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**Abstract** Five cultivars of tomato having different levels of salt stress tolerance were exposed to different treatments of NaCl (0, 3 and 6 g L\(^{-1}\)) and ZnO-NPs (0, 15 and 30 mg L\(^{-1}\)). Treatments with NaCl at both 3 and 6 g L\(^{-1}\) suppressed the mRNA levels of superoxide dismutase (SOD) and glutathione peroxidase (GPX) genes in all cultivars while plants treated with ZnO-NPs in the presence of NaCl showed increments in the mRNA expression levels. This indicated that ZnO-NPs had a positive response on plant metabolism under salt stress. Superior expression levels of mRNA were observed in the salt tolerant cultivars, Sandpoint and Edkawy while the lowest level was detected in the salt sensitive cultivar, Anna Aasa. SDS–PAGE showed clear differences in patterns of protein expression among the cultivars. A negative protein marker for salt sensitivity and ZnO-NPs was detected in cv. Anna Aasa at a molecular weight of 19.162 kDa, while the tolerant cultivar Edkawy had two positive markers at molecular weights of 74.991 and 79.735 kDa.
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**Abbreviations**: cDNA, complementary DNA; GPX, glutathione peroxidase; MW, molecular weight; NPs-ZnO, nanoparticles of zinc oxide; RF, relative factor; RT-PCR, real time polymerase chain reaction; SDS–PAGE, sodium dodecyl sulfate–poly acrylamide gel electrophoresis; SOD, superoxide dismutase
1. Introduction

In the face of a rapidly growing world population and against a background of decreasing arable area and increasing global environmental changes, an increased production of high-quality foods with reduced inputs is urgently needed and technological solutions are required. Many cellular functions of plants are severely affected by environmental stress such as drought, salinity, frost and heat which ultimately exert a negative impact on plant growth and reproduction (Noaman et al., 2004). With regard to tomato (Solanum lycopersicum L. formerly Lycopersicon esculentum Mill.), germplasm improvement through either classical breeding or by modern biotechnologies is becoming more important for world tomato production since several important production regions, such as in Mediterranean countries like Italy, Spain, Egypt, and Turkey, are increasingly suffering from periods of drought and increased salinity in irrigation water (Rinaldi et al., 2011). One of the technologies which has emerged recently is nanotechnology and the development of nano-devices and nanomaterials is beginning to open up novel applications in agriculture and plant biotechnology (Nair et al., 2010). Applications of nanomaterials can help faster seed germination, improved plant tolerance to abiotic and biotic stress, efficient nutrient utilization and enhanced plant growth with reduced environmental impact compared to traditional approaches of fertilizers and pesticides (Reynolds, 2002; Sheikh et al., 2009). ZnO-NPs appear to play a strong role in arranging several mechanisms included in recognition and response to abiotic stresses in plants (Prasad et al., 2012). There are an increasing number of reports regarding the interaction between salinity and ZnO in higher plants but there is currently no information available about the possible beneficial effects of ZnO-NPs application to reduce damage from salt stress.

Under salt stress, increases in intracellular levels of Reactive Oxygen Species (ROS) were found to cause significant damage to cell structures (Bhattachrjee, 2005) and influence the expression of a number of genes such as SOD and GPX (Gill and Tuteja, 2010). With respect to nanoparticles, few experiments have been performed to show the effects of nanoparticles that may affect the growth, development, and gene expression in plants (Burklew et al., 2012). Methods that detect and quantify gene expression such as real-time PCR (RT-PCR), have been developed and have become more rapid, detecting and quantifying gene expression such as real-time PCR (Gill and Tuteja, 2010). With respect to nanoparticles, few experiments have been performed to show the effects of nanoparticles that may affect the growth, development, and gene expression in plants (Burklew et al., 2012). Methods that detect and quantify gene expression such as real-time PCR (RT-PCR), have been developed and have become more rapid, detecting and quantifying gene expression such as real-time PCR (Gill and Tuteja, 2010).
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Table 1: Accession code, commercial name, botanical name and origin of 5 tomato cultivars.

| IPK Accession code | Commercial name | Botanical name | Origin |
|--------------------|-----------------|----------------|--------|
| LYG3028            | Ekdawy          | Lycopersicon esculentum Mill | Egypt |
| LYG4112            | Anna Aasa       | Lycopersicon esculentum Mill. Convar. inﬁniens Lehm. Var. ﬂammatum | Russia |
| LYG3152            | Australische Rosen | Lycopersicon esculentum Mill | Australia |
| LYG4079            | Sankt Ignatius  | Lycopersicon esculentum Mill. Convar. inﬁniens Lehm. Var. commune | Italy |
| LYG2493            | Sandpoint       | Lycopersicon esculentum Mill. Convar. fruticosum Lehm. Var. pygmaeum Lehm. | USA |

* Accession code of the Leibniz Institute of Plant Genetics and Crop Plant Research (IPK).
# The botanical name Lycopersicon esculentum is used in the database of IPK and, thus, being used here.
California, USA. In order to prepare different concentrations of ZnO-NPs at 15 and 30 mg L\(^{-1}\), a bulk solution was first prepared where 1.5 g of solid ZnO-NPs was dissolved in 1000 mL distilled water and a sonicator was used to homogenize the solution and then diluted to the desired strengths. The nanoparticle suspensions were then centrifuged (3000g for 1 h) and filtered (0.7 μm glass filter) prior to being added to culture media (Helaly et al., 2014).

2.3. Plant growing and experimental treatments

Plants were prepared by sowing the seeds in a nursery (beginning of September 2014) in module trays (10 cm depth) filled with peat moss and irrigated with half strength Hoagland solution (Hoagland and Arnon, 1983). After 45 days (middle of March 2015), tomato plants were transplanted to the glasshouse into 1.1 L (30 cm diameter) pots filled with a mixture of peat moss and quartz sand (1:3 ratio by volume). Pots were set up in rows and laid out in split plot combinations of treatments with three replicates. Different levels of NaCl and NPs-ZnO treatments were applied as the main plots and tomato cultivars were assigned as the subplots. Each treatment was represented by three plots each with three plants, giving a total of 27 plants per cultivar per treatment. Plants irrigated with 600 mL of tap water three times a week were considered as the control treatment and a 3 (NaCl at 0, 3 and 6 g L\(^{-1}\)) treatment factorial combination was established (T1 = control; T2 = 3 g L\(^{-1}\) NaCl; T3 = 6 g L\(^{-1}\) NaCl; T4 = 15 mg L\(^{-1}\) ZnO-NPs; T5 = 30 mg L\(^{-1}\) ZnO-NPs; T6 = 3 g L\(^{-1}\) NaCl + 15 mg L\(^{-1}\) ZnO-NPs; T7 = 3 g L\(^{-1}\) NaCl + 30 mg L\(^{-1}\) ZnO-NPs; T8 = 6 g L\(^{-1}\) NaCl + 15 mg L\(^{-1}\) ZnO-NPs; T9 = 6 g L\(^{-1}\) NaCl + 30 mg L\(^{-1}\) ZnO-NPs). The plants were maintained at 22/16 °C (day/night) under a relative humidity of 60% for the entire growth period. All pots were fertilized twice; the first dose was at the end of October and the second in mid-December, using liquid fertilizer (NPK15-10-5%).

2.4. Gene expression assays

After 70 days from transplanting, leaves were harvested at random from each treatment and frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at −80 °C for future RNA extraction. Frozen samples were ground in a mortar and pestle under liquid nitrogen and used as a housekeeping gene for normalization) were chosen in common with previous assays (Miao and Gaynor, 1993; Medeiros et al., 2009) and are given in Table 2. PCR reactions were carried out in a Rotor gene (Biometra, Germany) thermocycler. The quantitative fold changes in mRNA expression were determined relative to β-actin mRNA levels in each corresponding group and calculated using the 2\(^{-DDCT}\) method (Livak and Schmittgen, 2001). Leaves of all cultivars were collected from 8-week old plants grown under control (T1), one level of salt stress (6 g L\(^{-1}\) NaCl) (T3), two levels of ZnO-NPs (15 (T4) and 30 (T5) mg L\(^{-1}\) and the combinations between NaCl and ZnO-NPs (6 g L\(^{-1}\) NaCl + 15 mg L\(^{-1}\) ZnO-NPs (T8); 6 g L\(^{-1}\) NaCl + 30 mg L\(^{-1}\) ZnO-NPs (T9)) and stored at −80 °C until protein analysis. Briefly, 0.5 g of frozen leaf tissue was used to extract soluble protein according to Bradford (1976). SDS-PAGE of leaf protein extracts were carried out in a vertical slab gel using 12% acrylamide according to Laemmli (1970) and a volume of 15–20 μL was applied to each well. In a separate lane of the gel, a protein ladder ranging from 10 to 250 kDa (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) was loaded in order to allow the estimation of the molecular masses of the separated proteins. Electrophoresis was run in a protein II electrophoresis system (Bio-Rad, California, USA) for about one hour in running buffer at 150 V/100 mA. The gels obtained were photographed with a gel documentation system (Syngene, Cambridge, UK). The molecular weights of the dissociated or unknown protein bands were determined using the standard curve obtained from the Rf-values and molecular weights of the protein ladder (10–250 kDa) and calculated using the gel analyzer version 3 software program.

2.5. Protein assay

The alterations in expression patterns of mRNA of SOD and GPX genes by RT-PCR were checked for statistical significance and represented as a mean ± SD, \(n = 10\) according to ANOVA using SPSS version 20, statistical packages (IBM, New York, NY, USA). The results were considered statistically significant if the \(p\) value was \(< 0.05\) according to Duncan (1955). The percentage of polymorphism was calculated according to the formula: polymorphism % = no. polymorphic bands/total no. of bands.

| Table 2 | Primer oligonucleotide sequences of GPX, SOD and β-actin. |
|---------|---------------------------------------------------------|
| Gene | Oligonucleotide sequences 5’–3’ | Gen ID | References |
| GPX | F | ACGGAAGCAGAGCAGCAGGGACATGGACAC | SGN-U213351 | Medeiros et al. (2009) |
| | R | CGATTGATTCACCGCAAAGCTCGT | | |
| SOD | F | CACGTCCTCAAGCAGACAGTGG | SGN-U226051 | Medeiros et al. (2009) |
| | R | CTAAGAAGAAGGGCATCCTTGTCC | | |
| β-actin | F | TTGACGTAGGGCACCACCTTACCTCCTT | | |
| | R | GCTTTCAGGGTGTTGGACACT | | |
F, forward primer; R, reserve primer.
3. Results

3.1. Expression levels of mRNA of SOD and GPX genes

With regard to the control and to each other, the results recorded different expression levels of mRNA of both SOD and GPX genes. The highest expression levels of mRNA were observed in control (T1) and ZnO-NPs treatments (T4 and T5) with values ranging between 0.97 and 1.3 SOD/β-actin; 0.99 and 1.2 GPX/β-actin and showed non-significant differences (Figs. 1 and 2). Treatment with NaCl either at 3.0 g L\(^{-1}\) (T2) or 6.0 g L\(^{-1}\) (T3) suppressed the mRNA levels of SOD and GPX genes in all the cultivars. Cultivar Sandpoint had the highest values (0.78, 0.69 and 0.68, 0.56), while cv. Anna Aasa recorded the lowest values (0.30, 0.20 and 0.28, 0.18), under T2 and T3 NaCl levels respectively. ZnO-NPs at both levels (15 mg L\(^{-1}\) (T4) and 30 mg L\(^{-1}\) (T5)) showed amelioration of the mRNA expression in all cultivars especially at the higher dose. Values of treatments T6 (3 g L\(^{-1}\) + 15 mg L\(^{-1}\) ZnO-NPs), T7 (3 g L\(^{-1}\) + 30 mg L\(^{-1}\) ZnO-NPs), T8 (6 g L\(^{-1}\) + 15 mg L\(^{-1}\) ZnO-NPs) and T9 (6 g L\(^{-1}\) + 15 mg L\(^{-1}\) ZnO-NPs) showed significant differences when compared to other treatments especially T4 (15 mg L\(^{-1}\) ZnO-NPs) and T5 (30 mg L\(^{-1}\) ZnO-NPs). Cultivars showed different responses to treatments T6–T9 where superior expression levels of mRNA were observed in cv. Sandpoint (0.94 ± 0.05; 0.98 ± 0.04) followed by cv. Edkawy (0.82 ± 0.04; 0.78 ± 0.06) under treatment T7, while the worst was cv. Sankt Ignatius (0.31 ± 0.02; 0.31 ± 0.05) under treatment T8 for SOD and GPX genes respectively.

3.2. Protein analysis

SDS-PAGE gave protein bands with molecular weights ranging from 14.337 kDa to 134.220 kDa (data not show). The total number of bands ranged from 7 to 26 for the control and 10 to 27 for other treatments. Newly synthesized protein bands of NaCl salt and ZnO-NPs treated cultivars were
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observed (Fig. 3). Two bands at molecular weights of 74.991
and 79.735 kDa were present only in the sensitive cv. Edkawy
while the tolerant cultivar Sandpoint exhibited new bands at
molecular weights 19.059, 24.373, 25.801, 34.568, 38.538,
48.147, 51.900, 72.288, 76.327, and 81.640 kDa (Table 3).
Our results indicated that the protein at 19.162 kDa was NaCl
salt enhanced in cv. Anna Aasa. The other cultivars, Australis-
che Rosen and Sankt Ignitus, showed no synthesis of new
bands under the different treatments compared to the control
(Fig. 3). The number of polymorphic protein bands varied
between the cultivars. The highest value (15 polymorphic
bands) was recorded for salt tolerant cultivar cv. Sandpoint
followed by cv. Edkawy (8 polymorphic bands) (Table 4).
The highest level of polymorphism (80% and 30.8%) was
recorded for resistant cultivars Sandpoint and Edkawy respec-
tively, followed by Anna Aasa (8.70%), Sankt Ignatius
(5.60%) and Australische Rosen (3.70%).

4. Discussion
Reactive oxygen species (ROS) are known to influence the
expression of a number of genes and contribute to many pro-
cesses in abiotic stress responses induced by salinity. Several
other mRNA studies have shown mediation of abiotic stress
responses to drought and salinity in plants by altered gene
expression (Burklew et al., 2012). It has been observed by
(Ursini et al., 1995; Aydin et al., 2014) that SOD and GPX
playing an important role in keeping the cells healthy under
stress environmental by scavenging super-oxidase radicals, cat-
alysing their conversion to O₂, reduces H₂O₂ and organic
hydro-peroxides to water and alcohols using reduced glu-
tathione (GSH). The current research is the first study which
has reported and investigated the effect of NPs-ZnO on the
expression mRNA levels of SOD and GPX genes under salin-
ity stress and confirmed that a decrease in mRNA expression

Figure 2   Expression level of mRNA for GPX gene using (RT-PCR) different cultivars of tomato (Lycopersicon esculentum Mill) exposed
to different NaCl concentrations (0.0, 3.0 and 6.0 g L⁻¹) and ZnO-NPs (0.0 15 and 30 mg L⁻¹) individually or in different combinations.
T1 = control; T2 = 3 g L⁻¹ NaCl; T3 = 6 g L⁻¹ NaCl; T4 = 15 mg L⁻¹ ZnO-NPs; T5 = 30 mg L⁻¹ ZnO-NPs; T6 = 3 g L⁻¹ NaCl
+ 15 mg L⁻¹ ZnO-NPs; T7 = 3 g L⁻¹ NaCl + 30 mg L⁻¹ ZnO-NPs; T8 = 6 g L⁻¹ NaCl + 15 mg L⁻¹ ZnO-NPs; T9 = 6 g L⁻¹ NaCl
+ 30 mg L⁻¹ ZnO-NP.
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of SOD and GPX genes occurred during exposure to NaCl (Hernandez et al., 2000; Wang et al., 2007). A decrease in SOD and GPX activities under NaCl stress has been previously postulated by Khodary (2004) as an inhibition of nitrogen uptake which then affects peptide synthesis and causes enzyme limitation leading to inevitable decrease in amounts of enzyme. Nevertheless, several other studies have shown the opposite of our results and point to an increased expression of mRNA levels of the SOD and GPX genes in tomato under salinity (Srinineng et al., 2015; Mohamed et al., 2015).

Tomato plants treated with ZnO-NPs at both levels (15 and 30 mg L\(^{-1}\)) under NaCl stress showed increases in the mRNA expression level of SOD and GPX genes (Figs. 1 and 2). It seems that the presence of ZnO-NPs can alter the activity of mRNA in tomato plants and this could ameliorate the effect of salinity. A possible explanation for this has been previously

Figure 3 SDS-PAGE protein patterns of 5 tomato (Lycopersicon esculentum Mill) cultivars in response to NaCl and ZnO-NPs. (M) Protein marker; lane (A) control; lane (B) 6 g L\(^{-1}\) NaCl; (C) 15 mg L\(^{-1}\); (D) 30 mg L\(^{-1}\); (E) 6 g L\(^{-1}\) + 15 mg L\(^{-1}\); and lane (F) 6 g L\(^{-1}\) NaCl + 30 mg L\(^{-1}\).
put forward (Laware and Raskar, 2014) indicating that the low and/or appropriate dose of ZnO-NPs has a positive response on plant metabolism, enhancing absorption of essential nutrients such as nitrogen which then affects ion homeostasis, osmolytic biosynthesis, protein content and toxic radical scavenging. The increases in the mRNA levels of SOD and GPX genes however could also be as a result of increased stability of transcribed mRNAs (Soydam et al., 2013).

SDS–PAGE of Seed or leaf protein is a practical biochemical technique and has been used as a reliable method to detect the biochemical markers for the differentiation of tomato cultivars (Furdi, 2012). Our SDS–PAGE results demonstrated differences in patterns of protein changes between tolerant cultivars and moderate or sensitive cultivars and represented protein banding patterns with different molecular weights as appositive markers and demonstrated more changes in protein profile and a higher percentage of polymorphism in tolerant cultivars Sandpoint and Edkawy compared to Anna Aasa, Sankt Ignatius and Australische Rosen (Fig. 3E and Table 3).

Our explanation of these results is that the tolerant cultivars are able to successfully adapt to saline environments by adjusting their biochemical processes and consequently the accumulation or depletion of certain metabolite activities which led to a repression of pre-existing protein synthesis and an enhanced or de novo synthesis of proteins which facilitate resistance mechanisms. This theory or explanation is also supported by previous results (Abu Hena et al., 2010; Ullah et al., 2014) which indicated that salt adaptive changes rely largely on alteration in gene expression and transcriptional activators and transcription factor function in the expression of stress inducible gene. Various investigators have indicated that the decline in the number of bands in sensitive genotypes compared with tolerant genotypes is associated with the denaturing of enzymes involved in amino acid and protein synthesis under abiotic stress (Dubey and Ranu, 1989). Both sensitive and moderately resistant cultivars in this study showed irregular changes in protein profile and an inability to rapidly accumulate antioxidant proteins as an indicator of sensitivity. A negative molecular marker associated with salt tolerance and NPs-ZnO was detected in cv. Anna Aasa at a molecular weight of

| RF | MW | Lane a | Lane b | Lane c | Lane d | Lane e | Lane f | Frequency | Polymorphism |
|----|----|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|-----------|-------------|
| 0.310 | 122.575 | + | + | – | – | + | + | 0.667 | Polymorphic |
| 0.055 | 113.711 | + | + | – | – | + | – | 0.500 | Polymorphic |
| 0.084 | 81.640 | – | + | + | – | + | + | 0.667 | Polymorphic |
| 0.212 | 76.327 | – | + | + | – | + | + | 0.667 | Polymorphic |
| 0.544 | 72.288 | – | + | + | – | + | – | 0.667 | Polymorphic |
| 0.502 | 63.348 | + | + | + | + | + | – | 1.000 | Monomorphic |
| 0.416 | 51.900 | + | + | – | + | + | – | 0.667 | Polymorphic |
| 0.387 | 48.147 | – | + | + | – | + | + | 0.667 | Polymorphic |
| 0.259 | 38.538 | – | + | + | – | + | + | 0.500 | Polymorphic |
| 0.238 | 34.568 | + | + | – | – | + | + | 1.000 | Monomorphic |
| 0.626 | 29.957 | + | + | – | + | + | + | 1.000 | Monomorphic |
| 0.657 | 25.801 | + | + | + | + | + | + | 0.833 | Polymorphic |
| 0.679 | 24.373 | – | + | + | – | + | + | 0.667 | Polymorphic |
| 0.738 | 22.669 | + | + | + | + | + | + | 1.000 | Monomorphic |
| 0.707 | 20.921 | – | + | + | + | + | + | 0.667 | Polymorphic |
| 0.774 | 19.059 | – | + | + | + | + | + | 0.833 | Polymorphic |
| 0.814 | 17.185 | – | + | + | + | + | + | 0.500 | Polymorphic |
| 0.884 | 15.778 | – | – | + | + | + | + | 0.500 | Polymorphic |
| 0.847 | 14.337 | + | + | + | + | + | + | 0.833 | Polymorphic |

+, present band; –, absent band; lane a, T1 = control; lane b, T3 = 6 g L\(^{-1}\) NaCl; lane c, T4 = 15 mg L\(^{-1}\) ZnO-NPs; lane d, T 5 = 30 mg L\(^{-1}\) ZnO-NPs; lane f, T8 = 6 g L\(^{-1}\) NaCl + 15 mg L\(^{-1}\) ZnO-NPs; lane b, T9 = 6 g L\(^{-1}\) NaCl + 30 mg L\(^{-1}\) ZnO-NPs.

### Table 3: Ideogram of protein banding pattern in cv. Sandpoint under different concentrations of NaCl and ZnO-NPs.

| RF | MW | Lane a | Lane b | Lane c | Lane d | Lane e | Lane f | Frequency | Polymorphism |
|----|----|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|-----------|-------------|
| 0.310 | 122.575 | + | + | – | – | + | + | 0.667 | Polymorphic |
| 0.055 | 113.711 | + | + | – | – | + | – | 0.500 | Polymorphic |
| 0.084 | 81.640 | – | + | + | – | + | + | 0.667 | Polymorphic |
| 0.212 | 76.327 | – | + | + | – | + | + | 0.667 | Polymorphic |
| 0.544 | 72.288 | – | + | + | – | + | – | 0.667 | Polymorphic |
| 0.502 | 63.348 | + | + | + | + | + | – | 1.000 | Monomorphic |
| 0.416 | 51.900 | + | + | – | + | + | – | 0.667 | Polymorphic |
| 0.387 | 48.147 | – | + | + | – | + | + | 0.667 | Polymorphic |
| 0.259 | 38.538 | – | + | + | – | + | + | 0.500 | Polymorphic |
| 0.238 | 34.568 | + | + | – | – | + | + | 1.000 | Monomorphic |
| 0.626 | 29.957 | + | + | – | + | + | + | 1.000 | Monomorphic |
| 0.657 | 25.801 | + | + | + | + | + | + | 0.833 | Polymorphic |
| 0.679 | 24.373 | – | + | + | – | + | + | 0.667 | Polymorphic |
| 0.738 | 22.669 | + | + | + | + | + | + | 1.000 | Monomorphic |
| 0.707 | 20.921 | – | + | + | + | + | + | 0.667 | Polymorphic |
| 0.774 | 19.059 | – | + | + | + | + | + | 0.833 | Polymorphic |
| 0.814 | 17.185 | – | + | + | + | + | + | 0.500 | Polymorphic |
| 0.884 | 15.778 | – | – | + | + | + | + | 0.500 | Polymorphic |
| 0.847 | 14.337 | + | + | + | + | + | + | 0.833 | Polymorphic |

+, present band; –, absent band; lane a, T1 = control; lane b, T3 = 6 g L\(^{-1}\) NaCl; lane c, T4 = 15 mg L\(^{-1}\) ZnO-NPs; lane d, T 5 = 30 mg L\(^{-1}\) ZnO-NPs; lane f, T8 = 6 g L\(^{-1}\) NaCl + 15 mg L\(^{-1}\) ZnO-NPs; lane b, T9 = 6 g L\(^{-1}\) NaCl + 30 mg L\(^{-1}\) ZnO-NPs.

### Table 4: Monomorphic bands, polymorphic bands, total polypeptides bands and polymorphism percentage for the 5 different tomato (Lycopersicon esculentum Mill) cultivars growing under different concentrations of NaCl and ZnO-NPs.

| Cultivars | Sandpoint | Anna Aasa | Australische Rosen | Sankt Ignatius | Edkawy |
|----------|-----------|-----------|---------------------|---------------|--------|
| Monomorphic bands | 18 | 21 | 26 | 17 | 4 |
| Polymorphic bands | 8 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 16 |
| Unique bands | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Poly. + Uniq. bands | 8 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 16 |
| Total number of bands | 26 | 2 | 27 | 18 | 20 |
| Polymorphism% | 30.76 | 8.696 | 3.704 | 5.556 | 80 |
| Mean of band frequency | 0.936 | 0.935 | 0.988 | 0.963 | 0.725 |

Polymorphism % = polymorphic bands/total number of bands.
19.162 kDa (Fig. 3B) and this protein could correspond to stress damaging mechanisms. This result agrees with that of Bayoumi et al. (2008) who indicated that sensitive plants exposed to abiotic stress conditions frequently exhibit a characteristic set of cellular and metabolic responses including a decrease or an increase in the synthesis of protein. The protein bands at molecular weight 74.991 kDa in cv. Edkawy and 25.801 and 19.059 kDa in cv. Sandpoint can be considered as positive markers for stress, and it was noted that these bands exist under salinity treatment and ZnO-NPs treatment as well as salinity together with ZnO-NPs treatments and were not apparent under the control treatment. Ali et al. (2007) indicated that salt tolerance genotypes under salt treatment were characterized by a specific band (No. 10) with an approximate molecular weight of 17.54 kDa and suggested that such specific bands may use as markers for the identification of resistant genotypes under salt stress. Similarly, the band at 19.162 kDa in the salt sensitive cv. Anna Aasa can be considered as a negative molecular marker for the identification of sensitive genotypes. Other workers have indicated that a 32 kDa protein band was salt enhanced in sensitive barley genotypes (Bendary, 2000). The similarity between the protein bands under salt treatment and ZnO-NPs was not discussed in previous studies but can be explained as a similarity in biological action caused by both NaCl and ZnO-NPs within the cell and the ability of plants to successfully adapt to saline or ZnO-NPs treatments by adjusting biochemical processes which lead to enhanced protein synthesis. This observation requires further work which needs to focus on the toxicity of salinity, action of ZnO-NPs and the interaction between salinity and ZnO-NPs inside the cell.
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