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Cu Nanoparticles Modified Step-Scheme Cu$_2$O/WO$_3$ Heterojunction Nanoflakes for Visible-Light-Driven Conversion of CO$_2$ to CH$_4$
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Abstract: In this study, Cu and Cu$_2$O hybrid nanoparticles were synthesized onto the WO$_3$ nanoflake film using a one-step electrodeposition method. The critical advance is the use of a heterojunction consisting of WO$_3$ flakes and Cu$_2$O as an innovative stack design, thereby achieving excellent performance for CO$_2$ photoreduction with water vapor under visible light irradiation. Notably, with the modified Cu nanoparticles, the selectivity of CH$_4$ increased from nearly 0% to 96.7%, while that of CO fell down from 94.5% to 0%. The yields of CH$_4$, H$_2$ and O$_2$ reached 2.43, 0.32 and 3.45 mmol/g$_{cat}$ after 24 h of visible light irradiation, respectively. The boosted photocatalytic performance primarily originated from effective charge-transfer in the heterojunction and acceleration of electron-proton transfer in the presence of Cu nanoparticles. The S-scheme charge transfer mode was further proposed by the in-situ-XPS measurement. In this regard, the heterojunction construction showed great significance in the design of efficient catalysts for CO$_2$ photoreduction application.
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1. Introduction

The rapid growth of atmospheric carbon dioxide (CO$_2$) concentration has attracted considerable attention due to its greenhouse effect on the global climate [1,2]. Developing artificial photosynthesis routes by reducing anthropogenic CO$_2$ emissions using solar energy instead of carbon-based fuels such as methane, methanol or carbon monoxide is still an intensive research topic in the environmental and energy field [2–4]. Since a TiO$_2$ photocatalyst was used to reduce CO$_2$ into methanol and formaldehyde by Inoue et al. in 1972 [5], most semiconductor photocatalysts, such as g-C$_3$N$_4$, CeO$_2$, W$_18$O$_{49}$ and Bi$_2$WO$_6$ have received immense attention for CO$_2$ reduction under visible light irradiation, which occupies 44% of solar light [6–11]. Among the common photocatalysts, WO$_3$ material has gradually gained special scientific interest due to its relatively narrow bandgap ($E_g \sim 2.8$ eV) and unique crystal structure containing a network of corner-shared octahedral units of [WO$_6$], which could theoretically utilize 12% of solar light and enhance charge migration in the catalyst [12]. Although WO$_3$ crystals exhibit various phases, few studies have focused on hexagonal phase WO$_3$ (h-WO$_3$) for photocatalytic CO$_2$ reduction [8,13,14]. h-WO$_3$ not only exhibits excellent visible light responsive properties according to its good photochromic ability [15], but also strong CO$_2$ adsorption at low-pressure due to the presence of ultramicro-sized tunnels [16]. It is thus considered as a potential visible-light-driven (VLD) photocatalyst for CO$_2$ reduction. However, the application of photocatalytic
CO$_2$ reduction for $h$-WO$_3$ is seriously hindered by poor charge separation and reduction ability [17,18].

It is well known that two-dimensional (2D) semiconductors exhibit superior solar-driven photocatalytic activity as a result of improved photoexcited charge separation, compared with that of bulk photocatalysts [19,20]. The 2D nanostructure also greatly influences the optical properties and electronic properties of semiconductors [21]. In particular, the travel distance of photoexcited carriers in the WO$_3$ nanoflake becomes short, and more photons can be adsorbed by the nanoflake in a remarkably short time under low photon flux density due to its large surface area [22]. It is thus considered that $h$-WO$_3$ nanoflakes could reduce charge recombination and improve the performance of photocatalytic CO$_2$ reduction. In addition, there have been few reports on the hydrothermal fabrication of nanostructured $h$-WO$_3$ photocatalysts [16,23,24], and the metastable hexagonal phase has limited its development and application [17,25]. Therefore, it is still a conceptual challenge in the field of materials research to fabricate heat-resisting $h$-WO$_3$ nanoflakes for CO$_2$ reduction. Apart from nanostructure engineering, heterojunction construction is also a prospective way of achieving improved redox abilities and efficient separation of photoexcited electrons and holes for $h$-WO$_3$ [2,26]. Among the numerous heterojunction photocatalysts, the heterostructured system with a staggered band alignment has drawn much attention due to its efficient charge separation [27]. Notably, the charge transfer (step-scheme mode) in the above junction directly quenches the weaker oxidative holes and reductive electrons, which is preferable to obtain photoexcited carriers with stronger redox abilities [28]. Recently, cuprous oxide (Cu$_2$O) with a conduction band of $-1.15$ eV (vs. NHE) has been utilized as a VLD semiconductor photocatalyst or co-catalyst for CO$_2$ reduction [29,30]. Nevertheless, Cu$_2$O exhibits weak oxidation ability and poor photostability. An effective way to address these issues may be coupling Cu$_2$O and WO$_3$ to construct a heterostructured system with a step-scheme (S-scheme) charge transportation mode. S-scheme systems consisting of monoclinic or orthorhombic WO$_3$ and Cu$_2$O were constructed for organic degradation, CO$_2$ reduction or photoelectrocatalytic water splitting [31–33]. To our best knowledge, there are few studies regarding hexagonal phase WO$_3$ nanoflakes and Cu$_2$O for photocatalytic CO$_2$ reduction under visible light irradiation.

Except for enhanced photocatalytic activity for CO$_2$ reduction, the selectivity of multiple hydrocarbon products plays a key role in their further application in the chemical industry. The influence of the specific surface structure of the photocatalyst cannot be ignored in the process of CO$_2$ reduction [34,35]. Due to the eight-electron reaction of CH$_4$ generation from CO$_2$, loading co-catalysts with fast electron transfer, including Au, Pt, Ag and Ti, could be conductive to control the reduction of products [35–38]. Non-noble-metal Cu co-catalyst has become a newly emerging research spot for CO$_2$ reduction. Albo et al. reported the deposition of Cu nanoparticles on the TiO$_2$ surface, which facilitated the photocatalytic process from CO$_2$ to CH$_3$OH [39]. Meng et al. proved that Cu co-catalyst played a pivotal role in increasing methane selectivity in the CO$_2$ photoreduction process for the S doped g-C$_3$N$_4$ catalyst [40]. In the Cu$_2$O/WO$_3$ system, loading Cu co-catalysts can originate from Cu$_2$O with unsaturated coordination sites dispersed on the surface of the catalyst, and offer more catalytic centers and act as the electron sink to increase the concentration of charge carriers. Therefore, the construction of a Cu/Cu$_2$O/WO$_3$ catalyst could accelerate charge separation across the Cu$_2$O/WO$_3$ heterojunction interface and modulate product selectivity for photocatalytic CO$_2$ reduction.

Herein, we report the synthesis of Cu and Cu$_2$O species onto WO$_3$ nanoflakes by a one-step electrodeposition method. The photocatalytic performances for CO$_2$ reduction with water vapor over the obtained samples were investigated under visible light irradiation ($\lambda > 400$ nm), and the influence of Cu nanoparticles on product selectivity was studied. The band structure of the heterojunction was measured and the S-scheme charge-transfer mode was further verified.
2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Materials Synthesis

WO$_3$ nanoflake film was synthesized by the solvothermal-calcination method. Before solvothermal growth, a thin seed layer was deposited onto the fluorine-doped tin oxide (FTO)-coated glass substrate (2.3 cm $\times$ 2.0 cm) by spin coating the precursor solution, which was made by dissolving 1.25 g of H$_2$WO$_4$ in 30 wt% H$_2$O$_2$ (8 mL), followed by annealing at 500 °C for 2 h in air. The H$_2$WO$_4$ solution for solvothermal treatment was prepared by dissolving 1.25 g of H$_2$WO$_4$ into 30 wt% H$_2$O$_2$ (15 mL) and heating at 95 °C for 2 h. Nanoflake growth was achieved using 3 mL of H$_2$WO$_4$ solution mixed with 0.5 mL of HCl (6 mol/L) and 12.5 mL of acetonitrile. A vertically oriented FTO-glass substrate was immersed into the above solution and placed within a Teflon lined stainless steel autoclave, which was then sealed and maintained at 180 °C for 3 h. The substrate was then rinsed with deionized water and dried in air. The WO$_3$ nanoflake film was obtained after annealing at 500 °C for 2 h in air.

The electrodeposition was performed in a standard three-electrode system. The FTO substrates (2.3 cm $\times$ 2.0 cm) were used as the working electrodes. The platinum sheet (1.0 cm $\times$ 1.0 cm $\times$ 0.2 cm) and Ag/AgCl electrode were used as the counter and reference electrodes, respectively. All of the chemicals were used without further purification. Before electrodeposition, the substrates were rinsed with distilled water and then cleaned by ultrasonic treatment in ethanol for 10 min. Cu$_2$O was electrodeposited in 0.02 mol/L Cu(OAc)$_2$ aqueous solution (50 mL) containing 0.02 mol/L acetic acid (5 mL) using chronopotentiometry at −0.4 V with 0.5 s for 150 cycles. The Cu/Cu$_2$O sample was obtained at −0.7 V under the similar conditions as above.

Cu$_2$O/WO$_3$ and Cu/Cu$_2$O/WO$_3$ films were synthesized by electrodeposition of Cu$_2$O and Cu/Cu$_2$O on the obtained WO$_3$ nanoflakes, respectively. Typically, the deposition process was conducted in Cu(OAc)$_2$ aqueous solution (0.02 mol/L, 50 mL) using chronopotentiometry electrodeposition with 0.5 s in 150 cycles, and the Cu$_2$O/WO$_3$ and Cu/Cu$_2$O/WO$_3$ films samples were obtained at −0.4 and −0.7 V, respectively. All the samples were then rinsed with deionized water and dried in air. Additionally, Cu$_2$O and Cu/Cu$_2$O films were prepared by the similar method, and Cu$_2$O and Cu/Cu$_2$O nanoparticles were broken off from the above samples by the ultrasound method. The dispersion solutions of Cu$_2$O and Cu/Cu$_2$O nanoparticles were then severally sprayed onto the WO$_3$ nanoflakes, and the obtained films were finally denoted as Cu$_2$O/WO$_3$ m and Cu/Cu$_2$O/WO$_3$ m samples.

2.2. Characterization

The crystal phases of the samples were recorded using an X-ray diffractometer (PANalytical X’ Pert PRO, Netherlands) with a Cu Kα irradiation source (λ = 0.154 nm) and 0.15°/s scanning step. A scanning electron microscope (SEM, Nova NanoSEM 450, FEI) using the acceleration 300 kV voltage was used to characterize the morphology of the obtained products. Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) was obtained on a Tecnai G2 F20 S-TWIN electron microscope. Furthermore, high-resolution transmission electron microscopy (HRTEM) and Energy Dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDX) was employed and the corresponding fast Fourier transform (FFT) was evaluated by Gatan Digital Micro-graph software (Gatan Inc., Pleasanton, CA, USA). X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) measurements were carried out at room temperature on a Thermo escalab 250Xi X-ray Photoelectron Spectrometer with a monochromatic Al Kα radiation (hν = 1486.6 eV). For XPS analysis, the samples without exposure to air were dried in N$_2$ flow gas and vacuum packed to avoid any impurity. All spectra were calibrated to the C 1s peak at 284.6 eV. The peak position was estimated using a fitting procedure based on the summation of Lorentzian and Gaussian functions using the XPSPEAK 4.1 program. UV-Vis diffuse reflectance spectra (DRS) were performed on a scan UV-Vis spectrometer (Cary 5000). The composition for the composites was determined by ICP-AES analysis using Thermo Scientific icAP 6000 spectrometry. The photoelectrochemical test was recorded in a conventional three-electrode system by a CHI 660E electrochemical
workstation (Chenhua, Shanghai, China). The photocurrents of the photocatalysts were measured at 0.0 V (vs. Ag/AgCl) in Na$_2$SO$_4$ aqueous solution after being purged by N$_2$ under UV-visible light with an AM 1.5 G filter.

2.3. Photocatalytic Performance Tests

Photocatalytic CO$_2$ reduction activity with gaseous phase H$_2$O was evaluated in a CEL-HPR100 stainless steel cylindrical vessel (Beijing China Education Au-Light Co., Ltd., China), and the light source was a PLS-SXE 300 Xenon arc lamp with a UV cutoff filter ($\lambda > 400$ nm). The compressed high purity CO$_2$ gas (99.995%) was passed across a deionized water bubbler, which generated a mixture of CO$_2$ and H$_2$O vapor. After visible-light irradiation, the product yields and types in the gas phase were analyzed using a GC-7890II gas chromatograph (Beijing China Education Au-Light Co., Ltd., China), and the hydrocarbon product was further analyzed by 7890A-5975C GC-MS (Agilent Technologies Inc., Santa Clara, CA, USA). The experimental process is detailed in Supplementary Material S1.

The product selectivity ($S$) for CO$_2$ reduction was calculated with the following Equations (1)–(3):

\begin{align}
S_{\text{CO}}(\%) &= \frac{2 \times N_{\text{CO}}}{2 \times N_{\text{CO}} + 8 \times N_{\text{CH}_4} + 2 \times N_{\text{H}_2}} \times 100 (1) \\
S_{\text{H}_2}(\%) &= \frac{2 \times N_{\text{H}_2}}{2 \times N_{\text{CO}} + 8 \times N_{\text{CH}_4} + 2 \times N_{\text{H}_2}} \times 100 (2) \\
S_{\text{CH}_4}(\%) &= \frac{2 \times N_{\text{CH}_4}}{2 \times N_{\text{CO}} + 8 \times N_{\text{CH}_4} + 2 \times N_{\text{H}_2}} \times 100 (3)
\end{align}

$N_{\text{CO}}$, $N_{\text{CH}_4}$ and $N_{\text{H}_2}$ were on behalf of the yield of detected CO$_2$, CH$_4$ and H$_2$ molecules in the photocatalytic process of CO$_2$ reduction with H$_2$O vapor.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Structure, Composition and Morphology

The XRD patterns of the as-prepared samples are presented in Figure 1. Several diffraction peaks at 13.9, 23.2, 27.2, 28.1, 47.4 and 49.8$^\circ$ (marked with black dotted lines) were observed corresponding to (1 0 0), (0 0 2), (1 0 2), (2 0 0), (0 0 4) and (2 2 0) planes of hexagonal WO$_3$ (PDF card No. 01-085-2460). This is because the percentage of exposed facets was estimated by the respective peak areas of the facets [41]. Hence, the WO$_3$ component in the bare and composite samples preferentially exposed (0 0 2) facets. Simultaneously, the diffraction peaks of Cu$_2$O sample (marked with green dotted lines) matched perfectly with those of cubic phase Cu$_2$O (PDF card No. 01-078-2076). To further explore the existence of Cu metal in the composite, the XRD pattern of Cu/Cu$_2$O sample was studied, avoiding interference from the diffraction peak of hexagonal WO$_3$, and the characteristic peaks at 43.4 and 50.6$^\circ$ (marked with pink dotted lines) were attributed to metallic Cu (PDF card No. 03-065-9743). Moreover, Cu metal was proven to be present in the Cu/Cu$_2$O/WO$_3$ composite. As a result, Cu and Cu$_2$O were simultaneously electrodeposited onto hexagonal WO$_3$, indicating the successful construction of the Cu/Cu$_2$O/WO$_3$ composite.

X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) measurements were carried out to elucidate the surface composition and chemical states of the elements. The survey XPS spectrum of the typical Cu/Cu$_2$O/WO$_3$ sample indicated that the composite mainly consisted of W, Cu and O electrons. To gain further insight into the chemical bonding between W and other atoms in the composite, the high resolution XPS spectrum of W 4f (Figure 2a) was deconvoluted by Gaussian-Lorenzian analysis. The peaks at binding energies of 37.7 and 35.7 eV were ascribed to W (VI) state in tungsten oxide materials, while two distinct peaks at 34.5 and 36.4 eV were consistent with the values of W (V) oxidation state for all the samples [42,43]. The existence of W (V) was necessary to maintain the opening structure of hexagonal WO$_3$ [14]. However, the area ratios of W (V) and W (VI) in the composite were higher than those of the bare WO$_3$ sample (Table S1) due to the electroreduction process for Cu$_2$O deposition. In the high-resolution Cu 2p XPS spectrum (Figure 2b), two conspicuous peaks were observed at binding energies of 952.3 eV for Cu (I) 2p$_{1/2}$ and 932.5 eV for Cu (I) 2p$_{3/2}$ [44]. Furthermore, the Cu and W diffraction peaks of the composite shifted slightly
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Figure 2. XPS spectra (a): W 4f, (b): Cu 2p, and Cu LMM auger spectra (c) of the obtained samples. Compared with those of pure samples, which was the reason that the intense interaction existed between WO3 and Cu2O component in the composites, implying the formation of heterojunction. Cu LMM Auger spectra (Figure 2c) were further performed to explore the chemical state of the Cu element. The Auger parameter can be calculated from the equation of \( \alpha' = E_k (\text{Auger electron}) + E_b (\text{photoelectron}) \). Here, \( E_k \) is the kinetic energy, and \( E_b \) is the binding energy. The Auger parameter values of the Cu (I) and Cu (0) in the Cu/Cu2O/WO3 composite were determined to be 1848.7 and 1851.2 eV, respectively, which indicated the existence of Cu (I) and Cu (0) in the Cu/Cu2O/WO3 sample [45,46].

![Figure 1. XRD patterns of the bare and composite samples (# sign: the characteristic peak of FTO substrate).](image1)

![Figure 2. XPS spectra (a): W 4f, (b): Cu 2p, and Cu LMM auger spectra (c) of the obtained samples.](image2)
The morphologies of the as-obtained WO₃, Cu₂O/WO₃ and Cu/Cu₂O/WO₃ powders were visualized using SEM images. As shown in Figure 3, all the samples exhibited the typical sheet morphology with various sizes. Furthermore, the SEM image in Figure 3a showed that the nanoflake surface was rough and porous. As shown in Figure 3b,c, both of the composites kept uniform sheet morphology, and the sheet thicknesses after electrodeposition of Cu₂O and Cu/Cu₂O had no obvious changes compared with that of bare WO₃. Although there was no obvious difference in Cu content between Cu₂O/WO₃ and Cu/Cu₂O/WO₃ according to the ICP-AES analysis (Table S2), the nanoflake surface of Cu/Cu₂O/WO₃ exhibited distinct embossment (Figure 3c).

![Figure 3. SEM images of WO₃ (a), Cu₂O/WO₃ (b) and Cu/Cu₂O/WO₃ (c) samples.](image)

Micro-structures of the obtained samples were investigated by TEM observation. Figure 4 revealed that the typical nanoflake-like morphology was observed with a sharp edge and clean boundary. In Figure 4a, the WO₃ nanoflake had a porous surface morphology. The fringe spacings of 0.634 and 0.366 nm were discovered (inset of Figure 4a), which were consistent with the (1 0 0) and (0 0 2) lattice planes of hexagonal WO₃, respectively. In Figure 4b, Cu₂O/WO₃ still kept a nanoflake-like morphology with smaller porous sizes compared with that of WO₃. The lattice fringes with spacings of 0.246 and 0.213 nm were distinctly found (inset of Figure 4b) corresponding to the (1 1 1) and (2 0 0) planes of cubic Cu₂O. Similar nanoflake-like morphology was observed for the Cu/Cu₂O/WO₃ sample in Figure 4c, and the (2 0 0) crystal facet of metallic Cu with lattice spacing of 0.180 nm was observed, except for the crystal facet of Cu₂O (top-right inset of Figure 4c). Furthermore, the scattered Cu nanoparticles around the Cu/Cu₂O/WO₃ nanoflake by ultrasonic exfoliation were observed with clear lattice fringes of 0.180 and 0.208 nm were observed around the nanoflake (bottom-left inset of Figure 4c), and the size distribution of Cu nanoparticles in the selected area (framed in red, corresponding enlarged view in Figure S1) obeyed a logical normal distribution with an average diameter of 5.6 ± 1.1 nm (Figure S2). According to the elemental mapping images in Figure 4d, W, Cu and O elements were uniformly distributed in the Cu/Cu₂O/WO₃ sample, and the Cu element appeared on the surface, as well as around the nanoflakes. The above results demonstrate that Cu₂O was uniformly electrodeposited on the surface of the WO₃ nanoflake, and metallic Cu also existed in the Cu/Cu₂O/WO₃ sample.

The light absorption property is crucial for the utilization efficiency of solar energy for the catalyst. According to the UV-Vis diffuse reflectance spectra (Figure 5), all the composites showed strong absorption in the visible light region, indicating the feasibility of utilizing visible light for CO₂ photoreduction. The band gaps (E_g) of the samples were estimated by the plots of (αhv)² versus photo energy (hv) (Figure S3 and Table S2), and the calculated E_g values of WO₃ and Cu₂O were 2.86 and 2.05 eV, respectively.
In Figure 4b, Cu2O/WO3 still kept a nanoflake-like morphology with smaller porous sizes compared with that of WO3. The lattice fringes with spacings of 0.246 and 0.213 nm were distinctly found (inset of Figure 4b) corresponding to the (1 1 1) and (2 0 0) planes of cubic Cu2O. Similar nanoflake-like morphology was observed for the Cu/Cu2O/WO3 sample in Figure 4c, and the (2 0 0) crystal facet of metallic Cu with lattice spacing of 0.180 nm was observed, except for the crystal facet of Cu 2O (top-right inset of Figure 4c). Furthermore, the scattered Cu nanoparticles around the Cu/Cu2O/WO3 nanoflake by ultrasonic exfoliation were observed with clear lattice fringes of 0.180 and 0.208 nm were observed around the nanoflake (bottom-left inset of Figure 4c), and the size distribution of Cu nanoparticles in the selected area (framed in red, corresponding enlarged view in Figure S1) obeyed a logical normal distribution with an average diameter of 5.6 ± 1.1 nm (Figure S2). According to the elemental mapping images in Figure 4d, W, Cu and O elements were uniformly distributed in the Cu/Cu2O/WO3 sample, and the Cu element appeared on the surface, as well as around the nanoflakes. The above results demonstrate that Cu 2O was uniformly electrodeposited on the surface of the WO3 nanoflake, and metallic Cu also existed in the Cu/Cu2O/WO3 sample.

Figure 4. TEM images of WO3 (a), Cu2O/WO3 (b) and Cu/Cu2O/WO3 (c), inset: HRTEM images), and elemental mapping images of Cu/Cu2O/WO3 (d).
Figure 4. TEM images of WO3 (a), Cu2O/WO3 (b) and Cu/Cu2O/WO3 (c), inset: HRTEM images, and elemental mapping images of Cu/Cu2O/WO3 (d).

Figure 5. UV-Vis diffuse reflectance spectra of WO3, Cu2O, Cu2O/WO3 and Cu/Cu2O/WO3 composites.

3.2. Photocatalytic Performance of CO2 Reduction

The photocatalytic CO2 reduction experiments were carried out under visible-light irradiation (>400 nm) for the as-prepared catalysts. The photocatalytic performances of CO2 reduction with water vapor in Figure 6a showed that no products were generated over the WO3, Cu2O and Cu/Cu2O samples. Conversely, CO, CH4, O2 and H2 products were obtained for the Cu/Cu2O/WO3 and Cu2O/WO3 composites, and no other products such as HCHO or CH3OH were detected by either GC or GC-MS analyses. Furthermore, the product yields decreased for the mechanically dispersed Cu2O/WO3 m sample compared with those of the Cu2O/WO3, indicating that the loosely contacted interface was insufficient for photocatalytic CO2 reduction. Additionally, the product selectivity for CO2 reduction was analyzed for the Cu/Cu2O/WO3 and Cu2O/WO3 catalysts. Typically, the S_{CH4} and S_{CO} values of Cu2O/WO3 were calculated to be about 0.1% and 94.5%, which demonstrated that CO2 reactant was thermodynamically favorable to form CO by the two-electron reduction pathway. However, the S_{CH4} and S_{CO} values of Cu/Cu2O/WO3 were calculated to be 96.7% and 0.0%, indicating that the metallic Cu promoted the formation and utilization of proton-assisted multi-electrons pathway in the photocatalytic CO2 reduction process [47].
CH₄, CO and O₂ yields for CO₂ photoreduction reached 1.87, 0.0065 and 2.63 mmol/gcat, respectively. Compared with the photocatalytic activity of the reported catalysts (Table S3), the maximum rate of CH₄ product over Cu/Cu₂O/WO₃ was obviously higher and high product selectivity was also obtained. To explore the influence of loading Cu on the photocatalytic performance, the controlled experiments in different atmospheres were further investigated (Figure 6b). In the CO/H₂O atmosphere, more CH₄ molecules were produced over Cu/Cu₂O/WO₃ compared with that of Cu₂O/WO₃. Meanwhile, in the N₂/H₂O atmosphere, the H₂ yield from water splitting was improved with the existence of Cu in the composite, which indicated that Cu nanoparticles promoted the electron transfer and proton aggregation on the catalyst surface, improving the hydrogenated process for CO₂ reduction over the Cu/Cu₂O/WO₃ catalyst. The yields of products for the Cu/Cu₂O/WO₃ composite were further investigated in the CO₂/H₂O atmosphere within 24 h of irradiation. As shown in Figure 6c, the total yields of CH₄, H₂ and O₂ were enhanced with prolonging the irradiation time. The maximal yield rate of H₂ reached 0.013 mmol/gcat/h at 24 h, and those of CH₄ and O₂ at 18 h were found to be 0.104 and 0.147 mmol/gcat/h, respectively. The decreased yields of the photocatalytic CO₂ reduction were possibly due to oxidation of the formed carbonous compounds on the photocatalyst surface or the coverage of active sites by intermediates. Reproducibility and durability are critical for the long-term use of a catalyst in practical application. The results in Figure 6d show that the yields of CH₄ and H₂ for the typical Cu/Cu₂O/WO₃ catalyst slightly decreased, and the O₂ yield obviously fell down after 5 cycles. Notably, after the 5th cycling experiment, the photocatalyst was regenerated by the electro-reduction method at 0.05 V in 0.5 M Na₂SO₄ solution. In the 6th cycling experiment, all the yields were obviously promoted, which were similar to those of the fresh catalyst. To illustrate the stability of the Cu/Cu₂O/WO₃ catalyst, XPS measurements were conducted to investigate the change in chemical composition after the 5th cycle. As shown in the Cu 2p and W 4f XPS spectra (Figure S4), Cu (II) and W (V) were identified, which were probably derived from the oxidation of Cu (I) and reduction in W (VI), and W (V) possibly became the high recombination center of the photogenerated electrons and holes, leading to a slight decrease in the photocatalytic activity for CO₂ reduction.
decreased, and the O₂ yield obviously fell down after 5 cycles. Notably, after the 5th cycling experiment, the photocatalyst was regenerated by the electro-reduction method at 0.05 V in 0.5 M Na₂SO₄ solution. In the 6th cycling experiment, all the yields were obviously promoted, which were similar to those of the fresh catalyst. To illustrate the stability of the Cu/Cu₂O/WO₃ catalyst, XPS measurements were conducted to investigate the change in chemical composition after the 5th cycle. As shown in the Cu 2p and W 4f XPS spectra (Figure S4), Cu (II) and W (V) were identified, which were probably derived from the oxidation of Cu (I) and reduction in W (VI), and W (V) possibly became the high recombination center of the photogenerated electrons and holes, leading to a slight decrease in the photocatalytic activity for CO₂ reduction.

Figure 6. Photocatalytic performances of CO₂ reduction with water vapor after 18 h of visible light irradiation (>400 nm) over different samples (a), photocatalytic activities under different atmospheres (b), the yields of products for the Cu/Cu₂O/WO₃ composite in the CO₂/H₂O atmosphere within 24 h of irradiation (c) and product yields for the Cu/Cu₂O/WO₃ catalyst in cycling experiment under visible light irradiation for 18 h (d).

3.3. Possible Photocatalytic Mechanism

Transient photocurrent response and photoluminescence spectra were measured to investigate the charge separation. Based on the photo-electric properties during several on–off illumination cycles (Figure 7a), the photocurrent density of Cu₂O/WO₃ was higher than those of the bare WO₃ and Cu₂O samples, and the Cu/Cu₂O/WO₃ sample exhibited the highest photo-induced density among them. Moreover, the photoluminescence spectra of the photocatalysts at the excitation wavelength of 405 nm are present in Figure 7b, and the emission intensity of the Cu/Cu₂O/WO₃ composite distinctly decreased compared with those of the WO₃, Cu₂O and Cu₂O/WO₃ samples. The above results indicate the promoted separation of photogenerated electron-hole pairs in the Cu/Cu₂O/WO₃ composite.
WO would not accomplish H\textsubscript{2}O oxidation due to the more negative VB of Cu\textsubscript{2}O. Hence, the heterostructure with staggered band alignment was successfully formed in this Cu\textsubscript{2}O/WO\textsubscript{3} system, assuming that the possible band bending of the semiconductor was neglected. Based on the band energy structure of WO\textsubscript{3} and Cu\textsubscript{2}O, two possible mechanisms for photo-induced carriers were proposed and described in Figure 8b,c. If the photo-excited charge carriers transferred according to the traditional model (Figure 8b), the photo-excited holes in the VB of WO\textsubscript{3} would transfer to the VB of Cu\textsubscript{2}O, and the photo-excited electrons in the CB of Cu\textsubscript{2}O would migrate to the CB of WO\textsubscript{3}, where the accumulated electrons would not reduce CO\textsubscript{2} to CO/CH\textsubscript{4} or produce H\textsubscript{2} from H\textsubscript{2}O on account of the more positive CB edge potential (0.21 eV vs. NHE) than the standard CO\textsubscript{2} reduction potential [4]. Similarly, the accumulated holes in Cu\textsubscript{2}O would not accomplish H\textsubscript{2}O oxidation due to the more negative VB of Cu\textsubscript{2}O. Hence, the hypothesis of the traditional double-transfer model was invalid. The S-scheme charge transfer mode (Figure 8c) was proposed according to the enhanced photocatalytic activity of Cu/Cu\textsubscript{2}O/WO\textsubscript{3}. To verify the S-scheme charge transfer mode in this heterojunction, the XPS spectra of Cu\textsubscript{2}O/WO\textsubscript{3} were measured in light and the results were shown in Figure 8d,e. The CB of Cu\textsubscript{2}O and WO\textsubscript{3} were composed of Cu and W orbitals, respectively. Four peaks of W 4f in light shifted to a higher binding energy compared with these in the dark. It was implied that the peaks of W 4f in light shifted to a higher binding energy compared with these in the dark. 

Figure 7. Photocurrent density (a) and photoluminescence spectra (b) of the obtained samples.

The band edge position and charge transport mode in the composite directly influenced the separation and redox ability of photoexcited charge carriers. Ultraviolet photoelectron spectra combined with $E_g$ analysis were used to determine the electronic band structure of the catalyst. Figure 8a shows the UPS spectra of Cu\textsubscript{2}O and WO\textsubscript{3}. On the basis of the linear intersection method [48], the valence band (VB) of WO\textsubscript{3} was estimated to be $-7.33$ eV (vs. vacuum), and the conduction band (CB) was $-4.47$ eV (vs. vacuum) based on the $E_g$ value of WO\textsubscript{3}. According to the connection between vacuum energy and normal electrode potential (NHE) [29], the corresponding VB and CB positions of WO\textsubscript{3} were $2.89$ and $0.03$ eV (vs. NHE), respectively. Similarly, the VB and CB values of Cu\textsubscript{2}O were separately calculated to be $0.91$ and $-1.14$ eV (vs. NHE), which agreed well with previously reported results [31,49]. Hence, the heterostructure with staggered band alignment was successfully formed in this Cu\textsubscript{2}O/WO\textsubscript{3} system, assuming that the possible band bending of the semiconductor was neglected. Based on the band energy structure of WO\textsubscript{3} and Cu\textsubscript{2}O, two possible mechanisms for photo-induced carriers were proposed and described in Figure 8b,c. If the photo-excited charge carriers transferred according to the traditional model (Figure 8b), the photo-excited holes in the VB of WO\textsubscript{3} would transfer to the VB of Cu\textsubscript{2}O, and the photo-excited electrons in the CB of Cu\textsubscript{2}O would migrate to the CB of WO\textsubscript{3}, where the accumulated electrons would not reduce CO\textsubscript{2} to CO/CH\textsubscript{4} or produce H\textsubscript{2} from H\textsubscript{2}O on account of the more positive CB edge potential (0.21 eV vs. NHE) than the standard CO\textsubscript{2} reduction potential [4]. Similarly, the accumulated holes in Cu\textsubscript{2}O would not accomplish H\textsubscript{2}O oxidation due to the more negative VB of Cu\textsubscript{2}O. Hence, the hypothesis of the traditional double-transfer model was invalid. The S-scheme charge transfer mode (Figure 8c) was proposed according to the enhanced photocatalytic activity of Cu/Cu\textsubscript{2}O/WO\textsubscript{3}. To verify the S-scheme charge transfer mode in this heterojunction, the XPS spectra of Cu\textsubscript{2}O/WO\textsubscript{3} were measured in light and the results were shown in Figure 8d,e. The CB of Cu\textsubscript{2}O and WO\textsubscript{3} were composed of Cu and W orbitals, respectively. Four peaks of W 4f in light shifted to a higher binding energy compared with these in
the dark. Simultaneously, two peaks of Cu 2p in light reversely shifted. It was implied that the photo-induced electrons transferred from WO3 component to Cu2O component in this heterojunction [50,51]. Specifically, the photoexcited holes in the VB of Cu2O would transfer to WO3 and recombine with the photoexcited electrons in the CB of WO3. The CB potential of Cu2O and VB potential of WO3 thermodynamically realized photocatalytic CO2 reduction and H2O oxidation, respectively, and the metallic Cu co-catalyst facilitated the reduction process dynamically.

Figure 8. Ultraviolet photoelectron spectra of Cu2O and WO3 samples measured at the basics of −8 V (a), photocatalytic electron transfer modes of the Cu/Cu2O/WO3 composite: the traditional double transfer mode (b) and the S-scheme charge transfer mode (c), and XPS spectra of W 4f (d) and Cu 2p (e) for Cu2O/WO3 heterojunction in dark/light.

4. Conclusions

In summary, Cu and Cu/Cu2O species were synthesized on the hexagonal WO3 nanoflake films by the one-step electrodeposition method for photocatalytic CO2 reduction with water vapor. The obtained Cu/Cu2O/WO3 catalyst exhibited excellent photocatalytic performance under visible light irradiation (λ > 400 nm) due to the construction of heterojunction, and the CH4, H2 and O2 yields reached 2.43, 0.32 and 3.45 mmol/gcat after 24 h of illumination, respectively. Notably, CH4 molecules were generated as the major product over the Cu/Cu2O/WO3 catalyst, whereas Cu2O/WO3 facilitated CO generation. Efficient CH4 formation for the Cu/Cu2O/WO3 catalyst was attributed to the modification of Cu nanoparticles favoring electron–proton transfer from CO to CH4. The decreased photocatalytic activity in the cycling experiment was recovered by the regenerated treatment via electro reduction, removing the superfluous W(V) in the composite. Additionally, the S-scheme charge transfer mode and potential mechanism of CO2 reduction were proposed by the results of XPS measurement and photocatalytic performance under light illumination with a specific wavelength. The present research may provide a promising strategy to
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