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ABSTRACT
The paper describes the manufacture of an outlet guide vane (OGV) of jet engines by the Selective Laser Melting (SLM) process, in view of current challenges for conventional machining approaches such as: high airfoil profile tolerances, limited tooling access and hard to machine materials like nickel-chromium-based super alloys. Within this paper, analysis was conducted to investigate the influence of build parameters on possible distortion during printing that affect the build accuracy. These parameters include the part orientation on the build plate, thickness change to the flanges and the positioning of the support structure of each part. The configurations are 3D printed using the SLM approach. The chosen material is IN625. The printed parts are 3D scanned and the results are compared to the original CAD design. The results confirmed the presence of distortions in printed parts and the effect of parameter changes. Furthermore, it was shown that improvements to the print parameters are necessary to achieve a satisfactory profile tolerance.

INTRODUCTION
Jet engine developments are usually geared towards achieving a higher efficiency, lower polluting emissions, greater stability and adaptability [1], hence making testing necessary and as such the need for producing test rigs. Test rigs are usually expensive, one off assemblies, requiring the compliance of the manufacturing tolerances in the production design. The complex and one off character of the parts paired with short lead times makes machining of the components particularly challenging. This paper focuses on the application of additive manufacturing (AM), specifically the Selective Laser Melting (SLM) to the manufacture of an Outlet Guide Vane (OGV) in an IN625 super alloy. The OGV is a last stator row in a compressor which serves to eliminate the tangential component of the air flow [2], deaccelerate the flow and increase the pressure. The vanes have an airfoil shape to direct the tangential flow from the high-pressure compressor into an axial flow with minimal pressure loss [3, 4].

The established route to manufacture an OGV uses CNC machining of a one piece ring, pre-formed by hot isothermal forging process. Nickel based super alloys which includes Inconel 625, is classified as hard to machine materials and presents many technical challenges in machining. A significant challenge in machining an OGV from a forged Nickel based alloy is control of residual stress together with tool and work piece deflection that needs to be compensated during the programming of the tool paths. In the roughing stage, the machining strategy must be developed to minimize machining induced stresses and account for the residual stress present in the forging. This requires a managed process of applying a small depth of cut sequentially from both faces of the OGV to avoid distorting the ring. The distortion type is typically characterized as ‘curl up’ if the machining process is not managed in the correct way. The cycle of applying small
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The basis of design is to have a demonstrator component - 140 vanes - manufacturing between the airfoils are content involves the generation of suitable SLM with variations to the process as an alternative route to speed etc part orientation, laser power, powder particle size, scan/build distortion arising from the design process parameters selected which include; location and number of the part build supports, part orientation, laser power, powder particle size, scan/build speed etc. As a result, there is a need to establish the capability of the SLM process as an alternative route to manufacture an OGV within the required tolerances. In this paper, a representative OGV was designed. Parts were then manufactured using SLM with variations to the applied process parameters. The resulting parts were scanned and inspected using the GOM laser scanning system with a view to optimizing the build process.

DESIGN APPROACH FOR OGV DEMONSTRATOR

The OGV was chosen as the demonstrator component for this project. The demonstrator is based on a full-sized part of approximately 600 mm external diameter made from a nickel-based super alloy. The basis of design is to have a demonstrator which truly represents the complex geometric characteristics, manufacturing tolerances and hence all the challenges faced in the manufacture of a full size OGV.

Airfoil Selection

Considerations were given for certain parameters in the selection of airfoil. These include the chord length, maximum airfoil thickness and camber. These parameters were noted for the airfoils across the radial heights. NACA 6-series profiles as shown Figure 1 are used in compressor stages of aero-engines and this forms the baseline for the airfoil selection [12, 13]. A NACA 63/2007 profile was selected across the 20 % and 80 % radial height of the airfoil and a NACA 63-1807 profile was selected at 50 % radial height.

![FIGURE 1 GEOMETRY OF 2D AIRFOILS OF NACA-6 SERIES](image)

Design Drivers

The design intent involves the generation of suitable airfoil profiles, which match the characteristics of the airfoils within an OGV of a commercial engine. 140 vanes were patterned circumferentially within the endwalls and equally spaced. This ensures that the spacing between the airfoils are representative of the current manufacturing challenges. The distance between airfoils is roughly 6.5 mm.

The inner and outer endwall diameters were designed to match the full-size component. The endwall profiles were ignored for the purpose of this project, hence not included in the design of the OGV demonstrator, but rather assumed to be straight. A fillet of 1.7 mm was added to all joints between airfoils and wall, and the edges on the flanges.
CAD Design

In order to get a more rounded trailing edge, the airfoil was designed to have a 5 mm longer chord length and then trimmed off. With an annulus gap of 20 mm, the airfoils were then stacked from 0 % to 100 % respectively. The axial length of the endwall, which determines the thickness of the OGV was developed by taking into account the maximum chord length, and the fillet radius, giving a length of about 40mm.

Table 1 shows a list of the geometry data used to design the OGV demonstrator along with important manufacturing tolerances that have been assigned to the demonstrator part. A segment of the full-size component seen in Figure 2, consisting of five vanes and four flow passages was developed to enable feasibility evaluations of practical size and cost, and to find solutions to achieve an acceptable build accuracy. Three further configurations seen in Figure 3 to Figure 4 were also designed to study the influence of flange positioning and wall thickness on print accuracy.

| Table 1 OGV GEOMETRY DATA                     |
|-----------------------------------------------|
| Outer Diameter of Outer Flange (mm)           | 605  |
| Inner Diameter of Inner Flange (mm)           | 465  |
| Wall Thickness (mm)                           | 5    |
| Flange Thickness (mm)                         | 5    |
| Through Hole Diameter (mm)                    | 10   |
| Number of Vanes                                | 140  |

EXPERIMENTAL APPROACH

Additive Manufacturing

The AM process starts by arranging the parts on a build plate as well as including the required support structures added to the part. The parts were initially printed with a 45° orientation, see Figure 6. This was the angle that ensures that minimal supports were required. It was also necessary to ensure that no support structures where needed on the airfoils. The removal of the support structures after print would distort the thin airfoil profile, and equally leave rough patches behind when supports are detached. The build plate also had 3 test pieces oriented at xy-planar 0°, 45° and 90° of the build plate. They are to be used for the calibration of Computer Aided Engineering (CAE) software that will be used to simulate the print process and predict possible distortions in prints at a later stage. The arrangement of parts on the build plate seen in Figure 6 is such that there will be an easy access for the EDM machine to fully detach the parts, as well as partly cut the calibration test pieces as required without needing to cut the plate in half, hence wasting the baseplate. The printing would typically last a couple of hours depending on the volume of print. Upon completion, the parts would then be first stress-relieved and later detached from the build plate.

The manufacturing trials were performed using a Renishaw AM250 SLM machine. This machine will create a homogenous solid metal component using laser energy to melt the powder layer by layer [14]. The volume energy (E$_V$) shown in Eqn. (1) describes the energy required to generate parts. This is represented mathematically as:

$$E_V = \frac{P}{vhd}$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

where $P$ is the laser power, $v$ is scan speed, $h$ is hatch distance, and $d$ is the layer thickness [15]. For the purpose of this research, the volume energy was not considered. This is partly because the SLM system by Renishaw is designed to vary the volume energy depending on the layers and surface area of sections being printed, and so the values of the parameters will vary as the scan moves from the core of each layer thickness to the surface boundary. The AM250 has a build volume of 250 mm x 250 mm x 300 mm, hence limiting the size of a part that...
can be built. It has a capability of producing parts in a range of
materials, and for the purpose of this project, IN625 powder
having a particle size of 15-45 μm was used in the machine. It
was supplied and certified by Renishaw to a tight specification
to minimize batch-to-batch variations. The chemical
composition of the powder can be seen in Table 2. In order to
complete the build, the machine parameters in Table 3 were
used.

TABLE 2 CHEMICAL COMPOSITION OF IN625 POWDER
(WT. %)
| Elements | Ni | Cr | Mo | Fe | Nb | Co | Mg | Si | Al |
|----------|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|
| Bal      |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |
| Al       | 20 | 8  | 5  | 3.15 | 1  | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.4 |
| Ti       | C  | Tn | Ni | O  | Ph | S  |    |    |    |
| 0.4      | 0.4 | 0.1 | 0.05 | 0.02 | 0.015 | 0.015 |    |    |

TABLE 3 SLM MACHINE SETTING
| PARAMETERS                      | VALUE       |
|---------------------------------|-------------|
| Gas Atmosphere                  | Argon       |
| Laser Power                     | 200W max    |
| Laser Beam Spot Size Diameter   | 70μm        |
| Laser Pulse Frequency           | 8 kHz       |
| Build Orientation               | 45°         |
| Layer Thickness                 | 50μm        |

Stress Relief

Residual stresses form as the melted materials solidify
on the previous layer resulting in part distortion and unwanted
material properties [11,15]. Currently, AM parts are heat treated
to reduce the internal stresses, and as [16] found, additional
advantages such as improvement of the mechanical behavior
can also be achieved.

Two sets of builds were initially created, only one of
which was stress relieved and the other not, in order to
qualify the effect of the process in achieving a higher geometric
accuracy. Generally, parts can either be stress relieved before or
after being detached from the baseplate, however, from
literatures [17 - 19], it was decided that complex parts such as
the OGV should be stress-relieved before EDM wire cut, to
minimize the chance of deformation compounding in the part.

The parts were stress-relieved at a temperature of 565
°C for a period of one hour in an argon environment, followed
by a slow cool to room temperature. This heat treatment
was applied to the parts whilst they were still attached to the build
plate.

Wire EDM

The wire Electrical Discharge Machining process is
necessary to detach the OGV components from the build plate.
A solid Brass wire of 0.25 mm diameter with a co-axial
flushing and ambient temperature inside the tank was used for
this purpose. The cut was performed on the support structures
close to the base plate to detach the parts from the plate, and the
support then manually removed from the part with care. The
recast layer resulting from the EDM process is contained in the
support structure and hence has no influence on the actual part.
[20].

Measurement Setup

The printed OGV demonstrators were 3D scanned
using the GOM ATOS triple scanning system. In order to
ensure the consistency and accuracy of scan, photogrammetry
technology with 2 reference scale bars having an average
deviation of 0.85 μm was utilized to calibrate the system. With
reference point markers placed in a non-uniform pattern around
the part being scanned. The laser system uses this to identify
the areas in the part and fixture, and the non-uniformity ensures
that scanning system can identify different scanned areas with a
high precision. The 3D data was measured with an average
reference point deviation of 4.89 μm. The laser scanner scans
the surface of the OGV demonstrator (point cloud data) and
translates this into a CAD file.

The nominal CAD data was superimposed with the
scanned data using a best fit alignment of the components or
specified datum. Because of the geometry of airfoils and vanes,
it is not always clear where start and finish points are on the
shape, as such, a “best-fit” alignment of the airfoil section is
used to align the scanned data and the nominal CAD. Airfoil
inspection is usually based on sectional analysis, as such, cross-
sections across the vanes were taken across the 20 %, 50 % and
80 % radial heights on each of the 5 vanes in the OGV
demonstrators, to investigate the accuracy of the print to the
nominal design. An example of this aligned CAD and cross-
section showing the comparison is illustrated in Figures 7 - 9.

FIGURE 7 BEST-FIT ALIGNED CAD, SUCTION SIDE VIEW (UPPER TOLERANCE = 0.06 mm, LOWER TOLERANCE = -0.06 mm)
Results obtained were further analyzed, and this formed the basis of the design process/parameter optimization which was then fed into the start of the SLM print process to further increase the dimensional accuracy of the print. This is discussed in detail in the next section.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

A combination of several factors including: the melt pool dynamics, print orientation, coating process and part geometry among others all have an impact on the accuracy of the AM process [15]. Given the aerodynamic function of the OGV, the analysis of printed OGV segments focused on the airfoils, as these are the most important aspect of the part. For the purpose of inspection, cross sections were taken at three heights and the vanes on the OGV are numbered as seen in Figure 10.

**Influence of Stress Relief**

In order to evaluate the effect of stress-relief on SLM parts, the parts were printed on two builds with one of the builds going through a heat treatment cycle before getting detached from the build plate and the other detached as printed. The heat treatment is performed by heating up a furnace in an Argon atmosphere and placing the build inside for an hour, long enough to achieve the desired reduction in residual stress, and the slow cooled to room temperature to avoid formation of excessive thermal stresses.

**FIGURE 10 CROSS-SECTION POSITONS FOR INSPECTION OF OGV**

At 50 % radial height cross-section results for the printed OGV as an example, Figure 11 shows that at the leading-edge, there is reduction in geometrical accuracy in the part that was not heat-treated as we move across vanes with a sharp drop in vane 5. This could possibly be as a result of the build orientation. The non-heat treated sample was undersized with an average accuracy of 82.5 % across all vanes. The sample that was stress-relieved however displays an increased average accuracy of 93 % which sits within the tolerance window.

**FIGURE 11 RELATIVE LEADING EDGE THICKNESS OF 2.5 MM WALL OGV AT 50 % RADIAL HEIGHT**

Performing a similar analysis on the trailing-edge thickness, it can be seen from Figure 12 that the non-heat treated sample was undersized with 69.1 % accuracy and heat-treated sample having a 77.5 % accuracy, both of which
measured out of tolerance. The stress-relief process performed however still exhibits an increased average accuracy of 8.4% across all vanes.

**FIGURE 12 RELATIVE TRAILING EDGE THICKNESS OF 2.5 MM WALL OGV AT 50 % RADIAL HEIGHT**

The effect of the heat treatment can also be seen in the chord length analysis in Figure 13. Here, we see that the stress-relief operation results in 100% accuracy in chord length as opposed to the non-heat treated counterpart. There is an increasing trend in stagger angles in Figure 14 as we go from across vanes with a difference of 0.78° between Vanes 1 and 5 for the non-heated sample, whereas the heat treated sample has a reduced difference of 0.25°. It is observed that the stress relief process had an impact in reducing the deviation of the stagger angle from tolerance.

**FIGURE 13 RELATIVE CHORD LENGTH OF 2.5 MM WALL OGV AT 50 % RADIAL HEIGHT**

A general trend which can be drawn across board is that the heat treatment operation has a positive influence in reducing the deviation of printed SLM parts from the nominal CAD. As a result of this, it was confirmed that stress-relief operation will be an important and standard operational step in achieving an improved accuracy for future SLM parts.

**Influence of Angle Variation**

Knowing from literature reviews that the build orientations generally have an effect on the build accuracy of prints, the optimal build orientation is important to achieve a higher geometric accuracy [15]. This will however differ depending on the geometry of the part. The OGV demonstrator was found to have a 10° window for which it can be printed. At this window, between 40° and 50°, the OGV can also be printed such that support structures will not be required on the vanes. This is very important as having supports on vanes will leave a disruption in the smooth profile of the vanes.

Following on from the result of the stress-relief’s influence, the new build was conducted with a 2.5° step increment from 40° to 50°, and the finished print put through the stress-relief process before being detached from the build plate. Table 4 is the average result of measured parameters across all vanes for the OGV cross-section at 50 % radial height. Upon closer inspection, it can be seen that no conclusive effect can be drawn as to the significant influence of an angle variation on these OGV demonstrators.

**Influence of Geometry Variation - Wall Thickness**

SLM prints will have a higher accuracy when the part have a larger cross-sectional area [15]. It is however only possible to change the geometry without changing the airfoils. Hence the following geometry variations namely; Wall thickness and Position of flange, were taken into account to investigate the influence they have on the build accuracy of the vanes.

**FIGURE 14 RELATIVE STAGGER ANGLE OF 2.5 MM WALL OGV AT 50 % RADIAL HEIGHT**
 TABLE 4 RELATIVE AVERAGE MEASUREMENTS ACROSS ALL VANES FOR 5MM WALL THICK OGV AT 50% RADIAL HEIGHT CROSS-SECTION

|          | 40°   | 42.5° | 45°   | 47.5° | 50°   | Design |
|----------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|--------|
| LE Thickness | 0.87  | 0.87  | 0.87  | 0.86  | 0.86  | 1.00   |
| TE Thickness | 0.76  | 0.75  | 0.72  | 0.74  | 1.00  |
| Chord Length | 1.00  | 1.00  | 1.01  | 1.00  | 1.00  |
| Stagger Angle (°) | 25.22 | 25.15 | 24.53 | 24.53 | 24.72 | 24.86 |

Figure 15 to Figure 18 show the result obtained from a comparison of wall thickness variation and sectioned at 50% radial height. Generally, it can be seen that by reducing the wall thickness to 2.5mm, there is an improved accuracy in the geometry of the printed OGV demonstrators. The leading-edge, trailing-edge, chord length and stagger angle were improved by an average of 7.9%, 5.6%, 1.2% and 1% across all vanes respectively as a result of this. The wall does have a structural function, as such, it limits the level to which the thickness can be reduced, and a minimum thickness will be advised which delivers the best accuracy whilst still sufficient for the structural performance.

Influence of Geometry Variation – Flange placement

Figure 19 to Figure 22 shows the result comparing the influence of flange placement on the OGV. The leading edge result obtained does not show a conclusive effect of the flanges, however the results are within the defined tolerance. All the trailing edge thicknesses in Figure 20 measured out of tolerance with the standard flange configuration having a slight improvement. Similarly in Figure 21, the standard flange configuration was on the average within tolerance, whereas the...
two other configurations were out of tolerance and the part with mid-flange configuration displayed the highest deviation across all vanes. The stagger angles equally shows that the position of the flanges have an effect on the accuracy of the vanes with both standard and no flange configurations only 0.3 % inaccurate whereas the mid flange measures a 1.1 % inaccuracy on the average. All in all, it can be concluded that the flange position has a little effect on accuracy of across vanes with the standard flange variant having a slight advantage.

Profile Tolerance

A general trend observed in the results is that the profile cross-sections measured were typically undersize, which is consistent with the findings in [21] where the dimensional deviations of a metallic test article manufactured by laser sintering was inspected.

With regard to the build profile tolerance achieved, the maximum deviation was consistently observed on the pressure side of the cross section near the trailing edge which results in an undersized part ranging from 0.015 mm to 0.15 mm. This could be attributed to the airfoil overhang during the part build process which is not supported on the build platform. Further, the trailing edge is the thinnest section of the airfoil in which residual stress may readily develop during the build. In fact, the parts separated from the build plate having not been subject to a stress-relieving heat treatment and displaying the most pronounced deviation at the trailing edge section is consistent with the findings reported in [22]. Generally, [23] found that dimensional accuracy of metallic printed parts reduces as part height increases, this is also a possible factor that resulted in the
greater deviation experienced in the trailing edge section of the OGV as the print orientation seen in Figure 6 places the trailing edge higher up the build height. Overall, the SLM process achieved a high profile tolerance on an average across all vanes of the OGV prints. In Figure 23, with maximum average deviation recorded as 0.08mm which is only 0.02 mm out of tolerance. It can also be observed that the standard flange design has the best average profile tolerance.

CONCLUSIONS AND OUTLOOK

OGV segments were manufactured using the SLM process. The parts were printed with different parameters to study the influence of these parameters on the geometric accuracy of the vanes. Atos GOM scanning system was used to characterize the surface dimensions of the printed OGV’s. The obtained data confirmed that stress relieving the printed parts whilst still attached to the build plate is an important step to achieving a higher profile tolerance and accuracy, observing up to 12.5 % increase in accuracy, as all parts exhibited significant deviations when inspected as-built. Additionally, it was discovered that the build orientations has a negligible effect on the print accuracy for this particular component.

Analysis of the changes to the design geometry shows that the OGV prints with a higher accuracy of up to 8 % improvement when a reduced wall thickness is employed. Also, the position of flange has an effect on the achievable higher tolerance. On some areas, significant values of minimum and maximum deviations were recorded across the vanes, which was found to be as a result of the surface dips due to poor powder fusion on part’s surface and overhangs around of the trailing edge, which was not supported for aerodynamic reasons. Overall, a high average profile tolerance was achieved.

The work presented only covers a limited aspect of consideration when using the AM process. For future work, the authors plan to include simulation studies to understand further, the causes of the distortions during print. Mechanical tests will also be carried out to understand the material properties of the printed IN625 when compared to the forged material.
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