Natural history of *Camponotus renggeri* and *Camponotus rufipes* (Hymenoptera: Formicidae) in an Atlantic Forest reserve, Brazil
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Abstract

Widespread species face a wide variety of environmental challenges and their morphology, behavior, and natural history may change across their range. However, not rarely, natural history research is restricted to one or few locations. That is the case for *Camponotus renggeri* and *C. rufipes*. Both species occur across South America in different ecosystems, but most research on these species is restricted to the Brazilian savanna, known as Cerrado. Here, we describe the foraging area, nesting habits, and activity schedule of *C. renggeri* and *C. rufipes* in an Atlantic Forest reserve in SE Brazil. Inferred intraspecific foraging areas of nearby nests overlapped, especially for *C. renggeri* foraged exclusively during nighttime and *C. rufipes* remained active throughout the day, but with little intensity during daylight hours. Most nests of both species were composed of dry straw, and average foraging areas were 0.91 m² for *C. renggeri* and 1.79 m² for *C. rufipes*. *C. renggeri*. Our findings reinforce the importance of natural history and add to our knowledge on the ecology and behavior of *C. renggeri* and *C. rufipes* in Atlantic Forest.
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The closely related carpenter ants *Camponotus renggeri* (Emery 1894) and *C. rufipes* (Fabricius 1775) have been extensively studied in terms of physiology (Takahashi-Del-Bianco et al. 1998; Galizia et al. 1999), natural history (Ronque et al. 2016, 2018) and genetics (Azevedo-Silva et al. 2015, 2020; Ronque et al. 2016; De Aguiar et al. 2017). These species are morphologically similar, being distinguished mainly by the brightness of their tegument and by the color of their legs. Both species are commonly found on vegetation, where they feed on liquid resources from extrafloral nectaries and trophobionts (Oliveira & Freitas 2004; Ronque et al. 2018). Despite similarities in distribution and interactions with plants, these species differ with respect to nest architecture, foraging areas, and breeding systems. Foraging areas of *C. renggeri* are usually smaller than *C. rufipes*, and are not affected by seasonality, whereas *C. rufipes* had a twofold increase in foraging area between dry and rainy seasons (Ronque et al. 2018). Finally, although both species exhibit facultative polygyny, non-related queens are found in polygynous colonies of *C. renggeri*, whereas closely related *C. rufipes* queens formed polygynous colonies and exhibit higher mating frequency (Azevedo-Silva et al. 2020). *C. renggeri* occupies nests built in dead tree trunks or underground, whereas *C. rufipes* may also construct nests out of dry straw (Ronque et al. 2016, 2018; Azevedo-Silva et al. 2020). Such findings are majorly based on studies performed in the Brazilian savanna, the Cerrado. *Camponotus rufipes* and *C. renggeri* are widespread species that also occur in the Atlantic Forest (Janicki et al., 2016), which is markedly different from Cerrado by seasonal precipitation and plant communities. They are both classified as hotspots (Myers et al. 2000), and present highly diverse vegetation physiognomies. Cerrado is characterized by an annual rainfall of 800–2000 mm, with a very strong dry season during winter and fire-adapted flora (Oliveira-Filho & Ratter 2002). Compared to Cerrado, Atlantic Forest presents wider latitudinal, longitudinal and altitudinal ranges and it is usually found in...
areas with higher precipitation, with an annual rainfall of 1100–3600 mm (Oliveira-Filho & Fontes 2000). Most data on foraging area, nesting habits, and activity schedule of *C. renggeri* and *C. rufipes* were collected in Cerrado areas (Ronque et al. 2016, 2018), but little is known about natural history traits of these species in the Atlantic forest. Given animal behavior is shaped by genetics, morphology, and physiology, which are, in turn, influenced by the environment (Goodenough et al. 2009), it is expected that the natural history of these species may also vary in these distinct biomes.

The main goal of this study was to investigate the natural history of *C. renggeri* and *C. rufipes* in a distinct environment, the Atlantic Forest. Specifically, we aimed to describe their foraging area, nesting habits, and activity schedule. Our study is the first step towards a broader understanding of how the ecology and behavior of tropical ant species may vary across different ecosystems.

Fieldwork was carried out in an Atlantic Forest fragment surrounded by the sea and urban environment, at Xixová-Japuí State Park, São Vicente, São Paulo in Southeast Brazil (23°59′33.3″ S, 46°23′21.7″ W). The Park is dominated by secondary ombrophilous forests, and some areas are at initial successional stage, with abundant herbaceous plants and low canopy cover (Fig. 1a). The region has a typically hot and humid climate, with mean annual temperature of 23.6 °C and mean annual precipitation of 2500 mm, being classified as tropical rainforest climate (Peel et al. 2007), which is environmentally contrasting to Cerrado areas.

Observations were performed from February to April 2019 and from May to July 2019. To investigate the co-occurrence of species, the study area was divided into ten plots (400 m² each, 4000 m² in total), where ants were searched for 20 min in each plot. In plots where they were found, we used sardine baits to find *C. renggeri* and *C. rufipes* nests. Additionally, we opportunistically found nests represent nests which were occupied by the end of the research, and X’s represent unoccupied nests by the end of the research. The larger dotted lines indicate trails of the at Xixová-Japuí State Park (PEXJ), in Southeast Brazil. The smaller dotted line represents an observed transportation route between nests.
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along the trails which were used for further analyses. Out of all the nests found, three to five of each species were tagged and characterized for activity schedule, nest architecture and foraging area.

Daily activity schedule was described by the total number of workers entering and exiting nests during sessions of 30 min, every 2 h, over a period of 24 h. To estimate foraging area, four *C. renggeri* and three *C. rufipes* nests were observed for three 5 h 20 min observation periods in three different days, during their peak activity period (see results), summing a maximum of 16 h of observation for each species. Workers exiting the nest were followed until they returned, and the furthest distance was recorded. These points were tagged and had their distance to the nest measured and relative geographical location determined with a compass. Foraging area was estimated using minimum convex polygons generated with the package adehabitatHR (Calenge 2006) in R 3.6.2 (R Core Team 2019). Due to low nest persistence, all observations of *C. renggeri* were carried out in the dry season, whereas observations of *C. rufipes* were performed during the rainy season. During fieldwork, additional natural history records were made for both species using video, photographs, and notes (total of 32 h of observations for each species). We obtained hourly averages of air temperature and humidity data for each day from Brazil’s National Institute for Space Research (INPE) automatic weather stations database.

Nests were found in only three plots, and none of them had nests of both species. A total of 15 *C. renggeri* (12 nests in the same plot, one in a second plot and two nests opportunistically found outside of the plots) and nine *C. rufipes* nests (all within the same plot) were identified and tagged. Within a six-month interval (February–July 2019), out of the total, eight (53.3%) *C. renggeri* and six (50%) *C. rufipes* nests were unoccupied, indicating low nest persistence (Fig. 1b, c). All *C. renggeri* nests found were built using dry straw (*N* = 15 nests), and some could have additional materials in their structure, such as freshly fallen leaves (*N* = 3) or dry leaves (*N* = 10). For *C. rufipes*, eight nests were built using dry straw as the main structural component, with four of them having dry leaves as additional material. A single underground nest belonging to this species was found. Many of these nests were suspended above ground, sustained by twigs (see Fig. S1).

For the observed activity schedule, the cumulative number of nests entrances/exits per 30-min interval for both species indicated that the period of peak activity was nocturnal, increasing after sunset (18:00 h) and decreasing before sunrise (06:00 h) (Fig. 2). Three *C. rufipes* nests were observed during hot/rainy season, with an average of 27.67 (SD: ± 8.38) workers sampled per nest. The observed foraging area for this species was 1.79 m² (SD: ± 0.79 m²; min.: 1 m²; max.: 2.87 m²). For *C. renggeri*, four nests were observed in dry/cold season, with an average of 12.5 (SD: ± 3.84) workers sampled, resulting in an average foraging area of 0.91 m² (SD: ± 1.10 m²; min.: 0.13 m²; max.: 2.81 m²). Although we were unable to find nests of both species in the same plot, and foraging area was small for the observed nests, we recorded major workers of *C. renggeri* and *C. rufipes* engaging in aggressive behavior towards each other when crossing paths, resulting in the decapitation of *C. rufipes* (Video S1). These observations suggested that these species may share foraging areas and competition may occur between them.

Our findings in the Atlantic Forest differ from those reported for other ecosystems, indicating that environment may play an important role in shaping *C. renggeri* and *C. rufipes* behavior. All but one *C. rufipes* nests characterized in this study were made of dry straw, similarly to the observed in the Cerrado and in the Argentinian “Chaco” (Weidemüller et al. 2009; Ronque et al. 2018). Conversely, *C. renggeri* also presented this same nest architecture, differing from the nests in dead trunks reported for this species in Cerrado (Ronque et al. 2018), whereas no nests of such type were found in the Atlantic Forest. It is known that vegetation may play a role in regulating availability of resources for nesting in ants (Ronque et al. 2018). The Atlantic Forest fragment where this research was conducted is dominated by herbaceous plants, thus, dead trunks are not as abundant as leaf litter. This may explain the prevalence of nests made of dry straw over other nest architectures (Silvestre et al. 2003). The difference found for *C. renggeri* nests between different ecosystems indicates that this species is able to modify its nesting behavior in response to resource availability in different environments. Additionally, our findings expand the repertoire of nesting strategies reported for the *Camponotus* genus (Pfeiffer and Linsenmair 2000; Santos et al. 2005; Tschinkel 2005; Yamamoto & Del-Claro 2008; Santos & Del-Claro 2009).

*Camponotus rufipes* and *C. renggeri* presented smaller foraging area compared to other *Camponotus* species [138.38 m² for *C. sericeiventris* (Yamamoto & Del-Claro 2008), ~230 m² for *C. cruentatus* (Alsina et al. 1988), ~1700 m² for *C. leydigi* (Soares and Oliveira 2021), ~8000 m² for *C. gigas* (Pfeiffer and Linsenmair 2000)]. Additionally, despite the impracticability of a direct comparison due to slight methodological differences, we observed smaller foraging areas than the smallest ones reported for these species in Cerrado (*C. renggeri*: 2.78 ± 1.76 m²; *C. rufipes*: 4.55 ± 3.41 m², Ronque et al. 2018). Also, in the Cerrado sensu stricto, *C. rufipes* average foraging area nearly doubled during rainy season (hot/rainy season: 9.83 ± 2.57 m², dry/cold: 4.55 ± 3.41 m²), whereas *C. renggeri* foraging area was not affected by different seasons (hot/rainy season: 2.98 ± 1.28 m², dry/cold: 2.78 ± 1.76 m²) (Ronque et al. 2018). Given our observations occurred in different seasons...
for *C. rufipes* and *C. renggeri*, it is unclear whether the foraging area estimated for *C. rufipes* is indeed larger than *C. renggeri* in the Atlantic Forest or if it is an effect of seasonality. However, the differences reported between biomes may be related to many factors, such as temperature, humidity, colony size, competition, and food availability (Breed et al. 1990; Gordon 1995; McGlynn et al. 2003; Ronque et al. 2018).

Beyond seasonal changes in foraging, daily changes in temperature, humidity and light may also influence a colony’s activity (Hölldobler and Wilson 1990). We showed that *C. renggeri* foraged exclusively during night in the cold/dry season, whereas *C. rufipes* remained active throughout day and night, but had predominant activity during nighttime in the hot/rainy season. Similar patterns were reported for this species in Cerrado, with *C. renggeri* activity being negatively affected by temperature and positively by humidity, whereas *C. rufipes* was not affected by these environmental conditions (Ronque et al. 2018). Indeed, nocturnal behavior is common among tropical ant species, presumably because it allows them to avoid high temperatures and low humidity during the day (Pfeiffer & Linsenmair 2000; Ronque et al. 2018). Additionally, temporal segregation can be a result of competition and resource sharing, particularly in exudate-feeding *Camponotus* species (Del-Claro & Oliveira 1999; Oliveira et al. 1999; Ronque et al. 2018). Accordingly, we recorded an aggressive encounter of major workers of *C. renggeri* and *C. rufipes*, which further suggest an antagonistic relationship between these species. However, because these ants can combine exudate with animal prey in their diets (Oliveira & Freitas 2004; Ronque et al. 2018), other factors besides competition and resource sharing could explain the observed difference in daily activity and the observed spatial partition between *C. renggeri* and *C. rufipes*. 
This work focused on the description of natural history traits of *Camponotus renggeri* and *C. rufipes* in the Atlantic Forest, an ecosystem where the ecology of these species is poorly explored. Our findings suggest that the behavior of studied ants may vary in different environments, shedding new light on the natural history of *Camponotus* species in tropical ecosystems. Identifying and understanding such variation is crucial to elaborate more complex and robust questions regarding ant ecology. Finally, we expect that our work encourages further investigation on ant natural history, which would be important to increase our knowledge on tropical systems.
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