Brain changes in subjects with catastrophic pain, as detected by magnetic resonance imaging: A systematic review
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Abstract

Background: There is evidence of the association between catastrophic pain and cerebral connectivity activation. However, the nature of such neural network changes, and brain regions that are most likely to be affected are still unknown.

Objective: To summarize the data available in the literature regarding fMRI-detected brain changes in individuals with catastrophic pain.

Methods: This review included searches across the following databases: Medline-via-PubMed, Web-of-Science and Scopus. We included: cross-sectional studies; fMRI studies using the catastrophic pain scale; and control groups with healthy individuals. We measure the quality evaluation of the selected studies using the New Castle–Ottawa Quality Assessment Scale.

Results: In total, 339 articles were identified, and after the title and abstract selection, 11 references were selected for further evaluation. Unfortunately, seven works were excluded by the eligibility criteria. Thus, a total of four studies were included for qualitative analysis: two included migraine subjects; one fibromyalgia; and another temporomandibular dysfunction. The included articles presented moderate quality of evidence.

Conclusions: In healthy subjects, repeated exposure to painful stimuli generates a specific perception of pain with increased functional connectivity and somatosensory network activity. This does not happen in high catastrophic scores patients, instead may acquire a pain-associated increased state of attention and the inability to direct their attention to other situations, leading to reduced pain modulation capacity. This review finds a change in functional connectivity during processes of rumination or negative pain perception in the anterior and posterior cingulate cortex, somatosensory cortex, medial prefrontal cortex, thalamus, insula, precuneus, midbrain, and retrosplenial cortex.

Introduction

The International Association for the Study of Pain (IASP) defines chronic pain as discomfort with no biological cause that persists for longer than tissue healing [1]. Epidemiological data demonstrate that chronic pain is present in approximately 2-40% of the world population. This wide range in chronic pain prevalence is justified by the different ways of evaluating pain symptomatology [2]. Painful formation and processing involve complex mechanisms requiring cognition, perception, and emotion [3], while psychological factors have also been linked to the chronicity of pain [4]. Areas such as the anterior cingulate cortex, thalamus, basal ganglia and the insula have been associated with the pain perception and may undergo structural or circuitry-related changes in subjects suffering from this dysfunction [5].

The cognitive and emotional aspects of chronic pain sensation, involve catastrophic pain-related thinking, defined as a negative state in the face of a painful experience [6]. Catastrophic pain particularly relates to thoughts and feelings associated with the painful situation, such as fear, worry and inability to divert attention and handle the pain [7].

Individual levels of catastrophic pain were associated with a decrease in pain anticipation-related cerebral activity, as assessed by neuroimaging. This reduced cerebral activity contributed to hyperalgesia in fibromyalgia patients [8]. Moreover, it has been suggested that catastrophic pain is related to nociception and that together with the patient’s psychological perspective can modulate noxious stimulus perception, thus altering neural activity patterns [9].

Patients who present with a higher level of catastrophic pain seem to have increased cortical activity in response to painful stimuli that involves the posterior cingulate cortex, the anterior insula and the cerebellum [9]. There is accumulating evidence that catastrophic pain activates the somatosensory cortex, prefrontal cortex, cingulate cortex and the hippocampus [10]. However, catastrophic pain is not associated with changes in periaqueductal gray matter activation [11].

The literature presents evidence of the association between catastrophic pain and cerebral connectivity activation, as detected by...
functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI). However, the precise nature of such neural network changes, as well as the brain regions that are most likely to be affected are still unknown. Therefore, the aim of this review is to summarize the data available in the literature regarding fMRI-detected brain changes in individuals with chronic catastrophic pain.

Methods

Cross-sectional literature searches were conducted in the following databases: Medline via PubMed, Web of Science, and Scopus. We used articles without publication date and linguistic restrictions. The MESH descriptors used were: “catastrophization”, “catastrophizing”, “magnetic resonance image”, “neuroimaging”, “brain mapping”, combined with the Boolean operator “AND”. This review has registration number CRD42018090379.

The search period was from April to August 2017, and three independent reviewers searched: A.I.S.O.S, J.K.O.F, M.M.M.B.B., who accessed and identified articles from titles and abstracts according to the eligibility criteria. In case of doubt, the studies were selected for further full-text analysis.

The inclusion criteria were cross-sectional studies using fMRI, catastrophic pain scale and that had included control groups with healthy individuals. Studies with subjects younger than 18 years, pregnant or that used other brain imaging assessments were excluded from this study. The article selection flowchart follows the Preferred Reporting Items for Systemic Reviews and Meta-Analysis (PRISMA) model (Figure 1).

Quality evaluation of the selected studies was performed by three independent reviewers using the New Castle-Ottawa quality assessment scale (NOS) for cross-sectional studies. This tool analyzes articles according to (a) selection (sample representativeness, sample size, subjects who did not respond, exposure/risk factors); (b) comparability; and (c) outcomes (evaluation of results and statistical analysis). The NOS qualitative analysis is performed using a complete classification of 10 stars, distributed in three domains: selection (maximum five stars), comparability (maximum two stars) and outcomes (maximum three stars). The higher number of stars obtained, the better the methodological quality of the study.

Results

We identify a total of 339 articles from the database search, and after initial screening based on the title and abstract, 11 references were selected for further reading. Unfortunately, we excluded seven paper because they did not comply the eligibility criteria and one because it did not use a control group with healthy individuals. Thus, a total of four studies were included for qualitative analysis (Figure 1).
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Table 1. Methodological quality evaluation of included studies according to New Castle - Ottawa quality assessment scale / cross section studies (NOS).

| NOS assessment (10 *) | Hubbard et al. [11] | Kucyi et al. [15] | Mathur et al. [16] | Kim et al. [17] |
|-----------------------|----------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-----------------|
| **SELECTION** | | | | |
| Representativeness | - | - | - | - |
| Sample size | - | - | - | - |
| Non-respondents | - | - | * | - |
| Ascertainment of the exposure | ** | ** | ** | ** |
| **ASSESSMENT** | | | | |
| Comparability | * | * | * | * |
| Assessment of outcome | ** | ** | ** | ** |
| Statistical test | * | - | - | - |
| **TOTAL** | ****** | ****** | ****** | ****** |

Table 2. Characteristics of included studies.

| Authors / Groups | Age (years) | Inclusion Criteria | Exclusion criteria | Assessment | Activities during fMRI | Results |
|-----------------|-------------|--------------------|--------------------|------------|------------------------|---------|
| Hubbard et al. [11] | | | | | | |
| a) Migraine n = 17 (4/13) | a) Migraine = 41.71 ± 12.2 | (1) Patients with recurrent headache history for at least three months and monthly frequency > 4 | - | T1-weighted images (144 slices, field-of-view [FOV]: 230 mm, echo time [TE]: 3.44 ms, repetition time [TR]: 2500 ms, flip angle: 90°, resolution: 0.9 × 0.9 mm, matrix size: 256 × 256 mm, slice thickness: 1 mm, no gap, and T2-weighted (spin-echo, 194 volumes, 36 slices, FOV: 230 mm, TE: 30 ms, TR: 2500 ms, flip angle: 90°, matrix size: 128 × 128 mm, resolution: 1.8 × 1.8 mm, slice thickness: 4 mm, no gap, oblique slices) | Rest vs Cognitive task related to pain | Structural (reduction of gray matter): Left primary somatosensory cortex, left prefrontal medial cortex, Left anterior cingulate middle cortex |
| b) Control n = 18 (4/14) | b) Control = 38.89 ± 11.25 | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Kucyi et al. [15] | | | | | | |
| a) TMD n = 17 (0/17) | a) TMD = 33.1 ± 11.9 | (1) DTM non-traumatic (2) Muscle pain in the temporomandibular area; (3) Pain in chewing muscles assessed verbally with at least 4/10 for at least three months (4) Pain at palpation and/or persistent severe pain after feeding in at least three muscles; and/or moderate pain during palpation of the joint temporomandibular and/or limited mandibular movement (opening 40 mm) | (1) left handed (2) Self-report of metabolic, rheumatic, vascular or other serious diseases (3) Self-report of functional chronic pain disorders (irritable bowel syndrome and fibromyalgia) (4) Self-report of psychiatric disorders (5) Abnormal neurological examination (6) Contraindication to MRI (7) Substance use, Health controls: history of chronic pain. | T1-weighted images (144 slices, field-of-view [FOV]: 230 mm, echo time [TE]: 3.44 ms, repetition time [TR]: 2500 ms, flip angle: 90°, resolution: 0.9 × 0.9 mm, matrix size: 256 × 256 mm, slice thickness: 1 mm, no gap, oblique slices) | Rest vs Functional connectivity: Bilateral dorsolateral prefrontal cortex, posterior cingulate cortex; |
| b) Control n = 17 (0/17) | b) Control = 33.2 ± 10.2 | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Mathur et al. [16] | | | | | | |
| a) Fibromyalgia n = 14 (3/11) | a) Fibromyalgia = 40.8 ± 11.9 | (1) Migraine patients with a high frequency of crises (more days with pain than days free from pain) (2) History of recurrent headache for at least one year | (1) Psychiatric disease (2) Pregnant women (3) Use of illicit drugs and alcoholism (4) Control with chronic pain | T2-weighted images (spin-echo, 36 slices, TR: 2500 ms, TE: 30 ms, flip angle: 90°, FOV: 230 mm, resolution: 1.8 × 1.8 mm, matrix size: 128 × 128 mm, slice thickness: 4 mm, no gap, oblique slices) and T1-weighted images (144 slices, TR: 2500 ms, TE: 3.44 ms, flip angle: 90°, FOV: 230 mm, resolution: 0.9 × 0.9 mm, matrix size: 256 × 256 mm, slice thickness: 1 mm, no gap) | Rest vs Painful thermal stimulation. | Functional connectivity: Medial prefrontal cortex, left posterior cingulate cortex, anterior and medial thalamus, right cuneus, midbrain and retrosplenial cortex |
| b) Control n = 14 (3/11) | b) Control = 38.9 ± 12.5 | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Kim et al. 2016 [17] | | | | | | |
| a) Fibromyalgia n = 35 (3/32) | a) Fibromyalgia = 44.9 ± 12 | (1) Age between 18—70 years old (2) Diagnostic of fibromyalgia (3) Know the criteria proposed by Wolfe et al. | | fMRI (3T Tim Trio MRI System Siemens) with T2-weighted images - BOLD EPI (TR/TE = 2 sec/30 ms, flip angle: 90°, 37 AC-PC aligned axial slices, voxel size: 3.1 × 3.1 × 3.6 mm) and T1-weighted images MPRAE (TR/TE/T2*TE4 = 2,530/1.64/3.55/3.72 mm, flip angle: 7°, voxel size: 1 mm isotropic) | Rest vs Painful stimulation (pressure) | Functional connectivity: Primary somatosensory area for bilateral anterior insula |
| b) Control n = 14 (4/10) | b) Control = 44.2 ± 14.3 | | | | | |

FOV: Field of view.
After careful methodological analysis, the included articles presented moderate quality of evidence. The results are analyzed in table 1.

In 75% of the studies, image acquisition was performed either during ‘rest’ or ‘activity’ periods in order to reach the pain threshold, as shown in table 2.

Discussion

The purpose of the present review was to summarize the data available in the literature regarding fMRI-detected brain changes in individuals with chronic catastrophic pain. In these individuals, there are functional connectivity changes in brain areas modulating the neural circuitry and descending molecular pathways of pain modulation and affective memory. Accumulating evidence from our literature review supports the notion that specific brain areas including the anterior and posterior cingulate cortex, the somatosensory cortex, the medial prefrontal cortex, the thalamus, the insula, the pre-cuneus, midbrain, and the retrosplenial cortex, are activated during processes of rumination or negative pain perception.

Several studies have reported alterations in functional connectivity in chronic pain patients, during attention to introspective thoughts in resting states. This change in the Default Mode Network (DMN), may justify increased basal activity of cognitive or sensorimotor networks in such individuals [12-15]. Corroborating these data, all our included studies on individuals who experienced catastrophic pain, found visible brain changes during neuroimaging examinations in areas related to DMN, such as the medial prefrontal cortex, the posterior cingulate cortex, and the insula.

A positive correlation between pain rumination and functional connectivity of the medial prefrontal cortex was reported, as well as the activation of areas in the posterior cingulate cortex, pre-cuneus, retrosplenial cortex, mesencephalon, dorsomedial and ventral anterior nuclei of the thalamus. These alterations evidence the fact that these individuals associate pain or negative thoughts with emotional and affective aspects [16]. In contrast to these findings, a negative correlation of catastrophic pain with activity in the medial, caudate, prefrontal cortex and the anterior cingulate cortex was observed [17]. The reduction in connectivity that occurs in these regions during nociceptive stimuli has been associated with attentional capture of pain, which is enhanced by the negative rationalization of pain, such as catastrophizing. Despite this difference, the same study also demonstrated a positive correlation of catastrophic pain score to the bilateral activation of the anterior insula, an area responsible for affective and attentional pain processing, thus suggesting the presence of a neurobiological substrate that evokes painful hypersensitivity.

This review enabled the examination of the most activated brain areas in patients with catastrophic pain. However, the main limitation of this study involves the difficulty to develop a meta-analysis due to the heterogeneity of the study sample.

Although catastrophic pain is associated with many psychological factors, the present review demonstrated that patients with catastrophic pain could be anatomical and physiological brain alterations, such as the reduction of gray matter or changes in functional connectivity. Nevertheless, only a few related studies with moderate methodological quality were assessed.

Conclusions

Repeated exposure to painful stimuli generates a common perception of pain along with increased functional connectivity and somatosensory network activity, suggesting that pain reduction is associated with increased connectivity of the intrinsic sensorimotor network. Patients with high catastrophic scores may have an increased pain-mediated state of attention and the inability to direct their attention to other situations, which may result in reduced pain modulation capacity.
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