On the 5-Local Profiles of Trees
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Abstract. For T and U trees, we denote c(U, T) as the number of copies U in T, in other words: the number of homomorphism injective from U to T. The path, star, and y-shaped tree is a list of all the homomorphisms of the tree by 5-point. Furthermore, the 5-profile of the Tn tree is symbolized by P, S, and Y for the paths, star, and y-shaped trees respectively. So the set limit of 5-profile, ) 5 (T , is a subset of R³. Notation (p₁, p₂, p₃) ∈ ) 5 (T  corresponds to P, S, and Y respectively. P(5) is a projection of ) 5 (T  on the first two coordinates. Determining the area boundary of P(5) is a challenging task. The d-millipede tree produces the points of P(5) whose sum of p₁ and p₂ are very small at some point. The study of whether the point generated by the d-millipede tree is the lower bound of the set P(5) is a question that requires investigation. The expanded d-millipede tree is defined as the tree produced by adding sides to the leaves of the d-millipede tree. This paper discusses the coordinates of point P(5) generated by the expanded d-millipede tree. The d-millipede tree is a tree with the least number of sub-trees from the family of trees with the same number of points and degrees. The d-millipede tree produces the lowest point in region P(5). The points generated by the optimum tree and points generated by the expanding d-millipede tree are above the curve connecting the points generated by the d-millipede tree. So we estimate that the lower boundary region P(5) is the curve connecting the points generated by the d-millipede tree.

1. Introduction

Image can be viewed as a graph and image segmentation can be generated using the methods in graph [1, 2]. Peter [3] uses a minimum spanning tree to segment an image named with the MSTSSCIMLRO algorithm. The algorithm is run where the first step is to write the image in graph. Determining the minimum spanning tree of the graph is the second step. The next step is to remove all sides that are larger than the threshold that form the tree into several sub-trees. A sub tree is seen as a segment in the image.

The minimum spanning tree of a graph is not unique. Following the Kruskal’s algorithm, we can conclude that the difference occurs due to the different sequence of edges of equal weight in the graph. Although the minimum spanning tree is not unique to an image, but the image segmentation produced by the different minimum spanning tree is the same [4].

Determining the same object in a digital image is a challenging task [5]. If segmentation is obtained by cutting edges of a tree produced by the minimum spanning tree method, then determining the same sub-tree is the same case by searching for the same object or segment in an image.
Let $U$, $T$ tree, we denote $c(U, T)$ as the number of copies $U$ in $T$, or in other words: the number of homomorphism is injecting from $U$ to $T$. Suppose $T^{k}_1$, $\ldots$, $T^{k}_{N_k}$ are lists of all the homomorphisms of trees with k-points, where $T^{k}_i$ is the path and $T^{k}_2$ is the star. A k-profile of the $T$ tree is the $p^{(k)}(T) \in R^{N_k}$ vector in which the $i$-th coordinate is:

$$\left(p^{(k)}(T)\right)_i = \frac{c(T^{k}_i, T)}{Z_k(T)},$$

where $Z_k(T) = \sum_{j=1}^{N_k} c(T^{k}_j, T)$.

We are interested in understanding the set limit of k-profiles, namely:

$$\Delta_T(k) = \left\{ p \in R^{N_k} : \exists(T_n), |T_n| \xrightarrow{n \to \infty} \infty, \text{ and } p^{(k)}(T_n) \xrightarrow{n \to \infty} p \right\},$$

where $|T|$ is the number of points in $T$.

For the case of $k = 5$, we will obtain $N_5 = 3$. The path, star, and y-shaped tree are lists of all homomorphism of the 5-point tree. Furthermore, the 5-profile of the $T_n$ tree is symbolized by $P$, $S$, and $Y$ for path, star, and y-shaped trees respectively. Thus the limit of the set of 5-profiles, $\Delta_T(5)$, is the subset of $R^3$. The symbols $(p_1, p_2, p_3) \in \Delta_T(5)$ correspond to $P$, $S$, and $Y$ respectively. $\mathcal{F}(5)$ is the projection of $\Delta_T(5)$ to the first two coordinates. Determining the lower bounds of $\mathcal{F}(5)$ is a challenging task. The $d$-millipede tree produces the points of $\mathcal{F}(5)$ whose sum of $p_1$ and $p_2$ from that point is very small at some point. Whether the points generated by the $d$-millipede tree is the lower bound of $\mathcal{F}(5)$ is a question that needs to be investigated. The expanded $d$-millipede tree is a $d$-millipede tree on which leaves are followed by several edges. This paper discusses the coordinates of the $\mathcal{F}(5)$ points generated by the expanded $d$-millipede tree. The problem to be discussed in this paper is to compare the coordinates of the points generated by the $d$-millipede tree with the coordinates of the points generated by the expanded $d$-millipede tree on $\mathcal{F}(5)$.

This paper discusses the same sub tree of a tree. Section 2 discusses the properties in the k-profile tree and the set of limits of the k-profile tree. Specifically for $k = 2$ will be discussed more deeply. Section 3 will define an expanded $d$-millipede. The point coordinates of the limit set of k-profile trees generated by $d$-milippede and the expanded $d$-millipede will be compared. Finally, this paper ends with Section of conclusions and suggestions.

2. The 5-Local Profil Tree

In contrast to the set of k-profile limits of the graph, the set of k-profile limits of the tree, $\Delta_T(k)$, has some interesting properties [6]. If two different points are members of $\Delta_T(k)$ then all the points between those points are also members of $\Delta_T(k)$. This is summarized in the following theorem.

**Theorem 1** The set $\Delta_T(k)$ is convex.

A $d$-millipede is a tree that all non-leaf points each have $d+2$ degrees, as shown in Figure 1. The number of non-leaf points, $n$, is called the length of $d$-millipede.
Notify $P_k(T) = c(T_1^k, T)$ and $S_k(T) = c(T_2^k, T)$, and T can also not be written for a clear reference. Suppose $T_n$ is $(k-4)$-millipede with length $n$, and $R_k$ is $\frac{3k^2}{2}$-millipede with length 2 where $k$ is even. It is easy to see that for $k \geq 6$ apply:

$$z_k(T_n) \geq c(R_k, T_n) \geq 2(n-2)\left(\frac{k-3}{(k-2)/2}\right) \geq (n-2)(3/2)^{k/2},$$

and

$$S_k(T_n) = 0, \quad P_k(T_n) \leq n(k-3)^2.$$

Since $\lim_{k \to \infty} \frac{(k-3)^2}{(3/2)^{k/2}} = 0$ then $p1 + p2$ can be made close to zero.

For $k = 5$, we have only three non-homomorphic trees as in Figure 2, so $N_k = 3$. We will denote $P = c(T_1^5, T)$ for path, $S = c(T_2^5, T)$ for star, and $Y = c(T_3^5, T)$ corresponding to its shape. Thus the set of 5-profile limits, $\Delta_T(5)$, is the subset of $R^3$ corresponding to $P$, $S$, and $Y$ respectively. Symbols $(p_1, p_2, p_3) \in \Delta_T(5)$ corresponding to $P$, $S$, and $Y$ respectively. $\mathcal{F}(5)$ is a projection on the first two coordinates of $\Delta_T(5)$. This set can be written with $\mathcal{F}(5) = \{(p_1, p_2) \in R^2 \mid (p_1, p_2, p_3) \in \Delta_T(5)\}$. By selecting $T_n$ as a path of length $n$, it is easy to prove that point titik $(1, 0) \in \mathcal{F}(5)$. Likewise, choosing $T_n$ as star $n$ points then it is easy to show that point $(0, 1) \in \mathcal{F}(5)$. Using Theorem 1 it is concluded that the line segment connecting both points $(1,0)$ and $(0,1)$ also lies in $\mathcal{F}(5)$.

Suppose the $T_n$ tree is a $d$-millipede with length $n$. It is easy to check that if $d = 1$ then the point $(0.5, 0) \in \mathcal{F}(5)$ which corresponds to $T_n$. If $d = 2, 3, ...$ then $P = (d+1)^2(n-2), S = nC_4^{d+2}$, and $Y = 2(d+1)(n-2)C_2^{d+1} + 2(d+1)C_2^{d+1}$. Thus $Z_5(T) = P + S + Y = (d+1)^2(n-2) + nC_4^{d+2} + 2(d+1)(n-2)C_2^{d+1} + 2(d+1)C_2^{d+1}$. By taking the limit obtained:

$$\frac{1}{(d+1)^2 + C_4^{d+2} + 2(d+1)C_2^{d+1}}\left((d+1)^2, C_4^{d+2}, 2(d+1)C_2^{d+1}\right) \in \Delta_T(5)$$

Figure 3. $\mathcal{F}(5)$ of $d$-millipede
and 

\[
\frac{1}{(d+1)^2 + C_2^{d+2} + 2(d+1)C_2^{d+1}} \left((d+1)^2, C_4^{d+2}\right) \in \mathcal{F}(5).
\]

All points of \( \mathcal{F}(5) \) can be seen in Figure 3 which is the same as [6]. Using Theorem 1 it is concluded that all regions between the points corresponding to \( d \)-millipede and the line connecting the points \((0, 1) \) and \((1, 0) \) are the points of \( \mathcal{F}(5) \).

3. Results and Discussion

The expanded \( d \)-millipede tree is a \( d \)-millipede tree whose leaves are connected to several edges of the same amount (eg. a number of \( i \)) for each leaf, as Figure 4. The length of the expanded \( d \)-millipede tree is the same as the length of \( d \)-millipede that forms it. The addition of these sides will affect the values of \( P \), \( S \), and \( Y \). Specifically for \( i = 1 \) or \( i = 2 \), the value of star \( S \) does not change, but the value of \( P \) and \( Y \) value change.

![Figure 4. d-millipede with Expansion i](image)

Suppose the \( T_n \) tree is a \( d \)-millipede with length \( n \) with an expansion \( i \). It is easy to check that if \( i = 1, 2 \) then \( P = (d+1)^2(n-2) + 2id(d+1)(n-1) + n^2C_2^d \), \( S = nC_4^{d+2} \), and \( Y = 2(d+1)(n-1)C_2^{d+1} + nd(d+1) + C_2^d \). Thus \( Z_s(T) = P + S + Y = (d+1)^2(n-2) + 2id(d+1)(n-1) + n^2C_2^d + nC_4^{d+2} + 2(d+1)(n-1)C_2^{d+1} + nd(d+1) + C_2^d \). By taking the limit obtained:

\[
\frac{1}{Q} \left((d+1)^2 + 2id(d+1) + \frac{1}{2}i^2(d-1) + \frac{1}{2}d(2(d+1))d(d-1), (d+1)^3 + \frac{1}{2}d(d+1)(i-1) + \frac{1}{2}id^2(d+1)\right) \in \Delta_f(5)
\]

Where \( Q = (d+1)^2 + 2id(d+1) + \frac{1}{2}i^2(d-1) + \frac{1}{2}d(2(d+1))d(d-1), (d+1)^3 + \frac{1}{2}d(d+1)(i-1) + \frac{1}{2}id^2(d+1) \) and

\[
\frac{1}{Q} \left((d+1)^2 + 2id(d+1) + \frac{1}{2}i^2(d-1) + \frac{1}{2}d(2(d+1))d(d-1), (d+1)^3 + \frac{1}{2}d(d+1)(i-1) + \frac{1}{2}id^2(d+1)\right) \in \mathcal{F}(5)
\]

If \( i = 3, 4, ... \) then \( P = (d+1)^2(n-2) + 2id(d+1)(n-1) + n^2C_2^d \), \( S = nC_4^{d+2} + ndC_4^{d+1} \), and \( Y = 2(d+1)(n-1)C_2^{d+1} + nd(d+1) + C_2^d \). Thus \( Z_s(T) = P + S + Y = (d+1)^2(n-2) + 2id(d+1)(n-1) + n^2C_2^d + nC_4^{d+2} + 2(d+1)(n-1)C_2^{d+1} + nd(d+1) + C_2^d \). By taking the limit obtained:

\[
\frac{1}{Q} \left((d+1)^2 + 2id(d+1) + \frac{1}{2}i^2(d-1) + \frac{1}{2}d(2(d+1))d(d-1), (d+1)^3 + \frac{1}{2}d(d+1)(i-1) + \frac{1}{2}id^2(d+1)\right) \in \Delta_f(5)
\]

Where \( Q = (d+1)^2 + 2id(d+1) + \frac{1}{2}i^2(d-1) + \frac{1}{2}d(2(d+1))d(d-1), (d+1)^3 + \frac{1}{2}d(d+1)(i-1) + \frac{1}{2}id^2(d+1) \) and

\[
\frac{1}{Q} \left((d+1)^2 + 2id(d+1) + \frac{1}{2}i^2(d-1) + \frac{1}{2}d(2(d+1))d(d-1), (d+1)^3 + \frac{1}{2}d(d+1)(i-1) + \frac{1}{2}id^2(d+1)\right) \in \mathcal{F}(5).
\]

For small \( d \) and \( i \) values, ie. \( d, i = 1, 2, 3, ..., 30 \), numerical inference is presented as Figure 5. It was found that the point coordinates by the expanded \( d \)-millipede tree lie above the curve connecting the point generated by the \( d \)-millipede tree.
For the value of \( i > 30 \), we will get the value of \( S = n C_4^{d+2} \) corresponding to the d-millipede tree much smaller than the value of \( S = n C_4^{d+2} + nd C_4^{i+1} \) corresponding to the d-millipede tree with the expansion \( i \). So it is easy to show that the point coordinates of \( \mathcal{P} (5) \) generated by the expanded d-millipede tree lie above the curve connecting the point coordinates of \( \mathcal{P} (5) \) generated by the d-millipede tree.

The optimal tree \( T \) is the tree that has the most number of subtrees from the family tree where the trees have the number of points and degrees from each point are the same [7]. The formation of such trees can be seen by looking at Figure 6. Note the 2-millipede tree with a length of 20. So this tree has 20 points of degree \((2 + 2)\), and has 20 times 2 and plus 2 (equal to 42) points of one degree. So that the sequence of degrees, \( d_i \), consists of the first 20 terms of 4 and then the other is 1. The optimum tree can be seen in Figure 6.

In the optimum tree, each addition of points \((d+1)^2\), at the 5th and subsequent levels, will add the value of \( P, S, \) and \( Y \) as multiples of its own value. By adding a point of \( n(d+1)^2 \), the value increases to \( P_n = n((d+1)^2 + d(d+1)^2 + (d+1)^2 C_2^{d+1}) = n((d+1)^2 + d(d+1)^2 + \frac{1}{2}(d+1)d(d+1)^2) \). The \( S_n \) value becomes \( S_n = n(d+1) \), and \( Y_n \) becomes \( Y_n = n((d+1) C_2^{d+1} + d C_2^{d+1}) = \frac{1}{2} n(d+1)d(2d+1) \). If a sequence is convergent, then the tail of the sequence or subsequence will converge to the convergence point of the original sequence.

Figure 7 shows that the point generated by the optimum tree for various degrees \( d \) still remains within the region of \( \mathcal{P}(5) \) in Figure 3. The projection area of \( \Delta_r(5) \) is always between the segments connecting the points \((0, 1)\) and \((1, 0)\) with a curve connecting the profile points generated by the d-millipede tree.
The $d$-millipede tree is a tree with the least number of sub-trees from the family of trees with the same number of points and degrees [8]. The $d$-millipede tree produces the lowest point in region $\mathcal{P}(5)$. The points generated by the optimum tree and points generated by the expanding $d$-millipede tree are above the curve connecting the points generated by the $d$-millipede tree. So we estimate that the lower boundary region $\mathcal{P}(5)$ is the curve connecting the points generated by the $d$-millipede tree.

4. Conclusions and Suggestions

A $d$-millipede is a tree that all non-leaf points each have $d+2$ degrees. The expanded $d$-millipede tree is a $d$-millipede tree whose leaves are connected to several edges of the same amount (eg. a number of $i$) for each leaf. The addition of these sides will affect the values of $P$, $S$, and $Y$.

The $d$-millipede tree is a tree with the least number of sub-trees from the family of trees with the same number of points and degrees. The $d$-millipede tree produces the lowest point in region $\mathcal{P}(5)$. The points generated by the optimum tree and points generated by the expanding $d$-millipede tree are above the curve connecting the points generated by the $d$-millipede tree. So we estimate that the lower boundary region $\mathcal{P}(5)$ is the curve connecting the points generated by the $d$-millipede tree.
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