Study of a preoperative scoring system to predict difficult laparoscopic cholecystectomy
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Abstract

Introduction: Laparoscopic Cholecystectomy (LC), one of the most commonly performed surgical procedures worldwide, is accepted as the gold standard in the treatment of symptomatic gallstones. Generally laparoscopic cholecystectomy has low incidence of morbidity, mortality and conversion rate to open surgery, but occasionally surgeons encountered difficulty while doing Laparoscopic cholecystectomy. Preoperative prediction of "difficult Laparoscopic cholecystectomy" improve the patient safety as well as useful in reducing the cost of therapy.

Aim: To study a scoring system based on various risk factors to preoperatively predict difficult Laparoscopic cholecystectomy.

Materials & method: This study was conducted in Department of General surgery, Nalanda Medical College And Hospital Patna & associated All India Institute of Medical Science Patna. A total of 105 patients were included in this study. There are total 15 score from history, clinical & sonological findings. They were evaluated & scored on the basis of scoring system. Score upto 5 is defined as easy, 6-10 as difficult and 11-15 as very difficult.

Result: Prediction comes true in 96.6% for easy, 87.8% for difficult & 60% for very difficult cases. Area under ROC curve is 0.96 and conversion rate is 3.8 in our study. Age >50 years, Male sex, H/o of hospitalisation due to acute cholecystitis, Palpable gallbladder, BMI >27.5, Abdominal scar, Thick walled GB (>4mm), and Pericholecystic collection were found to be significant predictive factors for difficult laparoscopic cholecystectomy.

Conclusion: With the help of preoperative prediction, high risk patients may be informed & counseled before about probability of open conversion & difficulty in laparoscopic cholecystectomy.

Introduction

Laparoscopic Cholecystectomy (LC), one of the most commonly performed surgical procedures worldwide, is accepted as the gold standard in the treatment of symptomatic gallstones [1]. Generally laparoscopic cholecystectomy has low incidence of morbidity, mortality and conversion rate to open surgery, but occasionally surgeons encountered difficulty while doing Laparoscopic cholecystectomy [2].

The risk factors which makes LC difficult includes old age, male sex, obesity, attack of acute cholecystitis, previous abdominal surgeries and certain ultrasonographic findings i.e. distended gall bladder, thickened gall bladder wall, pericholecystic fluid collection and impacted stone at neck of gall bladder etc.

In early years of laparoscopic cholecystectomy era, the rate of conversion to open procedure was 2~15%. After years of learning and understanding the laparoscopic technique and increasing surgeon’s experience, the conversion rate has been dropped to approximately 1 - 6% [3]. This conversion was neither a failure nor a complication, but an attempt to avoid complications. The identification of parameters predicting conversion would be useful to improve preoperative patient counseling, provide for better perioperative planning,
optimize operating room efficiency, and to avoid laparoscopically-associated cost & complications by performing an open operation when appropriate. Different scoring methodologies have been suggested from time to time using different criteria. This scoring system was developed by Randhawa & Pujahari [4].

**Aims and objectives**

The aim of this study was to find out the validity of preoperative scoring system developed by Randhawa, et al. [4] to predict difficult laparoscopic cholecystectomy and chances of conversion from laparoscopic to open cholecystectomy.

**Materials and methods**

This study was conducted in Department of General Surgery, NMCH, Patna and associated AIIMS Patna between February 2019 to March 2020. A total 105 patients were included in this study after prior informed consent. Approval from the ethical committee of institution was taken before starting the study.

**Study Design:** Non randomized prospective study  
**Study Period:** February 2019 to March 2020  
**Sample Size:** 105 patients  
**Inclusion criteria:** Patients with symptomatic gall stone disease admitted for laparoscopic cholecystectomy.

**Exclusion criteria**

- Patients unfit for anaesthesia  
- Patients admitted with symptoms of acute cholecystitis  
- Open conversion due to instruments failure

A preoperative score was given to each patient before surgery on the basis of history, clinical examinations & ultrasonographic findings (Table 1). All surgeries were done by classical four port method by using CO2 pneumoperitoneum with 10mmHg pressure. Time were noted from first port insertion till last port closure. All intraoperative events were noted like adhesions at calot’s triangle, duration of surgery, injury to artery/duct, bile/stone spillage etc. (Table 2).

**Statistical methods**

The collected data was compiled in a Microsoft Excel sheet, and subsequently suitable multivariate logistic regression analysis using SPSS 16.0 version was done accordingly with different appropriate statistical methods. A p value of ≤0.05 was considered as statistically significant. Chi-square test/Fisher exact test was used to evaluate whether there was a significant association between preoperative and intraoperative outcome. Area under ROC was used to find the efficacy of preoperative score for predicting the intraoperative outcome.

**Results**

In our study 105 cases were included, of which 91(86.7%) were female and 14(13.3%) were male. Age of patients varied from range of 14 –70 years. The majority of patients were in the age group of 21 – 50 years. The mean age was 38.7 with standard deviation of 14.06. Out of 105 patients, 57(54.3%) had history of hospitalisation for acute attacks, of which 11 were male and 46 female. 30(28.6%) patients had BMI < 25, 45(42.9%) patients had BMI >27.5 & 30(28.6%) patients had BMI between 25 – 27.5 & 30(28.6%) patients had BMI >27.5.

Multivariate analysis of intraoperative outcome with risk factors was carried out which predicted that Age >50 years (P<0.000), male sex (P<0.000), History of hospitalisation for acute cholecystitis (P<0.000), BMI> 27.5 (P<0.002), Abdominal scar (P<0.001), palpable GB (P<0.000), thickened GB wall (P<0.001) and pericholecystic collection (P<0.001) to be the statistically significant predictors of difficult laparoscopic cholecystectomy Table 3.

In our study for Difficult cases, score between 6–10, sensitivity 90%, specificity 92.3%, positive predictive value 87.8% and negative predictive value was 93.8%.

For Easy cases, score between 0–5, sensitivity 93.4%, specificity 95.5%, PPV 96.6% and NPV was 91.3%.

For Very difficult cases, score between 10–15, sensitivity 75%, specificity 98%, PPV 60% and NPV was 99% Table 4.

Area under ROC curve was 0.96 with 95% Confidence Interval (0.931 – 0.996). Open conversion rate is 3.8%.

---

**Table 1: Scoring Factors.**

| History                              | Score | Score | Max |
|--------------------------------------|-------|-------|-----|
| Age ≤ 50 Y                           | 0     | >50 Y | 1   |
| Sex Female                           | 0     | Male  | 1   |
| H/o Hospitalization                  | 0     | Yes   | 4   |

**Clinical**

| BMI       | ≤25   | 25-27.5 | >27.5 | 1  |
|-----------|-------|---------|-------|----|
| Abdominal Scar | No    | Yes     | 1.2  | 2  |
| Palpable gall bladder              | No    | Yes     | 1.1  | 1  |

**Sonography**

| Wall thickness | Thin <4mm | Thick >4mm | 2   |
|----------------|-----------|------------|-----|
| Pericholecystic collection | No | Yes | 1  |
| Impacted stone | No | Yes | 1 |

**Table 2: Scoring Factors.**

| Clinical criteria                        | Score |
|------------------------------------------|-------|
| Time taken <60 min, No bile spillage, No injury to duct / artery | Easy  |
| Time taken 60-120 min, bile / stone spillage, Injury to duct, No conversion | Difficult |
| Time taken >120 min, conversion to open surgery | Very difficult |

**Table 3: Intraoperative event**

Intra Operative difficult Criteria Score

| Criteria | Score |
|----------|-------|
| Easy     | 0-5   |
| Difficult| 6-10  |
| Very difficult | 11-15 |
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### Table 3: Multivariate analysis of intraoperative outcome with risk factors.

| Risk Factors               | Level | Intraoperative Outcome | P value |
|---------------------------|-------|------------------------|---------|
|                           |       | Easy No. (%)           | Difficult No. (%) | Very Difficult No. (%) |
| Age                       | ≤50 years | 65 (76.47%) | 19 (22.35%) | 1 (1.18%) | < 0.000 |
|                           | >50 years | 12 (60%) | 5 (25%) | 3 (15%) | < 0.000 |
| Sex                       | Female | 59 (64.84%) | 30 (32.97%) | 2 (2.20%) | < 0.000 |
|                           | Male   | 10 (71.43%) | 2 (14.29%) | 2 (14.29%) | < 0.000 |
| History of Hospitalisation| No     | 47 (97.92%) | 1 (2.08%) | 0 (0) | < 0.000 |
|                           | Yes    | 14 (24.64%) | 39 (68.42%) | 4 (7.02%) | < 0.000 |
| BMI                       | <25    | 24 (80%) | 6 (20%) | 0 (0) | 0.002 |
|                           | 25.0-27.5 | 26 (57.78%) | 19 (42.22%) | 0 (0) | 0.002 |
|                           | >27.5 | 11 (36.67%) | 15 (50%) | 4 (13.33%) | 0.001 |
| Abdominal scar            | Infraumbilical | 15 (40.54%) | 19 (51.35%) | 3 (8.11%) | 0.001 |
|                           | Supraumbilical | 0 (0) | 0 (0) | 1 (100%) | 0.001 |
| Palpable Gall bladder     | No     | 59 (67.82%) | 26 (29.89%) | 2 (2.30%) | <0.000 |
|                           | Yes    | 2 (11.11%) | 14 (77.78%) | 2 (11.11%) | <0.000 |
| Gall bladder wall thickness| <4mm | 58 (62.37%) | 34 (36.56%) | 1 (1.08%) | 0.001 |
|                           | ≥4mm | 3 (25%) | 6 (50%) | 3 (25%) | 0.001 |
| Pericholecystic collection | No     | 61 (62.24%) | 35 (35.71%) | 2 (2.04%) | <0.000 |
|                           | Yes    | 0 (0) | 5 (71.43%) | 2 (28.57%) | <0.000 |
| Impacted stone            | No     | 42 (70%) | 17 (28.33%) | 1 (1.67%) | 0.093 |
|                           | Yes    | 19 (42.22%) | 23 (51.11%) | 3 (6.67%) | 0.093 |

**Table 4: Correlation of pre-operative score and the outcome.**

| Pre-op score | Easy (%) | Difficult (%) | Very Difficult (%) | Total (%) |
|--------------|----------|---------------|--------------------|-----------|
| 0-5          | 57 (54.2%) | 2 (1.9%) | 0 (0) | 59 (56.2%) |
| 6-10         | 4 (3.8%) | 36 (34.3%) | 1 (0.95%) | 41 (39.1%) |
| 11-15        | 0 (0) | 2 (1.9%) | 3 (2.9%) | 5 (4.8%) |
| Total        | 61 (58.1%) | 40 (38.1%) | 4 (3.8%) | 105 | 100% |

**Discussion**

Since 1987, when Philippe Mouret first performed the Laparoscopic cholecystectomy [4], it has been widely performed throughout the world. In our institute (NMCH) also it is one of the most common operations performed. The main aim of our study is to detect preoperative predictors of difficult LC and the rate of conversion of laparoscopic to open cholecystectomy in our institute. Initially, the complication rate was high but with advancement in technologies and increase in the expertise, complication rate is very low, approximately 2.0–6.0% [5] and Conversion rate 2–15% [6].

In our study out of 105 cases got converted to open cholecystectomy with conversion rate of 3.8%. This conversion rate is comparable to several other studies like Randhawa, et al. [4] (2009) with conversion rate was 1.3%, Gupta N, et al. [7] 4.3%, Vikalp Gupta, et al. [8] 6%. As the universal accepted range of conversion is 2–15%, our finding is within the range.

The age of the patients varied from 14–70 years. The majority of patients were in the age group of 21–50 years. The mean age was 38.7 years with standard deviation of 14.06. The conversion rate was highest in the age group of 51–60 years. Out of 15 patients, 9 (60%) were difficult & 2 (13.3%) were very difficult which required open conversion. Where as in age group of 41–50 years, out of 24 cases 13 (54.2%) were difficult & 1 (4.2%) was very difficult which required open conversion.

In our study, in multivariate logistic analysis age >50 years was found statistically significant predictor (P < 0.000) of conversion.

Increasing age is associated with an increased probability of multiple attacks of cholecystitis and also increased frequency of upper abdominal surgeries. Therefore, there is increased incidence of fibrosis and adhesions in the hepatic hilum. Randhawa, et al. [4] found that age more than 50 years is associated with the same difficulties. In many studies, it was also found as a significant risk factor for difficult LC [9,10]. So it is observed that our finding is consistent with other studies [4,7,11]. Out of 105 patients, 14 were male and 91 were female. Male: Female ratio is 1:6.5 which corroborates with the study of H. J. van der Steeg, et al. (2011) and Volcan, et al. (2011) [13,14].

Difficulty in Male sex surgery reported in various studies [10,15,16]. Also high Conversion rate and significantly higher mortality has been reported in male patients [17]. In our study also it has been found as a significant factor (P < 0.000).

There is a direct correlation between previous history of hospitalization due to acute attacks of cholecystitis and the difficulty level of laparoscopic cholecystectomy. Each attack.
of cholecystitis increases the gallbladder wall thickness and the gallbladder becomes scarred and fibrosed. It further increases the adhesions at the Calot’s triangle and between gallbladder and fossa. In our study, out of 57 patients with H/o hospitalisation, 39(68.4%) were difficult & 4(7%) were very difficult which required open conversion. In our study, it was found to be a statistically significant factor for prediction of difficult LC (P <0.000). These cases were more time taking for calot’s dissection and dissection of GB from liver bed (>60 min).

Among clinical parameters, abdominal scar was also found to be significant predictor in this study. After prior upper or lower abdominal surgery there may be chances of adhesions between viscera or omentum and abdominal wall. Injury to these structures may occur during creation of pneumoperitoneum & insertion of first port. Risk of conversion was higher due to these injuries. In our study 37 patients had infra-umbilical scar of which 19 (51.4%) were difficult & 3 (8.1%) were very difficult which required open conversion and 1 of them had supra-umbilical scar which was very difficult & required open conversion (100%). This study is in agreement with A. J. Karayiann, et al. [18] who found that previous upper abdominal surgery was associated with an increased need for adhesiolysis, a prolonged operation time, a higher conversion rate, increased incidence of post-operative wound infection and a prolonged operation time, a higher conversion rate, time to start general diet, length of hospitalization or complications in obese patients [20].

Stephen Wise unger et al. [21] compared to the findings published by Randhawa, et al. [4] & Gupta N, et al. [7]. This is probably due to small sample size. Pericholecystic fluid is an ultrasonographic finding of acute cholecystitis and increases the difficulty in dissecting calot’s triangle due to adhesion & fibrosis. In our study 7 out of 105 patients had pericholecystic collection, 5 (71.4%) out of 7 were difficult laparoscopic cholecystectomy & 2 (28.6%) were very difficult which required open conversion.

Pericholecystic collection was found to be a significant factor in our study (P < 0.001) concurrence with the study of Agrawal, et al. [11] In some studies it was not found as a significant predictive factor like Randhawa, et al. [4]. Gupta N, et al. [7].

Difficult dissection secondary to dense adhesions at calot’s triangle was the most common cause for difficult laparoscopic cholecystectomy in this study. The other causes were contracted GB with dense adhesion, distended GB with omental adhesion, short & dilated cystic duct leading to inability to apply clips, distended Hartmann’s pouch, CBD injury, bleeding from gallbladder bed and tear of cystic artery, tear of gallbladder with spillage of stones and bile.

These various difficulties leading to operative time more than 90 minutes or conversion to open cholecystectomy were taken as difficult cases. The usual duration of surgery in expert hands is 45 to 50 minutes [22].

A preoperative scoring system was used in this study for prediction of difficult LC. Sensitivity of the scoring system for prediction of easy, difficult or very difficult LC are 93.4%, 90% & 75% respectively and specificity are 95.5%, 92.3% & 98% respectively. Area under ROC curve is 0.96. Prediction comes true in 96.6% for easy, 87.8% for difficult & 60% for very difficult cases. Previous study done by Gupta N, et al. [7] in this scoring method had sensitivity and specificity of 95.7% and 73.7% respectively with positive predictive values for easy and difficult as 90% and88%, and area under ROC curve as 0.86 [7]. Another study done by Randhawa, et al. [4] had sensitivity and specificity of 75.00% and 90.24%, respectively. Prediction came true in 88.8% for easy and 92% difficult cases and ROC curve was 0.82.

So, in our study this preoperative scoring system was found to be more sensitive & specific than previous studies. But positive predictive value for difficult cases was less as compared to the findings published by Randhawa, et al. [4] & Gupta N, et al. [7]. This may be due to small sample size of our study as compared to Randhawa, et al. & Gupta N, et al.
Conclusion

From this study, we can conclude that preoperative scoring system is a good, reliable and useful method to predict difficulty in laparoscopic cholecystectomy in majority of cases and should be used as a screening procedure. It can help surgeons to get an idea of the potential difficulty to be faced in a particular patient. It can help in operative planning and the high risk patients may be informed accordingly.
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