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ABSTRACT

We present a measurement of the volumetric Type Ia supernova (SN Ia) rate based on data from the Sloan Digital Sky Survey II (SDSS-II) Supernova Survey. The adopted sample of supernovae (SNe Ia) includes 516 SNe Ia at redshift $z \leq 0.3$, of which 270(52%) are spectroscopically identified as SNe Ia. The remaining 246 SNe Ia were identified through their light curves; 113 of these objects have spectroscopic redshifts from spectra of their host galaxy, and 133 have photometric redshifts estimated from the SN light curves. Based on consideration of 87 spectroscopically confirmed non-Ia SNe discovered by the SDSS-II SN Survey, we estimate that 2.04$^{+0.61}_{-0.99}$% of the photometric SNe Ia may be misidentified. The sample of SNe Ia used in this measurement represents an order of magnitude increase in the statistics for SN Ia rate measurements in the redshift range covered by the SDSS-II Supernova Survey. If we assume an SN Ia rate that is constant at low redshift ($z < 0.15$), then the SN observations can be used to infer a value of the SN rate of $\nu = (2.69_{-0.30}^{+0.34}) \times 10^{-5} \text{SNe yr}^{-1} \text{Mpc}^{-3} (H_0/(70\text{ km s}^{-1}\text{Mpc}^{-1}))^3$ at a mean redshift of $\sim 0.12$, based on 79 SNe Ia of which 72 are spectroscopically confirmed. However, the large sample of SNe Ia included in this study allows us to place constraints on the redshift dependence of the SN Ia rate based on the SDSS-II Supernova Survey data alone. Fitting a power-law model of the SN rate evolution, $\nu(z) = A_p \times ((1+z)/(1+z_0))^3$, over the redshift range $0 < z < 0.3$ with $z_0 = 0.21$, results in $A_p = (3.43_{-0.15}^{+0.15}) \times 10^{-3} \text{SNe yr}^{-1} \text{Mpc}^{-3} (H_0/(70\text{ km s}^{-1}\text{Mpc}^{-1}))^3$ and $\nu = 2.04^{+0.99}_{-0.89}$.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Type Ia supernovae (SNe Ia) occupy a prominent position in contemporary astrophysics, in part due to their utility as cosmological distance indicators (for a review, see Filippenko 2005). The observed correlation between the peak luminosity and the rate of decline for SNe Ia (Pskovskii 1977; Phillips 1993) has been exploited to improve the accuracy of measured
distances to SNe Ia and thereby place important constraints on cosmological models (e.g., Riess et al. 1998, 2004, 2007, 2009; Perlmutter et al. 1999; Astier et al. 2006; Wood-Vasey et al. 2007; Hicken et al. 2009; Freedman et al. 2009; Kessler et al. 2009). However, the SN Ia decline rate versus peak luminosity correlation is mainly an empirically determined phenomenon, and the exact nature of the progenitor systems that give rise to SNe Ia remains uncertain. A better understanding of SN Ia progenitor systems is desirable both for investigations of fundamental astrophysics (e.g., binary star evolution and explosion physics) and to provide a theoretical foundation for understanding any possible evolution of SN Ia properties (such as the decline rate versus peak luminosity correlation) with redshift that could cause additional systematic effects in distance measurements.

The SN Ia rate can be used to place important constraints on the progenitor systems of SNe Ia. In general, the SN Ia rate can be expressed as a delay function convolved with the cosmic star formation rate (SFR; e.g., Greggio 2005). That is,

\[ r(t) = \int_0^t \kappa(t') \Psi(t') A_{\text{SFR}}(t-t') D(t-t') \, dt', \quad (1) \]

where \( r(t) \) is the SN rate, \( \Psi(t') \) is the SFR, \( \kappa(t') \) is the number of stars per unit mass for the population formed at epoch \( t' \), \( A_{\text{SFR}}(t-t') \) is the number of stars from the population that will result in SN explosions, and \( D(t-t') \) is a distribution of delay times between the formation of a stellar system and the resulting SN explosion. The delay function varies depending on the model assumed for the progenitors of SNe Ia, and measurements of the SN rate, in combination with measurements of the cosmic SFR, can therefore place observational constraints on SN Ia progenitor models. We emphasize that according to Equation (1), constraints on SN Ia progenitor systems rely not only on precise measurements of the SN rate, but also on measurements of the cosmic SFR. At present, measurements of the cosmic SFR (as a function of time) suffer from significant uncertainties, thus complicating the interpretation of the cosmic SN rate in terms of delay functions (Förster et al. 2006). In this paper, we focus on presenting our SN rate measurements and will not pursue detailed comparisons to the cosmic SFR.

The SN rate was first measured by Zwicky (1938), who found it to be approximately “one SN per few hundred years per average nebula,” in the local universe. Subsequently, improvements in astronomical technology as well as increased observing time dedicated to SN searches have led to more precise SN rate measurements, spanning a wide range of redshifts. In the local universe, the SN Ia rate has been measured by Cappellaro et al. (1999) from \( \sim 140 \) SNe Ia and by Li et al. (2010a, 2010b) from \( \sim 930 \) SNe Ia. At intermediate redshifts (0.1 \( \lesssim z \lesssim 0.5 \)), the SN Ia rate has been measured by many authors (e.g., Hardin et al. 2000; Pain et al. 2002; Madgwick et al. 2003; Tonry et al. 2003; Blanc et al. 2004; Neill et al. 2006; Sullivan et al. 2006b; Botticella et al. 2008). At high redshifts, the SN Ia rate has been measured with data from the Hubble Space Telescope (HST) by Dahlen et al. (2004, 2008). All of these SN rate measurements are based on SN Ia samples that are primarily spectroscopically identified and were determined in a manner similar to that of the SDSS-II Supernova Survey SN rate analysis presented here. In addition to these measurements, a number of authors have presented SN Ia rate analyses based on photometric identification of SNe Ia, in many cases with only a few photometric observations. These include measurement of the intermediate-redshift rate by Horesh et al. (2008), the intermediate-to-

A precise measurement of the low-redshift (\( z < 0.12 \)) SN Ia rate, based on 17 SNe Ia from the first season of the SDSS-II Supernova Survey, was given by Dilday et al. (2008). In this paper we discuss an extension of this volumetric SN Ia rate measurement to a higher redshift limit, based on all three seasons of the SDSS-II Supernova Survey (Frieman et al. 2008). Including SNe from three years of the SDSS-II Supernova Survey and considering a larger redshift range results in a major increase in the number of SNe used for the rate measurement. At low redshifts, the SN rate measurements discussed here have the same high purity and completeness as for the low-redshift rate from the first season discussed by Dilday et al. (2008), but with increased statistical power. Inclusion of higher-redshift SNe allows for investigation of the redshift dependence of the SN Ia rate over the range covered by the SDSS-II Supernova Survey. However, at higher redshifts, systematic uncertainties become increasingly important and eventually dominate the error budget. The efficiency studies and SN selection functions described herein have also been used to estimate the SN Ia rate as an explicit function of the properties of their host galaxies (M. Smith et al. 2010, in preparation) and for studies of the SN Ia rate in clusters of galaxies (Dilday et al. 2010).

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we briefly describe the observations and SN search strategy of the SDSS-II Supernova Survey. Section 3 discusses selection of the SN rate sample from the SDSS-II Supernova Survey data, and Section 4 determines the efficiency for SN selection. We present our measurement of the SN Ia rate in Section 5, and our conclusions are summarized in Section 6. Whenever necessary, we assume a flat \( \Lambda \)CDM universe with \( \Omega_m = 0.3 \), \( \Omega_\Lambda = 0.7 \), and \( H_0 = 70 \) km s\(^{-1}\) Mpc\(^{-1}\).

2. SDSS-II SUPERNOVA SURVEY OBSERVATIONS

Here we briefly describe aspects of the SDSS-II Supernova Survey most relevant to the present SN rate analysis. Much of the material in this section is also relevant to the SN rate studies described by Dilday et al. (2010), and is discussed more fully therein. The survey is described in more detail by Frieman et al. (2008), and the SN detection algorithms are given by Sako et al. (2008). Additional details of the survey observations and the use of in situ artificial SNe for determining SN detection efficiencies are discussed by Dilday et al. (2008). A technical summary of the Sloan Digital Sky Survey (SDSS) is given by York et al. (2000). Details of the survey calibration are provided by Hogg et al. (2001), Smith et al. (2002), and Tucker et al. (2006). The data processing and quality assessment are discussed by Ivezić et al. (2004), and the photometric pipeline is described by Lupton et al. (1999).

The SDSS-II Supernova Survey was carried out during the Fall (September–November) of 2005–2007, using the 2.5 m telescope (Gunn et al. 2006) at Apache Point Observatory (Sacramento Peak, New Mexico). Observations were obtained in the SDSS griz filters (Fukugita et al. 1996) with a wide-field CCD camera (Gunn et al. 1998), operating in time-delay-and-integrate (TDI, or drift scan) mode. The region of the sky covered by the SDSS-II Supernova Survey (designated Stripe 82; see Stoughton et al. 2002) was bounded by \( -60^\circ < \alpha_{\text{J2000}} < 60^\circ \) and \( -1^\circ:258 < \delta_{\text{J2000}} < 1^\circ:258 \). On average, any given part of this \( \sim 300 \) deg\(^2\) area was imaged once every four days during the survey operations.
Difference images were produced in the SDSS $gri$ filter bands by subtracting template images, constructed from previous survey observations of the region, using an implementation of the methods described by Alard & Lupton (1998). The difference images were searched for positive fluctuations using the DoPHOT photometry and object detection package (Schechter et al. 1993); typical limits (10σ above background) for the SDSS-II Supernova Survey were $g \approx 21.8$, $r \approx 21.5$, and $i \approx 21.2$ mag. A combination of software cuts and human visual inspection was then used to identify promising SN candidates from the full set of transient detections. As a key component of prioritizing SN candidates for follow-up spectroscopic observations, the light curves of SN candidates were fit to models of Type Ia, Type Ib/c, and Type II SNe (see Filippenko 1997 for a review of SN types). This procedure is referred to as “photometric typing,” and is described in detail by Sako et al. (2008).

Spectroscopic observations for both SN classification and redshift determination were provided by a number of different telescopes. The spectra of the SNe utilized in the present SN rate analysis were provided by the Hobby-Eberly 9.2 m at McDonald Observatory, the Astrophysical Research Consortium 3.5 m at Apache Point Observatory, the Hiltner 2.4 m at the Michigan-Dartmouth-MIT Observatory, the Subaru 8.2 m at the National Astronomical Observatory of Japan, the Keck-1 10 m at the W. M. Keck Observatory, the Mayall 3.8 m at Kitt Peak National Observatory, the 3.5 m ESO New Technology Telescope (NTT) at the European Southern Observatory, the SALT 11 m (9.5 m clear aperture) at the South African Astronomical Observatory, and the 2.6 m Nordic Optical Telescope, 3.5 m Telescopio Nazionale Galilei, and 4.2 m William Herschel Telescope at the Observatorio del Roque de los Muchachos. Details of the SDSS-II Supernova Survey spectroscopic data reductions are given by Zheng et al. (2008). Comparison to high-quality SDSS galaxy spectra shows that SN spectroscopic redshifts are accurate to $\sim0.0005$ when galaxy emission features are used and to $\sim0.005$ when SN features are used. In either case, the uncertainties in the spectroscopic SN redshifts are negligible for the SN-rate studies considered here.

While the difference imaging pipeline used during the SN search provides initial photometric measurements, subsequent to the search more precise SN photometry is provided using a scene modeling photometry (SMP) technique developed by Holtzman et al. (2008). The final analysis of SN light curves and the selection cuts used to define the SN rate sample discussed in this paper are based on SMP.

3. SN Ia SAMPLE FOR THE RATE MEASUREMENT

3.1. SN Selection Requirements

For the SDSS-II Supernova Survey measurement of the low-redshift SN Ia rate (Dilday et al. 2008), we included in the SN Ia sample all spectroscopically confirmed SNe Ia at $z < 0.12$, subject to a set of objective selection criteria that can be robustly modeled with our SN Monte Carlo (MC) simulations. To account for spectroscopic incompleteness, we used the MLCS2k2 SN Ia model (Jha et al. 2007) to analyze the SMP (Section 2) light curves for a set of $\sim1000$ photometric SN candidates, which comprised $\sim500$ “best” SN Ia candidates and $\sim500$ randomly chosen SN candidates.

In the present analysis, we adopt a somewhat different approach to selecting the SN sample for use in measurement of the SN rate. Rather than focusing on the low-redshift ($z < 0.12$) SNe, which can be demonstrated to be a complete sample, we define objective selection criteria for SNe Ia at all redshifts, and determine the completeness of the resulting samples based on analysis of simulated samples of SNe. As discussed in Section 2, during the survey the search-photometry light curves of SN candidates were fit to models of Type Ia, Type Ib/c, and Type II SNe, and the results were used as a factor in prioritizing our spectroscopic follow-up resources.

In addition, as a method of searching for photometric SNe Ia subsequent to the survey, the search-photometry light curves were used to define a Bayesian probability for each SN candidate to be an SN of a given type. This was done by marginalizing over the light-curve fit parameters to obtain the Bayesian evidence and requiring that the evidence for the three SN types sums to 1. This defines the “probability,” $p_T$, for an object to be an SN of type $T$. This quantity can be considered a probability in the sense that it is bounded by $0 \leq p_T < 1$, and is normalized to 1 ($\sum_T p_T = 1$). However, this procedure makes the initial assumption that the object is a SN (i.e., that the three types $T = \text{Ia}, \text{Ib/c}, \text{II}$ are exhaustive), and does not allow for other possibilities for the identity of the object (e.g., active galactic nucleus). Despite this caveat, the quantities $p_T$ are useful statistics for analyzing the search photometry light curves. The procedure is motivated by, and modeled after, that discussed by Kuznetsova & Connolly (2007) and Poznanski et al. (2007a).

The selection criteria for SN candidates that we impose on the photometric-typing fits (Section 2) to the search photometry light curves are as follows.

1. Bayesian $p_{\text{Ia}} > 0.45$.
2. At least three search-discovery epochs.
3. If the candidate has more than five search-photometry epochs, the best-fit SN Ia model is not SN 2005gj.

These selection criteria were determined by correlating the fit results from the full analysis of the SMP light curves for the $\sim1000$ photometric SN candidates from the 2005 season with statistics of the corresponding photometric-typing fits to the search photometry, and looking for a combination of cuts that would result in a sample of SN candidates with high purity and completeness with respect to SNe Ia. Several possible statistics of the photometric-typing fits were considered to see whether they would improve the efficiency for selecting SNe Ia from the search-photometry SN candidates. The conclusion of these correlation studies was that the Bayesian probability, $p_{\text{Ia}}$, is the best single quantity to consider for selecting a large fraction of SNe Ia, and no significant improvement was found by considering additional fit statistics, such as the value of the reduced $\chi^2$ statistic for the fit.

The peculiar SN Ia 2005gj, which has a flat light curve after maximum brightness (Aldering et al. 2006; Prieto et al. 2007), is included as one of the SN Ia light-curve models in the photometric-typing fits to the search photometry. The requirement that the best-fit SN not be SN 2005gj is effectively intended to remove both peculiar SNe Ia and other non-SN transients, such as active galactic nuclei. Some core-collapse SNe are well fit by the broad light curve of SN 2005gj, and this cut also serves as a way for rejecting these from our SN Ia sample selection. Search-discovery epochs refer to epochs for which the transient object was detected by the survey difference imaging and object detection pipeline (Sako et al. 2008).

This sample selection, based on the photometric-typing procedure, resulted in $\sim600$ SN Ia candidates per observing season of the SDSS-II Supernova Survey. SMP was then generated for
these candidates, producing more reliable photometry and providing measurements at additional observing epochs, compared with the SN search photometry. In addition to the requirements on the photometric-typing fits, we require the SMP light curves for the candidates to satisfy similar selection criteria on light-curve sampling and fit quality to those discussed by Dilday et al. (2008). We list these criteria below.

1. $-51^\circ < \alpha_{J2000} < 57^\circ$.
2. There are photometric observations on at least five separate epochs between $-20$ days and $+60$ days relative to $B$-band peak light in the SN rest frame.
3. At least one epoch with signal-to-noise ratio $> 5$ in each of $g$, $r$, and $i$ (not necessarily the same epoch in each passband).
4. At least one photometric observation at least two days before $B$-band peak light in the SN rest frame.
5. At least one photometric observation at least 10 days after $B$-band peak light in the SN rest frame.
6. MLCS2k2 light-curve fit probability $>0.001$.
7. MLCS2k2 light-curve fit parameter $\Delta > -0.4$.

The first requirement states that the SN is within the right-ascension range of the calibration-star catalog. The second and third requirements ensure that the object is a significant and authentic astrophysical transient. The fourth and fifth requirements are imposed so that we have a robust measurement of the time of maximum brightness for the SN candidates as well as a reliable measurement of the light-curve decline, which is useful for rejecting Type II SNe. The sixth requirement is used to reject peculiar SNe Ia that are not well represented by the MLCS2k2 light-curve model, as well as non-SN Ia transient objects. The seventh requirement is additionally used to reject objects with flat light curves such as SNe II and active galactic nuclei. The low-redshift SN data used to define the MLCS2k2 model only exhibit values of the light-curve shape parameter $\Delta \gtrsim -0.35$, so a cut at $\Delta > -0.4$ specifies that the object is within the valid range of the MLCS2k2 model, with some allowance for measurement error.

### 3.2. SN Sample

Over the entire redshift range of SNe discovered by the SDSS-II Supernova Survey ($z \lesssim 0.45$), there are 774 SN Ia candidates (312 spectroscopically confirmed) that satisfy the selection criteria above. The redshift distribution for these SNe is shown in Figure 1. However, as will be discussed in Section 4, the systematic uncertainty related to our sample selection becomes dominant for $z \gtrsim 0.2$, thereby reducing our ability for making precise SN Ia rate measurements. The numbers of SNe for several values of the maximum redshift are given in Table 1. Spectroscopically confirmed SNe Ia from this sample are listed in Table 2.

SNe for which we have photometric observations of the light curve, but do not have any spectroscopic observations to determine the spectral type of the SN, are referred to as photometric SNe. Photometric SNe fall into two classes: (1) those that have a precisely (i.e., spectroscopically) measured redshift for their host galaxy and (2) those that do not have a precisely measured redshift for their host galaxy. When the redshift for a photometric SN candidate is unknown, the candidate light curve is analyzed with the "photo-z" option in the flux-based MLCS2k2 light-curve fitter (Dilday et al. 2008). To fit SN light curves for redshift, we assume a cosmological model, and hence a distance versus redshift relation, in order to take advantage of knowledge of the absolute magnitude of SNe Ia. In addition to redshift, the SN light curves are fit for the time of maximum (in the SN rest-frame $B$-band), the luminosity parameter $\Delta$, and the extinction parameter $A_V$; see Jha et al. (2007) for a comprehensive discussion of MLCS2k2.

To investigate the accuracy and precision of the photo-z fits, we carry out photo-z fits to the spectroscopically confirmed SNe Ia, as well as to the photometric SNe Ia with spectroscopically measured redshifts of $z < 0.15$. At such low redshifts, this is essentially a complete sample of SNe Ia. A plot of the residuals for the photometric redshifts is shown in Figure 2, illustrating that the SN photo-z fits are negligibly biased, and accurate to $\sim 0.01$ at low redshift. The numbers of SNe from categories (1) and (2) that satisfy the selection criteria are given, for several

---

### Table 1

| Redshift Limit | Number of SNe Ia for Rate Measurement |
|---------------|--------------------------------------|
| $0.15$        | 72 (91%) 5 (6%) 2 (3%) 79            |
| $0.20$        | 140 (74%) 35 (18%) 15 (8%) 190       |
| $0.25$        | 217 (62%) 76 (22%) 57 (16%) 350      |
| $0.30$        | 270 (52%) 113 (22%) 133 (26%) 516    |
| $\infty$      | 312 (40%) 148 (19%) 314 (41%) 774    |

---

### Table 2

| SN ID | IAU Name | $\alpha_{J2000}$ (deg) | $\delta_{J2000}$ (deg) | Redshift | Fitprob |
|-------|----------|------------------------|------------------------|----------|---------|
| 762   | 2005eg   | 15.53518               | -0.87907               | 0.191    | 0.945   |
| 1032  | 2005ex   | 46.79565               | +1.11952               | 0.130    | 0.541   |
| 1112  | 2005fg   | 339.01761              | -0.37527               | 0.258    | 0.792   |
| 1166  |          | 9.35560                | +0.97320               | 0.382    | 0.992   |
| 1241  | 2005ff   | 337.67249              | -0.77664               | 0.090    | 0.988   |
| 1253  | 2005fd   | 323.79895              | +0.16305               | 0.262    | 0.834   |
| 1371  | 2005fh   | 349.37375              | +0.42929               | 0.119    | 0.959   |
| 1580  | 2005fb   | 45.32296               | -0.64142               | 0.183    | 1.000   |
| 1688  |          | 321.35767              | +0.32447               | 0.359    | 0.183   |
| 2017  | 2005fo   | 328.94327              | +0.59343               | 0.262    | 0.972   |
| 2031  | 2005fm   | 312.04312              | -1.17149               | 0.153    | 0.894   |
| 2165  | 2005fr   | 17.09165               | -0.09639               | 0.288    | 0.930   |
| 2246  | 2005fy   | 50.09031               | -0.88564               | 0.195    | 0.904   |
| 2308  | 2005ey   | 34.27227               | +0.28020               | 0.148    | 0.960   |
values of limiting redshift, in Table 1. Photometric SNe from categories (1) and (2) are listed in Tables 3 and 4, respectively.

3.3. Bias Correction for the Observed Redshift Distribution

The observed redshift distributions for the SNe Ia from the SDSS-II Supernova Survey that satisfy the selection criteria of Section 3.1 are shown in Figure 1. As the number distribution is not constant with redshift, nonzero measurement error of the redshifts will result in a bias in the measured number distribution. In general, the observed number distribution as a function of redshift, $\eta(z)$, is related to the true redshift distribution, $\eta_0(z)$, through

$$\eta(z) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \eta_0(z') p(z|z') dz',$$

where $p(z|z')$ is the probability that an SN at redshift $z'$ will have a measured redshift of $z$. The number distribution, $\eta_0(z)$, is related to the volumetric rate, $r_V(z)$, and the redshift dependent efficiency, $\epsilon(z)$, through

$$\eta_0(z) dz \propto \frac{r_V(z) \epsilon(z) dV}{1 + z} dz,$$

where $dV/dz$ is the volume element at redshift $z$. In what follows, we use a Gaussian approximation for $p(z|z')$, which in most cases is a good representation of the SDSS-SN photo-$z$ errors,

$$p(z|z') = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi} \sigma(z')} e^{-(z-z')^2/2\sigma_z^2},$$

where $\sigma(z')$ is the standard deviation of the SN photo-$z$. To determine $\sigma(z')$, we perform photo-$z$ fits for all SNe that pass our selection criteria and then fit a power law for the typical error in the photo-$z$. The photo-$z$ error as a function of fitted photo-$z$ is shown in Figure 3, along with the best-fit power law, $\sigma(z) = A z^k$. The best fit has $A \approx 0.2$ and $k \approx 1.5$.

To estimate the bias in the observed SN number distribution, we integrate both $\eta(z)$ and $\eta_0(z)$ for a range of SN rate models, $r(z) \propto (1 + z)^k$, over the redshift bins shown in Figure 1. The resulting bias, defined as $\Delta N/N = (N - N_0)/N$, where $N$ is the number of observed SNe and $N_0$ is the number of underlying SNe in each bin, is at the few percent level and is shown in Figure 4. We note that we have considered here a hypothetical SN sample where all the redshifts are determined photometrically. The bias in the observed SDSS-II Supernova Survey SN distribution will be much smaller, since many of the redshifts are determined spectroscopically.

In Table 5 we list the bias correction appropriate for our best-fit power-law SN rate model, $r_V(z) \propto (1 + z)^{1.04}$, computed over bins in redshift of width $\delta z = 0.05$. The bias due to the
use of SN photometric redshifts is negligible in comparison to the statistical and systematic uncertainties on the SN Ia rate measurements. Additionally, we note that in Section 5.2 we fit the SDSS-II Supernova Survey data to models of the SN rate using an unbinned maximum likelihood that properly accounts for the bias discussed here.

3.4. Estimating Contamination from Non-Ia SNe

In studies of SNe Ia that include photometrically identified SNe, one must correct for contamination from non-Ia (mainly core-collapse) SNe and evaluate the corresponding systematic uncertainty. Non-Ia SNe form a less homogeneous set than Type Ia SNe and, in contrast to SNe Ia, no general parametric models exist to describe the light curves of non-Ia SNe. In addition, owing to the strong interest in the use of SNe Ia as cosmological distance indicators, there is often an explicit observational bias against spectroscopic follow-up observations of non-Ia SNe in modern SN surveys (e.g., Sullivan et al. 2006a; Sako et al. 2008). As the global set of well-observed SNe Ia has grown, this limitation for future SN Ia studies has been recognized, and non-Ia SN observations, in part to better characterize the underlying SN population, are gaining increased attention (e.g., Gal-Yam et al. 2005, 2007).
If we instead fit the set of non-Ia SNe for phot-z, three of them satisfy the selection criteria for the SN Ia rate sample. These include the two non-Ia SNe mentioned above, as well as SDSS-SN 8679 (SN 2005jr), an SN IIn at $z = 0.294$. If we assume that the fraction of non-Ia SNe that satisfy the selection criteria, $q_{CC}$, is a random variable that follows a binomial distribution, then an observation of two successful events out of 87 in total gives $1\sigma$ limits on $q_{CC}$ of $0.032^{+0.030}_{-0.015}$. An observation of three successful events gives $1\sigma$ limits on $q_{CC}$ of $0.035^{+0.032}_{-0.019}$.

Some care must be taken when interpreting the fits to the spectroscopically confirmed non-Ia SNe as an estimate of the false-positive rate. The spectroscopic incompleteness of the SDSS-II Supernova Survey for non-Ia SNe is not well constrained, and the set of spectroscopically confirmed non-Ia SNe is manifestly not complete. As mentioned above, the distribution of non-Ia SN light-curve properties is not well measured, so it is difficult to evaluate whether these non-Ia SNe are a representative sampling of the underlying population. However, as the SDSS-II Supernova Survey has a built-in selection bias against non-Ia SNe (Sako et al. 2008), it can plausibly be claimed that any bias in the SDSS-II Supernova Survey non-Ia sample is a bias toward the most “SN Ia-like” non-Ia SNe. With that being the case, our estimate of $\sim 3\%$ probability for non-Ia SNe to satisfy our selection criteria can be considered a conservative upper bound.

To turn this into an estimate of the contamination of the photometric SN sample from non-Ia SNe, we must also make an estimate of the non-Ia SN rate. In the redshift range containing the majority of photometric SNe from the SDSS-II Supernova Survey, $0.2 < z < 0.3$, the ratio of the non-Ia SN rate to the SN Ia rate has been measured by Botticella et al. (2008) as $(r_{CC}/r_{Ia})_{z=0.25} = 5.6 \pm 3.5$ and by Bazin et al. (2009) as $(r_{CC}/r_{Ia})_{z=0.30} = 4.5 \pm 1.0$. Assuming the ratio is constant for $0.2 < z < 0.3$ and combining the two measurements gives a ratio of the non-Ia SN rate to the SN Ia rate of $r_{CC}/r_{Ia} = 4.6 \pm 1.0$.

Furthermore, the above estimate of $\sim 3\%$ of non-Ia SNe satisfying the SN Ia selection criteria was determined for SNe that were detected by the SDSS-II Supernova Survey and some estimate must be made of the detection efficiency. To estimate the ratio of detection efficiency for non-Ia SNe versus SN Ia, we employ the following procedure. The efficiency for SN Ia to satisfy the MLCS2k2 component of the selection function (i.e., the items listed in Section 3.1) as a function of redshift, shown in Figure 8, is transformed to an efficiency as a function of observer-frame peak magnitude by assuming a typical peak absolute magnitude for SNe Ia of $M_B = -19.3$, and a distance modulus derived from a standard $\Omega^CDM$ cosmological model. This is a good operational definition for detection, since the primary requirements of the MLCS2k2 selection criteria are requirements on the SN sampling and signal-to-noise ratios. Although non-Ia SNe clearly differ from SNe Ia in properties such as light-curve shapes and K-corrections, we will assume that the detection efficiency for non-Ia SNe can be described by the same function of observer-frame magnitude; the efficiency function can then be mapped back to an efficiency as a function of redshift, given an assumed absolute magnitude.

Richardson et al. (2002, 2006) give estimates of the typical peak absolute magnitude for SNe Ib/c as $M_B = -18.07$, for SNe II-P as $M_B = -16.98$, and for SNe II-L as $M_B = -18.17$. Assuming an absolute magnitude of $M_B \approx -18.0$ for non-Ia SNe, the ratio of detection efficiencies for non-Ia SNe versus SN Ia as a function of redshift is then computed, and is well approximated by a function $e_{CC}/e_{Ia} = 1/(1 + e^{(z-z_0)/x})$. The best-fit parameters are found to be $z_0 = (0.204, 0.213, 0.211)$ and $x = (0.032, 0.032, 0.031)$ for the 2005, 2006, and 2007
Equation (5) is a function of redshift. For the redshift range of interest, we take the ratio of core-collapse SNe to SNe Ia given by

\[
\frac{N_{CC}}{N_{Ia}} = \frac{r_{CC} \epsilon_{q}^D}{r_{Ia} \epsilon_{Ia}^D},
\]

where \( r \) is the SN rate, \( \epsilon^q \) is the efficiency for an SN to satisfy the selection criteria on light-curve shape and fit probability, and \( \epsilon^D \) is the efficiency for detection, as described above. We note that the ratio of core-collapse SNe to SNe Ia given by Equation (5) is a function of redshift. For the redshift range of interest, we take \( r_{CC}/r_{Ia} = 4.6 \pm 1.0 \) as discussed above. The quantity \( \epsilon_{CC}/\epsilon_{Ia} \) is 0.023\(^{+0.030}_{-0.015} \) for SNe with spectroscopically measured host-galaxy redshifts and 0.035\(^{+0.032}_{-0.019} \) for SNe without spectroscopically measured host-galaxy redshifts, as estimated from the spectroscopically confirmed non-Ia SNe in the SDSS-II Supernova Survey.

The estimated core-collapse contamination fraction as a function of redshift is shown in Figure 7, and the corresponding values are given in Table 5. The total estimated contamination of the SN sample by non-Ia SNe to a redshift limit of 0.3 is 2.04\(^{+1.61}_{-0.91} \)%. In the unbinned maximum likelihood fits discussed in Section 5.2, each photometric SN is given a weight according to the value of Equation (5).

4. SURVEY EFFICIENCY

The use of artificial SNe (fakes) in the survey discovery images and the SDSS-SN MC simulation to determine the SN discovery and selection efficiency have been discussed in detail by Dilday et al. (2008). For the MC simulation, for all observing epochs of the SDSS-II Supernova Survey, SN Ia photometry is generated based on an SN Ia light-curve model (MLCS2k2 in the present analysis), and the observing conditions corresponding to each epoch are used to generate realistic photometry errors. Characteristics of the simulated SN sample, such as distributions of time of maximum light, dust extinction, and intrinsic luminosity or decline rate, can be specified in order to simulate a realistic SN sample and to investigate systematic effects of variations in the underlying distributions.

Here we discuss the effect on the SN discovery efficiency of the modified selection procedure that uses statistics of the photometric-typing fits. To study the SN discovery efficiency for this SN rate analysis, we used the SDSS-SN MC simulation to generate a sample of \( \sim 17,000 \) MC SNe Ia, which comprises \( \sim 1000 \) SNe in each of 17 narrow redshift bins in the range \( 0.025 < z < 0.4 \). These MC SNe were filtered through a simulation of the search detection efficiency. That is, the efficiencies as a function of signal-to-noise ratio determined from the fakes (Dilday et al. 2008) were applied to the simulated MC photometry. As in the search pipeline, a detection in at least two of the \( gri \) filters is required for the point to be considered to have been detected and to be included in the fit.

The simulated search photometry was then fit with the same photometric-typing code used during the search, and the cuts outlined in Section 3 were applied. The resulting selection efficiencies are shown in Figure 8.

As discussed by Sako et al. (2008), the photometric typing can be done with or without utilizing forced photometry (performing difference-imaging photometry at known positions of transient objects) and with or without a prior on the SN redshift (from the host-galaxy photometric or spectroscopic redshift measurement). The selection cuts are applied to fits that do not use forced photometry and do not use a prior on the SN redshift. While it is evident from examining the photometric-typing fits during the SN search campaign that utilizing forced photometry and/or a prior on the SN redshift in many cases improves the ability to distinguish SNe Ia based on their search-photometry light curves, it is significantly more difficult to model the selection function. The additional complications arise because forced photometry was applied nonuniformly to the SDSS-SN candidates, and because modeling the distribution of host-galaxy photometric redshifts and their errors is nontrivial (Oyaizu et al. 2008).

4.1. Systematic Studies of the SN Discovery Efficiency

In Dilday et al. (2008), we considered the effect on the SN rate discovery efficiency of variation in the distribution of SN population parameters and found that varying the distribution of extinction values had by far the largest effect. Here we repeat the systematic variation of the assumed extinction distribution with the modified selection procedure used in this paper. We vary the input extinction distribution, \( p(A_{V}) \propto \epsilon^{-A_{V}/\tau} \) with \( \tau = 0.35 \pm 0.1 \). The mean value and variation of \( A_{V} \) are based upon investigation of the underlying \( A_{V} \) distributions presented in the SDSS-SN cosmology analysis (Kessler et al. 2009). We find that for a low-extinction set of SNe \( (\tau = 0.25) \), the efficiency differs negligibly from the default value of \( \tau = 0.35 \). However, if the characteristic extinction is large \( (\tau = 0.45) \), the efficiency differs markedly from the fiducial set of SNe, particularly for \( z \approx 0.2 \). Comparison of the efficiency between the fiducial and high-extinction assumptions is shown in Figure 8 (right panel). In Table 5, it can seen that the systematic uncertainty in the SN rate due to uncertainty in the extinction distribution becomes comparable to the statistical uncertainty for \( z \approx 0.15 \).

5. SN Ia RATE RESULTS

5.1. Constant SN Ia Rate Model

We first consider interpretations of the SN observations described above using a model of the SN rate that is constant as a function of redshift. In a constant-rate model, the volumetric rate is given by

\[
r_{V} = \frac{N}{VT\epsilon},
\]

where

\[
VT\epsilon = (\Theta T_{\oplus}) \int_{z_{\text{min}}}^{z_{\text{max}}} \epsilon(z) \frac{d(VT/\Theta)}{dz} dz,
\]
$N$ is the number of SNe in the sample, $T_\theta$ is the observation time in the observer frame, $\Theta$ is the survey solid angle, $\epsilon(z)$ is the SN discovery efficiency, and $d(VT/\Theta)/dz$ is the element of volume multiplied by time per steradian in the SN frame. In the Friedmann–Robertson–Walker metric, $d(VT/\Theta)/dz$ is given by

$$d(VT/\Theta)/dz = u^2 du dz / (1+z^2)^{3/2}, \quad (8)$$

$$u(z) = \int_0^z \frac{c}{H(z')} dz'. \quad (9)$$

For the SDSS-II Supernova Survey, the Earth-frame observation time for the 2005–2007 observing seasons are 89, 90, and 90 days, respectively. The solid angle covered is $\Theta = 0.08277 \times 0.98$ sr. As discussed by Dilday et al. (2008), the regions of the difference images that corresponded to the locations of bright stars and objects that showed variability in a previous year, and were thus unlikely to be SNe, were excluded (masked) from the search for SNe. This masking accounts for the factor of 98% in the computation of the effective solid angle. The value of the volumetric SN Ia rate, as a function of the upper redshift limit for the SN sample, and derived under the assumption of a constant-rate model, is shown in Figure 9. For example, if the upper redshift limit is chosen as $z = 0.12$, as in Dilday et al. (2008), then the rate determined to be $r_V = (2.35^{+0.45+0.15}_{-0.39-0.00}) \times 10^{-5}$ SNe yr$^{-1}$ Mpc$^{-3}$ h_{70}^{-3}$ (where $h_{70} = H_0/(70$ km s$^{-1}$ Mpc$^{-1}$), and the quoted uncertainties are statistical and systematic in that order), based on 37 SNe Ia of which 36 are spectroscopically confirmed. This is lower than, although consistent with, the result found by Dilday et al. (2008),$ r_V = (2.93^{+0.90+0.21}_{-0.17-0.04}) \times 10^{-5}$ SNe yr$^{-1}$ Mpc$^{-3}$ h_{70}^{-3}$. In relation to the mean low-redshift SN yield from the three years of the SDSS-II Supernova Survey, the first-year sample presented by Dilday et al. (2008) represented an upward statistical fluctuation. If the upper redshift limit is chosen as $z = 0.15$, where the SN sample still has a high degree of completeness, then the SN Ia rate is determined to be $r_V = (2.69^{+0.34+0.23}_{-0.30-0.09}) \times 10^{-5}$ SNe yr$^{-1}$ Mpc$^{-3}$ h_{70}^{-3}$, based on 79 SNe Ia of which 72 are spectroscopically confirmed.

5.2. SN Ia Rate as a Function of Redshift

In Figure 10, we plot the volumetric SN Ia rate in running bins of width $\Delta z = 0.05$. The rate in each bin is computed by assuming the rate to be constant within the bin, which is a good approximation for the small bins considered. The SN rate values are listed in Table 5. It can be seen that the systematic uncertainty in the selection function (due to uncertainty in the extinction distribution) becomes much larger than the statistical uncertainty for $z \gtrsim 0.2$.

As in Dilday et al. (2008), we employ an unbinned maximum likelihood method to fit the SN rate data to models of the rate as a function of redshift. To review the method, each SN redshift, $z_i$, is assumed to be drawn from a probability distribution,

$$p_i' dz = \langle N \rangle^{-1} \Theta T_\theta \epsilon(z) r_V(z') d(VT/\Theta)/dz dz', \quad (10)$$

where $r_V(z)$ is the volumetric rate as a function of redshift, $\langle N \rangle$ is the mean number of expected SNe, and the other symbols have been defined in Equation (7). A likelihood function, $L$, can then be formed as a product of the individual probabilities, $p_i'$, multiplied by a Poisson distribution of the observed number of SNe, $N_{SNe}$.

$$L = \frac{(N_{SNe})^{N_{SNe}} e^{-\langle N \rangle}}{N_{SNe}!} \Pi_{i=1}^{N_{SNe}} p_i'. \quad (11)$$

When the SN redshifts are determined photometrically, the uncertainties in the measurements are significant, and the
individual probabilities must be modified as

\[ p_i^{\prime} = \langle N \rangle^{-1} \Theta T_{\odot} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e(z') r \left( z' \right) d(V / \Theta) / dz' \rho(z') \]  

where \( \rho(z') \) is the probability that an SN at redshift \( z' \) will have a measured redshift \( z' \). We assume a Gaussian form for \( \rho \),

\[ \rho(z') = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma_z} e^{-(z'-z')^2/2\sigma_z^2}. \]

6. CONCLUSIONS

We have measured the volumetric SN Ia rate based on the SDSS-II Supernova Survey using a much larger sample of SNe Ia and a higher redshift limit than was discussed by Dilday et al. (2008). The sample of SNe considered comprises 516 SNe Ia at \( z \lesssim 0.3 \). The low-redshift portion of the SN Ia sample has a high degree of spectroscopic completeness, while the large redshift range covered enables measurement of the redshift dependence of the SN Ia rate based on the SDSS-II Supernova Survey data alone. Fitting a power-law model to the SN Ia rate, \( r_V(z) = A_p ((1 + z)/(1 + z_0))^\nu \), and assuming a distribution for dust extinction as in Kessler et al. (2009), we find \( \nu = 2.04^{+0.90}_{-0.89} \). Assuming a larger mean value of dust extinction, we find \( \nu = 4.66^{+0.93}_{-0.92} \).

The results presented here represent an order of magnitude improvement in the statistics for SN Ia rate measurements in the same redshift range and solidify the SN Ia rate constraints for \( z \lesssim 0.3 \). When combined with improved measurements of the cosmic star formation history, the SN Ia rate measurements presented here can be used to place improved constraints on SN Ia progenitor models.
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