Overexpression of IncRNA NLIPMT Inhibits Colorectal Cancer Cell Migration and Invasion by Downregulating TGF-β1
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Background: NLIPMT, as a tumor suppressive IncRNA, has only been investigated in breast cancer, while its roles in other types of cancer remain unknown. This study aimed to explore the role of NLIPMT in colorectal cancer (CRC).

Methods: Expression levels of NLIPMT and TGF-β1 in two types of CRC tissue (Non-tumor tissues and tumor tissues) were measured and compared by qRT-PCR and paired t-test, respectively. Correlations between the expression of NLIPMT and TGF-β1 were analyzed by performing linear regression. The effects of transfections on cell invasion and migration were evaluated by Transwell assays.

Results: We found that NLIPMT was downregulated, while TGF-β1 was upregulated in CRC. In CRC tumor, a negative correlation was found between the expression of NLIPMT and TGF-β1. In CRC cells, overexpression of NLIPMT resulted in downregulation, while silencing of NLIPMT resulted in upregulation of TGF-β1. Analysis of cell invasion and migration showed that overexpression of NLIPMT suppressed the tumor cell invasion and migration. In contrast, overexpression of TGF-β1 could promote CRC cell invasion and migration and also reduce the role of NLIPMT. Through the overall survival evaluation, NLIPMT-high groups of CRC represented better survival rate compared to that of the NLIPMT-low group patients.

Conclusion: The expression of IncRNA NLIPMT was negatively correlated with TGF-β1 in CRC. Overexpression of NLIPMT inhibited the colorectal cancer cell migration and invasion by downregulating TGF-β1. Furthermore, the expression of NLIPMT in CRC patients predicted better prognosis, which suggested that NLIPMT could be considered as a novel diagnosis biomarker.
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Introduction

According to the latest cancer statistics, colorectal cancer (CRC) could affect more than 140,000 new cases and cause more than 50,000 deaths in 2019.1 Moreover, both incidence and mortality rates of CRC are predicted to be continuously increasing.2 The existence of distant metastasis that is caused by the low early diagnostic rate, and development of drug resistance during chemotherapy, are the two major causes of deaths among CRC patients.3 Therefore, novel molecular markers are needed to improve the diagnosis and treatment of CRC.4 However, molecular pathogenesis of this disease is barely known,5,6 which is the major challenge in development of novel diagnostic and therapeutic markers.

Transforming growth factor (TGF)-β plays pivotal roles in diverse cellular processes, such as cell apoptosis, invasion, differentiation as well as cell growth
In cancer biology, TGF-β induces epithelial-mesenchymal transition and promote cancer cell invasion and migration. Therefore, inhibition of TGF-β is considered as a potential therapeutic option for many types of cancer, such as CRC. It is known that TGF-β signaling can be regulated by long non-coding RNAs (lncRNAs, >200 nt), which participate in cancer biology mainly by regulating gene expression. A recent study reported a novel lncRNA named NLIPMT (also known as RP11-115N4.1) as a tumor suppressor in breast cancer. In breast cancer, NLIPMT inactivates glycogen synthase kinase 3β (GSK-3β) to suppress cancer metastasis. It is known that GSK-3β can regulate TGF-β. Therefore, NLIPMT may also interact with TGF-β. This study aimed to investigate the interaction between TGF-β1 and NLIPMT in CRC.

**Materials and Methods**

**CRC Patients and Tissue Samples**

This study selected 66 CRC patients from the 115 CRC cases admitted to the First Affiliated Hospital of Henan University of TCM between June 2012 and May 2014. This study passed the review board of aforementioned hospital. Inclusion criteria were: 1) newly diagnosed CRC cases; 2) no initiated therapies were observed; 3) completed treatment and a 5-year follow-up. Exclusion criteria were: 1) patients diagnosed with multiple clinical disorders; 2) recurrent CRC patients. AJCC system was used to stage all the 66 patients, and the results revealed 9, 12, 23 and 22 cases at stage I–IV, respectively. Before this study, informed consent was signed by all selected patients.

All selected patients were diagnosed by MRI-guided colorectal biopsy (the clinical characteristic data not shown). During biopsy, CRC and non-tumor (within 2 cm around tumors) tissues were obtained from these patients. Based on the results of histopathological examinations, all CRC tissues contained more than 90% cancer cells and all non-tumor tissues contained less than 5% cancer cells.

**Follow-Up and Treatment**

From the day of admission, all patients were followed-up for 5 years to monitor their survival conditions. Patients were treated with surgical resections and/or chemotherapy, targeted therapy or radiotherapy according to their situations. Patients failed to complete follow-up and patients died of accidents or other diseases were excluded. Based on the median level of NLIPMT in CRC tissues, patients were grouped into high and low (n = 33) level groups.

**CRC Cells and Transfection**

Human CRC cell lines RKO (ATCC, USA) and HCT-116 (ATCC, USA) were used. A mixture of 90% Eagle’s Minimum Essential Medium (EMEM) (Cat no. 30–2003, ATCC) and 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS, Gibco, USA) was used to cultivate RKO and HCT-116 cells, and the culture conditions were 95% humidity, 37 °C and 5% CO₂. Cells were harvested at a confluence of 70–80% to perform subsequent experiments. NLIPMT and TGF-β1 expression vector, as well as negative control (NC) siRNA and NLIPMT siRNA were purchased from GenePharma (Shanghai, China). Lipofectamine 2000 reagent (GenePharma, Shanghai, China) was used to transfect 45 nM siRNA (NC siRNA as NC) or 10 nM vector (empty vector as NC) into 1×10⁶ cells. Untransfected cells were used as control (C) cells for all transfections. Cells harvested at 24 h post-transfection were used to carry out the following experiments.

**qRT-PCR**

Cells harvested at 24 h post-transfection were counted, and Trizol reagent (Invitrogen, USA) was used to extract total RNAs from 6×10⁵ cells. After digestion by DNase I at 37 °C for 1h, RNA samples were subjected to reverse transcription, which were performed using QuantiTect Reverse Transcription Kit (QIAGEN, USA). With GAPDH as the endogenous control, DyNAmo Flash SYBR Green qPCR Kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific) was used to prepare all qRT-PCR mixtures to assay the expression of NLIPMT and TGF-β1 mRNA. The primer sequences were listed as following: NLIPMT-Forward primer: 5'-GGCCACCACAACCCTT-3'; NLIPMT-Reverse primer: 5'-CAAATGGCTGAGGAAAGAGGA-3'; TGF-β1-Forward primer: 5'-TTGAGACTTTTCCGTTGCCG-3'; TGF-β1-Reverse primer: 5'-CGAGGTCTGGGGAAAGTCT-3'; GAPDH-Forward primer: 5'-CACATCGCTCAGACACCATG-3'; GAPDH-Reverse primer: 5'-TGACGGTGCCATGGAATTTG-3'; All data were processed by using 2⁻ΔΔCt method, and each experiment were repeated 3 times. (note: *: p < 0.05)

**Western Blot (WB)**

Cells harvested at 24 h post-transfection were counted, and RIPA solution (GenePharma, Shanghai, China) was used to extract total proteins from 1×10⁶ cells. Following protein denaturing at 95 °C for 10 min, SDS-PAGE (10%) gels were used to carry out electrophoresis to separate proteins.
Proteins were then transferred to PVDF membranes and blocked the non-specific binding in blocking buffer (5% BSA) at room temperature for 2 h. After that, the first incubation was performed using rabbit primary antibodies of anti-TGF-β1 (1:1200, ab92486, Abcam) and anti-GAPDH antibody (1:1200, ab9485, Abcam) at 4 °C for 15 h, followed by the second incubation with secondary antibody of anti-rabbit IgG-HRP (1:1500, MBS435036, MyBioSource) at 24 °C for 2 h. Membranes were incubated with ECL (Sigma-Aldrich) for 10 min to develop signals and gray values were analyzed using Image J V1.34 software.

Cell Invasion and Migration
Cells harvested at 24 h post-transfection were counted, and 3×10^4 cells were mixed with 1 mL aforementioned cell culture medium (serum-free) to prepare single cell suspensions. Transwell assays were performed to analyze the effects of transfections on cell invasion and wound-healing assays were performed to analyze cell migration. Before invasion assay, Matrigel (356,234, Millipore, USA) was used to block the membranes at 37 °C for 6 h. Briefly, the upper chamber was filled with cell suspension and the lower chamber was filled with cell culture medium containing 20% FBS. Under aforementioned conditions, cells were cultivated for 12 h and 0.5% crystal violet (Sigma-Aldrich) was used to stain membranes for 15 min. Finally, an optical microscope was used to observe the invading cells. Meanwhile, the same cells were inoculated into 6 well plate, when the confluency reached 80%, creating a “wound” scratch with a 20 μL tip on the cell monolayer. After washing for 2 times with warmed PBS, cells were cultured for another 48 h with serum-free medium. Photos were taken with phase contrast microscope (Olympus) to assess the scratch healing difference. All experiments were repeated at least three times.

Statistical Analysis
GraphPad Prism software (version 8.3, GraphPad Inc., San Diego, CA, USA) was used for data analysis. Mean ± standard deviation (SD) represented the data for at least three replicates. Linear regression was used to analyze correlations between different genes. Differences among cell transfection groups were compared by ANOVA (one-way) and Tukey’s test. Differences between non-tumor and CRC tissues were compared by paired t-test. Survival curves were plotted and compared by K-M plotter and Log-rank test, respectively. p < 0.05 was statistically significant.

Results

The Expression of NLIPMT and TGF-β1 Were Altered in CRC
The expression levels of NLIPMT and TGF-β1 in two types of tissue (non-tumor and CRC) were measured and compared by qRT-PCR and paired t-test, respectively. We observed that the expression levels of NLIPMT in CRC tissues were significantly decreased than that in non-tumor tissues (Figure 1A, p < 0.05). In contrast, the expression levels of TGF-β1 were significantly higher in CRC than that in non-tumor tissues (Figure 1B, p < 0.05). These results were consistent with previously studies.

NLIPMT and TGF-β1 Were Negatively Correlated in CRC Tissues
Correlations between the expression of NLIPMT and TGF-β1 were analyzed by linear regression using GraphPad Prism analysis. It showed that the expression levels of NLIPMT were inversely correlated with that of TGF-β1 in CRC tissues (p < 0.001, Figure 2A). However, NLIPMT and TGF-β1 did not have a significantly correlation in non-tumor tissues (p = 0.4214, Figure 2B). These results suggested that NLIPMT could regulate TGF-β1 directly or indirectly in colorectal cancer cells.

Low Expression Levels of NLIPMT Predicted Poor Survival
To detect the potential role of NLIPMT in patients survival, we divided the patients into NLIPMT-high and NLIPMT-low groups, then compared the survival curves between these two groups. It showed that the overall survival rate of CRC patients during the 5 years survival was significantly higher in the high NLIPMT level group than that in the low NLIPMT level group (Figure 3, p = 0.03), which suggested that NLIPMT could be considered as a maker for better prognosis.

NLIPMT Downregulated TGF-β1 in CRC Cell Lines
RKO cells were transfected with NLIPMT expression vector and NLIPMT siRNA. Then qRT-PCR was performed to measure the expression levels of NLIPMT at 24 h post-transfection. NLIPMT expression vector could significantly increase the expression levels of NLIPMT and silencing of NLIPMT successfully knocked-down its expression in RKO cells (Figure 4A, p < 0.05). Similar results were also obtained.
in HCT-116 cells (Supplementary Figure 1A). Moreover, overexpression of NLIPMT inhibited the expression of TGF-β1 in RKO cell lines after transfected with NLIPMT expression vector at both mRNA and protein levels. Meanwhile, silencing of NLIPMT inversely rescued the expression of TGF-β1 at both mRNA and protein levels (Figure 4B, p < 0.05). Similar results were also obtained in HCT-116 cells (Supplementary Figure 1B). All these results indicated that NLIPMT could downregulate TGF-β1 in CRC cells.

**NLIPMT Inhibited Invasion and Migration of Tumor Cells Through TGF-β1**

The effects of NLIPMT on cell invasion and migration were evaluated by Transwell assays and wound-healing assays. Compared to C and NC groups, overexpression of NLIPMT
suppressed the tumor cells invasion (Figure 5A and B) and migration (Figure 6A and B) in RKO cells, while silencing of NLIPMT played an opposite role ($p < 0.05$). Moreover, overexpression of TGF-$\beta$1 promoted CRC cell invasion (Figure 5A and B) and migration (Figure 6A and B), and also reduced the role of overexpressing NLIPMT ($p < 0.05$). And similar effects were also observed in HCT-116 cells (data not shown). Therefore, IncRNA NLIPMT might function as a tumor suppressor in CRC.

**Discussion**

The functionality and prognostic value of NLIPMT in CRC were explored in this paper. We found that NLIPMT was downregulated in CRC and predicted the...
poor survival of CRC patients. Moreover, NLIPMT may negatively regulate TGF-β1 to suppress the invasion and migration of CRC cells.

At present, the prediction of the survival of cancer patients is mainly based on clinical stages, which were determined by tumor size, lymph node metastasis, and distant metastasis. Development of novel prognostic factors may guide the selection of treatment options and the prediction of outcomes, which in turn benefit patients’ survival. The expression pattern and functionality of NLIPMT have only been investigated in breast cancer, in which NLIPMT was downregulated, and overexpression of NLIPMT was shown to inhibit cancer metastasis. This study is the first to report the downregulation of NLIPMT in CRC and the increased

Figure 5 NLIPMT inhibited RKO cell invasion through TGF-β1. We detected the effect of NLIPMT and TGF-β1 on tumor cell invasion, after transfection with NLIPMT and TGF-β1 into RKO cell lines, transwell assays were used to assess the cell invasion after 12h. (A) The captured photos were compared between different groups; (B) Statistical analysis of transwell assay results (n = 3; Student’s t-test; *p < 0.05).

Abbreviations: C, control cells; NC, control cells transfected empty vector.
invasion and migration rates of CRC cells. Therefore, NLIPMT is also a tumor suppressive lncRNA in CRC. In addition, low expression levels of NLIPMT in CRC tissues were proved to be closely correlated with the poor prognosis of CRC patients. Therefore, measurement of the expression levels of NLIPMT before therapies may guide the prognostic assignment of CRC patients. However, the accuracy remains to be further verified.

TGF-β1 is overexpressed in CRC to induce cancer metastasis. Therefore, multiple anti-cancer drugs have been developed to target TGF-β1. Consistently, this study also observed the overexpression of TGF-β1 in CRC tissues. In addition, overexpression of TGF-β1 resulted in increased invasion and migration rates of CRC cells. Interestingly, we showed that NLIPMT negatively correlated with TGF-β1 in CRC cells. The possible explanation is that NLIPMT can inactive GSK-3β, while GSK-3β inactivation can lead to the downregulation of TGF-β1. It is known that GSK-3β is activated in CRC. Therefore, activation of GSK-3β in CRC may mediate the interaction between NLIPMT and TGF-β1. This is also one possible explanation of the lacking of significant correlation between NLIPMT and TGF-β1 in non-tumor tissues. Moreover, lncRNA could regulate the protein-coding RNA in many ways, some other transcription factors or enzymes might be involved in the NLIPMT- TGF-β1 signaling. Therefore, more evidence is needed to clarify the complicated regulation mechanism between NLIPMT and TGF-β1.

**Conclusions**

In conclusion, NLIPMT is overexpressed in CRC and negatively regulates TGF-β1 to suppress CRC cell invasion and migration. NLIPMT could be considered as a potential prognostic biomarker for the diagnosis and treatment of CRC patients.
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