Superficial Thrombophlebitis of the Breast (Mondor’s Disease): An Uncommon Localization of Common Disease
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ABSTRACT: Mondor’s disease (MD), or superficial thrombophlebitis of the anterolateral thoracoabdominal wall, is a rare disease that presents with a palpable cord-like induration beneath the skin. It is a benign, self-limiting condition with probably underestimated significance due to the fact it may be a rare manifestation of an underlying breast carcinoma. It can also resemble breast malignancy and, if physician is not familiar with clinical features of MD, it may lead to unnecessary biopsy. The diagnosis is straightforward in most cases and it may be based on a thorough history and physical examination and it can be ultrasonographically confirmed. Raising awareness of this condition may facilitate recognition and diagnosing MD and eventually limit unnecessary diagnostic procedures.
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Introduction
Mondor’s disease (MD) is a rare entity characterized by sclerosing thrombophlebitis of the superficial veins of thoracoabdominal wall.1 The disease is approximately 3 times more prevalent in women than in men and it mostly presents in middle aged patients.1,2 The etiology of MD remains largely unknown—most commonly it is idiopathic.1 It is characterized with sudden onset of soreness and subcutaneous cord-like lesion, which is red and tender on presentation, but subsequently turns into a nonpainful fibrous band that disappears after recanalization of the affected vein.1,3 MD is a benign, self-limiting condition which usually spontaneously resolves over 2 to 8 weeks and leaves no permanent sequela.1,2

Case Report
A previously healthy 37-year-old female presented with pain and skin retraction of the right breast of 10-days duration. Physical examination revealed a palpable subcutaneous cord-like fibrous lesion and linear retraction of the breast skin, which extended from the upper lateral quadrant to the lower lateral quadrant, next to the areola of the right breast (Figure 1). The rest of the clinical examination was unremarkable and all vital signs were within normal range.

Ultrasonography of the right breast showed a long, superficial, beaded, tubular, anechoic structure. It measured 7mm in its widest diameter. There was no blood flow on Doppler imaging, except in surrounding fibroglandular tissue in the proximal part of the lesion (Figure 2).

The patient was diagnosed with superficial thrombophlebitis of the lateral thoracic vein—Mondor’s disease.

The cord-like lesion and tenderness completely resolved in 4 weeks after conservative treatment with NSAIDs (ibuprofen).

Discussion
Superficial thrombophlebitis is a common, benign, self-limited disease representing an inflammatory process of superficial veins associated with thrombus formation. Although this term is most commonly related to leg veins, this condition can also affect the superficial veins of the other parts of the body.4

Mondor’s disease (MD) is a rare entity characterized by sclerosing thrombophlebitis of the thoracoepigastric, lateral thoracic, or the superior epigastric vein.1 In our patient, the lateral thoracic vein was involved.

Etiology of MD is still unclear and most of the cases are considered idiopathic.1,2 Identified predisposing factors include recent surgical resection or breast augmentation, breast biopsy, trauma, vigorous physical activity (extensive stretching and relaxing of the vein), hormone therapy, infection (abscess or mastitis), large pendulous breasts and tight clothing (pressure on the vein with consequential stagnation of blood).1,5-10 Based on the history and physical examination, no risk factor was identified in our patient.

MD is characterized by sudden appearance of a linear, cord-like, partially or completely occluded, thrombosed vein which is sore and erythematous at first. The lesion subsequently, while the thrombus organizes and recanalizes, turns into a painless, fibrous band which may persist up to several weeks.11 Elevation of the breast or abduction of the ipsilateral arm may accentuate the cord, which may also present as retracted breast skin.6,12 In cases of breast MD, it is imperative for clinicians to correctly...
differentiate this condition from a breast cancer, which may be challenging—due to palpable finding and skin retraction, MD can resemble breast cancer on clinical exam, but, according to a recent systematic review by Amano and Shimizu, 5% of MD cases are actually associated with underlying occult breast cancer.

Ultrasonography is considered the first line in the imaging evaluation of MD. On ultrasound examination, our patient had typical presentation with subcutaneous anechogenic, uncompressible tubular structure with multiple areas of narrowing, giving a beaded appearance. Presence of blood flow signals depends on the status of thrombosis or recanalization and may be useful in follow-up.

There is no need for additional diagnostic evaluation if physician is familiar with MD and if the clinical features are typical. If there is doubt, mammography should be performed to exclude eventual presence of underlying malignancy. Some authors suggest that mammography should be performed in all patients with unidentified underlying cause of MD, but the others underline that it may be inconclusive, or it may show a tubular density, which may be mistaken for a dilated duct and lead to unnecessary biopsy.

MD on the chest wall is considered as original MD, but similar conditions recognized as variants of MD may rarely arise on other sites, such as penis (penile Mondor’s disease—PMD) and axilla (axillary web syndrome—AWS). There is uncertainty in the literature whether it is correct to define AWS as a form of MD. AWS usually appears in the early post-operative period following axillary lymph node dissection or sentinel lymph node biopsy and clinical presentation is similar to MD. However, ultrasonographic examination doesn’t show any reproducibly identifiable structure and the diagnosis is based on clinical finding of subcutaneous cording. Also, there are different views on the pathophysiology of AWS—some authors suggest that it affects lymphatic or venous system or both and others believe that AWS cords represent abnormal fascial tissue. Physical therapy and exercising can reduce pain and improve range of motion.

Differential diagnoses of Mondor’s disease include inflammatory breast cancer, mastitis, abscess and dilated duct (Table 1). MD is generally considered self-limited and benign, but there is a potential link with serious underlying diseases—malignancy (breast cancer, vascular neoplasm, cutaneous metastasis of any type of cancer), hypercoagulable state or systemic vasculitis, so these patients should be carefully examined and followed-up.

Today, the pandemic of COVID-19 is challenging healthcare systems all around the world. Available evidence suggest the presence of hypercoagulable state in COVID-19 patients and thrombophlebitis could be clinical manifestation due to tight interconnection between inflammation and hemostasis abnormalities. Clinicians should also be aware of rare forms of venous thrombosis such as Mondor’s disease.

In most cases, a proper explanation of the condition, strong reassurance and symptomatic treatment with anti-inflammatory drugs and warm compresses are helpful and lesion resolves completely within 2-8 weeks.

Cases with cord-like lesions on the chest wall were initially reported in the early 1850s, but this condition was acknowledged by and named after Henri Mondor, a French surgeon who reported a series of four cases and thoroughly described them in 1939. However, there is possible evidence that it was noticed much earlier by one of the Old Masters, Peter Paul Rubens, in his famous painting “Samson and Delilah” (1609-1610) (Figure 3A). If we look closer, we can see an interesting detail on Delilah’s right breast—in lower lateral quadrant, there is an oblique indentation that extends towards the nipple (Figure 3B). Did Rubens’ Delilah have Mondor’s disease? This medico-artistic diagnosis is controversial, but in accordance with Rubens’s pursuit of realism, it is likely correct.

Story of Mondor’s disease is almost like a never-ending story—from Peter Paul Rubens to COVID-19 era. However,
Table 1. Mondor’s disease and differential diagnoses.

|                            | SYMPTOMS                                      | SKIN CHANGES                              | PALPATORY FINDING                              | US FINDING                           |
|---------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| MD                        | Mild, aching pain may be present initially;   | Often erythematous; may be raised or       | Linear, cord-like lesion adherent to the skin. | Tubular anechoogenic, beaded          |
|                           | soreness.                                     | retracted.                                 |                                               | structure; thrombus distending the    |
|                           |                                               |                                            |                                               | vein may be visible.                 |
| Inflammatory breast       | Nonpainful in spite of the alarming           | Possible skin retraction; Peau d’orange,   | There may or may not be an underlying        | Hypoechoic shadowing mass; skin       |
| cancer                    | appearance on examination; progressive        | erythema, crusting, blistering, or retraction| palpable mass, which may become adherent to  | thickening; pectoral muscle           |
|                           | worsening.                                    | of the nipple.                             | the skin; fixed palpable ipsilateral axillary| involvement.                         |
| Mastitis                  | Exquisite tenderness; fever.                  | Erythematous skin; mild skin thickening.  | Warm; indurated breast; nipple retraction    | Ill-defined area with hyperechoic,    |
|                           |                                               |                                            | may be present; nodal enlargement is         | infiltrated and inflamed fat lobules,|
|                           |                                               |                                            | common.                                      | hypoechoic areas in the glandular     |
| Abscess                   | Exquisite tenderness; fever.                  | Erythematous skin.                         | Warm; rounded lesion; indurated breast;      | inflammatory axillary lymph nodes.    |
|                           |                                               |                                            | palpable fluctuance; nodal enlargement is    |                                      |
|                           |                                               |                                            | common.                                      |                                      |
| Dilated duct              | Often asymptomatic; pain or tenderness may    | Often asymptomatic; nipple retraction may  | Often asymptomatic; sometimes may present   | Distended (>2mm) anechoogenic         |
|                           | be present                                    | be present; nipple discharge may be present.| as a palpable mass.                          | branching or tubular structures.      |
|                           |                                               |                                            |                                               |                                      |

Figure 3. Rubens’s “Samson and Delilah” (A), and detail of Delilah (B), The National Gallery, London.

until this day, only approximately 500 cases have been described in the literature (Laroche, Galanaud and Labau, 2012). There is a possibility that it is more common than reported since the lesion is often nonpainful and self-resolving, so some patients do not seek medical attention and due to lack of needed awareness of physicians to recognize it. The correct diagnosis is very important for avoiding unnecessary invasive diagnostic procedures and providing optimal management and it can be straightforward if physicians are familiar with the condition.
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