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Abstract

The microscopic pore development of most coal seams in China leads to different permeability of coal seams and different gas drainage efficiency. Representative three coal rank coal samples were selected for saturation-centrifugation observation. The microscopic pore characteristics of coal samples were measured by nuclear magnetic resonance and liquid nitrogen adsorption methods. The experimental results showed that the coal samples were subjected to saturation-centrifugation and nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) tests. It was found that the pores of the low-rank coal (XJ-1, XJ-2) were developed at various stages, and the connectivity between the pores was good and the permeability was also good. The adsorption pores of the intermediate coal (HB-1, HB-2) and high-rank coal (ZM-1, ZM-2) were relatively developed, and the connectivity between the pores was slightly poor. The parallel coal seam samples of coals of different ranks were better than the vertical bedding. The adsorption of liquid nitrogen showed that the low-order coal had more open pores and good gas permeability; the high-order coal had more openings at one end, more ink bottles, and narrow holes, and the gas permeability was not good. Studying the micropore structure and permeability of coals of different ranks has guiding significance for mastering the law of coal seam gas storage and transportation, extracting drilling arrangements, and increasing gas drainage and reducing greenhouse effect.

1. Introduction

Coalbed methane is a hydrocarbon gas that is present in the coal seam and contains gas as the main component, about 80%–90% in the adsorbed state on the surface of the coal matrix particles and 10%~20% in the pores and cracks of the coal body. It is an unconventional natural gas produced with the formation of coal, and it is also a clean, high-energy high-quality energy source. It is commonly known as “gas” in mines, with high calorific value and no pollution after combustion [1–4]. When the concentration of coalbed methane is 5%~16%, an explosion will occur in the event of an open flame, and the power is extremely destructive. This is the root cause of coal mine gas explosion. Direct discharge into the atmosphere produces a strong greenhouse effect, about 20 times that of carbon dioxide. If coalbed methane is first mined before coal mining, the incidence of gas explosion can be reduced, clean energy can be obtained, and the greenhouse effect can be reduced [5–7].

Coal is a kind of porous medium. The adsorption gas of coal is related to the surface area of coal, and the surface area of coal is related to the pore characteristics of coal. Therefore, Qi and Huang thought that the pore characteristics of coal bodies played an important role in adsorbing gas [8, 9]. The pore structure and pore size distribution of coal affect the adsorption and permeability of coal [10, 11]. However, Lu et al. thought that the pore characteristics of different metamorphic coals were different, and the degree of geological damage and the influence of geostress make coal development contain different bedding structures [12, 13]. Therefore, it is necessary to consider the microstructure of coals of different ranks and the permeability of coal in the bedding direction. It provides a theoretical basis for the storage and
transportation of coal seam gas and the drainage arrangement of gas drainage to improve the extraction effect.

In the past, many scholars had studied the pore and fracture structure characteristics of coal. There are direct observations [14] and indirect acquisitions [15] from research methods. Direct observation: the development of coal surface cracks was observed with the naked eye or the coal pores, crack development, and filling were observed by optical microscopy and scanning electron microscopy. Indirect acquisition: the adsorption amount was determined by physical adsorption method under a series of different relative pressures, and the adsorption curve was obtained, and the curve processing and analysis were studied [16]. Although the conventional method was widely used, it had certain deficiencies. The information of the pore fracture observed by the direct observation method was limited, and the mercury intrusion method cannot avoid the occurrence of the elastic compression effect of high pressure on coal, when [17, 18] tested. In general, [19] studied that conventional methods had certain limitations on the integrity and in situ properties of coal pores and fractures. Low-field NMR technology can make up for the shortcomings of conventional methods by virtue of its fast and nondestructive characteristics and adopts conventional and classic methods [20–22]. The liquid nitrogen adsorption method was used for comparative analysis.

Based on this, the focus of this study was to select three typical coal samples of different coal ranks, to test the pore structure of coal after saturating and centrifuging coal by nuclear magnetic resonance technology, and to grasp the pore development and permeability of different coal ranks. The pore characteristics of different coal structure coals were further studied by conventional method—low temperature liquid nitrogen method. Studying the pore characteristics of different coal structure coals can help to understand the differences of coal reservoirs caused by different coal structure and provide valuable data for coal mine gas disaster prevention and evaluation and development of coalbed methane resources in different coal structure development areas.

2. Experimental

2.1. Principle of NMR T2 Analysis. Nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) is a phenomenon in which hydrogen nuclei are aligned under the action of an external magnetic field, and resonance relaxation occurs through the superimposed external magnetic field to detect hydrogen (hydrogen, methane, etc.) fluid in coal rock mass. Relaxation characteristics: NMR study of the pores and fractures of coal rock mass is obtained by studying the relationship between nuclear magnetic resonance intensity and $T_2$ relaxation time to study the pores, fracture structure distribution, and size connectivity of coal and rock mass. The principle [23–27] is

$$\frac{1}{T_2} = \rho \frac{S}{V} = F \frac{\rho}{S_p r},$$

$$r = C T_2.$$

In the above-given formula, $\rho$ is the coal surface relaxation rate, which is a parameter for characterizing the rock properties; $S/V$ is the specific surface area of the pores in the coal; $F_0$ is the pore shape factor (where the pores of the ball row are 3 and the plate shape and the tubular shape are all 2); $r$ is the pore radius; and $C$ is the conversion coefficient.

It can be seen from (1) that the transverse relaxation time $T_2$ is proportional to the pore radius. According to different relaxation mechanisms, the $T_2$ of different pores and cracks are different, and the positions in the $T_2$ spectrum are different. The smaller the $T_2$ is, the smaller the pore radius is represented; the area enclosed by the $T_2$ spectrum curve and the X-axis indicates the number of pores in the pore range; the number of $T_2$ peaks represents the development of pores; the trend change of the $T_2$ spectrum represents the quality of connectivity between different pores [28–30].

2.2. Nuclear Magnetic Resonance Experimental Setup. The nuclear magnetic resonance experimental instrument is a Meso-MR23-060H-I low field nuclear magnetic resonance instrument (in Figure 1) produced by Shanghai Niumag Corporation. The experimental conditions are as follows: magnetic field strength is 0.5 T, H proton resonance frequency and RF pulse frequency are 21.67 MHz, and the magnet temperature is controlled at 32 ± 0.1°C.

2.3. Coal Sample Preparation and Treatment. Coal samples were used for nuclear magnetic resonance experiments. The samples were collected from the low-rank coal of the Aiweiergou mine in Xinjiang Uygur Autonomous Region, the middle-rank coal of the Hebi mine in Henan, and the high-rank coal of the Zhongma mine in Jiaozuo, Henan. Fresh large sample were collected and transported back to the laboratory, and a cylindrical sample of $\varphi 25 \times 50$ mm was prepared by parallel coal layer drilling and vertical bedding. The numbers were (XJ-1, XJ-2, HB-1, HB-2, ZM-1, and ZM-2, where 1 represented a parallel layer coal sample and 2 represented a vertical bedding coal sample). A part of the coal pieces remaining after the core was taken in accordance with (GB/T212-2008) “Industrial Analysis Method of Coal” for industrial analysis and testing. A certain amount of 60–80 mesh coal powder was prepared to prepare for the subsequent liquid nitrogen adsorption experiment. The basic parameters of coal samples and industrial analysis results are shown in Table 1.

First, the cylindrical coal sample prepared above was placed in an electrothermal blowing dry box (Figure 2) to be dried for 2 hours. Then, we used a vacuum saturation device (Figure 3) (vacuum pressure was 0.1 MPa, pumping time 12 h) and vacuum saturated water treatment, and then we added distilled water exceeding the height of the coal sample to the sample every day, soaking for 48 h, until the weight of the coal sample no longer increased, and the coal sample got saturated.

2.4. Calibration Instrument. Open the computer and NMR core measurement software, select the FID sequence and the corresponding coil (experimentally select 25 mm coil), quickly turn on the RF switch, put the standard oil sample into the 25 mm coil and set the relevant experimental
2.5. Obtaining the Rule of the Line Equation. The porosity standards were placed in the coils in the order of 0%, 1%, 3%, 6%, 10%, and 15%, and the relevant parameters (signal amplitude) were tested. The nuclear magnetic resonance core analysis software automatically generated a porosity standard as shown in Figure 5.

2.6. Coal Sample Test. Put the coal sample to be tested into the center of MesoMR × 25 mm coil, open the NMR core measurement software, select the CPMG sequence, set the parameters, the specific parameters are shown in Table 3, select the established porosity mark, and test the coal sample (nuclear magnetic porosity, permeability, etc.).

2.7. NMR and Core Measurement Experiments. First, the abovementioned treated saturated coal samples were wrapped with plastic wrap (to prevent evaporation of water and reduce experimental error) and placed in a nuclear magnetic resonance apparatus. First, the nuclear magnetic resonance $T_2$ spectrum of the coal samples was measured, and each sample was continuously tested twice. Then the core measurement software was opened for nuclear magnetic resonance core measurement and the relevant experimental parameters were recorded. To complete the NMR experiment of saturated water sample, [31] took out the coal sample and centrifuged it in a centrifuge (Figure 6) at 25° C for 1 h, and the centrifugal force was 1.38 MPa (related research shows that the coal body had the best centrifugal effect under the centrifugal force of 1.38 MPa). Again the above test was carried out.

3. NMR Experimental Results and Analysis

The nuclear magnetic resonance $T_2$ spectrum of the coal sample measured under saturated and centrifuged conditions is shown in Figure 7.

---

**Table 1: Coal basic parameter test.**

| Sample | Ad (%) | Mad (%) | Vdaf (%) | $R_{0\text{max}}$ |
|--------|--------|---------|----------|-----------------|
| XJ     | 7.33   | 1.02    | 40.64    | 0.78            |
| HB     | 7.52   | 0.88    | 10.35    | 2.18            |
| ZM     | 8.41   | 2.94    | 5.50     | 3.41            |

**Table 2: FID sequence parameters.**

| Parameter | Value          |
|-----------|----------------|
| SW (kHz)  | 100            |
| DRG       | 3              |
| SF (MHz)  | 21             |
| DR         | 1              |
| O1 (Hz)   | 675098.01      |
| NS        | 2              |
| PRG       | 1              |
| RG1 (dB)  | 10.0           |
| RFD (ms)  | 0.002          |
| TW (ms)   | 1500.000       |

Determine the $T_2$ cutoff value ($T_{2c}$) by NMR core measurement software to further obtain the mobility of the movable fluid and the bound fluid in Figure 8. The authors of [32, 33] used $T_2$ cutoff value to determine the boundary between the movable fluid and the bound fluid of the coal sample and distinguished the movable fluid from the bound fluid to further judge the permeability of the coal rock mass. Theoretical general research suggested that the
left side of the $T_{2c}$ point was the bound fluid, which was present in the adsorption pores of the coal, and the fluid in the pores was not scooped out under the optimal centrifugal force. The right side of the $T_{2c}$ point was a movable fluid, which meant that the fluid in the seepage hole (medium or large hole and crack) was easily ejected under the action of centrifugal force. The $T_{2c}$ point was determined by the method of accumulating the porosity of the coal sample before and after being centrifuged, paralleling the $X$-axis from the maximum value of the $T_2$ spectrum integral curve after centrifugation, and prolonging the cumulative pores of the parallel line and the saturated coal sample. The rate curve intersected with one point. Starting from the intersection point, the line was perpendicular to the $X$-axis. The value of the abscissa corresponding to this line was $T_{2c}$. The $T_2$ cutoff values of the three coal samples are significantly different.

Since the intensity of the nuclear magnetic signal was proportional to the number of hydrogen nuclei in the sample, the porosity of the coal sample could be determined according to the nuclear magnetic resonance $T_2$ spectrum. Here, the irreducible porosity (IP) corresponds to the bound water fraction, and the producible porosity (PP) corresponds to free water fraction. The $\varphi_{NI}$ and $\varphi_{NP}$ could be determined by comparing the $T_2$ spectrum under water conditions with that in centrifuged conditions for the same coal sample. The $\varphi_{NI}$ and $\varphi_{NP}$ were expressed by the following formula [33, 34]:

**Table 3: CPMG sequence parameters.**

| Parameter | Value       |
|-----------|-------------|
| SW (kHz)  | 333.333     |
| SF (MHz)  | 21          |
| O1 (Hz)   | 675098.01   |
| RFD (ms)  | 0.080       |
| RG1 (dB)  | 15          |
| P1 (μs)   | 23.00       |
| DRG       | 3           |
| DR        | 1           |
| TW (ms)   | 1500.000    |
| NS        | 32          |
| PRG       | 1           |
| P2 (μs)   | 44.00       |
| TE (ms)   | 0.202       |
| NECH      | 10000       |

**Figure 5: The porosity marking.**

**Figure 6: Centrifuge.**
Figure 7: The $T_2$ spectra of coal samples with parallel and vertical layering. (a) XJ-1, (b) XJ-2, (c) HB-1, (d) HB-2, (e) ZM-1, and (f) ZM-2.
Figure 8: Movable fluid porosity and bound fluid porosity, showing the method to calculate $T_{2c}$. (a) XJ-1, (b) XJ-2, (c) HB-1, (d) HB-2, (e) ZM-1, and (f) ZM-2.
Figure 9: Low temperature liquid nitrogen adsorption curve of coal sample and pore size distribution curve of coal sample. (a) XJ-1, (b) XJ-2, (c) HB-1, (d) HB-2, (e) ZM-1, and (f) ZM-2.
4. Low Temperature Liquid Nitrogen Adsorption Experiment of Pore Characteristics of Coal

In order to further distinguish the pore type of coal sample and verify the permeability of coal sample, the authors utilized the commonly used pore test method, low-temperature liquid nitrogen adsorption experiment, through the analysis of adsorption isotherm and corresponding pore type, combined with nuclear magnetic resonance test data, jointly study the pore structure and permeability of coal.

The experiment used ASAP 2000 specific surface area and pore size distribution instrument to test the nanoscale pore parameters in coal using liquid nitrogen as the adsorption medium. The amount of adsorption pores in the coal under different pressures was tested at 77.2 K. The relative pressure range was 0.01–1, and the test pore size ranged from 0.38 to 260 nm. The analysis method of Χοζότ et al. [35, 36] pore size was used.

According to the adsorption coagulation theory, the adsorption-desorption experiment with capillary pore solids, due to the possible overlap and separation of the adsorption branch and the desorption branch, the adsorption loop will occur. The shape of pores is reflected by the shape of the adsorption loop. Coal was a porous medium, and the pore morphology of coal could be further recognized based on the results of adsorption loops. The corresponding pores of the adsorption loop overlap were opened pores at one end, and opened pores at both ends of the adsorption loop were not coincident. The corresponding inflection points in the adsorption loop were ink bottle type and slit type holes [37–41]. The test results of coal sample low temperature liquid nitrogen adsorption were shown in Figure 9.

From the point of view of liquid nitrogen adsorption, the nitrogen adsorption capacity of different coal rank coals was ZM-1 > HB-1 > XJ-1, and the high-order coal liquid nitrogen adsorption amount is up to 8 cm³/g.

From the pore size distribution curve, in the range of 1–10 nm, the pore volume corresponding to the ZM-1 coal sample was the largest, reaching 11.8 × 10⁻⁴ cm³/g, and the XJ-1 was the smallest. In 1–10 nm, the XJ-1 and HB coal samples increased with the increase of the pore size, and the extreme value of the phase pore volume exhibited a large frequency of oscillation, indicating that there are more pores with different pore sizes in the pore size stage, but the distribution was not uniform. Moreover, the more the micropores of the coal sample, the more the amount of adsorption.

From the type of return line, the liquid nitrogen adsorption curves of XJ-1 and HB-1 coal samples do not coincide, and the coal sample adsorption and desorption curves were relatively large, indicating that the coal sample contains a large number of cylindrical holes with open ends. The adsorption was only at a relatively large pressure (>0.9 P/Po, P/Po is relative pressure), and the adsorption curve rose rapidly, indicating that the proportion of large pores and mesopores in the coal sample was large, and the gas permeability was good. The liquid nitrogen adsorption curve of the ZM-1 coal sample slowly rose, indicating that the coal sample had many micropores. An inflection point occurred at 0.5 P/Po, indicating that the coal contained an ink bottle type and a slit type hole, and the gas permeability was poor. The results of liquid nitrogen adsorption experiments further confirmed the test of coal magnetic permeability by nuclear magnetic resonance.

5. Conclusion

(1) The pores of XJ coal samples developed at all stages, and the connectivity between pores was better; the adsorption pores of HB and ZM coal samples were most developed, and the connectivity of the HB coal sample seepage holes was good.

(2) The porosity of the movable fluid of the coal sample was from large to small, respectively. The larger the porosity of the movable fluid of XJ > HB > ZM coal sample, the better the permeability of the coal sample and the more favorable the gas migration in the seepage channel.

(3) Different coal rank coals had different low temperature liquid nitrogen adsorption loops. XJ-1 and HB coals contained more open pores at both ends and better gas permeability, which is beneficial to gas seepage and migration; ZM-1 coal had many micropores, which is beneficial to gas storage; ZM-1 coal pore type was mostly ink bottle type hole, slit type hole, and poor gas permeability.

(4) In general, the nuclear magnetic porosity and permeability of the parallel layered coal samples were better than those of the vertical layered coal samples. Therefore, in the case of mine gas drainage, if the coal seam bedding direction can be considered, the amount of gas extracted may increase and the drainage effect is better.
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