Utilization of a novel activated carbon adsorbent from press mud of sugarcane industry for the optimized removal of methyl orange dye in aqueous solution
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Abstract
In this study, a novel activated carbon adsorbent from the press mud of a sugarcane industry was used to remove methyl orange dye (MOD) from aqueous solution and was optimized via response surface methodology using the central composite design. The adsorbent was characterized by FTIR and SEM analysis and showed the presence of functional groups such as alcohols, nitriles, amides, alkane, alkyl halides, and alkenes, and it also showed fibrous surface morphological appearance. The factors affecting MOD adsorption, such as initial concentration, adsorbent dose, and contact time were examined, and optimal pH 2.0 to remove MOD in an aqueous solution that is found in various studies is also utilized. The results showed maximum MOD removal rate of 98.68% when the initial concentration, adsorbent dose, and contact time were optimally set as 24.17 mg/L, 0.5 g, and 20 min, respectively. The analysis of the equilibrium data revealed that MOD adsorption using press mud activated carbon best fitted the Langmuir isotherm ($R^2 = 0.96103$) which implies monolayer adsorption process. Also, the kinetics of MOD adsorption using press mud activated carbon followed a pseudo-first-order model ($R^2 = 0.96096$) which means that the active sites are proportional to the non-active sites during the adsorption process.
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Introduction
Nowadays, the annual global production of more than $7 \times 10^5$ tons of dyes annually (Mohammed et al. 2014), with approximately 10–15% of these dyes are being released in the environment during dyeing process, makes the effluent from the dye users industries highly colored and aesthetically unpleasant (Chincholi et al. 2014). Thus, it contributes to the pollution load. Dyes are human-made organic colorants used by various industries such as textile, painting, and cosmetics in manufacturing colored products. Most of these dyes contain aromatic rings in their structure (El Boujaady et al. 2014) that could be harmful to human life considering its mutagenic and carcinogenic properties (Rashed 2013). Even a little quantity of dye present in water may cause damage to kidneys, reproductive systems, and nervous systems (Bouaziz et al. 2015). One of the dyes present in industrial effluents is the methyl orange dye (MOD), a well-known anionic azo dye that is soluble in water. It is synthesized by the reaction of sulfanilic acid and sodium nitrate (Rattanapan et al. 2017) and the most commonly used substance in the dyeing process.

These kinds of dyes contain high BOD, COD, and suspended solids that affect aquatic life (Alam et al. 2015). Thus, it is essential to have effective treatments for the removal of dyes before discharging them into the environment (Munagapati et al. 2018).

In recent years, numerous methods are being used for the treatment of dye-contaminated effluents such as oxidation (Vincenzo Naddeo 2013), ion exchange (Skipton and Dvorak 2014), adsorption (Ayub and Khorasgani 2014), coagulation/flocculation (Prakash et al. 2014), photodegradation (Iovino et al. 2017), anodic oxidation (Godini et al. 2013), ozone treatment (Nilsson 2015), and biological treatment (Alvarino et al. 2015).
However, adsorption was proven as one of the best methods for the removal of various dyes present in the water system (Bouaziz et al. 2015) considering its convenience, ease of operation, simple designs, and cost efficiency (Bhatnagar et al. 2015). In this regard, a lot of various adsorbents have been studied by researchers (Chincholi et al. 2014). Activated carbon is the most commonly used adsorbent in the adsorption process because of its excellent adsorption ability (Shakoor and Nasar 2017). However, the use of activated carbon has been limited because of its high cost (Dim 2013). To reduce the high costs, agricultural wastes and residues are used as a precursor for activated carbon (Ahmad et al. 2014). In fact, cherry kernels (Iakovleva et al. 2017), rice bran (Hong and Wang 2017), coir pith ash (Bahar et al. 2018), wheat straw (Lin et al. 2017), potato residue (Zhang et al. 2015), rice straw (Sangon et al. 2018), coconut coir dust (Etim et al. 2016), orange peels (Rožič et al. 2014), and wood apple shell (Sartape et al. 2017) among others have been used for dye removal in aqueous solutions in the recent literature. However, most of these contain low adsorption capacity. The need for other economical, eco-friendly, and highly efficient precursor for activated carbon is still desired. One of the precursors might be press mud.

Press mud is a compacted sugar industry waste manufactured during the filtration of cane juice. The press mud is generally utilized as a fertilizer, as these organic wastes can supply an adequate amount of plant nutrients to the soil. Due to its positive effects on the physical condition of the soil, the water holding capacity and aeration of the soil are enhanced. However, with the amounting thousands of tons of press mud produced annually, it has started to cause significant disposal problems for the sugar industry and the environment. Recently, the literature reveals that, so far, no considerable effort has been made to utilize press mud as a precursor for activated carbon.

In this present paper, the researchers have investigated the efficiency of activated carbon from press mud from the sugarcane industry in removing MOD in aqueous solution. The process was optimized through central composite design (CCD) of the RSM using Design Expert 7.0 software. Moreover, the optimal condition of pH from the recent literature has been utilized and the influence of various parameters such as initial concentration, adsorbent dosage, and contact time was investigated and had been optimized. Furthermore, the adsorption isotherms and kinetics are also under the scope of the investigation.

Materials and methods

Adsorbent preparation

The preparation of adsorbent was adapted from the study of de Luna et al. (de Luna et al. 2017) and Azme and Murshed (Azme and Murshed 2018) with modifications. A sack of press mud was collected from Crystal Sugar Company, Inc. located at Maramag, Bukidnon, the Philippines. The 400 g of the collected press mud was dried in an oven for 90 °C for 12 h. After that, it was crushed using mortar and pestle to pulverize the chunks and then sieved through 60 µm mesh size. The obtained material was soaked in 2% (v/v) HNO3 for 24 h at room temperature to activate the carbon from the press mud and enhance the adsorption capacity of the material. At the end of 24 h, the excess HNO3 was filtered and the mixture was dried in a muffle furnace at 105 °C for 2 h to remove moisture content and then carbonized at 400 °C for 3 h before washing with distilled water until pH 7 is obtained. After that, the carbon was dried at 105 °C for 6 h. Finally, the activated carbon was stored in a desiccator until use for the adsorption experiments.

Dye solution preparation

MOD with a chemical structure shown in Fig. 1 was obtained from Himedia Laboratories, Mumbai, India. A stock solution of 1000 mg/L concentration was prepared by dissolving the 1 g of MOD powder in 1 L of distilled water inside a 1-L volumetric flask. The stock solution was diluted with distilled water to acquire desired MOD concentration. The optimum pH 2.0 that was published elsewhere was used in all experimental runs in the present work (Iakovleva et al. 2017). To achieve the desired optimal pH condition of 2.0, the experiments were adjusted by 1 M NaOH or 1 M HCl using pH meter (Hanna pH meter HI98129). To prepare the 1 M NaOH, 40 g of NaOH was added to 1 L distilled water. On the other hand, 83.33 mL of 37% concentrated HCl was added to 1 L distilled water to prepare 1 M HCl.

Characterization of press mud activated carbon

The physical and chemical properties of the powdered activated carbon from press mud were analyzed using FTIR and SEM techniques. The FTIR, as well as the SEM, were both analyzed and conducted at UP Diliman in Quezon City, the
Philippines. These determined the functional groups present in activated carbon from press mud and analyzed the surface morphology of activated carbon from press mud before the adsorption, respectively.

**Experimental design**

The RSM was applied to the study particularly CCD using Design Expert 7.0 software. The initial dye concentration and contact time were considered to be the most critical variables of the study (Chiou et al. 2003).

Experimental runs were conducted based on the parametric study principle of taking the center of one variable as constant and combining it to all ranges of the other variables to look for a peak in a graph once plotted. The experimental range and levels used in the parametric study are shown in Table 1.

The purpose of this stage is to explore the full range of reaction conditions to identify the optimum operating variables. Hence, the results were used during the adsorption of MOD in aqueous solution using press mud activated carbon. Furthermore, CCD of the Design Expert in the RSM was used to analyze the data that were gathered in the study statistically. Therefore, 20 runs were generated to achieve the optimum level given by CCD which are presented in Table 2.

**Experimental procedure**

Adsorption experiments were performed as suggested by the design developed by the CCD of the RSM at Agrivironmental Laboratory at USTP-Claveria, the Philippines.

The procedure was adopted from Jawad et al. (2017) with modifications. The experiments were carried out in a 125-mL Erlenmeyer flask with the working volume of 50 mL of aqueous solution at optimal pH condition of 2.0. The desired amount of adsorbent dosage was put in the flask. Stirring was carried out using magnetic stirrer (VS-130SH). After that, the mixture was separated by filtration process through a filter paper (Whatman 5) after a specified period. A UV-spectrophotometer examined the residual dye concentration at 464 nm wavelength (Azami et al. 2012). The final dye concentration was calculated using the predetermined calibration curve.

**Model fitting and optimization**

The percent removal of MOD was taken as a response of the experimental design and is calculated using Eq. 1:

\[
\text{MOD}\%\text{ removal} = \frac{C_0 - C_e}{C_0} \times 100,
\]

where \(C_0\) is the initial concentration of MOD in the solution (mg/L) and \(C_e\) is the final concentration of MOD in the solution (mg/L).

Analysis of variance (ANOVA) evaluated the statistical significance of the model for the response and corresponding \(F\) values including values of Probability > \(F\) (Prob. > \(F\)). For statistically significant model terms, the value of Prob. > \(F\) should be less than 0.05.

The effects of the experimental runs underwent graphical modeling to determine the interactive effects of the chosen operating variables. The 3D model was generated using the Design Expert 7.0 software. Thus, it was useful in showing an interaction of two factors and maintains the other factor at a fixed level. The 3D models are presented in “Results and discussion” section.

| Table 1 | Experimental range and levels of independent variables in adsorption |
|---------|---------------------------------------------------------------|
| Factor                           | Coded level   |
|                                   | −2 | −1 | 0 | 1 | 2 |
| Adsorbent dose (g)                | 0.25 | 0.5 | 0.75 | 1.0 | 1.25 |
| Initial dye concentration (mg/L)  | 10 | 15 | 20 | 25 | 30 |
| Contact time (min)                | 10 | 20 | 30 | 40 | 50 |

| Table 2 | Experimental runs generated by CCD in RSM |
|---------|-------------------------------------------|
| Run     | Adsorbent dose (g) | Initial concentration (mg/L) | Contact time (min) |
|---------|---------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------|
| 1       | 0.75                | 20                            | 30                 |
| 2       | 0.50                | 15                            | 20                 |
| 3       | 0.75                | 20                            | 30                 |
| 4       | 0.75                | 20                            | 30                 |
| 5       | 0.25                | 20                            | 30                 |
| 6       | 0.75                | 10                            | 30                 |
| 7       | 1.00                | 15                            | 20                 |
| 8       | 0.75                | 20                            | 30                 |
| 9       | 1.00                | 25                            | 20                 |
| 10      | 0.75                | 20                            | 30                 |
| 11      | 0.75                | 20                            | 10                 |
| 12      | 0.75                | 20                            | 50                 |
| 13      | 1.00                | 15                            | 40                 |
| 14      | 0.50                | 15                            | 40                 |
| 15      | 0.50                | 25                            | 40                 |
| 16      | 1.00                | 25                            | 40                 |
| 17      | 0.50                | 25                            | 20                 |
| 18      | 0.75                | 20                            | 30                 |
| 19      | 1.25                | 20                            | 30                 |
| 20      | 0.75                | 30                            | 30                 |
In the optimization menu of the Design Expert 7.0 software, the desired goal for each factor and response was chosen. In order to determine the optimum values with the specified goal, the desired goals are in range (initial concentration), minimize (contact time, adsorbent dose), and maximize (percent MOD removal).

**Adsorption mechanism**

Isotherm runs were done using the optimal values of the adsorbent dose and contact time while varying initial concentration. All 5 runs were done at pH 2.0. The results were then fitted to Langmuir and Freundlich models using Eqs. 2 and 3, respectively. The adsorption capacity was computed using Eq. 4:

\[
\frac{1}{Q_e} = \left( \frac{1}{bQ_0} \right) \frac{1}{C_e} + \frac{1}{Q_0},
\]

\[
\ln Q_e = \ln kf + \frac{1}{n} \ln C_e,
\]

\[
Q_e = \frac{(C_0 - C_e)V}{M},
\]

where \(C_0\) and \(C_e\) are the initial and equilibrium concentrations (mg/L) of MOD, \(Q_e\) is the equilibrium capacity (mg/g), \(Q_0\) is the monolayer adsorption capacity of the adsorbent (mg/g), \(b\) is the Langmuir constant (L/mg) which is related to adsorbent and adsorbate, \(kf\) and \(n\) are the adsorption Freundlich isotherm constants that affect the adsorption process such as adsorption capacity and intensity of adsorption, \(m\) is the mass (g) of the adsorbent, and \(V\) is the volume of the MOD solution (L).

In solving the parameters of Langmuir, a plot between \(1/C_e\) versus \(1/Q_e\) was performed using Origin Pro 2017 software. From the graph, the slope of the graph is equal to \(1/Q_0\), and by deriving and calculating the variable, the \(Q_0\) values were found. After plugging the values of \(Q_0\), the values of \(b\) was obtained. On the other hand, for solving the Freundlich parameters, a plot between \(\ln C_e\) versus \(\ln Q_e\) was carried out in Origin Pro 2017 software. From the graph, the value of \(1/n\) is equal to the slope while the value of \(\ln f\) is equal to the intercept of the graph. After that, by taking the natural exponential function of both sides, the value of \(k\) was obtained. The highest \(R^2\) value determines the most-fitted isotherm model. The model is presented in “Results and discussion” section.

In kinetic modeling, the optimal values of adsorbent dose and initial dye concentration generated by CCD with optimum condition of pH 2.0 were used with varying contact time to determine whether the pseudo-first-order or pseudo-second-order model best explains the adsorption mechanism. The linear forms of the pseudo-first-order and pseudo-second-order kinetic models are shown in Eqs. 5 and 6, respectively.

\[
\ln C_t = \ln C_0 - k_1t,
\]

\[
\frac{1}{C_t} = \frac{1}{C_0} + k_2t,
\]

where \(C_0\) is the initial concentration of MOD (mg/L), \(C_t\) is the concentration of reaction time (mg/L), \(t\) is the contact time (min), \(K_1\) is the first-order rate constant (h\(^{-1}\)), and \(K_2\) is the rate constant of second order (min/L).

A plot of \(\ln C_t\) versus \(t\) (min) was performed using Origin Pro 2017 software to enhance the quality of the graph. The \(-K_1\) and \(Q_e\) values were calculated from the slope and intercept. On the other hand, a linear graph of \(1/C_t\) versus \(t\) (min) was plotted to determine the pseudo-second-order constants. From the graph, the values of \(K_2\) and \(Q_e\) were obtained from the slope and intercept, respectively. The highest \(R^2\) value determines the best kinetic model. The model is presented in “Results and discussion” section.

**Results and discussion**

**Physical and chemical properties of PAC**

The morphological structure and properties of the press mud activated carbon (PAC) have been characterized by SEM analysis, and the images are presented in Fig. 2. It can be seen in Fig. 2a that the carbon has a fibrous appearance that can be associated with the sugarcane biomass where the press mud was derived from. Figure 2b is the carbon image at 50 μm magnification, exhibiting clear fibrous structure. Figure 2c, at 5 μm magnification, is where the carbon begins to show its micropores where the dyes could be trapped and adsorbed.

Microspores are very important in attracting water contaminant, since particles can be trapped in the pores (Azmé and Murshed 2018). Figure 2d shows where the carbon is at 2 μm magnification and exhibiting clear uneven crater-like microspores in the adsorbent. The profuse layering structure of the pores is suggestive of the expected adsorption of MOD onto the surface of the adsorbent (Tizo et al. 2018).

The Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (FTIR) analysis was used to predict the functional groups present in an adsorbent material. In this study, the FTIR spectrum of press mud activated carbon is presented in Fig. 3 and summarized in Table 3.

From Table 3, the wavelength of PAC ranges 3700–3200 cm\(^{-1}\) with an actual peak at 3628.1 cm\(^{-1}\)
indicated the presence of broad silanol groups that has O–H stretch of alcohol. The stretch of nitrile groups is observed in the peaks of 2303.5 cm$^{-1}$ and 2227.4 cm$^{-1}$. The amino group is shown in the N–H bending at ranges 1600–1555 cm$^{-1}$ with an actual peak at 1589.34 cm$^{-1}$. Alkyl group is evident in the bending of C–H at the peak of 1454.32 cm$^{-1}$. A strong peak at 1031.91 cm$^{-1}$ indicated the presence of alkyl halides and alkenes at the region of 920–820 cm$^{-1}$. The IR spectra indicated strong and weak peaks at 911.20 cm$^{-1}$, 806.24 cm$^{-1}$, and 688.58 cm$^{-1}$ which are associated with CH-bending. Similar functional groups were found in the study of Kumar and Tamilarasan (2013). Research works of Nandini (Nandini and Vishalakshi 2012) and Hakumar et al. (Harikumar et al. 2013) studied the IR spectra of MOD, and functional groups such as alkenes, amides, and nitriles were observed. Alkenes are nonpolar while amides and nitriles are polar. While in PAC, polar and nonpolar covalent bonds were also observed such as silanol, alkyl halides, and alkane. Since similar functional groups and covalent bonds were found on PAC, these may imply that the PAC has capability to attract and bind MOD. Furthermore, the high content of carbon that is derived from press mud can also help in the adsorption process (Azme and Murshed 2018).

**Methyl orange dye removal using PAC**

The experimentation was carried out according to the CCD experimental design shown in Table 4. The statistical results were produced through the values modeled
by response surface methodology via Design Expert 7.0 software. As presented in the table, the percent removal of MOD in aqueous solution using PAC ranges from 86.05 to 98.64%.

**Predictive model of MOD adsorption using PAC**

The CCD suggested a quadratic model to the most fitted in predicting the removal of MOD through adsorption. Table 5 proves the statistical analysis of the suitability of the quadratic model through ANOVA.

The $R^2$ value of 0.9567 is high which signifies that the model in predicting the percent removal is accurate. This also means that it is 95.67% sure that the generated model can explain the variable of the data. The surface quadratic model equation (Eq. 7) is based on the actual factors generated by Design Expert 7.0.

$$y = 31.40 + 3.31A + 42.12B + 0.65C - 0.24AB - 0.00328AC + 0.03BC - 0.06A^2 - 20.74B^2 - 0.00945C^2,$$

(7)

where $y$ is the predicted percent dye removal of MOD adsorb in water and $A$, $B$, and $C$ are the parameters given as initial concentration (mg/L), adsorbent dose (g), and contact time (min), respectively.

From the equation, if the initial dye concentration ($A$) alone increases, the dye removal increases since the equation has a positive coefficient. As similar to $A$, the adsorbent dose ($B$) and contact time ($C$) alone increases, it may result in higher percent removal of MOD. However, when $A$, $B$, and $C$ interacted with one another, one variable may favor to a higher percent removal while others may not. The interaction between $A$ and $B$ does not favor to a higher MOD removal since the value has a negative coefficient. Similar interaction was observed between $A$ and $C$ with both variables are combined and it may also result in lower percent removal. On the other hand, the interaction between $B$ and $C$ were favorable for higher percent removal since the value has a positive coefficient. Furthermore, when the values of $A$, $B$, and $C$ are squared in the model, it employed that the percent removal decreases since it showed a negative coefficient. Hence, the equation showed that there were antagonistic and synergistic effects if different variables are combined. Therefore, it is important to consider the variables since it imposes a positive or negative result in the percent removal of MOD.

Using the CCD established Eq. 7, the results of the actual runs were validated as shown in Table 6. The $F$ value model of 24.53 implies that the model is significant. This implied that the model $p$ value < 0.0001 was significant. In this case, $A$, $B$, $A^2$, $B^2$, and $C^2$ are significant models and there was

| Table 3 FTIR functional groups of the PAC | Functional group | Wavelength peak (cm$^{-1}$) | Bond |
|------------------------------------------|------------------|-----------------|------|
|                                          |                  | Range           | Actual |
|                                          | Silanol (alcohol)| 3700–3200       | 3628.1 | O–H stretch |
|                                          | 1,3 Aryl subs. isocyanate (nitriles) | 2305–2235 | 2303.5 | C=NN stretch |
|                                          | 3-Aryl substituted (nitriles) | 2290–2205 | 2227.4 | C≡N stretch |
|                                          | Aromatic amino acid (amides) | 1600–1555 | 1589.34 | N–H bend |
|                                          | Aliphatic anhydride (alkane/alkyl) | 1470–1425 | 1454.32 | C–H bend |
|                                          | Alkyl halides | 1090–1020 | 1031.91 | C–F stretch |
|                                          | Alkenes | 920–820 | 911.20 | =C–H bend |
|                                          | Unsaturated hydrocarbon (alkane/alkyl) | 855–785 | 806.24 | C–H bend |
|                                          | Tertiary amine hydrochloride (alkane/alkyl) | 850–680 | 688.58 | C–H bend |

| Table 4 Percent removal of MOD using PAC | Run | Operating variable | Removal (%) |
|------------------------------------------|-----|-------------------|-------------|
|                                          |     | Initial MOD concentration (mg/L) | Adsorbent dose (g) | Contact time (min) |
|                                          | 1   | 20                | 0.75        | 30              | 98.64            |
|                                          | 2   | 15                | 0.50        | 20              | 89.76            |
|                                          | 3   | 20                | 0.75        | 30              | 95.83            |
|                                          | 4   | 20                | 0.75        | 30              | 98.64            |
|                                          | 5   | 20                | 0.25        | 30              | 88.81            |
|                                          | 6   | 10                | 0.75        | 30              | 86.05            |
|                                          | 7   | 15                | 1.00        | 20              | 93.51            |
|                                          | 8   | 20                | 0.75        | 30              | 98.64            |
|                                          | 9   | 25                | 1.00        | 20              | 96.67            |
|                                          | 10  | 20                | 0.75        | 30              | 97.24            |
|                                          | 11  | 20                | 0.75        | 10              | 93.03            |
|                                          | 12  | 20                | 0.75        | 50              | 95.13            |
|                                          | 13  | 15                | 1.00        | 40              | 94.44            |
|                                          | 14  | 15                | 0.50        | 40              | 90.70            |
|                                          | 15  | 25                | 0.50        | 40              | 94.42            |
|                                          | 16  | 25                | 1.00        | 40              | 97.23            |
|                                          | 17  | 25                | 0.50        | 20              | 94.42            |
|                                          | 18  | 20                | 0.75        | 30              | 97.94            |
|                                          | 19  | 20                | 1.25        | 30              | 96.54            |
|                                          | 20  | 30                | 0.75        | 30              | 96.75            |
only 0.01% chance of performing an error in predicting the MOD percent removal via PAC using the model. Furthermore, using the surface quadratic model equation in predicting the MOD percent removal via PAC was 99.99% reliable. While the insignificant terms are the values obtaining a $p$ value of $>0.05$ including the lack of fit $p$ value. The nonsignificant lack of fit confirms the applicability of the surface quadratic model to the response.

### Effects of initial concentration, adsorbent dose, and time on MOD removal

The different effect of the operating parameters to the MOD adsorption and removal was validated by varying the initial concentration (10–30 mg/L), adsorbent dose (0.25–1.25 g), contact time (10–50 min), and using the optimal pH of 2.0. The ANOVA 3D model graph result implies that initial concentration, adsorbent dose, and contact time have a significant effect on the removal and adsorption of MOD efficiency. The effects of the parameters are more explained in Fig. 4.

Figure 4a shows the three-dimensional response surface methodology between initial concentration and adsorbent dose at optimum pH 2, and the effect of initial concentration and adsorbent dose in the MOD percent removal was evaluated in ranges 15–25 ppm and 0.50–1 g.

The ANOVA model graph result implies that the percent removal increased with increasing MOD concentration and adsorbent dose. In higher concentration from 20 to 25 mg/L, the percent removal was slower; this may employ that the availability of active sites on the surface of the adsorbent is becoming lower (Kumar and Tamilarasan 2013). Meanwhile, the rapid increase in percent removal as adsorbent dose increases from 0.50 to 0.75 g is due to the availability of the adsorption sites on the surface of the adsorbent where the dye molecules can be trapped (Lin et al. 2017).

Figure 4b shows the three-dimensional response surface methodology between adsorbent dose and contact time in the MOD percent removal in ranges 0.50–1 g and 20–40 min. The ANOVA model graph result implies
that the increase in adsorbent dose and initial concentration means an increase in the percent removal during the adsorption process. As the contact time increases from 30 to 35 min, there is a gradual decrease in removal efficiency; this may due to the decrease in adsorption sites, and at 30 min, it already reaches adsorption equilibrium (Rao et al. 2011). On the other hand, as the adsorbent dose increase from 0.88 to 1 g, there is only slight difference in percent removal observed due to the interaction of the particles in bulk phase (Mi-na et al. 2006).

Figure 4c shows the three-dimensional response surface methodology between initial concentration and contact time in the MOD percent removal. The ANOVA model graph result implies that the increase in initial concentration and contact time means an increase in the percent removal during the adsorption process. At lower contact time, from 20 to 30 min, the adsorption was rapid since the equilibrium time for the adsorption process has not been reached (Priyantha et al. 2015). On the other hand, at higher concentration from 22.50 to 25 mg/L, the dye uptake gradually decreases; this is due to accumulation of dye adsorbate which lead to the diffusion of dyes in the solution which made the adsorption removal slower (Bouaziz et al. 2015).

**Optimized conditions for MOD removal through PAC**

The optimization was conducted in order to verify the maximum percent removal efficiency suggested by the CCD along with the best conditions of variables. The optimum parameter values were generated by the optimized conditions.
The optimum values generated was 24.17 ppm initial concentration, 0.5 g of adsorbent dose, and 20 min contact time having the optimum predicted value percent removal of 94.60% (Table 7). With the optimum values of the operating parameters, actual verification runs were conducted which resulted in 98.68% removal.

The verification run achieved a close result having a percent error value of 4.31% from the predicted value of 94.60% and an actual value of 98.68%. This means that the percentage error is 4.31%, and the percentage error is acceptable since it reached a result that is less than 5% through the independent variables. This high MOD percent removal is comparable to that in the study by Haldorai and Shim (2014) of 98% removal efficiency using chitosan/MgO composite adsorbent and higher to that of the study by Lu et al. (2016) of more than 92% using NiFe-layered double hydroxides (LDHs). The adsorption capacity \( Q_e \) was obtained by using the optimum values generated by CCD. The adsorption capacity of the adsorbent at optimum conditions for MOD removal using PAC was 23.89 mg/g. This implies that 23.89 mg/g of MOD in the aqueous solution is adsorbed by 1 g of PAC.

### Table 7 MOD removal via adsorption optimization and validation

| Experiment | Variable | MOD removal (%) |
|------------|----------|-----------------|
| Initial concentration (ppm) | Adsorbent dose (g) | Contact time (min) |
| CCD | 24.17 | 0.5 | 20 | 94.60 |
| Validation | 24.17 | 0.5 | 20 | 98.68 ± 0.33 |

Optimization criteria: in range initial concentration (15–25 mg/L); minimize adsorbent dose and contact time; maximize MOD removal.

Most-fitted isotherm model for MOD removal via adsorption

The most-fitted isotherm model was evaluated via experimental runs under optimum conditions of 0.50 g of adsorbent, 20 min contact time, and varying the MOD concentration of 14.17, 19.17, 24.17, 29.17, and 34.17 mg/L. The plot of Langmuir and Freundlich isotherms is shown in Fig. 5 and isotherm constants are summarized in Table 8.

From the table, the Langmuir isotherm model is the best-fitted isotherm model having \( R^2 = 0.96103 \) compared to the Freundlich isotherm model having \( R^2 = 0.919 \). This implies that the adsorption process follows monolayer adsorption on the surface of the adsorbent (Priyantha et al. 2015), and the force of attraction existing between adsorbate and adsorbent is physisorption or also called Van der Waals forces which implies that the attraction between adsorbate and adsorbent are weak (Chiou et al. 2003).

Mechanism of adsorption via kinetic model

Figure 6 shows the result of the kinetic model experimental runs. Determination of the most-fitted kinetic model was conducted through kinetic model experimental runs under the optimum conditions of the MOD initial concentration of 24.17 ppm, an adsorbent dose of 0.5 g, and varying the

### Table 8 Isotherm model constants and correlation coefficients

| Variable | Value | Freundlich |
|----------|-------|------------|
| \( b \) (mg) | 64.22 | \( 1/n \) | 0.27041 |
| \( Q_o \) (mg/g) | 0.29 | \( K_f \) | 2.5978 |
| \( R^2 \) | 0.96103 | \( R^2 \) | 0.919 |

Fig. 5 Isotherm plots of a Langmuir and b Freundlich models
contact time of 20 min. The kinetic constants are summarized in Table 9.

From Table 9, the pseudo-first order best describes the adsorption mechanism having an $R^2$ value of 0.96096 rather than pseudo-second order with $R^2$ value of 0.94347. This implies that the rate of adsorption by the active sites is proportional to the non-active sites (Crini and Badot 2008) and the type of reaction is directly proportional to the concentration of the reactants (Lin et al. 2017).

## Conclusion

The study was conducted to remove the methyl orange dye (MOD) contaminant from aqueous solution through press mud activated carbon (PAC) via adsorption. The press mud activated carbon has a considerable number of micropores responsible for the removal of MOD up to 98.68%. Various functional groups were found on PAC, such as alcohols, nitriles, amides, alkanes, alkyl halides, and alkenes. With similar functional groups and covalent bonds on both PAC and MOD, the PAC has the capability to attract and bind MOD and proceed with the adsorption process. The removal of MOD contaminant from aqueous solution through activated carbon can be predicted through a significant quadratic model. The 3D surface ANOVA model graph implies that the increase in adsorbent dose and initial concentration resulted in an increase in percent removal of MOD. However, contact time had minimal effect. Optimum MOD removal of 98.68% can be achieved at 24.17 ppm initial concentration, 0.5 g of adsorbent dose, and 20 min contact time. Adsorption mechanism is explained by the most-fitted Langmuir isotherm ($R^2 = 0.96103$) and pseudo-first-order kinetic model ($R^2 = 0.96096$) implying that the adsorption happened in monolayer and in physisorption whereby active sites is proportional to the non-active sites during the adsorption process.
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