A cross-sectional study to estimate the frequency of anti-bovine viral diarrhea virus-1 antibodies in domestic pigs of Mossoró region in the state of Rio Grande do Norte, Brazil.
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ABSTRACT

This study investigated the occurrence of antibodies for BVDV-1 in swine herds located in the region of Mossoró city of the state of Rio Grande do Norte, Brazil. A sample size of 412 animals was estimated assuming unknown prevalence (set at 50%). Virus neutralization assay was used to detect the presence of antibodies for BVDV-1 and the results found were analysed using multivariable logistic regression model. The obtained prevalence was 4% at animal level and 45% at the animal and herd level. The titers were highly variable between animals and within farms. The multivariable logistic regression analysis showed an association between being housed outside and exposure to BVDV-1 (OR=0.24, 95% CI: 0.06, 0.96; P=0.04). Highly correlated data and low prevalence of antibodies at the animal level resulted in insufficient power to detect significant differences with other selected risk factors. In conclusion, the prevalence is within the range reported for other countries.
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INTRODUCTION

The Pestivirus genus includes Classic Swine Fever Virus (CSFV), Bovine Viral Diarrhea Virus (BVDV-1 and BVDV-2), Border Disease Virus (BDV) (BECHER et al., 2003), and other putative species such as Hobi-like virus (SCHIRRMEIER et al., 2004) and Bungowannah (KIRKLAND et al., 2007). These virus are enveloped single-stranded RNA viruses that cause important diseases in several food animals species (KIRKLAND et al., 2012). In 1973, a field strain of BVDV was isolated for the first time from a naturally infected sow and her piglet, evidencing that swine could be a host...
for ruminant Pestivirus (FERNELIUS et al., 1973). Although BVDV infection does not commonly produce clinical signs in swine, a “mild Classical Swine Fever” syndrome has been reported (LIESS & MOENNIG, 1990; TAO et al., 2013), as well as reproductive problems in breeding animals such as abortions, weak born piglets, and digestive problems (KIRKLAND et al., 2012).

The prevalence of BVDV infections in swine varies according to region, ranging anywhere from 0 to 43.5% (LOEFFEN et al., 2009; O’SULLIVAN et al., 2011; DENG et al., 2012). In Ireland, the prevalence was reported to be very low, 0.14% (GRAHAM et al., 2001), whereas in The Netherlands, 2.5% of sows and 0.42% of finishing pigs were seropositive (LOEFFEN et al., 2009). Furthermore, in China, between 20% to 34% of swine from 11 different provinces during 2007-2010 were found to have been exposed to BVDV-1 (DENG et al., 2012).

Epidemiological studies show that cattle are the main hosts for BVDV and an infection source for swine and other wild ruminants, either through direct contact (LIESS & MOENNIG, 1990) or through the use of contaminated bovine milk and milk derivatives used as components in swine feed (TERPSTRA & WENSVOORT, 1988).

There is a lack of peer-reviewed publications on BVDV infection in swine in Brazil; therefore, the primary objective of the present study was to estimate the prevalence of BVDV-1 antibodies in swine herds located in the Rio Grande do Norte state of Brazil, and determine correlation between seropositivity and selected risk factors.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The study was conducted in the region of Mossoró municipality, in the state of Rio Grande do Norte, which has a total of 6,046 pigs, the greatest amount in the state (IBGE, 2012). A sample size of 385 animals was estimated assuming unknown prevalence (set at 50%), level of confidence of 95% and margin of error of 5%, 412 blood samples were collected between January and June of 2013 of animals from one slaughterhouse facility, also located in Mossoró. Eligibility criteria included that animals had to be slaughtered in the selected slaughterhouse (convenience sample) and farm owners were required to sign a consent form agreeing to participate in the study. Animal data was collected from producers at the time of sample collection.

Blood samples were centrifuged, and serum samples were stored at -20°C and the virus neutralization assays was undertaken. All samples were processed in duplicate, following the World Organization for Animal Health (OIE) guidelines (OIE, 2015), using Madin Darby Bovine Kidney (MDBK) cell culture and BVDV strain Singer-1a using 100 TCID\textsubscript{50} concentration.

Samples were not tested for Border disease, because this disease is exotic in Brazil; therefore, it was not possible to perform this test. Regarding the Classical swine fever diagnosis, it must be performed in official laboratories and we did not have authorization from Ministry of Agriculture, Livestock. The BVDV-2 was not performed, because of the insufficient volume of samples.

Analyses were conducted at the animal level, and the outcome was binary (positive or negative) based on an antibody titer of $\geq 10$. The final titer was obtained from the geometric mean of titers and the final data were transformed in log base format.

The 20 largest suppliers were visited by the study investigators to gather information about the herd demographics for the risk factor analyses. The questionnaire used is available upon request to the authors. Descriptive analysis was conducted using SAS 9.3. Two types of statistical models were constructed using STATA-IC 10 in order to investigate animal age and farm practices as risk factors for BVDV infection. Independent variables considered during analyses included animal age (market age/“young” or culling animals/“old”), herd size (continuous variable), housing type (free range or confined), use of human food scraps to feed pigs, use of treated water, presence of bovine species in the farm, presence of sheep or goats in the farm, and the occurrence of abortion in the herd.

First, a multivariable logistic regression model was constructed, which did not account for the clustering of animals within farms (“unadjusted model”). Univariable analyses were conducted and all variables with $P<0.20$ were offered to the full model. Subsequently, a backwards stepwise approach was taken, with statistical significance declared when $P<0.05$. Confounders were defined as variables that modified the coefficients of one or more of the other predictors’ coefficients by 20% or more, and were kept in the model regardless of their statistical significance. One-way interactions with variables retained in the final model were tested and kept if $P<0.05$.

For the second model, a multivariable model using a generalized estimating equation (GEE) approach was attempted in order to account for the clustering of animals within farms (“adjusted model”).
The correlation structure used was independent. The same steps described above were used, but because none of the predictors had $P<0.20$ in the univariable analysis, none moved forward to a multivariable model.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Prevalence of antibodies for BVDV-1 at the animal and farm level were 4.13% (17/412, 95% CI: 2.21%-6.05%), and 45.0% (9/20, 95% CI: 23.20%-66.80%), respectively. Mean within-herd prevalence was estimated to be 4.23% (range: 2.0% to 29.0%). The titers were highly variable between animals and, in a few cases, within farms (Table 1).

The observed prevalence for BVDV-1 antibodies at the animal level is within the prevalence reported in the literature (GRAHAM et al., 2001; LOEFFEN et al., 2009; DENG et al., 2012). At the herd level, the observed prevalence is higher than previously reported. According to O’Sullivan (2011), there was a 0% prevalence in Ontario (Canada). KIRKLAND et al. (2012) and LIESS & MOENNING (1990) reported a prevalence between 2% to 43% in US herds; and LOEFFEN et al. (2009) reported that 11% of herds in The Netherlands were seropositive. According to SCHROEDER et al. (2012), three out of the seven most used ELISA commercial kit for CSF serological diagnosis were poorly able to differentiate anti-BVDV antibodies from anti-CSFV antibodies in swine serum. Thus, the presence of anti BVDV antibodies in pig herds could lead to false-positive CSF results, hindering CSF surveillance and eradication programs based on serological diagnosis (DE SMIT et al., 1999).

Members of the Pesitivirus genus have great antigenic similarity and there are reports of cross-reaction in the virus-neutralization test (SIMMONDS et al., 2011). However, scientific papers have shown that antigenic differences were still detected among genotypes and subgenotypes within this genus by the same virus-neutralization assay (BECHER et al., 2003; RIDPATH et al., 2010; BEHERA et al., 2011; PECORA et al., 2014). In addition, among the bovine pestivirus groups, the Hobi-like virus was found to be the most antigenically different from BVDV-1 and 2 (BAUERMANN et al., 2015).

Table 1 - Within-herd prevalence of virus neutralizing antibodies for bovine viral diarrhea virus-1 in animals from 20 different farms.

| Farm | Within-herd prevalence % (P/N)a | Distribution of VNA titersb |
|------|---------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| A    | 0.0 (0/35)                      | -                           |
| B    | 1.8 (1/55)                      | 10 (1)                      |
| C    | 0.0 (0/12)                      | -                           |
| D    | 11.8 (4/34)                     | 640 (1), 320 (1), 10 (2)    |
| E    | 7.7 (1/13)                      | 160 (1)                     |
| F    | 0.0 (0/7)                       | -                           |
| G    | 0.0 (0/33)                      | -                           |
| H    | 0.0 (0/30)                      | -                           |
| I    | 28.6 (2/7)                      | 640 (1), 160 (1)            |
| J    | 9.1 (1/11)                      | 10 (1)                      |
| K    | 0.0 (0/4)                       | -                           |
| L    | 0.0 (0/5)                       | -                           |
| M    | 7.7 (1/13)                      | 20 (1)                      |
| N    | 0.0 (0/8)                       | -                           |
| O    | 3.2 (1/31)                      | 10 (1)                      |
| P    | 9.4 (5/53)                      | 160 (1), 80 (2), 40 (2)     |
| Q    | 0.0 (0/21)                      | -                           |
| R    | 0.0 (0/11)                      | -                           |
| S    | 0.0 (0/15)                      | -                           |
| T    | 7.1 (1/14)                      | 20 (1)                      |
| Total | 4.13 (17/412)                   | -                           |

aThe fraction in brackets correspond to total of animals tested positive (P) in the virus neutralizing antibody (VNA) assay divided by total of animals tested (N) in the farm.

bNumber in brackets correspond to the number of animals within the herd with that specific antibody titer.
Considering all pestivirus genus, Pronghorn, Bungowannah and Atypical Putative Porcine Virus (App V), are more divergent to those virus than Hobi-like (BAUERMANN et al., 2012; NEILL et al., 2014; HAUSE et al., 2015; KIRKLAND et al., 2015). However, we cannot rule out that the neutralizing antibodies detected were induced by other pestivirus infection than BVDV-1.

Multivariable logistic regression analysis showed an association between being housed outside and exposure to BVDV-1 (OR=0.24, 95% CI:0.06, 0.96, P=0.04). Herd size was found to be a confounder in this association and therefore was controlled in the model (OR=1.005, CI:0.99-1.01, P=0.05). This association was no longer significant when accounting for the lack of independence of animals among farms using the GEE approach (Table 2).

Findings of multivariable logistic regression analysis were different of the expected since previous reports found that having other animal species such as bovine, goats and sheep in the same farm is a risk factor for infection by BVDV-1 (LOEFFEN et al., 2009). Occurrence of abortions, and other reproductive problems has also been previously reported to be associated with BVDV infection in pregnant cattle (BECHER et al., 2003). Moreover, infected ruminants are considered the main infection source of BVDV for swines (DENG et al., 2012).

Regarding the risk factor analysis, we were not able to associate any other variable with the disease occurrence. Considering the highly correlated data and low prevalence of antibodies at the animal level, there may have been insufficient power to detect true differences. The sample size was originally calculated with the objective of estimating prevalence; therefore, it did not necessarily meet the requirements for risk factor analysis.

In conclusion, anti-BVDV antibodies were detected in swine serum from Mossoró what could hinder the on going CSFV surveillance and eradication programs in the state of Rio Grande do Norte.

Table 2 - Distribution of variable categories by status for seropositivity to bovine viral diarrhea virus-1, with adjusted and non-adjusted P-values.

| Variable and levels | Positive N (%)a | Negative N (%)a | Unadjusted P-valueb | Adjusted P-valuec |
|---------------------|-----------------|-----------------|---------------------|------------------|
| Age                 |                 |                 |                     |                  |
| Young               | 11 (64.7%)      | 215 (54.5%)     | 0.41                | 0.54             |
| Old                 | 6 (35.3%)       | 180 (45.6%)     |                     |                  |
| Housing type        |                 |                 |                     |                  |
| Access outside      | 8 (47.1%)       | 251 (63.5%)     | 0.17                | 0.31             |
| No access           | 9 (52.9%)       | 144 (36.5%)     |                     |                  |
| Bovine              |                 |                 |                     |                  |
| Present             | 3 (17.6%)       | 121 (27.8%)     | 0.26                | 0.28             |
| Absent              | 14 (82.3%)      | 274 (72.2%)     |                     |                  |
| Sheep/ Goat         |                 |                 |                     |                  |
| Present             | 9 (52.9%)       | 190 (30.6%)     | 0.70                | 0.78             |
| Absent              | 8 (47.1%)       | 205 (69.4%)     |                     |                  |
| Use of treated water|                 |                 |                     |                  |
| Yes                 | 6 (35.3%)       | 110 (27.8%)     | 0.51                | 0.58             |
| No                  | 11 (64.7%)      | 285 (72.2%)     |                     |                  |
| Abortion            |                 |                 |                     |                  |
| Yes                 | 10 (58.8%)      | 167 (42.3%)     | 0.18                | 0.34             |
| No                  | 7 (41.2%)       | 228 (57.7%)     |                     |                  |
| Food scrapes        |                 |                 |                     |                  |
| Yes                 | 12 (70.6%)      | 326 (39.7%)     | 0.22                | 0.24             |
| No                  | 5 (29.4%)       | 69 (60.3%)      |                     |                  |

aNumber of animals tested positive or negative in the virus neutralizing antibody assay for BVDV-1, frequency in brackets correspond to the percentage in each category among positive/ negative.

bP-value from univariable logistic regression models, not adjusted for clustering of animals within farms.

cP-value from generalizing estimation equation models, adjusting for clustering of animals within farms.
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