Influence of maternal vomiting during early pregnancy on school-age respiratory health
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Abstract

Background: Hyperemesis gravidarum, a clinical entity characterized by severe nausea and excess vomiting, might lead to a suboptimal maternal nutritional status during pregnancy and subsequently to adverse respiratory health in the offspring. The role of common vomiting symptoms on offspring’s respiratory health is unclear. We examined the associations of maternal daily vomiting during early pregnancy with childhood respiratory outcomes, and potential explaining factors.

Methods: This study was embedded in a population-based prospective cohort study from early pregnancy onwards among 4232 mothers and their children. Maternal vomiting during early pregnancy was assessed by a questionnaire. At age 10 years, information on current wheezing and ever asthma was obtained by a questionnaire, and lung function was measured by spirometry at our research center. We used multiple regression analyses to assess the associations of maternal daily vomiting during early pregnancy with childhood respiratory outcomes.

Results: Compared to children from mothers without daily vomiting during early pregnancy, children from mothers with daily vomiting during early pregnancy had a higher forced expiratory flow when 75% of the forced vital capacity (FVC) is exhaled (Z-score difference [95% confidence interval, CI]: 0.13 [0.03, 0.23]), and an increased risk of current wheezing and ever asthma ([odds ratio, OR] [95% CI]: 1.75 [1.10, 2.79] and 1.61 [1.13, 2.31], respectively). These associations were fully explained by sociodemographic factors, but not sex or lifestyle-, infectious-, or growth-related factors. Maternal daily vomiting during early pregnancy was not associated with forced expiratory volume in 1 s (FEV1), FVC, and FEV1/FVC.

Conclusion: Only sociodemographic factors explain the associations of maternal daily vomiting during early pregnancy with childhood respiratory outcomes.
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1 | INTRODUCTION

Childhood chronic respiratory diseases are highly prevalent and a major public health problem.1,2 The fetal programming hypothesis proposes that adverse exposures in early life, including suboptimal maternal nutritional status during pregnancy, could influence the development of chronic respiratory diseases in later life.3,4 Hyperemesis gravidarum, a clinical diagnosis prevailing in up to 10% of all pregnancies, is characterized by unrelenting nausea and pernicious vomiting and could lead to a suboptimal maternal nutritional status during pregnancy.5-7 Suboptimal maternal nutritional status during pregnancy due to hyperemesis gravidarum is associated with adverse birth outcomes, such as fetal growth restriction and low birth weight.8-12 Adverse birth outcomes are associated with increased risks of lower lung function and asthma.13-15 Thus, hyperemesis gravidarum might be related to respiratory health and potentially mediated by adverse birth outcomes, such as preterm birth and low birth weight.14,16-22 Hyperemesis gravidarum is difficult to measure in large-scale epidemiological studies.7 More common and frequent symptoms, such as maternal daily vomiting in early pregnancy are often used, but its role on the development of adverse respiratory health is unclear. Also, sociodemographic and lifestyle factors might affect both maternal daily vomiting in early pregnancy and respiratory health.6,15,23

Therefore, we examined in a population-based prospective cohort study among 4232 mothers and their children, the associations of maternal vomiting during early pregnancy with school-age lung function, current wheezing, and ever asthma, measured at 10 years. We also explored whether any association was explained by sociodemographic, lifestyle-, infectious-, or growth-related factors.

2 | MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 | Study design

This study was embedded in the Generation R Study, a population-based prospective cohort study from early fetal life onwards in Rotterdam, The Netherlands.24 The study has been approved by the Medical Ethical Committee of Erasmus MC, University Medical Center Rotterdam, The Netherlands (MEC-2012-165). Pregnant women with an expected delivery date between April 2002 and January 2006 living in Rotterdam were eligible for participation in the study. Of these mothers, 91% (n = 8879) were enrolled during pregnancy. Extensive assessments were performed on mothers, fathers, and their children. Measurements were planned in early pregnancy (gestational age <18 weeks), mid-pregnancy (gestational age 18–25 weeks), and late pregnancy (gestational age >25 weeks). The children formed a prenatally recruited birth cohort that is being followed at least until young adulthood.24 Written informed consent was obtained from mothers and both parents or legal caregivers of the children. For the present study, we excluded those enrolled after 22 weeks of gestation (n = 1040), who did not have information on vomiting in early pregnancy available (n = 872), or without information on respiratory health (n = 1064). This left 4232 mothers and their children for the current analysis (Figure 1).

2.2 | Maternal vomiting and nausea during early pregnancy

Information on maternal vomiting and nausea during early pregnancy was obtained via questionnaires at enrollment in the study.25 Pregnant women reported the number of times that they vomited or had nausea during early pregnancy which was divided into five categories: daily; a few days a week; once a week; less than once a week; and never. We compared maternal daily vomiting during early pregnancy, a proxy for hyperemesis gravidarum, with all other maternal vomiting categories combined. This approach is in line with previous studies.8-12,26-29 Primary analyses were performed using maternal vomiting, and sensitivity analyses were performed using maternal nausea, across similar categories, to examine whether the associations differed between maternal vomiting and maternal nausea.

2.3 | School-age lung function and asthma

At age 10 years (median 9.7; 95% range 8.5-12.6) forced expiratory volume in 1 s (FEV1), forced vital capacity (FVC), FEV1/FVC, and forced expiratory flow when 75% of the FVC is exhaled (FEF75) were measured by spirometry at our research center, according to the European Respiratory Society (ERS) and American Thoracic Society (ATS) guidelines.30 Measures were converted into sex-, age-, height-, and ethnicity-adjusted z-scores, according to the global lung initiative reference criteria.31 In addition, we included children with spirometry curves with a >5% deviation, but with at least one blow (according to ATS and ERS criteria) with adequate reach and duration of the plateau. We observed no difference in the size or direction of the effect estimates in our analyses when we included or excluded these children. All spirometry curves and values were assessed by two well-trained researchers and discussed with a pediatric pulmonologist if necessary. Information on wheezing in the past 12 months and every doctor-diagnosis of asthma was obtained at the age of 10 years by questionnaires adapted from the International Study on Asthma and Allergies in Childhood.32

2.4 | Covariates

Maternal age and prepregnancy weight were obtained at enrollment. Maternal height (cm) was measured and prepregnancy body mass index (kg/m²) was calculated. We defined gestational weight gain as the difference between weight before pregnancy and weight measured at 30 weeks of gestation (median 30.2; 95% range 25.5–37.1). Information on maternal educational level, parity, ethnicity, smoking during pregnancy, and psychological distress during pregnancy were obtained via questionnaires during pregnancy. The first-trimester nutritional status of the mother during pregnancy was obtained through a food frequency questionnaire, and total caloric intake (kcal) was calculated.33 Information on a child’s sex, gestational age at birth, and birth weight were available from medical records. We constructed gestational-age-adjusted standard deviation scores (SDS) for
birth weight using North-European growth standards.\textsuperscript{34} Infant growth was measured in community health centers according to standardized procedures at 24 months (median 24.9 months [95% range 22.8–34.9]). We created age- and sex-adjusted SDS of these infant anthropometrics within our study population using Dutch reference growth charts.\textsuperscript{35} Information on breastfeeding, daycare attendance, and lower respiratory tract infections was obtained via multiple questionnaires until age 1 year.

### 2.5 Statistical analysis

First, we examined differences in subject characteristics between mothers with and without daily vomiting during early pregnancy with analysis of variance tests and \( \chi^2 \) tests. Second, we examined associations of daily vomiting with lung function, ever asthma, and current wheezing using linear and logistic regression models, respectively. For these analyses, we used the following models: (1) a basic model; (2) a sociodemographic factor confounder model (adjusted for child’s gender, maternal age, educational level, ethnicity, parity and psychological distress separately) and a lifestyle-related factor confounder model (adjusted for prepregnancy body mass index, total caloric intake and smoking during pregnancy separately); (3) a full sociodemographic factor confounder model including all potential sociodemographic confounders; (4) a full lifestyle-related confounder model including all potential lifestyle-related confounders; (5) a fully adjusted confounder model including all sociodemographic and lifestyle-related confounders together; and (6) a fully adjusted model including all confounders and additionally all potential intermediating infectious and growth-related factors (gestational weight gain, gestational age at birth, gestational age-adjusted birth weight [SDS], infant growth from birth until 2 years of age, breastfeeding, daycare attendance, and lower respiratory tract infections). As correlations between these potential intermediates were lower than 0.6, we simultaneously included these potential intermediates in the model. We performed sensitivity analyses by comparing maternal daily vomiting with never vomiting; by assessing a dose-response relationship using maternal vomiting categories as a continuous variable, from category “never” up to category “daily,” and by performing similar analyses using daily nausea during early pregnancy. Potential confounders were selected from literature first, after which they were tested for their relation with daily maternal vomiting and the outcomes of interest, or if the effect estimate of the unadjusted analyses changed \( \pm 10\% \) when we additionally adjusted for a
confounder. Missing data of confounders, assuming that they were missing at random, were imputed by the multiple imputation method using chained equations to select the most likely value for a missing response. Five new datasets were created. All analyses were performed using Statistical Package of Social Sciences version 25.0 for Windows (SPSS Inc).

3 | RESULTS

3.1 | Subject characteristics

In total, 8.8% of all women vomited daily during early pregnancy (Table 1). At age 10 years, 4.7% of all children had wheezing in the past 12 months, and 9.4% were ever diagnosed with asthma. Compared to mothers without daily vomiting during early pregnancy, mothers with daily vomiting during early pregnancy had a lower total caloric intake and total gestational weight gain were younger, more frequently lower educated, and of non-European descent (p < 0.05). As compared to mothers included in the analyses, those lost to follow-up more often vomited daily during early pregnancy; their children had a lower gestational age at birth (Table S1).

3.2 | Maternal vomiting and childhood respiratory health

Table 2 shows the associations of maternal daily vomiting during early pregnancy with childhood respiratory health at the age of 10 years. In the unadjusted model, children born to mothers with daily vomiting during early pregnancy had a similar FEV1 and FVC, 10 years. In the unadjusted model, children born to mothers with daily vomiting during early pregnancy with childhood respiratory health at the age of 10 years. These associations were fully explained by particular sociodemographic factors. We did not observe any associations of maternal daily vomiting during early pregnancy with other school-age respiratory outcomes.

4 | DISCUSSION

In this prospective cohort study, we observed that daily maternal vomiting during early pregnancy was associated with an increased FEF75, and an increased risk of current wheezing and ever asthma at age 10 years. These associations were fully explained by particular sociodemographic factors. We did not observe any associations of maternal daily vomiting during early pregnancy with childhood respiratory outcomes.

4.1 | Methodological considerations

We used a population-based prospective cohort design including a large number of subjects whom we studied from fetal life onwards. Information on vomiting during early pregnancy was available for 5296 participants, of which 4232 mothers and their children were involved in childhood follow-up measurements. Comparison analyses of included versus non-included showed that our study group might have been skewed toward a relatively healthy population, which could affect the generalizability of our results. The observed associations would be biased if the associations of maternal vomiting during early pregnancy with childhood outcomes differed between those included and those lost to follow-up. This seems implausible, but cannot be excluded. We used daily maternal vomiting, comparable to previous epidemiological studies.18-22,26-29 This indicator is only one element attributed to hyperemesis gravidarum, whereas the complete clinical picture also involves maternal weight loss, nutritional deficiencies, electrolyte imbalance, dehydration, and hospitalization. Our findings probably pertain to the less severe end of the spectrum of hyperemesis.

We observed that women with daily vomiting during early pregnancy had a lower total caloric intake and total gestational weight gain. Considering the poor quantity of mothers with daily vomiting along with extreme weight loss, in this study, we were unable to determine the associations of these incorporated maternal components with respiratory outcomes at school age. More research is necessarily to evaluate the associations of a clinical diagnosis of hyperemesis gravidarum inclusive of different maternal characteristics related to hyperemesis gravidarum with respiratory morbidity and mortality. Detailed information about several maternal and childhood sociodemographic and lifestyle-, infectious-, and growth-related factors was available in this study. Extensive adjustment for sociodemographic factors in our analyses fully explained the associations of maternal daily vomiting during early pregnancy with childhood respiratory outcomes, while adjustment for lifestyle-,
| Maternal and childhood characteristics (N = 4232)$^a$ | Total group n = 4232 | No maternal daily vomiting during early pregnancy n = 3859 | Maternal daily vomiting during early pregnancy n = 373 | p |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| Maternal characteristics | | | | |
| Age, years | 30.8 (4.8) | 31.0 (4.7) | 28.8 (5.0) | <0.05 |
| Body mass index, kg/m² | 23.5 (4.1) | 23.3 (4.0) | 25.0 (4.9) | <0.05 |
| Total gestational weight gain, kg | 10.6 (4.7) | 10.8 (4.5) | 8.4 (6.0) | <0.05 |
| Parity (nulliparous), % | 60.1 (2538) | 60.8 (2343) | 52.4 (195) | <0.05 |
| Education (higher education), % | 52.2 (2207) | 54.9 (2117) | 24.1 (90) | <0.05 |
| Ethnicity (European), % | 67.9 (2870) | 71.0 (2737) | 35.9 (133) | <0.05 |
| Total caloric intake, kcal | 2064 (543) | 2075 (538) | 1921 (593) | <0.05 |
| Smoking during pregnancy (yes), % | 23.5 (981) | 23.6 (1019) | 22.0 (81) | <0.05 |
| Psychological distress during pregnancy | 0.26 (0.06, 0.31) | 0.24 (0.06, 0.29) | 0.46 (0.14, 0.67) | <0.05 |
| Daily nausea during early pregnancy, % | 32.4 (1364) | 26.5 (1019) | 93.0 (345) | <0.05 |
| History of atopy or asthma, % | 37.3 (1556) | 37.3 (1422) | 37.0 (134) | 0.90 |
| Pet keeping, % | 47.9 (1652) | 48.7 (1652) | 38.2 (113) | <0.05 |
| Birth characteristics | | | | |
| Gestational age at birth, weeks | 39.9 (39.1,41.0) | 39.9 (39.1,41.0) | 39.8 (39.0,41.0) | 0.45 |
| Birth weight, g | 3443 (543) | 3449 (545) | 3377 (528) | <0.05 |
| Gestational age adjusted birth weight (SDS) | −0.06 (0.99) | −0.05 (0.99) | −0.20 (0.96) | <0.05 |
| Female sex, % | 50.7 (2144) | 51.0 (1969) | 46.9 (175) | 0.13 |
| Child characteristics | | | | |
| Breastfeeding (yes), % | 92.6 (3220) | 92.6 (2979) | 92.3 (241) | 0.89 |
| Daycare attendance first year, % | 64.3 (1751) | 65.9 (1681) | 40.5 (70) | <0.05 |
| Infant weight growth from birth until 2 years, g | 9574 (1450) | 9558 (1425) | 9751 (1701) | <0.05 |
| Lower respiratory tract infections in first year, % | 14.5 (322) | 14.3 (299) | 16.9 (23) | 0.41 |
| Body mass index at 9 years, kg/m² | 17.6 (2.7) | 17.5 (2.7) | 18.5 (3.3) | <0.05 |
| Ever eczema at 9 years, % | 23.0 (822) | 22.8 (751) | 25.4 (71) | 0.32 |
| Inhalant allergic sensitization, % | 32.2 (948) | 32.2 (868) | 32.4 (80) | 0.96 |
| FEV₁, L | 2.01 (0.30) | 2.02 (0.30) | 1.95 (0.30) | <0.05 |
| FVC, L | 2.33 (0.36) | 2.34 (0.36) | 2.25 (0.36) | <0.05 |
| FEV₁/FVC, ratio (%) | 0.87 (0.06) | 0.87 (0.06) | 0.87 (0.06) | 0.11 |
| FEF75, L/s | 1.14 (0.35) | 1.14 (0.35) | 1.14 (0.37) | 0.69 |
| Current wheezing at age 10 years, % | 4.7 (172) | 4.4 (150) | 7.5 (22) | <0.05 |
| Ever asthma at age 10 years, % | 9.4 (339) | 9.0 (300) | 13.8 (39) | <0.05 |

Abbreviations: FEF75, forced expiratory flow when 75% of the FVC is exhaled; FEV₁, forced expiratory volume in 1 s; FVC, forced vital capacity; SDS, standard deviation scores.

$^a$Values represent means (standard deviation), median (25%–75% range), or percentages (absolute numbers). Differences in subject characteristics between the groups were evaluated using one-way analysis of variance tests for continuous variables and χ² tests for proportions.
**TABLE 2** Maternal daily vomiting and childhood lung function and asthma (adjustment per confounder separately)

|                | Childhood lung function | The difference in standard deviation score (95% confidence interval) |
|----------------|-------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------|
|                | FEV₁ \( n = 3775 \) | FVC \( n = 3775 \) | FEV₁/FVC \( n = 3775 \) | FEF\(_{75}\) \( n = 3775 \) | Current wheezing \( n = 3684 \) | Ever asthma \( n = 3598 \) |
| **Basic model\(^a\)** | -0.02 | -0.07 | 0.9 | 0.13 | 1.75 | 1.61 |
|                | (-0.13, 0.09) | (-0.17, 0.04) | (-0.02, 0.19) | (0.03, 0.23)\(^*\) | (1.10, 2.79)\(^*\) | (1.13, 2.31)\(^*\) |
| **Sociodemographic factor confounder model\(^b\)** | | | | | | |
| Gender        | -0.01 | -0.06 | 0.09 | 0.13 | 1.69 | 1.55 |
|               | (-0.12, 0.10) | (-0.16, 0.04) | (-0.02, 0.19) | (0.03, 0.23)\(^*\) | (1.06, 2.69)\(^*\) | (1.08, 2.22)\(^*\) |
| Age           | -0.02 | -0.06 | 0.07 | 0.12 | 1.67 | 1.52 |
|               | (-0.13, 0.09) | (-0.16, 0.05) | (-0.04, 0.18) | (0.01, 0.22)\(^*\) | (1.05, 2.68)\(^*\) | (1.06, 2.19)\(^*\) |
| Educational level | -0.04 | -0.08 | 0.07 | 0.09 | 1.46 | 1.45 |
|               | (-0.15, 0.07) | (-0.18, 0.03) | (-0.04, 0.18) | (-0.01, 0.19) | (0.91, 2.34) | (1.01, 2.10)\(^*\) |
| Ethnicity     | -0.09 | -0.11 | 0.04 | 0.03 | 1.56 | 1.45 |
|               | (-0.20, 0.02) | (-0.22, -0.01)\(^*\) | (-0.07, 0.15) | (-0.08, 0.13) | (0.96, 2.51) | (1.20, 1.75)\(^*\) |
| Parity        | -0.03 | -0.07 | 0.08 | 0.12 | 1.75 | 1.62 |
|               | (-0.14, 0.08) | (-0.17, 0.04) | (-0.03, 0.18) | (0.02, 0.22)\(^*\) | (1.38, 2.22)\(^*\) | (1.35, 1.94)\(^*\) |
| Psychological distress | -0.05 | -0.06 | 0.04 | 0.09 | 1.36 | 1.35 |
|                | (-0.17, 0.08) | (-0.18, 0.06) | (-0.08, 0.16) | (-0.03, 0.20) | (0.84, 2.21) | (0.93, 1.96) |
| **Lifestyle-related factor confounder model\(^c\)** | | | | | | |
| Prepregnancy BMI | -0.05 | -0.09 | 0.08 | 0.13 | 1.73 | 1.56 |
|               | (-0.16, 0.07) | (-0.20, 0.03) | (-0.04, 0.19) | (0.02, 0.23)\(^*\) | (1.09, 2.77)\(^*\) | (1.09, 2.24)\(^*\) |
| Total caloric intake | -0.03 | -0.07 | 0.08 | 0.12 | 1.74 | 1.59 |
|               | (-0.13, 0.08) | (-0.17, 0.04) | (-0.03, 0.19) | (0.02, 0.22)\(^*\) | (1.09, 2.78)\(^*\) | (1.11, 2.28)\(^*\) |
| Smoking during pregnancy | -0.02 | -0.06 | 0.07 | 0.13 | 1.75 | 1.61 |
|               | (-0.13, 0.09) | (-0.16, 0.05) | (-0.04, 0.18) | (0.02, 0.23)\(^*\) | (1.10, 2.79)\(^*\) | (1.12, 2.30)\(^*\) |

**Note:** Values are Z-score differences or odds ratios (95% confidence interval) from linear or logistic regression models, respectively, with no daily maternal vomiting during early pregnancy as the reference category. Estimates are based on multiple imputed data.

**Abbreviations:** BMI, body mass index; FEF\(_{75}\), forced expiratory flow when 75% of the FVC is exhaled; FEV\(_1\), forced expiratory volume in 1 s; FVC, forced vital capacity.

\(^a\)Basic model is unadjusted.

\(^b\)Sociodemographic factor confounder model is adjusted for each potential confounder separately (gender: child’s sex, maternal age, educational level, ethnicity, parity and maternal psychological distress).

\(^c\)Lifestyle-related confounder model is adjusted for each potential confounder separately (prepregnancy BMI, total caloric intake and smoking during pregnancy).

\(^*\)p < 0.05.

infectious-, and growth-related factors did not. However, because of the observational design, residual confounding due to other sociodemographic and lifestyle-related determinants, such as sedentary lifestyle or maternal physical activity, residential area, and childhood nutritional intake, might still be an issue.

### 4.2 Interpretation of main findings

Previous evidence suggests that adverse exposures in early life are significantly associated with respiratory morbidity throughout life.\(^{26,37}\) To the best of our knowledge, no studies have examined the associations of maternal daily maternal vomiting in early pregnancy with respiratory health in the offspring. Support for mechanisms by which maternal daily vomiting in early pregnancy interferes with respiratory health may lay in the undernutrition status of a mother during pregnancy. Restriction of fetal nutrition and growth due to exposure to maternal undernutrition, and low birth weight and prematurity, may induce tenacious modifications in lung development, leading to unfavorable effects on lung function and respiratory health in later life.\(^{37}\) This is supported by various epidemiological human and animal studies elucidating mechanisms underlying the associations between maternal undernutrition during critical periods of pregnancy and structural effects on the developing lung and respiratory mechanics.\(^{14,22,38-41}\) The results of a murine study indicated that undernutrition during pregnancy, in conjunction with hypoxic stress, instigate pulmonary vascular dysfunction in the offspring by an epigenetic...
mechanism, implying a similar fetal programming effect on pulmonary circulation in humans.\textsuperscript{40} However, none of the associations were explained by gestational age or size at birth, even though both characteristics are known to be related to impaired lung function in the offspring.\textsuperscript{14,19,21} To this extent, growth-related factors do not play a role in the association of maternal daily vomiting with the respiratory health of the offspring.

Our findings suggest that the associations of daily maternal vomiting during early pregnancy with increased risks of childhood wheezing and asthma are fully explained by psychological distress during pregnancy, ethnicity, lower educational level, younger age, and primigravidae, which is in line with previous studies.\textsuperscript{6,23} These potential factors in the psychosocial and sociodemographic pathway have further been delineated as women aged younger than 20 years, with less than 12 years of education, low-income levels, part-time employment status, psychiatric disease, nulliparity, and a non-Caucasian descent, as demonstrated in previous research.\textsuperscript{26} We speculate that these women may have less access to healthcare with rare primary and antenatal care visits. Hence, effects may only unveil in extreme extents, such as hyperemesis gravidarum causing approximately famine status or equivalent.

| TABLE 3 Maternal daily vomiting and childhood lung function and asthma (fully adjusted models) |
|---------------------------------------------------------------|
| **Childhood lung function** | **Difference in standard deviation score (95% confidence interval)** | **Current wheezing** | **Ever asthma** |
| | FEV\textsubscript{1} \textit{n} = 3775 | FVC \textit{ n} = 3775 | FEV\textsubscript{1}/FVC \textit{ n} = 3775 | FEF\textsubscript{75} \textit{ n} = 3775 | \textit{n} = 3684 | \textit{n} = 3598 |
|---------------------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|
| Full sociodemographic factor confounder model\textsuperscript{a} | -0.09 (-0.20, 0.02) | -0.10 (-0.21, 0.01) | 0.01 (-0.10, 0.13) | 0.00 (-0.11, 0.10) | 1.20 (0.73, 1.96) | 1.21 (0.62, 1.77) |
| Full lifestyle-related factor confounder model\textsuperscript{b} | -0.04 (-0.15, 0.07) | -0.08 (-0.19, 0.02) | 0.08 (-0.03, 0.19) | 0.12 (0.01, 0.22) | 1.72 (1.08, 2.76) | 1.54 (1.07, 2.22) |
| Fully adjusted confounder model\textsuperscript{d} | -0.09 (-0.21, 0.02) | -0.10 (-0.21, 0.00) | 0.01 (-0.10, 0.12) | 0.00 (-0.11, 0.10) | 1.21 (0.73, 1.99) | 1.20 (0.62, 1.76) |
| Fully adjusted model\textsuperscript{e} | -0.07 (-0.19, 0.04) | -0.09 (-0.20, 0.02) | 0.02 (-0.09, 0.13) | 0.01 (-0.10, 0.12) | 1.20 (0.72, 2.01) | 1.19 (0.80, 1.78) |

Note: Values are Z-score differences or odds ratios (95% confidence interval) from linear or logistic regression models, respectively, with no daily maternal vomiting during early pregnancy as the reference category. Estimates are based on multiple imputed data.

Abbreviations: BMI, body mass index; FEF\textsubscript{75}, forced expiratory flow when 75% of the FVC is exhaled; FEV\textsubscript{1}, forced expiratory volume in 1 s; FVC, forced vital capacity.

\textsuperscript{a}Full sociodemographic factor confounder model is adjusted for potential confounders (gender: child’s sex, maternal age, educational level, ethnicity, parity and maternal psychological distress).

\textsuperscript{b}Full lifestyle-related factor confounder model is adjusted for potential confounders (prepregnancy BMI, total caloric intake and smoking during pregnancy).

\textsuperscript{c}Fully adjusted confounder model includes all potential confounders.

\textsuperscript{d}Fully adjusted model includes all potential confounders and intermediates (gestational weight gain, birth characteristics: gestational age at birth and birth weight, infant growth: growth in weight from birth until 2 years of age, and child characteristics: breastfeeding, daycare attendance in the first year and lower respiratory tract infections in the first year).

\textsuperscript{e}p < 0.05.

5 | CONCLUSION

We observed that specifically sociodemographic factors explain the associations of maternal daily vomiting during early pregnancy with childhood respiratory outcomes. Future studies should mainly focus on the potential adverse effects to extreme extents.
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