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ABSTRACT

Observed rainfall is a very essential parameter for the analysis of rainfall, day to day weather forecast and its validation. The observed rainfall data is only available from five observatories of IMD; while no rainfall data is available at various important locations in and around Delhi-NCR. However, the 24-hour rainfall data observed by Doppler Weather Radar (DWR) for entire Delhi and surrounding region (up to 150 km) is readily available in a pictorial form. In this paper, efforts have been made to derive/estimate the rainfall at desired locations using DWR hydrological products. Firstly, the rainfall at desired locations has been estimated from the precipitation accumulation product (PAC) of the DWR using image processing in Python language. After this, a linear regression model using the least square method has been developed in R language. Estimated and observed rainfall data of year 2018 (July, August and September) was used to train the model. After this, the model was tested on rainfall data of year 2019 (July, August and September) and validated. With the use of linear regression model, the error in mean rainfall estimation reduced by 46.58% and the error in max rainfall estimation reduced by 84.53% for the year 2019. The error in mean rainfall estimation reduced by 81.36% and the error in max rainfall estimation reduced by 33.81% for the year 2018. Thus, the rainfall can be estimated with a fair degree of accuracy at desired locations within the range of the Doppler Weather Radar using the radar rainfall products and the developed linear regression model.

1. Introduction

RADAR is an acronym for Radio Detection and Ranging. It is a device capable of detecting objects at far off distances, measuring the distance or range of the object by using electromagnetic waves. Radars have assisted weather predictions for over fifty years but its operational use in hydrologic applications spans only a decade or so. The hydrological applications of the radar have gained traction with the introduction of Doppler Weather Radars.

Doppler weather radar makes use of the Doppler Effect to measure velocity of moving targets it detects. It works by detecting the change in the frequency of the transmitted and returned signal arising due to the movement of the target. The velocity component of a target relative to the radar beam is known as the “radial velocity”. The radar used for the purpose of this research is a Dual Polarized Doppler Weather Radar installed at IMD HQ, New Delhi. It is a C-Band Radar (Frequency: 4-8 GHz, Wavelength: 5.625 mm).
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8-4 cm) with a range of 250 Km.

Dual Polarization or Polarimetric Radar differs from conventional Doppler radar by producing both a horizontally polarized beam and a vertically polarized beam. A horizontally polarized beam has its electric field oriented in the horizontal plane, while a vertically polarized beam has its electric field oriented in the vertical plane. This allows the radar to provide information on the shape and orientation of the hydrometeors and non-meteorological scatterers that it detects.

Weather radars offer an unprecedented opportunity to improve our ability of observing extreme storms and quantifying their associated precipitation. These events trigger floods and flash-floods, debris flow, and landslides. However, quantitative estimation of rainfall from radar observations is a complex process. It involves issues of engineering design of a complicated and sophisticated hardware with both electronic and mechanical subsystems, signal processing, propagation and interaction of electromagnetic waves through the atmosphere and with the ground, image analysis and quality control, physics of precipitation processes, optimal estimation and uncertainty analysis, database organization and data visualization, and hydrologic applications.

Past researches have suggested advances in rainfall estimation using radar polarimetric observations, estimation of the error structure of rainfall rate estimates, and validation of radar rainfall algorithms along with highlighting the potential of radar-rainfall products for operational flood forecasting [7]. Research has also shown that estimates of precipitation are improved when rain gauge observations are used to calibrate quantitative radar data as well as to estimate precipitation in areas without radar data [11]. Research has also been done to improve the accuracy of rain intensity estimation using artificial neural network technique [6].

In this paper, the validation of the hydrological products of Delhi DWR has been carried out. The algorithm used for the generation of the hydrological products is NSSL2005 (a proprietary algorithm of V A I S A L A Company). The hydrological products generated by the above-mentioned algorithm have been analysed using image processing.

A linear regression model was used to quantitatively estimate the amount of rainfall in and around Delhi-NCR. The regression models a relation between the dependent(Y) and an independent(X) variable. The independent variable is called the predictor variable and the dependent variable is known as the response variable. The relationship can be expressed as \( Y = c + mX + \ldots \) nth degree. Linear regression is a type of statistical analysis that attempts to show the relationship between two variables. It creates a predictive model on any data, showing trends in data. In our case, the rainfall obtained from PAC product is the independent variable and the final rainfall that we want to estimate is the dependent variable.

The rainfall estimate obtained from hydrological products and the data obtained from surface observatories for the year 2018 was used to train the linear regression model. This model was then tested on the rainfall data collected in 2019.

In this study, monsoon period has been considered, since it is the most crucial period in which we require accurate rainfall data from as many locations as possible. This not only helps us in determining the stage of the monsoon (onset or retreat) but also whether the monsoon is below normal, normal or above normal. The study will also help us in determining the rainfall amount at those stations/areas where no observatory or rainfall measuring equipment is present.

The second section of this paper describes the study domain while the third sections lists the various data sources for the research. The fourth section elaborates the methodology followed for the purpose of the study. The fifth and sixth section discuss the results and conclusions respectively, that have been derived at the end of the research.

2. Study Domain

In this study, rainfall data from Delhi-NCR, Haryana, Rajasthan and Uttar Pradesh is considered. We have considered a total of 29 stations (Figure 1 and Figure 2) of the above-mentioned states that come within 150 km from the position of Doppler Weather Radar stationed at IMD HQ, Lodhi Road, New Delhi.
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**Figure 1.** The location of various districts covered as seen in a PAC product.
3. Data Sources

Data used for this study are:

(1) Surface Rainfall Intensity (SRI): The SRI generates an image of the rainfall intensity in a user-selectable surface layer with constant height above ground. A user-definable topographical map is used to find the co-ordinates of this surface layer relative to the position of the radar. This map is also used to check for regions where the user-selected surface layer is not accessible to the radar. These parts of the image will be filled with the NO DATA value. The product provides instantaneous values of rainfall intensity. The estimated values of reflectivity are converted to SRI by using $Z = AR^b$ [8] where $R$ is the rainfall intensity, $A$ and $b$ are constants. The values of $A$ & $b$ vary from season to season and place to place.

(2) Precipitation Accumulation (PAC): The PAC product is a second level product. It takes SRI products of the same type as input and accumulates the rainfall rates in a user-definable time period (look back time). Every time a new SRI product is generated, the PAC generation starts again. The display shows the colour-coded rainfall amount in [mm] for the defined time period. Precipitation Accumulation (PAC) Products generated by the DWR have been downloaded (in .gif format) from radar section of the official website of India Meteorological Department (https://mausam.imd.gov.in/imd_latest/contents/index_radar.php) that are updated on a daily basis. A bash script was written to automate this process. At a fixed time, each day, the script downloads the PAC product gif images from the official website and saves them in the repository with that day’s date.

(3) Those PAC products that were not available on the website have been generated in the Radar Lab from the RAW data of the concerned date (Figure 3). Raw Radar data of the concerned date was sent to the input folder of the IRIS software. This raw data was then ingested by the IRIS software. After the ingestion, Surface Rainfall Intensity (SRI) product was created for every 10-min interval. Once all the SRI products were created, RAIN-1 product was created for each hour. Thus, a total of 24 RAIN-1 products were created for the whole day. After that, a single RAIN-N (PAC) product was created for the whole 24-hour duration by overlapping all the RAIN-1 products ($N$ can be programmed to have any value from 1 to 24).

4. Methodology

Visual Studio Code Editor was used to code the script in
Python 3 which would read the image and carry out image processing. Pixel coordinates corresponding to each concerned station were determined using Microsoft Paint and then coded in the script. The script first reads the PAC image (in .gif format) using PIL/Pillow library and then converts it into OpenCV image format. Image processing using OpenCV library was carried out to determine the amount of rainfall. The algorithm for calculating the rainfall amount takes into account the value of the pixel at the desired coordinate (Figure 4). The RGB combination corresponding to each colour in the Precipitation accumulation scale (present on the right side of the PAC image) and the associated rainfall range were stored in an array. The pixel coordinates for each of concerned station were also stored in an array. For each pixel coordinate, the RGB value was calculated. Based on the RGB value, the rainfall was calculated. This step was repeated for all the pixels lying in a 3*3 grid surrounding the station coordinates. The average rainfall value of all the nine pixels was allotted to the concerned station. This was done to eliminate/reduce the effect of noise.

Data, thus calculated, was stored in csv format date wise, corresponding to PAC product of each date. We refer to this data as “Estimated Rainfall”. This was done for both 2018 and 2019. The above calculated data and the data from various ground stations (We refer to this data as “Observed Rainfall”) for the year 2018 was then used to train a linear regression model in R. The model was then tested on the estimated and observed rainfall data of 2019 and the results were studied and analysed (Figure 5). R Studio Integrated Development Environment (IDE) was used for the purpose of the modelling the linear regression model and testing it out.

5. Results and Discussion

5.1 Analysis of Observed and DWR Estimated Rainfall for 2018

On comparing the observed and DWR estimated rainfall for 2018, it is found that there is a high positive correlation between the two (Figure 6). This comparison was done without use of the developed linear regression model. The minimum rainfall values shown by both the methods were identical while the mean rainfall value estimated using RADAR is 35.8% less than the mean rainfall observed during the concerned months in 2018. The maximum rainfall value estimated using RADAR is 57.33% less than the maximum rainfall observed during the concerned months in 2018 (Table 1).
Figure 6. Scatter plot between observed and estimated rainfall values for July, August and September 2018

Table 1. Estimated and observed rainfall comparison for 2018

| Estimated Rainfall | Observed Rainfall |
|--------------------|-------------------|
| Min.: 0.000        | Min.: 0.000       |
| Mean.: 4.419       | Mean.: 6.888      |
| Max.: 96.500       | Max.: 226.200     |

5.2 Analysis of Observed and DWR Estimated Rainfall for 2019

On comparing the observed and DWR estimated rainfall for 2019, it is found that there is a high positive correlation between the two (Figure 7). This comparison was done without the use of the developed linear regression model. The minimum rainfall values shown by both were identical while the mean rainfall value estimated using RADAR is 22.85% less than the mean rainfall observed during the concerned months in 2019. The maximum rainfall value estimated using RADAR is 28.24% less than the maximum rainfall observed during the concerned months in 2019 (Table 2).

Figure 7. Scatter plot showing positive correlation between observed and estimated rainfall values for July, August and September 2019

Table 2. Estimated and observed rainfall comparison for 2019

| Estimated Rainfall | Observed Rainfall |
|--------------------|-------------------|
| Min.: 0.000        | Min.: 0.000       |
| Mean: 3.285        | Mean: 4.258       |
| Max.: 85.100       | Max.: 118.600     |

5.3 Development of Model using Least Square Method

The model is found using the least square method using the rainfall data from 2018. The minimum and maximum residuals associated with the model are -66.388 and 130.167 respectively (Table 3). The residuals, which are vertical distance between data points and the regression line, are both positive and negative, in this case which shows that data points are evenly distributed on both sides of the regression line.

Table 3. Model residuals

| Residuals: | Min | 1Q  | Median | 3Q  | Max  |
|------------|-----|-----|--------|-----|------|
|            | -66.388 | -0.461 | -0.461 | -0.461 | 130.167 |

The equation derived from the model is:

\[
\text{Actual Rainfall} = 1.45454 \times (\text{Rainfall estimated from PAC product}) + 0.4607 \quad (1)
\]

The standard error associated the coefficient of the independent variable (i.e. rainfall estimated using PAC product) is 0.02557 (Table 4). Such a low value indicates that there is only a small error in the coefficient. The ratio of coefficient value and its associated standard error gives t-value and its high value (in our case: 56.879) indicates high degree of accuracy of the coefficient of the independent variable.

Table 4. Statistical parameters of the model

| Coefficients: | Estimate | Std. Error | t value | Pr(>|t|) |
|---------------|----------|------------|---------|---------|
| (Intercept)   | 0.46071  | 0.29202    | 1.578   | 0.115   |
| Estimated     | 1.45454  | 0.02557    | 56.879  | <2e-16  |

The value of R-squared is 0.6895 which indicates that 68.95% of the total variation in the dependent variable is being explained by our equation/model. The p-value is less than 2.2e-16 and thus it is less than 10%, 5% and even 1% level of significance. Therefore, we can safely reject the null hypothesis and conclude that our independent variable is significant.

For the scope of this paper, the intercept value in Eq. (1) has been ignored, since it is very small (i.e. less than 1mm) and on the assumption that when the radar indicates that there was no rainfall, there was no observed rainfall.

5.4 Verification of Model Estimated and Observed Rainfall

After training the linear regression linear model with 2018 rainfall data, the model was tested on 2019 rainfall data.
and the results have been shown in Table 5.

Table 5. Image estimated rainfall, Model estimated rainfall and Observed rainfall comparison for 2019

| Rainfall estimated directly from image | Rainfall estimated by model | Rainfall Observed |
|---------------------------------------|----------------------------|------------------|
| Min.: 0.000                           | Min.: 0.000                | Min.: 0.000      |
| Mean: 3.285                           | Mean: 4.7778               | Mean: 4.258      |
| Max.: 85.100                          | Max.: 123.7811             | Max.: 118.600    |

With the use of the linear regression model, it can be seen that the mean rainfall value estimated using model is 12.2% more than the mean rainfall observed during the concerned months in 2019 and the maximum rainfall value estimated using model is 4.3% more than the maximum rainfall observed.

The linear regression linear model was re-run on the training data set (2018 rainfall data) and the results have been shown in Table 6.

Table 6. Image estimated rainfall, Model estimated rainfall and Observed rainfall comparison for 2018

| Rainfall estimated directly from image | Rainfall estimated by model | Rainfall Observed |
|---------------------------------------|----------------------------|------------------|
| Min.: 0.000                           | Min.: 0.000                | Min.: 0.000      |
| Mean: 4.419                           | Mean: 6.4278               | Mean: 6.888      |
| Max.: 96.500                          | Max.: 140.3628             | Max.: 226.200    |

With the use of the linear regression model, it can be seen that the mean rainfall value estimated using model is 6.68% less than the mean rainfall observed during the concerned months in 2018 and the maximum rainfall value estimated using model is 37.94% less than the maximum rainfall observed.

5.5 Discussion

With the use of linear regression model, the error in mean rainfall estimation reduced by 46.58% and the error in max rainfall estimation reduced by 84.53% for the year 2019. The error in mean rainfall estimation reduced by 81.36% and the error in max rainfall estimation reduced by 33.81% for the year 2018.

The root mean square error between the model estimated and observed rainfall value was found to be as low as 0.9807644 mm (i.e. less than 1mm) which is evident in Figure 8, Figure 9 and Figure 10.

6. Conclusions

Rainfall data was successfully extracted and actual rainfall was estimated from the hydrological products of the RADAR using image processing and regression for the monsoon period for the years 2018 and 2019. From the above results and discussion, it can be concluded that the rainfall at any desired location can be estimated using hydrological products generated by the Doppler Weather Radar.

The developed linear regression model can be used to estimate the rainfall amount to a great degree of accuracy over a range of rainfall values. The equation obtained by regression is:

\[
\text{Actual Rainfall} = 1.45454 \times (\text{Rainfall estimated from PAC product})
\]

However, it was also observed that when the rainfall is very heavy (115.6 – 204.4 mm) to extremely heavy (\(>=\) 204.5 mm) the difference between the estimated and observed rainfall is large as compared to when the rainfall in light to moderate.

This usage of hydrological products generated by weather radars can be extended to radars located at other locations across India and used to estimate rainfall where no observatories/AWS/ARGs are available.

Acknowledgments

The authors are thankful to Director General of Meteorology (DGM), India Meteorological Department (IMD), New Delhi and Deputy Director General of Meteorology (DDGM), RMC New Delhi for rendering all the facilities. The authors also gratefully acknowledge MC Chandigarh,
MC Lucknow and RMC Delhi for providing the rainfall data of Delhi-NCR and adjoining areas, DWR Division and Radar Lab, I.M.D, New Delhi for providing all the necessary PAC product images to carry out this study.

References

[1] Anagnostou E.N., Krajewski W.F. Real-Time Radar Rainfall Estimation. Part I: Algorithm Formulation. Journal of Atmospheric and Oceanic Technology, 1999a, 16: 189-197.

[2] Anagnostou E.N., Krajewski W.F. Real-Time Radar Rainfall Estimation. Part II: Case Study. Journal of Atmospheric and Oceanic Technology, 1999b, 16: 198-205.

[3] Anagnostou E.N., Anagnostou M.N., Krajewski W.F., Kruger A., Miriovsky B.J. High-Resolution Rainfall Estimation from X-Band Polarimetric Radar Measurements. Journal of Hydrometeorology, 2004, 5: 110-128.

[4] Brandes E.A. Optimizing Rainfall Estimates with the Aid of Radar. Journal of Applied Meteorology. 1975, 14: 1339-1345.

[5] Brandes E.A., Zhang G., Vivekanandan J. Experiments in Rainfall Estimation with a Polarimetric Radar in a Subtropical Environment. Journal of Applied Meteorology, 2012, 41: 674-685.

[6] Dutta D., Sharma S., Sen G.K., Kannan B.A.M, Venketswarlu S., Gairola R.M., Das J., Viswanathan G. An Artificial Neural Network based approach for estimation of rain intensity from spectral moments of a Doppler Weather Radar. Advances in Space Research, 2011, 47: 1949-1957.

[7] Krajewski W. F., Smith J. A. Radar hydrology: rainfall estimation. Advances in Water Resources. 2002, 25: 1387-1394.

[8] Marshall J.S., Langille R.C., Palmer W. McK. Measurement of Rainfall by Radar. Journal of Meteorology. 1947, 4: 186-192.

[9] Smith J.A., Baeck M.L., Meierdiercks K.L., Miller A.J., Krajewski W.F. Radar rainfall estimation for flash flood forecasting in small urban watersheds. Advances in Water Resources. 2007, 30: 2087-2097.

[10] Sun X., Mein R.G., Keenan T.D., Elliott J.F. Flood estimation using radar and rain gauge data. Journal of Hydrology. 2000, 239: 4-18.

[11] Wilson J.W., Brandes E.A. Radar Measurement of Rainfall - A Summary. Bulletin American Meteorological Society, 1979, 60: 1048-1058.

[12] Xiao R., Chandrasekar V. Development of a neural network-based algorithm for rainfall estimation from radar observations. IEEE Transactions on Geoscience and Remote Sensing, 1997, 35: 160-171.