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Abstract

In our study, we dealt with the agricultural materials and waste to which the pyrolysis process is applicable, as part of a CHP pyrolysis power plant. We introduce the processes of planning, actualisation, and preceding experiments. We finish the study with introducing the experiences gathered from analysing the designed and manufactured prototype machinery. We hope that the solution will be in good service to the decentralised energy supply of rural and agricultural areas, and its usage will offer jobs and a source of income for both entrepreneurship and civilian population.
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1. Introduction

Usability of biomass

In Hungary, plant production binds three times as much atomic carbon and energy as the total amount of energy resources produced in the country. However, this plant product is only partially exploited as foodstuffs, fodder, or industrial materials. The part we do not use (energy plants, by-products, waste) are partially exploited as foodstuffs, fodder, or industrial materials. However, this plant product is only partially exploited as foodstuffs, fodder, or industrial materials. The part we do not use (energy plants, by-products, waste) are partially exploited as foodstuffs, fodder, or industrial materials. However, this plant product is only partially exploited as foodstuffs, fodder, or industrial materials. The part we do not use (energy plants, by-products, waste) are partially exploited as foodstuffs, fodder, or industrial materials. However, this plant product is only partially exploited as foodstuffs, fodder, or industrial materials. The part we do not use (energy plants, by-products, waste) are partially exploited as foodstuffs, fodder, or industrial materials. However, this plant product is only partially exploited as foodstuffs, fodder, or industrial materials. The part we do not use (energy plants, by-products, waste) are partially exploited as foodstuffs, fodder, or industrial materials. However, this plant product is only partially exploited as foodstuffs, fodder, or industrial materials. The part we do not use (energy plants, by-products, waste) are partially exploited as foodstuffs, fodder, or industrial materials. However, this plant product is only partially exploited as foodstuffs, fodder, or industrial materials. The part we do not use (energy plants, by-products, waste) are partially exploited as foodstuffs, fodder, or industrial materials. However, this plant product is only partially exploited as foodstuffs, fodder, or industrial materials. The part we do not use (energy plants, by-products, waste) are partially exploited as foodstuffs, fodder, or industrial materials. However, this plant product is only partially exploited as foodstuffs, fodder, or industrial materials. The part we do not use (energy plants, by-products, waste) are partially exploited as foodstuffs, fodder, or industrial materials. However, this plant product is only partially exploited as foodstuffs, fodder, or industrial materials. The part we do not use (energy plants, by-products, waste) are partially exploited as foodstuffs, fodder, or industrial materials. However, this plant product is only partially exploited as foodstuffs, fodder, or industrial materials. The part we do not use (energy plants, by-products, waste) are partially exploited as foodstuffs, fodder, or industrial materials. However, this plant product is only partially exploited as foodstuffs, fodder, or industrial materials. The part we do not use (energy plants, by-products, waste) are partially exploited as foodstuffs, fodder, or industrial materials. However, this plant product is only partially exploited as foodstuffs, fodder, or industrial materials. The part we do not use (energy plants, by-products, waste) are partially exploited as foodstuffs, fodder, or industrial materials. However, this plant product is only partially exploited as foodstuffs, fodder, or industrial materials. The part we do not use (energy plants, by-products, waste) are partially exploited as foodstuffs, fodder, or industrial materials. However, this plant product is only partially exploited as foodstuffs, fodder, or industrial materials. The part we do not use (energy plants, by-products, waste) are partially exploited as foodstuffs, fodder, or industrial materials. However, this plant product is only partially exploited as foodstuffs, fodder, or industrial materials. The part we do not use (energy plants, by-products, waste) are partially exploited as foodstuffs, fodder, or industrial materials. However, this plant product is only partially exploited as foodstuffs, fodder, or industrial materials. The part we do not use (energy plants, by-products, waste) are partially exploited as foodstuffs, fodder, or industrial materials. However, this plant product is only partially exploited as foodstuffs, fodder, or industrial materials. The part we do not use (energy plants, by-products, waste) are partially exploited as foodstuffs, fodder, or industrial materials. However, this plant product is only partially exploited as foodstuffs, fodder, or industrial materials. The part we do not use (energy plants, by-products, waste) are partially exploited as foodstuffs, fodder, or industrial materials. However, this plant product is only partially exploited as foodstuffs, fodder, or industrial materials. The part we do not use (energy plants, by-products, waste) are partially exploited as foodstuffs, fodder, or industrial materials. However, this plant product is only partially exploited as foodstuffs, fodder, or industrial materials. The part we do not use (energy plants, by-products, waste) are partially exploited as foodstuffs, fodder, or industrial materials. However, this plant product is only partially exploited as foodstuffs, fodder, or industrial materials. The part we do not use (energy plants, by-products, waste) are partially exploited as foodstuffs, fodder, or industrial materials. However, this plant product is only partially exploited as foodstuffs, fodder, or industrial materials. The part we do not use (energy plants, by-products, waste) are partially exploited as foodstuffs, fodder, or industrial materials. However, this plant product is only partially exploited as foodstuffs, fodder, or industrial materials. The part we do not use (energy plants, by-products, waste) are partially exploited as foodstuffs, fodder, or industrial materials. However, this plant product is only partially exploited as foodstuffs, fodder, or industrial materials. The part we do not use (energy plants, by-products, waste) are partially exploited as foodstuffs, fodder, or industrial materials. However, this plant product is only partially exploited as foodstuffs, fodder, or industrial materials. The part we do not use (energy plants, by-products, waste) are partially exploited as foodstuffs, fodder, or industrial materials. However, this plant product is only partially exploited as foodstuffs, fodder, or industrial materials. The part we do not use (energy plants, by-products, waste) are partially exploited as foodstuffs, fodder, or industrial materials. However, this plant product is only partially exploited as foodstuffs, fodder, or industrial materials. The part we do not use (energy plants, by-products, waste) are partially exploited as foodstuffs, fodder, or industrial materials. However, this plant product is only partially exploited as foodstuffs, fodder, or industrial materials. The part we do not use (energy plants, by-products, waste) are partially exploited as foodstuffs, fodder, or industrial materials. However, this plant product is only partially exploited as foodstuffs, fodder, or industrial materials. The part we do not use (energy plants, by-products, waste) are partially exploited as foodstuffs, fodder, or industrial materials. However, this plant product is only partially exploited as foodstuffs, fodder, or industrial materials. The part we do not use (energy plants, by-products, waste) are partially exploited as foodstuffs, fodder, or industrial materials. However, this plant product is only partially exploited as foodstuffs, fodder, or industrial materials. The part we do not use (energy plants, by-products, waste) are partially exploited as foodstuffs, fodder, or industrial materials. However, this plant product is only partially exploited as foodstuffs, fodder, or industrial materials. The part we do not use (energy plants, by-products, waste) are partially exploited as foodstuffs, fodder, or industrial materials. However, this plant product is only partially exploited as foodstuffs, fodder, or industrial materials. The part we do not use (energy plants, by-products, waste) are partially exploited as foodstuffs, fodder, or industrial materials. However, this plant product is only partially exploited as foodstuffs, fodder, or industrial materials. The part we do not use (energy plants, by-products, waste) are partially exploited as foodstuffs, fodder, or industrial materials.

The wooden gas power plant designed by SZIE GEK and CSŐ-MONTAGE Co. Ltd. abides by all the aforementioned requirements. The electric performance (100 kW) can be used for satisfying local (small enterprise) demands, but may be used by linking to the power grid (cooperation) as well.

2. Description of the pyrolysis process

Gasification process

During gasification, the heating material goes through various physical and chemical changes. The fuel placed into the gasification chamber is heated to a high temperature, typically between 700°C and 1,000°C. This process breaks down the organic material into a gas mixture, which is rich in hydrogen and carbon monoxide. The gas produced is called gasification gas or syngas. The efficiency of gasification depends on various factors such as the type of fuel, the temperature, and the residence time of the material in the gasification chamber.

The gas mixture produced during gasification can be further processed to obtain a variety of products. One of the most common applications is the production of biofuel, such as bio-methane or bio diesel. Bio-methane can be used as a substitute for natural gas or as a feedstock for the production of methanol or ammonia. Bio diesel can be used as an alternative to petroleum-based diesel fuel.

The gasification process is used in a wide range of industries, including the production of electricity, heat, and chemicals. It is a sustainable and environmentally friendly process, as it reduces the dependence on fossil fuels and minimizes the emission of greenhouse gases. However, the gasification process is also associated with challenges, such as the management of the waste gas produced and the potential leakage of greenhouse gases. Therefore, further research and development are needed to address these challenges and improve the efficiency and sustainability of the gasification process. 
generator starts to dry at first using the heat from burning gases, then further heating causes the pyrolysis. This process releases water vapour, carbon-dioxide, hydrogen, heavy carbon-hydrogen variants, mainly ethylene, methane, carbon-monoxide, tar vapours, vinegar acid, methyl alcohol, nitrogen, ammonia, and sulphur-hydrogen variants [1, 7]. During the gasification of wooden heating materials, a significant amount of vinegar acid, methyl alcohol and carbon-dioxide are produced. After the process of gasification, the remaining coke and oxygen produce the so-called illuminating gas [9-11]. Gases in this category mix and result in generator gas. Many processes are used, of which the one that fits our purposes the most, the so-called top-fill bottom-airflow countercurrent system was chosen [12, 13].

Within the system, processes concluding in the gasification tank can be separated into zones (Figure 1):

– Drying zone (60- 180 °C)
– Carbonisation (pyrolysis) zone (180- 600 °C)
– Oxidation (combustion) zone (1000- 1250 °C)
– Reduction zone (1000-800 °C)
– Ash zone

In the reduction zone important for gas quality, the non-combustible pyrolysis gases and non-combustible carbon-dioxide and water is guided through the sizzling coal found in the lower layer [14]. At this place, coal causes further chemical reactions to reduce them:

\(-C+CO_2=2CO (-164,9 \text{ MJ/kg mol})\)
\(-C+H_2 O=CO+H_2 (-122,6 \text{ MJ/kg mol})\)
\(-CO+H_2 O=CO_2+H_2 (+42 \text{ MJ/kg mol})\)
\(-C+2H_2=CH_4 (+75 \text{ MJ/kg mol})\)
\(-CO_2+H_2=CO+H_2O (-42,3 \text{ MJ/kg mol})\)

In this zone, endothermal processes drain heat from the environment, and if the ideal temperature of 800-1000 °C is not met, the quality of produced gas will be lowered [15]. Carbon-hydrogen variants are released at an average of 800 °C, and gases combust visibly with the entrance of the secondary airflow into the combustion bed.

Notable requirements for pyrolysis

– Produced generator gas needs to have a high heating coefficient, meaning it needs to have a high content of combustible gases - in the case of \(H_2\) and \(CO\) contents of 25% moisture content heating material, acceptable heating coefficient if around 3500-5000 kJ/Nm³

– In order to protect the durability of engines, the tar content of the produced gas cannot exceed 0.05g/Nm³

– The coal input that accompanied the fuel (>95%) has to fully combust, which assures the advantageous efficiency of the whole process (70-80%)

– The fuel has to flow downwards without inhibition

– The system needs a low pressure drop (in the gas generator and attached parts as well)

– The system has to react to changing the loads according to the network's requirements.

Notable requirements for fuel

– High energy contents
– Low moisture content
– High volatile matter content
– Low amount of ash
– Good reaction capability
– High density
– Good carbonisation attributes
– The grain size of input material (fragmentation, etc.).

Energy contents is basically the same as heating efficiency / coefficient. Moisture content is important for gasification, as it results in combustible gases. However, when beyond a certain level, it will decrease the efficiency of the gas generator. This is caused by water being in a bound form inside it, which can only be removed by either drying or evaporation. Drying removes heat from the process, which also means energy loss [16]. The moisture content of freshly harvested wood is ~50-60%, which needs about a year to decrease to 25-30%, even with advantageous log size, and drying under roof in open air. A moisture content of 14-30% for wood materials and other biomass usable in gas generators is advantageous.

3. Analyses before planning and actualisation

We analysed the attributes of material pelletised and chopped to various sizes. If the group has no significant - disadvantageous for the process - impurities within it (such as sawdust, soil, bark waste, etc.), the flow resistance is decreased with increasing fraction size to f. e. 25-100 mm, which hastens the process.

The various materials were carbonised in an inert (nitrogen) context, to obtain information on the estimated gas yield. The heating efficiency of these gases was also determined, and our resulting values are summarised in Table 1.

| Material          | Heating value of gas (MJ/m³) |
|-------------------|-----------------------------|
| Willow waste      | 5.53                        |
| Hemp              | 4.78                        |
| Energy grass waste| 4.43                        |
| Acacia waste      | 4.14                        |
| Corn stem         | 4.01                        |
| Sunflower stem    | 3.91                        |
| Poplar waste      | 3.89                        |
| Rapeseed hay      | 3.86                        |
| Chinese bamboo    | 3.51                        |
| Wheat hay         | 3.24                        |

The base moisture content for all materials was between 14-15%, and their heating efficiency for dry material was between
11-19 MJ/kg. The highest heating efficiency was produced by poplar waste.

During carbonisation in inert gases, mass changes were also measured among different temperatures using a precision scale. We introduce such changes on Figure 2.

Usually, lower temperatures yielded more remaining materials (carbon, and other materials that cannot be subject to pyrolysis). Therefore, by increasing the temperature of pyrolysis, remaining materials lessen, meaning the samples "burn out" more [17].

On low temperature, the highest amount of remaining material resulted for soil-contaminated wooden waste, which may be due to how this sample also had much more, harder to combust materials as well, which can't be combusted on 400°C. A similar case happened for cut roots, which had significant amounts of soil.

Between hay, agri- and corn stem pellets, there was no significant difference of carbonisation speed on 400 and 600°C.

However, in cases of lower temperatures, there was always a higher difference. If latter materials are compared to pine waste and pellet made out of it, we will always result in faster carbonisation.

For hay and cane variants, there was difference in intensity of carbonisation, but the decomposition of remaining materials is also faster on lower temperatures.

This phenomenon may be due to the ligno-cellulose content (according to literature sources as well), and the temperature interval of their decomposition, which is intensive between 400 – 500°C.

After multiple analyses conducted with materials not listed here, and trials of ash decomposition, we conducted the designing phase of the machinery.

![Figure 2. Mass change intensity of materials in inert gas between 400 and 1000°C](image)

4. The planned system

**GG-100 wooden gas generator example’s characteristics**

The system is a so-called multiphase fixed bed solution, which separates the thermo-chemical transformation process into multiple parts using separate reaction zones into partial processes (drying, pyrolysis, oxidation, reduction). This makes the whole process easier to regulate (Figure 3). Therefore, the system can produce quality generator gas.

The freed energy is used to sustain the endothermal reduction process of the pyrolysis that happens with coke, thus reducing said coke (or coal) into generator gas, via the partial oxidation's resulting gaseous by-products.

Most of the energy of the high heat-content gas exiting the gas generator can be reclaimed by drying the fuel. The oxidation airflow is fed into the reactor after pre-heating it to 250°C in the heat exchanger next to the input area, which also increases the efficiency of the system.

The system consists of five main parts

I. Fuel system
   - fuel (biomass waste) container,
   - fuel dredging system,
   - fuel drier,
   - collector, elevator system.

II. Gas generator
   - fuel input floodgates,
   - combustion airflow system,
   - hot air ignition grate,
   - ash disperser.

III. Gas preparation area
   - gas cooling,
   - gas filtering,
   - gas purifying,
   - pressurisation.

IV. Gas engine and electricity generator
   - engine,
   - electric generator,
   - hydraulics system,
   - heat usage system (smoke gas – and engine - water. oil – heat exchangers)

V. Electronic operation system
   - material input, temperature control of gas generator, gas quality (purifiers), gas temperature and pressure control
   - gas generator, gas engine and electric generator controls
   - management of aforementioned systems, cooperation with the electric grid.

The entire control system is placed in the control technology container (with the visualisation of data, and the option of local intervention).
Structure of the system

Figure 3. Schematics of the system, name of components
(1) fuel container and drier machine, expulsion machine (TT), (2) transport lane,
(3) feeder (floodgates), (4) fuel pulley, (5) wood gasification generator (GG), (6) airflow, electric ignition,
(7) gas torch, (8) ash separator, ash disperser, (9) heat exchanger, (10) gas purification filter, gas purifier (P and M),
(11) pressuriser, (12) gas engine's expulsor heat exchanger (gas / water, heating and / or HMV),
(13) electric generator (G), (14) ash separated in the purifier, (15) electric grid connection,
(16) gas engine (GM), (17) engine heat waste heat exchanger (heating and / or HMV)

The reactor-side part of the system's example can be seen on Figure 4.

Figure 4. Fuel (1), input floodgates (2), pulley (3), input into reactor space (4), dual-layer reactor (5), floating input (6), gas / air heat exchanger (7), dry dust filter (8), gas feed into gas engine (9), gas torch (10).

Figure 5. Turbo load gas engine (1), turbo injector (2) electric generator (3), pressure valve (4) heat exchangers of engine waste (5)

The generator gas has to be filtered to 0,5 micron softness before it goes into the gas engine. The
maximum permissible dust content is 50 mg/Nm³. The generator gas is 90-150°C when going from the heat exchanger to the gas filter, where it collides into a Nomex filtering bag with the dimensions of 1000 and 1200 mm. Following this, we also need to remove the volatile components, and those that dilute in water as well, which happens in a water purifier. The purified gas is fed to the turbo load gas engine via a pressuriser. 

(Made by LIEBHERR) This is integrated into the electric generator (Figure 5).

The GAS ENGINE is four-cycle, six-cylinder, watercooled, sequential. The turbo loader operating with exhaust gas inputs the mixture under pressure.

System operation, communication

Within the operation and communication system, there are two sub-PLC systems and a main PLC system (made by UNITRONICS), connected to each other on a CAN bus, using a UNICAN protocol. The master PLC system has RS232, RS485, CAN and ETHERNET communication protocols installed. Local and internet overseeing connects to the control centre via the ETHERNET port, but its operations are unrelated to that of communications necessary for the system.

**The roles of the three-sided PLC group:**

**PLC1 tasks:**
– visual representation of the system (Figure 6),
– regulation, controlling,
– generating and managing technical and electric protection protocols,
– error management, logging,
– communication with PLC2 and PLC3,
– local and web overseeing,
– network connection with EID-EP.

**PLC2 tasks:**
– normal operation of gas engine,
– rev and performance regulation,
– performance factor regulation,
– watercooling system regulation,
– mixture regulation,
– technical and electric protection protocols of gas engine,
– gas fuel system regulation.

**PLC3 management and overseeing tasks:**
– pre-drier,
– fuel input floodgates,
– temperature processes in gas generator,
– gas constitution,
– airflow intake,
– movement of floodgates,
– ash dispersion,
– units of dry filter and wet purifier, etc.

Figure 6. Information manual management interface shown in PLC1 (fuel system, gas generator, gas engine operation parameters and necessary management appliances included)
5. System analysis, results

We measured the energetic parameters of the system after the complete "heating". We precisely described the grain constitution of the input material (in accordance with relevant standards), similarly to its moisture content, heating coefficient, the temperature values within the gas generator, the gas temperature, gas composition, etc.

Due to the pre-heated air in the system, the oxidation zone has a higher temperature, and cracking the long carbon chain of tar also happens (Figure 7).

![Tar content in light of preheated airflow effects](image)

### Table 2. Temperatures of the experimental device

| Temperature values                  | [°C] |
|------------------------------------|------|
| Gas on generator output side       | 550  |
| After the drier's heat exchanger   | 130  |
| Before / after drier calorifer     | 90/80|
| After gas filter                   | 110  |
| Exhaust smoke gas                  | 550  |
| After exhaust heat exchanger (into the outside) | 120-140 |

### Table 3. Performance measurements of the experimental device

| Performance                          | Sign | [kW] |
|--------------------------------------|------|------|
| Fuel drier                           | $Q_{fu}$ | 30   |
| Wet purifier                         | $Q_{in}$ | 5    |
| Heat usage (HMV, heating)           | $Q_{hr}$ | 160  |
| Electric                             | $P_e$ | 100  |
| Loss*                                | $Q_{l}$ | 51   |
| Total                                | $E_{tot}$ | 346  |

*Calculated

The fuel consumption of the system is 13 MJ/kg heat performance, 25% moisture content biomass waste, with at most 1.5% ash content. The fuel requirement for an hour if moisture content of the waste is 25% (for dry material) is 108 kg.

![Percentage of performance values for experimental device](image)

In our experimental device, we used 1kg of biomass to produce 2.5 m³ wood gas, which had an average of 5.35 MJ/m³ heating efficiency (when the heat efficiency of the dry wood used for the experiments was 18 MJ/kg). Cold efficiency of the gas generator:

$$\eta_{HG} = \frac{H_{gas} \cdot q_{gas}}{H_{a h} \cdot q_{fm}} = 0.75 \rightarrow 80\%$$

Where:
- $H_{gas}$: Heating coefficient of generator gas [kJ/m³]
- $q_{gas}$: Volume flow of generator gas [m³/h]
- $H_{a h}$: Heating coefficient of average fuel [kJ/kg]
- $q_{fm}$: Fuel mass flow [kg/h]

Finally, by using the pyrolysis generator, we were able to make an efficient CHP power plant system, where in the case of 18-19% moisture content hardwood waste (12-45cm):
6. Operation conditions

The system links into a mid-voltage grid, and cooperates with it, but the transportation of electricity at the point of intersection is one-way, it can only draw.

The machinery is placed in a facility processing woodwork waste, where top electricity consumption is around 124 kW. The main machines responsible for consumption are the shredder, pellet maker and briquette maker machines that describe the facility’s profile.

On the electric intersection point, there’s an EID-EP unit from the EuroProt+ Smart series, made by PROTECTA Co. Ltd. This offers a solution for protecting free cable and cable systems on the grid, for both civilian and industrial use. This means that (in its current place) the facility draws 24 kW performance from the grid for TDP, however, when the demand is lower, the operation of the power plant cooperating with the EID-EP stops the drawing, and feeds demand back to the gas generator. The highest rate of this process - without significantly debilitating efficiency - is ~50%. Demand below this only happens during 20% of the facility's time in operation. Currently, the machinery don't operate on Saturdays and Sundays.

We wish to make the machinery operate around the clock. This requires that we construct a two-part fuel container unit that’s larger in size. One part contains the dry fuel, another the wet fuel. On weekends, the gas generator of the machinery can keep the facility’s time in operation. Currently, the machinery don't operate on Saturdays and Sundays.

According to our goals, we were able to create a pyrolysis power plant of CHP 100kWpe, which can be used to process woodwork waste and agricultural solid biomass (f. e. by-products) for energetics purposes. The solution is exceptionally good for sustaining rural SMEs as an energy supply. They can employ part-timers for the system's heat production, but it can also be used well as an energy supply unit for small factories needing electricity and heat energy. Using this machinery, materials produced in the direct neighbourhood of rural areas can be used. The collection and processing of said materials can also mean more workplaces. We can exploit areas only usable for producing energy plants, the environment becomes more refined, and the gains also serve the locals, increasing the capability of rural areas to keep inhabitants.

Conclusions

According to our goals, we were able to create a pyrolysis power plant of CHP 100kWpe, which can be used to process woodwork waste and agricultural solid biomass (f. e. by-products) for energetics purposes. The solution is exceptionally good for sustaining rural SMEs as an energy supply. They can employ part-timers for the system's heat production, but it can also be used well as an energy supply unit for small factories needing electricity and heat energy. Using this machinery, materials produced in the direct neighbourhood of rural areas can be used. The collection and processing of said materials can also mean more workplaces. We can exploit areas only usable for producing energy plants, the environment becomes more refined, and the gains also serve the locals, increasing the capability of rural areas to keep inhabitants.
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