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Abstract

Physiologic based management of hemodynamic instability is proven to guide to logical selection of cardiovascular approach, and shorten time to clinical recovery, compared to empiric approach which is ignoring the heterogeneity of the hemodynamic instability related mechanisms. In this report we classified neonatal hemodynamic instability, circulatory shock, and degree of compensation to 5 physiologic categories, based on blood pressure (BP) different phenotypes, other clinical parameters, echocardiography markers, and oxygen indices. This approach is focused on hemodynamic instability in infants with normal heart structure.

Summary

What is known?

Physiologic assessment of hemodynamics leads to targeted and pathophysiologic based medical recommendation.

What is new?

Hemodynamic instability in neonates can be categorized according to the underlying mechanism to five main categories, based on blood pressure phenotypes, systemic vascular resistance, and myocardial performance.

Background

The new classification helps to targeted management, and logical selection of cardiovascular support.

Stepwise compensatory mechanisms from stability to decompensation:

The stable state is a complex process of interaction between diverse body humoral regulators, baroreceptors, and chemoreceptors, and they are all under a balanced control of the autonomic nervous system; all vital functions during the stable state are marinated within an acceptable range within which cellular needs of oxygen and nutrition are maintained.

During any temporary period of instability, the first and fast compensatory mechanism that takes place, within a fraction of seconds, is autoregulation, and it happens with any fluctuations or changes of the parameters affecting oxygen delivery, for example; fluctuation of oxygen saturation, carbon dioxide, blood flow or blood pressure. Autoregulation is typically a local release of nitric oxide, by red blood cells, with subsequent local vasodilatation of blood vessels, at any specific area of reduced oxygen delivery or when demand exceeds delivery. If the oxygen delivery is less than the demand at any time beyond the capacity of autoregulation, then tissue extracts more oxygen than usual to maintain aerobic metabolism; this can be detected in real-time on near infrared spectroscopy (NIRS) as low regional tissue oxygen saturation (StO2) and increased the calculated oxygen extraction, assessment of both trends and histograms are
helpful for early detection of the decompensation. Anaerobic metabolism is the last mechanism to compensate if decreased oxygen delivery is lower than the maximum capacity of the tissue to extract the oxygen required to maintain aerobic metabolism; figure 1 demonstrates the impact of different mechanisms of hypoxia on oxygen delivery (DO2), oxygen consumption (VO2), and fractional oxygen extraction (FOE)(1).

Physiology of blood pressure components:

Diastolic blood pressure (DBP) is the baseline arterial pressure maintained by the vascular tone of the arterioles under many neuronal and humoral vasopressors, which are responsible for maintaining systemic vascular resistance (SVR) (1). Ejection of stroke volume creates an increase of pressure from the baseline diastolic to the peak systolic; this is the pulse pressure, so the two relatively independent blood pressure components are the diastolic pressure and pulse pressure, both make two other dependant components, the systolic pressure (SBP) which is the sum of both values, and both in different ratio make the mean blood pressure (MABP) which can be calculated in many different ways, e.g. (2/3 DBP+ 1/3 SBP), figure 1 demonstrates the blood pressure changes in different mechanisms of shock(1).

Critical parameters for assessment of hemodynamics, oxygen delivery and consumption:

1- Left ventricular output (LVO), expressed as ml /kg/ min:

The velocity-time integral (VTI) of aortic flow is measured using pulse-wave Doppler from an apical five-chamber view by placing the sampling gate at the level of the hinge point of the aortic valve. Trans-aortic root diameter is measured at the hinge points of the valve from the parasternal long-axis view in the 2D image. LVO can be then calculated as \((\text{Ao CSA} \times \text{VTI} \times \text{heart rate}) / \text{weight in kg}\), where Ao CSA= cross-sectional area of the aortic valve.

2- Systemic vascular resistance expressed as mmHg l/L/ kg/ min:

It can be calculated by dividing the LVO by mean blood pressure (MABP); the normal SVR in the stable preterm infant has been published as the mean of 192 (149-246) mmHg l/ L/ kg/ min(1).

3- Monitoring of tissue oxygenation:

Arterial Oxygen saturation (SpO2) can be monitored by a pulse oximeter and tissue oxygen saturation StO2 by near-infrared spectroscopy. Fractional oxygen extraction FOE calculated as the ratio between SpO2 and StO2(1).

Calculation of oxygen delivery (DO2):

\[
\text{DO2} = \text{LVO} \times \text{oxygen content} = \text{LVO} (\text{ml kg/ min}) \times 1.39 \times \text{Hb (g/ml)} \times \text{SpO2 (expressed as a fraction)}
\]

Right ventricle output should be used in cases of a hemodynamically significant patent ductus arteriosus (HSPDA) for a more accurate representation of systemic blood flow as LVO, in this case, is more
representative of the pulmonary over-circulation(4).

FOE calculated as = \( \frac{(SpO_2 - StO_2)}{SpO_2} \) (all expressed as fractions)

Oxygen consumption (VO2) calculated as:

Since FOE = VO2/ DO2, Then organ-specific VO2 (ml of oxygen kg/min) = systemic DO2 × organ-specific FOE(1).

Figure 2 demonstrated the impact of different mechanisms of shock on oxygen delivery, consumption and extraction.

4- Other clinical parameters:

Other clinical parameters are important but limited if interpreted in isolation from other hemodynamic components, including urine output (UOP), metabolic acidosis, perfusion index, heart rate variability, and non-invasive continuous monitoring of blood flow(5).

Categorized evaluation and management of hemodynamic instability:

Category I Hemodynamic instability due to Vasodilator physiology:

Many diseases and factors may decrease SVR and cause vasodilator circulatory shock. This is the most common cause of shock in the neonatal age group, and it could be related to neonatal septicemia or systemic inflammatory response syndrome to circulating cytokines. Vasodilatory physiology might be associated with hypoxemia secondary to hypoxemic respiratory failure, pulmonary hypertension, or congenital heart diseases(6). It is also reported in late-onset compromised systemic circulation in preterm infants, hypoxic-ischemic encephalopathy, metabolic acidosis with low PH. Vasodilator physiology could be iatrogenic secondary vasodilator medications, e.g., anesthesia, milrinone, Dobutamine, and opioids(8).

The DBP decreases below the acceptable average level or trending down over time as shown on the monitor or as represented on histograms, pulse pressure stays normal, SBP decreases secondary to decrease in DBP, MABP also decreases secondary to decrease in DBP(10).

The systolic cardiac functions are normal or hyperdynamic, and the calculated SVR is low, and oliguria or decrease in urine output <1ml/kg/hour for at least 12 hours beyond the first 12 hours after birth. An increase in end-organ oxygen extraction measured by NIRS is a sign of compromised oxygen delivery, and if increased extraction reached the maximum capacity, then lactic acidosis is a late sign before progression to end-organ dysfunction(10).

Management should be directed to treat the underlying etiology and an appropriate dose of vasopressor to maintain both vascular resistance and oxygen delivery. The figure shows the blood pressure trend for the infant with vasodilator physiology; figure 3 is a case scenario of vasodilator physiology(1).
Category II, hemodynamics instability due to vasoconstrictor physiology:

This category is characterized as a state of high afterload with failure of myocardial systolic performance against SVR; it usually happens with a sudden increase of SVR or relatively immature myocardium(11). The most common causes in neonates are a premature infant with failure of postnatal circulatory adaptation, hypoxic-ischemic encephalopathy, post PDA ligation cardiovascular compromise, post-AVM embolization, and it can also be associated with pulmonary hypertension(3). The DBP is normal to high or trending higher than the baseline, the pulse pressure is narrow below the acceptable level, low SBP, and normal MABP, and this category is commonly missed if the neonatal team is relying solely on MABP for monitoring hemodynamic instability(3). The cardiac systolic performance is impaired, and the calculated SVR is high, and oliguria, lactic acidosis, and NIRS assessment are similar to category I(2).

Management should be directed to treat the underlying etiology together with an appropriate dose of vasodilator with an inotropic effect like Dobutamine or milrinone to maintain decrease both SVR and afterload; figure 4 is a case scenario of vasoconstrictor physiology.

Category III, cardiogenic shock:

This category is characterized as a state of circulatory failure due to impaired myocardial systolic performance with low SVR. The most common causes in neonates are premature infants with failure of postnatal circulatory adaptation, hypoxic-ischemic encephalopathy, cardiac arrhythmias, myocarditis; it can also happen with a high dose of inotropes due to impaired diastolic filling of the heart. All BP components are low, including narrow pulse pressure. The cardiac systolic performance is impaired, and the calculated SVR is low, oliguria, lactic acidosis, and NIRS assessment are similar to category I(1). Management should be directed to treat the underlying etiology and an appropriate dose of inotropic with a vasopressor effect like Epinephrine or Dopamine, figure 5 is a case scenario of cardiogenic shock.

Category IV, shunt physiology:

It is a state of decreased blood flow in diastole due to blood shunts through PDA, mainly in preterm infants. It is characterized by low DBP, wide pulse pressure, low MABP, echocardiography in significant PDA shows left heart volume overload, with the absent or reversed diastolic flow by Doppler in post ductal aortic branches; figure 3 demonstrates the different phenotypes of blood pressure changes in different categories of hemodynamic instability(1).

Category V: volume depletion:

Depletion of intravascular volume due to excessive losses either outside the body e.g., high urine output; or gastrointestinal losses, or third space losses secondary to capillary leak syndrome which could be due to infection or systemic inflammatory process. Venous return could be depleted in severe pulmonary hypertension or high mean airway pressure. Restricted heart filling with low cardiac output could be secondary to myocardial hypertrophy either idiopathic or in infant of diabetic mothers. Management
should be directed to treat the underlying cause and fluid resuscitation until the intravascular volume restored, inotropic support should be avoided in this category, table 1 demonstrates the changes in different hemodynamic parameters in the five categories of hemodynamic instability.

Challenges of hemodynamic management: some case scenarios might have multiple underlying pathophysiologic mechanisms, for instance, a preterm infant with vasodilator physiology and significant shunt at the same time, or an infant with pulmonary hypertension and low systemic blood flow due to left ventricular dysfunction, in these challenging conditions it is essential to prioritize the lines of treatment, and it is better to directed by an expert in neonatal hemodynamics, figure 6 is a comprehensive algorithm to guide management of neonatal hemodynamics instability(1,3).

The future directions:

The researchers are currently working on understanding autoregulation, earlier prediction of shock, data acquisition to develop innovative machine learning that can give predictive clinical scores which can be accessed by the clinical team in real-time and react accordingly. The availability of clinical decision support systems supports clinicians during critical decision-making with a physiologic direction to management.

**Conclusion**

The management of hemodynamic instability is challenging due to the complexity of the pathophysiology; integrating different monitoring techniques is essential to understand the undelaying pathophysiologic mechanism and formulate a physiologic based medical recommendation, more research is still needed to validate the physiologic approach.

**Abbreviations**

BP: Blood pressure
DBP: Diastolic blood pressure
DO2: Oxygen delivery
FOE: Fractional oxygen extraction
PDA: Patent ductus arteriosus
MABP: Mean arterial blood pressure
NIRS: near infrared spectroscopy
StO2: Tissue oxygen saturation
VO2: Oxygen consumption
SBP: Systolic blood pressure
SpO2: Arterial oxygen saturation
SVR: systemic vascular resistance
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|                                | Vasodilator physiology | Cardiogenic shock | Vasoconstrictor physiology | Shunt physiology | Volume Depletion |
|--------------------------------|------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------|------------------|
| **Blood pressure components**  |                        |                   |                             |                 |                  |
| Diastolic BP                   | --                     | --                | +                           | --              | ±                |
| Pulse pressure                 | ±                      | --                | --                          | +               | --               |
| Systolic BP                    | --                     | --                | --                          | ±               | --               |
| Mean BP                        | --                     | --                | ±                           | ±               | ±                |
| **Perfusion and end organ indices** |                        |                   |                             |                 |                  |
| Perfusion index                | +                      | --                | ±                           | +               | ±                |
| Capillary refill time          | +                      | --                | ±                           | +               | ±                |
| Urine output                   | ±                      | ±                 | ±                           | ±               | --               |
| Metabolic acidosis             | ±                      | ±                 | ±                           | ±               | ±                |
| Lactic acidosis                | ±                      | ±                 | ±                           | ±               | ±                |
| StO2                           | −/+                    | −/+               | −/+                         | −/+             | −/+              |
| **Echo indices**               |                        |                   |                             |                 |                  |
| Shortening fraction            | ±                      | --                | --                          | +               | ±                |
| Ejection fraction              | ±                      | --                | --                          | +               | ±                |
| End systolic volume            | ±                      | ±                 | ±                           | +               | −                |
| **Calculated indices**         |                        |                   |                             |                 |                  |
| Calculated FOE                 | −/+                    | −/+               | −/+                         | −/+             | −/+              |
| Calculated COP                 | ±                      | --                | --                          | --              | --               |
| Calculated                     | --                     | --                | +                           | +               | ±                |
| SVR     | ± | - | - | ± | ± |
|---------|---|---|---|---|---|
| Calculated DO2 | ± | - | - | ± | ± |