Spatial Distribution and Factors Associated With Risky Health Behavior Among Adult Males Aged 15–59 Years in Ethiopia: Generalized Structural Equation Modeling

Sewnet Adem Kebede 1*, Adisu Birhanu Weldesenbet 2 and Biruk Shalmeno Tusa 2

1 Department of Epidemiology and Biostatistics, Institute of Public Health, College of Medicine and Health Sciences, University of Gondar, Gondar, Ethiopia, 2 Department of Epidemiology and Biostatistics, College of Health and Medical Sciences, Haramaya University, Haramaya, Ethiopia

Background: Alcohol drinking and tobacco smoking are the largest preventable causes of death and important risk factors for a number of non-communicable diseases and cause premature death and many socioeconomic consequences. Therefore, the present study is aimed to assess the spatial distribution of risky health behavior and its associated factors among adult males in Ethiopia.

Methods: All men (12,688) within the age range of 15–59 years were included in the final analysis. The distribution of risky health behavior across the country was observed by using ArcGIS software. In SaTScan software, the Bernoulli model was fitted by Kulldorff methods to identify the purely spatial clusters of risky health behavior. Generalized Structural Equation Model (GSEM) was used to determine factors associated with risky health behavior (regular alcohol drinking and tobacco smoking).

Results: Risky health behavior had spatial variation across the country. The primary clusters were located in Tigray, Amhara, and north-eastern Benishangul national regional states. Spatial scan statistics identified 118 primary clusters [Log-Likelihood ratio (LLR) = 524.8, p < 0.001]. Residence, frequency of listening to a radio, occupation, and frequency of watching television were significantly associated with drinking alcohol, whereas wealth index was associated with tobacco smoking. Age, region, educational status, marital status, and religion had association with both domains of risky health behavior.

Conclusion: Risky health behavior had spatial variation across the country. Bans on advertising and promotion of alcohol and tobacco on national press media should be strengthened. Aggressive health education efforts should be directed toward this high-risk population (Tigray, Amhara, and north-eastern Benishangul regional states). Improving risky health behavior is an important approach to reducing health disparities and promoting a more cost-effective utilization of scarce resources in the public health sector.
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BACKGROUND

Risky health behavior is a frequent or intense activity, which increases the risk of disease or injury now or in the future (1, 2). Risky health behaviors consist of inadequate physical activity, unhealthy dietary behavior, tobacco use, drug abuse, unprotected sexual practices, and harmful alcohol consumption (3).

On the ongoing strategies to prevent non-communicable diseases (NCD), both tobacco use and alcohol drinking are a serious challenge. Non-communicable diseases include cancer, diabetes, cardiovascular disease, and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD), which account for over 80% of all premature NCD deaths worldwide (4–6). All age groups (children, adults, and the elderly) are susceptible to the risk factors contributing to NCDs, whether from unhealthy dietary behavior, inadequate physical activity, exposure to tobacco smoke, or the harmful use of alcohol (7).

Sustainable development goals (SDG), which are related both to the health sector (SDGs 1 (No poverty), SDGs 2 (Zero hunger), SDGs 4 (Quality education), SDGs 5 (Gender education), and SDGs 6 (Clean water sanitation)) and outside the health sector (SDGs 8 (Decent work and economic growth), SDGs 10 (Reducing inequality), SDGs 11 (sustainable cities and communities), SDGs 16 (Peace, justice and strong institution), and SDGs 17 (Partnership for the goals)) are affected by risky health behavior, and more specifically it affects SDG3, which includes maternal mortality reduction, ability to fight communicable diseases, reduction of mortality from NCD, promote mental health, achieve universal health coverage, reduce injuries, and poisonings. Alcohol drinking and tobacco smoking are specifically stated under health Target 3.5: “strengthen the prevention and treatment of substance use, including narcotic drug abuse and harmful use of alcohol” (5, 8).

Globally, 5.3% of all deaths were attributed to harmful use of alcohol. Mortality due to a combination of disease (tuberculosis, HIV/AIDS, and diabetes) is lower than death due to alcohol consumption. Worldwide, alcohol was responsible for 7.2% (among persons 69 years of age and younger) of all premature mortality in 2016 (5, 7, 9). Recent World Health Organization (WHO) estimates indicate that about 1.1 billion people use tobacco worldwide. Seven million deaths with over 80% mortality taking place in low and mid-income countries is attributed to tobacco use (10).

The WHO global report showed that the prevalence of tobacco smoking is decreasing in almost all regions of the world, except African and Eastern Mediterranean regions, where the trends are flat (11). The highest number of deaths was recorded in Eastern Africa while the lowest was recorded in Central Africa (12). Although the highest levels of alcohol consumption are in Europe, Africa bears the heaviest burden of disease and injury attributed to alcohol (5).

Despite the fact that both men and women drink alcohol and tobacco, males consume more of both and are responsible for more alcohol and tobacco-related harm to themselves and others. The alcohol attributable burden of disease was higher among men than among women (13). Men smoke more tobacco than women in different societies and cultures (14–16).

Alcohol and tobacco use among adult men in Ethiopia was 46.6 and 7.3% in 2015, respectively (17). Even though fewer men die from tobacco in Ethiopia than the average in countries with low human development index, tobacco kills 258 men every week (18). Also, tobacco use directly fuels poverty. It drives resources from other basic needs such as nutrition, education, and health (8). The economic cost of smoking in Ethiopia amounts to 1,391 million Ethiopian birr (ETB), US$43.6 million. This include both direct and indirect costs due to early death and disease (18).

Different studies were conducted in different parts of Ethiopia using a univariate analysis to determine factors associated with each category of risky health behavior (19–22). In univariate analysis only one outcome variable is allowed. However, risky health behavior has two domains (alcohol drinking and tobacco smoking) which need to be considered as independent variables. Furthermore, the prevalence of risky health behavior is not geographically homogenous.

Thus, the present study applies spatial analysis to identify geographic distribution of risky health behavior and generalized structural equation model (GSEM) to determine factors associated with two domains of risky health behavior. Understanding the spatial distribution of alcohol drinking and tobacco smoking, along with the factors contributing to their use, is crucial to identify priority areas for developing targeted tobacco and alcohol control policies and reducing alcohol and tobacco related harm.

METHOD

Study Design, Period, and Setting

Population-based cross-sectional study was conducted in Ethiopia. The data was collected from January 18, 2016, to June 27, 2016. Administratively Ethiopia is divided into nine regional states and two city administrations. The regions are subdivided into zones which are further subdivided into woredas. Woredas are subdivided into the lowest administrative units called kebeles, and kebeles form Enumeration Areas (EAs) which represent primary sampling unit for EDHS data.

Data Source and Extraction

The 2016 Ethiopian demographic and health survey men’s data set was used to extract the dependent variable and important independent factors. The 2016 EDHS is the fourth survey implemented by the Central Statistical Agency (CSA) in collaboration with Federal Ministry of Health (FMoH) and the Ethiopian Public Health Institute (EPHI). It is nationally representative household surveys that provide data for a wide range of monitoring and impact evaluation indicators in the areas of population, health, and nutrition. The data sets were downloaded in Stata format with permission from the DHS.

Abbreviations: AOR, adjusted odds ratio; CI, confidence interval; COPD, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; CSA, central statistics agency; EA, enumeration area; EDHS, Ethiopian demographic and health survey; GSEM, generalized structural equation model; SD, standard deviation; SDG, sustainable development goal; SNNPR, southern nation and nationality and peoples region; WHO, world health organization.
program official database (http://www.dhsprogram.com) after an
online request was made explaining the aim of the study.

**Study Population and Sampling Procedure**
The study population was all men within age range of 15–59
years, and those men who are within the randomly selected
EAs were study subjects. The 2016 EDHS sample was stratified
and selected in two stages. In the first stage, a total of
645 Enumeration Areas (EAs) were selected with probability
proportional to EA size and with independent selection in each
sampling stratum. In the second stage of selection, a fixed number
of households per cluster were selected with an equal probability
systematic selection from the newly created household listing.
The detailed sampling procedure was presented in the full 2016
EDHS report (23).

**Study Variables**
The outcome variables with important predictors were extracted
from Ethiopia Demographic and Health Surveys men’s data
set. Risky health behavior among men aged 15–59 was used as
a dependent variable. Risky health behavior involves 2 items:
regular alcohol use (one or more drinks per week in the last
12 months) and/or regular tobacco use (used chewing tobacco
every day or smoked cigarettes every day in the last 30 days) (24).
Risky health behavior is defined as those that potentially
expose people to harm, or significant risk of harm, which prevent
them from reaching their potential in life and which can cause
significant morbidity or mortality (25). Depending on different
literature review, independent variables included in the analysis
are described in Table 1.

**Data Processing and Analysis**
Data were processed and analyzed using Stata 14, ArcGIS 10.3,
and SaTScan 9.6 softwares. The data were weighted because
the overall probability of selection of each household is not
a constant. The representativeness of the survey, correction of
the difference of the sample vs. the target population,
and probabilities of selection and reliable statistical estimates
was maintained by applying weighting. Generalized structural
equation model (GSEM) was used to determine factors associated
with each item of risky health behavior (regular alcohol drinking
and tobacco smoking). Each domain of risky health behavior was
a binary variable that was analyzed with Bernoulli family and a
logit link function.

The postulated model in Figure 1 was used to start the
analysis. By adding a path link, adjustments were taken
interactively. At the end, an over identified model with minimum
information criteria was taken.

A final model was retained based on statistical significance
of path coefficient, the theoretical meaningfulness of the
relationship, and minimum information criteria. Adjusted odds
ratio (AOR) with a 95% confidence interval (CI) and p-
value < 0.05 were declared as factors associated with risky
health behavior.

**Spatial Autocorrelation Analysis**
To detect whether there is clustering of risky health behavior,
spatial autocorrelation was conducted. Spatial autocorrelation
based on feature locations and attribute values using the
Global Moran’s I statistic was calculated and Z-scores with
corresponding P-values were presented. Statistically significant
Moran’s I index revealed the presence of overall nationwide
clustering. Local cluster analysis was used for the confirmation
of specific cluster areas.

**Spatial Scan Statistical Analysis**
Men aged 15–59 years without risky health behavior were taken
as controls, and those with risky health behavior were taken
as cases and represented by a 0/1 variable to fit the Bernoulli
model and identify the geographical locations of statistically
significant spatial clusters of risky health behavior among men
using Kulldorff’s SaTScan version 9.6 software. The number of
cases in each location had Bernoulli distribution and the model
required data with or without risky health behavior. A likelihood

| TABLE 1 | Description of explanatory variables used in the analysis. |
|----------|-----------------------------------------------------------|
| **Variables** | **Description** | **Category** |
| Age (years) | Age of household member | 15–19, 20–24, 25–29, 30–34, 40–44, 45–49, 50–54, and 55–59 |
| Educational level | Highest educational level attained | No education, primary, secondary and higher |
| Sex | Sex of the household head (the person considered responsible for the household) | Male, female |
| Marital status | Never married, currently married and formerly married (it includes divorced, separated and widowed) | Never married, married, and divorced/separated/widowed |
| Residence | Type of place of residence | Urban and rural |
| Wealth status | It is a composite measure of a household’s cumulative living standard | Poor, middle and rich |
| Region | Region | Tigray, afar, amhara, oromia, somalia, benishangul, SNNPR, gambella, harari, addis ababa, and dire dawa |
| Occupation | Occupation | Not working, professional, clerical, sales, agricultural, services, skilled manual, unskilled manual, and others |
| Religion | Religion | Orthodox, protestant, muslim, and other |
| Frequency of watching television | Frequency of television exposure | Not at all, less than once a week, and at least once a week |
| Frequency of listening to radio | Frequency of radio exposure | Not at all, less than once a week, and at least once a week |

SNNPR, Southern Nations, Nationalities, and Peoples’ Region.
ratio test statistic was used to determine whether the number of observed cases within the potential cluster was significantly higher than the expected or not. Based on the 999 Monte Carlo replications primary and secondary clusters were identified using $p$-values and likelihood ratio tests (26).

RESULTS

Characteristics of Study Population

A total of 12,688 men aged 15–59 were included in the analysis. About 10,187 (80.29%) of them were rural dwellers and 5,901 (46.51%) had primary education. The mean age of the participants was 30.83 years ($\pm$ 11.55 years). The household wealth index of 46.64% of the study subjects were in the two upper wealth quintiles (Table 2).

Spatial Distribution of Risky Health Behavior

The spatial distribution of risky health behavior was found to be spatially clustered in Ethiopia with Global Moran’s I 0.12 ($p < 0.0001$). Cluster of high rates in risky health behavior was observed in the study area. The outputs were automatically generated keys given the z-score of 4.02 indicated that there is <1% likelihood that this clustered pattern could be the result of a random chance. The bright red and blue colors to the end tails indicates an increased significance level (Figure 2).

Spatial clustering of risky health behavior was found at regional levels. The overall prevalence of risky health behavior in men was 31% in one or both of the domains such as regular tobacco smoking and regular alcohol drinking. The highest risky health behavior was spatially clustered in Tigray, Amhara, eastern part of Benishangul and Gambella regions; Oromia and Somali regions had lowest risky health behavior (Figure 3).

Spatial SaTScan Analysis of Risky Health Behavior (Bernoulli Based Model)

A total of 125 significant clusters were identified, of which 118 most primary clusters and 7 secondary clusters of risky health behavior were identified. The primary clusters were located in Tigray, Amhara, and north-eastern Benishangul regional states. The primary clusters were centered at 12.669915 N, 36.775082 E, with 313.13 km radius, a relative risk (RR) of 2.41, and Log-Likelihood ratio (LLR) of 524.8, at $p < 0.001$. It showed that men within this area are at 2.4 times higher risk for risky health behavior than men outside the area (Table 3).

The bright red color indicates that the most statistically significant spatial windows of risky health behavior. There was high risky health behavior within the cluster than outside the cluster (Figure 4).

Factors Associated With Risky Health Behavior

The final model for associated factors of risky health behavior is shown in Figure 5 and Table 4. This model had included ten exogenous variables (age, region, residence, educational status, frequency of listening to radio, marital status, religion,
### TABLE 2 Socio-demographic characteristics of respondents in Ethiopia, 2016 (N = 12,688).

| Variables                | Weighted frequency | Percent |
|--------------------------|--------------------|---------|
| **Age**                  |                    |         |
| 15–19                    | 2,572              | 20.27   |
| 20–24                    | 1,883              | 14.84   |
| 25–29                    | 1,977              | 15.58   |
| 30–34                    | 1,635              | 12.88   |
| 35–39                    | 1,385              | 10.92   |
| 40–44                    | 1,206              | 9.51    |
| 45–49                    | 947                | 7.47    |
| 50–54                    | 582                | 4.59    |
| 55–59                    | 501                | 3.95    |
| **Residence**            |                    |         |
| Urban                    | 2,501              | 19.71   |
| Rural                    | 10,187             | 80.29   |
| **Region**               |                    |         |
| Tigray                   | 795                | 6.27    |
| Afar                     | 90                 | 0.71    |
| Amhara                   | 3,230              | 25.48   |
| Oromia                   | 4,758              | 37.50   |
| Somali                   | 329                | 2.59    |
| Benishangul              | 128                | 1.00    |
| SNNPR                    | 2,596              | 20.48   |
| Gambela                  | 37                 | 0.29    |
| Harari                   | 31                 | 0.25    |
| Addis ababa              | 621                | 4.90    |
| Dire dawa                | 73                 | 0.57    |
| **Level of education**   |                    |         |
| No education             | 3,840              | 30.26   |
| Primary                  | 5,901              | 46.51   |
| Secondary                | 1,846              | 14.55   |
| Higher                   | 1,101              | 8.67    |
| **Religion**             |                    |         |
| Orthodox                 | 5,690              | 44.84   |
| Protestant               | 2,748              | 21.66   |
| Muslim                   | 3,985              | 31.41   |
| Other*                   | 265                | 2.09    |
| **Sex of household head**|                    |         |
| Male                     | 11,122             | 87.66   |
| Female                   | 1,566              | 12.34   |
| **Wealth index**         |                    |         |
| Poorest                  | 2,009              | 15.83   |
| Poorer                   | 2,318              | 18.27   |
| Middle                   | 2,443              | 19.25   |
| Richer                   | 2,727              | 21.49   |
| Richest                  | 3,191              | 25.15   |
| **Marital status**       |                    |         |
| Never married            | 4,865              | 38.58   |
| Married/Living together  | 7,471              | 58.88   |
| Divorced/separated/widowed| 322               | 2.54    |
| **Currently working**    |                    |         |
| No                       | 1,430              | 11.27   |
| Yes                      | 11,258             | 88.73   |

Others* = Catholic, cultural belief; SNNPR, Southern Nation Nationalities and Peoples Region.

...and two endogenous variables (alcohol drinking and tobacco smoking). All path coefficients were statistically significant at an alpha level of 0.05. Variables, namely sex of the household head and relationship to the household head, were excluded from the final model as their effects were not statistically significant at an alpha level of 0.05.

Men aged 30–44 and 45–59 years had the highest probability of smoking tobacco compared to those aged 15–29 (AOR = 2.45, 95% CI = 2.02–2.97) (AOR = 2.28, 95% CI = 1.81–2.87), respectively. The odds of drinking alcohol among men aged 30–44 and 45–59 years is 58 and 66% higher as compared with those aged 15–29.

The odds of drinking alcohol lower in Afar, Amhara, Oromia, Somali, SNNPR, Harari, Addis Ababa, and Dire Dawa as compared with Tigray. However, the probability of smoking tobacco higher in Afar, Oromia, Somali, Benishangul, SNNPR, Gambela, Harari, Addis Ababa, and Dire Dawa as compared with Tigray.

The odds of drinking alcohol are 18% lower among those men who completed secondary education as compared with those with no education. On the other hand, the likelihood of smoking tobacco is 35 and 47% higher among those who completed primary and secondary education, respectively, as compared with those with no education.

The odds of drinking alcohol are 26 and 35% higher among men who were watching television less than once a week and at least once a week, respectively, as compared with those who were not watching television at all.

The odds of drinking alcohol are 88, 96, and 60% lower among Protestant, Muslim, and Catholic religion followers, respectively, as compared with Orthodox religion followers. The likelihood of smoking tobacco increased by 84% among men who were Muslim religion follower as compared with Orthodox religion followers.

Men with active employment were more likely to drink alcohol as compared with the unemployed. The probability of smoking tobacco decreased with wealth. The odds of smoking tobacco are 50% lower among those men with rich wealth index than those in poor wealth index. Men in rural areas were 1.36 times more likely to drink alcohol than those in urban areas.

### DISCUSSION

Using the EDHS data and an appropriate modeling approach, this study further assessed spatial distribution and factors associated...
with risky health behavior (regular alcohol drinking and/or regular tobacco smoking) among men in Ethiopia. This study revealed that the spatial distribution of risky health behavior in Ethiopia was non-random.

The current study demonstrated a geographic difference of risky health behavior among 4 of the 11 Ethiopian regions. Risky health behavior was highly clustered in Tigray, Amhara, eastern part of Benishangul, and Gambella regional states of Ethiopia. In line with this, high proportion clustering, spatial scan statistics analysis revealed that 125 significant clusters were found. The geographical difference of risky health behavior across the regional states might be attributable to the regional

TABLE 3 | Significant spatial clusters with high-rate risky health behavior among males in Ethiopia, 2016.

| Cluster | Enumeration area (cluster) identified | Coordinate (radius) | Population | Case | RR | LLR | P-value |
|---------|--------------------------------------|---------------------|------------|------|----|-----|---------|
| 1       | 279,292,638,640,52,296,504,312,327,612,163,322,152,169,259,158,431,73,602,415,516,512,80,258,361,253,541,628,396,132,382,548,167,199,515,456,425,188,615,498,109,429,403,583,340,24,3,533,551,268,246,98,627,559,181,255,256,120,38,528,36,584,542,78,66,156,375,579,150,636,137,183,575,545,597,474,35,364,400,590,244,81,494,538,206,300,184,401,424,392,136,591,176,355,84,143,531,481,478,430,160,45,604,229,449,97,237,461,94,550,351,218,200,442,479,605,530 | (12.689915N, 36.775082) E/313.13 km | 2,599 | 1,518 | 2.41 | 524.8 | <0.001 |
| 2       | 106, 105, 221, 231, 549, 291, 469 | (8.211902 N, 34.451017) E/16.65 km | 127 | 77 | 1.95 | 23.2 | <0.001 |

RR, Relative risk; LLR, Log Likelihood Ratio.
variation of culture and religion, difference in climate, and number of people who were unemployed in the specified regions.

According to the final model age, region, residence, educational status, frequency of listening to radio, marital status, religion, occupation, wealth index, and frequency of watching television were identified as associated factors of risky health behavior in Ethiopia.

Men aged 30–44 and 45–59 years had a higher likelihood of risky health behavior compared with men aged 15–29 years. This finding is in line with other study findings from Zambia (27), Namibia (28), and India (29). This could be due to the fact that as age increases, they can afford the cost, and their job and family tension cause the individual to take smoking and drinking as coping mechanism. This finding is in contrast with the study done in Burkina Faso (30).

Like previous studies (31–33), in the current analysis, educated men were less likely to drink alcohol as compared to those with no education. It is true that education enhances awareness about the health effects of alcohol products and affects a person’s cognitive functioning, having health oriented allocation of resource, make them more receptive to health education messages, or more able to communicate and access appropriate health services (34–36). Educated men were more likely to smoke tobacco as compared to those with no education. This findings are in contrast with the finding of the study done in Zambia (27). This could be due to a significant number of people who believe that smoking is stylish and more modern than drinking alcohol. This could be the reason for the discrepancy in drinking alcohol and smoking tobacco among educated men.

Those men who have occupation were more likely to drink alcohol as compared with those who have no occupation. This finding is in line with other studies (37, 38). This could be due to the fact that men with active employment had a higher level of socioeconomic status and low financial pressure. This finding is in contrast with study findings from France (31).

According to place of residence, there is a significant difference in the proportion of men who drink alcohol. The probability of drinking alcohol was higher for rural residents compared with urban residents. Level of exposure to alcohol and cultural difference could
be the reason for this discrepancy. Furthermore, there may be social pressure to drink alcohol in rural residences.

The rich wealth index compared with poor wealth index was associated with a lower risk of smoking tobacco. Results of this study show that the odds of smoking tobacco are 50%
TABLE 4 | Associated factors of risky health behavior among adult males in Ethiopia, 2016.

| Variables | Alcohol drinking | Tobacco smoking |
|-----------|------------------|----------------|
|           | AOR 95% CI       | AOR 95% CI     |
| Age       |                  |                |
| 15–29     | Ref              | Ref            |
| 30–44     | 1.42 (1.25, 1.62) | 2.45 (2.02, 2.97) |
| 45–59     | 1.34 (1.15, 1.56) | 2.28 (1.81, 2.87) |
| Region    |                  |                |
| Tigray    | Ref              | Ref            |
| Afar      | 0.18 (0.11, 0.28) | 7.15 (3.53, 14.48) |
| Amhara    | 0.51 (0.43, 0.61) | 0.92 (0.40, 2.12) |
| Oromia    | 0.58 (0.48, 0.71) | 4.99 (2.51, 9.89) |
| Somali    | 0.08 (0.04, 0.18) | 17.44 (8.85, 34.32) |
| Benishangul | 0.92 (0.74, 1.15) | 13.02 (6.67, 25.43) |
| SNNPR     | 0.36 (0.29, 0.44) | 2.81 (1.35, 5.87) |
| Gambela   | 0.87 (0.69, 1.09) | 19.23 (9.71, 38.12) |
| Harari    | 0.18 (0.12, 0.25) | 19.72 (9.91, 39.26) |
| Addis ababa | 0.37 (0.30, 0.47) | 10.13 (5.05, 20.28) |
| Dire dawa | 0.28 (0.21, 0.38) | 17.49 (8.85, 34.54) |
| Level of education |          |                |
| No education | Ref            | Ref            |
| Primary    | 0.95 (0.83, 1.09) | 1.35 (1.12, 1.64) |
| Secondary  | 0.82 (0.68, 0.98) | 1.47 (1.13, 1.91) |
| Higher     | 0.83 (0.66, 1.05) | 1.28 (0.96, 1.71) |
| Watching television |      |                |
| Not at all | Ref            | Ref            |
| Less than once a week | 1.26 (1.10, 1.45) | – –            |
| At least once a week   | 1.35 (1.15, 1.59) | – –            |
| Listening to radio     |      |                |
| Not at all | Ref            | Ref            |
| Less than once a week   | 1.33 (1.15, 1.53) | – –            |
| At least once a week    | 1.42 (1.25, 1.62) | – –            |
| Marital status         |      |                |
| Never married | Ref            | Ref            |
| Married/living together | 1.33 (1.15, 1.54) | 1.94 (1.54, 2.44) |
| Divorced/separated/widowed | 1.79 (1.34, 2.39) | 3.63 (2.49, 5.28) |
| Religion               |      |                |
| Orthodox              | Ref            | Ref            |
| Protestant            | 0.12 (0.09, 0.14) | 0.82 (0.60, 1.11) |
| Muslim                | 0.04 (0.03, 0.05) | 1.84 (1.48, 2.29) |
| Other                 | 0.40 (0.29, 0.55) | 1.96 (1.23, 3.16) |
| Occupation             |      |                |
| Not working | Ref            | Ref            |
| Professional          | 2.51 (1.87, 3.36) | – –            |
| Clerical              | 3.03 (1.91, 4.82) | – –            |
| Sales                 | 3.12 (2.36, 4.12) | – –            |
| Agricultural          | 2.68 (2.14, 3.36) | – –            |
| Services              | 2.32 (1.63, 3.29) | – –            |
| Skilled manual         | 3.47 (2.70, 4.46) | – –            |
| Unskilled manual       | 3.05 (2.15, 4.32) | – –            |
| Others                | 2.69 (2.04, 3.56) | – –            |

(Continued)
other domains of risky health behavior like physical inactivity and unhealthy diet were not available in the EDHS so that it was not possible to incorporate these variables in the analysis. Furthermore, alcohol and tobacco use were self-reported by the survey participants, hence there is a chance of underreporting.

CONCLUSION

This study indicated that considerable geographic disparities in risky health behavior occur within Ethiopia. The results of this study revealed that risky health behavior among men varied across the country; significant risky health behavior hotspots were observed in Tigray, Amhara, and north-eastern Benishangul national regional states. Residence, frequency of listening to a radio, occupation, and frequency of watching television were significantly associated with drinking alcohol, while wealth index was associated with tobacco smoking. Age, region, educational status, marital status, and religion had a significant association with both domains of risky health behavior. The national press media should involve in ban of advertising and promotion of alcohol and tobacco. Populations living in recognized hotspot areas should be targeted for aggressive health education. Improving risky health behaviors is an important approach to reducing health disparities and for more cost-effective utilization of scarce resources in the public health sector.
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