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RNA-seq profile of African American men with a clinically localized prostate cancer
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ABSTRACT

Background: Prostate cancer in African American (AA) men has a poor prognosis. This study aimed to identify potential genetic risk factors for prostate cancer in AA men.

Methods: We used prostate cancer tissue from 61 patients who underwent radical prostatectomy. We compared somatic gene expression in Caucasian (CA) and AA men using RNA sequencing.

Results: By comparing the RNA-seq data obtained from prostate cancer tissue between AA and CA men, this study showed a significant difference in expression levels of 45 genes. Pathway analysis of 45 genes using Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes enrichment analysis revealed a neuroactive ligand-receptor interaction signal. In addition, the results of the Ingenuity Pathway Analysis showed pathways involved sphingosine-1-phosphate signaling. Furthermore, validating 45 genes in the The Cancer Genome Atlas Provisional cohort, cholinergic receptor muscarinic 3 expression level was significantly lower in AA than in CA men, and the results showed a significantly higher rate of biochemical recurrence in patients with low expression.

Conclusions: We identified genetic differences of clinically localized prostate cancer in AAs and CAs by RNA sequencing.

© 2020 Asian Pacific Prostate Society. Publishing services by Elsevier B.V. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

1. Introduction

Prostate cancer is the second most common cancer in men, with 164,690 estimated new cases diagnosed in the United States through 2018. In the United States, African American (AA) men are about 1.4 times more likely to have prostate cancer than Caucasian (CA) men and have about twice the mortality rate. Furthermore, in AA men, prostate cancer has a poor prognosis and may have a worse prognosis even if patients are diagnosed with a low-risk prostate cancer. Brian et al. reported that AA men who chose active surveillance for a low-risk prostate cancer have a worse prognosis than non-AA men. A number of studies have reported early upgrade of prostate cancer in AA men. Therefore, AA men may need a different screening and treatment strategy than CA men.

Differences in morbidity and mortality could be due to genetic predisposition. Epidemiological studies of men with similar genetic backgrounds give us the hypothesis that genetic factors are associated with high incidence and mortality in AA men. For example, men in Nigeria and Ghana have a high incidence of prostate cancer, and similar results have been observed in African descent in the Caribbean and the United Kingdom. Genome-wide sequencing of high-risk prostate cancer in AA men showed race-specific genetic differences. Thus, differences in somatic gene expression in AA prostate cancer are expected, suggesting that there may be biomarkers for predicting early progression. However, studies of gene expression levels for prostate cancer in AA men have been inadequate.

The aim of this study was to compare somatic gene expression in CA and AA men using RNA sequences and to identify potential genetic risk factors for prostate cancer in AA men from these differences. The results obtained in this study were compared and validated using publicly available prostate cancer database. Then we searched for genes that are specific to AA men and may have prognostic impact.
2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Selection of patients

Sixty-one men who underwent radical prostatectomy at the Rutgers University Cancer Institute New Jersey (CINJ) between 2011 and 2017 were selected. Thirty-one were AA and 30 were CA men. Tumor collection was approved by the Institutional Review Board. All patients agreed to genetic testing of surgical explants. Prostate-specific antigen (PSA) was measured before radical prostatectomy. Prostatectomy specimens were pathologically diagnosed at our institution after surgery. Gleason score was evaluated, and pathologic staging was determined. To determine whether there were significant differences in clinical backgrounds between AA and CA men, a correlation analysis was performed for each background factor.

2.2. Tissue preparation and sequencing

After prostatectomy, the prostate was fixed with formalin and paraffin. To adequately extract only prostate cancer from formalin-fixed paraffin-embedded tissue, a pathologist identified a site that was morphologically diagnosed with cancer. Library preparation for whole-transcriptome sequencing, with rRNA depletion, was performed using the NuGen Ovation Universal RNA-Seq system (Part#: 0343) according to manufacturer’s protocol. The libraries were analyzed on Agilent 4200 TapeStation System using High Sensitivity D1000 ScreenTape Assay (Cat#: 5067-5584) and quantified using KAPA qPCR (Cat#: KK4835). Libraries were then normalized to 10nM, and specific number of libraries were combined per pool to get about 100 million reads per sample. Each pooled library was then clustered and sequenced on Illumina NextSeq 550 instrument using NextSeq 500/550 High Output Kit v2 (300 cycles) in 2 × 150 bp paired end sequencing format.

2.3. Differential expression analysis

The RNA expression values in transcripts per million were determined using this kallisto package. The kallisto results were grouped based upon AA and CA status and differential expression was calculated using the sleuth R package. The threshold for significance in gene expression was a Benjamini-Hochberg corrected p-value (q-value) < 0.05. Enriched Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) pathways and Gene Ontologies were determined using DAVID. Furthermore, we analyzed RNA signaling pathways with software, Ingenuity Pathway Analysis (IPA, http://www.ingenuity.com).

2.4. Statistical analysis

To compare the patients' background, Fisher's exact test was used for a categorical variable and the Mann–Whitney U test was used for continuous variables. Kaplan–Meier analysis was used to analyze the disease-free survival in the low- and high-RNA expression group (cutoff = 0.5), and log-rank test was used to detect the statistical significance. We analyzed only cases that had no missing values in the required data, when we found missing values in each analysis. All statistical analyses were performed with R Statistical Software (version 3.5.2).

2.5. Validation data sets

Candidate genes obtained by comparing differences in gene expression between AA and CA men in our cohort were tested for gene expression levels and clinical outcomes in available public data to search for genes which are specific for AA men and likely to be involved in recurrence. The RNA expression levels between AA and CA men were compared again using TCGA Provisional database from the cBioPortal for Cancer Genomics (http://www.cbioportal.org/). Because TCGA Provisional contained the most ethnic information available in public databases, correlation analysis between gene expression and clinical outcome was performed using this dataset. RNA expressions were compared using the Mann–Whitney U test. We compared disease-free survival between the high- and low-expression groups using Kaplan–Meier analysis. Disease relapse was either biochemical recurrence or radiological tumor recurrence/metastasis. We were available RNA expression levels as a Z-score calculated by comparing to the expression distribution of each gene tumors that are diploid for these gene.

3. Results

3.1. Characteristics of the 31 AA and 30 CA men

The results of the comparison of patient background factors are shown in Table 1. There were no significant differences between AA and CA in age, Gleason Score in surgical specimens, and pathological stage. The median preoperative PSA was 8.4 in AA and 5.9 in CA men, which were significantly higher in AA than in CA men (P = 0.021).

3.2. mRNA expression

Fig. 1 showed a flowchart of this study. From whole-transcriptome RNA-seq in prostate cancers from AA and CA men, we found a significant difference in expression of 45 genes (adj. P < 0.05) (Fig. 2-a and Supplementary Table 1). Comparison of the log-fold change RNA expression levels in AA and CA men (Log2 AAs/CAs) revealed that the genes specifically upregulated in CA men were AL513523.2, SRMS C1orf95 NKX2-2, AC25357.1, GCG (LOG2 (AAs/CAs) < −1.0). On the other hand, the top 3 most upregulated genes in AA men were RASA4B, S1PR3, and CRYBB2 (LOG2 (AAs/CAs) > 0.5). We divided the genes into two groups after hierarchical clustering by versatile matrix visualization and analysis software, Morpheus (https://software.broadinstitute.org/morpheus) (Fig. 2-b). CAs<AAs genes included increased expression levels in AA than in CA men and AAs>CAs genes included increased expression levels in CA than AA men. The results of Gene Ontologies analysis identified biological processes such as negative regulation of molecular function in AAs>CAs genes (Supplementary Table 2). On the other hand, no significant biological process was identified in CAs<AAs genes. Pathway analysis of 45 genes using KEGG enrichment analysis identified a neuroactive ligand–receptor interaction signal containing S1PR3, GALR1, cholinergic receptor muscarinic 3 (CHR3M), and NPFPR1 (Supplementary Table 3).

The analysis of 45 RNA expression in AAs by IPA identified four molecular pathways and two networks involving these genes. The network analyzed by IPA included a human embryonic stem (ES) cell pluripotency pathway involving NANOG, PDGFA, and S1P3R (Table 2). In addition, CHRM3, S1PR3, NFPR1, and GPRC5A formed a network centered on G protein–coupled receptors (Fig. 3-a). We also identified another network involving TPS3 (Fig. 3-b).

3.3. Validation RNA-seq result by TCGA data set

In TCGA Provisional, RNA sequence data were obtained from 326 patients, of which 285 were CA and 41 were AA men. Although there were significant differences between AA and CA men in diagnosis age (P = 0.004) and prostatectomy Gleason sum
there was no significant differences in disease-free survival (median survival, 73.3 vs. 77.3 months; \( P = 0.242 \)) (Supplementary Figure 1). Regarding genes with significant differences in our RNA sequence results, we also examined whether there were differences in RNA expression levels between AA and CA men in TCGA Provisional. The results showed a significant difference in the expression levels of 7 genes, which exhibited a similar pattern to our results with respect to whether AA or CA men
expression increased levels (Fig. 4). In other words, the expression level of RNA in genes CRYBB2 (median Z score, 0.187 vs 0.414; \( P < 0.001 \)) and TTC38 (median Z score, 0.381 vs 0.449; \( P < 0.001 \)) was significantly higher in AA men, whereas the expression level of RNA in genes CDH13 (median Z score, 0.583 vs 0.087; \( P < 0.001 \)), CHML (median Z score, −0.623 vs −0.036; \( P < 0.001 \)), NAIP (median Z score, −0.406 vs −0.074; \( P = 0.043 \)), and SLC6A20 (median Z score, −0.372 vs −0.211; \( P = 0.006 \)) was significantly higher in CA men in both CINJ cohort and TCGA Provisional.

In addition, these gene expression levels and disease-free survival were compared using Kaplan–Meier analysis. There was significant difference in disease-free survival between the high- and low-expression groups only in CHRM3. High-expression group in CHRM3 had significantly longer disease-free survival (median survival, 77.3 vs. not reached months; \( P = 0.012 \)) (Fig. 5-a). Furthermore, as a result of racial stratification, AA men with high expression of CHRM3 tended not to relapse after surgery compared with other patients (median survival, CHRM3 low in AA men; not reached, CHRM3 low in CA men; 82.2 months, CHRM3 high in AA men; not reached months, CHRM3 high in CA men; not reached) (Fig. 5-b).

4. Discussion

By comparing the RNA-seq data obtained from prostate cancer tissue of AA and CA men, we found a significant difference in expression levels of 45 genes. The results of KEGG pathway analysis revealed four genes involved in neuroactive ligand–receptor interaction. In addition, the results of the IPA showed that there were two networks and five pathways in 45 genes. These pathways involved sphingosine-1-phosphate signaling. Furthermore, testing of 45 genes in the public database TCGA Provisional cohort showed that seven genes had significant differences in AA and CA men in the pattern similar to that of the present cohort. In particular, CHRM3 was significantly less expressed in AA than in CA men, and the results showed a significantly higher rate of biochemical recurrence in patients with low expression levels.

Several race-specific pathways in prostate cancer have been reported comparing AA with CA men\(^{14,15}\). Protein analysis by Myers et al.\(^{15}\) showed that neuroactive ligand–receptor interaction signaling was significantly associated with AA in men in the present study.

Table 2

| Pathways detected by IPA | \( P \) | Genes |
|--------------------------|-------|-------|
| Human embryonic stem cell pluripotency | 0.002 | NANOG, PDGFA, S1PR3 |
| GPCR-mediated integration of enteroendocrine signaling exemplified by an L cell | 0.007 | GALR1, GCG |
| Sphingosine-1-phosphate signaling and dephosphorylation | 0.022 | PDGFA, S1PR3 |
| | 0.023 | ACP6 |

AAs, African Americans.

Table 3

| Baseline characteristics | All patients (\( N = 326 \)) | AAs (\( N = 41 \)) | CAs (\( N = 285 \)) | \( P \) |
|--------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-------|
| Age (years) median (range) | 61 (44–76) | 57 (44–71) | 62 (44–76) | 0.004 |
| Prostatectomy Gleason sum (6–7/8–10) N (%) | 204 (62.6%)/122 (37.4%) | 35 (85.4%)/6 (14.6%) | 169 (59.3%)/116 (40.7%) | <0.001 |
| Tumor stage at diagnosis (T2/T3) N (%) | 212 (65.0%)/39 (12.0%) | 34 (82.9%)/3 (7.3%) | 178 (62.5%)/36 (12.6%) | 0.224 |

AAs, African Americans; CA, Caucasian.
prostate cancer. They report that five proteins involved in neuroactive ligand–receptor interaction signaling were significantly higher in AA men. Neuroactive ligand–receptor interaction signaling is the assembly of receptors and ligands on the plasma membrane, which is associated with an intracellular and extracellular signaling pathway composed of 272 genes. Neuroactive ligand–receptor interaction signaling has also been reported to be associated with disease progression in other carcinomas. In this study, three genes, which were significantly higher in CA men, and one gene, which was significantly higher in AA men, were...
involved in neuroactive ligand–receptor interaction signaling. These results suggest that prostate cancer in both AA and CA men are associated with neuroactive ligand–receptor interaction signaling.

IPA revealed that the human embryonic stem cell pluripotency pathway including S1PR3, which was highly expressed in AA men, was involved in prostate cancer in AA men. Sphingosine-1-phosphate (S1P) has a potential role in the self-replicating process in human ES cells. S1P is involved in a wide variety of biological processes, including cell proliferation, differentiation, migration, and apoptosis, in many different cell types. Thus, S1P plays a role in the cell’s self-renewal process by preventing apoptosis[13-15]. S1PR3 is a functional receptor for S1P and has been reported to have onco-genic effects by activating AKT[16]. Significantly elevated S1PR3 levels in AA suggest that AA men may have increased self-renewal capacity in prostate cancer cells.

Activation of muscarinic receptors has been reported to promote the proliferation of prostate cancer cells in vitro[17,18]. In addition, Wang et al.[19] reported that darifenacin, a selective CHRM3 antagonist, inhibits prostate cancer growth and castration resistance. Contrary to the biological functions of CHRM3 inferred from previous reports, patients with elevated CHRM3 levels had a better prognosis in the TCGA Provisional database. Furthermore, all AA men with elevated CHRM3 levels were free from recurrence during the observation period. In endometrial cancer, Wang et al.[20] reported that patients with high levels of CHRM3 have significantly lower survival rates, but there are no reports on the expression and prognosis of CHRM3 in prostate cancer, and the significance of CHRM3 expression in human prostate cancer is still controversial. Yin et al.[21] reported that pirenzepine, an antagonist of the same muscarinic receptor, CHRM1, inhibited migration and infiltration of PC-3, LNCaP, and A549 cells, whereas the agonist carbachol promoted migration and infiltration of these three cell lines. However, they also reported higher expression of CHRM1 in stage I-II prostate cancer than in stage III-IV prostate cancer, based on staining of tumor tissue obtained from human patients. The authors explained these paradoxical results by speculating that patients with elevated CHRM1 levels may have more effective denervation, tumor resec-tion[22]. Because patients with TCGA Provisional have undergone radical prostatectomy, we may be able to make similar specu-lation. This study has several limitations. First, our cohort’s observation period was relatively short. Therefore, we were unable to compare the results of RNA sequencing with those of the patient’s clinical outcome. Second, the different sample sizes of AA and CA men in the TCGA Provisional cohort may influence the statistical analysis. Therefore, we are planning to reanalyze the results obtained in this study once clinical outcomes in the CINJ cohort are available. Third, although low expression of CHRM3 was associated with poor prognosis in overall patients and CHRM3 was significantly less expressed in AA than in CA men, it is not clear whether low expression of CHRM3 in AA causes a poorer prognosis of prostate cancer.

4.1. Conclusion

We identified specific genetic features in AAs by comparing the levels of AA and CA men RNA expression in tumor samples obtained from radical prostatectomy. In particular, low expression of CHRM3 and human ES cell pluripotency pathway and neuroactive ligand–receptor interaction, which includes S1PR3, may be one of the mechanisms that characterize poor prognosis prostate cancer in AA.
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