ABSTRACT: Peritonitis is defined as inflammation of peritoneum that lines the abdominal cavity and the organs contained therein. In Surgical practice we most often encounter cases of peritonitis which are mostly secondary to hollow viscous perforation. Purpose of our study is to find out which type of peritonitis is more common in our institute, which is the most common bacteria isolated from peritoneal fluid and which antibiotic is it most sensitive to. We studied 104 cases of age group 16 and above of both sex who presented with clinical features of peritonitis. Peritoneal fluid was obtained and sent for culture and sensitivity. Peritoneal fluid culture was positive in 55.76% cases. The incidence of culture positivity increased with duration of presentation. Gastro duodenal perforation was the most common etiological factor for peritonitis found in 42.86% cases followed by ileal perforation. E. coli was the most common bacteria isolated in study group followed by klebsiella. Most of the cultures were monomicrobial. E. coli was sensitive to amikacin, imipenem and meropenem (100%). So in our study Gram negative infection is more common in surgical peritonitis. Identification of bacteria and its sensitivity to the antibiotics is helpful to avoid injudicious use of antibiotics in surgical peritonitis. Proper choice of antibiotics along with surgical management and proper resuscitation in cases of surgical peritonitis can reduces mortality and morbidity associated with these high risk cases.
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OBJECTIVES OF STUDY:
1. To find out the most common bacteria isolated from peritoneal fluid.
2. To study the culture and sensitivity of peritoneal fluid in surgical peritonitis.

MATERIAL AND METHODS: A prospective observational study of 104 patients performed in Dr. BRAM hospital and Pt. J. N. M. Medical College Raipur from December 2012 to October 2014. Patients of age 16 and above of both sex with clinical features of surgical peritonitis were included in this study. Thorough clinical examination of patient and sample collection of peritoneal fluid was done with all aseptic precautions and sent to Pathology Lab as early as possible. Surgical intervention is performed as required.

OBSERVATION: Our study showed maximum number of cases with peritonitis in age group of 16-24 years (20.19%) with male preponderance (83.65%). All cases were of secondary peritonitis. Most common etiology was hollow viscus perforation in which gastro duodenal perforation was most common found (61.53%) followed by ileal perforation (11.53%) as shown in Table 1. Out of 104 cases only 58 cases were culture positive (55.76%) in which 52 patients were male and 6 were female. Monomicrobial culture is predominant that was seen in 51 out of 58 cases (87.93%) and poly microbial was found in 7 out of 58 cases (12.6%). Most common organism isolated in both the groups was E. coli (51.72%) followed by klebsiella (29.31%) as shown in Table 2. In present study E. coli was sensitive to amikacin, imipenem and meropenem in all cases (100%) as shown in Table 3.

| Site of pathology | Male | Female | Total | Percentage |
|-------------------|------|--------|-------|------------|
| Gastroduodenal    | 58   | 06     | 64    | 61.53%     |
| Jejunal           | 04   | 01     | 5     | 4.80%      |
| Ileal             | 20   | 03     | 23    | 22.11%     |
| Appendicular      | 02   | 0      | 02    | 1.92%      |
| Colon             | 02   | 03     | 05    | 4.80%      |
| Rectal            | 0    | 01     | 01    | 0.96%      |
| Miscellaneous     | 01   | 03     | 04    | 3.84%      |
| Total             | 87   | 17     | 104   | 100%       |

Table 1: Distribution according to site of perforation in surgical peritonitis

| Site of pathology | Isolated organism | Total |
|-------------------|-------------------|-------|
|                   | E.coli | Klebsiella | Pseudo monas | Acinobacter | E.coli + Pseudo monas | E.coli + Klebsiella |
| Gastric           | 22     | 13         | 1             | 1           |                     |                   |
| Jejuna            | 1      |            |               |             |                     |                   |
| Ileal             | 6      | 3          | 1             | 1           | 1                   | 12/23             |
| Appendicular      | 1      |            | 1             | 1           | 1                   | 1/2               |
| Colon             | 1      |            | 2             | 2           |                     | 5/5               |
| Rectal            | 1      |            |               |             |                     |                   |
| Miscellaneous     | 1      |            | 1             | 1           | 1                   | 1/1               |
| Total             | 30     | 17         | 3             | 4           | 3                   | 58/104            |

Table 2: Isolated organism in different pathology of peritonitis
Table 3: Antibiotic sensitivity of isolated organism

| Organism            | Ampicillin | Amoxyclav | Ciprofloxacin | Piperacillin/tazobactum | Cefotaxime | Cefoperazone | Ceftiraxone | Amikacin | Gentamycin | Imipenem | Meropenem | Vancomycin | linezolid | doxycycline | chloramphenicol | cotrimoxazole | Clindamycin |
|---------------------|------------|-----------|---------------|--------------------------|------------|--------------|-------------|----------|------------|----------|-----------|------------|-----------|-------------|----------------|--------------|-------------|
| E. coli             | 8          | 10        | 7             | 20                       | R          | R            | -           | 37       | 15         | 37       | -         | 4          | 5         | 10          | 4               |             |             |
| Klebsiella          | R          | R         | R             | 14                       | R          | 10           | -           | 18       | 7          | 19       | 17        | 3          | -         | -           | -               | -            | -           |
| Pseudomonas         | -          | -         | R             | 2                        | -          | 5            | -           | 2        | 1          | 7        | 7         | 2          | -         | -           | -               | -            | -           |
| acinobacter         | 1          | R         | R             | -                        | 1          | -            | -           | 1        | 1          | 1        | 1         | -          | 1         | -           | R               | -            | -           |

DISCUSSION: This study was an attempt to explore the associated bacteria for surgical peritonitis. We found peritonitis is commonly found in age group of 16-24 yr. Various studies reported that peritonitis is more common in 2nd and 3rd decade of life due to increased incidence of acid peptic disease and its complication in this age group.\(^6,7,8\) Male preponderance is comparable to many other studies as smoking, alcoholism, stress and dietary factors more prevalent in male sex.\(^9,10\) Most common cause of surgical peritonitis was gastro duodenal perforation which is usually found in 1st part of duodenum and around pylorus of stomach which is comparable with other studies.\(^11,12\) Newer drug treatment of the peptic ulcers have led a dramatic decrease in number of elective surgeries, however the number of patients undergoing surgical interventions for complications such as perforation have increased.\(^13\)

Incidence of colorectal perforation is 5.76% which is quite low as shown in other studies. Perforation secondary to colonic neoplasm accounts for majority of such cases.\(^12,14,15\) Peritoneal fluid culture positivity was least in gastric perforations i.e. only 58.62%. In colorectal perforation culture positivity was greatest (100%). It can be reasoned that initially in gastro duodenal perforations there is chemical peritonitis and bacterial peritonitis appear after 72 hours while in colorectal perforations bacterial peritonitis develops at onset as maximum bacterial load is present in luminal content of colon.\(^16\)

We recorded most cultures to be mono-microbial. Poly-microbial cultures were less in comparison to other studies because poly-microbial infection is more common in postoperative peritonitis and in present study only two cases were of postoperative peritonitis.\(^10,17\)

Most common organism isolated was E.coli as this is the dominant pathogenic isolate from enteric content, klebsiella was second most common pathogen.\(^18,19\)

Drug sensitivity for E. coli was seen with amikacin, imipenem and meropenem in 100% of cases. It is proved in various studies the “Gold standard” in treatment of gram negative infections is aminoglycosides and carbepenem group of antimicrobials. Aminoglycosides are frequently under dosed due to fear of nephrotoxicity or because of underestimation of the expanded volume of...
distribution in critically ill patients with intra-abdominal sepsis.\textsuperscript{[20]} Drug sensitivity may also vary in different studies depending upon inclusion of drugs in culture sensitivity reports.

**CONCLUSION:** Peritonitis is commonly encountered problem in surgical practice. Gram negative and mono-microbial infection is more common in surgical peritonitis in preoperative cases. E. coli is the most common organism isolated and aminoglycosides and carbepenem group of antimicrobials are important antibiotics that should be given in surgical peritonitis as empirical therapy. Injudicious use of antibiotics can cause emergence of resistant strains, so it is important for a surgeon to identify the organism and specific antibiotics for management of peritonitis to reduce morbidity and mortality in these cases.

**REFERENCES:**

1. Richard H Turnage, Kathryn A Richardson, Benjamin D Li, John C McDonald. Abdominal wall, umbilicus peritoneum, mesentries, omentum and retroperitoneum. 1ed Chapter 43. In: Sabiston Textbook of surgery, the biological basis of surgical practice, Townsend CM, Beaucha, KL Mattox, eds. Philadelphia: Elsevier; 2008. P. 1142.

2. Farthmann EH, Schoffel U. Epidemiology and pathophysiology of intraabdominal infection. [Review] [26ref]. Infection 26(5): 329-34, 1998; Oct.

3. Altemier WA, Culbeston WR, Fullin W, Shock C. Intra-abdominal abscess. Am J Surg 1973; 125: 70-79.

4. Bohnon J, Mustard RA, Oxholm SE, Apache 11 score and abdominal sepsis A prospective study. Arch Surg 1988; 123: 225-229.

5. Koo J, Ngan YK, Lam SK: Trends in hospital admission, perforation and mortality of peptic ulcer in Hong Kong from 1970 to 1980. Gastroenterology 1983, 84: 1558-62

6. Swanes C, JA Soreide, O Soreide, P Bakke, Voliset SE. Smoking and ulcer perforation. Gut 1997; 41: 177-80.

7. T. Kemparaj, S. Khadri. Gastrointestinal perforation – our experience. The internet Journal of Surgery 1993; 55: 342-9

8. Adsunkarimi AK, Badmus TA, Fadiora Fo, Agbakwuru EA: Generalized peritonitis secondary to typhoid ileal perforation: Assessment of severity using modified APACHE II score. Indian J surg 2005, 67: 29-33.

9. Metzger J, Styger S, Sieber C et al. Prevelence of helicobacter pylori infection in peptic perforation. Swiss Med 2001; 131: 99-103.

10. Rajandeep Singh Bali, Sushant Verma, P.N. Agrawal, Rajdeep Singh, Nikhil Talwar. Perforation and the developing World. ISRN surgery vol. 2014, Article ID 105492, 4.

11. Dandapat MC, Mukherjee LM, Mishra SB Howlander PC; Gastrointestinal perforation. Indian J surg 1991, 53: 189-93.

12. Chen HS, Sheen –Chen SM; Obstruction and perforation in colorectal adenocarcinoma: an analysis of prognosis and current trends. Surgery 2000, 127: 370-6: 67-8.

13. Alan MM: Incidence of duodenal ulcer and its surgical management in a teaching hospital in Bangladesh. Trop Doct 1995; 25: 67-68.

14. Quereshi AM, Zafer A, Saeed K, Quddus A: predicitive power of Mannheim Peritonites Index. JCPSP 2005, 15: 693-6.
15. Shinkawa H, Yashuhara H, Naka S, Yanagie H, Najiri T, Furuya et al. Factors affecting the early mortality of patients with non-trauma colorectal perforation. Surgery today. 2003; 33(1): 13-7.
16. Caroline C. Johnson, James Baldessarre, Matthew E. Levison. Peritonitis: Update on Pathophysiology, clinical manifestation and management. Clinical infectious disease, 1997; 24: 1035-47.
17. P Montravers, Andremont A, Massias L, Carbon C. Investigation of the potential role of enterococcus faecalis in the pathophysiology of experimental peritonitis. J Infect Dis 1994; 169: 265-71.
18. Sanjay Gupta, Kaushik R, Sharma R, Attri A: The management of large perforation of duodenal ulcers. BMC surg 2005, 5: 15.
19. Shinagawa N, Mizuno A, Mashita K, Yura J, Ishikawa S, Hirata K at al: Bacteria isolated from intra-abdominal infection and their susceptibilities to antimicrobial agents. Jpn J Antibiot 1994, 47: 1329-43.
20. Solomkin JS, Dellinger EP, Christou NV, Busuttil RW. Results of a multicenter trial comparing imipenam/cilastin to tobramycin/ clindamycin for intra-abdominal infections. Ann Surg 1990; 212(5): 581-91.

AUTHORS:
1. Manju Singh
2. Gambheer Singh
3. Amit Agrawal
4. Sandeep Chandrakar

PARTICULARS OF CONTRIBUTORS:
1. Associate Professor, Department of General Surgery, Pt. JNM Medical College Raipur (C. G.).
2. Managing Director, Associate Professor (Retd.), Department of General Surgery, Samarpan Hospital, Raipur.
3. Assistant Professor, Department of General Surgery, Pt. JNM Medical College Raipur (C. G.).
4. Assistant Professor, Department of General Surgery, Pt. JNM Medical College Raipur (C. G.).

FINANCIAL OR OTHER COMPETING INTERESTS: None