Psycho-social factors associated with premature ejaculation among the patients attending in a tertiary level psychiatric hospital, Dhaka, Bangladesh
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**Introduction**

Premature ejaculation (PE) is found as a common male sexual dysfunction in different large population based surveys.1-3 All through history, PE has been defined in many ways by several professional organizations and individuals.4-6 With the varying definitions of PE the prevalence of PE differs. On average, most studies reported a prevalence ranging from 20 % to 31.6%.7-12 The Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders (DSM), edition- IV (Text Revision) by the American Psychiatric Association (APA) defines PE as persistent or recurrent ejaculation with minimal sexual stimulation before, on, or shortly after penetration and before the person wishes it, with the disturbance causing marked distress or interpersonal difficulty and is not due exclusively to the direct effects of a substance (e.g., withdrawal from opioids).6 PE is classified into four groups, namely, lifelong PE, acquired PE, natural variable PE and premature-like ejaculatory dysfunction.13,14 Recent evidence has suggested that the pathophysiology of lifelong PE may be influenced by neurobiological or genetic factors and acquired PE is understood to be influenced either by psychological (sexual performance anxiety and psychological or relationship problem) factors or by organic factors, which are commonly associated with other co-morbidities.15 PE has been postulated to cause a negative psychological impact on men and spouses.16

The psychological impacts of premature ejaculation (PE), which include guilt, anxiety and distress, have been well established in western countries. In a multicenter and observational study conducted by Patrick et al. in 2005 more subjects in the PE group reported a greater level of distress than non-PE group.17 Another study by Lotti F et al. in 2012 found significant association between phobic anxiety and PE.18 Moreover, a cohort study of 334 Korean men explored the association between depression and PE. They found that self-assessed PE patients suffered from various psychological problems, such as depression, low
self-esteem, bother and low sexual satisfaction. There is no published study on PE in Bangladesh so far. For this reason the objective of this study was to find out the prevalence and associated psycho-social factors of PE among the patients who attended with the complaints of sexual dysfunction. This study will provide baseline information for future analytical and epidemiological studies in this area and will help in promoting awareness and development of standardized methods of PE diagnosis, assessment and treatment.

Materials and methods

This was a cross-sectional study conducted from August 2017 to June 2018, among the male patients with complaints of PE attending in the Sexual Dysfunction Clinic of National Institute of Mental Health (NIMH), Dhaka, Bangladesh. A total of 290 patients aged 18-60 years were selected by convenient sampling technique. Patients with unstable psychiatric illnesses, mental retardation, and illiteracy were excluded. Informed written consent was taken prior to data collection and all ethical procedures including confidentiality were ensured. For data collection, a semi-structured questionnaire in Bangla prepared by the researchers containing socio-demographic variables was distributed to the patients. The Bangla version of Premature Ejaculation Diagnostic Tool (PEDT) was applied to diagnose premature ejaculation (PE). The PEDT had a high level of agreement with the clinical diagnosis (according to DSM-IV) and good test-retest reliability with an interclass correlation coefficient of 0.888. It had 5 items with 5 domains (ejaculatory control, frequency, minimal stimulation, distress and interpersonal difficulty) with 5-Likert Scale (0-4) and the score-range from 0 to 20. A score of <8 indicates no PE; 9 and 10 indicated probable PE; and >11 indicated confirmed PE. In this study, the PE cases included (confirmed and probable cases of PE) using Premature Ejaculation and Diagnostic Tool (PEDT) who were scored >9. After diagnosing PE, Bangla version of the Depression Anxiety Stress Scales-21 (DASS-21-BV) was provided to the patients (n=290) to evaluate presence of depression, anxiety and stress but among them 10 did not respond (i.e. finally 280 patients responded). DASS-21 was a widely used tool to assess the prevalence of depression, anxiety and stress. It has 3 domains, i.e. depression, anxiety and stress, with 7 items in each domain. The experience of each symptom over the past week was rated on a 4-Likert scale ranging from 0 (does not apply to me) to 3 (applies to me most or all the time). The scores for each scale were summed. Depression was defined as score of 10-28 plus; anxiety was defined as a score of 8-20 plus; and stress was defined as a score of 15-34 plus. After proper processing and handling, data were encoded then after thorough cleaning and editing of data, analysis was done by Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS) of windows version 16. Quantitative data were expressed as mean and standard deviation and qualitative data as frequency and percentage. Comparison was done by Chi-square ($x^2$) test and t-test where applicable. A probability ($p$) value of <0.05 ($p<0.05$) was considered statistically significant and $p=0.01$ was considered highly significant but $p>0.05$ was taken as not-significant. Findings were presented by frequency tables and graphs.

Results

A total of 280 respondents out of 290 selected patients responded with a response rate of 96.6%. The prevalence of PE among the respondents was 74 (26.4%) (Figure 1). In this study, age range of the respondents was from 18 to 60 years with the mean age (+SD) of 38.8 (+8.44) years. 143 (51.1%) of the respondents were in the age-group of 18-40 years and rest of them (48.9%) were in the age group of 41-60 years. Among the respondents with PE (n=74), 44.6% were in the age group of 18-40 years and 55.4% were in the age-group of 41-60 years; whereas among the respondents without PE (n=206) 53.4% were in the age-group of 18-40 years and 46.6% were in the age-group of 41-60 years. Mean age of the respondents with PE (n=74) was 40.62 (+9.04) years and mean age of the respondents without PE (n=206) was 38.6 (+9.43) years. The difference in the mean age of both group was not statistically significant ($p>0.05$) (Table 1). Among the respondents with PE (n=74), 53 (71.6%) were from urban areas and 21 (28.4%) were from rural areas; whereas among the respondents without PE (n=206), 76.2% were from urban areas and 23.8% were from rural areas. Regarding the religion (Islam vs Hindu) 85.1% vs 14.9% were in the group of having PE and 98.5% vs 1.5% were in the group of without PE. Majority (52.7%) of the respondents with PE studied up to graduate and post-graduate level and majority (53.9%) of the respondents without PE studied up to primary and secondary level. Majority of the respondents with PE vs without PE (74.3% vs 66.5%) were employed and rest of them were un-employed. The difference between PE group and without PE group in relation to habitat, religion, education and occupation were not statistically significant ($p>0.05$) (Table 1). Regarding smoking status of the respondents, non-smoker vs active smoker were 40.5% vs 59.5% in the PE group and 59.2% vs 40.8% in the without PE group, respectively. This difference in smoking status between two groups was statistically significant ($p<0.05$) (Table 1). Depression, anxiety and stress were present alone and in combination among the respondents (n=280). Depression, anxiety and stress were present among 35.1%, 12.2% and 10.8% (alone) and 13.5% (combination) of the respondents with PE (n=74) and among 7.9%, 14.6% and 14.6% (alone) and 14.6% (combination) of the respondents without PE (n=206). This difference in the presence of depression, anxiety and stress between two groups was highly significant ($p<0.001$) (Table 2).

![Figure 1: Proportion of PE among the respondents (n=280)](image)
Discussion

In this study, the PE cases included (confirmed and probable cases of PE) based on a Premature Ejaculation and Diagnostic Tool (PEDT) score of > 9 and proportion of premature ejaculation was found 26.4% (74 out of 280 men), which was consistent with previously reported majority of the study findings.\(^9-12\) Due to the unavailability of published data on premature ejaculation in Bangladesh, results could not be compared in Bangladesh context. In Malaysia, studies have reported prevalence of PE of 29.0% and 49.1%.\(^23,24\) First one is almost consistent with this study findings and the later study, which applied a similar methodology, a PEDT questionnaire, an operational definition, and was conducted in a clinical setting, but involved diverse ethnic groups, showed a much higher prevalence. Variations in culture and religion may contribute to this difference.

In the present study, 51.1% of the respondents were in age group of 18-40 years and 48.9% of them were in age group of 41-60 years. Majority (55.4%) of the respondents with PE (n=74) were in the age-group of 41-60 years and majority (53.5%) of the respondents without PE (n=206) were in the age-group of

| Table 1: Socio-demographic characteristics of the respondents (n=280) |
|---------------------------------------------------------------|
| Socio-demographicCharacteristics | Presence of PE | \(^*\) P value |
|--------------------------------|---------------|---------------|
|                                | YesFrequency (%) | NoFrequency (%) | |
| Age group                     |                |               |
| 18-40 years                   | 33 (44.6)      | 110 (53.4)    | ** .502 NS |
| 41-60 years                   | 41 (55.4)      | 96 (46.6)     |               |
| Mean ± SD                     | 40.62 (+9.04)  | 38.6 (+9.43)  |               |
| Habitat                       |                |               |
| Rural                         | 21 (28.4)      | 49 (23.8)     | .434 NS       |
| Urban                         | 53 (71.6)      | 157 (76.2)    |               |
| Religion                      |                |               |
| Islam                         | 63 (85.1)      | 203 (98.5)    | .000 HS       |
| Hindu                         | 11 (14.9)      | 3 (1.5)       |               |
| Education                     |                |               |
| Primary and secondary         | 35 (47.3)      | 111 (53.9)    | .331 NS       |
| Graduate and post-graduate    | 39 (52.7)      | 95 (46.1)     |               |
| Occupation                    |                |               |
| Employed                      | 55 (74.3)      | 137 (66.5)    | .214 NS       |
| Un-employed                   | 19 (25.7)      | 69 (33.5)     |               |
| Smoking status                |                |               |
| Non-smoker                    | 30 (40.5)      | 122 (59.2)    | .006 S        |
| Active smoker                 | 40 (69.5)      | 84 (40.8)     |               |
| Total                         | 74 (100)       | 206 (100)     |               |

\(a\) premature ejaculation, \(^{*}\chi^2\) (Chi-square) test was done, NS= not significant, S=significant, HS= highly significant. ** Independent sample t-test was done.

| Table 2: Psychological co-morbidities and presence of \(a\) PE (n=280) |
|---------------------------------------------------------------|
| Co-morbidities | Presence of PE | \(^*\) P value |
|----------------|---------------|---------------|
|                | YesFrequency (%) | NoFrequency (%) | |
| Depression     | 26 (35.1)      | 15 (7.9)      | .000 HS |
| Anxiety        | 9 (12.2)       | 30 (14.6)     |               |
| Stress         | 8 (10.8)       | 30 (14.6)     |               |
| D+A+S          | 10 (13.5)      | 30 (14.6)     |               |
| Absent         | 21 (28.2)      | 101 (49.1)    |               |
| Total          | 74 (100)       | 206 (100)     |               |
18-40 years. But, the difference in the mean age of both group-
was not statistically significant (p< 0.05). In a study of the Italian
Society of Andrology with a sample of 12,558 men attending the
Andrology Prevention Week 2001, it was shown that men with
PE were younger than those without.\(^{25}\) Data from the 2004
survey of more than 11,500 men in the United States, Germany
and Italy showed that the prevalence of self-reported PE was
constant across age groups ranging from 18 to 70 years.\(^{26}\) So,
the present study findings were not consistent with other study
findings. This difference may be explained by the difference in
the study population, sample size, study place and study tools.

In this study, 71.6% of the respondents with PE were from
urban areas, 28.4% were from rural areas. Whereas 76.2% of
the respondents without PE was from urban areas and 23.8%
from rural areas. Regarding the religion (Islam vs Hindu), 85.1%
vs 14.9% were in the group of having PE and 98.5% vs 1.5%
were in the group of without PE. Association of PE with habitat
was not statistically significant (p>0.05) but association of religion
was highly significant (p<0.001) in this study. Data regarding
the association between habitat and religion with PE is scarce.
So, more study is needed regarding these issues. In a study by
Basile Fasolo C et al. (2005) it was found that men who were
more educated had a slightly increased risk. It was also found
that stress related to socioeconomic status also increase the
risk for PE.\(^{25}\) In the present study, majority 74(52.7%) of the
respondents with PE studied up to graduate and post-graduate
level and majority 206(53.9%) of the respondents without PE
studied up to primary and secondary level. Majority of the
respondents with PE vs without PE (74.3% vs 66.5%) were
employed and rest of them were unemployed. The difference
between with PE group and without PE group in relation to
education and occupation were not statistically significant. So,
regarding education and occupation, the findings of this study
were in line but didn’t fully match with the findings of other
studies. In a study conducted among 1230 healthy Turkish men
aged 24-30 years, applying PEDT scale to diagnose PE, showed
no association between PE prevalence and smoking status.\(^{27}\)
But, in the present study, non-smoker vs active smoker were
40.5% vs 59.5% in the PE group and 59.2% vs 40.8% in the
without PE group, respectively and the difference in smoking
status between two groups was statistically significant (p<
0.05).

The relationship between depression, anxiety and stress with
PE might be bi-directional. Depression, anxiety and stress may
impair male sexual function and couple’s sexual relationships.
On the other hand, the poor sexual functioning and satisfaction
can produce feelings of frustration and anxiety between
partners. Several studies have shown significant association
with negative psychological disorders (i.e. depression, anxiety
& stress) with PE.\(^{17-19}\) In the present study, depression, anxiety
and stress were present alone and in combination among the
respondents. Depression, anxiety and stress were present
among 35.13%, 12.16% and 10.81% (alone) and 13.51%
(combination) of the respondents with PE (n=74) and among
7.28%, 14.56% and 14.56% (alone) and 14.56% (combination)
of the respondents without PE (n=206). This difference of
presence of depression, anxiety and stress between the two
groups (with PE group vs without PE group) was highly significant
(p<0.001). So, the findings of the present study regarding
psychological factors (depression, anxiety and stress) were
consistent with the findings of the previous studies.

Though optimum care had been taken by the researchers, still
there were some limitations. PE was diagnosed by applying the
Bangla version of PEDT which was based on DSM-IV criteria,
though there’s existing DSM-5 criteria for PE. Convenient Sampling
Technique was followed in this study, so there could be some
selection bias. The study was conducted in one selected
institution with relatively small sample size. So, the study
population may not represent all the PE patients of Bangladesh
and limits the generalization of the results. Moreover, in this
study, patients with unstable psychiatric illnesses, mental
retardation, illiteracy were excluded. But, in these groups of
patients there might have some PE patients. So, there was a
probability of underestimation of PE prevalence. In this study,
only psychological co-morbidities were seen. But, other clinical
co-morbidities like hyperthyroidism, diabetes mellitus,
hypertension, chronic prostatitis and erectile dysfunction also
play major role in pathophysiology of premature ejaculation. So,
much attention needed regarding investigation in these areas.

Conclusion

In this study, proportion of premature ejaculation was high and
religion, smoking status, psychological co-morbidities (depression, anxiety and stress) were significantly associated
with PE. So, for better outcome, during assessment psychological
factors should be identified properly and psychotherapy should
be emphasized along with pharmacotherapy during management
of PE patients.
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