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ABSTRACT
Exosomes play a critical role in intercellular communication since they contain signalling molecules and genetic materials. During tumorogenesis, tumour-derived exosomes have been demonstrated to promote tumour angiogenesis and metastasis. However, how the exosomes facilitate tumour metastasis is not clear. Here we explored the effect of HeLa cell-derived exosomes (Exo$^{HeLa}$) on endothelial tight junctions (TJ) and the related mechanisms. After human umbilical vein endothelial cells (HUVEC) were treated with Exo$^{HeLa}$, TJ proteins zona occludens-1 (ZO-1) and Claudin-5 in HUVEC were significantly reduced as compared with that treated with exosomes from human cervical epithelial cells, while mRNA levels of ZO-1 and Claudin-5 remained unchanged. Consequently, permeability of endothelial monolayer was increased after the treatment with Exo$^{HeLa}$. Injection of Exo$^{HeLa}$ into mice also increased vascular permeability and tumour metastasis in vivo. Neither knocking down of Dicer nor use of inhibitors of microRNAs targeting at mRNAs of ZO-1 and Claudin-5 could block the inhibitory effect of Exo$^{HeLa}$ on ZO-1 and Claudin-5. The expression of genes involved in endoplasmic reticulum (ER) stress was significantly increased in HUVECs after treated with Exo$^{HeLa}$. Inhibition of ER stress by knocking down protein kinase RNA-like endoplasmic reticulum kinase prevented the down-regulation of ZO-1 and Claudin-5 by Exo$^{HeLa}$. Our study found that HeLa cell-derived exosomes promote metastasis by triggering ER stress in endothelial cells and break down endothelial integrity. Such effect of exosomes is microRNA-independent.

Introduction
Metastasis is the leading cause of mortality in cancer patients. According to the “seed and soil” hypothesis, migratory tumour cells leave the primary tumour by intravasation, disseminating throughout the body via the circulation, and finally engraft in a distant organ which provides an appropriate microenvironment. The last step requires extravasation: tumour cells move out of the circulatory system by penetrating through the endothelial layer. It is still not clear how tumour cells break down vascular barrier although the evidences show that tumour cells can interact with local microenvironment by cell–cell interactions and paracrine effects through the release of a variety of growth factors, chemokines and matrix-degrading enzymes that can enhance the invasion of tumour [1]. Recently, an emerging mechanism by which tumour cells interact with the local microenvironment by releasing extracellular vesicles (EVs) such as exosomes has been proposed [2–4].

Exosomes are 40–150 nm membrane-encapsulated vesicles that are released into the extracellular environment by many cell types, including tumour cells [5–7]. It contains DNA, non-coding RNAs, mRNAs, as well as proteins [8–10]. Several roles of tumour cell-derived exosomes in modulating tumour microenvironment have been described, including biological behaviour “education” [11], immune modulation [12,13], and proangiogenic effect [14–16].

So far, most of the research about the effect of tumour-derived exosomes on endothelial cells (ECs) is focused on the proangiogenic effect. For example, exosomes from glioblastoma cells stimulate EC proliferation [5]; exosomes released by a pancreatic cell line transfected with D6.1A tetraspanin stimulate endothelial tubulogenesis [17]. However, growing evidence shows that tumour cells also break down the vascular integrity by direct interaction with ECs [18] or by means of exosomes [14,19,20]. Disruption of vascular...
integrity could then facilitate cancer cell dissemination and growth at distant sites [19].

Tight junctions (TJs) play important roles in vascular integrity and control the permeability of endothelial monolayer. Down-regulation or loss of TJs contributes to cancer progression by altering cell migration, proliferation, polarity and differentiation [21,22]. For example, reduction of TJ-associated zonula occludens 1 (ZO-1) in ECs by primary breast tumours is associated with metastasis in breast cancer patients [23]. TJ protein Claudin-5 (CLDN5) not only regulates paracellular ionic selectivity but also plays a role in the regulation of tumour cell motility, suggesting that CLDN5 contributes to the control of brain metastasis [24]. MicroRNAs have also been identified as one mechanism of TJ disruption [14,19]. However, the effects of cancer cell-derived exosomes on TJs are currently yet to be unveiled.

In the present study, we investigated the effect of exosomes derived from HeLa cell (ExoHeLa) on the expression of TJ-associated proteins in ECs and explored the possible mechanism. Our research reveals that ExoHeLa disrupts vascular integrity and facilitates tumour metastasis via triggering endoplasmic reticulum (ER) stress in ECs and reduction of TJ-associated proteins like ZO-1 and CLDN5.

**Materials and methods**

**Cell culture**

Normal human umbilical cords were obtained with written consent from healthy donors with the approval of the Human Subjects Ethics Committee of Second Affiliated Hospital of Zhejiang University. Human umbilical vein endothelial cells (HUVECs) were isolated from umbilical cords by enzymatic detachment using collagenase. Briefly, umbilical cords were washed with phosphate buffer saline (PBS) three times and then digested using 1 mg/mL collagenase I (Gibco, USA) for 30 min. The enzymatic detachment was neutralized with M199 containing 10% foetal bovine serum (FBS) (Gibco, USA), and detached cells were collected and washed with PBS. Cells were cultured in EC monolayer (ECM, ScienCell, USA) supplemented with 10% FBS and EC growth supplement (ECGS, ScienCell, USA). All experiments were conducted with HUVECs in passages 3–6.

The human cervical squamous cancer cell line HeLa was identified by short tandem repeat profiling with a certificate provided by Sangon Biotech (Shanghai) Co. Ltd (China). HeLa cells were cultured in PRMI 1640 culture medium (GIBCO, USA), and human cervical epithelial cell (HCEC), mouse mammary carcinoma 4T1 cell as well as human cervical squamous cancer cell line Siha transfected with green fluorescent protein (GFP) were cultured in high-glucose Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium (GIBCO, USA). Culture mediums were supplemented with 10% FBS, 100 U/mL penicillin sodium and 100 μg/mL streptomycin sulphate (Hyclone, USA), and cells were cultured at 37°C in humidified air containing 5% CO₂.

**Exosome isolation and characterization**

Cells were grown at sub-confluence in growth media containing exosome-depleted FBS (prepared by overnight ultracentrifugation at 110,000 xg at 4°C for 48 hrs. Conditioned medium was then collected and centrifuged at 300,000 xg for 24 hrs to remove cells and cell debris. The supernatant was then concentrated with 10-kDa molecular weight cut-off hollow fibre membrane (Millipore, Billerica, MA, USA) at 2,500 xg for 10 min. TJ protein Claudin-5 (CLDN5) not only regulates paracellular ionic selectivity but also plays a role in the regulation of tumour cell motility, suggesting that CLDN5 contributes to the control of brain metastasis [24]. MicroRNAs have also been identified as one mechanism of TJ disruption [14,19]. However, the effects of cancer cell-derived exosomes on TJs are currently yet to be unveiled.

In the present study, we investigated the effect of exosomes derived from HeLa cell (ExoHeLa) on the expression of TJ-associated proteins in ECs and explored the possible mechanism. Our research reveals that ExoHeLa disrupts vascular integrity and facilitates tumour metastasis via triggering endoplasmic reticulum (ER) stress in ECs and reduction of TJ-associated proteins like ZO-1 and CLDN5.

**Materials and methods**

**Cell culture**

Normal human umbilical cords were obtained with written consent from healthy donors with the approval of the Human Subjects Ethics Committee of Second Affiliated Hospital of Zhejiang University. Human umbilical vein endothelial cells (HUVECs) were isolated from umbilical cords by enzymatic detachment using collagenase. Briefly, umbilical cords were washed with phosphate buffer saline (PBS) three times and then digested using 1 mg/mL collagenase I (Gibco, USA) for 30 min. The enzymatic detachment was neutralized with M199 containing 10% foetal bovine serum (FBS) (Gibco, USA), and detached cells were collected and washed with PBS. Cells were cultured in EC monolayer (ECM, ScienCell, USA) supplemented with 10% FBS and EC growth supplement (ECGS, ScienCell, USA). All experiments were conducted with HUVECs in passages 3–6.

The human cervical squamous cancer cell line HeLa was identified by short tandem repeat profiling with a certificate provided by Sangon Biotech (Shanghai) Co. Ltd (China). HeLa cells were cultured in PRMI 1640 culture medium (GIBCO, USA), and human cervical epithelial cell (HCEC), mouse mammary carcinoma 4T1 cell as well as human cervical squamous cancer cell line Siha transfected with green fluorescent protein (GFP) were cultured in high-glucose Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium (GIBCO, USA). Culture mediums were supplemented with 10% FBS, 100 U/mL penicillin sodium and 100 μg/mL streptomycin sulphate (Hyclone, USA), and cells were cultured at 37°C in humidified air containing 5% CO₂.

**Exosome isolation and characterization**

Cells were grown at sub-confluence in growth media containing exosome-depleted FBS (prepared by overnight ultracentrifugation at 110,000 xg at 4°C for 48 hrs. Conditioned medium was then collected and centrifuged at 300,000 xg for 24 hrs to remove cells and cell debris. The supernatant was then concentrated with 10-kDa molecular weight cut-off hollow fibre membrane (Millipore, Billerica, MA, USA) at 2,500 xg for 10 min. The supernatant was further filtered by a 0.22-μM filter (Millipore). The concentrated supernatant was then ultra-centrifuged at 110,000 xg for 70 min on top of a 30% sucrose cushion (Optima L-90K; Beckman Coulter, USA). Exosomes were then collected and washed 1 time with PBS by centrifugation at 110,000 xg for 70 min. Finally, exosomes were resuspended by 200 μL PBS. This exosome preparation was used for protein concentration measurement, transmission electron microscopy (TEM) analysis (Fig S1A), nanoparticle tracking analysis (NTA, Figure 1(b)) and most of the experiments in this study. Exosomes from both HeLa cells and HCEC exhibited typical cup-shaped morphology (Fig S1A). The diameters of ExoHeLa and ExoHCEC in TEM pictures are 61 ± 21 nm and 71 ± 27 nm, respectively.

The exosome preparation by ultra-centrifugation was further subjected to OptiPrep discontinuous gradient separation as described by Eichenberger et al. [25] with minor modification. Briefly, OptiPrep (60% w/v) was diluted with 0.25 M sucrose/10 mM Tris, pH 7.4 to generate 40%, 35%, 30%, 25%, 20%, 10% and 5% w/v iodixanol solutions. Then, 1.5 mL of each concentration was sequentially (40% at bottom, 5% at top) layered with care to generate discontinuous iodixanol gradient, and the exosome suspension in 1 mL PBS was added to the top layer and ultracentrifuged at 110,000 xg for 18 hrs at 4°C. All seven fractions (40%, 35%, 30%, 25%, 20%, 10% and 5% w/v iodixanol solutions) were collected separately and excess OptiPrep solution was removed by diluted to 12 mL in PBS and ultra-centrifuged at 110,000 xg for 70 min. The resulting pellets were resuspended in 15 μL PBS and subjected to western blot analysis for CD63 (Fig S1C). Fractions at 30%, 25%, 20% and 10% iodixanol were positive for CD63. Exosomes from these four fractions were collected and used for comparison with exosomes prepared by ultra-centrifugation for their effect on TJ proteins.
The protein content of the concentrated exosomes was determined using a bicinchoninic acid protein assay kit (Thermo, USA). Particle size of the purified exosomes was analysed using Zetasizer Nano series-Nano-ZS (Malvern, UK). Exosomes were diluted with PBS (1:50) and injected into the Zetasizer Nano instrument. Purified Exo\textsubscript{HeLa} showed a bell-shape distribution of diameter at 80–200 nm with a peak at 100 nm, and the diameter distribution of Exo\textsubscript{HCEC} ranges from 100–230 nm with a peak at 150 nm (Figure S1B). The concentrations of exosomal particle were determined using NTA with ZetaView instrument S/N 19–447 (Particle Metrix, Germany) which was operated by DKSH Shanghai Ltd (Shanghai, China). Exo\textsubscript{HeLa} and Exo\textsubscript{HCEC} are in 6.4 × 10\textsuperscript{6} particles/μg and 6.1 × 10\textsuperscript{6} particles/μg, respectively.

The exosomal markers Alix, CD63 and CD9, as well as Golgi-associated protein GM130, were analysed by western blot (Figure S1D) for HeLa cells and HCECs.
and exosomes purified from them. After exosomes were labelled with fluorescent PKH26, and then mixed with HUVECs for 12 hrs, uptaking of exosomes by HUVECs was observed (Figure S1E).

Cell proliferation assay

After HUVECs were plated on collagen-coated 96-well plates (2 × 10^3 cells/well) for overnight, and PBS, or Exo^HCEC or Exo^HeLa (5 μg/mL) was added to each well and cultured for 48 hrs. Then, 10 μL of Cell Counting Kit-8 (CCK-8, Dojindo, Japan) was added and incubated for 2 hrs at 37°C, and the absorbance was determined at a wavelength of 450 nm. HUVEC proliferation was also measured using the 5-ethyl-2'-deoxyuridine (EdU) Cell Proliferation Assay Kit (Ribobio) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. EdU-labelled cells were counted manually in five fields of view randomly selected from each well, and percentages were calculated.

Endothelial permeability and transendothelial migration analysis

For endothelial permeability analysis, HUVECs (2 × 10^4) were seeded on 0.3 cm² polyethylene terephthalate transwell filters in a 24-well plate (0.4 μm pore size; BD Biosciences; Franklin Lakes, NJ) and allowed to reach confluence, followed by addition of PBS, or Exo^HCEC or Exo^HeLa (5 μg/mL) and continued culture for 48 hrs. FITC-dextran (10 mg/mL, average MW ~70,000; Sigma, USA) was added to the top well to reach final concentration at 10 mg/mL. The appearance of fluorescence in the bottom well representing the passage of FITC-dextran was monitored by taking 40 μL medium aliquots in a time course to measure fluorescence using a SpectraMax microplate reader (SpectraMax M5, Molecular Devices, USA) at 488 nm excitation and 520 nm emission.

For transendothelial migration assay, HUVECs were grown to form a monolayer in the upper well of transwells (8 μm pore size; BD Biosciences; Franklin Lakes, NJ) and PBS or Exo^HeLa or Exo^HCEC (100 μL, final 10 μg/mL) was added to the culture. After incubation for 24 hrs, Siha transfected with GFP (1 ×10^4) were added, and the transmigrated Siha cells in the bottom wells were counted under fluorescence microscope after other 12-hr culture. Each experiment was repeated three times, and each time three wells were tested for a sample.

Immunocytochemistry

Cells were washed with PBS containing 0.5% bovine serum albumin (BSA) and fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde for 15 min. The cells were then permeabilized with 0.5% Triton X-100 for 10 min, blocked with 5% BSA in PBS for 30 min at room temperature, and then incubated with primary antibody overnight at 4°C, followed by incubation with secondary antibodies for 1 hr at 37°C. Nuclei were stained with Hoechst 33258 for 5 min. Cells were then washed three times and viewed using a fluorescence microscope (Leica, Germany).

Real-time PCR analysis

Total RNA was extracted from HUVECs with TRIzol reagent (Invitrogen, USA). First-strand cDNA was synthesized from the total RNA (500 ng) using the TaKaRa 5X PrimeScript RT Master Mix Kit (TaKaRa, Japan) according to the manufacturer’s instruction. Subsequently, the quantitative real-time polymerase chain reaction (qRT-PCR) was performed using the TB Green Premix Ex Taq II Kit (TaKaRa, Japan) according to the manufacturer’s protocol. Each sample was performed in triplicated and all results were normalized to the expression of glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH). Fold expression relative to the reference GAPDH gene was calculated using the comparative method 2^−ΔΔCt. The primer sequences are listed in Supplemental Table S1.

Western blot analysis

Cell lysate was prepared using RIPA lysis buffer (Beyotime, China). The samples were separated by SDS-polyacrylamide gel (SDS-PAGE), transferred to a polyvinylidene fluoride membrane, and immunoblotted with the following antibodies: activating transcription factor-4 (ATF4; Abcam, ab184909), protein kinase RNA-like endoplasmic reticulum kinase (PERK; Cell Signalling Technology, 5683), Dicer (Cell Signalling Technology, 5362), mammalian target of rapamycin (mTOR; Cell Signalling Technology, 2972), sequestosome 1 (P62; Cell Signalling Technology, 88588), microtubule-associated protein 1 light chain 3B (LC3B, Cell Signalling Technology, 3868), CCAAT/enhancer-binding protein homologous protein (CHOP; Cell Signalling Technology, 2895), vascular endothelial growth factor receptor 2 (VEGFR2, Cell Signalling Technology, 9698), eukaryotic translation initiation factor 2 alpha (eIF2α; Abcam, ab169528), CD9 (Abcam, ab92726), phos-eIF2α (Abcam, ab32157), E2F1 (Abcam, ab179445), Alix (Abcam, ab117600), 78 kD glucose-regulated protein (GRP78; HuaBio, ER40402), Claudin-5 (CLDN5; Abcam, ab15106), ZO-1 (Proteintech, 21773-1-AP), matrix metalloproteinase 2 (MMP2, HuaBio, ER40806), MMP9 (HuaBio, ET1704-69), MMP14 (HuaBio, ET1606-48), MMP15 (HuaBio, ER1913-06) and GAPDH (HuaBio, ET1702-66). After
incubation of the membranes with peroxidase-conjugated secondary antibodies (Cell Signalling Technology), bands were visualized using enhanced chemiluminescence reagents (Bio-Rad).

**Electron microscopy**

For TEM analysis, the cells were collected and immediately fixed overnight in 3% glutaraldehyde. Then, the samples were rinsed three times with PBS and post-fixed with 1% osmic acid for 2 hrs. After being rinsed three times with deionized water and serially dehydrated with 50%, 70%, 80%, 90% and 100% alcohol and 100% acetone, the samples were embedded in epoxy resin to form blocks of cells. Ultrathin sections (50 nm) were obtained by an ultramicrotome (Ultracut UCT, Leica, Germany). The sections were then stained with lead citrate and uranyl acetate and examined by TEM (T10, FEI, USA).

For exosome TEM analysis, exosomes were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde, a drop of exosomes (20 mL) was pipetted onto a grid which was coated with formvar and carbon, standing for 5 min at room temperature. The excess fluid was removed with a piece of filter, and the sample was negatively stained with 3% (wt/vol) phosphotungstic acid (pH 6.8) for 5 min. After air-drying under an electric incandescent lamp, the sample was analysed by TEM (T10, FEI, USA).

**RNA sequence**

RNA was extracted from ExoHeLa and ExoHCEC, or HUVECs treated with or without ExoHeLa. For miRNA library construction and RNA sequencing, the complementary DNA (cDNA) libraries for single-end sequencing were prepared using Ion Total RNA-Seq Kit v2.0 (Life Technologies) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. The cDNA library had been size selected by PAGE Gel electrophoresis for miRNA sequencing. The cDNA libraries were then processed for the Proton Sequencing process according to the commercially available protocols. Samples were diluted and mixed, and the mixture was processed on a OneTouch 2 instrument (Life Technologies) and enriched on a OneTouch 2 ES station (Life Technologies) for preparing the template-positive Ion PT™ Ion Sphere™ Particles (Life Technologies) according to Ion PT™ Template OT2 200 Kit v2.0 (Life Technologies). After enrichment, the mixed template-positive Ion PT™ Ion Sphere™ Particles of samples were loaded on to 1 P1v2 Proton Chip (Life Technologies) and sequenced on Proton Sequencers according to Ion PI Sequencing 200 Kit v2.0 (Life Technologies). The results were presented as gene ontology (GO) analysis, Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) pathway analysis and miRNA-Gene-Network analysis. The Miranda, RNAhybrid and TargetScan were utilized as the tools for predicting differentially expressed miRNA target on mRNA.

The cDNA libraries were constructed for each pooled RNA sample using the VAHTSTM Total RNA-seq (Vazyme Biotech, Nanjing, China) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Generally, the protocol consists of the following steps: depletion of rRNA and fragmented into 150–200 bp using divalent cations at 94°C for 8 min. The cleaved RNA fragments were reverse-transcribed into first-strand cDNA, then second-strand cDNA was synthesized, and fragments were end repaired, A-tailed and ligated with indexed adapters. Target bands were harvested through VAHTSTM DNA Clean Beads. The products were purified and enriched by PCR to create the final cDNA libraries and quantified by Agilent2200. The tagged cDNA libraries were pooled in equal ratio and used for 150 bp paired-end sequencing in a single lane of the Illumina Xten with 51 plus 7 cycles by NovelBio Corp. Laboratory (Shanghai, China). All mRNA sequencing data were uploaded to NCBI GEO repository with ID GSE128802 (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/query/acc.cgi?acc=GSE128802) and GSE128803 (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/query/acc.cgi?acc=GSE128803).

**siRNA transfection**

PERK small interfering (si) RNA (si-PERK) or DICER siRNA (si-DICER) and scrambled siRNA controls were synthesized by Ribobio (Guangzhou, China). Cells were seeded at 1 × 10^5 per well into 12-well plates 1 day before transfection. Lipofectamine 2000 (Invitrogen, USA) transfection reagent was employed to transfected cells with si-PERK or siDICER, or siRNA controls (all in 50 nM). Lipofectamine 2000/siRNA complexes were prepared in reduced serum medium, OptiMEM (Invitrogen, USA), at the recommended ratio of 1 μL of Lipofectamine 2000 per 20 pmol siRNA (1 μL). After adding the Lipofectamine 2000/siRNA complexes to the cells and cultured for 8 hrs, the cell growth medium was removed and the cells were incubated in the fresh medium containing 10% FBS for further treatment.

**Liquid chromatograph–mass spectrometer/mass spectrometry analysis**

The liquid chromatograph–mass spectrometer/mass spectrometry (LC-MS/MS) analysis of ExoHeLa, ExoShiha and triton-treated ExoHeLa was carried out in Capitbalbio Technology by using Q Exactive mass spectrometer (Thermo Scientific, USA). The peptide mixture was
separated by reversed-phase chromatography on a DIONEX nano-UPLC system using an Acclaim C18 PepMap100 nano-Trap column (75 μm × 2 cm, 2 μm particle size) (Thermo Scientific, USA) connected to an Acclaim PepMap RSLC C18 analytical column (75 μm × 25 cm, 2 μm particle size) (Thermo Scientific, USA). Before loading, the sample was dissolved in sample buffer, containing 4% acetonitrile and 0.1% formic acid. A linear gradient of mobile phase B (0.1% formic acid in 99.9% acetonitrile) from 3% to 30% in 43 min followed by a steep increase to 80% mobile phase B in 1 min was used at a flow rate of 300 nL/min. The nano-LC was coupled online with the Q Exactive mass spectrometer using a stainless steel Emitter coupled to a nanospray ion source. MS analysis was performed in a data-dependent manner with full scans (350–1,600 m/z) acquired using an Orbitrap mass analyser at a mass resolution of 70,000 at 400 m/z in Q Exactive. Twenty most intense precursor ions from a survey scan were selected for MS/MS from each duty cycle and detected at a mass resolution of 35,000 at m/z of 400 in Orbitrap analyser. All the tandem mass spectra were produced by higher-energy collision dissociation (HCD) method. Dynamic exclusion was set for 18 s. All LC-MS/MS data were listed on Supplemental Online data.

**Animal model and exosome delivery**

The animal protocol was approved by Zhejiang University according to Chinese guidelines for laboratory animal care and use. Female 6-week-old BALB/c-nu mice were used for in vivo vascular permeability assay or neoplasms transplantation experiments. Exo\textsubscript{HCEC}, Exo\textsubscript{HeLa} or PBS (as control) were intravenously injected into the tail vein of mice (10 μg exosomes per injection; two injections per week).

**In vivo vascular permeability assay**

After 8 injections of exosomes, 100 mg/kg FITC-dextran (average MW ~70,000) was intravenously injected. One hour later, ear vessel bloodstream was examined. Mice were positioned on the stage of a laser confocal scanning microscope and their ear lobes were fixed beneath coverslips with a single drop of immersion oil. FITC-dextran in the bloodstream and its leakage out of vasculature were visualized. The mice were then sacrificed, and transcardiac perfusion with PBS was carried out to remove the excess dye. Lung and liver tissues were embedded in Tissue-Tek O.C.T. Compound (Sakura; Torrance, CA) to make frozen blocks for sectioning and immunofluorescent staining.

**Statistical analysis**

All data are presented as the mean ± standard deviation (SD). Statistical analyses were performed with Student’s t-test for comparisons between two groups and with ANOVA followed by Dunnett’s correction for more than two groups using SPSS version 17.0. P<0.05 was considered statistically significant.

**Results**

**Exo\textsubscript{HeLa} deteriorated the barrier function of endothelial monolayer by disrupting EC TJs**

To assess the effect of Exo\textsubscript{HeLa} on the permeability of endothelial monolayer, transendothelial invasion of cancer cells (Siha/GFP) was examined (Figure 1(a,b)). There were significantly more GFP-labelled Siha cells migrated through Exo\textsubscript{HeLa}-treated HUVEC monolayer as compared with HUVEC treated with PBS or exosomes from normal HCECs (Exo\textsubscript{HCEC}) (Figure 1(b,c)). The changes in the permeability of endothelial monolayer after the exosome treatment were also detected by measuring the traversing of FITC-labelled dextran (70 KD) through HUVEC monolayers. Treatment of HUVEC with Exo\textsubscript{HeLa} significantly increased the pass of fluorescent probe through the EC layer as compared with those treated with Exo\textsubscript{HCEC} or PBS (Figure 1(d)).

Since the permeability of the EC layer is associated with its TJ, TJ proteins in ECs were examined. TJ-associated ZO-1 protein level was down-regulated to 50% when treated with 2.5 μg/mL Exo\textsubscript{HeLa} for 48 hrs, while CLDN5 level remained unchanged. With higher Exo\textsubscript{HeLa} concentration (5 μg/mL), CLDN5 was also reduced by approximately 50% (Figure 1(e)). However, the mRNA levels of ZO-1 and CLDN5 in ECs remained unchanged after exosome

**Tumour metastasis experiment**

Luciferase-labelled 4T1 (2 × 10\textsuperscript{5} cells) were injected into the No. 4 mammary fat pad of 6-week-old female BALB/c-nu mice. Immediately after implantation, mice were injected with 100 μL Exo\textsubscript{HCEC}, Exo\textsubscript{HeLa} (10 μg total per injection, two injections per week) or PBS via tail vein. When tumours became palpable, tumour volume was assessed by calliper measurements using the formula (width\textsuperscript{2} × length)/2 (mm\textsuperscript{3}). Bioluminescence imaging was carried out using a Xenogen system (IVIS spectrum, Perkin Elmer, USA) on D0, D14 and D21. After six injections, mice were sacrificed. Lungs were paraformaldehyde-fixed and paraffin-embedded for haematoxylin and eosin (H&E) staining.
treatments (Figure 1(f)). Immunofluorescence staining also revealed that there was a marked reduction in both ZO-1 and CLDN5 in HUVEC monolayers after they were treated with ExoHeLa, but not with PBS or ExoHCEC (Figure 1(g)).

**ExoHeLa increased vascular permeability in vivo**

To further demonstrate the effect of ExoHeLa on endothelial barriers in vivo, mice were injected with ExoHeLa or ExoHCEC through tail veins followed with the injection of FITC-Dextran (Figure S2). Severe leakage of FITC-Dextran out of blood vessels in ear was detected in ExoHeLa, but not in ExoHCEC- or PBS-treated mice (Figure 2(a,b)). Similar results were also observed in lung and liver as more fluorescence was detected in the sections of lung (Figure 2(c,d)) and liver (Figure S3A, S3B). Significantly less ZO-1 (Figure S3C) and CLDN5 (Figure S3D) were detected in pulmonary CD31 positive ECs. These results indicated that ExoHeLa treatment resulted in down-regulation of TJ proteins in ECs, which increased vascular permeability in different organs.

**ExoHeLa promoted tumour metastasis in vivo**

To determine whether ExoHeLa treatment can promote tumour metastasis, mouse mammary carcinoma 4T1 cells were transduced with luciferase gene and implanted into mice, followed with a consecutive daily injection of exosomes for 3 weeks as described in Materials and Methods section. Significantly more distant metastases were detected in ExoHeLa-treated mice by luminescence assay (Figure 3(a,b)), while the sizes of primary tumours were not significantly different among the three groups (Supplemental Figure S4). More than two-fold increased metastasis to lung was detected in the ExoHeLa-treated mice as compared to the ExoHCEC-treated mice (Figure 3(c–f)).

**Exosomal mircoRNA did not cause the down-regulation of TJs**

To explore the mechanism of ExoHeLa-induced deterioration of endothelial integrity, exosomes were first treated with Triton X-100 to breakdown the integrity of exosomal membrane before they were added to HUVEC culture (Figure S5). The inhibitory effects of
Exo\textsuperscript{HeLa} on EC proliferation (Figure S5A, B, C) and TJ proteins along with other proteins (VEGFR2, E2F1) were reversed after Triton treatment (Figure 4(a), Figure S5D), suggesting that components inside exosomes were responsible for the inhibitory effect.

Since microRNAs (miRNAs) from exosomes play important roles in exosome-mediated effects, all miRNAs in the exosomes were sequenced and profiled. Exo\textsuperscript{HeLa} and Exo\textsuperscript{HCEC} exhibited different miRNA compositions (Figure S6, S7, S8). The miRNAs having regulatory potential on ZO-1 or CLDN5 and being more than two-fold difference between Exo\textsuperscript{HeLa} and Exo\textsuperscript{HCEC} are listed in Figure 4(b), and the miRNA-Gene-Network is presented in Figure S6. The inhibitors for these miRNAs along with the Exo\textsuperscript{HeLa} were used to treat HUVEC. Strikingly, preconditioning of HUVECs with the miRNA inhibitors could not reverse the down-regulation of ZO-1 or CLDN5 in HUVECs by Exo\textsuperscript{HeLa} (Figure 4(c)).

To further confirm the possibility that miRNAs were not involved in the inhibition of TJ proteins in HUVECs, DICER mRNA was knocked down by DICER siRNA (Figure 4(d)). As a consequence, DICER protein was down-regulated by $\sim$70% in HeLa cells (Figure 4(e)). The amount of exosomal miRNAs, represented by two highly expressed miRNAs (miR-1290 and miR-3960) in exosomes, was reduced by 60% and 75%, respectively, after the DICER knock-down (Figure 4(f)). However, DICER knock-down did not attenuate the down-regulating effect of HeLa exosomes on TJ proteins in HUVECs (Figure 4(g)), indicating that miRNAs may not be involved in the inhibitory effect of HeLa exosomes on TJ proteins.

**Figure 3.** Exo\textsuperscript{HeLa} promoted tumour metastasis in vivo. Luciferase-labelled 4T1 cells were injected into # 4 mammary fat pads of the nude mice. The mice simultaneously were injected with PBS, Exo\textsuperscript{HCEC}, or Exo\textsuperscript{HeLa} as indicated through tail vein ($n = 5$ for each group). (a) Bioluminescent imaging (BLI) at Day 0, 14 and 21 was examined. (b) Luminescence at Day 14 and 21 in A was quantified. (c) Mice were sacrificed on Day 21. Lungs were harvested and metastases were visualized after fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde containing 10% picric acid. White spots are the metastasized tumours. (d) Quantification of metastasis spots in C. (e) Representative images of lung sections showing tumour metastases in lungs after they were stained with haematoxylin and eosin. Metastasis tumours were stained into purple. (f) Quantification of metastasis spots in E. *$P < 0.05$, **$P < 0.01$. 
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Inhibition of either ubiquitination or autophagy cannot reverse the protein level of ZO-1 and CLDN5

Degradation of cellular proteins could be through lysosome or proteasome pathways. It has been shown that ubiquitination can trigger the proteasome-dependent degradation of CLDN5 [26]. To examine whether Exo\textsuperscript{HeLa} induced down-regulation of TJ proteins is through the enhanced ubiquitination pathways, proteasome inhibitor MG132 was added to the HUVEC culture along with...
As shown in Figure 5(a), MG132 did not reverse the inhibitory effect of Exo\textsuperscript{HeLa} on the expressions of CLDN5 and ZO-1, indicating that ubiquitination pathway may not be involved in the down-regulation of TJ proteins.

Next, we evaluated whether autophagy was induced by Exo\textsuperscript{HeLa}. Electron microscopy unveiled the formation of autophagosomes in HUVECs after treated with Exo\textsuperscript{HeLa} (Figure 5(b)). Autophagosome marker LC3-II was significantly increased and reached a plateau at 12 h after HUVECs were treated with Exo\textsuperscript{HeLa} (Figure 5(c)). Autophagy receptor P62 in HUVEC was also increased after the Exo\textsuperscript{HeLa} treatment. These data demonstrated that Exo\textsuperscript{HeLa} could induce autophagy in ECs. Then, we pre-treated HUVECs with 3-Methyladenine (3-MA) or chloroquine (CQ), both of which can interrupt autophagy flux, before treating the cells with Exo\textsuperscript{HeLa}. Inhibition of the autophagy flux by 3-MA or CQ could not reverse the down-regulation of ZO-1 or CLDN5 expression by Exo\textsuperscript{HeLa}.
Exo<sup>Hela</sup> (Figure 5(d,e)), indicating that the reduction of TJ proteins was not via Exo<sup>Hela</sup> induced-autophagy.

**Exo<sup>Hela</sup> induced ER stress in HUVECs**

To determine the effects of Exo<sup>Hela</sup> on ECs, alteration of gene expression in HUVECs was analysed by comparing mRNA sequences in HUVECs before and after Exo<sup>Hela</sup> treatment. GO analysis as well as pathway analysis identified major changes in cellular functions such as cell survival, migration and adhesion, proliferation, RNA expression and inflammation (Figure S9, S10, S11), among which the intrinsic apoptotic signalling pathway in response to ER stress showed a relatively significant change in rich factor (Figure S10). Based on these findings, we analysed the mRNAs of ER stress-related genes in HUVECs, including eukaryotic translation initiation factor 2 alpha kinase 3 (EIF2AK3), activating transcription factor-4 (ATF4), endoplasmic reticulum to nucleus signalling 1 (ERN1), endoplasmic reticulum oxidoreductase 1 alpha (ERO1A), heat shock protein family A member 5 (HSPA5), inositol 1,4,5-trisphosphate receptor 1 (ITPR1), protein phosphatase 1 regulatory subunit 15A (PPP1R15A) and DNA-damage inducible transcript 3 (DDIT3). All of them were significantly elevated in the Exo<sup>Hela</sup>-treated HUVECs as compared with the Exo<sup>HCEC</sup> treated (Figure 6(a)). Besides, the phosphorylation of protein kinase RNA-like ER kinase (PERK) and eukaryotic translation initiation factor 2 alpha (eIF2α), as well as the protein level of ATF4, 78 kD glucose-regulated protein (GRP78) and CCAAT/enhancer-binding protein homologous protein (CHOP) were dramatically elevated in a time-dependent manner after Exo<sup>Hela</sup>-treatment (Figure 6(b)). These data indicate that ER stress was induced after HUVECs were treated with Exo<sup>Hela</sup>.

To explore the possible destination of exosomes uptook by HUVECs, fluorescent-labelled Exo<sup>Hela</sup> were colocalized with ER, Golgi and lysosome with antibodies against Calreticulin, GM130 and LAMP1, respectively. Exo<sup>Hela</sup> were colocalized with ER after mixed with HUVECs for 16 hrs (Figure 6(c)). Interestingly, puncta of Calreticulin and LAMP1, but not GM130, increased with the progression of exosomes treatment, indicating the alternation of homeostasis within ER and lysosomes.

ER stress increases the kinase activity of PERK to promote eIF2α phosphorylation, which resulted in the down-regulation of protein synthesis and cell growth. We further used RNA interference to knock down PERK in HUVEC (Figure 6(d)) and reassessed the effect of Exo<sup>Hela</sup> on PERK down-regulated HUVECs. The proliferation of HUVECs was improved (Figure 6(e,f)), and the protein expression of ZO-1 and CLDN5 was also restored (Figure 6(g)), indicating that ER stress was responsible for the down-regulation of TJ proteins in HUVECs.

In addition, the protein components of Exo<sup>Hela</sup> and triton-treated Exo<sup>Hela</sup> were compared using LC-MS/MS analysis (See Online Supplemental data). Unique peptides that can only be detected in Exo<sup>Hela</sup> at least two times were listed in Table S2, and grouped according to their functions (Figure S12), which include 60S ribosomal protein L28 isoform 5 (RPL28), syntaxin-7 isoform b (STX-7), charged multivesicular body protein 4b (CHMP4B). Since numerous MMPs have been reported to be presented in different cell lines [27], MMPs were examined by both LC-MS/MS analysis and western blot. Although MMPs were detected in HeLa and Shiha cells, no MMPs were detectable in Exo<sup>Hela</sup> (Figure S13, Table S2, Online Supplemental Excel data). MMP14 and MMP15, but not MMP2 and MMP9, were detected in Exo<sup>Siha</sup>.

**Discussion**

Penetration of dissociated cancer cells through the vascular endothelium is a key step for cancer metastases [28]. The permeability of endothelial and epithelial cells is mainly governed by TJs [29,30], which are therefore the first barrier for cancer cells to overcome in order to metastasize [31]. Our study shows that Exo<sup>Hela</sup> could suppress the expression of several TJ proteins in ECs, including ZO-1 and CLDN5 both in vitro and in vivo. Along with the down-regulation of TJ proteins, increased endothelium permeability was observed. We employed an intraval evaluation system [32] to determine the dynamic kinetics of vascular permeability in vivo. Our results demonstrated that i.v. injection of Exo<sup>Hela</sup> resulted in the reduction of ZO-1 and CLDN5 expression in vessels and increased vascular permeability in vital organs such as liver and lung. Tumour metastasis was also significantly increased by Exo<sup>Hela</sup> treatment. We further found that the Exo<sup>Hela</sup>-induced inhibitory effect on ZO-1 and CLDN5 in ECs is not associated with exosomal microRNA, but rather with the ER stress induced by Exo<sup>Hela</sup>. Our results suggested that exosomes secreted from tumour cell can trigger ER stress in ECs and break down endothelial integrity to allow tumour cells penetrate through vascular wall to achieve tumour metastasis.

An increasing number of studies have found that tumour-derived exosomes play an important role in the occurrence and development of cancer [33,34]. In our study, the inhibitory effect of Exo<sup>Hela</sup> on TJ proteins was totally abrogated by triton X-100 treatment, indicating that the components inside exosomes, instead of exosome membrane or other ingredients co-deposited with exosomes during ultracentrifugation, caused the down-
regulation of TJ proteins. It is possible that such effect could be caused by amorphous materials aggregated on the exosomes that were prepared by ultra-centrifugation. To exclude the possibility, exosomes were purified further through density gradient ultracentrifugation (Fig S1C). Such prepared exosomes were used to treat HUVECs, and the expression of ZO-1 and CLDN5 in HUVECs was also inhibited (Fig S14), confirming such effect of Exo\textsuperscript{HeLa} on down-regulation of TJ proteins is indeed caused by Exo\textsuperscript{HeLa}. MMPs could also be a candidate responsible for the effect of Exo\textsuperscript{HeLa} on TJ proteins in ECs since various MMPs were presented in different cancer cell

**Figure 6.** Exo\textsuperscript{HeLa} triggered ER stress in HUVECs. (a) The mRNAs of ER stress-related genes in HUVECs were analysed by Real-time quantitative PCR. The relative mRNA levels were presented in comparing with those in PBS-treated HUVECs. (b) The expressions of ER stress-related proteins in Exo\textsuperscript{HeLa} treated HUVECs were analysed by western blot. (c) Exo\textsuperscript{HeLa} was labelled with fluorescent dye PKH26 (red) and then mixed with HUVECs for the specified times. After HUVECs were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde and subjected to immunofluorescence staining with Abs against either Calreticulin for ER (green) or GM130 for Golgi (green) or LAMP1 for lysosome (green). Cell nuclear was labelled with Hoechst 33258 (blue). Cellular distribution of the uptaken ExoHeLa in HUVECs was observed under laser confocal microscope. (d) PERK gene in HUVECs was knocked down by small interference RNA and confirmed by Real-time quantitative PCR. (e) Morphological change between normal HUVECs and PERK KD HUVECs treated with exo\textsuperscript{HeLa}. Magnification: origin×40, inserter×100; (f) Cell proliferation measured by CCK8 and (g) ZO-1 and CLDN5 proteins by western blot were analysed for HUVECs after treated with the specified siRNA and Exo\textsuperscript{HeLa}. *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, ***P < 0.001, ****P < 0.0001.
Growing evidence indicated that exosomal components, especially microRNAs, can suppress the expression of TJs proteins in ECs [14,19]. Our exosomal microRNA sequencing data show that there are several exosomal miRNA(s) in Exo$^{\text{HeLa}}$ that may directly or indirectly modulate the expression of TJs proteins. However, we found that the corresponding miRNA inhibitors cannot neutralize the down-regulation effect of Exo$^{\text{HeLa}}$ on TJs proteins (Figure 4(c)), indicating that exosomal miRNAs may not be responsible for the decrease of TJs proteins. This was further approved by our Dicer knock-down experiment. It has been approved that RNase III protein, Dicer, is required for the process of microRNA [35]. When we knocked down Dicer in HeLa cells by siRNA, the miRNAs in exosomes were dramatically reduced (Figure 4(f)). However, when these exosomes (Exo$^{\text{HeLa}}$ Dicer KD) were used to treat ECs, the TJs proteins in ECs were still decreased (Figure 4(g)). All these results suggested that exosomal miRNAs are not responsible for the Exo$^{\text{HeLa}}$-induced reduction of TJs proteins. Indeed, this finding is discordant with those previous results. It possibly due to the global protein synthesize arrest caused by eIF2α phosphorylation, which may abrogate the microRNA regulation effects on TJ protein expression.

Protein degradation via lysosome and ubiquitin/proteasome system are two routine pathways for cellular protein degradation. Interestingly, autophagy was observed in ECs after treated with Exo$^{\text{HeLa}}$. Several studies have demonstrated that TJs proteins can be degraded by autophagy/lysosome and ubiquitin/proteasome [36–39]. For example, Wang et al. showed that particulate matter (PM) exposure could induce ZO-1 relocation from the cell periphery into lysosome, accompanied by significant reductions in ZO-1 protein levels, which required calpain activation [40]. Human immunodeficiency virus (HIV)-1 protein gp120 is also implicated as a cause of the breakdown of TJs between ECs due to increased degradation of ZO-1 and ZO-2 by proteasome [41]. In our study, we tried to clarify whether Exo$^{\text{HeLa}}$ down-regulates the TJs due to the enhanced protein degradation via autophagy/lysosome and ubiquitination/proteasome pathway. It has been reported that MG-132, a proteasome inhibitor effective for the lysosomal proteases [42], can inhibit the ubiquitination of CLDN5 [26]. However, our results indicated that inhibition of proteasome by MG132 cannot stop the reduction of TJ proteins in Exo$^{\text{HeLa}}$-treated HUVECs. Furthermore, neither PI3K-type III inhibitor 3-MA, which inhibits the initiation of autophagy [43] nor CQ, an autophagy inhibitor via inhibition of the acidification of lysosomes [44], could restore the TJ proteins. These findings imply that neither autophagy/lysosome nor ubiquitination/proteasome pathway is responsible for the down-regulation of TJs in Exo$^{\text{HeLa}}$-treated ECs.

Through the mRNA expression analysis of Exo$^{\text{HeLa}}$-treated HUVECs, we found a significant upregulation in “intrinsic apoptotic signalling pathway in response to ER stress” pathway in the Exo$^{\text{HeLa}}$-treated ECs relative to the control cells (Figure S10). ER plays essential roles in the physiologic regulation of many cellular processes. Alterations in ER homeostasis caused by the accumulation of misfolded proteins or alteration in the calcium or redox balance of the ER lead to a condition called ER stress [45]. Recent studies have also provided evidence that ER stress is capable of inducing autophagy in mammalian cells [46]. We confirmed that ER stress in ECs is provoked by Exo$^{\text{HeLa}}$ as the ER stress-related genes and proteins were dramatically elevated in the Exo$^{\text{HeLa}}$-treated ECs (Figure 6(a)). ER stress increases the kinase activity of PERK to promote eIF2α phosphorylation, which inhibited massive protein synthesis and cell growth [47,48]. This is also in accordance with our findings that multiprotein synthesis arrest was observed (Figure S5C). Additionally, knocking down of PERK by RNA interference restored the protein level of ZO-1 and CLDN5, indicating the activation of PERK/eIF2α/ATF4 pathway causes TJ down-regulation in ECs.

Recently, Pommier et al. reported a paradoxical phenomenon that disseminated cancer cells (DCCs) from pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma exhibit an unresolved ER stress response, which enables DCCs to escape immunity and establish latent metastases [49]. In addition, Wu et al. [50] recently reported that bladder cancer EVs could induce unfolded protein response in human SV-HUC urothelial cells, and result in the neoplastic transformation. Inhibition of EV uptake prevented this transformation. It is perceivable that the rapid growth of cancer cells requires supper fast synthesis of proteins, which could result in many misfolded proteins, and consequently lead to ER stress. In response, certain proteins are down-regulated in cancer cells, which could decrease the antigenicity of cancer cells and impair their immunogenicity. Therefore, ER stress could be a cancer cell-intrinsic mechanism to achieve an immune-privileged status [51].

In conclusion, our finding that Exo$^{\text{HeLa}}$ trigger ER stress in HUVECs, together with the findings by Pommier et al. [49] and Wu et al. [50], may provide a novel conception that exosomal component from cancer cells, such as HeLa cell, could trigger ER stress in the recipient cells. We postulate that unfolded
proteins in cancer cells are packaged into exosomes and delivered to normal cells and then cause ER stress in the recipient cells. Although the components in exosomes that triggers ER stress in ECs are still unknown, some unique peptides that can be detected only in Exo\textsuperscript{HeLa} (Table S2), including RPL28, STX-7, CHMP4B, etc., could be potential targets. This study may provide a new mechanism of tumour metastasis and may become a potential new therapeutic target. Further study is required to identify the details of the exosomal components that trigger ER stress.

Limitation in this study
The present study has a number of limitations. First, our investigation focused on HeLa cell only, and further investigations are required to verify whether this phenomenon is common for other tumour cells. Second, there are differences about exosomes between an experimental and a clinical situation because exosomes are concentrated in laboratory. Third, the exact component in HeLa exosomes causing ER stress in ECs remained unclear, which needs to be further investigated.

High Lights
- This study identified that the exosomes derived from HeLa cells inhibit the TJ expression in ECs, which in turn, increase the vascular permeability \textit{in vitro}.
- HeLa exosomes also break down the vascular integrity and increase tumour metastasis \textit{in vivo}.
- Further investigations indicate that ER stress is induced in HeLa exosomes treated ECs.
- This investigation unveiled a novel mechanism for HeLa exosome-induced tumour metastasis.
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