Evolution of IT, management and industrial engineering research: A topic model approach
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Abstract. Information Technology (IT), management and industrial engineering are correlated academic disciplines whose publications have risen significantly over the last decades. The aim of this study is to analyze the research evolution, determine the important topics and areas, and depict the trend of interdisciplinary topics in these domains. To accomplish this, text mining techniques are used and a combination of bibliographic analysis and a topic modeling approach are applied to relevant publications in the Web of Science (WoS) repository over the last 20 years. In the topic extraction process, a heuristic function was suggested for key extraction, and some new applicable criteria were defined to compare the topics. Moreover, a novel approach was proposed to determine the high-level category for each topic. The results determined the hot-important topics, and incremented, decremented and fixed topics are identified. Subsequently, a comparison between high-level research areas confirmed strong scientific relationships between them. This study presents a deep knowledge about the internal research evolution of domains and illustrates the effect of topics on each other over the past 20 years. Furthermore, the methodology of this study could be applied to determine interdisciplinary topics and observe the research evolution of other academic domains.
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1. Introduction

Management, Information Technology (IT) and Industrial Engineering are academic research disciplines with a high amount of correlation. In many universities, Industrial Engineering and Management are blended together and consist of both engineering programs (e.g. mathematics, engineering analysis and design, manufacturing processes, and quality assurance and control) and managerial courses (e.g. cost analysis, Human Resources (HR), and business and marketing).

The close link between Industrial engineering and management has led to the creation of business schools and some new academic disciplines, such as MBA degrees, in top U.S. universities and institutes, to produce managers trained in the new science of business administration [1]. On the other hand, IT and computer science have been regarded as undeniable parts of these disciplines. Porter and Rafols [2] illustrated that science is indeed becoming more interdisciplinary and the connections between distant cognitive areas are modestly increasing.
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The strength of linkage between these domains and the dramatic growth of their publications over the last decades [3–7] has created a diversity of research into several investigative lines and has led to constructing new paradigms in the research areas of these domains [5,8]. Creation of new paradigms creates difficulty for researchers and policymakers in understanding and monitoring the evolution of these related domains.

The aim of this study is the analysis of the evolution of Management, Industrial Engineering, and IT studies and determination of the important topics and trends of each domain over the last 20 years. Moreover, this research tries to illustrate the growth, decline and change in common research areas in these three interrelated domains in a single window. To do this, a hybrid method and some new criteria are introduced to make the inter-related and intra-related of the research domains and topics visible and comparable. The results of the present investigation are applicable for scholars, students, journal editors and scientific policymakers of these three domains, in order to analysis the historical evolution and future development of these disciplines. They could use the results of this study to obtain a deep knowledge about the most important topics and extract the common topics which bind these areas together. The method and process of analyzing the correlated research domain in this study are also applicable to other domains.

The structure of this research is as follows: In the next section, the previous scientometric studies in these domains are reviewed. Then, the methodology of this research is described. After that, a bibliographic analysis of relevant publications is presented. In the “Topic modeling” section, the steps of topic modeling are explained. Then, the results of the previous section are reported and discussed in the “Results and discussion” section. Finally, the main conclusions are summarized and some suggestions for future studies are outlined.

2. Literature review

Wagner et al. [9,10] claim that interdisciplin ary research (IDR) derives from a typology presented at the first international conference on IDR and teaching in 1970. They also believe that IDR could be considered as an evolution, rather than a state. Therefore, many of studies have been undertaken to illustrate the evolution of science in the various domains.

More than half century ago, direct citation linkages were used to show the evolutionary pathways within a research [11]. Later, Small [12] clustered the highly co-cited documents to detect the hot topics. Co-citation is not the only clustering approach that has been used to identify emerging topics. Using bibliographic information is another common method that is applied widely to detect the emerging topics in a domain. Refs. [4,13,14] are just some cases of this method. In recent years, some studies applied text mining or a topic modeling approach to detect important topics in the research studies [15–19].

Furrer et al. [20] used the content analysis method to investigate the strategic management research published in 1980–2005, and illustrated the relationships between the subfields of strategic management. They then depicted the evolution of the literature in five periods of time. The bibliographic analysis is applied to the forty year publication of the Computers & Industrial Engineering (CIE) journal for identification of the leading topics, institutions and countries in the industrial engineering domain [21]. In another research [22], the associations between standardization and evolution of the Information and Communications Technology (ICT) industry is examined. To do this, co-occurrence between ICT research areas and ICT standards are analyzed and the relation of ICT openness or concentration with the number of standards in this domain is investigated.

Studies on the evolution of the intellectual structure of management as an academic discipline are lacking in the literature, and the dynamics of the internal evolution of its research topics is also vague for the researchers [6]. In the same way, this gap is apparent for the IT and industrial engineering studies. In addition, the search to find a comprehensive research that compares the topics and trends of these related domains in a single window has had no results. Table 1 classifies some important previous scientometric studies in these domains.

Regardless of the method or approach for topic detection, which have been mentioned above, previous research analyses in these domains are limited to narrow research areas or are undertaken for a specific location. For example, international business [23], industrial productivity [3], supply chain resilience [24], public private partnership [15], information privacy [16], cloud computing [4], health IT [25], green building based studies [26], and multiple criteria decision making [27] were analyzed. In some cases, analyzing the research status of a domain in a specific location has been considered; environmental science in Iran [28], biomedical engineering in Thailand [18] and management in Latin America and the Caribbean [6] are some samples.

In comparison with previous studies, this research applies a combination of bibliographic analysis and a topic modeling approach to identify hot and important topics and to monitor the evolution of internal research lines in three correlated domains. In addition, because of the close relationship between management, industrial engineering and IT disciplines, the scope of this study is wide and all three domains are considered.
Table 1. Previous scientometric studies in these domains.

| Domain                          | Method                          | Sources                      | Duration     | Ref. |
|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|------------------------------|--------------|------|
| General science                 | Citation Analysis               | SCI-EXPANDED                 | 1970–1974    | [12] |
| Green building based            | Citation & Bibliographic Analysis | Web of Science             | 2002–2018    | [26] |
| Cloud computing                 | Bibliographic Analysis          | Scopus                       | 2008–2013    | [4]  |
| Antarctic                       | Bibliographic Analysis          | SCI-EXPANDED                 | 1900–2012    | [13] |
| Public-private partnership      | Bibliographic Analysis          | Scopus                       | 1990–2013    | [14] |
| Industrial engineering          | Bibliographic Analysis          | Journal of CIE              | 1976–2016    | [21] |
| Medical big data                | Bibliographic Analysis          | Web of Science              | 1991–2017    | [29] |
| MCDM                            | Bibliographic Analysis          | Web of Science              | 1993–2018    | [27] |
| Supply Chain Resilience (SCR)   | Bibliographic & Content Analysis | Google Scholar & Scopus      | 2002–2017    | [24] |
| Strategic management            | Content Analysis                | Four related Journals       | 1980–2005    | [20] |
| ICT                             | Content Analysis                | Korean patents & standards  | 1977–2010    | [22] |
| Management in Latin America     | Content Analysis                | Web of Science              | 1988–2013    | [6]  |
| International Business (IB)     | Content Analysis                | Top eight IB journals       | 1991–2015    | [23] |
| Industrial productivity         | Content Analysis & Interviews   | Scopus                       | 1970–2013    | [5]  |
| Biomedical engineering          | Bibliographic Analysis & Topic Modeling | Scopus                 | 1980–2010    | [18] |
| Information privacy             | Topic Modeling                  | Scopus                       | 1972–2015    | [16] |
| Big data in marketing           | Topic Modeling                  | Science Direct              | 2010–2015    | [15] |
| 7 scientific areas              | Topic Modeling                  | Web of Science              | Not Given     | [19] |
| Statistics                      | Topic Modeling                  | Three related journals      | 2000–2010    | [17] |

This study will try to answer these questions: What are the bibliographic characteristics of research studies in these three domains over the past 20 years? What are the important topics in each domain? What happened to important topics of each domain within 20 years? Which high-level research areas are covered alone by these domains or what do they have in common with them?

3. Methodology

To make the corpus of publication for management, industrial engineering, and IT domains, the Web of Science (WoS) repository was used. The WoS is a quality controlled repository which is used as a data source to make the corpus in a wide range of scientometric studies [6,19,26,27,29,30]. At the first step, in order to choose an appropriate dataset for each domain and after consulting with domain experts, some indicator terms are selected for the Organization and the Sub organization fields of WoS. To do this, “information”, “computer”, “knowledge”, and “software” are used as indicators of organizations’ names that have done research in the IT domain. In the same way, “industry” and “management” are searched, respectively, as indicators of the industrial engineering and the management domains. All queries are restricted to the English language and Articles published in the last 20 years (from 1998 to 2017). Moreover, documents that are indexed in the Science Citation Index Expanded (SCI-EXPANDED) or the Social Science Citation Index (SSCI) are considered. The result of this query contains a large number of articles from various subject categories. To make the results more homogenous and avoid the effects of outlier data, the top 20 WoS subject categories of each domain are identified. Every journal and book covered by WoS is assigned to at least one of the subjects listed in this category [31]. Using WoS subject categories in the process of dataset selection is widely used in scientometric analysis studies [14,32–35]. Table 2 reports these subject categories for each domain. In this table, “#” and “%” indicate, respectively, the number and percentage of articles covered by each category. Obviously, some articles are assigned to more than one subject and some subjects are common for both or all three of the domains. Therefore, the sum of the “%” column is more than 100. Consequently, 43
| WoS categories                                      | Mng    |     | Ind    |     | IT    |     |
|----------------------------------------------------|--------|-----|--------|-----|-------|-----|
| 1 Engineering, Industrial                          | 13999  | 4.0 | 72165  | 83.7| -     | -   |
| 2 Operations research management science           | 26533  | 7.6 | 30046  | 34.8| 1063  | 2.4 |
| 3 Management                                       | 3347   | 12.4| 7054   | 9.2 | -     | -   |
| 4 Engineering, Manufacturing                       | 9016   | 2.6 | 27961  | 32.4| -     | -   |
| 5 Environmental sciences                           | 27434  | 7.8 | -      | -   | -     | -   |
| 6 Economics                                        | 23075  | 6.6 | 3573   | 3.1 | -     | -   |
| 7 Business                                         | 21017  | 6.9 | -      | -   | -     | -   |
| 8 Computer Science, Interdisciplinary Applications | 9111   | 2.6 | 9895   | 11.5| 2441  | 5.5 |
| 9 Computer Science, Information Systems            | 10001  | 3.0 | -      | -   | 6919  | 15.5|
| 10 Computer Science, Artificial Intelligence       | 10432  | 3.0 | -      | -   | 5767  | 13.0|
| 11 Engineering, Electrical & Electronic            | 9088   | 2.6 | -      | -   | 6159  | 13.8|
| 12 Psychology, Applied                             | 7919   | 2.3 | 6744   | 7.8 | -     | -   |
| 13 Industrial Relations & Labor                    | -      | -   | 13068  | 15.2| -     | -   |
| 14 Public, Environmental & Occupational Health     | 12008  | 3.4 | -      | -   | 923   | 2.1 |
| 15 Ecology                                         | 11918  | 3.4 | -      | -   | -     | -   |
| 16 Materials Science, Multidisciplinary            | -      | -   | 11236  | 13.0| -     | -   |
| 17 Water resources                                 | 10302  | 2.9 | -      | -   | -     | -   |
| 18 Health Care sciences & Services                 | 9119   | 2.6 | -      | -   | 893   | 2.0 |
| 19 Environmental studies                           | 8602   | 2.5 | -      | -   | -     | -   |
| 20 Engineering, Environmental                      | 8272   | 2.4 | -      | -   | -     | -   |
| 21 Business, Finance                               | 8068   | 2.3 | -      | -   | -     | -   |
| 22 Ergonomics                                      | -      | -   | 7729   | 9.0 | -     | -   |
| 23 Health Policy & Services                        | 7264   | 2.1 | -      | -   | -     | -   |
| 24 Computer Science, Software Engineering          | -      | -   | -      | -   | 7019  | 15.8|
| 25 Computer Science, Theory & Methods              | -      | -   | -      | -   | 6715  | 15.1|
| 26 Engineering, Multidisciplinary                  | -      | -   | 3604   | 4.2 | 987   | 2.2 |
| 27 Psychology                                      | -      | -   | 3956   | 4.3 | -     | -   |
| 28 Multidisciplinary sciences                      | -      | -   | 2199   | 2.6 | 1061  | 2.4 |
| 29 Engineering, Civil                              | -      | -   | 3098   | 3.6 | -     | -   |
| 30 Telecommunications                              | -      | -   | -      | -   | 3075  | 6.9 |
| 31 Business                                        | -      | -   | 2828   | 3.3 | -     | -   |
| 32 Computer Science, Hardware & Architecture       | -      | -   | -      | -   | 2255  | 5.1 |
| 33 Construction & Building Technology              | -      | -   | 2249   | 2.6 | -     | -   |
| 34 Automation & Control Systems                    | -      | -   | 1354   | 1.6 | 806   | 1.8 |
| 35 Mathematics, Applied                            | -      | -   | -      | -   | 2033  | 4.6 |
| 36 Statistics & Probability                        | -      | -   | 1811   | 2.1 | -     | -   |
| 37 History                                         | -      | -   | 1348   | 1.6 | -     | -   |
| 38 Robotics                                        | -      | -   | 1291   | 1.5 | -     | -   |
| 39 Mathematics                                     | -      | -   | -      | -   | 846   | 1.9 |
| 40 Mathematics, Interdisciplinary Applications      | -      | -   | -      | -   | 807   | 1.8 |
| 41 Mathematical & Computational Biology            | -      | -   | -      | -   | 697   | 1.6 |
| 42 Medicine, General & Internal                    | -      | -   | -      | -   | 690   | 1.6 |
| 43 Information Science & Library Science           | -      | -   | -      | -   | 672   | 1.5 |
| 44 Other categories                                | 142636 | 40.7| 12217  | 14.1| 13868 | 31.0|

unique subject categories are identified and the results of previous queries are restricted to these categories.

The results of the above queries contain articles with a variety of citations. Some have been cited more than 1000 times. In contrast, many articles have not yet received any citation. To balance this heterogeneity and to ensure repeatability of the paper, which is an indicator of the accuracy of its information [36]. Total Citation (TC) is applied. The TC is one of the most corresponding indicators in bibliographic analyses [5,12,13,32]. Therefore, this paper has concentrated on the first quarter of the most cited articles. Because of the accumulative feature of TC, the papers which were published in recent years will have a lower portion of the results. To solve this problem, a quarter of the most cited articles published in the last 4 years are extracted separately for each year. For the last year, if the number of papers which have been cited is less than 25% of all papers, only the cited articles are considered. Finally, duplicated records in each domain are removed and the “Mng” dataset (73,840 documents) for the management domain, the “Ind” dataset (25,687 documents) for the industrial engineering domain, and the “IT” dataset (10,246 documents) for the IT domain are constructed. The schematic for data selection is shown in Figure 1.

The combination of bibliographic analysis and topic modeling is applied in this study to extract the topics and monitor the research evolution in these three domains. Topic models are probabilistic models that could observe the terms from a generative probabilistic process and the hidden topics are identified using posterior inference from a textual corpus [37]. Topic discovery, document clustering, information retrieval, and predicting influential research studies are just some examples of topic modeling applications [38]. In this respect, there are various algorithms for topic modeling. The first one was presented as Latent Semantic Analysis (LSA) [39] and, after one year, the Probabilistic Latent Semantic Analysis (PLSA) [40] was proposed. Latent Dirichlet Allocation (LDA) [41] was presented to solve the over fitting problem of PLSA and some derivatives of LDA, such as Hierarchical Latent Dirichlet Allocation (HDLA) [42]. Correlated Topic Models (CTM) [43], and Relational Topic Modeling (RTM) [44], have been proposed in recent years to address some constraints in the LDA. In this paper, the LDA algorithm is relied upon because it provides more than a topic explanation for each paper. Additionally, the overlapping between topics that are extracted by LDA helps one to find the topic correlations, which is one of the main goals of this study. All analyses are undertaken using R software, which is one of the most powerful tools for data and text mining, statistical analysis, and Natural Language Processing (NLP). Figure 2 shows the steps of the methodology of this research.

4. Bibliographic analysis

Table 3 shows the top 10 countries based on the affiliation of publications on the selected datasets. In this case, if the authors of the same paper come from different countries, that paper is counted separately for each country. Therefore, the sum of the number of all countries is more than the total number of papers in the corpora.

As is shown in Table 3, USA, China, England, Canada, and Taiwan are the 5 top countries in the research of both management and industrial engineering domains. In the IT domain, China has taken first position, with the USA, Canada, Germany, and France following. An important note is that either America or China is present in about 50% of the highly cited papers and American researchers participate in 45% of the highly cited management papers.

Figure 1. Schematic of dataset selection for each domain.
Figure 2. The steps of the research method.

Table 3. Top 10 countries based on the affiliation of publications in each domain.

| Country     | Mng # | %  | Country     | Ind # | %  | Country     | IT #  | %  |
|-------------|-------|----|-------------|-------|----|-------------|-------|----|
| USA         | 33390 | 45 | USA         | 7600  | 30 | China       | 3100  | 30 |
| China       | 10167 | 14 | China       | 3173  | 12 | USA         | 2864  | 28 |
| England     | 8300  | 11 | England     | 2524  | 10 | Canada      | 1428  | 14 |
| Canada      | 5654  | 8  | Canada      | 1560  | 6  | Germany     | 1068  | 10 |
| Taiwan      | 5449  | 7  | Taiwan      | 1354  | 5  | France      | 1034  | 10 |
| Germany     | 5109  | 7  | France      | 1259  | 5  | England     | 911   | 9  |
| Italy       | 4838  | 7  | South Korea | 1090  | 4  | Spain       | 543   | 5  |
| France      | 4471  | 6  | Australia   | 1064  | 4  | Italy       | 484   | 5  |
| South Korea | 2637  | 4  | Denmark     | 1014  | 4  | Norway      | 442   | 4  |
| Australia   | 2514  | 3  | Germany     | 1012  | 4  | Denmark     | 344   | 3  |
| Others      | 42858 | 58.0 | Others     | 13254 | 51.5 | Others     | 7001  | 68.3 |

Table 4 lists the top 5 countries with the highest growth rate in the number of publications in each domain. This table reports the number of publications in four periods of time. The top countries are identified among those that have at least 1% of publications of a domain and their Growth Rate is maximized. The Growth Rate is defined as the amount of publication in the last period divided by the first periods’ publications.

As seen in Table 4, China and France are identified as countries with the highest growth in all three domains. Spain, Italy, and Iran are also marked as high growth countries in management and industrial engineering science. A fact to consider is that Iran, as a developing country, is identified as having more than 54% and 13% growth in management and industrial engineering domains, respectively.

Analyzing the journal names of the datasets indicates that 3572 journals are present in the selected datasets. In this list, some journals such as JAMA, Science, Nature, Strategic Management Journal, and New England Journal of Medicine have the highest number of articles with more than 500 citations. Table 5 shows the top 10 journals based on the number of articles in each domain.

Moreover, there are 82 journals that contain articles in all three domains. Table 6 presents the top 5 journals with the most articles in all three domains.

WoS uses two fields to cover the document keywords. The first one is DE (Descriptor) that contains...
Table 4. Top 5 countries with the highest growth rate.

| Domain | Country | 1998–2002 | 2003–2007 | 2008–2012 | 2013–2017 | Growth rate | Percent of total publication |
|--------|---------|------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-------------|----------------------------|
| Mng    | Iran    | 10         | 29        | 334       | 548       | 54.8        | 1.2                        |
|        | Italy   | 115        | 259       | 854       | 1409      | 12.2        | 3.5                        |
|        | Spain   | 81         | 151       | 612       | 949       | 11.7        | 2.4                        |
|        | China   | 636        | 1158      | 3365      | 5008      | 7.8         | 13.7                       |
|        | France  | 164        | 271       | 890       | 1219      | 7.4         | 3.4                        |
|        | Iran    | 18         | 69        | 260       | 241       | 13.3        | 2.2                        |
|        | China   | 321        | 443       | 1091      | 1318      | 4.1         | 12.3                       |
| Ind    | Spain   | 65         | 166       | 307       | 204       | 3.1         | 2.8                        |
|        | Italy   | 121        | 200       | 407       | 359       | 2.8         | 4.2                        |
|        | France  | 154        | 197       | 375       | 338       | 2.1         | 4.1                        |
|        | China   | 64         | 248       | 1037      | 1751      | 27.4        | 30.2                       |
|        | Norway  | 9          | 28        | 124       | 100       | 11.1        | 2.5                        |
|        | Hong Kong | 23     | 37        | 125       | 239       | 10.4        | 4.1                        |
|        | France  | 16         | 26        | 117       | 139       | 8.7         | 2.9                        |
|        | India   | 16         | 22        | 74        | 135       | 8.4         | 2.4                        |

Table 5. Top 10 journals based on the number of articles in each domain.

| Mng | Journal | # | Ind | Journal | # | IT | Journal | # |
|-----|---------|---|-----|---------|---|----|---------|---|
|     | European journal of operational research | 1434 |     | Journal of materials processing technology | 4492 |     | PLOS one | 215 |
|     | Expert systems with applications | 972 |     | International journal of production economics | 2174 |     | Information Sciences | 179 |
|     | PLOS one | 936 |     | International journal of production research | 1797 |     | Journal of systems and software | 173 |
|     | International journal of production economics | 887 |     | Computers & operations research | 1555 |     | IEEE transactions on software engineering | 144 |
|     | Journal of applied psychology | 763 |     | Reliability engineering & system safety | 1338 |     | Expert systems with applications | 141 |
|     | Journal of cleaner production | 735 |     | Computers & industrial engineering | 1210 |     | Neurocomputing | 141 |
|     | Environmental science & technology | 684 |     | IEEE transactions on industrial informatics | 778 |     | Information and software technology | 121 |
|     | Management Science | 661 |     | Ergonomics | 778 |     | IEEE software | 118 |
|     | Journal of business ethics | 624 |     | CIRP annals-manufacturing technology | 778 |     | Pattern recognition | 112 |
|     | Tourism management | 614 |     | Safety Science | 755 |     | Knowledge-based systems | 103 |
Table 6. Top 5 journals based on the most articles in all three domains.

| No. | Journal                                           | Mng | Ind | IT  | Total |
|-----|--------------------------------------------------|-----|-----|-----|-------|
| 1   | Journal of materials processing technology       | 83  | 4492| 9   | 4584  |
| 2   | International journal of production economics    | 887 | 2174| 8   | 3069  |
| 3   | International journal of production research     | 578 | 1797| 11  | 2386  |
| 4   | Computers & operations research                  | 417 | 1555| 18  | 1972  |
| 5   | Computers & industrial engineering               | 385 | 1210| 11  | 1606  |

5. Topic modeling

5.1. Preprocessing

In order to cluster the documents into appropriate groups (topics), each document is represented by a list of conjunct terms (bag-of-words). The quality of this Bag-of-Words (BoWs) and the process of selecting the representative terms are very important to the result of clustering [38]. To generate a high quality BoWs, a method called term clumping is used. This method includes three steps: a) fields selection, b) appropriate term extraction, and c) terms cleaning [50].

In the first step of term clumping, the appropriate fields are selected as a source of term extraction. In the scientometric studies, based on the research aims and scopes, sometimes the descriptive fields (Authors’ Organization, Department, Journal name, Conference name, ...) or content fields (Title, Abstract, Keywords, Results, ...) or a combination of them are chosen as the source fields. The second step is the term extraction process. In this phase, the terms or phrases are extracted from the texts. After the first and second steps of term clumping, a large number of terms are extracted. Therefore, in the third step, many of stepwise methods are prescribed for terms cleaning and
Table 7. Top 10 keywords based on the DE and ID in each domain.

| Domain | Based on DE frequencies | Based on ID frequencies |
|--------|-------------------------|------------------------|
|        | Keyword | DE | ID | Total | Keyword | DE | ID | Total |
| **Mng** | China | 860 | 649 | 1509 | Model | 128 | 7936 | 8064 |
| | Supply chain management | 798 | 195 | 993 | Performance | 468 | 6200 | 6668 |
| | Innovation | 651 | 1866 | 2517 | Management | 192 | 5138 | 5330 |
| | Climate change | 589 | 1 | 590 | System | 30 | 4052 | 4082 |
| | Genetic algorithm | 518 | 336 | 1054 | Impact | 22 | 3266 | 3288 |
| | Performance | 468 | 6200 | 6668 | Behavior | 44 | 2961 | 3005 |
| | Trust | 432 | 728 | 1160 | Perspective | 7 | 2774 | 2781 |
| | Sustainability | 428 | 309 | 737 | Firm | 12 | 2334 | 2346 |
| | Scheduling | 416 | 0 | 416 | Information | 51 | 2264 | 2315 |
| | Uncertainty | 368 | 1012 | 1380 | Organization | 78 | 2170 | 2248 |
| | Total | 5528 | 11496 | 17024 | Total | 1032 | 30095 | 40127 |
| **Ind** | Supply chain management | 678 | 372 | 1050 | Model | 43 | 2735 | 2778 |
| | Genetic algorithm | 509 | 509 | 1018 | System | 14 | 2216 | 2230 |
| | Scheduling | 494 | 0 | 494 | Performance | 142 | 1911 | 2053 |
| | Simulation | 377 | 487 | 864 | Design | 90 | 1478 | 1568 |
| | Optimization | 314 | 845 | 1159 | Management | 39 | 1390 | 1429 |
| | Heuristic | 311 | 0 | 311 | Algorithm | 36 | 979 | 1015 |
| | Microstructure | 240 | 225 | 465 | Optimization | 314 | 845 | 1159 |
| | Reliability | 233 | 255 | 488 | Impact | 12 | 730 | 742 |
| | Innovation | 231 | 333 | 764 | Industry | 7 | 367 | 604 |
| | Inventory | 223 | 153 | 376 | Behavior | 6 | 500 | 506 |
| | Total | 3610 | 3379 | 6989 | Total | 703 | 13471 | 14174 |
| **IT** | Machine learning | 126 | 0 | 126 | System | 0 | 771 | 771 |
| | Cloud computing | 117 | 0 | 117 | Model | 18 | 663 | 681 |
| | Wireless sensor net | 110 | 59 | 169 | Algorithm | 62 | 577 | 639 |
| | Data mining | 106 | 0 | 106 | Design | 50 | 363 | 413 |
| | Classification | 81 | 299 | 380 | Classification | 81 | 299 | 380 |
| | Genetic algorithm | 81 | 110 | 191 | Network | 15 | 282 | 297 |
| | Security | 76 | 74 | 150 | Information | 2 | 263 | 265 |
| | Scheduling | 70 | 0 | 70 | Management | 23 | 258 | 281 |
| | Support vector machine | 68 | 70 | 138 | Performance | 50 | 226 | 276 |
| | Feature selection | 65 | 0 | 65 | Framework | 6 | 214 | 220 |
| | Total | 900 | 612 | 1512 | Total | 307 | 3916 | 4223 |

Reducing the huge dataset to a more meaningful and user-friendly dataset. These methods are: applying a thesaurus for removing common terms (stop words, non-alphabetic characters, and meaningless terms), using NLP techniques to combine terms with a similar structure (e.g. stemming terms, eliminating plural forms of the words, and coordinating different spelling), combining (removing the top general terms, replacing similar terms with shorter ones, and term clustering), pruning (eliminating the terms which appear in a single record), screening (e.g. using Term Frequency (TF) as a weighting method for screening the common and unimportant terms), and finally, the clustering step (using dimension-reduction tools such as PCA (Principal Component Analysis) or term relevancy detection models such as Topic Modeling to dramatically reduce the number of terms) [50].

In this study, in the term clumping phase, to
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construct an appropriate BoW for each document, the focus was on keywords (DE and ID). The challenge is the managing of missing values. In about 20% of records (24% of Mng, 19% of Ind and 25% of IT) the DE field is null, and in about 15% of records (7% of Mng, 17% of Ind and 20% of IT) the ID has no value. Choosing a combination of ID and DE creates the better condition, but the result is not satisfactory (2% of Mng, 3% of Ind and 7% of IT still are missing). To resolve this problem, a keyword extraction method is required to extract BoW from the title of articles. There are several automated or semi-automated ways proposed for keyword extraction. These ways are complicated and some are based on expert knowledge or require domain specific thesauri or ontologies [51,52], and some need a large corpus of the related text to learn the semantic of terms [53,54]. To avoid these complexities, a simple heuristic key extraction function is developed in this study. Because of the length and nature of the title and keywords, the terms that occur in these fields are more valuable than those seen in the abstract. Therefore, this function constructs a BoW set for each document from its keywords or title. The extracted terms should exist in a predefined dictionary (Dic). This dictionary consists of all the DE and ID which are edited (e.g. eliminating punctuation, converting plural terms to singular and so on) to become more valuable. To extract the keywords from the title, the biggest adjacent sequence of words (n-gram) that existed in the Dic is considered. An n-gram is a contiguous sequence of n items from a text or speech. The idea comes from the fact that the greater the number of components of a phrase (n), the more important it is [55]. In this approach, a term is located in the BoWs of a record if it exists in the ID or the DE keywords. In cases where both ID and DE are empty, the Term is selected as BoW if it is a part of the document’s title and exists in the Dic and there is not another term (Term') with the above conditions and the biggest n in its n-gram. The BoWs for record i is defined formally by Eq. (1) as shown in Box 1. After using the above key extraction function and making a BoWs for each record, almost every record of all domains are covered by at least one keyword (99.99% of Mng, 99.99% of Ind and 99.94% of IT).

5.2. The input parameters for LDA

The first input object of LDA is a document-term-

matrix that contains the TF value of each term for each document that describes the frequency of terms that occur for a document in the corpus. In this matrix, rows correspond to documents (papers) and columns denote terms. To avoid using scarce terms, the terms which have been seen more than 5 times in the BoWs set are selected.

The second variable has to be set in an LDA algorithm, which is the number of topics. There are different solutions to address this problem [37,56]. Choosing a high number of topics leads to covering all the themes and research areas of a domain. On the other hand, selecting a limited number of topics can be more easily understood and interpreted by domain experts [17]. In order to choose this parameter, the perplexity measure has been introduced [57]. The perplexity is a measurement of how well a probability model predicts a sample set. It can be applied to compare probability models and a lower perplexity indicates that the distribution is better at predicting the sample. Perplexity is a measure for the quality evaluation of the model. A lower perplexity score indicates better generalization performance [41] and higher values of perplexity in LDA indicate a higher misrepresentation of the terms of test documents by the trained topics [17]. In this paper, the LDA algorithm is run on the whole collection of papers in all three domains with a variable number of topics, ranging from 2 to 200. This process is highly CPU bounded. Therefore, the parallel solution [58] is applied in R software to use multicore functionality. Subsequently, the perplexity values of each execution are collected. The perplexity plot is presented in Figure 3 for each domain.

Figure 3 shows that the perplexity dramatically

![Figure 3. Perplexity plot for various numbers of topics in each domain.](image-url)

\[
\text{Box I}
\]

\[
\text{Box} = \left\{ \begin{array}{l}
\text{DE}_i \cup \text{ID}_i \\
\hspace{1cm} \text{Term}_i | \text{Term}_i \in n\text{-gram}_i \land \text{Term}_i \in \text{Dic} \land \\
\hspace{2cm} (\#\text{Term}_i | \text{Term}_i \in n\text{-gram}_i \land \text{Term}_i \in \text{Dic} \land N > n) \\
\end{array} \right. \\
i \text{if } \text{DE}_i \cup \text{ID}_i \neq \emptyset \\
\text{O.W}
\]
decreases from 5 topics to 20 topics. Then, the decrement continues slowly from 20 to 100 topics and becomes more or less stable for values higher than 100. Since it is preferred to have a low level of perplexity; on the other hand, keeping the number of topics as low as possible, 100 was chosen for the number of topics in all three domains.

5.3. Explanation threshold
Each topic returned by the LDA is associated with each paper with a specific amount of relevancy. In other words, paper \( p \) is explained by topic \( t \) with the explanation level of \( \rho(p, t) \). In this approach, a threshold needs to be set for the explanation level to determine which papers are explained by a specific topic. If this threshold is set at a low amount level, the topics can explain more papers and the coverage of the corpus become acceptable; on the other hand, many papers are explained by a topic with a low level of explanation relevancies. Therefore, the topics and papers will be connected loosely to each other. Applying the explanation threshold, it can be said that \( p \) is explained by topic \( t \) if \( \rho(p, t) \geq th_e \). To determine the appropriate \( th_e \), two parameters are addressed. These two parameters are corpus coverage and explanation quality [17]. The corpus coverage is the fraction of papers in the corpus that is explained by at least one extracted topic. Therefore, for the corpus \( P \), extracted topics set \( T \) and explanation threshold \( th_e \), the explained set \( E \) contains all explained papers and the relevance set \( R \) is defined as all couples of \((p_i, t_j)\) that \( p_i \) is explained by \( t_j \). More formally:

\[
E = \left\{ p_i | i \in \{1, 2, 3, \ldots, |P|\} \right\}
\]

\[
\rho(p_i, t_j) \geq th_e
\]

\[
R = \left\{ (i, j) | i \in \{1, 2, 3, \ldots, |P|\} \right\}
\]

\[
\rho(p_{i}, t_{j}) \geq th_e
\]

And \( C_{p_{i}, t_{j}}^{th_e} \), which is the coverage of corpus \( P \), extracted topics set \( T \) and explanation threshold \( th_e \), is defined as:

\[
C_{p_{i}, t_{j}}^{th_e} = \frac{|E|}{|P|}
\]

Consequently, explanation quality \( EQ_{p_{i}, t_{j}}^{th_e} \), which is the average relevancy level of papers that are explained by at least one topic, is defined as:

\[
EQ_{p_{i}, t_{j}}^{th_e} = \frac{\sum_{(i, j) \in R} \rho(p_i, t_j)}{|R|}
\]

Figure 4. Corpus coverage (C) and Explanation Quality (EQ) of domains for different explanation threshold.

In the above equation, the numerator is the sum of all relevancies between all topics and papers that are higher than or equal to the explanation threshold. And the denominator is the count of those relevancies between papers and topics that pass the explanation threshold. The aim is to find an appropriate explanation threshold that can maximize both the corpus coverage and the explanation quality. To do this, the LDA algorithm was applied with \( k = 100 \) topics on the document-term-matrix of each domain. To apply LDA to the term-document-matrix, some papers which have no terms in their BoWs that appear more than 5 times in the term dictionary are ignored. Therefore, the total numbers of papers in these matrices are lower than the total number of papers in the corpora. Figure 4 presents the corpus coverage (C) and the Explanation Quality (EQ) for each domain. As illustrated in Figure 4, to determine the appropriate \( th_e \), the points that maximize both the corpus coverage and the explanation quality are selected for each domain. In this way, \( th_e = 0.22 \), which covers 57% of the Mng corpus (41,520 papers), is selected for the management domain. For the IT domain, \( th_e = 0.14 \) covers 49% of its corpus (4,576 papers).

6. Results and discussion

6.1. Hot-important topics
As reported in the previous section, the LDA algorithm is applied to each domain to extract 100 topics. To distinguish some topics and to explain in more detail, ten hot-important topics were chosen in each domain. Because all corpora in this research contain 100 topics, an important topic is defined as a topic which covers more than 1% of its corpus. It can be assumed that studies receiving more than an average number of citations have high importance and representativeness [5,13]. Klavans and Boyack [59] reported that [12] identified the hot fields based on the number of citations and from 1997–2007, new thresholds were determined to identify the hot, warm and cold topics based on
the number of citations. Therefore, in this study, the hot-important topics are assumed as important topics whose average amount of citations is maximized. Hence, topics will be analyzed at separate periods of time; this assumption also being applicable for new phenomena in these domains. In the other words, $E_{P,t}$ is defined as the set of explained papers of corpus $P$ by topic $t$. $I_{P_T}$ is the important topics of corpus $P$. $\text{AvgCite}_{P,t}$ is the average citation of papers in topic. Finally, $HI_{P,k}$ contains $k$ number of important topics with the maximum amount of average citation. More formally:

$E_{P,t} = \{ p_i | i \in \{1, 2, 3, \ldots, |P| \}, \rho(p_i, t) \geq \theta_c \}$,

$I_{P_T} = \{ t_i | i \in \{1, 2, 3, \ldots, |T| \}, |E_{P,t_i}| \geq (|P|/|T|) \}$,

$\text{AvgCite}_{P,t} = \frac{\sum_{p_i \in E_{P,t}} \text{Citation}(p_i)}{|E_{P,t}|}$.

(5)

Now, suppose that $f : I \rightarrow I$ is a set function as follows:

$f(I) = I \setminus \{\arg\max_{x \in T} \text{AvgCite}_{P,t} \}$,

$f^k(I) = \underbrace{f \circ f \cdots f}_{k}(I)$.

Therefore:

$HI_{P,k} = I \setminus f^k(I)$.

Based on the above measurement, ten hot-important topics ($HI_{P,10}$) are reported in Table 8.

In Table 8, topics are labeled by domain experts to make them meaningful and understandable for more analysis. The experts choose the labels by focusing on the related terms of each topic. The most relevant terms of a topic enable the researcher to identify the content of that and manually assign it a label [19]. Nine experts (three in each domain) were chosen from the

| Topics | Most relevant terms |
|--------|---------------------|
| T38: Cooperation & partnership | 1058 Trust, cooperation, strategic alliance, outsourcing, joint venture |
| T26: Technology management | 929 Adoption, user acceptance, planned behavior, acceptance |
| T11: HR | 875 Personality, job performance, individual differences, 5-factor model |
| T6: Health management | 1151 Intervention, cancer, quality of care, blood pressure, self-management |
| T43: Environmental health | 1209 Mortality, risk factor, smoking, environmental factor |
| T20: Financial management | 794 Investment, acquisition, profitability, finance, market value |
| T97: Stock market | 1300 Stock returns, volatility, liquidity, stock price, fluctuations |
| T10: Resource & capacity | 1126 Competitive advantage, resource-based view, absorptive capacity |
| T99: Occupational health | 846 Prevalence, prevention, depression, mental health, telemedicine |
| T94: Job performance | 1441 Job satisfaction, social support, procedural justice, employee turnover |
| T25: R&D | 204 R&D, Development projects, development cycle time, Japanese |
| T9: Manufacturing | 373 Machining, surface integrity, tool life, chip formation, hard turning |
| T94: MCDM | 402 Analytic hierarchy process, supplier selection, criteria, TOPSIS |
| T17: Information systems | 191 Information system, enterprise system, Internet of Things (IOT), Web |
| Ind T54: HR (sociology) | 290 Gender, earnings, inequality, sex differences, discrimination |
| T59: HR (psychology) | 211 Job satisfaction, ownership, Korea, performance appraisal |
| T83: Safety management | 196 Safety climate, safety culture, safety management, accident prevention |
| T5: Innovation | 210 Product development, creativity, radical innovation, innovation |
| T97: Environmental Mng | 241 Green, environmental management, environmental performance |
| T18: CRM | 189 Behavior, perception, personality, loyalty, planned behavior |
| T18: Health technology | 65 Clinical practice guideline, systematic reviews, health services research |
| T31: Machine learning | 73 Machine learning, neural network, ensemble learning |
| T72: Health care | 61 Quality of life, care, public health, evidence-based medicine, doctors |
| T26: Data mining | 40 Data mining, classification, clustering, boosting, pattern discovery |
| IT T30: Computational biology | 47 Discovery, microarray data, human genome, RNA, ant colony |
| T8: General medicine | 103 Therapy, prevention, glycemic control, diabetes, insulin |
| T3: Cloud computing | 58 Cloud computing, Web service, Service composition, Virtualization |
| T29: Occupational health | 113 Risk factors, coronary heart disease, blood pressure, mental health |
| T100: Internal medicine | 49 Death, Breast cancer, Surgery, tumor, pregnancy |
| T61: Health services | 52 Care, intervention, clinical trial, engagement, empirical evidence |
faculty members of management, industrial engineering and IT departments. The topics of each domain were assigned independently to two related experts. For each topic, a list of the first 20 more related terms was presented to the domain experts. The rank of the terms is important for experts to choose the appropriate label. Therefore, sometimes two topics with similar related terms get different labels. Moreover, the similarity between topics, which is calculated based on the Cosine measure [60], informed experts about the relation between the topics and helped them to determine those labels that could explain the topics in the best way. In this process, if both domain experts suggest a similar label for a topic (42 topics for Mng, 38 topics for Ind and 47 topics for IT), that label is chosen for that topic. But in cases where labels are different, the third expert chooses one of the suggested labels. For two topics of Mng, three topics of Ind and five topics of IT, the third expert could not make a certain decision. For these topics, the appropriate label is assigned after the aggregation session that is held by all three domain experts.

An important point in Table 8 is the role of “Health Science” in the IT and management domains. There are two important reasons for this strong participation. The first reason is that many studies [61,62] show that the use of Electronic Medical Records (EMRs) by physicians has increased over the past decade and Health Information Technology (HIT) has been widely used in medical centers since 2000. Most published HIT implementation studies report positive effects on the quality, safety, and efficiency of healthcare [25]. For example in the IT domain, keywords such as “Classification”, “Feature Selection”, “Genetic Algorithm”, “Support Vector Machine”, etc., which could extract pure machine learning or data mining publications in the older publications of the used dataset, led to the extraction of health related topics after 2008. It means that machine learning or data mining have shifted from a technical concept in computer science to applicable tools and approaches in other domains (especially in the health domain). A noted fact is that health related topics in the IT domain are extracted via computer science based keywords (such as Support Vector Machine (SVM), Clustering, Sensor, Information System ...), but the most relevant terms of these topics in the above table are obtained after the process of topic extraction.

The second reason is that based on Eq. (5), citation is a critical factor to choose the hot important topics of each domain. As known, publications of the health domain often have high citations in comparison to the computer science domain. Therefore, 6 hot important topics of the IT domain in the above table are associated with the health domain.

Table 8 indicates that these three domains have some similar or common topics. For example, human resources (HR) is common between industrial engineering and management. Some concepts such as work improvement, occupational safety, and health management are mixed together, and are mentioned in both domains. Some studies illustrated that there has been a growing trend in occupational health research, risk management and occupational safety over the last 20 years [63,64].

6.2. Comparing topics trend

To compare topic trends, the corpus of each domain is divided into four periods of time. The total corpus contains the papers of the last 20 years (from 1998 to 2017). Therefore, each divided corpus contains five years of publications. Analyzing the research trends based on five year periods is prevalent [65]. After creating the time-based corpora, a document-term-matrix is constructed for each corpus and the topic distributions for papers and the term distributions for topics are obtained for all corpora based on the previous LDA results of total papers in each domain. Then, \( \text{Portion}_{P,t} \) is defined for each topic in a corpus \( P \) as the number of papers explained by \( t \) divided by the size of \( P \) as follows:

\[
\text{Portion}_{P,t} = \frac{|E_{P,t}|}{|P|}. \tag{6}
\]

The properties of each divided corpus are reported in Table 9. It is notable that the Average citation of a divided corpus in Table 9 refers to the average amount of all citations of that corpus and does not refer to that part of citations of papers cited in that Time period. Because of the increment feature of citation, Table 9 indicates that the average citation for older corpus is higher than the average citations of recent publications. This limitation of citation analysis motivates researchers to work on the concept called the “Time window” [66,67] for research evaluation based on citation criteria.

Figures 5–7 show the trends of hot-important topics mentioned in Table 8 separately. In these figures, each circle represents a topic in a certain time period. The size of the circles indicates the portion of the topic (number of papers explained by topics in that period of time divided by all papers of the corpus in that period) that shows the share of a topic from the whole corpus in a specific period of time. The number in each circle is the average citation of papers in the related topic. Based on changes in the size of the circles, each topic could be marked as an increment, fixed or decrement topic. An important note is that the size of each circle only, which shows the portion of papers on that topic in the corpus, could not determine the trend of a topic, and the changes in its average citation is also important.
Table 9. Properties of divided corpus for each domain.

| Divided corpus | Time period | # of papers | Portion | AvgCite |
|----------------|-------------|-------------|---------|---------|
| **Mng**        |             |             |         |         |
| Mng-Q1         | 1998 - 2002 | 10,924      | 15%     | 76.5    |
| Mng-Q2         | 2003 - 2007 | 17,855      | 24%     | 62.3    |
| Mng-Q3         | 2008 - 2012 | 21,888      | 30%     | 44.9    |
| Mng-Q4         | 2013-2017   | 23,176      | 31%     | 13.8    |
| Total          | 1998 - 2017 | 73,840      | 100%    | 44.0    |
| **Ind**        |             |             |         |         |
| Ind-Q1         | 1998 - 2002 | 5,781       | 23%     | 45.4    |
| Ind-Q2         | 2003 - 2007 | 7,507       | 29%     | 41.5    |
| Ind-Q3         | 2008 - 2012 | 6,777       | 26%     | 34.0    |
| Ind-Q4         | 2013-2017   | 5,622       | 22%     | 11.8    |
| Total          | 1998 - 2017 | 25,687      | 100%    | 33.9    |
| **IT**         |             |             |         |         |
| IT-Q1          | 1998 - 2002 | 1,195       | 12%     | 45.3    |
| IT-Q2          | 2003 - 2007 | 2,020       | 20%     | 39.5    |
| IT-Q3          | 2008 - 2012 | 3,335       | 32%     | 36.7    |
| IT-Q4          | 2013-2017   | 3,696       | 36%     | 14.8    |
| Total          | 1998 - 2017 | 10,246      | 100%    | 30.3    |

Figure 5. Trends of ten hot-important topics in management.

As Figure 5 shows, in the management domain, some topics such as HR, financial management, Stock Market and Job Performance (as a branch of HR) are identified as decrement topics. Nevertheless, the high amount of average citation of these topics is reliable evidence that this reduction does not mean a decrease in the importance of these topics. In other words, these topics play a fundamental role in the management domain. In contrast, the health-related topics have a considerable share in management research and Environmental, Occupational Health, and Resource & Capacity are marked as increment topics in the management domain. Although Technology Management has the highest amount of citation, it could be identified as a fixed topic based on its portion. Cooperation and Partnership is a major research interest in the management domain [14], and different areas of partnership, especially between public and
In the private sectors, have been explored and investigated since the late 1990s. Previous research reported that the total number of papers published from 1990 to 2013 increased; the rapid increase in publication began in 2010 and then steadily progressed in 2013 [14]. Moreover, the partnership is an important research content of the SCM and in the face of the complex relations of cooperation, risk management and establishing joint venture strategies are highlighted in recent studies on management [68]. Figure 5 shows the importance of this topic.

Focusing on the trends of topics in Figure 6 illustrates that industrial engineering studies concentrated on Manufacturing and Human Resources (HR) topics before 2007. However, this paradigm changed and today these topics are identified as decrement topics, because Information systems, Multiple Criteria Decision Making (MCDM), Safety Management, and Environmental Management took their place in recent papers and are being tagged as increment topics. Traditional manufacturing studies have turned to new concepts when confronting IT and Environmental Science [5]. As evident, predictive manufacturing systems [69] and cloud manufacturing [3,70] that are founded on big data analysis, or green manufacturing [8] and sustainable manufacturing [71] that are related to environmental science are new interdisciplinary subject areas of manufacturing.

Jin et al. [5] in their research about new issues in industrial productivity over the past 44 years, have emphasized that concern about cleaner production (CP) and green technology has been increased by reinforcement of environment-related regulations, and Computer Integrated Manufacturing (CIM), intelligent manufacturing systems and Flexible Manufacturing Systems (FMS) are applied widely in this area. They also found that after 2009, industrial engineering has highlighted innovations, such as those involved in the development and use of green IT and environmental protection related studies.

A considerable fact highlighted in Figure 6 is the increasing usage of Decision Making approaches in industrial engineering. The MCDM is applied widely in the energy fuels, Operation Research (OR), management and environmental science and its usage in research papers has risen since 2006 [27]. Supplier selection, as an important decision in SCM, is an important usage of MCDM in industrial engineering [72].

The late 1980s included studies about the utilization of computers and IT for automation in manufacturing and operational research. But, the period between 2000 and 2013 was marked by studies related to the connection between manufacturing, decision making and IT in this domain [5]. IT alignment and IT advancement affect supply chain capabilities positively [73]. Therefore IT is used as an enabler, tool, approach, and infrastructure in all elements of the supply chain and sometimes it switches the traditional paradigm of industrial engineering to a new paradigm that is based on information-oriented systems [74].

Finally, R&D is identified as a decrement topic but Innovation that is an output of R&D activities is marked as an increment topic. And Customer Relationship Management (CRM), since its growth from 1998 to 2007, could be tagged as a fixed topic.

As Figure 7 presents, a considerable part of hot-important topics in the IT domain is allocated for
**Health.** This figure indicates that publications of this domain have been shifting from pure computational topics (such as data mining and machine learning) to application of computer science in other domains (especially in the health domain, for example) [75–77]. Moreover, in the last years, individuals working at the intersection of IT and medicine have developed and computer applications to improve health care services have increased [16]. Moreover, a growing body of scientific evidence supports the use of this technology in the clinical decision-making process [25,34,61]. On the other hand, the movement of health care to patient-centered care has empowered patients and changed the role of IT in healthcare [78]. The development of new technology such as Web 2.0 and 3.0 and the rapid growth of social media provide new opportunities for individuals to access and exchange health information [78].

Jones et al. [25] in research about the investigation of HIT studies between 1995 and 2013 found that the number of published health IT evaluation studies is increasing rapidly. This study explored that such areas in HIT increased by approximately 13% per year before 2007, and roughly 25% per year from 2008 to 2012. Approximately, the same result is figured in health-related topics in Figure 7.

Although Figure 7 shows that the portions of Data Mining and Machine Learning topics become smaller in previous periods, the high amount of average citations of these topics indicates that data mining and machine learning are applied widely as useful approaches or tools in the other topics or domains. For example, life sciences, biomedicine, computational biology, and health care are increasingly turning into a data-intensive science. Therefore, data mining and consequently machine learning should be used to discover knowledge from the huge amount of generated data [79]. Moreover, some new terms have been merged with basic concepts and new topics or concepts have been constructed. For example, Big Data Mining is introduced instead of traditional data mining [80].

Cloud Computing as a new phenomenon that has joined with other concepts such as Big Data, Internet of Things (IoT), and social media is rising in recent studies and the huge number of publications in this area of research is undertaken by interdisciplinary researchers [4]. Cloud computing is one of the most significant shifts in ICT and service that eliminates the need for expensive hardware and dedicated space [81].

In conclusion, Health-related topics and Cloud Computing are known as increment topics; conversely, Machine Learning and Data Mining with considerable amounts of citation are marked as decrement topics and Computational Biology could be tagged as a fixed topic.

### 6.3. Comparing high-level categories

To compare all three domains in a single perspective, it is necessary to assign a high-level category to the topics. To do this, the field “SC” that denotes the research areas of WoS is applied. The high-level categories for each topic are identified by counting the SC content of the documents which are explained by that topic. To make these high-level categories comparable among different domains, some applicable criteria are required. Previous criteria are usually used to describe the trends, importance, density or evolution of topics in a domain. This study endeavours to describe these,
in their correlated domains. Each domain has its specific characteristics. For example, Table 8 indicates that average citation of the first time period for the Management domain is more than 75 instead of about 45 for Industrial Engineering and IT. Therefore, using “Citation” as a common measure for topic evaluation is not appropriate, especially for evaluation of a category among two or three domains. In this approach, to respect the TC of a paper, this attribute is used as a factor of counting, and to normalize the different amounts of TC in each domain and time period, the TC is divided into its related AnyCite $p$, as mentioned in Table 9. WC$_P$ is defined as all research areas in the corpus $P$. Based on this approach, $F_{p}(c a t)$, as the frequency of a research area category for paper $p$ and $R_{p,t}(c a t)$ for topic $t$ in corpus $P$, are proposed formally as:

$$F_{p}(c a t) = \begin{cases} \frac{T C}{\sum_{c a t \in W C_{P}}}, & \text{if } c a t \in W C_{P} \\ 0, & \text{if } c a t \notin W C_{P} \end{cases}$$

$R_{p,t}(c a t) = \sum_{c a t \in W C_{P}} \sum_{p \in E_{p,t}} F_{p}(c a t)$ \hspace{1cm} (7)

Therefore, $Cat_{1,P,t}$ and $Cat_{2,P,t}$ as the first and second high-level categories for topic $t$ in corpus $P$ are identified as:

$$Cat_{1,P,t} = \argmax_{c a t \in W C_{P}} R_{p,t}(c a t)$$

$$Cat_{2,P,t} = \argmax_{c a t \in (W C_{P} \setminus Cat_{1}(P,t))} R_{p,t}(c a t)$$

After that, for topic $t$, the relevance of a high-level category $HI_{p,t}(c a t)$ is assumed as its $R_{p,t}(c a t)$ when the cat is marked as $Cat_{1,P,t}$ or $Cat_{2,P,t}$. Consequently, $HI_{p,t}(c a t)$ that is the relevance of a high-level category for corpus $P$ is proposed as:

$$HI_{p,t}(c a t) = \begin{cases} R_{p,t}(c a t), & c a t \in \{Cat_{1,P,t}, Cat_{2,P,t}\} \\ 0, & O.W \end{cases}$$

$$HI_{p}(c a t) = \sum_{t \in T} HI_{p,t}(c a t) \hspace{1cm} (8)$$

Finally, the high-level categories with the maximum amount of relevancy are extracted for each domain in separate periods. The result is depicted in Figure 8. In this figure, to make the high-level categories comparable to three domains and four periods, the square root of $HI_{p}(c a t)$ is used as the ratio of the circles and the biggest circles are drawn backwards.

In the above approach, to simplify the results, “Basic Science” is applied instead of Physics, Chemistry, Biology, and Mathematics. In some cases, a high-level category of a corpus is only seen in the second high-level category of topics ($Cat_{2,P,t}$). For example, Sociology and Automation are extracted from the second level categories of industrial engineering topics. Extending the relevancy to the third and fourth levels has not changed the results considerably.

As Figure 8 shows, Telecommunication is identified exclusively as a high-level category of the IT
domain and relevant research studies have increased significantly. Material science is also explored solely for industrial engineering with no serious change in its importance. In the Automation category, IT and industrial engineering have the more important role. The previous research [5] indicates this role as the utilization of computers and IT systems for automation in the manufacturing process. Basic Science is covered similarly by all three domains. Biology is addressed more by management and IT, whereas Physics and Chemistry are used widely in industrial engineering studies. Finally, Mathematics is applied in the research studies of these domains as an essential tool or theoretical approach.

Although the Business category is one of the core studies in management science, and previous research [6] indicates that it has high centrality and medium or high density in studies during the last 25 years for this domain, industrial engineering researchers are trying to undertake further research in this category. In the same way, Operational Research is marked as a fundamental research area of industrial engineering. However, management has a considerable share in this category and recently many studies in the IT domain have focused on this subject.

Computer Science as an essential field of IT is applied by management and industrial engineering. As mentioned before, industrial engineering researchers have applied computer science in many aspects of their research. Its usage is undeniable in many studies in the field of industrial engineering that are related to automation, problem-solving, decision making, simulation, evaluation, forecasting, planning, and information management [5].

In the Environment and Health category, management and IT have a more important role. In both of them, IT usage has a rapid increment and sometimes new paradigms are born in these areas. Research on the important role of social media and knowledge management systems in the enhancement of public knowledge regarding global environmental changes [82,83], and applying new IT-based tools for the prediction and monitoring of environmental research issues [28,82] are some examples of this increment in environmental studies in recent years. In the same way, the use of computer science in the research management of the health domain [18], applying a data mining approach in disease prediction [84–86] and the widespread adoption of healthcare information systems for health management [87] are clear evidence for the IT increment trend in the health field.

Lastly, in Psychology science, all three domains exist, but management and industrial engineering have a dominant presence. This category contains studies that are more about the psychological aspects of human resource management [88] or concepts about technology acceptance, customer relationship management (CRM), and Organizational Psychology [89].

The results depicted in Figure 8 indicate that in the 9 categories, all three domains are present and some areas in a domain are common or very close to other domains. This fact confirms the strong relationships between these domains and verifies the efficacy of topics in these domains on each other.

7. Conclusions

The purpose of the current study was to illustrate the evolution of Information Technology (IT), management and industrial engineering studies as three academic and research domains. To achieve this purpose, the bibliographic data of 20 years (from 1998 to 2017) of publications from the Web of Science (WoS) repository in each domain were extracted. A new approach, which consists of a combination of bibliographic analysis and a topic modeling approach, was applied in this research to identify topic trends, determine the important topics and describe the research evolution in each domain. In the topic modeling process, a novel simple heuristic method was suggested for key extraction for each record. In addition, some new criteria were defined to compare the topics. Proposing the new method to determine the high-level category for each topic is another innovation of this study. The bibliographic analysis indicated that the USA, China, England, Canada and Taiwan have the most publications in these domains. USA researchers participate in 45% of the management studies and USA or China is present in about half of these three domain studies. In addition, China and France are marked as countries with high growth in all three domains and Iran is identified as a country with the maximum growth rate in management and industrial engineering research. Moreover, bibliographic analysis identified the journals with the maximum number of articles in each domain. In this process, 82 journals were discovered to contain articles in all three domains. The keyword analysis was the last step of bibliographic analysis for these corpora. This step reported that “Model”, “System”, “performance”, “Scheduling”, “Management”, and “Genetic algorithm” are common frequent keywords in all three domains. In addition, “Supply chain management”, “Innovation”, “Impact”, and “Behavior” were identified as common frequent keywords in the management and industrial engineering domains. In the same way, “Design” was marked as a common keyword in IT and industrial engineering and “Information” was recognized in both IT and management. On the other hand, some frequent keywords such as “Cloud computing” and “Wireless sensor networks” are specific to the IT domain.

To determine the Bag-of-Words (BoW) for each
record, the key extraction method constructed the BoW from the combination of keywords and the biggest n-gram of the title. After that, the Latent Dirichlet Allocation (LDA) algorithm is used to extract the topics and the hot-important topics were extracted for each domain. The most obvious finding of this part is the greater importance of the role of “Health Science” in IT and management domains over the last 20 years. Another result of extracting hot-important topics was the identification of common or similar topics in these domains. For example, Human Resources (HR) and its related topics are common in both management and industrial engineering research. Similarly, Health is an incremental research topic in both IT and management domains.

To make the topics comparable, two new criteria (Portion and AnyCite) were proposed. The corpus of each domain was divided based on four periods of time, and topic trends were described based on these criteria for each topic in a divided corpus. Cooperation and Partnership was marked as the most hot-important topic of management research. In addition, Health-related topics were recognized as incremental topics in recent years for management and IT domains. In the industrial engineering domain, the concentration of researchers has changed from Manufacturing and HR to Information Systems, Multiple Criteria Decision Making (MCDM) and Environmental Management. The trends also illustrated that Computer Science has been widely applied in industrial engineering studies in the last 10 years. In the IT domain, Data Mining and Machine Learning are applied widely in other topics or domains and Cloud Computing, as a new phenomenon, has changed some traditional research topics.

Finally, to answer the last question of this study, high-level categories were explored. In the 9 categories from the 11 explored categories, all three domains are presented and it confirms that there is a strong relationship between these three domains. The results also indicated that Telecommunication, Automation, Health and Computer Science are incremental research areas in these domains.

Some topics extracted in these domains are similar to each other or sometimes topics with the same label in two domains have a different meaning or cover different subjects. For example, “Data Mining” in the IT domain focuses on pure algorithms and concepts of knowledge extracted from raw data. But this topic in industrial engineering denotes application of these algorithms as tools or approaches in industrial information management. Moreover, some keywords have ambiguity in the meaning. For instance, NLP in the IT domain refers to Natural Language Processing, but in industrial engineering, it is the abbreviation of non-linear planning. Managing the challenges above and analyzing the correlation between topics and determining the multidisciplinary topics between these domains are suggested for future studies.
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