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Summary

The Bacteroidetes phylum is renowned for its ability to degrade a wide range of complex carbohydrates, a trait that has enabled its dominance in many diverse environments. The best studied species inhabit the human gut microbiome and use polysaccharide utilization loci (PULs), discrete genetic structures that encode proteins involved in the sensing, binding, deconstruction, and import of target glycans. In many environmental species, polysaccharide degradation is tightly coupled to the phylum-exclusive type IX secretion system (T9SS), which is used for the secretion of certain enzymes and is linked to gliding motility. In addition, within specific species these two adaptive systems (PULs and T9SS) are intertwined, with PUL-encoded enzymes being secreted by the T9SS. Here, we discuss the most noteworthy PUL and non-PUL mechanisms that confer specific and rapid polysaccharide degradation capabilities to the Bacteroidetes in a range of environments. We also acknowledge that the literature showcasing examples of PULs is rapidly expanding and developing a set of assumptions that can be hard to track back to original findings. Therefore, we present a simple universal description of conserved PUL functions and how they are determined, while proposing a common nomenclature describing PULs and their components, to simplify discussion and understanding of PUL systems.

Introduction

The Bacteroidetes phylum dominates in glycan-rich environments including the gastrointestinal tract of bilaterians (humans and herbivores), freshwater and marine aquatic environments, and terrestrial ecosystems such as soil (Newton et al., 2011; Thomas et al., 2011; Fernández-Gómez et al., 2013; Krüger et al., 2019; Larsbrink and McKee, 2020). In each of these habitats, there is a constant supply of biomass rich in proteins and carbohydrates of plant, animal, and microbial origin. Bacteria rely mainly on glycoside hydrolases (GHs) and polysaccharide lyases (PLs) to deconstruct this diet of complex glycans polymers, which includes branched polysaccharides comprising multiple different monosaccharides connected via a range of different linkages (Fig. 1). In the marine environment, there is a strong reliance on sulfatase enzymes to metabolize the sulfate decorations of marine plant-derived polysaccharides (Fig. 1). Sulfate groups can also be found decorating polysaccharides in animal tissues (Fig. 1), and the variability of sulfation patterns makes metabolism of the polysaccharides a complex process. In addition, many plant-derived complex polysaccharides contain other non-carbohydrate decorations that can hinder GH action, and these may be enzymatically cleaved by enzymes like carbohydrate esterases (CEs). Due to this challenging complexity, a consortium of enzymes with complementary specificities is required for full conversion of a polysaccharide into simple sugars for further metabolism. To facilitate studies of such carbohydrate-active enzymes (CAZymes), these proteins have been grouped into classes and families based on sequence similarity in the Carbohydrate-Active Enzymes database (CAZy, www.cazy.org; Lombard et al., 2014).
As will be discussed below, one of the most significant adaptations of the Bacteroidetes phylum, and perhaps the single adaptation that confers the most important advantage in hyper-competitive environments, is the ability to coordinate production of synergistic enzyme consortia in response to the availability of a relevant substrate.
While the Bacteroidetes are more or less universally recognized for their ability to produce consortia of complementary CAZymes, how they use these enzymes to interact with their target substrate can vary substantially. For example, certain species secrete large (high-molecular weight) multi-modular proteins that themselves contain several catalytic and non-catalytic domains. Such multi-modular proteins may or may not be produced as part of the CAZyme consortia encoded by the so-called polysaccharide utilization loci (PULs, discussed in detail below and first introduced in the study by Xu et al., 2003), which are clusters of genes encoding proteins with related functions used for the sensing, binding, deconstruction, and import of a particular polysaccharide. The secretion of large proteins and/or large numbers of enzymes is energetically demanding (Wallenstein and Burns, 2011; Traving et al., 2015), and so the Bacteroidetes have evolved systems to ensure a strong return on this energy investment. The ability to sense precisely which polysaccharides are nearby and to tailor CAZyme gene expression and protein secretion accordingly is vital, as is the ability to move rapidly over solid surfaces, perhaps towards an area more enriched with a particular carbon source. The type IX secretion system (T9SS), which is unique to the Bacteroidetes, is important in many species for secretion of the aforementioned CAZymes either to the cell surface or freely into the environment, and is intertwined with PULs in certain habitats. The different types of PULs that have thus far been described, and their mechanistic differences are discussed in this review in the context of the fitness advantages they confer to members of the Bacteroidetes phylum.

**PULs are specialized saccharolytic systems with functional homology to the paradigmatic starch utilization system**

The proteins necessary for capture and deconstruction of complex carbohydrates by Bacteroidetes species are typically encoded by discrete cassettes of contiguous genes called PULs (Grondin et al., 2017). All PULs studied to date confer the ability to digest one particular glycan. The first PUL to be identified was the starch utilization system (SUS) of Bacteroides thetaiotaomicron, a dominant member of the human gut microbiota (HGM) and a model species for studying polysaccharide digestion in the gut (Tancula et al., 1992; Shipman et al., 1999; Xu et al., 2003; Martens et al., 2009). The SUS remains the archetypal example of a PUL, and many of the methods still used to investigate PULs were established using the SUS. Several excellent reviews are available which describe the functioning of the canonical SUS, its discovery by Dr. Abigail Salyers (Whitaker, 2018), and the ground-breaking research involved in the dissection of the system (e.g., see Martens et al., 2009; Koropatkine and Smith, 2010; Cameron et al., 2012).

The eight genes comprising the SUS, susRABCDEFG, each encode a protein involved in the sensing, capture, import, or hydrolysis of starch (Table 1). The SUS still defines the PUL paradigm, but the literature describing examples of PULs from other species has expanded greatly since the biochemistry of the SUS was first established. With the breadth of PUL research reported and ongoing, a reader who is not well-versed in the history of the SUS may find some terminology unclear. The nomenclature used to refer to the SUS has become the convention when discussing other loci, and many components of newly discovered PULs are still referred to as SUS-equivalent proteins. The so-called SusC-like and SusD-like proteins found in all Bacteroidetes PULs have sequence, structure, and functional homology with the original SusC and SusD proteins. These proteins have sometimes been referred to as SusC_H and SusD_H to indicate that they are homologues of SusC and SusD (Ndh et al., 2017; Luís et al., 2018). However, for the other SUS components, including the transcriptional regulator SusR and the cell-surface starch-binding proteins SusE and SusF, there are no sequence homologues in most PULs, and even the functional equivalence is not always clear. Likewise, the activities of the CAZymes encoded by PULs vary widely. In Table 1, we summarize the functions of the components of the original SUS, and their equivalents found in most other PULs characterized to date. Now that an abundance of PULs have been fully characterized, it is worth considering which canonical SUS features hold true for most or all cases, and which are specific adaptations that may be useful in starch metabolism but seem less relevant for other glycan substrates.

Lipid-anchoring to the outer membrane, which holds the protein on the external cell surface, is a common feature of PUL proteins (e.g., see Shipman et al., 1999; Larsbring et al., 2014b; Cuskin et al., 2015; Tamura et al., 2017; Cartmell et al., 2018; Pereira et al., 2021), and indeed, four of the SUS proteins are anchored in this way. This includes the endo-acting amylase SusG which cleaves starch into maltodextrins, and functional analogues of this enzyme have been found in PULs targeting other glycans. All PULs characterized to date enable the import of resulting oligosaccharides of relatively high molecular weight into the periplasm by the combined action of SusC-like and SusD-like proteins acting in a complex (Glenwright et al., 2017; Bolam and van den Berg, 2018; Gray et al., 2021). The SusC/D complex functions with a ‘pedal bin’-like mechanism, where SusD...
Table 1. The components of the archetypical SUS.

| Protein | Function in the SUS | Equivalent proteins in other PULs | Identification in other PULs |
|---------|---------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| SusR    | Sensor protein spanning the inner membrane and recognizing the disaccharide maltose and larger malto-oligosaccharides (MaltOs) in the periplasm, then signalling to induce SUS transcription | Classical or hybrid two-component sensor systems, or other types of sensor proteins, such as AraC-type regulators. Typically recognizes an oligosaccharide fragment of the polysaccharide targeted by the PUL’s enzymes and induces upregulation of PUL transcription | Genomic proximity to SusC/D pair, annotation as transcriptional regulator protein. The glycan recognized can be identified by binding studies or inferred by transcriptomic investigation of the other PUL-encoded genes after growing the bacterium on pure carbon sources |
| SusA    | Periplasmic neopullulanase, synergistically converting MaltOs to glucose together with SusB | One or more periplasmic CAZymes with specificity for imported oligosaccharides. Often exo-acting | CAZy family annotation, proximity to SusC/D pair. Activity determined by recombinant production and characterization |
| SusB    | Periplasmic α-glucosidase, synergistically converting MaltOs to glucose together with SusA | One or more periplasmic CAZymes with specificity for imported oligosaccharides. Often exo-acting | CAZy family annotation, proximity to SusC/D pair. Activity determined by recombinant production and characterization |
| SusC    | Integral outer membrane protein, TonB-dependent sugar transporter, importing oligosaccharides delivered by SusD that are derived from the polysaccharide targeted by the PUL | Commonly referred to as SusC-like protein, TonB-dependent sugar transporter, specific for fragments of the target polysaccharide | SusC-like proteins are identified by sequence identity. Always adjacent to a SusD-like protein |
| SusD    | Lipid-anchored starch/MaltO-binding protein, forms a complex with SusC, and shuttles starch fragments into the SusC pore | Commonly referred to as SusD-like protein (sometimes as SGBP-A), binds to fragments of the target polysaccharide, shuttles them into the cognate SusC-like protein pore | SusD-like proteins are identified by sequence identity. Always adjacent to a SusC-like protein. Binding specificity can be determined by recombinant production and characterization |
| SusE    | Cell surface-anchored starch binding protein, aiding SUS complex formation, and assisting starch capture (Cameron et al., 2012) | Sometimes referred to as cell surface glucan-binding proteins (SGBPs). Some SGBPs promote glycan capture, some contribute to SusC/D complex architecture | Proximity to SusC/D pair. Recombinant production and characterization as glycan binders. Generally, no detectable sequence similarity with known proteins |
| SusF    | Cell surface-anchored starch binding protein, aiding SUS complex formation, and assisting starch capture (Cameron et al., 2012) | SGBP. Some SGBPs promote glycan capture, some contribute to SusC/D complex architecture | Proximity to SusC/D pair. Recombinant production and characterization as glycan binders. Generally, no detectable sequence similarity with known proteins |
| SusG    | Amylase lipid-anchored to the cell surface, converting starch into importable MaltOs. Additional non-catalytic MaltO binding site and appended CBM further promote starch sequestration (Koropatkin and Smith, 2010) | One or more extracellular CAZyme(s) (typically endo-acting) with specificity for the target polysaccharide. Can be freely secreted and/or outer-membrane tethered. | CAZy family annotation, proximity to SusC/D pair. Activity determined by recombinant production and characterization. |

The table describes functional equivalents of SUS components found in other PULs, and how they may be identified via the gene sequence or by functional description. A complete PUL may be identified as a discrete cassette of contiguous genes that are upregulated during growth on a particular glycan. Knock-outs of an entire PUL, or one or more components thereof, can be used to explore the importance of PUL proteins. Once a PUL is identified in a genome, functional characterization of the CAZymes and carbohydrate-binding proteins is required for an accurate description of PUL specificity and function, as CAZyme family annotations are indicative but not always precisely predictive of enzyme specificity.

acts as a lid with carbohydrate-binding properties, facilitating shuttling of oligosaccharides into the SusC pore which is closed to the periplasm by a plug. As the SusD lid is closed, the plug is pulled out of the SusC pore through interaction with TonB, a protein complex spanning the periplasm, and the oligosaccharide cargo is then released into the periplasm for further depolymerization (Bolam and van den Berg, 2018).

Another key feature of the SUS is the use of outer membrane-tethered non-catalytic glycan-binding proteins, which is a common but not ubiquitous feature in other PULs. The so-called cell surface glycan-binding proteins (SGBPs) have functional analogy to the original SusE and SusF and have now been discovered in PULs targeting multiple different glycans (Rogowski et al., 2015; Ndeh et al., 2017; Cartmell et al., 2018; Tamura et al., 2021). In some publications, the SusD-like proteins have also been referred to as SGBPs (see examples in the studies by Tauzin et al., 2016; Tamura et al., 2019; Déjean et al., 2020; Tamura and Brumer, 2021). SGBPs are typically thought to facilitate substrate acquisition by the PUL. In the SUS, SusE and
SusF appear to be crucial for formation of the greater SUS protein complex formed on the surface of the cells; super-resolution imaging and single-molecule tracking studies suggest that SusE and SusF are immobile on the cell surface, whereas the SusC/D pair and SusG enzyme are highly mobile across the cell surface, and are recruited into the larger protein complex only when needed. This indicates that the entire SUS protein complex may form around SusE and SusF (Tuson et al., 2018). To the best of our knowledge, the same has not been demonstrated for SGBPs in other PULs, with the exception of a β-glucan PUL for which it was shown that an SGBP supports function of the SusC-like protein (Déjean et al., 2020). Functionally equivalent complex-recruiter proteins are not included in current PUL discovery algorithms, which are discussed in detail below.

In addition to carbohydrate binding, breakdown, and transport, an important conserved feature of the SUS and all other PULs is system activation and specific sensing of imported oligosaccharides. In the SUS, MaltOs imported through SusC activate SusR, an inner membrane sensor/regulator with glycan specificity for both linkage and monosaccharide type that exclusively upregulates expression of the other genes in the locus (Martens et al., 2001). This preference for oligosaccharide ligands over simple monosaccharides gives more information about the structure of available polysaccharides, and likely contributes to fine distinctions in substrate preferences between PULs (Martens et al., 2011). Upon MaltO binding to SusR, the production of all SUS components is upregulated (except SusR itself; D’Elia and Salyers, 1996), and this is a common PUL feature. While the SUS does not need to encode an inner membrane transporter for importing the ubiquitous monosaccharide glucose into the cytosol, some other PULs do include inner membrane sugar transporters that are essential for growth on the SUS’s target glycan (Larsbrink et al., 2016; Terrapon et al., 2018).

All PULs, including the SUS, are believed to be transcribed constitutively at low levels, permitting a minor ‘background’ capability to hydrolyse the target polysaccharide and import the resulting oligosaccharides (Pereira et al., 2021). As early degradation products enter the periplasm and activate the sensor system (SusR in the SUS), the PUL is upregulated. For the SUS, this leads to increased concentrations of the SusA, SusB, and SusG enzymes. Other PULs correspondingly encode enzymes suited to the deconstruction of their target glycans, some of which are secreted to the outside of the cell, and some of which remain in the periplasm to complete the deconstruction of imported glycan fragments. Thus, upon sensor activation, an array of specific CAZymes is deployed to rapidly hydrolyse the PUL-inducing glycan polymer into importable oligosaccharides, followed by periplasmic degradation to monosaccharides (Cameron et al., 2012; Tamura et al., 2017). A positive feedback loop is activated: enhanced enzyme production leads to a higher rate of polysaccharide depolymerization, higher concentrations of the activating oligosaccharide in the periplasm, and an ensured persistent activation of the PUL’s transcriptional regulator until the target polysaccharide is depleted or a polysaccharide higher in the bacterium’s ‘preference list’ is detected (discussed below). PUL regulators include classical two-component systems or hybrid two-component variants, extracytoplasmic function (ECF) sigma factors with corresponding anti-sigma factors, GntR-like transcription factors, and AraC-like regulators (Lowe et al., 2012; Terrapon et al., 2018).

New PULs can be identified by algorithmic comparison to the SUS or by de novo bacteriology

Since the characterization of the now-paradigmatic SUS, genes homologous to SusC and SusD have become recognized as a conserved signature motif that can be used to identify new PULs in Bacteroidetes genomes (Martens et al., 2011; Terrapon et al., 2015; Stewart et al., 2018; Terrapon et al., 2018). Indeed, there is consensus that a PUL is defined and identified by the observation of at least one tandem susC/D-like pair of genes closely flanked by at least one CAZyme gene, and some form of transcriptional regulator. While susC and susD homologues can be identified by sequence similarity, other PUL-encoded proteins are only functional analogues to SUS components, as discussed above and in Table 1. PULs not targeting starch do not encode enzymes similar to SusABG, but instead encode CAZymes acting on the PUL’s target glycan. Similarly, while the great majority of PULs lack homologues of the non-catalytic SusE and SusF, some do include functionally related SGBPs (Table 1). There is now an automated system, PULDB (http://www.cazy.org/PULDB/) (Terrapon et al., 2015; Terrapon et al., 2018), which predicts PULs within Bacteroidetes genomes by identifying SusC/D homologues. CAZY-annotated proteins and transcriptional regulators in close proximity are then annotated as belonging to the putative PUL. In addition, dbCAN-PUL serves as a repository of experimentally validated PULs (http://bcb.unl.edu/dbCAN_PUL/) (Ausland et al., 2021). Table 2 shows the number of PULs predicted by the PULDB algorithm for the genomes of some species found in different environments. Some Bacteroidetes possess over 100 different PULs (Lapébie et al., 2019), and the number of PULs within a genome is strongly correlated with the number of
importantly, despite the abundance of pULs in many bacteria genomes, not all polysaccharide metabolism is necessarily directed by these systems. For example, enzymes metabolizing starch, glycosyl and peptidoglycan are often found outside of pULs, likely because their target substrates are found within the bacterial cell or cell wall, making the sensing and import functions of pULs superfluous (lapébie et al., 2019). Likewise, despite the large numbers of CAZymes with fine specificity encoded by many pULs, there are examples where the CAZymes of one pUL are not sufficient to fully metabolize one complex polysaccharide (cuskink et al., 2015; ndeh et al., 2017; briliüöö et al., 2019; lapébie et al., 2019), meaning that pUL activities may be complemented by the action of non-pUL enzymes encoded elsewhere in the genome.

The naming of PULs is a difficult matter

Once a PUL has been identified and fully biochemically characterized using recombinant techniques, it is typically given a concise name describing its function. In many cases, the ‘polysaccharide’ in ‘PUL’ is replaced by the name of the specific glycan being metabolized, as in ‘xyloglucan utilization locus, XyGUL’ (Larsbrink et al., 2014b), or ‘mixed-linkage α-glucan (MLG) utilization locus, MLGUL’ (Tamura et al., 2017). In other cases, the name of the targeted glycan is appended to the existing PUL abbreviation, as in ‘mannan-degrading PUL, ManPUL’ (reddy et al., 2016; Bågenholm et al., 2017), or ‘PUL for degrading xylan, PUL-Xyl’ (rogowski et al., 2015). These short names given to characterized PULs are certainly more useful than referring to a PUL as a collection of contiguous locus tags in an annotated genome, but as the PUL literature expands, there is a need for one consistent naming convention for characterized PULs, as this would be of use for both readers and database listings.

One reason for the current inconsistency in PUL naming is the lack of a strong consensus on how to abbreviate the often complex names of polysaccharides themselves. For example, in chemical and biochemical literature, the plant heteroglycan xyloglucan is commonly abbreviated to XG (bensefelt et al., 2016), but in some publications is referred to as XyG (Larsbrink et al., 2014b), or even TXG, with this latter form indicating that tamarind seeds (T) are the source of the polysaccharide (mannan). We believe that such indicatory codes are a
useful route to standardization of nomenclature, but that an effective PUL name should confer sufficient detail regarding the structure of the targeted polysaccharide to enable a reader to understand fine differences in substrate specificity where they exist. For example, the use of ‘ManPUL’ may be confusing now that there are examples in the literature of PULs targeting both α- and β-linked mannans from microbes and plants, respectively (Cuskin et al., 2015; Bågenholm et al., 2017). Furthermore, regarding plant mannans, ‘ManPUL’ as a general term would not distinguish between PULs specifically targeting glucomannan (GM or GluM), galactomannan (GM or GalM), or galactoglucomannan (GGM). Similarly, ‘XylPUL’ would be insufficient to distinguish between PULs preferentially targeting arabinoxylan (AX), glucuronoxylan (GX), glucuronoarabinoxylan (GAX or AGX), or xylo-oligosaccharides (XOs or XylOs). We must also consider the extent to which subtle variations in polysaccharide structure (such as the degree of arabinosylation of an AX) are even relevant to the activation of a PUL.

A consistent system for polysaccharide naming should be agreed upon by researchers active within the CAZy community to permit standard PUL nomenclature. Subsequent to this agreement, we encourage the use of ‘GlycanUL’ to refer to a PUL directing the metabolism of a given glycan, where a consistent abbreviation is used to denote a particular polysaccharide. The abbreviations should conform to those already used in polysaccharide and CAZyme research, where possible. This would give, for example: ChiUL (chitin utilization locus); XyGUL (xyloglucan utilization locus); GAXUL (glucuronoarabinobioxyulan utilization locus); GGMUL (galactoglucomannan utilization locus); and αManUL (α-mannan utilization locus, an example where a three-letter polysaccharide code must be expanded as it gives insufficient detail on substrate structure). With the ongoing rapid expansion of the PUL literature, there are now multiple examples of PULs targeting the same polysaccharide type, and so species indicators will become increasingly useful, as already used in publications describing the so-called BoMANPUL (Reddy et al., 2016; Bågenholm et al., 2017) and BoXyGUL (Larsbrink et al., 2014b) of B. ovatus, or the β-1,3-glucan-targeting PULs of B. fluss (Bf1,3GUL) and B. uniformis (Bu1,3GUL) (Déjean et al., 2020). Eventually, it may be necessary to additionally include information about the order of discovery of PULs found within an organism’s genome (e.g. BoXyGUL-A or BoXyGUL1 for the first such characterized example, and BoXyGUL-B or BoXyGUL2 for the second, and so on). Using letters for this (A, B, C, etc) would echo the long-standing nomenclature used for naming characterized CAZymes (Henriassat et al., 1998). The decided names of newly characterized PULs could be submitted to the CAZy database using something akin to the online form that now allows researchers to directly input enzyme function data, for integration into the PULDB. Finally, we recognize that this is a dynamic discussion that will benefit from engagement and advertisement within the greater CAZyme community at a public forum such as the biennial CAZymes for Glycan Conversions meeting.

All classes of polysaccharides are metabolized by PULs across multiple investigated ecosystems

Due to a certain anthropocentric focus in the literature, and the interest in Bacteroidetes species as indicators of and contributors to human gut health (Fan and Pedersen, 2020), a substantial proportion of PUL investigations have focussed on HGM species and the metabolism of glycans found in the human intestinal ecosystem. While cellulose degradation is a major activity in the rumen of herbivores and in soils, cellulose is recalcitrant to digestion in the human gut (Slavin et al., 1981; Chassard et al., 2010), whereas other abundant plant glycans such as hemicelluloses, starch, and pectin, constitute major nutrient sources for the HGM (Scheller and Ulvskov, 2010) (Fig. 1). Of the hemicelluloses, xylans are particularly enriched in grasses, grains, and cereals (Vogel, 2008); β-mannans are found in certain nuts and seeds and are used as food-thickeners (Scheller and Ulvskov, 2010); xyloglucan is found in all terrestrial plants including vegetables and cereals (Vogel, 2008); and mixed linkage β-glucan (MLG) is mostly found in cereals. Plant-based diets are also rich in pectin and related glycans such as arabinan and arabinogalacan, which are particularly abundant in some fruits, berries, and processed foods like jams (Mohnen, 2008). Arabinogalactan is also found in the form of arabinogalactan proteins (AGPs) (Fincher et al., 1983), which are particularly enriched in red wine (Vidal et al., 2003), instant coffee (Capek et al., 2010), and natural gums used in food processing (Phillips, 1998; Atgié et al., 2019). Fungal biomass represents an additional nutrient source for diverse microbiota and is arguably even more abundant and important in soils than gut systems. Fungi offer a buffet of complex glycan-based cell walls built of chitin, α-glucans, β-glucans, α-mannans, galactans, and glycoproteins (Fig. 1) (Gow et al., 2017). In marine environments, the cell walls of aquatic plants present linkages, monosaccharides, and sulfated groups that are not typically found in terrestrial plants, including polysaccharides such as carrageenans, agarose, porphyran, ulvan, alginate, and laminarin (Popper et al., 2014; Synytsya et al., 2015) (Fig. 1). In all of these environments, complex heteroglycans require multiple synergistic CAZymes for complete deconstruction.
addressing the multitude of monosaccharide types, linkages, and non-carbohydrate decorations (including sulfate, acetyl, and feruloyl groups, among others).

Bacteroidetes species are abundant in gut, soil, and aquatic environments, and PULs have been discovered that target every major glycan class in plant, algal,
animal, and microbial biomass (Fig. 2), highlighting the enormous substrate diversity and adaptive flexibility that the PUL system provides to the phylum (Glowacki and Martens, 2020). However, environmental studies outside of the HGM also indicate that the PUL paradigm and its mechanisms are not necessarily conserved or of equal significance across these environments. Examples of how adaptations of PULs have arisen in different environments are highlighted in Fig. 2 and discussed further below.

PUL-mediated metabolism of complex glycans in the human gut microbiota. Several PULs from HGM species have been characterized in detail, and these typically conform to the standard, or ‘classical’, view of how PULs operate, with surface-bound enzymes cleaving target glycans into oligosaccharides, which are imported through the SusC/D-like protein complex for final degradation within the periplasmic space (Table 1) (Fig. 3). Notable examples of some of the key polysaccharides metabolized by the HGM and the corresponding PULs are described below, without providing fine details of individual enzyme specificities, which are not
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*Bacteroides ovatus* grows on the majority of plant glycans, and has together with the closely related *B. thetaetaotaomicron* become a key species for studying PUL diversity within the HGM. Xylan metabolism in *B. ovatus* is mediated by loci referred to in the original publication as PUL-XylL and PUL-XylS (Fig. 2) (Rogowski et al., 2015), which are conserved in Bacteroidetes derived from other mammals (e.g. rumen-isolated *P. bryantii*; Dodd et al., 2010). The larger locus, PUL-XylL, enables SGBP-mediated binding to and degradation of complex GAX, while the smaller PUL-XylS is responsible for binding and hydrolysing simpler GXs and undecorated linear xylan (Rogowski et al., 2015). Following the nomenclature framework outlined above, these loci would be named BoGAXUL and BoGXUL (or BoXylUL), respectively. Similar to BoGXUL, growth on MLG is enabled by the small BoMLGUL, where the size reflects the complexity of the target glycan (Tamura et al., 2017). Xyloglucan deconstruction by *B. ovatus* is mediated via the BoXyGUL (Fig. 2) (Larsbrink et al., 2014b), which can fully degrade the arabinofuranosylated XyG found in solanaceous plants but lacks enzymes targeting fucosyl decorations. A PUL with somewhat looser specificity is the so-called BoManPUL (Fig. 2; suggested name BoGalMUL), which targets both glucomannan and galactomannan (Reddy et al., 2016; Bägenholm et al., 2017). These examples highlight how, even within one species, the highly adaptable PUL system is permitting both specific and more general polysaccharide metabolism, by varying enzyme repertoires. These PULs of *B. ovatus* all have in common in that in addition to an expected SusD-like protein, sometimes referred to as SGBP-A, they also encode functional analogues to SusE/F (‘SGBP-B proteins’) which help sequester the target glycan to the cell surface (Larsbrink et al., 2014b; Rogowski et al., 2015; Tauzin et al., 2016; Bägenholm et al., 2017; Tamura et al., 2017). Similarly organized (synthetic) PULs to those functionally characterized have been observed in other members of the phylum, including some outside of the *Bacteroides* genus (Larsbrink et al., 2014b; Terrapon et al., 2015; Tamura et al., 2017; Terrapon et al., 2018), and this has community-level ecosystem implications if certain species can internalize and hoard large oligosaccharides from a broad range of structurally related glycans.

*Bacteroides uniformis* encodes a PUL conferring both MLG and β-1,3-glucan metabolism (Déjean et al., 2020), and similar PULs from *B. thetaetaotaomicron* and *Bacteroides fluxus* have also been studied (Tamura et al., 2021), each encoding an SGBP in addition to the PUL’s SusD-like protein. The current naming of these β1,3GULs perfectly exemplifies the nomenclature problem: fine details of substrate specificity are obscured, if they exist, because there is no standard name for this type of substrate, unlike the xylans, mannans, and xyloglucans, which show wide structural variability depending on the source organism, but which can always be referred to by their generic polysaccharide name. Depending on the origin, β-1,3-glucans can have several different names, including callose (plants), curdlan (bacteria), pachyman (fungi), or laminarin (algae), and these may in some cases show variability in terms of degree of polymerization, acetylation, or glycosyl substitution. Polysaccharides enriched with the Glc-β1,3-Glc linkage also include yeast β-glucan and fungal scleroglucan/schizophyllan, which additionally contain the Glc-β1,6-Glc linkages that are also found in laminarin (Manners et al., 1973; Kadam et al., 2015). Of note, the BuG1,3GUL was shown to deconstruct yeast β-glucans and laminarin (Déjean et al., 2020), as well as MLG, indicating that this PUL may simply target any polysaccharide containing Guc-β1,3-Glc linkages regardless of finer polysaccharide differences, indicating that this name is sufficient as there is not a preference for one particular named glucan. But another PUL that may hypothetically show preference for branched β-1,3-glucans or linear β-1,3-glucans within a particular range of molecular weight or degree of acetylation may need a more specific name. Differences in purity, molecular weight, and structural features like acetylation are common between β-glucans extracted in different ways, but these data are not commonly reported for commercial substrate preparations; while this information is not always accessible to enzymologists, these differences may in some cases be relevant when dissecting the precise functions of PULs, as they can influence substrate solubility and hence influence cell adhesion capabilities, as well as the efficiency of enzymes and binding proteins.

*Bacteroides thetaetaotaomicron* encodes two PULs (currently named PULAGPS and PULAGPs) that target highly complex and variable AGP (Martens et al., 2011; Cartmell et al., 2018). In addition to β-1,3-galactan cleavage, each PUL encodes different abilities to remove the variable AGP side chains (Cartmell et al., 2018), such as β-1,6-linked galactose side groups. The latter are only addressed by PULAGPL, in another example of the very fine distinctions that sometimes occur between PULs acting within the same substrate group. Sufficiently descriptive short names for these PULs are not obvious, but BtAGPUL-A and BtAGP UL-B seem reasonable. The ability of *B. thetaetaotaomicron* to target one of the most complex polysaccharides known, RGII, has also been characterized in detail and is attributed to three distinct PULs in the genome (RG-II PULs 1–3; suggested re-naming to *B*RGIUL-A-C), thus correlating PUL complexity with the structural complexity of the target glycan (Ndeh et al., 2017). In addition to dietary plant glycans, polysaccharides deriving from dietary fungi such as baker’s yeast and fungal HGM members, the so-called mycobiome (Huseyn et al., 2017; Sam et al., 2017), are also important drivers of Bacteroidetes metabolism and specialization. Three loci, currently named MAN-PULs 1–3, have been identified in *B. thetaetaotaomicron* as being activated by α-mannan deriving from Saccharomyces cerevisiae (Fig. 1), Schizosaccharomyces pombe, or the pathogen Candida albicans. These loci might with a new nomenclature be referred to as BtaManUL-A-C. In contrast to *B. thetaotaomicron*, *Bacteroides xylanisolvens* is able to metabolize α-1,6-mannan, but not intact complex mannann from *S. cerevisiae*, suggesting a higher selectivity for α-mannan degradation (Cuskin et al., 2015). Such differences in encoded PUL repertoires may also be a driver of micro-diversity in substrate niche colonization (Hehemann et al., 2016). As for *B. ovatus*, the studied PULs from *B. thetaetaotaomicron* rely on surface-attachment of key enzymes, analogous to the archetypal SUS.
Marine plant-derived polysaccharides are commonplace in the diets of only a few restricted human populations, and so PULs targeting these glycans have been mostly discovered in aquatic microbiomes (discussed below). In 2010, Hehemann et al. revealed that a porphyran- and agar-degrading PUL identified in the genome of the marine species Zobellia galactanivorans is present in the HGM of Japanese individuals (Hehemann et al., 2010). This PUL was acquired by Bacteroides plebeius via lateral gene transfer, leading to an adaptation within a specific human population with a traditionally high consumption of seaweed. Phylogenomic analyses further uncovered horizontally acquired alginolytic PULs originating from an ancestral Z. galactanivorans in other HGM Bacteroides in the guts of Japanese individuals (Thomas et al., 2012). In addition, a B. uniformis strain was shown to have acquired an agarose-targeting ‘Ag-PUL’ (Pluvinage et al., 2018). Laminarin can be degraded by the aforementioned Buβ1,3GUL (Déjean et al., 2020), and PUL-mediated carrageenan metabolism has recently been described (Pudlo et al., 2020). Following our suggested nomenclature, the PULs targeting carrageenan, laminarin, alginates, and agarose could be re-named CarUL (if needed adding Greek letters, e.g. κ- or γ-carrageenan), LamUL (or β1,3GUL), AlgLUL, and AgaUL. In common with the plant polysaccharide-targeting PULs from the HGM, these systems appear to act in a classical manner, relying on surface-bound endo-acting enzymes and periplasmic degradation of oligosaccharides.

Finally, in addition to all of the dietary and microbial glycans available in the human intestine, several HGM members can forage directly on the mucosal layer lining the human large intestine, the site of bacterial colonization (Głowacki and Martens, 2020). Cell-surface glycans on intestinal epithelial cells can serve as a carbon source for HGM residents either as a major nutrient source or during dietary fibre deprivation in infants before weaning or in individuals consuming a low-fibre diet, depending on the degree of species specialization (Marcobal et al., 2011; Desai et al., 2016). Among the mucus-eroding micro biota, B. thetaiotaomicron encodes several PULs targeting host N- and O-linked glycans found in mucin (Martens et al., 2008; Martens et al., 2011), and uses combinations of CAZymes (Martens et al., 2008; Crouch et al., 2020) and sulfatas (Cartell et al., 2017; Luis et al., 2020) to metabolize a range of host-derived glycans, including mucin, heparin, and keratan- and hepan sulfates (Fig. 2). Underlining the importance of this endogenous source of microbiota-accessible carbohydrates is the recent observation that mucin-derived O-glycans are effective prebiotics that can mitigate dysbiosis and suppress the pathogen Clostridium difficile (Pruss et al., 2021).

More than just classical PULs and CAZymes are important in non-HGM environments. Outside of the HGM, we also observe broad representation of classical PUL mechanisms being employed by Bacteroidetes, for example, in marine, soil, and host-associated ecosystems found in herbivores (Fig. 2). Ocean-dwelling Bacteroidetes are considered central degraders of the algal glycans which predominate in aquatic environments (Amost et al., 2021), and corresponding PULs targeting these polysaccharides have, as mentioned previously, on occasion been acquired by HGM residents (Hehemann et al., 2010). In contrast to PULs targeting plant cell wall glycans, these PULs need to make extensive use of polysaccharide lyases and sulfatases as well as GHs (Amost et al., 2021) (Fig. 1). Two PULs targeting marine glycans from the marine Bacteroidete Gramella forsetii KT0803 have been studied, one LamUL and one AlgUL (Kabisch et al., 2014). The response of G. forsetii when growing on laminarin and alginate, compared to glucose, was studied by cellular fractionation and proteomics. GfLamUL is similar to the previously mentioned BuLamUL/β1,3GUL from B. uniformis (Déjean et al., 2020), and similar genes and gene organizations were also found in putative PULs from related marine Flavobacteriaceae, again suggesting a conserved strategy for utilization of major glycans found in brown algae. These syntenic PULs all appear to operate according to the classical PUL mechanism paradigm (Fig. 3). PULs rich in polysaccharide lyase-encoding genes permit metabolism of both mannuronate and guluronate components of alginate in Marinibacter dokdonensis 62–1, which co-habits a similar metabolic niche as Z. galactanivorans (Wolter et al., 2021).

Z. galactanivorans is highly proficient in degrading marine glycans, and the large CarUL it utilizes for degradation of carrageenan is an example of a PUL not operating in the classical manner of the SUS archetype (Ficko-Blean et al., 2017). The ZgCarUL contains enzymes, a regulator, and an inner-membrane sugar transporter, but the expected SusC/D-like proteins are encoded elsewhere in the genome, as are other key carrageenolytic enzymes (Ficko-Blean et al., 2017). The CarUL (Fig. 2) is highly conserved within marine Bacteroidetes but varies in other phyla of marine bacteria in ways that indicate an evolutionary history of gene losses, duplications, and horizontal acquisitions around a conserved 3,6-anhydro-α-galactose core metabolism. Indeed, horizontal gene transfer between microbes in the ocean is a primary driver of micro-diversification in substrate acquisition capacity, as species target increasingly narrow niches of specific glycan structure (Hehemann et al., 2016). A similar finding is the AlgUL from Z. galactanivorans, which, as previously mentioned, is found in the genomes of both marine and gut bacteria (Thomas et al., 2012).

The system of Z. galactanivorans conferring agarose and porphyran metabolism represents another example of a non-canonical PUL situation (Hehemann et al., 2012b). The majority of genes encoding this complex system are found within two distally located loci, encoding the signature SusC/D-like proteins and a sensor, in addition to several enzymes. However, the bacterium also relies on enzymes located elsewhere in the genome, activated to different degrees by agarose and porphyran, without neighboring genes with related function. While most of the β-porphyran-degrading enzymes of the system are predicted to reside in the periplasm, one is located in the outer membrane, as are several agarases, but additionally several key enzymes are found secreted as free enzymes using the T9SS. The PULs found in marine species thus contain the expected classical PULs, similar to those found in HGM species, but also non-canonical PULs complemented by distally located genes acting on the same polysaccharide. Such ‘hybrid’ PULs rely on secretion of proteins by the T9SS, including extracellular soluble enzymes.
and thus represent a departure from the reliance on surface-
tethered enzymes (Fig. 3).

On land, the most abundant carbohydrate is plant biomass-derived cellulose, a rich source of glucose in soil and herbivorous habitats. Due to the recalcitrant crystalline structure of cellulose, only specialized species possess the consortium of enzymes required to fully break it down, including oxygen-dependent lytic polysaccharide mono-
oxigenases (LPMOs), cellubiohydrolases (CBHs), and other enzymes and redundant repertoires of extracellular soluble,

The type 9 secretion system: driving cellular motility and enzyme secretion

As mentioned above, there are several examples of ‘hybrid’ PULs that, in addition to the PUL-encoded proteins, also rely on the phylum-exclusive T9SS (Figs. 2 and 3). Additionally, the T9SS is important for the gliding motility system in motile Bacteroides species, which relies on the T9SS for secretion of components in a mechanism that uses surface-tethered adhesins linked to intracellular helical tracks and motors (Nakane et al., 2013; Kharade and McBride, 2014; McBride, 2019). As an example, disruption of genes coding for proteins involved in gliding motility in C. hutchinsonii, which does not rely on PULs, abolished both motility and the ability to grow on cellulose (Zhu and McBride, 2014). The T9SS spans across the entire Bacteroides phylum, with the notable exception of the Bacteroides genus that dominates the HGM, which lacks the T9SS and the ability to glide (Bacic and Smith, 2008).

An exception within the Bacteroides genus is B. salyersiae, a species that does not glide but where genome analysis indicates the presence of T9SS components (Coyne et al., 2014).

Several excellent reviews and articles have recently described the current knowledge of this complex system (McBride, 2019; Gorasia et al., 2020a, 2020b). In short, secretion via the T9SS is a two-step process: firstly, an N-terminal signal peptide directs the protein for translocation by the Sec system through the inner membrane into the periplasm, where it folds. Next, a conserved ~70–100 amino acid residue C-terminal domain (CTD) directs the protein for transport through the outer membrane via the T9SS protein complex (Fig. 3) (Gorasia et al., 2020a), facilitated by a large pore, typically with concomitant removal of the CTD by a specific peptidase. Cryo-EM studies have shown that SprA, the T9SS pore protein in F. johnsoniae, forms a channel with an inner diameter/cavity as large as ~70 Å (Lauber et al., 2018), which explains how even very large folded proteins can be translocated to the cell’s exterior. Following translocation, the protein may be released from the cell in a freely soluble form, or tethered to the cell surface through a sortase-like mechanism, where the newly formed C-terminal carboxylate is fused to an anionic
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lipopolysaccharide that inserts into the membrane (Gorasia et al., 2015; McBride, 2019).

Two types of T9SS CTD (A and B; TIGRFAM family annotation TIGR04183 and TIGR04183, respectively) are known, and conceivably they are used for different subsets of proteins (de Diego et al., 2016; Lasica et al., 2016; Kulkarni et al., 2017; Kulkarni et al., 2019). Further research is however needed to fully clarify this. The CTDs were originally identified in the Bacteroidetes human pathogen Porphyromonas gingivalis as being involved in cell-surface tethering of secreted proteins, a function in line with the typical outer membrane attachment of endo-acting PUL enzymes. Figure 3 shows a schematic overview of the T9SS and how it is used to secrete PUL-encoded CAZymes in non-canonical ‘hybrid’ PULs.

**The T9SS complements PULs by permitting the secretion of large enzymes**

As more species outside the HGM are being investigated, it is becoming more evident that T9SS-mediated secretion of modular CAZymes is wide-spread in the phylum, but it is not universally utilized for CAZyme secretion in any species. While there are several examples of PUL-encoded CAZymes in soil-dwelling Bacteroidetes that are secreted through this pathway, not all CAZymes (including PUL and non-PUL proteins) from such species are secreted in this way. In addition to the characterized ‘hybrid’ PUL examples described above, an example of the heavy reliance on the T9SS is a PUL predicted to target chitin and fungal β-glucans that was identified in proteomic analysis of the Chitinophaga pinensis secretome; all CAZymes encoded by this PUL possess CTDs for secretion via the T9SS (Larsbrink et al., 2017). Other ‘classical’ PULs of *C. pinensis* have no CTD-tagged enzymes, while others have a mixture of tagged and untagged enzymes (McKee et al., 2019). These are key examples of how the PUL system is complemented by the T9SS in many species, with the secretion system bringing additional adaptive flexibility where it is needed. The nature and functional implications of (the connections between) the varying CAZyme secretion mechanisms, polysaccharide-degrading abilities, and gliding motility remain largely unknown. Additional work on non-HGM species is needed to fill these knowledge gaps.

The current view is that for many non-HGM species, the T9SS functions alongside the PUL system, giving additional secretion routes for large proteins, both PUL and non-PUL enzymes. Especially in Bacteroidetes species that lack PULs entirely, the T9SS appears to be crucial for polysaccharide degradation, as was found in proteomic studies of the cellulolytic *C. hutchinsonii* and *S. myxococoides*, where the majority of endo-acting CAZymes detected in the outer membrane or as extracellular proteins were secreted by the T9SS (Tailfeier et al., 2018). Indeed, *C. hutchinsonii* provides the key example of PUL-free polysaccharide hydrolysis in motile soil-dwelling Bacteroidetes, as it has been shown to use the T9SS to both secrete cellulolytic enzymes and to enable gliding over physical surfaces such as cellulose itself (Zhu et al., 2016). Recently, genes coding for all necessary components of the T9SS complex were identified in the genomes of several Bacteroidetes isolated from the digestive tract of the omnivorous cockroach *Periplaneta americana* (Vera-Ponce de León et al., 2020), showing another under-explored environment where this secretion system is likely playing a major role in glycan nutrient acquisition. In addition, a recent investigation into soil-derived *Flavobacteria* grown on pectin and pectin components found that certain defined carbon sources stimulated not only CAZyme secretion and SusCD production but also colony spreading on agar plates, showing yet another way that the PUL system and T9SS are intertwined (Kraut-Cohen et al., 2021).

**Current knowledge on the regulation of PULs**

Whether a particular Bacteroidetes species is a general biomass scavenger or is more specialized at deconstructing a particular class of glycans, it is common for their genomes to encode large numbers of discrete PULs (Table 2) (Lapébie et al., 2019). In these cases, a ‘preference list’ for the different polysaccharides available may come into play and determine which glycans are targeted first. This would be controlled via non-concurrent activation of specific PULs. Such a hierarchical list of substrate preferences has indeed been demonstrated in several cases (Rogers et al., 2013; Pudlo et al., 2015; Tuncil et al., 2017). The ranking of degradation products from glycans that are highly prioritized can even repress transcription of PULs of lower preference. For some PULs in some species, there is likely a balance between activation by early degradation products of the target glycan and repression by the early degradation products from a distal PUL that targets a glycan of higher priority (Pudlo et al., 2015). The ranking of different glycans seems to be hard-wired in the genomes of studied species, regardless of whether they are cultured alone or together with other species. High-priority substrates will trigger upregulation of the corresponding PUL even if the cells have been exposed to and are growing on abundant but lower-priority glycans (Rogers et al., 2013; Tuncil et al., 2017).

These polysaccharide preferences have great implications for the composition of microbial communities and
the temporal variance in competition for various glycans between species. It is fascinating to consider the implications of PUL preferences in a real natural context, where glycans are almost never available in the pure forms in which we study them, but instead are found enmeshed within complex food and biomass material. Early work on the model HGM symbiont B. thetaiotaomicron explored the response of this species in mono-colonized gnotobiotic mice to a complex chow diet comprising multiple plant polysaccharides and found that hexose sugars were preferentially liberated and consumed before other glycan moieties, and that host mucus glycans were a ‘last reserve’ carbon source when dietary glycans were lacking (Bäckhed et al., 2005; Sonnenburg et al., 2005). These pioneering studies showcase polysaccharide preferences that dictate conversion steps of complex intact biomass and also show that the induction of enzyme production can be achieved even when polysaccharides are bound within a cell wall matrix. Within the marine ecosystem, taxonomically distinct groups of Bacteroidetes and related phyla are enriched as the prokaryotic phytoplankton-derived organic matter shifts, as reflected in observed changes in the expression profile of genes encoding sulfatases, CAZymes, and PUL-like transporter proteins (Teeling et al., 2012). In a clearer example, it has been shown that marine bacteria within a mixed-species particle showed a preference for alginate metabolism even when directly scaffolded onto pectin (Bunse et al., 2021). Similar phenomena have been observed in bacterial soil communities actively degrading fungal necromass, where degradative changes to substrate composition drive temporal changes in community composition and CAZyme gene expression profiles (Brabcová et al., 2016). In the marine environment, the situation may be further complicated by the tendency of microbes to form physical aggregates with complex inter-regulation phenomena that are difficult to parse from metabolic investigation (Cordero and Datta, 2016).

In a few cases, PUL-mediated upregulation of genes located outside the PUL in question has been observed, in what we refer to here as ‘non-canonical’ PULs (Hehemann et al., 2012a, b; Ficko-Blean et al., 2017). This reliance on distally located accessory genes/proteins for carbohydrate turnover shows how not all PULs are perfectly independent loci. Possibly, the common notion that PULs are discrete loci encoding all necessary functions to deconstruct a specific glycan is a reflection on the strong focus on HGM species thus far. Further complicating the matter, some Bacteroidetes have been shown to use outer membrane vesicles to facilitate glycan depolymerization and cross-feeding between species (Elhenawy et al., 2014; Valguarnera et al., 2018). For instance, SusG has been shown to be packed into secreted vesicles, which could enable better access for the enzyme to act on starch particles than when the enzyme is locked to the cell surface (Valguarnera et al., 2018).

As discussed above, some PULs make very fine distinctions between related polysaccharides with subtle variations in structure, while others show less discernment and appear able to metabolize a relatively broad group of glycan structures. To a great extent, this specificity is regulated via the SusC/D complex and the transcriptional regulator, such that characterization of the ligand-binding specificity of the SusD-like protein produced recombinantly is often taken as an indicator of the PUL target glycan. It has been shown that a B. thetaiotaomicron fructan-targeting PUL permits metabolism of inulin instead of levan in certain strains with a variant susC/D gene pair (Joglekar et al., 2018). In some cases, regulation of PUL activation is instead directed by the elegant orchestration of enzymes with low efficiencies, which prolongs PUL activation. This was demonstrated in an investigation of the metabolism of complex pectin by B. thetaiotaomicron and other members of the same genus that use multiple PULs to target different specific pectin components. Specifically, Luis et al. showed these species were able to access their target glycan structures within a complex pectin matrix substrate, and that their PULs are functionally regulated by means of carefully controlled enzyme efficiency differences, which ensure that the glycans activating other pectin PULs are not depleted too quickly (Luis et al., 2018).

Finally, as we increase exploration into complex and dynamic microbiomes in their native habitat, we are beginning to improve our understanding of PUL regulation at a community level. By combining different -omic technologies, one can link expression of multiple PULs from multiple Bacteroidetes populations simultaneously, which when linked to substrate availabilities, can be used to reconstruct ‘food-webs’ that depict polysaccharide degradation at a system-wide level. Examples of where multi-omic approaches have been used to monitor PUL expression include the rumen of moose (Solden et al., 2018) and the colon of pigs (Michalak et al., 2020), which both highlighted specific niche specializations for different hemicellulose fibres. As the resolution of technologies rapidly improve, so will our appreciation of how Bacteroidetes populations deploy their saccharolytic strategies in synergistic and/or competitive contexts.

Are these systems selfish or sharing?

The archetypal SUS employs carbohydrate-binding proteins on the cell surface, allowing B. thetaiotaomicron to effectively sequester starch by use of enzyme-appended CBMs, the SusE/F starch-binding proteins, and non-
catalytic substrate binding sites on SusG. Because relatively high molecular weight oligosaccharides are imported through SusC, and subsequent glycan deconstruction into monosaccharides occurs in the periplasm, very low amounts of oligosaccharides escape the cell to feed competitors. Such nutrient-hoarding is referred to as a ‘selfish’ adaptation as it limits cross-feeding in dense microbial communities and several different examples of this phenomenon exist (Hehemann et al., 2010; Cuskin et al., 2015; Rogowski et al., 2015; Pluvainage et al., 2018). Indeed, it is almost considered paradigmatic that SUS-like systems are selfish in this manner, thus boosting the competitiveness of PUL-encoding organisms by helping a species to sequester a bulky polysaccharide like starch, or even more complex carbohydrate-rich particles, close to the bacterial cell surface (Cameron et al., 2012). In the landmark study of the BtuManULs described by Cuskin et al., it was shown how the weakly acting surface-tethered enzymes of the BtuManULs minimize extracellular polysaccharide cleavage, so that the large fragments generated are rapidly imported, and breakdown to metabolizable monosaccharides occurs almost entirely in the periplasm. Further, the large and complex oligosaccharides generated outside the cell would be inaccessible to several other HGM species in contrast to small ManOs (Cuskin et al., 2015). Indeed, in co-culture experiments using yeast α-mannan as sole carbon source, B. thetaiotaomicron did not support the growth of other Bacteroides species that can metabolize mannone and ManOs (Cuskin et al., 2015). Single-cell microscopy has corroborated these results for B. thetaiotaomicron growing on both α-mannan and RGII (Hehemann et al., 2019), and similar hoarding of oligosaccharides in the periplasm has been observed among marine Bacteroidetes such as G. forsetii metabolizing phytoplankton-derived polysaccharides (Reintjes et al., 2017). This adaptation in the marine environment would prevent diffusive loss of oligosaccharides to the environment and provide a competitive advantage for well-equipped species, with potential impact on our understanding of carbon flow in the ocean, which so far has not typically accounted for bacterial sequestration of higher molecular weight glycans (Reintjes et al., 2017).

Although the SUS and the BtuManULs are the best-known examples of how PULs can facilitate nutrient hoarding, there are now a number of demonstrations of PUL-mediated cross-feeding among the Bacteroidetes (Rakoff-Nahoum et al., 2014; Porter and Martens, 2016; Grondin et al., 2017). Whether a PUL confers cross-feeding or not might reflect the balance between the catalytic rates of the key extracellular endo-acting enzyme(s) and the rate of sugar transport into the periplasm (Briggs et al., 2020). Extracellular XylOs resulting from xylan degradation by B. ovatus can cross-feed other gut commensals such as Bifidobacterium adolescentis (Rogowski et al., 2015), and this sharing of nutrients may be further mediated through secreted enzyme-packed vesicles produced by certain Bacteroidetes species (Valguarnera et al., 2018). B. thetaiotaomicron is able to metabolize AGP-derived oligosaccharides released by other members of the HGM such as Bacteroides cellulosityticus, which produces AGP-degrading enzymes that complement the activities encoded by BIAGPUL-A and BIAGPUL-B (Cartmell et al., 2018). A follow-up study showed that it is specifically the release of β-1,3-linked di- and tri-saccharides of galactose by B. cellulosityticus that permits cross-feeding of other Bacteroides and even of Bifidobacteria species (Munoz et al., 2020).

This phenomenon of PUL-encoded enzymes creating oligosaccharides that become public goods for the greater microbiome has also been observed in the terrestrial soil environment. In these cases, the release of oligosaccharides is often due to the free secretion of depolymerizing enzymes into the extracellular environment. For example, in contrast to the lipid-anchored endo-acting enzymes used in many PULs, F. johnsoniae secretes ChiA freely into the environment via the T9SS, (Kharade and McBride, 2014). Studies of the related soil species C. pinensis showed secretion of a high level of PUL-derived chitinases and β-glucanases in several growth conditions (Larsbrink et al., 2017), and that a considerable fraction of the generated oligosaccharides is released to the surroundings (McKee et al., 2019). The lower microbial density in soils compared to gut environments may explain the use of freely secreted enzymes in the soil, as opposed to the nutrient-hoarding cell surface-tethered enzymes that are often used in the hyper-competitive HGM ecosystem.

Both selfish and sharing behaviours have been observed in the marine environment. A study of polysaccharide degradation by communities sampled from the Atlantic Ocean found selfish, sharing, and scavenging species (Reintjes et al., 2019). The ‘selfish’ members of the community included many Bacteroidetes, which transported higher molecular weight glycans into the periplasm, likely mediated by PUL systems specific for the substrates tested (laminarin, xylan, chondroitin sulfate, arabinogalactan, pullulan, and fucoidan) (Reintjes et al., 2019). The ‘sharing’ species tended to use cell-surface associated or freely released enzymes for polysaccharide hydrolysis, which released sufficient levels of low-molecular weight oligosaccharides into the environment to support the growth of ‘scavenging’ species that do not secrete hydrolytic enzymes and cannot import high-molecular weight glycans. Highlighting the consistency of the Bacteroidetes approach to polysaccharide digestion, this three-way interplay between selfish,
sharing, and scavenging species has also been proposed to occur within the HGM where *B. ovatus* displays both selfish and sharing approaches to xylan depolymerization, leading to oligosaccharides that are subsequently assimilated by members of the Actinobacteria, Firmicutes, and Proteobacteria phyla, in some cases with additional deconstruction of XylOs (Ndeh and Gilbert, 2018).

Another aspect that challenges the view of PULs as species-specific adaptations for selfish nutrient hoarding is the frequency with which genes encoding CAZymes or entire PULs are swapped between species via horizontal gene transfer. In-depth studies have revealed that syntetic PULs are often found in both closely and more distantly related species (Martens et al., 2011; Coyne et al., 2014; Larsbrink et al., 2014b; Reddy et al., 2016; Ficko-Blean et al., 2017; Déjean et al., 2020), suggesting that sharing of PULs through horizontal gene transfer is common in the phylum as a whole. The exact manner in which intact PULs are shared between species, and the apparent fine-tuning of PULs through deletion or incorporation of genes encoding CAZymes and glycan binding proteins, is still not well understood (Martens et al., 2014), but there are several notable examples of PUL transfers occurring in different environments. The aforementioned acquisition of a porphyran- and agar-degrading PUL from *Z. galactanivorans* by the Japan-specific human symbiont *B. plebeius* is perhaps the best known example (Hehemann et al., 2010). Marine microbes themselves are also able to acquire novel PULs, including from terrestrial species. For example, it was shown that a PUL-like system transferred from terrestrial microbes, comprising a sugar transporter and several glycoside hydrolases, displays pectin-responsive expression and confers pectin degradation abilities to the marine species *Pseudoalteromonas haloplanktis* (Hehemann et al., 2017).

**Bacteroidetes PULs and genomes as tools facilitating scientific inquiry**

The clustering of CAZyme genes into PULs has not only permitted advances in our understanding of carbohydrate metabolism in the Bacteroidetes phylum and its role in complex ecosystems. The proficiency of the Bacteroidetes in polysaccharide degradation, and the view of PULs as synergistic gene clusters whose CAZyme functions essentially describe the structure of the target polysaccharide, has also enabled estimations of the global diversity of glycans in nature in bioinformatic meta-studies (Lapébie et al., 2019). Specifically, the inventory of PULs from marine bacteria is helping to generate an increasingly nuanced view of the structure and abundance of marine polysaccharides (Becker et al., 2017; Becker et al., 2020; Arnosti et al., 2021).

Furthermore, the convenient PUL clustering of synergistic CAZyme genes targeting a particular polysaccharide has facilitated the discovery of new CAZyme functions, which has great implications for both fundamental understanding of microorganisms and enzymes, but also for industry aiming to develop more sustainable methods for conversion of renewable biomass. Luis and Martens recently described a general ‘functional microbiology’ scheme for identifying novel catalytic functions within the HGM that is based on identifying PULs that are upregulated by specific glycans of interest (Luis and Martens, 2018). As an example, the aforementioned PUL mediating hydrolytic deconstruction of xyloglucan (BoXYGUL) was first identified in a global transcriptomic study as being upregulated during growth on galactoxyloglucan and it was found in the genome of the human gut symbiont *B. ovatus*, while being absent in the genome of *B. thetaiotaomicron* (Martens et al., 2011). Pursuant to this observation, a series of knock-out variants of *B. ovatus* were created, to probe the role of the BoXYGUL and some of its components. This work verified that the BoXYGUL was necessary for growth of *B. ovatus* on xyloglucan, strongly suggesting a role in enzymatic digestion of xyloglucan polysaccharides. Through gene cloning, recombinant protein production, and activity determination of all eight enzymes encoded by the XyGUL, a degradative pathway of xyloglucan into monosaccharides could be assembled (Larsbrink et al., 2014b).

Following this general approach to PUL discovery and characterization can often lead to the discovery of novel functions embedded in the PUL itself, including novel specificities for known polysaccharide structures, or entirely new activities targeting previously unknown substrate features. As genes co-expressed within a PUL are expected to have complementary activities on one polysaccharide, the PUL’s predicted activities can give clues to the activities of other PUL proteins of unknown function (Luis and Martens, 2018). The characterization of two *B. ovatus* PULs upregulated during growth on xylan uncovered novel xylanolytic enzymes from existing GH families not previously known to possess such functionality (Martens et al., 2011; Rogowski et al., 2015). In another study, a novel acetyl xylan esterase, FjAcXE, that can deacetylate MeGlcA-substituted xylose residues, was discovered using a PUL-guided search in *F. johnsoniae* (Razeq et al., 2018). The other CE in this xylan-targeting PUL was shown to be a multi-catalytic protein with combined acetyl-feruloyl esterase activity (Kmezik et al., 2020), contributing to a XylUL that provides the full capacity to deconstruct esterified heteroxylans. Building on the frequent discoveries of novel
CAZyme activities, many new CAZyme structures have been identified from HGM-derived PULs, as recently reviewed by Tamura and Brumer (2021).

Several PUL characterization studies have led to the establishment of entirely new CAZy families (Lombard et al., 2014). In the study of pectin-targeting PULs from B. thetaiotaomicron, biochemical characterization of PUL-encoded hypothetical genes led to seven new CAZy families being founded, and the observed (novel) enzymatic activities were further used to improve structural descriptions of the RGII polysaccharide (Ndeh et al., 2017). For instance, the activity of a newly characterized α-L-rhamnosidase was used to revise the previously accepted identification of a β-linked rhamnose sugar in two of the four established and highly complex side chains common to RGII from all land plants (O’Neill et al., 2004). In addition, the characterization of this PUL led to the discovery of a novel side chain of RGII, comprising an arabinosyl moiety appended to a backbone galacturonic acid (Ndeh et al., 2017). As another example, a new polysaccharide lyase family was established following the characterization of a number of PUL-derived hypothetical proteins produced when B. thetaiotaomicron is grown on AGPs (Munoz-Munoz et al., 2017).

Concluding remarks

Investigations into polysaccharide degradation by the Bacteroidetes have so far been dominated by studies of human gut symbiont Bacteroides species, and in this context, there has been a great focus on PULs, both in fundamental bacteriology and in directed enzyme discovery efforts. There are now increasing numbers of projects focussing on species from non-gut environments, and a more nuanced vision of polysaccharide degradation by bacteria from this phylum is emerging. Investigations of soil, ruminant, insect, and marine communities have shown that PUL systems are often complemented by a connection to the T9SS, which permits the secretion of large multi-modular enzymes that are released freely into the environment rather than being tethered to the cell surface as seems common in the human intestine. In such ‘hybrid’ PULs, some, or even all, of the encoded CAZymes may be secreted via the T9SS. Additionally, the genomes of most Bacteroidetes encode several CAZymes that are not part of PULs, and often these represent important activities needed to complement PULs to reach full depolymerization of target glycans. Importantly, while PUL-based mechanisms are common, certain Bacteroidetes appear to rely heavily on a ‘PUL-free’ approach, deploying T9SS-secreted CAZymes with multiple linked catalytic domains to efficiently depolymerize crystalline structures such as cellulose and chitin. In all studied environments, genes encoding individual CAZymes as well as entire PULs have been traded between species within the habitat, but also between different habitats (e.g. aerobic vs anaerobic), showcasing global and dynamic sharing of metabolic capacity.

In all ecosystems, the energy expended in the production and free release of secreted enzymes must be recouped by metabolism of the target polysaccharide, but there will be a loss of released sugars to cross-feeding species if low-molecular weight hydrolysis products are released. The ‘selfish’ strategy of cellular adhesion to a bulk substrate and import of high-molecular weight oligosaccharides is abundantly found in the HGM, where it likely provides a strong advantage in a dense and hyper-competitive environment. Selfish systems are also encountered in the ocean, where they will limit the diffusive loss of substrate to the broader environment. Although there are now a plethora of observations of cross-feeding among HGM Bacteroides, there is still a general agreement in the literature that polysaccharide deconstruction in the highly competitive HGM is mostly performed in a ‘selfish’ manner, achieved via the use of multiple cell-surface carbohydrate binding/sequestering proteins, careful control and balancing of enzyme reaction rates to prevent glycan depletion and PUL de-activation, and the import of large oligosaccharides via importer proteins specific to one PUL. Observations to date indicate that a ‘sharing’ approach, with plentiful release of accessible oligosaccharides to the surrounding environment, may be more common in the terrestrial soil ecosystem, where free release of multi-catalytic CAZymes is common, and may happen in some cases without any obvious PUL-like association that would permit rapid uptake of oligosaccharide products. A ‘sharing’ approach may however reflect a lower microbial density in this environment, and the impact of such leaky systems on community dynamics in the soil is a hot topic for microbial ecologists.

Metagenomic data are also expanding our understanding of polysaccharide degradation by the Bacteroidetes, such as by uncovering secreted multi-modular CAZymes in ruminant ecosystems, suggesting that many fibre-degrading capabilities remain to be discovered in this environment. Further ecological insights regarding community cross-feeding surely also remain. The data accrued thus far show that the Bacteroidetes are fit to dominate diverse glycan-rich environments, thanks to their specialized PULs, the T9SS, gliding motility, and sophisticated enzyme architectures. As the knowledge of HGM, terrestrial as well as marine species increases, more insight into non-Bacteroidete PULs, either seemingly complete systems or smaller cassettes comprising TonB-dependent transporters (analogous to SusC-like proteins) and a few CAZymes, is obtained. Examples of such PUL-like systems include: laminarin-, alginate-, and
pectin-targeting PULs of Alteromonas macleodii (Koch et al., 2019); small loci encoding enzymes and TonB-dependent transporters for xyloglucosidases in Cellvibrio japonicus (Larsbrink et al., 2014a) and human milk oligosaccharide metabolism in Clostridiales (Pichler et al., 2020); and the β-mannan-targeting loci of the Firmicute Roseburia intestinalis (La Rosa et al., 2019). The prevalence of this broadly beneficial adaptation that ties enzyme production to substrate availability shows that it has relevance for a diversity of species and habitats, and that there may be a multitude of PUL-like systems that have not yet been defined.
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