Domain assembly of NAADP-gated two-pore channels
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TPCs (two-pore channels) have recently been identified as targets for the Ca\(^{2+}\)-mobilizing messenger NAADP (nicotinic acid–adenine dinucleotide phosphate). TPCs have a unique structure consisting of cytosolic termini, two hydrophobic domains (I and II) each comprising six transmembrane regions and a pore, and a connecting cytosolic loop; however, little is known concerning how these channels are assembled. In the present paper, we report that both domain I and II of human TPCs are capable of independent insertion into membranes, whereas the loop linking the domains fails to insert. Pairs of transmembrane regions within domain I of TPC1 are also capable of insertion, consistent with sequential translational integration of hydrophobic regions. Insertion of the first two transmembrane regions, however, was inefficient, indicating possible interaction between transmembrane regions during translation. Both domains, and each pair of transmembrane regions within domain I, were capable of forming oligomers, highlighting marked redundancy in the molecular determinants driving oligomer formation. Each hydrophobic domain formed dimers upon cross-linking. The first four transmembrane regions of TPC1 also formed dimers, whereas transmembrane regions 5 and 6, encompassing the pore loop, formed both dimers and tetramers. TPCs thus probably assemble as dimers through differential interactions between transmembrane regions. The present study provides new molecular insight into the membrane insertion and oligomerization of TPCs.
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INTRODUCTION

NAADP (nicotinic acid–adenine dinucleotide phosphate) is a potent Ca\(^{2+}\)-mobilizing messenger, active in a wide variety of cells [1–4]. It is produced in response to a number of extracellular cues including hormones such as cholecystokinin [5] and neurotransmitters such as glutamate [6] (reviewed in [7]). NAADP-evoked Ca\(^{2+}\) signals have been implicated in many Ca\(^{2+}\)-dependent processes ranging from fertilization [8,9] to blood pressure control [10] (reviewed in [11]). Antagonizing NAADP action has recently been shown to reduce symptoms of autoimmune encephalomyelitis [12]. In a multitude of cells, NAADP appears to mobilize Ca\(^{2+}\) from acidic organelles [13], such as lysosomes [5], secretory vesicles [14] and endosomes [15], the so-called ‘acidic Ca\(^{2+}\) stores’ [16,17]. These signals are often amplified by archetypal Ca\(^{2+}\) channels (inositol trisphosphate and ryanodine receptors) on the ER (endoplasmic reticulum) [18]. NAADP is thus thought to trigger complex Ca\(^{2+}\) signals [4]. However, other lines of evidence suggest that NAADP mobilizes Ca\(^{2+}\) from the ER through direct activation of ryanodine receptors [19,20] or stimulates Ca\(^{2+}\) influx [21,22]. Thus, despite its established physiological and likely pathophysiological importance, the molecular basis for Ca\(^{2+}\) release by NAADP is not entirely clear [23].

Of note are a series of reports from independent laboratories that have converged on the TPCs (two-pore channels) as likely NAADP targets responsible for the mobilization of Ca\(^{2+}\) from acidic organelles [24–26]. Three isoforms (TPC1–TPC3) are present in sea urchins [27,28] (where the effects of NAADP are poorly characterized family of ion channels as the long sought NAADP target, important for Ca\(^{2+}\)-dependent function. At this early stage, little is known concerning the molecular architecture of TPCs. TPCs are members of the superfamily of voltage-gated ion channels [43]. They are structurally unusual in that they contain two homologous hydrophobic domains (I and II) each comprising six TM (transmembrane) regions and a pore (Figure 1) connected by a cytosolic loop. This contrasts with voltage-sensitive K\(^{+}\) channels and TRP (transient receptor potential) channels which contain one such domain, and voltage-sensitive Na\(^{+}/Ca\(^{2+}\) channels which contain four [43]. The basic topology of TPCs has recently been defined [44] using a combination of fluorescence protease protection assays, antibody epitope mapping and N-glycosylation analysis. In the present study, we address membrane insertion and oligomerization of TPCs.

**Abbreviations used:** BS\(^{3}\), bis(sulfosuccinimidyl) suberate; ER, endoplasmic reticulum; GFP, green fluorescent protein; HEK, human embryonic kidney; NAADP, nicotinic acid–adenine dinucleotide phosphate; PNGase F, peptide N-glycosidase F; TM, transmembrane; TPC, two-pore channel; TRP, transient receptor potential.
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coding C-terminally Myc-tagged domain I of TPC2 was generated from pCS2 + ClaI/EcoRI and ligated together with the EcoRI/XbaI fragment of pCS2+TPC1 and TPC3. The coding sequences of all plasmids were fully sequenced.

The coding C-terminally GFP-tagged TPC1 fragments corresponding sites of pCS2+TPC1–GFP (encoding the GFP tag) [44] with the corresponding region of the following standards (2 mg/ml) run in parallel and detected using the BCA protein assay: cytochrome c (12 kDa), alcohol dehydrogenase (150 kDa), β-amyrase (200 kDa) and apoferritin (443 kDa).

Sucrose-density-gradient centrifugation
Detergent-solubilized cell homogenates (200 μl) were layered on to 1.8 ml 5–20% (w/v) sucrose density gradients (prepared in 3% increments in solubilization buffer) and centrifuged in a swing-out rotor at 166 000 g for 3.5 h at 4°C. Fractions (195 μl) were collected from the top of the gradient and aliquots (20 μl) were subject to SDS/PAGE followed by Western blot analysis. Gradients were calibrated by analysing the distribution of the following standards (2 mg/ml) run in parallel and detected using the BCA protein assay: cytochrome c (12 kDa), alcohol dehydrogenase (150 kDa), β-amyrase (200 kDa) and apoferritin (443 kDa).

Gel filtration
Detergent-solubilized cell homogenates (200 μl) were injected on to a Superdex 200 HR 10/30 column linked to an

HEK-293 cells expressing the specified Myc-tagged constructs were resuspended in intracellular-like buffer composed of 110 mM potassium acetate, 2 mM MgCl2 and 20 mM sodium Hepes (pH 7.2). Samples (100 μl) were sonicated (1 × 5 s burst), centrifuged for 10 min at 4°C at 10000 g (to remove debris and nuclei) and the supernatant was recovered and diluted either in an equal volume of intracellular-like buffer or 0.2 M Na2CO3 (pH 11). Samples were incubated at 4°C for 60 min and centrifuged (90000 g for 60 min at 4°C). The supernatant was recovered and the resulting pellet was resuspended into the original volume of the appropriate buffer. Aliquots (typically 10 μl) were subject to SDS/PAGE followed by Western blot analysis.

Preparation of detergent-solubilized cell homogenates
HEK-293 cells expressing the indicated Myc- and/or GFP-tagged construct(s) were resuspended in solubilization buffer comprising 20 mM Tris/HCl (pH 7.2), 50 mM NaCl, 10 mM magnesium acetate, 1% Triton X-100 (Pierce) and an EDTA-free protease inhibitor mixture (Roche). The suspension was rotated for 60 min at 4°C, centrifuged (90000 g for 60 min at 4°C) and the supernatant was recovered.

Co-immunoprecipitation
Detergent-solubilized cell homogenates (200 μl) were incubated overnight at 4°C with 2.5 μg of anti-Myc antibody (9e10, Santa Cruz Biotechnology) on a rotator. The antibody was recovered by addition of Protein L-agarose-conjugated beads [2.5% (v/v), Santa Cruz Biotechnology] followed by incubation for 3 h at 4°C on a rotator. The beads were washed three times by centrifugation (60 s at 13 000 g) and resuspended in solubilization buffer (60 μl). The samples were then diluted with NuPAGE SDS sample buffer (Invitrogen) supplemented with 100 mM DTT (dithiothreitol). Elution was performed by incubating the beads at 50°C for 60 min followed by centrifugation (60 s at 13 000 g). Aliquots (typically 10 μl) were subject to SDS/PAGE followed by Western blot analysis.

Cell culture and transfection
HEK (human embryonic kidney)-293 cells were cultured and plated as described previously [24]. They were transiently transfected with plasmids using Lipofectamine™ 2000 transfection reagent (Invitrogen) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Cells were harvested 1 day after transfection by scraping, washed once in PBS by centrifugation (500 g for 5 min) and resuspended in the indicated buffer (10 μl/cm2 adherent cells).

Subcellular fractionation
HEK-293 cells expressing the specified Myc-tagged constructs were resuspended in intracellular-like buffer composed of 110 mM potassium acetate, 2 mM MgCl2 and 20 mM sodium Hepes (pH 7.2). Samples (100 μl) were sonicated (1 × 5 s burst), centrifuged for 10 min at 4°C at 10000 g (to remove debris and nuclei) and the supernatant was recovered and diluted either in an equal volume of intracellular-like buffer or 0.2 M Na2CO3 (pH 11). Samples were incubated at 4°C for 60 min and centrifuged (90000 g for 60 min at 4°C). The supernatant was recovered and the resulting pellet was resuspended into the original volume of the appropriate buffer. Aliquots (typically 10 μl) were subject to SDS/PAGE followed by Western blot analysis.
AKTA FPLC system (Amersham Biosciences) and equilibrated with solubilization buffer. Fractions (1 ml) were collected at a flow rate of 0.5 ml/min and concentrated by Microcon YM-10 centrifugal filter devices (Millipore) to ∼60 μl. Equivalent volumes (typically 25 μl) were subjected to SDS/PAGE followed by Western blot analysis. The column was calibrated by analysing the elution of the following standards (10 mg/ml) detected by absorbance at 280 nm: alcohol dehydrogenase (150 kDa), β-amylase (200 kDa), apoferritin (443 kDa) and thyroglobulin (669 kDa).

Chemical cross-linking

HEK-293 cells expressing the specified Myc- or GFP-tagged constructs were resuspended in PBS adjusted to pH 8, sonicated and samples (15 μg) were incubated with the indicated concentration of BS1 [bis(sulfosuccinimidyl) suberate; Thermo Scientific] in a total volume of 20 μl. Following incubation (10 min at 37°C), the pH of the samples was adjusted to 7.2 by addition of Tris. Aliquots (2 μg) were subject to SDS/PAGE followed by Western blot analysis.

Other methods

PNGase F (peptide N-glycosidase F) treatment, SDS/PAGE and Western blot analysis were performed as described previously [44]. Samples were separated using the NuPAGE system (Invitrogen). Both primary (anti-Myc or anti-GFP) and secondary horseradish-peroxidase-conjugated secondary antibodies were used at a 1:1000 dilution. Immunocytochemistry was also performed as described in [44] using Triton X-100-permeabilized cells, an anti-Myc primary antibody (1:100 dilution) and an Alexa Fluor® 568-conjugated secondary antibody (1:100 dilution), except that slides were stained with DAPI (4,6-diamidino-2-phenylindole) prior to mounting.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

To probe the assembly of TPCs, we analysed the 25 constructs schematically depicted in Figure 1. All constructs were tagged at their C-termini with Myc tags or GFP (see the Materials and methods section). In the first set of experiments, we determined whether each hydrophobic domain of the TPC is capable of inserting into the membrane in isolation. To achieve this we performed Western blot analysis of membrane and soluble fractions prepared from cells expressing Myc-tagged domain I and II. We also analysed the connecting loop. As shown in Figure 2(A), domain I of TPC1 (encoded by TPC11–166 –Myc) readily inserted into the membrane since the majority of the expressed protein appeared in the pellet fraction upon high-speed centrifugation. Essentially similar results were obtained using cells expressing domain II encoded by TPC1427–816 –Myc (Figure 2A). Notably, multiple bands were observed for domain II in pellet fractions that were sensitive to PNGase F, which removes carbohydrate residues from asparagine (Supplementary Figure S1A at http://www.BiochemJ.org/bj/441/bj4410317add.htm). These results indicate that domain II is N-glycosylated, as reported previously for full-length TPC1 [44]. Since N-glycosylation occurs within the luminal environment, these data provide further evidence for membrane insertion of domain II. Domain I and domain II of TPC2 encoded by TPC21–339 and TPC2422–752 respectively were also found to readily insert into the membrane (Figure 2B). Similar to TPC1, domain II of TPC2 migrated as a heterogeneous population (Figure 2B). In contrast, the connecting loop of TPC1 encoded by TPC1427–816 –Myc was recovered in the supernatant fraction (Figure 2A). These data, summarized in Figures 2(C) and 2(D), indicate that each hydrophobic domain is capable of independently inserting into the membrane. Accordingly, immunocytochemical analysis of domain I and II of TPC1 showed a non-uniform perinuclear distribution, whereas the connecting loop was distributed evenly throughout the cytosol (Supplementary Figure S1B).

To determine how the individual hydrophobic domains of TPCs insert into the membrane, we focused on domain I of TPC1. We analysed constructs encoding the first two TM regions (TPC11–166–Myc), TM regions 3 and 4 (TPC1167–225–Myc) and TM regions 5 and 6 (TPC1226–347–Myc; Figure 1). Fractionation experiments similar to those described above revealed that a significant proportion of each construct was recovered in the pellet fraction (Figure 3A). These data suggest that TM regions 1, 3 and 5 all possess signal anchor activity, consistent with sequential co-translational integration of each TM region typical of other polytopic membrane proteins [46]. We noted, however, that insertion of TM 1 and 2 was less efficient than the other TM region pairs (summarized in Figure 3C). To further investigate this, we performed experiments where cell homogenates were incubated in the presence of Na2CO3 prior to fractionation. This treatment strips membranes of loosely associated proteins that fail to fully integrate into the membrane. As shown in Figure 3(B), Na2CO3 treatment had a modest effect on insertion of TM 3 and 4 and TM 5 and 6. Thus after treatment the majority of the protein was retained within the membrane (summarized in Figure 3D). However, a majority of TM 1 and 2 was recovered in the supernatant fraction in the presence of Na2CO3 (Figures 3B and 3D). These data further suggest that integration of the first two TM regions is inefficient. We note the presence of charged residues in TM regions 1 and 2 of both TPC1 and TPC2 (Figure 3E) which may inhibit translocation of the nascent polypeptide chain, as reported previously for cystic fibrosis transmembrane conductance regulator [47]. Alternatively, the TM regions may require stabilization by other regions within the domain. In voltage-gated K+ channels, negatively charged residues in the second TM region interact with positively charged residues in the following TM region.
charged residues in the fourth TM region to promote channel maturation [48–50]. Thus biogenesis of TPCs might proceed by both co- and "post"-translational insertion of TM regions.

Previous studies have shown co-immunoprecipitation of heterologously expressed Myc- and GFP-tagged mouse TPC2 [26], indicating that TPCs probably form oligomers. Similar results were obtained with Myc- and GFP-tagged human TPC1 and TPC2 (results not shown). To identify the molecular determinants of the interaction, we analysed extracts from cells co-expressing GFP and Myc-tagged fragments of TPC1 and TPC2. When cell extracts expressing GFP- and Myc-tagged domain I were immunoprecipitated with an anti-Myc antibody and the immunoprecipitates were probed with an anti-GFP antibody, we detected the presence of domain I–GFP (TPC11–347–GFP) by Western blot analysis (Figure 4A). These results indicate that domain I alone is capable of oligomerization. Domain II, which we showed above to insert independently into membranes, was also capable of oligomerization as evidenced by the co-immunoprecipitation of TPC11–225–GFP and TPC1226–347–Myc (Figure 1). Co-immunoprecipitation experiments showed that Myc- and GFP-tagged TM 1–4 interacted (Figure 4A). These data indicate that TM regions 5 and 6 are not necessary for oligomerization of domain I. However, Myc- and GFP-tagged TM 5 and 6 also co-immunoprecipitated (Figure 4B). Similar results were obtained with TM 1 and 2 and TM 3 and 4 (Figure 4B). Thus any pair of TM regions within the first domain is capable of interaction. There is therefore marked redundancy at both the intra- and inter-domain levels in the molecular determinants that govern oligomerization of TPCs.

To further define interacting regions within TPCs, we again focused on domain I of TPC1. Ancestral voltage-gated ion channels were probable oligomeric complexes formed by subunits resembling TM regions 5 and 6, similar to extant K+ leak channels [43]. We therefore analysed Myc- and GFP-tagged constructs corresponding to the first four TM regions (TPC11–225) and TPC1226–347 of domain I (Figure 1). Co-immunoprecipitation experiments showed that Myc- and GFP-tagged TM 1–4 interacted (Figure 4B). These data indicate that TM regions 5 and 6 are not necessary for oligomerization of domain I. However, Myc- and GFP-tagged TM 5 and 6 also co-immunoprecipitated (Figure 4B). Similar results were obtained with TM 1 and 2 and TM 3 and 4 (Figure 4B). Thus any pair of TM regions within the first domain is capable of interaction. There is therefore marked redundancy at both the intra- and inter-domain levels in the molecular determinants that govern oligomerization of TPCs.
Given that TPCs correspond to essentially one half of voltage-sensitive Ca^{2+} and Na^{+} channels, TPCs are likely to form dimers. To gain insight into the domain architecture of the TPC complex we performed cross-linking of the individual hydrophobic domains using the lysine-reactive reagent BS3. Western blot analysis of cell extracts expressing GFP-tagged domain I of TPC1 showed that BS3-induced a clear concentration-dependent accumulation of protein that had a molecular mass approximately twice that in the absence of cross-linker (Table 1). Similar results were obtained using cell extracts expressing GFP-tagged domain II of TPC1 (Figure 5A and Table 1). These results suggest that individual domains can form dimers. Evidence for dimer formation upon cross-linking was also obtained for domain I and domain II of TPC2 (Figure 5B and Table 1). We noted the presence of domain dimers in the absence of cross-linker. Intriguingly this was isoform-specific, observable only for domain II of TPC1 and domain I of TPC2, and particularly notable for the latter. These data are suggestive of differences in the molecular make-up of TPC1 and TPC2.

It is notable that no tetrameric species were observed in the above experiments, given the tetrameric assembly of one-domain channels such as K^{+} channels and TRP channels to which the TPC domains analysed in the present study are analogous. To investigate this further, we performed cross-linking of the first four TM regions (TPC11–225), and TM regions 5 and 6 (TPC1226–347) of TPC1. As shown in Figure 5(C), TPC1 TM 1–4 formed dimers in the presence of cross-linker similar to domain I. In contrast, TM 5 and 6 formed both dimers and tetramers (Figure 5C). These data suggest a ‘dimer of dimers’ arrangement of the first four TM regions where contacts are formed with only one adjacent domain within the full-length dimer of TPCs. In contrast, the central pore is probably formed by interaction between all four pore loops in TM regions 5 and 6 (two from each subunit) (Figure 5D). Results of cross-linking, gel filtration and sucrose-density-gradient centrifugation of full-length TPCs in order to determine native size were equivocal. Thus whereas high molecular mass complexes (>400 kDa) were observed upon cross-linking and gel filtration, TPCs appeared much lighter (~150 kDa) on sucrose density gradients (Supplementary Figure S2 at http://www.BiochemJ.org/bj/441/bj4410317add.htm). Anomalous migration of TPCs is consistent with anomalous migration of endogenous NAADP receptors (detected by radioligand binding) in sea urchin eggs [51].

To conclude, we show that each hydrophobic domain of TPCs is capable of insertion and forming oligomers, probably reflective of the ancestral one-repeat channel from which it evolved. Between TM pairs within a domain, we identify co-operativity during insertion and redundancy during oligomerization. We also present evidence that TPCs probably form dimers involving differential interactions between and within individual domains. The results of the present study provide the first insight into the biogenesis of the TPCs.

Table 1 Summary of domain cross-linking experiments

The size of the monomeric and dimeric sized bands and the ratio (dimer/monomer) is shown for each domain construct analysed. Results are means ± S.E.M. from n experiments.

| Isoform | Domain | Construct | Monomeric size (kDa) | Dimeric size (kDa) | Ratio | n |
|---------|--------|-----------|----------------------|-------------------|-------|---|
| TPC1    | Domain I | TPC11–225–GFP | 66 ± 1 | 138 ± 4 | 2.1 ± 0.07 | 7 |
| TPC1    | Domain II | TPC11226–347–GFP | 70 ± 1 | 156 ± 5 | 2.2 ± 0.06 | 4 |
| TPC2    | Domain I | TPC21–138–GFP | 54 ± 2 | 125 ± 2 | 2.3 ± 0.07 | 3 |
| TPC2    | Domain II | TPC2226–352–GFP | 57 ± 2 | 126 ± 2 | 2.2 ± 0.05 | 3 |

Figure 5 Cross-linking of TPCs

(A–C) Western blot analysis of GFP-tagged hydrophobic domains of TPC1 (A), TPC2 (B) or the indicated TM regions of TPC1 (C). Experiments were performed in the presence of the indicated concentration (in mM) of BS3. The presence of monomeric (M), dimeric (D), tetrameric (T) or high-molecular-mass (H) products are highlighted by the arrows. The molecular mass in kDa is indicated on the left-hand side. (D) Schematic diagram showing the conservation of the four-domain (squares) architecture between tetrameric one-domain channels (e.g. K_{v}, dimeric two-domain channels (TPC) and monomeric four-domain channels (e.g. Ca_{v}). In TPCs, the central pore is likely to be formed by contacts between all four domains within the dimer (shaded regions), whereas regions outside the pore may form contacts with only one neighbouring domain (hatched regions).
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Figure S1 Membrane insertion of TPC domains

(A) Western blot analysis using an anti-Myc antibody of pellet fractions prepared from cells expressing domain II of TPC1 that were treated with (+) or without (−) PNGase F to remove carbohydrate residues. The molecular mass in kDa is indicated on the left-hand side. (B) Immunocytochemical analysis using an anti-Myc antibody of cells expressing the indicated domain of TPC1 (red). Staining of nuclei with DAPI (4′, 6-diamidino-2-phenylindole) is shown in blue and overlays of the images are on the right-hand side. DI, domain I; DII, domain II.
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Figure S2  Native molecular mass of TPCs

(A) Western blot analysis of full-length Myc-tagged TPCs or GFP alone. Experiments were performed in the presence of the indicated concentration (in mM) of BS3. The presence of monomeric (M), dimeric (D) or high molecular mass (H) products is highlighted by the arrows. (B and C) Western blot analysis of detergent extracts fractionated on either sucrose gradients (B) or a gel-filtration column (C). Extracts were prepared from cells expressing human (Hs) or sea urchin (Sp) TPCs. The migration of proteins of known size (in kDa) on the gradients or column are indicated horizontally. Data are representative of two to five experiments. The molecular mass in kDa is indicated on the left-hand side.

Table S1  Sequences of the forward and reverse oligonucleotide primers used for PCR amplification of TPC fragments

| Construct    | Direction | Oligonucleotide primer sequence (5′→3′)           |
|--------------|-----------|-----------------------------------------------|
| TPC11-347    | Forward   | CACCATCGATATGGCTGTGAGTTTGGATGAC               |
|              | Reverse   | CTTGGAATTCGAGCGGTAGGCATGCTGGAGGGAAAGGGA     |
| TPC1348-426  | Forward   | CACCATCGATATGGCTCTGCTCATAGCCAGAGGA         |
|              | Reverse   | CTTGGAATTCGAGCAGTGCTGGAGGGAAAGGGA         |
| TPC1427-816  | Forward   | CACCATCGATATGGCTGTGAGTTTGGATGAC              |
|              | Reverse   | CTTGGAATTCGAGCGGTAGGCATGCTGGAGGGAAAGGGA     |
| TPC157-225   | Forward   | CACCATCGATATGGCTCTCAGTTACCCACGAAGGAC        |
|              | Reverse   | CTTGGAATTCGAGCGGTAGGCATGCTGGAGGGAAAGGGA     |
| TPC1226-347  | Forward   | CACCATCGATATGGCTGTGAGTTTGGATGAC              |
|              | Reverse   | CTTGGAATTCGAGCGGTAGGCATGCTGGAGGGAAAGGGA     |
| TPC111-225   | Forward   | CACCATCGATATGGCTGTGAGTTTGGATGAC              |
|              | Reverse   | CTTGGAATTCGAGCGGTAGGCATGCTGGAGGGAAAGGGA     |
| TPC21-339    | Forward   | CACCGAATTCATGGCGGAACCCCAG                 |
|              | Reverse   | AGGCTCGAGTTCAAAGGCAGCCCGGGTTTC          |
| TPC2422-752  | Forward   | CACCGAATTCATGGCGGAACCCCAG                 |
|              | Reverse   | AGGCTCGAGTTCAAAGGCAGCCCGGGTTTC          |
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