Secondary pneumothorax as a potential marker of apatinib efficacy in osteosarcoma: a multicenter analysis
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Abstract

Background

Studies have shown that apatinib is effective for treatment of osteosarcoma. However, pneumothorax is a major adverse event associated with treatment of osteosarcoma with apatinib. This study was performed to investigate pneumothorax characteristics and association with clinical outcomes in patients with osteosarcoma treated with apatinib.

Methods

We retrospectively reviewed the medical records of osteosarcoma patients treated with apatinib between January 2016 and April 2020 at three institutions. We evaluated the prevalence, healing time, recurrence, severity, clinical management, and prognosis of pneumothorax in these patients.

Results

A total of 54 osteosarcoma patients who received apatinib treatment were enrolled in this study. Among them, 14 patients had pneumothorax. There were significant differences between the patients with and without pneumothorax with regard to the cavitating rate of lung metastases (92.86% vs 32.50%, respectively, \( p < 0.001 \)), objective response rate (42.86% vs 10.00%, \( p = 0.013 \)), disease control rate (85.71% vs 42.50%, \( p = 0.006 \)), 4-month progression-free survival (PFS) rate (57.10% vs 20.00%, \( p < 0.001 \)), and median PFS (5.65 months vs 2.90 months, \( p = 0.011 \)).

Conclusions

Pneumothorax and cavitation in lung metastases may be effective prognostic markers for patients with osteosarcoma treated with apatinib.

Background

Osteosarcoma is a mesenchymal malignancy with a worldwide incidence of about three per million people per year [1]. Despite the low incidence, there are still more than 4,000 new cases diagnosed in China each year. The malignancy shows a predilection for the limbs in adolescent patients. Growth of osteosarcoma at the primary site causes impaired limb function, and metastasis often leads to death; 95% of metastases occur in the lung [2]. Current treatment of osteosarcoma includes surgical resection of all gross disease in conjunction with systemic chemotherapy to control micro-metastatic disease. This treatment yields a 5-year event-free survival rate of approximately 70% for patients with localized osteosarcoma, whereas patients with metastatic or recurrent disease have a poorer prognosis, with a 4-month progression-free survival (PFS) rate of only 12% and overall survival rates lower than 20% [3].

In recent years, with the great success of receptor tyrosine kinase inhibitors (TKIs) in the treatment of malignant tumors, the treatment of osteosarcoma has entered a new era. Sorafenib, apatinib, and regorafenib are TKIs that
have been shown to be effective in the treatment of osteosarcoma in clinical trials [4–7]. These TKIs inhibit a variety of tyrosine kinases, and although their multitarget nature has led to effective treatment of osteosarcoma, it has also caused various adverse events. A growing number of studies have shown that pneumothorax is one of adverse events (AEs) associated with treatment of sarcomas using multitarget TKIs [5, 8, 9].

As a multitarget TKI marketed for the treatment of advanced or metastatic gastric cancer in China, apatinib has been shown to be effective for the treatment of osteosarcoma. In our previous work, we found that the incidence of pneumothorax in patients with osteosarcoma treated with apatinib was 18.18%-31.58% [10, 11], which was similar to the results of other studies [5, 12]. To further investigate this issue, we retrospectively analyzed data for patients with osteosarcoma treated with apatinib at three institutions, focusing on clinical characteristics related to pneumothorax, with the aim of providing more clinical data to support the treatment of osteosarcoma using TKIs.

**Methods**

**Study design and eligibility criteria**

This study was approved by the Institutional Review Board of the Affiliated Cancer Hospital of Zhengzhou University and performed according to the principles and guidelines of the Declaration of Helsinki. All patients provided written informed consent for data collection and research purposes. This was a multicenter retrospective study of osteosarcoma patients treated at three hospitals: Affiliated Cancer Hospital of Zhengzhou University, First Affiliated Hospital of Zhengzhou University, and Affiliated People's Hospital of Zhengzhou University. We retrospectively reviewed the medical records of osteosarcoma patients treated with apatinib between January 2016 and April 2020.

Inclusion criteria were as follows: 1) histologically proven osteosarcoma; 2) presence of metastatic lung lesions; 3) treatment with apatinib; 4) no history of treatment with other targeted drugs before apatinib treatment; 5) measurable lesions according to the Response Evaluation Criteria in Solid Tumors (RECIST) version 1.1; and 6) complete clinical data that could be statistically analyzed.

**Treatment protocol**

Patients received a once-daily oral dose of 500 mg apatinib. This apatinib dose was reduced to 250 mg per day for patients with intolerable AEs. Apatinib was administered continuously until intolerable AEs or progressive disease (PD) occurred. AEs were assessed using the U.S. National Center Institute Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Events (NCI-CTCAE) version 4.0. If a severe AE occurred, apatinib administration was delayed for a maximum of 14 days to enable recovery. If pneumothorax occurred, patients were treated by chest tube drainage. Removal of the chest tubes was performed based on a strict algorithm and required complete cessation of any air leakage and fluid output < 250 ml (clear fluid) per 24 hours.

**Evaluation**

We reviewed the baseline characteristics of all the osteosarcoma patients enrolled in this study. Specifically, we determined the time interval between the first pneumothorax and apatinib treatment and assessed the prevalence, healing time, recurrence, severity, and clinical management of pneumothorax in these patients. We
also evaluated the impact of pneumothorax by comparing the characteristics of the patients with and without pneumothorax.

Statistical analyses

The objective response rate (ORR), disease control rate (DCR), 4-month PFS rate, and cavitating rate of lung metastases during apatinib treatment were evaluated and compared. PFS was estimated by the Kaplan–Meier method and compared using the log-rank test. Group-wise comparison was conducted using Fisher’s exact test and the Wilcoxon rank-sum test with continuity correction. Quantitative variables are presented as medians (range) or number of patients (percentage). In all analyses, the p-values were two-sided, and p < 0.05 was considered significant.

The prevalence of pneumothorax was calculated as the percentage of patients suffering from pneumothorax. The healing time for pneumothorax was calculated as the time from chest tube drainage treatment to removal of the chest tubes. The severity of pneumothorax events was graded based on NCI-CTCAE (version 4.0). The objective response and PD were defined based on RECIST (version 1.1). PFS was calculated from the date of initiation of apatinib therapy until radiological progression of disease.

Results

Patient characteristics
A total of 54 osteosarcoma patients who received apatinib treatment were enrolled in this study. Among them, 14 patients had pneumothorax. The characteristics of the patients are shown in Table 1. Comparison of various characteristics revealed no statistically significant difference between the patients with and without pneumothorax (Table 1).
Table 1
Basic characteristics of the two osteosarcoma groups

| Characteristics                   | Patients with pneumothorax (n = 14) | Patients without pneumothorax (n = 40) | p-value |
|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|---------|
| Gender                            |                                     |                                        | 1       |
| Male                              | 8 (57.14%)                          | 21 (52.50%)                            |         |
| Female                            | 6 (42.86%)                          | 19 (47.50%)                            |         |
| Age (years)                       | 22.00 ± 11.70                       | 20.00 ± 9.80                           | 0.564   |
| ECOG PS                           |                                     |                                        | 0.546   |
| 0                                 | 7 (50.00%)                          | 24 (60.00%)                            |         |
| 1                                 | 7 (50.00%)                          | 16 (40.00%)                            |         |
| Primary site                      |                                     |                                        | 0.973   |
| Femur                             | 5 (35.71%)                          | 13 (32.50%)                            |         |
| Tibia                             | 3 (21.43%)                          | 11 (27.50%)                            |         |
| Humerus                           | 3 (21.43%)                          | 6 (15.00%)                             |         |
| Other                             | 1 (7.14%)                           | 4 (10.00%)                             |         |
| Axial skeleton                    | 1 (7.14%)                           | 3 (7.50%)                              |         |
| Radial                            | 1 (7.14%)                           | 1 (2.50%)                              |         |
| Fibula                            | 0 (0.00%)                           | 2 (5.00%)                              |         |
| Excision of primary lesion        |                                     |                                        | 1       |
| No                                | 2 (14.29%)                          | 5 (12.50%)                             |         |
| Yes                               | 12 (85.71%)                         | 35 (87.50%)                            |         |
| Metastatic site                   |                                     |                                        | 0.681   |
| Only lung                         | 11 (78.57%)                         | 34 (85.00%)                            |         |
| Both bone and lung                | 3 (21.43%)                          | 6 (15.00%)                             |         |
| Previous MAP/I chemotherapy       |                                     |                                        | 1       |
| No                                | 1 (7.14%)                           | 5 (12.50%)                             |         |
| Yes                               | 13 (92.86%)                         | 35 (87.50%)                            |         |
| Time interval (months)            | 4.36 ± 2.68                         | 4.30 ± 2.41                            | 0.504   |
| Apatinib dosage per administration (mg) | 435.46 ± 31.72           | 428.95 ± 33.87                        | 1       |

Notes: Data are presented as numbers (percentages) or means ± standard deviations.
Abbreviations: ECOG PS, Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group performance status; MAP/I, high-dose methotrexate, doxorubicin, cisplatin, and/or ifosfamide; Time interval, time interval between the end of chemotherapy and oral apatinib administration.

Clinical outcomes
The prevalence of pneumothorax was 25.93%. The time interval between the first pneumothorax and apatinib treatment was 3.3 ± 2.8 months. As shown in Table 2, there were significant differences between the patients with and without pneumothorax with regard to the cavitating rate of lung metastases (92.86% vs 32.50%, respectively, p < 0.001), ORR (42.86% vs 10.00%, p = 0.013), DCR (85.71% vs 42.50%, p = 0.006), 4-month PFS rate (57.10% vs 20.00%, p < 0.001; Fig. 1), and median PFS (5.65 months vs 2.90 months, p = 0.011; Fig. 1).

Table 2
Clinical outcomes of the two osteosarcoma groups

| Characteristics                        | Patients with pneumothorax (n = 14) | Patients without pneumothorax (n = 40) | p-value  |
|----------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|----------|
| Cavitation in lung Metastases          |                                     |                                        | < 0.001  |
| Yes                                    | 13 (92.86%)                         | 13 (32.50%)                            |          |
| No                                     | 1 (7.14%)                           | 27 (67.50%)                            |          |
| ORR (%)                                | 6 (42.86%)                          | 4 (10.00%)                             | 0.013    |
| DCR (%)                                | 12 (85.71%)                         | 17 (42.50%)                            | 0.006    |
| Median PFS (months)                    | 5.65 (3–8)                          | 2.90 (2–3)                             | 0.011    |
| 4-month PFS rate                       | 57.10% (0.284–0.780)                | 20.00% (0.094–0.335)                   | < 0.001  |

Notes: Data are presented as numbers (percentages), medians (95% confidence interval), or rates (deviations).

Abbreviations: ORR, objective response rate; DCR, disease control rate; PFS, progression-free survival.

Discussion
To our knowledge, this study is the first to focus on pneumothorax in osteosarcoma patients treated with apatinib. The results of this study showed that the incidence of pneumothorax in osteosarcoma patients treated with apatinib was 25.93%, which was similar to previous reports [5, 11, 12]. However, the incidence of pneumothorax in osteosarcoma patients treated with sorafenib and regorafenib, the other two multitarget TKIs that have been shown to be effective in osteosarcoma treatment, was 3% and 0%, respectively [4, 6, 7]. We speculate that these differences may be attributable to the different targets of these TKIs (Table 3) [13–16]. Nonetheless, the exact reasons for the discrepancy are unknown. Pazopanib is another multitarget TKI that has a high probability of causing pneumothorax in patients with sarcomas [8, 17, 18]; the targets of pazopanib are also different from those of apatinib (Table 3) [19]. Different targets result in different effectiveness of the two TKIs for osteosarcoma treatment. Based on current evidence, apatinib is more effective than pazopanib for osteosarcoma treatment [5, 20]. Although the targets and therapeutic effects of the two drugs are different, the pathological process of pneumothorax caused by the two drugs appears to be the same; this process involves
cavitation in lung metastases and finally pneumothorax formation (as shown in Fig. 2) [18, 21]. This suggests that both drugs inhibit key targets involved in pneumothorax formation. As shown in Table 3, the shared targets of apatinib and pazopanib include VEGFRs and KIT. We performed a literature search and found that bevacizumab and ramucirumab, single-target inhibitors of the VEGFR signaling pathway, can cause pneumothorax [22–24]. However, imatinib, which targets KIT but not VEGFRs (Table 3) [25], has not been reported to cause pneumothorax. This evidence suggests that VEGFRs are involved in pneumothorax development during treatment of sarcomas with TKIs. However, the detailed mechanisms remain unclear and warrant further study.

### Table 3

| TKI   | Targets (RTKs) & IC_{50} (nM, mean) | Reference |
|-------|----------------------------------|-----------|
|       | VEGFR1  | VEGFR2  | VEGFR3  | KIT   | RET   | PDGFRα | PDGFRβ | FGFR1 |
| Apatinib | 70      | 1       | -       | 429   | 13    | >1000   | -      | >10000 |
| Regorafenib | 13      | 4.2     | 46      | 7     | 1.5   | -       | 22     | 202    |
| Sorafenib | -       | 4       | 20      | 68    | 0.4   | -       | 57     | 580    |
| Pazopanib | 10      | 30      | 47      | 74    | -     | 71      | 84     | 140    |
| Imatinib | 19500   | 10700   | 5700    | 97    | -     | 72      | -      | 31200  |

**Abbreviations:** TKIs, receptor tyrosine kinase inhibitors; RTKs, receptor tyrosine kinases; IC_{50}, half maximal inhibitory concentration; nM, nmol/l.

In this study, patients with pneumothorax had a higher cavitating rate in lung metastases than patients without pneumothorax (Table 2, Fig. 2), which was consistent with other reports [8, 9]. We speculate that pneumothorax and cavitation in lung metastasis are the result of tumor necrosis and wound healing disorders caused by apatinib. In other words, pneumothorax and cavitation in lung metastasis are manifestations of the effectiveness of the treatment. This is demonstrated by the prolongation of median PFS in patients with pneumothorax (Table 2, Fig. 1). Interestingly, another study also identified cavitation in lung metastasis as a common effect of apatinib therapy and as a potential prognostic marker for the treatment of gastric and non-small-cell lung cancer patients [26]. And most recently, a study suggest that pneumothorax might be a marker for the favorable clinical outcome following apatinib-treated refractory osteosarcoma [27].

This study preliminarily evaluated secondary pneumothorax caused by apatinib treatment in osteosarcoma patients with lung metastasis. However, this study had some limitations, including its retrospective design, small sample size, and the absence of a control group. To further investigate secondary pneumothorax caused by TKIs, prospective clinical studies must be performed. The mechanisms underlying this form of pneumothorax also require further study. More importantly, the treatment of secondary pneumothorax, which may involve pleurodesis or thoracoscopic surgery, requires further investigation.

**Conclusions**
Pneumothorax and cavitation in lung metastasis are common AEs associated with apatinib therapy and may be effective prognostic markers in osteosarcoma patients undergoing apatinib treatment.
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Figures
Figure 1

Kaplan–Meier estimates of progression-free survival among osteosarcoma patients with or without pneumothorax.
Figure 2

Typical pathological process of pneumothorax after apatinib treatment in two osteosarcoma patients. Computed tomography scans were obtained at A1 treatment initiation in case 1, A2 6 months after treatment in case 1, and B 4 months after treatment in case 2. Red arrows indicate cavitation in lung metastases.