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Abstract
Isoprene (2-methyl-1,3-butadiene), the most abundantly produced biogenic volatile organic compound (BVOC) on Earth, is highly reactive and can have diverse and often detrimental atmospheric effects, which impact on climate and health. Most isoprene is produced by terrestrial plants, but (micro)algal production is important in aquatic environments, and the relative bacterial contribution remains unknown. Soils are a sink for isoprene, and bacteria that can use isoprene as a carbon and energy source have been cultivated and also identified using cultivation-independent methods from soils, leaves and coastal/marine environments. Bacteria belonging to the Actinobacteria are most frequently isolated and identified, and Proteobacteria have also been shown to degrade isoprene. In the freshwater-sediment isolate, Rhodococcus strain AD45, initial oxidation of isoprene to 1,2-epoxy-isoprene is catalyzed by a multicomponent isoprene monooxygenase encoded by the genes isoABCDEF. The resultant epoxide is converted to a glutathione conjugate by a glutathione S-transferase encoded by isoI, and further degraded by enzymes encoded by isoGHIJ. Genome sequence analysis of actinobacterial isolates belonging to the genera Rhodococcus, Mycobacterium and Gordonia has revealed that isoABCDEF and isoGHIJ are linked in an operon, either on a plasmid or the chromosome. In Rhodococcus strain AD45 both isoprene and epoxy-isoprene induce a high level of transcription of 22 contiguous genes, including isoABCDEF and isoGHIJ. Sequence analysis of the isoA gene, encoding the large subunit of the oxygenase component of isoprene monooxygenase, from isolates has facilitated the development of PCR primers that are proving valuable in investigating the ecology of uncultivated isoprene-degrading bacteria.

Background and isoprene production
Isoprene’s properties, abundance, and climate impact

Isoprene (2-methyl-1,3-butadiene; CH₂=C(CH₃)CH=CH₂) is an abundant BVOC, with atmospheric emissions of around 500 Tg C year⁻¹, which is approximately equal to that of methane and also of the same magnitude as emissions of all other BVOCs [1]. Isoprene’s abundance, volatility (boiling point of 34 °C), and high reactivity (due to carbon–carbon double bonds) result in it having a major impact on climate [2], the precise mechanisms and outcomes of which are varied and complex. Key effects are summarized in the following text. (1) Isoprene’s reactivity, e.g., with hydroxyl radicals, reduces the oxidizing capacity in the atmosphere, leading to a prolonged lifetime for the greenhouse gas, methane, thus exacerbating global warming [3]. (2) Nitric oxide (NO), when present at high concentrations, reacts with isoprene to produce nitrogen dioxide (NO₂) that, via photolysis, increases levels of tropospheric ozone [2], which is a greenhouse gas and detrimental to plant and animal health [4]. The precise reactions and effects depend on environmental conditions, such as light intensity and nitrogen oxide concentrations [2]. (3) Atmospheric oxidation of isoprene forms secondary organic aerosols [5], with potentially negative implications...
for air quality and health [6]. Secondary organic aerosols also absorb and scatter solar radiation and encourage cloud formation, resulting in global cooling. However, the extent to which isoprene helps or even inhibits formation of secondary organic aerosols is debated and context-dependent [84].

**Isoprene’s production, biosynthetic pathways, biological functions, and biotechnological potential**

Isoprenoids (or terpenes/terpenoids, consisting of two or more isoprene units) are a large and diverse class of molecules produced by all free-living organisms. They include or form part of the following biomolecules: hopanoids, sterols, archaeal lipids, carotenoids, chlorophylls and quinones, various hormones and signaling molecules [7]. Isoprenoids are synthesized by condensations of isopentenyl diphosphate (IPP) and its isomer dimethylallyl diphosphate (DMAPP; Fig. 1). Two pathways lead to the biosynthesis of these key intermediates—the mevalonate (MVA) pathway and the methylerythritol phosphate (MEP) pathway, which is also referred to as the non-mevalonate or D(O)XP pathway [7]. The MVA pathway is found in animals, fungi, Archaea, some Bacteria and in the cytosol of plants, whereas the MEP pathway is found in chloroplasts and most Bacteria [7]. The picture in eukaryotic protists/algae is less straightforward, and could provide an insight into their complex evolution, specifically their plastid origins and replacements [7, 8].

Isoprene is produced by some Bacteria, fungi, protists/algae, and animals [9–12]. The vast majority of isoprene, however, is produced by terrestrial plants [1, 13], Fig. 2. To our knowledge, archaean production of isoprene has not been tested, but many Bacteria produce isoprene, at least in those terrestrial strains from a relatively limited number of phyla tested: Proteobacteria, Actinobacteria and Firmicutes [10, 11, 14, 15]. Bacillus and relatives have been found to be the most prolific producers of isoprene, for both terrestrial [11] and marine (G. Murphy and T.J. McGenity, unpub.) species. In Bacillus subtilis, isoprene was produced during three distinct phases of growth, but it remains to be confirmed whether isoprene is an overflow metabolite or serves as a signaling molecule involved in cell/spore development [10, 16, 17]. Isoprene may also play a role in interspecies signaling [10]; for example it has been shown to repel microbe-grazing Collembola [18]. Isoprene production was also enhanced when Bacillus subtilis was subjected to hydrogen peroxide [19, 20] and supra-optimal temperature and salinity [20], hinting at a role in stress protection, as seen in plants.

Isoprene synthase (IspS), the Mg$^{2+}$-requiring terpenoid synthase that catalyzes elimination of pyrophosphate from DMAPP to produce isoprene (Fig. 1), has been structurally characterized in the tree species Populus × canescens [21], and the underlying genetics determined in several plant species. There is no evidence that the bacterial isoprene synthase is homologous to that from plants. Extensive searches of plant isoprene synthase sequences and relevant motifs revealed no homologs in the bacterial databases (Odubo, McGenity and Hough, unpublished). Isoprene synthase was partially purified from Bacillus subtilis, but proved to be labile, thus allowing only initial investigation, e.g., demonstrating a lower divalent cation requirement and lower pH optimum than isoprene synthases from the
chloroplasts of plants [16]. An alternative route to isoprene production was proposed by Ge et al. [22], who found that IspH, the final enzyme of the MEP pathway, converts HMBPP to isoprene, thus bypassing DMAPP [HMBPP or (E)-4-hydroxy-3-methylbut-2-en-1-yl diphosphate is an intermediate metabolite in the transformation of 2-C-methyl-D-erythritol-2,4-cyclophosphate to IPP and DMAPP]. However, IspH gene expression was not correlated with higher isoprene production in the transcriptome-based study of [19]. Therefore, identification of the precise mechanism(s) of isoprene synthesis in Bacteria and the responsible enzyme(s) remains an area of active research.

Isoprene is an important commodity chemical, currently produced from petroleum, with the potential to serve as an aviation fuel and a feedstock for numerous products, including its polymer, rubber [23, 24]. Therefore, there have been many papers and patents reporting its recombinant biosynthesis in microbes by heterologous expression of codon-optimized isoprene synthase genes from plants, due to the lack of genetic information about microbial isoprene synthases. This strategy has been coupled with methods to enhance carbon flux to DMAPP [24]. To date, the highest titeres have come from recombinant expression in *Escherichia coli* [23] rather than in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* or the cyanobacterium, *Synechocystis* sp. [24]. Ye et al. [24] summarize these strategies, as well as other approaches, such as recombinant isoprene production with methanol as a feedstock, that are emerging in the patent literature.

Terrestrial plants produce more than 90% of isoprene globally, with the biggest contribution from trees and shrubs, especially in the tropics [13]. There can be a lot of variation in isoprene production within the same genus (e.g., European oaks), indicating that isoprene’s function can be replaced by other metabolites [13]. Given that ~2% of carbon fixation goes towards synthesis of isoprene in most isoprene-producing plants, and that 20 molecules of ATP and 14 molecules of NADPH are required for the biosynthesis of each isoprene molecule [25], it is not surprising that isoprene, which cannot be stored in the leaves, has important biological roles. Two key functions have been shown: (1) rapid alleviation of thermal stress, particularly in response to heat flicks [13]; (2) protection from reactive oxygen (and nitrogen) species (ROS) formed by a range of abiotic stresses [26]. The degree to which intercalation of isoprene into the membrane and the ROS-quenching effect of its double carbon–carbon bonds, respectively, are responsible for these effects, remains a topic of debate [27, 28]. Numerous other roles have been shown for isoprene, including signaling, e.g., influencing insect herbivory [29] and regulating plant growth [30].

**Ecology, physiology, and biogeochemistry of the isoprene cycle**

**Terrestrial environments**

It has been known for 20 years that microbes in soil can act as a biological sink for isoprene. In laboratory experiments with temperate, tropical and boreal forest soils, isoprene, added at 385 ppbv, was generally rapidly depleted, while in field chambers placed in a temperate forest, isoprene, added at 508 ppbv, was consumed to below the 5 ppbv detection limit within an hour [31, 32]. In-situ biological consumption of isoprene was also demonstrated in temperate agriforest (*Populus deltoides*) mesocosms and model tropical rainforest mesocosms [33, 34]. More recently, in continuous-flow experiments conducted by Gray et al. [35], temperate forest soils consumed isoprene over a range of concentrations (2–200 ppbv) with a rate of 62 pmol g$^{-1}$ h$^{-1}$ at 20 ppbv. These experiments confirmed the potential for soil microbes to consume isoprene released locally in soils as
well as from the atmosphere. Bacteria tentatively assigned to the genera Rhodococcus, Nocardia, Arthrobacter (Actinobacteria) and Alcaligenes (Proteobacteria) were isolated from isoprene enrichments with soil and grew on isoprene as sole carbon and energy source [31, 36–38]. Rubber-contaminated soil has also yielded aerobic isoprene-degrading Pseudomonas, Klebsiella and Alcaligenes strains [39]. However, with the exception of Rhodococcus strain AD45 [see later section] isolated from freshwater sediment [40, 41], these terrestrial strains were not characterized in detail.

Recently a number of isoprene-degrading Rhodococcus species from soils and leaves of isoprene-producing trees such as Poplar and Willow have been isolated and are currently being characterized ([42]; Murrell, McGenity, Crombie, Murphy et al. unpublished), suggesting that these metabolically versatile Bacteria may be major players in the biological isoprene cycle. To our knowledge, no anaerobes, Archaea or fungi that grow on isoprene as carbon source have been isolated.

Isoprene-degrading Bacteria co-metabolically oxidize the common pollutant trichloroethene (TCE) and other chlorinated ethenes [36, 40], owing to the low-specificity of isoprene monoxygenase (the epoxide-forming soluble diiron center monooxygenase discussed in detail later). Given the abundance of isoprene, this process may be an important route for removal of chlorinated ethenes from oxic environments. Conversely, there is evidence of co-oxidation of isoprene by (poly)aromatic dioxygenases from Pseudomonas putida, in which the first intermediates are diols rather than epoxides [43]. It is also probable that isoprene is co-metabolized by the monooxygenases of alkene-oxidizing bacteria, resulting in a toxic epoxide that may not be further metabolized, and would thus kill cells. The relative contribution of co-metabolic degradation of isoprene, compared with direct oxidation by microbes that use isoprene as a source of carbon and energy, remains to be elucidated.

**Marine environments**

Marine isoprene emissions, primarily from photosynthetic microalgae, generally correlate well with photosynthetic activity (using chlorophyll a concentrations as a proxy) in depth profiles, natural and fertilizer-induced algal blooms and diel cycles [44–46]. In contrast to the terrestrial environment, global marine isoprene-emission estimates vary about hundred-fold. A detailed review [46] and recent paper [47] provide a thorough discussion of the reasons behind this variation, but a synopsis is provided here. Bottom-up estimates (coupling of laboratory measurements of isoprene production by microalgae and satellite measurements of phytoplankton biomass (chlorophyll a)) give estimates of 0.1–1 Tg C year⁻¹ [46, 48–50]. Top-down methods (coupling of measurements of isoprene flux at sea and global inverse atmospheric modeling) give global emission estimates of 1.7–11.6 Tg C year⁻¹ [46, 48, 49]. Although marine emissions are low compared with those from land, they can have a disproportionately large influence on aerosol formation and the atmosphere’s oxidation capacity in remote and pristine regions [48, 51, 52]. This large variation in estimates of global isoprene emissions from marine environments may be explained by a number of factors that are not always sufficiently accounted for in models, including the mainly biological factors outlined here: (1) photochemically-derived isoprene, e.g., from breakdown of fatty acids in the sea-surface microlayer, which is not considered in bottom-up methods [53]; (2) limited data, including from highly productive coastal zones and different oceans and accounting for seasonal variation (e.g., [44, 47, 54, 55]); (3) differences in isoprene production under variable and stressful in-situ conditions compared with laboratory cultures [9, 52]; (4) phylogenetic and latitudinal variation in isoprene production by microalgal species [9, 48, 56]. Points 3 and 4 are most dramatically exemplified by Srikanta Dani et al. [57], who suggest that diatoms alone emit 4.8 Tg C yr⁻¹ of isoprene, which greatly exceeds current bottom-up estimates for all taxa in marine ecosystems. It has recently been found that freshwater lakes, via their resident microalgae, emit isoprene to the atmosphere [58].

The functions of isoprene production in marine microalgae are not well understood. Water buffers aquatic organisms from rapid changes in temperature, which may in part explain lower isoprene production compared with many terrestrial species. However, as with plants, higher temperature and light intensity result in more isoprene production [9, 44, 47, 51, 52]. In an extensive ocean survey, Hackenberg et al. [47] found a consistent correlation between isoprene production and the sum of protective carotenoids. Srikanta Dani and Loreto [59] argue that isoprene acts primarily as an antioxidant, protecting against reactive oxygen species that may be produced by diverse stressors, including light stress. Moreover, they extend this idea by suggesting that dimethylsulfide (DMS) serves the same purpose, and that different microalgal taxa preferentially emit DMS or isoprene to fulfill this role. The global implication of this notion is that more isoprene will be produced in the future due to climate-change-induced rise in sea-surface temperature favoring isoprene-emitting microalgae [59].

Another poorly understood aspect of the marine isoprene cycle is the role of microbial degradation. Ocean depth profiles of isoprene concentrations suggest that it is biologically consumed [45]. Therefore, Palmer and Shaw [50] incorporated a term for the rate of isoprene biodegradation in their bottom-up approach to model global isoprene.
emissions. However, this rate term was based on data from methyl bromide biodegradation, which was considered to be a functionally equivalent BVOC. Booge et al. [56] reported that the application of a lower value for isoprene biodegradation resulted in closer correspondence between observed and simulated marine isoprene flux. The justification for doing so was based on their currently unpublished biodegradation measurements using deuterated isoprene, which gave lower values than the rate used by Palmer and Shaw [50]. These data deficiencies clearly indicate that there is a need to obtain measurements of in-situ biodegradation of isoprene in marine environments.

Acuña Alvarez et al. [60] first demonstrated the presence of isoprene-degrading microbes along an estuary (Colne estuary, UK), in a brackish lagoon (Étang de Berre, France) and in marine waters (between the islands of Hoga and Kaledupa, Indonesia). Importantly, they showed that the isoprene-degrading bacteria consumed isoprene from the headspace of microalgal cultures, i.e., at environmentally relevant concentrations. Biodegradation was also found to be faster at lower isoprene concentrations. As in terrestrial environments, the most abundant marine microbes belonged to the Actinobacteria, specifically the genera *Rhodococcus* and *Mycobacterium*. But Indonesian seawater enrichments were co-dominated by the alphaproteobacterial genus *Stappia*. An isoprene-degrading strain belonging to this genus was subsequently isolated from station L4 in the English Channel [61]. Cultivated isoprene degraders were nutritionally versatile, and nearly all of them were able to use short-chain \( n \)-alkanes as a source of carbon and energy [60]. Johnston et al. [61], investigating the Colne estuary and other UK marine waters, expanded our knowledge of the diversity of marine and coastal isoprene degraders as discussed in the next section.

### Physiology, biochemistry, genetics, genomics, and molecular ecology of isoprene degraders

Until recently, the only research on isoprene metabolism by bacteria was with the freshwater-sediment isolate *Rhodococcus* strain AD45 carried out by Janssen and colleagues. The initial oxidation of isoprene to 1,2-epoxy-isoprene is catalyzed by a multicomponent isoprene monooxygenase encoded by the genes *isoABCDEF* [41]. Although isoprene monooxygenase has not been purified, it is clear from gene sequence analysis that this enzyme is a soluble di-iron center monooxygenase (SDIMO) related to soluble methane monooxygenase, alkene monooxygenase, toluene monooxygenase and other enzymes of the SDIMO family [62, 63]. The reactive epoxide formed during the initial oxidation of isoprene (Fig. 3) is converted to a glutathione conjugate 1-hydroxy-2-glutathionyl-2-methyl-3-butenone (HGMB) by a glutathione \( S \)-transferase (IsoI) and then by a dehydrogenase (IsoH) to 2-glutathionyl-2-methyl-3-butenolate (GMBA) [64]. The strategy of conjugating the epoxide with glutathione used by *Rhodococcus* strain AD45 is different from that of other alkene degraders, which usually overcome the toxicity of the epoxides by hydrolysis or formation of coenzyme \( M \) conjugates [65–67]. Apart from the first few steps in isoprene metabolism, the pathway in *Rhodococcus* strain AD45 has not been characterized and the further fate of GMBA is uncertain. It is assumed that subsequent removal of glutathione and beta-oxidation of...
these isoprene oxidation products enables Rhodococcus strain AD45 to grow on isoprene as a carbon source but subsequent steps in the metabolism of isoprene remain to be elucidated.

Sequencing of the genome of Rhodococcus strain AD45 (6.80 Mbp) has shown that all isoprene metabolic genes, isoA to isoJ (Fig. 4) are located on a 300 kbp megaplasmid. The genes isoA, isoB, and isoE encode a di-iron (αβγ)2 oxygenase component, isoF encodes a flavoprotein NADH reductase, isoC encodes a Rieske-type ferredoxin, and isoD encodes a coupling protein, which together form isoprene monooxygenase. Adjacent genes isoGHIJ encode enzymes in the subsequent steps in isoprene degradation. The iso genes are essential for isoprene metabolism since deletion of isoA by mutagenesis or removal of the plasmid by “curing” eliminates growth on isoprene [68]. A key feature of those isoprene-degrading bacteria for which genome sequences are available is the clustering of isoprene monooxygenase genes isoABCDEF with isoGHIJ (Fig. 4), genes that code for a putative coenzyme A transferase, a dehydrogenase and two glutathione transferases described previously [40, 41, 64]. Rhodococcus strain AD45 contains two copies of the isoGHIJ gene cluster, together with genes encoding glutathione biosynthesis. Identification of the genes required for isoprene metabolism has enabled the screening of genomes from other Rhodococcus species to search for extant but untested isoprene degraders. For example, the genome of Rhodococcus opacus PD630 [69], a well-studied and metabolically-versatile actinobacterium, not previously investigated for alkene metabolism, contains a similar iso gene cluster, and when tested, grew on isoprene [68]. A similar pattern is emerging for the clustering of iso genes in other isoprene degraders. For example, Rhodococcus strains from soil and leaves that grow on isoprene also contain isoABCDEF adjacent to isoGHIJ and other genes essential for isoprene metabolism [42], and marine isoprene-degrading Gordonia and Mycobacterium strains have similarly arranged genes ([61]; Fig. 4).

In the most well-characterized isoprene degrader, Rhodococcus strain AD45, expression of isoprene metabolic genes is inducible by isoprene but repressed by other carbon sources such as succinate or glucose [68]. Isoprene monooxygenase polypeptides are also readily observed in cell-free extracts of isoprene-grown cells. RNAseq experiments investigating transcriptional regulation of iso genes in strain AD45 revealed that both isoprene and epoxyisoprene induced a high level of transcription of 22 contiguous genes (Fig. 4), including isoABCDEF and isoGHIJ, which under these induced conditions represented over 25% of total transcripts observed [68]. Exact details of how isoprene monooxygenase gene expression is regulated, along with the nature of the promoters, transcriptional activators and inducers, are not known, but it is interesting to note that epoxyisoprene, the first oxidation product in the pathway is
an inducer of expression of the isoABCDEF and isoGHIJ gene clusters [68]. It may be that the inducer is not isoprene itself but that a subsequent intermediate in isoprene oxidation is the key inducer for isoprene metabolism, as has been observed in the catabolism of aromatic compounds (reviewed in [70]). Most of these genes have a readily predicted function in isoprene metabolism, including isoprene monooxygenase, glutathione transferase, dehydrogenase, and glutathione biosynthesis genes. Other genes, annotated as encoding aldehyde dehydrogenases, disulfide reductase and three putative transcriptional regulators, are also found in this 22-gene cluster (Fig. 4), and are further targets to analyse isoprene metabolism and its regulation in Rhodococcus strain AD45 [68].

The identity and availability of genes encoding key enzymes of isoprene metabolism by bacteria have paved the way for cultivation-independent studies to determine the distribution, diversity and activity of isoprene degraders in the environment. The picture is emerging of isoprene metabolism being widespread across many genera of diverse bacteria [42, 60, 61, 71], and so development of 16S rRNA gene primers or probes to specifically detect isoprene degraders directly from the environment without coupling these with other techniques such as stable isotope probing (SIP) or Raman microspectroscopy (see later) will not be fruitful. A more promising approach is to design PCR primer sets targeting key genes involved in isoprene metabolism, an approach that has proven successful in targeting methane-oxidizing bacteria in the environment [72]. An obvious target is isoA encoding the large subunit of the oxygenase component of isoprene monooxygenase, an analogous gene to mmoX encoding the active site component of soluble methane monooxygenase, a related SDIMO enzyme. Alignment of iso homologs from isoprene-degrading bacteria has enabled the design of PCR primers that tested negative with SDIMO genes from non-isoprene degraders but amplified isoA genes from 15 characterized isoprene degraders, new isoprene-degrading isolates and from enrichment cultures set up with soil and leaf samples [42]. The isoA sequences retrieved from DNA obtained from environmental samples were compared with those from characterized isoprene degraders, including Gram-positive and Gram-negative strains, revealing that sequences were relatively highly conserved (>86% identity at the derived amino acid level). However, they could be broadly separated into two phylogenetic groups, those from the terrestrial environment and estuary head compared with those from marine environments, including the mouth of the Colne Estuary [42]. Genomic analysis of the increasing number and diversity of isoprene degraders being isolated (Murrell, Mejia-Flores, Murphy, McGenity et al. unpublished) will add validated sequences to the isoA database, and undoubtedly these isoA primer sets will require further refinement to avoid any potential cross-reactivity with other related soluble di-iron center containing oxygenses, but currently this approach shows great promise for detecting new isoprene degraders in many environments.

A powerful cultivation-independent technique to link the identity and function of microbes in the environment is DNA-stable isotope probing (DNA-SIP; [73, 74]). This approach has been used to identify active isoprene degraders in terrestrial and marine environments. Microcosms containing soil from the vicinity of Willow trees (Salix fragilis) were incubated with 13C-labeled isoprene. After enrichment with this “heavy” isoprene, DNA was extracted from soil and the 13C-labeled DNA arising from the incorporation of the target substrate into active isoprene degraders in this soil was separated by buoyant density ultracentrifugation. Analysis of 16S rRNA genes in DNA from unenriched soil revealed a typically diverse soil community, whereas the 13C-labeled DNA from isoprene-enriched soil was substantially enriched in 16S rRNA gene sequences from Rhodococcus species such as R. wraatslavensis, R. koreensis, and R. globorinus [42]. Sequences from members of the Betaproteobacteria, Comamonas spp. and Variovorax spp. were also enriched. Interestingly, further SIP experiments with cells washed from leaves of White Poplar (Populus alba) and shotgun metagenomics of the 13C-labeled DNA arising from incubations with 13C-isoprene have enabled the assembly and retrieval of a substantial portion of the genome of an isoprene-degrading Variovorax species that also contains the iso metabolic gene clusters isoABCDEF and isoGHIJ (Crombie et al. unpublished). The isoABCDEF gene cluster, recovered by DNA-SIP methods from this Variovorax sp., has also been shown to be a bona-fide isoprene monooxygenase since it has isoprene oxidation activity when expressed from a plasmid in a non-isoprene degrading Rhodococcus host. Analysis of metatranscriptome data from the same isoprene enrichments also confirmed the expression of these iso metabolic genes from Variovorax (Crombie et al. unpublished). These data suggested that Variovorax, a metabolically-versatile genus that is widespread in the terrestrial environment, could also be a major player in the isoprene cycle. Targeted cultivation has now enabled the isolation of an isoprene-degrading Variovorax from leaves, which provides a second (Gram-negative) model organism with which to analyse the regulation of isoprene metabolism (Murrell, McGenity, Crombie et al. unpublished).

The potential for isoprene degradation in marine and estuarine samples from the Colne Estuary, UK, has also been investigated using DNA-SIP. Surface sediments were incubated with 13C-labeled isoprene and consumption of isoprene was monitored by gas chromatography. Analysis of 16S rRNA genes amplified by PCR from 13C-DNA retrieved from these SIP enrichments at two time-points.
Box 1 Key aspects of the microbial isoprene cycle that merit further exploration

1. Contribution of microbes to global isoprene production, interactions, and the elusive bacterial isoprene synthase
   The enzyme(s) responsible for isoprene production in bacteria, and in any organism other than higher plants, remains to be discovered. Given that soils are isoprene sinks and that many soil microbes produce isoprene, there is probably a cryptic isoprene cycle in terrestrial (and perhaps aquatic) environments, i.e., with substantial amounts of isoprene being consumed before it can escape to the atmosphere. Isoprene may be a valuable source of carbon and energy for some microbes, and in the environment there may be interactions, i.e., close physical coupling, between producers and consumers so that the latter can capture this BVOC. Isoprene elicits a behavioral response in some insects, but its signaling potential, especially involving microbes in aquatic environments, has received little attention.

2. Aerobic and anaerobic isoprene biodegradation and novel aerobic isoprene-degrading microbes
   Even in the best characterized isoprene degrader, Rhodococcus strain AD45, the full details of catabolism need to be worked out, in particular the steps downstream of the metabolite GMBA and the flux of carbon into central metabolism (Fig. 3), promoters, transcriptional activators and the nature of the inducer of the isoprene monooxygenase gene cluster (Fig. 4).

Evidence is emerging that a number of Actinobacteria can use isoprene aerobically as a source of carbon and energy, and the role of other bacterial phyla is increasingly being recognized, especially Proteobacteria such as *Variovorax* and *Methyllobacterium* spp. (Murrell, McGenity, Crombie, Murphy unpub.; [77]). It is important to establish the phylogenetic breadth of isoprene-degrading communities, including a possible contribution from Fungi and Archaea, and to investigate the potentially novel metabolic pathways possessed by these microbes. Several monoterpenes can be degraded anaerobically [78], so isoprene might be similarly degraded. However, most isoprene is produced by oxygenic phototrophs, suggesting that anaerobic biodegradation might be only a minor sink for isoprene.

3. Niche differentiation among isoprene-degrading bacteria: low-affinity versus high-affinity degraders
   Methanotrophs have a range of affinities for methane, and those with high affinity, and thus capable of utilizing methane at atmospheric concentrations, have so far evaded cultivation. Isoprene concentrations decrease dramatically from source organ- atospheric concentrations, have so far evaded cultivation. Isoprene concentrations decrease dramatically from source organ-
   isoprene degraders. As indicated above, exploration of the diversity of isoprene degraders will allow reorientation of current PCR primers and development of new ones. DNA-SIP has been used and ongoing metagenomic and metatranscriptomic analyses of isoprene-degrading communities are providing important insights. There is scope to apply a wide range of approaches to investigate the interactions involved in the isoprene cycle and gain insight into the functioning of those isoprene degraders that prove difficult to culture, using techniques such as single-cell labeling and identification (e.g., via Raman-FISH and single-cell sorting and genomics).

4. New cultivation-independent approaches to investigate isoprene-degrading microbes
   The development of *isoA* primers and availability of 13C isoprene has allowed the investigation of uncultivated isoprene degraders. As indicated above, exploration of the diversity of isoprene degraders will allow reorientation of current PCR primers and development of new ones. DNA-SIP has been used and ongoing metagenomic and metatranscriptomic analyses of isoprene-degrading communities are providing important insights. There is scope to apply a wide range of approaches to investigate the interactions involved in the isoprene cycle and gain insight into the functioning of those isoprene degraders that prove difficult to culture, using techniques such as single-cell labeling and identification (e.g., via Raman-FISH and single-cell sorting and genomics).

5. Structure/function of IsoA and other possible mechanisms of isoprene biodegradation
   Isoprene monooxygenase is a soluble di-iron center monooxygenase and it will be interesting to compare its structure and function, particularly in regard to substrate specificity, with related monooxygenases such as soluble methane monooxygenase and alkane monooxygenases, and also with dioxygenases which have been shown to co-oxidize isoprene [43].

   Co-oxidation of isoprene by bacteria that contain related oxygenases, but not isoprene monooxygenase or enzymes necessary for growth on isoprene, might also be important in removing isoprene from the environment and the use of specific inhibitors to elucidate their role in the isoprene cycle also warrants investigation.

6. Biotechnological aspects of isoprene metabolism
   In addition to the biosynthesis of isoprene as an important commodity chemical, as discussed in the text, there are potential applications of isoprene biodegradation; for example, isoprene-degrading communities are being investigated for their ability to scrub isoprene from the atmosphere in certain work environments [39, 79, 80]. When biomass crops like willow or palm oil are planted near urban areas that are sources of nitrogen oxides, their isoprene production can have a detrimental effect on air quality, e.g., by leading to the formation of ozone [4]. Therefore, the possibility of using phyllosphere-dwelling, isoprene-degrading microbes to mitigate isoprene escape to the atmosphere should be considered.

showed the development of communities dominated by Actinobacteria, including members of the genera *Mycobacterium*, *Rhodococcus*, *Microbacterium*, and *Gordonia* [61]. Particularly high enrichments of *Microbacterium* were noted in later time-points in SIP incubations. Enrichment and isolation studies with samples from the Colne Estuary yielded a number of isolates including *Gordonia* sp. i37 and *Mycobacterium* sp. AT1, representative of the DNA sequences retrieved in DNA-SIP experiments, which were capable of rapid and robust growth on isoprene [60, 61].

The genomes of both of these actinobacterial isolates contained *iso* gene clusters with the same gene arrangement and significant identity (55–87% at the amino acid level) to the corresponding genes from *Rhodococcus* strain AD45 (Fig. 4). In addition, a second SDIMO was identified elsewhere in the genomes of both *Gordonia* sp. i37 and *Mycobacterium* sp. AT1. Based on gene layout and sequence, the latter enzymes were predicted to belong to group V of the SDIMO family [62], most similar to propane monooxygenase (PrMO) from *Gordonia* TY5 ([75]; 98–100% amino acid identity) and phenol/propane monooxygenase from *Mycobacterium goodii* sp. 12523 ([76]; 88–97% identity), respectively. When tested, both strains also grew on propane. Expression studies showed that in both strains, isoprene oxidation is carried out by IsoMO and not PrMO, the ability to oxidize and grow on isoprene is an inducible trait and that isoprene- and propane-oxidizing ability is specific to cells grown on the corresponding substrate [61].
Perspectives

Our understanding of the microbial methane cycle, represented by thousands of papers, is still producing surprises, including novel mechanisms for the production and consumption of methane. Despite isoprene being released into our biosphere and atmosphere in the same large amounts as methane and having major impacts on the climate, its microbial cycle is only starting to be understood. Addressing the fundamental and applied questions (as outlined in Box 1) will help *inter alia* to improve global models of isoprene flux, help us to learn how this may be affected by environmental change, uncover novel anabolic and catabolic pathways of environmental and biotechnological importance, and facilitate approaches to mitigate isoprene production.
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