Investigation of Morphology and Photocatalytic Activities of Electrospun Chicken Feather Keratin/PLA/TiO$_2$/Clay Nanofibers
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Abstract: This research has focused on the fabrication of electrospun keratin base composite nanofiber, in order to develop the organic dye removal filters. The filters were prepared from a keratin-base material, which was extracted from chicken feathers. A biodegradable polymer including Poly (lactic acid) was blended into keratin to improve fibre process-ability. Titanium dioxide (anatase) and clay (Na-montmorillonite) were mixed into the PLA/Keratin blended solution prior to fabrication into non-woven fibre using the electro-spinning process. The objective of this research was to study the effect of TiO$_2$ and clay on the process-ability, the morphology, and the filter efficiency (methylene blue removal) of the fibres. SEM images showed the morphology of small PLA/Keratin/clay-base fibres. SEM-scan mapping EDX technique showed a good dispersion of keratin, clay and TiO$_2$ along the fibres. XRD pattern also showed the existence of PLA, keratin and TiO$_2$. But the peak of clay was not strong enough. However, the evidence of clay was clearly shown by SEM-EDX technique as reported above. The capability to remove organic dye (methylene blue) was investigated by using UV-Vis spectrophotometer technique. From all of our results, it can be concluded that PLA/Keratin/TiO$_2$/clay is an effective filter for the removal of organic dye from wastewater.

1 Introduction

Over 3,600 million tons per year of chicken feather waste have been found around the world [1]. Normally, chicken feather waste is either disposed of or used as fodder. However, it is still a major problem in each country, especially Thailand. Chicken feathers comprise of Keratin 91%, Fat 1.3% and water 7.7%. In order to use chicken feathers as a value-added product, extraction of keratin from the feathers is necessary [2].

Keratin is a kind of protein that has the biodegradable and the biocompatible properties, i.e. binds to cells easily and has various chemical reactive sites, e.g. carboxylic group, hydroxy group, amine group, aromatic group and thiol group. Thus, keratin has been used as framework for tissue engineering applications [3-5] and filters for the removal of heavy metals and some organic compounds [6]. For filter applications, it can be prepared by using various techniques including self-assembly, phase separation, drawing, template synthesis and electrospinning technique [7]. The advantage of the electrospinning process is that it has more impact because it has a continuous process, which is possible to scale up [8]. Nevertheless, electrospinning technique by using a raw material that has low molecular weight, is very difficult. It is due to the low entanglement of molecules. Also, the molecular weight of keratin is very low, about 11-20 kDa [3, 4].

Generally, the process ability of low molecular weight proteins can be recovered by mixing with the long chain polymers, such as poly (ethylene oxide), poly (vinyl alcohol), and poly (lactic acid) [9-11]. The latter one is a suitable polymer for filter application due to its hydrophobic properties and good biodegradability which is environmentally friendly [3, 7]. However, Keratin and poly (lactic acid) (PLA) are incompatible materials due to their different hydrophilic properties [12, 13]. To improve compatibility of these two materials, Montmorillonite (MMT), a kind of clay, is used as a compatibilizer between Keratin and PLA because MMT has high surface reactivity, high ion-exchange properties and a huge surface area, which interacted to Keratin and PLA [12, 14].

From results of our previous work, MMT was also used as a filler to improve viscosity and process-ability of electro-spinning. In addition, MMT also acts as a nucleating agent, increasing the crystallinity of Keratin, in view of the fact that the bonding between the hydrophilic octahedral surface of clay and the positive ion of amino groups of keratins. In addition, it also attributes to the interaction between the positive ion at the edge of clay and the negative ion at hydroxyl groups of poly (lactic acid) [12].

TiO$_2$ is an effective material that can decompose the organic dye in waste water. However, TiO$_2$ has a high
agglomerate character and high hydrophilic properties, whereas PLA has hydrophobic character. Therefore, if 
TiO$_2$ is blended into PLA matrix, it could be a problem 
for phase separation due to the big difference in hydrophilic 
properties. In order to improve the efficiency of filters that 
are used for organic removal from wastewater, it is of 
interest to investigate the potential of using MMT to 
increase TiO$_2$ dispersion and the process-ability of 
keratin/PLA/TiO$_2$ blend.

From literature reviews, an investigation of the 
preparation and characterization of PLA/Keratin/TiO$_2$ 
blend, which compatibilized with MMT, has not been 
reported. The purpose of this work is to study the effect of 
TiO$_2$ and clay on the process-ability, the morphology, and 
the organic removal efficiency of the filter (under dark, 
UV and visible light illumination conditions) is of our 
interest.

2 Experimental

2.1 Materials and chemicals

Chicken feathers were received from the Better Foods 
Company Limited. PLA 2002D from NatureWorks LLC. 
Sodium montmorillonite (Cloisite Na$^+$) was provided 
from Southern Clay Products (Gonzales, TX). Titanium 
dioxide (Anatase grade) and formic acid (98%, Analysis 
grade) were supplied from Carlo Erba Reagents (France) 
and Merck Co., Ltd., respectively. Chloroform (analysis 
grade) and acetone (laboratory grade) were provided from 
RCI Labscan, and Siam Beta Group Co., Ltd., respectively.

2.2 Extraction of keratin

The Keratin was extracted from chicken feathers using the 
sulphitolyis method [7] as briefly described in the 
following. 7 g of cleaned and short feathers were 
submerged in 250 mL of the aqueous solution comprising 
of 8 M of urea, 0.2 M of sodium metabisulphite, 4.2 g of 
sodium dodecyl sulphate, then adjusted the pH to be 6.5. 
The aqueous solution was stirred at 65°C for 5 hrs then 
filtered by the mesh of nylon (150 and 50 µm 
respectively). The aqueous solution filtrate was dialyzed 
in a dialysis tube (MWCO 6 – 8 kDa) by distilled water 
for 72 h. The distilled water was changed 3 times a day. 
Subsequently, the aqueous solution was evaporated by 
using a rotary evaporator (Heidolph, Laborota4003 
Control) at 40°C and 45 mBar. Lastly, the intense keratin 
was freeze-dried until it had constant weight.

2.3 Preparation of keratin/PLA base nanofibers

2.3.1 Poly (lactic acid) solution preparation

1 g of poly (lactic acid) was stirred in 9 mL of 
chloroform/acetone (70/30 v/v) at 70°C for 12 h.

2.3.2 Keratin base solution preparation

Keratin solution: 1 g of keratin was stirred in 9 mL of 
formic acid at room temperature for 12 h. Keratin and 
inorganic compounds ( clay or TiO$_2$ or clay/ TiO$_2$) 
solutions: Clay or TiO$_2$ or clay/TiO$_2$ (%wt. from Table 1) 
were added in formic acid and they were sonicated for 2 
h. Consequently, keratin was added into organic formic 
and they were stirred for 24 h.

2.3.3 PLA/Keratin base solution preparation

PLA/ Keratin base solutions: PLA solution and keratin 
base solutions (with and without clay or TiO$_2$) were 
blended by stirred for 24 h.

2.3.4 PLA/Keratin base nanofiber preparation

Keratin/PLA nanofibers (with and without clay and TiO$_2$) 
were fabricated using the electrospinning set ( comprised 
of Gamma high voltage power supply ( ES30P) and 
Syringe pump (NE-300)). The voltage, distance between 
a needle tip and collector and feed rate were 19 kV, 15 cm 
and 5 µL min$^{-1}$, respectively. In this research, the formula 
of keratin/PLA base nanofibers are in Table 1.

2.4 Characterizations

2.4.1 Morphology of the nanofibers

The nanofiber morphology characterization was observed 
using a scanning electron microscopy (LEO 1450 VP) 
equipped with SE detector with applied voltage of 15 kV. 
The element of nanofibers was from scan mapping by 
using the EDS technique. The average diameter of the 
nanofiber was measured by using the image-J analysis 
software.

2.4.2 Crystallinity of the nanofibers

The crystallinity of the nanofibers was investigated using 
X-ray diffraction technique (XRD), Bruker AXS Model: 
D8DISCOVER 40 kV, 40 mA.

2.4.3 Absorbance of the electro spin nanofibers

The absorbance of electro spun nanofibers was investigated by using UV-vis spectrometry ( Shimadzu 
UV-vis 3100). The samples were scanned by using the wavelength of 200-800 nm.
2.4.3 Removal capabilities of Methylen blue

The removal abilities of methylene blue (MB) of the nanofiber filters were observed by note of concentration of MB in the aqueous solution under visible light illumination, ultraviolet (UV) and in the dark. 0.05 g of the filters were suspended into 50 mL of methylene blue solution (5x10⁻⁶ M) prior to sonication for 30 min. The suspension was kept stirring in each condition for another 30 min at room temperature.

For the observation of MB removal (in the dark, visible and UV condition), 10 mL of the suspension were taken every 30 min. The sampling suspension was centrifuged, prior to measure the absorbance of MB peak at 664 nm by using a UV-Vis spectrometer (Genesys 10s). After the measurement, the sampling solutions were refilled in the reaction flask again. The measurement step was continued until 6 h was reached. MB removal was evaluated in term of C / C₀, where C₀ is the initial concentration of MB and C is the concentration at a given time.

3 Results and discussion

3.1. Morphology, TiO₂ and keratin distribution inside PLA and PLA/Keratin base nanofibers

Fig. 1a and 1b showed the surface morphology and TiO₂ particles dispersion inside PLA/ TiO₂ fibres. The agglomerated particles of TiO₂ inside the fibres, and the large diameter of the fibres were found. The large diameter was due to the very low conductivity and high viscosity of PLA/TiO₂ composite solution, as described in previous work [7, 15]. However, poor interfacial phase interaction between TiO₂ and PLA, and overloaded of TiO₂ particles into the PLA fibres, resulted in poor dispersion and agglomeration of TiO₂ particles inside the fibres [16].

![SEM images of electrospun fibres](image)

Fig. 1. SEM images of electrospun fibres (a and c) and their composites (b and d) via scan-mapping EDX technique: PLA/TiO₂ (a and b), and PLA/Keratin/TiO₂ (c and d).

Morphology of PLA/Keratin/TiO₂ was shown in Fig. 1c. It showed the improvement of TiO₂ dispersion inside PLA/Keratin phase because TiO₂ was mixed into keratin solution (keratin and TiO₂ were dissolved in formic acid) before blended with PLA solution. Therefore, TiO₂ surfaces were modified by organo-acid (keratin-formic acid), which improved the dispersion of TiO₂ inside Keratin phase.

However, from previous researches, keratin and PLA were not a miscible blend. The morphology of keratin and PLA are the core-shell structure, which has keratin as a shell section. It could be concluded that the TiO₂ particles were dispersed along keratin shell phase [12, 16-20]. However, some agglomerated TiO₂ particles were still appeared along fibre because of the high content of TiO₂ inside that fibre, as compared to previous reported [21, 22].

3.2. Effect of Clay on TiO₂ dispersion and PLA/keratin/TiO₂ electro spun nanofibers

From our previous research, clay was found to be a factor that improved the process ability of PLA/ keratin electrospun nanofiber. Clay acted as a coupling agent between PLA and keratin phases [12]. For this research, as expected, without clay, the number of agglomerated TiO₂ were revealed, as shows in Fig 2a. Whereas, clay acted as a disperser of TiO₂ particles inside PLA/keratin fibres, as shows in Fig. 2b. This could be due to TiO₂ interacted with clay via ionic interaction [12, 23].

To test for the ionic interaction as mentioned above, Keratin/ TiO₂ solution was blended into PLA solution during the solution preparation step. Keratin was dissolved in formic acid, then TiO₂ was mixed into the keratin solution. Therefore, Keratin and TiO₂ were surrounded by acidic condition, which consequently turned Keratin and TiO₂ into Keratin-NH₂ and TiOH₂⁺, respectively [12, 23].

These positive matters could be directly interacted to anionic surfaces of clays which make them disperse along the Keratin-shell layer of fibres [12]. Good dispersion of Keratin (N), TiO₂ (Ti) and clay (Si) along the PLA/Keratin/TiO₂-clay nanofiber by using SEM-scan mapping EDX technique were shown in Fig.3.
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Fig. 2. SEM images of electrospinning fibers: PLA/Keratin/TiO₂ (a), and PLA/keratin/TiO₂-clay (b).
EDX technique retained in the fibre was showed by SEM scan.

For comparison of different types of composites, the spectra of fibres were observed by UV-Vis spectrometry and the results showed that characteristic absorbance peak of PLA at 250 nm can be observed. This suggests that the PLA can absorb the light in UVC region.

The peaks representing Keratin and TiO₂ were 290 nm and 370 nm, respectively. The results showed that Keratin can absorb light in the range of UVC-UVB regions. Meanwhile, by adding TiO₂ absorbance of PLA/Keratin shifted to the longer wavelength (from 250 to 370 nm). This was attributed to the presence of TiO₂, which is capable of absorbing the light close to visible region (UVB to UVA) (Fig.5). By adding clay, the representing absorbance peak of PLA/Keratin and PLA/Keratin/TiO₂ showed the strong peak of clay at 215 and 255 nm. Clay was a good absorbent at UVC-UVB region [24-25].

### 3.3 Crystal structure of nanofibers

Fig. 4 shows XRD patterns of neat-TiO₂, neat-clay, neat-keratin, PLA fibre mat, and the blended fibres of these raw materials. XRD fibre peaks of PLA/Keratin/TiO₂, with and without clay, retained representing PLA hump and TiO₂ peak at 18. 0° and 25. 28° respectively [21]. However, clay peak pattern of electrospun PLA/ Keratin/ TiO₂/ clay fibres was not showed up significantly. It was due to it having too low a concentration of clay (2 pph) inside the fibres. Clay retained in the fibre was showed by SEM scan-mapping EDX technique (Fig.3-d), as discussed above.

![Fig. 3. SEM images of PLA/keratin/TiO₂-clay fibres (a), SEM-scan mapping EDX of Keratin (b), TiO₂ (c) and Clay (Si) (d).](image)

![Fig. 4. XRD patterns of TiO₂ powder (a), clay (b), keratin powder (c), PLA fibre (d), PLA/keratin fibre (e), PLA/keratin/clay fibre (f), PLA/keratin/TiO₂ (g), and PLA/keratin/TiO₂/clay fibre (h).](image)

### 3.4 UV-Vis absorption of filters

For comparison of different types of composites, the spectra of fibres were observed by UV-Vis spectrometry.

### 3.5 Photocatalytic activity of filters

In this work, methylene blue (MB) removal capabilities was investigated. The absorption of methylene blue in the dark of various composite fibre was revealed in Fig.6. As expected, PLA and TiO₂ have low efficiency to remove methylene blue from the media solution. Whereas, Keratin acted as methylene blue adsorption material, via ion-exchange between methylene blue (R-N⁺) and keratin (R-COO⁻). Furthermore, clay acted as a good adsorption material, which decreased concentration of methylene blue significantly. It was because the negative charge at clay surface interacted to the positive charge of methylene blue. However, if clay and TiO₂ were mixed together, TiO₂ (TiO²⁺) can be interacted directly to surface negative charge of clay as well. Accordingly, it affected the MB removal efficiency of clay, as showed in Fig. 6.

In this part, it was also noteworthy that degradation of MB in the presence of the neat clay under UV irradiation and visible light illumination as shown in Fig. 7 and 8. This was similar from that was carried out in the dark (Fig. 6), which concentration of MB was depleted due to absorption.

![Fig. 5. UV-Vis spectra of electrospun PLA, PLA/Keratin, PLA/TiO₂, PLA/Keratin/TiO₂, PLA/Keratin/clay and PLA/Keratin/TiO₂/clay nanofibers.](image)
The methylene blue removal by various types of composite fibres under visible light illumination was shown in Fig. 10. The results showed that TiO₂, clay and Keratin were not active obviously, under visible condition. Also, clay still removed methylene blue via mechanism of adsorption as well.

4 Conclusion

Keratin-base materials can be fabricated into electrospun fibres. Without Keratin, TiO₂ particles cannot disperse along PLA fibres easily. In addition, clay act as a disperser of TiO₂ particles along the PLA/keratin fibres as well. Furthermore, methylene blue can be adsorbed and removed by Keratin and clay, in every condition (in dark, UV and visible). Especially, clay, which acted as a great adsorption material, decreased concentration of methylene blue significantly. As expected, under UV conditions, the materials that acted best as a photocatalytic dye degradation was TiO₂. Nevertheless, focusing on the fiber filter, the highest efficient filter that fabricated from this research was PLA/Keratin/TiO₂/clay, which can remove organic dye by adsorption and photocatalytic dye degradation mechanism.

This research was funded by College of Industrial Technology, King Mongkut’s University of Technology North Bangkok (Grant No. Res-CIT0226/2018).
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