Effect of Ausforming on Retained Austenite After Continuous Cooling Transformation in a Medium-Carbon High Strength Steel
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The effects of deformation on transformation kinetics during continuous cooling and microstructure evolution of a medium carbon high strength steel were investigated by metallographic method, dilatometry and X-ray diffraction etc. The results show that ausforming accelerated the ferrite and martensite transformation, but significantly retarded the bainite transformation. In addition, the amount of retained austenite decreased first and then increased, while the carbon content in retained austenite increased with the accumulation of strain. The change trend of the average dislocation density in martensite with strain showed the opposite trend as that of the amount of retained austenite. Moreover, the martensite laths were refined by ausforming. The work provides the theoretical reference for the design of parameters of thermalmechanical controlled process (TMCP) in the production of medium-carbon high strength steels.
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1. Introduction

Controlled rolling and controlled cooling technology, normally termed as thermalmechanical controlled process (TMCP), has been successfully adopted in the production of high strength steels for many years1,2. The continuous cooling process is normally used in the processing of steels. Different continuous cooling schedules lead to different microstructures and mechanical properties. Thus, the study on continuous cooling process of steels is important. Regarding to the high strength steels during continuous cooling, many studies were conducted on the continuous cooling transformation (CCT) curves3-5. In addition, some investigations focused on effects of cooling rate and strain on microstructure evolution during continuous cooling6,7. Retained austenite (RA) and its stability play an important role in the mechanical properties of many steels, i.e. TRIP and Q&P steels8,9. Author’s previous work found that the carbon-enriched RA contributes to the elongation10. RA in Nb-bearing steel exhibits high stability due to its high stacking fault energy, which is caused by the dissolution of Nb in the final multi-phase microstructure11. Timokhina et al.12 reported that the stability of RA depends on the morphology and distribution of RA in microstructure.

Summarizing existing literatures, the stability of RA, which is affected by chemical composition, morphology and phase transition temperatures, is widely investigated. Hu et al.13 studied the effect of ausforming at bainite transformation temperature on RA in a C-Mn-Si bainitic steel. They claimed that the carbon content in RA increased first and then decreased with strain. However, few studies on the effect of high-temperature ausforming on RA with continuous cooling are reported. In addition, during the whole cooling process from austenitizing temperature, the diffusive transformation (ferrite transformation and bainite transformation) happens firstly at higher temperature, which is accompanied with carbon diffusion, and then the subsequent displacive transformation (martensite transformation) occurs without carbon diffusion. The larger the ausforming strain is, the more the dislocations and subgrains in the microstructure are induced. And the subsequent phase transformation is promoted by more nucleation sites provided by dislocations and subgrains, but the growth of grains is also retarded by dislocation and grain boundaries. Thus, the effect of ausforming on microstructure evolution during continuous cooling process and the RA at ambient temperature needs to be further clarified. Therefore, in the present study, the microstructure evolution during cooling process and relationship between ausforming and RA after continuous cooling were investigated. The purpose is to provide the theoretical reference for the design of processing parameters of TMCP in the actual production of medium-carbon high strength steels.

2. Material and Experimental Procedures

The tested steel with the chemical component of Fe-0.45C-2.03Si-2.81Mn (wt.%) was refined in a laboratory-scale vacuum furnace of 50 kg. The addition of silicon was to prevent the formation of cementite14,15. The ingots were first hot-rolled and then air-cooled to ambient temperature. Specimens were machined to φ6.0 mm×10.0 mm size for thermomechanical simulation tests. According to CCT curve of the tested steel, calculated and plotted by JMatPro 7.0 software.
in Figure 1, the cooling speed of 1 °C/s was adopted to obtain multi-phase microstructure. Gleeble 3500 simulator was used for thermal simulation experiments according to the procedures in Figure 2. Specimens were heated to 860 °C for 15 min at a heating rate of 10 °C/s for austenitization. Then, the specimens were deformed with 0, 25% and 50% strains at a strain rate of 1s⁻¹, respectively. Finally, all specimens were cooled at 1 °C/s to ambient temperature. Here, the strain of 25% and 50% means true strain.

All specimens were prepared by mechanical polishing and etching for the subsequent microstructure observation. The mechanical polishing consisted of first grinding the specimens with a series of SiC papers of decreasing granularity and then polishing with diamond paste. The specimens were finally etched with 4% nital for a few seconds to reveal the microstructure. The examination of microstructure was conducted on a Nova 400 Nano field emission scanning electron microscope (SEM) and the acceleration voltage was set at 20 kV. Bruker diffractometer with Co Kα radiation was selected for X-ray diffraction (XRD) experiments to measure the volume fraction of RA and the following equation was used to calculate the amount of austenite:

\[ V_f = \frac{I}{I + G(I_a / I_γ)} \]  

where \( V_f \) means the RA amount for each peak, \( I_γ \) and \( I_a \) represent the integrated intensities of austenite and ferrite peaks, respectively. The following \( G \) values for each peak are obtained from the reference: 2.46, 1.32 and 1.78 for \( I_a(200) / I_γ(200) \), \( I_a(220) / I_γ(220) \) and \( I_a(311) / I_γ(311) \), respectively, 1.21, 0.65 and 0.87 for \( I_a(211) / I_γ(200) \), \( I_a(211) / I_γ(220) \) and \( I_a(211) / I_γ(311) \), respectively. Carbon content in RA was calculated using formula (2):

\[ X_C = \frac{a - 0.28664}{0.00028} \]

where \( a \) is the lattice parameter of RA, \( X_c \) is the carbon content in RA.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1 Microstructural observation

Figure 3 indicates the typical SEM microstructures of specimens deformed with different strains. According to the classification method to identify the microstructure proposed in Wang et al., \( a \) is observed that the microstructure of non-deformed specimen consists of ferrite (F), bainite (B), martensite (M) or martensite/austenite (M/A) islands and RA. Ferrite is soft phase and is easy to be etched, showing in concave and polygonal morphology in the microstructure as pointed by arrows in Figure 3. Moreover, bainite phase presents the regular sheaves and contains interlath RA (shown in white film morphology) in SEM microstructure. Due to the addition of high silicon content, the precipitation of cementite was suppressed, resulting in the suppression of perlite. Thus, the stepwise decomposition of austenite into ferrite, bainite and martensite during continuous cooling process was observed at cooling speeds of 1 °C/s, which is consistent with the CCT curve in Figure 1. On the other hand, only polygonal ferrite and martensite form during continuous cooling process in deformed specimens, so the microstructure is composed of ferrite, martensite and RA. Compared to non-deformed specimen, the deformed specimens contain more ferrite and martensite laths are finer. No bainite is observed. This may be attributed to the fact that more nucleation sites are induced by deformation, which is beneficial to the ferrite transformation at high temperature, resulting in more ferrite in deformed specimen. In addition, the decomposition of austenite into ferrite is accompanied with carbon diffusion, thus the surrounding residual austenite is carbon-riched, causing higher chemical stability of residual austenite. Hence, the subsequent banite transformation is suppressed because of the high stability of residual austenite. Moreover, when the temperature is below martensite start temperature (Ms), the martensite start to form. The growth of martensite is interfered by more grain boundaries or dislocations in deformed specimens, resulting in finer martensite laths, as shown in the enlarged images in Figure 3. Besides, some austenite is retained at ambient temperature. Furthermore, the amount of ferrite transformation increases and the martensite lath is further
finer with strain. The more serious the deformation is, the more nucleation sites for ferrite transformation are induced, resulting in more ferrite. On the other hand, smaller grain size of austenite caused by severer deformation leads to the formation of finer martensite laths.

As an example to calculate the ferrite and martensite amounts, the micrographs of specimen with 25% strain are given in Figure 4. The darker areas contain ferrite and martensite (Figure 4a). Firstly, the darker areas are automatically colored in red by Image-Pro Plus software, as shown in
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**Figure 3.** SEM microstructures of different specimens: (a) without deformation; (b) 25% strain; (c) 50% strain

![Example of calculating ferrite and martensite](image2.jpg)

**Figure 4.** The example showing the method of calculating the volume fractions of ferrite and martensite: (a) the original micrograph; (b) the darker areas in Figure 4a are colored red; (c) the concave regions are manually marked.
Figure 4b. The percentage of red areas is automatically calculated and the result is termed as $A_1$. Secondly, the concave areas belong to ferrite and it needs to be subtracted from red regions specially. The concave regions are carefully and manually marked (Figure 4c). The area percentage of concave areas (termed as $A_2$) is measured by Image-Pro Plus software. Thirdly, the remaining part (termed as $A_3$) is calculated by $A_3=A_1-A_2$, which should be the martensite amount. In this way, $A_1$ and $A_2$ are measured to be 87.48% and 17.35%, respectively, so that $A_3$ is 65.63%. Therefore, the martensite and ferrite amounts in specimen with 25% strain were measured to be 65.13±6.58% and 18.35±3.04%, respectively. In addition, several typical SEM micrographs of each specimen were calculated for better accuracy of statistical results, and the average values are obtained as the final results.

3.2 Analysis of dilation curves

Figure 5 illustrates the dilatation curves of specimens under different ausforming conditions. The dilatation curves are the results of volume shrinkage caused by cooling and dilation caused by transformation. The amount of dilation is a function of temperature. No obvious ferrite transformation is observed and the small bainite transformation is shown at 461 °C (Figure 5a). It should be pointed out that a little ferrite exists in microstructure (Figure 3a). This is not contradictory because only a certain amount of ferrite transformation can be shown in dilation curve during continuous cooling process. A sharp increase in dilation from point A to B in Figure 5b is caused by plastic deformation. It is noted that two visible slope changes in dilation curves are observed in deformed specimens (Figure 5b and 5c), indicating the occurrence of corresponding ferrite and martensite transformation. The ferrite transformation in deformed specimens demonstrates deformation induced ferrite transformation (DIFT). The DIFT is affected by strain amount. The larger the strain is, the earlier the DIFT occurs. Ferrite normally nucleates at the grain boundaries of austenite and defects. The austenite grains are broken and more dislocations are formed by ausforming$^{20}$, resulting in the increase in the nucleation rate per unit volume of ferrite. On the other hand, more dislocations induced by ausforming are conducive to the diffusion of carbon atoms, resulting in shortening of inoculation period of ferrite transformation$^{21}$. Therefore, the ferrite transformation is accelerated by ausforming. And the ferrite transformation happens earlier in 50% deformed specimen due to the severer deformation.

In addition, it is observed that the matensite start temperature (Ms) increases in deformed specimens. Due to the decomposition of austenite into ferrite, the ferrite/austenite (α/γ) interface is introduced in the microstructure, which provides more nucleation sites$^{22}$. On the other hand, carbon is diffused into the surrounding austenite during ferrite reaction and the diffusion of carbon at the α/γ interfaces is much faster than that in austenite grains$^{23}$. Thus, the carbon concentration near α/γ interface is higher than that in the center of austenite grain, resulting in the formation of carbon concentration gradients. Martensite transformation prefers to initiate at defects and carbon-depleted regions$^{24}$. Therefore, ferrite transformation induced by ausforming accelerates the martensite transformation and results in the increase of Ms in deformed specimens. Moreover, the residual austenite becomes less and carbon content is higher because of the

Figure 5. The dilation curves of different specimens: (a) without deformation; (b) 25% strain; (c) 50% strain
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rejection of carbon from ferrite in specimen with 50% strain compared to that in specimen with 25% strain. Hence, higher chemical stability of austenite due to higher carbon content plays an important role in subsequent martensite transformation, resulting in lower Ms in specimen with 50% strain compared to that in specimen with 25% strain.

Figure 6a gives the stress-strain curves of deformed specimens. The flow stress increases first and then decreases with the accumulation of strain. The maximum flow stress is the same for deformed specimens. It indicates that the maximum flow stress is independent of deformation strain because the maximum flow stress occurs at about 20% strain, while it is depended on the strain rate and deformation temperature. In addition, the literature has proven that stress affects the transformation kinetics. Hence, it is necessary to analyze the stress on specimens during continuous cooling. Figure 6b shows the stress state during cooling process versus temperature, illustrating small stress less than 3 MPa on the samples during continuous cooling process. A stress as small as possible was applied on samples during continuous cooling in order to fix the specimen in place. According to the result by Zhou et al., the transformation will not be affected by small stress less than 3MPa. Therefore, the impact of stress on transformation can be ignored.

3.3 RA and carbon content

Figure 7 illustrates the diffraction patterns of undeformed and deformed specimens by XRD experiments. The angles and integrated intensities of diffraction peaks were decided by HighScore Plus software. According to Equations 1 and 2, the volume fractions and carbon concentrations in RA were calculated and the average values of V and carbon content were gained as the final results and the corresponding results are given in Table 1. It exhibits that the volume fraction of RA decreases first and then increases, while the carbon content increases with strain. This is because only a few bainite and ferrite form during continuous cooling in non-deformed specimen, resulting in less carbon diffusing into the surrounding austenite, thus the carbon content of RA is lower in specimen without deformation. On the other hand, more ferrite forms by ausforming and more carbon atoms are rejected to adjacent austenite. Hence, the carbon content is higher in deformed specimen. In addition, more ferrite in specimen with 25% strain means less residual austenite for subsequent transformation. Thus, the residual austenite amount decreases after martensite transformation, leading to less RA at ambient temperature compared to that in specimen with 25% strain.

Table 1. The volume fractions and carbon contents of RA and the average dislocation density in different specimens

| Specimens          | Without strain | With 25% strain | With 50% strain |
|--------------------|----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| RA(%)              | 19.91±3.13     | 9.86±1.32       | 19.88±3.08      |
| Carbon content (wt.%) | 0.46±0.12     | 0.54±0.18       | 0.81±0.26       |
| Average dislocation density (10$^{13}$/m$^2$) | 3.65           | 8.97            | 3.99            |

Figure 6. (a) Stress-strain curves with different strains during deformation; (b) stress state during cooling period versus temperature

Figure 7. The diffraction peaks of specimens
carbon content in RA increased with strain. This is because fraction of RA decreased first and then increased, while the dislocations in deformed specimens. Besides, the volume growth was retarded by more grain boundaries and \( \alpha/\gamma \) interfaces, and the martensite laths was refined because hindered by ferrite transformation. Moreover, the \( M_s \) increased the subsequent decomposition of austenite into bainite was strain was, the greater the promotion degree was. In addition, by increasing the nucleation rate per unit volume and shortening The results show that ausforming promoted ferrite transformation metallographic method, dilatometry and XRD experiments. during continuous cooling and microstructure evolution of a medium carbon high strength steel were investigated by Dunn and Kogh. The average dislocation density (ADD) in ferrite can be measured by XRD experiments. The values of ADD in different specimens were calculated by Equation 3 proposed by Dunn and Kogh, where \( \rho \) represents the ADD in ferrite, \( \beta \) means the half-width of (211)\( \alpha \) and \( b \) is Burgers vector of 0.248 nm. Figure 8 shows the (211)\( \alpha \) diffraction peaks of different specimens and the calculated results of the ADD are given in Table 1. It is observed that the ADD increases first and then decreases with strain. Compared with the dislocation density in non-deformed specimen, more dislocations are generated by ausforming, thus the average dislocation density obviously increases in deformed specimens with 25% strain. Moreover, the average dislocation density decreases when the strain increases to be 50%. This is because that dynamic recrystallization happens during deformation process, which is proved in Figure 6a. The flow stress reaches the maximum value when the strain is about 20% and after that, the stress is decreased with strain, which means the occurrence of dynamic recrystallization and results in the reduction of dislocation density. Therefore, the average dislocation density is decreased in the specimen with 50% strain.

4. Conclusions

The effects of deformation on transformation kinetics during continuous cooling and microstructure evolution of a medium carbon high strength steel were investigated by metallographic method, dilatometry and XRD experiments. The results show that ausforming promoted ferrite transformation by increasing the nucleation rate per unit volume and shortening of inoculation period of ferrite transformation. The larger the strain was, the greater the promotion degree was. In addition, the subsequent decomposition of austenite into bainite was hindered by ferrite transformation. Moreover, the Ms increased in deformed specimens by more martensite embryos at the \( \alpha/\gamma \) interfaces, and the martensite laths was refined because the growth was retarded by more grain boundaries and dislocations in deformed specimens. Besides, the volume fraction of RA decreased first and then increased, while the carbon content in RA increased with strain. This is because more ferrite formed in deformed specimens accompanied with more carbon atoms rejecting to the adjacent austenite, resulting in the increase of carbon content in RA. Finally, average dislocation density increased first and then decreased with the accumulation of strain.
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