A New Magnetic Topological Quantum Material Candidate by Design
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ABSTRACT: Magnetism, when combined with an unconventional electronic band structure, can give rise to forefront electronic properties such as the quantum anomalous Hall effect, axion electrodynamics, and Majorana fermions. Here we report the characterization of high-quality crystals of EuSn₂P₂, a new quantum material specifically designed to engender unconventional electronic states plus magnetism. EuSn₂P₂ has a layered, Bi₂Te₃-type structure. Ferromagnetic interactions dominate the Curie–Weiss susceptibility, but a transition to antiferromagnetic ordering occurs near 30 K. Neutron diffraction reveals that this is due to two-dimensional ferromagnetic spin alignment within individual Eu layers and antiferromagnetic alignment between layers—this magnetic state surrounds the Sn−P layers at low temperatures. The bulk electrical resistivity is sensitive to the magnetism. Electronic structure calculations reveal that EuSn₂P₂ might be a strong topological insulator, which can be a new magnetic topological quantum material (MTQM) candidate. The calculations show that surface states should be present, and they are indeed observed by angle-resolved photoelectron spectroscopy (ARPES) measurements.

INTRODUCTION

The design and discovery of new quantum materials with desired electronic and magnetic functionality, especially materials where quantum effects result in topological electronic properties, is a new challenge and one of the frontiers of research in materials chemistry and materials physics.¹ There is a crucial need for the discovery of new materials that in particular harness the topological quantum states of matter, to increase our understanding of these newly recognized electronic states and to realize their potential in devices.²−⁵ In this context, topological insulators (TIs), which support fundamentally different surface (i.e., “topologically protected”) and bulk electronic states, are of particular interest.⁶ When additional quantum phases such as superconductivity, magnetism, or charge density waves are also present, new electronic properties can arise due to their interactions with the surface states.⁷−¹⁰ Currently, the study of such systems in materials physics frequently focuses on heterostructures consisting of TI/magnetic multilayers.⁷−¹⁰

Unfortunately, these systems cannot be widely studied due to the uncommon procedures required to make them. Thus, the design of novel magnetic topological quantum materials that are widely synthetically accessible is highly sought. Theorists have scoured the ICSD for previously reported materials that can display topological electronic properties, but the design of totally new topological quantum materials remains rare, especially for cases where the interactions of different quantum states are expected.¹¹−¹⁶

Located at the Zintl border in the periodic table, the element Sn has moderate electronegativity, thus allowing it to participate...
Experimental Details

Single Crystal Growth. To obtain single crystals of EuSn$_2$P$_2$, Eu chunks, red phosphorus, and Sn granules were placed in an alumina crucible with the molar ratio of 1.1:2:20. The crucible was sealed into an evacuated quartz tube which was then heated to 600 °C and held for 24 h followed by a 2 day heat treatment at 1050 °C. After that, the tube was cooled to 600 °C at a rate of 3 °C/h. Excess Sn was centrifuged out after reaching 600 °C, and shiny hexagonal single crystals (~1 x 1 x 0.1 mm$^3$) were obtained.

Structure Determination. Multiple EuSn$_2$P$_2$ crystals (~60 x 60 x 5 μm$^3$) were tested by single crystal X-ray diffraction to determine the crystal structure of the new material. The structure, consistent among all crystals, was determined using a Bruker Apex II diffractometer equipped with Mo radiation ($\lambda_{Mo} = 0.71073$ Å) at room temperature. Glycerol was used to protect the samples, which were mounted on a Kapton loop. The scan width was set to 0.5° with an exposure time of 10 s. Four different positions of the goniometer and detector were selected. Data acquisition was made via Bruker SMART software with the corrections for Lorentz and polarization effects included. The direct method and full-matrix least-squares on F$^2$ procedure within the SHELXTL package were employed to solve the crystal structure.

Physical Property Measurement. DC magnetization data were measured from 2 to 300 K using a Quantum Design Dynacool Physical Property Measurement System (PPMS), equipped with a vibrating sample magnetometer (VSM) option. The magnetic susceptibility was defined as M/H, where the applied field was 500 Oe. Field-dependent magnetization data were collected at 2 K with magnetic field up to $\mu_0 H = 9$ T. The electronic transport measurements were carried out in a PPMS-9 cryostat using the four-terminal method between 1.8 and 300 K under magnetic fields up to $\mu_0 H = 9$ T. Platinum wires were attached to the samples by silver epoxy to ensure ohmic contact. The normal resistivity, $\rho_{xx}$, was measured in the basal plane of a single crystal, simply determined by dividing the observed voltage difference by the applied current, suitably normalized by the sample dimensions. The Hall resistance, $\rho_{xy}$, was similarly determined by applying the magnetic field perpendicular to a single crystal basal plane and measuring the voltage within the plane perpendicular to the direction of the applied current (again suitably normalized by the sample size).

Electron Paramagnetic Resonance (EPR). A single crystal of EuSn$_2$P$_2$ was stored in the glovebox before EPR measurements, and then placed in a closed sample tube. The sample tube was put into the EPR cavity. The experiment was carried out on a Bruker ER 300D spectrometer interfaced to a Bruker 1600 computer for data storage and manipulations. The spectrum was collected by sweeping the magnetic field from 0 to 5000 G.

Single Crystal Neutron Diffraction. Single crystal neutron diffraction was performed on the Four-Circle Diffractometer (HB-3A) at the High Flux Isotope Reactor (HFIR) at Oak Ridge National Laboratory (ORNL). Due to the large neutron absorption coefficient of Eu, a relatively small single crystal (~0.8 x 0.4 x 0.02 mm$^3$) was selected and mounted on an aluminum pin. The measurement was carried out between 4 and 50 K with an incident neutron wavelength of 1.550 Å from a bent perfect Si-220 monochromator. Absorption corrections were applied using the PLATON software. The nuclear and magnetic structure refinements were carried out using the FULLPROF refinement suite.
Electronic Structure Calculations. The bulk electronic structure calculations for EuSn2P2 were performed by using the projector augmented wave method as implemented in the VASP package within the generalized gradient approximation (GGA) scheme.34,35 The experimental structural parameters were employed. Spin–orbit coupling was included self-consistently in some of the calculations for comparison to the expected electronic structure with SOC absent. Spin polarization using GGA+U (U = 4 eV) was employed for the magnetic models investigated.36 How f electron states are treated in DFT is of interest because when considered as valence electrons then they are always found at the Fermi energy for partly filled f orbitals, which, except in very rare cases such as for heavy fermion materials,37,38 is not a realistic picture for their relative position in energy—in our calculations, the energies of the calculated Eu f electron states are tested to match with the experimental ARPES measurements. A Monkhorst–Pack 11 × 11 × 7 k-point mesh was used for the k-space integrations.39 With these settings, the calculated total energy converged to less than 0.1 meV per atom. For the surface spectral weight calculations, a semi-infinite Green’s function method, terminated by (001) surfaces, was employed. Calculations were made for Sn-, P-, and Eu-terminated (001) surfaces. The median line of the Sn bilayer is selected as the Sn-terminated surface.

Experimental Band Structure Characterization. Angle-resolved photoelectron spectroscopy (ARPES) measurements were carried out at a temperature of 10 K on the (001) surfaces of EuSn2P2 crystals cleaved in a 10−7 Pa vacuum. Linearly (s and p) polarized light with photon energies in the range 50–120 eV was used. Experiments were performed at the Electron Spectro-Microscopy (ESM) beamline of the National Synchrotron Light Source (NSLS-II). The photoemitted electrons were collected from a ±15° cone by a Scienta DA30 instrument and analyzed with angular and energy resolutions of <0.2° and 15 meV, respectively. Core level spectra using 300 eV photons showed presence of no elements other than Sn, Eu, and P in the material. Potassium adsorption, commonly used to fill a material’s bands with additional electrons to increase the Fermi Level at the surface of a sample, resulted in surface deterioration in the case of EuSn2P2 and no noticeable Fermi level shift.

Safety Statement. No unexpected or unusually high safety hazards were encountered.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Crystal Structure. The single crystals of EuSn2P2 are hexagonal plates, as shown in Figure 1A, with the rhombohedral c-axis perpendicular to the plates (thus the plates are (001) or “basal” planes). The results from single crystal X-ray diffraction reveal that EuSn2P2 crystallizes in a rhombohedral lattice with the space group R3m, in analogy to Bi2Te3, which is a well-known topological insulator. As shown in Figure 1B, each Eu atom, surrounded by six P atoms, forms a trigonal prism. The Eu layers are separated by two Sn@P3 triangular pyramid layers connected by weak Sn–Sn bonds. An inversion center exists in the center of the unit cell. The layered features of EuSn2P2, and its in-plane and honeycomb Sn structure viewed along c-axis are presented in Figure 1C,D, respectively. The crystallographic data, including atomic positions, site occupancies, and equivalent isotropic thermal displacement parameters, are listed in Tables 1 and 2. The refined anisotropic displacement parameters are shown in Table S1.

Magnetic Characterization. To get insight into the magnetic properties of EuSn2P2, we performed field-dependent magnetization measurements at 2 K with applied magnetic fields parallel and perpendicular to the c-axis. The results are shown in Figure 2A. The field-dependent behavior is characteristic of that of a ferromagnetic material—the magnetic moment of EuSn2P2 saturates at ~2.8 T for applied fields perpendicular to the c-axis and ~4.1 T for fields parallel to the c-axis. Accordingly, a strong anisotropy of magnetization is observed, with the easy axis in the basal plane, perpendicular to the c-axis. The saturated magnetizations are ~6.0 μB/Eu for both orientations.

The temperature-dependent magnetic susceptibility was measured under an external field of 500 Oe from 2 to 300 K. A transition to an antiferromagnetic state (i.e., TN) is seen at

Table 1. Single Crystal Structure Refinement for EuSn2P2 at 293 (2) K

| atom | Wyck. occ. | x | y | z | Ueq |
|------|-----------|---|---|---|-----|
| Eu1  | 3a        | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0.0088 (1) |
| Sn2  | 6c        | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0.2112 (1) |
| P3   | 6c        | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0.0453 (1) |

"Ueq is defined as one-third of the trace of the orthogonalized U tensor (Å²)."

Table 2. Atomic Coordinates and Equivalent Isotropic Displacement Parameters for EuSn2P2 at 293 K

| atom | Wyck. occ. | x | y | z | Ueq |
|------|------------|---|---|---|-----|
| Eu1  | 3a         | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0.0088 (1) |
| Sn2  | 6c         | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0.2112 (1) |
| P3   | 6c         | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0.0453 (1) |
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around 30 K. The larger magnetic susceptibility in the perpendicular field also indicates the anisotropic ordered magnetism in EuSn₂P₂, i.e., that ferromagnetic ordering is preferred within the ab-plane rather than along the c-axis. At temperatures higher than 30 K, classic Curie–Weiss behavior is observed.⁴³ The effective moment and Curie–Weiss (CW) temperatures Θ₁ and Θ₂ were fitted from 150 to 300 K by using the Curie–Weiss formula \( \chi = \chi_0 + \frac{N_A k_B}{\Theta_{\text{CW}}} - \frac{N_A g^2 \mu_B^2}{\Theta_{\text{CW}}} \) where \( \chi_0 \) is the temperature-independent part of the magnetic susceptibility, \( N_A \) is Avogadro’s number, \( k_B \) is the Boltzmann constant, \( \mu_{\text{eff}} \) is the effective moment, and \( \Theta_{\text{CW}} \) is the Curie–Weiss temperature. The Curie–Weiss temperatures in perpendicular and parallel fields are 31.8(1) and 31.6(1) K, within error of each other, while the average effective moment is 7.91(3) \( \mu_B/\text{Eu} \). The magnetic characteristics above \( T_N \) are essentially isotropic.

**Electron Paramagnetic Resonance (EPR).** The magnetism observed is consistent with the presence of magnetic Eu³⁺ in our material, but to further confirm the electronic state of Eu in EuSn₂P₂, we performed electron paramagnetic resonance measurements. The obtained data are shown in Figure 3 as the first derivative of the absorption data. We observe a sin-function-like strong broad curve when the applied magnetic field is swept to 2400 G, reaching its maximum around 3200 G. The intensity subsequently drops to zero at 3530 G, which indicates the applied field of the strongest absorption, and continuously becomes more negative, to \( -6000 \) (in arbitrary units) at \( -3800 \) Oe, returning to zero intensity beyond 5000 Oe. The asymmetric line shape is as is usually observed in metals due to the skin effect caused by the small size of the single crystal used compared with the skin depth. According to the principal EPR equation, \( \Delta E = h \nu = g \mu_B B \) where \( \Delta E \) is the splitting energy, \( h \) is Planck’s constant, \( \nu \) is the frequency of the microwave used, which is 9481 MHz, \( g \) is the Landé g-factor, \( \mu_B \) is the Bohr magneton, and \( B \) is the applied magnetic field of the strongest absorption, we obtain the Landé g-factor of 1.9184. Based on \( \mu_{\text{eff}} = g \sqrt{J(J + 1)} \mu_B \) and the g-factor obtained from the EPR data, we obtain \( \mu_{\text{eff}} = 7.61 \mu_B \), which is within error of the effective moment obtained from the temperature-dependent magnetic susceptibility (7.91 \( \mu_B \)).⁴¹ Therefore, we find that, for Eu in EuSn₂P₂, \( J = 7/2 \) and that the Eu ground state is \(^8S_{7/2} \), i.e., that Eu³⁺ is the primary valence state for Eu.

**Magnetic Structure.** To better understand the magnetic properties of our new quantum material, we performed single crystal neutron diffraction on EuSn₂P₂. Antiferromagnetic order onsets at 30 K, as indicated by observing extra Bragg peaks with a propagation vector of (0 0 1.5). The ordering parameter was measured at (0 0 4.5) in reciprocal space (see Figure 4A) and indicates that \( T_N \sim 30 \) K by determination of the intersection of a linear fitting regime (30—50 K) and a two-term power law fit at low temperatures with \( y = \begin{cases} A x - x_i^p x, & x < x_i \\ A x - x_i^p x_i, & x > x_i \end{cases} \) where \( A, p, x_i \), \( p_u \), and \( x_i \) are all constants.⁴³ The magnetic structure is determined by modeling the magnetic reflections collected at 4.5 K. The lattice parameters (\( a = 4.069(2) \) Å, \( c = 25.935(9) \) Å) at 4.5 K are smaller than those determined by single crystal X-ray diffraction (\( a = 4.097(1) \) Å, \( c = 26.162(5) \) Å) at room temperature due to thermal contraction. Refining the nuclear reflections collected at 4.5 K yields \( R_p = 9.15\% \) and \( R_F = 17.69\% \). The magnetic structure refinement reaches the best fit (\( R_p = 6.71\% \) and \( R_F = 12.7\% \)) with the magnetic moment of 5.65 (3)
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Figure 2. Field-dependent, direction-dependent, and temperature-dependent magnetic properties of EuSn₂P₂. (A) Field-dependent magnetization for the two crystal orientations measured at 2 K where red balls and blue squares represent magnetic field parallel and perpendicular to the c-axis, respectively. (B) Magnetic susceptibility as a function of temperature measured in an external field of \( \mu_0 H = 500 \) Oe, both perpendicular (blue) and parallel (red) to the c-axis. The inset shows the temperature-dependent inverse susceptibility, measured for different sample orientations. The green line is the Curie–Weiss fit to the high-temperature data.
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Figure 3. Characterization of the Eu spin state at ambient temperature and pressure in EuSn₂P₂. Room temperature electron paramagnetic resonance (EPR) measurement with applied magnetic field from 2300 to 5200 G.
μB/Eu oriented in the ab-plane at 4.5 K (see Figure 4B), consistent with the field-dependent magnetization measurements. As shown in Figure 4B, the result is ferromagnetic Eu layers antiferromagnetically coupled with their nearest neighbor
layers leading to A-type antiferromagnetism for EuSn2P2. The inset of Figure 4A illustrates the neutron diffraction intensity maps at both 30 and 4.5 K, showing the magnetic peak at (0 0 4.5).

**Charge Transport.** The transport of electrical charge in materials where interactions between different states may be present is of interest. The temperature-dependent resistivity for a single crystal of EuSn2P2, measured in the (001) plane, is thus shown in Figure 5A. Decreasing resistivity with decreasing temperature, i.e., metallic behavior, is observed. The charge transport is sensitive to the magnetic state of the system: \( \rho_{xx} \) (the resistivity in the \( x \) direction with the electric field applied along the \( x \) direction; \( x \) and \( y \) directions are in the plane of the layers, while \( z \) is perpendicular to the layers) shows a drop below the Neel temperature (\( \sim 30 \) K). The in-plane resistivity \( \rho_{ee} \) increases slightly at temperatures above the magnetic ordering temperature, starting near 58 K. Also, as seen in Figure 5B, a linear relation between the Hall resistivity \( \rho_{xy} \) (field is applied along \( z \), electric field along \( x \), and resistivity measured along \( y \)) and applied magnetic field \( H \) is seen at various temperatures. From this data we are able to calculate the concentration of the dominant carriers (\( n \)), which are holes, by using \( n = 1/(eR_{H}) \) (where \( R_{H} \) is the Hall coefficient, obtained from the slopes of Figure 5B, and \( e \) is the electron charge). We find that, at 2 K, \( n \) is about \( 2.9 \times 10^{20} \) cm\(^{-3} \), a relatively large hole concentration. The slope of the \( \rho_{xy} (H) \) curve decreases somewhat with increasing temperature, and thus the carrier concentration is increasing with increasing temperature.

Uncommon behavior for the magnetoresistance is observed (Figure 5C). At low temperatures, the material’s resistivity \( \rho_{ee} \) shows a domelike feature when magnetic field is applied perpendicular to the basal plane (i.e., along \( z \)). At 2 K, it decreases by about 9.4 \( \mu \)Ω cm when the field increased from 0 to about 4.1 T, this latter field being where \( M \) vs \( H \) saturates at the same temperature (Figure 2A). This behavior is consistent with the anomalous magnetoresistance seen in spin flop systems. The field-dependent resistivity is not quenched until temperatures above 40 K, above the magnetic ordering temperature—the magnetoresistance becomes more normal for temperatures of 70 K and above. Measurements on a second sample suggest that the magnetoresistance in a direction perpendicular to the plane is positive and significantly larger than what is observed in-plane (see Figure S1). Further, the out-of-plane resistivity does not appear to saturate by 9 T and may continue to rise with larger applied fields. Although the in-plane magnetoresistance is reminiscent of that observed for WTe2, the change of resistivity in field is orders of magnitude lower than is seen there, and magnetic moments (from the Eu) are actually present in the current case, while they are absent for WTe2. Layered ferromagnetic semimetallic (see below) systems like EuSn2P2 are relatively rare, and thus detailed study will be required to fully understand the magnetoresistance observed in Figure 5D.

**Calculated Bulk and Surface Electronic Structures.** The results of first-principles electronic structure calculations for EuSn2P2 using the generalized gradient approximation (GGA), GGA plus correlation parameter \( U \) (GGA+U), and GGA+U with spin–orbit coupling (SOC) are compared in Figure 6. The calculations reveal a semimetallic band structure for this material, with a continuous gap throughout the Brillouin zone, similar in character to what is observed for WTe2. The electrons in the 4f orbitals of the Eu atoms are experimentally observed (see below) as localized in the range 1.0–2.0 eV below the Fermi level (\( E_{F} \)), and thus the GGA+U+SOC calculations appear to be the most representative of the material.

The calculations show that P 3p orbitals dominate the energy bands just below \( E_{F} \), while Sn 5p orbitals are found below \( -5 \) eV and just above \( E_{F} \) (Figure 6E). Like is the case for strong topological insulators, Figure 6E shows that in the vicinity of the Fermi energy there are metal states mixed in in significant proportion at the top of the valence band and P states mixed in in significant proportion at the bottom of the conducting band; i.e., there is an inversion of the conventional type of band structure, where this kind of mixing of states above and below \( E_{F} \) is negligible. When the effects of spin polarization are included, the total energies of the ferromagnetic (FM) and antiferromagnetic (AFM) models are the lowest (the calculated energy difference

---

**Figure 6.** Calculated electronic structure of bulk EuSn2P2. (A–D) First-principles electronic band structures for EuSn2P2 in its A-type antiferromagnetic ordered state (both spin up and spin down bands are shown) with and without spin–orbit coupling (SOC) and correlation parameter \( U \) included. (E) Deconvolution of the electronic structure to show the energy regimes of dominance of the Eu, P, and Sn orbitals.
between the AFM and FM states in EuSn₂P₂ is about 13 meV per f.u., and indicate that the observed antiferromagnetism is energy favored. The magnetic moments, calculated to be about ∼6.7 μB, are solely on the Eu atoms, consistent with what is expected in a naïve picture for this material, where Eu is the only magnetic atom. The electronic structure of the material, calculated for the antiferromagnetically ordered system, shows that the bands near the Fermi level are hybridized among electrons from the p orbitals on the Sn and P atoms. The GGA and GGA+U calculations result in a semimetallic ground state, with no band gap, with Fermi surfaces around the Γ and A points in the Brillouin zone (BZ) (Figure 6A,C). When SOC is included in the calculations, a very small (a few meV) band gap is present (Figure 6B,D).

As was designed, EuSn₂P₂ exhibits interesting surface states. To understand the surface states of EuSn₂P₂, surface spectral weight simulations consistent with the practice used in the topological materials field were employed. (The first BZ of the rhombohedral lattice is shown in Figure S2.) The (001) surface is our material’s clear cleavage plane. The (001) surface may be terminated by Eu, P, or Sn atomic layers; surface states are visible for all three possible (001) terminations in the calculations. Consistent with the full bulk DFT calculations, the electronic behavior near E_F in these calculations is predominantly determined by the dispersion of hybridized bands from Sn and P orbitals. For Sn-terminated and Eu-terminated surfaces, comparison of the bulk and surface calculations (Figure 7) shows that surface states are located in the bulk energy gap around the Γ point. The energy dispersion of these states is very similar to the Dirac surface states of strong TIs (inset of Figure 7D–F). The surface states are more well-separated in k for the P-termination case (Figure 7E) than they are for the Sn- and Eu-termination cases. This should make them more observable experimentally than for the case of WTe₂, where they are strongly confined in both energy and wavevector.

It is well-established in materials physics that time-reversal-based topological insulators can be described by a “Z₂ invariant”. Generally, Z₂ is undefined in a time-reversal symmetry (TRS) breaking system such as a ferromagnetic phase. Nonetheless, recent studies have proposed that the Z₂ invariant can be expanded to classify topological states in magnetic systems if the system possesses a specific magnetic configuration, for instance, an antiferromagnetic state, in which the combined symmetry S = ΘT_{1/2} is preserved, where Θ is the time-reversal operator, and T_{1/2} is the lattice translational symmetry of the crystal that is broken by the antiferromagnetic order.44,45 This is what we have in the current case. Under this definition, we calculate the Z₂ invariant by the Wilson loop method, as shown in Figure 8.46

The Z₂ topological invariant can be determined by looking at the evolution of the Wannier function center. In this formalism, the evolution of each Wannier center pair encloses the representation cylinder an integer number of times, which can be viewed as the “winding number” of the Wannier center pair. The Z₂ topological number is related to the summation of the winding numbers for all the pairs. If it is odd, then the Z₂ topological number is odd. According to Figure 8, the entanglement entropy never becomes zero in the course of any gap- and symmetry-preserving transformation; this demonstrates the nontriviality of the electronic structure of EuSn₂P₂, i.e., its topological character.

Our calculation shows an open curve traversing the entire Brillouin zone in the time-reversal invariant plane k_y = 0, indicating a Z₂ invariant equal to 1, which demonstrates that EuSn₂P₂ is indeed an antiferromagnetic topological insulator (AFM-TI).46 The surface state Fermi contours, calculated by way of the semi-infinite Green’s function,47 are shown in Figure 9. The calculated iso-energy band contours at E = 0, −0.2, and −0.3 eV (below the calculated Fermi energy) are shown for the three possible (001) surface terminations. (The energies correspond to those of the surface states on the Sn-terminated surface.)46 There is a prominent feature that is seen for the surface band contour in addition to the bulk states directly around the Γ point in the BZ: a 6-fold symmetry loop. The loop is small on the Sn-terminated and Eu-terminated surfaces but is

![Figure 7. Comparison of the calculated bulk and surface band structures for EuSn₂P₂. (A–F) Bulk (left) and surface (right) band spectra of a semi-infinite slab with an (001) surface terminated at Sn (upper), P (middle), or Eu (lower) layers. The surface states are the narrow extra states observed in the surface state calculations (right).](image-url)
distinctly seen separated from the bulk states in $k$ on the P-terminated surfaces.

**Experimentally Observed Surface Electronic Structures.** The ARPES spectra, recorded in EuSn$_2$P$_2$'s antiferromagnetically ordered state at 10 K, shown in Figures 10 and 11, reveal the energies of the occupied electronic bands around the center $\Gamma$ of the (001) surface projection of the bulk BZ. The outer (meaning the band with $k$ vector furthest from the center of the surface BZ) band, designated as $\sigma$, disperses linearly at about $-0.5 \times 10^6$ m/s away from the Fermi level ($E_F$) and appears as a sharp, intense spectral feature at all incident photon energies. This is in contrast with all of the other observed electronic bands and reflects the fact that this is a surface state. Due to the nonconservation of perpendicular-to-plane momenta of the ARPES method, a range of perpendicular momenta is observed in the ARPES spectra of the bulk bands, making them appear as filled-in regions in ARPES maps, distinct from the surface band where the absence of perpendicular dispersion results in a sharp feature. The most notable bulk band is the heart-shaped band, labeled as $\gamma$, in the center of the surface BZ.
which disperses upward in energy starting from Gamma to about 80 meV below $E_F$ and turning again down. The broad bright feature showing little dispersion in the range of energies between $-1$ and $-2$ eV (below $E_F$) is from the localized Eu $f$ states.

As is often the case with surface states, the surface band $\sigma$ is found relatively close in $k$-space to one of the bulk bands, labeled as $\alpha$. Additional bulk bands below $E_F$, labeled as $\beta$ and $\delta$, are also observed. The constant energy maps, Figure 11, taken at two different incident photon energies (hence, at different $k_z$ momenta), show the two-dimensional momentum space surface Fermi surfaces: the hexagonal-loop contour of the surface band $\sigma$ encloses the contours formed by the bulk bands $\alpha$, $\beta$, and $\gamma$. These features greatly resemble the $-300$ meV band contours calculated for the P termination of EuSn$_2$P$_2$, Figure 9B,E,H, although there is less warping toward the corners of the BZ for the surface band than is calculated.

Momentum space mapping that included a few neighboring surface BZs revealed no bands in the vicinity of the Fermi level other than those shown around $\Gamma$ in Figure 11. While three other crystal terminations (Eu, Sn single and double layer) cannot be excluded in this material without further theoretical and experimental studies, all our data indicate that the (001) crystal surfaces are indeed P-terminated: the calculations for the Eu and Sn terminations show surface states much closer to the center of the BZ.

SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

The novel quantum material EuSn$_2$P$_2$ was designed based on chemical criteria to display both magnetism and electronic surface states. The hypothesized material was discovered, and crystals were grown using the Sn-flux method. Its crystal structure and physical properties were characterized. Its crystal
structure consists of hexagonal Eu and P layers surrounding a Sn bilayer. It has a positive Curie–Weiss temperature of $\Theta_{CW} = 31(1)\ K$, showing the dominance of ferromagnetic spin correlations at high temperatures, but it orders antiferromagnetically near 30 K. Its ordered magnetic structure, refined from neutron diffraction, shows antiferromagnetically coupled in-plane-ferromagnetic Eu$^{2+}$ layers. The temperature-dependent resistance of EuSn$_2$P$_2$ is weakly metallic in character, and an anomalous magnetoresistance is found below the magnetic resistance of EuSn$_2$P$_2$ is weakly metallic in character, and an anomalous magnetoresistance is found below the magnetic resistance of EuSn$_2$P$_2$. Below the magnetic ordering temperature and below applied magnetic fields of 4 T, where the magnetization saturates with applied magnetic field.

Electronic structure calculations support magnetic ordering as the energetically stable state of the system at low temperatures, and further confirm that the observed magnetic moments solely arise from the Eu$^{2+}$ present. Calculations including both SOC and correlation parameter $U$ best describe the positions of the Eu f states between 1 and 2 eV below $E_F$, and further show that EuSn$_2$P$_2$ is an antiferromagnetic topological insulator (the energies of the valence band and conduction bands overlap for electrons of different wave vectors in the Brillouin zone). The bulk band structure is unconventional in that metal states contribute significantly just below the Fermi energy while nonmetal states contribute significantly just above the Fermi energy. The calculations also predict the presence of Dirac-like surface states within the bulk energy gap, both above and below the Fermi energy. The experimental ARPES study shows that surface states are indeed present in the antiferromagnetically ordered state at 10 K but differ in a minor way from the calculations for a P-terminated (001) surface. Although we have observed much about the basic properties of this new quantum material, more detailed work on the properties from the physics perspective is required to determine whether it is unique in that it shows both antiferromagnetism and surface states at low temperatures. The accessible temperatures involved, the simple crystal structure, the ability to fabricate bulk crystals, and the combination of properties make the quantum material EuSn$_2$P$_2$ an ideal platform for the detailed study of the interplay of topological electronic states with magnetism.
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