The DAMA/NaI set-up of the DAMA experiment has been operative during seven annual cycles and has investigated several rare processes. In particular, it has been realised in order to investigate the model independent annual modulation signature for Dark Matter particles in the galactic halo. With the total exposure collected in the seven annual cycles (107731 kg × day) a model independent evidence for the presence of a Dark Matter particle component in the galactic halo has been pointed out at 6.3 $\sigma$ C.L.. Some of the many possible corollary model dependent quests for the candidate particle have been presented as well.

1 Introduction

DAMA is an observatory for rare processes based on the development and use of various kinds of radiopure scintillators. It has realised several low background set-ups; the main ones are: i) DAMA/NaI ($\simeq 100$ kg of radiopure NaI(Tl)), which was put out of operation in July 2002; ii) DAMA/LXe ($\simeq 6.5$ kg liquid Xenon); iii) DAMA/R&D, devoted to tests on prototypes and small scale experiments; iv) the new second generation set-up DAMA/LIBRA ($\simeq 250$ kg; more radiopure NaI(Tl)) in operation since March 2003.

The results obtained by DAMA/NaI, investigating over seven annual cycles (107731 kg × day total exposure) the presence of a Dark Matter particle component in the galactic halo by
means of the model independent WIMP annual modulation signature, have been published in ref. together with some of the many possible corollary model dependent quests for the candidate particle. We invite the reader to refer to ref. for a discussion of experimental and theoretical arguments related to the results and to model dependent comparisons and - more in general - to our literature to distinguish what DAMA has presented (and its meaning) with respect with what sometimes is quoted by others.

We remind that the annual modulation signature is very distinctive since it requires the simultaneous satisfaction of all the following requirements: the rate must contain a component modulated according to a cosine function with one year period, and a phase, that peaks around June (3); this modulation must only be found in a well-defined low energy range, where WIMP induced recoils can be present (4); it must apply to those events in which just one detector of many actually "fires" (single-hit events), since the WIMP multi-scattering probability is negligible (5); the modulation amplitude in the region of maximal sensitivity is expected to be less than 7% (6). This latter rough limit would be larger in case of other possible scenarios such as those in refs. To mimic such a signature spurious effects or side reactions should be able both to account for the whole observed modulation amplitude and to contemporaneously satisfy all the requirements; no one has been found or suggested by anyone over a decade.

The DAMA/NaI set-up and its performances have been described in ref. and some other information on its performances and upgrading can be found in refs.. Here, we just remind that the two PMTs, coupled through 10 cm long Tetrasil-B light guides to each NaI(Tl) crystal, worked in coincidence with hardware thresholds at single photoelectron level in order to assure high efficiency for the coincidence at few keV level. The energy threshold of the experiment, 2 keV, was instead determined by means of X ray sources and of keV range Compton electrons on the basis also of the features of the noise rejection procedure and of the efficiencies when lowering the number of available photoelectrons.

A brief description of the final model independent result over 7 annual cycles

A model independent approach on the data of the seven annual cycles offers an immediate evidence of the presence of an annual modulation of the rate of the single-hit events in the lowest energy region as shown in the residuals of Fig. – left, where the time behaviours of the measured (2-4), (2-5) and (2-6) keV single-hit events residual rates are reported. The data favour the presence of a modulated cosine-like behaviour at 6.3 σ C.L. and their fit for the (2-6) keV larger statistics energy interval offers modulation amplitude equal to (0.0200 ± 0.0032) cpd/kg/keV, , and . The period and phase agree with those expected in the case of a WIMP induced effect ( and roughly at day of the year). The test on the (2-6) keV residual rate disfavours the hypothesis of unmodulated behaviour giving a probability of 7 · 10⁻⁴ (χ²/d.o.f. = 71/37). The same data have also been investigated by a Fourier analysis as shown in Fig. – right. Modulation is not observed above 6 keV. Finally, a suitable statistical analysis has shown that the modulation amplitudes are statistically well distributed in all the crystals, in all the data taking periods and considered energy bins. More arguments can be found in ref. A careful investigation of all the known possible sources of systematic and side reactions has been regularly carried out and published at time of each data release while detailed quantitative discussions can be found in refs.,. No systematic effect

---

6We take this opportunity just to remind that the experimental set-up, located deep underground, was equipped with a neutron shield made by Cd foils and polyethylene/paraffin moderator; moreover, a ≃ 1 m of concrete almost completely surrounds the installation acting as a further neutron moderator. Note that the sizeable discussion reported in already demonstrates that a possible modulation of the neutron flux (e.g. possibly observed by the
or side reaction able to account for the observed modulation amplitude and to mimic a WIMP induced effect has been found. As a further relevant investigation, the *multiple-hits* events also collected during the DAMA/NaI-6 and 7 running periods (when each detector was equipped with its own Transient Digitizer with a dedicated renewed electronics) have been studied and analysed by using the same identical hardware and the same identical software procedures as for the case of the *single-hit* events (see Fig. 2). The *multiple-hits* events class – on the contrary of the *single-hit* one – does not include events induced by WIMPs since the probability that a WIMP scatters off more than one detector is negligible. The fitted modulation amplitudes are: $A = (0.0195 \pm 0.0031)$ cpd/kg/keV and $A = -(3.9 \pm 7.9) \cdot 10^{-4}$ cpd/kg/keV for *single-hit* and *multiple-hits* residual rates, respectively. Thus, evidence of annual modulation is present in the *single-hit* residuals (events class to which the WIMP-induced recoils belong), while it is absent in the *multiple-hits* residual rate (event class to which only background events belong). Since the same identical hardware and the same identical software procedures have been used

ICARUS coll., as reported in the ICARUS internal report TM03-01, and expected in a MonteCarlo simulation by H. Wulandari et al. as reported in hep-ex/0312050 cannot quantitatively contribute to the DAMA/NaI observed modulation amplitude, even if the neutron flux would be assumed to be 100 times larger than that measured at LNGS by various authors over more than 15 years. In addition, it cannot satisfy all the peculiarities of the signature mentioned above. Finally, we also remind that the contribution of solar neutrinos, whose flux is also expected to be modulated, is many orders of magnitude lower than the measured rate (see e.g. Astrop. Phys. 4 (1995) 45).
to analyse the two classes of events, the obtained result offers an additional strong support for the presence of Dark Matter particles in the galactic halo further excluding any side effect either from hardware or from software procedures or from background.

In conclusion, the presence of an annual modulation in the residual rate of the single-hit events in the lowest energy interval (2 – 6) keV, satisfying all the features expected for a WIMP component in the galactic halo is supported by the data of the seven annual cycles at 6.3 $\sigma$ C.L.. This is the experimental result of DAMA/NaI. It is model independent; no other experiment whose result can be directly compared with this one is available so far in the field of Dark Matter investigation.

3 A brief description of some of the possible corollary model dependent quests for a candidate

On the basis of the obtained model independent result, corollary investigations can also be pursued on the nature and coupling of the WIMP candidate. This latter investigation is instead model dependent and – considering the large uncertainties which exist on the astrophysical, nuclear and particle physics assumptions and on the parameters needed in the calculations – has no general meaning (as it is also the case of exclusion plots, of expected recoil energy behaviours and of the WIMP parameters evaluated in indirect search experiments). Thus, it should be handled in the most general way as we have preliminarily pointed out with time passing in the past. Some specific details can be found in ref. 2 and references therein, with devoted discussions of experimental and theoretical aspects and evaluations. Here we only remind that the results summarised here are not exhaustive of the many scenarios possible at present level of knowledge, including those depicted in some more recent works such as e.g. refs. 18-19.

Fig. 3, 4, 6 and 7 show some of the obtained allowed regions; details and descriptions of the symbols are given in ref. 2. The theoretical expectations in the purely SI coupling for the particular case of a neutralino candidate in the MSSM with gaugino mass unification at GUT scale released are also shown in Fig. 5.
Figure 3: Case of a WIMP with mixed SI&SD interaction for the model frameworks given in ref.\textsuperscript{2}. Coloured areas: example of slices (of the allowed volume) in the plane $\xi \sigma_{SI} \times \xi \sigma_{SD}$ for some of the possible $m_W$ and $\theta$ values. Inclusion of other existing uncertainties on parameters and models would further extend the regions; for example, the use of more favourable form factors and/or of more favourable spin factors than the ones considered here would move them towards lower cross sections. For details see ref.\textsuperscript{2}.

We remind that the allowed regions, we report herein and previously elsewhere, take into account the time and energy behaviours of the single-hit experimental data and have been obtained by a maximum likelihood procedure (for a formal description see e.g. refs.\textsuperscript{6,7,9}) which also determines on this basis for each model the constant part of the signal and the background\textsuperscript{d}. In particular, the likelihood function itself requires the agreement: i) of the expectations for the modulated part of the signal with the measured modulated behaviour for each detector and for each energy bin; ii) of the expectations for the unmodulated component of the signal with the respect to the measured differential energy distribution and - since ref.\textsuperscript{9} - also with the bound on recoils obtained by pulse shape discrimination from the devoted DAMA/NaI-0 data.\textsuperscript{8} The latter one acts in the likelihood procedure as an experimental upper bound on the unmodulated component of the signal and – as a matter of fact – as an experimental lower bound on the estimate of the background levels. Thus, the C.L.’s, we quote for the allowed regions, already account for compatibility with the measured differential energy spectrum and with the measured upper bound on recoils.

Specific arguments on some claimed model dependent comparisons can be found in ref.\textsuperscript{2}. They already account, as a matter of fact, also for the more recent model dependent CDMS(-II) claim\textsuperscript{21} (based on a statistics of 19.4 kg · day and on a discrimination technique), where

\textsuperscript{d}We point out to the attention of the reader that the annual modulation approach gives as experimental results the modulation amplitudes, while the constant part of the signal, for each considered energy interval, has to be extracted from the measured counting rate of the single hit events, which a priori represents the sum of the signal and of possible residual background satisfying the trigger condition. A natural constraint on the constant part of the signal (and thus on the background) arises from the measured upper limits on recoils and has to be accounted as well, as done since ref.\textsuperscript{9}.\textsuperscript{\textsuperscript{12}}
Figure 4: On the left: Case of a WIMP with dominant SI interaction for the model frameworks given in ref.\(^2\). Region allowed in the plane \((m_W, \xi\sigma_{SI})\). The vertical dotted line represents a bound in case of a neutralino candidate when supersymmetric schemes based on GUT assumptions are adopted to analyse the LEP data; the low mass region is allowed for neutralino when other schemes are considered (see e.g. refs. \(^{20,21,22}\)) and for every other WIMP candidate. While the area at WIMP masses above 200 GeV is allowed only for few configurations, the lower one is allowed by most configurations (the colored region gathers only those above the vertical line). The inclusion of other existing uncertainties on parameters and models would further extend the region; for example, the use of more favourable SI form factor for Iodine alone would move it towards lower cross sections. On the right: Example of the effect induced by the inclusion of a SD component different from zero on allowed regions given in the plane \(\xi\sigma_{SI} vs m_W\). In this example the Evans’ logarithmic axisymmetric C2 halo model with \(v_0 = 170\) km/s, \(\rho_0\) equal to the maximum value for this model and a given set of the parameters’ values (see ref. \(^2\)) have been considered. The different regions refer to different SD contributions for the particular case of \(\theta = 0\): \(\sigma_{SD} = 0\) pb (a), 0.02 pb (b), 0.04 pb (c), 0.05 pb (d), 0.06 pb (e), 0.08 pb (f). Analogous situation is found for the other model frameworks. For details see ref. \(^2\).

Figure 5: Theoretical expectations of \(\xi\sigma_{SI}\) versus \(m_W\) in the purely SI coupling for the particular case of a neutralino candidate in the MSSM with gaugino mass unification at GUT scale; the curve is the same as in Fig. 4-left. Figure taken from ref. \(^20\).

DAMA/NaI is not correctly quoted and the more recent result of the 7 annual cycles\(^2\) is quoted but not accounted for. In addition, in the particular scenario of ref.\(^{21}\), uncertainties from the model (from astrophysics, nuclear and particle physics) as well as some experimental ones, are not accounted at all and the existing interactions and scenarios to which CDMS is largely insensitive – on the contrary of DAMA/NaI – are ignored.
4 Conclusions and perspectives

DAMA/NaI has been a pioneer experiment running at the Gran Sasso National Laboratory of I.N.F.N. for several years and investigating as first the WIMP annual modulation signature with suitable sensitivity and control of the running parameters. During seven independent experiments of one year each one, it has pointed out the presence of a modulation satisfying the many peculiarities of an effect induced by Dark Matter particles, reaching a significant evidence. As a corollary result, it has also pointed out the complexity of the quest for a candidate particle mainly because of the present poor knowledge on the many astrophysical, nuclear and particle physics aspects. At present after a devoted R&D effort, the second generation DAMA/LIBRA (a ≃250 kg more radiopure NaI(Tl) set-up) has been realised and put in operation since March 2003. Moreover, a third generation R&D toward a possible ton NaI(Tl) set-up, we proposed in 1996 is in progress.
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