UNDERSTANDING CORONAL MASS EJECTIONS AND ASSOCIATED SHOCKS IN THE SOLAR CORONA BY MERGING MULTIWAVELENGTH OBSERVATIONS
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ABSTRACT

Using multiwavelength imaging observations, in EUV, white light and radio, and radio spectral data over a large frequency range, we analyzed the triggering and development of a complex eruptive event. This one includes two components, an eruptive jet and a coronal mass ejection (CME), which interact during more than 30 minutes, and can be considered as physically linked. This was an unusual event. The jet is generated above a typical complex magnetic configuration that has been investigated in many former studies related to the build-up of eruptive jets; this configuration includes fan-field lines originating from a corona null point above a parasitic polarity, which is embedded in one polarity region of a large active region. The initiation and development of the CME, observed first in EUV, does not show usual signatures. In this case, the eruptive jet is the main actor of this event. The CME appears first as a simple loop system that becomes destabilized by magnetic reconnection between the outer part of the jet and the ambient medium. The progression of the CME is closely associated with the occurrence of two successive type II bursts from a distinct origin. An important part of this study is the first radio type II burst for which the joint spectral and imaging observations were allowed: (1) to follow, step by step, the evolution of the spectrum and of the trajectory of the radio burst, in relationship with the CME evolution and (2) to obtain, without introducing an electronic density model, the $B$ field and the Alfvén speed.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Coronal mass ejections (CMEs) are large-scale energetic events associated with various manifestations of solar activity (e.g., flares, eruptive prominences, and shocks). The correlation between the kinematics of the CMEs with these different forms of solar activity has been, for several decades, a major tool for shedding light onto the physical mechanisms of CME development.

CMEs have frequently been observed in white light coronagraph images as having a so-called three-part structure, consisting of a bright rim surrounding a dark void that contains a bright core (Illing & Hundhausen 1985). The Solar and Heliospheric Observatory (SOHO)/Large Angle and Spectrometric Coronagraph (LASCO) and, more recently, STEREO observations showed that CMEs are consistent with a two-dimensional projection of a three-dimensional magnetic flux rope (Chen et al. 1997; Chen & Shibata 2000; Thernisien et al. 2006, 2009). The authors concluded that the cavity, seen in white light, can be interpreted as the cross section of an expanded flux rope. Vourlidas et al. (2013) gave arguments implying that at least 40% of the observed CMEs have flux-rope structures.

In recent years, new prominent results on CME initiation mechanisms and their early development in the low corona have arisen from EUV observations with the EUV Imager of the STEREO/SECCHI telescope (EUVI; Wuelser et al. 2004) and from the Atmospheric Imaging Assembly on board the Solar Dynamic Observatory (SDO/AIA; Lemen et al. 2012). Patsourakos et al. (2010) showed that the CME formation is first characterized by slow, self-similar, expansion of slowly rising loops, possibly triggered by a rising filament, that leads to the formation of a bubble-shaped structure within about two minutes. This is consistent with the transformation, by magnetic reconnection, of loops into a flux rope structure as predicted by several models (e.g., Lynch et al. 2008). The AIA multi-temperature observations have provided access to a detailed description of a CME, namely: (1) the ejection of a plasma blob transforming rapidly into a growing hot flux rope that stretches the upper field lines; (2) the appearance of a Y-type magnetic configuration at the bottom of the flux-rope, in which a bright thin line (i.e., a current sheet, CS) extends downward; and (3) the shrinkage of magnetic field lines observed underneath the CME (Cheng et al. 2011, 2013). All of these observations are consistent with the CME eruption model proposed by Lin et al. (2004). This model is based on a flux rope magnetic configuration overlying a photospheric polarity inversion line. This flux rope becomes unstable and erupts building up behind a CS, which converts the surrounding $B$ field in a new poloidal around the flux rope. In radio, the formation and development of reconnecting CS behind an erupting flux rope was imaged by the Nançay radio-heliograph (NRH; Pick et al. 2005; Huang et al. 2011; Démoulin et al. 2012).

CMEs are frequently associated with type II radio bursts, which are a signature of a shock formation and propagation in the corona at speeds higher than those of the Alfvén speed. These bursts are generated by shocks exciting Langmuir waves, which decay into radio waves at the local plasma frequency and/or its harmonics (see, e.g., Melrose 1980). A long debate on the physical mechanisms that generate these shocks is still ongoing (see, e.g., Vršnak & Cliver 2008; Vasantha et al. 2011). Ninno et al. (2011) has led to the conclusion that coronal shocks may be generated by two different mechanisms: blast waves initiated by the plasma pressure of a flare, and piston-driven shocks due to CMEs. Several statistical studies on the association of CMEs with type II radio bursts can be found in the literature (see, e.g., Gopalswamy et al. 2009). Ramesh et al. (2012) have...
found that 92% of the type II bursts observed at 109 MHz are associated with CMEs and are located near their leading edge (LE). However, the sources of the coronal type II were often found to be located not in front but on the flanks of CMEs (see, e.g., Claßen & Aurass 2002; Cho et al. 2007; Démoulin et al. 2012; Zucca et al. 2014).

Coronal type II bursts were also often observed jointly with the occurrence of EUV waves, which are large-scale, bright, wave-like disturbances visible in EUV. Several authors have recently taken advantage of the high cadence observations and of the simultaneous dual (or sometimes triple) view-points obtained with STEREO/EUVI, SDO/AIA and PROBAB2/SWAP (Berghmans et al. 2006) instruments to study the association between CMEs and EUV waves (see, e.g., Wang 2000; Gallagher & Long 2011). For instance, Veronig et al. (2010) found that the development of an EUV wave exhibits two phases: a first phase consistent with a wave driven by the expanding flanks of the CME (e.g., Carley et al. 2013), and a second one where the wave propagates freely. However, the physical nature of these waves and their association with type II bursts is still unclear and no single model can account for the large variety of EUV waves observed (Warmuth 2010; Zhukov 2011).

To understand the nature of the shock and its association with CMEs, EUV waves, and flares, detailed studies of the complex morphology present in radio burst spectra are required. This complex morphology shows up, for instance, under the form of a splitting of the emission bands into two lanes (Smerd et al. 1974; Vršnak et al. 2001), or a fragmentation and an abrupt change of their drift rates (see, e.g., Pohjolainen et al. 2008; Kong et al. 2012). These various morphologies are related to the characteristics of the eruption and to the properties of the surrounding corona in which the shock is propagating. In particular, the electron density and the magnetic field characterize the ambient medium, which then determine the Alfvén speed. This characteristic speed is important for the formation of a shock and for the conditions under which the radio burst can be initiated. Furthermore, both the coronal density and the magnetic field configuration are crucial to determine the radio burst frequency drift and its duration.

While numerous studies have been realized on the origin of the shocks and their association with CMEs, there are in fact a very small number of cases for which it has been possible to study such events simultaneously through radio spectra obtained on a large frequency scale, and through radio and EUV images obtained with a high enough time resolution to follow their evolution in detail. To contribute to the understanding of when and where the CMEs and coronal shocks are produced and how they relate to type II bursts and EUV waves, we study here the complex morphological spectral features of a radio event observed on 2013 November 6 together with imaging EUV and radio observations.

The November 6 event includes two components, an eruptive jet and a CME, which interact during more than 30 minutes, and can be considered as physically linked. The magnetic configuration, in which eruptive jets are produced, has already been studied with several magnetohydrodynamic (MHD) numerical simulations (e.g., Pariat et al. 2009, 2010). Conversely, eruptive events, such as the November 6 one which was accompanied by a CME, are not frequently described in the literature.

The results of the data analysis presented here take advantage of particularly favorable conditions: (1) same field of view on EUV and radio instruments; (2) joint radio spectral (0.5–1000 MHz) and multifrequency imaging observations (150–450 MHz) at high cadence (better than 1 s) and with an high sensitivity; and (3) a broadband frequency spectrometer obtained by the combination of different spectrographs.

We identify step by step the causes of the type II spectral fragmentation in relationship with the CME evolution and the ambient medium. We obtain for each step, without introducing an electronic density model or an MHD simulation, the upstream plasma density, the Alfvén Mach number for the shock, and the magnetic strength. The end of this type II burst is followed, several minutes later, by a second type II burst of shorter duration. In the absence of imaging observations, the spectral versus time evolution would have led us to conclude a reactivation of the original type II burst. We will show that this is not the case.

The paper is organized as follows. Section 2 provides first a description of the observations, and then we present the data analysis which mainly includes (1) a brief overview of the radio event properties; (2) the magnetic configuration of the active region (AR) and of its environment; and (3) a detailed joint EUV and radio analysis of the CME and of the associated type II bursts. Section 3 presents the method through which the observations of the first radio type II burst leads to an estimation of physical parameters such as the Alfvén velocity, the density and the magnetic field of the ambient medium. In Section 4, we discuss what we learned about (1) the role of the eruptive jet and of the ambient medium for setting up the CME and (2) the nature of the two shocks, associated with two radio type II bursts, which occur during the CME progression. The main findings are summarized in Section 5.

2. JOINT EVOLUTION OF THE EUV, WHITE LIGHT, AND RADIO EMISSIONS

2.1. Observations

A GOES M3.8 class flare started on 2013 November 6 at 13:39 UT in the AR NOAA 11890 (S12 E35). The flare maximum occurred at 13:46 UT and an associated CME was observed at low altitude by SDO/AIA starting to rise at ~13:44:00 UT. It was also later observed at ~14:36:00 UT with the SOHO on board the LASCO (Brueckner et al. 1995). An SDO/HMI magnetogram and an SDO/AIA EUV image at 94 Å are displayed in Figure 1; NOAA 11890 was located on the eastern side of an extended coronal hole.

Spectral radio observations were obtained with different instruments (Figure 2): the radio spectograph ORFEES (Observation Radio Frequence pour l’Etude des Eruptions Solaires), which is a new radio-spectrograph located in Nançay and observing between 140 and 1000 MHz, the e-Callisto spectrograph at the Rosse Solar-Terrestrial observatory (Zucca et al. 2012), the Decametric Array in Nançay (DAM; Lecacheux 2000) observing between 70 and 30 MHz, and the Wind WAVES spectrograph (Bougeret et al. 1995) observing between 13.825 and 1.075 MHz. Radio Imaging was obtained with the NRH (Kerdraon & Delouis 1997) which observed at nine different frequencies between 445 and 150 MHz on 2013 November 6.

2.2. Overview of the Radio Event

During the hours preceding the onset of the event, the main activity in the eastern hemisphere consisted of a noise storm (Elgaroy 1976) that was observed in the whole frequency range of the NRH. This noise storm is located southeast of AR 11890 and has a negative circular polarization. We will name hereafter negative, respectively positive polarization, the polarization of
the ordinary mode in a negative, entering in the photosphere (respectively, positive, going out the photosphere) magnetic field. For the present noise storm, which is supposed to be emitted in the ordinary mode, and is located in large-scale negative magnetic fields, the negative polarization is what is expected (Elgarøy 1976). We shall also notice that type II and type III radio bursts are expected to have the polarization of the ordinary mode.

An overview of the development of the radio event is shown in Figure 2, which displays a synthetic spectrum of the event obtained by combining the data from the different spectrographs. The list of available movies is reported in Table 1.

This first radio emission is a group of decimetric (dm) type III bursts starting at 13:42:58 UT. These bursts are observed only at frequencies higher than 100 MHz and they end at 13:43:57 UT. They are followed by interplanetary (IP) type III bursts, starting around 70 MHz, approximately at the time when the CME observed by SDO starts to rise. The red dashed line in Figure 2 marks the transition time between the dm and IP type III bursts. Two other groups of dm type III bursts are recorded later.

The first group of IP type III bursts is followed, at 13:45:59 UT, by the onset of a type II radio burst. This burst shows both the fundamental (F) and harmonic (H) emission and also a band splitting that is particularly visible in the harmonic emission. The F and H emissions fade in the spectrum at 13:49:00 UT and 13:51:00 UT, respectively, and are observed to start again at ~13:55:30 UT, respectively, at ~45 MHz (F) and ~80 MHz (H). They end at ~14:02 UT, in coincidence with the occurrence of a second group of IP type III bursts (see Figure 2). A last group of IP type III bursts is observed at ~14:10:30 UT.

2.3. Magnetic Configuration of the Active Region and of Its Environment

To understand the successive phases of the eruption, it is necessary to first determine the magnetic configuration of this AR and of its environment; we deduce it using the SDO/HMI and SDO/AIA 171 Å. In Figure 3, the SDO/HMI magnetogram is shown (panel (a)) together with the SDO/AIA EUV image at 171 Å (panel (b)). Inside the eastern trailing region of negative polarity, we note the presence of an embedded small positive and parasitic polarity. Using a potential field extrapolation and a three-dimensional MHD numerical simulation, Masson et al. (2009) showed, in a similar case, that the AR includes fan-field lines originating from a coronal null point. This structure has the shape of a dome with the null point at its top. Masson et al. (2009) linked the circular shape of the observed flare ribbons with the photospheric mapping of the fan-field lines. The ribbon brightening would be due to the chromospheric impact of the particles accelerated near the null point by reconnection between the field lines located just below and above the fan.

By analogy with the Masson et al. event, in our case, the coronal structure is evidenced in Figure 3(a) and Figure 3(b) by a blue dashed circle and by the red short field lines. Figure 3(c) displays the SDO/HMI magnetogram together with the potential-field source-surface (PFSS) extrapolation (Schatten et al. 1969) using the software of Schrijver & De Rosa (2003).3 We also note the presence of more than one embedded (parasitic) polarity observed in the trailing spots; the structure might then be more complex than the one described by Masson et al. (2009). A coronal loop system is also plotted in Figures 3(c) and (d). It is anchored in two regions of opposite polarity A and B, southeast of the AR.

2.4. EUV Eruptive Jet and Type III Bursts

The eruption took place above the AR NOAA 11890 which was classified as a $\beta\gamma\delta$ region. The eruptive jet is first detected at ~13:41:48 UT, in the 171 Å channel of SDO/AIA, as

---

3 http://www.lmsal.com/~derosa/pfsspack/
a thin ascending structure. A sudden brightening appears at its basis at 13:42:12 UT, resulting in an increased lateral size. The jet then shows a more complex shape with different branches visible at 13:44:37 UT, 13:47:02 UT and later (see Movie 1). A brightening, visible on running differences (see Movie 2) appears above the main body of the jet at 13:45:36. The jet lasts until 14:10 UT, beginning to turn and move downward after 14:00 UT, pointing toward a belt of positive magnetic polarities located south of AR 11890.

2.4.1. Decimeter (dm) Type III Burst Groups

The sources of the three dm type III burst groups were imaged every second at different frequencies by the NRH. All of them have positive polarization. Such polarization means that they are emitted along magnetic field lines emerging from the photosphere. Their locations, measured respectively at 13:43:06 UT, 13:48:37 UT, and 13:51:12 UT, are reported, for each group, in the three direct and running difference images of SDO/AIA at 171 Å displayed in Figure 4(a). For the two last groups, because of the progression of a type II burst that were simultaneously detected by the NRH (Figure 2), the source locations could be determined only at frequencies higher than 150 MHz. These locations, which are nearly identical for the three groups, trace the path followed by the electron beams responsible for the type III radio emission (see also Figure 3(c)). The three groups have starting frequencies higher than 1 GHz, which implies an acceleration region located low in the corona.

The first group occurs soon after the sudden broadening near the base of the jet (see Figure 4(a)), and at the time when an EUV bright and compact EUV source starts to be observed
Figure 3. Magnetic configuration and EUV images of the source region. (a) SDO/HMI magnetogram at 13:45:00 UT and (b) SDO/AIA 171 Å for the same field of view at 13:43:49 UT. The circular ribbon flare is indicated with a blue dashed line, while the magnetic field line connecting the null point to the positive polarity is indicated in red. (c) PFSS extrapolation superposed on the SDO/HMI magnetogram at 13:45 UT. (d) SDO/AIA 171 Å emission superposed on the SDO/HMI magnetogram (positive polarity displayed in blue). The smaller loop structure with foot-points labeled A and B is also shown (see Section 3.2). (An animation of this figure is available in the online journal.)

above the region of positive polarity near B (see the arrow). Some elongated thin brightenings are also observed in the same region (see in particular Movie 1 at 171 Å and Movie 5 at 131 Å). Soon after, a bright “like-bridge shape” appears between this bright source and the western part of the jet. It is particularly clear in the run-difference images at 131 Å. This observation suggests that this bridge and the EUV bright source result from the reconnection between the western side of the eruptive jet and field lines anchored in this region of positive polarity. A few weaker bright points also appear near this main EUV source, which suggests that other field lines undergo a similar reconnection process. This process will also accelerate the electrons responsible for the radio type III bursts. This is consistent with the trajectory of the electron beams (revealed by the positions of the radio sources) which lie precisely above the EUV bright source. Furthermore, the sign of polarization of these type III implies that they originate above a region of positive polarity, which is the case. However, we note that no field lines above this region are observed in Figure 3(c) on the PFSS map.

2.4.2. The First Interplanetary Type III Bursts

Two successive IP groups of IP type III bursts started, respectively, at 13:43:57 UT and 13:48:20 UT. The first group took place shortly after the dm-type III bursts. The spectrum displayed in Figure 2 shows that both IP groups are detected at frequencies below \(\sim 70\) MHz with the DAM spectrograph. The first one was detected by the NRH at 173 MHz and 150 MHz (but the second one was not). Its emission measured at 150 MHz was not polarized. The two upper panels (a) and (b) of Figure 5 show that the respective locations, at 150 MHz, of the dm and IP type III bursts are different. The IP burst location, which is superposed on an HMI magnetogram in panel (c) is quite close to the noise storm position, above the A–B coronal loop system (defined in Figures 3(c) and (d)).

Moreover, the running-difference image at 193 Å (see Figure 5(d)) shows that these bursts are also concomitant with a sudden brightening, identified in the SDO image at 13:45 UT, which appears on the east side of the eruptive jet, near the B foot of the A–B coronal region and persists until at least 13:48 UT.
Open field lines, identified in the magnetic field line extrapolation are present nearby this brightening. Most of them originate in A. This set of observations leads us to propose that the electron beams, which produce the IP type III emission, result from the reconnection of these open field lines with the magnetic field structure of a jet.

2.5. The CME and Type II Radio Burst

The onset of the CME rising loops at 13:44 UT (see Movie 2) was followed, soon after, by a type II burst starting at 13:45:59 UT. In this section, we investigate the relationship between the type II progression and the CME evolution.

An expanded view of the type II burst is presented in Figure 6. This burst exhibits the (F) fundamental and (H) harmonic emission bands. The H band is clearly split into two parallel lanes. Following the interpretation originally proposed by Smerd et al. (1974), these two lanes are a consequence of the plasma emission of the upstream and downstream shock regions.

The H component is fragmented into four main segments, highlighted by the red dashed lines in Figure 6. The positions of
Figure 5. (a) NRH radio source of the first dm-type III bursts at 13:43:46 UT; (b) NRH radio source of the first IP-type III bursts at 13:44:54 UT; (c) on the SDO/HMI magnetogram; (d) on the SDO/AIA 193 Å running difference. (A color version of this figure is available in the online journal.)

the upstream type II source, measured by the NRH at different times and frequencies, are superposed in Figure 7 on SDO/ AIA running difference images at 193 Å. These images display the progression of the CME. The diamond symbol indicates the position of the upstream radio source, while the location of the CME LE is indicated by a dashed line (see the figure caption for the color code of frequencies).

We note the following sequences in the radio spectrum of the type II burst.

1. The initial position of the upstream type II burst measured at 13:45:59 UT is located above the LE of the CME (Figure 7(a)). Both the CME LE and the upstream radio sources propagate in the south direction. The distance between the type II source and the CME LE is increasing; this indicates that the shock source is moving faster than the LE.

2. At 13:47:06 UT, the dynamic spectra (Figure 6) shows an abrupt change in the drift rate of the type II burst, passing from 1.25 to 0.55 MHz s$^{-1}$ (end of the first segment). Between ~13:47:00 and ~13:48:36 UT, the type II source stops its southward progression, being westward deviated (Figures 7(b), (c), and (e)). During the same period of time, the shape and the orientation of the CME LE are also modified (Figure 7(c), Movie 4). The CME LE is now southward elongated and slightly westward oriented. We thus conclude that the change in the frequency drift and in the trajectory of the type II burst coincides with the change in the orientation of the CME. Moreover, these modifications occur during the same period as the bright “like-bridge” which connects the eruptive jet with the B region. We thus conclude that the change in the type II burst trajectory and in the orientation of the CME result from their approach of the eruptive jet (see Figure 3(d)) which strongly affects their development (end of the second segment).

3. After 13:48:20 UT, the type II burst returns again at a drift rate of 1.1 MHz s$^{-1}$ (start of the third segment). There is another change of its drift rate at ~13:49 UT (start of the fourth segment). It becomes ~0.2 MHz s$^{-1}$ until ~13:51:00 UT. At this time, the type II emission fades and disappears from the spectrogram, marking the end of the fourth segment (Figure 7(d)).

4. While the CME continues its southward progression, its lateral expansion is limited on one side by the eruptive jet and, on the other side by the neighboring CH (Figure 8).
Figure 6. Dynamic spectrogram of the type II radio bursts observed with ORFEES and e-Callisto. The burst shows both fundamental (F) and harmonic (H) lanes of emission. The (H) band splitting is indicated with the red dashed lines; this is fragmented in four main segments with different frequency drift rates; each segment is labeled from S1 to S4. The times, where the NRH sources of the type II splitted bands have been measured, are indicated by black dashed lines (see Section 3.1); the source frequencies are indicated by colored circles: the same color symbol as in Figure 10 has been adopted: yellow 327 MHz, red 270 MHz, green 298 MHz, black 228 MHz, and pink 173 MHz.

(A color version of this figure is available in the online journal.)

Its western edge becomes slightly westward deviated after 13:49 UT; however, the base-difference images displayed in Figure 8 show that its lateral expansion seems to be limited by the pressure generated by the neighboring CH and stops its westward progression at ~13:48:50 UT.

The second type II burst. Type II burst emission reappears at 13:55:30 UT at a frequency below 90 MHz (Figure 2), at the same time as the development of a dark feature near the western edge of the CME (see Figure 8 and Movie 2). We suggest that the dark feature and the type II burst have a common origin attributed to the pressure exercised by the CH on the CME edge; it was indeed shown that, the build-up of such a compression region can be accompanied by compression waves, or shocks, detected in EUV and white light images (Vourlidas et al. 2003; Yan et al. 2006). This last assumption is consistent with the western compressed shape of the CME edge observed later, at 16:48:06 UT, in LASCO-C2 coronagraph (Figure 9(b)).

The initial velocity of the CME, as measured with LASCO C2 at 3 $R_\odot$, is of $\sim$400 km s$^{-1}$ and its final velocity at 8 $R_\odot$, observed by LASCO C3, is $\sim$280 km s$^{-1}$, with an acceleration of $\sim$13.8 m s$^{-2}$.

3. SHOCK AND AMBIENT MEDIUM CHARACTERISTICS

In this section, we describe the shock properties, relating its kinematics to the kinematics of its driver, and to the ambient medium characteristics. For this, we use the well-observed splitting of the type II harmonic emission in two parallel lanes (Figure 6), which correspond to the plasma emission of the upstream and downstream shock regions.

3.1. The Upstream and Downstream Shock Regions

The NRH observations obtained at the nine frequencies quoted above allow us to determine the respective location of the upstream and downstream regions. Figure 10 shows, at three different times, the respective positions of these two components and of the CME LE, which are superposed on SDO/AIA running difference images at 193 Å. The CME LE is highlighted with a blue dashed line. Each NRH source position is indicated by a contour (at 90% of the peak flux),
The timing of the events is shown in the top panel of Figure 11 with the radio dynamic spectrum. The red dashed lines correspond, successively, to the starting time of the flare, 13:39:UT, the starting and end time of the first group of dm-type III, and to the time period of the second segment (when the type II drift rate is lower). In the bottom panel of Figure 11, we compare the projected distance–time plots of the CME LE and of the NRH sources for the H-low band of the type II burst (blue squares).

This distance is measured along the direction indicated in yellow in the inset. As already seen in Figure 10, the upstream position is located in front of the CME LE and increases its separation from the LE, as the former travels faster.

### 3.2. Speed Comparison

Figure 12(a) shows a comparison between the projected speeds of the CME-LE (black line) and of the type II NRH sources. A two-dimensional Gaussian fit was applied to obtain the location of each radio source at a given time frequency, i.e., at a given density. The uncertainty on the NRH speed estimation depends exclusively on the uncertainty on the source location; the error bars are plotted at 2σ. Speeds here are projected speeds. Because we do not know the angle between the CME or the type II burst and the plane of the sky, the CME, shock, and Alfvén speeds given below may be underestimated by ∼30%. As a consequence, the magnetic fields may be underestimated by the same amount. The projection effect does not affect comparison between the CME and Alfvén speeds, because the two speeds are likely to have the same angle with the plane of the sky.

The starting speed of the CME is ∼300 km s⁻¹ and reaches a maximum value of ∼1000 km s⁻¹ at ∼13:45:50 UT. The speed of the shock up-stream region is initially of ∼2000 km s⁻¹ (first segment as defined in Figure 6); it then progressively decreases to ∼800 km s⁻¹ (second segment), before increasing again to ∼1800 km s⁻¹ (third segment) while finally reaching the low value ∼400 km s⁻¹ (fourth segment) when the type II emission fades out.

To establish a comparison between the speeds of the CME LE and of the type II shock with the ambient Alfvén speed, $V_A$, we applied the procedure developed by Vrsnak et al. (2002) for both the quasi-perpendicular and the parallel shocks. In the present case, the direction of propagation of the shock, obtained from the locations of the NRH source, is indicated in Figure 7(e) by the three purple segments labeled by the numbers S1–S2 and S3–S4 (the direction is the same for the segments 3 and 4). During the segment S1, the shock propagates through closed loops (see Figure 3(a)) and the normal to the shock is quasi-perpendicular to the direction of the magnetic field. In the cases of S2 and S3–S4, the coronal extrapolation is too complex to establish a definitive estimate. Thus, we present here the results obtained for both quasi-parallel and perpendicular cases.

The procedure developed by Vrsnak et al. (2002) shows that one can obtain the Mach number $M_A$ then the Alfvén speed if the compression ratio of the shock is determined. This ratio, $X$, is given by $X = (f_u/f_l)^2$, where $f_u$ and $f_l$ are the frequencies of the upper and lower bands, respectively. For a low plasma parameter $\beta \to 0$, the Mach number can be written as $M_A \approx (X(X + 5)/2(4 - X))^{0.2}$ for a quasi-perpendicular shock. As the speed of the shock, $V_{\text{shock}}$, is known from the NRH source, the ambient Alfvén speed can then be calculated from $V_A = V_{\text{shock}}/M_A$. The same procedure can be used for the case

![Figure 7. Running difference images of the erupting CME observed with SDO/AIA 193 Å with the NRH radio source of the type II shock superposed.](image-url)
of a quasi-parallel shock; in this case the Mach number is given by $M_A = (X)^{0.5}$.

The calculated Alfvén speeds for both perpendicular and parallel cases are plotted on Figure 12(b), green squares for the perpendicular case and violet for the parallel case, together with the CME LE speed. The CME LE reaches a super-Alfvénic speed, for the perpendicular case, at a projected distance of $\sim 1.2 R_\odot$ corresponding to the onset time of the type II shock at $\sim 13:45:59$ UT. This agrees with the hypothesis that the shock wave is generated by the CME LE in a piston-driven scenario (Zimovets et al. 2012).

3.3. Others Ambient Medium Characteristics

The Mach number obtained from the compression ratio is plotted in Figure 12(c). The initial value for the perpendicular case of $\approx 2.1$, decreases to the final Mach number of $\approx 1.2$; this is indicative of the shock speed approaching the local Alfvén speed, at this time the type II burst fades and disappears from the dynamic spectra at 13:51 UT. Similar, but slightly lower, values of the Mach number are found for the parallel shock case.

In conclusion, this analysis confirms that the approximation of a quasi-perpendicular approach for direction 1 looks reasonable while no firm conclusion on the type of shock can be given for segments S2 and S3–S4. Still, the results of Figure 12 for those segments are comparable between parallel and perpendicular cases.

The strength of the local magnetic field $B$ can be also estimated from the Alfvén speed using the equation: $B = V_A(\mu \rho)^{-0.5}$, where the coronal plasma density is approximately given by $\rho \approx m_e n_e$. The magnetic field values are shown Figure 12(d) for the perpendicular and parallel approaches. We found values for the $B$-field ranging from 10 G at the beginning of the shock at 13:45:59 UT to 5 G at $1.5 R_\odot$ when the type II burst fades at 13:51 UT.

3.4. Electron Density at the Shock Location

The NRH observations at different frequencies allow us to estimate the electron density at the shock location. The only assumption at this stage is that the type II emission is due to harmonic plasma emission. The electron density can then be estimated directly from the emitting frequency $f_p \approx 18000 \sqrt{n_e}$. The electron density obtained from the NRH frequency bands at 408, 327, 270, 228, 173, and 150 MHz is plotted against the projected distance of the NRH source in Figure 13. The emission of the upstream component in the low-frequency band (ahead) is plotted with blue diamonds, while the emission of the downstream component (behind) is plotted with red diamonds. This density is compared with the coronal background electron density using a 5$\times$ fold (brown dashed lines) and 9$\times$ fold Saito (blue dashed lines) density model (Saito et al. 1977). The position of the radio source for projected distances below $\sim 1.4 R_\odot$ is comparable with a dense 9$\times$ fold Saito due to the presence of the AR, while at projected distances over $\sim 1.4 R_\odot$
the density drops and it is comparable with a $5 \times$ fold Saito. This is due to the change in direction of the radio burst source as indicated in Figure 7(e). The density “jump” from a $9 \times$ Saito to a $5 \times$ Saito of the radio source is evident from the data (see Figure 12). This change in density is due to the transition from the dense plasma in the closed magnetic field topology over the AR to the less dense plasma of the other neighboring closed loops structure. This change in the magnetic field topology and electron density may also indicate the reason of the sudden change of direction of the radio source.

An actual estimation of the electron density along the path of the radio source (yellow line on the inset of Figure 11 can be obtained from the two-dimensional maps using the method described in Zucca et al. (2014). This method calculates the electron density from emission measure (EM) maps derived using the SDO/AIA's six coronal filters and the method of Aschwanden et al. (2013). The plasma electron density can be calculated from the EM by estimating an effective path length of the emitting plasma along the line of sight (see Zucca et al. 2014 for details). The electron density calculated using this method is plotted in Figure 13 with a black dashed line. The density profile is compatible with the mean density profile obtained from the NRH and spectral observations.

4. SUMMARY AND DISCUSSION

4.1. Jet and CME: The Joint Evolution

In the present paper, we have presented an unusual event in which an eruptive jet is involved in the onset of a CME and, then, accompanies its development. First detected in EUV, this event appears as a simple loop system rising in the corona, while it is later identified as a CME when observed, in white light, by SOHO. No EUV plasmoid was detected behind the edge of the CME.

The aim of this paper was to understand (1) the role of the eruptive jet and of the ambient medium for setting up this CME and (2) the nature of the two shocks associated with two successive type II bursts, which occur during the CME progression. Our main findings are summarized below.

1. The eruption of the jet marks the beginning of the event; this jet originates from a coronal null point above a positive...
parasitic polarity embedded inside the trailing negative part of the AR. The initiation phase occurs when a sudden brightening appears at its base and extends rapidly toward its western neighboring loops. These large-scale loops, which connect the two main polarities of the AR, start to shine along their eastern leg. These observations are indicative of the beginning of a destabilization process of the loops caused by their magnetic interaction with the jet structure.

2. The subsequent eruptive EUV and radio manifestations, preceding or accompanying the onset of the CME, occur in the vicinity of the region where the CME LE is formed.

The idea of a magnetic reconnection process between the outer part of the jet and the ambient medium, is confirmed by the presence of radio type III bursts.

(i) The first dm type III burst group, which is followed by two other ones, coincides with the appearance of a bright narrow EUV source located above a region of positive polarity. This source persists during several minutes and subsequent weaker sources are observed in the same region. These observations suggest that the sources, from which the electron beams responsible for the type III radio bursts
Figure 12. Speed comparison of the CME LE with the shock and the ambient medium characteristics. (a) The CME LE speed is indicated with a black line, while the speed of the NRH source is indicated with red squares. (b) The Alfvén speeds for the perpendicular and parallel cases are respectively indicated by green and violet colors. (c) The shock Mach number for both perpendicular and parallel cases. (d) The estimated $B$-field for the two cases. The vertical dashed lines indicate the start and end of segment 2 when the frequency drift is interrupted (see Figure 6).

Figure 13. Electron density assuming harmonic plasma emission of the type II radio burst source observed with NRH at 408, 327, 270, 228, 173, and 150 MHz against its projected distance. Plotted for comparison are the $5 \times$ fold and $9 \times$ fold Saito background corona model (Saito et al. 1977) and the density estimation using the six EUV filters on SDO/AIA (Zucca et al. 2014).
originate, result from a magnetic reconnection between the western side of the eruptive jet magnetic field and the field lines anchored in this region of positive polarity. This interpretation is consistent with (1) the sudden appearance, between these two regions, of a bright bridge, particularly well observed by SDO at high temperature; (2) the positive polarization of the radio emission; (3) the trajectory of the electron beams (Note that the magnetic field lines of positive polarity are not detected by PFFS).

The ascending motion of the CME starts soon after the occurrence of the first group of type III bursts.

(ii) During these dm bursts, two groups of IP type III bursts are also detected. The electron beams producing these bursts result from the interaction between the eastern side of the jet and the open field lines originating from a region of negative polarity.

3. The following different observations seem to stress the role of the erupting jet during the CME progression.

(i) Its motion is followed by the onset of the first type II burst. The progressions of the CME and of the burst appear to be closely connected: they follow the same direction and the type-II burst sources are located above the front edge of the CME. Moreover, approximately 1 or 2 minutes later, the source of the type II burst stops its southward motion and becomes westward oriented, while the CME LE also becomes slightly westward oriented. We attribute this effect to the encounter of the eastern edge of the CME with the eruptive jet. Let us further remark that (1) its eastern edge becomes no longer discernible from the jet after their encounter; (2) the CME LE appears to be split into two parts, its eastern part corresponding in fact to the western branch of the jet, now curved and surrounding the CME. These facts are compatible with the shape of the CME as observed later.

(ii) While the CME continues its southward progression, its lateral expansion is limited, on one side, by the presence of the eruptive jet and, on the other side, by the pressure generated by the coronal hole. This interaction is possibly the cause of the second type II burst, which, during the same period, appears at decimeter wavelengths. We note that, 2 hr later, the expansion of the CME, when observed by LASCO/C2, has remained the same.

(iii) The last IP bursts, which appear approximately at the time of the approach of the CME with the south pole, probably originate from a magnetic interaction between the CME, or the jet itself, with the open field lines of the polar region.

4.2. First Shock and Ambient Medium Characteristics

In this study, the CME LE and the type II burst kinematics were compared with ambient coronal characteristics such as the Alfvén speed and the B field, in order to understand the origin of the shock and its progression. These properties were calculated without assuming any model for the coronal density and they were derived from the shock compression ratio; the latter was obtained from the type II split lanes, using a method described in Vršnak et al. (2002).

The CME LE showed a fast initial acceleration, and already reached a super-Alfvénic speed. This was subsequently followed by the production of a type II burst with emission lanes split into two bands. The type II burst also presented a fast initial acceleration leading to a speed faster than the CME LE, so that they progressively separate one from the other.

A shock can be a blast wave, in which the energy is supplied by a pressure pulse, or it can be driven by a CME, either in a piston-type or in a bow shock scenario (Vršnak 2005). In the case of a piston shock geometry, the shock moves faster than its driving piston and the medium is confined, since it is not able to stream around the CME (Vršnak 2005; Warmuth 2007). In our event, as recalled in point 3(ii) above, the lateral expansion of the CME is limited, on one side by the presence of the eruptive jet, and on the other side by the pressure generated by the coronal hole. This confinement, together with the shock propagating faster than the CME LE, strongly suggest that the shock has been driven by the CME in a piston-driven mechanism. Another observation is in line with this interpretation: the type II burst sources are located in front of the CME LE and undergo the same change as the CME in the propagating direction.

The radio observational coverage by the NRH allowed us to resolve the location of the split bands of the type II bursts. We found that the two components were located ahead of the CME LE and that the higher frequency lane was positioned behind the lower frequency band. This is in agreement with the Smerd et al. (1974) interpretation of the splitting lane emission. In our scenario, the hypothetic shock wave, probably too faint to be detected in EUV, is located between the low and high band position of the split lanes. Bain et al. (2012) and Žimovets et al. (2012) arrived to a similar conclusion, in the study of another dm-metric type II burst, which was also imaged by the NRH.

5. CONCLUSIONS

We have presented the formation and development of an unusual CME as described in Section 4 and summarized below. The CME resulted from the interaction of an eruptive jet with the surrounding medium. The key points are the overall magnetic structure of the ambient medium and the relative position of the jet in this environment. To our knowledge, it is the first time that such an event has been analyzed in some depth. A cluster of eruptive EUV and radio observations stresses the predominant role played by the eruptive jet in the history of this CME.

First detected in EUV, this event appears as a simple loop system rising in the corona. These loops start to be destabilized by their magnetic interaction with the jet. This early development of the CME does not show the signatures that could be expected from previous observations (see Section 1).

Then, a destabilization process of the loops is caused by magnetic reconnection between the outer part of the jet and the ambient magnetic field. This process occurs in the vicinity of the region where the CME LE is formed and when the CME speed is strongly increasing. It is also near this time that the onset of the first type III burst is observed. This is reminiscent of the break-out model (Antiochos et al. 1999), but with reconnection between closed and open magnetic field. The progression of this CME is later observed in white light, up to a distance of eight solar radii.

Two type II bursts were detected, and a distinct origin is identified for the two successive shocks, both associated with the CME development. One of the primary findings of this study is related to the first type II burst for which the joint spectral and imaging observations allowed us to

1. identify step by step the origin of the spectral fragmentation, in relationship with the CME evolution, and
2. obtain at each step, without introducing an electronic density model or an MHD simulation, the upstream plasma
density, the Alfvénic Mach number for the shock, and the magnetic strength.

The jet and/or CME are at the origin of IP radio type III bursts; these bursts reveal the injection, in the IP medium, of electron beams along different directions.

To conclude, we would like to illustrate two specific points concerning how the data analysis has benefited from particularly favorable conditions. (1) Though the event originated on the solar disk, it was observed by the SOHO/LASCO coronagraph, which allowed us to confirm that this event was a real CME. (2) The polarization measurements of the radio type III bursts were determinant in identifying the origin of the dm-type three bursts and also showed that electron beams escape along magnetic field lines that were not present in PFSS extrapolation.
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