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Sodium glucose cotransporter 2 inhibitors and risk of serious adverse events: nationwide register based cohort study

Peter Ueda,1 Henrik Svanström,1,2 Mads Melbye,2,3,4 Björn Eliasson,5 Ann-Marie Svensson,6 Stefan Franzén,6 Soffia Gudbjörnsdottir,5,6 Kristian Hveem,7,8 Christian Jonasson,7,8 Björn Pasternak1,2

ABSTRACT

OBJECTIVE
To assess the association between the use of sodium glucose cotransporter 2 (SGLT2) inhibitors and seven serious adverse events of current concern.

DESIGN
Register based cohort study.

SETTING
Sweden and Denmark from July 2013 to December 2016.

PARTICIPANTS
A propensity score matched cohort of 17 213 new users of SGLT2 inhibitors (dapagliflozin, 61%; empagliflozin, 38%; canagliflozin, 1%) and 17 213 new users of the active comparator, glucagon-like peptide 1 (GLP1) receptor agonists.

MAIN OUTCOME MEASURES
The primary outcomes were lower limb amputation, bone fracture, diabetic ketoacidosis, acute kidney injury, serious urinary tract infection, venous thromboembolism, and acute pancreatitis, as identified from hospital records. Hazard ratios and 95% confidence intervals were estimated by using Cox proportional hazards models.

RESULTS
Use of SGLT2 inhibitors, as compared with GLP1 receptor agonists, was associated with an increased risk of lower limb amputation and diabetic ketoacidosis, acute kidney injury and serious urinary tract infection, venous thromboembolism, and acute pancreatitis.

CONCLUSIONS
In this analysis of nationwide registers from two countries, use of SGLT2 inhibitors, as compared with GLP1 receptor agonists, was associated with an increased risk of lower limb amputation and diabetic ketoacidosis, but not with other serious adverse events of current concern.

Introduction
Sodium glucose cotransporter 2 (SGLT2) inhibitors present a valuable new therapeutic option for the treatment of type 2 diabetes, but concerns have been raised regarding their safety. In the CANVAS Program, patients randomised to the SGLT2 inhibitor canagliflozin experienced significantly higher rates of lower limb amputation (hazard ratio 1.97, 95% confidence interval 1.41 to 2.75) and bone fracture (1.26, 1.04 to 1.52) compared with patients receiving placebo.1 Case reports to the United States Food and Drug Administration’s (FDA) Adverse Event Reporting System (FAERS) have indicated that SGLT2 inhibitors could cause diabetic ketoacidosis,2 3 acute kidney injury,4 5 and serious urinary tract infection.6 SGLT2 inhibitors increase blood viscosity by inducing mild diuresis, which suggests that the risk of venous thromboembolism could be increased.6 7 The FDA is also investigating reports of acute pancreatitis associated with the use of SGLT2 inhibitors.8–10

Completed and ongoing clinical trials are conducted in selected populations and are too small to assess rare adverse events, whereas analyses of case reports have substantial limitations, including a lack of denominators and possible reporting bias. Only four controlled large scale observational studies have been conducted.11–14 Each study investigated one selected adverse event and three studies used study designs with method limitations,11–13 including immortal time bias and lag time bias.15 Therefore, the safety of SGLT2 inhibitors is still uncertain.

In this register based cohort study of patients from routine clinical practice, we used nationwide data from Sweden and Denmark to assess whether the use of SGLT2 inhibitors, as compared with an active comparator (glucagon-like peptide 1 (GLP1) receptor agonists), is associated with an increased risk of seven serious adverse events of current concern.

Methods

Study design
We conducted a cohort study from July 2013 to December 2016, with data from nationwide health and administrative registers in Sweden and Denmark. The
registers included population registers (vital status, demographics), patient registers (comorbidities, outcomes), prescription registers (study drugs, comedications), Statistics Denmark and Statistics Sweden (socioeconomic variables), and the Swedish National Diabetes Register (glycated haemoglobin level, blood pressure, albuminuria, estimated glomerular filtration rate, body mass index, and smoking status), which are described in the supplementary materials.

We used an active comparator new-user study design and controlled for a wide range of potential confounders (patient characteristics that might be associated with both the outcome and the decision to initiate a drug) through a non-parsimonious propensity score model to minimise the risk of bias, including confounding by indication.16 We used GLP1 receptor agonists as the active comparator because of important shared features with SGLT2 inhibitors, including use in similar clinical situations (ie, as second line or third line diabetes drugs, with both drug classes likely considered for patients at high cardiovascular risk) and similar temporal trends of use in the two countries,17 21 but no known associations with the outcomes investigated in this study.

Study population
We included all patients in the two countries, aged 35 or over, who filled their first prescription for either an SGLT2 inhibitor or a GLP1 receptor agonist during the study period; the date of filling the first prescription constituted cohort entry. We excluded patients who had previously filled prescriptions for any of the study drugs; had no hospital contact or use of prescription drugs in the previous year; had dialysis or renal transplantation, endstage illness, drug misuse, or severe pancreatic disorders; or had a hospital stay for any reason in the 30 days before cohort entry (supplementary materials, table 1).

Patients were considered exposed to the study drug if prescriptions were refilled before the estimated end date of the most recent prescription. We included a grace period of 90 days to account for irregular drug intake and to capture events that occurred shortly after treatment cessation (supplementary materials, table 2).

We estimated propensity scores by using logistic regression for the probability of starting an SGLT2 inhibitor conditional on the status of 66 covariates, defined and selected a priori, including sociodemographic characteristics, comorbidities, comedications, and healthcare utilisation, as current at cohort entry in Sweden and Denmark (supplementary materials, table 3). The nationwide registers provided complete information on disease history (for the previous 10 years) and prescription drug use in each country before cohort entry. Missing data on place of birth (<1%), civil status (<1%), and education (3%) were handled with use of missing categories.22

We matched SGLT2 inhibitor and GLP1 receptor agonist users (1:1 ratio, by country) according to propensity score, by using the nearest neighbour matching algorithm (caliper width 0.2 of the standard deviation of the logit score).23 24 Analyses were performed in a pooled dataset of the two countries.

Outcome measures
The primary outcome measures were lower limb amputation, bone fracture, diabetic ketoacidosis, acute kidney injury, serious urinary tract infection, venous thromboembolism, and acute pancreatitis, as captured from the patient registers. We also conducted analyses restricted to toe or metatarsal amputation and to major osteoporotic fracture as additional outcomes. Supplementary materials, table 4 shows outcome definitions according to ICD-10 (international classification of diseases, 10th edition) codes and procedure codes. Diagnoses recorded in Scandinavian health registers generally have high sensitivity and positive predictive value,25 26 although validation studies have only been conducted for some of the outcomes in the present study. Supplementary materials, table 5 shows validation studies in the national patient registers of diagnostic and procedure codes related to those used in our study.

Statistical analyses
Patients were followed from cohort entry to treatment cessation, crossover to the other study drug (ie, initiation of GLP1 receptor agonists among SGLT2 inhibitor users and vice versa), the outcome event, death, emigration, or the end of the study period (31 December 2016). We used Cox proportional hazards regression to calculate hazard ratios, analysing each outcome independently. The absolute risk difference was calculated as hazard ratio−1 multiplied by the rate in the comparator group.

We performed subgroup analyses for all outcomes by country, sex, age group, and history of major cardiovascular disease (supplementary materials, table 6). In additional analyses of diabetic ketoacidosis and acute kidney injury, we truncated follow-up at six months as reports to FAERS indicate that these events can occur shortly after treatment initiation.2 4

For diabetic ketoacidosis and lower limb amputation, we also performed a subgroup analysis according to insulin treatment status at baseline and according to history of peripheral artery disease or lower limb amputation, respectively (supplementary materials, table 7).

For all outcomes, we conducted four sensitivity analyses. Firstly, we used an intention-to-treat exposure definition. In this analysis, patients were considered exposed to the study drug throughout follow-up regardless of treatment cessation or crossover to the other study drug. Secondly, we used an additionally adjusted model. In this analysis, for the propensity score-matched cohort in Sweden, we adjusted the Cox models for additional covariates which provided information about disease severity and comorbidities related to diabetes, including glycated haemoglobin level, blood pressure, albuminuria, estimated glomerular filtration rate, body mass index, and smoking status (supplementary materials,
associated with acute pancreatitis. We performed the sensitivity analyses for bone fracture, using an outcome definition only including fractures that led to hospital stays, and excluding users of thiazolidinediones as these drugs have been associated with an increased risk of fracture. We performed additional sensitivity analysis for acute pancreatitis, excluding users of dipeptidyl peptidase 4 (DPP4) inhibitors because these drugs have been associated with acute pancreatitis. We performed the analysis with SAS version 9.4 software.

**Patient involvement**
No patients were involved in setting the research question or the outcome measures, nor were they involved in developing plans for recruitment, design, or implementation of the study. No patients were asked to advise on interpretation or writing up of results. There are no plans to disseminate the results of the research to study participants or the relevant patient community.

**Results**

**Study population**
Figure 1 shows that we identified 21,008 new users of SGLT2 inhibitors and 27,278 new users of GLP1 receptor agonists who fulfilled the criteria for study eligibility. Table 1 shows that, compared with GLP1 receptor agonist users, SGLT2 inhibitor users were older, more likely to be men and to use DPP4 inhibitors at baseline, and less likely to have an obesity diagnosis and to use insulin at baseline. Figure 1 and table 1 show that after 1:1 propensity score matching, 34,426 patients (17,213 in each group) were included in the study cohort; the two groups were well balanced on all baseline characteristics. Of the SGLT2 inhibitor users, 61% used dapagliflozin, 38% empagliflozin, and 1% canagliflozin. Patient characteristics before and after propensity score matching for each country separately are shown in supplementary materials, tables 9 and 10. In the analyses of the seven primary outcome measures, median follow-up time in the cohort ranged between 270 and 274 days (supplementary materials, table 11).

**Primary outcomes**
Table 2 shows the results of the primary outcome analyses. Use of SGLT2 inhibitors, as compared with GLP1 receptor agonists, was associated with an increased risk of lower limb amputation (hazard ratio 2.32, 95% confidence interval 1.37 to 3.91) and diabetic ketoacidosis (2.14, 1.01 to 4.52) but not with bone fracture (1.11, 0.93 to 1.33), acute kidney injury (0.69, 0.45 to 1.05), serious urinary tract infection (0.89, 0.67 to 1.19), venous thromboembolism (0.99, 0.71 to 1.38), or acute pancreatitis (1.16, 0.64 to 2.12).

**Additional outcomes**
The hazard ratio for use of SGLT2 inhibitors versus GLP1 receptor agonists was 1.55 (95% confidence interval 0.87 to 2.77) for toe or metatarsal amputation and 1.05 (0.80 to 1.39) for major osteoporotic bone fracture (supplementary materials, table 12).

**Subgroup and additional analyses**
Figure 2 shows the results of the subgroup analyses. For each outcome, hazard ratios in analyses by country, sex, age group, and history of cardiovascular disease were similar to those observed in the primary analyses, although several of these subgroup analyses were based on a limited number of events. Hazard ratios were also similar in the analysis of diabetic ketoacidosis according to insulin treatment status and in the analysis of lower limb amputation according to history of peripheral artery disease or lower limb amputation. Hazard ratios in the additional analyses of diabetic ketoacidosis and acute kidney injury in which follow-up was truncated at six months after treatment initiation were similar to those in the primary analyses (supplementary materials, table 14).

**Sensitivity analyses**
Figure 3 shows the results of the sensitivity analyses. Hazard ratios were similar to those in the primary analyses when using an exposure definition on intention-to-treat basis, a grace period of 30 days in the definition of current use of the study drug, and when adjusting the models for country. In the Swedish cohort (baseline characteristics in supplementary materials, table 15), additional adjustment of the models for glycated haemoglobin, blood pressure, albuminuria, estimated glomerular filtration rate, body mass index, and smoking status did not materially affect the findings. In the analysis of bone fracture, hazard ratios were not affected by the exclusion of recent users of thiazolidinediones or by the use of an outcome definition that only included fractures leading to hospital stays. In the analysis of acute pancreatitis, hazard ratios were not affected by the exclusion of recent users of DPP4 inhibitors.

**Discussion**
Using nationwide registers in two countries, we examined the risk of serious adverse events associated with the use of SGLT2 inhibitors. As compared with GLP1 receptor agonists, SGLT2 inhibitor use was associated with twofold increases in the risk of both lower limb amputation and diabetic ketoacidosis, but no noticeable increase in risk was observed for the other investigated adverse events. The findings should be interpreted in the context of limitations of observational studies and the uncertainty of the effect estimates. Based on the upper limit of the confidence interval, our findings are inconsistent with a relative risk increase of more than 33% for bone fracture, 5%
New users of SGLT2 inhibitors eligible for inclusion

Fig 1 | Flowchart of patient inclusion in the study cohort. SGLT2=sodium glucose cotransporter 2; GLP1=glucagon-like peptide 1. *A patient could be excluded for more than one reason.

for acute kidney injury, 19% for serious urinary tract infection, 38% for venous thromboembolism, and 112% for acute pancreatitis.

The twofold increase in risk of lower limb amputation associated with the use of SGLT2 inhibitors observed in our study is in line with the findings from the CANVAS Program, which randomised patients with high cardiovascular risk to canagliflozin or placebo. Importantly, our results were consistent in patients with and without cardiovascular disease and with and without peripheral arterial disease or previous amputation; the event rates, however, were substantially higher in the subgroups with such history. A pooled analysis of clinical trials of dapagliflozin was underpowered to assess lower limb amputations, and no imbalance in this outcome in patients receiving empagliflozin versus placebo was observed in the EMPA-REG OUTCOME trial. Whether the increase in lower limb amputations is a class effect for SGLT2 inhibitors, or specific to individual drugs, needs further study.

In our study, the use of SGLT2 inhibitors was not associated with the risk of bone fracture. Increased risk of fractures in patients using canagliflozin was observed in the CANVAS Program but not in the other trials of canagliflozin. A meta-analysis of clinical trials revealed no imbalance in fracture rates between those treated with empagliflozin versus placebo. Falls owing to volume depletion has been suggested as an underlying mechanism for fractures during use of SGLT2 inhibitors, but it has also been suggested that the drugs affect bone metabolism, as indicated by decreased hip bone mineral density after treatment with canagliflozin. However, in analyses restricted to osteoporotic fractures, our results remained similar.

The twofold increased risk of diabetic ketoacidosis observed in our study is in accordance with an analysis of insurance claims in the US, which showed an increase in risk that was of similar magnitude, comparing SGLT2 inhibitors with DPP4 inhibitors. Analyses of FEARS data showed higher spontaneous reporting rates of diabetic ketoacidosis in SGLT2 inhibitor users than in DPP4 inhibitor users, and rates of this adverse event were numerically higher among those receiving active treatment in the CANVAS Program (0.6 v 0.3 events per 1000 person years), and in a meta-analysis of clinical trials of SGLT2 inhibitors (odds ratio 1.96, 95% confidence interval 0.77 to 4.98). In addition, higher rates of diabetic ketoacidosis have been observed among those receiving SGLT2 inhibitors in trials that include patients with type 1 diabetes. Viewed together, a relatively coherent picture of an increased risk of diabetic ketoacidosis associated with the use of SGLT2 inhibitors has emerged, although the absolute risk increase seems small.

Reports to FAERS and trials showing a transient decrease in glomerular filtration rate after initiation of SGLT2 inhibitors have given rise to the concern that SGLT2 inhibitors might lead to acute kidney injury. In our study, we found no such association; these findings are in line with those from meta-analyses of trials of SGLT2 inhibitors, the EMPA-REG OUTCOME trial, and the CANVAS Program where rates of acute kidney injury were similar or numerically lower among patients randomized to SGLT2 inhibitors.

We found no association between the use of SGLT2 inhibitors and the risk of serious urinary tract infection. Whereas reports to FAERS and an imbalance in the proportion of patients experiencing urosepsis in the EMPA-REG OUTCOME trial suggested that SGLT2 inhibitors could lead to serious urinary tract infections, such associations were not observed in the CANVAS Program and in a meta-analysis of empagliflozin trials. We found no increase in the risk of venous thromboembolism associated with the use of SGLT2 inhibitors. It has been speculated that SGLT2 inhibitors might increase the risk of venous thromboembolism by increasing blood viscosity. A meta-analysis of clinical trials, including data from regulatory submissions, showed a hazard ratio of 1.54 (95% confidence interval 0.63 to 3.79) for venous thromboembolism in patients receiving active treatment versus placebo, but there was no imbalance in event rates in the subsequently presented CANVAS Program or in meta-analyses of SGLT2 inhibitor trials.

Reports to FAERS have prompted the FDA to investigate the risk of acute pancreatitis associated with use of SGLT2 inhibitors. Clinical trials have been too small to assess this potential adverse event. We found no association between the use of SGLT2 inhibitors and acute pancreatitis.
### Table 1 | Baseline characteristics of users of sodium glucose cotransporter 2 (SGLT2) inhibitors and glucagon-like peptide 1 (GLP1) receptor agonists, before and after propensity score matching. Values are numbers (percentages) unless stated otherwise

| Characteristic                                                                 | Before                                                                 | After                                                                 |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------|
| **No of patients**                                                            | 21 008 (100)                                                          | 27 278 (100)                                                         |
| **Gender**                                                                    |                                                                       |                                                                       |
| Men                                                                            | 13 113 (62)                                                           | 15 712 (58)                                                          |
| **Mean (SD) age (years)**                                                     | 62 (10)                                                               | 60 (11)                                                              |
| **SGLT2 inhibitor**                                                           |                                                                       |                                                                       |
| Dapagliflozin                                                                 | 12 821 (61)                                                           | 10 454 (61)                                                          |
| Empagliflozin                                                                 | 7 864 (37)                                                            | 6 506 (38)                                                           |
| Canagliflozin                                                                 | 324 (2)                                                               | 254 (2)                                                              |
| **Country**                                                                   |                                                                       |                                                                       |
| Sweden                                                                        | 11 891 (57)                                                           | 10 512 (61)                                                          |
| Denmark                                                                       | 9 117 (43)                                                            | 6 701 (39)                                                           |
| **Place of birth**                                                            |                                                                       |                                                                       |
| Scandinavia                                                                   | 17 242 (82)                                                           | 14 607 (85)                                                          |
| Rest of Europe                                                                | 16 718 (77)                                                           | 14 556 (85)                                                          |
| Outside Europe                                                                | 2 052 (10)                                                            | 1 399 (8)                                                            |
| **Civil status**                                                              |                                                                       |                                                                       |
| Married or living with partner†                                               | 12 172 (58)                                                           | 9 819 (57)                                                           |
| Single                                                                        | 8 778 (42)                                                            | 7 348 (43)                                                           |
| **Missing**                                                                   |                                                                       |                                                                       |
| Education                                                                     |                                                                       |                                                                       |
| Primary school, secondary school, or vocational training                      | 16 518 (79)                                                           | 13 509 (78)                                                          |
| Short tertiary education                                                      | 1 647 (7)                                                             | 1 253 (7)                                                            |
| Medium or long tertiary education                                             | 2 495 (12)                                                            | 2 064 (12)                                                           |
| **Missing**                                                                   |                                                                       |                                                                       |
| **Year of cohort entry‡**                                                     |                                                                       |                                                                       |
| 2013                                                                          | 1 005 (5)                                                             | 8 33 (5)                                                             |
| 2014                                                                          | 3 648 (17)                                                            | 3 034 (18)                                                           |
| 2015                                                                          | 6 268 (30)                                                            | 5 161 (30)                                                           |
| 2016                                                                          | 10 087 (48)                                                           | 8 185 (48)                                                           |
| **Comorbidities**                                                             |                                                                       |                                                                       |
| Acute coronary syndrome                                                       | 1 608 (8)                                                             | 1 300 (8)                                                            |
| Other ischaemic heart disease                                                | 3 648 (17)                                                            | 2 786 (16)                                                           |
| Heart failure or cardiomyopathy                                              | 1 195 (6)                                                             | 1 026 (6)                                                            |
| Valve disorders                                                               | 4 02 (2)                                                              | 326 (2)                                                              |
| Stroke                                                                        | 8 36 (4)                                                              | 679 (4)                                                              |
| Other cerebrovascular disease                                                | 9 18 (4)                                                              | 757 (4)                                                              |
| Atrial fibrillation                                                          | 1 462 (7)                                                             | 1 222 (7)                                                            |
| Other arrhythmia                                                             | 8 36 (4)                                                              | 673 (4)                                                              |
| Coronary revascularisation in the past year                                  | 2 821 (13)                                                            | 2 30 (1)                                                             |
| Other cardiac surgery or invasive procedure in the past year                 | 1 07 (1)                                                              | 86 (<1)                                                              |
| Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease                                        | 6 62 (3)                                                              | 586 (3)                                                              |
| **Other lung disease**                                                        |                                                                       |                                                                       |
| **Obstructive pulmonary disease**                                            |                                                                       |                                                                       |
| Venous thromboembolism                                                       | 4 95 (2)                                                              | 4 40 (3)                                                             |
| Cancer                                                                        | 1 433 (7)                                                             | 1 152 (7)                                                            |
| Liver disease                                                                 | 4 20 (2)                                                              | 349 (2)                                                              |
| Rheumatic disease                                                             | 5 98 (3)                                                              | 499 (3)                                                              |
| Psychiatric disorder                                                         | 1 914 (9)                                                             | 1 705 (10)                                                           |
| Fracture in the past year                                                    | 3 26 (2)                                                              | 267 (2)                                                              |
| Acute pancreatitis                                                           | 1 98 (1)                                                              | 1 56 (1)                                                             |
| Serious urinary tract infection                                              | 4 05 (2)                                                              | 361 (2)                                                              |
| Lower limb amputation                                                        | 34 (<1)                                                               | 27 (<1)                                                              |
| Arterial disease                                                              | 1 244 (6)                                                             | 1 035 (6)                                                            |
| Renal disease                                                                 | 7 55 (4)                                                              | 708 (4)                                                              |
| **Obesity§**                                                                  |                                                                       |                                                                       |
| Diabetic eye complications                                                   | 2 605 (12)                                                            | 2 274 (13)                                                           |
| Diabetic ketoacidosis                                                        | 54 (<1)                                                               | 29 (<1)                                                              |
| Diabetics, other complications                                               | 3 777 (18)                                                            | 3 252 (19)                                                           |
| Hospital stays in the past year for:                                         |                                                                       |                                                                       |
| Cardiovascular causes                                                        | 8 09 (4)                                                              | 682 (4)                                                              |
| Type 2 diabetes                                                              | 1 63 (1)                                                              | 145 (1)                                                              |
| Other causes                                                                 | 2 372 (11)                                                            | 2 001 (12)                                                           |
| Outpatient visits in the past year for:                                      |                                                                       |                                                                       |
| Cardiovascular causes                                                        | 1 701 (8)                                                             | 1 433 (8)                                                            |
| Type 2 diabetes                                                              | 3 364 (16)                                                            | 3 054 (18)                                                           |
| **Other causes**                                                              |                                                                       |                                                                       |
| (Continued)
Strengths and weaknesses in relation to other studies
Using nationwide registers to include data from a large number of patients seen in routine clinical practice and implementing a controlled study design that sought to limit the risk of different sources of bias, our study substantially expands on current knowledge regarding the safety of SGLT2 inhibitors and quantifies the risk of serious adverse events potentially linked to the drug class. To date, four controlled large scale observational studies, each investigating one selected outcome (lower limb amputation and diabetic

| Characteristic                                                                 | Before          | After           |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Diabetes drugs used in the past six months:                                    | SGLT2 inhibitors | GLP1 receptor agonists |
| Metformin                                                                      | 17 203 (82)     | 20 790 (76)     |
| Sulphonylureas                                                                 | 4957 (26)       | 5133 (20)       |
| DPP4 inhibitors                                                                | 8315 (40)       | 7681 (28)       |
| Insulin, fast acting                                                           | 2796 (12)       | 6824 (25)       |
| Insulin, intermediate and long acting                                          | 5105 (26)       | 11 818 (43)     |
| Other antidiabetics (glitazones, glinides, acarbose)                           | 825 (4)         | 945 (3)         |
| No diabetes                                                                    | 10138 (5)       | 1522 (6)        |

| Time (years) since first diabetes drug:                                        |                 |                 |
| <1                                                                             | 1648 (8)        | 2405 (9)        |
| 1-2                                                                           | 2463 (12)       | 3069 (11)       |
| 3-4                                                                           | 2943 (14)       | 3599 (13)       |
| 5-6                                                                           | 3173 (15)       | 3765 (14)       |
| ≥7                                                                            | 10 781 (51)     | 14 440 (53)     |

| Other drugs used in the past year:                                            |                 |                 |
|ARB or ACE-I                                                                   | 14 402 (69)     | 19 059 (70)     |
| Calcium channel blocker                                                       | 6729 (32)       | 9262 (34)       |
| Loop diuretic                                                                 | 2463 (12)       | 4722 (17)       |
| Other diuretic                                                                | 3558 (17)       | 5231 (19)       |
| Beta blocker                                                                  | 7414 (35)       | 10 196 (37)     |
| Digoxin                                                                       | 454 (2)         | 619 (2)         |
| Nitrate                                                                       | 1505 (7)        | 1939 (7)        |
| Platelet inhibitors                                                           | 7447 (35)       | 9353 (34)       |
| Anticoagulant                                                                 | 1670 (7)        | 2132 (8)        |
| Lipid lowering drug                                                           | 15 046 (72)     | 19 374 (71)     |
| Antidepressant                                                                | 3139 (15)       | 5206 (19)       |
| Antipsychotic                                                                 | 701 (3)         | 989 (4)         |
| Anxiolytic, hypnotic, or sedative                                             | 3154 (15)       | 4618 (17)       |
| Beta 2 agonist inhalant                                                       | 1725 (8)        | 2887 (11)       |
| Anticholinergic inhalant                                                      | 538 (3)         | 854 (3)         |
| Glucocorticoid inhalant                                                       | 1819 (9)        | 2964 (11)       |
| Oral glucocorticoid                                                           | 1365 (6)        | 2039 (7)        |
| Non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drug                                          | 4828 (23)       | 6544 (24)       |
| Opioid                                                                        | 3474 (17)       | 5384 (20)       |

| Number of drugs used in the past year:                                        |                 |                 |
| 0-4                                                                           | 2620 (12)       | 2515 (9)        |
| 5-9                                                                           | 8972 (43)       | 10 046 (37)     |
| 10-14                                                                        | 5995 (29)       | 8360 (31)       |
| ≥15                                                                          | 3421 (16)       | 6357 (23)       |

DDP4=dipeptidyl peptidase 4; ARB=angiotensin receptor blocker; ACE-I=angiotensin converting enzyme inhibitor; NA=not applicable.
*One patient received both dapagliflozin and empagliflozin at cohort entry.
†Including married or living with partner in Denmark and married in Sweden.
‡Not included in propensity score.
§Diagnosis of obesity in secondary care.

Table 1 | Primary outcome analyses of association between use of sodium glucose cotransporter 2 (SGLT2) inhibitors compared with glucagon-like peptide 1 (GLP1) receptor agonists and risk of serious adverse events

| Adverse event                           | SGLT2 inhibitors (n=17 213) | GLP1 receptor agonists (n=17 213) | Hazard ratio (95% CI) | Absolute risk difference (95% CI) |
|-----------------------------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------------------|
| Lower limb amputation                   | 40                         | 2.7                               | 2.32 (1.37 to 3.91)   | 1.5 (0.4 to 3.3)                 |
| Bone fracture                           | 228                        | 15.4                              | 1.11 (0.93 to 1.33)   | 1.5 (-1.0 to 4.6)                |
| Diabetic ketoacidosis                   | 19                         | 1.3                               | 2.14 (1.01 to 4.52)   | 0.7 (0.0 to 2.0)                 |
| Acute kidney injury                     | 34                         | 2.3                               | 0.69 (0.45 to 1.05)   | -1.0 (-1.8 to 0.2)               |
| Serious urinary tract infection         | 80                         | 5.4                               | 0.89 (0.67 to 1.19)   | -0.7 (-2.0 to 1.1)               |
| Venous thromboembolism                  | 63                         | 4.2                               | 0.99 (0.71 to 1.38)   | 0.0 (-1.2 to 1.6)                |
| Acute pancreatitis                      | 20                         | 1.3                               | 1.16 (0.64 to 2.12)   | 0.2 (-0.4 to 1.3)                |
| Lower limb amputation | Country | No of events/No of events per 1000 patient years | Hazard ratio (95% CI) | Hazard ratio (95% CI) |
|----------------------|---------|-----------------------------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
|                     | Sweden  | 18/2                                         | 2.70 (1.17 to 6.22)  |                      |
|                     | Denmark | 22/3.8                                       | 2.18 (1.11 to 4.30)  |                      |
| Sex                  | Female  | 8/1.4                                        | 5.13 (1.08 to 24.3)  |                      |
|                     | Male    | 32/3.5                                       | 2.02 (1.15 to 3.54)  |                      |
| Age (years)          | ≥65     | 19/3.3                                       | 1.96 (0.96 to 4.00)  |                      |
| Cardiovascular disease | No     | 16/1.3                                       | 2.12 (0.96 to 4.69)  |                      |
|                     | Yes     | 24/9                                         | 2.59 (1.29 to 5.22)  |                      |
| Peripheral artery disease or lower limb amputation | No | 18/1.3                                       | 2.48 (1.14 to 5.40)  |                      |
|                     | Yes     | 22/3.2                                       | 2.13 (1.05 to 4.32)  |                      |
| Bone fracture        | Country | Sweden                                        | 1.06 (0.84 to 1.34)  |                      |
|                     |         | Denmark                                       | 1.20 (0.91 to 1.57)  |                      |
| Sex                  | Female  | 119/20.9                                     | 1.19 (0.93 to 1.53)  |                      |
|                     | Male    | 109/11.9                                     | 1.04 (0.81 to 1.34)  |                      |
| Age (years)          | ≥65     | 123/13.4                                     | 1.15 (0.90 to 1.47)  |                      |
| Cardiovascular disease | No     | 105/19.6                                     | 1.06 (0.82 to 1.38)  |                      |
|                     | Yes     | 51/19.4                                      | 0.96 (0.67 to 1.38)  |                      |
| Diabetic ketoacidosis | Country | Sweden                                        | 2.33 (0.87 to 6.22)  |                      |
|                     |         | Denmark                                       | 1.90 (0.59 to 6.11)  |                      |
| Sex                  | Female  | 8/1.4                                        | 4.64 (0.98 to 21.9)  |                      |
|                     | Male    | 11/1.2                                       | 1.55 (0.64 to 3.77)  |                      |
| Age (years)          | ≥65     | 13/1.4                                       | 3.31 (1.17 to 9.34)  |                      |
| Cardiovascular disease | No     | 6/1                                           | 1.18 (0.38 to 3.69)  |                      |
|                     | Yes     | 16/1.3                                       | 2.24 (0.98 to 5.09)  |                      |
| Acute kidney injury  | Country | Sweden                                        | 0.62 (0.38 to 1.01)  |                      |
|                     |         | Denmark                                       | 0.90 (0.39 to 2.08)  |                      |
| Sex                  | Female  | 14/2.4                                       | 0.69 (0.36 to 1.33)  |                      |
|                     | Male    | 20/2.2                                       | 0.69 (0.40 to 1.19)  |                      |
| Age (years)          | ≥65     | 10/1.1                                       | 0.62 (0.29 to 1.31)  |                      |
| Cardiovascular disease | No     | 24/4.2                                       | 0.74 (0.44 to 1.22)  |                      |
|                     | Yes     | 14/5.3                                       | 0.57 (0.33 to 0.97)  |                      |
| No of events/No of events per 1000 patient years | SGLT2 inhibitors | GLP1 receptor agonists | Hazard ratio (95% CI) | Hazard ratio (95% CD) |}

| Serious urinary tract infection | Country | No of events/No of events per 1000 patient years | Hazard ratio (95% CI) | Hazard ratio (95% CD) |
|--------------------------------|---------|-----------------------------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
|                                | Sweden  | 42/4.6                                       | 0.72 (0.49 to 1.05)  |                      |
|                                | Denmark | 38/6.6                                       | 1.21 (0.78 to 1.88)  |                      |
| Sex                            | Female  | 34/5.9                                       | 0.91 (0.58 to 1.41)  |                      |
|                                | Male    | 46/5.6                                       | 0.89 (0.61 to 1.30)  |                      |
| Age (years)                    | ≥65     | 36/3.9                                       | 1.20 (0.76 to 1.90)  |                      |
|                                | ≥65     | 44/7.7                                       | 0.75 (0.52 to 1.10)  |                      |
| Cardiovascular disease         | No      | 49/4                                         | 0.90 (0.62 to 1.29)  |                      |
|                                | Yes     | 31/11.7                                      | 0.93 (0.58 to 1.47)  |                      |
| Venous thromboembolism         | Country | Sweden                                        | 0.99 (0.65 to 1.52)  |                      |
|                                | Denmark | 24/4.2                                       | 0.97 (0.57 to 1.65)  |                      |
| Sex                            | Female  | 29/5                                         | 1.22 (0.73 to 2.03)  |                      |
|                                | Male    | 34/3.7                                       | 0.85 (0.55 to 1.33)  |                      |
| Age (years)                    | ≥65     | 30/3.2                                       | 1.28 (0.77 to 2.14)  |                      |
|                                | ≥65     | 33/5.8                                       | 0.83 (0.53 to 1.29)  |                      |
| Cardiovascular disease         | No      | 47/3.8                                       | 1.02 (0.69 to 1.51)  |                      |
|                                | Yes     | 16/6                                         | 0.95 (0.50 to 1.81)  |                      |
| Acute pancreatitis             | Country | Sweden                                        | 1.44 (0.68 to 3.03)  |                      |
|                                | Denmark | 5/0.9                                        | 0.74 (0.25 to 2.18)  |                      |
| Sex                            | Female  | 9/1.6                                        | 2.62 (0.87 to 7.86)  |                      |
|                                | Male    | 11/1.2                                       | 0.78 (0.37 to 1.66)  |                      |
| Age (years)                    | ≥65     | 16/1.7                                       | 1.48 (0.72 to 3.05)  |                      |
|                                | ≥65     | 4/0.7                                        | 0.63 (0.19 to 2.05)  |                      |
| Cardiovascular disease         | No      | 15/1.2                                       | 1.09 (0.55 to 2.17)  |                      |
|                                | Yes     | 5/1.9                                        | 1.46 (0.42 to 5.10)  |                      |

Fig 2 | Subgroup analyses of serious adverse events among sodium glucose cotransporter 2 (SGLT2) inhibitor users compared with glucagon-like peptide 1 (GLP1) receptor agonist users. P values for homogeneity (all >0.05) are shown in supplementary materials, table 13. *Number of events not specified for ≤3 according to regulations on reporting Danish register data.
### Outcome

| Outcome                              | No of events/No of events per 1000 patient years | Hazard ratio (95% CI) | Hazard ratio (95% CI) |
|--------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| Lower limb amputation                |                                               |                       |                       |
| Intention-to-treat exposure definition| 56/2.9                                        | 1.90 (1.25 to 2.87)   |                       |
| Additionally adjusted model          | 18/2.0                                        | 2.79 (1.19 to 6.55)   |                       |
| Varied grace period (30 days)        | 31/2.6                                        | 2.70 (1.41 to 5.17)   |                       |
| Adjusted for country                 | 40/2.7                                        | 2.37 (1.40 to 4.00)   |                       |
| Bone fracture                        |                                               |                       |                       |
| Intention-to-treat exposure definition| 318/16.4                                      | 1.07 (0.92 to 1.24)   |                       |
| Additionally adjusted model          | 131/14.4                                      | 1.09 (0.86 to 1.39)   |                       |
| Varied grace period (30 days)        | 178/15.3                                      | 1.12 (0.91 to 1.37)   |                       |
| Adjusted for country                 | 228/15.4                                      | 1.11 (0.93 to 1.33)   |                       |
| Varied outcome definition            | 66/4.4                                        | 1.09 (0.78 to 1.51)   |                       |
| Excluding users of thiazolidinediones | 223/15.2                                      | 1.12 (0.94 to 1.34)   |                       |
| Diabetic ketoacidosis                |                                               |                       |                       |
| Intention-to-treat exposure definition| 23/1.2                                        | 1.95 (1.00 to 3.80)   |                       |
| Additionally adjusted model          | 12/1.3                                        | 2.13 (0.79 to 5.78)   |                       |
| Varied grace period (30 days)        | 11/0.9                                        | 1.99 (0.73 to 5.41)   |                       |
| Adjusted for country                 | 19/1.3                                        | 2.14 (1.01 to 4.52)   |                       |
| Acute kidney injury                  |                                               |                       |                       |
| Intention-to-treat exposure definition| 55/2.8                                        | 0.90 (0.63 to 1.27)   |                       |
| Additionally adjusted model          | 25/2.7                                        | 0.72 (0.44 to 1.18)   |                       |
| Varied grace period (30 days)        | 26/2.2                                        | 0.73 (0.45 to 1.20)   |                       |
| Adjusted for country                 | 34/2.3                                        | 0.68 (0.45 to 1.03)   |                       |
| Serious urinary tract infection      |                                               |                       |                       |
| Intention-to-treat exposure definition| 125/6.4                                       | 1.06 (0.84 to 1.35)   |                       |
| Additionally adjusted model          | 42/6.6                                        | 0.81 (0.55 to 1.20)   |                       |
| Varied grace period (30 days)        | 64/5.5                                        | 0.88 (0.64 to 1.22)   |                       |
| Adjusted for country                 | 80/5.4                                        | 0.89 (0.67 to 1.19)   |                       |
| Venous thromboembolism               |                                               |                       |                       |
| Intention-to-treat exposure definition| 91/4.6                                        | 0.99 (0.75 to 1.30)   |                       |
| Additionally adjusted model          | 39/4.3                                        | 1.11 (0.72 to 1.71)   |                       |
| Varied grace period (30 days)        | 48/4.1                                        | 0.98 (0.67 to 1.44)   |                       |
| Adjusted for country                 | 63/4.2                                        | 0.99 (0.71 to 1.38)   |                       |
| Acute pancreatitis                   |                                               |                       |                       |
| Intention-to-treat exposure definition| 29/1.5                                        | 1.27 (0.76 to 2.13)   |                       |
| Additionally adjusted model          | 15/1.6                                        | 1.47 (0.69 to 3.14)   |                       |
| Varied grace period (30 days)        | 17/1.4                                        | 1.35 (0.68 to 2.68)   |                       |
| Adjusted for country                 | 20/1.3                                        | 1.15 (0.63 to 2.10)   |                       |
| Excluding users of DPP4 inhibitors   | 14/1.5                                        | 1.20 (0.58 to 2.48)   |                       |

Fig 3 | Sensitivity analyses of serious adverse events among sodium glucose cotransporter 2 (SGLT2) inhibitor users compared with glucagon-like peptide 1 (GLP1) receptor agonist users. DPP4=dipeptidyl peptidase 4.

Ketoacidosis has been suggested. However, pharmacologic properties and off-target effects specific to individual SGLT2 inhibitors remains a topic for additional examination.

Secondly, the number of events in many of the subgroup analyses were low, resulting in wide confidence intervals. More well powered analyses will require further accumulation of clinical data on the use of SGLT2 inhibitors.

Thirdly, we defined drug exposure based on filled prescriptions; low adherence might bias the results towards the null.

Fourthly, although diagnoses recorded in Scandinavian health registers generally have high sensitivity and positive predictive value, validation studies of the outcomes in our study have only been performed for venous thromboembolism, acute pancreatitis, and certain fractures, infections, and amputations. Outcome misclassification could have introduced bias in our analyses; such misclassification is unlikely to be differential in the context of this study design and would thus only

We attempted to limit the risk of confounding by using a new-user design in which patients had no history of either study drug at cohort entry, a nonparsimonious propensity score and rigorous matching, as well as GLP1 receptor agonists as the comparator. In sensitivity analyses in Sweden (61% of the overall cohort), we also adjusted for glycated haemoglobin level, blood pressure, albuminuria, estimated glomerular filtration rate, body mass index, and smoking status; the consistency of results between these analyses and our main analyses indicate that confounding owing to these variables was minimal, although some of these analyses had few events for each variable used for multivariate adjustment.

## Limitations

Our study has limitations. Firstly, we performed analyses for SGLT2 inhibitors as a drug class; dapagliflozin (61%) and empagliflozin (38%) were the most common SGLT2 inhibitors in our study population and use of canagliflozin was rare. The mechanisms underlying the potential adverse events associated with SGLT2 inhibitors are unknown. Class-wide effects, including volume depletion (lower limb amputation), bone fracture, diabetic ketoacidosis, acute kidney injury, and venous thromboembolism, increased levels of phosphate and secondary hyperparathyroidism (bone fracture), non-insulin dependent glucose lowering and increased glucagon levels (diabetic ketoacidosis), uricosuria (acute kidney injury), and glucosuria (serious urinary tract infection) have been suggested. However, pharmacologic properties and off-target effects specific to individual drugs have been described and reduced hip bone mineral density has been observed after treatment with canagliflozin. Uncertainty regarding the potential effects specific to individual SGLT2 inhibitors remains and assessment of adverse events for individual SGLT2 inhibitors remains a topic for additional examination.
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