Risk factors for moderate-to-severe postoperative pain after percutaneous nephrolithotomy: a retrospective cohort study
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Percutaneous nephrolithotomy (PCNL) is a minimally invasive procedure for removing renal calculi, while a large number of patients experience acute moderate-to-severe pain despite the analgesia provided. This study aimed to explore the risk factors for postoperative pain after PCNL, which may provide a novel perspective to refine the enhanced recovery after surgery (ERAS) program and to improve clinical outcomes. The clinical data of 331 patients who underwent PCNL in our hospital from September 2020 to February 2021 were retrospectively analyzed. The pain intensity was assessed every 4 h until 24 h post-surgery. According to the visual analog scale (VAS) score, patients were divided into two groups: mild or no pain group (VAS score, 0–3) and moderate-to-severe pain group (VAS score, 4–10). The pre-, peri-, and post-operative data were collected and analyzed. The indicators with statistically significant differences were selected, and multivariate logistic regression analysis was employed to determine the risk factors for postoperative pain after PCNL. Among 331 patients, 221 patients had moderate-to-severe pain and the incidence rate was 66.77%. Multivariate logistic regression analysis showed that the independent risk factors for moderate-to-severe pain after PCNL were the diameter of the renal calculus (odds ratio (OR) = 6.23, 95% confidence interval (CI) 2.50–15.56, P = 0.001), the number of renal calculi (OR = 15.892, 95% CI 7.721–32.711, P < 0.01), the presence of residual calculi (OR = 1.780, 95% CI 0.897–3.533, P = 0.01), and operation time (OR = 1.033, 95% CI 1.020–1.046, P < 0.01). The diameter of the renal calculus, the number of renal calculi, the presence of residual calculi, and operation time were significant predictors of postoperative pain after PCNL.
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Over the past two decades, open surgery has been almost completely replaced by minimally invasive procedures for patients with kidney stones¹. According to the European Association of Urology guidelines, percutaneous nephrolithotomy (PCNL) is the first-line treatment for large (> 2 cm), multiple, and inferior calyx renal stones².

However, to date, few studies have concentrated on the postoperative pain after PCNL. Minimally invasive surgery is not painless, and postoperative pain after PCNL includes creation of a percutaneous access tract through the parenchyma and parenchymal shearing, renal pelvic pressure, renal tissues, visceral pain mainly accompanied by autonomic nerve reaction, and low back pain caused by indwelling nephrostomy tube³–⁵. It may originate from the renal capsule, muscle, subcutaneous tissues, and skin⁶.
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Anatomical studies showed that the main sources of acute postoperative pain after PCNL were visceral pain from kidneys and ureters and body surface pain from incisions. Renal pain originates from the T10-L1 spinal nerve, and ureteral pain originates from the T10-L2 spinal nerve. However, the incisions and pathways are typically formed under the 12th rib or between the 10th and 11th ribs, where a cutaneous innervation is mainly undertaken by T10–11. Indwelling of renal fistula, peritubular compression of renal cortex, and dilation of renal capsule may also aggravate postoperative pain. However, discomfort, stress, and pain associated with nephrostomy highlight the importance of postoperative analgesia. Additionally, stones were discharged from the ureter due to changes in body position when residual stones or large stones (4–5 mm) were directly flushed into the ureter due to fluid flow intraoperatively and moved into the ureter. Because calculi stimulate mucous membrane of the ureter and cause ureteral spasm, ache typically lasts longer. Since the urinary system is innervated by the same autonomic nerve as the gastrointestinal system, pain may cause reflexive nausea and vomiting.

The pain is mainly associated with severe postoperative somatosensory and visceral pain, necessitating a substantial action for postoperative opioid consumption. If postoperative pain is not timely alleviated, it may result in adverse consequences, such as delay of activity, pulmonary dysfunction, prolonged hospitalization, and even septic shock and renal failure in some severe cases. More importantly, transition of acute pain to chronic pain may occur, seriously influencing patients’ quality of life.

The present study aimed to identify the predictors for the postoperative pain after PCNL, which may provide a novel perspective to refine the enhanced recovery after surgery (ERAS) program and to improve clinical outcomes.

Materials and methods
Study design and patients. The study protocol was approved by the Ethics Committee of Beijing Tsinghua Changgung Hospital (Beijing, China; Approval No. 21452-4-02), and the study was conducted in accordance with the tenets of the Declaration of Helsinki. Written informed consent was obtained from all eligible subjects or their entrusted representatives before enrollment.

The clinical data of 331 patients who underwent PCNL in Beijing Tsinghua Changgung Hospital from September 2020 to February 2021 were retrospectively analyzed. In conformity with the occurrence of postoperative pain, patients were divided into mild or no pain group and moderate-to-severe pain group. The inclusion criteria were as follows: (1) patients undergoing elective PCNL under general anesthesia; (2) patients aged 18–70 years old; (3) American Society of Anesthesiologists (ASA) grade I–III; (4) body mass index (BMI) of 18–35 kg/m²; and (5) signing the written informed consent form. The exclusion criteria were as follows: (1) history of surgery for ipsilateral renal stones; (2) severe urinary tract infection; (3) severe cardiac and pulmonary insufficiency and coagulation disorders; (4) a spinal deformity, an underlying pathology, or history of surgery for prior spinal cord injury; (5) neurological disorders, psychiatric disorders or experience of substance abuse.

According to the ordinary preoperative fasting guidelines, patients were prohibited from both food and fluids for at least 8 h prior to surgery. A preventive antibiotic (ertapenem, 1000 mg) was given 30 min before surgery. Venous access was established in the upper extremities, and the blood pressure (BP), electrocardiogram (ECG), heart rate (HR), and pulse oxygen saturation (SpO₂) were routinely monitored.

Anesthetic and surgical procedures. All patients underwent general anesthesia using the same type of medication. General anesthesia was induced with intravenous propofol (2 mg/kg) plus sufentanil (0.4 μg/kg), and neuromuscular block was achieved with rocuronium (0.6 mg/kg) and maintained with combined intravenous–inhalation anesthesia. After endotracheal intubation, the mechanical ventilation was performed with tidal volume of 6–8 mL/kg, 12 breaths/min. Anesthesia was maintained with a minimum alveolar concentration (MAC) of sevoflurane (1.5–2.5%) in a 60% oxygen and air. Nitrous oxide was not used. Remifentanil infusions (0.1–0.2 μg/kg/min) were used for analgesia. Expiratory CO₂, SpO₂, HR, and BP were monitored continuously to ensure the maintenance of anesthesia. The MAP was maintained at 60–100 mmHg, the bispectral index (BIS) at 40–60%, and these parameters were measured every 5 min throughout the procedures. After proper padding of eyes, patients were kept in prone position, and all pressure points were secured.

Puncture. Under the guidance of B-mode ultrasound, the area between the posterior axillary line and the scapular line was selected as the puncture point under 12 ribs or between 11 ribs according to the distribution of calculi. After puncturing the target calyx, a guide wire was placed to dilate. Two-step or balloon dilation can be used to establish a standard channel (Fig. 1). In the present study, pneumatic ballistic lithotripsy was selected. Different stones could be removed with gravel forceps, hanging baskets, and other instruments (Fig. 2). Stones were broken into small pieces and then sucked out or washed with a detergent. Larger stones could be removed with stone forceps, and B-mode ultrasound was used to observe postoperative residual stones. Nephrostomy tube was placed at 3 days after surgery, and the extubation time was appropriately advanced or prolonged according to the intraoperative and postoperative recovery. Ureteral stents should be retained for 2–4 weeks after surgery. If ureteral stenosis occurs, the duration of the use of ureteral stents should be extended.

At 30 min before the end of surgery, 100 mg of flurbiprofen axetil and 8 mg of ondanestron were administered intravenously. After surgery, patients were transferred to post-anesthesia care unit (PACU) for recovery. Neostigmine and tropine were used to antagonize residual neuromuscular block when necessary. Endotracheal tube was removed if patients were awake with absence of respiratory failure, residual paralysis, and overdose of opioids. All patients were extubated in PACU, and were then sent to the ward when their modified Aldrete score was more than 13.
Evaluation of pain. Participants’ pain was assessed using the visual analog scale (VAS) scoring system. It is a standard and verified 10-point scale for pain self-report, where a score of 0 represents no pain and a score of 10 represents the highest pain level. VAS scores were assessed by a well-trained doctor at 30 min immediately after extubation, followed by every other 4 h until 24 h post-surgery in the ward.

Routine analgesia protocol and rescue analgesia. All patients did not receive patient-controlled intravenous analgesia (PCIA) postoperatively. The pain intensity was assessed using the VAS scoring system during rest and cough every 4 h thereafter until 24 h post-surgery. Patients were divided into two groups based on VAS pain score: mild or no pain (VAS score, 0–3) and moderate-to-severe pain (VAS score, 4–10). Rescue analgesia was intravenously administered according to the protocol, and patients were treated with parecoxib sodium (40 mg IV) when they complained of moderate-to-severe pain and two injections should be given at least four hours apart.

Data collection was performed by urologists and anesthesiologists for age, gender, BMI, ASA grade, diameter of the renal calculus, degree of hydronephrosis, preoperative urine culture, expansion method, tract diameter, the presence of residual calculi, preoperative analgesia, underlying disease, puncture time, number of expanded channels, operation time, postoperative complications (Clavien–Dindo classification), number of renal calculi, and VAS pain score. Postoperative adverse events included postoperative nausea and vomiting (PONV), high and low BP, bradycardia, pruritus, and respiratory depression.

Figure 1. Under the guidance of ultrasound, the puncture needle selected the puncture point between the 11th intercostal or 12th intercostal posterior axillary line and the subscapular angle to puncture into the target calyces and establish the 16F microchannel. (taken by Tianfu Ding).

Figure 2. The “two-step” method was used to establish the standard channel. First, a small ureteroscope was used to enter the microchannel to observe the position of the channel, and the preliminary adjustment was made. After the adjustment, the sheath was gradually expanded to establish the 24F standard channel. (taken by Tianfu Ding).
Statistical analysis. SPSS 25.0 software (IBM, Armonk, NY, USA) was used to perform statistical analysis. Measurement data were expressed as mean ± standard deviation and were analyzed by the t-test or χ² test. The Kolmogorov-Smirnoff single-sample test was used to test the normal distribution of continuous variables before further comparisons. The Chi-square test was utilized for comparison of the two groups. When \( P < 0.05 \), the difference was regarded as statistically significant. Multivariate logistic regression analysis was used to analyze the statistical significance of the indicators.

Ethics approval and consent to participate. This study was approved by the Ethics Committee of Beijing Tsinghua Changgung Hospital. All patients signed the informed consent form. The procedures did not require any additional intervention, and all data were analyzed anonymously. All methods were carried out in accordance with relevant guidelines and regulations.

Results
In the present study, 331 patients from a single center were enrolled. Among them, 221 patients had moderate-to-severe pain, with an incidence of 66.77%. The results showed that the number of renal calculi \( (P < 0.01) \), the diameter of the renal calculi \( (P < 0.01) \), the presence of residual calculi \( (P = 0.017) \), and operation time \( (P < 0.01) \) were significantly different between the two groups (Table 1). Multivariate logistic regression analysis showed that the independent risk factors for postoperative pain after PCNL included the diameter of the renal calculi (odds ratio \( \text{OR} = 6.23 \), 95% confidence interval \( \text{CI} 2.50–15.56 \), \( P = 0.001 \)), the number of renal calculi (\( \text{OR} = 15.892 \), 95% CI 7.721–32.711, \( P < 0.01 \)), the presence of residual calculi (\( \text{OR} = 1.780 \), 95% CI 0.897–3.533, \( P = 0.01 \)), and operation time (\( \text{OR} = 1.033 \), 95% CI 1.020–1.046, \( P < 0.01 \)) (Table 2).

Discussion
There is a global increase in the incidence of renal calculi in all age groups owing to changed dietary habits and global warming. PCNL is the gold standard surgical treatment, and it plays an important role in managing especially large (≥2 cm) renal stones and/or staghorn renal stones. However, it is difficult to perform PCNL, which is greatly affected by the composition, size, and location of the stones. Severe cases are mainly complicated by septic shock and renal failure. At present, there are few reports on the causes and risk factors of postoperative pain after PCNL. Haotian et al. showed that the incidence of moderate-to-severe postoperative pain after PCNL was about 60%. It was revealed that our results were similar to Ahmet et al.’s findings which showed that the issue of PCNL pain during postoperative period has not yet been completely solved. In the present study, the clinical data of 331 patients were analyzed to identify risk factors and provide a reliable reference for clinicians to alleviate moderate-to-severe postoperative pain after PCNL.

In the present study, it was found that there were no significant differences in age, gender, BMI, ASA grade, degree of hydronephrosis, preoperative urine, culture, expansion method, tract diameter, preoperative angesia, underlying disease, puncture time, number of expanded channels, and postoperative complications (Claven–Dindo classification) between the two groups \( (P > 0.05) \). Multivariate logistic regression analysis showed that the independent risk factors for postoperative pain after PCNL included the diameter of the renal calculi, the number of renal calculi, the presence of residual calculi, and operation time.

Before surgery, all patients received plain computed tomography (CT) scan of the urinary system, accompanied by a high-resolution spatial presentation, and it possessed significant advantages in indicating the size, number, and location of calculi. Large calculi or large stone burdens may expand the surgical scope and prolong operation time, which can cause perfusion fluid imbalance and lead to the increase of internal pressure of the renal pelvis. The higher internal pressure of the renal pelvis, stone crushing after the release of bacteria and toxins associated with lavage by renal pelvis renal sinus, peripheral vascular and lymphatic vessels of the renal pelvis and renal pelvis venous reflex into the blood, thus, increase the risk of infection, and this leads to the release of cellular mediators, causing pain.

Due to the high-resolution CT, stones containing calcium, phosphorus, and magnesium (positive stones) or uric acid stones (negative stones) can be displayed on CT images, which shows the shadow of stones with an increased density, and highlights a “calcification point”. The greater the CT value (Hounsfield Units), the greater the stone hardness. More time and greater intensity of lithotripsy are needed intraoperatively, aggravating the postoperative pain. It is noteworthy that partial or complete staghorn stones will have a longer operation time, and the stone hardness associated with lavage by renal pelvis renal sinus, peripheral vascular and lymphatic vessels of the renal pelvis and renal pelvis venous reflex into the blood, thus, increase the risk of infection, and this leads to the release of cellular mediators, causing pain.

An effective management of postoperative pain is essential to reduce the morbidity of PCNL. There are several methods for reducing postoperative pain, including control of local anesthetic pressure during surgery, use of paravertebral block (T11–L1), application of erector spinae plane block, use of thoracic paravertebral block, application of quadratus lumborum block III, use of intercostal nerve block, opioid analgesia using intravenous patient-controlled analgesia, reduced size of nephrostomy tube, and no placement of a nephrostomy tube. They can play a role after the onset of postoperative pain, at the same time different analgesic drugs have different adverse reactions. Poorly managed acute pain has the potential to progress to chronic pain, which remains a significant burden to the rehabilitation of patients. Thus, a clearer understanding of acute pain can help minimize the occurrence of acute-to-chronic pain transition.

The ERAS program has been applied to a variety of surgical procedures in recent years. It aims at accelerating postoperative recovery, improving patient outcomes, and reducing medical costs. An effective perioperative...
|                          | Mild or no pain group (n = 110) (33.3) | Moderate-to-severe pain group (n = 221) (66.7) | t/F | p  |
|--------------------------|----------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|-----|----|
| Gender (n)               |                                        |                                               | 0.163 | 0.687 |
| Female                   | 39 (32)                                | 83 (68)                                       |     |    |
| Male                     | 71 (34.1)                              | 137 (65.9)                                    |     |    |
| Age (years, mean ± SD)   | 51.24 ± 11.10                          | 50.72 ± 11.98                                 |     |    |
| BMI (kg/m²)              |                                        |                                               | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| ≤ 25                     | 51 (33.3)                              | 102 (66.7)                                    |     |    |
| > 25                     | 59 (33.3)                              | 118 (66.7)                                    |     |    |
| ASA classification       |                                        |                                               | 2.72 | 0.099 |
| I                        | 37 (40.2)                              | 55 (59.8)                                     |     |    |
| II                       | 73 (30.7)                              | 165 (69.3)                                    |     |    |
| Renal calculus diameter (mm, mean ± sd) | 27.07 ± 13.86                         | 31.95 ± 16.06                                 | − 2.72 | <0.01 |
| Degree of hydronephrosis |                                        |                                               | 4.014 | 0.247 |
| Normal                   | 57 (36.5)                              | 99 (63.5)                                     |     |    |
| Mild                     | 46 (30.3)                              | 106 (69.7)                                    |     |    |
| Moderate                 | 2 (16.7)                               | 10 (83.3)                                     |     |    |
| Severe                   | 5 (50)                                 | 5 (50)                                        |     |    |
| Preoperative urine culture|                                        |                                               | 0.66 | 0.417 |
| Negative                 | 74 (34.9)                              | 138 (65.1)                                    |     |    |
| Positive                 | 36 (30.5)                              | 82 (69.5)                                     |     |    |
| Expansion method         |                                        |                                               | 1.778 | 0.182 |
| Balloon dilatation       | 54 (37.2)                              | 91 (62.8)                                     |     |    |
| Two-step method Dilatation| 56 (30.3)                             | 129 (69.7)                                    |     |    |
| Tract diameter (Fr)      |                                        |                                               | 2.056 | 0.152 |
| 24Fr                     | 109 (34)                               | 212 (66)                                     |     |    |
| <24Fr                    | 1 (11.1)                               | 8 (88.9)                                     |     |    |
| Calculus residual        |                                        |                                               | 5.72 | 0.017 |
| Calculus-free            | 87 (37.3)                              | 146 (62.7)                                    |     |    |
| Calculus-residual        | 23 (23.7)                              | 74 (76.3)                                     |     |    |
| Preexistent medication   |                                        |                                               | 0.228 | 0.892 |
| None                     | 95 (33.8)                              | 186 (66.2)                                    |     |    |
| Nonsteroidal             | 12 (30)                                | 28 (70)                                       |     |    |
| Weak opioids             | 3 (33.3)                               | 6 (66.6)                                      |     |    |
| Comorbidities            |                                        |                                               | 1.81 | 0.77 |
| None                     | 68 (31.3)                              | 149 (68.7)                                    |     |    |
| Hypertension             | 22 (40.7)                              | 32 (59.3)                                     |     |    |
| Diabetes                 | 13 (35.1)                              | 24 (64.9)                                     |     |    |
| coronary heart disease   | 5 (31.3)                               | 11 (68.8)                                     |     |    |
| Hyperlipidemia           | 2 (33.3)                               | 4 (66.7)                                      |     |    |
| Puncture time (s, mean ± sd) | 206.80 ± 43.69                      | 213.66 ± 39.51                                | − 1.44 | 0.152 |
| Number of expanded channels (n) |                                                |                                               | 3.357 | 0.187 |
| 1                        | 98 (35.4)                              | 179 (64.6)                                    |     |    |
| 2                        | 11 (23.4)                              | 36 (76.6)                                     |     |    |
| 3                        | 1 (16.7)                               | 5 (83.3)                                      |     |    |
| Duration of surgery (min, mean ± SD) | 85.07 ± 27.22                        | 110.93 ± 38.14                                | − 6.35 | <0.01 |
| Postoperative complications|                                        |                                               | 4.207 | 0.120 |
| Normal                   | 99 (32.7)                              | 204 (67.3)                                    |     |    |
| Clavien–Dindo I²         | 11 (47.8)                              | 12 (52.2)                                     |     |    |
| Clavien–Dindo II         | 0 (0)                                  | 4 (100)                                       |     |    |
| Preoperative creatinine (µmol/L, mean ± SD) | 85.11 ± 50.32                        | 79.22 ± 45.01                                 | 1.078 | 0.282 |
| Number of renal calculus (n) |                                                |                                               | 87.09 | <0.01 |
| 1                        | 58 (78.4)                              | 16 (21.6)                                     |     |    |
| >1                       | 52 (20.3)                              | 204 (79.7)                                    |     |    |
pain management is a crucial part of the ERAS program. If we can predict and manage the risk factors for preoperative pain, perioperative pain may be reduced, thus accelerating patients’ recovery.

Our study has some limitations. Firstly, the pain intensity was examined using a self-reported scale, and as the threshold of tolerance varied from person to person, the results might be affected. Secondly, this was not a prospective, randomized controlled trial. We expected that there would be a certain correlation between Clavien–Dindo surgical complications and pain score, while it was not found. This may be related to the small sample size that influenced our results. Further multi-center studies with large sample size and long-term follow-up are therefore required to obtain more accurate clinical data for in-depth analysis. Thirdly, the high incidence of postoperation pain was found within three days after surgery. Our clinical observations showed that PCNL-pain occurred more frequently in the first 24 h, so we only evaluated the VAS pain score within 24 h after surgery not within three days. Last but not least, the pathophysiology of postoperative pain after PCNL was not explored.

Conclusions
In summary, the diameter of the renal calculus, the number of renal calculi, the presence of residual calculi, and operation time were significant predictors of postoperative pain after PCNL. Early identification of the risk factors for moderate-to-severe postoperative pain after PCNL and formulation of reasonable preventive measures are essential to control the onset of pain and to improve patients’ quality of life.
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