Coffee Enema for Preparation for Small Bowel Video Capsule Endoscopy: A Pilot Study
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Coffee enemas are believed to cause dilatation of bile ducts and excretion of bile through the colon wall. Proponents of coffee enemas claim that the cafestol palmitate in coffee enhances the activity of glutathione S-transferase, an enzyme that stimulates bile excretion. During video capsule endoscopy (VCE), excreted bile is one of the causes of poor preparation of the small bowel. This study aimed to evaluate the feasibility and effect of coffee enema for preparation of the small bowel during VCE. In this pilot study, 17 of 34 patients were assigned to the coffee enema plus polyethylene glycol (PEG) 2 L ingestion group, whereas the 17 remaining control patients received 2 L of PEG only. The quality of bowel preparation was evaluated in the two patient groups. Bowel preparations in the proximal segments of small bowel were not different between two groups. In the mid and distal segments of the small intestine, bowel preparations tend to be better in patients who received coffee enemas plus PEG than in patients who received PEG only. The coffee enema group did not experience any complications or side effects. Coffee enemas may be a feasible option, and there were no clinically significant adverse events related to coffee enemas. More prospective randomized studies are warranted to improve small bowel preparation for VCE.
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Introduction

Video capsule endoscopy (VCE) is widely used to examine the small bowel. According to the manufacturers of video capsules, patients should be prescribed a clear liquid diet and fast for 8 h to adequately prepare the small bowel. A meta-analysis illustrated that small-bowel preparation improves the VCE image quality and the diagnostic yield of the examination [1]. However, there is no established optimal regimen to prepare the small bowel for VCE [2]. Small-bowel preparation before VCE is performed to improve the visibility of the small bowel mucosa without affecting the VCE completion rate, capsule gastric transit time (GTT), or small bowel transit time (SBTT) [3,4]. The transparency of the intestinal fluid, which is influenced by the presence of bile, may influence the diagnostic yield of VCE [5]. Although the efficiency of VCE is enhanced by preparatory regimens, the accuracy of VCE is often limited
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by the presence of dark bile fluid, which results in poor im-
geages, particularly in the distal portion of the small bowel [6,7].
Therefore, methods to prevent the presence of bile in the
intestinal fluid are important, particularly when attempting to
detect distal small bowel lesions or conducting a second VCE
study of a patient who exhibited rebleeding after a negative
VCE study [8].

Coffee enemas are used in alternative medicine [9-12]. It
has been suggested that the enzymes in coffee stimulate bile
excretion [13], which if true suggests that a coffee enema may
prevent dark bile fluid accumulation in the distal small bowel.
In this paper, we report the findings of a single-blind case-
controlled study that evaluated the effects and feasibility
of using coffee enemas plus polyethylene glycol (PEG) solu-
tion for small bowel preparation compared with a PEG-only
preparation. Our hypothesis was that a PEG solution would
flush the bile fluid excreted in response to a coffee enema. We
compared the VCE images of patients who underwent a cof-
fee enema plus PEG flushing to those of patients who received
the PEG solution only. In particular, we focused on assessing
the transparency of the intestinal fluid.

Materials and Methods

Study sample

This was a pilot study. We included patients who were re-
ferred to Korea University Anam Hospital in Seoul, Korea for
VCE between January 2012 and May 2014. Patients were as-
signed to receive one of two different preparation regimens.
The study protocol was approved by the ethics committee of
Korea University Hospital, and the study was conducted in ac-
cordance with the guidelines of the Helsinki Declaration. Writ-
ten informed consent was obtained from all patients before
the examination. Patients who had incomplete small-bowel
examinations, active bleeding, or coagulated blood; those who
were receiving oral iron therapy or intensive therapy with
fasting and parenteral nutrition; and those who had a history
of small intestinal resection were excluded. Patients with hem-
morrhoids, anal or rectal fissures, or rectal prolapses were also
excluded. These conditions, if of sufficient severity, could make
receiving a coffee enema an extremely painful experience.

Study protocol

All patients were instructed to fast for 8 h before the bowel
preparation and VCE examination.

PEG-only group

In the PEG-only group, patients received 2 L of PEG solution
(Colyte; Taejun Medical, Seoul, Korea) 2 h before swallowing
the video capsule (Pillcam SB2; Given Imaging, Yokneam, Israel).

Coffee enema with PEG group

A split dose of PEG (1 L of the total 2-L dose) was admin-
istered before and after the coffee enema. The coffee enema
was performed after three defecations following the ingestion
of 1 L of PEG. Two or three defecations are required before
receiving the coffee enema to improve patients’ convenience
and enhance the ease of the procedure. The coffee enema flu-
id was prepared using a coffee maker with two tablespoons of
roasted ground coffee (Arabica coffee from Colombia) without
any additives and 1 L of distilled water [9]. The brewed coffee
was allowed to cool to room temperature and then instilled
into the recto-sigmoid colon. The patients were instructed
to hold their defecation for approximately 15 min, and then
digest an additional 1 L of PEG after defecation and
completion of the coffee enema. VCE was performed within 2
h after the last PEG ingestion was completed.

After bowel preparation, VCE was performed using Pillcam
SB2 in accordance with the manufacturer’s recommendations.
Each subject was equipped with sensor arrays and a data re-
corder and was instructed to swallow the capsule with a small
amount of water. After ingestion of the capsule, each patient
remained in the right lateral position for 30 min, after which
the patient was free to move around for the remainder of the
test. VCE images were recorded for the next 10 h. Patients
were then allowed to drink clear liquids and eat light snacks 2
and 4 h after capsule ingestion, respectively.

Assessment of VCE images

Capsule images from each patient were analyzed by a single
experienced reviewer (E.K.) who was blinded to the type of
bowel preparation. Image quality was assessed using Rapid 5.0
software (Given Imaging). The primary outcome measure was
the bowel preparation grade of the small bowel. The secondary
outcome measures were feasibility and adverse event related
to coffee enemas.

We used the scoring system described by Esaki et al [5].
to assess the quality of the bowel preparation along with
the image quality of the small bowel. Video images of the
small intestine were separated into three equal segments.
(proximal, mid, and distal segments) according to SBTT. The terminal ileum was examined to evaluate the effects of coffee enemas on fluid transparency in the distal ileum. If the terminal ileum could not be well differentiated, the image quality of the terminal ileum was assessed using the images taken 10 min before approaching the ileocecal valve. The fluid transparency of each segment and the terminal ileum were initially assessed and graded by reviewing video images at low speed (10 frames/s) under the QuickView mode of the RAPID workstation (Given Imaging). Subsequently, full-length video images were reviewed at maximum speed (40 frames/s) with concurrent manual inspection of individual frames for closer assessment of mucosal visibility under the multiview system. The grade of fluid transparency was determined according to the predominant grade in each segment. The grade of mucosal visibility in each video segment was determined by the duration for which air bubbles or food residues interfered with more than 50% of visualization. The grading system that we used to assess small bowel image quality is described in Table 1.

**Evaluation of side effects**

After 1 week, patients who had received coffee enemas returned to the clinic for the assessment of adverse events. Hematochezia, abdominal pain, anal pain, fever, and any symptoms related to the coffee enemas were evaluated, and optional laboratory studies were performed for symptomatic patients.

**Statistical analysis**

Parametric data are expressed as means ± SDs, and these data were compared between groups using the Mann-Whitney U test. Nonparametric data are expressed as frequencies, and these data were compared between groups using Fisher’s exact probability test or the χ² test. p values less than 0.05 were regarded as statistically significant.

**Results**

**Demographic data**

Of the 34 enrolled patients (24 males and 10 females, mean age: 57.7 years, age range: 20–74 years), 17 patients received 2 L of PEG only, and 17 patients received a coffee enema with 2 L of PEG. As shown in Table 2, there were no significant differences in age or gender between the two groups. The bowel preparations and coffee enemas were performed without any significant adverse events. Cecal inspection was achieved in 14 patients who underwent bowel preparation with PEG only (82%) and in 16 patients who were underwent bowel preparation with a coffee enema with PEG (94%).

The indications for CE included obscure gastrointestinal bleeding (total n = 21, PEG only = 11, PEG with coffee enema = 10) and anemia (total n = 4, PEG only = 1, PEG with coffee enema = 3), whereas the other nine subjects were volunteers (PEG only = 5, PEG with coffee enema = 4).

**Capsule transit**

The gastric transit time (GTT) did not differ between the PEG-only and coffee enema with PEG groups (35.4 ± 49.1 min vs. 26.8 ± 30.3 min, p = 0.39). Similarly, the small bowel transit time (SBTT) did not differ between the two groups (335.2 ± 106.9 min vs. 296.8 ± 86.3 min, p = 0.07).

| Table 1. The grading system used to assess the transparency and mucosal visibility of each segment of the small bowel and the terminal ileum |
|---------------------------------------------------------------|
| **Fluid transparency**                                      |
| Grade 1 Clear fluid without obscured vision                 |
| Grade 2 Slightly dark fluid minimally obscuring vision      |
| Grade 3 Opaque fluid partly obscuring vision                |
| Grade 4 Turbid fluid severely obscuring vision              |
| **Mucosal visibility**                                      |
| Grade 1 < 5%                                                |
| Grade 2 5–15%                                               |
| Grade 3 15–25%                                              |
| Grade 4 > 25%                                               |

*The percentage indicates the proportion of the length of time of the video image that air bubbles interrupted more than 50% of visualization and interpretation.
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Image quality
In both groups, the fluid transparency was worsened by bile according to the advance of the capsule in the small bowel. Figure 1 presents a comparison of the fluid transparency during each time segment as determined by the blinded observer. In the mid segment of the small bowel, grade 1 transparency was more frequent in the coffee enema with PEG group than in the PEG-only group (Figure 1B), and in the distal segment, grade 1 or 2 transparency was more prevalent in the coffee enema with PEG group than in the PEG-only group (Figure 1C). Consequently, fluid transparency was better in the coffee enema with PEG group than that in the PEG-only group in the mid and distal segments and the terminal ileum (p = 0.04, p = 0.007, and p = 0.002, respectively, Figure 1). In the proximal segment of the small bowel, the fluid transparency difference did not reach statistical significance (p = 0.1, Figure 1A). Figure 2 shows the VCE images of the two groups.

The grades of mucosal visibility due to air bubbles in the two groups were not different in any segment of the small bowel or terminal ileum (Figure 3).

Positive findings
Positive findings were identified in 21 of 34 patients (62%). Multifocal intestinal lesions were found in six patients. Of these 21 patients, 10 (multiple lesions in four) were in the coffee enema with PEG group, whereas the remaining 11 patients (multiple lesions in two) were in the PEG-only group. Consequently, the number of positive findings of VCE was not significantly different between the two groups.

Adverse events
At 1 week after receiving the coffee enema, patients visited the outpatient clinic for follow-up. None of the patients experienced any coffee enema-related symptoms such as hematochezia, abdominal pain, anal pain, or fever, and none underwent additional laboratory tests.

Discussion
Many trials have been conducted to improve the diagnostic yield of VCE [14], which appears to depend largely upon the quality of small bowel images [5]. Bowel preparation using electrolyte lavage solution or simethicone enhances the image quality of VCE [7,15,16]. Bowel preparation with sodium phosphate before CE allows better visualization than overnight fasting alone, and reduces disturbances caused by intraluminal turbid fluid [7,16]. A previous study reported no difference in image quality when bowel preparation was performed using 2 L of PEG versus a 12-h fast [17]. However, subjects who received 2 L of PEG the night before VCE had poor image quality due to the presence of dark bile fluid, even in subjects who received 2 L of PEG. In addition, this previous study was a retrospective study. A meta-analysis found that bowel preparation was more effective at improving image quality than a 12-h fast [1]. Enhanced image quality is believed to improve the rate of detection of lesions and abnormalities.

Although several small bowel preparation methods have been reported, no well-designed prospective controlled studies directly comparing these methods have been performed. When VCE is performed, images are taken for 8–12 h after

| Table 2. Demographic data for patients in the PEG-only group and the coffee enema with PEG group |
|-----------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|------------------|
|                                               | PEG-only group (n = 17)                         | Coffee enema with PEG group (n = 17) |
| Age, years (mean ± SD)                        | 56 ± 19                                       | 61 ± 11          |
| Gender (female : male)                        | 5:12                                          | 5:12             |
| Indications for CE                            |                                               |                  |
| Anemia                                        | 1                                             | 3                |
| Obscure GIB                                   | 11                                            | 10               |
| Volunteer                                    | 5                                             | 4                |
| GTT, min                                      | 35.4                                          | 26.8             |
| SBTT, min                                     | 335.2                                         | 296.8            |
| Positive finding                              | 11                                            | 10               |

PEG: polyethylene glycol, SD: standard deviation, CE: capsule endoscopy, GIB: gastrointestinal tract bleeding, GTT: gastric transit time, SBTT: small bowel transit time.
ingestion of the capsule, and the image quality deteriorates toward the distal ileum compared to that in the proximal jejunum due to the presence of dark bile fluid [18]. Although the control group in this study received 2 L of PEG, turbid fluid that severely obscured vision in the distal third segment of the small bowel and the terminal ileum was observed in over 70% of these patients. Because this type of visual disturbance cannot be controlled by a bowel preparation such as PEG in the distal small bowel, we administered coffee enemas to address this problem and assessed the applicability, safety, and efficacy of this technique.

A number of hypotheses regarding the mechanisms underlying the effects of coffee enemas have been reported. The proponents of coffee enema use believe that the cafestol palmitate in coffee increases the activity of glutathione S-transferase, an enzyme that cause dilatation of bile ducts and excretion of bile through the colon wall, and that the coffee fluid stimulates peristalsis and drainage of bile from the gut [9,10,13]. It has been theorized that the chemicals in coffee enemas cause free radicals to be absorbed by the sulfhydryl (–SH) groups of glutathione in the bile, which thereafter become bile salts that are flushed out of the gall bladder [20]. Based on these reports, we decided to investigate whether the administration of coffee enemas would have the effect on bile excretion. Specifically, we investigated whether the use of coffee enemas would promote the excretion of bile, and if

Figure 1. Comparisons of fluid transparency between the polyethylene glycol (PEG)-only and coffee enema with PEG groups. The grades of the coffee enema with PEG group were significantly better than those of the PEG-only group in the mid (B) and distal (C) small bowel segments and the terminal ileum (D) (p = 0.04, p = 0.001, and p = 0.007, respectively). No significant differences were found between the two groups for the proximal small bowel segment (A). The figures in the bars indicate the number of patients.
Coffee enemas should be administered before the administration of PEG when preparing for VCE. However, coffee enemas are extremely uncomfortable for patients when the rectum is full of fecal material. Therefore, we first administered 1 L of PEG to promote one or two defecations. The bile excreted due to the coffee enemas was then flushed with an additional 1 L of PEG. A coffee enema rather than an oral dose was utilized in this study because 1 L of coffee extract is difficult to ingest in addition to the customary 2 L of PEG, and the coffee solution itself could impede VCE.

Few complications have been reported following coffee enemas. The majority of the complications previously reported for coffee enemas were cases of proctocolitis caused by thermal injury due to the administration of hot coffee [20-23]. Dehydration or electrolyte imbalances due to frequent enema use have also been reported [20]. There are two reports of coffee enema-related deaths. One was due to electrolyte imbalance caused by frequent enema administration [24], and the other was due to septicemia in a patient with terminal stage breast cancer and severely compromised hepatic function and immunity [25]. However, the one-time administration of coffee enemas to patients without severe illness typically produces few or no adverse effects [14]. Thermal injury of the rectum can be prevented by controlling the temperature of the coffee enema, and the risks of electrolyte imbalance or septicemia due to frequent enema are not relevant for patients undergoing a one-time procedure for small bowel preparation. To prevent complications, we brewed 1 L of roasted coffee without added flavors (1 L of water and two tablespoons of coffee), allowed the coffee to cool to room temperature, and then administered it to relatively healthy patients. The absorption time of ingested coffee is known to be 30–40 min after fasting. The absorption of a coffee enema is assumed to require a similar amount of time as that for ingested coffee; therefore, we administered an additional 1 L of PEG 30 min after the 15-min coffee enema. In this study, the fluid transparency of the CE images in the control group became poor in the distal segment due to the presence of dark bile fluid. By contrast, all segments of patients who received coffee enemas with PEG flushing were more clearly visualized. The view of the distal ileum was especially
clear. Moreover, there were no adverse events in the coffee enema plus PEG group. Therefore, the administration of coffee enemas plus PEG flushing improves the image quality of the distal small bowel. Additionally, coffee enemas plus PEG flushing may be used for retesting capsule-negative patients.

We used the small bowel preparation grade system described by Esaki et al [5] in this study. Previous studies found that the inter-observer agreement between two specialists using this system was relatively high (r = 0.77–0.88), [5] and this grading system is relatively simple. In addition, this grading system can be used to simultaneously assess mucosal visibility and fluid transparency and differentiate between the effects of coffee enemas on bile and poor visibility caused by bubbles and food debris.

This study had some limitations. We did not identify the mechanism of action of coffee enemas and did not determine the relationship between coffee enema administration and bile excretion. Additional large scale prospective controlled studies should be conducted to evaluate the safety of coffee enema use. In addition, the acceptance of coffee enemas among patients also needs to be studied. Ingesting 2 L of PEG plus coffee enema provokes discomfort, potentially reducing compliance with the VCE exam. In addition, future studies with the aim of decreasing the total PEG volume ingested are necessary for its combination with coffee enema. Also, the time interval between last ingestion of PEG solution and capsule exam were not different in 2 study groups, in PEG plus coffee enema group, the time intervals were 2-4 hours shorter than PEG only group. This time interval can be another factor that influence the preparation of distal small bowel.

Figure 3. Comparisons of mucosal disturbance due to air bubbles in the polyethylene glycol (PEG)-only and coffee enema with PEG groups. The degree of mucosal disturbance in each intestinal segment was comparable between the two groups (A, proximal small bowel segment; B, mid small bowel segment; C, distal small bowel segment; D, terminal ileum). The figures in the bars indicate the number of patients.
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Conclusion
Coffee enemas administered for small bowel preparation before VCE is feasible and may improve visual disturbance caused by bile in distal small bowel VCE images. Further studies are warranted to evaluate the safety and effectiveness of coffee enemas, as well as to analyze how coffee enemas affect bile excretion.
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