Expression of the endocannabinoid receptors in human fascial tissue
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Abstract

Cannabinoid receptors have been localized in the central and peripheral nervous system as well as on cells of the immune system, but recent studies on animal tissue gave evidence for the presence of cannabinoid receptors in different types of tissues. Their presence was supposed also in myofascial tissue, suggesting that the endocannabinoid system may help resolve myofascial trigger points and relieve symptoms of fibromyalgia. However, until now the expression of CB1 (cannabinoid receptor 1) and CB2 (cannabinoid receptor 2) in fasciae has not yet been established. Small samples of fascia were collected from volunteers patients during orthopedic surgery. For each sample were done a cell isolation, immunohistochemical investigation (CB1 and CB2 antibodies) and real time RT-PCR to detect the expression of CB1 and CB2. Both cannabinoid receptors are expressed in human fascia and in human fascial fibroblasts culture cells, although to a lesser extent than the control gene. We can assume that the expression of mRNA and protein of CB1 and CB2 receptors in fascial tissue are concentrated into the fibroblasts. This is the first demonstration that the endocannabinoid system might limit the progression of uncontrolled fibrogenesis.

Introduction

The deep fascia is the dense connective layer that surround muscles, bones, nerves and blood vessels. In recent time it have gained importance in the clinical practice for its role in motor coordination, proprioception, balance and myofascial pain. The deep fascia contains variable amount of fibroblasts soaked in extracellular matrix and many Authors support their possible role in fascial pathology. Schleip et al. emphasized that the presence of alpha SMA fibers in the fibroblasts of the muscular fascia; Goldman et al. demonstrated that purine signaling induces transient changes in fibroblast cytoarchitecture, whilst McPartland et al. suggest a possible influence of the endocannabinoid system. Endocannabinoids are endogenous lipid mediators with wide range of biological effects similar to those of marijuana. These lipid mediators can be generated in various cell types, both in the brain as well as in various peripheral tissues.9,11

Endocannabinoids exert their biological effects via two main G-protein-coupled cannabinoid receptors, the CB1 and CB2.12–14 CB1 receptors are primarily distributed in the central nervous system, however, recent studies have also demonstrated CB1 receptors in various peripheral tissues.15 The presence of CB2 receptors has also been established in the myocardium,1 human coronary endothelial and smooth muscle cells,11,12 brain,1 and the liver1,3 and in human peripheral blood immune cells.16 More recently, patients with myofascial pain and arthritis are those most often use cannabis medicinally so probably the activation of CB1 and 2 receptors suppresses proinflammatory cytokines such as IL-1beta e TNF-alpha and increases anti-inflammatory cytokines.17 Garcia-Gonzalez et al. demonstrated that the endocannabinoid system is up-regulated in pathologic fibrosis and that modulation of the cannabinoid receptors might limit the progression of uncontrolled fibrogenesis. Pandey et al. review the role of endocannabinoids in the regulation of the immune response and the potential to treat inflammatory disorders, and Lowin et al. demonstrated that synovial fibroblasts can contribute significantly to elevated endocannabinoid levels in rheumatoid arthritis synovial fluid. Russo has shown a link to fibromyalgia and endocannabinoid deficiency and some studies provide data that cannabinoids can prove to be an effective treatment of fibromyalgia symptoms.

Really, up to now the expression of cannabinoid receptors CB1 and CB2 in fascial fibroblasts was never demonstrated, even though many evidences support their influence in fascial pathology. The aim of this study was to evaluate the gene and protein expression of CB1 and CB2 receptors on human fascia lata and isolated fibroblasts of hip deep fascia.

Materials and Methods

Cell isolation from fascia

This study was approved by the Institutional Ethical Review Board (approval no. 3722/A0/16). The Institute’s ethical rules on research conducted on human tissues were followed, and written informed consent was obtained from each donor. A few millimeters large samples of fascia lata, the deep fascia of the thigh, were collected from 11 volunteers patients, 4 males and 7 females, average age 84±13 (range 50-97), undergoing an elective surgical procedure at the Orthopedic Clinic of University of Padua. The samples were transported into phosphate buffered saline (PBS) containing 1% penicillin and streptomycin, and transported to the laboratory within few hours of collection. Fascia was digested with Collagenase B 0.1% in HBSS (Hank’s Balanced Salt Solution) overnight, then centrifuged at 480 g for 5 min and transferred in tissue flask with DMEM 1g/L glucose, 10% FBS and 1% penicillin-streptomycin antibiotic. Cell culture was incubated at 37°C, 95% humidity and 5% CO2, and used from passage 3rd to 9th.

Conflict of interest: the authors declare no conflict of interest.

Key words: fascia; fascial fibroblasts; endocannabinoid; immunostaining.

Contributions: CF, manuscript writing, isolation, culture cells, RT-PCR, GA, contribution to manuscript writing and PCR execution; LP, immunostaining; MMS, contribution to cells isolation and immunostaining; CB, collection of fascia from volunteers patients; RDC, CS, contribution to manuscript writing and editing, study coordination. All authors contributed to this work and approved the final version of the paper.

Received for publication: 24 March 2016. Accepted for publication: 1 June 2016.

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International License (CC BY-NC 4.0).
Immunocytochemistry and immunohistochemistry

Formalin fixed specimens of human fascia were dehydrated in graded ethanol, embedded in paraffin and cut into 6 µm-thick sections. For the detection of CB1 and CB2 Receptor, dewaxed sections were treated with Tris-EDTA pH 9.0 buffer, for 15 min at 90°C, rinsed by water and then washed in PBS.

Isolated cells from fascia were plated (200 cells/mm² in 24-multwells containing a glass coverslip) and allowed to attach for 48 h at 37°C. Then cells were washed in PBS, fixed 10 min with 2% paraformaldehyde in PBS pH 7.4 and then washed three times in PBS. Afterwards, all the samples (tissues and cells) followed the protocol described below.

Endogenous peroxidase were blocked with 0.5% H₂O₂ in PBS for 10 min at room temperature. Cells were pre-incubated with a permeabilizing buffer (0.2% Tween-20 in PBS) for 60 min at room temperature and then were incubated in rabbit polyclonal antibodies diluted 1:50 for anti-CB1 Receptor (Cayman Chemical, Ann Arbor, MI, USA), 1:100 for anti-CB2 Receptor rabbit polyclonal antibodies (Cayman Chemical) and 1:100 for anti-Fibroblast Surface Protein (Cayman Chemical) and RPS18 (Ribosomal Protein S18) antibodies (AbCam, Cambridge, UK). The primary antibodies were diluted in the same pre-incubation buffer and incubated overnight at 4°C. After repeated PBS washing, cells were incubated with the secondary antibody (Abcam, Carpinteria, CA, USA), ready to use, for mouse and rabbit, and washed in PBS buffer. The reaction was then developed with 3,3’-diaminobenzidine (Liquid DAB + substrate Chromogen System kit; Dako) and stopped with distilled water. Negative controls were carried out by similarly treating sections and omitting the primary antibody, confirming the specificity of the immunostaining. Spleen sample for CB2 receptors and hippocampus for CB1 were used as positive control. Nuclei in tissue sections were counterstained with hematoxylin, ready to use (Dako). The images were acquired by using Leica DMR microscope (Leica Microsystems, Wetzlar, Germany; objectives 20X and 40X, Leica) and analyzed using the Image J software.

Real-time PCR

To detect the expression of mRNA for CB1 and CB2, and the changes it underwent in the different samples of fascia, cells isolated from human fascia from the 3rd passage were seeded (3 × 10⁶ cells/well) on a 24-well plate. After 48 h incubation with medium, the cells were harvested in RNA lysis buffer and total RNA was extracted with the same protocol used for cells.

During RNA extraction a DNase treatment was also carried out to remove genomic DNA contamination. Total RNA was then transcribed to cDNA²³. RT-PCR was carried out in an I-Cycler IQ detection system (BioRad Laboratories, Milan, Italy), as detailed previously, using the primers reported in Table 1, and a 60 ng starting amount of cDNA. The PCR program included a denaturation step at 95°C for 3 min, 45 cycles of three amplification steps (15s 95°C - 15s 60°C - 15s 72°C) and melting curve (60-90°C with a heating rate of 0.5 °C/10 s). During the exponential phase, the fluorescence signal threshold was calculated and the fraction number of PCR cycles required to reach the threshold (cycle threshold, Ct) was determined. Ct values decreased linearly with increasing input target quantity. All samples were amplified in duplicate and RPS18 (Ribosomal Protein S18) expression was used as a reference. The specificity of the amplification was tested at the end of each run by melting curve analysis, using the I-Cycler software 3.0.

Results

The fascia lata, a deep fascia of the lower extremity, is a dense connective tissue primarily composed of fibroblasts and collagen fibers. Although extracellular matrix is predominant, there are also several cell populations within this structure: adipocytes, endothelial cells of blood vessels, nerve terminals, various migrating white blood cells (i.e., mast cells).

We isolated fibroblasts derived from human hip fascia by digestion with Collagenase B: our results showed a mixed cell population with few elongated cells after few days of isolation (Figure 1A) and a high proliferation after 20 days (Figure 1A).

Table 1. Sense and antisense sequences used as RT-PCR primers.

| Sequence name | Acc. number | BP | Primers |
|---------------|-------------|----|---------|
| RPS18 F171    | NM_025551   | 170| 5’-TGT GGT GTT GAG GAA AGC AG-3’ |
| RPS18 R340    | NM_00160226 | 363| 5’-GGA CCT GGC TGT AY TTC CA-3’ |
| CB1 F4961     | NM_00160226 | 363| 5’-TCT GGT GGA CCA ATG CAT ACC-3’ |
| CB1 R323      | NM_025551   | 170| 5’-CCA CTC GTA AAA CAT CTG AC-3’ |
| CB2 F1608     | NM_001841   | 333| 5’-TCA ACC CTA TCA TCA AY CTC-3’ |
| CB2 R1560     | NM_001841   | 333| 5’-AGT CAG TCC CAC TCA TCA TCA-3’ |
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days (Figure 1B). Figure 1C shows that, after few passages, the primary cultured cells are longitudinally oriented. The immunohistochemical staining using the anti-fibroblast surface protein antibody demonstrated that the isolated cells are fibroblasts (Figure 1D).

Our protocol of immunostaining allowed to maintain the integrity of fascial tissue: Figure 2 C-J shows the fascial organization, with longitudinal orientation of collagen fibers and elongated fibroblasts. Figure 2 demonstrates the positive expression of CB1 (panels E,G,I) and CB2 (panels F,H,J) on the most of fascial fibroblasts. Not all the cells have the same degree of reactivity, but in all the samples the positivity for CB1 and CB2 is evident in the cytoplasm of cells, whereas the nuclei are all negative. Positive tissue controls are shown in Figure 2 A,B as indicated in the data sheet of the antibodies. These results are also confirmed in the cells isolated from patients (Figure 3): all the cells are positive in the cytoplasmic area and not in the nucleus, with a slightly higher intensity for CB2 (Figure 3 B,D) compared to CB1 (Figure 3 A,C).

With the aim to confirm the expression of the endocannabinoid receptors, we carried out a RT-PCR in cells and tissue samples with the same amount of starting cDNA. The results confirm that CB1 and CB2 are both expressed on fibroblasts and hip fascial tissue (Table 2), although with a lower Ct compared to the housekeeping gene S18, and with a slightly higher expression of CB2 with respect to CB1, as indicated by the lower Ct. The variability of expression of the housekeeping gene between cells and fascial tissue (15.7±0.4 and 18.6±0.3, respectively) can be justified by the different starting material (isolated cells and pools of cells, respectively), as indicated by the literature. Figure 4 shows that all samples have the same melting temperature, demonstrating the specificity of the reaction and excluding false amplicons. Furthermore, even in this case, the fascial tissue points out a lower expression (as indicated by the real time PCR plots): these results could suggest that the expression of CB1 and CB2 derive from the average expression of a pool of cells, namely a low number of fibroblasts and a variable presence of different type of cells such as vascular cells, mast cells and adipocytes that are present on the tissue and that could have a different expression of endocannabinoid receptors.

**Discussion**

This is the first demonstration of the presence of endocannabinoid receptors in fascial fibroblasts. More in detail, our immunostaining results demonstrate a slightly higher...
intensity for CB2 compared to CB1 on fascial fibroblasts. Besides, in the tissue not all the fibroblasts are positive, whereas the isolated and expanded cells are homogeneous. These results are confirmed by the real time PCR: the specificity of the reaction on fibroblasts and fascial tissue is the same, but the amount of expression in the tissue is lower, for both CB1 and CB2 and also for the housekeeping gene. This can be explained by the fact that the cell culture is homogeneous, while the tissue is a pool of different cells (fibroblasts, vascular cells, mast cells, adipocytes, etc.) with a variability of expression.

The presence of CB1 and CB2 receptors in fascial fibroblasts suggests their possible role in modulation of fascial pain. The fascial pain is usually related to a fascial inflammation and/or a fascial fibrosis, and both could be related to an alteration to the endocannabinoid system. Indeed the activation of CB1 and CB2 receptors is able to suppress pro-inflammatory cytokines such as IL-1beta and TNF-alpha and to increase anti-inflammatory cytokines, and provide an anti-fibrotic activity. Consequen-

tly, the CB1 and CB2 receptors of fascial fibroblasts could represent a new target for drugs to care fascial fibrosis and inflammation. The presence of the endocannabinoid system in the fascial fibroblasts can also explain the effectiveness of cannabis to care myofascial pain.

It is also possible that the endocannabinoid system inside the deep fasciae is stimulated during manipulative treatments and exercises. Indeed, McPartland et al. demonstrated that after osteopathic manipulation treatment the serum level of anandamide increase 168% over pretreatment levels and Gamelin et al. demonstrated that chronic exercise is able to modify the tissue levels of endocannabinoids.

Table 2. Comparison of Ct for real time PCR. The values are the mean of at least two different experiments in triplicate.

|            | Ct (fibroblast cells) | Ct (fascial tissue) |
|------------|-----------------------|--------------------|
| CB1        | 29.5±0.7              | 29.6±1.4           |
| CB2        | 28.9±0.7              | 28.3±1.5           |
| S18        | 15.7±0.4              | 18.6±0.3           |

Figure 4. Specific melting point plots of the PCR products using primers for S18, CB1 receptor and CB2 receptor on fibroblasts derived from human fascia (A) and on hip fascial tissue (B). The violet (A) and the green (B) curves are the results about water. On the insets the real time PCR plots with cDNA.
(eCBs) and the expression of genes coding for CB1 and CB2. Besides, it is known that the connective tissue is one of the principal target of the stretching, causing the remodeling of the cytoskeleton of the fibroblasts and a modulation of the inflammation-regulation mechanisms within connective tissue. Consequently, we can suppose that specific exercises or fascial treatments could be able to stimulate the fascial fibroblasts and consequently to modulate the endocannabinoid system and the release of anti-inflammatory cytokines. A better comprehension of the interactions between fibroblasts, extracellular matrix and CB1 and CB2 receptors could help to better target the treatments for the myofascial pain.
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