Coronal condensation as the source of transition-region supersonic downflows above a sunspot
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\textbf{ABSTRACT}

\textbf{Context.} Plasma loops or plumes rooted in sunspot umbrae often harbor downflows with speeds of $100 \text{ km s}^{-1}$. These downflows are supersonic at transition region temperatures of $0.1 \text{ MK}$. The source of these flows is not well understood.

\textbf{Aims.} We aim to investigate the source of sunspot supersonic downflows (SSDs) in active region (AR) 12740 using simultaneous spectroscopic and imaging observations.

\textbf{Methods.} We identified SSD events from multiple raster scans of a sunspot by the \textit{Interface Region Imaging Spectrograph}, and we calculated the electron densities, mass fluxes, and velocities of these SSDs. The extreme-ultraviolet (EUV) images provided by the \textit{Terrestrial Relations Observatory} (STEREO) were employed to investigate the origin of these SSDs and their associated coronal rain.

\textbf{Results.} Almost all the identified SSDs appear at the footpoints of sunspot plumes and are temporally associated with the appearance of chromospheric bright dots inside the sunspot umbra. Dual-perspective EUV imaging observations reveal a large-scale closed magnetic loop system spanning the sunspot region and a remote region. We observed that the SSDs are caused by repeated coronal rain that forms and flows along these closed magnetic loops toward the sunspot. One episode of coronal rain clearly indicates that reconnection near a coronal X-shaped structure first leads to the formation of a magnetic dip. Subsequently, hot coronal plasma catastrophically cools from $2 \text{ MK}$ in the dip region via thermal instability. This results in the formation of a transient prominence in the dip, from which the cool gas mostly slides into the sunspot along inclined magnetic fields under the gravity. This drainage process manifests as a continuous rain flow, which lasts for $\sim 2 \text{ hrs}$ and concurrently results in a nearly steady SSD event. The total mass of condensation $(1.3 \times 10^{14} \text{ g})$ and condensation rate $(1.5 \times 10^{10} \text{ g s}^{-1})$ in the dip region were found to be sufficient to sustain this long-lived SSD event, which has a mass transport rate of $0.7 - 1.2 \times 10^{10} \text{ g s}^{-1}$.

\textbf{Conclusions.} Our results demonstrate that coronal condensation in magnetic dips can result in the quasi-steady sunspot supersonic downflows.
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\section{Introduction}

High-resolution imaging and spectroscopic observations in the extreme-ultraviolet (EUV) and far-ultraviolet (FUV) passbands have revealed many types of fine structures and dynamic events in the upper atmosphere above sunspots (see a recent review by Tian 2017). One type of such phenomena are downflows harbored by plasma loops rooted in sunspots. These flows were first discovered as strongly redshifted secondary emission peaks at supersonic speeds in the transition region (TR) spectra in the 1980s (e.g., Dere 1982; Nicolas et al. 1982; Brekke et al. 1990; Gurman 1993).

Early observations revealed that these sunspot supersonic downflows (SSDs) are quasi-steady and that they tend to form at the footpoints of sunspot plumes (Kjeldseth-Moe et al. 1988; Brynildsen et al. 2001, 2004). With the launch of the \textit{Interface Region Imaging Spectrograph} (IRIS, De Pontieu et al. 2014), SSDs in TR lines have recently received more and more attention. A statistical investigation by Samanta et al. (2018) revealed the following: (1) SSDs commonly exist in the majority (80\%) of sunspots; (2) apart from redshifts in TR spectral lines, half of the SSD events have strong redshifted signatures also in chromospheric spectral lines. Thus, these SSDs likely play an important role in the chromosphere-corona mass cycle of the sunspot atmosphere. In TR spectral observations of IRIS, SSDs are found to be often associated with two types of spectra: (1) spectral lines that exhibit a broad enhancement at the red wings (e.g., Kleint et al. 2014; Ishikawa et al. 2020) or (2) spectral lines with a secondary emission peak that clearly separates from the near primary component (e.g., Tian et al. 2014; Straus et al. 2015; Nelson et al. 2020a,b). These above-mentioned studies show that the Doppler velocity, electron density, and mass flux of TR SSDs are generally in the range of $50 - 200 \text{ km s}^{-1}$, $10^{7.48} - 10^{10.86} \text{ cm}^{-3}$, and $10^{-7.5} - 10^{-5} \text{ cm}^2 \text{s}^{-1}$, respectively. These SSDs can eventually impart their energy into the lower atmosphere of sunspots as their speeds decrease from the supersonic to a subsonic state (Straus et al. 2015; Chitta et al. 2016; Schad et al. 2021).

Considering the time variation of their associated TR spectra, SSDs could be intermittent or long-lived. Intermittent SSDs, such as those observed by Kleint et al. (2014), have been linked
to impulsive coronal rain (Ishikawa et al. 2020) that may result from coronal condensation in the coronal part of the loops via thermal nonequilibrium (TNE, Antiochos & Klimchuk 1991; Klimchuk & Luna 2019) and/or thermal instability (Parker 1953; Field 1965). The bursty (on the order of 20 s) behavior of their spectra is naturally explained by the intermittent and clumpy character of coronal rain, as often seen in simulations (e.g., Müller et al. 2003, 2004) and observations (e.g., Antolin et al. 2015). The origin of the long-lived and steady SSDs is less clear. In their spectra, the intensities and Doppler velocities of the downflow components often remain relatively stable on a timescale of ~1 hour (Tian et al. 2014; Straus et al. 2015), meaning that a substantial and stable mass supply is required to sustain such SSDs. Straus et al. (2015) and Chitta et al. (2016) made a rough calculation and found that if all the material comes from a usual quiescent coronal loop undergoing catastrophic cooling near its apex, it would not have enough plasma to sustain these steady downflows. Hence, these authors speculated that these long-lived steady SSDs may be fed by siphon flows from the other (conjugate) footpoint.

The measured densities and velocities of long-lived steady SSDs are similar to those of coronal rain events (e.g., Schrijver 2001; Antolin & Rouppe van der Voort 2012a; Antolin et al. 2012b, 2015; Straus et al. 2015). Using chromospheric observations at a sub-arcsecond spatial resolution, Antolin et al. (2015) found that in AR closed coronal loops, intermittent coronal rain clumps can be reshaped as more persistent and continuous rain flows at chromospheric heights, perhaps due to funneling of magnetic fields loops. These clues imply the possibility that both the intermittent and stable SSDs might have a common origin: flows of condensation forming above sunspots due to thermal instability and/or TNE.

Li et al. (2018) reported one category of quiescent coronal rain on the solar limb, where magnetic reconnection facilitates coronal condensation occurring in high-lying magnetic structures via the formation of magnetic dips. Compared to the traditional condensation models (e.g., Mikić et al. 2013; Fang et al. 2015; Xia & Keppens 2016), which are based primarily on TNE and/or thermal instability in usual coronal loops, the coronal rain scenario of Li et al. (2018) highlights the important role of reconnection or reconfiguration of field lines in the onset of coronal condensation. With the presence of magnetic dips, plasma condensed in the hot corona via thermal instability and/or TNE can accumulate as (transient) solar prominences or filaments. In this process, cool plasma can drain to the solar surface along the legs of newly reconnect field lines, forming persistent and continuous coronal rain flows. Although the source of hotter material that initially fed the observed condensations remains unclear, a series of similar observations revealed that continuous coronal rain flows from such condensations can persist over several and up to ten hours (e.g., Li et al. 2019, 2020). If such long-lasting rain flows persistently fall into a sunspot along coronal loops, it might result in long-lived steady SSDs.

Recently, a He i spectropolarimetric study of SSDs from Schad et al. (2021) further supports this hypothesis. In their observations, after a coronal catastrophic cooling event occurring above a large sunspot, a cloud-like filament soon forms at the apex (or dips) of an active-region magnetic loop system, and some portion of cool material subsequently drains toward the sunspot as blob- and stream-like rain flows, causing a chromospheric SSD event in simultaneous spectral observations (see also Schad et al. 2016). However, their single (32-minute) slit scan at He i 10830 Å triplet impeded them to address the time variation of SSD spectra at different spectral lines.

Here, we investigate a series of SSD events above NOAA active region (AR) 12740, using observations from IRIS, the New Vacuum Solar Telescope (NVST; Liu et al. 2014), the Solar Dynamics Observatory (SDO), and Solar Terrestrial Relations Observatory (STEREO, Wuelscher et al. 2004) on 2019 May 8–9. The joint observations obtained from multiple vantage points make them ideal ones for an investigation of the origin of SSDs. We find that these SSDs are closely related to repeated coronal rain activities and provide strong evidence that reconnection-facilitated coronal condensation in high-lying magnetic dips can induce the long-lived steady SSD event. We describe the observational data in Section 2, present the main results in Section 3, and discuss our results in Section 4. A brief conclusion is given in Section 5.

2. Observations and data

2.1. IRIS rasters and spectra

IRIS has one near-ultraviolet (NUV; 2782.7–2835.1 Å) and two far-ultraviolet channels (FUV; 1331.7–1358.4 Å and 1389.0–1407.0 Å), which can provide simultaneous images and spectra of the temperature minimum, chromosphere, TR, and corona (De Pontieu et al. 2014). From 2019 May 7–8, IRIS observed NOAA AR 12740 near the disk center and performed five repeated raster scans. The field of view (FOV) of the slit-jaw images (SJI) for each raster is shown in Fig. 1 (a). We note that the first four repeats were taken in the very large dense 320-step raster mode, while the last episode was taken in the four-step raster mode (hereafter, we term them as Rasters 1-5, respectively). More information of these raster scans is listed in Table 1.

To identify and characterize SSDs we employed the calibrated Level-2 IRIS spectral data, which has already been processed with dark current subtraction, flat fielding, orbital variation, and geometrical corrections (De Pontieu et al. 2014). The following spectral lines were used in this study: (1) Si iv 1394/1403 Å and O iv 1400/1401 Å lines formed in the TR with a typical formation temperature of around 0.08 and 0.15 MK, respectively; (2) Mg ii l 1283 Å and Mg ii k 2796 Å lines with a formation temperature of 0.01 MK in the chromosphere; and (3) C ii 1334/1335 Å lines with a formation temperature of around 0.25 MK, in the upper chromosphere or lower TR. Spectral lines from nearby neutral and singly ionized atoms, such as C i, O i, Ni ii, S i, Mn i, in a quiet-Sun region were used for the absolute wavelength calibration (e.g., Tian et al. 2016; Hou et al. 2016).

2.2. Imaging observations from the NVST, SDO, and STEREO-A

To investigate the atmospheric response of SSDs at different heights, we also analyzed data from imaging observations with the NVST, SDO, IRIS, and STEREO-A. NVST is a 1-m ground-based vacuum solar telescope in China, which can observe fine structures in the lower atmosphere of the Sun (Yan et al. 2020). On 2019 May 8, NVST tracked the AR 12740 during the period of 01:10 – 05:03 UT and provided Level 1 high-resolution images of the Hα 6562.8 Å, Hα line wings at ± 0.4 Å, and TiO.
These images were calibrated through dark current and flat field corrections, and were further reconstructed by a speckle masking method (Xiang et al. 2016). In addition, EUV images from the Atmospheric Imaging Assembly (AIA; Lemen et al. 2012) onboard the SDO and 1400 Å slit-jaw images from the IRIS were utilized. All these images were co-aligned by finding common features observed in different channels. In addition, the 195 Å, 304 Å, and 171 Å images from the Extreme Ultraviolet Imager (EUVI; Wuelser et al. 2004) onboard the STEREO-A spacecraft were also analyzed to investigate the SSD-related coronal activity from a different perspective (see Fig. 1 (b)). The spatial resolution of all the images involved is given as follows: NVST Hα images ∼0.165″, NVST TIO images ∼0.052″, AIA EUV images ∼1.5″, IRIS slitjaw images ∼0.4″, and STEREO EUVI images ∼2.5″.

3. Analysis and results

3.1. Sunspot supersonic downflows detected by IRIS

The spatiotemporal nature and spectral diagnosis of SSDs are respectively given in this subsection.

3.1.1. Spatial extent and temporal evolution

As mentioned above, from 2019 May 7 to 8, IRIS detected clear spectral signatures of SSDs through five raster scans as the slit scanned the sunspot region. As presented in Fig. 1 (c) and its associated animation, the Mg ii k and h2 images reconstructed from the 320-step raster scans of IRIS in Fig. 1 (c) reveal that elongated coronal rain flows falling into the sunspot. One example of SSD events is shown in Figs. 1 (d-g). We can see that the SSDs manifest themselves as obvious emission enhancements in the red wings of C ii, Si iv, O iv, and Mg ii lines. In Fig. 2 (a), an overview of the sunspot region at different atmospheric layers is presented. We note that the sunspot can be divided into two parts by a developing light bridge. For brevity, we term the eastern (western) part of the umbra as region A (B) hereafter.

Through scrutinizing IRIS spectra, we found clear signatures of SSD events occurring in both regions A and B. At a temperature of 0.08 MK, that is to say, in the source region of Si iv, the sound speed is on the order of 50 km s⁻¹. This underlines that the velocities found in the SSDs are very high. In Table 1, we list the time periods during which SSDs were detected. To examine the spatial extent and temporal evolution of SSDs, for each raster we summed the red wing of the Si iv 1403 Å line profile over the spectral positions with Doppler shifts larger than 50 km s⁻¹ and smaller than 300 km s⁻¹, and we obtained a map of the downflow intensity. Figure 2 (b) presents such maps for Rasters 1-4. Regarding the spatial structures of SSDs, a strand-like morphology is found in both regions A and B (see similar features also in Nelson et al. 2020a). The projected length (width) of the downflow structures is about 6″−19″ (2″−3″), comparable to the upper limit of the length (width) of coronal rain clumps (Antolin et al. 2015). A comparison of these structures with the AIA 171 Å image in Figure 2 (a) suggests that these downflows are magnetically confined at the footpoints of sunspot plumes (see also Chitta et al. 2016; Nelson et al. 2020a,b). Regarding their time variation, we can see that the stand-like downflow structures in region A are enhanced from Rasters 1 to 3, disappear in Raster 4, and then recur during Raster 5 (see also Fig. 4). A similar structure in region B first appeared during Raster 3, and it underwent an enhancement during Raster 4. These results reveal that SSDs exhibit a relatively active temporal evolution (see also Nelson et al. 2020a), which are quite similar to the observed behavior of usual quiescent coronal rain flows occurring in AR closed loops (e.g., Antolin et al. 2015).

3.1.2. Spectral line parameters and mass flux

We derived spectral line parameters of SSD events focusing on a small spectral window from 1399 Å to 1404 Å. This spectral window contains the Si iv 1403 Å line, and the O iv 1400/1401 Å line pair whose ratio is sensitive to the electron density of the TR plasma. Examples of spectra in the selected window are displayed in Figs. 2 (c) and (f). These spectra have been averaged over the red box in region A during Raster 3 and the white box in region B during Raster 4, respectively. Due to the existence of SSDs, a downflow component appears as a secondary emission peak in the red wing of each of the O iv 1400 Å, O iv 1401 Å, and Si iv 1403 Å lines. As shown in Figs. 2 (c) and (f), a six-component Gaussian function was applied to fit the spectra (also see Samanta et al. 2018). The fitting results of the primary and secondary components are plotted separately as red and blue lines, respectively. The Doppler shifts, line widths, and peak intensities of both the primary and secondary (downflow) components during different raster scans were then obtained. For the faster SSD component, the Doppler shifts of the O iv 1400/1401 Å and Si iv 1403 Å lines in the two regions appear to be very similar, with an average value of ∼95 km s⁻¹. For the primary components, the average Doppler shifts of the O iv 1400/1401 Å and Si iv 1403 Å lines in the two regions are around 14 km s⁻¹ and 7 km s⁻¹, respectively. These redshifts are similar in magnitude to the well-known average redshifts of TR lines (e.g., Brekke 1993; Brekke et al. 1997; Chae et al. 1998; Peter & Judge 1999; Peter 2000). It is worth mentioning that these SSDs were also detected in the Mg ii k 2796 Å lines. As shown in Figs. 2 (e) and (h), an isolated downflow component also appears in the red wing of the Mg ii k line. The peak of this component corresponds to a red shift of ∼135 km s⁻¹. This corresponds to a flow significantly faster than seen in Si iv and O iv forming at higher temperatures. We revisit this point in Section 4.1.

The intensity ratio of the O iv 1400/1401 Å line pair is known to be sensitive to electron density in the TR. Based on the CHIANTI atomic database 7.1 (Dere et al. 1997; Landi et al. 2013), the theoretical dependence of the intensity ratio of the O iv line pair on electron density was calculated. We estimated the electron densities, log(nₑ), of both the primary and downflow components during each raster from the measured intensity ratios of the O iv line pair, and list them in Table 1. Examples of electron density diagnostics in regions A and B are shown in Figs. 2 (d) and (g), respectively. This will represent the density at temperatures of around 0.15 MK, that is to say, in the source region of O iv, which forms at temperatures almost a factor of two higher than Si iv. For region A, the logarithmic density of downflow component varies in the range of 9.88−10.47 cm⁻³ during Rasters 1−5 (see Table 1); the average values of the primary and downflow components are 10.69 ± 0.04 cm⁻³ and 10.28 ± 0.04 cm⁻³, respectively. For region B, the logarithmic electron density of the primary and downflow components are only obtained in Raster 4, which are 10.61 ± 0.31 cm⁻³ and 10.52 ± 0.25 cm⁻³, respectively. These values roughly agree with the estimated values found in the statistical work of Samanta et al. (2018), and the density values of the downflow components are comparable to the typical electron density of coronal rain as well (e.g., Antolin et al. 2015).
Assuming an He/H abundance ratio of 1:10 and complete ionization of the plasma, the mass flux ($\Delta m$) of SSDs can be computed as $1.2n_m m_{\text{p,SSD}}$, where $m_{\text{p}}$ denotes the mass of proton. We used the Doppler velocity of SSDs, $\sim 100$ km s$^{-1}$, as $v_{\text{SSD}}$. As a result, the typical mass flux of SSDs was estimated to be 3.8 $\times$ 10$^{-7}$ g cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ in region A and 6.8 $\times$ 10$^{-7}$ g cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ in region B. These values are comparable to the mass flux of a quasi-steady SSD event studied by Straus et al. (2015).

### 3.2. Linking sunspot supersonic downflows to coronal rain flows

The simultaneous SDO/AIA and STEREO-A/EUVI observations reveal that repeated coronal rain events occur near the sunspot during the IRIS raster scans. To verify the close link between the SSDs and these coronal rain flows, we give a detailed investigation on their temporal and spatial correspondence. Figure 3 shows one episode of on-disk coronal rain between 00:50 UT and 01:20 UT. At the same time, IRIS (Raster 2) scanned the same region. The coronal rain events are best captured in the AIA 304 Å image sequence, where we can see obvious cool materials draining downward to the sunspot (region A) along a set of trajectories in a funnel-like structure. In Fig. 3 (b), we present the space-time diagram for one falling path (trajectory S0 in panel (a)). The parabolic track of the coronal rain flows indicates an acceleration of 230 m s$^{-2}$ ($\sim$5/6 of the solar gravity). The apparent velocity increases to $\sim$192 km s$^{-1}$ near the sunspot region. With simultaneous IRIS observations, these falling cool materials can be identified as a redshifted feature in the C $n$ 1334/1335 Å, Si iv 1403 Å, and Mg ii 2796 Å lines (see Figs. 3 (c1-c3) and the associated animation). The coronal-rain-induced redshifted feature rapidly drifted southward along the slit with an increasing redshift (up to 200 km s$^{-1}$). We note that the instantaneous spectral redshift is comparable to the apparent falling velocity measured from the space-time diagram, suggesting that the falling path is aligned roughly 45 deg with respect to the line of sight. The largest redshift is much higher than the average redshift ($\sim$95 km s$^{-1}$) of SSDs, likely because the latter was inferred from four spectra that separately averaged over $3''$×$3''$ small boxes in Fig. 2 (b) during Rasters 1-4.

Figure 4 presents images taken during another episode of IRIS observations from 16:30 UT to 19:30 UT, namely during Raster 5, together with simultaneous STEREO-A/EUVI observations. Since STEREO-A observed the Sun from a different perspective, the coronal rain events were recorded at the limb in EUVI images. During this period IRIS performed four-step repeated raster scans above the sunspot, in region A. Obvious spectral signatures of the SSDs were best detected at the fourth slit position shown in Fig. 4 (a). We averaged the spectra of Si iv 1403 Å, O iv Å, and Mg ii k within the section marked by the green line shown in Fig. 4 (a), and plotted their temporal evolution in Figs. 4 (b1)-(b3). Interestingly, the SSDs we detected here appear as a quasi-steady secondary redshifted emission peak in both TR and chromospheric lines, which remains relatively stable between 18:55 UT and 19:30 UT with a redshift of 84–88 km s$^{-1}$ in TR lines and of 107 km s$^{-1}$ in Mg ii k. In the meantime, EUVI captured an episode of coronal rain draining into the sunspot region from a (magnetic) dip in the 304 Å channel (Fig. 4 (c), and the associated animation of Fig. 6). To better illustrate this process, a space-time diagram was constructed for the trajectory “SI” shown in Fig. 4 (c), which passes through the dip region (marked by the blue “+” sign) and the sunspot region (marked by the cyan “+” sign). It should be noted that a cyan “+” is also marked at the same heliocentric position in Fig. 4 (a), which is located at the center of the sunspot. From the space-time diagram shown in Fig. 4 (d), we can see that the cool materials first appear in the dip region around 17:00 UT, and then drain into the sunspot during the period of 18:55 UT–21:00 UT (around 2 hrs). This is well in line with the period of SSD appearance in the TR spectral lines, as shown in Figs. 4 (b1)-(b3). This temporal correspondence further confirms that the SSD events detected in our observations originate from the mass drainage in coronal rain events. Unfortunately, this IRIS observation ended at 19:30 UT, whereas the mass drainage of coronal rain lasts for another 1.5 hrs. We note that in Fig. 4 (b3), there seems to be an acceleration trend of the rain in time with rain produced later falling faster. This trend may also be present in the TR lines (see Figs. 4 (b1)-(b2)), but due to their lower spatial and spectral resolution it is not as clear as in Mg ii k.

### 3.3. Coronal rain activities and magnetic dips

We then investigated the formation process of the coronal rain. We examined the AIA and EUVI observations, and confirmed the absence of flares near the sunspot. Figure 5 shows a global picture of the coronal rain activity from the vantage point of STEREO-A. As shown in EUVI 171 and 304 Å images, a large-scale closed magnetic loop system spans from remote regions to AR 12740, in which a quiescent prominence is gradually forming during 10:00–21:00 UT. In this course, a series of coronal rain also repeatedly form in the magnetic loop system and flow toward the sunspot region during the IRIS raster scans (Rasters 1–5). Considering their forming regions, these coronal...
rain flows can be characterized as three types. One type is typical quiescent coronal rain flows appearing near the west leg of the loop system, which repeatedly form in sunspot plumes and directly flow into the sunspot during the period of 01:00 −16:00 UT. The second type is episodes of large-scale apparent horizontal mass flows, seen as spill-out events from the nearby funnel or tower prominence structures (e.g., Liu et al. 2014; Antolin et al. 2021; Zhou et al. 2021) that flow toward the sunspot region along overlying large-scale coronal loops (as marked in Figure 5 (b2)). A third type is continuous rain flows originating from a newly formed magnetic dip region (as marked in Figure 5 (b)) during Raster 5. We note that a portion of large-scale horizontal mass flows seem to continuously inject cool material into this newly formed dip region.

Magnetic dips are region of upward concavity appearing on field lines, in which the cold condensation can settle in and grow in size. Thus, the appearance of magnetic dips is thought to be important for the formation and maintenance of prominences or filaments (e.g., Liu et al. 2012; Vial & Engvold 2015; Chen et al. 2018a). From Fig. 6 and its associated online animation, we can see that a clear coronal condensation process occurs at the dip region of our interest. This process can be identified from the EUVI 304 Å, 195 Å, and 171 Å images. The low-cadence (2 hr) EUVI 171 Å images appear to suggest that the dip forms during the period of 16:06 UT −18:09 UT. Thanks to the higher-cadence EUVI 195 Å (5 min) and 304 Å (10 min) observations, we confirm that the dip first appears at 16:45:30 UT in the EUVI 195 Å passband (see Fig. 6 (e4)), and evident condensation starts subsequently at 16:56:15 UT (see Fig. 6 (e3)). This timing suggests the formation of the dip as a possible precursor of the onset of coronal condensation in the nearby region. As cool materials gradually fill the dip region, continuous rain flows drain toward the sunspot along high-lying magnetic structures at about 18:46:15 UT. In the meantime, the dip region experiences a downward motion, temporarily manifesting as one of the tower or pillar prominence feet (as marked by cyan arrows in Figs. 5 (a4) and (b4)), which supports the notion that some vertical feet of prominences may consist of piling-up magnetic dips (e.g., Liu et al. 2012; Shen et al. 2015). Subsequently, the cool materials also drain along a set of newly formed magnetic arches linking the site below the dip region to the sunspot region around 20:46:15 UT, implying the generation of new loops through reconnection.
Fig. 2. Multiwavelength diagnostics of sunspot supersonic downflows. (a) NVST TiO and 6563 Å, IRIS SJ 1400 Å, and AIA 171 Å images of the target sunspot. The white contours outline the outer boundaries of the sunspot umbra and penumbra. (b) Spatial extent of SSDs as shown in maps of the downflow intensity integrated in the velocity range of 50 km s$^{-1}$ to 300 km s$^{-1}$ . (c) Average spectrum in the small red box (3″×3″) shown in panel (b) (Raster 3). A six-component Gaussian fit to the spectrum is shown as the red (primary component) and blue lines (secondary component). The fitting parameters, including the peak intensity ($i$), Doppler shift ($v$), and line width ($w$), are also given for each line. (d) The black curve shows the theoretical relationship between the electron density and the ratio of the O IV doublet. The blue and red solid circles (error bars) represent the density diagnostic results (associated uncertainties) of the secondary and primary components in region A, respectively. (e) Average Mg ii 2796 Å line profile in region A (Raster 3). The two vertical dotted lines mark the two components. (f)-(h): Same as (c)-(e), but for the SSDs in region B. The spectrum in (f) was averaged over the small white box (3″×3″) shown in panel (b) (Raster 4).

between the dip region and the lower lying magnetic field. This is similar to the coronal condensation phenomena reported by Li et al. (2018) and Srivastava et al. (2019), in which reconnection seems to take part in. Figure 6 (f) shows that the EUVI 195 Å (~1.6 MK), 171 Å (~0.8 MK), and 304 Å (~0.8 MK) light curves in the dip region peak at 17:58 UT, 18:09 UT, and 19:15 UT, respectively. This indicates that coronal plasma in the dip region is cooling, as often observed in the process of prominence formation (e.g., Berger et al. 2012; Liu et al. 2012) and coronal rain formation (e.g., Mason et al. 2019; Li et al. 2019; Kohutova et al. 2019; Antolin 2020).

The AIA observations show that similar condensation processes repeatedly take place near regions A and B, resulting in episodes of on-disk quiescent coronal rain events (e.g., Antolin et al. 2012b; Li et al. 2021a). Figure 7 and its associated online animation present AIA observations for one episode of such coronal condensation. In 131 Å (~0.6 MK) and 171 Å (~0.8 MK) images, a localized emission enhancement is first detected around 09:35 UT at the location of (-364″, 320″). This location (red dots in Fig. 7 (c) and (d)) roughly coincides with a magnetic dip region in our PFSS extrapolation that will be presented in Section 4.2 (see Fig. 12 (b)). In 211 Å (~2.0 MK) and 193 Å (~1.6 MK) images, coronal rain clumps resulting from the coronal condensation subsequently appear around 09:50 UT (within the green eclipse), and then rapidly drain into region B along the loops. In comparison, the 304 Å passband captures more coro-
nral rain flows during the same period due to its lower formation temperature (∼0.08 MK). To better illustrate this on-disk condensation process, two space-time diagrams were constructed for the “S2” trajectory shown in Fig. 7 (c). The space-time diagrams shown in Fig. 7 (g) reveal that cool materials appear after a localized enhancement in the AIA 193 Å and 171 Å images. This enhanced emission is likely caused by a local plasma density increase in the (magnetic) dip region. To examine whether coronal condensation occurs, we plotted the temporal evolution of the AIA 211 Å, 193 Å, 171 Å, 131 Å, and 304 Å intensities in the condensation site (red box in Fig. 7 (d)). The inverse of the AIA 304 Å light curve was plotted, as cool materials resulting from the condensation manifest themselves as dark absorption features in 304 Å images. As shown in Fig. 7 (f), these light curves sequentially increase to their peaks at different times, and those with lower characteristic temperatures peak at later times, indicating the occurrence of coronal condensation due to thermal instability. Considering the fact that the coronal-rain-related SSDs were also detected in Mg ii lines (see Section 3.2), we can conclude that the hot coronal plasma in our observations cool though ∼2.0 MK all the way down to ∼0.01 MK.

3.4. Response of supersonic downflows in the sunspot umbra: Localized heating of the chromosphere

As the downflows fall into the sunspot umbra at supersonic speeds, in Hα line core and wing images, we found the appearance of localized brightenings in both regions A and B during 01:00–06:15 UT, i.e, Rasters 2–4. From Fig. 2 (a), we can see that these chromospheric brightenings only occur at two small regions (marked by the two arrows) around the footpoints of two sets of sunspot plumes. Similar brightenings can also be identified from the TR images of IRIS SJI 1400 Å, resembling the TR bright dots or ribbons reported by Kleint et al. (2014). Similar brightenings have also been reported in previous coronal rain observations (e.g., Jing et al. 2016; Schad et al. 2021) and have been attributed to the heating induced by a stationary shock (e.g., Straus et al. 2015; Chitta et al. 2016; Schad et al. 2021) or a plasma collision process (Reale et al. 2013; Fang et al. 2015; Li & Ding 2017).

Through scrutinizing the simultaneous AIA 304 Å image sequence, we found that these brightenings only appear as obvious coronal rain flows falling into the sunspot. Fig. 8 shows the most prominent SSD-induced bright dot in our observations and its associated coronal rain, which was observed in region B during IRIS Raster 4. From the space-time diagrams, we can see obvious coronal rain flow toward the sunspot since 03:00 UT and impacts the latter around 03:30 UT. After the drainage of rain flow into the sunspot, the bright dot promptly appears in the umbra and then lives for more than 2 hr with a maximum diameter (Ddot) of ∼1.5 Mm.

Fig. 9 further shows the multiwavelength response of this SSD-induced bright dot. From the Hα line core and wing image sequences, we can see that it first appears around 03:26 UT, and then lasts to 05:04 UT. The long-lived nature of this bright dot may imply a sustained energy deposition from the associated SSD events. Apart from that, this bright dot is also detected in the AIA 1600 Å and 1700 Å images, as well as the intensity map of the Mg ii triplet line at 2798.809 Å (a blend of 2798.82 Å and 2798.75 Å). This suggests that the brightening phenomenon extends to the lower chromosphere or even the temperature minimum region. From Fig. 9 (i), we can see that the Mg ii 2798.809
Å line turns into a strong emission feature at the bright dot. It is important to note that the Mg ii triplet lines (2791.60, 2798.82 Å and 2798.75 Å) formed in the lower chromosphere usually manifest themselves as absorption lines in most on-disk observations. They can turn into emission when there is obvious heating in the lower chromosphere, such as in flares, UV bursts, or Ellerman bombs (e.g., Peter et al. 2014; Vissers et al. 2015; Tian et al. 2015, 2016). According to the forward modelling results of Pereira et al. (2015), the core-to-wing ratio of the Mg ii triplet lines is well correlated to the temperature increase. In the bright dot, the core-to-wing ratio of the Mg ii triplet reaches up to 4, indicating a temperature increase by ∼1500 K in the lower chromosphere (Pereira et al. 2015).

Assuming that SSDs fall downwards into the umbra along one flux tube and the bright dot occurs at its endpoint, we can roughly estimate the thermal and kinetic energy flux that pass through the bright dot. According to Vernazza et al. (1981), the initial chromospheric temperature of the umbra is around 6.0−7.0 kK in Hα passbands. If the bright dot in the umbra undergoes a temperature increase by ∼1500 K, its temperature \( T_{H\alpha} \) should reach up to 7.5−8.5 kK. As a result, the thermal energy flux in the bright dot \( \frac{1}{2} n_e k_B T_{H\alpha} \cdot v_{SSD} \) can be estimated as \( 3.9−4.4 \times 10^7 \) erg cm\(^{-2} \) s\(^{-1} \), where \( k_B \) is Boltzmann constant and \( n_e \) is the average density of SSDs (\( \sim 10^{10.4} \text{ cm}^{-3} \)). On the other hand, the kinetic energy flux of SSDs \( \frac{1}{2} \Delta m v_{SSD}^2 \) along the flux tube can be derived as \( 1.9−3.4 \times 10^7 \) erg cm\(^{-2} \) s\(^{-1} \), which is two orders of magnitude higher than the thermal energy flux in the bright dot. This suggests that a small portion of the released kinetic energy from SSDs (or falling coronal rain) is sufficient to cause these dot-like localized chromospheric brightenings.
Fig. 5. Global view of coronal rain activity and forming prominence structures near the sunspot observed by STEREO-A/EUVI. (a1)-(a4) 171 Å and (b1)-(b4) 304 Å images are rotated counterclockwise by an angle of 70 deg, and the latter has an inverse color table. The solar disk and the FOV of these images are shown as the white circle and the rectangle, respectively. The black arrows denote two forming tower prominence structures (TPSs); the green curved arrow shows the trajectory of rain flows near the sunspot; the purple curved arrow denote the trajectory of a large-scale horizontal flow; the blue arrows mark the dip of our interest; the cyan arrows denote the feet structures of the formed quiescent prominence. It should be noted that the EUVI images were enhanced by the MGN technique (Morgan & Druckmüller 2014).

4. Discussion

4.1. Downflow speeds in different spectral lines

In Section 3.2, our spectral analysis reveals that the falling coronal rain flows show almost the same speeds (~95 km s\(^{-1}\)) in the O\(^{\text{iv}}\) 1400/1401 Å and Si\(^{\text{iv}}\) 1403 Å lines, but they demonstrate a higher speed (~135 km s\(^{-1}\)) in the Mg\(^{\text{ii}}\) 2796 Å line. This result might be explained in terms of the multi-thermal nature of coronal rain flow or/and the geometry of magnetic structures. As Antolin (2020) stated, coronal rain flows or blobs are composed of a cool, dense core and a hot, thin envelope, which have a strong co-spatial emission in chromospheric and TR lines. When a coronal rain blob falls downward toward the solar surface from the corona under the gravity, its multi-thermal components would acquire almost the same speed at a given height due to its typical small scale of 300 km width and 1 Mm length (Antolin 2020). For the O\(^{\text{iv}}\) and Si\(^{\text{iv}}\) lines, they form at temperatures almost a factor of two apart, but their emission mainly come from the enveloping TR sheath around the cool blob, as well as the wake of the rain and the compressed region ahead of the blob. In other words, the TR emission of downflows should come from multiple atmospheric layers, effectively reducing their velocity measurement. Hence, downflows in O\(^{\text{iv}}\) and Si\(^{\text{iv}}\) lines show comparable speeds.

The emission of the Mg\(^{\text{ii}}\) line mainly comes from the cool inner core of falling rain clumps, so that it gives a more accurate velocity measurement of downflows than that of TR lines. For falling rain flows, the simulations of Oliver et al. (2016) show an increase of falling speed with higher density due to the pressure gradient restructuring. Although their simulation result is still physically unclear, the same mechanism might be operating here, leading to a higher speed in the Mg\(^{\text{ii}}\) line. Moreover, the gravitational acceleration may also play a role here, since the Mg\(^{\text{ii}}\) line likely samples plasma cooled and accelerated from the Si\(^{\text{iv}}\)- and O\(^{\text{iv}}\)-emitting plasma at greater heights. Besides, in sunspot plumes, magnetic field lines are usually organized in the shape of funnels. The cross-section of a funnel becomes smaller when going down from the source region of Si\(^{\text{iv}}\) to that of Mg\(^{\text{ii}}\).
According to Bernoulli’s law, the downflow speed in Mg II would be higher than in Si IV if its density of does not increase too much. Using the continuity equation ($\rho v S = \text{constant}$, where $\rho$ is the mass density and $S$ is the cross-section.), we can infer that the ratio of cross-section in the TR and chromospheric height is equal to 14, because the density in the chromosphere should be a factor of ten higher than in the TR. This means that the magnetic field strength along the sunspot plumes decreases by about 14 times from the chromosphere up to the TR, due to the magnetic flux conservation.

4.2. Sunspot supersonic downflows: Bursty or quasi-steady

It has been long hypothesized that SSDs have an association with coronal rain or prominence material, due to their coronal origin and chromospheric properties. However, the existence of long duration SSD events (over several hours in some cases) and their sometimes steady spectral character question this hypothesis. For instance, Straus et al. (2015) argued that the well-known intermittency of coronal rain is inconsistent with the stable spectral nature of such long-lived steady SSDs. To date, despite several previous observations having demonstrated that bursty SSDs result from impulsive coronal rain (Kleint et al. 2014; Ishikawa et al. 2020), the long-lived steady SSDs have rarely be attributed to coronal rain.

In our observations, almost all the identified SSDs appear at the footpoints of sunspot plumes and are temporally associated with episodes of coronal rain. Interestingly, the coronal-rain-induced SSDs we detected in the fifth raster of IRIS (as shown in Figs. 4 (b1)-(b3)) appear as a secondary redshifted emission peak, which remains relatively stable between 18:55 UT and 19:30 UT in both TR lines and Mg II. Such a long-lived and stable spectral character is very similar to that in Tian et al. (2014) and Straus et al. (2015). Hence, our current results provide strong evidence that steady SSDs can also be induced by falling coronal rain flows. We also notice that in a coronal-rain related SSD event in Ishikawa et al. (2020), falling rain flows simultaneously result in steady SSD spectra in TR lines and bursty SSD spectra in chromospheric lines. These observations together support the view that both bursty and steady SSDs have a common origin: flows of condensation forming above sunspots due to TNE and/or thermal instability (Antolin 2020).

It is worth noting that in Figs. 4 and 6, condensed materials first accumulate as a transient prominence in a newly formed magnetic dip region and thus form a mass reservoir available to feed a continuous and less-clumpy rain flow. Hence, the presence of magnetic dips should provide a desirable condition for the formation of steady SSDs (see also Schad et al. 2021). Moreover, given that the coronal rain flows persistently drain into the sunspot along different trajectories in funnel-like magnetic struc-
Fig. 7. On-disk coronal condensation observed by AIA. (a) 211 Å, (b) 193 Å, (c) 171 Å, (d) 131 Å, and (e) 304 Å images. The green dashed ellipses in (a) and (b) mark the location of the condensation; the red dots in (c) and (d) denote the location of a magnetic dip region. (f) Normalized light curves of the AIA 211 Å (purple), 193 Å (green), 171 Å (brown), 131 Å (cyan), and 304 Å (gray) channels in the red box shown in panel (d). (g) Space-time diagrams of AIA 193 Å and 171 Å intensities for the trajectory “S2” shown in panel (c). The white dotted line in (g1) represents a parabolic fit to the track of one coronal rain flow, and the red dashed lines indicate the dip region. An animation of this figure is available online.

Fig. 8. Causal relationship between enhanced localized heating of the chromosphere and coronal rain flows in region B. (a) AIA 304 Å image. At its right bottom corner, an NVST H_α image shows the enhanced localized heating of the chromosphere in a sunspot. (b) Space-time diagram of AIA 304 Å intensity for the “Slit A” trajectory shown in panel (a). (c) Space-time diagram of NVST H_α intensity for the “Slit B” trajectory shown in the H_α image in panel (a). The yellow vertical dashed lines in panels (b) and (c) represent the start time (03:31 UT) of the bright dot appearance. The yellow plus signs in panels (a) and (b) denote the position of the bright dot.

tures (sunspot plumes), the funnel effect of this magnetic geometry may further reshape the less clumpy rain at the coronal height into a more elongated and stream-like one when reaching the lower atmosphere (Antolin et al. 2015). This leads to to quasi-steady redshifted spectral features. In addition, for our case, it is likely that the difference of the times when two falling blobs in the rain reach the sunspot is smaller than the sampling cadence of the IRIS slit, which is about half a minute in Raster 5 (for the last slit position). This, together with the long exposure time (7.9 s), ensures that each exposure of IRIS can capture at least one falling blob. Consequently, the redshifted component is present in all exposures of IRIS. Therefore, we suggest that in the sunspot atmosphere, the appearance of steady or bursty SSDs is not only regulated by its magnetic field topology, but their detec-
tion also depends on the sensitivity and time resolution of IRIS raster scans.

4.3. Can the coronal condensation provide enough mass to feed the sunspot supersonic downflows?

Apart from their steady spectral character, the mass supply for long-lived SSD events also needs to be considered. Focusing on an SSD event observed by IRIS, Straus et al. (2015) derived an electron density of $10^{10.6\pm0.25}$ cm$^{-3}$ and a mass flux of $5 \times 10^{-7}$ g cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$. Based on rough calculations, Straus et al. (2015) and Chitta et al. (2016) argued that such a substantial mass flux would evacuate the overlying corona on a timescale of $\sim 100$ s to 1000 s without supply of additional mass.

The electron density of $\sim 10^{10.4}$ cm$^{-3}$ and mass flux of $5 \times 10^{-7}$ g cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ we estimated for the SSD events here are roughly consistent with previous estimations (Straus et al. 2015; Samanta et al. 2018; Nelson et al. 2020a). As mentioned above, the SSD events in our observations are clearly related to repeated quiescent coronal rain events. In particular, as shown in Section 3.2, the quasi-steady redshifted component detected during IRIS Raster 5 lasts for at least 35 minutes. Simultaneous STEREO/A/EUVI observations show that this SSD event is caused by the drainage of cool plasma originating from the coronal condensation at a high-lying (magnetic) dip region. The continuous drainage lasts for nearly 2 hrs (see Figs. 4 and 5 and their associated animations), suggesting that the mass supply from coronal rain flows should be enough to sustain a long-lived SSD event.

To quantify the mass supply, we further estimated the condensation rate. Following Liu et al. (2012), we assumed that the EUVI 304 Å emission of coronal rain mainly comes from the prominence-corona TR (PCTR) surrounding the condensation clumps. Accordingly, using the typical electron density of a PCTR ($8 \times 10^9$ cm$^{-3}$), we obtained a mass density ($1.2n_e m_p$) of $1.5 \times 10^{-14}$ cm$^{-3}$ (considering the He abundance and assuming a fully ionized plasma (Yang et al. 2020)). Next, focusing on the dip region outlined by the white box shown in Fig. 10 (a), we computed the apparent areas ($A_{\text{cond}}$) of condensation at different times using EUVI 304 Å images taken during 16:56 – 19:26 UT. This was achieved by identifying image pixels where the intensity is higher than 1σ above the median intensity in the dip region. As shown in Figs. 10 (a1)-(a2), this threshold ensures that we can separate and identify all the brightest pixels covering condensation region from the noisy background. In addition, we assumed that there is no overlap between different condensation clumps in the LOS. Using the observed width ($\sim 10$ arcsec) of an individual coronal rain flow (see Fig. 10 (a)) as the possible thickness ($D_{\text{thick}}$) for the condensation clumps, the instantaneous mass of condensation at each time can be calculated as $M_{\text{inst}} = 1.2n_e m_p \cdot A_{\text{cond}} \cdot D_{\text{thick}}$. As shown in Fig. 10 (b), the estimated total mass of condensation ($M_{\text{total}}$) in the dip region is $1.3 \times 10^{14}$ g, and the mean condensation rate ($\dot{M}$) is $1.5 \times 10^{10}$ g s$^{-1}$. Assuming that the He bright dot in region B (see Section 3.4) represents the cross-section area ($A_{\text{loop}} = \pi \cdot \frac{D_{\text{loop}}^2}{2}$) of the related flux tube, we further derived a mass transport rate ($\dot{M}_{\text{tube}} = A_{\text{loop}} \cdot \dot{M}$) of $0.7 \times 10^{10} - 1.2 \times 10^{10}$ g s$^{-1}$. It is important to note that downflows can also be detected in the optically thick 304 Å channel, and thus the $F_{\text{max}}$ of SSDs we derived from optically thin lines only gives a lower limit. So, the coronal condensation can supply mass to the SSDs at most for 2.8 – 4.9 hrs ($M_{\text{total}}$), which is consistent with the observed durations of quasi-steady SSDs (e.g., Tian et al. 2014; Straus et al. 2015). These estimations reinforce our suggestion that coronal condensation

![Fig. 9. Enhanced localized heating of the chromosphere induced by sunspot supersonic downflows in region B. (a) IRIS Si iv downflow intensity map. (b) NVST TiO image. The green boxes indicate the FOV of the snapshots in the right part. (c) NVST H$_\alpha$ image sequence. (d) NVST H$_\beta$ blue wing image. (e) NVST H$_\alpha$ red wing image. (f) AIA 1600 Å image. (g) AIA 1700 Å image. (h) Intensity map at the wavelength of 2798.90 Å. The white dashed line marks the outer boundary of the sunspot umbra. The white arrows mark the bright dot induced by downflows. (i) The solid and dashed curves represent spectral profiles of Mg II 2798.9 Å.](image-url)
4.4. How do the magnetic dips form in the high-lying magnetic structures?

As stated in Section 3.3, the condensation of coronal plasma is apparent after the appearance of dips in the high-lying coronal structures, which is very similar to the scenario of reconnection-facilitated condensation proposed by Li et al. (2018). Figure 11 and its associated online animation present the topological changes of the coronal loop system in the course of condensation. In Figure 11 (c) and (d), one can notice that groups of downward-concave coronal loops are continuously created near an X-shaped structure from 03:50 − 05:30 UT. Subsequently, coronal rain appears along the upward-moving coronal loops and rapidly slides into the sunspot region, although we should note that not all the coronal rain here is coming from the localized condensation in dips but partly from overlying (closed) loops and nearby prominences. These EUV observations indicate that the formation of the dips is indeed closely related to the reconfiguration of coronal magnetic field lines near the X-shaped structure. Unfortunately, the low-cadence EUVI observations do not allow us to investigate the detailed reconfiguration process.

We thus reconstructed the coronal magnetic field structures using a potential field source surface (PFSS) model (Schatten et al. 1969). As shown in Figure 11 (a) and (b), the reconstructed X-shaped structure roughly agrees with the global topological morphology observed in the EUVI 195 Å running-difference image at around 09:35 UT, in which obvious magnetic dips exist along the downward-concave coronal loops above the X-shaped structure. We note that near the left leg of the downward-concave coronal loops, a weak brightening signal appears at around 09:35 UT. This indicates possible plasma heating and evaporation in the reconfiguration of coronal magnetic field lines, which are thought to be a key condition for the formation of coronal rain and prominences in numerical simulations (e.g., Xia et al. 2014; Fang et al. 2015; Xia & Keppens 2016; Huang et al. 2021).

Figure 12 further shows several extrapolated field lines projected onto SDO/HMI and STEREO-A/EUVI images. We can see obvious magnetic dips on the extrapolated high-lying field lines (the blue lines) at two different spatial regions where coronal condensation take place (as shown in Fig. 4 and Fig. 7). For region A, the related magnetic field configuration is very similar to the magnetic setting in the reconnection-facilitated condensation scenario proposed by Li et al. (2018). The higher lying curved structures (blue lines) may move downward and reconnect with the lower lying loops (white lines). As a result, two sets of new magnetic structures (green and yellow lines) form at opposite sides of the lower lying loops. The regions separating these different magnetic structures appear as an X-shaped feature. As suggested in Li et al. (2020), due to the downward motion of the higher lying structures, a magnetic dip would form above the X-shaped structure. For region B, the related magnetic field configuration is more like the common magnetic setting of solar prominence or filament formation in previous numerical simulations (e.g., Xia et al. 2014; Kaneko & Yokoyama 2017) and observations (e.g., van Ballegooijen & Martens 1989; Yang et al. 2016, 2021; Chen et al. 2018b; Li et al. 2021b). We found two sets of adjacent magnetic arches (yellow and green lines) rooted at two opposite-polarity photospheric flux concentrations (see Fig. 12 (b1)–(b3)). The two flux concentrations are found to converge and cancel with each other from 09:00 UT−15:00 UT, likely indicating magnetic reconnection. This process results in the formation of (sheared) overlying loops (blue lines) with a magnetic dip.

4.5. Interpretation

In Fig. 13, two schematic diagrams are given to explain the magnetic dip formation, subsequent coronal condensation, and quasi-steady supersonic downflows. The top one supports the reconnection-facilitated condensation scenario proposed by Li et al. (2018). In this scenario, magnetic reconnection occurs between the L1 and L2 field lines, resulting in two sets of newly reconnected loops (L3 and L4) being retraced from the reconnection site. Due to the downward motion, the higher lying magnetic structure L1 will curve toward the magnetic null point, and a magnetic dip is formed. As more field lines in the L1 structure move downward and reconnect with L2, a low pressure region would form around the dip region after episodes of reconnection. Then, plasma will accumulate in the dip region. However, the bottom one is quite similar to the formation process of filaments or prominences. In this scenario, two sets of loops, L3 and L4, move together, and their reconnection leads to high-lying magnetic structures L1 and small lower lying loops L2. The newly formed L1 structure naturally has a magnetic dip, providing a favored site for plasma accumulation. As plasma gradually accumulates in the dip, the cooling and condensation of hot plasma would inevitably commence most likely due to thermal instability. In this course, intensities of EUV passbands sampling cooler plasma would peak at later times. Subsequently, cool materials with temperatures of ~0.01-
These two scenarios may differentiate each other mainly by the motion of the high-lying field lines. In the former scenario, there should be field lines moving down toward the X-point, while in the latter the field lines should move up (as inferred from the apparent motion of coronal structures). Both the upward and downward motions of the high-lying field lines can be seen in the animations of Figure 6 and Figure 11, respectively. Moreover, in the first scenario of Fig. 13, cool materials resulting from the condensation can also slide toward the sunspot region along the newly formed loops L3. A similar feature was indeed observed in our case (see Figs. 6 and 8). Therefore, neither of these two scenarios can be ruled out with current observations.

5. Conclusions

We conducted a case study on the origin of sunspot supersonic downflows (SSDs) based on joint spectroscopic and imaging observations with multiple instruments. We find that almost all the identified SSDs in IRIS raster scans appear at the footpoints of sunspot plumes and are temporally associated with episodes of dot-like chromospheric heating events inside the sunspot umbra. In IRIS spectra, these fast downflows can be simultaneously detected as secondary emission peaks in several transition region and chromospheric lines. The average density, Doppler velocity, and mass flux of these SSDs are on the order of $10^{10.4}$ cm$^{-3}$, 100 km s$^{-1}$ and $5 \times 10^{-7}$ g cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$, respectively. Our dual-perspective EUV imaging observations reveal that a large-scale closed magnetic loop system spans from remote regions to the sunspot region, in which repeated coronal rain flow at different regions and flow toward the sunspot, thus causing these SSDs.

In particular, a well-observed coronal condensation in the fifth raster of IRIS occurs in a magnetic dip region that newly forms as a result of magnetic reconnection. With the presence of this dip region, cool material that condensed from the hot corona accumulates as a transient prominence, which thus serves as a desirable mass provider and results in a long-lived steady SSD event via a long-lasting continuous rain flow. The SSD-related drainage of coronal rain and the coronal-rain-induced chromospheric heating both last for more than 2 hrs, suggesting a substantial mass supply by the coronal condensation. The estimated total mass of condensation ($1.3 \times 10^{14}$ g) and condensation rate ($1.5 \times 10^{10}$ g s$^{-1}$) have been found to be large enough to sustain a quasi-steady SSD event, which has a mass transport rate of $0.7 \times 10^{10} - 1.2 \times 10^{10}$ g s$^{-1}$. Based on imaging observations and a magnetic field extrapolation, two possible reconnection scenarios have been proposed to explain the magnetic dip for-
formation and subsequent coronal condensation. Similarly to the recent findings of Li et al. (2018) and Mason et al. (2019), our observations support that apart from the thermal evolution in the coronal magnetic structures, the magnetic topological evolution also plays a vital role in the onset of coronal condensation.

Our observations cannot exclude the possibility that siphon flows may also feed SSDs. Future investigations should be conducted to examine this possibility. Statistical studies should also be performed to investigate how common this reconnection-facilitated condensation is for the origin of SSDs.
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Fig. 12. Magnetic topology. (a) and (b): Extrapolated magnetic field lines related to the sunspot supersonic downflows in regions A and B projected onto SDO/HMI light-of-sight (LOS) magnetograms. (c) and (d) are similar to (a) and (b), but projected onto STEREO/EUVI 304 Å images with reversed colors. Three inset panels, (b1)-(b3), show a zoomed-in view of the magnetic field in the black box in (b) at three different times. The red dot in (b) marks the location of the magnetic dip, which is also shown in Fig. 7.
Fig. 13. Two possible scenarios for the magnetic dip formation, subsequent coronal condensation, and supersonic downflows. The “+” and “-” signs denote the positive- and negative-polarity magnetic fields on the solar surface, and the solid lines represent magnetic field lines. Near the reconnection site (red explosive feature), four groups of field lines, “L1”, “L2”, “L3” and “L4”, are highlighted by green and blue solid lines. The gray arrows indicate the converging motion of existing loops to the reconnection site (red explosive feature), while the red arrows mark the diverging motion of newly formed loops from the reconnection site. The brown shaded regions represent coronal rain clouds. It should be noted that “L4” in the top panel and “L2” in the bottom panel may also exhibit coronal rain.