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Abstract

Objective. To categorise the patient experience of psoriatic arthritis (PsA)-related foot involvement by linking it to the International Classification of Functioning, Disability and Health (ICF) framework.

Methods. Concepts, obtained from a previous qualitative investigation of people with PsA and health professionals into their perspective of PsA-related foot involvement, were linked to the full version of the ICF classification. Concepts were linked to the most appropriate ICF...
category using established linking rules, which enable a systematic and standardised linking process. All concepts were independently linked to the ICF by 2 investigators, followed by a third investigator for adjudication. Investigator professional backgrounds included occupational therapy and podiatry.

Results. Over 100 distinct ICF categories were linked to the interview concepts. The most represented ICF category was body functions (35%), followed by environmental factors (31%), activities and participation (19%) and body structure (15%). Concepts that could not be linked to the ICF were related to coping, aspects of time and knowledge. Health professionals identified a greater proportion of body functions and fewer activity and participation categories compared with patients, indicating a possible mismatch of key concerns. Interdisciplinary group analysis demonstrated merit.

Conclusion. A list of ICF categories was generated, defining aspects of functioning important and relevant to the impact of PsA-related foot involvement. Despite the localised anatomical focus of this study, the effect of foot problems in PsA was linked to all components of the ICF, confirming the profound impact on functioning and daily life.
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Key messages:

- This study comprehensively describes the functioning of people with psoriatic arthritis-related foot involvement and explicitly identifies activities and participation impacted by the condition.
• The list of ICF categories generated in this study will provide a useful reference to identify what should be included when future foot-specific psoriatic arthritis outcome tools are developed.

• The inclusion of different health disciplines improved the categorisation process of the patient experience, highlighting the importance of a multidisciplinary team approach to psoriatic arthritis in future studies.

Introduction

Previous research has shown merit in linking domains of impact in psoriatic arthritis (PsA) to the International Classification of Functioning, Disability and Health (ICF) to categorise the effect of global disease [1-3]. Identifying ICF categories relevant to PsA provides a conceptual basis to define what should be measured in the development of outcome measures and assessment tools [1, 2, 4]. As an internationally accepted framework, the ICF has been widely used and advocated by the OMERACT (Outcome Measures in Rheumatology Clinical Trials) as a reference model to better describe the OMERACT domains relating to functioning and to evaluate health outcome measurement [4, 5]. Previous studies have used the ICF to show that concepts important to people with PsA are not adequately covered by the standard self-report instruments currently used to measure functioning in PsA [6, 7]. The main reasons for this are that existing instruments often contain items that cover different domains (for example, joints, skin, enthesitis, dactylitis, spine, pain, physical function, quality of life) due to the heterogeneity of clinical manifestations in PsA, and many of the instruments have been adapted from other rheumatic diseases with few disease-specific instruments for PsA currently available [8, 9]. Furthermore, incorporation of the patient perspective in the development of outcome measures and domains in PsA is often lacking [10, 11], which limits
the potential value of the outcome as discrepancies have been reported between the views of patients and health professionals [12].

Localised pain and disease persistence in the foot in PsA is well recognised [13-17], but limited foot-specific research exists and there are no outcome measures to comprehensively assess foot involvement in PsA and its impact on a person’s function and participation. Previous studies have used the Leeds Foot Impact Scale (LFIS) [18] to assess foot-related disability in PsA [13, 14, 19-21], which consists of sub-scales aligned to the ICF. Whilst this approach has merit in that the LFIS was robustly developed using patient perspectives in rheumatoid arthritis, it is unlikely to adequately capture the combined musculoskeletal and dermatological impact in PsA. Indeed, few qualitative studies have identified that local foot disease in PsA can cause substantial functional impairments and visual differences, which can negatively impact on emotional well-being and on all aspects of life [22, 23]. To date little is known about the patient experience of foot involvement and how this may link to the ICF to capture and describe disease impact. The objective of this study was to categorise the patient experience of PsA-related foot involvement by linking descriptive concepts to the ICF.

METHODS

Concepts from Previous Qualitative Study

A qualitative study was previously performed based on semi-structured, one-to-one interviews of people with PsA (n=21) and three multidisciplinary focus groups among health professionals [22]. A total of 17 health professionals with clinical experience of managing this patient group participated in 3 separate focus groups (comprising groups of n=8, n=5, n=4), including podiatrists, physiotherapists and rheumatologists. The interviews and focus groups
covered specific areas of interest, which included foot involvement in PsA, its impact on daily life, experiences with footwear and foot care needs. Each interview and focus group was audio-recorded, transcribed verbatim and analysed using the constant comparative method for qualitative data [24]. This method of thematic analysis combines inductive category coding with a simultaneous comparison of all concepts of meaning obtained from the qualitative data, which are continuously refined, compared and subsequently grouped with similar units of meaning. Meaningful concepts within the text, such as words or sentences containing relevant contextual information, were identified and used in the current study (Figure 1).

Qualitative research typically uses small sample sizes with a diverse range of participants in order to obtain rich data that allows in-depth exploration and understanding of the research question [25]. A representative sample was sought from the public and private sector, and from lower and higher socioeconomic geographical areas in Australia and New Zealand. Recruitment to the study continued until qualitative data saturation of emerging concepts was achieved [26]. Ethical approval was granted by; the South Western Sydney Local Health District (HREC/171/LPOOL/353), the Auckland University of Technology Ethics Committee (AUTEC 17/320) and the Waitemata District Health Board of Auckland New Zealand (RM/3907), and informed consent was obtained from all participants. Detailed descriptions of the preliminary work have been previously reported [22, 23].

**Linking to the International Classification of Functioning, Disability and Health**

The structure of the ICF classification is divided into four main components; Body Structures, Body Functions, Activities and Participation, and Environmental Factors. Each component consists of several chapters and within each chapter there are 2nd level, 3rd level and 4th level categories, which are the units of the classification [27]. Within each chapter, the categories...
are arranged in a stem, branch, leaf scheme. Consequently, a lower-level category shares the attributes of the higher-level category to which it belongs. Hierarchically grouped, the 2nd, 3rd and 4th level categories represent increasingly more detailed frames of reference. Higher-levels of linking indicate greater specification. For example, b2 Sensory functions and pain (1st level/chapter), b280 Sensation of pain (2nd level), b2801 Pain in body part (3rd level) and b28015 Pain in lower limb (4th level).

Each concept, obtained from the previous qualitative interviews and focus groups, was linked to the most appropriate ICF category according to established linking rules [28] and their updates [29, 30]. The linking rules inform a systematic linking process and facilitate the standardised identification of the linkage between qualitative concepts and ICF categories [28-30]. Using the online ICF classification tool in its full version, each concept was linked to the most precise ICF category. If a concept contained sub-concepts it was linked to more than one ICF category. For example, a statement from the qualitative data is “Due to the pain in my feet I didn’t want to meet up with my friends”, in which the concepts would be ‘foot pain’ and ‘impact - meeting up with friends’ and the ICF categories linked to them would be ‘b28015 pain in the lower limb’ and ‘d9205 socialising’.

Concepts that could not be linked to the ICF were assigned ‘not covered’ (nc), such as the concept “disease progression” in the current study. Concepts related to personal factors were linked to the ICF whole component ‘personal factors’ (pf) because this ICF component does not include separate categories. Concepts relating to health conditions other than PsA were assigned as ‘health condition’ (hc). If there was insufficient information to make a decision about which ICF category should be linked, it was assigned as not definable (nd), including concepts referring to general health (nd-gh), physical health (nd-ph) and quality of
life in general (nd-qol). The ‘other specified’ and ‘unspecified’ categories at the end of each chapter were used if a concept was not explicitly specified.

**Accuracy of analysis**

All concepts were independently linked to the ICF by 2 investigators (KC, CT). After the independent linking process was complete, the investigators discussed differences in their linking in order to obtain consensus regarding a final set of categories. The third investigator (DT) assessed all categories, adjudicated cases of disagreement and determined the final category. If required, interview transcripts were reviewed to ensure that the concept had been interpreted accurately. Investigator professional backgrounds included occupational therapy and podiatry, and all three investigators undertook self-directed training in linking concepts to the ICF using the eLearning resources developed by the World Health Organisation (WHO) [31]. Full understanding of the concepts and structure of the ICF was required by the investigators prior to commencing the linking process [30]. In addition, the three investigators had experience of qualitative research methods and 15 years of clinical practice experience.

The degree of agreement between the 2 investigators in linking concepts to the ICF was calculated using total percentage agreement and the unweighted kappa statistic [32]. Data were recorded for each of the 4 main components of the ICF for linking of the patient and health professional concepts individually and combined. Kappa values can range from 0 to 1, where 1 indicates perfect agreement and 0 indicates no additional agreement beyond what is expected by chance alone. When interpreting kappa statistics, published definitions were used to determine the degree of agreement [33]. The analysis was performed using SPSS.
Descriptive statistics were used to analyse the number and frequency of ICF categories identified.

**RESULTS**

A total of 1363 relevant concepts were identified from the interviews of people with PsA and the focus groups with health professionals. The frequency with which each of these concepts were reported among all participants was 4774, of which 924 were from health professionals and 3850 were from people with PsA.

*Frequency of ICF categories.* One hundred and forty-eight distinct ICF categories were linked to the interview and focus group concepts, which related to Body Structures (n=17, 12%), Body Functions (n=48, 32%), Activities and Participation (n=55, 37%), and Environmental Factors (n=28, 19%).

The most represented ICF component was Body Functions (35%), followed by Environmental Factors (31%), Activities and Participation (19%) and Body Structure (15%) (Tables 1 to 4). The most frequent 3rd level categories were body image (b1801), maintaining one’s health (d5702) and footwear that was linked to general products and technology for personal use in daily living (e1150). The most frequent 2nd level categories were emotional functions (b152), walking (d450), carrying out daily routine (d230) and health services, systems and policies (e580). Also cited frequently was the major life area of remunerative employment (d850), followed by the impact on family (d760) and social life (d920).

The majority of concepts reported by people with PsA were linked to 3rd level (more precise) ICF categories across all 4 components. Environmental Factors most relevant to people with PsA were footwear and assistive devices such as insoles, access to healthcare, support from family and health professionals, drugs and climate. High levels of self-care...
activity were reported among people with PsA, which covered maintaining and looking after one’s health, and caring for skin and toenails, and these concepts were linked to healthcare access, financial assets and assistive devices. Toenail changes, relating to structure and function, were also frequently cited by people with PsA and linked to domains of body image and social relationships. Lack of understanding about the disease was a strong theme from the patient experience and was linked to the attitudes of friends, family, colleagues, strangers and health professionals, but it was difficult to link aspects of knowledge and education that did not fully represent this concept.

About half the number of Body Function (n=26, 57%) and Activities and Participation categories (n=27, 49%) were identified by health professionals compared with participants with PsA (n=45, 94% and n=55, 100% respectively), indicating a possible mismatch of key concerns. The majority of concepts reported by health professionals were linked to 2nd level (less precise) ICF categories from the components Body Functions and Activities and Participation. Over one-third of the ICF categories identified from the health professional focus group concepts related to Environmental Factors (n=522, 38%), with the majority being 3rd level ICF categories. This reflected key concerns about the limited access to, and provision of, specialist foot care services, which was the most frequent Environmental Factor reported by health professionals followed by concerns relating to footwear restrictions among patients and the lack of training across professionals on the management of rheumatic foot disease.

Levels of linking. Over half of the ICF categories identified were 3rd level categories (n=76, 51%), followed by 2nd level categories (n=60, 40%). The ICF component that had the most specific categories (higher-level) was Body Structures, with 44% of concepts being linked to seven 4th level categories (relating to the bones, joints, muscles, ligaments and fascia in the
foot and ankle). This was followed by Body Functions with 76% of concepts being linked to three 4th level categories, which mainly related to ‘pain in the lower limb’. The two 1st level categories were self-care (d5) and more frequently functions of skin (b8). Psoriatic skin changes were mainly reported in relation to the physical and psychological consequences, which was reflected in the interview concept focusing on the impact of skin change and not specifically on the skin quality (b810) or sensation (b840).

**Difficulty with linking to the ICF.** Fifty-seven interview codes containing 5 concepts could not be linked to the ICF categories including the disease course (n=27, 47%) (which comprised disease variability, duration, progression, chronicity and established foot disease), comorbidity (n=24, 42%), illness knowledge (n=4, 7%), quality of life in general (such as “life is ruined”, “life is impossible”, “nightmare”) (n=1, 2%), and general physical health (such as “debilitating”, “incapacitating”) (n=1, 2%). Fifty-nine interview codes containing 7 concepts were assigned to the ICF component Personal Factors, which included coping styles (n=23, 39%), age (n=10, 17%), gender (n=10, 17%), ethnicity (n=5, 8%), family history of inflammatory arthritis (n=9, 15%), lifestyle (n=1, 2%), and concerns and priorities (n=1, 2%) (see Supplementary Tables S1 and S2, available at *Rheumatology Advances in Practice*, online).

Concepts that could not be precisely linked to the ICF were related to coping strategies, aspects of time, knowledge of global and local disease, discomfort, rest, swelling, muscle cramp, tendon and enthesis, falls and instability, fatigue and treatment side-effects. Difficulties in linking highly specific information to categories such as sensations of pain, sensations of skin and emotional functions revealed a limitation in the ICFs ability to discriminate between various effects of the disease (see Supplementary Data S1 and S2, online).
Accuracy of analysis. The overall total percentage agreement in the linking of patient and health professional concepts combined ranged from a maximum of 86.3% for Body Functions to a minimum of 72% for Activities and Participation. Moderate to very good levels of interrater agreement were identified across the ICF components in relation to the linking of patient and health professional concepts combined, ranging from moderate interrater reliability for Activities and Participation at 0.59 (CI 0.53, 0.64) to very good for Body Functions at 0.81 (CI 0.78, 0.85) (see Supplementary Data S3, Tables S3 and S4, available at Rheumatology Advances in Practice, for data on the total percentage agreement and Cohen’s kappa for interrater agreement).

The key difference between the raters was the perception of activity functions (d) and mental and attitudinal functions (b). For example, some aspects of coping were assigned to the ICF category handling stress and other psychological demands (d240) by the 1st rater, but were assigned to temperament and personality functions (b126) by the 2nd rater. Whilst coping style is defined as a Personal Factor present as a pre-morbid state in the linking rules, it could be attributed to a consequent impairment of the disease. This overlap in meaning of coping resulted in b126 being the 6th most frequently cited Body Function category and being the most frequent concept assigned to Personal Factors (n=214, 75%).

DISCUSSION

To the best of our knowledge this study is the first to identify ICF categories of importance to people with PsA-related foot involvement and relevant to the health professionals involved in their care. Emergent concepts from the foot-specific qualitative-based work were linked to
all components of the ICF, confirming that local disease in the foot in PsA has a broad impact on daily life with physical, psychological and societal consequences. The OMERACT and the GRAPPA (Group for Research and Assessment of Psoriasis and Psoriatic Arthritis) have endorsed work using the ICF to identify global aspects of functioning in PsA [1, 2]. However, with recognition that hallmark features of PsA are predominant and persistent in the foot and ankle [13-17], this study describes the extent to which functioning is influenced by body region-specific involvement. Despite the region-specific focus of the current study, the impact of localised disease in the foot was widespread and consistent with previous studies that assessed global PsA disease [1, 2, 6].

Using the ICF, the full spectrum of foot-specific problems in PsA and the dynamic interaction between domains of impact can be better understood. The physical domain of body structure and function was well represented, reflecting the high foot disease burden and unmet need for specialist foot care reported in other studies in PsA [14, 23]. Important concepts in the component body function were body image and emotional well-being. Dermatological problems were frequently linked to both these concepts, indicating that assessing the consequences of psoriatic skin and toenail involvement could be helpful for effective patient-centred care. Although the impact on daily activity was most pertinent to people with PsA-related foot involvement, environmental and personal factors covered an array of positive and negative aspects that may play an important role in assessing functioning. Despite the diverse expression of PsA in the foot, limited research has focused on understanding the impact of local foot disease and from the patient perspective [22]. This study has identified the most typical and relevant aspects of functioning from the patient experience of PsA-related foot involvement using the ICF classification as a universal model.
and language of functioning. The translation of aspects of functioning into ICF terms enables the meaning of the patient experience to be condensed, defined and compared [4, 34].

Whilst the benefits of early detection and tight control of active foot disease in PsA have been acknowledged [23, 35, 36], recent qualitative research revealed deficiencies in the assessment and management of foot problems related to PsA reported by patients and health professionals in Australia and New Zealand [22, 23]. The need for personalised, targeted assessment and management strategies focused on the manifestations and impact of foot disease in PsA has been identified in previous studies [17, 23, 36]. Establishing what are the key concerns from the patient perspective is an important step towards identifying ‘what to measure’ in the assessment and management of PsA [2, 4]. Findings from this study confirm that the views and personal importance attributed to different aspects of functioning vary between and among patients and health professionals, which supports similar published work [11, 37-40]. Linking was to lower-level categories (equating to lower specificity) for concepts obtained from the health professionals, which supports the patient perception of poor understanding of the impact of the disease by health professionals. Experienced health professionals did not appear to appreciate the broader aspects of functional and emotional impact that foot problems have on daily life. Failure to recognise concepts important to people with PsA could negatively influence patient compliance with, and efficacy of, treatment strategies, which suggests a need for more education in this area. Categorising the effect of PsA-specific foot disease using the ICF framework highlights the value of this approach in identifying concepts important to both patients and health professionals.

Concepts that could not be precisely linked using the online ICF classification and the shortfalls of the ICF noted in this study were consistent with those reported in previous work in PsA [1, 2], and in other rheumatic conditions [41-44]. Given that enthesitis is a hallmark
feature of PsA, the inadequate representation of the enthesis and tendon by the body structure ICF categories for the foot and ankle significantly reduces specificity in describing localised involvement. Difficulties with linking psychological concepts reflect deficiencies in the ICF and is a major limitation in defining foot disease burden. Gaps and limitations in the linking process should be taken into account in order to accurately reflect functioning with full conceptual coverage.

Interdisciplinary group analysis demonstrated merit as differences between the predominantly biomedical approach by podiatry and biopsychosocial approach by occupational therapy in clinical practice led to additional ICF categories being identified between the health professionals, which mostly related to cognitive functions. The ICF framework adheres to the biopsychosocial model of disease and recognises that function and health result from a complex interplay of the health components [43]. Occupational therapists have been identified to provide additional valuable perspectives that enhance the application of the ICF as a common framework, which is due to the strong conceptual connections between the ICF and occupational therapy models [45].

Despite the lack of evidence on the efficacy of multidisciplinary involvement in the management of people with PsA, this approach has been advocated in published expert reviews [36, 46, 47]. Whilst it may be considered that occupational therapists do not have a strong traditional role in managing the foot in PsA, findings of this study highlight that perspectives from different health professions provides a necessary holistic view on foot functional impairment and its impact that may optimise patient outcomes.

Limitations of this study include lack of generalisability with a sample comprising participants from Australia and New Zealand. Participants in other settings and countries may experience problems with a different frequency or focus. Cross-cultural differences relating
to environmental and personal factors may be revealed in other countries. Robust methods to ensure the quality of the linking process were employed in this study including the use of reliability checks, an iterative consensus-based process and multiple raters from different professional backgrounds. However, it remains unclear whether other health professionals would have applied the linking rules differently and decided on different categories, as previously identified [48]. Lastly, this study did not report systematically problems of comorbidity and it is difficult to determine their relative contribution to problems in function. Therefore, findings from this study may be subject to bias as confounding variables were not adjusted for. However, comorbidities in PsA are common and eliminating the impact of comorbidities comes at the expense of external validity and loss of generalisability in a real-world context.

Future work will be to use the results of this study and the ICF as a common framework to assess the extent to which existing instruments adequately cover foot-specific concepts in PsA. This definitive list of ICF categories may also be used as a starting point for new instrument development to assess foot-specific functioning for research and in clinical practice, and it provides the opportunity to compare foot-related functioning across other rheumatic diseases [4, 6]. A comprehensive understanding of foot functional impairments, limitations in activities and restriction in societal participation may provide the foundation for the development of targeted assessment and intervention strategies that are mapped to key ICF domains.

Despite the localised anatomical focus of this study, the effect of foot problems in PsA was linked to all components of the ICF, confirming the profound impact on functioning and daily life. These findings offer new knowledge using the perspectives of patients and health
professionals that could inform the development of an instrument to measure the impact of foot involvement in PsA.
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Figure legend.

**Figure 1.** The study design process.

*ICF*: international Classification of Functioning, Disability and Health

Table 1. The frequency of ICF categories for the component Body Structure that were linked from concepts obtained from people with PsA and health professionals.

| ICF categories               | Generated from health professionals | Generated from people with psoriatic arthritis |
|------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|
| Body Structure (s)           | n (%)                               | n (%)                                         |
| s75021 Ankle joint and joints foot and toes | 73 (33%)                          | 305 (34%)                                     |
| s8104 Skin of lower extremity | 14 (6%)                             | 194 (21%)                                     |
| s8301 Toenails               | 31 (14%)                            | 143 (16%)                                     |
| s7502 Structure of ankle and foot | 62 (28%)                          | 142 (15%)                                     |
| s75022 Muscles of ankle and foot | 19 (9%)                           | 53 (6%)                                       |
| s75012 Muscles of lower leg  | 0                                   | 23 (3%)                                       |
| Code   | Description                                                                 | Count (Percentage) |
|--------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------|
| s7302  | Structure of hand                                                           | 4 (2%) 13 (1.5%)   |
| s750   | Structure of lower extremity                                                | 0 8 (0.9%)         |
| s75023 | Ligaments and fasciae of ankle and foot                                     | 13 (6%) 7 (0.7%)   |
| S7703  | Extra-articular ligaments, fasciae, extramuscular aponeuroses, retinacula, septa, bursae, unspecified | 3 (1.5%) 5 (0.5%) |
| s7501  | Structure of lower leg                                                       | 0 4 (0.4%)         |
| s75011 | Knee joint                                                                  | 0 3 (0.3%)         |
| s8300  | Fingernails                                                                 | 1 (0.5%) 2 (0.2%)  |
| s7600  | Structure of vertebral column                                               | 0 2 (0.2%)         |
| s75020 | Bones of ankle and foot                                                     | 0 1 (0.1%)         |
| s73021 | Joints of hands and fingers                                                 | 0 1 (0.1%)         |
| s7401  | Joints of pelvic region                                                     | 0 1 (0.1%)         |
| **Total** |                                                                 | **220**  **907**  |

*ICF* international Classification of Functioning, Disability and Health
Table 2. The frequency of ICF categories for the component Body Function that were linked from concepts obtained from people with PsA and health professionals.

| ICF categories                        | Generated from health | Generated from people with psoriatic arthritis |
|---------------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------------------------------|
| b28015 Pain in lower limb             | 166 (39.1%)           | 657 (29.5%)                                   |
| b152 Emotional functions              | 48 (11.3%)            | 245 (11%)                                     |
| b1801 Body image                      | 44 (10.3%)            | 149 (6.7%)                                    |
| b860 Functions of nails               | 20 (5%)               | 122 (5.5%)                                    |
| b8 Functions of skin                  | 13 (3.1%)             | 119 (5.4%)                                    |
| b126 Temperament and personality functions | 9 (2%)              | 114 (5.1%)                                    |
| b770 Gait pattern functions           | 8 (1.8%)              | 88 (4%)                                       |
| b810 Protective functions of the skin | 4 (1%)                | 68 (3%)                                       |
| b7800 Sensation of muscle stiffness   | 12 (2.8%)             | 64 (2.9%)                                     |
| b2700 Sensitivity to temperature      | 1 (0.2%)              | 56 (2.5%)                                     |
| b2702 Sensitivity to pressure         | 0                     | 55 (2.4%)                                     |
| b735 Muscle tone functions            | 0                     | 45 (2%)                                       |
| b710 Mobility of joint functions      | 15 (3.5%)             | 43 (1.9%)                                     |
| b760 Control of voluntary movement functions | 0                  | 38 (1.7%)                                     |
| b28016 Pain in joints                 | 0                     | 37 (1.6%)                                     |
| b435 Functions of the immune system   | 37 (9%)               | 34 (1.5%)                                     |
| b7801 Sensation of muscle spasm       | 0                     | 30 (1.4%)                                     |
| Function Description                                      | Cases | Percentage |
|-----------------------------------------------------------|-------|------------|
| b134 Sleep functions                                     | 1 (0.2%) | 29 (1.3%) |
| b455 Exercise tolerance functions                        | 8 (1.8%) | 26 (1.2%) |
| b265 Touch functions                                     | 0     | 26 (1.2%) |
| b280 Sensation of pain                                   | 0     | 24 (1.1%) |
| b530 Weight maintenance functions                        | 19 (4.5%) | 23 (1%)  |
| b820 Repair functions of the skin                        | 0     | 20 (0.9%) |
| b4152 Function of veins                                  | 1 (0.2%) | 16 (0.8%) |
| b1644 Insight                                            | 1 (0.2%) | 15 (0.7%) |
| b1645 Judgement                                          | 0     | 14 (0.6%) |
| b715 Stability of joint functions                        | 0     | 9 (0.4%)  |
| b415 Blood vessel functions                              | 0     | 9 (0.4%)  |
| b5501 Maintenance of body temperature                    | 0     | 9 (0.4%)  |
| b755 Involuntary movement reaction functions             | 0     | 6 (0.3%)  |
| b4552 Fatigability                                       | 2 (0.5%) | 5 (0.2%)  |
| b7303 Power of muscles in lower half of the body         | 0     | 5 (0.2%)  |
| b6601 Functions related to pregnancy                    | 0     | 5 (0.2%)  |
| b1301 Motivation                                         | 2 (0.5%) | 4 (0.2%)  |
| b1642 Time management                                    | 0     | 4 (0.1%)  |
| b840 Sensation related to skin                           | 0     | 4 (0.1%)  |
| b270 Sensory function related to temperature and other stimuli (e.g. burning sensation, vibration) | 1 (0.2%) | 2 (0.08%) |
| b1142 Orientation to person                              | 0     | 2 (0.08%) |
| b830 Other functions of the skin (e.g. sweating)         | 0     | 2 (0.08%) |
| ICF Code | Description                                                                 | Count | Percentage |
|---------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------|------------|
| b7808   | Sensations related to muscles and movement functions, other specified (e.g. strain sensation) | 0     | 2 (0.08%)  |
| b730    | Muscle power functions                                                       | 0     | 2 (0.08%)  |
| b7353   | Tone of muscles of lower half of body                                        | 0     | 2 (0.08%)  |
| b28013  | Pain in back                                                                  | 1     | 1 (0.04%)  |
| b1265   | Optimism                                                                     | 1     | 1 (0.04%)  |
| b1300   | Energy level                                                                  | 1     | 1 (0.04%)  |
| b2800   | Generalised pain                                                             | 5     | 0          |
| b650    | Menstruation functions (e.g. menopause)                                      | 2     | 0          |
| b114    | Orientation functions                                                        | 2     | 0          |
| **Total** |                                                                   | **424** | **2232** |

*ICF* international Classification of Functioning, Disability and Health
Table 3. The frequency of ICF categories for the component Activities and Participation that were linked from concepts obtained from people with PsA and health professionals.

| ICF categories                              | Generated from health n (%) | Generated from people with psoriatic arthritis n (%) |
|----------------------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|
| Activities and Participation (d)             |                             |                                               |
| d450 Walking                                 | 22 (10%)                    | 156 (13%)                                     |
| d5702 Maintaining one’s health                | 21 (9%)                     | 146 (12%)                                     |
| d850 Remunerative employment                 | 76 (34%)                    | 132 (11%)                                     |
| d5200 Caring for skin                         | 0                           | 57 (5%)                                       |
| d230 Carrying out daily routine               | 2 (0.9%)                    | 57 (5%)                                       |
| d570 Looking after one’s health               | 4 (1.8%)                    | 51 (4.3%)                                     |
| d5204 Caring for toenails                     | 8 (3.6%)                    | 46 (3.9%)                                     |
| d760 Family relationships                     | 8 (3.6%)                    | 45 (3.8%)                                     |
| d9205 Socialising                             | 8 (3.6%)                    | 41 (3.4%)                                     |
| d5700 Ensuring one’s physical comfort         | 5 (2.2%)                    | 41 (3.4%)                                     |
| d920 Recreation and leisure                   | 12 (5.4%)                   | 31 (2.6%)                                     |
| d4154 Maintaining a standing position         | 8 (3.6%)                    | 30 (2.5%)                                     |
| d4551 Climbing (e.g. stairs)                  | 2 (0.9%)                    | 27 (2.3%)                                     |
| d4153 Maintaining a sitting position          | 3 (1.3%)                    | 25 (2%)                                       |
| d240 Handling stress and other psychological demands | 0                           | 22 (1.8%)                                     |
| d4104 Standing                                | 2 (0.9%)                    | 21 (1.8%)                                     |
| Activity Description                                      | Count (Sample) | Count (Population) |
|----------------------------------------------------------|----------------|-------------------|
| d9201 Sports                                             | 9 (4%)         | 19 (1.7%)         |
| d7101 Appreciation in relationships                      | 1 (0.4%)       | 17 (1.4%)         |
| d4501 Walking long distances                             | 3 (1.3%)       | 16 (1.3%)         |
| d179 Applying knowledge, other specified and unspecified | 2 (0.9%)       | 15 (1.3%)         |
| d4502 Walking on different surfaces                      | 0              | 14 (1.2%)         |
| d640 Doing housework                                     | 0              | 13 (1.1%)         |
| d210 Undertaking a single task                           | 0              | 13 (1.1%)         |
| d6505 Gardening                                          | 0              | 12 (1%)           |
| d4602 Moving around outside the home and other buildings | 0              | 12 (1%)           |
| d750 Informal social relationships                       | 7 (3%)         | 11 (0.9%)         |
| d4552 Running                                            | 5 (2.2%)       | 11 (0.9%)         |
| d650 Caring for household objects                        | 0              | 10 (0.8%)         |
| d4500 Walking short distances                           | 0              | 10 (0.8%)         |
| d5701 Managing diet and fitness                          | 0              | 10 (0.8%)         |
| d220 Undertaking multiple tasks                          | 0              | 10 (0.8%)         |
| d475 Driving                                             | 1 (0.4%)       | 9 (0.7%)          |
| d6200 Shopping                                           | 0              | 9 (0.7%)          |
| d410 Changing basic body position                        | 4 (1.8%)       | 8 (0.7%)          |
| d7600 Parent-child relationships                         | 5 (2.2%)       | 5 (0.4%)          |
| d4452 Reaching                                           | 3 (1.3%)       | 5 (0.4%)          |
| d4103 Sitting                                            | 2 (0.9%)       | 5 (0.4%)          |
| Activity Description                                      | Value 1 | Value 2 |
|----------------------------------------------------------|---------|---------|
| d5 Self-care                                             | 0       | 5 (0.4%)|
| d4600 Moving around within the home                      | 0       | 4 (0.3%)|
| d455 Moving around                                       | 0       | 3 (0.25%)|
| d4702 Using public motorised transportation              | 0       | 3 (0.25%)|
| d4106 Shifting the body’s centre of gravity              | 1 (0.4%)| 2 (0.2%)|
| d9204 Hobbies                                            | 0       | 2 (0.2%)|
| d5402 Putting on footwear                                | 0       | 2 (0.2%)|
| d5100 Washing body parts                                 | 0       | 2 (0.2%)|
| d740 Formal relationships                                | 1 (0.4%)| 1 (0.08%)|
| d9100 Informal associations                              | 0       | 1 (0.08%)|
| d4102 Kneeling                                           | 0       | 1 (0.08%)|
| d5203 Caring for fingernails                             | 0       | 1 (0.08%)|
| d5403 Taking off footwear                                | 0       | 1 (0.08%)|
| d4750 Driving human-powered transportation               | 0       | 1 (0.08%)|
| d177 Making decisions                                    | 0       | 1 (0.08%)|
| d7201 Terminating relationships                          | 0       | 1 (0.08%)|
| d4105 Bending                                            | 0       | 1 (0.08%)|
| d4300 Lifting                                            | 0       | 1 (0.08%)|

| Total | 225 | 1195 |

*ICF* international Classification of Functioning, Disability and Health
Table 4. The frequency of ICF categories for the component Environmental Factors that were linked from concepts obtained from people with PsA and health professionals.

| ICF categories | Generated from health professionals | Generated from people with psoriatic arthritis |
|----------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|
|                | n (%)                              | n (%)                                         |
| e1150 General products and technology for personal use in daily living | 150 (29%) | 712 (40%) |
| e580 Health services, systems and policies | 78 (15%) | 261 (14%) |
| e5800 Health services | 44 (8.3%) | 105 (6%) |
| e1151 Assistive products and technology for personal use in daily living | 22 (4%) | 101 (5.5%) |
| e355 Health professionals | 44 (8.3%) | 89 (5%) |
| e225 Climate | 10 (2%) | 81 (4.5%) |
| e1101 Drugs | 32 (6%) | 80 (4.4%) |
| e445 Attitudes of strangers | 5 (1%) | 64 (3.5%) |
| e310 Immediate family | 1 (0.2%) | 57 (3.2%) |
| e425 Attitudes of colleagues | 9 (1.7%) | 44 (2.4%) |
| e1650 Financial assets | 42 (8%) | 32 (1.7%) |
| e410 Attitudes of immediate family | 0 | 32 (1.7%) |
| e415 Attitudes of extended family | 0 | 32 (1.7%) |
| e2450 Day/night cycles | 10 (2%) | 27 (1.5%) |
| e450 Attitudes of health professionals | 15 (3%) | 22 (1.2%) |
| Code   | Description                                                                 | Count | Percentage |
|--------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------|------------|
| e420   | Attitudes of friends                                                         | 0     | 18 (1%)    |
| e590   | Labour and employment services, system and policies                          | 1 (0.2%) | 10 (0.6%)  |
| e1201  | Assistive products and technology for personal indoor and outdoor mobility and transportation | 2 (0.4%) | 9 (0.5%)   |
| e340   | Personal care providers and personal assistants                               | 0     | 9 (0.5%)   |
| e245   | Time-related changes                                                         | 2 (0.4%) | 5 (0.3%)   |
| e510   | Services, systems and policies for the production of consumer goods          | 1 (0.2%) | 4 (0.2%)   |
| e2250  | Temperature                                                                   | 0     | 4 (0.2%)   |
| e115   | Products and technology for personal use in daily living                     | 0     | 4 (0.2%)   |
| e515   | Architecture and construction services, systems and policies                  | 2 (0.4%) | 1 (0.03%)  |
| e1651  | Tangible assets                                                              | 0     | 1 (0.02%)  |
| e1351  | Assistive products and technology for employment                              | 2 (0.4%) | 1 (0.02%)  |
| e5850  | Education and training services                                               | 44 (8.3%) | 0        |
| e215   | Population                                                                   | 6 (1.2%) | 0        |
| **Total** |                                                                                 | **522** | **1805**   |

ICF international Classification of Functioning, Disability and Health
Qualitative investigation to explore the patient experience of the impact of foot involvement in psoriatic arthritis

Meaningful concepts were identified from the qualitative data using an inductive method of qualitative analysis

Previous qualitative study

Current research study

Concepts were independently linked to the ICF by investigator 1 (podiatrist)

Concepts were independently linked to the ICF by investigator 2 (occupational therapist)

Consensus-based process between investigators 1 and 2 with adjudication by investigator 3 for final agreement on linking of concepts to ICF categories

A list of ICF categories defining the typical spectrum of problems in functioning relevant to foot involvement in psoriatic arthritis