Transcellular Neuroligin-2 Interactions Enhance Insulin Secretion and Are Integral to Pancreatic β Cell Function*
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Background: The synaptic protein neuroligin-2 is present on the pancreatic β cell surface and affects insulin secretion.

Results: Insulin secretion increases when β cells contact adjacent cells expressing neuroligin; soluble neuroligin inhibits secretion.

Conclusion: Clustered neuroligin-2 enhances insulin secretion in a transcellular manner, likely by promoting secretory microdomain formation.

Significance: Transcellular protein-protein interactions paralleling the transsynaptic interactions that drive synapse formation may guide β cell functional maturation.

Cell-to-cell contact between pancreatic β cells is essential for normal glucose-stimulated insulin secretion (1, 2). The resulting interactions enable a robust secretory response to glucose, enhance suppression of insulin release at basal conditions, and facilitate β cell maturation (1–3). Little is known, however, about why this is so (1). In contrast to the very large body of work concerning the intracellular signaling pathways and protein machinery controlling insulin secretion by β cells, only two transcellular interactions that play a direct role in normal insulin secretion have been identified. The first is the coupling of adjacent β cells by connexin36 channels (1, 4, 5). The gap junctions thus formed decrease basal secretion and enable the intraislet synchronization of insulin release necessary for normal glucose-stimulated insulin secretion (4, 6). A second transcellular interaction involving ephrin-A5 and EphA5 directly affects both basal and stimulated insulin secretion (7). E-cadherin and neural cell adhesion molecule are also expressed by β cells and engage in extracellular interactions; these help mediate the establishment of normal islet architecture (1, 8, 9). Although alterations in expression of neural cell adhesion molecule and E-cadherin affect insulin secretion, there are insufficient data to determine whether the observed changes are attributable to effects on transcellular interactions (8, 9).

The β cell insulin secretory apparatus is remarkably similar to the machinery used by neurons for neurotransmitter release. Many of the scaffolding, subplasmalemmal, and synaptic vesicle proteins important for neurotransmitter secretion are expressed by β cells and are key components of the insulin secretory machinery (10). In neurons, extracellular interactions between synaptogenic (synapse-inducing) synaptic adhesion

Normal glucose-stimulated insulin secretion is dependent on interactions between neighboring β cells. Elucidation of the reasons why this cell-to-cell contact is essential will probably yield critical insights into β cell maturation and function. In the central nervous system, transcellular protein interactions (i.e. interactions between proteins on the surfaces of different cells) involving neuroligins are key mediators of synaptic functional development. We previously demonstrated that β cells express neuroligin-2 and that insulin secretion is affected by changes in neuroligin-2 expression. Here we show that the effect of neuroligin-2 on insulin secretion is mediated by transcellular interactions. Neuroligin-2 binds with nanomolar affinity to a partner on the β cell surface and contributes to the increased insulin secretion brought about by β cell-to-β cell contact. It does so in a manner seemingly independent of interactions with neurexin, a known binding partner. As in the synapse, transcellular neuroligin-2 interactions enhance the functioning of the submembrane exocytic machinery. Also, as in the synapse, neuroligin-2 clustering is important. Neuroligin-2 in soluble form, rather than presented on a cell surface, decreases insulin secretion by rat islets and MIN-6 cells, most likely by interfering with endogenous neuroligin interactions. Prolonged contact with neuroligin-2-expressing cells increases INS-1 β cell proliferation and insulin content. These results extend the known parallels between the synaptic and β cell secretory machineries to extracellular interactions. Neuroligin-2 interactions are one of the few transcellular protein interactions thus far identified that directly enhance insulin secretion. Together, these results indicate a significant role for transcellular neuroligin-2 interactions in the establishment of β cell function.
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molecules on opposite sides of the synaptic cleft trigger assembly of the protein complexes necessary for neurotransmitter signaling (11). By binding and clustering their presynaptic partners, postsynaptic synaptogenic adhesion molecules, including members of the neuroligin family, induce the formation of the presynaptic machinery for regulated secretion (11). In co-culture experiments, transfected, non-neuronal cell lines expressing neuroligins induce presynaptic differentiation at points of contact with co-cultured hippocampal neurons (11–13).

Clustering on the cell surface (either in the postsynaptic membrane or, in co-culture models, in the plasma membrane of transfected cells) is necessary for neuroligins to promote synapse formation and normal neurotransmission (12, 13). The neuroligin extracellular domains alone lack synapse-inducing activity, but, when neuroligin is presented to neurons on the surface of cells or clustered on beads, assembly of presynaptic active zones of neurotransmitter release is induced at sites where the extracellular domains come into contact with neuronal processes (12, 13).

We previously found that neuroligin-2 (NL-2), which is specific to inhibitory (GABAergic) synapses, is expressed by pancreatic β cells and that alterations in its level of expression affected insulin secretion by primary rat islet and INS-1 β cells (11, 14). Increased NL-2 expression caused increased insulin secretion, and decreased expression lowered insulin secretion (14). In work done elsewhere (15), NL-2 was one of the most abundant transcripts identified in a systematic study of human tissue mRNAs that was carried out in order to identify highly expressed, human islet-specific membrane proteins.

Our prior study determined that NL-2 affects insulin secretion but did not test the mechanism of this effect, most importantly whether the increased secretion is driven by extracellular interactions (14). The central role of transcellular neuroligin interactions in synapse maturation along with the known importance of β cell-to-β cell contacts in insulin secretion led us to hypothesize that the effect of NL-2 on insulin secretion is mediated by transcellular interactions. Here we demonstrate that NL-2 in clustered but not soluble form increases insulin secretory capacity in a transcellular manner. Neuroligin-1 (NL-1) can similarly increase insulin secretion. Although neurexin-1α, a major neurexin binding partner, is present on the β cell surface, neurexin binding is not necessary in order for neuroligin to influence insulin secretion (16). In longer term experiments, transcellular NL-2 interactions increased INS-1 β cell proliferation and insulin content. The effect of transcellular NL-2 interactions on insulin secretion occurs distal to glucose sensing. In parallel with its effects on presynaptic active zone formation and neurotransmitter exocytosis in synapses, NL-2 probably enhances insulin secretion by promoting formation and/or function of the submembrane, β cell insulin secretory microdomains. These data identifying transcellular NL-2 interactions as necessary for normal insulin secretion increase our understanding of why contact between β cells is essential to normal β cell function and of the role of synaptogenic adhesion molecules in the establishment of β cell function.

The abbreviations used are: NL-2, neuroligin-2; NL-1, neuroligin-1; KRB, Krebs-Ringer bicarbonate; IBMX, 3-isobutyl-1-methylxanthine.

**EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES**

**Cell Culture and Islet Isolation**—INS-1 cells were cultured in RPMI 1640 medium with 10% FBS, 50 μm β-mercaptoethanol, and 1 mM sodium pyruvate (INS-1 cell medium). MIN-6 cells were cultured in DMEM with 20% FBS with 50 μm β-mercaptoethanol (MIN6 medium). HEK293 cells were cultured in DMEM with 10% FBS (HEK medium). Rat islets were isolated from Sprague-Dawley rats (240–260 g; Charles River Laboratories) as described previously and cultured for 18 h to allow for recovery (14). Animal procedures were approved by the University of California, San Diego, Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee. Rat islets were cultured in RPMI 1640 with 10% FBS and 2.5 μg/ml amphotericin B (islet medium). L-Glutamine (2 mM), 100 units/ml penicillin, and 100 μg/ml streptomycin were also added to the medium.

**Co-culture Assays**—HEK293 cells grown to 100% confluence in antibiotic-free medium were transfected using Lipofectamine 2000 (Invitrogen) in 24-well plates with full-length NL-2, with the same construct containing only the epitope tag, with neuroligin-1 (NL-1), with NL-1-G500A, or with CASPR2. All of these constructs carried N-terminal FLAG epitope tags. CASPR2 cDNA was cloned into pcDNA3.1, and the other proteins were encoded by previously described plasmids (17, 18). After 24 h, dispersed MIN-6, INS-1 or primary rat islet cells were seeded onto the transfected cells.

In some experiments, transfected HEK293 cells were, after 48 h, fixed for 10 min with 4% paraformaldehyde and washed repeatedly with PBS. The short incubation time, although lethal to cells, allows retention of protein enzymatic and binding activity (paraformaldehyde is used in a similar manner to fix *Staphylococcus aureus* with nearly complete retention of protein A binding activity) (19, 20). The fixed cell monolayers were stored in PBS at 4 °C. Dispersed INS-1 cells were cultured in contact with the fixed HEK293 cells for 24 h. MIN6 and INS-1 co-cultures were incubated for 24 h in MIN-6 medium or in a 50/50 mix of INS-1 and HEK medium, respectively. Dispersed islet cells were prepared by gently dissociating rat islets with 0.01% trypsin, 0.1 mM EDTA in Ca²⁺/Mg²⁺-free Hanks’ balanced salt solution. They were then co-cultured in a 50/50 mix of HEK and islet media at 11.1 mM glucose.

**Treatment with Soluble Neuroligin**—Recombinant soluble NL-2 with a stop codon replacing Phe-616 and recombinant soluble NL-1 with a stop codon replacing residue 639 and with or without a Gly to Ala mutation at residue 500 (G500A) (all with N-terminal FLAG epitope tags) were produced as described previously (17, 18). Soluble acetylcholinesterase was also prepared as described previously (21). Small clusters of MIN-6 cells or rat islets were washed with Krebs-Ringer bicarbonate (KRB) buffer containing 2.75 mM glucose, aliquoted to wells on a 96-well plate, and allowed to equilibrate for 45 min. Cells were then incubated in KRB buffer with 30 mM glucose (20 mM for islets) containing either a control peptide (three FLAG epitope tags in series), soluble acetylcholinesterase, or soluble NL-1 or NL-2. Cells were lysed in radioimmune precipitation assay buffer (Sigma). Secreted insulin and cellular insulin content were analyzed by radioimmunoassay (Millipore).
**Insulin Secretion**—For analyses of insulin secretion, cells were washed twice with KRB buffer containing 2.75 mM glucose and then equilibrated in this solution for 45 min. The cells were then treated for 1 h with KRB buffer containing either 2.75 mM glucose or 16.75 mM glucose (30 mM for MIN6). Where indicated, buffer was supplemented with 100 μM IBMX to enhance stimulated insulin secretion. For analyses of K⁺-stimulated insulin secretion, cells co-cultured for 24 h were washed twice with KRB buffer containing 2.75 mM glucose, next equilibrated in this solution for 45 min, and then treated with KRB buffer containing either 2.75 mM glucose, 20 mM glucose, or 30 mM KCl for 1 h. Protein was extracted with radioimmune precipitation assay buffer containing protease inhibitors. Insulin was analyzed by radioimmunoassay.

**Competitive Binding Assay**—Soluble NL-2 was labeled with 125I by Dr. Robert Speth (University of Mississippi radioiodination core) by the iodogen method (Thermo Scientific). The specific activity of 125I-labeled soluble NL-2 was 113.7 μCi/μg. Polypropylene test tubes containing INS-1 cells (2.5 × 10⁶) in 170 μl of medium were placed in a shaking water bath at 37 °C for 30 min. Varying concentrations of unlabeled soluble NL-2 were added to the test tubes, followed by 125I-labeled soluble NL-2 (50 pM) and then a 30-min incubation. To measure binding of the 125I-labeled soluble NL-2 to the cells, cell-associated radioactivity was determined after separating away the unbound protein as described previously (22). The dissociation constant (Kd) was determined from the competitive binding data in standard fashion (23).

**FACS Analysis**—To analyze Ki67 expression, cells were aspirated from the wells after treatment with 0.05% trypsin in EDTA, spun down at 500 × g for 5 min, and then washed with PBS with 1% BSA. They were then incubated at room temperature for 10 min in 4% paraformaldehyde and washed twice with 1% BSA in PBS and stored in 1% saponin with 1% BSA in PBS. Cells were stained with 1:100 guinea pig anti-insulin primary antibody (Dako) or 1:100 mouse anti-Ki67 antibody (BD Biosciences) and then 1:1000 Alexa 488 anti-guinea pig antibody, or at 4 °C with preimmune serum or a rabbit anti-NL-2 antibody against recombinant NL-2 extracellular domain (Lampire Biological Laboratories). Next, cells were washed three times with 1% BSA in PBST, followed by incubation with 1:2000 Alexa 488 anti-rabbit IgG secondary antibody, and 1:500 Alexa 594 anti-mouse IgG secondary antibody (both from Invitrogen). Cells were finally washed in 1% BSA in PBST followed by three washes with PBS. Analysis of syntaxin clustering was by analysis of the punctateness of syntaxin immunofluorescence (i.e. the syntaxin protein content at sites of clustering, as reflected by the brightness of individual puncta of syntaxin staining, by evaluating the signal intensity of syntaxin-positive pixels) as has been described previously for synapsin and other secretory proteins (27–29). An illustration of the overall approach used is provided in the supplemental material (supplemental Fig. S3). Images of eight random, discrete regions within each of the wells stained were captured using an inverted Nikon Eclipse E800 fluorescent microscope and analyzed using ImagePro software (Media Cybernetics). The average intensity of Alexa 594-positive pixels and the area covered by Alexa 488-positive pixels were calculated for each image, representing, respectively, the punctateness of syntaxin expression in INS-1 cells and the degree of contact between INS-1 cells and the extracellular domains of NL-2 or CASPR2 present on the co-cultured HEK293 cells (see supplemental Fig. S3).

**Statistical Analysis**—Data are presented as means ± S.E. Differences between quantitative data sets were analyzed by Student’s t test. Linear regression of data from imaging analysis and analysis of slopes by F test were performed using GraphPad Prism 5 software (GraphPad Software). Generation of a standard dose-response (inhibitor versus response) curve using the standard slope model and calculation of the IC₅₀ was also accomplished using Prism 5. p < 0.05 was considered significant.

**RESULTS**

**Presence of NL-2 and NL-2 Binding Partner on β Cell Surface**—To confirm the presence of NL-2 on the β cell surface (14), we incubated intact INS-1 β cells with an antibody against the
extracellular domain of NL-2 and with a secondary immunofluorescent antibody. Flow cytometry was then used to detect antibody binding (Fig. 1A). Consistent with the presence of immunoreactive NL-2 on the INS-1 β cell surface, the mean fluorescent signal intensity yielded by cells incubated with the anti-NL-2 antibody (the mean of the filled-in curve in Fig. 1A) was 5.5-fold greater than the mean signal yielded by cells treated with control, preimmune serum (the mean of the light gray line). The mean signal intensities yielded by control-treated cells and NL-2-treated cells are depicted by gray curve and filled-in curve, respectively.

Neurexins, which are known neuroligin binding partners, are also expressed by β cells (14, 16). To test for NL-2 binding to the β cell surface, flow cytometry was performed after incubating INS-1 β cells with the extracellular domain of NL-2 (soluble NL-2). The mean fluorescent signal yielded after treatment with soluble NL-2 protein (Fig. 1B, white arrow) was 4.3-fold above the mean control level (Fig. 1B, gray arrow), consistent with specific binding of NL-2 to a partner or partners on the cell surface. Similar results were obtained with MIN-6 β cells (data not shown). A competitive binding curve was generated to further characterize the binding of NL-2 to INS-1 cells (Fig. 1C). The resulting plot is consistent with a binding interaction between the NL-2 extracellular domain and a receptor on the INS-1 β cell surface with a dissociation constant (Kd) of 15 nm (23).

Co-culture of β Cells with Cells Expressing NL-2—To determine the effect on β cell function of contact with cells expressing NL-2, insulin secretion by dissociated primary rat islet cells and by dispersed MIN-6 and INS-1 β cells was analyzed after a 24-h period of co-culture in contact with HEK293 cells transfected with full-length NL-2 or with a control construct.

MIN-6 β cells, because of their propensity to cluster and form pseudoislets, are a proven model for investigations of the role of cell-to-cell contact in insulin secretion (2, 5). MIN-6 cells cultured on NL-2-expressing HEK293 cells secreted 2.9-fold more insulin under basal glucose conditions and 3.3-fold more insulin under stimulatory glucose conditions than MIN-6 cells co-cultured with control-transfected HEK293 cells (Fig. 2A). Co-culture of dispersed rat islet cells and INS-1 cells with NL-2-expressing HEK293 cells also increased insulin secretion under basal and stimulatory conditions, with stimulated insulin secretion increasing 51 and 31%, respectively, over secretion in control co-cultures (Fig. 2, B and C).

Glucose stimulation of insulin secretion is lower in INS-1 cells and dissociated islet cells than in intact islets, and IBMX is commonly used to enhance stimulated insulin secretion (30, 31). Fig. 2D depicts insulin secretion in INS-1 co-cultures after stimulation with glucose alone (left two columns) or after stimulation with glucose plus IMBX (right two columns). The increases in insulin secretion induced by co-culture with NL-2-expressing cells were 77 and 82%, respectively. There was some interexperimental variability in the magnitude of the increased insulin secretion induced by NL-2. The smaller effect on basal secretion, in particular, was not always statistically significant. Differences in transfection efficiencies and perhaps cell passage numbers were likely contributors. As will be shown later, NL-1, which has high sequence homology and functional overlap with NL-2 (11, 32), similarly increases insulin secretion in a transcellular manner. Cellular insulin content, in contrast to secretion, was not affected by NL-2 after 24 h (Fig. 2F).

To test whether it was necessary for NL-2 to be presented by living HEK293 cells in order for there to be an effect on insulin secretion, INS-1 β cells were cultured on monolayers of transfected HEK293 cells that had previously been fixed with paraformaldehyde (Fig. 2E). The fixed, NL-2-expressing HEK293 cells increased insulin secretion in contacting INS-1 cells.

Soluble NL-2 Inhibits Insulin Secretion—The addition of soluble, rather than clustered, forms of neuroligin or its binding partners to cultured neurons interferes with endogenous neuroligin interactions and inhibits synapse formation (12, 13, 29, 33). We next tested whether soluble neuroligin, in a parallel manner, interferes with insulin secretion. In addition to rat islets, MIN-6 cells were employed for these experiments due to their tendency to cluster into pseudoislets and their proven utility as a model for investigations of β cell-to-β cell interactions (2, 5).

Clustered MIN-6 β cells and intact rat islets were incubated with soluble NL-2 under stimulatory conditions (Fig. 3). MIN-6 cells treated with soluble NL-2 secreted 71% less insulin than cells treated with a control peptide or with soluble acetylcholinesterase, a homologous protein that lacks synaptogenic...
activity (11, 21) (Fig. 3A). Similarly, soluble NL-2 reduced insulin secretion by rat islets (Fig. 3B). The effect on insulin secretion was dose-dependent, with maximal inhibition seen at NL-2 concentrations of 100 nM and above in Fig. 3B and the resulting inhibition curve shown in Fig. 3C.

**Effects of Longer Term Co-culture**—Co-culture experiments were repeated to determine the effect of a longer, 48-h, co-culture period. In contrast to 24-h co-culture (Fig. 2F), 48-h co-culture with NL-2-expressing HEK293 cells increased total cellular insulin in INS-1 cells (Fig. 4A) and MIN-6 cells (Fig. 4B).

The increase in cellular insulin content was further investigated in INS-1 co-cultures, which exhibited a greater increase in insulin content than co-cultures with MIN-6 cells. In the 48-h co-cultures with NL-2-expressing cells, insulin mRNA levels were increased, but so were levels of all other INS-1 cell transcripts tested (supplemental Fig. S1). INS-1 cells were counted by flow cytometry to determine if increased numbers of INS-1 cells in the NL-2 co-cultures could account for this finding. INS-1 cell numbers were greater after co-culture with NL-2-expressing cells (Fig. 4C). Indicative of increased proliferation, a greater proportion of INS-1 cells in the NL-2 co-cultures was positive for the proliferation marker Ki67 (Fig. 4D) (34). Side scatter during FACS also increased in the INS-1 cells co-cultured with NL-2-expressing cells (supplemental Fig. S1). This increase in side scatter, which could have been due to increased proliferation (35), serves as further evidence of an effect on β cells of contact with NL-2-expressing cells. Although INS-1 cell numbers were increased 1.5-fold in the NL-2 co-cultures (Fig. 4C), there was a 2.4-fold increase in INS-1 cell insulin content (Fig. 4A). This suggests that both INS-1 cell number and the average insulin content of each INS-1 cell were increased. This effect on proliferation was not observed with dissociated rat islet cells (not shown).

**Neurexin Binding Is Not Necessary for Increased Insulin Secretion**—Neuroligins exert effects on neural synapse formation and function through both neurexin-dependent and neurexin-independent mechanisms (36). The proteins with which neuroligins interact to mediate their neurexin-independent functions are as yet unknown (36). Because β cells express neurexin, primarily neurexin-1α, we next asked if neurexin binding is necessary for neuroligin to cause increased insulin secretion (14, 16). Small, discrete mutations preventing the interaction of NL-2 with neurexins have not been described. In contrast, the structural basis of the interaction between NL-1 and the neurexins has been extensively characterized by mutational analysis and crystallography, and key individual NL-1 residues have been identified (18, 37, 38). The single amino acid substitution G500A involves one such residue and prevents NL-1-neurexin binding (18, 37, 38).

Because of the high degrees of sequence homology and substantial functional overlap between NL-1 and NL-2, we reasoned that, like NL-2, NL-1 would probably also increase insulin secretion (29, 32, 39). Fig. 5A shows that, as was expected, insulin secretion is increased by co-culture of β cells with NL-1-expressing HEK293 cells. We next asked whether HEK293 cells expressing NL-1 with the G500A mutation (NL-1-G500A) could also increase insulin secretion. As seen in Fig. 5B, like NL-1, NL-1-G500A increased insulin secretion in co-culture experiments. We then asked whether NL-1-G500A would interfere with endogenous neuroligin interactions, decreasing insulin secretion. As before (Fig. 3B), rat islets, in which β cells are found in their normal three-dimensional context and native 4 M. T. Miller, unpublished results.
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FIGURE 3. Soluble NL-2 inhibits insulin secretion. A, the effect of soluble NL-2 on stimulated insulin secretion was tested using MIN-6 cells incubated for 1 h in high glucose (30 mM). The first column on the left shows insulin secretion in low (3 mM) glucose. The other three columns represent insulin secretion at 30 mM glucose. The following were present in the media during the incubation: control peptide (Con; 10 μM), soluble acetylcholinesterase (AchE; 10 μM), and soluble NL-2 extracellular domain (NL-2; 10 μM). Insulin secreted into the medium was measured by radioimmunoassay and is shown as -fold stimulation over unstimulated (low glucose) secretion. B, intact islets were conditioned for 1 h in 3 mM glucose KRB buffer and were then incubated for 1 h in low (3 mM; column on far left) or in high (20 mM) glucose along with, from left to right on the graph, no additive (second column from left), 5 μM soluble acetylcholinesterase (AchE; third column from left), and NaCl-HEPES vehicle (Veh) and soluble NL-2 extracellular domain at concentrations of 5000 nM (5 μM), 100 nM, and 1 nM (last four columns on the right). C, inhibition curve depicting effect of varying concentrations of NL-2 (x axis) on rat islet insulin secretion rate (y axis). Half-maximal inhibition of insulin secretion (IC_{50}) occurs at 9 nM. Data are the mean ± S.E. (error bars) of quadruplicate samples and, in A and B, are normalized to unstimulated secretion and are representative of at least three separate experiments (*, p < 0.05; **, p < 0.01; ***, p < 0.001).

FIGURE 4. Longer term co-culture with HEK293 cells expressing NL-2 increases cellular insulin content and INS-1 cell proliferation. A, INS-1 cells were cultured for 48 h on monolayers of HEK293 cells transfected with full-length NL-2 (HEK NL-2) or with a control construct (HEK Con), and insulin levels in cell extracts were measured. A and B, insulin content of INS-1 β cells (A) and MIN-6 β cells (B) co-cultured with NL-2-expressing cells (black columns) or control-transfected cells (white columns). C, FACS analysis to count INS-1 (insulin-containing) cells showed that, after 48 h, there were ~50% more INS-1 cells in the NL-2 co-cultures (cell numbers shown normalized to number in control co-cultures). D, FACS analysis to determine the percentage of KI67-positive INS-1 cells after 48 h of co-culture with NL-2-transfected HEK293 cells (black column) or with control-transfected HEK293 cells (white column) (*, p < 0.05; ***, p < 0.001). Error bars, S.E. β cell-extracellular protein interactions are most likely to be intact and abundant, were employed. As can be seen in Fig. 5C, soluble NL-1-G500A, despite its inability to interact with neu-
The Effect of NL-2 on Insulin Secretion Occurs Distal to Glucose Sensing—Neuroligin increases neurotransmitter secretion by driving assembly of presynaptic protein complexes that mediate regulated exocytosis (11). Given the similarities between the synaptic and β cell secretory machineries, neuroligin probably exerts its effect on insulin secretion in a parallel manner, by enhancing function of the insulin secretory machinery, therefore acting downstream of glucose sensing. To test whether NL-2 increases insulin secretion via an effect distal to the β cell glucose-sensing mechanism, we asked whether stimulation of insulin secretion by forced β cell depolarization rather than by glucose would abrogate the NL-2 effect. In co-cultures with NL-2, insulin secretion driven by KCl-mediated depolarization was still increased, indicating that the effect of NL-2 occurs downstream of glucose sensing (Fig. 6A).

The functional equivalent of the presynaptic active sites of secretion in β cells are microdomains (alternatively referred to as “excitosomes,” “Ca^{2+} microdomains,” or “secretory microdomains”), where the submembrane secretory apparatus assembles beneath the plasma membrane in close proximity to voltage-gated calcium channels (43–46). Because these microdomains seem to be especially important for early phase insulin secretion, we next asked whether NL-2 affected early phase insulin secretion more than late phase (47–49). Drawing on prior studies analyzing early and late phase insulin secretion in INS-1 cells (50–53), we compared the magnitude of the effect of NL-2 on early and late phase insulin secretion by INS-1 cells by comparing the rates of insulin secretion for the first 10 min and the next 50 min after high glucose stimulation (Fig. 6B). During the period of early insulin secretion, exposure to HEK293 cells synthesizing NL-2 increased insulin secretion 1.7-fold over secretion in the control co-culture (Fig. 6B, compare columns 5 and 6). In contrast, the increase in late phase secretion in the NL-2 co-cultures compared with the control co-cultures was not statistically significant (Fig. 6B, compare columns 7 and 8).

NL-2 Affects Secretory Microdomain Assembly and/or Function—The voltage-dependent calcium channels that mediate the triggering of insulin exocytosis are few in number (IC_{50}; Fig. 3C) were both ≤15 nm, more than 700-fold lower than would be expected if neuroligin-NL-2 binding were mediating these effects (based on the K_d from supplemental Fig. S2).

FIGURE 5. Neurexin binding is not necessary for NL-1 to affect insulin secretion. A, INS-1 β cells were co-cultured with HEK293 cells transfected with neurexin-1 (NL-1 wt, lighter columns) or with a control construct (Con, darker columns). Insulin secretion was measured after 1 h in 3 mM glucose (Low), 16.75 mM glucose (High), or 16.75 mM glucose plus 100 μM IBMX (High+). In B, co-culture was with HEK293 cells expressing NL-1 with a Gly to Ala mutation at residue 500, which prevents neurexin binding (NL-1-G500A; lighter columns). Otherwise, the experiment was the same as in A. C, measurement of insulin secretion by intact rat islets incubated for 1 h at low (3 mM) glucose or at high (20 mM) glucose with either NaCl-HEPES vehicle alone (Veh; middle column) or recombinant soluble NL-1-G500A (5 μM, rightmost column) (*, p < 0.05; **, p < 0.01). Error bars, S.E.

The Effect of NL-2 on Early and Late Phase Insulin Secretion—Because these NL-2 effects occur downstream of glucose sensing, we next asked whether NL-2 affected early and late phase insulin secretion in transfected HEK293 cells (lighter columns) or control-transfected HEK293 cells (darker columns). Insulin secretion (as a percentage of total insulin content) was measured at 3 mM glucose (Low) or 20 mM glucose (High) or after direct stimulation with 30 mM KCl. INS-1 cells were co-cultured for 24 h as in A. After a 45-min preincubation at 3 mM glucose, their rate of insulin secretion into the medium was assessed for the first 10 min (early) and the next 50 min (late) after being switched into fresh medium with either 3 mM glucose (low glucose) or 20 mM glucose with IBMX (high glucose+). Asterisks immediately above the columns from the NL-2 co-cultures indicate significantly increased secretion relative to the control co-culture result in the adjacent column to the left. C, INS-1 cells were co-cultured as in A and B. In the left four columns, insulin secretion at high and low glucose was measured as in A but for 15 min instead of 1 h. In the remaining columns, insulin secretion was measured after a 15-min incubation in medium with 0.1 μM free calcium (low Ca^{2+}) or with 10 μM free calcium (high Ca^{2+}). The last four columns on the right confirm that higher free calcium alone did not stimulate insulin secretion in non-permeabilized cells. The center four columns show insulin secretion by cells first permeabilized with streptolysin-O (*, p < 0.05; **, p < 0.01). Error bars, S.E.

FIGURE 6. Extracellular NL-2 interactions affect insulin secretion distal to glucose sensing. A, INS-1 cells were co-cultured for 24 h with NL-2-transfected HEK293 cells (lighter columns) or control-transfected HEK293 cells (darker columns). Insulin secretion (as a percentage of total insulin content) was measured at 3 mM glucose (Low) or 20 mM glucose (High) or after direct stimulation with 30 mM KCl. B, INS-1 cells were co-cultured for 24 h as in A. After a 45-min preincubation at 3 mM glucose, their rate of insulin secretion into the medium was assessed for the first 10 min (early) and the next 50 min (late) after being switched into fresh medium with either 3 mM glucose (low glucose) or 20 mM glucose with IBMX (high glucose+). Asterisks immediately above the columns from the NL-2 co-cultures indicate significantly increased secretion relative to the control co-culture result in the adjacent column to the left. C, INS-1 cells were co-cultured as in A and B. In the left four columns, insulin secretion at high and low glucose was measured as in A but for 15 min instead of 1 h. In the remaining columns, insulin secretion was measured after a 15-min incubation in medium with 0.1 μM free calcium (low Ca^{2+}) or with 10 μM free calcium (high Ca^{2+}). The last four columns on the right confirm that higher free calcium alone did not stimulate insulin secretion in non-permeabilized cells. The center four columns show insulin secretion by cells first permeabilized with streptolysin-O (*, p < 0.05; **, p < 0.01). Error bars, S.E.
secretory microdomains), insulin secretion after Ca\(^{2+}\) entry through experimentally induced pores in the β cell membrane was measured. In INS-1 cells permeabilized by streptolysin-O treatment, high Ca\(^{2+}\), as expected, triggered insulin release. The increased insulin secretion normally seen after co-culture with NL-2-expressing HEK293 cells, however, was abolished (Fig. 6C).

We next asked whether NL-2, analogous to its role in inducing assembly of the presynaptic secretory machinery in axonal nerve terminals, enhances β cell secretory microdomain assembly. Secretory microdomain assembly includes submembrane localization and clustering of t-SNAREs, such as syntaxin-1A, and other exocytic proteins (49, 54, 55). Neuroligin-induced assembly of the active zones of exocytosis in neuronal processes can be quantitatively assessed by analysis of presynaptic secretory protein clustering (27–29). As has been described, secretory protein clustering is reflected by the punctateness (intensity distribution) of secretory protein immunofluorescent staining; increased protein clustering increases the intensity of the immunofluorescent signal at the punctate sites of staining representing clustered proteins (27–29). We adapted this approach, as illustrated in supplemental Fig. S3, to assess syntaxin-1A clustering in co-cultured INS-1 β cells. Transfection of HEK293 cells with NL-2 or with a control protein yielded confluent lawns of HEK293 cells with regional variation in the fraction of successfully transfected cells (Fig. 7A and supplemental Fig. S3). After a 24-h period of co-culture, syntaxin-1A, present in the INS-1 β cells but not the HEK293 cells, was detected by immunofluorescence (Fig. 7B). As in prior studies of neuroligin function assessing presynaptic synapsin clustering (27, 29), the immunofluorescent signal intensity of syntaxin-1A-positive sites was measured (Fig. 7C). The area covered by HEK293 cells expressing transfected protein was measured at the same time. In the NL-2 co-cultures, the mean syntaxin-1A intensity in any given region within a culture dish increased proportionally with the extent of NL-2 expression by the HEK293 cells within that region (Fig. 7E; see also supplemental Fig. S3). This relationship was absent in the control co-cultures; changes in the proportion of HEK293 cells expressing the control protein did not affect the mean syntaxin-1A intensity (Fig. 7F). Furthermore, an increase in overall mean syntaxin-1A intensity was detected in NL-2 co-cultures as compared with control co-cultures (Fig. 7D). This increase was significant, although its magnitude may have been muted by the limited surface area of the INS-1 cells that came directly into contact with the NL-2-expressing HEK293 cells.

Changes in microdomain formation induced by contact with NL-2-expressing cells could affect the number of insulin granules docked at the β cell membrane. The co-culture system, however, is not amenable to EM, which would be necessary to analyze insulin granule docking, and it would be very challenging, in any case, to identify sites on electron micrographs where β cells and transfected HEK293 cells came into contact. Instead, to test whether altered NL-2 expression affects insulin granule docking, we analyzed granule docking in islets from NL-2 knock-out mice (supplemental Fig. S4; a more complete investigation of the effect of NL-2 knockout on islet function is pending) (32). Using EM, we found that the proportion of docked insulin granules was reduced by 33% in NL-2 KO β cells with no change in mean granule number per cell or granule density (Fig. S4).
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DISCUSSION

These experiments demonstrate that extracellular NL-2 interactions play an integral role in β cell function. Together with our prior findings regarding NL-2, particularly that NL-2 overexpression increases and knockdown decreases insulin secretion by rat islet and INS-1 β cells (14), this study reveals for the first time that NL-2 helps guide secretory function at a tissue site other than the CNS. As in the synapse, NL-2 functions via a transcellular mechanism, providing a means by which β cells can influence the insulin secretory function of other, neighboring β cells. NL-2 in the CNS interacts with proteins across the synaptic cleft to promote and maintain assembly of the presynaptic exocytotic machinery (11–13). Our results are consistent with NL-2 similarly acting in a transcellular manner to promote the assembly of submembrane secretory sites in β cells.

As in neurons, transcellular neuroligin activity is dependent on neuroligin being presented on a cell surface, most likely in a clustered fashion, rather than free in solution. Treatment of β cells with soluble NL-2 impaired insulin secretion. This result parallels the outcomes of similar experiments in neuronal cocultures, in which the addition of soluble proteins to the culture media disrupted endogenous neuroligin interactions and inhibited synaptogenesis (12, 13, 29). NL-2 binds to a partner on the β cell surface with nanomolar affinity. As would be expected if this binding interaction were responsible for the effect of NL-2 on insulin secretion, the concentration of half-maximal binding \( K_d \sim 15 \text{ nM} \) was approximately the same as the concentration at which half-maximal inhibition of insulin secretion occurred \( (IC_{50} \sim 9 \text{ nM}) \).

In the CNS, neuroligins exert their effects in both a neuroligin-dependent and neurexin-independent fashion (36). Although β cells express neurexin (14, 16), the increased insulin secretion brought about by neuroligin is probably not dependent on neurexin binding. Two observations provide evidence for this. First, NL-1 mutated to prevent neurexin binding can nevertheless drive increased insulin secretion in co-culture or, in soluble form, disrupt the endogenous neuroligin interactions that facilitate insulin secretion. Second, the affinity of NL-2 for neurexin is low, with a \( K_d \) much higher than either the \( IC_{50} \) of the soluble NL-2 affect on insulin secretion or the observed \( K_d \) for the binding of NL-2 to the β cell surface. This suggests that the effect of NL-2 on insulin secretion is driven by a binding interaction of much higher affinity than that associated with NL-2-neurexin binding.

We recently showed that neurexin-1α is essential for insulin granule docking and that decreased neurexin expression increases insulin secretion (16). Because of this, experiments directly probing the role of NL-2-neurexin interactions by knocking down neurexin would be confounded by the resulting defects in secretory granule docking and abnormally elevated insulin secretion. Also, because β cells express extracellular neurexin binding partners other than neuroligin, we would have been unable to attribute any effects caused by incubation of β cells with soluble neurexin or by neurexin knockdown specifically to interference with neuroligin-neurexin interactions (16).

Our interest in NL-2 was sparked by the observation that in neuronal co-cultures, NL-2 drives assembly of the presynaptic active zone (11, 29). Of particular interest, NL-2 induces specifically inhibitory synapse formation. This is significant because β cells express unique inhibitory synaptic proteins and contain the major inhibitory neurotransmitter GABA (11, 56, 57). It is tempting to speculate that the presence of inhibitory synaptic proteins in β cells is due to an influence of NL-2 on β cell maturation. Further work will be necessary to determine the role of NL-2 in β cell differentiation.

Overall, our findings reveal that our hypothesis that NL-2 functions in a transcellular manner in β cells is correct, adding to our understanding of why β cell-β cell contact enhances insulin secretion. Subsets of neuroligins and neurexins are reportedly also expressed within the vascular system (58). Although we did not observe these proteins in pancreatic tissue outside of the β cells, it is nevertheless possible that they may also be present in islet capillaries and hence able to mediate interactions between the islet vasculature and β cells (14). In addition to enhancing insulin secretion, longer exposure to NL-2 increased INS-1 β cell, but not primary rat islet β cell, proliferation. The significance of this effect on proliferation is uncertain.

The increases in insulin secretion observed in the co-culture experiments were probably limited by the extent of cell-cell contact that could be achieved by placing dispersed β cells into contact with a monolayer of HEK293 cells. Contacting more of the β cell surface with NL-2 may have resulted in greater increases in insulin secretion. The extent of β cell contact with NL-2-expressing cells was also limited by the necessity of using transient transfection to induce NL-2 expression (an adequate stably transfected line could not be generated). Transient transfection efficiencies (percentage of cells transfected) were always less than 100%, further limiting contact of β cells with HEK293 cell surface NL-2 (59).

The β cell surface secretory microdomains are sites where t-SNARE protein assemblies preferentially localize and where the highest levels of stimulatory Ca\(^{2+}\) influx and first phase insulin release occur (43–46). The β cell secretory microdomains can be thought of as the functional equivalent of the presynaptic active zone. This analogy is strengthened by the evidence we have presented here indicating that secretory microdomain formation and/or function, like that of the presynaptic active zone, is enhanced by transcellular neuroligin interactions. There may be other, as yet unidentified, membrane domains that serve as the equivalent of postsynaptic sites. The presence of β cell presynaptic-like and postsynaptic-like plasma membrane domains would allow segregation of neuroligin from its extracellular binding partner(s), thus facilitating transcellular interactions. That EphA5 and ephrin-A5 segregate to separate sites on the β cell membrane is evidence that such discrete domains exist (7).

In summary, these results show that neuroligin engages in transcellular interactions that enhance insulin secretion by β cells. Our findings suggest that the close parallels that exist between the β cell and synaptic secretory machineries extend beyond the well studied sub-plasma membrane secretory protein complexes and into extracellular sites of β cell-β cell con-
tact. Extracellular NL-2 interactions help determine β cell insulin secretory capacity, probably stabilizing and/or promoting the assembly of the secretory machinery within the β cell secretory microdomains. Our data suggest that interaction with neuromi is not necessary in order for neuroligin to increase insulin secretion. If protein-protein interactions between β cells resemble those across the synaptic cleft, other extracellular protein interactions affecting insulin secretion will most likely be found. Future studies are needed to elucidate whether neuroligin plays a role in β cell differentiation and whether neuroligin or downstream molecules might be useful targets for treating the impaired insulin secretion associated with diabetes.
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