Dual-responsive dithio-polydopamine coated porous CeO₂ nanorods for targeted and synergistic drug delivery
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Objective: The aim was to produce the first report of assembling degradable stimuli-responsive dithio-polydopamine coating with a cancer target unit for synergistic and targeted drug delivery.

Methods: A multifunctional drug delivery system was constructed by coating a dual-responsive dithio-polydopamine (PDS) on porous CeO₂ nanorods and subsequent conjugation of lactose derivative, where the PDS was formed by self-polymerization of dithio-dopamine (DOPASS).

Results: The multifunctional drug delivery system displayed excellent cancer targeted ability resulting from the conjugation of lactose derivative, which could specifically recognize the overexpressed asialoglycoprotein receptors on the surface of HepG2 cells. It also showed a dual-responsive property of glutathione and pH, achieving controllable drug release from the cleavage of disulfide bond and subsequent degradation of PDS in cancer cells. Moreover, the degradation of PDS led to the exposure of CeO₂ nanorods, which has a synergistic anticancer effect due to its cytotoxicity to cancer cells.

Conclusion: This work presents a good example of a rational design towards synergistic and targeted DDS for cancer chemotherapies.
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Introduction

Multi-functional drug delivery systems (MDDSs) with targeting and stimulus-sensitive attributes, as an active research area,¹⁻⁹ can target the site of cancer cells to enhance the intracellular delivery of a drug and respond to local stimuli that are characteristic of the pathological site by shedding a protective coating and releasing entrapped drug to minimize undesired side-effect in normal cells in chemotherapy.¹⁰⁻¹² Meanwhile, degradable polymer coatings have been widely used in drug delivery systems due to their excellent drug blocking capacity and biodegradability,¹³ where degradable polymers are often combined with targeting units to achieve targeted MDDS with stimuli-responsive functions which are responsive to the microenvironment of cancer cells (temperature,¹⁴⁻¹⁵ pH,¹⁶⁻¹⁹ glutathione (GSH) concentration,²⁰⁻²² or light²³⁻²⁵). In addition, MDDS with enhanced cytotoxicity to cancer has been recognized as a new approach in developing a synergistic MDDS.²⁶⁻²⁹ However, there are only a few reports on fabricating both responsive and targeted polymers for synergistic drug delivery.³⁰,³¹ Polydopamine (PDA)-based substrate-independent coating, due to its adhesive property,³² has been comprehensively applied in nanomedicine for drug delivery.
systems (DDS). One valuable feature of PDA lies in its chemical structure that incorporates many functional groups such as catechol, amine, and imine, which further realize the emergence of diverse hybrid materials. Frank’s group immobilized pH-cleavable polymer-drug in PDA capsules via robust thiol-catechol reactions for intracellular drug delivery, which realized the application of pH stimuli-responsive PDA capsules as DDS. However, the high adhesiveness and non-compatibility with degradability have made PDA limited in its application in MDDS. Unfortunately, there are no reports on using degradable PDA for DDS, although Choi’s group synthesized a degradable PDA film which was used for drug control release in GSH buffer solution. Therefore, we envisioned that if degradable PDA could be coated on the surface of CeONPs and could be easily degraded under a specific microenvironment in cancer cells, both the antitumor effect of drugs and the synergistic antitumor effect of CeONPs could be exerted. To the best of our knowledge, using degradable dual-responsive PDA as a coating on CeONPs for synergistic and targeted drug delivery has not been reported yet.

As shown in Scheme 1A, a dopamine derivative (DOPASS), was synthesized by linking two dopamine moieties through a disulfide bond. The self-polymerization of DOPASS yielded a polymer (PDS) which degrades in the presence of GSH. Thus, a new drug delivery vehicle was fabricated by coating PDS on the surface of porous CeONRs. To achieve the target ability of cancer cells, lactose was conjugated to the surface of the as-fabricated nanocarrier via Michael addition or Schiff base formation between PDS and lactose derivative (Lac-NH\(_2\)). With this kind of MDDS, the CeONRs could not only act as nanocarriers, but also could exhibit a synergistic anti-tumor effect on cancer cells as the PDS was degraded by high GSH concentration and low pH to expose the cytotoxic CeONRs in cancer cells.

**Materials and methods**

**Materials**

All reagents were purchased from commercial suppliers and used without further purification unless specified. Triple-distilled...
water was used in this work. Doxorubicin hydrochloride (DOX) was purchased from Sangon Biotech (Shanghai, China). 3,4-dihydroxy-L-phenylalanine (L-DOPA), tert-butyldimethylsilyl chloride (TBDMSCI) and trifluoroacetic acid (TFA) were purchased from Tianjin xi’ensi Biomedical Technology Co., Ltd. (Tianjin, China). A dialysis bag was purchased from USA Viskase (Lombard, IL, USA) with a molecular weight cutoff of 8,000. N-[(tert-Butoxy)carbonyl]-L-tryptophan (Boc-Trp-OH), N,N-Diisopropylethylamine (DIPEA) and N,N,N’,N’-tetramethyl-O-[1H-benzotriazol-1-yl]uranium hexafluorophosphate (HBTU) were purchased from Energy Chemical Reagent Co (Shanghai, China). 2-[2-(2-chloroethoxy)ethoxy]ethanol was purchased from Jiu Ding Chemistry Reagent Co (Shanghai, China). 1,8-diazabicyclo[5.4.0]undec-7-ene (DBU) was purchased from Aladdin Reagent Co. (Shanghai, China). The human embryonic kidney T cells (293T) and hepatoma cells (HepG2) were obtained from KeyGEN BioTECH Co. (Nanjing, China).

Instrument

NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker 500 MHz Spectrometer (Bruker Corporation, Karlsruhe, Germany), with working frequencies of 500 MHz for 1H and 125 MHz for 13C. The residual signals from DMSO-d6 (1H: δ 2.50 ppm; 13C: δ 39.52 ppm) or CDCl3 (1H: δ 7.26 ppm; 13C: δ 77.16 ppm) were used as internal standards. Negative-stained transmission electron microscope (TEM) images were taken on an HT7700 instrument (Hitachi Ltd., Tokyo, Japan, 80 kV). The samples for negative-stained TEM were prepared by dropping a droplet of the sample solution onto a TEM grid (copper grid, 300 mesh, coated with carbon film). The ζ potentials and dynamic light scattering (DLS) measurements of the nanoparticles at different fabrication stages were measured using a DelsaTM Nano system (Beckman Coulter, Brea, CA, USA). Cell culture was carried out in an incubator with a humidified atmosphere of 5% CO2 at 37°C. UV-Vis spectra were recorded with a Shimadzu 1750 UV-visible spectrophotometer (Kyoto, Japan) at 298 K. The DOX absorbance and the MTT data were obtained from a microplate reader (Tecan Infinite M1000 Pro, Männedorf Switzerland), with a λ range from 230 nm to 1,000 nm. The surface area was measured by nitrogen physisorption (Quantachrome, Autosorb-iQ) based on the Brunauer–Emmet–Teller (BET) method (ASAP 2020, Micromeritics Inc, GA, USA).

Method

Preparation of PDS/DOX@CeONRs

The CeONRs were made by following the procedure reported previously.58 Briefly, Ce(NO3)3·6H2O (1.736 g) and NaOH (19.2 g) were dissolved in 10 and 70 mL of millipore water (MQ water, 18.2 MΩ cm), respectively. The two solutions were thoroughly mixed in a Pyrex bottle, and the mixture was treated with continuous stirring for 30 min. Subsequently, the Pyrex bottle was transferred into a temperature-controlled electric oven at 100°C for 24 h. After natural cooling to room temperature, the solid products were collected by centrifugation, and washed with MQ water and ethanol three times, and dried at 60°C overnight. Then, the non-porous nanorod precursor (20 mg) was dispersed in 10 mL of MQ water by sonication. The porous nanorods of ceria were obtained under hydrothermal conditions in an autoclave at 160°C for 12 h. The pale-yellow solid product was collected by centrifugation, washed with MQ water and ethanol, and dried at 60°C overnight.58 DOPASS was prepared by adapting previously reported procedure42 (see Scheme S1 in the supporting information and Figures S1–S4 are the NMR data for respective compounds). For DOX loading, CeONRs (50 mg) were added to water solution of DOX (6 mL, 1 mg/mL) and stirred for 24 h. The solution was centrifuged and washed with water to move the remaining DOX from the surface of CeONRs. DOX-loaded CeONRs (DOX@CeONRs) were dried at 40°C under vacuum. DOX@CeONRs (50 mg) were dispersed in 20 mL of Tris–HCl buffer (pH 8.5, 10 mM), and then 25 mg DOPASS was added. The mixture was stirred for 4 h in the dark at room temperature. PDS-coated DOX@CeONRs (PDS/DOX@CeONRs) were then centrifuged and washed several times with deionized water to remove the unpolymerized DOPASS.

Preparation of Lac-PDS/DOX@CeONRs

NH2-Lactose was prepared by adapting a previously reported procedure,59 (see Scheme S2 in the supporting information, Figures S5 and S6 are the NMR data for respective compounds). The freeze-dried PDS/DOX@CeONRs (15 mg) were added to phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) (pH=7.4, 10.0 mL) solution of NH2-Lactose (20 mg, 0.04 mmol) and stirred for 1 h. In the end, Lac-PDS/DOX@CeONRs were obtained by centrifugation and washed with deionized water three times to remove the surplus Lac-NH2.

Drug loading content of Lac-PDS/DOX@CeONRs

During the preparation of Lac-PDS/DOX@CeONRs, all the washings and supernatants after loading were collected and combined as presented in the previous report.33 The remaining DOX was analyzed by a microplate reader at absorbance of 490 nm. The content of the remaining DOX was calculated according to a calibration curve. The loading content of
Lac-PDS/DOX@CeONRs was calculated by the following equation:\(^3\)

\[ LC = \frac{\text{Weight of initial DOX} - \text{Weight of remaining DOX}}{\text{Weight of the nanoparticles}} \]

**Drug release experiments in vitro**

Freeze-dried Lac-PDS/DOX@CeONRs (20 mg) and DOX@CeONRs (20 mg) were dispersed in 1 mL of PBS (containing NaCl 137 mmol/L, KCl 2.7 mmol/L, Na₂HPO₄ 10 mmol/L, KH₂PO₄ 2 mmol/L, and adjusting to pH=7.4) and transferred into dialysis bags (molecular weight cutoff=8,000, respectively). Then the bags were submerged at 15 mL solution with different pH values (7.4 and 5.0) or GSH values (2.5 mM and 10.0 mM, respectively) and stirred at 37°C. At designated time intervals, the solution out of the bags was transferred into a test tube for microplate reader.

**Cell culture**

293T cells were cultured in a medium containing 10% FBS (fetal bovine serum) and 1% penicillin/streptomycin (complete DMEM; Dulbecco’s Modified Eagle’s Medium [Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA]) in 5% CO₂ at 37°C. HepG2 cells were cultured in RPMI 1640 medium containing 10% FBS and 1% penicillin/streptomycin (complete 1640) in 5% CO₂ at 37°C.

**Targeting ability of Lac-PDS/CeONRs using flow cytometer and CLSM**

HepG2 cells were seeded in 6-well plates (1×10⁵ cells/well) and cultured in RPMI 1640 medium for 24 h. The fresh medium containing 5 μM free DOX, Lac-PDS/DOX@CeONRs or PDS/DOX@CeONRs were added, respectively. In contrast, one group was pretreated with lactobionic acid (LA, 2 mg/mL) for 4 h before the incubation with Lac-PDS/DOX@CeONRs. After 4 h, the cells were collected and washed two times with cold PBS and resuspended in 500 μL PBS. Finally, the cell were analyzed by a flow cytometer (Beckman Coulter Cytomics Altra).

HepG2 cells were seeded in 35 mm plastic bottomed μ-dishes for 24 h, and then the medium was replaced with a fresh one. The cells were treated with Lac-PDS/DOX@CeONRs for 4 h. In contrast, one group was pre-incubated with LA (2 mg/mL) for 4 h to block the lactose receptor on the surface of HepG2 cells before the incubation with Lac-PDS/DOX@CeONRs. After 4 h, the cells were collected and washed two times with cold PBS. Then the cells were fixed by the 4% formaldehyde for 15 min and stained with DAPI (4',6-diamidino-2-phenylindole) for 10 min. Meanwhile, the target ability of Lac-PDS/DOX@CeONRs which resulted from the lactose derivative was confirmed by confocal laser scanning microscopy (CLSM).

**Cytotoxicity assay**

The in vitro cytotoxicity was measured by MTT assay.\(^6\) The relative cell viability of different corresponding compounds (CeONRs, Lac-PDS/CeONRs, DOX, or Lac-PDS/DOX@CeONRs) was evaluated in vitro by MTT assay. The cells were seeded in 96-well plates at a density of 5×10⁴ cells per well in 100 μL complete DMEM or 1640 and grew for 24 h at 37°C. Subsequently, the cells were incubated with the corresponding compounds at different concentrations for 24 h, 48 h, and 72 h, respectively. The cells were washed and the fresh medium containing MTT was added into each plate. The cells were incubated for another 4 h. After removing the medium containing MTT, dimethyl sulfoxide (100 μL) was added to each well to dissolve the formazan crystals. Finally, the plate was gently shaken for 10 min, and the absorbance at 490 nm was recorded with a microplate reader.

**Western blot analysis**

Total protein from cultured cells was harvested in an extraction buffer (Beyotime, Shanghai, China) supplemented with protease and phosphatase inhibitors (Pierce, Rockford, IL, USA). Briefly, protein samples were separated using 10% SDS-PAGE gels, then transferred to polyvinylidene difluoride membranes (Millipore, Bedford, MA, USA). The membranes were blocked with 5% nonfat dry milk in TBS containing 0.1% Tween for 1 h at room temperature and then blotted with primary antibodies: anti-Casp9 (1:100), anti-Actin (1:1,000) overnight. After washing, the membranes were incubated with a secondary horseradish peroxidase (HRP)-coupled antibody and visualized using Immobilon HRP substrate (Millipore, Billerica, MA, USA). The density of the bands was quantified using ImageJ Software (National Institute of Health, Bethesda, MD, USA). The ratio of the intensity of the target protein to that of β-tubulin loading control was calculated to represent the expression level of the protein.

**Results and discussion**

**Characterization and functionalization of Lac-PDS/CeONRs**

The CeONRs were made in our group, which had an average length and diameter of about 60 nm and 5.8±1 nm, respectively. The PDS coated CeONRs (PDS@CeONRs) were investigated by FT-IR spectroscopy (Figure S7), which showed an
absorption band at 2,900–3,000 cm$^{-1}$, corresponding to the $\nu$ (C–H), 1,200–1,300 cm$^{-1}$, corresponding to the $\nu$ (C–O), and 1,600–1,700 cm$^{-1}$, corresponding to the $\nu$ (C=O),$^{42,63}$ confirming the successful coating of PDS on the surface of CeONRs. In addition, the $\zeta$ potential changed from 1.84±0.35 mV for CeONRs to −8.29±0.43 mV after coating PDS on CeONRs. Lactose was conjugated to the surface of PDS@CeONRs (Lac-PDS@CeONRs) via Michael addition reaction, which leads to a lower $\zeta$ potential of −14.65±0.17 mV (Table S1).

The stability of Lac-PDS@CeONRs in aqueous solution was investigated. By dispersing the Lac-PDS@CeONRs in PBS buffer and cellular 1640 culture medium via sonication for 15 min, no precipitate was observed after the suspensions were left standing for at least 1 day (Figure S8D). Furthermore, the characterization by TEM revealed the CeONRs had an average length and diameter of 60 nm and 6 nm, respectively (Figure 1A). According to a recent study,$^{64}$ rod-like nanoparticles exhibited higher cellular internalization than sphere-like nanoparticles. A 3 nm layer was also detected after coating PDS on CeONRs (Figure 1B), which further confirmed the successful coating of PDS on the surface of CeONRs. Moreover, Lac-PDS@CeONRs had a thicker layer compared to the PDS@CeONRs, resulting from the conjugation of lactose derivative (Figure 1C), and the DLS data also complied with the results (Figure S8A–C).

Upon addition of glutathione (10 mM), the distinct layer in TEM observed previously disappeared (Figure 1D), due to the degradation of PDS via reduction of disulfide bond in the PDS film.$^{42}$ This result confirmed the stimuli-responsive property of PDS, and consolidated its potential candidacy for application in DDS. Furthermore, a similar phenomenon was also observed from immersing a PDS coated silicon slice (Figure S9A and C) in 10 mM GSH using a scanning electron microscope (SEM), where the coated surface was destroyed by the high concentration of GSH (Figure S9B and D).

![Figure 1 TEM images of (A) CeONRs; (B) PDS@CeONRs; (C) Lac-PDS@CeONRs; and (D) Lac-PDS@CeONRs after being treated with 10 mM GSH for 6 h.](image)

**Note:** The thickness of the PDS layers coated on the CeONRs were indicated by red arrows.

**Abbreviations:** TEM, transmission electron microscope; CeONR, CeO$_2$ nanorod; PDS, dithio-polydopamine; GSH, glutathione.
Study of drug loading and releasing profile

Next, a study of drug loading and releasing profiles of CeONRs was conducted by using DOX as a model drug. First, the drug-loading capacity of CeONRs was investigated by mixing CeONRs with different concentrations of DOX. As illustrated in Figure S10, the amount of DOX loaded in CeONRs increased with the increasing of initial DOX concentration, and the drug-loading capacity achieved a highest level of 11.4%, which confirmed that the CeONRs can be used as the platform for drug delivery. The porosity and surface area of CeONRs were tested by nitrogen physisorption based on the BET method, where the pore size distribution and the $N_2$ adsorption-desorption isotherms (Figure S11 and Table S2, and the average pore size and pore volume is 11.98 nm and 0.36 cm$^3$/g, respectively) further confirmed the porosity of CeONRs for drug loading. Subsequently, after coating PDS on the drug loaded CeONRs and conjugating lactose on its surface, the system was dispersed in different mediums after sonication. As shown in Figure S12, the DOX loaded uncoated CeONRs (DOX@CeONRs) were placed in PBS, where a rapid release was observed. However, the presence of PDS coating kept the DOX loaded nano carrier in a closed configuration. Accordingly, there was no significant DOX leakage (≤10%) in neutral PBS solution (Figure 2). However, upon decreasing the pH of PBS to 5.0, a higher level of release was observed (50%). Moreover, when the Lac-PDS/DOX@CeONRs were treated with different concentrations of GSH, an even higher level of release was observed with the increase of GSH concentration with pH 5.0 (55% in 2.5 mM GSH; 80% in 10 mM GSH). These results indicated that the PDS had an excellent drug blocking function for nano carriers, which was stable under normal physiological conditions. Meanwhile, the mimetic cancer cell microenvironment (low pH and high GSH concentration) demonstrated the sensitive stimuli-responsiveness to cancer cell microenvironment which was essential for controllable drug release.

Study of stimuli-responsiveness of Lac-PDS/DOX@CeONRs

The GSH-responsive property and cellular uptake efficiency of Lac-PDS/DOX@CeONRs were further studied by CLSM using live HepG2 (a hepatoma carcinoma cell) cells. The results were shown in Figure 3 (Figure 3M–P for the free DOX group). As shown in Figure 3I–L, red fluorescence of DOX in the HepG2 cells was observed clearly after incubation with Lac-PDS/DOX@CeONRs (DOX concentration 5.0 μM) for 4 h. In contrast, an obvious fluorescence enhancement was shown with the addition of GSH (10.0 mM) to the culture medium (Figure 3A–D), which was attributed to the accelerated DOX release progress due to the cleavage of the disulfide bond to degrade PDS in a higher intracellular GSH concentration.

Study of targeted ability of Lac-PDS/DOX@CeONRs

Meanwhile, the target ability of Lac-PDS@CeONRs resulting from the lactose derivative was confirmed by CLSM, where the HepG2 cells were cultivated with Lac-PDS/DOX@CeONRs for 4 h. To compare, one group was pre-incubated with LA for 4 h to block the lactose receptors on the surface of HepG2 cells, which showed a dramatic decrease in fluorescence of DOX (Figure 3E–H). Furthermore, its target ability was further confirmed by flow cytometry (Figure 4). The HepG2 cells were incubated with DOX, PDS/DOX@CeONRs, and Lac-PDS/DOX@CeONRs, respectively, at 5 μM for 4 h. To compare, one group was pretreated with LA as a targeting inhibitor before incubation with Lac-PDS/DOX@CeONRs. As shown in Figure 4F, the Lac-PDS/DOX@CeONRs group (orange line) had the strongest fluorescence intensity compared with the free DOX group (blue line) and the PDS/DOX@CeONRs group (green line), which lacks the lactose target unit. The Lac-PDS/DOX@CeONRs group that was pre-incubated with LA (dark green line) displayed the weakest fluorescence intensity due to the blockade of the asialoglycoprotein receptors by LA, which subsequently led to the inhibition of lactose residue mediated endocytosis.
Study of cytotoxicity of materials

To study the cytotoxicity of the materials for DDS, 293T cells (normal cells) and HepG2 cells were incubated with CeONRs and Lac-PDS@CeONRs, respectively, at different concentrations. As shown in Figure 5A, the CeONRs showed low cytotoxicity to 293T cells and HepG2 cells. However, after coating with PDS and conjugating the lactose, the Lac-PDS@CeONRs showed low toxicity to 293T cells but enhanced high toxicity to HepG2 cells (Figure 5B). We deduced that these results were due to more aggregation resulting from the lactose mediated endocytosis and the high GSH concentration of cancer cells which triggered cytotoxicity to cancer cells from the degradation of PDS, and exposure of the CeONRs to an acid environment in cancer cells. Therefore, these results indicated not only the excellent biocompatibility of Lac-PDS@CeONRs for 293T cells, which is essential for design of DDS, but also the enhanced cytotoxicity to cancer cells resulting from CeONRs, which could be applied in synergistic drug delivery.

Moreover, the synergistic antitumor effect of the DDS was further confirmed by incubating HepG2 and 293T cells, respectively, with Lac-PDS/DOX@CeONRs in comparison to free DOX. As shown in Figure 5C, the Lac-PDS/DOX@CeONRs showed low toxicity to 293T cells compared to free DOX and showed relative excellent toxicity to HepG2 cells (Figure 5D). Moreover, the cell viability of HepG2 cells incubated with Lac-PDS/DOX@CeONRs and free DOX at different concentrations for 24 h, 48 h, and 72 h was conducted, respectively (Figure S13). The cell viability of HepG2 cells incubated with Lac-PDS/DOX@CeONRs and DOX@CeONRs at 24 h and 48 h was also conducted (Figure S14), respectively. When the Lac-PDS/DOX@CeONRs were delivered into cancer cells, the high concentration of GSH

Figure 3 CLSM images of HepG2 cultured with Lac-PDS/DOX@CeONRs in the presence of 10 mM GSH for 4 h (A–D); HepG2 cultured with Lac-PDS/DOX@CeONRs for 4 h preincubated with LA for 4 h (E–H); HepG2 cultured with Lac-PDS/DOX@CeONRs as control (I–L) for 4 h; HepG2 cultured with free DOX (M–P). The DOX concentration is 5.0 μM. The nuclei were stained with DAPI; images were taken from DAPI channel (A, E, I, M), DOX channel (B, F, J, N), and the overlapped images (C, G, K, O). Scale bar: 50 μm (A–C, E–G, I–K, and M–O); the enlarged view of the marked area (D, H, L, P). Scale bar: 20 μm (D, H, L, and P).

Abbreviations: CLSM, confocal laser scanning microscopy; PDS, dithio-polydopamine; DOX, doxorubicin hydrochloride; CeONR, CeO\textsubscript{2} nanorod; GSH, glutathione; LA, lactobionic acid; DAPI, 4′,6-diamidino-2-phenylindole.
and low pH in cancer cells could enable the cleavage of disulfide linkage and, consequently, degraded PDS coating to release the drugs and expose the surface of CeO$_2$. Thus, the synergistic effect was produced by CeONRs exposure and DOX releasing in cancer cells, where CeONRs not only act as the drug container, but also as the anti-cancer agent itself. Subsequently, it was further confirmed that the increased toxicity was caused by the high concentration of GSH in

Figure 4 Flow cytometry analysis of HepG2 cells after incubation for 4 h with Lac-PDS/DOX@CeONRs (B: orange line in F); PDS/DOX@CeONRs (C: green line in F); 5 μM DOX (D: blue line in F); Lac-PDS/DOX@CeONRs after pre-incubation with LA for 4 h (E: dark green line in F) and control (A: red line in F).

Abbreviations: PDS, dithio-polydopamine; DOX, doxorubicin hydrochloride; CeONR, CeO$_2$ nanorod; LA, lactobionic acid.
cancer cells which degraded the PDS from CeONRs and exposed the surface of CeO$_2$, which synergistically enhanced the toxicity of DOX to the tumorous HepG2 cells.

**Study of the location of Lac-PDS/DOX@CeONRs in HepG2 cells**

Further study of HepG2 cells incubated with Lac-PDS/DOX@CeONRs and CeONRs by TEM disclosed that the nano rods were aggregated in the nuclear peri-region of the HepG2 cells incubated with Lac-PDS/DOX@CeONRs (Figure 6C) and CeONRs (Figure S15), in contrast with the blank control (Figure 6A and B), the rod shape nanoparticles in the enlarged panel (Figure 6D) were observed, which was in agreement with the reported literature.51

However, according to the CLSM image in Figure 7, when HepG2 cells were stained with Hoechst 33258 after being cultured with CeONRs for 24 h, a distinguished chromatin condensation was found. Apart from that, in Figure 8, a Western blot analysis for Casp9 was conducted. Also, a relatively higher concentration of Casp9 was observed after cultured HepG2 cells with CeONRs or Lac-PDS/CeONRs for 24 h compared with the control group. Thus, these aggregated CeO$_2$ particles in the perinuclear region may activate caspase and further cause chromatin condensation, which may finally lead to HepG2 cells apoptosis, which is in accordance with the reported research.51 The results indicated that CeONRs act not only as the drug container, but also as the synergetic antitumor agent.

**Conclusion**

A synergistic and targeted drug delivery system Lac-PDS/DOX@CeONRs based on porous CeONRs coated with degradable PDS and conjugated with lactose derivative was
Figure 6 (A) TEM image of HepG2 in blank control. (B) Enlarged view of the marked area of panel A. (C) TEM image of HepG2 incubated with Lac-PDS/DOX@CeONR at 5 μM for 24 h. (D) Enlarged view of the marked area of panel C.

Abbreviations: TEM, transmission electron microscope; PDS, dithio-polydopamine; DOX, doxorubicin hydrochloride; CeONR, CeO₂ nanorod.

Figure 7 CLSM images of HepG2 cultured with 40 μg/mL CeONRs, and the control group cultured with the same amount of PBS. Scale bar: 5 μm.

Abbreviations: CLSM, confocal laser scanning microscopy; CeONR, CeO₂ nanorod; PBS, phosphate-buffered saline.
developed, which exhibited GSH and pH responsiveness and cancer target ability resulting from the disulfide bond of PDS and the conjugated lactose, respectively. Lac-PDS/DOX@CeONRs not only exhibited excellent GSH and pH responsiveness and quick release of DOX upon the degradation of PDS from CeONRs resulting from low pH and high GSH concentration in cancer cells, but also showed hepatoma-targeting ability to ASGP-R overexpressing HepG2 cells. As expected, the Lac-PDS@CeONRs not only displayed biocompatible properties in normal cells, but also showed a synergistic anti-cancer effect resulting from the degradation of PDS coating and exposure of CeONRs in cancer cells. Also, the TEM, CLSM, and Western blot analysis demonstrated the chromatin condensation resulting from CeONRs, which confirmed the anticancer effect of CeONRs. Thus, this work provides a novel strategy for rational design in constructing unique nanocarriers towards synergistically enhanced cytotoxicity for cancer chemotherapies.
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