Foliar Silicon Spray to Strawberry Plants During Summer Cutting Propagation Enhances Resistance of Transplants to High Temperature Stresses
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Silicon (Si) has been reported to benefit plant growth and stress resistance. This work aimed to find out an optimal method of Si application to enhance the resistance of strawberry (Fragaria × ananassa Duch.) transplants to high temperatures, commonly experienced in the summer when strawberries are propagated for greenhouse production in Korea. Plants of strawberry “Sulhyang”, “Maehyang”, and “Kuemsil” were subjected to one of five treatments before the cutting propagation: no treatment (control), substrate dressing of a water-soluble silicate fertilizer, substrate drench of 75 mg·L⁻¹ Si (from potassium silicate) to the mother plants, or foliar spray of 75 mg·L⁻¹ Si to either the mother plants or daughter plants. Half of the daughter plants in each Si treatment received continued application of Si through either substrate dressing of a water-soluble silicate fertilizer, substrate drench, or foliar spray after the cutting propagation. A high temperature (43°C) resistance test was conducted in plant growth chambers for 7 days with a 16-h photoperiod with a light intensity of 300 mmol·m⁻²·s⁻¹ PPFD. During the high temperature test, the rate of decline in the photosynthesis was lower in plants treated with Si than in the control. After the high temperature test, it was observed that Si application significantly increased the shoot fresh weight of transplants. Moreover, the contents of sugars, proteins, and enzymatic (CAT, SOD, POD, and APX) and non-enzymatic (anthocyanin and proline) antioxidants were higher in plants treated with Si throughout the entire propagation period, compared to the control and plants only treated with Si before or after the cutting propagation. Overall, the Si application improved the growth of the transplants regardless of the application method used. Moreover, spraying the daughter plants with Si, and continually spraying the transplants were found to be the best and is recommended to increase the resistance of strawberries to high temperatures during propagation.
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INTRODUCTION

Strawberry (Fragaria × ananassa, Duchesne) is a major economical fruit crop. In Korea, strawberry is cultivated on 6,057 hectares as of 2021. Of those, the open-field cultivation area was 519 hectares, while most of the strawberry cultivation was through facility cultivation (Korean Statistical Information Service, KOSIS, The Area of Facility Crop Cultivation https://kosis.kr/statHtml/statHtml.do?orgId=101&tblId=DT_1E T0017&cconn_path=12 accessed on 7 April 2022). Almost all strawberry plants are commercially propagated by using daughter plants from the runners (Caruana et al., 2018). The mother plants begin growing into unrooted runners in March, and the runner grows to daughter plants and are transferred to trays for rooting in June. Lastly, these plants are kept in a greenhouse until September, then established in the field or greenhouses (Takeda et al., 2004; Li et al., 2020). During this period, the temperature inside the greenhouse reaches 45°C with natural ventilation (Kim et al., 2020). In the last decade, abnormally high-temperature weather occurred from spring to late autumn in Korea (Korea Meteorological Administration, Report of Abnormal Climate http://www.climate.go.kr/home/bbs/list.php?code=93&bname=abnormal accessed on 7 April 2022). Furthermore, excessively high temperature frequently occurs due to global warming, and the Fifth Assessment Report presented by the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) suggests that there is a long-term increase in the global temperature (IPCC, 2014). Using cooling devices may be a heavy burden for farmers, therefore, researchers are recently trending toward improving the resistance of strawberries to high temperatures.

Silicon (Si) is the second most abundant element in the earth’s crust (Epstein, 1994). It is present in the form of silicic acid (H₄SiO₄) in the soil solution and is absorbed by plants (Etesami and Jeong, 2018). Si has been demonstrated to be beneficial for the growth and development of plants, and the accumulation and deposition of Si can form a cuticle-Si double silicate layer in the leaves, decreasing the evapotranspiration and improving the photosynthesis rate (Park et al., 2018). Additionally, the variation can reinforce the morphological structures of the cell wall, and maintain the integrity of the cell membrane by creating a physical barrier (Nasircilar, 2021). It was reported that Si improves the N use efficiency and adjusts the absorption of P and micronutrients (Pavlović et al., 2021). Besides, Si was involved in the stomatal regulation and the antioxidant enzyme system, and alleviated damages by preventing lipid peroxidation and hydrogen peroxide (H₂O₂) formation (Hu et al., 2020).

Many researchers have concluded that Si plays an important role in mitigating high temperature stresses in plants. The Si helps maintain photosynthesis in high-temperature environments by improving the abundance of photosynthetic proteins, especially the photosystem I P700 apoprotein A (PsaA) and photosystem II protein D1 (PsbA) (Soundararajan et al., 2014; Muneer et al., 2017; Moradtalab et al., 2019). Moreover, Si application can mitigate the electrolyte leakage of leaf tissues (Agarie et al., 1998), and alleviate heat-induced oxidative membrane damages by improving the antioxidant enzyme activities (Sattar et al., 2020). Furthermore, Si is the only element that can be translocated and accumulated in massive amounts in plants without toxic symptoms, and it is non-corrosive and pollution-free (Ma et al., 2001; Wang et al., 2016). Therefore, Si can be used as a profitable and effective fertilizer for strawberry facility cultivation.

However, Si fertilization in agricultural production is in its infancy, and it has yet to be determined how silicon affects specific internal mechanisms in plants. The concentration of Si in the shoots greatly varies among different plants. Plants are classified as either Si excluders or non-accumulators, Si intermediates, and Si accumulators according to the

![FIGURE 1 | Methods of Si application for strawberries. Respectively: C-C, no Si application during cutting propagation; C-SD, no Si application before cutting propagation and the daughter plants sprayed with the Si solution after cutting propagation; C-DD, no Si application before cutting propagation and the daughter plants drenched with the Si solution after cutting propagation; SM-C, the mother plants sprayed with the Si solution before cutting propagation and no Si application after cutting propagation; SM-SD, the mother plants sprayed with the Si solution before cutting propagation and the daughter plants sprayed with the Si solution after cutting propagation; DM-C, the mother plants drenched with the Si solution before cutting propagation and no Si application after cutting propagation; DM-DD, the mother plants drenched with the Si solution before cutting propagation and the daughter plants drenched with the Si solution after cutting propagation; DSM-C, the mother plants dressed with the soluble Si fertilizer before cutting propagation and no Si application after cutting propagation; DSM-DD, the mother plants dressed with the soluble Si fertilizer before cutting propagation and the daughter plants dressed with the soluble Si fertilizer after cutting propagation; SD-C, the daughter plants sprayed with the Si solution before cutting propagation and no Si application after cutting propagation; or SD-SD, the daughter plants sprayed with the Si solution before and after cutting propagation.]
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TABLE 1 | Methods of Si application for strawberries.

| Treatment | Application of Si before cutting propagation | Application of Si after cutting propagation |
|-----------|---------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------|
| C-C       | No Si application                           | No Si application                          |
| C-SD      | No Si application                           | The daughter plants sprayed with the Si solution |
| C-DD      | No Si application                           | The daughter plants drenched with the Si solution |
| SM-C      | The mother plants sprayed with the Si solution | No Si application                           |
| SM-SD     | The mother plants sprayed with the Si solution | The daughter plants sprayed with the Si solution |
| DM-C      | The mother plants drenched with the Si solution | No Si application                           |
| DM-DD     | The mother plants drenched with the Si solution | The daughter plants drenched with the Si solution |
| DSM-C     | The mother plants dressed with the soluble Si fertilizer | No Si application                           |
| DSM-SD    | The mother plants dressed with the soluble Si fertilizer | The daughter plants dressed with the soluble Si fertilizer |
| SD-C      | The daughter plants sprayed with the Si solution | No Si application                           |
| SD-SD     | The daughter plants sprayed with the Si solution | The daughter plants sprayed with the Si solution |

concentration of Si ranging from <0.5, 0.5–0.1, and more than 1.0% (v/v), respectively (Takahashi et al., 1990). All monocots are Si accumulators, and most dicots belong to the excluders or intermediates. The absorption of Si includes radial transport and release of Si. Firstly, it depends on a proteinaceous transporter for the Si transport to the cortical cells of the root from the exterior (Tamai and Ma, 2003). For instance, tomato, cucumber, and rice, respectively, represent Si non-accumulators, Si intermediates, and Si accumulators. Rice had the highest density of the Si transporter, followed by cucumbers. Therefore, the accumulation of Si in shoots depends on the ability of the roots to absorb Si (Mitani and Ma, 2005). Following absorption to the root, Si is transported into the xylem of the shoot as silicic acid. Those two processes are involved in two different types of Si transporters. Roots absorb Si into the symplast through the influx transporter low silicon rice 1 (Lsi1), and the efflux transporter Lsi2 loads the Si into the apoplast (Ma and Takahashi, 2002). In higher plants, rice Lsi1 was the first gene that was isolated by a low-Si rice mutant, and was identified as encoding the Si transporter (Ma et al., 2006). In contrast to rice, little information is available in the research on Si transport in dicots, and the gene encoding the silicon transporter in strawberries was not identified until 2017 (Ouellette et al., 2017). In addition to transporter proteins, Si uptake is related to transpiration, and with the loss of water. The Si is deposited as the “phytoliths” in the leaves, stem, leaf sheath, and hull (Ma, 2003). And the “phytoliths” are able to recycle into the soil to form a soil-Si-plant mechanisms in ecosystems (Katz et al., 2021). Compared to non-agricultural soils, the agricultural soils showed lower Si concentrations due to desilication during the soil-Si-plant cycle (Puppe et al., 2021). Therefore, it is necessary to explore improved utilization of Si in limited sources. Researchers found that the method of Si application and plant conditions can greatly influence the benefits that strawberry can obtain from Si (Dallagnol et al., 2020). Combining soil and foliar Si application resulted in the greatest yield in wheat (Kowalska et al., 2021). Soil Si application was the most effective in alleviating cadmium stress (Howladar et al., 2018). Furthermore, it was reported that potassium silicate (K₂SiO₃) is more efficient than Na₂SiO₃ or CaSiO₃ (Sivanesan et al., 2013). The commercial soluble silicate fertilizer ‘Keunson’ also showed beneficial effects on plant growth (Vu et al., 2017; Song et al., 2021). Therefore, we are still working on finding the most suitable Si application method for enhancing the growth and stress tolerance in strawberry. In this study, the three most common strawberry cultivars “Sulhyang”, “Maehyang”, and “Kuemsil” in Korea were investigated. “Sulhyang” was registered in 2005 and originated from the “Akihime” and “Red Pearl”, and its cultivation area occupies more than 80% of strawberries in Korea (Jun et al., 2017). “Maehyang” was bred from “Tochinomine” and “Akihime”, and showed high growth, yield, and quality (Kim et al., 2004). “Kuemsil” was derived from “Sulhyang” and “Maehyang” with the general characteristics of early flower bud differentiation and first harvest (Yoon et al., 2020). The optimum growth temperature range for strawberries is between 10 and 26°C (Strik, 1985). And it has been established that the critical temperature range for strawberry growth inhibition is 35–40°C (Kadir et al., 2006). Previous research has reported oxidative damages in “Sulhyang” and “Maehyang” under 33 and 41°C, and showed a decrease in the abundance of PSI-A core protein of PSI (PsA) and D1 protein of PSII (PsB) (Muneer et al., 2017). Moreover, “Sulhyang” showed necrotic leaves after subjection to 42°C (Li et al., 2020). Although there is no research on heat tolerance of “Kuemsil”, its antioxidative properties are lower than “Sulhyang” and “Maehyang” (Kim et al., 2012). Therefore, the three cultivars are thought to be sensitive to high temperatures. We applied Si for strawberry plants during the summer cutting propagation, and evaluated the growth parameters, antioxidant enzymes, and reactive oxygen species of transplants after Si application under high temperatures to preliminarily disect the response of strawberry transplants incurred by high temperatures, and to find the optimal Si application method to enhance the resistance to high temperature stresses in strawberry transplants during hot summer seasons.
### TABLE 2 | The growth parameters as affected by the Si treatment in strawberry transplants after subjecting to 43°C for 7 days.

| Treatment before cutting (A) | Treatment after cutting (B) | Sulhyang | Leaf | Maehyang | Leaf | Kuemsil | Leaf |
|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|----------|------|----------|------|---------|------|
|                             |                             | Shoot    |      | Shoot    |      | Shoot   |      |
|                             |                             | Height (cm) |     | Crown diameter (mm) |     | Fresh weight (g) |     | Dry weight (g) |     | Length (cm) |     |
| C                           | 17.8 ± 1.0                  | 5.63 ± 1.1 | 5.55 ± 1.2 | 1.83 ± 1.0 | 8.1 ± 1.0 | 5.7 ± 1.0 | 21.4 ± 1.0 | 5.9 ± 1.2 | 3.92 ± 1.0 | 1.90 ± 1.0 | 7.2 ± 1.0 | 4.3 ± 1.0 | 22.0 ± 1.0 | 6.9 ± 1.2 | 6.4 ± 1.0 | 2.16 ± 1.0 | 8.9 ± 1.0 | 7.8 ± 1.0 |
| C SD                        | 27.0 ± 1.0                  | 7.36 ± 1.1 | 7.14 ± 1.2 | 2.07 ± 1.0 | 9.4 ± 1.0 | 6.9 ± 1.0 | 28.6 ± 1.0 | 7.2 ± 1.0 | 5.94 ± 1.0 | 2.81 ± 1.0 | 7.1 ± 1.0 | 23.6 ± 1.0 | 7.4 ± 1.0 | 8.7 ± 1.0 | 2.64 ± 1.0 | 10.1 ± 1.0 | 9.6 ± 1.0 |
| C DD                        | 20.2 ± 1.0                  | 8.38 ± 1.1 | 7.52 ± 1.2 | 2.24 ± 1.0 | 8.8 ± 1.0 | 7.3 ± 1.0 | 25.8 ± 1.0 | 8.8 ± 1.0 | 6.23 ± 1.0 | 2.62 ± 1.0 | 8.7 ± 1.0 | 5.3 ± 1.0 | 25.8 ± 1.0 | 7.7 ± 1.0 | 9.6 ± 1.0 | 2.62 ± 1.0 | 9.7 ± 1.0 | 9.7 ± 1.0 |
| SM                          | 22.1 ± 1.0                  | 6.27 ± 1.1 | 5.87 ± 1.2 | 2.03 ± 1.0 | 8.3 ± 1.0 | 5.8 ± 1.0 | 25.3 ± 1.0 | 4.7 ± 1.2 | 4.81 ± 1.0 | 2.11 ± 1.2 | 7.5 ± 1.0 | 4.5 ± 1.0 | 23.0 ± 1.0 | 7.1 ± 1.0 | 7.8 ± 1.0 | 2.18 ± 1.0 | 8.5 ± 1.0 | 8.4 ± 1.0 |
| SM SD                       | 27.1 ± 1.0                  | 7.70 ± 1.1 | 7.21 ± 1.2 | 2.18 ± 1.0 | 8.8 ± 1.0 | 7.3 ± 1.0 | 28.2 ± 1.0 | 7.7 ± 1.0 | 6.82 ± 1.0 | 2.32 ± 1.0 | 9.7 ± 1.0 | 5.9 ± 1.0 | 26.6 ± 1.0 | 7.8 ± 1.0 | 9.2 ± 1.0 | 2.64 ± 1.0 | 12.2 ± 1.0 | 10.6 ± 1.0 |
| SM DD                       | 22.2 ± 1.0                  | 7.16 ± 1.1 | 6.79 ± 1.0 | 2.14 ± 1.0 | 8.6 ± 1.0 | 5.7 ± 1.0 | 24.1 ± 1.0 | 6.8 ± 1.0 | 4.83 ± 1.0 | 2.13 ± 1.0 | 7.8 ± 1.0 | 5.1 ± 1.0 | 23.5 ± 1.0 | 7.1 ± 1.0 | 7.8 ± 1.0 | 2.26 ± 1.0 | 10.6 ± 1.0 | 9.0 ± 1.0 |
| SM DSM                      | 23.3 ± 1.0                  | 9.78 ± 1.1 | 9.67 ± 1.0 | 2.59 ± 1.0 | 8.8 ± 1.0 | 5.7 ± 1.0 | 24.4 ± 1.0 | 10.2 ± 1.0 | 8.31 ± 1.0 | 2.85 ± 1.0 | 9.6 ± 1.0 | 6.8 ± 1.0 | 27.1 ± 1.0 | 8.9 ± 1.0 | 10.3 ± 1.0 | 2.89 ± 1.0 | 12.4 ± 1.0 | 12.1 ± 1.0 |
| SM DSM SD                   | 19.5 ± 1.0                  | 7.83 ± 1.1 | 7.55 ± 1.0 | 2.25 ± 1.0 | 8.2 ± 1.0 | 5.4 ± 1.0 | 23.3 ± 1.0 | 7.7 ± 1.0 | 4.90 ± 1.0 | 2.44 ± 1.0 | 8.7 ± 1.0 | 5.7 ± 1.0 | 23.0 ± 1.0 | 7.3 ± 1.0 | 7.5 ± 1.0 | 2.39 ± 1.0 | 10.0 ± 1.0 | 10.2 ± 1.0 |
| SM DSM DD                   | 23.0 ± 1.0                  | 9.39 ± 1.1 | 7.50 ± 1.0 | 2.20 ± 1.0 | 8.7 ± 1.0 | 7.3 ± 1.0 | 26.9 ± 1.0 | 8.8 ± 1.0 | 6.72 ± 1.0 | 2.64 ± 1.0 | 8.5 ± 1.0 | 5.2 ± 1.0 | 24.1 ± 1.0 | 7.8 ± 1.0 | 8.5 ± 1.0 | 2.58 ± 1.0 | 10.9 ± 1.0 | 11.9 ± 1.0 |
| SD                          | 21.9 ± 1.0                  | 6.82 ± 1.1 | 7.35 ± 1.0 | 2.19 ± 1.0 | 9.3 ± 1.0 | 7.0 ± 1.0 | 27.4 ± 1.0 | 6.1 ± 1.0 | 6.90 ± 1.0 | 2.20 ± 1.0 | 7.6 ± 1.0 | 5.1 ± 1.0 | 25.9 ± 1.0 | 7.8 ± 1.0 | 8.1 ± 1.0 | 2.63 ± 1.0 | 12.3 ± 1.0 | 11.6 ± 1.0 |
| SD SD                       | 25.4 ± 1.0                  | 6.96 ± 1.1 | 7.87 ± 1.0 | 2.25 ± 1.0 | 9.0 ± 1.0 | 6.8 ± 1.0 | 31.0 ± 1.0 | 7.3 ± 1.0 | 8.42 ± 1.0 | 2.63 ± 1.0 | 8.1 ± 1.0 | 6.3 ± 1.0 | 27.4 ± 1.0 | 8.3 ± 1.0 | 9.9 ± 1.0 | 2.74 ± 1.0 | 14.2 ± 1.0 | 12.1 ± 1.0 |

F-test

| A | B | A × B |
|---|---|-------|
| ** | ** | **    |

**Lowercase letters indicate significant differences calculated by the Duncan’s multiple range test at p ≤ 0.05.**

NS, *, **, and *** represent non-significant or significant at p ≤ 0.05, 0.01, and 0.001, respectively: C, no Si application; SM, the mother plants sprayed with the Si solution; DM, the mother plants drenched with the Si solution; DSM, the mother plants dressed with the soluble Si fertilizer; SD, the daughter plants sprayed with the Si solution; DD, the daughter plants drenched with the Si solution; and DSD, the daughter plants dressed with the soluble Si fertilizer.
MATERIALS AND METHODS

Plant Materials and Silicon Treatments

The strawberry cultivars used were “Sulhyang”, “Maehyang”, and “Kuemsil”. Daughter plants were selected from a strawberry farm (Sugok-myeon, Jinju, Gyeongsangnam-do, Korea) and transplanted in a hydroponic gutter system filled with the BVB medium (Bas Van Buuren Substrate, EN-12580, De Lier, Westland, The Netherlands) on January 10, 2021. The plants were drip-irrigated with a multipurpose nutrient solution composed of NH$_4$NO$_3$ 80.0, KNO$_3$ 232.3, KH$_2$PO$_4$ 272.0, K$_2$SO$_4$ 17.4, Ca(NO$_3$)$_2$·4H$_2$O 467.6, MgSO$_4$·H$_2$O 209.1, H$_3$BO$_3$ 1.4, NaMoO$_4$·2H$_2$O 0.12, MnSO$_4$·H$_2$O 2.10, ZnSO$_4$·7H$_2$O 0.80, and CuSO$_4$·5H$_2$O 0.20 (mg L$^{-1}$).

The axillary buds of mother plants developed into runners and gradually grew into daughter plants after 2 months. The Si treatments were started on March 10, 2021. Strawberry plants were subjected to one of five treatments before the cutting propagation: C, no treatments (control); SM, foliar spray of a Si solution (from K$_2$SiO$_3$ at a final concentration of 75 mg L$^{-1}$) to the mother plants; DM, substrate drenching with a Si solution to the mother plants; DSM, substrate dressing with a commercial soluble silicon fertilizer “Keunson” (equivalent to 0.075 g of pure Na$_2$SiO$_3$) (Saturn Bio Tech Co., Ltd., Gangwon-do, Korea) to the mother plants; or SD, foliar spraying of Si solution to the daughter plants. All daughter plants were stuck in 21-cell zigzag trays (21-Zigpot/21 cell tray, Daeseung, Jeonju, Korea) filled with the BVB medium (Bas Van Buuren Substrate, EN-12580, De Lier, Westland, The Netherlands) on April 30, 2020. The plants were then put into a fogging system (UH-303, JB Natural Co. Ltd., Gunpo, Korea) on a propagation bench for rooting. Two weeks later, the plants were moved out from the fogging tunnel, and the control group was separated into three groups: C-C, no Si application during cutting propagation; C-SD, no Si application before cutting propagation and the daughter plants sprayed with the Si solution after cutting propagation; C-DD, no
FIGURE 3 | Leaves relative water content and stomatal conductance of strawberry transplants (A) "Sulhyang"; (B) "Maehyang"; and (C) "Kuemsil" as affected by the Si treatment after subjection to 43°C for 7 days. Lowercase letters indicate significant differences calculated by the Duncan's multiple range test at \( p \leq 0.05 \). See Figure 1 for descriptions of treatment codes.

Si application before cutting propagation and the daughter plants drenched with the Si solution after cutting propagation. The daughter plants from other treatments were separated into two groups and continued application Si: SM-C, the mother plants sprayed with the Si solution before cutting propagation and no Si application after cutting propagation; SM-SD, the mother plants sprayed with the Si solution before cutting propagation and the daughter plants sprayed with the Si solution after cutting propagation; DM-C, the mother plants drenched with the Si solution before cutting propagation and no Si application after cutting propagation; DM-DD, the mother plants drenched with the Si solution before cutting propagation and the daughter plants drenched with the Si solution; DSM-C, the mother plants drenched with soluble Si fertilizer before cutting propagation and no Si application after cutting propagation; DSM-DSD, the mother plants drenched with the soluble Si fertilizer before cutting propagation and the daughter plants drenched with the soluble Si fertilizer; SD-C, the daughter plants spray with the Si solution before cutting propagation and no Si application after cutting propagation; or SD-SD, the daughter plants sprayed with the Si solution before and after cutting propagation (Figure 1 and Table 1).

High Temperature Stress Treatments and Measurements of the Chlorophyll Fluorescence Parameters

The daughter plants were transplanted into 10 cm plastic pots for high temperature experiments on 11 June 2021. Two weeks later, transplants were randomly placed in plant growth chambers (C1200H3, FC Poibe Co. Ltd., Seoul, Korea) for 1 week under a condition of 43°C, a 16-hour photoperiod with a light intensity of 300 mmol·m\(^{-2}\)·s\(^{-1}\) PPFD, and 65% relative humidity. The plants were fertigated with 50 mL per plant of a multipurpose nutrient solution everyday. There were 3 replicates and each replicate had 3 transplants, a total of 9 plants for each treatment. All plants were randomly placed in the growth chambers. The chlorophyll fluorescence parameters were measured every 48
FIGURE 4 | Chlorophyll fluorescence Fv/F₀ and Fv/Fm of strawberry transplants (A,D) “Sulhyang” ; (B,E) “Maehyang”; and (C,F) “Kuemsil” as affected by the Si treatment after subjection to 43° C for 0, 1, 3, 5, and 7 days. See Figure 1 for descriptions of treatment codes.

Measurement of the Growth Parameters

The plant height, crown diameter, fresh and dry weights of the shoot, length and width and stomatal conductance of leaves of the transplants were measured. The stomatal conductance was determined using a Decagon Leaf Porometer SC-1 (Decagon Device Inc., Pullman, WA, USA). The fresh weight was measured with an electronic scale (EW 220-3NM, Kern and Sohn GmbH., Balingen, Germany), The dry weights of shoot and roots were measured after drying for 72 hours in a drying oven (Venticell-222, MMM Medcenter Einrichtungen GmbH., Munich, Germany) at 70°C. The relative water content (LRWC) was determined using the following formula (González and González-Vilar, 2001):

$$\text{Relative water content (\%) } = \frac{\text{Fresh weight} - \text{Dry weight}}{\text{Saturated weight} - \text{Dry weight}} \times 100$$

Determination of the Contents of Chlorophyll, Carotenoid, and Total Anthocyanin

The content of chlorophyll was measured according to Sükran et al. (1998). A 0.1g leaf sample was submerged in 80% (v/v) acetone for 12 hours, which was then centrifuged (Centrifuge 5428, Eppendorf, Hamburg, Germany) at 13,000 rpm for 15 min at 4°C, and the absorbance of the liquid supernatant was measured at 645 and 663 nm using a UV-spectrophotometer (Libra S22, Biochrom Ltd., Cambridge, UK). The contents of chlorophyll a and b were determined using the following formulae:

$$\text{Chlorophyll a } = \frac{(11.75 \times \text{OD at 663 nm} - 2.35 \times \text{OD at 645 nm}) \times V}{\text{Sample fresh weight}}$$

$$\text{Chlorophyll b } = \frac{(27.05 \times \text{OD at 645 nm} - 11.21 \times \text{OD at 663 nm}) \times V}{\text{Sample fresh weight}}$$

$$\text{Carotenoid } = \frac{1000 \times \text{OD at 470 nm} - 2.27 \times \text{Chlorophyll a} - 81.4 \times \text{Chlorophyll b}}{227}$$

(*V, the volume of the extraction solution. The pigment content was expressed as mg of chlorophyll a and b, and carotenoid per gram of fresh leaf weight).

The total anthocyanin content was determined according to Pirie and Mullins (1976). Briefly, 0.5 g leaf tissue was extracted in 2 mL of 1% (v/v) HCl-ethanol and centrifuged at 13,000 rpm for 20 min at 4°C. Then the liquid supernatant was acidified to approximately pH 1.0 using 0.1 N HCl, and the absorbance was measured at 530 nm.
FIGURE 5 | Chlorophyll content of strawberry transplants (A) “Sulhyang”; (B) “Maehyang”; and (C) “Kuemsil” as affected by Si treatment after subjection to 43°C for 7 days. Lowercase letters indicate significant differences calculated by the Duncan’s multiple range test at $p \leq 0.05$. See Figure 1 for descriptions of treatment codes.

Determination of the Contents of Micro- and Macro-Nutrients
The Si content was determined according to the methods of Zhang and Dotson (1994). Briefly, dried leaves and roots in an oven at 60°C and ground, then 0.5 g samples were ashed using a nabertherm muffle furnace (Model LV 5/11/B180, Lilienthal, Breman, Germany) at 525°C for 4 h. Afterward, the ash was dissolved into 5 mL 25% (v/v) HCl, and then diluted with 15 mL of warm distilled water and 10 mL of room temperature distilled water. The nutrient contents of Si, potassium (K), calcium (Ca), phosphorus (P), sulfur (S), magnesium (Mg), iron (Fe), zinc (Zn), copper (Cu), and manganese (Mn) were measured using the inductively coupled plasma spectrometer (Optima 4300DV/5300DV, Perkin Elmer, Germany).

Determination of the Hydrogen Peroxide ($H_2O_2$) Content and Lipid Peroxidation
To estimate the content of $H_2O_2$, 0.1 g leaf samples were homogenized and extracted in a 5 mL 10 mM phosphate buffer (pH 5.8) containing 0.1% (w/v) trichloroacetic acid (TCA), which was then centrifuged at 10,000 rpm for 30 min at 4°C. 2 mL of the liquid supernatant was mixed with a 0.2 mL 10 mM phosphate buffer (pH 5.8) and 0.2 mL 1 M potassium iodide (KI). After incubation in the dark for 30 min, the absorbance was measured at 350 nm (Junglee et al., 2014).

Lipid peroxidation was measured according to Sun et al. (2015). 0.5 g leaf samples were extracted in 5 mL 0.1% (w/v) TCA and then centrifuged at 10,000 g for 5 min. 1 mL of the liquid supernatant was mixed with 0.6% (w/v) thiobarbituric acid and 10% (w/v) TCA, which were subsequently placed in a boiling water bath for 30 min to react and rapidly cooled. The absorbance was measured at 450, 532, and 600 nm, and the lipid peroxidation was estimated by the malondialdehyde (MDA) level:

$$\text{MDA}^* = 6.45 \times (\text{OD at } 532 \text{ nm} - \text{OD at } 600 \text{ nm}) - 0.56 \times \text{OD at } 450 \text{ nm}$$
Determination of Proline and Soluble Sugar Contents

To estimate the proline content, 1.0 g leaf samples were homogenized and extracted in 10 mL 3% (w/v) sulfosalicylic acid. 1 mL of the liquid supernatant was then mixed with 1 mL ninyhydrin and 1 mL glacial acetic acid, and reacted in a 95°C water bath for 1 h. After natural cooling at room temperature, 2 mL cold toluene was added and the absorbance was measured at 520 nm (Bates et al., 1973).

To estimate the soluble sugar contents, 0.5 g leaf samples were homogenized and extracted in a 10 mL 20 mM phosphate buffer (pH 7.0), and centrifuged at 10,000 rpm for 30 min at 4°C. 0.2 mL of the liquid supernatant was then mixed with 5 mL H₂SO₄ and 1.8 mL distilled H₂O, and reacted in a boiling water bath for 10 min. After natural cooling, the absorbance was measured at 620 nm (Sun et al., 2015).

Determination of the Total Soluble Protein Content and Antioxidant Enzyme Activities

To estimate the total soluble protein content, the 0.5 g leaf samples were homogenized with liquid nitrogen and extracted in a 1.5 mL ice-cold 50 mM phosphate buffer (pH 7.0) containing 1 mM ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA), 0.05% (v/v) Triton X-100, and 1 mM polyvinylpyrrolidone (PVP), which was then centrifuged at 13,000 rpm for 20 min at 4°C, and the liquid supernatant was used in assaying the
antioxidant enzyme activities, namely of superoxide dismutase (SOD), guaiacol peroxidase (GPX), ascorbate peroxidase (APX), and catalase (CAT) according to Soundararajan et al. (2019).

Data Collection and Analysis
The experimental results were subjected to an analysis of variance (ANOVA) and the Duncan's multiple range test ($p \leq 0.05$) for comparison within a cultivar, and the $F$-test was calculated according to Fisher's least significant difference test at a threshold of $p = 0.05$. The Statistical Analysis Program (SAS 9.1, SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC, USA) was used for the statistical analysis. Graphing was performed with the OriginPro software (version 9.0, OriginLab Co. Ltd., Northampton, MA, USA).

RESULTS
Growth and Development of Strawberry Transplants
In the present experiment, the height, fresh and dry weights, and shoot crown diameter of the three strawberry cultivars all significantly increased with Si treatments when compared with the control (Table 2). The maximal shoot fresh and dry weights were observed when plants were drenched in the Si solution both before and after the cutting propagation (DM-DD). The $F$-test results revealed that the supplemental Si significantly affected the shoot height, crown diameter, and fresh weight. However, Si treatments had no significant effects on the leaf length. Furthermore, as shown in Figure 2, the morphophysiological state is affected by the Si treatments in ‘Sulhyang”, “Maehyang”, and “Kuemsil” strawberry transplants subjected to 43°C on different days. The leaves of transplants began to slightly roll from...
the third day of the high temperature stress. On the fifth day of the high temperature stress, varying degrees of wilting were observed on the transplants. It is noteworthy that the plants in the control were severely stressed and gradually died, while the Si-treated plants remained alive on the seventh day of the high temperature stress.

Relative Water Content and Stomatal Conductance
The LRWC in leaves is considered as a degree of stress expressed under high temperatures. As compared with the control (Figure 3), the LRWC values increased by 26–45%, 56–75%, and 70–84% in “Sulhyang”, “Maehyang”, and “Kuemsil” cultivars, respectively, with Si (+, +) application. Meanwhile, we found that Si treatments increased the stomatal conductance of leaves compared to the control, and the greatest stomatal conductance was obtained with the SD-SD.

Chlorophyll Fluorescence Parameters and Photosynthetic Pigment Contents
We further studied the changes in the chlorophyll fluorescence parameters of the photosystem II (PS II) under high temperature stress (Figure 4). The maximum primary yield of PS II photochemistry (Fv/F0) and the chlorophyll fluorescence parameters of the maximum/potential quantum efficiency of PS II (Fv/Fm) significantly decreased. The Fv/F0 and Fv/Fm decreased relatively slowly for the first three days, and then rapidly decreased until the fifth day. Interestingly, there were no significant differences in the Fv/F0 and Fv/Fm values between the control and Si-treated transplants on the first and third day, whereafter, the Fv/F0 and Fv/Fm of the control transplants (0.92 and 0.43), were 58% and 48% lower than that of Si-treated transplants (1.42 and 0.64), respectively.

To further explore the influence of the Si and high temperature stress on photosynthesis, the chlorophyll contents of leaves were investigated in this study (Figure 5). The
lowest total chlorophyll contents were observed in the control, regardless of the cultivar. Similarly, the chlorophyll a content in “Sulhyang” (0.39–0.91 mg·g⁻¹), “Maehyang” (0.68–2.29 mg·g⁻¹), and “Kuemsil” (0.54–0.95 mg·g⁻¹) transplants with Si application were, respectively, 1.3–3.1, 1.3–4.5, and 1.2–2.0 times those in the control (0.29, 0.50, and 0.46 mg·g⁻¹). In addition, the chlorophyll b content in “Sulhyang” and “Maehyang” leaves were found to be significantly affected by the Si application. However, for “Kuemsil” transplants exposed to the high temperature stress, there were no significant differences in the chlorophyll a content with or without the Si treatments.

Moreover, there were significant differences in the carotenoid content (Figure 6). In “Sulhyang”, “Maehyang”, and “Kuemsil”, the carotenoid content with Si (+, +) treatments (2.12, 2.24, and 2.07 mg·g⁻¹) were, respectively, 1.1, 1.0, and 0.6 times higher than those of the control transplants (1.02, 1.09, and 1.26 mg·g⁻¹). However, there were no significant differences in the content of carotenoids in transplants Si (+,−) and Si (−,−).

**Soluble Sugars and Soluble Proteins**

The results related to the accumulation of soluble sugars and proteins showed significant effects of Si application (Figure 7). Si application promoted the soluble sugar contents, and SD-SD led to the highest soluble sugar contents in “Sulhyang” and “Kuemsil”. However, there were no significant differences in the content of soluble proteins in transplants treated with or without Si after subjection to the high temperature in “Maehyang”.

**Contents of Micro- and Macro-Nutrients**

The contents of micro- and macro-nutrients in leaves and roots were measured (Figures 8, 9 and Tables 3–5). Si application promoted the Si content both in leaves and roots, and the maximum Si content was obtained in DM-DD, followed by C-DD and SD-SD. Furthermore, the Si content in leaves was higher than that of roots in transplants treated with Si, for all three cultivars. However, in “Sulhyang”, the Si content of the roots was no differences compared with those in the control after the foliar spray. The results of F-test showed
that Si application significantly affected the macro-nutrient contents in “Maehyang” and “Kuemsil”, and Si increased the absorption and transport of K, P, S, and Mg. For micro-nutrients, Si significantly affected Fe transport from roots to leaves.

**Reactive Oxygen Species**

ROS is closely related to the degree of damage caused by stresses. Figure 8 presents the H$_2$O$_2$ and MDA contents of the strawberry transplants measured after the high temperature stress. On average, Si application substantially reduced the MDA content of transplants (by about 85, 72, and 62%, respectively, compared to the control). Differently, although the H$_2$O$_2$ content decreased in the Si (+, +) treatments, there were no significant differences in the ROS contents between Si (+, −) and Si (−, −) treatments in “Sulhyang” and “Kuemsil”.

**Antioxidant Enzyme Activities**

Significant differences in the activities of certain key enzymes were found in the transplants with Si application (Figures 10–13). All Si treatments lead to significantly higher SOD activities than in the control (by 33–62%) in “Maehyang” (Figure 12D). Moreover, the CAT and APX activities as affected by the Si (+, +) treatments in “Sulhyang” (0.23 and 0.52 U·mg$^{-1}$), “Maehyang” (1.05 and 0.37 U·mg$^{-1}$), and “Kuemsil” (0.26 and 0.47 U·mg$^{-1}$) were, respectively, 1.0 and 0.9, 3.8 and 1.65, and 0.9 and 0.76 times higher than those of the control (0.11 and 0.27, 0.21 and 0.14, and 0.14 and 0.26 U·mg$^{-1}$) (Figures 11A,B). Overall, Si application resulted in higher activities of antioxidant enzymes.

**Anthocyanin and Proline**

The trend of anthocyanin and proline contents was opposite to that of H$_2$O$_2$ and MDA contents (Figures 14, 15). The anthocyanin content in Si (+, +) (2.74, 3.20, and 2.40 mg·g$^{-1}$)
TABLE 3 | Mineral contents in leaves and root of “Sulhyang” transplants as affected by different Si treatments.

| Tissue (A) | Si treatment (B) | Macro-nutrient (mg g⁻¹ DW) | Micro-nutrient (mg g⁻¹ DW) |
|------------|------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|
|            |                  | Ca  | P  | S  | Mg | Fe  | Zn  | Cu  | Mn |
| Shoot      | C-C              | 180.6 a | 14.9 bc | 1.9 c-e | 18.5 b | 0.75 d | 0.17 ef | 0.10 bc | 0.69 a-d |
|            | C-SD             | 157.1 a-d | 13.2 cd | 2.1 bc | 29.0 a | 0.65 d | 0.16 ef | 0.12 ab | 0.47 b-f |
|            | C-DD             | 135.4 b-g | 13.5 cd | 1.6 c-g | 25.9 a | 0.85 d | 0.14f  | 0.06 d  | 0.80 a   |
|            | SM-C             | 161.8 a-d | 23.5 a | 3.0 a | 28.0 a | 0.90 d | 0.20 ef | 0.12 ab | 0.72 a-c |
|            | SM-SD            | 154.7 a-e | 13.6 cd | 2.1 cd | 20.5 b | 0.92 d | 0.13f  | 0.12 a  | 0.60 a-e |
|            | DM-C             | 110.3 fg | 17.3 b | 1.8 c-f | 20.7 b | 0.66 d | 0.14f  | 0.11 a-c | 0.41 d-h |
|            | DM-DD            | 112.0 e-g | 15.0 bc | 1.1 g | 26.8 a | 0.62 d | 0.12f  | 0.10 c  | 0.51 a-e |
|            | DSM-C            | 107.3 g | 12.1 d | 1.8 c-f | 19.5 b | 0.85 d | 0.10f  | 0.10 bc | 0.67 a-d |
|            | DSM-DSD          | 109.2 fg | 14.6 cd | 2.9 a | 20.2 b | 0.65 d | 0.12f  | 0.10 c  | 0.56 a-e |
| Root       | C-C              | 147.8 a-g | 4.7 e | 1.4 e-g | 4.6 c | 2.63 ab | 0.46 cd | 0.11 a-c | 0.33 e-h |
|            | C-SD             | 133.7 b-g | 6.0 e | 1.7 c-f | 7.1 c | 2.75 ab | 0.40 de | 0.11 a-c | 0.45 b-g |
|            | C-DD             | 174.7 a-c | 6.3 e | 1.4 e-g | 5.9 c | 2.83 ab | 0.53 b-d | 0.11 a-c | 0.43 c-h |
|            | SM-C             | 176.3 ab | 5.9 e | 1.5 d-g | 5.3 c | 2.60 ab | 0.70 a-c | 0.11 a-c | 0.15 gh  |
|            | SM-SD            | 159.2 a-d | 5.8 e | 1.6 c-g | 5.7 c | 2.87 ab | 0.79 a  | 0.13 a  | 0.15 gh  |
|            | DM-C             | 119.9 d-g | 5.8 e | 1.3 fg | 6.4 c | 2.93 a | 0.74 ab | 0.13 a  | 0.14 h   |
|            | DM-DD            | 121.2 d-g | 7.4 e | 1.6 c-g | 6.2 c | 1.47 c | 0.48 cd | 0.11 a-c | 0.20 f-h |
|            | DSM-C            | 151.1 a-f | 5.7 e | 1.5 e-g | 5.4 c | 2.50 b | 0.76 ab | 0.13 a  | 0.18 f-h |
|            | DSM-DSD          | 161.6 a-d | 7.4 e | 2.2 bc | 5.6 c | 2.66 ab | 0.63 a-d | 0.13 a  | 0.17 f-h |
|            | SD-C             | 128.1 d-g | 5.3 e | 1.8 c-f | 5.5 c | 2.51 b | 0.59 a-d | 0.11 a-c | 0.17 f-h |
|            | SD-DD            | 140.2 a-g | 6.4 e | 1.6 c-g | 4.9 c | 2.5 b  | 0.63 a-d | 0.11 a-c | 0.17 h-f |

\[^{\text{a}}\text{ Lowercase letters indicate significant differences calculated by the Duncan’s multiple range test at p ≤ 0.05.}
\[^{\text{b}}\text{ NS, *, **, and *** represent non-significant or significant at p ≤ 0.05, 0.01, and 0.001, respectively: C-C, no Si application during cutting propagation; C-SD, no Si application before cutting propagation and the daughter plants sprayed with the Si solution after cutting propagation; C-DD, no Si application before cutting propagation and the daughter plants drenched with the Si solution after cutting propagation; SM-C, the mother plants sprayed with the Si solution before cutting propagation and no Si application after cutting propagation; SM-SD, the mother plants drenched with the Si solution before cutting propagation and the daughter plants sprayed with the Si solution after cutting propagation; DM-C, the mother plants drenched with the Si solution before cutting propagation and the daughter plants drenched with the Si solution after cutting propagation; DSM-C, the mother plants drenched with the soluble Si fertilizer before cutting propagation and no Si application after cutting propagation; DSM-DSD, the mother plants drenched with the soluble Si fertilizer before cutting propagation and the daughter plants drenched with the soluble Si fertilizer after cutting propagation; SD-C, the daughter plants sprayed with the Si solution before cutting propagation and no Si application after cutting propagation; or SD-DD, the daughter plants sprayed with the Si solution before and after cutting propagation.}

were, respectively, 1.45, 1.34, and 0.53 times higher than that of the control (1.11, 1.37, and 1.57 mg g⁻¹). Similarly, the Si (+, +) treatments led to higher proline contents than the Si (+, -) treatments, and the SD-SD resulted in the maximum proline value for all three cultivars. However, the proline content of the treatments SM-C, SM-M, and DSM-C were significantly decreased than the control in “Sulhyang”.

DISCUSSION

Temperature affects the membrane properties, enzyme activity levels, and chemical reactions of life processes, and plants adapt to high temperature stresses by modifying photosynthesis, synthesis and accumulation of primary and secondary metabolites, and induction of stress proteins (Wahid et al., 2012). It is considered that Si induces high temperature stress tolerance by enhancing these abilities (Chen et al., 2011).

Analysis of the High Temperature and Si Application on Strawberry Photosynthesis, Growth, and Development

The growth, development, and survival of plants depend on the temperature. In general, high temperature damages the balance in photosynthesis and respiration, as the rate of photosynthesis decreases while the dark- and photo-respiration rates increase (Wahid et al., 2007). Extensive studies have shown that high temperature leads to a decrease in net photosynthesis (Pn) (Su and Liu, 2005). In cotton, high temperature inhibited the photosynthetic electron transport and Rubisco regeneration...
High temperature stress (38/28 °C) for 14 days was observed to result in pigment loss and thylakoid membrane damage in soybean (Djanaguiraman et al., 2011). In this study, Si application increased the chlorophyll and carotenoid contents (Figures 5, 6). This demonstrated that Si effectively protects photosynthetic pigments. Similarly, in ryegrass (Lolium perenne L.), exogenous Si induced chlorophyll synthesis and reduced membrane injury under saline-alkali stresses. Photosynthetic apparatuses, especially PSII, water splitting, and oxygen-evolving complex (OEC) are highly susceptible to high temperature (Edwards and Walker, 1983). High temperature directly inactivates the OEC in PSII by dissociating the divalent Ca$^{2+}$ cations (Nash et al., 1985). This simultaneously dislodges PSII complexes from the thylakoid membrane by increasing the fluidity of thylakoid membranes (Mathur et al., 2014). Maize seedlings grown above 40°C had sharply decreased Fv/Fm and Pn was completely inhibited (Crafts-Brandner and Salvucci, 2002). Therefore, the Fv/Fm is regarded as a common tool to detect and quantify damages in photosynthesis (particularly PSII) in response to temperature stresses and has been widely used in various crops (Shangguan et al., 2000; Sinsawat et al., 2004). In this study, the Fv/Fm decreased with the duration of the high temperature stress, while from the fifth day, the Si (+) slowed down the decline of Fv/Fm (Figure 4). This is in good agreement with the report where Si application was useful to enhance photochemical efficiency in rice plants (Chen et al., 2011).

It is noteworthy that there is always a risk the high temperature effects get confounded with drought and light effects.
TABLE 5 | Mineral contents in leaves and root of “Kuemsil” transplants as affected by different Si treatments.

| Tissue (A) | Si treatment (B) | Macro-nutrient (mg·g⁻¹ DW) | Micro-nutrient (mg·g⁻¹ DW) |
|-----------|----------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|
|           |                | Ca  | P  | S  | Mg | Fe  | Zn  | Cu  | Mn  |
| Shoot     |                 |     |    |    |    |     |     |     |     |
| C-C       | 99.2 h          | 10.4f | 2.2 e-h | 22.8 f | 0.47 c | 0.11 g | 0.03 f | 0.80 f |
| C-SD      | 221.2 a         | 14.7 d  | 3.3 b-e | 36.2 a  | 0.78 c | 0.13 g | 0.11 a-d | 1.70 b |
| C-DD      | 153.8 c-g       | 12.9e  | 2.5 d-h | 29.6 b-d | 0.88 c | 0.13 g | 0.13 a-b | 1.34 c |
| SM-C      | 213.8 ab        | 12.34 ef | 2.3 d-h | 23.5 ef  | 0.94 c | 0.13 g | 0.10 b-e | 1.99 a |
| SM-SD     | 116.0 gh        | 17.8 a-c | 3.4 b-d | 27.5 b-f | 0.71 c | 0.18 f | 0.11 a-e | 0.87 ef |
| DM-C      | 137.7 d-h       | 19.0 ab  | 4.1 ab  | 31.5 b  | 0.62 c | 0.19 f | 0.09 de | 1.07 d  |
| DM-DD     | 136.2 e-h       | 19.2 ab  | 3.6 bc  | 30.7 bc  | 0.70 c | 0.18 f | 0.10 c-e | 0.98 de |
| DSM-C     | 102.7 h         | 19.4 a   | 4.9 a   | 26.4 c-f | 0.59 c | 0.22 f | 0.12 a-d | 1.28 c |
| DSM-DSD   | 115.0 gh        | 16.7 b-d | 3.3 b-e | 25.1 d-f | 0.66 c | 0.15 f | 0.09 c-e | 0.99 de |
| SD-C      | 189.3 a-c       | 16.1 cd  | 3.2 b-f | 29.2 b-d | 1.05 c | 0.15 f | 0.12 a-c | 1.67 b |
| SD-SD     | 192.5 a-c       | 16.7 b-d | 2.6 c-g | 28.4 b-e | 0.90 c | 0.15 f | 0.12 a-c | 1.27 c |
| Root      |                 |       |    |    |    |     |     |     |     |
| C-C       | 99.8 h          | 4.6 h   | 1.4 h  | 6.5 g  | 2.41 b | 0.33 ef | 0.08 e | 0.12 g |
| C-SD      | 139.0 d-h       | 7.3 gh  | 2.9 c-g | 7.4 g  | 2.93 ab | 0.62 b-d | 0.11 a-d | 0.14 g |
| C-DD      | 157.5 c-f       | 5.5 gh  | 2.4 d-h | 7.6 g  | 3.00 ab | 0.50 c-e | 0.11 a-e | 0.14 g |
| SM-C      | 174.6 c-e       | 4.1 h   | 2.4 d-h | 6.3 g  | 2.48 b | 0.51 c-e | 0.11 a-d | 0.13 g |
| SM-SD     | 123.6 f-h       | 5.6 gh  | 2.5 c-h | 6.6 g  | 3.19 a | 0.57 b-d | 0.08 c-e | 0.18 g |
| DM-C      | 129.0 h-f       | 5.9 gh  | 2.1 f-h | 7.2 g  | 2.63 ab | 0.80 a  | 0.10 b-e | 0.18 g |
| DM-DD     | 180.2 bc        | 7.8 g   | 2.6 c-g | 7.1 g  | 2.86 ab | 0.71 ab | 0.10 a-e | 0.14 g |
| DSM-C     | 130.0 h-f       | 6.6 gh  | 1.9 gh  | 6.4 g  | 2.78 ab | 0.65 a-c | 0.13 a  | 0.23 g |
| DSM-DSD   | 177.2 b-d       | 7.9 g   | 2.6 c-g | 6.5 g  | 3.18 a | 0.55 b-d | 0.11 a-e | 0.18 g |
| SD-C      | 136.9 e-h       | 5.3 gh  | 2.3 d-h | 5.9 g  | 3.25 a | 0.45 de | 0.11 a-d | 0.19 g |
| SD-SD     | 152.9 c-g       | 6.2 gh  | 2.8 c-g | 5.2 g  | 2.72 ab | 0.53 b-d | 0.10 a-e | 0.17 g |

F-test:
- A *** *** *** *** NS
- B *** *** *** *** NS NS
- A × B *** *** *** *** NS NS

*Lowercase letters indicate significant differences calculated by the Duncan’s multiple range test at p ≤ 0.05.
**NS, *, **, and *** represent non-significant or significant at p ≤ 0.05, 0.01, and 0.001, respectively: C-C, no Si application during cutting propagation; C-SD, no Si application before cutting propagation and the daughter plants sprayed with the Si solution after cutting propagation; C-DD, no Si application before cutting propagation and the daughter plants drenched with the Si solution after cutting propagation; SM-C, the mother plants sprayed with the Si solution before cutting propagation and no Si application after cutting propagation; SM-SD, the mother plants sprayed with the Si solution before cutting propagation and the daughter plants sprayed with the Si solution after cutting propagation; DSM-C, the mother plants drenched with the Si solution after cutting propagation and no Si application after cutting propagation; DSM-DSD, the mother plants drenched with the Si fertilizer before cutting propagation and no Si application after cutting propagation; SD-C, the daughter plants sprayed with the Si solution before cutting propagation and no Si application after cutting propagation; or SD-SD, the daughter plants sprayed the Si solution before and after cutting propagation.

in high temperature experiments. Therefore, special attention to watering during this trial. In order to maintain normal leaf temperature, plants intensive transpiration and lose a number of water under high temperature (Sadok et al., 2021). In this study, the leaf relative water content and stomatal conductance analysis showed application Si both increased the LRWC and stomatal conductance (Figure 3), it help plants to increase the rate of photosynthesis evaporative cooling (Urban et al., 2017). Likewise, exogenous Ca or 2,4-epibrassinolide helps relieve high-temperature-induced inhibition of the stomatal conductance (Tan et al., 2011; Zhang et al., 2014).

Moreover, high temperature tolerance is also generally defined as the ability of the plant to grow and produce. High temperature reduced cell wall elongation and cell differentiation, and decreased the shoot dry weight and net assimilation rates (Potters et al., 2007). Si is involved in growth stimulation and increases plant vigor and stress resistance. In leaves, Si is deposited as amorphous silica and forms a cuticle-Si double layer, such as hemicellulose, pectin, and lignin (He et al., 2015). This cuticle-Si double layer is beneficial for cell wall synthesis and remodeling, and maintains the mesophyll cells relatively intact under stresses (Sheng and Chen, 2020). As expected, Si application increased the fresh and dry weights of strawberry shoots (Table 1). This agrees with many previous findings in grass and soybean (Eneji et al., 2008; Lee et al., 2010). It is a positive cycle for plants: the increased biomass accumulation contributed to increased photosynthesis (Frazão et al., 2020).
Analysis of Nutrients
A positive response of nutrients to Si application was observed. The K is able to induce the activation of enzymes and maintains the balance of ionic among the cells, in addition to increasing the mechanical strength of plants (Amtmann et al., 2008). The effect of Si application on K depends on the plant species (Rea et al., 2022). In lettuce, the content of K decreased with the application of Si, while Si application increased the K concentration in maize and rice (Greger et al., 2018). It is worth noting that the content of K in the root of the DSM-DSD is higher than the DSM-C, despite the Na₂SiO₃ being the main component of soluble Si fertilizer. It is illustrated that the Si application increased the absorption of K by the root. As expected, the application of Si increased the accumulation of P in the root of transplants, and it was reported that Si binds with Fe and Mn, and increased the availability of P (Owino and Gascho, 2005). Overall, Si is beneficial for nutrient utilization and enhances absorption by the root.

Analysis of High Temperature and Si Application on Strawberry ROS Regulation
The chemical energy expended in a mass metabolic processes is originates from photosynthesis (Ashraf and Harris, 2013). ROS is an inevitable result of electron transport chains during normal metabolic processes. In fact, the chloroplast and mitochondria are the main sites for ROS production, and stress leads to excessive ROS production and accumulation (Bhattacharjee, 2005). For example, superoxide radicals (O₂⁻) are produced by NADPH oxidases and cell wall peroxidases, then SOD turns O₂⁻ into H₂O₂ through the disproportionation reaction. Because H₂O₂ can freely diffuse through the biological membranes, it is cell-damaging and relatively long-living (Plaine, 1955; Møller et al., 2007). Individual aldehydic lipids break down into MDA and alter proteins, DNA, RNA, and other biomolecules (Alscher et al., 1997). Overall, ROS are reactive and can cause the peroxidation of membrane lipids and the destruction of pigments (Sewelam et al., 2016). It is noteworthy that MDA

---

**FIGURE 11** | Activities of CAT (A), APX (B), POD (C), and SOD (D) in leaves of “Sulhyang” as affected by the Si treatment after subjecting to 43°C for 7 days. Lowercase letters indicate significant differences calculated by the Duncan’s multiple range test at p ≤ 0.05. See Figure 1 for descriptions of treatment codes.
and \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) play important signaling roles in stress response pathways. Several studies have indicated that \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) interacted with other signaling molecules such as abscisic acid (ABA), calcium (\( \text{Ca}^{2+} \)), nitric oxide, salicylic acid (SA), as well as the ethylene signaling pathways in plants (Saxena et al., 2016). On the other hand, MDA signaling does not require jasmonic acid (JA), SA, or ethylene due to MDA is able to directly induce a series of stress relative genes such as cell wall metabolism, beta-oxidation, drought stress, and lipid metabolism (Weber et al., 2004). In general, according to these signaling molecules, plants are able to maintain a dynamic equilibrium of the production and scavenging of ROS with osmoregulation, phytohormones, and antioxidant enzymes (Huang et al., 2019). ROS scavenging mechanisms can be classified as enzymatic and non-enzymatic antioxidant defense systems (Apel and Hirt, 2004). In this study, the control group had higher ROS contents and lower antioxidant enzyme activities (Figures 10–13). The enzymatic antioxidant systems increased with the Si application (Figure 8). It was reported that Si played a role not only as a mechanical barrier in the resistance process (Samuels et al., 1991). Likewise, it was frequently observed that Si application induced higher activities of antioxidant enzymes in plants such as barley, rice, cucumber, and strawberry (Liang et al., 2003; Zhu et al., 2004; Farooq et al., 2019; Xiao et al., 2022). Therefore, Si is able to regulate ROS with antioxidant proteins.

Although the regulation of ROS by Si focuses on enzymatic systems, it was reported that Si application is beneficial to osmoregulation and production of secondary metabolites subjection to abiotic stresses (Maghsoudi et al., 2019; El-Beltagi et al., 2020). Proline is an important amino acid and considered to be an osmo-protectant (Abdelal et al., 2020). It can generate turgor, scavenge ROS, and regulate the cytosolic pH (Smirnoff and Cumbes, 1989). Anthocyanin in plants has also been proposed as an effective antioxidant (Yamasaki et al., 1996). In this study, Si application increased the proline and total anthocyanin contents (Figures 14, 15). Similar results were observed in wheat, where Si application alleviates the losses of yield caused by high temperatures through improvements in the

### FIGURE 12 | Activities of CAT (A), APX (B), POD (C), and SOD (D) in leaves of ‘Maehyang’ as affected by the Si treatment after subjection to 43°C for 7 days. Lowercase letters indicate significant differences calculated by the Duncan’s multiple range test at \( p \leq 0.05 \). See Figure 1 for descriptions of treatment codes.
production of proline and anthocyanin (Mustafa et al., 2021). Interestingly, the proline content in plants treated with Si (+, –) approached that of the control (Figure 13), which leads to the speculation that the effects of Si on the proline will decrease over time.

**Method of Si Application Affects the Quality of Strawberry Transplants**

Although the beneficial effects of Si fertilization are observed in agriculture, a common use for Si application is its infancy because many plant species are unable to benefit from Si application, and optimizing Si fertilization methods is still controversial (Tubana et al., 2016). Previous studies have shown that the transfer direction of Si in strawberry was unidirectional, there are only two ways: from the root to the shoot or from the mother plants to the daughter plants (Li et al., 2020). The results of this study show that the Si contents in the roots did not show differences between the control and after the foliar spray in “Sulhyang” (Figure 8). Interestingly, although strawberry plants absorbed more Si through root drench than with foliar spray, the Si content in the roots of foliar-sprayed plants was higher than in the control in “Maehyang” and “Kuemsil”. It has been shown that Si must be transported by specific Lsi6 transporters in shoots. Furthermore, some tissues with low transpiration can accumulate high levels of Si despite the fact that Si transport in the xylem is driven by transpiration (Yang et al., 2018). Therefore, it is not excluded that Si is redistributed from the leaf to the shoot and root before deposition. Far more research is needed to understand whether or not there are any transport mechanisms after foliar Si spray. Moreover, organosilicon is a nonionic surfactant and has been found to enhance stomatal infiltration (Fernández and Eichert, 2009). In this study, the stomatal conductance in SD-SD was higher than in DM-DD (Figure 3) in “Maehyang” and “Kuemsil”. Furthermore, compared with the substrate Si drench, foliar spray increased the plant resistance to wilting; this was not replicated when foliar Si spray was combined with substrate Si drench (Tebow et al., 2021). Trials on a variety of crops have led to the “Silicic Acid Agro Technology (SAAT)”, which indicates that foliar Si sprays are only effective when they start early in
the vegetative stage and follow a specific spray schedule (Laane, 2017). Moreover, the amount of Si in the edible parts of higher plants varies widely. For fruits and vegetables, the trichomes or ultrafine hairs of fruits are the potential location for Si depositions (Powell et al., 2005). However, the Si hardly accumulates in edible parts of strawberries and the Si rather enhanced the vegetative growth and fruit quality by stimulating growth and modifying the phenolic metabolism (Hajiboland et al., 2018). It was reported that the Si concentration of the fruit never reached the level of detection and was undetectable after subjectio on to Si treatment for 5 months in six strawberry cultivars (Ouellette et al., 2017). Furthermore, Si increased the shelf-life of berries (Peris et al., 2020). Therefore, the absorption and efficiency of Si are affected by the species, plant age, application method, and frequency.

**CONCLUSION**

The significant effects of Si application on plants have been extensively investigated, but researchers have rarely studied the optimal method for better growth, development, and resistance to high temperature during strawberry cutting propagation. Our results suggest that Si increased the plant growth during the cutting propagation. DM-DD (the mother plants drenched with the Si solution before cutting propagation and the daughter plants drenched with the Si solution after cutting propagation) and SD-SD (the daughter plants sprayed with the Si solution before and after cutting propagation) were especially effective, compared to applying Si only before or after the cutting propagation. SD-SD (the daughter plants sprayed with the Si solution before and after cutting propagation) was the best method.
for enhancing the resistance to high temperature stresses in strawberry transplants because photosynthesis and stomatal conductance were less affected by the high temperature, and the strawberry transplants were able to accumulate more sugars, proteins, and enzymatic and non-enzymatic antioxidants.
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