Background and aim: Hepatitis E virus (HEV) is a virus of emerging importance to transfusion medicine. Studies from several European countries, including Switzerland, have reported high seroprevalence of hepatitis E as a consequence of endemic infections. Published HEV seroprevalence estimates within developed countries vary considerably; primarily due to improved diagnostic assays. The purpose of this study was to investigate the seroprevalence of anti-HEV IgG in Swiss blood donations. Methods: We used the highly sensitive Wantai HEV IgG EIA and assessed regional distribution patterns. We analysed age- and sex-matched archive plasma dating back 20 years from canton Bern to investigate recent changes in HEV seroprevalence levels. Results: On average, 20.4% (95% confidence intervals: 19.1–21.8) of the 3,609 blood samples collected in 2014–16 were anti-HEV IgG positive; however, distinct differences between geographical regions were observed (range: 12.8–33.6%). Seroprevalence increased with age with 30.7% of males and 34.3% of women being positive donors over > 60 years old. Differences between sexes may be attributed to dissimilarities in the average age of this group. Within the specified region of the Bern canton, overall prevalence has declined over two decades from 30.3% in 1997/98 to 27.0% in 2006 and 22.3% in 2015/6. Conclusions: HEV seroprevalence in Switzerland is high, but has declined over the last decades. The result shows that primarily endemic HEV infections occur and that current blood products may pose a risk to vulnerable transfusion recipients. Nucleic acid screening of all blood products for HEV will begin in November 2018.

Introduction

Hepatitis E virus (HEV), is a small, non-enveloped virus belonging to the family Hepeviridae, was first described in 1978 during a non-A non-B hepatitis outbreak in the Kashmir region of the Indian subcontinent [1,2]. There are four major HEV genotypes (HEV G1–4) that can infect humans. Genotypes 1 and 2 only infect humans, are transmitted primarily by a faecal-oral route or contaminated water, and were likely responsible for the first described outbreaks. For more than a decade it has been recognised that autochthonous infections with HEV genotype 3 are common in some industrialised countries [3,4]. Although the transmission routes are not yet completely understood, it is thought that domesticated swine, wild boar and deer are reservoirs for the zoonotic HEV genotype 3 strains and a likely source of human infection through the consumption of uncooked meat, shellfish, vegetables and berries [5-8]. In most cases the infection, especially in immunocompetent individuals, is asymptomatic [9]. The symptomatic clinical signs of an acute HEV infection are nausea, vomiting, malaise, loss of appetite and jaundice (similar clinical presentation as classic hepatitis infection), but protracted neurological signs can also develop, particularly for genotype 3 [10]. Most infections are self-limiting but can, in exceptional cases, develop into a life-threatening fulminant hepatitis, particularly in pregnant women infected with genotype 1 and 2, or in immunocompromised patients infected with genotype 3 [11]. The HEV infection may also develop into a chronic infection in immunocompromised individuals [12]; this has been primarily observed in solid organ recipients, but has also been reported in other
immunosuppressed individuals receiving numerous blood components [13].

Transfusion transmission of HEV was previously described with genotype 1 [14] and, more recently, HEV transmission with genotype 3 was reported in several European countries [15,16]. Prevalence of HEV viraemia in donors has been reported to range from 1:762 in the Netherlands to 1:9,500 in the United States [17,18], highlighting the potential for a higher risk of HEV transmission from contaminated blood products than previously assumed, particularly to vulnerable immunosuppressed recipients.

In recent years, a number of published data have indicated a wide variation in HEV seroprevalence in Europe, ranging from 1.9% in Switzerland to 86.4% in France [19,20]. The reason for this wide variation is not entirely clear, but it could be a consequence of many factors, such as eating habits, differences in food production, age of the tested population, country or region of residence or sex. Furthermore, the variable performance of different anti-HEV IgG assays has hindered a direct comparison of the various data.

HEV is currently not a notifiable infectious disease in Switzerland and as a result HEV infections are probably under-diagnosed as they are either not reported or missed due to the common asymptomatic or mild symptomatic presentation. In addition, symptoms compatible with an HEV infection have, until recently, been attributed to a variety of other causes including other viral agents, drug-related or autoimmune hepatitis, ischaemic hepatoopathy, hepatic graft-versus-host reaction after stem cell transplantation, or rejection or surgical complication after liver transplantation.
The purpose of this study was to investigate the current and past seroprevalence of anti-HEV IgG in Switzerland and to assess the regional distribution patterns. Furthermore, this study aimed to increase the clinicians’ awareness of HEV infection through contaminated food products, transplantations and blood products, as well as to provide rationale for a possible prospective nationwide HEV donation screening strategy.

Methods

Regional distribution of hepatitis E IgG prevalence in blood donors, Switzerland, August 2014–February 2016 (n = 3,609)

| Region   | IgG positive | IgG borderline | IgG negative | Total number of donations |
|----------|--------------|----------------|--------------|--------------------------|
|          | Number of donations | Prevalence (95% CI) | Number of donations | Prevalence (95% CI) | Number of donations | Prevalence (95% CI) | Number of donations | Prevalence (95% CI) |
| GE       | 24           | 12.8 (8.7–18.3) | 0            | 0.0 (0.0–2.0) | 164                   | 87.2 (81.7–91.3) | 188                   |
| UR       | 20           | 12.9 (8.5–19.1) | 2            | 1.3 (0.4–4.6) | 133                   | 85.8 (79.4–90.4) | 155                   |
| AG/SO    | 30           | 13.3 (9.5–18.3) | 1            | 0.4 (0.1–2.5) | 195                   | 86.3 (81.2–90.2) | 226                   |
| NW/OW    | 58           | 15.6 (12.3–19.6) | 0            | 0.0 (0.0–1.0) | 314                   | 84.4 (80.4–87.7) | 372                   |
| LU       | 28           | 16.0 (11.3–22.2) | 0            | 0.0 (0.0–2.1) | 147                   | 84.0 (77.8–88.7) | 175                   |
| FR       | 39           | 18.4 (13.8–24.2) | 1            | 0.5 (0.1–2.6) | 172                   | 81.1 (75.3–85.8) | 212                   |
| Be/JU/JU/NE | 51       | 19.5 (15.1–24.7) | 1            | 0.4 (0.1–2.1) | 210                   | 80.2 (74.9–84.5) | 262                   |
| VS       | 39           | 19.5 (14.6–25.5) | 3            | 1.5 (0.5–4.3) | 158                   | 79.0 (72.8–84.1) | 200                   |
| TG       | 39           | 20.2 (15.1–26.4) | 1            | 0.5 (0.1–2.9) | 153                   | 79.3 (73.0–84.4) | 193                   |
| SG/AI/AR | 63           | 21.5 (17.2–26.6) | 3            | 1.0 (0.3–3.0) | 227                   | 77.5 (72.4–81.9) | 293                   |
| VD       | 91           | 22.2 (18.5–26.5) | 1            | 0.2 (0.0–1.4) | 317                   | 77.5 (73.2–81.3) | 409                   |
| BS/BL    | 56           | 23.3 (18.4–29.1) | 3            | 1.3 (0.4–3.6) | 181                   | 75.4 (69.6–80.4) | 240                   |
| BE       | 69           | 24.8 (20.1–30.2) | 1            | 0.4 (0.1–2.0) | 208                   | 74.8 (69.4–79.6) | 278                   |
| TI       | 116          | 33.6 (28.8–38.8) | 2            | 0.6 (0.2–2.1) | 227                   | 65.8 (60.6–70.6) | 345                   |
| Other origin | 14    | 23.0 (14.2–34.9) | 0            | 0.0 (0.0–5.9) | 47                    | 77.0 (65.1–85.8) | 61                    |
| Total    | 737          | 20.4 (19.1–21.8) | 19           | 0.5 (0.3–0.8) | 2,853                 | 79.1 (77.7–80.3) | 3,609                 |

AG/SO: Aargau/Solothurn; Be/JU/JU/NE: Berner Jura/Jura/Neuchâtel; BE: Bern; BS/BL: Basel-Stadt/BaseLandschaft; CI: confidence interval; FR: Fribourg; GE: Genève; LU: Luzern; NW/OW: Nidwalden/Obwalden; SG/AI/AR: St. Gallen/Appenzell Innenrhoden/Appenzell Ausserrhoden; TG: Thurgau; TI: Ticino; UR: Uri; VD: Vaud; VS: Valais.

The anti-HEV IgG seroprevalence (WANTAI HEV-IgG ELISA) among blood donors from Bern canton in 1997/98 (August 1997–November 1998) and 2006 (January–December) was determined on archival samples stored at -30 °C, using the same test criteria as the assessment of regional HEV seroprevalence described previously. From each period, 400 donor samples that were equally distributed within Bern canton, were selected to match the age and sex in 10 age categories with the 400 donor samples collected in 2015/16 (September 2015–June 2016).

According to Swissmedic, the federal authority responsible for the notification of blood components, separate donor consent for testing HEV IgG antibodies in blood donors was not required as it is included in the current donor consent form.

Retrospective study of HEV seroprevalence in blood donors from Bern canton, 1997/1998, 2006, 2015/2016

The purpose of this study was to compare the current and past seroprevalence of anti-HEV IgG in Switzerland with the results of the regionwise seroprevalence study, and to assess the regional distribution patterns. Furthermore, this study aimed to increase the clinicians’ awareness of HEV infection through contaminated food products, transplantations and blood products, as well as to provide rationale for a possible prospective nationwide HEV donation screening strategy.
The prevalence of anti-HEV IgG antibodies and the 95% confidence intervals (CI) according to Wilson method without continuity correction were calculated. Pearson’s chi-squared test was used to evaluate regional variations and the effect of age on the anti-HEV IgG seroprevalence. The same test was used to assess possible differences between the anti-HEV IgG prevalence rates in 1997/98, 2006 and 2015. Yates’ continuity correction was applied to Pearson’s chi-squared test for pairwise comparisons of 2 years. In order to simultaneously assess the influence of the time (i.e. the year of the test) and the demographic characteristics, these analyses were complemented with multivariate analyses using logistic regression models for anti-HEV IgG prevalence rates during 1997/98, 2006 and 2015. Demographic characteristics incorporated in the multivariate analyses included age, sex and region of residence. A statistical significance was calculated and a p value of less than 0.05 was defined as a statistically significant difference. All calculations were conducted with the software R 3.3.1 (Ref R Core Team. 2016; R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna; http://www.R-project.org).

Results
In total, there were 3,609 (m = 2,225, w = 1,384) blood samples collected from the 20 cantons in this study, with a mean number of tested blood donor samples of 240 (range: 61–409). The median age of donors was 47 years (interquartile range (IQR): 34–56; range: 17–76).

Regional distribution of HEV seroprevalence in Swiss blood donors, 2014–2016
The overall positive anti-HEV IgG seroprevalence among the 3,609 Swiss blood donors in 2015/16 was 20.4% (95%CI: 19.1–21.8) (Table1). A statistically significant variation in the HEV IgG seropositivity associated with the different Swiss geographical regions (n = 3,609, 95%CI: 19.1–21.8; p < 0.001) was observed (Table 1 and Figure 1). The lowest levels were observed in the cantons of Geneva (n = 24; 95% CI: 8.7–18.3) and Uri (n = 20; 95% CI: 8.5–19.1), whereas in Ticino canton—the most southern canton situated below the Alps, the highest level was observed (n = 116; 95% CI: 28.8–38.8).

The overall performance of the Wantai HEV IgG EIA was determined by the reproducibility of repeated testing of the samples, with s/co values consistently reported between 0.9 and 2.0. Most repeat results (n = 133) corroborated the original result, whereas only 0.25% (n = 10) were re-categorised as negative. The 0.5% (n = 19) borderline samples were excluded from the statistical analysis.

In total, there were 2,217 men and 1,382 women donors, with the most frequent category aged between 51-60 years (male: n = 653; female: n = 319) (Table 2).

A statistically significant steady increase in the HEV seroprevalence of donors (of both sexes) with age was observed, i.e. 8.5% in donors aged < 30 years to 30.7% in donors aged > 60 years (p < 0.001). The non-equivalence in the average age of the male and female donors (male: 47 years, female: 43 years) could in part explain the observed difference between male donors 22.0% (20.3%–23.7%) and female donors 17.9% (15.9%–19.8%) (Figure 2).

Retrospective study of HEV seroprevalence in blood donors from Bern canton, 1997/1998, 2006, 2015/2016
Archival plasma stored at -30 °C were analysed to determine if there was a difference in the HEV seroprevalence from Bern canton during the time periods of 1997/1998 and 2015/2016. The seroprevalence increased with age in all years tested; however, the overall seroprevalence from all years declined significantly from 30.3% (95%CI: 26.0–35.0) in 1997/98 to 27.0% (95%CI: 24.0–30.0) in 2015/16.
22.9–31.6) in 2006 and 22.3% (95%CI: 18.5–26.6) in 2015/16 (Pearson chi-squared test over the three time points: \( p = 0.027 \)) (Figures 3 and 4). The analysis revealed a significant difference between 1997/98 and 2006 (and between 2006 and 2015/16) the difference was not significant (\( p > 0.05 \)). Analysis of different age groups found a significant reduction in seroprevalence in the 40–50-year-old and > 60-year-old age groups (\( p = 0.039 \) and \( p = 0.038 \), respectively). Furthermore, since the observed difference between the three time points could have been due to varying demographic characteristics, a logistic regression models were used in order to be able to analyse the simultaneous effect of three parameters (time, age, sex, and/or regional predictors) on the HEV seroprevalence. If only the time as a factor with three levels was used as an influence, the likelihood ratio (LR) test gave a \( p \) value = 0.026 for the influence of this factor (LR = 7.2977, df = 2). Assuming a linear trend (more precisely, a linear effect of the time on the logit of the probability of a positive result) over all three time points, a \( p \) value of 0.0072 (LR = 7.232, df = 1) was obtained. When adding main effects of the sex and the age categories to this model, the effect of the time was still significant (LR = 8.366, df = 1, \( p = 0.0038 \)). No significant interaction effects between the time, the sex, and the age groups were found. In extensions of the model with these three main effects (time, sex, and age category) that additionally included one of several possible predictors based on the place of residence, the \( p \) value of the LR test for the effect of the time was always between 0.001 and 0.008. Thus, a significant reduction in the HEV IgG seroprevalence over time was found in all the models used.

**Discussion**

Anti-HEV IgG seroprevalence data from various countries indicate that prior HEV infection has been increasing during the last decade. This increase may reflect a real rise in HEV incidence, particularly in European countries, but could also be a reaction to an increased public health awareness of HEV infection acquired through zoonotic and food-borne transmission of HEV. The situation has been further complicated by the broad performance variation of the currently available commercial anti-HEV assays [23]. The Wantai HEV IgG EIA is regarded as one of the most sensitive and specific assays currently commercially available [21,22].

There have been a limited number of HEV seroprevalence studies on blood donations in Switzerland, but these have generally been restricted to a confined region, have tested a limited number of samples and have used less sensitive assays [24-26]. These reports revealed a wide difference in the seroprevalence (4.2%–21.8%), with no difference between male and female donors. This present study has revealed an overall seroprevalence of 20.4% (95%CI: 19.1–21.8), with significant differences between the regions tested. As expected from other published reports, the anti-HEV seroprevalence increased significantly with age. The overall seroprevalence difference observed between the sex of the donors is likely a consequence of the differing average age of these donors, however this observation was not supported in the multivariate analysis using time/age/sex simultaneously.
In many European countries similarly high seroprevalence differences have been recorded; for example, 25%–86.4% in France and 21%–27% in the Netherlands [19,20,27,28]. Interestingly, the French Jura, a region directly adjacent to the west of Switzerland, has reported a similar seroprevalence to the one in our report [20]. High prevalence has not been observed in all industrialised countries, for example in Scotland, Canada and Australia prevalence did not exceed 6% [29,30], and within countries a considerable variation has often been observed. Variations in HEV IgG seroprevalence were also observed in our study (range: 12.8%–33.6%) and we found that in some isolated districts within the Ticino canton it was bordering on 60% (data not shown). It has been suggested that these large regional variations may be a consequence of the consumption of a regional delicacy of traditional raw dry-cured pork sausage containing raw pork liver (e.g. mortadella di fegato crudo) [19,31]. It remains to be seen whether the variation in Switzerland can be explained by these dietary differences.

In some countries, notably Denmark, the Netherlands and the United States, the analysis of archived samples has suggested the seroprevalence has declined in recent decades [32-35], whereas other countries have reported an increase [36]. The analysis of 400 archived blood donor samples from Bern canton from 1997/98, 2006 and 2015/16 showed a similar declining anti-HEV IgG prevalence from 30.3% in 1997/98 to 27.0% in 2006 and 22.3% in 2015/16. The trends in seroprevalence in other studies has been questioned due to the differences in the sensitivities and specificities of the tests used [32,34]. Since the results presented here were all conducted with the same EIA, and often in the same experiment, the observed seroprevalence reduction over time appears to be real and may reflect a change in the level of contaminated food, which is regarded as the primary route of acquiring HEV infection. It remains to be seen whether the slight anti-HEV IgG increase in the most recent samples from the <30-years-old age group reflects an increase in the HEV infection of these individuals.

HEV seroprevalence studies are important to highlight the level of infections within a population and to contribute to assessments of the epidemiological situation within the population. Likewise, HEV RNA incidence studies of blood donors contribute to the risk determination of HEV transmission via blood products. In Europe, incidences ranging from 1:600 to 1:15,000 have been recently reported [16,17,37], and several studies have documented HEV blood transfusion transmission [15,16,38,39]. Though many HEV transfusion-transmitted infections are asymptomatic and self-limiting, particularly in immunocompetent patients, serious chronic infections can occur in immunosuppressed patients (e.g. solid organ and haematopoietic stem cell transplant patients). It is often these patients who receive numerous blood products and are thus at greater risk to HEV infection. Several European countries (e.g. France, Ireland, the Netherland and the United Kingdom) [40] have already begun molecular screening of blood donations for HEV RNA in different formats (individual donation or minipools). In Switzerland, the seroprevalence data presented here have recently contributed to discussions within the Swiss blood transfusion community; together with the national health authority and clinical hepatologists, they have come up with recommendations for future HEV molecular testing of blood donations and the consequent monitoring of at-risks patients. As a consequence of these discussions, from November 2018 all blood products will be mandatorily screened in Switzerland for HEV RNA in pools of 24 or less blood donations. The required detection limit in the individual donation was set at 450 HEV IU/mL.
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