Perfluorinated alkyl substances, such as perfluorooctane sulfonate (PFOS) and perfluorooctanoic acid (PFOA), are toxic materials that are known to globally contaminate water, air, and soil resources. Strategies for the simultaneous detection and removal of these compounds are desired to address this emerging health and environmental issue. Herein, we develop a type of guanidinocalix[5]arene that can selectively and strongly bind to PFOS and PFOA, which we use to demonstrate the sensitive and quantitative detection of these compounds in contaminated water through a fluorescent indicator displacement assay. Moreover, by co-assembling iron oxide nanoparticle with the amphiphilic guanidinocalix[5]arene, we are able to use simple magnetic absorption and filtration to efficiently remove PFOS and PFOA from contaminated water. This supramolecular approach that uses both molecular recognition and self-assembly of macrocyclic amphiphiles is promising for the detection and remediation of water pollution.
Water pollution is a serious threat to the health of organisms worldwide and is widely regarded as a major environmental issue. The contamination of surface and ground water by perfluorinated alkyl substances has particularly emerged as an environmental crisis impacting hundreds of millions of people due to the increasing use of these compounds in the production of fluoropolymers, stain guard products, and fire-fighting foams. The most common perfluorinated alkyl pollutants are perfluorooctane sulfonate (PFOS) and perfluorooctanoic acid (PFOA) (Fig. 1), which have been found in water worldwide, including the polar zones. PFOS and PFOA can bind to proteins and then deposit within the body, resulting in undesirable effects, including kidney and liver damage, thyroid disease, immunotoxicity, reproductive toxicity, and cancer. Moreover, these pollutants are highly stable as a result of the thermodynamic stability of the C–F bonds and demonstrate significant bioaccumulation, making them a persistent chemical threat to the environment.

According to the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, the health advisory level for the combined concentration of PFOA and PFOS in drinking water is 70 ng L\(^{-1}\). Nevertheless, the levels of them in drinking water typically exceed that threshold in communities near industrial areas, airports, and military facilities. As a result, there is an increasing need for rapid and sensitive techniques for the detection of PFOS and PFOA in contaminated water, as well as efficient remediation methods.

Typical non-labeled detection methods of PFOS and PFOA, include gas chromatography with electron capture detection and chromatography-mass spectrometry. However, the long analysis time and requirement of expensive instrumentation hinders the application of these techniques in high-throughput screening of environmental samples. There have been limited studies on the development of simple, inexpensive, and sensitive optical methods (e.g., via fluorescence or colorimetric changes) for the detection of PFOS and PFOA in contaminated water, as well as efficient remediation methods.

Degradation of PFOA and PFOS is difficult and will produce new toxic byproducts. Therefore, absorption may be the most suitable technique for purifying PFOS and PFOA from contaminated water. Typical absorbents are made of activated carbon, carbon nanotubes, resins, polymers, and mineral materials, biomaterials, and molecularly imprinted polymers. However, there are few absorbents specifically designed for perfluorinated alkyl substances, and no absorption system has been integrated with real-time detection through simple optical techniques.

Supramolecular chemistry represents an elegant approach to construct multifunctional materials for challenging applications, with the virtues of molecular recognition and self-assembly. Macrocyclic hosts, such as crown ether, cyclodextrin, calixarene, pillararene, cucurbituril, and others, are families of well-studied artificial receptors with a cavity that can be selective for the recognition of particular guests. Efficient molecular recognition by macrocycles in aqueous media has been demonstrated for various applications, including the detection and absorption of pollutants. One representative example is \(\beta\)-cyclodextrin, which displays a binding affinity of \(-10^4\) M\(^{-1}\) for PFOA and PFOA, enabling a \(\beta\)-cyclodextrin polymer network to efficiently sequester PFOA. Exploring artificial receptors with extraordinarily high affinities to PFOS and PFOA is crucial for both the detection and absorption of these compounds, as stronger binding will result in higher sensitivity and absorption efficiency.

In this work, we report the nanomolar binding of two different guanidinocalix[5]arenes (GC5A-6C and GC5A-12C, which feature 6 and 12 carbon atoms in each alkyl chains at the lower rim of the macrocycles, respectively) (Fig. 1) towards PFOS and PFOA. Based on molecular recognition, we achieve sensitive fluorescence detection of PFOA and PFOS using an indicator displacement assay (IDA) with fluorescein (Fl) as the reporter dye (Fig. 1). Moreover, efficient magnetic absorption of PFOA and PFOS is achieved by loading magnetic iron oxide nanoparticle (MNP) into the GC5A-12C assembly.

Results

Complexation studied by theoretical calculations and NMR. Both PFOS and PFOA possess two potential binding sites: a head group composed of sulfonate (PFOS) or carboxylic acid (PFOA) and a C–F chain tail. Based on these structural features, we explored GC5A-6C as a candidate receptor mainly due to its complementary size and shape compared to the chain structures of PFOS and PFOA, as well as its salt-bridge interactions. GC5A-6C was synthesized and purified according to our previous method. Geometry optimizations of the GC5A-6C/PFOA and GC5A-6C/PFOS complexes were performed using the B3LYP-D3/6–31G(d)/SMD(water) method (Supplementary Note 1) in which we found that both complexes feature a threading geometry (Fig. 2a and Supplementary Fig. 1).

To gain further understanding of the molecular recognition behavior, we calculated and mapped the molecular electrostatic potential (MEP) on the molecular van der Waals surfaces of GC5A-6C and PFOS (Fig. 2a). GC5A-6C is electron-deficient, especially at its upper rim, and PFOS is electron-rich, particularly at its sulfonate head group. The optimized binding geometry is reasonable since molecules tend to approach each other in a complementary manner of the MEP. Furthermore, independent gradient model analysis (Fig. 2b) reveals the strong N–H–O hydrogen bonds (blue areas in the isosurfaces) between the guanidinium groups of GC5A-6C and the head group of PFOS. The green areas in the isosurfaces indicate the existence of (1) weak C–H–F–C hydrogen bonds between alkyl chains in the GC5A-6C and the fluorocarbon chain in PFOS, and (2) van der Waals interactions of the aromatic rings and oxygen atoms in GC5A-6C with the fluorocarbon chain in PFOS. Coloring the atoms of GC5A-6C according to their contribution to the

---

**Fig. 1 Chemical structures of hosts and guests.** Chemical structures of the employed calixarene hosts (GC5A-6C and GC5A-12C), the Fl reporter dye, and the perfluorinated pollutants (PFOS and PFOA) in this work.
host-guest complexation clearly shows that the main contributions derive from the guanidinium groups and aromatic rings, though the alkyl chains also provide weak interactions (Fig. 2c). As a result, the synergistic effect of these different interactions contributes to the strong binding between GC5A-6C and PFOS or PFOA as desired. We verified the complexation of PFOA with GC5A-6C by 19F nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) experiments in CD3OD. The significant upfield shift in the fluorine nuclei linked to Cα was observed (Supplementary Fig. 2), which may be caused by the intermolecular N–H···F hydrogen bonds between guanidinium groups of GC5A-6C and fluorine atoms linked to Cα of PFOA when PFOA was encapsulated into the cavity of GC5A-6C (Supplementary Fig. 1).

Sensitive and selective detection of PFOS and PFOA. We further determined the binding affinities of GC5A-6C to PFOS and PFOA using an IDA (Fig. 3a), in which a fluorescent indicator first reversibly binds to the receptor (Supplementary Fig. 3). Then, an analyte is added into the solution, displacing the indicator from the cavity of the receptor, which changes the optical signal. By employing GC5A-6C•Fl as the reporter pair (Kₐ = 5.0 × 10⁶ M⁻¹)⁵³, we obtained binding affinities of (3.5 ± 1.0) × 10⁷ M⁻¹ for PFOS (Fig. 3b) and (1.7 ± 0.3) × 10⁷ M⁻¹ for PFOA (Fig. 3c). The binding affinities are about three orders of magnitude higher than those of previously reported supramolecular hosts toward PFOS, which are around 10⁴ M⁻¹ (Supplementary Table 3).

The principle of the IDA allows for fluorescence “switch-on” sensing of PFOS and PFOA using the GC5A-6C•Fl reporter pair. The fluorescence increase linearly with increasing PFOS (Fig. 4a) and PFOA (Fig. 4b) concentrations, respectively. Based on these results, we calculated the limit of detection (LOD) values as 21.4 ± 0.4 nM (11.3 ± 0.2 μgL⁻¹) for PFOS and 26.4 ± 0.2 nM (10.9 ± 0.1 μgL⁻¹) for PFOA by a 3σ/slope method.⁶² The sensitivity of this assay to PFOS and PFOA is superior to or comparable with those of gas chromatography and high-performance liquid chromatography techniques, indicating that this facile and sensitive fluorescence assay has potential for rapid detection of heavy contamination by PFOS and PFOA.¹²,⁶³ For example, the PFOA concentration in drinking water near a fluorochemical facility in Washington, West Virginia, USA, is as high as 13.3 μgL⁻¹, which is 190-fold greater than the health advisory level (70 ng L⁻¹) recommended by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency.³,⁶⁴ Given the sensitivity of our technique, it should be possible to quickly detect PFOS and PFOA in the drinking water of such contaminated regions.

We also evaluated the detection selectivity of GC5A-6C for PFOA and PFOS. For comparison, we determined that octanesulfonic acid and octanoic acid (C–H chain surfactants) exhibit affinities of (6.0 ± 1.1) × 10⁴ M⁻¹ (Supplementary Fig. 4) and (7.6 ± 0.8) × 10⁴ M⁻¹ (Supplementary Fig. 5) with GC5A-6C, respectively. For these C–H chain surfactants, the hydrogen atoms feature a 23% smaller van der Waals radius compared to fluorine, which leads to smaller molecular volumes. As a result,
their binding affinities are almost three orders of magnitude lower than those of PFOS and PFOA. Furthermore, the addition of common anionic/cationic surfactants, perfluorohexane and anions caused no significant enhancement in the fluorescence intensity of the GC5A-6C•Fl complex (Fig. 5). Salt concentrations are considered to be orders of magnitude higher than PFOS and PFOA in contaminated water. Even 1000-fold excess salts (NaCl, KCl, and MgCl2) resulted in much smaller fluorescence recovery of the GC5A-6C•Fl reporter pair than PFOS and PFOA (Supplementary Fig. 6). Considering that other unknown pollutants in real highly contaminated water might interfere with the detection selectivity of GC5A-6C, we collected the waste water samples from the manufacturing facility (Cangzhou, Hebei Province, China), and freeze-dried these highly contaminated water samples. The addition of the obtained powder with mass concentration more than ten times higher than PFOS and PFOA also caused no significant enhancement in the fluorescence intensity, which validated GC5A-6C could bind preferentially to PFOS and PFOA over other pollutants in the real world. Moreover, the selectivity to various interferences in Fig. 5 were established in the real highly contaminated water (Supplementary Fig. 7).

To evaluate the applicability and reliability of the proposed method and considering interfering species commonly found in environmental water samples from different sources, we applied the assay to detect PFOS and PFOA in tap water and lake water samples. The water samples were obtained from tap water and Mati Lake (Nankai University, Tianjin, China), which were immediately filtered through 0.45 μm micro pore membranes to remove insoluble particles and stored in brown glass bottles as blank samples. Then, we performed the IDA of PFOS and PFOA in the tap and lake water samples containing variable concentrations of the compounds. Despite the existence of various interfering species in these water samples, linear increases in the fluorescence of the GC5A-6C•Fl complex were observed as we increased the concentrations of PFOS and PFOA (Fig. 4c–f). These linear relationships allowed us to establish calibration curves of the intensity of fluorescence to determine the unknown concentrations of PFOS and PFOA in the tap and lake water samples. The LOD values for PFOS were 30.9 ± 0.1 nM (16.6 ± 0.1 μg L−1) in the tap water and 120.8 ± 0.5 nM (65.0 ± 0.3 μg L−1) in Mati Lake. Meanwhile, the LOD values for PFOA were 39.1 ± 2.8 nM (16.2 ± 1.2 μg L−1) in the tap water and 120.3 ± 2.0 nM (49.8 ± 0.8 μg L−1) in Mati Lake.

According to the LOD values of the water samples, our method can be directly applied for the detection of PFOS and PFOA in heavily contaminated sources, such as communities near industrial areas64, airports3, and military facilities3, in which the total concentrations of PFOS and PFOA may reach 1174 μg L−1165. For measuring contamination in regular drinking water with lower PFOS and PFOA concentrations, we can employ our assay after preconcentrating the water sample with a solid-phase extraction. Aliquots of blank tap water samples from different regions and Haihe River water samples and those spiked with 50 ng L−1 of PFOS or PFOA were extracted with HLB cartridges (Supplementary Note 2). We then performed the displacement assay using the GC5A-6C•Fl reporter pair and obtained the concentrations of PFOS and PFOA from the established calibration curves. As shown in Supplementary Table 4, the experimental results showed that no PFOS or PFOA was detected in any of the blank tap water samples and Haihe River water samples. The standard addition recoveries of those water samples are in the range of 90.3–102.7%. These values validated our assay, demonstrating its precision and accuracy for the detection of PFOS and PFOA in contaminated drinking water.

Real-time/on-site scanometric monitoring of PFOS and PFOA.

To make the assay more available to daily use, the obvious fluorescence changes of GC5A-6C•Fl reporter pair with various concentrations of PFOS and PFOA were further applied as a real-time/on-site visual detection mode by using a smartphone with easy-to-access color scanning application (app). The green color intensities (G values) of the fluorescent images can be directly scanned from the app (Fig. 6 and Supplementary Note 3). According to the G values, calibration curves can be set up with increasing PFOS and PFOA concentrations, respectively.
The absorption and magnetic separation of PFOS and PFOA.

To add absorption capability to this sensing system, we constructed a hybrid material composed of amphiphilic calixarene nanoparticle (Fig. 7). The pegylated GC5A-12C nanoparticle was generated by co-assembling GC5A-12C and 4-(dodecyloxy)benzamido-terminated methoxy poly(ethyleneglycol) (PEG-12C) (Supplementary Fig. 8) at a 2:1 molar ratio (Supplementary Note 4). PEG-doping was implemented to enhance the watersolubility and mechanical stability of the material to prevent coagulation and settling. We determined the binding constants for the pegylated GC5A-12C nanoparticle for PFOS and PFOA to be \((1.3 \pm 0.3) \times 10^7 \text{ M}^{-1}\) and \((4.8 \pm 0.4) \times 10^6 \text{ M}^{-1}\), respectively (Supplementary Figs. 9–11). The binding strength of the pegylated GC5A-12C nanoparticle is comparable with that of GC5A-6C and should be suitable for absorption applications. Furthermore, we obtained hybrid nanoparticle (MNP@GC5A-12C) by encapsulating hydrophobic MNP into the hydrophobic domain of the GC5A-12C nanoparticle during preparation (Supplementary Note 5).

Al (III) phthalocyanine chloride tetrasulfonic acid (AlPcS₄, Supplementary Fig. 8) was chosen as a model dye to explore the absorption ability of MNP@GC5A-12C. MNP@GC5A-12C was dispersed into the solution of AlPcS₄ and then isolated with an external magnetic field for 1 h. Subsequently, the supernatant was filtered through a mixed cellulose esters film (Millipore, 0.025 μm) and collected for ultraviolet–visible (UV–vis) experiments. Negligible absorbance of AlPcS₄ was observed after absorption (Fig. 8a), indicating the complete removal of AlPcS₄ by MNP@GC5A-12C. As a control experiment, filtration without MNP@GC5A-12C resulted in barely any effect on the concentration of the AlPcS₄ in solution (Supplementary Fig. 13).

We further applied MNP@GC5A-12C to absorb PFOS and PFOA. The quantifications of PFOS and PFOA were performed by means of ultra-performance liquid chromatography–electrospray
ionization–tandem mass spectrometry (UPLC−ESI−MS/MS). The calibration curves were set up and quantitative parameters were evaluated (Supplementary Note 6). The PFOS and PFOA absorption efficiencies of each samples were characterized at \([\text{PFOS}]_0 = [\text{PFOA}]_0 = 1000 \text{ ng mL}^{-1}\). After the removal procedure, the solutions were pre-concentrated to accurately determine PFOS and PFOA concentrations by the UPLC−ESI−MS/MS. There were only (0.43 ± 0.07)% residual PFOS and (1.53 ± 0.04)% residual PFOA, respectively (Fig. 8b). The regeneration of the present supramolecular material is considered to be feasible owing to its response to specific organic solvent68. In DMSO, GC5A-12C neither formed amphiphilic aggregates indicated by very low scattering intensity (Supplementary Fig. 14), nor complexed with PFOS revealed by no change in chemical shifts of \(^{19}\text{F}\) NMR spectra of PFOS (Supplementary Fig. 15). Therefore, we envisage that regeneration of the supramolecular material could be achieved by using routine purification methods in organic synthesis such as column chromatography.

Discussion
In conclusion, our artificial GC5A-6C receptor successfully encapsulated PFOA and PFOS with nanomolar affinity in aqueous media. Taking advantage of the strong recognition and supramolecular assembly, we achieved not only sensitive and quantitative detection of PFOA and PFOS in tap and lake water through the fluorescent IDA strategy, but also the efficient removal of them by the hybrid MNP@GC5A-12C nanoparticle via a simple magnetic absorption and filtration procedure. These results will facilitate the development of detection and absorption methods for PFOA and PFOS. Although the present LOD and removal efficiency cannot reach the health advisory level in drinking water, the proposed supramolecular approach can be practically operated in heavily polluted areas, such as industrial regions, airports, and military facilities. For daily drinking water detection, we can use the solid-phase extraction for sample preconcentration. Higher removal efficiency may be achieved by applying the GC5A-12C nanoparticle as solid-
phase extraction absorbents. This work made full use of the molecular recognition and self-assembly of artificial receptors, offering a promising strategy for the detection and remediation of water pollution.

**Methods**

**Chemicals.** All the reagents and solvents were commercially available and used as received unless otherwise specified purification. Ammonium acetate and 2,2,2-trifluoroethanol were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich. Fl was purchased from Tokyo Chemical Industry. Al (III) phthalocyanine chloride tetrasulfonic acid (AlPcS4) was purchased from Frontier Scientific. PFOA, PFOS, hexadecyltrimethylammonium bromide, octane acid, octanesulfonic acid, and perfluorohexane were purchased from Energy Chemical. Iron oxide nanoparticle stabilized by oleic acid (MNP) was purchased from Ji Cang Nano Company. The waste water samples were provided by the manufacturing facility located in Cangzhou, Hebei province, China. DSS, HEPES, TCEP, and 2,2,2-trifluoroethanol were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich. Fl was purchased from Tokyo Chemical Industry. Ammonium acetate and 2,2,2-trifluoroethanol were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich. Fl was purchased from Tokyo Chemical Industry.

**Samples.** The 2-[4-(2-hydroxyethyl)pyperazin-1-yl]ethanesulfonic acid (HEPES) buffer solution of pH 7.4 was prepared by dissolving 2.38 g of HEPES in approximate 900 mL of double-distilled water. Titrate to pH 7.4 at the lab temperature of 25 °C with NaOH and make up volume to 1000 mL with double-distilled water. The pH value of the buffer solution was then verified on a pH-meter calibrated with three standard buffer solutions.

**Apparatus.** 19F NMR data were recorded on a Bruker AV400 spectrometer. Steady-state fluorescence spectra were recorded in a conventional quartz cell (light path 10 mm) on a Cary Eclipse equipped with a Cary single-cell peltier accessory. UV−vis spectra were recorded in a quartz cuvette (light path 10 mm) on a Cary 100 UV−vis spectrophotometer equipped with a Cary dual cuvette peltier accessory. The sample solutions for dynamic light scattering measurements were examined on a laser light scattering spectrometer (NanoBrook 173plus) equipped with a digital correlator at 659 nm at a scattering angle of 90°. Quantification of PFOS and PFOA from the absorption studies were performed by means of UPLC–ESI–MS/MS (Waters, Milford, MA, USA).

**Fig. 7 Schematic illustration of PFOS and PFOA absorption and magnetic separation.** Illustration of the absorption and magnetic separation procedure of PFOS and PFOA by the MNP@GC5A-12C nanoparticle.

**Fig. 8 Absorption of AlPcS₄, PFOS, and PFOA in water samples.** a Absorption spectra of AlPcS₄ (10 μM) without any treatment and after magnetic separation by MNP@GC5A-12C and filtration. (Inset) Photographs of MNP@GC5A-12C ([GC5A-12C] = 100 μM) (left), MNP@GC5A-12C ([GC5A-12C] = 100 μM) with AlPcS₄ (10 μM) (middle), and the filtrate after magnetic separation and filtration (right). b Concentrations of PFOS and PFOA with only filtration, and with both magnetic separation and filtration are quantified by the UPLC–ESI–MS/MS system. Concentrations of PFOS and PFOA without magnetic separation are shown as a control. Error bars in (b) represent mean ± s.d. (n = 3 independent experiments).

**Data availability**

The data supporting the findings of this study are available within the paper and its Supplementary Information, and from the corresponding author upon reasonable request. The source data underlying Figs. 3b, c, 4a−f, 5, 6a, b, Supplementary Figs. 4, 5−7, 9−14, and Supplementary Table 4 are provided as a Source Data file.
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