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Physical rhythms set the cadence of life. In humans, physiological rhythms mediate sleeping, waking, menstrual cycles, and metabolism, whereas migration, photosynthesis, and hibernation are mediated by periodic oscillations in "natural" organisms. Rhythms are not isolated but interact with each other as well as their extrinsic environment, chiefly to match individual and population physiologies to diel or lunar cycles or changing seasons. The environment and individual organisms' physiologies are thus deeply connected, albeit this correlation is often overlooked when studying organisms in the context of medicine and environmental toxicology. In this opinion paper, we advocate for the formal inclusion of externally mediated physiological rhythms into the study of environmental toxicology. To highlight this need, we feature two systems where physiological rhythms play a fundamental role, yet little is known about how the timing of chemical exposures might affect their physiological outcomes.

Rhythms That Feed the Planet: Light, Photosynthesis, and Plankton

The base of aquatic ecosystems is composed of photosynthetic primary producers (phytoplankton) and their microscopic animal consumers (zooplankton). Phytoplankton sense daily light transitions and adapt their physiology in order to ensure optimal functioning and protection of the photosynthetic apparatus, and zooplankton utilize circadian rhythms to coordinate their diel vertical migration (the largest synchronous movement of biomass on our planet). Both plankton groups thus use physiological rhythms to help align individual metabolic and behavioral processes to environments, a choreography that collectively affects our planet's ability to capture carbon and sustain food webs. Despite the importance of rhythms for plankton, however, we know little about what happens when plankton become exposed to stressors (e.g., pollution) during specific physiological time windows. A first step is to consider the time scales experienced by plankton. Conceivably, the generation time of fast growing plankton (e.g., certain diatoms under ideal conditions) is less than a day, which implies that typical diel rhythms (e.g., the regulation of photosynthesis) and interrelated changes in physiological "sensitivity" only overlap briefly with a given set of stressors. Yet, for other slower growing organisms, that is, most plankton under nonideal conditions, generation times span several days and here stress exposures could conceivably overlap with windows of physiologically mediated sensitivity and, critically, surpass organism-specific thresholds (Figure 1A). If true, this implies that the timing between rhythms and stress exposure has significant influence on the outcome of their combined impact (Figure 1B). In its simplest form, the effect of "rhythms" and stress exposure could simply cancel each other out, but more complex situations arise when stressors and rhythms are out-of-phase, potentially resulting in responses that are comparatively higher or lower, or even cause the disruption of physiological rhythms themselves, for example, as shown in freshwater plankton exposed to road salt. To start addressing this complex interplay in an ecotoxicological context, we must develop high-throughput experimental approaches and accompanying analytical frameworks that can assess interactive impacts on plankton.

Rhythms That Determine Human Health

Just like plankton, physiological processes in humans and animals are also rhythmic and mediated by external signals. Most prominently, 24 h circadian rhythms are entrained by external zeitgebers such as light and food intake and regulated via the master circadian clock in the suprachiasmatic nucleus of the hypothalamus and peripheral clocks. Molecularly, the circadian clock consists of positive (e.g., CLOCK, BMAL1) and negative (e.g., PER, CRY) clock genes that are expressed in an oscillating pattern and regulate the rhythmic expression of thousands of clock-controlled genes, including those involved in the uptake, metabolism, and excretion of environmental chemicals. This implies that the internal dose at potential target tissue(s) is dependent not only on the timing of exposure in relation to the circadian rhythm but also the sensitivity and uptake kinetics of physiological systems during these times (Figure 1A). Notably, the rhythmicity of these systems should be taken into account when using their
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products as markers of toxicity, such as changes in the transcriptomic landscape or epigenetic patterns, which have become of interest as stable markers of adverse effects but have recently been found to exhibit circadian patterns. Finally, and akin to plankton, chemical exposure in humans can also affect the circadian rhythms themselves, implying that changes in typical effect markers (hormone levels, mRNA expression, DNA methylation) could reflect impacts of a chemical on the circadian rhythm rather than on investigated targets. The diurnal rhythm is one example of a phenomenon that might affect the temporality of chemical exposures. Others are monthly or seasonal, such as the menstrual cycle. In animal experiments, this is sometimes considered by timing exposure and sacrifice according to the diurnal and monthly cycle. However, to systematically disentangle the interplay between external stressors and physiological oscillations, they provide inspiration to develop new “systems toxicology” approaches that can do so. Key to this will be the identification of features of particular interest, such as time windows where exposure effects are either maximal or minimal (Figure 1B) and which represent the boundary conditions that envelop a spectrum of possible responses. Finally, we advocate that in this context, fast-growing phytoplankton are compelling model systems for the essential development of rapid experimental and analytical approaches.
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