The Pacific Rat Race to Easter Island: Tracking the Prehistoric Dispersal of *Rattus exulans* Using Ancient Mitochondrial Genomes
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The location of the immediate eastern Polynesian origin for the settlement of Easter Island (Rapa Nui), remains unclear with conflicting archeological and linguistic evidence. Previous genetic commensal research using the Pacific rat, *Rattus exulans*; a species transported by humans across Remote Oceania and throughout the Polynesian Triangle, has identified broad interaction spheres across the region. However, there has been limited success in distinguishing finer-scale movements between Remote Oceanic islands as the same mitochondrial control region haplotype has been identified in the majority of ancient rat specimens. To improve molecular resolution and identify a pattern of prehistoric dispersal to Easter Island, we sequenced complete mitochondrial genomes from ancient Pacific rat specimens obtained from early archeological contexts across West and East Polynesia. Ancient Polynesian rat haplotypes are closely related and reflect the widely supported scenario of a central East Polynesian homeland region from which eastern expansion occurred. An Easter Island and Tubuai (Austral Islands) grouping of related haplotypes suggests that both islands were established by the same colonization wave, proposed to have originated in the central homeland region before dispersing through the south-eastern corridor of East Polynesia.
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**INTRODUCTION**

The expansion of modern humans into the wider Pacific, culminating with the colonization of eastern Polynesia within the last 1,000 years, represents one of the last major migrations in human history (Duggan et al., 2014; Matisoo-Smith, 2015). Aided by the development of new watercraft technologies and navigational abilities, the appearance of the Lapita peoples in the Bismarck Archipelago between 3,400 and 3,200 B.P. (Green et al., 2008; Summerhayes et al., 2010) and successful movement of humans beyond the Solomon Islands instigated a phase of long-distance voyaging and settlement across Remote Oceania (see Figure 1; Irwin, 2008). Eastward expansion led to the colonization of Vanuatu and Fiji by 3,100 and 3,000 B.P. respectively (Denham et al., 2012), Tonga by 2,850 B.P. (Burley et al., 2015) and Samoa by 2,750 B.P. (Petchey, 2001; Clark et al., 2016).
After some period of time, the descendants of these migrants proceeded to move further east into what is now denoted “The Polynesian Triangle,” a 20 million sq. km stretch of ocean comprised of over 500 islands scattered between Hawai’i, Easter Island (Rapa Nui) and New Zealand (Matisoo-Smith, 2015). Nearly every habitable island in this region was colonized, some subsequently used for permanent settlements and others abandoned (Kirch, 2000). The timing and sequence of settlement across many of the major archipelagos of central East Polynesia however remain largely unresolved, with conflicting estimates of initial colonization varying by more than 1,000 years (Wilmshurst et al., 2008, 2011). Recent research has generally supported a short and rapid colonization of eastern Polynesia in the early second millennium A.D (after 950 B.P.; Hunt and Lipo, 2006; Petchey et al., 2010; Molle and Conte, 2011; Rieth et al., 2011; Wilmshurst et al., 2011; Kahn, 2012; Mulrooney, 2013; Commendador et al., 2014; Kahn et al., 2015; Kahn and Sinoto, 2017). A two-phase sequence of settlement has been proposed—establishment in the Society Islands ~925–830 B.P. before a widespread radiation toward the Marquesas, ~750–673 B.P.; Easter Island, ~750–697 B.P.; Hawai’i, ~731–684 B.P.; New Zealand, ~720–668 B.P.; Southern Cooks, ~700–669 B.P.; and the Line Islands, ~675–657 B.P. (Wilmshurst et al., 2011). However, there are still irreconcilable differences in the chronological boundaries of some island groups, with some researchers advocating for an earlier settlement of the Cook Islands, the Marquesas, Hawai’i and Mangareva (Gambier Islands; Kirch et al., 2010; Allen and McAlister, 2013; Athens et al., 2014; Conte and Molle, 2014; Weisler et al., 2015). The settlement of Easter Island, in the eastern-most corner of the Polynesian Triangle, has long held a fascination in prehistoric Pacific studies and popular literature. Paleoenvironmental evidence of severe deforestation and a proposed societal collapse (Mieth et al., 2002; Diamond, 2005; Butler and Flenley, 2010; Delhon and Orliac, 2010), have prompted many questions in relation to the immediate origin and timing of initial settlement, the potential of multiple colonization and/or interactions, and the long-term isolation of the Rapanui people. Faunal remains of the Pacific rat (Rattus exulans), a commensal species transported by ancient Polynesians, uncovered in the earliest archeological layers of human occupation, provide support for an initial Polynesian colonization of Easter Island (Martinsson-Wallin and Wallin, 2000; Barnes et al., 2006). Material remains, such as one-piece fish hooks and harpoon heads, are also distinctly Polynesian in origin (Weisler, 1996; Green, 1998). Based on material assemblages, potential migration routes through the Marquesas-Tuamotu-Mangareva area, the Mangareva-Pitcairn-Henderson area or directly via the Marquesas have been suggested (Green, 1998; Martinsson-Wallin and Crockford, 2001). However, Irwin (1992) and Green (1998) have noted potential difficulties in directly sailing from the Marquesas to Easter Island.

There is also increasing evidence for an Amerindian connection to Easter Island and wider Polynesia, particularly with regards to the presence of the kumara, or sweet potato, a South American tuber which was distributed throughout East Polynesia prior to European arrival in the region. Whether this reflects a post-settlement arrival of Amerindians to Easter Island and/or an eastern Polynesian return voyage to South America, remains unclear. Human ancient DNA studies have attested to the Rapanui people being of Polynesian descent (Hagelberg et al., 1994), yet recent research has identified...
Native American admixture—attributed to pre-European Native American contact (Moreno-Mayar et al., 2014; Thorsby, 2016). Technical and cultural concerns toward the sequencing, storage and interpretation of indigenous human DNA in the Pacific however, has limited access to human genetic material in this region, leaving such questions unresolved for the time being.

Subsequently, the commensal approach, using the genomes of transported (usually domesticated) plant and animal species as a proxy for human migration, has been increasingly applied to investigate human dispersal and interaction patterns across the Pacific (see Matisoo-Smith, 2015). The Pacific rat was the first commensal species studied in relation to human migration in Oceania (Matisoo-Smith, 1994). Proposed to have originated in Flores, Indonesia (Thomson V. et al., 2014), Pacific rats were transported by ancestral Polynesians and are now widely distributed across the region, including Hawai‘i, New Zealand and Easter Island. Initial research investigating genetic variation in 400 bp (base pairs) of the hypervariable mitochondrial control region in modern Pacific rats, identified a central East Polynesian interaction sphere comprised of the southern Cook and Society Islands (Matisoo-Smith et al., 1998). This broad interaction sphere was central to a northern (i.e., the Marquesas and Hawai‘i), and a southern Polynesian sphere (i.e., Kermadec Islands and New Zealand). The authors suggested that this likely reflects a central homeland region from which major East Polynesian islands were colonized.

A later phylogeographic analysis targeting ~200 bp of the mitochondrial control region in both ancient and modern Pacific rats across the Pacific (see Figure 2), found three major control region haplogroups; the first, an isolated group within Southeast Asia; the second, a dispersed group from Southeast Asia to Near Oceania; and the third, a primarily Remote Oceanic group (Matisoo-Smith et al., 2004). Haplogroup III is associated with the Lapita/Polynesian movement through Remote Oceania; its distribution extending from Vanuatu across to Central and East Polynesia, to Hawai‘i, New Zealand and Easter Island, and from likely back migrations, into the Caroline and Marshall Islands. Haplogroup III is further divided into subgroups IIIA and IIIB, the latter derived from IIIA. These subgroups overlap in West Polynesia, however only subgroup IIIB has been found in East Polynesia (Matisoo-Smith et al., 2004). Samples from the haplogroup IIIB either belong to or are derived from the haplotype R9, which has been found across central East Polynesia. Unfortunately, given that most East Polynesian ancient rat specimens adhere to the R9 haplotype, there has been limited success in distinguishing finer-scale movements between the islands within the Polynesian Triangle. This was evident in a control region study on ancient Pacific rat skeletal remains from Anakena, Easter Island (Barnes et al., 2006). All samples presented the R9 control region haplotype and therefore it was not possible to distinguish the immediate origin of the Easter Island population from within eastern Polynesia.

Recent advances in second-generation sequencing technologies and bioinformatics processing however, have made it viable to recover complete ancient mitochondrial genomes from preserved archaeological and paleontological samples (Knapp and Hofreiter, 2010). Recent applications of complete mitochondrial sequencing in the Pacific have documented an increase in molecular resolution that can be utilized for fine-scale phylogenetic analyses (Miao et al., 2013; Duggan et al., 2014; Greig et al., 2015). This is of particular importance in Pacific research, as multiple population bottlenecks, the result of successive island migrations, may have severely reduced genetic variation in study species. Here we document complete and partial mitochondrial genome sequences from 13 ancient Pacific rats, sourced from early archeological deposits across West and East Polynesia. It was anticipated that with greater molecular resolution provided by complete mitochondrial genome sequencing, a greater number of Pacific rat lineages would be distinguished and could be used as a proxy to investigate the origins and dispersals of East Polynesian peoples. Our chief objective was to identify a potential immediate origin for the Polynesian settlers who introduced these rats to Easter Island.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Sample Collection and DNA Extraction**

A total of 77 ancient Pacific rat skeletal remains from Easter Island, Tonga, Tokelau, American Samoa, Austral Islands, Cook Islands and the Society Islands were collected for mitochondrial genome extraction and sequencing. These samples were sourced from multiple archeological excavations (see SI Table 1) and were placed within a dark and dry environment for long-term storage. All ancient DNA (aDNA) extractions and library building prior to PCR amplifications were conducted in a purpose-built aDNA laboratory in the Richardson Building at the University of Otago, following recommendations in Knapp et al. (2012a). Access and use of the aDNA laboratory requires adherence to strict protocols in place to minimize contamination risks, particularly from areas where amplified DNA is present, i.e., modern DNA laboratories. Femora were common in the acquired Pacific rat bone assemblage and were targeted for further processing. Prior to DNA extractions, bone samples were soaked in 5% bleach for 10 min, rinsed several times with ultrapure water to remove residual bleach and left to dry overnight. Each bone sample was ground into a fine powder using a sterile mortar and pestle and extracted using a silica based extraction protocol (Rohland and Hofreiter, 2007). Samples were processed in sets of nine in conjunction with one extraction blank.

**Library Preparation**

Double-stranded barcoded libraries were generated from aDNA extracts and extraction blanks as described in Knapp et al. (2012b), in preparation for hybridization capture and paired end sequencing on an Illumina MiSeq platform. A quantitative PCR (qPCR) was then performed to determine the number of cycles required for the sufficient amplification of each library for immortalization. If libraries presented a significant amount of adapter dimer, a second attempt at library preparation was made or the sample was removed from further processing. Libraries were immortalized by PCR with the following reagent concentrations: 19 µl of adapter ligated library; 1xTaq Buffer; 2.5 mM MgCl2; 1 mM of dNTPs; 3.75 U of AmpliTaq Gold
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FIGURE 2 | (A) Structure of the mitochondrial genome. At ∼16,300 base pairs (bp) in length, the Pacific rat mitochondrial genome is comprised of protein, transfer RNA (tRNA) and ribosomal RNA (rRNA) encoding regions, in addition to the non-coding control region. The control region is often referred to as “hypervariable” as it accumulates mutations at a much higher rate than both the nuclear genome and the remaining regions of the mitochondrial genome. As such, the control region is often targeted for its variation in population genetic studies. (B) Pacific rat control region haplogroups as outlined in Matisoo-Smith et al. (2004). Variable positions across ∼200 bp of the control region (from position 15,382 to 15,584 bp) have distinguished multiple haplotypes belonging to three major haplogroups—I, II, and III (A & B).

polymerase (ThermoFisher Scientific); 0.2 μM of extension primer, Sol_ext_P5; and 10 μM of a barcoded index primer, Sol_ext_P7. The following immobilization conditions were used: 95°C, 12 min, 22–30 cycles (determined by when the plateau was reached during the previous qPCR) of: 94°C, 30 s; 58°C, 30 s; 72°C, 1 min, followed by a final extension of 72°C for 10 min. Following immortalization, samples were purified with a MinElute PCR Purification Kit (QIAGEN) with the following modification: 2x PE washes. Immortalized libraries were then amplified using a KAPA HiFi PCR Kit (KAPA Biosystems) in preparation for hybridization capture, each 50 μl reaction containing: 1 μl of immortalized library; 1x KAPA HiFi Buffer; 0.3 mM dNTPs; 0.3 mM each of amplification primers, Sol_amp_p5 and Sol_amp_p7; and 1 U of KAPA HiFi DNA Polymerase. The following amplification conditions were used: 94°C, 5 min, 10 cycles of: 94°C, 20 s; 55°C, 55 s; 72°C, 15 s, followed by a final extension of 72°C for 5 min. Following amplification, samples were once again purified.

Bait Production

Bait was produced for hybridization capture using DNA extracted from tissue of a laboratory rat (Rattus norvegicus), sourced from the Department of Anatomy, University of Otago, following the protocol described by Maricic et al. (2010). The complete mitochondrial genome of the R. norvegicus specimen was targeted using primers designed to amplify two 8–9.5 kb overlapping mitochondrial fragments (see Table 1).

Hybridization Capture and Sequencing

Ancient libraries were captured using a modified hybridization protocol, adapted from Maricic et al. (2010). A hybridization mixture containing: 2 μg of barcoded library; 1 μM each of blocking oligonucleotides; 0.6x Agilent blocking agent; and 0.6x Agilent hybridization buffer was prepared for each sample and treated to the following conditions: 95°C, 3 min; 37°C, 30 min. The hybridization mixture was then added to the pre-prepared baited beads and rotated at 12 rpm for 48 h at 65°C. The streptavidin beads were then isolated and washed as described in Maricic et al. (2010), re-suspended in 15 μl of 1x TE solution and heated at 95°C, 3 min, denaturing the captured libraries from the beads. Captured libraries were re-amplified using a KAPA HiFi PCR Kit (KAPA Biosystems) with each 50 μl reaction containing: 15 μl of post-captured library; 1x KAPA Buffer; 0.3 mM dNTPs; 0.3 mM each of the amplification primers, Sol_amp_p5 and Sol_amp_p7; and 1 U of KAPA HiFi DNA Polymerase. The following amplification conditions were used: 94°C, 5 min, 20 cycles of: 94°C, 20 s; 55°C, 55 s; 72°C, 15 s, followed by a final extension of 72°C for 5 min. Libraries were then purified with a MinElute PCR Purification Kit (QIAGEN), quantified with a Qubit 2.0 Fluorometer (Invitrogen), pooled in equimolar concentrations and sequenced using 2 × 75 base pair-end runs on an Illumina MiSeq sequencing platform, conducted by New Zealand Genomics Limited (NZGL) at Massey University, New Zealand. Libraries prepared from extraction blanks were sequenced separately.

Raw Data Processing

Sequencing reads were initially processed in AdapterRemoval (v.2; Lindgreen, 2012) to remove adapters, merge paired-end fragments (overlapping by at least 11 base pairs), and remove stretches of Ns, bases with low quality scores (<30) and short reads (<25). Reads were then mapped to a reference genome (R. exulans, GenBank accession NC_012389).
TABLE 1 | Long-range PCR amplification for bait production.

| Region amplified | Primer pairs | Primer sequences (5’-3’) | Amplicon length | Annealing temperature |
|------------------|--------------|--------------------------|-----------------|----------------------|
| COI → Cyt b      | BatL5310 & RCB9H | CCTACCTGGAACCTTACCTATG  | 9.5 kb          | 47°C                 |
|                  |              | TACACCTAGAGGTCTTTAATTG   |                 |                      |
| Cyt b → COI      | RGIu2L & R6396R | CAGCATTAACTGTGACTAAGAC  | 8.3 kb          | 49°C                 |
|                  |              | ACTCTCGGCTGATCCAGAACA   |                 |                      |

Phylogenetic Analyses

Ancient mitochondrial sequences were aligned using MUSCLE in Geneious (v.8.1.8). Two phylogenetic analyses were conducted: 1. with near complete sequences (>90% of the reference sequence), and 2. with all near complete and partial sequences (>50% of the reference genome). Sites were masked where any of the sequences provided missing data, using the Mask Alignment tool in Geneious.

A Bayesian inference analysis of phylogeny was conducted using the MrBayes plugin (v.2.2.2; Huelsenbeck and Ronquist, 2001; Ronquist and Huelsenbeck, 2003) implemented in Geneious (v.8.1.8). This consisted of running four chains with the heated chain temperature set at 0.2 to allow sufficient chain-swapping over 20 million generations, with a burn-in length of 100,000 and sampling every 2,000 generations. The best-fit model for nucleotide substitution was determined using jModeltest2 (https://github.com/ddarriba/jmodeltest2, Guindon and Gascuel, 2003; Darriba et al., 2012) with 11 substitution schemes. Model selection was computed using the Bayesian and Akaike information criteria (BIC and AIC). The HKY85 substitution model (Nst = 2; Hasegawa et al., 1985) with invariable rate variation (rate variation command “propinv”) was applied for the ancient sequence analysis. Complete mitochondrial sequences from Thailand, Papua New Guinea and New Zealand (Genbank EU273710.1, EU273709.1, EU273711.1; Robins et al., 2008) were added for the purposes of outgrouping (Thailand) and to assess where they position in relation to the ancient sequence assemblage. The resulting Bayesian consensus trees were visualized and exported from Geneious (v.8.1.8).

Convergence was assessed using the Trace tool in Geneious; there were no obvious trend lines to suggest that the MCMC was still converging and there were no large-scale fluctuations that would suggest poor mixing. Effective sample sizes (ESS) for all traces exceeded 100.

Maximum likelihood (ML) analyses (Felsenstein, 1981) were conducted using the PhyML plugin (v.3.0; Guindon et al., 2010) implemented in Geneious (v.8.1.8). Substitution rate categories were set to 4 and bootstrap support was estimated using 1,000 replicates. The HKY85 substitution model (Hasegawa et al., 1985), with software-optimized estimates of the proportion of invariable sites, the gamma shape parameter and the transition/transversion ratio was applied. Convergence was assessed by comparing multiple runs and ensuring that bootstrap support was consistent at a determined level of replicates.

The population structure of the ancient Polynesian rat assemblage was evaluated using a median-joining network analysis, generated in PopArt (v 1.7; Bandelt et al., 1999; Leigh and Bryant, 2015) with default settings. In addition, the control region fragment (15,387–15,590 bp) was extracted from the complete mitochondrial sequences, in order to assign each sample into the three major control region haplogroups—I, II, III (A & B) as described in Matisoo-Smith et al. (2004). This was used to compare the molecular resolution between control region and complete mitochondrial genome analyses.

RESULTS

DNA Preservation and Sequence Recovery

Forty of the 77 samples produced adequately preserved DNA that amplified and was subsequently sequenced. However, 27 samples were removed post-sequencing as a result of missing large...
fragments and low read depth (<2) when mapped to a Pacific rat mitochondrial reference genome. This resulted in a total of 13 complete and partial sequences to be used in subsequent phylogenetic analyses. Complete mitochondrial genomes were obtained from six samples, with the remaining seven samples providing partial coverage (ranging from 53 to 94%); see Table 2). The average read depth for the thirteen samples is 135.6x (ranging between 1.8x and 657.8x; see SI Figure 1). Ignoring missing data across the sequences (designated “N”), the average read depth for the 13 samples is 136.2x (ranging between 2.8x and 657.8x).

DNA preservation and recovery is largely dependent on environmental factors (i.e., temperature, moisture, and acidity of the surrounding environment) and the age of the sample. Pacific preservation varies across tropical to temperate climates, and whether the sample was recovered from a protected, open and/or coastal context (Robins et al., 2001). Specimens recovered from East Polynesia generally provided greater DNA preservation than those from West Polynesia. It is clear from the read fragment distributions (see SI Figure 2), that the Easter Island samples in particular were less fragmented, providing peak read length between 100 and 120 bp. Rat specimens retrieved from Easter Island provided greater DNA preservation than all other surveyed locations in central East Polynesia. This is consistent with previous commensal research that has recovered complete ancient mitochondrial genomes from another temperate location in the Pacific, i.e., New Zealand (Greig et al., 2015).

**Sequence Authenticity**

To estimate the degree of contamination, reads from each sample were mapped to mitochondrial reference genomes that represent common contaminants i.e., pig, human, cow, chicken, and dog. Only one ancient sample (MS10605) exhibited a small amount of human contamination equating to ~0.03%. A lack of exogenous DNA, particularly from cow, pig, and chicken, indicates that reagents used in this study were free from contamination. It has previously been asserted that laboratory reagents, such as dNTPs, can contain DNA from domestic and laboratory animals that may obscure endogenous DNA amplification (Leonard et al., 2007; Thomson V. A. et al., 2014). No blank processed with any of the modern and ancient samples exhibited contamination, providing no indication of cross-contamination during the processing of samples. The deamination patterns exhibited in the reads from each ancient sample were consistent with expected damage in ancient DNA sequences (see SI Figures 3–6). The observed C to T misincorporation rate of 0.20 for the first nucleotide position of the 5’ end exceeds the maximum rate of 0.05 for samples <117 years old, and is consistent within samples older than 500 years (Sawyer et al., 2012). This indicates that the sequences are endogenous mtDNA of rats obtained from early archeological deposits across Polynesia.

**Phylogenetic Analyses**

Across the 13 ancient mitochondrial genomes, a total of 88 variable sites were identified. Interestingly, only three sites of variation were identified in the hypervariable (HVR) I region (positions 16,024–16,383 bp) of the control region. This hypervariable region is commonly targeted for population studies as it is considered fast mutating. However, in the ancient *R. exulans* sequences assessed in the current study, a higher degree of variation is observed across the protein-coding regions.

The consensus maximum likelihood (ML) and the Bayesian Inference trees for the complete ancient sequences were congruent and illustrated two major groupings—the first consisting of the Papua New Guinea and Thailand sequences, and the second consisting of the ancient rats sampled from across Remote Oceania (see Figure 3A). The Fakaofo (Tokelau; MS10592), Maupiti (Society Islands; MS10502), New Zealand (GenBank EU273711.1) and Easter Island sequences are similar, however there is high bootstrap and Bayesian posterior probability support for a distinct grouping of the

**TABLE 2 | Ancient samples used in the phylogenetic analyses.**

| Location       | Site                          | Sample code | GenBank accession number | Associated layer date       |
|----------------|-------------------------------|-------------|--------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Tonga          | Faleloa, Foa                  | MS20586     | KY814713                 | 2,600 ± 50 B.P.             |
| Tokelau        | Fakaofo                       | MS10591     | KY814711                 | 1,090 ± 60 B.P.             |
|                |                               | MS10592     | KY814712                 | 1,090 ± 60 B.P.             |
| Austral Islands| Atiahara, Tubuai              | MS10600     | KY814714                 | 769–675 B.P.                |
|                |                               | MS10601     | KY814715                 | 769–675 B.P.                |
| Society Islands| Terre Namotu, Maupiti         | MS10502     | KY814709                 | 495–320 B.P.                |
|                | Haumé archeological site, Mo’orea | MS10523 | KY814710                 | 911–652 B.P.                |
| Easter Island  | Anakena                       | MS10602     | KY814716                 | 610–560 B.P.                |
|                |                               | MS10604     | KY814717                 | 610–560 B.P.                |
|                |                               | MS10605     | KY814718                 | 610–560 B.P.                |
|                |                               | MS10606     | KY814719                 | 610–560 B.P.                |
|                |                               | MS10609     | KY814720                 | 610–560 B.P.                |
|                |                               | MS10610     | KY814721                 | 610–560 B.P.                |

Associated layer dates are as reported in archeological excavation publications (see SI Table 1).
Easter Island samples. In the median-joining (MJ) network, the Remote Oceanic samples radiate off two central nodes within 10 mutational steps (see Figure 3B). The Easter Island samples form a distinct cluster, whereby three of the samples (MS10604, 605, and 609) share the same mitochondrial haplotype.

In the ML tree containing both complete and partial ancient mitochondrial sequences (see Figure 4A), a sample from Fakaofo (Tokelau; MS10591) occupies a branch outside of the remaining Remote Oceanic sequences. In the corresponding MJ network (Figure 4B), MS10591 is clearly distinct, radiating from a central Remote Oceanic node by six mutational steps. Within the remaining Remote Oceanic samples, there is high Bayesian posterior probability support for four distinct groups, the first solely containing the New Zealand sequence; the second containing the Foa, Tonga (MS10596) and the Fakaofo, Tokelau (MS10592) sequences; the third containing the Society Island sequences (MS10523 and MS10502); and the fourth, an Easter Island/Tubuai (Austral Is) group of eight sequences. In the MJ network, these groups are not highly distinct, radiating from the central node by one mutation. However, its needs to be clarified that by including partial sequences in a PopArt network analysis, missing sites may potentially mask phylogenetically informative sites that
FIGURE 4 | (A) Rooted maximum likelihood (ML) tree inferred from the complete and partial mitochondrial sequence dataset. Values above each node represent Bayesian posterior probabilities (obtained using MrBayes) and ML bootstrap values (obtained from PhyML). Only posterior probabilities/bootstrap values, where one or both are above 0.7 and 70, respectively, are included. Individual sample names are included and geographic populations defined by color. Scale bar represents amino acid substitutions per site. Additional *R. exulans* sequences (Thailand, Papua New Guinea (outgroup) and New Zealand; Genbank EU273709.1, EU273710.1, EU273711.1; Robins et al., 2008) were included. (B) Median-joining (MJ) network inferred from the complete and partial mitochondrial sequence dataset. The diameter of each circle is proportional to the frequency of a specific haplotype. Small gray circles indicate missing haplotypes. Nucleotide substitutions between haplotypes are represented by dashes.

DISCUSSION

The Application of Complete Mitochondrial Genome Sequencing

Mitochondrial hypervariable regions accumulate mutations at a faster rate than other mitochondrial regions (Stoneking, 2000), producing a greater amount of population variation that can be utilized for phylogenetic research. As such, the majority of commensal studies in the Pacific, particularly those using archeological specimens, have targeted fragments of 100–600 bp in the mitochondrial control region (e.g., Barnes et al., 2006; Larson et al., 2007; Storey et al., 2007, 2010; Oskarsson et al., 2012). As ancient DNA is highly fragmented, it is practical to target fragments of the mitochondrial control region.
that produce the most usable variation. The emergence of second-generation sequencing however has made it viable to generate longer DNA sequences, e.g., complete mitochondrial genomes, from fragmented aDNA (Knapp and Hofreiter, 2010). The use of hybridization capture for target DNA enrichment prior to second-generation sequencing (Ng et al., 2009), is also beginning to supersede the traditional primer-based PCR amplification that can often amplify large amounts of exogenous contaminant DNA (Burbano et al., 2010; Knapp et al., 2012b; Li, 2013; Greig et al., 2015). Recent studies using complete mitochondrial genomes in the Pacific have reported a higher level of molecular resolution that can distinguish phylogenetic groups and individuals with greater accuracy than use of the control region alone (Miao et al., 2013; Duggan et al., 2014; Greig et al., 2015).

The control region analysis conducted in this study showed that all ancient Polynesian samples (that did not contain missing sites in the control region) clustered within Haplogroup IIIB, in particular, possessing the R9 haplotype. The use of this control region fragment alone did not produce enough variation to distinguish island groups or specific lineages. The low variation in the hypervariable regions of the ancient Pacific rat genomes may reflect a number of bottlenecks in the establishment of populations. Pacific rats, carried by migrating humans, would have been established on Pacific islands in a stepping-stone manner. A subset of the population (and therefore haplotypes) would have been carried on each migratory journey, as humans moved eastwards into the far reaches of Polynesia. Furthermore, as populations were established on islands, gene flow would have been heavily restricted and subsequently prolonged low variation. Our study indicates that targeting one region (e.g., HVR I) may not provide enough variation across all samples to sufficiently distinguish populations. In conjunction with previous Pacific commensal research using complete mitochondrial genomes, our results suggest that complete mitochondrial sequencing is necessary to assess all mitochondrial variation and gain the highest molecular resolution for subsequent phylogenetic analyses.

**Implications for Pacific Rat and Associated Human Dispersal**

Archaeological and linguistic evidence suggests that Tonga and Samoa form the West Polynesian homeland from which East Polynesian populations are derived (Emory, 1946; Green, 1966, 1981; Pawley, 1966; Groube, 1971). The median-joining network analyses conducted in this study illustrate a star-shaped pattern whereby the Remote Oceanic sequences radiate off two shared central nodes. This is consistent with the scenario of an eastern dispersal from West Polynesia into the central East Polynesian archipelagos, before a widespread expansion to the extremes of Easter Island, Hawai‘i and New Zealand. In the MJ network containing both complete and partial ancient rat sequences, the Tongan sequence (MS10596) shares the same haplotype as a sequence from Fakaofo, Tokelau (MS10592). Tokelau has
Previously been described as an intermediary between West and East Polynesia (Burrows, 1939). Whilst it has not yet been established whether key Tokelauan islands were directly settled from the West Polynesian homeland or from a back migration originating from central East Polynesia, re-analyzed radiocarbon determinations suggest that Fakaofo and Atafu were colonized by 750 B.P. (Petchey et al., 2010). This coincides with the widespread expansion from central East Polynesia to the outliers of the Polynesian Triangle. Regardless of its immediate origin, the Tokelauan (MS10592) haplotype is present in an early Tongan deposit that predates East Polynesian expansion. Therefore, this shared haplotype is established to have been present in a Tongan population and subsequently carried with either West Polynesian or descendent East Polynesian settlers into Fakaofo, Tokelau. The other ancient Tokelauan haplotype, MS10591, is highly diverged in both the ML and MJ analyses. This haplotype may either represent another form carried by Tongan/Samoan populations—presently unsampled in West Polynesia, or a later evolved haplotype that diverged post-settlement of Tokelau.

The earliest radiocarbon dates associated with human settlement in central East Polynesia are found in the Society Islands (~925–830 B.P.; Wilmshurst et al., 2011). The Society Islands are often represented as the central East Polynesian homeland from which widespread eastern expansion occurred after 750 B.P. The ancient Society Island sequences obtained from Maupiti and Mo’orea (MS10502 and MS10592) represent two closely related haplotypes that radiate from the same central nodes in the MJ network as the rest of the Remote Oceanic assemblage. These two haplotypes however, are not ancestral to the remaining East Polynesian samples. As no other recovered ancient central East Polynesian haplotypes are derived from the haplotype found on Mo’orea, which given its associated layer date of 911–652 B.P. (Kahn, 2016) would have existed at the time of widespread expansion, or the haplotype from Maupiti, it could be argued that the continued East Polynesian expansion did not originate from these islands. However, given our limited success in recovering complete mitochondrial genomes from the Society Islands, we may have not sampled potential ancestral haplotypes present in archeological deposits in this region. Further sampling of ancient Pacific rats across the Society Islands and nearby Cook Islands is required to assess whether an ancestral haplotype, corresponding to the central nodes in the MJ networks, were established in these central island groups and whether it existed concurrently with derived haplotypes, such as those found in Mo’orea and Maupiti.

The Wilmshurst et al. (2011) re-analysis of East Polynesian radiocarbon determinations from early settlement deposits, suggests that Easter Island was colonized between ~750 and 650 B.P. The initial colonization is supported to be of Polynesian origin (Weisler, 1996; Green, 1998; Martinsson-Wallin and Wallin, 2000; Barnes et al., 2006), however the immediate origin remains unclear. The grouping of the Tubuai (Austral Is) and Easter Island haplotypes in this study suggests that both island groups were established by the same colonization wave, that would have carried the haplotype that the Easter Island and Tubuai rats share or are derived from. Whilst haplotype networks, such as the median-joining network, do not indicate ancestor-descendent relationships and therefore the direction of migration, it is unlikely that the Tubuai haplotypes are derived from the Easter Island population. Geographically, Easter Island is situated in the eastern-most corner of Polynesia. Migratory voyages are likely to have passed through other island archipelagos in a stepping-stone manner before reaching Easter Island. Therefore, it is highly likely that the Austral Islands were colonized prior to Easter Island. Preliminary radiocarbon dating on Tubuai suggests that it had been occupied earlier than Easter Island (Worthy and Bolt, 2011) and was contemporaneous with sites in the southern Cooks (Walter, 1998). This is the first commensal study to specify a potential immediate origin for the Easter Island population, providing support for the colonization of Easter Island through a south-eastern voyaging corridor, originating from the Austral Islands and potentially moving through the Gambier, Pitcairn and Henderson Island regions. Similar cultural assemblages documented by Weisler (1996), indicate the existence of an interaction sphere between Mangareva (Gambier Islands), Pitcairn and Henderson Island. Further sampling would be required in the Gambier, Pitcairn and Henderson Islands however to establish haplotype continuity in this south-eastern corridor.

The absence of variation in the Barnes et al. (2006) study of Easter Island rats, was attributed to a single or limited introduction of rats, followed by long-term isolation. The early divergence of the Rapanui language from the East Polynesian language group (Trudgill, 2004) is consistent with an early Easter Island colonization followed by extreme isolation from all other East Polynesian groups. The adherence of the ancient Easter Island rats sampled in this project to three haplotypes suggests that there may have been limited variation in the colonizing population of rats, however the sample size is too small to assess population variation. Notably, all Easter Island rat samples in this study were sourced from the earliest occupation layers at Anakena; described in traditional history as the landing place of the initial colonists (Métraux, 1940). Further sampling of ancient Easter Island rats from various time periods and archeological contexts would determine, from the absence or introduction of new haplotypes, whether inter-island contact was prevalent post-colonization.

**CONCLUSION**

Recent developments in target DNA enrichment and sequencing technologies have improved the cost-effectiveness and viability of recovering complete mitochondrial genomes from ancient fragmented DNA. Complete mitochondrial genome sequencing provides a higher degree of molecular resolution that can be used to distinguish Pacific rat populations across Remote Oceania. Our results indicate that Remote Oceanic rat haplotypes are closely related and are consistent with the scenario of a central East Polynesian homeland from which widespread expansion occurred. We also document the clustering of Tubuai and Easter Island haplotypes, which suggest that Easter Island...
may have been settled via a south-east voyaging corridor through the Austral Islands, Gambier, Pitcairn and Henderson regions. While the 13 mitogenome sequences presented here provide new details regarding relationships between various Pacific rat populations, further sequencing of ancient *R. exulans* samples and similar analyses of genetic variation of other commensal species in East Polynesia will refine our understanding of human dispersal and interaction across this vast region.
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