Carboxylate-based molecular magnet: One path toward achieving stable quantum correlations at room temperature
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Abstract – The control of quantum correlations in solid-state systems by means of material engineering is a broad avenue to be explored, since it makes possible steps toward the limits of quantum mechanics and the design of novel materials with applications on emerging quantum technologies. In this context, this letter explores the potential of molecular magnets to be prototypes of materials for quantum information technology. More precisely, we engineered a material and from its geometric quantum discord we found significant quantum correlations up to 9540 K (even without entanglement); and, in addition, a pure singlet state occupied up to around 80 K (above liquid nitrogen temperature). These results could only be achieved due to the carboxylate group promoting a metal-to-metal huge magnetic interaction.

Quantum entanglement has received a considerable attention as a remarkable resource for quantum information processing [1–3]. In spite of that, it is fragile and can easily vanish due to the inevitable interaction of the system with its environment [4]; and due to this condition, it was thought that entanglement could only exist at low temperatures. However, recently, it has been shown that entanglement can also exist at higher temperatures, and can be detected through the measurement of some thermodynamic observables [5–17].

Nevertheless, quantum entanglement does not encompass all quantum correlations in a system and recent studies have greatly expanded the notion of quantum correlations [18–29]; and the measure of quantum excess of correlations has been named as quantum discord [19–21]. In the last years, it was understood that quantum discord has an important role in many quantum information processing even without entanglement. Notably, this quantity can also detect quantum phase transitions [25,30,31].

Despite much effort by the scientific community, there are only a few results on the analytical expression of quantum discord; and only for a certain class of states an exact solution is known [23–27,32,33]. This fact stimulated alternative measurements of quantum discord, theoretically and experimentally [22,24,29,34–36]. The recent demonstration that quantum discord can be measured by the thermodynamic properties of solids, such as magnetic susceptibility, internal energy [35–37], specific heat [35,36] and even neutron scattering data [22], shows that quantum correlations can be related to significant macroscopic effects allowing the measurement and the control of quantum correlations in solid-state systems by means of material engineering. Thus, the design of novel materials becomes an actual challenge to overcome.

In this direction, molecular magnets can be an excellent opportunity to achieve this goal as prototypes of materials for quantum information technology. They combine classical properties, found in any macroscopic magnet, with quantum ones, such as quantum interference and entanglement. The large gap between the ground state and the first-excited state found in some materials allows the existence of entangled quantum states at higher temperatures [6,11,13,17]. The study of molecular magnets opens thus the doors for new research toward the limits of...
quantum mechanics; and several aspects are worthy of a thorough research, such as (i) how robust are their quantum features against temperature and (ii) how large systems can support these properties. These results would lead to promising applications in quantum technologies, specially devices based on quantum correlations.

In this context, we show in this letter that carboxylate-based compounds can support quantum correlations thousands of kelvins above room temperature. We report the crystal structure and magnetic susceptibility of a carboxylate-based molecular magnet with formula [Cu$_2$(HCOO)$_4$(HCOOH)$_2$(piperazine)]; from which the entropic and geometric quantum discord, based on the Schatten 1-norm [38,39], are extensively explored. The analytical formulas for the quantum correlations are derived as a function of the temperature using the magnetic susceptibility of the compound and the analysis of the data suggests the existence of entanglement up to temperatures of 681 K, while the measure of quantum discord reveals that this system keeps at the singlet ground state up to around 80 K. In addition, quantum correlations would remain up to 9540 K, thousands of kelvins above room temperature, even without entanglement. Thus, we obtain very stable quantum correlations up to 513 K, the limit where this material can exist —hundreds of kelvins above room temperature$^1$. Due to the material topology, these results represent the highest temperatures, reported to date, wherein quantum correlations can be supported. As pointed out before, to obtain such strongly entangled states at high temperature, we need to maximize the gap between the ground state and the first-excited state. Thus, we have designed materials with such features to enhance their quantum properties, and then study the entropic and geometric quantum discord. The dinuclear copper (II) complex [Cu$_2$(μ-HCOO)$_4$(HCOOH)$_2$](piperazine) was the successful prototype synthesized, and its molecular structure is presented in fig. 1.

Single-crystal structure analysis revealed that the compound is composed of a dimeric cupric tetraformate unit, with a short Cu-Cu internuclear separation of 2.628(2) Å and one piperazine molecule. The dimer is formed by opposing square pyramidal CuO$_5$ with a very small distortion —see fig. 1(a). The base oxygen atoms on the adjoining pyramids are part of the four-connecting carboxylate groups in a syn-syn conformation leading to a strong magnetic interaction between the dimers ions. The apical oxygen of the pyramid is connected, via the HCOO$^-$ to the other carboxylate group. The dinuclear complex is stabilized by the intermolecular N-HO hydrogen bonding with N(10)···O(7) = 2.722(2) Å and N(10)···O(8) = 2.745(2) Å (ii = 1 + x, y, z) forming an infinite two-dimensional network.

From the magnetic point of view, the designed compound [Cu$_2$(μ-HCOO)$_4$(HCOOH)$_2$](piperazine) is of highest interest, since it shows a short interaction path between the metal centers. From their crystal structure, previously described, it is possible to extract the magnetic structure, as shown in fig. 1(b). In this structure, copper dimers, with an intramolecular separation of 2.628(2) Å, are separated each other by a distance of 6.776(1) Å. These dimers are arranged in linear chains along the $a$-axis, and these chains are separated from each other, along the $c$-axis, by a distance of 8.176(1) Å, forming thus sheets of copper dimers —see fig. 1(b). Magnetically speaking, due to the much higher intra- and inter-chain distances, in comparison to the Cu-Cu intra-dimer distance, we considered a model of isolated dimers. Considering the Cu(II) ions under consideration have a $d^9$ electronic configuration, this material is an ideal realization of a spin-(1/2) dimer. The present model has one exchange parameter: $J$, related to an intra-dimer interaction corresponding to the syn-syn conformation, as can be seen in fig. 1(a). Thus, the Hamiltonian expression of this system is simply written as

$$
\mathcal{H} = -J \vec{S}_1 \cdot \vec{S}_2 - g \mu_B \vec{B} \cdot (\vec{S}_1 + \vec{S}_2),
$$

where $g$ is the isotropic Lande factor.

The quantum properties of several materials have been deeply analyzed by means of thermodynamic quantities [5–17], and the magnetic susceptibility $\chi$ has been

---

$^1$This result was obtained by Thermogravimetric Analysis (TGA), not shown.
From the above, the quantum discord can now be written as a function of the magnetic susceptibility. Quantum discord can be defined in terms of the von Neumann entropy $S(\rho_{AB}) = -\text{Tr}[\rho_{AB} \log_2 \rho_{AB}]$, where $\rho_{AB}$ is the density matrix of a composite system. The total correlation $I(\rho_A : \rho_B) = S(\rho_A) + S(\rho_B) - S(\rho_{AB})$ is split into the quantum part $\mathcal{C}(\rho_{AB})$ [19,20,23–28,43], where the classical correlation of the composite system $\rho_{AB}$ is defined as

$$\mathcal{C}(\rho_{AB}) = \max \left[ S(\rho_A) - \sum_k p_k S(\rho_k) \right],$$

where the maximum is taken over all positive operator-valued measurements (POVMs) $\{B_k\}$ performed locally only on subsystem $B$, with the conditional state $\rho_k = (I_A \otimes B_k)\rho(I_A \otimes B_k)/p_k$ and the probability to obtain the outcome $k p_k = \text{Tr}[(I_A \otimes B_k)\rho(I_A \otimes B_k)]$.

Thus, the amount of genuinely quantum correlations, called quantum discord can be introduced as an entropic measure of quantum correlation in a quantum state, defined as the difference between the total and the classical correlation, $\mathcal{Q}(\rho_{AB}) = I(\rho_A : \rho_B) - \mathcal{C}(\rho_{AB})$. Thus, the entropic quantum discord depending on the magnetic susceptibility reads as

$$\mathcal{Q}_E(T) = \frac{1}{4} \left\{ [4 - 3\alpha T \chi(T)] \log_2 [1 - 3\alpha T \chi(T)] + 3\alpha T \chi(T) \log_2 [\alpha T \chi(T)] \right\}$$

$$- \frac{1}{2} \left\{ [1 + |\alpha T \chi(T) - 1|] \log_2 [1 + |\alpha T \chi(T) - 1|] + [1 - |\alpha T \chi(T) - 1|] \log_2 [1 - |\alpha T \chi(T) - 1|] \right\},$$

where $\alpha = 2k_B/N(g\mu_B)^2$.

On the other hand, the geometric quantum discord, based on Schatten 1-norm, is a well-defined measurement of the amount of quantum correlations of a state in terms of its minimal distance from the set $\omega$ of classical states [28,43]. Geometric quantum discord reads then as

$$\mathcal{Q}_G(\rho) = \min_\omega \| \rho - \rho_c \|,$$

where $\| X \| = \text{Tr} \sqrt{X^\dagger X}$ is the 1-norm, $\rho$ is a given quantum state and $\rho_c$ a closest classical-quantum state [28,43].

It is described in refs. [28,38,39] and [43] for a Bell diagonal state and can now be written as a function of the magnetic susceptibility as

$$\mathcal{Q}_G(T) = \frac{1}{2} \left| \frac{2k_B T}{N(g\mu_B)^2} \chi(T) - 1 \right|,$$

Furthermore, in order to make a comparison between the quantum discord and the amount of entanglement in the system under consideration, we adopt the measure of entanglement of formation, which is often used as a measurement of entanglement, defined by [44,45]

$$E = -E_+ - E_-,$$
as already discussed in refs. [26,35] and [36]. This phenomenon in which the entanglement is larger than entropic quantum discord and intercepts back this curve at 221 K. At these temperatures, the geometric quantum discord is larger than the entropic one and it can survive up to 9540 K, thousands of kelvins above room temperature and above the entropic quantum discord. These are the highest temperatures reported in the literature wherein quantum correlation can be supported in solid-state systems. This means that the quantum correlations in this material are very stable and survives up to the temperatures in which the material exists: 523 K (see footnote 1). It is important to emphasize that these results are only possible due to the engineered metal-carboxylate compound and its syn-syn conformation, that leads to a strong magnetic interaction \( J = -748.5 \text{ K} \). Therefore, carboxylate-based molecular magnets are one path toward achieving stable quantum correlations at room temperature.

In summary, our main result was to provide to the literature an engineered material with a high stability of its quantum correlations against external perturbations. We found that geometric quantum discord is significantly different from zero up to 9540 K, while the entropic one shows the existence of quantum correlations up to 2320 K; even when the entanglement is absent. Also remarkable is the realization of a pure state up to 83 K. This prototype material has been achieved only after a successful material engineering to ensure the highest exchange interaction between spin-(1/2) \( Cu \) ions into a dimeric structure. The core element to this realization is the carboxylate group, that yielded a very short (and direct) metal-to-metal exchange interaction to this realization is the carboxylate group, that yielded a very short (and direct) metal-to-metal exchange interaction, that leads to a strong magnetic interaction between spin-(1/2) \( Cu \) ions into a dimeric structure. The core element to this realization is the carboxylate group, that yielded a very short (and direct) metal-to-metal exchange interaction, that leads to a strong magnetic interaction between spin-(1/2) \( Cu \) ions into a dimeric structure. The core element to this realization is the carboxylate group, that yielded a very short (and direct) metal-to-metal exchange interaction, that leads to a strong magnetic interaction between spin-(1/2) \( Cu \) ions into a dimeric structure. The core element to this realization is the carboxylate group, that yielded a very short (and direct) metal-to-metal exchange interaction, that leads to a strong magnetic interaction between spin-(1/2) \( Cu \) ions into a dimeric structure.

From the entanglement of formation we can verify that these copper dimers are entangled up to temperatures of \( T_e = 681 \text{ K} \) when the entanglement has a sudden death. However, from the entropic quantum discord we can verify that this material can support correlated quantum states up to temperatures as high as 2320 K. However, above 500 K the geometric quantum discord is larger than the entropic one and it can survive up to 9540 K, thousands of kelvins above room temperature and above the entropic quantum discord. These are the highest temperatures reported in the literature wherein quantum correlation can be supported in solid-state systems. This means that the quantum correlations in this material are very stable and survives up to the temperatures in which the material exists: 523 K (see footnote 1). It is important to emphasize that these results are only possible due to the engineered metal-carboxylate compound and its syn-syn conformation, that leads to a strong magnetic interaction \( J = -748.5 \text{ K} \). Therefore, carboxylate-based molecular magnets are one path toward achieving stable quantum correlations at room temperature.

In summary, our main result was to provide to the literature an engineered material with a high stability of its quantum correlations against external perturbations. We found that geometric quantum discord is significantly different from zero up to 9540 K, while the entropic one shows the existence of quantum correlations up to 2320 K; even when the entanglement is absent. Also remarkable is the realization of a pure state up to 83 K. This prototype material has been achieved only after a successful material engineering to ensure the highest exchange interaction between spin-(1/2) \( Cu \) ions into a dimeric structure. The core element to this realization is the carboxylate group, that yielded a very short (and direct) metal-to-metal exchange interaction, that leads to a strong magnetic interaction between spin-(1/2) \( Cu \) ions into a dimeric structure. The core element to this realization is the carboxylate group, that yielded a very short (and direct) metal-to-metal exchange interaction, that leads to a strong magnetic interaction between spin-(1/2) \( Cu \) ions into a dimeric structure.
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