ABSTRACT

Background: Chronic renal failure is among the chronic disease which due to persistence of the disease and long treatment process has various effects on the physiological, psychological, functional ability, lifestyle changes, and independence status of the patient and his family. This may result in the burden feeling in caregivers. According to the importance of the subject, this study is to assess the level of caregiver burden in caregivers of hemodialysis patients.

Methods: This is a cross-sectional analytical descriptive study that was conducted in 2014 on the caregivers of hemodialysis patients. Research instruments were consisted of two parts: demographic data check list and caregiver burden questionnaire. Data were analyzed by SPSS statistical software and Pearson correlation coefficient tests. A p value of less than 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results: In this study, 72.5% of caregivers reported moderate to severe levels of caregiver burden. A significant relationship was observed between gender of the patient with caregiver burden score of (p=0.031) and type of the income with caregiver burden score of (p=0.000). Caregivers of male patients and patients with inadequate income had a higher caregiver burden score.

Conclusions: Our results showed that more than half of the caregivers of hemodialysis patients had moderate to severe levels of caregiver burden, therefore it is worthy that health officials and nurses pay special attention to this issue by communicating with these patients and their caregivers.
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is defined as permanent difficulty, stress or negative experiences resulted from providing care by caregiver (11).

Burden is definable subjectively and objectively. Objective burden is defined as the changes and disruptions appeared in life as a result of care. Subjective burden definition is the reaction or attitude of caregiver against care experience (12).

Family is the best source for taking care of hemodialysis patients. Considering the long process of chronic renal failure, various complications of hemodialysis, new requirements, and major changes in lifestyle, family members are experiencing great tensions (13). Timely identification of these pressures in caregivers plays a decisive role in the promotion of their mental health. The aim of this study was to assess the scale of caregiver burden in caregivers of hemodialysis patients.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

This is a descriptive study which was designed and completed in 2014 to assess caregiver burden in the caregivers of patients undergoing hemodialysis. Research community was the caregivers of patients with chronic renal failure who referred to hemodialysis units of in two government hospitals in southern Iran. A total of 69 patients were under hemodialysis. After obtaining approval of relevant authorities, the researcher referred to hemodialysis units and studied all caregivers of patients by census method (caregiver is a person who lives with the patient and has the key role in patient care). After explaining the purpose of the study and obtaining the approval, research instruments were available for the caregivers. Questionnaire was completed at the presence of the researcher to answer the questions as needed (Illiterate caregivers answered the questionnaire verbally).

2.1. Collection tools characteristics

Research tools were consisted of two sections, including demographic data checklist of patients and their caregivers, and caregiver burden questionnaire. Patients demographic data checklist was consisted of age, sex, marital status, education, job, history of the disease, duration of dialysis, weekly dialysis frequency and the ability to perform daily activities, comorbidities (such as hypertension, diabetes, etc.), and caregivers demographic information was included age, sex, marital status, education, job, income, support from governmental agencies, and relativity with the patient.

Caregiver burden questionnaire was designed by Elmstahl et al in 1996 (14). Reliability and validity of the tool were verified by the research team at Kerman Razi School of Nursing in order to be used in a thesis entitled as “Investigating the relationship between social support and caregiver burden feeling in mothers with premature babies hospitalized in NICU”. Its reliability (82%) and reliability were obtained based on the internal correlation coefficient and using Cronbach’s alpha of 86% (15). The instrument of caregiver burden contains 22 items; this instrument evaluates the burden experience resulting from taking care of a patient with chronic disease. The average score of 22 items represents the total score of caregiver burden and the highest score represents the greatest caregiver burden. The total score of caregiver burden can be divided into three levels: low burden (1.00-1.99), medium burden (2.00-2.99), and severe caregiver burden (3.00-4.00). Therefore, the score of 22-43 is accounted as low burden, 44-65 as medium burden, and 65-88 is considered as severe burden.

This instrument covers 5 areas including:
* General strain (8 items), which indicates the lack of freedom for the caregiver and the burden of the care;
* Isolation (3 items), that shows the limited social interaction and the lack of special time for the caregiver himself;
* Disappointment (5 items) which represents the Isolation, physical pain tolerance, the impact of financial difficulties and the feeling that life is unfair;
* Emotional involvement (3 items) that reflects the feelings of anger and shame because of the presence of the patient;
* Environmental (3 items), indicating the lack of caring experience and inability to deal with patient’s problems.

Scoring system is based on the Likert approach (never, rarely, sometimes, often) receiving the scores of 1, 2, 3, and 4, respectively (16).

2.2. Data analysis

Data analysis was performed using SPSS 21 software. Descriptive statistics in the forms of frequency tables and some indicators such as mean and standard deviation were used to describe the demographic characteristics and caregiver burden. T-test and Anova were used to determine the relationship between caregiver burden and demographic characteristics. A p value of less than 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

3. RESULTS

In this study, 69 caregivers of the patients with chronic renal failure undergoing hemodialysis in Iranian population were investigated. Because 10 caregivers were not willing to cooperate, and also 8 incomplete questionnaires were excluded from the study, thus 51 caregivers were entered to the study. Minimum and maximum age of the patients was 22 and 87 years with the mean age of 53.07 and standard deviation of 17.90. Caregivers’ minimum and maximum age were 18 and 80 years with an average age of 42.11 and SD of 14.78. Tables 1 and 2 show demographic data for the patients and their caregivers.

When investigating the total score of caregiver burden and the areas of (general strain, Isolation, Emotional involvement, Disappointment, and environmental), the results showed that 12 caregivers (23.5%) reported high levels of caregiver burden. Also 25 caregivers (49%) expressed medium and 14 persons (27.5%) reported low levels of caregiver burden, respectively (Table 3).

Table 1 presents mean and standard deviation of the scores

| Patients information | frequency | present |
|----------------------|-----------|---------|
| Sex                  |           |         |
| female               | 22        | 43.1    |
| male                 | 29        | 56.1    |
| marital status       |           |         |
| single               | 12        | 23.5    |
| married              | 34        | 66.7    |
| widow                | 5         | 9.8     |
| Job                  |           |         |
| unemployed           | 38        | 74.5    |
| employed             | 13        | 35.5    |
| Education            |           |         |
| illiterate           | 26        | 51      |
| High school          | 15        | 29.4    |
| graduate             | 10        | 19.6    |
| weekly dialysis      |           |         |
| frequency            |           |         |
| 2 time               | 7         | 13.7    |
| 3 time               | 44        | 86.3    |
| co-morbidities       |           |         |
| Hypertension         | 17        | 33.3    |
| Diabetes             | 25        | 49      |

Table 1. Demographic data of patients
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4. DISCUSSION

This study has investigated the caregiver burden feeling in caregivers of hemodialysis patients. Most of the research has been focused on the hemodialysis patients, while their caregivers, spouse and partners who help in their treatment, and experience losses and potential changes were neglected (17).

The results of this study showed that 72.5% of caregivers had moderate to severe caregiver burden. Paradiso proposed that the incidence of changes in family functions is inevitable due to the chronic nature and long-term treatment of progressive renal failure (18). Belasco and Sesso study showed that taking care of dialysis patients may cause the feeling of stress and destructive effects in the caregivers. Thus, social support and psychological interventions should be executed in order to improve the lives of the caregivers and subsequently the patients (19).

Belasco and his colleagues in a study entitled “The caregiver burden and quality of life of caregivers to hemodialysis patients” reported that a significant relationship exists between caregiver burden and the quality of life, and caregivers of patients experience the burden resulted from caring which affects their quality of life (19).

In a study Matsuu et al. proposed that caregivers of hemodialysis patients might have a very important responsibility, because they have to play an important role in supporting dialysis patients (20). Habibzadeh and his colleagues in a survey to assess the level of life quality of caregivers of patients in Khoy reported that 52.5% of caregivers had moderate to low quality of life, 85% believed that society support is insufficient, and 65% found their lives devoid of recreation and entertainment (21). Abbasi and his colleagues reviewed the caregiver stress and its related factors in caregivers of hemodialysis patients in Gorgan and reported that 74.2% of caregivers suffered from extreme caregiver burden (13).

Therefore realizing the psychological characteristics and burden feeling resulted from care in caregivers is very important in planning and providing effective treatment interventions.

5. CONCLUSION

Based on the findings of this study it can be concluded that hemodialysis at different levels cause moderate to severe burden in the caregivers of patients. Thus, the provision of care plans to improve the mental health of these patients is necessary. It can be also concluded that considering the caring needs of other caregivers can have positive impacts on their mental health.
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