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Abstract

COVID-19 outbreak across the world has invited forced lockdown conditions, which causes a huge economic landslide. But it has brought an opportunity for restoring the environment of its own which may cause ecosystem well-being. Focusing on the second issue, the present work has intended to explore the streams of air quality change based on some quality components and develop a multi-date air quality state (AQS) model for the world in consequence of emergency lockdown. It is very clear from the result that amid lockdown aerosol optical depth (AOD), sulfur dioxide (SO$_2$), ozone, carbon monoxide (CO), particulate matter (PM$_{2.5}$), and black carbon (BC) concentration level have been significantly reduced in fully lockdown countries. AQS is considerably improved amid lockdown. Hotspots of COVID-19 were under unhealthy, very unhealthy air quality class in pre lockdown condition, but amid lockdown, these countries have been shifted to good and moderate healthy air quality classes

Summary

COVID-19 stimulated lockdown improves the air quality index significantly. Several air quality components concentration levels have been significantly reduced in fully lockdown countries.

1. Introduction

On December 31, 2019, World Health Organization (WHO) first informed about the infection of pneumonia-like unknown disease from a seafood market in Wuhan city of Hubei province, China (Neradi et al., 2020). A guideline was issued by WHO for the countries to develop their ability to detect the unknown virus-like to another coronavirus (CoV) like Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome (SARS) and the provisional name was given like SARS-CoV-2 or novel coronavirus (2019-nCoV) (Ahmed et al., 2020; Bherwani et al., 2020) on 10th of January, 2020. Confirmation of the spreading of novel coronavirus outside China came into the front on 13th January 2020. The 2019-nCoV was then found to be rapidly spreading disease-carrying by man (Hui et al., 2020), and reportedly 1320 of confirmed, 1965 of suspect cases were found till 25th January 2020, out of which 237 were poorly sick and 41 died (World Health Organization, 2020a). A few days later (30th of January, 2020), WHO declared a public health emergency of international concern regarding the outbreak of 2019-nCoV. This disease was given an official name as 2019- coronavirus disease (COVID-19) on 11th February 2020 (Shereen et al., 2020), without referring to any particular geographical location (World Health Organization, 2020b). Later on, the rapid outbreak of this disease called for the issuance of several health emergency guidelines regarding the mass gathering, carrying of ill travelers, massive preparedness activities, be a ready campaign (Bherwani et al., 2020), and finally on 11th March 2020, WHO characterized COVID-19 as pandemic (World Health Organization, 2020b). Meanwhile, the virus kept spreading and 3,244,586 people got infected and 229,182 people died to date (30th April 2020) with multiple epicenters around the world, such as Italy, Iran, Spain, France, USA, UK, Turkey, Belgium, and Brazil, etc (Gautam and Trivedi, 2020). This explosion of COVID-19 cases around the world led many countries to adopt dramatic measures, like restricting physical human interaction, encouraging social distancing and self-isolation, strict enforcement of quarantine, withdrawal of public transportation and restriction on private roaming, closer of markets/supermarkets, closers of
educational institutes, colleges, and other institutions, embargoing on public gathering even at private places, 
declaration of voluntary curfew, even partial or total lockdown of the entire city, district, state or country (Bera 
et al., 2020; Bherwani et al., 2020; Lin et al., 2020). China first imposed a partial lockdown on January 23, 
2020, and with time several other countries from different parts of the world imposed partial or total 
countrywide lockdown responding to a severe outbreak of the disease (table 1).

All these aforesaid steps have been taken as preventive measures to withhold the spread of the virus and 
control the death rate (Saadat et al., 2020; Chinazzi et al., 2020). Such an unusual situation of the past few 
months has exceptionally changed the world with some unexpected consequences (Harapan et al., 2020). 
Among them, the lockdown effect has almost stopped all the economic activities, absolutely contributed to 
improving the environmental quality, which may offset the economic losses to some extent (Chakraborty and 
Maity, 2020; Muhammad et al., 2020). During the lockdown, the production interregnum of industries, 
restriction on transportation and public traveling system, and shut down of other business have resulted in a 
sharp and sudden drop in global carbon emission (Wang and Su, 2020; Chakraborty and Maity, 2020; Saadat 
et al., 2020). It has also been seen that due to production, manufacturing, and transportation break during the 
lockdown global demand for oil and coal has declined to its lowest level (Wang and Su, 2020; Muhammad et 
al., 2020). Therefore, not only the carbon emission, but also the release of some other severely pollutant e.g. 
Greenhouse Gases (GHG) and substances like Nitrogen Dioxide (NO₂), Sulfur Dioxide (SO₂), Methane (CH₄), 
and Particulate Matter 2.5 (PM₂.₅) have dramatically decreased (Bera et al., 2020; NASA, 2020). For example, 
since people were told to stay inside, a 25% reduction in CO₂ is observed in the emission data of China which 
means about 1million tons reduction in the substantial carbon emission (Wang and Su, 2020).

The emission of NO₂ from the heavy industrial area of North and Northeast China was significantly reduced 
just after the first week of lockdown (European Space Agency, 2020). Almost 50% drop in air pollution in New 
York City (USA) was observed at the same time last year after the measures were implemented to control the 
spread of the virus (Saadat et al., 2020).

The emission of NO₂ has reduced in different countries of Europe, like the UK, Spain, and Italy as captured by 
satellite images (Ficetola and Rubolini, 2020). A significant reduction in the concentration of PM₂.₅, PM₁₀, CO₂, 
and NO₂ by 43%, 31%, 10%, and 18%, respectively compared to the previous year can be seen in over 22 cities 
from different parts of India (Sharma et al., 2020).

Several studies have already taken the initiative to show the changes in air quality due to the restriction posed 
to anthropogenic activities during the COVID-19 lockdown. Gupta et al., (2020) analyzed the impact of air 
pollution level on the Covid-19 lethality in the nine selected metropolitan cities of Asia pacific region. 
Muhammad et al. (2020) showed the decrease of mean tropospheric NO₂ density over China and different 
countries of Europe and the USA after the enforcement of lockdown compiling the environmental data 
released by National Aeronautics and Space Agency (NASA) and European Space Agency (ESA). Wang and Su 
(2020) analyzed the air quality index of China using air quality data and extracting the concentration of NO₂, 
PM₂.₅, and PM₁₀ from the earth observation made by NASA and ESA. Tobías et al. (2020) used the recorded 
atmospheric pollutant data at the traffic air quality monitoring station of urban background to describe 
changes in pollution levels in Barcelona. The concentration of PM₂.₅, PM₁₀, CO₂, NO₂, ozone (O₃), and SO₂ of
22 Indian cities on mid-March and April 2020 were compared with the same time of 2017 by Sharma et al. (2020) to show the improvement of air quality in different parts of India. After going through all such kinds of literature, some research gaps have been identified. Most of the studies show the changes in air quality and pollution levels by considering one or two indicators like NO$_2$ or carbon monoxide. Some other studies considered multiple indicators of air quality and pollution, but these are mainly focused on some isolated regions or within the country. Here a need for a comprehensive multi-parametric global scale study arises to evaluate the overall air quality status of the entire world. Though the existing multi-parametric study intensively investigated the component-specific variation of air quality in pre and amid lockdown periods and analyzed the trend, there was a lack of air quality assessment by integrating the criteria of pollution and air quality degradation. In the present study, the lacks are focused with the critical air quality data regarding AOD, PM$_{2.5}$, SO$_2$, O$_3$, BC, and CO derived from Modern Era Retrospective-Analysis for Research and Applications, version 2 (MERRA-2) (Song et al., 2018) and Atmospheric Infrared Sounder (AIRS) (Zhang et al., 2020) to explore how far this incident can be able to improve the air quality state (AQS) across the world. It is also investigated in full fledged or partial lockdown can bring any difference in the degree of AQS.

Table1: list of the countries with complete, partial or no lockdown
| S. No | Country            | Starting date | No of Weeks | S. No | Country          | Starting date | No of Weeks | S. No | Country       |
|-------|--------------------|---------------|-------------|-------|------------------|---------------|-------------|-------|---------------|
| 1     | South Africa       | 26-Mar        | 3           | 1     | China            | 23-Jan        | Up to 20-Mar | 1     | USA           |
| 2     | New Zealand        | 25-Mar        | 4           | 2     | Saudi Arabia     | 25-Mar        | -           | 2     | Brazil        |
| 3     | India              | 25-Mar        | 3           | 3     | Columbia         | 24-Mar        | -           | 3     | Sweden        |
| 4     | United Kingdom     | 23-Mar        | -           | 4     | Australia        | 23-Mar        | -           | 4     | Pakistan      |
| 5     | Jordan             | 25-Mar        | -           | 5     | Israel           | 19-Mar        | -           | 5     | Canada        |
| 6     | Argentina          | 21-Mar        | 3           | 6     | European Union   | 16-Mar        | -           | 6     | North Korea   |
| 7     | Belgium            | 17-Mar        | 4           | 7     | Czech Republic   | 16-Mar        | -           | 7     | Ukraine       |
| 8     | Germany            | 20-Mar        | 4           | 8     | Morocco          | 15-Mar        | -           | 8     | Indonesia     |
| 9     | Malaysia           | 16-Mar        | 4           | 9     | Kenya            | 15-Mar        | -           | 9     | Croatia       |
| 10    | France             | 16-Mar        | 4           | 10    | Poland           | 13-Mar        | -           | 10    | Turkmenistan  |
| 11    | Spain              | 14-Mar        | -           | 11    | Qatar            | 26-Mar        | -           | -     | -             |
| 12    | Kuwait             | 13-Mar        | 2           | 12    | UAE              | 31-Mar        |             |       |               |
| 13    | Ireland            | 27-Mar        | 2           | 13    | Panama           | 25-Mar        |             |       |               |
| 13    | Norway             | 12-Mar        | 4           | 14    | Peru             | 16-Mar        |             |       |               |
| 15    | Denmark            | 11-Mar        | 4           | 15    | Russia           | 30-Mar        |             |       |               |
| 16    | Italy              | 10-Mar        | -           | 16    | Serbia           | 15-Mar        |             |       |               |
| 17    | Iran               | 28-Mar        | -           | 17    | Uzbekistan       | 24-Mar        |             |       |               |
| 18    | Dubai              | 4-Apr         | 2           | 18    | Kazakhstan       | 18-Mar        |             |       |               |
| 19    | El Salvador        | 22-Mar        | 4           | 19    | Chile            | 23-Mar        |             |       |               |
| 20    | Rwanda             | 21-Mar        | 4           |       | -                | -             |             |       |               |
| 21    | Portugal           | 20-Mar        | 4           |       | -                | -             |             |       |               |
| 22    | Austria            | 16-Mar        | 4           |       | -                | -             |             |       |               |
| 23    | The Netherlands    | 22-Mar        | 6           |       | -                | -             |             |       |               |
| 25    | Slovenia           | 20-Mar        | -           |       | -                | -             |             |       |               |
2. Materials And Methodology

2.1 Materials

2.1.1 MERRA-2 data

The MERRA-2 is a NASA atmospheric reanalysis data that was launched in 1980 replacing original MERRA (Rienecker et al., 2011), and uses the data assimilation system of upgraded version of Goddard Earth-observing System Model, Version-5 (GEOS-5) (Randles et al., 2017). GEOS-5 is a weather and climate capable model that is composed of oceanic and land components and circulation of the atmosphere (Song et al., 2018). While assimilating atmospheric data GEOS-5 uses grid-points based interpolation of GIS algorithms which can combine both in-situ and remote sensing data (Buchard et al., 2016). This study particularly employed MERRA-2 reanalyzed AOD, PM$_{2.5}$, SO$_2$, O$_3$, black carbon. MERRA-2 is the first one multi-temporal reanalysis system, which contained the meteorological aerosol observations assimilated with the global assimilation system (Randles et al., 2017). GEOS-5 is radiatively combined with Goddard Chemistry, Aerosol, Radiation, and Transport (GOCART) provided aerosol module to produce companion gridded dataset of aerosol. Aerosol species are considered to be non-interactive with each other. Emissions of both dust and sea salt are dependent on the speed of surface wind whereas the sulfate and carbonaceous are principally emitted from the combustion of fossil fuel and burning of biomass, additionally standard inventories are prescribed as the sources of black carbon (Randles et al., 2017). The Emission Database for Global Atmospheric Research 4.1 (EDGAR) inventory is attached for prescribing SO$_2$ emission from anthropogenic sources (Buchard et al., 2014). The corresponding resolution of MERRA-2 and its outputs are on regular 0.625 x 0.5 longitude by latitude grid with 72 vertical layers extended up to 80 km from the ground.

2.1.2 AIRS data

The AIRS was launched in 2002 is a high-spectral-resolution infrared sounder onboard aqua satellite consisting of a total of 2378 channels which cover 3.7-15.4 μm wavelength with the spectral resolution of that provides global observation of a 40 km vertical atmospheric profile (Zhang et al., 2020). AIRS measures CO concentration with a 45 km spatial resolution at nadir and 1650 km of the cross-track swath (Chahine et al., 2006). Due to having the advantage long term high spatial coverage AIRS have been used by several researchers in previous years (Fisher et al., 2010; Xiong et al., 2013; Xiong et al., 2014; Field et al., 2016; Wu et al., 2017). Over the last few years the retrieval algorithm for extracting data from the AIRS has been developed (Suskind et al., 2014) and the CO data has achieved researcher confidence for studying tropospheric CO (McMillan et al., 2005; McMillan et al., 2011; Warner et al., 2010; Warner et al., 2014). Unlike other satellite data regarding CO, AIRS has the cloud cleaning capacity that provides up to 80% cloud-free data with daily 70% of

|   | Country  | Date   |   |
|---|----------|--------|---|
| 26 | Sudan    | 2-Apr  | 4 |
| 27 | Singapore| 3-Apr  | 4 |
| 28 | Mexico   | 2-Apr  | 4 |
global coverage (Han et al., 2018). Besides, the long historical records are the reason behind the use of ARIS CO data in this study.

### 2.2 Method for preparation of air quality state (AQS)

A global AQS model has been developed to assess the status of overall air quality. The air quality is assessed based on the concentration level of the pollutants which may harm human life due to high toxicity (Olvera-Garcia et al., 2016). For fulfilling the present purpose six major air quality indicators, as well as pollutants, have been considered namely AOD, PM$_{2.5}$, SO$_2$, O$_3$, black carbon and CO. Concentration of all these pollutants has been investigated based on their toxic capacity and negative impact to the good air quality. Integrated AQS maps have been classified into six classes denoting very good to hazardous following World Air Quality Project (WAQP) (https://waqi.info/).

#### 2.2.1 Fuzzy logic and its application

A fuzzy set is a semi-quantitative method based on the training and membership weighting technique which was developed by Zadeh (1996) for the modeling of an uncertain and nonlinear complex system (Sowlat et al., 2011). In the present context, a fuzzy logic system was used to integrate causative air pollutants. As this approach works based on training and membership weighting techniques, here membership value indicates the intensity of the effect of the quantity (Agarwal et al., 2017). In such a semi-quantitative approach, the fuzzy membership value ranges from 0 to 1 where values trending toward 0 indicate a lesser degree of fuzzy relation and trending toward 1 indicate a greater degree of fuzzy relation (Saha and Pal, 2019). This approach is not bound to any universal approach of weight determination for the fuzzy membership (Li and Ma, 2007). Therefore, any suitable and best fitting approach may produce an accurate result. For AQS assessment several researchers have used different knowledge-based approaches to assign fuzzy membership value (Sowlat et al., 2011; Agarwal et al., 2017; Carbajal-Hernández et al., 2012; Olvera-Garcia et al., 2016). This membership system allows us to signify a high concentration of pollutants and determine the successive degree of AQS. Following this rule, the membership values of the pollutants ($x$) and were assigned from 0 to 1 and with varying degree of confidence ($f(x)$) and the fuzzy set can be formulated as (eq. 1):

\[
A = \{x, f_A(x)\}, x \in R
\]  

where $A$ represents the fuzzy set, $x$ is the element of universal set $R$, and $f(x)$ signifies the membership function of fuzzy.

#### 2.3 Time progressive change of air quality state

To evaluate the change of AQS in comparison to the previous date of lockdown enforcement and its change during lockdown implementation time progress change rate of AQS has been calculated. In this computation, the AQS of December is considered a pre-lockdown enforcement reference date, and the change rate is calculated for January, February, and March (Alemayendu, 2016). The following equation (Eq. 2) shows the mathematical formulation of this change estimation.
where \( C_{AQS} \) is the calculated Time progressive change of AQS; \( PV_{AQS} \) and \( Pr_{AQS} \) are the AQS of respectively in pre and during lockdown implementation. The result will lie between 0 to ±100 where negative and positive values show respectively degradation and improvement of AQS and 0 indicates no change.

3. Results And Discussion

3.1 Change in pollution parameters

Country-wise spatiotemporal distribution of the major pollution indicating parameters have been illustrated in figure 1(a-h)-3(a-h). The monthly average state of the parameters since the beginning of the outbreak of COVID-19 in China to present has been depicted in the maps. From the time series maps, it is quite clear that before the outbreak of these fatal diseases and imposing partial and fully fledged lockdown across the world pollution level was high in most parts of the world which are highly affected by the COVID-19. But just after initiation of lockdown at different dates of the respective nations the pollution level is reduced significantly and it continued with the continuation of lockdown. At the very initial stage, a lockdown was announced in parts of China (23 January 2020) and it led to improvement in air pollution level (AOD was 1.72 in January and reduced to 1.21 in February and 0.65 in March) (Fig. 1c, d), but in other parts of the world, the situation was as usual in the case of January and February months of 2020 (Fig. 1b, c). After announcing lockdown in European countries like Italy, France, Germany, Spain, the UK in March 2020, and onward, the situation of pollution level has been qualitatively upgraded. For instance, CO was 123-205 ppb, and it is reduced to 59-101 PPV in European countries (Fig. 2e-h). In the case of ozone, countries like the USA, Canada, West European countries and parts of Russia had recorded 312-405db in December 2019 (Fig. 2a) and it is reduced 306-347db in March 2020 (Fig. 2d). Figure 3a has reported that India, China, Arabian Peninsula countries, West African Countries like Senegal, Mali were registered at a high rate of PM\(_{2.5}\) in December 2019 and it is significantly reduced in February and March 2020 (Fig. 3c-d). In African countries, a higher rate of PM\(_{2.5}\) is observed in March 2020. The South and South East Asian countries like India, Bangladesh, Pakistan, Myanmar, Thailand, and Indonesia have experienced a huge reduction of black carbon between the periods of December 2019 to March 2020 (Fig. 3e-h). The rate of decline was faster immediately after experiencing lockdown and it has been decelerated over the progress of the lockdown period. The countries that used to witness high pollution levels triggered by a very high energy footprint have undergone a massive decrease in pollution level. Some city level studies in India, China, and USA also have identified similar results (Mahato et al., 2020; Muhammad et al., 2020).

3.2 Changing air quality state (AQS)

Integrated air quality parameters in the name of AQS in four months across the world are portrayed in figure 4 (a-d). The qualitative pollution state has been categorized into six classes following the World Air Quality
Project (WAQP) (https://waqi.info/). These classes have indicated the possible exposure level. In the month of December 2019 and January 2020, the overall pollution level is found to be high in all the highly urbanized and industrialized nations like India, China, Bangladesh, West African countries, South American Countries, and some of the western European countries. Earlier pollution reports and studies have exhibited the same situation (Tilt, 2019; North et al., 2019; Li et al., 2019). But after implementation of lockdown in different countries to stop COVID 19, the different parts of the world have experienced an improvement in air quality (Dutheil et al., 2020; Mahato et al., 2020; Muhammad et al., 2020). As all the nations have not announced a lockdown on the same date and the nature of lockdown is either partial or full-fledged, all countries have not experienced a uniform improvement in air quality from a specific date and the degree of improvement is also not uniform. For example, in China, as the lockdown was announced at the earliest (23 January 2020). AQS was found good in late January, February, and March. AQS had started to deteriorate in successive periods (fig. 4). The hotspot of COVID-19 has then shifted to some European nations like Italy, Spain, France, UK, Germany, etc. To prevent the situation, they have imposed lockdown March onward and improvement in AQS was observed in successive periods. The rate of improvement was found high within one to two weeks after commencing lockdown. AQS in a regulated situation has come under the ambient air quality category. If this state is judged in reference to WAQP forwarded exposure level scale, then it can be stated that China, India, Bangladesh, West African countries, some parts of USA, Argentina, Brazil, Thailand, Malaysia, etc. countries were under hazardous to unhealthy AQS category in the pre-lockdown period, and all these countries have shifted to good to very good AQS category during lockdown (February-March, 2020). With the continuation of the lockdown, the gradual improvement of AQS is noticed in all the respective countries (Table 2). In the countries, where lockdown was partial, the degree of quality improvement of air is relatively less than the countries have undergone into full edged lockdown. Tobias et al. (2020), Muhammad et al. (2020) have also found a declining trend of pollution level in Barcelona (Spain) and some other major cities of Italy, China, and the USA.

3.3 Rate of change in AQS with the continuation of lockdown condition

Figure 5(a-c) shows the percentage of change of AQS from December month of 2020 to successive other months. In China, AQS is improved by 10% between December 2020 to February 2020. This rate is found high (>20%) in European countries like Italy, Spain, France, Germany, Denmark, UK in between December 2020 to March 2020 (Table 2). Extension of the hotspot to the USA with the casualty of about 54000 deaths and lockdown situations has shown quality improvement of air in the USA. Russia, Latin American countries, and counties of the Middle East are also identified under this category. Over the progress of time more, a number of countries have included ambient AQS (Fig. 5a-c). The rate of change is recorded higher in the countries which have experienced full-fledge lockdown than countries with partial lockdown with almost the same tenure of lockdown. A wider part of the developing and underdeveloped countries has recorded qualitative degradation as an annual cycle of pollution level where lockdown has not been implemented. In some countries where lockdown is applied in some specific cities or regions, the average AQS of the entire country does not provide any significant change. But this effect is clear in those areas where it is implemented.
Table 2 Worldwide air quality state change rate between 1\textsuperscript{st} month (December) to third month (February), 1\textsuperscript{st} month to 4th month (March) and analyze based on the date of lockdown

| Change                          | Lockdown Status    | 1\textsuperscript{st} to 3\textsuperscript{rd} month | 1\textsuperscript{st} to 4\textsuperscript{th} month |
|--------------------------------|--------------------|--------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------|
| Slight Positive change (<20%) | Partial lockdown   | Finland (7.83%), Brazil (15.37%), United Kingdom (14.19%), Ireland (8.16%), New Zealand (17.77%), Zimbabwe (14.70%), Nepal (9.61%), Sweden (1.83%), Japan (2.72%) | Sweden (2.35%)                                      |
|                                | Full lockdown       | Bangladesh (2.80%), Bhutan (3.77%), India (5.30%), Thailand (2.94%), Vietnam (2.75%), Germany (5.19%), Finland (8.99%), United Kingdom (13.05%), Ireland (4.99%), Nepal (12.76%), India (10.03%), Germany (9.54%), Australia (7.50%), Japan (8.56%), Bangladesh (3.68%), Bhutan (1.36%), Thailand (5.49%), Vietnam (4.85%), France (-4.23%), Spain (-2.23%) |
| Moderate positive change (20-40%) | Partial lockdown   | Madagascar (27.89%), Bolivia (28.82%), Paraguay (20.09%), Netherland (42.50%) | Paraguay (36.85%), New Zealand (36.11%) |
|                                | Full lockdown       | Senegal (51.86%), Mali (43.41%), Mali (53.31%), Zimbabwe (45.28%), Netherland (55.91%), Brazil (42.92%) |
| High positive change (40-60%)  | Partial lockdown   | Senegal (89.31%), Madagascar (61.22%), Bolivia (64.39%) |
| Very high positive change (>60%) | Partial lockdown   | Senegal (89.31%), Madagascar (61.22%), Bolivia (64.39%) |

3.4 AQS of selected cities based on lockdown implementation

As few cities were already identified as a hotspot of COVID-19 as per the records of very high death counts and count of infected people, this section has inspected the AQS condition with special emphasis with some less or non-affected cities. In Wuhan, the first hotspot of this virus, AQS was improved substantially just after starting lockdown. New York, Barcelona, Venice, London, Paris, Brussels, Berlin, Sydney, Amsterdam, Sao Paulo, and other cities of European and American countries have also witnessed a remarkable improvement in
AQS as the regions have implemented full-fledge lockdown (Table 3). Wuhan, Sydney, and Amsterdam cities have implemented partial lockdown and consequently, the air quality improvement rate is not detected so high (Table 3). New York, Washington DC and Islamabad cities have not experienced any such discernible change in AQS as these areas have not experienced lockdown. In lockdown conditions as most of the sources of air pollution like industries, transport, agriculture farms have been regulated, the pollution level is consequently reduced. The finding of the study is not any discrete event. A few studies have already been done in environmental perspective (Saadat et al, 2020; Sharma et al, 2020; Wang and Su, 2020; Wang et al, 2020; Tobias et al, 2020) also have reported a similar result. Tobias et al (2020) have reported that lockdown in Spain on 15th March 2020 has reduced NO$_2$ level by 51%, PM10 by 31%, O3 level has increased by 33-57% in Barcelona city and adjacent areas. Muhammud et al, (2020) have documented that pollution level in some epicenters of COVID-19 across Italy, Spain, the USA has reduced by 30%. Shereen et al (2020) have found a strong association between a change of air quality and climate indicators stating the fact that lockdown has also impacted the climate indicators like air temperature, land surface temperature, wind movement, etc. Previous studies by Anderson and Nässén (2016), Jerez et al (2018), Manabe (2019) have also reported that a high concentration of greenhouse gases may enhance the temperature and related other climatic components like fog, dew, and precipitation, etc. Similarly, Aydın et al., (2020) noted that the ozone level has increased significantly during the Covid-19 lockdown than pre-lockdown period which has increased the sunlight penetration. They also pointed that the increase in ozone is associated with the decline in PM$_{2.5}$ concentration. Thus it can be concluded that the drastic changes in atmospheric elements and pollutants have been observed due to the Covid-19 lockdown and each of the atmospheric changes are associated with each other.

Table 3 Analyzing the AQS of selected cities based on lockdown implementation
4. Conclusion

The present study has clearly explored that around the world the AQS has improved in COVID-19 persuaded lockdown period. The degree of improvement is regulated by the nature of lockdown policies. The full-fledge lockdown has exerted a greater impact on the degree of AQS improvement than partial and no lockdown state. The present study is confined up to March 2020 but the threat of COVID-19 has been accelerating over time in different countries of the world. So, lengthening of lockdown is expected to further improve the environmental quality. Certainly, this incident has brought colossal economic failure, millions of people are facing even food scarcity and more people may face severe starvation in the coming days in consequence of this but from an environmental and ecosystem point of view, this incident has extended an opportunity to restore the decaying quality of nature. The result has clearly noted that within a very short period nature has started to restore
herself. It is really good for not only environmental health but also the health and well-being of the human being. The increase of ozone will obviously provide better security to the entire ecosystem. Pollution free-breathing not only refreshes our mind and body but also gives temporary relief to the millions of people who are suffering from air pollution-related diseases. However, amid curse, some blessing is there with this lockdown compulsion. When the entire world is worried about how to control pollution and paying huge amounts of money to abate this problem, this unfortunate incident has vividly pointed out that the temporary lockdown would be a good alternative to minimize pollution levels and its consequences.
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**Figures**

**Figure 1**

Spatio-temporal mapping of monthly averaged aerosol optical depth and sulfur dioxide for (a), (e) December of 2019; (b), (f) January of 2020; (c), (g) February of 2020; and (d), (h) March of 2020. Note: The designations employed and the presentation of the material on this map do not imply the expression of any opinion whatsoever on the part of Research Square concerning the legal status of any country, territory, city or area or of its authorities, or concerning the delimitation of its frontiers or boundaries. This map has been provided by the authors.
Figure 2

Spatio-temporal mapping of monthly averaged ozone and carbon dioxide for (a), (e) December of 2019; (b), (f) January of 2020; (c), (g) February of 2020; and (d), (h) March of 2020. Note: The designations employed and the presentation of the material on this map do not imply the expression of any opinion whatsoever on the part of Research Square concerning the legal status of any country, territory, city or area or of its authorities, or concerning the delimitation of its frontiers or boundaries. This map has been provided by the authors.
Figure 3

Spatio-temporal mapping of monthly averaged PM2.5 and black carbon for (a), (e) December of 2019; (b), (f) January of 2020; (c), (g) February of 2020; and (d), (h) March of 2020. Note: The designations employed and the presentation of the material on this map do not imply the expression of any opinion whatsoever on the part of Research Square concerning the legal status of any country, territory, city or area or of its authorities, or concerning the delimitation of its frontiers or boundaries. This map has been provided by the authors.
Figure 4

Air quality state modeling for (a) December of 2019, (b) January of 2020, (c) February of 2020, and (d) March of 2020. Note: The designations employed and the presentation of the material on this map do not imply the expression of any opinion whatsoever on the part of Research Square concerning the legal status of any country, territory, city or area or of its authorities, or concerning the delimitation of its frontiers or boundaries. This map has been provided by the authors.
Figure 5

Change rate of air quality state between (a) December of 2019 and January of 2020, (b) December of 2019 and February of 2020, (c) December of 2019 and March of 2020. Note: The designations employed and the presentation of the material on this map do not imply the expression of any opinion whatsoever on the part of Research Square concerning the legal status of any country, territory, city or area or of its authorities, or concerning the delimitation of its frontiers or boundaries. This map has been provided by the authors.