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ABSTRACT

We study the problem of simultaneously reconstructing a polygonal room and a trajectory of a device equipped with a (nearly) collocated omnidirectional source and receiver. The device measures arrival times of echoes of pulses emitted by the source and picked up by the receiver. No prior knowledge about the device’s trajectory is required. Most existing approaches addressing this problem assume multiple sources or receivers, or they assume that some of these are static, serving as beacons. Unlike earlier approaches, we take into account the measurement noise and various constraints on the geometry by formulating the solution as a minimizer of a cost function similar to the well-known stress function in multidimensional scaling. We study uniqueness of the reconstruction from first-order echoes, and we show that in addition to the usual invariance to rigid motions, new ambiguities arise for important classes of rooms and trajectories. We support our theoretical developments with a number of numerical experiments.

Index Terms—Room acoustics, collocated source and receiver, room geometry estimation, sound source localization, non-convex optimization.

1. INTRODUCTION

Autonomous mobile localization, and in particular simultaneous localization and mapping (SLAM), has been an active topic of research for a long time. Different flavors of SLAM involve different sensing modalities (for example, visual [1, 2], range-only [3, 4], and acoustic SLAM [5]). Almost all setups are defined as follows: given a sequence of sensor observations and robot controls, estimate the robot’s trajectory and some representation of the environment—a map. Sensor measurements serve to improve noisy kinematics-based trajectory estimates.

We are interested in a more general problem in which the robot’s kinematics is a priori completely unknown, and we only obtain certain acoustic measurements at a few robot’s locations inside a room. While we often refer to acoustic echoes, any range-based measurements will do—for instance reflections of ultra-wideband signals [6]. We assume no preinstalled infrastructure in the room, and only a bare minimum on the robot—a single omnidirectional source and single omnidirectional receiver. This is different from our previous work where we assumed some knowledge about the robot’s trajectory [7].

Our goal is twofold: 1) argue that multipath propagation conveys essential information about the room geometry even with this rudimentary setup, and 2) demonstrate in theory and experiment that this problem can be efficiently solved with only a few measurements.

Multipath measurements have been used previously to do SLAM [5, 6, 8, 9], and estimate room geometries [10, 11, 12]. Most prior works use source and sensor arrays to do the estimation [13]. It is also common to assume either a fixed source or a fixed receiver, so that the echoes correspond to virtual beacons from which we get range measurements. In contrast, we assume no beacons, and we use a single omnidirectional source and receiver. The same setup has been used before in [14] where the authors propose a 2D room reconstruction method based on noiseless times of arrival of the first-order echoes. To cope with the everpresent measurement noise, we formulate the solution as an optimization.

Our contributions are as follows. We first provide the solution from noiseless measurements based on simple trigonometry. We then use insights from this part to formulate a cost criterion similar to the well-known stress function [16], but adapted to the case of collocated sensing. We show how this cost function can be restated in a bilinear form for which efficient global solvers exist; hence, we propose an algorithm that always results with the best joint estimate of a room geometry and the estimates of the measurement locations with respect to the mean square error.

The material is organized as follows. Section 2 introduces the notation and the problem setup. In Section 3 we discuss the uniqueness of the mapping between the first-order echoes and convex polyhedral rooms. A deterministic solution from the noiseless TOA measurements and an algorithm that computes a globally optimal solution from noisy TOA measurements are presented in Section 4. In Section 5 we numerically validate the performance of the algorithm.

2. PROBLEM SETUP

Suppose that a mobile device carrying an omnidirectional source and an omnidirectional receiver traverses a trajectory described by the waypoints \( \{ r_i \in \mathbb{R}^3 : 1 \leq i \leq N \} \). At every location, the source produces a pulse, and the receiver registers the echoes. We assume that the receiver can observe the first-order echoes.

Sound propagation is then described by a family of room impulse responses (RIRs) where each RIR is idealized as a train of Dirac delta impulses produced by the real and image sources, and recorded by the microphone at position \( r_i \).
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\[ d_{i,j} = \frac{c \tau_{i,j}}{2} \]

The case of collocated microphone and source is illustrated in Fig. 1. A particularity of this setup is that the propagation times are proportional to the distance between the microphone and the source.

\[ h_i(t) = \sum_{j \geq 0} a_{i,j} \phi(t - \tau_{i,j}) \]  \hspace{1cm} (1)

where \( a_{i,j} \) are the received magnitudes that depend on the wall absorption coefficients and the distance of the real or image source from the microphone.

To model echoes, we use the image source (IS) model [17, 18]. We replace reflections with signals produced by image sources—mirror images of the real sources across the corresponding walls (Fig. 1). We can describe the \( j \)th wall by its outward-pointing unit normal \( \mathbf{n}_j \) and by some (any) wall point \( \mathbf{p}_j \). The wall then lies in the plane

\[ \mathcal{P}_j = \{ \mathbf{x} : \mathbf{n}_j^T (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{p}_j) = 0 \} \]  \hspace{1cm} (2)

With reference to Fig. 1 the corresponding first-order image source \( \mathbf{s}_j \) is computed as \( \mathbf{s}_j = \mathbf{r} + 2 (\mathbf{p}_j - \mathbf{r}, \mathbf{n}_j) \mathbf{n}_j \). The propagation time \( \tau_{i,j} \), also known as the time of arrival (TOA), is proportional to the distance between the microphone \( \mathbf{r}_i \) and the source \( \mathbf{s}_i,j \):

\[ \tau_{i,j} = \frac{||\mathbf{s}_i,j - \mathbf{r}_i||}{c} \]  \hspace{1cm} (3)

where \( c \) is the speed of sound.

The case of collocated microphone and source is illustrated in Fig. 1. A particularity of this setup is that the propagation times directly reveal the distances between the measurement locations and the walls, given by

\[ d_{i,j} = \frac{c \tau_{i,j}}{2} \]  \hspace{1cm} (4)

In the following we assume that we have access to the first-order echoes and that the measurements of distance \( d_{i,j} \) are obtained from their propagation times. Also, we assume to know correct labelling between each first-order echo and the corresponding wall.

3. CHARACTERIZATION OF ROOMS BY FIRST-ORDER ECHOES

We start by describing the solution with ideal, noiseless measurements. Recall that we consider the room to be a convex polyhedron whose faces (reflectors) are given in the Hessian normal form

\[ \langle \mathbf{n}_j, \mathbf{x} \rangle = q_j, \]  \hspace{1cm} (5)

where \( q_j \geq 0 \) is the distance of the plane from the origin, \( q_j = \langle \mathbf{n}_j, \mathbf{p}_j \rangle \).

3.1. Linear dependence of propagation times

From the image source model we know that the distance \( (\mathbf{r}_i, \mathbf{p}_j) \) is

\[ d_{i,j} = \langle \mathbf{p}_j - \mathbf{r}_i, \mathbf{n}_j \rangle \]  \hspace{1cm} (6)

for every measurement \( i = 1, \ldots, N \) and wall \( j = 1, \ldots, K \). We choose \( N \) so that \( N \geq K \), and define \( \mathbf{D} \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times K} \) to be the matrix with entries \( d_{i,j} \). These times are not independent. In particular, we can state the following result.

Proposition 1. With \( \mathbf{D} \) defined as above, we have

\[ \text{rank}(\mathbf{D}) \leq d + 1. \]  \hspace{1cm} (7)

Proof. Denoting \( \mathbf{N} = [\mathbf{n}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{n}_K], \mathbf{P} = [\mathbf{p}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{p}_K] \), and \( \mathbf{R} = [\mathbf{r}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{r}_N] \), we can write \( \mathbf{D} \) as

\[ \mathbf{D} = -\mathbf{R}^T \mathbf{N} + 1 \mathbf{q}^T. \]  \hspace{1cm} (8)

Because \( \text{rank}(\mathbf{R}^T \mathbf{N}) \leq d \) and \( \text{rank}(1 \mathbf{q}^T) = 1 \), the statement follows by the rank inequalities.

Remark: In most cases, \( \text{rank}(\mathbf{D}) \) will be exactly \( d + 1 \). It can be reduced only by special construction.

This property (or its approximate version in the noisy case) is useful for 1) echo sorting, 2) in real situations when echoes will come in and out of existence, and this property allows us to complete the matrix \( \mathbf{D} \) and estimate the unobserved times.

3.2. Uniqueness of first-order echoes

At every one of \( N \) locations we observe \( K \) distance measurements defined in (5). The unknowns that we are estimating are the wall parameters—\( \mathbf{p}_j \) and \( \mathbf{n}_j \)—and the measurement locations, \( \mathbf{r}_i \). We are to recover \( dK + dN \) unknown coordinates from \( KN \) distance measurements, where \( d \in \{2, 3\} \) is the dimension of a space. It is clear that translated and rotated version of the system will yield exactly the same measurement, so we can fix these \( d(d+1)/2 \) degrees of freedom. Requiring that \( KN \geq dK + dN - d(d+1)/2 \), we get a necessary condition for the triples \((d, K, N)\) for which we can reveal the unknown coordinates. Few examples of triplets are given in the Table 1.

| \( d \) | \( 2 \) | \( 3 \) |
|---|---|---|
| \( K \) | \( 3 \) | \( 4 \) | \( 5 \) |
| \( N \) | \( 3 \) | \( 3 \) | \( 6 \) | \( 5 \) | \( 4 \) |

Table 1. Values of the smallest triplets \((d, K, N)\) sufficient for the room and the trajectory reconstruction.

However, in this case counting the degrees of freedom is slightly misleading. It turns out that it is impossible to always uniquely reconstruct the room and the trajectory even when we fix the global
translation and rotation, regardless of the number of measurements. There is another invariance for a very important class of rooms—rectangular, which does not affect the distances between the measurement locations and walls. Moreover, ambiguities arise for an important class of trajectories too—linear, as shown by the following lemma.

Lemma 1. Two rooms with unit wall normals \( n_j \) and \( m_j \) generate identical measurements with trajectories \( r_i \) and \( s_i \) if and only if:

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
  r_1^T \\
  r_2^T \\
  \vdots \\
  r_N^T
\end{bmatrix}
- \begin{bmatrix}
  s_1^T \\
  s_2^T \\
  \vdots \\
  s_N^T
\end{bmatrix}
= \begin{bmatrix}
n_1 \\
n_2 \\
\vdots \\
m_K
\end{bmatrix}
= 0.
\]

Proof. Let us assume that we have two sets of parameters that describe rooms and measurement locations, \( \Phi \) and \( \Phi' \), which result in the same set of distance measurements. Sets consist of wall normals, wall points, and measurement locations: \( \Phi = \{ n_j, \ldots, n_K, p_1, \ldots, p_K, r_1, \ldots, r_N \} \) and \( \Phi' = \{ m_j, \ldots, m_K, q_1, \ldots, q_K, s_1, \ldots, s_N \} \). From the assumption of equal distance measurements, \( d_{i,j} = d'_{i,j} \), we obtain using (5)

\[
\langle p_j, n_j \rangle - \langle r_i, n_j \rangle = \langle q_j, m_j \rangle - \langle s_i, m_j \rangle \quad \forall i, j.
\]  

(8)

A specific case of choosing \( n_j, r_i, m_j, s_i \) such that

\[
\langle r_i, n_j \rangle = \langle s_i, m_j \rangle
\]  

implies that we need to find \( p_j \) and \( q_j \) such that

\[
\langle p_j, n_j \rangle = \langle q_j, m_j \rangle.
\]  

(9)

As for each wall \( j \) we obtain one equation (10) with 2\( d \) variables that are independent across the walls, we can always find a solution of such linear equation. Therefore, we focus on analyzing the solutions of Eq. (9). In a matrix form we have

\[
R_0N_0 = 0, \quad R_0 = \begin{bmatrix}
r_1 \\
r_2 \\
\vdots \\
r_N
\end{bmatrix}
- \begin{bmatrix}
s_1 \\
s_2 \\
\vdots \\
s_N
\end{bmatrix}
= \begin{bmatrix}
n_1 \\
n_2 \\
\vdots \\
m_K
\end{bmatrix}
= 0.
\]

(11)

The solution exists when the columns of \( N_0 \) are in the nullspace of \( R_0 \) and the rows of \( R_0 \) are in the nullspace of \( N_0^T \).

In the general case when \( \langle r_i, n_j \rangle \neq \langle s_i, m_j \rangle \) we denote the difference \( \langle p_j, n_j \rangle - \langle q_j, m_j \rangle = \langle r_i, n_j \rangle - \langle s_i, m_j \rangle = w_{i,j} \) and obtain the equations:

\[
\langle r_i, n_j \rangle = \langle s_i, m_j \rangle + w_{i,j}
\]  

(12)

\[
\langle p_j, n_j \rangle = \langle q_j, m_j \rangle + w_{i,j}.
\]  

(13)

We notice that all variables in Eq. (13) depend only on the wall index, so we require the same for a new variable, \( w'_{i,j} = w_{k,j} = w_j \), \( V_i, k \). Further, we can write any real number as an inner product of some vectors. We define \( v_j \in \mathbb{R}^2 \), such that \( w_j = v_j^T n_j \). Then, from Eq. (12) we obtain \( \langle r_i - v_j, n_j \rangle = \langle s_i, m_j \rangle \) that can be written in a matrix form as

\[
RN = 0.
\]  

(14)

Analogously to Eq. (11), this implies that the rows of \( R \) must be in a nullspace of \( N^T \). The matrix \( N \) is constructed by \( N \) times concatenating \( 2d \times K \) matrices \( N_0 \). To find a solution of Eq. (8), we study the nullspace of the matrix \( N^T (N_0^T) \) and find the vectors \( r_i \), \( v_j \), and \( s_i \) that live in the nullspace. Generically for \( K \geq 4 \), the nullspace is empty. For it to be nonempty, we must explicitly assume different linear dependencies among the columns or rows. The detailed analysis is omitted due to space limit and is deferred to in our forthcoming publication [13]. In the analysis we also show that the Eq. (11) gives the same characterization of the uniqueness property as the general case, Eq. (14). In other words, \( R_0N_0 = 0 \) covers all possible combinations of rooms and measurement locations that result in the same set of distance measurements.

Remarkable is a consequence of Lemma 1 stated in Theorem 1.

Theorem 1. Regardless of the number of measurements, same set of the first-order echoes in two rooms can occur if and only if the room is a parallelogram or measurement locations are collinear.

Proofs are straightforward from the omitted analysis.

4. ROOM GEOMETRY ESTIMATION AND MEASUREMENT LOCALIZATION

4.1. Noiseless measurements

The room geometry and the measurement locations can be jointly recovered from only a few noiseless distance measurements. A benefit of the idealized measurements is to simply illustrate how the echoes reveal essential geometric information about the sources–channel–receivers system. Moreover, the same approach to the solution can be used when the noise is small, while the globally optimal solution is introduced in Section 4.2.

In order to fix some degrees of freedom we choose 4 scalars required to specify the translation and the rotation, \( r_1 = [0, 0]^T \) and \( n_1 = [1, 0]^T \). This results in new identities \( \langle p_j, n_j \rangle = d_{i,j} \) and \( \langle q_j, m_j \rangle = d_{i,j} \), and simplifies the initial formulation of the distance measurements (6). The system of equations from which we jointly reveal \( n_j \) and \( r_i \) for all \( i = 1, \ldots, N \) and \( j = 1, \ldots, K \) thus consists of \((N - 1)(K - 1)\) equations of the form

\[
r_{x,i}n_{x,j} + (d_{i,1} - d_{i,j})n_{y,j} = d_{i,j} - d_{i,1}.
\]  

(15)

4.2. Noisy measurements

In reality we get noisy distance measurements and solving polynomial equations might be problematic. Additionally, this algebraic approach makes it challenging to incorporate any prior knowledge we might have about the room or the trajectory. It is easy to imagine scenarios where some inertial information is available, and the above approach provides no simple way to integrate it.

To address these shortcomings, we formulate the joint recovery as an optimization problem. Noisy measurements are given as

\[
d_{i,j} = d_{i,j} + \epsilon_{i,j} = \langle p_j - r_i, n_j \rangle + \epsilon_{i,j},
\]  

(16)
where $\epsilon_{i,j}$ is noise. It is natural to seek the best estimate of the unknown vectors by solving

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{minimize} & \quad \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{K} (d_{i,j} - q_{j} + n_{i,j}^{T} r_{i})^2 \\
\text{subject to} & \quad \|n_{i,j}\| = 1, \quad j = 1, \ldots, K.
\end{align*}
\]

(17)

We make two remarks. First, this cost function is analogous to the familiar stress function common in multidimensional scaling. Second, if $\epsilon_{i,j}$ are iid Gaussian random variables, then solving (17) gives us the maximum likelihood estimates of the trajectory and the room geometry.

The cost function is not convex and minimizing it is difficult due to many local minima. However, different search methods have been developed that guarantee global convergence in algorithms for nonlinear programming (NLP). We mention two approaches: global optimization for bilinear programming and interior-point filter line-search algorithm for large-scale nonlinear programming (IPOPT).

The first approach is based on a formulation of our cost function as a bilinear program (BLP). The bilinearization is achieved by rewriting the problem so that all the nonconvexities are due to bilinear terms in the objective function or the constraints.

By introducing a new variable $u_{i,j} \overset{\text{def}}{=} n_{i,j}^{T} r_{i}$, and letting $u_{i,j} = [q_{j} \quad u_{i,j}]^{T}$, we can rewrite the cost function as

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{minimize} & \quad \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{K} u_{i,j}^{T} A u_{i,j} - 2d_{i,j} c^{T} u_{i,j} - d_{i,j}^{2} \\
\text{subject to} & \quad n_{i,j}^{T} n_{i,j} = 1, \quad j = 1, \ldots, K \quad u_{i,j} = n_{i,j}^{T} r_{i}, \quad u_{i,j} = [q_{j} \quad u_{i,j}]^{T},
\end{align*}
\]

with

\[
A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad c = \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix},
\]

resulting in a bilinear cost function subject to bilinear constraints. Although such formulation also belongs to the class of nonconvex nonlinear programming problems with multiple local optima, (empirically) efficient strategies for its global minimization exist. A linear programming (LP) relaxations suggested by McCormick [19] are the most widely used techniques for obtaining lower bounds for a factorable nonconvex problem. In the numerical experiments for this paper, instead of using our home-brewed implementation of McCormick’s method, we use the significantly faster line search filter method (IPOPT) proposed in [20] and implemented in an open-source package as a part of COIN-OR Initiative [21]. Further improvement of computational efficiency is the focus of an ongoing research. By resorting to IPOPT we also get a guarantee on global convergence under appropriate (mild) assumptions. Although we do not yet have a formal proof that our problem satisfies the required assumptions, exhaustive numerical simulations suggest that the method efficiently finds an optimal solution in all our test cases and demonstrates favorable performance compared to other NLP solvers. Additional advantage of using this method is that we can add various constraints without introducing auxiliary variables and increasing the size of a model.

5. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

For numerical simulations we used interface IPOPT through the optimization software APMonitor Modeling Language.

Figures 3 and 4 illustrate Theorem 1 and exhibit non-unique reconstructions of room geometries from the first-order echoes. Room impulse responses recorded at the locations marked with the same color and number in different rooms are equivalent. Corresponding echoes and walls are indicated by the same line pattern. The left sides of Figures 5 and 6 respectively depict the reconstruction of the room geometry and measurement locations for a fixed number of measurements ($N = 8$) and Gaussian noise, $N(0, 0.05^{2})$. The right sides show the dependence of the estimation error on the standard deviation of the noise. This standard deviation was increasing from 0 to 0.15 with steps 0.005, and for each value we performed 1000 experiments. The average SNR is plotted above the graph.

![Fig. 5. Left: Room reconstructions of 10 experiments with measurement noise $\epsilon_{i,j} \sim N(0, 0.05^{2})$ are illustrated in blue, while the original room is colored black. Right: Dependence of the estimation error on the noise. Estimation error is defined as the Euclidean distance between the vertices of the original and the reconstructed room.](image_url)

![Fig. 6. Left: Estimated measurement locations of 10 experiments are plotted in lighter shades, while the original measurement locations stand out as bordered circles. Right: Dependence of the estimation error on the noise. The estimation error is defined as Euclidean distance between original and reconstructed measurement locations.](image_url)

6. CONCLUSION

We presented an algorithm for reconstructing the 2D geometry of a convex polyhedral room from a few first-order echoes. Our sensing setup is rudimentary—we assumed a single omnidirectional sound source and a single omnidirectional microphone collocated on the same device, and no preinstalled infrastructure in the room. We established conditions on room geometry and measurement locations under which the first-order echoes collected by a microphone define a room uniquely. Further, we stated our problem as a non-convex optimization problem and proposed a fast optimization tool which simultaneously estimates the geometry of a room and locations of the measurements. We empirically observe that the structure of our cost function admits efficient computation of the globally optimal solution. We showed through extensive numerical experiments that our method is robust to noise.
Ongoing research includes the extension of the study to 3D and the verification of the method through experiments with real measured room impulse responses.
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