Different adiposity indices and their association with blood pressure and hypertension in middle-aged urban black South African men and women: findings from the AWI-GEN South African Soweto Site
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Abstract

Background: To report associations between different adiposity indices [anthropometric and dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry (DXA) measures] and blood pressure (BP) and hypertension in urban black South African adults.

Methods: Anthropometric and DXA whole body measures were performed on 1026 men and 982 women. Participants were classified as being hypertensive if they had a systolic BP (SBP) $\geq 140$ mmHg and/or diastolic (DBP) $\geq 90$ mmHg. Within each gender the relationship of adiposity with BP and hypertension risk was assessed using linear and logistic regression models respectively. Bivariate models were computed for each body composition variable. Furthermore, we computed a multiple regression model to illustrates how body composition parameters are associated with the outcome variables independent of each other.

Results: The males were significantly taller and had a higher fat free soft tissue mass (FFSTM), DBP and socio-economic status, and were more likely to use tobacco and be hypertensive (48.0% vs. 38.8%). The females had higher body mass index (BMI), waist circumference (WC), fat mass (FM), subcutaneous adipose tissue (SAT), visceral adipose tissue (VAT), FM/FFSTM ratio and body fat % than males. All body composition parameters were positively associated with hypertension. In both males and females, the FM/FFSTM ratio associated the strongest with hypertension illustrating the following odds ratios [males: 70.37 (18.47, 268.16) $p \leq 0.001$; females 2.48 (0.86,7.21) $p = 0.09$]. The multiple regression model, indicated that the VAT and WC significantly associated with both SBP and DBP in the men and women respectively, whilst WC was the only significant predictor for hypertension.

Conclusions: All body composition parameters were associated with hypertension and FM/FFSTM ratio showed the strongest relationship. It was reassuring that WC remains a useful measure of central adiposity that can be used as a risk indicator for hypertension if more sophisticated measures are not available. Furthermore, our data in part, implies that reducing abdominal adiposity in aging adults could contribute to reducing the risk of elevated blood pressure and hypertension.
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Background
The Global Burden of Diseases, Injuries, and Risk Factors study reported that the largest contributor to global disability-adjusted life-years (DALYs) was high systolic blood pressure [1]. Additionally, in a pooled analysis of 1479 studies that had measured the blood pressures of 19.1 million adults globally, data indicated Sub-Saharan Africa as one of the regions that had the highest blood pressure levels [2]. The number of adults with raised blood pressure increased from 594 million in 1975 to 1.13 billion in 2015 worldwide, with the increase largely in low-income and middle-income countries (LMICs) [2].

The epidemiological health transition, which is attributed to rapid urbanisation, has been pivotal in partly explaining the emergence of non-communicable diseases (NCDs) in many LMICs including South Africa [3–5]. Data from the recent national general household survey conducted by Statistics South Africa, reported a 10.4% prevalence of self-reported hypertension diagnosed by a medical professional [6]. The observed prevalence increased with age in both genders and was higher in women in all age groups [6]. In the same survey, the age standardised incidence rates (ASR) were highest among black African women, but lowest in black African men [6].

Obesity, a known independent risk factor for NCDs, has become a major public health concern in South Africa. In part, this has largely been associated with various factors including shifts from prudent dietary patterns, adoption of westernised diets, and diminished physical activity [3, 5, 7]. Urban communities have been reported to be at higher risk for obesity and its associated diseases including hypertension [3, 8, 9]. Many studies have demonstrated a positive association between obesity and blood pressure in adult populations using both longitudinal and cross-sectional data [10–12]. Data from a recent longitudinal analysis that only included urban black South African women residing in Soweto, reported a 57.1% cumulative incidence of hypertension [13]. Furthermore, baseline BP was the most significant predictor of hypertension, and central adiposity was associated with greater odds of developing hypertension than total adiposity [13]. However, little is known regarding the association between body composition and blood pressure in black African men.

Body mass index (BMI) a measure of overall body size has been reported to be a useful but crude indicator of body composition and adiposity, as it cannot adequately distinguish between whole body fat and lean mass [14], and does not take into account body fat distribution. Furthermore, not much data is available on how DXA-derived measures, which may provide more objective parameters of body composition associate with hypertension in Africans undergoing transition. The aim of this study was to examine the associations between anthropometric and DXA-derived body composition parameters, and blood pressure and hypertension, among urban black South African men and women.

Methods
Design and study participants
Data from this cross-sectional study was collected between 2011 and 2015 on black South African men (n = 1026) and women (n = 982) residing in Soweto, Johannesburg. The females included are also the caregivers of the Birth to Twenty Plus Cohort study, the largest longitudinal birth cohort on childhood development and health in Africa to date. The men were part of a larger African genomics study referred to as AWI-GEN (Africa Wits-INDEPTH partnership for Genomic Research). Though separate studies, both men and women consented to be part of this current study, and participants had to have complete data on both the exposure and outcome variables of interest as needed by the current study. All data were collected at the same research institute and time-period and the same instruments, tools and protocols were used.

Outcome measurements
Blood pressure measurements
SBP and DBP were measured in triplicate in a seated position using an Omron machine (Kyoto, Japan) on the right arm using an appropriate-sized cuff, with a 2-min interval between the measurements. The average of the second and third measurements was used for analyses. Hypertension status was defined as SBP ≥ 140 mmHg and/or DBP ≥ 90 mmHg (stage 2) or being on hypertension medication.

Exposure variables
Anthropometry
Weight and height were measured by a trained research assistant using a calibrated electronic scale and stadiometer, respectively, on participants wearing light clothes and barefoot. The BMI was computed from the weight and height measures (weight in kg/height in metres$^2$). Waist circumference (WC) was measured with a soft measuring tape at the level of the umbilicus.

Body composition measures using dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry (DXA)
The DXA-derived body composition parameters were measured using a Hologic Discovery A (S/N 83145) DXA machine. Participants removed clothing and all metal objects, and wore surgical gowns for these measurements. Using software version 12.5.7 (Bedford, MA, USA) whole body scans were completed to determine sub-total (whole body minus head) fat mass (FM) and fat free soft tissue mass (FFSTM). Visceral adipose tissue...
(VAT) and subcutaneous adipose tissue (SAT) were measured using DXA which has been shown to perform well as a clinical read of VAT from a computerized tomography scan [15]. During data collection, a phantom was scanned each morning to determine the coefficient of variance (CV) of the DXA machine and the coefficient of variation was less than 0.5% for all parameters.

**Covariate measurements**

Household SES was estimated using a count of the major household amenities, namely a motor vehicle, refrigerator, washing machine, DSTV/satellite, microwave and possessing a cell phone. Tobacco use was evaluated by asking the participant if they had ever been exposed to tobacco through smoking, chewing or taking snuff. A voluntary HIV antibody test, Alere Determine™ HIV-1/2 (Alere San Diego, Inc. San Diego, CA) was offered to all participants and the process included pre- and post-test counselling sessions. Those who reported that they were HIV positive were not re-tested. If positive, participants were referred to local HIV clinics for a confirmatory serological test, CD4 count and further care.

**Statistical analysis**

Sample characteristics were compared between men and women using an independent t-test and Pearson chi-squared test for continuous and categorical variables, respectively. All analyses are presented by sex. Bivariate linear regressions were computed to assess the association of various body composition parameters namely BMI, WC, FM, FFSTM, FM/FFSTM ratio, VAT and SAT, with SBP, DBP and hypertension. Regression coefficients and odd ratios (and their 95% CIs) are presented. Furthermore, we computed multiple regression models to explore how body composition parameters are associated with the outcome variables independent of the other body composition parameters. All the variables included in the paper (SES, age, education, HIV status, smoking plus all the anthropometric variables) are scientifically plausible determinants of blood pressure. Supplementary analysis was computed, which included these plausible determinants in each of the multivariable regression models for SBP, DBP and hypertension in both sexes. Variance inflation factors (VIFs) were calculated to understand the level of collinearity for each variable in the regression models, this was followed by removing the variables with high VIFs (using a cut-off of 5) and the final models are presented after performing backward stepwise removal of non-significant variables (SES, age, education, and smoking were removed for SBP, DBP and hypertension by this process). The variables that were removed due to collinearity for SBP and DBP for males were whole body fat mass, BMI, WC and SAT, whereas whole body total fat, BMI, SAT and whole body total FFSTM were removed for SBP and DBP for females. As for hypertension, in both males and females whole body fat, whole body FFSTM, SAT and BMI were removed. Pearson correlations were computed for all anthropometric variables and showed that body fat % correlates very strongly with all other anthropometric variables in both males and females, but more importantly with whole body fat mass and the ratio, FM: FFSTM. Therefore, it was not included any of the regression models. The Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS) version 20 was used for analysis. We performed a two-sided statistical test at a significance level $p$ value < 0.05.

**Results**

Table 1 compares the anthropometric, DXA-derived body composition, blood pressure, lifestyle and socioeconomic parameters by sex. No difference in age was observed between the males and females however the males were significantly taller and had a higher FFSTM, DBP, SES score, and were more likely to use tobacco and be hypertensive. The females were more likely to have a secondary education, were heavier, and had significantly higher body fat measures including BMI, WC, FM, SAT, VAT, FM/FFSTM ratio and body % fat.

Table 2 presents bivariate regression models between body composition parameters, with SBP and DBP by sex. All body composition parameters were positively associated with SBP in both men and women. All associations had higher beta coefficients for SBP in men than women. Bivariate models indicate that the FM/FFSTM ratio followed by BMI to have the strongest association with SBP in both sexes.

As for DBP, positive associations were observed with all body composition parameters in both men and women. Similar to SBP all regression coefficients were higher in men than women. The greatest coefficient on DBP observed is with FM/FFSTM ratio, followed BMI and body fat % in both sexes (Table 2).

Table 3 presents bivariate logistic regression models examining the associations between body composition parameters and hypertension by sex. All body composition parameters were positively associated with hypertension in men, with the highest odds ratio being for the FM/FFSTM ratio, followed by BMI. In the women, all body composition parameters except FM/FFSTM ratio and body fat % were significantly associated with hypertension ($p \leq 0.001$).

However, after computing multiple regression models to explore how body composition parameters are associated with the outcome variables independent of each other (Additional file 1: Table S1). We observed that the VAT and WC were only parameters significantly associated with both SBP and DBP in the men and women,
respectively. As for hypertension, in both men and women, WC was the only significant predictor.

Discussion
In the present study we examined associations between various adiposity indicators and blood pressure and odds of being hypertensive among urban black middle-aged South African adults. A key finding is that central adiposity in both men and women associates with elevated blood pressure and greater likelihood of being hypertensive. This study confirms the contribution of body composition to blood pressure and hypertension risk as shown by others [13, 16–19], but takes it one step further in trying to understand the relationship between the different body composition including DXA derived components and how they associate with BP and hypertension independently and after adjusting for specific variables. To contextualize our findings from the bivariate models, for one-unit increase in the FM/FFSTM ratio, there is a 32 times greater risk for being hypertensive in the men and 2.3 times for the women. Using BMI, for every shift in BMI category (5 BMI units; normal (20 kg/m²) to overweight (25 kg/m²) or overweight to obese (30 kg/m²)) there is 55% greater odds for hypertension for men and 15% for women. Using WC, with an increase of WC by 5 cm, there is 20% greater odds for being hypertensive for males and 10% for females. The data suggests that the risk of elevated blood pressure or hypertension due to higher adiposity is much greater in men than women. However, the multiple regression models, confirms that WC in both men and women is significantly associated with hypertension after adjusting for other body composition parameters and by removing the variables with high VIFs to account for collinearity despite the limitations associated with this approach.

Overall adiposity (BMI and body fat %)
Data from the US National Health and Nutrition Examination Surveys (NHANES) indicates a positive association between BMI and hypertension, reporting a prevalence of 45.2%, 27.8% and 15.3% for those with a BMI ≥ 30 kg/m², 25–29.9 kg/m² and < 25 kg/m² respectively in adult men and women (Wang and Wang, 2004). Data from a cohort study including 4 sub-Saharan countries indicated that BMI was one of the primary factors found to be associated with hypertension [20]. A longitudinal study conducted among

| Variable | Males (n = 1026) | Females (n = 982) | P-value |
|----------|-----------------|------------------|---------|
| **Demographics** | | | |
| Age (years) | 49.42 (6.03) | 49.16 (6.08) | 0.314 |
| Proportion with secondary education (%) | 72.9% | 78.6% | 0.003 |
| SES asset score | 4.49 (1.45) | 3.02 (1.53) | ≤0.001 |
| **Outcomes: Blood pressure** | | | |
| Systolic blood pressure (mmHg) | 131.88 (21.03) | 132.49 (21.51) | 0.521 |
| Diastolic blood pressure (mmHg) | 89.31 (13.18) | 81.78 (9.45) | ≤0.001 |
| Hypertensive (%) | 48.0% | 38.8% | ≤0.001 |
| **DXA derived body composition and anthropometric parameters** | | | |
| Height (m) | 1.71 (0.06) | 1.58 (0.06) | ≤0.001 |
| Weight (kg) | 73.13 (17.52) | 82.65 (18.20) | ≤0.001 |
| Body mass index (kg/m²) | 24.96 (5.65) | 33.10 (6.97) | ≤0.001 |
| Waist circumference (cm) | 89.22 (14.81) | 98.46 (14.45) | ≤0.001 |
| Whole body fat mass (FM) (kg) | 18.74 (83.70) | 32.97 (10.10) | ≤0.001 |
| Whole body fat free soft tissue mass (FFSTM) (kg) | 51.32 (85.32) | 44.96 (70.81) | ≤0.001 |
| FM/FFSTM | 0.36 (0.12) | 0.73 (0.16) | ≤0.001 |
| Body fat % | 24.71 (6.30) | 40.32 (5.78) | ≤0.001 |
| Subcutaneous adipose tissue (cm²) | 190.06 (125.40) | 454.67 (153.60) | ≤0.001 |
| Visceral adipose tissue (cm²) | 84.56 (43.62) | 99.83 (40.42) | ≤0.001 |
| **Lifestyle factors** | | | |
| HIV negative (%) | 80.4% | 79.4% | 0.668 |
| Tobacco users (%) | 69.8% | 28.5% | ≤0.001 |
young white and black adults showed that weight gain and not baseline weight was more important for significant increase in blood pressure [21]. Furthermore, the Framingham Heart study reported that, in comparison to normal weight individuals, adjusted relative risks for developing hypertension were higher in obese individuals in both genders [12]. In contrast to our findings, the “Framingham Heart Study” reported higher relative risks for being hypertensive in women compared to men [12]. This could in part be explained by the fact that for the same body size, differences in body fat distribution between black and white South African women have been reported [22, 23], with the latter group having more visceral fat than the former. Other than the current study, no data are available that compare body fat distribution between African men and women in relation to blood pressure and hypertensive risk.

Central adiposity (WC and VAT)
Waist circumference, a well-accepted indicator for central body fat, has been reported to be the strongest independent predictor of SBP and DBP compared to other cardio-metabolic risk factors including fasting insulin levels and resistance in both men and women [19, 24]. Our findings show that WC was the only measure in both

### Table 2: Bivariate regression models examining the association between body composition parameters and systolic/diastolic blood pressure in urban male and female black South African adults

|                      | Male                             | Female                            |
|----------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
|                      | B (95% CI)                       | p value                           | B (95% CI)                       | p value                           |
| Systolic blood pressure (mmHg) |                                   |                                   |                                   |                                   |
| Body mass index (kg/m²)      | 0.91 (0.69;1.14)                  | ≤0.001                             | 0.29 (0.10;0.49)                 | 0.003                             |
| Waist circumference (cm)     | 0.38 (0.30;0.46)                  | ≤0.001                             | 0.22 (0.13;0.31)                 | ≤0.001                            |
| Whole body fat mass (FM) (kg) | 0.61 (0.40;0.70)                  | ≤0.001                             | 0.20 (0.03;0.40)                 | 0.02                              |
| Whole body fat free soft tissue mass (FFSTM) (kg) | 0.44 (0.27;0.62)                  | ≤0.001                             | 0.28 (0.04;0.53)                 | 0.02                              |
| FM/FFSTM                  | 42.93 (30.26;55.60)               | ≤0.001                             | 10.61 (~0.10; 21.32)             | 0.05                              |
| Body fat %                | 0.85 (0.61;1.10)                  | ≤0.001                             | 0.35 (0.05;0.65)                 | 0.02                              |
| Subcutaneous adipose tissue (cm²) | 0.04 (0.03;0.05)               | ≤0.001                             | 0.02 (0.00;0.03)                 | 0.001                             |
| Visceral adipose tissue (cm²) | 0.15 (0.11;0.18)                  | ≤0.001                             | 0.07 (0.03;0.11)                 | 0.001                             |
| Diastolic blood pressure (mmHg) |                                   |                                   |                                   |                                   |
| Body mass index (kg/m²)      | 0.60 (0.46;0.74)                  | ≤0.001                             | 0.28 (0.20;0.36)                 | ≤0.001                            |
| Waist Circumference (cm)     | 0.25 (0.20;0.30)                  | ≤0.001                             | 0.17 (0.13;0.21)                 | ≤0.001                            |
| Whole body fat mass (FM) (kg) | 0.39 (0.27;0.50)                  | ≤0.001                             | 0.18 (0.10;0.26)                 | ≤0.001                            |
| Whole body fat free soft tissue mass (FFSTM) (kg) | 0.29 (0.18;0.40)                  | ≤0.001                             | 0.21 (0.10;0.32)                 | ≤0.001                            |
| FM/FFSTM                  | 26.72 (18.80;34.63)               | ≤0.001                             | 9.62 (4.72;14.52)                | ≤0.001                            |
| Body fat %                | 0.53 (0.38;0.68)                  | ≤0.001                             | 0.28 (0.14;0.42)                 | ≤0.001                            |
| Subcutaneous adipose tissue (cm²) | 0.03 (0.02;0.03)               | ≤0.001                             | 0.01 (0.00;0.02)                 | ≤0.001                            |
| Visceral adipose tissue (cm²) | 0.09 (0.07;0.11)                  | ≤0.001                             | 0.05 (0.03;0.07)                 | ≤0.001                            |

### Table 3: Bivariate logistic regression models presenting different body composition parameters as predictors of hypertension in urban black male and female South African adults

|                      | Male                           | Female                          |
|----------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------------|
|                      | Odds Ratio (95% CI)            | p value                         | Odds Ratio (95% CI)             | p value                         |
| Body mass index (kg/m²) | 1.11 (1.08;1.14)              | ≤0.001                          | 1.03 (1.01;1.05)                | 0.003                          |
| Waist Circumference (cm) | 1.04 (1.03;1.05)              | ≤0.001                          | 1.02 (1.01;1.03)                | ≤0.001                         |
| Whole body fat mass (FM) (kg) | 1.06 (1.04;1.08)              | ≤0.001                          | 1.02 (1.00;1.04)                | 0.04                           |
| Whole body fat free soft tissue mass (FFSTM) (kg) | 1.04 (1.03;1.06)              | ≤0.001                          | 1.03 (1.00;1.05)                | 0.03                           |
| FM/FFSTM                | 70.37 (18.47; 268.16)          | ≤0.001                          | 2.48 (0.86;7.21)                | 0.09                           |
| Body fat %             | 1.09 (1.06; 1.12)              | ≤0.001                          | 1.03 (1.00;1.06)                | 0.06                           |
| Subcutaneous adipose tissue (cm²) | 1.004 (1.003;1.005)         | ≤0.001                          | 1.001 (1.000;1.002)             | 0.03                           |
| Visceral adipose tissue (cm²) | 1.01 (1.00;1.02)              | ≤0.001                          | 1.007 (1.003;1.01)              | 0.001                          |
men and women that was significantly associated with increased odds of being hypertensive in the multiple regression analysis. In another South African study, WC and VAT were significant determinants of all metabolic risk variables including blood pressure, in both white and black South African women [25]. The mechanisms by which central adiposity may cause hypertension are not fully understood. However, there are a number of hypotheses which include insulin resistance, secretion of angiotensinogen and inflammatory cytokines by adipocytes, accumulation of VAT that disturbs the renal medulla and consequently increases sodium reabsorption [17].

**FM/FFSTM ratio and gender differences**

FM/FFSTM ratio seemed to be the strongest predictor of hypertension in the bivariate analysis. This could be attributed to this variable being a ratio and it additionally strongly correlates to other BC parameters. Studies have explored different body composition ratios and how they predict various CVD risk factors including BP. Findings from the Korean National Health Nutrition Examination Survey (KNHANES) also found that sarcopenic obese subjects had greater risk of hypertension compared to only obese or sarcopenia [26].

Significant sex differences in blood pressure and hypertension have been observed in other studies [27] where men had higher BP and were more likely to be hypertensive compared to women at similar ages. Blood pressure has been shown to increase with aging in both genders [27], though comparatively higher levels remain in men [27]. However, the Cardiovascular Risk in Black South Africans study conducted in the urban black population of Cape Town reported an age-standardised hypertension prevalence of 38.9% with similar rates observed between men and women [18]. Women have been suggested to be less responsive to how they predict various CVD risk factors including BP. Findings from the Korean National Health Nutrition Examination Survey (KNHANES) also found that sarcopenic obese subjects had greater risk of hypertension compared to only obese or sarcopenia [26].

Conclusions

All body composition parameters were associated with hypertension and FM/FFSTM ratio showed the strongest relationship. It was reassuring that WC remains a useful measure of central adiposity that can be used as a risk indicator for hypertension if more sophisticated measures are not available. Furthermore, our data in part, implies that reducing abdominal adiposity in aging adults could contribute to reducing the risk of elevated blood pressure and hypertension.

**Additional file**

Additional file 1: Table S1. Multivariate regression models examining the association between body composition parameters and blood pressure and hypertension in urban male and female black South African*. (DOCX 13 kb)

**Abbreviations**

ASR: Age standardised incidence rates; AWI-GEN: Africa Wits-INDEPTH partnership for Genomic Research; BP: Blood pressure; BMI: Body mass index; CV: Coefficient of variance; DBP: Diastolic blood pressure; DALYs: Disability-adjusted life-years; DXA: Dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry; FFSTM: Fat free soft tissue mass; FM: Fat mass; HIV: Human immune-deficiency virus; KNHANES: Korean National Health Nutrition Examination Survey; LMICs: Low-
income and middle-income countries; NHANES: National Health and Nutrition Examination Surveys; NCDs: Non-communicable diseases; SES: Socio economic status; SPSS: Statistical Package for Social Sciences; SAT: Subcutaneous adipose tissue; SBP: Systolic blood pressure; VIFs: Variance inflation factors; VAT: Visceral adipose tissue; WC: Waist circumference

Acknowledgements
We would like to thank the study participants, and the clinical, laboratory, field workers, data management and administrative staff who worked on this project.

Funding
We are thankful to all the study participants and the following funders: The AWI-GEN Collaborative Centre is funded by the NIH (1U54HG006938) as part of the H3Africa Consortium. MR is a South African Research Chair in Genomics and Bioinformatics of African populations hosted by the University of the Witwatersrand, funded by the Department of Science and Technology and administered by National Research Foundation of South Africa (NRF SA). SAN supported by the UK MRC/DID African Research Leader Award. Birth to Twenty funders that have supported the cohort include: The University of the Witwatersrand, South African Medical Research Council, Welcome trust (UK) and the DST-NRF Centre of Excellence in Human Development. The SWEET data collection on the Birth to Twenty cohort was funded by the National Health Laboratory Service Research Trust and the NRF SA.

Availability of data and materials
The dataset generated during and/or analysed during the current study are not publicly available but are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Authors’ contributions
PTP, SAN and MR conceptualised and designed the study. SAN, LKM, JK, NJC, MR and SAN developed the study instruments and sought ethical approval. SAN, LKM, JK, MR, NJC and SAN supervised the data collection. PTP analysed the data with support from SAN, JK, LKM and NJC. PTP wrote the first draft of the manuscript and all authors provided critical review. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Ethics approval and consent to participate
The study was approved by the University of Witwatersrand Human Research Ethics Committee. Written, informed consent was obtained from all study participants after full explanation of the study objectives and testing procedures.

Competing interests
The authors declare they have no competing interests.

Publisher’s Note
Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Author details
1 MRC/Wits Developmental Pathways for Health Research Unit, Department of Paediatrics, Faculty of Health Sciences, University of the Witwatersrand, Johannesburg, South Africa. 2 Sydney Brenner Institute for Molecular Bioscience and Division of Human Genetics, University of the Witwatersrand, Johannesburg, South Africa. 3 Department of Chemical Pathology, National Health Laboratory Service, University of the Witwatersrand Medical School, Johannesburg, South Africa.

Received: 10 October 2017 Accepted: 11 April 2018
Published online: 19 April 2018

References
1. GBD 2015 Risk Factors Collaborators. Global, regional, and national comparative risk assessment of 79 behavioural, environmental and occupational, and metabolic risks or clusters of risks, 1990-2015: a systematic analysis for the global burden of disease study 2015. Lancet. 2016;388(10053):1659–724.
2. NCD Risk Factor Collaboration (NCD RisC). Worldwide trends in blood pressure from 1975 to 2015: a pooled analysis of 1479 population-based measurement studies with 19.1 million participants. Lancet. 2017;389(10064):37–55.
3. Pisa PT, Behranan R, Vorster HH, Kruger A. Social drift of cardiovascular disease risk factors in Africans from the north West Province of South Africa: the PURE study. Cardiovasc J Afr. 2012;23(7):371–88.
4. Popkin BM, Adair LS, Ng SW. Global nutrition transition and the pandemic of obesity in developing countries. Nutr Rev. 2012;70(1):2–13.
5. Vorster HH. The emergence of cardiovascular disease during urbanisation of Africans. Public Health Nutr. 2002;5(1A):239–43.
6. Hasumi T, Jacobsen KH. Hypertension in south African adults: results of a nationwide survey. J Hypertens. 2012;30(11):2086–104.
7. Kruger HS, Venter CS, Vorster HH, Margetts BM. Physical inactivity is the major determinant of obesity in black women in the north West Province, South Africa: the THUSA study. Nutrition. 2002;18(5):422–7.
8. Hill JL, You W, Zoellner JM. Disparities in obesity among rural and urban residents in a health disparate region. BMC Public Health. 2014;14(1051–114.
9. Schall J. Sex differences in the response of blood pressure to modernization. Am J Hum Biol. 1995;7(2):159–72.
10. Roger VL, Go AS, Lloyd-Jones DM, Benjamin EJ, Berry JD, Borden WB, Bravata DM, Dai S, Ford ES, Fox CS, et al. Heart disease and stroke statistics—2012 update: a report from the American Heart Association. Circulation. 2012;125(1):e2–e202.
11. Wang Y, Wang QJ. The prevalence of prehypertension and hypertension among US adults according to the new joint national committee guidelines: new challenges of the old problem. Arch Intern Med. 2004;164(19):1826–34.
12. Wilson PW, D’Agostino RB, Sullivan L, Parise H, Kannell WB. Overweight and obesity as determinants of cardiovascular risk: the Framingham experience. Arch Intern Med. 2002;162(16):1867–72.
13. George C, Goedecke JH, Crowther NJ, Jaff NG, Kengne AP, Norris SA, Mickslefield LK. The role of body fat and fat distribution in hypertension risk in urban black south African women. PLoS One. 2016;11(5):e0154894.
14. Ellis KJ. Selected body composition methods can be used in field studies. J Nutr. 2001;131(5):955–55.
15. Mickslefield LK, Goedecke JH, Punyanitya M, Wilson KE, Kelly TL. Dual-energy X-ray performs as well as clinical computed tomography for the measurement of visceral fat. Obesity (Silver Spring). 2012;20(5):1109–14.
16. Hayashi T, Boyko EJ, Leonetti DL, McNeely MJ, Newell-Morris L, Kahn SE, Fujimoto WY. Visceral adiposity and the prevalence of hypertension in Japanese Americans. Circulation. 2003;108(14):1718–23.
17. Landsberg L, Aronne LJ, Beilin LJ, Burke V, Jigel L, Lloyd-Jones D, Sowers J. Obesity-related hypertension: pathogenesis, cardiovascular risk, and treatment—a position paper of the obesity Society and the American Society of Hypertension. Obesity (Silver Spring). 2013;21(18):20–24.
18. Peer N, Steyn K, Lombard C, Grebusha N, Levitt N. A high burden of hypertension in the urban black population of cape town: the cardiovascular risk in black south Africans (CRIBSA) study. PLoS One. 2013;8(1):e78567.
19. Poitier P, Lemieux I, Mauriege P, Devailly E, Blanchet C, Bergeron J, Despres JP. Impact of waist circumference on the relationship between blood pressure and insulin: the Quebec health survey. Hypertension. 2005;45(3):363–7.
20. Guwatsuddi D, Nankya-Mutyaba J, Kalysubula R, Laurence C, Adabarnowo C, Ajayi I, Bajunirwe F, Njiketela M, Chiwanga FS, Reid T, et al. The burden of hypertension in sub-Saharan Africa: a four-country cross sectional study. BMC Public Health. 2011;15(12111–8.
21. Lloyd-Jones DM, Liu K, Colangelo LA, Yan LL, Klein L, Loria CM, Lewis CE, Savage P. Consistently stable or decreased body mass index in young adulthood and longitudinal changes in metabolic syndrome components: the coronary artery risk development in young adults study. Circulation. 2007;115(8):1004–11.
22. Goedecke JH, Levitt NS, Evans J, Elliman N, Hume Kotze L, Toota L, Victor H, Keswell D. The role of adipose tissue in insulin resistance in women of African ancestry. J Obes. 2013:2013:1–10.
23. Rush EC, Goedecke JH, Jennings C, Mickslefield L, Dugas L, Lambert EV, Plank LD, BMI, fat and muscle differences in urban women of five ethnicities from two countries. Int J Obes. 2003;31(8):1232–9.
24. Poitier P, Despres JP. Waist circumference, visceral obesity, and cardiovascular risk. J Cardpulm Rehabil. 2003;23(3):161–9.
25. Evans J, Mickslefield L, Jennings C, Levitt NS, Lambert EV, Olsson T, Goedecke JH. Diagnosis of obesity measures to identify metabolic risk factors in south african women. Metab Syndr Relat Disord. 2011;9(5):535–60.
26. Han K, Park YM, Kwon HS, Ko SH, Lee SH, Yim HW, Lee WC, Park YG, Kim MK, Park YM. Sarcopenia as a determinant of blood pressure in older Koreans: findings from the Korea National Health and nutrition examination surveys (KNHANES) 2008-2010. PLoS One. 2014;9(1):e86902.
27. Winberg N, Hoegholm A, Christensen HR, Bang LE, Mikkelsen KL, Nielsen PE, Svendsen TL, Kampmann JP, Madsen NH, Bentzon MW. 24-h ambulatory blood pressure in 352 normal Danish subjects, related to age and gender. Am J Hypertens. 1995;8(10 Pt 1):978–86.
28. Dillon DG, Gurdasani D, Riha J, Ekoru K, Asiki G, Mayanja BN, Levitt NS, Crowther NJ, Nyirenda M, Njelekelwa M, et al. Association of HIV and ART with cardiometabolic traits in sub-Saharan Africa: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Int J Epidemiol. 2013;42(6):1754–71.
29. Schutte AE, Schutte R, Huisman HW, Schutte AE, Schutte R, Huisman HW, et al. Are behavioural risk factors to be blamed for the conversion from optimal blood pressure to hypertensive status in black south Africans? A 5-year prospective study. Int J Epidemiol. 2012;41(4):1114–23.