Identification and Characterisation of *Aedes aegypti* Aldehyde Dehydrogenases Involved in Pyrethroid Metabolism

Nongkran Lumjuan1*, Juiceern Wicheer1, Posri Leelapat1, Wej Choochote2, Pradya Somboon2

1 Research Institute for Health Sciences, Chiang Mai University, Chiang Mai, Thailand, 2 Department of Parasitology, Faculty of Medicine, Chiang Mai University, Chiang Mai, Thailand

**Abstract**

**Background:** Pyrethroid insecticides, especially permethrin and deltamethrin, have been used extensively worldwide for mosquito control. However, insecticide resistance can spread through a population very rapidly under strong selection pressure from insecticide use. The upregulation of aldehyde dehydrogenase (ALDH) has been reported upon pyrethroid treatment. In *Aedes aegypti*, the increase in ALDH activity against the hydrolytic product of pyrethroid has been observed in DDT/permethrin-resistant strains. The objective of this study was to identify the role of individual ALDHs involved in pyrethroid metabolism.

**Methodology/Principal Findings:** Three ALDHs were identified; two of these, ALDH9948 and ALDH14080, were upregulated in terms of both mRNA and protein levels in a DDT/pyrethroid-resistant strain of *Ae. aegypti*. Recombinant ALDH9948 and ALDH14080 exhibited oxidase activities to catalyse the oxidation of a permethrin intermediate, phenoxybenzyl aldehyde (PBald), to phenoxybenzoic acid (PBacid).

**Conclusions/Significance:** ALDHs have been identified in association with permethrin resistance in *Ae. aegypti*. Characterisation of recombinant ALDHs confirmed the role of this protein in pyrethroid metabolism. Understanding the biochemical and molecular mechanisms of pyrethroid resistance provides information for improving vector control strategies.
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Introduction

Pyrethroids, synthetic insecticides analogous to natural pyrethrin, have been widely used throughout the world for the control of insects. Pyrethroids are divided into two groups based on their chemical structures. Type I pyrethroids, such as permethrin, lack an α-cyano group, whereas type II pyrethroids, such as deltamethrin and cypermethrin, contain an α-cyano group. However, the extensive use of these insecticides has led to insecticide resistance in insect populations [1,2,3]. Resistance to pyrethroids can be divided into two main mechanisms: an alteration in the target site of the insecticide or increased expression of metabolic detoxification enzymes. Pyrethroids act by targeting sodium channels, leading to neurotoxic effects [4]. Several point mutations in the voltage-gated sodium channel gene are associated with DDT and pyrethroid resistance [5,6,7,8,9]. In metabolic resistance, enhanced activity of enzymes in metabolic pathways in insects leads to insecticides being detoxified or sequestered before they reach the target site. Overexpression of detoxification enzymes such as cytochromes P450 (CYPs), glutathione S-transferases (GSTs) and carboxylesterases (CEs) have been well documented in pyrethroid resistance in insects [10,11,12].

Pyrethroids are mainly metabolised through the hydrolysis of the ester linkage followed by the oxidation of their component alcohol and acid moieties [13]. Pyrethroids have been extensively studied in humans and rats, indicating that both types are mainly hydrolysed by CEs to produce 3-phenoxybenzyl alcohol (PBalc) [14,15], whereas they are mainly oxidised by P450s, alcohol dehydrogenases (ADHs) and aldehyde dehydrogenases (ALDHs) [16,17]. ALDHs have been investigated as enzymes that are important in the oxidation of permethrin in mammals for their oxidation of intermediate products of pyrethroid to carboxylic acid [18]. In the mosquito *Anopheles gambiae*, the up-regulation of ALDH after exposure to permethrin has been reported [19]. Enzyme-based metabolite assays also indicated that the catalytic activity of P450s, ADHs and ALDHs were increased in microsomal fractions of a DDT/permethrin-resistant strain (PMD-R) of *Aedes aegypti* from Thailand [20]. In our preliminary study using a proteomic approach, crude homogenates of 4th instar larvae of *Aedes* mosquitoes were partially purified using glutathione agarose columns. Bound fractions were collected, concentrat-
ed and separated by 2-dimensional gel electrophoresis. The result indicated that a detoxification enzyme, ALDH (AAEL014080) in VectorBase, was upregulated in the PMD-R strain relative to the laboratory susceptible strain (unpublished data). However, the ability of individual ALDH isoforms to metabolise permethrin in mosquito has not yet been investigated.

The present study aimed to identify the ALDH genes responsible for permethrin resistance in *Ae. aegypti*. The individual ALDHs that are involved in permethrin resistance were characterised, and their expression patterns were analysed. Recombinant proteins were produced, and the in vitro metabolism of permethrin and its hydrolysis products were determined.

**Materials and Methods**

**Materials**

Cis/Trans-permethrin was purchased from Chem Service (West Chester, PA). Permethrin metabolites, 3-phenoxybenzyl alcohol (PBalc, 98% purity) and 3-phenoxybenzylaldehyde (PBald, 98% purity) and 3-phenoxybenzoic acid (PBacid, 98% purity) including β-Nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide (NAD+), were purchased from Sigma (St. Louis, MO).

**Mosquito strains**

The PMD and PMD-R strains originated from Chiang Mai Province, Thailand [21]. The PMD strain was resistant to DDT, whereas the PMD-R strain was resistant to both DDT and permethrin. The New Orleans strain was an insecticide-susceptible laboratory strain of *Ae. aegypti*.

**Database search and sequence alignment**

A preliminary study using 2-dimensional gel electrophoresis demonstrated that expression of ALDH (AAEL014080) was increased in the PMD-R strain relative to the NO and PMD strains at the larval stage (unpublished data). The protein sequence of a known ALDH (AAEL014080) was used as a query for a BLAST search of the *Aedes aegypti* sequences in VectorBase. Deduced amino acid sequences of ALDHs were aligned using ClustalW [22].

**Identification of ALDH genes**

The oligonucleotide primers were designed based on the sequences of ALDH in VectorBase (Table S1). The full-length cDNAs of ALDH genes from *Ae. aegypti* were amplified using Taq DNA polymerase (Qiagen) as described by the manufacturer’s protocol. PCR parameters consisted of 35 cycles of 30 s at 95°C, 30 s at 55°C, and 1.5 min at 72°C. PCR products were cloned into the pGEM-T Easy Vector (Promega) and then transformed into JM109 competent *Escherichia coli* cells. The plasmid DNA was submitted to 1<sup>st</sup> BASE Laboratories (Malaysia) for sequencing to verify the integrity of genes.

**Quantitative PCR analysis**

Total RNA was extracted from 3 biological replicate sets (10 mosquitoes per replicate) of 4th instar larvae, pupae, and one-day-old adult males or females from each of the three strains using the TRIzol plus RNA Purification System (Invitrogen). Complementary DNA was synthesised using SuperScript III reverse transcriptase (Gibco) as described in the manufacturer’s protocol. PCR products generated with ProofStart DNA polymerase (Qiagen) using gene specific primers (Table S1) were cloned into the pET 100-D/TOPO vector using the Champion pET directional TOPO Expression kit according to the manufacturer’s instruction (Invitrogen). The construct was verified by DNA sequencing. The plasmids containing the ALDH genes were transformed into *E. coli* BL21 Star (DE3). The recombinant proteins were produced after induction with isopropyl β-D-thiogalactoside at 37°C or room temperature for 4 h.

**Protein purification**

The pET 100-D/TOPO vector encodes an N-terminal polyhistidine (6xHis) fused to the recombinant protein. Protein purification was performed using HiTrap Ni affinity column (GE Healthcare) as described previously [23]. The protein purity was verified by 12.5% polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis and Coomassie staining. The protein concentration was determined by the Bradford method using the Bio-Rad protein-assay dye reagent and bovine serum albumin as a standard [24].

**Western Blot analysis**

Western blot analysis was performed as previously described [21]. The membrane was probed with 1:50,000 and 1:100,000 dilutions of polyclonal antibodies against ALDH9948 and ALDH14080, respectively. The bound antiserum was detected by incubation with a 1:50,000 dilution of Peroxidase-labelled Anti-Rabbit Antiserum followed by visualisation using ECL Advanced Blotting Detection Kit (Amersham Bioscience).

**Enzyme activity**

ALDH activity against PBald was measured as described previously [16]. Briefly, the substrate mixture contained 1 mM EDTA, 0.1 mM pyrazole and 2.5 mM NAD(P)+ in 33 mM Phosphate buffer, pH 8.2. The enzyme was incubated with the substrate mixture at 37°C, and the reaction rate was determined by the formation of NAD(P)H at 340 nm in 4 min. The esterase assay was conducted as described previously, by measuring the hydrolysis of p-nitrophenyl acetate (pNPA) to the products p-nitrophenol (pNP) and acetate [25]. Kinetic studies were performed by varying the concentration of PBald in the presence of NAD+. The results were analysed by non-linear regression analysis using GraphPad Prism 4 software.

**PBald oxidation by recombinant ALDHs**

ALDH activity was measured by the oxidation of PBald to PBacid, as detected by HPLC. The assay was modified from the method described previously [17]. Briefly, 20 μg of recombinant ALDHs were incubated with 0.4 mM PBald in the presence of 5 mM NAD+ in 0.1 M Tris-CI buffer, pH 7.4 at 37°C for 10 min. Pyrene was then added as an internal control. The reaction mixture was extracted with 1.3 ml of chloroform. This procedure was repeated in triplicate. The chloroform extract was then pooled, air-dried and analysed with HPLC.

HPLC was performed with a Shimadzu LC 20-A Series (Shimadzu) using a Nova-Pak C18 column (3.9×150 mm; Waters). The extract was resuspended in 200 μl of acetonitrile. The mixture (10 μl) was injected into the column at a flow rate of 1 ml/min. The gradient elution was performed at 35°C, and the
detection wavelength was 230 nm. Peaks were integrated into peak area with the LC Solution (Shimadzu). ALDH activity was calculated as the formation of PBacid/min/mg protein. The concentration of PBacid was determined by comparison with a known concentration of PBacid.

Results

Identification of \textit{Ae. aegypti} ALDHs

The DNA sequence of ALDH (AAEL014080) in \textit{Ae. aegypti} was retrieved from VectorBase (http://www.vectorbase.org), and it is located in supercontig 1.1002. Close paralogues of ALDH (AAEL014080), ALDH (AAEL009948) and ALDH (AAEL009029) were included in the experiment to expand for genes of interest that were found on supercontigs 1.440 and 1.363, respectively (Figure S1). The deduced amino acid sequences of these three ALDHs are shown in Figure 1.

Quantitative PCR analysis

To determine whether the ALDH genes were overexpressed at the transcriptional level, real-time PCR was performed in three \textit{Ae. aegypti} strains, the NO susceptible strain and the PMD and PMD-R strains, at three developmental stages. \textit{ALDH14080} was significantly upregulated in the larvae and females of the PMD-R strain relative to the NO strain (Figure 2). \textit{ALDH9948} mRNA levels were significantly upregulated in all life stages except the adult male ($p<0.001$ in larva and adult female, and $p<0.05$ in pupa) when compared to the PMD strain. \textit{ALDH9029} expression was significantly higher in the larval stage of PMD-R only relative to PMD. In contrast, there is no evidence of upregulation of \textit{ALDH9029} mRNA in the PMD-R strain when compared to the PMD strain in any life stage (Table S3). These results show that upregulation of \textit{ALDH9948} and \textit{ALDH14080} may confer resistance to permethrin.

\textbf{Figure 1.} Deduced amino acid sequences of \textit{Ae. aegypti} ALDH9948 and ALDH14080. Sequences shown are from the PMD-R strain. The amino acid sequences were aligned using ClustalW. Letters in bold indicate 100% conservation between the 3 sequences. Dashes are used to denote gaps introduced for maximum alignment.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0102746.g001
Western Blot analysis
To confirm the expression of ALDHs at the protein level, western blots were performed using specific polyclonal antibodies against ALDH9948 and ALDH14080. To validate the specificity of these polyclonal antibodies, immuno-cross-reactivity between ALDH9948 and ALDH14080 was investigated. The polyclonal antibody for ALDH9948 exhibited low-level cross-reactivity with ALDH14080 (Figure 3B), whereas the anti-ALDH14080 antibody was observed to have high specificity. Protein expression profiles of ALDH were investigated in crude homogenates of four developmental stages of three strains. Expression levels of ALDH9948 and ALDH14080 were increased in the PMD-R strain in almost all developmental stages (pupae and adult males and females), except for larvae when compared to the NO and PMD strains (Figure 3A). In all three strains, no visible bands of ALDHs were detected in the larval stage, whereas strong bands were presented in pupae and adult males and females. Meanwhile, crude homogenates from the larval stage gave no smearing bands when stained with Coomassie blue, indicating no protein degradation. The expression of rat ALDH has been reported to increase with age [26]. This might indicate that early stages express ALDH proteins at low levels that could not be detected in the small number of larvae used in this study.

Recombinant protein expression
To determine whether the Ae. aegypti ALDHs contribute to permethrin metabolism, recombinant ALDHs were produced, and the ability of these proteins to metabolise permethrin was determined. The full-length sequences of two ALDHs, ALDH9948 and ALDH14080, were amplified by PCR using cDNA templates from the PMD-R strain and subcloned into the E. coli expression vector pET100-D/TOPO. Expression of His$_6$-tagged ALDHs in E. coli BL21 Star (DE3) yielded soluble recombinant proteins at the 37°C expression temperature. The purity of His$_6$-tagged recombinant ALDHs was verified in 12.5% SDS-PAGE and corresponded to the predicted size of approximately 65 kDa (Figure S2).

Biochemical characterisation of ALDHs
Both His$_6$-tagged recombinant ALDHs possess ALDH activity to catalyse the oxidation of intermediate aldehyde of permethrin, PBald. The ALDH activity was measured by spectrophotometry,
mediated by the formation of NAD(P)H as products of the reaction. The oxidation reactions of recombinant ALDH9948 and ALDH14080 required either NAD\(^+\) or NADP\(^+\) as a cofactor; however, these enzymes prefer NAD\(^+\) over NADP\(^+\) (Table 1). It has been noted that most ALDHs prefer to use NAD\(^+\) over NADP\(^+\) as a cofactor [27]. Generally, ALDHs exhibit esterase activity in vitro [28]. In this study, recombinant ALDHs also have esterase activities that catalyse the hydrolysis of p-nitrophenyl acetate to produce p-nitrophenol and acetate (Table 1). The highest esterase activity belongs to recombinant ALDH14080, with a specific activity of 13.11±0.98 μmole/min/mg proteins.

Kinetic parameters of purified ALDHs were determined using PBald and NAD\(^+\) as substrate and cofactor, respectively. Michaelis-Menten constants (\(K_m\)) for ALDH9948 and ALDH14080 were 153.8±30.0 and 34.4±6.8 nM, respectively, in respect to PBald (Table 2). The (\(V_{max}/K_m\) PBald) value of ALDH14080 was higher than that of ALDH9948, indicating the catalytic efficiency of this enzyme at low substrate concentrations.

To determine whether recombinant ALDHs readily oxidised PBald, HPLC was performed to identify the product of PBacid. The metabolite profile of \textit{trans/cis}-permethrin is shown in Figure S3. Pyrene was spiked as an internal control, given the extraction recovery range of 81–97%. The HPLC results indicated that PBald was oxidised by recombinant ALDH9948 and ALDH14080 with specificities of 1192±55 and 1119±14 nmole PBacid formed/min/mg protein, respectively (Table 3). Because recombinant ALDHs exhibit esterase activity, the ability of these enzymes to catalyse the hydrolysis of the parent permethrin was investigated. The incubation of recombinant ALDHs with \textit{trans/cis}-permethrin did not produce PBalc, suggesting that ALDHs are not associated with permethrin hydrolysis (data not shown). The incubation of denatured recombinant ALDHs with PBald in the presence of NAD\(^+\) did not produce PBacid, indicating that the oxidation of PBald was mediated by recombinant ALDHs.

**Discussion**

Overexpression of detoxification genes has been well documented in association with insecticide resistance of many insect species. P450s, GSTs and CEs are primarily implicated in the detoxification of insecticides in insects. It has been reported that P450s contribute to resistance in all classes of insecticides [29]. The upregulation of several P450s, particularly those belonging to the CYP6Z, CYP6M or CYP9J subfamilies, has been reported to be involved in resistance to pyrethroids in mosquitoes [30,31,32,33]. Some species, including \textit{Ae. aegypti} CYP9J32, \textit{An. gambiae} CYP6M2 and \textit{An. gambiae} CYP6Z8, have the ability to metabolise pyrethroids [34,35,36]. GSTs, especially GSTE2, GSTE4 and GSTE7, were also observed to be overexpressed in resistant populations [32,33,37]. Recombinant GSTE2-2 showed

| Substrate/cofactor | ALDH 9948 | ALDH 14080 |
|-------------------|-----------|------------|
| Esterase activity (μmole/min/mg) | pNPA | 13.11±0.98 | 0.14±0.02 |
| ALDH activity | PBald/NAD\(^+\) | 483±9 | 254±24 |
| (nmole/min/mg) | PBald/NADP\(^+\) | 58±4 | 19±2 |

ALDH activity was performed in the presence 4 mM PBald and 2.5 mM NAD(P)\(^+\). The oxidation of PBald was monitored by the formation of NAD(P)H.

**Table 1.** Substrate specificity of \textit{Ae. aegypti} recombinant ALDH isoforms.
corresponding carboxylic acid [25]. In mammals, ALDHs are broad range of endogenous, xenobiotic and lipid peroxidation genes that may confer insecticide resistance. For example, aldehyde dehydrogenase (ALDH) has been utilised to expand the number of detoxification genes and resistance cannot be excluded. To date, microarray technology has identified new relevant genes that might be involved in the enrichment of low-abundance proteins. The recombinant ALDH isoforms were identified to be upregulated in organophosphate-, carbamate- and pyrethroid-resistant insects [39].

However, other genes that are responsible for insecticide resistance cannot be excluded. To date, microarray technology has been utilised to expand the number of detoxification genes and has identified new relevant genes that might be involved in metabolic resistance [19,32,34,41,42]. Aside from P450s, GSTs and CE, microarray data also identified secondary detoxification genes that may confer insecticide resistance. For example, aldo-keto reductase, an NAD(P)H oxidoreductases that catalyse the reduction of aldehydes to alcohols, was over-transcribed in temephos- and permethrin-selected strains of *Ae. aegypti* [43,44]. UDP-glucuronosyltransferases (UGTs), phase II detoxification enzymes involved in the conjugation of xenobiotics, were also identified as upregulated after permethrin exposure and in response to carbamate, respectively. ALDHs were also found to be upregulated in insecticide resistant in insects [19,40]. However, the functions of these enzymes in insecticide detoxification require further investigation. In mammals, the oxidation of pyrethroids was catalysed by ALDH [16]. A study in insecticide metabolism revealed the important role of ALDH in the detoxification of pyrethroid in mosquito [20]. Multiple detoxification enzymes were identified as a target of pyrethroid activity-based probes in rat proteome, including P450s, UDP-glucuronosyltransferases, Flavin-containing monoxygenase and ALDH [45].

Aldehyde dehydrogenases are a family of enzymes that oxidise a broad range of endogenous, xenobiotic and lipid peroxidation products that contain the highly reactive aldehyde to their corresponding carboxylic acid [23]. In mammals, ALDHs are involved in both the detoxification of aldehydes and the biosynthesis of pheromones [27]. However, few studies of ALDHs have been reported in insects. In *Drosophila*, ALDHs play a vital role in ethanol metabolism by mediating the oxidation of acetalddehyde to acetate, which is involved in ethanol resistance [27,28,46,47].

In this study, transcript levels for three of the *Ae. aegypti* ALDH genes were quantified. ALDH9948 was significantly overexpressed in the insecticide-resistant PMD-R strain in almost all developmental stages, except adult males, when compared to the susceptible PMD line. In contrast, ALDH14080 was upregulated relative to the PMD strain only in the larval stage. Quantitative PCR results revealed that insecticide selection increased the expression of these ALDHs, although the overexpression was not observed in all life stages. The altered expression of ALDH9948 and ALDH14080 was confirmed at the protein level, indicating that the increase in these proteins is strongly associated with resistance to permethrin. Inconsistencies between the mRNA and protein levels of the same gene may be caused by differences in post-translational regulation between the different developmental stages. Although high levels of ALDH mRNA were found in the larval stage, there was no protein detected by western blot, suggesting that the protein may be expressed at a level below the detection limit in early stages. However, low-abundance ALDH was detected by 2D-gel electrophoresis from a large sample of larvae used in combination with the sub-proteome approach for the enrichment of low-abundance proteins. The recombinant ALDH isoforms exhibited oxidase activity to catalyse the oxidation of aldehyde moiety of pyrethroids, but subcellular localisation of individual ALDHs was not investigated further in this study. These experiments suggested that ALDH9948 and ALDH14080 may play a role in insecticide resistance to permethrin in the PMD-R strain of *Ae. aegypti*.

Collectively, in *Ae. aegypti*, it has been reported that parental permethrin can be hydrolysed in vitro. Our previous study demonstrated that the formation of PBacid was decreased in the presence of an esterase inhibitor, BNPP, suggesting the function of

### Table 2. Kinetic parameter of *Ae. aegypti* recombinant ALDH isoforms.

| Enzyme     | $V_{\text{max}}$ (n mole NADH/min/mg) | PBald $K_m$ (nM) | $V_{\text{max}}/K_m$ | NAD$^+$ $K_m$ (nM) | $V_{\text{max}}/K_m$ |
|------------|--------------------------------------|------------------|----------------------|------------------|----------------------|
| ALDH 9948  | 627.4±34.0                           | 153.8±30.8       | 4.1                  | 139.1±27.9       | 3.2                  |
| ALDH 14080 | 208.2±9.8                            | 34.4±6.8         | 6.1                  | 193.8±34.5       | 1.3                  |

Kinetic studies were performed by varying the concentration of PBald and cofactor NAD$^+$ at fixed saturated concentrations of NAD$^+$ and PBald, respectively. The oxidation of PBald to PBacid was monitored by the formation of NADH in the reaction at 37°C for 4 min. Three independent assays were performed. The results are shown as the mean ± SE.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0102746.t002

### Table 3. Specific activity of *Ae.aegypti* recombinant ALDH isoforms to oxidise PBald.

| Enzyme     | Specific activity (n mole PBacid formed/min/mg protein) |
|------------|--------------------------------------------------------|
| ALDH 9948  | 1192±55                                                |
| ALDH 14080 | 1119±14                                                |

Recombinant ALDH (5 µg) was incubated with 2 mM PBald in the presence of 3 mM NAD$^+$ in 0.1 M Tris-Cl buffer, pH 7.4 at 37°C for 10 min. PBacid formation was determined by HPLC as described. Three independent assays were performed. The results are shown as the mean ± SE.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0102746.t003
esterases in permethrin metabolism [20]. The importance of particular CEs in pyrethroid detoxification has not yet been studied. However, it has been proposed that non-specific esterases may be involved in pyrethroid hydrolysis in insects [40]. A recent study demonstrated that both PBalC and PBald were oxidised by *Ae. aegypti* CYP6Z8 [34]. In addition, our finding also clearly revealed that recombinant ALDH9948 and ALDH14080 have the ability to catalyse the oxidation of PBald. The results of this study indicate the role of *Ae. aegypti* ALDHs in pyrethroid degradation pathway and this knowledge will improve our ability to manage insecticide resistance in the field such as the use of synergists to increase the efficacy of certain insecticides.

In conclusion, we identified two ALDHs that are upregulated in permethrin-resistant *Ae. aegypti* mosquitoes in Thailand. Functional characterisation of recombinant ALDHs clearly demonstrates that these enzymes are capable of metabolising PBald. This report indicates the importance of *Ae. aegypti* ALDHs in permethrin degradation.

**Supporting Information**

**Figure S1** Phylogenetic relationship of ALDHs in *Ae. aegypti* (AAEL) with *An. gambiae* ALDHs (AGAP).
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