A noninvasive and highly sensitive approach for the assessment of coronary collateral circulation by 192-slice third-generation dual-source computed tomography
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Abstract
The coronary collateral circulation (CCC) is an alternative source of blood supply when the original vessels fail to provide sufficient blood. The accurate detection of CCC is critical for the treatment of ischemic heart disease, especially when the stent surgery is not an option. The assessment of minute vessels such as coronary collateral arteries is challenging. The objective of this study was to assess the feasibility of detection and classification of CCC using the 192-slice third-generation dual-source computed tomography angiography (192-slice DSCT CTA).

Eight hundred patients (450 men and 350 women, mean age: 56 ± 11 years) with complete or subtotal occlusion of at least 1 major coronary artery were enrolled for our study. February 2016 and September 2018, the patient both 192-slice DSCT CTA and conventional coronary angiography (CAG) were performed in all enrolled patients. The interval between two approaches for a given patient was 6.1 ± 3.7 days (Range: 1–15). The diagnostic accuracy of 192-slice DSCT CTA was evaluated by comparing it with that of CAG. The identified CCC was graded according to the Rentrop classification.

The prevalence among patients of having at least 1 CCC was 43.8%. The sensitivity for detecting CCC by 192-slice DSCT was 91.7% (95% CI: 88.3% to 94.3%), specificity was 95.5% (95% CI: 93.1% to 97.2%), positive predictive value was 94.3% (95% CI: 91.5% to 96.2%), and negative predictive value was 93.3% (95% CI: 90.9% to 95.3%). Cohen-Kappa analysis showed that the consistency of the correct classification of CCC using CAG and 192-slice DSCT was very high with the kappa coefficient (κ) of 0.94 (95% CI: 0.91–0.96, P value = 0.01). Additionally, the radiation dose for 192-slice DSCT was as low as 0.42 ± 0.04 mSv (range, 0.35–0.43 mSv).

The 192-slice DSCT CTA is a reliable and sensitive non-invasive method for the evaluation of CCC with low radiation doses.

Abbreviations: CAG = coronary angiography, CCC = coronary collateral circulation, CI = confidence interval, CTA = computed tomography angiography, DSCT = dual source computed tomography, LAD = left anterior descending artery, NPV = negative predictive values, PPV = positive predictive value, RCA = right coronary artery.
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1. Introduction
Coronary collateral circulation (CCC) serves as the alternative blood supply to injured myocardium when the original coronary artery was jeopardized.[1,2] In the normal condition, collateral arteries exist as arterial-arterial anastomotic connections with the diameter between 30 and 100 μm. In 1956, Baroldi et al first reported the presence of numerous corkscrew anastomotic vessels in the terminal branches of the main vessels in normal hearts at autopsy.[3] The importance of coronary collateral circulation had been largely neglected for decades. Until recently, coronary collateral arteries were found to be the conduits that bridge severe stenosis or link a territory supplied by one epicardial artery with that of another.[4] The presence of CCC also reduces the mortality of myocardial infarction through decreasing infarct size and the risk for post-infarct complications.[4] Therapeutic promotion of collateral growth has become an important treatment strategy, for which the primary consideration is refining methods to assess collaterals in vivo.[5,6] The fractional flow reserve (FFR) is the gold standard for the assessment of coronary artery stenosis, which might be influenced by the presence of CCC.[7,8] Therefore, it is highly valuable to visualize and evaluate the status of collateral arteries.
Visualization of minute vessels such as coronary collateral arteries is challenging. The conventional method to assess coronary collaterals is coronary angiography (CAG). This can be performed in a semiquantitative manner as described by Rentrop et al.\textsuperscript{[9]} However, CAG is an invasive technique that might cause bleeding, infection, and damage to blood vessels during the test.\textsuperscript{[10,11]} In addition, CAG has the risks of causing arrhythmias, kidney damage, and other fatal complications.\textsuperscript{[12]} Moreover, variations are often created by technical reasons, such as position and occasion selection in CAG.\textsuperscript{[13]} Despite the clinical importance, the evaluation of CCC by CAG has been limited due to the above disadvantages. A non-invasive, safe and reliable technique is needed for visualization and evaluation of CCC.

Non-invasive coronary computed tomography (CT) angiography and 3D speckle tracking echocardiography are alternatives to invasive coronary angiography in the diagnosis of suspected patients with coronary artery disease (CAD) due to its high diagnostic value without the influence of the position of coronary vessels.\textsuperscript{[14,15]}

To date, cardiac CT has been commonly used to visualize the heart anatomy and great vessels.\textsuperscript{[16]} In addition, in recent years, a noninvasive CT method was developed for the evaluation of FFR.\textsuperscript{[8]} However, there are few studies in assessing the status of CCC. Cardiac imaging was first performed on 16-slice and, later on 64-slice and 128-slice dual-source CT (DSCT). Both 16-slice and 64-slice CT have limitations in displaying of CCC due to the poor temporal resolution and heart rate requirement.\textsuperscript{[17]} Choi et al evaluated coronary collateral circulation by coronary CT angiography (CTA) on a 128-slice (second-generation) DSCT through assessing transluminal attenuation gradient (TAG).\textsuperscript{[18]} However, the potential of TAG assessment against invasive measurement was not validated by their study.

The 192-slice third-generation DSCT was introduced to the market in recent years. Compared to the second-generation DSCT, 192-slice DSCT offers dramatic technical improvements such as better temporal and spatial resolution, higher scanning speed and lower radiation dose.\textsuperscript{[19,20]} Most recently, coronary CTA on 192-slice DSCT were reported to be used to evaluate instantaneous restenosis and peri-device leaks.\textsuperscript{[21,22]} With the technical improvements, 192-slice DSCT has also gained a high potential capacity of assessing minute vessels such as coronary collateral arteries. To our best knowledge, studies regarding the evaluation of CCC with the third generation DSCT have not been performed so far.

The objective of this study was to assess the feasibility for the detection of CCC using 192-slice DSCT. The accuracy and specificity of the new approach were calculated in comparison to conventional CAG. In addition, we evaluated the intertechnique consistency for the classification of coronary collateral arteries.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Patients

The study protocol was approved by the Institutional Review Board (IRB) of both Qingdao Chengyang People’s Hospital and Yantai Yuhuangding Hospital, Shandong, China. The informed written consent was obtained from each patient. Between February 2016 and September 2018, a total of 800 patients in both hospitals (450 men and 350 women with mean age: 56 ± 11 years) were included in this study using the following criteria (Fig. 1):

- 1. clinical suspicion of acute coronary syndrome;
- 2. One or more major coronary arteries were observed with total occlusion (100%) or subtotal stenosis (95~99%).

Exclusion criteria:
- 1. patients with persistent arrhythmia
- 2. patients with known allergy to iodinated contrast material
- 3. patients with impaired renal function (Serum creatinine ≥ 120 μmol/L).

Patients were requested to undergo an additional 192-slice third-generation CTCA for research purposes in addition to their CAG within an interval of 1 to 15 days (mean ± SD: 6.1 ± 3.7 days).

2.2. 192-slice third-generation dual-source CT examination

All patients received sublingual nitroglycerin twice before the examination. To avoid motion artifacts, 25 to 50 mg of beta-blockers were administered if patients had a resting heart rate ≥ 90 beats/min at 60 minutes before the CT scan. A non-contrast-enhanced scan with prospective electrocardiographic gating was performed before CTA to measure the coronary artery calcium (CAC) score.

CT angiography was performed on a 192-slice third-generation dual-source CT scanner (Somatom Force, Siemens Healthcare, Germany). The amount of contrast (non-ionic iopamidol-350, Bracco, Italy) was adjusted based on the body mass index of the patients (60~80 mL). The contrast media was injected intravenously at a flow rate of 4.0 to 6.0 mL/s followed by 40 mL saline solution at the same flow rate. Contrast material application was controlled by bolus tracking at the end of ascending aorta with a signal attenuation threshold set at 100 HU. CT data acquisition begins with a delay of 4 seconds after peak enhancement of the test bolus.
CT parameters were set as: slice collimation of $2 \times 192 \times 0.6$ mm using a turbo flash mode; a gantry rotation time of 250 ms; the fixed pitch of 3.2 corresponding to a table movement of 7.37 m/s. Tube voltage was selected using automated tube current modulation (CAREDose4D, Siemens). The acquisition was conducted at the tube voltage levels between 70kV and 120 kV in steps of 10kV. AEC (automatic exposure control) was used for the tube current. Data acquisition was prospectively ECG-triggered to start at 50% to 60% of the R-R interval and completed within one cardiac cycle.

Images were reconstructed with Advanced Model-based Iterative Reconstruction (ADMIRe) at a strength level of 3 and with a medium sharp kernel (Bv40). The slice thickness was 0.6 mm.

### 2.3. Invasive coronary angiography

Invasive coronary angiography was performed on a Siemens Axioma Artis dFA platform (Siemens, Germany) using the standard Judkins technique via the transfemoral route.

### 2.4. Identification of CCC

The development of CCC was examined by experienced interventional cardiologists with more than 10 years’ experience. Briefly, the CAG results were reviewed by 2 cardiologists independently. The images from 192-slice DSCT were examined by another 2 cardiologists. They were all blinded to the clinical characteristics and each other’s findings. The differences were resolved by consensus.

### 2.5. Coronary collateral scoring

Coronary collaterals were graded using Cohen-Rentrop method by experienced interventional cardiologists. Coronary collateral vessels from CAG were evaluated by 2 cardiologists independently. CCC from 192-slice DSCT was assessed by another 2 cardiologists. They were all blinded to the clinical diagnosis of the patients and each other’s findings. The grading criteria were: grade 1, filling of side branches via collateral channels without visualization of the epicardial segment; grade 2, partial filling of the epicardial major coronary artery via collateral channels; and grade 3, complete filling of the epicardial major coronary artery.

### 2.6. Statistical analysis

Categorical variables were expressed as frequencies or percentages. Two-proportions Z test was used to compare the difference between proportions. $P < .05$ was considered as statistically significant. Normality was assessed using the Kolmogorov–Smirnov test. Continuous variables were expressed as means ± standard deviations (Mean ± SD) for normally distributed data and medians ± interquartile ranges for non-normally distributed variables. The accuracy (%), sensitivity (%), specificity (%), positive predictive values (PPV, %) and negative predictive values (NPV, %) were calculated based on the confusion matrix table using CAG results as the reference. The 95% confidence intervals (CIs) were calculated based on the Wilson/Brown method. McNemar’s test was also applied to analyze the differences between the two techniques. The intertechnique agreement for the classification of CCC was assessed using Cohen kappa test. The degree of agreement is determined by the following criteria:

- 0 = agreement equivalent to chance; 0.1 to 0.20 = slight agreement; 0.21 to 0.40 = fair agreement; 0.41 to 0.60 = moderate agreement; 0.61 to 0.80 = substantial agreement; 0.81 to 0.99 = very good agreement and 1 = perfect agreement.

All statistical analysis was conducted in MedCalc 18.2.1 (MedCalc Software, Belgium) or R 3.5.2 (https://cran.r-project.org/bin/windows/base/).

### 3. Results

#### 3.1. Power calculation and sample size estimation

To estimate the minimum sample size, we assumed a 50% prevalence of coronary collateral circulation in this study population. The 95% confidence interval was set at 6% and the standard deviation was set at 3%. Sample size analysis showed that the minimum number of patients required was 462 to ensure a sufficiently high degree of both sensitivity and specificity (>90%). To have enough statistical power for reliable results, we eventually included 800 patients in our study.

#### 3.2. Patients information

Patient characteristics of those included and excluded from the study were shown in Table 1. No significant differences were found between the 2 groups. Out of 800 patients examined, 370 were diagnosed with coronary acute occlusion (46.3%) and 430 (53.8%) were with chronic occlusion. One hundred and sixty patients showed pathologic QC wave on their electrocardiography (ECG).

In terms of the conditions of coronary arteries, 70 had old myocardial infarction supplied by the obstructed vessel. Total 550 cases were single branch occlusion including 220 patients with occlusion of the left anterior descending artery (LAD), 160 with occlusion of the right coronary artery (RCA), 70 with occlusion of left circumflex artery (LCX), 50 with occlusion of the first diagonal branch (D1), 40 with occlusion of marginal branch of left coronary artery (LM), and 10 with occlusion of posterior descending branch (PD).

A total of 240 cases were duplex branch occlusions, including 60 cases of LAD + RCA, 50 cases of LAD + LCX, 50 cases of LCX + RCA, 30 cases of LCX + D1, 30 cases of LAD + D1 and 20 cases of LAD + LM.

### Table 1: Patient Demographics (N = 800).

| Variables                                      | Included patients (N = 800) | Excluded patients (N = 223) | P value |
|------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|---------|
| Men                                            | 450 (56.3%)                 | 124 (55.6%)                 | .92     |
| Age                                            | 56 ± 12                     | 55 ± 9                      | .21     |
| Body mass index, kg/m²                          | 21.1 ± 1.9                  | 21.4 ± 3.2                  | .07     |
| Heart rate, beats/min                           | 69 ± 8                      | 68 ± 11                     | .13     |
| Risk factors                                   |                             |                             |         |
| Hypertension                                   | 219 (27.4%)                 | 60 (26.9%)                  | .96     |
| Diabetes mellitus                              | 111 (13.6%)                 | 34 (15.2%)                  | .68     |
| Previous myocardial infarction                 | 70 (8.8%)                   | 17 (7.6%)                   | .69     |
| Family history of coronary artery disease       | 213 (26.7%)                 | 65 (29.1%)                  | .51     |
| Clinical presentation                          |                             |                             |         |
| Coronary acute occlusion                       | 370 (46.3%)                 | 104 (46.6%)                 | .97     |
| Chronic occlusion                              | 430 (53.8%)                 | 119 (53.4%)                 | .98     |
3.3. The accuracy of 192-slice DSCT in the diagnosis of CCC

Among 800 patients examined, 360 had at least one CCC detected by traditional CAG and the other 440 did not have CCC on the CAG (Table 2). The representative CAG image for the visualization of CCC was shown in Figure 2A. Men (48.9%, 220 out of 450 men) were more likely to have collateralization than women (40.1%, 140 out of 350 women) with two-proportion Z test \( P = 0.02 \).

In order to make a direct comparison, 192-slice DSCT was conducted for the same patients. Seventy-four patients diagnosed with acute myocardial infarction (MI) were arranged for 192-slice DSCT immediately while the rest of patients were performed according to the individual appointments within 15 days. The interval between coronary CT and CAG was \( 6.1 \pm 3.7 \) d (range: 1–15 days). Out of 800 patients, 350 had at least, one CCC detected by 192-slice DSCT. The other 450 did not have CCC (Table 2). The representative image was shown in Figure 2B.

Taken CAG as the reference, the accuracy of 192-slice DSCT in detecting the CCC was 93.8% with the 95% CI between 84.2% and 97.2% (Table 3). The sensitivity and specificity were 91.7% (95% CI: 88.3–94.3%) and 95.5% (95% CI: 93.1–97.2%). The positive predictive value (PPV) and negative predictive value (NPV) of the new technique were 94.3% (95% CI: 91.5–96.2%) and 93.3% (95% CI: 90.9–95.3%) (Table 3).

3.4. The intertechnique agreement in the classification of CCC between 192-slice DSCT and CAG

The coronary collaterals were then graded according to the Cohen-Rentrop method. A total of 855 CCC were detected by 192-slice DSCT, including 284 of Grade 1, 541 of Grade 2 and 30 of Grade 3 (Table 4). Similarly, for CAG, there were 295 of Grade 1, 524 of Grade 2 and 36 of Grade 3. We next analyzed the intertechnique agreement for grading CCC using Cohen kappa analysis. The coefficient (k) was 0.94 (95% CI: 0.91–0.96, \( P = 0.01 \)) indicating that the consistency between CAG and 192-slice DSCT was very high.

4. Discussion

To our knowledge, this is the first report regarding the assessment of coronary collateral circulation using the third generation 192-slice dual-source CT. To obtain more reliable results, we used a relatively larger sample size (800 patients) in our study (nearly double the minimum samples required). Our study found that high-pitch CTA performed with the 192-slice DSCT yields a high sensitivity, accuracy, and specificity for the detection of CCC.

In recent years, technological advances in CT technology have been made to reduce radiation exposure while maintaining high image quality. As confirmed by our study, 192-slice DSCT has a significant reduction in radiation dose.[20] The average radiation exposure in our study was only \( 0.42 \pm 0.04 \) mSv. This is partially

---

Table 2
The detection of CCC using 192-slice DSCT.

| Confusion matrix | Traditional CAG (Reference) | 192-slice DSCT |
|------------------|-----------------------------|--------------|
|                  | With CCC | Without CCC | Total |
| With CCC         | 330      | 20          | 350   |
| Without CCC      | 30       | 420         | 450   |
| Total            | 360      | 440         | 800   |

CAG = coronary angiography, CCC = coronary collateral circulation, DSCT = dual source computed tomography.

Table 3
The performance of CCC detection using 192-slice DSCT.

| Measure                        | Value  | 95% CI          |
|-------------------------------|--------|-----------------|
| Accuracy (%)                  | 93.8   | 91.8–95.3       |
| Sensitivity (%)               | 91.7   | 88.3–94.3       |
| Specificity (%)               | 95.5   | 93.1–97.2       |
| Positive predictive value (%) | 94.3   | 91.5–96.2       |
| Negative predictive value (%) | 93.3   | 90.9–95.3       |

The 95% CI was calculated based on Wilson/Brown method.

---

Figure 2. The representative image for the visualization of the coronary collateral circulation. A patient with the occlusion on the LAD (white arrow) and a clear continuous collateral vessel (white arrowheads) through the right marginal branch of the right coronary artery (RCA) (white arrow). A: CAG and B: 192-slice DSCT CTA. CAG = coronary angiography, CTA = computed tomography angiography, DSCT = dual source computed tomography, LAD = left anterior descending artery.
due to the improvement of the roentgen tube allowing for a lower voltage (70 kV) in 192-slice DSCT.\[19\] However, it is essential to know that the current CTA procedures were optimized for the thoracoabdominal aorta and not exclusively for the heart. Thus, the lowest possible radiation dose for coronary CTA with third generation 192-slice DSCT requires further investigation.

In our study, 43.8% (360/800) of patients had, at least, 1 CCC detected by CTA with 192-slice DSCT. The ratio of the occurrence of CCC in our study was higher than 33.3% with CAD and 25% without CAD assessed by CAG in a previous study.\[24,25\] The discrepancies might be due to the genetic factors or the small sample size in the previous study.\[24,25\] In term of sex difference, our study showed that men had higher rates of CCC than women. The rates of collateralization between men and women were inconsistent in the literature and the reasons were not clear. Tatli et al reported that there was no significant effect of sex on the development of collateral vessels.\[26\] In contrast, our study and a previous report found that men were more likely to develop collateral circulation than women.\[27\] In another study, the opposite was reported, where collaterals were more frequent in women than in men with acute myocardial infarction.\[28\]

Our results showed very high intertechnique agreement in grading CCC between 192-slice DSCT and CAG. Out of 855 CCC, only 25 (2.9%) CCC were not graded consistently by the two techniques including 15 of CAG-1, 4 of CAG-2, and 6 of CAG-3. The inconsistency might be caused by the following reasons:

1. The different concentration and pressure of contrast medium injected between the two modalities (contrast directly injected into the coronary arteries for CAG compared to intravenous contrast injection for CTA).
2. The limitations of temporal resolution in CTA. The exposure time of CAG is shorter than that of CTA. The ability to capture of collateral vessels in motion is better than CTA.
3. Prior to the CT scan, the contrast was injected from vena mediana antebrachii, flowing through the peripheral vein, superior vena cava, right atrium, right ventricle, pulmonary circulation and finally reaching the coronary arteries.

CAG is a kind of selective coronary angiography which the contrast was directly injected into coronary vessels. Therefore, the contrast ratio between the lumen and the structures around in CAG is much higher than that in CTA. Consequently, some of the tiny vessels are clearer in CAG than those visualized by CTA.

The precise display and assessment of collateral circulation will not only evaluate the status of CAD but also guide the treatment by providing useful information prior to percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI). PCI for chronic total occlusion (CTO) by the antegrade approach is sometimes challenging, especially in the RCA. The selection of suitable collateral is critical in PCI and a straighter one is better than a larger one.\[26\] Our study demonstrated that the diagnosis of CCC using CAG and CTA with the ‘Rentrop grading’ is consistent. This indicated that CTA not only has the ability to visualize the atherosclerotic cardiopathy but also evaluating the grade of CCC. It was estimated that approximately one of 5 patients with CAD cannot be revascularized by PCI or coronary artery bypass grafting.\[14\] Therapeutic promotion of coronary collateral function is, thus, a promising concept and the potential arteriogenic approaches include the treatment with granulocyte colony-stimulating factor (G-CSF), physical exercise training and extreme conditioning programs (ECP).\[29\]

However, our study has some limitations. We graded CCC using Rentrop method. This visualization method is frequently influenced by blood pressure and the force of contrast injection as well as the duration of filming. The coronary collateral circulation on CTA by Werner method needs to be investigated in the future. The majority of our patients have coronary acute or chronic occlusion. Thus, our study population is neither representative of patients with a low to intermediate probability of CAD, for which CTA is currently recommended. The comparison needs to be further validated on a different cohort of patients. Guo and the collaborators reported the use of noninvasive 3D CTA for better anatomic assessment of stenosis on a Philips 256-slice spiral CT.\[30\] Similarly, the 192-slice scanner from Siemens Somatom Force also has the capability of reconstructing the slices using 3D reconstruction algorithms. The manual delineation of 3D vessel morphology is labor-intensive and time-consuming. Gao et al developed an automatic approach to segment the three-dimensional coronary tree from CTA images.\[31\] Further study is needed to investigate the 3D CTA for the visualization of CCC with automatic segmentation of coronary tree.

5. Conclusion
Our study suggested that CTA on the 192-slice third generation DSCT system has high sensitivity and specificity in the assessment of CCC and thus provides an alternative approach during the surgery for the treatment of ischemic heart disease.
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