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Abstract

**Background:** An unplanned pregnancy may follow by increasing depression and anxiety and the aim of the present study was to evaluate the mediating role of partner's emotional reaction to pregnancy (PERP) on the relationship between pregnancy planning and prenatal mental health.

**Methods:** This cross-sectional study was conducted on 303 healthy Iranian pregnant women during their third trimester. The levels of depression and anxiety were measured using the Edinburgh Postnatal Depression Scale and the State-Trait Anxiety Inventory. Further, the PERP score was measured using a researcher-made questionnaire. The data were analyzed using the plug-in application PROCESS macro.

**Results:** The results showed that PERP score was related to pregnancy planning and prenatal depression and anxiety inversely. The effect of the pregnancy planning on depression ($\beta=-1.603$; CI: -2.276 to -.978) and anxiety ($\beta=-1.950$, CI: -3.717 to -.493) were significant only with mediating role of PERP.

**Conclusions:** The results indicated that the effect of the pregnancy planning on prenatal mental health is mediate by PERP and in unplanned pregnancy, women need to receive their positive partner’s reaction toward pregnancy to preserve their mental health.

Background

Mental disorders are some of the most common and important complications during pregnancy [1, 2], which could adversely affect the pregnancy outcome [3]. Adverse health behavior [4] and increased attempted suicides among pregnant mothers [5] are some of the associated complications that could threaten the health of the mother and fetus. Furthermore, the negative effect of psychological disorders on marital relationships [6] would threaten, more than anything, the mental health of pregnant women [7]. Therefore, many studies aimed to determine factors which affect the mental health of pregnant women. Many studies have shown that during pregnancy women's mental health is associated with social support [8–10], marital relationships [11], as well as socioeconomic factors.

Also, unplanned pregnancy is known as a risk factor for increasing family conflict and decreasing marriage harmony, depressive symptoms among partners [12, 13]. Some studies reported of increased risk of depression and anxiety following unplanned pregnancies [14, 15]. But, it is believed that even unplanned pregnancy can also be associated with pregnancy acceptance [16]. Therefore, the relationship between pregnancy planning and women's mental health during pregnancy may be formed during a process of the couple's relationship following planned or unplanned pregnancy.

The relationship between pregnancy planning and related factors to women's mental health may explain this discrepancy. In a systematic review marital relationships and social support were reported to be important determinants for maternal mental health during pregnancy [1]. Other studies have also shown
that social support, especially the partner’s, is of great importance in maintaining the mental health of pregnant women [8, 17, 18]. Further, affecting the marital relations, the partner’s emotional support has important effects on the mental health of women during pregnancy [9, 10] in such a way that some studies have reported that the partner’s emotional support has a positive relation to increased marital satisfaction in women [19]. However, during pregnancy, among all the partner’s supportive behavior, his emotional reaction to pregnancy such as that on learning about his wife’s pregnancy or about pregnancy-related events such as movement of the fetus or the growth of the abdomen as a result of the growth of the fetus, might be of special importance because it indicates the importance of pregnancy to the partner.

In many women, occurrence of pregnancy is an event associated with great changes in sensations, emotions, and excitements [20]; which are influenced by their familial relations [21] and can impact their mental health [10]. Further, the social roles that women play, the reproductive one is of special importance, where pregnancy for women is the realization of their gender roles such that decreased fertility in middle-aged women has been described as a sense of fruitlessness and unproductivity [22]. Previous studies indicate that pregnancy is considered by many women proof of their womanhood [23] and that failure to express emotions about pregnancy may be perceived as indifference.

In a study on Japanese pregnant women’s satisfaction with family relations and their mental health, it was reported to have a direct association with increased morning sickness [21]. These findings show that physical signs, manifesting pregnancy, are of great importance for the women. Therefore, in societies as such, due to the emotional attachments between the partners, the partner’s confirmation of her fertility [24] might add to the importance of the partner’s emotional reaction to pregnancy and its effect on her mental health. According to these relationships, the aim of the study was evaluating the partner’s emotional reaction to pregnancy (PERP) as a mediator of the relationship between pregnancy planning and the psychological health of pregnancy.

Methods

This cross-sectional study was conducted in Isfahan, Iran, from August 2017 to April 2018, approved by the Ethics Committee of Isfahan University of Medical Sciences. The study population included pregnant women in their third trimester receiving pregnancy care at health centers in Isfahan. The inclusion criteria were having a single pregnancy and not having any diagnosed medical, gynecological and mental disorders under treatment. The centers were selected from two networks: 1 and 2 in Isfahan using stratified random cluster sampling. Urban health networks organize health care centers services based on the Iranian health care system. In Isfahan, health services are organized by two health care networks. Therefore, two networks were considered as clusters and six health care centers covered by each network were selected randomly. Pregnant women who were referred to the centers were selected using convenience sampling. The inclusion criteria were evaluated by reviewing the women’s medical files. The sample size was determined by using single population proportion formula based on the assumptions of about 16% of prenatal anxiety prevalence from a previous study of the Iranian pregnant women [25] with a precision (margin of error) of 1.5% between the sample and population parameter. Considering a 95%
confidence interval, the number of the participants was estimated at about 303 pregnant women. On receiving the informed consent of the participants, the women's demographic and obstetric history (including parity, history of infertility, gestational age and pregnancy planning) and the history of depression prior to pregnancy were recorded.

**Measurements**

The level of self-report depression was evaluated using the 40-item Edinburgh Postnatal Depression Scale designed with a 4-point Likert scale (0–3). The primary studies reported a cut-off point of 12 or higher as the depression index during pregnancy [26] and the validity for use with the Iranian population was approved with a Cronbach α of 0.79 [27]. The self-report anxiety level was measured using State-Trait Anxiety Inventory (STAI) T-Anxiety Scale by Spielberger, which measures the trait anxiety. This questionnaire contains 20 items on a 4-point Likert scale (1–4). The range of scores is 20–80, with the higher score indicating a greater trait anxiety. A cut-off point of scores ≥ 40 was coded as trait anxiety disorder (anxiety disorder). Further, a depression level above 12 was considered as depression disorder [28].

The PERP was measured using a researcher-made 19-items questionnaire completed by pregnant women. The items of the questionnaire were designed by performing content analysis on interviews conducted with 10 pregnant women or women with a history of pregnancy with the assistance of 3 psychologists. Based on the results, psychometrics of the initial version of a questionnaire with 19 items (with 3 Inverse questions) was performed on a 5-point Likert scale (1–5): strongly disagree (1), disagree (2), somehow disagree or agree (3), agree (4), and strongly agree (5).

For example, one of the items of the questionnaire was “observing fetal movement in my abdomen is interesting to my partner” or “the news of this pregnancy made my partner happy” or “I need something to happen to make my partner to make him pay more attention to me”. The content validity ratio (CVR) and content validity index (CVI) of the questionnaire were calculated using the opinions of 10 experts. For the quantitative content validity, all 19 items remained because of a CVR being more than 0.62 and a CVI being more than 0.8.

For reliability assessment, a pilot study was performed on 14 eligible pregnant women and the tool was completed in two stages with a time interval of three weeks. The calculated Cronbach Alpha and intra-class correlation index were .92 and .99 respectively. The level of the PERP was the sum of the item scores of the questionnaire. The questionnaire sum score ranged from 19 to 95 points, with the higher score indicating the partner's affect to pregnancy was more pleasant for women.

Statistical analysis was performed using SPSS software version 19. Statistical significance of the effect of mediation was examined through the plug-in application PROCESS macro v 3.4 by Hayes. The statistical significance of the mediating partner's emotional reaction to pregnancy was examined over 10,000 bootstrap samples. This method generated an estimate of the indirect effect, including 95%
confidence intervals. When zero was not within the 95% confidence limits, one should conclude that the indirect effect was significantly different from zero.

The pregnancy planning (planned pregnancy: 1, unplanned pregnancy: 0) was treated as independent variable, the levels of depression and anxiety were treated as dependent variables and the level of the PERP score as an independent variable. To determine the potential confounding variables, Pearson and Spearman correlation coefficients between the depression and anxiety levels, women's age, education, monthly income were calculated and the variables correlated with the main variables (p < 0.05), were treated as potential confounding variables. The variables correlated with depression, anxiety and emotional reaction, entered the regression model as covariant.

Results

The participants in the present study were 303 pregnant women with a gestational age of 28 to 36 weeks and a mean age of 32.56 years. Most of the participants in the study were multiparas (60.7), with a secondary education and a monthly income level of under $ 1000 (Table 1). The results showed that the monthly income had a weak association with the depression (r = -0.19, p = 0.001) and anxiety levels (r = -0.2, p = 0.002) during pregnancy. Further, the link between the women's age level (r = -0.18, p = 0.001) and education (r = -0.17, p = 0.002) and level of anxiety was significant and weak. Also, the relationship between monthly income and partner's reaction was significant (r = .20, p = .001). Therefore, the monthly income, age and educational level as covariant variables entered the regression model.

The results showed that the PERP was related to pregnancy planning (p < .0001). Additionally, the results showed that, apart from these variables, women's levels of depression (p < 0.0001) and anxiety (p = 0.002) had a significant inverse correlation with the PERP level (Table 2).
Table 2
Descriptive profile of the participants (n = 303)

|                            | Mean (SD) or Number (%) |
|---------------------------|-------------------------|
| **Age** (mean)            | 32.56 (5.33)            |
| **Educational level** (%) |                         |
| Primary                   | 13 (4.3)                |
| Secondary                 | 232 (76.6)              |
| Higher                    | 58 (19.1)               |
| **Monthly income ($)**    |                         |
| < 500                     | 13 (4.3)                |
| 500–1000                  | 216 (71.3)              |
| > 1000                    | 74 (24.4)               |
| **Gestational age** (mean)| 32.6 (3.6)              |
| **Planed Pregnancy** (%)  | 187 (61.7)              |
| **Depression level**      | 9.1 (4.7)               |
| **Anxiety level**         | 46.5 (7.8)              |
| **Partner’s emotional reaction** (mean) | 23.8 (16.5) |
### Table 2
The relations between antenatal mental health levels and partner’s reaction to pregnancy

| Dependent variables | Depression level | Anxiety level | Partner’s reaction to pregnancy |
|---------------------|------------------|---------------|---------------------------------|
|                     | Bet  | Sig | CI 95% | Bet  | Sig | CI 95% | Bet  | Sig | CI 95% |
| **Potential Confounders** |      |     |        |      |     |        |      |     |        |
| Age of women        | .02  | ns  | -.06  | -.20 | ns  | -.12  | -.40 | .02 | -.07  |
| Monthly income ($)  | -.92 | .03 | -1.78 | -1.42 | ns  | -1.76 | -1.60 | .00 | -8.95 |
| Educational level   | .37  | ns  | -.09  | -.93 | ns  | -.81  | .09  | ns  | -1.66 |
| History of infertility | -.51 | ns  | -2.73 | -2.26 | ns  | -2.66 | 1.00 | ns  | -7.47 |

Abbreviation: CI: Confidence Interval
Dependent variables

| Depression level | Anxiety level | Partner's reaction to pregnancy |
|------------------|---------------|---------------------------------|
| Bet  | Sig  | CI 95% | Bet  | Sig  | CI 95% | Bet  | Sig  | CI 95% |
| -------- | ------ | ----- | -------- | ------ | ----- | -------- | ------ | ----- |
| Pregnancy planning | .01 ns | -1.00 | .1 ns | -3.87 | 3.94 | 10.82 < .00 | 14.44 | 1.72 |
| Partner's reaction to pregnancy | - .1 4 | .00 2 | - .1 7 | - .1 8 | .00 2 | - .0 7 | - .2 9 | - - - - |

Abbreviation: CI: Confidence Interval

The adjusted results for the level of education and monthly income showed that the indirect effect of pregnancy planning on depression and anxiety had been significant and inverse (Table 3). The direct effect of pregnancy planning on depression and anxiety was not significant, suggesting that the correlation of the pregnancy planning with depression and anxiety are completely mediated by the PERP (Fig. 1).

Table 3
Indirect effects and specific indirect effects of pregnancy planning on depression and anxiety

| Bootstrapping |
|---------------|
| Product of Confidents | 95% Confidence Interval |
| Indirect Effect | Point Estimate | SE | Lower | Upper |
| Pregnancy planning Partner's reaction to pregnancy Depression | -1.603 | .334 | -2.276 | .978- |
| Pregnancy planning Partner's reaction to pregnancy Anxiety | -1.950 | .829 | -3.717 | -.493 |
Discussion

The aim of the present study was evaluating the PERP as a mediator of the relationship between pregnancy planning and the prenatal psychological health. Numerous studies have been conducted in evaluating the relationship between pregnancy planning and prenatal mental health, as well as the relationship between social support and prenatal mental health [29]. But, as far as we know, the mediation of the PERP and the mental health of the woman during pregnancy was evaluated for the first time.

The results showed that the desirable the PERP decreases levels of depression and anxiety in the mother during pregnancy and also, mediates the relationship between pregnancy planning and the prenatal depression and anxiety. These results indicate that to moderate the stressful pressures following unplanned pregnancy, the positive partner's emotional reaction to the pregnancy is important.

Many studies have shown that the partner's social support would decrease the woman's levels of depression and anxiety during pregnancy [1, 24, 30] as well as the depression disorder [31];

Further, the level of the PERP level is well-established as a major predictive factor for depressive and anxiety in pregnant women.

This finding complement the results of research that showed an association between unwanted pregnancies and women's psychological health during pregnancy [14, 15]. It also confirms the results of studies that have shown that marital relationship affects the prenatal psychological health [32, 33].

Another finding of this study showed a positive relationship between planned pregnancy and the PERP. The results also showed that the depression and anxiety levels in pregnant women were inversely related to the PERP level. These findings suggest that unplanned pregnancies reduce a PERP level and thus affects the prenatal mental health. However, the lack of a direct effect of the pregnancy planning on depression and anxiety indicates that if a PERP would not decrease during unplanned pregnancies, an unplanned pregnancy will not increase the depression and anxiety of pregnant women.

Previous research has shown that unplanned pregnancies are accompanied by family disruption and a decline in the marital quality and couples' relationships; and it may associate with decreasing partner's emotional support and increasing risk of the women's depression and anxiety.

It was reported that the father's emotional reaction to the partner's pregnancy was one of major themes in the fatherhood development process [34]. As for the pregnancy of the woman, the father's emotional reaction to pregnancy may be considered as messages of the fatherhood development and the partner's approval of pregnancy; and in this way, it may affect the mother's mental health. Because, there was reported that unplanned pregnancy was associated with an increased probability of not approving the pregnancy [35]. Also, some studies showed that the partner's approval of pregnancy was associated with improvement of the woman's mental health and that the partner's disapproval would lead to depression and anxiety during pregnancy [2, 35].
Also, the present study showed that although the PERP level was less frequent among women with lower economic status, where the PERP in these families was perceived as a desirable reaction, the levels of depression and anxiety decreased in pregnant women. Therefore, it is necessary to teach the partner the skill of expressing positive reactions in families with lower socioeconomic status in order to improve the mental health of pregnant women in unplanned pregnancy.

Although in the present study, the partner’s approval of pregnancy was not directly measured, the higher level of partner’s affect in the planned pregnancies confirms this explanation. But the lack of direct effect of the pregnancy planning and women's mental health may suggest that having a planned pregnancy is likely to affect women's mental health, when is followed by suitable partner's reaction.

Although, the present study showed that, apart from the socioeconomic status, the mental health of pregnant women depended on their perception of the PERP, in interpreting the results, there were some limitations that needed to be considered. The first notable limitation was that such factors as the partner’s characteristics could have affected the form of the couple's relationship and probably the PERP level and women’s mental health. Further, because the data on the PERP and the woman’s mental health during pregnancy were collected in a cross-sectional study, we could not establish the temporal relation between the two conditions. The women's mental health could have provoked a positive behavior in their partners and might have led to the partner's positive emotional reaction to pregnancy. Also, depressed women would report more negative responses by their partners. Besides the mental disorders might have affected the perceived partner’s behavior in pregnant women; which in the cross sectional studies cannot be captured.

**Conclusions**

The study showed that the partner’s emotional reaction to pregnancy mediates the relationship between the pregnancy planning and prenatal mental health; which indicates the pregnant women need to receive their partner’s positive reaction toward pregnancy to preserve their mental health.
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Abbreviations: c: total effect c’: direct effect. *p<.05, **p<.01, ***p<.001

**Figure 1**

Mediation by partner’s reaction to pregnancy of the association between pregnancy planning and depression and anxiety