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Abstract
The aim of this study was to evaluate the performance and profitability of improved bread wheat varieties at Seruanketo kebele of Hitosa district, Arsi Zone. Along with their management practices under farmers’ Training center (FTC) field to enhance farmers’ knowledge and skill on bread wheat production performance and it profitability. The varities used to demonstrate were Four improved bread wheat varieties namely DAKA, Wane(check), Lemu & Honkolo. According to the results, an average yield of 52 qt/ha, 40 qt/ha 36 qt/ha and 30qt/ha was harvested from DAKA, Honkolo, Wane & Lemu varieties respectively. DAKA variety had a 44.4 % yield advantage over the standard check (Wane) and Honkolo had a 11.1% yield advantage over the standard check and the variety Lemu had a negative -16.6% yield loss or below the standard check and the variety Lemu had a (50,671 Birr/ha) lowest net benefit. Economic analysis showed that DAKA had the highest net benefit (110,647 Birr/ha) followed by Honkolo (77046.75 Birr/ha), Wane (66171 Birr/ha) and variety Lemu had a (50,671 Birr/ha) lowest net benefit. Based on the rules of decision making and the yield advantages on the bread wheat varieties the tested varieties met the requirements for recommendation, Therefore, DAKA and Honkolo varieties in addition to Wane (the standard check) were recommended for Seruanketo kebele and other areas with similar agro-ecological conditions in the South eastern districts.
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Introduction
Wheat (Triticumaestivum L.) is one of the most important staple food crops in the world Dereje Dobocha (2019). It is grown in a wider range of altitude between 1500 to 3000 meter above sea level m.a.s.l and best suitable agro-ecological zones, ranges between 1900 and 2700 m.a.s.l. Wheat is known to be a major source of energy and protein Tesfaye Gemechu (2018). It is the fourth largest cereal crop produced by about 5 million smallholder farmers, which is more than 1/3 of all small holder farmers in Ethiopia Wuletaw Tadesse (2018).

Among the crops bread wheat (Triticum aestivum L) makes a significant contributions for both agricultural development and food security(Asrat 2020). At the global level with a production of 750 million tons (MT) on about 220 million hectares (Mha) in 2017 and Africa produces more than 25 million tons of wheat on 10 Mha Wuletaw Tadesse (2018).

The major wheat producing countries in Sub Saharan African (SAA) are Ethiopia, South Africa, Sudan, Kenya, Tanzania, Nigeria, Zimbabwe and Zambia in descending order. Ethiopia accounts for the largest production area (1.7 Mha) followed by South Africa (0.5 Mha)Wuletaw Tadesse (2018).After South Africa, Ethiopia is the second largest wheat producer in sub-Saharan Africa Solomon Yokamo (2018). Asmamaw Demil (2020). The major wheat producing areas in Ethiopia are located in Ars, Bale, Shewa, Ilubabor, Western Harehe, Sidamo, Tigray, (Bekele Gemechu 2018). Although the country is the major Samuel Gebreselassie (2017) producer in sub Saharan Africa it is still reliant on foreign wheat import to satisfy its demands.

The national average of wheat yield of Ethiopia is around 2.9 t/ha (CSA 2019), which is far below from potential yields of the crops from research field which is over 5 t/ha. To minimize this problem and enhance production and productivity efforts were made by the research and extension system of the country by releasing and demonstrating improved varieties along with their management practices. Additionally, the yield gap of 2 t/ha indicates the potential for increasing productivity of wheat production through utilization of agricultural inputs, including quality seed of the improved varieties.

To fill agricultural technology gap, now days, the Ethiopian Institute of Agricultural Research (EIAR) remains the primary agricultural research agency took the responsibility for generation of agricultural technology (Samuel Gebreselassie 2017).

Generating and adapting high yielding, disease tolerant and relatively stable varieties that can meet increasing Bread Wheat seed & contribute to improve production and productivity & finally pave the way for
import substitutions are very important.

Generally promoting the newly released varieties with their full recommendation package is to enable farmers to select the best fit & profitable varieties of their interest. To achieve this, Kulumsa Agricultural Research Center (KARC) has been promoting high yielder varieties of Bread Wheat under farmers Training Center (FTC) demonstration field by pre-extension demonstration (PED) approach to potential area of Hitosa District of Arsi zone, in 2019/20 cropping season with the objectives to evaluate the performance and profitability of bread wheat varieties under Farmers Training Center (FTC).

MATERIAL AND METHODS
Description of the Study Areas
The study was conducted in Hitosa district of Arsi zone. Arsi zone is one the Administrative zones of Oromia regional state, Ethiopia. Assela town is serving as the capital town of the zone. There are 25 districts within the zone among which Hitosa district is the study district where this demonstration activity took place. Hitosa district is located at 150 km from the capital city of Ethiopia, Addis Ababa and 25 km from zonal capital Assela.(Wikipedia 2020). The altitude of this woreda ranges from 1500 to 4170 meters above sea level(Wikipedia 2020).

Hitosa has 23 Kebele’s among which one kebele namely Seruanketo was used for this study. The major crops produced are wheat, barley, teff and maize and Onions and potatoes are important cash crops(HOANR 2019).It has an Latitude (DMS) of 8° 04' 60.00" N and Longitude (DMS) 39° 14' 60.00" E.(Wikipedia 2020).

Demonstration field Site Selection
The demonstration was conducted in selected Kebele of Hitosa district of Arsi zone. one kebele from the district (seruanketo) was selected based on its wheat production potential. From the kebele in collaboration with agricultural experts one farmers training centers (FTC) was selected based on the availability of enough land and furnished (fenced) FTC for the trial establishment.

Then land preparation was implemented with using machine and four varieties were selected for trial based on recent releasing. Then the varieties planted on 10m*10m for each variety.

Packaged production technologies (seed rate, spacing, fertilizer management and weed management) recommended for the bread wheat production was used to establish the trials.

Four improved varieties of Seeds were sown at the recommended rate of 150 kg ha-1 in rows. Urea (46 % N) was used as a source of nitrogen fertilizer. 2/3 of N fertilizer was applied within the rows as basal application at planting. The remaining 1/3 dose of nitrogen fertilizer was top-dressed at tillering stage. Beside this NPS fertilizer was applied at the rate of 121 kg ha-1. Plots were kept free of weeds. Field visits at different stage of the crop were arranged and one field day was organized at seruanketo kabala to evaluate the performance of the verities and to collect farmer’s feedback and finally actual yield was harvested and recorded.

Planting Material
Four adaptable bread wheat varieties (DAKA,Wane,Lemu &Honkolo) were used. Planting material (Seed) were prepared in advance from Kulumsa Agricultural Research center (May 2019).

| Characteristics | DAKA(ETBW 7638) | Wane(ETBW 6130) | Lemu (ETBW 6861) | HONQOLO (ETBW 5879) |
|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Adaptation area | Mid to lowland  | Mid to high land| High land       | High land       |
| Days to maturity| 75              | 125             | 140             | 115             |
| Altitude (m.a.s.l)| 1600 - 2200 | 2100 - 2700 | >2200           | 2200 - 2850     |
| Rainfall (mm)   | 500 - 800       | 700 - 1000      | 800 - 1100      | 750 - 1200      |
| Year of release | 2018            | 2016            | 2016            | 2014            |
| Yield potential (t/ha): at Research field | 5.6 | 5-6 | 5.5 -6.5 | 3.5 – 6.3 |

Technology Gap and Technology Index
For this study technology gap and index were also calculated. The technology gap shows the gap in the demonstration yield over potential yield.

As mentioned in (Tesfaye Gemechu 2018),The observed technology gap is attributed to mismatch in agro ecologies factors and other variability of weather conditions. Its contribution is to narrow down the gap between the yields of different varieties, and to provide location specific recommendations.

Moreover, the yield gaps can be further categorized into technology index which is used to show the feasibility of the variety at the Farmers Training Center (FTC).

The lower the value of technology indexes the more the feasibility of the varieties. To this end, the
Data Collected
To compare the productivity of varieties, actual grain yield was collected. In addition to this, costs and income gained from the trial were collected and manipulated to the farmer’s context again to understand the farmer’s perception towards varieties. A Likert-like scale was applied to analyses the collected data.

Data Analysis
The collected actual grain yield and financial data was analyzed using SPSS ver 20 and presented in tables. The technology gap and technology index were calculated using the formulas as given by Maitra (2000), as shown above.

RESULT AND DISCUSSION
Yield Performance of the Varieties Demonstrated at FTC demonstration field (at Seruanketo kabale).

As indicated in Table 1 shows the analysis result on actual yield performance of the varieties demonstrated in the district. According to the result a yield of 52qt/ha, 40qt/ha, 36qt/ha and 30qt/ha were harvested from DAKA, Honkolo, Wane & Lemu varieties respectively.

Table 3 Technology gap and index of DAKA, Honkolo, Lemu & Wane bread wheat varieties at Hitosa district

| Parameters                  | Bread wheat varieties |
|-----------------------------|-----------------------|
|                            | DAKA  | Honkolo | Wane | Lemu |
| Yield potential (qt/ha): at Research field | 56    | 35–63   | 50-60 | 55-65 |
| Yield harvested (qt/ha) at study area | 52    | 40      | 36    | 30   |
| Yield gap (qt/ha)           | 4     | 23      | 24    | 35   |
| Technology index (%)        | 7.1   | 36.5    | 40    | 53.8 |

As it can be calculated from the above table 1, the average technology index percentage is 7.1% for DAKA variety, 36.5% for Honkolo variety, 40% for Wane variety, 53.8% for Lemu variety. The lower the percentage of technology index the higher will be the option for the production.

Again the yield gap analysis indicates that there is a wide difference average among all varieties in their average yield gap and yield performance between this demonstration and the potential of the varieties, having 4 qt/ha, 23 qt/ha, 24 qt/ha, 35 qt/ha and 40 qt/ha for DAKA, Honkolo Wane & Lemu respectively. This indicates that the varieties DAKA, Honkolo, Wane & Lemu are the first and second option of varieties for farmers respectively and all are feasible if produced in the study areas & other areas having similar agro-ecologies.

Yield advantage of the varieties Under Farmers training center (FTC) from demonstration plot at the study area in 2019 cropping season

Yield advantage % = \( \frac{\text{Yield of new variety (qt/ha)} - \text{Yield of standard check (qt/ha)}}{\text{Yield of standard check (qt/ha)}} \times 100 \)

Yield advantage % for DAKA = \( \frac{52\text{ qt/ha} - 36\text{ qt/ha}}{36\text{ qt/ha}} \times 100 = 44.4\% \)

Yield advantage % for Honkolo = \( \frac{40\text{ qt/ha} - 36\text{ qt/ha}}{36\text{ qt/ha}} \times 100 = 11.1\% \)

Yield advantage % for Lemu = \( \frac{30\text{ qt/ha} - 36\text{ qt/ha}}{36\text{ qt/ha}} \times 100 = -16.6\% \)

The overall harvested mean yield of DAKA, Honkolo & Lemu was 52qt/ha, 40qt/ha and 30qt/ha respectively. The yield advantage of DAKA variety over the standard check (wane) variety was 44.4%, again the yield advantage of the variety Honkolo over the standard check (wane) was 11.1% and the yield advantage of the variety Lemu over the standard check was -16.6% which was below the check.

1. FINANCIAL ANALYSIS

In terms of profitability, the financial analysis result show that an average return of 110,647 Birr, 77046.75 Birr, 66171 Birr, 50671 Birr per hectare can be gained from DAKA, Honkolo, Wane & Lemu varieties respectively in 2019 cropping season in the study areas.
### Table 4 cost benefit analysis

| Parameters                        | varieties                      |
|-----------------------------------|--------------------------------|
|                                   | DAKA  | Honkolo | Wane   | Lemu   |
| Yield qt/ha (Y)                   | 52    | 40      | 36     | 30     |
| Price (P) per quintal             | 3100  | 3100    | 3050   | 3050   |
| Total Revenue (TR) = TR = YxP     | 161200| 124000  | 109800 | 91500  |
| Variable costs                    |       |         |        |        |
| Seed cost                         | 2760  | 2760    | 2760   | 2760   |
| Fertilizer cost                   | 3200  | 2600    | 1600   | 2400   |
| Chemicals                         | 11193.3 | 11193.25| 9969   | 9969   |
| labor cost                        | 2500  | 2100    | 2200   | 1900   |
| Combiner harvesting               | 2600  | 2000    | 1800   | 1500   |
| Cost of transport, sacks          | 300   | 300     | 300    | 300    |
| Total variable costs (TVC)        | 22553.3 | 20953.3 | 18629  | 18829  |
| Fixed costs                       |       |         |        |        |
| Cost of land                      | 28000 | 26000   | 25000  | 22000  |
| Total fixed costs (TFC)           | 28000 | 26000   | 25000  | 22000  |
| Total Cost (TC) = TVC+TFC         | 50553.3 | 46953.25| 43629  | 40829  |
| Gross Margin (GM) = TR TVC        | 138,647 | 103046.75 | 91171 | 72671  |
| Profit in ETH birr= GM-TFC        | 110,647 | 77046.75 | 66171 | 50671  |

### CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION
The results indicated that all varieties demonstrated gave promising yield having 7.1%, 36.5%, 40% and 53.8 yield advantage of the varieties DAKA, Honkolo, Wane & Lemu varieties respectively. Furthermore, all varieties were evaluated in their economic return. The results indicate that all Varieties are profitable. The farmers can earn

- 110,647 Ethiopian birr from producing DAKA variety,
- 77,046.75 Ethiopian birr from producing Honkolo variety,
- 66,171 Ethiopian birr from producing Wane variety and
- 50,671 Ethiopian birr from producing Lemu variety

Therefore, based on this result the author recommend producing DAKA, & Honkolo as the first and the second options for production of bread wheat varieties.

Eventhough, producing the variety Lemu can earn 50,671 Ethiopian birr but the yield advantage over the standard check (wane) was negative, therefore, the authors recommended not to produce the variety Lemu at the study area and other areas that have similar agro ecologies.

In addition the technology index and yield gap analysis also shows as the varieties are feasible for farmers in the study area if scaled up in large size land. The varieties DAKA, Honkolo& Wane As the first, second and third options in the study areas and its surrounding having similar agro ecologies.

Therefore, all varieties can be used for further scaling up activities in Hitosa district and areas which have similar agro ecology like Hitosa district by considering its yield advantage and profitability.
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