Using essential oils to overcome bacterial biofilm formation and their antimicrobial resistance
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Abstract
The increase of resistant bacteria puts a huge pressure on the antimicrobials in current use. Antimicrobial resistance (AMR) results from antibiotic misuse and abuse over many years and is a global financial burden. New policies must be developed for the use of antimicrobials and to continue research efforts to mitigate AMR. It is essential to target the most harmful bacteria and concentrate on their mechanisms of resistance to develop successful antimicrobials. Essential oils (EOs) are occur naturally in plants and have long been used as antimicrobials, but most have not been researched. This review explores EOs as alternative antimicrobials, investigating their ability to decrease or inhibit biofilm formation, and assess their ability to contribute to AMR control. Low concentrations of EOs can inhibit Gram-positive and Gram-negative pathogenic bacteria. Some EOs have demonstrated strong anti-biofilm activities. If EOs are successful against biofilm formation, particularly in bacteria developing AMR, they could be incorporated into new antimicrobials. Therefore, there is a need to investigate these EOs' potential, particularly for surface disinfection, and against bacteria from food, clinical and non-clinical environments.
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1. Introduction

Antimicrobials have been in use for centuries, and in the late 1920s, Alexander Fleming discovered and presented penicillin. In the 1940s, penicillin was prepared for use in treatments (Ventola, 2015), and a variety of commercially available antibiotics were rapidly used to treat infections and diseases (Debabov, 2013; Kimera et al., 2020). Fleming predicted antimicrobial resistance (AMR) by proposing that “the inappropriate use of penicillin might cause Staphylococcus aureus to mutate, resulting in more severe infections and transmission of resistant strains from host to host” (Rosenblatt-Farrell, 2009; Birgand et al., 2020). In the 1940s, resistance to penicillin was demonstrated (Ventola, 2015). Many staphylococcal strains became resistant to penicillin (Lobanovska and Pilla, 2017), and rapidly > 50% of strains were resistant (Alanis, 2005). The annual worldwide production of antibiotics is close to 100,000 tonnes (Martens and Demain, 2017), with two tonnes used every 10 min (Harbarth et al., 2015). Not only did S. aureus acquire resistance, but numerous strains became multi-drug (antibiotics and chemotherapeutic agents) resistant (MDR) (Nikaido, 2009).

MDR is a tolerance to ≥1 agent of ≥3 antimicrobial categories (Magiorakos et al., 2012). Worldwide, the leading cause of nosocomial infections are Acinetobacter baumannii, Enterococcus faecium, Enterobacter spp., Klebsiella pneumoniae, Pseudomonas aeruginosa, and S. aureus (Santajit and Indrawattana, 2016). Control of MDR bacteria requires crucial therapeutic discoveries, improved infection control, and advanced antimicrobial practices (Santajit and Indrawattana, 2016).

Increasingly, microorganisms are evading control by antimicrobials, resulting in poor ineffective management, persistence, and a spread of infection (Tanwar et al., 2014). Annually in USA, there are >63,000 hospital-acquired bacterial infections resulting in deaths (Aminov, 2010; Lakoh et al., 2020). Within the European Union, MDR infections cause ~25,000 patient deaths annually (DOH, 2016). MDR infections increase health care costs and decrease productivity, with a ~€1.5 billion annual cost each year (DOH, 2016). Worldwide, AMR may result in 10 million deaths annually by 2050, surpassing cancer as the leading cause of death (O’Neill, 2014). Accordingly, action must be taken immediately against the threat of MDR (Nikaido, 2009).

Many essential oils (EOs) possess antimicrobial activity (Millezi et al., 2016; Reda et al., 2020a, 2020b), but despite their widespread use for multiple purposes, only a small proportion are commercially used (Ghabraie et al., 2016; Ragno et al., 2020). It is believed that most EOs act on the cell membrane and cell wall of bacteria (Faleiro, 2011), and investigations on evaluating a variety of EOs’ mode of action is still required. There have been very few studies of EOs to identify those with a rapid kill ability, i.e., a contact time of less than 10 min. EOs have the potential to tackle the AMR and MDR threat (Faleiro, 2011).

2. Common causes of antimicrobial resistance

The most significant cause of AMR is antibiotic misuse and overuse (Nikaido, 2009). Since the introduction and inappropriate distribution of antibiotics, their abundant consumption has played an enormous role in AMR development (Ventola, 2015). In agriculture and aquaculture, there is substantial use of antibiotics to promote growth and reduce diseases (Prestinaci et al., 2015), as there is a lack of funds to introduce new effective treatments (Levy and Bonnie, 2004). Therefore, the use of natural compounds such as probiotics (Abd El-Hack et al., 2020a, 2020b; Alagawany et al., 2021a, 2021b), prebiotics (Abd El-Hack et al., 2021; Yaqoob et al., 2021), essential oils, organic acids and medicinal plants (Abdelnour et al., 2020; Ashour et al., 2020; Reda et al., 2020a, 2020b; Sheih et al., 2020; Alagawany et al., 2021a, 2021b; Abou-Kassem et al., 2021; Reda et al., 2021) as antibiotic alternatives became a trend. Natural genetic resistance, biocides, and metals, contribute to increase AMR (Singer et al., 2016). Biocides from household waste, drainage water, and road/traffic emissions such as chlorhexidine, ethanol, formaldehyde, metals, quaternary ammonium compounds, and triclosan aid the co-selection of genes that promote resistance (Singer et al., 2016).

3. Over prescribing and misuse

In Europe, the UK has a low outpatient antibiotic use (Smieszek et al., 2018), however, ~20% of antibiotic prescriptions are unnecessary (Courtenay et al., 2019). Issues are caused by physicians providing an inaccurate diagnosis, or prescribe antibiotics as a precaution, or use broad-spectrum antibiotics.

Public Health England’s recent report (PHE, 2018) revealed that most antibiotic prescriptions were for urinary tract or respiratory infections. However, almost 30% had no clinical reasoning. Patient non-compliance contributes to the misuse of antibiotics (Tong et al., 2018), this includes discontinuing treatment, and however, one of the main reasons for misuse is the fear of extended drugs use causing side-effects (Tong et al., 2018). Incomplete treatment
primes bacteria with sub-lethal concentrations leading to acquired resistance (Niederman, 2005).

Many countries lack regulatory and legislative control, which would typically govern antimicrobial distribution (Michael et al., 2014). In developing regions, where healthcare is not provided consistently, there is less control of antibiotic use, with varying regulatory guidelines between countries (Zaman et al., 2017). Where prescriptions are not used and supply of antibiotics is not controlled, self-medication is common (Ayukekbong et al., 2017). Antibiotic misuse creates a serious worldwide problem to public health and is considered as one of the biggest challenges to many health care systems.

4. Extensive agricultural use

Selection for antimicrobial resistance has also been exacerbated by excessive agricultural antimicrobial exposure. Most of these antimicrobials are similar, or identical, to those in clinical use. The primary transmission route for AMR organisms is the food chain (Zaman et al., 2017), as sub-lethal antibiotic doses are in constant use in agriculture, farming, and fisheries, for treating infections, preventing diseases, and growth promotion (Zaman et al., 2017). This results in gut microflora with high resistance and a cache of AMR bacteria develops (Gupta and Deka, 2018).

Although Europe banned antibiotics for growth promotion in 2006 (Prestinaci et al., 2015), the USA has only recently introduced a ban. In contrast, there is an increase in antibiotic use in animals in countries such as China, India, Pakistan, and Egypt (Anomaly, 2020).

The use of, or exposure to, antimicrobials is undeniably the most critical driver for AMR development; thus, leading to spread of resistant bacterial infections.

5. Research Issues

Bacteria generally develop resistance within -5 years of the introduction of a new antibiotic. This rapid development of resistance, combined with few new antimicrobials being developed, often increases the threat due to a lack of research funding and incentive (Gould and Bal, 2013).

Due to antibiotic consumption being a short-course treatment, there is a lack of motivation for the drug companies due to potentially low revenue streams (Gould and Bal, 2013) and the chance of multimillion-dollar losses (Ventola, 2015).

The United States Food and Drug Administration (FDA) has regulatory strategies to fast-track novel antimicrobials to the final stages of research; however, this overlooks small companies without the funds to develop the drugs (Simpkin et al., 2017). Multidisciplinary research is needed in the agricultural, environmental and health-care sectors to develop effective antimicrobials.

6. Mechanisms of bacterial resistance

Bacterial resistance relies on the efficacy of antimicrobial products and microorganisms resistant mechanisms (Levy and Bonnie, 2004). Many resistance mechanisms have been described, but unfortunately, no antibiotic has overcome any of these mechanisms (Bonomo and Rossolini, 2008).

AMR is genetic or mechanistic based (Munita and Arias, 2016) and there is usually some overlap. Genetic resistance can be acquired or intrinsic (Peterson and Kaur, 2018). The genetic composition of bacteria contain intrinsic mechanisms, whereas horizontal gene transfer usually confers acquired resistance through bacteriophage, naked DNA, plasmids, integrons or transposons (Levy and Bonnie, 2004; Peterson and Kaur, 2018). Intrinsic tactics include the use of generic efflux pumps to move antimicrobials out of the cell, enzymatic inactivation the drug, and decreasing the permeability which reduces penetration (Blair et al., 2015; Birgand et al., 2020). Acquired mechanisms include enzymes to modify drugs and plasmid-encoded efflux (Peterson and Kaur, 2018). Alternative metabolic pathways can also be used by bacteria (Tenover, 2006; Lakoh et al., 2020) to modify the drugs target and prevent binding (Tenover, 2006), or the target enzyme is
over-expressed to reduce the impact of the antibiotic's inhibition (Palmer and Kishony, 2014). The various mechanisms of bacterial antibiotic resistance are illustrated in Fig. 1.

7. Biofilms

The ability to form a biofilm is a significant concern, and a biofilm’s resistance is supported by genetic, physical, and physiological mechanisms (Ciofu and Tolker-Nielsen, 2019). Biofilms consist of bacteria in dense populations and are protected by a robust exopolymer matrix irreversibly attached to a surface. The formation of biofilms cause failure of an antimicrobial agents, and 65–80% of infections may be due to the formation of biofilms (Coenye and Nelis, 2010).

In biofilms the cells have up to 1000 times greater resistance to the antimicrobial agents (Mah and O'Toole, 2001). The formation of bacterial biofilm is induced by communication via quorum sensing (QS), the intercellular chemical signaling mechanism used for monitoring cell density (Gerdt and Blackwell, 2014). Biofilms requires a sufficient density to induce QS signal accumulation that will then result in gene expression. Many QS activated genes are beneficial, e.g., for secretion of proteases, siderophores, and toxins (Gerdt and Blackwell, 2014).

8. Formation of biofilms

Biofilm formation and survival occurs by: attachment/detachment, growth and maturation, (O'Toole, 2003; Birgand et al., 2020), with the attachment relying on many factors for successful development (O'Toole, 2003). The requirements for biofilms include a constant flow of nutrients inside the biofilm and waste products outside the biofilm. In addition, efficient communication within the biofilm is required.

To complete the cycle, cell detachment is required to start a new cycle. Biofilms often contain a mix of species (Kommerein et al., 2018) which requires QS, metabolic cooperation, and competitive or synergistic interactions (Elias and Banin, 2012). The steps of biofilm formation are outlined in Fig. 2.

9. Attachment

Bacterial attachment to a surface relies on the ideal, hydrophobic surface with nano or micro-scale roughness to trigger the surface detection factors that control adherence (Cortes et al., 2011). With an overall negative charge, natural surfaces have a repulsive force towards the electrostatic charges used for bacterial adhesion. To adhere, the attractive forces such as Lewis acid-base, Lifshitz-van der Waals, and hydrophobic forces are used (Van-Merode et al., 2006).

Convective transport in a bulk fluid, Brownian motion, or specific gravity sedimentation transport bacteria to surfaces (Palmer et al., 2007). Biofilm formation starts with planktonic bacterial cell forming a reversible polar attachment and aligning flat to the surface to resist removal (Armbruster and Parsek, 2018). In flagellated bacteria, flagellum detachment mediated by cyclic di guanylate start after attachment as the aggregation of cells begin (Guttenplan and Kearns, 2013; Lakoh et al., 2020). Robust cell-to-cell organization is required for irreversible cell attachment, involving binding proteins, enzymic hydrolyzation of adhesion molecules, and the adsorption of protein (Pavithra and Doble, 2008). Attachment processes are regulated by carbon and oxygen levels, pH, flow, nutrient availability, and temperature (O'Toole et al., 2000; Toyofuku et al., 2016).

10. Growth and maturation

The commencement of biofilm growth requires increased QS, micro-colony development, and the formation of an extracellular polymeric covering, leading to a 3D structure of cell clusters (Toyofuku et al., 2016; Arunasri and Mohan, 2019). Micro-
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**Fig. 2.** Steps of biofilm formation.
colonies expand as cells replicate by cell division (Toyofuku et al., 2016) and generate extracellular components, forming a glycoprotein/glycolipid coating, following the interaction with surrounding organic and inorganic materials (Dunne, 2002; Kimera et al., 2020).

The gel-like exopolysaccharide matrix (EPS) has a high water content. One of the EPS functions is to protect the microbial cells from desiccation (Carpentier and Cerf, 1993). The EPS biopolymers consist of glycoproteins, proteins, polysaccharides, extracellular DNA, and glycolipids (Flemming et al., 2007), with channels for transportation of nutrients and water, and the removal of waste (Arunasri and Mohan, 2019). A doubling of EPS mass often occurs near maturation (Jiao et al., 2010) but is dependent on bacterial strain and environmental factors (Harmsen et al., 2010; Kimera et al., 2020).

For a successful biofilm formation a suitable location, essential organisms, and ample nutrient concentration is required. A mature biofilm's structure can constitute a homogeneous layer, dispersed microcolonies, or protruding cell clusters (Reisner et al., 2003). At critical density, the release of chemical signals (autoinducers) recognized by the cell's receptors occurs. These auto-inducers are considered to be released as antibiotics, siderophores, or waste products (Hense and Schuster, 2015). Autoinducing molecules found in bacteria include oligopeptide autoinducers, acylhomoserine lactones, and autoinducer-2 (Al-2; furanosyl borate diester) (Hense and Schuster, 2015). Once autoinducers reach a critical level, the bacterial cells respond with expression or repression of the target genes (Butt and Khan, 2015).

The biofilm may benefit from gene expression by increasing virulence, promotion of genetic transfer, the upregulation of EPS production and efflux pumps, and the contribution to resistance to stressors (Cortés et al., 2011; Butt and Khan, 2015). At biofilm maturation, cell death occurs due to lack of nutrients, pH fluctuations, oxygen deprivation, or by poisoning from accumulation of waste (Dunne, 2002).

11. Detachment and dispersal

The detachment and dispersal of biofilms occurs if the biofilm matrix synthesis compounds cease, activating the biofilm matrix's degradation, and disrupting the covalent bonds between the matrix components (Solano et al., 2014). Dispersion, detachment or desorption are ways of bacteria can actively or passively leave a biofilm (Davies, 2011). Bacterial cells initiate active escape and is influenced by external forces, including predation, fluid shear, and human intervention (Kaplan, 2010), including abrasion and erosion (Petrova et al., 2016).

These types of escape mechanisms are usually induced by bacterial selection pressures and an established survival strategy (Davies, 2011; Kimera et al., 2020). When critical mass has been reached, the outermost layer of the biofilm experiences dynamic equilibrium, and planktonic cells are generated (Dunne, 2002). Cell release from outer layers of a biofilm is a standard detachment method, however, escape also occurs from the biofilm's interior by dispersion (Davies, 2011). The cells moves freely to the colony's surface, and the cycle repeats itself (Dunne, 2002).

12. Biofilm tolerance

The EPS layer secreted during maturation is the primary cause of biofilm tolerance to antimicrobials (Butt and Khan, 2015). The EPS shields the biofilm and prevents or delays the infiltration of the antimicrobials (Butt and Khan, 2015). Slow growth of the biofilm can also reduce penetration of the antimicrobial agents (Mah and O'Toole, 2001). Limited nutrients results in a reduced growth rate, and this increases resistance on the approach to the stationary phase (Evans et al., 1991). Slow growth occurs in denser biofilm sections, while a faster growth rate occurred when bacteria were exposed to bulk medium (Wentland et al., 1996).

The deeper slow growing cells exist in a viable state where they become tolerant to antibiotics (Williamson et al., 2012). The biofilm's general stress response can also increase resistance (Williamson et al., 2012). Stressors induce many physiological alterations to protect the cells from starvation, heat shock, pH and osmolarity alterations, or DNA damage (Hengge, 2014).

13. Combating antimicrobial resistance

Researchers can avert the AMR crisis by improving education and knowledge of AMR, boosting investments, while providing support for research on novel antimicrobials while implementing strategies to combat misuse and reduce use of antibiotics worldwide (Harbarth et al., 2015; Abd El-Hack et al., 2020b). In the 1990s, some countries sought to challenge AMR's threat (Harbarth et al., 2015), recently other countries have taken up the challenge. The USA pledged to invest 1.2 billion dollars to prevent AMR, almost doubling their funding in 2015 (Obama White House, 2015). The UK's anti-AMR strategies began in 2000 (Mayor, 2019) and employ economics expert O'Neill (2014). O'Neill (2014) suggested that ten interventions were required immediately to mitigate AMR. The suggestions focus on improving awareness of AMR worldwide by using programs and campaigns, focusing on the improvement of sanitation and hygiene, increasing surveillance of antimicrobial consumption and resistance, encouraging and supporting infectious disease researchers, investments for new drugs, improving existing drugs, and increasing non-commercial research funding (O'Neill, 2014). These efforts need to be undertaken concurrently with a reduction of antimicrobial use in agriculture, a renewed research effort for rapid diagnostic technology, vaccines and other alternatives to antibiotics, and the generation of a global alliance (O'Neill, 2014). The UK's latest strategy to combat AMR reported only a 7% reduction in human consumption of antibiotics but a 40% reduction of agricultural antibiotics use during 2013–2018 (HM Government, 2019). During this period, there was a 35% increase in bloodstream infections due to resistant bacteria (Courtenay et al., 2019).

Our current review focuses on research of novel antimicrobial agents, particularly those effective against biofilms. Alternative antimicrobials include the use of natural components derived from animals (lysozymes, chitosan, and lactoferrin), plants (lectins, phenolics, EOs, and polyacetylenes), fungi, algae, and bacteria (reuterin and saponins) (Cowan, 1999; Giyawali and Ibrahim, 2014). Antibody-based drugs, or prebiotics and probiotics which target bacterial community communication are potential alternatives (Harbarth et al., 2015). Recent novel approaches have included sequencing prokaryote genomes (Tracanna et al., 2017), the use of metal, polymeric, and lipid-based nanoparticles (Lakshminarayanan et al., 2018) and peptide-based antibiotics (Roshan et al., 2018), nanohybrids of silica and antibiotic combination (Mosselhy et al., 2018), and revitalized phage-therapy based techniques (Kortright et al., 2019).

Other tactics to combat bacterial biofilms include the prevention of contamination, minimization of attachment, and chemical or mechanical penetration of biofilms, and eradication of cells (Donlan, 2002).

14. Essential oils

EOs are naturally occurring plant extracts of petals, seeds, leaves, stems, or roots (Abd El-Hack et al., 2016; Butnariu and Sarac, 2018; Rago et al., 2020). The use of plant oil extracts has
been documented from thousands of years ago (Baser and Buchbauer, 2015; Al-Shuneigat et al., 2020). Ancient Egyptians (~4500 BCE) used plant oil extracts for therapeutic purposes and there are records of Chinese use of herbal medicine (~3000 BCE) (Boire et al., 2013). The process of distillation of plant products (qunita essentia) was named and described by von Hohenheim, a 16th century Swiss alchemist (Guenther, 2013; Nazzaro et al., 2017). This lead the way for widespread use and the commercial production of EOs. Since the 20th century, there have been >100 countries producing EOs (Govindasamy et al., 2013; Chraibi et al., 2020).

Currently, about 300 of the 3000 known EOs are used commercially (Ghabraie et al., 2016). For the industrial sector, the most commonly used oils include lemon, peppermint, citronella, eucalyptus, mint, and orange. While for domestic use tea tree, peppermint, chamomile, lavender, rosemary, orange, lemon, rose, eucalyptus, jasmine, geranium, sandalwood, and frankincense oils are most popular (Barbieri and Borsotto, 2018).

15. Extraction and composition of EOs

Expression, hydro-distillation (steam), and dry distillation are used to extract EOs (Baser and Buchbauer, 2015; Chraibi et al., 2020). Hydro-distillation is the most common method used in commercial EO production (Barreto and Coelho, 2015; Al-Shuneigat et al., 2020). Oil extraction has a low product return from the raw material and so is generally expensive (Butnariu and Sarac, 2018), e.g., the yield from the herbs basil, parsley, and thyme are all <0.4% oil/gram raw material (Semeniuc et al., 2017). Nutmeg yields around 6 mL/100 g dry weight (Soni et al., 2016), lemongrass 1.8% (López et al., 2007), and lavender 6.8% oil (Zheljazkov et al., 2013). Several factors influences the extractable oil yield, including the plant species and its location, the plant tissue type being processed, drying conditions, the degree of milling of the dry matter, and distillation time (Wang et al., 2009; Zheljazkov et al., 2013; Baser and Buchbauer, 2015; Bowes and Zheljazkov, 2019). These factors also impact the oil’s chemical composition (Eslahi et al., 2017), along with seasonal variations (Zouari-Bouassida et al., 2018), the plant’s maturity, and genetic factors. The factors affecting oil yield and composition are often interdependent and impact each other (Dhifi et al., 2016; Barbieri and Borsotto, 2018).

EOs are “a complex mixture of highly volatile substances” (Butnariu and Sarac, 2018), with some containing >300 different compounds. These compounds belong to numerous chemical classes, including alcohols, aldehydes, ethers, amides, amines, esters, heterocycles, ketones phenols and terpenes (Dhifi et al., 2016). In EOs, cyclic or acyclic terpenes are the most common classes of compounds, including monoterpenes, sesquiterpenes, and diterpenes (Buckle, 2015). Due to a highly complex composition, EOs are challenging to replicate synthetically (Butnariu and Sarac, 2018). The primary extraction process for Eos from aromatic plant parts is illustrated in Fig. 3.

16. The known uses of EOs

There are multiple roles of EOs in plants; from pest, predator and disease protection, to the attraction of pollinators (Nazzaro et al., 2017; Ragno et al., 2020). Aromatherapy is a common complementary treatment using EOs (Lee et al., 2012), and has been used for >6000 years by Chinese, Egyptians and Indians (Ali et al., 2015). More recently EOs have been used for anxiety reduction (Muzzarelli et al., 2006), cancer care (Reis and Jones, 2017), and for the improvement of sleep (Lin et al., 2019).

They have been utilized widely to treat inflammation, rheumatic joints, skin sores, bleeding, leprosy, cystitis, burns, wounds, syphilis, fungal infections, and pharyngitis (Narayanasamy et al., 2019). Commercial uses of EOs includes pharmaceuticals, perfume,
Table 1  
Antimicrobial activities of essential oils.

| Essential Oils (EO) | Pathogenic microorganisms | Most important Results | References |
|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------------|------------|
| Arborvitae, clary sage, clove, lavender, oregano, and thyme (10 mg each) | Alternaria alternata, Arthrobacter proteoflavus, Aspergillus fumigatus, Bacillus cereus, Chaetomium globosum, Cladosporium cladosporioides, Enterococcus faecalis, Escherichia coli, Listeria monocytogenes, Penicillium chrysogenum, Pseudomonas fragi, Salmonella typhi, Staphylococcus aureus, and Yersinia enterocolitica. | Arborvitae, clove, oregano, and thyme: strong antibacterial activity against all strains. All essential oils: direct application and vapor resulted in different fungistatic and fungicidal activity. | Puškárová et al., 2017 |
| Two essential oil blends containing: 1. 3.52% cineole from leaf (Eucalyptus globulus), 3.52% cinnamaldehyde from bark (Cinnamomum zeylanicum), 3% cineole from leaf (Rosmarinus officinalis), 1.04% seed (Daucus carota), and 88.90% seed oil (Camelina sativa). 2. 3.53% CT cinnamaldehyde from bark (Cinnamomum zeylanicum), 3.53% CT cineole (Syzygium aromaticum), (Synonymous: Eugenia caryophyllus Sprengel, cloves), and Origanum vulgare CT carvacol (aerial parts), 1.64% CT carvacol from seed (Daucus carota), and 88.35% seed oil (Camelina sativa). | Growth inhibition (in vitro): Haemophilus influenzae, Staphylococcus aureus, and Streptococcus pneumoniae. | Minimal inhibitory concentrations (0.01% to 3% v/v) with minimal bactericidal concentrations from < 0.01%. EO blend of Cinnamomum zeylanicum, Daucus carota, Origanum vulgare, and Syzygium aromaticum was antifungal to Candida strains. Cinnamomum zeylanicum was effective against H1N1 and HSV1 viruses, with dual activity, against H1N1 and S. aureus and S. pneumoniae. | Brochet et al., 2017 |
| Alpinia oxyactra (64.00 µg/mL), Boesenbergia rotunda (1024.00 µg/mL), Cinnamomum cambodianum (1024.00 µg/mL), Citrus aurantiifolia (512.00 µg/mL), Lemnophila aromatica (1024.00 µg/mL), Rhodamnia dumentorum (512.00 µg/mL), and Sindora siamensis (256.00 µg/mL) | Alternaria alternata, Aspergillus niger, Cladosporium cladosporioides, and Stachybotrys chartarum. | All EOs had some antibacterial efficacy. A oxyactra rhizome oil was active against all bacteria tested, its pericap oil had the highest efficacy against H. influenzae (in vitro). With 80% inhibitory concentration of proliferation (NS12 µg/mL), this EO might be safe for human lung cell lines. | Houdkova et al., 2018 |
| Carum carvi, Citrus aurantium, C. bergamia, Coriandrum sativum, Juniperus communis, Lavandula angustifolia, Mentha arvensis, M. pulegium, Ocimum basilicum, O. cistroides, O. majorana, O. vulgare, Pimenta racemosa, Salvia officinalis, Salvia stera, Tanacetum vulgare, Thymus sativus, T. vulgaris, and Zingiber cassumunar. | Listeria monocytogenes, Pseudomonas aeruginosa, Salmonella enteritidis, and Staphylococcus aureus. | High antifungal activity with up to 100% inhibition – most effective EOs were bay tree, caraway, cilantro, lemon basil, oregano, and thyme. | Zabka et al., 2014 |
| Campomanesia aurea 0.0049–10 mg/mL | | Minimal inhibitory concentration of Campomanesia aurea against Listeria monocytogenes (5.0 mg/mL) and S. aureus (0.7 mg/mL) and inhibition of L. monocytogenes biofilm formation. Campomanesia aurea inhibited biofilm formation in most pathogens tested. | Kuhn et al., 2019 |
| Red pepper (100, 200, 400 and 800 µg/mL) | Escherichia coli, Listeria monocytogenes, Salmonella enterica, and Staphylococcus aureus. | Red pepper significantly inhibited Escherichia coli, Listeria monocytogenes, Salmonella enterica, and Staphylococcus aureus. | Reda et al., 2020a, 2020b |

fragrance, and cosmetics, products for personal care, and drinks and foods (Govindasamy et al., 2013). Recently, there is strong interest in EOs for their antimicrobial properties.

17. EOs as antimicrobials

EOs possess anti-plasmodial, antifungal, and antibacterial properties (Uchcharyak et al., 2016). They are among the most profitable natural products known to combat fungal infections (Nazzaro et al., 2017). Some EOs antifungal activity is similar to synthetic fungicides (Zabka et al., 2014).

Whiley et al. (2018) review of EOs’ antifungal properties reported clove, thyme, tea tree, oregano, and citrus oils as the most researched agents. They have high efficacy against some viruses, and EO blends are effective against the influenza and herpes simplex 1 viruses (Brochet et al., 2017), while star anise EOs are highly effective against herpes simplex virus 1 (Astan et al., 2011). Additionally, EOs have potent action against Gram-positive and -negative bacteria in sessile and motile conditions (Millesi et al., 2016; Ragno et al., 2020). Of 53 EOs screened, all exhibited activity against pathogenic bacteria and yeasts such as Bacillus subtilis, Escherichia coli, P. aeruginosa, S. aureus and Candida albicans (Janssen et al., 1986; Swelum et al., 2021). Effective antimicrobial properties, as low as 0.02% EO, were noted against E. coli by thyme, clove, lemon myrtle, bay laurel, lemongrass, cinnamon, tea tree, oregano, and rosewood (Nazzaro et al., 2019).

In vivo evaluation after 14 days of using a mouth rinse containing EO provided significant reduction of oral bacterial pathogens including Fusobacterium nucleatum, Porphyromonas gingivalis, and Veillonella spp. (Fine et al., 2007).

Synergism of EOs combined with antibiotics can prevent AMR transmission (Mulani et al., 2019), with antibiofilm activities (Artini et al., 2018; Kuhn et al., 2019). The in vitro use of marigold EOs for cancer treatment showed no cytotoxicity in tumor cell lines (Oliveira et al., 2017).

Due to EO’s volatility, the vapor phase has potential antimicrobial properties. Early studies of 133 EOs found that the vapor of cassia, cinnamon, cherry laurel, oregano, and thyme inhibited a wide range of bacteria (Maruzzella and Sicurella, 1960). More recently, EOs vapors have been used to eradicate bacteria that cause pneumonia (Houdkova et al., 2018), inhibit molds in food products (Ji et al., 2019), and combat biofilm-forming bacteria (Benzaid et al., 2019).

EOs and their constituents are efficient antimicrobial agents against E. coli O157:H7 with contact ≥ 5 mins (Friedman et al., 2017).
and EOs from *Zanthoxylum limonella* had high efficacy against *E. coli* with ≥ 3 mins contact time (Tangjitjaroenkun et al., 2012). Mayaud et al. (2008) demonstrated a contact time of ≥ 5 mins of EOs providing inhibition against various bacteria.

18. Mode of action of EOs

Antimicrobial activity of EOs can be attributed to their configuration, composition, volume, and interactions with pathogens. They affect single or multiple targets within the pathogens (Dhifi et al., 2016), with whole oil’s mode of action due to their composition. The 2–3 primary constituents of EOs making up <85% of the oil drive biological activity (Chouhan et al., 2017; Chraibi et al., 2020).

Often the minor components also play a role (Feyaerts et al., 2018), as the antimicrobial chemical class control the EO’s mechanism against pathogens (Swamy et al., 2016). The greatest activity occurs when there is a high proportion of phenols and aldehydes (Bassolé and Juliani, 2012) contrasting with weak or no activity from esters, ketones, or terpene hydrocarbons (Bassolé and Juliani, 2012). Hydrophobicity impacts EO’s activity by increasing cell permeability resulting in cell leakage (Dhifi et al., 2016).

Most EOs modify the bacterial cell wall or cell membrane, causing release of lipopolysaccharides (Faleiro, 2011). This changes the balance of ATP internally and externally and impacts pH fluctuation, protein synthesis, and internal cytoplasmic changes such as coagulation of cytoplasmic material, DNA disruption, and inhibition of quorum sensing (Faleiro, 2011; Lopez-Romero et al., 2015). EOs also affect growth regulation, nutritional balances and energy conversion in bacteria (Swamy et al., 2016). For example, phenolic components, such as carvacrol, eugenol, and thymol impacts the cytoplasmic membrane, electron flow, proton forces, active transportation, and cell content coagulation (Dhifi et al., 2016). EO’s components exert an additive, antagonistic, or synergistic effect on each other (Pei et al., 2009); therefore the identification of the mode of action depends on the composition of the EOs.

19. Safety

Some EOs, considered by the FDA as safe, have approval for food applications and consumption (Ali et al., 2015). Generally, hypersensitivity is the most common adverse reaction encountered, with risks typically controllable (Rather et al., 2016). Eye, mucous membrane, and skin irritation are the common complaints (Ali et al., 2015; Chraibi et al., 2020). More severe toxicity includes convulsions, diarrhea, epigastric pain, renal failure, vomiting, and central nervous system depression (Eisenhut, 2007). The improper storage of EOs increases the chance of toxicity which may cause, peroxidation, photoisomerization, photocyclization, “oxidation and decomposition of alcohols, ketone hydrolysis, and overall degradation” (Sarkic and Stappen, 2018). There has been limited toxicity testing, however mammalian models have been investigated. For example, lavender EOs were non-toxic orally and dermally in mice and rabbits (Mekonnen et al., 2019).

Also, there were no genotoxic effects caused by several EOs induced in human embryo lung cells (Pusškárová et al., 2017). There are reports of human ingestion of EOs; citronella EO has ingestion not cause toxicity (Vigan, 2010), and near-fatal incidents are attributed to extremely high doses (Nath et al., 2012).

To remain safe, most EO’s toxicity can be prevented by avoiding ingestion, using dilutions of EOs for topical applications, and safeguarding proper storage (Hammer et al., 2006). The antimicrobial activity of different EOs is summarized in Table 1.

20. Conclusion

Microorganisms are robust and have many adaptations against environmental factors and antimicrobial treatments. As such, investigation and understanding of their resistance mechanism are crucial for successfully emerging novel antimicrobial agents to overcome the crisis of antibiotic resistance. Biofilm-forming microorganisms, ensnared within a matrix, represent a serious medical problem, as they protect themselves from antibiotics and the hosts’ immune response. These microbial communities live together on a variety of surfaces to form a barrier against sanitizers. Therefore, it is advisable to identify appropriate materials for cleaning and sanitization to reduce microbial attachment. EOs may have a significant role in controlling biofilm formation if included in cleaning products and sanitizers. They could also complement the use of antibiotics due to the low concentration needed. This current review provides further evidence that EOs could be an essential component in the fight against antibiotic resistance due to their efficient antibiofilm activity. However, detailed investigations of the safety margin and the factors that may affect the antimicrobial potential of EOs are still needed due to a paucity of current studies. Any additional data for the properties and active compounds of EOs will add value to this field’s knowledge.
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