Comparing *in-vivo* confocal microscopy and ex-vivo light and scanning electron microscopy images of the hairs of the pine processionary caterpillar embedded in the cornea: Report of three cases
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This report describes three cases of pine processionary caterpillar hairs (setae) embedded in the cornea: one in a 69-year-old man with acute keratouveitis, a second case in a 65-year-old man with an epithelial defect and a stromal infiltrate, and the third case affecting a 54-year-old woman with mild keratitis. The two first patients had one hair embedded in the anterior corneal layers while the last one had several hairs deeply embedded in the stroma. By comparing in-vivo confocal microscopy (IVCM) with ex-vivo light microscopy (LM) and scanning electron microscopy (SEM) images, the morphology of the setae was identified and confirmed.
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Accidental contact with the hairs of the pine processionary caterpillar *Thaumetopoea pityocampa* can induce from mild keratitis to severe systemic reactions. The morphology of these hairs, or setae, on in-vivo confocal microscopy (IVCM) seems to be pathognomonic. We here describe three cases in which processionary caterpillar hairs were found embedded in the cornea. The diagnosis was confirmed by IVCM, scanning electron microscopy (SEM), and light microscopy (LM).

**Case Reports**

**Case 1**
A 69-year-old man presented at the emergency room with intense pain in his left eye (oculus sinister (OS)). Symptoms had started 3 days after visiting a pine forest. On slit-lamp examination (SLE), the OS showed a small central epithelial defect, a diffuse infiltrate in the adjacent stroma, mild stromal edema and folds in Descemet’s membrane [Fig. 1a]. We observed a small elongated brown foreign body in the middle of the stroma that resembled a caterpillar hair. In an anterior segment optical coherence tomography (AS-OCT) (Heidelberg Engineering GmbH, Heidelberg, Germany), a hyperreflective point could be seen in the anterior stroma [Fig. 1b]. IVCM (Heidelberg IVCM HRTIII-Rostock Cornea Module) revealed a harpoon-shaped foreign body compatible with a processionary caterpillar seta [Fig. 1c].

Treatment was topical dexamethasone 1 mg/ml tapered, ofloxacin 3 mg/ml, and cyclopentolate 1 mg/ml. Within two weeks, the pain and inflammation subsided although the hair was still visible in the corneal stroma.

**Case 2**
A 65-year-old man presented at the emergency room because of pain in his OS starting a few hours previously. He reported having been walking near a pinewood and felt something enter his eye, realizing later it was a caterpillar which he brought to our center. On SLE, the OS showed a paracentral infiltrate in the middle and deep stroma without epitheliopathy. Adjacent to the infiltrate, several long, thin brown foreign bodies were observed [Fig. 2a]. In an AS-OCT, hyperreflective lines could be seen, one of which had penetrated the corneal endothelium [Fig. 2b]. On IVCM, we detected multiple spiculated hairs, similar to those observed in Case 1 [Fig. 2c]. In LM and SEM images of the caterpillar brought by the patient, we could distinguish spicules on the hairs [Figs. 2d-f and 3]. In response to the same treatment as in Case 1, inflammation resolved within three weeks.

**Case 3**
A 54-year-old woman presented at the emergency room complaining of mild pain in her OS after having spent the previous day in her garden. On biomicroscopy, a long brown foreign body was detected on the epithelium in the OS [Fig. 4a]. AS-OCT revealed no apparent injury. On IVCM, we detected a long foreign body with spicules on its margins compatible with a processionary caterpillar seta [Fig. 4b].
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Figure 1: Patient 1: (a) Slit lamp: elongated brown foreign body compatible with the hair of a processionary caterpillar. (b) Anterior segment optical coherence tomography (AS-OCT): hyperreflective point in the anterior stroma with adjacent indirect hyporeflectivity. (c) In vivo confocal microscopy (IVCM): harpoon-shaped foreign body consisting of a stalk with spicules orientated towards its sharp tip.

Figure 2: Patient 2: (a) Slit lamp: elongated thin brown foreign bodies. (b) AS-OCT: numerous hyperreflective lines compatible with caterpillar hairs, one of which can be seen to cross into the corneal endothelium. (c) IVCM: multiple spiculated foreign bodies similar to those of the previous case. (d) Bright field microscopy (BFM) of the hairs obtained from the caterpillar brought by the patient showing numerous spicules orientated towards the hair tip. (e and f) Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) of the caterpillar revealed the similar morphology of the hairs observed by LM and IVCM.

After removing the hair with a cotton swab, LM and SEM observations confirmed the similar seta morphology as in the previous case [Fig. 4c and d]. In response to the same treatment as described for Cases 1 and 2, inflammation resolved in two weeks.

Discussion

The hairs of the pine processionary caterpillar are lethal airborne weapons able to penetrate the skin or ocular surface producing a broad spectrum of disorders. The pathogenic mechanism could be mechanical irritation through contact with the spiculated sharp-tipped hairs or a massive immune reaction resulting in overlapping of allergic and non-allergic responses. The protein thaumetopoein found in the caterpillar’s hairs is capable of inducing immunoglobulin E (IgE)-dependent basophil degranulation. Despite an antigen stimulus of similar magnitude (one hair) in two of our patients, Case 1 showed a likely allergic keratouveitis with intense inflammation, while
in Case 3 there was only mildly irritating keratitis. The deeper position of the embedded hair in Case 1 could explain this allergic reaction. Similarly, a high antigen load in the second case triggered an inflammatory response that was not as intense as in Case 1 and only in the deep corneal stroma. Thus, it seems that not only the antigen load is responsible for variation in symptom intensity.

Epithelial debridement and removal of embedded hairs are controversial because of iatrogenic risks. When pulled, hairs may break into smaller fragments, which may exacerbate the inflammatory reaction by releasing new toxins. Corneal perforation is rare but has been described.

Imaging the cornea with non-invasive techniques such as IVCM is useful to confirm a suspected diagnosis made after SLE, and also to locate, and assess the size and shape of the foreign body. The hairs found here showed a pathognomonic morphology: hyper-reflective, non-branched, tiny linear foreign bodies with sharp tips and serrated edges consisting of spicules pointing towards the tips. These are the main features that distinguish them from corneal nerves. Some authors report that AS-OCT offers images of sufficiently high resolution to assess the location, size, and depth of hairs and to monitor their possible migration, which is useful for planning surgical removal. However, in Case 3, we were unable to locate the embedded hair by AS-OCT while IVCM was successful as it offers information at the cellular level. As limitations of IVCM, we should mention that it requires specific training and image interpretation is subjective. Furthermore, because it is a contact technique, it may exacerbate symptoms.

Conclusion

To the best of our knowledge, this is the first study to compare the use of IVCM, SEM, and LM to examine the morphology of pine processionary caterpillar setae inducing keratitis. IVCM emerged as a useful diagnostic tool recommended when the etiology of corneal inflammation is uncertain.
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