Bacteriocin production optimization applying RSM and hybrid (ANN-GA) method for the indigenous culture of *Pediococcus pentosaceus* Sanna 14
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**ABSTRACT**

The present study optimized the submerged fermentation conditions of *Pediococcus pentosaceus* Sanna 14 culture to improve bacteriocin yield by applying response surface methodology (RSM) and hybrid artificial neural network-genetic algorithm (ANN-GA). A full factorial central composite design (CCD) of RSM was applied to assess the effect of four principle variables, i.e., pH (4.0–8.0), agitation (120–220 rpm), sucrose (20–40 g/l), and peptone (5–20 g/l), on the yield of bacteriocin. The RSM optimized the experimental results of pH (7.0), agitation (200), sucrose (40 g/l), and peptone (20 g/l), and supported a higher yield (2.4 g/l) of bacteriocin and was validated applying ANN-GA methodology. The RSM bacteriocin yield (2.4 mg/l) was found to match with the ANN-predicted yield (2.4 mg/l). GA results confirmed the genetic fitness of the culture of *P. pentosaceus* Sanna 14 during fermentation. The present study registered a sixfold increase in bacteriocin yield (2.4 mg/l) compared to the yield (0.4 mg/l) of the unoptimized process conditions.

**INTRODUCTION**

Lactic acid-producing bacteria (LAB) are Gram-positive, non-spore forming, non-motile, non-respiring bacteria (*Montet and Ray, 2016; Ray, 2020*). The various antimicrobial and industrially important compounds produced by these LAB comprise lactic acid (*Mayo et al.*, 2008), acetic acid (*Ramsey et al.*, 2014), ethanol (*Ray and Joshi, 2014*), formic acid, fatty acids, hydrogen peroxide, and bacteriocin (*Vanderbergh, 1993*). Bacteriocins are ribosomal synthesized small antimicrobial proteins produced mainly by members of LAB and possess antimicrobial activity toward other bacteria, while synthesizing organisms are resistant to their own bacteriocins (*Caulier et al.*, 2019; *Chen and Hoover 2003; Perez et al.*, 2014). Bacteriocins are reputed as bio-preservatives due to their generally recognized as safe status (*Singh, 2018*). Bacteriocins are classified into different classes and turned out to be inactive as soon as they were treated with gastrointestinal enzyme in the stomach and were found to be harmless for human consumption (*Khandelwal and Upendra, 2019; Khandelwal et al.*, 2015). Class I bacteriocins named Lantibiotics are bound to the type II lipid of the bacterial membrane which serves as a transporter of N-acetylmuramic acid, N-acetylglucosamine subunits of peptidoglycan layer from bacterial cytoplasm to its cell wall. This action prevents the synthesis of the bacterial cell wall and promotes cell death. In addition, bacteriocins apportioned in the class II type possess amphiphilic helical structures and insert themselves into to the bacterial membrane and promote depolarization, which in turn leads to the death of the bacterial cell. Class III bacteriocins catalyze the breakdown of the cell wall of Gram-positive bacteria, cause the lysis of bacteria, and promote its death (*Tulini, 2014*). The human gastrointestinal (GI) tract consists of layers such as mucosa, submucosa, epithelial cell lining, mucus layer, and serosa. Probiotic microorganisms are colonized in the gut of the
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human GI tract and produce bacteriocins to compete with the sensitive bacteria, hence reducing the load of bacteriocin-sensitive bacteria present at the GI tract. Due to the natural harsh conditions of the human gut, the colonized probiotic bacteria may produce bacteriocins lesser than the minimal inhibitory concentration levels, hence it inhibits the bacterial growth and are not harmful to humans (Dicks et al., 2018).

Bacteriocins, as a probiotic ingredient, exhibit different food applications, such as extend shelf life of food, preservation (Balciunas et al., 2013), control microbial spoilage of beer, wine, alcohol fermentation (Gabrielsen et al., 2014; Kjos et al., 2011), and are also used in antimicrobial packaging film to prevent microbial growth (Malhotra et al., 2015). A bacteriocin named Nisin was approved by the US-Food and Drug Administration as a food preservative and is widely used in canned foods, dairy products, meat products, and alcoholic beverages in more than 50 countries around the world (Barbour et al., 2020; Zhang and Jin, 2015).

Due to the wide use of conventional antibiotics in dealing with human diseases, multidrug resistance (MDR) strains appeared and are a major threat to mankind. To control MDR strains in food and feed products, bacteriocins can be used as antimicrobial substances instead of antibiotics. Bacteriocins are a viable alternative to traditional antibiotics in controlling infections caused by Gram-negative bacteria, i.e., Escherichia coli and Salmonella typhimurium, and Gram-positive bacteria, such as Listeria monocytogenes ( Cotter et al., 2012; Helander et al., 1997; Khan et al., 2015). Bacteriocins are used for therapeutic purposes, i.e., atopic dermatitis, abdominal ulcers, and immune deficiency conditions (Perez et al., 2014). Nisin is used in the development of various healthcare products, such as toothpaste and skin care products, and in the treatment of cancer therapy (Mishra et al., 2020; Yang et al., 2014).

Several groups of LAB, i.e., Enterococcus, Oenococcus, Leuconostoc, Lactobacillus, Pediococcus, Lactococcus, and Streptococcus, were reported with bacteriocin-producing abilities (Lorca and de Valdez, 2009). Among these genera, nearly 415 species were reported to be LAB species (Euzéby, 1997; Parte, 2014). Bacteriocins such as pediocin AcH or pediocin PA-1 ( Motlagh et al., 1992) isolated from the strains of Pediococcm acidilactici were used in meat and vegetable fermentations (Bhunia et al., 1998). In several food systems, bacteriocins (pediocins) were used successfully to inhibit foodborne pathogens such as L. monocytogenes (Pucci et al., 1988; Yousef et al., 1991). Pediococcus pentosaceus was isolated for the first time in the year 1953 from cucumber fermentation (Costilow et al., 1956). Several investigators proved the bacteriocin-producing abilities of the strain P. pentosaceus (Gutiérrez-Cortés et al., 2018; Svetoslav and Dicks, 2009; Wu et al., 2004; Zommi et al., 2018).

The biggest challenge in the bioprocess was providing optimal fermentation conditions for the economically feasible bioprocesses (Upendra et al., 2013). Response surface methodology (RSM) is an effective and convenient method for designing experiments, building models, and screening key factors of process conditions (Kar et al., 2009; Upendra and Khandelwal, 2021; Upendra et al., 2015b). RSM employed with the hybrid artificial neural network-genetic algorithm (ANN-GA) will be able to address the nonlinear relationship between the actual and coded factors (Upendra et al., 2014a). The hybrid ANN-GA provides validated results and assesses the genetic fitness of organisms during the process.

In our earlier studies, bacteriocin-synthesizing LAB species, identified from unexplored food sources, were characterized as P. pentosaceus through 16S RNA typing. 16S RNA forward strand sequence was deposited in a nucleotide data bank, i.e., GenBank, of NCBI with issued accession number MF183113 (Upendra et al., 2016a). Scanty research is documented on the optimization of the submerged fermentation (SmF) process for higher bacteriocin yield applying RSM and hybrid ANN-GA. No study was found on the optimization of P. pentosaceus SmF culture for higher bacteriocin yield applying RSM and hybrid ANN-GA. With this lacuna, the aim of the present study is to optimize the conditions of the SmF process for the indigenous cultures of P. pentosaceus to achieve enhanced yield of bacteriocins by applying the RSM and hybrid ANN-GA design models. A full factorial central composite design (CCD) of RSM was used to evaluate the effect of four SmF process variables, such as pH, agitation, sucrose, and peptone, on the yield of bacteriocin. Furthermore, RSM results were validated by applying the hybrid ANN-GA methodology. The study reported a sixfold increase in bacteriocin yield (2.4 mg/l), with respect to the unoptimized process yield (0.4 g/l) for the SmF cultures of P. pentosaceus Sanna 14.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The chemicals and all the reagents used in the present study represent analytical grade quality (Merck and Qualigens).

Microorganism

Bacteriocin-producing strains employed in the study, such as P. pentosaceus Sanna 14 strain (GenBank MF183113), were isolated by the same research group (Khandelwal and Upendra, 2019; Khandelwal et al., 2017). Pediococcus pentosaceus LAB culture was grown on Mann Rogassa Sharpe (MRS) agar slants at 37°C with pH adjusted to 6.2 for 18–24 hours (Panda et al., 2009) and completely grown slants were preserved at 4°C for optimization studies (Thirimurugan et al., 2013). The inoculum was prepared on the MRS broth pH 6.2 by inoculating a loop full of microorganisms from a culture plate in aseptic conditions and incubated for 18–24 hours, 37°C at 120 rpm (Zamfir et al., 2000) in the orbital shaker incubator (Remi Pvt. Ltd, Bombay, India).

Response surface methodology (RSM)

Experimental design using CCD of RSM

RSM is a pool of mathematical and modeling tools applied in building an experimental model design to analyze the response impact of multivariable process parameters on the overall process yield (Kar et al., 2009; Upendra et al., 2014b, 2015b). Type of carbon source, type of nitrogen source, pH, temperatures, and agitation of the fermentation process were the most important process parameters influencing the bacteriocin yield (Gautam and Sharma, 2009; Upendra, 2017). The present study developed a four-factor experimental design applying a CCD of RSM with 30 experimental runs using the Design-Expert software version 9.0.0.7 to evaluate the optimum conditions of the
four principle bacteriocin SmF process parameters selected from the literature survey (Biswas et al., 1991; Ray, 1995; Senbagam et al., 2013; Upendra et al., 2016b), i.e., pH (4.0–8.0), agitation (120–220 rpm), sucrose (20–40 g/l), and peptone (5–20 g/l). All were taken at a central-coded value considered as zero. It was observed from the literature review that sucrose was evidently the best source for the production of bacteriocin for the culture of \textit{P. pentosaceus} (Suganthi and Mohanasrinivasan, 2015). The full experimental design layout is discussed in Table 1. Optimization experiments were carried out in batch phases considering the CCD of the RSM design, as shown in Table 1 in the conical flask (250 ml) with 100 ml volume as production media (MRS + optimized trail), along with MRS media alone conical flask as unoptimized process standard. 10% \textit{v/v} (10\textsuperscript{6} colony forming unit/ml) of culture of \textit{P. pentosaceus} strain inoculum (Gutiérrez-Cortés et al., 2018) was transferred aseptically to 250 ml of production media (MRS + optimized trail) and unoptimized conical flask (MRS) and incubated at 37°C for the period of 72 hours.

\textit{Analysis of RSM optimization studies}

The RSM optimized values of bacteriocin production were tested through the analysis of variance (ANOVA) study. A second-order polynomial response equation was applied to give the yield of bacteriocins (Eq. 1) as follows:

\begin{equation}
\beta_0 + \sum_i \beta_i X_i + \sum_{i,j} \beta_{ij} X_i X_j
\end{equation}

where \(Y\) is the bacteriocin yield, \(\beta_0\) is the intercept, \(\beta_i\) is the linear direct effect coefficient, and \(\beta_{ij}\) is the interaction effect coefficient. The coded equation is useful for predicting the combined influence of factors by comparing the factor coefficients (Myers and Montgomery, 1995; Upendra and Katta, 2021).

\begin{table}[h]
\centering
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline
\textbf{Run} & \textbf{pH} & \textbf{Agitation} (rpm) & \textbf{Sucrose} (g/l) & \textbf{Peptone} (g/l) & \textbf{Bacteriocin yield (mg/l)} & RSM-predicted values & ANN-predicted values \\
\hline
1 & 6 & 50 & 25 & 12.5 & 0.02 & 0.008 & −2.50E-05 \\
2 & 6 & 150 & 55 & 12.5 & 1.3 & 1.2583 & 1.300153961 \\
3 & 5 & 100 & 40 & 20 & 0.8 & 0.8291 & 0.967145343 \\
4 & 6 & 150 & 25 & 12.5 & 1.5 & 1.4987 & 1.499874792 \\
5 & 7 & 200 & 40 & 5 & 0.9 & 1.0458 & 0.868414534 \\
6 & 5 & 100 & 10 & 20 & 0.1 & −0.05375 & 0.1003797 \\
7 & 5 & 200 & 10 & 20 & 0.3 & 0.2958 & 0.299768672 \\
8 & 5 & 200 & 40 & 20 & 0.5 & 0.4625 & 0.500040397 \\
9 & 6 & 250 & 25 & 12.5 & 1.3 & 1.275 & 1.300153961 \\
10 & 5 & 200 & 10 & 5 & 0.1 & 0.1125 & 0.1003797 \\
11 & 6 & 150 & 25 & 10 & 20 & 0.2 & 0.02458363 & 0.200208672 \\
12 & 6 & 150 & 25 & 27.5 & 1.1 & 1.1416 & 1.100107243 \\
13 & 6 & 150 & 25 & 12.5 & 1.4 & 1.433 & 1.398932662 \\
14 & 6 & 150 & −5 & 12.5 & 0 & 0.024 & −2.50E-05 \\
15 & 5 & 100 & 10 & 5 & 0.1 & 0.0958 & 0.113797 \\
16 & 6 & 250 & 25 & 12.5 & 0.1 & 0.1125 & 0.1003797 \\
17 & 7 & 100 & 10 & 5 & 0.1 & 0.0958 & 0.113797 \\
18 & 6 & 150 & 25 & 10 & 20 & 0.2 & 0.02458363 & 0.200208672 \\
19 & 6 & 150 & 25 & 12.5 & 1.4 & 1.378 & 1.398932662 \\
20 & 7 & 100 & 40 & 5 & 0.1 & 0.1125 & 0.1003797 \\
21 & 7 & 100 & 10 & 5 & 0.1 & 0.1125 & 0.1003797 \\
22 & 7 & 100 & 10 & 20 & 0.2 & 0.02458363 & 0.200208672 \\
23 & 6 & 150 & 25 & 12.5 & 1.4 & 1.378 & 1.398932662 \\
24 & 6 & 150 & 25 & 12.5 & 0.2 & 0.2583 & 0.200208672 \\
25 & 8 & 150 & 25 & 12.5 & 1.2 & 1.0458 & 0.868414534 \\
26 & 7 & 200 & 40 & 20 & 0.3 & 0.299768672 & 0.299768672 \\
27 & 5 & 100 & 10 & 5 & 0 & 0.0625 & −2.50E-05 \\
28 & 7 & 200 & 10 & 20 & 1.1 & 1.0984 & 1.100107243 \\
29 & 7 & 200 & 10 & 5 & 0.7 & 0.67916 & 1.054303835 \\
30 & 7 & 100 & 40 & 20 & 1.1 & 1.1125 & 1.100107243 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\caption{Comparison of CCD-RSM and ANN results with bacteriocin yield.}
\end{table}
Downstream processing of bacteriocin

After 72 hours of incubation, the bacteriocins produced were harvested from the spent broth by centrifuging at 10,000 g for 21 minutes at 4°C. Supernatant was treated with solid ammonium sulfate at 50% saturation and stirred at 4°C for 2 hours, centrifuged at 14,000 g for 1 hour at 4°C. The pellets thus obtained were suspended using 25 ml of 0.05 M potassium phosphate buffer (pH 7.0) and used in the estimation of bacteriocin with bovine serum albumin as standard by employing Lowry’s method (de Arauz et al., 2009; Upendra et al., 2016a).

Confirmation of bacteriocins by ATR FTIR

Qualitative determination of purified bacteriocin was achieved by employing the FTIR/Diamond ATR method. The FTIR model employed in the present study was FTIR-8400S, Shimadzu brand. ATR was fixed to the FTIR instrument at 45° angle, with a sampling area of 1 mm diameter and a sampling depth of several microns. A salt disk was prepared compressing 10 mg sample and 100 mg of potassium bromide mixture and was placed on the ATR diamond disk. The sample was scanned at 4,000–400 wave numbers (cm\(^{-1}\)) for absorbance measurements with 1 cm\(^{-1}\) as resolution (Halami et al., 2011).

Validation by hybrid ANN-GA

Artificial neural network

The CCD of the RSM design-optimized process parameters supporting a higher yield of bacteriocin was compared and validated by applying the multilevel feed forward model of ANN, designed using Neural Network MATLAB (version R 2014a software, USA) statistical software for simulation. The same experimental data of the CCD of RSM design were employed in designing the ANN analysis. The input variables taken were pH (4–8), agitation (120–220 rpm), sucrose (4.0–7.0), and fermentation time (8–14 days). The optimum yield of bacteriocin was used as a target. The data taken for the assessment were divided into three sets, such as training set with 70%, followed by validation (15%) and test (15%) datasets (Upendra et al., 2015a). The validation studies were carried out using the Levenberg–Marquardt algorithm consisting of trainlm training function. Assessed variables and response data were kept between 0 and 1 to reduce the network error. The normalization equation applied was as follows (Eq. 2):

\[
Y_a = \frac{Y_i - Y_{\text{min}}}{Y_{\text{max}} - Y_{\text{min}}}
\]

where \(Y_a\), \(Y_i\), \(Y_{\text{min}}\), and \(Y_{\text{max}}\) are normalized value, actual value, minimum value, and maximum value, respectively.

Genetic Algorithm (GA)

The genetic algorithm (GA) is a stochastic-based global optimizing evolutionary algorithm built on the principle of survival of the fittest theory proposed by Darwin.

The design follows five simple steps such as population, representation, variation, selection, and reproduction (Pasandideh and Niaki, 2006). GA was developed using MATLAB (version R 2014a software, USA). The ANN model employed was used to assess the fitness of GA design. At each step, the algorithm uses the individuals in the current generation to create the next population and screens the probable occurrence of variation on the population and accesses the genetic fitness of the organisms when exposed to the optimized conditions of the process (Peng et al., 2014), using Equation 3 as follows:

\[
Y_{\text{Weight}} = 21 + e - 1 + \text{hidden layer bias} \times bH
\]  

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Response surface methodology (RSM)

Experimental design using the CCD of RSM

UV spectrophotometric estimated values of extracted bacteriocin (mg/l) are discussed in Table 1. The results of the CCD of RSM experiments studied four independent variables of bacteriocin production, which are presented in Table 1. Based on these results, a quadratic polynomial equation was established to screen the correlation between bacteriocin yield and the studied process variables (Table 1). The final equation in terms of coded factors represents the yield of bacteriocin (Eq. 4) as follows:

\[
\begin{align*}
Y \text{ (mg/l)} &= + 1.48 + 0.20A + 0.25B + 0.17C + 0.27D + 0.19AB - 0.063AC + 0.13AD - 0.012BC + 0.17BD + 0.18CD - 0.21A^2 - 0.31B^2 - 0.16C^2 - 0.23D^2 \\
\end{align*}
\]

where \(Y\) represents the bacteriocin yield (mg/l), \(A\) denotes pH, \(B\) represents agitation (rpm), \(C\) is the sucrose (g/l), and \(D\) specifies peptone (g/l). The specified equation is used in measuring the final bacteriocin yield. The values of coded factors were kept between high (+1) and low (−1) levels.

Statistical analysis of RSM optimization studies

The experimental values with respect to predicted values are compared in Table 1. The high F-value (157.89) denotes that the employed model was significant, with only 0.73% chance for the influence of noise in the model. The coefficient values and p-values discussed in Table 1 denote the mutual interaction between the coefficients. Lesser p-values suggest more impact of assessed factors on the final output (Senbagam et al., 2013). P-values in Table 2 specify that the coefficients of \(A\), \(B\), \(C\), \(D\), \((A^2)\), and \((B^2)\), all the quadratic coefficients \((A^2 B^2 C^2 D^2)\), and five of interaction coefficients, i.e., \(AB\), \(AD\), \(BC\), \(BD\), and \(CD\) were found to be highly significant. Only \(AC\) was reported be non-significant.

F-value of 2.66 indicates a insignificant impact of lack of fit relative to the pure error of the model (0.013).

The response surface graph studied explains the interactive effect of independent variables, i.e., pH, agitation, sucrose, and peptone, on the bacteriocin yield (Fig. 1). Figure 1A shows the response surface interaction between the variables pH and agitation (rpm), while keeping the other two variables (sucrose and peptone) at zero level. The results confirm that the increase in pH (7.0) and agitation (200 rpm) reportedly increased the bacteriocin yield to 1.8 mg/l. Figure 1B shows the effect of pH and peptone on bacteriocin yield, keeping agitation and sucrose at zero level. The graph shows that the maximum bacteriocin production (1.8 mg/l) occurred at pH (7.0) and peptone (20 g/l), which agrees with the model. Figure 1C shows the effect of agitation (rpm) and sucrose on bacteriocin production, keeping pH and peptone at zero level. The graph shows that the maximum bacteriocin production (1.9 mg/l) occurred at agitation (200 rpm) and sucrose
Table 2. ANOVA table for the response surface quadratic model.

| Source     | Sum of squares | df | Mean square | F-value | p-value prob > F |
|------------|----------------|----|-------------|---------|-----------------|
| Model      | 12.40          | 14 | 0.89        | 157.89  | <0.0001         |
| A-pH       | 1.13           | 1  | 1.13        | 200.79  | <0.0001         |
| B-agitation| 2.41           | 1  | 2.41        | 428.91  | <0.0001         |
| C-sucrose  | 2.28           | 1  | 2.28        | 406.63  | <0.0001         |
| D-peptide  | 2.16           | 1  | 2.16        | 384.95  | <0.0001         |
| AB         | 0.30           | 1  | 0.30        | 53.91   | <0.0001         |
| AC         | 0.000          | 1  | 0.000       | 0.000   | 1.0000          |
| AD         | 0.063          | 1  | 0.063       | 11.14   | 0.0045          |
| BC         | 0.12           | 1  | 0.12        | 21.83   | 0.0033          |
| BD         | 0.090          | 1  | 0.090       | 16.04   | 0.0011          |
| CD         | 0.72           | 1  | 0.72        | 128.76  | <0.0001         |
| A^2        | 0.94           | 1  | 0.94        | 168.06  | <0.0001         |
| B^2        | 1.07           | 1  | 1.07        | 191.48  | <0.0001         |
| C^2        | 1.07           | 1  | 1.07        | 191.48  | <0.0001         |
| D^2        | 1.36           | 1  | 1.36        | 242.91  | <0.0001         |
| Residual   | 0.084          | 15 | 5.611E-003  |         |                 |
| Lack of fit| 0.071          | 10 | 7.083E-003  | 2.66    | 0.1462          |
| Pure error | 0.013          | 5  | 2.667E-003  |         |                 |
| Cor total  | 12.49          | 29 |             |         |                 |

Validation by hybrid ANN-GA

Artificial neural network

The comparison of RSM- and ANN-predicted values is discussed in Table 1; the error of 0.005 indicates that the design applied was significant. The simulated value of the bacteriocin yield, predicted by the feed forward model (3.064 mg/g dry matter) of ANN, was in close agreement with the experimental values (3.065 mg/g dry matter) and higher than the predicted value of CCD of RSM (Table 1).

The study used the optimal architecture feed forward neural networks of ANN model topology (Fig. 4A), which possesses three layers of ANN, i.e., input layer consisting of the RSM design suggested optimized trail value; the hidden layer (tansig) has 11 neurons; and the output layer (purelin) has a linearized transfer function. 30 data points (n=30) were taken to develop the ANN model, in that 70% data were used for training, 15% for testing, and 15% for validation.

In the present model, the training was completed after six iterations (epochs), and the study calculated the mean square (40 g/l) level. Figure 1D shows the outcome of agitation (rpm) and peptone on bacteriocin yield, with pH and sucrose at zero level. The graph explains that maximum bacteriocin yield (1.8 mg/l) measured at agitation (200 rpm) and peptone (20 g/l). Figure 1E shows the effect of agitation (rpm) and peptone on bacteriocin yield, considering pH and agitation at zero level. The graph shows that the maximum bacteriocin production (1.8 mg/l) occurred at sucrose (40 g/l) and peptone (20 g/l), which agrees with the model.

The predicted RSM design $R^2$ value (0.9658) was in close agreement with the measured $R^2$ of 0.9933. This implies that more than 99.00% of the variation values for bacteriocin yield were address by the independent variables and the model does not explain only about less than 1.00% of variations. The adequate precision value is used to quantify the ratio of signal to background noise, which is usually greater than 4. The present ratio of 45.389 indicates that a polynomial-based quadratic model exhibits adequate signal; hence, the model directs the design space. The goodness of fit values of the RSM design employed indicates that the experimental output values lie on the 45°, which is usually greater than 4. The present ratio of 45.389 indicates that a polynomial-based quadratic model exhibits adequate signal; hence, the model directs the design space. Therefore, the model is adequate for the design of experiments.
error value (0.000466888) of the design (Fig. 4B). Furthermore, a regression-based assessment between ANN design outputs and the experimental received data was carried out and the results indicate the accurate prediction. The experimental data used in the prediction show the correlation coefficient ($r^2$) value of 0.99416 for all data (Fig. 4C) and demonstrate that the established ANN model is significant and can be utilized to predict the optimal topology. The quality of input data was assessed through error histograms. For the present study, the error reported to be between 0.033 and 0.004 indicates that the employed design model is highly significant (Fig. 4D).

**Genetic algorithm**

The hybrid ANN-GA method was employed to optimize the input values of four variables studied and validated applying CCD of RSM and ANN models, respectively, with the aim of enhancing the final yield of bacteriocin for the SmF cultures of *P. pentosaceus* Sanna 14. The GA program was implemented in MATLAB (version R 2014a software, USA). The following expression was utilized to analyze the fitness assessment of an individual (solution) in a population:

$$\epsilon_j = 1 - \frac{1}{J} = 1, 2, \ldots, N$$

In this equation, $\epsilon$ represents the fitness score of the $j$th solution and $y_{pred}$ defines lovastatin yield predicted by design model employed in response to the given candidate solution.

The optimum solution for the screened process was achieved by recapitulating the optimized process conditions for different GA input variable conditions. GA inputs of the previous literature reported that the solution must be a global

---

**Figure 1.** Three-dimensional graphs representing the linear relationship between the two parameters with respect to bacteriocin yield.
Figure 2. Predicted versus actual values for the RSM design. The graph shows a linear relationship between predicted and actual values. The optimum bacteriocin yield is 2.4 mg/l.

Figure 3. Attenuated total reflectance-Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy spectra of extracted bacteriocin (Trail 26).
optimal solution (Verma et al., 2014). The best fitness plot accessed during the analysis after 50 generations explains the steady progression of the results with respect to the optimal solution. The sum of mutations declines along with the average distance measures between individuals, which is nearly 0 for the final generation (Fig. 5A). The working model of the GA is shown in Figure 5B. The GA design assessment stops once the maximum generation value is attained (50). The maximum time limit measured in seconds and the results shown in the Figure 5B explain that 100% criteria were met. The selection function of GA is shown in Figure 5C. Fitness values at each generation is shown in Figure 5D; the vertical line at individual generations was smallest to the largest fitness value range; fitness measures indicate that the quantity of mutations declines. These plots represent that the dipping mutation values reduce the diversity rate of successive generations. GA reported that the optimal set of factors studied, i.e., pH (7.0), agitation (200 rpm), sucrose (40 g/l), and peptone (20 g/l), were found to influence the enhanced yield (2.4 g/l) of bacteriocin. The yield of bacteriocin achieved during the SmF process conditions was found to exactly match with the hybrid ANN-GA prediction.

In the present study, the bacteriocin yield was optimized using biostatistical tools, namely RSM and ANN-GA. The optimized yield was found to be 2.4 mg/l, which showed a sixfold increase from the unoptimized bacteriocin yield (0.4 mg/l). The validation was carried out by artificial neural network (MATLAB). The ANN-predicted values and RSM-predicted values were compared, which showed an error of 0.005, and the fitness criteria of \textit{P. pentosaceus} Sanna 14 were carried out using the GA and it was found that the organism is stable for 50 generations. Thirumurugan et al. (2015) optimized \textit{Lactobacillus plantarum} using a statistical design, which was reported to be 5.75-fold lesser than the present study. Zhou et al. (2008) optimized the media composition for Nisin fermentation and reported a fourfold decrease than the present studies. Zommiti et al. (2018) extensively investigated the genus \textit{Pediococci}. Research group isolated \textit{P. pentosaceus} MZF16 strain from dried Ossban a meat products popular in Tunisia and experimented the growth pattern in different conditions such as pH and bile salts. Further probiotic inhibition activity of the \textit{P. pentosaceus} MZF16 on the selected food spoilage and pathogenic bacteria, i.e., \textit{L. monocytogenes}, was carried out. Bacteriocin-like compound which is 100% like coagulin was reported and it was concluded that the isolated strains of \textit{P. pentosaceus} MZF16 proved that pediocins can act as a promising probiotic candidate (Zommiti et al., 2018).
Gutiérrez-Cortés et al. (2018) investigated the bacteriocins yield abilities in coculture conditions of selected two LAB species, i.e., *P. pentosaceus* 147 and *L. plantarum* LE27. The study tested the coculture of the selected LAB strains on the cheese whey-based liquid media. The study reported 51,200 AU/ml of bacteriocin yield in coculture condition and concluded the potential of using cocultures of strains of the genera *Pediococcus* and *Lactobacillus* and using alternative substrates such as cheese whey for the enhanced production of bacteriocins (Gutiérrez-Cortés et al., 2018).

From the present study, it was concluded that the optimized condition of the SmF process of the present investigation, i.e., pH (7.0), agitation (200 rpm), sucrose (40 g/l), and peptone (20 g/l), using *P. pentosaceus* had shown maximum yield (2.4 g/l) of bacteriocin. Optimized values of these parameters were validated by the feed forward model of ANN and genetic fitness of the process was accessed through GA. The present investigation explored the applications of biostatical tools in the optimization studies and the optimized conditions of the present study raised the bacteriocin yield (2.4 g/l) approximately by a 6.0-fold compared with the yield (0.4 mg/l) of unoptimized SmF process conditions.
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