Association of compliance with COVID-19 public health measures with depression
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Although previous studies have demonstrated increased depression related to COVID-19, the reasons for this are not well-understood. We investigated the association of compliance with COVID-19 public health measures with depression. Data from the 2020 Korea Community Health Survey were analyzed. The main independent variable was compliance with rules based on three performance variables (social distancing, wearing a mask in indoor facilities, and outdoors). Depression was assessed using Patient Health Questionnaire-9 scores. Of 195,243 participants, 5,101 participants had depression. Bad and moderate performance scores for compliance were associated with depression (Bad score, men: adjusted odds ratio [aOR] = 2.24, 95% confidence interval [CI] = 1.29–3.87; women: aOR = 2.42, 95% CI = 1.42–4.13; moderate score, men: aOR = 1.31, 95% CI = 1.02–1.68; women: aOR = 1.28, 95% CI = 1.07–1.53). In the subgroup analysis, among the quarantine rules, not wearing a mask indoors was the most prominently associated with depression. In participants with a high level of education, non-compliance with quarantine rules was significantly associated with depression. People who do not comply with public health measures are more likely to be depressed. The preparation and observance of scientific quarantine rules can help mental health in the ongoing COVID-19 pandemic and another infectious disease pandemic that may come.

The coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) outbreak, which started in 2019, has completely changed the lives of people around the world. According to the World Health Organization (WHO), as of June 2022, more than 500 million cases have occurred worldwide, and about 6.3 million people have died from COVID-19. While many governments seek treatments and vaccines, most governments around the world have implemented various forms of anti-epidemic policies to prevent the further spread of COVID-19. At the individual level, measures such as wearing a mask, measuring body temperature when entering a building, and sanitizing hands were implemented. Group-level controls were also implemented to restrict face-to-face interactions, such as recommending physical or social distancing and forcing employees to work from home.

The outbreak of COVID-19 also has caused a variety of psychological problems such as panic disorder, anxiety, and depression that can occur after major economic crises or natural disasters. In patients infected with COVID-19, anxiety symptoms and fears regarding uncertainty about treatment and health outcomes can affect their mental health. A recent study of survivors of COVID-19 infection reported that markers related to the immune response were associated with anxiety and depression, and the frequency of depressive symptoms ≥ 12 weeks after COVID-19 infection was reported to be 11–28%. Furthermore, health care providers who have had direct contact with COVID-19 patients are more likely to experience anxiety and depression.

The burden of mental health problems for the general population during COVID-19 continues to be reported, even when not under special circumstances, such as those infected with COVID-19 or the medical staff treating them. Adverse mental health outcomes may arise from physical symptoms resembling COVID-19 infection mediated by the perceived impact of the pandemic and the absence of health information. Moreover, public health interventions implemented in several countries, such as lockdown and quarantine measures, may have affected mental health, including causing anxiety and depression, during COVID-19 pandemic. According to a previous study, mental health was affected by the strictness of quarantine policies and the number of deaths caused by COVID-19 in the Netherlands, UK, and France.

The lack of interaction between people and restrictions on freedom may have significant impacts on the enjoyment of life as a human being. Negative effects on the economic well-being and quality of life have been
reported on national social distancing measures due to COVID-19, suggesting that public health interventions to prevent the spread of infection are affecting the lives of the general population as a whole.20 Furthermore, these special circumstances, including isolation and social distancing, are likely to contribute to the frustration, boredom, and depressed mood of the general population.21,22

With regard to the mental well-being of the general population, a rapid public health response may be more helpful than a late public health response.22 Moreover, adherence to social distancing and perceived effectiveness of social distancing are associated with lower levels of anxiety and depressive symptoms.23 In addition, in Poland, where the use of a mask was not recommended in the early stages of COVID-19 pandemic, it was found that the level of depression and stress was higher compared to that in China, where masks were recommended.24 These findings imply that, even if individual freedom is restricted, there is a positive effect on mental health if people perceive that implementing and observing quarantine rules is for the well-being of the community and their own health.

Taken together, not only isolation measures but also public health measures, such as social distancing and wearing masks, that restrict individual freedom can affect the mental health of the general population who have experienced the outbreak of COVID-19. However, considering that humans are beings with free will, it should be considered that the government's establishment of quarantine rules and the people's observance of them are different dimensions when considering public health measures in a pandemic situation.

Thus, this study evaluated the association of compliance with COVID-19 public health measures with depression in Korean adults. Furthermore, this study aimed to serve as a basis for preparing an efficient and effective response plan for public health policies, not only for the current crisis but also for other infectious diseases to come in the future, by estimating the impact of public health policies on mental health.

Methods
Study population and data. This study used data from the 2020 Community Health Survey (CHS) in South Korea. The CHS is a nationwide population-based survey, the purpose of which is to obtain the health data of South Korean citizens who are adults ≥ 19 years. This health data includes information about mental health, medical service usage, and diet. In particular, the 2020 CHS, conducted from August 16 to October 31, 2020, included data related to COVID-19, such as the practicing of social distancing. The Korea Disease Control and Prevention Agency conducts the CHS every year by visiting and interviewing selected family households. In CHS, stratified cluster sampling method and systematic sampling method were used to select sample area and sample household, respectively.22 The dataset used in this study can be provided through a predetermined procedure after entering certain information on the CHS's official website (https://chs.kdca.go.kr/chs/).

A total of 229,269 participants were involved in the 2020 CHS. In this study, participants whose answers were “Refused to respond,” “Don't know,” or “Unmatched” in the survey (n = 34,026) were excluded. As a result, 195,243 participants (89,135 men and 106,108 women) were selected for this study. Since the CHS is a survey conducted by the government for public welfare, ethics approval for the CHS was waived by the Bioethics and Safety Act, 2015. This study adhered to the tenets of the Declaration of Helsinki and all methods were performed in accordance with the relevant guidelines and regulations.

Depression. Depression was the primary outcome of this study. The PHQ-9 is an instrument for screening, diagnosing, monitoring, and measuring the severity of depression.26,27 The Korean version of PHQ-9 has been verified for validity and reliability in a population-based survey.28 The PHQ-9 consists of 9 items measuring the frequency of depressive symptoms over the past two weeks, and each item is scored on a scale of 0–3. The sum of the scores ranges from 0–27, with higher scores indicating more severe depression. According to the definition of depression on the PHQ-9 test, participants with scores ≥ 10 in the PHQ-9 test were defined as having depression. Participants with scores < 10 were defined as normal.

Compliance with COVID-19 public health measures. The main independent variable was compliance with COVID-19 public health measures, which was determined based on responses to questions regarding social distancing and wearing masks. Social distancing was evaluated by one question, “Do you practice social distancing by maintaining distance?” The answers to the question were either “Absolutely,” “Yes,” or “No.” Participants who answered “Absolutely” or “Yes” to the question were given one point, and those who answered “No” were given zero. The wearing of masks was evaluated based on two questions, “Do you wear a mask in indoor facilities?” and “Do you wear a mask outdoors when social distancing is difficult?” The possible answers to these two questions were “Absolutely,” “Yes,” or “No.” Those who answered “Absolutely” or “Yes” to the question regarding wearing a mask in indoor facilities were given one point and those who answered “No” were given zero. Those who answered “Absolutely” or “Yes” to the question regarding wearing a mask outdoors were given one point and others were given zero.

Based on these questions, the participants were given a COVID-19 compliance performance score. For each participant, the performance score was the sum of the points for the three questions above, hence the larger the score the better the compliance. Finally, we classified a performance score of 0 to 1 as bad, a score of 2 as moderate, and a score of 3 as good.

Covariates. The covariates for this study included age (19–29, 30–39, 40–49, 50–59, 60–69, or ≥ 70 years), education level (did not graduate high school or graduated high school), employment status (white, pink, or blue collar or none), household income (low, middle low, middle high, or high), smoking status (yes or no), alcohol consumption (once/month and more than or less than once/month), physical activity (high or low, with high indicating walked ≥ 30 min/day for ≥ 5 d/week), chronic disease history (hypertension and diabetes), and subjec-
Using good performance score as the reference, the aORs for men were as follows: moderate, aOR = 1.31, 95% confidence interval (CI): 1.02–1.68; bad, aOR = 2.42, 95% CI: 1.42–4.13. In other words, compared to those who showed moderate or good performance scores, those who showed bad performance scores were more likely to have depression than those who showed good performance scores.

Statistical analysis. All analyses were conducted separately by sex to account for sex-specific differences in rates of depression. To assess the differences between groups of participants with depression and groups of those without depression for each sex, chi-squared tests were performed for categorical variables. After adjusting for covariates, multiple logistic regression analysis was used to evaluate the association of compliance with COVID-19 public health measures, using the performance score, with depression for men and women. Odds ratios (ORs) and 95% confidence intervals (CIs) were calculated. The association between the performance score for each question and depression in men and women was also evaluated. Finally, the association of the performance score with depression for each sex stratified by education level was evaluated. All analyses were performed using Statistical Analysis Software (SAS, version 9.4, SAS, Inc., Cary, NC, USA). To account for the complex and stratified sampling design, a weighted logistic regression procedure was used. The p values were two-sided, and statistical significance was assumed when p < 0.05.

Ethics approval. Since the CHS is a survey conducted by the government for public welfare, ethics approval for the CHS was waived by the Bioethics and Safety Act, 2015.

Results

Table 1 presents the general characteristics of male and female participants along with the performance scores. Among the 195,243 participants, the number of participants who had good COVID-19 quarantine rules performance score was 184,746 (94.62%), moderate score was 9,249 (4.74%), and bad score was 1,248 (0.64%). For each of these categories, the number of participants who showed depression based on the PHQ-9 scores was 4,684 (2.54%), 354 (3.83%), and 63 (5.05%), respectively (percentages reflect the number in each category). Among the 5,101 participants who showed depression, the number of men was 1,620 and that of women was 3,481.

Table 2 shows the factors associated with depression. After adjusting for all covariates, those who showed bad performance scores were more likely to have depression than those who showed good performance scores. Using good performance score as the reference, the aORs for men were as follows: moderate, aOR = 1.31, 95% CI: 1.02–1.68; bad, aOR = 2.42, 95% CI: 1.42–4.13. Similarly, the ORs for women were as follows: moderate, aOR = 1.28, 95% CI: 1.07–1.53; bad, aOR = 2.42, 95% CI: 1.42–4.13.

Table 3 shows the association of social distancing and wearing mask with depression. Participants who did not practice social distancing in both men and women were more likely to show depression (Men: aOR = 1.31, 95% CI: 1.02–1.68; Women: aOR = 1.38, 95% CI: 1.15–1.66). Men and women who answered that they did not wear masks at indoor facilities had a higher risk of depression than those who answered that they wore masks (Men: aOR = 2.32, 95% CI: 1.33–4.03; Women: aOR = 1.85, 95% CI: 1.07–3.18). Among men, not wearing a mask when social distancing was difficult was significantly associated with depression (aOR = 1.82, 95% CI: 1.14–2.91).

Table 4 shows the stratified analysis according to education level. In the case of the people who did not graduate high school, in both sexes, the performance score was not associated with depression. However, in the case of the people who graduated high school, the adjusted OR values of bad performance scores were largest in both sexes (Men: aOR = 2.45, 95% CI: 1.29–4.65; Women: aOR = 3.75, 95% CI: 1.73–8.13).

Discussion

Depression is a leading cause of disability worldwide, and the prevalence of depression in countries around the world has doubled since 2020. Furthermore, the prevalence of depressive symptoms (PHQ-9 score ≥ 10) in South Korea after COVID-19 pandemic (18.8%) is significantly higher than the rates of 6.1–6.7% reported in previous Korean studies that analyzed population-based data. The increase in the prevalence of depressive symptoms in Korea is larger than the 9.1% increase reported in a US study. Therefore, it is important to investigate factors related to depressive symptoms in Korea after commencement of the COVID-19 pandemic.

Several previous articles and studies have demonstrated that the number of people who have depression has increased worldwide due to COVID-19. Some studies have explained that depression is caused due to social isolation, lower income, or fears of infection. Direct biological effects from coronavirus have also likely contributed to the increased prevalence of depression during the COVID-19 pandemic. Previous studies have reported that coronavirus can directly penetrate the central nervous system or leave psychopathological sequelae through the immune system. However, few studies have investigated the relationship between COVID-19 and depression by focusing on the compliance of quarantine measures that have become a daily routine because of COVID-19.

Several possible theories support our results. First, anxiety about disease transmission from not following quarantine guidelines can lead to depression. People who perceive themselves to be at higher risk of exposure to the virus are more likely to report symptoms indicative of depression. In a subgroup analysis, the association between wearing a mask indoors and depression had a higher odds ratio in association with depression than not wearing a mask outdoors and not practicing social distancing. It is well known that wearing a mask can reduce the transmission of COVID-19, and that the virus spreads better indoors than outdoors. People who did not wear masks who had stronger beliefs about the severity of the COVID-19 disease and were more likely to experience depressive symptoms. In addition, a recent study reported that students who did not wear masks had greater anxiety and depression.
psychological stress compared to those who wore masks. Considering these points, people who do not wear a mask indoors are more likely to have depressive symptoms because of fear that they may contract an infectious disease even if they choose not to wear a mask.

Second, compliance with quarantine rules can provide an environment that is a little freer from the stress of COVID-19 pandemic. In other words, compliance with quarantine rules may be related to a decrease in the prevalence of depressive symptoms by reducing neuroinflammation possibly induced by stress. Another possibility is that people's state of mind can also affect their mental health, such as depression, when making rules-following decisions. In other word, those people who followed the COVID-19 quarantine rules were happy, but those who did not follow the rules can become anxious, which can affect their mental health.

| Variables                  | Depression (PHQ-9 ≥ 10) |         |         | p-value |         |         | p-value |
|----------------------------|-------------------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
|                            | Men                     | Women   |         |         | Men     | Women   |         |
| Total (n = 195,243)        | 89,135                  | 106,108 | 1,620   | 1,455   | <0.001  | <0.001  |
| COVID-19 quarantine rules performance score |                         |         |         |         |         |         |
| 0–1 point (Bad)            | 713                     | 535     | 30      | 42      | <0.001  | <0.001  |
| 2 points (Moderate)        | 4,591                   | 4,658   | 135     | 2.9     | 11,838  | 21,064  | 430     | 3.6     |
| 3 points (Good)            | 83,831                  | 100,915 | 1,455   | 1.7     | <0.001  | <0.001  |
| Age (years)                |                         |         |         |         |         |         |
| 19–29                      | 11,463                  | 12,247  | 245     | 2.1     | 11,463  | 12,247  | 537     | 4.4     |
| 30–39                      | 10,968                  | 11,838  | 244     | 2.2     | 11,838  | 11,838  | 430     | 3.6     |
| 40–49                      | 15,176                  | 16,982  | 250     | 1.6     | 16,982  | 16,982  | 438     | 2.6     |
| 50–59                      | 17,837                  | 21,064  | 247     | 1.4     | 21,064  | 21,064  | 537     | 2.5     |
| 60–69                      | 17,165                  | 20,702  | 247     | 1.4     | 20,702  | 20,702  | 519     | 2.5     |
| 70+                        | 16,526                  | 23,275  | 387     | 2.3     | 23,275  | 23,275  | 1,020   | 4.4     |
| Educational level          |                         | <0.001  |         |         | <0.001  |         |         |
| Under high school          | 21,506                  | 40,475  | 570     | 2.7     | <0.001  | <0.001  |
| Graduated high school      | 67,629                  | 65,635  | 1,050   | 1.6     | <0.001  | <0.001  |
| Employment status          |                         |         |         |         |         |         |
| White collar               | 19,880                  | 19,356  | 250     | 1.3     | <0.001  | <0.001  |
| Pink collar                | 9,397                   | 16,558  | 173     | 1.8     | <0.001  | <0.001  |
| Blue collar                | 35,754                  | 20,864  | 423     | 1.2     | <0.001  | <0.001  |
| None or else               | 24,104                  | 49,330  | 774     | 3.2     | <0.001  | <0.001  |
| Household income           |                         |         |         |         |         |         |
| Low                        | 10,013                  | 17,865  | 447     | 4.5     | <0.001  | <0.001  |
| Middle low                 | 27,834                  | 32,953  | 541     | 1.9     | <0.001  | <0.001  |
| Middle high                | 24,060                  | 25,388  | 350     | 1.5     | <0.001  | <0.001  |
| High                       | 27,228                  | 29,902  | 282     | 1.0     | <0.001  | <0.001  |
| Smoking status             |                         | <0.001  |         |         | <0.001  |         |         |
| No                         | 60,024                  | 103,357 | 934     | 1.6     | <0.001  | <0.001  |
| Yes                        | 29,111                  | 2,751   | 686     | 2.4     | <0.001  | <0.001  |
| Alcohol consumption        |                         | <0.001  |         |         | <0.001  |         |         |
| <1 time/month              | 33,635                  | 71,093  | 742     | 2.2     | <0.001  | <0.001  |
| ≥ 1 times/month            | 55,500                  | 35,015  | 878     | 1.6     | <0.001  | <0.001  |
| Physical activity          |                         | <0.001  |         |         | <0.001  |         |         |
| Low                        | 50,540                  | 66,284  | 1,061   | 2.1     | <0.001  | <0.001  |
| High                       | 38,595                  | 39,824  | 559     | 1.4     | <0.001  | <0.001  |
| Chronic disease*           |                         | <0.001  |         |         | <0.001  |         |         |
| None                       | 60,799                  | 74,026  | 987     | 1.6     | <0.001  | <0.001  |
| Has disease                | 28,336                  | 32,082  | 633     | 2.2     | <0.001  | <0.001  |
| Subjective health status   |                         | <0.001  |         |         | <0.001  |         |         |
| Good                       | 48,765                  | 47,638  | 313     | 0.6     | <0.001  | <0.001  |
| Normal                     | 32,063                  | 43,120  | 581     | 1.8     | <0.001  | <0.001  |
| Bad                        | 8,307                   | 15,350  | 726     | 8.7     | <0.001  | <0.001  |

Table 1. General characteristics of study subjects. PHQ-9 Patient Health Questionnaire-9. *A chronic disease was defined as a diagnosis of hypertension or diabetes mellitus; The number of chronic diseases is the sum of the number of the above diagnoses.
Furthermore, the relationship between rule-following and mental health differed by education level. In the stratified analysis, bad COVID-19 quarantine rules performance score was significantly associated with depression among participants with higher education levels. However, there was no association between non-compliance with quarantine rules and depression in participants with lower education level. A possible explanation for these results is that education level affects hygiene practices and the will to follow the rules. This aspect requires further investigation.

There are several limitations to be considered in our study. First, owing to the cross-sectional design of the study, we cannot be confident that the PHQ-9 data collected specifically measure COVID-19-related depressive symptoms. This is because it is impossible to differentiate between pre-existing depressive symptoms and those recently caused by COVID-19. Second, as people may not have answered the survey honestly, nonrandom misclassification may have been produced

| Variables                          | Depression (PHQ-9 ≥ 10) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|------------------------------------|-------------------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
|                                    | Men                     | aOR | 95% CI | p-value | Women | aOR | 95% CI | p-value |
| Covid-19 quarantine rules performance score |                        |     |         |         |     |     |         |         |
| Bad (0–1 point)                    | 2.24                    | (1.29–3.87) | 0.004 | 2.42 | (1.42–4.13) | 0.001 |
| Moderate (2 points)                | 1.31                    | (1.02–1.68) | 0.034 | 1.28 | (1.07–1.53) | 0.008 |
| Good (3 points)                    | 1.00                    | 1.00 |         |         | 1.00 | 1.00 |         |         |
| Age (years)                        |                        |     |         |         |     |     |         |         |
| 19–29                              | 4.48                    | (3.42–5.85) | <0.001 | 3.51 | (2.84–4.34) | <0.001 |
| 30–39                              | 4.72                    | (3.61–6.15) | <0.001 | 2.82 | (2.27–3.49) | <0.001 |
| 40–49                              | 2.33                    | (1.79–3.02) | <0.001 | 1.87 | (1.51–2.31) | <0.001 |
| 50–59                              | 1.82                    | (1.42–2.33) | <0.001 | 1.56 | (1.31–1.87) | <0.001 |
| 60–69                              | 1.17                    | (0.94–1.46) | 0.162 | 1.00 | (0.86–1.17) | 0.961 |
| 70–                               | 1.00                    | 1.00 |         |         | 1.00 | 1.00 |         |         |
| Educational level                 |                        |     |         |         |     |     |         |         |
| Under high school                 | 1.49                    | (1.23–1.80) | <0.001 | 1.14 | (0.98–1.32) | 0.093 |
| Graduated high school             | 1.00                    | 1.00 |         |         | 1.00 | 1.00 |         |         |
| Employment status                 |                        |     |         |         |     |     |         |         |
| White collar                      | 0.82                    | (0.67–1.01) | 0.057 | 0.84 | (0.74–0.97) | 0.014 |
| Pink collar                       | 0.89                    | (0.71–1.11) | 0.294 | 1.05 | (0.91–1.20) | 0.517 |
| Blue collar                       | 0.59                    | (0.50–0.71) | <0.001 | 0.67 | (0.58–0.78) | <0.001 |
| None or else                      | 1.00                    | 1.00 |         |         | 1.00 | 1.00 |         |         |
| Household income                  |                        |     |         |         |     |     |         |         |
| Low                                | 3.06                    | (2.43–3.84) | <0.001 | 2.31 | (1.98–2.71) | <0.001 |
| Middle low                         | 1.78                    | (1.48–2.14) | <0.001 | 1.66 | (1.46–1.89) | <0.001 |
| Middle high                        | 1.41                    | (1.17–1.69) | 0.001 | 1.33 | (1.16–1.52) | <0.001 |
| High                               | 1.00                    | 1.00 |         |         | 1.00 | 1.00 |         |         |
| Smoking status                     |                        |     |         |         |     |     |         |         |
| No                                 | 0.63                    | (0.55–0.73) | <0.001 | 0.36 | (0.31–0.42) | <0.001 |
| Yes                                | 1.00                    | 1.00 |         |         | 1.00 | 1.00 |         |         |
| Alcohol consumption                |                        |     |         |         |     |     |         |         |
| <1 time / month                   | 1.08                    | (0.94–1.24) | 0.276 | 0.77 | (0.70–0.85) | <0.001 |
| ≥ 1 times / month                 | 1.00                    | 1.00 |         |         | 1.00 | 1.00 |         |         |
| Physical activity                 |                        |     |         |         |     |     |         |         |
| Low                                | 1.15                    | (1.01–1.31) | 0.031 | 1.34 | (1.22–1.48) | <0.001 |
| High                               | 1.00                    | 1.00 |         |         | 1.00 | 1.00 |         |         |
| Chronic disease                    |                        |     |         |         |     |     |         |         |
| None                               | 0.97                    | (0.83–1.13) | 0.696 | 1.03 | (0.92–1.15) | 0.653 |
| Has disease                        | 1.00                    | 1.00 |         |         | 1.00 | 1.00 |         |         |
| Subjective health status           |                        |     |         |         |     |     |         |         |
| Good                               | 0.06                    | (0.05–0.08) | <0.001 | 0.08 | (0.07–0.09) | <0.001 |
| Normal                             | 0.20                    | (0.17–0.23) | <0.001 | 0.21 | (0.19–0.24) | <0.001 |
| Bad                                | 1.00                    | 1.00 |         |         | 1.00 | 1.00 |         |         |

Table 2. Factors associated with depression (PHQ-9 ≥ 10). PHQ-9 Patient Health Questionnaire-9; aOR adjusted odds ratio; CI confidence interval. A chronic disease was defined as a diagnosis of hypertension or diabetes mellitus; The number of chronic diseases is the sum of the number of the above diagnoses.
issue. One study showed that respondents sometimes lie in questionnaires, especially when a question is socially sensitive\(^4\). We were not able to adjust for this possibility in our study. Finally, the study's cross-sectional nature did not allow us to clearly identify the direction of the relationship between compliance with COVID-19 public health measures and depression. Further longitudinal studies are required to establish a causal relationship. However, our results can be used as a basis for other related studies because our study used a methodology suitable for the dataset and adjusted for covariates associated with quarantine rule compliance and depressive symptoms.

Conclusions

Men and women who do not comply with public health measures during COVID-19 pandemic are likely to be depressed. Furthermore, not wearing a mask indoors showed the highest association with depression. The association between non-compliance with quarantine rules and depression was more pronounced in participants with a high level of education. These results suggest that compliance with COVID-19 quarantine rules can help mental health. Therefore, it is necessary to make it known that the development of evidence-based quarantine rules that can reduce the transmission of COVID-19 and adherence to them can be beneficial to physical and mental health.

### Table 3.

| Variables                                                                 | Depression (PHQ-9 ≥ 10) |         |         |         |         |         |         |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
|                                                                           | Men                     | Women   |         |         |         |         |         |
|                                                                           | aOR                     | 95% CI  | p-value | aOR     | 95% CI  | p-value |         |
| Social distancing                                                        |                         |         |         |         |         |         |         |
| Bad (0 point)                                                            | 1.31                    | (0.92–1.88) | 0.035 | 1.38    | (1.15–1.66) | < 0.001 |         |
| Good (1 point)                                                           | 1.00                    |         |         | 1.00    |         |         |         |
| Wearing mask in indoor facilities                                       |                         |         |         |         |         |         |         |
| Bad (0 point)                                                            | 2.32                    | (1.33–4.03) | 0.003 | 1.85    | (1.07–3.18) | 0.027  |         |
| Good (1 point)                                                           | 1.00                    |         |         | 1.00    |         |         |         |
| Wearing mask when social distancing is difficult                         |                         |         |         |         |         |         |         |
| Bad (0 point)                                                            | 1.82                    | (1.14–2.91) | 0.012 | 1.44    | (0.93–2.23) | 0.099  |         |
| Good (1 point)                                                           | 1.00                    |         |         | 1.00    |         |         |         |

### Table 4.

| Variables                                                                 | Depression (PHQ-9 ≥ 10) |         |         |         |         |         |         |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
|                                                                           | Men                     | Women   |         |         |         |         |         |
|                                                                           | aOR                     | 95% CI  | p-value | aOR     | 95% CI  | p-value |         |
| Under high school                                                        |                         |         |         |         |         |         |         |
| Covid-19 quarantine rules Performance Score                               |                         |         |         |         |         |         |         |
| Bad (0–1 point)                                                          | 1.81                    | (0.68–4.82) | 0.234 | 1.49    | (0.77–2.91) | 0.238  |         |
| Moderate (2 points)                                                      | 1.33                    | (0.78–2.25) | 0.297 | 1.06    | (0.81–1.38) | 0.684  |         |
| Good (3 points)                                                          | 1.00                    |         |         | 1.00    |         |         |         |
| Graduated high school                                                    |                         |         |         |         |         |         |         |
| Covid-19 quarantine rules Performance Score                               |                         |         |         |         |         |         |         |
| Bad (0–1 point)                                                          | 2.45                    | (1.29–4.65) | < 0.001 | 3.75 | (1.73–8.13) | 0.001  |         |
| Moderate (2 points)                                                      | 1.29                    | (0.97–1.71) | 0.079 | 1.36    | (1.09–1.71) | 0.007  |         |
| Good (3 points)                                                          | 1.00                    |         |         | 1.00    |         |         |         |
Data availability

The dataset used in this study is publicly available on the CHS’s official website (https://chs.kdca.go.kr/chs/).
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