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Abstract: We report a class of symmetry-integrable third-order evolution equations in 1+1 dimensions under the condition that the equations admit a second-order recursion operator that contains an adjoint symmetry (integrating factor) of order six. The recursion operators are given explicitly.

1 Introduction

We recently reported four fully-nonlinear Möbius-invariant and symmetry-integrable third-order evolution equations, namely [2]

\begin{align}
  u_t &= \frac{u_x}{(b - S)^2}, \quad b \neq 0 \quad (1.1a) \\
  u_t &= \frac{u_x}{S^2} \quad (1.1b) \\
  u_t &= -\frac{2u_x}{\sqrt{S}} \quad (1.1c) \\
  u_t &= \frac{u_x(a_1 - S)}{(a_1^2 + 3a_2)(S^2 - 2a_1 S - 3a_2)^{1/2}}, \quad a_1^2 + 3a_2 \neq 0 \quad (1.1d)
\end{align}

where \( S \) denotes the Schwarzian Derivative

\[ S := \frac{u_{xxx}}{u_x} - \frac{3}{2} \left( \frac{u_{xx}}{u_x} \right)^2. \]
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This classification was achieved by matching quasi-linear auxiliary symmetry-integrable evolution equations in $S$ for each equation (1.1a) – (1.1d). In [3] we propose a method to compute the higher members of the hierarchies of (1.1a) – (1.1d) without the knowledge of the equations’ recursion operators. In particular, the proposed method makes use of the recursion operators of the auxiliary quasi-linear evolution equations in the variable $S$. This is an essential point since it is in general rather complicated and tedious to compute recursion operators, especially for fully-nonlinear equations. It is important to point out that the method to compute the higher-order members of the hierarchies as proposed in [3], only applies to evolution equations that are Möbius-invariant and symmetry-integrable. Furthermore we point out that it is not possible to extend the idea of Möbius-invariant evolution equation to systems of evolution equations in a direct sense. This has been investigated in [4].

Inspired by the above mentioned results, we address here the problem of identifying fully-nonlinear symmetry-integrable evolution equations beyond the Möbius-invariant class and we do so by requiring the equations to admit a recursion operator of a certain form. In particular, we restrict ourselves to evolution equations that contain rational functions in $u_{xxx}$. Moreover, we assume a recursion operator of order two with an integrating factor of maximum order six. This of course restricts us to a special class of equations, namely equations that admit those type of recursion operators. Nevertheless, we believe that our findings are of interest and that the results reported here are new.

We would like to point out that Hernández Heredero [6] classified a type of third-order integrable fully-nonlinear evolution equations that does not include equations with rational functions in $u_{xxx}$.

### 2 Notations and conditions

To fix the notation and to recall the conditions that are needed in this paper, we consider the general $n$th-order autonomous evolution equation in 1+1 dimensions

$$E := u_t - F(u, u_x, u_{xx}, u_{xxx}, \ldots, u_{nx}) = 0.$$  \hfill (2.1)

The subscripts of $u$ denote partial derivatives, where partial derivatives of order 4 and higher are indicated by $u_{nx}$, $n \geq 4$.

Equation (2.1) is said to be symmetry-integrable if it admits a recursion operator $R[u]$ that generates an infinite number of local Lie-Bäcklund (or generalized) symmetries for the equation. In this paper we consider recursion operators of the following form

$$R[u] := \sum_{k=1}^{m} G_k[u] D_x^k + G_0[u] + \sum_{j=1}^{p} I_j[u] D_x^{-1} \circ \Lambda_j[u].$$  \hfill (2.2)

The notation $R[u]$ and $G_j[u]$ indicates that the operator $R$ and functions $G_j$ depend on $u$, $u_x$, $u_{xx}$, up to an order that is ab initio not fixed. Here $I_j$ are Lie-Bäcklund symmetry coefficients for (2.1), i.e. the coefficients of a symmetry generator

$$Z = I_j[u] \frac{\partial}{\partial u}.$$  \hfill (2.3)
which satisfies the condition

$$L_E[u]J_j[u] \Big|_{E=0} = 0, \quad (2.4)$$

where $L_E[u]$ denoted the linear operator

$$L_E[u] := \frac{\partial E}{\partial u} + \frac{\partial E}{\partial u_t}D_t + \frac{\partial E}{\partial u_x}D_x + \frac{\partial E}{\partial u_{xx}}D_x^2 + \cdots + \frac{\partial E}{\partial u_{nx}}D_x^n. \quad (2.5)$$

$\Lambda_j[u]$ are integrating factors for conservation laws

$$D_t\Phi_t[u] + D_x\Phi_x[u] \Big|_{E=0} = 0, \quad (2.6)$$

of (2.1), where

$$\Lambda[u] = \hat{E}[u]\Phi[u] \quad (2.7)$$

and $\Lambda$ must satisfy the condition

$$\hat{E}[u] (\Lambda[u]E) \Big|_{E=0} = 0. \quad (2.8)$$

Here $\hat{E}[u]$ is the Euler operator

$$\hat{E}[u] := \frac{\partial}{\partial u} - D_t \circ \frac{\partial}{\partial u_t} - D_x \circ \frac{\partial}{\partial u_x} + D_x^2 \circ \frac{\partial}{\partial u_{xx}} - D_x^3 \circ \frac{\partial}{\partial u_{3x}} + \cdots. \quad (2.9)$$

Note that condition (2.8) is equivalent to

$$L_\Lambda[u] \Lambda[u] \Big|_{E=0} = 0 \quad (2.10a)$$

and

$$L_\Lambda[u]E = L_\Lambda^*[u]E. \quad (2.10b)$$

The first condition (2.10a) requires $\Lambda$ to be an adjoint symmetry for (2.1), whereas the second condition (2.10b) requires $\Lambda$ to be a self-adjoint function (for scalar evolution equations this means even-order). Here $L_\Lambda^*[u]$ denotes the adjoint operator of $L_E[u]$, namely

$$L_\Lambda^*[u] := \frac{\partial E}{\partial u} - D_t \circ \frac{\partial E}{\partial u_t} - D_x \circ \frac{\partial E}{\partial u_x} + D_x^2 \circ \frac{\partial E}{\partial u_{xx}} - D_x^3 \circ \frac{\partial E}{\partial u_{3x}} + \cdots. \quad (2.11)$$

The condition on the recursion operator $R[u]$ for (2.1) is

$$[L_F[u], R[u]]\phi = (D_tR[u])\phi, \quad (2.12)$$

where $[,]$ denotes the commutator (or Lie bracket). Condition (2.12) is evaluated on the equation (2.1). Moreover, the recursion operator of (2.1) should generate a hierarchy of symmetries coefficients $\eta$ for (2.1), i.e. symmetry generators of the form

$$Z = \eta[u] \frac{\partial}{\partial u}, \quad (2.13)$$
by acting $R[u]$ repeatedly on $\eta$. That is
\begin{equation}
R^k[u]\eta_1[u] = \eta_{k+1}[u], \quad k = 1, 2, \ldots.
\end{equation}
For a symmetry-integrable evolution equation we require that all symmetries coefficients $\eta$ generated by $R$ are local, so a recursion operator for that equation would generate a hierarchy of local evolution equations
\begin{equation}
u_{t_k} = R^k[u]F[u], \quad k = 1, 2, \ldots.
\end{equation}
Each evolution equation in the hierarchy (2.15) should share the same set of symmetries that are generated by acting the recursion operator on the first (or seed) member for the hierarchy of (2.1). Those symmetries then span an Abelian Lie algebra and the recursion operator is hereditary for each member of the hierarchy (see [5] and [7] for more details).

### 3 Recursion operators for a class of third-order symmetry-integrable equations

Our starting point is the general class of third-order autonomous evolution equations of the form
\begin{equation}
E := u_t - F(u_x, u_{xx}, u_{xxx}) = 0.
\end{equation}
For the symmetry-integrability of (3.1) we need to establish a recursion operator for the equation. In this paper we consider second-order recursion operators $R[u]$ of the form
\begin{equation}
R[u] = G_2[u]D_x^2 + G_1[u]D_x + G_0[u] + I_1[u]D_x^{-1} \circ \Lambda_1[u] + I_2[u]D_x^{-1} \circ \Lambda_2[u].
\end{equation}
The explicit conditions on $G_j$, $I_j$, $\Lambda_j$ and $F$ for (3.1) are given in Appendix A.

In order to find equations of the form (3.1) that may admit a recursion operator of the form (3.2), we first establish the most general form of $F$ in terms of its highest derivative $u_{xxx}$. This is achieved by solving the first three equations in the split commutator condition (2.12), namely those conditions on $G_j$ and $F$ that do not involve the conditions on the integrating factors $\Lambda_j$ or the symmetries $I_j$. These are the conditions (A.2a), (A.2b) and (A.2c) given in Appendix A.

**Proposition 1.** In terms of the variable $u_{xxx}$, the most general form of $F(u_x, u_{xx}, u_{xxx})$ for which (3.1) admits a recursion operator of the form (3.2) is given by the following four cases:

\begin{align}
F &= \frac{Q_3(u_x, u_{xx}) [u_{xxx} + Q_2(u_x, u_{xx})]}{Q_1(u_x, u_{xx}) [Q_1(u_x, u_{xx}) + (u_{xxx} + Q_2(u_x, u_{xx}))^2]^{1/2}} + Q_4(u_x, u_{xx}) \quad (3.3a) \\
F &= Q_1(u_x, u_{xx}) u_{xxx} + Q_2(u_x, u_{xx}) \quad (3.3b) \\
F &= \frac{Q_1(u_x, u_{xx})}{[u_{xxx} + Q_2(u_x, u_{xx})]^2} + Q_3(u_x, u_{xx}) \quad (3.3c)
\end{align}
\[ F = \frac{Q_1(u_x, u_{xx})(u_{xxx} + Q_2(u_x, u_{xx}))}{Q_2^2(u_x, u_{xx})[u_{xxx}^2 + 2Q_2(u_x, u_{xx})u_{xxxx}]} + Q_3(u_x, u_{xx}). \] (3.3d)

The functions \( Q_1, Q_2, Q_3 \) and \( Q_4 \) are arbitrary in their indicated arguments.

**Proof:** Solving (A.2a), (A.2b) and (A.2c) we obtain the following condition on \( F(u_x, u_{xx}, u_{xxx}) \):

\[ F' \left[ 9(F')^2 F^{(4)} - 45F' F'' F''' + 40(F'')^3 \right] = 0, \] (3.4)

where the primes denote partial derivatives with respect to \( u_{xxx} \) and \( F^{(4)} \) the fourth partial derivative with respect to \( u_{xxx} \). The general solution of (3.4) is given by (3.3a), whereby (3.3b), (3.3c) and (3.3d) are singular solutions. 

**Remark 1.** We remark that the conditions given in Proposition 1 are consistent with the conditions (2.3), (2.4) and (2.5) reported in [6].

The functions \( Q_1, Q_2, Q_3 \) and \( Q_4 \) should now be determined to gain recursion operators of the form (2.2) for the equation (3.1) for each case \( F \) listed in Proposition 1. This identifies the exact form of \( F \) for the symmetry-integrability of (3.1), which is achieved by solving the remaining conditions (A.2d), (A.2e), (A.2f) and (A.2g) given in Appendix A.

In the current paper we restrict ourselves to the case where \( F(u_x, u_{xx}, u_{xxx}) \) is a rational function in \( u_{xxx} \), namely case (3.3c). This leads to the following

**Proposition 2.** The following equations, in the class \( u_t = F(u_x, u_{xx}, u_{xxx}) \) with \( F \) a rational function in \( u_{xxx} \), are symmetry-integrable:

- **Case I**

  \[ u_t = \frac{u_x^6}{(\alpha u_x + \beta)^3 u_{xxx}^2} + Q(u_x), \] (3.5a)

  where \( \{\alpha, \beta\} \) are arbitrary constants, not simultaneously zero, and \( Q(u_x) \) needs to satisfy

  \[ (\alpha u_x + \beta) \frac{d^5 Q}{du_x^5} + 5\alpha \frac{d^4 Q}{du_x^4} = 0, \] (3.5b)

  which admits for \( \alpha \neq 0 \) the general solution

  \[ Q(u_x) = c_5 \left( u_x + \frac{\beta}{\alpha} \right)^3 + c_4 \left( u_x + \frac{\beta}{\alpha} \right)^2 + c_3 \left( u_x + \frac{\beta}{\alpha} \right) + c_2 \left( u_x + \frac{\beta}{\alpha} \right)^{-1} + c_1. \] (3.5c)

  For \( \alpha = 0 \), the general solution of (3.5b) is

  \[ Q(u_x) = c_5 u_x^4 + c_4 u_x^3 + c_3 u_x^2 + c_2 u_x + c_1. \] (3.5d)

  Here \( c_j \) are constants of integration.
• Case II
\[ u_t = \frac{u_{xx}^3 (\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 u_{xx})^3}{u_{xxx}^2}, \]  
(3.6)

where \( \{\lambda_1, \lambda_2\} \) are arbitrary constants but not simultaneously zero.

• Case III
\[ u_t = \frac{(\alpha u_x + \beta)^{11}}{\left[(\alpha u_x + \beta)u_{xxx} - 3\alpha u_{xx}^2\right]^2}, \]  
(3.7)

where \( \{\alpha, \beta\} \) are arbitrary constants but not simultaneously zero.

• Case IV
\[ u_t = \frac{4u_x^5}{(2b u_x^2 - 2u_x u_{xxx} + 3u_{xx}^2)^2} \equiv \frac{u_x}{(b - S)^2}, \]  
(3.8)

where \( b \) is an arbitrary constant and \( S \) is the Schwarzian derivative \( [12] \).

The recursion operators for each equation listed in Proposition [2] have been computed and are given in Appendix B. Note that equation (3.8) is identical to the Möbius-invariant equation (1.1a). This recursion operator for equation (1.1b) is obtained by setting \( b = 0 \) in the recursion operator (B.7) of (3.8).

For each equation listed in Proposition [2] one can easily remove the nonlinearity in the third derivative by a simple substitution \( u_x = W(x, t) \) which, in a sense, “unpotentialises” the equations of Proposition [2]. For completeness, we list the so obtained equations here:

• Case I: With \( u_x = W(x, t) \), (3.5a) takes the form
\[ W_t = -\frac{2W_x^6W_{xxx}}{(\alpha W + \beta)^3 W_{xx}^3} - \frac{3\alpha W_x^7}{(\alpha W + \beta)^4 W_{xx}^2} + \frac{6W_x^5}{(\alpha W + \beta)^3 W_{xx}} + Q'(W)W_x, \]  
(3.9a)

where
\[ (\alpha W + \beta)Q^{(5)} + 5\alpha Q^{(4)} = 0, \quad Q = Q(W). \]  
(3.9b)

• Case II: With \( u_x = W_1(x, t) \), we obtain for (3.6) the following equation:
\[ W_{1,t} = -\frac{2W_{1,xx}^3 (\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 W_{1,xx}^3) W_{1,xxx}}{W_{1,xx}^3} + \frac{3\lambda_2 W_{1,xx}^3 (\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 W_{1,xx}^2)}{W_{1,xx}} + \frac{3W_{1,xx}^2 (\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 W_{1,xx})^3}{W_{1,xx}}. \]  
(3.10)
With $W_{1,x} = W_2(x,t)$, we obtain for (3) the following equation:

\[
W_{2,t} = -\frac{2W_2^2(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 W_2)^3W_{2,xxx}}{W_{2,x}^3} + \frac{6W_2^3(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 W_2)^3W_{2,xx}^2}{W_{2,x}^4}
- \frac{9W_2^2(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 W_2)^3(W_2 + 1)W_{2,xx}}{W_{2,x}^2} + \frac{9\lambda_2 W_2^2(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 W_2)^2(W_{2,x} + 1)}{W_2} 
- 6\lambda_2^2 W_2^3(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 W_2) + 6W_2(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 W_2)^3. \tag{3.11}
\]

- Case III: With $u_x = W(x,t)$, we obtain for (3.7) the following equation:

\[
W_t = -\frac{(\alpha W + \beta)^{10}}{[(\alpha v + \beta)W_{xx} - 3\alpha W_x^2]^3} \left[ 2\alpha WW_{xxx} (\alpha W + 2\beta) + 2\beta^2 W_{xxx} 
- 21\alpha W_x W_{xx} (\alpha W + \beta) + 33\alpha^2 W_x^3 \right]. \tag{3.12}
\]

- Case IV: With $u_x = W(x,t)$, we obtain for (3.8) the following equation:

\[
W_t = \frac{4W^4}{(2bW^2 - 2W W_{xx} + 3W_x^3)^3} \left( 4W^2 W_{xxx} - 18WW_x W_{xx} 
+ 15W_x^3 + 2bW^2 W_x \right). \tag{3.13}
\]

### 4 Concluding remarks

Our aim has been to construct fully-nonlinear third-order evolution equations in the class $u_t = F(u, u_{xx}, u_{xxx})$, namely to identify those equations in this class that admit a second-order recursion operator with a sixth-order integrating factor, which are then symmetry-integrable equations. Note that that exists no fully-nonlinear evolution equation in this class that admits a recursion operator of order two where both integrating factors, $\Lambda_1$ and $\Lambda_2$, are of order less than six.

We report here four equations, listed in Proposition 2, namely (3.5a), (3.6), (3.7) and (3.8). Due to the mentioned restrictions on the form of the recursion operator, this is certainly not a complete classification of all fully-nonlinear third-order evolution equations of this form that admit a recursion operator. Nevertheless, we do consider the equations that we have obtained here to be of some interest and worthy of further study. It would, for example, be interesting to find all the potentialisations of the four fully-nonlinear equations (3.5a) to (3.8), as well as the equations (3.9a) to (3.13). This can be investigated by using the adjoint symmetry structure of the equations. Some preliminary calculations have revealed a rich adjoint symmetry structure for these equations, so one can expect to obtain interesting results. Furthermore, one could apply the multi-potentialisation method which may lead to nonlocal symmetries for the equations (see [1] for details regarding multi-potentialisations). One could also extend this study further, namely to include evolution equations of third order that explicitly depend on $u$ and allow algebraic functions in $u_{xxx}$. 

A Appendix: The general conditions for $R[u]$ of (3.1)

For the equation $u_t = F(u_x, u_{xx}, u_{xxx})$ we provide here the explicit general conditions on the functions $F$, $G_j$, $I_j$ and $\Lambda_j$ for the existence of a recursion operator $R[u]$ of the form

$$R[u] = G_2[u]D_x^2 + G_1[u]D_x + G_0[u] + I_1[u]D_x^{-1} \circ \Lambda_1[u] + I_2[u]D_x^{-1} \circ \Lambda_2[u].$$

(A.1)

This is obtained from the commutator condition [2,12] by equating to zero all the derivatives of the free function $\varphi$. For convenience we introduce the following notation:

$$A_1 := \frac{\partial F}{\partial u_x}, \quad A_2 := \frac{\partial F}{\partial u_{xx}}, \quad A_3 := \frac{\partial F}{\partial u_{xxx}}.$$ 

The conditions are as follows:

$$\frac{\partial^4 \varphi}{\partial x^4} : -2G_2 D_x A_3 + 3A_3 D_x G_2 = 0 \quad (A.2a)$$

$$\frac{\partial^3 \varphi}{\partial x^3} : 2A_2 D_x G_2 - 2G_2 D_x A_2 - G_2 D_x^2 A_3 - G_1 D_x A_3 + 3A_3 D_x^2 G_2$$

$$+ 3A_3 D_x G_1 = 0 \quad (A.2b)$$

$$\frac{\partial^2 \varphi}{\partial x^2} : 3A_3 D_x^2 G_1 + A_3 D_x^3 G_2 + 2A_2 D_x A_1 + A_2 D_x^2 G_2 + A_1 D_x G_2 + 3A_3 D_x G_0$$

$$- 2G_2 D_x A_1 - G_2 D_x^2 A_2 - G_1 D_x A_2 - D_t G_2 \bigg|_{E=0} = 0 \quad (A.2c)$$

$$\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x} : A_3 D_x^3 G_1 + 3A_3 D_x^2 G_0 + A_2 D_x^2 G_1 + 2A_2 D_x G_0 + A_1 D_x G_1$$

$$+ \sum_{j=1}^2 \left(3A_3 \Lambda_j D_x I_j + 3A_3 I_j D_x \Lambda_j + I_j \Lambda_j D_x A_3 \right)$$

$$- G_2 D_x^2 A_1 - G_1 D_x A_1 - D_t G_1 \bigg|_{E=0} = 0 \quad (A.2d)$$

$$\varphi : A_3 D_x^3 G_0 + A_2 D_x^2 G_0 + A_1 D_x G_0 + \sum_{j=1}^2 \left(-2I_j (D_x \Lambda_j)(D_x A_3) - I_j \Lambda_j D_x^2 A_3 \right)$$

$$+ I_j \Lambda_j D_x A_2 - I_j D_x^2 \Lambda_j + 3A_3 (D_x I_j)(D_x \Lambda_j) + 3A_3 \Lambda_j D_x^2 I_j$$
as well as the symmetry condition

\[ L_E[u] I_j \bigg|_{E=0} = 0, \quad j = 1, 2 \]  \hspace{1cm} (A.2f)

and the adjoint symmetry condition

\[ L_E^*[u] A_j \bigg|_{E=0} = 0, \quad j = 1, 2. \]  \hspace{1cm} (A.2g)

**B  Appendix: The recursion operators for the symmetry-integrable equations of Proposition 2**

**Recursion operator for Case I:** Equation (3.3a) of Proposition 2 viz.

\[ u_t = \frac{u_{xx}^6}{(\alpha u_x + \beta)^3 u_{xxx}^2} + Q(u_x), \]

admits the recursion operator

\[ R[u] = G_2[u] D_x^2 + G_1[u] D_x + G_0[u] + (\alpha u_x + \beta) D_x^{-1} \circ \Lambda_1[u], \]  \hspace{1cm} (B.1)

where

\[ G_2[u] = \frac{u_{xx}}{(\alpha u_x + \beta)^2 u_{xxx}^2} \]  \hspace{1cm} (B.2a)

\[ G_1[u] = \frac{u_{xx}^4 u_{4x}}{(\alpha u_x + \beta)^2 u_{xxx}^3} - \frac{4u_{xx}^2}{(\alpha u_x + \beta)^2 u_{xxx}} + \frac{\alpha u_{xx}^5}{(\alpha u_x + \beta)^3 u_{xxx}^2} \]  \hspace{1cm} (B.2b)

\[ G_0[u] = -\frac{u_{xx}^4 u_{5x}}{(\alpha u_x + \beta)^2 u_{xxx}^3} + \frac{3u_{xx}^4 u_{4x}^2}{(\alpha u_x + \beta)^2 u_{xxx}^4} \]

\[ + \left( -\frac{8u_{xx}^3}{(\alpha u_x + \beta)^2 u_{xxx}^2} + \frac{6u_{xx}^5}{(\alpha u_x + \beta)^3 u_{xxx}^3} \right) u_{4x} + \frac{6\alpha u_{xx}^6}{(\alpha u_x + \beta)^4 u_{xxx}^2} \]  \hspace{1cm} (B.2c)

\[ \Lambda_1 = \frac{u_{xx}^4 u_{6x}}{(\alpha u_x + \beta)^3 u_{xxx}^3} + \left( \frac{12u_{xx}^3}{(\alpha u_x + \beta)^3 u_{xxx}^2} - \frac{9\alpha u_{xx}^5}{(\alpha u_x + \beta)^4 u_{xxx}} \right) u_{5x} \]

\[ + \left( \frac{24\alpha u_{xx}^2}{(\alpha u_x + \beta)^3 u_{xxx}} - \frac{72\alpha u_{xx}^4}{(\alpha u_x + \beta)^4 u_{xxx}^2} + \frac{36\alpha^2 u_{xx}^6}{(\alpha u_x + \beta)^5 u_{xxx}^3} \right) u_{4x} \]

\[ - \frac{9\alpha u_{xx}^4 u_{4x} u_{5x}}{(\alpha u_x + \beta)^3 u_{xxx}^5} + \left( \frac{27\alpha u_{xx}^5}{(\alpha u_x + \beta)^4 u_{xxx}^2} - \frac{28\alpha^3 u_{xx}^3}{(\alpha u_x + \beta)^5 u_{xxx}^3} \right) u_{4x}^2 \]
On fully-nonlinear 3rd-order symmetry-integrable equations

\[
\frac{12u_{xx}^4 u_{xxxx}^3}{(\alpha u_x + \beta)^3 u_{xxx}^2} - \frac{24u_{xx} u_{xxxx}}{(\alpha u_x + \beta)^3} + \frac{30\alpha^3 u_{xx}^7}{(\alpha u_x + \beta)^6 u_{xxx}^2} + \frac{108\alpha u_{xx}^3}{(\alpha u_x + \beta)^4}
\]

\[- \frac{108\alpha^2 u_{xx}^5}{(\alpha u_x + \beta)^5 u_{xxx}^2} - \frac{1}{2} \frac{d^3 Q}{du_x^3} \frac{u_{xxx}}{u_{xx}}.\]  

(B.2d)

Here \(Q(u_x)\) needs to satisfy the 5th-order ordinary differential equation \(\eqref{55b}\), viz.

\[
(\alpha u_x + \beta) \frac{d^5 Q}{du_x^5} + 5\alpha \frac{d^4 Q}{du_x^4} = 0.
\]

Recursion operator for Case II: Equation \(\eqref{6}\) of Proposition \(\ref{2}\) viz.

\[
 u_t = \frac{u_{xxx}^3 (\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 u_{xx})^3}{u_{xxx}^2}
\]

admits the recursion operator

\[
 R[u] = G_2[u] D_x^2 + G_1[u] D_x + G_0[u] + D_x^{-1} \circ \Lambda_1[u],
\]  

(B.3)

where

\[
 G_2[u] = \frac{u_{xx}^2 (\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 u_{xx})^2}{u_{xxx}^2}
\]

(B.4a)

\[
 G_1[u] = \frac{u_{xx}^2 (\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 u_{xx})^2 u_{4x}}{u_{xxx}^3} - \frac{4\lambda_2 u_{xx}^2 (\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 u_{xx})}{u_{xxx}^2}
\]

(B.4b)

\[
 G_0[u] = \frac{u_{xx}^2 (\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 u_{xx})^2 u_{5x}}{u_{xxx}^3} + \frac{3u_{xx}^2 (\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 u_{xx})^2 u_{4x}^2}{u_{xxx}^4}
\]

\[- \frac{2u_{xx} u_{xx}^2 (\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 u_{xx}) (\lambda_1 + 4\lambda_2 u_{xx}) u_{4x}}{u_{xxx}^4} + 12\lambda_2^2 u_{xx}^2 + 6\lambda_1 \lambda_2 u_{xx}
\]

(B.4c)

\[
 \Lambda_1[u] = \frac{u_{xx}^2 (\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 u_{xx})^2 u_{6x}}{u_{xxx}^3} + \frac{4u_{xx} (\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 u_{xx}) (\lambda_1 + 3\lambda_2 u_{xx}) u_{5x}}{u_{xxx}^2}
\]

\[- \frac{9u_{xx}^2 (\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 u_{xx})^2 u_{4x} u_{5x}}{u_{xxx}^4} + \frac{12u_{xx}^2 (\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 u_{xx})^2 u_{4x}^3}{u_{xxx}^5}
\]

\[- \frac{2u_{xx} u_{xx}^3 (\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 u_{xx}) (5\lambda_1 + 14\lambda_2 u_{xx}) u_{4x}^2}{u_{xxx}^5}
\]

\[- \frac{2(12\lambda_2^2 u_{xx}^2 + 10\lambda_1 \lambda_2 u_{xx} + \lambda_2^2) u_{4x}}{u_{xxx}^5} - 6\lambda_2 (\lambda_1 + 4\lambda_2 u_{xx}) u_{xxx}.
\]  

(B.4d)
Recursion operator for Case III: Equation (3.7) of Proposition 2 viz.

\[ u_t = \frac{(\alpha u_x + \beta)^{11}}{[(\alpha u_x + \beta)u_{xxx} - 3\alpha u_{xx}]^2} \]

admits the recursion operator

\[ R[u] = G_2[u]D_x^2 + G_1[u]D_x + G_0[u] + (\alpha u_x + \beta)D_x^{-1} \circ \Lambda_1[u] \]  

(B.5)

where

\[ G_2[u] = \frac{(\alpha u_x + \beta)^8}{[(\alpha u_x + \beta)u_{xxx} - 3\alpha u_{xx}]^2} \]  

(B.6a)

\[ G_1[u] = \frac{(\alpha u_x + \beta)^7 u_4x}{[(\alpha u_x + \beta)u_{xxx} - 3\alpha u_{xx}]^3} \left[ (\alpha u_x + \beta)^2 u_4x - 13\alpha(\alpha u_x + \beta)u_{xx}u_{xxx} ight. \\
\left. + 24\alpha^2 u_{xx}^3 \right] \]  

(B.6b)

\[ G_0[u] = -\frac{(\alpha u_x + \beta)^9 u_5x}{[(\alpha u_x + \beta)u_{xxx} - 3\alpha u_{xx}]^9} + \frac{3(\alpha u_x + \beta)^6}{[(\alpha u_x + \beta)u_{xxx} - 3\alpha u_{xx}]^4} \left[ (\alpha u_x + \beta)^4 u_4x^2 - \frac{46}{3}\alpha(\alpha u_x + \beta)^3 u_{xx}u_{xxx}u_{4x} ight. \\
\left. + 3\alpha(\alpha u_x + \beta)^3 u_{xxx}^3 + \frac{184}{3}\alpha^2(\alpha u_x + \beta)^2 u_{xx}^2 u_{xxx}^2 ight. \\
\left. - 184\alpha^3(\alpha u_x + \beta)u_{xx}^4 u_{xxx} + 144\alpha^4 u_{xx}^6 \right] \]  

(B.6c)

\[ \Lambda_1[u] = \frac{(\alpha u_x + \beta)^8 u_{6x}}{[(\alpha u_x + \beta)u_{xxx} - 3\alpha u_{xx}]^3} - \frac{9(\alpha u_x + \beta)^9 u_{4x} u_{5x}}{[(\alpha u_x + \beta)u_{xxx} - 3\alpha u_{xx}]^4} - \frac{72\alpha^2(\alpha u_x + \beta)^7 u_{xx}^5 u_{5x}}{[(\alpha u_x + \beta)u_{xxx} - 3\alpha u_{xx}]^4} + \frac{81\alpha(\alpha u_x + \beta)^8 u_{xx} u_{xxx} u_{5x}}{[(\alpha u_x + \beta)u_{xxx} - 3\alpha u_{xx}]^4} \\
+ \frac{12(\alpha u_x + \beta)^{10} u_{4x}^3}{[(\alpha u_x + \beta)u_{xxx} - 3\alpha u_{xx}]^5} - \frac{45\alpha(\alpha u_x + \beta)^8 u_{xx} u_{xx}^2 u_{4x}^2}{[(\alpha u_x + \beta)u_{xxx} - 3\alpha u_{xx}]^5} (5u_{xx} u_{xxx} + 5\beta u_{xxx} - 3\alpha u_{xx}^2) \\
+ \frac{5\alpha(\alpha u_x + \beta)^6 u_{4x}}{[(\alpha u_x + \beta)u_{xxx} - 3\alpha u_{xx}]^5} \left[ 11(\alpha u_x + \beta)^3 u_{xxx}^3 + 291\alpha(\alpha u_x + \beta)^2 u_{xx}^2 u_{xxx} \right] \]
\(- 504\alpha^2 (\alpha u_x + \beta) u_{xx}^4 u_{xxx} + 216\alpha^3 u_{xxx}^2 \) \\
\(- \frac{20\alpha^2 (\alpha u_x + \beta)^4 u_{xxx}}{[(\alpha u_x + \beta)u_{xxx} - 3\alpha u_{xx}^2]} \left[ \left( \alpha u_x + \beta \right) u_{xxx}^4 + \frac{67\alpha^2}{3} (\alpha u_x + \beta)^4 u_{xx} u_{xxx}^2 \right] + 216 \alpha^3 (\alpha u_x + \beta)^4 u_{xxx}^2 \\
+ 148\alpha^3 (\alpha u_x + \beta)^4 u_{xx}^4 u_{xxx} + 288\alpha^5 (\alpha u_x + \beta) u_{xx}^6 u_{xxx} \right) \\
- 306\alpha^4 (\alpha u_x + \beta)^2 u_{xx}^2 u_{xxx}^2 + \frac{31}{27} (\alpha u_x + \beta)^6 u_{xxx}^6 \\
- \frac{38\alpha}{9} (\alpha u_x + \beta)^5 u_{xxx}^2 u_{xxx}^5 - 108\alpha^6 u_{xxx}^{12} \right] \right). \quad (B.6d)

Recursion operator for Case VI: Equation (3.8) of Proposition 2 viz.

\[
u_t = \frac{4u_x^5}{(2b u_x^2 - 2u_x u_{xxx} + 3u_{xx}^2)^2} \equiv \frac{u_x}{(b - S)^2},
\]

admits the recursion operator

\[
R[u] = G_2[u] D_x^2 + G_1[u] D_x + G_0[u] + u_x D_x^{-1} \circ \Lambda_1[u] + u_t D_x^{-1} \circ \Lambda_2[u] \quad (B.7)
\]

where

\[
G_2[u] = \frac{1}{4(b - S)^2} \quad (B.8a)
\]

\[
G_1[u] = -\frac{u_{xx}}{2u_x(b - S)^2} - \frac{S_x}{4(b - S)^3} \quad (B.8b)
\]

\[
G_0[u] = \frac{u_{xx}^2}{8u_x^2(b - S)^2} + \frac{u_{xx} S_x}{4u_x(b - S)^3} + \frac{S_{xx}}{4(b - S)^3} - \frac{2b S^2 - b^2 S - 3S_x^2 - S^3}{4(b - S)^4} \quad (B.8c)
\]

\[
\Lambda_1[u] = -\frac{S_{xxx}}{4u_x(b - S)^3} - \frac{9S_x S_{xx}}{4u_x(b - S)^4} - \frac{S_x (b + 3S)}{8u_x(b - S)^3} - \frac{3S_x^3}{u_x(b - S)^5} \quad (B.8d)
\]

\[
\Lambda_2[u] = -\frac{S_x}{8u_x} \quad (B.8e)
\]

Here S is the Schwarzian derivative (1.2).
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