Cullin-RING ligases (CRLs) comprise a large group of modular eukaryotic E3 ubiquitin ligases. Within this family, the CRL4 ligase (consisting of the Cullin4 [CUL4] scaffold protein, the Rbx1 RING finger domain protein, the DNA damage-binding protein 1 [DDB1], and one of many DDB1-associated substrate receptor proteins) has been intensively studied in recent years due to its involvement in regulating various cellular processes, its role in cancer development and progression, and its subversion by viral accessory proteins. Initially discovered as a target for hijacking by the human immunodeficiency virus accessory protein r, the normal targets and function of the CRL4 substrate receptor protein DDB1–Cul4-associated factor 1 (DCAF1; also known as VprBP) had remained elusive, but newer studies have begun to shed light on these questions. Here, we review recent progress in understanding the diverse physiological roles of this DCAF1 in supporting various general and cell type-specific cellular processes in its context with the CRL4 E3 ligase, as well as another HECT-type E3 ligase with which DCAF1 also associates, called EDD/UBR5. We also discuss emerging questions and areas of future study to uncover the dynamic roles of DCAF1 in normal physiology.
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**Introduction**
Protein ubiquitylation is a widespread and evolutionarily conserved form of post-translational modification that regulates most, if not all, cellular processes at some level. The covalent attachment of ubiquitin to substrate proteins is a multistep process involving three classes of enzymes, termed ubiquitin-activating (E1), ubiquitin-conjugating (E2), and ubiquitin ligases (E3). E1s, of which only two are present in mammals, catalyze the attachment of ubiquitin to one of ~40 E2 proteins via a thioester bond. The E2 then interacts with an E3 ubiquitin ligase, which, depending on the ligase type, transfers ubiquitin to a substrate lysine residue either directly from the E2 enzyme (really interesting new gene [RING] E3 ligases) or via a ubiquitin-charged E3 intermediate (homologous to E6AP carboxyl terminus [HECT] and RING-between-RING [RBR] E3 ligases). Additional ubiquitin molecules may be appended to the first ubiquitin monomer to form polyubiquitin chains. The outcome of substrate ubiquitination depends on the number of ubiquitin molecules conjugated to the target protein and the topology of polyubiquitin chain linkages. Polyubiquitin chains linked via K11 or K48 trigger proteasomal degradation, whereas attachment of single ubiquitin molecules (monoubiquitylation) or polyubiquitin chains using alternative linkages can modify protein function and localization (Rape, 2018).

The Cullin RING ligases (CRLs) comprise the largest family of E3 ubiquitin ligases. CRLs are modular, multi-subunit complexes in which E2-binding and substrate recruitment activities are delegated to separate subunits. The common CRL architecture includes one of seven Cullin scaffold proteins (CUL1, CUL2, CUL3, CUL4A, CUL4B, CUL5, and CUL7) assembled with a RING
domain-containing protein (either Rbx1 or Rbx2) and one of many substrate recognition subunits. This organizational scheme allows for assembly of different substrate binding modules with a common catalytic core, creating flexibility in CRL specificity and function. In the case of CRL4, which can contain either Cul4A or Cul4B, the substrate recognition subunit is bridged to the Cul4 protein by DNA damage-binding protein 1 (DDB1). Thus, substrate receptors for CRL4 have been termed DDB1 and Cul4-associated factors (DCAFs) (Angers et al., 2006; Jin et al., 2006). The number of identified and putative DCAFs is in excess of 50 members (Lee and Zhou, 2007) and is likely to continue growing. Moreover, orthologs of human DCAF1 have been identified in non-vertebrate organisms including Drosophila melanogaster (Tamori et al., 2010) and Arabidopsis thaliana (Zhang et al., 2008).

DCAF1 was originally discovered as the cellular target of the HIV-1 accessory protein viral protein r (Vpr) and hence was originally named Vpr binding protein (VprBP) (Zhang et al., 2001). Since the discovery of its association with CRL4, DCAF1 has attracted the interest of investigators working in many subfields of molecular and cellular biology. Furthermore, the broad expression pattern of DCAF1 has prompted the study of DCAF1 function in many tissues and cell types. Germline deletion of Dcaf1 was determined to be embryonically lethal in mice (McCall et al., 2008), a finding which has prompted the use of knockdown and conditional knockout approaches to study DCAF1 function in specific cell types. As many of the studies described in this review indicate, DCAF1 deficiency is often associated with defects in cell cycle, cell growth, cell division, and cell survival.

Interestingly, DCAF1 can direct the ubiquitylation of substrate proteins via both CRL4 and a separate HECT-type E3 ligase in which the DCAF1–DDB1 module forms a complex with dual-specificity tyrosine (Y)-phosphorylation regulated kinase (DYRK2) and the E3 ligase identified by differential display (EDD, also called UBR5) (Nakagawa et al., 2013). Several DCAF1-directed substrates of this so-called ‘EDVP’ complex have been identified, none of which is shared with CRL4, making DCAF1 unique among DCAFs in its ability to service two distinct E ubiquitin ligases (Nakagawa et al., 2013).

Here, we review recent advances in the knowledge of DCAF1 structure and function. We begin by highlighting recent studies of the structural basis of DCAF1–DDB1 interaction. We discuss the role of DCAF1 in regulating cell cycle, cell growth, cell division, and the contribution of DCAF1 to the development of certain cancers. We discuss several recently recognized roles for DCAF1 in diverse cellular processes, including oocyte development, microRNA biogenesis, the development and function of B and T lymphocytes. Finally, we address outstanding questions and yet-unresolved issues in the current understanding of DCAF1 function. Given the breadth of these topics, in this review we will not consider the roles of other DCAFs, DCAF1-independent functions of CRL4, or the subversion of CRL4(DCAF1) by primate lentiviruses, which has recently been reviewed elsewhere (Romani and Cohen, 2012; Strebel, 2013; Blondot et al., 2014; Guenzel et al., 2014; Rice and Kimata, 2015).

Domain organization of DCAF1 and structural characterization of DCAF1-containing complexes

DCAFs were initially identified by proteomic screens of co-purifying proteins in tandem affinity preparations of DDB1 and Cul4A (Angers et al., 2006; He et al., 2006; Higa et al., 2006; Jin et al., 2006). Most DCAFs identified in these studies contain sequences resembling WD40 repeats, a 40–60 amino acid motif terminating in a Trp-Asp dipeptide (Xu and Min, 2011). Structurally, each WD40 repeat consists of four anti-parallel β-sheets, which constitute one ‘blade’ of a seven-bladed β-propeller (Xu and Min, 2011). WD40 domains adopt a planar, solvent-exposed structure which facilitates protein–protein interactions. They are found in a wide variety of proteins with diverse functions and are conserved among all eukaryotes (Xu and Min, 2011). In DCAF WD40 domains, including the one found in DCAF1, the Trp-Asp dipeptide is frequently followed by a single non-conserved amino acid and a conserved arginine residue, referred to as a ‘WDXR’ motif. Mutating the terminal arginine of the WDXR motif impairs or abolishes DCAF binding to DDB1, suggesting that DCAF WD40 domains are crucial for interaction with DDB1 (Angers et al., 2006; Jin et al., 2006).

Multiple studies have shown that DCAF1 fragments overlapping the WD40 motif can associate with DDB1 (Le Rouzic et al., 2008; McCall et al., 2008; Cassiday et al., 2015). However, a minimal fragment containing only the residues comprising the seven-bladed β-propeller motif of DCAF1 fails to bind DDB1, suggesting another interface helps stabilize the interaction with DDB1 (Cassiday et al., 2015). DDB1 itself is comprised of three WD40 β-propeller domains (designated BPA, BPB, and BPC) which adopt a planar, three-bladed conformation. Previous studies of other DCAF family members bound to DDB1 identified a helix-loop-helix motif, called an H-box, that inserts into the BPA–BPC cleft of DDB1, and this motif was proposed to represent a common mode of DCAF–DDB1 interaction (Angers et al., 2006; Li et al., 2010a; Fischer et al., 2011). Indeed, structural predictions identified a putative H-box motif in DCAF1 (Gerard et al., 2014). Recently, crystallographic analysis of the DCAF1–DDB1 complex confirmed the presence of a helix-loop-helix motif spanning aa1050–1079 of DCAF1 amino-terminal to the WD40 domain that inserts into the BPA–BPC cleft of DDB1 (Wu et al., 2016) (see Figure 1). Importantly, Wu et al. (2016) showed that mutation of several residues within the DCAF1 H-box motif abolished DDB1 interaction.

In addition to the WD40 domain, DCAF1 also contains a large N-terminal domain characterized by Armadillo (ARM)-like folds, which provides an interface for protein–protein interactions (Figure 1) (Peifer et al., 1994). Interspersed within the ARM folds is a recently characterized casein kinase (CK)-like domain (Kim et al., 2013) and a chromo-like domain that resembles those found in chromatin-modifying proteins (Messmer et al., 1992). The H-box–WD40 module is flanked on the N-terminal side by a Lis1-homology (LisH) domain which is required for DCAF1 dimerization (Åhn et al., 2011), and on the C-terminal side by an acidic domain which provides interactions with additional proteins (Huang and Chen, 2008; Wang et al., 2016) (Figure 1).
Though the H-box motif and WD40 domain are key structural features of DCAF1 that mediate its interaction with DDB1 in the CRL4 complex, there is evidence that other portions of DCAF1 may contribute to this interaction. First, two reports demonstrate that truncated forms of DCAF1 lacking both the H-box and WD40 domain can interact with DDB1, albeit more poorly than full-length DCAF1 (McCall et al., 2008; Kassmeier et al., 2012). Second, a naturally occurring isoform of DCAF1, harboring an internal truncation spanning the casein kinase (CK)-like domain, the chromo-like domain, and a portion of the ARM domain ('isoform 3', Uniprot ID Q9Y4B6-3), shows impaired DDB1 interaction relative to full-length DCAF1 (Cassiday et al., 2015). Third, we show here that a DCAF1 mutant lacking residues encoded by exons 7 and 8 of murine Dcaf (‘DCAF1\(\Delta7/8\)’, aa172–375) exhibits reduced association with DDB1 upon affinity purification of FLAG-tagged DCAF1\(\Delta7/8\) from HEK293T cells compared to wild-type DCAF1 (Figure 2). Interestingly, DCAF1\(\Delta7/8\) also shows increased association with DYRK2 under these conditions (Figure 2). This observation suggests that this region may control the differential association of DCAF1 with the CRL4 and EDD-DYRK2 E3 ubiquitin ligases. Although DCAF1 has established roles in recruiting substrates to both E3 ligase complexes, no mechanism regulating the association of DCAF1 with one or the other has been identified (Nakagawa et al., 2013). Such a mechanism is unlikely to involve DCAF1’s kinase activity (see below), because a putative active-site mutant of DCAF1 (DCAF1\(\Delta1596\)) shows levels of DDB1 association comparable to DCAF1\(^{WT}\) (Figure 2). Further work will be needed to determine how aa172-375 promotes association with DDB1, as well as its possible significance for regulating DCAF1-mediated protein ubiquitylation via CRL4 versus EDD-DYRK2.

Function of DCAF1 in normal physiology and cancer

Regulation of p53-mediated transcription

Recent work has highlighted the importance of DCAF1 in regulating cell-cycle progression and apoptosis through both p53-dependent and -independent mechanisms. Hrecka et al. (2007) provided the first evidence that DCAF1 negatively regulates p53. This study showed that DCAF1 depletion in U2OS cells increases expression of p53 and several of its target genes, including those encoding the cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitors p21 and p27. Consistent with this finding, conditional inactivation of Dcaf1 in T lymphocytes was found to stabilize p53 levels in DCAF1-deficient T cells and increase levels of p21 and the pro-apoptotic protein Bax (both products of p53 target genes) (Guo et al., 2016). p53 is known to be polyubiquitylated and degraded by the E3 ligase MDM2 (Haupt et al., 1997; Kubbutat et al., 1997). However, regulation of p53 ubiquitylation remains incompletely understood and may involve other E3 ligases (Brooks and Gu, 2006). In keeping with this, Guo et al. (2016) found that MDM2 overexpression increased p53 polyubiquitylation in U2OS cells, and that this effect was reversed by concomitant loss of DCAF1. However, the exact mechanism by which DCAF1 promotes ubiquitylation and degradation of p53 via MDM2 remains to be determined.

DCAF1 has also been implicated in controlling the transcriptional activity of p53 independently of p53 degradation. Kim et al. (2012) demonstrated that DCAF1 interacts with p53 and is recruited to the promoters of p53 target genes, functioning to block transcriptional activation via acetylation of histone-H3 tails. The LisH domain of DCAF1 was shown to preferentially interact with the unmodified tail of H3, enabling recruitment of the histone deacetylase 1 (HDAC1) to p53-dependent promoters, as well as blocking the histone acetyltransferase activity of CREB-binding protein (CBP, also known as p300) (Kim et al., 2012). Interestingly, the repression of p53-mediated transcription by DCAF1 was recently shown to be controlled by acetylation of p53 itself. Acetylation of six lysine residues in the C-terminal domain (CTD) of p53 was shown to block p53 interaction with DCAF1, as well as the oncoprotein SET, another p53 repressor (Vonlindern et al., 1992; Wang et al., 2016). The authors

Figure 1 Domain organization of DCAF1. The 1507-amino acid human DCAF1 isoform encoded by transcript variant ENST00000563997 (Ensembl database) is shown with structural features indicated. Interacting proteins are listed above and color-coded according to the site of interaction with DCAF1. Below, dashed lines indicate endogenous or recombinant deletions and solid lines indicate recombinant truncation mutants from the listed reference.
Figure 2 DCAF1Δ7/8 exhibits impaired association with CRL4. FLAG epitope-tagged murine DCAF1WT, DCAF1Δ7/8, and DCAF1K194R were expressed in HEK 293T cells and purified using anti-FLAG agarose resin (clone M-2, Biolegend) as described previously (Kassmeier et al., 2012). Proteins were analyzed by SDS-PAGE and western blotting to detect FLAG (Clone M2, Sigma-Aldrich), DDB1 (Clone D4C8, Cell Signaling Technology), CUL4A (Rabbit polyclonal #2699, Cell Signaling Technology), DYRK2 (Rabbit polyclonal #37912, Abcam), and β-actin (Clone AC-15, Sigma-Aldrich).

determined that interaction with the Lys-rich CTD of p53 is mediated by the C-terminal acidic patch of DCAF1, which is enriched in Asp and Glu residues (Wang et al., 2016) (Figure 1). Acetylation of Lys residues in the CTD of p53 is thought to neutralize their positive charge and thus reduce electrostatic attraction to the DCAF1 acidic domain. In support of this possibility, acetylation of p53 abrogated DCAF1 binding in vitro, and K→Q replacement mutations in the p53 CTD blocked DCAF1 interaction in vivo (Wang et al., 2016). Taken together, these studies describe a central role for DCAF1 in regulating p53 and its downstream targets, both by mediating MDM2-dependent p53 degradation, and by suppressing p53-mediated transcription.

p53-independent regulation of cell cycle, cell growth, division, and survival

Discovery and characterization of DCAF1 serine/threonine kinase activity. Besides p53, DCAF1 is known to regulate a variety of other factors and processes related to cell cycle and cell survival, several of which have been linked to cancer. Interestingly, Kim et al. (2013) identified a CK-like domain spanning residues 141–500 of DCAF1 (Figure 1). The authors determined that DCAF1 can phosphorylate histone H2A at T120 and identified a putative active site mutant lacking this activity, DCAF1K194R (Figure 1). Increased DCAF1 expression was associated with elevated H2AT120p levels in prostate, bladder, and breast cancer tissue sections (Kim et al., 2013). RNA microarray analysis of DCAF1-depleted DU145 cells identified a set of tumor-suppressor genes whose expression was elevated, suggesting that the kinase activity of DCAF1 promotes tumor growth. The authors also reported a small-molecule inhibitor of DCAF1 kinase activity, called B3283, which displayed tumorstatic activity when administered to DU145 tumor-engrafted mice (Kim et al., 2013). Thus, this study identified DCAF1 as a potential cancer therapeutic target and provided additional evidence for DCAF1’s involvement in regulating gene expression through control of histone modification.

Functional significance of DCAF1 in Merlin-deficient tumors. Recent work has identified CRL4DCAF1 as an inhibitory target of the tumor-suppressor protein Merlin, which is encoded by the neurofibromatosis type II (NF2) gene. Mutations in NF2 are linked to several glial cancers including schwannomas, mesotheliomas, and meningiomas (Ammoun and Hanemann, 2011). A tandem affinity purification-mass spectrometry (TAP-MS) screen identified DCAF1 and DDB1 as Merlin-associated proteins (Li et al., 2010b). However, rather than being a substrate of CRL4DCAF1, Merlin was found to inhibit CRL4DCAF1-mediated ubiquitylation, with the interaction between Merlin and DCAF1 being essential to Merlin’s tumor-suppressive function (Li et al., 2010b). Recent reports suggesting that Merlin exerts its tumor-suppressive function by activating the anti-proliferative Hippo kinase pathway (Zhao et al., 2007; Zhang et al., 2010) raised the possibility that DCAF1 normally functions to inactivate Hippo kinase signaling (Li et al., 2014). Using the Merlin-deficient mesothelioma cell line Meso-33, Li et al. (2014) determined that depleting DCAF1 increased inhibitory phosphorylation of the oncoprotein and Hippo regulatory target YAP and suppressed the expression of target genes of the transcription factor TEAD, which is activated by YAP. Interestingly, DCAF1 was found to interact with Lats1 and Lats2 (collectively called Warts), the kinases that directly phosphorylate YAP in the Hippo pathway (Li et al., 2014). DCAF1 was shown to direct the polyubiquitylation and proteasomal degradation of Lats1 by CRL4, while Lats2 was found to be oligoubiquitylated and inactivated by CRL4DCAF1. Ubiquitylation of both Lats1 and Lats2 was inhibited by Merlin overexpression.

Finally, Li et al. (2014) established the role of DCAF1-mediated Lats1/2 inactivation in Merlin-deficient tumorigenesis.
While siRNA-mediated DCAF1 depletion impaired the growth of Merlin-deficient FC-1801 schwannoma cells in mouse xenograft experiments, simultaneous depletion of Lats1/2 rescued this effect. Furthermore, using gene expression profiling experiments in Meso-33 cells, the authors demonstrated that deleting DCAF1 alone, or simultaneously deleting YAP and TAZ (which together activate TEAD), repressed YAP/TAZ-dependent genes. Of the genes identified in this experiment, expression of those dependent on both YAP/TAZ and DCAF1 were found to be more highly enriched in a panel of human mesotheliomas than those dependent only on DCAF1 or YAP/TAZ (Li et al., 2014). Thus, this study established DCAF1 as an important regulator of the Hippo pathway and revealed the molecular mechanism by which Merlin inhibits DCAF1-mediated tumorigenesis.

Mori et al. (2014) solved the crystal structure of the Merlin 4.1/Ernin/Radixin/Moesin (FERM) domain complexed with the acidic C-terminal domain (CTD) of DCAF1 (aa1417–1506), which was previously shown to mediate Merlin interaction (Li et al., 2010b). The authors identified two regions of the Merlin FERM domain which synergistically facilitate DCAF1 interaction. Based on structural similarity between the Merlin–DCAF1 CTD complex and complexes containing other FERM-domain proteins and cytoplasmic domains of transmembrane signaling molecules, including CD43 and CD44, the authors speculated that the CD44 intracellular domain (ICD) competes with DCAF1 for Merlin binding. The ICD of CD44 and other adhesion molecules can be liberated by proteolytic cleavage and subsequently participate in downstream signaling and transcription (Okamoto et al., 2001; Murakami et al., 2003). In keeping with this, the presence of DCAF1 abolished Merlin interaction with the ICD of CD44 in an in vitro binding assay (Mori et al., 2014). The authors propose a mechanism in which cleavage of the CD44 ICD enables it to block the Merlin–DCAF1 interaction, releasing CRL4DCAF1 from Merlin-mediated inhibition and promoting tumorigenesis (Mori et al., 2014). Though intriguing, further investigation will be needed to confirm the in vivo relevance of this mechanism.

Though significant progress toward understanding the pathways controlled by Merlin-mediated inhibition of CRL4DCAF1 has been made in recent years, several questions remain to be addressed. First, whether degradation of all or only a subset of DCAF1 substrates is blocked by Merlin remains unclear. It would be interesting to determine whether other pathways controlled by CRL4DCAF1, including those that have not been linked to tumorigenesis, are also subject to regulation by Merlin. Second, the structural basis for how Merlin interferes with the ubiquitylation of CRL4DCAF1 substrates remains to be determined. Of note, Merlin appears to block Lats1 ubiquitylation by CRL4 without disrupting the interaction between DCAF1 and Lats1 (Li et al., 2014). This suggests that Merlin does not directly compete with CRL4DCAF1 substrates for DCAF1 binding. Whether Merlin interferes with Rbx1–E2 interaction, ubiquitin transfer between the E2 and the substrate, or another step in the ubiquitylation process remains to be established. Third, one report purports to show that Merlin itself is targeted for proteasomal degradation through polyubiquitylation by CRL4DCAF1 (Huang and Chen, 2008), a finding which has been challenged by Li et al. (2010b). Whether Merlin degradation by CRL4DCAF1 is a physiologically relevant phenomenon, and under what conditions it enables release of CRL4DCAF1 inhibition remains to be determined. Finally, whether Merlin can inhibit other tumor promoting-activities of DCAF1, including those that appear to be independent of CRL4 E3 ubiquitin ligase activity (i.e. kinase activity or p53 inhibition/destabilization, described above) is also unclear.

Additional cell-cycle regulatory functions of DCAF1. Multiple lines of evidence implicate DCAF1 in the regulation of DNA replication, cell cycle progression, and cell division. McCall et al. (2008) provided early evidence for DCAF1 involvement in S-phase progression and DNA replication. Specifically, depletion of DCAF1 in U2OS cells increased the proportion of cells in the S and G2 cell cycle phases and was associated with increased ‘firing’ of new replication forks and increased apoptosis. Interestingly, subcellular fractionation experiments showed association of both DCAF1 and CUL4A with chromatin during S and G2 (McCall et al., 2008). Moreover, DCAF1 was shown to direct the degradation of the licensing factor MCM10 in a stress-dependent manner (Kaur et al., 2012). Whether these observations are mechanistically linked remains to be determined.

Recently, DCAF1 has been implicated in the transcriptional control of cell cycle progression. Using a high-throughput screening method to identify CRL substrates, Emanuele et al. (2011) and Wang et al. (2017) discovered that the stability of the transcription factor FoxM1 is regulated by CRL4DCAF1. FoxM1 is a member of the Forkhead-box family of transcription factors and regulates genes involved in cell proliferation; notably, dysregulation of FoxM1 target genes was identified in human high-grade serous ovarian cancer (HGSOC) (Ye et al., 1999; Kandoth et al., 2013). Wang et al. (2017) demonstrated that FoxM1 interacts with DCAF1 and is degraded by CRL4. Interestingly, DCAF1 is reported to transcriptionally co-activate FoxM1. Knockdown of DCAF1 impaired FoxM1 target gene expression, as well as progression from G2 to M phase (Wang et al., 2017). Chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP) experiments revealed that DCAF1 association with promoters of FoxM1 target genes in G2/M-synchronized cells was accompanied by decreased association of DCAF1 with other CRL4 components (Wang et al., 2017). Based on these observations, the authors proposed a model in which, during S-phase, DCAF1 directs FoxM1 polyubiquitylation and degradation via CRL4, whereas during G2/M, DCAF1 disengages from CRL4 and instead promotes FoxM1-dependent transcription. Finally, DCAF1 was found to be upregulated in HGSOC tumor samples relative to normal ovarian tissue, which the authors speculate explains the increased expression of FoxM1 target genes that is frequently found in HGSOC (Wang et al., 2017).

The above report represents one of several lines of evidence that implicate DCAF1 in the control of mitotic entry and cell division. Maddika and Chen (2009) identified the microtubule-severing enzyme Katanin as a ubiquitylation and degradation
target of the EDVP complex. Katanin is required for the proper segregation of sister chromatids during mitosis (Roll-Mecak and McNally, 2010). Overexpression of Katanin or depletion of EDVP components in HeLa cells causes accumulation of cells in G2/M, an effect which is rescued in the latter case by concurrent depletion of Katanin (Maddika and Chen, 2009). This finding indicates that DCAF1 helps control cytoskeletal and genome reorganization to facilitate mitosis. In keeping with this, a recent report implicated DCAF1 in regulating centrosome structure and function (Hossain et al., 2017). The centrosome, composed of a pair of centrioles, is the center of microtubule organization in the eukaryotic cell and plays an important role in organelle positioning and cell division (Bornens, 2012). Each centriole is a barrel-like structure composed of hundreds of proteins organized by a scaffold of tubulin rods. Centrosomes are duplicated during S and G2 phases, and during M phase, organize the formation of the mitotic spindle and enable proper segregation of daughter chromatids prior to cytokinesis (Bornens, 2012; Fu et al., 2015). The protein composition of the centrosome and the functions of centrosomal proteins are subjects of ongoing inquiry. Hossain et al. (2017) identified an interaction between the DCAF1 CTD and centrosomal protein of 78 kDa (Cep78) and showed that Cep78 co-immunoprecipitates with components of the EDVP complex, but not those of CRL4. Rather than being a substrate of EDVP, Cep78 was found to block ubiquitylation of known EDVP targets, but had no effect on CRL4-DCAF1 substrates (Hossain et al., 2017). The authors found that CP110, another centrosomal protein previously shown to interact with Cep78, was ubiquitylated by EDVP and subsequently degraded. Depletion of Cep78 or overexpression of DCAF1 was associated with the formation of elongated centrioles, as well as premature formation of cilia in proliferating cells (Hossain et al., 2017). Interestingly, as was the case for EDVP-mediated degradation of Katanin (Maddika and Chen, 2009), phosphorylation of CP110 by DYRK2 was shown to be required for its ubiquitylation (Hossain et al., 2017). Also, as was true for Merlin-mediated inhibition of Lats1 ubiquitylation by CRL4-DCAF1 (Li et al., 2014), Cep78 did not block CP110 ubiquitylation by disrupting the CP110–DCAF1 interaction. An interesting mechanistic question raised by these studies is how phosphorylation of EDVP substrates by DYRK2 triggers their ubiquitylation. The observation by Hossain et al. (2017) that a form of CP110 harboring mutations in putative DYRK2 phosphorylation sites lost its ability to interact with DCAF1 suggests that phosphorylation by DYRK2 is involved in recruiting substrates to the EDVP complex.

Role of DCAF1 in other cellular processes

Functions in gametogenesis and reproduction. Recent work by two groups has highlighted a role for DCAF1 in oocyte and zygotic development. In the ovaries of adult female mice and humans, oocyte-containing primordial follicles are maintained for the length of the reproductive lifespan in a dormant state (McGee and Hsueh, 2000; Adhikari and Liu, 2009). To produce oocytes capable of being fertilized, primordial follicles continuously give rise to primary, second, and antral follicles (characterized by the formation of an antral cavity). At the antral stage, most follicles degenerate through an apoptotic process called atresia, whereas a subset is rescued by follicle-stimulating hormone (FSH) and become preovulatory follicles, which in turn give rise to mature oocytes during ovulation (McGee and Hsueh, 2000).

To investigate potential functions of CRL4-DCAF1 in oocytes, Yu et al. (2013) generated a series of mouse strains in which Dcaf1 or Ddb1 is conditionally inactivated at specific stages of oocyte maturation. Pan-oocyte deletion of Dcaf1 or Ddb1 (in Dcaf1fl/fl; Gdf9-cre or Ddb1fl/fl; Gdf9-cre mice) led to a complete absence of follicles in the ovaries of 12 week-old mice, indicating that CRL4-DCAF1 is required for maintaining primordial follicles (Yu et al., 2013). In a subsequent study, this group determined that deleting Dcaf1 in activated oocytes (using Dcaf1fl/fl; Zp3-cre mice) increased the frequency of atretic follicles compared to control mice (Yu et al., 2015b). This was followed by complete loss of activated follicles by 6 weeks, though primordial follicles were intact as late as 20 weeks, indicating that CRL4-DCAF1 is required for both primordial follicle maintenance and oocyte activation (Yu et al., 2015b).

Interestingly, although oocyte numbers were comparable with wild-type mice at postnatal day 1, DDB1-deficient oocytes displayed reduced expression of several genes required for oocyte survival (Yu et al., 2013). Loss of DDB1 or DCAF1 was associated with increased formation of 5-methyl cytosine (5mC) at the promoters of these genes, suggesting that CRL4-DCAF1 regulates epigenetic control of oocyte maturation (Yu et al., 2013). 5mC levels are regulated by the ten eleven translocation (TET) family of dioxygenases, which catalyze the conversion of 5mC to 5-hydroxymethyl cytosine (5hmC), the first reaction in a multistep process that reverses cytosine methylation (Ito et al., 2010). Loss of CRL4-DCAF1 activity resulted in a global decrease in 5hmC levels in oocytes (Yu et al., 2013). Importantly, this study also demonstrated that TET1 interacts with DCAF1 via residues N-terminal to the WD40 domain, and that inhibition of CRL activity by MLN4924 abolishes DNA binding by TET1, TET2, and TET3 (Yu et al., 2013). However, the exact mechanism of TET activation by CRL4-DCAF1 remained to be determined.

In agreement with these observations, Yue Xiong’s group demonstrated that DCAF1 interacts with all three TET family members (Nakagawa et al., 2015). As was the case for Ddb1fl/fl; Zp3-cre mice (Yu et al., 2013), the male pronuclei of zygotes harvested from mated Dcaf1fl/fl; Zp3-cre females exhibited reduced 5hmC and increased 5mC levels as compared to Dcaf1fl/fl counterparts, and failed to initiate embryogenesis (Nakagawa et al., 2015). Interestingly, the authors determined that CRL4-DCAF1 monoubiquitylates TET1, TET2, and TET3 at evolutionarily conserved lysine residues, including K1299 of TET2, which is recurrently mutated in patients with myeloid cancers (Delhommeau et al., 2009; Kosmider et al., 2009). Whereas recombinant WT TET2 bound unmethylated oligonucleotides in vitro, TET2 K1299E and K1299N mutants exhibited no detectable binding to these targets (Nakagawa et al., 2015). ChIP-qPCR analysis revealed that both mutants exhibited reduced binding to TET-target promoters compared to WT when overexpressed in U2OS cells (Nakagawa et al.,
2015). Finally, the authors determined that overexpressing a ubiquitination site mutant of murine TET2 blocked 5hmC formation in U2OS cells. Notably, this mutant retained its ability to interact with DCAF1, as well as its catalytic activity in an in vitro assay (Nakagawa et al., 2015). Taken together, these two studies identify DCAF1 as a key epigenetic regulator in both oocyte maturation and zygotic development. However, aside from the TET proteins, there may be additional factors whose expression or activity is regulated by CRL4<sub>DCAF1</sub> in oocyte development. Of importance, Nakagawa et al. (2015) note that ablation of TET 3 does not recapitulate the developmental defect caused by loss of DCAF1 in murine zygotes, although loss of 5hmC in the male pronucleus occurred in both cases (Gu et al., 2011; Wossidlo et al., 2011).

Expanding on their previous work, Yu et al. (2015b) observed increased apoptosis among granulosa cells in the ovaries of Dcaf1<sup>fl/fl</sup>;Gdf9<sup>-cre</sup> and Ddb1<sup>fl/fl</sup>;Gdf9<sup>-cre</sup> mice. Granulosa cells are somatic cells which initially form a single layer surrounding the oocyte, subsequently expanding as the follicle becomes activated and advances to the antral stage (McGee and Hsueh, 2000). Granulosa cells provide steroid hormones and growth factors to the developing oocyte, but also themselves receive stimulatory signals from the oocyte (McGee and Hsueh, 2000; Pangas and Matzuk, 2004). Because Gdf9 is not expressed in granulosa cells and DDB1 expression was unaltered in these cells in Gdf9<sup>-cre</sup> transgenic mice, this finding suggests that CRL4<sub>DCAF1</sub> functions in oocytes to control granulosa cell expansion and survival in a non-autonomous manner (Yu et al., 2015b).

In addition to promoting of granulosa cell survival, this group identified a second TET-independent function for CRL4<sub>DCAF1</sub> in oocytes. The surge in levels of follicle-stimulating and luteinizing hormones that precedes ovulation stimulates meiotic reentry of dormant oocytes in preovulatory follicles, an event termed germinal vesicle breakdown (GVBD) (Mattheij et al., 1994; McGee and Hsueh, 2000). Histological analysis of the ovaries of Dcaf1<sup>fl/fl</sup>;Gdf9<sup>-cre</sup> and Ddb1<sup>fl/fl</sup>;Gdf9<sup>-cre</sup> mice revealed significantly reduced levels of GVBD in response to hCG injection in both knockout strains as compared to WT mice (Yu et al., 2015a). Fluorescent microscopy analysis of oocytes collected from superovulated mice revealed that this was accompanied by defects in both knockout strains in chromosome alignment at prometaphase I and impaired chromosome segregation during anaphase (Yu et al., 2015b). In Ddb1<sup>fl/fl</sup>;Gdf9<sup>-cre</sup> oocytes, structural maintenance of chromosomes 3 (SMC3), a component of the cohesin complex that holds homologous chromosomes together during metaphase, was retained on chromosome arms, whereas it was relocated to the centromere in WT oocytes (Yu et al., 2015a). DDB1-deficient oocytes also displayed increased ectopic localization of protein phosphatase 2A (PP2A) on chromosome arms, whereas it normally co-localizes with cohesin complexes during the metaphase-anaphase transition and protects cohesin against removal from the chromosome (Liu et al., 2013; Yu et al., 2015a). The authors determined that CRL4<sub>DCAF1</sub> targets the scaffold subunit of PP2A, PP2A-A, for proteasomal degradation, whereas it does not appear to regulate the stability of the regulatory or catalytic subunits (PP2A-B and PP2A-C, respectively (Yu et al., 2015a)). The authors present a model in which CRL4<sub>DCAF1</sub> limits PP2A-A expression in order to ensure proper chromatid alignment and segregation during meiotic reentry of activated oocytes (Yu et al., 2015a). Taken together, these studies illuminate previously unknown functions for CRL4<sub>DCAF1</sub> female fertility and oogenesis.

**Lipid metabolism.** A pair of recent studies suggest that DCAF1 regulates lipid metabolism (Yoshizawa et al., 2014; Karim et al., 2017). The authors were originally interested in determining the function of Sirtuin-7 (SIRT7) in lipid uptake in the liver (Yoshizawa et al., 2014). The seven SIRT proteins found in mammals are nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide (NAD<sup>+</sup>)-dependent histone deacetylases that have been implicated in a wide variety of cellular processes (Houtkooper et al., 2012). Deletion of SIRT1, SIRT3, or SIRT6 was shown to increase hepatic lipid uptake, prompting Yoshizawa et al. (2014) to investigate a role for SIRT7 in lipid metabolism. In contrast to SIRT1, SIRT3, and SIRT6, the authors found that both conventional and liver-specific deletion of SIRT7 resulted in decreased hepatic lipid uptake in mice fed with a high-fat diet, as well as resistance to obesity. These effects were accompanied by a transcription-independent decrease in the expression level of the nuclear orphan receptor TR4 in hepatocytes of SIRT7-deficient mice (Yoshizawa et al., 2014). Importantly, the authors note that SIRT7 deficiency recapitulates several of the effects of TR4 deficiency on hepatic lipid metabolism (Kang et al., 2011).

In a proteomic screen of mouse hepatocyte nuclear extracts for TR4-interacting proteins, the authors identified DCAF1 and DDB1, suggesting that TR4 interacts with CRL4<sub>DCAF1</sub> (Yoshizawa et al., 2014). This finding, along with the observations that SIRT7 knockdown shortens TR4 half-life, and that overexpressing SIRT7 inhibits TR4 polyubiquitination in COS-7 cells, led the authors to hypothesize that SIRT7 blocks the ubiquitination and degradation of TR4 by CRL4<sub>DCAF1</sub> (Yoshizawa et al., 2014). In support of this possibility, loss of TR4 in SIRT7-depleted Hepa1-6 cells was partially reversed by simultaneous depletion of CUL4B (Yoshizawa et al., 2014). However, the authors were unable to detect an interaction between DCAF1 and SIRT7, leaving the precise mechanism of inhibition unclear.

In a subsequent study, this group found that, rather than interacting with DCAF1 or CUL4B, SIRT7 interacts directly with DDB1 (Karim et al., 2017). Given that DDB1 was identified as a substate of the lysine acetyltransferase p300/CBP associated factor (PCAF) (Li et al., 2012), Karim et al. (2017) investigated the role of SIRT7 in regulating DDB1 function. The authors demonstrated that SIRT7 deacetylates DDB1, resulting in impaired DDB1–DCAF1 interaction and stabilization of TR4 (Karim et al., 2017). Taken together, these two studies indicate a novel role for DCAF1 in regulating lipid metabolism, as well as a role for lysine acetylation in regulating CRL4<sub>DCAF1</sub> assembly.

**Skeletal muscle cell differentiation.** A recent study identified a previously unrecognized role for DCAF1 in regulating skeletal muscle cell differentiation (Jung et al., 2015). In both embryo
and adult, myocytes differentiate from mesenchymal stem cells by first proceeding through a myoblast stage that is characterized by rapid proliferation. Terminally differentiated myotubes arise when myoblasts exit cell cycle and fuse with one another, forming elongated, multinucleate cells. The transcription factor MyoD has been identified as a key regulator of stem cell commitment to the myocyte lineage (Rudnicki and Jaenisch, 1995). MyoD expression and activity is in turn regulated post-translationally through modulation of MyoD stability by the lysine methyltransferase G9a, though the mechanistic details remained obscure (Ling et al., 2012a, b; Jung et al., 2015).

Jung et al. (2015) determined that methylation of MyoD by G9a stimulates MyoD polyubiquitylation and proteasomal degradation in mouse C2C12 myoblast cells. This activity was inhibited both by overexpression of Jmjd2C, a member of the Jmjd domain demethylase family, and by treatment of C2C12 cells with a specific inhibitor of G9a (Jung et al., 2015). Because CRL4^{DCAF1} was previously shown to mediate the degradation of methylated proteins (Lee et al., 2012), the authors sought to investigate CRL4^{DCAF1} involvement in MyoD turnover. The authors found that DCAF1 overexpression stimulates polyubiquitylation of MyoD in C2C12 cells. Furthermore, in C2C12 cells, overexpression of either of two truncated forms of DCAF1, one containing only the WD40 domain and one containing only the chromo-like domain, which specifically binds methylated proteins (Lee et al., 2012), had a dominant-negative effect on polyubiquitylation of MyoD (Jung et al., 2015). Thus, these data indicate that DCAF1 controls myogenesis by promoting the turnover of MyoD.

**microRNA biogenesis.** microRNAs (miRNAs) are small (~22 nt) non-coding RNAs that mediate post-transcriptional gene silencing (Bushati and Cohen, 2007). miRNAs are generated in a multistep process that begins with transcription of a primary miRNA, which forms a double-stranded stem-loop structure through self-complementarity. The primary miRNA, which can be 100s of nucleotides in length, is then trimmed to ~70 bp by the enzyme Drosha, before undergoing nuclear export. In the cytoplasm, the now-precursor miRNA is further processed by the endoribonuclease Dicer, which in a second cleavage step removes the loop, generating a miRNA duplex of 21–23 nt (Jaskiewicz and Filipowicz, 2008). One strand of the duplex is then incorporated into an RNA-induced silencing complex (RISC), which is targeted to specific mRNAs through miRNA-mRNA complementarity. In most cases, the RISC mediates destabilization of the target mRNA through deadenylation, but can also repress mRNA translation independently of stability (Filipowicz et al., 2008).

Ren et al. (2016) reported that stimulation of HCT116 colon cancer cells with lipopolysaccharide or interleukin-6 (IL-6) induces polyubiquitylation and proteasomal degradation of Dicer via CRL4^{DCAF1}. Dicer degradation was correlated with increased activation of signal transducer and activator of transcription 3 (STAT3), a downstream target of the IL-6 receptor (Ren et al., 2016). Interestingly, ChIP-PCR revealed binding of STAT3 to the promoters of both CUL4A and DCAF1, suggesting that IL-6 stimulates transcriptional upregulation of the CRL4^{DCAF1} components, resulting in increased ubiquitylation and degradation of Dicer (Ren et al., 2016).

In surprising contrast to this report, we recently showed that conditional deletion of Dcaf1 in mouse B cell progenitors results in a profound, transcription-independent loss of Dicer in these cells (Schabla et al., 2018). Although the mechanism underlying this observation remains to be determined, it raises the possibility that DCAF1 has tissue-specific roles in regulating Dicer levels. Further study will be required to investigate cell type-specific regulation of Dicer by DCAF1, and the signaling pathways that orchestrate this activity.

**Role in lymphocyte development and function.** Recently, our laboratory and others have investigated the function of DCAF1 in lymphocytes. Guo et al. (2016) found that stimulation of T cell receptor (TCR) signaling in naïve CD4^{+} T cells elicits a transcription-independent increase in DCAF1 protein levels that is correlated with cell growth and proliferation, suggesting a role for DCAF1 in activated T cells. T cell-intrinsic inactivation of Dcaf1 in vivo at the double-positive stage of T cell development by Cd4Cre reduced numbers of both CD4^{+} and CD8^{+} T cells in spleen and peripheral lymph node, though T cell development in the thymus was not significantly perturbed (Guo et al., 2016). CD4^{+} T cells isolated from Dcaf1^{Cre/Cre};Cd4Cre mice failed to enter cell cycle and increase in size upon stimulation of TCR signaling. The former defect was rescued by ablating p53 in DCAF1-deficient T cells, whereas as the defect in cell growth was not (Guo et al., 2016). The authors also demonstrated that loss of DCAF1 impaired T cell-mediated immunity in a model of lymphocytic choriomeningitis virus infection. Thus, in addition to identifying a role for DCAF1 in regulating the stability of p53 (as discussed above), this study also highlights the importance of DCAF1 function in T cell activation and proliferation.

Our laboratory recently discovered an interaction between DCAF1 and the recombination activating gene 1 (RAG1) protein (Kassmeier et al., 2012). RAG1 and its cofactor RAG2 together form a site-specific endonuclease that catalyzes DNA cleavage during the assembly of immunoglobulin (Ig) and TCR genes from variable, diverse, and joining gene segments, a process termed V(D)J recombination (Schatz and Swanson, 2011). B cell-intrinsic deletion of DCAF1 caused a profound block in B cell development in vivo, but this defect could be partially rescued through expression of an E_{μ}-Bcl2 transgene on the DCAF1-deficient background (Palmer et al., 2015). Interestingly, most peripheral B cells developing on this genetic background express the Igδ light chain, rather than the Igκ light chain, which normally predominates. This reflects a ~10-fold loss in the total number of Igκ^{+} B cells, and is accompanied by increased levels of ‘Igδ-deletion’, a type of secondary V(D)J rearrangement in which an Igκ allele undergoes inactivation through RAG-mediated excision of the x-constant exon and transcriptional enhancer elements from the chromosome.

We found that RAG1 levels were increased in B cell progenitors of these mice, in a manner that was independent of levels...
of Rag1 transcript and RAG2 protein (Schabla et al., 2018). Cycloheximide pulse-chase experiments revealed that loss of DCAF1 stabilizes RAG1 (Schabla et al., 2018), which is normally has a short half-life of ∼15–30 min (Sadofsky et al., 1993; Grawunder et al., 1996), prompting the hypothesis that DCAF1 directs the degradation of RAG1 via CRL4. Consistent with this hypothesis, treatment of B cell progenitors with MLN4924 or the proteasome inhibitor Bortezomib mimics the effect of DCAF1 loss on RAG1 stability (Schabla et al., 2018). Thus, we have identified DCAF1 as a key regulator of RAG1 turnover, and by extension, V(D)J recombination and B cell repertoire development.

Concluding remarks
Since the discovery of DCAF1 nearly 20 years ago (Zhang et al., 2001), much progress has been made in understanding its function. However, it has only been more recently that interest in DCAF1’s physiological functions, as opposed to its subversion by lentiviruses, has prompted an accelerated growth in the body of literature on this subject, much of which is described here. The picture currently emerging is of DCAF1 as a multifunctional protein that regulates a wide variety of cellular processes through the CRL4DCAF1 and EDVP complexes. These include some cell type-specific processes, such as V(D) recombination (Kassmeier et al., 2012; Palmer et al., 2015; Schabla et al., 2018) and others common to all tissues, such as p53 activity (Hrecka et al., 2007; Kim et al., 2012; Guo et al., 2016) and cell division (Hrecka et al., 2007; Maddika and Chen, 2009; Hossain et al., 2017). A graphical summary of current knowledge of the physiological regulatory targets of DCAF1 and their associated biological processes is shown in Figure 3.

The studies discussed in this review also raise many new questions that remain to be addressed. One outstanding issue is how association of DCAF1 with CRL4 versus EDVP is controlled. Though experimental evidence is lacking, an obvious possibility is post-translational modification of DCAF1, DDB1, or both. If this turns out to be the case, it would be interesting to determine which signaling pathways control exchange of DCAF1 between CRL4 and EDVP, and how this impacts the timing of substrate ubiquitylation with respect to cell cycle or differentiation. A second issue pertains to newly discovered, ubiquitin-independent functions of DCAF1. For example, H2A is to our knowledge the only recognized substrate of DCAF1’s Ser/Thr kinase activity (Kim et al., 2013). If other phosphorylation substrates of DCAF1 exist, their discovery would be of interest. In addition, whether DCAF1 can regulate the activity of transcription factors in addition to FoxM1 (Wang et al., 2017) and p53 (Kim et al., 2012; Wang et al., 2016) remains to be determined. A third issue centers on the significance of the DCAF1 CTD as a ‘reader’ of Lys-rich motifs in p53 and other proteins (Wang et al., 2016), and the circumstances under which such proteins are subjected to DCAF1 regulation.

The progress made over the last several years to understand DCAF1’s normal physiological activity is cause for optimism that these and other questions will be answered. However, we believe that the pleiotropic roles of DCAF1 as revealed by the studies discussed in this review provide reason for some caution in

Figure 3 Illustration of pathways controlled by DCAF1. The DCAF1 regulatory targets reported in the studies cited here are shown, with bold text indicating the overarching biological processes with which they are associated. Targets are grouped according to regulation by CRL4DCAF1 (right), EDVP (left), or E3 ligase-independent (or unconfirmed) DCAF1 (center). Mechanisms are represented according to the legend at the top left.
interpreting the phenotypic effects of deletion or knockdown of DCAF1. For example, biological processes that normally involve cellular proliferation may be expected to show deficits upon DCAF1 deletion. Our studies show that enforced Bcl2 expression can rescue some of these general deficits to enable analysis of B cell-intrinsic DCAF1 function, which may be a useful approach to study cell type-specific roles for DCAF1 in other systems.
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