Characterization of bovine MHC DRB3 diversity in global cattle breeds, with a focus on cattle in Myanmar
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Abstract

Background: Myanmar cattle populations predominantly consist of native cattle breeds (Pyer Sein and Shwe), characterized by their geographical location and coat color, and the Holstein-Friesian crossbreed, which is highly adapted to the harsh tropical climates of this region. Here, we analyzed the diversity and genetic structure of the BoLA-DRB3 gene, a genetic locus that has been linked to the immune response, in Myanmar cattle populations.

Methods: Blood samples (n = 294) were taken from two native breeds (Pyer Sein, n = 163 and Shwe Ni, n = 69) and a cattle crossbreed (Holstein-Friesian, n = 62) distributed across six regions of Myanmar (Bago, n = 38; Sagaing, n = 77; Mandalay, n = 46; Magway, n = 46; Kayin, n = 43; Yangon, n = 44). In addition, a database that included 2428 BoLA-DRB3 genotypes from European (Angus, Hereford, Holstein, Shorthorn, Overo Negro, Overo Colorado, and Jersey), Zebuine (Nellore, Brahman and Gir), Asian Native from Japan and Philippine and Latin-American Creole breeds was also included. Furthermore, the information from the IPD-MHC database was also used in the present analysis. DNA was genotyped using the sequence-based typing method. DNA electropherograms were analyzed using the Assign 400ATF software.

(Continued on next page)
Background

Myanmar, located in tropical Southeast Asia, is divided into seven topographic regions: Northern Hills, Western Hills, Shan Plateau, Central Belt, Lower Myanmar Delta, Rakhine Coastal Region and Tanintharyi Coastal Strip. The country has a tropical monsoon climate with three seasons: hot (mid-February to mid-May), rainy (mid-May to mid-October) and cool season (mid-October to mid-February).

Myanmar’s livestock population consists of about 18.7 million head of cattle which are distributed throughout the country, but most concentrated in the central region [1]. Currently, the Myanmar cattle population can be separated into the two native breeds (Shwe Ni and Pyer Sein) and the Holstein-Friesian crossbred. These populations are predominantly made up of animals with a low degree of artificial selection but which are adapted to the harsh tropical environment, resistant to tropical diseases and external parasites, and able to thrive on low-quality roughages and grasses [2]. Myanmar native cattle are zebu type (Bos indicus) cattle and are characterized by their geographical location and coat color. The indigenous breeds Shwe Ni and Pyer Sein are mainly used for draught and to a lesser extent dairy production [3]. Only older animals who are no longer fit for work are slaughtered for beef consumption.

Pyer Sein breed was obtained by crossing Indian and native cattle breeds. Indian breeds included Red Sindhi, Harianna and Thari imported during British colonial times and after Myanmar independence to improve the local milk production. Shwe Ni, the true indigenous Myanmar cattle are mostly red or pied and moderately small, compact and with fine bones [3]. In addition, European dairy breeds including Friesians, Jersey, Guernsey and Norwegian Reds were brought into the country in the second half of the twentieth century. Nowadays, the Holstein-Friesian crossbred is the most popular dairy cow in Myanmar.

The major histocompatibility complex (MHC) is a major component of the adaptive immune system; with MHC genes encoding the cell-surface glycoproteins that bind small peptide fragments derived from host- and pathogen-expressed proteins via proteolysis [4]. The bovine MHC, known as the bovine leukocyte antigen (BoLA), includes three copies of the DRB class II gene with the BoLA-DRB3 variant being the most highly expressed and polymorphic [5]. This locus’ polymorphisms influence both the magnitude and epitope specificity of the antigen-specific T cell response to infectious diseases [6]. Polymorphic sites within the BoLA-DRB3 gene are mainly found at the peptide-binding sites that comprise the a1 and b1 domains of the protein, with most maintained by balancing or overdominance selection [7, 8]. The BoLA-DRB3 gene is associated with resistance/susceptibility to infectious disease (e.g., bovine leukemia virus-induced lymphocytes, mastitis and dermatophilosis), different immunological and production traits (e.g., milk yield) and different vaccine responses (e.g., to foot-and-mouth disease and Theileria parva) [9, 10]. For these reasons, the study of the genetic variability in bovine MHC genes is of interest for evolutionary biologists and animal sciences researchers, as well as, veterinarians, breeders and cattle owners. The analysis of the genetic diversity of the BoLA-DRB3 gene began more than 25 years ago with pioneering studies using serotype

Results: We detected 71 distinct alleles, including three new variants for the BoLA-DRB3 gene. Venn analysis showed that 11 of these alleles were only detected in Myanmar native breeds and 26 were only shared with Asian native and/or Zebu groups. The number of alleles ranged from 33 in Holstein-Friesians to 58 in Pyer Seins, and the observed versus unbiased expected heterozygosity were higher than 0.84 in all the three the populations analyzed. The FST analysis showed a low level of genetic differentiation between the two Myanmar native breeds (FST = 0.003), and between these native breeds and the Holstein-Friesians (FST < 0.021). The average FST value for all the Myanmar Holstein-Friesian crossbred and Myanmar native populations was 0.0136 and 0.0121, respectively. Principal component analysis (PCA) and tree analysis showed that Myanmar native populations grouped in a narrow cluster that diverged clearly from the Holstein-Friesian populations. Furthermore, the BoLA-DRB3 allele frequencies suggested that while some Myanmar native populations from Bago, Mandalay and Yangon regions were more closely related to Zebu breeds (Gir and Brahman), populations from Kayin, Magway and Sagaing regions were more related to the Philippines native breeds. On the contrary, PCA showed that the Holstein-Friesian populations demonstrated a high degree of dispersion, which is likely the result of the different degrees of native admixture in these populations.

Conclusion: This study is the first to report the genetic diversity of the BoLA-DRB3 gene in two native breeds and one exotic cattle crossbreed from Myanmar. The results obtained contribute to our understanding of the genetic diversity and distribution of BoLA-DRB3 gene alleles in Myanmar, and increases our knowledge of the worldwide variability of cattle BoLA-DRB3 genes, an important locus for immune response and protection against pathogens.
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analysis [11, 12]. Later, different genotyping techniques were applied (sequencing of cloned genomic DNA, cDNA, cloned PCR products or PCR-RFLP) to several different bovine breeds (e.g., [13–15]). Currently, polymerase chain reaction-sequence based typing (PCR-SBT) [16–27] and target next generation sequencing (TargetNGS) [28] are the most commonly employed and powerful tools used in this type of analysis. However, these techniques have been applied in studies which have included only a handful of the over 800 recognized cattle breeds worldwide [16–28]. Consequently, there are still a number of breeds that remain uncharacterized, and this number increases when local native bovine breeds are considered [17, 18, 26, 29]. As a result of these studies there are 144 described BoLA-DRB3 alleles for bovines, and 303 subtypes listed in the Immuno Polymorphism Database (IPD-MHC) [30, 31]. In addition, previous studies [16–27] have shown an even distribution of BoLA-DRB3 polymorphisms between the major bovine types (Bos taurus and B. indicus) and breeds. This is likely as a result of various factors including breed origin, artificial or natural selection and geographical distribution.

The aim of this work was to assess, at both the allele and molecular levels, the inherent genetic diversity and structure of the BoLA-DRB3 gene in Myanmar cattle.

Results

Distribution of BoLA-DRB3 alleles between selected Myanmar cattle breeds

PCR-SBT genotyping allowed us to identify 72 BoLA-DRB3 alleles (69 previously reported variants and three new alleles; Table 1) for the breeds selected in this study. The number of alleles ($n_a$) was 57 in Pyer Sein cattle (54 previously reported and three new), 43 in Shwe Ni (41 previously reported and two new), and 33 in the Holstein-Friesian crossbreed (32 previously reported and one new) (Tables 1 and 2). Nucleotide and predicted amino acid sequences of the three new allele variants are shown in Fig. 1.

The three new variants were assigned allele names by IPD-MHC, namely, BoLA-DRB3*002:02, which differs from BoLA-DRB3*002:01 at 147 positions; BoLA-DRB3*079:01, which differs from DRB3*001:01 at seven positions (76, 108, 129, 206, 218, 220 and 254); and BoLA-DRB3*080:01, which differs from BoLA-DRB3*003:01 at five positions (108, 157, 173, 199 and 207). All three new BoLA-DRB3 alleles shared about 90–94% and 83.7–90.7% nucleotide and amino acid similarity with the BoLA-DRB3 cDNA clone NR1 (correspond to GenBank accession number D45357 and the allele BoLA-DRB3*016:01), respectively [5].

A Venn diagram was constructed using data obtained in this study and from previous reports [18, 23, 29] which include 102 BoLA-DRB3 alleles. Data were grouped in terms of the breed’s geographical origin as follows: Myanmar native breeds (Pyer Sein and Shwe Ni) and Holstein-Friesian crossbreed; Asian native (Philippine native and Japanese Black); Zebu (Bolivian Nellore, Bolivian Gir, Peruvian Nellore-Brahman and Philippine Brahman); and European (Chilean Hereford, Chilean Black Angus, Chilean Red Angus, Japanese Jersey, Japanese Shorthorn and Japanese Holstein) breeds. Six alleles were not present in any of these breed groups. This analysis revealed that out of the 96 alleles identified in the five cattle groups, only nine were detected in the Myanmar native breeds (Fig. 2a), three of which exhibited gene frequencies that were higher than 0.5% (Fig. 2b). Two other variants were only present in Myanmar native breeds and the Holstein-Friesian crossbreed. Together, these 11 alleles represent about 15% of the 73 alleles detected in the Myanmar native cattle and Holstein-Friesian crossbreed. Twenty-six other alleles were only found in Myanmar cattle populations and Asian native or Zebu breeds, or a combination of these groups. In addition, the BoLA-DRB3 NJ tree including all the previously reported alleles and the three new variants ones showed that the variants detected in Myanmar cattle populations were interspersed among the various clusters (Fig. 3).

Three, six and five alleles appeared with frequencies of >5% in Pyer Sein, Shwe Ni and Holstein-Friesians, respectively. Three of these high-frequency (>5%) alleles (BoLA-DRB3*002:01, *017:03 and *022:01) were common in at least two out of three Myanmar populations (Table 1). These common alleles accounted for a low proportion of the cumulative gene frequencies (19.02, 34.78 and 43.13% in Pyer Sein, Shwe Ni and Holstein-Friesians, respectively), revealing an even gene frequency distribution (Fig. S1).

Nucleotide and amino acid diversity in the BoLA-DRB3 alleles found in Myanmar cattle populations

The results of genetic diversity at the DNA and amino acid levels obtained for Myanmar cattle breeds and for breeds previously reported are shown in Table 3. The π values within Pyer Sein, Shwe Ni and Holstein-Friesian crossbreed were 0.090, 0.080 and 0.080, respectively, while the mean number of pairwise differences was 20.96, 17.89 and 20.09, respectively. These nucleotide diversity values fall within the upper end of the range reported ($\pi_{range} = 0.068–0.083$; NPD$_{range} = 16.31–20.04$) for other bovine breeds when using PCR-SBT genotyping methods [18, 22, 23, 26, 29]. The average $d_N$ and $d_S$ substitutions in Myanmar cattle breeds was calculated across BoLA-DRB3 exon 2 and the antigen-binding site (ABS). As expected, the $d_N/d_S$ ratio was higher when only the ABS was analyzed. As shown in Table 3, the
| BoLA-DRB3 alleles | Pyer Sein (N = 163) | Shwe Ni (N = 69) | Holstein-Friesian Crossbreed (N = 62) |
|------------------|---------------------|-----------------|-------------------------------------|
| BoLA-DRB3*001:01 | 1.53                | 1.45            | 6.45                                |
| BoLA-DRB3*002:01 | 3.68                | **6.52**        | 6.45                                |
| **BoLA-DRB3*002:02** | 0.31            | 0.00            | 0.00                                |
| BoLA-DRB3*003:01 | 0.31                | 0.00            | 0.00                                |
| BoLA-DRB3*003:02:01 | 1.23          | 0.00            | 0.00                                |
| BoLA-DRB3*005:01 | 0.31                | 0.00            | 4.84                                |
| BoLA-DRB3*005:02 | 0.31                | 0.00            | 0.00                                |
| BoLA-DRB3*005:03 | 0.00                | 0.72            | 0.00                                |
| BoLA-DRB3*005:04 | 0.00                | 0.00            | 0.81                                |
| BoLA-DRB3*006:01 | 0.00                | 0.00            | 0.81                                |
| BoLA-DRB3*007:01 | 2.15                | 2.17            | 0.81                                |
| BoLA-DRB3*008:01 | 0.00                | 0.00            | 1.61                                |
| BoLA-DRB3*009:01 | 4.29                | 0.72            | 0.81                                |
| BoLA-DRB3*009:02 | 1.84                | 2.90            | 4.84                                |
| BoLA-DRB3*010:01 | 2.76                | 3.62            | 4.84                                |
| BoLA-DRB3*011:01 | 0.00                | 0.00            | 11.29                               |
| BoLA-DRB3*011:03 | 0.92                | 2.90            | 0.00                                |
| BoLA-DRB3*011:04 | 0.31                | 0.00            | 0.00                                |
| BoLA-DRB3*012:01 | 1.53                | 1.45            | 11.29                               |
| BoLA-DRB3*013:01 | 1.53                | 2.17            | 1.61                                |
| BoLA-DRB3*014:01:01 | 3.68         | 1.45            | 4.03                                |
| BoLA-DRB3*013:02 | 0.61                | 0.00            | 0.00                                |
| BoLA-DRB3*013:03 | 0.00                | 0.72            | 0.00                                |
| BoLA-DRB3*015:01 | 2.76                | 1.45            | 3.23                                |
| BoLA-DRB3*016:01 | 2.15                | 2.17            | 2.42                                |
| BoLA-DRB3*016:02 | 0.31                | 0.00            | 0.00                                |
| BoLA-DRB3*017:01 | 0.00                | 0.00            | 3.23                                |
| BoLA-DRB3*017:03 | **6.13**            | **5.07**        | 0.00                                |
| BoLA-DRB3*018:01 | 1.53                | **7.97**        | 0.00                                |
| BoLA-DRB3*019:01 | 2.15                | 1.45            | 1.61                                |
| BoLA-DRB3*020:01:02 | 0.00         | 0.72            | **5.65**                            |
| BoLA-DRB3*020:02 | 0.31                | 0.00            | 0.00                                |
| BoLA-DRB3*020:03 | 0.61                | 0.00            | 0.00                                |
| BoLA-DRB3*020:05 | 0.00                | 0.72            | 0.00                                |
| BoLA-DRB3*022:01 | **6.13**            | **5.07**        | 2.42                                |
| BoLA-DRB3*025:01:01 | 2.45       | 0.72            | 1.61                                |
| BoLA-DRB3*025:01:02 | 0.31       | 0.72            | 0.00                                |
| BoLA-DRB3*025:02 | 0.00                | 0.00            | 0.81                                |
| BoLA-DRB3*026:01 | 4.60                | **5.80**        | 3.23                                |
| BoLA-DRB3*027:03 | 2.45                | 2.90            | 0.00                                |
| BoLA-DRB3*027:05 | 0.00                | 0.00            | 0.00                                |
| BoLA-DRB3*027:07 | 0.31                | 2.17            | 0.00                                |
| BoLA-DRB3*027:10 | 0.61                | 0.00            | 0.00                                |
values obtained in Myanmar cattle were similar to those estimated for other cattle breeds ($d_N/d_S$ total = 0.054–0.067; $d_N/d_S$ ABS = 0.247–0.282).

**Gene diversity, HWE, and neutrality testing of BoLA-DRB3 variants found in Myanmar cattle populations**

As mentioned above, $n_a$ ranged from 33 in the Holstein-Friesian crossbreed to 58 in the Pyer Sein native breed, while $h_a$ and $h_s$ were both higher than 0.86 in all three populations (Table 2). These indexes are evidence of high diversity values for Myanmar cattle populations, which is similar to the results reported for other bovine breeds which have been evaluated by PCR-SBT, and characteristic of MHC class II DR genes [18, 22, 23, 26, 29]. When we evaluated the populations using the HWE test, two of the three Myanmar populations were in equilibrium, while native breed Shwe Ni significantly deviated from its theoretical values (Table 2), probably because of the significant proportions of homozygous animals found in this study ($F_{IS} = 0.1197$, $p < 0.0001$). As demonstrated in Table 2, other breeds have also been seen to be in disequilibrium, as a result of an excess or deficit in the proportion of homozygous animals within the population.

It is widely accepted that the genetic diversity of MHC class II genes can be maintained by balancing selection. Thus, we performed a Slatkin’s exact neutrality test (Table 2) to evaluate this phenomenon in our

| BoLA-DRB3 alleles | Pyer Sein ($N = 163$) | Shwe Ni ($N = 69$) | Holstein-Friesian Crossbreed ($N = 62$) |
|-------------------|-----------------------|------------------|--------------------------------------|
| BoLA-DRB3*028:01  | 3.68                  | 2.90             | 0.00                                 |
| BoLA-DRB3*028:02  | 0.61                  | 0.72             | 1.61                                 |
| BoLA-DRB3*030:01  | 1.84                  | 2.17             | 0.81                                 |
| BoLA-DRB3*31:01   | **6.75**              | 1.45             | 3.23                                 |
| BoLA-DRB3*31:03   | 0.31                  | 0.00             | 0.00                                 |
| BoLA-DRB3*033:01  | 0.61                  | 0.72             | 0.81                                 |
| BoLA-DRB3*34:01   | 2.45                  | 0.00             | 0.00                                 |
| BoLA-DRB3*034:03  | 0.31                  | 0.00             | 0.00                                 |
| BoLA-DRB3*035:01  | 1.53                  | 2.17             | 0.00                                 |
| BoLA-DRB3*036:01  | 1.23                  | 0.72             | 0.00                                 |
| BoLA-DRB3*037:01  | 0.61                  | 0.00             | 0.00                                 |
| BoLA-DRB3*038:01  | 0.92                  | 0.00             | 0.81                                 |
| BoLA-DRB3*039:01  | 1.84                  | 0.00             | 0.00                                 |
| BoLA-DRB3*041:01  | 1.53                  | 2.90             | 0.00                                 |
| BoLA-DRB3*042:01  | 0.61                  | 0.00             | 1.61                                 |
| BoLA-DRB3*043:01  | 3.37                  | 1.45             | 4.03                                 |
| BoLA-DRB3*043:02  | 0.00                  | 0.00             | 0.81                                 |
| BoLA-DRB3*048:02  | 1.53                  | **5.07**         | 0.00                                 |
| BoLA-DRB3*049:01  | 0.00                  | 0.72             | 0.00                                 |
| BoLA-DRB3*50:01   | 0.31                  | 0.00             | 0.00                                 |
| BoLA-DRB3*50:11   | 0.00                  | 0.72             | 0.00                                 |
| BoLA-DRB3*57:02   | 0.61                  | 2.17             | 0.00                                 |
| BoLA-DRB3*58:01   | 0.92                  | 0.00             | 0.00                                 |
| BoLA-DRB3*63:01   | 0.00                  | 0.72             | 0.00                                 |
| BoLA-DRB3*64:02   | 0.61                  | 0.00             | 0.00                                 |
| BoLA-DRB3*72:01   | 1.53                  | 3.62             | 0.00                                 |
| BoLA-DRB3*73:01   | 1.53                  | 2.17             | 0.81                                 |
| BoLA-DRB3*079:01  | **3.68**              | **4.35**         | **0.81**                             |
| BoLA-DRB3*080:01  | **0.61**              | 1.45             | 0.00                                 |

aN, number of animals analyzed; bFrequent alleles in each breed are indicated in bold (> 5%); cNovel alleles identified in this study are indicated in bold and underlined.
populations. The BoLA-DRB3 gene frequency profile in both the Pyer Sein and Shwe Ni cattle showed an even distribution ($p = 0.006$ and 0.010, respectively), consistent with the theoretical proportion expected under balancing selection pressures as opposed to positive or neutral selection ($p > 0.025$). A similarly even BoLA-DRB3 gene frequency was observed in other cattle breeds, including Japanese Black, Yacumeño Creole and Bolivian Gir. Conversely, BoLA-DRB3 gene frequency distributions in the Holstein-Friesian crossbreed were more compatible with neutral selection, which is similar to the results obtained for the majority of the cattle breeds analyzed to date (Table 2).

### BoLA-DRB3 genetic structure and levels of population differentiation in Myanmar cattle

The average $F_{ST}$ analysis showed a low level of genetic differentiation between Myanmar native breeds ($F_{ST} = 0.003$), similar to those estimated in Holstein populations (0.003–0.006) [23]. $F_{ST}$ values between the native breeds and the Holstein-Friesian crossbreed varied from 0.019 to 0.021. These values were within the range estimated for differences within Taurine or Zebu breeds and lower than those obtained when comparing breeds from different groups (Fig. 4 and Table S2).

The average $F_{ST}$ values across all Myanmar Holstein-Friesian and native breed populations were 0.0136 and 0.0121, respectively ($p < 0.001$). Significant differences were observed in nine out of the fifteen native and one out of six Holstein-Friesian crossbreed populations ($p < 0.05$). In addition, $F_{ST}$ values for comparisons between Myanmar native breeds ranged between 0.003 and 0.024 and between 0 and 0.031 for Myanmar Holstein-Friesian crossbreed populations (Table S3a and b). As mentioned above, similar genetic distance values were observed among Holstein populations from different countries [23].

### Genetic differentiation of BoLA-DRB3 alleles in Myanmar breeds. Comparison with zebu and taurine breeds

First, BoLA-DRB3 allele frequencies from Myanmar and previously reported breeds included in our dataset were used to generate Nei’s $D_A$ and $D_S$ genetic distance matrices. Then, dendrograms were constructed from these distance matrices using UPGMA and NJ algorithms. All trees revealed congruent topologies, which were consistent with the historical and geographical origin of the breeds. As expected, this tree revealed two main clusters which included most of the Taurine and Zebuine breeds (Fig. 5a), with Japanese Jersey and Chilean Hereford located outside of these clusters. The Holstein-Friesian crossbreed fell into the Taurine cluster, while the Myanmar native breeds were located in a sub-cluster within the Zebuine cluster close to Philippine populations.

### Table 2 Sample size (N), number of alleles ($n_a$), observed ($h_o$) and expected ($h_e$) heterozygosity, Hardy Weinberg equilibrium (HWE) measured through $F_{IS}$ and Slatkin’s exact test in the cattle breeds studied. $F_{IS}$ $p$-values are indicated between parentheses. Significant $p$ values are indicated in bold.

| Breed                        | N   | $n_a$ | $h_o$ | $h_e$ | $F_{IS}$ ($p$ value) | Slatkin’s $p$ value |
|------------------------------|-----|-------|-------|-------|----------------------|-------------------|
| Pyer Sein                    | 163 | 58    | 0.94  | 0.97  | 0.0276 (0.0534)      | 0.006             |
| Shwe Ni                      | 69  | 43    | 0.86  | 0.97  | 0.1197 (< 0.0001)    | 0.010             |
| Holstein-Friesian crossbreed | 62  | 33    | 0.94  | 0.95  | 0.0183 (0.7794)      | 0.149             |
| Yacumeño Creole              | 112 | 35    | 0.92  | 0.95  | 0.0344 (0.7363)      | 0.001             |
| Hartón del Valle Creole      | 66  | 24    | 0.97  | 0.94  | -0.0360 (< 0.0001)   | 0.138             |
| Bolivian Nellore             | 116 | 26    | 0.78  | 0.87  | 0.0990 (0.6921)      | 0.306             |
| Bolivian Gir                 | 110 | 19    | 0.88  | 0.92  | 0.0406 (0.9026)      | 0.009             |
| Nellore x Brahman            | 195 | 33    | 0.76  | 0.86  | 0.1131 (0.1985)      | 0.473             |
| Japanese Holstein            | 102 | 18    | 0.92  | 0.90  | -0.0215 (0.4481)     | 0.091             |
| Japanese Shorthorn           | 100 | 20    | 0.92  | 0.91  | -0.0086 (0.0692)     | 0.069             |
| Japanese Jersey              | 69  | 14    | 0.91  | 0.89  | -0.0297 (0.0023)     | 0.042             |
| Japanese Black               | 200 | 23    | 0.91  | 0.91  | 0.0095 (0.4043)      | 0.004             |
| Chilean Hereford             | 49  | 15    | 0.82  | 0.87  | 0.0574 (0.4980)      | 0.582             |
| Chilean Black Angus          | 100 | 26    | 0.61  | 0.90  | 0.3246 (< 0.0001)    | 0.447             |
| Chilean Red Angus            | 99  | 29    | 0.71  | 0.93  | 0.2415 (< 0.0001)    | 0.080             |
| Philippine Native            | 482 | 71    | 0.91  | 0.96  | 0.0480 (< 0.0001)    | 0.068             |
| Philippine Brahman           | 236 | 58    | 0.89  | 0.95  | 0.0687 (< 0.0001)    | 0.134             |

**Note:** Present work; Giovambattista et al., [26]; Takeshima et al., [29]; Takeshima et al., [18]; Takeshima et al., [22, 23]; and Takeshima et al., [21]
Second, we used *BoLA-DRB3* allele frequencies to perform three PCA analyses among breeds. In these PCA, the first two components accounted for 41.11% of the data variability. The first PC accounted for 27.12% of the total variance and, as shown in a previous study [29], first component clearly exhibited a differentiation pattern between Zebu (negative values) and Taurine (positive values) breeds, while native breeds from Myanmar and the Philippines were located near the origin of the plot (Fig. 5b). The first PC was primarily determined by differences in the frequency of the same alleles reported by Takeshima et al. [29]. The second PC explained 13.99% of the total variation and showed a gradient among Taurine breeds, with Japanese Black and Japanese Jersey located at opposite ends. Furthermore, this component discriminated between Myanmar and Philippine native breeds. The second PC was identical to PC1 reported in the study mentioned above [29]. Finally, the third PC accounted for 13.64% of the variance and allowed the differentiation of Chilean Hereford cattle from other Taurine breeds. As shown in Fig. 5b and c, the Myanmar Holstein-Friesian crossbreed was located within the Taurine cloud but in an intermediate position between the Japanese Holstein and Myanmar native breeds, supporting the presence of the same level of gene introgression in Myanmar Holstein populations, which is also supported by the presence of unique *BoLA-DRB3* alleles within these populations. These PCA results agree with the overall clustering observed after NJ or UPGMA tree construction.

In addition, we analyzed protein pockets (pocket 1, pocket 4, pocket 6, pocket 7, and pocket 9) involved in...
Fig. 2 Venn plot of BoLA-DRB3 alleles shared by Myanmar native breeds (Pyer Sein and Shwe Ni) and Holstein-Friesian crossbreed, Asian native (Philippine native and Japanese Black), Zebu (Bolivian Nellore, Bolivian Gir, Peruvian Nellore-Brahman, and Philippine Brahman), and European (Chilean Hereford, Chilean Black Angus, Chilean Red Angus, Japanese Jersey, Japanese Shorthorn, and Japanese Holstein) breeds. a All alleles were considered and b. only alleles which exhibited gene frequencies that were higher than 0.5% were counted. Values at the bottom right of the figures are referring to alleles absent in all group analyzed but present in our database.

Fig. 3 Neighbor-joining (NJ) tree constructed from the 270 bp nucleotide sequence that includes the β1 domain encoded by all reported BoLA-DRB3 alleles and the three new ones (BoLA-DRB3*002:02, BoLA-DRB3*079:01 and BoLA-DRB3*080:01). Numbers are bootstrap percentages that support each node. Bootstraping was carried up with 1000 replicates to access the reliability of individual branches. New alleles are indicated with arrows. BwMy = Pyer Sein, GrMy = Shwe Ni, HoMy = Myanmar Holstein-Friesian crossbreed.
the antigen-binding function of the MHC complex using PCA. As shown in Fig. S2 a-e, only the distribution pattern of pocket 4 was similar to the PCAs created using the allelic frequency data, while PCA of the remaining pockets did not exhibit a spatial distribution related to the geographical or historical origin of the breeds. The position of the Myanmar native breeds in pocket 4 was the result of positive PC1 and PC2 values for the presence of amino acid motifs GFDQKEV, SYDRENY, SFDR EYY, SFDDEAY, KFDRAAY, and GYDREYY (amino acid positions 13, 26, 28, 70, 71, 74 and 78). Also, pocket 1 showed quite similar distribution pattern, but for PC2. Finally, PCA was performed at the Myanmar population level, to evaluate the impact of the ten sampling sites (six for native breeds and four for Holstein-Friesians) on our results. This analysis showed that Myanmar native populations grouped in a narrow cluster that diverged clearly from the Myanmar Holstein-Friesian crossbred populations (Fig. 6), in agreement with the $F_{ST}$ analysis described above. Furthermore, PCA showed that some Myanmar native populations (Bago, Mandalay and Yangon) seemed to be closer to Zebu breeds (Nellore, Gir and Brahman), while others (Kayin, Magway and Sagaing) were more closely related to the Philippine native breeds. However, PCA results at the Myanmar population level did not show a clear correlation between the genetic relationship of BoLA-DRB3 alleles and geographical distribution. By contrast, Myanmar Holstein-Friesian populations showed a more dispersed distribution when compared to the compact cloud reported for Holstein populations from other countries [23], which may be the result of the differences in the degree of admixture between these populations.

**Discussion**

In this study, we carried out the first genetic characterization of the BoLA-DRB3 gene in two Myanmar native breeds and the Myanmar Holstein-Friesian crossbreed population using PCR-SBT. This analysis allowed us to detect 71 alleles, including three new variants. Analyses of D-loop mitochondrial haplotype diversity revealed a large percentage of novel haplotypes in Myanmar native breeds, suggesting that these indigenous populations may possess novel polymorphisms throughout the genome of these native breeds [32, 33]. The BoLA-DRB3 allele NJ tree, generated using the nucleotide sequence of the $\beta_1$ domain of all the reported alleles and the three new ones, evidenced that the variants detected in Myanmar cattle populations were not grouped in specific clusters of the dendrogram, but were interspersed along the tree. Similar results were reported in South American native cattle breeds [26] and agree with the ancient origin of the MHC alleles proposed by the trans-specific theory of MHC alleles [34]. According to this theory, these alleles originated before cattle domestication and speciation, meaning that many variants

### Table 3

| Breed                      | $\pi$ | NPD  | Total ds | Total dn | Total $dn/ds$ | Total $ds$ | Total $dn$ | Total $dn/ds$ |
|----------------------------|------|------|----------|----------|---------------|------------|------------|---------------|
| Pyer Sein                  | 0.090| 20.96| 0.038    | 0.096    | 2.53          | 0.127      | 0.387      | 3.05          |
| Shwe Ni                    | 0.080| 17.89| 0.039    | 0.098    | 2.51          | 0.122      | 0.397      | 3.25          |
| Holstein-Friesian crossbreed | 0.080| 20.09| 0.043    | 0.099    | 2.30          | 0.141      | 0.4        | 2.84          |
| Yacumoño                    | 0.078| 19.59| 0.036    | 0.099    | 2.75          | 0.128      | 0.391      | 3.05          |
| Hartón del Valle            | 0.076| 19.00| 0.029    | 0.096    | 3.31          | 0.109      | 0.386      | 3.54          |
| Bolivian Nellore            | 0.070| 17.54| 0.035    | 0.097    | 2.77          | 0.117      | 0.388      | 3.32          |
| Bolivian Gir                | 0.078| 19.45| 0.038    | 0.096    | 2.53          | 0.133      | 0.385      | 2.89          |
| Nellore x Brahman           | 0.068| 16.95| 0.039    | 0.097    | 2.49          | 0.128      | 0.376      | 2.94          |
| Japanese Holstein           | 0.079| 19.86| 0.038    | 0.096    | 2.53          | 0.132      | 0.393      | 2.98          |
| Japanese Shorthorn          | 0.079| 19.80| 0.041    | 0.097    | 2.37          | 0.128      | 0.41       | 3.20          |
| Japanese Jersey             | 0.073| 16.31| 0.041    | 0.099    | 2.41          | 0.122      | 0.402      | 3.30          |
| Japanese Black              | 0.071| 18.56| 0.043    | 0.096    | 2.23          | 0.139      | 0.365      | 2.63          |
| Chilean Hereford            | 0.070| 17.41| 0.033    | 0.098    | 2.97          | 0.112      | 0.46       | 4.11          |
| Chilean Black Angus         | 0.077| 19.17| 0.037    | 0.097    | 2.62          | 0.123      | 0.385      | 3.13          |
| Chilean Red Angus           | 0.080| 20.03| 0.041    | 0.097    | 2.37          | 0.124      | 0.389      | 3.14          |
| Philippine Brahman          | 0.080| 20.04| 0.04     | 0.096    | 2.40          | 0.133      | 0.379      | 2.85          |
| Philippine Native           | 0.083| 19.60| 0.036    | 0.096    | 2.67          | 0.12       | 0.381      | 3.18          |

*Present work; †Giovambattista et al., [26]; ‡Takeshima et al., [29]; §Takeshima et al., [18]; ¶Takeshima et al., [22, 23]; and ‖Takeshima et al., [21]
will be common across species and geographies. This is supported by the fact that BoLA-DRB3*1303 (gi|1261491414|gb|KY094633.1|) which was detected in this work was previously described by a study focused on Indonesian Bos javanicus.

In order to understand the distribution of the BoLA-DRB3 alleles across breeds, a Venn diagram was constructed. This analysis demonstrated that 11 BoLA-DRB3 alleles were only detected in Myanmar cattle populations, at least for the breeds included in this analysis. Three of these alleles were entirely unique variants, described in this study (BoLA-DRB3*002:02, BoLA-DRB3*079:01 and BoLA-DRB3*080:01). It is worth noting that a review of the IPD–MHC database showed that some variants had been previously detected in other Asian breeds (BoLA-DRB3*031:03, *058:01 and *064:02 in Chinese yellow cattle, BoLA-DRB3*013:03 in Korean Hanwoo cattle and BoLA-DRB3*049:01 in the Indian Red Sindhi); African Zebu breeds (BoLA-DRB3*038:01 in Ethiopian Arsi and BoLA-DRB3*013:03 in Sudanese Baggara) and other Asian Bos species, including BoLA-DRB3*013:03 in Indonesian Bos javanicus. In previous studies privative alleles were also identified in native breeds from Asia and South America [21, 26, 28]. In addition, some alleles detected in Creole cattle have also been detected in African breeds (Boran, N’Dama, Ethiopian Arsi, and Gudali) and Bos indicus (Brahman). Together, these results show that BoLA-DRB3 variants could be classified using the following categories: worldwide geographical distribution, present only in one major bovine type (Taurus or Indicus), located in a geographical region, and detected in only one or a few related breeds. The current geographical allele distribution could be the result of several factors, including breed origin, founder effect, natural or artificial selection and recent or historical gene introgression.

The large number of BoLA-DRB3 variants indicate a high level of genetic diversity in Myanmar cattle populations. High genetic variability was not unexpected for the BoLA-DRB3 locus, with the values recorded in this study falling in line with observations made in Philippine native and Hanwoo cattle breeds [21, 28].
Fig. 5  

(a) UPGMA dendrogram constructed from a matrix of $D_A$ genetic distances. 
(b) and (c) principal component analysis of allele frequencies from the \textit{BoLA-DRB3} gene in 18 populations. BW = Pyer Sein, GR = Shwe Ni, HoMy = Myanmar Holstein-Friesian crossbreed, NeBo = Bolivian Nellore, GirBo = Bolivian Gir, and BrxNe = Peruvian Brahman × Nellore crossbreed, HoJa = Holstein, ShJa = Japanese Shorthorn, JeJa = Jersey, Waja = Japanese Black, HeCh = Chilean Hereford, BACH = Chilean Black Angus, RACH = Chilean Red Angus, ONCh = Chilean Overo Negro, OCCh = Chilean Overo Colorado, NaPh = Philippine Native, BrPh = Philippine Brahman and NaxBtPh = Native × Brahman Philippine crossbreed.
diversity was also observed in DNA sequences when nucleotide diversity and mean number of pairwise differences were estimated. In addition, a higher number of d_2 than d_1 changes (especially in antigen peptide binding sites) were found in this population which is also similar to the findings reported for other cattle breeds. Different mechanisms for the maintenance of the high diversity of MHC loci have been proposed, including balancing selection (i.e., overdominance and negative frequency dependence) [35–37].

The neutrality test showed that both Myanmar indigenous breeds had an even allele frequency distribution, while the most common allele (> 0.5) accounted for only 19.02% of alleles in Pyer Sein and 34.78% of alleles in Shwe Ni. These results are compatible with the hypothesis of balancing selection rather than positive selection against one or more variants or neutral selection strategies. This finding has also been reported in Yacumeño creole cattle as well as in other cattle breeds (Bolivian Gir, Japanese Jersey and Japanese Black) [26].

Takeshima et al. [38] reported a significant deviation from HWE in class II BoLA-DQA1 genes in Japanese Holstein cows with mastitis caused by Escherichia or Streptococcus bacteria. Similar results were observed in human, model and non-model species [37]. This evidence was interpreted using the overdominance selection theory, which proposes that heterozygous individuals recognize a broader spectrum of foreign antigens than homozygous ones, thereby increasing their fitness and selective advantage against pathogens [39, 40]. In this study, only the Shwe Ni native breed showed a significant deviation from HWE theoretical proportions resulting from an excessive proportion of homozygotic animals within the population. However, none of the Myanmar cattle populations evidenced a significant excess of heterozygotes. These results agree with data observed in other cattle populations exhibiting HWE or excessive numbers of homozygotes, and could be the consequence of low values for overdominance selection coefficients in respect of the stochastic forces (e.g., genetic drift, inbreeding), population structure (Whalund effect) and the low-resolution power of HWE methods [40].

**Fig. 6** Principal components analysis of allele frequencies from the BoLA-DRB3 gene in six Myanmar native (KN = Kayin, BN = Bago, SN = Sagaing, MdN = Mandalay, MgN = Magway, and YN = Yangon), four Myanmar Holstein-Friesian crossbreed (KF = Kayin, BF = Bago, SF = Sagaing, and YF = Yangon) from analyzed Myanmar State and regions, and 15 breeds/crossbreeds: NeBo = Bolivian Nellore, GirBo = Bolivian Gir, and BrxNe = Peruvian Brahman × Nellore crossbreed, HoJa = Holstein, ShJa = Japanese Shorthorn, JeJa = Jersey, WaJa = Japanese Black, HeCh = Chilean Hereford, BAC = Chilean Black Angus, RAC = Chilean Red Angus, ONCh = Chilean Overo Negro, OCCh = Chilean Overo Colorado, NaPh = Philippine Native, BrPh = Philippine Brahman, and NaxBrPh = Native × Brahman Philippine crossbreed.
The genetic structure and breed relationships of BoLA-DRB3 polymorphisms were studied using an $F_{ST}$ index, PCA and dendrograms. Together, these analyses showed that Myanmar native breeds, which are mainly characterized by their geographical location and coat color, exhibited a low level of genetic divergence and tended to be closely related in both PCA and dendrograms. These results were reinforced when PCA was performed at the population level, and was able to demonstrate that there was a low level of genetic differentiation among Myanmar cattle populations ($F_{ST} = 0.0121$). These breeds grouped in a narrow cluster with a low level of genetic distance between them. Furthermore, no correlation between genetic and geographical distance for the BoLA-DRB3 alleles was observed among these populations. In previous studies, assignment to the Chinese yellow cattle populations using microsatellites and SNP markers did not allow researchers to distinguish unique genetic differences for each of the Chinese breeds, and individuals could not be correctly assigned to their breed based on their genotype, despite two specific yellow cattle genetic profiles being described [41, 42]. For these reasons native cattle breeds from Eastern Asia are usually described based on their geographical region, without breeding associations or herd books that create breeding barriers, mainly characteristic of the European breeds. These types of analysis that allow to identify genetic entities are important in the development and design of conservation programs created to protect these native cattle breeds.

In addition, PCA and dendrograms showed that Myanmar native breeds were located close to Philippine populations and fell into a sub-cluster within the Zebuine cluster. Furthermore, PCA showed that some Myanmar native populations (Bago, Mandalay, and Yangon) seemed to be closer to some Zebu breeds (Gir, and Brahman), while others (Kayin, Magway, and Sagaing) were more closely related to the Philippine native breeds. This is in agreement with Myanmar’s location in South East Asia, where it shares borders with Bangladesh and India to the Northwest, China to the Northeast, Laos to the East and Thailand to the Southeast, and the fact that Myanmar native breeds are phenotypically humped cattle. Studies based on blood proteins and SRY gene polymorphisms support our conclusion that these indigenous cattle are zebu type animals [43, 44]. In addition, Chen et al. [32] and Lwin et al. [33] showed that Myanmar native cattle had zebu mitochondrial haplotypes, confirming our hypothesis.

When breed relationships were studied using the gene frequencies described for the amino acid motifs found in the ABS pockets implicated in antigen-binding function, only the PCA from pocket 4 demonstrated a similar distribution pattern to the PCA pattern developed using allelic frequency data. By contrast, the remaining PCAs did not exhibit a spatial distribution compatible with the geographical or historical origin of the analyzed breeds. The position of the Myanmar native breeds in the pocket 4 PCA was as a result of their positive PC1 and PC2 values for amino acid motifs GFDQKEV, SYDREN, SFDR EY, SFDDD EAY, KFDRAAY, and GYDREYY. Previous studies showed that pocket 4 has been found to be important for the binding of peptides may be due to this pocket being located in the center of the PBC [45]. In addition, it has been reported in cattle that immune responses and disease resistance is significantly related to differences in the pocket 4 motif [45, 46]. Takeshima et al. [29] reported that PCAs based on allelic frequencies in the amino acid motif of the ABS pockets showed a clear differentiation between Taurine and Zebu breeds, because of an enrichment for particular amino acid motifs in specific pockets. Further studies involving structural modeling and molecular simulation of the BoLA-DRB protein are needed to elucidate whether these differences play a role in its function.

The Myanmar Holstein-Friesian crossbreed was located within the Taurine cloud but in an intermediate position between Japanese Holstein and Myanmar native breeds, probably as a consequence of gene introgression in Myanmar Holstein-Friesian cattle, which is also supported by the presence of BoLA-DRB3 alleles unique to the native and Holstein-Friesian breeds from Myanmar. The average level of genetic differentiation observed among the Myanmar Holstein-Friesian crossbreed populations ($F_{ST} = 0.0136$) was higher than those previously reported in Holstein populations from other countries ($F_{ST} = 0.009$) [23]. Its distribution was more dispersed in the PCA when compared with the more compact cloud reported for other Holstein populations [23]. These differences are likely the result of the different degree of admixture between this crossbreed and the Myanmar native cattle.

Holstein cattle are mainly raised in temperate and cold regions because they are sensitive to heat stress and susceptible to tropical disease. However, this breed has been introduced to tropical and subtropical countries several times with varying degrees of success, and it is possible to find Holstein crossbreed populations in these environments. In 1978, pregnant Holstein cows from New Zealand and Australia were imported to Myanmar to improve the local dairy cows [47]. Later, semen was introduced from North America and Europe [47, 48]. The present BoLA-DRB3 gene results would indicate that foreign Holstein cattle could be crossed with local cattle to create an adapted Holstein crossbreed population. To support this, four BoLA-DRB3 alleles (BoLA-DRB3*02:01, BoLA-DRB3*026:01, BoLA-DRB3*031:01 and BoLA-DRB3*079:01), including the novel BoLA-DRB3*079:01 alleles, were detected in Holstein populations from Myanmar.
variant, were present in the Myanmar Holstein-Friesian population. Notably, these alleles were common in the Myanmar native cattle population, but they had a very low frequency or were absent in Holstein populations from temperate or cold regions. This is consistent with the results reported by Takeshima et al. [23], which demonstrated that Bolivian Holsteins, raised in the humid subtropical plains of Santa Cruz (Bolivia), diverged from cattle bred in temperate and cold geographical regions. In addition, the Bolivian Holstein population was found between Yacumeño Creole cattle and the compact cloud of Holstein populations when evaluated as part of a larger data set and shared common alleles with this Creole breed. In this sense, the introgression of genes from locally adapted native cattle in Holstein-like populations following natural selection may have contributed to increased fitness in tropical and subtropical regions.

Conclusions
This study is the first to report the genetic diversity of the BoLA-DRB3 gene in two native breeds and one exotic cattle crossbreed from Myanmar, using the PCR-SBT assay. These results revealed the presence of three new alleles and demonstrated a high degree of genetic diversity in this gene, which could contribute to the adaptation of these breeds to the harsh subtropical environmental of Myanmar. Furthermore, dendrograms and PCA showed that Myanmar native breeds were closely related to one another and to the Philippine native breeds at this locus. Therefore, this study increases our knowledge of the worldwide variability of cattle BoLA-DRB3 genes, an important locus for immune response and protection against pathogens.

Methods
Sample populations and genomic DNA extraction
Blood samples were obtained from 294 cattle belonging to the Myanmar Pyer Sein (N = 163), Shwe Ni (N = 69) native breeds and the Holstein-Friesian crossbreed (N = 62), distributed across six regions of Myanmar (Bago, n = 38; Sagaing, n = 77; Mandalay, n = 46; Magway, n = 46; Kayin, n = 43; Yangon, n = 44) (Table 1; Fig. S3). Blood samples were randomly collected from adult animals according to the availability and permission of local farmers in the respective regions. A database that included 2428 BoLA-DRB3 genotypes from European, Zebuine and Native breeds was also included (Table S1) [18, 23, 26, 29]. These genotypes data were used for recalculating the genetic diversity indexes at alleles and sequence level in breeds details in Table S1 for further comparison with Myanmar results. The genomic DNA was extracted from whole blood using a PureLink Genomic DNA Mini Kit (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA), according to the manufacturer’s instructions.

BoLA-DRB3 genotyping
BoLA-DRB3 alleles were genotyped using PCR-SBT. Briefly, BoLA-DRB3 exon 2 was amplified using PCR according to the method described by Takeshima et al. [20]. The PCR fragments were purified using an ExoSAP-IT PCR Product Purification Kit (USB Corp., Cleveland, OH) and sequenced using the ABI PRISM BigDye Terminator Cycle Sequencing Ready Reaction Kit (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA). The raw sequence data were analyzed using Assign 400ATF ver. 1.0.2.41 software (Conexio Genomics, Fremantle, Australia).

Statistical analyses
Measures of genetic variability
Allele frequencies and the observed number of alleles (n_o) were obtained by direct counting. The distribution of alleles across breeds was represented by a Venn plot created using the R package ‘VennDiagram’ [49]. The observed (n_o) and unbiased expected (n_e) heterozygosity of the BoLA-DRB3 locus were estimated according to the values and assumptions described in Nei [50] using the Arlequin 3.5 software for population genetic analyses [51]. Potential deviations from Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium (HWE) were estimated using FIS statistics [52] for each breed calculated using the exact test included in Genepop 4.7 software [53]. The Ewens–Watterson–Slatkin exact test of neutrality was estimated using the method described by Slatkin [54] and implemented in the Arlequin 3.5 program.

Genetic structure and population differentiation
Genetic structure and genetic differentiation among the breeds were assessed using Wright’s FST statistics, calculated using the variance-based method of Weir and Cockerham [52]. This parameter was estimated using Arlequin 3.5 and Genepop 4.7 software. The FST values were represented graphically using the pairFstMatrix.r function implemented in the Arlequin 3.5 software.

Analysis of the genetic relationship between breeds
To condense the genetic variation at the BoLA-DRB3 locus, allele frequencies were used to perform a Principal Component Analysis (PCA) according to the Cavalli-Sforza [55] method, implemented in Past software [56]. Nei’s standard genetic distances Ds [57] and D_A [58] were calculated from allele frequencies to perform a cluster analysis using the unweighted pair-group method with arithmetic mean (UPGMA) [59] and the neighbor-joining algorithm (NJ) [60]. Confidence intervals for the groupings were estimated by bootstrap re-sampling of the data using 1000 replicates. Genetic distances and
trees were computed using the Populations 1.2.28 software [61]. The trees were then visualized using TreeView [62].

**Genetic diversity at the sequence level**

Nucleotide diversity (π) and pairwise comparisons of nucleotide substitutions between alleles (defined as the average number of differences between pairs of DNA sequences) were calculated using Arlequin 3.5. The mean number of nonsynonymous (dN) and synonymous (dS) nucleotide substitutions per site calculated as an average over all sequence pairs was estimated within each group using the Nei-Gojobori model [63] and Jukes-Cantor's formula. These parameters were estimated using Arlequin 3.5 and MEGA X [64]. The BoLA-DRB3 allele tree was constructed using a distance matrix based on the NJ method. To test the significance of each of the branches, 1000 bootstrap replicate calculations were performed. The allele tree was constructed using MEGA X software.
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