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Spectral Characteristics of the Near-Wall Turbulence in an Unsteady Channel Flow
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The modulation characteristics of the turbulent wall shear stress and longitudinal intensities in the inner layer are experimentally investigated in an unsteady channel flow wherein the centerline velocity varies in time in a sinusoidal manner. The fluctuating wall shear stress and velocity signals are temporally filtered and subsequently phase averaged. It is shown that the outer structures corresponding to the low spectrum range have a constant time lag with respect to the centerline velocity modulation. The inner active structures, in particular those with a frequency band containing the mean ejection frequency of the corresponding steady flow dominate the dynamics of the near-wall unsteady turbulence. The structures respond to the imposed shear oscillations in a complex way, depending both on their characteristic scales and the thickness of the oscillating shear zone in which they are embedded.

Unsteady turbulent shear flows are encountered in many practical situations in aerohydrodynamics, aeroacoustics or biofluid dynamics. Past research on pulsed pipe or channel flows was first focused on the eventual effects of the forced velocity oscillations on the time-mean flow and second on the modulation characteristics of the oscillating velocity field and the near-wall turbulence. There is now an established consensus that:

- The time-mean flow is unaffected by the imposed unsteadiness even in the presence of large imposed amplitudes and frequencies that may cause reverse flow near the wall.
- In the imposed high-frequency regime, the oscillating shear is confined in the low buffer layer and leads to the coexistence of a purely oscillating viscous Stokes flow with an unaffected time-mean flow.
- The turbulence cannot follow the rapid imposed unsteadiness when the time period becomes comparable with the median time scale of the near-wall turbulence. The turbulent shear stresses become frozen during the oscillation cycle under these circumstances.

Despite significant advances in the understanding and modeling of forced internal wall flows, there is still some lack in understanding the reaction of the fine turbulence structure to imposed time periodical shear [1]. One of the questions that arise concerns the spectral characteristics of unsteady near-wall turbulence. We partly investigated these points in [2] by determining the impact of the unsteadiness on the inner and outer layer structures but only in the low buffer layer. We extend and discuss detailed results in this paper in the entire inner layer.

The experiments were performed in the unsteady water channel described in detail in [3]. The centerline velocity was held constant and equals \( \bar{U}_c = 17.5 \) cm/s. This corresponds to a friction velocity of \( u_\tau = 0.85 \) cm/s and a Reynolds number based on the half-height of the channel of \( Re_\tau = \bar{U}_c h / \nu = 8800 \). The imposed amplitude was 20% of the centerline velocity throughout the whole study. The imposed frequency in wall units \( f^* = f (v / \bar{u}^\prime_2) \), where \( v \) is the kinematic viscosity, varied by a factor of 24 from \( f^* = 2 \times 10^{-4} \) to \( f^* = 60 \times 10^{-4} \). Hereafter, \( (\cdot)^* \) will designate variables normalized by the viscosity and time mean shear velocity. The imposed frequency range investigated here covers \( \bar{l}_f = 38 - 7 \) in terms of the frequency parameter \( \bar{l}_f = \tau / \pi f^* \), which is the viscous Stokes length normalized by \( \bar{l}_f = v / \bar{u}^\prime_2 \). The wall shear stress and the velocity measurements were performed by means of a flush-mounted TSI-1268 hot film at the wall and a TSI 1276-10 W hot film located in the flow. Further details are provided in Tardu and Vezić [2].

The classical triple decomposition is used. A quantity \( q \) is decomposed into a mean \( \bar{q} \), an oscillating \( \tilde{q} \), and fluctuating \( q' \) component. The angle brackets designate the phase average, i.e., \( \langle \cdot \rangle_q = \bar{q} + \tilde{q} \). The modulation characteristics of \( \langle \cdot \rangle_q \) are described by the amplitude \( A_q \) and phase \( \phi_q \) of the fundamental mode. The relative amplitude \( A_{q} = A_{q}^* / \bar{q} \) is also introduced for convenience.

In a way similar to Naguib and Wark [4], we use here three digital zero-phase shift filters; namely, Filter 0 with bandpass in wall units \( \Delta f_0 = 0 - 0.0045 \), Filter 1 (bandpass \( \Delta f_1 = 0.0055 - 0.022 \)), and Filter 2 \( \Delta f_2 = 0.0316 - 0.0482 \) to identify outer (Filter 0) and inner (Filters 1 and 2) structures and their characteristics. The filtering is processed through well-designed zero-phase shift 128-point finite impulse response digital filters. The reader is referred to [2] for further important details and related discussions.

The phase shifts of the filtered signals are denoted by \( \Delta \phi_i = \phi_i / \bar{U}^\prime_2 - \phi_0^* \) with \( \pm i = 0, 1, \) and 2 and the corresponding time lags by \( \Delta t_i = \Delta \phi_i / 2 \pi f^* \). The time lag of the modulation of the contribution of the outer structures is remarkably constant in the viscous layer at \( y^* < 50 \) with \( \Delta t_i^* = -75 \) as shown in Fig. 1. In the low log-layer, however, the behavior of \( \Delta t_i^* \) changes appreciably. The phase shift \( \phi_i^* = \phi_i / \bar{u}^\prime_2 \) at \( y^* = 100 \) decreases sharply in the imposed low-frequency range \( f^* < 0.002 \), becomes subsequently constant and joins the line \( \Delta t_i^* = -75 \) only in the imposed high-frequency range. Thus, the response time of the outer structures is constant in the viscous layer \( y^* < 50 \) with a repercussion at the wall of \( \Delta t_i^* = -125 \) independent of the imposed frequency. The time-lag difference \( \Delta t_i^* - \Delta t_0^* \) may be expressed as \( \Delta t^* = -y_0^* v_0^* \) where \( y_0 \) and \( v_0 \) stand, respectively, for the distance to the wall of the outer edge of the viscous layer and a characteristic wall normal velocity. One finds \( \Delta t^* = -50 \) by taking \( y_0^* = 50 \) and \( v_0^* = \sqrt{U^\prime_2 / 2 \bar{u}^\prime_2} \), i.e., by assuming that the convection velocity is approximately equal to the rms wall normal velocity at the outer edge of the viscous layer. An equivalent assumption could be that the wall normal convection velocity in the viscous layer is about \( v_0^* = \bar{u}^\prime_2 \), as suggested by Eckelmann [5].

The constancy of \( \Delta t_i^* \) at \( y^* < 50 \) points to the difference of the diffusion mechanism governing the passive eddies and the \( \langle u' v' \rangle \) modulation. The lack of the diffusion of the outer eddies in the sense we discussed before is in concordance with the idealized inviscid picture of the passive structures.

Figures 2(a) and 3 show how the phase shift of the turbulent longitudinal intensity modulation related to the active eddies differs from the passive ones in the entire inner layer. At a given \( y^* \), the phase shift \( \phi_i^* = \phi_i / v^* - \phi_0^* \) decreases linearly until a critical imposed frequency \( f_i^* \) beyond which \( \phi_i^* = \phi_0^* \) is constant, or equivalently the time lag \( \Delta t_i^* = (\phi_i^* - \phi_0^*) / 2 \pi f^* \) decreases with \( f^* \) through \( \Delta t_i^* = 1 / f_i^* \). This is clearly perceptible in Fig. 2(a). The critical frequency depends upon \( y^* \). The best physical
way to scale it is to use the Stokes length $l_s$, and relate the phenomena to the oscillating shear layer whose thickness is $2l_s$. Figure 2(b) shows the distribution of $y_{scr}^* = y^*/l_s^*$ versus $y^*$. It is seen that $y_{scr}^* = 2.5$, which is only slightly larger than the oscillating shear thickness, except in the low buffer region. Consequently, the response time of the structures 1 is constant in the oscillating shear layer and decreases in the plug flow zone wherein $\tilde{a}u/\tilde{y} = 0$.

The phase shift of the active structures 2 is small and remarkably independent of the imposed frequency in the viscous layer $y^*<50$ (Fig. 3). In the high logarithmic region $\phi_{2a,\tilde{u}'} - \phi_u$ is closely similar to $\phi_{1a,\tilde{u}'} - \phi_u$ of the structures 1.

The relative amplitudes scaled with local $a_u$ are shown in Fig. 4 versus the imposed frequency at four different $y^*$ positions. The first striking observation emerging from these results is the sharp decrease of $a_{2a,\tilde{u}'}/a_u$ from large values of about 1 in the low-frequency regime. The decrease is roughly linear from the quasi-steady limit to some $f_{2a,scr}$ as shown by broken lines in Fig. 4. The resulting critical Stokes lengths $l_{2a,scr}^* = \sqrt{\pi f_{2a,scr}^*}$ are shown in Fig. 2(b) at the right. It is seen that $l_{2a,scr}^*$ increases in the buffer layer until it reaches a plateau region in the log layer. The relative amplitude of the large scale $a_{0a,\tilde{u}'}$ and inner structures $a_{1a,\tilde{u}'}$ do not significantly differ from the global response $a_{u,\tilde{u}'}$ in the entire inner layer.

The response of the inner eddies are recapitulated in Fig. 5. The active eddies 2 are closely related to the quasi-streamwise vortices (QSV), which are the major coherent structures in the buffer layer. They contribute mostly to the low-speed streak formation and Reynolds shear stress in steady [4] and unsteady flows [2]. The peculiar behavior of the cutoff in the response of the active structures 2 to imposed unsteadiness can tentatively be explained by the reaction of the QSV to the oscillating shear $\tilde{a}u/\tilde{y}$. The QSV are entirely embedded in the oscillating shear zone when $y^* = y_{scr}^* = 30$, which correspond to the top of the structures. Since $\tilde{a}u/\tilde{y}$ is constrained into $y^*<2$, this condition implies a critical Stokes length of $l_{2a,scr}^* = 15$, which corresponds well to the asymptotic limit in Fig. 2(b). The majority of the QSV’s are in contact with the oscillating shear under this condition and the $\langle u'\tilde{u}' \rangle$ modulation extends to the log-layer. The active structures response is fast with small phase shifts $\phi_{2a,\tilde{u}'} - \phi_u$ in this zone ($y^*<50$) and $\langle u'\tilde{u}' \rangle$ diffuses away resulting in larger time lags (Fig. 3). Only smaller structures in their initial stage of development are directly affected by $\tilde{a}u/\tilde{y}$ when the latter is constrained into $y^*<15$ as it is shown at left in Fig. 5. Thus, the oscillating shear has to be concentrated sufficiently close to the wall, to stimulate the unsteady reaction of these merely immature QSV and to activate the $\langle u'\tilde{u}' \rangle$ modulation in the buffer layer. This explains the occurrence of a minimum at $l_{2a,scr}^* = 7$ in Fig. 2(b).

The active structures 1 are larger scale eddies that extend beyond the buffer layer. Their time lag is constant in the oscillating shear zone and decreases in the plug layer. Figure 2 suggests that their size may reach 80–100 wall units. The inactive eddies are large-scale motions associated mainly with pressure-strain correlations and turbulent diffusion according to Bradshaw [6]. They do not contribute to the shear stress production. They consequently scale with integral variables in steady flow contrary to the active eddies scaling with the inner wall variables. The outer
velocity scale in unsteady flows is the centerline velocity oscillations \( \langle U'_c \rangle \) and the inner velocity scale is the shear velocity modulation \( \langle u'_i \rangle \). Thus, outer and inner scaling would respectively imply \( a_{u'_i} = 2a_{u'_c} \), \( \phi_{u'_i} = \phi_{u'_c} \) for the passive structures and \( a_{u'_i} = a_{u'_i} \), \( \phi_{u'_i} = \phi_{2} \) for the inner ones. Neither the amplitudes \( a_{u'_i} \) nor the phases \( \phi_{u'_i} \) obey these relationships except in the quasi-steady regime. The structures respond to the imposed shear oscillations in a complex way, depending both on their characteristic scales and on the thickness of the oscillating shear zone in which they are embedded.

The results presented here may be useful in the development of multiple-scale modeling in unsteady flows [7]. Consider to this end the modulation of the kinetic energy equation

\[
\frac{\partial \tilde{k}}{\partial t} = \tilde{P} - \tilde{\varepsilon} - \frac{1}{\rho} \left( u'\overline{p}' + u'\overline{k}' - \nu \frac{\partial \overline{k}}{\partial y} \right)
\]

where \( \tilde{P} \) and \( \tilde{\varepsilon} \) are, respectively, the production and dissipation, the term under the bracket stands for the turbulent and viscous diffusion, and where the second-harmonic production is neglected.

We may write \( \tilde{k} = \tilde{k}_{\text{outer}} + \tilde{k}_{\text{inner}} \) with \( \tilde{k}_{\text{outer}} = \tilde{k}_1 \), \( \tilde{k}_{\text{inner}} = \tilde{k}_1 + \tilde{k}_2 \) since these components fall into nonoverlapping parts of the spectrum. According to the results presented in this paper and the related discussion we made in the previous sections, the outer passive eddies contribute mainly to the turbulent diffusion. Thus,

\[
\frac{\partial \tilde{k}_1}{\partial t} = \tilde{P}_1 - \tilde{\varepsilon}_1 + \nu \frac{\partial^2 \overline{k}_1}{\partial y^2}
\]

The classical multi-scale cascade equations are written as

\[
\frac{\partial \tilde{k}_2}{\partial t} = \tilde{P}_2 - \tilde{\varepsilon}_2 + \nu \frac{\partial^2 \overline{k}_2}{\partial y^2}
\]

where the coupling between the inner structures 1 and 2 takes place through the dissipation and the passive eddies intervene in the turbulent diffusion. The idea here is to couple these equations with the relationships based on the rapid distortion model in a way similar to Mankbadi and Liu [8] and Tardu and Da Costa [1] wherein substantial details can be found. The closure in these models is based on the effective strain parameter \( \langle \alpha_{eff} \rangle \). The structural parameters such as the ratio of the Reynolds shear stress to the kinetic energy are related to \( \langle \alpha_{eff} \rangle \) by \( \tilde{u}' \tilde{v}' / \langle \overline{k} \rangle = F(\langle \alpha_{eff} \rangle) \), where the function \( F \) is obtained by the bench data of the steady turbulent flow. The transport equation for \( \langle \alpha_{eff} \rangle \) in its simplest form is

\[
\frac{\partial \langle \alpha_{eff} \rangle}{\partial t} = -\frac{\partial \langle \alpha_{eff} \rangle}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial (\langle \alpha_{eff} \rangle)}{\partial y}
\]

Fig. 5 Schematic representation of the frequency response of structures 2
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