Chimeras in Multiplex Networks: Interplay of Inter- and Intra-Layer Delays
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Time delay in complex networks with multiple interacting layers gives rise to special dynamics. We study the scenarios of time delay induced patterns in a three-layer network of FitzHugh-Nagumo oscillators. The topology of each layer is given by a nonlocally coupled ring. For appropriate values of the time delay in the couplings between the nodes, we find chimera states, i.e., hybrid spatio-temporal patterns characterized by coexisting domains with incoherent and coherent dynamics. In particular, we focus on the interplay of time delay in the intra-layer and inter-layer coupling term. In the parameter plane of the two delay times we find regions where chimera states are observed alternating with coherent dynamics. Moreover, in the presence of time delay we detect full and relay inter-layer synchronization.
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1. INTRODUCTION

During the early eighteenth century, Leonard Euler published a paper on The Seven Bridges of Königsberg providing a mathematical background on vertices and edges [1]. Later on, it became the cornerstone of the field of network science. Network science presents a unique platform to study various complex real-world systems by analyzing the interactions between its constituent entities and collectively investigating its behaviors [2–4]. A recent addition to the network science is the multiplex framework which incorporates multiple types of interactions among nodes by representing them in different layers [5, 6]. For example, the neurons in the brain form different groups consisting of the same neurons but interacting in different ways (chemical interaction or electrical synapses) to perform different tasks [7, 8]. Multiplex framework divides these neuronal groups into different layers based on their functionalities [9]. Similarly, transportation networks, communication networks, social networks and a lot of other real-world networks can be represented in a multiplex framework to understand their structural and dynamical features in a better fashion [10].

Recently, various synchronization scenarios have been investigated in multilayer structures, including remote and relay synchronization [11–14]. Moreover, it has been shown that multiplexing can be used to control spatio-temporal patterns in networks [15–18]. The advantage of control schemes based on multiplexing is that they allow to achieve the desired state in a certain layer without manipulating its parameters, and they can work for weak inter-layer coupling. For example, it has been found that weak multiplexing can induce coherence resonance [19] as well as chimera states [18] in neural networks.
Chimera state is a peculiar partial synchronization pattern that refers to a hybrid dynamics where coherence and incoherence emerge simultaneously in a network of identical oscillators [20–22]. Since its inception [23, 24], chimera state has attracted massive interest from the nonlinear community for both its significance in understanding complex spatiotemporal patterns and its probable applicabilities in various fields, especially in neuroscience [25]. Here we study the role of the interplay of intra- and inter-layer time delays for the emergence of chimera states in a multi(tri)plex network. Time delays represent an essential factor in real-world networks due to the finite speed of information propagating through channels connecting the nodes. They play a crucial role in determining the dynamical behavior of a complex system [26–32]. Time delays have been shown to heavily influence the parameter range for which chimera states appear for both single and multiplex networks [14, 15, 33–35]. Moreover, recently a scheme has been proposed for engineering chimera states using suitably placed heterogeneous delays [36]. In the present work we demonstrate that just the variation of delay values in the intra- and inter-layer edges can lead to various dynamical states and allows for control of spatio-temporal patterns.

2. THE MODEL

We study a multiplex network with three layers (triplex) as shown in Figure 1. Every single layer represents a ring of $N$ identical FitzHugh-Nagumo (FHN) oscillators with non-local (intra-layer) topology. The outer layers $i = 1$ and $i = 3$ are coupled with the middle layer $i = 2$, so that it acts as a relay layer. There is no direct connection between layers 1 and 3 (so-called ordinal coupling).

Our system is described by the following equations:

$$
\dot{x}_k^i(t) = F(x_k^i(t)) + \frac{\sigma_i}{2R_i} \sum_{l=k-R_i}^{k+R_i} H[x_j^l(t - \tau_i) - x_k^i(t)] + \sum_{j=1}^{3} \sigma_j H[x_l^j(t - \tau_j) - x_k^i(t)],
$$

where $x = (u, v)^T \in \mathbb{R}^2$, $k \in \{1, ..., N\}$, $i \in \{1, ..., 3\}$ with all indices modulo $N$, describe the set of activator ($u$) and inhibitor ($v$) variables. The intra-layer delay time is $\tau_i$ and the inter-layer delay time is $\tau_{ij}$. The coupling radius in layer $i$ is given by $R_i$. The local dynamics of each oscillator is given by

$$
F(x) = \left( \frac{e^{-1}(u - \frac{v^3}{3} - \nu)}{u + a} \right),
$$

where $\varepsilon = 0.05$ is the parameter characterizing the time scale separation. The FHN oscillator exhibits either oscillatory ($|a| < 1$) or excitable ($|a| > 1$) behavior depending on the threshold parameter $a$. In this work we focus on the oscillatory regime ($a = 0.5$). The parameter $\sigma_i$ stands for the coupling
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**FIGURE 1** | Triplex network with ordinal coupling: The middle layer $i = 2$ (red) acts as relay layer between the two outer layers $i = 1, 3$ (blue). Black dots indicate the nodes, solid lines represent the intra-layer connections, and dashed lines denote the inter-layer links. The intra-layer coupling is characterized by the strength $\sigma_i$ and time delay $\tau_i$, and the inter-layer coupling is characterized by the strength $\sigma_{ij}$ and time delay $\tau_{ij}$. For example, in layer 3 the intra-layer coupling strength is given by $\sigma_3$, and the intra-layer time delay is $\tau_3$. Similarly, for the layers 1 and 2 the inter-layer coupling strength is given by $\sigma_{12}$ and the inter-layer time delay is $\tau_{12}$.
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**FIGURE 2** | Dynamical regimes in the parameter plane of intra-layer coupling delay $\tau_1 \equiv \tau_2 \equiv \tau_3$ and inter-layer coupling delay $\tau_{12} \equiv \tau_{23}$: “salt & pepper” states (green islands) occur in the region of coherent states (blue region) as traveling waves, cluster or synchronized states. At the border between these two regimes chimera states can be found (red color). We distinguish between the different regions on the one hand, by analyzing the mean phase velocity and a snapshot of variables $u_k$ on the other hand, by means of the Laplacian distance measure [39]. The boundaries of these regions are fitted linearly after the ($\tau_1, \tau_3$) plane has been sampled in steps $\Delta\tau_1 = 0.05$ and $\Delta\tau_3 = 0.1$. For all simulations of Equation (1) random initial conditions are taken. Parameters are chosen as $\varepsilon = 0.05$, $a = 0.5$, $\sigma_i = 0.2$, $\sigma_{ij} = 0.05$, $N = 500$, $R_i = 170$, $\phi = \frac{\pi}{2} - 0.1$, and $i, j = 1, 2, 3$. 
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FIGURE 3 | Dynamics in all three layers for different values of the inter-layer delay time \( \tau_{ij} \): (A) Chimera state for \( \tau_{ij} = 0.4 \), (B) “salt & pepper” state for \( \tau_{ij} = 0.9 \), (C) coherent state (cluster state) for \( \tau_{ij} = 1.7 \). The intra-layer delay time is fixed at \( \tau_i = 0.8 \). The left column displays snapshots of variables \( u_{ik} \) for the layers \( i = 1, 2, 3 \), while the right column illustrates the mean phase velocity profile \( \omega_k \) (dark blue) for the individual layers and the local inter-layer synchronization error \( E_{ij}^k \) (light yellow). Other parameters as in Figure 2.

strength inside the layer (intra-layer coupling), and \( \sigma_{ij} \) is the inter-layer coupling. For an ordinal inter-layer coupling with constant row sum we set \( \sigma_{12} = \sigma_{23} \), which yields the inter-layer coupling matrix

\[
\sigma = \begin{pmatrix}
0 & \sigma_{12} & 0 \\
\sigma_{12} & 0 & \sigma_{23} \\
0 & \sigma_{23} & 0
\end{pmatrix}
\] (3)

The connections between the nodes are given by the diffusive coupling with the following coupling matrix

\[
H = \begin{pmatrix}
\varepsilon^{-1} \cos \phi & \varepsilon^{-1} \sin \phi \\
-\sin \phi & \cos \phi
\end{pmatrix}
\] (4)

and coupling phase \( \phi = \frac{\pi}{2} - 0.1 \) [37]. This coupling configuration (i.e., predominantly activator-inhibitor cross-coupling) is similar to a phase-lag of approximately \( \pi/2 \) in the Kuramoto model that ensures the occurrence of chimera states [37].

3. INTERPLAY OF TIME DELAYS

Chimera states are spatio-temporal patterns where incoherent and coherent domains coexist in space. For certain values of coupling strength \( \sigma_i \) and coupling radius \( R_i \) one can detect them in the \( i \)th layer [37]. Recently, the phenomenon of relay synchronization of chimera states has been studied in a three-layer network of FHN oscillators [38]. In more detail, for varying the coupling delay and strength in the inter-layer connections relay synchronization of chimera states in the outer network layers has been reported. For appropriate parameters the so-called “double” chimeras are possible where the coherent parts of the chimera states are synchronized, whereas the incoherent parts remain desynchronized. Additionally, the transitions between different synchronization scenarios have been studied. Moreover, time delay in the inter-layer coupling has been shown to be a powerful tool for controlling various partial synchronization patterns in the three-layer network [14]. Therefore, by multiplexing and introducing inter-layer time delays it is possible to destroy or induce chimera states. Here we study the interplay of inter- and intra-layer time delay.

To provide an overview of the patterns observed in the network, we calculate the map of regimes in the parameter plane of intra-layer delay time \( \tau_i \) and inter-layer delay time \( \tau_{ij} \) (Figure 2). The dominating region is the one corresponding to coherent states (blue region in Figure 2). On the one hand, we
detect the in-phase synchronization regime (see Figure 3C), on the other hand, we also observe a region of coherent traveling waves (see Figure 4C). By varying the delay times we can not only switch between these states, but also adjust the speed of traveling waves. In addition, we can observe salt and pepper states (green region in Figure 2), where all nodes oscillate with the same phase velocity but they are distributed between states with phase lag \( \pi \) incoherently [40] (see Figures 3B, 4B). The reason for this is strong variations on very short length scales, so that the dynamical patterns have arbitrarily short wavelengths. Besides these two patterns characterized by the same mean phase velocity for all the nodes in the network, we also observe chimera states (red region in Figure 2), where the oscillators within each layer show a characteristic arc-shaped mean phase velocity profile. The mean phase velocities of the oscillators are given by \( \omega_k = 2\pi S_k / \Delta T, k = 1, \ldots, N \), where \( S_k \) denotes the number of complete rotations performed by the \( k \)th oscillator during the time \( \Delta T \). The value \( \Delta T = 10,000 \) is fixed throughout the paper. Because of the delay, the system often exhibits traveling structures. Therefore, the classical arc-shaped profile is transformed into a wider, conical one [41] (see Figures 3A, 4A (right column)). We distinguish between the different regions on the one hand, by analyzing the mean phase velocity and a snapshot of variables \( u_k \), on the other hand, by means of the Laplacian distance measure [39]. The latter identifies strong local curvature in an otherwise smooth spatial profile of \( x_k \) by calculating the discrete Laplacian \( \Delta_k \) for the individual layers and the local inter-layer synchronization error \( E_{ij} \) (light yellow). Other parameters as in Figure 2.

FIGURE 4 | Dynamics in all three layers for different values of the intra-layer delay time \( \tau_i \): (A) Chimera state for \( \tau_i = 2.8 \). (B) “salt & pepper” state for \( \tau_i = 2.6 \). (C) coherent state (traveling wave) for \( \tau_i = 2.4 \). The inter-layer delay time is fixed at \( \tau_j = 2.6 \). The left column illustrates the mean phase velocity profile \( \omega_k \) (dark blue) for the individual layers and the right column shows snapshots of variables \( u_k \) (light yellow). For the numerical integration an Euler integration method is used with a step size \( \Delta t = 0.0025 \) and a transient time \( t_{trans} = 2,000 \). All simulations are evaluated then after \( \Delta t = 10,000 \) as mentioned above.

In many systems with time delays resonance effects can be expected if the delay time is an integer or half-integer multiple of the period in the uncoupled system [42–44]. Regarding the inter-layer delay time \( \tau_j \), we can observe this effect for half-integer multiples of the period \( T = 2.3 \) of an uncoupled FHN oscillator (see Figure 2 for \( \tau_j \approx 2.5 \)). Concerning the intra-layer delay time \( \tau_i \) we find a resonance effect in the case of integer multiple of the delay. For greater values of the delay times \( \tau_i \) and \( \tau_j \) the dynamical regions are becoming curved (see green islands in Figure 2 at \( \tau_i \approx 2.5 \)). This can be explained by the fact that branches of periodic solutions, which are reappearing for integer multiples of the intrinsic period, are becoming stretched with increasing delay time [41]. In comparison to the almost vertical shape at \( \tau_i \approx 0.5 \), the green islands at \( \tau_i \approx 2.5 \) are rotated clockwise by approximate \( \pi/8 \). The consequence is an overlapping of the delay islands for small intra-layer delay time \( \tau_i \), whereas for larger delays the islands become separated.
4. RELAY SYNCHRONIZATION

Networks with multiple layers demonstrate remote synchronization of distant layers via a relay layer. Regarding the inter-layer synchronization, two synchronization mechanisms are conceivable in a triplex network:

• full inter-layer synchronization when synchronization is observed between all the layers and
• relay inter-layer synchronization when synchronization occurs exclusively between the two outer layers.

A useful measure for synchronization between two layers \(i,j\) is given by the global inter-layer synchronization error \(E^g\) [14, 45]:

\[
E^g = \lim_{T \to \infty} \frac{1}{NT} \int_0^T \sum_{k=1}^N \|x^i_k(t) - x^j_k(t)\| \, dt,
\]

(5)

where \(\|\cdot\|\) denotes the Euclidean norm. One can distinguish between the two synchronization mechanisms by measuring the global inter-layer synchronization error between the first and second layer \(E^{12}\) and between the first and third layer \(E^{13}\). In the case of full inter-layer synchronization \(E^{12} = 0\) as well as \(E^{13} = 0\), while in the case of relay inter-layer synchronization \(E^{12} \neq 0\) and \(E^{13} = 0\).

To provide more insight into the synchronizability of patterns between two layers \(i,j\), the local inter-layer synchronization error in dependence of every single node \(k\) can be used [14]:

\[
E^l_{k} = \lim_{T \to \infty} \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \|x^i_k(t) - x^j_k(t)\| \, dt.
\]

(6)

The local inter-layer synchronization error is convenient for detecting the synchronized nodes between two layers. In Figures 3, 4 (right column) \(E^l_{k}\) is plotted (light yellow) together with the mean phase velocity (blue): Depending on the delay times \(\tau_i\) and \(\tau_j\) we can find full inter-layer synchronization (see Figure 3C) as well as relay inter-layer synchronization (see Figures 3A,B, 4A,C). These synchronization scenarios can be found for both coherent and incoherent dynamics. An additional effect is the partial relay synchronization scenario in Figure 4B: In all three layers “salt & pepper” dynamics can be observed. The nodes in the outer layers are almost all synchronized, but a small part of them destroys the relay synchronization. On the other hand a few oscillators in the relay layer are synchronized with the outer layers.

5. CONCLUSION

In conclusion, we have studied chimera states in a three-layer network of FitzHugh-Nagumo oscillators, where each layer has a nonlocal coupling topology. Focusing on the role of time delays in the coupling terms and their influence on chimera states, we have performed a numerical study of complex spatio-temporal patterns in the network. In the parameter plane of the intra-layer \(\tau_i\) and the inter-layer \(\tau_{ij}\) time delay, we have determined the regions where chimera patterns occur, alternating with regimes of coherent states. A proper choice of time delay allows to achieve the desired state of the network: chimera state or coherent pattern, full or relay inter-layer synchronization.

Combining the delayed interactions with the multiplex framework considered in this work can provide additional insight into the formation of the complex spatio-temporal patterns in real-world systems. Specifically, in brain networks where EEG patterns are recently reported to display chimera-like behavior at the onset of a seizure [46–48]. Inducing the chimera states by tuning the inter- and intra-layer delay values provides us with a powerful tool to control chimera states.
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