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Abstract

Background: Morphogenesis of the zebrafish neural tube requires the coordinated movement of many cells in both time and space. A good example of this is the movement of the cells in the zebrafish neural plate as they converge towards the dorsal midline before internalizing to form a neural keel. How these cells are regulated to ensure that they move together as a coherent tissue is unknown. Previous work in other systems has suggested that the underlying mesoderm may play a role in this process but this has not been shown directly in vivo.

Results: Here we analyze the roles of subjacent mesoderm in the coordination of neural cell movements during convergence of the zebrafish neural plate and neural keel formation. Live imaging demonstrates that the normal highly coordinated movements of neural plate cells are lost in the absence of underlying mesoderm and the movements of internalization and neural tube formation are severely disrupted. Despite this, neuroepithelial polarity develops in the abnormal neural primordium but the resulting tissue architecture is very disorganized.

Conclusions: We show that the movements of cells in the zebrafish neural plate are highly coordinated during the convergence and internalization movements of neurulation. Our results demonstrate that the underlying mesoderm is required for these coordinated cell movements in the zebrafish neural plate in vivo.
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Background

Morphogenesis of the vertebrate neural tube from the neural plate is a fundamental early step in building the brain and spinal cord. This complex process is likely to be coordinated by a combination of mechanisms both intrinsic and extrinsic to the neural tissue itself. One important intrinsic mechanism is the non-canonical Wnt/planar cell polarity (PCP) pathway that regulates the movements of convergent extension to shape the neural plate. This pathway is thought to act through cell-cell interactions within the neural plate itself and appears to be a prerequisite for efficient neural tube closure and morphogenesis in all vertebrates (reviewed by Ueno and Greene [1]). However, embryological and genetic approaches have also suggested that adjacent tissues such as the mesoderm can directly influence neural tube morphogenesis [2-5]. Mutations affecting proliferation in mouse cephalic mesoderm suggest that this tissue is critical for the correct shape and closure of anterior neural tube structures [4] (reviewed by Copp et al. [6]). In addition, experiments using amphibian explant cultures suggest that persistent interactions with the underlying mesoderm are required for the cell elongation, cell protrusive and cell intercalation events present during neural plate morphogenesis in vitro [7,8]. However, the degree to which such tissue interactions influence cell behavior has not been analyzed in vivo.

The zebrafish embryo provides a good model to study tissue interaction in vivo because of its superior optical qualities. A common feature in teleost and other vertebrate embryos is that the neural plate lies on a subjacent layer of mesoderm and the first steps in the process of neurulation involve the convergence of the neural plate towards the dorsal midline [9,10]. The later stages of neurulation in teleost embryos are different to other vertebrates in that the neural tube is not formed by folding an epithelial neural plate, rather the teleost neural tube
is built by generating a lumen at the center of a solid neural rod primordium (reviewed by Lowery and Sive [11] and Clarke [12]). The solid neural rod is built by the orchestrated actions of large numbers of cells from both sides of the neural plate that converge towards the dorsal midline where they become internalized. The mechanism of neural internalization in the teleost is a poorly understood process but it results in a structure known as the neural keel, which then condenses into a solid neural rod. Subsequently, the neural rod cavitates to form a neural tube with a single central lumen surrounded by neuroepithelium with clear apicobasal polarity. At a cellular level, neural tube architecture is achieved by a combination of behaviors including cell intercalation, midline-crossing divisions and polarized cell behavior [10,13-18]. A possible role for mesoderm in zebrafish neurulation is suggested by the anterior brain defects in maternal-zygotic one-eyed pinhead (MZoep) mutant

Figure 1 MZoep mutants have aberrant neural tube organization. (A) Projection of confocal z-series showing dorsal view of brain and anterior spinal cord. The midline ventricle is lined by ZO-1 expression (green) and the basal regions of the neuroepithelium are lined by the GFAP expression (red). (B) Projection of confocal z-series showing dorsal view of brain and anterior spinal cord from MZoep mutant. Both apical ZO-1 and basal GFAP expression show extensive disruption to neural tube morphology in brain regions (arrowheads) but appear relatively normal in anterior spinal cord (arrow). (C) Projection of confocal z-series showing dorsal view of brain and anterior spinal cord from embryo treated with the Nodal inhibitor SB-431542. (D,E) Transverse sections show the normal single midline domain of ZO-1 appears discontinuous and more randomly oriented in MZoep embryo. (F,G) The basal marker GFAP is expressed in ectopic foci deep from the surface of the MZoep neural primordium. (H,J,J,K) Neurons labeled with tg(HUC-GFP) (green) and their axons labeled with Ac-tub (red) are present but disorganized in the Nodal-defective embryo brains. (L) By 28 hpf ectopic ventricles (arrowed) have opened up in the MZoep brains. Ac-tub, anti-acetylated tubulin antibody; GFAP, glial fibrillary acidic protein; hpf, hours post fertilization; MZoep, maternal-zygotic one-eyed pinhead; ZO-1, zonula occludens 1.
embryos, which lack Nodal signaling and anterior mesoderm [19], but a detailed analysis of neural morphogenesis in these mutants is lacking.

To better understand the roles of mesoderm in neural tube morphogenesis we have taken a live imaging approach to analyze neural cell movements in normal embryos and embryos lacking mesoderm. We found that at early stages of zebrafish neurulation the underlying mesoderm is required for the coordinated movements of neural plate cells. In the absence of mesoderm a neural primordium does develop at the dorsal midline but its tissue architecture is severely disorganized.

Results
MZOep embryos have severe defects in neural tube morphogenesis
To assess the role of the mesoderm during neural tube formation, we directly compared neuroepithelial organization between wild-type and MZOep embryos, which lack Nodal signaling and mesoderm derivatives in the head [20,21]. By 24 hours post fertilization (hpf), wild-type brains show a well-organized ventricle revealed by the apical protein zonula occludens 1 (ZO-1) at the ventricular surfaces of the neural tube (Figure 1A), while the cytoskeletal protein glial fibrillary acidic protein (GFAP) is concentrated in the basal end feet of neuroepithelial cells at the perimeter of the neural tube (Figure 1A). In contrast, MZOep mutants have severely disrupted ZO-1 expression revealing a disorganized ventricular surface (Figure 1B), including outpockets and apparently isolated domains of ZO-1. The MZOep phenotype is reproduced by treating wild-type embryos with the Nodal inhibitor SB-431542 from the one-cell stage (Figure 1C) [22]. Transverse sections through the hindbrain region at 24 hpf confirm the existence of multiple ectopic ZO-1 foci in the MZOep brains (Figure 1D,E) and GFAP staining is also abnormal and no

![Figure 2 Ectopic divisions do not generate the abnormal neural tube in MZOep embryos.](image)
(A) Location and orientation of divisions monitored over a 2-hour time-lapse period of neural rod development in wild-type embryo by analyzing the expression of apical marker Pard3-GFP (green) and H2B-RFP mRNA to label nuclei (not included in image for clarity; red dumbbells indicate location and orientation of dividing cells). Wild-type neural progenitor divisions are strongly oriented along the mediolateral axis of the developing neural tube. Yellow dots outline the rod. 
(B) Location and orientation of divisions monitored over a 2-hour time-lapse period of neural rod development in MZOep embryo. (C) Orientation plots of divisions in wild-type and MZOep embryos. (D) Dorsal projections of ventricle morphology (ZO-1, green) at 24 hpf in wild-type, MZOep embryos and MZOep embryos treated with CDIs (hydroxyurea and aphidicolin). Blocking division does not rescue ventricle morphology. PH3 staining of mitotic figures (purple) used to calculate efficiency of division block. (E) Transverse section of brain from MZOep embryo treated with division blockers. (F) Quantification of divisions in wild-type, MZOep and MZOep division blocked embryos. Number of cell divisions between wild-type 194 and MZOep 206, P = 0.5129 Student’s t-test and between MZOep 206 and MZOep + CDI 39, ***P <0.0001 Student’s t-test. In (E), (F) and (G), equivalent tissue volumes were used (360 μm in length x 130 μm in width x 90 μm in depth). In (I), error bars indicate SEM. CDI, cell division inhibitor; H2B-RFP, histone H2B/red fluorescent protein fusion; hpf, hours post fertilization; MZOep, maternal-zygotic one-eyed pinhead; Pard3-GFP, green fluorescent protein/polarity protein partitioning defective 3 fusion; PH3, phospho-histone 3 marker; SEM, standard error of the mean; wt, wild-type; ZO-1, zonula occludens 1.
longer restricted to the perimeter of the neural tube (Figure 1F,G). Despite its abnormal architecture, by 28 hpf the neural primordium is still able to generate neurons and axons (Figure 1H,I,J,K), as well as ventricular spaces (Figure 1L), demonstrating that several fundamental properties of the neuroepithelium are still intact.

In wild-type embryos, stereotyped cell divisions normally occur close to the midline of the developing neural rod [23,24,13] and these have been shown to orchestrate cellular organization and ventricle formation at neural midline [14-16]. To test whether the disorganized ventricles in MZoep mutants might result from disruptions to the midline divisions, we monitored division location and orientation by time-lapse confocal microscopy. We found that neural divisions were both misoriented and ectopic in MZoep mutant embryos (Figure 2A,B,C,D). It is possible that the misoriented and ectopic divisions contribute to the development of the disorganized lumen; however, the alteration in these divisions is not the primary cause of the neural tube defects here, because in contrast to the situation in other mutants with disrupted divisions [13-16] blocking cell division in MZoep mutants does not rescue neural tube morphology (Figure 2E,F,G,H,I).

Examination of neural organization along the anterior-posterior axis of MZoep mutants showed the defect was not present at all axial levels. In the brain, neuroepithelial organization is disrupted in fore-, mid- and hindbrain levels (Figure 1B). However, in the spinal cord region the apical marker atypical protein kinase C (aPKC) is present in a single midline domain similar to wild-type spinal cord (Figure 3A,B). Staining for the skeletal muscle marker MF-20 reveals this normal organization of the neural midline is coincident with the presence of somitic mesoderm adjacent to the neural tissue in the MZoep embryos (Figure 3B). To ask whether the presence of these mesodermal derivatives is responsible for the normal spinal cord organization, we analyzed spt embryos that have disrupted posterior mesoderm and MZoep/spt embryos that completely lack both anterior and posterior mesoderm [25]. As previously described for spt mutants [25], wild-type embryos injected with spt morpholino retain intermittent patches of MF-20 staining adjacent to the spinal cord but this mesoderm is not continuous as it is in wild-type embryos (Figure 3C). However, in MZoep embryos injected with spt morpholino, we found that neural organization is severely disrupted both in the brain (not shown) and spinal cord (Figure 3D). These observations suggest that the adjacent mesoderm may be a critical tissue for organizing neural tube morphogenesis at all anterior-posterior levels.

The mesoderm, but not Nodal signaling, is required for zebrafish neurulation

Oep is an essential co-factor for Nodal signaling and required for mesoderm/endoderm development [20,21]. Therefore, the disruption to neural tube organization in MZoep embryos could result from loss of Nodal signaling rather than mesoderm. To test this, we blocked Nodal signaling just prior to neurulation in wild-type embryos by using the inhibitor SB-431542, which effectively inhibits Nodal signaling by blocking the kinase activity of ALK4, 5 and 7 receptors [22]. Wild-type embryos raised in SB-431542 from the two- to four-cell stage display the same abnormal neural tube phenotype as MZoep (Figure 4A). However, embryos treated with SB-431542 from just prior to neurulation (from 70 to 80% epiboly, 7 to 8 hpf) develop with normal neural tube morphogenesis (Figure 4B). We extended this
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analysis to other Nodal-deficient embryos such as cyclops (cyc), which lack floorplate [26], and zygotic oep, which have defective notochord and lack floorplate [20], and found that they also developed well-organized neural tubes (Figure 4C,D). To further address whether midline defects might be a factor in neural tube disorganization we also analyzed no-tail and sonic you mutants. Both developed a normal midline ventricle (Figure 4E,F) indicating that the loss of midline structures or signaling is not the cause of neural defects in MZoep embryos. Finally we examined casanova (cas) mutant embryos lacking head endoderm and they also developed normal ventricle morphology (Figure 4G).

To test the efficacy of our SB-431542 treatment, we examined the expression of the Nodal downstream genes lefty1 [27] and pitx2 [28]. We found the wild-type expression of both lefty1 and pitx2 (Figure 4H) was lost in embryos treated with SB-431542 (Figure 4H) at either the one-cell stage or just prior to neurulation (70 to 80% epiboly).
To test the cell autonomy of neural plate cell behavior in the MZoep embryos we carried out cell transplantation experiments. MZoep cells transplanted into a wild-type background were not only able to incorporate into the wild-type neural plate with a normal elongated morphology, but also undergo midline-crossing divisions at the same time as their wild-type peers (Figure 4I) and generate a pair of daughter cells of mirror-symmetric morphology (Figure 4I'). In complementary experiments, although wild-type cells transplanted into MZoep background followed the abnormal movements of mutant neural primordium, wild-type cells were able to divide and generate a pair of mirror-image sister cells within this disrupted environment (Figure 4J,J'). These results suggest that Nodal signaling is not required during neurulation for the normal cell behaviors leading to neural tube formation.

To confirm that the neurulation defects result from loss of mesoderm, we rescued the neuroectoderm phenotype by replacing the mesoderm in Nodal-defective embryos. Rescue of mesoderm was achieved by the injection of the constitutively active form of the transforming growth factor beta (TGF-β) receptor Taram-A* [29] into a single peripheral cell at the 16- to 32-cell stage of MZoep embryos (Figure 5). By 12 to 13 hpf Taram-A*-expressing cells populate mesodermal progenitors underneath the neural tissue (Figure 5A,B). By 24 hpf, Taram-A*-expressing cells form part of the cephalic mesoderm of MZoep mutants (Figure 5C) and the normal distribution of mesoderm is rescued (Figure 5E,F). The mutant embryos with rescued mesoderm also now develop a normal organization of the neural tube (Figure 5D). These experiments confirm that mesoderm is able to rescue the neural phenotype of MZoep mutants and strongly suggest that mesoderm is necessary for normal neural tube morphogenesis in vivo.

**Movements of the neural plate with and without underlying mesoderm**

To investigate the role of the mesoderm during neural plate morphogenesis we first assayed the relative tissue dynamics of the mesoderm and neural plate during wild-type neurulation. We monitored relative cell movements by tracking nuclei using the tg(H2A-GFP) transgenic line [30]. Confocal movies in the transverse plane were made at the level of the prospective anterior spinal cord where the neural plate is composed of one to two layers of cells [24] and the mesoderm cells can be easily identified as they differentiate into somites (Figure 6A and Additional file 1: Movie S1). Between 10.5 and 11.5 hpf, the movements of cells in the neural plate are closely coordinated with cells in the mesoderm as they move towards the midline (Figure 6B,B',C and Additional file 2: Movie S2). Analysis of angular speed shows that the movements of neural plate and mesoderm cells were tightly coupled during convergence (angular speed: neural plate cells 0.098 degrees/min versus mesodermal cells 0.099 degrees/min, \( P = 0.309 \) Student's t-test, 200 pairs of cells monitored; Figure 6D). Analysis shows that wild-type cells both in the mesoderm and the neural
plate have high persistence towards the dorsal midline (neural plate cells 0.92 versus mesodermal cells 0.93, \( P = 0.450 \) Student’s \( t \)-test; Figure 6E). Finally, in wild-type embryos, the neural plate and the mesoderm move with remarkably similar directionality during their dorsal convergence movements (Figure 6F).

We next asked whether the convergence movements of neural plate cells are altered in the absence of mesoderm by making time-lapse movies at early stages of neural plate morphogenesis in \( \text{MZoep} \) embryos. At 10 hpf the structure of the \( \text{MZoep} \) neural plate appears normal (Figure 7A,B). However, in comparison to wild-type cells (Figure 7C,C’,E), we found that at 10.5 to 11.5 hpf, \( \text{MZoep} \) neural plate cell trajectories are very disrupted. \( \text{MZoep} \) cells cannot maintain their relative superficial/deep positions within the mutant neural plate as they move towards the midline (Figure 7D,D’,F and Additional file 3: Movie S3). In hindbrain regions in the absence of mesoderm, neural plate cells show reduced angular speed compared to wild-type cells (wild-type cells 0.11 degrees/min versus \( \text{MZoep} \) cells 0.04 degrees/min, \( P < 0.0002 \) Student’s \( t \)-test; Figure 7G). Neural plate cells in \( \text{MZoep} \) mutants also show a significant reduction in the persistence of their movements (in hindbrain regions wild-type cells 0.92 versus \( \text{MZoep} \) cells 0.56, \( P < 0.0001 \) Student’s \( t \)-test; Figure 7H). In embryos lacking anterior mesoderm, neural plate cells show more random movements and some even display backward displacements (Figure 7I).

The disrupted movements of neural plate cells in \( \text{MZoep} \) embryos result in an abnormally shaped neural primordium by 12 hpf (Figure 8A,B). Time-lapse analyses from this point onwards reveal increasingly disrupted morphogenesis of the \( \text{MZoep} \) neural primordium (Additional file 4: Movie S4). \( \text{MZoep} \) cells fail to internalize normally and fail to form a normal neural keel. While in wild-type embryos neural cells move smoothly towards the midline during the neural keel formation, \( \text{MZoep} \) neural cells show more chaotic trajectories (Figure 8C,D,E,F). In addition, analysis of single cells show that \( \text{MZoep} \) cells are often able to move into the contralateral sides of the developing rod (Figure 8D), a behavior almost never seen in the normal neural rod unless driven by a midline division [14]. Cell speed and persistence are...
both reduced in MZoep from 12 hpf onwards (Figure 8G,H). Despite these extensive alterations in tissue movements, the general organization of hindbrain segmentation appears to be largely maintained through this period of development (Figure 8I,J,K,L), suggesting little mixing of cells occurs along the anterior-posterior axis.

The development of polarity in MZoep neural plate
Although our analysis of the neural primordium at 24 hpf shows several aspects of the polarized neuroepithelium are intact in MZoep (Figure 11,K,L), it is possible that the development of apicobasal polarity in the neural cells is disrupted at earlier time-points. To address this we assessed the onset of ZO-1 expression as this should be an assay of when cells begin to make apical cell-cell junctions. At 11.5 hpf there is almost no ZO-1 immunoreactivity in either wild-type or MZoep neural plate (Figure 9A,E). By 13.5 hpf the number of ZO-1 puncta has increased in both wild-type and MZoep neural plate, but is more significantly elevated in wild-type (P <0.01, two-way analysis of variance (ANOVA); Figure 9B,F). From 14 hpf the number of ZO-1 puncta is not significantly different between wild-type and MZoep; however, in the wild-type ZO-1 is found concentrated close to the neural midline, while in MZoep the ZO-1 puncta are more widely scattered (Figure 9C,D,G,H,I). These results suggest the accumulation of ZO-1 into distinct puncta is transiently delayed by 30 minutes in the MZoep mutant neural plate.

Discussion
During zebrafish neurulation neural plate cells first converge towards the dorsal midline, then internalize to
form the neural keel, which subsequently transforms via a solid rod primordium to become the neural tube. In this work we show that underlying mesoderm is required for the coordinated and persistent movements of the neural plate cells during convergence and keel formation.

The loss of mesoderm has dramatic effects on the directionality and coordination of cell movements within the neural plate. Although the initial structure of the neural plate at 10 hpf appears normal, cells are unable to converge normally towards the midline and generate a neural keel. Despite moving in the general direction of the midline, cell velocity is reduced and they are unable to maintain persistent directionality. In comparison to wild-type cells, the nuclei in the neural plates of mesodermless embryos are unable to maintain their relative positions within the depth of the neural plate. We do not know the mechanism by which mesoderm influences the neural plate cells. One possibility is the presence of the underlying mesoderm provides a physical barrier to constrain the space in which neural plate cells can move, that is, it forms the floor of a thin corridor that could restrict the movement of the neural plate cells to the mediolateral axis. Alternatively there may be an active coupling of the mesoderm and the neural plate such that neural plate convergence is at least partially driven by the convergence movements of the mesoderm. Our time-lapse observations show that the movements of neural plate cells and mesoderm cells are indeed very closely coupled during convergence towards the midline (Figure 6B). Although they are in close proximity, there is probably no physical contact between cells of the neural plate and mesoderm because there is an intervening basal lamina. The basal lamina will be enriched with extracellular matrix (ECM) proteins such as laminin and
fibronectin and this raises the possibility that they might have a potential role in coordinating the movements of mesoderm and neural plate. ECM has been proposed to play a central role in a variety of processes that could be relevant to this interaction, including cell movements and tissue rearrangements [31-34]. In frogs, initial gastrulation movements are marked by the involution and subsequent migration of the mesoderm under the ectodermal blastocoel roof towards the animal pole [35]. A number of studies have shown that mesoderm migration depends on a well-developed fibronectin matrix, which is deposited by the overlying blastocoel roof [36-40].

**Figure 9** Apical polarity development in MZoep. ZO-1 staining in (A,B,C,D) wild-type and (E,F,G,H) MZoep embryos at different stages of neurulation. All images are maximum confocal projections of six consecutive z-levels taken from a dorsal view at posterior hindbrain and anterior spinal cord regions. Anterior is up. White arrows indicate the dorsal midline of the embryo. The ZO-1 staining surrounding the edge of the neural tissue is from the polarized enveloping layer overlying the neural tissue. Scale bar is 50 μm. (I) The average number of pixels of ZO-1 staining over time for MZoep and wild-type embryos. At 13.5 hpf the number of ZO-1 puncta in MZoep embryos is significantly different to wild-type (*P <0.01, two-way ANOVA), indicating that ZO-1 polarization is delayed by 30 minutes in MZoep embryos. At subsequent time points (14, 15 and 16 hpf), there is no significant difference between the wild-type and MZoep embryos. Error bars indicate the standard deviation. ANOVA, analysis of variance; hpf, hours post fertilization; MZoep, maternal-zygotic one-eyed pinhead; ZO-1, zonula occludens 1.
Interestingly, blocking experiments against fibronectin-fibronectin assembly results in loss of tissue apposition between mesoderm and blastocoel roof and altered tissue dynamics during blastopore closure [40]. In the future it will be important to address whether ECM components contribute to neural plate movements and to the coupling of mesoderm to neural plate in the fish. The role of the ECM could be quite complex as the ECM itself may well be remodeled during this phase of morphogenesis [38,39] and indeed the ECM may move along with the moving cells and tissues [41,42].

Since the development of cell polarity is likely to be a critical factor in neural tube formation in the zebrafish [10,13,14,17,18] we asked whether loss of mesoderm had a major influence on the development of polarity in the neural cells. One measure of polarity in this developing epithelium would be the development of cell-cell junctions. Thus, using ZO-1 immunoreactivity as an assay of polarity we found its expression is initially detected in MZ oep explants. Thus, using ZO-1 immunoreactivity as an assay of epithelium would be the development of cell-cell junctions. Since we have previously shown by ectopic transplantation strategies that the dorsal mesoderm is required for neural polarization and lumen formation [18], we favor the view that mesoderm is more important in directing neural cell movements than initiating neural cell polarization. It is possible that mesoderm acts to coordinate the orientation of neural polarity and that this could influence how the neural cells move.

One further possibility is that the defective cell movements in MZ oep result not from a lack of mesoderm but rather from an unfavorable interaction of the neural plate with the underlying yolk, which is a tissue that it would not normally be exposed to. This possibility suggests that loss of mesoderm simply allows the neural plate to interact with the yolk rather than removing a positive influence of mesoderm. While we cannot rule out this possibility, we feel the tightly coupled movements of mesoderm and neural plate that our analysis reveals, plus the previously published work suggesting mesoderm is required for neural plate movements in Xenopus explants [7,8], all point to a positive influence of mesoderm on the neural plate.

At present the motive forces driving the neural plate movements of convergence and internalization in the fish are almost completely unknown. In addition to potential influences for the mesoderm, other non-neural tissues could also be involved (reviewed by Gordon [43] and Colas and Schoenwolf [44]). In chicken embryos for instance, in vitro explant experiments suggest that the adjacent non-neural ectoderm provides a ‘pushing force’ required to shape neural fold formation and encourage dorsal closure of the neural tube [45,46]. More recently, functional studies and live tissue analyses in amphibian embryos indicate that pulling forces generated by the deep layer of non-neural ectoderm are required to complete neural tube closure in Xenopus and this partially depends on the cell adhesion molecule E-cadherin and the ECM receptor integrin-β1 [47].

Finally our observations show that the location and orientation of cell divisions that usually take place across the midline of the neural rod are severely disrupted by loss of mesoderm. The regulation of these morphogenetically powerful divisions [13,14] has recently been shown to be under the control of the non-canonical Wnt receptor Frizzled 7 [15] and the polarity protein Scribble [16]. Although these divisions are disrupted in the absence of mesoderm, this is not the primary cause of the neural tube defects in these embryos because, unlike the other morphogenetic mutants [13-16], inhibiting these divisions in the mesodermless embryos does not rescue neural tube morphogenesis. The primary cause of the mesodermless neural phenotype is thus established before and is independent of the midline divisions. In contrast, apart from misregulation of the oriented divisions, in the vangl2, fz7 and scrib mutants any other cellular or molecular defects related to neural tube development must be relatively minor because their neural tube defects are lost when these divisions are blocked [13-16].

Conclusions
We show that the movements of cells in the zebrafish neural plate are highly coordinated with the movements of the underlying mesoderm during the convergence and internalization movements of neurulation. Our analyses of mesodermless embryos demonstrate that the underlying mesoderm is required for the coordinated convergence movements of the zebrafish neural plate cells in vivo.

Methods
All procedures were approved by the College Research Ethics Committee at King’s College London (London, UK) and covered by the Home Office Animals (Scientific Procedures) Act 1986 (ASPA) project licence.
Zebrafish strains
Zebrafish embryos were collected and staged using standard protocols [48] and provided by the King’s College London fish facility. The following zebrafish alleles were used: wild-type TL, oepzt257 [20], cycm294 [26], ntl160 [49], syu1 [50] and tg(HUC-GFP) [51]. MZoept mutant embryos were generated by crossing oepzt257 adult zebrafish previously rescued by injection of oep mRNA at one-cell stage [21]. For time-lapse confocal movies tg(H2A-GFP) [30] was used. Embryos were grown at 28.5°C and staged according to morphology [52] and age hpf. mRNA and morpholino injections PCS2+ vectors carrying cDNA fragment encoding for Pard3-GFP (kind gift of Dr Alexander Reugels, University of Cologne, Cologne, Germany), membrane-GFP [53], membrane-RFP [54] and histone H2B/red fluorescent protein fusion (H2B-RFP) [55] were used in this study. Capped RNAs were transcribed using SP6 and T7 RNA polymerase using the mMESSAGE mMACHINE Kit (Ambion, Austin, TX, USA) and 180 pg Pard3-GFP, 120 pg of membrane-GFP, 150 pg of membrane-RFP and 150 pg of H2B-RFP mRNA were injected into wild-type or MZoept embryos. For ubiquitious expression, mRNA was injected at the one-cell stage. For rescue experiments, MZoept embryos were injected with 8 to 10 pg of Taram-A* alone or co-injected with mGFP mRNA in one of the marginal blastomeres at 16- or 32-cell stage [29]. Morpholino oligonucleotides (MOs; Gene Tools, Philomath, OR, USA) were dissolved in water to a concentration of 4 mM and stored at −20°C. All MOs were injected at one-cell stage. To generate MZoept/spt double-mutant embryos, a combination of two previously characterized MOs [56] against the spt gene were injected into MZoept embryos: spt1-MO 5′-AGCCT GCATTATTTAGCCTTCTCTA-3′ (0.4 pmoles/embryo) and spt2-MO 5′-GATGTCCTCTAAAAGAAATGTTCA G-3′ (0.4 pmoles/embryo). These concentrations of morpholinos have previously been shown to mimic the phenotype of the spadetail mutant [56].

In situ hybridization
Antisense RNA probes were synthesized with digoxigenin RNA labelling kit (Roche, Basel, Switzerland) using plasmid-containing cDNA for left1 [27], pitx2 [28], krox-20 [57] and foxc1a [58]. Embryos were fixed and stained at appropriate stages. To confirm that neural tube morphology was rescued when Taram-A*-expressing cells became mesoderm, we assessed the expression of the cephalic mesoderm marker, foxc1a [58].

Embryos were blocked in 10% normal goat serum (Sigma-Aldrich, St Louis, MO, USA) for 2 hours at room temperature. The following primary antibodies were used in this study: mouse-anti-ZO-1 (339111; Zymed Laboratories, South San Francisco, CA, USA) at 1:300; rabbit-anti-aPKC (C-20; Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Dallas, TX, USA) at 1:500; rabbit-anti-GFAP (Z0334; DakoCyton, Glostrup, Denmark) at 1:500; mouse-anti-MF-20 (Developmental Studies Hybridoma Bank, Iowa City, IA, USA) at 1:50; mouse-anti-acetylated-tubulin (T6793; Sigma-Aldrich); and rabbit-anti-phospho-histone H3 (Upstate Biotechnology, Lake Placid, NY, USA) at 1:200, diluted in 2.5% normal goat serum. Sections were cut every 14 to 16 μm on a Leica 5100 or Cryo-Star HM 560 MV Micron microtomes.

SB-431542 treatment
To block Nodal signaling, wild-type embryos were treated with SB-431542 inhibitor [22]. SB-431542 (4-(4-(1,3-benzodioxol-5-yl)-5-(2-pyrindinyl)-1H-imidazol-2-yl)benzamid; Tocris Bioscience, Bristol, UK) was dissolved in dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) to make a 100 mM stock and stored at −20°C. For drug treatment, approximately 30 embryos at two- to four-cell stage or before neurula stage (70% epiboly, 7 to 8 hpf) were put in a small dish containing 100 μM of SB-431542 dissolved in embryo medium and raised at 28.5°C.

Cell division inhibition
To inhibit cell division, wild-type and MZoept embryos were treated with a combination of 150 μM aphidicolin (Biomol, Hamburg, Germany) and 50 mM hydroxyurea (Sigma-Aldrich) dissolved in 4% DMSO. Inhibition of cell division was performed at tail-bud stages and quantification of PH3+ cells was done for equivalent tissue volumes (360 μm in length × 130 μm in width × 90 μm in depth).

Cell transplantation
Wild-type and MZoept mutant embryos were used as host and donor embryos. To identify donor cells from host cells, donor embryos were previously injected with either membrane-GFP or membrane-RFP. Between sphere and dome stage (4.0 to 4.3 hpf), dechorionated embryos were transferred into an agarose chamber and about 30 donor cells were transplanted into the prospective hindbrain region of a host embryo.
Time-lapse imaging
For time-lapse confocal analysis, embryos were manually dechorionated at tail-bud stage (10 to 11 hpf) and mounted in 1.2% low melting point agarose (Sigma-Aldrich) in embryo medium (E3). For neural plate mesoderm tracking analysis, embryos were imaged in transverse view at the level of the first or second somites. Confocal images were taken 5 to 8 μm apart, using a 40× long working distance water immersion objective in an environment chamber at 28.5°C. Z-stacks were collected at 5-minute intervals, usually starting between 10 to 11 hpf and continuing through to 18 to 20 hpf.

Cell movement analysis
ImageJ software was used to assemble the image stacks into time-lapse movies. Cropped time-lapse movies were then assembled in QuickTime Pro (Apple, Cupertino, CA, USA) and Adobe Photoshop CS4 (Adobe Systems, San Jose, CA, USA) was used for final figure assembly. For nuclei tracking analysis, tg(H2A-GFP) transgenic zebrafish [30], or embryos expressing H2B-RFP, membrane-GFP, Pard3-GFP or membrane-RFP mRNA were used. For tracking, neuroectodermal and mesodermal cells were identified according to their position in their respective germ layer and in more ambiguous cases, time lapses were played back in order to recognize in which layer a cell was located. Usually, ten consecutive frames for each cell were analyzed using ‘Manual Tracking’ plugins for ImageJ (http://rsb.info.nih.gov/ij/plugins/track/track.html). The persistence of a cell was defined by the ratio between the linear distance towards the midline and total migration over total path tracks. Angu-
lar cell movements during dorsal migration were calculated according to previous measurements. To calculate the angular speed of a travelling cell (degrees/min), the distance between its start and end points was first determined. This distance was considered to be a chord of the respective germ layer, whose curvature was projected with z-depth. Care was taken to avoid sampling any signal from the polarized enveloping layer and from the ventral most z-levels because in wild-type embryos these polarize earlier than the rest of the tissue and are not present in MZoep mutants due to the loss of ventral midline-specified cells [21]. For each time point, two to eight mutant or wild-type embryos were analyzed. The average maximum background pixel intensity was calculated from maximum intensity measurements at five locations in each chosen z-slice. Background pixel intensity was sampled from a smaller ROI (10 μm × 10 μm), avoiding obvious real signal. Pixels below this cut-off value were regarded as background and any pixels above were counted as signal. Finally, as the sampled number of pixels was the same for every measurement, the average number of pixels above background was calculated for wild-type or MZoep embryos at each stage.

Quantifying the appearance of ZO-1 puncta
For analysis of the appearance of ZO-1 puncta in wild-type and MZoep embryos, the following steps were taken to ensure that staining and imaging across specimens was as consistent as possible. 1) Immunohistochemistry: at the time of fixation, wild-type and MZoep embryos were staged under the dissecting microscope according to the number of somites. Embryos were fixed in 4% PFA from the same aliquot and fixed overnight at 4°C for the same time period. Embryos were then washed in fresh PBS, dehydrated into methanol and put at −20°C to permeabilize the embryos. When all embryos of all stages had been placed at −20°C for at least 1 hour, the normal immunohistochemistry protocol was followed with all tubes of embryos being treated identically. For all stages, the same aliquot of antibody was used at the same dilution. 2) Imaging: after washing of the secondary antibody, all embryos were mounted for dorsal view imaging through the hindbrain. Confocal setting including laser power, gain, offset, pinhole and averaging were set at the beginning of imaging and left unchanged throughout. All embryos were imaged on the same day and the same z-stack parameters were used for each embryo (25 z-slices at 3 μm intervals), starting from the dorsal most part of the neural primordium. 3) Data analysis: a histogram of all pixel intensities (256 gray levels) was derived from a large region of interest (ROI) (100 μm × 100 μm) in each of three different z-levels per specimen. z-levels at comparable dorsoventral depths were chosen for the analysis across embryos to minimize the change in intensity that occurs with z-depth. Care was taken to avoid sampling any signal from the polarized enveloping layer and from the ventral most z-levels because in wild-type embryos these polarize earlier than the rest of the tissue and are not present in MZoep mutants due to the loss of ventral midline-specified cells [21]. For each time point, two to eight mutant or wild-type embryos were analyzed. The average maximum background pixel intensity was calculated from maximum intensity measurements at five locations in each chosen z-slice. Background pixel intensity was sampled from a smaller ROI (10 μm × 10 μm), avoiding obvious real signal. Pixels below this cut-off value were regarded as background and any pixels above were counted as signal. Finally, as the sampled number of pixels was the same for every measurement, the average number of pixels above background was calculated for wild-type or MZoep embryos at each stage.
Additional files

Additional file 1: Movie S1. Time-lapse movie taken in the transverse plane showing both left- and right-hand sides of the neural plate and underlying mesoderm at the level of anterior spinal cord. Nuclei are expressing H2A-GFP. Movie starts at neural plate stage and ends at neural keel stage. In the last frame the neural keel is indicated by yellow dots and the mesoderm forming the somites and notochord is outlined by red dots. The rectangle seen in the first frame outlines the approximate tissue area shown in Movies S2 and S3.

Additional file 2: Movie S2. Time-lapse movie taken in the transverse plane through the left-hand side of the neural plate and underlying mesoderm at the level of anterior spinal cord (approximate area of rectangle in first frame of Movie S1). The midline of this wild-type embryo is indicated with an arrow. The nuclei of neural plate cells (yellow dots) and subjacent mesoderm cells (red dots) are seen to move in a closely coordinated way. Nuclei of the overlying enveloping layer (blue dots) are seen to remain stationary.

Additional file 3: Movie S3. Time-lapse movie taken in the transverse plane through the left-hand side of the neural plate at the level of the hindbrain (approximate area of rectangle in first frame of Movie S1). The midline of this MZoep embryo is indicated with an arrow. In contrast to normal embryos, 12 nuclei (blue dots) that initially lie at the superficial surface of the neural plate are seen to distribute themselves throughout the deeper layers of the neural plate in subsequent frames. Nuclei that appear only in later frames at the superficial surface are marked with red and green dots. The depth of the neural plate is also seen to enlarge as the movie progresses.

Additional file 4: Movie S4. Single confocal plane comparing neurulation movements between a wild-type embryo (wt) and an MZoep mutant at level of the hindbrain. Cells are labelled with membrane-GFP (mGFP) and nuclear-RED (mRRED). Otic vesicle (OV), at the end of the movie, is used as a landmark for hindbrain. The time between frames is 5 minutes, duration 8.3 hours (between 12 to 20 hpf). Arrows indicate the position of the midline.
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