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Magnetization transfer ratio quantifies polyneuropathy in hereditary transthyretin amyloidosis
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Abstract

Objective: To quantify peripheral nerve lesions in symptomatic and asymptomatic hereditary transthyretin amyloidosis with polyneuropathy (ATTRv-PNP) by analyzing the magnetization transfer ratio (MTR) of the sciatic nerve, and to test its potential as a novel biomarker for macromolecular changes.

Methods: Twenty-five patients with symptomatic ATTRv-PNP, 30 asymptomatic carriers of the mutant transthyretin gene (mutTTR), and 20 age-/sex-matched healthy controls prospectively underwent magnetization transfer contrast imaging at 3 Tesla. Two axial three-dimensional gradient echo sequences with and without an off-resonance saturation rapid frequency pulse were conducted at the right distal thigh. Sciatic nerve regions of interest were manually drawn on 10 consecutive axial slices in the images without off-resonance saturation, and then transferred to the corresponding slices that were generated by the sequence with the off-resonance saturation pulse. Subsequently, the MTR and cross-sectional area (CSA) of the sciatic nerve were evaluated. Detailed neurologic and electrophysiologic examinations were conducted in all ATTRv-PNP patients and mutTTR-carriers. Results: Sciatic nerve MTR and CSA reliably differentiated between ATTRv-PNP, mutTTR-carriers, and controls. MTR was lower in ATTRv-PNP (26.4 ± 0.7; P < 0.0001) and in mutTTR-carriers (32.6 ± 0.8; P = 0.0005) versus controls (39.4 ± 2.1), and was also lower in ATTRv-PNP versus mutTTR-carriers (P = 0.0009). MTR correlated negatively with the NIS-IL and positively with CMAPs and SNAPs. CSA was higher in ATTRv-PNP (34.3 ± 1.7 mm²) versus mutTTR-carriers (26.0 ± 1.1 mm²; P = 0.0005) and versus controls (20.4 ± 1.2 mm²; P < 0.0001). CSA was also higher in mutTTR-carriers versus controls. Interpretation: MTR is a novel imaging marker that can quantify macromolecular changes in ATTRv-PNP and differentiate between symptomatic ATTRv-PNP and asymptomatic mutTTR-carriers and correlates with electrophysiology.

doi: 10.1002/acn3.51049

Introduction

Hereditary transthyretin (ATTRv) amyloidosis is a devastating systemic disease that leads to death in 10 years, on average, after symptom onset if left untreated.1,2 One of the main manifestations in ATTRv amyloidosis is a rapidly progressive distal-symmetric, sensorimotor polyneuropathy (PNP). Novel disease-modifying therapies
such as the transthyretin (TTR) stabilizing drug tafamidis meglumine (Vyndaqel®), the RNA interference therapeutic patisiran (Onpattro®), and the antisense oligonucleotide inotersen (Tegsedi®) have shown beneficial effects on disease progression and quality of life. However, early diagnosis and initiation of treatment may be important to prevent irreversible nerve and organ damage. Unfortunately, there are well-known limitations of traditional diagnostic methods that lead to the following two main problems: First, determining the disease onset in previously asymptomatic carriers of the mutant transthyretin gene (mutTTR) is challenging due to the preferential involvement of small nerve fibers at early disease stages, and second, monitoring a potential therapeutic response in severely affected patients holds difficulties due to extinct electrophysiologic potentials at advanced PNP stages.

MR neurography (MRN) can overcome some of the aforementioned diagnostic limitations by directly visualizing peripheral nerve lesions. The quantitative MRN parameters, proton spin density (ρ) and apparent T2 relaxation time (T2app), have previously proven their feasibility to detect subclinical and early nerve lesions as well as to differentiate between neuropathic patients and controls or even between different disease severities in several neuropathies. However, the changes in macromolecular structures that underlie alterations in ρ and T2app still need to be elucidated. Here, magnetization transfer contrast (MTC) imaging can assist by providing information on the concentration of protons bound to macromolecules (including their interaction with free water molecules) that, due to their short T2 relaxation time, cannot be measured directly by conventional MRI sequences. In the peripheral nervous system (PNS), MTC imaging has been applied in patients with Charcot–Marie–Tooth disease, and results were promising in that the calculated magnetization transfer ratio (MTR) correlated well with the disease severity and was suggested as a new biomarker.

In this study, we aim to use MTR as a diagnostic tool to quantify sciatic nerve lesions in asymptomatic and symptomatic ATTRv amyloidosis with PNP (ATTRv-PNP) in comparison with clinical and electrophysiologic measurements, and with healthy controls.

**Methods**

**Study design, neurologic, and electrophysiologic assessments**

This prospective case–control study was approved by the institutional ethics board (University of Heidelberg; S–398/2012), and all participants gave written informed consent. Fifty-five participants with genetically confirmed mutTTR (31 males, 24 females, mean age 50.4 years, range 22–76), and 20 sex-matched healthy volunteers (11 males, 9 females) were enrolled from December 2013 to April 2019. Age-matching of controls was performed after subdividing the ATTRv group into asymptomatic mutTTR-carriers and symptomatic ATTRv-PNP as described in the results section. Patients with prior liver transplantation or treatment with disease-modifying drugs were excluded from the study. Additional exclusion criteria for all groups were age <18 years, pregnancy, any MRI contraindications, any risk factors for a competing non-ATTRv-related PNP such as diabetes mellitus, alcoholism or malignant diseases, and any other neurologic disorders such as multiple sclerosis (MS).

A detailed medical history was taken from all participants with mutTTR, and comprehensive neurologic examinations were performed including assessments for the Neuropathy Impairment Score in the Lower Limbs (NIS-LL; E.H.; J.P.; M.W.). Nerve conduction studies (NCS) assessed distal motor latencies (DML), compound muscle action potentials (CMAP), and nerve conduction velocities (NCV) of the peroneal and tibial nerves. Sensory nerve action potentials (SNAP) and NCVs were measured for the sural nerve (G.S.; M.W.). Skin temperature was controlled at a minimum of 32°C. Based on individual neurologic and electrophysiologic findings, all participants with proven mutTTR were further classified into either clinically symptomatic patients or asymptomatic mutTTR-carriers as described in previous publications. Briefly, a NIS-LL score of 0 and NCS with not more than one pathologic parameter were required for assignment to the group of asymptomatic mutTTR-carriers. In symptomatic ATTRv-PNP, PNP severity was further subclassified as either mild to moderate (NIS-LL ≤ 44) or severe (NIS-LL > 44).

**MRN imaging protocol**

All participants were examined feet first, in supine position in a 3.0 Tesla MR scanner (Magnetom TIM-TRIO, Siemens Healthineers, Erlangen, Germany), and a 15-channel Transmit-Receive knee-coil (INVIVO, Gainesville, FL, USA) was positioned at the right distal thigh. The thigh was chosen as previous studies showed a strong predominance of nerve lesions at this anatomical location not only in ATTRv-PNP but also in other PNP. Two axial three-dimensional, gradient echo sequences with and without an off-resonance saturation pulse (Gaussian envelop, duration = 9984 µsec, frequency offset = 1200 Hz) were carried out at the exact same slice position and with the following exact same sequence parameters:
Repetition time = 47 msec, echo time = 4.92 msec, field of view = 160 × 160 mm², matrix-size 256 × 256, band-width = 370 Hz/Px, 16 slices, slice thickness = 3.5 mm, voxel-size = 0.7 × 0.6 × 3.5 mm³, flip angle = 7°, acquisition time = 3:43 min.

The total acquisition time for this protocol including survey scans was 7:36 min.

Image analysis

After pseudonymization, all generated images were analyzed in ImageJ (version 1.51j8; National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, Maryland, MD, USA) by manually delineating the sciatic nerve circumference as intraneural region of interest (ROI) approximately 1 cm proximal of the nerve bifurcation (J.K.). All ROIs were primarily drawn on axial slices generated by the sequence without off-resonance saturation. The exact same ROIs were then transferred to the corresponding slices that were generated by the sequence with off-resonance saturation by using the “synchronize windows” tool in ImageJ. Any possible inaccuracy of ROI positions between the two sequences, for example, due to patient motion between the acquisition of the two sequences, was ruled out by visual inspection of each ROI. To avoid any artifacts or systematic errors caused by inhomogeneities of the B1-field of the saturation pulse, only the 10 central slices within each image slab were used for all analyses. The additional time needed for the post-processing including drawing of the ROIs and performing all necessary calculations was approximately 30 min per participant.

Magnetization transfer ratio

The MTR was calculated separately for each participant, and each evaluated axial imaging slice according to the following equation, in which S₀ is the signal without and S₁ with off-resonance saturation:

\[
\text{MTR} = 100 \times \frac{(S_0 - S_1)}{S_0}
\]

MTR values were subsequently extracted from each slice position and averaged over all ten slice positions for each participant. Calculated MTR mean values of the sciatic nerve were then compared between the different groups (symptomatic ATTRv-PNP vs. asymptomatic mutTTR-carriers vs. controls).

Cross sectional area

Morphometric quantification was additionally performed by measuring the cross-sectional area (CSA) of the sciatic nerve per participant and per slice position. Subsequently, CSAs were averaged over all 10 slice positions per participant, and then compared between the three groups.

Statistical analyses

Statistical data analyses were performed with GraphPad Prism 7.03 (J.K.; J.M.H.). Differences in MTR and CSA between manifest ATTRv-PNP, asymptomatic mutTTR-carriers, and healthy controls were evaluated with a one-way ANOVA for a priori assumptions. Subsequent post hoc analyses were corrected for multiple comparisons by using the Tukey–Kramer test. The Mann–Whitney test was used to evaluate differences in the underlying mutation and in electrophysiologic test results between manifest ATTRv-PNP, and asymptomatic mutTTR-carriers. Pearson’s correlation coefficients were calculated for additional correlation analyses between results from NCS and MTR/CSA data. Additional data simulation and visualization of the MTR was performed using qMTLab within MatLab 9.6 (J.K.; J.M.H.; S.I.L.).

Statistical tests were two-tailed and an alpha level of significance was defined at \( P < 0.05 \). All results are documented as mean values ± SEM.

Results

Clinical and electrophysiologic data

The Table summarizes mean values ± SEM as well as the corresponding range of important clinical and electrophysiologic data. Based on clinical and electrophysiologic examination results, 30 participants of the ATTRv-PNP group were classified as asymptomatic mutTTR-carriers (13 males, 17 females, mean age 43.3 years, range 22–62), while 25 participants were identified as symptomatic ATTRv-PNP patients (18 males, 7 females, mean age 58.8 years, range 33–76). The age of our control group (mean age 44.3 years, range 22–73) was matched with the age of asymptomatic mutTTR-carriers. In accordance with our classification criteria, all asymptomatic mutTTR-carriers scored “0” in the NIS-LL. Symptomatic ATTRv-PNP patients presented with moderate to severe clinical symptoms and abnormalities in sensory and motor function tests (e.g., numbness, painful paresthesia, loss of temperature sensation, and weakness). The mean NIS-LL in this group was 27.9 ± 4.3 (range 3–61.5; Table 1). Symptomatic patients additionally suffered from wide-spread amyloid-related systemic manifestations, for example, cardiac, gastrointestinal, and autonomic dysfunction, or carpal tunnel syndrome. Val30Met was the most prevalent point mutation found in 14 out of 25 asymptomatic mutTTR-carriers (56%) and in 15 out of 30 patients with symptomatic ATTRv-PNP (50%). Other mutations in our
Table 1. Summary of clinical and electrophysiologic data.

| Parameter                  | Symptomatic ATTRv-PNP | Asymptomatic mutTTR-carriers | P value |
|----------------------------|-----------------------|------------------------------|---------|
| NIS-LL score [0–88]        | 27.9 ± 4.3            | 0                            | <0.0001 |
| Peroneal nerve DML [msec]  | 5.3 ± 0.4             | 3.6 ± 0.1                    | <0.0001 |
| Peroneal nerve CMAP [mV]   | 2.0 ± 0.6             | 8.8 ± 0.7                    | <0.0001 |
| Peroneal nerve NCV [msec]  | 40.6 ± 2.2            | 50.6 ± 0.8                   | 0.0002  |
| Tibial nerve DML [msec]    | 4.7 ± 0.3             | 3.4 ± 0.1                    | 0.0004  |
| Tibial nerve CMAP [mV]     | 3.6 ± 1.1             | 19.3 ± 1.4                   | <0.0001 |
| Tibial nerve NCV [msec]    | 42.1 ± 1.8            | 51.4 ± 0.7                   | <0.0001 |
| Sural nerve SNAP [μV]      | 3.6 ± 1.6             | 15.2 ± 1.2                   | <0.0001 |
| Sural nerve NCV [msec]     | 45.6 ± 2.9            | 55.5 ± 1.4                   | 0.0040  |

All results are presented as mean values ± SEM. 
CMAP, compound muscle action potential; DML, distal motor latency; NCV, nerve conduction velocity; NIS-LL, Neuropathy Impairment Score in the Lower Limbs; SNAP, sensory nerve action potential.

cohort were Ile107Val (8x), Cys10Arg (4x), Leu58His (4x), Phe333Leu (3x) Ile84Asn (2x), Ala45Thr (2x), Val201Ile (2x), Val122Ile (1x), Glu54Gly (1x), Ile84Thr (1x), Ser50Arg (1x), Thr106Asn (1x). NCS revealed normal results of peroneal and tibial DMLs, CMAPs, and NCVs, as well as of sural SNAPs and NCVs in all asymptomatic mutTTR-carriers. In the symptomatic group, NCS confirmed the clinical findings of a PNP (i.e., NIS-LL score > 0) in 22 out of 25 patients by pathologic NCS findings, indicating either sensory, motor, or combined sensorimotor peripheral nerve lesions. The three patients with normal NCS findings in this group were classified as clinically symptomatic solely based on clearly pathologic NIS-LL scores (5, 9, and 15). Mean values of all analyzed NCS parameters are given in Table 1.

Magnetization transfer ratio

Sciatic nerve MTR was markedly different between the three groups (symptomatic ATTRv-PNP, asymptomatic mutTTR-carriers, healthy controls; one-way ANOVA P < 0.0001, f-value = 22.92). Post hoc comparisons showed a larger CSA in symptomatic ATTRv-PNP (34.3 ± 1.7 mm²; median: 34.1 mm²) than in controls (20.4 ± 1.2 mm², P < 0.0001; median: 18.2 mm²) and also in asymptomatic mutTTR-carriers (26.0 ± 1.1 mm²; median: 26.3 mm²) compared to controls (P = 0.0180). Marked differences in sciatic nerve CSA between symptomatic and asymptomatic ATTRv-PNP participants were also observed (P = 0.0001; Figs. 1B and 2). Subgroup analyses for different clinical severities revealed higher sciatic nerve CSA in mildly/moderately affected ATTRv-PNP patients (32.1 ± 2.2 mm²) compared to mutTTR-carriers (P = 0.0263) and compared to controls (P < 0.0001), while differences between mild/moderate and severe ATTRv-PNP were not significant (P = 0.17).

Sciatic nerve CSA inversely correlated with peroneal NCV (ATTRv-PNP: r = −0.571, P = 0.0329; mutTTR-carriers: r = −0.386, P = 0.0387) and tibial NCV (ATTRv-PNP: r = −0.526, P = 0.0365; mutTTR-carriers: r = −0.394, P = 0.0343). A positive correlation between sciatic nerve CSA and tibial nerve DMLs (r = 0.498, P = 0.0498), as well as a negative correlation with sural nerve SNAP amplitudes (r = −0.399, P = 0.0476) was
found in symptomatic ATTRv-PNP only. A correlation between peroneal nerve DMLs, peroneal and tibial CMAP amplitudes, and sural NCVs was not identifiable in asymptomatic mutTTR-carriers and symptomatic ATTRv-PNP. In addition, sciatic nerve CSA did not correlate with the NIS-LL.

Discussion

A rapidly progressive, distal-symmetric, axonal, sensorimotor amyloidosis and leads to a significant decline in patients' quality of life, affecting mobility, daily life activities, and the ability to work. Novel cost-intensive drug therapies were recently approved by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) and the European Medicines Agency (EMA). Initial results were promising when therapy was started early, and showed a decrease or cessation of disease progression, and in some cases even an improvement of clinical symptoms. Few studies suggest the potential use of serum or CSF neurofilaments as biomarkers in different neurologic disorders, and one study indicates that plasma neurofilament light chain concentration is increased and correlates with the clinical severity in ATTRv-PNP. However, there are still no proven biomarkers for (1) the early detection of nerve lesions that are not detectable by NCS, and (2) for the monitoring of peripheral nerve impairment under therapy. Recent studies suggest that the quantitative MRN parameter $\rho$ might become the first imaging biomarker in ATTRv-PNP, but the underlying macromolecular alterations leading to the observed increase of $\rho$ remain unclear in ATTRv-PNP and in other diffuse neuropathies compared to controls.

Here, we report on the first study that applied MTC imaging in asymptomatic and symptomatic ATTRv-PNP. Our results demonstrate that the MTR of the sciatic nerve was highest in healthy controls, decreased in asymptomatic mutTTR-carriers, and decreased even more in patients with manifest ATTRv-PNP, independent of the underlying $TTR$ gene mutation. Moreover, we found a trend toward a lower MTR in severely affected ATTRv-PNP patients compared to patients with only mild to moderate PNP. Additional evaluation of sciatic nerve CSA confirmed findings from previous MRN studies in ATTRv-PNP, in that nerve CSA increased in asymptomatic mutTTR-carriers and even more in manifest ATTRv-PNP compared to controls.

MTC imaging is an MRI technique that is sensitive to protons bound to macromolecular structures, such as myelin lipids or collagen. These bound protons have a very short T2 relaxation time preventing their signal to be
directly measured by conventional MRI sequences. MTC imaging overcomes this shortcoming by using an off-resonance pulse to saturate macromolecular bound protons. These saturated protons then exchange with free water protons and produce a decrease in signal intensity of the free water protons, allowing their visualization. This can be measured and quantified by computing the MTR.

Various MRI studies in demyelinating disorders of the central nervous system (CNS), including MS, demonstrated that changes of the MTR reflect the integrity of myelin structures and can thus be used for detecting and monitoring disease activity in MS patients. Another histopathologic study focusing on axonal loss, investigated MS lesions as depicted by MRI in a large postmortem sample, and found a strong correlation between MTR and axonal density. Furthermore, several longitudinal MTC imaging studies in MS proved that this technique can assist in estimating treatment effects on remyelination and protection against tissue damage in response to different immunosuppressive therapies.

While results from these studies conducted in the CNS are promising, little is known about the potential of MTC imaging in the PNS. Findings from an experimental study investigating MTR characteristics of amphibian sciatic nerves in vitro at 3 Tesla magnetic field strength revealed that a change in MTR should not solely be regarded as an indicator for the degree of myelination, but should also consider axonal density. Recent studies in healthy human volunteers proved that measuring the MTR in the median nerve and in foot nerves is feasible and directly applicable on standard clinical 3 Tesla MR scanners. The first and hitherto sole clinical application study of MTC imaging in a peripheral neuropathy demonstrated a strong correlation between decreasing sciatic nerve MTR values and higher grades of disability in patients with demyelinating or axonal variants of Charcot–Marie–Tooth disease (CMT1A; CMT2A).

Our results are in line with these findings and go beyond: a decrease in sciatic nerve MTR not only correlated with increasing clinical severity in ATTRv-PNP (as determined by the NIS-LL score; Table 1) but also differentiated between asymptomatic mutTTR-carriers and healthy controls, suggesting that a critical peripheral nerve damage precedes the symptomatic stage of the disease. By positively correlating with both, peroneal and tibial CMAP, and sural SNAP amplitudes in manifest ATTRv-PNP (Table 1), MTR reflects the extent of motor and sensory axonal degeneration. This is clinically relevant because MTR is still measurable and hence applicable for disease monitoring at more advanced PNP stages when neither CMAPs nor SNAPs are elicitable anymore. However, at this stage of the disease, patients can still be ambulant with or without assistance. Therewith, they are eligible and might benefit from one of the TTR knock-
down drugs, patisiran or inotersen, both being approved for ATTRv-PNP at familial amyloid polyneuropathy (FAP) stages 1 or 2, or from the TTR stabilizing drug tafamidis that, at least in Europe, is approved for FAP stage 1.48

ATTRv-PNP first manifests as small-fiber injury and then rapidly progresses by affecting larger, myelinated nerve fibers with a consecutive pathologic decrease of potentials in NCS, predominantly of sensory fibers. Early diagnosis of PNP and quick initiation of pharmacologic treatment is essential in the follow-up management of mutTTR-carriers as soon as they become clinically symptomatic. Here, MTR might be a helpful additional diagnostic tool that has high sensitivity for detecting very early PNP manifestations or even presymptomatic disease as sciatic nerve MTR positively correlated with sural SNAP amplitudes in our asymptomatic mutTTR-carrier cohort with consistently normal sural SNAPs.

A second clinically relevant scenario relates to the detection of disease progression during pharmacotherapy. At this stage of the disease, a selected therapy can still be switched, as at least one stage-dependent therapeutic alternative is available in case of progression. However, the established FAP stages according to Coutinho are above all geared to patient’s ambulation.49 Facing the variety of approved innovative pharmacotherapeutic options, this historic PNP stage classification is not anymore sensitive enough to objectify first signs of PNP progression on time. Therefore, novel biomarkers are needed to identify PNP progression under a given pharmacotherapy as timely and objectively as possible, that is, before it comes to a clinically relevant impairment of motor skills including ambulation. Future studies are required to test whether MTR can be further developed as a valid intrindividuval marker that sensitively indicates PNP progression during therapy.

Unlike MTR, CSA (a MRN measure for nerve caliber) increased from healthy controls to mutTTR-carriers and further to ATTRv-PNP patients (Figs. 1B and 2) and correlated with electroneurographic parameters that are commonly ascribed to functions of the myelin sheath, that is, NCV and DML. In primary axonal PNP s such as in ATTRv-PNP, those NCS parameters do not become pathologic until a critical threshold of axonal injury is exceeded which is typically not the case at early disease stages. Moreover, a negative correlation of CSA with sural SNAPs was only given in symptomatic ATTRv-PNP, but not in asymptomatic mutTTR-carriers. Together with the finding that only the MTR correlated with the NIS-LL and thus with the clinical PNP stage, our data favor MTR to be a more promising imaging marker than CSA, even though both, MTR and CSA, can almost equally differentiate between healthy controls, asymptomatic mutTTR-carriers, and symptomatic ATTRv-PNP patients. Furthermore, a change in MTR represents a change in the macromolecular composition or rather in the pool of protons bound to macromolecules in nerve tissue, and is therewith more likely than CSA to early indicate a response or nonresponse to treatment when applied for therapeutic monitoring in the future.

This study is limited by a significant age difference between symptomatic ATTRv-PNP patients on the one hand (mean age 58.8 years), and asymptomatic mutTTR-carriers (mean age 43.3 years) and healthy controls (mean age 44.3 years) on the other. Due to the natural course of the disease, age differences between asymptomatic mutTTR-carriers and clinically symptomatic patients cannot be reasonably controlled as ATTRv-PNP manifests and progresses with increasing age. These age differences seem to be negligible due to an expected higher effect size in the symptomatic ATTRv-PNP group. In addition, confounding factors such as age, that have the potential to influence study results, are more likely to affect group comparisons between asymptomatic mutTTR-carriers and healthy controls than between symptomatic ATTRv-PNP and healthy controls. Therefore, we decided to match the age of our healthy controls with the age of the asymptomatic mutTTR-carriers. Restrictively, a previous study applying MTC imaging in healthy controls showed a potential effect of age on sciatic nerve MTR with an age-dependent MTR decrease.25 Even though we cannot fully exclude that the older age in our manifest ATTRv-PNP patients mildly amplified the observed MTR decrease, one has to consider that in the mentioned control study i) age differences averaged 32.5 years and were therewith much higher than the age differences in our study (approximately 15 years), and ii) most importantly, age differences were not observable when evaluating the sciatic nerve MTR at either proximal or distal thigh positions only.25

Previous MRN work proved that the peripheral nerve lesion pattern observed in ATTRv-PNP is non-diffuse and that the thigh level is the site of predominant nerve injury.15 Furthermore, the MTR of intact lower extremity nerves does not show a proximal-to-distal gradient so that referencing MTR values for anatomical nerve location is not required.25 Both facts contribute to a short MRI protocol with a reasonable total acquisition time which can be easily integrated into routine clinical follow-up examinations. However, further rigorous studies are needed to determine the future role of MRN in the clinical management of ATTRv-PNP patients and asymptomatic mutTTR-carriers.

In conclusion, this study shows that (i) MTC imaging of the sciatic nerve at the thigh level is clinically feasible,
(ii) MTR can be used to quantify macromolecular changes in ATTRv-PNP, and iii) MTR differentiates between symptomatic ATTRv-PNP and asymptomatic mutTTR-carriers with high sensitivity while correlating well with electrophysiologic examination results, and the NIS-LL. To prove the validity of MTR as a robust imaging biomarker in comparison with the other two recently established quantitative MRN markers, T2app and especially ρ, intraindividual longitudinal comparisons are needed and are already subject of ongoing investigations. With its ability to give an inside view into nerve tissue integrity in vivo, MTC imaging might then help to better monitor both, ATTRv-PNP patients on causative pharmacotherapies, and asymptomatic mutTTR-carriers.
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