New genus of the tribe Ceutorhynchini (Coleoptera: Curculionidae) from the late Oligocene of Enspel, southwestern Germany, with a remark on the role of weevils in the ancient food web
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Abstract. The new weevil genus Igneonasus gen. nov. (type species: I. rudolphi sp. nov.) of the tribe Ceutorhynchini (Curculionidae: Conoderinae: Ceutorhynchitae) is described from the late Oligocene of Fossillagerstätte Enspel, Germany. The new genus differs from the similar genus Stenocarus Thomson, 1859 in the anterior margin of the pronotum, which is not raised, a pronotum without tubercles on the sides, and a femur without teeth. This weevil is the largest representative of this supertribe and the first fossil Curculionidae species described from the paleolake Enspel. In this ancient ecosystem, weevils were at least sometimes an important food resource for the cyprinid fish Palaeorutilus enspeensis.

1 Introduction

Oligocene weevils are studied less well than other Paleogene Curculionoidea faunas and hitherto comprised 94 described species (Legalov, 2020b). Curculionoidea are reported from 19 localities, but many of them still await description. Currently, 72 Oligocene species of the family Curculionidae are known and are assigned to the subfamilies Erirhininae, Molytinae, Lixinae, Dryophthorinae, Cossoninae, Conoderinae, Curculioninae, and Entiminae (Legalov, 2020b). Five Oligocene weevil localities (the early Oligocene locality Seithennersdorf, the middle Oligocene localities Sieblos and Kleinkembs, and the late Oligocene localities Enspel and Rott) are situated in Germany (Legalov, 2015, 2020b). Nineteen species of Curculionidae are described from Sieblos, Kleinkembs, and Rott (Legalov, 2020b). The weevils from Enspel are often particularly well-preserved with chitin still present in their exoskeleton (Stankiewicz et al., 1997). Some specimens from Enspel have been previously figured (Wedmann, 2000; Wedmann et al., 2010; Penney and Jepson, 2014), but a detailed taxonomic approach was still lacking.

Here we describe a new fossil genus and species of the supertribe Ceutorhynchitae belonging to the tribe Ceutorhynchini.

2 Locality

The black pelitic sediments or “oil shales” of Fossillagerstätte Enspel were deposited in a deep meromictic and eutrophic crater lake of the High Westerwald (Pirrung et al., 2001; Schindler and Wuttke, 2010, 2015). In a 140 m thick sedimentary sequence, oil shales alternate with fallout tuffs, tuffites, turbidites, and debris flows (Gaupp and Wilke, 1998; Felder et al., 1998; Schindler and Wuttke, 2010, 2015). The existence of the paleolake Enspel was suddenly terminated by a lava flow originating from a nearby volcano and covering it with about 100 m of basaltic lava (Schindler and Wuttke, 2010).

Fossillagerstätte Enspel has an excellent age constraint, biostratigraphically based on the appearance of the eomyid rodent Eomys quercyi Comte and Vianey-Liaud, 1987 indi-
cating the late Oligocene mammal zone MP28 and an absolute age of 28.4–23.0 mya (Storch et al., 1996; Engesser and Storch, 1999). Dating of basalts both pre- and postdating lake sedimentation yielded a more accurate radioisotopic age of 24.9–24.5 mya for the MP28 level at Enspel (Mertz et al., 2007). For further details about the geology see Schindler and Wuttke (2010, 2015) and Schäfer et al. (2011).

The youngest part of the lake sediments was subject to annual scientific excavation conducted by the GDKE RLP, Direktion Landesarchäologie/Erdgeschichte from 1990 until 2015 and yielded rich taphocoenoses including bacteria (or melanosomes); various microfossils; macroplants; sponges; vertebrates such as fishes, crocodiles, amphibians, birds, and mammals (see e.g. overview by Poschmann et al., 2010; Wuttke et al., 2010, 2015; Köhler and Uhl, 2014); and more than 12 000 specimens of arthropods, mainly insects and a minor share of arachnids (e.g. Wedmann, 1998a, b, 2000; Poschmann and Wedmann, 2005; Poschmann, 2006; Wappler and Heiss, 2006; Fikáček et al., 2008; Wedmann et al., 2010; Fanti and Poschmann, 2019; Brockhaus et al., 2020; Jessen, 2020).

### 3 Material and methods

The material studied here is part of the Landessammlung für Naturkunde Rheinland-Pfalz at the Naturhistorisches Museum Mainz. Specimens were photographed immersed in water using a Leica DFC 420 digital camera attached to a Leica MZ 7.5 stereomicroscope (Figs. 1, 3c). In order to enhance depth of field, multiple images at differing focal planes were taken and combined into a composite using LAS V2.7 image stacking software (by Leica Microsystems). Figure 3a–b were taken using a Canon EOS 600D SLR camera equipped with a Canon EFS 60 mm macro lens, and image stacking software CombineZP (by Alan Hadley) was used to enhance depth of field.

The morphological terminology used in this paper follows Lawrence et al. (2010).

Nomenclatural acts introduced in the present work are registered in ZooBank (http://www.zoobank.org, last access: 19 November 2020) under LSID urn:lsid:zoobank.org:pub:1760D86D-05E6-454F-A1E0-2E6D7450380D.

### 4 Systematic paleontology

Family: Curculionidae Latreille, 1802

Subfamily: Conoderinae Schoenherr, 1833

Supertribe: Ceutorhynchitae Gistel, 1848

Tribe: Ceutorhynchini Gistel, 1848

---

Genus Igneonasus gen. nov.
urn:lsid:zoobank.org:act:CE2FAC7D-C476-464A-A4C1-C7C2EEFEE665

Type species

I. rudolphi sp. nov., herein designated.

Etymology

The generic name is derived from the Latin igneus for fire, with respect to the volcanic origin and destruction of the paleolake Enspel, and nasus for nose. Gender masculine.

Diagnosis

Large beetle; body black, strongly chitinized; rostrum longer than pronotum, rather thick, curved; antennal scrobes lateral; forehead flattened; antennae inserted in apical third of rostrum; anterior margin of pronotum not raised; rostrum with longitudinal median sulcus; sides without tubercles; elytra plump with convex humeri; striae regular; interstriae very wide; prosternum and mesoventrite with rostral channel; postocular lobes present; precoxal portion of prosternum elongated; mesepimeron strongly ascending; abdomen convex ventrally; ventrites 1 and 2 elongated; ventrites 3 and 4 short; pygidium convex, exposed beyond elytra; legs long; procoxae subconical; femora weakly clavate, without teeth; tibiae almost straight, without uncus; tarsomeres 1 and 2 conical.

Comparison

The new genus can be compared with the genus Stenocarus C.G. Thomson, 1859 because of the rather thick rostrum and mesoventrite with the rostral channel but differs in the non-raised anterior margin of the pronotum, the pronotum without tubercles on the sides, and the femur without teeth. Its large body size (7.8 mm) makes the new genus the largest known Ceutorhynchitae. Previously, the largest were species of the genus Mogulones Reitter, 1916 with a maximum length (6.4 mm) and Mononychus Germar, 1823 with 6.0 mm (Arnoldi et al., 1965; Gültekin and Colonnelli, 2006). The new genus differs from the genus Mogulones in the non-raised anterior margin of the pronotum, rather thick rostrum, mesoventrite with the rostral channel, and the femur without teeth. Mononychus differs from other Ceutorhynchitae genera in the one tarsal claw (Colonnelli, 2004; Legalov, 2018), but the structure of the claws in the new genus is not known. The new genus is distinguished from this genus in the rather thick rostrum with subapically inserted antennae and mesoventrite with rostral channel. The genus Phrydiuchus Gozis, 1885 also has a rather thick rostrum. The new genus differs from Phrydiuchus in a rostrum narrower than pro femora, non-raised anterior margin of the pronotum, pronotum without lateral tubercles, mesoventrite with rostral chan-
nel and large body size. An interesting character is the non-raised anterior margin of the pronotum, which is present in some genera (*Datonychus* Wagner, 1944, *Tapinotus* Schönherr, 1826) with quite large species. The new genus differs from *Datonychus* in the thicker rostrum, mesoventrite with rostral channel, the pronotum without tubercles, femora without teeth, and larger body size. It differs from *Tapinotus* of the tribe Scleropterini in the thinner rostrum with subapical inserted antennae, wide pronotum, non-elongated elytra, mesoventrite with rostral channel, and femora without teeth.

**Remarks**

The strongly ascending mesepimeron suggests placement in the subfamily Conoderinae. Placement of the fossil genus in the subtribe Ceutorhynchitae is based on the rounded plump body, unarmed tibiae, exposed pygidium, and long ventrites 1 and 2, where ventrite 1 is longer than ventrite 2. The new genus belongs to the tribe Ceutorhynchini based on the long precoxal portion of the prosternum, a distinctly long rostrum, which is narrower than width of the profemur, and metafemora not thicker than other femora.

**Etymology**

The specific epithet is for Martin Rudolph (Westerburg) and his engagement at the Stöffel-Park and Fossillagerstätte Enspel.

**Type material**

Holotype: specimen PE 2013/5017-LS, deposited in the Landessammlung für Naturkunde Rheinland-Pfalz.

**Type locality and stratum**

Enspel/Westerwald, Germany. Late Oligocene Enspel Formation sensu Schäfer et al. (2011).

**Description**

Size: length of body (without rostrum), 7.8 mm; length of rostrum, 2.6 mm. Body black.
Head: rostrum long, 1.2 times as long as pronotum, 8.1 times as long as wide at apex, 7.2 times as long as wide in middle, 5.0 times as long as wide at base, subcylindrical, distinctly curved, densely punctate, not separated from head by transverse impression; antennal scrobes lateral and directed toward ventral margin of eye; forehead flattened, punctured; eyes large, rounded, 1.2 times as long as wide, slightly wider than rostrum base wide; vertex weakly convex, densely punctate; temples 0.5 times as long as eye length; antennae inserted in apical third of rostrum.

Pronotum: bell-shaped; transverse; anterior margin of pronotum not raised; disc weakly convex in basal half, narrowed at apex, densely punctuate, with longitudinal median sulcus; distance between points distinctly less than diameter of points; sides coarsely punctuate, without tubercles; scutellum almost triangular, flattened, punctate.

Elytra: plumb, weakly flattened, 1.8 times as long as pronotum; elytron 2.4 times as long as wide at base, 2.0 times as long as wide in middle, 3.1 times as long as wide at apical fourth; greatest width in humeri, elytral base wider than pronotum base wide; humeri convex; striae regular, deep, narrow; interstriae flattened, wide, 7.0–9.0 times as wide as striae, punctuate; apex of each elytron rounded.

Thorax: coarsely punctured; prosternum with distinct median rostral channel; postocular lobes present; precoxal portion of prosternum elongated, about 2.2 times as long as procoxal length; postcoxal portion of prosternum short; mesoventrite with rostral channel; mesepimeron strongly ascending; metaventrite subequal to metacoxal length; metepisternum wide at base, further narrow, 2.7 times as long as wide at base, 12.5 times as long as wide in middle.

Abdomen: convex ventrally, coarsely punctured, distance between points longer than diameter of points; ventrites 1 and 2 elongated, fused; ventrite 1, 1.3 times as long as metacoxa length; ventrite 2, 0.6 times as long as ventrite 1; ventrites 3 and 4 short; ventrite 4, 0.6 times as long as ventrite 2; ventrite 5, 2.4 times as long as ventrite 4. Pygidium convex, exposed beyond elytra.

Legs: legs long; procoxae subconical; metacoxae transverse; femora weakly clavate, without teeth; profemora 4.7 times as long as wide in middle; wide of profemora 1.5 times as long as wide of rostrum in middle; metafemora not thicker than other femora; tibiae quite wide, almost straight, without
uncus; protibiae 5.7 times as long as wide in middle; metatibiae 5.5 times as long as wide in middle; tarsi long; tarsomeres 1 and 2 conical; mesotarsomere 1, 1.6 times as long as wide; metatarsomere 1, 1.7 times as long as wide at apex.

5 Discussion

The supertribe Ceutorhynchitae is a diverse group of the subfamily Conoderinae (Zherikhin and Egorov, 1991; Oberprieler et al., 2007; Prena et al., 2014; Legalov, 2015, 2018; Alonso-Zarazaga et al., 2017). It is also considered as an independent subfamily (Alonso-Zarazaga and Lyal, 1999; Anderson, 2002; Colonelli, 2004; Hacet and Colonelli, 2019) or part of the subfamily Curculioninae (Marvaldi and Lanteri, 2005). More than 1300 species from 175 genera are known in the recent fauna (Prena et al., 2014). The suprageneric structure is represented by 11 tribes (Colonelli, 2004; Legalov, 2018). The oldest representative of this supertribe is known from the early Eocene of England (CoCKERELL, 1920), but since it is described by the elytron, its systematic position needs to be clarified (Legalov, 2015). The earliest reliable species of the tribe Ceutorhynchini, provisionally placed in the genus Ceutorhynchus Germar, 1823, is described from the early–middle Eocene of Green River Formation (Scudder, 1893; Legalov, 2015). Three species of the genus Ceutorhynchus and Baltocoeliodes sontagae Legalov & Bukejs, 2018 from the tribe Ceutorhynchini are described (Legalov, 2013, 2016, 2020a; Legalov and Bukejs, 2018), and one species of the genus Rhinoncus Schoenherr, 1825 (Phytobiini) is recorded from the middle–upper Eocene Baltic amber (Klebs, 1910). The most ancient Chemogonini and four species of Ceutorhynchini are found in the terminal Eocene of Florissant (Scudder, 1893; WICKHAM, 1916; Legalov, 2015). Five species of Ceutorhynchitae were known from the Oligocene. One species of Phytobiini is described from the middle Oligocene of Germany (THEOBALD, 1937; Legalov, 2015). Three species are known from the lower Oligocene of France and one from the Latest Oligocene of Germany (FOERSTER, 1891; HEYDEN and HEYDEN, 1866). We have studied the descriptions and illustrations (Foerster, 1891) of “Ceutorhynchus” from Brunnstatt. These species are similar to the new species because of the rather thick
rostrum, the anterior margin of pronotum not raised and the
pronotum without tubercles on the sides, and may belong to
the new genus. "C." fischeri Foerster, 1891 differs from the
new species in a small body (2.2 mm), longer rostrum, and
narrow elytra interstriae. "C." crassirosiris Foerster, 1891 is
easily distinguished by its small body size (1.1 mm). “C.”
oblíquus Foerster, 1891 is also smaller (2.3 mm). To clarify
the systematic position of these species, it is necessary to
re-study the types. "C." funeratus Heyden & Heyden, 1866
from Rott is similar to a typical Ceutorhynchus with a thin
rostrum and the raised anterior margin of the pronotum. Only
an elytron of Ceutorhynchitae is known from the Neogene of
France (Piton and Théobald, 1935). This group has not been
found in other Neogene localities (Legalov, 2015) and even
lacks in the Dominican and Mexican Miocene amber with
diverse weevil faunas (Legalov and Poinar, 2016; Poinar et
al., 2016; Legalov, 2019; Legalov et al., 2019). Thus, in the
Oligocene of Europe, representatives of two tribes are found,
which are also recorded in the Eocene.

6 Paleoeocological remarks

Here we report and figure a rare case of direct evidence prov-
ing that weevils of the subfamily Apioninae (Brentidae) were
an important food item of the only fish species recorded from
the paleolake Enspel, the cyprinid Palaeorutilus enspe-
 lensis (Böhme, 1996) Böhme, 2000. This is based on con-
tent preserved in its digestive tract (Fig. 3a–b). Furthermore,
coprolites packed with weevil remains were also recorded
(Fig. 3c). This trophic relationship has been remarked prev-
iously (Böhme and Wedmann, in Böhme, 1996) and is in
line with functional morphological evidence relating to the
form of the jaw bones of this fish, which probably foraged
for insects floating at the water surface (Böhme, 1996). The
relative abundance of weevil remains in those coprolites may
due to the food preference of Palaeorutilus enspele
ensis, to preservational factors relating to their robust exoskele-
ton, or it may as well reflect an extraordinary abundance of
weevils in the Enspel paleoecosystem. The latter explanation
is backed by the finding that the Enspel insect taphochono-
sis in general is numerically strongly dominated by weevils,
bibionid Diptera, and ants (Wedmann, 2000; Wedmann et al.,
2010). It is furthermore possible to infer an aquatic or semi-
aquatic lifestyle for the Apioninae (and perhaps other weevil
taxa) represented in the coprolites. This intriguing hypothesis
cannot, however, be substantiated at present.

Data availability. All material included in the paper is deposited
in the Landessammlung für Naturkunde Rheinland-Pfalz at the
Naturhistorisches Museum Mainz.
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