The effect of adverse and positive experiences on inflammatory markers in Australian and UK children
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ABSTRACT

Background: The relationship between childhood adversity and inflammation is well-established. Examination of positive experiences can provide a more complete understanding of intervention opportunities. We investigated associations of adverse and positive experiences, and their intersection, with inflammation in children and adolescents.

Methods: Data sources: Longitudinal Study of Australian Children (LSAC; N = 1237) and Avon Longitudinal Study of Parents and Children (ALSPAC; N = 3488). Exposures: Adverse and positive experiences assessed repeatedly (LSAC: 0–11 years; ALSPAC: 0–15 years). Outcomes: Inflammation quantified by high sensitivity C-reactive protein (hsCRP) and glycoprotein acetyls (GlycA) (LSAC: 11–12 years; ALSPAC: 15.5 years). Analyses: Linear regression on the log-transformed outcomes estimated the relative difference in inflammatory markers with adverse/positive experiences, adjusting for socio-demographics and concurrent positive/adverse experiences, respectively.

Results: Most associations were in the expected direction but differed in magnitude by exposure, outcome and cohort. Across both cohorts, adverse experiences were associated with up to 7.3% higher hsCRP (95% CI: −18.6%, 33.2%) and up to 2.0% higher GlycA (95% CI: 0.5%, 3.5%), while positive experiences were associated with up to 22.1% lower hsCRP (95% CI: −49.0%, 4.7%) and 1.3% lower GlycA (95% CI: −2.7%, 0.2%). In LSAC, the beneficial effect of positive experiences on inflammation was more pronounced among those with fewer concurrent adverse experiences.

Conclusion: Across two cohorts, we found small but directionally consistent associations between adverse experiences and higher inflammation, and positive experiences and lower inflammation, particularly for GlycA.

Future research should give further consideration to positive experiences to complement the current focus on adversity and inform the design and evaluation of early life interventions.
1. Introduction

Childhood adversity refers to a wide range of negative events or circumstances that may result in harm to a child’s physical or psychological health and well-being (Burgermeister, 2007). A large body of life course research shows that exposure to childhood adversity, such as experiences of violence, parent imprisonment, household member mental illness or substance use, is a major risk factor for many health conditions, including non-communicable diseases (NCDs) and mental illness in later life (Kuhlman et al., 2020; Hughes et al., 2017). Globally, a pooled prevalence of 18.7%–35.0% of individuals experience at least two childhood adversities (e.g., 52.8% by 10–11 years in Australia (O’Connor et al., 2020a), 74.6% by 16 years in the UK (Houtepen et al., 2018)) (Bellis et al., 2019). The long-term health and economic consequences are substantial, with an estimated cost of $24 billion in Australia and $78.6 billion in the UK annually (Hughes et al., 2021; DCKHossack et al., 2015).

Inflammation is a common mechanism in the pathogenesis of many NCDs (Connelly et al., 2017). Empirical research shows that levels of inflammatory markers (e.g., interleukin-6 (IL-6), high sensitivity C-reactive protein (hsCRP), glycoprotein acetyl (GlyCA)) are higher among those exposed to early life adversity, suggesting inflammation is a key pathway linking childhood adversity to adverse health outcomes, including NCDs (Kuhlman et al., 2020; Connelly et al., 2017; Russell et al., 2019). The allostatic load model suggests that exposure to childhood adversity can lead to chronic activation and dysregulation of immune, neuroendocrine, and metabolic systems, which in turn result in chronic inflammation and subsequent NCDs (Danese and McEwen, 2012). Associations between exposure to childhood adversity and inflammatory markers have been reported among both adults and children (Kuhlman et al., 2020; Chen and Lacey, 2018; Slopen et al., 2013).

Intervening to prevent adversity in early life is therefore proposed as a strategy to reduce the later burden of NCD (Suglia et al., 2020), and examination of inflammation is a key way to investigate contributors to NCDs before disease emerges.

While prior research has shown the relationship between childhood adversity and inflammation (Kuhlman et al., 2020; Chen and Lacey, 2018; Slopen et al., 2013), the role of positive experiences has largely been overlooked. The biocognitive model suggests that the context of child development is a system with multiple interacting factors at multiple levels (e.g., child, family, community) (Bronfenbrenner and Evans, 2000; Bronfenbrenner and Ceci, 1994). Within this complex social environment, families living in poor socioeconomic conditions, and those from racialised ethnic groups and who are Indigenous face structural barriers related to poverty, racism and colonisation, and migration experiences, that impact access to resources and opportunities required for health, including health services, education, and employment. This in turn leads to inequitable patterns of exposure to both adverse and positive experiences (e.g., warm parenting, social support, and school enjoyment) (O’Connor et al., 2020a; Weyers et al., 2008; Kiernan and Mensah, 2011). Critically, positive experiences do not simply reflect the absence of adversities; rather they are independent attributes or assets that enhance health and resilience over time (Narayan et al., 2018; Powell et al., 2021; Crandall et al., 2019). During childhood, exposure to positive experiences such as stimulating home learning environments and safe, stable, and nurturing relationships are important factors for optimal physical, emotional, cognitive and social development (Sege and Browne, 2017).

Emerging evidence suggests that positive experiences, although variably defined, are associated with lower levels of inflammation and better adult cardiovascular health (Appleton et al., 2013; Slopen et al., 2016, 2017). For example, using data from the United States, Slopen et al. (2016) found that high emotional and instrumental support in childhood were associated with lower levels of inflammation (e.g., IL-6, hsCRP, fibrinogen) in midlife. A recent review examining positive aspects of parent-child relationships (e.g., responsiveness), which are one aspect of positive childhood experiences, in relation to inflammatory markers (e.g., IL-6, hsCRP, tumour necrosis factor α (TNF-α)) assessed in childhood and adulthood also found inverse associations in six of the ten studies identified (O’Brien et al., 2021).

Previous studies showed that positive experiences had a reduced beneficial effect on adult health (e.g., psychological health, body mass index, physical activity) among those with four or more adversities compared to those with fewer than four adversities (Crandall et al., 2019). However, the association between positive experiences and inflammation among children and adolescents in the presence of concurrent adverse experiences remains unknown, particularly from a life course perspective. Further investigation of the extent to which positive childhood experiences may uniquely contribute to shaping the inflammatory pathway is therefore required.

Investigating the intersection between adverse and positive childhood experiences is potentially important for understanding pathways to later disease risk via inflammation. Examining both adverse and positive experiences also aligns with calls to shift child health research away from overly deficit-focused and deterministic perspectives (VanderWeele et al., 2020). In the present study, we aimed to answer three interrelated research questions:

1. To what extent do adverse experiences increase inflammation in late childhood and mid-adolescence, adjusting for concurrent positive experiences?
2. To what extent do positive experiences reduce inflammation in late childhood and mid-adolescence, adjusting for concurrent adverse experiences?
3. As a starting point for understanding potential effect modification, to what extent do adverse experiences modify the relationship between positive experiences and inflammation?

2. Material and methods

2.1. Data sources

We drew on high-quality prospective data from the Longitudinal Study of Australian Children (LSAC) and Avon Longitudinal Study of Parents and Children (ALSPAC), allowing the investigation of common associations across different settings and cohort designs (O’Connor et al., 2022a). Rather than undertaking identical analyses, we aimed to address these questions as robustly as possible within each cohort separately, given their available data and specific study features (Downes et al., 2022). Differences between the two cohorts include settings (geographic location, calendar period, source population), sampling methods, measurement of key variables, and follow-up periods. The available data allowed us, for example, to examine a more extended period in ALSPAC (0–15.5 years) as compared to LSAC (0–12 years). We did not hypothesize any country-specific differences a priori, however given the systematic differences in study design described above, results should be interpreted within the context of each cohort.

Longitudinal Study of Australian Children (LSAC). LSAC is a nationally representative sample of two cohorts of Australian children: a birth cohort of 5107 infants (B cohort); and a kindergarten cohort of 4983 four-year-olds (K cohort). Families were recruited between March and November in 2004. A complex survey design was used to select a sample that is broadly representative of all Australian children, with the exception of children living in highly remote geographic areas (Soloff et al., 2005). This paper draws on data from the B-cohort, collecting information on adverse and positive experiences from 0–1 year to 10–11 years (Waves 1–6). In 2015, a comprehensive, Australia-wide, cross-sectional physical health and biomarker module, the Child Health CheckPoint, was conducted for the B cohort between LSAC Waves 6 and 7, when children were 11–12 years of age (i.e. late childhood) (Clifford et al., 2019). Approximately half (53%, N = 1874 families) of the Wave 6 sample agreed to participate in the Child Health CheckPoint, and N =
1237 children had blood collected, with inflammatory markers available for the current study. The LSAC website contains a searchable data dictionary (https://growingupinaustralia.gov.au/data-and-documentation). The LSAC (ID 13–04) and CheckPoint (ID 14–26) methodologies were approved by the Australian Institute of Family Studies Human Research Ethics Review Board, and the CheckPoint additionally by The Royal Children’s Hospital Melbourne Human Research Ethics Committee (33225D).

Avon Longitudinal Study of Parents and Children (ALSPAC). ALSPAC is a prospective prenatal cohort from the Avon region of South-West England (Boyd et al., 2013; Fraser et al., 2013). This study recruited 14541 women during pregnancy with expected delivery dates of April 1, 1991 to December 31, 1992. The sample was boosted when the cohort children were approximately 7 years old with children with eligible birth dates who were not previously included in the study, resulting in a total of 15454 pregnancies and 15589 fetuses. Of these, 14901 children were alive at 1 year of age. Due to the demographic profile of the catchment area population and differential attrition, the most disadvantaged groups and ethnic minority groups are under-represented in ALSPAC. Adverse and positive experiences were measured from birth to 15 years (i.e. mid-adolescence). The study website contains a searchable data dictionary (http://www.bristol.ac.uk/alspac/researchers/our-data/). Consent for biological samples was collected in accordance with the Human Tissue Act (2004). Informed consent for the use of data collected via questionnaires and clinics was obtained from participants following the recommendations of the ALSPAC Ethics and Law Committee at each wave. Ethical approval for the study was obtained from the ALSPAC Ethics and Law Committee and the Local Research Ethics Committees.

2.2. Conceptual model

Our conceptual model shown in Fig. 1 visually represents the hypothesized relationships between adverse/positive experiences and inflammation, informed by current knowledge. Fig. 1 was used to guide the selection of measures and inform the analytic approach.

2.3. Measures

2.3.1. Outcome: inflammatory biomarkers

Two inflammatory markers (hsCRP and GlycA) were measured in serum samples at 11–12 years in LSAC (n = 1237) and plasma samples at 15.5 years in ALSPAC (n = 3488). Compared to hsCRP, an acute phase reactant, GlycA is a biomarker of chronic and cumulative inflammation with low intra-individual variability (Connelly et al., 2017). hsCRP (mg/L) was determined using Roche/Hitachi Cobas c311 and GlycA (mmol/L) was quantified by the high-throughput proton NMR metabolomics (Nightingale Health, Helsinki, Finland) (Clifford et al., 2019). hsCRP measurements that were below the detection limit (LSAC: n = 261; ALSPAC: n = 0) were assigned a value equal to 50% of the detection limit (LSAC: 0.01 mg/L). As the distributions of hsCRP and GlycA were skewed, log-transformed hsCRP and GlycA values were used in data analysis, with higher values indicating increased inflammation.

2.3.2. Exposure: adverse childhood experiences

Informed by the work of O’Connor et al. (O’Connor et al., 2020a), we examined adversities that: 1) have been consistently measured in the childhood adversity literature, and 2) had repeated assessments available from birth to late childhood/adolescence in both cohorts. Nine types of adverse experiences met these criteria: parent legal problems, family violence (interparental violence and physical abuse towards children), household member mental illness, household member substance abuse, harsh parenting, parental separation/divorce, unsafe neighbourhood, family member death, and bullying victimization.

For interpretability within the current analysis, we created binary indicators of presence of each adversity type (yes/no) at each wave based on dichotomization of each measure (Appendix 1). When measuring multiple adverse experiences at each wave and over the follow-up period, we first calculated a count of the number of adversities present at each wave. Then we dichotomized this count at ‘two or more’ at each wave and over the follow-up period (e.g., in the case of children who experienced the same type of adversity at two waves, they were counted as “two”) to create measures capturing a clustering of adverse experiences (i.e., multiple adverse experiences) likely to take a cumulative toll on health (O’Connor et al., 2020a). While blunt, this approach was considered appropriate for the specific study aim because it could be consistently implemented across cohorts, and we aimed to assess the presence versus absence of a high level of childhood adversity rather than other features of exposure such as chronicity, type, or co-occurrence of specific adversities.

2.3.3. Exposure: positive childhood experiences

Similarly, we used prospectively collected data on positive childhood experiences. Informed by the Health Outcomes from Positive Experiences (HOPE) framework (Sege and Browne, 2017), and previous

Fig. 1. Conceptual model for the proposed relationships between adverse and positive experiences and inflammation, adapted from Suglia. This model assumes that adverse and positive experiences are correlated with each other (indicated by the dashed line), but does not make assumptions about directionality. Potential confounders have arrows to all other nodes, omitted for simplicity.
operationalization and validation of this model within LSAC (Guo et al., 2022), we examined four types of positive experiences (positive parenting practice, trusting and supportive relationships, supportive neighbourhood and home learning environments, social engagement and enjoyment) that had repeated assessments available from birth to late childhood/mid-adolescence. We selected 17 indicators (continuous scores, see Appendix 1 for detailed measures), each mapping to one of the four types of positive experiences listed above, that represented the presence of assets rather than the absence of risk factors (e.g., high levels of warm parenting but not low levels of harsh parenting).

For interpretability, we dichotomized each of the 17 indicators using the top quartile to indicate exposure to a positive experience at each wave (yes/no, see Appendix 1 for details). When measuring multiple positive experiences at each wave and over the follow-up period, we first summed the number of relevant exposure indicators for each type of positive experience (positive parenting practice, trusting and supportive relationships, supportive neighbourhood and home learning environments, social engagement and enjoyment) and dichotomized it using ‘two or more’ as the cut-point at each wave. Then we summed exposure across the four types of positive experiences at each wave and at any wave over the follow-up period, again using ‘two or more’ (i.e., 0–1 versus 2–4) as the cut-point to capture a clustering of positive experiences (i.e., multiple positive experiences) that is likely to have a cumulative benefit on health. In the absence of clear guidance in the literature, the cut-point of ‘two or more’ was selected to ensure adequate sample size (especially for children who were exposed to both “two or more” adverse experiences and “two or more” positive experiences) to be able to generate usefully precise estimates.

2.3.4. Covariates

Following our conceptual model (Fig. 1) and recommendations for confounder selection (VanderWeele, 2019), we posited six potential confounders: child’s sex (female/male), family socioeconomic position in infancy (LSAC: measured by a composite z-score of each parent’s annual income, education and occupation level, defined as the bottom 25%/top 75%; ALSPAC: measured by each parent’s education and occupation), maternal age at birth (continuous), child’s birth weight z-scores (continuous), ethnicity (LSAC: Anglo or European/Ethnic minority/Indigenous; ALSPAC: White/non-White) and indoor smoking of household members in infancy (yes/no; LSAC only). We also adjusted for child’s age at the outcome assessment (continuous) in LSAC due to data availability to increase the precision of estimates.

2.4. Statistical analysis

Analytic samples consisted of all children who had blood samples (LSAC: N = 1237; ALSPAC: N = 3488). All analyses were conducted using Stata 16.1 (StataCorp, 2017). Participant characteristics were summarized using descriptive statistics based on the available data. The proportions of children with adverse and positive experiences (each type and multiple) were examined over the follow-up period. The distribution of each inflammatory marker was examined according to whether children were exposed to adverse and positive experiences (each type and multiple) over the follow-up period. Multiple imputation was performed to handle missing data in regression analyses across all analysis variables in both cohorts (see Appendix 2 and next section).

For each inflammatory biomarker, we conducted a series of multi-variable linear regression models to estimate the effect of exposure to multiple adverse/positive experiences in late childhood and mid-adolescence. Model 1 showed unadjusted estimates, Model 2 was adjusted for all potential confounders, and Model 3 was additionally adjusted for the other exposure (e.g., adjusting for positive experiences when examining the relationship between adverse experiences and inflammation). Finally, subgroup analyses were conducted to examine effect modification, that is, whether the effect of positive experiences on inflammation differed between those exposed to adverse experiences and those not. All estimates from regression models were expressed as percentage differences in geometric means for hSCR and GlycA to aid interpretation in the original scale, given analyses were performed on the log-transformed inflammatory biomarkers.

2.5. Multiple imputation

The proportion of complete cases in LSAC and ALSPAC analytic samples was 70.8% and 28.0% (with high missing data on child’s birth weight in ALSPAC, see Appendix 2 for details), respectively. To handle missing data in study variables for regression estimation of the effects of interest, multiple imputation by chained equations was conducted for each cohort separately (White et al., 2011), producing 30 and 70 imputed datasets in LSAC and ALSPAC, respectively, according to different levels of missingness in each cohort (White et al., 2011). We imputed continuous variables using linear regression models and binary variables using logistic regression models. The imputation model included all study variables, three auxiliary variables at baseline (gestation age in weeks, family financial difficulties, parents’ medical conditions) as well as all two-way interactions amongst exposures and outcomes to ensure compatibility with the most complex model (Model 3). Results across imputed datasets were combined using Rubin’s rules.

2.6. Sensitivity analysis for potential bias

To examine the potential impact of the choice of missing data approach on our findings, we repeated all regression analyses using the complete case dataset (see Appendix 3). In addition, we also examined the individual effect of each type of adverse and positive experience over the follow-up period to check whether results are consistent with those using a binary exposure of multiple adverse and positive experiences (Appendix 3). To understand the potential impact on results of our decision to impose a cut point of “two or more” to define multiple adverse and positive experiences, we conducted additional analyses using the count of adverse and positive experiences at each wave and summed over the follow-up period (Appendix 4). This is in recognition that there are no standard approaches to defining exposure to multiple adverse and positive experiences.

3. Results

3.1. Participant characteristics

There was an even distribution of males and females in both cohorts (LSAC: 48.9% male; ALSPAC: 48.7% male; see Table 1). The majority of the LSAC children were Anglo-European (89.0%), 9.7% of children were from ethnic minority backgrounds, and a smaller proportion of children (1.3%) came from Indigenous families. Around 4.1% of the ALSPAC children came from non-White backgrounds.

3.2. Proportion of children exposed to multiple adverse and positive experiences

As shown in Fig. 2, over the follow-up period, the most common adverse experience to which children had been exposed was family member death (27.4%) in LSAC and household member mental illness (42.8%) in ALSPAC. The most common positive experience over the follow-up period was positive parenting practices (76.2%) in LSAC and trusting and supportive relationships (99.8%) in ALSPAC. The most common positive experience over the follow-up period was positive parenting practices (76.2%) in LSAC and trusting and supportive relationships (99.8%) in ALSPAC. Almost half of LSAC children had been exposed to multiple adverse experiences (50.3%) and multiple positive experiences (43.5%) by the end of childhood. More than half of ALSPAC children had been exposed to multiple adverse experiences (55.1%) and multiple positive experiences (71.9%) by mid-adolescence, perhaps reflecting the longer period captured.

When cross-tabulating exposure to multiple adverse experiences and
Study of Australian Children; sensitivity C-reactive protein; GlycA, glycoprotein acetyls; LSAC, Longitudinal
higher levels of inflammation. Conversely, children exposed to positive
cohorts, children exposed to adverse experiences typically showed
different scales are used for the vertical axes in these figures. In both
the two cohorts, with higher means in LSAC than in ALSPAC hence
3.3. Distribution of inflammatory markers by adverse and positive
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relative to hsCRP.

3.4. The effect of adverse childhood experiences on inflammation after adjusting for concurrent positive experiences

While associations between exposure to multiple adverse experiences and higher levels of hsCRP were in the expected direction in LSAC children (Model 3 results in Table 2), confidence intervals were wide (7.3% higher, 95% CI: −18.6%, 33.2%). When each type of adversity was examined individually, results were inconsistent with small effects observed in both directions (Appendix 3). A similar pattern of results was observed in ALSPAC children at 15.5 years (2.5% higher, 95% CI: −6.2%, 11.3%), with estimates again directionally inconsistent for specific types (Appendix 3). Compared to hsCRP, the estimated association between exposure to multiple adverse experiences and inflammation as measured by GlycA was smaller in magnitude but more precisely estimated in LSAC children at 11–12 years (2.5% higher, 95% CI: 0.5%, 3.5%) and in ALSPAC children at 15.5 years (0.5% higher, 95% CI: −0.3%, 1.3%), with narrower confidence intervals and greater consistency in estimates when examined by type. The clinical relevance of these small differences in inflammatory markers remains unknown.

3.5. The effect of positive childhood experiences on inflammation after adjusting for concurrent adverse experiences

Associations between exposure to multiple positive experiences and lower levels of hsCRP were observed in the expected direction in LSAC children at 11–12 years (22.1% lower, 95% CI: −49.0%, 4.7%), but confidence intervals were wide (Model 3 results in Table 2) and estimates were directionally inconsistent for specific types of positive experiences (Appendix 3). Differences in hsCRP by multiple positive experience exposure were negligible in ALSPAC children at 15.5 years (0.2% higher, 95% CI: −8.3%, 8.6%), with estimates again directionally inconsistent for specific types (Appendix 3). Compared to hsCRP, the estimated association between exposure to multiple positive experiences and GlycA was smaller in magnitude but more precisely estimated in LSAC children at 11–12 years (1.3% lower, 95% CI: −2.7%, 0.2%) and in ALSPAC children at 15.5 years (0.4% lower, 95% CI: −1.1%, 0.4%), which was also found when looking by specific types. Again, the clinical relevance of these differences in inflammatory markers remains unknown.
3.6. Effect modification by multiple adverse childhood experiences

When analyses of the effect of positive experiences were stratified by multiple adverse experiences (Table 3), we found that the reduction in inflammation linked to multiple positive experiences in LSAC children at 11–12 years was more pronounced among those with fewer concurrent adverse experiences (hsCRP: 37.7% lower, 95% CI: –76.1%, 0.7%; GlycA: 1.6% lower, 95% CI: –3.6%, 0.4%) than those with multiple adverse experiences (hsCRP: 5.7% lower, 95% CI: –48.5%, 37.1%; GlycA: 0.9% lower, 95% CI: –3.3%, 1.5%), albeit these were imprecisely estimated. This may suggest that exposure to multiple adverse experiences could undermine the benefit of positive experiences on inflammation in late childhood. However, the extent of effect modification was negligible in ALSPAC children at 15.5 years.

3.7. Sensitivity analyses

When using the complete case data, we found results were broadly consistent (Appendix 3), including the extent of effect modification by multiple adverse experiences in LSAC. When considering a cumulative scoring approach for defining multiple adverse and positive experiences, the estimated effects were smaller in magnitude (Appendix 4).

4. Discussion

4.1. Summary of key findings

This study investigated the effects of adverse and positive experiences on two inflammatory markers in late childhood and mid-adolescence across two cohorts. We found most associations were in the expected direction but differed in magnitude by exposure, outcome and cohort. Consistent detrimental effects of multiple adverse experiences on inflammation, after accounting for concurrent positive experiences, were observed in both cohorts, each of which measured outcomes at different ages and in different socio-cultural contexts. Small beneficial effects of multiple positive experiences on inflammation were consistently observed in both cohorts, even in the presence of concurrent adverse experiences. Our findings also suggested that when multiple adverse experiences were present, the reduction in inflammation associated with exposure to multiple positive experiences was smaller in magnitude than when not exposed to adverse experiences in Australian children at 11–12 years.

While associations between multiple adverse experiences and higher levels of hsCRP were in the expected direction in both cohorts, aligning with previous research (Kuhlman et al., 2020; Slopen et al., 2013; O’Connor et al., 2020b), there were wide confidence intervals. In addition, associations were not coherent with the hypotheses and underlying theoretical model when examined at the level of specific
adversity type (O’Connor et al., 2020a). As well as the large variance of hsCRP in the original data, another possible reason is that hsCRP, an acute phase reactant, is a poorer biomarker for chronic inflammation in childhood than GlycA (Chiesa et al., 2022). Our findings using GlycA as an inflammatory marker were more consistent at both the level of overall exposure and when individual types were examined, thus

Fig. 4. Observed distributions of hsCRP and GlycA (on log-transformed scale) at 15.5 years by groups of exposure (Yes/No) to adverse and positive experiences in ALSPAC children.

Table 2
The estimated effect (represented as a % difference in geometric mean) of multiple adverse and positive experiences on inflammation in late childhood and mid-adolescence, obtained using multiple imputation for handling missing data (N = 1237 for LSAC and 3488 for ALSPAC).

| Exposure Outcomes (log-transformed) | hsCRP | GlycA |
|-------------------------------------|-------|-------|
| % difference (95% CI)               | % difference (95% CI) |
| Model 1 | Model 2 | Model 3 | Model 1 | Model 2 | Model 3 |
| Fewer adverse experiences (<2)      | Ref   | Ref   | Ref   | Ref   | Ref   |
| LSAC                                | 15.7 (−9.5, 40.9) | 12.3 (−13.1, 37.7) | 7.3 (−18.6, 33.2) | 2.3 (0.8, 3.7) | 2.3 (0.8, 3.8) | 2.0 (0.5, 3.5) |
| ALSPAC                              | 5.2 (−3.3, 13.7) | 2.5 (−6.1, 11.0) | 2.5 (−6.2, 11.3) | 1.0 (0.2, 1.8) | 0.5 (−0.2, 1.3) | 0.5 (−0.3, 1.3) |
| Multiple adverse experiences (≥2)   |       |       |       |       |       |
| LSAC                                |       |       |       |       |       |
| ALSPAC                              |       |       |       |       |       |
| Fewer positive experiences (<2)     |       |       |       |       |       |
| LSAC                                |       |       |       |       |       |
| ALSPAC                              |       |       |       |       |       |
| Multiple positive experiences (≥2)  |       |       |       |       |       |
| LSAC                                |       |       |       |       |       |
| ALSPAC                              |       |       |       |       |       |

Ref = reference group. Model 1: Unadjusted; Model 2: Adjusted for covariates of child’s sex, early life socioeconomic position, maternal age at birth, child’s birth weight z-scores, ethnicity, child’s age at the outcome assessment (LSAC only), and indoor smoking (LSAC only); Model 3: Model 2 + additionally adjusted for positive experiences when examining the effect of adverse experiences on inflammation, or Model 2 + additionally adjusted for adverse experiences when examining the effect of positive experiences on inflammation.
aligning more coherently with the underlying theoretical model (O‘Connor et al., 2020a). They also align with two recent studies in LSAC and ALSPAC (O‘Connor et al., 2020b; Crick et al., 2022), showing that children with multiple adverse experiences were more likely to have higher levels of inflammation (i.e., three or more adverse experiences resulted in 3.2% higher GlycA in LSAC children aged 11–12 years (O‘Connor et al., 2020b); cumulative scores of adverse experiences resulted in 1.0% higher GlycA in ALSPAC children aged 18 years (Crick et al., 2022)). We extend these recent studies by adding evidence that adverse experiences have an independent and detrimental effect on inflammation, beyond the presence or absence of positive experiences. The underlying mechanism is potentially related to multiple biological, psychological, and behavioral factors, which may affect the biological pathways linking adverse experiences to inflammation in children and adolescents (Suglia et al., 2018; Bujtor et al., 2021). Exposure to these adverse experiences can have negative and wide-ranging effects on neural, endocrine, immune, and metabolic physiology that increase the lifetime risk of NCDs (Berens et al., 2017). For example, among those exposed to early life adverse experiences, a ‘pro-inflammatory phenotype’ is characterized by exaggerated cytokine response to bacterial challenge and progressive glucocorticoid receptor desensitization (Miller and Chen, 2010). Other genome-wide analyses and epigenetic studies have also shown altered expression of genes controlling the developmental programming of monocytes for excessive inflammatory responses (Essex et al., 2013; Powell et al., 2013).

The present study also extends our current understanding by adding evidence of an association between positive experiences and inflammation. While parallel estimates for hsCRP were inconsistent across cohorts, perhaps due to their different ages at outcome assessment, most associations observed here align with prior research (Slopen et al., 2016; Stellar et al., 2015; Sin et al., 2015), showing an inverse relationship between positive experiences and inflammation. We found modest evidence that multiple positive experiences reduced the levels of GlycA in late childhood and mid-adolescence, even in the presence of concurrent adverse experiences. This may suggest positive experiences are not just a correlate of the absence of adverse experiences but have their own unique contribution to shaping the inflammatory pathway. One possible mechanism linking positive experiences to inflammation is through emotional, social and behavioral factors such as trait positive affect, higher education attainment, and healthier dietary patterns (Appleton et al., 2013; Bujtor et al., 2021; Sin et al., 2015). Prior research suggested positive psychological resources such as social support and connections were associated with health behaviours that were consequential for inflammation in adolescence. The pathways that confer lower inflammation following positive experiences are also likely through positive biological responses (e.g., cellular, molecular, hormonal) and trained innate immunity that reduce physiological reactivity to stressful life events and promote resiliency (Appleton et al., 2013; Slopen et al., 2016; Salam et al., 2018).

We found that the reduction in inflammation linked to multiple positive experiences in LSAC children aged 11–12 years was more pronounced among those with fewer concurrent adverse experiences than those with multiple adverse experiences. Due to the small effect sizes and wide confidence intervals observed here, further investigation is needed to investigate how adverse and positive experiences interact with each other to contribute to inflammation in children and adolescents.

Overall, the estimated effects were larger in magnitude in LSAC compared to ALSPAC. Discrepant findings across the two cohorts may result from country-specific differences as well as systematic differences such as in the measurement of adverse and positive experiences, follow-up periods and outcome measurement timing. For example, ALSPAC children reported more positive experiences than LSAC children, which is likely to be largely due to the different ways positive experiences were captured between the two cohorts. To further investigate heterogeneity in effects across cohorts, future studies are encouraged to use the recently proposed “target trial” approach as a useful and powerful tool for the planning and interpretation of causal analyses in multi-cohort studies (Downes et al., 2022). Using the target trial framework can help to minimise biases within each cohort as well as aid in outlining possible remaining biases, thus contributing to meaningful and informative estimates within the study context.

### 4.2. Strengths and limitations

Data were analyzed from two large-scale samples of children (a national-level sample in Australia and a county-level sample in the UK), thus increasing the generalizability of our findings. Nevertheless, the most disadvantaged families (i.e., those in extreme poverty) in both cohorts are likely under-represented due to difficulties accessing and engaging these families, and more disadvantaged families who were recruited have been disproportionately lost to follow up. In addition, the boost sample (n = 913) in the total ALSPAC sample (N = 15589) did not have exposure measures between birth and seven years old. Only a small number of children in the boost sample were included in our analytic sample, which consisted of all children with blood samples. We used

### Table 3

Subgroup analyses examining effect modification by multiple adverse experiences of the effect of multiple positive experiences on inflammation in late childhood and mid-adolescence (represented as a % difference in geometric mean), obtained using multiple imputation for handling missing data (N = 1237 for LSAC and 3488 for ALSPAC).

| Cohort | Exposure | hsCRP | GlycA |
|--------|----------|-------|-------|
|        | % difference (95% CI) | % difference (95% CI) |
| LSAC   | Fewer adverse experiences (<2) | Ref | Ref |
|        | Fewer positive experiences (<2) | Ref | Ref |
|        | Multiple positive experiences (<2) | 37.7 (76.1, 0.7) | –1.6 (–3.6, 0.4) |
|        | Multiple adverse experiences (<2) | Ref | Ref |
|        | Fewer positive experiences (<2) | –5.7 (–84.1, 37.1) | 0.9 (–3.3, 1.5) |
| ALSPAC | Fewer adverse experiences (<2) | Ref | Ref |
|        | Fewer positive experiences (<2) | 3.6 (–16.0, 23.1) | 0.2 (–1.6, 2.0) |
|        | Multiple positive experiences (<2) | Ref | Ref |
|        | Multiple adverse experiences (<2) | Ref | Ref |
|        | Fewer positive experiences (<2) | –0.6 (–10.8, 9.6) | –0.5 (–1.5, 0.4) |
|        | Multiple positive experiences (<2) | Ref | Ref |

Ref = reference group. Models are fully adjusted for child’s sex, early life socioeconomic position, maternal age at birth, child’s birth weight z-scores, ethnicity, child’s age at the outcome assessment (LSAC only), and indoor smoking (LSAC only).
multiple imputation in the analytic sample to reduce the potential for selection bias arising from missing data due to attrition for the samples with blood. We used prospectively collected data on adverse and positive experiences across childhood, thereby avoiding the potential for recall bias inherent to retrospective designs. We also used both traditional and novel biomarkers to measure inflammation in late childhood and mid-adolescence, thus providing a more comprehensive understanding of the impact of early life experiences on inflammation.

The dichotomization approach to defining multiple adverse and positive experiences is blunt and not designed to be informative for understanding issues such as dose-response, onset, chronicity, or mechanisms of influence. We used this dichotomization approach because it was appropriate for the current research questions which focused on the presence or absence of multiple adversity/positive experiences. Future research should also focus on selecting measurement approaches well aligned to their purpose and aims (Bethell et al., 2019a), which may differ to that herein. For example, other research questions may necessitate consideration of specific types of experiences, different periods of exposure, and chronic exposure over time.

Third, LSAC and ALSPAC are not purpose-designed studies of adverse and positive experiences. Not all types of adverse and positive experiences were captured or available (e.g., racial discrimination, family cohesion). Therefore, we selected the most appropriate available indicators based on our knowledge and from a content validity perspective. In some cases, a proxy indicator was sometimes used (e.g., harsh parenting in the absence of direct indicators of child maltreatment). Self-report bias may also exist. Reporting on questions about parenting and other adversities can be influenced by feelings of guilt, shame and embarrassment, and the desire to portray oneself in a positive light.

Fourth, the possibility of residual confounding can never be fully eliminated. We cannot rule out the possibility that such factors exist and influence the association between exposure and outcome. Intervention studies such as quasi-experimental trials would help to continue progressing our understanding and strengthening evidence as to whether the observed effects are causal, or due to factors such as unmeasured confounding. While it would be unethical to randomize adverse or positive experiences themselves, examining inflammation as a secondary outcome of interventions that do achieve improvements in each of these (reduced adverse experiences, increased positive experiences) would be extremely valuable. The integration of trials into large-scale cohorts could present a viable platform for such investigations (Wake et al., 2022), given that cohorts do tend to collect data on relevant bio-samples.

4.3. Implications for future research and practice

This study is the first to examine the relationship between positive experiences and inflammation in children and adolescents in the presence of adverse experiences. Similar to the mental health research field where the dual continuum model has come to prominence (O’Connor et al., 2022b; Masten and Curtis, 2000), there has been increasing attention on positive childhood experiences, showing how these early life experiences contribute to adult physical and mental health (e.g., body mass index, depression, stress) (Narayan et al., 2018; Crandall et al., 2019; Bethell et al., 2019b). These emerging interests in positive experiences have shifted the research paradigm from a deficit-focused perspective (i.e., only focusing on adverse experiences) to a strengths-based perspective. A sole focus on reducing adverse experiences is not sufficient to achieve optimal academic, physical and mental health outcomes in children and adolescents (Seger and Browne, 2017). Future research is needed to include positive experiences as an integral part of understanding individual and population health in its fullest sense.

To further extend our current understanding in the role of positive experiences, it will be valuable for future research to investigate their effects on positive development outcomes (e.g., mental health competence and academic success) in different cohorts and populations outside Australia and the UK, in order to promote the positive epidiemology to understand the full range of health assets (VanderWeele et al., 2020). It is also worthwhile for future research to explore potential effect modifiers such as socioeconomic status and gender in the associations between adverse/positive childhood experiences and inflammation. Prior research indicated both socioeconomic position and gender as modifiers of the relationship between adverse experiences and health outcomes (Currie et al., 2021; Lacey et al., 2020). Further investigation will be helpful to understand the mechanisms linking these early life experiences and physiological dysfunction and to inform upstream intervention opportunities in practice.

We investigated inflammation using two biomarkers at a single time point (late childhood in LSAC and mid-adolescence in ALSPAC). Both inflammatory markers in our samples had lower mean estimates in LSAC than in ALSPAC. While the source of inflammatory biomarkers was different (serum in LSAC and plasma in ALSPAC), previous studies showed high correlations between these two sources (Brindle et al., 2010). One possible reason for the higher levels of inflammation in ALSPAC could be that the level of systematic inflammation increases with age (Chung et al., 2019). Future research may consider longitudinally examining whether inflammation as measured using multiple biomarkers changes with age for children and adolescents. The variations in estimates by biomarkers used to indicate inflammation may also reflect the nuanced difference in the outcome measurement. As suggested by previous research (O’Connor et al., 2020b; Collier et al., 2019), GlycA captured chronic inflammation whereas hsCRP more likely reflected acute inflammation. Compared to hsCRP, GlycA showed a greater within-subject correlation over a long period of follow-up in children (Chiesa et al., 2020). Most clinical studies suggest that correlations between GlycA and hsCRP are low or medium (in our samples, LSAC: 0.40; ALSPAC: 0.32) (Connelly et al., 2017), indicating GlycA and hsCRP likely capture different inflammatory pathways. Future research is needed to explore these nuanced differences using multiple markers in a single study to reflect the inflammatory status, and prioritizing the exploration of clinical meaning. Further omics data analyses (e.g., genomic, transcriptomic and proteomic) are beyond the scope of the current study but would be essential in future studies to understand the underlying mechanisms and identify potential targets for intervention.

Our results highlight the potential differential benefit of positive experiences on reducing inflammation, depending on concurrent exposure to adverse experiences. This suggests that optimal policy and practice opportunities should focus on intervening to both promote positive experiences and prevent and address adversities. Aligning with the biocultural model (Bronfenbrenner and Evans, 2000; Bronfenbrenner and Ceci, 1994) both adverse and positive experiences should be targeted with multi-collaborations between families, schools and communities. For example, strengths-based mental health initiatives such as Within My Reach in the USA and Be You in Australia have multi-sector collaborations and engagement with families, schools, communities, and health systems that aim to target both adverse experiences and positive experiences, and have shown promising results (Sterrett-Hong et al., 2018; Hoare et al., 2020).

5. Conclusions

We found that children exposed to multiple adverse experiences had higher levels of inflammation, whereas children exposed to multiple positive experiences had lower levels of inflammation, across two cohorts with differing ages and socio-cultural contexts. Associations with these early experiences were smaller but more precisely estimated for GlycA than hsCRP. The beneficial effect of positive experiences on inflammation at 11–12 years was more pronounced among those with fewer concurrent adverse experiences than those with more adverse experiences. Positive experiences are a crucial part of children’s early life experiences. There is a need for future research and public health
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practice that includes positive experiences in addition to adverse experiences to inform intervention opportunities to improve life course health outcomes. Public health and social policy efforts are also needed to address structural and systemic factors (e.g., poverty, racism) that drive inequitable distribution of adverse and positive experiences, which in turn lead to child health inequities.
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