Evaluation of Laparotomy Fascial Wound Closure with Continuous Suture and Intermittent Aberdeen Knot
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ABSTRACT

Background: The closure is a crucial factor in laparotomy wound. Fascial layers provide a major tensile strength in wound closure. Poor wound, healing, and development of wound infection and, the incisional wound are the common complications of open abdominal surgery.

Objective: To evaluate abdominal wound closure in terms of the outcome of wound, infection, and wound pain.

Methods: Detailed, clinical history, clinical examination including per abdominal and per-rectal examination, routine blood investigations & chest x-ray screening was done. In all the patients undergoing laparotomy wound closure done with continuous suture and Aberdeen knot. All the patients were observed for complications after operation & followed up at 1 week, 15 days, 3, 6 months postoperatively.

Results: Most of the patients presented with intestinal obstruction (11) and peptic perforation (9) followed by ileal perforation (8) and appendicular perforation (6). There were 3 patients with liver abscess and Koch’s abdomen, 2 patients with incisional hernia. 1 patient each of pseudocyst, CA descending colon, Ca stomach SMA thrombosis, rectal prolapse, abdominal Trauma, obstructed inguinal hernia, GOO. The most common complication was wound infection (5) followed by chronic wound pain (3), wound dehiscence (2). 1 patient developed an incisional hernia. None of the patients had stitch granuloma or suture sinus formation.

Conclusion: In laparotomy, wound closure with continuous suture and Aberdeen knot reduces the incidence of infection, wound dehiscence, incisional hernia, suture sinus formation, and stitch granuloma & chronic wound pain. Thus, this method holds the promise for a safe technique of closure with minimal complication.
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INTRODUCTION

The closure is crucial factor in a laparotomy wound. Fascial layers provide a major tensile strength in wound closure. Poor wound healing and development of wound infection and incisional wound are common complications of open abdominal surgery. Secure abdominal wound closure relies upon the repair of the musculofascial layer of the abdominal wall. The musculofascial layer of the abdominal wall comprises of external abdominal, internal abdominal, and transverse abdominal muscles and their aponeurosis. In the midline incision linea alba, right paramedian and right subcostal incision-anterior and a posterior layer of rectus sheath of the musculofascial layers was included.

Continuous fascial closure with intermittent, Aberdeen knot normally practised and the, interrupted closure is also practised by some surgeons with an assumption that it causes less, pain, and less wound infection. The wound is any discontinuity of tissue and for normal healing, it must be opposed with sufficient strength to allow the normal process of healing otherwise wound disruption may occur leading to complications. Wound complications may vary from seroma formation to hernia incidence of which is about 9-19%. There are various techniques of wound closure but there is no ideal technique or ideal suture material for particular closure and it all depends on surgeon’s preference. Closure technique varies for each surgeon either continuous or interrupted method, different fascial bites, variation in stitch interval. Here, we
have tried to evaluate techniques of abdominal fascial closure-continuous with intermittent Aberdeen knot by using nonabsorbable polypropylene suture material in the midline laparotomies.

The Aberdeen knot is a self-locking knot consisting of a combination of unique throws and turns that is used to secure the end of a continuous suture line (Stott 2009). To form an Aberdeen knot, a loop is formed in the future and passed through the tissue on each side of the incision line. A second loop is then passed through the first loop. This is termed one throw. This step can be repeated any number of times to achieve the desired number of throws. To lock the knot, an additional throw called the turn is performed by passing the end of the suture through the final loop. Depending on surgeon preference, the turn can be repeated (Figure 1).

This study is designed as an evaluation of abdominal wound closure in terms of the outcome of wound infection, and wound pain. To minimize the influence of suture materials we used the same suture materials at similar levels of fascial closure in all the patients.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

This is a Prospective, observational, cohort study in 50 patients aimed to evaluate the outcome of laparotomy fascial wound closure with continuous suture and intermittent Aberdeen knot. Study was conducted at Dhiraj Hospital with approval from Ethics committee (No: SVIEC/ON/ME01/BNPG18/D19094) from the date of period of September 2017 to September 2019.

**Inclusion criteria**

1. Both male and female, patients
2. Patients older than 18 years
3. The study includes both elective and emergency laparotomies
4. All vertical abdominal, incision closures will be included
5. Patients who voluntarily decide to take part in this study, and give written consent.

**Exclusion criteria**

1. All Medically and Anesthetically, unfit patients
2. Non-compliance
3. Patient not willing to, study.

**Procedure**

All patients admitted at Dhiraj general Hospital posted for laparotomy were explained about the risk factor. If the patient agrees, then the only Patient was being operated. A detailed history will be taken and all patients will be subjected to thorough clinical examination including per abdominal and per-rectal examination. Routine lab investigations like blood and screening of chest will be done. All patients were undergoing laparotomy wound closure with continuous suture and Aberdeen knot.

All the patients will be analysed postoperatively according to proforma prepared and other post-operative complications such as

1) Incisional Hernia
2) Wound dehiscence
3) Suture sinus formation
4) Stitch granuloma
5) Chronic Wound pain
6) Wound infection

All patients were followed up at 1 week, 15 days, 3, 6 months postoperatively.

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

Any contribution to the study and knowledge of wound closure is important to surgical specialty and this is a valuable contribution. Closure of abdominal incision has been greatly simplified by the realization that all incisions heal by forming a block of fibrous tissue.

The strength of the abdominal wall depends on linea alba and anterior rectus sheath. The technique of laparotomy wound closure is an important factor in preventing postoperative wound complications like wound infection, wound dehiscence, suture sinus formation, incisional hernia, and scar complications. However, there are many systemic, and local factors responsible for delay in wound healing.

Systemic factors include diabetes, hypertension, anaemia. Local factors includes infection, hematoma formation. Mechanical factors such as postoperative vomiting, hiccough, explosive coughing and chest infection, gross gaseous distension.

The current study has shown that the mass closure technique for abdominal wounds results in a lower incidence of wound infection, wound dehiscence and incisional hernia, chronic wound pain, suture sinus formation, and stitch granuloma than in layered technique.
**Age Distribution**

Most of the patients in the present study, as well as Rehman et al. 2013 study, belonged to the 21-30 years age group which is the most productive age group (Table 1). India is a developing country most are labourer class population belonging to this age group who are malnourished and presents often late for their symptoms.

| Age distribution | Present Study (n=50) | Rehman et al. 2013 (n=160)* |
|------------------|----------------------|-------------------------------|
| <20              | 3(6%)                | 21(13.12%)                   |
| 21-30            | 18(36%)              | 60(37.5%)                    |
| 31-40            | 8(16%)               | 27(16.87%)                   |
| 41-50            | 8(16%)               | 27(16.87)                    |
| 51-60            | 4(8%)                | 12(7.5%)                     |
| 61-70            | 7(14%)               | 12(7.5)                      |
| >70              | 2(4%)                | 1(0.62%)                     |

**Sex Distribution**

There was male predominance in both studies which may be attributed to the adverse sex ratio in India and the disease profile of a male patient who is working population with smoking habits and neglects their health (Table 2).

| Sex          | Present Study (n=50) | Bansiwal RK et al. 2019 (n=300)** |
|--------------|----------------------|------------------------------------|
| Male         | 36(72%)              | 240(80%)                           |
| Female       | 14(28%)              | 60(20%)                            |

**Diagnosis**

Our institute is a tertiary institute hence the variety of patients presents to our surgical department which is comparable to Rehman et al., 2013 study (Table 3). Also, India being developing tuberculosis and malnutrition are endemic hence more patients with tuberculosis and perforation.

| Diagnosis               | Present study (n=50) | Rehman et al., 2013 AL (n=160) |
|-------------------------|----------------------|---------------------------------|
| Intestinal obstruction  | 11(22%)              | 21(13.25%)                     |
| Peptic perforation      | 9(18%)               | 19(11.87%)                     |
| Illeal perforation      | 8(16%)               | 34(21.25%)                     |
| Appendicular perforation| 6(12%)               | 4(2.5%)                        |
| Liver abscess           | 3(6%)                | 6(3.75%)                       |
| Koch’s abdomen          | 3(6%)                | 36(22.5%)                      |
| Incisional hernia       | 2(4%)                | 24(15%)                        |

**Table 3: (Continued)**

| Diagnosis               | Present study (n=50) | Rehman et al., 2013 AL (n=160) |
|-------------------------|----------------------|---------------------------------|
| Intestinal obstruction  | 11(22%)              | 21(13.25%)                     |
| Peptic perforation      | 9(18%)               | 19(11.87%)                     |
| Illeal perforation      | 8(16%)               | 34(21.25%)                     |
| Appendicular perforation| 6(12%)               | 4(2.5%)                        |
| Liver abscess           | 3(6%)                | 6(3.75%)                       |
| Koch’s abdomen          | 3(6%)                | 36(22.5%)                      |
| Incisional hernia       | 2(4%)                | 24(15%)                        |

**Comorbid conditions**

India is a third-world country with most people belonging to either lower or extremely higher socioeconomic status thus anaemia and chest infection are common in the lower class and Diabetes Mellitus and Hypertension common in the Upper class (Table 4).

| Comorbid conditions | Present study | Bansiwal RK et al. 2019 (n=300)** |
|---------------------|---------------|------------------------------------|
| Chest infection     | 6(12%)        | 36(12%)                            |
| Diabetes mellitus   | 18(36%)       | 100(33.33%)                        |
| Anaemia             | 30(60%)       | 58(19.33%)                         |
| Hypertension        | 13(26%)       | 11(3.66%)                          |

**Complications**

**Wound infection**

In the present study wound infection was 10% as compared to other studies. McNeill had a 3.92% wound infection with continuous closure. Khan et al., 2009 reported a 4.75% wound infection while Gurjar et al., 2014 reported a 4% wound infection. The part played by the wound sepsis is important, as this is the major avoidable cause of wound failure. Inadequate treatment or the immuno-compromised state may lead to serious systemic complications like sepsicaemia, shock, and multi-organ failure.

The higher rate of wound infection in the present study may be attributed to the poor nutritional status of the study population and late presentation to our centre with comorbidities like DM, Hypertension, anaemia.

**Wound dehiscence**

In the present study wound dehiscence was 4% which is similar to Gurjar et al., 2014 (4%) while Khan et al., 2009 reported 0.94%. Wound dehiscence is a grave complication with poor prognosis. Faulty suture the technique is entirely responsible for early dehiscence but only partly responsible for a late incisional hernia, the
other culprit being deep wound sepsis often associated with intraperitoneal drainage. Wound dehiscence rates are higher with conventional layered closure than single-layered closure. The suture holding capacity of the anterior rectus sheath, alone was 2.25 kg compared with 3.93 kgs after, full-thickness musculoaponeurotic. The structures found to have the greatest suture holding capacity was the linea alba 7.93kgs as compared with 4.12kg for full-thickness without linea alba.14

In our study, the incidence of wound dehiscence is higher than Khan et al., 20091. This is because, in our study, we had a large number of patients who were elderly, had intraabdominal sepsis, and presented late. Most of these patients were anaemic, DM, Hypertension, and most of them were operated on an emergency basis and also had a higher incidence of post-operative wound infections. These predisposing factors were responsible for delayed wound healing and subsequent dehiscence as compared to the western countries where the incidence is less.

**Chronic wound Pain**

Chronic wound pain was present in 6% of patients in the present study as compared to 3% in Gurjar et al., 201413. This may be attributed to intermittent knotting and nerve entrapment in a knot.

**Incisional hernia**

The incisional hernia was 2% in the present study compared to 9.8% in McNeill and 3% in Gurjar et al., 201413 while it was similar to Khan et al., 20091 (2.35%)

Incisional develops from the scar of a surgical incision, when a patient is examined by making him lie flat on the bed and is asked to lift his legs or to cough, any bulges in the scar is considered as Incisional hernia. Incisional hernias are mainly due to faulty incision and faulty technique of closure, other important determinants are sex (males more predisposed) and age (elderly more predisposed) of the patients, chest infection, and wound infection. As age advances, breakdown of collagen fibres takes place weakening the old-healed scars, predisposing for hernia, thus indicating the need to use non-absorbable suture material to support it, using chromic catgut in conventional layered closure proved to be a drawback in causing incisional hernia and thus proving the advantages of using polypropylene in preventing incisional hernia by holding more tissue with little tension for a long period after the wound heals. As in the present study closure technique involves intermittent Aberdeen knot tension gets distributed and thus chances of incisional hernia get reduced.

**Stitch Granuloma and Suture Sinus Formation**

It is defined as a chronic granulating infection or micro abscess that results in a persistent fistulous tract. The incidence of suture sinus formation is predisposed by the use of multifilament suture material. Due to lodgement of infective foci in the crevices of suture material. We did not have any patient with stitch granuloma or suture sinus formation as we had used monofilament suture material as compared to 3% (stitch granuloma) and 2% (Suture sinus) in Gurjar et al., 2014.13

**CONCLUSION**

In laparotomy, wound closure with continuous suture and Aberdeen knot reduces the incidence of infection, wound dehiscence, incisional hernia, suture sinus formation, and stitch granuloma & chronic wound pain. Thus, this method holds the promise for a safe technique of closure with minimal complication.
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