Why use pre-differentiated cells to address complex multi-factorial neurodegenerative diseases?
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Past four decades have seen a concerted push to develop regenerative treatments for incurable neurodegenerative diseases such as Parkinson’s disease (PD). PD’s pathophysiology is primarily characterized by dopamine deficiency caused by the progressive depletion of neurons in substantia nigra (Bernheimer et al., 1973). So, it is not very surprising that most attempts at regenerative treatment of PD focus on using pre-differentiated, dopaminergic neurons. However, PD’s pathogenesis spans far beyond strictly dopamine deficiency and is still not completely known (Chaudhuri et al., 2006). Thus, attempts at implanting pre-differentiated dopaminergic neurons that are locked into a single, inflexible function, predictably ran into the same problems as dopamine replacement therapy: 1) These cells are not curative; 2) They cannot address all PD deficits, and 3) They tend to cause side effects (Kordower et al., 2017). In order to address the multifactorial nature of this disease, we suggest the use of non-tumorigenic undifferentiated stem cells. Unlike adult cells, undifferentiated cells, due to their inherent plasticity, have the potential to respond to microenvironmental cues (Martinez-Cerdeño et al., 2017) from the Parkinsonian brain, target multiple systems and pathways, and eventually restore both structure and function (Figure 1).

Multifactorial nature: Traditionally it has been thought that the movement disorders of PD result from degeneration of dopamine-producing neurons in the substantia nigra pars compacta that feed into and regulate the complex connections among the cerebral cortex, cerebellum, thalamus, subthalamic nucleus, and basal ganglia. The latest experimental data suggest that the pathogenesis of PD involves more than the dopamine pathway. In fact, the pathological substrate of symptoms of Parkinsonism varies widely (Marras and Lang, 2008). Different anatomical abnormalities can result in similar Parkinsonian symptoms while the same type of lesion can result in markedly differing symptoms in different patients (Marsden and Obeso, 1994).

Standard of treatment: To date no single treatment modality has been shown to have an unequivocal disease-modifying effect, and many treatments have been shown to be ineffective. The possible explanation for this fact is that previous treatments aimed for only a single fragment of the pathogenic mechanism of PD. Pre-differentiated cells by their single-targeted nature are only able to address one deficit. Even when addressing this deficit, there is still an issue of dosing and placement. Undifferentiated cells have an option to differentiate or not to differentiate, to multiply or to not multiply depending on influence of the microenvironment where they are implanted. Adult pre-differentiated cells do not have such freedom of diversification. Moreover, since pre-differentiation is required, they are not able to address deficits that the treating physician is not currently aware of. Thus, this pre-differentiated approach will never be completely curative like any other dopamine-replacing therapies.

Proposed solution: Undifferentiated embryonic cells were one of the first regenerative treatments considered (Thomson et al., 1998). However, these cells have a propensity to develop teratomas (Adewumi et al., 2007) which precluded these unmodified embryonic cells from being used in human PD treatments. However, undifferentiated neural progenitor cells (NPCs) are more advanced developmentally than embryonic cells and have lost their tumorigenicity (Madrazo et al., 2019). At the same time, these cells are not locked into a single fate like adult stem cells. In addition, undifferentiated cells may be influenced by the new host environment, affecting further maturation into the correct replacement cell. Their plasticity, potentially, allows NPCs to provide a disease-appropriate measured response to the microenvironmental cues and to be able to address multiple deficiencies. Consequently, the nature and severity of each disease may impact how these undifferentiated cells respond as each disease state establishes a unique biochemical profile. The NPC studies that we have carried out in the last few years lead us to believe that such cellular behavior may indeed occur.

Spastic Han-Wistar (sHW) model: Our preferred choice of animal model for studying this phenomenon is not a traditional PD model such as 6-hydroxydopamine (6-OHDA)-injection model. The 6-OHDA model, like other toxin-based PD models, works by selectively destroying dopaminergic neurons in the substantia nigra, mimicking the dopamine deficiency part of PD pathophysiology. However, unlike a gradual continuous dopaminergic neuron death observed in human PD, cell destruction in toxic models is almost instantaneous. In effect, these models poorly recreate the progressive multifactorial pathophysiological process like a human PD, but rather model a frozen-in-time snapshot of dopamine deficiency. In addition, 6-OHDA model presents a challenge when evaluating NPCs. Undifferentiated cells are expected to self-direct, and thus they require an active pathological process to target. Since there is no continuous cell death in a 6-OHDA model of PD, a stem cell has no cues to respond for migration and eventually cell replacement.

Instead, we concentrated on studying the behavior of our NPCs as treatment in sHW rats. The sHW mutant is a multigenetic, naturally occurring disease model. Bilateral cerebellum injections resulted in a statistically significant improvement in motor scores and longevity of the subjects. Histologically, the NPCs were seen migrating from the site of injection towards the degenerating Purkinje cell layer, theoretically to contribute to its population. The NPCs produced a few improvements but fell short of reaching a statistically significant difference compared to control mutants.

Taken together, these two studies provide evidence that undifferentiated cells were able to migrate in response to injury, but that the extent of such homing and migration is limited. When primary pathophysiological parameters were considered, the cells delivered via the carotid artery or into the forebrain had very little effect (Uhlendorf et al., 2017).

The next question we attempted to answer was how much longer the human NPCs were able to survive in the rat cerebellum and continue to alleviate the sHW neurodegenerative deficits. Tierney et al. (2020) explored the longevity of NPC transplantation effects which demonstrated that mutants survived well past their normal lifespan, achieved motor scores similar to that of normal animals. They also examined whether the bilateral intra-cerebellar NPCs survived to the end of the experiment (100 days of age; 60 days post-transplant). Although some surviving human-derived NPCs were found in the cerebella of these animals, they were remarkably effective. Besides the significant improvements in motor behavior, the number of Purkinje cells found in the brains of these mutants receiving NPCs was significantly higher than that of 60-day-old untreated mutant controls. Thus, it appears that the NPCs migrated towards the Purkinje layer within 20 days post-transplantation and promoted either preservation of the host’s own Purkinje cells or possibly growth of new ones, culminating in the significant clinical improvements.

A recently carried out proteomics study (in-house unpublished data) revealed that the
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NPCs secrete a number of neuronal factors that have been shown to either promote neuronal growth (Neuromodulin, Nestin) or have neuroprotective qualities (14-3-3 epsilon protein, insulin-like growth factor II, activity-dependent neuroprotective protein). It is likely that one or more of these proteins contributed to higher Purkinje cell numbers in NPC-treated animals. It would be interesting to investigate how the biochemical profile of these undifferentiated cells alters when influenced by age, location and possible disease state.

**Clinical data:** Response to microenvironmental cues as demonstrated in being able to alleviate a Purkinje cell deplition is just one part of our evidence supporting NPCs as treatment. Another part is the ability to respond to different cues. As a part of first in human pilot trial, Madrazo et al. (2019) implanted the same undifferentiated cells into putamina of eight patients with PD of varying severity. Four years later, none of the patients developed tumors or any other stem cell related side effect. Most of these patients demonstrate better motor scores and take less dopaminergic side effect of implantation of pre-differentiated cells have been shown to survive in the brains of PD patients for 7 years or longer. There is no reason to think that undifferentiated NPCs, that are known to be immuno-privileged, would fare any worse in an allotransplant. However, until we are able to examine long term surviving transplants or the biochemistry of xenotransplants, the mechanism(s) of action are likely to remain a mystery.

Of course, that is not necessarily a problem in itself. Precise pathogenesis of PD is still far from being clear, and the parts of the PD puzzle that are known are too numerous to be able to target all of them. Plasticity of undifferentiated NPCs makes this lack of knowledge on our part more or less irrelevant. Without controlled studies (i.e., Food and Drug Administration Phase II clinical trials) of allotransplants, it is impossible to be certain whether undifferentiated cells act with a form of intelligent self-targeting. However, there is enough evidence to pursue this direction further. A single, dose-independent treatment that tailors itself to the patient’s condition is attractive enough. The fact that it potentially can be used for conditions with poorly understood or unknown multifactorial pathogenesis is even more attractive.

**Conclusions:** At this point we can only hypothesize about the mechanism of action of hypothalamic NPCs. Because of their inherent flexibility, it is very likely that there is more than one mechanism involved in treating any given disease. Not being able to find transplanted cells a month or longer after transplantation impedes the search for the mechanism, but also raises additional questions in itself. Do cells undergo apoptosis because they served their purpose and are no longer needed? Or are they, being a xenotransplant, not able to survive despite the immunosuppression after they are able to produce a clinical effect? Transplanted pre-differentiated cells have been shown to survive in the brains of PD patients for 7 years or longer. It would be interesting to investigate how the biochemical profile of these undifferentiated cells alters when influenced by age, location and possible disease state.

**References**

Adewumi O, Aflatoonian B, Ahrlund-Richter L, Arnt M, Andrews PW, Brighton G, Bello PA, Berenvey N, Berry LS, Bever S, Blum B, Brooking J, Chen KG, Chou ABN, Churchill GA, Correll R, Damjanov I, Draper JS, Dowar P, Emanuelsson K, et al. (2007) Characterization of human embryonic stem cell lines by the International Stem Cell Initiative. Nat Biotechnol 25:803-816.

Bermesheimer H, Brikmayer W, Horniklawitz D, Jellinger K, Seitelberger F (1973) Brain dopamine and the syndromes of Parkinson and Huntington. Clinical, morphological and neurochemical correlations. J Neurol Sci 20:415-455.

Chaudhuri KR, Healy DG, Schapira AHV, National Institute for Clinical Excellence (2006) Non-motor symptoms of Parkinson’s disease: diagnosis and management. Lancet Neurol 5:233-245.

Kordower JH, Goetz GC, Chu Y, Halliday GM, Nicholson DA, Munsal TM, Marmion DJ, Mostei AJ, Sossi V, Freeman TB, Olanow CW (2017) Robust graft survival and normalized dopaminergic innervation do not obligate recovery in a Parkinson disease patient. Ann Neurol 81:46-57.

Madrazo I, Kopyov O, Afla-Rodriguez MA, Ostromsky F, Carrasco H, Kopyov A, Awadellako-Estrada A, Abadillo F, Nuryyev RL, Zanorano C, Gonzalez G, Valenzuela T, Carrillo R, Palma F, Rivera R, Francisco Bouchard RE, Gutierrez-Salazar G (2019) Transplantation of human neural progenitor cells (NPCs) into the putamen of Parkinsonian patients: a case series study, safety and efficacy four years after surgery. Cell Transplant 28:368-385.

Marras C, Lang A (2018) Invited article: changing concepts in Parkinson disease: moving beyond the decade of the brain. Neurology 70:1996-2003.

Marsden CD, Obeso JA (1994) The functions of the basal ganglia and the paradox of stereotactic surgery in Parkinson’s disease. Brain 117:877-897.

Martínez-Cerdeño V, Barrileraus BS, McDonough A, Antia J, Yuen BT, Somanath P, Le CT, Stewart C, Horton-Sparks K, Kneepfier PS (2017) Behavior of Xenotransplanted undifferentiated human induced pluripotent stem cells is impacted by microenvironment without evidence of tumors. Stem Cells Dev 26:1409-1423.

Nuryyev RL, Uhlandorf TL, Tierney W, Zatikyan S, Kopyov O, Kopyov A, Ochoa J, Van Trigt W, Malone CS, Cohen RW (2017) Transplantation of human neural progenitor cells reveals structural and functional improvements in the spastic Han-Wistar rat model of ataxia. Cell Transplant 26:1813-1821.

Thomson JA, Itskovitz-Eldor J, Shapiro SS, Waknitz MA, Swiergiel JJ, Marshall VS, Jones JM (1998) Embryonic stem cell lines derived from human blastocysts. Science 282:1145-1147.

Tierney WM, Uhlandorf TL, Lemus AI, Ortega BA, Magona J, Ochoa J, Van Trigt W, Cris A, Kopyov A, Kopyov O, Cohen RW (2020) Transplanted human neural progenitor cell attenuates motor dysfunction and lengthens longevity in a rat model of ataxia. Cell Transplant 29(9):1689-1702.

Uhlandorf TL, Nuryyev RL, Kopyov O, Ochoa J, Younessi S, Cohen RW, Kopyov O (2017) Efficient two-delivery routes for transplanting human neural progenitor cells (NPCs) into the spastic Han-Wistar rat, a model of ataxia. Cell Transplant 26:259-269.

**E-Editors:** Zhao M, Li JY; **T-Editor:** Jo Y

---

**How to cite this article:** Kopyov A, Uhlandorf TL, Cohen RW (2021) Why use pre-differentiated cells to address complex multi-factorial neurodegenerative diseases? Neural Regen Res 16(7):1413-1414.

**Copyright license agreement:** The Copyright License Agreement has been signed by all authors before publication.

**Conflict of Interest:** None.

**Peer review:** Externally peer reviewed.

**Open access statement:** This is an open access journal, and articles are distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 4.0 License, which allows others to remix, tweak, and build upon the work non-commercially, as long as appropriate credit is given and the new creations are licensed under the identical terms.