Autonomic Hyperreflexia after Spinal Cord Injury
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Abstract

The most important complication of spinal cord lesions above T6 level is the phenomenon of Autonomic Hyperreflexia (AH). Symptoms and signs of AH result from the predominant parasympathetic excitation above the level of injury, and sympathetic excitation below the level of injury. Various noxious and nonnoxious stimuli below the level of injury can thus trigger off a mass autonomic response. The main triggering factor of AH is related with the urinary tract. The main treatment of AH is removal of the triggering factors. The development of intraoperative AH and hypertension can be prevented either by general anesthesia, which blunts autonomic reflexes, or regional anesthesia (spinal or epidural), which blocks afferent and autonomic efferent neural impulses.
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Review

The Autonomic Hyperreflexia (AH) was first described in 1860 by Hilton [1] and the neuro-anatomical pathway was suggested by Kurnick in 1956 [2]. AH is developed in patients after severe spinal cord injury (SCI) above T6 level as a result of exaggerated spinal sympathetic excitation. It is caused by the spinal reflex mechanisms that remain intact despite the injury of the spinal cord [3,4]. The incidence of AH for spinal cord injuries above T6 is 85% [3-5].

Physiopathology of AH

AH is initiated by afferent impulses reaching the isolated spinal cord below the level of the spinal cord damage. While the nerve impulses travel up to the spinal cord, they are obstructed at the injury level. The input activates a reflex which increases the response of the sympathetic nervous system and gives a vasoconstriction and an hypertension [3-6]. The AH development has multifactorial complex mechanisms. Afferent impulses are carried by fibers which synapse within the dorsal grey matter of the spinal cord at various levels and ascend the dorsal and lateral columns until blocked at the level of SCI. As there is a loss of supraspinal control, the terminal boutons of presynaptic fibres divided by the cord transection become disorganized, leading to derangement in neighbouring, intact efferent fibres. Over the weeks following SCI, presynaptic boutons multiply, forming chaotic and inappropriate reflexes. Interneurones excited by the afferent inputs synapse with preganglionic sympathetic neurons in the intermediolateral grey column of the cord [4]. As a result of this process, an exaggerated reaction occurs within the preganglionic sympathetic neurons as a response to the afferent stimulus. The reason that AH is a feature of lesions at the T6 level or above is related with splanchic circulation response to this sympathetic overactivity. The latter activity below the injury level results a splanchic and peripheral vasoconstriction and causes hypertension. As a result of an excessive parasympathetic output above the level of the lesion, a peripheral vasodilatation occurs [5,7,8].

The brain is unable to influence the changes below the level of the injury, but above this level, the response of spinal centers is massive, leading to extensive sympathetic stimulation of the cardiovascular system and of the neurologically isolated adrenal medulla [5,6]. The denervated blood vessels are hypersensitive to any sympathetic stimulation and to the catecholamines released by the adrenal medulla [6]. This leads to vasoconstriction in the denervated area and compensatory vasodilation in the innervated area. Therefore, cord damage at T6 level or above is accompanied by increased secretion of adrenal medullary catecholamines suggesting adrenaline implication in the development of the hyperreflexic responses as well as activation of adrenal sympathetic preganglionic neurons by visceral afferences leading to severe AH. As a consequence of the extensive marked vasoconstriction, a hypertension occurs. A reflex bradycardia usually occurs through the intact vagus nerve and glossopharyngeal nerve (cranial nerves X and IX), because of the spinal cord transection does not interfere with afferent connections of the baroreceptors from the carotid sinus and aortic arch to the cardiac centers in the medulla oblongata [3,5,6].

Triggering

Various noxious and nonnoxious stimuli below the level of injury can thus trigger off a massive autonomic response. Bors and French [9] reported that the greatest responses were produced by stimuli with the most caudal root levels below the region of SCI. This explains why pelvic visceral stimulation is the most commonly implicated [1,7,10,11]. Bladder distension is responsible for 75-82% of AH episodes [3]. Bladder distension may result from blocked or kinked indwelling urinary catheters (one of the commonest causes), urinary tract infection, interventional procedures and catheterization [7]. Other important causes are bowel distension, uterine contractions during obstetric delivery, acute abdominal pathology, fissure and urinary tract infection. Cutaneous and proprioceptive stimuli are less commonly implicated but manipulation of pressure sores, ingrown toenails and even sunburn have been known to trigger the phenomenon. During surgery under general anesthesia, various tiggers can precipitate AH, such as tracheal intubation or extubation, pain and other surgical stimuli [4,5,7].

Symptoms and Signs of AH

Essentially, symptoms and signs of AH result from the predominant parasympathetic excitation above the level of injury, and sympathetic excitation below the level of injury [3,5]. Usually, patients with SCI at T6 or above have normal systolic blood pressure of 90-110 mmHg. A sudden 20 to 40 mmHg increase of systolic and diastolic blood pressure is common in diastolic blood pressure over baseline that is frequently associated with bradycardia.
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may indicate AH. A noxious stimulus below the level of the lesion produces an afferent impulse that generates a generalized sympathetic response, which in turn results in widespread vasoconstriction, most significantly in the splanchnic vasculature, which causes an increase in peripheral resistance and a shunting of the normal blood that is congested, thereby forcing it to enter into the general circulation. The combination of the increased vasoconstriction and the increased fluid load in the vascular space causes a potentially catastrophic increase in blood pressure (BP). Systolic BP can increase to as high as 300 mm Hg, diastolic BP to as high as 200 mm Hg. The brain detects this hypertension crisis through intact baroreceptors and stimulates the parasympathetic nervous system in an attempt to lower BP. The parasympathetic overactivity (and lack of sympathetic tone) above the level of the lesion results in peripheral vasodilation and is thought to be responsible for the headache, flushing and sweating in the head and neck region, and the nasal congestion [7,12]. Other associated symptoms are nausea, anxiety, malaise, Horner’s syndrome (partial ptosis, miosis, anisocoria, hemifacial anhidrosis) and penile erections. Signs on examination include sweating, tremor, flushing and piloerection above the level of the lesion. Twitching and increased spasticity in all limbs may be shown [5]. The cardiovascular responses associated with AH are complex and variable. Tachycardia or reflex bradycardia are most commonly observed in this setting, and are attributed to heightened noradrenergic sympathetic activity as well as reflex vagal responses. Other electrocardiographic abnormalities have been observed during an attack of AH such as premature atrial and ventricular contractions, T-wave and U-wave amplitudes alterations, atrial fibrillation, bigeminy, prolonged P-R interval and conduction block [13,14]. Moreover, the arrhythmias associated with AH have seldom been recognized as a cause of cardiac arrest in individuals with SCI. Cholachis et al. report a rare description of AH-inducing recurrent ventricular fibrillation in a patient with C6 tetraplegia [13]. The hypertension in AH is paroxysmal and may be severe leading to subarachnoid, intracranial and retinal haemorrhages, seizures, coma, myocardial ischemia, pulmonary oedema and death [4,5,13-16].

Treatment

Nonpharmacologic management of AH

The first step of treatment, regardless of the cause, is to place the patient in an upright position, thus pooling the blood in the abdominal and lower extremity vessels, due to the loss of peripheral vasoconstriction that follows SCI, thereby causing a reduction in arterial blood pressure. Thereafter, the precipitating stimulus should be searched and removed thus blood pressure often returns to baseline levels immediately [13]. In particular, the urinary tract irritation or reflexes, or regional anesthesia, which blocks afferent and autonomic efferent neural impulses. Patients with SCI often have a lower blood volume and a reduced lean tissue mass as a result of muscle wasting. This implies a smaller volume of distribution for intravenous anesthetic agents and a smaller vessel-rich compartment. This explains the greater observed sensitivity of these patients to intravenous induction agents. The effect is compounded by an absence of reflex sympathetic activity, reducing the ability to compensate for myocardial depressant effects. SCI is often associated with renal impairment, which may result in reduced clearance of some drugs [5]. Many drugs commonly used during anesthesia are dependent to some degree on renal excretion for elimination, and this must be taken into consideration when planning an anesthetic for patients with renal dysfunction. These patients are sensitive to barbiturates and benzodiazepines secondary to decreased protein binding. Some narcotic agents including morphine and meperidine should be used carefully as they have active metabolites and may have prolonged activity in the setting of renal dysfunction. Fentanyl and hydromorphone are better choices. Cisatracurium and atracurium are nondepolarizing muscle relaxants that do not rely on renal function for their elimination, but are metabolized by ester hydrolysis and Hoffmann elimination. Neuromuscular reversal agents rely on renal excretion and, therefore, their effects will be prolonged. Many antimicrobial agents must be dosed according to renal function. Nonsteroidal antiinflammatory agents should be avoided [20]. All induction agents are useful except for the Ketamine which carries the theoretical risk of worsening muscle spasm [5].

Concerning the suxamethonium, since the appearance of many papers in the early 1970s, there have been very few studies on its use in SCI. A particular area of controversy is the duration of denervation hypersensitivity and when the use of suxamethonium may safely resume after injury. Reported cases of cardiac arrest were attributed to hyperkalemia induced by suxamethonium within the 6 months period of injury. During this period, the use of this drug could possibly be justified just for a specified tough indication such as a rapid sequence induction with difficult intubation [4,7].

During general anesthesia, the use of muscle relaxants is necessary firstly to facilitate the tracheal intubation and secondly to optimize the surgical field by causing relaxation of skeletal muscles. The use of muscle relaxants is not necessary if the patient present a severe muscular wasting. As spinal lesion induced neuromuscular changes, monitoring of neuromuscular blockade (by evoked muscle response to nerve stimulation) is recommended when their use is needed to avoid residual paralyses [5].

Saito et al. [21] described a 26-year-old male patient, who developed AH while undergoing colostomy under general anesthesia. These authors recommended nicardipine for treatment of AH in a
patient with upper SCI undergoing surgery under general anesthesia. Sevoflurane has been used to block AH during transurethral litholapaxy under general anesthesia in patients with complete SCI. Yoo et al. [10] studied 28 patients with chronic, complete SCI, who were scheduled to undergo transurethral litholapaxy during general anesthesia. These researchers found that the end-tidal concentrations of Sevoflurane to prevent AH in patients were EC50 of 3.12% and EC95 of 3.83% (the effective concentrations to block systolic blood pressure response in 50% and 95% of patients). Their findings indicate that a deep level of anesthesia is required to block AH. A deep general anesthesia with systemic opioids is recommended to prevent autonomic responses in the face of noxious stimulation and to blunt the sympathetic response during surgery [10,11].

**Regional Anesthesia**

Subarachnoid and epidural anesthesia have been successfully used to prevent the triggering of AH by blocking the afferent nerves, the spinal neurons, and the efferent nerves [5,6,22]. Therefore, epidural anesthesia is preferable because in spinal anesthesia the onset of sympathetic blockade is rapid and block height cannot always be predicted. Patients with chronic spinal injuries have low resting blood pressure due to impaired autoregulatory function. In high lesions, the ability to prevent orthostatic falls in blood pressure and to increase heart rate via the cardioaccelerator fibres (T1-4) is lost [5,6,22]. The most widely accepted technique to control AH is epidural analgesia, although the choice of a certain drug, its concentration, and its volume is controversial. An epidural narcotic seem to be a good choice because it will interrupt the reflex arc by blocking the afferent nerves, the sympathetic system, and the spinal cord.”

Epidural anesthesia for labor and delivery in a patient with AH was first described in 1979 by Stirt et al. [23]. The technique allows for a slower rise in the sympathetic blockade, while block height can be controlled by small incremental doses of local anesthetic. Epidural pethidine alone has been successfully used to control AH in spontaneous labor [24]. The use of fentanyl alone during epidural has been unsuccessful due to the lack of local anesthetic action [4]. The combination of bupivacaine and fentanyl was described by Gunaydin et al. [25] for caesarean section in patients with SCI.

The test dose for accidental subarachnoid placement is difficult to interpret given the existing extensive motor and sensory block. The ability to elicit muscle spasms in response to ethyl chloride spray has been described as a method of judging block level [4]. The use of epinephrine-containing solutions in testing for accidental intravascular placement has been suggested, but it was avoided because of the uncontrolled hypertension risk [20].

In conclusion, for all operations on cord-injured patients, it is recommended that the usual precautions should be taken, such as the presence of the anesthetist, a secured venous access, a continuous monitoring throughout the procedure. An adequate anesthetic technique (general or regional) should be considered to blunt the sympathetic response during surgery, avoiding then the occurrence of AH.
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