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Optimal Operation of a Single Unit with an Adjustable Blade in an Interbasin Water Transfer Pumping Station Based on Successive Approximation Discretization for Blade Angle
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In the mathematical model of the optimal operation of a single pump unit with a fully adjustable blade in the Chinese South-to-North Water Diversion Project, the decision variable, namely, blade angle, was uniformly dispersed in its feasible region in a fixed step size in consideration of the requirements of the pumping head and matching motor power. 1D dynamic programming was applied to solve the original model. When the obtained blade for each time period was set as the middle reference value and the discrete region of the blade was reduced to two times of the step size in the previous time, the blade angle was correspondingly reduced and dispersed in this new discrete region, thus eliminating unnecessary optimization space. Then, 1D dynamic programming was applied again to optimize the blade angle of the single pump unit further. After a series of successive approximation discretization of the blade angle and corresponding solutions of the obtained mathematical model, the optimization process was considered completed when the given control precision met the requirement. A case study showed that under typical operating conditions, the total cost saving percentage of water pumping quantity reached 0.048%–0.463%, with an average saving rate of 0.192%. The actual total water pumping quantity of the single unit decreased by 2153 m³ on the average. The proposed discretization method exerted a better optimization effect and needed a smaller computational amount compared with traditional one-time uniform discretization in the original feasible region of the blade angle.

1. Introduction

Pump performance has an important influence on realizing an efficient pump operation. Given the extensive application of pumps in different fields, including hydraulic engineering, chemical industry, manufacturing, and environmental protection [1, 2], studies on the performance of centrifugal [3, 4], axial flow [5], sewage [6], submersible well [7], fully tubular [8], and multistage [9, 10] pumps have been conducted using various advanced methods and technologies, such as numerical simulation [11], experimental analysis, and intelligent fault diagnosis [12, 13]. Focus should also be placed on the operating process of pump units because sometimes, actual working conditions may exceed the designed range, which means that pump performance is effectively influenced by external conditions with frequent change. Therefore, the optimal operation of pump units should be studied in consideration of various influencing factors to reduce energy consumption effectively during the operating process. Pumps in the Eastern Route of the Chinese South-to-North Water Diversion Project are typical examples.

After the completion and implementation of the first phase of the project, extensive comprehensive benefits have been achieved in terms of alleviating the uneven distribution of water resources in time and space [14, 15]. Studying the optimal operation methods for pumping stations has become a research hotspot because of the high energy consumption of such stations during operation. Scholars at home and abroad have proposed different types of
optimization models for pumping stations, the most common of which is the optimization model with the minimum power cost or energy consumption as the objective function [16–19]. The adjustable operation mode of pump units, such as blade adjustment or variable speed control, makes the optimization model solution feasible [20]. Price and Ostfeld [21] used an iterative linear discrete pump scheduling algorithm with linear programming to optimize the operation of a water system. Jiang et al. [22] solved an optimization model with the decomposition coordination method, which alleviates the time-space contradiction of water supply allocation between pumping stations at all levels and significantly reduces the water shortage of the system. Gong and Cheng [23] applied an experimental optimization method for a large-scale system to optimize the operation of a pumping station with adjustable blade and variable speed and obtained the unit power cost under different operation loads and daily average heads. Gong et al. [24] proposed a decomposition-dynamic programming aggregation method that can solve the mathematical model of a single pumping station with different types of pump units installed. Makarem et al. [25] proposed a multiobjective optimization method that uses NSGA-II coupled with EPANET to optimize the energy cost and number of pump switches. Dou et al. [26] constructed a multiobjective optimization model for water trading by taking the Middle Route of China’s South-to-North Water Diversion Project as the research object; then, they used nondominated sorting genetic algorithms to solve this optimization model and presented a feasible water trading scheme for the water-receiving area of Henan Province. Bagloee et al. [27] employed a mixed method with an optimized regression model to study water pumps. This method can be extended to variable speed pumps to improve efficiency and reduce maintenance costs.

With the continuous progress of computer technology, artificial intelligence algorithms with random sampling characteristics, such as particle swarm optimization algorithm [28], ant colony optimization algorithm [29], artificial neural network [30], and genetic algorithm [31], have been widely applied to the optimization issues of pump or pumping station operation. Several hybrid algorithms with combinations of different algorithms’ advantages have also been developed for the operation optimization of pumping stations. Rasoulzadeh-Gharibdousti et al. [32] developed a mixed scheme of nonlinear programming and genetic algorithm to solve the optimal design and operation of an irrigation pumping station and further optimized the minimum annual investment cost and annual operation cost of the pumping station. Boh´orquez et al. [33] proposed an improved genetic algorithm that was realized through a combination of GA-EPANET and determined the best operation mode of water pumping. Bagirov et al. [34] obtained a scheduling scheme for minimizing the operation cost of a pumping station in a water supply system by proposing a scheduling method based on a combination of grid search and the Hooke–Jeeves mode search method. Kougias and Theodossiou [35] introduced MO-HSA and Poly-HAS for the optimization issue of pumping scheduling to alleviate the peak power demand and reduce the maintenance cost of pump units.

Dynamic programming has been widely applied in hydraulic structure optimization [36], joint operation optimization of reservoir systems [37, 38], power system optimization [39], and water pumping stations [40]. Dynamic programming theory can be used to solve the mathematical model of optimal operation for a single pump unit with characteristics of stage separability. The main problem is that the discrete step size and number of decision variables in each divided time period directly affect the accuracy and efficiency of the model solution results. With the operation of a single pump unit with an adjustable blade as an example, achieving the ultimate foothold of optimal operation of the pump unit entails providing the management department with an optimization scheme of the blade angle in each time period divided by a given water diversion period. Owing to the fact that most pumping stations in the Eastern Route of the Chinese South-to-North Water Transfer Project are equipped with adjustable-blade units with hydraulic pressure adjustment, obtaining an arbitrary blade angle in each divided time period for realizing the optimal operation of pump units is theoretically feasible. Considering that each blade angle corresponds to a single set of performance curves of pump units, a large amount of workload is needed for the performance curve fitting of all discrete blade angles, leading to a decline in model solution efficiency. With a discrete control method of blade angle for a single unit, high-precision optimization results can be obtained quickly and effectively, and an effective calculation means can be provided for further solving the mathematical model of a single pumping station with multiple units or even pumping stations.

On the basis of these considerations, a dynamic programming method for an optimal operation model of a single pump unit based on successive approximation discretization of the blade angle is developed to determine the minimum power cost of water pumping and the corresponding optimal operation scheme of the pump unit in a given pumping period under factors of varying daily pumping head and water pumping amount. With this method, further improvement of the solution accuracy and efficiency of the optimal operation model can be obtained.

2. Modeling

2.1. Optimal Operation Model for a Single Pump Unit with Adjustable Blade. In accordance with [17], a mathematical model of optimal operation for a single pump unit with a fully adjustable blade was constructed with the aim of minimizing the energy cost of the unit. The constructed model takes the blade angle in each divided time period as a decision variable in consideration of constraints, including total water amount, matched motor power, and startup-shutdown times of the pump unit in one day:

Objective function

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{Objective function } f &= \min \sum_{i=1}^{SN} \rho \cdot g \cdot Q_i(\theta_i) \cdot \frac{H_i}{\eta_i} \cdot \Delta T_i \cdot P_i, \\
\text{Total water amount constraint } \sum_{i=1}^{SN} Q_i(\theta_i) \cdot \Delta T_i &\geq W_e,
\end{align*}
\]

(1)

(2)
Motor power constraint $N_i(\theta_i) \leq N_0$.

Startup – shutdown Times constraint $K \leq K_0$.

where $f$ represents the minimal electric cost of a single pump unit in a total water pumping period (RMB), $SN$ represents the number of periods divided by the total period, $\rho$ represents the density of water (1000 kg/m$^3$), and $g$ represents the acceleration of gravity (9.81 m/s$^2$). $H_i$, $\Delta T_i$, and $P_i$ respectively, represent the pumping head (m), length of the divided time period (h), and peak-valley electricity price (RMB/kW-h) of the single pump unit in the $i$-th time period. $W_i$ represents the requested total water quantity (TWPQ) of a single pump unit in the total water pumping period (m$^3$). $Q_i$, $\theta_i$, $\eta_2$, $\eta_3$, and $N_i(\theta_i)$ respectively, represent the flow rate (m$^3$/s), pump efficiency, and actual motor power of the pump unit in the $i$-th time period corresponding to blade angle $\theta_i$, $\eta_{mot}$, $\eta_{trans}$ and $N_0$ respectively, represent motor efficiency, transmission efficiency, and matched motor power (kW). $\eta_{mot}$ remains 94% when the motor load is over 60%, and $\eta_{trans}$ can be viewed as 100% when the unit adopts a direct connection. $K$ represents the time constraint of starting up and shutting down the pump unit in a total operating period. Starting-up and shutting-down times should not exceed the maximum number $K_0$ considering that large-scale pump units should not be started up nor shut down frequently in a total water pumping period.

2.2. Model Solution Method. The constructed model [(1)–(4)] is a typical 1D dynamic programming model that takes $i$ ($i = 1, 2, \ldots, SN$) as a stage variable, blade angle $\theta_i$ of the pump unit in each divided time period as the decision variable, and TWPQ in total $i$ stages as the state variable $\lambda$. When the decision variable of each period is dispersed at a certain step in a feasible region, the optimal blade angle of each time period and the corresponding minimum cost of water pumping can be obtained by applying the 1D dynamic programming method to solve the original model according to [17]. During programming solution, the startup-shutdown time constraint can be easily regarded as a determined treatment in the stage of the optimization search process.

Notably, when the blade angle is evenly dispersed in each time period, rational determination of the discrete step exerts a significant effect on the obtained objective function value of the model. An extremely large discrete step is beneficial for reducing the optimization calculation workload because of the small number of discrete blade angles, but it leads to a small selection space of the blade angle during the optimization process of model solving; hence, the optimization benefit cannot be effectively maximized. On the contrary, an extremely small discrete step size of the blade angle is helpful for obtaining improved optimization efficiency, but the optimization workload increases greatly; specifically, both the 1D dynamic programming calculation workload and the fitting workload of the performance characteristic curves of $H$–$Q$ and $\eta$–$Q$ corresponding to each blade angle are increased.

With the considerations given above, this study focuses on a reasonable and highly efficient discrete method of decision variables. By adopting the 1D dynamic programming method based on successive approximation discretization of the blade angle for $s$ single pump unit in each divided time period to solve the constructed model, the integration of high model solution accuracy and low optimization calculation workload can be realized.

2.2.1. 1D Dynamic Programming of the Original Model. For the original model [(1)–(4)], average pumping head $H_i$ ($i = 1, 2, \ldots, SN$) is given in each divided time period. In consideration of the requirements of motor matching power $N_0$, the setting angle of the pump in each time period can be uniformly dispersed in the feasible region at a certain step size. During this process, the lower boundary of the feasible region corresponds to the minimum blade angle $\theta_{i, min}$ and the upper boundary is the maximum blade angle $\theta_{i, max}$ at which the pump unit should not exceed the requirements of matched motor power $N_0$. After the discrete step is taken as $S_0$ in the feasible region, the 1D dynamic programming method can be applied to solve the model (1)–(4) in accordance with [17] and to obtain the optimal blade angle $\theta_i^d$ ($i = 1, 2, \ldots, SN$) during optimal operation along with the corresponding minimum operation cost of water pumping $f^d$.

2.2.2. Dynamic Programming Based on Successive Approximation Discretization for Blade Angle

(1) On the basis of Section 2.2.1, the obtained optimal blade angle $\theta_{i, d}^d$ ($i = 1, 2, \ldots, SN$) for each divided time period is further dispersed. The concrete steps are as follows. The discrete width of the blade angle in each period should be set as $\Psi = 2S_0$ to ensure that the discrete range of the blade angle in the second time of optimization will not jump out of the two adjacent discrete values before and after the blade placement angle in the last optimization. This discretization method can effectively reduce unnecessary calculation workload during the second time of optimization. On the basis of the optimized discrete domain, the discrete step is further set as $S_1$ ($S_1 < S_0$). Notably, given that the blade angle in the last optimization $\theta_{i, l}^d$ ($i = 1, 2, \ldots, SN$) is different from the others in the divided time period, the discrete domain of the blade angle also differs from others in the time period during this optimization process. Therefore, the discrete domain in each divided time period must be determined and set. After fitting the performance curve of each new discrete blade angle, the 1D dynamic programming method can be applied again to solve the original model and obtain a new series of optimal blade angles $\theta_{i, l}^d$ ($i = 1, 2, \ldots, SN$) for each divided time period along with the corresponding minimum operation cost of water pumping $f^d$.

(2) On the basis of Step (1), the obtained optimal blade angle $\theta_{i, l}^d$ ($i = 1, 2, \ldots, SN$) in each time period is
3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Basic Situation of the Study Case. The No. 4 Huai’an Pumping Station is one of the second-stage pumping stations in the Eastern Route of the Chinese South-to-North Water Transfer Project. It is installed with four vertical axial-flow pumps with fully adjustable blades. The design parameters of the pump unit are as follows: 150 r/min rated speed, 0° rated blade angle, 2900 mm impeller diameter, 33.4 m³/s flow rate, 4.18 m designed pumping head, and 2240 kW matched motor power. The blade can be fully regulated by hydraulic pressure with an adjustment range of −4° to +4°.

3.2. Results and Analysis under Typical Operation Conditions.

With the single unit of No. 4 Huai’an Pumping Station as the study case, four typical daily average heads of 3.13, 3.53, 4.13, and 4.53 m were selected from the designed net pumping range of 3.13–5.33 m. Four typical water pumping loads of 110%, 105%, 100%, and 90% were selected as TWPQ of the single pump unit. The selected typical daily average heads and operating loads were combined into several typical operating conditions, which were then used to perform a convenient analysis. Additionally, the operating load (e.g., 110% load) was defined as the water pumping quantity (110% corresponding to 110% load) while operating under a constant designed blade angle of 0° and rated speed of 150 r/min for 24 h at the given daily average head. The startup-shutdown time constraint $K_0$ was set to 2 in consideration of the fact that large-scale pump units should not be frequently started up nor shut down. Afterward, in consideration of peak-valley electricity prices [17], 1D dynamic programming optimization based on successive approximation discretization of the blade angle was applied to solve the model.

3.2.1. Analysis of Optimal Achievements. Table 1 shows the TWPQ, minimal operation cost (MOC), and corresponding unit cost of TWPQ for daily operation (UMOC) for each typical operating condition obtained by means of 1D dynamic programming optimization based on successive approximation discretization of the blade angle.

Table 1 indicates that with the increase in the daily average head and water pumping load, the actual TWPQ, MOC, and corresponding UMOC presented increasing trends. This result is in line with the basic logic due to the increasing energy consumption of unit operation with TWPQ and daily average head.

Under several specific operation conditions given by a certain daily average head and operation load, two adjacent optimization results are sometimes consistent, and this may include the following two cases:

(1) If the optimization result obtained from the second time is similar to the one obtained from the first time, this means that the discrete domain and step size have not been reasonably set in the second time of dispersion; hence, a third (or more) optimization is required (e.g., the typical working condition with a daily average head of 3.13 m and 100% load shown in Table 1).

(2) If two adjacent optimization results are consistent after the second time of optimization, this means that under this operating condition, the obtained optimization result has already achieved the optimization goal; that is, the optimization result has met the accuracy requirement of $\varepsilon$ (e.g., the typical working condition with a daily average head of 3.53 m and 105% load shown in Table 1).

3.2.2. Analysis of Successive Approximation Optimization Results. On the basis of the analysis and comparison of results in Table 1, three indexes for reducing amplitude,
Figure 1: Diagram of successive approximation discretization for blade angles.

Table 1: Summary of successive approximation results under typical operating conditions.

| Daily average head (m) | Operation load (%) | Target TWPQ (10^4 m^3) | Successive approximation number | Actual TWPQ (m^3) | MOC (RMB) | UMOC (RMB·10^-3 m^-3) |
|------------------------|--------------------|------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------|-----------|------------------------|
| 3.13                   | 100                | 315.5                  | 1                             | 3159592           | 25761.52  | 81.534                 |
|                        |                    |                        | 2                             | 3159592           | 25761.52  | 81.534                 |
|                        |                    |                        | 3                             | 3157493           | 25737.59  | 81.513                 |
|                        | 105                | 331.3                  | 1                             | 3318423           | 27455.71  | 82.737                 |
|                        |                    |                        | 2                             | 3318655           | 27432.83  | 82.662                 |
|                        |                    |                        | 3                             | 3316058           | 27394.42  | 82.611                 |
|                        | 110                | 347.1                  | 1                             | 3475580           | 30622.17  | 88.107                 |
|                        |                    |                        | 2                             | 3474629           | 30529.6   | 87.864                 |
|                        |                    |                        | 3                             | 3473636           | 30480.26  | 87.747                 |
| 3.53                   | 100                | 307.1                  | 1                             | 3076763           | 26962.46  | 87.633                 |
|                        |                    |                        | 2                             | 3073519           | 26915.20  | 87.571                 |
|                        |                    |                        | 3                             | 3073518           | 26905.19  | 87.539                 |
|                        | 105                | 322.4                  | 1                             | 3226322           | 28678.68  | 88.890                 |
|                        |                    |                        | 2                             | 3226555           | 28660.30  | 88.826                 |
|                        |                    |                        | 3                             | 3226555           | 28660.30  | 88.826                 |
|                        | 110                | 337.8                  | 1                             | 3382865           | 31977.66  | 94.528                 |
|                        |                    |                        | 2                             | 3382865           | 31977.66  | 94.528                 |
|                        |                    |                        | 3                             | 3382644           | 31962.37  | 94.489                 |
namely, MOC, TWPQ, and UMOC, under each combination of daily average head and water pumping load were compared with the result of the first optimization, as shown in Table 2.

Table 2 indicates that under the various operating conditions, after implementing the optimization step by step, the total cost of the saving percentage of TWPQ was 0.048%–0.463%, with an average saving rate of 0.192%, compared with the results of the first time of optimization. The actual TWPQ of the single unit was reduced by 2153 m³ on the average. The reduction percentage of UMOC was 0.027%–0.408%, with an average reduction rate of 0.123%. Although these optimization effects are not significant enough, considering that the comparison reference is the result obtained from the first time of optimization that was already in an optimal operation mode, the optimization benefit can reach more than 7% compared with the working condition with the designed blade angle and rated speed for the single pump unit [24].

Table 1: Continued.

| Daily average head (m) | Operation load (%) | Target TWPQ (10⁴ m³) | Successive approximation number | Actual TWPQ (m³) | MOC (RMB) | UMOC (RMB·10⁻⁴ m⁻³) |
|------------------------|--------------------|-----------------------|---------------------------------|------------------|-----------|-----------------------|
| 4.13                   | 100                | 293.5                 | 1                               | 2936932          | 28693.26  | 97.698                |
|                        |                    |                       | 2                               | 2936891          | 28677.15  | 97.645                |
|                        |                    |                       | 3                               | 2936844          | 28658.29  | 97.582                |
|                        | 105                | 308.2                 | 1                               | 3092250          | 30704.30  | 99.294                |
|                        |                    |                       | 2                               | 3085541          | 30633.32  | 99.280                |
|                        |                    |                       | 3                               | 3084177          | 30601.35  | 99.220                |
| 4.53                   | 90                 | 255.3                 | 1                               | 2556365          | 25854.82  | 101.139               |
|                        |                    |                       | 2                               | 2554791          | 25810.26  | 101.027               |

A% load refers to A% of the TWPQ of the single pump unit operating at a designed blade angle of 0° and rated speed of 150 r/min for 24 h under the daily average head.

3.2.3. Optimization Process of Successive Approximation for Blade Angles. Three typical working conditions (daily average head of 3.13 m with 100% load, daily average head of 4.13 m with 105% load, and daily average head of 4.53 m with 90% load) were selected as typical cases. The obtained optimal operation schemes based on successive approximation discretization of the blade angle are shown in Table 3. Among the typical working conditions, the one with a typical head of 3.13 m and 110% load is shown in Figure 2.

Table 3 illustrates that in the process of successive approximation of the blade angle, several of the obtained blade angles in the optimization scheme were adjusted in several divided time periods compared with that in the previous optimization with the treatment of reducing the discrete domain and discrete step of the blade angle to obtain an improved objective value. According to the peak-valley electricity prices divided in one day and the corresponding time length of each divided time period [17] combined with Figure 2, the adjustment of blade angle was mainly located in the peak electricity price period (periods 1, 2, 6, and 7) and the no-peak-valley electricity price period (period 3) in the successive approximation optimization processes, which indicates that we should pay attention to the optimal correction of the blade angle when operating in periods of peak electricity price and no-peak-valley electricity to obtain an enhanced optimization operation benefit. Special attention should also be paid to the optimal discretization control of blade angles in these time periods.

3.2.4. Operating with Multiple Pump Units in an Annual Water Pumping Period. In accordance with the calculation results of the optimal daily operation of the single pump unit, the saving amplitude parameters of the joint optimal operation of the single pump unit and the single pumping station with three pump units (including MOC, TWPQ, and UMOC) under each combination of daily average head and water pumping load are shown in Table 4 in comparison with the first optimization results; here, the entire water transferring period covered 10 days, and 6 times of water transfer were applied in an entire year.

Table 4 indicates that the actual TWPQ of optimal operation for the single pumping station with three pump units installed under each combination of daily average head and water pumping load could reach a reduction from thousands to tens of thousands (even more than one million m³), with a corresponding total optimal operation cost saving from thousands to tens of thousands of RMB, which signifies considerable optimization benefits. The following conclusions were obtained.

(1) Enhanced optimization benefits can be obtained under operation conditions of low daily average heads. The reason is that with the influence of the motor power constraint, the discrete domain of the blade angle at a high pumping head is reduced, leading to a decline in the accuracy of the optimal
Table 2: The evolution situation of relative indexes during successive approximation optimization process.

| Daily average head (m) | Water pumping load (%) | Saving percentage of MOC (%) | Reduction of TWPQ (m$^3$) | Saving percentage of UMOC (%) |
|------------------------|------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------|
| 3.13                   | 100                    | 0.093                         | 2099                      | 0.027                         |
|                        | 105                    | 0.223                         | 2365                      | 0.152                         |
|                        | 110                    | 0.463                         | 1944                      | 0.408                         |
| 3.53                   | 100                    | 0.212                         | 3245                      | 0.107                         |
|                        | 105                    | 0.064                         | -233                      | 0.071                         |
|                        | 110                    | 0.048                         | 221                       | 0.041                         |
| 4.13                   | 100                    | 0.122                         | 88                        | 0.119                         |
|                        | 105                    | 0.335                         | 8073                      | 0.074                         |
|                        | 110                    | 0.172                         | 1574                      | 0.111                         |
| 4.53                   | 90                     | 0.172                         | 1574                      | 0.111                         |

Table 3: Optimal approach scheme of blade angle under typical head and working load.

| Daily average head (m) | Water pumping load (%) | Successive approximation number | Discrete step of blade angle (°) | Optimal approach scheme of blade angle in each divide time period (period 1–9) |
|------------------------|------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 3.13                   | 100                    | 1                               | 2                               | 0 0 4 4 4 2 4 4 4                                                           |
|                        |                        | 2                               | 1                               | 1 3 4 4 2 2 4 4                                                           |
|                        |                        | 3                               | 0.5                             | 1 4 4 4 1 3 4 4                                                           |
| 4.13                   | 105                    | 1                               | 2                               | 0 0 2 2 2 0 0 2 2                                                        |
|                        |                        | 2                               | 1                               | 1 2 2 0 0 2 2 2                                                         |
|                        |                        | 3                               | 0.5                             | 0 0 1.5 2 2 −0.5 0 2 2                                                   |
| 4.53                   | 90                     | 1                               | 1                               | Shutdown −3 0 0 0 −2 −1 0 0                                             |
|                        |                        | 2                               | 0.5                             | Shutdown −4 0 0 0 −1.5 0 0 0                                            |

Figure 2: Optimization scheme based on successive approximation discretization for blade angles under typical head of 3.13 m with 110% load.

Table 4: Analysis table of optimal operation benefit under typical operation conditions.

| Daily average head (m) | Water pumping load (%) | UMOC saving compared with the first time of optimization (%) | Reduction of actual TWPQ meeting water pumping load (10$^3$ m$^3$) | Total cost saving of water pumping (RMB) |
|------------------------|------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|
|                        | Single unit, 60 days   | Single pumping station, 60 days                             | Single unit, 60 days                                         | Single pumping station, 60 days         |
| 3.13                   | 100                    | 0.027                         | 12.59                        | 37.78                    | 1435.8                                  | 4307.4                        |
|                        | 105                    | 0.152                         | 14.19                        | 42.57                    | 3677.4                                  | 11032.2                       |
|                        | 110                    | 0.408                         | 11.66                        | 34.99                    | 8514.6                                  | 25543.8                       |
| 3.53                   | 100                    | 0.107                         | 19.47                        | 58.41                    | 3436.2                                  | 10308.6                       |
|                        | 105                    | 0.071                         | -1.40                        | -4.19                    | 1102.8                                  | 3308.4                       |
|                        | 110                    | 0.041                         | 1.33                         | 3.98                     | 917.4                                   | 2752.2                        |
| 4.13                   | 100                    | 0.119                         | 0.53                         | 1.58                     | 2098.2                                  | 6294.6                        |
|                        | 105                    | 0.074                         | 48.44                        | 145.31                   | 6177.0                                  | 18531.8                       |
| 4.53                   | 90                     | 0.111                         | 9.44                         | 28.33                    | 2673.6                                  | 8020.8                        |
value despite having the same successive approximation times compared with the optimal operation at a low daily average head.

(2) Enhanced optimization benefits can be obtained under operation conditions of high operating loads. Affected by peak-valley electricity prices, the pump units can reduce TWPQ to the greatest extent by shutting down in the peak electricity price period, which would decrease the discretization requirements of blade angle and lead to a nonsignificant optimization benefit.

(3) In several typical working conditions, the actual TWPQ obtained from the next instance of optimization could increase compared with the one obtained from the last optimization because this study adopted MOC as the objective function. MOC may decrease with an increase in the actual TWPQ due to the influence of peak-valley electricity prices, which is reasonable (e.g., the combination of daily average head of 3.53 m with 105% load shown in Table 2).

4. Conclusions

A 1D dynamic programming optimization method based on the discrete control of successive approximation for blade angles was proposed for the optimal operation of a single pump unit with an adjustable blade in an interbasin water transfer pumping station. With this method, the optimization results, including MOC and the corresponding operation schemes of blade angles in each divided time period, can be rapidly and effectively obtained. Remarkable optimization benefits can be realized by using this optimization method compared with the conventional operation under a designed blade angle and constant speed and optimal operation by using traditional 1D dynamic programming with the blade angle dispersed by only one time.

Meanwhile, reducing the width of the discrete domain of the blade angle through successive optimization ensures that the optimal value of the blade angle in each divided time period is located in the discrete domain, and it can greatly reduce the model solution workload. The proposed optimization method is suitable for the optimal operation of large-scale interbasin water transfer pumping stations with adjustable blades. The case study showed that the method can effectively consider the contradiction between the solution precision and solution workload of the model. Thus, the method can provide a reference for the solution of the same type of models and can enrich optimization theories in system engineering.

Moreover, no remarkable optimization benefit difference was observed in the several times of successive approximation optimization. The reason is that with the influence of the peak-valley electricity price, a large space is provided for the optimal allocation of water quantity in different divided time periods. In the optimization result, blade angle $\theta_{\text{max}}$ is usually set in the valley electricity price period, and the shutdown operation is usually set in the peak electricity price period to obtain MOC. In other words, the optimization benefit for successive approximation optimization is the same as that in the other electricity price periods.

Given the limited space, most of the calculation examples in this study involved three successive approximation optimization instances under the same combination of daily average head and water pumping load (the discrete step of the blade angle was set to $0.5^\circ$). The accuracy of the optimization results can be further improved if the iteration control accuracy is further reduced. Moreover, the optimization benefits would be enhanced under full or super-full operation loads in consideration of peak-valley electricity prices mainly because under nonfull operation loads, the optimal blade angle can be easily obtained in divided time periods with peak or valley prices with minimal successive approximation (maximal blade angle or shutdown), whereas the optimization space of blade angles in periods of no-peak-valley electricity price is limited, leading to an insignificant optimization efficiency.
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