Relevance of magnetism to cuprate superconductivity: Lanthanides versus charge-compensated cuprates
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We address what seemed to be a contradiction between the lanthanide series REBa$_2$Cu$_3$O$_y$ (RE123) and the charge-compensated series (Ca$_x$La$_{1-x}$)(Ba$_{1-y}$,La$_{0.25+y}$)Cu$_3$O$_y$ (CLBLCO) regarding the superexchange ($J$) dependence of the maximum superconductivity (SC) critical temperature $T_{c}^{\text{max}}(J)$; RE and $x$ are implicit variables. This is done by measuring the Néel temperature and the temperature dependence of the magnetic order parameter for RE = Nd, Sm, Eu, Gd, Dy, Yb, Y, and for Y(BaSr)Cu$_3$O$_y$, at various very light dopings. The doping is determined by thermopower and the magnetic properties by muon spin rotation. We find that the normalized-temperature dependence of the order parameter is identical for all RE123 in the undoped limit (with the exception of Gd123) implying identical out-of-plane magnetic coupling. The extrapolation of $T_N$ to zero doping suggests that, despite the variations in ionic radii, $J$ varies too weakly in this system to test the relation between SC and magnetism. This stands in contrast to CLBLCO, where both $T_{c}^{\text{max}}$ and $T_{N}^{\text{max}}$ vary considerably in the undoped limit and a positive correlation between the two quantities was observed.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Recently, the group of Tallon [1] measured the in plane superexchange parameter $J$ in a series of samples similar to YBa$_2$Cu$_3$O$_y$, where Y was replaced by one of the lanthanides: La, Nd, Eu, Gd, Dy, Yb, Lu, or the Ba$_2$ was modified to BaSr. The measurements were done using two-magnon Raman scattering. The samples were prepared with as low doping ($\rho$) as possible, although the actual value was not determined. They found that as one progresses in the lanthanide series and the atomic number increases, $J$ also increases. They justify this $J$ increase by the famous lanthanide contraction where the atomic radius becomes smaller as the atomic number increases. They also found anticorrelation between the maximum $T_N$ ($T_{N}^{\text{max}}$) of each family of materials and $J$. The internal pressure (induced by substitution of isovalent ions of smaller size) seems to increase $J$ but decrease $T_{N}^{\text{max}}$.

The RE123 result stands in strong contrast to experiments on the charge-compensated compound (Ca$_x$La$_{1-x}$)(Ba$_{1-y}$,La$_{0.25+y}$)Cu$_3$O$_y$ (CLBLCO) performed by the Keren group. The name “charge-compensated” comes from the fact that Ca and Ba have the same valence and their replacement does not formally dope the system. However, from the fact that Ca and Ba have the same valence and their replacement does not formally dope the system. However, from the fact that Ca and Ba have the same valence and their replacement does not formally dope the system.

Measurements were done with muon spin rotation ($\mu$SR) [3], Raman scattering [4], angle resolved photoemission [5], and resonant inelastic x-ray scattering [6] and all methods agree qualitatively. The RE123 results are also in contradiction with external pressure experiments on Y123 as pointed out by Tallon and co-workers [1]. External pressure raises $T_{c}^{\text{max}}$ and $J$ simultaneously.

An attempt was made to resolve the contradiction using new two-magnon Raman scattering measurements [7]. In this experiment only samples that are prepared under the same conditions, and with the doping determined by thermopower, were remeasured. It was found that within experimental uncertainty the RE = Y, Dy, Gd, and Sm have the same two-magnon Raman peak frequency. The RE = Nd has a peak at substantially lower energy than its counterparts. This indicates that at least among the first four superconducting families $J$ is not changing appreciably with lanthanide substitution.

In this manuscript we address the same discrepancy from the perspective of magnetic measurements. We apply the $\mu$SR technique to 27 samples with different RE compositions and doping, including the Y(BaSr)Cu$_3$O$_y$. The doping is determined from the thermopower Seebeck coefficient ($S$) [8]. For each sample we measure the Néel temperature ($T_N$) and the muon spin angular rotation frequency $\omega$ as a function of temperature. Since $T_N$ is set by both in-plane and out-of-plane coupling $J_y$, respectively, two measured quantities are required to determine both couplings. These quantities are $T_N$ and the order parameter $\sigma(T) = \omega(T)/\omega_0$, where $\omega_0$ is the muon spin rotation frequency at $T \rightarrow 0$ [3]. This type of analysis works best in the fully undoped case which is
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ordered state are presented.

In decay positrons.

Asymmetry as a function of time is determined via the asymmetry

\( S \approx 350 \mu V/K \)

not relax on the time scale presented in the figure. In all
cases the asymmetry develops a strong relaxation within a
temperature range of 10 K below \( T_N \). In the Gd case the
asymmetry increases its relaxation from a high temperature
saturated value. A finite, temperature independent relaxation
rate at high temperatures in samples containing Gd is ubiqui-
tuous (e.g., Ref. [11]). In all cases, at very low temperatures
oscillations develop indicating an ordered state of the material
with a site-average magnetic field at the muon site larger than
its fluctuation from site to site. The oscillation frequency is
similar in all samples. The signal from YBaSr indicates that
only part of this sample is actually magnetic.

Results from a representative set of RE123 with \( S \approx
500 \mu V/K \) are shown in Fig. 2. Again, the relaxation in the Gd
case is not zero at high temperatures but it saturates. For all
families, the relaxation increases over a narrow temperature
range (relative to the \( T_N \)). At low temperatures asymmetry
oscillations develop.

The time-dependent \( \mu SR \) asymmetry \( A(t) \) data is analyzed
with the function

\[
A(t) = A_n \exp(-\Delta \tau^\alpha) + A_m \exp(-t/T_\parallel) + R \exp(-t/T_\perp \gamma^2) \cos(\omega t + \phi). \tag{1}
\]

In this function \( A_n \) represents the nonmagnetic fraction of
the sample and \( \Delta \) the relaxation rate of the muon spin in
this part of the sample. \( A_m \) is proportional to the magnetic
fraction of the samples. \( \alpha = 2 \) except for the Gd samples
where \( \alpha = 1 \) above room temperature. \( \gamma = 1 \) apart from Gd
and Sm \( S = 500 \) samples where the values \( \gamma = 0.5 \) and 2
respectively provide the best fit. \( T_\parallel \) and \( T_\perp \) are the muon spin

![FIG. 1. Raw data of lightly doped samples. The \( \mu SR \) asymmetry as a function of time for different RE123 samples with Seebeck coefficient \( S \approx 350 \mu V/K \). The sample name, exact \( S \), and temperatures are written in the labels. Results from temperatures near \( T_N \) and deep in the ordered state are presented.](image-url)
FIG. 2. Raw data of nearly undoped samples. The μSR asymmetry as a function of time for different RE123 samples with Seebeck coefficient $S \sim 500 \mu V/K$. The sample name, exact $S$, and temperatures are written in the labels. Results from temperatures near $T_N$ and deep in the ordered state are presented.

relaxation times in the direction of the local field at the muon site and perpendicular to it, respectively. The relaxation rate $\Delta$ varies between samples but is kept fixed in the fit for each sample. In principle $R$ should be 2 since there are two field components perpendicular to the muon spin compared to only one longitudinal component. In practice it is shared for temperatures between 5 and 200 K and between 200 to 470 K separately. Finally, $\omega$ is the muon rotation frequency. It is set to zero when no oscillations are observed in the data, in which case $R$ is also set to zero and $T_N$ has no directional association.

The relevant fit parameters are depicted in Fig. 3. Panel (a) shows the magnetic fraction $A_m$ as a function of temperature for samples with $S \sim 350 \mu V/K$. A straight line is fitted to the sharp rise in $A_m$ and the point of abscissa crossing defines $T_N$. The value of $T_N$ varies between 385 and 435 K and is indicated next to each line. The sharpness of the phase transition also varies between families. The symbols in panel (b) show the temperature-dependent order parameter. Eu, Sm, Dy, and Y families have the same rate of order parameter reduction with increasing temperature. Gd has a smaller and Nd and YBaSr families have the same rate of order parameter reduction with increasing temperature. Eu, Sm, Dy, and Y have higher reduction rates than the common one. Since RE123 has two types of $J_\perp$, this parameter represents an average perpendicular coupling. More details are given in Ref. [3]. However, this model is valid for the Heisenberg Hamiltonian, and the samples presented in Fig. 3(b) are slightly doped. The analysis becomes more accurate as $S$ increases further.

Figure 3 panels (c) and (d) also present $A_m$ and $\omega/\omega_0$ but for samples with $S \sim 500 \mu V/K$. In this case the lowest value of $T_N$ is 400 K and therefore the spread in $T_N$ between different families is smaller. In addition, apart from Gd, all $\sigma(T)$ at $T \rightarrow 0$ nearly overlap and $\alpha_{eff}$ is on the order of $10^{-5}$. This result suggests that as the doping decreases the different families converge to the same magnetic behavior.

### III. DISCUSSION

Our main results are depicted in Fig. 4. We present $T_N$ as a function of the thermopower $S$ in the lower abscissa for various RE123 families and Y(BaSr)Cu$_3$O$_y$; $S$ decreases with increasing doping and hence the reverse axis. For all families, $T_N$ increases with increasing $S$ (decreasing doping). For some of the families such as RE = Y, and Dy, a saturation is clearly reached which reflects the fact that for these families doping is not changing at these high thermopower values. In RE = Gd and Eu it is not clear if saturation has been reached. For RE = Yb, Sm, and Nd it is clear that saturation has not been reached, and if it was possible to extract more oxygen from the sample, $T_N$ could have increased. In all families $T_N$ never exceeds 450 K. This is particularly peculiar for the YBaSrCu$_3$O$_y$ where $J$ is larger by 10%, according to new
measurements, and according to the original measurements [1] in YBa$_{0.3}$Sr$_{1.5}$Cu$_3$O$_y$ by 50%, than in RE = Y. Therefore, $T_{\text{N}}^{\text{max}}$ of YBaSrCu$_3$O$_y$ is expected to be higher than 495 K, which is not the case. The solid lines in the figure are guides to the eye. It is conceivable but not guaranteed that all these lines meet at $S \approx 700$, which would then correspond to zero doping. These lines suggest that $T_{\text{N}}^{\text{max}}$ for all examined families may be identical. Assuming that (i) all lines flatten by $S \approx 700$, (ii) that at an estimated doping level $p \approx 0.02$ $T_{\text{N}}^{\text{max}}$ drops to zero, and (iii) that the relation between $S$ and $p$ is exponential, then we may convert $S$ to $p$ using the relation $S = 700 \exp(-100p)$. Values of $p$ thus obtained are presented on the top abscissa.

A different way of looking at the same data is depicted in the inset of Fig. 4. Here we plot $T_{\text{N}}^{\text{max}}$ versus $T_{\text{N}}^{\text{max}}$ for each SC family at two, roughly fixed $S$, namely fixed doping. The room temperature thermopower has been shown to be an excellent correlate of the doped hole concentration, $p$, in units of holes/Cu [8]. Closer to optimal doping and beyond, it is a highly sensitive and a precise measure of doping, but at very low doping it becomes increasingly uncertain as $p \rightarrow 0$. For this reason we bin our doping states separately into $S \approx 350 \mu V/K$ and $S \approx 500 \mu V/K$. The corresponding data in Fig. 4 is highlighted. In both cases $p < 0.01$ holes/Cu and we are confident that the doping state of the latter is less than that of the former. Beyond such broad categories it is impossible to read much into any variations within the 350 $\mu V/K$ or 500 $\mu V/K$ groups; both are extremely close to the undoped insulator and the variations in $T_{\text{N}}$ temperature seen between the two groups show just how sensitive $T_{\text{N}}$ is to small increments in doping near $p = 0$. Looking at the $S \approx 350 \mu V/K$ and ignoring Y(BaSr)Cu$_3$O$_y$ it seems that there is antioncorrelation between $T_{\text{N}}$ and $T_{\text{N}}^{\text{max}}$. But, as $S$ increases towards $S \approx 500 \mu V/K$ (doping decreases), this anticontral correlation weakens, and, again, the effect of lanthanide substitution on $T_{\text{N}}$ disappears.

A comparison between RE123 and CLBLCO is presented in Fig. 5. The main panel depicts the relations between $T_{\text{N}}^{\text{max}}$ and $T_{\text{N}}^{\text{max}}$, where $T_{\text{N}}^{\text{max}}$ is the maximum Néel temperature achieved for each family. For RE123 $T_{\text{N}}^{\text{max}}$ values are taken from Refs. [1,13,14], and $T_{\text{N}}^{\text{max}}$ is the highest value of $T_{\text{N}}$ we managed to achieve for each family by oxygen reduction. For CLBLCO, a saturation of $T_{\text{N}}$ is achieved for all $x$ values by underdoping, and there is no need to extrapolate $T_{\text{N}}$ to zero doping. Both quantities are plotted on a full scale including the origin. In the RE123 case, a fit to a straight line gives a slope of $-0.22 \pm 0.16$, namely, the error is similar to the value. This means that basically $T_{\text{N}}^{\text{max}}$ is independent of $T_{\text{N}}^{\text{max}}$. Furthermore, since Nd and Sm are slightly doped, in the ideal undoped case all points should be bunched together with no variation in $T_{\text{N}}^{\text{max}}$, as suggested from the extrapolation of the data in Fig. 4 to high $S$. In contrast, the CLBLCO points are well separated on both the $T_{\text{N}}^{\text{max}}$ and $T_{\text{N}}^{\text{max}}$ axis.

The inset shows data from the Raman measurements, also plotted on a full scale, but only for samples which are prepared under the same condition (ambient pressure) and measured by both Müller [7] and Mallett [1]. Close examination of this data shows an anticorrelation between $T_{\text{N}}^{\text{max}}$ and $T_{\text{N}}^{\text{max}}$,
of temperature. It is possible (yet not essential) to extrapolate the data for each family to zero doping in a way where all the RE123 have the same magnetic properties. In particular, they have the same $T_N$. This is quite surprising considering the changes in unit cell parameters [14]. Similarly, within experimental errors all RE123 presented here have nearly identical $T_c^{\text{max}}$. Therefore, RE123 is not the system with which one would like to test the relation between superconductivity and magnetism. In contrast CLBLCO shows large variation in both quantities and indicates a positive correlation between magnetic properties and superconductivity.

IV. CONCLUSIONS

We characterize the magnetic properties of several different RE123 compounds and Y(BaSr)Cu$_3$O$_y$ as a function of doping. In particular, we focus on the Néel temperature and the reduction of the order parameter $\sigma = \omega/\omega_0$ as a function of temperature. It is possible (yet not essential) to extrapolate

FIG. 5. RE123 versus CLBLCO. The main panel depicts $T_c^{\text{max}}$ versus $T_N^{\text{max}}$ for the RE123 system as determined in the present experiment, and for CLBLCO from Ref. [3]. $T_c^{\text{max}}$ is the maximum Néel temperature achieved by oxygen reduction (in contrast to the extrapolated value). The data is presented on a scale including the origin. The error bars are smaller than the symbols’ size. The red solid line has a slope of $-0.22 \pm 0.16$ demonstrating that the dependence of $T_c^{\text{max}}$ on $T_N^{\text{max}}$ in RE123 is barely significant. The inset shows the Raman data from Mallett et al. [1], Mullner et al. [7], and Wulfarding et al. on both systems again on a full scale. The Nd123 is emphasized due to lack of agreement between different groups. The solid lines through the origin serve to evaluate the agreement or disagreement of the data with proportionality between $T_c^{\text{max}}$ versus $T_N^{\text{max}}$.
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