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\textbf{A B S T R A C T}

The datasets and tool presented in this article are related to the research article entitled “Improving crash predictability of the Highway Safety Manual through optimizing local calibration process” (Dadvar et al., 2020) \cite{1}, in which these datasets were used to investigate alternative local calibration methods for the Highway Safety Manual (HSM) \cite{2} local application. The datasets are integrated Highway Safety Information System (HSIS) \cite{3} state-wide rural two-lane, two-way roads (R2U) data from states of Illinois and Washington. The HSIS is a database that maintains motor vehicle crash data, roadway inventory, and traffic volume data for several US states. It is an excellent source of data to highway safety research and can be used to investigate many research questions. However, to prepare an analysis-ready roadway safety dataset based on the HSIS or any databases that store the relevant data in multiple different datasets, the researchers should integrate multiple datasets, merge or unmerge and remove certain inconsistent records, and finally clean the inte-
grated dataset. The HSIS staff is usually accommodating and eager to help, but sometimes the nature of data needs is complicated and laborious. A tool named Roadway Safety Data Integrator (RSDI) was developed for combining, segmenting, and selecting homogeneous (unchanged during the study period for certain variables of interest) HSIS roadway segments and also crash assignment by desired crash attributes (e.g., crash severity or type). The RSDI tool can be helpful for integrating different safety-related datasets such as roadway inventory (including grade, curve, and other subsets), traffic volume, and motor vehicle crash data; also, it can do required segmentation and identify the homogeneous roadway segments over the desired years of study that are the basis for development and calibration of the HSM predictive models. The shared datasets contain homogeneous roadway segments, geometric details, and crash data for six years from Illinois (2005–10) and Washington (2010–15). The datasets and RSDI tool would be important sources generally for investigating highway safety research questions and in particular, for HSM-related analyses. The RSDI tool can be used for similar purposes and it is not limited to the HSIS data. It can be used for segmentation and finding homogeneous segments of any datasets that follow linear referencing. The datasets and RSDI tool are hosted in the Mendeley Data repository [4].

© 2020 The Author(s). Published by Elsevier Inc. This is an open access article under the CC BY license. (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)

### Specifications table

| Specification          | Details                                                                 |
|------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Subject                | Civil and Structural Engineering                                         |
| Specific subject area  | Road safety                                                             |
| Type of data           | Table, image, Excel file, and executable program (.exe)                 |
| How data were acquired | Raw data was requested from the Highway Safety Information System (HSIS) laboratory of the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA). |
| Data format            | Raw and processed                                                       |
| Parameters for data collection | The Highway Safety Information System (HSIS) maintains data from several US states; the data availability and quality differ among different states. States of Illinois and Washington were selected due to availability of state-wide curve data which is an important road safety factor. |
| Description of data collection | The raw data was processed using the Roadway Safety Data Integrator (RSDI) tool. While the Illinois dataset included the integrated roadway (including curve data) and crash data, the Washington dataset comprised integrated roadway, curve, grade, lane, and crash data. |
| Data source location   | Institution: Highway Safety Information System (HSIS) laboratory, Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) City/Town/Region: McLean, VA Country: USA |
| Data accessibility     | Repository name: Mendeley Data (Roadway Safety Data Integrator (RSDI) Tool & Integrated Highway Safety Information System (HSIS) Datasets) Data identification number: DOI: 10.17632/vcj5rzxzw4j1 Direct URL to data: https://data.mendeley.com/datasets/vcj5rzxzw4j1/1 |
| Related research article | S. Dadvar, Y.-J. Lee and H.-S. Shin, Improving crash predictability of the Highway Safety Manual through optimizing local calibration process, Accident Analysis and Prevention 136 (2020). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aap.2019.105393 |
Table 1
Datasets summary.

| State        | Illinois                                                                 | Washington                                                                 |
|--------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Years        | 2005 - 10                                                                 | 2010 - 15                                                                 |
| Facility Type| Rural two-lane, two-way road (R2U)                                        | Rural two-lane, two-way road (R2U)                                        |
| Number of Roadway Segments | 16,964                                                                  | 40,141                                                                   |
| Length       | 5998.58 miles (9653.78 km)                                               | 3505.69 miles (5641.86 km)                                               |
| Number of Crashes | 52,801                                                                  | 17,281                                                                   |
| Average Crashes per Mile | 8.8                                                                     | 4.9                                                                      |
| Average Crashes per KM   | 5.5                                                                     | 3.1                                                                      |
| Integrated HSIS Datasets | Road, Crash                                                              | Road, Curve, Grade, Lane, Crash                                          |
| Number of Variables in Final Dataset | 111                                                                     | 123                                                                      |

Value of the Data

- The shared datasets provide state-wide integrated roadway, traffic volumes, and motor vehicle crash data for all rural two-way, two-lane roads in states of Illinois and Washington.
- The datasets are substantial sources in the development of further studies in road safety analysis, crash prediction modeling, and particularly in Highway Safety Manual (HSM) research such as Dadvar et al., 2020 [1].
- Also, the crashes are provided by different severity levels (i.e., fatal (K), injury crashes (A, B, and C), and property-damage-only (O)). Due to importance and impact of fatal and severe injury crashes, the datasets can be used for further severity-based analyses in addition to count-based approaches.
- The shared Roadway Safety Data Integrator (RSDI) tool can be used to reproduce datasets for Illinois, Washington, and other states on the Highway Safety Information System (HSIS). Also, the tool can process any datasets that follow linear referencing.

1. Data description

The datasets presented in this data article were used to investigate alternative local calibration methods for the Highway Safety Manual (HSM) local application by Dadvar et al. [1]. The data comprised of integrated Highway Safety Information System (HSIS) datasets (motor vehicle crashes, roadway inventory, and traffic volumes) for all rural two-way, two-lane roads in states of Illinois and Washington (Table 1). The raw data was requested from the Highway Safety Information System (HSIS) laboratory of the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA). States of Illinois and Washington were selected due to availability of state-wide curve data which is an important road safety factor. After receiving the raw data from the HSIS laboratory, the data was processed using the Roadway Safety Data Integrator (RSDI) tool. While the Illinois dataset consists of integrated roadway (including curve data) and crash data, the Washington dataset consists of integrated roadway, curve, grade, lane, and crash data. The description of the variables in integrated datasets are represented in Table 2 and Table 3. The years of data for Illinois and Washington are 2005 through 2010 and 2010 through 2015, respectively. The raw HSIS data (12 Excel files for Illinois including one set of roadway (including curve data) and crash datasets for each year (2005–10) and 30 Excel files for Washington including one set of roadway, curve, grade, lane, and crash datasets for each year (2010–15)), integrated datasets (one Excel file for Illinois and one Excel file for Washington), and RSDI tool and its guide are hosted in the Mendeley Data repository [4].

2. Experimental design, materials and methods

The HSIS is a database that maintains crash data, roadway inventory, and traffic volume data for several different US states (Fig. 1). The HSIS is sponsored by the FHWA and is managed by
Table 2
Description of variables in Illinois integrated dataset.

| Variable      | Description                  | Note                                                                 |
|---------------|------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------|
| cnty_rte      | Road identifier              |                                                                      |
| Begmp         | Begin milepost               |                                                                      |
| Endmp         | End milepost                 |                                                                      |
| ilrdR2UX_aadt | AADT                         | Separate variable for each year (X)                                   |
| ilrdR2UX_county | County                     | Separate variable for each year (X)                                   |
| ilrdR2UX_curv_rad | Curve radius (ft.)       | Separate variable for each year (X)                                   |
| ilrdR2UX_dir_curv | Curve direction             | Separate variable for each year (X)                                   |
| ilrdR2UX_lanewid | Lane width (ft.)           | Separate variable for each year (X)                                   |
| ilrdR2UX_med_type | Median type                 | Separate variable for each year (X)                                   |
| ilrdR2UX_outshp1 | Outside shoulder 1 Type     | Separate variable for each year (X)                                   |
| ilrdR2UX_outshp2 | Outside shoulder 2 Type     | Separate variable for each year (X)                                   |
| ilrdR2UX_outshwd1 | Outside shoulder 1 width (ft.) | Separate variable for each year (X)                                   |
| ilrdR2UX_outshwd2 | Outside shoulder 2 width (ft.) | Separate variable for each year (X)                                   |
| ilrdR2UX_spd_limit | Speed limit (mi/hr)     | Separate variable for each year (X)                                   |
| ilrdR2UX_sln_type | Special lane type           | Separate variable for each year (X)                                   |
| ilrdR2UX_surf_typ | Surface type                | Separate variable for each year (X)                                   |
| ilcrR2UX_K   | Crash severity K            | Separate variable for each year (X)                                   |
| ilcrR2UX_A   | Crash severity A            | Separate variable for each year (X)                                   |
| ilcrR2UX_B   | Crash severity B            | Separate variable for each year (X)                                   |
| ilcrR2UX_C   | Crash severity C            | Separate variable for each year (X)                                   |
| ilcrR2UX_O   | Crash severity O            | Separate variable for each year (X)                                   |

X = 2005 through 2010.

Table 3
Description of variables in Washington integrated dataset.

| Variable       | Description                  | Note                                                                 |
|----------------|------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------|
| road_inv       | Road identifier              |                                                                      |
| Begmp          | Begin milepost               |                                                                      |
| Endmp          | End milepost                 |                                                                      |
| wardR2UX_aadt | AADT                         | Separate variable for each year (X)                                   |
| wardR2UX_county | County                     | Separate variable for each year (X)                                   |
| wardR2UX_lanewid | Lane width (ft.)           | Separate variable for each year (X)                                   |
| wardR2UX_lshl_typ | Left shoulder type         | Separate variable for each year (X)                                   |
| wardR2UX_lshldwid | Left shoulder width (ft.) | Separate variable for each year (X)                                   |
| wardR2UX_rshl_typ | Right shoulder type        | Separate variable for each year (X)                                   |
| wardR2UX_rshldwid | Right shoulder width (ft.) | Separate variable for each year (X)                                   |
| wardR2UX_surf_typ | Surface type               | Separate variable for each year (X)                                   |
| wardR2UX_terrain | Terrain                    | Separate variable for each year (X)                                   |
| wacrR2URdX_K | Crash severity K            | Separate variable for each year (X)                                   |
| wacrR2URdX_A | Crash severity A            | Separate variable for each year (X)                                   |
| wacrR2URdX_B | Crash severity B            | Separate variable for each year (X)                                   |
| wacrR2URdX_C | Crash severity C            | Separate variable for each year (X)                                   |
| wacrR2URdX_O | Crash severity O            | Separate variable for each year (X)                                   |
| wacrR2URdX_U | Crash severity Unknown      | Separate variable for each year (X)                                   |

X = 2010 through 2015.

the University of North Carolina Highway Safety Research Center (HSRC). The HSIS is an appropriate platform to answer many safety-related research questions by historical data from multiple different states. The data for some states are actively being added to the database (such as California, Illinois, and Washington) but historical data is available for Michigan and Utah [3].
2.1. Roadway Safety Data Integrator (RSDI) tool

To prepare an analysis-ready roadway safety dataset based on the HSIS data or any other databases that store relevant data in multiple different subsets, the researchers should integrate multiple datasets, merge or unmerge and remove inconsistent records, and finally clean the dataset. The HSIS staff is usually accommodating and eager to help researchers, but sometimes the structure of the data needs is complicated and laborious. Also, the application of HSIS data in the HSM analysis requires the records to be homogeneous for multiple years of study; also, crash data (usually by crash severity level) must be assigned to associated sites (roadway segments or intersections) for the desired years of study. The Roadway Safety Data Integrator (RSDI) [5] was developed to avoid the repetitive and laborious data preparation process for different subsets of data or years of study (Fig. 2). The tool was developed in C# language.

The RSDI tool can process a maximum of five different types of datasets for an unlimited number of years such as:

- Roadway geometry and traffic volumes
- Curve data
- Lane data
- Grade data
- Crash data

The required data structure and format to use the RSDI tool are as follows:

- Non-crash data: road inventory id followed by begin MP (milepost) and end MP for roadway geometry, curve, lane, and grade data, then all other variables
- Crash data: road inventory id followed by milepost for each crash, then binary crash data fields
- The same order, number, and names of variables should be used in different years of study.
• The tool works only with CSV format.
• The tool currently works only on roadway segments.

2.2. HSIS data preparation

The following steps should be taken on the HSIS raw data before the application of the RSDI tool:
• Sorting the columns alphabetically
• Selecting only the desired roadway facility type(s) roadway segments (e.g., R2U)
• Check, update, and clean the raw data based on:
  o Begin MP\textsubscript{i} < End MP\textsubscript{i}
  o Begin MP\textsubscript{i} < Beg MP\textsubscript{i+1}
  o End MP\textsubscript{i} < End MP\textsubscript{i+1}
  o End MP\textsubscript{i} < Begin MP\textsubscript{i+1}
• Excluding intersection and/or intersection-related crashes based on appropriate crash fields.
• Binary fields for crash data (such as crash severity levels or crash types or combination of them):
  o For example, making binary fields for each crash severity level based on KABCO crash severity scale (e.g., if a crash is “fatal” then the binary KABCO scale fields would be: $K = 1$, $A = 0$, $B = 0$, $C = 0$, $O = 0$, $U = 0$)
• AADT estimation (e.g., interpolation) for some roadway segments with missing AADT values

After these steps, the HSIS datasets are ready for identifying homogeneous roadway segments throughout the study period. By the definition and requirement of the HSM [2], the roadway segments should be homogeneous throughout the study period.

2.3. Steps of using RSDI tool

The main steps of using the RSDI tool are “add data,” “pre-process,” “process,” “post-process,” and “export” that are explained below.
• **Add data (Fig. 3):**
  - The user adds raw datasets (in CSV format) for a maximum of 5 different types of datasets for an unlimited number of years.

• **Pre-Process (Fig. 4):**
  - The user selects the fields that should be excluded from finding homogeneous segments (e.g., AADT can differ for homogeneous segments thus it should be excluded from finding homogeneous segments process).
  - The tool splits the raw datasets at all required points (either original begin MPs and end MPs or all new points due to the changes in features of interest).

• **Process (Fig. 5):**
  - The tool sorts all datasets based on common field (i.e., road inventory id) and begin MPs and end MPs for all datasets.
  - The tool checks the begin MPs and end MPs of all datasets and adds new begin MPs and end MPs to the roadway dataset if need be (Fig. 6).
  - The tool combines all datasets together.
  - The tool checks if the desired features are consistent (unchanged) throughout the desired years in the combined dataset.
  - The tool assigns crash data based on crash MP to the matching roadway segment. If more crashes have occurred on a single roadway segment, the tool adds the number of crashes based on crash filed (e.g., based on crash severity level to the crash severity level fields; KABCO crash severity scale).
  - While a crash should be assigned to only one segment, there were some cases that crashes could be assigned to two roadway segments because crashes occurred exactly on the begin MPs and/or end MPs of the roadway segments (e.g., red triangle in Fig. 7). To
avoid double crash assignments, all crashes were assigned to the roadway segments that end in those associated mileposts.

- The tool filters the consistent (unchanged) records during the study period (i.e., homogeneous roadway segments).
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Fig. 6. RSDI tool: roadway segmentation.

Fig. 7. Potential duplication of assigned crashes.

- The tool adds notes for the unfiltered records about the first found feature that has changed through the study period.
- Post-Process:
  - The tool merges the records with identical features (for example, identical lane width or shoulder type/width) and updates the roadway segment length, begin MP, and end MP, accordingly.
  - The tool adds notes for the unmerged records about the first found feature that was not identical to the last record.
- Export (Fig. 8):
  - The user has the option to export all and/or filtered (unmerged or merged) final datasets.

The RSDI tool can be helpful for integrating different safety-related datasets such as roadway inventory (including grade, curve, and other datasets), traffic volume, and crash data; also, it can do required segmentations and identify the homogeneous roadway segments over the desired years of study that are the basis for development and calibration of the HSM predictive models. The RSDI tool can be used for similar purposes and not only limited to the HSIS data. It can be used for segmentation and finding homogeneous segments of any datasets that follow linear
Fig. 8. RSDI tool: selecting the export option.

referring. There are avenues for further expansion of the tool; it can currently process five different types of datasets for an unlimited number of years, and making it capable of processing more or unlimited datasets seems a reasonable approach. Moreover, it currently can process only roadway segments, and the inclusion of the intersections requires further research.
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