Restoration of Endothelial Function in Pparα−/− Mice by Tempol
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Peroxisome proliferator activated receptor alpha (PPARα) is one of the PPAR isoforms belonging to the nuclear hormone receptor superfamily that regulates genes involved in lipid and lipoprotein metabolism. PPARα is present in the vascular wall and is thought to be involved in protection against vascular disease. To determine if PPARα contributes to endothelial function, conduit and cerebral resistance arteries were studied in Pparα−/− mice using isometric and isobaric tension myography, respectively. Aortic contractions to PGF2α and constriction of middle cerebral arteries to phenylephrine were not different between wild type (WT) and Pparα−/−; however, relaxation/dilation to acetylcholine (ACh) was impaired. There was no difference in relaxation between WT and Pparα−/− aorta to treatment with an nitric oxide (NO) surrogate indicating impairment in endothelial function. Endothelial NO levels as well as NO synthase expression were reduced in Pparα−/− aortas, while superoxide levels were elevated. Two-week feeding with the reactive oxygen species (ROS) scavenger, tempol, normalized ROS levels and rescued the impaired endothelium-mediated relaxation in Pparα−/− mice. These results suggest that Pparα−/− mice have impaired endothelial function caused by decreased NO bioavailability. Therefore, activation of PPARα receptors may be a therapeutic target for maintaining endothelial function and protection against cardiovascular disease.

1. Introduction

Peroxisome proliferator activated receptor alpha (PPARα) is one of the PPAR isoforms belonging to the nuclear hormone receptor superfamily. PPARα binds to specific DNA sequences termed PPAR response elements (PPRE) after coupling with retinoid X receptor (RXR) and functions primarily to alter gene expression. PPARα is mainly expressed in heart, liver, kidney, and muscle where it regulates genes involved in lipid and lipoprotein metabolism. PPARα is also present in endothelial and smooth muscle cells of the vascular wall and is thought to be involved in protection against vascular disease [1, 2].

The endothelium serves as an important regulator of vascular smooth muscle relaxation via release of vasorelaxing factors such as nitric oxide (NO). Endothelial NO production is principally controlled by endothelial NO synthase (eNOS) and the bioavailability of NO can be altered by the presence of reactive oxygen species (ROS) which can react with NO to form peroxynitrite [3]. There have been no direct studies of vascular function in Pparα−/− mice; however, treatment with PPARα agonists improved aortic function in mice [4] and restored eNOS activity in hypertensive rats [5]. These findings suggest that PPARα has a protective role in the cardiovascular system via modulation of vascular function, but the mechanism of action remains unknown.

In this study we investigated the endothelial function of Pparα−/− mice using isobaric and isometric tension myography of the middle cerebral artery (MCA) and the aorta, respectively. Superoxide levels were measured in aortas using lucigenin-enhanced chemiluminescence. Aortic NO was measured by DAF-FM fluorescence and eNOS expression was determined by Western blot. In an attempt to restore endothelial function, mice were fed drinking water supplemented with tempol, a superoxide dismutase mimetic.
2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Animals and Reagents. Male C57BL/6J (WT) and PPARα deficient (Pparα−/−) mice (aged 12–16 weeks) were purchased from The Jackson Laboratory (Bar Harbor, ME). Mice were euthanized by CO₂ inhalation and decapitated prior to tissue harvesting. Deletion of the Ppara gene was confirmed by using primer sequences available from The Jackson Laboratory database using standard PCR conditions. For endothelial function restoration studies, WT and Pparα−/− mice were fed regular drinking water and tempol supplemented water at a dose of 1 mM for 2 weeks. All reagents were sourced from Sigma (St. Louis, MO) unless otherwise noted. The Animal Care and Use Committee at the University of Missouri–Kansas City approved all protocols.

2.2. Isometric Tension Myography: Aorta. The thoracic aortas from Pparα−/− mice and age-matched WT mice were rapidly excised and placed in ice-cold Hank’s buffered saline solution (HBSS, Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA) where blood, fat, and excess connective tissues were carefully removed. Segments 3–4 mm in length were mounted on pins in chambers of DMT 610 M wire myograph system (Danish Myo Technology A/S, Aarhus N, Denmark) containing Krebs buffer saturated at 37°C with a gas mixture containing 20% O₂/5% CO₂/75% N₂ (Airgas Mid-South Inc., Tulsa, OK). Arterial rings were progressively stretched to 0.75 g equivalent force passive tension in 0.1 g steps and allowed to equilibrate for 45 minutes described previously [6]. Aortic rings were exposed to isotonic KCl (40 and 80 mM) to assess the quality of the preparation. A concentration response curve to prostaglandin F₂α (PGF₂α) (10⁻⁹–10⁻⁴ M) was determined. To assess the endothelial function, vessels were precontracted with 10⁻⁵ M PGF₂α and concentration response curve to acetylcholine (Ach) (10⁻⁹–10⁻⁴ M) was performed. Similarly, a concentration response curve to sodium nitroprusside (SNP) (10⁻⁹–10⁻⁴ M) was carried out after preconstriction with 10⁻⁵ M PGF₂α to assess smooth muscle function. Contraction response curves to serotonin (5-HT) (10⁻⁹–10⁻⁵ M) were also performed. Vessels were rinsed once with fresh Krebs every 15 min and several times after concentration response curves. Relaxation to Ach was measured in PGF₂α preconstricted aortas following endothelial denudation, which was performed by gently rubbing the vessel lumen with forceps. Force changes were recorded using an ADInstruments (Colorado Springs, CO) PowerLab 4/30 and associated LabChart Pro software (v6.1) running on a standard Windows XP computer platform.

2.3. Isobaric Vessel Studies: MCA. Brains were quickly removed and placed in ice-cold Hank’s buffered saline solution (HBSS, Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA). MCAs were studied in a pressurized artery myograph (DMT-USA, Ann Arbor, MI) as previously described [6–9]. Briefly, MCAs were carefully dissected away from the brain, cleared of blood and pia mater, mounted on glass micropipettes, and pressurized to 70 mmHg with Krebs buffer (in mM: 119 NaCl, 4.7 KCl, 0.24 NaHCO₃, 1.18 KH₂PO₄, 1.19 MgSO₄, 5.5 glucose, and 1.6 CaCl₂). Elevated external K⁺ buffers were made isotonic by replacement of NaCl with KCl on an equimolar basis. MCAs were exposed to isotonic KCl (40 and 80 mM) to assess the quality of the preparation. A concentration response curve to phenylephrine (PE) (10⁻⁹–10⁻⁴ M) was determined to assess constriction. To evaluate endothelial function, vessels were preconstricted with 10⁻⁵ M PE, and a concentration response curve to ACh and Bradykinin (10⁻⁹–10⁻⁴ M) was performed.

2.4. DAF-FM Staining. NO levels in aortic rings were assessed and imaged using the membrane-permeable dye 4-amino-5-methylamino-2,7'-difluorofluorescein diacetate (DAF-FM; Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA). WT and Pparα−/− aortic rings (~3 mm in length) were cleaned of fat and connective tissue and equilibrated for 30 min in HBSS at room temperature. DAF-FM (10 μM) was then added to the buffer for 30 min in the dark. The aortic rings were washed two times with fresh HBSS buffer and immediately snap-frozen with OCT embedding compound in isopentane prechilled with liquid nitrogen. Frozen rings were then cut into 10 μm sections and imaged by epifluorescence microscopy using an Olympus IX71 (Center Valley, PA) inverted microscope fitted with Hamamatsu ORCA-R2 CCD camera (Bridgewater, NJ), Sutter LB-XL light source (Novato, CA), and Semrock filters (Rochester, NY) with optimized excitation and emission wavelengths (DAF-FM, 495/519 nm). All images were captured under constant exposure time and gain. The fluorescence intensity of the endothelial cell layer was quantified using Slidebook (Intelligent Imaging Innovations Inc., Denver, CO). The endothelial regions from each ring were selected randomly and quantified via mean fluorescence intensity.

2.5. Western Blotting. Briefly, flash-frozen aortic segments were homogenized by a glass homogenizer in protein extraction buffer [1% SDS, 10 mM EDTA, and complete mini protease inhibitor cocktail (Roche Diagnostics, Basel, Switzerland)]. Samples were heated to 85°C for 15 min and centrifuged at 15,000 × g for 15 min at 4°C. Protein concentration of the supernatants was determined by use of the DC Protein Assay (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Hercules, CA). Samples were diluted with 6X Laemmli buffer (30% glycerol, 50 mM EDTA, 0.25% bromphenol blue, and 10% β-mercaptoethanol) and heated to 85°C before loading. The protein samples (40 μg) were run on a 7.5% sodium dodecyl sulphate-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE) and the separated proteins were transferred to polyvinyl difluoride membranes. Nonspecific-binding sites were blocked with 5% BSA. The membranes were then incubated with the monoclonal antibody to eNOS (1:1000) (BD Transduction Laboratories). Afterwards, membranes were incubated with anti-rabbit or anti-mouse immunoglobulin (IgG) peroxidase-conjugated secondary antibodies (1:2500). Bound antibodies were detected by ECL Western blotting detection kit (Amersham Life Sciences, Arlington Heights, IL, USA). To ensure equal protein loading, membranes were stripped and reprobed with anti-α-actin antibody (1:5000; Abcam).
2.6. Lucigenin-Enhanced Chemiluminescence. Aortic rings (2 mm in length) from water and tempol supplemented WT and Ppara<sup>−/−</sup> mice were preincubated for 45 min at 37°C in HBSS. Aortic rings were then transferred into the wells of a 96-well plate, each of which contained 200 μL of HBSS-based assay solution consisting of 5 μM lucigenin. The light reaction between superoxide and lucigenin was detected in a microplate luminometer (GloMax, Promega, USA) and tissue-dependent photon emission per second per well was monitored over a 20 min period as described earlier [10]. At the completion of the assay, aortic rings were dried in a 60°C oven for 24 h, enabling superoxide production to be normalized to dry tissue weight.

2.7. Statistics. Data were plotted and expressed as means ± SEM and n-values are detailed in the legends of the figures. In myograph experiments, changes in isometric tension are expressed as % relaxation. Changes in the diameter of pressurized MCAs were calculated as % dilation as previously described [11]. Two-factor ANOVA was used to determine differences between concentration response curves. A Bonferroni post hoc test was used for multiple comparisons between concentration response curves. A one-factor ANOVA and Tukey’s multiple comparison post hoc tests were used for analyzing Western blot data. A t-test was used to compare values from the superoxide assay as well as DAF-FM analysis. Data were plotted and statistics computed with Graphpad Prism (v5.01, San Diego, CA). Significance was accepted at p < 0.05.

3. Results

3.1. Ppara<sup>−/−</sup> Mice Aortas: Vascular Function. To investigate the role of PPARα in the vasculature, we studied aortic function in Ppara<sup>−/−</sup> mice as compared to age-matched WT control mice. We first examined the contractile response to PGF<sub>2α</sub> (10 nM to 100 μM) and there was no difference in aortic ring contraction between Ppara<sup>−/−</sup> and WT mice (p > 0.05; Figure 1). Contraction to serotonin (5-HT) was higher in Ppara<sup>−/−</sup> aortic rings compared to WT (p < 0.05; Figure 1). While PGF<sub>2α</sub> elicits contraction alone, 5-HT can release NO from the endothelium and induce smooth muscle
contraction. Thus, the increase in contraction indicated that there was endothelial dysfunction in Ppara<sup>−/−</sup> mice. As confirmation of this, endothelium-dependent relaxation to ACh was impaired by 29% in Ppara<sup>−/−</sup> aortic rings (p < 0.05; Figure 1). The NO surrogate, SNP, was used to determine if the impaired relaxation was due to endothelial or smooth muscle dysfunction. The relaxation response to SNP was similar in both groups of mice (p > 0.05; Figure 1) indicating that Ppara<sup>−/−</sup> aortas have impaired endothelial-dependent relaxations. To confirm that relaxations to ACh were endothelium-dependent in Ppara<sup>−/−</sup> aortas, ACh responses were assessed in arteries denuded of endothelium. As expected, in endothelium-denuded Ppara<sup>−/−</sup> aortas ACh did not induce relaxations indicating that as in WT mice ACh stimulates the endothelium to induce smooth muscle relaxation. Together with the SNP responses these data indicate that the impairment was in endothelial function.

3.2. Ppara<sup>−/−</sup> Mice MCA: Vascular Function. In addition to the aorta, we also examined cerebral resistance artery function using the MCA. Compared to WT mice, the constriction responses to PE were unaltered in Ppara<sup>−/−</sup> mice (p > 0.05; Figure 2), but dilatory responses to ACh and bradykinin were significantly reduced (p < 0.05; Figure 2). In the MCA, both ACh and bradykinin can induce dilation by multiple mechanisms including stimulation of eNOS and NO production, PGI2, epoxyeicosatrienoic acid, and release of endothelium-derived hyperpolarizing factor (EDHF). Thus, while reduced NO bioavailability is likely responsible for the impaired dilation observed in Ppara<sup>−/−</sup> mouse MCAs, it remains possible that other dilatory pathways were affected as well, although EDHF is highly resistant to oxidative stress. In total, these data indicate that endothelial function is impaired in both conduit and cerebral resistance arteries in Ppara<sup>−/−</sup> mice.

3.3. NO Levels in Endothelium. We imaged and estimated NO levels from the endothelial layer of aortic sections from WT and Ppara<sup>−/−</sup> mice using DAF-FM fluorescence. We found that the basal level of NO was reduced in aortas of Ppara<sup>−/−</sup> versus WT mice (p < 0.05; Figure 3). The reduction in NO levels is consistent with the findings that stimulated release of NO from endothelium was also impaired in aortas and MCAs.
of \( \text{Ppar}^{-/-} \) mice. Together these data affirm that \( \text{Ppar}^{-/-} \) mouse arteries exhibit reduced NO bioavailability leading to impaired vascular function.

### 3.4. Expression of eNOS

Since PPARs regulate gene expression and eNOS produce the endothelial relaxing factor, NO, we compared the expression of total eNOS protein extracted from aortas of \( \text{Ppar}^{-/-} \) and WT mice. After normalization to \( \alpha \)-actin, eNOS protein was 28% lower in aortas of \( \text{Ppar}^{-/-} \) compared to WT mice \((p < 0.05; \text{Figure } 4)\). Thus, Western blot data suggest that impaired endothelial function observed in \( \text{Ppar}^{-/-} \) mice is due, in part, to reduced expression of eNOS and impaired NO bioavailability.

### 3.5. Tempol Treatment, Superoxide Levels, and Vascular Function

To determine if the impaired endothelial function and decreased NO bioavailability were due to scavenging by reactive oxygen species (ROS), we examined superoxide levels in aortas of \( \text{Ppar}^{-/-} \) and WT mice fed either tempol or water for two weeks. Lucigenin-enhanced chemiluminescence revealed that superoxide levels were twofold greater in \( \text{Ppar}^{-/-} \) than WT mice \((p < 0.05; \text{Figure } 5)\). Tempol treatment normalized ROS levels in the aortas of \( \text{Ppar}^{-/-} \) mice \((p < 0.05; \text{Figure } 5)\). The decrease in superoxide levels as measured by lucigenin after tempol treatment verified that tempol was effectively working as SOD mimetic. To determine the role of superoxide in impairing endothelium-dependent relaxation, aortic responses to ACh were measured from both the water and tempol treated WT and \( \text{Ppar}^{-/-} \) mice. Tempol supplementation improved the ACh response in \( \text{Ppar}^{-/-} \) mouse aortas restoring it to WT levels \((p < 0.05; \text{Figure } 6)\). Similar to our previous findings, there was no difference to SNP mediated relaxations \((p > 0.05; \text{Figure } 6)\). Since function was rescued by treatment with a ROS scavenger, these results suggest that the impaired
vascular endothelial cell-specific vasodilation as well as systolic hypertension [12, 13]. Sim-

Ppar of superoxide in after two weeks of treatment with water and tempol. Elevated levels of superoxide in Ppar α−/− mice were reduced to the level of WT mice after tempol treatment. * denotes statistical significance from water treated WT mice (p < 0.05; n = 3 animals). Data are means ± SEM.

endothelial function and reduced NO in aortas of Pparα−/− mice were due to elevated ROS levels. While tempol can scavenge other ROS besides superoxide, superoxide directly reacts with NO to form peroxynitrite thereby reducing NO bioavailability and therefore is the most likely ROS involved in the dysfunction, although other species cannot be ruled out to also play a role in the endothelial impairment.

4. Discussion

PPARs are critical for the metabolism of lipids and lipopro-

teins. These receptors are also known to play a critical role in the regulation of normal vascular function, but the mechanisms are largely unknown. Mice with endothelial cell-specific dominant negative mutations of Ppar demonstrated endothelial dysfunction in response to high fat diet while mice with smooth muscle cell-specific dominant negative mutations in Ppar have shown compromised NO-mediated vasodilatation as well as systolic hypertension [12, 13]. Similarly, vascular endothelial cell-specific Pparδ−/− mice also displayed significantly impaired endothelium-dependent and endothelium-independent relaxations in aorta and carotid arteries [14]. However, the effects of Ppar α−/− deficiency on mouse vascular bed are unknown.

This is the first study to directly examine vascular function in Pparα−/− mice. Specifically, we explored the role of PPARα in vascular smooth muscle and endothelial function as well as the relationship to ROS in the vasculature. We found that vascular smooth muscle contractile function was normal in Pparα−/− mice whereas endothelial function was impaired in both conduit and cerebral resistance arteries. Expression of eNOS was reduced in conduit arteries of Pparα−/− mice, superoxide levels were elevated, and NO levels were decreased. Furthermore, the ROS scavenger, tempol, normalized superoxide levels and restored endothelium-dependent relaxation in aortas indicating that decreased NO bioavailability caused by ROS resulted in the endothelial dysfunction.

Impairment of endothelial function can occur due to many reasons; however, a prominent cause is increased ROS, particularly superoxide, reducing the bioavailability of NO released by the endothelium. This mechanism is particularly important in the endothelial impairment brought on by diseases such as diabetes or atherosclerosis [15–18]. It is possible that activation of PPARα is necessary to maintain a steady state level of ROS which explains why superoxide was elevated and NO reduced in the Pparα−/− aorta. Treatment with the PPARα agonist, fenofibrate, has been shown to improve endothelial-mediated aortic relaxation in mice [4]. The impairment of NO bioavailability we observed in Pparα−/− arteries supports these findings and may help to provide a mechanism for the improvement that was observed in this previous study. Similar to our findings, treatment with a superoxide scavenger restored endothelial function in the basilar artery of endothelial cell-specific Pparα−/− mice fed a high fat diet [12]. In addition, endothelial dysfunction in aortas of endothelial cell-specific Pparδ−/− mice was also attributed to elevated ROS levels [14]. Thus, there is mounting evidence that all three PPAR receptors are important for vascular protection. Elucidating particular roles for each receptor in individual vascular beds and in various disease settings is worthy of future study.

The endothelial dysfunction that we observed in Pparα−/− mice could also be due, in part, to the decrease in eNOS expression. It is possible that PPARα plays a direct role in altering eNOS levels since agonists of PPARα increased eNOS expression in bovine aortic endothelial cells [19]. Alternatively, the increases in superoxide that we observed may be responsible for reduced eNOS expression or activity. For example, treatment with activators of PPARα improved eNOS activity by decreasing ROS in a hypertensive rat model [5]. In aged mice with reduced endothelial function, treatment with tempol restored endothelium-dependent dilation by increasing eNOS and thus NO bioavailability [20]. Similarly, in mesenteric arteries of aging rats, increased peroxynitrite levels were responsible for decreased endothelium-dependent relaxations which were normalized after tempol treatment [21]. It is also possible that through substrate deletion the inducible form of nitric oxide synthase (iNOS) could also have contributed to the endothelial dysfunction that we observed. Nevertheless, we did not observe any impairment in agonist-induced smooth muscle contraction, which occurs when iNOS is active [22–24]. Thus, while it is possible that iNOS is activated in arteries of Pparα−/− mice it did not appear to contribute to the impaired endothelial function we observed.

The effects we observed in Pparα−/− mice have potentially significant consequences for cardiovascular disease. In this study, we found that endothelial function was selectively impaired in both conduit and cerebral resistance arteries of Pparα−/−. Impaired relaxation of a conduit artery such as
the aorta may lead to increases in afterload on the left ventricle and ultimately to cardiac hypertrophy and remodeling. For example, aortic constriction in $Ppar\alpha^{-/-}$ mice resulted in increased cardiac hypertrophy compared to WT mice [25] and $Ppar\alpha^{-/-}$ mice on a high salt diet had an increased heart weight/body weight ratio compared to WT mice [26] potentially demonstrating a greater afterload effect in $Ppar\alpha^{-/-}$.

General impairment of resistance arteries may also lead to increases in systemic blood pressure as well as decreases in blood flow to specific organs. For example, angiotensin-infused $Ppar\alpha^{-/-}$ mice displayed elevated mean arterial pressure compared to WT, and activation of PPARα attenuated Ang II-induced hypertension in WT mice [27]. Interestingly, Obih and colleagues did not observe a basal increase in mean arterial blood pressure in $Ppar\alpha^{-/-}$ mice but did see a significantly greater increase in blood pressure to a high salt diet challenge than WT as well as distinct effects on renal tubules and kidney function [26]. Since blood pressure is a complex integration of multiple systems in the body, it is possible that different organs work to compensate to keep blood pressure regulated at basal conditions; however, blood pressure dysregulation due to impairment in $Ppar\alpha^{-/-}$ (or cardioprotection by PPARα agonists) may be most prominent only during stressors such as that observed with Ang II or high salt.

PPARα may play a particularly important role in the setting of diabetes. Low expression of PPARα has been shown to be involved in microvascular complications of diabetic mice [15]. In diabetic rat aortas, treatment with PPARα activators was able to restore the endothelium-dependent relaxations [28], and activation of PPARα in type 2 diabetic patients displayed improved flow-mediated endothelium-dependent vasodilation as well as attenuation of oxidative stress [29–32]. Interestingly, Tordjman et al. demonstrated that PPAR deficiency in apoE-null mice fed a high fat diet resulted in higher atherogenic lipoproteins but lower fasting levels of glucose, reduced insulin resistance, and fewer atherosclerotic lesions. There was no statistical difference in systolic blood pressure at baseline, but there was a reduced blood pressure increase in response to the high fat diet in the Pparα/apoE deficient animals [33]. The authors suggested that reduced fatty acid oxidation in blood vessel walls of Pparα deficient mice could ultimately promote reduced superoxide production and thus decrease atherosclerosis and blood pressure. Nevertheless, we found that superoxide levels were increased in Pparα−/− vessels, which may indicate that other mechanisms are at play under these specific conditions. These results highlight the complicated relationship between fat metabolism and transport, glucose metabolism, endothelial function, kidney and liver responses, and blood pressure regulation, which requires additional research to tease out the role of PPARα in the regulation of blood flow and blood pressure under different stressors.

5. Conclusions

Our findings highlight the significant role PPARα may play in cardiovascular disease via suppression of ROS and maintenance of endothelial function. PPARα could be an important therapeutic target for maintenance of the health of the endothelium, especially with age, increased inflammation, or diseases that alter endothelial function such as diabetes.
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