Evaluation of Patients Undergoing Continuous Renal Replacement Therapy Due to Acute Kidney Injury in Our Pediatric Intensive Care Unit
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Introduction

Recently, the secondary causes of acute kidney injury (AKI) such as sepsis, congenital heart disease, and nephrotoxic drug use have come into prominence more than the primary renal disease in the etiology of AKI in patients treated in pediatric intensive care units [1]. Secondary AKI in critical pediatric patients is often associated with multiple organ failure and has a course with a mortality rate of up to 40-50% [2,3]. AKI is one of the risk factors for development of chronic kidney disease. Because of this reason, early diagnosis and appropriate management of the AKI is important in terms of preventing poor clinical course and preventing chronic kidney disease [4]. With technological advances and the development of easy-to-use devices, continuous renal replacement therapy (CRRT) has become widely used in patients with hemodynamically unstable conditions because of maintaining continuous fluid withdrawal and electrolyte balance in the treatment of fluid overload and AKI [5-8]. Although there are still divergencies related to definite indications of CRRT and the ideal start time, CRRT has become a preferred modality in the treatment of AKI not only in adults but also in pediatric intensive care patients and infants under 10kg with its safe use and proven efficacy [9,10]. In this study, the aim is to evaluate the demographic characteristics, clinical outcomes, and treatment modalities of patients undergoing CRRT due to AKI in our pediatric intensive care unit.

Materials and Methods

In this study, the patients who were under 18 years of age, developed AKI for various reasons and applied continuous renal replacement therapy between March 2017 and September 2018 in our unit included the study retrospectively. We recorded patient’s information and continuous renal replacement therapy modality information.

Results:

The study included 26 patients. The most detected acute kidney injury reason was sepsis (38.5%). The most common CRRT indication was fluid overload (84.6%). The most used vascular access site was internal jugular vein (73%). Mortality detected in 4(15.3%) patients. Vasoactive inotropic score was significantly higher (p=0.013) and the time between hospitalization and CRRT starting was significantly longer (p=0.014) in mortality group. Mean fluid overload was %9.05±4.98. We detected a positive, significant correlation between fluid overload and PRISM III score (r=0.557, p=0.003). The filter life was longer in the heparin used group as an anticoagulant (p<0.001, p=0.018).

Discussion:

Continuous renal replacement therapy is commonly use in acute renal injury treatment because of steady fluid removal and maintain acid-base homeostasis in treatment of fluid overload and acute renal injury in critically ill children.
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hospitalized in our tertiary care pediatric intensive care unit between March 2017 and September 2018 were included prospectively. Patients who underwent CRRT for metabolic disease, hyperammonaemia and patients with chronic kidney disease were excluded from the study. Acute kidney injury staging was performed according to the ‘Kidney Disease Improving Global Outcomes’ (KDIGO) guidelines [11]. Patients’ information [age, gender, cause of AKI, PRISM-III score, creatine, glomerular filtration rate (GFR), percentage of fluid overload, vascular access way, catheter size, mechanical ventilation, vasoactive inotropic score (VIS), time between intensive care hospitalization and CRRT, length of ICU stay, anticoagulant use and mortality] and information on the CRRT model applied [CRRT modality (continuous venovenous hemofiltration (CVVH), continuous venovenous hemodiafiltration: CVVHDF, continuous venovenous hemodialysis: CVVHD), membrane brand (Prismaflex HF20, M60, M100, M150), membrane surface area (m2), membrane type (Polyarylethersulfone (PAES), Acrylonitrile (ANE69)), number of filters used, filter life] were recorded. Patients’ hemodialysis catheters were placed percutaneously by pediatric intensive care minors, with the guidance of the ultrasound or using the blind method. Femoral, internal jugular and subclavian veins were used for catheterization. In patients without contraindications (activated coagulation time (ACT) <200 sec, aPTT60 sec, INR2,5, platelet count 50,000/mm3) standard heparin was applied for filter anticoagulation, and the dose of heparin was titrated in a way to keep the ACT between 180-220 sec. Continuous renal replacement therapy was performed with the Prismaflex system(Baxter®) and Dialisan(Baxter®) was used as replacement, dialysate and predilution fluid. The percentage of fluid overload in the last 24 hours was calculated using the formula [(Total taken fluid-total extracted fluid)/body weight at admission x100] [12]. Vasoactive inotropic score was calculated using the formula=Dopamine dose (μg/kg/min) + dobutamine dose (μg/kg/min) + 100 × Epinephrine dose (μg/kg/min) + 10 × Milrinone dose (μg/kg/min) + 10,000 × Vasopressin dose (U/kg/min) + 100 × Norepinephrine dose (μg/kg/min) [13]. For the study, the approval was obtained from the clinical trials ethics committee of University Faculty of Medicine.

Statistical analysis

IBM SPSS Statistics Version 20.0 package program was used for the statistical analysis of the data. Categorical measurements were summarized as numbers and percentages, and numerical measurements were summarized as mean and standard deviation (median and largest-smallest where necessary). The Kolmogorov Smirnov test was used to test whether the numerical measurements provided the normal distribution assumption. In order to evaluate the correlation between numerical measurements, Pearson Correlation coefficient and related p value were obtained. The level of statistical significance in all tests was taken as 0.05.

Results

| Table 1: The demographics and clinical characteristics of patients who underwent CRRT |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| **Age** (Month) | n=26 |
| Weight* (kg) | 19.6 (4-70) |
| PRISM III score** | 24±6.8 |
| Male Gender (n, %) | 15 (48) |
| AKI cause | |
| Cardiac | 3 (11.5) |
| Sepsis/Septic Shock | 10 (38.5) |
| Tumor Lysis Syndrome | 2 (7.7) |
| Rhabdomyolysis | 2 (7.7) |
| Hemolytic Uremic Syndrome | 5 (19.2) |
| Hipovolemic Acute Tubuler Necrosis | 3 (11.5) |
| Drug Intoxication | 1 (3.9) |
| AKI Stage (n, %) | |
| Stage 2 | 4 (%15) |
| Stage 3 | 22 (%85) |
| GFR* (%) | 26.6 (6.4-9.7) |
| Fluid Overload** (%) | 9.05±4.98 |
| Creatine Level at Admission* (mg/dL) | 1.3 (0.3-17) |
| Creatine Level at CRRT Initiation* (mg/dL) | 2.3 (0.8-17) |
| Time between PICU Admission and CRRT Initiation* (day) | 2 (0-24) |
| CRRT Duration* (day) | 4 (1-12) |
| Length of Stay in PICU* (day) | 11.5 (3-81) |
| Vascular Access Site (n, %) | |
| Femoral | 3 (11.6) |
A total of 26 patients were included in the study and the median age was 57 months (5-212). The most common AKI cause was sepsis (38.5%). Twenty-two (84.6%) of the patients had stage 3 AKI. The most common indication for CRRT was fluid overload in 22 (84.6%) patients, while it was electrolyte imbalance in 3 (11.5%) patients and drug intoxication in 1 (3.8%) patient. Twenty-

### Table 2: Comparison of mortality and without mortality groups.

|                          | Mortality Group | Without Mortality Group | P    |
|--------------------------|-----------------|-------------------------|------|
|                          | n=4 Mean±SD     | Median (min-max)        | n=22 Mean±SD | Median (min-max) |     |
| Age (Month)              | 95±51.02        | 94 (8-144)              | 89,0H+79,6  | 56,5 (5-212)     | 0,69 |
| Weight (kg)              | 28,7±27,9       | 17,5 (10-70)            | 24,2±18,87  | 19,6 (4-66)      | 0,67 |
| GFR (%)                  | 46,9±11,29      | 44,2 (36,6-62,8)        | 30,4±25,1   | 18,8 (4-97)      | 0,08 |
| PRISM III Score          | 26,2±2,75       | 26,5 (23-29)            | 23,6±7,37   | 23,5 (11-39)     | 0,318|
| Fluid Overload (%)       | 9,67±2,16       | 9,85 (7-12)             | 8,9±5,3     | 10 (0-20)        | 0,776|
| Creatine Level at Admission | 0,97±0,46     | 1 (0,4-1,4)             | 2,81±3,68   | 1,5 (0,3-17)     | 0,319|
| Creatine Level at CRRT Initiation | 1,82±0,57 | 1,75 (1,3-2,5)         | 3,69±3,49   | 2,3 (0,8-17)     | 0,155|
| Vasoactive Inotropic Score | 41,5±7,93      | 39 (35-53)              | 16±18,11    | 6,5 (0-53)       | 0,013|
| CRRT Duration (day)      | 8,2±5,18        | 10 (1-12)               | 4,5±1,8     | 4 (2-10)         | 0,128|
| Time between PICU Admission-CRRT Initiation (day) | 10±9,89 | 6,5 (3-24) | 2,3±3,8 | 1 (0-18) | 0,014|
| Length of Stay in PICU (day) | 20,2±13,96 | 14 (12-41) | 19,5±19,6 | 9 (3-81) | 0,354|

*: median (minimum-maximum), **: mean±standard deviation
five (96%) patients underwent CVVHDF. The most commonly used vascular access way was internal jugular vein (73%). Median CRRT duration was 4 days (1-12) and median intensive care unit stay time was 11.5 days (3-81) (Table 1). Mortality was detected in 4 (15.3%) patients. No significant difference detected between the groups with mortality and without mortality in terms of age, body weight, GFR, creatine values at admission and onset of CRRT, PRISM III score, percentage of fluid overload, duration of CRRT, length of intensive care stay (Table 2). Sixteen of the patients (61.5%) were receiving vasoactive inotrope support and 17 (65.3%) were on mechanical ventilators. In the group with mortality, VIS was significantly higher (p=0.013) and the duration between hospitalization and CRRT was significantly longer (p=0.014). PRISM III score was higher in the group with mortality than that in the group without mortality; however, it was not statistically significant (p=0.318). In the correlation analysis, a statistically significant positive correlation between mortality and VIS (r=0.486, p=0.012) and CRRT duration (r=0.486, p=0.012) was detected. However, it was found that mortality increased with the increase in the time between hospitalization and CRRT (r=0.500, p=0.09). CRRT duration was found to be increased with higher VIS (r=0.442, p=0.024). A positive, significant correlation was found between the percentage of fluid overload and the PRISM III score (r=0.557, p=0.003). Mean fluid overload of patients was 9.05±4.98. Twelve of the patients (46.1%) had coagulation abnormalities and therefore anticoagulants could not be administered. In the group in which heparin was used as the anticoagulant, filter life was longer; and the number of filters was less (p=0.001, p=0.018) (Table 3).

### Table 3: Comparison of groups with and without heparin as anticoagulation.

|                       | Heparin Group Mean±SD | Without Heparin Group Mean±SD | P     |
|-----------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------------|-------|
| n (%)                 | 14 (54)               | 12 (46)                       | <0.001|
| Filter Life (saat)    | 3±6.5±2               | 14.2±3.4                      |       |
| Number of Filters     | 3.2±1.4               | 4.5±1.1                       | 0.018 |

### Discussion

Acute kidney injury is a sudden renal dysfunction due to decreased renal perfusion and is a condition with urgent need for renal replacement therapy [4]. Both hemodialysis due to not being well-tolerated in hypotensive patients, and peritoneal dialysis due to ineffective solute clearance and inadequate ultrafiltration, are less preferred day by day in hemodynamically unstable patients [14,15]. Continuous renal replacement therapy has come into prominence and become frequently preferred method in the treatment of critical pediatric AKI patients in recent years due to its advantages of slow and continuous fluid removal and maintaining acid-base balance [16]. Acute kidney injury is a frequently observed clinical status in critical pediatric patients in pediatric intensive care units. When AKI is detected in a patient, the clinician must first consider the cause and apply appropriate treatment for the cause. Dehydration and reduced renal perfusion are the most common causes of AKI, followed by drug and nephrotoxin-associated AKI [17]. Factors such as sepsis, critical disease, cardiopulmonary bypass, major noncardiac surgeries, mechanical ventilation, vasopressor use, extracorporeal membrane oxygenation are the factors that increase the risk of AKI in pediatric intensive care units [18]. Choi et al. [19] in their 6-year retrospective study involving 123 patients have reported that the most common cause of AKI was sepsis/septic shock with a rate of 38.2% [19]. Similarly, in our study, the most common cause of AKI was sepsis (38.5%).

The most common indication for CRRT in pediatric intensive care units is hypervolemia and the appropriate treatment of fluid overload is critical [20]. Fluid overload leads to an increase in the preload of the heart, causing pulmonary congestion. In connection with this, an increase occurs in the need for mechanical ventilation, intensive care stay time, and mortality [21,22]. In the report of a multicentre, prospective pediatric CRRT (ppCRRT) study group, which included 344 patients between the ages of neonates and 25 years and whose body weights ranged from 1.3 to 130 kg, the most common indication for CRRT has been stated to be the fluid electrolyte imbalance [23]. The relationship between increased fluid overload percentage and the poor clinical course has been previously shown in many adults and pediatric studies [24,16]. Treatment management in patients with acute renal injury, oliguria, and fluid overload is still unclear. In the first step of the treatment, especially loop diuretics are used. Renal replacement therapy should be applied in cases which are unresponsive to diuretic therapy and have in creased fluid overload. It is known that the clinical course of patients receiving RRT in the early period is better [25]. Also, in our study, the most frequent indication for CRRT was found to be fluid overload. In one study, it has been shown that each 1% increase in fluid overload would cause a 3% increase in mortality. In the same study, patients with >20% fluid overload have been found to have longer ICU stay time, more multiple organ failures and sepsis, higher inotrop support and higher PRISM II score compared to the patients with <10% fluid overload [26]. In our study, the mean fluid overload percentage was 9.05±4.98, and there was no significant difference between the group with mortality and the group without mortality in terms of fluid overload percentage. However, VIS was significantly higher in the group with mortality. AKI is a significant reason of mortality in critical pediatric patients [2]. The mortality rate of acute kidney injury has been reported to be between 39% and 44.7% at various studies [3,10,27]. In one study, the mortality rate had been reported as 42% [23]. In our study, the mortality rate was 15.4%. In a study in the literature, increased mortality rates have been reported in patients with a period longer than 5 days between admission to ICU and start of CRRT [19]. Also, our results showed that mortality increased as the time between hospitalization in ICU and CRRT start in creased.

The factors associated with poor clinical course in patients receiving CRRT have been identified in several studies. High PRISM III score and VIS, and similarly the need for mechanical ventilator...
support, have also been reported like risk factors for increased mortality [28,29]. In our study, we did not find any difference between the mortality and non-mortality groups in terms of PRISM III score; however, in the mortality group, VIS was significantly higher, and we determined that as VIS increased, mortality increased. Bagshaw et al., [30] have reported a lower mortality rate in patients with serum creatine level of 3.5mg/dL [30]. Choi et al., [19] have found that serum creatine level was significantly lower in the mortality group. The authors interpreted this as the increase in serum creatine is a warning factor for the clinician and leads to the start of CRRT in the early period [19]. In our study, serum creatine level was lower in the mortality group, but there was no detected statistical significance. Catheter location and size are very important factors in CRRT efficacy. In general, short and thick catheters are associated with longer filter life because they allow higher blood flow rates [31]. Femoral vein, internal jugular vein and subclavian vein are preferred as locations for the catheter. When selecting the catheter location, infections, thrombosis, stenosis, and circulatory conditions should be considered. While the femoral vein is used 3 times more frequently than the internal jugular vein and subclavian vein, the internal jugular vein has been reported to be associated with better filter life [32]. Since the use of subclavian vein can cause stenosis, it should be avoided. In the data of the prospective pediatric CRRT study group, catheter location has been reported as femoral vein with a rate of 73% [23]. Although there are studies suggesting that there is an assumption that the risk of infection is generally higher in femoral catheters [33], the only randomized controlled study comparing bacteremia and colonization rates between hemodialysis catheters placed in femoral and internal jugular veins has shown no significant increase in femoral vein colonization rate, and the catheter-related blood flow infection rates have been reported to be similar [34]. Furthermore, in the recommendation guideline for renal replacement treatment in adult and pediatric intensive care units of the French dialysis group, there is a strong suggestion that the femoral vein and right internal jugular vein are equal in terms of the infectious complications [35]. In the same guideline, it has been recommended to use the right internal jugular vein most frequently [35]. In our study, the most common catheterization site was internal jugular vein (73%).

Continuous venous hemodialysis diffusion and CVVHDF are modalities working with the principles of diffusion and convection. In the last report of the pPCRRT study group reporting data from 13 centres in the United States, CVVHD use has been reported as 48% and CVVHDF use as 30% [23]. It has been reported that the modalities working with convection principle are more preferred in Europe [36]. As a result, modality selection in CRRT should be done according to the needs of the patient, although it depends on the central attitude in general. In a study showing a 3-year CRRT experience of a pediatric intensive care unit in Turkey, the most common CRRT modality has been reported as CVVHDF [37]. Especially in septic patients, CVVH is a preferred modality in the clearance of medium-large molecular weighted solutes such as inflammatory mediators [38]. The results of our study showed that CVVHDF was applied at a rate of 96% in our clinic. We attributed this to the fact that sepsis was the most common reason of AKI in our patient group and that we had chosen the appropriate modality.

Anticoagulation is necessary to ensure the continuity of extracorporeal circulation. Systemic anticoagulation with heparin or regional with citrate may be performed. Systemic anticoagulation with heparin is the most common method; however, care should be taken in terms of bleeding complications and heparin-induced thrombocytopenia. Citrate provides regional anticoagulation and is advantageous in terms of bleeding complications [31]. Although there are many adult studies comparing citrate and heparin, pediatric data is limited. Besides, in recent years, citrate anticoagulation has been preferred more frequently in the pediatric age group and the use of citrate anticoagulation has been reported with increasing frequency (56%) in the data of the ppPCRRT study group [23]. The results of a prospective, five-year study evaluating 63 children aged 0–18 years has shown that citrate anticoagulation provides significantly longer filter life and the authors have stated that citrate can be used safely in children and infants [33]. In our study, anticoagulation with heparin was applied to 14 (54%) patients. In the group in which heparin was used as an anticoagulant, the filter life was longer and filter number was lower. During the study period, since there was no liquid suitable for anticoagulation with citrate in our centre, citrate could not be used. However, we observed a significant improvement in filter life with the increased use of citrate in our clinic lately. Disadvantages of CRRT include the requirement for technical expertise, the need for anticoagulation, and the need for close monitoring of the hemodynamic and coagulation parameter [39,40]. The most important limitation of our study was the low number of patients. Although our sample size was not suitable for performing multivariate analysis affecting mortality, our aim was to evaluate the etiology of AKI and CRRT applications over 18 months period of CRRT experience. In conclusion, CRRT is preferred more frequently in the treatment of AKI in critical pediatric patients due to its efficacy and safe use. Especially in critical pediatric patients with fluid overload and in need of multiple inotropes, we believe that application of CRRT in the early period will be lifesaving.
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