LEG LENGTH DISCREPANCY IN ADOLESCENT IDIOPATHIC SCOLIOSIS

DISMETRIA DOS MEMBROS INFERIORES NA ESCOLIOSE IDIOPÁTICA DO ADOLESCENTE

ABSTRACT

Objective: The objective of this study is to evaluate leg length discrepancy in adolescent idiopathic scoliosis. Methods: A retrospective study of 80 subjects with adolescent idiopathic scoliosis (AIS) was conducted. The inclusion criteria were patients aged 10 to 18 years old with posteroanterior (PA) and lateral full-length radiographs. The exclusion criteria were patients subjected to surgery or orthotic treatment, those with other spinal disease, and those with poor quality x-rays. The parameters evaluated were: age, sex, Risser stage (RS), triradiate cartilage (TC), scoliotic curvatures, differentiated according to Lenke classification, sagittal (SB) and coronal balance (CB), and leg length discrepancy, which was assessed through the difference between the femoral heads (LLD) and through the assessment of pelvic obliquity (PO). Results: The majority of patients with AIS demonstrated a mild LLD (<1 cm). The mean LLD was significantly different (p<0.01) between the scoliotic population with a main thoracolumbar curvature and those with a main lumbar curvature. When there was an LLD, it was the left limb that was shortened in most cases. The side of the longer lower limb had a direct influence on the CB (p=0.052). Conclusions: This study demonstrates that in an AIS population with small LLD values, the extent of the shortening has a stronger impact on coronal balance and location than on the dimension of the main scoliotic curvature. These results demonstrate the importance of a more in-depth study on the effects of LLD <1 cm in the development of AIS and coronal imbalance.

Level of evidence IV; Case Series.
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(p = 0.052). Conclusiones: Este estudio demuestra que en una población con EIA y bajos valores de DLP, la extensión del acortamiento tiene impacto mayor en el balance y la ubicación coronal que en la dimensión de la curvatura escoliótica principal. Estos resultados muestran la importancia de un estudio más profundo sobre los efectos de DLP < 1 cm en el desarrollo de EIA y el desequilibrio coronal. Nivel de evidencia - IV; Serie de Casos.

**Descriptores:** Escoliosis; Adolescente; Columna Vertebral.

## INTRODUCTION

Approximately 3 to 15% of population has a limb length discrepancy (LLD) of around 1 cm; in 95% of cases, the causes are unknown.1,2 LLD causes pelvic obliquity in the frontal plane and leads to posture deformation, gait asymmetry, low back pain, dyscaphy, gonarthritis, coxarthrosis and hip flexion contracture in the longer extremity or ankle joint contracture in the equilval position in the shorter extremity.3-6 In these cases, the LLD is due to asymmetrical load on the lower extremities.7-10 Measurement of LLD, and the patient’s age, are the most important factors in the management of this disease.11 Adolescent idiopathic scoliosis (AIS) affects approximately 1 to 3% of adolescents, and is more common in females.12 The progression of the curve and the effectiveness of the treatment are determined by the patient’s age and sex, the magnitude and pattern of the curvature, and skeletal maturity.13-15

Full-length radiographs are essential in the analysis of biomechanical alterations that culminate in structural deficits of the axial skeleton and lower limbs.16 An appropriate and reproducible posture that presents a lower translation of the sagittal balance and lower compensatory rotation of the pelvis, with consequent reproducible results of the spinopelvic parameters and a smaller influence on the size of the natural curvatures of the axial skeleton, is essential for ensuring the quality of the data collected.17-21

Our goal is to determine the influence of LLD on spinopelvic parameters, magnitude of spine curvatures and changes in the coronal and sagittal balance, in a population with AIS.

## METHODS

### Population

The sample was composed of 80 patients with AIS. The inclusion criteria were patients with PA and lateral full-length radiographs. The exclusion criteria were patients who had undergone surgery, those who had initiated orthotic treatment, and those with poor quality radiographs (without inclusion from C1 to S1, without inclusion of the femoral heads, or incorrect positioning, particularly of the upper limbs).

### Radiography

The radiographic parameters were collected by two physicians, taking the average of the two parameters as the final value. Differences in scoliotic curvature, Risser Stage, LLD or PO severity (less than 1, 1-1.5 cm or > 1.5 cm) were again reviewed by the two evaluators. The posture implemented in the radiographic acquisition mirrors that advocated by the Scoliosis Research Society (SRS) i.e. plain full-length radiographs performed in the orthostatic position, with the anterior superior iliac spine parallel to the cassette and the beam aimed at T10. Lateral incidences were performed maintaining a horizontal gaze, with the beam at 90° to that used for the PA radiography, with the anterior superior iliac spine perpendicular to the film and with the right side of the patient to the cassette. Both feet were parallel to the shoulders, 20 to 25 cm apart, and with the fingertips resting on the clavicles.

The whole procedure was supervised by two radiology technicians at the time of radiographic acquisition, to ensure minimal variability in the results.

### Parameters

The parameters evaluated were: age, sex, Risser Stage (US Risser Staging System; RS, triradiate cartilage (TC), scoliotic curvature differentiated according to the Lenke classification, leg length discrepancy, evaluated by a horizontal line running through the uppermost portion of the femoral head (LLD), and pelvic obliquity, measured by a horizontal line drawn between the most proximal point on the iliac crest and the difference between this line and the upper portion of the contralateral iliac crest.

### Statistical analysis

Parametric data are presented as mean and standard deviation (SD). Nonparametric data are presented as median and interquartile range, and tested using the Mann-Whitney U test, the Kruskal-Wallis test and Spearman’s correlation coefficient. Significance was assessed as a p-value < 0.05. Statistical analysis was performed using IBM SPSS Statistics® (Version 25; Armonk, NY: IBM Corp.) and Excel 2017® (2017; Redmond, WA: Microsoft Corp.).

### Ethics committee

As this work is retrospective, based solely on the imaging study without direct contact with patients, interviews, or application of scores, the hospital where the study was performed does not require approval by the ethics committee.

## RESULTS

The mean age of the patients was ± SD of 12.44 ± 2.38 years; 76.3% were female and 23.8% were male. The main coronal curvatures were of 21.83 ± 15.68° and these were divided into 3 groups: patients with a scoliotic Cobb angle of 10-20°, 20-45° and > 45°, with 72.5%, 15.0% and 12.5%, respectively. According to the Lenke classification for scoliotic curves, 24 different curvatures were found. The most frequent were 1AN (25.0%), 1BN (13.8%), 5BN (11.3%), 5AN (7.5%), 5CN (7.5%) and 6CN (5%), making a total of 70.1% of the sample.

Regarding the location of the main curvatures, 32.5% were thoracic, 53.8% thoracolumbar and 13.8% lumbar. The coronal balance showed a mean value ± SD of -0.49 ± 0.15 cm and the sagittal balance ± 1.14 ± 1.33 cm, with sagittal compensations ranging from -8.30 to 5.77 cm. LLD evaluated between the femoral heads presented a mean value of 0.52 ± 0.50 cm; 84.4% had a discrepancy of less than 1 cm, 14.3% of 1-1.5 cm, and only one patient had a discrepancy of more than 1.5 cm. The pelvic obliquity presented similar values to those found in the evaluation of discrepancy from the femoral heads, with a mean value of 0.55 ± 0.55 cm. An analysis was also performed dividing the scoliotic sample into those with perfect alignment (LLD less than 0.10 cm) and those without perfect alignment. We concluded that even when there is a small misalignment of the lower limbs, the right lower limb is much more likely to be the longest one, as 45.6% of the population had a longer right lower limb vs 26.6% with a longer left lower limb. The remainder were considered perfectly aligned.

When conducting a more in-depth analysis of LLD, we found a tendency for the coronal balance to shift to more negative values when there is a left shortened lower limb. Therefore, there is a propensity for a coronal imbalance toward the shorter lower limb between patients with a smaller left limb compared to those with a smaller right limb, practically with statistical significance (p = 0.052) (Table 1). The magnitude of the LLD did not have a statistically significant correlation with the scoliotic curvature/Cobb angle. However, these results could be different in a population with higher leg length discrepancy values.

Thus, for a population with a small LLD, the latter had a greater influence on the coronal balance than on the magnitude of the scoliotic curvature.
On the other hand, when the LLD was evaluated for different locations of the main scoliotic curvature, namely between the scoliotic population with a major thoracolumbar and a major lumbar curvature, the mean LLD value was significantly different (p<0.01). It was found that those with a major lumbar scoliotic curvature had a mean LLD that was about twice that of the other group (0.88 ± 0.39 cm vs 0.43± 0.42 cm). There were no statically significant differences between the Risser Stage extremes (0 and 5), despite the fact that patients with greater skeletal maturity presented higher mean LLD values than those with immature skeletons. Also the correlations between LLD, PO, CCA, SB, RS and LL were not statistically significant (Table 2). The Risser Stage most frequently found was 0, corresponding to 43.8% of the cases (Table 3). The TC was found to be mostly closed (67.5% of the study sample), and all patients with open TC presented a Risser Stage of 0.

Although the female gender had a great importance in the studied sample and therefore in the AIS (76.3%), there was no significant difference from male patients in relation to the Risser Stage values, TC, LLD (assessed through femoral head discrepancy and evaluation of pelvic obliquity) and severity of the scoliotic curvature.

Table 1. The influence of leg length discrepancy side on coronal balance.

| Leg length discrepancy side | Coronal balance (median [IR]) | p-value |
|-----------------------------|-------------------------------|---------|
| Perfectly aligned (<0.1cm)  | -0.73 (2.37)                 | 0.169*  |
| Left lower limb larger      | 0 (2.08)                     |         |
| Right lower limb larger     | -0.69 (1.59)                 |         |

IR = interquartile range; * p<0.052 between left lower limb larger and right lower limb larger

Table 2. Relationship between LLD, PO, CCA, SB, RS and LL.

| Variables                          | r     | r²    | p-value |
|------------------------------------|-------|-------|---------|
| Leg length discrepancy vs Pelvic obliquity | 0.788 | 0.621 | <0.001  |
| Leg length discrepancy vs CCA       | -0.107| 0.011 | 0.346   |
| Leg length discrepancy vs Sagittal balance | -0.159| 0.025 | 0.327   |
| Leg length discrepancy vs Risser stage | 0.046| 0.002 | 0.69    |
| Leg length discrepancy vs Lumbar lordosis | 0.116| 0.013 | 0.334   |
| Pelvic obliquity vs Sagittal balance | -0.202| 0.041 | 0.087   |
| Pelvic obliquity vs Risser stage    | -0.098| 0.01  | 0.386   |
| Pelvic obliquity vs Lumbar lordosis | 0.076| 0.006 | 0.528   |

Table 3. Descriptive statistics of skeletal maturity.

| Risser Stage | n   | (%)  |
|--------------|-----|------|
| 0            | 35  | (43.8)|
| 1            | 7   | (8.8)|
| 2            | 3   | (3.8)|
| 3            | 8   | (10)|
| 4            | 13  | (16.3)|
| 5            | 14  | (17.5)|
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