Chemical composition and nutritional value of some varieties of soybean cultivated in Mongolia
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Abstract

Soybean has a lot of benefits for human nutrition, well-being and soil nutrition. Soybean seed contain higher concentration of protein and fat. The objective of this work was to evaluate the nutritional composition and mineral contents in soybean seeds of four different varieties of soybean for their future application as food products. The soybeans were cultivated in Mongolia. The moisture, protein, crude fat, reducing carbohydrate’s concentrations were determined by Kjeldahl, Soxhlet and Bertrand’s method in dried soybean seeds, and the calory of 100 g seed was calculated. The mineral concentration in the ash was determined by ICP-OES method. Among them, the Severnaya-4 variety contained the highest amount of protein, 31.5%, the Sibiryachka variety had the highest concentration of crude fat, 20.35%, and reducing sugar, 1.24%. Moreover, the Severnaya-4 and Sibiryachka soybean seeds had the highest mineral concentration. The lower concentration of protein of these four soybean varieties was probably due to the lower temperature during the seed-filling period in Mongolia. Sibiryachka and OAC vision had the highest amount of energy in 100 g raw seed than other two varieties. The Severnaya-4 and Sibiryachka soybean seeds could be better sources for protein, fat and minerals than the Nei dou-4 and OAC vision varieties.
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Introduction

Soybean, Glycine max L. (Merr.), is one of the most cultivated plants throughout the world. It is a native of Southeast Asia, especially China, where it has been cultivated for several thousand years. Soybeans are used to produce daily food products such as tofu, miso, tempeh, soy sauce, soy oil, and soymilk. According to the standard reference of the U.S. Department of Agriculture mature, raw soybeans contain approximately 36.49 g of protein, 19.94 g of fat, and 30.16 g of carbohydrate per 100 g [1]. These amounts can vary among soybean varieties. Soybeans are much higher in potassium, phosphorus, calcium, and magnesium, as well as pyridoxine and vitamin K than other legume plants [2]. These nutritional properties make soybean a potential source of protein, fat, carbohydrate, and vitamins for people and animal feed. Furthermore, it contains all essential amino acids in sufficient quantity for human daily intake [3].

Besides its stated utilization as food and animal feed, soybean has the ability to improve soil properties through its deep and proliferated tap-root system, residue incorporation by way of shedding leaves as well as green manuring crop and contribution to soil nitrogen enrichment through biological nitrogen fixation in association with nodule-forming bacteria (Bradyrhizobium). Most of the fixed nitrogen is used for growing soybean itself, but some are left unused in the soil and some in the nodules. Once soybean is harvested, this leftover nitrogen is available to the next crop [4]. On an average, 50–60% of soybean nitrogen demand was met by biological N2 fixation [5]. Tuul D. and Bayarsaikhan B. had determined that legume plants obtained needed nitrogen from atmosphere /53.8-64.6%/ and soil /33.9-43.9%/ by...
using $^{15}$N isotope [6]. These features made soybean suitable for mixed and sequential cropping systems. Cultivation of soybean in Mongolia started in places such as Zuun kharaa in Selenge province, Bulgan in Umnugobi province, the Khalkh river research institution in Dornod province during the period of 1938 – 1966. From that time until today many experiments have been conducted by researchers in Mongolia to grow different varieties of soybean, evaluate their yields, and determine their nitrogen intake source. Research on the soybean cultivation period and seed standards had been accomplished in 2010 – 2014 and the resulting three soybean sorts were promising to grow in Mongolia [7]. In 2017, OAC vision was certified as a settled soybean variety by the Ministry of Food, Agriculture and Light Industry in Mongolia [8]. This variety contains 27.4% protein, 27.2% starch, and 20.2% fat. In 2018, Sibiryachka was proven as a promising variety, and it contains 32.4% protein, 27.1% starch, and 14.7% fat [9]. Also, Severnaya-4 was verified as a variety to be tested in 2014 [10], it contains 31.5% protein, 27.1% starch, and 16.8% fat [9].

Materials and methods

Materials

Seeds of four soybean varieties (Sibiryachka, Severnaya-4, OAC vision, and Nei dou-4) were used for the study. These soybeans were grown on the experimental field of the Institute of Plant and Agricultural Sciences in Orkhon sum, Darkhan-Uul province in 2016. Information about their origin is presented in Table 1.

| Soybean variety | Genetic reservoir catalog number | Origin | Country of origin |
|-----------------|---------------------------------|--------|-------------------|
| Nei dou-4       | H-21802                         | Hulunbeier Agricultural Science Research Institute, Neimenggu | China |
| OAC vision      | H-19334                         | University of Saskatchewan, College of Agriculture and Bioresources | Canada |
| Severnaya-4     | H-22545                         | Krasnoyarsk Research Institute of Agriculture | Russia |
| Sibiryachka     | H-22542                         | Krasnoyarsk Research Institute of Agriculture | Russia |

Methods

To evaluate the biochemical contents of four soybean varieties, work on determination of moisture, ash, crude fat, reducing sugar, and protein was carried out. And the total amount of carbohydrate was calculated by subtracting contents of protein, crude fat, and ash from dry weight. Before the analysis, soybeans were grounded by mortar and pestle. To determine moisture, a 0.5 to 2 g sample was dried and weighed (accuracy of 0.0001 g) in weighing bottles. Then it was dried at the temperature of 105°C in a drying oven to constant weight and the moisture estimation was calculated [15]. Ash content values were measured according to the handbook [16], and a 3 g sample was taken for determination. Crude fat was evaluated via the Soxhlet method. Diethyl ether was used for fat extraction from samples and distillation was repeated until the diethyl ether became colorless in the extraction chamber [17]. The Bertrand’s method was carried out to determine reducing sugar in the sample [18].

Table 1

The origin of four soybean varieties. This information is taken from a research report [7]

Valuable health benefits of soy products attract public attention and many research works have been carried out in recent years. Soy protein lowers the circulating low-density lipoprotein (LDL)-cholesterol level [1, 8, 9], and in 1998 scientists revealed that soy globulin 7S subunits increased uptake and degradation of LDL [13]. The most peculiar feature of soybean is its high content in isoflavones. Isoflavones have many health benefits. For instance, women who are consuming soybean products daily, especially Asian women, are less likely to suffer from hot flashes and other symptoms during menopause. Isoflavones help to alleviate hot flashes and improve arterial health in menopausal women [1]. Consuming soybean products can provide many beneficial agents such as vitamins, minerals, fiber, and flavonoids and these agents reduce the risk for chronic diseases including cancer, stroke and heart disease [14]. The objective of this work was to evaluate the chemical composition of the macronutrients and micronutrients in soybean seeds of four different varieties or their future application as food products.
The crude protein concentration was evaluated by the Kjeldahl nitrogen determination. In this method, sulfuric acid (H₂SO₄) and chloric acid (HClO₄) were used in digestion, and this process was performed at a temperature of 400°C. Then the prepared samples were distilled with NaOH and H₂SO₄ was in the receiving flask [19]. All the experiments were based on the weight analysis and repeated three times and the standard deviation was calculated with the MS Excel program.

**Results and discussion**

**Biochemical composition**

Biochemical composition of soybean varieties grown on the experimental field were shown in Figure 1. Total moisture of dried beans was between 6.39-7.33%, ash content was 5.07-5.89%, reducing sugar content was between 0.7 and 1.24%, protein content was 26.3-31.5%, crude fat content was 17.7-20.3%. Little differences existed in the ash concentration in soybean grains, and the ash concentrations were similar to soybean seeds from Brazil, China, and the USA. The total moisture of these seeds was comparable to soybean seeds from China and the USA, but not the seeds from Brazil, which was 13.02% [20]. The Severnaya-4 soybean seeds contained the highest amount of protein, 31.5%, whereas the OAC vision variety contained the lowest amount of protein, 26.3%. The Sibiryachka seeds had a higher concentration of crude fat, 20.3%, and reducing sugar, 1.24%. By calculation, the OAC vision and Nei dou-4 seeds had a higher amount of total carbohydrate.

![Figure 1. The results of biochemical evaluation of soybean sorts](image)

In Figure 1, the crude fat concentration and reducing sugar concentration were positively correlated, and this relation was also observed in 60 lines of soybean seeds [21]. Our soybean cultivar seeds had a higher concentration of reducing sugar than the results of Sharma and Kaur et al., which was 0.21-0.33% [22]. Sugars in soybean seeds affect the quality, digestibility, and nutritional values of soy food products. The main sugars present in soybean seeds include glucose, fructose, sucrose, raffinose, and stachyose. Of them, glucose, fructose, and sucrose contribute to the sweet taste and are ready-to-digest. On the other hand, raffinose and stachyose are indigestible and cause undesirable flatulence and diarrhea [23]. Protein and fat content in soybean seeds are the two main characteristics of the nutrient quality of soybean seeds. And OAC vision, Sibiryachka, and Severnaya-4 seeds’s fat and protein content was similar to the same varieties certified by the Ministry of Food, Agriculture and Light Industry...
in Mongolia [8, 9]. We compared our results to outcomes of other experiment, which was shown in Table 2. Seeds of the same varieties that were grown in Orkhon sum, the same experimental field of our seeds, in 2012-2014 contained a higher amount of crude fat and protein than our soybean seeds. And among soybean grains cultivated in 2012-2014 in Orkhon sum, the Nei dou – 4 cultivar had the highest amount of fat and protein, 27.5% and 35.6% respectively [7]. However, in our case the Nei dou–4 cultivar did not have the highest concentration of crude fat and protein.

| Cultivation place and year | Fat content of Soybean varieties, % | Protein content of Soybean varieties, % |
|---------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
|                           | Sibiryachka | Severnaya-4 | OAC vision | Nei dou - 4 | Sibiryachka | Severnaya-4 | OAC vision | Nei dou - 4 |
| Orkhon sum, Darkhan Uul province, 2016* | 20.35 ± 0.02 | 20.04 ± 0.14 | 19.34 ± 0.05 | 17.75 ± 0.06 | 30.6 ± 3.7 | 31.5 ± 2.4 | 26.3 ± 2.4 | 29.8 ± 2.4 |
| Orkhon sum, Darkhan Uul province, 2012-2014 [7] | 27.1 | 27.1 | 27.2 | 27.5 | 32.4 | 31.5 | 27.4 | 35.6 |
| Boruvur sum, Tuv province, 2012 [24] | - | - | 13.52 | 14.63 | - | - | 34.31 | 41.34 |
| Kherlen river, Dornod province, 2012 [24] | - | - | 21.41 | 14.74 | - | - | 28.92 | 35.25 |
| Other references | 19.4-23.6ab | - | 20.6c | - | 32.6-33.8ab | - | 42.2c | - |

* soybeans studied in this research were cultivated in this area
ab The number is taken from the website of the Russian Semenny liga’s Sibiryachka variety [25], and Galeev and Vyshegurov et al.’s results [26].
bc The number is taken from the cultivar description [27].

In our experiment, diethyl ether was used for crude fat determination. However, there was no information on the organic solvent utilized in the Soxhlet method for soybean grains cultivated in 2012-2014 in Orkhon sum’s experiment. Crude fat content of Sibiryachka seeds used in our experiment was similar to the number taken from the website of the Russian Semenny liga’s Sibiryachka variety [25] and Galeev and Vyshegurov et al.’s results [26], and the OAC vision seeds’ crude fat content was similar to the cultivar description by Voldeng et al. [27]. The fat content of soybean varies between 17.2% and 18.7% among soybeans harvested from 18 areas of Brazil, China, and USA, depending on cultivars and environmental conditions [20]. In our experiment, four soybean cultivars had a slightly lower amount of crude fat content to than this result. Our soybean seeds had a lower protein concentration than soybean seeds from other experiments in Table 2. Only the Severnaya-4 seeds contained the same amount of protein as the soybean seeds that were grown between 2012 and 2014 in the Orkhon sum. Soybean seeds from Brazil, China, and the USA had 41.53% of protein on average [20], which was also higher than our results. In the report and Grieshop and Fahey’s experiment, the protein content was determined by the Kjeldahl method [7, 17]. But the method used for determining protein content in other experiments was not given. The reason why our soybean seeds contained less protein could be the cultivar of soybean, the growing environment, and soybean preservation conditions. The root zone temperature affected N2 fixation and nodule formation in soybean significantly [28]. Vollmann and Fritz et al. researched protein content of soybean seeds grown in Gross Enzersdorf (Lower Austria), and in this experiment, they observed that there was a positive correlation between the average air temperature during the seed-filling period and protein content in seeds. When the average air temperature was 19.5°C, the protein content in seed was 43.37%, and when the average air temperature was 15.8°C, the protein content in seed dropped to 30.18% [29]. We did not find the exact temperature in August 2016 in Orkhon sum, where our soybeans were cultivated, but from the weather overview we found that in August 2016 the average air temperature was 15.1-20.0°C in most areas of Mongolia [30]. During the filling period of our soybean seeds (August) the average air temperature could have been lower, and therefore, these seeds had a lower protein concentration. The total calory of 100 g raw soybean seeds was calculated and it is shown below. It was noted that 100 g raw soybean seeds have 460 kcal energy in Food central data [2]. Our soybean seeds had a lower amount of energy than this data. Sibiryachka and OAC vision had the highest amount of energy in 100 g raw seed than other two varieties.
Mineral content

The mineral content of soybean sorts was determined by KHANLAB LLC using the ICP-OES technique to evaluate the concentration of 26 types of minerals. Additionally, the Kjeldahl method was used to determine the nitrogen concentration. The N, Ca, Mg, K, and P content in seeds of 4 varieties were 4.21-5.04%, 0.14-0.22%, 0.16-0.20%, 0.44-0.73%, and 0.43-0.64% respectively, and the results were shown in Table 4. Interestingly, the variety of Sibiryachka had the highest mineral content of most minerals compared to other varieties. The average amount of Ca and Mg of four soybean seeds were similar to the results of Vargas and Schuch et al. and Neto and Besen et al., but the percentage of N was lower and the percentage of K was about 2 or 3 times lower. The average amount of P was quite higher than the result of these two experiments [27, 28]. A lower N content in soybean seeds could have resulted from a low temperature in the soil during the seed-filling period as mentioned above.

Table 3

| Soybean variety | Sibiryachka | Severnaya-4 | OAC vision | Nei dou-4 |
|-----------------|-------------|-------------|------------|-----------|
| Energy, kcal    | 449.99±0.1  | 447.32±0.05 | 449.1±0.2  | 440.39±0.15 |

Concentrations of Cu, Fe, and Zn in soybean seeds were evaluated by Vargas and Schuch et al. and Neto and Besen et al. [27, 28]. The soybean grains of four varieties had lower concentrations of Cu and Fe, especially the concentration of Fe was about 9 to 6 times lower in our soybean seeds. The concentration of Zn was similar to Vargas and Schuch et al. work [31].

Table 4

| Soybean variety | N | Ca | Mg | K | P | Ba | Bi | Sr | Cr | Cu | Zn | Li | Mo | Ni | Fe | Ti |
|-----------------|---|----|----|---|---|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|
| Sibiryachka     | 4.90| 0.22| 0.20| 0.73| 0.64| 2.01| 0.57| 12.45| 0.63| 15.08| 36.29| 0.95| 11.32| 6.69| 11.97| 3.73 |
| Severnaya-4     | 5.04| 0.22| 0.20| 0.52| 0.61| 2.01| 0.57| 11.63| 0.60| 12.84| 33.17| 0.29| 9.72 | 7.02| 11.40| 2.13 |
| OAC vision      | 4.21| 0.16| 0.16| 0.52| 0.52| 1.15| 0.64| 8.83| 0.32| 13.04| 28.67| 0.27| 19.05| 2.77| 9.63 | 1.68 |
| Nei dou-4       | 4.77| 0.14| 0.16| 0.44| 0.43| 1.37| 0.57| 7.21 | 0.57| 8.77 | 26.33| 0.47 | 3.88 | 3.86 | 9.12 | 1.95 |

In soybean seeds of four varieties contents of some elements were higher than other microelements, and these elements were Sr, Cu, Zn, Mo, Ni, Fe, and Ti. Unfortunately, in the data we received, contents of Be, Cd, Ga, La, Pb, Sb, Se, Co, Zr, V, and Y in ash were similar to all varieties. The content of these elements could have been undetectable because of the small amount of ash we had sent to the company. Therefore, we eliminated these results.

Conclusion

In this work, we had determined the nutritional value and chemical composition of four soybean varieties. Among them, the Severnaya-4 and Sibiryachka varieties had the highest concentration of protein, crude fat and reduced sugar. Generally, the fat concentration of four varieties was similar to results of other experiments. However, the lower concentration of protein of these four soybean varieties was probably due to the lower environmental temperature during the seed-filling period. With regard to the minerals’ contents, the Severnaya-4 and Sibiryachka soybean seeds had the highest amount. Sibiryachka and OAC vision had the highest amount of energy in 100 g raw seed than other two varieties. As a result, the Severnaya-4 and Sibiryachka soybean seeds could be better sources for protein, fat and mineral than the Nei dou-4 and OAC vision varieties.
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