Draft Genome Sequence of an *Oceanobacillus* sp. Strain Isolated from Soil in a Burial Crypt
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We present the draft genome of an *Oceanobacillus* sp. strain isolated from spores found in soil samples from a burial crypt of the Cathedral of Sant’Antonio Abate in Castelsardo, Italy. The data obtained indicated the closest relation of the strain with *Oceanobacillus caeni*.
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It is widely known that microorganisms can inhabit deep ocean water in spite of the extreme conditions. *Oceanobacillus* is one of the bacteria living under these conditions, and even though bacteria of this genus have been isolated from different environments (1–4), they are frequently found in marine-related habitats (5–11). The *Oceanobacillus* genus consists of Gram-positive, endospore-forming, and moderately halophilic bacteria. The *Oceanobacillus* strain of this study was isolated in 2011 from the soil of a burial crypt in the Cathedral of Sant’Antonio Abate in Castelsardo (Sardinia, Italy). The crypt contained buried bodies, some of which naturally mummified, that were interred between the year 1600 and at least 1830, and they have remained sealed until the opening of the crypt in 2011.

Soil samples were collected during the excavation of the crypt by bioarchaeologists equipped with N-95 masks, gloves, and Tyvek suits. Isolation of spore-forming bacteria was performed from the soil samples according to a selective protocol for *Bacillus* species (12). One of the colonies isolated was identified by 16S rRNA gene sequencing (13) as *Oceanobacillus caeni*. 16S rRNA gene was analyzed using the SINA alignment Service (14) and BLAST (http://blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/) with the nonredundant database to find the best homology. Genome sequencing of the strain was also performed after DNA extraction from an overnight growth at 37°C on Luria broth (LB) using the NucleoSpin tissue kit (Macherey Nagel, Germany), according to the manufacturer’s protocol. Sequencing was performed using Illumina MiSeq platform (Illumina, San Diego, CA), obtaining 3,866,671 reads with an average length of 209 bp. The data generated were de novo assembled with Edena (15), with k-mer of 140 nucleotides in length, resulting in 150 contigs. These contigs were manually analyzed, and our own scripts were generated to search matching sequences of the reads at both ends of the contigs. The amount of contigs was reduced to 46, with a genome total length of 3,731,305 bp and an average of G+C content of 35.75%. The largest contig was 843,196 bp in length, and more than half of the contigs were >1,000 bp. Annotation of the genome was then performed using the Rapid Annotations using Subsystems Technology server (RAST) (16, 17). A total of 3,661 coding sequences (CDSs) and 131 tRNAs were predicted. As determined by the RAST server, 438 subsystems were in the genome.

The coverage of 13 reference 16S rRNA genes from *Oceanobacillus* species obtained from the Ribosomal Database Project (18) with the reads obtained in this project was searched. The best coverage was obtained for *Oceanobacillus caeni*, but the coverage was not complete. An alignment-free approach based on octanucleotide content of genomes was then used to identify the most closely related organism. The GScоперate platform was used for this purpose (http://gscompare.ehu.eus/) (J. Bikandi, unpublished data), and again, the results indicated *Oceanobacillus caeni* to be the most closely related genome.

**Nucleotide sequence accession numbers.** This whole-genome shotgun project has been deposited in DDBJ/ENA/GenBank under the accession no. LYCS00000000. The version described in this paper is version LYCS01000000.

**ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

We acknowledge SGI/IZO-SGIker UPV/EHU for the allocation of computational resources. Also, we thank David Kelvin, Nikki Kelvin, and the archaeologists Luca Sanna, Maria Antonietta Demurtas, and Franco Campus.

**FUNDING INFORMATION**

This work, including the efforts of Joseba Bikandi, was funded by Spanish Science and Innovation Ministry (CSD2009-00006).

**REFERENCES**

1. Nam JH, Bae W, Lee DH. 2008. *Oceanobacillus caeni* sp. nov., isolated from a Bacillus-dominated wastewater treatment system in Korea. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol 58:1109–1113. http://dx.doi.org/10.1099/ijsem.0.05335-0.

2. Tominaga T, An SY, Oyaizu H, Yokota A. 2009. *Oceanobacillus sojae* sp. nov. isolated from soy sauce production equipment in Japan. J Gen Appl Microbiol 55:225–232. http://dx.doi.org/10.1023/j:gam.0000025.

3. Whon TW, Jung MJ, Roh SW, Nam YD, Park EJ, Shin KS, Bae JW.
2. Oceanobacillus kimchii sp. nov. isolated from a traditional Korean fermented food. J Microbiol 48:862–866. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s12275-010-0214-7.

4. Lagier JC, Khelaifia S, Azhar EI, Croce O, Bibi F, Jiman-Fatani AA, Yasir M, Helaby HB, Robert C, Fournier PE, Raoult D. 2015. Genome sequence of Oceanobacillus picturae strain S1, an halophilic bacterium first isolated in human gut. Stand Genomic Sci 10:91. http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/s40793-015-0081-2.

5. Lu J, Nogi Y, Takami H. 2001. Oceanobacillus iheyensis gen. nov., sp. nov., a deep-sea extremely halotolerant and alkaliphilic species isolated from a depth of 1050 m on the Iheya Ridge. FEMS Microbiol Lett 205:291–297. http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1574-6968.2001.tb10963.x.

6. Kim YG, Choi DH, Hyun S, Cho BC. 2007. Oceanobacillus profundus sp. nov., isolated from a deep-sea sediment core. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol 57:409–413. http://dx.doi.org/10.1099/ijs.0.64375-0.

7. Lee SY, Oh TK, Kim W, Yoon JH. 2010. Oceanobacillus locisalsi sp. nov., isolated from a marine solar saltern. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol 60:2758–2762. http://dx.doi.org/10.1099/ijs.0.021907-0.

8. Yu C, Yu S, Zhang Z, Li Z, Zhang KH. 2014. Oceanobacillus pacificus sp. nov., isolated from a deep-sea sediment. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol 64:2787–2792. http://dx.doi.org/10.1099/ijs.0.056481-0.

9. Amoozegar MA, Bagheri M, Makhdoumi-kakhki A, Didari M, Schumann P, Spröer C, Sánchez-Porro C, Ventosa A. 2014. Oceanobacillus limi sp. nov., a moderately halophilic bacterium from a salt lake. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol 64:1278–1283. http://dx.doi.org/10.1099/ijs.0.056481-0.

10. Yongchang O, Xiang W, Wang G. 2015. Oceanobacillus bengalesis sp. nov., a bacterium isolated from seawater of the Bay of Bengal. Antonie Van Leeuwenhoek 108:1189–1196. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10482-015-0573-5.

11. Kim W, Siamphan C, Kim JH, Sukhoom A. 2015. Oceanobacillus arenatus sp. nov., a moderately halophilic bacterium isolated from marine sand. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol 65:2943–2948. http://dx.doi.org/10.1099/ijs.0.000360.

12. Travers RS, Martin PA, Rechelderfer CF. 1987. Selective process for efficient isolation of soil Bacillus spp. Appl Environ Microbiol 53:1263–1266.

13. Kuske CR, Banton KL, Adorada DL, Stark PC, Hill KK, Jackson PJ. 1998. Small-scale DNA sample preparation method for field PCR detection of microbial cells and spores in soil. Appl Environ Microbiol 64:2463–2472.

14. Pruesse E, Peplis J, Glocñer FO. 2012. SINA: accurate high-throughput multiple sequence alignment of ribosomal RNA genes. Bioinformatics 28:1823–1829. http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/bts252.

15. Hernandez D, Francois P, Farinelli L, Osteras M, Schrenzel J. 2008. De novo bacterial genome sequencing: millions of very short reads assembled on a desktop computer. Genome Res 18:802–809. http://dx.doi.org/10.1101/gr.072033.107.

16. Aziz RK, Bartels D, Best AA, DeJongh M, Disz T, Edwards RA, Formsma K, Gerdes S, Glass EM, Kubal M, Meyer F, Olsen GJ, Olson R, Osterman AL, Overbeek RA, McNeil LK, Paarmann D, Paczian T, Parrello B, Pusch GD, Reich C, Stevens R, Vassieva O, Vonstein V, Wilke A, Zagnitko O. 2008. The RAST server: Rapid Annotations using Subsystems Technology. BMC Genomics 9:75. http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/1471-2164-9-75.

17. Overbeek R, Olson R, Pusch GD, Olsen GJ, Davis JJ, Disz T, Edwards RA, Gerdes S, Parrello B, Shukla M, Vonstein V, Wattam AR, Xia F, Stevens R. 2014. The SEED and the rapid annotation of microbial genomes using subsystems technology (RAST). Nucleic Acids Res 42:D206–D214. http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkt1226.

18. Cole JR, Wang Q, Fish JA, Chai B, McGarrell DM, Sun Y, Brown CT, Porras-Alfaro A, Kuske CR, Tiedje JM. 2014. Ribosomal Database Project: data and tools for high throughput rRNA analysis. Nucleic Acids Res 42:D633–D642. http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkt1244.