Comparison of dexmedetomidine vs labetalol for attenuation of haemodynamic stress responses to laryngoscopy and endotracheal intubation
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Abstract

Background: We hypothesized that the effectiveness of dexmedetomidine versus labetalol in attenuation of hemodynamic stress responses of laryngoscopy and intubation and effect of dexmedetomidine in post-operative sedation. Also we studied adverse effect of both the drugs.

Method: Following approval by institutional ethics committee and 60 patients, who posted for elective surgeries under general anaesthesia taken. Study drug was given in 100 ml of saline over 10 mins. Inj dexametomidine 1 microgram/ kg and Inj. labetalol 0.25 mg/kg given and parameters like HR, SBP, DBP, Spo2, and sedation score were monitored immediately and after 3.5 and 10 minutes.

Result: There was a reduction in the heart rate and mean arterial pressure response to intubation in both Dexmedetomidine and labetalol but there was statistically significant reduction of heart rate and arterial pressure response to intubation in Dexmedetomidine group. There was statistically significant sedation in Dexmedetomidine group.

Conclusion: Dexmedetomidine 1μ/Kg given slowly over 10 minutes intravenously 5 minutes prior to induction, attenuates the cardiovascular responses to laryngoscopy and intubation in a better manner than Labetalol 0.25mg/Kg.
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Introduction

Laryngoscopy and endotracheal intubation has become one of the frequently performed procedures in the practice of anaesthesia. The circulatory response to laryngeal and tracheal stimulation following laryngoscopy and intubation was first documented by Reid and Brace [1] in 1940 and by King et al. [2] in 1951. These cardiovascular response is a reflex phenomenon, mediated by vagus (X) & Glossopharyngeal (IX) cranial nerves. Vagus & Glossopharyngeal nerves carry the afferent stimulus from epiglottis & infra glottic region & activate the vasomotor centre to cause a peripheral sympathetic adrenal response to release adrenaline & noradrenaline [3]. There are various types of pretreatments for topical anaesthesia of larynx to administration of several classes of drugs like nitroglycerine, B blockers and opioids have been made. Every technique has its own advantages and disadvantages. Use of multi modal therapy has been in practice to attenuate this response. The increase in Pulse rate, Blood pressure are usually transitory ( peak effect within 1- 2 minutes after intubation which usually normalized within five minutes post intubation) [4]. 'Normal, healthy persons tolerate this response, but in susceptible individuals such as those with hypertension, coronary artery disease, cerebrovascular disease, and intracranial aneurysm this transient sympathetic response can evoke life threatening conditions like arrhythmias, myocardial infraction, left ventricle failure and rupture of aneurysm [5]. Different types of pharmacological & non – pharmacological methods have been used to attenuate the haemodynamic response to laryngoscopy & endotracheal intubation. Dexmedetomidine is a selective alpha 2 agonist that provides multimodal features like sedation, hypnosis, analgesia and sympatholysis, it also decreases level of catecholamines during surgery and maintains intraoperative hemodynamics. Perioperative infusion of dexmedetomidine is effective in attenuating sympahtoadrenal response to tracheal intubation. It has significant anaesthetic and opioid sparing effect [7]. Labetalol is an oral and parenteral antihypertensive adrenergic antagonist that has an. effect on both selective α1 and nonselective β1 and β2.
It has a rapid onset of action, it reaches its peak effect at 5–15 min after i.v. injection, it rapidly redistributes (5.9-min redistribution half-life) [8], and it lowers BP by decreasing systemic vascular resistance (α1-blockade), whereas reflex tachycardia is attenuated by simultaneous β-blockade.

Materials and Methods
This randomised prospective, case control study was conducted after written informed consent of patients and relative, fulfilling inclusion criteria. Following approval by institutional ethics committee and 60 patients who were posted for elective surgeries under general anaesthesia were included in study. Inclusion Criteria are Patients of age between 18-60 years, ASA 1 and 2. Scheduled for elective surgeries to be undertaken in general anaesthesia. Exclusion Criteria includes patient refusal, patients with H/o cardiac, respiratory, renal or hepatic dysfunction or failure, pregnant females, coagulation disorders, allergy to study medications, patients on adrenoreceptors agonists or antagonists, patients with difficult intubation and those patients in whom intubation was attempted for more than 30 second. All patients were examined thoroughly pre-operatively and history was taken in detailed regarding previous drug therapy, drug sensitivity, any surgical intervention carried out, anaesthesia and any complication if occurred. All necessary investigation were advised. All patients were randomly divided in two groups about which patients were not aware. The drug administrator and who record parameter was same person.

Patient was taken on OT table and after securing iv line baseline parameters were recorded. These parameters were heart rate (HR), systolic blood pressure (SBP), diastolic blood pressure (DBP), oxygen saturation (spo2), sedation score. Patients were pre medicated with inj glycopyrrolate 4 microgram/ kg, fentanyl 1 microgram/ kg, and ondansetron 0.15 mg/kg iv. According to group study drug was given in 100 ml of saline over 10 mins. Group D, inj. dexmedetomidine 1 microgram/ kg and group L, inj labetalol 0.25 mg/kg given. After injection of study drug parameters like HR, SBP, DBP, Spo2, and sedation score were monitored immediately and after 3 and 5 minutes. All patients were pre oxygenated for 5 minutes with 100% oxygen. Any hypotension if occurred (SBP < 20%of baseline) or bradycardia (HR< 50 beats per minute) was treated with injection Mephentermine 6mg and injection Atropin 0.6 mg respectively. After 10 minutes of giving study drug conventional GA was given to all patients with injection thiopentone sodium (4-7mg/kg) till loss of eyelash reflex followed by injection suxamethonium 2mg/kg, ventilation of lungs was assisted till disappearance of facciulation. Laryngoscopy was performed by resident doctor for tracheal intubation. HR,SBP,DBP,MAP,Spo2 were recored during surgery 2.5,10 minutes after intubation During surgery anaesthesia was maintained with sevoflurane/Desflurane inhalation anaesthesia in oxygen with injection vecuronium/atracurium as muscle relaxant. Intraoperatively hemodynamic parameters were recorded every 15 minutes till extubation. Complication were recorded. After completion of surgery neuromuscular block was reversed by injection neostigmine 50 microgram/kg and injection glycopyrrolate 8 microgram/kg. After complete recovery patient was shifted to PACU and monitored. Sedation score was accessed post operatively till 8 hours. Analysis was done using SPSS version 20 (IBM SPSS

Statistics Inc., Chicago, Illinois, USA) Windows software program. The variables were assessed for normality using the Kolmogorov Smirnov test. Descriptive statistics included computation of percentages, means and standard deviations. Both Paired and un-paired t-test (student t-test) were used for quantitative data comparison. Chi-square test used for the analysis of qualitative data. Level of significance was set at p<0.05.

Results and Discussion
The study was done in 60 patients belonging to ASA class I and II undergoing elective surgeries under general anaesthesia. The groups were matched for demographic data, and there was no statistically significant difference found between the groups in age and sex (table 1, 2). Baseline parameters are comparable between groups (table 3). There is no statistically significant difference between the groups. Heart rate decreased after injection of the drug in D and L group. The fall in heart rate was more in group D than in group L. SBP after injection of drug and after induction were comparable between the groups. There is no statistically significant difference (p>0.005). After laryngoscopy and intubation, SBP decreased in group D and L (p<0.05). In group D the pressures response after intubation at 2, 5, 10 minutes interval were less than group L. DBP after injection of drug and after induction were comparable between the groups. There is no statistically significant difference (p>0.005). After laryngoscopy and intubation DBP decreased in group D and L (p<0.05). In group D the pressures response after intubation at 2, 5, 10 minutes interval were less than group L. There is no significant difference in SPO2 in both the groups. There was statistically significant difference in sedation score of dexmedetomidine group. But the average sedation score was 2 that is all patients were calm and tranquil in Group D. Direct Laryngoscopy and tracheal intubation during general anaesthesia leads to sympathetic stimulation and release of plasma catecholamines which manifests clinically as tachycardia, hypertension along with raised intraocular and intracerebral pressure [13]. Hemodynamic and hormonal responses to tracheal intubation can be profound and associated with serious cardiovascular and cerebral side effects [14]. Normally these hemodynamic responses are transient having its peak effect within 1-2 minutes after intubation and is normalized within five minutes post intubation 4.

The response may be unpredictable in duration as it also depends upon comorbid condition of the patients. Sometimes the abrupt increase in systolic blood pressure may lead to untoward effects in patients of cardiovascular and cerebrovascular diseases [15]. An increase in heart rate, together with elevation of systolic blood pressure increases the rate pressure product, thus compromising myocardial contractility and oxygen supply. In our study we compared Dexametomidine 1µ/kg and labetalol 0.25mg/kg. Both the drugs produce peak effect after 5 minutes. We had induced all the patients 5 minutes after test drug. In our study dexmedetomidine is given over 10 min with continuous monitoring of heart rate, none of the patients developed bradycardia that required atropine. Bradycardia after dexmedetomidine was reported in some studies with the bolus injection. Scheinin et al reported that the use of α2 agonist leads to bradycardia [10].
In our study in group D, the mean baseline SBP was 119.8±8.45. At no point of time during the post intubation period did the mean SBP rise above the baseline mean SBP value of the study population in this group. (table 4, fig- 2) In the study by Menda F et al. [12], they found that the SBP values were below the baseline values in the Dexmedetomidine group at all measurement times, which was in accordance with our results. 

Our study results also concurred with the results of Keniya VM et al. [7] and Yildiz M et al. [11], who observed that although not completely abolished, Dexmedetomidine administered at a dose of 1μg/kg IV was able to suppress the SBP response to laryngoscopy and intubation. Dexmeditomidine over 10 min with continuous monitoring of arterial oxygen saturation with pulse oxymeter showed no desaturation (spo2 <95%) in any patient. Ebert et al. didn’t observe any apnea, airway obstruction and hypoxemia with bolus doses of dexmedetomidine in their study and they reported that depression of respiration may be seen due to deep sedation. In another study in which the infusion of opioid and α2 adrenergic agonists were compared, it was concluded that dexmedetomidine doesn’t cause significant respiratory depression and it decreases the risk of apnea. Hofer et al. reported that dexmedetomidine seems to be a good choice in the critical patients in whom ventilation can be depressed with narcotics [17, 18]. Labetalol in a dose of 0.25mg/kg had reduced the heart rate. But the reduction was modest compared to dexmexitomidine (table-4) the reduction in arterial pressure after labetalol was mild (table-5) that was statistically insignifcant. Dexmedetomidine preinjection effectively attenuated the hemodynamic response to intubation compared to labetalol. (Table-4, 5, 6).

In our study there was statistically significant difference in sedation score of dexmedetomidine group and it was maximum 3.0± .01 (table 10) after 5 minutes of administrating the drug,similar results were seen in study by carollo DS et al. [18] and keating GM et al. [19] and 2 hours Post extubation sedation score was 2.63 ± 0.49. Which is statistically significant. All patients were sedated but arrousable and calm, as stated by study conducted Constantin J-M et al. [20], the use of dexmedetomidine has resulted in shorter time of extubation as well as reduced incidence of agitated delirium post operatively stated by Reade MC et al. [21] Extubation is equally important as it can be detrimental for high risk patients. Dexmedetomidine as well as labetalol enabled a smooth change over during reversal till post-extubation phase. Due to analgesic and sympatholytic property, dexmedetomidine had led to stable haemodynamics with good control of heart rate and blood pressure when compared to labetalol at the time of extubation as well as postoperatively.

### Table 1: Distribution of gender in both the groups

| Group | Male | Female | Total |
|-------|------|--------|-------|
| D     | 10   | 14     | 24    |
| L     | 20   | 14     | 34    |

### Table 2: Distribution of age in both the groups

| Age | Group D | Group L | p-value |
|-----|---------|---------|---------|
| 25-45 | 10 | 14 | 0.292 (NS) |
| 46-65 | 14 | 10 | 0.141 (NS) |

### Table 3: Base Line Parameters

| Parameter | Group D | Group L | p-value |
|-----------|---------|---------|---------|
| Heat rate | 83.1133 | 83.9509 | 0.243 (NS) |
| SBP | 119.8000 | 119.8000 | 0.463 (NS) |
| DBP | 80.2667 | 80.8333 | 0.206 (NS) |
| spo2 | 99.0333 | 98.8688 | 0.831 (NS) |
| SS | 100.0000 | 100.0000 | 1.000 (NS) |

### Table 4: Intergroup comparison of SBP

| Time | Group D | Group L | p-value |
|------|---------|---------|---------|
| At 0 Minute | 119.8000 | 122.9000 | 0.202 (NS) |
| Immediately After Administration of drug | 118.8000 | 114.7667 | 0.250 (NS) |
| At 3 Minutes | 116.2333 | 112.9667 | 0.092 (NS) |
| At 5 Minutes | 108.1000 | 109.4667 | 0.395 (NS) |
| Immediately After Intubation | 118.9333 | 131.1000 | 0.001 (Sig) |
| 2 minutes After Intubation | 116.9333 | 127.6667 | 0.001 (Sig) |
| 5 minutes After Intubation | 114.5000 | 124.9667 | 0.001 (Sig) |
| 10 minutes After Intubation | 111.9333 | 121.9333 | 0.001 (Sig) |
Table 5: Intergroup comparison of DBP

|                  | Group D      | Group L      | p-value |
|------------------|--------------|--------------|---------|
|                  | Mean         | Std. Deviation | Mean     | Std. Deviation |         |
| At 0 Minute      | 80.2667      | 4.86319      | 81.2333 | 6.70915        | 0.013 (NS) |
| Immediately After Administration of drug | 74.1000      | 5.10139      | 76.6667 | 6.32637        | 0.089 (NS) |
| At 3 Minutes     | 72.8667      | 4.79751      | 75.7000 | 5.87308        | 0.045 (Sig) |
| At 5 Minutes     | 72.5333      | 4.45462      | 74.2667 | 5.85417        | 0.202 (NS) |
| Immediately After Intubation | 79.8000      | 5.56095      | 88.0667 | 5.81872        | 0.001 (Sig) |
| 2 minutes After Intubation | 74.4000      | 5.62997      | 86.5667 | 6.09513        | 0.001 (Sig) |
| 5 minutes After Intubation | 74.9333      | 4.20946      | 84.2000 | 5.96773        | 0.001 (Sig) |
| 10 minutes After Intubation | 73.7333      | 3.46344      | 80.8000 | 4.45978        | 0.001 (Sig) |

Table 6: Intergroup comparison of SPO2

|                  | Group D      | Group L      | p-value |
|------------------|--------------|--------------|---------|
|                  | Mean         | Std. Deviation | Mean     | Std. Deviation |         |
| At 0 Minute      | 99.0333      | .66868       | 99.0000 | .52523         | 0.831 (NS) |
| Immediately After Administration of drug | 98.7000      | .70221       | 99.6000 | .49827         | 0.001 (Sig) |
| At 3 Minutes     | 99.1333      | .81931       | 99.0000 | .74278         | 0.512 (NS) |
| At 5 Minutes     | 98.8667      | 1.07425      | 98.6667 | 1.02833        | 0.464 (NS) |
| Immediately After Intubation | 99.8667      | .34575       | 99.9000 | .30513         | 0.694 (NS) |
| 2 minutes After Intubation | 99.7667      | .43018       | 99.9000 | .30513         | 0.171 (NS) |
| 5 minutes After Intubation | 99.8667      | .34575       | 99.9000 | .30513         | 0.694 (NS) |
| 10 minutes After Intubation | 99.9000      | .30513       | 99.8667 | .34575         | 0.694 (NS) |

Conclusion
The results of this study demonstrated that dexmedetomidine is an effective agent for blunting the hemodynamic response to laryngoscopy and tracheal intubation. There was significant decreased in hemodynamic parameter like HR, SBP, DBP and MAP from baseline after laryngoscopy and tracheal intubation in dexmedetomidine group as compared to labetalol. The difference was statistically significant and without any side effect. We conclude that, Dexmedetomidine 1μ/Kg given slowly over 10 minutes intravenously 5 minutes prior to induction,
attenuates the cardiovascular responses to laryngoscopy and intubation in a better manner than Labetalol 0.25mg/Kg.
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