Human Coronavirus HKU1 Infection of Primary Human Type II Alveolar Epithelial Cells: Cytopathic Effects and Innate Immune Response
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Abstract

Because they are the natural target for respiratory pathogens, primary human respiratory epithelial cells provide the ideal in vitro system for isolation and study of human respiratory viruses, which display a high degree of cell, tissue, and host specificity. Human coronavirus HKU1, first discovered in 2005, has a worldwide prevalence and is associated with both upper and lower respiratory tract disease in both children and adults. Research on HCoV-HKU1 has been difficult because of its inability to be cultured on continuous cell lines and only recently it was isolated from clinical specimens using primary human, ciliated airway epithelial cells. Here we demonstrate that HCoV-HKU1 can infect and be serially propagated in primary human alveolar type II cells at the air-liquid interface. We were not able to infect alveolar type I-like cells or alveolar macrophages. Type II alveolar cells infected with HCoV-HKU1 demonstrated formation of large syncytium. At 72 hours post inoculation, HCoV-HKU1 infection of type II cells induced increased levels of mRNAs encoding IL29, CXCL10, CCL5, and IL-6 with no significant increases in the levels of IFNβ. These studies demonstrate that type II cells are a target cell for HCoV-HKU1 infection in the lower respiratory tract, that type II alveolar cells are immune-competent in response to infection exhibiting a type III interferon and proinflammatory chemokine response, and that cell to cell spread may be a major factor for spread of infection. Furthermore, these studies demonstrate that human alveolar cells can be used to isolate and study novel human respiratory viruses that cause lower respiratory tract disease.

Introduction

Respiratory tract cells are structurally and functionally different in the upper respiratory tract (nasal and sinusoidal epithelia), conducting airways (tracheal and bronchial epithelia), and alveoli (alveolar epithelia). Because they are the natural target cells for respiratory virus infection, primary human respiratory epithelial cell cultures provide the ideal in vitro systems for investigation of cell factors required for growth of respiratory human viruses, for analysis of their interactions with viruses and their innate immune responses to infection, and for isolation and propagation of novel respiratory pathogens. The alveolar epithelium consists of both alveolar type I cells (ATI), which make up 95% of the surface area of the lung, are terminally differentiated, non-dividing, and function in gas exchange and fluid homeostasis; and alveolar type II cells (ATII), which produce surfactant proteins and lipids, divide and differentiate to replace damaged ATI cells, participate in fluid homeostasis, and contribute to the innate defenses of the lung [1,2,3,4]. Our laboratory has developed a system to isolate and culture primary ATII cells from human lungs and under specialized culture conditions transdifferentiate the ATII cells into an ATI-like phenotype [5].

In 2005 the fifth human coronavirus, HCoV-HKU1, was discovered by RT-PCR screening with conserved coronavirus primers on respiratory samples from adult patients with pneumonia that were negative for the severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus (SARS-CoV) [6]. To date, HCoV-HKU1 has been associated with both upper and lower respiratory tract illness in children and adults [7,8,9,10,11,12]. Until recently, research on HCoV-HKU1 has been limited because it could not be isolated from clinical specimens in continuous cell lines in vitro, and there are no reports of HCoV-HKU1 infecting animals. Using primary human ciliated airway epithelial cell cultures, Pyre et al isolated and propagated HCoV-HKU1, and more recently, Dijkman et al extended these observations to additional primary isolates of HCoV-HKU1 [13,14]. Similarly, we developed a primary human bronchial-tracheal epithelial cell (HTBEC) culture system at the air-liquid interface (ALI) and have successfully propagated HCoV-HKU1 from patient specimens. In parallel to these advances, and as an alternative approach to study HCoV-HKU1, we reasoned that HCoV-HKU1, like SARS-CoV [15], may be able to infect and be serially propagated in human alveolar cells since this virus is known to cause pneumonia in a subset of patients. Furthermore, we reasoned that infecting primary human
alveolar cells would allow us to determine which subset of cells is susceptible to infection, and to characterize initial innate immune responses of alveolar epithelial cells to viruses that cause lower respiratory tract diseases. Here we demonstrate that HCoV-HKU1 can infect and be serially propagated in primary human alveolar type II cells but not in alveolar type I-like cells or alveolar macrophages at the air-liquid interface.

Materials and Methods

Isolation and Culture of Primary Cells from Human Lung

Human alveolar macrophages and alveolar cells were isolated as previously described [5,16,17]. Briefly, de-identified donor lungs that were not suitable for transplant were obtained through the National Disease Research Interchange (Philadelphia, PA) and the International Institute for the Advancement of Medicine (Edison, NJ). The isolation of cells was conducted as previously described with the exception that the type II cells were positively selected using EpCAM (CD326) magnetic beads (Miltenyi, Auburn, CA). Cells were plated in DMEM with 10% FBS on millicell inserts (Millipore, Bedford, MA) coated with a mixture of 80% rat tail collagen and 20% Matrigel (BD Biosciences, San Jose, CA). Cells were allowed to adhere to inserts for 2 days submerged in DMEM with 10% FBS, then cultured at an air-liquid interface in DMEM with 1% charcoal-stripped serum (CS-FBS) supplemented with keratinocyte growth factor (K) for 2 days, and then switched to media that additionally had isobutylmethylxanthine (I), 8-bromo-cAMP (A), and dexamethasone (D) for 2 days to achieve the ATh differentiated phenotype prior to infection (day 6 after plating). The alveolar macrophages and alveolar type I-like cells were cultured and characterized as reported previously [5,16,17]. Briefly, to transdifferentiate type II cells to type I-like cells, type II cells were plated on rat tail collagen–coated dishes at a density of 0.5–1.0 × 10^5/cm² in DMEM with 10% FBS (15). After 24–48 h the medium was changed to 5% FBS without additives. Type I-like cell phenotype was documented by positive staining for receptor for advanced glycation end products (RAGE, R & D systems, Minneapolis, MN) and epithelial membrane protein 2 (EMP2, Sigma-Aldrich, Inc, St.Louis, MO). For alveolar macrophages, the middle lobe of the lungs was perfused, and then lavaged with HEPES-buffered saline containing 2 mM EDTA and then HEPES-buffered saline alone. The macrophage purity of the

![Figure 1. Immunofluorescent staining for HCoV-HKU1 spike protein and selected alveolar type II cell markers.](image-url)
adherent cells was nearly 100% and demonstrated by staining for CD68 (DakoCytomation, Carpinteria, CA). The purity of the type II cells is demonstrated in Figure 1 and the level of surfactant protein expression has been published [5,20,25].

Virus
Clinical nasopharyngeal specimens containing HCoV-HKU1 RNA were identified from pediatric patients with respiratory symptoms and submitted for testing to the clinical virology laboratory at the Children’s Hospital Colorado by xTag Respiratory Virus Panel, RVP (Luminex Molecular Diagnostics, Toronto CN). Aliquots of samples positive for HCoV-HKU1 RNA were stored in M4 viral transport media (Remel Laboratories, Lenexa, KS) at −70°C. Isolates of HCoV-HKU1 from five different patients (HKU1/DEN/2010/10, HKU1/DEN/2010/12, HKU1/DEN/2010/13, HKU1/DEN/2010/18, and HKU1/DEN/2010/21) were used for this study.

Virus Infection and Propagation
Cells were inoculated with primary clinical isolates of HCoV-HKU1 or isolates of HCoV-HKU1 which had been passaged one time on primary, differentiated, human bronchial-tracheal epithelial cells (HTBEC) (P1 virus) (Lifeline Cell Technology, Walkersville, MD), at 1:10 dilution in DMEM with 1% bovine serum albumin (BSA). After 4 hour incubation, the inoculum was removed and cells were incubated at 34°C. For cells kept at an air-liquid interface (AL/I), the apical surface of the cells were washed every 24 hours with DMEM +1% BSA and collected for PCR analysis. For cells kept immersed, the media was collected and replaced every 24 hours. Cell morphology was monitored daily to observe for any cytopathic effects. Three days after inoculation, cells were fixed with 100% methanol and viral antigens and cell markers were detected using immunostaining. HCoV-HKU1 was detected in infected cells using a rabbit antibody against the HCoV-HKU1 viral spike glycoprotein developed in our laboratory at the Children’s Hospital Colorado by xTag (Remel, Lenexa, KS) real-time PCR primers and probes (CXCL10 forward primer: 5′-TGTTGGCTGGGAGCATATG-3′, reverse primer: 5′-CTTACAGGTTACCTCCGGAACT-GAA-3′, probe: ATCTCCTAGCCTGTGCCTCTGG-6FAM), CXCL10 expression assays (Applied Biosystems, Life Technologies, Grand Island, NY) real-time PCR. Viral RNA was stored in M4 viral transport media (Remel Laboratories, Lenexa, KS) at −70°C. Aliquots of samples positive for HCoV-HKU1 were used for this study.

Cytokine Analysis
At 72 hours post-infection, cells were harvested for RNA analysis using the RNeasy RNA extraction kit (Qiagen, Valencia, CA), cDNA synthesis using qScript cDNA SuperMix (Quanta BioSciences, Gaithersburg, MD). To quantitate mRNAs encoding interferons, cytokines and chemokines, we used Taqman gene expression assays (Applied Biosystems, Life Technologies, Grand Island, NY) real-time PCR primers and probes [CXCL10 Hs0171042_m1, RANTES (CCL5) Hs00982282_m1, CYB Hs00168719_m1], or primers and probes synthesized in house: IFN-β (forward primer: 5′-CTTACAGGTTACCTCCGGAACT-GAA-3′, reverse primer: 5′-TTGAAGAATGCTTGAAG-G-3′, probe: ATCTCCTAGCCTGTGCCTCTGG-GACTGT), IL-29 (forward primer: 5′-GGGAACCTGTGCTGAGAACGT-3′, reverse primer: 5′-GGATTGCCGAGCAGATGAC-3′, probe: ATCTCCTAGCCTGTGCCTCTGG-GACTGT), IL-6 (forward primer: 5′-CCAGGAGGGCAAGGAAGAATC-3′, reverse primer: 5′-CCCTGGCATCCATCCAAAAC-3′, probe: ATCTCCTAGCCTGTGCCTCTGG-GACTGT), IL-8 (forward primer: 5′-CCAGGAGGGCAAGGAAGAATC-3′, reverse primer: 5′-CCCTGGCATCCATCCAAAAC-3′, probe: ATCTCCTAGCCTGTGCCTCTGG-GACTGT), IL-6 (forward primer: 5′-CCAGGAGGGCAAGGAAGAATC-3′, reverse primer: 5′-CCCTGGCATCCATCCAAAAC-3′, probe: ATCTCCTAGCCTGTGCCTCTGG-GACTGT). 15 uL reaction

Table 1. Clinical and demographic characteristics of patients from Colorado HCoV-HKU1 isolates that were successfully propagated in primary human alveolar type II cells.

| Sample                  | Date       | Age | Sex | Underlying Medical Condition | Clinical Presentation | Discharge Diagnosis | HCoV-HKU1 genotype |
|-------------------------|------------|-----|-----|-------------------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|--------------------|
| HKU1/DEN/2010/10        | 1/6/2010   | 21 yr | F   | heart transplant              | fever, chest pain, cough, tachypnea | DVT+ viral URTI    | A                  |
| HKU1/DEN/2010/12        | 1/9/2010   | unk  | unk | none                          | unk                   | unk                 | A                  |
| HKU1/DEN/2010/13        | 1/8/2010   | 2 yo | M   | CHD                           | increased O2 requirement | hypoxemia           | A                  |
| HKU1/DEN/2010/18        | 1/2/2010   | 2 mo | F   | none                          | fever, rhinorrhea     | viral URTI          | A                  |
| HKU1/DEN/2010/21        | 1/19/2010  | 16 yo| M   | ALL                           | unk                   | bronchitis           | A                  |

ALL = acute lymphoblastic leukemia; CHD = congenital heart disease; DVT = deep venous thrombus; unk = unknown; URTI = upper respiratory tract infection.
doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0070129.t001

Viral RNA Extraction and Real-time Quantitative Polymerase Chain Reaction (qPCR)
Viral RNA from pooled apical washes was extracted using Qiagen EZ1 Virus Mini Kits (Valencia, CA) on a BioRobot EZ1 Extractor (Qiagen, Valencia, CA) following the manufacturer’s instructions. Viral yield was determined by a quantitative real time RT-PCR (qRT-PCR) which targeted the HCoV-HKU1 polymerase 1b gene with comparison to copy number from a plasmid encoding the region recognized by this assay. The RT-PCRs were performed using a 1-step reverse-transcription PCR master mix (RNA UltraSense One-Step Quantitative RT-PCR System, Invitrogen Life Technologies, Carlsbad, CA). Reaction mixtures were prepared using 500-nM final concentration of the forward primer (5′-TGTTGGCTGGGAGCATATG-3′), 250 nM of the reverse primer (5′-GGGATACGGAGATCATCA-CACCTAGG-3′), and 100 nM of the probe (5′-6FAM-ATAATCCCAAACCCATRAG-3′) minor groove binder nonfluorescent quencher-3′). 10 ul of RNA was added to 10 ul of master mix containing an additional 1.5 mM MgCl. RT-PCR was performed using the following cycling conditions: 50°C for 15 minutes, 95°C for 2 minutes, and 40 cycles of 95°C for 15 seconds, 60°C for 30 seconds [12].

Microscopy
HCoV-HKU1 infected cells were imaged using a Zeiss Axioskop 2 fluorescent microscope with Axiosvision software and a Zeiss LSM 700 laser scanning confocal microscope with Zen software (National Jewish Cytometry Core).

HCoV-HKU1 Infection of Type II Alveolar Cells
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mixtures were prepared using 2 μL of cDNA, with a final probe concentration of 250 nM and primer concentration of 900 nM. Real-time PCR analysis was performed on Bio-Rad CFX96 instrument (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Hercules, CA) using the following cycling conditions: 50°C for 2 minutes, 95°C for 10 minutes, and 40 cycles of 95°C for 15 seconds and 60°C for 1 minute. Statistical analysis was performed using Prism software (GraphPad Software Inc., La Jolla, CA). Gene expression values were normalized to show expression relative to the cyclophilin B (CYB), a housekeeping gene.

Ethics Statement
The Committee for the Protection of Human Subjects at National Jewish Health has deemed the use of human lung donor cells as nonhuman subject research, since there is no risk to donors and all donors are de-identified. Use of the banked virus specimens and clinical data for this research was approved by the Colorado Multiple Institutional Review Board.

Results
Clinical Characteristics of HCoV-HKU1 Viruses
The 5 clinical isolates of HCoV-HKU1 from Denver, CO, utilized in the study were part of a larger set of HCoV-HKU1 samples collected as part of a study on the epidemiology of CoV infections in pediatric patients detailed elsewhere [12,18]. The clinical details of the patients from whom the isolates were obtained are summarized in Table 1. All of these HCoV-HKU1 isolates were HCoV-HKU1 genotype A.

HCoV-HKU1 Infection of Primary Human Alveolar Cells
To determine which subset of primary human alveolar cells are susceptible to HCoV-HKU1 infection, human alveolar type I-like cells, alveolar type II cells, and alveolar macrophages were tested. In addition, two different conditions for cell growth were compared, submerged cultures versus cultures at the air-liquid interface (AL/I). Cultures were inoculated with primary clinical isolates of HCoV-HKU1 or passage 1 (P1) virus. Tiers of viral RNA in the wash from the apical surface of the cells were determined by qRT-PCR at the indicated time points, and
cultures were fixed and immunolabeled with antibodies to the HCoV-HKU1 spike glycoprotein to identify infected cells. Neither the submerged nor air-liquid interface type 1-like cells nor alveolar macrophages were susceptible to infection by HCoV-HKU1 (Figure 2). In the submerged culture of type II cells only a few cells were infected with HCoV-HKU1. In contrast, type II cells maintained at the air-liquid interface supported infection, although the level of infection varied with individual subjects. Type II cells infected with HCoV-HKU1 showed formation of large syncytia indicating cell to cell spread may be a major factor for virus spread within the lung as it is for respiratory syncytial virus and parainfluenza viruses (Figures 2 and 3). This pattern of susceptibility of ATII cells at the AL/I to HCoV-HKU1 infection was reproducible in most human donors, since cultures from 17 of 20 different donors tested were susceptible to infection with HCoV-HKU1, although cells from different donors demonstrated significant variation in the percent of HCoV-HKU1 infected cells. Similarly, all 5 of our clinical HCoV-HKU1 specimens were able to infect type II cells at the air-liquid interface.

Type II cells infected with HCoV-HKU1 showed formation of large syncytia indicating cell to cell spread may be a major factor for virus spread within the lung as it is for respiratory syncytial virus and parainfluenza viruses (Figures 2 and 3). This pattern of susceptibility of ATII cells at the AL/I to HCoV-HKU1 infection was reproducible in most human donors, since cultures from 17 of 20 different donors tested were susceptible to infection with HCoV-HKU1, although cells from different donors demonstrated significant variation in the percent of HCoV-HKU1 infected cells. Similarly, all 5 of our clinical HCoV-HKU1 specimens were able to infect type II cells at the air-liquid interface.

The ATII cells had a morphological appearance of a cobblestone epithelial monolayer, typical for type II cells. These cells were fluorescently labeled with antibodies to surfactant protein A (SP-A), pro-surfactant protein B (proSP-B), thyroid transcription factor–1 (TTF-1), and a type II specific transmembrane protein (Hu-280) using an antibody obtained from Dr. Leland Dobbs, University of California, San Francisco [19] (Figure 1). There were a few clumps of airway basal cells in these cultures. These cells stained for keratin 5 but were not infected by HCoV-HKU1. Other than the formation of large syncytia, cytopathic effects (CPE) were not detectable in any of the type II cell culture at the AL/I.

Kinetics of HCoV-HKU1 Replication in Type II Human Alveolar Cells at AL/I

To examine the kinetics of HCoV-HKU1 replication in type II alveolar cells, primary clinical specimens containing HCoV-HKU1 RNA were used to inoculate the apical surface of type II alveolar cells for 4 hrs and then returned to the AL/I again. Apical surfaces were washed 24, 48, 72, 96, and 120 hrs post inoculation and assayed for HCoV-HKU1 RNA by qRT-PCR. Viral genome copies increased approximately 1000 fold during the course of the infection indicating productive infection and replication (Figure 4a).
To determine if human alveolar cells could be utilized to propagate HCoV-HKU1, apical surfaces were inoculated and incubated for 4 hr at 34°C with a clinical sample of HCoV-HKU1 and then removed. The apical surfaces were then washed every 24 hr for the next 5 days. Serial passage of HCoV-HKU1 infection was performed by inoculating naive cultures with 100 μL of the day 5 (120 hr post inoculation) apical wash from the previous passage. Viral genome copy numbers were maintained over the course of three passages (Figure 4b). Immunofluorescence assays (IFA) with antibodies to the HCoV-HKU1 spike glycoprotein performed at 120 hours confirmed the presence of infected cells at the end of each passage. These experiments demonstrated that genome copies detected in the apical wash were infectious and did not represent defective particles, confirming that HCoV-HKU1 was truly replicating in type II alveolar cells at the AL/I.

Innate Immune Response Elicited by HCoV-HKU1 Infection in Type II Human Alveolar Cells

Because alveolar type II cells at the air-liquid interface were highly susceptible to HCoV-HKU1 infection, we could measure changes in the level of expression of select genes of the innate immune system in these cells in response to HCoV-HKU1 infection. At 72 hours post inoculation, HCoV-HKU1 infection induced markedly increased levels of mRNAs encoding type III interferons (IL29), interferon-responsive genes (CXCL10), proinflammatory chemokines (CCL5 and CXCL10), and IL-6. There was no significant increase in IFNβ, the only type I interferon reported to be expressed by alveolar type II cells [20] (Figure 5a). The levels of relative cellular gene expression varied significantly between human donors tested (Figure 5b). Thus, human alveolar type II cells induce significant innate, anti-viral responses to infection with HCoV-HKU1, but the extent of these responses varied in different humans with different levels of infection.

Discussion

This is the first study to demonstrate infection of primary human alveolar type II cells at the AL/I with primary clinical specimens containing HCoV-HKU1 RNA. Human alveolar type II cells maintained at an air-liquid interface were highly susceptible to HCoV-HKU1 infection, but alveolar macrophages and type I-like cells were not susceptible to HCoV-HKU1. This supports our hypothesis that primary human alveolar cells can be a suitable culture system for isolation and propagation of novel human respiratory viruses that cause lower respiratory tract disease and which display a stringent degree of cell, tissue, and host specificity. Utilization of this culture system is appealing because it most closely represents the in vivo physiological conditions of the lung [2,21]. The type II culture system allows identification of which terminal human respiratory epithelial cells are susceptible to infection and might provide insight into the spread of infections from the conducting airways required for the development of pneumonia and the acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS).

These results are agreement with what is known about the SARS-CoV, another beta-coronavirus (lineage b) that causes more severe lower respiratory tract disease than HCoV-HKU1. Autopsy studies of patients with SARS-CoV demonstrated expression of viral antigen in type II pneumocytes [22,23,24], and previous studies in our laboratory showed that in vitro cultures of human alveolar type II cells at the AL/I are susceptible to infection with SARS-CoV [15,25]. Similarly, a recent study using human lung organ cultures demonstrated that various subtypes of human influenza A viruses, which varied in their pathogenic potential, all preferentially infected type II alveolar cells, with minimal infection of alveolar macrophages and no infection of alveolar type I cells.
Their study also highlighted that the different pathogenic potential of influenza A viruses was not attributed to differences in cellular tropism, as they all infected the same cells, but rather to the capacity of the viruses to productively replicate in type II alveolar cells. Overall, these studies emphasize the importance of ATII cells in the pathogenesis of viral induced lower respiratory tract disease. In contrast to these viruses, human coronavirus 229E, an alphacoronavirus, can readily infect human alveolar macrophages, but not type I-like or type II alveolar cells [17]. Interestingly, in contrast to SARS-CoV, HCoV-229E is a common cause of upper respiratory tract infection and rarely causes severe lower respiratory tract disease [26,27]. The differences in clinical presentation between these coronavirus may be partially explained by whether that can infect type II alveolar cells.

Several observations regarding infection of the type II cells with HCoV-HKU1 are worth noting. Growing and infecting the cultures at an air-liquid interface, as opposed to keeping them submerged, greatly increased the susceptibility of type II cells to HCoV-HKU1. These results are identical to what we previously described for SARS-CoV [25]. Interestingly, there is very little fluid at the apical surface of the alveolar epithelium [28,29], except in diseased states, and therefore the AL/I conditions more accurately approximate in vivo conditions for type II cells. Over the course of our experiments we infected cells from 20 different donors. Type II cells from 17 of these donors were susceptible to HCoV-HKU1 infection, although there was significant variability in the degree of susceptibility between donors. One possible explanation for these differences might be differential levels of receptor expression. As the receptor for HCoV-HKU1 is currently unknown we were not able to explore this hypothesis. Elucidating the mechanism(s) for the increased susceptibility in the AL/I conditions and the variability exhibited between donors might unravel important cellular determinants of infection.

Induction of syncytia formation by HCoV-HKU1 most likely indicates direct cell to cell spread of virus and undoubtedly plays a role in the observed increased susceptibility of type II cells to HCoV-HKU1 infection. This is consistent with previous observations of syncytial formation by SARS-CoV [30] and HCoV-229E [31], which also possess S1 proteins with increased cell to cell spread potential.

Figure 5. Analysis of anti-viral gene expression in human alveolar epithelial cells in response to HCoV-HKU1 infection. Total mRNA from HCoV-HKU1 and control cells was analyzed at 72 hours post inoculation for immune response genes: CXCL10, IFNβ, IL29 (IFNλ), CCL5 (RANTES) and IL6. Gene expression values were normalized to expression of the cyclophilin B (CYB), a housekeeping gene. Figure (A) shows the average results from 5 human donors (p-values from Wilcoxon signed rank test, **p<0.05) and (B) shows the response to HCoV-HKU1 infection by individual donor. Error bars represent the standard deviation between donors (A) or replicates (B).

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0070129.g005
an important role in the pathogenesis of HCoV-HKU1 in lung disease and escape from immune surveillance. Many infections with enveloped viruses can directly move from cell to cell by fusion of adjacent plasma membranes resulting in large, multinucleated giant cells. This ability confers multiple potential pathogenic advantages over cell free spread. Direct cell to cell spread is more efficient by eliminating the intrinsic barriers of fluid-phase diffusion required by cell free spread to encounter another target cells and engage the appropriate receptors. Movement of infection between cells also allows the virus to evade innate humoral and cellular defenses [30,31]. Viral fusion with cell membranes of their target cells (virus-cell fusion) is an essential first step in infection for all coronaviruses. Syncytia formation is also commonly seen in other coronaviruses and plays an important step in viral pathogenesis [32,33,34]. Syncytia formation of dendritic cells resulting in cell death was recently shown to be important in an in vitro infection model of another human respiratory coronavirus, 229E [35]. Syncytia forming activity has been shown to greatly enhance the infectivity of avian coronavirus infectious bronchitis [36]. Modulation of syncytial formation helps to establish viral persistence in in vitro culture models of infection with the murine coronavirus, mouse hepatitis virus (MHV) [37]. Both virus-cell entry and syncytia formation are mediated by the coronavirus spike protein, a class 1 viral fusion protein that is a major determinant of cell and host specificity. These two important processes in the pathogenesis of HCoV-HKU1, however, may occur through different mechanisms as has been shown for SARS-CoV and MHV [38,39,40,41].

The innate immune system serves as the first line of defense in protection of the host against viral infections and helps to shape the resultant adaptive immune response. The characteristics of the initial immune response can greatly impact the resulting clinical outcome [42]. Expression of pro-inflammatory cytokines in response to viral infection argues that type II alveolar cells are not only target cells for infection but are also immune-competent and may directly influence the immune response to viral infection. Many of the cytokine responses of the human type II alveolar cells at the AL/1 to HCoV-HKU1 induction of CXCL-10, IL-29, and CCL5 were similar to those we previously described for influenza and SARS-CoV [20] [25]. One significant difference was a lack of expression of the type I interferon, IFNβ, which may reflect that our current assays were conducted at a later time point during the course of infection. Alternatively, it could be due to the presence of unique viral proteins which can suppress the IFN response. Another difference was that the degree of induction of these cytokines was overall approximately 10 fold less for HCoV-HKU1 compared with influenza and SARS-CoV. This may have important implications as recently it was shown that the level of cytokine responses in type II alveolar cells induced by different strains of influenza A viruses were correlated with degree of pathogenicity [21]. Similarly, the differences in cytokine responses exhibited by different human donors might partially explain the variability in clinical outcomes to HCoV-HKU1 infections.

In summary, HCoV-HKU1 can infect, be serially propagated, and induce an anti-viral response in human alveolar type II cells maintained at an air-liquid interface. Type II cells infected with HCoV-HKU1 demonstrated syncytia formation indicating cell to cell spread may be a major factor for virus spreading in vitro, suggesting a potential mechanism for evasion of host immune surveillance. These experiments demonstrate that HCoV-HKU1 has strong tropism for type II alveolar cells and demonstrate the ability to use human alveolar cells to isolate and study novel human respiratory viruses that cause lower respiratory tract disease which display a stringent degree of cell, tissue, and host specificity.
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