Quality of Life after Transcatheter Arterial Chemoembolization Combined with Radiofrequency Ablation in Patients with Unresectable Hepatocellular Carcinoma Compared with Transcatheter Arterial Chemoembolization alone

Taha M Hassanin1*, Yasser Fouad1, Alshymaa Hassnine1, Mohamad Eisawy2, Naglaa Farag3, Wael Abdel Ghany1

Abstract

Aim: The aim of this study was to assess quality of life (QoL) in patients with unresectable hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) after transcatheter arterial chemoembolization (TACE) compared to TACE plus radiofrequency ablation (RFA) done at the same sitting, and to assess tumor therapy response after these 2 palliative interventions. Methods: 73 patients with unresectable HCC (BCLC-B) were included. Patients with tumor ≤ 5 cm were subjected to TACE (N = 45) while patients with tumors > 5 cm were subjected to TACE followed immediately by RFA (N = 28). QoL was evaluated with two validated questionnaires (EORTC QLQ-30 and EORTC HCC18). These questionnaires were filled out before intervention, 2 weeks and 2 months after intervention. Pre/post interventional changes were analyzed. The modified response evaluation criteria in solid tumor (mRECIST) were employed for the evaluation of therapeutic efficacy. Results: Baseline global health status/QoL was significantly higher in TACE group (64.1%) compared to TACE-RFA group (51.2%). Two weeks after intervention: the absolute decrease in global health state was higher in TACE-RFA (- 12.1%) compared to TACE (- 6.3%, p = 0.411). Less impairment was found in TACE group compared to TACE-RFA group for physical/social functioning, fatigue and pain but it was statistically insignificant. Two months after intervention; TACE-RFA group showed significant improvement in global health score, social and physical functioning scores, as well as significant improvement in pain and fatigue compared to TACE group. The therapeutic efficacy of TACE-RFA was better than TACE alone: complete remission, partial remission, stable disease and progressive disease were 17.9%, 32.1%, 42.9% and 7.1% Vs11.1%, 22.2%, 48.9% and 17.8%, respectively. Conclusion: Neither TACE nor TACE-RFA showed a significant decrease in QoL in patients with unresectable HCC two weeks after intervention. However, two months after intervention; TACE-RFA showed significant improvement in global health score compared to TACE monotherapy. TACE-RFA appeared safe, effective and more favorable than TACE monotherapy.
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Introduction

Hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) is the most common primary liver cancer, representing the sixth leading cause of cancer and the third leading cause of cancer-related mortality (Raoul et al., 2019). Curative therapies like surgical resection or liver transplant are not feasible for most HCC patients as they are often diagnosed when the disease is advanced. Radiofrequency ablation (RFA) and transarterial chemoembolization (TACE) are used in these patients to prolong life and/or alleviate the cancer symptoms but the overall survival remains poor (Shipra et al., 2014). RFA has been widely approved as a therapeutic modality for small HCC (Sangiovanni et al., 2004; Torre et al., 2015). The complete response induced by RFA in such cases can provide a survival rate that is comparable to hepatic resection (Shiina et al., 2005), however, its therapeutic effect in larger tumors is unsatisfactory owing to the limited coagulative necrotic effect (N’Kontchou et al., 2009; Lencioni, 2010). To overcome this problem, TACE have been introduced especially for the intermediate-stage HCC (Livraghi et al., 2000; McGhana and Dodd, 2001), but complete necrosis is rarely induced by TACE alone due to incomplete
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embolization or tumor new angiogenesis. So, the combined use of TACE and RFA was tried and showed a synergistic effect that reduces the local progression rate, reduces the recurrence-free survival rate and improves the overall survival in patients with HCC, without significant difference in major complications (Takaki et al., 2009; Min et al., 2013; Wang et al., 2016; Yang et al., 2017). TACE prior to RFA is beneficial for several reasons: a. TACE can improve the effect of RFA thermal coagulation through reducing the cooling effect of hepatic blood flow, b. TACE can lead to ischemic edema, which may enlarge the area of tumor necrosis induced by RFA, c. TACE can reduce the portal venous flow by filling the peripheral portal vein around the tumor, and prevent HCC patients from the portal vein invasion (Seki et al., 2000). Most of cancer therapy researches focus primarily on survival time without significant stress on quality of life (QoL) while nearly 95% of patients with advanced cancer consider a good QoL as important as a long life (Meropol et al., 2003). QoL has been proven to be a valuable parameter and is considered to be as important as overall survival and tumor-free survival for cancer patients (Han et al., 2014) and may be more relevant than length of life, as patients are often more concerned about quality-life than longevity (Qiao et al., 2012). There are multiple questionnaires used to assess the impact of cancer therapy on QoL as a primary or secondary endpoint. Among the most used cancer-specific questionnaires are the European Organization for Research and Treatment of Cancer Quality of Life Questionnaire-Core 30 (EORTC QLQ-C30) (Aaronson et al., 1993) and the EORTC QLQ-HCC18 which is a specific QoL questionnaire for patients with primary liver cancer (Blazey et al., 2004). According to Barcelona Clinic Liver Cancer (BCLC) HCC staging system, TACE should be considered for patients with intermediate stage of HCC (BCLC-B) (Marrero et al., 2005). The aim of this study was to assess QoL after TACE compared to TACE followed immediately by RFA in patients with unresectable HCC, and to assess tumor response to therapy after these 2 palliative interventions.

Materials and Methods

Patients and Methods

This is a prospective nonrandomized single center study in consecutive HCC patients presented at Minia University Hospital, Endemic Medicine Department in collaboration with Interventional Radiology Department. Diagnosis of HCC was confirmed by combination of raised α-fetoprotein (AFP) with typical radiological findings with ultrasonography plus triphasic computed tomography or magnetic resonant imaging. Inclusion criteria included: HCC patient with no more than 3 hepatic focal lesions and the patient can complete the questionnaires. Patients were excluded if they had history of other malignancy, encephalopathy, portal vein thrombosis, distant metastasis or cognitive impairment. The study was approved by the institutional ethics committee. Informed consent was obtained in every case.

Study design

Every participant filled out the EORTC QLQ-C30 as core questionnaire for cancer disease, and the QLQ-HCC18 questionnaire specially developed for HCC patients one to 3 days before intervention then 2 weeks and 2 months after the intervention. These 2 questionnaires were refilled out after 2 weeks to avoid the bias of post-embolization syndrome occurring within 1 week after intervention. Smaller tumors (≤ 5 cm) were treated by TACE (TACE group, 45 patients) and larger ones (> 5 cm) were treated by TACE followed immediately by RFA at the same sitting (TACE-RFA group, 28 patients). Two hepatology consultants made the therapy decision based on clinical evaluation, imaging studies, comorbidities and BCLC scheme. Lab investigations including liver enzymes, coagulation profile and blood cell count as well as imaging studies were performed before and after interventional therapy. Imaging: abdominal ultrasound was done as a routine followed by contrast enhanced computer tomography (CT) or magnetic resonant imaging (MRI) were obtained before intervention (within two weeks) and follow-up imaging was performed 2 months after intervention.

TACE Technique

Percutaneous transarterial access was established through a common femoral artery. Afterwards, celiac and superior mesenteric arteriographies were obtained to confirm portal vein patency and identify accessory arteries. Then, TACE was performed by selectively introducing a catheter into the right or left hepatic artery or a segmental branch of the hepatic artery and injecting a mixture of an iodized oil (4–6 mL, Lipiodol) and chemotherapeutic drugs (mitomycin and doxorubicin) through the main feeding artery under fluoroscopic guidance until the entire tumor became uniformly opacified followed by gelatin sponge particles (Gelfoam). For TACE-RFA group: TACE was performed first, then plain CT was performed immediately and then precise RFA targeted the lesions with poor lipiodol deposition.

RFA Technique

A radiofrequency generator working at frequency of 400 KHz, and a RFA electrode needle were used. Under ultrasound guidance, the most optimal puncture site was selected and marked. After local anesthesia infiltration, the electrode needle puncture into the tumor was performed and radio frequency treatment was deployed for 5-10 min with the electrode penetrating the center of tumor, with 1 cm safety margin, caution was considered to avoid large blood vessels or the intrahepatic bile duct. During procedure, ultrasound was used to monitor echo changes in the ablation area, and we adjusted the electrode position until the entire tumor echo was enhanced. Finally, we performed needle tract cauterization to stop bleeding and prevent tract seeding.

QoL assessment

EORTC QLQ-C30 is a cancer-specific 30-item questionnaire composed of multiple items and these items are grouped into 9 domains and 6 single items.
It incorporates 5 functional domains (physical, role, cognitive, emotional and social), 3 symptom domains (fatigue, pain, and nausea/vomiting) and a global health and QoL domain. The remaining 6 single items assess additional 5 symptoms commonly reported by cancer patients (dyspnea, appetite loss, sleep disturbance, constipation and diarrhea) as well as perceived financial problem (Aaronson et al., 1993). All domains and scales were converted to scores ranging from 0 to 100 according to the scoring manual. A higher score for a functional or global health QoL scale represents a relatively higher/healthier level of functioning or global QoL, while, high scores on the symptom scales/single items indicate worse levels of health state (Fayers, 1999). EORTC QLQ-HCC18 includes 18 multi-item scales. These items are grouped into 6 domains namely fatigue, body image, jaundice, nutrition, pain and fever. The two remaining single items are abdominal swelling and sex life. All scales were grouped and converted to score from 0 to 100 according to the scoring manual; a higher score represents a more severe symptom or problem (Blazeby et al., 2004). QoL was compared using these score changes before and 2 weeks then 2 months after intervention.

Evaluation of therapeutic efficacy

The modified response evaluation criteria in solid tumor (mRECIST) were employed for the evaluation of therapeutic efficacy. Based on findings from enhanced MRI or CT before and 2 months after intervention, the therapeutic efficacy was evaluated. Evaluation was performed as follows: a. complete remission (CR): all the target lesions disappeared; b. partial remission (PR): the maximal diameter was reduced by at least 30% as compared to that at baseline; c. disease progression (PD): the maximal diameter increased by 20% as compared to that at baseline, or one or more lesions were observed; d. stable disease (SD): the condition between PR and PD (Lencioni and Llovet, 2010). The efficacy (response rate) was calculated as CR + PR.

Statistics

Statistical analysis was performed using IBM SPSS Statistics (version 20, USA). Statistical significance was compared by Mann–Whitney U test for characteristics on ordinal scale and by X2 for those on interval scale. P value ≤ 0.05 was considered significant

### Results

A total of 110 patients who met the inclusion criteria (BCLC-B) were included in this study. Thirty-seven patients were excluded as they refused/unable to complete the questionnaires or lost during the follow up period. Only 73 patients had completed the QoL questionnaire data and were included for analysis. The median age at diagnosis was 60, the majority were males (80.8%). Almost all patients were post hepatitis C virus (HCV) infection (70 cases), only 3 cases had dual HCV and HBV infection. More than 61% of patients was of Child-Pugh class B. Basic Characteristics of all patients are shown in Table 1. TACE or TACE-RFA were performed smoothly in all the patients with a success rate of 100%. Intra and post-operative severe complications (like severe bleeding or perforation) were not observed. Minor complications like mild to moderate pain, fever, nausea or vomiting had occurred and were insignificantly higher in TACE-RFA group than in TACE group and all disappeared within 2-4 days on symptomatic treatment. Table 2 showed the Mean scores of QLQ-C30 and QLQ-HCC18 scales before intervention. The mean percent of pre-interventional global health status/QoL in TACE-RFA group was significantly lower compared to TACE group (51.2% Vs 64.1%, p = 0.027). Apart from cognitive functioning and social functioning, TACE-RFA group showed significant lower scores in the other functional scales. TACE-RFA group showed significant higher scores for fatigue, nausea/vomiting, pain and appetite loss in the QLQ-C30 symptom scales. Other items of symptom scales of QLQ-C30 scales were higher in TACE-RFA group compared to TACE group but statistically insignificant. Regarding QLQ-HCC18 symptom scales, TACE-RFA group showed significantly higher scores for pain, fatigue, and nutrition problems. In general, TACE-RFA group showed higher scores for symptom scales in both questionnaires.

Table 3 showed the changes in QoL 2 weeks after intervention: Regarding to pre-/post-changes in EORTC QLQ-C30, TACE-RFA group showed a higher but statistically insignificant decrease in all functional scales.
comparing TACE group. The mean decrease in global health status/QoL was higher in TACE-RFA group (-12.1%) compared to TACE group (-6.3%), which was, however, statistically insignificant (p = 0.411). Also, all symptoms scales showed increased but statistically insignificant increase in TACE-RFA group compared to TACE group except the absolute increase in fatigue which was significantly higher in TACE-RFA group compared to TACE group (+23.8% Vs +13.1%, p = 0.041). For EORTC-HCC18, all symptoms and single item scales showed higher but statistically insignificant increase in TACE-RFA group compared to TACE group. The highest pre-/post changes for TACE group were observed in dyspnea, fatigue, role functioning and social functioning, while the highest pre-/post changes for TACE-RFA group were observed in fatigue, appetite loss, dyspnea, and physical functioning.

Table 4 showed the changes in QoL 2 months after intervention: generally, there was improvement of all functional scales and symptom scales in both questionnaires but the TACE-RFA group showed significant increase in global health state, physical and social functioning scales and a significant decrease in pain and fatigue regarding the symptom scales.

Tumor response: 2 months after intervention and according to the mRECIST criteria, TACE-RFA group showed better tumor response than TACE group, (CR 21.4, PR 28.6%, SD 42.9%, PD 7.1%), Versus CR11.1%, PR 22.2%, SD 48.9%, PD 17.8% as shown in Figure 1.

Discussion

QoL is defined as people’s perceptions of their position in life in the context of the culture and value systems in which they live, and in relation to their goals, expectations, standards, and concerns (Fayers, 1999). With the aim of improving or at least maintaining the QoL of HCC patients, QoL has been increasingly used as an outcome measure of different treatment modalities. This study was designed to compare the changes in QoL in patients with unresectable HCC subjected to TACE or TACE plus RFA before and after the intervention. TACE-RFA group showed better tumor response than TACE group, (CR 21.4, PR 28.6%, SD 42.9%, PD 7.1%), Versus CR11.1%, PR 22.2%, SD 48.9%, PD 17.8% as shown in Figure 1.

Table 2. Mean Pre-Interventional Score Percent for Each QoL Domain of the QlQ-C30 and the HCC18 Questionnaires in the TACE Group and TACE-RFA Group

| Variable                      | TACE (45 cases) | TACE-RFA (28 cases) | P value |
|-------------------------------|-----------------|---------------------|---------|
| QoL-C30: Mean pre-interventional score: |                 |                     |         |
| 1. Global health status/QoL:  | 64.1            | 51.2                | 0.027   |
| 2. Functional scales:         |                 |                     |         |
| - Physical functioning        | 86.9            | 63.1                | 0.003   |
| - Role functioning            | 85.2            | 62.9                | 0.002   |
| - Emotional functioning       | 72.5            | 59.5                | 0.019   |
| - Cognitive functioning       | 81.8            | 78.6                | 0.064   |
| - Social functioning          | 84.1            | 77.3                | 0.091   |
| 3. Symptom scales/items       |                 |                     |         |
| - Fatigue                     | 25.6            | 55.9                | 0.001   |
| - Nausea/vomiting             | 6.3             | 17.1                | 0.003   |
| - Pain                        | 27.3            | 48.9                | 0.028   |
| - Dyspnea                     | 31.5            | 39.5                | 0.061   |
| - Insomnia                    | 52.6            | 60.3                | 0.411   |
| - Appetite loss               | 23.1            | 38.7                | 0.041   |
| - Constipation                | 15.7            | 18.5                | 0.812   |
| - Diarrhea                    | 9.8             | 9.3                 | 0.991   |
| - Financial difficulties      | 15.1            | 21.5                | 0.391   |
| QLQ-HCC 18                    |                 |                     |         |
| 4. Symptom scales/items       |                 |                     |         |
| - Fatigue                     | 27.1            | 51.4                | 0.011   |
| - Body image                  | 19.3            | 26.9                | 0.512   |
| - Jaundice                    | 9.3             | 15.3                | 0.438   |
| - Nutrition problems          | 13.9            | 22.3                | 0.025   |
| - Pain                        | 12.3            | 34.8                | 0.042   |
| - Fever                       | 9.2             | 12.5                | 0.563   |
| 5. Single items               |                 |                     |         |
| - Abdominal swelling          | 11.9            | 21.9                | 0.072   |
| - Sexual life                 | 16.5            | 21.4                | 0.345   |

Mann-Whitney U test was used, and P value was considered significant when ≤ 0.05.
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of patients had a significant lower score of initial global health status, role functioning, physical functioning, emotional functioning and a higher score of pain, fatigue, nausea/vomiting and appetite loss. Two weeks after intervention, TACE-RFA leads to higher impairment of QoL including global health status, functional scales and symptoms scales compared to TACE alone however it was statistically insignificant. Considering that fatigue, abdominal pain, fever, ascites, and/or jaundice are well-known manifestations of advanced HCC, TACE-RFA resulted in a higher increase in fatigue, fever, pain and abdominal swelling, and more deterioration of jaundice when compared to TACE alone, nevertheless, statistical significance for all of these changes was not reached except for fatigue (P= 0.041). This might suggest that both modalities have the same tolerability without significant effect on the changes in QoL and that TACE-RFA does not significantly impair QoL even in HCC patients with higher tumor burden. Two months after intervention, our data of QoL showed that there was improvement in all functional scores as well as symptoms scores in both groups, however, the TACE–RFA group showed significant increase in global health state, physical and social functioning scales (P= 0.023, 0.031, and 0.011 respectively) and a significant decrease in fatigue and pain regarding the symptom scales (P= 0.033 and 0.032 respectively) and this is consistent with previous studies done by Bloomston et al, 2002, and Wang et al., (2007).

TACE or TACE-RFA as a palliative treatment for unresectable HCC induces necrosis in the tumor largely due to the embolization and/or the radiofrequency effects without a volume reduction. mRECIST criteria are therefore more appropriate to measure therapeutic effect in these tumors (Shim et al., 2012). In our study, TACE-RFA showed a better mRECIST response rates (CR 21.4%, PR 28.6%, SD 42.9%, PD 7.1%), compared to TACE alone (CR 11.1%, PR 22.2%, SD 48.9%, PD 17.8%), and this

![Image of Figure 1: Tumor Response 2 Months after Intervention According to the mRECIST Criteria in Both Groups. TACE-RFA group (28 cases): CR, (6 cases); PR, (8 cases); SD, (12 cases); PD, (2 cases) & TACE group (45 cases); CR, (5 cases); PR, (10 cases); SD, (22 cases); PD, (8 cases)]

Table 4. Two Months after Intervention; the Mean change in the score percent of each QoL domain of the QLQ-C30 and the HCC18 questionnaires in the TACE group and TACE-RFA group compared to baseline

| Variable                  | TACE (45) | TACE-RFA (28) | P value |
|---------------------------|-----------|---------------|---------|
| QoL-C30                   |           |               |         |
| 1. Global health status/QoL: | +11.2     | +19.1         | 0.023   |
| 2. Functional scales:     |           |               |         |
| Physical functioning      | +13.6     | +22.2         | 0.031   |
| Role functioning          | +18.9     | +21.5         | 0.077   |
| Emotional functioning     | +9.1      | +12.8         | 0.059   |
| Cognitive functioning     | +12.5     | +13.9         | 0.832   |
| Social functioning        | +11.6     | +23.5         | 0.011   |
| 3. Symptom scales/items   |           |               |         |
| Fatigue                   | -10.9     | -18.7         | 0.021   |
| Nausea/vomiting           | -16.4     | -19.3         | 0.134   |
| Pain                      | -12.6     | -22.1         | 0.044   |
| Dyspnea                   | -15.5     | -18.9         | 0.254   |
| Insomnia                  | -15.8     | -18.5         | 0.347   |
| Anorexia                  | -12.4     | -10.4         | 0.148   |
| Constipation              | -14.7     | -12.3         | 0.527   |
| Diarrhea                  | -12.5     | -16.2         | 0.173   |
| Financial difficulties    | -18.8     | -19.6         | 0.356   |
| QLQ-HCC 18                |           |               |         |
| 4. Symptom scales/items   |           |               |         |
| Fatigue                   | -11.5     | -18.9         | 0.033   |
| Body image                | -19.1     | -18.2         | 0.841   |
| Jaundice                  | -3.3      | -9.5          | 0.125   |
| Nutrition problems        | -14.6     | -15.5         | 0.272   |
| Pain                      | -15.2     | -23.3         | 0.032   |
| Fever                     | -15.1     | -25.2         | 0.152   |
| 5. Single items           |           |               |         |
| Abdominal swelling        | -12.7     | -18.6         | 0.145   |
| Sexual life               | -18.1     | -19.7         | 0.771   |
is in agreement with Yang et al., (2017), who concluded that TACE+RFA simultaneously is an effective, safe, and precise technique for the treatment of large HCC and could improve the focal control rate and survival rate. Wang et al., (2013), retrospectively studied 18 patients with large solitary HCC > 5 cm who were subjected to immediate combination therapy of TACE+RFA with the clinical efficiency was 100% in all patients, (17 cases of CR and 1 case of PR), and the estimated overall survival rate at 6, 12, and 18 months was 100%, with no major complications observed. Bhangoo et al, 2015, summarized mRECIST CR, PR and SD rate as a “clinical benefit” in a study analyzing transarterial radio embolization (TARE) for patients with unresectable HCC and this study showed that this “clinical benefit” was obtained in 74% of the patients undergoing TARE. This ‘clinical benefit’ (mRECIST CR, PR and SD rate) was reported to be 100%, 97%, 95.5%, and 90.1% after 1, 3, 6, and 12 months respectively in a study evaluating TACE+RFA in the same sitting for treatment of large unresectable HCC (Yang et al., 2017). In our study, this ‘clinical benefit’ was 26/28(92.9%) for TACE-RFA group compared to 37/45 (82.2%) for TACE group after 2 months follow up, and this suggest that immediate TACE-RFA induces better tumor response (better clinical benefit) when compared to TACE alone even with larger tumor size. In other hand, Hyukjoon et al, 2018, reported that combined therapy using TACE immediately followed by RFA is a safe and effective treatment in early stage HCC’s (BCLC stage 0 or A) as it provides less local tumor progression and longer time to progression than TACE alone (P=0.013 and 0.037 respectively) with no major complication in either group.

**Study limitations**

One of the limitations is the short follow-up period and the small number of participants treated in only one center. Also, the study is not randomized, and lastly, this study did not assess QoL in correlation with the therapeutic response. Future larger scale studies using same QoL questionnaires evaluated at multiple time points during a longer duration of follow-up is warranted.

I conclusion, neither TACE nor TACE-RFA showed a significant decrease in QoL in patients with unresectable HCC two weeks after intervention. However, two months after intervention; TACE-RFA showed significant improvement in global health score, social and physical functioning scores, as well as significant improvement in pain and fatigue compared to TACE monotherapy. This might suggest that simultaneous TACE-RFA is safe and effective palliative option for unresectable HCC and more favorable than TACE monotherapy regarding QoL or tumor response to therapy. Simultaneous TACE-RFA should be encouraged to be used by physicians caring for HCC patients.
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