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ABSTRACT A method for blind estimation of interleaver parameter was recently reported which made additional data from a limited amount of received data. However, the process of making additional data creates undesirable linearity which degrades estimation performance. Promise for improved estimation therefore lies in enhancing blind estimation of interleaver parameter without making additional data. In this paper, we propose an improved method to blindly estimate interleaver parameter under the condition of scant data. We first generate a matrix by using the received data. From this matrix we then make square submatrices and obtain their rank deficiency distribution. Finally, we estimate the interleaver parameter by comparing the rank deficiency distribution of the square submatrices and that of random binary matrices.

INDEX TERMS Blind detection, non-cooperative context, remote sensing, spectrum surveillance.

I. INTRODUCTION
In non-cooperative contexts, a receiver cannot identify any information from the received data because the receiver lacks all information about transmission parameters. To recover information in a non-cooperative context, the receiver has to blindly estimate communication parameters by using only the received data. It is an extensive work requiring much effort to estimate even a single communication parameter. Therefore, blind estimation of communication parameters has been researched separately: source coding [1]–[5], channel coding [6]–[11], interleaving [12]–[23], spreading sequence [24]–[26], scrambling [27]–[29], and modulation [30]–[38]. In this paper, we focus on the blind estimation of interleaver parameter.

Interleaving along with channel coding is essential to establish reliable communication performance because it enables transmitted signals to better withstand the effects of various channel impairments [39]–[43]. Blind estimation of interleaver parameter has been researched extensively [12]–[23]. Reference [12] estimated block interleaver parameter using the rank of matrix composed of received data for noiseless channels and [13] extended the estimation method to noisy channels by using Gauss-Jordan elimination through pivoting. Further, [14]–[19] estimated various types of interleavers by using the number of ones or zeros in each row (or column). Reference [14] estimated helical scan interleaver for block channel coded data. Regarding convolutional channel coded data, [15] estimated block and helical scan interleavers, and [16] estimated convolutional and helical interleavers. Further, [17] jointly recognized the type of channel codes and interleaver parameters, and [18] jointly estimated Reed-Solomon code and block interleaver parameters. Recently, [19] proposed a blind estimation method of a convolutional interleaver with denoising algorithm.

Instead of using the number of ones or zeros in each row (or column), [20]–[22] proposed improved blind estimation methods based on the rank deficiencies of the square matrices generated from the received data: [20] estimated interleaver parameter by choosing vectors having fewer errors, [21] used binomial distribution to compare rank deficiency distributions, and [22] proposed an improved estimation method which can be effectively applied to more severe channel conditions. The estimation methods in [12]–[22] assumed...
a volume of received data sufficient for the blind estimation of interleaver parameter. Given scant received data, the conventional methods become infeasible or have degraded estimation performance [23].

Very recently, [23] proposed a novel method for blind estimation of interleaver parameter when only a limited amount of received data is available. The method in [23] first made additional data by combining the received data. Then it estimated the interleaver parameter by using the average rank deficiency of square matrices composed of the received data and the created additional data. However, in the process of making additional data, a linearity arises which impairs estimation performance. Therefore, improved blind estimation will presumably result from a method that does not produce additional data from scant received data.

In this paper, we propose an improved method to blindly estimate interleaver parameter without making additional data under the condition of a limited amount of received data. We first generate a matrix by using the received data. We then make square submatrices from the matrix composed of the received data and obtain rank deficiency distribution of the square submatrices. Finally, we estimate the interleaver parameter by comparing rank deficiency distribution of the square submatrices and that of random binary matrices. To validate the proposed method, we show the simulation results in terms of detection probability and the number of false alarms for various limited amounts of received data.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. Section II introduces the system model and Section III proposes an improved method for the blind estimation of interleaver parameter from scant data. Section IV shows the simulation results to validate the proposed method, followed by the conclusions in Section V.

II. SYSTEM MODEL

Let us assume a transmitter using an \((n_c, k_c)\) linear block code and an interleaver with period \(P\), where \(P\) is a multiple of the codeword length \(n_c\); a codeword consists of \(k_c\) message bits and \(n_c - k_c\) parity bits. The parity bits are generated by the linear combination of message bits and the interleaver changes the order of codeword bits in every period \(P\). We further assume that a total number of the received data bits is \(M\) and the predicted interleaving period is \(L\) in a non-cooperative context.

If we sequentially partition the received data as \(w\) row vectors of length \(L\), then the \(i\)-th row vector \(s_i\) can be written as

\[
s_i = [b_{i1}^1 \ b_{i2}^1 \ \cdots \ b_{iL}^1]
\]

where \(w = \left\lfloor \frac{M}{L} \right\rfloor\), \(\lfloor \cdot \rfloor\) is the floor function, \(b_{ij}^1\) is the \(j\)-th bit of \(s_i\), \(b_{ij}^1 \in \{0, 1\}\) for \(1 \leq i \leq w\) and \(1 \leq j \leq L\), and \(w > L\). If we arbitrarily select \(L\) different vectors from the \(w\) row vectors and pile up the selected \(L\) vectors row by row, we can generate an \(L \times L\) square matrix \(R\). By repeating the process, we can generate a total number of \(\binom{w}{L}\) square matrices \(R\)'s, where \(\binom{x}{y}\) is the binomial coefficient.

If the predicted interleaving period \(L\) is different from the original interleaving period \(P\), the message bits and the parity bits are not aligned in columns of \(R\) and the linearity in a codeword is lost [12]; in this case, the rank deficiency of \(R\) becomes similar to that of a random binary matrix composed of elements in the Galois field \(GF(2)\). Therefore, if \(L\) is not equal to \(P\), the rank deficiency distribution of matrices \(R\)'s will also become similar to that of random binary matrices. The probabilities that the rank deficiency of random binary matrix becomes \(\varepsilon\) are known to be 0.288788, 0.577576, 0.128350, 0.005239, and 0.000047 when \(\varepsilon\) equals 0, 1, 2, 3, and 4, respectively [44]. On the other hand, if the predicted interleaving period \(L\) is equal to the original interleaving period \(P\), the message bits and the parity bits are aligned in columns of \(R\) and the linearity in a codeword is maintained [12]. Therefore, if \(L\) is equal to \(P\), the rank deficiency distribution of matrices \(R\)'s will differ from that of random binary matrices [21, 22].

Using the above properties, the methods in [21] and [22] compared the rank deficiency distribution of matrices \(R\)'s with that of random binary matrices to estimate interleaving period. These methods generally do estimate the interleaver parameter given sufficient collected data. When the amount of received data is limited such that \(w\) is smaller than \(P\), not even a single \(P \times P\) square matrix \(R\) can be generated. Therefore, the methods in [21] and [22] become infeasible given a limited amount of data [23].

To solve that problem, [23] proposed a novel method for blind estimation of interleaver parameter when only a limited amount of received data is available. The method in [23] makes additional row vectors \(c_J\)'s by linear combination of \(n\) different \(s_i\)'s, where \(c_J = \bigoplus_{j \in J} s_j\), \(J\) is the index set of size \(n\), and \(\oplus\) denotes modulo-2 addition. For example, when \(n = 2\) and \(J = \{x, y\}\), \(c_J\) becomes \(s_x \oplus s_y\), where \(1 \leq x \leq w\), \(1 \leq y \leq w\), and \(x \neq y\). Note that, in this case, there is a total number of \(w + \binom{w}{2}\) vectors, which may be enough vectors to generate square matrices [23]. Meanwhile, in the process of making \(c_J\)'s, additional linearity among vectors occurs. For example, when \(n = 2\) and \(J = \{x, y\}\), there is a linear relation among vectors \(s_x, s_y, c_x\), and \(c_y\) since \(c_J = s_x \oplus s_y\). Therefore, if we denote the \(L \times L\) square matrix composed of \(L\) different vectors from \(c_J\)'s and \(s_i\)'s as \(R_{SN}\) for notational convenience, unlike the rank deficiency of \(R\), there are two types of linearity relating to the rank deficiency of \(R_{SN}\): one is the linearity in a codeword and the other is the linearity among vectors, which additionally occurs in the process of making \(c_J\)'s [23]. The latter linearity degrades the estimation performance. Therefore, it can be expected that the estimation performance can be improved if there is a blind estimation method without making additional data under the condition of a limited amount
of received data. We propose just such an enhanced method in Section III.

III. PROPOSED METHOD

When the amount of received data is limited such that \( w \) is smaller than \( P \), we cannot obtain rank deficiency distribution because not even a single \( P \times P \) square matrix can be generated for this case. The method in [23] made additional data to generate a sufficient number of square matrices under the condition of scant data as explained in Section II. The cost of this is degraded estimation, because of the linearity arising from the process of making additional data. To solve this problem, we propose a method by using the rank deficiency distribution of square submatrices obtained from the matrix composed of received data, without making additional data. By comparing the rank deficiency distribution of the square submatrices and that of the random binary matrices, we blindly estimate interleaver parameter without making additional data, even with scant received data. To do this, we first generate a matrix by using the received data. We then make square submatrices from the matrix composed of received data and obtain rank deficiency distribution of the square submatrices. Finally, we estimate the interleaver parameter by comparing rank deficiency distribution of the square submatrices and that of random binary matrices. We develop the proposed method with the following steps.

If we arrange the \( w \) row vectors of (1) row by row, we can generate a \( w \times L \) matrix \( R_s \) as

\[
R_s = \begin{bmatrix}
  s_1 \\
  s_2 \\
  \vdots \\
  s_w
\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}
  b_1^1 & b_1^2 & \cdots & b_1^L \\
  b_2^1 & b_2^2 & \cdots & b_2^L \\
  \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
  b_w^1 & b_w^2 & \cdots & b_w^L
\end{bmatrix}.
\]

(2)

By arbitrarily deleting some rows and columns from the matrix \( R_s \), we can compose different \( k \times k \) square submatrices \( R_s \)'s and this may be a sufficient number of square submatrices to obtain rank deficiency distribution. For example, when we receive \( 26 \times 28 \) data bits and the predicted interleaving period \( L \) is 28, we only have \( w = \frac{26 \times 28}{28} = 26 \) row vectors. In this case, not even a single \( 28 \times 28 \) square matrix can be generated. However, if we compose \( 24 \times 24 \) square submatrices \( R_s \)'s, i.e. \( k = 24 \), then we can generate \( \binom{26}{24} \times \binom{28}{24} = 6564375 \) different square submatrices \( R_s \)'s, and this is enough to obtain rank deficiency distribution.

We examine the rank deficiency distribution of \( R_s \), which is generated by deleting some rows and columns from \( R_s \), for two cases: one is for \( L \neq P \) and the other is for \( L = P \). When \( L \neq P \), the message bits and parity bits are not aligned in columns of \( R_s \) in (2). Consequently, if we generate a \( k \times k \) square submatrix \( R \) when \( L \neq P \), neither the message bits nor parity bits are aligned in columns of \( R \), and the linearity in a codeword is lost. In this case, the rank deficiency of \( R_s \) becomes similar to that of a random binary matrix. Therefore, if \( L \neq P \), the rank deficiency distribution of submatrices \( R_s \)'s will become similar to that of random binary matrices.

On the other hand, when \( L = P \), the message bits and parity bits are aligned in columns of \( R_s \) in (2). If we compose a \( k \times k \) square submatrix \( R \), when \( L = P \), some of the message bits and parity bits in \( R_s \) are also aligned in columns of \( R_s \) and we expect the linearity in a codeword to be maintained.

To show that the linearity in a codeword is maintained in \( R_s \), we investigate the rank deficiency of \( R_s \) mathematically. If the expected value of rank deficiency of \( R_s \) is larger than that of a random binary matrix, we can consider that the rank deficiency distribution of \( R_s \) is different from that of random binary matrices, and the linearity in a codeword is maintained in \( R_s \). Therefore, we derive the expected value of minimum rank deficiency of \( R_s \) and show that it is larger than the expected value of rank deficiency of a random binary matrix when \( L = P \).

We start from a simple case for \( P = n_c \) and then generalize the result to the case for \( P = \alpha n_c \), where \( \alpha \) is the number of codewords in an interleaving period. When \( P = n_c \), there is only one codeword in an interleaving period. In this case, if we arrange the \( w \) row vectors of (1) row by row, we can generate a \( w \times n_c \) matrix \( R_s \) of (2) and the maximum rank of \( R_s \) becomes \( k_c \) which is the dimension of \( (n_c, k_c) \) linear block code. If we make a \( k \times k \) square submatrix \( R_s \) by deleting some rows and columns from the matrix \( R_s \), since the rank of \( R_s \) is less than or equal to the rank of \( R_s \), the maximum rank of \( R_s \) also becomes \( k_c \), in other words, the minimum rank deficiency of \( R_s \) becomes \( k - k_c \). Therefore, when \( L = P = n_c \), the minimum rank deficiency of \( R_s \), \( f(k) \), can be obtained by

\[
f(k) = \begin{cases} 
  k - k_c, & k_c \leq k \leq n_c \\
  0, & 0 \leq k < k_c 
\end{cases}
\]

(3)

where \( k \) is the number of rows (or columns) of \( R_s \).

Next, we consider the general case for \( P = \alpha n_c \), where there are \( \alpha \) codewords in an interleaving period. In this case, if we arrange the \( w \) row vectors of (1) row by row, we can generate a \( w \times \alpha n_c \) matrix \( R_s \) of (2), and the maximum rank of \( R_s \) becomes \( \alpha k_c \), since there are \( \alpha \) codewords in each row of \( R_s \). By deleting some rows and columns from the matrix \( R_s \), we can obtain a \( k \times k \) square submatrix \( R_s \). If we denote \( k_i \) as the number of columns in \( R_s \) relating to the \( i \)-th codeword in each row of \( R_s \) where \( 1 \leq i \leq \alpha \) and \( 0 \leq k_i \leq n_c \), then \( \sum_{i=1}^{\alpha} k_i = k \) and the minimum rank deficiency of \( R_s \) can be calculated as \( \sum_{i=1}^{\alpha} f(k_i) \) from (3). Since the number of possible \( k_i \) columns in \( R_s \), relating to the \( i \)-th codeword in each row of \( R_s \) for each \( k_i \) is \( \binom{n_c}{k_i} \) and the number of codewords in each row of \( R_s \) is \( \alpha \), there are a total number of \( \prod_{i=1}^{\alpha} \binom{n_c}{k_i} \)
square submatrices $R_s$’s for given $k_i$’s. Finally, by considering all possible $k_i$’s for a given $k$, we can formulate the expected value of minimum rank deficiency of $R_s$, $r_{\text{min}}(k)$, when $L = P = \alpha n_e$ as

$$r_{\text{min}}(k) = \left( \begin{array}{c} L \\ k \end{array} \right)^{-1} \sum_{k_1+k_2+\cdots+k_d = k} \prod_{i=1}^\alpha \left( \begin{array}{c} n_e \\ k_j \end{array} \right)^{\alpha} f(k_i).$$

(4)

Note that when $\alpha = 1$, (4) reduces to (3).

We also show the expected value of rank deficiency of a random binary matrix in Figs. 1 and 2, where the expected value of rank deficiency of the random binary matrix is about 0.8502 [44]. From Figs. 1 and 2, we can also see that, when $L = P$, $r_{\text{min}}(k)$ is different from the expected value of rank deficiency of a random binary matrix. Therefore, we can confirm that when $L = P$, the linearity in a codeword is maintained in $R_s$ and the rank deficiency distribution of submatrices $R_s$’s becomes different from that of random binary matrices. Consequently, by using the rank deficiency distribution of $R_s$’s and that of random binary matrices, we can estimate the interleaver parameter under the condition of a limited amount of received data.

For the comparison of the rank deficiency distribution of $R_s$’s and that of random binary matrices, we adopt mean square error as a measure, which is calculated as

$$D_{\text{MSE}} = \frac{1}{k+1} \sum_{i=0}^k \{P(X = i) - P(Y = i)\}^2$$

(5)

where $P(X = i)$ and $P(Y = i)$ are the rank deficiency distribution of $R_s$’s and that of random binary matrices respectively, $i$ is rank deficiency, and $k$ is the number of rows (or columns) of $R_s$. If $P(X = i)$ and $P(Y = i)$ are similar, i.e., the rank deficiency distribution of $R_s$’s and that of random binary matrices are similar, $D_{\text{MSE}}$ will become relatively small, on the other hand, if two distributions are different from each other, $D_{\text{MSE}}$ will become relatively large. Therefore, by obtaining $D_{\text{MSE}}$ for each $L$, we can estimate $L$ as the original interleaving period $P$ when $D_{\text{MSE}}$ has the largest value.

After estimating $L$ as the original interleaving period $P$, we compare once more the rank deficiency distribution of $R_s$’s and that of random binary matrices. The mean square error between the rank deficiency distribution of $R_s$’s and that of random binary matrices, $D_{\text{MSE}}$, may have the largest value even when $L \neq P$ because of the erroneous bits caused by noise. In this case, a false alarm occurs. To control the false alarm, we adopt the Kullback-Leibler divergence (KLD), which is typically used to check the similarity of the two probability distributions [22]. KLD is the relative entropy between the two probability distributions; therefore, it has a non-negative near-zero value for similar distributions and relatively large value as the difference between the distributions increases. When KLD becomes larger than $\gamma$, we finally declare that $L$ is the original interleaving period $P$, where the threshold $\gamma$ is a design parameter to control false alarms. The larger $\gamma$ becomes, the less often false alarms occur.

Finally, we can summarize the proposed method step by step in Algorithm 1.

IV. SIMULATION RESULTS

In this section, we validate the proposed method through computer simulations in terms of detection probability and the number of false alarms. In the simulations, a random interleaver with interleaving period $P$, binary phase shift keying modulation, and an additive white Gaussian noise (AWGN)
Algorithm 1: Estimation of the Interleaving Period by Using Square Submatrices

Notation of Variable: Cnt denotes the number of matrices generated to calculate rank deficiency distribution. \( L^* \) is the predicted interleaving period.

Input: \( M \)-bit received data

1. for \( L^* = L_{\text{min}} : L_{\text{max}} \) do
2. Sequentially partition the received data as \( w \) row vectors \( s_i \)'s with length \( L^* \)
3. Arrange the \( w \) row vectors row by row to generate matrix \( R_a \) of (2)
4. for \( i = 1 : \text{Cnt} \) do
5. Delete some rows and some columns from the matrix \( R_a \) to obtain \( k \times k \) square submatrix \( R_s \)
6. Calculate the rank deficiency of \( R_s \)
7. end
8. Calculate and record rank deficiency distribution of \( R_s \)’s
9. Calculate \( D_{\text{MSE}} \) in (5)
10. Update \( L \) as \( L^* \) when \( D_{\text{MSE}} \) is larger than the previous maximum value of \( D_{\text{MSE}} \)
11. end
12. Calculate KLD by using the rank deficiency distribution corresponding to \( L \)
13. Declare \( L \) as the original interleaving period \( P \) when KLD is larger than \( \gamma \)

Output: Estimated interleaving period \( L \)

channel are assumed. We limit the amount of received data, \( M \), less than \( P \times P \) bits in the simulations to validate that the proposed method can effectively estimate the interleaving period when there is only a limited amount of received data available. We examine the detection performance of the proposed method according to \( k \), which is the number of rows (or columns) in a square submatrix \( R_s \), \( \gamma \), which is a threshold to control the false alarm, and \( M \), which is the amount of received data. We include the results of the methods in [13] and [23] for comparison.

Firstly, we investigate the detection performance of the proposed method according to \( k \) where \( k \) is smaller than \( \min(w, L) \). Generally, as \( k \) increases under the condition of \( k \) being less than \( \min(w, L) \), the possibility of maintaining linearity in a codeword in \( R_s \) also increases and we can expect better detection performance. We show the detection probability of the proposed method in Fig. 3 by varying \( k \) from \( \min(w, L) - 1 \) to \( \min(w, L) - 4 \), when the interleaving period is 60, (15, 11) BCH code is used, \( \gamma \) is 0.75, and \( M \) is 2520 \((60 \times 60 \times 0.7) \) bits. From Fig. 3, we find that the detection performance improves as \( k \) increases, as we expected. Therefore, in general, we can choose \( k \) as \( \min(w, L) - 1 \) to acquire better estimation performance. For some cases, such as a small values of \( w \) and \( L \), if we set \( k \) to \( \min(w, L) - 1 \), we cannot compose a sufficient number of \( R_s \)'s to obtain a rank deficiency distribution. In such a case, we can choose \( k \) smaller than \( \min(w, L) - 1 \). Consequently, we set \( k \) to a design parameter, and choose \( k \) as large as possible to compose a sufficient number of \( R_s \)'s for calculating rank deficiency distribution.

FIGURE 4. Detection probability according to \( \gamma \) when interleaving period is 60 and (15, 11) BCH code is used in an AWGN channel.

FIGURE 5. Number of false alarms in 10 000 iterations according to \( \gamma \) when interleaving period is 60 and (15, 11) BCH code is used in an AWGN channel.

We depict the detection probability and the number of false alarms of the proposed method for various values of \( \gamma \) in Figs. 4 and 5, respectively, when the interleaving period is 60, (15, 11) BCH code is used, \( k \) is \( \min(w, L) - 1 \), and \( M \) is 2520 \((60 \times 60 \times 0.7) \) bits. We can see from Fig. 4 that the detection probability increases as \( \gamma \) decreases. And from Fig. 5, we find that the number of false alarms decreases as \( \gamma \) increases. To be
specific, when $\gamma$ is 0, the detection probability becomes better but there are a number of false alarm occurrences. On the other hand, when $\gamma$ is 1, the detection probability becomes worse but there are only a few false alarms. Therefore, we can confirm from Figs. 4 and 5 that there is trade-off between the detection probability and the number of false alarms according to the design parameter $\gamma$.

![Detection probability according to $M$ when interleaving period is 49 and (7, 4) Hamming code is used in an AWGN channel.](image6)

![Detection probability according to $M$ when interleaving period is 60 and (15, 11) BCH code is used in an AWGN channel.](image7)

Finally, we validate the performance of the proposed method in an AWGN channel according to the amount of received data $M$ in Figs. 6, 7, and 8. We show the detection probabilities when the interleaving period is 49 and (7, 4) Hamming code is used in Fig. 6, and when the interleaving period is 60 and (15, 11) BCH code is used in Fig. 7. We also depict the number of false alarms when the interleaving period is 60 and (15, 11) BCH code is used in Fig. 8. In the simulations, we set $M$ to $P \times P \times \beta$ for $0 < \beta < 1$, $\gamma$ to 0.75, and $k$ to $\min(w, L) - 1$. For comparison, we include the performance of the conventional methods in [13] and [23]. From Figs. 6 and 7, we can see that the proposed method is superior to the conventional methods of [13] and [23] in detection probability. When $M$ is $P \times P \times 0.9$ bits, the proposed method achieves signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) gains of about 2.5 dB and 3.4 dB over [13], 1.0 dB and 1.1 dB over [23], in Figs. 6 and 7, respectively. For more limited cases of $M$ being 1176 ($\approx 49 \times 49 \times 0.49$) bits in Fig. 6 and 2520 ($60 \times 60 \times 0.7$) bits in Fig. 7, the detection probabilities of the proposed method reach 0.9 at SNRs of 6.2 dB and 7.4 dB, respectively, while the conventional methods in [13] and [23] cannot give any meaningful detection results. From Fig. 8, we can see that there are only a few false alarms with the proposed method, where the results are obtained from 10 000 iterations for each $M$.

![Number of false alarms in 10 000 iterations when interleaving period is 60 and (15, 11) BCH code is used in an AWGN channel.](image8)

V. CONCLUSION

In this paper, we proposed an improved method for blind estimation of interleaver parameter from scant data. In cases where only a limited amount of received data was available, the previous method estimated interleaver parameter by making additional data with the received data. The cost of this was degraded estimation, because of the linearity arose in the process of making additional data. To solve this problem, we first generated a matrix by using the received data. We then made square submatrices from the matrix composed of the received data and obtained a rank deficiency distribution of the square submatrices. Finally, we estimated the interleaver parameter by comparing rank deficiency distribution of the square submatrices and that of random binary matrices. The proposed method was validated through computer simulations and gave better estimation performance than the conventional methods, given scant received data. Further, for more limited cases of the amount of received data, the proposed method could estimate interleaver parameter whereas the conventional methods in [13] and [23] could not give any meaningful detection.
results. Therefore, it is expected that the proposed method can effectively estimate interleaver parameter under the condition of scant received data.
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