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ABSTRACT: Carbon dioxide (CO2) and water (H2O) have been converted into hydrocarbons at temperature ranging from 58 to 242 °C through an artificial photosynthesis reaction catalyzed by nanostructured Co/CoO. The experimental results show that chain hydrocarbons (alkane hydrocarbons) (CnH2n+2, where 3 ≤ n ≤ 16) mainly form at a temperature higher than about 60 °C, the production rate reaches a maximum at 130 °C, and abruptly decreases above 130 °C, and then gradually increases until 220 °C. While the temperature is higher than 220 °C, benzene (C6H6) and its derivatives such as toluene (C7H8), p-xylene (C8H10), and C9H12 form. The modeling of temperature dependence of the reaction rate reveals that the vaporization of the adsorbed water contributes to the sharp peak; the activation energy is estimated as about 1 eV, which is in agreement with the reaction of CO and H2 to synthesize chain hydrocarbons. The experimental results support the mechanism that the chemisorbed CO2 and physisorbed H2O on the CoO surface are disassociated or excited with light, and the disassociated or excited molecules then synthesize hydrocarbons. When most of the water molecules leave from the CoO at temperature higher than 220 °C, the hydrogen source is of very low concentration while the carbon source remain the same because of the chemisorption, and thus benzene and its derivatives with low hydrogen atom number form.

1. INTRODUCTION

Long-chain hydrocarbons have been synthesized from CO2, water, and visible light with a nanostructured Co/CoO catalyst at lower temperature and pressure than in previous works.1-5 The chemisorbed CO2 molecules and physisorbed H2O molecules on the surface of the catalyst have found to act as a source of carbon and a source of hydrogen, respectively.1 A mechanism of surface plasmon excitation focusing was proposed, where the intensity of the sunlight is greatly enhanced around the tips of the Co/CoO nanostructures.1,2,6,7 The lowest unoccupied molecular orbital (LUMO) of the adsorbed CO2 has been found to be near to the bottom of the conduction band of CoO, which plays an important role in the dissociation of CO2.3,4 The carbon isotope effects in the artificial photosynthesis reactions catalyzed by a nanostructured Co/CoO support that when sunlight irradiates the nanostructured surface, electrons may be excited from a defect energy level to the conduction band of CoO and then quantum mechanically tunnel to the LUMO of the CO2 molecules through the plasmonic nano-focusing at the interface.3,4 On the other hand, the plasmon-induced hot electrons in Co may also inject into the conduction band of CoO and then quantum mechanically tunnel to the LUMO energy levels of CO2 molecules.3-5 Then, CO2 molecules become charged molecules (CO2)−, which are not stable. An electron is excited from the valence band of CoO to the CoO defect states3,4 that are above the valence band on top of CoO. The generated hole in the valence band of CoO then transfers to the highest occupied molecular orbital (HOMO) of the adsorbed H2O molecules that are on the surface of CoO, which results in (H2O)+.8,9 Since the excited (CO2)− and (H2O)+ molecules are unstable, they synthesize chain hydrocarbons (CnH2n+2, where 3 ≤ n ≤ 16) on the CoO catalyst surfaces.1-5 The excited (CO2)− and (H2O)+ molecules may also further dissociate to form CO and H2.

The thermodynamics of the adsorbed H2O and CO2 molecules on the Co/CoO surfaces play an important role in
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the process of artificial photosynthesis. Temperature influences the reaction rate for the hydrocarbon syntheses as well as the sources of carbons and hydrogen. However, we did not perform detailed experiment on the temperature influence. In previous experiments, we simply set the reactors under the irradiation in an environment at room temperature and neglected the detailed temperature on the Co/CoO surfaces. To further understand the mechanism of the artificial photosynthesis reactions catalyzed by nanostructured Co/CoO, we perform experiments to investigate the temperature dependence of the hydrocarbon products from 50 to 250 °C at the Co/CoO surfaces. The experimental results show a strong temperature dependence, and the explanation and analysis to the dependence support the mechanism that the chemisorbed CO₂ and physisorbed H₂O on the CoO surface are disassociated or excited with light; the disassociated or excited molecules then synthesize chain hydrocarbons (CₙH₂ₙ₊₂, where 3 ≤ n ≤ 16) starting from 60 to 220 °C. When most of the water molecules leave from the CoO at a temperature higher than 220 °C, the hydrogen source is of very low concentration, while the carbon source remains the same because of the chemisorption. This may result in that benzene and its derivatives with low hydrogen atom number tend to form.

2. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

In the control sample, there are peaks of solvent, stabilizer in the solvent, water, gases, and three contaminations from the solvent. Nothing else was shown on the GC graph as shown in the GC spectrum in Figure 1. This confirms that there is no contamination from cobalt, water, or CO₂. The products have found to contain C3 to C17 alkanes and some alcohols. Figure 1 shows the GC spectra of products obtained from the experiment at different temperatures. The corresponding GC peaks are labeled in the spectra in Figure 1. The GC peaks of the hydrocarbons whose carbon atom number is less than 7 are overlapped with the solvent peak; however, we can identify them from the MS spectrum. When the temperature is less than 220 °C, the products are mainly chain hydrocarbons. Trace amounts of hydrocarbons start to show up in the sample obtained at the 58 °C. When the temperature rises, the production rate gradually increases. At 125 °C, the production rate reaches the maximum. Around the main alkane peaks, there are some sub-peaks from alkenes and isomers of the main products. After the temperature reaches higher than 125 °C, the production decreases quickly.

The individual hydrocarbon peak intensity in the CG spectra is proportional to its molar amount, and the individual
products are extracted from the GC spectra. Figure 2 shows the detailed temperature dependence of individual hydro-

carbon chains. All the products show almost the same temperature dependence. The products increase very slowly below 100 °C. When the temperature approaches 100 °C, the production rate increases rapidly, and all of the products reach the peak value at 125 °C. Its production rate increased by more than 20 times from 90 to 125 °C. While the temperature rises higher than 125 °C, the production suddenly decreases until 150 °C, then increases until 220 °C, then the chain hydrocarbons decrease as the temperature increase further. The MS in Figure 3 shows that when the temperature is higher than 220 °C, benzene (C6H6), and its derivatives such as toluene (C7H8), p-xylene (C8H10), and C9H12 form. C9H12 cannot be identified as benzene 1-ethyl-3-methyl- or other C9H12 benzene derivatives because their MS spectra are similar.14

High-precision detection indicates trace amounts of CO in the photosynthesis process.15 Some oxygen was detected with an O2 detector, although the precision was too low to quantify the detail, and further analysis using a gas chromatograph equipped with thermal conductivity detectors (GC-TCD) also revealed trace amounts of H2.1 This is in agreement with the well-known water spitting process with photons and metal oxides.1, 5 These observations support the existence of intermediate photodissociation processes where excited states or radicals are formed:

\[ \text{H}_2\text{O} + \text{photons} \rightarrow (\text{H}_2)^* + \frac{1}{2} \text{O}_2 \quad (1) \]

\[ \text{CO}_2 + \text{photons} \rightarrow (\text{CO})^* + \frac{1}{2} \text{O}_2 \quad (2) \]

(\()^* \) stands for an excited state. Hydrocarbons will form as soon as (H2)^* and (CO)^* are produced on the surface of CoO:

\[ (2n + 1)(\text{H}_2)^* + n(\text{CO})^* \rightarrow \text{C}_n\text{H}_{2n+2} + n\text{H}_2\text{O} \quad (3) \]

Only small amounts of (H2)^* and (CO)^* may remain as detectable carbon monoxide and hydrogen gases since they are consumed in the hydrocarbon synthesis.3 The reaction rate may be found to have the form16

\[ r = k(T)\left[ (\text{H}_2)^* \right]^m \left[ (\text{CO})^* \right]^l \quad (4) \]

Here, \( k(T) \) is the reaction rate constant that depends on temperature, and \( \left[ (\text{H}_2)^* \right] \) and \( \left[ (\text{CO})^* \right] \) are the concentrations of substances (H2)^* and (CO)^*, respectively, in molecular number per unit area of the CoO/O surface solution, assuming the reaction is taking place on the surface. The exponents \( m \) and \( l \) are called partial orders of reaction and are not generally equal to the stoichiometric coefficients \( 2n + 1 \) and \( n \). Instead, they depend on the reaction mechanism and can be determined experimentally. The Arrhenius equation gives the dependence of the rate constant of the chemical reaction on the temperature,17

\[ k(T) = A e^{-\frac{E_a}{k_B T}} \quad (5) \]

A is the pre-exponential factor, a constant for each chemical reaction. According to the collision theory, \( A \) is the frequency of collisions in the correct orientation, and \( E_a \) is the activation energy for the reaction. \( k_B \) is the Boltzmann constant.

The adsorbed CO2 and H2O molecules on the CoO surfaces are the sources of carbons and hydrogen in the process of artificial photosynthesis, respectively.1–5 CO2 molecules are mainly chemisorbed on the CoO surfaces, and H2O molecules are mainly physisorbed on the CoO surfaces.1–5, 18

**Figure 2.** Temperature dependence of alkane hydrocarbon production.

**Figure 3.** MS spectra of benzene (C6H6), toluene (C7H8), and p-xylene (C8H10) and C9H12 benzene derivatives from the products at 242 °C.
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isorption may increase with increased temperature, while physiosorption decreases with increased temperature. H2O molecules are less likely to be absorbed when they are in the gas phase than in the liquid phase,19,20 and this decrease in physiosorption greatly reduces effective amount of reactants and thus bottlenecks the reaction rate. Using the vapor pressure as a function of temperatures21 and estimating the reaction chamber pressure as a function of temperature, we obtain a critical temperature Tc, at which water has a phase transition. The hydrogen source greatly decreases above Tc, according to eq 4, we will have thus an abrupt decrease in the reaction rate.

In the experiment, at about 20 °C, the reaction chamber is filled to an absolute pressure of 2 atm. The reaction chamber is a closed system; when the temperature increases to about 100 °C, the pressure in the chamber would rise to 2.5 atm. The vapor pressure of water increases with its temperature; when the pressure in the action chamber is lower than the vapor pressure, most of the adsorbed H2O molecules will desorb from the CoO surface. The pressure in the chamber can be calculated with the state equation of an ideal gas as shown in Figure 4. The vapor pressure (solid curve) of water and the pressure (dashed line) in the chamber as a function of temperature. The dotted line is the expected pressure in the chamber if the reactants are tripled.

The dashed line in Figure 4. The vapor pressure of water can be obtained with Hyland and Wexler’s equation:21

\[
P_{\text{vapor}} = \exp \left[ -0.58002206 \times 10^6 \frac{1}{T} + 1.3914993 \right. \\
\left. - 0.048640239 T + 0.41764678 \times 10^{-4} T^2 \right. \\
\left. - 0.14452093 \times 10^{-7} T^3 + 6.5459673 \ln(T) \right]
\] (6)

with T in K and P_{\text{vapor}} in Pa as shown in Figure 4 with a solid curve. From Figure 4, we see that two curves cross at temperature 404.2 K, above which the pressure in the chamber is lower than the vapor pressure, most of the H2O molecules will detach from the CoO surfaces and vice versa.

We may assume that the [(H2)*] and [(CO)*] are proportional to the concentrations of adsorbed H2O and CO2 molecules on the CoO surface. The adsorbed CO2 remained in the form of chemisorption, and its concentration is not very sensitive to the temperature,9 while H2O concentration is very sensitive to the temperature, considering the vapor pressure effect because of the physisorption.23 In the temperature range from 273 to 500 K, [(CO)*] is simply considered as a constant, while [(H2)*] abruptly decreases above Tc due to evaporation;18–20 we may express [(H2)*] with

\[
[(H2)*] \propto \frac{1}{e^{(T-T_c)/b} + 1} + d
\] (7)

\[d\] is the portion of [(H2)*] comparing to temperature lower than Tc, \(b\) describes the temperature range in which water evaporates. Eq 7 indicates that when temperature is lower than Tc, [(H2)*] is a constant and is a different constant if the temperature is higher than Tc, as shown in Figure 6. The reaction rate of eq 4 is calculated by

\[
r(T) = C e^{-\left(\frac{E_a}{k_b T}\right)} \left( \frac{1}{e^{(T-T_c)/b} + 1} + d \right)^m
\] (8)

where C is a constant.

r(T) is proportional to the amount of hydrocarbon products. As shown in Figure 2, all the alkane products show almost the same temperature dependence. The total alkane hydrocarbon products are calculated from Figure 2 by adding all the hydrocarbons from heptane to hexadecane and neglecting other hydrocarbons. The temperature dependence of the total alkane hydrocarbon products is shown in Figure 5 with dots. With eq 8, theoretical fitting to the experimental results is performed and shown with a dashed curve in Figure 5, where the peak of production rate is calculated to be at 402.5 K. We found that with the parameters \(E_a = 1.1\text{ eV}, T_o = 404.5\text{K}, b = 0.7\text{K}, d = 0.4\%,\) and \(m = 1,\) the calculation fits to the experimental data. \(E_a,\) the activation energy, is 1.1 eV, which is in agreement with the one for hydrocarbon formation from CO and H2.22–26 \(T_o = 404.5\text{K}\) is almost the same as the value estimated with the ideal gas state equation and the Hyland and Wexler’s equation in the above and is little bit higher than 402.5 K at which the production is at maximum. That \(b = 0.7\text{K}\) represents that within a narrow temperature range of 0.7 K, the adsorbed H2O were released from the catalyst. That \(d = 0.4\%\) represents the remaining amount of H2O left on the CoO surface above Tc. Here, we have set \(m = 1\) for simplicity since the model is insensitive to this fitting parameters. With the fitting parameters, eq 7 is plotted in Figure 6, where the concentrations above and below Tc are almost constants. In reality, they are not constants but a function decreasing with the temperature increasing.18–20 Taking this into account, the underestimation at temperature lower than 360 K and overestimation at about 490 K as shown in Figure 5 might be improved. However, as shown in the inset of Figure 5, the experimental value at about 330 K is more than 10 times larger than that of the calculation with eq 8. This cannot be simply explained by the present model, which needs further study.

From Figure 4, we see that Tc increases with more reactants. For example, if the reactants are tripled, as shown with the dotted line, then Tc is expected to increase to about 450 from 404.5 K, and the reaction rate will increase by 20 times according to eq 5.
Figure 2 shows that alkane hydrocarbon production decreases when temperature increases from 220 to 242 °C, while other kinds of hydrocarbons appear. As shown in Figures 1 and 3, benzene (C₆H₆) and benzene derivatives such as toluene (C₆H₅), p-xylene (C₈H₁₀), and C₉H₁₂ will form. At a higher temperature, the hydrogen source is very low while carbon source remains the same because of the chemisorption, and thus benzene and its derivatives with low hydrogen atom number tend to form. However, converting alkanes into aromatic hydrocarbons might be another mechanism for the explanation to the phenomenon,²⁷−²⁹ i.e., chain hydrocarbons were synthesized before converting to benzene (C₆H₆) and benzene derivatives. The conversion generally happens at a temperature higher than 500 °C,²⁷−²⁹ but the temperature in the present experiment is only about 240 °C that is too low for the conversion to happen. The experimental result shows that the CoO/Co surface can also be used as a catalyst to form benzene and benzene derivatives by controlling temperature and the relative ratio between carbon and hydrogen sources, which needs further studies.

3. CONCLUSIONS

The experimental results show that chain hydrocarbons (C₃H(2n+2), where 3 ≤ n ≤ 16) mainly form at a temperature higher than about 60 °C, and the production rate reaches a maximum at 130 °C, abruptly decreases above 130 °C, and then gradually increases until 220 °C; while the temperature is higher than 220 °C, benzene (C₆H₆) and benzene derivatives such as toluene (C₆H₅), p-xylene (C₈H₁₀), and C₉H₁₂ form. The modeling of temperature dependence of the reaction rate reveals that the vaporization of the adsorbed water contributes to the sharp peak; the activation energy is estimated as about 1 eV, which is in agreement with the reaction of CO and H₂ to synthesize chain hydrocarbons. The experimental results support the mechanism that the chemisorbed CO₂ and physisorbed H₂O on the CoO surface are disassociated or excited with light; the disassociated or excited molecules then synthesize hydrocarbons. When most of the water molecules leave from the CoO at a temperature higher than 220 °C, the hydrogen source is depleted, while the carbon source remains the same due to chemisorption, and thus benzene and its derivatives with low hydrogen atom number could form. Due to H₂O vaporization, higher pressure in the chamber leads to a higher reaction rate.

4. EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

The cobalt (Co) powder was obtained from Goodfellow. The diameters of the Co micro-particles are in the range of 50−100 μm. The Co micro-particles were mixed with a 3.5% aqueous solution of hydrogen chloride (HCl) for 10 min then rinsed with distilled water. The oxide layered structures were removed from the Co particle surfaces by acid etching. The catalyst was
then degassed in vacuum for approximately 1 h to remove the carbon dioxide adsorbed on the surfaces. Nanoflakes were then formed on the particle surfaces after placing the Co powder in air at room temperature for 2 h. This method was reported in previous articles. In this sample preparation, a femtosecond laser irradiation was used to irradiate the nanostructured Co micro-particles in the sealed reactor. During the irradiation, the laser was laid on a stage with a tilt angle of ° to the stage surface below the solar lamp, which is crucial for this experiment. If the reactor was laid down horizontally perfectly, during the irradiation, the water vapor will condensate near the cap easily, which makes the cobalt particles too dry to react. Tilting reactors allow condensed water to flow back to the cobalt particles and keep them wet. The distance between the solar lamp and the glass vial was 15 cm so that the light intensity is 100 mW/cm², which is similar to the sunlight intensity. About half of the cylinder glass reactor was wrapped with diatomaceous earth, which is similar to the sunlight intensity. About half of the reactor was wrapped with a 30 m ZB-624 capillary column.

The glass reactor was placed horizontally and manually shook so that the cobalt particles spread uniformly on the bottom half of the cylindrical wall of the reactor. A solar lamp for simulating natural sunlight (Honle, SOL 500) was used to irradiate the nanostructured Co micro-particles in the sealed reactor. During the irradiation, the reactor was laid on a stage with a tilt angle of ° to the stage surface below the solar lamp, which is crucial for this experiment. If the reactor was laid down horizontally perfectly, during the irradiation, the water vapor will condensate near the cap easily, which makes the cobalt particles too dry to react. Tilting reactors allow condensed water to flow back to the cobalt particles and keep them wet. The distance between the solar lamp and the glass vial was 15 cm so that the light intensity is 100 mW/cm², which is similar to the sunlight intensity. About half of the cylinder glass reactor was wrapped with different materials to keep the cobalt particles at different temperatures but in the same light intensity. In this experiment, fiberglass, paper, rubber, and aluminum sheet were used to keep the reactor at different temperatures. For temperatures higher than 200 °C, an electric heating plate was used along with an extra heat source because only light at 100 mW/cm² cannot heat the sample to a temperature higher than 200 °C in the present simple reactor setup. Thermal couples were attached to the bottom half of the reactor to monitor the temperature. A control experiment was also conducted with the same experimental setup except no light irradiation to know if there are any contaminations.

After 20 h of irradiation, the products were extracted with approximately 3 mL of dichloromethane (DCM), which was injected into the reactor to extract non-volatile organic products. The DCM extract was then analyzed using a Bruker Scion SQ gas chromatography–mass spectrometry (GC–MS) with a 30 m ZB-624 capillary column.
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