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Abstract

Two hundred eight Angus-crossbred heifers (291 ± 23 kg) from four sources were used in a randomized complete block design. The objective of the study was to determine the effects of implant strategy and Zn supplementation on performance, carcass characteristics, muscle fiber diameter, and mineral status of heifers. Heifers were assigned to a 2 × 2 factorial study for 168 d, and factors included Zn and implant (IMP). Heifers were supplemented Zn (mg/kg dry matter [DM]; ZnSO₄) at national (30; NRC) or industry (100; IND) recommendations. Implant strategies (Merck Animal Health, Madison, NJ) included extended-release Revalor-XH on day 0 (REV-XH; 20 mg estradiol + 200 mg trenbolone acetate) containing four uncoated pellets and six coated pellets or the uncoated implant Revalor-200 on day 0 and again on day 91 (REV-200; 20 mg estradiol + 200 mg trenbolone acetate). Heifers were blocked by weight within source to pens of five or six heifers per pen (nine pens per treatment). A corn silage-based diet was fed during the growing period (days 0–55) followed by transition to a corn-based finishing diet. Weights were taken consecutively on days −1/0, 55/56, and 167/168. Liver and muscle from the longissimus thoracis were collected from one heifer per pen on days −5, 14, 105, and 164. Data were analyzed via Mixed Procedure of SAS. Average daily gain (ADG) and liver mineral used Period as the repeated effect. Corresponding to periods of high hormone payout from each implant, days 0–28 and 91–120 ADG were greatest for REV-200, whereas REV-XH numerically peaked during days 56–91 (IMP × Period; P = 0.02). Day 91 IND body weight tended to be heavier (P = 0.06) and day 120 body weight was heavier (P = 0.06) than NRC heifers. No effect of Zn or IMP on final body weight was observed (P ≥ 0.21). Muscle fiber cross-sectional diameter on day 164 was greater (P = 0.05) in IND than NRC. Liver Mn concentrations decreased by day 14 regardless of implant, though days 105 and 164 concentrations were lesser for REV-200 than REV-XH (IMP × Period; P = 0.02). No effects of Zn, IMP, or the interaction were observed for carcass-adjusted gain to feed, days 0–168 DM intake, hot carcass weight, or ribeye area (P ≥ 0.11). The nominal differences in performance between implant strategies suggest that extended-release implants may be an effective implant strategy to replace re-implant programs in heifers, whereas the improved performance of heifers fed IND vs. NRC during times of peak hormone payout suggests a role for Zn in periods of rapid growth.
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was to determine if supplemental Zn (as ZnSO\textsubscript{4}) at national (30 mg Zn/kg dry matter [DM]) or industry (100 mg Zn/kg DM) recommendations would affect the performance of heifers given a two-implant (Revalor-200, Revalor-200) or extended-release (Revalor-XH) implant strategy. It was hypothesized that increased supplemental Zn would increase the growth rate with greatest effects in heifers receiving a two-implant strategy compared to a single extended-release implant.

**Materials and Methods**

The Iowa State University Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (log number: IACUC-18-103) approved all procedures and protocols used in this study.

**Animals and experimental design**

A total of 208 Angus-crossbred heifers (291 ± 23 kg) from four sources of origin were used in a 2 \times 2 factorial randomized complete block design study examining the effect of Zn supplementation on the performance of beef heifers receiving different implant strategies throughout the 168-d study conducted from July through December 2018. Cattle were processed before the start of the study to induce luteolysis (Estrumate; Merck Animal Health, Madison, NJ), prevent clostridial diseases (Vision 7; Merck Animal Health), deter respiratory diseases (Vista Once; Merck Animal Health), and defend against parasites (Safe-Guard; Merck Animal Health). Within the source, heifers were blocked by weight to pens of 5 or 6 (36 pens total) and fed a corn silage-based growing diet from days 0–56 and then transitioned to a dry rolled corn-based finishing diet for the remainder of the study (Table 1). Each block consisted of four pens (n = 1 per treatment). Melengestrol acetate (MGA), rumensin, and trace minerals were supplemented to the diet through dried distillers grains with soluble-based premixes. During the first 23 d of the growing diet an ingredient DM error occurred, though differences in MGA, rumensin, and Zn supplementation were negligible. The error was corrected on day 24 and is reflected in the diet table. Cattle were fed ad libitum via concrete bunks at approximately 0800 h daily and had access to automatic waterers. Two dietary Zn treatments were fed, including Zn supplementation at national (NRC; 30 mg Zn/kg DM; NASEM, 2016) or industry (IND; 100 mg Zn/kg DM; Samuelson et al., 2016) recommendations from ZnSO\textsubscript{4}.

**Sample collection and analysis**

All heifers were weighed individually on consecutive days at the beginning of the study (day −1/0), end of the growing period (days 55/56), and end of the study (days 167/168). Intermediate BW was collected on days 28, 91, and 120. Cattle were harvested on day 169 at a commercial abattoir and carcass characteristics were collected by trained University personnel on the left half of the carcass. No camera data were available. Hot carcass weight data were recorded at harvest and following a 48-h chill, ribeye area (REA), back fat (BF), kidney, pelvic, heart fat (KPH),
Muscle samples were collected from the longissimus thoracis by the method described by Engle and Spears (2000) and adapted from Pampusch et al. (2008), respectively. These sampling days represented initial and final samples as well as procedures from Pampusch et al. (2008), respectively. These analyses were conducted on one heifer, randomly selected prior to initiation of intake (DMI). Differences in MGA, rumensin, and Zn supplementation were negligible. Differences in MGA, rumensin, and Zn supplementation were negligible.

Sexual muscle fibers of each sample were analyzed for cross-sectional diameter and area at Utah State University. Samples from the longissimus thoracis were mounted with the muscle fibers perpendicular to the cork, cryosectioned (10 μm thick), and used for histochemical fiber-type staining. Serially sectioned samples were stained using a succinate dehydrogenase stain following previously described methods (Pearse, 1968; Picard et al., 1998; Thornton et al., 2012). In brief, samples were incubated for 60 min in a medium consisting of 0.2 M phosphate buffer, 0.17 M sodium succinate, and 1.2 mM nitro blue tetrazolium. Then, samples were washed serially with deionized H₂O, 30% acetone, 60% acetone, 90% acetone, 60% acetone, 30% acetone, and deionized water, respectively. Cover slips were then mounted onto sectioned samples using a mounting medium containing 0.09 M gelatin, 6.7 M glycerol, and 0.12 M phenol. Images of sectioned samples were taken using a Zeiss AXIO Observer.Z1 microscope (Carl Zeiss Meditec, Inc., Dublin, CA). At least three different captured images from each sample were taken and used for analysis. Using Image-Pro Plus (Media Cybernetics, Inc., Rockville, MD), average area and diameter were calculated from at least 20 skeletal muscle fibers of each sample.

Muscle samples were analyzed for cross-sectional diameter and area at Utah State University. Samples from the longissimus thoracis were mounted with the muscle fibers perpendicular to the cork, cryosectioned (10 μm thick), and used for histochemical fiber-type staining. Serially sectioned samples were stained using a succinate dehydrogenase stain following previously described methods (Pearse, 1968; Picard et al., 1998; Thornton et al., 2012). In brief, samples were incubated for 60 min in a medium consisting of 0.2 M phosphate buffer, 0.17 M sodium succinate, and 1.2 mM nitro blue tetrazolium. Then, samples were washed serially with deionized H₂O, 30% acetone, 60% acetone, 90% acetone, 60% acetone, 30% acetone, and deionized water, respectively. Cover slips were then mounted onto sectioned samples using a mounting medium containing 0.09 M gelatin, 6.7 M glycerol, and 0.12 M phenol. Images of sectioned samples were taken using a Zeiss AXIO Observer.Z1 microscope (Carl Zeiss Meditec, Inc., Dublin, CA). At least three different captured images from each sample were taken and used for analysis. Using Image-Pro Plus (Media Cybernetics, Inc., Rockville, MD), average area and diameter were calculated from at least 20 skeletal muscle fibers of each sample.

Existing period days 84–169. Differences in MGA, rumensin, and Zn supplementation were negligible. Differences in MGA, rumensin, and Zn supplementation were negligible.

Crude protein10 18.44 15.45
Dry rolled corn — 40.0
Sweet Bran4 40.0 20.0
Corn silage 40.0 20.0
DDGS5 18.04 18.04
Limestone 1.5 1.5
Salt 0.31 0.31
Vitamin premix6 0.1 0.1
Mineral premix7 0.02 0.02
Rumensin8 0.0135 0.0135
MGA9 0.0194 0.0194

1Growing period days 0–55; heifers transitioned to finishing diet over two transition diets. 2Ingredient dry matter error occurred during the first 23 d of growing period before correction to displayed growing diet. Differences in MGA, rumensin, and Zn supplementation were negligible. Differences in MGA, rumensin, and Zn supplementation were negligible.

Statistical analysis

Performance and carcass data of this randomized complete block design were analyzed as a 2 × 2 factorial using the Mixed Procedure of SAS 9.4 (SAS Inst. Inc., Cary, NC). The model included the fixed effects of Zn, implant, the interaction, and block. Initial BW was used as a covariate in the analysis of performance and carcass characteristics. Analysis of ADG and liver trace mineral was conducted as repeated measures with Period or day of sampling as the repeated effect, respectively. Compound symmetry and unstructured covariance matrices were selected for ADG and trace mineral analysis, respectively, based on the lowest Akaike Information Criterion. The experimental unit was pen (n = 9 per treatment). Cook’s D was used to assess outliers. Outliers were discovered for muscle cross-sectional diameter (day 1: REV-XH-IND [1], day 2: REV-XH-NRC [2] and REV-200-NRC [1], and day 3: REV-XH-IND [1]), but no other variables. Six heifers, all from different pens, were removed from the study due to health issues unrelated to experimental treatment (REV-XH-NRC: 1, REV-XH-IND: 2, REV-200-NRC: 1, and REV-200-IND: 2). Data for these animals were removed from analysis on the...
day of dismissal from the study. All data are reported as least square means with the standard error of the mean. Data were determined statistically significant at P ≤ 0.05, and a statistical tendency at 0.05 < P ≤ 0.10.

**Results**

**Performance and carcass characteristics**

Performance parameters are displayed in Table 2. Heifer BW was not affected by Zn × IMP (P ≥ 0.27), and Zn treatment did not affect initial, day 28, day 56, or final live BW (P ≥ 0.34). However, IND heifers tended to be heavier on day 91 (P = 0.06) and were heavier on day 120 (P = 0.05), with a 7 kg advantage over NRC by day 120. Implant did not affect initial, day 91, or final live BW (P ≥ 0.21), but interim BW was affected by IMP, as REV-200 heifers were heavier on days 28 and 56 (P ≤ 0.04) and tended to be heavier on day 120 (P = 0.09).

Dry matter intake was unaffected by Zn × IMP (P ≥ 0.58) or IMP (P ≥ 0.51). Dry matter intake during the initial implant period and days 0–168 DMI was not affected by Zn (P ≥ 0.15); however, IND tended to have greater DMI than NRC during the re-implant period (days 91–168; P = 0.09). There was no interaction between Zn and IMP for the initial implant period G:F (P = 0.94), nor was there an effect of IMP (P = 0.44), whereas IND improved feed efficiency during this period (days 0–90; P = 0.04). However, re-implant period G:F was affected by Zn × IMP (P = 0.03), where feed efficiency was greater for REV-200-NRC heifers than any other treatment (days 91–169). No differences in carcass-adjusted final BW, overall ADG, or overall G:F due to Zn, IMP, or the interaction were observed (P ≥ 0.11).

Average daily gain data analyzed as repeated measures across the study indicated an effect of IMP × Period (P = 0.02; Figure 1). In general, improvements in ADG followed expected peak hormone payout for the two implant strategies, where ADG was greater from days 0–28 and 91–120 for REV-200 vs. REV-XH, whereas ADG was not different due to IMP during the periods 28–56, 56–91, or 120–168. Additionally, no Zn, IMP, Zn × IMP, Zn × Period, or Zn × IMP × Period effects were observed for ADG (P ≥ 0.12; Period P = 0.0001; Period effect data not shown).

Carcass characteristics shown in Table 3 indicated no Zn, IMP, or Zn × IMP effects for HCW, REA, BF, KPH, marbling, or YG (P ≥ 0.11). However, dressing percentage tended (P = 0.08) to be affected by the interaction of Zn and IMP, where REV-XH-IND heifers had greater dressing percent than REV-XH-NRC, whereas REV-200 heifers were intermediate, regardless of Zn treatment.

**Liver and muscle parameters**

Treatment means for liver trace mineral concentrations analyzed as repeated measures are shown in Table 4. Liver Cu, Fe, and Zn concentrations were not affected by Zn, IMP, Zn × IMP, Zn × Day, IMP × Day, or Zn × IMP × Day (P ≥ 0.12). However, a Zn × IMP effect (P = 0.03) was observed for liver Mn concentrations where, within IND heifers, concentrations were less in REV-200 vs. REV-XH. No difference in liver Mn concentrations between implant strategies within NRC treatment was observed. Liver Mn decreased across the first 14 d regardless of implant strategy, but REV-XH heifers returned to initial concentrations by day 105 while REV-200 heifers maintained lesser liver Mn concentrations from day 14 to the end of the study (Figure 2; IMP × Day; P = 0.02). No Zn × Day or Zn × IMP × Day effects (P ≥ 0.58) were observed for

### Table 2. Effect of zinc<sup>1</sup> supplementation and implant<sup>2</sup> strategy on the performance of finishing heifers

|                | NRC         | REV-XH      | REV-200     | SEM  | Zn  | IMP | Zn × IMP |
|----------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|------|-----|-----|----------|
| **Pens (n)**   |             |             |             |      |     |     |          |
| Live performance<sup>3</sup> |             |             |             |      |     |     |          |
| BW, kg         | 9           | 9           | 9           | 9    |     |     |          |
| Day 0 (Initial)| 294         | 295         | 294         | 295  | 7.0 | 0.96| 0.90     | 0.98     |
| Day 28         | 337         | 342         | 338         | 343  | 1.7 | 0.34| 0.004    | 0.98     |
| Day 56         | 381         | 385         | 382         | 387  | 2.3 | 0.50| 0.04     | 0.86     |
| Day 91 (Re-implant) | 439        | 442         | 445         | 447  | 2.8 | 0.06| 0.35     | 0.83     |
| Day 120        | 485         | 492         | 493         | 498  | 3.3 | 0.05| 0.09     | 0.86     |
| Day 168        | 561         | 571         | 570         | 570  | 4.6 | 0.37| 0.21     | 0.27     |
| DMI, kg        |             |             |             |      |     |     |          |
| Days 0–90      | 9.5         | 9.6         | 9.6         | 9.6  | 0.11| 0.68| 0.51     | 0.89     |
| Days 91–168    | 11.4        | 11.3        | 11.6        | 11.7 | 0.20| 0.09| 0.97     | 0.58     |
| Days 0–168     | 10.4        | 10.4        | 10.6        | 10.6 | 0.13| 0.15| 0.80     | 0.72     |
| G:F            |             |             |             |      |     |     |          |
| Days 0–90      | 0.170       | 0.172       | 0.176       | 0.177| 0.0025| 0.04| 0.44     | 0.94     |
| Days 91–168<sup>4</sup> | 0.137<sup>5</sup> | 0.147<sup>5</sup> | 0.138<sup>5</sup> | 0.135<sup>5</sup> | 0.0030<sup>5</sup> | 0.06| 0.25     | 0.03     |
| CA performance<sup>6</sup> |             |             |             |      |     |     |          |
| Final BW, kg   | 561         | 572         | 570         | 571  | 5.0 | 0.45| 0.25     | 0.34     |
| Overall ADG, kg| 1.58        | 1.65        | 1.64        | 1.64 | 0.030| 0.47| 0.28     | 0.29     |
| Overall G:F    | 0.154       | 0.160       | 0.156       | 0.156| 0.0021<sup>6</sup> | 0.71| 0.18     | 0.11     |

<sup>1</sup>The NRC treatment received 30 mg supplemental Zn/kg DM from ZnSO₄, and IND treatment received 100 mg supplemental Zn/kg DM from ZnSO₄.

<sup>2</sup>Implant strategies included either a single Revalor-XH implant (REV-XH; 20 mg estradiol + 200 mg TBA; Merck Animal Health, Madison, NJ) on day 0 or a Revalor-200 implant on day 0 and again on day 91 (REV-200; 20 mg estradiol + 200 mg TBA; Merck Animal Health, Madison, NJ).

<sup>3</sup>Unlike superscripts indicate differences in treatment means (P < 0.05).

<sup>4</sup>Day 0 BW was used as a covariate in performance analysis, excluding day 0 BW.

<sup>5</sup>Carcass-adjusted (CA) overall performance was determined with the average dressing percent of treatment groups (63.46%, 63.95%, 64.14%, and 63.90% for NRC/REV-XH, NRC/REV-200, IND/REV-XH, and IND/REV-200, respectively).
liver Mn concentrations, whereas a Day effect was observed for liver Cu, Fe, Mn, and Zn (P ≤ 0.0002).

Muscle cross-sectional diameter and area measured from biopsy samples removed from the longissimus thoracis at three time points are shown in Table 5. No effects of Zn, IMP, or the interaction were observed on day 14 or 105 (P ≥ 0.12) on cross-sectional diameter. Near the end of the study, day 164, muscle cross-sectional diameter was greater for IND supplemented heifers than NRC (P = 0.05). However, no IMP or Zn × IMP effects were observed for day 164 muscle cross-sectional diameter (P ≥ 0.28) and no Zn, IMP, or Zn × IMP effects were observed for muscle cross-sectional area on day 14, 105, or 164 (P ≥ 0.11).

Discussion

A shift toward heavier cattle carcasses has led to longer days on feed and, subsequently, more potent implant strategies. The present study compared a potent two-implant strategy, REV-200 on day 0 and again on day 91, with the extended-release implant REV-XH administered on day 0. Considering implant administration induces protein accretion, and Zn is critical in many biological processes that support protein synthesis (Oberleas and Prasad, 1969; Suttle, 2010); the effect of Zn supplemented at national (30 mg/kg DM; NASEM, 2016) or industry (100 mg/kg DM; Samuelson et al., 2016) recommendations was examined.

No difference in final BW between REV-XH and REV-200 heifers was detected in the present study, similar to observations of Ohnoutka et al. (2018) when Revalor-XS was administered on both days 0 and 100 (151, 165, 179, or 193 d on feed). Likewise, when Revalor-XH was compared to a less potent initial implant (Revalor-JH) followed by a Revalor-200 on day 90 (172, 193, or 214 d on feed), nominal differences in performance were observed (Crawford et al., 2018). However, the comparison of Revalor-XS vs. a two-implant strategy of the equivalent hormone potency (Revalor-IS followed by Revalor-S) revealed greater carcass-adjusted final BW and ADG for Revalor-XS steers (Parr et al., 2011). Three additional experiments conducted by Parr et al. (2011) and a study by Nichols et al. (2014) observed no differences in performance using the Revalor-IS/Revalor-S implant program. These studies in combination with the present work indicate little to no difference in performance of cattle receiving an extended-release implant vs. a two-implant strategy of equal or greater hormone potency.

In contrast to many extended-release implant comparison studies (Parr et al., 2011; Nichols et al., 2014; Crawford et al., 2018), BW data from both REV-200 and REV-XH heifers were collected at all interim weigh dates in the present study. The more potent REV-200 treatment exhibited greater ADG during days 0–28 and 91–120, directly following the first and second Revalor-200 implant administration. Furthermore, ADG of REV-XH was numerically greater than REV-200 during days 56–91, presumably due to the coated pellets’ hormonal payout around day 70 as suggested by explant data of a similarly coated implant, Revalor-XR (FDA, 2017b).

Increasing dietary Zn positively affected interim BW during peak implant-induced growth on days 91 (re-implant) and 120. The increase in REV-XH heifer performance between days 56 and 91 corresponds to the release of the second portion of Revalor-XH hormone around day 70. Coinciding with this peak payout of hormone, supplementing IND vs. NRC to REV-XH

![Figure 1](image)

**Figure 1.** The effect of implant strategy and day on ADG throughout the 169-d study (IMP × Period, P = 0.02). Implant treatments included Revalor-XH (REV-XH; 200 mg trenbolone acetate + 20 mg estradiol; Merck Animal Health Madison, NJ) on day 0 or Revalor-200 (REV-200; 200 mg trenbolone acetate + 20 mg estradiol; Merck Animal Health) on day 0 and again on day 91. Data were analyzed using repeated measures of the mixed procedure in SAS. Unlike superscripts indicate tendencies for differences in treatment means (0.05 < P ≤ 0.10).

| Carcass characteristics  | NRC | IND | SEM | Zn | IMP | Zn × IMP |
|-------------------------|-----|-----|-----|----|-----|---------|
| HCW, kg                 | 356 | 366 | 365 | 365| 3.2 | 0.19    |
| Dress, %                | 63.5 | 64.9 | 64.1 | 63.9 | 0.21 | 0.17    |
| REA, sq cm              | 79.0 | 82.1 | 81.3 | 81.0 | 1.07 | 0.60    |
| KPH, %                  | 2.6 | 2.7 | 2.7 | 2.6 | 0.03 | 0.82    |
| Marbling                | 576 | 554 | 571 | 548 | 16.7 | 0.75    |
| Yield grade (YG)        | 3.87 | 3.94 | 3.97 | 3.93 | 0.11 | 0.68    |

1The NRC treatment received 30 mg Zn/kg DM from ZnSO₄, and IND treatment received 100 mg Zn/kg DM from ZnSO₄.

2Implant strategies included either a single Revalor-XH implant (REV-XH; 20 mg estradiol + 200 mg TBA; Merck Animal Health, Madison, NJ) on day 0 or a Revalor-200 on day 0 and again on day 91 (REV-200; 20 mg estradiol + 200 mg TBA; Merck Animal Heath, Madison, NJ).

3Day 0 BW was used as covariate in the analysis of carcass characteristics.

4Unlike superscripts indicate tendencies for differences in treatment means (0.05 < P ≤ 0.10).

5Marbling scores: slight = 300, small = 400, modest = 500, moderate = 600, slightly abundant = 700, moderately abundant = 800.

6Yield grade (YG) was calculated utilizing the USDA yield grade equation.
Table 4. Effect of zinc\textsuperscript{1} supplementation and implant\textsuperscript{2} strategy on liver mineral concentrations of finishing heifers

| Trace mineral concentrations\textsuperscript{3} | NRC | IND | SEM | P-value |
|-----------------------------------------------|-----|-----|-----|---------|
| Liver, mg/kg DM\textsuperscript{4,5}         |     |     |     |         |
| Cu                                            | 350 | 371 | 353 | 321     | 26.8 | 0.28 | 0.85 | 0.24 |
| Fe                                            | 172 | 167 | 167 | 171     | 9.5  | 0.97 | 0.99 | 0.61 |
| Mn\textsuperscript{6}                         | 8.32\textsuperscript{a} | 7.94\textsuperscript{ab} | 8.71\textsuperscript{a} | 7.40\textsuperscript{b} | 0.217 | 0.70 | 0.0007 | 0.03 |
| Zn                                            | 113 | 117 | 115 | 121     | 4.1  | 0.47 | 0.13 | 0.81 |

\textsuperscript{1}The NRC treatment received 30 mg Zn/kg DM from ZnSO\textsubscript{4}, and IND treatment received 100 mg Zn/kg DM from ZnSO\textsubscript{4}.

\textsuperscript{2}Implant strategies included either a single Revalor-XH implant (REV-XH; 20 mg estradiol + 200 mg TBA; Merck Animal Health, Madison, NJ) on day 0 or a Revalor-200 on day 0 and again on day 91 (REV-200; 20 mg estradiol + 200 mg TBA; Merck Animal Health, Madison, NJ).

\textsuperscript{3}Data were analyzed using repeated measures of the mixed procedure of SAS and represent overall treatment means.

\textsuperscript{4}Liver samples were collected on days −5, 14, 105, and 164.

\textsuperscript{5}Unlike superscripts indicate differences in treatment means (P ≤ 0.05).

Figure 2. Liver manganese response to implant strategy over course of study (IMP × Day, P ≤ 0.02). Heifers received either Revalor-XH (REV-XH; 200 mg trenbolone acetate + 20 mg estradiol; Merck Animal Health; Madison, NJ) on day 0 or Revalor-200 on day 0 and again on day 91 (REV-200; 200 mg trenbolone acetate + 20 mg estradiol; Merck Animal Health). Data were analyzed as repeated measures of the mixed procedure of SAS. Unlike superscripts indicate differences between treatment means across all time points (P ≤ 0.05).

The effect of anabolic implants on trace mineral status of cattle is not well understood. However, the association of Zn with protein synthesis (Oberleas and Prasad, 1969) and Mn with N metabolism as a cofactor for arginase, the terminal enzyme of the urea cycle (Bond et al., 1983; Watts, 1990), suggests that trace minerals are pertinent to implant-induced growth. Administering sheep an implant containing the estrogen derivative zeranol resulted in increased Zn absorption and retention as well as a tendency for increased Mn absorption and retention (Hufstedler and Greene, 1995). Furthermore, concurrent increases in Zn and Mn retention when steers were supplemented 120 mg Zn/kg DM (Carmichael et al., 2018) also indicate a relationship between Zn and Mn utilization in cattle experiencing high growth rates.

Previous work in our laboratory has indicated that administration of a potent terminal implant decreases liver Mn 14-d post-implant (Messersmith, 2018; Niedermayer et al., 2018), and in the present study both implant strategies decreased liver Mn in the first 14 d of the study. The nominal decrease in liver Mn of REV-XH may be due to the lesser potency of hormone released over the 168-d study compared to the REV-200 treatment. Because there were no nonimplanted heifers in this study, it is unclear if REV-XH liver Mn would be lesser than nonimplanted heifers, though this would be anticipated. Increasing hormone potency would be expected to increase cattle growth response (Bartle et al., 1992) and thus muscle catabolism would be decreased in implanted cattle (Galbraith, 1980). We hypothesize that liver Mn is decreased in more potent implant strategies due to lesser demand for the urea cycle and subsequently the Mn-dependent enzyme arginase. Little work of different Zn supplementation strategies on muscle growth in heifers. Furthermore, DMI was minimally influenced by Zn in the present study, similar to the work of others (Greene et al., 1988). Improved performance in IND vs. NRC during the initial implant period resulted in greater G:F during this time, possibly because this period captured greater growth rates from both the hormone release of coated (day 0) and uncoated (day 70) pellets for REV-XH as well as the potent initial Revalor-200 for REV-200 heifers. In the re-implant period, there was a trend for IND to have greater DMI than NRC heifers. This corresponded to a poorer feed efficiency in IND heifers during the terminal implant period, while efficiency was also decreased in REV-XH heifers fed NRC Zn because of poorer gains in this terminal window.
Callis et al. (2000) observed a quadratic increase in YG and BF due to supplementation of 25 mg Zn/kg DM for the basal diet containing either 33 or 26 mg Zn/kg DM. Spears and Kegley (2002) observed that heifers fed IND tended to have greater dressing percent than NRC for nonsupplemented animals. Niedermayer et al. (2018) noted a tendency for Revalor-XH heifers to have better USDA percent, REA, or YG than those receiving the Revalor-200 strategy 14 d after delivery of a moderate potency implant.

Consistent with previous work (Bondurant et al., 2018; Ohnoutka et al., 2018), minimal differences in HCW, dressing percent, REA, or YG were observed between Revalor-XH and Revalor-200 followed by a second Revalor-200. Ohnoutka et al. (2018) noted a tendency for Revalor-XH heifers to have better USDA marbling scores than those receiving the Revalor-200 strategy while the current study revealed no differences in marbling due to implant. Overall, the lack of differences in carcass characteristics due to implant strategy suggests that cattle feeders may select whichever strategy best fits their production system. However, Zn supplementation may drive this decision as within REV-XH, Zn concentration and arginase activity in livers of streptozotocin-induced diabetic rats. J. Biol. Chem. 258:8004–8009.

Zinc supplementation may affect additional carcass characteristics in the current study. Effects of Zn supplementation on carcass characteristics have been mixed, likely due to differences in basal Zn concentrations for nonsupplemented animals. Spears and Kegley (2002) observed an increase in quality grade and marbling while both YG and BF tended to increase due to supplementation of 25 mg Zn/kg DM to a basal diet containing either 33 or 26 mg Zn/kg DM for the growing and finishing periods, respectively. Similarly, Malcolm-Callist et al. (2000) observed a quadratic increase in YG and BF due to supplementation of 20, 100, or 200 mg Zn/kg DM from ZnSO4 (basal diet - 70 mg Zn/kg DM) with steers supplemented 100 mg Zn/kg DM having the greatest BF and YG.

This work suggests that overall performance and carcass characteristics were not drastically affected by either Zn or implant treatment. Revalor-XH is a viable alternative to re-implanting heifers without losing potential gains, though this result appears to be somewhat dependent on dietary Zn concentration. Interim performance improvements due to IND supplementation of Zn provide evidence that Zn is an important trace mineral during periods of high growth rates such as that occurring during peak hormonal payout. Future work is warranted to determine optimal implant strategies for long-fed cattle and refine Zn requirements of cattle receiving anabolic implants to best capture carcass gains.

Table 5. Effect of zinc1 supplementation and implant2 strategy on longissimus thoracis cross-sectional diameter and area from finishing heifers

| Heifers (n) | REV-XH | REV-200 | REV-XH | REV-200 | SEM | Zn | IMP | Zn × IMP |
|-------------|--------|---------|--------|---------|-----|----|-----|---------|
| Muscle cross-sectional diameter, μm | | | | | | | | |
| Day 14      | 50.0   | 48.7    | 53.9   | 50.4    | 2.05 | 0.12 | 0.19 | 0.54    |
| Day 105     | 69.0   | 68.1    | 67.9   | 74.3    | 3.16 | 0.23 | 0.52 | 0.36    |
| Day 164     | 61.3   | 57.5    | 69.3   | 64.8    | 4.28 | 0.05 | 0.28 | 0.93    |
| Muscle cross-sectional area, μm² | | | | | | | | |
| Day 14      | 2,144  | 2,026   | 2,350  | 2,183   | 175.7| 0.22 | 0.33 | 0.87    |
| Day 105     | 4,016  | 4,000   | 4,157  | 4,765   | 385.6| 0.20 | 0.40 | 0.40    |
| Day 164     | 3,250  | 2,958   | 3,769  | 3,674   | 451.6| 0.11 | 0.61 | 0.79    |

1The NRC treatment received 30 mg Zn/kg DM from ZnSO4 and IND treatment received 100 mg Zn/kg DM from ZnSO4.
2Implant strategies included either a single Revalor-XH implant (REV-XH; 20 mg estradiol + 200 mg TBA; Merck Animal Health, Madison, NJ) on day 0 or a Revalor-200 on day 0 and again on day 91 (REV-200; 20 mg estradiol + 200 mg TBA; Merck Animal Heath, Madison, NJ).

Acknowledgments

We would like to thank Merck Animal Health for funding this project.

Conflict of interest statement

The authors declare no real or perceived conflicts of interest.

Literature Cited

Andreini, C., L. Banci, I. Bertini, and A. Rosato. 2006. Counting the zinc-proteins encoded in the human genome. J. Proteome Res. 5:196–201. doi:10.1021/pr050361j.

Bartle, S. J., R. L. Preston, R. E. Brown, and R. J. Grant. 1992. Trenbolone acetate/estradiol combinations in feedlot steers: dose-response and implant carrier effects. J. Anim. Sci. 70:1326–1332. doi:10.2527/1992.7051326x.

Bond, J. S., M. L. Failla, and D. F. Unger. 1983. Elevated manganese concentration and arginase activity in livers of streptozotocin-induced diabetic rats. J. Biol. Chem. 258:8004–8009.

Bondurant, R. G., M. N. Streeter, G. E. Erickson, J. C. Macdonald, and M. K. Luebbe. 2018. Evaluation of Revalor-XH for beef heifers fed different days on feed. Nebraska Beef Cattle Reports. 997:113–115. http://digitalcommons.unl.edu/animalscncbr/997.

Carmichael, R. N., O. N. Genthler-Schroeder, C. P. Blank, E. L. Deters, S. J. Hartman, E. K. Niedermayer, and S. L. Hansen. 2018. The influence of supplemental zinc and ractopamine hydrochloride on trace mineral and nitrogen retention of beef steers. J. Anim. Sci. 96:2939–2948. doi:10.1093/jas/sky177.

Crawford, G. I., J. P. Hutcheson, B. P. Holland, A. B. Word, W. T. Nichols, M. N. Streeter, M. E. Corrigan, and B. L. Nuttelman. 2018. Effect of Revalor-XH compared with Revalor-IH followed by Revalor-200 on feedlot performance and carcass characteristics of heifers fed to three different endpoints. J. Anim. Sci. 96:Abstract 454. doi:10.1093/jas/sky073.451.

Engle, T. E., and J. W. Spears. 2000. Effects of dietary copper concentration and source on performance and copper status of growing and finishing steers. J. Anim. Sci. 78:2446–2451. doi:10.2527/2000.7892446x.

FDA (Food and Drug Administration). 2017a. Revalor-XH freedom of information summary, NADA 141-269. Silver Spring (MD): Food Drug Administration.
FDA (Food and Drug Administration). 2017b. Revalor-XR freedom of information summary, NADA 141-445. Silver Spring (MD): Food Drug Administration.

Galbraith, H. 1980. The effect of trenbolone on acetate growth, blood hormones and metabolites, and nitrogen balance of beef heifers. Anim. Sci. 30:389–394. doi:10.1017/S0003356100024223.

Greene, L. W., D. K. Lunt, F. M. Byers, N. K. Chirase, C. E. Richmond, R. E. Knutson, and G. T. Schelling. 1988. Performance and carcass quality of steers supplemented with zinc oxide or zinc methionine. J. Anim. Sci. 66:1818–1823. doi:10.2527/jas1988.6671818x.

Huerta, M., R. L. Kincaid, J. D. Cronrath, J. Busboom, A. B. Johnson, and C. K. Swenson. 2002. Interaction of dietary zinc and growth implants on weight gain, carcass traits and zinc in tissues of growing beef steers and heifers. Anim. Feed Sci. Technol. 95:15–32. doi:10.1016/S0377-8401(01)00334-0.

Niedermayer, E. K., O. N. Genther-Schroeder, D. D. Loy, and S. L. Hansen. 2018. Effect of varying trace mineral supplementation of steers with or without hormone implants on growth and carcass characteristics. J. Anim. Sci. 96:1159–1170. doi:10.1093/jas/skx063.

Oberleas, D., and A. S. Prasad. 1969. Growth as affected by zinc protein nutrition. Am. J. Clin. Nutr. 22:1304–1314.

Ohnoutka, C. A., R. G. Bondurant, M. N. Streeter, G. I. Crawford, B. L. Nuttelman, G. E. Erickson, J. C. MacDonald, and M. K. Luebbe. 2018. Evaluation of Revalor-XH for beef heifers fed different days on feed. J. Anim. Sci. 96:Abstract 456. doi:10.1093/jas/sky73.453.

Pampusch, M. S., M. E. White, M. R. Hathaway, T. J. Baxa, K. Y. Chung, S. L. Parr, B. J. Johnson, W. J. Weber, and W. R. Dayton. 2008. Effects of implants of trenbolone acetate, estradiol, or both, on muscle insulin-like growth factor-I, insulin-like growth factor-I receptor, estrogen receptor-α, and androgen receptor messenger ribonucleic acid levels in feedlot steers. J. Anim. Sci. 86:3418–3423. doi:10.2527/jas.2008-1085.

Parr, S. L., K. Y. Chung, J. P. Hutcheson, W. T. Nichols, D. A. Yates, M. N. Streeter, R. S. Swingle, M. L. Galyean, and B. J. Johnson. 2011. Dose and release pattern of anabolic implants affects growth of finishing beef steers across days on feed1. J. Anim. Sci. 89:863–873. doi:10.2527/jas.2010-3447.

Pearse, A. G. E. 1968. Histochemistry 2, Analytical Technology. 3rd ed. Vol. 2. Edinburgh (UK): Livingstone.

Picard, B., M. P. Duris, and C. Jurie. 1998. Classification of bovine muscle fibres by different histochemical techniques. Histochem. J. 30:473–479.

Pogge, D. J., and S. L. Hansen. 2013. Supplemental vitamin C improves marbling in feedlot cattle consuming high sulfur diets. J. Anim. Sci. 91:4303–4314. doi:10.2527/jas.2012-5638.

Reinhardt, C. 2007. Growth-Promotant Implants: Managing the Tools. Vet. Clin. North Am. - Food Anim. Pract. 23:309–319. doi:10.1016/j.cvfa.2007.03.004.

Richter, E. L., M. E. Drewnski, and S. L. Hansen. 2012. Effects of increased dietary sulfur on beef steer mineral status, performance, and meat fatty acid composition. J. Anim. Sci. 90:3945–3953. doi:10.2527/jas.2011-4512.

Samuelson, K. L., M. E. Hubbert, M. L. Galyean, and C. A. Löst. 2016. Nutritional recommendations of feedlot consulting nutritionists: The 2015 New Mexico State and Texas Tech University survey. J. Anim. Sci. 94:2648. doi:10.2527/jas.2016-0282.

Spears, J. W., and E. B. Kegley. 2002. Effect of zinc source (zinc oxide vs zinc proteinate) and level on performance, carcass characteristics, and immune response of growing and finishing steers. J. Anim. Sci. 80:2747–2752.

Suttle, N. F. 2010. Mineral Nutrition of Livestock. 4th ed. Wallingford (UK): CABI Publishing.

Thornton, K. J., C. M. Welch, L. C. Davis, M. E. Doumit, R. A. Hill, and G. K. Murdoch. 2012. Bovine sire selection based on maintenance energy affects muscle fiber type and meat color of F1 progeny. J. Anim. Sci. 90:1617–1627.

Watts, D. L. 1990. The nutritional relationships of Manganese. J. Orthomol. Med. 5:219–222.

Wegener, W. S., and A. H. Romano. 1963. Zinc stimulation of RNA and protein synthesis in rhizopus nigricans. Sci. New Ser. 142:1669–1670.