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Abstract

Introduction

In the UK Early Pregnancy Assessment Units (EPAUs) are usually situated alongside hospital maternity and gynaecology services. In June 2018, the Oxford EPAU relocated from the John Radcliffe Hospital to a community clinic. This is to our knowledge, the UK’s first community-based EPAU. This change was inspired by our patient feedback describing the co-location of the EPAU with maternity services as distressing.

Methods

Following the introduction of the community EPAU we developed a database to capture information on the patients seen in the clinic. This is a retrospective observational study of a single cohort of patients attending the clinic over an 8 month period. Data was collected from 1st July 2018 to 28th February 2019. This data included clinical, safety and patient experience outcomes.

Results

2920 patient episodes were recorded, 1932 were new patients. 72.2% were diagnosed as viable pregnancies, 389 miscarriages were diagnosed, of which 84.1% were incomplete/missed and 15.9% were complete. Once miscarriage was confirmed 48.6% chose conservative management, 19.9% chose medical management, and 31.5% chose surgical management. 25 ambulance transfers occurred due to ruptured ectopic, suspected ectopic, heavy bleeding, collapse, or ectopic with no personal transport. 32 unplanned admissions from patients seen in EPAU accounted for 2.7% of all patients seen in EPAU. 58 patients had ultrasonographically confirmed or suspected ectopic pregnancies- 3% of patients seen in clinic. Patient feedback questionnaires have been consistently positive.

Conclusion

The development of a community EPAU has improved services to allow care closer to home in an environment separate from maternity care. Our data shows that a community EPAU is safe, can deliver good patient care and is a service valued by patients. Further research is indicated to evaluate the cost-effectiveness of community EPAUs and the long term safety and effectiveness of care.

Background

Symptoms of pain and bleeding in early pregnancy are common, occurring in 20–40% of pregnancies and account for a significant proportion of medical care in pregnancy. Miscarriage occurs in around 20% of all pregnancies and ectopic pregnancy, which can be life threatening, affects 2% of pregnancies. About 125,000 miscarriages occur annually in the United Kingdom and account for over 42,000 hospital admissions each year. In addition to the physical symptoms, experiencing miscarriage can be an
extremely distressing and emotional time, sometimes involving multiple hospital visits, alongside medical or surgical treatment.

The Early Pregnancy Assessment Units (EPAU) as a specialist service is a concept that has been in gynaecology care for more than twenty years. EPAUs were developed to offer better care to women and reduce admissions to gynaecology wards, and are usually located within obstetric and gynaecology departments facilitating communication with acute gynaecology care. International evidence from Canada and Australia has shown that EPAUs facilitate reduced length of stay in A&E, reduced hospital admissions, and reduced unplanned admissions\(^2,3\).

Due to this co-location with obstetric and gynaecology services patients attending the EPAU will very commonly come into contact with pregnant ante-natal patients in order to access the EPAU, despite themselves losing their pregnancy. Unsurprisingly, a common feature of patient complaints, blogs and forums is that this colocalisation lacks sensitivity and compassion and can significantly heighten the stress of their situation. There is a large variation in the way EPAU services run across the UK, with differences in levels of care, accessibility and staffing. The VESPA study\(^4\), is currently being conducted to investigate these variations and their effects on clinical, service and patient-centred outcomes\(^4\).

In June 2018, Oxford EPAU relocated from the John Radcliffe Hospital to a community clinic: to our knowledge the UK’s first community-based EPAU. This change was inspired firstly by local patient feedback describing co-location of an EPAU with maternity services as problematic. Secondly, the move is in keeping with the NHS 5-Year Forward View, advocating extending care outside of hospital to allow easier access for patients and relieve the pressures on acute hospitals. Patients and other stakeholders were integral to the service development and implementation of the clinic.

This relocation was made possible by technological advances and developing a highly trained specialist core nursing team. The use of point of care blood HCG testing, facilitated rapid clinical decision making in the management of pregnancy of unknown location (where a patient has a positive pregnancy test but there is no evidence of an intra or extra uterine pregnancy on ultrasound) without the need for a hospital laboratory in the majority of cases. The development of electronic patient records means that clinical notes can be accessed in the community or within the hospital setting, should they be required, to allow seamless patient care.

The community clinic has been operating for one year, running a service that provides care for pregnant women who experience problems in early pregnancy up to 16 weeks gestation. Patients can self-refer to the clinic, receive ultrasound scans, and the subsequent necessary care without going to hospital. In cases requiring urgent hospital admission the ambulance service is utilised. In developing new services such as a community EPAU it is essential to ensure and demonstrate that the service is safe, effective, and acceptable to patients.

**Methods**
Aims

- To assess the clinical performance, safety, and patient feedback of the Oxford Community EPAU in key outcomes:
  - Clinical outcomes: referral rate to hospital, patients receiving conservative management of miscarriage, ruptured ectopic pregnancies, negative ectopic laparoscopies, unplanned admissions to hospital.
  - Safety outcomes: unplanned admissions to hospital and the number of ambulance transfers to hospital.
  - Patient experience outcomes: patient feedback in quality of care, overall experience, nursing care, and environment.

Design

This study is an observational study of a single cohort of patients attending the Oxford Community EPAU. It includes a eight-month retrospective review of fully anonymised clinical and safety data collected from the clinic, from the 1st July 2018 to 28th February 2019. Prospective patient feedback data was collected in surveys given to patients from this period.

Location

The clinic is a NHS Early Pregnancy Assessment clinic located in the community in Oxford, UK.

Participants

The study included all patients who were less that 16 weeks gestation who had attended the clinic between these dates. Common reasons that patients attend the clinic are pain or bleeding in early pregnancy. Patients could self-refer into the clinic, be referred by A&E, or by their GP. The study included patients who were attending for follow-up appointments and repeat ultrasound scans. Patients who attended the clinic then were admitted to hospital were followed up through hospital records.

Data collection

All patients who attend the Oxford EPAU are prospectively recorded in a database. This anonymised database records reasons for referral, symptoms, investigation results and clinical outcomes. This database was reviewed retrospectively to identify and record the clinical outcomes of this study.

Table 1. Data collected and sources.
Patient feedback was collected through a self-designed paper survey that was given to patients in the unit. The survey contained 13 questions, 11 of which were on a numerical rating scale, with 2 free-text feedback questions. The survey was designed to capture information on experience, staff, environment, and quality of care. Every patient who attended the clinic was given the opportunity to do the questionnaire, which was completed in the clinic and put in a sealed box at the reception desk. The surveys were then added to a database by the EPAU nursing team.

**Statistical analysis**

Analysis of the data collected from the clinic was performed using Microsoft Excel.

**Results**

Over the 8 month period from 1\textsuperscript{st} July 2018 to 28\textsuperscript{th} February 2019 2920 patient episodes were recorded, including patients attending as initial new referrals and follow up appointments. 1932 of these episodes were new patients and 988 were returning patient episodes.

The mean age of patients presenting to the clinic was 31.1 years (range of 13 to 51 years).

The mean gestation of pregnancy gestation was 8.1 weeks (range 4–16 weeks).

**Waiting time**

The mean waiting time from referral to being seen for an appointment in clinic was 1.28 days (range 0–14). Patients were seen on the same day for urgent referrals, and the longest wait times were 2 weeks to comply with clinic protocols for some patients requiring repeat scans.

**Referral source**

Referrals were classified into self, GP, A&E, and gynaecology ward referrals. 58.8% of referrals were self-referrals by patients. GPs made 20.3% of referrals, the hospital gynaecology ward referred 7.5%, and A&E made 3.8% of referrals. Other sources contributed 9.58% of referrals, which were from midwives, antenatal radiology clinic, and from local termination and fertility services.

**Presentation**

The commonest initial presentation to EPAU was with bleeding 43.5%, 22.5% presented with pain and bleeding, 19.8% with pain, and 14.2% for other reasons such as previous ectopic pregnancy.
Referrals from EPAU clinic to hospital

Data was collected on the number of patients referred onwards from the EPAU into hospital. During the 8 month period a total of 113 patients were referred into hospital. Reasons for further referral were suspected ectopic pregnancy, diagnosed ectopic, heavy bleeding, severe pain, molar pregnancy, and for the management of retained products of conception. One patient was referred for urgent removal of intrauterine coil. Elective referrals for surgical management are not included in this data.

Diagnosis

Following clinic attendance 72.2% of patients were diagnosed with a viable intrauterine pregnancy. 389 miscarriages were diagnosed, of these 84.1% were missed or incomplete miscarriages, 15.9% were complete miscarriages.

Management of confirmed miscarriage

We reviewed each diagnosed miscarriage from the EPAU to find out what type of management the patient chose after the initial diagnosis. 48.6% chose to have conservative management, 19.9% chose medical management, and 31.5% chose surgical management.

Ambulance transfers

During July 2018 to February 2019 there were 25 ambulance transfers from EPAU to the John Radcliffe Hospital. The mean rate of transfers per month was 3.13 (range 0–10). The highest figure of 10 was found in July 2018, the first month of the community clinic, then falls to a steady state between 1 and 4 per month.

Fig1. Graph ambulance transfers by month.

Six patients were transferred because a ruptured ectopic pregnancy was diagnosed on ultrasound. Four stable patients with suspected ectopic pregnancies or bleeding were transferred by ambulance as they were alone with no transport to get to hospital. Eight patients were transferred due to ectopic or suspected ectopic diagnosis. Seven patients were transferred for heavy bleeding or collapse with a diagnosis of miscarriage or PUL. These figures exclude stable ectopic patients as the ambulance transfer protocol allows them to be driven to hospital if accompanied.

Unplanned admissions
Unplanned admission of patients seen in the community EPAU was collected for the months December 2018- April 2019. During this time there were 32 admissions to gynaecology ward of patients who had been seen or in contact with EPAU and had either self referred to the ward or attended via A&E. These account for 2.7% of all patients seen in EPAU during this time period. Three referrals were self referrals, two were from GPs, and one was from paramedics, the remaining 24 were from A&E. 23 (71.8%) of the patients were patients seen in EPAU and then developed worsening symptoms of pain and bleeding so attended A&E or the gynaecology ward. 3 patients booked appointments for EPAU but attended A&E/gynaecology ward due to symptoms before appointment. Two patients were referred to secondary care by their GP after the patient spoke to EPAU.

10 patients who had not been to the EPAU presented to A&E during this time period with an ectopic pregnancy.

**Ectopic Pregnancy Outcomes**

58 patients had ultrasonographically confirmed or suspected ectopic pregnancies out of 1932 new patients (3%). 17 of these patients were managed conservatively (BHCG<1000), of those 5 went on to have surgical management of non-ruptured ectopic pregnancies.

Fig2. Flow diagram of ectopic pregnancy outcomes.

5 patients who met local guidelines for medical management were treated with methotrexate. 2 of these patients went on to have surgical management- one of which was a suspected ectopic and operated on out of hours.

27 patients were managed surgically with laparoscopic salpingectomy once confirmed. One patient had a negative laparoscopy (3.7%).

8 patients had no evidence of intrauterine or extrauterine pregnancy on ultrasound scan, but based on clinical symptoms went on to have laparoscopy. 3 of these patients had a negative laparoscopy.

**Patient feedback data**

85 patient feedback surveys were collected in the year that the new clinic opened. We also collected 40 surveys from the hospital based EPAU clinic prior to moving location. In the community clinic quality of care rated excellent increased from 60% to 89%, emotional support rated excellent improved from 50% to 74.7%, and overall experience rated excellent improved from 57.5% to 81.7%. The average waiting time improved from 65% seen within 1–2 days and none seen same day, to 70.7% seen in 1–2 days and 9.8% seen same day.

**Discussion**
Effectiveness of the clinic

The NHS five year forward view advocates care closer to home, which this clinic aims to provide. The average waiting time to be seen in clinic was 1.86 days, reflecting patients being seen in a timely manner. More than half of patients (58.8%) were self-referrals, increasing access to clinic. The most common reason for referral was bleeding in early pregnancy. Of the patients attending the clinic 72.2% were viable intrauterine pregnancies. From the miscarriage group 84.1% were missed or incomplete miscarriages, 15.9% were complete miscarriages. First choice of management of miscarriage was most commonly conservative management 48.6%, followed by 31.5% choosing surgical management and 19.9% choosing medical management. The high percentage of conservative management reflects the role of the specialist nursing team, bedside technology with electronic patient records and point of care testing encourages patients to feel comfortable in the community, avoiding the need for hospital management. NICE 2019 recommends conservative management as first choice for first trimester miscarriages (5). The 2006 MIST trial showed that expectant or medical management of miscarriage produced significantly more unplanned hospital admissions compared to surgical management (6), however the emotional wellbeing and impact of personal choice on management are important factors when designing services. Our service offering medical and expectant management has shown excellent patient feedback and a majority of patients choosing expectant care.

Three studies have shown the introduction of an EPAU resulted in a shorter length of stay in both emergency departments (3) and outpatient clinics (2), a reduction in the proportion of women requiring hospital admission (2,3) and a reduction in the number of women representing to health services(3). Our review has shown low numbers of unplanned admissions and very few ambulance transfers when required to secondary care.

From the patient feedback data collected, patients consistently rated the community clinic higher than the previous hospital clinic, covering areas such as quality of care, environment, staff and emotional support. A 2009 UK study found that over 80% of women rated their satisfaction with privacy, dignity, and care as excellent(7). There is minimal published data on this area in EPAUs, but our results are very positive.

Patients were directly triaged on the telephone from self-referral by a senior nurse, who could appropriately decide if the patient met criteria to come to the EPAU or direct referral to hospital. The low numbers of referrals to A&E indicate this triage is likely effective. From the ectopic pregnancy data the majority of patients received surgical management. From this group of patients there was a low negative laparoscopic rate of one patient, a rate of 3.7%, compared to a rate of 6% in 2016 study (8). Three patients had negative laparoscopies but these were investigative procedures due to clinical findings with a background of miscarriage or pregnancy of unknown location. From the group of ectopic pregnancies managed conservatively or medically, 6 went on to have surgical treatment, a rate of 31.6%.

Safety of clinic
The clinic provides highly trained nurse sonographers and nursing staff who have received skills, drills and human factors training. During the eight months of data collection 25 ambulance transfers were made from the clinic to the John Radcliffe hospital, four of these were for patients who had no transport themselves, and the remaining patients were all due to medical emergency such as being haemodynamically unstable, bleeding, pain, faint, or ruptured ectopic. The use of ambulance transfers was decided based on rigid criteria for patient safety based on the ectopic pregnancy protocol established in the clinic. The typical cost of an ambulance transfer is approximately £250. The first month working in the new community setting there were transfers, however this reduced to 1–4 per month as staff became more confident in assessment. The low number of transfers per month reflects the appropriate triage of the nursing team upon referral, patients with significant pain, heavy bleeding would be triaged to secondary care. Emergency admissions to hospital from EPAU made up only 1.8% of patients seen in the community EPAU. Compared to the MIST trial this percentage is low, the MIST trial reports 49% of conservative management patients, 8% surgical management patients, and 18% of medical management patients having unplanned admissions (6). 71.8% of patients that were unplanned admission to hospital were due to worsening symptoms after attending EPAU, this can be expected as the risks of conservative and medical management of miscarriage include worsening pain or bleeding, which patients are warned about and safety netted to call the gynaecology ward or A&E.

Limitations of study

The data collected in this evaluation was based on a prospective clinical database with information entered by clinic staff. There was a risk of data being entered inaccurately. To reduce the error form this we crossed checked data with electronic patient records and surgical records. The data was collected during the first 8 months of the clinic opening, hence may not represent the performance of the clinic if more time had lapsed to allow the clinic to settle into day to day running. A repeat collection of this data in one year would be helpful to identify any changes. The data collected on patient feedback was based on a survey design. This could be improved using formal focus group and interview techniques to collect high quality qualitative data.

Conclusion

Main conclusions

The development of a community EPAU has improved services to allow care closer to home in an environment separate from maternity care for women experiencing pregnancy loss. Patients have been seen in a timely manner and given choice of their own management for pregnancy loss. The clinic provides highly trained staff able to triage safely, evidenced by low emergency transfers to hospital. The low negative laparoscopy rates indicate safety and accuracy of clinical diagnosis in the clinic.
Further research is indicated to evaluate the cost effectiveness of community EPAUs and long term safety and effectiveness of care.
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Table 1

Table 1. Data collected and sources.

| Data                                                                 | Source                                           |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------|
| Patient demographic data                                           | EPAU database                                    |
| Gestation                                                           | EPAU database                                    |
| Presenting symptoms                                                 | EPAU database                                    |
| Referral source                                                     | EPAU database                                    |
| New patient episode or review                                       | EPAU database                                    |
| Diagnosis                                                           | EPAU database                                    |
| Management                                                          | EPAU database                                    |
| Ectopic patient data inc. diagnosis, ultrasound results, management, and surgical results. | Electronic patient record, electronic ultrasound records, electronic surgical records |
| Referral to inpatient gynaecology ward                              | EPAU database                                    |
| Ambulance transfers                                                 | Serious event folder, South Central Ambulance    |
| Unplanned admission data                                            | Gynaecology ward database                        |
| Adverse patient outcomes                                           | Clinical governance records                      |

Figures

Number of Ambulance Transfers by Month 2018-2019

Figure 1
Graph ambulance transfers by month.

Figure 2

Flow diagram of ectopic pregnancy outcomes.
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