Pretemporal Transcavernous Approach for Resection of Non-meningeal Tumors of the Cavernous Sinus: Single Center Experience
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Objectives: To study the outcomes of the pretemporal transcavernous approach in the treatment of non-meningeal tumors involving cavernous sinus and to investigate the surgical strategy for these lesions.

Methods: We conducted a retrospective study of 45 patients with non-meningeal tumors involving cavernous sinus. All 45 patients received microsurgical resection via the pretemporal transcavernous approach from April 2012 to January 2019 by the same neurosurgeon. We analyzed clinical manifestations, image data, perioperative complications, surgical outcomes, functional outcomes, and follow-up data of these patients.

Results: Gross total resection was achieved in 38 cases (84.4%) of the 45 patients. Preoperatively, a total of 64 individual cranial nerves were affected. Postoperatively, 92.2% of 64 impaired cranial nerves completely or partially restored function, 7.8% had worsened function compared with their preoperative statuses, and 5 new cranial nerve deficits (CNV) were observed in five patients during the last follow-up. Seven patients presented transient new cranial nerve deficits (5 CNIII and 2 CNVI), three cases suffered transient worsen cranial nerve deficits (3 CNIII and 1 CNVII). There were no cases of intracranial hematoma, intracranial infection, cerebrospinal fluid leaks, and death. The progression of residual tumor was observed in two patients (1 chordoma and 1 pituitary adenoma).

Conclusions: Non-meningeal tumors involving cavernous sinus can be safely and radically removed with less morbidity and mortality. Pretemporal transcavernous approach is an ideal approach to the cavernous sinus and can be tailored individually.
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INTRODUCTION

The pathologies of the cavernous sinus (CS) tumors are various, including meningioma, pituitary adenoma, schwannoma, hemangioma, chordoma, chondroma, and so on. As the most common type of tumor of CS, meningioma has attracted the most attention from neurosurgeons. Due to the low total resection rate, high rate of complications and the good response to the radiotherapy, the enthusiasm for aggressive resection for meningioma has been tempered and more attention has been switched to protect functions of the cranial nerve (1). Compared to meningioma, the studies focusing on non-meningeal tumors of the CS are relatively rare. Besides, several studies found that the surgical outcome of non-meningeal tumors of CS is better, and cranial nerve morbidity is far less common than CS meningiomas (2, 3). Therefore, pursuing aggressive resection and preserving cranial nerve function in non-meningeal tumors of CS is more feasible than meningioma.

Since Parkinson’s first reported a direct surgical approach to cavernous sinus, the CS is no longer a “no man’s land.” Based on the pioneering anatomical work of Taptas and the surgical explorations by Parkinson’s, Dolenc, and others, various extra or intradural surgical approaches have been developed to reach the CS and remove lesions (4, 5). To expand the exposure and reduce the retraction of brain, multiple invasive surgical approaches, such as the orbitozygomatic approach/extended middle fossa approach/transzygomatic middle fossa approach, are widely used in CS surgery (6–8). These approaches present several advantages, including a better operative trajectory with multidirectional access, a shallower surgical field, and less brain retraction through increased bone removal at the skull base. However, the invasive exposure via additional removal of skull base structure potentially results in cosmetic deformity and other complications (9, 10). Besides, ineffective exposure is common in CS surgery. Thus, a less invasive approach with tailored extent of bone removal, the exact exposure for an individual lesion, maintaining the advantages of the skull base approach, and reducing these risks are required for modern CS surgery. The pretemporal approach, being firstly introduced by Dr. De Oliveira in 1995 (11), is able to provide excellent exposure to the sellar, parasellar, interpeduncular regions, and the superior aspect of the petroclival region. Therefore, this approach was majorly considered by many surgeons as a practical way to treat basilar artery aneurysms (12). In this study, we describe our experience with the surgical management of non-meningeal tumors in CS via the pretemporal trans cavernous approach and strategy to tailor the approach for individual neoplasm.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Patient Population

Between April 2012 and January 2019, a total of 45 patients with non-meningeal tumors involving the CS underwent microsurgical removal via pretemporal trans cavernous approach. This study was approved by the Ethics Committee of Xiangya Hospital, Hunan, China, and written informed consent was obtained from all patients. All the protocols were performed following national guidelines. All surgeries were performed by our senior neurosurgeon (Dr. Qing Liu). This group of patients included 19 male and 26 female subjects and the mean age at the time of surgery in our patient population was 44.47 years (range 8–69 years).

Inclusion Criteria

Confirmed by the histopathology, patients with CS non-meningeal tumors which included tumors primely originated from CS or secondarily invaded the CS and received microsurgery via pretemporal trans cavernous approach were included in our study. Patients with CS meningioma or who underwent the surgery via other approaches were excluded.

Radiological Evaluation and Classification

Preoperative magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) including scans with and without contrast was performed in every patient. For the lesions with skull base bone erosion or communicating tumors of the middle and posterior cranial fossa, the high-resolution computed tomography (HRCT) of the skull base was performed before the operation. Once the shifted/wrapped/narrowed intracavernous carotid was observed in preoperative MRI, the magnetic resonance angiography (MRA), or CT angiography (CTA) was performed before the surgery. The classification of trigeminal schwannoma was based on the criteria described by Jeong et al. (13), pituitary adenoma was graded according to the Knosp scale (14), and other tumors were classified based on the extension (Table 1).

Surgical Technique and Strategies

Each patient was positioned supine and the head was fixed in tripoint headrest, rotated 35–45° to the opposite side, extended 10°, and inclined 5° in relation to the floor, and malar eminence was posed in the highest and central point of the operation field. A frontotemporal incision was made beginning at the superior border of the zygomatic arch, closing to the tragus. The incision then proceeded superiorly to the highest point of the auricle, then curved anteriorly to the end just behind the hairline until the midline was reached. After the cutaneous flap was reflected anteroinferiorly, interfacial dissection was performed until the orbital edge and temporal muscle were well-exposed. The temporalis muscle was dissected from the bone and reflected postero inferio rly. Following the elevation of a frontotemporal bone flap, the bones of the temporal squama were removed to reach the level of the floor of the middle cranium fossa and the temporal facet of the sphenoid greater wing was removed to completely expose the polar of the temporal lobe. Then the sphenoid ridge was drilled extensively until the lateral limit of the superior orbital fissure was reached, and the posterior third of the lateral and superior orbital wall were shelled. If the tumor exhibited intra-orbital extension, the orbital osteotomy was performed. Then the meningo-orbital band was cut and the dura propria of the temporal lobe was peeled from the lateral wall of the cavernous sinus. And then the nerves that pass through the lateral wall of the cavernous sinus, including the oculomotor nerve, trochlear nerve, and the V1 and V2 branches of the trigeminal nerve, were identified as possible. The
selective removal of the anterior clinoid process (ACP) depended on the need for the surgery. When necessary, the dura was cut in a curved T-shaped fashion with the vertical arm along the indentation of the sphenoid wing and sometimes might extend along the anterior petroclinoid ligament. The tumors were debulked and resected piece by piece and the part of tumors located in the cavernous sinus cavity were resected through the spaces between nerves. After surgery, the tumor cavity and the cavernous sinus were properly filled with the gelatin sponge and the dura was watertightly sutured. Since the bone of the skull base was not removed, the reconstruction of the skull base is unnecessary.

| Case no. | Age/sex | Pathological diagnosis | Classification/extension | Preoperative CN deficit | Craniotomy/approach | Tumor excision |
|----------|---------|------------------------|--------------------------|------------------------|---------------------|----------------|
| 1        | 36/F    | Epidermoid tumor       | Intracavernous            | –                      | FT + EDA            | GTR            |
| 2        | 47/F    | Epidermoid tumor       | Intracavernous            | –                      | FT + EDA            | GTR            |
| 3        | 35/F    | Epidermoid tumor       | Intracavernous            | V/VI                   | FT + EDA            | GTR            |
| 4        | 27/M    | Pituitary adenoma      | Grade4                   | II/III                 | FT + EDA + SDA      | partial removal|
| 5        | 42/F    | Pituitary adenoma      | Grade4                   | –                      | FT + EDA + SDA      | partial removal|
| 6        | 53/F    | Pituitary adenoma      | Grade4                   | –                      | FT + EDA + SDA      | GTR            |
| 7        | 50/F    | Pituitary adenoma      | Grade4                   | II                     | FT + EDA + SDA      | partial removal|
| 8        | 27/F    | Pituitary adenoma      | Grade4                   | II                     | FT + EDA + SDA      | GTR            |
| 9        | 48/F    | Pituitary adenoma      | Grade4                   | II                     | FT + EDA + SDA      | STR            |
| 10       | 35/M    | Pituitary adenoma      | Grade4                   | II                     | FT + EDA + SDA      | GTR            |
| 11       | 62/M    | Hemangioma             | Intraorbital with CS extension | II/III/V/VVI | FTO + EDA         | GTR            |
| 12       | 24/M    | Hemangioma             | Intraorbital with CS extension | II/III/V | FTO + EDA         | GTR            |
| 13       | 25/M    | Hemangioma             | Intracavernous            | II/III                 | FT + EDA            | GTR            |
| 14       | 40/M    | Hemangioma             | Intracavernous            | –                      | FT + EDA            | GTR            |
| 15       | 40/M    | Chordoma               | CS, petroclival, supra-sellar | II/III/V/VI | FT + EDA         | STR            |
| 16       | 30/F    | Chondroma              | M                        | II                     | FT + EDA            | GTR            |
| 17       | 32/M    | Schwannoma             | Me3                      | –                      | FT + EDA            | GTR            |
| 18       | 31/F    | Schwannoma             | Mpe3                     | II/III                 | FT + EDA            | GTR            |
| 19       | 57/M    | Schwannoma             | MP                       | III/V                  | FT + EDA            | GTR            |
| 20       | 58/M    | Schwannoma             | MP                       | II/VIII/VIII           | FT + EDA            | STR            |
| 21       | 47/F    | Schwannoma             | Mpe3                     | II/V                   | FT + EDA            | GTR            |
| 22       | 16/F    | Schwannoma             | Me3                      | II                     | FT + EDA            | GTR            |
| 23       | 67/F    | Schwannoma             | Me3                      | –                      | FT + EDA            | GTR            |
| 24       | 32/F    | Schwannoma             | MP                       | V                      | FT + EDA            | GTR            |
| 25       | 57/F    | Schwannoma             | Mpe3                     | V/VII/VIII             | FT + EDA            | GTR            |
| 26       | 48/M    | Schwannoma             | M                        | II/VII/VIII            | FT + EDA            | GTR            |
| 27       | 46/M    | Schwannoma             | Me3                      | V                      | FT + EDA            | GTR            |
| 28       | 63/F    | Schwannoma             | Me1,2,3                  | II                     | FT + EDA            | GTR            |
| 29       | 40/F    | Schwannoma             | Me3                      | V                      | FT + EDA            | GTR            |
| 30       | 8/M     | Schwannoma             | Me1                      | –                      | FTO + EDA           | GTR            |
| 31       | 69/M    | Schwannoma             | Me1                      | –                      | FTO + EDA           | GTR            |
| 32       | 66/M    | Schwannoma             | Mpe1                     | II/III/V/VVI           | FTO + EDA           | GTR            |
| 33       | 48/F    | Schwannoma             | Me1                      | II/V                   | FTO + EDA           | GTR            |
| 34       | 59/F    | Schwannoma             | M                        | II/III/V/V/VI          | FT + EDA            | GTR            |
| 35       | 29/F    | Schwannoma             | E1m                      | II/III                 | FTO + EDA           | GTR            |
| 36       | 43/F    | Schwannoma             | M                        | –                      | FT + EDA            | GTR            |
| 37       | 35/M    | Schwannoma             | MP                       | II/III/V/V              | FT + EDA           | GTR            |
| 38       | 56/F    | Schwannoma             | M                        | –                      | FT + EDA            | GTR            |
| 39       | 62/M    | Schwannoma             | M                        | II                     | FT + EDA            | GTR            |
| 40       | 45/F    | Schwannoma             | M                        | V                      | FT + EDA            | GTR            |
| 41       | 65/M    | Schwannoma             | M                         | –                      | FT + EDA            | GTR            |
| 42       | 48/M    | Schwannoma             | M                         | V                      | FT + EDA            | GTR            |
| 43       | 45/F    | Schwannoma             | M                         | V                      | FT + EDA            | GTR            |
| 44       | 68/F    | Schwannoma             | ME1                      | II                     | FTO + EDA           | GTR            |
| 45       | 40/F    | Schwannoma             | M                        | V                      | FT + EDA            | GTR            |
In most cases, once the superficial layer of the CS lateral wall was peeled from the deep layer, the tumor was encountered, then, it was debulked and removed as dissection proceeds. The triangles of the cavernous sinus were usually distorted due to the tumors and sometimes were difficult to recognize, so the space between two nerves was a safe area to incise.

Craniotomy

In our cohort, 37 (82.2%) of 45 craniotomies were performed frontotemporal (FT) approach, 8 (17.8%) were performed frontotemporal craniotomy combined with an orbital osteotomy (FTO) for tumors that involved the CS and the orbit at the same time.

RESULTS

Clinical Features

A total of 45 patients with non-meningeal tumors of CS were enrolled in this study. The pathological type of tumors in this study include schwannoma (29, 64.4% of patients), pituitary adenoma (7, 15.6%), hemangioma (4, 8.9%), epidermoid tumor (3, 6.7%), chordoma (1, 2.2%), and chondroma (1, 2.2%). The presenting symptom in our patient cohorts included headache (42.2%), dizziness (17.8%), visual impairment (48.9%), diplopia (20.0%), ptosis (8.9%), facial numbness (28.9%), masseter weakness (8.9%), hearing disturbance (11.1%), menstrual disorder (6.7%), acromegaly (4.4%), exophthalmos (8.9%), hemiparesis (2.2%), and incidental finding (6.7%).

Cranial Nerve Dysfunction

Preoperative cranial nerve dysfunction (CND) was observed in 34 patients (75.6%). The CNII dysfunction (48.9% of patients) was most common, followed by CNV (40.0%), CNIII (22.2%), CNVI (17.8%), CNIV (6.7%), CNVIII (4.4%), and CNVII (2.2%).

Surgical Outcomes

Gross total resection (GTR) was achieved in 38 cases (84.4%), subtotal resection (STR) was achieved in four cases (8.9%), debulking or biopsy was achieved in three cases (6.7%). The gross total removal rate (GTR) was various in different pathological tumors. The GTR in hemangioma, epidermoid, and chordoma, were the highest (100%), followed by schwannoma (95.7%), pituitary adenoma (28.6%), chordoma (0%). For pituitary adenomas with partial removal (three patients) underwent the second surgery via transsphenoidal approach and the residual tumors within the sphenoid sinus were completely resected. The reason for STR included residual tumor was strictly adherent to the CNVII/VIII and brain stem, located in the opposite clival area, or invaded the bone of clival and dorsum sellae.

Clinical Outcomes

There were no cases of intracranial hematoma, re-operation, tracheotomy, or death. Table 2 lists the cranial nerves involved preoperatively, postoperatively, and their function at the last followed up. Postoperatively, symptoms of cranial nerves were improved in 30 cases (46.9%), unchanged in 29 cases (45.3%), and worsened in five cases (7.8%). Five patients developed a new CNV deficit, seven patients suffered a transient new CNs deficit (CNIII and CNVI), three patients suffered a transient worsen CNs deficit (CNIII and CNVII).

Follow-Up Outcomes

Mean follow-up was 51.3 ± 24.1 months in our series. No tumor recurrence was observed following total resection during the follow-up period. Three pituitary adenoma patients with partial removal underwent transsphenoidal surgery 1 month after the first surgery and no recurrence was observed during the follow-up period. In two patients who underwent STR (chordoma and pituitary adenoma), tumor progression was observed in the 6th
one month after gamma knife treatment. The residual tumors of the other two patients who underwent STR were well-controlled by the gamma knife treatment.

**Illustrative Cases**

**Case 1**
A 30-year-old female patient, her clinical symptoms included Hypometropia, amenorrhea, and lactation. Eight months ago, this patient underwent an operation via microscopic transnasal transsphenoidal approach and partial tumor remained and treated by gamma knife. However, the residual tumor progressed during the follow-up, MRI study showed a mass with equal T1 and equal T2 signal within slice T1 signal, located in the right CS. Enhanced MRI showed the enhancement of tumor is Heterogeneous (Figures 1A–C). Then the patient underwent microscopic surgery via pretemporal trans cavernous approach using FT craniotomy. The tumor was gross total removed extradurally (Figures 1D–F). After the surgery, the patient did not suffer any surgery-related complications. The postoperative diagnosis was chondroma.

**Case 2**
A 53-year-old female patient had clinical features of acromegaly and was diagnosed with diabetes. She did not have other symptoms. MRI study showed a mass with slightly long T1 and slightly short T2 signal located on the right side of sella and invaded the right CS. Enhanced MRI showed the enhancement of tumor is weaker than normal pituitary (Figures 2A–C). The patient underwent a microscopic operation via the pretemporal trans cavernous approach using FT craniotomy. Due to the tumor located in the post-superior part of CS, the combining extradural and subdural approach was used. The ACP was drilled extradurally (Figure 2D). After cutting the roof of CS, the superficial layer of the CS lateral wall was further peeled away from the deep layer. The tumor was detected and completely removed through the Parkinson's triangle (Figures 2E,F) and the pathological diagnosis was pituitary adenoma. After the surgery, the patient suffered a transient oculomotor palsy which recovered after 1 month. The last follow-up MRI study did not show any signs of recurrence (Figures 2G–I). The postoperative diagnosis was pituitary adenoma.

**Case 3**
This 42-year-old female patient had presented with intermittent headache for several months. Cranial MRI demonstrated the presence of a sellar tumor, which extended toward the sphenoid sinus, suprasellar region, and the left CS with encapsulation of intracavernous ICA (Figures 3A–C). The preoperative diagnosis is a pituitary adenoma. The CS was approached via FT craniotomy and exposed extradurally. For further exposure and resection of the tumor in the intrasellar and suprasellar region

---

**FIGURE 1** The preoperative and postoperative MRI imaging of case 1. (A–C) Preoperative MRI showed the tumor involved intrasellar and the right CS. (D–F) Postoperative MRI showed the tumor was gross total resected.
FIGURE 2 | The preoperative and follow-up MRI imaging and intraoperative photography of case 2. (A–C) Preoperative MRI showed the tumor located on the right side of sella and invaded the post-superior part of the right CS. (D) Drilling the ACP extradurally under microscope. (E) Exposure of tumor through the Parkinson’s triangle. (F) Removal of the tumor using microcurette. (G–I) Follow-up MRI showed the original tumor was completely resected without signs of residue or recurrence.

and the posterior part of CS, the dura was cut in a curved T-shaped fashion. After peeling off the superior layer of the lateral wall of CS, the temporal lobe was retracted laterally together with the superior layer of the lateral wall of CS. Part of the tumor involved in the CS was exposed and resected/sucked completely through the space between nerves. The suprasellar tumor was resected via the space between ICA and optic nerve and the intrasellar tumor was sucked and scraped. Considering the risk of postoperative cerebrospinal fluid leakage and intracranial infection, only the tumor extended in the sphenoid sinus was left to second-stage surgery (Figures 3D–F). One month later, the residual tumor was completely removed via transsphenoidal surgery. This patient did not develop any new cranial nerve paralysis. The postoperative diagnosis was pituitary adenoma.
Case 4
This 32-year old female patient suffered from intermittently right facial pain for 4 years, and dizziness for 2 weeks. Preoperative MRI documented an enhancing dumbbell-shaped tumor that involved both the right middle and posterior fossae (Figures 4A–C). The patient underwent a microscopic operation via the pretemporal transcavernous approach. The FT craniotomy was performed and the cavernous was approached extradurally. The tumor was debulked and resected piece by piece. The bone of the apical petrous was destructed by the tumor, which provides “natural” access to remove the part of the tumor located in the posterior fossa. The tumor was completely removed (Figures 4D–F). After the surgery, the facial pain was improved and the patient did not suffer any surgery-related complications. The postoperative diagnosis was schwannoma.

Case 5
This case was a recurrent trigeminal schwannoma. Three years ago, this patient underwent a microscopic operation for trigeminal schwannoma limited in left middle fossae via subdural approach. In this admission, preoperative MRI documented an enhancing larger tumor involving middle fossae, orbital, and subtemporal fossae (Figures 5A–C). The patient underwent a microscopic surgery via the pretemporal transcavernous approach. The FTO craniotomy was performed and the cavernous was approached extradurally. The tumor was debulked and resected piece by piece. The tumor located in the subtemporal fossae was removed through the enlarged ovale foramen. The tumor was completely removed (Figures 5D–F). After the surgery, the patient only complained of hypopsia. The last follow-up MRI study did not show any signs of recurrence (Figures 5G–I). The postoperative diagnosis was schwannoma.

DISCUSSION
Surgical resection of tumors involved in CS is still a challenge for most neurosurgeons. Controlling bleeding and avoiding the injury of CN and ICA are the eternal topics in CS surgery. Compared with meningioma, removing the non-meningeal tumors involving in CS is relatively safe, and total resection is more achievable (2, 3, 15). These tumors differ from meningiomas in origination, invasion pattern,
and consistence. The displacement is more common than encapsulation of ICA. Even encapsulated by non-meningeal tumors, the ICA can be easily dissected from these tumors, especially the pituitary adenomas, attributed to their relatively soft character. Thus, the exposure and control of petrous ICA and clinoidal ICA are unnecessary in most cases. In addition, ineffective exposure exists in CS surgery via invasive skull base approaches and may induce potential complications, such as cosmetic deformity, which are associated with this additional exposure (9, 16, 17). Therefore, it is possible and necessary to determine a less invasive approach for patients with non-meningeal tumors of CS. In this study, we focus on the operative techniques and surgical strategy for non-meningeal tumors of CS.

The pretemporal approach was firstly described by de Oliveira and combined the advantage of pterional, subtemporal, and temporopolar approaches in one craniotomy (11, 18). Different from OZ craniotomy, which was widely used in the skull basal approaches and may induce potential complications, such as cosmetic deformity, which are associated with this additional exposure (9, 16, 17). Therefore, it is possible and necessary to determine a less invasive approach for patients with non-meningeal tumors of CS. In this study, we focus on the operative techniques and surgical strategy for non-meningeal tumors of CS.

**Pretemporal Craniotomy Is an Ideal Approach to the Cavernous Sinus**

The pretemporal approach was firstly described by de Oliveira and combined the advantage of pterional, subtemporal, and temporopolar approaches in one craniotomy (11, 18). Different from OZ craniotomy, which was widely used in the skull basal approaches and may induce potential complications, such as cosmetic deformity, which are associated with this additional exposure (9, 16, 17). Therefore, it is possible and necessary to determine a less invasive approach for patients with non-meningeal tumors of CS. In this study, we focus on the operative techniques and surgical strategy for non-meningeal tumors of CS.

**Pretemporal Craniotomy Is an Ideal Approach to the Cavernous Sinus**

The pretemporal approach was firstly described by de Oliveira and combined the advantage of pterional, subtemporal, and temporopolar approaches in one craniotomy (11, 18). Different from OZ craniotomy, which was widely used in the skull basal approaches and may induce potential complications, such as cosmetic deformity, which are associated with this additional exposure (9, 16, 17). Therefore, it is possible and necessary to determine a less invasive approach for patients with non-meningeal tumors of CS. In this study, we focus on the operative techniques and surgical strategy for non-meningeal tumors of CS.

**Pretemporal Craniotomy Is an Ideal Approach to the Cavernous Sinus**

The pretemporal approach was firstly described by de Oliveira and combined the advantage of pterional, subtemporal, and temporopolar approaches in one craniotomy (11, 18). Different from OZ craniotomy, which was widely used in the skull basal approaches and may induce potential complications, such as cosmetic deformity, which are associated with this additional exposure (9, 16, 17). Therefore, it is possible and necessary to determine a less invasive approach for patients with non-meningeal tumors of CS. In this study, we focus on the operative techniques and surgical strategy for non-meningeal tumors of CS.

**Pretemporal Craniotomy Is an Ideal Approach to the Cavernous Sinus**

The pretemporal approach was firstly described by de Oliveira and combined the advantage of pterional, subtemporal, and temporopolar approaches in one craniotomy (11, 18). Different from OZ craniotomy, which was widely used in the skull basal approaches and may induce potential complications, such as cosmetic deformity, which are associated with this additional exposure (9, 16, 17). Therefore, it is possible and necessary to determine a less invasive approach for patients with non-meningeal tumors of CS. In this study, we focus on the operative techniques and surgical strategy for non-meningeal tumors of CS.
orbital extension and removing the posterior part of orbital walls was sufficient for exposing the orbital part of the tumor. The OZ approach, being advocated by many skull base surgeons for the access to the cavernous sinus, becomes necessary when the lesions extend into the 3rd ventricle, high AcomA aneurysms, and high basilar tip aneurysms. There is no need to perform the surgery with OZ approach when dealing with tumors in the CS which is located in the middle fossa. Therefore, the pretemporal approach is a “correctly” invasive option for approaches to the cavernous sinus when compared with the OZ approach.

**Completely Extradural Approach or Combined Extradural and Intradural Approach**

Before the 1980s, the transcranial approaches for the cavernous sinus were intradural or subdural, then CS was accessed through
the Parkinson's triangle or the roof of the CS. The traditional intradural approach has some disadvantages, including direct brain retraction, the sacrifice of temporal pole bridging veins, difficulty to identify cranial nerves. Based on the pioneering study of Taptas (20), Dolenc (21), and Umansky and Nathan (22) et al. in CS, the understanding of the CS is constantly improving. The great findings include that CS, located in the middle fossae extradural structure, is composed of venous plexus and the lateral of the CS wall have at less two layers, and the superficial layer can be peeled off from the deep layer easily (22–24). Then many extradural approaches have been reported (25). Compared to the intradural approach, the extradural approach has many advantages (25): fully exposure of the CS, easy identification of the cranial nerves that pass through the CS lateral wall, excellent control of the intracavernous carotid artery, extradural extraction of the brain, preserving of the sylvian vein, bridging vein and sphenoparietal sinus, good control of bleeding, and decreasing the CSF leakage. Most CS tumors without intradural extension can be removed extradurally by pretemporal transcavernous approach (case 1). However, in order to expose the entire CS, especially the posterior part of CS, subtemporal access is needed in extradural approaches, which make it necessary to remove the zygomatic arch and additional skull base bones (25). On the other hand, not all tumors are confined within CS and the subdural approach is unavoidable for resection of the subdural portion of tumors involving CS. Therefore, pure extradural approaches are not sufficient for all cases. In this study, we found that the combined extradural approach is more suitable than the extradural approach for tumors limited in the superior posterior part of CS and tumors with subdural extension. The combined approach remains the key advantages of the extradural approach, such as easy identification of the cranial nerves, extradural extraction of the brain, and good control of bleeding. Besides, the combined approach makes it easy to expose the posterior part of CS. After cutting the roof of CS intradurally, the out layer of the lateral wall of CS can be peeled completely, then the temporal lobe with the superficial layer of the lateral wall of CS can be retracted posterolaterally to expose the supra-posterior part of the CS sufficiently without performing zygomatic osteotomy (case 2). In addition, intradural steps can expose the areas adjacent to CS and release CSF to reduce intracranial pressure, which makes it relatively easy to expose the CS.

**Individualized Anterior Clinoidectomy**

Anterior clinoidectomy, which is commonly applied in CS surgery (21, 24, 25), provides the exposure of the anterior part of the CS roof and the clinoidal segment of ICA, benefits to the control of distal ICA, and releases the ICA to reach the anterior pontine area. However, the removal of the anterior clinoid process may bring some risks, including CSF leakage, especially in cases with ACP pneumatization and neurovascular injury. Different from vascular disease, anterior clinoidectomy is not necessary for all kinds of CS tumors dissection. We found that the anterior clinoidectomy is unnecessary for most non-meningeal tumors in CS. The trigeminal schwannoma and invasive pituitary adenoma are the most common non-meningeal tumors in CS (2). Trigeminal schwannoma belongs to the intradural tumor (2) and rarely encapsulates the ICA. A pituitary adenoma may invade the CS through the medial wall and encapsulate the ICA, however, it can be removed easily because of its soft texture. With the popularity of MRI, CTA, and MRA, which provide the information about the tumor growth pattern, the relationship between the tumor and ICA (wrapped or simply shoved), the surgeon can make a judgment whether the control of the clinoidal ICA is required or not. We consider removing ACP is necessary for the following situations: ICA is completely wrapped with a high risk of injury during surgery; tumors extend to the orbital through the optic canal or internal part of the superior orbital fissure. In addition, it is useful to remove ACP for the surgery of small tumors confined in CS (case 2). After removing ACP, the surgeon can identify the CNIII/IV and select a suitable triangle to resect these tumors. In contrast, it is usually unnecessary to perform anterior clinoidectomy for larger tumors due to they often break through the deep layer of the lateral wall of CS, which provides a “natural” route to reach CS.

**Tumor Exposure in the Adjacent Region of CS**

The CS tumors may involve the adjacent regions, including orbit anteriorly, sellar region medially, infratemporal fossa inferiorly, and petroclival region posteriorly. During the surgery of CS, these adjacent regions should be exposed. The intra-orbital region can be exposed after removing the lateral and superior wall of the orbital (case 5). If the tumor extended through the medial part of SOF or the optic canal, the ACP should be resected simultaneously. The sellar region can be reached via combining the traditional pterion approach (case 3). Infratemporal fossa and petroclival region extension are more common in trigeminal schwannoma and chordoma than other non-meningeal tumors. Both schwannoma and chordoma present the feature of bony erosion, which supports a “natural” corridor to the infratemporal fossa or posterior fossa (case 4). These adjacent areas should be exposed individually. The preoperative valuation is paramount for planning CS surgery (26). With the advance of neuroimaging, preoperative MIR, CTA, and HRCT can provide important information, such as the extent of the tumor, the relationship between the tumor and the ICA, the possible origination of the tumor, the possible pathological type, and the destruction of the skull base. Combining preoperative imaging and intraoperative findings, a neurosurgeon can design and tailor the approach from craniotomy to closure, to gain excellent exposure of the tumor and maximum resection.

**CONCLUSION**

Non-meningeal tumors involving cavernous sinus can be safely and radically removed with less morbidity and mortality. Pretemporal transcavernous approach is an ideal approach to the cavernous sinus and can be tailored individually.
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