Research article

Methotrexate enhances the anti-inflammatory effect of CF101 via up-regulation of the A3 adenosine receptor expression
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Abstract

Methotrexate (MTX) exerts an anti-inflammatory effect via its metabolite adenosine, which activates adenosine receptors. The A3 adenosine receptor (A3AR) was found to be highly expressed in inflammatory tissues and peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs) of rats with adjuvant-induced arthritis (AIA). CF101 (IB-MECA), an A3AR agonist, was previously found to inhibit the clinical and pathological manifestations of AIA. The aim of the present study was to examine the effect of MTX on A3AR expression level and the efficacy of combined treatment with CF101 and MTX in AIA rats. A3AR mRNA, protein expression and exhibition were tested in paw and PBMC extracts from AIA rats utilizing immunohistochemistry staining, RT-PCR and Western blot analysis. A3AR level was tested in PBMC extracts from patients chronically treated with MTX and healthy individuals. The effect of CF101, MTX and combined treatment on A3AR expression level was also tested in PHA-stimulated PBMCs from healthy individuals and from MTX-treated patients with rheumatoid arthritis (RA). Combined treatment with CF101 and MTX resulted in an additive anti-inflammatory effect in AIA rats. MTX induced A2AAR and A3AR over-expression in paw cells from treated animals. Moreover, increased A3AR expression level was detected in PBMCs from MTX-treated RA patients compared with cells from healthy individuals. MTX also increased the protein expression level of PHA-stimulated PBMCs from healthy individuals. The increase in A3AR level was counteracted in vitro by adenosine deaminase and mimicked in vivo by dipyridamole, demonstrating that receptor over-expression was mediated by adenosine. In conclusion, the data presented here indicate that MTX induces increased A3AR expression and exhibition, thereby potentiating the inhibitory effect of CF101 and supporting combined use of these drugs to treat RA.

Introduction

Low-dose methotrexate (MTX) is the most widely used antirheumatic drug and it is the 'gold standard' against which other systemic medications are compared [1]. It has as its...
target the enzyme dihydrofolate reductase, which is required for reduction of dihydrofolate to tetrahydrofolate. It is presumed that cells exposed to MTX die as a result of reduced folate depletion [2]. Adenosine, an additional active metabolite of MTX, has been found to have potent anti-inflammatory effects, and earlier studies [3,4] strongly support the notion that the anti-inflammatory effect of MTX is attributed more to adenosine than to tetrahydrofolate. MTX increases the extracellular concentration of adenosine, where it is known to exert its anti-inflammatory effect via suppression of inflammatory cytokines such as tumour necrosis factor (TNF-α), interleukin-6, or macrophage inhibitory protein-1α [5-7]. It was further found that the anti-inflammatory effect of adenosine is mediated via A2A and the A3 adenosine receptors [8,9].

The highly selective A3 adenine receptor (A3AR) agonist IB-MECA (1-deoxy-1-[6-[[3-iodophenyl]methyl]amino]-9H-9-yl]-N-methyl]-β-D-ribofuranuronamide) had an anti-inflammatory effect in collagen-induced arthritis in DBA1 mice and adjuvant-induced arthritis (AIA) in rats [10,11]. Interestingly, A3AR was found to be over-expressed in the synovial and paw inflammatory tissues as compared with corresponding tissues in normal, healthy animals. Moreover, receptor upregulation was also identified in the peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs) of AIA rats compared with control animals. Mechanistically, on treatment with IB-MECA, downregulation of A3AR expression level was noted in cells derived from the synovial tissue, most probably due to receptor internalization and degradation. Subsequently, decreased levels of expression of phosphatidylinositol-3 kinase and protein kinase B/Akt were observed. The latter of these proteins is known to control the nuclear factor-κB (NF-κB) signal transduction pathway. The decreased levels of PKB/Akt resulted in failure to phosphorylate IKK, which in turn resulted in inability to release NF-κB from its IκB complex. These events led to decreased expression of NF-κB and TNF-α, resulting in apoptosis of synovial cells. Remarkably, the PBMCs of AIA rats responded to IB-MECA treatment in the same manner as did the synovial cells, namely with receptor downregulation, suggesting that PBMCs reflect the receptor situation in inflammatory tissues and may have utility as a biomarker for monitoring response to IB-MECA [12]. Furthermore, Gessi and coworkers [13] recently noted upregulation of A3AR expression in phytosminagglutinin (PHA)-stimulated PBMCs from healthy individuals. It thus seems that A3AR expression correlates with cell activation or pathogenicity.

Recently, IB-MECA (commercially known as CF101) was tested in phase I clinical trials in healthy individuals. CF101, in single and multiple oral dose studies, was found to be safe and well tolerated, and the pharmacokinetics were linearly proportional to dose [14]. In an early phase II clinical trial of CF101 conducted in patients with rheumatoid arthritis (RA), the drug was well tolerated and conferred benefit as monotherapy [15].

Most biological disease-modifying antirheumatic drugs currently are given in combination with MTX [16]. Therefore, the aim of the present study was to evaluate the efficacy of combined MTX+CF101 treatment. We found that MTX treatment increased expression of A3AR, rendering inflammatory cells more susceptible to CF101. Combined treatment of AIA rats with MTX and CF101 enhanced the anti-inflammatory effect of each drug. In addition, we found A3AR to be over-expressed in PBMCs of MTX-treated patients and in activated cells from healthy individuals. The molecular mechanisms involved are explored.

Materials and methods

Reagents

The A3AR agonist IB-MECA was synthesized for Can-Fite BioPharma Ltd (Petah-Tikva, Israel) by Albany Molecular Research Inc (Albany, NY, USA) and is referred to as CF101 in the following text. A stock solution of 10 mmol/l was prepared in DMSO and further dilutions were performed. RPMI, foetal bovine serum and antibiotics for cell cultures were obtained from Beit Haemek (Haifa, Israel). The A3AR antagonist MRS1523 was purchased from Sigma (St. Louis, MO, USA) and diluted in the same manner as for CF101. Rabbit polyclonal antibodies against rat and human A3AR as well as rat A3AR were purchased from Santa Cruz Biotechnology Inc. (Santa Cruz, CA, USA). MTX, PHA, adenosine and adenosine deaminase (ADA) were purchased from ABIC (Beit Shemesh, Israel). Dipyridamole was purchased from Sigma.

Effect of CF101 and MTX on development of AIA

Female Lewis rats, aged 9 weeks, were obtained from Harlan Laboratories (Jerusalem, Israel). Rats were maintained on a standardized pellet diet and supplied with tap water. Experiments were performed in accordance with the guidelines established by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee at Can-Fite BioPharma, Petach Tikva, Israel. The rats were injected subcutaneously at the tail base with 100 μl suspension composed of incomplete Freund’s adjuvant with 10 mg/ml heat killed Mycobacterium tuberculosis H37Ra (Difco, Detroit, MI, USA). Each group included 10 animals.

For the prophylactic treatment study, MTX treatment (0.25 mg/kg intraperitoneally) was administered once weekly, starting 3 days after disease induction. CF101 (100 μg/kg) was orally administered by gavage, twice daily, starting at disease onset. The study also included a group treated with a combination of MTX and CF101. The control group received vehicle only (DMSO at a dilution corresponding to that of CF101).

For the therapeutic treatment study, MTX (0.75 mg/kg intraperitoneally, once weekly) as well as CF101 (100 μg/kg orally, twice daily) were initiated on disease onset. As in the prophylactic study, a group treated with a combination of MTX and CF101 was included.
Clinical disease activity score was assessed as follows. The animals were inspected every day for clinical arthritis. The scoring system ranged from 0 to 4 of each limb: 0 indicates no arthritis, 1 indicates redness or swelling of one toe/finger joint, 2 indicates redness and swelling of more than one toe/finger joints, 3 indicates involvement of ankle and tarsal-metatarsal joints, and 4 indicates redness or swelling of the entire paw. The four individual leg scores were added together to yield a total clinical score.

At the end of the study the legs were removed up to the knees, fixed in 10% formaldehyde, decalcified, dehydrated, paraffin embedded and cut into 4 μm sections. They were then stained by haematoxylin and eosin, and morphopathological assessment was performed.

Pathological assessments were performed using semiquantitative grading scales from 0 to 4 for the following parameters: the extent of inflammatory cell infiltration into the joint tissues, synovial lining cell hyperplasia, pannus formation, joint cartilage layer destruction and bone damage and erosion: 0 indicates normal; 1 indicates minimal loss of cortical bone at a few sites; 2 indicates mild loss of cortical trabecular bone; 3 indicates moderate loss of bone at many sites; 4 indicates marked loss of bone at many sites; and 5 indicates marked loss of bone at many sites, with fragmenting and full thickness penetration of inflammatory process or pannus into the cortical bone. The means of all of the histological parameter scores were summated to yield an overall ‘histology score’.

In addition, blood samples were collected and subjected to Ficoll hypaque gradient. The PBMCs were then washed with phosphate-buffered saline (PBS), and protein extracts were prepared as detailed below.

The hind paws were dissected above the ankle joint. The bony tissue was broken into pieces, snap frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at -80°C until use. The paw tissues were added to RIPA extraction buffer (4 ml/g tissue) containing 150 mmol/l NaCl, 50 mmol/l Tris, 1% NP40, 0.5% deoxycholate and 0.1% SDS. Tissues were homogenized on ice with a polytron, centrifuged and the supernatants were subjected to Western Blot analysis.

**Effect of dipyridamole on the expression of A3AR in PBMCs from naïve rats**

Dipyridamole (5 mg/kg intraperitoneally) was administered once to naïve rats and blood samples were drawn 2, 6, 24 and 48 hours after dipyridamole administration and subjected to Ficoll hypaque gradient. The PBMCs were then washed with PBS and protein extracts were prepared as detailed below.

**Immunohistochemistry staining of paraffin-embedded slides of paws tissues derived from AIA rats**

The paraffin on the slides was melted from the sections, which were placed in xylene three times for 30 min each. The tissues were hydrated with serial dilutions of ethanol and then antigen was retrieved by heating with citrate buffer at 95°C for 30 min. The slides were allowed to cool down and then washed three times in PBS. Endogenous peroxidase quenching was performed by washing the sections with fresh 20% hydrogen peroxide in methanol for 20 min. The sections were then blocked by incubating in 5% normal goat serum in PBS-BSA 0.1% for 2 hours.

The primary antibody (Novus Biologicals Inc., Littleton, CO, USA) was diluted in 0.1% PBS-BSA and incubated overnight at room temperature. After three washes in 1× PBS, the slides were incubated in 0.5% biotinilated secondary antibody in

**Table 1**

| Characteristic                        | Newly MTX treated | Chronically MTX treated |
|---------------------------------------|-------------------|-------------------------|
| Age (years)                           | 48.5 ± 5.89       | 59.58 ± 2.42            |
| Disease duration (years)              | 4.37 ± 2.07       | 6.6 ± 0.97              |
| CRP (mg/l)                            | 10.75 ± 2.01      | 9.04 ± 1.65             |
| ESR (mm/hour)                         | 31.75 ± 0.63      | 33.3 ± 4.39             |
| Swollen joint count                   | 13.25 ± 2.56      | 3.19 ± 0.88             |
| Tender joint count                    | 10.5 ± 2.63       | 5 ± 0.86                |
| Patient global assessment (VAS)       | 32.26 ± 4.41      | 32.26 ± 4.41            |
| CRP (DAS28-4)                         | 3.23 ± 0.31       | 3.23 ± 0.31             |
| ESR (DAS28-4)                         | 4.11 ± 0.3        | 4.11 ± 0.3              |

Values are expressed as mean ± standard error. CRP, C-reactive protein; DAS, Disease Activity Score; ESR, erythrocyte sedimentation rate; MTX, methotrexate; RA, rheumatoid arthritis; VAS, visual analogue scale.
PBS-BSA 0.1% for 1 hour at room temperature and then subjected to an avidin-biotin complex. After another wash the slides were incubated with DAB substrate, washed in tap water (to dispose of the DAB) and inactivated with bleach. A light haematoxylin counterstain was performed and the haematoxylin was then removed by quick dip in acid alcohol and the slides were washed in ammonia water, dehydrated and mounted with Permount.

Human blood sample collection and separation
Blood samples were collected from healthy individuals and from patients with RA who were either newly and chronically treated with MTX. Protocols for the study were approved by the hospitals' ethical committees as was the blood sample collection. Healthy individuals and RA patients provided signed, informed consent prior to blood withdrawal. Patient characteristics are summarized in Table 1.

To separate PBMCs, heparanized blood (20 ml) was subjected to Ficoll hypaque gradient. The PBMCs were then washed with PBS and subjected to the various assays.

Double immunofluorescence staining of PBMCs of RA patients
PBMCs (1 × 10⁶ cells) were smeared on electrophorized glass slide and fixed with 75% ethanol for 2 min. The slides were then blocked with normal horse serum for 1 hour at room temperature in a humidified chamber, after which a mouse monoclonal CD4 (Santa Cruz Biotechnology; Clone MT310, 1:50 dilution) primary antibody was incubated overnight at room temperature. After rinsing thoroughly with PBS, a rhodamine-tagged secondary antibody (Vector Laboratories, Burlingame, CA, USA; 1:200 dilution) was incubated overnight in the dark. Then the slides were rinsed with PBS, blocked with normal goat serum and incubated with a rabbit polyclonal anti-A3AR antibody (Chemicon International, Temecula, CA, USA; AB9111, 1:100 dilution) overnight. Cells were rinsed with PBS and a second, fluorescein-tagged anti-rabbit antibody was incubated for 1 hour in the dark. Finally, slides were rinsed, covered slipped with an aqueous based mounting media (Vectorshield; Vector Laboratories) and visualized in a Nikon ultraviolet inverted microscope and processed with a deconvolution software (Slidebook 4.0; Intelligent Imaging, Denver, CO, USA).

Activation of PBMCs
PBMCs (2 × 10⁶ cells/ml) from healthy individuals or from MTX-treated RA patients were incubated in cell cultures with RPMI 1640 supplemented with 10% FBS. PBMCs from healthy individuals were activated with PHA (5 μg/ml) for 24 hours. MTX (1 μmol/l) was added for an additional 27 hours. ADA (1 unit/ml), CF101 (10 nmol/l), or MRS1353 (10 nmol/l) was added to the culture system for the last 3 hours. PBMCs from MTX-treated RA patients were incubated for 1 hour with CF101 (10 nmol/l). At the end of the incubation time in all of the above experiments, the PBMCs were collected from the culture plates and protein extracts were prepared.

Western blot analysis of A2AR and additional signalling proteins in PBMCs
Western blot analyses were carried out according to the following protocol. Samples were rinsed with ice-cold PBS and transferred to ice-cold lysis buffer (TNN buffer: 50 mmol/l Tris buffer [pH 7.5], 150 mmol/l NaCl and NP40). Cell debris was removed by centrifugation for 10 min at 7500 g. Protein concentrations were determined using the BioRad protein assay dye reagent (BioRad Laboratories, Hercules, CA, USA). Equal amounts of the sample (50 μg) were separated by SDS-PAGE, using 12% polyacrylamide gels. The resolved proteins were then electroblotted onto nitrocellulose membranes (Schleicher & Schuell, Keene, NH, USA). Membranes were blocked with 1% BSA and incubated with the desired primary antibody (dilution 1:1000) for 24 hours at 4°C. Blots were then washed and incubated with a secondary antibody for 1 hour at room temperature. Bands were recorded using BCIP/NBT color development kit (Promega, Madison, WI, USA).

Analysis of A2AR protein expression level in patient PBMCs was performed as follows. Samples from each of four RA patients were run in the same gel with a pool of samples from four healthy individuals, designated the standard. The blots were quantified by densitometric analysis and the ratio of RA patient/standard was calculated. Blots of mitogen stimulated cells were quantified against β-actin. The data presented in the figures are representative of at least three different experiments.

RT-PCR analysis of formalin-fixed paraffin-embedded paw tissue slides
Tissue sections of paws derived from AIA rats treated with vehicle, MTX, CF101, or MTX+CF101 were mounted on slides and then deparaffinized in xylene and rehydrated by washing in serial dilutions of ethanol. Slides were used immediately or stored at -80°C until use. After rehydration, 20 μl of solution A (1.25× PCR buffer [200 mmol/l Tris-HCl, 500 mmol/l KCl], 6.25 mmol/l MgCl₂, 5 U RNasin [Promega], 2 mmol/l DTT, 1 U RO1 RNase-free DNase [Promega]) was directly applied to the marked area. The marked area was completely scraped off the slide using a pipette tip, and neoplastic tissue or normal tissue was collected into different microcentrifuge tubes. The samples were treated with proteinase K at a final concentration of 0.1 mg/ml. The samples were incubated at 37°C for 1 hour to allow for DNA digestion. Cells lysate were heated to 95°C for 15 min in order to inactivate DNase and proteinase K. Following centrifugation at 14,000 rpm for 5 min, 17 μl of the supernatant was transferred to a separate tube and 4 μl of RT mixture (5 mmol/l dNTPs, 2.5 μmol/l ran-
dom hexamer, 5 U RNasin, 100 U SuperScript One Step RT-PCR with Platinum Taq (Invitrogen, San Diego, CA, USA) and the primers for rat A3AR (245 up CTA GCA CTG GCA GAC and 245 down CAG CAG AGG CCC AGG) were added.

The RT reaction was performed at 45°C for 45 min, followed by heating to 99°C for 5 min; then, 50 cycles at 94°C for 30 s, 50°C for 75 s and 73°C for 45 s, and an extension of 73°C for 7 min were performed. Products were electrophoresed on 2% agarose gels, stained with ethidium bromide and visualized with ultraviolet illumination. The specificity of the RT-PCR reaction was confirmed by size determination on agarose gels in comparison with a positive control, from RNA extracted using standard techniques, and by sequencing the RT-PCR product and comparing the sequences with the known sequences (ADORA3-L77729, L77730). The optical density of the bands (Et-Br) was quantified using an image analysis system. This analysis was performed on tissues from three different experiments.

**Statistical analysis**

To analyze differences in clinical score between the four study groups, the following conditions were applied:

- **(a)** Clinical score. Combined treatment with MTX (prophylactic treatment) + CF101 yield significantly lower values than treatment with each of the agents alone; also, all treatments yielded lower scores than control group.
- **(b)** The clinical score with combined therapeutic MTX treatment + CF101 was significantly lower than that in the other groups.
- **(c, d)** Morphopathological score. In AIA animals complete destruction of the cartilage and the bone, as well as severe inflammation in the hind paws, was noted. Treatment with a combination of MTX and CF101 preserved the normal features of the paw. AIA, adjuvant-induced arthritis; MTX, methotrexate.

**Figure 1**

Effect of CF101 and prophylactic or therapeutic MTX treatment on AIA rats. Rats were injected subcutaneously at the tail base with 100 μl of a suspension composed of incomplete Freund’s adjuvant and 10 mg/ml heat killed Mycobacterium tuberculosis. (a) Clinical score. Combined treatment with MTX (prophylactic treatment) + CF101 yield significantly lower values than treatment with each of the agents alone; also, all treatments yielded lower scores than control group. (b) The clinical score with combined therapeutic MTX treatment + CF101 was significantly lower than that in the other groups. (c, d) Morphopathological score. In AIA animals complete destruction of the cartilage and the bone, as well as severe inflammation in the hind paws, was noted. Treatment with a combination of MTX and CF101 preserved the normal features of the paw. AIA, adjuvant-induced arthritis; MTX, methotrexate.
groups (CF101, MTX, MTX+CF101 [combined treatment] and control), we used analysis of variance (ANOVA) with repeated measures from days 8 to 25 for prophylactic treatment and from days 14 to 20 for therapeutic treatment. To test differences in trends during the study between the four study groups, we used ANOVA using Dunnet method to evaluate differences between each of the study groups and the control group: for prophylactic treatment this was from days 20 to 25, adjusted to baseline values (day 8); and for therapeutic treatment this was from days 14 to day 20, adjusted to baseline values at day 14. ANOVA using Dunnet method was utilized to evaluate differences between each of the study groups and the combined treatment group (CF101+MTX): for prophylactic treatment this was from days 20 to 25, adjusted to baseline values (day 8); and for therapeutic treatment this was from days 14 to 20, adjusted to baseline values at day 14. The data were analyzed using SAS software (SAS Institute, Cary, NC, USA).

The Student’s t-test was used in the Westen blot analysis, and \( P < 0.05 \) was considered statistically significant.

**Results**

**Effect of MTX, CF101, and MTX+CF101 treatment on development of AIA in rats**

In the prophylactic treatment study, about 21 days after immunization most of the vehicle-treated animals progressively developed arthritis. Comparing the four groups using ANOVA, CF101 treatment (100 \( \mu \)g/kg, given orally twice daily, starting on onset of disease) and MTX treatment (given once weekly, starting on day 3 after disease induction) resulted in a statistically significant difference between the study groups and the control group (Figure 1a; \( P < 0.001 \)). In order to identify the source of those differences, ANOVA with the Dunnet method was used. In general, up to day 20 a differences in trends were observed between the groups, but the differences were not statistically significant. From day 20 on, a clear trend toward lower values in the combined treatment group (MTX+CF101) was observed, as compared with the control group and each of the other treatment groups (Figure 1a).

In the therapeutic treatment study, in which all of the treatments were initiated at the onset of disease, clinical score in the MTX and CF101 combined treatment group was found to be statistically significantly lower than that in the control group. Moreover, clinical score in the group receiving combined treatment with MTX and CF101 was always lower than that of the other groups. From day 17 the trend becomes statistically significant (Figure 1b).

Histological evaluation of the paws in the vehicle-treated arthritic animals revealed complete destruction of cartilage and bone, which were replaced by granulation tissue. Severe inflammation infiltration was also noted. In the MTX treated group bone destruction was also observed, with a few remnants of bone spicullos seated in the granulation tissue. The degree of inflammatory infiltration was less than that observed in the control group, resulting in a 47% decrease in histological score. CF101 treatment resulted in a 75% decrease in histological score, which was manifested as a mild inflammatory infiltration. The articular space, cartilage, bone and bone marrow appeared normal. Treatment with MTX in combination with CF101 was associated with normal cartilage and bone architecture, and with an histological score that was almost zero (Figure 1c,d).

**Effect of MTX, CF101 and MTX+ CF101 treatment on exhibition and expression of A3AR in paw and PBMCs from AIA rats**

Immunohistochemistry staining of paw sections derived from AIA rats revealed the of A3AR exhibition in the control paw, which was markedly increased with MTX treatment. In the CF101-treated group, A3AR exhibition was much lower and the receptor was barely detected at all in MTX+CF101-treated paw sections (Figure 2).

Analysis of mRNA and protein A3AR expression in paw extracts from the MTX-treated group revealed an increase compared with the vehicle-treated group. In the CF101-treatment and the MTX+CF101-treated groups, receptor down-regulation was noted, supporting previous studies demonstrating that receptor downregulation represents a functional response to the agonist (Figure 3a,b). In PBMCs from the animals treated with MTX, CF101 and MTX+CF101, similar results to those noted in the paw extracts were recorded (Figure 3c).

We further examined the effect of MTX on expression of A3AR protein compared with A3AR protein in paw extracts. Figure 3d shows that the expression levels of both receptors is increased with MTX treatment.

To explore the molecular mechanism involved in the increased expression of A3AR with MTX treatment, we assumed this phenomenon to be attributed to elevated adenosine levels in the cell microenvironment. We thus treated naive rats with dipyridamole, a nucleoside transporter inhibitor that increases extracellular adenosine concentration. Indeed, dipyridamide induced A3AR over-expression in PBMCs from treated rats (Figure 4).

**A3AR expression in PBMCs from RA patients**

Our next step was to examine A3AR expression level in PBMCs from MTX-treated RA patients. Figure 5a shows four blots from newly MTX treated RA patients. Upregulation of A3AR expression was observed in all patients after 10 weeks of MTX treatment. There was a marked statistically significant increase (\( P < 0.01 \)) in A3AR expression in PBMCs from RA patients undergoing chronic MTX treatment (\( n = 30 \)) in com-
Figure 2

Immunohistochemistry analysis of A3AR expression in paws from AIA rats. We conducted immunohistochemistry staining of paraffin-embedded sections of paw from MTX-treated, CF101-treated and MTX+CF101-treated AIA rats. MTX treatment induced receptor expression, and treatment with CF101 alone or in combination with MTX resulted in receptor downregulation. A3AR, A3 adenosine receptor; AIA, adjuvant-induced arthritis; MTX, methotrexate.

Figure 3

Ex vivo analysis of A3AR expression level in paw and PBMCs from AIA rats. (a, b) MTX treatment induced expression of A3AR mRNA and protein, and CF101 treatment resulted in receptor downregulation. (c) This was reflected in the PBMCs. (d) Expression of A2AAR was also induced in paw extracts derived AIA rats treated with MTX. A3AR, A3 adenosine receptor; AIA, adjuvant-induced arthritis; MTX, methotrexate; PBMC, peripheral blood mononuclear cell.
comparison with healthy individuals (Figure 5b). No correlation between A2AR expression level, MTX dose, or DAS28 (Disease Activity Score) was found.

In addition, we performed double immunofluorescence staining on PBMCs from RA patients to identify A2AR on the cell surface of CD4+ T lymphocytes. Figure 5c shows massive A3AR staining on the CD4+ T cells.

In an additional set of experiments we looked at the in vitro effect of CF101 on A3AR protein level in PBMCs from MTX-treated RA patients. The cells were cultured in the presence and absence of CF101 for 1 hour. Figure 5d shows A3AR over-expression in the RA samples compared with control samples. CF101 treatment induced A3AR downregulation, reflecting the response of PBMCs to the drug; this suggests that receptor expression may have utility as a biological prediction marker.

Effect of MTX+CF101 on A3AR expression level in PHA-stimulated PBMCs from healthy individuals

To further investigate the effect of MTX on A3AR expression, we used an in vitro system of PHA-stimulated PBMCs from healthy individuals. We found that A3AR expression level was increased on MTX treatment. Also, introduction of ADA to the culture system reverted this effect, suggesting that receptor over-expression was induced by adenosine, a metabolite of MTX (Figure 6a). Finally, the natural ligand adenosine induced an increase in A3AR expression when added to the culture system, supporting the notion presented above that adenosine acts as mediator of the MTX effect (Figure 6b).

Introduction of CF101 to MTX-treated, PHA-stimulated cells induced receptor downregulation, which was counteracted by the A3AR antagonist MRS1535 (Figure 6c).

Discussion

The present study shows that combined treatment with CF101 and MTX has an additive anti-inflammatory effect, which is indicated by a decrease in the clinical and pathological manifestations of AIA. Mechanistically, MTX induced an increase in A3AR expression level in inflamed tissues and in PBMCs, thereby rendering the cells more susceptible to CF101 treatment. Interestingly, MTX given either in prophylactic or therapeutic mode in combination with CF101 resulted in the same additive effect.

To explore the mechanism underlying the efficacy of the combined treatment, we first examined the mode of action of MTX. It acts as an antagonist of folic acid, subsequently inhibiting the synthesis of purines and pyrimidines. It was further suggested that the anti-inflammatory effect of MTX is due to adenosine, which is known to exert potent anti-inflammatory effect [3,4]. More specifically, MTX polyglutamates inhibit the activity of the enzyme aminomimidazolecarbox-amidoadenosinenucleotide transformylase [17, 18]. This enzyme has a direct inhibitory effect on two additional enzymes: ADA, which metabolizes adenosine to inosine; and AMP deaminase, which converts adenosine to AMP. This chain of events results in intracellular accumulation of adenosine, which is then released into the extracellular environment [19]. The working hypothesis of the present study was that the increase in adenosine level may act via an autocrine pathway and induce the expression of its own receptors, in this case A3AR. Indeed, MTX treatment increased both mRNA and protein levels of A3AR in the inflammatory tissue (paw) of AIA rats, indicating that increased gene expression and translation took place. An increase in protein A3AR expression was also noted in the PBMCs from the animals. Interestingly, increased A2AR protein levels were also noted in paw samples from MTX-treated animals. Ravid and coworkers [20] have shown that activation of A2AR increases A3AR promoter activity. It could therefore be
suggested that over-expression of A$_2$AAR plays a role in the increased level of A$_3$AR that occurs with MTX treatment. Moreover, A$_3$AR protein level was raised in RA patients treated with MTX. This was attributed to the increase in A$_3$AR expression detected on CD4$^+$ T cells from RA patients compared with CD4$^+$ T cells from healthy individuals.

In this report we present two lines of experimental evidence that support a role for adenosine in modulating A$_3$AR expression in response to MTX treatment. In vitro studies with PBMCs showed that MTX treatment induced an increase in A$_3$AR expression that was reversed on treatment with ADA. Adenosine mimicked the effect of MTX and induced an elevation in A$_3$AR expression. In vivo dipyridamole, an inhibitor of nucleoside transporters, known to increase adenosine levels, induced A$_3$AR over-expression in PBMCs from treated rats. These data led to the conclusion that MTX, via the metabolite adenosine, induces elevation in A$_3$AR expression.

Receptor density was previously reported as an important factor that controls cell response to a given agonist [21-23]. It may be suggested that A$_3$AR upregulation, mediated by MTX, preconditions cells to the effect of CF101, resulting in a more potent anti-inflammatory effect.

An additional important finding of the study is that inflammatory cells and PBMCs from CF101-treated AIA rats, as well as the PBMCs cultured in vitro with CF101, responded to agonist treatment and to combined treatment with receptor downregulation. The A$_3$AR antagonist MRS 1353 counteracted the downregulation induced by the combined treatment. This finding supports the notion presented above that the effect of CF101 alone or in combination with MTX is solely mediated via A$_3$AR, without the involvement of additional receptors. Our earlier studies in tumor cells showed that, on binding of an agonist such as CF101 to tumor cells, receptor is internalized and degraded within the cytoplasm [24,25]. This is followed by initiation of downstream signal transduction pathways, leading to inhibition of tumor growth. A few hours later receptor is resynthesized and recycled to the cell surface. Recently, we reported a similar chain of events in the synovial cells of AIA rats treated with CF101. A$_3$AR receptor levels
were downregulated on agonist treatment, followed by modulation of cell signaling proteins that belong to the NF-κB signal transduction pathway, resulting in decreased expression of TNF-α and inhibition of the inflammatory process [12]. It thus seems that if A₃AR upregulation is characteristic of inflammation, then CF101 treatment induces receptor downregulation, which reflects receptor functionality, and modulation of key signaling proteins that control the inflammatory process. Interestingly, introduction of CF101 to in vitro culture of PBMCs derived from MTX-treated RA patients resulted in A₃AR downregulation. The ability to assess in vitro the response to CF101 may be utilized in the future to predict the response of individual patients to the drug before treatment.

The mechanism presented in this study by which MTX elevates A₂₅AR expression may also account for the anti-inflammatory effect of this drug when given as a standalone therapy. Under physiological conditions A₂₅AR is not activated because adenosine has the lowest affinity value to this receptor (A₂₅ > A₁ > A₂B > A₃). On MTX treatment the adenosine level goes up, thereby activating A₂₅AR and resulting in an anti-inflammatory effect [3,4,26].

High expression levels of A₃AR in inflamed or activated cells were previously reported in other inflammatory conditions. A selective, approximately 10-fold upregulation of A₃AR mRNA and protein was consistently found in nonpigmented ciliary epithelium of eyes of patients with pseudo-exfoliation syndrome, with and without glaucoma, as compared with normal and glaucomatous control eyes [27]. Blair and coworkers [28] showed that A₃AR transcript abundance is greater in lung tissue and eosinophils from individuals with airway inflammation than in normal lung. A₃AR elevation was also reflected in eosinophils derived from the peripheral blood of the same patients. Treatment of eosinophils with IB-MECA inhibited platelet-activating factor induced eosinophil chemotaxis [28]. Moreover, Gessi and coworkers [29] reported that A₃AR is induced in activated PBMCs from healthy individuals, and further demonstrated that the CD4⁺ T cells are the subpopulation of cells that over-express the receptor. Taken together, it may be concluded that A₃AR upregulation is a characteristic of activated cells, and is noted in cells of inflammatory origin and is reflected in PBMCs.

To summarize, enhanced anti-inflammatory effect takes place on treatment of AIA rats with the combination of MTX and CF101. This effect is mediated via adenosine, which accumulates in cells on MTX treatment, leading to increased levels of A₃AR, thereby rendering the cells more sensitive to the effect of CF101. We recently reported a positive response of RA patients to CF101 treatment (as a standalone therapy) in a phase IIa study [15]. The results of the present study provide justification for a phase IIb study combining CF101 with MTX treatment in a population of RA patients.

---

**Figure 6**

Effect of MTX on A₃AR expression level on PBMCs from healthy individuals. (a) PBMCs (2 × 10⁶ cells/ml) from healthy individuals were incubated in RPMI 1640 supplemented with 10% foetal bovine serum and activated with PHA (5 μg/ml) for 24 hours. MTX (1 μmol/l) was added for an additional 27 hours and ADA (1 unit/ml) for the last 3 hours. A₃AR expression level was induced by MTX treatment. The introduction of ADA to the culture system reverted this effect and induced downregulation of the receptor level. (b) PBMCs (2 × 10⁶ cells/ml) were incubated for 27 hours with adenosine (25 μmol/l) and ADA (1 unit/ml) was added to the culture system for the last 3 hours. Adenosine induced upregulation of A₃AR expression level whereas the addition of ADA decreased the receptor level. (c) PBMCs from healthy individuals were incubated with 10% foetal bovine serum and activated with PHA (5 μg/ml) for 24 hours. MTX (1 μmol/l) was added for an additional 27 hours and CF101 (10 nmol/l), in the presence or absence of MRS1523 (10 nmol/l), was introduced into the culture system for the last 3 hours. CF101 introduction to MTX-treated, PHA-activated PBMCs induced receptor downregulation, and the MRS1523 counteracted this effect. A₃AR, A₃ adenosine receptor; ADA, adenosine deaminase; MTX, methotrexate; PBMC, peripheral blood mononuclear cell; PHA, phytohemagglutinin; RA, rheumatoid arthritis.
Conclusion
Using an in vivo model of AIA in rats, we demonstrated that combined treatment with CF101 and MTX resulted in an additive anti-inflammatory effect. Mechanistically, we found that MTX induced an increase in the expression level of A3AR, rendering the inflammatory cells more susceptible to CF101 treatment. Adding further support to these findings were data from the MTX-treated RA patients, who exhibited A3AR overexpression in PBMCs.
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