Degradation properties and metabolic activity of alginate and chitosan polyelectrolytes for drug delivery and tissue engineering applications

Vincenzo Guarino *, Tania Caputo, Rosaria Altobelli, and Luigi Ambrosio

Institute of Polymers, Composites and Biomaterials, National Research Council of Italy, Mostra d’Oltremare, Pad.20, V.le J.F. Kennedy 54, Naples, Italy

* Correspondence: E-mail: vguarino@unina.it or vincenzo.guarino@cnr.it.

Abstract: Polysaccharides are long monosaccharide units which are emerging as promising materials for tissue engineering and drug delivery applications due to their biocompatibility, mostly good availability and tailorable properties, by to the wide possibility to modify chemical composition, structure—i.e., linear chain or branching—and polymer source (animals, plants, microorganisms). For their peculiar behaviour as polyelectrolytes, polysaccharides have been applied in various forms, such as injectable hydrogels or porous and fibrous scaffolds—alone or in combination with other natural or synthetic polymers—to design bioinspired platforms for the regeneration of different tissues (i.e., blood vessels, myocardium, heart valves, bone, articular and tracheal cartilage, intervertebral discs, menisci, skin, liver, skeletal muscle, neural tissue, urinary bladder) as well as for encapsulation and controlled delivery of drugs for pharmaceutical devices. In this paper, we focus on the pH sensitive response and degradation behaviour of negative (i.e., alginate) and positive (i.e., chitosan) charged polysaccharides in order to discuss the differences in terms of metabolic activity of polyelectrolytes with different ionic strength for their use in drug delivery and tissue engineering area.

Keywords: polyelectrolytes; polysaccharides; tissue engineering; drug delivery

1. Introducing Polysaccharides as Polyelectrolyte Materials

Polysaccharides are a family of carbohydrates playing fundamental roles in many biological contexts. Their structure is made of sugar rings linked by glycosidic bonds and various side functions [1]. Glycosidic bonds can be relatively easily biodegraded via glycoside hydrolase
enzymes whilst the side groups directly affect polymer charge density, hydration and chemical reactivity, also being responsible for the formation of secondary structures. In the presence of charges, polysaccharides may behave like polyelectrolytes with the peculiar ability to ionize in aqueous media. Ionization aids in the solubilization of the polyelectrolytes, further being responsible for its unique properties. Noteworthy, polyelectrolyte dissolution is not comparable to the dissolution of a simple salt, because do not produce ions i.e., cation/anion—with comparable size and independent mobility such as a salt in solution - but dissolving to yield a polion and counter ions. In particular, polyions have mobility and hold a large number of charges in close proximity, so that individual charges are strongly attached to the macromolecular backbone, with short mobility within the domain of the macromolecular coil. The negative charges are generally represented by carboxylic groups (COO\(^-\)) with pKa around 3–5 or sulfate groups (SO\(_3\)^-) with a pKa of around 0.5–1.5 [2]. Instead, positively charged groups are ammonium groups (NH\(_3^+\)) with a pKa of around 7–10 [3]. This allows to self-assembly differently charged polysaccharides (see supplementary materials—Figure 1) by interactions between the negative and positive groups and by the entropic gain associated with these associations [4]. There are two main groups of polysaccharides: cellulose and all the derivatives from plant cells (i.e., alginates), or those from animal sources—i.e., chitin from the shells of shrimp and other sea crustaceans (see supplementary materials—Figure 2).

1.1. Negatively charged polyelectrolyte: alginate

Alginate is a polyanionic polysaccharide, not toxic, biocompatible, highly hydrophilic, generally used as stabilizer, viscosifier, and gelling agent in food, textile, pharmaceutical and biotechnological industries [5,6]. They are the main structural component of marine brown algae, which confer them strength and flexibility [7], but are also present in capsular components of soil bacteria as protection from desiccation and mechanical stress [8]. Alginic acid is obtained by acid extraction from algal tissue followed by neutralization with alkali and precipitation by the addition of calcium chloride or mineral acid. It is reconverted in sodium alginate through alkali treatment. Haug, et al. have elucidated the structural constitution of alginic acid by partial acid hydrolysis, showing that chemically alginate is made by block of (1-4)-linked β-D-mannuronic acid (M) and α-L-guluronic acid (G) monomers, alternate into different form of polymers. From chemical point of view, alginate is characterized by consecutive G residues (G-block), consecutive M residues (M-block) and alternating MG residues in equal proportion (MG-blocks) [9] or unequal proportion (GGM- and MMG-blocks) [10,11], depending especially upon the organism and tissue from which it is isolated as reported by Harding, et al. As other polysaccharides, alginate is a polydispersed system and its molecular weight is characterized by a broad distribution of molecular weights as confirmed by a polydispersivity index more than 1.1 [12,13].

Smidsørdh, et al. have largely described the high affinity of alginates for alkaline multivalent cations in order Mg\(^{2+}\) << Ca\(^{2+}\) < Sr\(^{2+}\) < Ba\(^{2+}\), underlining how it selectivity increases as the content of α-L-guluronate residues into the chain, independently upon the amount of poly-mannuronate blocks [14]. This mainly influences the ionotropic gelation due to the ionic interaction of divalent cations guluronic acid blocks, thus resulting in the formation of three-dimensional network, usually described by the “egg-box” model [15,16].

Haug, et al. focused on the correlation between the chemical structure and the physical properties of alginate. They demonstrated that solubility is influenced by many parameters, among this the pH of the solution, the ionic strength of medium and the presence of gelling ions in the
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solvent. Indeed, over a certain value of pH, the carboxylic acid group can be deprotonated and the alginate may be dissolved fast (see supplementary materials—Figure 3). Every alginate have own pKa value depending from the chemical composition, the concentration of alginate, and the ionic strength of the solvent gel [17]. Besides, alginic acid is not completely soluble in any solvent including water, while Na-alginate is soluble in water. Increasing the pH, the Na-alginate solutions may behave in two different ways: a rapid increase of catalysts—i.e., H$^+$ in solution—providing the precipitation of alginic acid, or a slow increase with a formation of alginic acid. Comparing precipitation curves of alginate from different sources, again Haug, et al. demonstrated that pH induced alginate precipitation may be different as a function of the polymer molecular weight. In this context, the presence of homopolymeric blocks generally promotes the formation of crystalline regions stabilized by hydrogen bond, thus corroborating mechanisms groups of alginate precipitation [18].

1.1.1. Chemical modification of alginate

**Ionic crosslinking** is a more simple procedure to form 3D alginate networks, by the diffusion of bivalent ions i.e., calcium, Zinc—among polymer chains. Alternatively, ions just present into the alginate network, may be released in a controlled way, as a consequence of solubility changes driven by pH variation of the microenvironment [19]. In these cases, no auxiliary molecules or catalyst are required to mediate the ions interactions among the polar groups. Starting from this approach, more complex systems such as interpenetrator or semi-interpenetrator polyelectrolytes may be fabricated to more precisely control mechanical and swelling properties [20,21,22]. Since ionic crosslinking alginites have very limited stability *in vivo*—due to ion exchange mechanisms occurring at physiological conditions—polysaccharides are also modified by chemical way to obtain polymers with improving biological properties mediated by the large extent of functional groups along their molecular chain. Several reviews have described chemical routes based on **covalent crosslink** to form alginate, by a classification of involved chemical groups - secondary –OH (C-2 and C-3) or –COOH (C-6) ones. In this case, different crosslinking agents may be used epichlorohydrin [18], glutaraldehyde [23], hexamethylene diisocyanate [24], adipic acid hydrazide [25], and carbodiimide strategy [26], which may variously affect mechanical and chemical properties of the ultimate alginites.

An alternative approach to modify alginites is thought **oxidation** reaction on hydroxyl groups at C-2 and C-3. Oxidation via periodates leads to the formation of dialdehyde in each oxidized monomer unit by the rupture of carbon-carbon bond of vicinal glycols. So, alginate derivatives result more labile, with increased degradability, hydrophobicity and easy attachment of substituents or reductive agents by reductive amination [27,28].

Reductive amination between dialdehyde and alkyl amine needs reducing agents like sodium borohydride (NaBH$_4$) sodium cyanoborohydride (NaCNBH$_4$). With this method is possible to introduce long alkyl chains to the alginites achieve new alginate-derived polymeric surfactant with amphiphilic properties. Kang, et al. synthesized a series of alginate-derived polymeric surfactants (APSs) with a linear alkyl group (C8, C12, C16) by oxidation followed by reductive amination of 2,3-dialdehydic alginate [29,30]. By this method Laurienzo, et al. prepared sodium alginate grafted with low molecular weight polyethylene glycol (PEG), a promising candidate for any application in which alginate gels with higher biocompatibility and pores dimension are required, as for gel entrapment devices and microencapsulation techniques [31].
Hydroxyl sites of alginates can also undergo a series of reactions like acetylation, phosphorylation, sulfation. Bræk, et al. showed that a moderate increase of degree of acetylation of alginate causes an expansion of the molecular chain whereas a higher degree of acetylation generates more flexible polymers increasing swelling ability [32]. Coleman, et al. prepared phosphorylated alginate hydrogels using a heterogeneous urea/phosphate reaction, which enhanced resistance to degradation [33]. Freman, et al. prepared sulfated alginate scaffold characterized by high blood compatibility and anticoagulant activity [34]. In addition, graft reaction of hydroxyl group of alginate may be optimized to increase swelling, metal ion uptake, flocculation and degradation times [35]. A very simple method to chemically modify alginate is the esterification of carboxylic groups, by the reaction of several alcohols and alkyl halides in presence of catalysts [36]. Yang, et al. have grafted fatty alcohols (octanol, dodecanol or hexadecanol) to form novel amphiphilic alginate esters (Alg-C₈, Alg-C₁₂ or Alg-C₁₆), useful as protein drugs and a carrier of hydrophobic drugs [37]. Mahou, et al. have synthesized alginate-poly(ethylene glycol) hybrid microspheres, by the esterification of sodium alginate with vinyl sulfone-terminated multi-arm PEG (PEG-VS) directly into cell culture medium, for the transplantation of microencapsulated living cells [38]. Moreover, Jindal, et al. synthesized sodium alginate-cysteine conjugated by carbodiimides, obtaining thiolate residues with more efficient controlled drug release, mucoadhesiveness, swelling behavior and cohesivity [39,40]. Amidation of carboxylic groups with amine containing molecules have been alternatively proposed to form more hydrophilic derivatives for nucleus pulposus replacement in tissue engineering [41], or amphiphilic derivatives [42]. Lastly, alginate based hydrogels of alginate can be also crosslinked by photo-irradiation, a less invasive strategy based on the controlled exposure to ultraviolet (UV) light to rapidly crosslink polymer chains in physiological conditions in situ [43].

1.2. Positively charged polyelectrolyte: chitosan

Chitosan is a natural polymer obtained from chitin partially deacetylation, made from alternating monomers of 2-acetamido-2-deoxy-β-D-glucopyranose (GlcNAc; A) and 2-amino-2-deoxy-β-D-glucopyranose (GlcN; D) [44]. Deacetylation can be performed in acid, alkaline condition [45] or by chitin deacetylases. In the first case, the acid condition causes not only deacetylation of acetamido-groups of chitin, but also hydrolysis of β-glycosidic linkage with a consequent severe degradation of the polysaccharide. In the second case, the drastic alkaline condition (15–20 M NaOH at 100–120 °C) is able to deacetylate the acetamido-groups no hydrolyzing the β-glycosidic linkage. Zhao, et al. characterized the existing classes of deacetylases, demonstrating that the deacetylases recognize a sequence of four GlcNAc units in the substrate, one of which undergoes deacetylation: the resulting chitosan has a more regular deacetylation pattern than a chitosan treated with NaOH [45]. Deacetylated amino groups are very electronegative and can take up a proton allowing chitosan to behave as a hydrophilic polycations, with different chemical, physical, and biological properties from chitin. For example, Deacetylation degree (DA) generally influences polarity, pH, ionic strength and, ultimately, water soluble behavior [46]. Varum, et al. have demonstrated that chitosans—generally soluble at pH below 6—becomes soluble at pH ranging from 4 to 9 for Da = 0.60, or at pH from 6 to 7.5 for lower DA (see supplementary materials—Figure 3) [47]. Moreover, molecular weight and polydispersivity index controlled by several methods as acid hydrolysis (HCl, HNO₃), free radicals (H₂O₂, K₂S₂O₈), radiation (UV, γ-rays), microwaves, and thermal treatment [48], may drastically affect the polymer viscosity, mainly in the presence of electrolytes [49].
Positive charge of chitosan allows forming complexes with different ions, i.e., transition metal and post-transition ions as a function of their selectivity Cu > Hg > Zn > Cd > Ni > Co = Ca [50] in order to obtain a non-toxic flocculent or chelating agents for the removal of heavy and reactive metals from industrial waste water [51].

Wang, et al. focused on the pKa of chitosan with different DA and molecular weight demonstrated that a slightly decreasing from 6.51 to 6.39 is possible when MW changing from 1370 to 60 kDa, while a increasing from 6.17 to 6.51 is obtained when DA decreasing from 94.6 to 73.3% [52]. Water solubility also depends from the ionic concentration, and a salting-out effect may be observed in excess of HCl (1 M HCl), making possible to prepare the chlorhydrate form of chitosan, which is soluble in water giving an acid solution with pKa 6 ± 0.1 [49]. In this context, several studies performed by IR [53], UV [54], ^1^H-NMR [55], ^13^C-NMR [56], ^15^N-NMR [57] studies have been demonstrated a relevant contribution of spatial distribution of acetyl groups, inter-chain H-bonding and hydrophobic properties of acetyl groups on solubility and, ultimately, on enzymes diffusion through the chitosan network at physiological pH, and their significant outcomes in terms of biological response.

1.2.1. Chemical modification of chitosan

Chitosan has two types of reactive groups, the free amino groups on deacetylated units, and two hydroxyl groups on C-3 and C-6. Sreenivas and Pai, in their review, showed all the chemical modification, via derivatization with various reagents, bearing sulfhydryl functions on the chitosan, and all the properties of thiolated chitosan included the enhanced mucoadhesiveness, cohesiveness and permeation effect [58]. Jayakumar, et al. summarized different methods of preparation of sulfated chitin and chitosan and their properties, and their recent applications in various fields such as: cardio-vascular (as blood anticoagulant and hemagglutination inhibitor), antimicrobial, adsorbing metal ions, biomedical and drug delivery [59,60]. Sashiwara, et al. reported the successful preparation of water soluble chitosan derivatives by simple N,O-acetylation in MeSO_3H as solvent useful to induce a hydrophobic nature to hydrophilic chitosan backbone and prevent particle aggregation [61]. Many chemical modifications have been studied to make the solubility and the positive charge of chitosan independent from the pH. Jayakumar, et al. introduced phosphonic acid or phosphonate onto chitosan, by reaction of phosphorylating agent onto the amino groups, obtaining phosphorylated-chitosans which are known to have enhanced chelating properties and water solubility [62,63]. Quaternization of primary amine of deacetylated glucosamine also increases the solubility of chitosan in water in a large range of pH. Rúnarsson, et al. developed a novel “one pot” N-selective synthesis procedure to obtain N-trimethylated chitosan chloride (TMC) [60], one of the most common partially quaternized derivative of chitosan, without secondary O-methylation. The N-trimethylation of chitosan increases the mucoadhesive properties of the polysaccharide. Several studies extensively reported by Rosenthal, et al. demonstrated that the effect of chitosan on the paracellular permeability is dependent on its positive charge, but chitosan is positively charged only at pH values lower than 6.5. To overcome chitosan’s limited effectiveness as absorption enhancer at neutral and alkaline pH values as present in the small intestine, chitosan derivatives have been developed, such as trimethyl chitosan (TMC) and Mono N-carboxymethyl chitosan (MCC), which had comparable effects on the TJs [64,65]. As widely reported by Mourya, et al. carboxymethyl chitosan (CMC) has been widely studied because of its ease of synthesis, ampholytic character and possibilities of ample of applications. The carboxymethylation can be attended through reductive
alkylation or direct alkylation and the substitution can affect the hydroxyl or the amine group [66]. This modification can change not only properties such as viscosity, flocculation, chelation [67] but also biological properties as modulation of cell functioning and activities as antioxidant, antibacterial and anti-apoptotic [68]. This derivative may be properly used in sustained or controlled release drug delivery, pH responsive drug delivery, DNA delivery and as permeation enhancer too [69,71].

An easy and common strategy to improve thermal stability, swelling ratio and mechanical strength of chitosan is the use of a crosslinker agents like glutaraldehyde, oxalic acid, formaldehyde, glyoxal and genipin [72]. Metallic anions, sulfate, citrate, and phosphate-bearing groups, such as β-glycerophosphate and tripolyphosphate (TPP), may react with Chitosans to form derivatives well tolerate and biocompatible, useful in drug delivery. The main disadvantages of ionic crosslinking concern their lacks in mechanical and chemical stability, due to a highly pH-sensitive swelling [72]. Alternatively, γ-radiation and UV-light have been used to prepare graft copolymers. Cai, et al. used 60C-γ-radiation to graft N-isopropylacrilamide to fabricate pH sensitive chitosan with good swelling properties [73]. Photo-induced grafting of polyethylene glycol (PEG) on carboxymethyl chitosan has been used to to develop a pH responsive drug delivery system [74]. Yamada, et al. Iso propose the use of enzymes to synthesize chitosan with unique pH-sensitive water solubility and adhesive properties [75]. Due to their cationic functions, chitosans may be successfully used to form complexes with opposite charged polymers i.e., polyanions such as alginites or proteins—with enormous potential applications in cell encapsulation, drug delivery, and tissue engineering [76].

2. Metabolic Activities of Polysaccharides

In order to realize more efficient systems for drug delivery and the tissue engineering, with higher therapeutic effect and optimal targeting, stimulo-sensitive polymers have to be used. Environmental stimuli may be classified as follows:

- Physiological stimuli such as pH, enzymatic activities, redox potential, and glucose concentration;
- External stimuli like temperature, light, magnetic field and mechanical force [77].

Besides, the design of stimuli responsive systems requires a more accurate control of degradation rates of polysaccharides, occurring via cleavage of the glycosidic bonds and polysaccharide depolymerization, by the fine definition of reactants concentration and synthesis temperature. Hence, it is mandatory to properly understand the mechanism of degradation of polysaccharides at physiological condition and/or in the presence of enzymatic activities.

2.1. pH driven degradation

The ability of some polysaccharides to react as a function of pH conditions represents an interesting way to direct the drug to a certain tissue or cellular compartment. For instance, the degradation of alginites is strongly dependent on the pH. Haug, et al. studied the degradation of alginate from Laminaria digitata at different values of pH. They demonstrated that alginate is stable only in a range of pH-values ranging from 5 to 10. Two different degradation mechanisms may be recognized: for pH less than 5, proton-catalyzed hydrolysis is predominant, while for pH more than 10, degradation occurs via β-alkoxy-elimination [78]. Timell described the acid hydrolysis of glycosidic bond through a mechanism of three steps: (a) protonation of the glycosidic oxygen to give conjugate acid; (b) heterolysis of conjugate acid forming a not reducing end group a carbonium-
oxonium ion: and (c) rapid addition of water to the carbonium-oxonium ion, forming a reducing end group [79]. Not only H⁺ but also carbonate and phosphate ions could catalyzed β-elimination. Haug also showed as is possible identify the contribution of β-elimination reaction with a simple colorimetric thiobarbituric acid assay [80]. Esterified alginates are more predisposed to β-elimination compared with other types of alginates, because the esterification increases the electron-attracting effect of carbonyl group in C6 which increases the rate of removal of H-5 in the first step of the β-elimination reaction. Degradation can be significant even at pH values around neutrality because most brown algae contain varying amounts of phenolic compounds which are extracted together with the alginate and represent a contamination in most commercial alginates. This degradation effect of phenolic compounds belongs to the class of ORD reactions (Oxidative-Reductive Depolymerisation) [81].

pH has a very important effect on chitosan degradation. As reported by Holme, et al. acid hydrolysis is the primary mechanism involved in chitosan degradation even if like other polysaccharides is also influenced by several degradation mechanisms [82]. Varum, et al. demonstrated that acid catalyzed not only N-acetyl linkage but also O-glycosidic linkage hydrolysis. The rate of hydrolysis of the glycosidic linkages was found to be equal to the rate of de-N-acetylation in dilute acid, while was ten times faster in concentrated acid due to different mechanism of degradation. Furthermore they demonstrated that the acid hydrolysis of glycosidic linkages depends in particularly from the Dₐ of chitosan, in particular the degradation increases with increasing the degree of acetylation of chitosan, indeed the acid hydrolysis is specific to cleavage of A-A and A-D glycosidic linkages, because the positive charge close to the glycosidic linkage destabilizes the hydrolysis mechanism [83]. For a chitosan with medium molecular weight, Dₐ around 0.5, ionic strength of 0.1 M and at 60 °C have been investigated about the degradation rate as a function of pH conditions from 1 to 14: the degradation is at minimum between 3 to 10. The decrease stability at pH values less than 3 is due to the acid hydrolysis of β-glycosidic-linkage and the relative increases in the degradation at low pH will be proportionally to Dₐ. The reaction responsible for the degradation at pH higher than 10 and above could be catalyzed from alkali hydrolysis but also oxidative-reductive degradation reaction. The rate of both reactions will be expected to increase increasing pH, however chitosan is relatively stable toward alkaline degradation [84]. As previously seen, simple covalent modifications can make chitosan resistant to acid hydrolysis in the stomach, and allow to chitosan performs its mucoadhesive and absorption properties in small intestine, that is the main absorptive region of the GIT [85].

The data suggested that acid hydrolysis and β-elimination, due to alkaline conditions, are the primary mechanisms involved in the degradation of alginate, while the chitosan degradation is mainly driven by acid hydrolysis.

2.2. Enzymatic degradation

_In vivo_, delivery systems can interact with many enzymes able to degrade them. An enzymatic activity is related to a particular compartment of organism, or the enzyme levels are up-regulated at the target site. In these cases is possible design polymeric systems that deliver drugs via specific enzymatic degradation. The enzyme-based approach is a biocompatible, but especially high sensitivity and selective method to deliver drugs: for example, the metabolic activity of colonic microflora, able to cleave glycosidic linkages of saccharides, is an attractive way for targeting drug via polysaccharides in pathological tissues (i.e., colon) [86,87].
Alginate is naturally degraded by a class of enzymes called Alginate lyases or alginate depolymerases. Wong, et al. summarized all the characteristics and biological roles of alginate lyases. This enzymes(EC 4.2.2.-) have been isolated from several sources: marine algae, marine animals and bacteria, gram-negative and gram-positive soil bacteria, marine fungi and virus and other microorganisms, while is not present in human organisms. In marine organisms, Alginate lyase is degraded and metabolized by the cell wall of brown algae, rich of alginate, to obtain a source of carbon and energy. Alginate lyases are a group of enzymes which cleave the polymer chains via a $\beta$-elimination mechanism, and even if all lyases have the same mechanism of degradation, each enzyme is defined by its individual characteristics and its preference for the glycolytic bond connecting M and G monomers (see supplementary materials—Figure 4). They reported that most of the lyases have a preference for poly(M) substrates, although a few G-specific lyases have been identified. The majority of lyases have endo-cleaving activity, only few kinds have exolytic activity [88]. Gacesa proposed a three steps mechanism to elucidate the reaction that include (a) removal of negative charge on the carboxyl anion; (b) abstraction of the proton on C5 by a general base-catalysis; (c) transfer of electron from carboxyl group to form a double bond between C4 and C5, resulting in the $\beta$-elimination of the 4-O-glycosidic bond [89]. Alginate lyases usually are used to study the composition of alginate, but they are also involved into an innovative process of biofuel production [90].

Chitosan degrading enzymes are less abundant than chitin degrading enzymes, reflecting its minor abundance in nature. Chitosan is predominantly degraded by enzymes which hydrolyze the glucosamine-glucosamine, glucosamine-N-acetyl-glucosamine, N-acetyl-glucosamine-N-acetyl-glucosamine linkage. Lysozyme and bacterial enzymes in the colon are the main enzymes involved in chitosan degradation [91]. However three human chitinases, of the GH18 family, with enzymatic activity have been identified. As reported by Funk-Houser, et al., they are: di-N-acetylchitobiase, chitotriosidase and acid mammalian chitinase, all endochitinases (EC 3.2.1.14) [92].

Moreover, lysozyme is able to hydrolyze chitin and chitosan [93], the rate of degradation is inversely related to the crystallinity, and to the chitosan degree of deacetylation. In human body lysozyme have been found in different concentration in serum (4 to 13 mg/L), tears (450 to 1230 mg/L), saliva and urine [94,95] where have an important antibacterial activity [96]. Even if human lysozyme (EC 3.2.1.17) [97] is structurally different from lysozyme usually used for in vitro test, Cohen demonstrated that have a similar activity on biodegradation of chitosan [98]. As reported in several studies in vitro and in vivo, the degrading activity of lysozyme increases with increasing chitosan Degree of Acetylation (Dₐ), suggesting that a minimum of acetylated units had to be contained in lysozyme binding site to obtain a maximum initial degradation rates. Indeed, is largely demonstrated that lysozyme contains a hexameric binding site and hexasaccharide sequence containing 3 or more GlcNAc, while can’t act on GlcN residues or in segment with small fraction of GlcNAc [99,103]. All these is in agreement with the use of chitosan with low DA as lysozyme’s inhibitor as reported by Varum, et al. [104]. N-acetyl-beta-D-glucosaminidase or NAGase (EC 3.2.1.52), which is distributed in various tissue cells, catalyses hydrolytic release of terminal $\beta$-(1-4)-linked N-acetyl-glucosamine residues from the non-reducing ends of chito-oligosaccharides [105]. Lim, et al. used a mixture solution of lysozyme and NAGase, in vitro and in vivo, and found that in vitro degradation of chitosan was similar to in vivo, also showing a synergistic effect between the two enzymes. Chitosan is initial degraded by lysozyme to oligomers or low-molecular species, later...
is degraded by NAGase to monomers. Using chitosan with different DA is possible to obtain carriers with different degradation time for tissue engineering application [106].

3. Polysaccharide Degradation in Drug Delivery and Tissue Engineering

On-demand controlled drug delivery is becoming feasible by the design of stimuli-responsive systems that recognize their microenvironment and react in a dynamic way. This approach is mainly interesting in tissue engineering due to the great possibility to finely tune bioactive molecules release to dynamically match the needs to address tissue in-growth (See Table 1 and 2). The use of polysaccharides with controlled degradation rates may assure a correct matching of growth rate of tissue in order to progressively form it in the place of the scaffold—namely porous platform with controlled architecture to promote basic cell functions (i.e., adhesion, proliferation, differentiation) [107].

In the last years, different polysaccharides have been used to design porous scaffolds to study tissue growth in “in vitro” three-dimensional (3D) models [108,109]. For instance, Li, et al. prepared 3D scaffold of chitosan–alginate to promote proliferation of chondrocyte cells and production of collagen type II to improve cartilage repair and regeneration [110]. More recently, chitosan-alginate scaffolds have been designed to reproduce the complex 3D microenvironment of tumor, so offering a new interesting platform for the development and study of anticancer therapeutics [111,112].

In this context, environmental parameters such as pH, can support the degradation of the polymer matrix corroborating the activity of enzymes in vivo. In particular, pH can either trigger the release of molecules or promote the internalization of polymer nanoparticles into cell cytoplasm, thus compensating all the specific microenvironmental changes associated with alteration of specific enzymes (such as proteases, phospholipases or glycosidases) in pathological conditions such as ischemia, inflammatory diseases or cancer [113].

As for drug delivery, smart carriers based on polysaccharides may differently work under different pH conditions, generally exerted by in vivo microenvironment, thus protecting the active principles from gastric juices or premature metabolism, to preserve the release of loaded drug until the target site [87]. Due to the reduced alginate metabolization by specific enzymes in human, it may be combined with other phases (i.e., laponite) to form hybrid nanocarriers with pH sensitivity for the sustained release of doxorubicin for cancer inhibition. Similar approaches have been also proven for the design of drug loaded capsules for the treatment of stomach diseases (pH 1.0–3.0) [114]. Alternatively, alginites have been extensively used to design drug release systems with inhibition of molecular diffusion at the gastric level (acid pH), due to the conversion of sodium alginate into insoluble alginic acid, and a rapid release of drug at intestinal level (higher pH), ascribable to the re-conversion of alginic acid into a soluble viscous alginate [115]. In order to overcome some limitations due to the pronounced burst release of alginites, other polysaccharides may be used as coating or external shell to more efficiently control drug release rates from alginate core [116,117,118]. While chitosan ionized and solubilized at strong acid conditions of the stomach, it tend to rapidly swell, forming a ionic gel at neutral pH of the intestine – thus releasing therapeutic molecules by the polymer degradation [119,120,121]. More recently, the pH sensitive behavior of chitosan has been also capitalized to design innovative anticancer drug-delivery systems. Indeed, the slight difference of pH existing between healthy tissues (7.4) and the extracellular environment of solid tumors (6.5–7.2) is one of parameters which stimulate the abnormal activity of tumor cells, providing a more rapid deficit of both nutrients and oxygen, thus shifting towards a glycolytic
metabolism. In this context, chitosan nanoparticles may be capable of varying its surface charge - switching from nearly neutral to positive one - around tumor extracellular pH to promote their internalization into cell membrane with reduced toxicity, thus remarking a great potential for cancer therapy [122]. Alternatively, chitosan scaffold containing antiangiogenic agents have been successfully used in brain cancer to achieve a site-specific controlled release system in order to overcome current limitations in drug transport through the blood–brain barrier [123]. Feng, et al. prepared pH-responsive coacervate Chitosan/alginate microcapsules for oral administration of doxorubicin (DOX) with excellent low pH tolerance to enhance the stability of the alginate core in the stomach and its rapidly release in the small intestine. As the contact time between capsule surface and small intestine mucosal increases, the absorption of DOX may enhance, whereas the use of Layer By Layer structure offers the chance to deliver multiple drugs by encapsulating different drugs, separately, in the core and layers, respectively, for combined molecular therapies [124].

### Table 1. Summary of main chitosan application fields.

| Chitosan application                          | References |
|----------------------------------------------|------------|
| Cosmetic                                     | [125]      |
| Photography                                  | [126]      |
| Artificially skin                            | [127]      |
| Agriculture                                  | [128]      |
| Food and nutrition                           | [129]      |
| Ophthalmology                                | [130, 131] |
| Water engineering                            | [132]      |
| Drug delivery                                |            |
| - Hydrogel                                   | [133]      |
| - Tablet                                     | [134]      |
| - Tablet for controlled release              | [135]      |
| - Microcapsule                               | [136]      |
| - Nanocapsule                                | [137]      |
| - Beades                                     | [138]      |
| - Transdermal system                         | [139]      |
| Antibacterial agent                          | [140]      |
| Blood anti-coagulants                        | [141]      |
| Anti-thrombogenic and haemostatic materials  | [142]      |
| Fat trapper                                  | [143]      |

### 4. Conclusion and Future Remarks

The rapid evolution of biomedical application field have stimulated the research for investigation of new biocompatible materials suitable for a better control of cell/materials interaction and controlled drug release and targeting. In this context, polysaccharides have recently demonstrated to be a class of macromolecules, available from renewable sources, and characterized by a wide range of physical properties (i.e., environmental stimuli response), properly set by chemical modifications. This opens the opportunity to use polysaccharides and their derivatives to design innovative devices as “smart” delivery systems capable to release an entrapped drug in response to specific physiological stimuli, by an appropriate time and space release modalities. This
will be assured by the set of network properties, finely tailored by polymer concentration, and
crosslinking method (i.e., physical, chemical or both reactions) for the fabrication of semi or fully
interpenetrated polymer networks able to reproduce a porous matrix suitable as scaffold for cell
culture, with improved properties of molecular release. In perspective, multicomponent drug delivery
systems could be developed for potential therapeutic and diagnostic applications.

Table 2. Summary of main Alginate application fields.

| Alginate application                  | References |
|--------------------------------------|------------|
| Cosmetic                             | [144]      |
| Food industry                        | [145]      |
| Biofuel                              | [146]      |
| Oral vaccination                     | [147]      |
| Drug delivery                        | [148]      |
| − Hydrogel                           | [149]      |
| − Floating beads                     | [150]      |
| − wound dressing                     | [151]      |
| Implantation of living cells         | [152]      |
| Antihemostatic                       | [153]      |
| Hypocholesterolemic                  | [154]      |
| Hypoglycemic                         | [154]      |
| Anti-hypertension                    | [155]      |
| Anti-obesity                         | [156]      |
| Antitumoral                          | [157]      |
| Prophylaxy of radionuclide intoxication | [158]  |

Acknowledgements

This study was financially supported by POLIFARMA (PON02_3203241), REPAIR (PON01-02342) and NEWTON (FIRB-RBAP11BYNP).

Conflict of Interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

1. Robyt J (2001) Polysaccharides: energy storage. John Wiley & Sons.
2. Wang HM, Loganathan D, Linhardt RJ (1991) Determination of the pKa of glucuronic acid and
the carboxy groups of heparin by 13C-nuclear-magnetic-resonance spectroscopy. Biochem J 278:
689–95.
3. Kyte J (1995) Structure in protein chemistry. New Yorg: Garland Publishing Inc.
4. Crouzier T, Boudou T, Picart C (2010) Polysaccharide-based polyelectrolyte multilayers. Curr
Opin Colloid In 15: 417–426.
5. Shukla RK (2011) Carbohydrate Molecules: An Expanding Horizon in Drug Delivery and Biomedicine. *Crit Rev Ther Drug Carrier Syst* 28: 255–292.

6. Dumitriu S (2004) *Polysaccharides: Structural Diversity and Functional Versatility*. Second Edition Marcel Dekker: New York.

7. Andresen IL, Skipnes O, Smidsrød O, et al. (1977) Some biological functions of matrix components in benthic algae in relation to their chemistry and the composition of seawater. *ACS SymSer* 48: 361–381.

8. Sadoff HL (1975) Encystment and germination in Azotobactervinelandii. *Bacteriol Rev* 39: 516–539.

9. Haug A, Larsen B, Smidsrød O (1966) A Study of the Constitution of Alginic Acid by Partial Acid Hydrolysis. *Acta Chem Scand* 20: 183–190.

10. Simionescu CI, Popa VI, Rusan V, et al. (1976) The influence of structural units distribution on macromolecular conformation of alginic acid. *Cell Chem Technol* 10: 587–594.

11. Min KH, Sasaki SF, Kashiwabara Y, et al. (1977) Fine structure of SMG alginate fragment in the light of its degradation by alginate lyases of Pseudomonas sp. *J Biochem* 81: 555–562.

12. Harding SE, Varum KM, Stokke BT, et al. (1991) Molecular weight determination of polysaccharides. *Adv Carbohydr Anal* 1:63–144.

13. Martinsen A, Skjåk-Bræk G, Smidsrød O (1991) Comparison of different methods for determination of molecular weight and molecular weight distribution of alginates. *Carbohydr Polym* 15: 171–193.

14. Smidsrød O, Haug A (1968) Dependence upon uronic acid composition of some ion-exchange properties of alginates. *Acta Chem Scand* 22:1989–1997.

15. Sikorski P, Mo F, Skjåk-Bræk G, et al. (2007) Evidence for Egg-Box-Compatible Interactions in Calcium–Alginate Gels from Fiber X-ray Diffraction. *Biomacromolecules* 8: 2098–2103.

16. Haug A, Smidsrød O (1970) Selectivity of some anionic polymers for divalent metal ions. *Acta Chem Scand* 24: 843–854.

17. Haug A, Myklestad S, Larsen B, et al. (1967) Correlation between chemical structure and physical properties of alginates. *Acta Chem Scand* 21: 768–778.

18. Grasselli M, Diaz LE, Cascone O (1993) Beaded matrices from cross-linked alginate for affinity and ion exchange chromatography of proteins. *Biotechnol Tech* 7: 707–712.

19. Pawar SN, Edgar KJ (2012) Alginate derivatization: a review of chemistry, properties and application. *Biomaterials* 33: 3279–3305.

20. Hari PR, Chandy T, Sharma CP (1996) Chitosan/calcium alginate beads for oral delivery of insulin. *J Appl Polym Sci* 59: 1795–1801.

21. Huguet ML, Groboillot A, Neufeld RJ, et al. (1994) Hemoglobin encapsulation in chitosan/calcium alginate beads. *J Appl Polym Sci* 51: 1427–1432.

22. MiFL, Sung HW, Shyu SS (2002) Drug release from chitosan–alginate complex beads reinforced by a naturally occurring crosslinking agent. *Carbohydr Polym* 48: 61–72.

23. Chan AW, Whitney RA, Neufeld R (2009) Semisynthesis of a controlled stimulis-responsive alginate hydrogel. *Biomacromolecules* 10: 609–616.

24. Bhattarai N, Zhang M (2007) Controlled synthesis and structural stability of alginate-based nanofibers. *Nanotechnology* 18: 455601–455611.

25. Bouhadir KH, Hausman DS, Mooney DJ (1999) Synthesis of cross-linked poly(alkylglyuronate) hydrogels. *Polymer* 40: 3575–3584.
26. Xu JB, Bartley JP, Johnson RA (2003) Preparation and characterization of alginate hydrogel membranes crosslinked using a water-soluble carbodiimide. *J Appl Polym Sci* 90: 747–753.
27. Boontheekul T, Kong HJ, Mooney DJ (2005) Controlling alginate gel degradation utilizing partial oxidation and bimodal molecular weight distribution. *Biomaterials* 26: 2455–2465.
28. Gomez CG, Rinaudo M, Villar MA (2007) Oxidation of sodium alginate and characterization of the oxidized derivatives. *Carbohydr Polym* 67: 296–304.
29. Kang HA, Jeon GJ, Lee MY, et al. (2002) Effectiveness test of alginate-derived polymeric surfactants. *J Chem Technol Biot* 77: 205–210.
30. Kang HA, Shin MS, Yang JW (2002) Preparation and characterization of hydrophobically modified alginate. *Polym Bull* 47: 429–435.
31. Laurienzo P, Malinconico M, Motta A, et al. (2005) Synthesis and characterization of a novel alginate–poly(ethylene glycol) graft copolymer. *Carbohydr Polym* 62: 274–282.
32. Skjåk-Bræk G, Zanetti F, Paoletti S (1989) Effect of acetylation on some solution and gelling properties of alginates. *Carbohydr Res* 185: 131–138.
33. Coleman RJ, Lawrie G, Lambert LK, et al. (2011) Phosphorylation of alginate: synthesis, characterization, and evaluation of in vitro mineralization capacity. *Biomacromolecules* 12: 889–897.
34. Freeman I, Kedem A, Cohen S (2008) The effect of sulfation of alginate hydrogels on the specific binding and controlled release of heparin-binding proteins. *Biomaterials* 29: 3260–3268.
35. Sand A, Yadav M, Mishra DK, et al. (2010) Modification of alginate by grafting of N-vinyl-2-pyrrolidone and studies of physicochemical properties in terms of swelling capacity, metal-ion uptake and flocculation. *Carbohydr Polym* 80: 1147–1154.
36. Sinquin A, Hubert P, Dellacherie E (1993) Amphiphilic derivatives of alginate: evidence for intra- and intermolecular hydrophobic associations in aqueous solution. *Langmuir* 9: 3334–3337.
37. Yang JS, Zhou QQ, He W (2013) Amphipathicity and self-assembly behavior of amphiphilic alginate esters. *Carbohydr Polym* 92: 223–227.
38. Mahou R, Meier RPH, Bühler LH, et al. (2014) Alginate-Poly(ethylene glycol) Hybrid Microspheres for Primary Cell Microencapsulation. *Materials* 7: 275–286.
39. Bernkop-Schnurch A, Kast CE, Richter MF (2001) Improvement in the mucoadhesive properties of alginate by the covalent attachment of cysteine. *J Controlled Release* 71: 277–285.
40. Jindal AB, Wasnik MN, Nair HA (2010) Synthesis of thiolated alginate and evaluation of mucoadhesiveness, cytotoxicity and release retardant properties. *Indian J Pharm Sci* 72: 766–774.
41. Leone G, Torricelli P, Chiumiento A, et al. (2008) Amidic alginate hydrogel for nucleus pulposus replacement. *J Biomed Mater Res A* 84: 391–401.
42. Vallée F, Müller C, Durand A, et al. (2009) Synthesis and rheological properties of hydrogels based on amphiphilic alginate-amide derivatives. *Carbohydr Res* 344: 223–228.
43. Coates EE, Riggin CN, Fishe JP (2013) Photocrosslinked alginate with hyaluronic acid hydrogels as vehicles for mesenchymal stem cell encapsulation and chondrogenesis. *J Biomed Mater Res A* 101: 1962–1970.
44. Kumar MN, Muzzarelli RAA, Muzzarelli C, et al. (2004) Chitosan chemistry and pharmaceutical perspectives. *Chem Rev* 104: 6017–84.
45. Zhao Y, Park RD, Muzzarelli RAA (2010) Chitin Deacetylases: Properties and Applications. *Mar Drugs* 8: 24–46.
46. Trzciniski S (2006) Combined degradation of chitosan. *Polish Chitin Society Monograph XI*, 103–11.
47. Varum KM, Ottoy MH, Smidsrød O (1994) Water solubility of partially N-acetylated chitosan as a function of pH: effect of chemical composition and depolymerization. *Carbohydr Polym* 25: 65–70.

48. Knaul JZ, Kasaa MR, Bui VT, et al. (1998) Characterization of deacetylated chitosan and chitosan molecular weight review. *Can J Chem* 76: 1699–1706.

49. Chattopadhyay DP, Inamdar MS (2010) Aqueous behavior of chitosan. *Int Polym Science* 2010 doi:10.1155/2010/939536.

50. Roberts GAF (1992) *Chitin Chemistry*. Houndsmill UK: Macmillan Press.

51. An HK, Park BY, Kim DS (2001) Crab shell for the removal of heavy metals from aqueous solutions. *Water Res* 35: 3551–3556.

52. Wang QZ, Chen XG, Liu N, et al. (2006) Protonation constants of chitosan with different molecular weight and degree of deacetylation. *Carbohydr Polym* 65: 194–201.

53. Rinaudo M (2006) Chitin and chitosan: Properties and applications. *Prog Polym Sci* 31: 603–632.

54. Baxter A, Dillon M, Taylor KD, et al. (1992) Improved method for I.R. determination of the degree of N-acetylation of chitosan. *Int J Biol Macromol* 14: 166–169.

55. Muzzarelli RAA, Rochetti R (1985) Determination of the degree of acetylation of chitosans by first derivative ultraviolet spectrophotometry. *Carbohydr Polym* 5: 461–472.

56. Vårum KM, Anthonsen MW, Grasdalen H, et al. (1991) Determination of the degree of N-acetylation and the distribution of N-acetyl groups in partially N-deacetylated chitins (chitosans) by high-field n.m.r. spectroscopy. *Carbohydr Res* 211: 17–23.

57. Vårum KM, Anthonsen MW, Grasdalen H, et al. (1991) 13C-NMR studies of the acetylation sequences in partially N-deacetylated chitins (chitosans). *Carbohydr Res* 217: 19–27.

58. Kasaa MR (2010) Determination of the degree of N-acetylation for chitin and chitosan by various NMR spectroscopy techniques: A review. *Carbohydr Polym* 79: 801–810.

59. Sreenivas SA, Pai KV (2008) Thiolated Chitosans: novel polymers for mucoadhesive drug delivery – A Review. *Trop J Pharm Res* 7: 1077–1088.

60. Jayakumar R, Nwe N, Tokura S, et al. (2007) Sulfated chitin and chitosan as novel biomaterials. *Int J Biol Macromol* 40: 175–181.

61. Sashiwa H, Kawasaki N, Nakayama A (2002) Synthesis of water-soluble chitosan derivatives by simple acetylation. *Biomacromolecules* 3: 1126–1128.

62. Jayakumar R, Reis RL, Mano JF (2006) Chemistry and Applications of Phosphorylated Chitin and Chitosan. *e-Polymers* 6: 447–462.

63. Jayakumar R, Nagahama H, Furuike T, et al. (2008) Synthesis of phosphorylated chitosan by novel method and its characterization. *Int J Biol Macromol* 42: 335–339.

64. Rünarsson ÖV, Holappa J, Jónsdóttir S, et al. (2008) N-selective “one pot” synthesis of highly N-substituted trimethyl chitosan (TMC). *Carbohydr Polym* 74: 740–744.

65. Rosenthal R, Gönzel D, Finger C, et al. (2012) The effect of chitosan on transcellular and paracellular mechanisms in the intestinal epithelial barrier. *Biomaterials* 33: 2791–2800.

66. Muzzarelli RAA, Tanfani F, Emanuelli M, et al. (1982) N-(Carboxymethylidene) chitosans and N-(Carboxymethyl)-chitosans: Novel Chelating Polyampholytes obtained from Chitosan Glyoxylate. *Carbohydr Res* 107: 199–214.

67. Mourya VK, Inamdar NN, Tiwari A (2010) Carboxymethyl chitosan and its applications. *Adv Mat Lett* 1: 11–33.

68. George M, Abraham TE (2006) Polyionic Hydrocolloids form the intestinal delivery of protein drugs: Alginate and chitosan-A review. *J Controlled Release* 114: 1–14.
69. Alves NM, Mano JF (2008) Chitosan derivatives obtained by chemical modification for biomedical and environmental application. *Int J Biol Macromol* 43: 401–414.

70. Riva RL, Ragelle H, des Rieux A, et al. (2011) Chitosan and chitosan derivatives in drug delivery and tissue engineering. *Adv Polym Sci* 244: 19–44.

71. Giri TK, Thakur A, Alexander A, et al. (2012) Modified chitosan hydrogels ad drug delivery and tissue engineering system: present status and application. *Acta Pharm Sin B* 2: 439–449.

72. Berger J, Reist M, Mayer JM, et al. (2004) Structure and interactions in covalently and ionically crosslinked chitosan hydrogels for biomedical applications. *Eur J Pharm Biopharm* 57: 19–34.

73. Cai H, Zhang ZP, Sun PC, et al. (2005) Synthesis and characterization of thermo- and pH-sensitive hydrogels based on Chitosan-grafted N-isopropylacrylamide via γ-radiation. *Rad Phys Chem* 74: 26–30.

74. El-Sherbiny IM, Smyth HDC (2010) Poly(ethylene glycol)–carboxymethyl chitosan-based pH-responsive hydrogels: photo-induced synthesis, characterization, swelling, and in vitro evaluation as potential drug carriers. *Carbohydr Res* 345: 2004–2012.

75. Yamada K, Chen T, Kumar G, et al. (2000) Chitosan Based Water-Resistant Adhesive. Analogy to Mussel Glue. *Biomacromolecules* 1: 252–8.

76. Il’ina AV, Varlamov VP (2005) Chitosan-based polyelectrolyte complexes: A review. *Appl Biochem Micro* 41: 5–11.

77. Lu Y, Sun W, Gu Z (2014) Stimuli responsive nanomaterials for therapeutic protein delivery. *J Controlled Release* 194: 1–19.

78. Haug A, Larsen B, Smidsrød O (1963) The degradation of alginate at different pH values. *Acta Chem Scand* 17: 1466.

79. Timell TE (1964) The acid Hydrolysis of glycosides I. General conditions and the effect of the nature of the aglycone. *Can J Chem* 42: 1456–1472.

80. Haug A, Larsen B, Smidsrød O (1967) Alkaline degradation of alginate. *Acta Chem Scand* 21: 2859–2870.

81. Smidsrød O, Haug A, Larsen B (1967) Oxidative-reductive depolymerization: a note on the comparison of degradation rates of different polymers by viscosity measurements. *Carbohydr Res* 5: 482–485.

82. Holme HK, Foros H, Pettersen H, et al. (2001) Thermal depolymerization of chitosan chloride. *Carbohydr Polym* 46: 287–294.

83. Vårum KM, Ottøy MH, Smidsrød O (2001) Acid hydrolysis of chitosans. *Carbohydr Polym* 46: 89–98.

84. Menchicchi B, Fuenzalida JP, Bobbili KB, et al. (2014) Structure of chitosan determines its interactions with mucin. *Biomacromolecules* 15: 3550–3558.

85. Chen S, Cao Y, Ferguson LR, et al. (2013) Evaluation of mucoadhesive coatings of chitosan and thiolated chitosan for the colonic delivery of microencapsulated probiotic bacteria. *J Microencapsu* 30: 103–115.

86. Rubinstein A (2005) Colon drug delivery. *Drug Discov Today: Technol* 2: 33–37.

87. Vandamme TF, Lenourry A, Charrueau C, et al. (2002) The use of polysaccharides to target drugs to the colon. *Carbohydr Polym* 48: 219–231.

88. Wong TY, Preston LA, Schiller NL (2000) ALGINATE LYASE: Review of Major Sources and Enzyme Characteristics, Structure-Function Analysis, Biological Roles, and Applications. *Ann Rev Microbiol* 54: 289–340.
89. Gacesa P (1988) Alginates. Carbohydr Polym 8: 161–182.
90. Wargacki AJ, Leonard E, Win MN, et al. (2012) An Engineered Microbial Platform for Direct Biofuel Production from Brown Macroalgae. Science 335: 308–313.
91. Kean T, Thanou M (2010) Biodegradation, biodistribution and toxicity of chitosan. Adv Drug Deliv Rev 62: 3–11.
92. Funkhouser JD, Aronson NN (2007) Chitinase family GH18: evolutionary insights from the genomic history of a diverse protein family. BMC Evol Biol 7: 96.
93. Nordtveit RJ, Vårum KM, Smidsrød O (1994) Degradation of fully water-soluble, partially N-acetylated chitosans with lysozyme. Carbohydr Polym 23: 253–260.
94. Brouwer J, van Leeuwen-Herberts T, Otting-van de Ruit M (1984) Determination of lysozyme in serum, urine, cerebrospinal fluid and feces by enzyme immunoassay. Clin Chim Acta 142: 21–30.
95. Vårum KM, Myhr MM, Hjerde RJN, et al. (1997) In vitro degradation rates of partially N-acetylated chitosans in human serum. Carbohydr Res 299: 99–101.
96. Deckers D, Vanlint D, Callewaert L, et al. (2008) Role of the Lysozyme Inhibitor Ivy in Growth or Survival of Escherichia coli and Pseudomonas aeruginosa Bacteria in Hen Egg White and in Human Saliva and Breast Milk. Appl Environ Microbiol 74: 4434–4439.
97. Callewaert L, Michiels CW (2010) Lysozyme in animal kingdom. J Biosci 35: 127–160.
98. Cohen JS (1969) Proton Magnetic Resonance Studies of Human Lysozyme. Nature 223: 43–46.
99. Kristiansen A, Vårum KM, Grasdalen H (1998) The interactions between highly de-N-acetylated chitosans and lysozyme from chicken egg white studied by 1H-NMR spectroscopy. Eur J Biochem 251: 335–342.
100. Sasaki C, Kristiansen A, Fukamizo T, et al. (2003) Biospecific Fractionation of Chitosan. Biomacromolecules 4: 1686–1690.
101. Ren D, Yi H, Wang W, et al. (2005) The enzymatic degradation and swelling properties of chitosan matrices with different degrees of N-acetylation. Carbohydr Res 340: 2403–2410.
102. Yang YM, Hu W, Wang XD, et al. (2007) The controlling biodegradation of chitosan fibers by n-acetylation in vitro and in vivo. J Mater Sci Mater Med 18: 2117–2121.
103. Han T, Nwe N, Furuike T, et al. (2012) Methods of N-acetylated chitosan scaffolds and its in vitro biodegradation by lysozyme. J Biomed Sci Eng 5: 15–23.
104. Vårum KM, Holme HK, Izume M, et al. (1996) Determination of enzymatic hydrolysis specificity of partially N-acetylated chitosans. Biochem Biophy Acta (BBA) 1291: 5–15.
105. Wen X, Kellum JA (2012) N-Acetyl-beta-D-Glucosaminidase (NAG). Encyclopedia Intensive Care Medicine 1509–1510.
106. Lim SM, Song DK, Oh SH, et al. (2008) In vitro and in vivo degradation behavior of acetylated chitosan porous beads. J Biomater Sci Polym Ed 19: 453–466.
107. Bajaj P, Schweller RM, Khademhosseini A, et al. (2014) 3D Biofabrication Strategies for Tissue Engineering and Regenerative Medicine. Annu Rev Biomed Eng 16: 247–276.
108. Guarino V, Cirillo V, Altobelli R, et al. (2015) Polymer-based platforms by electric field-assisted techniques for tissue engineering and cancer therapy. Expert Rev Med Devices 12: 113–29.
109. Li Z, Zhang M (2005) Chitosan–alginate as scaffolding material for cartilage tissue engineering. J Biomed Mater Res A 75: 485–493.
110. Phan-Lai V, Florczyk SJ, Kievit FM (2013) Three-Dimensional Scaffolds to Evaluate Tumor Associated Fibroblast-Mediated Suppression of Breast Tumor Specific T Cells. Biomacromolecules 14: 1330–1337.
111. Florczyk SJ, Liu G, Kievit FM, et al. (2012) 3D porous chitosan-alginate scaffolds: a new matrix for studying prostate cancer cell-lymphocyte interactions in vitro. Adv Healthc Mater 1: 590–599.
112. Kievit FM Florczyk SJ, Leung MC, et al. (2010) Chitosan-alginate 3D scaffolds as a mimic of the glioma tumor microenvironment. Biomaterials 31: 5903–5910.
113. Mura S, Nicolas J, Couvreur P (2013) Stimuli-Responsive Nanocarriers for Drug Delivery. Nat Mater 12: 991–1003.
114. Gonçalves M, Figueira P, Maciel D (2014) pH-sensitive Laponite®/doxorubicin/alginate nanohybrids with improved anticancer efficacy. Acta Biomater 10: 300–307
115. Kim CK, Lee EJ (1992) The controlled release of blue dextran from alginate beads. Int J Pharm 79: 11–19.
116. Gulbake A, Jain SK (2012) Chitosan: a potential polymer for colon-specific drug delivery system. Exp Opin Drug Deliv 9: 713–729.
117. Ganguly K, Aminabhavi TM, Kulkarni AR (2011) Colon Targeting of 5-Fluorouracil Using Polyethylene Glycol Cross-linked Chitosan Microspheres Enteric Coated with Cellulose Acetate Phthalate. Ind Eng Chem Res 50: 11797–11807.
118. Lai CK, Lu YL, Hsieh JT, et al. (2014), Development of chitosan/heparin nanoparticle-encapsulated cytolethal distending toxin for gastric cancer therapy. Nanomedicine 9: 803–817.
119. Arora S, Budhiraja RD (2012) Chitosan-alginate microcapsules of amoxicillin for gastric stability and mucoadhesion. J Adv Pharm Techno Res 3: 68–74.
120. Du J, Dai J, Liu JL, et al. (2006) Novel pH-sensitive polyelectrolyte carboxymethyl Konjac glucomannan-chitosan beads as drug carriers. React Funct Polym 66: 1055–1061.
121. Liu Z, Jiao Y, Liu F, et al. (2007) Heparin/chitosan nanoparticle carriers prepared by polyelectrolyte complexation. J Biomed Mater Res Part A 83: 806 –812.
122. Zhou T, Xiao C, Fan J, et al. (2012) A nanogel of on-site tunable pH-response for efficient anticancer drug delivery. Acta Biomater 9: 4546–4557.
123. Kutlu C, Çakmak AS, Gümüşderelioğlu M (2014) Double-effective chitosan scaffold-PLGA nanoparticle system for brain tumour therapy; in vitro study. J Microencapsul 31: 700–707.
124. Feng C, Song R, Sun G, et al. (2014) Immobilization of Coacervate Microcapsules in Multilayer Sodium Alginate Beads for Efficient Oral Anticancer Drug Delivery. Biomacromolecules 15: 985–996.
125. Anchisi C, Meloni MC, Maccioni AM (2006) Chitosan beads loaded with essential oils in cosmetic formulations. J Cosmet Sci 57: 205–214.
126. Ariza-Avidad M, Nieto A, Salinas-Castillo A, et al. (2014) Monitoring of degradation of porous silicon photonic crystals using digital photograph. Nanoscale Res Lett 9: 410.
127. Jung SM, Yoon GH, Lee HC, et al. (2015) Chitosan nanoparticle/PCL nanofiber composite for wound dressing and drug delivery. J Biomater Sci Polym 26: 252–263.
128. Badawy ME, El-Aswad AF (2014) Bioactive paper sensor based on the acetylcholinesterase for the rapid detection of organophosphates and carbamate pesticides. Int J Anal Chem 2014 Article ID 536823.
129. Spreen KA, Zikakis JP, Austin PR (1984) Chitin, Chitosan and Related Enzymes. In J P Zikakis (Ed.) Academic Press, Orlando, 57.
130. Vázquez N, Chacón M, Meana Á, et al. (2015) Keratin-chitosan membranes as scaffold for tissue engineering of human cornea. Histol Histopathol 30: 813–821.
131. Cheng YH, Hung KH, Tsai TH, et al. (2014) Sustained delivery of latanoprost by thermosensitive chitosan-gelatin-based hydrogel for controlling ocular hypertension. *Acta Biomater* 10: 4360–4366.

132. Wu FC, Tseng RL, Juang RS (2010) A review and experimental verification of using chitosan and its derivatives as adsorbents for selected heavy metals. *J Environ Manage* 91: 798–806.

133. Szymańska E, Winnicka K, Amelian A, et al. (2014) Vaginal chitosan tablets with clotrimazole-design and evaluation of mucoadhesive properties using porcine vaginal mucosa, mucin and gelatin. *Chem Pharm Bull (Tokyo)* 62:160–167.

134. Rao TV, Kumar GK, Ahmed MG, et al. (2012) Development and evaluation of chitosan based oral controlled matrix tablets of losartan potassium. *Int J Pharm Investig* 2: 157–161.

135. Wassmer S, Rafat M, Fong WG, et al. (2013) Chitosan microparticles for delivery of proteins to the retina. *Acta Biomater* 9: 7855–7864.

136. Chen CK, Wang Q, Jones CH, et al. (2014) Synthesis of pH-responsive chitosan nanocapsules for the controlled delivery of doxorubicin. *Langmuir* 30: 4111–4119.

137. Prasad M, Palanivelu P (2014) Immobilization of a thermostable, fungal recombinant chitinase on biocompatible chitosan beads and the properties of the immobilized enzyme. *Biotechnol Appl Biochem* 62: 523–529.

138. Jain SK, Chourasia MK, Sabitha M, et al. (2003) Development and characterization of transdermal drug delivery systems for diltiazem hydrochloride. *Drug Deliv* 10: 169–177.

139. Kong M, Chen XG, Xing K, et al. (2010) Antimicrobial properties of chitosan and mode of action: a state of the art review. *Int J Food Microbiol* 144: 51–63.

140. Yang J, Luo K, Li D, et al. (2013) Preparation, characterization and in vitro anticoagulant activity of highly sulfated chitosan. *Int J Biol Macromol* 52: 25–31.

141. Whang HS, Kirsch W, Zhu YH, et al. (2005) Hemostatic Agents Derived from Chitin and Chitosan. *J Macromol Sci Part C: Polym Rev* 45: 309–323.

142. Čopíková J, Taubner T, Tůma J, et al. (2015) Cholesterol and fat lowering with hydrophobic polysaccharide derivatives. *Carbohydr Polym* 116: 207–214.

143. Pereira GG, Guterres SS, Balducci AG, et al. (2014) Polymeric films loaded with vitamin E and aloe vera for topical application of burn wounds. *Biomed Res Int* 2014 ID 641590.

144. Rojas-Graü MA, Tapia MS, Rodríguez FJ, et al. (2007) Alginate and gellan based edible coatings as support of antibrowning agents applied on fresh-cut Fuji apple. *Food Hydrocolloids* 21: 118–127.

145. Wargacki AJ, Leonard E, Win MN, et al. (2012) An engineered microbial platform for direct biofuel production from brown macroalgae. *Science* 335: 308–313.

146. Sarei F, Dounighi NM, Zolfagharian H, et al. (2013) Alginate Nanoparticles as a Promising Adjuvant and Vaccine Delivery System. *Indian J Pharm Sci* 75: 442–449.

147. Tønnesen HH, Karlsen J (2002) Alginate in Drug Delivery Systems. *Drug Dev Ind Pharm* 28: 621–630.

148. Giri TK, Thakur D, Alexander A, et al. (2012) Alginate based Hydrogel as a Potential Biopolymeric Carrier for Drug Delivery and Cell Delivery Systems: Present Status and Applications. *Curr Drug Deliv* 9: 539–555.

149. Murata Y, Sasaki N, Miyamoto E, et al. (2000) Use of floating alginate gel beads for stomach-specific drug deliver. *Eur J Pharm Biopharm* 50: 221–226.
150. Paul W, Sharma CP (2004) Chitosan and Alginate Wound Dressings: A Short Review. *Trends Biomater Artif Organs* 18: 18–23.

151. Tanga M, Chena W, Weira MD, et al. (2012) Human embryonic stem cell encapsulation in alginate microbeads in macroporous calcium phosphate cement for bone tissue engineering. *Acta Biomater* 8: 3436–3445.

152. Martinez JC, Kim JW, Ye C, et al. (2012) A Microfluidic Approach to Encapsulate Living Cells in Uniform Alginate Hydrogel Microparticles. *Macromol Biosci* 12:946–951.

153. Yang Q, Lei S (2014) Alginate Dressing Application in Hemostasis After Using Seldinger Peripherally Inserted Central Venous Catheter in Tumor Patients. *Indian J Hematol Blood Transfus* DOI 10.1007/s12288-014-0490-1.

154. Kimura Y, Watanabe K, Okuda H (1996) Effects of soluble sodium alginate on cholesterol excretion and glucose tolerance in rats. *J Ethnopharmacol* 54: 47–54.

155. Ueno M, Tamura Y, Toda N, et al. (2012) Sodium Alginate Oligosaccharides Attenuate Hypertension in Spontaneously Hypertensive Rats Fed a Low-Salt Diet. *Clin Exp Hypertens* 34: 305–310.

156. Odunsi ST, Vázquez-Roque MI, Camiller M (2009) Effect of alginate on satiation, appetite, gastric function, and selected gut satiety hormones in overweight and obesity. *Obesity* 18: 1579–1584.

157. Bhunchu S, Rojsitthisak P (2014) Biopolymeric alginate-chitosan nanoparticles as drug delivery carriers for cancer therapy. *Pharmazie* 69: 563–570.

158. Idota Y, Harada H, Tomono T, et al. (2013) Alginate Enhances Excretion and Reduces Absorption of Strontium and Cesium in Rats. *Biol Pharm Bull* 36: 485–491.

© 2015 Vincenzo Guarino, et al., licensee AIMS Press. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0)