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Abstract. In this paper, 319 incidents of snake predation by spiders are reported based on a comprehensive global literature and social media survey. Snake-catching spiders have been documented from all continents except Antarctica. Snake predation by spiders has been most frequently documented in USA (51% of all incidents) and Australia (29%). The captured snakes are predominantly small-sized with an average body length of 25.9 ± 1.3 cm (median = 27 cm; range: 5.8–100 cm). Altogether >90 snake species from seven families have been documented to be captured by >40 spider species from 11 families. About 60% of the reported incidents were attributable to theridiids (~0.6–1.1 cm body length), a spider family that uses strong tangle webs for prey capture. Especially the Australian redback spider (Latrodectus hasselti Thorell, 1870), the African button spider (Latrodectus indistinctus O. Pickard-Cambridge, 1904), an Israeli widow spider (Latrodectus revivens) Shulov, 1948), and four species of North American widow spiders (Latrodectus geometricus C.L. Koch, 1841, Latrodectus hesperus Chamberlin & Ivie, 1935, Latrodectus mactans (Fabricius, 1775), and Latrodectus variolus Walckenaer, 1837) – equipped with a very potent vertebrate-specific toxin (x-latrotoxin) – have proven to be expert snake catchers. The use of vertebrates as a supplementary food source by spiders represents an opportunity to enlarge their food base, resulting in enhanced survival capability. Interestingly, the snakes captured by spiders also encompasses some species from the families Elapidae and Viperidae known to be highly toxic to humans and other vertebrates. Not only do spiders sometimes capture and kill snakes, quite often the tables are turned – that is, a larger number of arthropod-eating snake species (in particular nonvenomous species in the family Colubridae) include spiders in their diets.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Spiders are among the most common and abundant predators in terrestrial ecosystems (Coddington & Levi 1991; Nyffeler & Sunderland 2003). With >49,000 described species, these animals exhibit an enormous diversity of lifestyles and foraging strategies (Nyffeler & Birkhofer 2017; World Spider...
2. METHODS

2.1 Data collection.—We searched published reports on snake predation by spiders with Thomson-Reuters database, Elsevier’s Scopus database, Google Search, Google Scholar, Google Books, and Google Pictures as well as ProQuest Dissertations and Theses (compare Nyffeler et al. 2017c). Social media sites were also searched as well. We made a library search of books and scientific journals not included in the large databases. A total of 319 reports of predation (or predation attempts) on snakes by spiders were found, about 1/3 of which had previously been published in the scientific literature (see Supplementary Table S1, online at https://doi.org/10.1636/JoA-S-20-050.s1). The remaining 2/3 were found on social media sites (e.g., Scientific American, National Geographic, Australian Geographic, BBC, YouTube, Project Noah, and Panama Birds & Wildlife; see Supplementary Table S1) or provided to us as personal communication by scientists/photographers. Of the 319 records, 297 (93%) refer to naturally occurring incidents witnessed in the field or in buildings; the remaining 22 records (%) are based on laboratory feeding trials or staged field experiments. In one case where it was stated that a certain type of predation event had been witnessed several dozen times (without providing an exact number; Peters 2016), we hypothetically estimated that this type of predation event had occurred two dozen times (as a proxy to the unknown true number). In this paper, the Neotropical realm is understood as the combined area of Mexico, Central America, South America, and the Caribbean, whereas all information referring to Florida is included in the USA.

2.2 Identification of unidentified spiders and snakes depicted in photos.—Almost 80% of the 319 reported predation events were documented with photos or videos. Some photos or videos depicted unidentified spiders and/or snakes which were identified to the lowest taxon possible on our behalf by established spider and snake taxonomists. Spiders were identified by R. Bennett, A. Dippenaar-Schoeman, G.B. Edwards, H. Höfer, P. Jäger, K. Kissane, Y. Lubin, R. Raven, B. Thaler-Knofflach, and R. West. Snake identifications were carried out by W. Gibbons, W. Lamar, R. Shine, S. Spawls, G. Vogel, and R. Whitaker. Some snakes unable to be unambiguously identified (based on only photos) are indicated in Appendix 2 by a question mark behind the snake’s name, but the cumulative data provide an estimate of the number of snake morphospecies preyed on by spiders.

Several reports from the northeastern part of USA (Vermont, Connecticut, Maryland, Pennsylvania, and New York) or the southeastern part of Canada (Ontario) of unidentified theridiids killing snakes in a manner typical of black widows are from a geographic area that coincides with the geographic range of Latrodectus variolus Walckenaer, 1837 (see https://usaspiders.com/latrodectus-variolus-northern-black-widow/) suggesting that in some of these cases, the predator in question was most likely the northern black widow Latrodectus variolus.

Snake and spider nomenclatures are based on Uetz et al.’s “The Reptile Database – update from 2020” and the “World Spider Catalog 2020”, respectively. In 89% cases, at least a family-level identification of the reported snake victims could be conducted, whereas 11% remain unidentified. Contrary to the “World Spider Catalog 2020”, we placed the genera Nephila Leach, 1815 and Trichonephila Dahl, 1911 in the family Nephilidae (sensu Kuntner et al. 2019).

Body length of spiders is understood as the length of cephalothorax + abdomen (without legs). Snake body length is defined as total length (= snout-vent length + tail length). In cases where information of spider body length was missing in published reports, average spider body length values representative of those species, extracted from arachnology books, were added to the data set in the Supplementary Table S1. So, for instance, an average spider body length value of 1.0 cm was assumed for adult female black widows (Latrodectus spp.). Spider body length data used in this paper are biased towards the araneomorph spiders (i.e., in particular the small-sized theridiids) due to the fact that in many reports referring to the much larger-sized mygaleomorphs body length data were unavailable. Furthermore, because mygaleomorph species show wide intraspecific variation in body size, it was usually not possible for this spider group to operate with an assumed species-specific body length value based on arachnology books. In very few cases, where exclusively snout-vent length data for snakes were available, those were converted to total length data, using conversion factors taken from the literature (Boada et al. 2005; Brust 2013; Mirtschin et al. 2017; Murphy et al. 2019).

Based on snake body length information, corresponding snake body mass values have been roughly estimated based on published snake length/body mass ratios (see Nyffeler et al. 2017a) if snake body mass was not provided in the published predation accounts.
2.3 Definition of the term “venomous spiders”.—All spider species except for the uloborids and the holarchaeids possess a pair of venom glands and are therefore capable of producing venoms used for prey capture and in some cases also for defense (Coddington & Levi 1991; Foelix 2011). A limited number of notoriously dangerous spiders are referred to as “venomous spiders” ( Bücherl & Buckley 1971). This group of spiders includes in particular widow spiders (Latrodectus spp.), wandering spiders (Phoneutria spp.), funnel-web-spiders (Atrax spp.), and recluse spiders (Loxosceles spp.). The very potent venoms produced by spiders from this group are potentially dangerous not only to humans, they can also be lethal to other mammals, birds, snakes, lizards, anurans, etc. (Nyffeler & Vetter 2018; Nyffeler & Alttig 2020).

2.4 Definition of the terms “venomous snakes” vs. “nonvenomous snakes”.—Venomous snakes include species of snakes that use their fangs to inject toxins into their victims (Encyclopedia Britannica 2020a). In this paper, the term venomous snakes is used for species known to be potentially lethal to humans; included in this category are the families Elapidae and Viperidae. The toxicity of various snake species is compared based on LD50 values of snake venom injected in mice. In contrast, nonvenomous snakes are species that do not produce a toxin that is clinically significant to humans. Nonvenomous snakes are represented by the families Colubridae, Dipsadidae, Lamprophiidae, Leptotyphlopidae, and Typhlopidae.

2.5 Definition of the term “poisonous snakes”.—By definition the term “venomous snakes” implies that these snakes produce a toxin that is potentially lethal to humans; included in this category are the families Elapidae and Viperidae. Poisonous snakes are potentially dangerous not only to humans, they can also be lethal to other mammals, birds, snakes, lizards, anurans, etc. (Nyffeler & Vetter 2018; Nyffeler & Alttig 2020).

2.6 Statistical methods.—In this paper, neither spider body length data nor snake body length data are normally distributed (tested with the Shapiro-Wilk test software, online at http://www.statskingdom.com/320ShapiroWilk.html). Likewise, LD50 data for spiders and snakes are not normally distributed (Shapiro-Wilk test). Accordingly, the median must be used as a measure of central tendency. Despite the fact that data from field collections and observations are often not normally distributed, many authors still use the mean as central tendency. In order to make our data comparable to those from the literature, we calculated a mean (± SE) in addition to the median (while at the same time acknowledging that this is not the ideal measure). The two-tailed Mann-Whitney U test was used to compare mean snake lengths in USA vs. Australia, and the same test was also used to compare mean LD50 values (mg/kg mouse) for venomous spiders vs. venomous snakes. These analyses were performed at https://www.socscistatistics.com/tests/mannwhitney/default2.aspx. To test whether the percentages of rescued snakes vs. rescued birds differed statistically significantly, a chi-square calculator test (without Yates’s correction) was performed; this was accomplished using MedCalc statistical software (online at https://www.medcalc.org/calc/comparison_of_proportions.php). This same statistical test was also performed to test whether there was a significant difference between the percentages of venomous snakes killed on different continents. Based on 86 available data pairs, snake body lengths and spider body lengths were tested for a relationship using the Pearson Correlation Coefficient Calculator (online at https://www.socscistatistics.com/tests/pearson/).

3. RESULTS

3.1 Which spider species are engaged in snake predation?—More than 30 spider species have been reported to prey on snakes under natural conditions, and 11 additional species have been documented to capture and eat snakes in captivity or in staged field experiments (Table 1 and Appendix 1). The following ten families have been documented to be engaged in snake predation under natural conditions: Agelenidae, Araneidae, Ctenidae, Idiopidae, Nephilidae, Plectreuridae, Plegadidae, Sparassidae, Theraphosidae, and Theridiidae (Table 1 and Appendix 1). Additionally, a large-sized species of the wolf spider family (Lycosidae) has been witnessed attacking a snake in captivity (Anonymous 2014). Based on available data, snake-eating spiders had an average body length of 1.69 ± 0.19 cm (median = 1.00 cm, n = 86).

Approximately 60% of the 319 incidents of snake predation were attributable to theridiids (∼0.6–1.1 cm body length), a spider family that uses strong tangle webs to capture prey (Table 1; Figs. 1–5). Roughly half of all incidents were attributable to widow spiders (Latrodectus spp.; Table 1). Several species of widow spiders (Latrodectus spp.) have proven to be expert snake catchers (Figs. 1A, 2B, 3–5), including four species of North American widow spiders (Latrodectus geometricus C.L. Koch, 1841, Latrodectus hesperus Chamberlin & Ivie, 1935, Latrodectus mactans Fabricius, 1775, Latrodectus variolus Walckenaer, 1837), the Australian redback spider (Latrodectus hasselti Thorell, 1870), the African button spider (Latrodectus indistinctus O. Pickard-Cambridge, 1904), an Israeli widow spider (Latrodectus revivensis Shulov, 1948), and a white colored, not uniquely identifiable Iranian widow spider (possibly Latrodectus pallidus O. Pickard-Cambridge, 1872). The seven widow species reported in our study are of similar size (usually weighing ∼0.35–0.50 g as adult females) with maximum weights of up to ∼1 g (Canadian Geographic 2006; Nel et al. 2014; Nyffeler & Vetter 2018). Snakes are killed exclusively by adult female widow spiders (see Nyffeler & Vetter (2018) for an explanation).

The second most important snake catchers among the spiders were members of the tarantula family (Theraphosidae) which made up 10% of all instances of snake predation by spiders (Table 1; Figs. 6, 7A). These are large-sized vagrant spiders which do not spin a catching web. Some members of this family hunt prey in trees, while others catch prey on the ground. Tarantulas are among the largest and most powerful spiders, whose largest species reach a leg-span of >20 cm and a weight of up to >100 g (Nyffeler & Knörrnschild 2013). Other nonweb-building spiders (e.g., Pisauridae) were less significant snake catchers (Table 1; Fig. 7B).

Large orb-weavers of the families Araneidae and Nephilidae reached third place in terms of importance as snake catchers (8.5% of all incidents, Table 1; Fig. 8). Especially species in the genera Argiope Audouin, 1826, Nephila, and Trichonephila...
have been witnessed preying on snakes that got trapped in their strong orb-webs (Wilder 1865; Hedinger 1931; Pinkus 1932; Burt 1949; Zippel & Kirkland 1998; Tanaka & Mori 2000; Jantos 2012). The spiders in the genera Nephila and Trichonephila placed in Nephilidae (sensu Kuntner et al. 2019) have been witnessed preying on snakes that got trapped in their strong orb-webs (Wilder 1865; Zippel & Kirkland 1998; Tanaka & Mori 2000; Jantos 2012).

3.2 Which snake species are captured by spiders?—The snakes known as prey of spiders had an average body length of 25.9 ± 1.3 cm (median = 27 cm; range: 5.8–100 cm; n = 104). The vast majority of snake victims were either neonates or juveniles (e.g., Burt 1949; Owens 1949; Klemens 1993; Durigo 2010; Peters 2016) with a rather low body weight (see snake body weights in Table 2 for a comparison). The smallest snakes captured by spiders (i.e., juvenile typhlopids) are known to weigh only 0.2–0.7 g (Table 2). Younger snake individuals are probably more vulnerable to predators than adults due to their small size (Jorge et al. 2016). But on the other hand, in rare cases larger colubrids and vipers captured by spiders were fairly heavy, reaching an estimated body weight of up to 40–60 g (see subsection 3.6). The vast majority of snakes killed by spiders are terrestrial, with some exceptions. For example, there are several reports of juveniles of the northern water snake Nerodia sipedon having been killed by web-building spiders (Anonymous 1946; online at https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=g3wKiVwrlNxs Accessed 5 June 2020; https://www.recordchina.co.jp/b7342-s0-c30-d0000.html Accessed 6 June 2020 Emerton 1926; Owens 1949; Punzo & Henderson 1999; Avila & Porfirio 2008; Sasa et al. 2009; Nunes 2010; Borges et al. 2016; Jorge et al. 2016; Pinto et al. 2017; Almeida et al. 2019; Da Silva et al. 2019; Brown 1895; Swanson 1952; Hutchins 1968; Myers 1974; Groves & Groves 1978; Sanders 1982; Moehn 1984; Bush 1989, Klemens 1993; Faraone et al. 2017; Stevenson & Crook 2018; Branch 1998; Linsey & Clifford 2002; Gibbons 2017; and others).

The group of snakes killed and eaten by spiders in the wild encompasses 86 species, representing seven families (i.e., Colubridae, Dipsadidae, Elapidae, Lamprophiidae, Leptotyphlopidae, Typhlopidae, and Viperidae; Table 3, Appendix 2). In addition, 5 more snake species (i.e., Chironius carinatus, Drymobius margaritiferus, Imantodes cenchoa, Bothrops jararaca, and Crotalus durissus) were killed and consumed by spiders in captivity or during staged field experiments. Two families (Colubridae and Elapidae) accounted for 84% of all 284 identified snake victims, with colubrids being the most representative family (162 incidents, Table 3). The predominance of Colubridae in the total of captured snakes reflects the fact that colubrids are by far the largest and most abundant snake family on all continents except Australia (Degenhardt et al. 2005). The list of North American colubrid snakes known as prey of spiders includes the following species: black-headed and flat-headed snakes (Tantilla gracilis and Tantilla hobartmithi), brown snakes (Storeria dekayi and Storeria occipito-maculata), clayfish snakes (Regina septemvittata), earth snakes (Virginia valeriae), garter snakes (Thamnophis cyrtosius and Thamnophis sirtalis), glossy snakes (Arizona elegans), green snakes (Opheodrys aestivus and Opheodrys vernalis), hog-
nosed snakes (*Heterodon platirhinos*), king snakes (*Lampropeltis calligaster* and *Lampropeltis triangulum*), lined snakes (*Tropidoclonion lineatum*), night snakes (*Hypsiglena chlorophaea*), racers (*Coluber constrictor*), rat snakes (*Pantherophis guttatus*), ringneck snakes (*Diadophis punctatus*), sand snakes (*Sonora straminea*), scarlet snakes (*Cemophora coccinea*), and watersnakes (*Nerodia sipedon* and *Nerodia rhombifer*) (Appendix 2). Among these North American colubrids, ringneck snakes and garter snakes have been reported to be trapped in spider webs particularly frequently (e.g., Burt 1949; Groves & Groves 1978; Klemens 1993).

That Elapidae are the snake group second most frequently killed by spiders can be explained by the numerical predominance of this snake family in Australia (Shine 1977). Brown snakes (*Pseudonaja* spp.) are particularly frequently killed by Australian spiders (Fig. 5A; also see De Rebeira 1981; Bush 1989; Peters 2016; Australian Museum 2020).

### 3.3 Global distribution of snake predation by spiders.

Predation on snakes by spiders has been witnessed so far in 25 countries (see Supplementary Table S1). Predation on snakes by spiders is a global pattern occurring on all continents except Antarctica. The vast majority of reports of snake predation by spiders originates from the USA (51% of all naturally occurring incidents) and Australia (29%). In USA, snake predation by spiders is known from 29 states and all parts of the country except Alaska (Supplementary Table S1).

To a lower extent, incidents of snake predation were reported from the Neotropics (8%), Asia (6%), Africa (3%), Canada (1%), and Europe (<1%). The two European reports both refer to incidents of predation upon tiny blind snakes (*Indotyphlops braminus* and *Xerodytus vermicularis*; Petrov & Lazarov 2000; Faraone et al. 2019), whereas the Canadian reports deal with neonate ringneck snakes (*Diadophis punctatus*) and presumably a juvenile wandering gartersnake (*Thamnophis elegans*) trapped in spider webs (The Spider Club of SA 2018; Algonquin Wildlife Research Station 2020; Malgonquin Photography 2020).

### 3.4 How spiders kill snakes.

Eighty-seven percent of the snakes captured by spiders got killed, 1.5% could escape on their own, and 11% were rescued by humans (see Supplementary Table S1). The different groups of snake-eating spiders employ different methods to capture and kill their prey. In the following, we explain the killing behavior of the three dominant groups of ophiophagous spiders (black widows, tarantulas, and large orb-weavers).

Black widows build an irregular tangle of threads at a height of 10–100 cm above the substrate. From there, vertical sticky gumfooted threads extend to the substrate (Blackledge et al. 2005; Blackledge & Zevenbergen 2007). The webs are very strong and tough, enabling the spiders to capture prey many times larger and heavier than themselves (see Shao & Vollrath 1999; Blackledge et al. 2005; Swanson et al. 2006; Nyffeler & Vetter 2018). When a small snake slides into such a web, it sticks to the vertical viscid threads. The spider approaches the snake, throws sticky silk masses over it, and bites it one or more times (Vollrath 2000). The neurotoxin thereby injected is a very potent, vertebrate-specific toxin (α-latrotoxin) that has proven to be highly lethal to small vertebrates (Gendreau et al. 2017; Nyffeler & Vetter 2018). Subsequently the spider pulls its victim off the ground, raising it between 10 and 120 cm above the floor, a process which may last several hours (Figs. 1A, 2B, 3–5; Anonymous 1932a; Ervin & Carroll 2007; Jones et al. 2011; Stevenson & Crook 2018).

The time it took a theridiid to kill a snake varied greatly. In some instances, the snake was paralyzed a few minutes after the venomous bite had been administered by the spider (e.g., Krumm-Heller 1910). In other instances, it took a spider several days to kill a snake (Gudger 1925; Anonymous 1932b; Anonymous 1946). Unfortunately, in quite a number of reports of theridiid predation upon snakes, the spiders remained unidentified. Nevertheless, based on the specific behavior of lifting the snake off the ground, it can be assumed that in such cases the spiders in question must have been...
Figure 2.—Theridiid spiders capturing colubrid snakes. A. Southern Ringneck Snake, *Diadophis punctatus punctatus* (Colubridae), caught in a spider web in a garage in Virginia, USA (Photo by Erika Packard). The spider in question was a non-widow theridiid (most likely *Parasteatoda tepidariorum* (C. L. Koch, 1841)). B. Juvenile Eastern garter snake, *Thamnophis sirtalis*, trapped in a brown widow web (*Latrodectus geometricus*) observed in Douglas, Georgia, USA (Photo by Julia Safer).

Figure 3.—Black widow spiders killing colubrid snakes. A. Lined snake, *Tropidoclonion lineatum* (Colubridae), killed in web of black widow spider (*Latrodectus* sp.) in a building in Tulsa, Oklahoma, USA (Photo by Jeff Lewis). B. Juvenile scarlet snake (*Cemophora coccinea*, Colubridae) entrapped on web of *Latrodectus geometricus*, observed in a private residence in Georgia, USA (Photo by Daniel R. Crook).
theridiids (see Nyffeler & Vetter 2018); but it remained open whether the predator in question was a species in the genus *Latrodectus* Walckenaer, 1805, *Parasteatoda* Archer, 1946 or *Steatoda* Sundevall, 1833. Reports according to which snakes were killed fairly rapidly seem to refer exclusively to widow spiders (*Latrodectus* spp.) (Krumm-Heller 1910; Bush 1961; Sweeney 2009; Anonymous 2016). This makes sense when taking into account that the very potent α-latrotoxin present in the *Latrodectus* venom is expected to reduce the time it takes to kill a snake. On the contrary, it seems likely that several instances in which it took a long time to kill a snake can be attributed to non-widow theridiids (e.g., *Parasteatoda* or *Steatoda*) which lack the potent α-latrotoxin in their venom and which produce a lower quantity of toxin due to their smaller body size (≈0.02–0.07 g) compared to the *Latrodectus* spp.. However, instances in which it took widow spiders several hours to kill a snake have been reported as well (e.g., De Rebeira 1981).

Tarantulas are equipped with powerful orthognath chelicerae and produce neurotoxins effectively targeting the snake nervous system (Figs. 6, 7A; Emerton 1926; Borges et al. 2016;...
Almeida et al. 2019). Based on laboratory feeding trials, the toxicologists Brazil & Vellard investigated the snake-catching behavior of Grammostola actaeon (Pocock, 1903), a large Brazilian tarantula (Emerton 1926). Emerton (1926) summarizes their observations as follows: “...the spider tries to catch the snake by the head and will hold on in spite of all efforts of the snake to shake him off. After a minute or two the spider’s poison takes effect, and the snake becomes quiet. Beginning at the head, the spider crushes the snake with its chelicerae and feeds upon its soft parts, sometimes taking 24 hours or more to suck the whole animal, leaving the remains in a shapeless mass....” Theraphosa blondi (Latreille, 1804), another huge Neotropical tarantula, was seen feeding on a snake prey for 18 hours (Rick West, pers. comm.).

Tree snakes and other colubrids occasionally get trapped in the sticky webs of large araneid and nephilid orb-weaving...
spiders (genera *Argiope*, *Nephila*, and *Trichonephila*) while moving across vegetation. Once a snake is entangled in a web, the spider wraps it tightly in silk, followed by one or more venomous bites (Fig. 8A; Anonymous 1911; Pinkus 1932). After the snake’s death, the spider extracts the dissolved tissue from its victim by the process of extraintestinal digestion.

3.5 Clash of the venomous: black widow spiders vs. venomous snakes.—About half of all spiders engaged in snake predation belong to spider taxa that produce neurotoxins of high toxicity. Included among these highly toxic spider species are at least seven species of widows (*Latrodectus* spp.; Figs. 1A, 2B, 3–5) in addition to wandering spiders (*Phoneutria* sp.), whereas the neurotoxins of most theraphosids are somewhat less toxic and usually harmless to humans (Foelix 2011).

By comparison, ~30% of all snakes captured by spiders belong to species known as venomous snakes (according to the definition presented in the Methods section). Among those, a large number of species are considered highly toxic, such as New World coral snakes, Australian brown snakes (*Pseudonaja* spp.), rattlesnakes (*Crotalus* spp.), and Neotropical lanceheads (*Bothrops* spp.) (Figs. 4B, 5, 6A, 7A, 8B). One Australian species killed by spiders – the Eastern brown snake *Pseudonaja textilis* – is even considered to be one of the world’s most toxic snakes to humans (Hoy 2012; Fig. 5A).

Toxicity values (expressed as LD₅₀ in mice) of venomous snakes and spiders are compared in Table 4. It follows that the LD₅₀ values of venomous spiders do not differ statistically significantly from those of venomous snakes (Mann-Whitney *U* test, *n₁* = 13 [for spiders], *n₂* = 16 [for snakes], *Z* = 0.3947, *P* > 0.05). In other words, spiders equipped with very potent venoms are at times attacking, killing, and consuming some highly lethal snakes, whereby the high toxicity of these snakes has so far only been proven in primates, some other mammals, birds, fish, lizards, and snakes. We know of no documented record in which a venomous snake captured by a spider has envenomated the spider. Thus, we don’t know whether spiders might be vulnerable or immune to snake venoms. We know, however, that the venomous snakes from the families Elapidae and Viperidae (Table 4) feed more or less exclusively on vertebrate prey (e.g., Mao 1970; Klauber 1997; Goodyear & Pianka 2008). This indicates that it is less likely that spiders are vulnerable to snake venom because there was no need for this type of snake to evolve a specific toxin aimed to target the spider nervous system to facilitate the capture of spider prey. In spite of that, a snake bite could become lethal to a spider if the snake would succeed to puncture the spider’s body with its fangs (Rick Vetter, pers. comm.).

Apart from feeding on venomous snakes, spiders at times also feed on poisonous snakes (i.e., snakes which became poisonous after feeding on toxic prey). As pointed out in the Methods section, the common garter snake (*Thamnophis sirtalis*) can be poisonous to a natural enemy after being consumed by it (Williams et al. 2004). The common garter snake is among the snakes most frequently captured by spiders in USA (Fig. 2B; Supplementary Table S1). But as far as known to us, spiders which consumed garter snakes survived such incidents without apparent harm.

3.6 Predator-prey size ratio.—Black widow spiders can overcome snakes 15–30 times their own size (Sutton 2016; Rocha et al. 2017; Fig. 12). For comparison, it is known that black widows can overcome mice of up to 14.4 times the spider’s body mass (Nyffeler & Vetter 2018). An even more extreme example was reported in 1933 in the National Geographic Magazine (Anonymous 1933). In this particular case, a 15 cm long garter snake (weighing 8 g) was found trapped in the web of a triangulate cobweb spider, *Steatoda triangulosa* (Walckenaer, 1802), of only 0.0225 g body mass. Hence, this snake was 355 times heavier than the spider.
Based on our survey, the largest snakes caught by spiders under natural conditions were those that became entangled in webs of *Nephila pilipes* (Fabricius, 1793) and *Trichonephila clavipes*. These are spiders with a weight of 1–7 g that spin orb-webs with a diameter of 0.5–1.5 m (Nyffeler & Knörnschild 2013). Snakes of 40–100 cm total length are captured in the strong webs of *Trichonephila clavipes* (Zippel & Kirkland 1998; Sequeira 2011), which would correspond to an estimated maximum snake weight of 10–45 g (Table 2).

Spiders in the genera *Nephila* and *Trichonephila* have previously been reported trapping birds and bats many times heavier than themselves in their exceedingly strong webs (Brooks 2012; Nyffeler & Knörnschild 2013). In Taiwan, a Kikuchi Habu, *Trimeresurus gracilis* (Viperidae), weighing an estimated 60 g (Table 2), became entangled in a *Nephila pilipes* web. After the snake was fighting for its life for many hours, the web finally broke and the snake fell to the floor in a near dead state (Shanghaiist 2014). Another interesting

### Table 2.—Total lengths and body weights of selected snake species based on literature data.

| Snake species                      | Stage             | Total length (cm) | Body mass (g) | Reference                                                                 |
|-----------------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|---------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| *Colubridae*                      |                   |                   |               |                                                                           |
| *Adelphicos newmanorum*           | Neonate           | 32*               | 8.4           | Rojas-González & Zamora-Abrego 2016                                       |
| *Boiga irregularis*               | Neonate           | 45*               | 11            | Mathies & Miller 2003                                                     |
| *Coluber constrictor*             | Neonate           | 30                | 6.3           | https://www.virginiaherpetologicalsociety.com/                           |
| *Contia tenax*                    | Neonate           | 11                | 0.8           | Leonard et al. 1996; Govindarajulu et al. 2011                          |
| *Dendrelaphis pictus*             | Neonate           | 13–32             | 2.1–5.6       | Yudhana et al. 2019                                                       |
| *Diacidophis punctatus*            | Neonate           | 11–16             | 0.6–1.1       | https://www.virginiaherpetologicalsociety.com/                           |
| *Heterodon platirhinus*           | Neonate           | 17–25             | 5.3           | https://www.virginiaherpetologicalsociety.com/; Palmer & Braswell 1995    |
| *Lamproplitis triangulosa*        | Neonate           | 20–23             | 4.1           | https://www.virginiaherpetologicalsociety.com/                           |
| *Nerodia sipedon*                 | Neonate           | 19.5–25.5         | 3.5           | Palmer & Braswell 1995                                                   |
| *Pantherophis guttatus*           | Neonate           | 23–33             | 8             | https://www.virginiaherpetologicalsociety.com/                           |
| *Opheodrys aestivalis*            | Adult female      | 100 ≤             | 45 ≤          | Maryan & Bush 1996                                                       |
| *Opheodrys aestivalis*            | Neonate           | 10.5–24.5         | 1.4–2.0       | https://www.virginiaherpetologicalsociety.com/                           |
| *Opheodrys vernalis*              | Neonate           | 13                | 1.1           | https://www.virginiaherpetologicalsociety.com/                           |
| *Oxyrhopus sp.*                   | N/A               | 56                | 37            | Crnobrna et al. 2017                                                     |
| *Oxyrhopus sp.*                   | Juvenile          | 30                | 6             | Crnobrna et al. 2017                                                     |
| *Sorrellia dekayi*                | Neonate           | 9.1               | 0.3           | https://www.virginiaherpetologicalsociety.com/                           |
| *Thamnophis sirtalis*             | Neonate           | 16–19             | 1.35          | Palmer & Braswell 1995                                                   |
| *Tropidoclonium lineatum*         | Neonate           | 10–11             | 0.45–1        | Funk & Tucker 1978                                                       |
| *Elapidae*                        |                   |                   |               |                                                                           |
| *Hemiaspis signata*               | Juvenile          | N/A               | 31            | http://www.reptilerescue.com.au/short-tails/marsh-snake/; no longer       |
| *Micruroides euryxanthus*          | Neonate           | 19–20             | 1.5           | Lowe & al. 1986                                                          |
| *Micruroides tener*               | Neonate           | 18–20             | N/A           | Quinn 1979                                                              |
| *Pseudonaja affinis*              | Neonate           | 25.5*             | 4.7–6.8       | Bush 1994; https://www.qm.qld.gov.au/Find+out+about/Animals+of+Queensland+Reptiles/Snakes/Common+and+dangerous+species/Western+Brown+Snake#X5NabmP6Uk |
| *Pseudonaja nuchalis*             | Neonate           | 25*               | 4.5–5.4       | Bush 1994; https://www.qm.qld.gov.au/Find+out+about/Animals+of+Queensland+Reptiles/Snakes/Common+and+dangerous+species/Western+Brown+Snake#X5NabmP6Uk |
| *Pseudonaja textilis*             | Neonate           | 27*               | 3.5–10.4      | https://australianmuseum.net.au/learn/animals/reptiles/eastern-brown-snake/ |
| *Lamprophiidae*                   |                   |                   |               |                                                                           |
| *Boaedon fuliginosus*             | Neonate           | 23                | 4.5           | Boback et al. 2012.                                                       |
| *Typhlopidae*                     |                   |                   |               |                                                                           |
| *Indotyphlops braminus*           | Juvenile          | 5.8               | 0.23          | https://indiabiodiversity.org/species/show/278705                       |
| *Indotyphlops braminus*           | Adult             | 6.3–16.5          | 0.74          | https://indiabiodiversity.org/species/show/278705; https://www.floridamuseum.ufl.edu/herpetology/fl-snakes/list/indotyphlops-braminus/ |
| *Viperidae*                       |                   |                   |               |                                                                           |
| *Bothriechis schlegelii*          | Subadult female   | 42                | 19            | Lindey & Sorrell 2004                                                     |
| *Bothrops asper*                  | Neonate           | 27–35             | 6–20          | Solórzano & Cerdas 1989; Savage 2002                                     |
| *Bothrops jararaca*               | Neonate           | 28–32*            | 6–9           | Furtado et al. 2006                                                      |
| *Crotalus atrox*                  | Neonate           | 20.5–37           | 11–25         | Ernst & Ernst 2011                                                       |
| *Crotalus durissus*               | Neonate           | 35                | 23            | Furtado et al. 2003                                                      |
| *Crotalus durissus*               | ?                 | 45                | 40            | Klauber 1997                                                             |
| *Sistrurus miliarius*             | Neonate           | 15–21             | 1.8–5.5       | DeFrancesco 1987; Palmer & Braswell 1995; https://animaldiversity.org/accounts/Sistrurus_miliarius/ |
| *Trimeresurus gratilis*           | Adult             | 50                | 64            | Lin & Tu 2008                                                            |

* Roughly estimated by converting snout-vent length data to total length
Australian spiders more often prey on heavier built elapids (*Pseudonaja* spp.), green snakes (*Opheodrys* spp.), Dekay’s brownsnake (*Storeria dekayi*), etc., with a neonate body length of 50–60 cm. The largest tarantula species are those in the genera *Grammostola* Simon, 1892, *Lasiodora* C. L. Koch, 1850, and *Theraphosa* Thorell, 1870 occurring in South America. Such spiders reach body weights of 50 g and have been observed killing pit vipers with a total length of 30–53 cm, which corresponds to a prey weight of 9–26.9 g (Table 2).

A comparison between USA and Australia shows that the snakes captured by Australian spiders were, on average, larger (mean = 26.9 ± 0.8 cm; median = 27.0 cm; range = 14–50 cm) compared to those captured by North American spiders (mean = 21.5 ± 2.4 cm; median = 17.9 cm; range = 6.5–100 cm). The difference is statistically significant (Mann-Whitney *U* test, *n*1 = 38, *n*2 = 41, *Z* = 4.0622, *P* < 0.0001). This difference might be explained by the fact that predominantly small, lightweight colubrids such as ringneck snakes (*Diadophis punctatus*), garter snakes (*Thamnophis* spp.), Dekay’s brownsnake (*Storeria dekayi*), green snakes (*Opheodrys* spp.), etc., with a neonate weight of 0.3–2 g are caught by U.S. spiders, whereas Australian spiders more often prey on heavier built elapids from the *Pseudonaja*-complex weighing as neonates ≈3.5–10 g (Table 2).

Based on pooled data from all over the world, snake total body length was weakly positively correlated with spider body length (r = 0.4136; *P* < 0.01; Fig. 12). An apparent accumulation of dots around 1.0 on the x-axis can be explained by the fact that snake-eating spiders are made up to a large extent by adult female black widows with an estimated average body length around 1 cm.

### 4. DISCUSSION

#### 4.1 Are the reported incidents real predation events?

It is arguable whether all incidents reported in this paper were real predation events or whether some were just cases of scavenging. Predation requires that a prey item has been killed and eaten by the predator (Begon et al. 2005). Under natural conditions web-building spiders (i.e., theridiids, araneids, nephilids, agelenids, and pholcids) feed more or less exclusively on prey killed in their webs. Multiple YouTube videos show snakes suspended in spider webs, often fighting for extended periods of time for their life prior to their death. In addition, numerous anecdotal reports on this have been published (e.g., Jantos 2012; Anonymous 2016; Brown 2017). Most cases of this type end with the spider feeding on the dead snake.

In vagrant hunters (theraphosids, pisaurids, and ctenids) the situation is somewhat different. These are voracious, highly opportunistic feeders which feed not only on freshly killed prey, but occasionally also on carcasses of animals that had not been killed by themselves (“scavenging”: Schmidt 1957; Nyffeler & Altig 2020). Notwithstanding this, many well documented cases of predation on snakes by vagrant hunters observed in the field and in captivity have been reported in the literature (e.g., Rick West, pers. comm.; Emerton 1926; Pinto et al. 2017). A very special case of scavenging has been observed in Singapore. There, a tiny, red colored theridiid kleptoparasite (possibly genus *Argyrodes*) was observed feeding on tissue of a
painted bronzeback snake carcass which had apparently been killed in a *Nephila pilipes* web (Lim 2009).

4.2 *What percentage of captured snakes was rescued by humans?*—Of the captured snakes, only 11% were rescued by humans and 1.5% could escape on their own, whereas 87% were killed by spiders (see Results section). By comparison, Brooks (2012) analyzing 69 incidents of bird captures by web-building spiders found that 50% were rescued by humans, a little over 10% could escape on their own, and a little over 30% were killed by spiders. The percentages of rescued snakes vs. rescued birds differed statistically significantly (Chi-square test, $\chi^2 = 50.03, df = 1, P < 0.001$). In conclusion, the willingness to rescue a snake is lower than the willingness to free a bird. Considering that some of the snakes caught in spider webs are highly toxic to humans and that in addition to this a high percentage of the snake-catching spiders are highly toxic, it is understandable that only a small percentage of observers is willing to get involved in a snake rescue operation. This might be especially true in countries like Australia dominated by venomous elapids (e.g., brown snakes) where even a juvenile snake can administer a deadly bite to a human (Sutherland & Tibballs 2001).

4.3 *Nonpredation causes by which snakes are seriously harmed by spiders.*—Predation is not the only way snakes can be harmed by spiders, as the following examples demonstrate. Murphy (2014) reports tail amputation in a reticulated python (*Malayopython reticulatus*) at the Dallas Zoo due to necrosis from a brown recluse spider bite (*Loxosceles reclusa* Gertsch & Mulaik, 1940) (Sicariidae). Although no evidence of spider involvement is provided in the aforementioned case, it cannot be ruled out that the necrosis in the python was indeed caused by a recluse spider bite, since sicariid venom has been reported to produce dermonecrotic

| Spider / snake species | LD$_{50}$ (mg/kg) | Injection method | Source |
|-----------------------|-------------------|-----------------|--------|
| **SPIDERS**            |                   |                 |        |
| Theridiidae:           |                   |                 |        |
| *Latrodectus geometricus* | 0.22             | IM              | Reyes-Lugo et al. 2009 |
| *Latrodectus hasselti*  | 0.43–0.50         | IV              | Matsumura et al. 2018 |
| *Latrodectus hesperus*  | 0.64              | IP              | Daly et al. 2001 |
| *Latrodectus indistinctus* | 0.08            | IP              | Muller et al. 1989 |
| *Latrodectus mactans*  | 0.26              | IP              | Daly et al. 2001 |
| *Latrodectus teneimaculatus* | 0.90             | IV              | Maretei 1987 |
| *Latrodectus variolus*  | 1.80              | N/A             | McCrone 1964 |
| Ctenidae:              |                   |                 |        |
| *Phoneutria nigriventer* | 0.34             | IV              | Maretei 1987 |
| **THERAPHOSIDAE**      |                   |                 |        |
| Acanthoscurria musculosa | 7.50             | IV              | Maretei 1967 |
| Aphonopelma sp.        | 14.14             | N/A             | Stahinke & Johnson 1967 |
| Pterinochilus sp.      | 9.00              | IV              | Maretei 1967 |
| Cyriopagopus hainanus  | 0.20              | IP              | Liu et al. 2003 |
| Theraphosa blondi      | 1.80              | N/A             | Fontana et al. 2002 |
| **SNAKES**             |                   |                 |        |
| Elapidae:              |                   |                 |        |
| Mecruroides euryxanthus| N/A               | N/A             | N/A    |
| Micrurus ibiboca       | 3.35              | IP              | http://snakedatabase.org/pages/ld50.php |
| Micrurus tener         | 0.64              | IV              | http://snakedatabase.org/pages/ld50.php |
| Pseudechis porphyriacus| 2.52              | SI              | Broad et al. 1979 |
| Pseudonaja affinis     | 0.66              | SI              | Broad et al. 1979 |
| Pseudonaja mitchelli   | 0.47              | SI              | Broad et al. 1979 |
| Pseudonaja textilis    | 0.05              | SI              | Broad et al. 1979 |
| Viperidae–Vipertinae:  |                   |                 |        |
| Causus rhombeatus      | 10.28             | IV              | http://snakedatabase.org/pages/ld50.php |
| Viperidae–Crotalinae:  |                   |                 |        |
| Bothriechis schlegelli | 1.60              | IV              | http://snakedatabase.org/pages/ld50.php |
| Bothrops asper         | 1.17              | IV              | http://snakedatabase.org/pages/ld50.php |
| Bothrops atrox         | 1.40              | IV              | http://snakedatabase.org/pages/ld50.php |
| Bothrops jararaca      | 0.83              | IV              | http://snakedatabase.org/pages/ld50.php |
| Bothrops moojeni       | 0.39              | IV              | http://snakedatabase.org/pages/ld50.php |
| Crotalus atrox         | 0.92              | IV              | http://snakedatabase.org/pages/ld50.php |
| Crotalus durissus      | 0.05              | IV              | http://snakedatabase.org/pages/ld50.php |
| Sistrurus miliarus     | 2.80              | IV              | http://snakedatabase.org/pages/ld50.php |
| Trimeresurus spp.      | 0.37–1.64         | IV              | http://snakedatabase.org/pages/ld50.php |
| **Median**             |                   |                 |        |

*Table 4.*—Toxicity of spider and snake venoms injected in mice (LD$_{50}$ values based on literature). Route of injection: IM = intramuscular injections; IP = intraperitoneal injection; IV = intravenous injection; SI = subcutaneous injection. Low LD$_{50}$ values indicate high toxicity. Available online at http://snakedatabase.org/pages/ld50.php Accessed 5 June 2020.
effects on reptile tissue and reptile fatality (Ramires & Fraguas 2004; Taucare-Rios & Piel 2020).

A second case where a snake was put in danger by a nonpredation cause was witnessed in a residential area in Oregon. In that case, a juvenile Sonoran gopher snake (*Pituophis catenifer*) about 20–25 cm in length, whose head and much of its body was wrapped up in spider webbing with other detritus (i.e., gum, small bits of paper, and pebbles) attached to it, was found in a building (Tim Akimoff, pers. comm.; Fig. 9). This snake’s view was strongly obstructed by the amount of webbing engulfing its head. The person who reported this incident does not believe the snake would have been able to free itself from the web. He states with regard to the webbing “...It was extremely sticky when I removed it, and I had to hold the snake’s head firmly in order to get all of the webbing pulled off of it” (Tim Akimoff, pers. comm.). There are two possibilities how this snake’s head got engulfed by the webbing: 1. The snake broke loose from a spider web after having gotten temporarily entangled in it; 2. The snake picked up the pocket of webbing along with the detritus while passing under a door from the building to inside (Tim Akimoff, pers. comm.). Be that as it may, due to the impaired vision this snake’s chance of survival would have been severely diminished if the bag of webbing on its head had not been removed by the human who found it in the building.

4.4 How important are reptiles as spider diet?—Most spiders reported in this review (i.e., Agelenidae, Araneidae, Ctenidae, Idiopidae, Lycosidae, Nephilidae, Pholcidae, Pisauridae, Sparassidae, Theraphosidae, and Theridiidae) feed to a large extent on arthropod prey, and under most circumstances snakes are probably only marginal food for them. However, there are a few exceptions to this.

In laboratory feeding experiments conducted in Brazil, the large tarantula *Grammostola actaeon* refused to eat insects offered to it (Emerton 1926). Thereafter, small snakes and frogs were offered to the spiders, and those were readily consumed (Emerton 1926). As a result of Emerton’s report,
this tarantula species has been implied in several books to be a specialized predator of snakes and frogs (Berland 1932; Millot 1949; Gertsch 1979; Hillyard 1994). In light of newer information, the characterization of this tarantula species as a specialized snake- and frog-eater must be somewhat relativized. Contrary to Emerton’s experiences, Ibler et al. (2013) were able to rear Grammostola actaeon on a diet of crickets, which shows that this species apparently has a broader diet than previously assumed. Apart from Grammostola actaeon, still other large tarantula species (e.g., Theraphosa blondi) kill snakes (Punzo & Henderson 1999; Aguilar-Lopez et al. 2014; Jorge et al. 2016; Pinto et al. 2017). It took large tarantulas 18–24 hours to devour snakes of 30–55 cm total length (Emerton 1926; Rick West, pers. comm.). The long feeding times reported in these cases indicate that a snake of that size is a “big, profitable meal” for a large tarantula. Tarantulas are capable of ingesting large amounts of food (thereby storing surplus energy in their body’s interstitial

Figure 11.—Snake attack on Trichonephila clavipes spider photographed in West Ashley, South Carolina, USA (Photos by Max Roberts/iNaturalist CC BY-NC). A. Rough green snake, Ophiophryx aestivus (Colubridae), approaches webs of Trichonephila clavipes with the attempt to catch a spider. B. Rough green snake, Ophiophryx aestivus, has captured a Trichonephila clavipes spider and is swallowing it.
tissue as lipid or glycogen) and in time periods of starvation the spiders can access these stored energy reserves (Foelix 2011). Viewed this way, feeding on snakes might have long-term nutritional value, especially in environments with irregular food availability where food can become scarce at times. Most reports of large tarantulas feeding on snakes originate from the Neotropics (Emerton 1926; Nunes et al. 2010; Aguilar-Lopez et al. 2014; Jorge et al. 2016; Pinto et al. 2017).

Not only for large tarantulas, but also for smaller sized web-building spiders, a snake prey might be a big catch. After a neonate red-bellied snake (*Storeria occipitomaculata*) fell prey to an unspecified, small web-building spider in a building in Pennsylvania, USA, the spider ate at the snake carcass for several days (Swanson 1952). A similar account was reported by Yael Lubin from the Negev desert, where a widow spider, *Latrodectus revivensis*, was seen feeding on a dead sand viper (*Cerastes vipera*) for several days (Yael Lubin & Ori Segev, 2017). Similarly, Greene (1997) states in his comprehensive book on “Snakes in question: The Smithsonian answer book” the herpetologists Zug & Ernst (2015) hypothesized that “…spiders are probably the main invertebrate predators of snakes”. Similarly, Greene (1997) states in his comprehensive book on snakes “…small, tropical forest snakes may be especially vulnerable to the centipedes, spiders, and other large invertebrates common in such habitats.” Based on our review, it can be assumed that snake predation by certain spiders does indeed occur quite frequently. However, due to the fact that those spider species which are capable of killing snakes rely to a large extent on arthropods as a primary food source (Thierry Gasnier, pers. comm.; Lapinski & Tschapka 2013), spiders should be expected to play a subordinate role as mortality agents of snakes compared to the carnivorous vertebrates.

4.5 Do spiders capture predominantly venomous or nonvenomous snakes? — In USA, 6 of 161 snake specimens (3.7%) captured by spiders belong to the venomous snakes (i.e., Elapidae and Viperidae). By contrast, in Australia, 77 of 87 snakes (88.5%) captured by spiders were venomous snakes (i.e., Elapidae, but also including the colubrid *Boiga irregularis*). This difference between the percentages is statistically highly significant (Chi-square test, $\chi^2 = 181.72$, df = 1; $P < 0.0001$) and can be explained by the fact that the vast majority of the snakes occurring in USA are nonvenomous colubrids (Nellis 1997), whereas the Australian snake fauna is dominated by venomous elapids (Fig. 5A; Mirtschin et al. 2002). The few North American venomous snake taxa preyed upon by spiders include coral snakes (*Micrurus, Micruridae*), pygmy rattlesnakes (*Sistrurus*), and rattlesnakes (*Crotalus*) (Fig. 4B; Krumm-Heller 1910; Punzo & Henderson 1999; Jones et al. 2011). The situation in the Neotropics may be somewhere in between; here 13 of 33 snake victims of spiders (39.4%) were venomous (i.e., Elapidae and Viperidae; Figs. 6A, 7A, 8B). The percentage of venomous snakes is significantly higher in the Neotropics than in USA (Chi-square test, $\chi^2 = 39.38$, df = 1, $P < 0.0001$) and significantly lower than in Australia (Chi-square test, $\chi^2 = 30.50$, df = 1, $P < 0.0001$). The Neotropical venomous snake taxa preyed upon by spiders include coral snakes, rattlesnakes, palm-pitvipers (*Bothriechis*), and lanceheads (*Bothrops*; Emerton 1926; Avila & Porfirio 2008; Sasa et al. 2009; Nunes et al. 2010; Sequeira 2011).

4.6 How important are spiders as mortality agents of snakes? — Birds of prey, some carnivorous mammals (e.g., mongooses, opossums, and raccoons) as well as other snakes are generally considered to be the major predators of snakes (Fitch 1949; Greene 1997; Linzey & Clifford 2002; Gibbons 2017). Apart from carnivorous vertebrates, several groups of large invertebrate predators such as spiders, scorpions, praying mantises, giant water bugs, centipedes, and driver ants have been reported killing small snakes (McCormick & Polis 1982; Greene 1997; Nyffeler et al. 2017c). In their book “Snakes in question: The Smithsonian answer book” the percentage of nonvenomous snakes? — In USA, 6 of 161 snake specimens (3.7%) captured by spiders belong to the venomous snakes (i.e., Elapidae and Viperidae). By contrast, in Australia, 77 of 87 snakes (88.5%) captured by spiders were venomous snakes (i.e., Elapidae, but also including the colubrid *Boiga irregularis*). This difference between the percentages is statistically highly significant (Chi-square test, $\chi^2 = 181.72$, df = 1; $P < 0.0001$) and can be explained by the fact that the vast majority of the snakes occurring in USA are nonvenomous colubrids (Nellis 1997), whereas the Australian snake fauna is dominated by venomous elapids (Fig. 5A; Mirtschin et al. 2002). The few North American venomous snake taxa preyed upon by spiders include coral snakes (*Micrurus, Micruridae*), pygmy rattlesnakes (*Sistrurus*), and rattlesnakes (*Crotalus*) (Fig. 4B; Krumm-Heller 1910; Punzo & Henderson 1999; Jones et al. 2011). The situation in the Neotropics may be somewhere in between; here 13 of 33 snake victims of spiders (39.4%) were venomous (i.e., Elapidae and Viperidae; Figs. 6A, 7A, 8B). The percentage of venomous snakes is significantly higher in the Neotropics than in USA (Chi-square test, $\chi^2 = 39.38$, df = 1, $P < 0.0001$) and significantly lower than in Australia (Chi-square test, $\chi^2 = 30.50$, df = 1, $P < 0.0001$). The Neotropical venomous snake taxa preyed upon by spiders include coral snakes, rattlesnakes, palm-pitvipers (*Bothriechis*), and lanceheads (*Bothrops*; Emerton 1926; Avila & Porfirio 2008; Sasa et al. 2009; Nunes et al. 2010; Sequeira 2011).

4.6 How important are spiders as mortality agents of snakes? — Birds of prey, some carnivorous mammals (e.g., mongooses, opossums, and raccoons) as well as other snakes are generally considered to be the major predators of snakes (Fitch 1949; Greene 1997; Linzey & Clifford 2002; Gibbons 2017). Apart from carnivorous vertebrates, several groups of large invertebrate predators such as spiders, scorpions, praying mantises, giant water bugs, centipedes, and driver ants have been reported killing small snakes (McCormick & Polis 1982; Greene 1997; Nyffeler et al. 2017c). In their book “Snakes in question: The Smithsonian answer book” the percentage of nonvenomous snakes? — In USA, 6 of 161 snake specimens (3.7%) captured by spiders belong to the venomous snakes (i.e., Elapidae and Viperidae). By contrast, in Australia, 77 of 87 snakes (88.5%) captured by spiders were venomous snakes (i.e., Elapidae, but also including the colubrid *Boiga irregularis*). This difference between the percentages is statistically highly significant (Chi-square test, $\chi^2 = 181.72$, df = 1; $P < 0.0001$) and can be explained by the fact that the vast majority of the snakes occurring in USA are nonvenomous colubrids (Nellis 1997), whereas the Australian snake fauna is dominated by venomous elapids (Fig. 5A; Mirtschin et al. 2002). The few North American venomous snake taxa preyed upon by spiders include coral snakes (*Micrurus, Micruridae*), pygmy rattlesnakes (*Sistrurus*), and rattlesnakes (*Crotalus*) (Fig. 4B; Krumm-Heller 1910; Punzo & Henderson 1999; Jones et al. 2011). The situation in the Neotropics may be somewhere in between; here 13 of 33 snake victims of spiders (39.4%) were venomous (i.e., Elapidae and Viperidae; Figs. 6A, 7A, 8B). The percentage of venomous snakes is significantly higher in the Neotropics than in USA (Chi-square test, $\chi^2 = 39.38$, df = 1, $P < 0.0001$) and significantly lower than in Australia (Chi-square test, $\chi^2 = 30.50$, df = 1, $P < 0.0001$). The Neotropical venomous snake taxa preyed upon by spiders include coral snakes, rattlesnakes, palm-pitvipers (*Bothriechis*), and lanceheads (*Bothrops*; Emerton 1926; Avila & Porfirio 2008; Sasa et al. 2009; Nunes et al. 2010; Sequeira 2011).

4.7 Spiders and snakes as intraguild predators. — Not only are spiders sometimes capturing and killing snakes, quite often the tables are turned—that is, a larger number of arthropod-eating snake species include spiders in their diets (Table 6; Figs. 10, 11). With few exceptions, spider-eating snakes belong to nonvenomous species in the family Colubridae (especially subfamily Colubrinae) and these snakes usually range between approx. 25 and 30 cm total length in most cases. Although

![Figure 12.—Relationship between spider body length and snake body length based on 86 available data pairs. Snake body length refers to total length (snout-vent length + tail length), whereas spider body length refers to cephalothorax plus abdomen. A low positive correlation ($r = 0.4136$; $P < 0.01$) between snake body length and spider body length was found.](image-url)
spider-eating snakes feed for the most part on small, defenseless spiders (Plummer 1981, 1991; Degenhardt et al. 2005; Marques et al. 2006), there are exceptional cases in which large and/or highly toxic spiders considered dangerous prey are swallowed by snakes (Campbell 1999; Branch 2016; Holly Chapman, pers. comm.; Max Roberts, pers. comm.). In such instances, a captured spider may try to bite its captor in the mouth which might be a risky venture for the snake (Marques et al. 2006). In the following, we will present a few examples of risky snake/spider encounters.

Two snakes endemic to Mexico and Central America – the Degenhardt’s Scorpion-eating Snake (Stenorrhina degenhardti) and the Blood Snake (Stenorrhina freminvillei) – are expert arachnid hunters that feed more or less exclusively on theraphosids and scorpions (Censky & McCoy 1988; Campbell 1999; Holm 2008; Köhler et al. 2017). These snakes appear to be immune to the stings of scorpions (Alvarez del Toro 1972).

In the Sonoran Desert (North America), numerous species of small, fossorial snakes occur that feed heavily on small spiders (Babb et al. 2005; Holm 2008; Smith et al. 2008). In stomach and fecal samples of the ground snake (Sonora semiannulata), the remains of black widow spiders and small scorpions are commonly detected, implying that these types of venomous arachnids are habitually consumed by these snakes, apparently without harming them (Funk 1967; Degenhardt et al. 2005). Black widow spiders were fed to the western shovel nose snake (Sonora occipitalis) in captivity – without any negative implications for the snakes (Funk 1967).

Last but not least, we wish to mention the example of the North American green snakes, the rough green snake (Opheodrys aestivus), which is frequently arboreal and commonly reaches a length of 80 cm, and the smooth green snake (Opheodrys vernalis), a ground dwelling species, which is smaller with an adult length of ~50 cm. These snakes are known to feed heavily on spiders (Plummer 1981, 1991; Redder et al. 2006; Baldwin 2007). The vast majority of spiders captured by the green snakes are small and defenseless; however, occasionally rough green snakes snatch large orb-weaving spiders from large orb-webs (genera Argiope and Trichonephila) (Fig. 11; Anonymous 2020; Max Roberts, pers. comm.). To approach large orb-webs with the purpose of catching their inhabitants (Fig. 11A) can be a risky endeavor for these snakes which can get entangled irreversibly in the spiders’ strong sticky webs (Gudger 1931; Zippel & Kirkland 1998).
Table 6.—Examples of colubrid snake species reported to feed on spiders, with percent spiders in the snakes’ total diet (based on literature data).

| Scientific name                      | Common name                                 | Geographic region | percent spiders in the total of prey items | Source                        |
|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|-------------------|-------------------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Colubridae                           |                                             |                   |                                           |                               |
| *Coronella girondica*                | Southern Smooth Snake                        | Italy             | 5                                         | Luiselli et al. 2001          |
| *Eirenis collaris*                   | Collared Dwarf Racer                         | Turkmenistan      | 67–75                                     | Dotsenko 1987                 |
| *Eirenis modestus*                   | N/A                                         | Turkmenistan      | 52                                        | Dotsenko 2000                 |
| *Ficinia streckeri*                  | Mexican Hognose Snake                       | Texas             | High percent spiders                      | Werler & Dixon 2000           |
| *Gyalopion camum*                    | Western Hognose Snake                       | Sonoran desert    | 85                                        | Hardy 1975; Holm 2008         |
| *Gyalopion quadrangulare*            | Thorns Hognose Snake                        | Mostly USA?       | 31                                        | Babb et al. 2005              |
| *Opheodrys aestivalis*               | Rough greensnake                            | Arkansas          | 25–69                                     | Plummer 1981, 1991            |
| *Opheodrys vernalis*                 | Smooth Green Snake                          | Michigan          | 38                                        | Baldwin 2007                  |
| *Philodryas agassizii*               | Burrowing Night Snake                        | Brazil            | 72                                        | Marques et al. 2006           |
| *Sonora aemula*                      | Filetail Ground Snake                       | Mexico            | 20                                        | Smith et al. 2008             |
| *Sonora fasciata*                    | Variable Sand Snake                         | Sonoran desert    | 13                                        | Holm 2008                     |
| *Sonora occipitalis*                 | Western Shovelose Snake                     | Sonoran desert    | 16                                        | Holm 2008                     |
| *Sonora palmaris*                    | Sonoran Shovelose Snake                     | Sonoran desert    | 47                                        | Holm 2008                     |
| *Sonora semiannulata*                | Ground Snake                                | Sonoran desert    | 38                                        | Holm 2008                     |
| *Stenorrhina degenhardtii*           | Degenhardt’s Scorpion-eating Snake          | Central America    | high percent spiders                      | Campbell 1999; Holm 2008      |
| *Stenorrhina freminvillei*           | Blood Snake                                 | Central America, Mexico | high percent spiders | Censky & McCoy 1988; Campbell 1999; Holm 2008 |
| Natrixinae                           |                                             |                   |                                           |                               |
| *Natriciteres sylvatica*             | Forest Marsh Snake                          | Southern Africa    | high percent spiders                      | Branch 2016                   |
| Incertae sedis                       |                                             |                   |                                           |                               |
| *Gongyllosoma balioidea*             | Striped Ringneck                            | Southeast Asia    | high percent spiders                      | Fig. 10 (this paper); Stuebing & Inger 1999; Robert Inger, pers. comm. |
| *Gongyllosoma longicaudum*           | Long-tailed Ringneck                        | Southeast Asia    | high percent spiders                      | Das 2015                      |

5. CONCLUDING REMARKS

The global spider community, that weighs an estimated 25 million tons, is assumed to consume about 400–800 million tons of prey per year (Nyffeler & Birkhofer 2017). To satisfy this enormous appetite, the spiders must acquire enough food from a broad variety of food sources (see Nyffeler & Symondson 2001; Nyffeler & Knörschild 2013; Nyffeler & Pusey 2014; Nyffeler et al. 2016, 2017a,b; Nyffeler & Vetter 2018). To this effect, the use of vertebrates as a supplementary food source by spiders represents an opportunity to enlarge their food base, resulting in enhanced chance of survival. Interestingly the snakes captured by spiders also encompasses some species from the families Elapidae and Viperidae known to be highly toxic to humans and other vertebrates, however, there is so far no evidence in the literature that a venomous snake has envenomated the spider.
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Appendix 1.—List of spider species engaged in predation (or predation attempts) on snakes. * In captivity or in staged field experiments; ** Presumably a staged event; *** Staged field experiment.

| Species # | Spider species                          | Spider family | Type of evidence | Source                                      |
|-----------|----------------------------------------|---------------|------------------|---------------------------------------------|
| 01        | Ancylometes rufus (Walckenaer, 1837)   | Ctenidae      | Photo            | Sorokin & Stuckert 2017                    |
| 02        | Phoneutria sp.                          | Ctenidae      | Observation      | Sasa et al. 2009                           |
| 03        | Hogna carolinensis (Walckenaer, 1805)* | Lycosidae     | Video            | Anonymous 2014; https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=N6ZH3oz76dw Accessed 6 June 2020 |
| 04        | Dolomedes okefinokensis Bishop, 1924   | Pisauridae    | Photo            | https://www.shutterstock.com/de/image-photo/spider-dolomedes-okefinokensis-eating-venomous-ringneck-519035221 Accessed 6 June 2020 |
| 05        | Dolomedes tenebrosus Hentz, 1844       | Pisauridae    | Photo            | Lazcano et al. 2005; Gerald 2006           |
| 06        | Dolomedes vittatus Walckenaer, 1837    | Pisauridae    | Photo            | https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=eashknfe7_4 Accessed 24 June 2020 |
| 07        | N/A                                    | Sparassidae   | Photo/Video      | https://www.recordchina.co.jp/b7342-s0-c30-d000.html Accessed 6 June 2020; https://www.redbubble.com/people/carle/works/5493555-spider-eats-snake?p=poster Accessed 6 June 2020; https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=g3wKiVwfNxs Accessed 6 June 2020 |
| 08        | Acanthoscurria sp.                     | Theraphosidae | Observation      | Avila & Porfirio 2008                      |
|           | *Same species as #08? Acanthoscurria goniculata (C. L. Koch, 1841)* | Theraphosidae | Photo            | Acanthoscurria goniculate eating Miami corn snake http://www.flickr.com/photos/choobaine/4075439652/ Accessed 27 March 2010 / No longer accessible on 6 June 2020 |
| 09        | Aphonopelma californicum (Ausserer, 1871)* [Nomen dubia] | Theraphosidae | Observation      | Owens 1949                                 |
| 10        | Aphonopelma chalodes Chamberlin, 1940  | Theraphosidae | Video            | Baerg 1938                                 |
| 11        | Aphonopelma crinitum (Pocock, 1901)*   | Theraphosidae | Observation      | Punzo & Henderson 1999                     |
| 12        | Aphonopelma hentzi (Girard, 1852)      | Theraphosidae | Observation      | https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=K7X6GZeDjiA Accessed 6 June 2020 |
| 13        | Brachypelma smithi (F. O. Pickard-Cambridge, 1897)* | Theraphosidae | Video            | https://www.sciencephoto.com/media/85804/view/tarantula-eating-snake Accessed 6 June 2020 |
| 14        | Avicularia [= Eurypelma] sp.**         | Theraphosidae | Photo            | Emerton 1926                                |
| 15        | Grammostola actaeon (Pocock, 1903)*    | Theraphosidae | Observation      | Gudger 1931                                 |
| 16        | Grammostola (longimana) anthracina (C. L. Koch, 1842)* | Theraphosidae | Photo            | Borges et al. 2016                          |
| 17        | Grammostola quirogai Montes de Oca, D'Elia & Pérez-Miles, 2016 | Theraphosidae | Photo            | https://www.shutterstock.com/es/image-photo/rose-tarantula-eat-snake-1201892035 Accessed 6 June 2020 |
| 18        | Grammostola rosea (Walckenaer, 1837)*  | Theraphosidae | Photo            | Rick West, pers. comm.                      |
| 19        | Lasiodora parahybana Mello-Leitão, 1917*** | Theraphosidae | Video            | Nunes et al. 2010                           |
| 20        | Megaphobema velvetosoma Schmidt, 1995* | Theraphosidae | Video            | Aguilar-López et al. 2014                   |
| 21        | Pachistopelma rufonigrum Pocock, 1901  | Theraphosidae | Photo            | Jorge et al. 2016; Rick West, pers. comm.   |
| 22        | Schizopelma sp.                         | Theraphosidae | Photo            | Almeida et al. 2019                         |
| 23        | Theraphosa blondi (Latreille, 1804)    | Theraphosidae | Observation; Video | https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=boZebIFn0P0 Accessed 6 June 2020 |
| 24        | Theraphosa stirmi Rudloff & Weinmann, 2010 | Theraphosidae | Photo            | Rick West, pers. comm.                      |
| 25        | Tilpotal sp.                            | Theraphosidae | Video            | https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=byUtSaMRRgo Accessed 20 May 2020 Spider 1D Rick West |
| 26        | Xenesthis immanis (Ausserer, 1875)*    | Theraphosidae | Observation      | Cocroft & Hambler 1989                     |
| Species # | Spider species | Spider family | Type of evidence | Source |
|-----------|----------------|---------------|------------------|--------|
| 27 | Argiope aurantia Lucas, 1833 | Araneidae | Photo | Anonymous 1911; Gudger 1931; Pinkus 1932; Burt 1949 |
| 28 | Argiope sp. (cf. A. flavescens O. Pickard-Cambridge, 1880) | Araneidae | Video | https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=2qHqUvWvdA Accessed 6 June 2020 |
| 29 | Eriophora sp.? or Neoscona sp. ? (a genus different from #27-28) | Araneidae | Video | https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=jRViiYLKvPE Accessed 6 June 2020 |
| 30 | Trichonephila clavipes (Linnaeus, 1767) | Nephilidae | Observation | Wilder 1865; Zippel & Kirkland 1998 |
| 31 | Nephiila pilipes (Fabricius, 1793) | Nephilidae | Photo | Tanaka & Mori 2000; Jantos 2012; Sarmiento & Emerson 2018 |
| 32 | Anelosimus sp. | Theridiidae | Observation | Fritz Vollrath (pers. obs.) cited in McGuinness 2013 |
| 33 | Argyrodes sp. (cf. A. flavescens O. Pickard-Cambridge, 1880) | Theridiidae | Photo | Micky Lim - https://www.flickr.com/photos/mickylim/3284721053 Accessed 6 June 2020 |
| 34 | Latrodectus geometricus C. L. Koch, 1841 | Theridiidae | Photo | Bayliss 2001; De Sousa et al. 2007; Rocha et al. 2017; Martínez-Coronel & Navarrete-Jiménez 2018; Stevenson & Crook 2018 |
| 35 | Latrodectus hasselti Thorell, 1870 | Theridiidae | Photo | De Rebeira 1981; Bush 1989; Peters 2016; and others |
| 36 | Latrodectus hesperus Chamberlin & Ivie, 1935 | Theridiidae | Photo | Jones et al. 2011; Beaman & Tucker 2014; and others |
| 37 | Latrodectus indistinctus O. Pickard-Cambridge, 1904 | Theridiidae | Photo | Bush 1961 |
| 38 | Latrodectus mactans (Fabricius, 1775) | Theridiidae | Photo | Neill 1948; Stratton 2002 |
| 39 | Latrodectus revivensis Walckenaer, 1837 | Theridiidae | Photo | Yeal Lubin, pers. comm. |
| 40 | Latrodectus variolus Walckenaer, 1837 | Theridiidae | Video | Malcolm Robertson –https://www.facebook.com/ malgonquinphotography/ Accessed 6 June 2020 |
| 41 | Parasteatoda tepidariorum (C. L. Koch, 1841) | Theridiidae | Photo | Hopkins 1878; Tanaka & Mori 2000; Davis et al. 2017 |
| 42 | Steatoda triangulosa (Walckenaer, 1802) | Theridiidae | Photo | Petrov & Lazarov 2000; and others |
| 43 | N/A | Pholcidae | Photo | Pruett & Jadin 2010 |

| Same species as #42? | Steatoda sp. | Theridiidae | Photo | Pruett & Jadin 2010 |

**AERIAL-WEB WEAVERS**

**FUNNEL-WEB WEAVERS**

**Coras medicinalis** (Hentz, 1821) | Agelenidae | Observation | McCormick & Polis 1982 |

**TRAPDOOR-BUILDERS**

**Gaius villosus** Rainbow, 1914 | Idiopidae | Observation | Main 1996 |
Appendix 2.—List of snake species reported having been captured by spiders. * Staged feeding experiment; ** Species name based on the revision of Reyes-Velasco et al. (2020).

| Species # | Snake species | Snake family | Type of evidence | Source |
|-----------|---------------|--------------|------------------|--------|
| 01        | *Adelphicos newmanorum* | Colubridae | Photo | Lazcano et al. 2005 |
| 02        | Arizona elegans | Colubridae | Photo | https://i.imgur.com/2v2Sucj.jpg Accessed 8 June 2020 |
| 03        | Atractus torquatus | Colubridae | Observation | Jorge et al. 2016 |
| 04        | Boiga irregularis | Colubridae | Photo | Jantos 2012 |
| 05        | Cemophora coccinea | Colubridae | Photo | Stevenson & Crook 2018 |
| 06        | Chironius carinatus* | Colubridae | Video | Rick West, pers. comm. |
| 07        | Chrysopelea paradisi | Colubridae | Photo | Sarmiento & Emerson 2018 |
| 08        | Clelia clelia | Colubridae | Video | https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=jRVvYLyKvPE Accessed 6 June 2020 |
| 09        | Coluber constrictor | Colubridae | Photo | Davis et al. 2017 |
| 10        | Crotaphopeltis hotamboeia | Colubridae | Observation | Micky Lim - https://www.flickr.com/photos/mickylim/3284721053 Accessed 6 June 2020 |
| 11        | Dendrelaphis pictus | Colubridae | Photo | Stevenson & Crook 2018 |
| 12        | Dendrelaphis punctulatus | Colubridae | Video | https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=0DmxPsRB680 Accessed 20 May 2020 Snake ID Rick Shine |
| 13        | *Dendrelaphis sp. (tristis?)* | Colubridae | Photo | Ashwini Kumar Bhat http://sumasuta.blogspot.com/2008/09/tale-of-hanging-unfortunate.html Accessed 20 May 2020 (Snake ID Gernot Vogel + Rom Whitaker) |
| 14        | Diadophis punctatus | Colubridae | Observation | Groves & Groves 1978; Clemens 1993; Stratton 2002 |
| 15        | Drymobius margaritiferus* | Colubridae | Photo | Tanaka & Mori 2000 |
| 16        | Elaphe climacophora | Colubridae | Photo | Dinesh Rao 2013 https://www.flickr.com/photos/dinrao/9141583949/ Accessed 20 May 2020 Snake ID Gernot Vogel |
| 17        | Hebius sauteri ? | Colubridae | Photo | Tanaka & Mori 2000 |
| 18        | Heterodon platirhinos | Colubridae | Observation; Photo | Owens 1949; https://photobucket.com/photo posted 27 August 2008 / not accessible on 25 January 2021; Snake ID Whit Gibbons & William Lamar |
| 19        | Hypsiglena chlorophaeoa | Colubridae | Observation | Ervin & Carroll 2007 |
| 20        | Imantodes cenchoa | Colubridae | Video | Rick West, pers. comm. |
| 21        | Lampropeltis calligaster | Colubridae | Photo | http://imgur.com/JPngVbB Accessed 8 June 2020 Snake ID William Lamar |
| 22        | Lampropeltis triangulum | Colubridae | Observation | Hopkins 1878 |
| 23        | Leptodeira annulata | Colubridae | Photo | Da Silva et al. 2019 |
| 24        | Lyctodon rufozonatus | Colubridae | Photo | https://www.recordchina.co.jp/b7342-s0-c30-d0000.html Accessed 20 May 2020 |
| 25        | Lyctodon semicarinatus | Colubridae | Observation | Tanaka & Mori 2000 |
| 26        | Lytorhynchus diadema | Colubridae | Photo | http://fieldherping.eu/Forum/viewtopic.php?p=25032&sid=8b1bf064c Accessed 20 May 2020 |
| 27        | Nerodia rhombifer | Colubridae | Video | Black widow spider caught a snake pic.twitter.com/7hrmUFK1Xx — Nature is Lit (@NatureisLit) Accessed August 21, 2020 Snake ID Whit Gibbons & William Lamar |
| 28        | Nerodia sipedon | Colubridae | Photo | Shinner 1963 Snake ID Whit Gibbons |
| 29        | Ninia sebae | Colubridae | Photo | Aguilar-López et al. 2014 |
| 30        | Opehodrys aestivus | Colubridae | Observation | Zippel & Kirkland 1998 |
| 31        | Opehodrys vernalis | Colubridae | Photo | Neill 1948; Gibbons 2017 |
| 32        | Oxyrhopus petolarius | Colubridae | Photo | Sorokin & Stuckert 2017 |
| 33        | Oxyrhopus sp. | Colubridae | Photo | Pinto et al. 2017 |
| 34        | Pantherophis guttatus | Colubridae | Photo | https://www.pinterest.co.uk/pin/434808539024906191/ Accessed 20 May 2020 Snake ID Whit Gibbons |
| 35        | Pantherophis obsoletus? | Colubridae | Video | Anonymous 1946; https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=47ig4kA1N4 Accessed 6 June 2020 |
| 36        | Regina septemvittata | Colubridae | Observation | Gerald 2006 |
| 37        | Rhadinella kinkelini | Colubridae | Observation | Myers 1974 |
| 38        | Sonora striatanea | Colubridae | Video | https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=N3pwdj4Uz90 Accessed 20 May 2020 |
| 39        | Storeria dekayi | Colubridae | Photo | Neill 1948; Cagle 2008 |
| Species # | Species                      | Snake family | Type of evidence | Source                                                                 |
|----------|------------------------------|--------------|------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 39       | *Storeria occipitomaculata*  | Colubridae   | Photo            | Swanson 1952; Hall & Johnson 2007; Whit Gibbons, pers. comm.         |
| 40       | *Tantilla coronata*         | Colubridae   | Observation      | Telford 1966                                                          |
| 41       | *Tantilla gracilis*         | Colubridae   | Photo            | Pruell & Jadin 2010                                                   |
| 42       | *Tantilla hobartsmithi*     | Colubridae   | Photo            | Punzo & Henderson 1999; https://www.inaturalist.org/observations/15193547 Accessed 20 May 2020 |
| 43       | *Tantilla melanocephalus*   | Colubridae   | Photo            | De Sousa et al. 2007; Rocha et al. 2017                              |
| 44       | *Thamnophis couchii*        | Colubridae   | Photo            | http://i351.photobucket.com/albums/q448/Zetsumei001/snakeWithBlackWidow.jpg accessed 28 January 2021 Snake ID William Lamar |
| 45       | *Thamnophis cyrtopsis*      | Colubridae   | Photo            | The Spider Club of SA 2018                                            |
| 46       | *Thamnophis elegans*        | Colubridae   | Photo            | Gudger 1925; Burt 1949; McCormick & Polis 1982                      |
| 47       | *Tropidoclonion lineatum*   | Colubridae   | Observation      | Pinkus 1932; Davenport 1943; Moehn 1984                             |
| 48       | *Tropidophis mairii*        | Colubridae   | Video            | https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=zhQhUvWpvdA Accessed 20 May 2020 Snake ID William Lamar |
| 49       | *Virginia valeriae*         | Colubridae   | Photo            | http://imgur.com/WdmYRsN Accessed 8 June 2020 Snake ID William Lamar |
| 50       | N/A, a species different from #1-50 | Colubridae | Video            | Accessible 8 June 2020 Snake ID William Lamar                       |
| 51       | *Coniophanes cf. fissidens* | Dipsadidae  | Video            | https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=UJUkxyYmF_Y Accessed 20 May 2020 Snake ID Rick West / Snake ID William Lamar |
| 52       | *Erythrolamprus almandensis*| Dipsadidae  | Photo            | Borges et al. 2016                                                  |
| 53       | *Cryptophis nigrescens*     | Elapidae     | Photo            | Sweeney 2009 https://www.dailyexaminer.com.au/news/battle-of-the-fangs/4371697?no_longer_available=25 January 2021 |
| 54       | *Drysdalia rhodogaster*     | Elapidae     | Photo            | https://www.dailymail.co.uk/video/news/video-1163663/Snake-Vs-spider-Redback-traps-Brown-Snake-web.html Accessed 20 May 2020 Snake ID Rick Shine |
| 55       | *Farina sp.*                | Elapidae     | Photo            | https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=dEOODYGRAyg Accessed 20 May 2020 Snake ID William Lamar |
| 56       | *Hemiaspis signata*         | Elapidae     | Observation      | Malpass 2015 The Sydney Morning Herald https://www.smh.com.au/environment/conservation/spider-v-snake-redback-spider-wins-snake-dies-from-likely-poisoning-20150303-13tgdf.html Accessed 20 May 2020 Snake ID William Lamar |
| 57       | *Micruroides euryxanthus*   | Elapidae     | Photo            | Jones et al. 2011                                                   |
| 58       | *Micrurus apiatus***        | Elapidae     | Video            | https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=bYUtSaMRtGo Accessed 20 May 2020 Snake ID William Lamar |
| 59       | *Micrurus ibiboboca*        | Elapidae     | Photo            | Nunes et al. 2010                                                   |
| 60       | *Micrurus tener*            | Elapidae     | Video            | https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Udb23XQdqEw Accessed 20 May 2020 Snake ID William Lamar |
| 61       | *Parasuta dwyeri*           | Elapidae     | Photo            | Malpass 2015 The Sydney Morning Herald https://www.smi.com.au/environment/conservation/spider-v-snake-redback-spider-wins-snake-dies-from-likely-poisoning-20150303-13tgdf.html Accessed 20 May 2020 Snake ID William Lamar |
| 62       | *Parasuta monachus*         | Elapidae     | N/A              | Orange 1990                                                          |
| 63       | *Parasuta nigriceps*        | Elapidae     | N/A              | O'Shea & Kelly 2017                                                  |
| 64       | *Pseudochis porphyriacus*   | Elapidae     | Photo            | McKeown 1943                                                         |
| 65       | *Pseudonaja annulata*       | Elapidae     | Photo            | De Reger 1981                                                        |
| 66       | *Pseudonaja nuchalis*       | Elapidae     | Observation      | Bush 1989                                                            |
| 67       | *Pseudonaja textilis*       | Elapidae     | Photo            | https://australianmuseum.net.au/learn/animals/insects/predators-parasites-and-parasitoids/Photo Kevin Moore Accessed 20 May 2020 |
| 68       | *Simoseslaps*               | Elapidae     | Photo            | https://www.reddit.com/r/WTF/comments/2umpgn/venomous_spider_vs_venomous_snake_only_in/ Accessed 20 May 2020 Snake ID Rick Shine |
| 69       | *Suta suta*                 | Elapidae     | Photo            | https://twitter.com/dan_rabosky/status/1058141626783329063 Accessed 20 May 2020 Snake ID William Lamar |
| Species # | Snake species         | Snake family | Type of evidence | Source                                                                                           |
|----------|-----------------------|--------------|------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 71       | Boaedon capensis      | Lamprophiidae| Photo            | http://www.sareptiles.co.za/forum/viewtopic.php?t=7308 Accessed 20 May 2020                    |
| 72       | Boaedon fuliginosus   | Lamprophiidae| Observation      | Bayliss 2001                                                                                     |
| 73       | Contia tenuis         | Lamprophiidae| Photo            | Beaman & Tucker 2014                                                                             |
| 74       | Lamprophis aurora     | Lamprophiidae| Photo            | Smith 2004 https://www.news24.com/News24/Spider-snacks-on-snake-20040211 Accessed 11 June 2020   |
| 75       | Lycophidion capense   | Lamprophiidae| Observation      | Newlands 1978                                                                                   |
| 76       | Leptotyphlops sp.     | Leptotyphlopidae| Observation | Branch 1998                                                                                     |
| 77       | Rena dulcis           | Leptotyphlopidae| Video            | https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=1XPtfzSO8A Accessed 1 March 2016 / no longer available 20 May 2020|
| 78       | Rena humilis          | Leptotyphlopidae| Observation      | Klauber 1940; Loomis & Stephens 1967                                                            |
| 79       | Indotyphlops braminus | Typhlopidae  | Photo            | Martinez-Coronel & Navarrete-Jiménez 2018; Faraone et al. 2019; Leets-Rodriguez et al. 2019     |
| 80       | Xerothyphlops vermicularis| Typhlopidae | N/A              | Petrov & Lazarov 2000                                                                           |
| 81       | Bothriechis schlegelli| Viperidae    | Photo            | Jonathan Sequeira https://www.projectnoah.org/spottings/6162278 Accessed 20 May 2020            |
| 82       | Bothrops asper        | Viperidae    | Observation      | Sasa et al. 2009; Lopez & Sherwood 2019                                                          |
| 83       | Bothrops atrox        | Viperidae    | Photo            | Almeida et al. 2019                                                                             |
| 84       | Bothrops jararaca*    | Viperidae    | Observation      | Emerton 1926                                                                                     |
| 85       | Bothrops moojeni      | Viperidae    | Observation      | Avila & Porfirio 2008                                                                            |
| 86       | Causus sp.            | Viperidae    | Photo            | Bush 1961                                                                                         |
| 87       | Cerastes vipera       | Viperidae    | Photo            | Yael Lubin & Ori Segev, pers. comm.                                                              |
| 88       | Crotalus atrox        | Viperidae    | Observation      | Punzo & Henderson 1999                                                                          |
| 89       | Crotalus durissus*    | Viperidae    | Photo            | Emerton 1926; Gudger 1931                                                                        |
| 90       | Sistrurus miliarius   | Viperidae    | Observation; Photo| Krumm-Heller 1910; https://www.reddit.com/r/natureismetal/comments/9cyrgo/pygmy_rattle\snake_caught_in_a_black_widows_web/ Accessed 20 May 2020 |
| 91       | Trimeresurus gracilis | Viperidae    | Photo            | http://shanghaiist.com/2014/07/05/snake-eating-spider-taiwan/ Accessed 20 May 2020 Snake ID Gernot Vogel + Rom Whitaker |