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OBJECTIVE: To compare the risk of intrauterine fetal death (20 weeks of gestation or later) and neonatal death among individuals who tested positive for severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) compared with those who tested negative for SARS-CoV-2 on admission for delivery.

DATA SOURCES: MEDLINE, Ovid, EMBASE, Cumulative Index to Nursing and Allied Health, and Cochrane Library were searched from their inception until July 17, 2020. Hand search for additional articles continued through September 24, 2020. ClinicalTrials.gov was searched on October 21, 2020.

METHODS OF STUDY SELECTION: The inclusion criteria were publications that compared at least 20 cases of both pregnant patients who tested positive for SARS-CoV-2 on admission to labor and delivery and those who tested negative. Exclusion criteria were publications with fewer than 20 individuals in either category or those lacking data on primary outcomes. A systematic search of the selected databases was performed, with co-primary outcomes being rates of intrauterine fetal death and neonatal death. Secondary outcomes included rates of maternal and neonatal adverse outcomes.

TABULATION, INTEGRATION, AND RESULTS: Of the 941 articles and completed trials identified, six studies met criteria. Our analysis included 728 deliveries to patients who tested positive for SARS-CoV-2 and 3,836 concurrent deliveries to patients who tested negative. Intrauterine fetal death occurred in 8 of 728 (1.1%) patients who tested positive and 44 of 3,836 (1.1%) who tested negative (P = 0.60). Neonatal death occurred in 0 of 432 (0.0%) patients who tested positive and 5 of 2,400 (0.2%) who tested negative (P = 0.90). Preterm birth occurred in 95 of 714 (13.3%) patients who tested positive and 446 of 3,759 (11.9%) who tested negative (P = 0.31). Maternal death occurred in 3 of 559 (0.5%) patients who tested positive and 8 of 3,155 (0.3%) who tested negative (P = 0.23).

CONCLUSION: The incidences of intrauterine fetal death and neonatal death were similar among individuals who tested positive compared with negative for SARS-CoV-2. Other immediate outcomes of the newborns were also similar among those born to individuals who tested positive compared with negative for SARS-CoV-2.

SYSTEMATIC REVIEW REGISTRATION: PROSPERO, CRD42020203475.
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In December 2019, the first documented case of human coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) caused by severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) was described.1 By October 2020, there were more than 40 million confirmed cases of SARS-CoV-2 globally, with more than 1 million COVID-19–associated deaths.2 Coronavirus disease during pregnancy is considered a risk factor for adverse outcomes such as perinatal death, preterm birth, and cesarean delivery.3 Data from the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention indicate that pregnant individuals might be at increased risk for severe COVID-19 illness.4 Although there are a multitude of reports5–9 on pregnancy outcomes among individuals who tested positive for SARS-CoV-2, few reports provide outcomes on a contemporaneous control group (ie, outcome data on individuals who tested negative for the novel virus). Without the comparator group, assessing whether the adverse outcomes differ among those with the infection is difficult to ascertain.

In this systematic review and meta-analysis, we compared outcomes among individuals undergoing delivery who tested positive for SARS-CoV-2 on admission to labor and delivery compared with those who tested negative. The co-primary objectives of this systematic review and meta-analysis were to compare the risks of fetal death (20 weeks of gestation or later) and neonatal death in pregnancies in the two groups. We hypothesized there would be higher rates of fetal and neonatal death among individuals who tested positive for SARS-CoV-2. The secondary objectives were to compare the adverse outcomes for maternal–neonatal dyad among pregnancies with and without SARS-CoV-2 infection.

STUDY SELECTION
Inclusion criteria for this study were case series, observational studies, or completed clinical trials consisting of at least 20 individuals who tested positive for SARS-CoV-2 at the time of hospital admission for delivery at a gestational age greater than 20 weeks that also included a contemporaneous control group of at least 20 additional pregnant patients who tested negative for SARS-CoV-2 during the same study period. This threshold was set to avoid selection bias of rare outcomes. Exclusion criteria included publications whose data were outside the scope of the declared primary or secondary outcomes, as well as articles lacking laboratory-confirmed SARS-CoV-2 diagnosis. We also excluded publications lacking primary data (eg, consensus documents, narrative reviews). Articles were not excluded based on country of origin or language. To minimize the effects of publication bias, one of this study’s authors (S.P.C.) emailed the corresponding authors from seven14,16–21 published articles that met inclusion criteria but lacked primary outcome data; emails were sent to all authors requesting unpublished data for secondary outcomes. Three authors14,17,18 replied with additional unpublished data that were incorporated into our analysis. Despite meeting all other inclusion
criteria, six articles were excluded from consideration owing to lack of fetal and neonatal death rates.6,19–23

**METHODS**

Maternal, obstetric, and perinatal outcomes were abstracted. The maternal outcomes we compared were maternal death; maternal respiratory support; intensive care unit (ICU) admission; sepsis; venous thromboembolism; blood transfusion; combined antepartum and postpartum hemorrhage; intrapartum fever; postpartum fever; preeclampsia with and without severe features; and postpartum readmission to the hospital. The obstetric outcomes we compared were rates of operative vaginal delivery and cesarean delivery stratified by indication. The additional neonatal adverse outcomes we compared were neonatal intensive care unit (NICU) admission, preterm birth, small for gestational age (as defined by the original publication authors), large for gestational age (as defined by the original publication authors), 5-minute Apgar score less than 7, ventilation longer than 6 hours, hypoglycemia, seizure, intraventricular hemorrhage grade III or IV, bronchopulmonary dysplasia, necrotizing enterocolitis (NEC) class 2 or 3, and sepsis. Data for average birth weight and neonatal length of stay were not aggregated owing to variation in reporting among articles considered (eg, mean with standard deviation vs mode with interquartile range). Given inconsistent reporting of similar data types, we calculated pooled proportions of combined rates of all forms of hypertensive disorders of pregnancy mentioned in each publication.

To minimize the effects of information bias, two authors (B.J.F.H., I.A.M.) reviewed all abstracts independently. Agreement regarding potential relevance or inconsistencies was reached by consensus or resolved by discussion with a third reviewer (S.P.C.). The same reviewers independently extracted relevant data from full-text copies of applicable articles, compared findings, and likewise resolved inconsistencies by discussion with the third reviewer.

Data analysis was performed with Review Manager 5.3. All analyses were carried out using the random effects model (of DerSimonian and Laird, assuming that the data being analyzed were drawn from a hierarchy of different populations). Owing to the small number of cases of the outcomes explored, univariate comparisons of dichotomous data were performed using Fisher exact test. Heterogeneity was measured using I-squared (Higgins I²).

Quality assessment of the included studies was performed using the Newcastle-Ottawa Scale for case–control studies.24 According to the Newcastle-Ottawa Scale, each study is judged on three broad perspectives: the selection of the study groups; the
comparability of the groups; and the ascertainment of the exposure. Assessment of the selection of a study includes the evaluation of the adequacy of the case definition, the representativeness of the cases, and the selection and definition of the control group. Assessment of the comparability of the study includes the evaluation of the case and control groups on the basis of the design or analysis. Finally, the exposure perspective includes the ascertainment of the exposure, the homogeneity in the ascertainment for both the case and control groups, and the nonresponse rate. According to the Newcastle-Ottawa Scale, a study can be awarded a maximum of one star for each numbered item within the selection and exposure categories. A maximum of two stars can be given for comparability (Appendix 2, available online at http://links.lww.com/AOG/C238). In the absence of original research and in keeping with historical convention, this study was considered exempt from IRB approval.

RESULTS

Of 1,650 abstracts resulting from the database search, 739 were identified as duplicates and removed by the librarian. Ten additional hand-searched manuscripts and 20 publications associated with 11 completed clinical trials were further assessed. Of the remaining 941 articles, 18 duplicates were subsequently identified and removed from consideration, 428 narrative publications were excluded, and 372 articles that reported on cohorts other than our preidentified study population were excluded. An additional 93 articles were removed from consideration after title and abstract review indicated that outcomes reported did not overlap with our preidentified outcomes of interest. Eleven articles were removed that lacked abstract or full-text availability. Seven articles had fewer than 20 patients in both testing cohorts and were hence excluded. Six remaining articles lacked primary outcomes data and were also excluded (Fig. 1). Email correspondence with authors enabled inclusion of one additional article and permitted the incorporation of additional primary outcomes data from another article. There were six articles with at least 20 gravid individuals with laboratory-confirmed SARS-CoV-2 and 20 contemporaneous gravid individuals who tested negative for the novel virus on admission for delivery with fetal and neonatal death rate data. All six publications were observational studies that reported universal screening for SARS-CoV-2 on admission for delivery. These six articles originated from four countries, with study period spanning from March 2020 to July 2020 (Table 1). Our final analysis included 728 deliveries (including 14 multiples) to patients who tested positive for SARS-CoV-2 and 3,836 deliveries (including 77 multiples) to contemporaneous patients who tested negative.

Individuals who tested positive for SARS-CoV-2 were significantly more likely to be 35 years or older (P= .007) (Table 2). There was no significant difference between the test-positive compared with the test-negative cohorts in rates of diabetes, chronic lung disease (combined asthma and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease), or hypertensive disorders of pregnancy (combined chronic hypertension, gestational hypertension, and preeclampsia with and without severe features). Obesity (body mass index [BMI, calculated as weight in kilograms divided by height in meters squared] 30 or higher) was less likely in the test-positive cohort (23.2% vs 27.8%, P=.02). The average maternal age and average gestational age at delivery were unable to be calculated owing to variations in reporting. Although outcomes were reported among women who delivered beyond 20 weeks of gestation, one article reported demographics for all women included in the study, some of whom did not deliver viable offspring (43 abortions or ectopic pregnancies accumulated between both cohorts). Maternal characteristics associated with these 43 pregnancy outcomes were included in the overall maternal demographic analysis.

Among the 4,473 pregnant individuals who delivered and were included in the analysis, 714 (16.0%) had laboratory-confirmed SARS-CoV-2 infection. Accounting for higher-order deliveries, there was a total of 4,564 births included in this study: 728 deliveries by patients who tested positive for SARS-CoV-2 compared with 3,836 deliveries by patients who tested negative. Two authors categorized COVID-19 disease severity according to the World Health Organization classification scheme. The combined asymptomatic rate among test-positive individuals from these two cohorts was 86.0% (239/278), mild 11.5% (32/278), moderate 1.1% (3/278), severe 1.4% (4/278), and critical 0.0% (0/278).

Fetal death occurred in 8 of 728 (1.1%) patients who tested positive for SARS-CoV-2 and in 44 of 3,836 (1.1%) who tested negative (P=.60) (Figs. 2 and 3). Neonatal death occurred in 0 of 432 (0.0%) live births to patients who tested positive for SARS-CoV-2 and in 5 of 2,400 (0.2%) live births to those who tested negative (P=.90) (Table 3) (Figs. 4 and 5).

Statistically significant differences in secondary maternal outcomes were observed in maternal
respiratory support, maternal ICU admission, and postpartum fever comparisons ($P \leq 0.001$ for all three outcomes). Among the studies that met the eligibility criteria, there was no statistically significantly difference in the rate of maternal death associated with SARS-CoV-2 carriage ($P = .27$; Table 4).

Thirty percent of each cohort underwent cesarean delivery ($30.8\%$ test-positive vs $30.4\%$ test-negative) (Table 5).

No neonatal secondary outcomes were significantly different. The rate of preterm birth (before 37 weeks of gestation) was similar 95 of 714 (13.3%)
among test-positive patients compared with 446 of 3,759 test-negative ($P = .31$). Neonatal intensive care unit admission rates among publications that did not implement universal NICU admissions were similar between cohorts, with 12.8% of neonates born to patients who tested positive for SARS-CoV-2 compared with 13.0% born to those who tested negative ($P = .28$ and $P = .54$, respectively (Table 6). None of the publications reported on neonatal ventilation longer than 6 hours, hypoglycemia, seizures, intraventricular hemorrhage, bronchopulmonary dysplasia, NEC, or sepsis. Data on rates of neonatal ventilation longer than 6 hours and rates of neonatal hypoglycemia were shared by one author.18 Shared data from the same author indicate no cases of neonatal seizure, to those who tested negative, ($P = .42$). The rates of small for gestational age and large for gestational age neonates were similar between groups, with $P = .28$ and $P = .54$, respectively (Table 6). None of the publications reported on neonatal ventilation longer than 6 hours, hypoglycemia, seizures, intraventricular hemorrhage, bronchopulmonary dysplasia, NEC, or sepsis. Data on rates of neonatal ventilation longer than 6 hours and rates of neonatal hypoglycemia were shared by one author.18 Shared data from the same author indicate no cases of neonatal seizure,

Table 2. Maternal Demographics

| Demographic*† | SARS-CoV-2 Test Result |
|---------------|------------------------|
|               | Positive             | Negative           | $P$  |
| Age 35 y or older13–15,8 | 158/478 (33.1) | 599/2,225 (26.9) | .007 |
| Gestational age at diagnosis (wk) | NA | NA | NA |
| Latency between diagnosis and delivery (d) | NA | NA | NA |
| Diabetes (A1DM, A2DM, PGDM)13–17 | 54/689 (7.8) | 341/3,666 (9.3) | .22 |
| Chronic lung disease (asthma, COPD)13,14,16,17 | 16/534 (3.0) | 97/3,062 (3.2) | .83 |
| Hypertensive disorders of pregnancy or prepregnancy13–17 | 66/689 (9.6) | 329/3,666 (9.0) | .22 |
| Obesity (BMI 30 or higher)13–15,17 | 40/471 (8.5) | 120/1,972 (6.1) | .06 |
| Other comorbidities13,14,16,‖ | 28/464 (6.0) | 150/2,457 (6.1) | .95 |

SARS-CoV-2, severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2; A1DM, type A1 gestational diabetes; A2DM, type A2 gestational diabetes; PGDM, pregestational diabetes; COPD, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; BMI, body mass index.

Data are n/N (%) unless otherwise specified.

Bold indicates statistically significant findings ($P < .05$).

* Only one article17 reported on gravidity and parity; categories removed for the purpose of the meta-analysis.

† One article16 calculated demographics for all women included in the study, some of whom did not deliver (six abortions, one ectopic pregnancy in the SARS-CoV-2-positive cohort; 33 abortions, three ectopic pregnancies in the SARS-CoV-2-negative cohort).

‡ Average maternal age and average gestational age at delivery omitted owing to inability to aggregate data given nonstandardized reporting (example: mean with SD vs median with range).

§ Only one article17 clearly stated that BMI was prepregnancy; it is not clear whether the BMI in the publications by Perez13 and Pineles14 are prepregnancy or at admission for delivery.

‖ Comorbidities included chronic kidney disease, chronic liver disease, chronic heart failure, thrombophilia, antiphospholipid syndrome, rheumatic disease, and unspecified others.

Table 3. Primary Outcomes

| Outcome | SARS-CoV-2 Test Result |
|---------|------------------------|
|         | Positive (n=728 Fetuses, 432 Neonates) | Negative (n=3,836 Fetuses, 2,400 Neonates) | $P$* |
| Fetal death (20 wk or more)13–18,‡ | 8/728 (1.1) | 44/3,836 (1.1) | .60 |
| Neonatal death (from birth to 27 d)13,14,17,18,‡ | 0/432 (0.0) | 5/2,400 (0.2)§ | .90 |

SARS-CoV-2, severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2.

Data are n/N (%) unless otherwise specified.

* Obtained by Fisher exact test.

† Fetal death rate is per pregnancy, not total number of fetuses (owing to multiples).

‡ Based on communication with corresponding author,14 neonatal death increased to four (three occurring at gestational ages that spanned from 20 2/7 to 22 6/7 weeks of gestation; one at 38 6/7 weeks who died on day of life 10. One newborn born at 23 3/7 weeks of gestation was included in perinatal death count as a periviable delivery but died at day of life 33 and thus is not included in the tally of neonatal deaths for this analysis).

§ Of note, four of five neonatal deaths occurred at gestational ages before 24 weeks of gestation.
intraventricular hemorrhage grade III or IV, bronchopulmonary dysplasia, NEC class 2 or 3, or neonatal sepsis occurred in either group.

**DISCUSSION**

Of the 4,473 pregnant people assessed for the novel virus on labor and delivery in this systematic review and meta-analysis, the co-primary outcomes of fetal and neonatal death occurred at similarly low rates (approximately 1% risk of fetal death, and near-0% risk for neonatal death) regardless of SARS-CoV-2 carrier status. The rate of fetal death in our analysis may seem high, however the rate reported by Khalil et al\(^{26}\) of 0.9% was similar to the rate calculated in this meta-analysis.

Notably, only one publication specified the gestational ages at which fetal death occurred (one fetal death at 37 weeks of gestation among asymptomatic patients who tested positive for SARS-CoV-2 and six fetal deaths among patients who tested negative occurring between 20 and 25 weeks), hence there is insufficient data to generalize the gestational age that fetal deaths occurred. As for exploring the underlying etiology of fetal death, Prabhu et al\(^{17}\) assessed placental pathology and found higher rates of fetal vascular malperfusion and meconium staining of the placenta among births to patients who tested positive for SARS-CoV-2. However, the placental pathology associated with the lone fetal death in the cohort of patients who tested positive for SARS-CoV-2 was reportedly normal. Although a publication by Patberg et al\(^{27}\) also found an association between maternal SARS-CoV-2 carriage and increased rates of placental histopathologic abnormalities such as fetal vascular malperfusion and villitis, there remains insufficient reporting among articles meeting inclusion criteria for this meta-analysis to comment on putative etiologies of fetal death in pregnancies that were and were not affected by the virus. Although transplacental infection of the fetus can occur,\(^{28,29}\) causing thrombotic and vascular changes similar to other inflammatory conditions,\(^{30,31}\) this appears to be uncommon\(^{32}\) and provides putative explanation for why the neonatal outcomes were similar among cohorts in this systematic review.

The rate of neonatal mortality identified among neonates born to patients who tested positive for SARS-CoV-2 in publications meeting inclusion criteria for this meta-analysis (0.0%, 0/432) was consistent with data from both the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention\(^{33}\) reporting the neonatal death rate of 0.2% (9/4,495) and a prior meta-analysis on this topic that reported neonatal death occurring in 0.3% of live births (1/313).\(^{5}\)

Neonatal morbidity, as assessed by an Apgar score less than 7 at 5 minutes, admission to the NICU, ventilation for at least 6 hours, hypoglycemia, and seizure, also occurred with similar frequency among those who tested positive compared with negative for SARS-CoV-2. None of the six publications meeting inclusion criteria for this meta-analysis reported on the outcomes of neonatal seizure, intraventricular hemorrhage grade III or IV, bronchopulmonary dysplasia, NEC class 2 or 3, or sepsis. Data shared by Vintzileos et al have 33 deliveries among test-positive patients and 130 deliveries among test-negative patients yet report none of the secondary neonatal outcomes of interest. Given the small number of cases, this was not statistically significant.

Obstetric practice patterns, such as decreased in-person prenatal visits and ultrasonograms, were altered during the early response to the pandemic,\(^{34-36}\) and may have contributed to the early rise in adverse outcomes. The observed preterm birth rate of 12–13% and cesarean delivery rate of approximately 30% were similar between those with and without the virus in this review, suggesting that previously ascertained increased rates of preterm birth (20%)\(^{3}\) and cesarean delivery (85%)\(^{3}\) might not have reflected disease process so much as they were influenced by transient changes in obstetric management. This observation was shared by a corresponding author of one of the original publications from China.\(^{37}\) A recent analysis of more than 1.5 million births also
showed substantial reductions in preterm birth rates after implementation of interventions to decrease communal spread of SARS-CoV-2.\textsuperscript{38} Compared with the prepandemic period, however, data suggest there has been a significant decrease in rates of preterm birth overall during the pandemic.\textsuperscript{39}

Consistent with trends observed in nonpregnant patients who tested positive for SARS-CoV-2,\textsuperscript{40} pregnant patients who tested positive in our review were more likely to experience fever, respiratory support, and admission to the ICU compared with their test-negative counterparts. Pathophysiologic mechanisms of advanced respiratory disease have been described in autopsy studies of nonpregnant populations and include diffuse alveolar thickening with mononuclear cell and macrophage infiltration; interstitial edema; and eventual pulmonary edema followed by hyaline membrane formation reflective of early acute respiratory distress syndrome.\textsuperscript{41} Such immunologic mechanisms have yet to be validated in the pregnant population.

Although vulnerability to the SARS-CoV-2 virus appears to be disproportionately distributed along racial lines,\textsuperscript{42} with Black and Latino individuals bearing an unusually high burden of adverse outcomes,\textsuperscript{43} these disparities must be understood in the context of social determinants of health to avoid the inappropriate conclusions that would further health inequities. For instance, in a study on hospitalization and mortality rates due to COVID-19 in nonpregnant patients,\textsuperscript{44} being of Black race was not associated with higher in-hospital mortality than being of White race when adjusting for sociodemographic and clinic characteristics on admission. Unfortunately, among the three publications included in this meta-analysis that commented on racial and ethnic demographics,\textsuperscript{13,14,17} none of the articles described who classified individuals’ race or ethnicity or whether the options were defined by the investigator or the participant. Furthermore, one author reported on a nonspecific category of “Other” without commenting on whether this was a prespecified formal category in a database or providing detail to describe which patients were included in that category. Thus, we are unable to appropriately assess whether race disproportionately affects maternal, neonatal and obstetric health outcomes among pregnant individuals with and without SARS-CoV-2.

The strengths of our analysis include data from four countries, which make the findings generalizable. With control groups of individuals who tested negative for SARS-CoV-2, we were able to compare the

| Study or Subgroup | SARS-CoV-2 positive | Total | SARS-CoV-2 negative | Total | Weight | M-H, Random, 95% CI |
|-------------------|---------------------|-------|---------------------|-------|--------|-------------------|
| Perez 2020        | 0 249               | 1 793 | 46.5%               | 1.06 [0.04, 26.07] | |
| Pineles 2020      | 0 77                | 4 865 | 54.4%               | 1.23 [0.07, 23.10] | |
| Prihle 2020       | 0 73                | 4 614 | Not estimable       | Not estimable    | |
| Vintzlees 2020    | 0 33                | 0 130 | Not estimable       | Not estimable    | |
| Total (95% CI)    | 432 2400            | 100.0% | 1.15 [0.13, 10.00]  | |
| Total events      | 5                   |       |                     |                  | |
| Heterogeneity: $I^2 = 0.00; C=0.00, df = 1 (P = 0.95)$; $I^2 = 0\%$ |
| Test for overall effect: $Z = 0.13 (P = 0.90)$ |

Fig. 4. Forest plot for neonatal death. SARS-CoV-2, severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2; M-H, Mantel-Haenszel.

Fig. 3. Funnel plot for fetal death. Huntley. Adverse Pregnancy Outcomes With and Without SARS-CoV-2. Obstet Gynecol 2021.

Fig. 5. Funnel plot for neonatal death. Huntley. Adverse Pregnancy Outcomes With and Without SARS-CoV-2. Obstet Gynecol 2021.
outcomes during the pandemic and associated changes in practice.34,45,46 Although there have been many systematic reviews on the topic,5,47–52 there is a paucity of literature directly comparing outcomes of deliveries to patients who tested positive compared with negative for the novel virus. A thorough review of ClinicalTrials.gov, for example, revealed that there are no completed trials that compare maternal and neonatal outcomes among individuals who tested positive compared with negative for SARS-CoV-2. In addition, our comparative group consisted of a contemporaneous cohort of individuals who tested negative for SARS-CoV-2, setting this analysis apart from previously published meta-analyses.53 Another strength is that we incorporated unpublished data from corresponding authors of prior publications to provide a nuanced understanding of the risk and rates of adverse outcomes given SARS-CoV-2 infection.

### Table 4. Maternal Adverse Outcomes

| Maternal Outcome* | SARS-CoV-2 Test Result |   |   |
|-------------------|------------------------|---|---|
|                   | Positive | Negative | P* |
| Death*            | 3/559 (0.5) | 8/3,155 (0.3) | .23 |
| Respiratory support | 6/179 (3.4) | 0/1,592 (0.0) | ≤.001 |
| ICU admission*    | 8/425 (1.9) | 3/2,355 (0.1) | <.001 |
| Hypertensive disease* | 42/510 (8.2) | 227/2,354 (9.6) | .39 |
| Antepartum or postpartum hemorrhage* | 24/605 (4.0) | 138/2,772 (5.0) | .27 |
| Transfusion of at least one unit PRBC* | 0/32 (0.0) | 1/129 (0.8) | .87 |
| Intrapartum fever* | 12/179 (6.7) | 73/1,592 (4.6) | .15 |
| Postpartum fever* | 13/179 (7.3) | 35/1,592 (2.2) | ≤.001 |
| Maternal postpartum readmission to the hospital* | 4/125 (3.1) | 0/129 (0.0) | .13 |

SARS-CoV-2, severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2; ICU, intensive care unit; PRBC, packed red blood cells. Data are n/N (%) unless otherwise specified. Bold indicates statistically significant findings (P < .05).

* No data were published on any of the rates of urinary tract infections or pyelonephritis; these are omitted from table.
† Obtained by Fisher exact test.
‡ All 11 maternal deaths occurred in India, published by Nayak et al.16
§ Maternal respiratory support is not further defined within contributing manuscripts or unpublished data that was shared on request.
\[ Distinguishing\ \text{intrapartum\ from\ postpartum\ fevers\ among\ cohorts\ in\ Pineles\ et\ al's}\]\[\text{publication\ is\ by\ unpublished\ data\ obtained\ through}\]\[\text{correspondence\ with\ author.}\]

### Table 5. Obstetric Adverse Outcomes

| Outcome | SARS-CoV-2 Test Result |   |   |
|---------|------------------------|---|---|
|         | Positive | Negative | P* |
| Live birth† | 720 | 3,798 | — |
| Preterm birth before 37 wk†‡ | 95/714 (13.3) | 446/3,759 (11.9) | .31 |
| Operative vaginal delivery†,13,15,16,18 | 34/567 (6.0) | 149/2,296 (6.5) | .85 |
| Nonoperative vaginal delivery†,13,15,16,18 | 366/567 (64.6) | 1,425/2,296 (62.1) | .75 |
| Vaginal delivery, unspecified†,4,17 | 94/147 (63.9) | 1,042/1,463 (71.2) | .30 |
| Cesarean delivery†,13–18 | 220/714 (30.8) | 1,142/1,759 (63.4) | .28 |
| Nonreassuring fetal status17,18 | 5/102 (4.9) | 27/734 (3.7) | .49 |
| Labor indications17,18 | 14/102 (13.7) | 58/734 (7.9) | .52 |
| Scheduled repeat17,18 | 9/102 (8.8) | 86/734 (11.7) | .57 |
| Multiple gestation17,18 | 3/102 (2.9) | 12/734 (1.6) | .32 |
| Malpresentation17,18 | 1/102 (1.0) | 23/734 (3.1) | .31 |
| Other17,18 | 9/102 (8.8) | 50/734 (6.8) | .87 |

SARS-CoV-2, severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2. Data are n or n (%) unless otherwise specified.

* Obtained by Fisher exact test.
† Live births calculated as number of pregnant patients—abortions—ectopic pregnancies—fetal deaths+multiples.
‡ One article (Nayak16) is unclear whether gestational age refers to gestational age at admission or at delivery.
§ Only one article (Perez13) distinguished between spontaneous and iatrogenic preterm birth.
Lastly, by setting a moderately high threshold for inclusion, the analysis avoids detection of rare adverse outcomes owing to selection bias.5

We acknowledge the limitations. The small number of cases in some of the included studies, their retrospective nonrandomized design, the lack of standardized criteria for the surveillance, and the heterogeneity of some of the baseline characteristics among women who tested positive for SARS-CoV-2 compared with those who tested negative are limitations of this systematic review. Because we had no access to original raw data of all the included studies, it was not possible to perform an individual patient meta-analysis nor a multivariate logistic regression, because the influence of known and suspected measured confounding factors was not universally reported in the original publications, except for two studies (Table 1). Most publications did not provide data on the severity of the infection, which ranged from asymptomatic to critical.54 Thus, although adverse outcomes due to COVID-19 during pregnancy are known to be associated with increased disease severity,55 we are unable to link disease severity to clinically relevant outcomes. Some authors either did not respond to email inquiries16,19–21 or understandably chose not to share yet unpublished data. Lastly, we note that one significant limitation is that lack of international standards in reporting prohibits aggregation of some data (eg, mean vs median gravidity, parity, newborn weight, maternal age).

In conclusion, in this meta-analysis, we did not find that SARS-CoV-2 positivity changed the rates of intrauterine fetal death or neonatal death. Rates of maternal ICU admission and need for respiratory support were greater among those who tested positive for SARS-CoV-2 compared with those who did not. Larger studies comparing these two groups are warranted to ensure that uncommon morbidities are not more common among individuals who test positive for SARS-CoV-2. Lastly, a large multicenter study comparing the pregnancy outcomes before and during the pandemic is warranted to see whether the changes in obstetric practice have influenced the outcomes.
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