Opportunistic detection of anal intraepithelial neoplasia at colonoscopy
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Abstract

Background and Aim: Human papilloma virus-associated anal intraepithelial neoplasia (AIN) precedes most anal cancers and can be detected at colonoscopy. We aimed to quantify AIN detection rates in a general population undergoing colonoscopy.

Methods: A retrospective review of a community-based practice for 2 years until December 2019 was conducted.

Results: A total of 2525 patients (1051 males and 1474 females; median age 57.5 years) had 2608 colonoscopies. Ten patients (two males and eight females; median age 57.5 years) had incidentally detected AIN (condyloma acuminatum or AIN1, n = 4; AIN2 or 3, n = 6). AIN was detected in 1 of 261 (95% CI 1/142–1/480) colonoscopies and 1 of 163 (95% CI 1/83–1/321) colonoscopies in women over 40 years old.

Conclusions: Opportunistically detecting AIN, especially in women over 40 years old, should be an important adjunct to colonoscopy-based colorectal neoplasia detection.

Introduction

Human papillomavirus (HPV) infection is common, with a lifetime probability of infection greater than 80% for sexually active, nonvaccinated men and women.† Infected individuals are at risk of serious sequelae, including anal, cervical, and oropharyngeal malignancy.‡ Anal cancer is uncommon, with an age-adjusted incidence in Australia of 1.6 persons per 100 000.§ However, the incidence of anal cancer in Australia has been increasing, particularly in women; in 1982, the incidence was 0.8 per 100 000 females, and this increased to 1.7 in 2015.¶ Australian teenagers are being vaccinated against common strains of HPV in a nationwide program that commenced in 2007; this is anticipated to substantially reduce both cervical and anal cancer rates.¶ However, those currently aged older than 30 years are often unvaccinated and at risk of HPV-related disease.

Most anal cancers are of squamous cell and are preceded by anal intraepithelial neoplasia (AIN). Low-grade squamous intraepithelial lesions (LSILs) comprise condyloma acuminatum and AIN1; high-grade squamous intraepithelial lesions (HSILs) comprise AIN2 and AIN3.¶ There are recognized populations that are at high risk for developing AIN, on which published data have concentrated. These include human immunodeficiency virus (HIV)-positive subjects and those partaking in receptive anal intercourse.¶ Previous cervical intraepithelial neoplasia (CIN) is a major risk factor for anal cancer in women.¶—10

Colonrectal cancer screening and prevention has become an important part of population-based health care. AIN is frequently asymptomatic and can only be accurately examined for endoscopically. Colonoscopy provides an opportunity to detect AIN in the general population, where the incidence of AIN has not been studied. We evaluated the detection rate and incidence of AIN in a community-based colonoscopy practice, with a view to demonstrate the adjunctive benefit to colorectal neoplasia detection.

Methods

This retrospective evaluation arises from a single-operator, community-based medical practice in Perth, Australia. Patients underwent colonoscopy after bowel preparation, including a 48-h low-fiber diet followed by oral lavage, utilizing polyethylene glycol to make 1 L and three packets of 10 mg of sodium picosulfate as a split-dose regimen. Propofol-based sedation was administered by an anesthetist. Anal canal epithelial and transition zone lesions were routinely examined for, and pinch biopsies were taken when AIN was suspected on endoscopic appearances. Specimens obtained were reviewed by specialist gastrointestinal pathologists. Patients with AIN were referred to a sexual health physician for local ablative therapies under high-resolution anoscopy.¶

Data were collected from all patients undergoing colonoscopy from 1 January 2018 to 31 December 2019. These data describe the population from which our cohort arose and provide associated quality assurance information. For patients with AIN, ages are presented in deciles, and the indication for...
coloscopy is not given for reasons of patient anonymity; 95% confidence intervals for the rate of AIN detection at colonoscopy and 2-year incidence rate were calculated using the Wilson score interval for small numerators.

When calculating median age, age deciles, and gender, patients with more than one colonoscopy in the period were only accounted for once. Cecal/terminal ileal intubation rate and adequacy as the reason for colonoscopy.12 Clinical audit approval was obtained through the Western Australia Governance, Evidence, Knowledge and Outcome (GEKO) quality management system.

### Results

Over a 2-year period, 2525 patients had 2608 colonoscopies. Demographic data for all patients, including gender, age decile, and indication for colonoscopy, are presented in Table 1. Overall, the median age was 59 years; 41.6% were male and 58.4% female.

Ten patients (two males and eight females; median age 57.5 years) had AIN: condylomata acuminatum, \( n = 2 \); AIN1, \( n = 2 \); AIN2, \( n = 4 \); and AIN3, \( n = 2 \) (Table 2). These colonoscopic findings were new and incidental; they were not related to the indication for colonoscopy. Four of these patients had undergone colonoscopy between the previous 5 and 11 years. A past history of CIN was reported by four patients, all of whom were treated for CIN more than 10 years previously.

The rate of detection of AIN per colonoscopy was 1/261 (95% CI 1/42–1/480) for all colonoscopies and 1/163 (95% CI 1/83–1/321) in women above 40 years of age. The 2-year incidence rate of AIN was 1/253 (95% CI 1/137–1/464) for all patients. For women above 40 years of age, the 2-year incidence rate of AIN was 1/157 (95% CI 1/79–1/307).

### Discussion

This is the first study to evaluate the rate of detection and incidence of AIN in a general population, without focusing on a high-risk group. We found AIN in 1 of 253 adult patients receiving colonoscopy. For women aged over 40 years, AIN was found in 1 of 157. Although anal cancer is uncommon, the increasing incidence suggests there is benefit from opportunistically detecting AIN at colonoscopy. A total of 60–70% of patients with anal cancer present with advanced disease at diagnosis,13 making early detection or prevention important. This has particular relevance for the next 20–40 years as the unvaccinated population remains at risk of HPV infection.

Of those with AIN, the predominance of women over 40 years is an important observation. As mentioned before, and consistent with our results, previous studies have acknowledged the connection between CIN and AIN, reporting AIN in 10.4–17.4% of individuals with previous CIN.7–9,14 Unlike the general population evaluated by us, these studies only enrolled

| Table 1 | Demographic and quality assurance data for all patients undergoing colonoscopy |
|---------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Variables | \( n \) or % |
| Gender | Male: 1051; Female: 1474 |
| Age (years) | \( ≤19: 27 \); \( 20–29: 94 \); \( 30–39: 220 \); \( 40–49: 391 \); \( 50–59: 543 \); \( 60–69: 726 \); \( 70–79: 471 \); \( ≥80: 53 \) |
| Indication for colonoscopy | Polyp surveillance, follow-up colon cancer: 633 |
| | Altered bowel habit: 401 |
| | Positive FOBT/colorectal neoplasia screening: 353 |
| | Family history of colorectal cancer: 325 |
| | Bleeding: 299 |
| | Anemia and iron deficiency: 228 |
| | Bloating, pain: 219 |
| | Follow-up colitis: 75 |
| | Follow-up diverticulitis: 32 |
| | Abnormal imaging: 26 |
| | Other: 17 |
| Cecal/ileal intubation | Five incomplete colonoscopies, due to disease in four: obstructing benign (\( n = 2 \)) or malignant (\( n = 1 \)) stricture and severe Crohn’s colitis (\( n = 1 \)) 99.8% |
| Adenoma detection rate | All colonoscopies: 37.8% |
| | For eligible patients according to GESA guidelines\(^{13}\): 43.8% |
| Sessile serrated lesion detection rate | All colonoscopies: 23.2% |
| | For eligible patients according to GESA guidelines\(^{13}\): 24.0% |

FOBT, fecal occult blood test; GESA, Gastroenterological Society of Australia.

| Table 2 | Demographic data for patients with AIN and histopathology findings |
|---------|-------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Variables | \( n \) |
| Gender | Male: 2; Female: 8 |
| Age (years) | \( 30–39: 1 \); \( 40–49: 2 \); \( 50–59: 3 \); \( 60–69: 3 \); \( 70–79: 0 \); \( ≥80: 1 \) |
| AIN grade | Condyloma acuminatum: 2 |
| | AIN1: 2 |
| | AIN2: 4 |
| | AIN3: 2 |

AIN, anal intraepithelial neoplasia.
patients with CIN. In those with previous CIN, AIN is more common over 35 years of age,7 which is important as colonoscopy becomes increasingly likely over 40 years of age.

A recently described pathophysiological mechanism of disease for women is that the genitals can act as a reservoir for HPV infection and subsequently cause anal infection. A 2016 Tasmanian study of women with previous HPV-mediated gynecological neoplasia demonstrated a strong association between toileting habits and risk of anal neoplasia. Front-to-back wiping was associated with increased risk of anal neoplasia, while dabbing wiping demonstrated reduced risk of anal neoplasia.10 Considering common recommendations regarding front-to-back wiping to prevent urinary tract infection, a potential conflict in appropriate clinical direction arises.

Although our population sample is relatively small, we noted that four of our patients with AIN were treated for CIN at least 10 years previously. Natural history data regarding CIN and AIN progression to anal cancer are limited. One reported anal cancer developing between 4 and 16 years after HPV-related gynecological neoplasia.15 Others report that AIN3 progresses to cancer developing between 4 and 16 years after HPV-related disease for women is that the genitals can act as a reservoir for HPV infection and subsequently cause anal infection. A 2016 Tasmanian study of women with previous HPV-mediated gynaecological neoplasia demonstrated a strong association between toileting habits and risk of anal neoplasia. Front-to-back wiping was associated with increased risk of anal neoplasia, while dabbing wiping demonstrated reduced risk of anal neoplasia.10 Considering common recommendations regarding front-to-back wiping to prevent urinary tract infection, a potential conflict in appropriate clinical direction arises.

In summary, we provide a foundation to understanding the detection rate of AIN in individuals undergoing colonoscopy in a community-based setting. Colonoscopy provides a useful opportunity to incidentally detect these premalignant lesions, particularly in women with a previous history of CIN and those over 40 years of age. Opportunistic AIN detection may mitigate the increasing incidence of anal cancer, especially in women, until longer-term benefits of HPV vaccination come to fruition.
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