A variable ultra-luminous X-ray source in the colliding galaxy NGC 7714
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Abstract. We studied the colliding galaxy NGC 7714 with two XMM-Newton observations, six months apart. The galaxy contains two bright X-ray sources: we show that they have different physical nature. The off-nuclear source is an accreting compact object, one of the brightest ultraluminous X-ray sources (ULXs) found to date. It showed spectral and luminosity changes between the two observations, from a low/soft to a high/hard state; in the high state, it reached $L_x \approx 6 \times 10^{40}$ erg s$^{-1}$. Its lightcurve in the high state suggests variability on a $\approx 2$ hr timescale. Its peculiar location, where the tidal bridge between NGC 7714 and NGC 7715 joins the outer stellar ring of NGC 7714, makes it an interesting example of the connection between gas flows in colliding galaxies and ULX formation. The nuclear source ($L_x \approx 10^{41}$ erg s$^{-1}$) coincides with a starburst region, and is the combination of thin thermal plasma emission and a point-source contribution (with a power-law spectrum). Variability in the power-law component between the two observations hints at the presence of a single, bright point source ($L_x \gtrsim 3 \times 10^{40}$ erg s$^{-1}$): either a hidden AGN or another ULX.
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1. Introduction

XMM-Newton and Chandra studies of colliding or merging gas-rich galaxies at various stages of the evolutionary sequence (Toomre 1977) have revealed significant contribution to the X-ray emission both from diffuse hot gas, associated to starburst processes, and from accreting point sources, generally associated to a young stellar population. See, for example: the Mice (Read 2003); the Antennae (Zezas et al. 2002; Fabbiano et al. 2003a); M82 (Griffiths et al. 2000); the Cartwheel (Gao et al. 2003). A peculiar feature in many of these systems is the presence of accreting X-ray sources brighter than the Eddington limit for a stellar-mass black hole (BH) ($L_{\text{Edd}} \approx 10^{39}$ erg s$^{-1}$); they are commonly known as ultraluminous X-ray sources (ULXs). The ages and masses of the compact objects in ULXs, the nature of the donor stars, and the geometry of emission are still unclear, and hotly debated. It is also unclear precisely why ULXs are preferentially found in interacting galaxies (Swartz et al. 2003), and what this can reveal about their mechanism of formation.

The interacting system Arp 284 (Arp 1966) is an exceptional example of a recent ($\sim 100$–$200$ Myr ago), direct impact (Struck & Smith 2003). It consists of the nuclear starburst galaxy NGC 7714 (classified as SB(s)b pec¹) and its fainter, currently inactive companion NGC 7715 (Im pec). NGC 7714 is located at a redshift distance of 37.3 Mpc (Huchra et al. 1999, for $H_0 = 75$ km s$^{-1}$ Mpc$^{-1}$). It has a prominent stellar ring, three tidal arms/tails, and is connected to NGC 7715 by a gas and stellar bridge (Arp 1966). Its low inclination (viewing angle of $45^\circ$) and low foreground absorption ($n_H = 4.9 \times 10^{20}$ cm$^{-2}$; Dickey & Lockman 1990) make it a good target for X-ray studies.

ROSAT/HRI observations of NGC 7714 (Papaderos & Fricke 1998) have revealed two strong ($L_x > 10^{40}$ erg s$^{-1}$) X-ray sources, separated by $\approx 22"$. The brighter one coincides with the starburst nucleus; the fainter one is located approximately where the gas/stellar bridge joins a collisional stellar ring, but does not correlate with any bright counterpart at other wavelengths, nor is it located in a starburst region. It was suggested (Papaderos & Fricke 1998) that the off-nuclear source might be a compact region of hot shocked gas. This could be due either to the collision of a fast starburst-driven outflow with the colder, denser gas in the galactic bridge; or, to the infall of high-velocity H I clouds along the bridge onto the outer H I disk of NGC 7714 (a somewhat similar situation to accretion-disk hot spots in X-ray binaries). However, the limited wavelength coverage and resolution of ROSAT did not allow detailed individual analyses of the two sources.

Here we present some preliminary results of our XMM-Newton study of the system. We argue that the off-nuclear

¹ NED: NASA Extragalactic Database
2. Observations and data analysis

NGC 7714 was observed with all instruments on-board XMM-Newton on 2002 June 7 and 2002 December 8 (Table 1); we used the medium filter, full-frame mode for the EPIC detectors. We processed the Observation Data Files with standard tasks in Version 5.4 of the Science Analysis System (SAS). After inspecting the background fluxes, we rejected the initial ≈ 1 ks of the first exposure, which was affected by a background flare; we retained a live-time good-time-interval of 15.9 ks (for the MOSs) and 14.3 ks (for the pn). From the second observation, we used a live-time good-time-interval of 15.8 ks (MOSs) and 12.9 ks (pn). We filtered the event files, selecting only the best-calibrated events (pattern ≤ 12 for the MOSs, pattern ≤ 4 for pn), and rejecting flagged events. We checked and corrected the astrometry of the various images so that the position of the nuclear source coincided with the NED position (Clements 1983): R.A. (2000) = 23^h 36^m 14.1, Dec. (2000) = +02° 09′ 18′′.6

Firstly, we extracted source and background spectra for the nucleus and the bright off-nuclear source in NGC 7714, for each detector in each of the two exposures. The off-nuclear source is located at R.A. (2000) = 23^h 36^m 15.6, Dec. (2000) = +02° 09′ 23′′.5, i.e. ≈ 22′′ east of the nucleus (Figs. 1, 2). We estimate an error ≤ ±3′′, mostly due to the astrometry uncertainties; however, the error in the relative positions of the nuclear and off-nuclear sources is only ≈ 1′′. To reduce the contamination from the bright nearby nucleus, we used as source extraction region a circle of radius 11′′. We used as background region the union of three circles of 11′′ radius, centred at a distance of 22′′ from the nucleus, on the opposite side of the source, so that it would contain a similar contribution from the nuclear source. For the nucleus, we used an extraction circle of radius 15′′. The background region was chosen as the union of two circles of radius 15′′ centred at 22′′ from the nearby off-nuclear source. We tested the effect of different choices of background regions, until we were satisfied that the reciprocal contaminations of the two sources were properly subtracted.

We built response functions for each source with the SAS task rmfgen, and auxiliary response functions with arfgen. Given the small extraction radii used for the two overlapping sources, we corrected for the flux that is outside the extraction regions by setting “extendedsource=false”, “modele=true” in the arfgen parameters. We then fitted the background-subtracted spectra with standard models in XSPEC v.11.3 (Arnaud 1996); owing to the uncertainties in the EPIC responses at low energies, we used only the 0.3–12 keV range.
3. Main results

3.1. Starburst Nucleus

The nucleus of NGC 7714 is characterised by an active starburst that started \( \sim 10^8 \) yr ago, with an average star-formation rate \( \approx 1 M_\odot \) yr\(^{-1} \); the rate has probably been higher by a factor of a few during the most recent burst which started \( \sim 5 \) Myr ago (Lanc\^{o}n et al. 2001). Its optical, UV and IR properties are similar to those of the “prototypical” starburst galaxy M 82. In analogy with other starburst galaxies, we expect the nuclear X-ray emission to be a combination of diffuse hot gas, high-mass X-ray binaries and supernova remnants—including perhaps some contribution from the Type Ib/c SN 1999dn, located \( \approx 13'' \) south-east of the nucleus (Qiu et al. 1999; Deng et al. 2000).

The limited spatial resolution of XMM-Newton does not allow us to resolve individual sources in the nuclear region. However, we checked whether the observed profile is consistent with the point-spread-function of a point source, using standard tasks in IRAF. We compared the full-width half-maximum (FWHM) of the radial profile for the nucleus, the off-nuclear source and a nearby quasar. Using EPIC/MOS images from the two observations, we obtain that the last two sources have FWHM \( \approx 6'' \), while the nucleus has FWHM \( \approx 8'' \). This suggests that the X-ray emission from the nuclear region is indeed extended, in agreement with the ROSAT/HRI results (Papaderos & Fricke 1998). No difference in the radial extent of the three sources is found in the EPIC/pn images; however, this is due to the lower spatial resolution and larger pixel size of the pn. We also examined the radial profiles separately for “soft” (0.2–1.5 keV) and “hard” (1.5–12 keV) MOS images. We obtain that, in the hard band, the FWHM is the same for all three objects, consistent with point sources. The larger radial extent of the nuclear source is found only in the soft band, suggesting a different origin for the two components (see Section 4.3). Further investigation of the MOS data suggests that the larger radial profile of the nuclear source in the soft band is due to faint emission a few arcsec south-west of the central position, in excess of what would be expected from a point-source point-spread-function. No excess emission is detected east of the central position, suggesting that SN 1999dn does not contribute significantly.

We fitted the nuclear X-ray spectrum with an absorbed two-temperature variable-abundance thermal plasma model (\textit{vmekal}), plus an absorbed power-law component, which accounts for the contribution from accreting compact objects. We find that we cannot fit the EPIC spectra from 2002 June and December simultaneously with the same set of parameters. The two spectra are identical at energies \( \sim 1 \) keV, but the flux at higher energies was higher in December. Therefore, we fixed the two thermal-plasma components (which we do not expect to vary on a 6-months’ timescale) but allowed the power-law contribution to vary between the two observations. This results in a good fit \( (\chi^2_r = 0.77, \text{see Table 2 and Fig. 3}) \). We do not obtain statistically-significant improvements to the fit by allowing different normalisations of the thermal plasma components in the two observations. The total emitted luminosity in the 0.3–

---

Firstly, we analysed the individual pn and MOS spectra from each observation. After ascertaining that they were consistent with each other, we coadded them with the method described in Page et al. (2003), in order to increase the signal-to-noise ratio. Thus, we obtained one combined EPIC spectrum of the nucleus and one of the off-nuclear source from each epoch.

---

| Date        | Obs ID        | Start time | Stop time | GTI (ks) |
|-------------|---------------|------------|-----------|----------|
| 2002 Jun 07 | 0112521301    | 10:36:39   | 15:16:39  | 15.8     |
|             | MOS: 10:03:23 | 10:03:23   | 15:21:23  | 16.1     |
| 2002 Dec 08 | 0112522601    | 12:40:16   | 16:38:19  | 14.3     |
|             | MOS: 12:18:15 | 12:18:15   | 16:43:15  | 15.9     |

---

**Fig. 3.** Coadded EPIC spectra of the nucleus on 2002 Jun 7 (blue) and Dec 8 (red), fitted with a constant two-temperature \textit{vmekal} model plus a varying power-law component.

**Fig. 4.** Coadded EPIC spectra of the ULX on 2002 Jun 7 and Dec 8, fitted with a disk-blackbody and a power-law, respectively.
12 keV band is ≈ 8 × 10^{40} \text{ erg s}^{-1} in June and ≈ 1.1 \times 10^{41} \text{ erg s}^{-1} in December. The fitted temperatures of the optically-thin thermal components are $kT_1 = 0.43^{+0.10}_{-0.10}$ keV and $kT_2 = 0.98^{+0.15}_{-0.15}$ keV. Thermal plasma emission contributes for ≈ 30–40% of the emitted luminosity in the 0.3–12 keV band and ≈ 75–80% in the 0.3–1 keV band. The power-law component has a slope $\Gamma = 1.9$, consistent both with an AGN spectrum and with typical X-ray binary spectra. The emitted luminosity in the 2–10 keV band is ≈ 2.2 × 10^{40} \text{ erg s}^{-1} and ≈ 3.8 × 10^{40} \text{ erg s}^{-1} in the two epochs; this is consistent with a current star-formation rate of a few $M_\odot$ yr^{-1} (Gilfanov et al. 2004).

As an aside, we also searched for X-ray emission from NGC 7715, the tidally-interacting companion to NGC 7714. Current star-formation from this galaxy is known to be negligible, probably because of a relative lack of gas (Struck & Smith 2003). We did not significantly detect any source from each observation; however, when we combine both the June and December datasets, we detect a faint, possibly extended source at ≈ 3-σ level in the EPIC image (Fig. 1). Its location (R.A. (2000) = 23°36′23.6′′, Dec. (2000) = +02°09′32″) puts it in the eastern gas/stellar counterpart of NGC 7715 rather than in its nucleus. From the detected count rate, we estimate an average luminosity ≈ 10^{39} \text{ erg s}^{-1}.

### 3.2. Off-nuclear ULX

We then examined the coadded EPIC spectra of the off-nuclear source from the two observations. It is immediately clear that this source is fundamentally different from the starburst nucleus: its X-ray spectrum is featureless, with no significant evidence of optically-thin plasma emission. It is also clear that the source varied significantly between the two epochs.

The source cannot be well fitted with a single spectral model in both observations. Limiting our choice to simple models, we find that a multicolor disk-blackbody with $kT_{\text{in}} = 1.0 \pm 0.1$ keV provides the best fit to the 2002 June spectrum ($\chi^2 = 1.11$; see Table 3 and Fig. 4). A simple power-law fit gives $\Gamma = 2.5 \pm 0.2$ ($\chi^2 = 1.20$), but is slightly improved by the addition of a blackbody component at $kT = 0.66^{+0.35}_{-0.24}$ keV ($\chi^2 = 1.15$). On the contrary, a simple power-law model with $\Gamma = 2.1^{+0.3}_{-0.2}$ is an excellent fit to the 2002 December spectrum ($\chi^2 = 0.86$); adding a thermal component does not improve the fit. A multicolor disk-blackbody model is clearly rejected ($\chi^2 = 1.29$) for the second observation. We also tried fitting the two spectra with the Comptonisation model bmc (Shrader & Titarchuk 1999) (Table 3), confirming that the source was softer in June.

Although any estimates of the emitted luminosity are very model-dependent, it is clear that the source has become brighter by a factor of ≈ 2 between the two epochs, reaching a luminosity ≈ 6 × 10^{40} \text{ erg s}^{-1} in 2002 December. Such variability on a individual pn and MOS spectra; this rules out a systematic error in our coadding of the datasets. In the nucleus, we can clearly attribute the change to the power-law component only, while the thermal plasma emission is unchanged; this also confirms that it is not an instrumental artifact. As a further check, we extracted and analysed the spectra of the nearby QSO [HB89] 2333 + 019 (Fig. 1) for the two epochs. We find that the best-fit parameters (power-law with $\Gamma = 1.65^{+0.14}_{-0.11}$ and $\Gamma = 1.79^{+0.15}_{-0.10}$, respectively) are consistent with an unchanged spectrum. In both observations, the detected QSO flux in the 0.3–12 keV band varied significantly between the two epochs.

### Table 2. Best-fit parameters for the combined EPIC spectra of the nuclear source on 2002 Jun 7 and Dec 8. We assumed a Galactic column density $n_{\text{H,Gal}} = 4.9 \times 10^{20} \text{ cm}^{-2}$. We fitted the two spectra simultaneously, assuming that the thermal plasma component did not vary between the two epochs, but leaving the power-law component free to vary. The quoted errors are the 90% confidence limit.

| Parameter       | Value in Jun 02 | Value in Dec 02 |
|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| $n_{\text{H,vm}}$ ($10^{21} \text{ cm}^{-2}$) | 0.8^{+4.3}_{-0.3} |                  |
| $n_{\text{H,po}}$ ($10^{21} \text{ cm}^{-2}$) | 1.0^{+1.0}_{-0.6} |                  |
| $kT_{\text{vm1}}$ (keV) | 0.43^{+0.10}_{-0.16} |                  |
| $kT_{\text{vm2}}$ (keV) | 0.98^{+3.40}_{-0.15} |                  |
| $K_{\text{vm1}}$ ($10^{-3}$) | 3.6^{+8.5}_{-1.5} |                  |
| $K_{\text{vm2}}$ ($10^{-3}$) | 2.0^{+1.8}_{-1.4} |                  |
| $\Gamma$ | 2.00^{+0.22}_{-0.21} | 1.85^{+0.19}_{-0.19} |
| $K_{\text{po}}$ ($10^{-5}$) | 4.3^{+1.4}_{-1.0} | 6.0^{+1.8}_{-1.4} |
| C | (0.8^{+0.2}_{-0.3}) |                  |
| N | (0.8^{+0.8}_{-0.4}) |                  |
| O | (0.8^{+0.9}_{-0.6}) |                  |
| Ne | 1.2^{+1.2}_{-1.2} |                  |
| Na | (1.0) |                  |
| Mg | 2.9^{+2.3}_{-1.5} |                  |
| Al | (1.0) |                  |
| Si | 3.6^{+3.4}_{-1.7} |                  |
| S | (1.0) |                  |
| Ar | (1.0) |                  |
| Ca | (1.0) |                  |
| Fe | (1.0) |                  |
| Ni | (1.0) |                  |

\[ \chi^2 = 0.77 \ (122.4/160) \]

\[ f_{0.3-12} \ (10^{-15} \text{ erg cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}) \]

\[ f_{0.3-1} \ (10^{-15} \text{ erg cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}) \]

\[ L_{0.3-12} \ (10^{39} \text{ erg s}^{-1}) \]

\[ L_{0.3-12,\text{vm}} \ (10^{39} \text{ erg s}^{-1}) \]

\[ L_{0.3-12,\text{po}} \ (10^{39} \text{ erg s}^{-1}) \]

\[ L_{0.3-1} \ (10^{39} \text{ erg s}^{-1}) \]

\[ L_{2-10} \ (10^{39} \text{ erg s}^{-1}) \]

\[ a \] We imposed the same abundance for these three elements.

---

\[ a \] The fact that both the ULX and the nuclear source showed an increase in luminosity from June to December prompted us to double-check that this effect is not due to systematic errors. The change in flux is at least an order of magnitude greater than any possible reciprocal contamination between the two sources. Moreover, we verified that the luminosity and spectral variations are consistently seen in the
4. Discussion

4.1. Intermediate-mass BH or beamed emission?

It was suggested (Papademos & Fricke 1998) that the off-nuclear X-ray source could be emission from thermal plasma in a hot spot, due either to the collision of a fast outflow with the denser gas in the galactic bridge; or, to the infall of high-velocity clouds along the bridge onto the outer H I disk of NGC 7714. However, both those hypotheses are now ruled out by our XMM-Newton study. From the X-ray spectral properties in the two observations, and from the variability between the two epochs, we conclude that the off-nuclear source is instead an accreting compact object. As such, with an extrapolated bolometric luminosity \( \approx 1.5 \times 10^{41} \) erg s\(^{-1}\) in the high state, it is one of the brightest ULXs ever found. If the emission is isotropic, satisfying the Eddington limit would require a mass of \( \approx 10^4 \) M\(_\odot\) for the accreting BH.

The observed transition between a low/soft and a high/hard spectral state is opposite to what is generally observed in ULXs, which is due to the spin state and the geometry of the source. No significant variability is detected from the nucleus between 2002 June and December, and \( \approx 54\% \) for MOS2 and pn.

A thermal component (blackbody or disk-blackbody) is instead an accreting compact object. As such, with an extrapolated bolometric luminosity \( \approx 1.5 \times 10^{41} \) erg s\(^{-1}\) in the high state, it is one of the brightest ULXs ever found. If the emission is isotropic, satisfying the Eddington limit would require a mass of \( \approx 10^4 \) M\(_\odot\) for the accreting BH.

Galactic stellar-mass BH candidates, but similar to what was found for the ULX Holmberg II X-1 (Dewangan et al. 2004) and for some ULXs in the Antennae (Fabbiano et al. 2003b). In the high state, the X-ray spectrum can be modelled by a pure power law with photon index \( \Gamma \approx 2 \); the conventional explanation for this component is inverse-Compton scattering of soft photons in a hot corona (Sunyaev & Titarchuk 1980).

A thermal component (blackbody or disk-blackbody) is instead significantly detected in the low/soft state: it contributes for \( \approx (0.5–2.3) \times 10^{40} \) erg s\(^{-1}\) depending on the choice of spectral model; the short duration of our XMM-Newton observations does not allow us to put stronger constraints on the thermal emission. When the low-state spectrum is fitted with a disk-blackbody model, the color-temperature parameter \( kT_{\text{in}} \approx 1 \) keV (Table 3). If this parameter is identified with the effective temperature at the inner boundary of a Shakura-Sunyaev disk, the encircled energy within that radius is \( \approx 0.1\% \) according to the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test. Fitting clouds along the bridge onto the outer H \(_I\), spot, due either to the collision of a fast outflow with the denser gas in the galactic bridge; or, to the infall of high-velocity clouds along the bridge onto the outer H I disk of NGC 7714.

6-month time scales suggest that the size of the emitting region is \( \approx 0.2 \) pc.

In addition to the long-term variability of the ULX flux, we looked for short-term variations during the two observations. We find that the background-subtracted 0.2–12 keV count rate is consistent with a constant level in the low state. However, variability is detected in the high state (Fig. 5), significant at the 90% level according to the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test. Fitting the data with a \( \sin \) curve gives a period of \( 6920 \pm 590 \) s, and a semi-amplitude of \( \approx 18\% \). However, longer observations are needed to ascertain whether the lightcurve has a real periodicity. No significant variability is detected from the nucleus within each of the two observations.

Table 3. Best-fit parameters for the combined EPIC spectra of the ULX on 2002 Jun 7 and Dec 8. The quoted errors are the 90\% confidence limit. We assumed a Galactic column density \( n_{\text{H,Gal}} = 4.9 \times 10^{20} \) cm\(^{-2}\).

| Parameter          | Value in Jun 02 | Value in Dec 02 |
|--------------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| \( n_{\text{H}} \) \( (10^{21} \text{ cm}^{-2}) \) | 2.2\(^{+2.1}_{-1.1} \) | 1.5\(^{+0.4}_{-0.4} \) |
| \( kT_{\text{bb}} \) (keV) | 0.66\(^{+0.23}_{-0.24} \) | - |
| \( K_{\text{bb}} \) \( (10^{-7}) \) | 4.4\(^{+4.2}_{-3.5} \) | - |
| \( \Gamma \) | 2.6\(^{+0.4}_{-0.5} \) | 2.1\(^{+0.2}_{-0.1} \) |
| \( K_{\text{po}} \) \( (10^{-5}) \) | 3.8\(^{+2.3}_{-1.3} \) | 6.1\(^{+1.3}_{-0.9} \) |
| \( \chi^2_{\nu} \) | 1.15 (43.7/38) | 0.86 (64.8/75) |
| \( f_{0.3-12} \) \( (10^{-13} \text{ erg cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}) \) | 1.1\(^{+0.2}_{-0.5} \) | 2.3\(^{+0.2}_{-0.2} \) |
| \( L_{0.3-12} \) \( (10^{38} \text{ erg s}^{-1}) \) | 4.3 | 6.6 |
| \( n_{\text{H}} \) \( (10^{21} \text{ cm}^{-2}) \) | 0.07\(^{+0.09}_{-0.07} \) | < 0.12 |
| \( kT_{\text{in}} \) (keV) | 0.97\(^{+0.12}_{-0.13} \) | 1.07\(^{+0.13}_{-0.10} \) |
| \( K_{\text{bmc}} \) \( (10^{-3}) \) | 6.2\(^{+4.9}_{-2.3} \) | 7.4\(^{+2.6}_{-2.5} \) |
| \( \chi^2_{\nu} \) | 1.11 (44.4/40) | 1.29 (96.7/75) |
| \( f_{0.3-12} \) \( (10^{-13} \text{ erg cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}) \) | 1.0\(^{+0.2}_{-0.1} \) | 1.8\(^{+0.2}_{-0.2} \) |
| \( L_{0.3-12} \) \( (10^{38} \text{ erg s}^{-1}) \) | 2.3 | 3.8 |

In the high state, the X-ray spectrum can be modelled by a pure blackbody model: \( wabs \times \text{bb} \times \text{diskbb} \). The observed transition between a low state, it is one of the brightest ULXs ever found. If the emission is isotropic, satisfying the Eddington limit would require a mass of \( \approx 10^4 \) M\(_\odot\) for the accreting BH.

### Table 3. Best-fit parameters for the combined EPIC spectra of the ULX on 2002 Jun 7 and Dec 8. The quoted errors are the 90% confidence limit. We assumed a Galactic column density \( n_{\text{H,Gal}} = 4.9 \times 10^{20} \) cm\(^{-2}\).
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\[ \Gamma \approx \frac{1}{2} \beta \cos \theta \]

\[ \frac{T_{\text{in}}}{T_{\text{eff}}} \approx 2.6 \] (Shrader & Titarchuk 2003). Finally, a standard accretion disk around a
fast-rotating Kerr BH would extend closer to the event horizon and therefore would also have a higher inner temperature than predicted in the non-rotating (Schwarzschild) case (Zhang et al. 1997). However, this effect would be significant only at high inclination angles (edge-on systems) and is unlikely to be the correct explanation for the high-temperature ULX disk spectra (Ebisawa et al. 2003).

An alternative to the intermediate-mass BH scenario is the possibility that we are looking into a very narrowly collimated beam of emission (beaming factor \( \gtrsim 50 \)) from a stellar-mass X-ray binary (BH mass \( \lesssim 20 M_\odot \)). Although the latter scenario cannot be ruled out a priori, it would be a very peculiar coincidence to find that the only strongly collimated source aligned—by chance—with our line of sight is located precisely at the junction between the collisional stellar ring and the tidal bridge. A more general, quantitative argument against simple geomet-
trical beaming is discussed in Davis & Mushotzky (2004), based on the consideration that the scattering region (thick disk or torus) responsible for the beaming can never be a perfect mirror; a large fraction of the radiation would be absorbed and re-radiated isotropically in the optical/IR. Hence, one would expect to find many bright (absolute magnitudes up to \( \approx -11 \)) optical/IR point sources for every ULX. Such bright sources have never been seen in nearby galaxies.

Alternatively, the observed ultraluminous flux might be due to relativistic beaming (Urry & Shaffer 1984; Georganopoulos et al. 2002; Körding et al. 2002). In this scenario, the power-law component in the X-ray spectrum is the result of inverse-Compton scattering of soft photons by the electrons in a jet, moving with velocity \( \beta \) and a bulk motion factor \( \Gamma = (1 - \beta^2)^{-1/2} \). Relativistic Doppler boosting implies that the observed luminosity in a given band is enhanced by a factor \( \Gamma (1 - \beta \cos \theta)^{-4} \). Assuming that the accreting source in NGC 7714 is a stellar-mass BH, and that the mechanical lumin-
osity in the jet is \( \lesssim 0.1 L_{\text{Edd}} \) (eg, Fender 2001), Lorentz factors \( \Gamma \gtrsim 3 \) and beaming angles \( \lesssim 5^\circ \) are required to explain the observed luminosities in the high/hard state. However, this sce-
nario would not explain the soft thermal component seen in the low state, which is unlikely to be beamed.

In conclusion, the location, luminosity and spectral prop-
erties of the ULX favour a massive \( (M > 100 M_\odot) \) accreting object. Further constraints can come from time-variability stud-
ies. For example, a break in the power-density spectrum (PDS) at a frequency \( \approx (M/M_\odot) \) Hz has been detected in some AGN and Galactic BH binaries (for recent examples see Markowitz et al. 2003; Uttley et al. 2002; Czerny et al. 2001), and from a ULX in NGC 4559 (Cropper et al. 2004). A preliminary PDS analysis of the ULX in NGC 7714 in its high state suggests features at \( \sim 1.5 \times 10^{-4} \) Hz (also apparent from the lightcurve in Fig. 5) and \( \sim 2 \times 10^{-4} \) Hz; hence, longer observations could be interesting.

### 4.2. ULX formation in colliding galaxies

One of the few statistically significant properties of the ULX population in nearby galaxies is that these sources are more frequently found in merging or tidally interacting galaxies (Swartz et al. 2003). It is not yet clear why. A simple suggestion is that the ULX formation rate is proportional to the star-formation rate (eg, Gilfanov et al. 2003), which is known to be higher in interacting galaxies. More specifically, ULX formation could be related to the clustered star-formation rate.

The ULX in NGC 7714 is located on the outer stellar ring, at the junction with the tidal bridge. ULXs are often found in collisional rings and tails of tidally disrupted systems, for example in the outer stellar ring of the Cartwheel galaxy (Gao et al. 2003). However, the two situations are fundamentally different. In the Cartwheel, the outer ring is defined by an expanding wave of star formation (Higdon 1995) associated to a strong density wave in the gas, triggered by the collisional perturbation; hence, ULXs in the Cartwheel ring are clearly associated with active star formation and young stellar clusters. Here, instead, the off-centre collision between the two galaxies produced a weaker dynamical perturbation (Struck & Smith 2003), and the collisional outer ring is only an expanding density wave of old stars (Bushouse & Werner 1990), without on-going star formation: no H\( \alpha \) emission is observed along the ring (González-Delgado et al. 1995; Smith et al. 1997). Radio 21-cm VLA observations also do not show any HI counterpart to the ring (Smith et al. 1997).

Moderately active star formation and HI gas (total HI mass \( \approx 1.6 \times 10^{10} M_\odot \)) are instead found along the tidal bridge (Smith et al. 1997). A string of young star clusters along the bridge is also visible in HST/WFPC2 images (Fig. 2; see also Struck & Smith 2003), although no obvious counterpart is seen in the ULX error circle (this is partly because a WFPC2 chip gap runs exactly across the circle). From the level of H\( \alpha \) emission in the maps of Smith et al. (1997) and González-Delgado et al. (1995), the star-formation rate per unit area in the western part of the bridge (where it joins the stellar ring, near the ULX location) seems to be \( \sim 3-3.5 \) orders of magnitude lower than in the nuclear starburst region (total H\( \alpha \) luminosity from the bridge \( \approx 2 \times 10^{39} \) erg cm\(^{-2}\); Smith & Struck 2001). Assuming that the X-ray luminosity scales with the H\( \alpha \) emission and the star-formation rate (Ranalli et al. 2003; Buat et al. 2002) the extended X-ray emission component from the bridge would be \( \leq 10^{38} \) erg cm\(^{-2}\), at least a factor of 10 fainter than our XMM-Newton/EPIC detection limit. Despite the comparatively weaker star-forming activity, the bright ULX is located there rather than in the nuclear region. So, the effect of tidal interac-
tions on ULX formation must be more complex than a simple increase of the star-formation rate.
We can clearly rule out the possibility that the ULX be a massive runaway binary formed in the nucleus and then migrated to the outer ring. If, as suggested by its luminosity, the total mass of the binary system is $>25 M_{\odot}$, we expect kick velocities $<10$ km s$^{-1}$ (Zezas & Fabbiano 2002). At a distance of $d \approx 4$ kpc from the nucleus, it would have taken the ULX $>4 	imes 10^8$ yr to get there, more than the lifetime of any massive donor stars and possibly more than the age of the nuclear starburst itself (Laçon et al. 2001).

Despite the high column density of atomic hydrogen ($\gtrsim 10^{23}$ cm$^{-2}$; Smith et al. 1997), CO (1–0) observations failed to detect the tidal bridge (Smith & Struck 2001). This can be interpreted as evidence for the low metal abundance of the gas. The galactic nucleus is also known to be metal-poor ($\sim 0.2$–0.4Z$_{\odot}$; García-Vargas et al. 1997). Other bright ULXs have been found in interacting galaxy systems, located at or near large, high-density HI or H$_2$ complexes, but with only a weak level of local star formation. For example, the ULX M81 X-9 is associated (Wang 2002) with a molecular cloud or “proto-galaxy” near Holmberg IX, with a gas mass $\sim 10^9 M_{\odot}$ and star-formation rate $\lesssim 10^{-4} M_{\odot}$ yr$^{-1}$ (Brouillet et al. 1992; Henkel et al. 1993). In fact, the last close encounter between M81 and M82 seems to have left a number of tidal tails and debris (Yun et al. 1994), stripped from the metal-poor outer part of M81, which are now evolving into tidal dwarf galaxies, with metal abundances $\sim 0.1$–0.4Z$_{\odot}$ (Boyce et al. 2001; Makarova et al. 2002).

As pointed out by Pakull & Mirioni (2002), stellar evolution in metal-poor environments may favor the formation of ULXs, because the mass-loss rate in the radiatively-driven wind from the massive O-star progenitor is much reduced ($M_w \sim Z_0^{0.85}$; Vink et al. 2001; see also Bouret et al. 2003). This leads to a more massive stellar core, which may then collapse into a more massive BH. Hence, it may explain the formation of BHs with masses up to $\sim 50 M_{\odot}$ and isotropic luminosities $\sim 10^{41}$ erg s$^{-1}$. Tidal dwarfs, bridges and tails stripped from the outer disks of colliding galaxies may provide an environment for the formation of molecular clouds and stars out of metal-poor gas. However, normal stellar evolution processes alone would be unable to explain accreting BHs with isotropic luminosities $\sim 10^{41}$ erg s$^{-1}$ such as the one seen in NGC 7714.

BHs with masses of $\sim 10^2$–$10^3 M_{\odot}$ may be formed in the core of young (age $\lesssim 3 \times 10^6$ yr), massive star clusters, due to the Spitzer instability, runaway core collapse and merger of the O stars (Portegies Zwart & McMillan 2002; Rasio et al. 2003). Deeper optical observations of the ULX field in this galaxy, and a more precise determination of its position$^3$, are needed to investigate the possible association with massive young clusters.

Alternatively, BH remnants in this mass range are thought to be left over by primordial-metallicity (Population III) stars (Madau & Rees 2001). Most of these BHs could reside in galactic halos: assuming halo masses of $6 \times 10^{10} M_{\odot}$ and $2 \times 10^{10} M_{\odot}$ for NGC 7714/15 respectively (Struck & Smith 2003), and using the results of Islam et al. (2003), one can estimate the presence of $\sim 200$ such intermediate-mass BHs in this system. In an undisturbed galactic halo, accretion from the low-density interstellar medium would make them too faint to be detected. Could galactic collisions and mergers create the conditions for some of these BHs to become bright (up to their Eddington luminosity $\sim 10^{41}$ erg s$^{-1}$), by providing a fuel supply? This could in principle occur either via tidal capture of a donor star, or via accretion from a dense molecular cloud. The tidal capture timescale can be comparable with the dynamical timescale of the NGC 7714/15 interaction only for stellar densities $\gtrsim 10^5$ stars pc$^{-3}$ (Fabian et al. 1975; Zezas & Fabbiano 2002), much larger than in the collisional stellar ring. Hence, this process should be ruled out.

On the other hand, let us consider Bondi-Hoyle accretion from an intergalactic molecular cloud. Assuming an efficiency $\eta = 0.1$, an isotropic luminosity $\sim 10^{41}$ erg s$^{-1}$ requires an accretion rate $\dot{M} \approx 10^{21} g$ s$^{-1} \approx 2 \times 10^{-5} M_{\odot}$ yr$^{-1}$. The Bondi-Hoyle accretion rate (Bondi & Hoyle 1944; Mirabel et al. 1991) is

$$\dot{M} \approx 2.4 \times 10^{41} (\frac{M_\odot}{M})^2 \left(\frac{n}{cm^3}\right) \left(\frac{v}{10 \text{ km s}^{-1}}\right)^{-3} g \text{ s}^{-1},$$

where $M$ is the mass of the accreting BH, $n$ is the number density of the molecular gas at large distances from the BH, and $v$ is the velocity of the compact object relative to the cloud. Choosing $M = 10^3 M_\odot$ and $v = 10$ km s$^{-1}$, H$_2$ number densities $\sim 2 \times 10^4$ cm$^{-3}$ are required to explain the observed luminosity. Such densities are typical of molecular cloud cores (eg, Plume et al. 1997), with sizes $\sim 0.1$ pc. The total molecular gas mass in the NGC 7714 bridge is likely to be $\sim 10^{8}$–$10^{9} M_\odot$, depending on the metal abundance (Smith et al. 1987; Smith & Struck 2001). Hence, the filling factor of dense molecular cloud cores near the western end of the tidal bridge is only $\sim 10^{-4}$. The probability that a primordial BH could encounter one such cloud appears to be low. Addressing these issues in more details is beyond the scope of this work.

4.3. Nuclear activity

Our XMM-Newton study shows that the X-ray spectrum of the starburst nucleus contains a variable power-law component in addition to non-variable thermal plasma emission (Table 2). This suggests that there is probably a single accreting point-source in the nuclear region contributing a significant fraction of the power-law emission, at least $3 \times 10^{40}$ erg s$^{-1}$. It had been speculated in the past that NGC 7714 might contain a low-luminosity AGN, but it was then shown (O’Halloran et al. 2000) that a normal starburst can account for the IR/optical/UV properties. However, these new observations suggest the presence either of an AGN, or of another ULX. Other cases of galaxies with variable AGN activity undetected from their optical colors or spectra are discussed in Davis & Mushotzky (2004) and references therein. A more detailed study of the nuclear starburst, combining the new X-ray data with the multiwavelength results of Laçon et al. (2001), and González-Delgado et al. (1995, 1999), is left to further work.

Finally, we note that the NGC 7714/15 system is one of the examples of alignments between a galaxy and two quasars discussed by H. Arp and collaborators (Stocke & Arp 1978). Within that scenario, it has been suggested (Arp et al. 2004)
that ULXs and quasars might be related to the same physical process of ejection from galactic nuclei. We point out for completeness that the ULX in NGC 7714 is also aligned with the nucleus and the two quasars. However, we have no elements to speculate that this represents more than a coincidence.

5. Conclusions

We have used XMM-Newton to study the interacting galaxy system NGC 7714/15. We have reported here the main properties of the two brightest sources: the starburst nucleus and an off-nuclear ULX. The X-ray spectrum of the off-nuclear source suggests that it is an accreting BH, and rules out the possibility that it is due to thermal-plasma emission from a hot spot, as previously speculated. Its X-ray flux varies by a factor of 2 over a six months’ interval; the source appears softer in the low state, unlike the typical behaviour of Galactic BH candidates but in agreement with the behaviour of many ULXs. Its spectrum in the low/soft state can be fitted by a disk-blackbody model with $kT_{in} \approx 1$ keV: this is inconsistent with a Shakura-Sunyaev disk, but can be explained with a slim-disk model.

In the high state, its emitted isotropic luminosity is $\approx 6 \times 10^{40}$ erg s$^{-1}$ in the 0.3–12 keV band, implying a bolometric luminosity $\approx 1.5 \times 10^{41}$ erg s$^{-1}$ from a reasonable extrapolation of the power-law spectrum (photon index $\Gamma \approx 2$). BH masses of a few $10^3$–$10^4 M_\odot$ would be required to satisfy the Eddington limit. Furthermore, variability on timescales of $\approx 2$ hr is detected in the high state.

The ULX is located at the junction of the tidal bridge (consisting of gas and young stars) with the collisional outer ring (consisting of an old stellar population, with no gas). We have pointed out that ULXs are often found in tidally interacting systems, associated with metal-poor molecular clouds, tidal dwarfs, or HI structures formed in the galactic collision.

The nucleus has an X-ray luminosity $\approx 10^{41}$ erg s$^{-1}$ in the 0.3–12 keV band. Thermal plasma emission contributes for $\approx 3 \times 10^{40}$ erg s$^{-1}$, constant over the two observations, and is probably extended (marginally resolved in the EPIC/MOS images). A point-like power-law component contributes for $\approx 5 \times 10^{40}$ erg s$^{-1}$ and $\approx 8 \times 10^{40}$ erg s$^{-1}$ in the two observations. The power-law component in the X-ray spectra of starburst nuclei is generally due to unresolved high-mass X-ray binaries. The amount of variability in our case implies that one single source contributes for at least $3 \times 10^{40}$ erg s$^{-1}$. This suggests that there is either a hidden AGN or another ULX in the nuclear region.
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