BOUNDARY AND EISENSTEIN COHOMOLOGY OF SL₃(ℤ)
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Abstract. In this article, several cohomology spaces associated to the arithmetic groups SL₃(ℤ) and GL₃(ℤ) with coefficients in any highest weight representation M_λ have been computed, where λ denotes their highest weight. Consequently, we obtain detailed information of their Eisenstein cohomology with coefficients in M_λ. When M_λ is not self dual, the Eisenstein cohomology coincides with the cohomology of the underlying arithmetic group with coefficients in M_λ. In particular, for such a large class of representations we can explicitly describe the cohomology of these two arithmetic groups. We accomplish this by studying the cohomology of the boundary of the Borel-Serre compactification and their Euler characteristic with coefficients in M_λ. At the end, we employ our study to discuss the existence of ghost classes.
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1. Introduction

Let G be a split semisimple group defined over ℚ, then for every arithmetic subgroup Γ ⊂ G(ℚ) one can define the corresponding locally symmetric space

\[ S_Γ = Γ\backslash G(ℝ)/K_∞ \]

where K_∞ denotes the maximal connected compact subgroup of G(ℝ). In this context we can consider the Borel-Serre compactification \( \overline{S}_Γ \) of \( S_Γ \) (see [1]), whose boundary \( ∂\overline{S}_Γ \) is a union of spaces indexed by the Γ-conjugacy classes of ℚ-parabolic subgroups of G. For the detailed account on Borel-Serre compactification, see [15]. The choice of a maximal ℚ-split torus T of G and a system of positive roots \( Φ^+ \) in \( Φ(G, T) \) determines a set of representatives for the conjugacy classes.
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of \(\mathbb{Q}\)-parabolic subgroups, namely the standard \(\mathbb{Q}\)-parabolic subgroups. We will denote this set by \(\mathcal{P}_\mathbb{Q}(G)\). One can write the boundary \(\partial S_\Gamma\) as a union

\[
\partial S_\Gamma = \bigcup_{P \in \mathcal{P}_\mathbb{Q}(G)} \partial P_\Gamma.
\]

The irreducible representation \(M_\lambda\) of \(G\) associated to a highest weight \(\lambda\) defines a sheaf over \(S_\Gamma\), denoted by \(\tilde{M}_\lambda\), that is defined over \(\mathbb{Q}\). This sheaf can be extended in a natural way to a sheaf in the Borel-Serre compactification \(\overline{S}_\Gamma\) and we can therefore consider the restriction to the boundary of the Borel-Serre compactification and to each face of the boundary, obtaining sheaves in \(\partial S_\Gamma\) and \(\partial P_\Gamma\). The aforementioned covering defines a spectral sequence about the cohomology of the boundary

\[
E_{p,q}^1 = \bigoplus_{prk(P) = p+1} H^q(\partial P_\Gamma, \tilde{M}_\lambda) \implies H^{p+q}(\partial S_\Gamma, \tilde{M}_\lambda).
\]

where \(prk(P)\) denotes the parabolic rank of \(P\) (the dimension of its \(\mathbb{Q}\)-split component). In this article we present an explicit description of this spectral sequence to discuss in detail the boundary and Eisenstein cohomology for the particular rank two cases \(SL_3\) and \(GL_3\).

Since its development, cohomology of arithmetic groups has been proved to be a valuable tool in analyzing the relations between the theory of automorphic forms and the arithmetic properties of the associated locally symmetric spaces. A very common goal is to describe the cohomology \(H^*(S_\Gamma, \tilde{M}_\lambda)\) in terms of automorphic forms. The study of boundary and Eisenstein cohomology of arithmetic groups has many number theoretic applications. As an example, one can see applications on the algebraicity of certain quotients of special values of \(L\)-functions in [11].

The main tools and idea to study the boundary cohomology of arithmetic groups have been developed by the second author in a series of articles [11, 12, 14]. This article is no exception in taking the hunt a little further. Especially, we make use of the techniques developed in [14]. In a way, this article is a continuation of the work carried out by the second author in [12]. In Section 4, the cohomology of the boundary of \(SL_3(\mathbb{Z})\) has been described after introducing the necessary notations and tools in Section 2 and Section 3.

In order to achieve the details about the space of Eisenstein cohomology of the two mentioned arithmetic groups, we make use of their Euler characteristics. In Section 5, we discuss this in detail. The importance of Euler characteristic to study the space of Eisenstein cohomology has been discussed by the third author in [19]. For more details about Euler characteristic of arithmetic groups see [17, 18]. In Section 6, we compute the space of Eisenstein cohomology of the arithmetic groups \(SL_3(\mathbb{Z})\) and \(GL_3(\mathbb{Z})\) with coefficients in \(M_\lambda\). One of the most interesting take aways, among others, of these two sections is the intricate relation between the spaces of automorphic forms of \(SL_2\) and the boundary and Eisenstein cohomology spaces of \(SL_3\).

In Section 7 we carry out the discussion of existence of ghost classes in \(SL_3(\mathbb{Z})\) and \(GL_3(\mathbb{Z})\) in detail with respect to any highest weight representation. Ghost classes were introduced by A. Borel [3] in 1984. For details and exact definition of these classes see Section 7. Later on, these classes have appeared in the work of the second author. For example at the end of the article [12] with emphasis to the case \(GL_3\), it is mentioned that “.... the ghost classes appear if some \(L\)-values vanish. The order of vanishing does not play a role. But this may change in higher rank case”. The author further added that this aspect is worthy of investigation. The importance of their investigation has been occasionally pointed out. Since then, these classes have been studied
at times, however the general theory of these classes has been slow in coming. We couldn’t trace
down the complete analysis of ghost classes in these two specific cases in complete generality, i.e.
for arbitrary coefficient system. However, in case of $\text{SL}_4(\mathbb{Z})$ these classes have been discussed by
Rohlfs in [25]. In general for $\text{SL}_n$, Franke developed a method to construct ghost classes in [7].
Later on, using the method developed in [7], Kewenig and Rieb have found ghost classes for
the orthogonal and symplectic groups when the coefficient system is trivial, see [20]. More recently,
these classes have been discussed by the first and last author in the case of rank two orthogonal
Shimura varieties in [1] and by the last author in case of $\text{GSp}_4$ in [23] and $\text{GU}(2, 2)$ in [24].

The main results of this article are the following,

- Theorem 11, where the Euler characteristic of $\text{SL}_3(\mathbb{Z})$ is calculated with respect to every
  finite dimensional highest weight representation.
- Theorem 12, where the boundary cohomology with coefficients in every finite dimensional
  highest weight representation is described.
- Theorem 15, that shows that the Euler characteristic of the boundary cohomology is half
  the Euler characteristic of the Eisenstein cohomology.
- Theorem 16, where we describe the Eisenstein cohomology for every finite dimensional high-
  est weight representation.
- Theorem 26, that shows that there are no ghost classes unless possibly in degree two for
  certain nonregular highest weights.

In this paper we do not refer to and do not use transcendental methods, i.e. we do not write
down convergent (or even non convergent) infinite series and do not use the principle of analytic
continuation. This allows us to work with coefficient systems which are $\mathbb{Q}$-vector spaces. Only at
one place we refer to the Eichler-Shimura isomorphism, but this reference is not really relevant. At
one point we refer to a deep theorem of Bass-Milnor-Serre [2] to get the complete description of the
Eisenstein cohomology. Transcendental arguments would allow us to avoid this reference, see [13]
and [26].

In Theorem 26 we leave open, whether in a certain case ghost classes might exist. In a letter to
A. Goncharov the second author has outlined an argument that shows that there are no ghost classes,
but this argument depends on transcendental methods. This will be discussed in a forthcoming
paper.

2. Basic Notions

This section provides quick review to the basic properties of $\text{SL}_3$ (and $\text{GL}_3$) and familiarize the
reader with the notations to be used throughout the article. We discuss the corresponding locally
symmetric space, Weyl group, the associated spectral sequence and Kostant representatives of the
standard parabolic subgroups.

2.1. Structure theory. Let $T$ be the maximal torus of $\text{SL}_3$ given by the group of diagonal matrices
and $\Phi$ be the corresponding root system of type $A_2$. Let $\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, \epsilon_3 \in X^*(T)$ be the usual coordinate
functions on $T$. We will use the additive notation for the abelian group $X^*(T)$ of characters of $T$.
The root system is given by $\Phi = \Phi^+ \cup \Phi^-$, where $\Phi^+$ and $\Phi^-$ denote the set of positive and negative
roots of $\text{SL}_3$ respectively, and $\Phi^+ = \{\epsilon_1 - \epsilon_3, \epsilon_1 - \epsilon_2, \epsilon_2 - \epsilon_3\}$. Then the system of simple roots
is defined by $\Delta = \{\alpha_1 = \epsilon_1 - \epsilon_2, \alpha_2 = \epsilon_2 - \epsilon_3\}$. The fundamental weights associated to this root
system are given by $\gamma_1 = \epsilon_1$ and $\gamma_2 = \epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2$. The irreducible finite dimensional representations
of $\text{SL}_3$ are determined by their highest weight which in this case are the elements of the form
\( \lambda = m_1 \gamma_1 + m_2 \gamma_2 \) with \( m_1, m_2 \) non-negative integers. The Weyl group \( W \) of \( \Phi \) is given by the symmetric group \( \mathfrak{S}_3 \).

The above defined root system determines a set of proper standard \( \mathbb{Q} \)-parabolic subgroups \( P_{\mathbb{Q}}(\text{SL}_3) = \{ P_0, P_1, P_2 \} \), where \( P_0 \) is a minimal and \( P_1, P_2 \) are maximal \( \mathbb{Q} \)-parabolic subgroups of \( \text{SL}_3 \). To be more precise, we write

\[
P_1(A) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} * & * & * \\ 0 & * & * \\ 0 & 0 & * \end{pmatrix} \in \text{SL}_3(A) \right\}, \quad P_2(A) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} * & * & * \\ * & * & * \\ 0 & 0 & * \end{pmatrix} \in \text{SL}_3(A) \right\},
\]

for every \( \mathbb{Q} \)-algebra \( A \), and \( P_0 \) is simply the group given by \( P_1 \cap P_2 \).

The set \( P_{\mathbb{Q}}(\text{SL}_3) \) is a set of representatives for the conjugacy classes of \( \mathbb{Q} \)-parabolic subgroups of \( \text{SL}_3 \). Consider the maximal connected compact subgroup \( K_\infty = \text{SO}(3) \subset \text{SL}_3(\mathbb{R}) \) and the arithmetic subgroup \( \Gamma = \text{SL}_3(\mathbb{Z}) \), then \( S_\Gamma \) denotes the orbifold \( \Gamma \backslash \text{SL}_3(\mathbb{R})/K_\infty \). Note that in terms of differential geometry \( S_\Gamma \) is not a locally symmetric space, this is because of the torsion elements in \( \Gamma \).

### 2.2. Spectral sequence.

Let \( \tilde{S}_\Gamma \) denote the Borel-Serre compactification of \( S_\Gamma \) (see [4]). Following (1), the boundary of this compactification \( \partial S_\Gamma = \tilde{S}_\Gamma \setminus S_\Gamma \) is given by the union of faces indexed by the \( \Gamma \)-conjugacy classes of \( \mathbb{Q} \)-parabolic subgroups. Consider the irreducible representation \( M_\lambda \) of \( \text{SL}_3 \) associated with a highest weight \( \lambda \). This representation is defined over \( \mathbb{Q} \) and determines a sheaf \( \tilde{M}_\lambda \) over \( S_\Gamma \). By applying the direct image functor associated to the inclusion \( i : S_\Gamma \hookrightarrow \tilde{S}_\Gamma \), we obtain a sheaf on \( \tilde{S}_\Gamma \) and, since this inclusion is a homotopy equivalence (see [4]), it induces an isomorphism \( H^*(S_\Gamma, M_\lambda) \cong H^*(\tilde{S}_\Gamma, i_*(\tilde{M}_\lambda)) \). From now on \( i_*(\tilde{M}_\lambda) \) will be simply denoted by \( \tilde{M}_\lambda \).

In this paper, one of our immediate goals is to make a thorough study of the cohomology space \( S_\Gamma \). This is because of the torsion elements in \( \Gamma \).

The covering (1) defines a spectral sequence in cohomology abutting to the cohomology of the boundary. To be more precise, one has the spectral sequence defined by (2) in the previous section. To be able to study this spectral sequence, we need to understand the cohomology spaces \( H^q(\partial S_\Gamma, \tilde{M}_\lambda) \) and this can be done by making use of a certain decomposition. To present the aforementioned decomposition we need to introduce some notations.

Let \( P \in P_{\mathbb{Q}}(\text{SL}_3) \) be a standard \( \mathbb{Q} \)-parabolic subgroup and \( M \) be the corresponding Levi quotient, then \( \Gamma_M \) and \( K_\infty^M \) will denote the image under the canonical projection \( \pi : P \rightarrow M \) of the groups \( \Gamma \cap P(\mathbb{Q}) \) and \( K_\infty \cap P(\mathbb{R}) \), respectively. \( \circ M \) will denote the group

\[
\circ M = \bigcap_{\chi \in X_0^*(M)} \chi^2
\]

where \( X_0^*(M) \) denotes the set of \( \mathbb{Q} \)-characters of \( M \). Then \( \Gamma_M \) and \( K_\infty^M \) are contained in \( \circ M(\mathbb{R}) \) and we define the locally symmetric space of the Levi quotient \( M \) by

\[
S_\Gamma^M = \Gamma_M \backslash \circ M(\mathbb{R})/K_\infty^M.
\]

On the other hand, let

\[
\mathcal{W}^P = \{ w \in \mathcal{W} | w(\Phi^-) \cap \Phi^+ \subseteq \Phi^+(\mathfrak{n}) \}
\]

be the set of Weyl representatives of the parabolic \( P \) (see [21]), where \( \mathfrak{n} \) is the Lie algebra of the unipotent radical of \( P \) and \( \Phi^+(\mathfrak{n}) \) denotes the set of roots whose root space is contained in \( \mathfrak{n} \). If \( \rho \in X^*(T) \) denotes half of the sum of the positive roots (in this case this is just \( \epsilon_1 - \epsilon_3 \)) and \( w \in \mathcal{W}^P \),
then the element $w \cdot \lambda = w(\lambda + \rho) - \rho$ is a highest weight of an irreducible representation $\mathcal{M}_{w \cdot \lambda}$ of $\mathfrak{o} \mathfrak{M}$ and defines a sheaf $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{w \cdot \lambda}$ over $S^M_{\Gamma}$. Then we have a decomposition

$$H^q(\partial P, \Gamma, \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\lambda}) = \bigoplus_{w \in \mathcal{W}} H^{q-\ell(w)}(S^M_{\Gamma}, \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{w \cdot \lambda}).$$

2.3. Kostant Representatives of Standard Parabolics. In the next table we list all the elements of the Weyl group along with their lengths and the preimages of the simple roots. The preimages will be useful to determine the sets of Weyl representatives for each parabolic subgroup.

| $w$     | $\sigma$ | $\ell(w)$ | $\sigma^{-1}(\alpha_1)$ | $\sigma^{-1}(\alpha_2)$ |
|---------|---------|---------|----------------------|----------------------|
| $e$     | $e$     | 0       | $\alpha_1$           | $\alpha_2$           |
| $s_1$   | (12)    | 1       | $-\alpha_1$          | $\alpha_1 + \alpha_2$|
| $s_2$   | (23)    | 1       | $\alpha_1 + \alpha_2$| $-\alpha_2$          |
| $s_2s_1$| (132)   | 2       | $\alpha_2$           | $-\alpha_1 - \alpha_2$|
| $s_1s_2$| (123)   | 2       | $-\alpha_1 - \alpha_2$| $\alpha_1$           |
| $s_2s_1s_2$| (13) | 3       | $-\alpha_2$           | $-\alpha_1$           |

| $s_1s_2s_1$ |

Table 1. The set of Weyl representatives $\mathcal{W}^{P_0}$

Note that in the case of $\text{SL}_3$, $\epsilon_3 = -(\epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2)$ and $\mathcal{W}^{P_0} = \mathcal{W}$. Now, by using this table, one can see that the sets of Weyl representatives for the maximal parabolics $P_1$ and $P_2$, are given by

$$\mathcal{W}^{P_1} = \{e, s_1, s_1s_2\} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{W}^{P_2} = \{e, s_2, s_2s_1\}.$$
We now record for each standard parabolic $P$ and Weyl representative $w \in \mathcal{W}_P$, the expression $w \cdot \lambda$ in the convenient setting so that it can be used to obtain Lemma 1 and Lemma 3 which commence in the next few pages. Let $\lambda$ be given by $m_1 \gamma_1 + m_2 \gamma_2$, then the Kostant representatives for parabolics $P_0, P_1$, and $P_2$ are given by the cohomology of the faces associated to each standard parabolic subgroup. In this section we expose, for each standard parabolic $P$ and irreducible representation $\mu$ of the Levi subgroup $M \subset P$ with highest weight $\nu$, a parity condition to be satisfied in order to have nontrivial cohomology $H^\bullet(S^M \Gamma_\mu, \mathcal{M}_\nu)$. Here $S^M$ denotes the symmetric space associated to $M$ and $\mathcal{M}_\nu$ is the sheaf in $S^M$ determined by $\mathcal{M}_\nu$.

3. **Parity Conditions in Cohomology**

The cohomology of the boundary can be obtained by using a spectral sequence whose terms are given by the cohomology of the faces associated to each standard parabolic subgroup. In this section we expose, for each standard parabolic $P$ and irreducible representation $\mathcal{M}_\nu$ of the Levi subgroup $M \subset P$ with highest weight $\nu$, a parity condition to be satisfied in order to have nontrivial cohomology $H^\bullet(S^M \Gamma_\mu, \mathcal{M}_\nu)$. Here $S^M$ denotes the symmetric space associated to $M$ and $\mathcal{M}_\nu$ is the sheaf in $S^M$ determined by $\mathcal{M}_\nu$.

3.1. **Borel subgroup.** We begin by studying the parity condition imposed on the face associated to the minimal parabolic $P_0$ of $SL_3$. The Levi subgroup of $P_0$ is the two dimensional torus $M_0 = T$ of diagonal matrices. To get nontrivial cohomology the finite group $\Gamma_{M_0} \cap K_{M_0}^\infty$ has to act trivially on $\mathcal{M}_\nu$, because otherwise $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_\nu = 0$. Therefore, the following three elements

$$
\begin{pmatrix}
-1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & -1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{pmatrix},
\begin{pmatrix}
-1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & -1
\end{pmatrix},
\begin{pmatrix}
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & -1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & -1
\end{pmatrix}
\in \Gamma_{M_0} \cap K_{M_0}^\infty
$$

$$
\gamma^{M_1} = \frac{1}{2}(\epsilon_2 - \epsilon_3), \quad \kappa^{M_1} = \frac{1}{2}(\epsilon_2 + \epsilon_3),
$$

$$
\gamma^{M_2} = \frac{1}{2}(\epsilon_1 - \epsilon_2), \quad \kappa^{M_2} = \frac{1}{2}(\epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2).
$$

2.3.1. **Kostant representatives for minimal parabolic $P_0$.**

$$
e \cdot \lambda = m_1 \gamma_1 + m_2 \gamma_2
$$

$$
s_1 \cdot \lambda = (m_1 - 2) \gamma_1 + (m_1 + m_2 + 1) \gamma_2
$$

$$
s_2 \cdot \lambda = (m_1 + m_2 + 1) \gamma_1 + (-m_2 - 2) \gamma_2
$$

$$
s_1s_2 \cdot \lambda = -(m_1 - m_2 - 3) \gamma_1 + m_1 \gamma_2
$$

$$
s_2s_1 \cdot \lambda = m_2 \gamma_1 + (-m_1 - m_2 - 3) \gamma_2
$$

$$
s_2s_1s_2 \cdot \lambda = s_1s_2s_1 \cdot \lambda = -(m_2 - 2) \gamma_1 + (-m_1 - 2) \gamma_2
$$

2.3.2. **Kostant representatives for maximal parabolic $P_1$.**

$$
e \cdot \lambda = m_2 \gamma^{M_1} + (2m_1 - m_2) \kappa^{M_1}
$$

$$
s_1 \cdot \lambda = (m_1 + m_2 + 1) \gamma^{M_1} + (m_1 - m_2 + 3) \kappa^{M_1}
$$

2.3.3. **Kostant representatives for maximal parabolic $P_2$.**

$$
e \cdot \lambda = m_1 \gamma^{M_2} + (2m_1 - m_2) \kappa^{M_2}
$$

$$
s_2 \cdot \lambda = (m_1 + m_2 + 1) \gamma^{M_2} + (m_1 - m_2 + 3) \kappa^{M_2}
$$

$$
s_2s_1 \cdot \lambda = m_2 \gamma^{M_2} + (2m_1 - m_2 - 6) \kappa^{M_2}
$$
must act trivially on \( M_\nu \) so that the sheaf \( \widetilde{M}_\nu \) is nonzero. By using this fact one can deduce the following

**Lemma 1.** Let \( \nu \) be given by \( m'_1 \gamma_1 + m'_2 \gamma_2 \). If \( m'_1 \) or \( m'_2 \) is odd then the corresponding local system \( \widetilde{M}_\nu \) in \( S^0_1 \) is 0.

Note that the \( \nu \) to be considered in this paper will be of the form \( w \cdot \lambda \), for \( w \in W^0 \). We denote by \( W^0(\lambda) \) the set of Weyl elements \( w \) such that \( w \cdot \lambda \) do not satisfy the condition of Lemma 1.

**Remark 2.** For notational convenience, we simply use \( \partial_i \) to denote the boundary face \( \partial_{P_i, \Gamma} \) associated to the parabolic subgroup \( P_i \) and the arithmetic group \( \Gamma \) for \( i \in \{0, 1, 2\} \). In addition, we will drop the use of \( \Gamma \) from the \( S_\Gamma \) and \( \partial S_\Gamma \) and likewise from the other notations.

### 3.1.1. Cohomology of the face \( \partial_0 \)

In this case \( H^q(S^0_1, \widetilde{M}_{w, \lambda}) = 0 \) for every \( q \geq 1 \). The set of Weyl representatives \( W^{P_0} = W \) and the lengths of its elements are between 0 and 3 as shown in the table and figure above. We know

\[
H^q(\partial_0, \widetilde{M}_\lambda) = \bigoplus_{w \in W^0} H^{q-\ell(w)}(S^0_1, \widetilde{M}_{w, \lambda}) = \bigoplus_{w \in W^0: \ell(w)=q} H^0(S^0_1, \widetilde{M}_{w, \lambda})
\]

Therefore

\[
\begin{align*}
H^0(\partial_0, \widetilde{M}_\lambda) &= H^0(S^0_1, \widetilde{M}_\lambda) \\
H^1(\partial_0, \widetilde{M}_\lambda) &= H^0(S^0_1, \widetilde{M}_{s_1 \lambda}) \oplus H^0(S^0_1, \widetilde{M}_{s_2 \lambda}) \\
H^2(\partial_0, \widetilde{M}_\lambda) &= H^0(S^0_1, \widetilde{M}_{s_1 s_2 \lambda}) \oplus H^0(S^0_1, \widetilde{M}_{s_2 s_1 \lambda}) \\
H^3(\partial_0, \widetilde{M}_\lambda) &= H^0(S^0_1, \widetilde{M}_{s_2 s_1 s_2 \lambda})
\end{align*}
\]

and for every \( q \geq 4 \), the cohomology groups \( H^q(\partial_0, \widetilde{M}_\lambda) = 0 \).

### 3.2. Maximal parabolic subgroups

In this section we study the parity conditions for the maximal parabolics. Let \( i \in \{1, 2\} \), then \( M_i \cong \text{GL}_2 \) and in this setting, \( K^M_i = O(2) \) is the orthogonal group and \( \Gamma_{M_i} = \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) \). Therefore

\[
S^M_i \cong \overline{S}^{GL_2} = \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) \backslash \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{R}) / O(2) \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^\times
\]

Let \( \epsilon'_1, \epsilon'_2 \) denote the usual characters in the torus \( T \) of diagonal matrices of \( \text{GL}_2 \). Write \( \gamma = \frac{1}{2}(\epsilon'_1 - \epsilon'_2) \) and \( \kappa = \frac{1}{2}(\epsilon'_1 + \epsilon'_2) \). Consider the irreducible representation \( \mathcal{V}_{a, n} \) of \( \text{GL}_2 \) with highest weight \( a \gamma + n \kappa \). In this expression \( a \) and \( n \) must be congruent modulo 2, and \( \mathcal{V}_{a, n} = Sym^a(\mathbb{Q}^2) \otimes \text{det}^{(n-a)/2} \) is the tensor product of the \( a \)-th symmetric power of the standard representation and the determinant to the \( (\frac{n-a}{2}) \)-th power. This representation defines a sheaf \( \widetilde{\mathcal{V}}_{a, n} \) in \( \overline{S}^{GL_2} \) and also in the locally symmetric space

\[
S^{GL_2} = \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) \backslash \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{R}) / \text{SO}(2) \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^\times
\]

If \( Z \subset T \) denotes the center of \( \text{GL}_2 \), one has

\[
\left( \begin{array}{cc}
-1 & 0 \\
0 & -1 \\
\end{array} \right) \in \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) \cap Z(\mathbb{R}) \cap \text{SO}(2) \mathbb{R}_{>0}^\times
\]
and therefore this element must act trivially on \( V_{a,n} \) in order to have \( \tilde{V}_{a,n} \neq 0 \), i.e. if \( n \) is odd then \( \tilde{V}_{a,n} = 0 \). So, we are just interested in the case in which \( n \) (and therefore \( a \)) is even. On the other hand, if \( a = 0 \), \( V_{a,n} \) is one dimensional and

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
-1 & 0 \\
0 & 1 \\
\end{pmatrix} \in \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) \cap \text{O}(2)\mathbb{R}_{>0}
\]

has the effect that the space of global sections of \( \tilde{V}_{0,n} \) is 0 when \( n/2 \) is odd.

We summarize the above discussion in the following

**Lemma 3.** Let \( i \) be 1 or 2. For \( w \in \mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{P}_i} \), let \( w \cdot \lambda \) be given by \( a\gamma_{M_i} + n\kappa_{M_i} \), where \( \gamma_{M_i} = \frac{1}{2}(\epsilon_i - \epsilon_{i+1}) \) and \( \kappa_{M_i} = \frac{1}{2}(\epsilon_i + \epsilon_{i+1}) \). If \( n \) is odd, the corresponding sheaf \( \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{w,\lambda} \) is 0. As \( a \) and \( n \) are congruent modulo 2, we should have a and \( n \) even in order to have a non trivial coefficient system \( \tilde{V}_{a,n} \). Moreover, if \( a = 0 \) and \( n/2 \) is odd, then \( H^\bullet(S_{M_1}, \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{w,\lambda}) = 0 \). We denote the set of Weyl elements for which \( H^\bullet(S_{M_1}, \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{w,\lambda}) \neq 0 \) by \( \mathcal{W}_f(\lambda) \).

Now, if \( B \subset \text{GL}_2 \) is the usual Borel subgroup and \( T \subset B \) is the subgroup of diagonal matrices, one can consider the exact sequence in cohomology

\[
H^0(S^T, H^0(\mathcal{O}(2), \mathcal{V}_{a,n})) \rightarrow H^1(\mathcal{S}_{\text{GL}_2}, \tilde{\mathcal{V}}_{a,n}) \rightarrow H^1(\mathcal{S}_{\text{GL}_2}, \tilde{\mathcal{V}}_{a,n}) \rightarrow H^0(S^T, H^1(\mathcal{O}(2), \mathcal{V}_{a,n}))
\]

where \( n \) is the Lie algebra of the unipotent radical \( N \) of \( B \). By using an argument similar to the one presented in Lemma 3, we get

\[
\begin{align*}
H^1(\mathcal{S}_{\text{GL}_2}, \tilde{\mathcal{V}}_{a,n}) &= H^1(\mathcal{S}_{\text{GL}_2}, \tilde{\mathcal{V}}_{a,n}) \quad \text{if } \frac{a}{2} \neq \frac{n}{2} \quad \text{mod } 2, \\
H^1(\mathcal{S}_{\text{GL}_2}, \tilde{\mathcal{V}}_{a,n}) &= H^1(\mathcal{S}_{\text{GL}_2}, \tilde{\mathcal{V}}_{a,n}) \quad \text{if } \frac{a}{2} = \frac{n}{2} \quad \text{mod } 2.
\end{align*}
\]

(3)

In the following subsections we make note of the cohomology groups associated to the maximal parabolic subgroups \( P_1 \) and \( P_2 \) which will be used in the computations involved to determine the boundary cohomology in the next section.

3.2.1. Cohomology of the face \( \partial_1 \). In this case, the Levi \( M_1 \) is isomorphic to \( \text{GL}_2 \) and therefore \( H^q(S_{M_1}, \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{w,\lambda}) = 0 \) for every \( q \geq 2 \) (see the example 2.1.3 in Subsection 2.1.2 of \[15\] for the particular case of \( \text{GL}_2 \) or Theorem 11.4.4 in \[14\] for a more general statement). The set of Weyl representatives is given by \( \mathcal{W}^{P_1} = \{ e, s_1, s_1s_2 \} \) where the length of the elements are respectively 0, 1, 2. By definition,

\[
H^q(\partial_1, \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_\lambda) = \bigoplus_{w \in \mathcal{W}^{P_1}} H^{q-\ell(w)}(S_{M_1}, \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{w,\lambda})
\]

\[
= H^q(S_{M_1}, \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_\lambda) \oplus H^{q-1}(S_{M_1}, \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{s_1,\lambda}) \oplus H^{q-2}(S_{M_1}, \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{s_1s_2,\lambda}).
\]

Therefore,

\[
\begin{align*}
H^0(\partial_1, \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_\lambda) &= H^0(S_{M_1}, \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_\lambda) \\
H^1(\partial_1, \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_\lambda) &= H^1(S_{M_1}, \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_\lambda) \oplus H^0(S_{M_1}, \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{s_1,\lambda}) \\
H^2(\partial_1, \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_\lambda) &= H^1(S_{M_1}, \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{s_1,\lambda}) \oplus H^0(S_{M_1}, \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{s_1s_2,\lambda}) \\
H^3(\partial_1, \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_\lambda) &= H^1(S_{M_1}, \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{s_1s_2,\lambda})
\end{align*}
\]

and for every \( q \geq 4 \), the cohomology groups \( H^q(\partial_1, \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_\lambda) = 0 \).
3.2.2. Cohomology of the face $\partial_2$. In this case, the Levi $M_2$ is isomorphic to $GL_2$ and therefore $H^q(S^{M_2}, \tilde{M}_{w,\lambda}) = 0$ for every $q \geq 2$. The set of Weyl representatives is given by $W^P = \{e, s_2, s_2s_1\}$ where the lengths of the elements are respectively 0, 1, 2. By definition,

$$H^q(\partial_2, \tilde{M}_\lambda) = \bigoplus_{w \in W^P} H^{q-\ell(w)}(S^{M_2}, \tilde{M}_{w,\lambda})$$

$$= H^q(S^{M_2}, \tilde{M}_\lambda) \oplus H^{q-1}(S^{M_2}, \tilde{M}_{s_2,\lambda}) \oplus H^{q-2}(S^{M_2}, \tilde{M}_{s_2s_1,\lambda}).$$

Therefore,

$$H^0(\partial_2, \tilde{M}_\lambda) = H^0(S^{M_2}, \tilde{M}_\lambda)$$

$$H^1(\partial_2, \tilde{M}_\lambda) = H^1(S^{M_2}, \tilde{M}_\lambda) \oplus H^0(S^{M_2}, \tilde{M}_{s_2,\lambda})$$

$$H^2(\partial_2, \tilde{M}_\lambda) = H^1(S^{M_2}, \tilde{M}_{s_2,\lambda}) \oplus H^0(S^{M_2}, \tilde{M}_{s_2s_1,\lambda})$$

$$H^3(\partial_2, \tilde{M}_\lambda) = H^1(S^{M_2}, \tilde{M}_{s_2s_1,\lambda})$$

and for every $q \geq 4$, the cohomology groups $H^q(\partial_2, \tilde{M}_\lambda) = 0$.

4. Boundary Cohomology

In this section we calculate the cohomology of the boundary by giving a complete description of the spectral sequence. The covering of the boundary of the Borel-Serre compactification defines a spectral sequence in cohomology.

$$E_1^{p,q} = \bigoplus_{prk(P) = (p+1)} H^q(\partial P, \tilde{M}_\lambda) \Rightarrow H^{p+q}(\partial S, \tilde{M}_\lambda)$$

and the nonzero terms of $E_1^{p,q}$ are for

$$(p, q) \in \{(0, 0), (0, 1), (0, 2), (0, 3), (1, 0), (1, 1), (1, 2), (1, 3)\}.$$

More precisely,

$$E_1^{0,q} = \bigoplus_{i=1}^2 H^q(\partial_i, \tilde{M}_\lambda)$$

$$= \bigoplus_{i=1}^2 \left[ \bigoplus_{w \in W^P; \ell(w) = q} H^q(S^M_i, \tilde{M}_{w,\lambda}) \right].$$

$$E_1^{1,q} = H^0(\partial_0, \tilde{M}_\lambda)$$

$$= \bigoplus_{w \in W^P; \ell(w) = q} H^0(S^{M_0}, \tilde{M}_{w,\lambda}).$$

Since $SL_3$ is of rank two, the spectral sequence has only two columns namely $E_1^{0,q}, E_1^{1,q}$ and to study the boundary cohomology, the task reduces to analyze the following morphisms

$$E_1^{0,q} \xrightarrow{d_1^{0,q}} E_1^{1,q}$$

where $d_1^{0,q}$ is the differential map and the higher differentials vanish. One has

$$E_2^{0,q} := Ker(d_1^{0,q}) \quad \text{and} \quad E_2^{1,q} := Coker(d_1^{0,q}).$$
In addition, due to being in rank 2 situation, the spectral sequence degenerates in degree 2. Therefore, we can use the fact that

\[ H^k(\partial S, \widetilde{M}_\lambda) = \bigoplus_{p+q=k} E^{p,q}_2. \]

In other words, let us now consider the short exact sequence

\[ 0 \to E^{1,q-1}_2 \to H^q(\partial S, \widetilde{M}_\lambda) \to E^0,q_2 \to 0. \]

From now on, we will denote by \( r_1 : H^i(\partial_1, \widetilde{M}_\lambda) \to H^i(\partial_2, \widetilde{M}_\lambda) \) and \( r_2 : H^i(\partial_2, \widetilde{M}_\lambda) \to H^i(\partial_1, \widetilde{M}_\lambda) \) the natural restriction morphisms.

4.1. **Case 1**: \( m_1 = 0 \) and \( m_2 = 0 \) (trivial coefficient system). Following Lemma 1 and Lemma 3 from Section 3, we get

\[ W^1(\lambda) = \{e\}, \quad W^2(\lambda) = \{e\} \quad \text{and} \quad W^3(\lambda) = \{e, s_1 s_2 s_1\}. \]

By using (5) we record the values of \( E^0,q_1 \) and \( E^1,q_1 \) for the distinct values of \( q \) below. Note that following (4) we know that for \( q \geq 4 \), \( E^i,q_1 = 0 \) for \( i = 0, 1, 2, 3 \).

\[ E^{0,q}_1 = \begin{cases} H^0(S^{M_1, \widetilde{M}_{c,\lambda}}) \oplus H^0(S^{M_2, \widetilde{M}_{c,\lambda}}) \cong \mathbb{Q} \oplus \mathbb{Q}, & q = 0 \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \]

and

\[ E^{1,q}_1 = \begin{cases} H^0(S^{M_0, \widetilde{M}_{c,\lambda}}) \cong \mathbb{Q}, & q = 0 \\ H^0(S^{M_0, \widetilde{M}_{s_1 s_2 s_1, \lambda}}) \cong \mathbb{Q}, & q = 3 \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \]

We now make a thorough analysis of (6) to get the complete description of the spaces \( E^0,q_2 \) and \( E^1,q_2 \) which will give us the cohomology \( H^q(\partial S, \widetilde{M}_\lambda) \). We begin with \( q = 0 \).

4.1.1. **At the level** \( q = 0 \). Observe that the short exact sequence (5) reduces to

\[ 0 \to H^0(\partial S, \widetilde{M}_\lambda) \to E^0_2 \to 0. \]

To compute \( E^0_2 \), consider the differential \( d^0_1 : E^1_0 \to E^1_1 \). Following (9) and (10), we have \( d^0_1 : \mathbb{Q} \oplus \mathbb{Q} \to \mathbb{Q} \) and we know that the differential \( d^0_1 \) is surjective (see (11)). Therefore

\[ E^{0,0}_2 := \text{Ker}(d^0_1) = \mathbb{Q} \quad \text{and} \quad E^{1,0}_2 := \text{Coker}(d^0_1) = 0. \]

Hence, we get

\[ H^0(\partial S, \widetilde{M}_\lambda) = \mathbb{Q}. \]
4.1.2. At the level $q = 1$. Following (11), in this case, our short exact sequence (8) reduces to

$$0 \longrightarrow H^1(\partial S, \widetilde{M}_\lambda) \longrightarrow E^{0,1}_2 \longrightarrow 0,$$

and we need to compute $E^{0,1}_2$. Consider the differential $d^{0,1}_1 : E^{0,1}_1 \longrightarrow E^{1,1}_1$ and following (9) and (10), we observe that $d^{0,1}_1$ is a map between zero spaces. Therefore, we obtain

$$E^{0,1}_2 = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad E^{1,1}_2 = 0.$$ 

As a result, we get

$$H^1(\partial S, \widetilde{M}_\lambda) = 0.$$ 

4.1.3. At the level $q = 2$. Following the similar process as in level $q = 1$, we get

$$E^{0,2}_2 = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad E^{1,2}_2 = 0.$$ 

This results into

$$H^2(\partial S, \widetilde{M}_\lambda) = 0.$$ 

4.1.4. At the level $q = 3$. Following (12), in this case, the short exact sequence (8) reduces to

$$0 \longrightarrow H^3(\partial S, \widetilde{M}_\lambda) \longrightarrow E^{0,3}_2 \longrightarrow 0,$$

and we need to compute $E^{0,3}_2$. Consider the differential $d^{0,3}_1 : E^{0,3}_1 \longrightarrow E^{1,3}_1$ and following (9) and (10), we have $d^{0,3}_1 : 0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{Q}$. Therefore,

$$E^{0,3}_2 = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad E^{1,3}_2 = \mathbb{Q}.$$ 

This gives us

$$H^3(\partial S, \widetilde{M}_\lambda) = 0.$$ 

4.1.5. At the level $q = 4$. Following (13), in this case, the short exact sequence (8) reduces to

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{Q} \longrightarrow H^4(\partial S, \widetilde{M}_\lambda) \longrightarrow E^{0,4}_2 \longrightarrow 0,$$

and we need to compute $E^{0,4}_2$. Consider the differential $d^{0,4}_1 : E^{0,4}_1 \longrightarrow E^{1,4}_1$ and following (9) and (10), we have $d^{0,4}_1 : 0 \longrightarrow 0$. Therefore,

$$E^{0,4}_2 = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad E^{1,4}_2 = 0,$$

and we get

$$H^4(\partial S, \widetilde{M}_\lambda) = \mathbb{Q}.$$ 

We can summarize the above discussion as follows:

$$H^q(\partial S, \widetilde{M}_\lambda) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{Q} & \text{for} \ q = 0, 4 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}.$$
4.2. Case 2: $m_1 = 0$, $m_2 \neq 0$, $m_2$ even. Following the parity conditions established in Section 3, we find that

$$\mathcal{W}^1(\lambda) = \{e\}, \quad \mathcal{W}^2(\lambda) = \{e, s_2s_1\} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{W}^0(\lambda) = \{e, s_1s_2s_1\}.$$ 

Following (5) we write

$$E_i^{0,q} = \begin{cases} 
H^0(S^{M_2}, \widetilde{M}_{e,\lambda}), & q = 0 \\
H^1(S^{M_1}, \widetilde{M}_{e,\lambda}), & q = 1 \\
H^1(S^{M_2}, \widetilde{M}_{s_2s_1,\lambda}), & q = 3 \\
0, & \text{otherwise}
\end{cases}$$

and

$$E_i^{1,q} = \begin{cases} 
H^0(S^{M_0}, \widetilde{M}_{e,\lambda}) \cong \mathbb{Q}, & q = 0 \\
H^0(S^{M_0}, \widetilde{M}_{s_1s_2s_1,\lambda}) \cong \mathbb{Q}, & q = 3 \\
0, & \text{otherwise}
\end{cases}$$

(14)

4.2.1. At the level $q = 0$. In this case, the short exact sequence (8) is

$$0 \rightarrow H^0(\partial S, \widetilde{M}_{\lambda}) \rightarrow E_2^{0,0} \rightarrow 0 \quad .$$

Consider the differential $d_1^{0,0} : E_1^{0,0} \rightarrow E_1^{1,0}$ which is an isomorphism

$$d_1^{0,0} : H^0(S^{M_2}, \widetilde{M}_{e,\lambda}) \rightarrow H^0(S^{M_0}, \widetilde{M}_{e,\lambda}).$$

Therefore, we obtain

$$E_2^{0,0} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad E_2^{1,0} = 0 .$$

As a result, we get

$$H^0(\partial S, \widetilde{M}_{\lambda}) = 0 .$$

4.2.2. At the level $q = 1$. In this case, the short exact sequence (8) becomes

$$0 \rightarrow H^1(\partial S, \widetilde{M}_{\lambda}) \rightarrow E_2^{0,1} \rightarrow 0 \quad .$$

Consider the differential $d_1^{0,1} : E_1^{0,1} \rightarrow E_1^{1,1}$ which, from (3), is simply a zero morphism

$$d_1^{0,1} : H_1^1(S^{M_1}, \widetilde{M}_{e,\lambda}) \rightarrow 0 .$$

Therefore, we obtain

$$E_2^{0,1} = H_1^1(S^{M_1}, \widetilde{M}_{e,\lambda}) \quad \text{and} \quad E_2^{1,1} = 0 .$$

As a result, we get

$$H^1(\partial S, \widetilde{M}_{\lambda}) = H_1^1(S^{M_1}, \widetilde{M}_{e,\lambda}) .$$
4.2.3. *At the level* \( q = 2 \). The short exact sequence becomes

\[
0 \rightarrow H^2(\partial S, \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_\lambda) \rightarrow E_{2}^{0,2} \rightarrow 0 ,
\]

and following the differential \( d_{1}^{0,2} : E_{1}^{0,2} \rightarrow E_{1}^{1,2} \) which is again simply the zero morphism

\[d_{1}^{0,2} : 0 \rightarrow 0 ,\]

gives us

\[E_{2}^{0,2} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad E_{2}^{1,2} = 0 .\]

Hence,

\[H^2(\partial S, \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_\lambda) = 0 .\]

4.2.4. *At the level* \( q = 3 \). The short exact sequence (8) reduces to

\[
0 \rightarrow H^3(\partial S, \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_\lambda) \rightarrow E_{2}^{0,3} \rightarrow 0 ,
\]

and the differential \( d_{1}^{0,3} : E_{1}^{0,3} \rightarrow E_{1}^{1,3} \) is an epimorphism

\[d_{1}^{0,3} : H^1(S^{M_2}, \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{s_{2}s_{1}}) \rightarrow H^0(S^{M_0}, \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{s_{1}s_{2}s_{1}}) ,\]

Therefore

\[E_{2}^{1,3} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad E_{2}^{0,3} = H^1(S^{M_2}, \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{s_{2}s_{1}}) .\]

Since \( E_{2}^{1,3} = 0 \) and \( E_{2}^{0,4} = 0 \), we realize that \( H^q(\partial S, \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_\lambda) = 0 \) for every \( q \geq 4 \).

We summarize the discussion of this case as follows

\[
H^q(\partial S, \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_\lambda) = \begin{cases}
H^1(S^{M_1}, \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{e\cdot \lambda}) , & q = 1 \\
H^1(S^{M_2}, \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{s_{2}s_{1}\cdot \lambda}) , & q = 3 \\
\varepsilon , & \text{otherwise}
\end{cases}
\]

4.3. **Case 3**: \( m_2 = 0, m_1 \neq 0, m_1 \text{ even} \). Following the parity conditions established in Section 3 we find that

\[\mathcal{W}^1(\lambda) = \{e, s_1s_2\} , \quad \mathcal{W}^2(\lambda) = \{e\} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{W}^3(\lambda) = \{e, s_1s_2s_1\} .\]

Following (9),

\[
E_{1}^{0,q} = \begin{cases}
H^0(S^{M_1}, \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{e\cdot \lambda}) , & q = 0 \\
H^1(S^{M_2}, \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{e\cdot \lambda}) , & q = 1 \\
H^1(S^{M_1}, \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{s_1s_2\cdot \lambda}) , & q = 3 \\
\varepsilon , & \text{otherwise}
\end{cases}
\]
and the spaces $E_{1,q}^1$ in this case are exactly same as described in the above two cases expressed by (14). Following similar steps taken in Subsection 4.2, we obtain the following

$$H^q(\partial S, \tilde{\mathcal{M}}) = \begin{cases} 
H^1(S^{M_2}, \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{e,\lambda}), & q = 1 \\
H^1(S^{M_1}, \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{s_1s_2}, \lambda), & q = 3 \\
0, & \text{otherwise}
\end{cases}$$

4.4. Case 4: $m_1 \neq 0$, $m_1$ even and $m_2 \neq 0$, $m_2$ even. Following the parity conditions established in Section 3, we find that

$$\mathcal{W}^1(\lambda) = \{e, s_1s_2\}, \quad \mathcal{W}^2(\lambda) = \{e, s_2s_1\} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{W}^0(\lambda) = \{e, s_1s_2s_1\}.$$ 

Following (5),

$$E_{1,q}^0 = \begin{cases} 
H^1(S^{M_2}, \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{e,\lambda}) \oplus H^1(S^{M_2}, \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{e,\lambda}), & q = 1 \\
H^1(S^{M_1}, \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{s_1s_2}, \lambda) \oplus H^1(S^{M_2}, \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{s_2s_1}, \lambda), & q = 3 \\
0, & \text{otherwise}
\end{cases}$$

and the spaces $E_{1,q}^1$ are described by (14). Combining the process performed for the previous two cases in Subsections 4.2 and 4.3, we get the following result

$$H^q(\partial S, \mathcal{M}) = \begin{cases} 
\mathbb{Q} \oplus H^1(S^{M_1}, \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{e,\lambda}) \oplus H^1(S^{M_2}, \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{e,\lambda}), & q = 1 \\
H^1(S^{M_1}, \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{s_1s_2}, \lambda) \oplus H^1(S^{M_2}, \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{s_2s_1}, \lambda) \oplus \mathbb{Q}, & q = 3 \\
0, & \text{otherwise}
\end{cases}$$

4.5. Case 5: $m_1 \neq 0$, $m_1$ even, $m_2$ odd. Following the parity conditions established in Section 3 and (5), we find that

$$\mathcal{W}^1(\lambda) = \{s_1, s_1s_2\}, \quad \mathcal{W}^2(\lambda) = \{e, s_2\} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{W}^0(\lambda) = \{s_1, s_1s_2\}.$$ 

and

$$E_{1,q}^0 = \begin{cases} 
H^1(S^{M_2}, \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{e,\lambda}), & q = 1 \\
H^1(S^{M_1}, \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{s_1}, \lambda) \oplus H^1(S^{M_2}, \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{s_2}, \lambda), & q = 2 \\
H^1(S^{M_1}, \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{s_1s_2}, \lambda), & q = 3 \\
0, & \text{otherwise}
\end{cases}$$
we find that

\[ E_1^{1,q} = \begin{cases} 
H^0(S^{M_0}, \widehat{M}_{s_1}, \lambda) \cong \mathbb{Q}, & q = 1 \\
H^0(S^{M_0}, \widehat{M}_{s_1s_2}, \lambda) \cong \mathbb{Q}, & q = 2 \\
0, & \text{otherwise}
\end{cases} \]

Following the similar computations we get all the spaces \( E_2^{p,q} \) for \( p = 0, 1 \) as follows

\[ E_2^{0,q} = \begin{cases} 
H^1(S^{M_2}, \widehat{M}_{c,\lambda}), & q = 1 \\
H^1(S^{M_1}, \widehat{M}_{s_1,\lambda}) \oplus H^1(S^{M_2}, \widehat{M}_{s_2,\lambda}), & q = 2 \\
H^1(S^{M_1}, \widehat{M}_{s_1s_2,\lambda}), & q = 3 \\
0, & \text{otherwise}
\end{cases} \]

and

\[ E_2^{1,q} = 0, \quad \forall q. \]

Following (7), we obtain

\[ H^q(\partial S, \widehat{M}_\lambda) = E_2^{0,q}, \quad \forall q. \]

4.6. Case 6 : \( m_1 = 0, m_2 \text{ odd} \). Following the parity conditions established in Section 3 and (5), we find that

\[ \overline{W}^i(\lambda) = \{ s_1, s_1s_2 \}, \quad \overline{W}^2(\lambda) = \{ s_2 \} \quad \text{and} \quad \overline{W}^0(\lambda) = \{ s_1, s_1s_2 \}, \]

and

\[ E_1^{0,q} = \begin{cases} 
H^1(S^{M_1}, \widehat{M}_{s_1}, \lambda) \oplus H^0(S^{M_1}, \widehat{M}_{s_1s_2}, \lambda) \oplus H^1(S^{M_2}, \widehat{M}_{s_2}, \lambda), & q = 2 \\
0, & \text{otherwise}
\end{cases} \]

and the spaces \( E_1^{1,q} \) are described by (15). Following the similar computations we get all the spaces \( E_2^{0,q} \) for \( p = 0, 1 \) as follows

\[ E_2^{0,q} = \begin{cases} 
H^1(S^{M_1}, \widehat{M}_{s_1}, \lambda) \oplus W \oplus H^1(S^{M_2}, \widehat{M}_{s_2}, \lambda), & q = 2 \\
0, & \text{otherwise}
\end{cases} \]

where \( W \) is the one dimensional space

\[ W = \left\{ (\xi, \nu) \in H^0(S^{M_1}, \widehat{M}_{s_1s_2}, \lambda) \oplus H^1(S^{M_2}, \widehat{M}_{s_2}, \lambda) \mid r_1(\xi) = r_2(\nu) \right\} \]

along with \( r_1 \) and \( r_2 \) the restriction morphisms defined as follows

\[ H^\bullet(S^{M_2}, \widehat{M}_{s_2,\lambda}) \xrightarrow{r_2} H^\bullet(S^{M_0}, \widehat{M}_{s_1s_2,\lambda}) \]

\[ H^\bullet(S^{M_1}, \widehat{M}_{s_1s_2,\lambda}) \xrightarrow{r_1} H^\bullet(S^{M_0}, \widehat{M}_{s_1s_2,\lambda}). \]

Both \( r_1 \) and \( r_2 \) are surjective. This fact follows directly by applying Kostant’s formula to the Levi quotient of each of the maximal parabolic subgroups. Then, the target spaces of \( r_1 \) and \( r_2 \) are just
the boundary and the Eisenstein cohomology of $GL_2$, respectively. From the above properties of $r_1$ and $r_2$, we conclude that $W$ is isomorphic to $H^0(S^M, \tilde{M}_{s_1s_2}^{\lambda})$, which is a 1-dimensional space. However,

$$E_{2}^{1,q} = \begin{cases} H^0(S^M, \tilde{M}_{s_1}^{\lambda}) \cong \mathbb{Q}, & q = 1 \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Now, following (7), we obtain

$$H^q(\partial S, \tilde{M}_\lambda) = \begin{cases} H^1(S^M_1, \tilde{M}_{s_1}^{\lambda}) \oplus H^1(S^M_2, \tilde{M}_{s_2}^{\lambda}) \oplus \mathbb{Q} \oplus \mathbb{Q}, & q = 2 \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

### 4.7. Case 7: $m_1$ odd, $m_2 = 0$. Following the parity conditions established in Section 3 we find that

- $W^1(\lambda) = \{s_1\}$, $W^2(\lambda) = \{s_2, s_2s_1\}$ and $W^0(\lambda) = \{s_2, s_2s_1\}$.

Observe that this is exactly the reflection of case 6 described in Subsection 4.6. The roles of parabolics $P_1$ and $P_2$ will be interchanged. Hence, we will obtain

$$H^q(\partial S, \tilde{M}_\lambda) = \begin{cases} H^1(S^M_2, \tilde{M}_{s_2}^{\lambda}) \oplus H^1(S^M_2, \tilde{M}_{s_2}^{\lambda}) \oplus \mathbb{Q} \oplus \mathbb{Q}, & q = 2 \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

### 4.8. Case 8: $m_1$ odd, $m_2 \neq 0$, $m_2$ even. Following the parity conditions established in Section 3 we find that

- $W^1(\lambda) = \{e, s_1\}$, $W^2(\lambda) = \{s_2, s_2s_1\}$ and $W^0(\lambda) = \{s_2, s_2s_1\}$.

Observe that this is exactly the reflection of case 5 described in Subsection 4.5. The roles of parabolics $P_1$ and $P_2$ will be interchanged. Hence, we will obtain

$$H^q(\partial S, \tilde{M}_\lambda) = \begin{cases} H^1(S^M_2, \tilde{M}_{s_2}^{\lambda}) \oplus H^1(S^M_2, \tilde{M}_{s_2}^{\lambda}) \oplus \mathbb{Q} \oplus \mathbb{Q}, & q = 2 \\ H^1(S^M_1, \tilde{M}_{s_1}^{\lambda}), & q = 1 \\ H^1(S^M_1, \tilde{M}_{s_1}^{\lambda}) \oplus H^1(S^M_2, \tilde{M}_{s_2}^{\lambda}), & q = 3 \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

### 4.9. Case 9: $m_1$ odd, $m_2$ odd. By checking the parity conditions for standard parabolics, following Lemmas 4 and 5 we see that $W^i(\lambda) = \emptyset$ for $i = 0, 1, 2$. This simply implies that

$$H^q(\partial S, \tilde{M}_\lambda) = 0, \quad \forall q.$$
5. Euler Characteristic

We quickly review the basics about Euler characteristic which is our important tool to obtain the information about Eisenstein cohomology discussed in the next section. The homological Euler characteristic $\chi_h$ of a group $\Gamma$ with coefficients in a representation $\mathcal{V}$ is defined by

$$\chi_h(\Gamma, \mathcal{V}) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} (-1)^i \dim H^i(\Gamma, \mathcal{V}).$$

For details on the above formula see [5, 27]. We recall the definition of orbifold Euler characteristic.

If $\Gamma$ is torsion free, then the orbifold Euler characteristic is defined as $\chi_{orb}(\Gamma) = \chi_h(\Gamma)$. If $\Gamma$ has torsion elements and admits a finite index torsion free subgroup $\Gamma'$, then the orbifold Euler characteristic of $\Gamma$ is given by

$$\chi_{orb}(\Gamma) = \frac{1}{[\Gamma : \Gamma']} \chi_h(\Gamma').$$

One important fact is that, following Minkowski, every arithmetic group of rank greater than one contains a torsion free finite index subgroup and therefore the concept of orbifold Euler characteristic is well defined in this setting. If $\Gamma$ has torsion elements then we make use of the following formula discovered by Wall in [29].

$$\chi_h(\Gamma, \mathcal{V}) = \sum_{(T)} \chi_{orb}(C(T)) \text{tr}(T^{-1} | \mathcal{V}).$$

Otherwise, we use the formula described in equation (16). The sum runs over all the conjugacy classes in $\Gamma$ of its torsion elements $T$, denoted by $(T)$, and $C(T)$ denotes the centralizer of $T$ in $\Gamma$. From now on, orbifold Euler characteristic $\chi_{orb}$ will be simply denoted by $\chi$. Orbifold Euler characteristic has the following properties.

1. If $\Gamma$ is finitely generated torsion free group then $\chi(\Gamma)$ is defined as $\chi_h(\Gamma, \mathbb{Q})$.
2. If $\Gamma$ is finite of order $|\Gamma|$ then $\chi(\Gamma) = \frac{1}{|\Gamma|}$.
3. Let $\Gamma, \Gamma_1$ and $\Gamma_2$ be groups such that $1 \to \Gamma_1 \to \Gamma \to \Gamma_2 \to 1$ is exact then $\chi(\Gamma) = \chi(\Gamma_1) \chi(\Gamma_2)$.

We now explain the use of the above properties by walking through the detailed computation of the Euler characteristic of $\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ and $\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ with respect to their highest weight representations, which we explain shortly.

We denote

$$T_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, T_4 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } T_6 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$ 

Then following [18], we know that when $\Gamma$ is $\text{GL}_n(\mathbb{Z})$ (or $\text{SL}_n(\mathbb{Z})$ with $n$ odd) one has an expression of the form

$$\chi_h(\Gamma, \mathcal{V}) = \sum_A \text{Res}(f_A) \chi(C(A)) \text{Tr}(A^{-1}|\mathcal{V}),$$

where $f_A$ denotes the characteristic polynomial of the matrix $A$.

Now we will explain equation (19) in detail. The summation is over all possible block diagonal matrices $A \in \Gamma$ satisfying the following conditions:

- The blocks in the diagonal belong to the set $\{1, -1, T_3, T_4, T_6\}$. 

The blocks $T_3, T_4$ and $T_6$ appear at most once and $1, -1$ appear at most twice.

A change in the order of the blocks in the diagonal does not count as a different element.

So, for example, if $n > 10$, the sum is empty and $\chi_h(\Gamma, \mathcal{V}) = 0$.

In this case, one can see that every $A$ satisfying these properties has the same eigenvalues as $A^{-1}$. Even more every such $A$ is conjugate, over $\mathbb{C}$, to $A^{-1}$ and therefore $Tr(A^{-1}\mathcal{V}) = Tr(A\mathcal{V})$. We will use these facts in what follows.

For other groups, the analogous formula of (18) is developed by Chiswell in [6]. Let us explain briefly the notation $\text{Res}(f)$. Let $f_1 = \prod_i (x - \alpha_i)$ and $f_2 = \prod_j (x - \beta_j)$ be two polynomials. Then by the resultant of $f_1$ and $f_2$, we mean $\text{Res}(f_1, f_2) = \prod_{i,j}(\alpha_i - \beta_j)$. If the characteristic polynomial $f$ is a power of an irreducible polynomial then we define $\text{Res}(f) = 1$. Let $f = f_1 f_2 \ldots f_d$, where each $f_i$ is a power of an irreducible polynomial over $\mathbb{Q}$ and they are relatively prime pairwise. Then, we define $\text{Res}(f) = \prod_{i<j} \text{Res}(f_i, f_j)$.

5.1. Example: Euler Characteristic of $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ and $GL_2(\mathbb{Z})$. Consider the group $\Gamma_0 = SL_2(\mathbb{Z})/\{\pm I_2\}$. For any subgroup $\Gamma \in SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ containing $-I_2$, we will denote by $\bar{\Gamma}$ its corresponding subgroup in $\Gamma_0$, i.e. $\bar{\Gamma} = \Gamma/\{\pm I_2\}$.

Consider the principal congruence subgroup $\Gamma(2)$. It is of index 6 and torsion free. More precisely, $\Gamma_0(2) \backslash \mathbb{H}$ is topologically $\mathbb{P}^1 - \{0, 1, \infty\}$. Therefore,
\[
\chi(\Gamma(2)) = \chi(\mathbb{P}^1 - \{0, 1, \infty\}) = \chi(\mathbb{P}^1) - 3 = 2 - 3 = -1.
\]
Using this we immediately get
\[
\chi(\Gamma(2)) = \chi(\bar{\Gamma}(2))\chi(\{\pm I_2\}) = -1 \times \frac{1}{2} = -\frac{1}{2}.
\]
Considering the following short exact sequence
\[
1 \rightarrow \Gamma(2) \rightarrow SL_2(\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow SL_2(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow 1
\]
we obtain $\chi(SL_2(\mathbb{Z})) = -\frac{1}{12}$ and $\chi(\bar{\Gamma}_0) = -\frac{1}{6}$. Similarly, the exact sequence
\[
1 \rightarrow SL_2(\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow GL_2(\mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{\text{det}} \{\pm I_2\} \rightarrow 1
\]
where $\text{det}: GL_2(\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \{\pm I_2\}$ is simply the determinant map, gives $\chi(GL_2(\mathbb{Z})) = -\frac{1}{12}$.

For any torsion free arithmetic subgroup $\Gamma \subset SL_n(\mathbb{R})$ we have the Gauss-Bonnet formula
\[
\chi_h(\Gamma \backslash X) = \int_{\Gamma \backslash X} \omega_{GB}
\]
where $\omega_{GB}$ is the Gauss-Bonnet-Chern differential form and $X = SL_n(\mathbb{R})/SO(n, \mathbb{R})$, see [10]. This differential form is zero if $n > 2$ and therefore for any torsion free congruence subgroup $\Gamma \subset SL_n(\mathbb{Z})$, $\chi_h(\Gamma \backslash X) = 0$. In particular, by the definition of orbifold Euler characteristic given by [17], this implies that $\chi(SL_3(\mathbb{Z})) = 0$. We will make use of this fact in the calculation of the homological Euler characteristic of $SL_3(\mathbb{Z})$.

In the preceding analysis, all the $\chi(\Gamma)$ have been computed with respect to the trivial coefficient system. In case of nontrivial coefficient system, the whole game of computing $\chi(\Gamma)$ becomes slightly delicate and interesting. To deliver the taste of its complication we quickly motivate the reader by reviewing the computations of $\chi(SL_2(\mathbb{Z}), V_m)$ and $\chi(GL_2(\mathbb{Z}), V_{m_1, m_2})$ where $V_m$ and $V_{m_1, m_2}$ are the highest weight irreducible representations of $SL_2$ and $GL_2$ respectively. For notational convenience we will always denote the standard representation of $SL_n(\mathbb{Z})$ and $GL_n(\mathbb{Z})$ by $V$. In
case of $\text{SL}_2$ and $\text{GL}_2$, all the highest weight representations are of the form $V_m := \text{Sym}^m V$ and $V_{m_1,m_2} := \text{Sym}^{m_1} V \otimes \text{det}^{m_2}$ respectively. Here $\text{Sym}^m V$ denotes the $m^{th}$-symmetric power of the standard representation $V$.

Let $\Phi_n$ be the $n$-th cyclotomic polynomial then we list all the characteristic polynomials of torsion elements in $\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ and $\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ in the following table.

| S.No | Polynomial | Expanded form | In $\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ | S.No | Polynomial | Expanded form | In $\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ |
|------|------------|--------------|------------------|------|------------|--------------|------------------|
| 1    | $\Phi_1^2$ | $(x-1)^2$    | Yes              | 2    | $\Phi_1 \Phi_2$ | $(x-1)(x+1)$ | No               |
| 3    | $\Phi_2^2$ | $(x+1)^2$    | Yes              | 4    | $\Phi_3$    | $x^2 + x + 1$ | Yes              |
| 5    | $\Phi_4$   | $x^2 + 1$    | Yes              | 6    | $\Phi_6$    | $x^2 - x + 1$ | Yes              |

Table 2: Torsion elements in $\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$.

Following equation (18), we compute the traces of all the torsion elements $T$ in $\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ and $\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ with respect to the highest weight representations $V_m$ and $V_{m_1,m_2}$ for $\text{SL}_2$ and $\text{GL}_2$ respectively.

For any torsion element $T \in \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$, we define

$$H_m(T) := Tr(T^{-1}|V_m) = Tr(T^{-1}|\text{Sym}^m V) = \sum_{a+b=m} \lambda_1^a \lambda_2^b.$$ 

where $\lambda_1$ and $\lambda_2$ are the two eigenvalues of $T$. From now on we simply denote the representative of $n$ torsion element $T$ by its characteristic polynomial $\Phi_n$. Therefore,

| Case | $T$     | $\Phi_n$ | $C(T)$ | $\chi(C(T))$ | $H_m(T)$ |
|------|---------|----------|--------|--------------|----------|
| A    | $I_2$   | $\Phi_1^2$ | $\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ | $-\frac{1}{12}$ | $m + 1$ |
| B    | $-I_2$  | $\Phi_2^2$ | $\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ | $-\frac{1}{12}$ | $(-1)^m(m + 1)$ |
| C    | $\left(\frac{0}{1 \ -1}, \frac{1}{-1 \ 0}\right)^2$ | $\Phi_4$ | $C_6$ | $\frac{1}{12}$ | $(1, -1, 0)$ |
| D    | $\left(\frac{0}{1 \ -1}, \frac{1}{1 \ 0}\right)^2$ | $\Phi_4$ | $C_4$ | $\frac{1}{12}$ | $(1, 0, -1, 0)$ |
| E    | $\left(\frac{0}{1 \ -1}, \frac{1}{1 \ 0}\right)^2$ | $\Phi_6$ | $C_6$ | $\frac{1}{12}$ | $(1, 1, 0, -1, -1, 0)$ |

Table 3: Traces of torsion elements of $\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$.

Now following equations (16) and (18)

$\chi_h(\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}), V_m) = -\frac{1}{12} H_m(\Phi_1^2) - \frac{1}{12} H_m(\Phi_2^2) + \frac{2}{6} H_m(\Phi_3) + \frac{2}{4} H_m(\Phi_4) + \frac{2}{6} H_m(\Phi_6)$. 

We obtain the values of $\chi_h(\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}), V_m)$ by computing each factor of the above equation (20) up to modulo 12. All these values can be found in the last column of the Table 5 below.

Similarly, let us discuss the $\chi_h(\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}), V_{m_1,m_2})$. One has the following table,

$^1(1, -1, 0)$ signifies $H_{3k}(T) = 1$, $H_{3k+1}(T) = -1$ and $H_{3k+2}(T) = 0$. 

Now following equations (16) and (19)

\[ \chi_h(\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}), V_{m_1, m_2}) = -\frac{1}{24} H_{m_1, m_2}(\Phi_1^2) - \frac{1}{24} H_{m_1, m_2}(\Phi_2^2) - \frac{2}{4} H_{m_1, m_2}(\Phi_1 \Phi_2) + \frac{1}{6} H_{m_1, m_2}(\Phi_3) + \frac{1}{4} H_{m_1, m_2}(\Phi_4) + \frac{1}{6} H_{m_1, m_2}(\Phi_6). \]

Same as in the case of $\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$, we obtain the values of $\chi_h(\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}), V_{m_1, m_2})$ by computing each factor of the above equation (21) up to $m_1$ modulo 12 and $m_2$ modulo 2. All these values are encoded in the second and third column of the Table 5 below. Note that in what follows $V_m$ will denote $V_{m, 0}$ when it is considered as a representation of $\text{GL}_2$.

It is well known that

\[ S_{m+2} = H_{\text{cusp}}^1(\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}), V_m \otimes \mathbb{C}) \subset H^1(\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}), V_m \otimes \mathbb{C}) \subset H^1(\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}), V_m). \]

One can show that in fact these inclusions are isomorphisms because $H^1(\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}), \mathbb{C}) = 0$, and for $m > 0$ we have $H^0(\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}), V_m) = H^2(\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}), V_m) = 0$ and therefore

\[ \dim H^1(\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}), V_m) = -\chi_h(\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}), V_m) = \dim S_{m+2}. \]

Hence, we may conclude that for all $m$

\[ H_{\text{cusp}}^1(\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}), V_m \otimes \mathbb{C}) = H^1(\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}), V_m \otimes \mathbb{C}) = H^1(\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}), V_m) \otimes \mathbb{C}. \]

**Remark 4.** Note that if we do not want to get into the transcendental aspects of the theory of cusp forms (Eichler-Shimura isomorphism) then we could get the dimension of $S_{m+2}$ by using the information given in Section 2.1.3 from Chapter 2 of [15].

---

\(2(1, -1, 0)\) signifies that for $m_1 = 3k$ or $3k + 1$ or $3k + 2$, we have $H_{3k, m_2}(T) = 1$, $H_{3k+1, m_2}(T) = -1$ and $H_{3k+2, m_2}(T) = 0$, independently of $m_2$. Similarly, $m_1$ is taken modulo 4 in Case E, and modulo 6 in Case F.
We present the following isomorphism for intuition. One can recover a simple proof by using the data of the Table 5 and the Kostant formula.

\[
H^1(\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}), V_m) = H^1_{\text{Eis}}(\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}), V_m) \oplus H^1_{\text{Eis}}(\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}), V_m \otimes \text{det}) \oplus H^1_{\text{Eis}}(\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}), V_m) = H^1_{\text{Eis}}(\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}), V_m \otimes \text{det}) \oplus H^1_{\text{Eis}}(\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}), V_m) \oplus H^1_{\text{Eis}}(\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}), V_m) \oplus H^1_{\text{Eis}}(\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}), V_m)
\]

5.2. Torsion Elements in \(\text{SL}_3(\mathbb{Z})\). Following equation (18) and above discussion, we know that in order to compute \(\chi_h(\text{SL}_3(\mathbb{Z}), V)\) with respect to the coefficient system \(V\), we need to know the conjugacy classes of all torsion elements. To do that we divide the study into the possible characteristic polynomials of the representatives of these conjugacy classes, and these are:

| S.No. | Polynomial | Expanded form | In \(\text{SL}_3(\mathbb{Z})\) | S.No. | Polynomial | Expanded form | In \(\text{SL}_3(\mathbb{Z})\) |
|-------|------------|---------------|-------------------------------|-------|------------|---------------|-------------------------------|
| 1     | \(\Phi_1^3\) | \((x - 1)^3\) | Yes                          | 2     | \(\Phi_1^2\Phi_2\) | \((x - 1)^2(x + 1)\) | No                           |
| 3     | \(\Phi_1\Phi_2^2\) | \((x - 1)(x + 1)^2\) | Yes                          | 4     | \(\Phi_1\Phi_3\) | \((x - 1)(x^2 + x + 1)\) | Yes                          |
| 5     | \(\Phi_1\Phi_4\) | \((x - 1)(x^2 + 1)\) | Yes                          | 6     | \(\Phi_1\Phi_6\) | \((x - 1)(x^2 - x + 1)\) | Yes                          |
| 7     | \(\Phi_2^3\) | \((x + 1)^3\) | No                           | 8     | \(\Phi_2\Phi_4\) | \((x + 1)(x^2 + x + 1)\) | No                           |
Following equation (18), we compute the traces $Tr(T^{-1}|M_\lambda)$ of all the torsion elements $T$ in $\text{SL}_3(\mathbb{Z})$ and $\text{GL}_3(\mathbb{Z})$ with respect to highest weight coefficient system $M_\lambda$ where $\lambda = \lambda_1 \epsilon_1 + \lambda_2 (\epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2)$ and $\lambda = \lambda_1 \epsilon_1 + \lambda_2 (\epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2) + \lambda_3 (\epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2 + \epsilon_3)$ for $\text{SL}_3$ and $\text{GL}_3$, respectively.

Before moving to the next step, we will explain the reader about the use of the notation $M_\lambda$. For convenience and to make the role of the coefficients $m_1, m_2$ in case of $\text{SL}_3(\mathbb{Z})$ and $m_1, m_2, m_3$ in case of $\text{GL}_3(\mathbb{Z})$ as clear as possible in the highest weight $\lambda$, we will often use these coefficients in the subscript of the notation $M_\lambda$ in place of $\lambda$, i.e. we write

$$M_\lambda := \begin{cases}M_{m_1,m_2}, & \text{for SL}_3 \\M_{m_1,m_2,m_3}, & \text{for GL}_3\end{cases}.$$

For any torsion element $T \in \text{SL}_3(\mathbb{Z})$, we define

$$H_m(T) := Tr(T^{-1}|M_m) = Tr(T^{-1}|\text{Sym}^m V) = \sum_{a+b+c=m} \mu_1^a \mu_2^b \mu_3^c.$$

where $\mu_1, \mu_2$ and $\mu_3$ are the eigenvalues of $T$ and $V$ denotes the standard representation of $\text{SL}_3$ (and $\text{GL}_3$). Note that $M_m$ above simply denotes the highest weight representation $M_{m,0}$ of $\text{SL}_3$. We also use the notation

$$H_{m_1,m_2}(\Phi) := Tr(T^{-1}|M_{m_1,m_2}) \quad \text{and} \quad H_{m_1,m_2,m_3}(\Phi) := Tr(T^{-1}|M_{m_1,m_2,m_3}),$$

where $T$ is a torsion element with characteristic polynomial $\Phi$. Therefore,

| Case | $T$ | $\Phi_n$ | $C(T)$ | $\chi(C(T))$ | $\text{Res}(f,g)$ | $\text{Res}(f,g)\chi(C(T))$ |
|------|-----|---------|-------|-------------|----------------|----------------------------|
| A    | $I_3$ | $\Phi_1^3$ | $\text{SL}_3(\mathbb{Z})$ | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| B    | $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$ | $\Phi_1 \Phi_2^2$ | $\text{GL}_3(\mathbb{Z})$ | $-\frac{1}{3}$ | 4 | $-\frac{1}{8}$ |
| C    | $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$ | $\Phi_1 \Phi_3$ | $C_6$ | $\frac{1}{6}$ | 3 | $\frac{1}{2}$ |
Let \( \mathcal{M}_{m_1, m_2} \) denote the irreducible representation of \( \text{SL}_3 \) with highest weight \( \lambda = m_1 \epsilon_1 + m_2 (\epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2) \). Following equations (16) and (19) we have

\[
\chi_h(\text{SL}_3(\mathbb{Z}), \mathcal{M}_{m_1, m_2}) = -\frac{1}{6} H_{m_1, m_2}(\Phi_1 \Phi_2^2) + \frac{1}{2} H_{m_1, m_2}(\Phi_1 \Phi_3) + \frac{1}{6} H_{m_1, m_2}(\Phi_1 \Phi_6).
\]

To obtain the complete information of \( \chi_h(\text{SL}_3(\mathbb{Z}), \mathcal{M}_{m_1, m_2}) \), let us compute the \( H_{m_1, m_2}(\Phi_1 \Phi_2^2) \), \( H_{m_1, m_2}(\Phi_1 \Phi_3) \), \( H_{m_1, m_2}(\Phi_1 \Phi_4) \) and \( H_{m_1, m_2}(\Phi_1 \Phi_6) \). One could do this by using the Weyl character formula as defined in Chapter 24 of [8].

\[
H_{m_1, m_2}(\Phi_1 \Phi_k) = \text{det} \left( \begin{array}{cc} H_{m_1 + m_2}(\Phi_1 \Phi_k) & H_{m_1 + m_2 + 1}(\Phi_1 \Phi_k) \\ H_{m_2 - 1}(\Phi_1 \Phi_k) & H_{m_2}(\Phi_1 \Phi_k) \end{array} \right),
\]

but we will use another argument to calculate these traces. For that we consider the case \( \text{GL}_3(\mathbb{Z}) \) and obtain the needed results as a corollary.

**Lemma 5.** Let \( \xi_k = e^{2\pi i k} \), then

\[
H_{m_1, m_2, m_3}(\Phi_1 \Phi_k) = \sum_{p_1=m_2+m_3}^{m_2+m_3} \sum_{p_2=m_3}^{m_2} \sum_{q=p_2}^{p_1} \xi_k^{2q-(p_1+p_2)},
\]

for \( k = 3, 4, 6 \) and

\[
H_{m_1, m_2, m_3}(\Phi_1 \Phi_2^2) = \sum_{p_1=m_2+m_3}^{m_1+m_2+m_3} \sum_{p_2=m_3}^{m_2+m_3} \sum_{q=p_2}^{p_1} \xi_2^{2q-(p_1+p_2)}.
\]

**Proof.** We use the description of \( \mathcal{M}_{m_1, m_2, m_3} \) given in [9]. In particular, one has a basis

\[
\left\{ L \left( \begin{array}{c} p_1 p_2 \\ q \end{array} \right) \mid m_1 + m_2 + m_3 \geq p_1 \geq m_2 + m_3, m_2 + m_3 \geq p_2 \geq m_3, p_1 \geq q \geq p_2 \right\}
\]

such that under the action of \( \text{gl}_3 \),

\[
(E_{1,1} - E_{2,2}) \left( L \left( \begin{array}{c} p_1 p_2 \\ q \end{array} \right) \right) = (2q - (p_1 + p_2))L \left( \begin{array}{c} p_1 p_2 \\ q \end{array} \right).
\]
If we denote by $\rho_{m_1,m_2,m_3}$ the representation corresponding to $M_{m_1,m_2,m_3}$ then the diagram

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
GL_3(\mathbb{C}) & \xrightarrow{\rho_{m_1,m_2,m_3}} & GL(M_{m_1,m_2,m_3}) \\
\uparrow & & \uparrow
\\
gl_3(\mathbb{C}) & \xrightarrow{d\rho_{m_1,m_2,m_3}} & gl(M_{m_1,m_2,m_3})
\end{array}
$$

is commutative. Therefore

$$
\left( \begin{array}{ccc}
\xi_k & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \xi_k^{-1} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array} \right) L \left( \begin{array}{c}
p_1p_2 \\
q
\end{array} \right) = \xi_k^{2q-(p_1+p_2)} L \left( \begin{array}{c}
p_1p_2 \\
q
\end{array} \right)
$$

and the result follows simply by using the fact that

$$
H_{m_1,m_2,m_3}(\Phi_1\Phi_k) = Tr \left( \left( \begin{array}{ccc}
\xi_k & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \xi_k^{-1} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array} \right) . M_{m_1,m_2,m_3} \right).
$$

We denote $C_k(p_1,p_2) = \sum_{q=p_2}^{p_1} \xi_k^{2q-(p_1+p_2)}$, for $k = 2, 3, 4, 6$. By using the fact that

$$
\xi_k^{2(p_1+p_2-j)-(p_1+p_2)} = \xi_k^{-2j} = (\xi_k^{2j})^{-1} = (\xi_k^{2(p_1+p_2)+(j-(p_1+p_2))})^{-1} \quad \forall j \in \mathbb{Z},
$$

one has that

$$
C_k(p_1,p_2) = \begin{cases} 
1 + \sum_{q=p_2}^{p_1} \xi_k^{2q-(p_1+p_2)} + (\xi_k^{2q-(p_1+p_2)})^{-1}, & p_1 \equiv p_2 (mod 2) \\
\sum_{q=p_2}^{p_1} \xi_k^{2q-(p_1+p_2)} + (\xi_k^{2q-(p_1+p_2)})^{-1}, & otherwise
\end{cases}
$$

**Lemma 6.**

$$
C_6(p_1,p_2) = \begin{cases} 
1, & p_1 - p_2 \equiv 0 (mod 6) \\
1, & p_1 - p_2 \equiv 1 (mod 6) \\
0, & p_1 - p_2 \equiv 2 (mod 6) \\
-1, & p_1 - p_2 \equiv 3 (mod 6) \\
-1, & p_1 - p_2 \equiv 4 (mod 6) \\
0, & p_1 - p_2 \equiv 5 (mod 6)
\end{cases}
$$
Proof. One can check that

\[ \xi_6^\ell + \xi_6^{-\ell} = \begin{cases} 
2, & \ell \equiv 0(\text{mod } 6) \\
1, & \ell \equiv 1(\text{mod } 6) \\
-1, & \ell \equiv 2(\text{mod } 6) \\
-2, & \ell \equiv 3(\text{mod } 6) \\
-1, & \ell \equiv 4(\text{mod } 6) \\
1, & \ell \equiv 5(\text{mod } 6)
\end{cases}, \]

This implies that for every integer \( \ell \),

\[ \sum_{j=1}^{3} \xi_6^{\ell+2j} + \xi_6^{-(\ell+2j)} = 0, \]

in other words, the sum of three consecutive terms in the formula for \( C_6(p_1, p_2) \) is zero and \( C_6(p_1, p_2) \) only depends on \( p_1 - p_2 \) modulo 6. \( \square \)

Following the similar procedure we deduce the values of \( C_4(p_1, p_2) \) and \( C_3(p_1, p_2) \) which we summarize in the following lemma.

**Lemma 7.**

\[ C_4(p_1, p_2) = \begin{cases} 
1, & p_1 - p_2 \equiv 0(\text{mod } 4) \\
0, & p_1 - p_2 \equiv 1(\text{mod } 4) \\
-1, & p_1 - p_2 \equiv 2(\text{mod } 4) \\
0, & p_1 - p_2 \equiv 3(\text{mod } 4)
\end{cases}, \]

and

\[ C_3(p_1, p_2) = \begin{cases} 
1, & p_1 - p_2 \equiv 0(\text{mod } 3) \\
-1, & p_1 - p_2 \equiv 1(\text{mod } 3) \\
0, & p_1 - p_2 \equiv 2(\text{mod } 3)
\end{cases}. \]

**Remark 8.** For \( k = 3, 4, 6 \), the sum of the \( C_k(p_1, p_2) \) for the different possible congruences of \( p_1 - p_2 \) modulo \( k \) is zero, and this implies that

\[ H_{m_1, m_2, m_3}(\Phi_1\Phi_k) = \sum_{p_1=m_2+m_3}^{m_1+m_2+m_3} \sum_{p_2=m_3}^{m_2+m_3} C_k(p_1, p_2) \]

depends only on the congruences of \( m_1, m_2 \) and \( m_3 \) modulo \( k \).

Following the above discussion, it is straightforward to prove the following
Lemma 9. For \( m_1, m_2, m_3 \in \mathbb{N} \) and \( k = 3, 4, 6 \), let \( i \) and \( j \) be \((m_1 \mod k) + 1\) and \((m_2 \mod k) + 1\) respectively. Then \( H_{m_1, m_2, m_3}(\Phi_1 \Phi_k) \) is the \((i, j)\)-entry of the matrix \( M_k \) where

\[
M_6 = \begin{pmatrix}
1 & 2 & 2 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
2 & 3 & 2 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\
2 & 2 & 0 & -2 & -2 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & -2 & -3 & -2 & 0 \\
0 & -1 & -2 & -2 & -1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{pmatrix},
M_4 = \begin{pmatrix}
1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\
0 & -1 & -1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{pmatrix}
\text{ and } M_3 = \begin{pmatrix}
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & -1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0
\end{pmatrix}.
\]

Proof. We have

\[
C_2(p_1, p_2) = \begin{cases}
p_1 - p_2 + 1, & p_1 \equiv p_2 \mod 2 \\
-(p_1 - p_2 + 1), & \text{otherwise}
\end{cases},
\]

and

\[
H_{m_1, m_2, m_3}(\Phi_1 \Phi_2^2) = \sum_{p_1 = m_2 + m_3}^{m_1 + m_2 + m_3} \sum_{p_2 = m_3} (-1)^{p_1 + p_2} (p_1 - p_2 + 1).
\]

We now make a case by case study with respect to the parity of \( m_1 \) and \( m_2 \). If \( m_2 \) is even then for a fixed \( p_1 \),

\[
\sum_{p_2 = m_3} (-1)^{p_1 + p_2} (p_1 - p_2 + 1) = (-1)^{p_1 + m_3} \left( p_1 + 1 - \frac{m_2}{2} - m_3 \right).
\]

Moreover, If \( m_1 \) is even then

\[
H_{m_1, m_2, m_3}(\Phi_1 \Phi_2^2) = \sum_{p_1 = m_2 + m_3}^{m_1 + m_2 + m_3} (-1)^{p_1 + m_3} \left( p_1 + 1 - \frac{m_2}{2} - m_3 \right) = 1 + \frac{m_1 + m_2}{2}.
\]

On the other hand, if \( m_1 \) is odd then

\[
H_{m_1, m_2, m_3}(\Phi_1 \Phi_2^2) = \sum_{p_1 = m_2 + m_3}^{m_1 + m_2 + m_3} (-1)^{p_1 + m_3} \left( p_1 + 1 - \frac{m_2}{2} \right) = -\frac{m_1 + 1}{2}.
\]

Now, if \( m_2 \) is odd then for a fixed \( p_1 \),

\[
\sum_{p_2 = m_3} (-1)^{p_1 + p_2} (p_1 - p_2 + 1) = (-1)^{p_1 + m_3} \left( \frac{m_2 + 1}{2} \right)
\]

and this depends only on the parity of \( p_1 \). Hence,

\[
H_{m_1, m_2, m_3}(\Phi_1 \Phi_2^2) = \begin{cases}
-\frac{m_2 + 1}{2}, & p_1 \equiv 0 \mod 2 \\
0, & \text{otherwise}
\end{cases}.
\]
5.3. Euler Characteristic of $\text{SL}_3(\mathbb{Z})$ with respect to the highest weight representations. We compute the $\chi_h(\text{SL}_3(\mathbb{Z}), M_{m_1, m_2})$ in the following table by computing each factor of the above equation \[\text{(23)}\] up to modulo 12, which is achieved simply by following the discussion of previous Subsection 5.2 and more explicitly from Lemma 9 and Lemma 10. All these values are encoded in the following table consisting of 144 entries where rows run from $0 \leq i \leq 11$ representing $m_1 \equiv i (mod 12)$ and columns runs through $0 \leq j \leq 11$ representing $m_2 \equiv j (mod 12)$. To accommodate the data with the available space, the table has been divided into two different tables of order 12 × 6 each. In the first table (Table 8) $j$ runs from $0 (mod 12)$ to 5$(mod 12)$ and in the second table (Table 9) from 6$(mod 12)$ to 11$(mod 12)$ and in both tables $i$ runs from 0$(mod 12)$ to 11$(mod 12)$.

Once the entries of the table are computed, we get complete information about the Euler characteristics of $\text{SL}_3(\mathbb{Z})$ which is summarized in the following

**Theorem 11.** The Euler characteristics of $\text{SL}_3(\mathbb{Z})$ with coefficient in any highest weight representation $M_{m_1, m_2}$, can be described by one of the following four cases, depending on the parity of $m_1$ and $m_2$. More precisely,

\[
\chi_h(\text{SL}_3(\mathbb{Z}), M_{m_1, m_2}) = \begin{cases} 
-1 - \dim S_{m_1+2} - \dim S_{m_2+2}, & m_1, m_2 \text{ both even} \\
- \dim S_{m_1+2} + \dim S_{m_1+m_2+3}, & m_1 \text{ even}, m_2 \text{ odd} \\
- \dim S_{m_2+2} + \dim S_{m_1+m_2+3}, & m_1 \text{ odd}, m_2 \text{ even} \\
0, & m_1, m_2 \text{ both odd}
\end{cases}
\]

where $S_{m+2}$, as described earlier in Section 5.1 by equation \[\text{(22)}\], is the space of holomorphic cusp forms of weight $m + 2$ for $\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$, and for $m = 0$ we define $\dim S_2 = -1$.

For the reader’s convenience, the dimension of the space of cusp forms $S_{m+2}$ is given by

\[
\dim S_{12\ell+2+i} = \begin{cases} 
\ell - 1 & \text{if } i = 0 \\
\ell & \text{if } i = 2, 4, 6, 8 \\
\ell + 1 & \text{if } i = 10 \\
0 & \text{if } i \text{ is odd}
\end{cases}
\]

5.4. Euler Characteristic of $\text{GL}_3(\mathbb{Z})$ with respect to the highest weight representations. This subsection is merely an example to reveal the fact that the results obtained for $\text{SL}_3(\mathbb{Z})$ can easily be extended to $\text{GL}_3(\mathbb{Z})$. However, This can also be easily concluded by using the Lemma 17 which appears later in Section 6.

Let $T$ be any torsion element of $\text{GL}_3(\mathbb{Z})$. Then $H_m(-T) = (-1)^m H_m(T)$. Therefore

\[
H_m(T) + H_m(-T) = \begin{cases} 
2H_m(T), & m \equiv 0 (mod 2) \\
0, & m \equiv 1 (mod 2)
\end{cases}
\]

For any $T \in \text{SL}_3(\mathbb{Z})$, $C_{\text{GL}_3(\mathbb{Z})}(T) = \{\pm I\} \times C_{\text{SL}_3(\mathbb{Z})}(T)$. This implies that

\[
\chi_{\text{orb}}(C_{\text{GL}_3(\mathbb{Z})}(T)) = \frac{1}{2} \chi_{\text{orb}}(C_{\text{SL}_3(\mathbb{Z})}(T)).
\]
\[-\frac{1}{12}(m_1 + m_2) + 1 \quad \frac{1}{12}(m_2 - 1) + 1 \quad -\frac{1}{12}(m_1 + m_2 - 2) \quad \frac{1}{12}(m_2 - 3) + 1 \quad -\frac{1}{12}(m_1 + m_2 - 4) \quad \frac{1}{12}(m_2 - 5) + 1\]

\[\frac{1}{12}(m_1 - 1) + 1 \quad 0 \quad \frac{1}{12}(m_1 - 1) \quad 0 \quad \frac{1}{12}(m_1 - 1) \quad 0\]

\[-\frac{1}{12}(m_1 + m_2 - 2) \quad \frac{1}{12}(m_2 - 1) \quad -\frac{1}{12}(m_1 + m_2 - 4) + 1 \quad \frac{1}{12}(m_2 - 3) \quad -\frac{1}{12}(m_1 + m_2 - 6) - 1 \quad \frac{1}{12}(m_2 - 5)\]

\[\frac{1}{12}(m_1 - 3) + 1 \quad 0 \quad \frac{1}{12}(m_1 - 3) \quad 0 \quad \frac{1}{12}(m_1 - 3) \quad 0\]

\[-\frac{1}{12}(m_1 + m_2 - 4) \quad \frac{1}{12}(m_2 - 1) \quad -\frac{1}{12}(m_1 + m_2 - 6) - 1 \quad \frac{1}{12}(m_2 - 3) \quad -\frac{1}{12}(m_1 + m_2 - 8) - 1 \quad \frac{1}{12}(m_2 - 5) + 1\]

\[\frac{1}{12}(m_1 - 5) + 1 \quad 0 \quad \frac{1}{12}(m_1 - 5) \quad 0 \quad \frac{1}{12}(m_1 - 5) + 1 \quad 0\]

\[-\frac{1}{12}(m_1 + m_2 - 6) \quad \frac{1}{12}(m_2 - 1) \quad -\frac{1}{12}(m_1 + m_2 - 8) - 1 \quad \frac{1}{12}(m_2 - 3) + 1 \quad -\frac{1}{12}(m_1 + m_2 - 10) - 1 \quad \frac{1}{12}(m_2 - 5)\]

\[\frac{1}{12}(m_1 - 7) + 1 \quad 0 \quad \frac{1}{12}(m_1 - 7) + 1 \quad 0 \quad \frac{1}{12}(m_1 - 7) \quad 0\]

\[-\frac{1}{12}(m_1 + m_2 - 8) \quad \frac{1}{12}(m_2 - 1) + 1 \quad -\frac{1}{12}(m_1 + m_2 - 10) - 1 \quad \frac{1}{12}(m_2 - 3) \quad -\frac{1}{12}(m_1 + m_2 - 12) - 1 \quad \frac{1}{12}(m_2 - 5) + 1\]

\[\frac{1}{12}(m_1 - 9) + 2 \quad 0 \quad \frac{1}{12}(m_1 - 9) \quad 0 \quad \frac{1}{12}(m_1 - 9) + 1 \quad 0\]

\[-\frac{1}{12}(m_1 + m_2 - 10) - 1 \quad \frac{1}{12}(m_2 - 1) - 1 \quad -\frac{1}{12}(m_1 + m_2 - 12) - 2 \quad \frac{1}{12}(m_2 - 3) \quad -\frac{1}{12}(m_1 + m_2 - 14) - 2 \quad \frac{1}{12}(m_2 - 5)\]

\[\frac{1}{12}(m_1 - 11) + 1 \quad 0 \quad \frac{1}{12}(m_1 - 11) + 1 \quad 0 \quad \frac{1}{12}(m_1 - 11) + 1 \quad 0\]

**Table 8.** First table comprising 72 values of $\chi_h(\text{SL}_3(\mathbb{Z}), M_{m_1,m_2})$ with rows $0 \leq m_1 \leq 11$ and columns $0 \leq m_2 \leq 5$ both (mod 12).
\[
\begin{array}{cccccccc}
-\frac{1}{12}(m_1 + m_2 - 6) & \frac{1}{12}(m_2 - 7) + 1 & -\frac{1}{12}(m_1 + m_2 - 8) & \frac{1}{12}(m_2 - 9) + 2 & -\frac{1}{12}(m_1 + m_2 - 10) - 1 & \frac{1}{12}(m_2 - 11) + 1 \\
\frac{1}{12}(m_1 - 1) & 0 & \frac{1}{12}(m_1 - 1) + 1 & 0 & \frac{1}{12}(m_1 - 1) - 1 & 0 \\
-\frac{1}{12}(m_1 + m_2 - 8) - 1 & \frac{1}{12}(m_2 - 7) + 1 & -\frac{1}{12}(m_1 + m_2 - 10) - 1 & \frac{1}{12}(m_2 - 9) & -\frac{1}{12}(m_1 + m_2 - 12) - 2 & \frac{1}{12}(m_2 - 11) + 1 \\
\frac{1}{12}(m_1 - 3) + 1 & 0 & \frac{1}{12}(m_1 - 3) & 0 & \frac{1}{12}(m_1 - 3) & 0 \\
-\frac{1}{12}(m_1 + m_2 - 10) - 1 & \frac{1}{12}(m_2 - 7) & -\frac{1}{12}(m_1 + m_2 - 12) - 1 & \frac{1}{12}(m_2 - 9) + 1 & -\frac{1}{12}(m_1 + m_2 - 14) - 2 & \frac{1}{12}(m_2 - 11) + 1 \\
\frac{1}{12}(m_1 - 5) & 0 & \frac{1}{12}(m_1 - 5) + 1 & 0 & \frac{1}{12}(m_1 - 5) & 0 \\
-\frac{1}{12}(m_1 + m_2 - 12) - 1 & \frac{1}{12}(m_2 - 7) + 1 & -\frac{1}{12}(m_1 + m_2 - 14) - 1 & \frac{1}{12}(m_2 - 9) + 1 & -\frac{1}{12}(m_1 + m_2 - 16) - 2 & \frac{1}{12}(m_2 - 11) + 1 \\
\frac{1}{12}(m_1 - 7) + 1 & 0 & \frac{1}{12}(m_1 - 7) + 1 & 0 & \frac{1}{12}(m_1 - 7) & 0 \\
-\frac{1}{12}(m_1 + m_2 - 14) - 1 & \frac{1}{12}(m_2 - 7) + 1 & -\frac{1}{12}(m_1 + m_2 - 16) - 1 & \frac{1}{12}(m_2 - 9) + 1 & -\frac{1}{12}(m_1 + m_2 - 18) - 2 & \frac{1}{12}(m_2 - 11) + 1 \\
\frac{1}{12}(m_1 - 9) + 1 & 0 & \frac{1}{12}(m_1 - 9) + 1 & 0 & \frac{1}{12}(m_1 - 9) & 0 \\
-\frac{1}{12}(m_1 + m_2 - 16) - 2 & \frac{1}{12}(m_2 - 7) & -\frac{1}{12}(m_1 + m_2 - 18) - 2 & \frac{1}{12}(m_2 - 9) & -\frac{1}{12}(m_1 + m_2 - 20) - 3 & \frac{1}{12}(m_2 - 11) + 1 \\
\frac{1}{12}(m_1 - 11) + 1 & 0 & \frac{1}{12}(m_1 - 11) + 1 & 0 & \frac{1}{12}(m_1 - 11) + 1 & 0 \\
\end{array}
\]

Table 9. Second half of the total 144 entries, comprising 72 values of \( \chi_h(\text{SL}_3(\mathbb{Z}), \mathcal{M}_{m_1,m_2}) \) with rows \( 0 \leq m_1 \leq 11 \) and columns \( 6 \leq m_2 \leq 11 \) both \( (mod \, 12) \).
This gives
\[ \chi_{orb}(C_{GL3}(Z)(T))H_m(T) + \chi_{orb}(C_{GL3}(Z)(-T))H_m(-T) = \begin{cases} \chi_{orb}(C_{SL3}(Z)(T))H_m(T), & m \equiv 0(\text{mod} 2) \\
0, & m \equiv 1(\text{mod} 2) \end{cases}. \]

Therefore,
\[ \chi_{h}(GL_3(Z), Sym^m V) = \begin{cases} \chi_{h}(SL_3(Z), Sym^m V), & m \equiv 0(\text{mod} 2) \\
0, & m \equiv 1(\text{mod} 2) \end{cases}. \]

More generally, following the Weyl character formula, for any torsion element \( T \in GL_3(Z) \), we write
\[ H_{m_1,m_2,m_3}(T) = (-1)^{m_1+2m_2+3m_3}H_{m_1,m_2,m_3}(T) = (-1)^{m_1+m_2}H_{m_1,m_2,m_3}(T). \]
This implies that
\[ \chi_{h}(GL_3(Z), M_{m_1,m_2,m_3}) = \begin{cases} \chi_{h}(SL_3(Z), M_{m_1,m_2}), & m_1 + m_2 \equiv 0(\text{mod} 2) \\
0, & m_1 + m_3 \equiv 1(\text{mod} 2) \end{cases}. \]

6. Eisenstein Cohomology

In this section, by using the information obtained about boundary cohomology and Euler characteristic of \( SL_3(Z) \), we discuss the Eisenstein cohomology with coefficients in \( M_\lambda \). We define the Eisenstein cohomology as the image of the restriction morphism to the boundary cohomology
\[ r : H^\bullet(S, \widetilde{M}_\lambda) \rightarrow H^\bullet(\partial S, \widetilde{M}_\lambda). \]

In general, one can find the definition of Eisenstein cohomology as a certain subspace of \( H^\bullet(S, \widetilde{M}_\lambda) \) that is a complement of a subspace of the interior cohomology. It is known that the interior cohomology \( H^\bullet(S, \mathcal{M}_\lambda) \) is the kernel of the restriction morphism \( r \). More precisely, we can simply consider the following happy scenario where the following sequence is exact.
\[ 0 \rightarrow H^\bullet(S, \widetilde{M}_\lambda) \rightarrow H^\bullet(S, \tilde{M}_\lambda) r \rightarrow H^\bullet_{Eis}(S, \tilde{M}_\lambda) \rightarrow 0. \]

To manifest the importance of the ongoing work and the complications involved, we refer the interested reader to an important article [22] of Lee and Schwermer.

6.1. A summary of boundary cohomology. For further exploration, we summarize the discussion of boundary cohomology of \( SL_3(Z) \) carried out in Section 3 in the form of following theorem.

**Theorem 12.** For \( \lambda = m_1\varepsilon_1 + m_2(\varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2) \), the boundary cohomology of the orbifold \( S \) of the arithmetic group \( SL_3(Z) \) with coefficients in the highest weight representation \( \mathcal{M}_\lambda \) is described as follows.

1. Case 1: \( m_1 = m_2 = 0 \) then
\[ H^q(\partial S, \tilde{M}_\lambda) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{Q} & \text{for } q = 0, 4 \\
0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}. \]
(2) Case 2: $m_1 = 0$ and $m_2 \neq 0$, $m_2$ even

$$H^q(\partial S, \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_\lambda) = \begin{cases} 
H^1(S^{M_1}, \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{e, \lambda}), & q = 1 \\
H^1(S^{M_2}, \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{s_1s_2, \lambda}), & q = 3 \\
0, & \text{otherwise}
\end{cases}$$

$$= \begin{cases} 
S_{m_2+2}, & q = 1 \\
S_{m_2+2}, & q = 3 \\
0, & \text{otherwise}
\end{cases}$$

(3) Case 3: $m_1 \neq 0$, $m_1$ even and $m_2 = 0$

$$H^q(\partial S, \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_\lambda) = \begin{cases} 
H^1(S^{M_2}, \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{e, \lambda}), & q = 1 \\
H^1(S^{M_1}, \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{s_1s_2, \lambda}), & q = 3 \\
0, & \text{otherwise}
\end{cases}$$

$$= \begin{cases} 
S_{m_1+2}, & q = 1 \\
S_{m_1+2}, & q = 3 \\
0, & \text{otherwise}
\end{cases}$$

(4) Case 4: $m_1 \neq 0$, $m_1$ even and $m_2 \neq 0$, $m_2$ even, then

$$H^q(\partial S, \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_\lambda) = \begin{cases} 
\mathbb{Q} \oplus H^1(S^{M_1}, \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{e, \lambda}) \oplus H^1(S^{M_2}, \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{e, \lambda}), & q = 1 \\
H^1(S^{M_1}, \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{s_1s_2, \lambda}) \oplus H^1(S^{M_2}, \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{s_1s_2, \lambda}) \oplus \mathbb{Q}, & q = 3 \\
0, & \text{otherwise}
\end{cases}$$

$$= \begin{cases} 
\mathbb{Q} \oplus S_{m_1+2} \oplus S_{m_2+2}, & q = 1 \\
\mathbb{Q} \oplus S_{m_1+2} \oplus S_{m_2+2}, & q = 3 \\
0, & \text{otherwise}
\end{cases}$$

(5) Case 5: $m_1 \neq 0$, $m_1$ even and $m_2$ odd, then
\[ H^q(\partial S, \widetilde{M}_\lambda) = \begin{cases} 
H^1(S^{M_2}, \widetilde{M}_{e,\lambda}), & q = 1 \\
H^1(S^{M_1}, \widetilde{M}_{s_1,\lambda}) \oplus H^1(S^{M_2}, \widetilde{M}_{s_2,\lambda}), & q = 2 \\
H^1(S^{M_1}, \widetilde{M}_{s_1 s_2,\lambda}), & q = 3 \\
0, & \text{otherwise} 
\end{cases} \]

(6) Case 6: \( m_1 = 0 \) and \( m_2 \) odd, then

\[ H^q(\partial S, \widetilde{M}_\lambda) = \begin{cases} 
H^1(S^{M_1}, \widetilde{M}_{s_1,\lambda}) \oplus H^1(S^{M_2}, \widetilde{M}_{s_2,\lambda}) \oplus \mathbb{Q} \oplus \mathbb{Q}, & q = 2 \\
0, & \text{otherwise} 
\end{cases} \]

(7) Case 7: \( m_1 \) odd and \( m_2 = 0 \)
(8) Case 8: $m_1$ odd, $m_2 \neq 0$ even, then

$$H^q(\partial S, \widetilde{M}_\lambda) = \begin{cases} 
H^1_1(S^{M_1}, \widetilde{M}_{c,\lambda}), & q = 1 \\
H^1(S^{M_1}, \widetilde{M}_{s_1,\lambda}) \oplus H^1(S^{M_2}, \widetilde{M}_{s_2,\lambda}), & q = 2 \\
H^1(S^{M_2}, \widetilde{M}_{s_2s_1,\lambda}), & q = 3 \\
0, & \text{otherwise}
\end{cases}$$

where $S_{m_2+2}$, $S_{m_1+m_2+3} \oplus S_{m_1+m_2+3}$, $S_{m_2+2}$, $0$.

(9) Case 9: $m_1$ odd and $m_2$ odd, then

$$H^q(\partial S, \widetilde{M}_\lambda) = 0, \quad \forall q.$$ 

Observe that at this point we have explicit formulas to determine the cohomology of the boundary.

6.2. Poincaré Duality. Let $M_\lambda^*$ denote the dual representation of $M_\lambda$. $M_\lambda^*$ is in fact the irreducible representation $M_{\lambda^*}$ associated to the highest weight $\lambda^* = -w_0(\lambda)$, where $w_0$ denotes the longest element in the Weyl group. One has the natural pairings (see [16])

$$H^*(S_{\Gamma}, \widetilde{M}_{\lambda}) \times H^{5-*}(S_{\Gamma}, \widetilde{M}_{\lambda^*}) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q},$$

and

$$H^*(\partial S_{\Gamma}, \widetilde{M}_{\lambda}) \times H^{4-*}(\partial S_{\Gamma}, \widetilde{M}_{\lambda^*}) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}.$$ 

These pairings are compatible with the restriction morphism $r : H^*(S_{\Gamma}, \widetilde{M}_{\lambda}) \rightarrow H^*(\partial S_{\Gamma}, \widetilde{M}_{\lambda})$ and the connecting homomorphism $\delta : H^4(\partial S_{\Gamma}, \widetilde{M}_{\lambda}) \rightarrow H^4_{\partial}(S_{\Gamma}, \widetilde{M}_{\lambda})$ of the long exact sequence in cohomology associated to the pair $(S, \partial S)$, in the sense that the pairings are compatible with the diagram:

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
H^*(S_{\Gamma}, \widetilde{M}_{\lambda}) & \times & H^{5-*}(S_{\Gamma}, \widetilde{M}_{\lambda^*}) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \delta \\
H^*(\partial S_{\Gamma}, \widetilde{M}_{\lambda}) & \times & H^{4-*}(\partial S_{\Gamma}, \widetilde{M}_{\lambda^*})
\end{array}
\rightarrow \mathbb{Q}
$$

$H^*_E(S_{\Gamma}, \widetilde{M}_{\lambda})$ is the image of the restriction morphism $r$ and therefore, as an implication of the aforementioned compatibility between the pairings, the spaces $H^*_E(S_{\Gamma}, \widetilde{M}_{\lambda})$ are maximal isotropic subspaces of the boundary cohomology under the Poincaré duality. This means that $H^*_E(S_{\Gamma}, \widetilde{M}_{\lambda^*})$ is the orthogonal space of $H^*_E(S_{\Gamma}, \widetilde{M}_{\lambda})$ under this duality.
In particular, one has

\[(26) \quad \dim H^*_Eis(S\Gamma, \widetilde{M}_\lambda) + \dim H^*_Eis(S\Gamma, \widetilde{M}_\lambda^*) = \frac{1}{2} \left( \dim H^*(\partial S\Gamma, \widetilde{M}_\lambda) + \dim H^*(\partial S\Gamma, \widetilde{M}_\lambda^*) \right).\]

### 6.3. Euler characteristic for boundary and Eisenstein cohomology

In the next few lines we establish a relation between the homological Euler characteristics of the arithmetic group and the Euler Characteristic of the Eisenstein cohomology of the arithmetic group, and similarly another relation with the Euler characteristic of the cohomology of the boundary. During this section we will be frequently using the notations \( H^*(S\Gamma, \widetilde{M}_\lambda) \) for \( H^*_Eis(S\Gamma, \widetilde{M}_\lambda) \) and \( H^*(\partial S\Gamma, \widetilde{M}_\lambda) \) for \( H^*(\partial S\Gamma, \widetilde{M}_\lambda) \) to make it very explicit the arithmetic group we are working with. See Section 5 for the definition of homological Euler characteristic of \( SL_3(Z) \). Note that we can define the “naive” Euler characteristic of the underlying geometric object as the alternating sum of the dimension of its various cohomology spaces. Following this, we define

\[
\chi(H^*_Eis(SL_3(Z), \mathcal{M}_\lambda)) = \sum_i (-1)^i \dim H^*_Eis(SL_3(Z), \mathcal{M}_\lambda)
\]

and

\[
\chi(H^*(\partial S, \widetilde{M}_\lambda)) = \sum_i (-1)^i \dim H^*(\partial S, \widetilde{M}_\lambda).
\]

The following two statements (Corollary 13 and Lemma 14) are synthesized in Theorem 15, which is needed for computing the Eisenstein cohomology of \( SL_3(Z) \) (see Theorem 16). As a consequence of Theorem 12 we obtain the following immediate

**Corollary 13.**

\[
\chi(H^*(\partial S, \widetilde{M}_\lambda)) = \begin{cases} 
-2(1 + \dim S_{m_1+2} + \dim S_{m_2+2}), & m_1, m_2 \text{ both even} \\
2(\dim S_{m_1+m_2+3} - \dim S_{m_1+2}), & m_1 \text{ even, } m_2 \text{ odd} \\
2(\dim S_{m_1+m_2+3} - \dim S_{m_2+2}), & m_1 \text{ odd, } m_2 \text{ even} \\
0, & m_1, m_2 \text{ both odd}
\end{cases}
\]

where we are denoting \( \dim S_2 = -1 \).

As discussed in the previous paragraph, we now state and prove a simple relation between Euler characteristic of the Eisenstein cohomology and the homological Euler characteristic.

**Lemma 14.**

\[
\chi(H^*_Eis(SL_3(Z), \mathcal{M}_\lambda)) = \chi_h(SL_3(Z), \mathcal{M}_\lambda)
\]

**Proof.** Let us denote by \( h^i \), \( h^i_{Eis} \) and \( h^i_{\partial} \) the dimension of the spaces \( H^i(SL_3(Z), \mathcal{M}_\lambda) \), \( H^i_{Eis}(SL_3(Z), \mathcal{M}_\lambda) \) and \( H^i_{\partial}(SL_3(Z), \mathcal{M}_\lambda) \), respectively. By definition, we have

\[
\chi_h(SL_3(Z), \mathcal{M}_\lambda) = \sum_{i=0}^3 (-1)^i h^i.
\]

Assume \( \lambda \neq 0 \). Then \( h^0 = 0 \). Following Bass-Milnor-Serre, Corollary 16.4 in [2], we know that \( h^1 = 0 \).
On the other hand, let $M_\lambda^*$ be the dual representation of $M_\lambda$. In our case, if $\lambda = (m_1 + m_2)\varepsilon_1 + m_2\varepsilon_2$, then $\lambda^* = (m_1 + m_2)\varepsilon_1 + m_1\varepsilon_2$. One has by Poincaré duality that $H_q^\partial(\text{SL}_3(\mathbb{Z}), M_\lambda)$ is dual to $H_{5-q}^\partial(\text{SL}_3(\mathbb{Z}), M_{\lambda^*})$. Moreover, if $\lambda \neq \lambda^*$ then $H_q^\partial(\text{SL}_3(\mathbb{Z}), M_\lambda) = 0$ (see for example Lemma 3.2 of [15]). Therefore one has, in all the cases, $h_2^2 = h_3^3$. Using that, we obtain

$$\chi_{h}(\text{SL}_3(\mathbb{Z}), M_\lambda) = h_2^2 - h_3^3$$

By Poincaré duality, $\chi_{h}(\text{SL}_3(\mathbb{Z}), M_\lambda)$ is dual to $\chi_{h}(\text{H}_{\text{Eis}}^\partial(\text{SL}_3(\mathbb{Z}), M_\lambda^*))$.

We now state the following key result.

**Theorem 15.**

$$\chi(H_{\text{Eis}}^\partial(\text{SL}_3(\mathbb{Z}), M_\lambda)) = \frac{1}{2} \chi(H_{\text{Eis}}(\text{SL}_3(\mathbb{Z}), M_\lambda^*))$$

**Proof.** Using Corollary [15] and Tables 8 and 9, we find that

$$\chi(H_{\text{Eis}}(\text{SL}_3(\mathbb{Z}), M_\lambda^*)) = 2\chi_h(\text{SL}_3(\mathbb{Z}), M_\lambda)$$

Using Lemma [14] we have

$$2\chi_h(\text{SL}_3(\mathbb{Z}), M_\lambda) = 2\chi(H_{\text{Eis}}^\partial(\text{SL}_3(\mathbb{Z}), M_\lambda))$$

Therefore,

$$\chi(H_{\text{Eis}}(\text{SL}_3(\mathbb{Z}), M_\lambda)) = \frac{1}{2} \chi(H_{\text{Eis}}^\partial(\text{SL}_3(\mathbb{Z}), M_\lambda))$$

6.4. **Main theorem on Eisenstein cohomology for** $\text{SL}_3(\mathbb{Z})$. The following is the main result of the paper, that gives both the dimension of the Eisenstein cohomology together with its sources - the corresponding parabolic subgroups. It is stated using different cases that cover all possible highest weight representations. A central part of the proof is based on Theorem [12] and Theorem [15].

**Theorem 16.**

1. **Case 1**: $m_1 = m_2 = 0$

$$H_q^{\text{Eis}}(\text{SL}_3(\mathbb{Z}), M_\lambda) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{Q} & q = 0 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

2. **Case 2**: $m_1 = 0$ and $m_2 \neq 0$, $m_2$ even

$$H_q^{\text{Eis}}(\text{SL}_3(\mathbb{Z}), M_\lambda) = \begin{cases} S_{m_2+2}, & q = 3 \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

3. **Case 3**: $m_1 \neq 0$, $m_1$ even and $m_2 = 0$

$$H_q^{\text{Eis}}(\text{SL}_3(\mathbb{Z}), M_\lambda) = \begin{cases} S_{m_1+2}, & q = 3 \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$
(4) Case 4: $m_1 \neq 0$, $m_1$ even and $m_2 \neq 0$, $m_2$ even, then

$$H^q_{Eis}(\text{SL}_3(\mathbb{Z}), \mathcal{M}_\lambda) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{Q} \oplus S_{m_1+2} \oplus S_{m_2+2}, & q = 3 \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}.$$ 

(5) Case 5: $m_1 \neq 0$, $m_1$ even and $m_2$ odd, then

$$H^q_{Eis}(\text{SL}_3(\mathbb{Z}), \mathcal{M}_\lambda) = \begin{cases} S_{m_1+m_2+3}, & q = 2 \\ S_{m_1+2}, & q = 3 \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}.$$ 

(6) Case 6: $m_1 = 0$ and $m_2$ odd, then

$$H^q_{Eis}(\text{SL}_3(\mathbb{Z}), \mathcal{M}_\lambda) = \begin{cases} S_{m_2+3} \oplus \mathbb{Q}, & q = 2 \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}.$$ 

(7) Case 7: $m_1$ odd and $m_2 = 0$

$$H^q_{Eis}(\text{SL}_3(\mathbb{Z}), \mathcal{M}_\lambda) = \begin{cases} S_{m_1+3} \oplus \mathbb{Q}, & q = 2 \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}.$$ 

(8) Case 8: $m_1$ odd and $m_2 \neq 0$, $m_2$ even, then

$$H^q_{Eis}(\text{SL}_3(\mathbb{Z}), \mathcal{M}_\lambda) = \begin{cases} S_{m_1+m_2+3}, & q = 2 \\ S_{m_2+2}, & q = 3 \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}.$$ 

(9) Case 9: $m_1$ odd and $m_2$ odd, then

$$H^q_{Eis}(\text{SL}_3(\mathbb{Z}), \mathcal{M}_\lambda) = 0, \quad \forall q.$$ 

Proof. Let

$$h^i = \dim H^i(\text{SL}_3(\mathbb{Z}), \mathcal{M}_\lambda),$$

$$h^i_{\partial} = \dim H^i(\partial \mathcal{M}),$$

and

$$h^i_{Eis} := h^i_{Eis}(\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_\lambda) = \dim H^i_{Eis}(\text{SL}_3(\mathbb{Z}), \mathcal{M}_\lambda),$$

$$h^i_{\partial} := h^i_{\partial}(\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_\lambda) = \dim H^i(\partial \mathcal{M}).$$
For any nontrivial highest weight representation we have $h^0 = 0$, since any proper $\text{SL}_3(\mathbb{Z})$-invariant subrepresentation of $M_\lambda$ is trivial. Also, $h^1 = 0$, from Bass-Milnor-Serre [2], Corollary 16.4. Therefore, $h^0_{E_{13}} = h^1_{E_{13}} = 0$. Following [28] and [31], we know that the cohomological dimension of $\text{SL}_3(\mathbb{Z})$ is 3. Moreover, $h^3_i = h^3$ since the corresponding cohomology groups are dual to each other. Therefore, 

\begin{equation}
\chi_h(\text{SL}_3(\mathbb{Z}), M_\lambda) = h^2 - h^3 = h^2_{E_{13}} - h^3_{E_{13}}.
\end{equation}

Cases 2, 3 and 4. We have that $h^3 = 0$. Therefore, $h^3_{E_{13}} = 0$. From equation (27) and Theorem 15 we obtain $h^3_{E_{13}} = -\chi_h(\text{SL}_3(\mathbb{Z}), M_\lambda) = -\frac{1}{2}\chi(\mathcal{H}^*(\partial S, M_\lambda))$. Using Theorem 11, we conclude the formulas for case 2 and case 3 of Theorem 15.

Cases 6 and 7. We have that $h^3 = 0$. Therefore, $h^3_{E_{13}} = 0$. From equation (27) and Theorem 15 we obtain $h^2_{E_{13}} = \chi_h(\text{SL}_3(\mathbb{Z}), M_\lambda) = \frac{1}{2}\chi(\mathcal{H}^*(\partial S, M_\lambda))$. Using Theorem 12 we conclude the formulas for case 6 and case 7 of Theorem 15.

Cases 5 and 8. The two cases are dual to each other. Thus it is enough to consider only case 5. From Poincaré Duality [26], we have 

\begin{equation}
\sum_i \left( h^i_{E_{13}}(\widetilde{M}_\lambda) + h^i_{E_{13}}(\widetilde{M}_{\lambda^*}) \right) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_i \left( h^i_{E}(\widetilde{M}_\lambda) + h^i_{E}(\widetilde{M}_{\lambda^*}) \right)
\end{equation}

From Theorem 15 we have 

\begin{equation}
\sum_i (-1)^i \left( h^i_{E_{13}}(\widetilde{M}_\lambda) + h^i_{E_{13}}(\widetilde{M}_{\lambda^*}) \right) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_i (-1)^i \left( h^i_{E}(\widetilde{M}_\lambda) + h^i_{E}(\widetilde{M}_{\lambda^*}) \right)
\end{equation}

Adding equations (28) and (29), we obtain 

\begin{equation}
\sum_i \left( h^i_{E_{13}}(\widetilde{M}_\lambda) + h^i_{E_{13}}(\widetilde{M}_{\lambda^*}) \right) = \frac{1}{2} \left( h^2_{E}(\widetilde{M}_\lambda) + h^2_{E}(\widetilde{M}_{\lambda^*}) \right).
\end{equation}

Subtracting equations (28) and (29), we obtain 

\begin{equation}
\sum_i \left( h^3_{E_{13}}(\widetilde{M}_\lambda) + h^3_{E_{13}}(\widetilde{M}_{\lambda^*}) \right) = \frac{1}{2} \left( h^3_{E}(\widetilde{M}_\lambda) + h^3_{E}(\widetilde{M}_{\lambda^*}) \right).
\end{equation}

Also, $M_\lambda$ is a regular representation. Therefore, 

\begin{equation}
H^2_{E_{13}}(\text{SL}_3(\mathbb{Z}), M_\lambda) \subset H^3(\partial S, \widetilde{M}_\lambda) = S_{m_1+2},
\end{equation}

and 

\begin{equation}
H^3_{E_{13}}(\text{SL}_3(\mathbb{Z}), M_{\lambda^*}) \subset H^3(\partial S, \widetilde{M}_{\lambda^*}) = S_{m_2+2}.
\end{equation}

From equation (30), we have 

\begin{equation}
\sum_i \left( h^i_{E_{13}}(\widetilde{M}_\lambda) + h^i_{E_{13}}(\widetilde{M}_{\lambda^*}) \right) = \dim S_{m_1+2} \dim S_{m_2+2}.
\end{equation}

Therefore, the above inclusions are equalities, i.e. 

\begin{equation}
H^2_{E_{13}}(\text{SL}_3(\mathbb{Z}), M_\lambda) = S_{m_1+2}, \quad H^3_{E_{13}}(\text{SL}_3(\mathbb{Z}), M_{\lambda^*}) = S_{m_2+2}.
\end{equation}

Then 

\begin{equation}
\sum_i \left( h^i_{E_{13}}(\widetilde{M}_\lambda) + h^i_{E_{13}}(\widetilde{M}_{\lambda^*}) \right) = \dim S_{m_1+2} \dim S_{m_2+3}.
\end{equation}

Since $H^2_{E_{13}}(\text{SL}_3(\mathbb{Z}), M_\lambda) \subset H^2(\partial S, \widetilde{M}_\lambda)$, therefore from Theorem 12 and equation (31), we conclude that 

\begin{equation}
H^2_{E_{13}}(\text{SL}_3(\mathbb{Z}), M_\lambda) = S_{m_1+m_2+3}.
\end{equation}
Note that in case of $GL_3(\mathbb{Z})$, its highest weight representation $M_\lambda$ is defined for highest weight $\lambda = m_1 \gamma_1 + m_2 \gamma_2 + m_3 \gamma_3$ with $\gamma_1 = \epsilon_1, \gamma_2 = \epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2, \gamma_3 = \epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2 + \epsilon_3$. In this case the cohomology groups $H^q(GL(3, \mathbb{Z}), M_\lambda)$ can be described explicitly which we state in the following lemma.

**Lemma 17.** Let $M_\lambda$ be the highest weight representation of $GL_3(\mathbb{Z})$ with $\lambda = m_1 \gamma_1 + m_2 \gamma_2 + m_3 \gamma_3$, then

$$H^q(GL_3(\mathbb{Z}), M_\lambda) = \begin{cases} 0, & m_1 + 2m_2 + 3m_3 \equiv 1 \pmod{2} \\ H^0(G_m(\mathbb{Z}), H^q(SL_3(\mathbb{Z}), M_\nu)) = H^q(SL_3(\mathbb{Z}), M_\nu), & m_1 + 2m_2 + 3m_3 \equiv 0 \pmod{2} \end{cases}$$

where $M_\nu = M_\lambda|_{SL_3}$, i.e. $\nu$ is the highest weight of $SL_3$ given by $\nu = (m_1 + m_2)\epsilon_1 + m_2 \epsilon_2$.

Note that the first equality is by Hochschild-Serre spectral sequence and the second one follows from the parity condition. Here $G_m(\mathbb{Z}) = \{-1, 1\}$. We may conclude the above discussion simply in the following corollary.

**Corollary 18.** Let $\Gamma$ be either $GL_3(\mathbb{Z})$ or $SL_3(\mathbb{Z})$, and $M_\lambda$ be any highest weight representation of $\Gamma$. The following are true.

1. If $M_\lambda$ is not self dual then
   $$H^q(\Gamma, M_\lambda) = H^q_{Eis}(\Gamma, M_\lambda).$$

2. If $M_\lambda$ is self dual then we have
   $$H^q(\Gamma, M_\lambda) = H^q_{Eis}(\Gamma, M_\lambda) \oplus H^1(\Gamma, M_\lambda),$$
   where $H^1(\Gamma, M_\lambda)$ and $H^3(\Gamma, M_\lambda)$ are dual to each other, and $H^0(\Gamma, M_\lambda) = H^1(\Gamma, M_\lambda) = 0$.

**Remark 19.** In Theorem 10 and hence in Corollary 18 we obtain exactly the dimensions of the group cohomology $H^i(GL_3(\mathbb{Z}), M_\lambda)$ and $H^i(SL_3(\mathbb{Z}), M_\lambda)$, when the highest weight representation $M_\lambda$ is not self dual. For self dual representations, the result gives lower bounds for the dimensions because the discrepancy between the total cohomology and the Eisenstein cohomology is the inner cohomology (which over $\mathbb{C}$ contains the cuspidal cohomology) that is nonzero only in degrees 2 and 3. Even more, because of Poincaré duality, the inner cohomology in degree 2 is dual to the inner cohomology in degree 3.

7. **Ghost Classes**

Following the discussion in Section 2 we have

$$\ldots \to H^q_c(S, \overline{M}_\lambda) \to H^q(S, \overline{M}_\lambda) \xrightarrow{r^q} H^q(\overline{S}, \overline{M}_\lambda) \to \ldots$$

and the covering $\partial \overline{S} = \cup_{p \in P(\mathbb{Z}/p^2)} \partial p$, which induces a spectral sequence in cohomology connecting to $H^*(\partial \overline{S}, \overline{M}_\lambda)$, leads to another long exact sequence in cohomology

$$\ldots \to H^q(\partial \overline{S}, \overline{M}_\lambda) \xrightarrow{r^q} H^q(\partial_1, \overline{M}_\lambda) \oplus H^q(\partial_2, \overline{M}_\lambda) \to H^q(\partial_0, \overline{M}_\lambda) \to \ldots$$

We now define the space of $q$-ghost classes by

$$Gh^q(\overline{M}_\lambda) = \text{Im}(r^q) \cap \text{Ker}(p^q).$$
We will see that for almost every \( q \) and \( \lambda, \) \( \text{Gh}^q(\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_\lambda) = \{0\} \). For pedagogical reasons, we now provide the details for all the nine cases. To begin with let us define the maps

\[
s_q : H^{q-1}(\partial_0, \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_\lambda) \rightarrow H^{q}(\partial S, \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_\lambda)
\]

and for \( i = 1, 2 \)

\[
r^q_i : H^{q}(\partial_i, \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_\lambda) \rightarrow H^{q}(\partial_0, \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_\lambda).
\]

Note that \( H^q(S, \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_\lambda) = 0 \) for \( q = 1 \) and \( q \geq 4 \). Following this in all the cases, we obtain \( \text{Im}(r^q) = \{0\} \) for \( q = 1 \) and \( q \geq 4 \). Also, in every case, \( \text{Ker}(p^0) = \text{Im}(s_0) = \{0\} \). Therefore, it is easy to see that in all the cases we get the following conclusion.

**Lemma 20.** For any highest weight \( \lambda, \) \( \text{Gh}^q(\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_\lambda) = \{0\} \) for \( q = 0, 1 \) and \( q \geq 4 \).

Now, what remains to discuss is the space \( \text{Gh}^q(\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_\lambda) \) for \( q = 2, 3 \). Following the above discussion, we observe that in case 1 and case 9, \( \text{Gh}^q(\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_\lambda) = \{0\}, \forall q. \) Since from Theorem 12, \( H^q(\partial S, \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_\lambda) = 0, \forall q \) in case 9 and for \( q = 1, 2, 3 \) in case 1.

Note that case 2 and case 3, are dual to each other. We know that \( H^2(\partial S, \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_\lambda) = 0 \) therefore \( \text{Ker}(p^2) = \{0\} \). This gives us \( \text{Gh}^2(\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_\lambda) = \{0\} \). For \( q = 3 \) we have

\[
\text{Gh}^3(\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_\lambda) = \text{Im}(r^3) \cap \text{Im}(s_3),
\]

where \( s_3 : H^2(\partial_0, \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_\lambda) \rightarrow H^3(\partial S, \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_\lambda) \), and following we see that \( \text{Im}(s_3) = \{0\} \) since \( H^2(\partial_0, \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_\lambda) = 0 \). In other words, in case 2, there are no second degree cohomology classes of \( \mathcal{P}_0 \) and this implies that the domain of \( s_3 \) is zero. Hence, the image is so. We conclude this in the form of following lemma.

**Lemma 21.** In case 2 and case 3, i.e. for \( \lambda = m_2 \gamma_2 \) and \( \lambda = m_1 \gamma_1 \), respectively, with \( m_1, m_2 \) non zero even integers, \( \text{Gh}^q(\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_\lambda) = \{0\}, \forall q. \)

Let us discuss now the case 6 and case 7. Following Theorem 12, \( H^3(\partial S, \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_\lambda) = 0 \) and therefore \( \text{Gh}^3(\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_\lambda) = \{0\} \). By the definition of ghost classes, we have \( \text{Gh}^2(\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_\lambda) = \text{Im}(r^2) \cap \text{Im}(s_q) \) where \( s_2 : H^1(\partial_0, \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_\lambda) \rightarrow H^2(\partial S, \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_\lambda), \) i.e.

\[
s_2 : H^0(S^M_0, \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{s_1, \lambda}) \oplus H^0(S^M_0, \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{s_2, \lambda}) \rightarrow H^1(S^M_1, \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{s_1, \lambda}) \oplus H^1(S^M_2, \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{s_2, \lambda}) \oplus \mathbb{Q} \oplus \mathbb{Q}.
\]

However, \( H^0(S^M_0, \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{s_2, \lambda}) = 0 \) and \( \dim H^0(S^M_0, \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{s_1, \lambda}) = 1 \). Therefore, in case 6 and case 7, either \( \dim \text{Gh}^2(\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_\lambda) = 0 \) or 1.

**Lemma 22.** In case 6 and case 7, i.e. for \( \lambda = m_2 \gamma_2 \) and \( \lambda = m_1 \gamma_1 \), respectively, with \( m_1 \) and \( m_2 \) any odd integer, \( \text{Gh}^q(\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_\lambda) = \{0\}, \forall q, \) except possibly for \( q = 2. \)

Consider now the case 5 and case 8. In case 5, \( \text{Gh}^2(\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_\lambda) = \{0\} \) since \( \text{Ker}(p^2) = \{0\} \). This simply follows by studying \( \text{Im}(s_2) \) where \( s_2 \) is defined by

\[
s_2 : H^0(S^M_0, \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{s_1, \lambda}) \rightarrow H^1(S^M_1, \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{s_1, \lambda}) \oplus H^1(S^M_2, \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{s_2, \lambda}),
\]

and \( \text{Ker}(s_2) \) is the image of the morphism

\[
H^1(\partial_1, \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_\lambda) \oplus H^1(\partial_2, \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_\lambda) \rightarrow H^1(\partial_0, \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_\lambda)
\]
from the exact sequence $(32)$. From the calculations in Section 4 we get $\text{Im}(s_q) = 0$. Similarly, we have
\[
s_3 : H^0(S^{m_0}, \tilde{M}_{s_1 s_2 \lambda}) \oplus H^0(S^{m_0}, \tilde{M}_{s_3 s_1 s_2 \lambda}) \rightarrow H^1(S^{m_1}, \tilde{M}_{s_1 s_2 s_3 \lambda}) \cong S_{m_2 + 2},
\]
and again by same reasoning, we see that $s_3$ vanishes. Therefore $Gh^3(\tilde{M}_\lambda) = \{0\}$. Case 8 is analogous and we simply conclude the following.

**Lemma 23.** In case 5 and case 8, i.e. for $\lambda = m_1 \gamma_1 + m_2 \gamma_2$ with $m_1$ and $m_2$ nonzero and having different parity modulo 2, $Gh^q(\tilde{M}_\lambda) = \{0\}$, $\forall q$.

The only case that remains to discuss is case 4. Following Lemma 20, the only cases which need to be discussed are $q = 2$ and $q = 3$. However, following case 4 of Theorem 12, we know that $H^2(\partial S, \tilde{M}_\lambda) = 0$, therefore $Gh^2(\tilde{M}_\lambda) = \{0\}$. $Gh^3(\tilde{M}_\lambda) = 0$ because $H^2(\partial_0, \tilde{M}_\lambda) = 0$. Hence, we can simply summarize this in the form of following lemma.

**Lemma 24.** In case 4, i.e. for $\lambda = m_1 \gamma_1 + m_2 \gamma_2$ with $m_1, m_2$ both non-zero even integers, $Gh^q(\tilde{M}_\lambda) = \{0\}$, $\forall q$.

**Remark 25.** We can summarize the whole discussion of this section in the following lines to give the reader an intuitive idea of how to get to the punchline. The kernel of $p^9$ is isomorphic to the image of $s_q$, and the image of $r^q$ is the Eisenstein cohomology of degree $q$. Thus the ghost classes are classes in the Eisenstein cohomology that are also in the image of the connecting homomorphism $s_q$. Since the Eisenstein cohomology is concentrated in degrees 2 and 3, see Theorem 16, we have that any ghost class of $\text{SL}_3(\mathbb{Z})$ must come from the image of $H^1(\partial_0, \tilde{M}_\lambda)$ or $H^2(\partial_0, \tilde{M}_\lambda)$ in $H^2(\partial S, \tilde{M}_\lambda)$ or $H^3(\partial S, \tilde{M}_\lambda)$, respectively. Examining all the nine cases of boundary cohomology (see Theorem 17), we see that there is no contribution from the minimal parabolic subgroup $P_0$ to the boundary cohomology of degree 2 or 3, except in the cases 6 and 7. Thus, there are no ghost classes in $\text{SL}_3(\mathbb{Z})$ and similarly in $\text{GL}_3(\mathbb{Z})$, except possibly in the cases 6 and 7.

Hence, we summarize the discussion in the following theorem.

**Theorem 26.** There are no nontrivial ghost classes in $\text{SL}_3(\mathbb{Z})$ and $\text{GL}_3(\mathbb{Z})$, except in the cases 6 and 7. In those cases, non-zero ghost classes might occur only in degree 2, where we have $Gh^2(\tilde{M}_\lambda) = 0$ or $\mathbb{Q}$.
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