Incidence of Venous Thromboembolism, Risk Factors and Prophylaxis in Hospitalized Patients in the South West Region of Cameroon
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Background: Venous thromboembolism (VTE) is a serious complication in hospitalized patients. It is associated with considerable morbidity and mortality. Therefore, its prevention is of great importance. There is paucity of data on the incidence of VTE in hospitalized patients in Cameroon. The aim of this study was to determine the incidence of symptomatic VTE, its risk factors and the proportion of patients at risk that receive thromboprophylaxis in patients hospitalized in the medical and surgical units in two hospitals in the South West Region of Cameroon.

Methods: A prospective study was performed in the medical and surgical units from January to March 2018. All consecutive eligible patients admitted for at least 3 days were included. Patient profile and risk factors were recorded. Patients were followed and evaluated for signs and symptoms of VTE until discharge from hospital. Suspected VTE was confirmed using compression ultrasonography and computed tomography.

Results: A total of 314 patients were included of which 58.7% were females. The mean age was 46±17.9 years. Patients aged <40 years represented 42% of the study population. Three cases of symptomatic VTE were recorded. The incidence of symptomatic VTE was 1% (95% CI: 0.3–2.8%). The prevalence of VTE risk was 93.6% with 32.5% being at high risk. The risk was 94.6% in medical patients and 92.8% in surgical patients. Among the patients at risk, only 32.5% received thromboprophylaxis. Thromboprophylaxis was significantly higher in surgical patients compared to medical patients (45.2% versus 18.7%; p<0.0001).

Conclusion: The incidence of VTE in hospitalized medical and surgical patients appeared low but likely underestimated considering the high prevalence of patients at risk of VTE coupled with the underutilization of thromboprophylaxis. Clinicians should assess risk of VTE in conjunction with the clinical situation to determine the most appropriate type of prophylaxis as well as the duration of prophylaxis for VTE.
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Introduction

Venous thromboembolism (VTE) includes deep vein thrombosis (DVT) and pulmonary embolism (PE). It is a common and potentially preventable cause of morbidity and mortality in hospitalized medical and surgical patients. 1 The Center for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) in a 3-year study reported an annual incidence of 547,596 cases of VTE in the hospitalized adult population. 2 A recent systematic review on VTE in Africa revealed a prevalence of DVT following surgery to range from 2.4% to 9.6%. Similarly, the prevalence of pulmonary embolism varied between 0.14% and 61.5%. 3 A recent study conducted in an
The incidence of VTE rises during hospitalization as a result of an increase in risk factors. Reports show that about 78% of hospitalized patients have more than one risk factor for VTE and about 20% of patients have more than three risk factors. Studies reported fractures (pelvis or lower limb), hip or knee replacement, major general surgery, major trauma, spinal cord injury, immobilization, intensive care unit (ICU) admission, chronic pulmonary disease, obesity and chronic heart failure to be the most frequent VTE risk factors found in hospitalized patients. The absence of proper VTE prophylaxis in hospitalized patients leads to 10–80% cases of VTE with mortality of 30–40% and 0.2–0.9% secondary to DVT and PE, respectively. In the multinational ENDORSE study, 51.8% of surgical patients were at risk of VTE while 41.5% of medical patients were at risk. Of the surgical patients at risk, 58.5% received VTE prophylaxis while 39.5% at-risk medical patients received prophylaxis. Available evidence shows that primary thromboprophylaxis reduces the risk of DVT and PE. Despite its proven efficacy, VTE prophylaxis remains underutilized in medical and surgical patients.

Due to the generally non-specific presentation of VTE and of its potentially rapid mortality, the identification of its risk factors and the use of prophylaxis remain the key methods to address the problem. There is paucity of data concerning the incidence of VTE, its risk factors and use of thromboprophylaxis in hospitalized patients in Cameroon. The aim of this study was to study the incidence of VTE, its risk factors and prophylaxis practice in hospitalized medical and surgical patients in the South West Region of Cameroon.

Methods
Study Design and Setting
It was a prospective study. The study was carried out in the medical and surgical units of the Buea and Limbe Regional Hospitals in the South West Region of Cameroon. These two hospitals are referral hospitals in the South West Region.

Study Population
All consecutive patients of age ≥18 years admitted in the medical and surgical units were included between January and March 2018. Patients had to be admitted for at least 3 days to be eligible. We excluded patients who were admitted for the management of VTE, those with incomplete medical records (such as medical charts not containing information concerning duration of surgeries or treatment received by the patient or medical history of the patients) and those on long-term anticoagulation.

Data Collection
At the time of admission, all consecutive eligible patients were approached to participate in the study. Written informed consent was obtained from all participants. At day 3 of admission, all participants were interviewed using a structured questionnaire and the medical records were reviewed extensively. The data collected included socio-demographic data, weight, height, clinical characteristics of the participants, diagnosis that led to admission, risk factors for VTE, VTE prophylaxis received, reasons of non-prophylaxis, and contraindication to anticoagulant prophylaxis. The Caprini score was calculated for each participant and classified as being at very low, low, moderate, and high using the modified Caprini risk assessment model. Patients were evaluated for signs and symptoms of VTE during their stay in hospital until hospital discharge. All clinically suspected cases of DVT or PE led to the relevant diagnostic testing for confirmation. These were all symptomatic cases of VTE. The diagnosis of DVT was made using compression ultrasonography and the diagnosis of PE was made using computed tomography.

Ethical Consideration
To precede data collection, an ethical approval was obtained from the institutional review board of the Faculty of Health Sciences of the university of Buea (IRBFHS, no: 2018/143/UB/SG/IRBFHFS), and then administrative approvals from hospital authorities. Written informed consent was obtained from all participants. The study was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki.

Statistical Analysis
The data collected were analyzed using Epi-info software version 7.2. Frequencies and percentages were computed for categorical variables. Continuous variables were expressed as mean ± standard deviation or median (interquartile range) where necessary. Comparison of variables was done using the chi-square test. The proportion of hospitalized patients at risk of VTE was evaluated as the
number of patients at risk of VTE on the total number of patients included in our study. To determine the proportion of at-risk patients who received thromboprophylaxis, we divided the number of at-risk patients who received thromboprophylaxis on the total number of at-risk patients. Incidence of VTE, defined as the frequency of venous thromboembolic events among evaluable patients, was calculated with 95% confidence interval (CI). p<0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results
Socio-Demographic Characteristics
During the study period, 314 patients from the medical and surgical units of the Buea Regional Hospital (BRH) and Limbe Regional Hospital (LRH) were enrolled (Figure 1). Patients aged ≤40 years represented 42% of our study population. The mean age of participants was 46 ± 17.9 years. Out of the 314 patients enrolled 58.7% were females (Table 1). The median length of hospital stay at the time of the survey was 5 (IQR 4–8) days.

Incidence of Venous Thromboembolism During Admission
During follow-up in the hospital, 3 patients developed VTE, 2 from the surgical unit and 1 from the medical unit. Two patients had symptomatic DVT confirmed by compression ultrasonography and one had PE confirmed by computed tomography. The incidence of symptomatic VTE during admission was 1% (95% CI: 0.3–2.8%). The 3 subjects that developed symptomatic VTE were at high risk and none was on thromboprophylaxis. The 2 patients from the surgical unit had major trauma with immobilization. One had a pelvic fracture and the other had a leg fracture. The subject from the medical unit had stroke with paralysis and immobilization. The PE was nonfatal.

| Variables | Medical Unit (N=147) | Surgical Unit (N=167) | Total (N=314) |
|-----------|----------------------|-----------------------|---------------|
| Age (years) Mean age ± SD | 53.7 ± 16.9 | 40.6 ± 16.4 | 46.7 ± 17.9 |
| Sex | Female | 77 (52.4) | 108 (64.7) | 185 (58.9) |
| | Male | 70 (47.6) | 59 (35.3) | 129 (41.1) |
| Occupation | Unemployed | 44 (29.9) | 47 (28.1) | 91 (29.0) |
| | Employed | 103 (70.1) | 120 (71.9) | 223 (71.0) |

Figure 1 Flow chart of patient recruitment.
Risk Factors for Venous Thromboembolism

The frequent reasons for admission in the medical unit included neurological diseases (35.4%), infectious disease (29.3%), and cardiovascular diseases (19.7%) (Table 2). In the surgical unit, the main reasons for hospitalization were major surgeries (41.9%), orthopaedic trauma (16.8%), and other surgical conditions (16.8%) (Table 3).

Assessment of VTE risk factors before admission showed that: age >40 years (57.6%), long-term immobility (23.9%), and swollen legs (21.9%) were predominant (Table 4) and during hospitalization: immobility with bathroom privileges (31.5%), bed confinement (29.9%), and complete immobilization (8.3%) were the most common ones (Table 5). In the 314 participants, 294 were classified at risk according to the Caprini score, giving an overall prevalence of 93.6%. The majority of the patients were at high risk (94.6%) in surgical patients and 92.8% in medical participants. Using the Caprini score, 32.5%, 37.6%, 23.6%, and 6.4% of the subjects were at high, moderate, low and very low risk, respectively.

Thromboprophylaxis in At-Risk Patients

Of the 294 patients deemed at risk, 32.7% (n=96) received thromboprophylaxis (Table 6). Only 37.3% of patients at high risk received VTE prophylaxis. And, 35.6% of moderate-risk patients and 16.2% patients received VTE. No patient in the low-risk group received VTE prophylaxis. There was significant difference in the utilization of thromboprophylaxis between surgical and medical patients with surgical receiving more (45.2% versus 18.7%, p<0.0001).

Pharmacological prophylaxis was the most frequently used VTE prophylaxis with low-molecular-weight heparin (LMWH) being the most prescribed anticoagulant. Early and frequent ambulation was the only prescribed non-pharmacological method. No mechanical means of VTE prevention was prescribed. Of the patients deemed at risk, 10.2% (n=30) of patients had contraindications to anticoagulation prophylaxis. Intracranial haemorrhage 3.4% (n=10) and bleeding at hospital admission 3.1% (n=9) were the most prevailing contraindications to anticoagulation (Table 7). There was no incident bleeding in patients on anticoagulant prophylaxis.

Discussion

The aims of this study were to determine the incidence of symptomatic venous thromboembolism (VTE), its risk factors and the proportion of patients at risk that receive thromboprophylaxis in two hospitals in the South West Region of Cameroon. The findings show that the incidence of symptomatic VTE was 1%. There was high prevalence of patients at risk for VTE. Medical and surgical patients were at comparable risk. Only 32.7% of patients at risk received thromboprophylaxis. Thromboprophylaxis was significantly higher in surgical patients compared to medical patients. This is the first study reporting on the incidence of symptomatic VTE in hospitalized patients in Cameroon. The screening for VTE was not systematic. There were no investigations performed routinely to detect VTE. The incidence might have been higher but only patients with signs and symptoms of VTE were tested. Also, patients were not followed up after hospital discharge even though the risk of VTE can persist several weeks after discharge. In a systematic screening for VTE in a psychiatric unit, Delluc et al reported an incidence of VTE of 2.2% at day 10 of admission which was twice that

Table 2 Reasons for Admission in the Medical Unit (N=147)

| Reasons of Admission                  | Frequency (n) | Percentage |
|---------------------------------------|---------------|------------|
| Neurological disease*                 | 52            | 35.4       |
| Infectious diseases†                  | 43            | 29.3       |
| Cardiovascular disease‡               | 29            | 19.7       |
| Renal disease                         | 12            | 8.2        |
| Endocrine/metabolic disease           | 14            | 9.5        |
| Gastrointestinal/hepatobiliary disease| 14            | 9.5        |
| Malignancy (active)                   | 7             | 4.8        |
| Rheumatological disease               | 7             | 4.8        |
| Haematological diseases               | 6             | 4.1        |

Notes: Cardiovascular diseases included acute heart failure, acute myocardial infarction + other cardiovascular diseases. Neurological disease included stroke + other neurological diseases. Infectious diseases included pulmonary infection + other infectious diseases.

Table 3 Reasons for Admission to the Surgical Unit (N=167)

| Reasons of Admission                  | Frequency (n) | Percentage |
|---------------------------------------|---------------|------------|
| Major surgery                         | 70            | 41.92      |
| Other surgical conditions†            | 28            | 16.77      |
| Orthopaedic trauma*                   | 28            | 16.76      |
| Minor surgery                         | 15            | 9.98       |
| Cellulitis of the limbs               | 10            | 5.99       |
| Awaiting an elective surgery          | 7             | 4.19       |
| Major trauma but surgery not done     | 7             | 4.19       |
| Curative arthrotomy                   | 1             | 0.60       |

Notes: Other surgical conditions included conditions such as diabetic feet, burns, ENT pathologies, etc. Orthopaedic trauma included pelvis or lower limb fractures + other orthopaedic trauma.
Previous studies reported that VTE risk persists up to 90 days after surgery and beyond hospital stay.\(^1\)\(^7\)\(^8\) Studies have shown that a significant proportion of VTE occur after medical discharge.\(^1\)\(^7\) In one report in a psychiatric unit, the incidence of VTE disease increased from 2.2% to 3.5% at 90 days after discharge.\(^1\)\(^7\) The majority of patients in our study were at risk of VTE but there was no follow up after discharge. Thus, it is possible that some patients might have developed VTE after discharge which went undiagnosed or were readmitted for VTE. In our study, the prevalence of patients at risk for VTE was 93.6%. This prevalence was almost twice that reported by Cohen et al in the ENDORSE study where the prevalence of at-risk patients was 51.8%.\(^13\) It was also higher than that reported in Sub-Saharan Africa by Kingue et al who had a prevalence of 50.4%.\(^11\) Our enrollment criteria were slightly different from

| Risk Factors                              | Medical (N=147) | Surgical (N=167) | P-value | Total (N=314) |
|-------------------------------------------|-----------------|------------------|---------|---------------|
| Personal history of DVT/PE                | –               | 1 (0.60)         | 0.266   | 1 (0.32)      |
| Personal history of recent myocardial infarction (≤1 month) | 4 (2.72)       | –                | 0.266   | 4 (1.27)      |
| Family history of DVT/PE                  | 1 (0.68)        | 2 (1.20)         | 0.349   | 3 (0.96)      |
| Personal history of stroke (≤ 1 month)    | 5 (3.40)        | 1 (0.60)         | 0.045   | 6 (1.91)      |
| Varicose veins                            | 2 (1.36)        | 4 (2.40)         | 0.272   | 6 (1.91)      |
| Malignancy                                | 4 (2.72)        | 2 (1.20)         | 0.182   | 6 (1.91)      |
| Prior history of major surgery (≤1 month) | 3 (2.04)        | 4 (2.40)         | 0.425   | 7 (2.23)      |
| History of unexplained stillborn infant, recurrent spontaneous abortions | 2 (1.36)        | 10 (5.99)        | 0.174   | 12 (3.82)     |
| Oral contraceptive                        | 5 (3.40)        | 5 (2.99)         | 0.421   | 10 (3.18)     |
| Chronic pulmonary disease                 | 15 (10.20)      | 2 (1.20)         | 0.0002  | 17 (5.41)     |
| Chronic heart failure                     | 19 (12.93)      | 2 (1.20)         | <0.0001 | 21 (6.69)     |
| Obesity                                   | 12 (8.16)       | 24 (14.37)       | 0.044   | 36 (11.46)    |
| Pregnancy/postpartum (≤1 month)           | 1 (0.68)        | 40 (23.95)       | <0.0001 | 41 (13.06)    |
| Swollen legs                              | 34 (19.77)      | 35 (24.65)       | 0.155   | 69 (21.97)    |
| Long-term immobility                      | 45 (30.61)      | 30 (17.96)       | 0.005   | 75 (23.89)    |
| Age >40 years                             | 114 (77.55)     | 67 (40.12)       | <0.0001 | 181 (57.64)   |

Note: The percentages add more than 100 since a patient could have one or more risk factor.
Abbreviations: DVT, deep venous thrombosis; PE, pulmonary embolism.

| Risk Factors                              | Medical (N=147) | Surgical (N=167) | P-value | Total (N=314) |
|-------------------------------------------|-----------------|------------------|---------|---------------|
| Immobile with bathroom privileges         | 47 (31.97)      | 52 (31.14)       | 0.437   | 99 (31.53)    |
| Confined to bed (>72hours)                | 49 (33.33)      | 45 (26.95)       | 0.111   | 94 (29.94)    |
| Complete immobilization                   | 18 (12.24)      | 8 (4.79)         | 0.009   | 26 (8.28)     |
| Immobilisation plaster cast               | –               | 3 (1.80)         | 0.075   | 3 (0.96)      |
| Mechanical ventilation                    | 6 (4.08)        | 3 (1.80)         | 0.126   | 9 (2.87)      |
| Central venous access                     | 5 (3.40)        | 1 (0.60)         | 0.468   | 6 (1.91)      |
| Admitted to the ICU                       | 5 (3.40)        | 6 (3.59)         | 0.437   | 11 (3.50)     |

| Risk Factors                              | Medical (n=139) | Surgical (n=155) | P-value | Total (n=294) |
|-------------------------------------------|-----------------|------------------|---------|---------------|
| Pharmacological                           | 22 (15.83)      | 34 (22.58)       | 0.033   | 57 (19.39)    |
| LMWH                                      | 17 (12.23)      | 32 (20.65)       | 49 (16.67) | 0.156   |
| Anti-vitamin K                            | 3 (2.16)        | 1 (0.65)         | 4 (1.36) | 0.452   |
| Rivaroxaban                                | 2 (1.44)        | 2 (1.29)         | 4 (1.36) |          |
| UFH                                        | –               | –                | –       |
| Other                                      | –               | –                | –       |
| Anticoagulant                              | –               | –                | –       |
| Non-pharmacological                        | 4 (2.88)        | 35 (25.16)       | 39 (13.27) | <0.0001 |
| Early ambulation                          | 4 (2.88)        | 35 (25.16)       | 39 (13.27) | <0.0001 |
| IPC                                        | –               | –                | –       |
| GCS                                        | –               | –                | –       |
| Total                                      | 26 (18.71)      | 70 (45.16)       | 96 (32.65) | <0.0001 |

Abbreviations: LMWH, low molecular weight Heparin; UFH, unfractionated heparin; GCS, graded compression stocking; IPC, intermittent pneumatic compression.

reported in our study.\(^17\) Previous studies reported that VTE risk persists up to 90 days after surgery and beyond hospital stay.\(^18\),\(^19\) Studies have shown that a significant proportion of VTE occur after medical discharge.\(^17\) In one report in a psychiatric unit, the incidence of VTE disease increased from 2.2% to 3.5% at 90 days after discharge.\(^17\) The majority of patients in our study were at risk of VTE but there was no follow up after discharge. Thus, it is possible that some patients might have developed VTE after discharge which went undiagnosed or were readmitted for VTE. In our study, the prevalence of patients at risk for VTE was 93.6%. This prevalence was almost twice that reported by Cohen et al in the ENDORSE study where the prevalence of at-risk patients was 51.8%.\(^11\) It was also higher than that reported in Sub-Saharan Africa by Kingue et al who had a prevalence of 50.4%.\(^11\) Our enrollment criteria were slightly different from

Table 4 Risk Factors of VTE Among Patients on Admission

Table 5 Risk Factors of VTE Among Patients After Admission

Table 6 Thromboprophylaxis Coverage in the At-Risk Patients
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those of these previous studies where the age more than 40 years was a criterion for enrollment in the medical ward and patients aged more than 18 years and admitted for major surgeries was that for the surgical ward. In our study, medical patients were at higher risk compared to surgical patients though the difference was not significant. This was in accordance with the findings of Kingue et al.\textsuperscript{11} Despite the high prevalence of VTE disease risk, only 32.7% of at-risk patients received thromboprophylaxis. This was lower than that reported by Kingue et al with 51.1% of at-risk patients receiving prophylaxis.\textsuperscript{11} This difference can be explained by difference in the study setting as our study was carried out in a semi-urban setting. The use of thromboprophylaxis in our study was higher among surgical patients compared to medical patients. This was a similar finding in previous studies.\textsuperscript{20,21} In the ENDORSE study, 58.5% of at-risk surgical patients received recommended prophylaxis while 39.5% of at-risk medical patients received prophylaxis compared to 45.2% of at-risk surgical patients and 18.7% of at-risk surgical patients in our study.\textsuperscript{13} Surgery is a well-established VTE risk factor and the use of thromboprophylaxis is generally higher amongst surgical patients than medically ill patients.\textsuperscript{13} Generally, thromboprophylaxis is suboptimal as shown by numerous studies.\textsuperscript{13,15,22,23} There are numerous reasons that could explain this suboptimal utilization of thromboprophylaxis in our setting including physician awareness, availability of guidelines, education factors, reimbursement, and national healthcare resources. International guidelines recommend calculating the risk of VTE development and the bleeding risk before starting VTE prophylaxis on both surgical and non-surgical patients.\textsuperscript{16,24}

**Limitations**

The main limitation in our study is due to the fact that patients were not followed up after discharge given that the risk of VTE persists after hospital discharge and studies have shown that a significant proportion of VTE occur post hospitalization. Also, there were no investigations performed routinely to detect asymptomatic VTE. Despite this limitation, this study is the first to report on the incidence of VTE amongst hospitalized patients in our setting and to assess VTE risk factors and prophylaxis out of the two major cities of Cameroon which are Douala and Yaounde.

**Conclusion**

This study showed that the incidence of symptomatic venous thromboembolism in hospitalized medical and surgical patients was 1%. The prevalence of patients at risk for VTE was very high with only a small proportion of at-risk patients receiving recommended prophylaxis. In case of contraindication, no thromboprophylaxis was given at all. Therefore, graded compression stockings and intermittent pneumatic compression as alternative treatment modalities deserve more attention and should be used as there is enough evidence for their beneficial use.
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DVT, deep venous thrombosis; PE, pulmonary embolism; VTE, venous thromboembolism.
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