Observation of enhanced thermopower due to spin fluctuation in weak itinerant ferromagnet
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Increasing demand for higher energy efficiency calls for waste heat recovery technology. Thus, facilitating practical thermoelectric generation systems is strongly desired. One option is enhancing the thermoelectric power factor, $S^2/\rho$, where $S$ is the Seebeck coefficient and $\rho$ is the electrical resistivity, although it is still challenging because of the trade-off between $S$ and $\rho$. We demonstrate that enhanced $S^2/\rho$ can be achieved by incorporating magnetic interaction in ferromagnetic metals via the spin fluctuation arising from itinerant electrons. We show that electron-doped Heusler alloys exhibit weak ferromagnetism at $T_C$ near room temperature with a small magnetic moment. A pronounced enhancement around $T_C$ was observed, with a 20% improvement in the power factor from the case where spin fluctuation is suppressed by applying magnetic field. This result supports the merit of using spin fluctuation to further enhance thermoelectric properties and the potential to further probe correlations and synergy between magnetic and thermoelectric fields.

INTRODUCTION

Thermoelectric generation is a promising technology as it can convert waste heat to electric power. An urgent task is to further enhance the conversion efficiency. While the importance of calculation methods is rapidly increasing in this and other research fields, it is also vitally important to incorporate new principles that have not been considered in the current calculations of thermoelectric materials. Improvement in thermoelectric properties has been achieved, for example, by synergizing lattice distortion due to the Peierls transition (1), creating resonant electronic states (2), using anharmonic atomic vibrations (3) or critical fluctuation around polar structural transitions (4), etc. The use of magnetic interactions for power generation is also rapidly expanding, such as in spin Seebeck effect, anomalous Nernst effect, magnon-drag thermopower, etc. (5–10).

It should be noted that these magnon- or spin-related effects are based on thermally nonequilibrium spin currents. The relevant spins are effective only in the magnetically ordered state and are mainly due to spatially localized electrons. In the present work, by contrast, we focus on other spin-related dynamics, namely, spin fluctuations in itinerant electron systems, which are effective even in the paramagnetic state. This interaction has not been actively exploited for power generation applications up to now, despite the fact that it can be essentially important in terms of thermodynamic properties.

Spin fluctuations in itinerant electron systems give predominant effects on thermodynamic properties in weakly or nearly ferromagnetic metals (11, 12). The electronic specific heat is enhanced from that of a normal metal, indicating that the electronic entropy is increased due to spin fluctuations. Thermopower, which is roughly regarded as the entropy transferred by a charge carrier, is also expected to be enhanced through spin fluctuations in the vicinity of the magnetic ordering. Pronounced peak structure was observed in the temperature-dependent Seebeck coefficient of YCo2 and LuCo2 (13). Since these compounds are classified to be nearly ferromagnetic metals, the peaks in the Seebeck coefficients were attributed to the magnon drag effect, indicating the pronounced spin fluctuation contribution (13). The effect of spin fluctuation was also discussed for the filled skutterudites AFe2Sb12 (A = Ca, Sr, Ba), which are considered to be close to the ferromagnetic instability (14). The Seebeck coefficient of AFe2Sb12 shows a shoulder-like anomaly at 50 K, near which the magnetic susceptibility also exhibits a maximum. Theoretical study has predicted that spin fluctuations in nearly ferromagnetic metals can cause a peak in the Seebeck coefficient around the temperature characteristic to the spin fluctuation energy (15).

While the spin fluctuation effect in thermopower is intriguing from an academic point of view, it has not been considered useful for practical purposes. Seebeck coefficients of the RCo2 compounds were too small to be applied for thermoelectric generation because of the metallic nature. In the case of AFe2Sb12 skutterudites, although filled skutterudites form a class of promising thermoelectric materials for high-temperature application, the effect of spin fluctuation was only observed at cryogenic temperatures. If those effects can occur in thermoelectric materials at their working temperatures, then introducing spin fluctuation can be a new guiding principle to enhance thermoelectric properties. Here, we demonstrate that the enhancement in the Seebeck coefficient indeed occurs even near room temperatures by showing experimental results of the Heusler compounds Fe$_2$V$_{1-x}$Ta$_x$Sb$_{13}$, where $T$ is a transition element.

The mother compound, Fe$_2$VAl, has been extensively investigated for thermoelectric applications (16, 17). Fe$_2$VAl shows a semiconductor-like electrical conductivity with a nonmagnetic ground state due to the presence of a pseudogap at the Fermi energy (18). By replacing constituents with other elements or introducing nonstoichiometry, carriers can be doped and thermoelectric properties can be greatly improved, with the highest power factor (PF) of 55 $\mu$W/K$^2$ cm obtained around room temperature for the chemical composition of Fe$_2$VAl$_{30}$. In the course of our research on these Heusler-type thermoelectric materials, we obtained Cr-doped samples with chemical composition close to Fe$_2$V$_{0.9}$Cr$_{0.1}$Al$_0.9$Sb$_{1.1}$ and Fe-rich samples, Fe$_2$V$_{0.8}$Al$_{1.2}$. We observed weak ferromagnetic behavior in these samples. Furthermore, we discovered a pronounced enhancement in the Seebeck coefficient around the Curie temperature because of strong coupling with the spin fluctuation. One notable feature of our discovery is that, by intrinsic nature, the thermoelectric enhancement is not limited to...
temperatures below the magnetic ordering temperature but is effective at higher working temperatures. We observed large PFs up to 12 µW/K² cm in these compounds around room temperature and will show that a substantial portion of this can be attributed to the enhancement by spin fluctuations.

RESULTS
Magnetic and thermolectric properties
First, we show the results of the Fe₂V₀.9Cr₀.1Al₀.9Si₀.1 sample. As is shown in Materials and Methods, this sample includes unintentionally doped Cr (and a small amount of Ni) ions, which presumably are doped mainly in the V sites. Figure 1A shows the field-dependent magnetization M measured at fixed temperatures. The data show that ferromagnetic behavior develops at low temperatures. An interesting point is that the saturation magnetization Mₛ is only 0.4 µB per magnetic atom at 10 K. Here, only Fe and Cr atoms are assumed to be responsible for the magnetization. Figure 1B shows temperature dependence of the magnetization M and the inverse magnetic susceptibility 1/HM measured at H = 1 T. The rapid increase in M below about 200 K suggests a weak ferromagnetic transition. The Curie temperature Tₐ = 160 K was determined from the peak in the dM/dH curve measured at H = 0.1 T (see fig. S1). Above 200 K, H/M shows a T linear dependence, indicating a Curie-Weiss behavior, H/M = (T − θ)/C, where θ and C are the Weiss temperature and the Curie constant, respectively. Here, C = Npₐf₁/3kB, where N, pₐf, and kₐ are the number of magnetic ions, the effective magnetic moment, and the Boltzmann constant, respectively. By fitting the data above 250 K with a linear function, θ = 167 K and C = 2.27 K emu/mol were obtained. The value of θ is in good agreement with Tₐ = 160 K, indicating that the small Mₛ is not due to ferrimagnetism or canted antiferromagnetism but to a pure ferromagnetism. Moreover, the C value yields an effective magnetic moment pₐf = 4.26 µB per magnetic ion. The value of pₐf is close to the theoretical value for an Fe²⁺ (S = 2 for the high-spin state) ion, pₐf = g[S(S + 1)]½, µB = 4.90µB with g = 2. These facts assure that the ferromagnetism is due to the bulk effect of the sample but not to a small amount of ferromagnetic impurity. These results indicate that this compound is a weak itinerant ferromagnet. This is more evident if we compare the data with other ferromagnetic materials on the well-known Rhodes-Wohlfarth plot (11, 20, 21), which is shown in fig. S2. In these weak ferromagnets, thermal fluctuation of the local spin density, which is referred to as spin fluctuation, is significant, as has been demonstrated for many intermetallic systems (11, 12).

While the mother compound Fe₂VAI has a nonmagnetic ground state, this compound is very close to ferromagnetic ordering, as has been demonstrated by many studies. Ferromagnetic behavior has been observed for Fe₂₅₋₂ₓVAIₙ₋ₓAl with 0.1 ≤ x, and both ferromagnetic moment and Tₐ linearly increase with increasing x (18, 22). These magnetic properties have been interpreted within the framework of itinerant electron magnetism with strong spin fluctuation (23). The magnetic properties of Fe₂VAI₁₋ₓSiₓ have so far been investigated for lightly Si-doped samples with x ≤ 0.04 (24, 25), and it was reported that superparamagnetic contribution develops below 300 K in Fe₂VAI₁₋ₓSiₓ for x ≤ 0.02. Thus, it is reasonable that Fe₂V₀.9Cr₀.1Al₀.9Si₀.1 shows a ferromagnetic transition with a small saturation magnetization of 0.4 µB and a relatively low Tₐ = 160 K. These values are close to those observed for Fe₂₂₅₋₂ₓVAIₓ with Tₐ = 120 K and Mₛ = 0.4 µB (22). The small saturation magnetization reflects that the ferromagnetism is due to itinerant electrons and that their thermodynamic properties are strongly affected by spin fluctuations, of which amplitude is expected to develop with temperature (11).

In Fig. 1C, temperature-dependent electrical resistivity, ρ(T), is shown. ρ(T) measured under zero field exhibits a cusp at Tₛ = 160 K. ρ(T) shows a semiconductor-like increase for T > Tₛ, while it changes to a metallic behavior for T < Tₛ. A similar change in the ρ(T) slope at Tₛ has been reported for Fe₂₂₅₋₂ₓVAI as well (18, 22). When magnetic field is applied, the peak is suppressed and turns into a broad maximum. The magnetoresistance (MR) is most significant at Tₛ = 160 K; ρ(T)/ρ₀ reaches −2.6%. The negative MR and the peak structure behavior are attributed to scattering of carrier electrons by spin fluctuations, the effect of which is suppressed by magnetic fields. On the other hand, positive MR is observed below 100 K. Here, the magnetic field is applied in the parallel direction with electric current, as shown in the inset of Fig. 1C; thereby, the conventional transverse MR is not responsible. At present, the origin of this positive MR is unclear and can be relevant to some change in the electronic state. Similar positive MR was reported for Fe₁₋ₓCoₓSi (26), where a weakly ferromagnetic state is
induced by doping Co into the nonmagnetic semiconductor FeSi. Figure 1D shows temperature dependence of the Seebeck coefficient $S(T)$ measured under zero field and at 7 T. $S(T)$ shows negative values, and the absolute values increase with temperature monotonically. This is consistent with the n-type doping since Cr and Si have one more valence electrons than V and Al, respectively. Notably, the absolute values increase with temperature monotonically. This is measured under zero field and at 7 T.

The fluctuation is suppressed accordingly.

**Fig. 3. Enhanced thermopower by spin fluctuation.** (A) Seebeck coefficient divided by temperature $S/T$ of Fe$_2$V$_{0.9}$Cr$_{0.1}$Al$_{0.9}$Si$_{0.1}$, plotted as functions of magnetic field and temperature. $-dS/T$ has a sharp peak at $T_C$ under zero magnetic field and is remarkably suppressed with increasing $H$. This implies that the Seebeck coefficient at zero field is enhanced by spin fluctuation. (B) Spin fluctuation, i.e., thermal fluctuation of local spin density of itinerant electrons, is schematically illustrated. The fluctuation is most significant near $T_C$, although the static magnetic moment is small. (C) Under a strong magnetic field, the net magnetic moment is stabilized, the fluctuation is suppressed accordingly.

**Temperature dependence of the PF is shown in Fig. 2.** In the inset, the enhancement ratio of PF due to magnetic field, $\Delta PF/\Delta S = (\langle PF_T \rangle - \langle PF_0 \rangle)/\langle PF_0 \rangle$, is shown. The PF at $H = 0$ T is larger by more than 20% at around $T_C$; than that at $H = 7$ T, where spin fluctuation is suppressed. Furthermore, the effect remains almost up to room temperatures. Temperature dependence of the thermal conductivity, $\kappa(T)$, is shown in fig. S3. The $\kappa(T)$ measured at $H = 7$ T agrees well with that at $H = 0$ T, indicating the minor effect of external fields on thermal conductivity.

It is important to clarify in what temperature range the enhancement in Seebeck coefficient is significant and how the magnetic field can alter the properties. In Fig. 3A, values of $|S/T|$ of Fe$_2$V$_{0.9}$Cr$_{0.1}$Al$_{0.9}$Si$_{0.1}$ are plotted in the $T$-$H$ plane. At zero field, $|S/T|$ exhibits a sharp peak at $T_C$. With increasing field, the peak becomes less sharp and turns into a broad maximum. This crossover can be understood by the suppression of spin fluctuations under external fields, which is schematically depicted in Fig. 3B. Spin fluctuation gives the most dominant effect around $T_C$ and is important even above $T_C$, since the energy scale of spin fluctuation is usually one to two orders of magnitudes larger than $T_C$ for itinerant electron ferromagnets (11, 12). This is in contrast to spin wave (magnon)–related phenomena, which exist only below $T_C$. When a magnetic field is applied, the net magnetic moment increases. In that case, spin fluctuation, i.e., thermal fluctuation of the local spin density, is suppressed concomitantly, as illustrated in Fig. 3C. Under $H = 7$ T, the broad peak still remains, indicating that the effect of spin fluctuation is not fully suppressed yet. One can see that the enhancement in $|S/T|$ exists in a wide temperature range. It is therefore expected that enhanced thermoelectric properties in a more applicable temperature range will be achieved by using itinerant ferromagnetic materials with $T_C$ close to room temperature.

To test the use of spin fluctuation enhancement toward applications, we examined thermoelectric properties in a Fe$_2$VAI-based material with higher $T_C$. Since the Fe$_2$V$_{0.9}$V$_{0.1}$Al system exhibits ferromagnetic ordering with $T_C$ near room temperatures (22), we selected Fe$_{2.2}$V$_{0.8}$Al$_{1-y}$Si$_y$. Magnetic properties of $y = 0$ (Fe$_{2.2}$V$_{0.8}$Al) and $y = 1.0$ (Fe$_{2.2}$V$_{0.8}$Si) were...
reported to show ferromagnetic ordering at around 80 and 200 K, respectively (27, 28). While p-type behavior was reported for \( y = 0 \), the \( y = 1.0 \) sample is known to be n type. The optimum carrier concentration can be expected to occur in the middle of the two compositions. In Fig. 4, the results for the \( y = 0.4 \) sample are displayed. In Fig. S4, \( p-T \) and \( \kappa-T \) of \( y = 0.4 \) are also presented. Results for \( y = 0.6 \) and 0.8 are shown in figs. S5 and S6. We found that \( \text{Fe}_{2.2}\text{V}_{0.8}\text{Al}_{1.0}\text{Si}_{0.4} \) exhibits weak ferromagnetism with \( T_C = 285 \) K and \( M_S = 0.55 \mu_\text{B}/\text{Fe} \). \( T \)-dependent Seebeck coefficients measured in zero field and at 9 T (shown in Fig. 4C) increase linearly with \( T \) up to 200 K and then turn to show nonlinear curves up to the highest temperature measured (400 K).

The enhancement is slightly suppressed at \( H = 9 \) T. The relative difference of the Seebeck coefficients between \( H = 0 \) and 9 T, \( |S_{\text{9T}} - S_{\text{0T}}|/|S_{\text{0T}}| \), shows a maximum value of about 14% at \( T_C \) and is constantly higher than 10% from 200 to 350 K. The relative enhancement in PF, \( \text{PF}_{9T}/\text{PF}_{9T} \), is 20% at \( T_C \), as shown in Fig. 4D, and is larger than 10% from 100 to 400 K.

As was shown for the \( \text{Fe}_{2.2}\text{V}_{0.9}\text{Cr}_{0.1}\text{Al}_{0.9}\text{Si}_{0.1} \) compound in Fig. 3A, the effect of spin fluctuation is not fully suppressed by magnetic fields of a few Tesla. The net enhancement is presumably much higher. If we assume that the diffusion part of the Seebeck coefficient without spin fluctuation effect is approximated by the linear extrapolation from the low-temperature data, which is shown as the green broken lines in Fig. 4C, noted as \( S_{\text{diff}} \), then the enhancement in the Seebeck coefficient due to spin fluctuation can reach \( \sim 50\% \) at 400 K. This indicates that the PF could have been approximately doubled by the effect of spin fluctuation at 400 K, as a result of which a high value of 12 \( \mu\text{W/K}^2\text{cm} \) is achievable. Similar orders of enhancement are also observed for \( \text{Fe}_{2.2}\text{V}_{0.8}\text{Al}_{1.0}\text{Si}_{1.0} \) with \( y = 0.6 \) and 0.8 samples as well (see figs. S5 and S6, respectively), although \( S_{\text{diff}} \) was not able to be estimated for the two samples because of strong phonon drag effect. Electronic band structure calculations are desired to derive accurate values of \( S_{\text{diff}} \) which allows exactly evaluating the contribution of spin fluctuation. Nevertheless, the present results unambiguously demonstrate that spin fluctuations in weak itinerant ferromagnets have an excellent potential to boost thermoelectric PF up to practically useful levels around the room temperature range. The possible effect due to electron-magnon drag will also be considered in the Discussion.

**Magnetotransport property**

To shed light on the correlation of carrier transport and magnetic moments, we measured the Hall resistivity of \( \text{Fe}_{2.2}\text{V}_{0.9}\text{Cr}_{0.1}\text{Al}_{0.9}\text{Si}_{0.1} \) at various temperatures. The Hall resistivity is generally expressed as \( \rho_{xy} = R_0H + 4\pi R_xM \), where the first term corresponds to the normal Hall contribution, with \( R_0 \) being the normal Hall coefficient, and the second term represents the contribution of the anomalous Hall effect (AHE), with \( R_x \) and \( M \) being the anomalous Hall coefficient and the magnetization, respectively. In Fig. 5, measured results are presented. The \( \rho_{xy} \) data exhibit strong nonlinear behavior over the whole temperature ranges, which is attributed to AHE. At \( T = 10 \) K, \( \rho_{xy} \) shows almost parallel behavior to the \( M(H) \) data at 10 K (Fig. 1A). From the comparison of \( \rho_{xy} \) and \( M(H) \), the AHE term is estimated to be as large as 11 \( \mu\text{W} \) cm. It was reported that the ferromagnetic semiconductor \( \text{Fe}_{1.5}\text{Mn}_{0.5}\text{Si} \) shows a large AHE with \( \rho_{xy} = 1.4 \mu\text{W} \) cm, which is 150 times larger than that of \( \text{Fe}_{1.0}\text{Co}_{0.0}\text{Si} \) with similar magnetic properties (29). In this regard, the AHE of \( \rho_{xy} = 11 \mu\text{W} \) cm in our sample can be unusually large. We consider whether this may simply be attributed to the larger magnetic moment in \( \text{Fe}_{2.2}\text{V}_{0.9}\text{Cr}_{0.1}\text{Al}_{0.9}\text{Si}_{1.0} \). The saturation magnetization for \( \text{Fe}_{0.5}\text{Co}_{0.5}\text{Si} \) was \( 4\pi M = 370 \) G from (29), while \( 4\pi M = 1900 \) G for

---

**Fig. 4. Magnetic and thermoelectric properties of \( \text{Fe}_{2.2}\text{V}_{0.8}\text{Al}_{0.6}\text{Si}_{0.4} \). (A) Field dependence of the magnetization \( M \) of \( \text{Fe}_{2.2}\text{V}_{0.8}\text{Al}_{0.6}\text{Si}_{0.4} \). The saturation magnetization at \( T = 5 \) K is as small as \( M_S = 0.55 \mu_\text{B}/\text{Fe} \). (B) \( T \)-dependence of \( M \) measured at \( T = 0.1 \) T and its field derivative \( dM/dH \). \( T_C = 285 \) K is determined by the peak of \( dM/dH \). Above \( T_C \), fitting yields the effective magnetic moment \( \mu_{\text{eff}} = 4.20 \mu_\text{B} \) and the Weiss temperature \( \theta = 292 \) K. (C) Seebeck coefficient measured at \( H = 0 \) and 9 T. Solid lines are the results of the fitting by the spin fluctuation model (Eq. 2), which is explained in the Discussion. Broken line is the linear extrapolation from the low-temperature data. Inset: The relative difference of Seebeck coefficients, \( |S_{\text{9T}} - S_{\text{0T}}|/|S_{\text{0T}}| \). Solid line in the inset is a fit with a polynomial function. (D) \( T \)-dependence of the PF. Inset: The relative difference of the PF, \( \text{PF}_{9T}/\text{PF}_{9T} \). Solid line in the inset is a fit with a polynomial function.

**Fig. 5. Hall resistivity \( \rho_{xy} \) of \( \text{Fe}_{2.2}\text{V}_{0.9}\text{Cr}_{0.1}\text{Al}_{0.9}\text{Si}_{1.0} \). \( \rho_{xy} \) shows behavior that is well parallel to the \( M(H) \) data shown in Fig. 1A and is explained by \( \rho_{xy} = HR_0 + 4\pi MR_x \), where \( R_0 \) and \( R_x \) are the normal and the anomalous Hall constants, respectively. The values of \( R_0 \) and \( R_x \) were obtained by fitting the data, which are shown in fig. S7.**
Fe$_2$V$_{0.9}$Cr$_{0.1}$Al$_{0.6}$Si$_{0.4}$ from Fig. 1. Therefore, we fit the $\rho_{\text{diff}}$ data to obtain the values of $R_0$ and $R_t$ using the $M(H)$ data, as shown in Fig. S7. As a result, the AHE coefficient at $T = 10$ K is obtained as $R_t = 0.63$ cm$^2$/V.s. This value is comparable to that of Fe$_{0.9}$Co$_{0.1}$Si, $R_t \approx 0.5$ cm$^2$/V.s, indicating that the AHE of the present compound is also unusually large, comparable to the case of the Fe$_{0.9}$Co$_{0.1}$Si compound (29). Notably, $R_0$ of Fe$_2$V$_{0.8}$Cr$_{0.2}$Al$_{0.9}$Si$_{0.1}$ changes its sign between two temperatures. This may imply that there are two types of carriers with different effective mass and mobility. Although the origin of the large AHE is yet unclear, this unambiguously demonstrates strong coupling between magnetism and carrier conduction in these materials.

**DISCUSSION**

In addition to spin fluctuations, the effect of magnon (spin wave) excitations may also need to be considered for the present compounds. The magnon drag thermopower was first suggested to occur in Fe (30). Comparison with the latest theoretical results (31, 32) and experimental data demonstrated that the Seebeck coefficients of Fe, Co, and Ni are well explained by the sum of the linear dependence of $S$ on $T$ and the magnon drag term $S_{\text{mag}}$ (33). The latter is predicted to have a $T^{3/2}$ dependence under the assumption of a quadratic magnon dispersion (33). In the present case, estimation of $S_{\text{diff}}$ is difficult because electronic energy band calculations are not available for Fe$_2$V$_{0.9}$Cr$_{0.1}$Al$_{0.9}$Si$_{0.1}$ and Fe$_{2.2}$V$_{0.8}$Al$_{0.6}$Si$_{0.4}$. We hence approximate $S_{\text{diff}}$ by a $T$ linear extrapolation of the $S(T)$ data from low-temperature values, as shown by the broken lines in Figs. 1D and 4C. In Fig. 6, $\Delta S = |S_T - S_{\text{diff}}|$ of Fe$_2$V$_{0.9}$Cr$_{0.1}$Al$_{0.9}$Si$_{0.1}$ and Fe$_{2.2}$V$_{0.8}$Al$_{0.6}$Si$_{0.4}$ are plotted as functions of $T$ in log-log scales. In the figures, the difference $|S_T - S_{\text{mag}}|$ is also plotted. For both compounds, temperature dependence of $\Delta S$ is weak below 0.5 $T_C$. For the middle temperature range ($0.5 T_C < T < T_C$), $\Delta S$ appears to obey a power law $T^\beta$, with $n = 2.5$ for Fe$_2$V$_{0.9}$Cr$_{0.1}$Al$_{0.9}$Si$_{0.1}$ and $n = 4.7$ for Fe$_{2.2}$V$_{0.8}$Al$_{0.6}$Si$_{0.4}$, both of which values are much larger than $n = 3/2$ predicted by the magnon drag theory. The difference $|S_T - S_{\text{mag}}|$ also shows a similar tendency. Hence, the magnon drag effect does not seem to be important in the present systems.

Possible reasons for the absence of the magnon drag effect are considered in the following. First, the spontaneous magnetic moments of the present compounds are very small compared to conventional ferromagnets such as Fe and Co. The heat flux carried by magnons would therefore be limited. In the case of weak ferromagnets, in particular, the magnon excitation is only well defined in a narrow $q$-$\omega$ range since the Stoner excitations dominate over wide $q$-$\omega$ space (11). Accordingly, the contribution of magnons to thermal properties can be small.

Second, the magnon drag thermopower may be weakened in the case of weak itinerant ferromagnets. From the theoretical study based on the spin-motive force, the magnon drag thermopower is described as

$$S_{\text{mag}} \propto (\beta - 3\alpha) P_s \kappa_m$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

where $\beta$, $\alpha$, $P_s$, and $\kappa_m$ indicate the spin-transfer torque parameter, the Gilbert damping parameter, the spin polarization of conduction electrons, and the thermal conductivity due to magnons, respectively. It was pointed out that the ratio $\beta/\alpha$ is equal to $S_t/S_s$, which is the ratio of the total magnetic moment ($S_t$) to the spin moment of itinerant electrons ($S_s$) (9, 34). While conventional ferromagnets such as Fe belong to itinerant electron systems, they are close to localized moment systems in the Rhodes-Wohlfarth plot (fig. S2). This implies that the condition $S_t/S_s \gg 1$, i.e., $\beta \gg \alpha$, is valid. For the weak ferromagnet, however, $S_t$ and $S_s$ are in the same order; thereby, the prefactor $(\beta - 3\alpha)$ can be reduced significantly. Therefore, it is suggested that the enhanced thermoelectric properties in our study contain a new mechanism other than the magnon drag effect. One thing that we also note is that the exponent $n = 3/2$ of the power law in the magnon drag theory arises from the assumption of quadratic magnon dispersion, which is generally true for ferromagnets. In the case of antiferromagnets, $n = 3$ would be expected (35). Hence, the possibility that the large $n$ values in Fig. 6 stem from a unique magnon band structure may not be completely excluded. It is desirable in the future to perform inelastic neutron scattering experiments to investigate the dispersion relation in the present Heusler compounds.
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**Fig. 6. Power law behavior in thermopower.** Difference of Seebeck coefficients at $H = 0$ T and the diffusion thermopower, $S_{\text{diff}}$, of Fe$_2$V$_{0.9}$Cr$_{0.1}$Al$_{0.9}$Si$_{0.1}$ (A) and that of Fe$_{2.2}$V$_{0.8}$Al$_{0.6}$Si$_{0.4}$ (B), Here, $S_{\text{diff}}$ was approximated by the linear extrapolation of the low-temperature data in Figs. 1D and 4C. Difference of $S$ between 0 T and magnetic fields (7 T for (A) and 9 T for (B)) is also shown. Fitting results with a power law are shown by broken lines.
Next, let us consider whether the context of the magnon drag theory can be applied to describe the spin fluctuation enhancement. Since Eq. 1 includes the spin polarization $P_s$, it cannot explain the enhanced $S(T)$ above $T_C$, where $P_s$ should be zero. On the other hand, Watzman et al. (33) pointed out that another approach using a hydrodynamic theory can also explain the magnon drag thermopower. They treated magnons and electrons as two fluids, and only the interaction between the two fluids is considered. Thus, scatterings of electron-phonon or magnon-magnon are neglected. As a result, they suggested that $S_{mag}$ is proportional to the magnon heat capacity, $C_{mag}$. Since this theory does not depend on a microscopic model, this can be straightforwardly extended to the spin fluctuation effect. If the spin fluctuations are regarded as a fluid and the interaction among them is neglected, then the thermopower due to spin fluctuation can roughly be related to specific heat due to spin fluctuations. It was shown that the spin fluctuation–related specific heat continues to increase with temperature monotonically above $T_C$ and that the critical divergence at $T_C$ does not occur for weak ferromagnets (11). This seems to be consistent with the observation of $S(T)$ for Fe$_2$V$_{0.8}$Al$_{0.6}$Si$_{0.4}$ shown in Fig. 4C. Thus, the hydrodynamic approach for the magnon drag thermopower may give a qualitative explanation for the spin fluctuation enhancement. The assumption to neglect internal coupling may be valid at very low temperatures. At high temperatures, interaction among them (mode-mode coupling of spin fluctuations) should be essentially important, since those collective excitations dominate the low-energy excitations (11). Hence, analysis based on the spin fluctuation theory should be more appropriate.

As explained in the Introduction, $S(T)$ of nearly ferromagnetic metals such as YCO$_2$ (13) and CaFe$_2$Sb$_{12}$ (14, 36) exhibits a peak around the temperatures where the magnetic susceptibility shows a maximum. The peak in $S(T)$ for CaFe$_2$Sb$_{12}$ is completely suppressed by applying magnetic field (14). On the basis of these experimental results, Okabe (15) studied the effect of spin fluctuations by a two-band model. In this model, d electrons are responsible for the spin fluctuation, while transport properties are due to conduction electrons, which are dragged by spin fluctuations. According to (15), the Seebeck coefficient is described as

$$S_{SF} = AT + BT \left( \frac{T}{T_0} \right)^2 \ln \left( \frac{\delta + (T/T_0)^2}{(T/T_0)^2} \right)$$

where $A$, $B$, $T_0$, and $\delta$ are parameters. We have fit the data of Fe$_2$V$_{0.8}$Al$_{0.6}$Si$_{0.4}$ and plotted the results in Fig. 4C as solid lines, where $\delta = 4$ was assumed (15) and the values of the parameters $A$ and $B$ were fixed against $H$. Equation 2 appears to explain the data over the measured temperature range. The characteristic temperatures were obtained to be $T_0 = 931$ and 1120 K for $H = 0$ and 9 T, respectively. The increased $T_0$ with $H$ suggests that the low-energy spin fluctuation is more easily suppressed by the magnetic field.

So far, we have interpreted the enhanced Seebeck coefficient and the magnetism in terms of strong spin fluctuation. This was based on the assumption of a uniform distribution of ferromagnetic moments due to itinerant electrons. On the other hand, Lue et al. (37) suggested that the magnetism of Fe$_2$VAl-based alloys can be dominated by localized magnetic moments of Fe antisites. If this is the case, then our observation of the enhanced Seebeck coefficient and large AHE may be interpreted as due to the interaction of carriers with localized magnetic moments at Fe antisites or disorders. It should be noted that this interaction between localized moments and carriers can also lead to enhanced Seebeck coefficients, as observed in chalcopyrite-based magnetic semiconductors (38, 39). This may partially be the case. However, magnetic susceptibility (Fig. 1B, above $T_C$) shows a clear Curie-Weiss behavior with positive $\theta$ and reasonable value of effective magnetic moment, indicating that all the Fe sites contribute to the ferromagnetic behavior. Therefore, the uniform ferromagnetic moment due to itinerant electrons is the more probable explanation for the present case.

Notably, in the Fe$_{2.2}$V$_{0.8}$Al$_{0.6}$Si$_{0.4}$ system, p-type behavior is reported for $y = 0$, where the Seebeck coefficient does not show any field variation up to $H = 15$ T, although the compound exhibits ferromagnetism below about 90 K (27). In our study, Si doping allowed for a change in the polarity of the sample to n-type, and the remarkable field dependence occurs only in n-type samples. The precise mechanism of the spin fluctuation enhancement and the strong correlation between carrier transport and magnetism are yet to be clarified; thus, detailed theoretical study is necessary.

In summary, we identified weak ferromagnetism for the Fe$_2$V$_{0.9}$Cr$_{0.1}$Al$_{0.9}$Si$_{0.1}$ and Fe$_{2.2}$V$_{0.8}$Al$_{0.6}$Si$_{0.4}$ samples below 160 and 285 K, respectively, which is understood in terms of weak itinerant ferromagnet. Thermoelectric property measurements revealed an unusual enhancement in the temperature-dependent Seebeck coefficient. The enhancement is most prominent around $T_C$ but is observed for a wide temperature range from 100 K up to above room temperatures. When a magnetic field is applied, the enhancement is rapidly suppressed and a normal linear $T$ behavior starts to be recovered. This remarkable feature should be attributed to the effect of spin fluctuation, which is significant for weakly or nearly ferromagnetic metals. As a result, the PFs of the samples are enhanced by more than 20% when compared to that when spin fluctuation is suppressed by a magnetic field. If we assume that the normal diffusion thermopower is approximated by the linear extrapolation of $S$-$T$ data at low temperatures, then the enhancement in the Seebeck coefficient of Fe$_{2.2}$V$_{0.8}$Al$_{0.6}$Si$_{0.4}$ can reach 50% at 400 K, indicating that spin fluctuation brings a critically important contribution to the large PF (12 $\mu$W/K$^2$ cm at 400 K). Unlike the case of classical ferromagnets such as Fe and Co, Seebeck coefficients were not explained by the magnon drag effect. Instead, the calculated results based on the spin fluctuation theory appear to be consistent with the experimental data of Fe$_{2.2}$V$_{0.8}$Al$_{0.6}$Si$_{0.4}$. We observed an unusually large AHE in the present sample. All these findings demonstrate that the magnetic interaction is strongly coupled with the carrier transport to cause enhanced thermoelectric properties, which points to a new and effective strategy to obtain high output in thermoelectric generating devices. In this regard, our finding is quite unique and will add a new aspect of correlating magnetism to the power generation technologies.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Sample preparation**

Initially, polycrystalline samples of Fe$_2$VAl$_{0.9}$Si$_{0.1}$ were synthesized by mechanical alloying, followed by a spark plasma sintering (SPS) method with an attempt to synthesize samples with low thermal conductivity due to controlled nanostructures (40). Appropriate amounts of Fe (99.9%), V (99.9%), Fe-Al (99.9%), and Si (99.9%) powders were weighed to make Fe$_2$VAl$_{0.9}$Si$_{0.1}$ and were milled in a planetary ball mill with a Cr steel pot and balls. The pot was clamped and sealed with a rubber O-ring. Then, it was evacuated and filled with argon gas (99.9%) to prevent oxidation during the milling. The milling was...
performed at a revolution speed of 350 rpm for 7 hours with interval time taken every 5 min. The powder was loaded in a graphite die and was sintered by the SPS in a vacuum of $2 \times 10^{-2}$ Pa under pressure of 40 MPa at 1173 K for 30 min. Powder X-ray diffraction pattern (fig. S8) confirmed the single-phase sample with the cubic Heusler-type structure, and no secondary phase was detected. The chemical composition of the sample was checked by means of an electron probe microanalysis (EPMA) with wavelength-dispersive spectroscopy (WDS) using JEOL JXA-8500F. The results are shown in fig. S9. It has been revealed that Cr and a small amount of Ni are contaminated and are distributed almost uniformly over the sample, with the chemical composition of Fe$_{2.00}$V$_{0.94}$Cr$_{0.17}$Ni$_{0.02}$Al$_{0.86}$Si$_{0.10}$. This result is likely to suggest that 6% of the V site and 4% of the Al site are replaced by Cr and a small amount of Ni. The EPMA mapping showed that small particles like regions have a chemical composition of about Fe$_{2.10}$V$_{0.85}$Cr$_{0.17}$Ni$_{0.05}$Al$_{0.74}$Si$_{0.09}$. This part presumably is also the Heusler-type phase but with less V and Al and with more Cr and Ni concentrations. Since the volume fraction of this Cr- and Ni-rich particle is very small, we describe this sample as Fe$_{2}$V$_{0.9}$Cr$_{0.1}$Al$_{0.9}$Si$_{0.1}$ in the text for clarity.

Since an intriguing correlation between weak ferromagnetism and thermoelectric properties has been found in this composition, we then tried to synthesize other samples where part of the V site is replaced by transition metals. Fe$_{2.2}$V$_{0.8}$Al$_{1-x}$Si$_{x}$ was chosen since Fe$_{2.2}$V$_{0.8}$Al and Fe$_{2.2}$V$_{0.8}$Si are reported to be ferromagnetic (27, 28). Appropriate amounts of Fe (99.98%), V (99.9%), Si (99.9999%), and Al (99.999%) were melted in an arc furnace under Ar. The weight loss of the samples during the melting was less than 1%; thereby, the compositional expectations are to be close to the nominal ones. The ingots were sealed in quartz tubes under Ar and were subjected to the heat treatment at 1237 K for 6 hours, followed by slow cooling down to 627 K in 48 hours, where the samples were further annealed for 12 hours and were rapidly cooled to room temperature. Powder X-ray diffraction (shown in fig. S10) confirmed that all these compounds crystallized in the L2$_1$-type structure.

**Physical property measurements**

Magnetization measurements were performed by a superconducting quantum interference device magnetometer from Quantum Design, MPMS (magnetic property measurement system). Electrical resistivity, Seebeck coefficient, and thermal conductivity were measured using a physical property measurement system (PPMS; Quantum Design) from 10 to 400 K under magnetic fields from 0 to 7 T for all the samples and up to 9 T for selected samples. Magnetic field, electric current, and temperature gradient were all in parallel, as indicated in the inset of Fig. S1.

Hall effect measurements of the Fe$_{2.2}$V$_{0.8}$Al$_{1-x}$Si$_{x}$ sample were carried out using a square-shaped sample (5 mm by 5 mm) by the PPMS with a current of 10 mA under scanning magnetic field from $-7$ to 7 T.
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