An in situ exploration of subsurface defect migration to a liquid water-exposed rutile TiO$_2$(110) surface by XPS
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The ability of titanium dioxide to split water into OH$^-$ and H$^+$ species is heavily dependent on the behaviour of defects in the crystal structure at or near the surface. We present an in situ study of defect migration in rutile TiO$_2$(110) conducted using X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS). First, surface and subsurface defects were created in the crystal by argon ion sputtering. Subsequent in situ exposure of the defective crystal to liquid water healed the surface defects, whereas the subsurface remained defective. The sample was then annealed while XPS was used to monitor the concentration of titanium defects. At low annealing temperatures, Ti$^{3+}$ was observed to migrate from the subsurface to the surface. Further annealing gradually restored the surface and subsurface to the defect-free Ti$^{4+}$ form, during which the changes in abundance of Ti$^{1+}$, Ti$^{2+}$ and Ti$^{3+}$ defects are discussed.

1 | INTRODUCTION

The production of hydrogen through photocatalytic water splitting is an appealing next-generation energy technology with potential to provide a low-cost, sustainable and environmentally responsible fuel.$^{1,2}$ For an overview of photocatalysis, we would direct the reader to one of the many recent review articles on the topic.$^{3-6}$ This paper discusses titanium dioxide, an attractive photocatalyst due to its chemical stability, abundance and nontoxicity and has been widely considered as a candidate not only for water splitting$^7$ but also water purification$^8,9$ and numerous other photocatalytic applications.$^{10}$ Further, titanium dioxide is well suited to being sensitised with dye molecules to increase visible light absorption$^{11}$ or can be doped to modify photocatalytic properties,$^{12}$ for example, stabilising reactive facets on the surface of the catalyst.$^{13}$ The catalytic activity of titania depends heavily on the surface crystallinity. For anatase, the photocatalytic activity can be tuned by the exposure of (101) and (001) facets, which act as reduction and oxidation sites, respectively.$^{14}$ The rutile (110) surface, which although not as catalytically active as anatase, can be used to split water and produce stoichiometric quantities of hydrogen and oxygen.$^{15}$

As part of the effort to develop suitable photoelectrodes for water splitting, single-crystal surfaces have been extensively studied in an attempt to better understand the fundamental surface chemistry governing catalytic performance.$^{16-19}$ The structure of the rutile titanium dioxide (110) surface is shown in Figure 1. The bulk crystal consists of sixfold coordinated titanium atoms and threefold coordinated oxygen atoms, but at the (110) surface, there is additionally rows of twofold coordinated oxygen, located at the bridge sites running in the [001] direction and fivefold coordinated titanium.$^{20,21}$ It is now well understood that defects in the crystal structure play a critical role in the photocatalytic performance of titanium dioxide. For example, the defect-free rutile TiO$_2$ surface does not dissociate/split water.$^{22,23}$ Water splitting on the rutile surface is instead largely dictated by oxygen vacancies in the rows of bridging oxygen atoms and is often dependent on the nature and density of these surface defect sites.$^{24-27}$ The dissociation of a water molecule into H$^+$ and OH$^-$ occurs near an oxygen vacancy site where the OH group fills an
oxygen vacancy \( (V_{\text{bridge}}) \) and the H binds to a nearby bridging oxygen site \( (O_{\text{bridge}}) \) forming an additional OH species on the surface. This mechanism can be outlined as follows:

\[
H_2O + V_{\text{bridge}} + O_{\text{bridge}} \rightarrow 2OH_{\text{bridge}}.
\] (1)

Defects in TiO\(_2\)(110) can be easily prepared by Ar\(^+\) ion sputtering, which damages the crystallinity and preferentially removes oxygen atoms from both the crystal surface and subsurface layers.\(^{28}\) The resulting reduced titania mainly consists of two types of defect: oxygen vacancies (missing atoms from the bridging oxygen rows) and titanium interstitials (titanium atoms not assigned to a lattice point that can move through the crystal).\(^{20}\) After sputtering, it is well known that the defect-free rutile structure can be restored by annealing in vacuum. This process is entirely reliant on the mobility of the defects, which can be explained through two mechanisms. First, during 'oxygen vacancy diffusion', the undamaged crystal bulk supplies O\(^2-\) anions to the reduced surface/subsurface. Second, 'Ti\(^{3+}\) interstitial diffusion' describes the bulk receiving excess Ti\(^{3+}\) species from the surface/subsurface. In both cases, the migration of defects to and from the bulk allows the defect-free rutile structure to be restored.\(^{28}\)

Given the importance of defect chemistry on the catalytic activity of titania, it is not surprising that the interaction between water and defective titanium dioxide surfaces has been extensively studied using an array of techniques.\(^{26,29-34}\) In particular, near-ambient pressure X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (NAP-XPS) is particularly well suited having been used to study the role of oxygen vacancies as water nucleation sites on rutile\(^{24}\) and anatase.\(^{35}\) Current understanding is that oxygen vacancies on the surface of anatase migrate to the subsurface\(^{35}\) where they are more stable and less reactive.\(^{36}\) Water dissociation occurring on the surface is enhanced at sites above a subsurface defect, which causes the binding to be more favourable.\(^{37}\)

This differs from rutile where oxygen defects are more common on the surface (compared with the subsurface), where they are stable and tend to remain.\(^{35,38}\) Water dissociation on rutile occurs directly at these surface oxygen vacancies.\(^{39}\) This difference, which has also been studied computationally,\(^{40}\) is thought to explain the relatively increased catalytic reactivity of the anatase polymorph compared with rutile as the defects, which can trap photoexcited charge carriers, are not so easily quenched by adsorbates, including water, if they remain subsurface.

In this study, highly defective rutile TiO\(_2\)(110) was prepared by Ar\(^+\) sputtering; the surface of which was heated in situ by exposure to liquid water in a NAP-XPS instrument. The resulting combination of a defect-free surface and highly defective subsurface is used as a platform for an XPS study of annealing-induced defect migration.

\section*{Method}

Measurements were taken using a SPECS DeviSim NAP-XPS instrument consisting of an ultra-high vacuum (UHV) preparation/analysis chamber and interchangeable NAP cells that can be docked onto the entrance of the Phoibos 150 NAP hemispherical analyser. Using this arrangement, described elsewhere,\(^{41}\) samples can be transferred between the NAP cell and the UHV chamber without breaking vacuum. The NAP cell used was equipped with a Peltier thermoelectric heater/cooler providing precise control of the sample temperature, in the \( \pm 50 \) K range, via a proportional integral derivative (PID) controller and a thermocouple mounted between on the sample plate beneath the crystal.

A rutile TiO\(_2\)(110) crystal (PI-KEM Ltd.) was cleaned in the UHV chamber by rounds of 1-kV argon ion sputtering and 800-K annealing.
until no evidence of contamination or surface defects were visible in the XPS. The sample was then sputtered at 2 kV for 10 min to create a highly defective surface and subsurface. The crystal was then transferred into the NAP cell where it was cooled to 275 K before 6 mbar of water (deionised using a Triple Red purification system and further degassed by freeze pump thaw cycles) was introduced. This pressure and temperature combination, corresponding to 100% relative humidity, produced a liquid film of water on the surface. After liquid water exposure, the NAP cell was pumped down and the sample returned to the UHV chamber (base pressure in the low 10⁻¹⁰ mbar range) where the sample was annealed in situ using an electron beam heater behind the sample plate. The temperature during annealing was measured using a thermocouple located at the edge of the sample plate (∼3 mm from the edge of the sample).

XPS was measured with a pass energy of 20 eV in the UHV chamber and 40 eV in the NAP cell. The geometry of the NAP cell allowed measurement at normal emission (NE). Measurements in UHV were taken at both NE (0°) and 70° grazing emission (GE). Monochromatic Al Kα X-rays (hν = 1486.6 eV) were used for all measurements and the binding energy scale was calibrated using the oxide lattice O 1s peak previously measured to have a binding energy of 530.05 eV.42 Peak binding energy scale was calibrated using the oxide lattice O 1s peak.

FIGURE 2 Ti 2p XPS of ‘clean’ (after UHV cleaning/annealing), ‘sputtered’ (after 2-keV Ar⁺ sputtering) and ‘water-exposed’ (after liquid water exposure) surfaces where peak fitting tracks the behaviour of the Ti oxidation states. All three surfaces were measured in normal emission (NE). Additionally, the ‘water-exposed’ surface was measured at the more surface-sensitive angle of 70° grazing emission (GE)

3 | RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Figure 2 shows Ti 2p XPS measurements of rutile TiO₂(110) after three successive surface preparations/modifications: ‘clean’ (after UHV cleaning as described above), ‘sputtered’ (after 2-keV Ar⁺ sputtering) and ‘water exposed’ (returned to UHV after liquid water exposure). The ‘clean’ spectrum shows a doublet attributed to Ti⁴⁺ with a trace of residual Ti³⁺ defects, which are visible as a shoulder at the lower binding energy side of Ti⁴⁺.20 After sputtering, a complex series of lower binding energy features are present in the spectra (sputtered) extending down to ∼453 eV, which we have curve fitted to four spin-orbit doublets attributed to lower Ti oxidation states (Ti³⁺, Ti²⁺, Ti¹⁺) formed by the removal of oxygen atoms producing defects that extend nanometres into the surface.25,44 This highly defective sample was subsequently exposed to liquid water with the aim of healing the defects at the very surface of the crystal (the in situ data collected during this process are included in the supporting information). The resulting spectrum (water-exposed NE) in Figure 2 shows that the additional Ti oxidation states decrease in relative intensity. This preparation method repeatedly produced very similar defect concentrations; measurements showing this repeatability are included in the supporting information.

The surface sensitivity of XPS can be varied by changing the angle of the sample with respect to the spectrometer.45 We predict the escape depth of Ti 2p photoelectrons at NE (0°) and GE (70°) to be ∼7 and ∼2 nm, respectively, calculated by considering the inelastic mean free path (IMFP) needed to attenuate the substrate signal by 95% (method described elsewhere46). The NE and GE traces in Figure 2 therefore indicate that the water-exposed surface is less defective than the bulk as, when compared with the NE data, the more surface-sensitive GE spectrum (water-exposed GE) shows fewer defect states relative to the Ti⁴⁺. This conclusion is consistent with an independent study where defects at the surface were healed more readily than those subsurface.47 The defect states are not expected to disappear completely in the GE spectrum presented in Figure 2 as the calculated information depth is still ∼2 nm and it is assumed that water exposure only heals defects directly accessible on the surface.

Annealing this ‘water-healed’ sample in UHV produces the data shown in Figure 3, which provides information about how the defect states change as a function of time and temperature. During the first 3 min of annealing, the defect states increase in intensity. After which we see the defect concentration slowly diminish until the spectrum is dominated by Ti⁴⁺, which has occurred by the time the temperature approaches 700 K.

For better understanding, five individual spectra have been extracted from Figure 3 at points labelled (a)-(e). These are plotted, alongside the corresponding O 1s spectra, in Figure 4 where curve fitting allows the spectral contribution of the different Ti oxidation states to be determined. The peak located at 458.5 eV corresponds to the Ti 2p⁰/₂ of the Ti³⁺ oxidation state.48 The three peaks located at 457.2, 455.9 and 454.6 eV are attributed to the Ti²⁺, Ti¹⁺ and Ti° oxidation states, respectively. The corresponding Ti 2p⁰/₂ components were determined in the fitting procedure; a fixed spin-orbit splitting
of 5.70 eV led to Ti 2p\textsubscript{1/2} components with relative areas of 0.45 ± 0.03 compared with the corresponding Ti 2p\textsubscript{3/2} components. The Ti\textsuperscript{3+}, Ti\textsuperscript{2+} and Ti\textsuperscript{1+} peaks are likely to be broadened with a rather complex line shape due to multiplet splitting.\textsuperscript{49} We have opted to keep the peak fitting as simple as possible and not modelled the multiplet splitting, which in reality will lead to an asymmetric broadening of the Ti\textsuperscript{3+}, Ti\textsuperscript{2+} and Ti\textsuperscript{1+} on the higher BE side and the intensity of the multiplet peak is likely very small in comparison with the main peak so likely only makes a small difference to the quantitative analysis we present.

During the first ~3 min, the features attributed to Ti\textsuperscript{3+} are observed to grow during annealing as shown in Figure 4a,b. Beyond this point, starting from \( t \approx 5 \text{ min} \) (Figure 4c, 490 K), the intensity of the lower oxidation states has decreased and continues to decrease as time elapses and the temperature increases.

The O 1s spectra in Figure 4 are fitted with three peaks. The peak at 530.05 eV is attributed to lattice oxygen, whereas the features located at 1.3 and 2.6 eV higher binding energy correspond to adsorbed hydroxide and water, respectively. The relative positions of these features are consistent with a previous study of a water-exposed rutile TiO\textsubscript{2}(110) surface.\textsuperscript{24} The intensity of the adsorbed hydroxide and water features remains comparable during the first two spectra (a,b). In spectrum c, at \( t \approx 5 \text{ min} \) and \( T \approx 490 \text{ K} \), we start to see a loss of absorbed water. By the fourth spectra d at \( t \approx 17 \text{ min} \) and \( T \approx 620 \text{ K} \), the water is no longer observed and the OH peak has started to diminish. Finally, by \( t \approx 38 \text{ min} \) and \( T \approx 700 \text{ K} \) (spectrum e), we see no hydroxide or absorbed water features. This is consistent with previous measurements of water-exposed TiO\textsubscript{2} surfaces showing that hydroxide and adsorbed water do not desorb below 550 K.\textsuperscript{47} It is noteworthy that we do not see a reduction of the hydroxide/water features at lower temperatures perhaps implying that the water is not playing a dramatic role healing any Ti\textsuperscript{3+} defects that may have migrated to the surface, potentially as physisorbed water is not kinetically active enough when compared with the gas and liquid phases, which are known to heal defects.

Figure 5 quantitatively shows how the relative concentration of the different titanium oxidation states changes during annealing (plotted as a function of time and temperature). The plot has been split into three regions, labelled A to C, to aid discussion. We do emphasise, however, that the boundaries between these regions are likely not distinct and the mechanisms dominating the behaviour in the regions may overlap. The entire experiment, where we healed the defective surface through water exposure followed by tracking the defects while annealing, was repeated with in situ XPS measurements at a more surface-sensitive grazing emission angle. These data are included in the supporting information for comparison, showing the same trends in defect concentrations occurring at similar temperatures.

In region A, that is, during the first ~3 min, Figure 5 shows a decrease in Ti\textsuperscript{4+} concentration with a corresponding increase in Ti\textsuperscript{3+}, whereas the contribution of lower oxidation states remains constant. This implies that at low annealing temperatures, Ti\textsuperscript{3+} defects are likely diffusing from the subsurface to the surface until the concentration
FIGURE 5  Defect concentration, extracted from peak fitting of Ti 2p normal emission XPS data (examples in Figure 4) as a function of time and temperature. Shaded regions around the data points indicate an estimated error bar calculated from the standard deviation of the fit residual. Regions A to C represent stages of the annealing process as discussed in the text. Arrow indicated label (a)–(e) corresponds to the spectra presented in Figure 4.

Gradient between the surface and subsurface is minimised as the Ti4+ reaches a minima at ~3 min.

In region B, between ~3 and ~6 min, we observe that the Ti4+ concentration dramatically decrease, whereas the Ti3+ and Ti2+ increase proportionally. The Ti2+ component remains constant. This could perhaps be consistent with progressive conversion of Ti4+ → Ti3+ → Ti2+ → Ti+ if the Ti4+ → Ti3+ and Ti2+ → Ti+ conversions have comparable rates; alternatively, intermediate oxidation states may be skipped. Regardless, region B represents a dramatic transition with an activation energy corresponding to a temperature of ~490 K where Ti↓ is no longer stable.

In region C, beyond ~6 min, the Ti4+ concentration approaches 0%, the Ti2+ and Ti3+ begin to gradually and constantly decline at a comparable rate, whereas the Ti4+ increases accordingly (with a slower rate than in region B). We attribute this regime to the gradual diffusion of oxygen vacancies and Ti interstitials between the crystal bulk and surface/subsurface layers eventually resulting in a essentially defect free spectrum. Towards the higher annealing temperatures, where the bridging oxygen atoms are no longer hydrogen terminated, it is possible that residual water in the vacuum chamber may contribute to the healing of surface defects via the water dissociation mechanisms discussed in Section 1.31,34,50.

Although it appears notable that the rapid depletion of Ti4+ observed in region B is not observed for Ti3+ or Ti2+, it is worth emphasising that the rate of heating is much greater at the start of annealing: to an approximation, the temperature change during regions A and B (~6 min) is comparable with the ~30 min elapsed in region C. The results presented here are therefore unable to separate the effects of annealing temperature and time. We therefore suggest that there would be great value in an investigation of the phenomena observed here using an instrument designed for accurate temperature-controlled XPS measurements to disentangle the thermodynamics from the kinetics and provide more accurate transition temperatures. In addition, a similar study on anatase, where it is favourable for defects to remain subsurface, would make an interesting comparison.

4 | CONCLUSIONS

Defective rutile TiO2(110), prepared using Ar+ sputtering, was exposed to liquid water, which hydroxylates the surface oxygen vacancies created by sputtering. The result was a sample with a highly defective subsurface coexisting with a defect free surface, providing a platform for annealing-induced migration of titanium defects to be studied using XPS. We observe at low annealing temperatures an increase in Ti3+ concentration, which is attributed to migration of Ti3+ to the previously defect-free surface. A rapid and distinct depletion of Ti4+ is observed around 500 K with a corresponding increase in Ti3+ quickly followed by Ti2+, which is tentatively explained by progressive conversion of Ti4+ defects to Ti3+ via intermediate oxidation states. At higher annealing temperatures, we observe the gradual depletion of the remaining defects, likely through established titanium interstitial and oxygen vacancy diffusion mechanisms. The experimental evidence of defects migrating to the surface of rutile at low annealing temperatures is an important consideration for the industrial application of titania catalysts, and the methodology presented here provides a useful tool for studying the kinetics and thermodynamics of defect migration in a range of materials.
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