Spectrophotometric characterization of high proper motion sources from WISE

J. C. Beamín,1,2,3★ V. D. Ivanov,1,4 D. Minniti,3,5,6 R. L. Smart,7 K. Mužić,1 R. A. Mendez,1,3,8 Y. Beletsky,9 A. Bayo,3,10 M. Gromadzki3,10 and R. Kurtev3,10

1European Southern Observatory, Ave. Alonso de Cordoba 3107, Casilla 19001, Santiago, Chile
2Facultad de Física, Instituto de Astrofísica, Pontificia Universidad Católica de Chile, Casilla 306, Santiago 22, Chile
3Millennium Institute of Astrophysics, 7500011, Santiago, Chile
4European Southern Observatory, Karl-Schwarzschild-Str. 2, D-85748 Garching bei München, Germany
5Facultad de Ciencias Exactas, Universidad Andres Bello, Fernandez Concha 700, Las Condes, Santiago, Chile
6Vatican Observatory, I-00120 Vatican City State, Italy
7INAF-Osservatorio Astrofisico di Torino, Strada Osservatorio 20, I-10025 Pino Torinese, TO, Italy
8Departamento de Astronomía, Universidad de Chile, Camino el Observatorio 1515, Casilla 36-D, Santiago, Chile
9Las Campanas Observatory, Carnegie Institution of Washington, Colina el Pino, 601 Casilla, La Serena, Chile
10Instituto de Física y Astronomía, Facultad de Ciencias, Universidad de Valparaíso, Ave. Gran Bretaña 1111, Playa Ancha, Casilla 53, 2340000, Valparaiso, Chile

Accepted 2015 September 25. Received 2015 September 24; in original form 2015 June 10

ABSTRACT
The census of the solar neighbourhood is almost complete for stars and becoming more complete in the brown dwarf regime. Spectroscopic, photometric and kinematic characterization of nearby objects helps us to understand the local mass function, the binary fraction, and provides new targets for sensitive planet searches. We aim to derive spectral types and spectrophotometric distances of a sample of new high proper motion sources found with the WISE (Wide-field Infrared Survey Explorer) satellite, and obtain parallaxes for those objects that fall within the area observed by the Vista Variables in the Vía Láctea survey (VVV). We used low-resolution spectroscopy and template fitting to derive spectral types, multiwave-length photometry to characterize the companion candidates and obtain photometric distances. Multi-epoch imaging from the VVV survey was used to measure the parallaxes and proper motions for three sources. We confirm a new T2 brown dwarf within ∼15 pc. We derived optical spectral types for 24 sources, mostly M dwarfs within 50 pc. We addressed the wide binary nature of 16 objects found by the WISE mission and previously known high proper motion sources. Six of these are probably members of wide binaries, two of those are new, and present evidence against the physical binary nature of two candidate binary stars found in the literature, and eight that we selected as possible binary systems. We discuss a likely microlensing event produced by a nearby low-mass star and a galaxy, that is to occur in the following five years.
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1 INTRODUCTION

High proper motion (PM) sources have been studied for over two centuries now, as one might expect that the fastest moving sources would be the closest to our Solar system. Since mid 20th century, comprehensive searches of high PM objects have been performed using photographic plates and later CCD cameras to find the closest neighbours of the Sun (Giclas, Burnham & Thomas 1971; Luyten 1979a,b; Wroblewski & Torres 1989; Hambly et al. 2004; Lépine & Shara 2005; Lépine 2008; Finch et al. 2014, Research Consortium on Nearby Stars, among others). However, cool objects are intrinsically faint in the optical and emit most of their light in the near-infrared (NIR). Therefore, the searches for unknown nearby sources gradually turn to the NIR wavelengths taking advantage of improved camera sensitivities, spatial and temporal resolution (Deacon et al. 2009; Kirkpatrick et al. 2010; Smith et al. 2014), yielding in the last 20 yr the discovery of over 2000 ultracool dwarfs. Widening the colour space of the searches has helped to improve the stellar and sub-stellar density estimates in the solar neighbourhood, and the
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frequency of low-mass companions, among other questions (Allen et al. 2012; Dieterich et al. 2012; Luhman et al. 2012; Ivanov et al. 2013; Deacon et al. 2014; Davison et al. 2015).

The Wide-field Infrared Survey Explorer (WISE; Wright et al. 2010) satellite has revolutionized the field of brown dwarf with colour-based selections providing the discovery of hundreds of brown dwarfs (Kirkpatrick et al. 2011). Later, the multi-epoch nature of the WISE mission provided PMs for over 20 000 individual sources (Kirkpatrick et al. 2014; Luhman 2014a), including the discoveries of the third and the fourth closest systems to the Sun (Luhman 2013, 2014b). These are the closest binary BD, and the closest BD known. The WISE mission also lead to the discovery of the first Y dwarfs (Cushing et al. 2011; Kirkpatrick et al. 2012). Thousands of other interesting objects were found, including young very low mass objects (M, L and T type; Gagné et al. 2015), several ultracool sub-dwarfs (Kirkpatrick et al. 2014), etc. These discoveries are helping to better understand the role of temperature, metallicity and evolution in very cool atmospheres. Finally, a new sample of nearby K and M dwarfs ($d \leq 100$ pc) was created, well suited for exoplanet searches.

Here, we report a follow-up study of bright high PM objects detected by Luhman (2014a) and Kirkpatrick et al. (2014), concentrating on objects within 50 pc, wide comoving binaries, and possible members of nearby young moving groups. The project summarized here was motivated by the recent discoveries of nearby stellar and sub-stellar objects (e.g. Artigau et al. 2010; Lucas et al. 2010; Luhman 2013; Mamajek et al. 2013; Scholz 2014, among others), the implications that these findings may have on the stellar census in the Solar neighbourhood (Henry et al. 2006; Faherty et al. 2009; Winters et al. 2015), new results in the multiplicity of young low-mass stars and brown dwarfs in the field and young moving groups (Delorme et al. 2012; Elliott et al. 2014), and even the dynamic interactions of the Solar system (Ivanov et al. 2015; Mamajek et al. 2015). The paper is organized as follows: Section 2 describes the catalogues and methods we used to generate a list of objects of interest, and the instruments we used to follow up and characterize them. In Section 3, the methods for classification are presented. Section 4 describes the distance measurements and comparison of photometric and spectroscopic results. Finally, in Section 5, we discuss individual sources, and present our conclusions in Section 6.

### 2 SAMPLE SELECTION AND OBSERVATIONS

We started by analysing bright sources in the new catalogues of high PM sources by Luhman (2014a) and Kirkpatrick et al. (2014). We selected the brightest sources with the highest PM that were visible in April from the southern skies (i.e. Dec. < 30), with no previous derived spectral types and no data in the ESO archive. We also performed a cross check with previously known high PM sources from SIMBAD (Wenger et al. 2000). If SIMBAD returned a source within 15 arcmin showing a similar PM, we selected the brighter one between the SIMBAD match and the WISE object for spectroscopic followup. We used a relaxed criterion to select candidate companions, i.e. a source with PM ≥ 200 mas yr$^{-1}$ and a position angle of PM within ~30°. These relaxed constraints caused the selection of some spurious pairs, and we discuss this issue in the coming sections. We also created a reduced PM diagram in order to find brown dwarf candidates.

To derive the spectral types of the selected objects, we obtained spectra in the optical and in the NIR for WISE J21210032-6239194 (hereafter WISE 2121-6239). In addition, for the objects located within the area covered by the VISTA Variables in the Vía Láctea survey (VVV) we obtained photometry and astrometry.

### 2.1 New Technology Telescope/The ESO Faint Object Spectrograph and Camera v2

The ESO Faint Object Spectrograph and Camera v2 (EFOSC2; Buzzoni et al. 1984; Snodgrass et al. 2008) mounted at the 3.6-m New Technology Telescope (NTT) at La Silla observatory, is a versatile instrument for low-resolution spectroscopy, imaging and polarimetry. We obtained low-resolution long-slit spectra for 24 sources (see Table 1) using the same configuration for all the steps, bias and flat-fields corrections were performed, followed by wavelength calibration and flux calibration with the F-type spectrophotometric standard LTT 9239 (Hamuy et al. 1994).

### 2.2 Magellan/FIRE

The Folded-port Infrared Echellette (FIRE; Simcoe et al. 2008, 2010, 2013) mounted at the 6.5-m Magellan Baade Telescope uses a 2048 × 2048 HAWAII-2RG array. It covers the wavelength range from 0.8 to 2.5 μm when used in the high-throughput prism mode, delivering a resolution varying from ~ 500 to 4000 at the $K$ band for the 0.6 arcsec slit. A spectrum of the objects WISE 2121-6239 was obtained in the ABBAAB pattern, with the exposure time of 6 × 6.4 s. The 1 arcsec slit was oriented along the parallactic...
angle. The read-out mode was ‘sample-up-the-ramp’ (SUTR) with the low gain mode (3.8e− per count). The A0V star HD 195288 was observed with the same setup (with the exception of the read-out mode, we used mode ‘Fowler 1’ instead) in ABBA pattern, for telluric correction. Low- and high-voltage (1.5 and 2.5 V) flats were obtained after the observations of the source and the telluric lines to correct the red and blue parts of the spectrum. NeAr lamp spectra were obtained after the observations for wavelength calibration. In addition, we observed an extra lamp with the 0.45 arcsec slit to correct the red and blue parts of the spectrum. NeAr lamp spectra obtained after the observations of the source and the telluric lines to out mode, we used mode ‘Fowler 1’ instead) in ABBA pattern, for

The data was reduced with the instrument pipeline fire_xtellcor_ld. It traces the slit, performs a wavelength solution, combines the flat-fields and applies them, finding the object on the 2D image, and finally it extracts a 1D spectrum.

The individual spectra of the target and the telluric are median scaled with the fire_xcombspec tool and then combined with a robust weighted mean algorithm. Finally, the telluric correction was applied using the fire_xtellcor_ld, a clone of the XTELLCOR program from SPEX TOOL (Vacca, Cushing & Rayner 2003; Cushing, Vacca & Rayner 2004).

2.3 Visual and Infrared Survey Telescope for Astronomy/VIRCAM

The VVV survey (Minniti et al. 2010; Saito et al. 2012; Hempel et al. 2014) is one of the six ESO public surveys carried out with the 4.1-m Visual and Infrared Survey Telescope for Astronomy (VISTA) telescope and VIRCAM camera at cerro Paranal Chile (Dalton et al. 2006; Emerson & Sutherland 2010). It has 16 2048 × 2048 pixels chips with a pixel scale of 0.34 arcsec. The total field angle was observed with the same setup (with the exception of the read-out mode, we used mode ‘Fowler 1’ instead) in ABBA pattern, for

The IR spectra of WISE J2121-6239 was compared to the L and T dwarf spectra from the speX library using the χ² minimization algorithm, mentioned before, yielding a best match to the T2 type SDSS J175024.01+422237.8. Fig. 3 shows the comparison of WISE 2121-6239 against three T sub-types, T1 SDSS J085834.42+325627.7; T2 SDSS J175024.01+422237.8; T3 2MASS J12095613-1004008. The spectral indexes: H₂O−J, CH₄−J, H₂O-H, CH₄−H and CH₄−K from Burgasser et al. (2006) yield a spectral type of T2±1 (Table 2). Using the tabulated coefficients of table 14 in Dupuy & Liu (2012) and the 2MASS and WISE magnitudes we computed a photometric distance between 12 and 16 pc for this brown dwarf.

3.2 SED fitting

We searched various archives for historic multiwavelength observations of our programme targets. Using ALADIN (Bonnarel et al. 2000), we were able to retrieve the catalogues and the archival images, for visual inspection to ensure that our high PM target cross identifications were correct. We also used TOPCAT (Taylor 2005) to cross-match catalogues.

To build the SED and compare to stellar models we used the Virtual Observatory SED analyzer (VOSA; Bayo et al. 2008), fitting the BT-settl models 2012 (Allard, Homeier & Freytag 2012) to the data, first with a Bayesian approach, and then constraining the model parameters with a χ² minimization over a three parameter space: effective temperature Teff, surface gravity log g and metallicity [Fe/H]. Increasing the number of photometric measurements and widening the wavelength coverage makes the fit more robust and reliable.

The Teff is the most stringently constrained parameter, with uncertainties of order of ~ 200 K. The other parameters log g and [Fe/H], were not well constrained and usually presented flat probabilities distribution in the Bayes analysis. Nevertheless, all the available from the Dwarf Archives. The remaining three spectra indicated hotter stars, and for those earlier than K5-type objects we used the standards of Pickles (1998).

The low-resolution of our spectra (~40 Å) does not allow us to use the spectral indexes frequently used in the literature (e.g. Kirkpatrick et al. 1991; Gizis 1997; Lépine et al. 2013) so we relied instead on the overall shape of the spectrum.

The template spectra were smoothed to the resolution of our data, normalized at 7500 Å. To find the best match, we performed a χ² minimization over two different wavelength ranges. First for the 20 later type stars, we used the range λ = 6500–9000 Å, as most of the flux and spectral features of interest are in that region, also the templates from Kirkpatrick et al. (1991) that we used do not cover bluer wavelengths. For the four hotter objects, we minimize over a broader wavelength region, λ = 3500–9500 Å as they have more flux towards the bluer regions.

The results are shown in Figs 1 and 2. The types of some objects were adjusted by upto 0.5 sub-type after a visual inspection.

The derived spectral types are listed in Table 3. We also attempted to fit separately the blue (6500–7600 Å) and red (7600–9000 Å) parts of each spectrum as shown in Jao et al. (2008) the red part of M sub-dwarfs appears ~1 sub-type earlier than their blue part (depending on metallicity). We did not observe this behaviour in the previously reported sub-dwarf object 2MASS J14574906-3904511.

The NIR spectra of WISE J2121-6239 was compared to the L and T dwarf spectra from the speX library using the χ² minimization algorithm, mentioned before, yielding a best match to the T2 type SDSS J175024.01+422237.8. Fig. 3 shows the comparison of WISE 2121-6239 against three T sub-types, T1 SDSS J085834.42+325627.7; T2 SDSS J175024.01+422237.8; T3 2MASS J12095613-1004008. The spectral indexes: H₂O−J, CH₄−J, H₂O-H, CH₄−H and CH₄−K from Burgasser et al. (2006) yield a spectral type of T2±1 (Table 2). Using the tabulated coefficients of table 14 in Dupuy & Liu (2012) and the 2MASS and WISE magnitudes we computed a photometric distance between 12 and 16 pc for this brown dwarf.
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Figure 1. Each panel shows the best $\chi^2$ fit to the spectrum from the set of spectral templates given in Table 4, the templates spectra are taken from the primary standards from Kirkpatrick et al. (1991). In the case of 2MASS 1355-1840 we overplotted the two best fits, as they fit slightly better different wavelength regions.

sources were fitted with $\log g \geq 4$, and $[\text{Fe/H}] \geq -1$, the only exception was 2MASS J15463089-5258367, which was better fitted with lower metallicity values ($-2 \leq [\text{Fe/H}] \leq -1.5$). The photometry used in the SED fit is available in the online version of Table 3.

In order to use the optical catalogues GSC2.3, USNO-B1, UCAC4 and CMC15 (Monet et al. 2003; Lasker et al. 2008; Zacharias et al. 2013; Muñoz & Evans 2014), we had to make the following assumptions. The first and second blue/red photographic band from GSC2.3 were used as Johnson $B/R$ bands (when two epochs were available for a given band we use the mean). For USNO-B1 we used $B_1$ as Johnson $B$ band and $V$ mag as Johnson $V$. For UCAC4 catalogue, when the source had only $R$-band measurement, we used the UCAC $R$ filter from VOSA, but, in the last release of UCAC4, they do not list the $R$ magnitude, they transformed the old optical magnitudes to Johnson $B$ and $V$ and to Sloan $r$, $i$ filters, and we...
adopted those values and filter systems. For these three catalogues, we assigned a photometric error of 0.3 mag. CMC15 uses the SDSS \( r \) filter, the difference with the Sloan \( r \) system is of the order of 0.02 mag, and a typical error of 0.08 mag at magnitude \( r \sim 16 \) is listed in the documentation file.\(^5\) To be safe we assumed a fixed value of 0.1 mag for the error in the photometry.

\(^5\) http://svo2.cab.inta-csic.es/vocats/cmcat/docs/CMC15_Documentation.pdf

We performed several simulations letting the uncertainties of USNO-B1, GSC2.3 and UCAC4, vary between 0.2 and 0.5 mag, and removing some of the photometric points to see how much do these assumptions affect the final fit. The SED parameter change within the uncertainties listed above \( \Delta T_{\text{eff}} \sim 100 \text{ K}, \Delta \log g \sim 0.5, \Delta \log g \sim 0.5. \)

In Table 4, we provide the object 2MASS name, spectral type from our EFOSC2 spectra and the uncertainty, and the effective temperatures obtained through SED fit using VOSA and archival photometry, using the BT-Settl models (\( \log g \) and metallicities were free parameters and were almost always above 4.5 and \(-1\), respectively).\(^6\)

To compare the spectral and the SED results, we converted the spectral types into effective temperatures using the relations of Pecaut & Mamajek (2013).\(^7\) We found a typical agreement within \( \sim 100 \text{ K} \) between the two methods.

### 4 Distance estimation

#### 4.1 Spectrophotometric distances

We estimated the distances to the objects and their companions from the derived spectral types and \( T_{\text{eff}} \) from the 2MASS \( J \) and \( K_S \) photometry using the absolute magnitudes from Pecaut & Mamajek (2013). For each object, we calculated the distances for the two bands separately. The mean difference is 3.1 ± 1.7 per cent, with a

\(^6\) The only two objects that were automatically fitted by lower metallicities, 2MASS J1457-3904 and 2MASS J15463089-5258367, are a known sub-dwarf and an early K star.

\(^7\) We used the table version 2014.09.29, available at http://www.pas.rochester.edu/~emamajek/EEM_dwarf_UBVIJHK_colors_Teff.txt.
maximum value of 5.6 per cent for object 2MASS J1403+0412. Our final estimate was the average of the two measurements. The subtype error classification affects the distance estimation by a factor of 15 per cent, on average. The photometric uncertainties introduce errors within 1–3 per cent in the magnitude range we examine. Therefore, estimated spectrophotometric distances will have an associated error of ≲20 per cent, of the same order as the differences between our measurements and the values available in the literature (Table 4). A comparison between the values for the distances in the literature and the values we obtain in this study is shown in Fig. 4. The error bars correspond to 15 per cent of their distances in each axis. The only exception is 2MASS J1546–5258, as this source has three distances estimates in the literature. We plotted the average value of the distance and quote the standard deviation around the mean as the error.

4.2 Parallax distances

Four objects in our list lie within the VVV survey footprint: 2MASS J14035016-5923426, 2MASS J14040025-5923551, 2MASS J15464497-5254371, 2MASS J15463089-5258367, but the last one is badly saturated in Ks, and reliable positions could not be determined. Therefore, we measure the parallaxes only for the first three sources using the procedure developed in the Torino Observatory Parallax Program described in Smart et al. (2003). This has been adapted to the format of the VVV data products (coordinates and photometry) delivered by CASU. A detailed description of the parallax code can be found in that paper, and we give here only a brief description of the steps involved. For the purpose of the astrometric reduction, we selected reference stars in a circle of radius 1 arcmin around the target which, given the high stellar density in these fields, provides an adequate number of reference stars (above a hundred sources). These were selected among the highest S/N objects in the field of view, satisfying the condition that they appear in at least 80 per cent of the frames, and do not exhibit large PMs.

For example, for 2MASS 15464497-5254371 the initial number of reference stars was 189, but in the end only 121 of these were used to build the astrometric reference frame. In total, we had 70 VVV images in Ks, at various epochs (see Fig. 5), spanning more than 3 yr. Four epochs were excluded from the solution due to their high residuals with respect to the mean solution. Despite the relatively small parallax, the long baseline and the parallax factor coverage provide a small final error of 1.80 mas. The PM and parallaxes for these three sources are listed in Table 4, and Fig. 5 show the observations of each source and the best fits.

The binary system 2MASS J14035016-5923426 and 2MASS J14040025-5923551 was on the border of two adjacent chips in the observational sequence and both objects are very bright often saturating in good seeing. This led to high centroiding errors and a sparse reference star set of only 41 and 55 objects for the two fields, respectively. The two parallax solutions were therefore completely independent with different reference fields and with observations from different VIRCAM chips.

Each observation has a quote positional error from the VISTA pipeline but there is a significant fraction of the total that is systematic in nature from transforming the observations to a common system. For this reason when calculating the errors of the derived parallax we do not use the individual observation errors. The final quote errors on the target parameters are obtained from the covariance matrix of the solution scaled by the error of unit weight.

The observations used in the sequence are selected using the standard outlier rejection criteria developed in the Torino program following these two criteria: (1) the average per coordinate error of the reference stars in a frame must be less than the mean error of all frames plus three standard deviations about the mean; (2) the combined observed-minus-computed coordinate residual of each observation must be less than three times the sigma of the whole solution. The objects 2MASS J15464497-5254371, 2MASS J14035016-5923426 and 2MASS J14040025-5923551 had 4, 2 and 2 observations rejected respectively by these criteria.

Extensive bootstrap-like testing was carried out on the observations to make sure the results were robust. This consisted of iterating through each observation and using as the primary base frame and thus making a solution that that incorporated slightly different sets of reference stars and a different starting point within the sequence. The solution chosen for publication is that one which is closest to the median of all solutions. The majority of the solutions (>90 per cent) were all within one sigma of the chosen solution.

5 DISCUSSION AND REMARKS ON INDIVIDUAL OBJECTS

We determined physical properties and distances for 25 stars, most of which are within D ~ 140 pc from the Sun. Only eight of these had previously determined distances. 13 of the stars are located within D ~ 50 pc. Given that our selection of targets from the WISE high PM list was not systematic, and that the list itself is not a complete census of the objects within D ~ 50 pc we did not attempt to do a completeness analysis. The derived spectral types range from early-K to mid-M.

The high transverse velocities of 2MASS J173453.91-620654.6, 2MASS J140336.47+041239.5 and 2MASS J145749.06-390451.1 and the comoving binary pair 2MASS J08291581-5850305 (or L 186-122) and 2MASS J08292286-5849209, make them likely members of the Galactic halo. The remaining objects probably belong to the disc population.

5.1 Probable multiple systems

We adopted binarity criteria requiring: common PMs i.e. Δθ/Δμ < (μ/0.15)1.3, where Δθ and Δμ are the angular separation (in arcsec) and the difference in the magnitude of the PM vectors (in arcsec yr−1; see section 2.2. in Lépine & Bongiorno 2007), distances in

Table 2. Main spectral indexes for T dwarfs as given in Burgasser et al. (2006).

| Object          | H₂O-J | CH₄-J | H₂O-H | CH₄-H | CH₄-K | Adopted type |
|-----------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|--------------|
| WISE J2121-6239 | 0.55(T2) | 0.72(T1) | 0.48(T2) | 0.93(T1) | 0.62(T2) | T2 ± 1       |

8 http://casu.ast.cam.ac.uk/surveys-projects/vista/data-processing
agreement at the 2σ confidence level, and consistency between the spectral types and the apparent magnitudes.

Based on these criteria we identify six probable multiple system, five previously reported systems, and discovering one new one. The following stars are most likely real gravitationally bound systems:

(i) 2MASS J06571510−1446173 (or LP 721-15) and 2MASS J06571773−1446382;
(ii) 2MASS J08291581−5850305 (or L 186-122) and 2MASS J08292286−5849209;
(iii) 2MASS J14040025−5923551 (or L 197-165) and 2MASSJ14035016−5923426;
(iv) 2MASS J15480325−5811119 (or LHS 3119) and 2MASS J15480441−5810533;
(v) 2MASS 19103460−4133443 (or SIPS1910-4133B), 2MASS 19104599−4133407 (or SIPS1910-4133B) and 2MASS 1910359-4132505 (or SIPS1910-4132C);
(vi) 2MASS J20044356−7123334 (or LTT 7914) and 2MASS J20043661−7123532.

Their parameters are listed in Table 5.

The triple system 2MASS 19103460-4133443, 2MASS 19104599-4133407 B and 2MASS 1910359-4132505 C was reported by Lépine (2005), Deacon et al. (2005) and Hambly & Deacon (2005). The last work discussed the possibility that this is actually a quadruple system because the magnitude of the component B is ∼0.5 mag brighter than the C component. The spectrophotometric and SED based distances all agree within the 1σ errors (see Table 5). When we compare the $T_{\text{eff}}$ obtained via SED fit of components B and C we find a difference of ∼200 K which would be sufficient to explain the 0.5 mag difference (that difference can be less given the error bars for temperature estimates). But we think that is not necessary to invoke a possible equal mass unresolved binary in component B to explain that difference in magnitude, and it is more likely to be explained as an effect of slight difference (∼100–200 K) in $T_{\text{eff}}$.

2MASS J14040025-5923551 and 2MASSJ14035016-5923426 are comoving. Our spectra suggest this is an M3+M2.5 nearly equal mass binary. Despite the saturation, we were able to measure a parallax from the multi-epoch VVV data: 40.35 ± 7.19 mas and 49.07 ± 7.06 mas (24.78±5.3 pc and 20.4±2.6 pc), respectively.

2MASS J20044356-7123334 (or LTT 7914) was observed by the RAdial Velocity Experiment (RAVE) in its fourth data release Kordopatis et al. (2013) and described there. They derived a $T_{\text{eff}} = 4817$ and log g = 4 and metallicity [Fe/H] = 0.05, based on this we could assume the dwarf nature and apply the relations described before, deriving a distance of 108 pc. They also obtained a radial velocity of RV$_{\text{helio}}$ = −50.2 ± 2.2 km s$^{-1}$.

Our best spectral type for this object is K2, the reference $T_{\text{eff}}$ for a K2 star is ∼5000 K so our classification might be revised by one sub-type. Applying photometric SED fitting, we obtained a $T_{\text{eff}} = 4500$ K ± 100, log g = 5 ± 1 and metallicity [Fe/H] = 0.3 ± 0.5. The photometric spectral type would be K4, which is two spectral types later than the fit from our optical spectroscopy and one later than the type inferred by RAVE. For a range of photometric distances for K2–K4 types we obtain distances of 100–130 pc, implying a tangential velocity of 170–225 km s$^{-1}$, typical for thick disc or halo objects.

Interestingly, the available data do not support a low metallicity for this object. For the comoving star 2MASS J20043661-7123532 the best photometric fit was $T_{\text{eff}} = 3100$ K ± 100, log g = 5.5 ± 1 and metallicity [Fe/H] = −1 ± 0.5. Assuming a spectral type of M4–M5, the distance would be 80–120 pc in agreement within the errors to the estimated value for 2MASS J20043456-7123334.

Table 3. Archival photometry for sources selected for EFOSC2 spectroscopy and candidate companions.

| Column name | Description |
|-------------|-------------|
| 2MASS       | Name from 2MASS point source catalogue |
| Spectra     | Spectroscopy available for this object |
| B           | B from GSC2.3 or USNO-B1 |
| V           | V from GSC2.3 or USNO-B1 |
| R           | R from GSC2.3 or USNO-B1 |
| I           | I from Super Cosmos I |
| RUCAC       | old R from UCAC4 |
| J2MASS      | 2MASS J |
| e_J2MASS    | Error in J2MASS |
| H2MASS      | 2MASS H |
| e_H2MASS    | Error in H2MASS |
| K2MASS      | 2MASS K |
| e_K2MASS    | Error in K2MASS |
| W1          | WISE W1 |
| e_W1        | Error in W1 |
| W2          | WISE W2 |
| e_W2        | Error in W2 |
| W3          | WISE W3 |
| e_W3        | Error in W3 |
| W4          | WISE W4 |
| e_W4        | Error in W4 |
| IDENIS      | DENIS I |
| e_IDENIS    | Error in IDENIS |
| JDENIS      | DENIS J |
| e_JDENIS    | Error in JDENIS |
| KDENIS      | DENIS K |
| e_KDENIS    | Error in KDENIS |
| SDSS        | SDSS S |
| e_SDSS      | Error in SDSS |
| gSDSS       | SDSS g |
| e_gSDSS     | Error in gSDSS |
| rSDSS       | SDSS r or new UCAC4 r$^a$ or CMC15 r$^b$ |
| e_rSDSS     | Error in rSDSS |
| iSDSS       | SDSS i or new UCAC4 i$^a$ |
| e_iSDSS     | Error in iSDSS |
| zSDSS       | SDSS z |
| e_zSDSS     | Error in zSDSS |
| uKIDSS      | UKIDSS U |
| e_uKIDSS    | Error in uKIDSS |
| KUKIDSS     | UKIDSS K |
| e_KUKIDSS   | Error in KUKIDSS |
| ZVV         | VVV Z |
| e_ZVV       | Error in ZVV |
| YVV         | VVV Y |
| e_YVV       | Error in YVV |
| JVV         | VVV J |
| e_JVV       | Error in JVV |
| HVV         | VVV H |
| e_HVV       | Error in HVV |
| KVV         | VVV K |
| e_KVV       | Error in KVV |

Notes: SDSS (DR9), GSC2.3, USNO-B1, UCAC4, CMC15, DENIS, VVV (DR3), 2MASS, WISE (Ehneel et al. 1997; Lasker et al. 2008; Skrutskie et al. 2006; Lasker et al. 2008; Wright et al. 2010; Ahn et al. 2012; Zacharias et al. 2013; Hempel et al. 2014).

$^a$Magnitudes from UCAC4 transformed to SDSS r and i filters, we assume a fixed error of 0.3 mag.

$^b$Magnitudes from CMC15, the catalogue mentions they use an SDSS r filter, we assumed 0.1 errors (see discussion of errors in the text).

This table is available in a machine-readable form in the online journal. Headers and description of the columns are shown here for guidance only.
Table 4. Spectral classification and spectrophotometric distances for the stars observed with EFOSC2@NTT.

| 2MASS name         | Sp. type | SED $T_{\text{eff}}$ | $J$   | $\mu_x \cos(\delta)$ [mas] | $\mu_y$ [mas] | $d_{\text{pc}}$ (lit.) | $d_{\text{pc}}$ (this work) | Ref. |
|--------------------|----------|----------------------|-------|----------------------------|--------------|-----------------------|----------------------------|------|
| J06571510−1446173  | M4 ± 0.5 | 3300                 | 10.678| 70                         | -270         | -                     | 29.1                       | This work\textsuperscript{a} |
| J07523088−4709470   | M4.5 ± 0.5| 3200                | 11.738| -109                       | 176          | 44.1\textsuperscript{b} | 38.2                       | 4    |
| J08291581−5850305   | K7 ± 1   | 4200                 | 10.206| 382                        | -74          | -                     | 80.3                       | 5    |
| J09432908−0237184   | M4 ± 0.5 | 3200                 | 10.869| -200                       | -95          | -                     | 31.0                       | 4    |
| J10570299−5103531   | M2 ± 0.5 | 3500                 | 11.155| -617                       | 78           | 54.1                  | 73.0                       | 6    |
| J11161471−4403252   | M2.5 ± 0.5| 3600                | 10.649| -492                       | -3           | -                     | 52.2                       | 1    |
| J11163668−4407495   | M3.5 ± 0.5| 3300                 | 9.917 | -917                       | -29          | 21.5\textsuperscript{b} | 25.6                       | 2    |
| J12412819−6507578   | M4 ± 0.5 | 3100                 | 10.526| -499                       | -801         | -                     | 26.2                       | 1    |
| J13211484−3629180   | M5 ± 0.5 | 2900                 | 12.136| -513                       | -209         | 38.4                  | 37.7                       | 6    |
| J13322604−6621419   | M4 ± 0.5 | 3200                 | 10.826| -294                       | 226          | -                     | 31.4                       | 1    |
| J13552455−1843080   | M4 ± 0.5 | 3200                 | 14.042| -317                       | -96          | -                     | 136.1                      | 3    |
| J14033647+0412395   | M4.5 ± 1 | 3000                 | 15.853| -233                       | -073         | -                     | 250.3                      | 7    |
| J14035016−5922462   | M3 ± 0.5 | 3500                 | 10.258| 11.5 ± 5.1                 | -492.2 ± 4.3 | -                     | 20.4\textsuperscript{1,2,4} | This work |
| J14400025−5922551   | M2.5 ± 0.5| 3600                | 10.219| 8.3 ± 5.9                  | -494.5 ± 5.1 | -                     | 24.8\textsuperscript{3,4}  | This work |
| J14233830+0138520   | M4 ± 1   | 3000                 | 12.374| -221                       | -195         | -                     | 52.8                       | 7    |
| J14574906−3904511   | M0 ± 1   | 3900                 | 13.693| -121                       | -405         | 215.6                 | 328.6                      | 6    |
| J15463089−5258367   | K1 ± 1   | 4700                 | 8.737 | -217                       | -199         | 44\textsuperscript{b};77\textsuperscript{b};56\textsuperscript{b} | 71.3                       | 8,9,10 |
| J15464497−5254371   | –        | 3100                 | 12.340| -296.6 ± 0.8               | -109.8 ± 0.9 | -                     | 42.6\textsuperscript{1,2,6} | This work |
| J15480441−5810533   | M3.5 ± 0.5| 3400                | 10.169| -503                       | -207         | 33.9\textsuperscript{c} | 29.5                       | 1,10 |
| J17345391−6206546   | K3 ± 1   | 4800                 | 10.364| -203                       | -390         | -                     | 131.5                      | 1    |
| J19104599−4133407   | M4 ± 0.5 | 3300                 | 10.610| 68                         | -735         | 22.8\textsuperscript{d} | 27.6                       | 2    |
| J19242110−0804516   | M2 ± 0.5 | 3700                 | 10.766| -196                       | -379         | -                     | 59.8                       | 1    |
| J20044356−7123334   | K4 ± 1   | 4500                 | 10.168| 80                         | -360         | -                     | 108.2                      | 3    |
| J21252281−3422144   | M4 ± 0.5 | 3300                 | 10.895| -35                        | -450         | -                     | 32.3                       | 1    |
| J22275385−2337300   | M2 ± 1   | 3600                 | 14.422| -176                       | -            | -                     | 322.0                      | 3    |

The photometric distance error from this work are ≤20 per cent.

\textsuperscript{a}We recalculated the PM using 2MASS and the WISE ALL-SKY epoch position with the highest S/N, as we find that the Salim & Gould (2003) value for PM of LP 721-15 is inconsistent with the motion of the sources in the images, this object could be an unresolved binary, and then located further away, see text for discussion.

\textsuperscript{b}Photometric distance (spectral type derived from $T_{\text{eff}}$ using the relations in Pecaut & Mamajek (2013) and online table maintained by E. Mamajek, see text for link).

\textsuperscript{c}Parallax distance.

\textsuperscript{d}The paper cites the value for other object of the system (SIPS 1910-4133A) and is from photographic plates, our measurement is for SIPS 1910-4133B. The values of PM and distances with quoted errors were fitted from VVV data: (1) Luhman (2014a) ; (2) Winters et al. (2015); (3) Salim & Gould (2003) ; (4) Finch et al. (2007); (5) Luyten & Hughes (1980); (6) Subasavage et al. (2005a); (7) Lépine & Shara (2005); (8) Ammons et al. (2006) (9) Fresneau, Vaughan & Argyle (2007); (10) Pickles & Depagne (2010).

Objects are separated by 38.7 arcsec on the sky, which corresponds to ~4200 au for a distance of 110 pc.

Object 2MASS J22275385−2337300 (or LP 876-22) was observed by mistake, as the real new binary candidate was LP 876-1 and 2MASS J22274199-2337283. The observed target was classified as M2 ± 1 star, if we compute the distance we obtain 322 pc which will put this object in a tangential velocity over 250 km s\textsuperscript{-1} and hence probably this object belongs to the halo population, but the distance might be considerably less if we consider that this object might be metal poor, as happened to be with previous sources. We perform the SED fitting to the comoving pair LP 876-1, 2MASS J22274199-2337283 and they were classified as an M3.5−M8.5, that would imply a distance between 40–50 pc, but further observations are required to settle their true nature.

5.2 Rejected multiple systems

The following objects are not real physical pairs, the argument for rejecting them as binaries are the total PM, position angle of motion, spectral types compared to photometric spectral type and distances estimates for the primary and secondary, do not agree within the expected errors.
Figure 5. Relative displacement of the targets 2MASS 15464497-5254371, 2MASS J14040025-5923551 and 2MASS J14035016-5923426 with respect to the adopted reference frame as a function of time (top of each plot epoch 2010, bottom of each plot, epoch 2013). A base-frame was chosen for the registration of all other frames to account for small offsets and rotation between them. The fitted parallax wobble, shown by the solid line, is clearly seen in the observations which are plotted as crossed error bars representing the formal VISTA pipeline errors. Any rejected observations within the plot ranges are plotted as Xs.

(i) 2MASS J07523088-4709470 and 2MASS J07523777-4717270;
(ii) 2MASS J09432908-0237184 and 2MASS J09434389-0229570;
(iii) 2MASS J10570299-5103351 and 2MASS J10573037-5102190;
(iv) 2MASS J11163668-4407495 (or LHS 2386) and 2MASS J11161471-4403252 (see text);
(v) 2MASS J13211484-3629180 and 2MASS J13214404-3627316;
(vi) 2MASS J13552455-1843080 (or LP 799-1) and 2MASS J13553933-1840586;
(vii) 2MASS J14033647+0412395 and 2MASS J14040651+0418532;
(viii) 2MASS J14233830+0138520 and 2MASS J14234208+0146235;
(ix) 2MASS J14574906-3904511 and 2MASS J14582414-3907504;
(x) 2MASS J15463089-5258367 and 2MASS J15464497-5254371.
The second object moves \sim 2 times faster than the first object (\sim 5\sigma outlier) and the derived \( T_{\text{eff}} \) of the secondary (the fainter source) is 200 K higher. Given the classification of M4.5V for the primary, this would place the secondary at least twice as far.

2MASS J11163668+4407365 (or LHS 2386) and 2MASS J11161471-4403252 these were classified and found to be a comoving pair observed by G.P. Kuiper and reclassified in Bidelman (1985), he classified LHS 2386 as M3: We obtain a best fit with M3.5V and M2.5 for 2MASS J11161471-4403252. Luhman & Sheppard (2014) list these sources as a comoving binary, being a candidate companion are too large. The evidence does not support that the two stars form a real binary. 2MASS J15463089-5258367 and 2MASS J15464497-5254371 Ammons et al. (2006) derived a distance 44\pm 0.6 pc and estimated a \( T_{\text{eff}} = 4669-4754 \) K ( according to different fitting functions) based on \textit{Hipparcos} (Tycho) data for the first object. Other two attempts to measure the distance from photometry are available from Fresneau et al. (2007) and Pickles & Depagne (2010) they obtained 56 pc (no error bars) and 77\pm 0.22 pc, respectively. Our best SED fit yields 4700 K, in agreement with Ammons et al. (2006), but our best spectrum fit is between K0V and K2V. If we assume this as the correct spectral type, then the photometric distance we obtain is between 68 and 77 pc, for K0–K2 respectively. For the second object, we were able to derive a distance based on parallax from \textit{VVV}, as discussed in the previous section \( \pi = 23.5 \pm 1.8 \) mas (42.6\pm 3.0 pc). The parallax and PM of 2MASS J15464497-5254371 are shown in Fig. 5 and Table 4. The distance agrees very well with the value derived by Ammons et al. (2006), but is almost 3\sigma away from the photometric distance from 2MASS J15463089-5258367, in addition to the difference in the PM between the primary (from Tycho–2 catalogue; Høg et al. 2000) and our measurements for the candidate companion are too large. The evidence does not support that these two stars form a real binary, the parallax and more accurate PMs for both sources will be measured by \textit{Gaia} mission, and then the true nature of these objects will be settled.

### 5.3 Possible future microlensing event

While looking for the available photometry for the object NLTT 37178 from the virtual observatory, we found a nearby source, classified as extragalactic (photometric redshift 0.13) with photometry from SDSS and \textit{GALEX}. In the following years this object will be getting closer until the closest approach to the centre, with the closest approach of 0.6 arcsec in 4–8 yr. Some extended emission in the galaxy is visible on SDSS images, and we can expect that the
nearby star can act as a lens for the outskirts of this galaxy. Deep $U$ and $B$ band pre-lensing observations are needed to characterize the background source. Search for microlensing events during the next few years may be promising. The most favourable filters to observe the galaxy will be $U$, $B$ (and/or UV filters from space). The microlensing event might help to understand the real nature and physical properties of this object, e.g. if it is an unresolved binary or hosts a planet. More robust distance estimations (parallax) are necessary, to better constrain the Einstein radius for the system. We assumed a mass of $0.2M_\odot$ for lens and source, respectively, and obtain a crude estimate of the Einstein radius of 6.4 mas. As the lensed source is resolved, we might expect variations on the light curve due to lens magnifying different parts of the galaxy.

6 CONCLUSIONS
We performed spectroscopic follow up for over 20 new high PM objects found by the WISE satellite, and looked for possible new wide binary companions. We found one T2 dwarf probably located within 15 pc. We obtained optical spectral types and photometric distances for 24 objects, as well as parallax measurements for three of them. We present some additional evidence for six comoving objects that are likely physical pairs, two of them are new binary candidates. Four objects are probable members of the galactic halo given their large tangential velocities.

Most of the objects analysed in this study are located within 75 pc from the Sun, and are bright enough for further follow-up and search for planets using state of the art and upcoming NIR instruments.

The use of relatively loose constraints when selecting possible wide comoving companions given the inhomogeneity of resources available in the literature prove useful to find new comoving stars. This causes many false positives, but they can be eliminated a posteriori using multiple arguments, combining PM, physical separation and spectral energy distributions in the calculation of photometric distances. It is also important to emphasize the relevance of obtaining distances or spectral types for discriminating chance alignments from real wide binaries (or comoving stars), even when the PM and position probabilities are very low we show two examples in this paper where the hypothesized binaries are most likely not physically related.

We also discussed a likely microlensing event due to a star passing in front of a background galaxy. The number of predicted microlensing events of this type will be more frequent as more HPM low-mass objects are found in high density environments like the galactic bulge and inner disc, but also with background galaxies. Although the lens candidate we present here is not predicted to pass in front of the centre of the galaxy the event can be used to study the lens for unresolved companions and planets. In this case this may be particularly difficult as the lens goes through different parts of the galaxy in short time-scales magnifying regions of intrinsically different brightness. These events can also be used to make more detailed structural studies of galaxies at low redshift.
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