Hawaii Coastal Seawater CO$_2$ Network: A Statistical Evaluation of a Decade of Observations on Tropical Coral Reefs
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A statistical evaluation of nearly 10 years of high-resolution surface seawater carbon dioxide partial pressure (pCO$_2$) time-series data collected from coastal moorings around O‘ahu, Hawai‘i suggest that these coral reef ecosystems were largely a net source of CO$_2$ to the atmosphere between 2008 and 2016. The largest air-sea flux (1.24 ± 0.33 mol m$^{-2}$ yr$^{-1}$) and the largest variability in seawater pCO$_2$ (950 µatm overall range or 8x the open ocean range) were observed at the CRIMP-2 site, near a shallow barrier coral reef system in Kaneohe Bay O‘ahu. Two south shore sites, Kilo Nalu and Ala Wai, also exhibited about twice the surface water pCO$_2$ variability of the open ocean, but had net fluxes that were much closer to the open ocean than the strongly calcifying system at CRIMP-2. All mooring sites showed the opposite seasonal cycle from the atmosphere, with the highest values in the summer and lower values in the winter. Average coastal diurnal variabilities ranged from a high of 192 µatm/day to a low of 32 µatm/day at the CRIMP-2 and Kilo Nalu sites, respectively, which is one to two orders of magnitude greater than observed at the open ocean site. Here we examine the modes and drivers of variability at the different coastal sites. Although daily to seasonal variations in pCO$_2$ and air-sea CO$_2$ fluxes are strongly affected by localized processes, basin-scale climate oscillations also affect the variability on interannual time scales.
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**INTRODUCTION**

Since the start of the industrial revolution, atmospheric CO$_2$ concentrations have increased steadily from ~280 ppm in preindustrial times, reaching 408 ppm in 2018 at the Mauna Loa Observatory, Hawai‘i Island, Hawai‘i$^1$ (Keeling et al., 1976, 2001; Tans and Kieling, 2017; Dlugokencky and Tans, 2018). The average annual growth rate of atmospheric CO$_2$ was 2 ppm yr$^{-1}$ during the last decade (2007–2016), but varies from year to year (Dlugokencky and Tans, 2018). Through the process of air-sea gas exchange, the global ocean has taken up approximately one quarter of the CO$_2$

$^1$https://www.esrl.noaa.gov/gmd/ccgg/trends/
emissions since the Industrial Revolution (Le Quéré et al., 2018). The trend of rising atmospheric CO$_2$ concentrations has been well documented for several decades at various geographic locations (Keeling et al., 1976, 2001; Thoning et al., 1989; Chamard et al., 2003). Over the last 20 years, the uptake of CO$_2$ by the ocean, the subsequent decrease in seawater pH (Ocean Acidification, OA), and the consequences of enhanced ocean acidity for the marine environment and its organisms has been an important topic of study (Kleypas et al., 1999; Caldeira and Wickett, 2003; Feely et al., 2004; Sabine et al., 2004; Kleypas and Langdon, 2006; Hoegh-Guldberg et al., 2007; Dore et al., 2009; Gattuso and Hansson, 2011; Andersson and Gledhill, 2013; Mackenzie and Andersson, 2013).

Quantifying CO$_2$ fluxes in the coastal ocean and particularly in coral reef ecosystems can be more challenging than in the open ocean owing to a variety of factors, including especially the larger variability observed in the former. To help resolve this problem, high-resolution monitoring of seawater partial pressure of CO$_2$ (pCO$_2$sw) on surface buoys in coastal regions began late in 2005 (see Massaro et al., 2012). Ocean carbonate chemistry research conducted in various coastal settings (Drupp et al., 2011, 2013; Yan et al., 2011, 2016; Massaro et al., 2012; Bates et al., 2014; Shaw et al., 2014; Sutton et al., 2014a, 2016) has shown that, in order to understand the drivers of natural variability and identify long-term trends, it is necessary to gain better insight into the processes that control marine CO$_2$ concentrations, including the direction and magnitude of the air-sea CO$_2$ flux.

The high temporal variability of the CO$_2$–carbonate system parameters in coral reefs is associated with changes in the magnitude of forcing mechanisms, and resultant changes in other water quality parameters (Kayanne et al., 1995; Gagliano et al., 2010; Drupp et al., 2011, 2013; Hofmann et al., 2011; Shamberger et al., 2011; Price et al., 2012; Shaw and McNeill, 2014; Courtney et al., 2017). Although great strides have been made over the past few years in adding time-series stations on coral reefs, and undertaking process-based studies at these locations (e.g., Yeakel et al., 2015; Drupp et al., 2016; Courtney et al., 2017; Page et al., 2017, 2018; Eyre et al., 2018), it is only at a relatively small number of sites that the biogeochemical conditions in waters of coral reefs have been successfully observed for extended periods of time. Hence, thorough characterization and unequivocal attribution of observed changes to ocean acidification (OA) remain elusive for most coral reef settings.

The biogeochemistry of Hawai'i's coastal waters is strongly influenced by land-derived nutrient inputs (Ringuel and Mackenzie, 2005; De Carlo et al., 2007; Fagan and Mackenzie, 2007; Hoover and Mackenzie, 2009), which drive primary productivity and impact the CO$_2$–carbonic acid system parameters (e.g., Fagan and Mackenzie, 2007; Drupp et al., 2011, 2013; Shamberger et al., 2011; Massaro et al., 2012). High-nutrient freshwater entering coastal waters causes increased phytoplankton growth, often leading to the drawdown of pCO$_2$sw (Fagan and Mackenzie, 2007; Drupp et al., 2011, 2012). The duration of such phytoplankton blooms depends strongly on physical processes including water residence time and mixing (e.g., De Carlo et al., 2007; Tomlinson et al., 2011), as well as biotic effects such as predation (e.g., Hoover et al., 2006).

In an effort to characterize the dynamics of the CO$_2$–carbonic acid system in waters bathing coral reefs on short and long time scales, NOAA/PMEL and University of Hawai'i scientists established the first coastal seawater pCO$_2$ monitoring station at Kaneohe Bay in 2005. The Coral Reef Instrumented and CO$_2$ Monitoring Platform (CRIMP-CO$_2$) was first deployed in southern Kaneohe Bay, but was moved in 2008 to the inner edge of the barrier reef. Two additional buoys were also deployed in 2008 on the south shore of O'ahu, Hawai'i (see Figure 1). A fourth buoy was added in 2011 on the offshore side of the Kaneohe Bay barrier reef to help characterize the source waters entering the bay. The buoys collect high temporal resolution (3-hourly) CO$_2$ time-series data that have been used to assess inorganic carbon variability (Massaro et al., 2012; Drupp et al., 2013). These now nearly decade-long time series provide a unique opportunity to quantify the influence of local climatic, geographic, and biogeochemical processes on CO$_2$ dynamics. An open ocean mooring, WHOI Hawaii Ocean Time Series (WHOTS), operated by the Woods Hole Oceanographic Institution (WHOI), 100 km North of O’ahu, in the North Pacific Subtropical Gyre, also collects high-resolution surface seawater pCO$_2$ (pCO$_2$sw) observations and provides an open ocean reference to compare to data from the coastal O’ahu sites (Dore et al., 2003; Sutton et al., 2017). The WHOTS mooring is located at the station ALOHA (A Long-term Oligotrophic Habitat Assessment) oceanographic observatory (Karl and Church, 2018).

This paper builds on earlier work (Drupp et al., 2013) and utilizes nearly 10 years of seawater pCO$_2$ data to investigate long-term changes and differences in variability across sites around O’ahu, Hawai’i. Due to the low signal-to-noise ratio in these highly variable coastal locations, the datasets are too short to discern statistically significant long-term trends in pCO$_2$sw, which underscores the importance of continuing high-quality observations. Regardless, data from these stations represent the longest running coordinated autonomous pCO$_2$ time series characterizing differing coral environments around a single island. The high-resolution data permit a detailed description and analysis of the variability of pCO$_2$sw in O’ahu’s coastal waters. The results of this study enhance our understanding of the behavior of the tropical coastal ocean with respect to the CO$_2$–carbonic acid system and provide a basis to begin to refine regional coastal CO$_2$ budgets. Our observations and interpretation also contribute to the goals of the Global Ocean Acidification Observing Network (GOA-ON) to determine spatio-temporal patterns in carbon chemistry, and provide information for state and federal agency managers and decision makers with respect to coastal management.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Environmental Setting**

The CRIMP-2 buoy is a Moored Autonomous pCO$_2$ (MAPCO$_2$) buoy that was deployed in June 2008 over a sandy bottom in
FIGURE 1 | Locations of the four O'ahu coral reef MAPCO₂ moorings. (A) The Hawaiian Islands, highlighting O'ahu. (B) The island of O'ahu, highlighting Kaneohe Bay on the windward (east) coast and the Honolulu watersheds on the southern coast. (C) Kaneohe Bay, with the CRIMP-2 buoy located on the back reef and the Kaneohe buoy on the deeper forereef. The red dot represents the previous CRIMP location. (D) Two south shore buoys. Ala Wai (WQB-AW) and Kilo Nalu (WQB-KN) are located 200 m offshore of urban Honolulu above fringing reefs. WQB-AW is located near the mouth of the Ala Wai Canal, which drains the Honolulu city watersheds. Adapted from Drupp et al. (2013).

three meters of water depth on the landward edge of the barrier reef of Kaneohe Bay (Figure 1). Kaneohe bay is the largest semi-enclosed water body on O’ahu and is located on the windward side of the island, where northeasterly trade winds blow most of the year (Giambelluca et al., 1986, 2011). The entire bay is relatively shallow, with a mean depth of 9.5 m. The depth of the barrier reef is quite variable with a significant area characterized by approximately 2 m water depth, and the mean tidal amplitude in the bay is 68 cm (Ringuet and Mackenzie, 2005). Water at the CRIMP-2 location has a variable residence time (hours to days: Lowe et al., 2009a,b; Ho et al., 2019), which is long compared to the two buoy locations on the South Shore of O’ahu. Generally, open ocean water crosses the barrier reef before reaching CRIMP-2, then enters the deeper lagoon and returns to the ocean through the shallow Sampan Channel (Lowe et al., 2009a,b). A fraction of the water, however, does not complete its trajectory across the reef and advects toward the Sampan channel, complicating exact residence time estimates (Courtney et al., 2017). Subsequently some of the water exiting the bay during outgoing tides is recirculated over the barrier reef, the amount depending on wind strength and direction and wave conditions.

Two other MAPCO₂ buoys, Ala Wai and Kilo Nalu, are located on sand patches within the spur and groove structure of fringing reefs offshore of Honolulu, in Mamala Bay on the South Shore of O’ahu (Figure 1). Compared to the CRIMP-2 location, this coastline is unprotected. It is directly affected by tides and winds, and is exposed to open ocean swells from the south, particularly during the Austral winter. The Ala Wai buoy (WQB-AW in Figure 1) is ~300 m offshore of the mouth of the Ala Wai Canal, where freshwater discharge from a large part of urban Honolulu often delivers high nutrient and sediment loads to the buoy site (e.g., De Carlo et al., 2004; Tomlinson et al., 2011). The Kilo Nalu buoy (WQB-KN in Figure 1), located ~400 m offshore, is not directly affected by stream discharge, although the local coastal waters receive urban overland runoff, input from nearby storm drains, and groundwater discharge. Depending on currents and wind conditions, some water from the Ala Wai canal occasionally advects toward the Kilo Nalu site. Water on the south shore fringing reefs is thought to have a short residence time because of significant tidal and wind-driven currents. Near bed current velocities at Kilo Nalu of nearly one knot have been reported (Fogaren et al., 2013). Stronger values are typically observed near the surface, particularly during rising tides, when the flow is in the same direction as the predominant wind driven flow. Data coverage for the 8-year record for all Hawaii sites ranged from 40% (Ala Wai) to 77% (CRIMP-2) for $p$CO₂sw. Data gaps were due to time spent off station for annual servicing and sensor/platform malfunctions.

The WHOTS buoy is the surface element of an open ocean mooring, located within the Station ALOHA circle, centered at 22° 45’ N, 158° W approximately 100 km due north of O’ahu in the oligotrophic North Pacific Ocean². A MAPCO₂ system on the WHOTS buoy has provided a continuous time series since 2004, complementing the Hawai’i Ocean Time Series (HOT) ship-based program that has been operating at Station ALOHA for more than 25 years. OA and the fluxes of CO₂ have been well characterized at the HOT site (Dore et al., 2003, 2009; Sutton et al., 2017).

²http://uop.whoi.edu/currentprojects/whots/whots.html
To put the findings of this study in a more global context, the CRIMP-2 seawater pCO$_2$ time-series data from three other coral reef MAPCO$_2$ sites are directly compared. Two are located in the Atlantic Ocean (“La Parguera” in Puerto Rico, and “Crescent reef” in Bermuda) and one is in the Pacific (“Chuuk K1” in Micronesia). These three sites were chosen mainly because of their relatively long and continuous CO$_2$ record and their different geographic locations. La Parguera and Crescent reef are both located in the North Atlantic Ocean. Crescent reef in Bermuda is a high latitude reef (32.40°N, 64.79°W), where the large seasonal sea surface temperature (SST) cycle drives the observed large seasonal pCO$_2$ cycle. The La Parguera site in Puerto Rico (17.95°N, 67.05°W) is located within a natural reserve on a shallow (1.5–5 m) fore-reef. Chuuk K1 in the equatorial Pacific is a low latitude (7.46°N, 151.90°E) reef located in Micronesia within a semi-enclosed atoll in ~23 m water depth. Time frames evaluated were 2009–2016 for La Parguera, 2011–2016 for Chuuk-K1, and 2010–2016 for Crescent reef.

**Measurements**

At the above described locations, a MAPCO$_2$ system is deployed on each of the surface buoys. The MAPCO$_2$ measures the mole fraction of CO$_2$ (xCO$_2$) in the marine boundary layer and in air in equilibrium with surface seawater every 3 h using a non-dispersive infrared (NDIR) sensor, which is calibrated with standard reference gases in situ before each measurement. The pCO$_2$ of air and seawater is calculated from the xCO$_2$ following the method of Weiss and Price (1980) with a resulting uncertainty of < 2 µatm [see Sutton et al. (2014b) for a more detailed description of the MAPCO$_2$ methodology]. Other water property data including sea surface temperature (SST), salinity (SSS), dissolved oxygen (DO), turbidity and fluorescence are collected by SeaBird 16V2 instruments at the same (or higher) frequency as the CO$_2$ observations. Finalized quality-controlled data from the buoys are publicly available and can be found online.

**Ancillary Data**

Wind data needed for CO$_2$ flux calculations at coastal locations were obtained from the NOAA-National Ocean Service Station OOUH1 in Honolulu, at the Hawai‘i Institute for Marine Biology weather station on Moku o Lo‘e (Coconut Island) in South Kaneohe Bay, and at the Kaneohe MCAS ID911760 (Kaneohe Marine Corps Base). Wind data for the WHOTS buoy are collected on site by an Air-Sea Interaction Meteorology (ASIMET) system (Weller, 2018).

Climate oscillation index data for the Pacific Decadal Oscillation (PDO) and the Oceanic Niño Index (ONI) were obtained from NOAA and for the North Pacific Gyre Oscillation from E. Di Lorenzo (Di Lorenzo et al., 2008; Chhak and Di Lorenzo, 2009).

**Calculations**

Air-sea CO$_2$ fluxes are calculated from the gas transfer (piston) velocity using the parameterization of Ho et al. (2006) and the sea-air pCO$_2$ difference (e.g., Weiss, 1974; Liss, 1983; Wanninkhof, 1992) according to equation (1):

\[
F = k \alpha \Delta pCO_2
\]

where \(k\) is the gas transfer (piston) velocity, \(\alpha\) is the solubility of CO$_2$ in seawater at a specified salinity and temperature, and \(\Delta pCO_2\) is the difference between seawater and air pCO$_2$. The gas transfer velocity, \(k\), was calculated using equation (2) (Ho et al., 2006):

\[
k(600) = 0.266 (U10)^2
\]

where \(k(600)\) is a scaling factor for the gas transfer velocity and \(U10\) is the wind speed at 10 m altitude above the water surface. If wind speed was not measured at 10 m, it was converted to \(U10\) using the wind profile power law (equation 3, Peterson and Hennessey, 1978):

\[
U10 = \left( \frac{10}{z} \right)^{1/7}
\]

where in (3), \(z\) is the altitude of the wind speed measurement and 1/7 is a scaling factor that is used when assuming neutral stability conditions of the overlying atmosphere. Wind speed data were provided as hourly average values, and each wind speed value was matched with the closest buoy pCO$_2$ measurement. The uncertainty in the flux (1 standard deviation) was calculated by error propagation of 2 µatm uncertainty in the pCO$_2$ measurement (Sutton et al., 2014b) and an uncertainty of 0.019 in the gas transfer velocity, \(k\) (Ho et al., 2006). Other studies have described uncertainties in coastal CO$_2$ flux estimates due to the physical differences between nearshore and open ocean wind and current stresses (e.g., Tokoro et al., 2014). Although the gas transfer velocity is derived from an open ocean relationship, recent work by Ho et al. (2019) in Kaneohe Bay show its general applicability to coastal and lagoon waters. Further refinement of the gas exchange at shallow reef sites, however, would benefit from inclusion of the effect of current induced turbulence on \(k\).

In order to isolate pCO$_2$ changes due to biogeochemical and physical factors from the direct temperature effect on solubility, the observed values were normalized to the mean temperature at each buoy over the full study period, using equation 4 (Takahashi et al., 1993, 2002). This methodology assumes that for every degree Celsius increase in temperature, the pCO$_2$sw will increase by 4.23%.

\[
pCO_2sw \text{ at } T_{\text{mean}} = pCO_2\text{obs} \times \exp(0.0432 \times (T_{\text{mean}} - T_{\text{obs}}))
\]

where in (4), \(T\) is the temperature in °C and the subscripts “mean” and “obs” refer to the annual mean and the individual observed values, respectively.

To plot weekly (monthly) means in averaged time series graphs, a moving average filter was applied over 56 (243) data points, which is the number of measurements made per week.
(month). Additionally, monthly means were calculated for each month of the study period, and “sub-monthly” variability (mostly diurnal and tidal fluctuation) was defined as the average of the standard deviations of the monthly means. Monthly means were then averaged over each year (to annual means), and “sub-annual” variability (mostly seasonal fluctuation) was defined as the average of the standard deviations of the annual means. Finally, annual means were averaged over two 4-year periods, and the standard deviation of these means was denoted “sub-decadal” variability, describing inter-annual changes.

Long-term trends were quantified using a linear least squares regression of monthly mean pCO₂ values over time, with beginning and end points chosen in the same month, to avoid biases resulting from different phases of the seasonal cycle. Trend significance was calculated by doing a two sample T-test using a 5% significance level.

RESULTS

Seawater pCO₂

Descriptive statistics of pCO₂sw at each site for the period from June 2008 through December 2016 are shown in Table 1. Mean (±1 standard deviation of the mean) of pCO₂sw values at CRIMP-2, Ala Wai, Kilo Nalu, and WHOTS were 457 ± 91, 399 ± 29, 386 ± 21, and 378 ± 13 µatm, respectively. Mean pCO₂sw values at Kilo Nalu and WHOTS were equal within one standard deviation, although the range in pCO₂sw at Kilo Nalu was nearly twice as large as that at the open ocean WHOTS buoy (Table 1). The largest range in pCO₂sw was observed at the CRIMP-2 buoy on the barrier reef of Kaneohe Bay, with an overall range of 950 µatm, which is nearly four times larger than the ranges at Ala Wai and Kilo Nalu (240 µatm, and 198 µatm, respectively) and about eight times the range of pCO₂sw observed at the WHOTS buoy (94 µatm). Additionally, the mean daily range in pCO₂sw at CRIMP-2 (Table 2) was nearly four times as large as at Ala Wai and Kilo Nalu, and more than an order of magnitude greater than that at WHOTS.

The time-series of pCO₂sw at the four O‘ahu locations, from June 2008 through December 2016, is shown in Figure 2A. The greatest daily variations in pCO₂sw occurred at the CRIMP-2 buoy (green) while the smallest were at the WHOTS buoy (blue). A seasonal pattern was observed at all buoys, with generally higher pCO₂sw in summer (May through October) than in winter (November through April). A clear deviation from the seasonal pattern is visible at Ala Wai during the period October 2013–April 2014, with pCO₂sw increasing at Ala Wai, while decreasing at the other buoys. An opposite seasonal pattern was observed for atmospheric pCO₂ (pCO₂air), which is largely due to the large terrestrial carbon uptake (release) by vegetation during Northern Hemisphere summer (winter) (Keeling et al., 1976).

The pCO₂sw normalized to the overall mean seawater temperature at each buoy (pCO₂ at T_mean, shown in Figure 2B) illustrates variations in pCO₂sw presumably due primarily to biological processes (Takahashi et al., 2002). Although the sub-seasonal variations are similar to the in situ data, the seasonal cycle due to temperature variations is dampened, as previously described by Drupp et al. (2013).

Long term trends are more readily visualized by plotting weekly mean pCO₂sw (Figure 3). pCO₂sw was increasing at CRIMP-2 between 2012 and 2015, from a maximum weakly mean of 480 µatm in summer 2011, to a maximum of 660 µatm in summer 2014, and a slightly lower maximum of 638 µatm in September 2015. This steep increase in pCO₂sw was not observed at the other buoys. Figure 3B also shows weekly mean pCO₂ at T_mean, with steeply increasing pCO₂ at Ala Wai during the winter of 2013/2014, in contrast to decreasing pCO₂ at T_mean at the other buoys during that period.

The coral reef MAPCO₂ sites from our study all display increasing pCO₂sw over time [7.5 ± 1.9 (1σ), 8.3 ± 1.0, and 2.6 ± 0.7 µatm yr⁻¹ at CRIMP-2, Ala Wai, and Kilo Nalu, respectively, Figure 3A]. These trends are not statistically significant for p < 0.05 (n.s.).

Air-Sea Fluxes of CO₂

The magnitude of instantaneous air-sea CO₂ fluxes (Figure 4) is much greater at the CRIMP-2 buoy than at the South Shore buoys Ala Wai and Kilo Nalu. The larger (positive as well as

### Table 1 | Descriptive statistics for seawater pCO₂ (pCO₂sw) at CRIMP-2, Ala Wai, Kilo Nalu, and WHOTS for the entire study period.

| pCO₂sw (µatm) | Min | Max | Mean | Standard Deviation | Range | Mean |
|---------------|-----|-----|------|-------------------|-------|------|
| Diurnal Range | 196 | 1146 | 457  | 91                | 950   | 102 |
| CRIMP-2       | 298 | 539 | 399  | 29                | 240   | 49   |
| Ala Wai       | 311 | 509 | 386  | 21                | 198   | 31   |
| Kilo Nalu     | 344 | 438 | 378  | 13                | 94    | 5    |
| WHOTS         |     |     |      |                   |       |      |

Range is defined as minimum – maximum of the entire study period. Diurnal range is defined as the maximum – minimum within a diurnal cycle.

### Table 2 | Year to year air-sea CO₂ fluxes (mol C m⁻² yr⁻¹) at CRIMP-2, Ala Wai, Kilo Nalu, and WHOTS buoys.

| Air-Sea CO₂ flux (mol C m⁻² yr⁻¹) | CRIMP-2 | Ala Wai | Kilo Nalu | WHOTS |
|----------------------------------|---------|---------|-----------|-------|
| Jun08–May09                       | 0.76    | 0.00    | −0.03     | −0.34 |
| Jun09–May10                       | 0.89    | 0.02    | 0.01      | N/A   |
| Jun10–May11                       | 1.34    | 0.03    | 0.03      | −0.41 |
| Jun11–May12                       | 1.04    | 0.08    | −0.05     | 0.51  |
| Jun12–May13                       | 1.23    | 0.04    | 0.01      | 0.07  |
| Jun13–May14                       | 1.51    | 0.15    | −0.01     | 0.27  |
| Jun14–May15                       | 1.89    | 0.02    | 0.02      | 0.11  |
| Jun15–May16                       | 1.38    | N/A     | 0.01      | −0.08 |
| Overall                           | 1.24    | 0.05    | 0.00      | 0.04  |

CO₂ fluxes are calculated from June of one year to May of the following year, because our data set starts in June 2008. Negative values indicate sink behavior (invasion of CO₂ into seawater) and positive values indicate source behavior (outgassing of CO₂ to the atmosphere).
negative) fluxes observed at the two South Shore sites in January and March 2009 coincided with storm events (see Tomlinson et al., 2011). The annual air-sea CO$_2$ fluxes at each buoy from June of a given year to May of the next (Table 2), are typically an order of magnitude larger at CRIMP-2 than at either south shore buoy. The annual CO$_2$ flux at Ala Wai is very small but positive, while at Kilo Nalu it is negative for three out of the 8 years. The CRIMP-2 location frequently switches between CO$_2$ source and sink behavior, but the net annual flux over the entire study period (flux estimate ± 1 standard deviation propagated error) at CRIMP-2 is positive ($1.24 \pm 0.33$ mol m$^{-2}$ yr$^{-1}$; Table 2) and much larger than the fluxes of $0.05 \pm 0.02$ and $0.00 \pm 0.03$ mol m$^{-2}$ yr$^{-1}$ at Ala Wai and Kilo Nalu, respectively.

**Climatology**

Box plots of monthly climatological pCO$_2$sw and air-sea CO$_2$ fluxes are presented in Figures 5, 6, respectively. Although pCO$_2$sw ranges from 196 to 1146 µatm at CRIMP-2 (Table 1), 99.3% of the observed values are between approximately 220 and

---

**FIGURE 2** Time-series of in situ (3-hourly) pCO$_2$sw in µatm at CRIMP2, Ala Wai, Kilo Nalu, and WHOTS from June 2008 to December 2016. Weekly mean air pCO$_2$ at CRIMP2 is displayed in black. (A) pCO$_2$sw at all sites. (B) pCO$_2$sw normalized to the mean annual seawater temperature (pCO$_2$ at T$_{mean}$).
The coral reef sites described here display a different extent of variability in pCO$_2$sw during different months. At CRIMP-2, the highest pCO$_2$sw and greatest variability is observed during the summer (May through October). In contrast, the most variable periods at Ala Wai occur during winter, especially in December through January. The pCO$_2$sw at Kilo Nalu exhibits consistently less variability than Ala Wai.

Instantaneous air-sea CO$_2$ fluxes (Figure 6) also show a slight seasonal pattern similar to that of pCO$_2$sw. At CRIMP-2, higher mean fluxes are observed during summer than winter, and the lowest variability in fluxes is observed during December.

DISCUSSION

To assess various drivers of variability on selected Hawaiian reefs, we compare pCO$_2$sw (see Variability in pCO$_2$sw) and air-sea CO$_2$ fluxes (see Variability in Air-Sea Fluxes) of our most open ocean-like coastal MAPCO$_2$ buoy, Kilo Nalu, to the offshore reference WHOTS mooring, and subsequently examine local differences between all three coral reef locations, as well as monthly climatological values.

Variability in pCO$_2$sw

Coastal vs. Open Ocean pCO$_2$sw

Kilo Nalu was originally selected as a coastal reference point that should reflect near open ocean waters with well mixed conditions. There are indeed similar trends in seasonal variability in pCO$_2$sw at Kilo Nalu and WHOTS (Figure 7A), which can largely be attributed to comparable seasonal SST changes at both sites. Daily pCO$_2$sw fluctuations, however, are much greater at Kilo Nalu’s coastal reef ecosystem than in the open ocean. These diurnal variations in pCO$_2$sw at Kilo Nalu are mainly driven by greater benthic and water column photosynthesis and respiration, which reflect both the presence of a coral reef at Kilo Nalu, and the effect of discharge of nutrients and suspended solids on biogeochemical processes in proximity to land, with additional effects of calcification and dissolution on the reef (Tomlinson et al., 2011; Drupp et al., 2013). Consequently, the seasonal cycles of pCO$_2$sw in both a nearshore reef and the open North Pacific Ocean are similar in amplitude and driven by the temperature effect on CO$_2$ solubility, while short-term variability is much larger in the coral reef environment largely due to biological processes.

Local Drivers of pCO$_2$sw

Local geography, weather, and proximity to land all affect pCO$_2$sw at coastal reef sites. Ala Wai and Kilo Nalu buoys are both a few hundred meters from shore, moored in waters with the same depth. They differ, however, with respect to riverine input. The Ala Wai site receives direct runoff from the Ala Wai Canal, that usually does not strongly impact the Kilo Nalu buoy (Tomlinson et al., 2011). Consequently, the overall range of pCO$_2$sw on diurnal to seasonal time scales (Table 1) is substantially greater at Ala Wai than those observed at Kilo Nalu (Figures 4, 6).
An unusual increase in $pCO_2$ at the Ala Wai buoy was observed during the winter of 2013–2014 (Figure 3A). A decrease in seawater temperature during the winter, however, is expected to cause a decrease in $pCO_2$ (e.g., Takahashi et al., 1993; Massaro et al., 2012; Drupp et al., 2013). Despite the somewhat elevated seawater temperature at both south shore buoys during this period, higher $pCO_2$ values were not observed at Kilo Nalu. In the temperature-normalized $pCO_2$ record for this period (Figure 3B, e.g., Takahashi et al., 1993, 2002), the $pCO_2$ increase at the Ala Wai buoy is even more apparent. Consequently, it is likely that there was increased respiration and organic matter degradation at the mouth of the Ala Wai Canal during this period. This biological activity was potentially triggered by riverine runoff, as suggested by the Kilo Nalu buoy's lack of a response to direct inputs from the Ala Wai Canal.

A distinguishing feature of the CRIMP-2 site is its extreme variability in $pCO_2$ compared to the South Shore buoys (Figures 2, 4–6). CRIMP-2 is located in shallow water (<3 m) on the inside edge of the highly productive barrier reef of Kaneohe Bay, and vigorous calcification/dissolution and primary production/respiration on the reef flat (e.g., Shamberger et al., 2011; Courtney et al., 2017) cause large and occasionally extreme daily swings in $pCO_2$. Page et al. (2018) found that organic carbon cycling (P/R) was dominating Kaneohe Bay barrier reef metabolism compared to inorganic carbon cycling (calcification/dissolution), especially in the winter, with very low $pCO_2$ due to primary productivity during the day, and a strong respiration signal leading to high $pCO_2$ at night. In addition, two physical factors result in a very large amplitude of these daily swings at CRIMP-2 compared to other coral reef sites in this study, residence time and water depth. The longer residence time of seawater on the barrier reef of Kaneohe Bay (up to several days, Lowe et al., 2009) relative to the Honolulu sites allows for a more pronounced signature of changes in $CO_2$ in the water column at CRIMP-2: the products of biological reactions have a longer time to accumulate in a given parcel of water. Additionally, the shallow depth (i.e., low volume of water) at CRIMP-2 may also enhance the effects of benthic productivity and carbonate mineral-seawater interactions (e.g., Drupp et al., 2016; Page et al., 2018) on the $pCO_2$ of surface seawater at this location, by reducing the effective volume of water into which accumulate this and other chemical constituents associated with metabolism.

**Monthly $pCO_2$ Climatology**

The monthly $pCO_2$ climatology for the O‘ahu coastal and WHOTS buoys (Figure 5) is characterized by a clear seasonal trend with lower mean $pCO_2$ during winter months and higher mean $pCO_2$ during summer months. The range of the variability (illustrated by the size of the boxes and length of the whiskers of the box plots) differs between sites, and over time at each site, reflecting how differences in physical and biogeochemical processes influence $CO_2$ dynamics.

At CRIMP-2, higher SST and increased productivity/respiration cycles during the summer (May through October) result in higher $pCO_2$ and greater fluctuations of $pCO_2$. Higher seawater temperature causes decreased $CO_2$ solubility, which increases the $pCO_2$. Productivity is enhanced by elevated seawater temperature during summer, and likely also by increased solar radiation, but this factor works in the opposite direction ($CO_2$ drawdown). Lower and less
variable $pCO_2$sw during the winter months results from lower seawater temperature, lower insolation, and the concomitant effects on productivity/respiration and calcification/dissolution cycles. As mentioned earlier, the shallow depth and the relatively long residence time of water on the barrier reef of Kaneohe Bay allow for a pronounced accumulation (or depletion) of $CO_2$ in a given parcel of water from vigorous reef metabolism. The changes in $pCO_2$sw due to reef metabolism are most apparent during the summer, when wind speeds are often lower and gas exchange with the atmosphere is consequently diminished.

At the South Shore buoys, Ala Wai and Kilo Nalu, the $pCO_2$sw variability is considerably smaller than that at CRIMP-2 year-round. This reflects the less productive environment as well as the shorter water residence time and deeper water at the two fringing reef sites. At Ala Wai, $pCO_2$sw variability is somewhat greater during the winter months. This is likely due to increased rainfall leading to more runoff of nutrients and organic matter from the Ala Wai Canal, thereby enhancing productivity during the wet season. The monthly means and the seasonal cycles at Ala Wai and Kilo Nalu are similar, but the smaller variability during the rainy season at Kilo Nalu reflects the lack of direct riverine input.
FIGURE 7 | Time-series of pCO$_2$sw and air-sea CO$_2$ fluxes at Kilo Nalu and WHOTS from June 2008 through December 2016. This figure is similar to Figures 2A, 4, but plotted on a narrower y-axis range to highlight the differences in variability at Kilo Nalu and WHOTS. (A) in situ (3-hourly) pCO$_2$sw in units of µatm. (B) Air-sea CO$_2$ fluxes in units of mol C m$^{-2}$ yr$^{-1}$ where positive values indicate a source of CO$_2$ to the atmosphere.

at this site. The pCO$_2$sw in the open ocean (WHOTS) shows little variability throughout the year.

**Variability in Air-Sea Fluxes**

Coastal vs. Open Ocean Air-Sea CO$_2$ Fluxes

Although the air-sea CO$_2$ fluxes at Kilo Nalu and WHOTS are more similar than their pCO$_2$sw time series (Figure 7B), the dynamics driving these fluxes differ between locations. The magnitude and direction of the instantaneous air-sea flux of CO$_2$ depend primarily on the sea-air pCO$_2$ difference ($\Delta$pCO$_2$) and the wind speed. Larger pCO$_2$sw fluctuations at Kilo Nalu due to the biogeochemical processes described above result in larger values of $\Delta$pCO$_2$ as well as greater variability of $\Delta$pCO$_2$ than observed at WHOTS. Annual median wind speeds at Kilo Nalu (2.2 m s$^{-1}$) are typically lower than at WHOTS (7.5 m s$^{-1}$), further increasing the relative importance of the $\Delta$pCO$_2$ term.
in the flux equation for the Kilo Nalu coastal site. Storm events can enhance the biogeochemical processes driving $\Delta pCO_2$ at Kilo Nalu, which often increases fluxes significantly. During and after storm events, Kilo Nalu is often exposed to diluted freshwater discharges of nutrients and suspended solids that are advected from the mouth of the Ala Wai canal (see Tomlinson et al., 2011, and Figure 1). For example, immediately after a storm that took place on March 13, 2009 (Tomlinson et al., 2011), instantaneous flux values reached a maximum of $-5.43 \text{ mol C m}^{-2} \text{ yr}^{-1}$ at the Kilo Nalu buoy. This large ocean uptake can be attributed to a seawater $CO_2$ drawdown associated with a phytoplankton bloom (Drupp et al., 2013). Despite these events, the net $CO_2$ flux throughout the full study period at Kilo Nalu is near zero, because the daily positive and negative fluxes due to biogeochemical processes mostly cancel out.

Because wind speeds at WHOTS are approximately four-fold greater than at Kilo Nalu, even the very small $\Delta pCO_2$ driven by weaker daily photosynthesis/respiration and SST cycles causes an instantaneous flux that is, with an average of $0.49 \text{ mol C m}^{-2} \text{ yr}^{-1}$, considerably larger in magnitude (absolute value) at WHOTS than at Kilo Nalu. This is a result of the greater gas transfer velocity at higher wind speeds (Wanninkhof, 1992; Nightingale et al., 2000a; Ho et al., 2006). Nonetheless, the net annual area specific air-sea $CO_2$ flux at WHOTS remains small, because summer and winter changes mostly cancel out.

**Local Drivers of Air-Sea $CO_2$ Fluxes**

On the south shore, despite larger variability in $pCO_2_{sw}$ at the Ala Wai site, the overall area specific air-sea $CO_2$ flux was close to zero at both Ala Wai and Kilo Nalu locations (Tables 2, 3). The CRIMP-2 site in Kaneohe Bay, on the other hand, has been a persistent, albeit variable, annual source of $CO_2$ to the atmosphere (Figure 6). The additional years of data since the compilation of Drupp et al. (2013) also show that the flux from the ocean to the atmosphere at CRIMP-2 has increased since 2012.

Air-sea $CO_2$ fluxes in coastal waters of O‘ahu are consistent with the range previously published for coral reef environments around the world (Table 3). The flux at CRIMP-2 is close to the flux of $1.30 \text{ mol C m}^{-2} \text{ yr}^{-1}$, observed at Hog Reef, Bermuda (Bates et al., 2001), a relatively wide and open water reef. It should be kept in mind that fluxes at CRIMP-2 may be even greater than we calculate here, because the current gas transfer velocity parameterization (k) in the flux calculation (Equation 3) does not account for any enhanced gas exchange due to turbulence over the shallow reef (Ho et al., 2016).

**Monthly Air-Sea $CO_2$ Flux Climatology**

The climatological differences in air-sea $CO_2$ fluxes (Figure 6) are determined by both the variabilities in $\Delta pCO_2$ and in wind speed. A clear seasonal cycle is visible at all sites, with more positive fluxes during the summer (outgassing), and smaller or negative fluxes (ingassing) during the winter. This seasonal cycle in air-sea $CO_2$ fluxes is largely driven by the seasonal $pCO_2_{sw}$ cycle that determines the $\Delta pCO_2$ values. At CRIMP-2, the monthly mean $CO_2$ flux is positive throughout the year and more than an order of magnitude greater than that at the South Shore buoys. There are also clear seasonal differences. The variability in fluxes is greatest in the summer, when the highest $pCO_2_{sw}$ is observed and trade winds are strong and nearly consistent. During the winter, especially in December and January, wind speed is generally lower and less consistent, and these months are characterized by smaller and less variable fluxes. At Ala Wai and Kilo Nalu, the monthly mean $CO_2$ fluxes are negative during the winter, and positive during the summer. The greatest variability also coincides with the months characterized by the largest fluxes. The largest positive fluxes are observed in the summer, between August and October, during periods of maximum SST and wind speed. The most negative fluxes, as well as the largest variability in winter months, are observed in February (Kilo Nalu) and March (Ala Wai). This is when strong rains and increased runoff, as well as occasional strong onshore winds, are the most frequent. The presence of riverine input from the Ala Wai Canal results in clear climatological differences in $pCO_2_{sw}$ at the Ala Wai buoy relative to Kilo Nalu, but this difference is not as evident in the air-sea $CO_2$ fluxes. The $CO_2$ flux at WHOTS is negative in winter and positive in summer, and the greatest flux variability at this site is also observed when the flux is the strongest, around

### Table 3: Area specific air-sea $CO_2$ fluxes in units of mol C m$^{-2}$ yr$^{-1}$ in tropical and subtropical coral reef environments.

| Location | Mean annualized flux (mol C m$^{-2}$ yr$^{-1}$) | References |
|----------|---------------------------------------------|-------------|
| CRIMP-2 (Kaneohe Bay, O‘ahu, Hawai‘i) | 1.14 | This work; Drupp et al., 2013 |
| Southern Kaneohe Bay, O‘ahu, Hawai‘i | 1.80 | Massaro et al., 2012 |
| Kaneohe Bay, O‘ahu, Hawai‘i | 1.45 | Fagan and Mackenzie, 2007 |
| Ala Wai (Mamala Bay, O‘ahu, Hawai‘i) | 0.05 | This work; Drupp et al., 2013 |
| Kilo Nalu (Mamala Bay, O‘ahu, Hawai‘i) | 0.01 | This work; Drupp et al., 2013 |
| Hog Reef, Bermuda | 1.2 | Bates et al., 2001 |
| Okinawa Reef flat, Japan | 1.8 | Ohde and van Woesk, 1999 |
| Yonge Reef, N. Great Barrier Reef, Australia | 1.5 | Frankignoulle et al., 1996; Gattuso et al., 1996 |
| Moorea, French Polynesia | 0.1 | Gattuso et al., 1993, 1996, 1997; Frankignoulle et al., 1996 |
| Heron Island, Great Barrier Reef, Australia | 0.9 | Cyronak et al., 2014 |
| Rarotonga, Cook Islands | 3.2 | Cyronak et al., 2014 |
| Yongxing Island Reef atoll, Nansha Islands, South China Sea | 0.1 | Yan et al., 2011 |
| Yongxing Island, Nansha Islands, South China Sea | 1.7 | Yan et al., 2011 |
| Luhuitou Fringing Reef, South China Sea | 3.6 | Yan et al., 2011 |

A positive value indicates a source of $CO_2$ to the atmosphere.
March, similar to what is observed at the South Shore sites (Ala Wai and Kilo Nalu).

**Inter-annual Changes in pCO₂(sw)**

Although the multi-year record obtained at the O’ahu MAPCO₂ buoy network is too short to identify reliably long-term global climate change signals such as OA (Sutton et al., 2019), especially considering the low signal-to-noise ratio in highly variable coastal environments such as the coral reefs around O’ahu, it does allow one to make year to year comparisons and begin to identify inter-annual changes. On an inter-annual timescale, pCO₂(sw) could be affected by climate change, or by climate oscillations such as the El Niño-Southern Oscillation (ENSO). These oscillations drive changes in ocean-atmosphere circulation patterns, as well as SST. They can affect biological productivity and calcification, and therefore should also affect pCO₂(sw) (e.g. Alexander et al., 2002).

Seawater at both our coastal buoys (Ala Wai, Kilo Nalu, and CRIMP-2), and the open ocean WHOTS site, experienced rising maxima in weekly mean seawater temperatures between 2013 and 2015 (Figure 3C). Additionally, all sites display increasing pCO₂(sw) over the same time period, which is, however, not statistically significant (7.5 ± 1.9, 8.3 ± 1.0, 2.5 ± 0.7, 2.9 ± 0.6 ppm yr⁻¹) at CRIMP-2, Ala Wai, and Kilo Nalu, and WHOTS respectively; Figures 3A, 8). The increase at Ala Wai is greatest, but this is largely due to the limited dataset, with the anomalously high pCO₂(sw) in the winter of 2013/2014, as discussed above, driving the (apparent) large annual increase. Raw data from more recent deployments at Ala Wai show that in situ and weekly mean pCO₂(sw) have since decreased to approximately their previous magnitude (data not shown). This discussion will therefore focus on the CRIMP-2 site, which experienced a very large sustained increase in pCO₂(sw) between 2013 and 2015, and a dramatic increase in the seasonal amplitude of pCO₂(sw) in 2014/2015 to twice the amount observed in 2011 (Figure 3).

Due to the extreme variability in pCO₂(sw) on all temporal scales, it is difficult to isolate possible effects of longer-term signals. To disentangle contributions of different timescales of pCO₂(sw) variability at CRIMP-2, magnitudes of sub-monthly, sub-annual and sub-decadal variability were determined (Table 4). The amplitude of sub-monthly variations, which include diurnal changes from P/R and calcification/dissolution, and fluctuations associated with the effects of mixed tides on time scales of a few hours to 28 days, is the largest (78 μatm). Sub-annual and sub-decadal variability are 34 and 31 μatm, respectively. The main signal on the sub-annual time scale is the seasonal cycle. Consequently, the difference in pCO₂(sw) between the first 4-year period and the second 4-year period of the CRIMP-2 time series has approximately the same magnitude as its average seasonal cycle.

To investigate the potential contribution of climatic oscillations to these sub-decadal variations, annual mean pCO₂(sw) was plotted (Figure 8) with annual mean values of three predominant climate oscillation indices in the North Pacific: the El Niño Southern Oscillation (Oceanic Niño Index, ONI), Pacific Decadal Oscillation (PDO index), and the North Pacific Gyre Oscillation (NPGO index). Correlations between annual mean pCO₂(sw) and ENSO, PDO and NPGO were $R = 0.40$, $R = 0.69$, $R = -0.81$, respectively ($p < 0.01$), suggesting some control of pCO₂(sw) from large-scale climate oscillations.

Changes in physical forcings such as climate oscillations can have an effect on the CO₂ chemistry of seawater on reefs, as has been observed in the open ocean and on coral reefs of Bermuda (Yeakel et al., 2015). A portion of the increase in pCO₂(sw) at CRIMP-2 is also likely due to rising atmospheric CO₂ concentrations from anthropogenic carbon emissions, and penetration of this CO₂ into the surface ocean via air-sea gas exchange, as well as reduced outgassing during natural outgassing periods due to a smaller air-sea gradient. An additional fraction of the sub-decadal pCO₂(sw) variability can likely be explained by the direct temperature effect on CO₂ solubility, which leads to higher (lower) pCO₂(sw) at higher (lower) temperatures.

Temperature change and associated pCO₂(sw) change may be driven partly by large scale inter-annual processes such as the ENSO. El Niño events are characterized by larger seasonal amplitudes in SST, while La Niña events have smaller amplitudes. The temperature effect on CO₂ solubility translates this pattern from SST to pCO₂(sw). The period from 2009 to 2010 was classified as a moderate El Niño event⁸, and displays large SST swings, with a lower winter minimum and a higher summer maximum than subsequent years. The periods 2010–2011 and 2011–2012 were identified as moderate and weak La Niña events, respectively, and were characterized by relatively high winter and low summer SST, leading to smaller seasonal fluctuations in SST. The very strong El Niño in winter 2015 to 2016 shows the largest seasonal amplitude, as well as the highest SST of our dataset.

An additional factor in explaining rising SST between 2013 and 2016 is the North Pacific warm pool, a positive basin-wide SST anomaly beginning in the winter of 2013–2014 (Peterson et al., 2015). Sutton et al. (2017) also proposed that elevated seawater pCO₂(sw) at the WHOTS buoy during the period 2013–2015 may have been driven by North Pacific warm anomalies, consistent with our observations at CRIMP-2 over a similar time period. Models and observations indicate that the amplitude of diurnal to seasonal pCO₂(sw) variability in the oceans will likely increase with increasing anthropogenic CO₂ uptake (McNeil and Sasse, 2016; Kwiatkowski and Orr, 2018; Landschützer et al., 2018). This factor could lead to increasing prevalence of conditions similar to the higher and more variable pCO₂(sw) regime of 2013–2016 at CRIMP-2.

---

**Table 4** | Amplitude of pCO₂(sw) variability at CRIMP-2 on different time scales, defined as the average standard deviation of monthly, annual and 4-year mean pCO₂(sw).

| Timescale of variability | pCO₂(sw) (μatm) |
|--------------------------|----------------|
| Sub-monthly              | 78             |
| Sub-annual               | 34             |
| Sub-decadal              | 31             |

http://ggweather.com/enso/oni.htm

---

⁸http://ggweather.com/enso/oni.htm
El Niño events are also associated with lower trade wind speeds (Collins et al., 2010, and references therein). Weakening of the trade winds increases the residence time of water on the Kaneohe Bay reef flat (e.g., Lowe et al., 2009b) and also reduces air-sea gas exchange rates (e.g., Wanninkhof, 1992; Ho et al., 2006, 2019). Thus, both increased residence time and decreased air-sea gas exchange lead to a stronger buildup of biogeochemical signatures of primary productivity and dissolution (drawdown of $pCO_2^{sw}$) and respiration and calcification (increase in $pCO_2^{sw}$), respectively. Weaker trade winds are therefore likely partially responsible for the greater amplitude of fluctuations in $pCO_2^{sw}$ at CRIMP-2 during El Niño years.

Changes in biogeochemical processes on the reef that could cause an increase in $pCO_2^{sw}$ at CRIMP-2 between 2013 and 2016 include: (1) an increase in net ecosystem calcification (NEC) (e.g., Drupp et al., 2013; Courtney et al., 2017) and (2) an increase in respiration of organic matter relative to primary production (e.g., Drupp et al., 2011, 2013). An increase in NEC seems unlikely. The high SST during the summers of 2014 and 2015 caused widespread coral bleaching throughout the Hawaiian Islands, and led to lower calcification rates on the barrier reef of Kaneohe Bay. The reefs largely recovered by Courtney et al. (2017). An increase of respiration on the reef flat, however, is more likely responsible for the observed higher $pCO_2^{sw}$ between 2012
Rates of biogeochemical processes typically increase with increasing (seawater) temperature, but respiration is more sensitive to temperature changes than primary production ("Q10 effect," e.g., Woodwell and Mackenzie, 1995; Ver et al., 1999). Higher SST consequently causes enhanced respiration relative to photosynthesis, which increases pCO₂sw. The barrier reef ecosystem is perhaps shifting toward longer periods of heterotrophy as a result of elevated SST between 2013 and 2016. Photosynthesis/respiration cycles appear to be a more important driver of pCO₂sw at CRIMP-2 than calcification/dissolution (see Page et al., 2018). Therefore, the relative increase in the ratio of respiration to photosynthesis likely outweighs the decrease in pCO₂sw due to lower calcification, resulting in higher pCO₂sw values during periods of temperature-induced coral bleaching. Kayanne et al. (2005) also measured an increase in pCO₂sw in coral reefs with extensive bleaching, but observed a concomitant 50% decrease in diurnal variability associated with reduced metabolic performance. This does not apply to the bleaching

FIGURE 9 | Time-series of weekly mean pCO₂sw (Top) and temperature normalized pCO₂sw (pCO₂sw at Tmean). Bottom) at different reef sites for June 2008 through December 2016.
period in Kaneohe Bay, where CRIMP-2 diurnal variability did not decrease.

The $pCO_2sw$ increase observed at CRIMP-2 was greater than those observed at MAPCO$_2$ sites at coral reefs in different parts of the world (Figure 9 and online). The records from La Parguera site, on the southwestern coast of Puerto Rico, and CRIMP-2 nearly overlap early (2009) in the time series: The maxima in summer are roughly 50 ppm higher at CRIMP-2, but the means and amplitudes are comparable. The similarity in the CRIMP-2 and La Parguera $pCO_2sw$ records disappears after the winter of 2011–2012, when $pCO_2sw$ at CRIMP-2 begins to rise considerably. Neither the Chuuk K1 site, a low latitude ($7.5^\circ$N) reef in Micronesia, or the Crescent reef site in Bermuda showed a significant trend in $pCO_2sw$, or a baseline shift similar to the CRIMP-2 observations. Upon normalization to the overall mean temperature (Figure 8) at each site over the respective time periods, $pCO_2sw$ at both moorings in tropical waters of the Pacific (CRIMP-2 and Chuuk K1) appears to rise toward the end of the time series, while $pCO_2sw$ at T$_{mean}$ at La Parguera and Crescent reef do not exhibit the same feature.

The observed similarity between both North Pacific reefs in temperature normalized $pCO_2sw$ may be attributed partially to the influence of basin wide changes in physical processes, such as SST and wind speed variations. The larger variability of $pCO_2sw$ at CRIMP-2 relative to other reefs around the world, however, is likely driven more by local changes in biogeochemistry on the Kaneohe Bay barrier reef, which are themselves influenced strongly by inter-annual changes in large scale ocean-atmosphere circulation patterns. The relative importance of these drivers can only be assessed with additional years of continuous monitoring that can resolve the time scales of inter-annual variability and long-term trends.

CONCLUSION

In this paper we presented a statistical evaluation and interpretation of nearly a decade of high-resolution $CO_2$ time-series data collected between June 2008 and December 2016 at three different coral reef environments off the island of O‘ahu, Hawai‘i. Our major findings are:

- Land-ocean interactions, water column and benthic photosynthesis/respiration and calcification/dissolution, as well as physical forcing (wind, waves, and water residence time), all exert controls on $pCO_2sw$ and air-sea $CO_2$ fluxes, which are therefore more variable on coral reefs than in the open ocean.

- Diurnal $pCO_2sw$ variability was, on average, 40 times greater on the Kaneohe Bay barrier reef than in waters of the North Pacific subtropical gyre, and comparatively less so (10 times) at the more exposed fringing reef sites of O‘ahu, driven largely by daily cycles of photosynthesis

and respiration. The temperature effect on $CO_2$ solubility is the largest driver of seasonal $pCO_2sw$ variability, with additional impacts by biogeochemical processes that differ between reef locations.

- While seasonal variability determines the direction of air-sea $CO_2$ fluxes in the open ocean, which are negative in winter (sink for atmospheric $CO_2$) and positive in summer, large short-term changes occur in coastal coral reef systems. Instantaneous air-sea $CO_2$ fluxes on O‘ahu’s coral reefs are highly variable in magnitude and direction and, reflecting local dynamic conditions, often switch between being a source or a sink of $CO_2$ on timescales of hours to days. Overall estimated area specific air-sea $CO_2$ fluxes at CRIMP-2, Ala Wai and Kilo Nalu sites of 1.24, 0.05, 0.00 mol C m$^{-2}$yr$^{-1}$, respectively, are consistent with earlier work, indicating that the productive coral reefs of Hawai‘i are generally a source of $CO_2$ to the atmosphere.

- Instantaneous air-sea $CO_2$ fluxes were most variable during the summer at CRIMP-2 due to higher SST enhancing biological productivity. At the south shore sites, on the other hand, the variability was greater in winter and spring, likely due to increased rainfall delivering nutrients to the coastal ocean.

- Relatively low peak $pCO_2sw$ at CRIMP-2 in the early parts of our time-series (2008 and 2010) coincided with La Niña periods, whereas higher peak $pCO_2sw$ later in our time series (2014–2016) coincided with El Niño.

- The observed inter-annual increase in $pCO_2sw$ at CRIMP-2 over the study period may reflect the influence of climate oscillations on local conditions: Firstly, increased seawater temperature both directly increases $pCO_2sw$ and may enhance rates of respiration, which also increase $pCO_2sw$. Secondly, reduced trade wind speed increases the water residence time on the reef, allowing for more build-up of $CO_2$ in seawater.

- Variability in $pCO_2sw$ at CRIMP-2 is greatest at timescales shorter than a month (78 µatm), while average seasonal and inter-annual variations are 34 and 31 µatm, respectively. Consequently, there is a large magnitude of short-term changes due to reef metabolism and physical drivers overlaying a smaller amplitude seasonal cycle, and comparable inter-annual changes on a time-scale of approximately 5 years. This shows that inter-annual changes in $pCO_2$ are difficult to identify on a background of extremely large shorter-term variability at CRIMP-2.
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