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Protein kinase R-like ER kinase and its role in endoplasmic reticulum stress-decided cell fate
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Over the past few decades, understandings and evidences concerning the role of endoplasmic reticulum (ER) stress in deciding the cell fate have been constantly growing. Generally, during ER stress, the signal transductions are mainly conducted by three ER stress transducers: protein kinase R-like endoplasmic reticulum kinase (PERK), inositol-requiring kinase 1 (IRE1) and activating transcription factor 6 (ATF6). Consequently, the harmful stimuli from the ER stress transducers induce apoptosis and autophagy, which share several crosstalks and eventually decide the cell fate. The dominance of apoptosis or autophagy induced by ER stress depends on the type and degree of the stimuli. When ER stress is too severe and prolonged, apoptosis is induced to eliminate the damaged cells; however, when stimuli are mild, cell survival is promoted to maintain normal physiological functions by inducing autophagy. Although all the three pathways participate in ER stress-induced apoptosis and autophagy, PERK shows several unique characteristics by interacting with some specific downstream effectors. Notably, there are some preliminary findings on PERK-dependent mechanisms switching autophagy and apoptosis. In this review, we particularly focused on the novel, intriguing and complicated role of PERK in ER stress-decided cell fate, and also discussed more roles of PERK in restoring cellular homeostasis. However, more in-depth knowledge of PERK in the future would facilitate our understanding about many human diseases and benefit in searching for new molecular therapeutic targets.
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Facts

- Protein kinase R-like endoplasmic reticulum kinase (PERK) is one of the major transducers of endoplasmic reticulum (ER) stress, participating in regulating fundamental cell functions.
- Composed of the cytosolic and kinase domains, ERK is activated in a lined-up formation after interaction with the peptide chain of an unfolded/misfolded protein.
- Signals of apoptosis and autophagy induced by ER stress could be transduced through PERK pathway to trigger cell death or to maintain cell survival.
- There is a switching mechanism between autophagy and apoptosis, which is regulated by PERK.
- Are there any other downstream pathways of PERK when inducing cell apoptosis and autophagy?
- What are the exact interaction mechanisms and significance of crosstalk network among PERK-governed pathways in ER stress?

ER was spotted by KR Porter, A Claude and EF Fullam in 1945 in cultured mice fibroblasts.1 ER was found in almost all kinds of mammalian eukaryotic cells (except mature red blood cells). ER has been considered as a tubular system composed of a biomembrane, providing pathways for intracellular material transportation and a platform for various biological enzymatic reactions.2 ER is considered as one of the major vital organelles because it executes and participates in various fundamental biological functions including protein synthesis, steroid hormone synthesis, posttranslational protein modification, peptide chain folding, glucose concentration regulation, calcium homeostasis and lipid metabolism.3−5 ER is critically involved in protein processing, which was described as a ‘protein folding factory’. By coordinating activities of protein folding/modifying enzymes and interactions

Open Questions

- How to explain the finding that PERK deficiency presented stronger protective effect against apoptosis rather than activating transcription factor 6 (ATF6) or inositol-requiring kinase 1 (IRE1) deletion?
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of molecular chaperones, ER optimizes the microenvironment for posttranslational processing of nascent proteins. Correctly folded and modified proteins were then transported to the Golgi complex, which is responsible for protein maturation and distribution. The unfolded and misfolded proteins were deleted by ER-associated degradation (ERAD) system and cytosolic proteasomes. However, certain pathological conditions, such as extracellular blood glucose concentration fluctuation, oxidative stress, toxic agents/drug and radioactive radiation, would lead to overproduction of unfolded/misfolded proteins. The accumulation of these unfolded/misfolded proteins in ER lumen aggravates the burden of ER quality control system and eventually initiates unfolded protein response (UPR).

The UPR was first described by Kozutsumi et al. in the 1980s in mammalian cells in response to stressful conditions. UPR was considered a protective response to suspend protein translation massively but to induce some specific gene translations, which intend to restore the ER protein folding capacity. The transcription and translation of several ER-resident proteins, such as glucose-regulated protein 78 (GRP78), which belongs to heat-shock protein 70 (Hsp70) family, was markedly upregulated. Similar phenomenon was also observed in yeast where the expression of Kar2p, a GRP78 homolog, was increased too. However, when the external stressful factors fail to withdraw, the accumulation rate of misfolded proteins in the ER lumen would overwhelm the handling capacity of the ERAD system, initiating a pathological cellular stressful condition called ER stress.

**Downstream Signaling Pathways of UPR Sensors**

**Main signaling arms of ER stress.** Generally, the harmful signal was considered mainly sensed by three ER-resident transmembrane molecules, which were referred to as ATF6, IRE1 and PERK. As one of the most highly expressed ER chaperones, GRP78 was known to bind to the hydrophobic domains of proteins with its C-terminal substrate-binding domain to protect protein against misfolding. This process was thought energy consuming because GRP78 is actually an ATPase for its N-terminal ATPase domain. In resting cells under physiological conditions, the activities in ATF6-, IRE1- and PERK-governed signal-transduction branches were blocked when GRP78 binds to their luminal domains. However, when affected by sustained harmful stress, GRP78 would disassociate from these sensors to initiate ER stress. ATF6 is activated after being cleaved, whereas PERK and IRE1 are activated by self-transphosphorylation. It was reported that the sensitivities to external stressors vary among these signaling branches. The activation of PERK-governed signaling was almost simultaneous with the onset of ER stress (GRP78 disassociation), followed by activation of ATF6 and then IRE1 signaling pathways.

**PERK is one of the major signaling pathways of ER stress**

ATF6: ATF6 is a type-II transmembrane protein located on the ER. The stress signal could be sensed by luminal C-terminal region of ATF6 right after exposure to stressful conditions rapidly. After the disassociation from GRP78, ATF6 translocates to the Golgi complex where the full-length ATF6 is cleaved by site-1 and site-2 proteases. The generated 50 kDa fragment is bioactive because it contains the bZIP nuclear transcription activation domain that originated from the N-terminal region of ATF6. This fragment, also referred to as ATF6 P50, then translocates to the nucleus to regulate survival-related gene expression such as C/BEP homologous protein (CHOP) and X-box-binding protein 1 (XBP1).

IRE1: The endoribonuclease domain and the Ser/Thr kinase domain endow IRE1 dual enzymatic activities. The activation of IRE1 signaling was found delayed as the transcription of its substrate XBP1 mRNA is upregulated after activation of the ATF6 signaling pathway. After activation by self-phosphorylation, right after dissociation from GRP78, the phosphorylated IRE1 introduces an unconventional splicing by removing an intron to generate a spliced variant of XBP1, which is called sXBP1. It has been proved that sXBP1 had a part in regulating transcriptions of ER stress proteins, which were found involved in assisting protein folding, maturation and transportation, as well as degrading misfolded proteins. Furthermore, IRE1 was also considered a key initiator of ER stress-induced cell death. According to several recent studies, cell death was promoted by regulated IRE1-dependent decay of mRNA, which is a process targeting and degrading protein folding-related mRNAs.

**PERK:** The α-subunit of eukaryotic initiation factor 2 (eIF2α) phosphorylation is executed by eIF2α kinase family, which is considered protective in cells engaging various stimuli by biasing general protein synthesis but initiating stress-related protein production. As most transcription processes are shut down, ER lumen protein accumulation is, therefore, attenuated and the ER stress is relieved. It is widely accepted that there are mainly four members of eIF2α kinase family, namely PERK, protein kinase double-stranded RNA-dependent, heme-regulated inhibitor and general control non-derepressible-2. A wide range of cellular stress, such as heme deprivation, UV irradiation, amino-acid starvation and viral infection, would activate these kinases, which subsequently trigger the phosphorylation of eIF2α. In ER stress, right after disassociation of GRP78, the activation of PERK signaling pathway is initiated upon its dimerization and autophosphorylation. Then, eIF2α, which is one of the PERK’s downstream effectors, is phosphorylated to suppress the general gene translations by inhibiting ribosome transportation of initiator methionyl-tRNA<sub>Met</sub>. Notably, translations of genes containing internal ribosomal entry sites were selectively enhanced after phosphorylation of eIF2α. The canonical example is the ATF4 (activating transcription factor 4) gene encoded mRNA. The translation of ATF4 after scanning ribosome association is a process depending on eIF2-3TP/Met-tRNA<sub>Met</sub> levels, which is reduced during ER stress.
The Molecular Structure and Activation of PERK

PERK is a protein kinase that belongs to eIF2α kinase subfamily. PERK is composed of the cytoplasmic and kinase domains. The cytoplasmic domain senses the accumulation of unfolded/misfolded proteins in the ER lumen. After stimulation, PERK is activated by autophosphorylation of its kinase domain and acquired full catalytic activity to further phosphorylate eIF2α at Ser51 specifically. Similar to most typical protein kinases, the structure of the kinase domain contains a C-terminal lobe (C-lobe) and an N-terminal lobe (N-lobe). There is a short hinge loop linking the two lobes. The N-lobe comprises three α-helices and five β-strands, whereas the C-lobe comprises two short β-strands, seven α-helices and a long activation loop (Figure 1a).

Located on the ER membrane, PERK is presented as a homodimer. The cytoplasmic domain is bound by the ER chaperone GRP78 under ER stress-free conditions. ER stress initiation would facilitate the disassociation of GRP78 from the cytoplasmic domain. Then, the unfolded/misfolded proteins in the ER lumen bind to MHC-like grooves of the cytoplasmic domain and subsequently trigger stack of PERK homodimers. Along the polypeptide of unfolded/misfolded proteins, the PERK dimers are lined up. This steric configuration allows the activation loop of one dimer reach the catalytic site of the other dimer to cause self-phosphorylation. Then, the downstream molecules of PERK are recruited and phosphorylated (Figure 1b).

Role of PERK in ER Stress-Mediated Cell Apoptosis

In response to excessive ER stress, proapoptotic events are intended to be induced. Although there are still debates and arguments around molecular events in stress-induced apoptosis, a number of cell death-related signaling pathways are involved in Figure 2.

Shared pathways. After exposure to sustained and excessive ER stress, the above-mentioned three branches, IRE1, PERK and ATF6 signaling, would lead to programmed cell death through several common pathways. CHOP and caspase-mediated apoptotic pathways are the most studied ones. These two pathways are not exclusive and even closely connected. In most cases, CHOP-induced apoptosis is the form of caspase-dependent cell death. However, there are still other unique molecules that receive the apoptotic signaling transduced by CHOP.

CHOP: As a major component of ER stress-induced apoptosis, CHOP-mediated pathway is the most described and characterized pathway in ER stress-induced cell death. CHOP is also known as GADD153 (growth arrest and DNA damage 153), whose activation is induced by ATF6 and PERK. It is now generally accepted that CHOP is a major molecule regulating ER stress-induced apoptosis. Apoptotic molecules such as death receptor 5, GADD34 and ER oxidase 1 and so on are considered the target of CHOP.32,33 As CHOP-related apoptotic signaling network is complicated, more investigations are still needed to interpret the exact mechanism.

Caspase cascade: Caspase cascade activation is a well-known proapoptotic event that is also involved in ER stress-induced apoptosis.34 Several members, including caspase-2, caspase-4 (human), caspase-12 (rodents),
caspase-3, caspase-7 and caspase-9, were believed to have roles in this process. It was suggested that caspase-12 (caspase-4) was specific to death signals in ER stress rather than other cell death mechanisms. Caspase-12 (caspase-4) is dispensable for cell death but crucial for ER-stress-induced apoptosis. Caspase-2 (caspase-4) was found located on the ER membrane, the activation of which would lead to caspase-9/caspase-3 activation, resulting in programmed cell death.

PERK-associated pathways. The three branches of ER stress, PERK, IRE1 and ATF6, show their uniqueness when transducing survival signals in ER stress. As a central regulator of ER stress, PERK decides cell fate by interacting with its own downstream molecules to form corresponding pathways (Table 1).

**Table 1** Several molecules related with PERK-induced cell apoptosis

| Molecules | Upstream molecules | Effect of upstream molecules | Direct/indirect interact with PERK | Downstream molecules | Effect to downstream molecules | Consequence of activation |
|-----------|--------------------|------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------|
| eIF2α     | PERK               | Activation                   | Direct                           | ATF4                 | Activation                    | Proapoptosis             |
| ATFs      | PERK, eIF2α        | Activation                   | Indirect                         | CHOP, Noxa           | Activation                    | Proapoptosis             |
| cIAPs     | PERK, eIF2α, NAT1, DAP5 | Activation               | Unknown                          | Caspase-3, caspase-7, caspase-9 | Inhibition                   | Antiapoptosis            |
| TDAG51    | PERK, eIF2α        | Activation                   | Unknown                          | CD95/Fas             | Activation                    | Proapoptosis             |
| NRF       | PERK               | Activation                   | Direct                           | Bcl2                 | Activation                    | Antiapoptosis            |
| TRIB3     | PERK, ATF4, CHOP   | Activation                   | Indirect                         | NF-κB, Notch1        | Activation                    | Proapoptosis             |
| CaN       | PERK               | Activation                   | Direct                           | RyR2                | Activation                    | Proapoptosis             |

**Figure 2** Schematic diagram of signal-transduction network during ER stress-induced cell apoptosis. Apoptotic signals are transduced through ATF6-, IRE1- and PERK-governed signaling pathways in ER stress-induced apoptosis. This diagram mainly showed PERK-associated pathways, whereas ATF6- and IRE1-regulated pathways are briefly demonstrated. According to previous studies, in ER stress-induced apoptosis, PERK/eIF2α/ATF4, PERK/CaN, PERK/eIF2α/TDAG51, PERK/eIF2α/IAP2 and PERK/NRF2 pathways are considered PERK-associated.
Unlike most genes, \( \text{ATF4} \) is characterized by its upstream open reading frames (ORFs) located on the 5'-untranslated region. Thus, these ORFs enable \( \text{ATF4} \) escape from massive gene translation suspension, whereas the translation of \( \text{ATF4} \) is often ceased under normal conditions without ER stress.\(^{39,40}\) As a member of cAMP-responsive element-binding protein family, \( \text{ATF4} \) has a broad spectrum of targeted molecules. It was documented that \( \text{ATF4} \) acted as a prodeath factor under ER stress.\(^{41}\) The cell death-inducing function of \( \text{ATF4} \) is attributed to the initiation transcriptions of apoptotic factors including \( \text{CHOP} \) and \( \text{Noxa} \).\(^{42}\) \( \text{Noxa} \) is one of BH3-only proteins, which are found as inhibitors of prosurvival proteins such as Bcl-2 and activators of proapoptotic proteins such as Bak and Bax.\(^{43}\)

**Cellular inhibitor of apoptosis:** IAP is a gene family that encodes IAP proteins, which were initially found in host cells undergoing baculoviral infection. IAPs were described critical in mediating host cell viability.\(^{44}\) The most frequently described membranes of cellular inhibitor of apoptosis (cIAP) proteins are cIAP1, cIAP2 and X-chromosome-linked IAP (XIAP).\(^{45}\) Previously, it has been shown that in response to stimuli from ER stress, expression of cIAP1, cIAP2 and XIAP was elevated, which was protective against cell death.\(^{46}\) cIAP machinery in ER stress was reported in a PERK-dependent manner.\(^{46}\) The increased cIAP expression was found to delay the onset of ER stress-induced apoptosis. Caspase cascade is activated upon ER stress and further cleaves molecules such as \( \text{N-acetyltransferase 1} \) (\( \text{NAT1} \)) and death-associated protein 5 (\( \text{DAP5} \)).\(^{47,48}\) The resulting cleavage products would turn activate internal ribosome entry site of IAP2, elevating the translation of IAP2. It was demonstrated that IAP silencing increased the sensitivity to ER stress-induced apoptosis.

**T-cell death-associated gene 51:** T-cell death-associated gene 51 (TDAG51) is a member of pleckstrin homology-related domain family, which was found as a proapoptotic factor promoting cell apoptosis.\(^{49}\) The central motif of TDAG51 consists of pleckstrin homology domain and several C-terminal proline-glutamine/histidine repeats. This characterized structure endows TDAG51 the activity of transcriptional regulation, participating in inducing apoptosis. The activation of PERK/eIF2\(\alpha\) would lead to the inhibition of most mRNA translation but inducing several gene expressions, including \( \text{GRP78} \), \( \text{CHOP} \) and TDAG51.\(^{50}\) Although not fully clarified, previous studies showed that the activation of TDAG51 is an ER stress-induced eIF2\(\alpha\)-dependent process.\(^{51}\) Thus, it is reasonable to speculate that TDAG51 is one of the downstream effectors of PERK regulating cell fate.

**Nuclear respiratory factor:** Nuclear respiratory factors (NRFs) are members of the CNC-basic leucine zipper (CNC-bZIP) family of transcriptional factors. The structures of NRFs are highly conserved. In resting cells, by associating with cytoskeletal anchor protein Kelch-like Ech-associated protein 1 (\( \text{Keap1} \)), NRF2 is resident in latent cytoplasmic complexes.\(^{52}\) Results from previous work demonstrated that NRF2 was one of the direct substrate molecules of PERK.\(^{53,54}\) PERK-dependent phosphorylation is sufficient and necessary to initiate the separation of NRF2 from Keap1 with the assistance of NRF1.\(^{55}\) NRF2 \(-/-\) cells were found more sensitive to ER stress- induced apoptosis,\(^{56,57}\) indicating that NRF2 is a antisurvival factor.

**Tribbles homolog 3:** Tribbles homolog 3 (TRIB3) refers to the mammalian homolog of \( \text{Drosophila} \) tribbles and draws attention because of its correlation with cell death. TRIB3 was initially located in neuronal cells undergoing apoptosis as a cell death-inducible protein kinase.\(^{58}\) In ER-stressed cells, TRIB3 expression was elevated. Further investigation showed that TRIB3 interacted directly with CHOP without influencing the expression level of CHOP, whereas CHOP overexpression activated the promoter activity of TRIB3.\(^{59}\) The promoter region pTRIB3-Luc1, 2, 5 and 6 was identified as the ER stress response element with a CHOP binding site inside. According to previous studies, the expression of TRIB3 was induced by the PERK/ATF4 pathway.\(^{60}\) Notably, TRIB3-silenced cells showed stronger resistance to ER stress-induced apoptosis. These results indicate that TRIB3 is a PERK/ATF4-inducible proapoptotic factor in ER stress.

**CaN/FKBP12.6:** It was suggested that cytosolic calcium elevation would lead to the opening of mitochondrial permeability transition pore, which resulted in subsequent loss and collapse of mitochondrial membrane potential and thus triggered the intrinsic apoptosis pathway.\(^{61}\) ER is recognized as the cellular 'calcium pool', and under physiological conditions, opening of ER-associated calcium channels are inhibited. For example, FK506-binding protein 12.6 (FKBP12.6) binds to ryanodine receptor2 (\( \text{RyR2} \)) calcium channel to inhibit calcium outflow from ER under normal physiological conditions.\(^{62}\) However, under certain pathological conditions, depletion of ER calcium store was found in cells undergoing ER stress.\(^{63}\) In our previous study, we demonstrated that after induction of ER stress, autophosphorylated PERK increased the enzymatic activity of calcineurin (CaN) by direct interaction. CaN then facilitated the disassociation of FKBP12.6 from \( \text{RyR2} \) calcium channel to trigger calcium outflow from the ER to the cytosol.\(^{64}\) This CaN/FKBP12.6 pathway-regulated calcium overloading could be considered as one of the possible mechanisms of ER stress-induced apoptosis.

**Role of PERK in ER Stress-Induced Autophagy**

It is now generally accepted that almost all cells are undergoing process of autophagy at the basal level. Normal cellular function is maintained by the basal autophagy, which self-digests damaged organelles and proteins by lysosome to provide nascent amino acids and nucleic acids for organelle repair and protein regeneration. Pathological conditions such as oxidative stress and viral infection would initiate autophagy.\(^{65}\) The isolation membrane is formed at the preautophagosomal site, which is composed of Atg proteins. Then, the isolation membrane (autophagic membrane) is elongated to form autophagosome with the assistance of ubiquitin-like protein conjugation systems, namely the
LC3 and Atg12 protein systems, which modify autophagic proteins such as Atg8 and Atg5. An autolysosome where self-deigestion occurs is eventually formed by fusion of autophagosome and lysosomal/endosomal vesicles. It was believed that endoplasmic reticulum served as a platform for the initiation of autophagy at the subcellular level.\(^6\)\(^,\)\(^6\) Furthermore, more and more correlations between three arms of ER stress and autophagy were found in recent studies.\(^6\)\(^,\)\(^7\)\(^,\)\(^8\) Lines of evidences suggested that there were autophagy-dependent cell death under ER stress conditions.\(^6\)\(^,\)\(^7\)\(^,\)\(^8\) Therefore, signaling in ER stress could be the potential switching mechanism between autophagy and apoptosis.

**Autophagy is regulated by ER stress pathways.** Upon activation of signal transductions in ER stress, the autophagic gene were found as targets of ER stress-related transcriptional factors\(^7\)\(^2\)\(^–\)\(^7\)\(^8\) (Table 2). Mutant huntingtin aggregation-induced cytotoxicity in neurons was one of the critical mechanisms of Huntington’s disease. These accumulated mutant huntingtin could be eliminated by autophagic flux but which was found impaired by IRE1/TRAFl signaling under circumstances of ER stress.\(^7\)\(^9\) By phosphorylating and dissociating Beclin1 from Bcl2, death-associated protein kinase 1 (DAPK1) promotes autophagy.\(^8\)\(^0\) ATF6 was found as an autophagy-positive regulator by interacting with ERK1/2 target site of C/EBP-\(\beta\) and form a heterodimer that activates the promoter of DAPK1.\(^8\)\(^1\) PERK-governed pathway was also found to have a role in ER stress-induced autophagy.

The involvement of PERK in ER stress-induced autophagy

**elf2\(\alpha\)/ATF4 pathway:** According to results from several previous studies, during ER stress, elf2\(\alpha\)/ATF4 signaling pathway had an important role in inducing and regulating autophagy.\(^8\)\(^2\) Activation of PERK pathway is crucial for autophagic flux, which maintains cell survival. In yeast and mammals, phosphorylation of elf2\(\alpha\) is required in inducing autophagy. During the autophagic induction phase, several elf2\(\alpha\) phosphorylation-dependent selective translation would lead to Atg12 upregulation, which then stimulates the formation of Atg5–Atg12–Atg16 complex, resulting in the promotion of LC3-I to LC3-II conversion.\(^8\)\(^3\) Meanwhile, it was reported that ATF4 activity was essential for some autophagic gene transcription.\(^8\)\(^4\) In a recent study, by chromatin immunoprecipitation analysis (ChIP) analysis, sets of autophagic genes including Atg3, Atg12, Atg16, Map, Beclin1 and Gabarapi2 were identified as targets for ATF4.\(^7\)\(^5\) Meanwhile, transcriptions of autophagic genes including Sqstm1, Nbr1 and Atg7 were boosted when ATF4 and CHOP were coactivated.\(^7\)\(^5\)\(^,\)\(^7\)\(^6\)

**AMPK/mTORC1 pathway:** Regulation of autophagy through modulation of the AMP-activated protein kinase (AMPK)/mammalian target of rapamycin C1 (mTORC1) pathway by PERK was found in endothelial cells during ECM detachment.\(^8\)\(^4\) mTOR functions as a protein kinase coordinating multiple cellular metabolic processes.\(^8\)\(^5\) It is believed that mTOR is a typical negative autophagic regulator.\(^8\)\(^6\) mTORC1 is a complex that comprises mTOR, Raptor and mLST8. Inhibition of mTOR is considered to promote autophagy by regulating Atg1 in yeast and Atg1 complex, ULK (UNC-51-like kinase), in mammals. External stress such as ATP:ADP ratio reversion would lead to the activation of AMPK, which then inhibits the mTOR activity to initiate autophagy.\(^8\)\(^7\) In endothelial cells, under ER stress induced by ECM detachment, AMPK was found to be activated and induces autophagy by rapidly reproducing ATP to promote cell survival. Importantly, PERK was identified as the upstream activator of AMPK phosphorylation at the AMPK site, which is a substrate for LKB1.\(^8\)\(^4\) However, more detailed mechanisms concerning this process are still under investigation.

**PERK pathway switches signal transduction from autophagy to apoptosis.** It may seem contradictory that PERK/elf2\(\alpha\)/ATF4 pathway participated in both ER stress-induced apoptosis and autophagy, but recent studies in selenite-treated leukemia NB4 cells indicated that it was the PERK/elf2\(\alpha\)/ATF4 axis that itself had modulated the switch between autophagy and apoptosis with the assistance of p38.\(^8\)\(^8\) It was reported in the same study that PERK modulated the phosphorylation of p38, which affected the target selection of ATF4 to mediate the switch between apoptosis and autophagy. P38 was considered the critical factor linking the crosstalk between autophagy and apoptosis by modulating PERK/elf2\(\alpha\)/ATF4 signaling.\(^8\)\(^8\)

Under physiological conditions, ER stress-induced intracellular autophagy is maintained at the basal level. In selenite-induced autophagy in Jurkat cells, by interacting with promoters of autophagic genes, PERK/elf2\(\alpha\)/ATF4 pathway induces autophagy. During this progression, the binding of ATF4 to autophagic gene such as Map1LC3B is directed and promoted by phosphorylated elf4E.\(^8\)\(^9\) However, under pathological conditions, after ER stress is aroused, this pathway would modulate to shut down autophagy and to initiate apoptosis.

The dual role of p38 as an apoptotic promoter and an autophagic initiator is possible because of the abundance of p38 upstream regulators. It was reported that in human pancreatic cancer cells, PERK activation decreases the expression of Hsp90 and Hsp90–Cdc37 chaperone complex suppressed the autophosphorylation of p38.\(^8\)\(^9\) Under circumstances of ER stress, in the autophagy-to-apoptosis switching process, activated PERK inhibits the activity of Hsp90–Cdc37 complex to initiate the p38 autophosphorylation. Activated p38 would suppress the phosphorylation of elf4E but increase the phosphorylation of elf2\(\alpha\).\(^8\)\(^9\) The docking sequence for ATF4,
CRE-like elements, locates in promoters of both CHOP and MAP1LC3B. Thus, ATF4 would be more inclined to initiate the binding with CHOP promoter to induce transcription of proapoptotic genes to trigger programmed cell death. The switching mechanism is demonstrated briefly in Figure 3.

**Perspectives**

As one of the important intracellular organelles, ER participates in executing and regulating multiple cellular fundamental biological functions. Under certain physiological or pathological conditions, as the unfolded/misfolded proteins accumulating in the ER lumen, ER stress is induced. Currently, ER stress is considered as a cell fate-deciding event. If cells are unable to compensate under severe external stimuli, cell apoptosis would be induced by ER stress. If the stimuli are mild, ER stress-induced autophagy would be introduced to promote cell survival by providing nutrients via self-digesting damaged organelles and proteins. This sophisticated but complicated mechanism ascertains the stability of the inner biological environment and strengthens the protective responses to harmful external stimuli.

PERK is an ER-resident protein that mediates signal transduction during ER stress. Along with ATF6 and IRE1, PERK is also recognized as one of the main transducers of ER stress. The death/survival signals transduced in ER stress are sensed by these transducers to trigger either apoptosis or autophagy. However, according to several previous studies of our team and others, there are some uniqueness of PERK compared with ATF6- or IRE1-governed signaling pathways in inducing apoptosis and autophagy.

In high-glucose-mediated ER stress-induced myocytes apoptosis, we found that although all of the three arms of ER stress were activated, PERK deletion exhibited stronger protective effect against apoptosis compared with ATF6 and IRE1. On further investigation by subcellular fractionation, PERK was found as a component of mitochondria-associated endoplasmic reticulum membrane (MAM). In other words, PERK is an MAM protein. MAM is described as the physical and functional contact site of ER and mitochondria, which is tightly packed with proteins with various functions including oxidative stress sensing, cell death regulation and so on. Thus, as an MAM protein, it is possible that PERK carries out more responsibilities in conducting death signals. However, more investigations should be implemented to address PERK-specific role as an MAM protein and its interactions with other MAM proteins.

The PERK/ATF4 signaling is now accepted as a typical pathway mediating ER stress-induced autophagy. It is believed that activated FoxO facilitates the expression of Bnip3, which displaces the autophagic effector Beclin1 from Bcl-XL complexes to induce autophagy.

---

**Figure 3**  
Brief diagram of PERK-dependent pathways in ER stress-induced autophagy and switching mechanism between apoptosis and autophagy. In this figure, pathways marked in blue indicated the pathways resulting in apoptosis; pathways marked in orange indicated pathways leading to autophagy. Molecules marked red (namely p38 and calcium) indicate the key regulator of PERK’s switching role between autophagy and apoptosis during ER stress.
pointed out that PERK could direct phosphorylation of FoxO transcription factor to promote FoxO activity. It is reasonable for us to speculate that PERK/FoxO could be an alternative PERK-associated pathway mediating ER stress-induced autophagy. Notably, PERK signaling is cell fate deciding in ER stress because of its autophagy-apoptosis switching role. As mentioned in above sections, p38 is tightly associated with the switching function of PERK. Are there other possible mechanisms? After calcium outlet is induced by PERK-mediated RyR2 opening, the calmodulin-dependent protein kinase kinase (CAMPKKβ) is activated, which subsequently induces AMPK signaling to affect mTOR-associated autophagy. Thus, CAMPKKβ could also be treated as a potential key autophagy-apoptosis switching molecule in PERK signaling. However, these are only reasonable hypothesis, much more studies are still needed.

Conclusion

According to the literature and our previous studies, although still not completely clear, PERK signaling pathway is potentially highly related with the types of human diseases including Alzheimer's disease, Parkinson's disease, Huntington's disease, encephalomyelitis, vascular calcification, arrhythmias, diabetic cardiomyopathy and so on. Clarification of basic mechanisms of ER stress-induced apoptosis, autophagy and the crosstalk between them is that these findings would be significant and benefit in interpreting pathological and pathophysiological mechanisms of certain diseases and finding novel therapeutic molecular targets.
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