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SUMMARY

Vibrio cholerae represents a significant threat to human health in developing countries. This pathogen forms biofilms which favors its attachment to surfaces and its survival and transmission by water or food. This work evaluated the in vitro biofilm formation of V. cholerae isolated from clinical and environmental sources on stainless steel of the type used in food processing by using the environmental scanning electron microscopy (ESEM). Results showed no cell adhesion at 4 h and scarce surface colonization at 24 h. Biofilms from the environmental strain were observed at 48 h with high cellular aggregations embedded in Vibrio exopolysaccharide (VPS), while less confluence and VPS production with microcolonies of elongated cells were observed in biofilms produced by the clinical strain. At 96 h the biofilms of the environmental strain were released from the surface leaving coccoid cells and residual structures, whereas biofilms of the clinical strain formed highly organized structures such as channels, mushroom-like and pillars. This is the first study that has shown the in vitro ability of V. cholerae to colonize and form biofilms on stainless steel used in food processing.
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Vibrio cholerae, the causative agent of cholera, is a facultative pathogen having both human and environmental stages. V. cholerae epidemics typically result from the consumption of contaminated drinking water or food. One key factor for V. cholerae environmental survival and transmission is its ability to form biofilms1, defined as assemblages of microbial cells surrounded by exopolysaccharides, and for V. cholerae it is called Vibrio exopolysaccharide (VPS). Biofilms enhance growth and survival of bacteria providing access to nutrients and protection from toxic compounds, thermal stress, and predation2. The developmental cycle begins with the attachment of single or aggregated cells to a surface followed by microcolony formation, VPS synthesis and excretion to the extracellular medium, subsequent formation of organized 3D structures3,4 and finally, detachment and dispersion of cells to colonize new areas3.

The main microscopic techniques that have been developed to study V. cholerae biofilms are the confocal scanning laser microscopy on glass surfaces and the scanning electron microscopy (SEM)2 on organic or inorganic surfaces. Sample preparation for SEM may compromise the biofilm morphology or introduce artefacts5. The environmental scanning electron microscopy (ESEM), a special variant of SEM, has been used to visualize biofilms of other bacteria in their natural state with minimum damage6.

Numerous studies have investigated V. cholerae biofilms on biotic (phytoplankton and zooplankton)6 and abiotic surfaces (plastic, glass and ballast tanks of ships)5,6,10. However, there is a lack of knowledge of these biofilms on stainless steel surfaces often used in food-processing environments11. Despite the efforts to improve the hygiene in food processing, V. cholerae still represents a significant threat to human health in developing countries12. Therefore, this study aimed to evaluate the in vitro biofilm formation of V. cholerae from clinical and environmental origins on stainless steel used in food processing as potential colonization surface by using ESEM.

Stainless steel (grade 304, UNS S30400) 1 cm² coupons were used as the adhesion surface. Grade 304 is one of the most commonly used food contact surface because of its chemical and mechanical/physical stability at various food-processing temperatures, cleanliness and high resistance to corrosion11. Coupons were prepared by immersion in dichloromethane for 5 min (Sigma-Aldrich) and subsequently in ethanol 100% with gentle sonication in an ultrasonic bath sonicator (5-10 min) at 30% of amplitude, 750 W, 20 KHz (Cole-Parmer Instruments).

Two strains of V. cholerae were evaluated regarding the biofilm formation on stainless steel. A clinical strain of toxigenic V. cholerae O1, serotype Ogawa, biotype El Tor, obtained from the Centro Venezolano de Colecciones de Microorganismos (CVCM 306, http://www.wfcc.info/cvicinfo/index.php/collection/by_id/815/, Caracas, Venezuela), was isolated during the 1990’s cholera epidemic from the stool sample of a patient, and cultured in Luria Bertani medium at the clinical laboratory during the 1990’s cholera epidemic from the stool sample of a patient, and cultured in Luria Bertani medium at the clinical laboratory.
service, School of Bioanalysis, Universidad Central de Venezuela, Caracas. The second strain was isolated from seawater collected in a recreational area of the North Western Venezuelan Caribbean coast during an unusually heavy and long rainy period that occurred in December 2004. This environmental strain (CVCM 1742) was initially cultured using the HP selective medium, purified in thiosulfate-citrate-bile salts-sucrose agar (TCBS, Merck) and identified by biochemical, serological and molecular tests as V. cholerae non-O1, non-O139, non-toxigenic (negative to ctxA and ctxB genes), but carrying antibiotic resistance15. Both V. cholerae strains were grown in TCBS agar at 37 °C for 24–48 h. Bacterial inocula to be used in the biofilm assays were prepared collecting one loopful from the TCBS agar, suspended in Nutrient Broth (HiMedia) and incubated at 37 °C until reaching the logarithmic phase. Viable cell concentrations of clinical (2.84×10⁷ cells/mL) and environmental (5.72×10⁷ cells/mL) inocula were estimated using the LIVE/DEAD BacLight kit (Invitrogen). Aliquots of suspended cells (0.5 mL) were inoculated in Nutrient Broth vials (4.5 mL) containing sterile coupons and incubated at 37 °C for 4, 24, 48 and 96 h in quadruplicate. Vials without inocula were included as controls. The coupons were removed, rinsed three times with sodium cacodylate buffer (0.1 M, pH 7.4), immersed in 2.5% glutaraldehyde and kept at 4 °C until ESEM analysis14. Micrographs were obtained using a Quanta 200FEG ESEM (FEI Company) with acceleration voltage and chamber pressure of 4–6 kV and 130–190 Pa, respectively. Representative images were chosen from 15 random positions for each sample.

This study evaluated by ESEM the biofilm development of clinical and environmental V. cholerae strains on stainless steel during a time course of 4-96 h. The results showed the evolution since a few cells adhered to steel, until formation of highly structured biofilms with 3D arrangements in the case of the clinical strain and final detachment in the case of the biofilms produced by the environmental strain. Coupoms’ surfaces exhibited no bacterial adhesion at 4 h, showing only characteristic parallel grooves (Fig. 1a, e). Few cells were observed at 24 h, indicating a slow colonization of the surface by both strains (Fig. 1b, f). Biofilms from the environmental strain grew faster with higher aggregation embedded in amorphous and smooth VPS overproduction at 48 h (Fig. 1g), contrasting with a less confluence observed for the biofilm produced by the clinical strain (Fig. 1c). Biofilms from the environmental strain were released at 96 h, leaving some coccoid cells and small residuary structures (Fig. 1h); meanwhile the clinical strain displayed dense biofilms with crystalline-like VPS at 96 h (Fig. 1d). Differences found in the VPS production, development and detachment of biofilms for both strains might be explained by the bacterial origins or by their innate adaptive strategies under similar culture conditions. The biofilm cycle of the environmental strain displayed a higher growth and a faster detachment than the clinical strain, probably due to a more favourable environmental set in our experimental conditions rather than the aquatic ecosystem from which the strain was isolated. Additionally, the presence of coccoid cells attached to the stainless steel surface was notorious in the detachment process of the environmental biofilm. A previous work showed the ability of this strain to enter a coccoid or viable but non-culturable (VBNC) state as an adaptation to survive under starvation conditions15. The earlier detachment of environmental biofilms (Fig. 1h) could be due to nutrients depletion that occurred up to 96 h, acting as an external signal in the regulation of V. cholerae biofilm formation16. Conversely, the biofilms of the clinical strain remained on stainless steel at 96 h, without an apparent dispersal (Fig. 1d), indicating a lower rate of development to reach the last stage of the biofilm cycle. Our experimental conditions differ from the rich nutrient environment of the human intestine and could have delayed the biofilm development cycle. Further studies should evaluate longer periods of biofilm formation.

In Figure 2, the clinical strain was observed with intertwined elongated cells in division (20-50 µm), forming microcolonies after 48 h, and biofilms covered by VPS at 96 h (Fig. 2a-d). Elongated cells have been described as a survival adaptation to nutrient-poor conditions17.

![Fig. 1](image_url) - Representative ESEM images of biofilms formed by clinical (a-d) and environmental (e-h) V. cholerae strains on stainless steel during 4-96 h, showing an evolution panel from thin layer to mature biofilms. a, e Surface observed with grooves without bacterial growth at 4 h. b, f Few cells attached to the surface at 24 h. c Cellular aggregation in the clinical strain and g biofilm formation by the environmental strain at 48 h. d Mature biofilm with abundant VPS production in the clinical strain. h Scarce cells and small residuary structures indicative of biofilms detachment. Head arrows indicate differences in biofilm formation for each case.
Nutritional conditions present in stainless steel would not favour the biofilm development before 48 h. In addition, highly organized structures like channels, mushrooms and pillars were found later, up to 96 h (Fig. 2e–h). Biofilm 3D structures have been reported regarding *V. cholerae*\(^4\). These structures are composed of pillars surrounded by water channels that allow nutrients to reach biofilm-associated bacteria and toxic metabolites to diffuse to the outside of biofilms\(^5\). A previous study of *V. cholerae* biofilms reported pillar-like structures and channels formed on chitin (~20 μm)\(^18\) with a higher rate and in less time than the same formations observed on stainless steel in our study (~5-10 μm). The mushroom-like formation has not been previously observed in the case of *V. cholerae* biofilms. This structure was reported in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* and is believed to allow the passage of nutrients to bacteria from the upper to the deeper levels of biofilms\(^19\). In surfaces such as chitin, *V. cholerae* biofilms obtain the carbon and nitrogen from the biotic surface\(^18\). By contrast, under our experimental conditions and using the stainless steel substrate, nutrients are incorporated from the culture medium and through the development of mushroom-like structures. On the other hand, biofilms from the environmental strain showed irregular-shaped rods (2–10 μm) with some ‘round bodies’ covered with VPS in 48 h (Fig. 3a). A rounded cell reduced in size was clearly observed at this time (Fig. 3b). A high number of tiny coccoid cells (0.1–0.7 μm) with and without strand-like structures were found dispersed or rearranged into small clusters at 96 h when the biofilm detachment occurred (Fig. 3c, d). Coccoid cells could eventually enter a VBNC state described as a survival strategy to environmental constraints whereby cells are reduced in size and volume, exhibiting detectable metabolic functions\(^20\). Similar morphologies have been reported in stressed *V. cholerae* cells under...
nutrient-poor conditions and prolonged periods. Moreover, these cells have been associated to biofilms, suggesting a critical role in the pathogen transmission.

This is the first study that shows in vitro the ability of this bacterium to colonize and form mature biofilms on stainless steel with substantial differences between clinical and environmental strains regarding the morphology, VPS production and developmental time. Our findings evidenced cellular forms, 3D and novel structures, setting the basis for future studies using ESEM. The present work contributes to the current knowledge of V. cholerae biofilms and would be helpful to assess and control the public health risk associated with the potential colonization of this bacterium on stainless steel used in the food industry.
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