Overexpression of MUC1 predicts poor prognosis in patients with breast cancer
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Abstract. Breast cancer is the most commonly diagnosed cancer in females; thus, there is an urgent requirement to identify precise biomarkers for the diagnosis and treatment of the disease. Mucin 1 (MUC1) is a glycoprotein that has been demonstrated to be involved in the metastasis and invasion of multiple tumor types. Bioinformatics analyses were conducted to indicate the prognostic value of MUC1 in breast cancer. Additionally, the expression level of MUC1 was assessed using Oncomine analysis. Furthermore, PrognoScan was used to analyze the prognostic value of MUC1 in breast cancer. Mutations of MUC1 were analyzed by the Catalogue of Somatic Mutations in Cancer and cBioPortal databases. In addition, University of California, Santa Cruz (UCSC) was used to examine the methylation status of MUC1. Co-expression of MUC1 mRNA was detected with the cBioPortal, UCSC and Breast Cancer Gene-Expression Miner v4.0 datasets. The results demonstrated that MUC1 is frequently overexpressed in breast cancer and is negatively associated with CpG sites. Furthermore, pooled data indicated that abnormally high expression of MUC1 indicates poor prognosis. Additionally, upregulation of MUC1 expression is associated with estrogen receptor- and progesterone receptor-positive disease, aging and increased Scarff, Bloom and Richardson grade, but is not associated with triple-negative and basal-like status. Subsequent data mining across multiple large databases demonstrated a positive association between MUC1 mRNA expression and cyclic AMP-responsive element-binding protein 3-like 4 (CREB3L4) in breast cancer tissues. The present data indicated that the overexpression of MUC1 indicates a poor prognosis in patients with breast cancer and is associated with MUC1 promoter methylation status. Additionally, MUC1 positively correlated with CREB3L4 and may serve as a potential prognostic factor and therapy target for breast cancer.

Introduction

Breast cancer is the most common malignant tumor type among females globally, with >1.3 million cases diagnosed and ~0.5 million associated mortalities annually globally, according to the data of World Health Organization in 2011 (1,2). Although progress in the early detection, diagnosis and treatment of breast cancer has been achieved in recent decades, the disease remains a significant global health burden (3,4). Accurate biomarkers for early diagnosis and more accurate prognosis could improve the efficiency of current treatments for breast cancer and represent molecular markers for targeted therapy (5). Therefore, the identification of specific and sensitive molecular biomarkers involved in breast cancer has a crucial clinical significance.

Mucin 1 (MUC1) is a transmembrane glycoprotein and is the most thoroughly researched tumor-associated antigen (6-8). As a cell membrane glycoprotein, MUC1 is normally expressed at low levels on the apical surfaces of epithelial cells, including in the pancreas, breast, lung and gastrointestinal tract (9). Subsequent data mining across multiple large databases demonstrated a positive association between MUC1 mRNA expression and cyclic AMP-responsive element-binding protein 3-like 4 (CREB3L4) in breast cancer tissues. The present data indicated that the overexpression of MUC1 indicates a poor prognosis.
breast cancer. Subsequently, the association between MUC1 expression and clinical pathological parameters, including prognostic value, was investigated, and the biological function and mechanism of action of MUC1 in patients with breast cancer was examined by mining publicly accessible databases.

Materials and methods

**Oncomine database analysis.** The Oncomine database (https://www.oncomine.org/resource/login.html), a publicly accessible online cancer microarray database containing 715 datasets and 86,733 samples, was searched to determine the transcription level of MUC1 gene in breast cancer (17,18). The expression levels of MUC1 mRNA (log2-transformed) in breast cancer and normal tissues were retrieved and statistically compared. To obtain the most significant MUC1 probes, the following parameters were used: P<1x10^{-4}, fold-change >2 and gene ranking in the top 10%.

**University of California, Santa Cruz (UCSC) Cancer Genomics Browser analysis.** The UCSC Cancer Genomics Browser (http://xena.ucsc.edu/) (19-22) was searched to verify and analyze the heatmap of MUC1 expression and the correlation between MUC1 and cyclic AMP-responsive element-binding protein 3-like 4 (CREB3L4) expression. To investigate the mechanism of the dysregulation of MUC1, the methylation status of MUC1 was analyzed with TCGA Breast Cancer (dataset ID: TCGA.BRCA.sampleMap/HumanMethylation450) (23).

**Catalogue of Somatic Mutations in Cancer (COSMIC) analysis for MUC1 mutations.** The COSMIC database (http://cancer.sanger.ac.uk), a high-resolution resource for investigating the influence of somatic mutations in all forms of human tumors, was used to analyze the mutations of MUC1 (24,25). An overview of the distribution and substitutions on the coding strand in breast cancer were depicted in a pie chart.

**Breast Cancer Gene-Expression Miner v4.0 (bc-GenExMiner v4.0).** The expression of MUC1 and its prognostic value in breast cancer were evaluated using Breast Cancer Gene-Expression Miner v4.0 online data set (http://bcgenex.centregauducheau.fr), which is a statistical mining tool that contains published annotated genomic data, including 36 annotated genomic datasets and 5,861 patients with breast cancer (26,27). Subsequently, the correlation between MUC1 and CREB3L4 genes was estimated by a Pearson's correlation coefficient test (r) and a Spearman's correction analysis. Survival curves were plotted according to the Kaplan-Meier method and the log-rank test was used to compare groups. Statistical analyses were performed using SPSS 19.0 software (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA).

Results

**MUC1 mRNA expression and methylation status in human breast cancer.** As a membrane glycoprotein, the expression profile of MUC1 was investigated by searching the Oncomine database. MUC1 has been determined in various human cancer types, including hematological malignancies and solid tumors (Fig. 1). MUC1 was determined to be elevated in multiple breast cancer types, compared with the normal tissue (P<1x10^{-4}), including tubular breast carcinoma (P=1.59x10^{-17}), invasive lobular breast carcinoma (P=5.39x10^{-19}), invasive ductal and lobular breast carcinoma (P=1.46x10^{-13}), mucinous breast carcinoma (1.11x10^{-12}), invasive breast carcinoma (6.39x10^{-19}), mixed ductal and lobular carcinoma (6.78x10^{-7}), intraductal cribriform breast adenocarcinoma (2.45x10^{-6}) and invasive ductal breast...
By comparing the MUC1 mRNA expression heatmap and the DNA methylation status, it was confirmed that MUC1 expression gradually decreased with increasing DNA methylation \((P<0.01)\), which was determined that the transcript expression of MUC1 may be negatively associated with a number of CpG sites (blank frame) (Fig. 3).

**MUC1 mutation in human breast cancer.** The pie chart depicts that the mutant types of breast cancer were all missense substitutions (Fig. 4A). The breast cancer data contained A>G, C>T, G>T and T>C mutations, each accounting for 25% of the MUC1 coding strand (Fig. 4A). cBioPortal was used to evaluate the alteration frequency of MUC1 mutations in breast cancer, and <1.0% of the mutations were identified in patients with breast cancer (Fig. 4B).

**Genetic alterations of MUC1 and clinicopathological parameters in patients with breast cancer.** The expression profiles of MUC1 were examined across PAM50 breast cancer subtypes using 5,861 patients with breast cancer cohorts in bc-GenEx-Miner 4.0, based on different clinical pathological indicators. The age criterion demonstrated significantly increased expression of MUC1 mRNA levels in tumors of patients aged >51 years, compared with those aged ≤51 years \((P=0.0037)\) (Table II). Additionally, the MUC1 mRNA expression was significantly increased in estrogen receptor (ER) (+) group \((P<0.0001)\) and progesterone receptor (PR) (+) group \((P<0.0001)\), compared with the corresponding negative group (Table II and Fig. 5). However, there was no significant differences between the positive and negative statuses of human EGFR 2 (HER2) and nodal status (Table II and Fig. 5). Triple-negative breast cancer (TNBC) is a breast cancer type that is negative for ER (-), PR (-) and HER2 (-) (40). It was determined that MUC1 mRNA expression was significantly downregulated in patients with TNBC \((P<0.0001)\), compared with the not TNBC group (Table II and Fig. 5). Furthermore, patients with negative basal-like characteristics also exhibited significantly increased MUC1 expression, compared with patients with basal-like characteristics \((P<0.0001)\) (Table II, Fig. 5). In the

---

Table I. Significant changes in mucin 1 expression at the transcription level between different types of breast cancer and normal tissues (Oncomine database).

| Subtype of breast cancer | P-value       | Fold-change | Rank (%) | Sample (Refs.) |
|--------------------------|---------------|-------------|----------|----------------|
| Tubular breast carcinoma | 1.50x10\(^{-35}\) | 5.767       | 1        | 211 (28)       |
| Invasive lobular breast carcinoma | 3.18x10\(^{-39}\) | 5.581       | 2        | 292 (28)       |
| Invasive ductal and invasive lobular breast carcinoma | 2.12x10\(^{-24}\) | 2.866       | 4        | 234 (28)       |
| Mucinous breast carcinoma | 1.11x10\(^{-12}\) | 3.570       | 6        | 190 (28)       |
| Invasive breast carcinoma | 3.41x10\(^{-5}\) | 3.021       | 7        | 165 (28)       |
| Invasive lobular breast carcinoma | 1.59x10\(^{-17}\) | 4.076       | 1        | 97 (63)        |
| Invasive ductal and lobular carcinoma | 1.46x10\(^{-13}\) | 5.717       | 1        | 64 (63)        |
| Mixed lobular and ductal breast carcinoma | 6.78x10\(^{-7}\) | 4.588       | 1        | 68 (63)        |
| Intraductal cribriform breast adenocarcinoma | 2.45x10\(^{-6}\) | 5.586       | 2        | 64 (63)        |
| Invasive breast carcinoma | 6.93x10\(^{-19}\) | 3.054       | 4        | 137 (63)       |
| Invasive ductal breast carcinoma | 5.39x10\(^{-19}\) | 2.433       | 9        | 450 (63)       |
| Invasive ductal breast carcinoma | 1.77x10\(^{-7}\) | 3.776       | 4        | 41 (29)        |
| Lobular breast carcinoma | 1.97x10\(^{-5}\) | 3.929       | 4        | 24 (29)        |

Table II. Association between mucin 1 mRNA expression and the clinicopathological parameters of breast carcinoma.

| Variables | Number | mRNA | P-value |
|-----------|--------|------|---------|
| Age, years |        |      |         |
| ≤51       | 1,436  | -    | 0.0037  |
| >51       | 1,988  | ↑    |         |
| Nodal status |      |      |         |
| -         | 2,294  | -    | 0.1578  |
| +         | 1,630  | -    |         |
| ER         |        |      |         |
| -         | 1,516  | -    | <0.0001 |
| +         | 3,857  | ↑    |         |
| PR         |        |      |         |
| -         | 989    | -    | <0.0001 |
| +         | 1,353  | ↑    |         |
| HER2       |        |      |         |
| -         | 1,556  | -    | 0.9345  |
| +         | 208    | -    |         |
| Basal-like status |      |      |         |
| None      | 3,914  | ↑    | <0.0001 |
| Basal-like | 1,068  | -    |         |
| Triple-negative status |      |      |         |
| None      | 3,322  | ↑    | <0.0001 |
| TNBC      | 242    | -    |         |

↑, upregulated; TNBC, triple-negative breast cancer; ER, estrogen receptor; PR, progesterone receptor; HER2, human epidermal growth factor receptor 2.
Scarff, Bloom and Richardson (SBR) grade (41) status criterion, an increased SBR grade was significantly associated with a decreased MUC1 transcript level ($P<0.0001$), compared with the SBR1 group (Fig. 5). The prognostic value of MUC1 expression has been reported by the PrognoScan database (42). In the present study, it was determined that increased expression of MUC1 mRNA is significantly associated with decreased overall survival (OS), disease specific survival (DSS) and relapse-free survival (RFS) time in breast cancer (Fig. 6).

Co-expression of MUC1 mRNA. To further investigate the underlying regulation of MUC1 in breast cancer, data mining was conducted in a breast cancer cohort (34) using cBioPortal. CREB3L4 is a principal correlated gene (Fig. 7A) that is abundantly expressed in prostate and breast cancer cell lines (43-45). Regression analysis revealed that MUC1 and CREB3L4 had high relevant coefficients (Spearman's correlation=0.60; Pearson's correlation=0.54) (Fig. 7B). The positive correlation between MUC1 and CREB3L4 transcript expression was verified by the bc-GenExMiner 4.0 database (Fig. 7C). By investigating patient with breast cancer data in TCGA database using UCSC Xena, the positive correlation was confirmed (Fig. 7D and E). These data indicated that MUC1 could be associated with the CREB3L4 signaling pathways in breast cancer.

CREB3L4 mRNA expression and prognosis in patients with breast cancer. To confirm the genetic alterations of CREB3L4, the Oncomine database was searched to investigate the expression profiles of CREB3L4. The results of Oncomine analysis of tissues (tumor vs. normal) demonstrated that CREB3L4 expression was expressed at a significantly increased level in invasive ductal and invasive lobular breast carcinoma, tubular breast carcinoma, invasive lobular breast carcinoma, mucinous breast carcinoma, invasive ductal breast carcinoma, and mixed lobular and ductal breast carcinoma (Fig. 8). Subsequently, the prognostic value of CREB3L4 in breast cancer was investigated...
via the PrognoScan database. It was determined that a high expression of CREB3L4 mRNA was significantly associated with reduced RFS and disease-specific survival (DSS) time in breast cancer (Fig. 9).
Discussion

MUC1, a transmembrane glycoprotein that is altered in various cancer types, can increase the invasive and metastatic properties of adenocarcinomas by reducing cell-cell adhesion and cell-extracellular matrix adhesion (11,46,47). Previous research demonstrated that MCU1 has prognostic value in multiple tumor types, including lung (47,48), colorectal (49,50), gastric (51) and prostate cancer (52). In particular, overexpression of MUC1 is associated with a reduced prognosis, and more malignant and increased grade cancerous tissues in patients with breast cancer (53,54). Furthermore, MUC1 can promote tumor progression and invasiveness through the activation of β-catenin, NF-κB, pyruvate kinase muscle isozyme M2, EGFR and other pathways (13,14,55). However, the distinct roles of MUC1 as a diagnostic marker of poor prognosis and a target for therapeutic intervention in breast cancer remain unknown.

The present analyses were conducted based on the expression of numerous genes with well-defined parameters in breast cancer and normal tissues. Following analyzing the Oncomine database, it was determined that the MUC1 transcriptional level was significantly upregulated in tubular breast carcinoma, invasive lobular breast carcinoma, invasive ductal and invasive lobular breast carcinoma, mucinous breast carcinoma, invasive breast carcinoma, mixed...
Figure 7. MCU1 gene expression is associated with CREB3L4 gene expression in breast cancer. (A) The top 20 genes positively associated with MCU1 transcript level based on TCGA among ~482 patients with breast cancer. (B) Through regression analysis, it was determined that MUC1 and CREB3L4 were highly correlated. (C) Data mining in Breast Cancer Gene-Expression Miner v4.0 further confirmed the positive correlation of MCU1 and CREB3L4 mRNA expression. (D) A heatmap derived from University of California, Santa Cruz Xena revealed the MUC1 and CREB3L4 mRNA expression levels among PAM50 breast cancer subtypes in TCGA database. (E) Association between MUC1 and CREB3L4 gene expression in TCGA database. TCGA, The Cancer Genome Atlas; MUC1, mucin 1; HER2, human epidermal growth factor receptor 2; CREB3L4, cyclic AMP-responsive element-binding protein 3-like 4.

Figure 8. CREB3L4 analysis in breast cancer (Oncomine database). Box plot derived from gene expression data in Oncomine comparing the specific CREB3L4 expression levels in normal and cancer tissues. There are two invasive lobular breast carcinoma datasets from different databases included. CREB3L4, cyclic AMP-responsive element-binding protein 3-like 4.
ductal and lobular carcinoma, intraductal cribriform breast adenocarcinoma and invasive ductal breast carcinoma. Furthermore, bc-GenExMiner 4.0 was used to investigate the expression profile of MUC1 across PAM50 breast cancer subtypes based on different clinicopathological parameters. It was determined that the overexpression of MUC1 associated with increased age and risk of ER (+), PR (+), negative basal-like characteristics and SBR grade status. However, the MUC1 mRNA expression was significantly downregulated in patients with TNBC. Subsequently, the frequencies of alterations and mutations of MUC1 were analyzed through the COSMIC and cBioPortal databases. The present results revealed that the only type of mutation of MUC1 in the breast cancer data were missense mutations. Furthermore, the alteration frequency of MUC1 in breast cancer is notably low. For the sake of investigating the mechanism of the dysregulation of MUC1, the methylation status of MUC1 was analyzed with TCGA Breast Cancer (dataset ID: TCGA.BRCA.sampleMap/HumanMethylation450) (23). The results indicated a negative association between MUC1 expression and methylation status. Survival analysis revealed that over-expressed MUC1 associated significantly with reduced OS, RFS, and DSS time, which indicated that the mRNA level of MUC1 may be a valuable biomarker for the prognosis of patients with breast carcinoma.

By mining co-expression and correlation data, it was determined that CREB3L4 was co-upregulated with MUC1 in breast cancer. CREB3L4 is a member of the CREB/ATF transcription factor family, which regulates various processes, including cell proliferation, differentiation and apoptosis, by regulating gene expression through the cAMP-responsive element (56–59). Furthermore, as an ER membrane-bound bZIP domain-containing transcription factor, CREB3L4 has been reported to be associated with a variety of cancer types, including prostate and hepatocellular carcinomas (43–45,60-62). Thus, the mRNA expression of CREB3L4 was analyzed in breast cancer. It was determined that MUC1 mRNA was significantly increased in invasive ductal and invasive lobular breast carcinoma, tubular breast carcinoma, invasive lobular breast carcinoma, mucinous breast carcinoma, invasive ductal breast carcinoma, and mixed lobular and ductal breast carcinoma, compared with normal samples. The survival results revealed that high expression of CREB3L4 mRNA was associated with reduced RFS and DSS time in breast cancer. These results indicated that MUC1 transcript expression may regulate tumor invasion and metastasis associated with CREB3L4 transcription.

The present study was hypothetically driven and performed using experimental generated data available in public databases. Therefore, this emphasizes the requirement for future experimental verification of the MUC1 prognostic value and downstream targets identified in the present study.
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