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**ABSTRACT**

**Objectives:** To study the influence of parity on the fetal prognosis in the Obstetrics and Gynecology department of the Philippe Maguilen Senghor Health Center between January 1, 2011 and December 31, 2018.

**Patients and Method:** We carried out a retrospective, descriptive and analytical study. The study framework was the Philippe Maguilen Senghor Health Center (PMSHC) in Yoff (Dakar) and the study period was from January 1, 2011 to December 31, 2018. Our study included all women who had given birth at the PMSHC. The data was compiled from the service's computer database. Data analysis was carried out with Epi info 7.2 software, Excel 2010 and R 3.4.4 software For parameter estimation, the alpha risk error was set at 5%.

**Results:** Over eight (8) years, 37,801 deliveries were recorded in our structure. The mean age of the patients was 27.34 years +/- 6.23 years. There was 39.11% of primiparas (PMP) and 11.06% of large multiparas (LMP). Compared with the fetuses of multiparous patients, fetuses of primiparous patients had 1.09 times (CI = [1.00-1.19]) more risk of prematurity, 1.26 times (CI = [1.13-1.40]) more risk of exceeding term, 1.5 times (CI = [1.42-1.59]) more risk of low birth weight (LBW), 0.44 times (CI = 0.39-0.50) less risk of macrosomia, 0.51 times (CI = [0.30-0.86]) less risk of hydramnios, 1.86 times (CI = [1.28-2.71]) more risk of oligo-amnios, 1.19 times (CI = [1.01-1.40]) more risk of in utero fetal death, 1.75 times (CI = [1.64-1.87]) more risk of having an Apgar score at the 5th minute < 7. Compared with the fetuses of multiparous patients, fetuses of large multiparous patients had 1.14 times (CI = [1.00-1.30]) more risk of prematurity, 1.10 times (CI = [1.00-1.21]) more risk of low birth weight, 1.67 times (CI = [1.47-1.89]) more risk of macrosomia, 1.19 times (CI = [1.01-1.40]) more risk of in utero fetal death, 1.14 times (CI = [1.02-1.28]) more risk to have an Apgar score at the 5th minute < 7.

**Conclusion:** Compared with the fetuses of multiparous patients, fetuses of primiparous patients and fetuses of large multiparous patients are at greater risk for certain complications (prematurity, exceeded pregnancy term, LBW, macrosomia, oligo-amnios, in utero fetal death and an Apgar score at the 5th minute < 7). Thus, to improve the fetal prognosis, pregnancies in primiparas and large multiparas require more monitoring.

**Introduction**

Although any pregnancy carries risks that can leave sequelae or have a fatal outcome, there are some that carry more risks. Indeed, several studies have shown that compared to multiparas, primiparas and large multiparas have an increased risk of complications...
during pregnancy, labor and delivery and if not properly managed, these complications can lead to an increase in morbidity and mortality in the mother-child pair [1-3]. Despite this, in Senegal, few studies have examined the relationship and nature of fetal complications related to parity. Therefore, in order to overcome this lack of studies on this subject, we conducted a study with the aim of studying the influence of parity on the fetal prognosis in the Obstetrics and Gynecology department of the Philippe Maguilen Senghor Health Center between January 1, 2011 and December 31, 2018.

Patients and Methods

We carried out a retrospective, descriptive and analytical study. The study framework was the Philippe Maguilen Senghor Health Center (PMSHC) in Yoff (Dakar) and the study period was from January 1, 2011 to December 31, 2018. Our study included all women who had given birth at the PMSHC. The following data were collected:

a) socio-demographic data: age, parity and year of admission;

b) data related to the fetal prognosis: prematurity, hydramnios, oligoamnios, macrosomia, low birth weight, in utero fetal death and Apgar score.

Data analysis was done using the following softwares: Microsoft Excel, Epi Info 7.2 and R 4.3.3. The bivariate analysis allowed us to search for associations between the variables while using the appropriate statistical tests according to their conditions of applicability. The alpha error risk was set at 5% and the confidence interval at 95%.

Results

Descriptive Results

Our study population was 37,801 patients. The mean age of the patients was 27.34 years with a standard deviation of 6.23 years. The extreme ages were 13 years and 53 years with a median of 27 years. There was 39.11% of primiparas (PMP) and 11.06% of large multiparas (LMP). The following figure shows the distribution of patients according to parity (Figure 1). The number of admitted patients increased year after year to reach a maximum in 2018 with 19.34% (or 7,310 patients). The following figure shows the distribution of subjects by year of admission (Figure 2).

Figure 1: Distribution of patients according to parity. N=37,801.

Figure 2: Distribution of subjects by year of admission. N=37,801.

Fetal Prognosis

Prematurity was noted in 7.17% of primiparas, 6.60% of multiparas and 7.46 of large multiparas. Exceeded pregnancy term was present in 5.55% of primiparas, 3.65% of multiparas and 3.42% of large multiparas. Low birth weight (LBW) fetus was present in 19.75% of primiparas, 14.09% of multiparas and 15.29% of large
multiparas. Macrosomia was noted in 2.42% of primiparas, 5.32% of multiparas and 8.56% of large multiparas. Hydramnios was present in 0.14% of primiparas, 0.27% of multiparas and 0.33% of large multiparas. The proportion of patients who had a fetus with a 5th minute Apgar score < 7 was 16.09% in primiparas, 9.87% in multiparas and 11.12% in large multiparas. In-utero fetal death was noticed in 1.90% of primiparas, 1.60% of multiparas and 2.08% of large multiparas. The proportion of patients who had oligo-amnios was 0.45% in primiparas, 0.24% in multiparas and 0.19% in large multiparas. Compared with the fetuses of multiparous patients, fetuses of primiparous patients had 1.09 times (CI = [1.00-1.19]) more risk of prematurity, 1.26 times (CI = [1.13-1.40]) more risk of exceeding term, 1.5 times (CI = [1.42-1.59]) more risk of low birth weight, 0.44 times (CI = [0.39-0.50]) less risk of macrosomia, 0.51 times (CI = [0.30-0.86]) less risk of hydramnios, 1.86 times (CI = [1.28-2.71]) more risk of oligo-amnios, 1.19 times (CI = [1.01-1.40]) more risk of in utero fetal death, 1.75 times (CI = [1.64-1.87]) more risk of having an Apgar score at the 5th minute < 7.

Compared with the fetuses of multiparous patients, fetuses of large multiparous patients had 1.14 times (CI = [1.00-1.30]) more risk of prematurity, 1.10 times (CI = [1.00-1.21]) more risk of low birth weight, 1.67 times (CI = [1.47-1.89]) more risk of macrosomia, 1.19 times (CI = [1.01-1.40]) more risk of in utero fetal death, 1.14 times (CI = [1.02-1.28]) more risk to have an Apgar score at the 5th minute < 7. The differences observed between fetuses of multiparous patients and fetuses of large multiparous patients were not statistically significant for the following variables: exceeded pregnancy term, hydramnios and oligo-amnios. The following table shows the fetal prognosis according to parity (Table 1).

**Discussion**

**Frequency**

Our study reports a frequency of primiparity of 39.11%. The frequency of women having their first childbirth experience is increasing over time around the world and varies from country to country as the context of each country and society differs. Our frequency is higher than those reported by Okunade [3], Munan [4] and Danish [5] who found frequencies of 15.3%, 19.9% and 27.3% respectively. On the other hand, it is close to those recorded by other authors: 37.7% for Ojiyi [1] and 40% for Latif [6]. The prevalence of 11.06% for large multiparas found in our study is similar to that reported in Saudi Arabia [7] and Nigeria [8], which are mainly Muslim communities like ours, but it is higher than 2%, 0% reported in Lagos [9] where we have a cosmopolitan community with a large Muslim and Christian population. The impact of culture cannot be discounted when considering this topic. Across the Middle East region, India, Pakistan and Africa, large families are highly valued and are a measure of high fertility. In addition, the practice of early marriage and religious beliefs that do not support contraceptive use are considered to be the main issues leading to an increase in the incidence of GMP in these countries [10,11].
Fetal Prognosis

The proportion of patients who presented prematurity was higher in large multiparas (7.46% against 7.17% in first-time mothers and 6.60% in multiparas). The increased frequency of spontaneous premature deliveries in LMPs has also been previously reported by Mgaya [12] and Al Shaikh [7]. On the other hand, low birth weight was more common in first-time mothers (19.75%) and large multiparas (15.29%) compared to multiparas (14.09%). In our study, the risk of prematurity was 1.09 (CI= [1.00-1.19]) in first-time mothers and 1.14 (CI = [1.00-1.3]) in large multiparas. The birth of a low-weight newborn has been found more frequent in first-time mothers in previous studies [4, 13]. As for the risk of low birth weight, it was 1.5 (CI = [1.42-1.59]) in first-time mothers and 1.10 (CI = [1.00-1.21]) in large multiparas. Our results contrast with the observations of some authors who noted a lower frequency of low birth weight in large multiparas [7, 14]. But these two adverse pregnancy outcomes (prematurity and low birth weight) are more likely to be related. Indeed, it should be noted that fetal growth is influenced by other variables such as chronic maternal illnesses, for example: anemia, diabetes and hypertension [15]. Maternal health is another important factor to take into account, a problem that correlates with several adverse pregnancy outcomes.

Recurrent pregnancies and breastfeeding predispose to poor maternal nutrition [16]. These findings, in addition to the high frequency of miscarriage reported by some authors, could be explained by the possible fear of the doctor, but also of the mother, of the loss of the fetus. This may prompt them to perform an early delivery to successfully terminate the pregnancy [7]. The proportion of patients who had a macrosomia fetus was greater in large multiparas (8.56% versus 2.42% in first-time mothers and 5.32% in multiparas). The risk of fetal macrosomia in large multiparas was 1.67 (CI= [1.47-1.89]) in our study. Sissoko [17] and Omole-Ohonsi [8] had found risks of 1.28 (CI= [0.93-1.77]) and 2.27 (CI = [1.72-3.00]) respectively. In our study, oligoamnios was more common in first-time mothers and hydramnios was more common in large multiparas. A study carried out in India also found that first-time mothers were more likely to present with oligo-hydramnios [18].

In our study, primiparas and large multiparas were more likely to have an Apgar score <7 at the 5th minute and to have intrauterine fetal death. Other previous studies have objected to similar results [3, 4, 17, 19].

Conclusion

Compared with the fetuses of multiparous patients, fetuses of primiparous patients and fetuses of large multiparous patients are at greater risk for certain complications (prematurity, exceeded pregnancy term, LBW, macrosomia, oligo-amnios, in utero fetal death and an Apgar score at the 5th minute < 7). Thus to improve the fetal prognosis, pregnancies in primiparas and large multiparas require more monitoring.
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