \) and the querelement (4.78) for any \( f \in C_{(2,n_*)} \) (the polyadic version of inverse in the convolution algebra), we are now in a position to “polyadize” the concept of the (binary) antipode.

**Definition 6.4.** A multivalued map \( Q_0 : B \to B \otimes (n-1) \) in the polyadic bialgebra \( B^{(2,n_*)} \) is called a singular quanteripode, if it is the coquerelement of the polyadic identity \( Q_0 = q_\ast (\text{Id}_0) \) in the reduced \( n_\ast \)-ary convolution algebra

\[
\tilde{\mu}_{(n_*)} \circ \left( \text{Id}_0 \otimes (n-1) \otimes Q_0 \right) = \text{Id}_0,
\]

(6.4)

where \( Q_0 \) can be on any place, such that the diagram

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
B & \xrightarrow{\Delta^{(n-1)}} & B \otimes (n-1) \\
\text{Id}_0 \downarrow & & \downarrow \tau_{\text{medial}}^{(n-1)_{n_*}} \\
B \otimes (n-1) & \xrightarrow{\left( \mu^{(n)} \right)^{\otimes (n-1)}} & (B \otimes (n-1)) \otimes (n-1) \\
\end{array}
\]

(6.5)

commutes.
In Sweedler notation
\[(\mu^{(n)})^\ell [b_{[1]}, b_{[2]}, \ldots, b_{[n-1]}, Q_{0[j]} (b_{[n_1]})] = b, \quad j \in 1, \ldots, n-1, \quad i \in 1, \ldots, n_*,\] (6.6)
where \(\Delta^{(n_*) - 1}(b) = b_{[1]} \otimes b_{[2]} \otimes \ldots \otimes b_{[n_1]},\) \(Q_0 \circ (b) = Q_{0[1]} (b) \otimes \ldots \otimes Q_{0[n-1]} (b),\) \(b, b_{[i]} \in B.\)

**Definition 6.5.** A polyadic bialgebra \(B^{(2,n)}\) equipped with the reduced \(n_*\)-ary convolution product \(\tilde{\mu}_*^{(n_*)}\) and the singular querantipode \(Q_0\) (6.4) is called a singular polyadic Hopf algebra and is denoted by \(H_{\text{sing}}^{(n)} = \langle B^{(n,n)} \mid \tilde{\mu}_*^{(n_*), Q_0} \rangle.\)

Due to their exotic properties we will not consider singular polyadic Hopf algebras \(H^{(n)}_{\text{sing}}\) in detail.

In the symmetric case a polyadic identity-like map in \(\text{End}_k(B^{\otimes (n-1)}, B^{\otimes (n-1)})\) can be defined in a more natural way.

**Definition 6.6.** A symmetric polyadic identity \(\text{Id} : B^{\otimes (n-1)} \to B^{\otimes (n-1)}\) is a polyadic tensor product of ordinary identities in \(B^{(n,n)}\)
\[\text{Id} = \text{id}_B \otimes \ldots \otimes \text{id}_B, \quad \text{id}_B : B \to B.\] (6.7)

Indeed, for any map \(f \in \text{End}_k(B^{\otimes (n-1)}, B^{\otimes (n-1)})\), obviously \(\text{Id} \circ f = f \circ \text{Id} = f.\)

The numbers of iterations are now equal \(\ell = \ell',\) and the consistency condition (6.5) becomes
\[n_* - 1 = \ell (n - 1).\] (6.8)

**Definition 6.7.** The set of the multiplace multivalued maps \(f^{(i)} \in \text{End}_k(B^{\otimes (n_1)}, B^{\otimes (n_1)})\) (together with the polyadic identity \(\text{Id}\)) endowed with the symmetric convolution product \(\tilde{\mu}_*^{(n_*)} = \mu_*(n_*) |_{n = n'}\) (4.64) is called a symmetric \(n_*\)-ary convolution algebra
\[C_*(n,n) = \langle \text{End}_k(B^{\otimes (n-1)}, B^{\otimes (n-1)}) \mid \tilde{\mu}_* \rangle.\] (6.9)

For a polyadic analog of antipode in the symmetric case we have

**Definition 6.8.** A multiplace multivalued map \(Q_{\text{id}} : B^{\otimes (n-1)} \to B^{\otimes (n-1)}\) in the polyadic bialgebra \(B^{(n,n)}\) is called a symmetric querantipode, if it is the coquerelement (see (4.78)) of the polyadic identity \(Q_{\text{id}} = q_*(\text{Id})\) in the symmetric \(n_*\)-ary convolution algebra
\[\tilde{\mu}_*^{(n_*)} \circ (\text{Id}^{\otimes (n-1)} \otimes Q_{\text{id}}) = \text{Id},\] (6.10)
where \(Q_{\text{id}}\) can be on any place, such that the diagram
\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
B^{\otimes (n-1)} & \xrightarrow{(\mu^{(n)})^{\otimes (n-1)}} & (B^{\otimes n_*})^{\otimes (n-1)} \\
\text{Id} & \downarrow & \text{Id}^{\otimes (n-1)} \\
B^{\otimes (n-1)} & \xrightarrow{(\mu^{(n)})^{\otimes (n-1)}} & (B^{\otimes n_*})^{\otimes (n-1)} \\
\end{array}
\]
commutes.

In Sweedler notation we obtain (see (4.64) and (4.73))
\[(\mu^{(n)})^\ell \left[ b_{[1]}, b_{[2]}, \ldots, b_{[n-1]}, Q_{j} \left( b_{[n_1]}, b_{[n_2]}, \ldots, b_{[n_1]} \right) \right] = b^{(j)}, \quad j \in 1, \ldots, n - 1, \quad i \in 1, \ldots, n_*,\] (6.12)
where \((\Delta^{(n)})^\ell (b^{(j)}) = b_{[1]}^{(j)} \otimes b_{[2]}^{(j)} \otimes \ldots \otimes b_{[n_1]}^{(j)},\) \(b_{[i]}^{(j)} \in B, \quad \ell \in \mathbb{N},\) \(Q_{[i]} \in \text{End}_k(B^{\otimes (n-1)}, B)\) are components of \(Q_{\text{id}},\) and the convolution product arity is \(n_* = \ell (n - 1) + 1.\) (6.8)
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**Definition 6.9.** A polyadic bialgebra $B^{(n,n)}$ equipped with the symmetric $n_*$-ary convolution product $\mu^{(n_*)}$ and the symmetric querantipode $Q_{\text{id}}^{\text{sym}}$ is called a symmetric polyadic Hopf algebra and is denoted by $H^{(n)}_{\text{sym}} = \langle B^{(n,n)} \mid \mu^{(n_*)}, Q_{\text{id}}^{\text{sym}} \rangle$.

**Example 6.10.** In the case where $n = n' = 3$ and $\ell = 1$ we have $\Delta^{(3)}(b^{(j)}) = b^{(j)}_1 \otimes b^{(j)}_2 \otimes b^{(j)}_3$, $j = 1, 2, 3$,

$$\mu^{(3)} \left[ b^{(1)}_1, b^{(1)}_2, Q_1 \left( b^{(1)}_3, b^{(2)}_3 \right) \right] = b^{(1)}_1, \quad \mu^{(3)} \left[ b^{(1)}_1, b^{(2)}_2, Q_2 \left( b^{(1)}_3, b^{(2)}_3 \right) \right] = b^{(2)}_1,$$

$$\mu^{(3)} \left[ Q_1 \left( b^{(1)}_1, b^{(2)}_1 \right) b^{(1)}_2 b^{(2)}_3 \right] = b^{(1)}_1, \quad \mu^{(3)} \left[ Q_2 \left( b^{(1)}_1, b^{(2)}_1 \right) b^{(2)}_2 b^{(2)}_3 \right] = b^{(2)}_1,$$

$$\mu^{(3)} \left[ Q_1 \left( b^{(1)}_1, b^{(1)}_2 \right) b^{(1)}_3 b^{(2)}_3 \right] = b^{(1)}_1, \quad \mu^{(3)} \left[ Q_2 \left( b^{(1)}_1, b^{(1)}_2 \right) b^{(2)}_2 b^{(2)}_3 \right] = b^{(2)}_1,$$

which can be compared with the binary case $(b^{(1)}_1 S(b^{(2)}_2) = S(b^{(1)}_1) b^{(2)}_2 = \eta(\varepsilon(b)))$ and (2.4), (4.78).

Recall that the main property of the antipode $S$ of a binary bialgebra $B$ is its “anticommutation” with the multiplication $\mu$ and comultiplication $\Delta$ (e.g., Sweedler [1969])

$$S \circ \mu = \mu \circ \tau_{\text{op}} \circ (S \otimes S), \quad S \circ \eta = \eta, \quad \Delta \circ S = \tau_{\text{op}} \circ (S \otimes S) \circ \Delta, \quad \varepsilon \circ S = \varepsilon,$$

(6.14), (6.15)

where $\tau_{\text{op}}$ is the binary twist (see (3.57)). The first relation means that $S$ is an algebra anti-endomorphism, because in the elementwise description $S(a \cdot b) = S(b) \cdot S(a), a, b \in B, (\cdot) \equiv \mu$.

We propose the polyadic analogs of (6.14)–(6.15) without proofs, which are too cumbersome, but their derivations almost coincide with those for the binary case.

**Proposition 6.11.** The querantipode $Q_{\text{id}}^{\text{sym}} : B^{\otimes(n-1)} \rightarrow B^{\otimes(n-1)}$ of the polyadic bialgebra $B^{(n,n)} = \langle B \mid \mu^{(n)}, \Delta^{(n)} \rangle$ satisfies the polyadic version of “antimultiplicativity” (“antialgebra map”)

$$Q_{\text{id}}^{\text{sym}} \circ \left[ (\mu^{(n)})^{\text{op}} \right]^{\otimes(n-1)} = \left[ (\mu^{(n)})^{\text{op}} \right]^{\otimes(n-1)} \circ \tau_{\text{op}}^{(\ell_{\tau})} \circ Q_{\text{id}}^{\otimes(n_*)} \circ \tau_{\text{medial}}^{(n,n-1)},$$

(6.16)

and “anticomultiplicativity” (“anticoalgebra map”)

$$\left[ (\Delta^{(n)})^{\text{op}} \right]^{\otimes(n-1)} \circ Q_{\text{id}}^{\text{sym}} = \tau_{\text{medial}}^{(\ell_{\tau})} \circ Q_{\text{id}}^{\otimes(n_*)} \circ \tau_{\text{medial}}^{(n-1,n_*)} \circ \left[ (\Delta^{(n)})^{\text{op}} \right]^{\otimes(n-1)},$$

(6.17)

where $\tau_{\text{medial}}^{(n,n)}$ is the medial map (3.69), $\tau_{\text{op}}^{(\ell_{\tau})}$ is the polyadic twist (3.63) and $\ell_{\tau} = (n - 1) n_*$ should be allowed (see TABLE 2).

**Proposition 6.12.** If the polyadic unit $\eta^{(r,n)}$ (3.39) and counit $\varepsilon^{(n,r)}$ (4.22) in $B^{(n,n)}$ exist, then

$$Q_{\text{id}} \circ \eta^{(r,n)} = \eta^{(r,n)},$$

(6.18)

$$\varepsilon^{(n,r)} \circ Q_{\text{id}} = \varepsilon^{(n,r)}.$$

(6.19)

**Example 6.13.** If $n = 3$, $\ell = 1$, $n_*$ = 3, $\ell_{\tau} = 6$ (and (3.66)), then using Sweedler notation, for (6.16) we have

$$Q_{\text{id}} \left[ (\mu^{(3)} \left[ a_1, a_2, a_3 \right], \mu^{(3)} \left[ b_1, b_2, b_3 \right] \right] = \mu^{(3)} \left[ Q_{\text{id}} \left[ a_2, b_2 \right] \right] \left[ a_1, b_1 \right], Q_{\text{id}} \left[ a_3, b_3 \right] \right],$$

(6.20)

$$Q_{\text{id}} \left[ (\mu^{(3)} \left[ a_1, a_2, a_3 \right], \mu^{(3)} \left[ b_1, b_2, b_3 \right] \right] = \mu^{(3)} \left[ Q_{\text{id}} \left[ a_1, b_1 \right] \right] \left[ a_2, b_2 \right], Q_{\text{id}} \left[ a_3, b_3 \right] \right],$$

(6.21)

where $Q_{\text{id}} \circ (a, b) = Q_{\text{id}} \left[ (a, b) \otimes Q_{\text{id}} \right] (a, b) \in \text{End}_B (B \otimes B, B \otimes B), a, b, a_i, b_i \in B, (\text{cf. } 6.14)).$
The key property of the binary antipode $S$ is its involutivity $S^{o2} = \text{id}_B$ for either commutative ($\mu = \mu \circ \tau_{op}$) or co-commutative ($\Delta = \tau_{op} \circ \Delta$) Hopf algebras, which follows from (5.14) or (5.15) applied to $S \ast S^{o2}$ giving $\eta_{\varepsilon} = (S \ast \text{id}_B)$.

**Proposition 6.14.** If in a symmetric Hopf algebra $H_{sym}^{(n)}$ either multiplication or comultiplication is invariant under polyadic twist map $\tau_{op}^{(e)}$ (3.63), then the querantipode $Q^{o2}$ satisfies

$$\mu^{(n)} = \left[ Q_{\text{id}}, Q_{\text{id}} \right] = Q_{\text{id}},$$

where $Q^{o2}$ can be on any place, or the convolution quererelement (4.72) of the querantipode $Q_{\text{id}}$ is

$$q_{\ast}(Q_{\text{id}}) = Q^{o2}_{\text{id}}.$$  

**Proof.** The proposition follows from applying either (6.16) or (6.17) to the l.h.s. of (6.22), to use (4.72).

7. TOWARDS POLYADIC QUANTUM GROUPS

Bialgebras with a special relaxation of co-commutativity, almost co-commutativity, are the ground objects in the construction of quantum groups identified with the non-commutative and non-co-commutative quasitriangular Hopf algebras [DRINFEILD 1987, 1989a].

7.1. Quantum Yang-Baxter equation. Here we recall the binary case (informally) in a notation that will allow us to provide the “polyadization” in a clearer way.

Let us consider a (binary) bialgebra $B^{(2,2)} = \langle B \mid \mu, \Delta \rangle$, where $\mu = \mu^{(2)}$ is the binary multiplication, $\Delta = \Delta^{(2)}$ (see **Definition 5.1**), and the opposite comultiplication is given by $\Delta_{\text{cop}} = \tau_{op} \circ \Delta$, where $\tau_{op}$ is the binary twist (3.57). To relax the co-commutativity condition ($\Delta_{\text{cop}} = \Delta$), the following construction inspired by conjugation in groups was proposed by DRINFEILD [1987, 1989a]. A bialgebra $B^{(2,2)}$ is almost co-commutative, if there exist $R \in B \otimes B$ such that (in the elementwise notation)

$$\mu \left[ \Delta_{\text{cop}}(b), R \right] = \mu \left[ R, \Delta(b) \right], \quad \forall b \in B. \quad (7.1)$$

A fixed element $R$ of a bialgebra satisfying (7.1) is called a universal $R$-matrix. For a co-commutative bialgebra we have $R = e_B \otimes e_B$, where $e_B \in B$ is the unit (element) of the algebra $\langle B \mid \mu \rangle$.

If we demand that $\langle B \mid \Delta_{\text{cop}} \rangle$ is the opposite coalgebra of $\langle B \mid \Delta \rangle$, and therefore $\Delta_{\text{cop}}$ be coassociative, then $R$ cannot be arbitrary, but has to satisfy some additional conditions, which we will call the *almost co-commutativity equations* for the $R$-matrix. Indeed, using (7.1) we can write

$$\mu \left[ \left( \Delta_{\text{cop}} \otimes \text{id}_B \right) \circ \Delta_{\text{cop}}(b), \mu \left[ \left( R \otimes e_B \right), \left( \Delta \otimes \text{id}_B \right)(R) \right] \right]$$

$$= \mu \left[ \left( \Delta \otimes \text{id}_B \right), \left( R \otimes e_B \right), \left( \Delta \otimes \text{id}_B \right) \circ \Delta(b) \right], \quad (7.2)$$

$$\mu \left[ \left( e_B \otimes \Delta_{\text{cop}} \right) \circ \Delta_{\text{cop}}(b), \mu \left[ e_B \otimes R, \left( \Delta_{\text{cop}} \otimes \text{id}_B \right)(R) \right] \right]$$

$$= \mu \left[ \left( e_B \otimes R \right), \left( \Delta_{\text{cop}} \otimes \text{id}_B \right)(R), \left( \text{id}_B \otimes \Delta \right)(R) \right], \quad (7.3)$$

Therefore, the coassociativity of $\Delta_{\text{cop}}$ leads to the first almost co-commutativity equation

$$\mu \left[ \left( R \otimes e_B \right), \left( \Delta \otimes \text{id}_B \right)(R) \right] = \mu \left[ \left( e_B \otimes R \right), \left( \text{id}_B \otimes \Delta \right)(R) \right]. \quad (7.4)$$
On the other hand, directly from (7.1), we have relations which can be treated as the next two almost co-commutativity equations (unconnected to the coassociativity of $\Delta_{cop}$)

$$
\mu \left[ (\mathcal{R} \otimes e_B), (\Delta \otimes \text{id}_B) (\mathcal{R}) \right] = \mu \left[ (\Delta_{cop} \otimes \text{id}_B) (\mathcal{R}), (\mathcal{R} \otimes e_B) \right],
$$

$$
\mu \left[ (e_B \otimes \mathcal{R}), (\text{id}_B \otimes \Delta) (\mathcal{R}) \right] = \mu \left[ (\text{id}_B \otimes \Delta_{cop}) (\mathcal{R}), (e_B \otimes \mathcal{R}) \right].
$$

(7.5)

(7.6)

The equations (7.4)–(7.6) for the components of

$$
\mathcal{R} = \sum_{\alpha} r^{(1)}_{\alpha} \otimes r^{(2)}_{\alpha} \in B \otimes B
$$

(7.7)

are on $B \otimes B \otimes B$. In components the almost co-commutativity (7.1) can be expressed as follows

$$
\sum_{[b]} \sum_{\alpha} \mu \left[ b^{(1)}_{[b]}, r^{(1)}_{\alpha} \right] \otimes \mu \left[ b_{[1]}, r^{(2)}_{\alpha} \right] = \sum_{[b']} \sum_{\alpha'} \mu \left[ r^{(1)}_{\alpha'}, b^{(1)}_{[1][b']} \right] \otimes \mu \left[ r^{(2)}_{\alpha'}, b_{[2][b']} \right].
$$

(7.8)

Now introduce the “extended” form of the $R$-matrix $\mathcal{R}_{ij} \in B \otimes B \otimes B$, $i, j = 1, 2, 3$, by

$$
\mathcal{R}_{12} = \sum_{\alpha} r^{(1)}_{\alpha} \otimes r^{(2)}_{\alpha} \otimes e_B \equiv \mathcal{R} \otimes e_B,
$$

(7.9)

$$
\mathcal{R}_{13} = \sum_{\alpha} r^{(1)}_{\alpha} \otimes e_B \otimes r^{(2)}_{\alpha} = (\text{id}_B \otimes \tau_{op}) \circ (\mathcal{R} \otimes e_B),
$$

(7.10)

$$
\mathcal{R}_{23} = \sum_{\alpha} e_B \otimes r^{(1)}_{\alpha} \otimes r^{(2)}_{\alpha} \equiv e_B \otimes \mathcal{R}.
$$

(7.11)

Obviously, one can try to solve (7.4)–(7.6) with respect to the $r^{(1)}_{\alpha}$, $r^{(2)}_{\alpha}$ directly, but then we are confronted with a difficulty arising from the Sweedler components, because now (see (4.35)–(4.37))

$$
(\Delta \otimes \text{id}_B) (\mathcal{R}) = \sum_{[a_{[1]}]} \sum_{a} r^{(1)}_{a,[1]} \otimes r^{(1)}_{a,[2]} \otimes r^{(2)}_{a},
$$

(7.12)

$$
(\text{id}_B \otimes \Delta) (\mathcal{R}) = \sum_{r^{(2)}_{\alpha}} \sum_{\alpha} r^{(1)}_{\alpha} \otimes r^{(2)}_{\alpha,[1]} \otimes r^{(2)}_{\alpha,[2]}.
$$

(7.13)

To avoid computations in the Sweedler components, one can substitute them by the components of $\mathcal{R}$ directly as $r^{(i)}_{[b]} \longrightarrow r^{(i)}$ (schematically). This allows us to express (7.12)–(7.13) solely through elements of the “extended” $R$-matrix $\mathcal{R}_{ij}$ by

$$
(\Delta \otimes \text{id}_B) (\mathcal{R}) = \mu \left[ \mathcal{R}_{13}, \mathcal{R}_{23} \right] \equiv \sum_{\alpha, \beta} r^{(1)}_{\alpha} \otimes r^{(1)}_{\beta} \otimes \mu \left[ r^{(2)}_{\alpha}, r^{(2)}_{\beta} \right],
$$

(7.14)

$$
(\text{id}_B \otimes \Delta) (\mathcal{R}) = \mu \left[ \mathcal{R}_{13}, \mathcal{R}_{12} \right] \equiv \sum_{\alpha, \beta} \mu \left[ r^{(1)}_{\alpha}, r^{(1)}_{\beta} \right] \otimes r^{(2)}_{\alpha} \otimes r^{(2)}_{\beta},
$$

(7.15)

which do not contain Sweedler components of $\mathcal{R}$ at all. The equations (7.14)–(7.15) define a quasitriangular $R$-matrix [Drinfeld 1987]. The corresponding almost co-commutative (binary) bialgebra $B^{(2)}_{\text{braid}} = \langle B^{(2,2)}, \mathcal{R} \rangle$ is called a quasitriangular almost co-commutative bialgebra (or braided bialgebra [Kassel 1995]). Only for them can the almost co-commutativity equations (7.4)–(7.6) be
expressed solely in terms of $R$-matrix components or through the “extended” $R$-matrix $\mathcal{R}_{ij}$, using \((7.14)-(7.15)\).

**Theorem 7.1.** In the binary case, three almost co-commutativity equations for the $R$-matrix coincide with

\[
\mu^{02}[\mathcal{R}_{12}, \mathcal{R}_{13}, \mathcal{R}_{23}] = \mu^{02}[\mathcal{R}_{23}, \mathcal{R}_{13}, \mathcal{R}_{12}]. \tag{7.16}
\]

Conversely, any quasitriangular $R$-matrix is a solution of \((7.16)\) by the above construction. The equation for the “extended” $R$-matrix $\mathcal{R}_{ij}$ \((7.15)\) is called the quantum Yang-Baxter equation \textbf{[LAMBE AND RADFORD 1997, MAJID 1995]} (or the triangle relation \textbf{[DRINFELD 1989a]}). In terms of the $R$-matrix components \((7.7)\) the quantum Yang-Baxter equation \((7.15)\) takes the form

\[
\sum_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma} \mu \left[ r^{(1)}_\alpha, r^{(1)}_\beta \right] \otimes \mu \left[ r^{(2)}_\alpha, r^{(1)}_\gamma \right] \otimes \mu \left[ r^{(2)}_\beta, r^{(2)}_\gamma \right] = \sum_{\alpha', \beta', \gamma'} \mu \left[ r^{(1)}_{\alpha'}, r^{(1)}_{\beta'} \right] \otimes \mu \left[ r^{(2)}_{\beta'}, r^{(2)}_{\gamma'} \right] \otimes \mu \left[ r^{(2)}_{\gamma'}, r^{(2)}_{\alpha'} \right]. \tag{7.17}
\]

Let us consider modules over the braided bialgebra $B^{(2,2)}_{\text{braid}}$ and recall \textbf{[DRINFELD 1989b]} how the universal $R$-matrix generalizes the standard flip $\tau_{V_1 V_2} : V_1 \otimes V_2 \to V_2 \otimes V_1$. Define the isomorphism of modules (which in our notation correspond to a 1-place action $\rho (7.7)$) $c_{V_1 V_2} : V_1 \otimes V_2 \to V_2 \otimes V_1$ by

\[
c_{V_1 V_2}(v_1 \otimes v_2) = \tau_{V_1 V_2} \circ \mathcal{R} \circ (v_1 \otimes v_2) = \sum_{\alpha} \rho (r^{(1)}_{\alpha} | v_2) \otimes \rho (r^{(2)}_{\alpha} | v_1), v_i \in V_i, r^{(i)}_{\alpha}, i = 1, 2. \tag{7.18}
\]

The quasitriangularity \((7.14)-(7.15)\) and \((7.18)\) on $V_1 \otimes V_2 \otimes V_3$ leads to (see, e.g., \textbf{[KASSEL 1995]})

\[
\begin{align*}
(c_{V_1 V_3} \otimes \text{id}_{V_2}) \circ (\text{id}_{V_1} \otimes c_{V_2 V_3}) &= c_{V_1 \otimes V_2, V_3}, \tag{7.19} \\
(\text{id}_{V_2} \otimes c_{V_1 V_3}) \circ (c_{V_1 V_2} \otimes \text{id}_{V_3}) &= c_{V_1, V_2 \otimes V_3}. \tag{7.20}
\end{align*}
\]

Similarly, the quantum Yang-Baxter equation \((7.15)\) gives the braid equation \textbf{[DRINFELD 1989b]} mapping $V_1 \otimes V_2 \otimes V_3 \to V_3 \otimes V_2 \otimes V_1$:

\[
(c_{V_2 V_3} \otimes \text{id}_{V_1}) \circ (\text{id}_{V_2} \otimes c_{V_1 V_3}) \circ (c_{V_1 V_2} \otimes \text{id}_{V_3}) = (\text{id}_{V_3} \otimes c_{V_1 V_2}) \circ (c_{V_1 V_3} \otimes \text{id}_{V_2}) \circ (\text{id}_{V_1} \otimes c_{V_2 V_3}). \tag{7.21}
\]

Putting $V_1 = V_2 = V_3 = V$ shows that $c_{V V}$ is a solution of the braid equation \((7.21)\) for any module $V$, if the $\mathcal{R}$ is a solution of the Yang-Baxter equation \textbf{[DRINFELD 1989b, KASSEL 1995]}.

### 7.2. $n'$-ary braid equation

Let us consider possible higher arity generalizations of the braid equation \((7.21)\), informally. Introduce the modules $V_i$ over the polyadic bialgebra $B^{(n',n)}$ \textbf{(Definition 5.1)} by the $r$-place actions $\rho^{(r)}_{V_i}(b_1, \ldots, b_r | v_i), b_j \in B, v_i \in V_i, i = 1, \ldots, s, j = 1, \ldots, r$ \textbf{(see (3.38))}. Define the following morphisms of modules

\[
c_{V_1 \ldots V_{n'}} : V_1 \otimes \ldots \otimes V_{n'} \to V_{n'} \otimes \ldots \otimes V_1. \tag{7.22}
\]

We use the shorthand notation $c_{V_{n'}} \equiv c_{V_1 \ldots V_{n'}}$, $\text{id}_V \equiv \text{id}_{V_i}$ and introduce indices manifestly only when it will be needed.
Proposition 7.2. The \( n' \)-ary braid equation has the form

\[
\begin{align}
&\left( c_{V_{n'}} \otimes \text{id}_V \otimes \ldots \otimes \text{id}_V \right) \circ \left( \text{id}_V \otimes c_{V_{n'}} \otimes \text{id}_V \otimes \ldots \otimes \text{id}_V \right) \circ \ldots \\
&\circ \left( \text{id}_V \otimes \ldots \otimes \text{id}_V \otimes c_{V_{n'}} \right) \circ \left( c_{V_{n'}} \otimes \text{id}_V \otimes \ldots \otimes \text{id}_V \right) \circ \ldots \\
&\circ \left( \text{id}_V \otimes \ldots \otimes \text{id}_V \otimes c_{V_{n'}} \otimes \text{id}_V \right) \circ \left( \text{id}_V \otimes \ldots \otimes \text{id}_V \otimes c_{V_{n'}} \right),
\end{align}
\]

(7.23)

where each side consists of \((n' + 1)\) brackets with \((2n' - 1)\) multipliers.

Proof. Use the associative quiver technique from [DUPLI] (2018a) (The Post-like quiver in Section 6).

Remark 7.3. There can be additional equations depending on the concrete values of \( n' \) which can contain a different number of brackets determined by the corresponding diagram commutation.

Example 7.4. In case \( n' = 3 \) we have the ternary braided equation for \( c_{V_1 V_2 V_3} : V_1 \otimes V_2 \otimes V_3 \to V_4 \otimes V_2 \otimes V_1 \) on the tensor product of modules \( V_1 \otimes V_2 \otimes V_3 \otimes V_4 \otimes V_5 \), as

\[
(c_{V_3 V_4 V_5} \otimes \text{id}_{V_2} \otimes \text{id}_{V_1}) \circ (\text{id}_{V_3} \otimes c_{V_2 V_4 V_5} \otimes \text{id}_{V_1}) \circ (\text{id}_{V_3} \otimes \text{id}_{V_2} \otimes c_{V_1 V_4 V_5}) = (\text{id}_{V_3} \otimes \text{id}_{V_4} \otimes c_{V_1 V_2 V_3}) \circ (c_{V_1 V_2 V_3} \otimes \text{id}_{V_4} \otimes \text{id}_{V_3}) \circ (\text{id}_{V_3} \otimes \text{id}_{V_2} \otimes c_{V_1 V_4 V_5}).
\]

(7.24)

The ternary compatibility conditions for \( c_{V_1 V_2 V_3} \) (corresponding to \((7.19)\)–\((7.20)\)) are

\[
(c_{V_1 V_2 V_3} \otimes \text{id}_{V_4} \otimes \text{id}_{V_5}) \circ (\text{id}_{V_1} \otimes c_{V_2 V_4 V_5} \otimes \text{id}_{V_3}) \circ (\text{id}_{V_1} \otimes \text{id}_{V_2} \otimes c_{V_3 V_4 V_5}) = c_{V_1 \otimes V_2 \otimes V_3, V_4, V_5};
\]

(7.25)

\[
(c_{V_3 V_4 V_5} \otimes \text{id}_{V_2} \otimes \text{id}_{V_1}) \circ (\text{id}_{V_3} \otimes \text{id}_{V_2} \otimes c_{V_1 V_4 V_5}) = c_{V_1, V_2 \otimes V_3, V_4, V_5}.
\]

(7.26)

Now we follow the opposite (to the standard [DRINFELD] (1989b)), but consistent way: using the equations \((7.24)\)–\((7.26)\) we find polyadic analogs of the corresponding equations for the \( R \)-matrix and the quasitriangularity conditions \((7.14)\)–\((7.15)\), which will fix the comultiplication structure of a polyadic bialgebra \( B^{(n', n)} \).

7.3. Polyadic almost co-commutativity. We will see that the almost co-commutativity equations for the \( R \)-matrix are more complicated in the polyadic case, because the main condition \((7.4)\) will have a different form coming from \( n \)-ary group theory [GAL'MAK] (2003). Indeed, let \( G^{(n)} = \langle G \mid \mu^{(n)} \rangle \) be an \( n \)-ary group and \( H' = \langle H' \mid \mu^{(n)} \rangle, H'' = \langle H'' \mid \mu^{(n)} \rangle \) are its \( n \)-ary subgroups. Recall [GAL'MAK] (2003) that \( H' \) and \( H'' \) are semiconjugated in \( G^{(n)} \), if there exist \( g \in G \), such that \( \mu^{(n)}[g, h_1', \ldots, h_{n-1}'] = \mu^{(n)}[h_1'', \ldots, h_{n-1}'', g] \), \( h_i' \in H', h_i'' \in H'' \), and if \( g \) can be on any place, then \( H' \) and \( H'' \) are conjugated in \( G^{(n)} \). Based on this notion and on analogy with \((2.3)\), we can “polyadize” the almost co-commutativity condition \((7.1)\) in the following way.

Let \( B^{(n', n)} = \langle B \mid \mu^{(n)}, \Delta^{(n') \dagger} \rangle \), be a polyadic bialgebra (see Definition 5.1), and the opposite comultiplication \( \Delta^{(n')}_{\text{cop}} = \tau_{\text{op}}^{(n')} \circ \Delta^{(n')} \), where \( \tau_{\text{op}}^{(n')} \) is the polyadic twist \((5.63)\).
Definition 7.5. A polyadic bialgebra $B^{(n',n)}$ is called polyadic sequenced almost co-commutative, if there exist fixed $(n-1)$ elements $R_i^{(n')} \in B^\otimes n'$, $i = 1, \ldots, n-1$, called a polyadic $R$-matrix sequence, such that

$$
\mu^{(n)} \left[ \Delta_{\text{cop}}^{(n')} (b), R_1^{(n')}, R_2^{(n')}, \ldots, R_{n-1}^{(n')} \right] = \mu^{(n)} \left[ R_1^{(n')}, \Delta^{(n')} (b), R_2^{(n')}, \ldots, R_{n-1}^{(n')} \right] \\
\vdots \\
= \mu^{(n)} \left[ R_1^{(n')}, R_2^{(n')}, \ldots, R_{n-1}^{(n')}, \Delta^{(n')} (b) \right], \quad \forall b \in B.
$$

(7.27)

Definition 7.6. A polyadic bialgebra $B^{(n',n)}$ is called polyadic sequenced almost semico-commutative, if only the first and the last relations in (7.27) hold

$$
\mu^{(n)} \left[ \Delta_{\text{cop}}^{(n')} (b), R_1^{(n')}, R_2^{(n')}, \ldots, R_{n-1}^{(n')} \right] = \mu^{(n)} \left[ R_1^{(n')}, R_2^{(n')}, \ldots, R_{n-1}^{(n')}, \Delta^{(n')} (b) \right], \quad \forall b \in B.
$$

(7.28)

Remark 7.7. Using $(n-1)$ polyadic $R$-matrices $R_i^{(n')}$ is the only way to build a polyadic analog for the almost commutativity concept, since now there is no binary multiplication.

The definition (7.27) is too general and needs to consider $(n-1)$ different polyadic analogs of the $R$-matrix which might not be unique. Therefore, in a similar way to the correspondence of the neutral sequence (2.3) and the polyadic unit (2.2), we arrive at

Definition 7.8. A polyadic bialgebra $B^{(n',n)} = \langle B \mid \mu^{(n)}, \Delta^{(n')} \rangle$ is called polyadic almost (semi)co-commutative, if there exists one fixed element $R^{(n')} \in B^\otimes n'$ called a $n'$-ary $R$-matrix, such that

$$
\mu^{(n)} \left[ \Delta_{\text{cop}}^{(n')} (b), R^{(n')}, \ldots, R^{(n')} \right] = \mu^{(n)} \left[ R^{(n')}, \ldots, R^{(n')}, \Delta^{(n')} (b) \right], \quad \forall b \in B.
$$

(7.29)

In components the $n'$-ary $R$-matrix $R^{(n')}$ is

$$
R^{(n')} = \sum_{\alpha} r^{(1)}_{\alpha} \otimes \ldots \otimes r^{(n')}_{\alpha}, \quad r^{(i)}_{\alpha} \in B.
$$

(7.30)

Remark 7.9. Polyadic almost co-commutativity (7.29) can be expressed in component form, as in the binary case (7.8), only if we know concretely the polyadic twist $\tau_{\text{cop}}^{(n')}$ in $S_{n'}$ (where $S_{n'}$ is the symmetry permutation group ON $n'$ elements), which is not unique for arbitrary $n' > 2$.

Example 7.10. For $B^{(3,3)}$ the ternary almost (semi)co-commutativity (7.29) is given by

$$
\mu^{(3)} \left[ \Delta_{\text{cop}}^{(3)} (b), R^{(3)}, R^{(3)} \right] = \mu^{(3)} \left[ R^{(3)}, R^{(3)}, \Delta^{(3)} (b) \right], \quad \forall b \in B,
$$

(7.31)

which with $\tau_{\text{cop}}^{(3)} = (123)_{(321)}$ becomes, in components,

$$
\sum_{[b]} \sum_{\alpha, \beta} \mu^{(3)} \left[ b_{[3]}, r^{(1)}_{\alpha}, r^{(1)}_{\beta} \right] \otimes \mu^{(3)} \left[ b_{[2]}, r^{(2)}_{\alpha}, r^{(2)}_{\beta} \right] \otimes \mu^{(3)} \left[ b_{[1]}, r^{(3)}_{\alpha}, r^{(3)}_{\beta} \right] \\
= \sum_{[b]} \sum_{\alpha' \beta'} \mu^{(3)} \left[ r^{(1)}_{\alpha'}, r^{(1)}_{\beta'}, b_{[1']} \right] \otimes \mu^{(3)} \left[ r^{(2)}_{\alpha'}, r^{(2)}_{\beta'}, b_{[2']} \right] \otimes \mu^{(3)} \left[ r^{(3)}_{\alpha'}, r^{(3)}_{\beta'}, b_{[3']} \right].
$$

(7.32)
7. Towards Polyadic Quantum Groups

Equations for the $n'$-ary $R$-matrix

**Example 7.11.** In the exotic mixed case $B^{(4,3)}$ where the polyadic twist “without fixed points” is $\tau_{op}^{(4)} = \frac{(1234)}{3142}$, the polyadic almost co-commutativity becomes

$$\sum_{[\alpha]} \sum_{[\beta]} \mu^{(3)} \left[ b_{[3]}, r_{\alpha}^{(1)}, r_{\beta}^{(1)} \right] \otimes \mu^{(3)} \left[ b_{[1]}, r_{\alpha}^{(2)}, r_{\beta}^{(2)} \right] \otimes \mu^{(3)} \left[ b_{[2]}, r_{\alpha}^{(4)}, r_{\beta}^{(4)} \right] =$$

$$\sum_{[\alpha']} \sum_{[\beta']} \mu^{(3)} \left[ r_{\alpha'}^{(1)}, r_{\beta'}^{(1)}, b_{[1]} \right] \otimes \mu^{(3)} \left[ r_{\alpha'}^{(2)}, r_{\beta'}^{(2)}, b_{[2]} \right] \otimes \mu^{(3)} \left[ r_{\alpha'}^{(4)}, r_{\beta'}^{(4)}, b_{[4]} \right].$$

(7.33)

7.4. Equations for the $n'$-ary $R$-matrix. Here we consider the most consistent way (from a categorical viewpoint) to derive equations for the polyadic $R$-matrix, in other words, through using the braided equation (7.24) and $n'$-ary braided equation (7.23) with the concrete choice of the braiding $c_{V,n'}$.

Suppose that the $n'$-ary braiding $c_{V,n'}$ is defined still by a 1-place action $\rho^{(1)}$, as in the binary case (7.13). At first glance, we could define the braiding (similar to (7.13))

$$c_{V_1...V_{n'}} \circ (v_1 \otimes ... \otimes v_{n'}) = \tau_{V_1...V_{n'}} \circ \mathcal{R}^{(n')} \circ (v_1 \otimes ... \otimes v_{n'})$$

$$= \tau_{V_1...V_{n'}} \left( \sum_{\alpha} \rho^{(1)} \left( r_{\alpha}^{(1)}(v_1) \otimes ... \otimes \rho^{(1)} \left( r_{\alpha}^{(n')} \right) v_{n'} \right) \right), \ v_i \in V_i, \ r_{\alpha}^{(i)} \in B,$$

(7.34)

where $\rho^{(1)} : B \otimes V_i \to V_i$ is the 1-place action (see (2.7)). We recall that only the $n$-ary composition of 1-place actions ($n$ is the arity of multiplication $\mu^{(n)}$) is defined here (see [DUPLIJ 2019])

$$\rho^{(1)} (b_1 \otimes ... \otimes b_{n'}) = \rho^{(1)} \left( \mu^{(n)} [b_1, ..., b_n] \right), \ b_i \in B, \ v \in V.$$

(7.35)

As in the binary case (7.9–7.11), we need the “extended” polyadic $R$-matrix.

**Remark 7.12.** The standard definition of the “extended” $n'$-ary $R$-matrix can be possible, if the algebra $\langle B | \mu^{(n)} \rangle$ contains one polyadic unit (element) $e_B$, because in the polyadic case there are new intriguing possibilities (which did not exist in the binary case) of having several units, or even where all elements are units (see the discussion after (2.3) and [DUPLIJ 2018a]).

**Definition 7.13.** The “extended” form of the $n'$-ary $R$-matrix is defined by $\mathcal{R}^{(2n'-1)}_{i_1...i_{n'}} \in B^\otimes (2n'-1)$, such that

$$\mathcal{R}^{(2n'-1)}_{i_1...i_{n'}} = \sum_{\alpha} e_B \otimes ... \otimes r_{\alpha}^{(i_1)} \otimes ... \otimes r_{\alpha}^{(i_{n'})} \otimes ... \otimes e_B, \ i_1, ..., i_{n'} \in \{1, ..., 2n' - 1\}$$

(7.36)

where $r_{\alpha}^{(i_k)}$ are on the $i_k$-place.

In this way we can express in terms of the “extended” $n'$-ary $R$-matrix (7.36) the $n'$-ary braided equation (7.23), in full analogy with the binary case (7.16).

**Example 7.14.** For the ternary case

$$c_{V_1V_2V_3} \circ (v_1 \otimes v_2 \otimes v_3) = \tau_{V_1V_2V_3} \circ \mathcal{R}^{(3)} \circ (v_1 \otimes v_2 \otimes v_3)$$

$$= \sum_{\alpha} \rho^{(1)} \left( r_{\alpha}^{(1)} v_3 \right) \otimes \rho^{(1)} \left( r_{\alpha}^{(2)} v_2 \right) \otimes \rho^{(1)} \left( r_{\alpha}^{(3)} v_1 \right), \ v_i \in V_i, \ r_{\alpha}^{(i)} \in B,$$

(7.37)
and we define $\mathcal{R}_{123}^{(5)}$ by (7.35), $i_1, i_2, i_3 \in \{1, \ldots, 5\}$, $\tau_{V_1V_2V_3} = (123)_{321}$, and consider the ternary braid equation (7.24). Using (7.35) we obtain (informally)

$$\mathcal{R}_{123}^{(5)}\mathcal{R}_{145}^{(5)}\mathcal{R}_{345}^{(5)} = \mathcal{R}_{345}^{(5)}\mathcal{R}_{145}^{(5)}\mathcal{R}_{123}^{(5)},$$

(7.38)

**Remark 7.15.** Unfortunately, a “linear” $\mathcal{R}^{(n')}$ $n'$-ary braiding $c_{V_1 \ldots V_{n'}}$ (as in (7.34) and (7.37)) is not consistent with the polyadic analog of the quasitriangularity equations (7.19)–(7.20), because the polyadic almost co-commutativity (7.29) contains $(n - 1)$ copies of $n'$-ary $R$-matrix $\mathcal{R}^{(n')}$. Therefore, in order to agree with (7.29), instead of (7.34), we have

**Definition 7.16.** The polyadic braiding $c_{V_1 \ldots V_{n'}}$ is defined by

$$c_{V_1 \ldots V_{n'}} \circ (v_1 \otimes \ldots \otimes v_{n'}) = \tau_{V_1 \ldots V_{n'}} \circ \rho^{(n-1)} \left( \mathcal{R}^{(n')}, \ldots, \mathcal{R}^{(n')} \mid (v_1 \otimes \ldots \otimes v_{n'}) \right)$$

$$= \tau_{V_1 \ldots V_{n'}} \circ \left( \sum_{\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n-1} \rho^{(n-1)} (r^{(1)}_{\alpha_1}, \ldots, r^{(1)}_{\alpha_n-1} \mid v_1) \otimes \ldots \otimes \rho^{(n-1)} (r^{(n')}_{\alpha_1}, \ldots, r^{(n')}_{\alpha_n-1} \mid v_{n'}) \right),$$

$$v_i \in V_i, \quad r^{(i)}_{\alpha} \in B,$$

(7.39)

where $\rho^{(n-1)} : B^{n-1} \otimes V \rightarrow V$ the $(n - 1)$-place action (see (2.7)).

**Remark 7.17.** The twist of the modules $\tau_{V_1 \ldots V_{n'}}$ should be compatible with the polyadic twist $\tau_{op}^{(n')}$ in (7.27). In the binary case they are both the same flip $\frac{12}{12}$, but in the $n'$-ary case they can be different.

**Example 7.18.** Consider the ternary braided equation (7.24), but now for the braiding $c_{V_1V_2V_3}$, instead of (7.34), where we have

$$c_{V_1V_2V_3} \circ (v_1 \otimes v_2 \otimes v_3) = \tau_{V_1V_2V_3} \circ \rho^{(2)} \left( \mathcal{R}^{(3)}, \mathcal{R}^{(3)} \mid (v_1 \otimes v_2 \otimes v_3) \right)$$

$$= \sum_{\alpha, \beta} \rho^{(2)} (r^{(3)}_{\alpha}, r^{(3)}_{\beta} \mid v_3) \otimes \rho^{(2)} (r^{(2)}_{\alpha}, r^{(2)}_{\beta} \mid v_2) \otimes \rho^{(2)} (r^{(1)}_{\alpha}, r^{(1)}_{\beta} \mid v_1),$$

$$v_i \in V_i, \quad r^{(i)}_{\alpha, \beta} \in B,$$

(7.40)

where $\rho^{(2)} : B \otimes B \otimes V \rightarrow V$ is a 2-place action (2.7). In this way (7.40) is consistent with (7.31). In each place of the 2-place action $\rho^{(2)}$ we then obtain the relation (7.39).

### 7.5. Polyadic triangularity.

A polyadic analog of triangularity [Drinfeld 1987] can be defined, if we rewrite (7.15) as

$$(\text{id}_B \otimes \Delta) (\mathcal{R}) = \mu [\mathcal{R}_{13}, \mathcal{R}_{12}] \equiv \sum_{\alpha, \beta} \mu \circ \tau_{op} \left[ r^{(1)}_{\alpha}, r^{(1)}_{\beta} \right] \otimes \rho^{(2)} (r^{(2)}_{\alpha}, r^{(2)}_{\beta}),$$

(7.41)

where $\tau_{op}$ is the binary twist. Instead of the $R$-matrix formulation (the left equality in (7.41)), we use the component approach by [Radford 2012], and propose the following

**Definition 7.19.** A polyadic almost co-commutative bialgebra $B^{(n', n)} = \langle B \mid \mu^{(n)}, \Delta^{(n')} \rangle$ with the polyadic $R$-matrix $\mathcal{R}^{(n')} = \sum_{\alpha} r^{(1)}_{\alpha} \otimes \ldots \otimes r^{(n')}_{\alpha}$, $r^{(i)}_{\alpha} \in B$ is called quasipolyangular, if the
following \( n' \) relations hold

\[
\sum_{\alpha} \Delta^{(n')} (r^{(1)}_{\alpha} \otimes r^{(2)}_{\alpha} \otimes \ldots \otimes r^{(n')}_{\alpha}) = \sum_{\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{n'}} r^{(1)}_{\alpha_{1}} \otimes r^{(2)}_{\alpha_{2}} \otimes \ldots \otimes r^{(n')}_{\alpha_{n'}} \\
\otimes (\mu^{(n)})^{\otimes \ell} \left[ r^{(1)}_{\alpha_{1}} \otimes r^{(2)}_{\alpha_{2}} \otimes \ldots \otimes r^{(2)}_{\alpha_{n'}} \right] \otimes \ldots \otimes (\mu^{(n)})^{\otimes \ell} \left[ r^{(n')}_{\alpha_{1}} \otimes r^{(n')}_{\alpha_{2}} \otimes \ldots \otimes r^{(n')}_{\alpha_{n'}} \right], \tag{7.42}
\]

\[
\sum_{\alpha} r^{(1)}_{\alpha} \otimes \Delta^{(n')} (r^{(2)}_{\alpha} \otimes r^{(3)}_{\alpha} \otimes \ldots \otimes r^{(n')}_{\alpha}) = \sum_{\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{n'}} (\mu^{(n)})^{\otimes \ell} \circ \tau^{(n')}_{\otimes \ell} \left[ r^{(1)}_{\alpha_{1}} \otimes r^{(1)}_{\alpha_{2}} \otimes \ldots \otimes r^{(1)}_{\alpha_{n'}} \right] \\
\otimes r^{(2)}_{\alpha_{1}} \otimes r^{(2)}_{\alpha_{2}} \otimes \ldots \otimes r^{(2)}_{\alpha_{n'}} \otimes \ldots \otimes (\mu^{(n)})^{\otimes \ell} \left[ r^{(n')}_{\alpha_{1}} \otimes r^{(n')}_{\alpha_{2}} \otimes \ldots \otimes r^{(n')}_{\alpha_{n'}} \right], \tag{7.43}
\]

\[
\sum_{\alpha} r^{(1)}_{\alpha} \otimes r^{(2)}_{\alpha} \otimes \ldots \otimes \Delta^{(n')} (r^{(n')}_{\alpha}) = \sum_{\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{n'}} (\mu^{(n)})^{\otimes \ell} \circ \tau^{(n')}_{\otimes \ell} \left[ r^{(1)}_{\alpha_{1}} \otimes r^{(1)}_{\alpha_{2}} \otimes \ldots \otimes r^{(1)}_{\alpha_{n'}} \right] \\
\otimes (\mu^{(n)})^{\otimes \ell} \circ \tau^{(n')}_{\otimes \ell} \left[ r^{(2)}_{\alpha_{1}} \otimes r^{(2)}_{\alpha_{2}} \otimes \ldots \otimes r^{(2)}_{\alpha_{n'}} \right] \otimes \ldots \otimes r^{(n')}_{\alpha_{1}} \otimes r^{(n')}_{\alpha_{2}} \otimes \ldots \otimes r^{(n')}_{\alpha_{n'}}, \tag{7.44}
\]

where \( \tau^{(n')}_{\otimes \ell} \) is the polyadic twist map \([3.63]\). The arity shape of a quasipolyangular \( B^{(n',n)} \) is fixed by

\[
n' = \ell (n - 1) + 1, \quad \ell \in \mathbb{N}. \tag{7.45}
\]

Remark 7.20. As opposed to the binary case (7.14–7.15), the right hand sides here can be expressed in terms of the extended \( R \)-matrix in the first equation \([\text{7.42}]\) and the last one \([\text{7.44}]\) only, because in the intermediate equations the sequences of \( R \)-matrix elements are permuted. For instance, it is clear that the binary product \[ \sum_{\alpha, \beta} (r^{(1)}_{\alpha} \otimes r^{(2)}_{\alpha} \otimes e_{B}) \cdot (r^{(1)}_{\alpha} \otimes r^{(2)}_{\beta} \otimes e_{B}) = \sum_{\alpha, \beta} (r^{(1)}_{\beta} \cdot r^{(1)}_{\alpha} \otimes r^{(2)}_{\alpha} \cdot r^{(2)}_{\beta} \otimes e_{B}) \] cannot be expressed in terms of the extended binary \( R \)-matrix \([7.9]\).

7.6. Almost co-medial polyadic bialgebras. The previous considerations showed that co-commutativity and almost co-commutativity in the polyadic case are not unique and do not describe the bialgebras to the fullest extent. This happens because mediality is a more general and consequent property of polyadic algebraic structures, while commutativity can be treated as a particular case of it (see Subsection 3.7.4 and \([3.57]\)). Therefore, we propose here to deform co-mediality (rather than co-commutativity as in [DRINFIELD 1987, 1989a,b]).

Let \( B^{(n',n)} = \langle B \mid \mu^{(n)}, \Delta^{(n')} \rangle \), be a polyadic bialgebra (see Definition 5.1). Now we deform the co-mediality condition \([4.19]\) in a similar way to the polyadic \( R \)-matrix \([7.29]\).

Definition 7.21. A polyadic bialgebra \( B^{(n',n)} \) is called polyadic sequenced almost co-medial, if there exist \((n' - 1)\) fixed elements \( \mathcal{M}_{i}^{(n') \otimes n'} \in B^{\otimes n'^{2}}, i = 1, \ldots, n - 1 \), called a polyadic \( M \)-matrix sequence, such that (see \([4.18]\) and \([7.29]\))

\[
\mu^{(n)} \left[ \tau^{(n',n')}_{\text{medial}} \circ \left( \Delta^{(n') \otimes n'} \right) \circ \Delta^{(n')} (b), \mathcal{M}_{1}^{(n') \otimes n'}, \mathcal{M}_{2}^{(n') \otimes n'}, \ldots, \mathcal{M}_{n-1}^{(n') \otimes n'} \right] \\
= \mu^{(n)} \left[ \mathcal{M}_{1}^{(n') \otimes n'}, \left( \left( \Delta^{(n')} \otimes n' \right) \circ \Delta^{(n')} (b), \mathcal{M}_{2}^{(n') \otimes n'}, \ldots, \mathcal{M}_{n-1}^{(n') \otimes n'} \right) \right] \\
= \mu^{(n)} \left[ \mathcal{M}_{1}^{(n') \otimes n'}, \mathcal{M}_{2}^{(n') \otimes n'}, \ldots, \mathcal{M}_{n-1}^{(n') \otimes n'}, \left( \left( \Delta^{(n')} \otimes n' \right) \circ \Delta^{(n')} (b) \right), \forall b \in B, \tag{7.46} \right.
\]
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where $τ_{\text{medial}}^{(n',n')}$ is the polyadic medial map \((3.60)\).

**Definition 7.22.** A polyadic bialgebra $B^{(n',n)}$ is called polyadic sequenced almost (semi)co-medial, if only the first and the last relations in \((7.46)\) hold

\[
\mu^{(n)} \left[ \tau_{\text{medial}}^{(n',n')} \circ \left( \left( \Delta^{(n')} \right)^{\otimes n'} \right) \circ \Delta^{(n')} \left( b \right), \mathcal{M}^{(n')}_{n_1}, \ldots, \mathcal{M}^{(n')}_{n_{n-1}} \right] = \mu^{(n)} \left[ \mathcal{M}^{(n')}_{1}, \ldots, \mathcal{M}^{(n')}_{n_{n-1}}, \left( \left( \Delta^{(n')} \right)^{\otimes n'} \right) \circ \Delta^{(n')} \left( b \right) \right], \quad \forall b \in B,
\]

(7.47)

If all the elements in the sequence (similar to the neutral sequence for $n$-ary groups \((2.3)\)) are the same $\mathcal{M}^{(n')}_{1} = \mathcal{M}^{(n')}_{2} = \ldots = \mathcal{M}^{(n')}_{n_{n-1}} = \mathcal{M}^{(n')}$, we have

**Definition 7.23.** A polyadic bialgebra $B^{(n',n)}$ is called polyadic almost (semi)co-medial, if there exist one fixed element $\mathcal{M}^{(n')}$ in $B^{\otimes n'}$ called a polyadic $M$-matrix, such that (see \((4.18)\) and \((7.25)\))

\[
\mu^{(n)} \left[ \tau_{\text{medial}}^{(n',n')} \circ \left( \left( \Delta^{(n')} \right)^{\otimes n'} \right) \circ \Delta^{(n')} \left( b \right), \mathcal{M}^{(n')}, \ldots, \mathcal{M}^{(n')} \right] = \mu^{(n)} \left[ \mathcal{M}^{(n')}, \ldots, \mathcal{M}^{(n')}, \left( \left( \Delta^{(n')} \right)^{\otimes n'} \right) \circ \Delta^{(n')} \left( b \right) \right], \quad \forall b \in B.
\]

(7.48)

**Remark 7.24.** The main advantage of the polyadic almost co-mediality property over polyadic almost co-commutativity is the uniqueness of the medial map $\tau_{\text{medial}}^{(n,n)}$ and nonuniqueness of the polyadic twist map $\tau_{\text{twist}}^{(n,n)} \,(3.63)$.

The polyadic $M$-matrix $\mathcal{M}^{(n')}$ in components is given by

\[
\mathcal{M}^{(n')} = \sum_{\alpha} m^{(1)}_{\alpha} \otimes \ldots \otimes m^{(n')}_{\alpha}, \quad m^{(i)}_{\alpha} \in B, \quad i = 1, \ldots, n'.
\]

(7.49)

**Example 7.25.** In the binary case for $B^{(2,2)} = \langle B \mid \mu, \Delta \rangle$ we have an almost co-mediality \((7.48)\) as

\[
\mu \left[ \tau_{\text{medial}} \circ \left( \Delta \otimes \Delta \right) \circ \Delta \left( b \right), \mathcal{M}^{(4)} \right] = \mu \left[ \mathcal{M}^{(4)}, \left( \Delta \otimes \Delta \right) \circ \Delta \left( b \right) \right], \quad \forall b \in B.
\]

(7.50)

which gives, in components (cf. for $R$-matrix \((7.8)\))

\[
\sum_{[b]} \mu \left[ b_{[1]}, m^{(1)}_{\alpha} \right] \otimes \mu \left[ b_{[2]}, m^{(2)}_{\alpha} \right] \otimes \mu \left[ b_{[3]}, m^{(3)}_{\alpha} \right] \otimes \mu \left[ b_{[4]}, m^{(4)}_{\alpha} \right] = \sum_{[b]} \mu \left[ m^{(1)}_{\alpha}, b_{[1]} \right] \otimes \mu \left[ m^{(2)}_{\alpha}, b_{[2]} \right] \otimes \mu \left[ m^{(3)}_{\alpha}, b_{[3]} \right] \otimes \mu \left[ m^{(4)}_{\alpha}, b_{[4]} \right].
\]

(7.51)

Let us clarify the connection between the almost co-commutativity and almost co-mediality properties.
Theorem 7.26. If $B^{(n',n)}$ is polyadic almost (semi)co-commutative with the polyadic twist map $\tau^{(n')}_{\text{op}}$ (3.63) and the $n'$-ary $R$-matrix $R^{(n')} (7.30)$, then (7.29) can be presented in the "medial-like" form

$$
\mu^{(n)} \left[ \frac{\tau^{(n',n')}}{\tau^{(n')}_R} \circ \left( \Delta^{(n')} \otimes^{n'} \right) \circ \Delta^{(n')} (b), \, M^{(n^2)}_R, \ldots, M^{(n^2)}_R \right]^{n-1}
$$

$$
= \mu^{(n)} \left[ M^{(n^2)}_R, \ldots, M^{(n^2)}_R, \left( \Delta^{(n')} \otimes^{n'} \right) \circ \Delta^{(n')} (b) \right], \quad \forall b \in B,
$$

(7.52)

where

$$
\tau^{(n',n')} = \tau^{(n')}_R \otimes \ldots \otimes \tau^{(n')}_R,
$$

(7.53)

$$
M^{(n^2)}_R = R^{(n')} \otimes \ldots \otimes R^{(n')}.
$$

(7.54)

Proof. Applying (7.29) to each Sweedler component $b[i]$ of $\Delta^{(n')} (b), \, i = 1, \ldots, n'$, we obtain $n'$ relations for the polyadic almost (semi)co-commutativity. Then multiplying them tensorially, we obtain

$$
\left( \tau^{(n')}_R \otimes \ldots \otimes \tau^{(n')}_R \right) \circ \left( \Delta^{(n')} (b[1]) \otimes \ldots \otimes \Delta^{(n')} (b[n']) \right) \circ \left( R^{(n')} \otimes \ldots \otimes R^{(n')} \right)
$$

$$
= \left( R^{(n')} \otimes \ldots \otimes R^{(n')} \right) \circ \left( \Delta^{(n')} (b[1]) \otimes \ldots \otimes \Delta^{(n')} (b[n']) \right),
$$

which immediately gives (7.52). The converse statement is obvious. \qed

Corollary 7.27. Polyadic almost co-commutativity is a particular case of polyadic co-mediality with the special "medial-like" twist map $\tau^{(n',n')}_{\text{medial}}$ (7.53) and the composite $M$-matrix (7.54) consisting of $n'$ copies of the $R$-matrix (7.30).

Example 7.28. In the binary case we compare the medial map (3.57) with the composed "medial-like" twist map (7.53) as

$$
\tau_{\text{medial}} = \text{id}_B \otimes \tau^{(n')}_{\text{op}} \otimes \text{id}_B,
$$

(7.55)

$$
\tau_{\text{R}} = \tau^{(n')}_{\text{op}} \otimes \tau^{(n')}_{\text{op}},
$$

(7.56)

or in components

$$
b_1 \otimes b_2 \otimes b_3 \otimes b_4 \overset{\tau_{\text{medial}}}{\mapsto} b_1 \otimes b_3 \otimes b_2 \otimes b_4,
$$

(7.57)

$$
b_1 \otimes b_2 \otimes b_3 \otimes b_4 \overset{\tau_{\text{R}}}{\mapsto} b_2 \otimes b_1 \otimes b_4 \otimes b_3.
$$

(7.58)

This shows manifestly the difference between (polyadic) almost co-commutativity and (polyadic) almost co-mediality.
7.7. Equations for the $M$-matrix. Let us find the equations for the $M$-matrix \((7.49)\) using the medial analog of the $n'$-ary braid equation. Now the morphism of modules $c_{V_1 \ldots V_{n'^2}}$ becomes (see for the $R$-matrix \[(7.34)\])

$$
c_{V_1 \ldots V_{n'^2}} \circ (v_1 \otimes \ldots \otimes v_{n'^2}) = \tau_{\text{medial}, V_1 \ldots V_{n'^2}}^{(n', n')} \circ \rho^{(n-1)} \left( \mathcal{M}^{(n'^2)}, \ldots, \mathcal{M}^{(n'^2)} \right) | (v_1 \otimes \ldots \otimes v_{n'^2}) = \tau_{\text{medial}, V_1 \ldots V_{n'^2}}^{(n', n')} \circ \left( \sum_{\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_{n'^2}} \rho^{(n-1)} \left( m^{(1)}_{\alpha_1}, \ldots, m^{(1)}_{\alpha_{n'^2}} | v_1 \right) \otimes \ldots \otimes \rho^{(n-1)} \left( m^{(n'^2)}_{\alpha_1}, \ldots, m^{(n'^2)}_{\alpha_{n'^2}} | v_{n'^2} \right) \right),
$$

where $\tau_{\text{medial}, V_1 \ldots V_{n'^2}}^{(n', n')}$ is the medial map \[(8.60)\] acting on $n'^2$ modules $V_i$, $\mathcal{M}^{(n'^2)}$ is the polyadic $M$-matrix \[(7.49)\], and $\rho^{(n-1)}$ is the $(n-1)$-place action \[(7.47)\]. Now instead of the $n'$-ary braid equation \[(7.23)\] we can have (see Remark \[(7.3)\])

**Proposition 7.29.** The $n'$-ary medial braid equation is

$$
\begin{align*}
&\left( c_{V'^{n'^2}} \otimes \text{id}_V \otimes \ldots \otimes \text{id}_V \right) \circ \left( \text{id}_V \otimes c_{V'^{n'^2}} \otimes \text{id}_V \otimes \ldots \otimes \text{id}_V \right) \circ \ldots \\
&\circ \left( \text{id}_V \otimes \ldots \otimes \text{id}_V \otimes c_{V'^{n'^2}} \right) \circ \left( c_{V'^{n'^2}} \otimes \text{id}_V \otimes \ldots \otimes \text{id}_V \right) \\
&= \left( \text{id}_V \otimes \ldots \otimes \text{id}_V \otimes c_{V'^{n'^2}} \right) \circ \left( c_{V'^{n'^2}} \otimes \text{id}_V \otimes \ldots \otimes \text{id}_V \right) \circ \ldots \\
&\circ \left( \text{id}_V \otimes \ldots \otimes \text{id}_V \otimes c_{V'^{n'^2}} \otimes \text{id}_V \right) \circ \left( \text{id}_V \otimes \ldots \otimes \text{id}_V \otimes c_{V'^{n'^2}} \right),
\end{align*}
$$

where we use the notation $c_{V'^{n'^2}} \equiv c_{V_1 \ldots V_{n'^2}}$, $\text{id}_V \equiv \text{id}_{V_i}$, and each side consists of $(n'^2 + 1)$ brackets with $(2n'^2 - 1)$ multipliers.

**Proof.** This follows from the associative quiver technique [DUPLI] [2018a]. \qed

We observe that even in the binary case the medial braid equations are cumbersome and nontrivial.

**Example 7.30.** In the binary case $n' = 2$ we have the map $c_{V'^{n'^2}}$ (see \[(7.53), (7.57)\])

$$
c_{V_1 V_2 V_3 V_4} : V_1 \otimes V_2 \otimes V_3 \otimes V_4 \rightarrow V_1 \otimes V_3 \otimes V_2 \otimes V_4
$$

which acts on $V_1 \otimes V_2 \otimes V_3 \otimes V_4 \otimes V_5 \otimes V_6 \otimes V_7$. There are two medial braid equations which correspond to diagrams of different lengths (cf. the standard braid equation \[(7.21)\]).
1. $V_1 \otimes V_2 \otimes V_3 \otimes V_4 \otimes V_5 \otimes V_6 \otimes V_7 \rightarrow V_1 \otimes V_4 \otimes V_5 \otimes V_6 \otimes V_3 \otimes V_2 \otimes V_7$

\[
(c_{V_1 V_2 V_3} \otimes \text{id}_{V_4} \otimes \text{id}_{V_5} \otimes \text{id}_{V_6} \otimes \text{id}_{V_7}) \circ (\text{id}_{V_1} \otimes c_{V_3 V_4 V_5} \otimes \text{id}_{V_6} \otimes \text{id}_{V_7}) \\
\circ (\text{id}_{V_1} \otimes \text{id}_{V_2} \otimes c_{V_3 V_4 V_5} \otimes \text{id}_{V_6} \otimes \text{id}_{V_7}) \\
\circ (c_{V_1 V_2 V_3} \otimes \text{id}_{V_4} \otimes \text{id}_{V_5} \otimes \text{id}_{V_6} \otimes \text{id}_{V_7}) \\
\circ (\text{id}_{V_1} \otimes \text{id}_{V_2} \otimes \text{id}_{V_3} \otimes \text{id}_{V_4} \otimes \text{id}_{V_5} \otimes \text{id}_{V_7}) \\
\circ (c_{V_1 V_2 V_3} \otimes \text{id}_{V_4} \otimes \text{id}_{V_5} \otimes \text{id}_{V_6} \otimes \text{id}_{V_7}) \\
\circ (\text{id}_{V_1} \otimes \text{id}_{V_2} \otimes \text{id}_{V_3} \otimes \text{id}_{V_4} \otimes \text{id}_{V_5} \otimes \text{id}_{V_7}) \cdot (7.62)
\]

2. $V_1 \otimes V_2 \otimes V_3 \otimes V_4 \otimes V_5 \otimes V_6 \otimes V_7 \rightarrow V_1 \otimes V_6 \otimes V_5 \otimes V_4 \otimes V_2 \otimes V_3 \otimes V_7$

\[
(\text{id}_{V_1} \otimes \text{id}_{V_6} \otimes c_{V_2 V_3 V_4} \otimes \text{id}_{V_5} \otimes \text{id}_{V_7}) \\
\circ (c_{V_1 V_2 V_3} \otimes \text{id}_{V_4} \otimes \text{id}_{V_5} \otimes \text{id}_{V_6} \otimes \text{id}_{V_7}) \\
\circ (\text{id}_{V_1} \otimes \text{id}_{V_2} \otimes c_{V_3 V_4 V_5} \otimes \text{id}_{V_6} \otimes \text{id}_{V_7}) \\
\circ (c_{V_1 V_2 V_3} \otimes \text{id}_{V_4} \otimes \text{id}_{V_5} \otimes \text{id}_{V_6} \otimes \text{id}_{V_7}) \\
\circ (\text{id}_{V_1} \otimes \text{id}_{V_2} \otimes \text{id}_{V_3} \otimes \text{id}_{V_4} \otimes \text{id}_{V_5} \otimes \text{id}_{V_7}) \\
\circ (c_{V_1 V_2 V_3} \otimes \text{id}_{V_4} \otimes \text{id}_{V_5} \otimes \text{id}_{V_6} \otimes \text{id}_{V_7}) \\
\circ (\text{id}_{V_1} \otimes \text{id}_{V_2} \otimes \text{id}_{V_3} \otimes \text{id}_{V_4} \otimes \text{id}_{V_5} \otimes \text{id}_{V_7}) \cdot (7.63)
\]

The equations for the $M$-matrix can be obtained by introducing the “extended” $M$-matrix, as in the case of the $R$-matrix (see Remark 7.12), and this can also be possible if the $n$-ary algebra $\langle B \mid \mu^{(n)} \rangle$ has the unit (element) $e_{B} \in B$.

**Definition 7.31.** The “extended” $M$-matrix is defined by $M_{i_1,\ldots,i_{n^2}}^{(2n^2-1)} \in B \otimes (2n^2-1)$, such that

\[
M_{i_1,\ldots,i_{n^2}}^{(2n^2-1)} = \sum_{\alpha} e_B \otimes \ldots \otimes m_{\alpha}^{(i_1)} \otimes \ldots \otimes m_{\alpha}^{(i_{n^2})} \otimes e_B, \quad i_1, \ldots, i_{n^2} \in \{1, \ldots, 2n^2 - 1\} (7.64)
\]

where $m_{\alpha}^{(i_k)}$ are on the $i_k$-place.

It is difficult to write the general compatibility equations for the “extended” $M$-matrix (7.64).

**Example 7.32.** In the binary case $n = n' = 2$ we have for the polyadic $M$-matrix $M^{(4)}$ in components

\[
M^{(4)} = \sum_{\alpha} m_{\alpha}^{(1)} \otimes m_{\alpha}^{(2)} \otimes m_{\alpha}^{(3)} \otimes m_{\alpha}^{(4)}, \quad m_{\alpha}^{(i)} \in B, (7.65)
\]
and $\mathcal{M}_{i_1 \ldots i_4}^{(7)} \in B^7$ with
\[ \mathcal{M}_{i_1 \ldots i_4}^{(7)} = \sum_{\alpha} e_B \otimes \ldots \otimes m_{\alpha}^{(i_1)} \otimes \ldots \otimes m_{\alpha}^{(i_4)} \otimes \ldots \otimes e_B, \quad i_1, \ldots, i_4 \in \{1, \ldots, 7\}. \] (7.66)

The map of modules $c_{V_1 \ldots V_4}$ in the manifest form is
\[ c_{V_1 V_2 V_3 V_4} \circ (v_1 \otimes v_2 \otimes v_3 \otimes v_4) = \tau_{\text{medial}} \circ \rho \left( \mathcal{M}^{(4)} \mid (v_1 \otimes v_2 \otimes v_3 \otimes v_4) \right) = \tau_{\text{medial}} \circ \left( \sum_{\alpha} \rho \left( m_{\alpha}^{(1)} \mid v_1 \right) \otimes \rho \left( m_{\alpha}^{(2)} \mid v_2 \right) \otimes \rho \left( m_{\alpha}^{(3)} \mid v_3 \right) \otimes \rho \left( m_{\alpha}^{(4)} \mid v_4 \right) \right), \]
\[ v_i \in V_i, \quad m_{\alpha}^{(i)} \in B, \quad i = 1, 2, 3, 4, \] (7.67)
where $\tau_{\text{medial}}$ is the medial map (7.61), and $\rho : B \times V \to V$ is the ordinary 1-place action (7.7).

After inserting (7.67) into (7.63) and (7.64), using (7.66) we obtain the equations for $\mathcal{M}$-matrix
\[ \mathcal{M}^{(7)}_{1546} \mathcal{M}^{(7)}_{6543} \mathcal{M}^{(7)}_{6342} \mathcal{M}^{(7)}_{3247} \mathcal{M}^{(7)}_{1653} \mathcal{M}^{(7)}_{6352} \mathcal{M}^{(7)}_{3254} \mathcal{M}^{(7)}_{2457} \mathcal{M}^{(7)}_{1362} \mathcal{M}^{(7)}_{3264} \mathcal{M}^{(7)}_{2465} \mathcal{M}^{(7)}_{4567} \mathcal{M}^{(7)}_{1234} \]
\[ = \mathcal{M}^{(7)}_{6237} \mathcal{M}^{(7)}_{1546} \mathcal{M}^{(7)}_{6342} \mathcal{M}^{(7)}_{6423} \mathcal{M}^{(7)}_{2347} \mathcal{M}^{(7)}_{1652} \mathcal{M}^{(7)}_{6352} \mathcal{M}^{(7)}_{2354} \mathcal{M}^{(7)}_{3457} \mathcal{M}^{(7)}_{1263} \mathcal{M}^{(7)}_{2364} \mathcal{M}^{(7)}_{3465} \mathcal{M}^{(7)}_{4567} \mathcal{M}^{(7)}_{1234} \] (7.68)
and
\[ \mathcal{M}^{(7)}_{5234} \mathcal{M}^{(7)}_{2417} \mathcal{M}^{(7)}_{1562} \mathcal{M}^{(7)}_{5263} \mathcal{M}^{(7)}_{2634} \mathcal{M}^{(7)}_{3467} \mathcal{M}^{(7)}_{1253} \mathcal{M}^{(7)}_{2354} \mathcal{M}^{(7)}_{3456} \]
\[ = \mathcal{M}^{(7)}_{6452} \mathcal{M}^{(7)}_{2357} \mathcal{M}^{(7)}_{1462} \mathcal{M}^{(7)}_{6423} \mathcal{M}^{(7)}_{2345} \mathcal{M}^{(7)}_{3456} \mathcal{M}^{(7)}_{1243} \mathcal{M}^{(7)}_{2345}, \] (7.69)
which respect the braid equations (7.62) and (7.63).

Remark 7.33. The unequal number of terms in (7.63) and (7.69) is governed by different commutative diagrams of modules (7.62) and (7.63), respectively (cf. (7.16) and (7.17) and (7.21)).

7.8. Medial analog of triangularity. Now we consider the possible analogs of the quasitrangularity conditions (similar to (7.14)–(7.15) and quasipolyangularity (7.42–7.44)) for a polyadic almost co-medial bialgebra $B^{(n',n)}$ (see Definition 7.23).

Definition 7.34. A polyadic almost co-medial bialgebra $B^{(n',n)} = \langle B \mid \mu^{(n)}, \Delta^{(n')} \rangle$ with the polyadic $M$-matrix $\mathcal{M}^{(n')} = \sum_{\alpha} m_{\alpha}^{(1)} \otimes \ldots \otimes m_{\alpha}^{(n')}$, $m_{\alpha}^{(i)} \in B$ is called medial quasipolyangular, if the following $n'$ relations hold
\[ \sum_{\alpha} \left( \Delta^{(n')} \otimes m_{\alpha}^{(1)} \otimes m_{\alpha}^{(2)} \otimes \ldots \otimes m_{\alpha}^{(n')} \right) = \sum_{\alpha_1 \ldots \alpha_{n'+2}} m_{\alpha_1}^{(1)} \otimes m_{\alpha_2}^{(1)} \otimes m_{\alpha_3}^{(1)} \otimes \ldots \otimes m_{\alpha_{n'+2}}^{(1)} \]
\[ (\mu^{(n)})^{m_{\alpha}^{(1)}} \otimes \ldots \otimes (\mu^{(n)})^{m_{\alpha}^{(n')}} = \sum_{\alpha_1 \ldots \alpha_{n'+2}} \mu^{(1)} \otimes \mu^{(2)} \otimes \ldots \otimes \mu^{(n')} \]
\[ \sum_{\alpha} \left( m_{\alpha}^{(1)} \otimes \Delta^{(n')} \otimes m_{\alpha}^{(2)} \otimes \ldots \otimes m_{\alpha}^{(n')} \right) = \sum_{\alpha_1 \ldots \alpha_{n'+2}} (\mu^{(n)})^{m_{\alpha}^{(1)}} \otimes \tau_{\text{medial}} \left[ m_{\alpha_1}^{(1)} \otimes m_{\alpha_2}^{(1)} \otimes \ldots \otimes m_{\alpha_{n'+2}}^{(1)} \right] \otimes \ldots \otimes (\mu^{(n)})^{m_{\alpha}^{(n')}} \]
\[ \otimes \ldots \otimes \left[ m_{\alpha_1}^{(n')} \otimes m_{\alpha_2}^{(n')} \otimes \ldots \otimes m_{\alpha_{n'+2}}^{(n')} \right], \] (7.70)
\[\sum_{\alpha} m^{(1)}_{\alpha} \otimes \cdots \otimes m^{(n-1)}_{\alpha} \otimes \left(\Delta^{(n')} \left( m^{(n')}_{\alpha} \right) \right)^{\otimes n'} \circ \Delta^{(n')} \left( m^{(n')}_{\alpha} \right)\]

\[= \sum_{\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_{n'}^2} \left( \mu^{(n')} \right)^{\otimes \ell} \circ \tau^{(n^2, n^2)}_{\text{medial}} \left[ m^{(1)}_{\alpha_1} \otimes m^{(1)}_{\alpha_2} \otimes \cdots \otimes m^{(1)}_{\alpha_{n'}^2} \right] \otimes \cdots \otimes \left( \mu^{(n')} \right)^{\otimes \ell} \circ \tau^{(n^2, n^2)}_{\text{medial}} \left[ m^{(n^2-1)}_{\alpha_1} \otimes m^{(n^2-1)}_{\alpha_2} \otimes \cdots \otimes m^{(n^2-1)}_{\alpha_{n'}^2} \right] \otimes m^{(n^2)}_{\alpha_1} \otimes m^{(n^2)}_{\alpha_2} \otimes \cdots \otimes m^{(n^2)}_{\alpha_{n'}^2},\]

(7.72)

where \(\tau^{(n^2, n^2)}_{\text{medial}}\) is the unique medial twist map (8.60). The arity shape of a medial quasipolyangular bialgebra \(B^{(n, n)}\) is given by (cf. (7.45))

\[n^2 = \ell (n - 1) + 1, \quad \ell \in \mathbb{N}.\]

(7.73)

Remark 7.35. Similar to Remark 7.20, the medial quasipolyangularity equations (7.70)–(7.72) can be expressed in terms of the extended \(M\)-matrix for the first equation (7.70) and the last one (7.72) only, because in the intermediate equations the sequences of \(M\)-matrix elements are permuted.

Example 7.36. In the case where \(n' = n = 2, \ell = 3\), for the bialgebra \(B^{(2, 2)} = \langle B \mid \mu = (\cdot, \cdot), \Delta \rangle\) with the polyadic \(M\)-matrix

\[M^{(4)} = \sum_{\alpha} m^{(1)}_{\alpha} \otimes m^{(2)}_{\alpha} \otimes m^{(3)}_{\alpha} \otimes m^{(4)}_{\alpha}, \quad m^{(i)}_{\alpha} \in B\]

(7.74)

we have the binary medial quasipolyangularity equations

\[\sum_{\alpha} (\Delta \otimes \Delta) \circ \Delta \left( m^{(1)}_{\alpha} \right) \otimes m^{(2)}_{\alpha} \otimes m^{(3)}_{\alpha} \otimes m^{(4)}_{\alpha} = \sum_{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4} m^{(1)}_{\alpha_1} \otimes m^{(1)}_{\alpha_2} \otimes m^{(1)}_{\alpha_3} \otimes m^{(1)}_{\alpha_4} \]

\[\otimes m^{(2)}_{\alpha_2} \cdot m^{(2)}_{\alpha_3} \cdot m^{(2)}_{\alpha_4} \otimes m^{(3)}_{\alpha_1} \cdot m^{(3)}_{\alpha_2} \cdot m^{(3)}_{\alpha_3} \cdot m^{(3)}_{\alpha_4} \otimes m^{(4)}_{\alpha_1} \cdot m^{(4)}_{\alpha_2} \cdot m^{(4)}_{\alpha_3} \cdot m^{(4)}_{\alpha_4},\]

(7.75)

\[\sum_{\alpha} m^{(1)}_{\alpha} \otimes (\Delta \otimes \Delta) \circ \Delta \left( m^{(2)}_{\alpha} \right) \otimes m^{(3)}_{\alpha} \otimes m^{(4)}_{\alpha} = \sum_{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4} m^{(1)}_{\alpha_1} \cdot m^{(1)}_{\alpha_2} \cdot m^{(1)}_{\alpha_3} \cdot m^{(1)}_{\alpha_4} \]

\[\otimes m^{(2)}_{\alpha_1} \otimes m^{(2)}_{\alpha_2} \otimes m^{(2)}_{\alpha_3} \otimes m^{(2)}_{\alpha_4} \otimes m^{(3)}_{\alpha_1} \cdot m^{(3)}_{\alpha_2} \cdot m^{(3)}_{\alpha_3} \cdot m^{(3)}_{\alpha_4} \otimes m^{(4)}_{\alpha_1} \cdot m^{(4)}_{\alpha_2} \cdot m^{(4)}_{\alpha_3} \cdot m^{(4)}_{\alpha_4},\]

(7.76)

\[\sum_{\alpha} m^{(1)}_{\alpha} \otimes m^{(2)}_{\alpha} \otimes (\Delta \otimes \Delta) \circ \Delta \left( m^{(3)}_{\alpha} \right) \otimes m^{(4)}_{\alpha} = \sum_{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4} m^{(1)}_{\alpha_1} \cdot m^{(1)}_{\alpha_2} \cdot m^{(1)}_{\alpha_3} \cdot m^{(1)}_{\alpha_4} \]

\[\otimes m^{(2)}_{\alpha_1} \cdot m^{(2)}_{\alpha_2} \cdot m^{(2)}_{\alpha_3} \cdot m^{(2)}_{\alpha_4} \otimes m^{(3)}_{\alpha_1} \cdot m^{(3)}_{\alpha_2} \cdot m^{(3)}_{\alpha_3} \cdot m^{(3)}_{\alpha_4} \otimes m^{(4)}_{\alpha_1} \cdot m^{(4)}_{\alpha_2} \cdot m^{(4)}_{\alpha_3} \cdot m^{(4)}_{\alpha_4},\]

(7.77)

\[\sum_{\alpha} m^{(1)}_{\alpha} \otimes m^{(2)}_{\alpha} \otimes m^{(3)}_{\alpha} \otimes (\Delta \otimes \Delta) \circ \Delta \left( m^{(4)}_{\alpha} \right) = \sum_{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4} m^{(1)}_{\alpha_1} \cdot m^{(1)}_{\alpha_2} \cdot m^{(1)}_{\alpha_3} \cdot m^{(1)}_{\alpha_4} \]

\[\otimes m^{(2)}_{\alpha_1} \cdot m^{(2)}_{\alpha_2} \cdot m^{(2)}_{\alpha_3} \cdot m^{(2)}_{\alpha_4} \otimes m^{(3)}_{\alpha_1} \cdot m^{(3)}_{\alpha_2} \cdot m^{(3)}_{\alpha_3} \cdot m^{(3)}_{\alpha_4} \otimes m^{(4)}_{\alpha_1} \otimes m^{(4)}_{\alpha_2} \otimes m^{(4)}_{\alpha_3} \otimes m^{(4)}_{\alpha_4}.\]

(7.78)

According to Remark 7.35, we can express through the extended \(M\)-matrix (7.66) the first medial quasipolyangularity equation (7.75) and the last one (7.78) only, as follows

\[((\Delta \otimes \Delta) \circ \Delta \otimes \text{id}_B \otimes \text{id}_B \otimes \text{id}_B) \left( M^{(4)} \right) = M^{(7)}_{1567} \cdot M^{(7)}_{2967} \cdot M^{(7)}_{3567} \cdot M^{(7)}_{4567},\]

(7.79)

\[(\text{id}_B \otimes \text{id}_B \otimes \text{id}_B \otimes (\Delta \otimes \Delta) \circ \Delta) \left( M^{(4)} \right) = M^{(7)}_{1234} \cdot M^{(7)}_{1236} \cdot M^{(7)}_{1235} \cdot M^{(7)}_{1237}.\]

(7.80)
Proposition 7.37. An extended binary $M$-matrix (7.66) of the binary almost co-medial bialgebra $B^{(2,2)} = \langle B | \mu = (\cdot, \Delta) \rangle$ satisfies the compatibility equations (cf. (7.15))
\[
\begin{align*}
M^{(7)}_{1234} \cdot M^{(7)}_{1567} \cdot M^{(7)}_{2567} \cdot M^{(7)}_{3567} & = M^{(7)}_{1567} \cdot M^{(7)}_{3567} \cdot M^{(7)}_{2567} \cdot M^{(7)}_{1234}, \\
M^{(7)}_{4567} \cdot M^{(7)}_{1234} \cdot M^{(7)}_{1236} \cdot M^{(7)}_{1235} & = M^{(7)}_{1234} \cdot M^{(7)}_{1235} \cdot M^{(7)}_{1236} \cdot M^{(7)}_{4567}. 
\end{align*}
\] (7.81)

Proof. The identities for the $M$-matrix
\[
\begin{align*}
(\delta \otimes \delta) \circ (\Delta \otimes \delta) \circ \Delta \otimes \text{id}_B \otimes \text{id}_B \otimes \text{id}_B \otimes \text{id}_B \circ (M^{(4)}) \\
= (\tau_{\text{medial}} \circ (\Delta \otimes \delta) \circ \Delta \otimes \text{id}_B \otimes \text{id}_B \otimes \text{id}_B \circ (M^{(4)}) \circ (\delta \otimes \delta) \circ (\Delta \otimes \delta) \circ \Delta) \circ \delta \\
= (\text{id}_B \otimes \text{id}_B \otimes \delta \otimes \tau_{\text{medial}} \circ (\Delta \otimes \delta) \circ \Delta) \circ (\delta \otimes \delta) \circ (\Delta \otimes \delta) \circ (\delta \otimes \delta)
\end{align*}
\] (7.83)
follow from the almost co-mediality condition (7.50), and then we apply quasipolyangularity (7.79–7.80).

Remark 7.38. Two other compatibility equations corresponding to the intermediate quasipolyangularity equations (7.76–7.77) can be written in component form only (see Remark 7.35).

The solutions to (7.81)–(7.82) can be found in matrix form by choosing an appropriate basis and using the standard methods (see, e.g., Kasel [1995], Lambe and Radford [1997]).

8. Conclusions

We have presented the “polyadization” procedure of the following algebra-like structures: algebras, coalgebras, bialgebras and Hopf algebras (see Duplij [2017, 2019] for ring-like structures). In our concrete constructions the initial arities of operations are taken as arbitrary, and we then try to restrict them only by means of natural relations which bring to mind the binary case. This leads to many exotic properties and unexpected connections between arities and a fixing of their values called “quantization”. For instance, the unit and counit (which do not always exist) can be multi-valued many place maps, polyadic algebras can be zeroless, the queurelements should be considered instead of inverse elements under addition and multiplication, a polyadic bialgebra can consist of an algebra and coalgebra of different arities, and a polyadic analog of Hopf algebras contains (instead of the ordinary antipode) the querantipode, which has different properties.

The formulas and constructions introduced for concrete algebra-like structures can have many applications, e.g., in combinatorics, quantum logic, or representation theory. As an example, we have introduced possible polyadic analogs of braidings, almost co-commutativity and a version of the $R$-matrix. A new concept of deformation (using the medial map) is proposed: this is unique and therefore can be more consequential and suitable in the polyadic case.
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