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Abstract: This paper proposes a method to reduce the output voltage distortions in voltage source inverters (VSI) working with impedance networks. The three main reasons for the voltage distortions include a discontinuous current in the coils of the impedance network, the double output frequency harmonics in the VSI’s voltage output caused by insufficient capacitance in the impedance network, and voltage drops on the bridge switches during the shoot-through time. The first of these distortions can be reduced by increasing the current of the impedance network when the output VSI current is low. This method requires storing energy in the battery connected to the DC link of the VSI during the “non-shoot through” time. Furthermore, this solution can also be used when the Z-source inverter works with a photovoltaic cell to help it attain a maximum power point. The Z-source inverter is essentially a voltage source inverter with the Z-source in the input. In this paper, the theory behind basic impedance networks of Z-source and quasi-Z-source (qZ-source) is investigated where simulations of the presented solutions and experimental verification of the results are also presented.
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1. Introduction

The Z-source impedance network was proposed initially by Peng [1]. This type of DC/DC converter was increasing the input DC voltage that is connected to a single-phase or three-phase bridge voltage source inverter (VSI) which switches were used to store energy in the coils of a Z-source. During shoot-through time, energy is stored when both switches in one of the inverter bridge legs are activated. This is only possible only in zero states of the inverter. The modulation index $M$ is restricted to the equation $M = 1 - d_z$ where $d_z = T_{ST}/T_s$. The parameters $T_{ST}$, $T_s$, and $d_z$ represent the shoot-through time, switching period of the inverter, and shoot-through time coefficient, respectively.

For a Z-source, it is essential that the shoot-through time, $d_z$, is less than 0.5. A voltage source inverter with a Z-source is known as the Z-source inverter (ZSI). An impedance network can function simply as a DC/DC converter with one additional switch in its output realizing shoot-through time but without an inverter. The input current of the Z-source is discontinuous (discontinuous input current—DIC) so Peng showed the changed structure of the impedance network [2,3]. When a diode usually connected in series with the input is replaced, this structure is called a qZ-source. As a result of this modification, the new quasi-Z-source inverter (qZSI) structure is characterized by a continuous input current (CIC) which has improved the use of an impedance network in photovoltaic (PV) systems [4]. Various methods of improving impedance networks structures have been developed [5] and a suitable example is the switched inductor Z-source inverter (SLZSI) [6]. The benefit of using these improved converters is a higher boost factor of the input DC voltage than in the qZSI. Other existing impedance network structures include the embedded SLZSI [7], an inductor-capacitor-capacitor-transformer Z-source (LCCTZSI) [8,9], and a cascaded quasi-Z-source (CqZSI) [10]. The two-winding magnetically coupled impedance source (MCIS) impedance network with a continuous input current [11] has a
high boost factor. The impedance network circuit based on three coupled inductors with a delta (Δ) connection is presented in [12] and further developed in [13]. The networks found in references [11] and [12] respectively were functional where an additional switch was used without an inverter. A broad review of the impedance network topologies is presented in [14,15], amongst other newly developed solutions based on impedance networks [16–20]. Additionally, several methods of controlling impedance networks have been considered which can be reviewed in [21,22]. However, the symmetric structure of a Z-source with discontinuous input current due to a diode connected in series (Figure 1), and an asymmetric quasi-Z-source (Figure 2) with maximum boost control is sufficient to show the influence of an impedance network on VSI output voltage distortions and proposed ways of reducing these distortions.

![Diagram](image)

**Figure 1.** (a) Non-shoot-through state and (b) shoot-through state of the Z-source impedance network with the VSI.

Further investigation of these improved network structures has shown that the power efficiency of these systems including the decreased efficiency of the inverter is lower than the efficiency of basic structures. Owing to this decreased efficiency the real boost factor is also much lower than expected [23]. It is worth mentioning that significant differences in recorded levels of radiated disturbances can be expected depending on the type of impedance network structure used [24]. Unfortunately, additional losses in the switches of the VSI during the shoot-through time are observed when switches are absent in the impedance networks. Comparing the performance of a boost converter [23,25], it can be shown that the VSI with an input synchronous boost converter can have a higher efficiency than the same inverter with an impedance network.
The basic structures of Z-source and qZ-source impedance networks are utilized today in photovoltaic systems [26]. The main disadvantage of these impedance networks lies in the discontinuous current mode (DCM) where the current in the inductors is equal to zero for a time period during $T_s$ where there is a low load of the VSI and a low $d_Z$ coefficient. This is the main reason for the VSI output voltage distortions as shown in Figure 3a,b. By calculating a sufficiently large inductance of the coils [23,27,28] and selecting an appropriate magnetic material [29] for the lowest load while assuming the value of $d_Z$, the current in the coils should not decrease to zero. During operation, it cannot be guaranteed that the load current will be nominal. Thus, the additional current taken from the impedance network is a solution of DCM omitting for a low load current.

Another reason for output distortions is the insufficient capacity of Z-source capacitors. Input current from a VSI bridge is like a “rectified” waveform that is filtered by the LC input network and is approximately the first harmonic of the “rectified” current at 100 Hz. This means that 100 Hz distortion is present in the 50 Hz output waveform as shown in Figure 3c. For the insufficient capacity, the output sinusoidal waveform is left-skewed [23,27]. The third type of VSI output distortions are observed after crossing zero output voltage caused by the additional voltage drops on the switched-on transistors during the shoot-through time (see Figure 3a–c), thus causing oscillations after a change of polarization in the PWM voltage. The impedance network influences the dynamic properties of an entire ZSI [23,27,28] which introduces additional resonant frequencies and the additional damping to the Bode plots of the ZSI. The main objective of this paper is to demonstrate how charging the battery from a DC-link after the impedance network during the non-shoot through times can reduce output distortions caused by the DCM of the impedance network. However, charging a battery with too high a current can lead to distortions of the output voltage after the voltage current is zero crossing and oscillations as the result of the higher voltage drops on the switches during the shoot-through time. Experimental results presented will show how charging the battery for a Z-source decreases the output of total harmonic distortions (THD) even in the case when a sophisticated feedback loop, for example, a passivity-based control (PBC), is used.
4 Basic Impedance Networks: Z-Source and qZ-Source

The Z-source and qZ-source impedance networks shown in Figures 1 and 2, respectively, can operate in different states. Two basic states were taken into account during analysis and these include the shoot-through and the non-shoot-through states. The non-shoot-through state is depicted in Figures 1a and 2a, while the shoot-through state [23,27,28] is shown in Figures 1b and 2b.

The Z-source has a symmetrical structure where the values of the inductors are equal i.e., \(L_{Z1} = L_{Z2}\). Similarly, the values of capacitors are the same, i.e., \(C_{Z1} = C_{Z2}\), and the currents in both inductors are the same, i.e., \(i_{lZ1} = i_{lZ2}\). In the qZ-source, the currents in both coils are the same and are identical to the Z-source coils currents (neglecting the influence of the different parasitic resistances) if coils have equal inductances.

The amplitude of the VSI output voltage \(V_{\text{OUTmax}}\) for the ZSI and qZSI is defined in Equation (1) as

\[
V_{\text{OUTmax}} = \eta K V_M V_{\text{DC}} = \eta \frac{M}{1-2dZ} V_{\text{DC}}
\]
where $\eta$ is the efficiency, $V_{DC}$ is the input voltage, $M$ is the VSI modulation coefficient, and $k_V'$ is the DC voltage boost factor of the impedance network without power losses [23,27,28].

It is assumed that the capacitance $C_Z$ in the Z-source and $qZ$-source networks are sufficiently high. The average voltage on the capacitors of the Z-source and the $C_Z$ capacitor of the $qZ$-source are identical to the average voltage $V_{LZav}$ on the inductors [23,27,28] given in Equation (2) as follows:

$$V_{LZ1av} = V_{LZ2av} = V_{LZ} = \frac{1 - d_Z}{1 - 2d_Z} V_{DC}$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

The input power $P_{IN}$ and output power $P_{OUT}$ of the VSI connected to the impedance networks for a Z-source or $qZ$-source can be calculated using Equations (3)–(5):

$$P_{IN} = V_{DC} I_{DCav} = V_{DC} I_{LZav}$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

$$P_{OUT} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \eta \frac{M}{1 - 2d_Z} V_{DC} I_{OUTrms} = \eta V_{DC} I_{LZav}$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)

$$P_{OUT} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \eta \frac{M}{1 - 2d_Z} V_{DC} I_{OUTrms} = \eta V_{DC} I_{LZav}$$  \hspace{1cm} (5)

where $I_{LZav}$ is a single inductor current averaged over the fundamental period $T_m$.

For the simplest case of the resistive ZSI load, $R_{LOAD}$ the output power can be defined Equation (6) as

$$P_{OUT} = \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \eta \frac{M}{1 - 2d_Z} V_{DC} \right)^2 \frac{1}{R_{LOAD}} = \eta V_{DC} I_{LZav}$$  \hspace{1cm} (6)

And the average inductor current $I_{LZav}$ for the root mean square (rms) value of the inverter output current $I_{OUTrms}$ is given Equation (7) as

$$I_{LZav} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \frac{M}{1 - 2d_Z} I_{OUTrms}$$  \hspace{1cm} (7)

The $i_{LZ}$ inductor current illustrated in Figure 4a comprises three components. These components are the average current $I_{LZav}$, the current $i_{LZ2fm}$ which is averaged in the $T_s$ switching period, and the triangle component $i_{LZ\Delta}$ of the inductor current. The current $i_{LZ2fm}$ has the double fundamental frequency caused by the envelope of the input current of the VSI bridge in the non-shoot-through time while the triangle component inductor current $i_{LZ\Delta}$ is caused by storing energy in the coil during the shoot-through time and recovering energy in the rest of the switching period (in CCM). A plot of the VSI input current is displayed in Figure 4b.

The inductor current $i_{LZ}$ is defined in Equation (8) as

$$i_{LZ}(t) = I_{LZav} + i_{LZ2fm}(t) + i_{LZ\Delta}(t)$$  \hspace{1cm} (8)

Figure 4 shows plots of a Z-source or $qZ$-source impedance network coil current and an inverter input current including shoot-through current pulses for cases of maximum and close to zero crossing of the inverter output voltage (in CCM).

This most important harmonic component $2f_m$ of the VSI bridge input current flows through the $L_ZC_Z$ circuit of the impedance network as shown in Equation (9). It is assumed that all power losses are within the impedance network including the power losses on the VSI switches during the shoot-through time.

$$i_{LZH2fm}(abs(i_{LOAD}(t))) = \frac{4}{3\pi} \sqrt{2} I_{OUTrms} \cos(4\pi f_m t) \left| \frac{1}{1 - (4\pi f_m)^2 C_Z} \right|$$  \hspace{1cm} (9)
current $i_{LZ\Delta}$ is caused by storing energy in the coil during the shoot-through time and recovering energy in the rest of the switching period (in CCM). A plot of the $V_{SI}$ input current is displayed in Figure 4b.

The inductor current $i_{LZ}$ is defined in Equation (8) as

$$i_{LZ}(t) = I_{LZ\text{av}} + i_{LZ2}(f_m t) + i_{LZ\Delta}(t)$$

Figure 4 shows plots of a Z-source or qZ-source impedance network coil current and an inverter input current including shoot-through current pulses for cases of maximum and close to zero crossing of the inverter output voltage (in CCM).

This most important harmonic component $2f_m$ of the VSI bridge input current flows through the $LZC_Z$ circuit of the impedance network as shown in Equation (9). It is as-

$$i_{LZ\Delta}(t) \approx \frac{V_{CZ\text{av}}}{L_Z} t, \quad i_{LZ\Delta\text{max}} = \frac{V_{CZ\text{av}}}{L_Z} \frac{1-d_Z}{2d_Z}V_{DC}d_ZT_s, \quad i_{LZ\Delta\text{max}} = \sqrt{1}\frac{1-d_Z}{1-d_M}V_{\text{OUT\text{rms}}}d_ZT_s \tag{10}$$

Consequently, the inductor current can be defined Equation (11) as

$$i_{LZ}(t) = \left[ \frac{1}{2} \frac{M}{1 - 2d_Z} + \frac{4}{3\pi} \sqrt{2} \cos(4\pi f_m t) \frac{1}{1 - (4\pi f_m)^2 L_Z C_Z} \right] I_{\text{OUT\text{rms}}} + i_{LZ\Delta}(t) \tag{11}$$

Figure 4. A Z-source or qZ-source impedance network (a) coil current and (b) the VSI input current including shoot-through current pulses (that do not supply inverter) in the case of wide (for the maximum of the output inverter voltage) and short (close to zero crossing of the output inverter voltage) inverter PWM pulses in the CCM.
The lowest value of the inductor current is calculated Equation (12) as

\[ i_{LZ_{\text{min}}} (t) = \left[ \frac{1}{2} \frac{M}{1 - 2d_Z} - \frac{4\sqrt{2}}{3\pi} \frac{1}{1 - (4\pi f_m)^2 L_Z C_Z} \right] I_{\text{OUTrms}} - \frac{1}{2} i_{LZ_{\Delta \text{max}}} \]  

(12)

As shown in Figure 4a, the requirement for CCM is that \( i_{LZ_{\text{min}}} \) must be greater than 0. This phenomenon is expressed in Equation (13) as

\[ \left[ \frac{1}{2} \frac{M}{1 - 2d_Z} - \frac{4\sqrt{2}}{3\pi} \frac{1}{1 - (4\pi f_m)^2 L_Z C_Z} \right] I_{\text{OUTrms}} - \frac{1}{2} \frac{1 - d_Z}{\sqrt{2} L_Z} \eta M V_{\text{OUTrms}} d_Z T_s > 0 \]  

(13)

From Figure 5a, the absolute value of load impedance expressed in Equation (14) should be lower in value (but always positive) than the value calculated in Equation (14) for CCM for the assigned parameters: \( d_Z, L_Z, \) and \( C_Z, M = 1 - d_Z \).

\[ |Z_{\text{LOAD}}| < \frac{\eta M L_Z}{(1 - d_Z) d_Z T_s} \left( \frac{M}{\sqrt{2}} \frac{1 - 2d_Z}{3\pi} - \frac{8}{3\pi} \frac{1}{1 - (4\pi f_m)^2 L_Z C_Z} \right) \]  

(14)

Figure 5. (a) Maximum load impedance, and (b) minimum output current, that keeps the impedance network in the continuous current mode.
As shown in Figure 5b, the minimum output current for CCM is given Equation (15) as

\[ I_{\text{OUT \, rms}} > \frac{1 - d_Z}{M_L Z_1} V_{\text{DC}} d_Z T_s \]

\[ \frac{1}{1 - d_Z} \frac{\sqrt{2}}{M \pi} \frac{1}{1 - (4 \pi f_m)^2 L_Z C_Z} \] (15)

The impedance network (Figure 5b) operates in the CCM for the ZSI load current \( I_{\text{OUT \, rms}} \) higher than the value calculated from Equation (15) for assigned \( L_Z = 1 \) mH and three parameters: \( V_{\text{DC}} \), \( d_Z \), and \( C_Z \). The modulation index \( M \) has the assigned maximum possible value \( M = 1 - d_Z \).

In Figure 6, the continuous current mode is illustrated where the output voltage of the ZSI is undistorted.

![Image](image-url)

Figure 6. CCM waveforms of (a) the \( I_{LZ} \) coil current, ZSI output voltage, and inverter PWM pulses, and (b) the undistorted inverter output voltage.

Figure 7 presents the DCM where two cases can be distinguished. From this figure, the distortions of the output voltage are small when the output voltage is below the maximum. When the output voltage is closer to the maximum, the distortions are higher, and the output voltage maximum is lower than expected. For the large VSI output capacitor the VSI output and PWM envelope voltages are shifted when the large VSI output capacitor e.g., \( C_F = 50 \mu F \) is used. As shown in Figure 7, the short PWM pulses are undistorted in DCM.
while the wide pulses are distorted, and the output voltage is lower. The simulation of a DCM operation using the Z-source is presented in Figure 8 for the third PWM modulation schema [30]. The variables used to obtain the measured plots in Figure 8 are given as: $C_F = 1 \mu F$, $d_Z = 0.3$, $M = 0.65$, $R_{LOAD} = 1000 \Omega$, 3rd modulation schema.

![Simulated DCM waveforms for inverter capacitors.](image)

**Figure 7.** Measured DCM waveforms of the $L_Z$ coil current, ZSI output voltage, and the inverter’s PWM wide and short pulses for $C_F = 1 \mu F$ and 50 $\mu F$ inverter capacitors.

![Simulated DCM waveforms for inverter capacitors.](image)

**Figure 8.** Simulated DCM waveforms for inverter $C_F = 1 \mu F$, $d_Z = 0.3$, $M = 0.65$, $R_{LOAD} = 1000 \Omega$, 3rd modulation schema.

### 3. Controlled Energy Flow—Charging the Battery

Similar results of measurement shown in Figure 7 and simulations in Figure 8 demonstrate that further simulations of the controlled energy flow i.e., charging the battery is useful. The basic solution is an efficient multi-input-single-output (MISO) [31] feedback that can decrease total harmonic distortions (THD) [23,27]. In addition, MISO feedback can decrease two other types of output voltage distortions [27]. However, for systems supplied by varying the DC supply voltage, for example, photovoltaic cells, the controlled energy flow to the batteries, which keeps the CCM, can be used. It is recommended that the battery is charged with a current that is a function of the difference between the calculated value of $I_{OUT_{trans}}$ and averaged (10 Hz low pass filter) VSI output current $I_{OUT_{rms}}$ as shown in
Figure 9 (if this difference is negative the charging battery current is equal to zero). The actual difference of these currents \( I_{\text{OUT, \text{rms, min}}} - I_{\text{OUT, \text{rms}}} \) is recalculated (if positive) to match the required increase of the average \( I_{\text{L, \text{avg}}} \) current expressed in Equation (7). The battery can be charged only during the non-shoot-through state. Energy from the battery is discharged when \( V_{\text{DC}} \) decreases below the assumed value of \( V_{\text{DC, min}} \), the Z-source is switched off and the shoot-through pulses are blocked.

The idea of this system is presented in Figure 9 (for switches placed in the position of discharging the battery). When the battery returns energy, the following happens: the shoot-through pulses are stopped, and the 48 V battery is connected directly to the VSI. This battery voltage should be higher than the amplitude of the output sinusoidal voltage and the modulation index \( M \) of VSI is increased i.e., \( M_2 \) is greater than \( M_1 \) (Figure 9).

Figure 10a presents the simulated waveforms of the \( V_{\text{DC}} \) changed 24/12/24 V (the border value is set to 15 V) with the described automatic action from Figure 9 but without controlled charging the battery when Z-Source operates in the DCM. The following parameters were used in this scenario: \( d_z = 0.3, M_1 = 0.65, M_2 = 0.75 \) and \( R_{\text{LOAD}} = 1000 \Omega \). Figure 10b presents that same operation but with controlled charging of the battery for keeping Z-Source in the CCM. The current charging of the battery is calculated as \( I_{\text{BATT}} = f(I_{\text{OUT, \text{rms, min}}} - I_{\text{OUT, \text{rms}}} \) using Equation (15), where \( f \) is a function of Equation (7). The battery charging current \( I_{\text{BATT}} \) calculated from Equations (7) and (15) should be reduced because too high a value of the battery charging current leads to distortions of the VSI output voltage time after the output voltage is zero-crossing (see Figure 11b). These distortions are caused by the high voltage drops on the VSI switches during the shoot-through time. The presented (Figure 10b) reduction of the output voltage THD from 4.6% to 3% without any feedback loop is quite promising.
Figure 10. The waveforms of the DC input and AC output voltages of the ZSI switched from a mode of supplying the VSI from Z-source to the mode of supplying VSI from the battery in case of the low input DC voltage, (a) without controlled charging battery for Z-source in the DCM for the low load, and (b) with controlled charging battery for Z-source in CCM.

The presented simulations were verified in an experimental model using a 12 V battery (without discharging the battery) charged from the DC during \( d_B T_s \) pulses where \( d_B = 1 - d_Z \) (Figure 12). The feedback loop was the IPBC2 type presented in [27]. For the DCM mode of the Z-source, the output voltage distortions can be reduced by additional loading the impedance network by means of charging the battery from the DC link in the non-shoot through times.
Figure 11. Inverter output voltage (a) without charging battery, (b) the battery charging current directly equal to \( f(I_{\text{OUT} \text{rmsmin}} - I_{\text{OUT} \text{rms}}) \), where \( f \) is Equation (7), and (c) the battery charging with the reduced value of current.

Figure 12. Cont.
In this paper, a technique has been proposed to reduce output voltage distortions in voltage source inverters connected to impedance networks. The proposed method has been validated using simulations and experimentally under different operating conditions. It was discovered that by connecting a rechargeable battery to a DC link placed between an impedance network and a VSI and employing proper control of the battery charging current, the output voltage distortions can be significantly decreased.

Figure 12. (a) The inverter experimental set up and (b) inverter output voltage distortions comparison for an IPBC controller where \( R_{LOAD} = 2000 \) \( \Omega \), RMS battery charging currents: \( I_{BATT} = 0 \) (DCM of the Z-source), \( I_{BATT} = 120 \) mA and \( I_{BATT} = 200 \) mA (CCM of the Z-source), \( d_z = 0.3 \), and \( d_B = 1 - d_z \)—battery charging pulses coefficient.

The current source from Figure 9 was simply substituted with resistors. Charging the battery allowed for a substantial reduction of output voltage THD from 2.63% to 0.9%. For \( I_{BATT} = 120 \) mA, but THD increased to 0.97% for \( I_{BATT} = 200 \) mA. Further research will be on the use of battery charging current not only to reduce the distortions of the output voltage but also looking for a maximum power point (MPP) when the impedance network is supplied from the photovoltaic cell. The battery charging current can be controlled by the coefficient \( d_B \) for the input current of the impedance network would be closer to MPP.

4. Discussion

The presented results of the simulation and measurements of the experimental ZSI proved that charging the battery from the DC link between impedance network and VSI in the non-shoot-through time can seriously decrease the ZSI output voltage distortions keeping the impedance network in the CCM. The controlled energy flow solution is particularly predicted for the case of wide variations of the input DC voltage and variations of the load current. The output voltage distortions are decreased even when a strong feedback loop of the VSI is present. The controlled charging of the battery can help in the maximum power point tracking when the ZSI is supplied from the photovoltaic cell and this is the perspective of the further studies. In [23], three types of VSI output voltage distortions were distinguished. The controlled charging of the battery can cancel one of them but setting too high a value of this current increases the other reason for distortions.

5. Conclusions

In this paper, a technique has been proposed to reduce output voltage distortions in voltage source inverters connected to impedance networks. The proposed method has been validated using simulations and experimentally under different operating conditions. It was discovered that by connecting a rechargeable battery to a DC link placed between...
an impedance network and a VSI and employing proper control of the battery charging current during the non-shoot through time, the output voltage distortions in a system with or without feedback can be reduced when a continuous current mode of the impedance network is forced. However, too high a current charging the battery may increase other types of VSI output voltage distortions presented in Figure 11b caused by high voltage drops on the VSI switches during the shoot-through time. Furthermore, the battery charging current can be controlled to increase the impedance network input current to enable the system to reach the maximum power point when the DC source is a photovoltaic cell. The results presented in this paper thus demonstrate that the proposed method is suitable and can be applied in practice to real-time supply systems.
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