Dapoxetine, a novel selective serotonin transport inhibitor for the treatment of premature ejaculation
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Abstract: Premature ejaculation (PE) is the most common male sexual disorder, estimated to affect up to 30% of men. Over the past one or two decades, clinical investigators have participated in an increasing number of studies that are helping in our understanding of PE, which will undoubtedly facilitate future treatments. Apart from a number of behavioral approaches, the treatment of PE consists of primarily off-label use of oral selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors (SSRIs) via either on-demand or daily delivery. However, various undesirable side-effects of these medications have led researchers to search for and develop new therapeutic approaches for PE. Dapoxetine is a short-acting SSRI developed specifically for the treatment of PE. Early trials with dapoxetine have documented successful outcomes without serious short- or long-term side-effects. This review addresses the definition, classification, diagnosis, physiology, and neurobiopathology of PE, and evaluates therapeutic strategies with novel treatments for PE.
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Introduction

Premature ejaculation (PE) is the most common male sexual disorder, and is estimated to affect up to 30% of men worldwide (Goldstein 2003). PE, unlike erectile dysfunction (ED), affects men of all ages equally, from 18-year-olds to the elderly. However, both PE and ED coexist, and often PE can masquerade or be misdiagnosed as ED in many men. This is, in part, due to the lack of knowledge about PE, the absence of performing a careful history, and the non-existence of diagnostic tools for PE (Montague et al 2004). Despite its high prevalence and recognized adverse effects on men’s quality of life, only recently has attention been focused on investigating the causes of PE and developing new therapeutic strategies.

Over the past 20–30 years, clinical investigators have participated in a growing number of controlled studies that are developing our basic understanding about PE in ways that will facilitate its future treatment (Waldinger 2002). The emergence of well-conducted clinical trials has created a greater understanding of the prevalence of PE, its etiology and pathophysiology, and, additionally, its impact on patient and partner quality of life. However, there are currently no regulatory or FDA-approved pharmacological therapies for treating PE.

This communication will focus on the prevalence, etiology, pathophysiology, and the current treatment options for PE. More specifically, a novel selective serotonin reuptake inhibitor (SSRI), dapoxetine, will be evaluated for its pharmacokinetics, efficacy, and safety record in light of available preclinical and clinical data.

Definition

Though PE is a non-life-threatening condition, like ED, it has a serious impact on patient and partner quality of life. The lack of agreement on a single precise definition of PE...
has hampered scientific research on the causes and management of PE (McMahon et al 2004). Various definitions of PE have been used by different researchers, and include partner satisfaction, male voluntary control, duration of ejaculatory latency, and number of intravaginal thrusts (Jannini et al 2002). A universally accepted definition of PE has yet to be established. Masters and Johnson proposed one of the earliest definitions of PE, as the inability to delay ejaculation long enough for the woman to achieve orgasm 50% of the time, assuming the PE was the sole cause of the female anorgasmia (Masters and Johnson 1970). Kaplan first suggested that PE was primarily a problem of voluntary control over timing of ejaculation, a concept on which most of the current definitions are based (Kaplan et al 1974). The Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, 4th ed (DSM-IV-TR), defines PE similarly but has an added emphasis on the emotional and interpersonal impact of early ejaculation. The American Urological Association Guideline on Premature Ejaculation defines PE as “…ejaculation that occurs sooner than desired, either before or shortly after penetration, causing distress to either one or both partners” (Montague et al 2004).

In 1994, Waldinger et al (1994) introduced and defined intravaginal ejaculatory latency time (IELT) as an objective measure for ejaculation time. The IELT is defined as the time from vaginal intromission to intravaginal ejaculation. A distinct advantage of using the IELT is its clear starting and end points, which are important for comparative research purposes. An ejaculation that occurs before intromission has an IELT rating of 0. The range of IELT used to identify PE varies in most studies from 1 to 7 minutes, even though no well-controlled studies have been undertaken regarding “normal” ejaculatory latency times in men across the typical life span (Symonds et al 2003). Recently, a large US-European cohort study was conducted to analyze the distribution of IELT in men from different countries (Waldinger et al 2005a). This study demonstrated that the shape of the IELT distribution is positively skewed, with a median IELT of 5.4 minutes (range 0.55–44.1 minutes). By applying the 0.5 and 2.5 percentiles as accepted standards of disease definition in a skewed distribution, it appeared that the 0.5 percentile equated to an IELT of 0.9 minutes and the 2.5 percentile to an IELT of 1.4 minutes. Based on these calculations, Waldinger et al (2005c) proposed a definition of lifelong PE as a neurobiological dysfunction with an unacceptable increase in the risk of developing sexual and psychological problems at any time during life. Moreover, it was proposed that all men with an IELT of less than 1 minute (belonging to the 0.5 percentile) have “definite” PE, while men with IELTs between 1 and 1.5 minutes (between 0.5 and 2.5 percentiles) have “probable” PE. In addition, the severity of PE (non-symptomatic, mild, moderate, and severe) was proposed to be defined in terms of associated psychological problems. The advantage of this definition is the inclusion of both a quantitative biological measure, ie, the IELT, as well as the inclusion of qualitative psychological measures, ie, amount of distress and other psychological parameters, which determine the severity of PE. Most current clinical studies of PE use IELT as their primary quantitative or objective end-point to measure therapeutic efficacy (Rowland et al 2004). However, subjective patient-reported outcome (PRO) measures, such as distress, dissatisfaction with sexual intercourse, relationship difficulties, and perception of ejaculatory control, are also vitally important in assessing the condition, and are recognized as other indicators for therapeutic efficacy and ejaculatory function (Rowland et al 2004).

**Classification of PE**

PE has been classified as either primary (lifelong) that begins when a male first becomes sexually active or secondary (acquired), meaning that a male previously had an acceptable level of ejaculatory control and developed the condition later in life (Williams 1984; Godpodinoff 1989). Primary PE is hypothesized to have a strong biological component with a variable psychological contribution. Although psychological or situational stressors may contribute to secondary PE, certain medical conditions and medications may also be associated. Another classification invokes the terms “global” or “universal” (eg, occurs regardless of situation or partner) or “situational” (eg, limited to certain situations or partner) (McMahon et al 2004). Lifelong (primary) or global PE suggests an organic basis that would be optimally treated by pharmacotherapy (McMahon et al 2004). Even though combined categories of ejaculatory disorders have a reported prevalence at some time in a man’s life of up to 75%, their causes are complex, comprising psychological, physiological, and interpersonal components (Shenassa and Hellstrom 2001). Upon reviewing PE data, lifelong PE is described as a triad of symptoms: ejaculation after few penile thrusts, a short IELT (less than 2 minutes), and occurrence of early ejaculation during (nearly) every coitus (Waldinger and Schweitzer 2005).

**Epidemiology**

Studies indicate that PE is the most common male sexual disorder (Laumann et al 1999; Rowland et al 2004). According to recent studies, 20%–30% of the male population is
affected by PE at any one time, and some researchers believe that up to 3 out of 4 men experience PE sometime during their lives, although the majority never raise the subject with their physician (McMahon 1998). Laumann et al (1999) analyzed NHSLS data that included 1410 men and 1749 women aged 18–59 years, and reported the prevalence of PE as approximately 30%. The Global Study of Sexual Attitudes and Behaviors investigated 13,618 men in 19 countries aged 40–80 years regarding aspects of sex and relationships (Laumann et al 2005). This survey reported that PE occurs in nearly 30% of men worldwide. A web-based study by Rowland et al (2004) that included 2648 male participants reported a prevalence of “possible PE” as 16.2% and “probable PE” as 16.3%. In reviewing the relationship between the prevalence of PE and ethnicity, significant PE was reported by 29% of Hispanics, 21% of non-Hispanic blacks, and 16% of non-Hispanic whites (Carson et al 2003). In their analysis of NHSLS data, Laumann et al (1999) noted that PE was more prevalent amongst black men (34%) and white men (29%) than among Hispanic men (27%).

Rosen et al (2004) conducted a multinational Internet survey among men in Italy, Germany, and the United States. Of 8860 men aged 25–70 years who completed questions 1 through 54 of the survey on general health and sexual attitudes, 26% overall were classified as having PE. Moreover, PE was often associated with ED. Another large survey that included 12,134 men from a number of countries documented that 7.2% of men met criteria for both PE and ED (Shabsigh and Perelman 2005). Overall, 31% of men with PE had ED, whereas 44% of men with ED also reported PE.

**Physiology of ejaculation**

There are three basic mechanisms involved in normal antegrade ejaculation: emission, expulsion, and orgasm, and each of these components possesses separate neural pathways. Ejaculatory dysfunction can result from disruption of any point in this cascade of events. Several recent studies have clarified some aspects of the physiology of ejaculation. Emission, which begins with closure of the bladder neck to prevent retrograde flow of seminal fluid and sperm into the bladder, refers to the deposition of seminal fluid and sperm from the distal epididymis, vas deferens, seminal vesicles, and prostate into the posterior urethra (Witt and Grantmyre 1993).

Expulsion refers to the forcible antegrade propulsion of semen through the urethral meatus, which is caused by contractions of the periurethral and pelvic floor muscles and concurrent relaxation of the external urethral sphincter and urogenital diaphragm. The sympathetic nervous system controls both emission and bladder neck closure, and acts through alpha adrenergic receptors. The neural signals that lead to ejaculation originate fromafferent-sensory stimuli in the glans penis and genitalia. These stimuli are transmitted by means of the pudendal nerve to higher centers in the brain (Witt and Grantmyre 1993).

Orgasm is a totally separate event, a sensory experience with considerable subjective variation; it can occur independently of ejaculation and even erection. After ejaculation, detumescence occurs, followed by a refractory period that lengthens with age and with repeated ejaculations. Researchers have demonstrated that the ejaculatory mechanism consists of two distinct reflexes, the glans-vasal and the urethromuscular, which are responsible for the emission and expulsion phases of ejaculation, respectively (Shafik 1998). This is consistent with the report by Yang and Bradley (1999) of an electrically distinct pathway localized to the dorsal nerve of the penis and running from the anterior urethra to the bulbocavernous muscle; this is distinct from the penile shaft afferent fibers. The cortical representation of the dorsal nerve of the penis now appears both larger and in a different location than was previously conceived (Bradley et al 1998).

In a canine electro-ejaculation study, Shafik et al (1997) proposed that the observed increase in activity of the puborectalis, levator ani, and external anal and urethral sphincter muscles would express the prostatic secretions into the posterior urethra, elevate the prostate, and partially straighten the prostato-membranous urethral kink that occurs during erection and thereby prevent the leakage of feces, flatus, and urine during coitus. These investigators theorized that the rhythmic external urethral sphincter contractions that occur at the time of ejaculation act as a “suction ejection pump”, sucking the genital fluid into the posterior urethra while being relaxed and expulsing it into the bulbous urethra upon contraction.

**Neurobiological implications of ejaculation**

Serotonin is a very important neurotransmitter in the control of the ejaculatory reflex, with secondary involvement of cholinergic, adrenergic, oxytocinergic, and GABAergic neurons. The strongest support for serotonin’s influence on ejaculatory function derives from the observed clinical efficacy of central selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors (SSRIs) in PE (Kim and Seo 1998; Waldinger et al 1998b). Serotonergic neurons originate in the raphe nuclei and adjacent reticular formation of the brainstem (Waldinger 2005). After its
production in the cell body, serotonin passes through the serotonergic neuron to the presynaptic membrane, where it is released into the synapse and acts on the postsynaptic receptors. By the action of serotonin transporters (5-HTT) on the presynaptic membrane, serotonin is returned to the presynaptic neuron. The process of serotonin release and its action on postsynaptic receptors is referred to as serotonergic neurotransmission (Waldinger 2005).

The involvement of central serotonergic neurotransmission in ejaculation has been investigated in a number of animal studies. The drug stimuli of the SSRIs fluoxetine and paroxetine most closely resemble 5-HT2c receptor activation (Berendsen and Broekkamp 1994). The activation of both 5-HT2A and 5-HT2C receptors by 2,5-dimethoxy-4-iodophenyl-2-aminopropane increases ejaculatory latency (Foreman et al. 1989), while the selective 5-HT2A receptor agonist 2,5-dimethoxy-4-methylamphetamine does not exhibit this effect (Ahlenius et al. 1981). SSRIs block the 5-HT transporters, both in the presynaptic membrane and the cell-body. As a consequence, serotonin levels increase outside the cell-body and in the synapses (Waldinger 2005). Due to increased serotonin levels by SSRIs, 5-HT1A autoreceptors at the surface of the cell-body and 5-HT1B autoreceptors in the presynaptic membrane become activated (Waldinger et al. 1998a, 2005b). The initial activation of both somatodendritic 5-HT1A autoreceptors and the presynaptic 5-HT1B autoreceptors results in an inhibition of 5-HT release into the synaptic cleft. Consequently, after acute SSRI administration, serotonin concentrations in the synapse generally diminish, but may be active due to the blockade of the 5-HT transporters causing some slight stimulation of all postsynaptic 5-HT receptors (Waldinger et al. 1998a, 2005b). However, after chronic SSRI administration, the 5-HT1A and 5-HT1B autoreceptors become desensitized, resulting in a diminished inhibitory action of these receptors to 5-HT release. Consequently, serotonin is again released into the synapse.

Male rat studies have demonstrated that the neurotransmitter serotonin and two 5-HT receptors are involved in the ejaculatory process (Ahlenius et al. 1981; Foreman et al. 1989). Activation of 5-HT2c receptors delays ejaculation, whereas activation of 5-HT1A receptors accelerates ejaculatory latency (Ahlenius et al. 1981). Based on these animal studies, Waldinger et al. (1998a) hypothesized that lifelong PE is a neurobiological phenomenon related to decreased central serotonergic neurotransmission, caused by a disruption in the functional balance of 5-HT receptor subtypes: increased sensitivity of 5-HT1A receptors with decreased sensitivity of 5-HT2C receptors. Hence, they suggest that treatment for PE should aim at 5-HT2C receptor stimulation and/or 5-HT1A receptor inhibition (Waldinger et al. 2005b).

**Animal studies for the treatment of PE**

Development of new serotonergic drugs seems inevitable in light of the high incidence and the need for effective treatment of premature ejaculation. Thus, the development of an in vivo experimental model for objectively assessing the efficacy of these new drugs is mandatory. Hsieh et al (1998) previously established a rat model involving electrical stimulation of the lesser splanchnic nerve to induce changes in the intraluminal pressure of the seminal vesicle. These investigators evaluated the impact of various agents, such as prazosin, 5-hydroxytryptamine, clomipramine, fluoxetine, imipramine, and indatraline, on seminal vesicle pressure induced by electrical stimulation. They documented concentration-dependent effects with prazosin and all serotonergic agents, but not with imipramine or indatraline. Fluoxetine was reported to have the highest efficacy. On the other hand, Kim et al (2000) studied the effects of serotonergic drugs including clomipramine, sertraline, paroxetine, and fluoxetine on the vesical pressure induced by electrical stimulation of the hypogastric nerve (Kim et al 2000). All these serotonergic drugs were documented to cause concentration-dependent inhibition of intraluminal pressure elevation in the vas deferens. These investigators reported clomipramine as having the strongest inhibitory effect followed by sertraline, paroxetine, and fluoxetine (Kim et al 2000).

Recently, Kim et al (2004) established an in vivo experimental model in which the intraluminal pressure responses of both the seminal vesicle and vas deferens could be measured simultaneously in a single animal. They stimulated the hypogastric nerve as it was more specific in action or distribution than the lesser splanchnic nerve in inducing contraction of the seminal tract by electrical stimulation. The basic hypothesis of this study was that drugs for the treatment of PE produce their effects by inhibiting intraluminal pressure elevations of the seminal tract. All serotonergic agents tested significantly inhibited the increase in seminal vesicle pressure induced by hypogastric nerve stimulation. All serotonergic drugs were documented to exhibit significant inhibitory effects on the contractile responses of the seminal vesicle, whereas minimal (ser-
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Traline) to no effects (fluoxetine and paroxetine) were observed in the vasal pressure responses. As 50%–70% of the ejaculatory volume is produced by the seminal vesicle, this organ is a greater contributor than the vas deferens in ejaculatory volume (Coffey 1988). Thus, the reasoning is that an inhibitory effect on seminal vesicle pressure is more important than the effect on vasal pressure.

In the human, electrical stimulation of either the presacral nerve (superior hypogastric ganglion) or hypogastric nerve causes contraction of the bladder neck, seminal vesicles, vas deferens, and ejaculatory ducts (Kim et al 2004). The mild delaying effect in humans of fluvoxamine on ejaculation relative to paroxetine has been demonstrated in a placebo-controlled male rat study using a chronic administration treatment model (Waldinger et al 1998b, 2002). However, this difference in the effects between these two agents has not been observed in the acute treatment model (Mos et al 1999).

**Treatment**

**Psychological/behavioral therapy**

Behavioral therapy was first advocated by Semans in 1956 with the “squeeze technique” and later popularized in the 1970s by Masters and Johnson. This technique involves withdrawal of the penis during intercourse prior to the moment of ejaculatory inevitability, and the partner is asked to apply a sharp squeeze to the glans to abort ejaculation.

The stop-start technique has since been popularized by Kaplan in 1983. In this technique the man is asked to pause during sexual stimulation, just prior to impending ejaculation. This pause allows the patient to acclimatize to the sensation and to condition himself to increased ejaculatory control. This technique helps the patient to heighten his awareness of sexual sensations. Unfortunately, many others have found that any initial success achieved by behavioral therapy was not maintained at 3-year follow-up (Sharlip 2005). Non-pharmacological treatment options for PE are provided in Figure 1.

**Topical anesthetics**

A number of creams and gels are available that desensitize the penis and may in effect delay orgasm in men with PE. After a man applies one of these agents to his penis, he proceeds to sexual activity with or without use of a condom. If he removes the condom, he should wash the penis to remove any remaining compound, thus reducing or avoiding the chances of desensitizing or numbing his partner’s genitalia.

The use of topical anesthetic ointments is probably the oldest treatment for delaying ejaculation, but only a few controlled studies gave been reported. In one study, the results

![Figure 1: Non-pharmacological treatment options for PE. Adapted from Shenassa and Hellstrom (2001).](image-url)
of lidocaine-prilocaine cream 10 minutes before intercourse were described (Berkovitch et al 1995). Also, an aerosol formulation of lidocaine-prilocaine is effective in prolonging IELT and in improving sexual satisfaction in men with PE and their partners. The main drawback of topical anesthetics is their potential to cause a reduction in penile sensation and vaginal numbness in his partner.

In Korea, positive results were reported with SS-cream, a regionally manufactured agent consisting of the extracts of nine natural herbal products proposed to decrease penile hypersensitivity and or hyperexcitability (Xin et al 1997; Choi et al 2000). These investigators examined the efficacy of SS-cream in a double blind, randomized, placebo-controlled study in 106 men with lifelong PE. During the screening phase of the study, the men’s mean IELT was $1.37 \pm 0.12$ minutes and both the men and their partners reported being dissatisfied with their sexual lives (Choi et al 2000). During the treatment phase, which consisted of 6 separate applications (one of which was a placebo), the men applied the cream to the glans penis 1 hour before intercourse. After treatment the men’s mean IELT increased to $2.45 \pm 0.29$ minutes with placebo and to $10.92 \pm 0.29$ minutes with use of SS-cream. Side-effects included local burning and mild pain, which was reported in 18.49% of the 530 active treatment applications, but these symptoms disappeared in less than 1 hour. No adverse effects on sexual function or systemic side-effects were noted.

**SSRIs**

SSRIs are widely used pharmacotherapeutic agents. The potential of antidepressants to treat PE was first introduced by Ahlenius et al (1981). This group showed that the tricyclic antidepressant clomipramine prolonged ejaculatory latency in rats by blocking central serotonin reuptake. However, as postsynaptic 5-HT$_1$C receptors are minimally stimulated after in rats by blocking central serotonin reuptake. However, as antidepressant clomipramine prolonged ejaculatory latency by Ahlenius et al (1981). This group showed that the tricyclic function or systemic side-effects were noted. disappeared in less than 1 hour. No adverse effects on sexual of the 530 active treatment applications, but these symptoms increased to 2.45 ± 0.29 minutes with placebo and to 10.92 ± 0.29 minutes with use of SS-cream. Side-effects included local burning and mild pain, which was reported in 18.49% of the 530 active treatment applications, but these symptoms disappeared in less than 1 hour. No adverse effects on sexual function or systemic side-effects were noted.

In Korea, positive results were reported with SS-cream, a regionally manufactured agent consisting of the extracts of nine natural herbal products proposed to decrease penile hypersensitivity and or hyperexcitability (Xin et al 1997; Choi et al 2000). These investigators examined the efficacy of SS-cream in a double blind, randomized, placebo-controlled study in 106 men with lifelong PE. During the screening phase of the study, the men’s mean IELT was $1.37 \pm 0.12$ minutes and both the men and their partners reported being dissatisfied with their sexual lives (Choi et al 2000). During the treatment phase, which consisted of 6 separate applications (one of which was a placebo), the men applied the cream to the glans penis 1 hour before intercourse. After treatment the men’s mean IELT increased to $2.45 \pm 0.29$ minutes with placebo and to $10.92 \pm 0.29$ minutes with use of SS-cream. Side-effects included local burning and mild pain, which was reported in 18.49% of the 530 active treatment applications, but these symptoms disappeared in less than 1 hour. No adverse effects on sexual function or systemic side-effects were noted.

In Korea, positive results were reported with SS-cream, a regionally manufactured agent consisting of the extracts of nine natural herbal products proposed to decrease penile hypersensitivity and or hyperexcitability (Xin et al 1997; Choi et al 2000). These investigators examined the efficacy of SS-cream in a double blind, randomized, placebo-controlled study in 106 men with lifelong PE. During the screening phase of the study, the men’s mean IELT was $1.37 \pm 0.12$ minutes and both the men and their partners reported being dissatisfied with their sexual lives (Choi et al 2000). During the treatment phase, which consisted of 6 separate applications (one of which was a placebo), the men applied the cream to the glans penis 1 hour before intercourse. After treatment the men’s mean IELT increased to $2.45 \pm 0.29$ minutes with placebo and to $10.92 \pm 0.29$ minutes with use of SS-cream. Side-effects included local burning and mild pain, which was reported in 18.49% of the 530 active treatment applications, but these symptoms disappeared in less than 1 hour. No adverse effects on sexual function or systemic side-effects were noted.

In Korea, positive results were reported with SS-cream, a regionally manufactured agent consisting of the extracts of nine natural herbal products proposed to decrease penile hypersensitivity and or hyperexcitability (Xin et al 1997; Choi et al 2000). These investigators examined the efficacy of SS-cream in a double blind, randomized, placebo-controlled study in 106 men with lifelong PE. During the screening phase of the study, the men’s mean IELT was $1.37 \pm 0.12$ minutes and both the men and their partners reported being dissatisfied with their sexual lives (Choi et al 2000). During the treatment phase, which consisted of 6 separate applications (one of which was a placebo), the men applied the cream to the glans penis 1 hour before intercourse. After treatment the men’s mean IELT increased to $2.45 \pm 0.29$ minutes with placebo and to $10.92 \pm 0.29$ minutes with use of SS-cream. Side-effects included local burning and mild pain, which was reported in 18.49% of the 530 active treatment applications, but these symptoms disappeared in less than 1 hour. No adverse effects on sexual function or systemic side-effects were noted.

In Korea, positive results were reported with SS-cream, a regionally manufactured agent consisting of the extracts of nine natural herbal products proposed to decrease penile hypersensitivity and or hyperexcitability (Xin et al 1997; Choi et al 2000). These investigators examined the efficacy of SS-cream in a double blind, randomized, placebo-controlled study in 106 men with lifelong PE. During the screening phase of the study, the men’s mean IELT was $1.37 \pm 0.12$ minutes and both the men and their partners reported being dissatisfied with their sexual lives (Choi et al 2000). During the treatment phase, which consisted of 6 separate applications (one of which was a placebo), the men applied the cream to the glans penis 1 hour before intercourse. After treatment the men’s mean IELT increased to $2.45 \pm 0.29$ minutes with placebo and to $10.92 \pm 0.29$ minutes with use of SS-cream. Side-effects included local burning and mild pain, which was reported in 18.49% of the 530 active treatment applications, but these symptoms disappeared in less than 1 hour. No adverse effects on sexual function or systemic side-effects were noted.
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Management of PE with dapoxetine receptor antagonist, or another serotonergic intervention that acutely stimulates serotonergic release.

For these reasons, daily administration of the SSRIs seems the likely choice for the treatment of PE. After a few weeks, as the 5-HT$_{1A}$ and 5-HT$_{1B}$ autoreceptors become desensitized, serotonin is increased in the synapse region (Waldinger 2005). With SSRI-induced blockage of the 5-HT transporters, serotonin cannot return to the presynaptic neuron, and as a consequence, serotonin levels in the synapse rise. It is the activity of the postsynaptic receptors that ultimately determines the clinical effects of daily administration of SSRIs (Waldinger 2005).

Studies on the use of various SSRIs are summarized in Table 1.

Type 5 phosphodiesterase (PDE-5) inhibitors

Recently several studies have suggested that sildenafil may be beneficial in the treatment of PE, either as a single agent or in combination with SSRIs. In the study by Abdel-Hamid et al (2001), 31 patients with lifelong PE underwent treatments with clomipramine, sertraline, and paroxetine, the squeeze technique, or sildenafil in a randomized crossover design. Sildenafil was administered as needed 3–5 hours before planned sexual intercourse. The authors reported that sildenafil was superior to all of the SSRIs and the pause-squeeze technique, or sildenafil in a randomized crossover design. Sildenafil was administered as needed 3–5 hours before planned sexual intercourse. The authors reported that sildenafil was superior to all of the SSRIs and the pause-squeeze technique in terms of IELT and sexual satisfaction score. In another study, Chen et al (2001) investigated the efficacy of sildenafil in the treatment of severe PE in 58 men who failed other treatment modalities, such as behavioral therapy, topical lidocaine, tricyclic antidepressants, and SSRIs. Sildenafil was taken 1 hour before sexual activity in escalating dosing from 25 to 100 mg until satisfactory ejaculation was attained. The authors concluded that sildenafil was useful in the treatment of PE for patients who have failed other remedies. In an extension to the previous study, Chen et al (2003) reported that sildenafil plus paroxetine had a higher success rate (90%) than paroxetine alone in patients with severe PE. The therapeutic protocol involved taking paroxetine 20 mg 7 hours before sexual intercourse and sildenafil 25–100 mg 1 hour before sexual activity.

Recently, in a well-designed study, Salonia et al (2002) compared paroxetine alone with paroxetine and sildenafil as an oral therapy for men reporting PE. In the combination group, paroxetine was given as 10 mg once daily for 20 days, followed by 20 mg as needed and sildenafil 50 mg 1 hour before sexual activity. This study demonstrated that the combination of paroxetine and sildenafil obtained better results in regards to IELT and intercourse satisfaction than paroxetine alone. The combination treatment was associated with a mild increase in drug-related adverse events, such as headache (20%), nausea (15%), and flushing (15%). Despite the higher incidence of adverse effects associated with the combined therapy, most patients (90%) were willing to continue this treatment on an as-needed basis.

Another study that included 38 patients with secondary PE who were treated with sildenafil 50–100 mg alone found significant improvement in sexual satisfaction score and prolongation of mean IELT in 95% of participants (Lobik et al 2003). The most recent combination study compared

| Antidepressant* | Recommended dose | IELT increase from baseline (≈1 minutes) | Side-effects | % side-effects |
|-----------------|------------------|------------------------------------------|--------------|---------------|
| Clomipramine (Anafranil®) | 25–50 mg/d or 25 mg 4–24 hours pre-intercourse | 3–6 minutes | Dry mouth, drowsiness, reduced potency, nausea, vomiting, other | 60% |
| Fluoxetine (Prozac®, Sarafem®) | 5–20 mg/d | 2–9 minutes | Drowsiness, dry mouth, anejaculation, reduced potency, nausea | 35% |
| Paroxetine (Paxil®) | 10–40 mg/d or 20 mg 3–4 hours pre-intercourse | 3–10 minutes | Anejaculation, nausea, reduced libido, anorexia, drowsiness, sensory confusion | 15–65% |
| Sertraline (Zoloft®) | 25–200 mg/d or 50 mg 4–8 hours pre-intercourse | 3–6 minutes | Drowsiness, dry mouth, anejaculation, reduced potency, nausea | 30% |

*The compounds are ordered alphabetically.

**Abbreviations:** IELT, intravaginal ejaculatory latency time; PE, premature ejaculation.
sildenafil 25–100 mg plus sertraline 50 mg (48 patients) versus sertraline 50 mg alone (51 patients) and sildenafil 50 mg alone (30 patients) in 3 different groups of PE patients (Lazona and Castane 2003). The highest success rate was observed in the group receiving sertraline plus sildenafil (62.5%) followed by those receiving sertraline alone (56.8%), and lastly those who received sildenafil alone (40%).

There are several possible mechanisms that can explain the efficacy of sildenafil in the treatment of PE. Sildenafil may inhibit the contractile responses of the seminal vesicles, vas deferens, prostate, urethra, and even the skeletal muscles. Expression of PDE activity has been reported in the prostate, seminal vesicle, and skeletal muscles (Djoseland et al 1980; Stacey et al 1998; Yanaka et al 1998; Uckert et al 2001). PDE expression in vas deferens has not been studied yet; however, nitrergic innervation and nitric oxide synthase (NOS) activity have been localized to the human vas deferens, seminal vesicle, prostate, urethra, and skeletal muscles (Dixon and Jen 1995; Jen and Dixon 1995; Hedlund et al 1997; Jen et al 1997). In addition, evidence suggests that nitric oxide (NO) is the predominant inhibitory (relaxatory) neurotransmitter in the genitourinary organs (Naseem et al 2000; Andersson 2001). In male rats, NO and NO-donor agents were reported to inhibit seminal emission (Hull et al 1994). Furthermore, NO inhibitors increase the number of ex-copula seminal emissions and decrease the latency to seminal emission in the rat (Bialy et al 1996).

In animal studies, the cyclic nucleotides cyclic adenosine monophosphate (cAMP) and/or cyclic guanosine monophosphate (cGMP) relax the smooth muscle of the rat and guinea pig vas deferens (Schultz et al 1977; Stjarne et al 1979; Kato et al 2000). Sildenafil has been shown to cause potent and selective potentiation of nitrergic transmission in the urogenital muscles in male mice (Frith and Gibson 2000). As human seminal vesicle smooth muscle relaxation and modulation of ejaculation were reported to be regulated, in part, by increases of intracellular cGMP (Stjarne et al 1979). It is interesting to speculate on PDE-5 inhibitor action in this part of the body.

PDE-5 inhibitors are also postulated to inhibit adrenergic transmission in accessory sex organs (Takeda et al 1995). In in vitro studies, sildenafil inhibits adrenergic neurotransmission in the human vas deferens (Medina et al 2000). Furthermore, NO-donor agents diminish the adrenergic response in human seminal vesicles in vitro (Machtens et al 2003). Recently, sildenafil has been documented to exhibit a direct inhibitory action on smooth muscles tone of the human vas deferens, through activation of prejunctional K⁺ channels (Medina et al 2000).

Sildenafil has also been shown to induce a state of peripheral analgesia via activation of the NO/cGMP signaling pathway in animals (Jain et al 2001). This effect could be instrumental in alleviating the penile hypersensitivity that is reported in some patients with PE and may in turn mimic the success of topical anesthetics in the treatment of some PE patients (Berkovitch et al 1995).

Sildenafil has been reported to cause a clinically significant improvement in the total duration of erections during visual sexual stimulation when evaluated with sexual function questionnaires and Rigiscan devices (Boolell et al 1996; Sadovsky et al 2001). Because the ejaculatory latency time may be dependent on the duration of erection, any increase in the duration of erection may in turn prolong the ejaculatory latency time (Kameya et al 1997). In this regard, it is recognized that sildenafil may conceivably increase the ejaculatory latency time and contribute to prolongation of ejaculation.

Other PDE-5 inhibitors (tadalafil and vardenafil) have been evaluated for treatment of PE. Mattos and Lucon (2005) evaluated tadalafil alone and in combination with fluoxetine in 84 PE patients without ED. The patients were randomly assigned in a double-blind manner into 4 groups: (1) tadalafil 20 mg and fluoxetine 90 mg, (2) tadalafil 20 mg and placebo, (3) fluoxetine 90 mg and placebo, and (4) two different placebo capsules. Fluoxetine 90 mg or placebo was given once a week, and tadalafil 20 mg or placebo was given in a 36-hour frame of intended sexual intercourse. Mean baseline IELT was 55 seconds with stopwatch, and was not different between groups. The greatest increase from baseline IELT was observed in patients in the tadalafil plus fluoxetine group, followed by the fluoxetine only group, and the tadalafil-only group, respectively. This study demonstrates that tadalafil 20 mg once in 36 hours in combination with fluoxetine 90 in a slow-release form taken weekly can significantly increase IELT.

Sommer et al (2005) compared vardenafil 10 mg (30 minutes pre-intercourse) and sertraline 50 mg (4 hours pre-intercourse) in a prospective, randomized, cross-over study. Ejaculation was assessed with IELT using a stopwatch and graded on a scale of 0 to 8 (0 = almost never, 8 = almost always). The degree of improvement in ejaculation grade was 2.9 with vardenafil and 1.86 with sertraline, and the increases in IELT were 5.23 in vardenafil-treated patients and 2.87 in sertraline-treated patients. This study suggests that there is a potential for vardenafil in the treatment of PE.
Dapoxetine

Although SSRIs are intended for chronic use in the treatment of depression and are designed to have pharmacokinetic profiles that would allow them to provide constant systemic concentration with long-term administration, they may need days to weeks to reach a maximum steady-state concentration in order to exhibit efficacy (Hiemke and Hartter 2000). Therefore, SSRIs are commonly used in a daily dosing schedule for the treatment of PE (Montague et al 2004). In addition to the potentially desirable side-effect of delaying ejaculation, this dosing regimen for long-acting SSRIs is associated with a number of undesirable side-effects, such as decreased libido and ED (Montague et al 2004). With the success of daily SSRI use in delaying ejaculation, it has been suggested that on-demand treatment with SSRIs possessing a short half-life and short $T_{\text{max}}$ would be equally effective, more convenient, and exhibit fewer serotonergic side-effects than observed with daily treatment (Waldinger 2005). Therefore, an ideal compound for the treatment of PE should exhibit pharmacokinetic profiles having rapid absorption, adequate availability to establish therapeutic exposure at the target site, and rapid elimination to reduce total drug exposure and minimize the incidence of side-effects (Modi et al 2006).

Dapoxetine, $\text{((+)-(S)-N,N-dimethyl-(a)-[2-(1-naphthalenyloxy)ethyl]-benzenemethanamine hydrochloride)}$, is a novel potent serotonin transport inhibitor with a unique pharmacokinetic profile, and is currently in development for use in the treatment of PE (Dresser et al 2004; Gengo et al 2005). Dapoxetine hydrochloride is a water-soluble powder with a molecular weight of 341.88 and has a pKa of 8.6 and is mainly charged at a physiological pH. These pharmacological characteristics allow for rapid distribution in the body and are different from the pharmacokinetics observed in conventional SSRIs. Dapoxetine is a fast-acting compound that attains its peak plasma concentration in about 1.5 hours after dosing, which is much faster than fluoxetine, paroxetine, or sertraline (Strassberg et al 1999, 2005; Eli Lilly 2005; GlaxoSmithKline 2005; Pfizer 2005). After oral administration, dapoxetine is readily absorbed, followed by a rapid decline in plasma concentrations. These pharmacokinetic properties make dapoxetine an excellent candidate for on-demand dosing, which avoids the potential disadvantages of continuous pharmacotherapy. The pharmacokinetic characteristics of dapoxetine compared with paroxetine and sertraline are provided in Table 2.

Although the pharmacological action of dapoxetine is similar to that of clomipramine and conventional SSRIs, the chemical structure and pharmacokinetic profile of dapoxetine differentiates it from other SSRIs. Dapoxetine is not a halogenated compound, whereas others contain one or more halogen atoms. The molecular structure of dapoxetine also includes a naphthyl moiety and it is possible that these features underpin the physicochemical and pharmacokinetic properties of the molecule.

Dapoxetine is a potent inhibitor of the 5-HT reuptake transporter. Studies conducted in cells expressing the human transcript of the 5-HT, norepinephrine, and dopamine reuptake reporters to determine the inhibition constant ($K_i$) and the activity of dapoxetine ($IC_{50}$) values showed that dapoxetine competed in a concentration-dependent manner for specific $[^3H]citalopram$ binding sites on the 5-HT reuptake transporter ($K_i = 9.5$), specific $[^3H]nisoxetine$ binding sites on the norepinephrine reuptake transporter ($K_i = 6.6$), and specific $[^3H]WIN35428$ binding sites on the dopamine reuptake transporter ($K_i = 5.8$) (Gengo et al 2005). Additionally, dapoxetine inhibited the uptake of $[^3H]5$-HT by the 5-HT reuptake transporter with a value of 1.12 nM, whereas the uptake of $[^3H]norepinephrine$ into cells utilizing the norepinephrine reuptake transporter and uptake of $[^3H]dopamine$ by the dopamine reuptake transporter were inhibited by dapoxetine with IC50 values of 202 nM and 1720 nM, respectively. In conclusion, dapoxetine binds to 5-HT, norepinephrine, and dopamine reuptake transporters and inhibits 5-HT, norepinephrine, and dopamine uptake with an order of potency: 5-HT > norepinephrine >> dopamine.

A double-blind, randomized, placebo-controlled, crossover, 3-period phase II US study of dapoxetine for the treatment of PE, comparing the safety and efficacy of on-demand dapoxetine 60 mg versus 100 mg versus placebo was presented (Hellstrom 2004). Each of the three 2-week treatment periods was separated by a 72-hour washout period. The patients enrolled in the study were all heterosexual.

Table 2 Pharmacokinetic characteristics of dapoxetine compared with paroxetine and sertraline

| Dose (mg) | Dapoxetine | Paroxetine | Sertraline |
|----------|------------|------------|-----------|
| 60       | 386.0      | 19.0       | 22.7      |
| 40       | 1.5        | 6.83       | 6.4       |
| 100      | 1.03       | 3.93       | 3.57      |
| Cmax (ng/mL) | 21.9       | 46.7       | 39.5      |
| C24 (% of peak) | 3.67       | 45.1       | 47.8      |
| Accumulation | 1.3        | 2.45       | 2.68      |

| $T_{\text{max}}$ (h) | $T_{1/2a}$ (h) | $T_{1/2b}$ (h) | $\text{Accumulation}$ |
|----------------------|--------------|--------------|-----------------------|
| 1.03                 | 1.03         | 21.9         | 1.3                   |
| 6.83                 | 46.7         | 47.8         | 2.45                  |
| 3.57                 | 39.5         | 47.8         | 2.68                  |

Derived from Gengo et al (2005), Hellstrom (2004), Hellstrom et al (2005), Anderson et al (2006).
men aged 18–65 years who had been involved in a stable, monogamous relationship for at least 6 months and whose baseline IELT was under 2 minutes, as measured by the partner using a stopwatch. The primary endpoint was IELT, as well as patients’ satisfaction control over ejaculation. A total of 130 men with PE completed the study. The mean baseline IELT increased from 1.01 minutes to 2.94 minutes with 60 mg dapoxetine, 3.20 minutes with 100 mg dapoxetine, and 2.05 minutes with placebo (p < 0.0001 vs placebo). Patients who received the 60-mg dose of dapoxetine reported significant increases in their control over ejaculation from baseline (p < 0.0001) and significant benefits in their sexual satisfaction (with their sexual intercourse episodes). Nausea, the most common reported adverse effect, occurred in 5.6% of patients who received 60 mg, 16.1% of those who received 100 mg, and 0.7% of those who received placebo (Table 3) (Hellstrom 2004). Of the 10 patients who discontinued the study because of side-effects, 9 were receiving the 100-mg dose of dapoxetine and 1 patient was receiving placebo.

Another two phase II clinical trials investigated the efficacy and tolerability of 20, 40, 60, and 100 mg dapoxetine in order to determine the appropriate on-demand doses of dapoxetine for further study in large-scale phase III clinical trials (Hellstrom et al 2005). Both double-blind, multi-center, randomized, placebo-controlled, 3-period cross-over phase II studies enrolled men with PE, based on DSM-IV-TR criteria and IELT. Subjects were instructed to take study drug prior to the anticipated sexual intercourse (1–3 hours prior in study 1, and 1–2 hours prior in study 2) and to attempt sexual intercourse ≥ twice weekly. The primary endpoint was IELT measured with a stopwatch held by the female partner. In study 1, 128 of 157 patients (20 mg and 40 mg dapoxetine), and in study 2, 130 of 166 (60 mg and 100 mg dapoxetine) randomized subjects completed the trials. All four doses of dapoxetine documented statistically significant improvements in IELT over placebo (Table 4).

In a recent study by Pryor et al (2005), the efficacy and tolerability of dapoxetine in the treatment of PE were evaluated. Men with PE (n = 2614) as defined by DSM-IV-TR criteria were enrolled in 2 randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled, multi-center, phase III clinical trials of identical design, and each had a 2-week baseline period and 12-week treatment period. At the end of the baseline period, subjects with mean IELT ≥ 2 minutes were randomized 1:1:1 to placebo, dapoxetine 30 mg, or 60 mg, and instructed to take the study drug 1–3 hours prior to anticipated sexual intercourse. The primary efficacy endpoint was IELT adjusted for baseline, as measured by a stopwatch held by the female partner. Secondary endpoints were subjective perception of Control Over Ejaculation and Satisfaction With Sexual Intercourse, and were assessed at baseline, 4, 8, and 12 weeks, and rated on 5-point scales of between 0 (very poor) and 4 (very good). Preliminary results of this study demonstrated that there were significant differences between placebo and each active treatment group in mean IELT, Control Over Ejaculation, and Satisfaction With Sexual Intercourse (p < 0.0001). Changes from baseline to study end point for mean IELT were 0.90–1.75 min (placebo), 0.92–2.78 minutes (30 mg dapoxetine), and 0.91–3.32 minutes (60 mg dapoxetine). Both dapoxetine 30 mg and 60 were found to be more effective than placebo in increasing IELT on first dose. Treatment-related side-effects (incidence ≥ 5%) with both 30 mg and 60 mg dapoxetine were nausea (8.7% and 20.1%), headache (5.9% and 6.8%), diarrhea (6.8% with 60 mg), and dizziness (6.2% with 60 mg). Discontinuation rates due to adverse effect were reported as 0.0%, 4%, and 10% for placebo, 30 mg, and 60 mg doses of dapoxetine, respectively. The authors concluded that dapoxetine 30 mg or 60 mg is effective for the on-demand treatment of PE, with increased IELT, improved Control Over Ejaculation, and increased Satisfaction With Sexual Intercourse.

The use of ethanol and PDE-5 inhibitors with sexual activity is recognized. The interactions of dapoxetine with PDE-5 inhibitors and ethanol have also been investigated. The effects of PDE-5 inhibitors on the pharmacokinetics of dapoxetine were studied in a randomized, open-label, open-blind, double-dummy design study.

### Table 3 Adverse events observed with dapoxetine (60 mg and 100 mg) and placebo

| Adverse effects          | Placebo (n = 140) | Dapoxetine 60 mg (n = 139) | Dapoxetine 100 mg (n = 145) |
|--------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Total # of pts ≥ AE     | 21 (15.0%)        | 41 (29.5%)                  | 57 (39.3%)                  |
| Nausea                   | 1 (0.7%)          | 8 (5.8%)                    | 24 (16.6%)                  |
| Headache                 | 0                 | 6 (4.3%)                    | 8 (5.5%)                    |
| Upper respiratory tract infection | 1 (0.7%) | 3 (2.2%)                    | 2 (1.4%)                    |
| Diarrhea                 | 1 (0.7%)          | 7 (5.0%)                    | 10 (6.9%)                   |
| Dizziness                | 0                 | 3 (2.2%)                    | 2 (1.4%)                    |
| Rhinitis                 | 1 (0.7%)          | 6 (4.3%)                    | 1 (0.7%)                    |
| ED                       | 0                 | 0                           | 2 (1.4%)                    |
| Somnolence               | 1 (0.7%)          | 4 (2.9%)                    | 2 (1.4%)                    |
| Insomnia                 | 1 (0.7%)          | 5 (3.6%)                    | 9 (6.2%)                    |
| Dyspepsia                | 0                 | 4 (2.9%)                    | 3 (2.1%)                    |
| Nervousness              | 0                 | 5 (3.6%)                    | 5 (3.4%)                    |
| Dropouts                 | 1                 | 0                           | 9                           |

Derived from Hellstrom (2004), Hellstrom et al (2005).

**Abbreviations:** AE, adverse events; ED, erectile dysfunction.
Changes in dapoxetine pharmacokinetics. The results of this study demonstrated that co-administration tests, and blood samples were collected predose and at specified times after dosing for measurement of dapoxetine and its metabolites and tadalafl and sildenafil plasma concentrations. This study demonstrated that sildenafil and tadalafl did not have a clinically significant effect on the pharmacokinetics of dapoxetine. In the other study that was designed as double-blind, randomized, and 4-period crossover in healthy male adult subjects, the effect of ethanol on the pharmacokinetics of dapoxetine was investigated (Modi et al 2005). Each separate treatment period of a single dose of dapoxetine 60 mg and a single dose of 0.5 g/kg ethanol, dapoxetine 60 mg and ginger ale (placebo for ethanol), placebo (for dapoxetine) and 0.5 g/kg ethanol, and placebo for both drugs was administered with a 5- to 21-day washout period. Safety was assessed by adverse event reporting throughout the study and by laboratory tests, and blood samples were collected predose and at specified times after for measurement of plasma concentrations of dapoxetine and its metabolites and ethanol analysis. The results of this study demonstrated that co-administration of ethanol with dapoxetine did not produce significant changes in dapoxetine pharmacokinetics.

**Conclusions**

Neurobiological studies in animals and humans have provided better understanding of the physiology of ejaculation and pathophysiology of PE. The nature of PE is such that patients should not have to chronically take potent psychoactive drugs, with long-lasting actions and debilitating side-effects. Dapoxetine is a selective serotonin transport inhibitor with unique pharmacokinetic and pharmocodynamic properties that account for its clinical effects. Future studies may demonstrate whether dapoxetine could possess many of the attributes of an ideal compound for the treatment of PE.
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