Prognostic value of estimated glomerular filtration rate in hospitalised older patients (over 65) with COVID-19: a multicentre, European, observational cohort study
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Abstract

Background: The reduced renal function has prognostic significance in COVID-19 and it has been linked to mortality in the general population. Reduced renal function is prevalent in older age and thus we set out to better understand its effect on mortality.

Methods: Patient clinical and demographic data was taken from the COVID-19 in Older People (COPE) study during two periods (February–June 2020 and October 2020–March 2021, respectively). Kidney function on admission was measured using estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR). The primary outcomes were time to mortality and 28-day mortality. Secondary outcome was length of hospital stay. Data were analysed with multilevel Cox proportional hazards regression, and multilevel logistic regression and adjusted for individual patient clinical and demographic characteristics.

Results: One thousand eight hundred two patients (55.0% male; median [IQR] 80 [73–86] years) were included in the study. 28-day mortality was 42.3% (n = 742). 48% (n = 801) had evidence of renal impairment on admission. Using a time-to-event analysis, reduced renal function was associated with increased in-hospital mortality (compared to eGFR ≥ 60 [Stage 1&2]): eGFR 45–59 [Stage 3a] aHR = 1.26 (95%CI 1.02–1.55); eGFR 30–44 [Stage 3b] aHR = 1.41 (95%CI 1.14–1.73); eGFR 1–29 [Stage 4&5] aHR = 1.42 (95%CI 1.13–1.80). In the co-primary outcome of 28-day mortality, mortality was associated with: Stage 3a adjusted odds ratio (aOR) = 1.18 (95%CI 0.88–1.58), Stage 3b aOR = 1.40 (95%CI 1.14–1.73); and Stage 4&5 aOR = 1.65 (95%CI 1.16–2.35).

Conclusion: eGFR on admission is a good independent predictor of mortality in hospitalised older patients with COVID-19 population. We found evidence of a dose-response between reduced renal function and increased mortality.
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Introduction

Initially, COVID-19 was described as primarily respiratory in nature and involvement of kidneys was not widely reported [1, 2]. However, further literature has described the increased presence of worsening kidney function.
concurrent with COVID-19 infection [3]. A number of potential mechanisms of kidney injury have been described, including direct viral infection of the kidneys, leading to acute tubular injury and endothelial damage as well as mechanisms secondary to systemic illness including sepsis and hypovolaemia [4, 5].

Estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR), is a calculation based on serum creatinine, age, race, sex and body size, and is used clinically as a measure of kidney function [6]. It is well described as a good indicator of mortality in non-COVID-19 patients with both acute and chronic kidney disease (CKD) [7] and in COVID-19 patients [8]. Lower baseline eGFR has also been shown to lead to increased rates of acute kidney injury (AKI), and renal replacement therapy in COVID-19 patients [9]. Lower eGFR was also more commonly seen in multimorbid patients and older people [10]. Several studies have reported a decline in renal function as a binary threshold of eGFR is associated with increased mortality in COVID-19 patients [10, 11] but few have reported on the association between the increasing severity of categorised eGFR and mortality in COVID-19 [12, 13].

Previous studies in older adults (>65years) showed that prevalence of CKD on admission with COVID-19 was 11.4% [14], and development of AKI ranged between 24.8% [14] and 39% [14]. Indeed, older age and eGFR (less than 60) [12] have been well described as a risk factors for mortality [15–17] alongside AKI development [18] and subsequent mortality in COVID-19 [19]. In addition, older age is associated with increased serum creatinine levels on admission in COVID-19 patients [20]. To date only the Geriatric Medicine Research Collaborative [13] and Xu et al. [21] have explored the gradated relationship between eGFR decline and mortality in older adults with COVID-19.

The aim of this paper is to determine the relationship between eGFR on admission to hospital with COVID-19 infection and clinical outcomes including mortality, and length of stay in older adults, using data from the COVID-19 in Older People (COPE) Study [16].

Methods
Study population and setup
This study was an extension of the COVID-19 in Older People (COPE) Study, with additional participants included in the second wave. The primary COPE study was a multicentre, observational study with 13 centres in both the UK and Italy [16]. The study protocol for the original COPE study has previously been published [22], with this study following the same study design. Approval for the study was granted in the UK by the Health Research Authority (20/HRA/1898) and in Italy from the Ethics Committee of Hospital Policlinico Modena (Reference 369/2020/OSS/AOUIMO). Data was collected using a standardised case report based on hospital records and entered into a centrally co-ordinated InferMed MACRO database housed within King’s College London. Data protection policies were adhered to at each hospital.

Participants
Consecutive patients aged 65 years or older, who were admitted to hospital at any of the recruiting centres during the first wave (27th February to 10th June 2020) and the second wave (1st October 2020 – 8th March 2021) with a COVID-19 diagnosis were included in the present study. Patients aged 65 years or older who developed COVID-19 whilst already hospitalised for a different reason (nosocomial infections) were also included. Nosocomial infection was assumed if the date of diagnosis was more than 5 days after the date of admission [23]. Diagnostic criteria for COVID-19 included a laboratory confirmed positive swab for SARS-CoV-2, and a clinical diagnosis based on signs, symptoms and radiological reporting consistent with COVID-19. There were no exclusion criteria applied.

Outcomes
The primary outcome was mortality (time-to-mortality and 28-day mortality). The secondary outcome was length of stay in hospital (time from admission, or from diagnosis for nosocomial cases to discharge). Patients who were discharged prior to day-28 were imputed as having survived at day 28. Patients who died were censored in the time-to-discharge analysis.

Primary exposure
Renal function was the primary exposure under investigation assessed as eGFR (CKD-EPI) on admission and was categorised into: Stage 1&2 (normal kidney function) (eGFR $\geq$ 60 ml/min/1.73m$^2$); Stage 3a (mild to moderate loss of kidney function, eGFR 45–59ml/min/1.73m$^2$); Stage 3b (moderate to severe loss of kidney function, eGFR 30–44ml/min/1.73m$^2$); Stages 4 and 5 (Severe to complete loss of kidney function, eGFR 1–29 ml/min/1.73m$^2$) [24].

Covariates
Clinical characteristics collected included: sex; age, smoking status (current smoker, previous smoker and never smoker); C-reactive protein (CRP) levels at admission [25] and a diagnosis of diabetes mellitus, hypertension or coronary artery disease (CAD) present at admission. Patient’s frailty was assessed in-hospital,
based on frailty status 2 weeks prior to admission using the Clinical Frailty Scale (CFS) [26, 27].

**Terminally ill patients**

Due to very few patients with a terminal illness (CFS 9) being included in the study, they were excluded from analyses.

**Statistical analysis**

There were 55 patients with missing smoking data, who were recorded as ‘never smokers’, and a further 64 patients with a missing eGFR recording, inputted as having an eGFR ≥60. Clinical characteristics from both waves were compared by in hospital mortality. Time-to-event outcomes (mortality and time to discharge) were analysed with multilevel multivariable Cox proportional hazards (PH) regression models. Each Cox regression model fitted the hospital site as a random intercept effect, to account for heterogeneity across sites. Crude hazard ratios (HR) and adjusted hazard ratios (aHR) are presented alongside the associated 95% Confidence Intervals (CI). The PH assumption was assessed visually using log-log plots. Analyses were performed using Stata SE version 16 (StataCorp LLC; College Station, TX), Kaplan-Meier and subgroup forest plots were visualised in R. 28-day mortality was analysed with a multivariable multilevel logistic regression model, which fitted hospital site as a random intercept effect, with crude Odds Ratios (OR) and adjusted Odds Ratios (aOR) and associated 95% CIs. All models were adjusted for: eGFR; wave 1 or wave 2; age (categorised into: 65–74 years, 75–84 years, 85-94 years, ≥ 95 years); sex (male or female); smoking status (never smoker, current smoker or previous smoker); diabetes (yes or no); hypertension (yes, yes and on treatment or no); coronary artery disease (yes or no); C-reactive protein on admission (0–39 mg/dl or ≥ 40 mg/dL [25]); Clinical Frailty Scale (categorised into: CFS 1–3, CFS 4, CFS 5–6, CFS 7–8, CFS 9).

**Dose-response**

This was assessed in each analysis using a test for post estimation linear test for trend to the adjusted analyses and presented as the linear change from each category of renal failure compared to Stage1&2.

**Subgroup analyses**

Subgroups analyses were carried out for each outcome using the multivariable multilevel analyses as above. These present associations of eGFR (categorised using the established binary cut off for reduced renal function: eGFR 1–59 ml/min/1.73m², eGFR ≥ 60 ml/min/1.73m²) with mortality and time-to-discharge, within each subgroup.

**Results**

A total of 1802 patients aged ≥ 65 years were included in the study (Wave 1, \( n = 1318 \); Wave 2, \( n = 484 \)). The mean age was 79.6 (range 65–101, SD 7.98), and 992 (55.0%) were male (Table 1). The median (IQR) time between admission and mortality was 14 days [7–27]. All cause in-hospital 28-day mortality was 42.3% (\( n = 742 \)). 28-day mortality was higher in older age groups (56.5% in those aged 95+ years; 48.2% in those aged 85–94; 42.8% in those aged 75–84; 31.2% in those aged 65–74), in patients at increasing stages of renal failure on admission (53.5% at Stages 4 and 5; 48.3% at Stage 3b; 42.7% at Stage 3A; 36.0% at Stages 1 and 2, Fig. 1), and patients with co-morbidities including coronary artery disease (45.6% vs 39.6%) and diabetes (42.6% vs 40.6%), and in those with an increased frailty score (Table 1).

**Primary outcomes: time to mortality and 28-day mortality**

In the crude Cox proportional hazards regression, eGFR was associated with increased mortality (Table 2). In the multilevel multivariable Cox PH regression, reduced renal function was associated with increased mortality (compared to Stages 1 and 2): Stage 3a aHR = 1.26 (95%CI 1.02–1.55); Stage 3b aHR = 1.41 (95%CI 1.14–1.73); Stages 4 and 5 aHR = 1.42 (95%CI 1.13–1.80) (Table 2, Fig. 1). In addition to this increasing age, male sex, CRP >40 mg/dl, and a CFS score ≥ 5 were associated with increased mortality (Table 2). There was very clear evidence of a linear test for trend (aHR = –0.13; 95%CI -0.21, –0.05; \( p = 0.002 \)).

For 28-day mortality, similar findings were reported with a clearer dose-response for worsening renal function linked to increased mortality (Table 3). The Stage 3a adjusted odds ratio (aOR) = 1.18 (95%CI 0.88–1.58); Stage 3b aOR = 1.40 (95%CI 1.03–1.89); Stages 4 and 5 aOR = 1.65 (95%CI 1.16–2.35). From the covariates increasing age, male sex, CRP > 40 mg/dl, and increasing frailty were associated with increased mortality in a multilevel logistic regression (Table 3). There was very clear evidence of a linear test for trend (aOR = –0.19; 95%CI -0.31, –0.06; \( p = 0.003 \)).

**Secondary outcome: time to discharge**

There was no association, in either the crude or adjusted analysis, between stage of kidney disease and time to
discharge. Compared to Stages 1 and 2, the adjusted analysis found a relationship between renal function and time to discharge: Stage 3a aHR = 1.09 (95%CI 0.89–1.32); Stage 3b aHR = 1.09 (95%CI 0.88–1.35); Stages 4 and 5 aHR = 0.84 (95%CI 0.64–1.09) (Table 4). There was no evidence for any dose-response ($p = 0.21$).

### Subgroup analysis

Subgroup analyses of individuals with Stage 3a-5 kidney disease that were: first wave patients; aged 65–74 and 85–94; female sex; never and current smoker; CRP $\geq 40$ mg/dL; no hypertension; no diabetes; CFS 4 and CFS 5–6 were associated with increased mortality (Additional file 1: Fig. 1). 28-day mortality subgroup analysis of those with a Stage 3a-5 kidney disease found that: first wave patients; aged 85–94, female sex; never and current smoker; CRP $\geq 40$ mg/dL; no hypertension; no diabetes; coronary artery disease; and CFS 4 were associated with increased mortality (Additional file 1: Fig. 2). On subgroup analysis of those with Stage 3a-5 kidney disease, no patient characteristics were associated with length of stay in hospital (Additional file 1: Fig. 3).

### Discussion

Our study included 1802 older patients during waves one and two in Europe and we found 41.1% of them died by day 28. Within both the time-to-mortality and 28-day analysis we found a suspected dose-response effect of eGFR, between CKD Stages 1 & 2 and 3b, 4 and 5 with increasing effect, and this appears to be the first study to report this finding within this cohort. Whilst the majority of previous studies have shown the relationship between eGFR and mortality using a binary comparison comparing Stages 1 & 2 versus Stages 3a, 3b, 4, and 5 combined [12, 28], and few have assessed the association comparing groups 3a, 3b, 4, and 5 separately allowing us to assess the likely dose response. Previous work by the Geriatric Medicine Research Collaborative [13] included 5711 individuals and investigated the effect of eGFR categorised in each stage and only found an association in Stages 4 and 5. Our findings extend this work as we report an association between mortality and Stages 3b, 4 and 5. The recent international multicentre HOPE study (Health Outcome Predictive Evaluation for COVID 19) [12] looked at patients of all ages (mean age 66 years old) [12]. They reported that only 8.5% of patients had documented CKD before admission whereas 35% had evidence of renal dysfunction on admission. The study similarly concluded that estimated renal function on admission, documented as eGFR, acted as an independent prognostic factor for mortality in a suspected dose-response pattern [12].

| Table 1 | Included Population description |
|---------|--------------------------------|
|         | Alive (N = 1060) | Dead (N = 742) | Total (N = 1802) |
| eGFR    | N (%)             | N (%)          | N (%)          |
| 1–29    | 92 (46.5)         | 106 (53.5)     | 198 (11.0)     |
| 30–44   | 153 (51.7)        | 143 (48.3)     | 296 (16.4)     |
| 45–59   | 176 (57.3)        | 131 (42.7)     | 307 (17.0)     |
| 60+     | 600 (64.0)        | 337 (36.0)     | 937 (52.0)     |
| Missing | 39                | 25             | 64             |
| Wave    |                   |                |                |
| 1       | 765 (58.0)        | 553 (42.0)     | 1318 (73.1)    |
| 2       | 295 (61.0)        | 189 (39.0)     | 484 (26.9)     |
| Age     |                   |                |                |
| 65–74   | 362 (68.8)        | 164 (31.2)     | 526 (29.2)     |
| 75–84   | 431 (57.2)        | 322 (42.8)     | 753 (41.8)     |
| 85–94   | 247 (51.8)        | 230 (48.2)     | 477 (26.5)     |
| 95+     | 20 (43.5)         | 26 (56.5)      | 46 (2.6)       |
| Sex     |                   |                |                |
| Female  | 509 (62.9)        | 300 (37.1)     | 809 (44.9)     |
| Male    | 550 (55.4)        | 442 (44.6)     | 992 (55.0)     |
| Smoking |                   |                |                |
| Never Smokers | 515 (60.8)    | 332 (39.2)     | 847 (47.0)     |
| Ex-smokers | 444 (55.7)     | 353 (44.3)     | 797 (44.2)     |
| Current Smokers | 67 (65.0)      | 36 (35.0)      | 103 (5.7)      |
| Missing | 34                | 21             | 55             |
| Diabetes|                   |                |                |
| No      | 764 (59.4)        | 522 (40.6)     | 1286 (71.4)    |
| Yes     | 294 (57.4)        | 218 (42.6)     | 512 (28.4)     |
| Missing | 2                 | 2              | 4              |
| Hypertension |                   |                |                |
| No      | 452 (57.4)        | 335 (42.6)     | 787 (43.7)     |
| Yes     | 181 (61.1)        | 115 (38.9)     | 296 (16.4)     |
| Yes & on treatment | 427 (59.4)   | 292 (40.6)     | 719 (39.9)     |
| CAD     |                   |                |                |
| No      | 807 (60.4)        | 528 (39.6)     | 1335 (74.1)    |
| Yes     | 252 (54.4)        | 211 (45.6)     | 463 (25.7)     |
| Missing | 1                 | 3              | 4              |
| CRP     |                   |                |                |
| 0–40    | 401 (69.4)        | 177 (30.6)     | 578 (32.1)     |
| >40     | 659 (53.8)        | 565 (46.2)     | 1224 (67.9)    |
| CFS     |                   |                |                |
| CFS 1–3 | 283 (71.1)        | 115 (28.9)     | 398 (22.1)     |
| CFS 4   | 157 (59.5)        | 107 (40.5)     | 264 (14.7)     |
| CFS 5–6 | 368 (57.4)        | 273 (42.6)     | 641 (35.6)     |
| CFS 7–8 | 227 (50.9)        | 219 (49.1)     | 446 (24.8)     |
| CFS 9   | 9 (29.0)          | 22 (71.0)      | 31 (1.7)       |
| Missing | 16                | 6              | 22             |
Whilst we identified a dose-response relationship for time to mortality outcome, the greatest association was with an eGFR < 45 (Stages 3b, 4 and 5). This is in line with NICE guidance [29], that states an eGFR of < 45 is an additional risk factor for development of AKI in COVID-19 patients. The results showed that renal function was as strong a predictor of mortality as other key risk factors, such as frailty and age. Therefore, eGFR at < 45 on admission needs to be considered with clinical relevance where identified.

Additionally, we found no association between renal failure and length of stay which was consistent with those from Geriatric Medicine Research Collaborative [13] as neither study report an effect, possibly due to confounding from early mortality.

A number of potential mechanisms of kidney damage in COVID-19 have been hypothesised, including direct viral infection of the kidney via expression of ACE2 receptors in renal cells allowing virus entry which could lead to acute tubular injury and endothelial damage [30]. Damage secondary to cytokine mediated hyperinflammation and thrombotic microangiopathy [31, 32] and systemic illness including sepsis and hypovolaemia [4, 5] has also been described. Age and hypertension specifically, have been associated with increased renal dysfunction and susceptibility to AKI in Covid-19 [11]. Chronic renal impairment is also associated with increased RAAS activity and ACE2 receptors which could also predispose to easier Covid-19 direct cell infection in the kidney.

Our findings should be interpreted in the light of a number of limitations. First, we did not account for underlying renal disease in the patient cohort, therefore renal function calculated on admission did not stipulate between an eGFR due to acute deterioration or chronic renal impairment. Second, this study used a single measurement of kidney function, and did not collect data on longer term kidney function. We also were not able to account for the varying permutations of medications that patients were exposed to. However, so far, this is the largest study to explore the effect of renal impairment in older adults across waves 1 and 2 of the Pandemic, reporting a biologically plausible dose-response.

Our study findings offer important clinical implications, since COVID-19 is anticipated to be embedded as an endemic disease, with new variants circulating
globally [33]. Older adults are generally susceptible to COVID-19 and our results improve the identification of older patients with COVID-19 at risk of deterioration, to allow earlier review of risk factors and interventions aimed at preserving and correcting renal dysfunction where possible. Early recognition of renal impairment in older people should inform assessments of prognosis and, where appropriate, inform care escalation decisions. The clear association with deterioration of renal function and increasing age, represents both physiological changes, and also the effect of increased incidence of comorbidity; particularly hypertension, vascular disease and diabetes [34]. In addition, the presence of chronic renal impairment can lead to increased susceptibility to infection [35]. It should be highlighted that older patients may not be suitable for more invasive medical management, including critical care and renal replacement therapy. Therefore, it is even more pertinent that supportive measures are instituted at the earliest opportunity in at risk older patients to prevent further decline. We have identified eGFR may offer an improved prognostic indicator and at seemingly modest decline in renal function for this vulnerable patient cohort.

Table 2 Crude and multivariable Cox proportional hazards regression, presenting the crude Hazard Ratio (HR) and adjusted HR (aHR) for the time to mortality

|                  | Crude HR (95%CI) | p     | Multivariable aHR | p     |
|------------------|------------------|-------|-------------------|-------|
| **eGFR (60+)**   |                  |       |                   |       |
| 1–29             | 1.55 (1.24–1.95) | < 0.001 | 1.42 (1.13–1.80) | 0.0031|
| 30–44            | 1.54 (1.26–1.89) | < 0.001 | 1.41 (1.14–1.73) | 0.0014|
| 45–59            | 1.30 (1.06–1.60) | 0.0125 | 1.26 (1.02–1.55) | 0.0295|
| **Wave 2**       | 0.75 (0.62–0.91) | 0.0036 | 0.77 (0.63–0.94) | 0.0093|
| **Age (65–74)**  |                  |       |                   |       |
| 75–84            | 1.52 (1.25–1.85) | < 0.001 | 1.44 (1.17–1.77) | < 0.001|
| 85–94            | 1.78 (1.44–2.21) | < 0.001 | 1.60 (1.27–2.01) | < 0.001|
| 95+              | 2.74 (1.78–4.22) | < 0.001 | 2.61 (1.67–4.09) | < 0.001|
| **Male**         | 1.13 (0.97–1.32) | 0.1203 | 1.20 (1.02–1.41) | 0.0279|
| **Smoking (Never)** |                |       |                   |       |
| Ex-smoker        | 1.22 (1.05–1.42) | 0.0112 | 1.14 (0.97–1.33) | 0.1204|
| Current smoker   | 0.96 (0.67–1.38) | 0.8157 | 0.94 (0.65–1.37) | 0.7450|
| CRP > 40         | 1.81 (1.52–2.16) | < 0.001 | 1.81 (1.51–2.16) | < 0.001|
| Diabetes         | 1.03 (0.88–1.22) | 0.6902 | 0.99 (0.83–1.17) | 0.8650|
| CAD              | 1.17 (0.99–1.38) | 0.0722 | 1.03 (0.87–1.23) | 0.7170|
| **Hypertension (No)** |              |       |                   |       |
| Yes              | 0.90 (0.72–1.12) | 0.3506 | 0.91 (0.72–1.14) | 0.4008|
| Yes & on treatment | 0.86 (0.72–1.01) | 0.0682 | 0.85 (0.71–1.01) | 0.0653|
| **CFS (1–3)**    |                  |       |                   |       |
| CFS 4            | 1.39 (1.06–1.81) | 0.0158 | 1.28 (0.97–1.67) | 0.0791|
| CFS 5–6          | 1.48 (1.18–1.86) | < 0.001 | 1.30 (1.02–1.66) | 0.0327|
| CFS 7–8          | 1.91 (1.50–2.43) | < 0.001 | 1.65 (1.26–2.11) | < 0.001|

Note: aHR adjusted for eGFR, wave, age, sex, smoking status, CRP, diabetes, CAD, hypertension and CFS

Table 3 Multilevel logistic regression, presenting the crude Odds Ratio (OR) and adjusted OR (aOR) for 28-day mortality

|                  | Crude OR (95%CI) | p     | Multivariable aOR | p     |
|------------------|------------------|-------|-------------------|-------|
| **eGFR (60+)**   |                  |       |                   |       |
| 1–29             | 1.97 (1.42–2.74) | < 0.001 | 1.65 (1.16–2.35) | 0.0050|
| 30–44            | 1.69 (1.28–2.23) | < 0.001 | 1.40 (1.03–1.89) | 0.0033|
| 45–59            | 1.24 (0.94–1.64) | 0.1306 | 1.18 (0.88–1.58) | 0.2647|
| **Wave 2**       | 0.71 (0.55–0.92) | 0.0089 | 0.77 (0.59–1.01) | 0.0634|
| **Age (65–74)**  |                  |       |                   |       |
| 75–84            | 1.82 (1.41–2.35) | < 0.001 | 1.67 (1.27–2.19) | < 0.001|
| 85–94            | 2.48 (1.86–3.31) | < 0.001 | 2.18 (1.58–3.00) | < 0.001|
| 95+              | 5.94 (2.88–12.25) | < 0.001 | 4.58 (2.15–9.77) | < 0.001|
| **Male**         | 1.26 (1.03–1.55) | 0.0269 | 1.48 (1.18–1.86) | < 0.001|
| **Smoking (Never)** |                |       |                   |       |
| Ex-smoker        | 1.12 (0.91–1.39) | 0.2752 | 1.03 (0.82–1.30) | 0.7844|
| Current smoker   | 0.92 (0.58–1.47) | 0.7256 | 0.90 (0.54–1.49) | 0.6794|
| CRP > 40         | 2.06 (1.64–2.59) | < 0.001 | 2.13 (1.67–2.71) | < 0.001|
| Diabetes         | 1.02 (0.81–1.27) | 0.8849 | 0.98 (0.77–1.25) | 0.9006|
| **CAD**          | 1.26 (1.00–1.58) | 0.0494 | 1.07 (0.83–1.38) | 0.5983|
| **Hypertension (No)** |              |       |                   |       |
| Yes              | 0.94 (0.70–1.27) | 0.6904 | 0.92 (0.66–1.27) | 0.6029|
| Yes & on treatment | 0.82 (0.65–1.03) | 0.0871 | 0.79 (0.62–1.01) | 0.0584|
| **CFS (1–3)**    |                  |       |                   |       |
| CFS 4            | 1.68 (1.19–2.37) | 0.0033 | 1.60 (1.12–2.30) | 0.0104|
| CFS 5–6          | 2.01 (1.50–2.69) | < 0.001 | 1.75 (1.27–2.41) | < 0.001|
| CFS 7–8          | 2.79 (2.03–3.83) | < 0.001 | 2.36 (1.67–3.34) | < 0.001|

Note: aHR adjusted for eGFR, wave, age, sex, smoking status, CRP, diabetes, CAD, hypertension and CFS

There are implications of our findings on future research. It is important to better understand the longer-term impact of COVID-19 in those with reduced renal function in survivors, and whether there are both immediate and longer term impacts on clinical outcomes in patients who survive. Further understanding of the impact of renal decline should also be assessed with other clinically important outcomes, such as quality of life, which requires further evaluation. Future research is needed into interventions to improve deranged renal function in older adults.
Table 4 Crude and multivariable Cox proportional hazards regression, presenting the crude Hazard Ratio (HR) and adjusted HR (aHR) for the time to discharge

| eGFR (60+)   | Crude HR (95%CI) | p     | aHR      | p     |
|-------------|-----------------|------|---------|------|
| 1–29        | 0.79 (0.61–1.03) | 0.0796 | 0.84 (0.64–1.09) | 0.1833 |
| 30–44       | 0.97 (0.79–1.20) | 0.8071 | 1.09 (0.88–1.35) | 0.4255 |
| 45–59       | 0.99 (0.82–1.20) | 0.9433 | 1.09 (0.89–1.32) | 0.4121 |
| Wave 2      | 0.61 (0.51–0.73) | < 0.001 | 0.60 (0.50–0.73) | < 0.001 |
| Age (65–74) |                 |       |         |      |
| 75–84       | 0.85 (0.72–0.99) | 0.0417 | 0.89 (0.75–1.06) | 0.1830 |
| 85–94       | 0.70 (0.58–0.85) | < 0.001 | 0.72 (0.58–0.89) | 0.0022 |
| 95+         | 0.64 (0.33–1.25) | 0.1935 | 0.77 (0.39–1.51) | 0.4405 |
| Male        | 0.97 (0.84–1.12) | 0.7133 | 0.93 (0.80–1.08) | 0.3394 |
| Smoking (Never) |            |       |         |      |
| Ex-smoker   | 1.07 (0.93–1.24) | 0.3373 | 1.10 (0.94–1.28) | 0.2323 |
| Current smoker | 0.98 (0.71–1.35) | 0.9104 | 0.97 (0.70–1.35) | 0.8677 |
| CRP > 40    | 1.15 (0.99–1.33) | 0.0712 | 1.07 (0.92–1.24) | 0.4077 |
| Diabetes    | 0.91 (0.78–1.06) | 0.2364 | 0.92 (0.78–1.09) | 0.3529 |
| CAD         | 0.96 (0.82–1.13) | 0.6453 | 1.01 (0.85–1.20) | 0.9002 |
| Hypertension (No) |            |       |         |      |
| Yes         | 0.87 (0.71–1.08) | 0.2059 | 0.97 (0.78–1.20) | 0.7580 |
| Yes & on treatment | 1.09 (0.93–1.28) | 0.2812 | 1.08 (0.92–1.28) | 0.3466 |
| CFS (1–3)   |               |       |         |      |
| CFS 4       | 0.83 (0.66–1.03) | 0.0960 | 0.89 (0.71–1.12) | 0.3103 |
| CFS 5–6     | 0.71 (0.59–0.85) | < 0.001 | 0.78 (0.64–0.95) | 0.0157 |
| CFS 7–8     | 0.71 (0.57–0.87) | 0.0014 | 0.79 (0.63–0.99) | 0.0380 |

Note: aHR adjusted for eGFR, wave, age, sex, smoking status, CRP, diabetes, CAD, hypertension and CFS

Conclusion
Point of care renal failure during admission to hospital, measured by eGFR is a helpful independent predictor of mortality in older patients admitted to hospital with COVID-19. Importance should be placed on either a suspected dose-response, or the clinical implications of increased management may be triggered by Stage 3b renal failure.

Abbreviations
aHR: Adjusted hazard ratio; aOR: Adjusted odds ratio; AKI: Acute kidney injury; CFS: Clinical frailty scale; CI: 95% confidence interval; CRP: C-reactive protein; CKD: Chronic kidney disease; CKD-EPI: Chronic Kidney Disease, Creatinine Equation; COPE: COVID-19 in older people study; eGFR: Estimated glomerular filtration rate; HR: Hazard ratio; HRA: Health research authority; IQR: Interquartile range; OR: Odds ratio.
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