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Abstract

This tutorial paper presents a survey of results, both classical and
new, linking inner functions and operator theory. Topics discussed
include invariant subspaces, universal operators, Hankel and Toeplitz
operators, model spaces, truncated Toeplitz operators, restricted shifts,
numerical ranges, and interpolation.

1 Introduction

Inner functions originally arose in the context of operator theory, via Beurling’s theorem
on the invariant subspaces of the unilateral shift operator. Since then, they have been seen
in numerous contexts in the theory of function spaces. This tutorial paper surveys some of
the many ways in which operators and inner functions are linked: these include the invariant
subspace problem, the theory of Hankel and Toeplitz operators and the rapidly-developing
area of model spaces and the operators acting on them.

1
1.1 Hardy spaces and shift-invariant subspaces

All our spaces will be complex. We write \( D \) for the open unit disc in \( \mathbb{C} \) and \( T = \partial D \), the unit circle.

Recall that Hardy space \( H^2 \) or \( H^2(D) \) is the space of analytic functions on \( D \) with square-summable Taylor coefficients; that is,

\[
H^2(D) = \{ f : D \to \mathbb{C} \text{ analytic}, f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n, \|f\|^2 = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |a_n|^2 < \infty \}.
\]

Also \( H^2(D) \) embeds isometrically as a closed subspace of \( L^2(T) \) via

\[
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n \mapsto \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n e^{int},
\]

where the series converges almost everywhere on \( T \) as well as in the norm of \( L^2(T) \). Indeed, \( \lim_{r \to 1^-} f(re^{it}) \) exists almost everywhere and gives the boundary values of a function \( f \) in \( H^2(D) \). (See, for example [32].)

It is useful to use the isometric isomorphism \( \ell^2(\mathbb{Z}) \to L^2(T) \) given by \( (a_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \mapsto \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} a_n e^{int} \), which is a consequence of the Riesz–Fischer theorem; this restricts to an isomorphism \( \ell^2(\mathbb{Z}_+) \to H^2(D) \).

The first connection between inner functions and operator theory arises on considering the right shift \( R : \ell^2(\mathbb{Z}) \to \ell^2(\mathbb{Z}) \). We may ask what its closed invariant subspaces are; that is, the subspaces \( \mathcal{M} \subset L^2(T) \) such that \( RM \subset \mathcal{M} \). The answer is to look at the unitarily equivalent operator \( S \) of “multiplication by \( z \)” on \( L^2(T) \).

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}) & \xrightarrow{R} & \ell^2(\mathbb{Z}) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
L^2(T) & \xrightarrow{S} & L^2(T)
\end{array}
\]

There are two cases, for \( \mathcal{M} \) a nontrivial closed subspace of \( L^2(T) \):

(i) \( SM = \mathcal{M} \), if and only if there is a measurable subset \( E \subset T \) such that \( \mathcal{M} = \{ f \in L^2(T) : f|_{T \setminus E} = 0 \text{ a.e.} \} \) (Wiener [45, Ch. II]).
(ii) $SM \subseteq \mathcal{M}$, if and only if there is a unimodular function $\phi \in L^\infty(\mathbb{T})$ such that $\mathcal{M} = \phi H^2$ (Beurling–Helson [29]).

As a sketch proof of (ii), which will be the more important for us, take $\phi \in \mathcal{M} \ominus SM$ with $\|\phi\|_2 = 1$. One can verify that $\phi$ is unimodular and that $\mathcal{M} = \phi H^2$.

**Corollary 1.1** (Beurling’s theorem [6]; see also [22, Thm. II.7.1], [40, Sec. A.1.3]). Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a nontrivial closed subspace of $H^2$; then $SM \subset \mathcal{M}$ if and only if $\mathcal{M} = \theta H^2$ where $\theta$ is inner, that is $\theta \in H^2(\mathbb{D})$ with $|\theta(e^{it})| = 1$ a.e.

It is easily seen that $\theta$ is unique up to multiplication by a constant of modulus 1.

Now, any function $h \in H^2$, apart from the zero function, has a multiplicative factorization $h = \theta u$, where $\theta$ is inner, and $u$ is outer: Beurling showed that outer functions satisfy

$$\overline{\text{span}}\{u, Su, S^2u, S^3u, \ldots\} = H^2,$$

and they therefore have an operatorial interpretation, as cyclic vectors for the shift $S$. The inner-outer factorization is unique up to multiplication by a constant of modulus one.

### 1.2 Examples of inner functions

If $\mathcal{M}$ is a shift-invariant subspace of finite codimension, then $\theta$ is a finite Blaschke product,

$$\theta(z) = \lambda \prod_{j=1}^{n} \frac{z - \alpha_j}{1 - \overline{\alpha_j}z},$$

with $|\lambda| = 1$ and $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n \in \mathbb{D}$. Then

$$\mathcal{M} = \{f \in H^2 : f(\alpha_1) = \cdots = f(\alpha_n) = 0\},$$

with the obvious interpretation in the case of non-distinct $\alpha_j$. We may also form infinite Blaschke products

$$\theta(z) = \lambda z^p \prod_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{|\alpha_j|}{\alpha_j} \frac{\alpha_j - z}{1 - \overline{\alpha_j}z},$$

where $|\lambda| = 1$, all the $\alpha_j$ lie in $\mathbb{D} \setminus \{0\}$, $p$ is a non-negative integer and $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (1 - |\alpha_j|) < \infty$. Recall that the sequences of $\mathbb{D}$ satisfying the last condition are called Blaschke sequences.
There is also a class of inner functions without zeroes, namely the singular inner functions, which may be written as

$$\theta(z) = \exp\left(-\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{e^{it} + z}{e^{it} - z} \, d\mu(t)\right),$$

where $\mu$ is a singular positive measure on $[-\pi, \pi)$. For example if $\mu$ is a Dirac mass at 0, then $\theta(z) = \exp((z + 1)/(z - 1))$.

A complete description of inner functions is now available, as they are given as $Bs$, where $B$ is a Blaschke product and $s$ is a singular inner function. Either factor may be absent.

Note that if $\theta_1$ and $\theta_2$ are inner, then $\overline{\theta_1 \theta_2}$ is unimodular on $\mathbb{T}$. These are not all the unimodular functions, but if $\phi \in L^\infty(\mathbb{T})$ is unimodular then for each $\varepsilon > 0$ it can be factorized as $\phi = h_1\overline{h_2}$, with $h_1, h_2 \in H^\infty$ and $\|h_1\|, \|h_2\| < 1 + \varepsilon$ (see [7, 1, 10]). Related to this is the Douglas–Rudin theorem that the quotients $\theta_1\overline{\theta_2}$ with $\theta_1$ and $\theta_2$ inner are uniformly dense in the unimodular functions in $L^\infty(\mathbb{T})$ (see [19]).

Of particular importance are the interpolating Blaschke products: a Blaschke product $B$ with zeroes $(z_j)$ is interpolating if its zero sequence is an interpolating sequence for $H^\infty$ or, equivalently, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that

$$\inf_k \prod_{j: j \neq k} \left| \frac{z_j - z_k}{1 - \overline{z_k}z_j} \right| = \delta.$$ 

These Blaschke products play an important role in the study of bounded analytic functions: consider a closed subalgebra $B$ of $L^\infty$ containing $H^\infty$ properly. In establishing a conjecture of R. G. Douglas, Chang and Marshall [15, 36] proved that such algebras (now called Douglas algebras) can be characterized using interpolating Blaschke products: if

$$U_B = \{ b : b \text{ interpolating and } b^{-1} \in B \},$$

then an algebra is a Douglas algebra if and only if it is the closed algebra generated by $H^\infty$ and the conjugates of the functions in $U_B$. In other words, $B = [H^\infty, UB]$. Much more is known about interpolating Blaschke products: in particular, P. Jones [33] showed that one can take the Blaschke products in the Douglas–Rudin theorem to be interpolating. Related work can be found in [37], [23], and [22]. One very interesting question remains open: can every Blaschke product be approximated (uniformly) by an interpolating Blaschke product? Hjelle and Nicolau [31] have shown that given a Blaschke product, $B$, there is an interpolating Blaschke product that approximates $B$ in modulus on $\mathbb{D}$, but this is the best result to date.
2 Some operators associated with inner functions

2.1 Isometries

(i) It is not hard to see that the analytic Toeplitz operator or Laurent operator, $T_\phi : H^2 \to H^2$, $f \mapsto \phi f$, where $\phi \in H^\infty$, is an isometry if and only if $\phi$ is inner. Moreover $\text{codim} \phi H^2 < \infty$ if and only if $\phi$ is a finite Blaschke product.

(ii) For $\phi : \mathbb{D} \to \mathbb{D}$ holomorphic, we may consider the composition operator $C_\phi : H^2 \to H^2$, $f \mapsto f \circ \phi$. See for example [17] for full details on these. In particular, by Littlewood’s subordination theorem [35], $C_\phi$ is automatically continuous.

It is a result of Nordgren [41] that $C_\phi$ is an isometry if and only if $\phi$ is inner and $\phi(0) = 0$. Note that if $\phi$ is inner, with $\phi(0) = 0$, then for $n > m$ we have

$$\langle \phi^n, \phi^m \rangle = \langle \phi^{n-m}, 1 \rangle = \phi(0)^{n-m} = 0,$$

so that the orthonormal sequence $(z^n)_{n \geq 0}$ in $H^2$ is mapped to the orthonormal sequence $(\phi^n)_{n \geq 0}$.

Conversely, since $\langle z, 1 \rangle = 0$, we must have $\phi(0) = \langle \phi, 1 \rangle = 0$ if $C_\phi$ is to be an isometry. Also the condition $\|\phi^n\| = 1$ for all $n$ can be used to check that $\phi$ is inner.

Bayart [2] shows that $C_\phi$ is similar to an isometry if and only if $\phi$ is inner and $\phi(p) = p$ for some $p \in \mathbb{D}$.

2.2 Universal operators

An operator $U$ defined on a separable infinite-dimensional Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ is said to be universal in the sense of Rota, if for every operator $T$ on a Hilbert space $\mathcal{K}$ there is a constant $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ and an invariant subspace $\mathcal{M}$ for $U$ such that $T$ is similar to the restriction $\lambda U|_{\mathcal{M}}$.

The following theorem provides many examples of universal operators.
Theorem 2.1 (Caradus [9]). If the operator $H : \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{H}$ is surjective with infinite-dimensional kernel, then it is universal.

(a) Take $\theta$ inner, but not a finite Blaschke product. Then using Theorem 2.1 one can show that the Toeplitz operator $T_\theta^* = T_\theta^* : H^2 \to H^2$, with $f \mapsto P_{H^2}(\theta f)$ is universal.

Such an operator $T_\theta^*$ is similar to the backward shift $A$ on $L^2(0, \infty)$, given by $Af(t) = f(t + 1)$,

(b) Let $\phi : \mathbb{D} \to \mathbb{D}$ be defined by $\phi(z) = \frac{z + 1/2}{1 + z/2}$; this is a (hyperbolic) automorphism fixing $\pm 1$. The composition operator $C_\phi$ has spectrum given by $\sigma(C_\phi) = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : 1/\sqrt{3} \leq |z| \leq \sqrt{3}\}$.

For $\lambda \in \text{int} \sigma(C_\phi)$, it can be shown that $C_\phi - \lambda I$ is universal [42]. Note that it has the same invariant subspaces as $C_\phi$, and a complete description of them would give a solution to the invariant subspace problem.

These ideas have stimulated studies on cyclic vectors and minimal invariant subspaces for $C_\phi$ (e.g. [38] and [21]).

2.3 Hankel and Toeplitz operators

We begin with the orthogonal decomposition

$$L^2(\mathbb{T}) = H^2 \oplus \overline{H^2}$$

into closed subspaces spanned by $\{e^{int} : n \geq 0\}$ and $\{e^{int} : n < 0\}$, respectively. Write $P : L^2(\mathbb{T}) \to H^2$ for the orthogonal projection.

Definition 2.2. Let $\phi \in L^\infty(\mathbb{T})$. Then the Toeplitz operator $T_\phi : H^2 \to H^2$ is defined by $T_\phi f = P(\phi f)$ for $f \in H^2$. The Hankel operator $\Gamma_\phi : H^2 \to \overline{H^2}$ is defined by $\Gamma_\phi f = (I - P)\phi f$ for $f \in H^2$. 6
It is well known that $\|T\phi\| = \|\phi\|_{\infty}$ (see Brown–Halmos [8]) and that $\|\Gamma\phi\| = \text{dist}(\phi, H^\infty)$ (see Nehari [39]).

2.4 Kernels

(i) If $u \in \ker \Gamma\phi$, then $\phi u \in H^2$, so that $z\phi u \in H^2$ and $zu \in \ker \Gamma\phi$. Hence, by Beurling’s theorem, $\ker \Gamma\phi = \theta H^2$ for some inner function $\theta$.

For example, if $\theta$ is inner, then $u \in \ker \Gamma\theta$ if and only if $\theta u \in H^2$, which happens if and only if $u \in \Theta H^2$. So all Beurling subspaces occur as Hankel kernels.

(ii) Suppose that $\theta$ is inner. Then $f \in \ker T\theta$ if and only if $\langle \theta f, g \rangle = 0$ for all $g \in H^2$. This is equivalent to the condition $\langle f, \theta g \rangle = 0$; that is, $f \in H^2 \ominus \theta H^2$. We shall study these spaces in Section 3.

Toeplitz kernels in general have the near-invariance property. If $u \in H^2$ and $\theta u \in \ker T\phi$ for some inner function $\theta$, then $\phi \theta u = z \overline{h}$ for some $h \in H^2$.

Hence $\phi u = \overline{h}$ and thus $u \in \ker T\phi$. That is, if $v \in \ker T\phi$ and $v/\theta \in H^2$, then $v/\theta \in \ker T\phi$.

In particular, if $v \in \ker T\theta$ and $v/z \in H^2$, then $v/z \in \ker T\phi$. This property is not the same as being $S^*$-invariant, even though $S^*v = v/z$ if $v/z \in H^2$.

For example, let $\phi(z) = e^{-z}/z^2$. One may verify that

$$\ker T\phi = \{(a + bz)e^z : a, b \in \mathbb{C}\}.$$ 

However $S^*e^z = e^z - 1/z$, which does not lie in $\ker T\phi$.

Now Hitt [30] showed that a subspace $M \subset H^2$ is nearly $S^*$-invariant if and only if it can be written as $M = fK_\theta$, where $\theta$ is inner, $\theta(0) = 0$, $f \in M \ominus (M \cap zH^2)$, and $K_\theta$ is the model space $H^2 \ominus \theta H^2$, discussed in Section 3.

Moreover, Hayashi [27, 28] showed that such an $M$ is in fact a Toeplitz kernel if and only if the function $f$ has the property that $f^2$ is rigid, which means that if $g \in H^1$ with $g/f^2 > 0$ a.e., then $g = \lambda f^2$ for some constant $\lambda > 0$. A rigid function is necessarily outer.
3 Model spaces

3.1 Definitions and examples

Since the invariant subspaces for $S$ have the form $\theta H^2$, with $\theta$ inner, those for $S^*$ have the form $H^2 \ominus \theta H^2$, usually written $K_\theta$. Such spaces are called model spaces.

Example 3.1. (i) Take $\theta(z) = z^N$, which is inner. Then

$$K_\theta = \text{span}\{1, z, z^2, \ldots, z^{N-1}\}.$$ 

(ii) For $\theta(z) = \prod_{k=1}^{N} \frac{z - \alpha_k}{1 - \overline{\alpha}_k z}$ with $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_N$ distinct, we have $f \in \theta H^2$ if and only if $f(\alpha_1) = \cdots = f(\alpha_N) = 0$. Then

$$K_\theta = \text{span}\left\{ \frac{1}{1 - \alpha_1 z}, \ldots, \frac{1}{1 - \alpha_N z}\right\}.$$ 

Indeed, for $\alpha \in \mathbb{D}$, $k_\alpha : z \mapsto \frac{1}{1 - \overline{\alpha} z}$ is the reproducing kernel at $\alpha$; i.e.,

$$f(\alpha) = \langle f, k_\alpha \rangle \quad \text{for} \quad f \in H^2,$$

and clearly $f \in \theta H^2$ if and only if $f$ is orthogonal to $k_{\alpha_1}, \ldots, k_{\alpha_N}$.

(iii) For a fixed $\tau > 0$ we write

$$L^2(0, \infty) = L^2(0, \tau) \oplus L^2(\tau, \infty).$$

Under the Laplace transform this maps to the orthogonal decomposition

$$H^2(\mathbb{C}_+) = K_\theta \oplus \theta H^2(\mathbb{C}_+),$$

where $\theta(s) = e^{-s\tau}$; that is, $\theta$ is inner. Then $K_\theta$ can be written as $e^{s\tau/2} PW_{\tau/2}$, where $PW_{\tau/2}$ is a Paley–Wiener space, consisting of entire functions, as considered in signal processing.

In general $K_\theta$ is finite-dimensional if and only if $\theta$ is a finite Blaschke product.
3.2 Decompositions of $H^2$ and $K_B$.

Let $\theta$ be inner. Then

$$H^2 = K_\theta \oplus \theta K_\theta \oplus \theta^2 K_\theta \oplus \cdots .$$

This is an orthogonal direct sum, since if $k_1, k_2 \in K_\theta$ and $0 \leq m < n$, then

$$\langle \theta^m k_1, \theta^n k_2 \rangle = \langle k_1, \theta^{n-m} k_2 \rangle = 0,$$

since $k_1 \perp \theta H^2$.

Note that $T_{\theta}$ acts as a shift here, i.e.,

$$\theta(k_1 + \theta k_2 + \theta^2 k_3 + \cdots) = \theta k_1 + \theta^2 k_2 + \theta^3 k_3 + \cdots .$$

A special case of this can be identified from (1) above, since

$$L^2(0, \infty) = L^2(0, \tau) \oplus L^2(\tau, 2\tau) \oplus \cdots .$$

We now look at model spaces corresponding to infinite Blaschke products. If $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots$ are the zeroes of an infinite Blaschke product $B$ (assumed distinct), then an orthonormal basis of $K_B$ is the Takenaka–Malmquist–Walsh basis given by orthonormalizing the sequence of reproducing kernels associated with the $(\alpha_n)$. We have

$$e_1(z) = \frac{(1 - |\alpha_1|^2)^{1/2}}{1 - \bar{\alpha}_1 z}$$
$$e_2(z) = \frac{(1 - |\alpha_2|^2)^{1/2}}{1 - \bar{\alpha}_2 z} \left( \frac{z - \alpha_1}{1 - \bar{\alpha}_1 z} \right),$$
and, in general

$$e_n(z) = \frac{(1 - |\alpha_n|^2)^{1/2}}{1 - \bar{\alpha}_n z} \left( \prod_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{z - \alpha_k}{1 - \bar{\alpha}_k z} \right).$$

It is easily checked that these are orthonormal, and have the same closed span as the reproducing kernels $\frac{1}{1 - \bar{\alpha}_1 z}, \ldots, \frac{1}{1 - \bar{\alpha}_n z}, \cdots$. This closed span is $K_B$ when the $(\alpha_n)$ form a Blaschke sequence, and $H^2$ otherwise.

3.3 Frostman’s theorem and mappings between model spaces

The following result shows that inner functions are not far from Blaschke products, in a precise sense.
Theorem 3.2 (Frostman [20]). Let \( \theta \) be any inner function. Then, for \( \alpha \in \mathbb{D} \), the function \( \frac{\theta - \alpha}{1 - \bar{\alpha} \theta} \) is also inner; it is a Blaschke product with distinct zeroes for all \( \alpha \in \mathbb{D} \) outside an exceptional set \( E \) such that for each \( 0 < r < 1 \) the set of real \( t \) such that \( re^{it} \in E \) has measure zero.

Note that if \( \phi \) and \( \theta \) are inner then \( \phi \circ \theta \) is also inner (this is not obvious). Here we are considering simply \( b \circ \theta \) where \( b \) is the inner function with \( b(z) = \frac{z - \alpha}{1 - \bar{\alpha} z} \).

Frostman gave a stronger version of his theorem, expressed by saying that the exceptional set has logarithmic capacity zero; however, it is beyond the scope of this work.

Theorem 3.3. The Crofoot transform, defined for \( \alpha \in \mathbb{D} \) by

\[
J_\alpha f = \frac{(1 - |\alpha|^2)^{1/2}}{1 - \bar{\alpha} \theta} f \quad (f \in K_\theta),
\]

is a unitary mapping from \( K_\theta \) onto \( K_{b \circ \theta} \) for each inner function \( \theta \).

In combination with Frostman’s theorem, this can be used to construct orthonormal bases for any model space \( K_\theta \).

### 3.4 Truncated Toeplitz and Hankel operators

Truncated Toeplitz operators were introduced by Sarason [43], and have received much attention since then. The idea here is to put finite Toeplitz matrices of the form

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
  a_0 & a_{-1} & \ldots & a_{-n} \\
  a_1 & a_0 & \ldots & a_{-n+1} \\
  \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
  a_n & a_{n-1} & \ldots & a_0
\end{pmatrix}
\]

into a more general context. One may also consider finite Hankel matrices of the form

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
  a_{-1} & a_{-2} & \ldots & a_{-n-1} \\
  a_{-2} & a_{-3} & \ldots & a_{-n-2} \\
  \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
  a_{-n-1} & a_{-n-2} & \ldots & a_{-2n-1}
\end{pmatrix}
\]
Take $\theta$ inner, and $\phi \in L^\infty(\mathbb{T})$; then the truncated Toeplitz operator $A^\theta_\phi : K_\theta \rightarrow K_\theta$ is defined by

$$A^\theta_\phi f = P_{K_\theta}(\phi \cdot f) \quad (f \in K_\theta),$$

where $P : L^2(\mathbb{T}) \rightarrow K_\theta$ is the orthogonal projection.

The motivating example involves the choice $\theta(z) = z^{n+1}$, and the orthonormal basis $\{1, z, z^2, \ldots, z^n\}$ of $K_\theta$, when the matrix of $A^\theta_\phi$ has the form (2), with $(a_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ the Fourier coefficients of $\phi$.

Similarly for truncated Hankel operators. The operator $B^\theta_\phi : K_\theta \rightarrow zK_\theta$ is defined by

$$B^\theta_\phi f = P_{zK_\theta}(\phi \cdot f) \quad (f \in K_\theta).$$

Now, if $\theta(z) = z^{n+1}$, then $zK_\theta$ has basis $\{z, \ldots, z^{n+1}\}$, and with these bases the operator $B^\theta_\phi$ has a truncated Hankel matrix (3).

4 Restricted shifts

4.1 Basic ideas

We recall that the invariant subspaces of the backwards shift $S^*$ have the form $K_\theta$. We now define $S^\theta : K_\theta \rightarrow K_\theta$ by

$$S^\theta = P_{K_\theta}S_{|_{K_\theta}} = (S^*_{|_{K_\theta}})^*.$$

This is the truncated Toeplitz operator with symbol $z$, and if we take $\theta(z) = z^{n+1}$ it maps as follows: $1 \mapsto z$, $z \mapsto z^2$, $z^2 \mapsto z^3$, $\ldots$, $z^{n-1} \mapsto z^n$, $z^n \mapsto 0$, so that its

matrix is given by

$$
\begin{bmatrix}
0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 & 0
\end{bmatrix}.
$$

The restricted shift has a part in the Sz.-Nagy–Foias functional model \[44\]: if $T$ is a contraction on a Hilbert space $H$ such that $\|(T^*)^n x\| \rightarrow 0$ for all $x \in H$ and $\text{rank}(I - T^*T) = \text{rank}(I - TT^*) = 1$, then there is an inner function $\theta$ such that $T$ is unitarily equivalent to $S^\theta$. 
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Proposition 4.1. The invariant subspaces for the restricted shift $S_\theta$ are “shifted” model spaces of the form $K_\theta \cap \phi H^2 = \phi K_{\theta/\phi}$, where $\phi$ is an inner function dividing $\theta$ in $H^\infty(\mathbb{D})$.

Proof. The invariant subspaces for its adjoint, $S_\theta^*$, are clearly of the form $K_\phi$, where $\phi$ divides $\theta$ in $H^\infty(\mathbb{D})$. Their orthogonal complements are the invariant subspaces for $S_\theta$, and have the required form. \hfill \Box

It is easy to see that rank $S_\theta < \infty$ if and only if $\theta$ is a finite Blaschke product. We now define the spectrum of an inner function $\theta$ by

$$\sigma(\theta) = \{w \in \overline{\mathbb{D}} : \liminf_{z \to w} |\theta(w)| = 0\}.$$ 

For a Blaschke product $B$, the set $\sigma(B)$ is the closure of the zero set of $B$ in $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$. It can then be shown that in general $\sigma(S_\theta) = \sigma(\theta)$ (see [29, Lec. VIII]).

4.2 Unitary perturbations and dilations

We shall now suppose that $\theta(0) = 0$: this simplifies some of the formulae, but is not a serious restriction. D.N. Clark [16] initiated a very fruitful study of unitary perturbations of restricted shifts. In particular, he showed that the set of rank-1 perturbations of $S_\theta$ that are unitary can be parametrised as \{\(U_\alpha : \alpha \in \mathbb{T}\}\}, where

$$U_\alpha f = S_\theta f + \alpha \langle f, S^* \theta \rangle 1, \quad (f \in K_\theta),$$

noting that the constant function 1 lies in $K_\theta$ because $\theta(0) = \langle \theta, 1 \rangle = 0$.

If we consider the case $\theta(z) = z^{n+1}$, as above, we find that the matrix of $U_\alpha$ is now

$$\begin{pmatrix}
0 & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & \alpha \\
1 & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 1 & 0
\end{pmatrix},$$

so that $1, z, \ldots, z^{n-2}, z^{n-1}$ are mapped, respectively, to $z, z^2, \ldots, z^{n-1}, \alpha$.

The spectral measure of $U_\alpha$ is called a Clark measure, and there are various applications. See, for example, the book [14].
For an operator $T$ on a Hilbert space $H$, we consider the question of finding a unitary operator $U$ on a space containing $H$, such that its restriction to $H$ is $T$. In matrix terms we may write

$$U = \begin{pmatrix} T & * \\ * & * \end{pmatrix}.$$  

If $U$ is defined on $H \oplus \mathbb{C}$, then we call it a 1-dilation. This is not the same as the standard Sz.-Nagy–Foias dilation as in [44]. In the context of restricted shifts and unitary dilations, there is a connection here with a classical result in geometry, which we now develop.

### 4.3 Numerical ranges

For an integer $n \geq 3$, a closed subset $A$ of $\mathbb{D}$ has the $n$-Poncelet property, if whenever there exists an $n$-gon $P$ such that $P$ circumscribes $A$ and has its vertices on $\mathbb{T}$, then every point on the unit circle is a vertex of such an $n$-gon. This was originally studied in the context of an ellipse, as in Figure 1. (The figures were produced by an applet written by A. Shaffer.) Associated with the ellipse is a Blaschke product, as we shall explain: its zeroes are denoted by light circles and the zeroes of its derivative by dark circles.

We shall also be considering a generalization of this, namely, an infinite Poncelet property.

Let us suppose first that $\theta$ is a finite Blaschke product, and hence $K_\theta$ is finite-dimensional. Recall that the numerical range of an operator $T$ on a
Hilbert space $H$ is defined by

$$W(T) = \{\langle Tx, x \rangle : x \in H, \|x\| = 1\},$$

and, according to the Toeplitz–Hausdorff theorem, is a convex subset of the plane. If $T$ has finite rank, then $W(T)$ is also compact.

**Theorem 4.2.** For the restricted shift $S_\theta$ on a finite-dimensional model space $K_\theta$ we have

$$W(S_\theta) = \bigcap_{\alpha \in \mathbb{T}} W(U_\alpha^\theta),$$

where the $U_\alpha^\theta$ are the rank-1 Clark perturbations of $S_{z\theta}$, which are equivalent to unitary 1-dilations of $S_\theta$.

For versions of this results and further developments, see [24, 25, 26, 18].

Note that $\sigma(U_\alpha^\theta) = \{z \in \mathbb{T} : z\theta(z) = \alpha\}$, an $n + 1$-point set if the degree of $\theta$ is $n$. Moreover, $W(U_\alpha^\theta)$ is the convex hull of $\sigma(U_\alpha^\theta)$, namely, a polygon. If $\deg \theta = 2$, then it is known that $W(S_\theta)$ is an ellipse, with foci at the eigenvalues of $S_\theta$. Therefore, this ellipse has foci at the zeroes of $\theta$, and it is here expressed as an intersection of triangles.

Figures 2 and 3 show similar examples with $n = 3$ (quadrilaterals) and $n = 4$ (pentagons).

The following more general result was proved in [11]. Note that numerical ranges no longer need to be closed, so the formulation is slightly different.

**Theorem 4.3.** Let $\theta$ be an inner function. Then

$$\overline{W(S_\theta)} = \bigcap_{\alpha \in \mathbb{T}} \overline{W(U_\alpha^\theta)},$$

where the $U_\alpha^\theta$ are the unitary 1-dilations of $S_\theta$ (or, equivalently, the rank-1 Clark perturbations of $S_{z\theta}$).

In general we may regard the numerical ranges of the $U_\alpha^\theta$ as convex polygons with infinitely-many sides. Some vectorial generalizations of these results (involving more general contractions) are given in [3, 5].

We may now ask how many polygons are needed to determine $\theta$ uniquely. Note that the vertices of a polygon are solutions to $z\theta(z) = \alpha$, so we are motivated to consider boundary interpolation by inner functions.
Figure 2: Symmetrical Poncelet curve with quadrilaterals

Figure 3: Asymmetrical Poncelet curve with pentagons
4.4 Interpolation questions

For finite Blaschke products we have the following theorem in [12] about identifying two sets of \( n \) points. Note that the two sets \( \{z_1, \ldots, z_n\} \) and \( \{w_1, \ldots, w_n\} \) in the theorem are necessarily interlaced; that is, each \( z_j \) lies between two successive \( w_k \) and vice-versa.

**Theorem 4.4.** For a finite Blaschke products \( \theta, \phi \) of degree \( n \), suppose that there are distinct points \( z_1, \ldots, z_n \) and \( w_1, \ldots, w_n \) in \( \mathbb{T} \) such that

\[
\theta(z_1) = \ldots = \theta(z_n), \quad \theta(w_1) = \ldots = \theta(w_n),
\]

and

\[
\phi(z_1) = \ldots = \phi(z_n), \quad \phi(w_1) = \ldots = \phi(w_n).
\]

Then \( \phi = \lambda \frac{\theta - a}{1 - a \theta} \) for some \( \lambda \in \mathbb{T} \) and \( a \in \mathbb{D} \).

We say that \( \phi \) is a *Frostman shift* of \( \theta \).

Suppose now that \( \theta \) is inner with just one singularity on \( \mathbb{T} \); this is, it extends analytically across \( \mathbb{T} \) except at one point, which we shall take to be \( z = 1 \). For some such \( \theta \), but not all, there will be a sequence \( (t_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \) in \( \mathbb{T} \) (necessarily isolated since \( \theta \) has an analytic extension), accumulating on both sides of the point 1, such that \( \theta(t_n) = 1 \) for each \( n \). This is called a singularity of Type 2 in [13]: see Figure 4.

![Figure 4: Singularities of type 2 (L) and type 1 (R)](image)

We consider how to determine \( \theta \) from this data.

We transform to the upper half-plane \( \mathbb{C}^+ \), using the Möbius mapping

\[
\psi(z) = \frac{1 + z}{1 - z}, \quad \text{with} \quad \psi(1) = \infty.
\]
Now consider $F := \psi \circ \theta \circ \psi^{-1}$. Then $F$ is meromorphic on $\mathbb{C}$ with real poles $(b_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ accumulating at $\pm \infty$. It maps $\mathbb{C}^+$ to $\mathbb{C}^+$ and $\mathbb{C}^-$ to $\mathbb{C}^-$. Such functions are called strongly real. Without loss of generality we may assume that 0 is neither a pole nor a zero of $F$, in which case we have the following theorem, given in [34] as the Hermite–Biehler theorem, but attributed to Krein.

**Theorem 4.5.** For $F$ strongly real with poles $(b_n)$ tending to $\pm \infty$, the zeroes $(a_n)$ and poles $(b_n)$ are interlaced in the sense that we may write $b_n < a_n < b_{n+1}$ for each $n$, and then

$$F(z) = c \prod_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{1 - z/a_n}{1 - z/b_n},$$

(4)

where $c > 0$ unless $a_nb_n < 0$, in which case $c < 0$. There is such a function for each sequence $(a_n)$ interlaced with the $(b_n)$.

Our conclusion is that, given one limit point on $\mathbb{T}$, approached from both sides by solutions to $\theta(z) = 1$, the set $\theta^{-1}(1)$ does not determine $\theta$, whereas the sets $\theta^{-1}(1)$ and $\theta^{-1}(-1)$ together tell us what $\theta$ is, to within composition by a Möbius transformation fixing $\pm 1$.

In [12] the case of finitely-many singularities is discussed, including cases then some singular points are approached on one side only. Curiously, there is a non-uniqueness case in the Hermite–Biehler expression, apparently missed by Krein. For suppose that $a_n \to 1$ as $n \to -\infty$ and $a_n \to \infty$ as $n \to \infty$. Then, with interlaced $(b_n)$ there is one solution, namely (4), but there is also another possibility, namely

$$F(z) = c(z - 1) \prod_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{1 - z/a_n}{1 - z/b_n}$$

and these are the only possibilities.

On the circle, the corresponding $\theta$ has a singularity of Type 1 in the terminology of [13]; see Figure 4. Thus there are two one-parameter families of inner functions $\theta$ for such a choice of $\theta^{-1}(1)$ and $\theta^{-1}(-1)$. A third set, e.g., $\theta^{-1}(i)$, enables one to distinguish between them. Thus one sees that, in a fairly general situation, if $W(S_\theta) = W(S_\phi)$, then $\theta$ is a Frostman shift of $\phi$ and so the restricted shifts are unitarily equivalent.
Some (necessarily less explicit) extensions of these ideas have been given by Bercovici and Timotin [4, Cor.6.3], in the case where the set of singularities of the inner function $\theta$ is of measure zero.
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