ABSTRACT

Introduction Osteoporosis (OP) has been defined as a degenerative bone disease characterised by low bone mass and microstructural deterioration of bone tissue, leading to fragility and an increased risk of fractures, especially of the hip, spine and wrist. Exercise has been shown to benefit the maintenance of bone health and improvement of muscle strength, balance and coordination, thereby reducing the risk of falls and fractures. However, prior findings regarding the optimal types and regimens of exercise for treating low bone mineral density (BMD) in elderly people are not consistent. As an important component of traditional Chinese Qigong exercises, Tai Chi (TC) is an ancient art and science of healthcare derived from the martial arts. The objective of this study is to attempt to conduct a systematic review and meta-analysis of the existing studies on TC exercise as an intervention for the prevention or treatment of OP in elderly adults and to draw more useful conclusions regarding the safety and the effectiveness of TC in preventing or treating OP.

Methods and analysis Eight electronic databases (Science Citation Index, PubMed Database, Embase (Ovid) Database, the Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials, and Chinese databases, including Chinese BioMedical Database, China National Knowledge Infrastructure, Wanfang database and the Chongqing VIP Chinese Science and Technology Periodical Database) will be searched from the beginning of each database to 1 April 2018. Potential outcomes of interest will include rates of fractures or falls, BMD at the total hip and the total spine, bone formation biomarkers, bone resorption biomarkers, bone biemarkers, health-related quality of life and adverse events. Only randomised controlled trials comparing TC exercise against each other or non-intervention will be included. The Cochrane risk of bias assessment tool will be used for quality assessment.

Ethics and dissemination Ethical approval is not required as the study will be a review of existing studies. This review may help to elucidate whether TC exercise is effective for the prevention or treatment of OP in elderly adults. The findings of the study will be published in a peer-reviewed publication and will be disseminated electronically or in print. We will share the findings in the fourth quarter of 2018.

Trial registration number CRD42018084950.
resulting in an increased number of elderly citizens.\(^3\) As a result, it is of substantial importance to detect optimised prevention or treatment for OP. Since drug therapy is an expensive option with uncertain consequences and may be associated with adverse effects including arthralgia myalgia instance or fever,\(^4\) non-pharmacological therapy offers an attractive alternative.\(^7\) One of the most effective prevention strategies for the prevention or treatment of OP is exercise.\(^3\) Exercise has been shown to benefit in the maintenance of bone health and improvement of muscle strength, balance and coordination,\(^9\) thereby reducing the risk of falls and fractures. A number of randomised controlled trials (RCTs) have shown that exercise can decrease age-related losses in bone mineral density (BMD) at the hip and spine in elderly adults.\(^10-12\) However, documented evidence of the optimal types and regimens of exercise for treating low BMD in elderly people is not consistent.

As an important component of traditional Chinese Qigong exercises, Tai Chi (TC) is an ancient art and science of healthcare derived from the martial arts. To date, TC has developed into several styles, including ‘Wu’, ‘Yang’, ‘Chen’ or ‘Sun’ styles, which features gentle, smooth, coordinated and flowing movements of different body parts accompanied by deep breathing and mental concentration.\(^13\) As an exercise for helping the healthy, TC has attracted considerable attention in the rehabilitation and geriatric community both in China and in Western countries.\(^14\) A substantial number of studies have reported that TC has been considered safe and effective for treating low BMD, promoting bone health and reducing the risk of fracture.\(^15-18\) At present, systematic reviews on TC for the prevention or treatment of OP still depend on literature before 2008. Furthermore, the results from the only two systematic reviews examining TC for OP are in English and have inconsistent results. The 2007 systematic review by Wayne et al including six controlled studies, suggested that TC-naive women who participated in TC training exhibited reduced rates of postmenopausal declines in BMD.\(^19\) but the 2008 review by Lee et al including five RCTs, and two controlled clinical trials indicated that no significant effect of TC on BMD changed at the spine compared with no treatment in postmenopausal women.\(^20\) A recent systematic review by Chang et al in 2014 suggested that TC had a significant and positive effect on balance,\(^21\) but the evidence for the direct effects of TC on BMD was not reviewed. In addition, the evidence for TC on the prevention or treatment of OP is not convincing because of limited rigorous research. The objective of the current study is to attempt to conduct a systematic review and meta-analysis of the existing studies on TC exercise as an intervention for the prevention or treatment of OP in elderly adults to draw more useful conclusions about the safety and the effectiveness of TC in preventing or treating OP.

**OBJECTIVES**

This study is designed to conduct a systematic assessment of the effectiveness and safety of TC for the prevention and treatment of OP in elderly adults.

**METHODS AND ANALYSIS**

This systematic review protocol is, where appropriate, in line with the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis (PRISMA) Protocols checklist.\(^22\)

**Eligibility criteria**

**Participants**

The target population was elderly adults aged 60 years or older with no distinction of sex or ethnicity, diagnosed with or without OP (as diagnosed using any recognised diagnostic criteria).

**Patient and public involvement**

This is the protocol for a systematic review and there is no patient and public involvement.

**Interventions**

Only RCTs comparing TC exercise against others, such as sedentary lifestyle, exercise, pharmacological treatment or calcium supplements, will be included. Any type of TC exercise will be included, regardless of the exercise frequency and duration.

**Outcomes**

Potential outcomes of interest are at least among the following:

**Primary outcomes**

1. Rates of OP-related fractures or falls;
2. BMD at the total hip and the total spine;
3. Bone formation biomarkers, such as serum bone-specific alkaline phosphatase and osteocalcin;
4. Bone resorption biomarkers, such as serum and urinary pyridinoline, type I collagen cross-linked N-telopeptides and C-telopeptides;
5. Bone biomarkers, such as parathyroid hormone and 1,25-(OH)2 vitamin D\(^3\);
6. Calcium concentrations in the serum and urine of study subjects.

**Secondary outcomes**

1. Health-related quality of life measurements using validated tools, such as Euroqol-5D\(^23\) or the Medical Outcomes Study 36-item Short Form Health Survey\(^24\);
2. Adverse effects: any adverse events associated with the use of TC for treatment or prevention.

**Information sources and literature search**

Electronic database searches will be conducted using the following databases: Science Citation Index, PubMed Database, Embase (Ovid) Database, the Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials (Cochrane Library, current issue) and four Chinese databases will be searched,
namely, the Chinese BioMedical Database, the China National Knowledge Infrastructure, the Chongqing VIP Chinese Science and Technology Periodical Database and Wanfang Database. Each database will be searched from the beginning to 1 April 2018. In addition, the references of all relevant reviews will be hand-searched for further relevant studies. The search strategies will be developed using medical subject headings and text words related to the prevention or treatment of OP in older adults. The search terms will include “Tai Ji,” “bone mineral density” and “osteoporosis.” The detailed search strategy in each database can be found in the online supplementary file, and search results will be presented in a meta-analyses (PRISMA) flow diagram. Due to time and resource limitations, only English and Chinese studies will be included and Chinese studies will be translated into English.

**Study selection process**

Studies will be selected when (1) the design was an RCT; (2) the study population was elderly adults aged 60 years or older; (3) one of the interventions was a form of TC; (4) relevant outcomes such as rates of OP-related fractures or falls, BMD or bone biomarkers were assessed. Two authors (WQM and MMH) will review all of the titles and abstracts yielded in the search against the inclusion criteria independently and in duplicate to assess the eligibility of the searched studies. The full text of the articles and the relevant references that pass the first eligibility screening will be obtained to make a final selection of the studies included for the review. Any conflicts of study identification will be resolved by discussion, for example, through plenary meetings or discussions by email or will be decided by a third reviewer (XYH). If necessary, we will contact the study author for additional information regarding the study. In addition, the reasons for study exclusion will be documented. A flow chart of study selection is shown in figure 1.

**Data items and data collection process**

For studies fulfilling the inclusion criteria, data extraction will be completed independently by two reviewers (MMH and XCL) using a simple, standardised form that will describe the study characteristics. The primary headings will include basic information, population characteristics, methodological description, intervention characteristics and both baseline, postintervention and follow-up outcomes. The detailed data extraction form will include the following:

- Basic information (study design, title, name of authors, publication status, publication date, country, sample size, source of funding);
- Population characteristics (number of participant, mean age, proportion of each gender, with or without OP, diagnostic criteria of OP if reported);
Methodological description (method of randomisation, method of concealment of allocation, blinding, losses to follow-up);

Intervention characteristics (type and period of intervention, routes of administration, profession delivering the intervention);

Outcome data (rates of OP-related fractures or falls, BMD, bone biomarkers, quality of life).

Extracted data will be checked by WQM and any discrepancy will be resolved by discussion or will be decided by a third reviewer (XYH).

Methodological quality/risk of bias appraisal

All risk of bias of the included studies assessments will be performed independently by two reviewers (MMH, XCL), with disagreements being resolved by discussion or a third reviewer (JZ) if necessary. Reviewers will not assess risk of bias of studies on which they were authors. We will apply the Cochrane Risk of Bias Assessment Tool which assesses against six potential sources of bias (adequate sequence generation, concealment of allocation, blinding of outcomes, adequately addressed incomplete outcome data, free from selective reporting and other sources of bias) and rate each criterion for each study as a low risk of bias, a high risk of bias or an unclear risk of bias. Overall, the quality assessment will consider the following aspects:

- Adequate sequence generation: whether the allocation sequence was generated appropriately;
- Concealment of allocation: whether study participants and research staff were unaware of the intervention given at the enrolment stage;
- Blinding of outcomes: whether the personnel assessing outcomes and analysing the data were blinded to the intervention allocated;
- Adequately addressed incomplete outcome data: were incomplete outcome data adequately reported in the published study?
- Free from selective reporting: whether the outcomes were reported selectively;
- Other sources of bias: whether the study was apparently free of any other high risk of bias (such as funding and potential for conflict of interest).

Meta-analysis will be performed only using studies regarded as suitably homogeneous by Review Manager Software V.5.2. If a meta-analysis is not possible, the results from clinically comparable trials will be performed descriptively. Effect sizes expressed as relative risks with 95% CIs for categorical variables, and mean differences (if possible) or standardised mean differences (if necessary due to different measurement scales) for continuous data with 95% CIs will be reported. Heterogeneity of each outcome will be assessed statistically using the \( \chi^2 \) test and Higgins I2 statistic. If there is no heterogeneity (>0.1), a fixed effects model will be presented in meta-analysis, otherwise a random effects model will be applied. If significant statistical heterogeneity (ie, <0.1 or I2 statistic >60%) are detected, meta-regressions and subgroup analyses will be further explored after first considering the following factors: study population and methodology, intervention and statistical heterogeneity).

Reporting of the review

The results of the systematic review will be reported in accordance with the PRISMA guidelines.

Confidence in cumulative evidence

The quantitative outcomes will be summarised in a ‘Summary of findings’ table. In this case, each undesirable impact on our outcomes will be assessed using an approach based on the Grading of Recommendations Assessment, Development and Evaluation. The assessments will be categorised as high, moderate, low and very low quality.

Acknowledgements

The authors would especially like to thank Zheng Guo-hua, PhD for editing this manuscript.

Contributors

XYH designed the study protocol and drafted the manuscript. MMH wrote the manuscript, JZ and XCL checked the manuscript. WQM revised and finalised the study protocol. All authors contributed to drafting the manuscript and have read and approved the final manuscript.

Funding

This work was supported by the Jingdezhen City Hospital of Traditional Chinese Medicine and will be supported by the Jingdezhen City Hospital of Traditional Chinese Medicine in developing the protocol.

Competing interests

None declared.

Patient consent

Obtained.

Provenance and peer review

Not commissioned; externally peer reviewed.

Open Access

This is an Open Access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution Non Commercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited and the use is non-commercial. See: http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/

© Article author(s) (or their employer(s) unless otherwise stated in the text of the article) 2018. All rights reserved. No commercial use is permitted unless otherwise expressly granted.

REFERENCES

1. NIH Consensus Development Panel on Osteoporosis Prevention, Diagnosis, and Therapy. Osteoporosis prevention, diagnosis, and therapy. JAMA 2001;285:785–95.
2. Burge R, Dawson-Hughes B, Solomon DH, et al. Incidence and economic burden of osteoporosis-related fractures in the United States, 2005-2025. *J Bone Miner Res* 2007;22:465–75.

3. Poliaikova I, Simakova ES, Sivordova LE, et al. [Osteoporosis in men: current issues]. *Adv Gerontol* 2015;28:77–9.

4. Masaryková L, Fulmeková M, Lehocká L, et al. [The quality of life of patients suffering from the osteoporosis]. *Ceska Slov Farm* 2015;64:72–8.

5. Tarantino U, Iolascon G, Cianferotti L, et al. [The effects of Tai Chi on bone strength, bone mineral density, and fear of falling in women with osteoarthritis]. *J Altern Complement Med* 2010;3:227–33.

6. Kotian P, Boloor A, Sreenivasan S. Study of adverse effect profile of balance, muscle strength, and perceived difficulties in physical functioning in older women with osteoarthritis: a randomized clinical trial. *J Rheumatol* 2003;30:2039–44.

7. Maciaszek J, Osiński W, Szekicki R, et al. Effect of Tai Chi on body balance: randomized controlled trial in men with osteopenia or osteoporosis. *Am J Chin Med* 2007:35:1–9.

8. Song R, Roberts BL, Lee E-O, et al. A randomized study of the effects of Tai Chi on muscle strength, bone mineral density, and fear of falling in women with osteoarthritis. *J Altern Complement Med* 2010;3:227–33.

9. Wayne PM, Kiel DP, Krebs DE, et al. The effects of Tai Chi on bone mineral density in postmenopausal women: a systematic review. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil* 2007;88:673–80.

10. Lee MS, Pittler MH, Shin BC, et al. Tai chi for osteoporosis: a systematic review. *Osteoporos Int* 2008;19:139–46.

11. Chang TJ, Ting YT, Sheu SL, et al. [Effects of tai chi in postmenopausal women with osteoporosis: a systematic review]. *Hu Li Za Zhi* 2014;61:75–84.

12. Shamseer L, Moher D, Clarke M, et al. Preferred reporting items for systematic review and meta-analyses (PRISMA) 2015: elaboration and explanation. *BMJ* 2015;349:g7647.

13. Rabin R, de Charro F. EQ-5D: a measure of health status from the EuroQol Group. *Ann Med* 2001;33:337–43.

14. Qu B, Guo HQ, Liu J, et al. Reliability and validity testing of the SF-36 questionnaire for the evaluation of the quality of life of Chinese urban construction workers. *J Int Med Res* 2009;37:1184–90.

15. Moher D, Liberati A, Tetzlaff J, et al. Preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses: the PRISMA statement. *Int J Surg* 2010;8:336–41.

16. Higgins JPT, Green S, eds. Cochrane handbook for systematic reviews of interventions version 5.3.0: The Cochrane Collaboration, 2015. http://handbook-5-3.cochrane.org/ (updated Oct 2015).

17. Lau J, Ioannidis JP, Schmid CH. Quantitative synthesis in systematic reviews. *Ann Intern Med* 1997;127:820–6.

18. Higgins JP, Thompson SG, Deeks JJ, et al. Measuring inconsistency in meta-analyses. *BMJ* 2003;327:557–60.

19. Higgins JP, Thompson SG. Quantifying heterogeneity in a meta-analysis. *Stat Med* 2002;21:1539–58.

20. Moher D, Liberati A, Tetzlaff J, et al. Preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses: the PRISMA statement. *PloS Med* 2009;6:e1000097.

21. Atkins D, Best D, Briss PA, et al. GRADE Working Group. Grading quality of evidence and strength of recommendations. *BMJ* 2004;328:1490.