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Abstract

Background: The HIV epidemic in Nigeria is concentrated in Key Populations (KP), people who inject drugs (PWID), men who have sex with men (MSM), female sex workers (FSW), and partners of people living with HIV. Due to stigma and discrimination, these groups have low access to HIV testing services (HTS) and linkage to treatment is challenging. To address this gap, index partner testing, targeting sexual contacts and injecting partners of KP index clients, was introduced in 2017.

Methods: The study was a retrospective analysis of community-led HIV index partner testing-involving review of secondary data from partner notification services registers. Between October 1, 2018, and September 30, 2019, HIV testing as part of index partner testing services was offered at nightclubs, hotels, and community-based ART clinics in the states of Akwa Ibom, Cross River, and Lagos. Index testing was assisted by peer navigators. We used provider and passive Partner Notification (PN) methods. In-person and social network methods were used to recruit partners of KP. We described the implementation of index partner testing services [...]
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Summary

Background The HIV epidemic in Nigeria is concentrated in Key Populations (KP), people who inject drugs (PWID), men who have sex with men (MSM), female sex workers (FSW), and partners of people living with HIV. Due to stigma and discrimination, these groups have low access to HIV testing services (HTS) and linkage to treatment is challenging. To address this gap, index partner testing, targeting sexual contacts and injecting partners of KP index clients, was introduced in 2017.

Methods The study was a retrospective analysis of community-led HIV index partner testing-involving review of secondary data from partner notification services registers. Between October 1, 2018, and September 30, 2019, HIV testing as part of index partner testing services was offered at nightclubs, hotels, and community-based ART clinics in the states of Akwa Ibom, Cross River, and Lagos. Index testing was assisted by peer navigators. We used provider and passive Partner Notification (PN) methods. In-person and social network methods were used to recruit partners of KP. We described the implementation of index partner testing services as part of the national KP program, analyzed PN delivery models, and calculated HIV seropositivity among persons who underwent Index Partner Testing. One-Way ANOVA and Tukey-HSD test were performed to determine whether the differences in mean HIV seropositivity between partners are statistically significant.

Findings PN was predominantly done through provider referral 5,159 (68.3%) and passive/client referral 2,278 (30.1%). A total of 3,119 index partners: 1,322 FSW (42.4%), 1,255 MSM (40.2%) and 542 PWID (17.4%) identified 8,989 sexual and injecting partners (index partner ratio 1:2.9). Among the partners, 7,556 (84.1%) were first-time testers, and 79.4% (5,999) of male partners tested. Of the 3,753 (49.7%) partners who tested HIV-positive, 3,492 (93.0%) were enrolled in HIV care. HIV seropositivity rate was 65.5% (1,021/1,557) among females and 45.5% (2,732/5,999) among males and was disproportionately higher among PWID injecting partners 99.1% (581/586), PWID sexual partners 98.9% (433/438) and MSM sexual partners 95.6% (605/633) in Cross river compared with 71.4% (575/805) in FSW sexual partners.

Interpretations Including index partner testing as part of a community-led HTS can help improve HIV case-finding approach for KP, particularly for reaching first-time testers, male KP, and persons not yet diagnosed with HIV. Scale-up of index partner testing within community-led HTS is essential for achieving the United Nations 95−95−95 goals.
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Introduction

People’s knowledge of their own, and their partner’s, human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) status is essential to the success of the global HIV response. The overarching goals of providing HIV testing services (HTS)
apy (ART) is referred to as treatment with drugs that cost rapid diagnostic tests. The term antiretroviral therapy (ART) is referred to as treatment with drugs that
inhibit the ability of the HIV or other types of retroviruses to multiply in the body. The growing availability and use of rapid diagnostic tests have made it possible to increase task sharing. This has enabled HTS to also be delivered by trained lay providers and to be implemented in more targeted locations, ranging from routine testing in facilities to community-based outreach. Despite these achievements, a substantial testing gap remains. According to recent estimates, 77% of all people diagnosed with HIV are on ART; however, 40% of all people with HIV remain undiagnosed.1 Furthermore, despite the annual increases in HIV tests and HIV testing coverage,2 in many settings, HTS is not sufficiently focused. Many of those at highest risk, such as partners of people with HIV, young people in high HIV prevalence settings, and key populations (KP) worldwide, remain unreached.

KP such as female sex workers (FSW), men who have sex with men (MSM), and people who inject drugs (PWID) are disproportionately affected by HIV. They comprise approximately 36% of the 1.9 million new adult HIV infections that occur each year.13 In 2020, Key populations, their clients, and sexual partners accounted for 64% of new HIV infections in West and Central Africa, and 25% of new HIV infections in the East and Southern African subregion.4 Data from Senegal, The Gambia, Côte d’Ivoire, Ghana, and Nigeria indicate that a substantial number of infections occur5–7 among MSM, many of whom also report having sex with women.9 Based on the 2018 national KP size estimation carried out by National AIDS, Sexual Transmitted Infections Control and Hepatitis Program (NASCP),10 the total KP in Nigeria is estimated to be 720,000. About three-quarters (69%) of the KP have been tested for HIV under the national KP program.11 In Nigeria, the prevalence of HIV is on the decline, it dropped from 5.8% in 2001 (ANC Survey report) to 1.5% in 2018 Nigeria AIDS Indicator and Impact Survey (NAIIS). However, KP, including FSW, MSM, and PWID continue to have a high HIV prevalence. HIV prevalence is respectively 22.9%, 19.4%, and 8.6% in MSM, brothel-based female sex workers, and non-brothel-based female sex workers.12 In Nigeria, ART coverage in KP is unknown.13–15 KP is understudied and likely underserved resulting in a limited characterization of their HIV prevention, treatment, and care needs.14

Although countries are increasing including key populations in their national HTS guidelines, implementation remains limited, and testing coverage continues to be low in most settings.7 Poor coverage and low uptake of HTS among KP are not only related to availability but also the acceptability of services. Low acceptability frequently reflects unfriendly services, fear of stigma, discrimination, and punitive laws and practices that criminalize behaviors and, thereby, discourage access to health services, including HTS.3 These

Research in context

Evidence before this study

Recent systematic reviews show that KP’s low uptake of HTS is often due to hostile services, fear of stigma, discrimination, and punitive laws and practices that criminalize behaviors and, as a result, limit access to health care, including HTS. On November 3, 2020, we searched Google scholar, PubMed and the American Medical Association website for published research articles using the terms “Partner Notification Services,” “Index Testing,” “KP sexual contacts,” and “KP social network,” with no language or date restrictions. Our search turned up descriptive, retrospective, systematic review, randomized controlled trials and meta-analysis papers, that suggest that testing of biological children and sexual partners utilizing HIV index testing model can be viable to identify and link children, adolescences and adult into care and treatment.

Added value of this study

The authors developed a flowchart of the HIV index partner testing model among KP, examined partner notification (PN) delivery techniques, and calculated HIV seropositivity among persons who underwent Index partner testing among Key populations in three Nigerian states. Our study analyzed HIV PN delivery models selected for 7559 sexual contacts plus injecting partners of 3119 KP index clients (index partner ratio 1:2.9) who accepted index partner testing. Study showed high uptake of the provider assisted notification and referral process for 68.3% index partner testers compared to the other PN methods.

Implications of all the available evidence

Including index partner testing as part of a community-led HTS can help improve HIV case-finding approach for KP, particularly for reaching first-time testers, male KP, and persons not yet diagnosed with HIV. Results suggest improvements in the rate of HIV testing among males through HIV partner notification services. The high enrolment in care and treatment services can be attributed to a more personal engagement of sexual and injecting partners by HTS peer-outreach workers at the community level.

are to deliver a diagnosis and effectively facilitate access to and uptake of HIV prevention, treatment, and care services. Over the past decade, low- and middle-income countries in Africa recorded substantial improvements in the percent of people living with HIV who are aware of their HIV status from 10% in 2005 to 50% in 2015.1 These achievements have been possible largely because of the scale-up and use of the wide availability of low-cost rapid diagnostic tests. The term antiretroviral therapy (ART) is referred to as treatment with drugs that
challenges require a new focus and new approaches to reach people with undiagnosed HIV infection. Many countries and programs are exploring innovative approaches, such as index partner testing or partner notification services to delivering HTS to achieve national and global testing targets.

Index partner testing can be defined as an approach by which a person with confirmed HIV infection (index case) is asked to contact family members, children, spouse, sexual partners, siblings, and parents) to see if they will accept an HIV test. The approach has proven to be a key intervention in diagnosing people living with HIV (PLHIV) and enrolling and retaining them in care and ART. To increase HIV case identification among KP, the national KP program funded by the President’s Emergency Plan for AIDS Relief (PEPFAR) and implemented through Non-Governmental Organizations (NGOs) in Nigeria introduced index partner testing in October 2017. All KP patients accessing ART were encouraged to bring their family members, spouses, sexual contacts, and/or injecting partners for HIV testing. The national KP program engages directly with KP and delivers client-centered services through community-led programming. HIV testing, prevention, and care services are provided to KP through differentiated service delivery methods, with services delivered in areas where KP may be served without discrimination. To build access to services, the national program supports drop-in centers and personalized outreach, and alternative pick-up points, such as one-stop-shops that provide community-based treatment initiation and refills in addition to testing services.

We aim to describe the implementation of index partner testing as part of the national KP program and to present the first results. We established the HIV sero-positivity rate among male and female sexual contacts plus injecting partners of KP index clients and analyzed HIV partner notification services and referral services of HIV positive index clients.

Methods

Study design and setting

The study was a retrospective analysis of community-led HIV index partner testing-involving review of secondary data from partner notification services registers. The registers documented HIV-positive index clients who were offered partner notification services, and the sexual and injecting partners elicited. All clients offered partner notification services in the three states were included in this study. Nigeria is organized into 36 federating states and the Federal Capital Territory which hosts the national government. The states are further subdivided into 774 Local Government Areas (LGA). The cross-sectional retrospective study was conducted in three focus states Akwa Ibom, Cross River, and Lagos. Under the national KP program, these states were prioritized for prevention and comprehensive HIV/AIDS treatment interventions due to the high population density and the high number of PLHIV. The Nigerian HIV/AIDS Indicator and Impact Survey has shown that Akwa Ibom has the highest prevalence rate of HIV in the country. The states of Cross River and Lagos were rated 10th and 14th in terms of HIV prevalence rates, respectively. Supplementary 1 is a map of Nigeria that depicts the study regions and the distribution of PLHIV throughout the country based on the 2019 UNAIDS SPECTRUM HIV estimates. Across several sub-Saharan Africa countries (including Nigeria), UNAIDS currently uses routine program data in Spectrum software to estimate the national HIV prevalence and PLHIV burden. The Spectrum package uses the HIV prevalence over time from routine program data or survey data together with demographic information and epidemiological assumptions to model age-specific HIV prevalence, and incidence and mortality rates, and the total number of people living with HIV. In 2017, the national HIV program implemented partner HIV notification services. The primary source of data for this study came from Heartland Alliance Nigeria’s PN activities, which took place between October 1, 2018, and September 30, 2019. The 12-month timeframe corresponds to the program cycle timeline or fiscal year in which program interventions are executed in Nigeria with technical and financial assistance from PEPFAR. The national KP program consists of an integrated HIV prevention and treatment program that identifies HIV-positive KP in the community and links them to care and treatment at the LGA level.

Definitions of HIV partner notification delivery models

In this study, partner notification was defined as a voluntary process whereby a trained provider asks clients diagnosed with HIV about their sexual partners and/or drug-injecting partners, and then, if the HIV-positive client agrees, the trained provider offers these partners HTS. In this study, partner notification was done in two ways: passive and assisted. Under the passive HIV partner notification services HIV-positive clients were encouraged by trained providers to disclose their HIV status to their sexual and/or drug-injecting partners and to suggest HTS to the partner(s) given their potential exposure to HIV infection. Under the assisted HIV partner notification services, consenting HIV-positive clients were assisted by a trained provider to disclose their status or anonymously notified their sexual and/or drug-injecting partner(s) of their potential exposure to HIV infection. The trained provider offered HIV testing to these partner(s). Assisted partner notification was done using contract referral, provider referral, or dual referral approaches.
Contract referral: HIV-positive clients entered a contract with the trained care providers and agreed to disclose their status and the potential HIV exposure to their partner(s) by themselves. According to the contract, HIV-positive clients are expected to refer their partner(s) to HTS within a specific time. If the partner(s) of the HIV-positive individual does not access HTS or contact the health provider within that period, then the providers contact the partner(s) directly and offered voluntary HTS.

Provider referral: With the consent of the HIV-positive clients, the trained provider confidentially contacted the person’s partner(s) directly and offers the partner(s) voluntary HTS.

Dual referral: The trained provider accompanied and provided support to HIV-positive clients that disclosed their status and the potential exposure to HIV infection to their partner(s). The care provider offered the partner HTS voluntarily (s). Anonymous techniques in which the index case and provider notified the partners of their status and the potential exposure to HIV infection provided support to HIV-positive clients that disclosed their positive status to their partner(s). The care provider offered the partner HTS voluntarily (s). Anonymous techniques in which the index case and provider notified the partners of potential exposure without identifying the index partner’s position or engagement.

Index testing services among key populations in Nigeria

The national KP program consists of an integrated HIV prevention and treatment program that identifies HIV-positive KP in the community and links them to care and treatment at the local government area or community level. Index testing is also referred as partner testing/PN services. In this approach exposed contacts (i.e., current, or past sexual partners, and anyone with whom a needle was shared) of an HIV-positive person (i.e., index client), were offered HIV index testing. Every newly diagnosed HIV-seropositive becomes an indexing client from whom to elicit contacts. All index testing services meet WHO’s 5C minimum standards, including consent, counseling, confidentiality, correct test results, and connection to HIV prevention (for HIV-positive and HIV-negative individuals), and linkage to HIV care and treatment for HIV-positive individuals. Specific program guidelines, tools, and standard operating procedures were utilized to provide safe and ethical index testing services, in compliance with the WHO consolidated guideline on partner notification services. To reduce the risk of harm, trained providers ensured that all index clients got counseling and support services, such as helplines and screening for Intimate Partner Violence (IPV), as per WHO standards. To ensure compliance with the index testing guidelines, community-based organizations, civil society groups, and networks of key populations conducted community-led monitoring activities (gather quantitative and qualitative data about HIV services). HIV-positive clients were offered multiple options for assisted partner notification, and the approach selected was based on client preferences. Exposed contacts were traced and offered HIV testing.

The national KP program is entirely community-based, and HIV testing as part of index partner testing services was offered in nightclubs, hotels, drop-in centers, or community-based ART clinics (One-Stop-Shops (OSS)). Nightclubs and hotels are ideal settings for HTS interventions, because KP members visit these venues to socialize, seek clients, or participate in key population-defining behaviors. In these areas, HIV testing was primarily based on the desire or request of the index sexual and/or injecting drug use partners. HIV prevention programs for KP are provided through drop-in centers, which are safe places in the community. OSS model for KP established safe spaces in the communities for biological (e.g., HIV testing, sexual transmission infection (STI) screening), behavioral (e.g., risk assessment, peer education) and structural (e.g., income generating activity) interventions. It integrates differentiated strategies that optimize efficiency along the 95–95–95 cascade. The project developed standard operating procedures and data-collection tools (client intake forms, HIV Index Testing Services register, or logbook) for use by providers during counseling of HIV index patients and meeting their relatives. For contact to be counted under the index testing program, he/she must be tested for HIV and receive their result or be known as HIV positive. The contact could either self-report a known exposure to someone with HIV as their reason for testing, have an index testing referral letter/card/coupon given to them from their HIV-positive partner/family member (client-referral approach), or have been identified during the elicitation process and contacted through a provider referral. To reduce the double-counting of index partners and account for retesters in a reporting period, tracking systems with “unique identifiers” were established and used to monitor the frequency of contact/outreach of a single index case over time. A unique ID was generated and assigned to an index partner before HIV testing commences, and the results collected were entered into an electronic database. The partner elicitation process of index testing is a continuous process. Peer navigators followed standard operating procedures to determine when KP was asked again about any new partners or previous partners that may not have been disclosed previously. Index testing was assisted by peer navigators. Peer navigators are KP who were recruited and trained as peer-outreach workers to increase demand for tailored HIV services, improve the quality of behavior change communication and increase access to HIV testing.

HIV testing delivery services to KP in the target communities adopted a 2 step HIV rapid testing—serial algorithm testing strategy. The fourth-generation rapid test kits were used for HIV testing (Determine™ HIV-1/2 Ag/Ab Combo). A non-reactive HIV test result after the first test was considered as HIV-negative. A reactive
result was tested with a second test. When the result was reactive again, it was considered HIV-positive and when it was non-reactive it is considered HIV-negative. HIV testing and counseling, and requests for elicitation of sexual and injecting partners all take place in a private and confidential environment. HIV testing and counseling are available to sexual partners at convenient hours (including nights and weekends) and places (home, facility, or other community locations). HIV self-test kits are also provided to clients, which they can distribute to their sexual partners. Clients who rejected HIV testing services were offered the opportunity to be contacted again after a set length of time. Preventive treatments, such as harm reduction interventions, behavioral interventions, sexual and reproductive health interventions, pre-exposure prophylaxis (PrEP), information on post-exposure prophylaxis, condoms, and lubricants, are offered for sexual and injecting contacts of clients who test negative. To support HIV treatment and care for HIV positive KP, the national program supports drop-in centers, personalized outreach, and alternative pick-up locations, such as one-stop-shops that offer community-based treatment initiation and refills in addition to testing services. Multi-month dispensing for both PrEP and ART are provided and dispensed through community-based clinics or drop-in centers.

Implementation of index testing services

Index testing cascade for KP is categorized into four specific steps based on four program performance indicators. These steps are part of a cascade of implementation that begins with an offer of index testing services to the index client (newly diagnosed KP) and ends in provision of an HIV test to the contacts named by the index client. The steps are:

1. Number of index clients who were offered index testing services. This is the number of index clients (newly diagnosed positive KP) who were offered index testing services (regardless of whether those services were accepted by the index client).

2. Number of index clients who accepted index testing services. This is the number of index clients who accepted provision of index testing services by a provider (including client providing informed consent, acceptance of counseling on index testing, acceptance of elicitation of current or past sexual or injecting partners and/or partner notification).

3. Number of contacts provided by the index client. This is the number of contacts provided by the index client because of accepting index testing services.

4. Number of contacts who were tested for HIV. This is the number of contacts who were tested for HIV and received their results. Previous or known positives are also recorded.

Study population

All contacts (sexual contacts or injecting drug users) of HIV-positive FSW, MSM, and PWID aged ≥15 years who received partner notification services were included in the analysis. For simplicity, we refer to them as “partners” in the rest of the text. The index case’s spouse and casual sex partners are the sexual partners included in the study. According to the national KP program, children under the age of 15 are not classified or named in any of the KP categories and hence were not included in this study. Only adult KP is enrolled in the care and treatment program. Children of KP, on the other hand, are linked to HIV prevention and treatment activities through the national HIV program for the general population. In-person and social network-based HIV testing approaches were used to recruit index clients. KP high-risk networks are used in social network strategies to refer people for HIV testing. These strategies, which include an enhanced peer outreach strategy, take advantage of social, sexual, and drug-using relationships or behaviours to reach high-risk and hidden individuals who might benefit from HIV testing. The trained care provider urges KP with HIV or those who are HIV-negative and at continuing risk of HIV to encourage and invite others in their sexual, drug injecting, or social networks to engage in voluntary HTS as part of the social network testing strategy. FSW was defined as women who receive money or goods in exchange for sexual services, and who consciously define those activities as income generating even if they do not consider sex work as their occupation. MSM was defined as (1) self-identification as male and (2) report of oral or anal sex with a man in the prior 12 months. PWID was defined as a person who meets one of the following conditions: (1) self-reporting ever injecting any illicit drug and having a visible injection site on the body, (2) self-reporting injecting illicit drugs in the past month.

Statistical analyses

We analyzed aggregate-level program data from index testing by counting the number of sexual partners plus injecting drug users of HIV-positive KP who received HIV index partner testing services between October 1, 2018, and September 30, 2019, at the community level. We conducted four separate analyses: First, we created a flow chart diagram of the HIV index testing model from three Nigerian states. Second, we utilized proportions to characterize the methods for HIV partner notification of index partners’ HIV status and calculated HIV sero-positivity among those who underwent index testing by state and KP group. Two proportion Z-test using a 5% alpha level (α/2 = 1.96) was used to compare partner HIV seropositivity by states. The null hypothesis (H₀) was compared to the alternative hypothesis (Hₐ).
for the test is that the HIV seropositivity across KP groups in the study locations is the same. In determining whether to reject the null hypothesis we used the method of identifying rejection regions. We rejected the null hypothesis when the calculated z-score falls into a rejection region. We define the rejection region as an area under a normal standard distribution, where the null hypothesis is not probable. We divided the total number of partners of index clients who tested HIV positive (numerator: previously known HIV positive testers + newly identified HIV positive) by the total number of partners who received HIV testing services to measure the HIV seropositivity. Third, we created a dot plot to examine the differences in HIV testing by state and sex. Fourth, we constructed a violin chart and compared the mean HIV seropositivity across the KP groups using the One-Way Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) test and Tukey’s honest significance difference (HSD) test at 95% family-wise confidence level to determine whether the differences between the group means of HIV seropositivity are statistically significant, and to identify the group means that are statistically different from one another. R-software v.4.0.5 was used to perform the analysis. The authors made sure that the manuscript’s structure and flow strictly followed STROBE guidelines.
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Results
Overview of HIV index testing cascade
Figure 1 shows the cascade of HIV index testing services and treatment initiation for all KP groups. Of the 10,774 newly diagnosed HIV-positive KP, a total of 10,528 (98%) index clients (5636 FSW, 2805 MSM, and 2067 PWID) were offered index testing services in 54 local

Figure 1. Overview of HIV Testing Services uptake in Index Testing Model from 3 States, Nigeria, October 1, 2018 − September 30, 2019

The flow chart diagram shows how the index partner testing cascade for KP in Nigeria works. The index clients who were offered index partner testing services are shown by the yellow horizontal bar. The purple bar depicts index clients who accepted to participate in index partner testing. The index clients’ partners who were elicited for HTS are shown by the blue horizontal bars. The elicitation ratio by KP groups is shown by the red boxes. The light green, orange, and grey horizontal bars show how many partners of index clients were tested for HIV, how many were HIV positive, and how many were on antiretroviral therapy, respectively.
government areas across the three states during the study period. Overall, the proportion of index clients who accepted the services was low, 30% (3119/10,508). Of n = 3119 KP index clients who accepted the services, acceptance rate was 42.4% (n = 1322) for FSW, 40.2% for MSM (n = 1255), and 17.4% for PWID (n = 542). A total of 8989 partners of the 3119 KP index clients were elicited for index testing services (index partner ratio= 1:2.9; 82.8% (n = 7443) male and 17.2% (n = 1546) female). HIV testing and counseling uptake ranged from 76.1% (2220/2919) among sexual partners of FSW to 99.4% (626/630) among injecting partners of PWID. Testing uptake was 87.0% (3947/4536) among MSM and 83.9% (763/909) among sexual partners of PWID. Overall, 49.7% (n = 3756) were HIV positive. HIV seropositivity rate among partners varied disproportionately. It was 37.0% MSM partners, 52.0% for FSW partners, 71.0% for PWID sexual partners and 96.0% for PWID injecting partners. The results of the two proportional Z-tests revealed that HIV seropositivity was significantly higher in PWID sexual partners than in FSW and MSM partners (z-test = 9.14, p < 0.00001 and z-test = 17.39, p < 0.00001). HIV seropositivity was also substantially higher in PWID injecting partners than in FSW and MSM partners (z-test = 19.9, p < 0.00001 and z-test = 27.5, p < 0.00001, respectively). FSW partners similarly had a higher HIV seropositivity than MSM partners (z-test = 11.4, p < 0.00001). Linkage to ART was 93.0% (3492/3753) overall and was above 90% in all subgroups.

Index testing by sex and partner notification delivery models

HIV testing services differed considerably by sex. About 79.0% (5999) of males and 21.0% (1546) of female partners elicited for PN services were tested for HIV. Figure 2 indicates that males made up most of the partners who received HTS (Akwa Ibom 78.7%, Cross river 73.0%, and Lagos 92.0%). Almost three-quarters [73.0% (2732/3753)] of those who tested positive were males. The majority 84.1% (7556/8989) partners of KP

---

**Figure 2.** Dot plot showing differences in HIV testing by state and sex, (October 2018 — September 2019)

The proportion of females and males who obtained HTS in the index partner testing services is shown by the red and blue dots, respectively. The grey bar depicts the change, and/or difference in HTS distribution by state (vertical axis) and by gender (horizontal axis).
index partners who received HIV testing and counseling services were first-time testers. KP index clients chose provider referral for 68.3% (5159/7556) of partners, client referral for 30.1% (2278/7556), contract referral for 1.1% (82/7556), and household/dual referral for 0.5% (37/7556) of partners respectively (Table 1).

HIV seropositivity rate and linkage to art by state
In Akwa Ibom, 48.2% (3640/7556) of people received HIV testing and counseling, 32.6% (2462/7556) in Cross River, and 19.2% (1454/7556) in Lagos (Figure 3). HIV seropositivity rate was 89.1% (2194/2462) in Cross River, 31.9% (1162/3640) in Akwa Ibom and 27.3% (977/1454) in Lagos (Figure 3). In Cross River, HIV seropositivity was comparable in PWID injecting partners and PWID sexual partners (99.1%; 581/586 and 98.9%; 433/438) respectively. HIV seropositivity was significantly higher in MSM partners (95.6%; 605/633) than in FSW partners (71.4%; 575/809) in Cross River, z-test = 13.2, p < 0.00001. In Akwa Ibom HIV seropositivity was considerably higher in FSW partners (41.3%; 566/1371) than in MSM partners (25.2%; 490/1948), z-test = 9.8, p < 0.00001. HIV seropositivity among PWID sexual partners (50.0%; 2/4) and PWID injecting partners (47.5%; 19/40) in Lagos was not statistically different, z-test = 0.09, p = 0.928 (Figure 3). The mean HIV seropositivity was 57.1% among MSM partners, 30.2% among MSM partners, 16.1% among PWID sexual partners, and 11.7% among PWID injecting partners (Figure 4a). We found a statistically significant difference in mean HIV seropositivity by KP type (f(3)=23.07, p < 0.001). In Figure 4b, The Tukey-HSD test showed that HIV seropositivity rates among FSW partners were substantially higher on average than HIV seropositivity rates among sexual and injecting partners of PWID. The mean HIV seropositivity difference between MSM partners and injecting PWID partners is 18.4, with MSM partners averaging 18.4 points higher. HIV seropositivity was considerably higher among MSM partners than among injecting partners of PWID and sexual partners of FSW, respectively. In Lagos, almost all partners were linked to ART. Cross river showed similar results. Linkage to ART was lower than the UNAIDS-second 90 goal in Akwa Ibom across FSW, MSM, and PWID sexual partners (Figure 3).

### Discussion
Our study describes the implementation of a community-led index partner testing cascade as part of the national KP program. While the proportion of KP index clients who accepted PN or index testing services was low (29.7%), HIV testing uptake among partners of index clients was high (84.1%). It’s worth noting that there’s a scarcity of data on HIV index partner testing acceptance rates, particularly among KP. According to a
A retrospective analysis of program data on index partner testing cascade among the general population in Zambia and Kenya, 93% and 98% of PLHIV, respectively, accepted partner notification services. Another study in Lesotho found that 75 percent of index clients who were offered index partner testing accepted it. When compared to the acceptability rate reported in the general population, the acceptance rate for index partner testing was low in our study. KP’s continued stigma and discrimination because of their perceived health status, sexual orientation, and/or gender identity may have influenced index partner uptake. Clients’ fear of stigma, discrimination, and other human rights violations may explain the low index partner acceptance rate in our study. According to recent research, fear of stigma and criminalization under the Same-Sex Marriage Prohibition Act, as well as discrimination against KP, are the major reasons for inadequate access to health care and limited participation of KP in national surveys. The Nigerian government increased the penalty for homosexuality to 14 years in prison in 2014. Anyone who helps gay couples might face a ten-year jail sentence. These kinds of laws that criminalize homosexuality have forced MSM into hiding, rendering them more vulnerable to HIV. Further studies are recommended to determine the immediate effect of this prohibitive act on stigma, discrimination, and engagement among MSM in HIV prevention and treatment services in Nigeria.

The proportion of the KP index partners tested were mostly males (79%) than females (21%). FSW and MSM’s partners were more likely males, which led to the high number of male partners in our study compared to females. Testing uptake among men was therefore remarkably higher than in a previous study in Malawi and Rwanda, which found that nearly 70% of adult HIV tests reported in 76 low- and middle-income countries were among females. The approach also proved to be effective; half (49.7%) of the successfully referred contacts were found HIV positive, and of these, all were newly diagnosed or first-time testers. Available evidence suggests that PLHIV are mostly willing to disclose their HIV status and to participate in provider-assisted HIV partner notification. A meta-analysis of three individually randomized trials using all identified partners as the denominator showed that assisted partner notification services resulted in a 1.5-fold increase in the uptake of HTS among partners compared with passive referral. These results align with our study which indicated that provider referral resulted in a 2.3-fold increase in the uptake of HTS among partners compared with the

Figure 3. HIV Cascade of tested index partners by key population group and State, October 2018 – September 2019.

The combo chart shows the HIV testing and treatment cascade for the index partners, disaggregated by state and KP type. The number of index partners who were tested for HIV (orange) and the number of index partners who were HIV positive is shown in the graph (grey). The blue line graph depicts the percentage of HIV-positive index partners who were started on antiretroviral therapy, whereas the green bubbles depict HIV positivity rates among index partners according to KP typologies.
passive referral. In a study by Gabriel et al., researchers examined the acceptability of anonymous notification by a health service provider (i.e., provider referral) in a sample of incarcerated PLHIV, most of whom were sexually active and using drugs before incarceration. The study found that two-thirds (66.4%) of PLHIV endorsed provider referral as an acceptable method to notify their sex partners and nearly three-quarters (72.4%) endorsed provider referral to notify their drug-injecting partners. HIV testing uptake among partners

Figure 4. Distribution of HIV Seropositivity across KP types, October 2018 — September 2019 (a. top panel) and Tukey-HSD test-95% family-wise Confidence Level (b. bottom panel).

In Figure 4a, the violin plots show the relationship of KP types to HIV seropositivity. The red dot represents the median, and the box plot elements show the median HIV seropositivity for injecting partners of PWID is lower than for other KP types. The horizontal bars in the center represents the interquartile range, and the other points are “outliers” using a method that is a function of the interquartile range.
The 49.7% HIV positivity rate in our study is consistent with a partner notification study conducted by Nguyen et al., in which the positivity rate among partners of index clients was 41.9%. We observed that the HIV seropositivity in sexual (71%) and injecting (91%) partners of PWID was considerably higher than in partners of FSW (71.4%) and partners of MSM (37%). The high HIV seropositivity among partners of PWID is in line with the latest UNAIDS 2018 report, which showed that the risk of HIV acquisition among people who inject drugs was 22 times higher than in the general population. This risk derives primarily from sharing needles and injection equipment but is compounded through criminalization, marginalization, and poverty. The disproportionately high HIV seropositivity among PWID could be linked to the HIV infection dynamics among PWID that suggests overlapping risk groups with multiple transmission routes. For example, some PWID are sex workers, or buy or trade drugs for sex, or are MSM, and may gain HIV through sexual and injecting routes. The high seropositivity of HIV among FSW partners compared with MSM partners may indicate that sex work and/or transactional sex continues to be the most important cause of new HIV infection in Nigeria. According to UNAIDS multi-country analysis on new HIV infections by mode of transmission, about 10% of new infections are the result of sex work. The study indicated that there would be about one and a half times more new infections due to sex work if it were not for the high levels of condom use reported in sex worker contacts.

In Sub-Saharan Africa, it is believed that more than half (55%) of all sex workers have HIV. Even though sex workers are disproportionately impacted by HIV in every country in East and Southern Africa, HIV prevalence among this community varies widely, ranging from 5.3 percent in Madagascar to more than 7 percent in Lesotho and Uganda. HIV prevalence among sex workers in Nigeria was above 35% in 2007. There is no federal or national legislation prohibiting sex work in Nigeria. Although sex work is illegal in Nigeria’s northern states due to Sharia Law. Sex work is legal in all of Nigeria’s Western, Eastern, and Southern states. As a result, in such jurisdictions, police or security services are unable to apprehend sex workers. The HIV positivity rate among FSWs in our research was comparable to that of countries such as Botswana, Malawi, Rwanda, and Zimbabwe, where more than 40% of female sex workers are living with HIV. It is estimated that at least 50% of sex workers in East and Southern Africa are female, although selling sex is also common among men who have sex with men. HIV positivity in our study was higher among males than females. A recent report from UNAIDS shows that in sub-Saharan Africa, men and boys living with HIV are 20% less likely than women and girls living with HIV to know their HIV status, and 27% less likely to be accessing treatment. In western and central Africa, only 23% of men living with HIV are accessing treatment. When people are not on treatment, they are more likely to transmit HIV. The report also shows that HIV prevalence is consistently higher among men within key populations, and outside of eastern and southern Africa, 60% of all new HIV infections among adults are among men.

Condom usage by sex workers and their clients varies greatly. In some cases, sex workers have no access to condoms, have trouble negotiating their use with clients, or are unaware of their importance. The use of condoms by sex workers and their clients varies significantly. Sex workers may lack access to condoms, have difficulty negotiating their usage with clients, or are uninformed of their significance. In other situations, authorities seize or destroy condoms used by sex workers. Physical and sexual assault and harassment of sex workers carrying condoms were revealed in a 2012 study in Kenya, South Africa, and Zimbabwe. The fear of arrest for condom possession was also being used by police to blackmail and abuse sex workers. Emily et al. performed a qualitative study in 2019 to better understand the nature and consequences of GBV among FSW, MSM, and Transgender women, to influence HIV policy and programming and protect KPs’ human rights. The study found that emotional and economic GBV were the most reported, but that sexual and physical GBV, as well as other human rights violations, were reported by about three-quarters of participants. GBV occurred most often at home, in places where sex work took places such as brothels, bars, and on the street; public areas such as parks, streets, and public transportation; health care facilities, police stations; and religious settings and schools for transgender women and MSM. To reduce the national burden of HIV while also promoting key populations’ human rights, the national HIV program implements a variety of diversified preventive and treatment package interventions that address both HIV and GBV at the community and OSS levels. Modeling estimates in Kenya show that a reduction of approximately 25% of HIV infections among sex workers may be achieved when physical or sexual violence is reduced. In Uganda, a mixed-methods study investigated the knowledge and attitudes of FSWs and truckers about condom use. Condom awareness was high, with 97% of FSWs and 95% of truckers agreeing that “using condoms properly and regularly decreases the risk of HIV transmission.” Condom usage was largely regarded positively, with 91 percent of FSWs and 82 percent of truckers believing that “condom use is the best means of HIV prevention.” Poverty, male partners’ unwillingness to use condoms, alcohol usage before sex, and perceptions that condoms “kill the mood for sex” are
The national HIV/AIDS program provides targeted HIV testing services to KP and their high-risk contacts. During the study period, the following HIV testing modalities were implemented: KP testing in mobile or temporary testing locations, such as community centers, schools, workplaces, hotels, clubs, tents, and vans; voluntary counseling and testing which includes testing in voluntary counseling and testing centers outside of a health facility (i.e., “one-stop shops”), and index partner testing. According to the national KP program, HIV seropositivity or HIV positivity yield (the percentage of positives found out of those who were tested and received their test results) varies disproportionately across the testing modalities. Overall, HIV positivity yield among KP in our study was 49.7%. According to the national KP program, this was 9.6% in the mobile testing modality and 10.7% in the voluntary counseling and testing modality (see Supplementary 2). These results suggest that index testing model can be used to optimize HIV case identification efforts compared to the other testing models. According to recent research in Lesotho, the HIV index testing model had a statistically significant higher HIV positivity yield among adult clients tested than other HIV testing models (17.6% vs. 5.6 percent, \( p = 0.0009 \)).

Provision of partner notification services in the US and Europe is safe and effective, as well as cost-effective for HIV case-finding and linkage to care. Overall, our study shows high ART linkage rate (93.0%) with the HIV index testing model. Before the launch of PN services in 2017, overall linkage to ART among KP was marginally above half (53.7%) in Nigeria. Between October 2017 and September 2019, the linkage to ART increased by thirty-nine percentage points. There is a remarkably high linkage rate among FSW, MSM, and PWID sexual and injecting partners in all states, which confirms findings from earlier studies in other settings. Research done in Vietnam to explore assisted partner notifying strategies, tailored differently, could be more successful in reaching multiple sexual and injecting partners of KP.
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