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Equids have often been discussed regarding tooth morphological change due to the evolution of highly hypsodont teeth over time, the hyper-grazing habits of modern horses, and an older view that the acquisition of hypsodonty and the widespread appearance of grasslands were synchronous. Many more recent studies, however, have reported asynchrony in the origin of hypsodonty and the widespread appearance of grasslands and have considered exposure to exogenous grit as important evolutionary drivers of hypsodonty in ungulates. We tracked changes in crown height (hypsodonty index), relative abrasion (mesowear), and food and grit scar topography on dental enamel (microwear) to examine the relative contributions of grass vs. grit as a driving force in ungulate tooth changes during the evolution of North American Equidae compared to four North American ruminant artiodactyl families (Camelidae, Antilocapridae, Dromomerycidae, and Merycoidodontidae). We mirror other studies by finding that the overall pattern of the timing of the attainment of hypsodonty is inconsistent with grazing as the main impetus for the “Great Transition” within equids nor within the artiodactyl families as highly hypsodont ungulates post-date the spread of widespread grasslands. Mesowear closely mirrored hypsodonty trends in all families. Microwear patterns, particularly high degrees of enamel pitting (particularly large pits) and unusually coarse scratch textures in all five families, are consistent with exposure to exogenous grit as the main driver of hypsodonty acquisition prior to the consumption of significant levels of grass. Equidae exhibited a wider array of dietary behavior than the other families through most of their evolutionary history. Even so, grass was a much more common dietary item for equids than for the other families, and when combined with exogenous grit, which was more accelerated from the early Miocene onward based on more pitting and coarser scratch textures, may explain the more extreme acquisition of hypsodonty in equids compared to the artiodactyl families studied and set the stage for the Equidae alone to become hypergrazers in the Recent.
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INTRODUCTION

Horse evolution has often been presented as a standard example of evolution. This proclivity is not surprising given: (1) well-documented evolutionary changes, particularly in the late early Miocene, (i.e., greater body size, somewhat higher-crowned cheek teeth, and more cursorily-adapted limbs) that have been perceived for a long time to echo higher-latitude environmental shifts through time (i.e., adaptations to shifts from living in tropical forests to eventual occupation of open grasslands) (Janis, 2007) and (2) the expansive fossil record of North American horses (especially in the Miocene) where the bulk of horse evolution and diversification (Equidae) occurred despite several successive dispersals to the Old World (MacFadden, 1992; Janis, 2007). Despite these dispersals to the Old World, the Equidae apparently evolved in isolation in North America from the middle of the Eocene through the late Oligocene (MacFadden, 1992). During the mid-Cenozoic, horses were very widespread in North America—reaching their maximum diversification and abundance in the late Miocene with individual fossil localities often accommodating up to eight equid species (MacFadden, 1992; Hulbert, 1993).

Because food acquisition is so imperative to an animal's survival, paleontologists have long been interested in adaptations that facilitate acquiring and comminuting food items as well as those that help to deal with an enhanced rate of tooth wear induced by food that is abrasive (e.g., grass) or due to exogenous substances possibly adhering to food (e.g., grit). Hummel et al. (2011) tested the relationship of total silica ingested (from plant phytoliths and exogenous grit) and hypsodonty by investigating the correlation between fecal silica content and hypsodonty and demonstrated a considerable influence of ingested silica on hypsodonty in large herbivores. The evolution of high-crowned teeth (i.e., hypsodonty) has particularly intrigued paleontologists (Osborn, 1910; Stirton, 1947; Simpson, 1953; White, 1959; Webb, 1983; Fortelius, 1985; Janis, 1988; Solounias et al., 1994; MacFadden, 2000a,b; Williams and Kay, 2001; Mihlbachler and Solounias, 2006; Strömberg, 2006; Jardine et al., 2012; Lucas et al., 2014). The potential correlation of crown height with habitat, climate, and dietary shifts has also been the subject of intensive study (Webb, 1983; Janis, 1988, 2008; Janis et al., 2000, 2002, 2004; Williams and Kay, 2001; Semprebon and Rivals, 2010; Mihlbachler et al., 2011) as the attainment of high crowned dentitions had been considered generally as a potential response to a shift in foraging from closed habitats to more open ones and/or from feeding on browse to feeding on grass in the early Miocene (Osborn, 1910; Scott, 1937; Simpson, 1944; Stirton, 1947; Webb, 1977, 1983; Stebbins, 1981; Janis, 1984, 1993; Webb and Opdyke, 1995). Thus, hypsodonty was in the past mostly associated with grass consumption because of the large number of silica-rich phytoliths found in grasses which were presumed to impose accelerated wear of mammalian teeth.

Two important caveats have been considered in recent studies when evaluating the veracity of this old evolutionary “story”: Firstly, was the appearance of hypsodonty in the Miocene truly synchronous with the appearance of grasslands? Secondly, is dietary preference operating alone in determining the degree of hypsodonty acquisition? Recent studies have revealed a lack of synchronicity between the appearance of hypsodonty in the Miocene and the appearance of grasslands. Some of the latest evidence comes from recent research on phytoliths (Strömberg, 2004, 2005, 2011; Strömberg et al., 2016) that has revealed that grass was available for forage in the North American Great Plains region by ~22 million years ago (earliest Miocene), but possibly by even about 26 million years ago (latest Oligocene–Strömberg, 2011) about 6 million years before the appearance of the genus Merychippus. Merychippus represents the first hypsodont horse even though some members of the genus Parahippus showed a slight enhancement in crown height and evidence of profound dental wear (MacFadden and Hulbert, 1988; Strömberg, 2006; Damuth and Janis, 2011; Mihlbachler et al., 2011). Merychippus represents an important milestone in the evolution of horses due to its relatively high crowned cheek teeth, but also due to the first appearance of well-developed cementum between lophs and with the similar positioning of its tooth cusps to modern horses. A change in the shape of the angle of the jaw, indicating a more horizontally-oriented angle of insertion of the maseter muscle was also seen in Merychippus (Stirton, 1947; Simpson, 1951; Turnbull, 1970; MacFadden and Hulbert, 1988; Bernor et al., 1989, 1997; Prothero and Schoch, 1989; Hulbert and MacFadden, 1991; MacFadden, 1992; Spaan et al., 1994). Even so, highly hypsodont equines did not appear until about 14 Ma (late middle Miocene), well after the apparent availability of open grasslands (Damuth and Janis, 2011), a trend echoed by certain artiodactyl clades such as antilocaprids (pronghorns) and camelids that also developed hypsodonty in the late middle Miocene. In addition, a moderate amount of hypsodonty was attained by some artiodactyls in the Oligocene and many families of mostly burrowing rodents at least 7 million years earlier (Jardine et al., 2012). However, these hypsodont artiodactyls (stentomyine camelids, leptauchinine oreodonts, and hypsodine hypertragulids) went extinct in the late Oligocene/early Miocene not giving rise to later hypsodont artiodactyls.

Another important factor to consider is that researchers have suspected for some time that grass phytoliths may not have been the only evolutionary driver in the development of tooth morphological change [e.g., Janis (1988) and Fortelius et al. (2002)]. Janis (1988) has long hypothesized that grit consumption might also be an evolutionary driver through her observations that the degree of hypsodonty in ungulates might be more due to habitat preferences than to dietary preferences. Janis (1988) showed that ground-feeding ungulates in open habitats are significantly more hypsodont than closed habitat ungulates regardless of their preferences for food (see Figure 1). Janis (1988) also noted that ungulates that feed on dicotyledonous material above ground have the lowest hypsodonty values and suggested that dust and grit which accumulates on food consumed in open habitats is more important for determining hypsodonty in ungulates than dietary fiber levels. Others have also considered grit and soil as possibly more important agents of abrasion than grass phytoliths (Stirton, 1947; Janis, 1988;
Hoffman et al. (2015) examined the possibility of a “grit effect” experimentally and highlighted as supporting evidence: (1) the asynchronous timing of the expansion of grasslands in North America and the acquisition of hypsodonty in Glires and ungulates (Jardine et al., 2012), (2) the coincidence of the finding of more hypsodonty and hypselodonty (ever-growing teeth) in herbivorous mammals from South America from the middle Eocene (40 Ma) to the early Miocene (20 Ma) with an interval of dry and open environments which lacked grasslands but were exposed to recurrent volcanic ashfall (Strömberg et al., 2013; Dunn et al., 2015), and (3) exogenous grit as an agent to producing microwear in ungulates like the extant Antilocapra americana, Camelus bactrianus, Camelus dromedarius, and Vicugna vicugna which live in semi-arid or arid habitats have been reported to have coarser microwear scars (i.e., coarse scratch textures, higher average pit numbers and gouging) relative to their counterparts in more humid places (Solounias and Semprebon, 2002). Hoffman et al. (2015) assessed the contribution of exogenous grit to enamel microwear by using a new technique for molding live animals representing the first ungulate controlled feeding experiment (in-vivo) using abrasives of different sizes. This study (2015) found a significant “grit effect” with medium sized silica particles (i.e., an increased abundance of pits but not more scratches) which resulted from fracturing of sand grains through masticatory movements). Smaller particles of the fine sand treatment proved harder to break apart in this study and did not show a significant grit effect.

Jardine et al. (2012) carried out a study of crown height changes in herbivorous species (i.e., Glires and large mammals) of the Great Plains region of the United States to better understand the importance of grass vs. grit as drivers of the attainment of hypsodonty. This study focused on examining when hypsodonty evolved in these forms relative to the spread of grasslands and determining how widely distributed hypsodonty was among taxa. Jardine et al. (2012) found that the timing of the expansion of grasslands in North America was asynchronous with the timing of the acquisition of hypsodonty in Glires and ungulates. Jardine et al. (2012) also documented that many artiodactyls and perissodactyl members were typically low-crowned with the exception of the Antilocapridae (pronghorns), Camelidae (camels), Merycoidodontidae (oreodonts), Equidae (horses), and Rhinocerotidae (rhinoceroses) which were the only families that attained hypsodont or highly hypsodont dentitions. They also reported that these high-crowned families (except for pronghorns which appeared in the early Miocene as immigrants) have molars that change from brachydont to hypsodont or highly hypsodont and range through most of the time series. They (2012) describe: (1) a “first wave” of high-crowned taxa represented by leptauchenine oreodonts and stemonyline camelids in the Oligocene (with hypertraguline traguloids showing some degree of hypsodonty), (2) the appearance of the first highly hypsodont ungulate in the early Miocene (He1) (e.g., the latest surviving stemonyline camelids, and (3) a “second wave” of hypsodonty in the early Miocene (He1) in horses, rhinos, and pronghorns, but highly hypsodont taxa not appearing until the late middle Miocene (Barstovian) (including the first of the hypsodont later camelids).

In this study, we examine the relative contributions of grass vs. grit as a driving force in ungulate tooth evolutionary changes using a combination of dietary proxies (hypsodonty index, mesowear, and microwear) with different temporal resolution capabilities to investigate the amounts of different levels of...
abradion imposed on molar teeth over evolutionary time and the potential causes of this abrasion. For example, hypsodonty is an evolutionary adaptation developed over deep time which makes a tooth more durable as an adaptation to resist augmented tooth wear incurred during mastication (Janis and Fortelius, 1988). Thus, a hypsodont tooth is not worn away as quickly because there is more tooth material present (Janis, 1988). Thus, hypsodonty is a reflection of selective pressures over time imposed by high levels of dental abrasion incurred by a species’ lineage (Damuth and Janis, 2011).

Mesowear assesses gross molar wear by assessing lateral cusp shape and involves ecological time, in that, dental wear that accumulates on molars during an individual’s lifespan is explored. Thus, mesowear should be sensitive to intrinsic, abrasive elements in plants but also to grit encountered on food or during the process of feeding (but does not tease apart relevant contributions of each).

Microwear examines shorter-term microscopic tooth wear imposed upon dental enamel by the last meals partaken by these animals just before dying, and as such, can elucidate daily, seasonal, or regional alterations in diet not possible with hypsodonty, mesowear or other gross craniodental methods. Importantly, microwear (Hoffman et al., 2015) shows a habitat effect (i.e., grit signature) as well as dietary food discrimination. In particular, dietary discrimination of modern ungulates using microwear is dependent upon relative numbers of scratches whereas scratch textures (i.e., widths) and degree of large pitting have been shown to track relative dietary abrasion regardless of dietary category (Semprebon, 2002; Solounias and Semprebon, 2002; Semprebon et al., 2004; Hoffman et al., 2015). Thus, browsers subsisting on relative soft foods such as leaves that occupy arid and open habitats show higher levels of pitting (especially large pits) and coarser scratch textures than leaf browsers that occupy relatively closed habitats (Semprebon, 2002). Coarser scratches are found in modern grazers that consume dry grass as opposed to fresh or moist C3 grass (and more large pits and gouges) and closed habitat and moist C3 grass mixed feeders have finer scratches and fewer large pits and gouges than open habitat mixed feeders (Semprebon, 2002). Solounias and Semprebon (2002) and Semprebon et al. (2004) have reported that those extant ungulate taxa that either encounter exogenous abrasives or consume fruit have large percentages of individuals displaying large pits in their enamel. However, frugivory produces large pits that are crater-like, deeply etched into the enamel and with very symmetrical, and well defined, and round border outlines. Exogenous abrasives produce a more superficial chipping effect on enamel where large pits are relatively shallow and with irregular borders.

The purpose of this work is twofold: (1) to examine the changing paleoecology of Eocene to Recent Quaternary equids from North America using three different dietary proxies with different temporal resolutions (hypsodonty, mesowear, and microwear) and (2) to compare this pattern to that of four North American artiodactyl families to gain insight as to the impact of differing amounts of dietary abrasion encountered by food and grit on shaping these families through time.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Data from North American equids ranging from the Eocene (early Uintan) through the Pleistocene (Rancholabrean) were obtained from (Semprebon et al., 2016) (microwear; N = 1203) and (Mihlbachler et al., 2011) (mesowear; N = 6498). These data were compared to published microwear data on extant ungulates (Solounias and Semprebon, 2002) and microwear and mesowear data from North American Miocene through Pleistocene antilocaprids (data from Semprebon and Rivals, 2007), Eocene through Pleistocene camelids (data from Semprebon and Rivals, 2010), early Miocene through late Miocene dromomerycids (microwear and mesowear data Semprebon et al., 2004), and early Miocene through late Miocene merycoidodontids (unpublished microwear and mesowear “score” data from GS; published mesowear and hypsodonty data from Mihlbachler and Solounias, 2006). Unpublished hypsodonty data was obtained for all five fossil families from Christine Janis. Details regarding taxa and localities represented may be found in the above publications. These data were evaluated to compare the paleoecology of these North American through time.

All microwear data was obtained by a single, trained observer (G.S.) using a light stereomicroscope at 35x magnification following technique regime of Semprebon (2002) and Solounias and Semprebon (2002) and Semprebon et al. (2004). The tooth and area studied were the same for all families. The average number of pits (rounded features) vs. average number of scratches (elongated features) per taxon were counted within a 0.16 mm² area using an ocular reticle. Results were compared to an extensive extant ungulate database (Semprebon, 2002; Solounias and Semprebon, 2002) to determine browser vs. grazer dietary categories. Large pits were scored as either present (i.e., more than four large pits per microscope field) or absent (within the 0.16 mm² area) and if gouges were present and the percentage of individuals within each taxon with these variables was calculated. Scratch textures were qualitatively recorded as being either mainly fine, mainly coarse, or a mixture of fine and coarse textural types following procedures for recognizing these textural differences outlined in Solounias and Semprebon (2002) and Semprebon et al. (2004). A scratch width score (SWS) was attained by ascribing a score of 0 to molars with mostly fine scratches, 1 to molars possessing a mix of fine and coarse textures, and 2 to those with mostly coarse scratches. An average of individual scores for a taxon was obtained to arrive at the average scratch width score. Mixed feeders were distinguished from browsers and grazers based on calculations of the percentage of raw scratches per taxon falling into a low raw scratch range of 0–17 scratches as discriminating patterns are discernible among these three extant trophic groups (Semprebon, 2002; Semprebon and Rivals, 2007).

Mesowear for all families was obtained by modifying the mesowear technique of Fortelius and Solounias (2000) which examines attritional tooth wear due to tooth-on-tooth contact wear vs. abrasional wear due to food-on-tooth contact (i.e., mesowear). As in the traditional mesowear method, mesowear data was collected by observing molar cusps macroscopically in buccal view and assessing cusp sharpness (i.e., sharp, round,
RESULTS

Figure 1A shows extant ungulate m3 hypsodonty indices (data from Janis, 1988) which will serve as a comparative framework and context for understanding fossil indices. Extant ungulate hypsodonty indices are represented by circles (for extant browsers: open circles represent high-level browsers (i.e., those browsers that invariably feed above ground level), whereas closed circles represent regular browsers (those browsers that feed both above the ground and at ground level); for extant grazers: open circles represent fresh-grass grazers, whereas closed circles represent regular grazers and closed circles with a black outline represent equid grazers; for extant mixed feeders: open circles represent closed habitat mixed feeders, whereas closed circles represent open habitat mixed feeders). Figure 1A shows that lower crown heights are found in those extant taxa that either feed less close to the ground, on fresh grass, or in closed habitats. This underscores the likely influence that exposure to grit has played on tooth crown height over time.

Figures 2, 3 and 1B show graphical representations of hypsodonty indices through time in five North American ungulate families. Figure 2A shows that North American basal Eocene equids (hyracotherines) and Eocene and Oligocene Mesohippus and Miohippus have low crowned teeth (i.e., are brachydont)—at the level of extant regular browsers (Figure 1A). A slight increase in crown height (i.e., mesodonty) is observed in the early Miocene in some parahippine-grade taxa but...
Merychippine-grade and “Derived Equinae” begin a relatively progressive increase in hypsodonty level in the middle Miocene (consistent with the crown heights of some closed- and open-habitat mixed feeders), the latter continuing that trend through the Pliocene and Pleistocene when they finally attain crown heights similar to extant open-habitat mixed feeders and regular grazers.

Figure 2B shows that camels appear in the Eocene and Oligocene (e.g., *Poebrotherium*) with hypsodonty indices that are somewhat greater than equids from those time periods and similar to those of either extant browsers or closed-habitat mixed feeders. Crown height increased in the early Miocene (particularly in stenomylines) to the level of some extant fresh grass grazers and open habitat mixed feeders. Crown heights were lower in the middle Miocene due to the extinction of some of the more hypsodont earlier forms (e.g., stenomylines) but then were higher beginning in the late Miocene in the camelines (no Pleistocene m3 hypsodonty data were available) only to decrease slightly in the Recent. The highest hypsodonty levels were attained by the stenomylines and camelines—often approaching that of extant open-habitat mixed feeders and regular grazers.

Figure 3A shows that the cheek teeth of antilocaprids were relatively hypsodont even in the earliest immigrant forms that appear in the late early Miocene—the “merycodontines”—and more hypsodont than the early Miocene camels, dromomerycids, and equids (Figure 1B)—at the level of some extant open-habitat mixed feeders and fresh-grass grazers. Like all three other North American families studied here, pronghorns show an increase in hypsodonty level in the late Miocene (Figure 1B). Hypsodonty levels remain high through the Pleistocene but decreased in the Recent.

Figure 3B shows that dromomerycids appear in North America in the early Miocene. While the more derived and cursorial aletomerycines appear with mesodont crown heights at this time almost at the level of some parahippine-grade equid taxa, the majority of dromomerycines (Dromomerycini and early Cranioceratini) have low-crowned teeth (similar to modern browsers) from the early-middle Miocene. Crown height decreases slightly in the middle Miocene and then increases (though not significantly) in later Cranioceratini to the level of some extant closed-habitat mixed feeders until they go extinct (in the early Pliocene). Hypsodonty data may be found in Supplementary Table 1.

Figure 3C shows that Merycoidodontids (“oreodonts”) appear in North America in the Eocene (Oreoneetinae and Merycoidodontinae) with low molar crown heights typical of extant regular browsers. As in fossil equids and camelids, the oreodonts begin to increase their crown height in the Oligocene [though the highly hypsodont *Sepsia nitida* (Leptaucheniinae) and the somewhat hypsodont *Leptauchenia major* (Leptaucheniinae) skew the trend curve up in Figure 3C as other merycoidodontids are not highly hypsodont at this time]. In the early Miocene, the dip in the graph (Figure 3C) is due to the extinction of the hypsodont leptauchini clade followed by a small trend toward increasing crown height into the middle Miocene. Hypsodonty data for merycoidodontids is from Mihlbachler and Solounias (2006).
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of relatively low abrasion. When equid mesowear is compared to that of the other ungulate families studied (Figure 6), it is apparent that of those taxa that survived beyond the Miocene (i.e., equids, camelids, and antilocaprids), only the equids continued the trend toward higher dietary abrasion into the Pleistocene and Recent.

**Microwear**

The most basal early Eocene equids have microwear consistent with frugivory (e.g., many large, symmetrical puncture-like pits, scratch textures coarser than extant leaf browsers, as well as somewhat rounded gross cusp morphology) but a shift toward more leaf browsing and relatively fine scratch textures (Figure 7) (and sharper cusps) in the middle Eocene correlates with mesowear which shows less of a degree of dietary abrasion incurred toward the approach of the Eocene-Oligocene boundary. Microwear results in the early Miocene are consistent with the mesowear trend toward more abrasion at this time [i.e., scratch textures became coarser and gouging and large pitting in dental enamel increased (Figure 8)—even in browsing forms]. Microwear also shows grazing or mixed feeding in parahippine-grade taxa at this time which would add to the increased abrasion trend.

Unlike the camels, pronghorn, and dromomerycids (Figure 6), equid mesowear continued the trend in the middle Miocene toward greater abrasion that began after the Eocene-Oligocene Transition. The percentages of large pits in enamel (Figure 8) remained at a level seen in most extant grazers from the early Miocene onward. Most of this greater abrasion seen in the middle Miocene was due to the dietary patterns of the derived Equinae which were mostly grazing on more abrasive grasses with coarser scratch textures (Figure 7), whereas the merychippine-grade taxa were mostly mixed feeders on relatively low abrasion grasses and the Anchitherinae sensu stricto (e.g., Archaeohippus, Hypohippus, Kalobatippus, and Megahippus) had low abrasion browsing patterns. In the late Miocene, the relatively rare Anchitherinae sensu stricto continued their low abrasion browsing, while the derived Equinae continued coarser mixed-feeding or grazing and showed more pitting in dental enamel compared to the middle Miocene. This trend toward feeding on a coarser type of food persisted into the Pleocene as microwear shows a continuation of grazing as well as mixed feeding although total pit counts were higher than at any other time and scratch textures (Figure 7) were generally coarser. These results concur with the increased mesowear scores (higher abrasion) observed in mesowear at this time.

The trend toward higher microwear pit counts, that began in the late Miocene and Pliocene continued into the Pleistocene, with the greatest amount of pitting in Pleistocene species which is concordant with the high abrasion patterns seen in mesowear at this time although most *Equus* taxa exhibited dietary flexibility—alternating between browse and grass and scratch textures diminished slightly (Figure 7) and pitting of enamel surfaces decreased in the Recent.
CAMELIDAE

Mesowear

Figure 4B shows increasing mesowear scores (a rise in abrasion) from the late Eocene/early Oligocene to the early Miocene. Camelid dietary abrasion decreased in the middle Miocene but then changed to more abrasion through the late Miocene, Pliocene and most of the Pleistocene. In the late Pleistocene, a large shift toward less abrasion occurred that continued into the Recent. When camels are compared to the other ungulate fossil families studied (Figure 6), the relatively high abrasion pattern begun in the late Miocene (which is equivalent to many extant mixed feeders and grazers) is reversed toward relatively low abrasion in the later Pleistocene into the Recent (unlike the pattern in equids).

Microwear

Unlike equids, that begin the Eocene as browsers in closed habitats, late Eocene-early Oligocene camels show microwear evidence of some mixed feeding and significantly more pitting. This is congruent with camels occupying relatively more open habitats than the equids at this time, a pattern consistent with the much longer legs and higher mesowear scores found in early camels than in the other families studied here. Scratch textures in the Eocene-Oligocene camelids are also relatively coarse (Figure 7) contributing to the relatively high abrasion indicated by heavy pitting and fairly high mesowear scores.

Early Miocene fossil camels have microwear results indicating browsing but with heavy enamel pitting consistent with the higher mesowear scores at this time (especially Stenomylus hitchcocki). Scratch textures (Figure 7) become finer (decreased scratch widths) in the early Miocene which is consistent with a switch from some grass consumption in the Eocene/Oligocene to pure browsing in the Early Miocene. A somewhat lower abrasion browsing pattern (fewer pits overall) is seen in the middle Miocene which correlates with the decrease in mesowear seen then—although scratch textures increase slightly (Figure 7). The increased mesowear scores in the late Miocene are accompanied...
by microwear results indicating a shift toward some mixed feeding and occasional grazing in addition to browsing with heavy pitting of enamel. The relatively high mesowear scores in the Pliocene and Pleistocene camels match the heavy enamel pitting found in the browsing, mixed feeding and grazing taxa from this time period as well as an increase in scratch widths (Figure 7). The modern camelids (Recent) have lower mesowear scores than the fossil camels even though hypsodonty levels are different (i.e., *Lama vicugna* has more hypsodont dentition than *Camelus dromedarius* but both have similar mesowear
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FIGURE 6 | Composite figure showing mesowear trends through time for equids (black line), antilocaprids (blue line), camelids (orange line), dromomerycids (green line), and oreodonts (pink line). Equid, camelid, antilocaprid, and dromomerycid trends reflect a graphical display of average mesowear scores (scores that combine cusp shape with occlusal relief) for taxa in a particular time period (0–6); merycoidodontid trend reflects cusp shape only (i.e., the proportion of cusps that are not sharp, 0–1.0). Red arrow and red lines indicate probable timing of first availability of grass in the North American Great Plains Region by ∼22 million years ago (earliest Miocene), but possibly by even about 26 million years ago (latest Oligocene) based on phytolith data from Strömberg (2011).

scores), suggesting that fossil camels have a more abrasive diet than the modern camels studied. Scratch widths (Figure 7) also decrease in the Recent further substantiating that modern camels encounter less abrasion in their diets than fossil forms. Interestingly, camelids display many individuals in each taxon with large pits in their enamel (Figure 8) for most of their evolutionary history only declining in the Pleistocene and Recent even though most of them have microwear patterns similar to modern dirty browsers (i.e., a grit effect).

**ANTILOCAPRIDAe**

**Mesowear**

Figure 5A shows that antilocaprids begin the Miocene with somewhat rounded cusps and then shift toward higher mesowear scores indicating more abrasive diets starting in the late Hemphillian (late Miocene–Pliocene). After this, they reverse toward a less abrasive diet starting in the Pliocene and continuing through the Pleistocene into the Recent. Antilocaprids (like camelids) had relatively long legs and were rather hypsodont when they first appeared and were well-suited to exploit open habitats. When antilocaprids are compared to the other fossil ungulate families studied here (Figure 6), it is apparent that they followed a similar pattern to that seen in fossil camelids in terms of reducing overall abrasion as they moved into the Recent [although pronghorns apparently reduced their level of abrasion a bit earlier (i.e., in the Pliocene)] than camelids.

**Microwear**

Like the camelids but unlike equids, the earliest and more primitive merycodonts have microwear results consistent with browsing and grazing on a seasonal or regional basis but with far less pitting overall than camelids and finer scratch textures (narrower scratches) (Figure 7) which explains the relatively low mesowear scores in antilocaprids (less abrasion) at this time. Like the other families studied here, overall abrasion increased (Figure 6) in the late Miocene (i.e., mesowear) when the more derived antilocaprids apparently engaged in more grass consumption although scratch textures (Figure 7) indicate a diet that was not highly abrasive. As seen in the camelids and dromomerycids (but not in equids), antilocaprids decreased dietary abrasion (Figure 6) in the Pliocene and Pleistocene into the Recent (the modern Antilocapra americana eats mostly low-level browse in an open habitat). This decrease in abrasion is consistent with the decrease in scratch widths in the Recent seen in Figure 7. Interestingly, like camelids, antilocaprids have relatively large numbers of individuals per taxon that display large pits in their enamel (Figure 8), about the level of extant grazers, for most of their evolutionary history regardless of dietary assignment via microwear.

**DROMOMERYCIDAe**

**Mesowear**

Figure 5B shows that the dromomerycids have relatively low abrasion mesowear scores in the early Miocene which dip down further in the middle Miocene and then begin to climb in the late Miocene to the level of some extant mixed feeders. Dromomerycids experienced the lowest levels of abrasion throughout their evolutionary history when compared to abrasion levels (mesowear) in the other families studied here (Figure 6).

**Microwear**

The early Miocene low abrasion mesowear results parallel microwear results as the earliest dromomerycids
(Dromomerycinae—Dromomercycti) have microwear similar to fine browsers [i.e., many finely-textured scratches and relatively few pits (including little or no large pits)]. In the late early Miocene, the Aletomerycinae appear with limb proportions suggestive that they occupied open/ecotonal habitats and microwear indicating seasonal or regional mixed feeding. Aletomerycinae start off in the late early Miocene with fairly high scratch textures. The relatively large percentages of individuals displaying large pits (Figure 8) in the late early Miocene are confined to the more open country and mesodont Aletomerycinae. From the early-middle Miocene, the majority of dromomercycts were low abrasion browsers (scratches were also relatively fine and narrow—Figure 7) but in the late Miocene, increased mesowear scores are mirrored by the more derived Cranioceratini (subfamily Dromomerycinae) which show evidence of alternating between browsing and grazing but with many individuals displaying large pits (Figure 8) and a prodigious level of enamel surface gouging as well many coarse scratches (Figure 7) compared to what is typically found in extant mixed feeders (i.e., a grit effect).

MERYCOIDODONTIDAE

Mesowear
Figure 5C shows mesowear trends through time in fossil merycoydodontids. Mesowear was obtained from Mihlbachler and Solounias, 2006. In this study, mesowear was graphically
portrayed as the inverse proportion of sharp cusp apices (1-sharp) rather than via comparison with the mesowear ruler which gives a mesowear score that is a combination of cusp shape and occlusal relief. Even so, higher values represent more abrasion wear and can be used to demonstrate changes in abrasion over evolutionary time. Figure 5C demonstrates that early merycoidodontids (Eocene and early Oligocene) display low abrasion (similar to extant browsers) but this trend reverses beginning in the late Oligocene and continues as an increasing abrasion trend into the middle Miocene with some taxa showing abrasion similar to that of extant mixed feeders and some non-extreme grazers. It is not possible to compare merycoidodontid mesowear in terms of magnitude of abrasion to other fossil ungulate families studied herein (Figure 6) because mesowear scoring systems were somewhat different although overall relative similarities and differences in trends can be discerned.

**Microwear**

Figure 5C shows that merycoidodontids relied mainly on a browsing dietary strategy throughout their evolutionary time. Even so, scratch textures (Figure 7) and percentages of individuals displaying large pits in their enamel (Figure 8) are well above typical modern leaf browsers. The higher crowned Leptauchiniinae (Figure 3C) are interesting in that Leptauchenia decora (early Oligocene) apparently engaged in seasonal or regional mixed feeding while the highly hypsodont Sepsia nitida apparently browsed (late Oligocene). Miocene...
merycoidodontids (most taxa) have microwear patterns that demonstrate committed leaf browsing or an alteration between leaves and fruit (Brachycrus species).

Figure 8 depicts a summary of the average percentage of large pits found in the enamel of the five fossil ungulate families relative to each other through evolutionary time shown in relation to the mean score for extant browsers (typically with low percentages of large pits) and extant grazers (typically with higher percentages of large pits). A preponderance of large pits reflects either an increased amount of fruit and/or seed consumption (puncture-like, symmetrical large pits) or an increased exposure to grit coating food substances—the latter presumably due to feeding close to the ground (Solounias and Semprebon, 2002).

Equidae (Figure 8A) begin the Eocene with increased large pits due mostly to frugivory (see Figure 4A) and then decrease the amount toward the Eocene-Oligocene transition as more leaf browsing and low abrasion grass consumption is apparent. During the early Miocene, large pitting increases to the level of extant ungulate grazers and remains at this relatively high level until the recent (grit effect).

The Camelidae (Figure 8B) display very high levels of large pits from the Eocene through the Pleocene (above the mean of extant grazers even though most of them are clearly browsing) and then show a rather precipitous decline. Antilocaprids (Figure 8C) show a very consistent level of large pitting (above the level of extant grazers—even though most of them are mixed feeding and eventually browsing). The dromomerycid large pit mean percentage is high in the early Miocene but this is mostly skewed high due to the large percentages of large pits found in the more open habitat, mixed feeding aleomerycines. The majority of dromomerycines (Figure 8D) (browsers) in the early Miocene have relatively low numbers of large pits. What is striking is the extreme level of large pitting encountered in the enamel of the late Miocene Cranioceratini before they go extinct in the Pliocene. The merycoidodontines (Figure 8E) show large percentages of individuals with large pits within taxa on average from their beginning in the Eocene and increasing to levels comparable to what is seen in the camels.

Figures 9–13 summarize microwear average scratch vs. pit results for each family by plotting each family in the same time period and arranging results in chronologic order (data are shown in Supplementary Tables 1, 2). Data in Figures 9–13 are plotted using Gaussian confidence ellipses ($p = 0.95$) on the centroid as a reference for extant browser (B) and grazer (G) data which were also adjusted by sample size. Data are from Semprebon (2002) and Solounias and Semprebon (2002). Dietary assignments given to mixed feeders which may fall within the browsing average scratch/pit ecospace (browsers-dominant mixed feeders), grazing ecospace (grass-dominant mixed feeders), or in the gap between browsers and grazers (fairly equal browsing and grazing behavior) are based on raw scratch distributions as described in the relevant publications noted in figure captions from which data were obtained. The designation of fruit browser was based on percentages of large pits, puncture-like large pits, and coarser scratch textures than leaf browsers (see relevant publications noted in figure captions for details). Figure 9 shows average pit vs. average scratch numbers for Eocene-Oligocene fossil equids, camelids, and merycoidodontids. Most fossil equids (Figure 9A) apparently engaged in both leaf and fruit browsing but Miohippus obliquidens, Mesohippus bairdii, and Mesohippus sp. displays unimodal and high scratch results (but finely textured unlike modern grazers) which is incongruent with the gross morphology of their teeth, their mesowear, and low overall rate of wear and may thus possibly reflect an abrasive element in their diet other than grass. Camelids and merycoidodontids were engaging in an unusual type of browsing and mixed feeding—exceptionally high pitting characteristic of open habitat “dirty” browsers and mixed feeders (i.e., significant grit effect).

For most time periods, the four families occupied mostly disparate niches with the exception of the late Miocene when all four families had a number of taxa engaging in varying levels of grass consumption—although the camelids (Figure 9B) were engaging in mainly browsing at this time as they apparently did for most of their evolutionary history. The camels also were committed “dirty browsers” through most of their evolution—showing relatively extremely high total pit counts consistent with exposure to grit. None of the relatively low-crowned dromomerycids (Figures 10B, 11D, 12D) were pure grazers at any time in their past whereas the other families that had mesodont and hypsodont representatives engaged in grazing or alternating between grass and browse. In addition, equids appear to have consistently showed the greatest overall flexibility in their dietary behavior. Contrary to what is often assumed, Pleistocene equids were not restricted in their dietary regimes at this time but show a level of dietary breadth that may reflect more seasonal variation in diet.

Figure 10 shows average pit vs. average scratch numbers for early Miocene fossil equids, camelids, antilocaprids, dromomerycids, and merycoidodontids. Once again, equids (Figure 10A) show a diverse array of dietary behavior with some forms engaging in leaf browsing, some in fruit browsing, some alternating between browse and grass, and some grazing. Camelids (Figure 10B) and merycoidodontids (Figure 10D) continue mainly dirty browsing while antilocaprids (Figure 10C) are mixed feeders and dromomerycids (Figure 10D) are either leaf browsers or mixed feeders.

Figure 11 shows comparative average pit vs. average scratch numbers for middle Miocene fossil families. The equids (Figure 11A) show fairly balanced numbers of browsers, mixed feeders and grazers, while the camelids (Figure 11B) and merycoidodontids (Figure 11E) continue dirty browsing and some fruit browsing. Dromomerycids (Figure 11D) concentrated on pure browsing at this time, while antilocaprids were mostly alternating between browse and mixed feeding but also engaging in limited browsing and grazing.

Figure 12 shows average pit vs. average scratch numbers for late Miocene fossil families. All four families depicted in Figure 12 show shifts toward more grass consumption than what was seen earlier in the Miocene. Only the camelids (Figure 12B) continue with mostly dedicated browsing (although high abrasion, dirty browsing). Equid (Figure 12A) mixed feeders are now closer to the grazing ecospace indicating more grass-dominated mixed feeding than antilocaprids (Figure 12C).
or dromomerycids (Figure 12D). Also, equids and antilocaprid mixed feeders and equid mixed feeders and grazers show more pitting than earlier in the Miocene, indicating exposure to more grit during feeding.

Figure 13 shows average pit vs. average scratch numbers for Pliocene and Pleistocene fossil families. In the Pliocene and Pleistocene, there is a continuation of relatively high abrasion (i.e., heavy pitting) mixed feeding and grazing in equids (Figures 13A,D), high abrasion browsing and grazing in camels (Figures 13B,E) and relatively lower abrasion browsing and grazing in antilocaprids (Figures 13C,D).

**DISCUSSION**

In this study, we examined the relative contributions of exogenous abrasives as a driving force in ungulate tooth evolutionary changes using a combination of dietary proxies (hypodonty index, mesowear and microwear) with different temporal resolution capabilities to shed light on the amounts of different levels of abrasion imposed on molar teeth over evolutionary time and the potential causes of this abrasion.

The evolution of the Equidae has provided much fuel for the paleoecology fire. The rather dramatic craniodental and locomotory modifications of the late early Miocene radiation of horses (Equinae) prompted Simpson (1951) to call this the time of “the great transformation”. Such changes (e.g., changes in craniodental proportions, cementum-covered, and hypsodont dentition, locomotory changes, and increased body size) were once considered as an indication that savannas spread during this time period, an hypothesis supported by the fact that crown height generally correlates with diet and habitat among extant ungulates.

To add to the growing body of knowledge that has been accumulating that challenges this historical hypothesis, we also investigated whether the appearance
of hypsodonty in the Miocene was truly synchronous with the appearance of grasslands and also whether exogenous grit could be a contributing factor to increased exposure to abrasion and subsequent crown height augmentation in fossil horses and other ungulates using three paleodietary techniques.
**FIGURE 11** Bivariate plot showing results for the average number of pits vs. the average number of scratches per taxon for Middle Mio cene fossil equids (A), camelids (B), antilocaprids (C), dromomerycids (D), and merycoidodontids (E) plotted in reference to extant leaf dominated ungulate browsers (B), and extant grazers (G) at 35 times magnification (extant comparative data from Semprebon, 2002 and Solounias and Semprebon, 2002). Gaussian confidence ellipses (p=0.95) on the centroid are indicated for the extant leaf browsers (B) and grazers (G) (convex hulls) adjusted by sample size. Key to Equidae: 28 = Parahippus avus, 29 = Parahippus integer, 30, 31 = Parahippus sp., 32, 33 = Hyphippus sp., 34, 35, 43 = Megahippus sp., 36 = "Merychippus" goorisii, 37 = Merychippus insignis, 38 = Scaphohippus intermontanus, 39 = Acrothippus isenus, 40 = Acrothippus tertius, 41 = Callipterus proplacidus, 42 = Protohippus perditus, 44, 45 = "Merychippus" calamarius, 46 = Cormohipparion quahni, 47 = Megahippus matthewi, 48 = Pseudhipparion retrum, 49 = Protohippus supremus, 50 = Cormohipparion occidentale, 51 = Calippus martini. Key to Camelidae: 9, 15, 16 = Aepycamelus sp., 10 = Paramiolabis singularis, 11 = Aepycamelus procera, 12, 13 = Procamelus sp., 14 = Miolabis princeotenianus, 17 = Protolabis sp. Key to Antilocapridae: 3, 4 = Merrionoceros coronatus, 5 = Paracosomyx alicornis, 6 = Merycodus sabulosus, 7 = Merycops prakii, 8 = Ramoceros sp., 9 = Paracosomyx alicornis, 10, 11 = Cosoryx furcatus. Key to Dromomerycidae: 8 = Dromomeryx whitfordi, 9, 10 = Drepanomeryx (Matthomeryx) sp. Key to Merycoidodontidae: 1 = Merychys relictus, 2 = Brachycrus laticeps buwaldi, 3 = Ticholetus zyomaticus, 4 = Brachycrus laticeps siouense, 5 = Merychys medius medius.
To this end:

1. We tracked crown height (hypsodonty indices) through time for five North American families – Equidae, Camelidae, Antilocapridae, Dromomerycidae, and Merycoidodontidae.

2. We tracked the level of abrasion incurred by individuals in each family through time via assessing cusp shape and occlusal relief (mesowear) and compared it to hypsodonty trends.

3. We reconstructed dietary behavior through time using enamel microwear to track grazing behavior and encroachment on food items by exogenous grit.

The older ideas regarding the correlation between the appearance of grasslands and hypsodonty in North America was fueled by the radiation of the genus *Merychippus* which represented a more hypsodont horse than earlier forms, as well as the earliest member of the subfamily Equinae which were highly hypsodont at around 17.5 Ma (late early Miocene) (Damuth and Janis, 2011), although a small increase in crown height was seen in the first appearance of *Parahippus* at about 23 Ma (Jardine et al., 2012). *Merychippus*, though more hypsodont than *Parahippus*, is not a highly hypsodont horse and plant phytolith evidence suggests that extensive grasslands were present in North America much earlier than the radiation of *Merychippus*.

Figure 1B shows that in equids, highly hypsodont equines appeared only about the late middle Miocene (roughly 14 million years ago), well after the projected availability of pervasive open grasslands by 22 Ma (earliest Miocene) (Strömberg, 2004, 2005) or even 26 Ma (latest Oligocene) (Strömberg, 2011), although many early Miocene forms were rather hypsodont. Antilocaprids and camelids also developed hypsodonty in the late middle Miocene. Merycoidodontids attained a modest degree of hypsodonty in the Oligocene. Figure 1B also shows that it is within the Equidae that the highest levels of hypsodonty were obtained through time of the families studied here.

Jardine et al. (2012) (Figure 21A) demonstrated with their regional-scale study of tooth height changes of US Great Plains fossil herbivores that both artiodactyls and perissodactyls show
a gradual changeover from brachydont to highly hypsodont forms, but that perissodactyls have a greater proportion of highly hypsodont taxa than artiodactyls. Jardine et al. (2012) also showed that within Perissodactyla, it is the Equidae rather than the Rhinocerotidae that make up a higher proportion of hypsodont forms, and only the Equidae that evolve highly hypsodont forms. Jardine et al. (2012) also found that many families of artiodactyls and perissodactyls were entirely low crowned (≤mesodont), and within the Artiodactyla, only antilocaprids (which appear as mesodont immigrants in the early Miocene), camels and merycoidodontids attained hypsodont or highly hypsodont dentitions. The first artiodactyls to become hypsodont are the leptauchenine oreodonts and the stenomyline camels (Jardine et al., 2012). The obvious question is why? Strömberg et al. (2016) investigated functions of phytoliths in vascular plants and rejected the traditional hypothesis that phytoliths in grasses evolved as part of a defense against large herbivorous mammals. Also, Müller et al. (2014) point out that although phytoliths abrade enamel, grit probably induces more wear on teeth in the habitats where most grazers seek food (Madden, 2014). Our results are consistent with these hypotheses as they indicate that a grit effect was likely an important first driver of the acquisition of hypsodonty in the families studied here. For example, very heavily pitted enamel surfaces with many large pits and relatively coarse scratch textures are found in leptauchenine oreodonts and stenomyline camels—results typical of modern ungulates today living in open and arid habitats and exposed to high levels of exogenous grit. Of the three leptauchenine oreodonts studied here, two have microwear consistent with “dirty” browsing and *Leptauchenia decora* has microwear consistent with “dirty” seasonal or regional mixed feeding. Our microwear results for *L. decora* indicate that some forest fragmentation was most likely apparent in the Oligocene which is consistent
with Retallack's research on plains paleosols (Retallack, 1992, 2004) that showed evidence of more open environments with the potential of feeding closer to the ground, as evidenced by mixed feeding in *L. deco*ra and the camelid *Probrotherium* sp.

Equids and antilocaprids also become somewhat hypsodont in the late early Miocene (Hemingfordian), and at this time both families experience high degrees of large pitting in their enamel and begin to show scratch textures regardless of dietary classification indicating heavy exposure to exogenous grit.

Our hypsodonty results (Figure 1B) are consistent with those of Jardine et al. (2012) that show that it is not until the middle Miocene (Barstovian) that highly hypsodont (HI >5) taxa first appear on the Great Plains. While some grass was likely consumed in the Oligocene based on our microwear results (e.g., early camels and pronghorns), the overall pattern in terms of timing of the attainment of hypsodonty is not congruent with a grass diet as the main impetus for the “Great Transition” in equids nor of crown height changes in the ungulate families studied here. Our results are more consistent with prior results that show that even after open grasslands became pervasive, forest cover was also available until the late Miocene and habitats remained heterogeneous (Strömberg, 2005; Strömberg and McInerney, 2011) – a finding consistent with the fact that most ungulates studied here were browsing or mixed feeding throughout the Miocene.

An excellent review of the evolution of grasses and grassland evolution was put forth by Strömberg (2011) where she pointed out that the evolution of hypsodonty was not synchronous with the spread of open and grass-dominated habitats and that in North America, hypsodonty appeared or became more prominent in faunas many million years after the expansion of open-habitat grasses (Strömberg, 2006; Strömberg et al., 2007). Our data confirm this assertion. Strömberg (2011) also confirmed that macrofossils demonstrate that while the earliest grasses on the North American continent are known from the earliest Eocene, the evolution of grasses in North America has proven to be a rather complex phenomenon in terms of how and when open and grass-dominant flora spread with different scenarios proposed by different lines of evidence.

These scenarios are summarized as follows: (1) Palynofloral and macrofossil data indicate that habitats that were mostly grass-free prevailed in the central parts of North America throughout the Oligocene, while habitats that were open and grass-dominated did not disseminate until the middle-late Miocene (e.g., Thomasson, 1990; Bolick et al., 1995). (2) Phytoliths (from the Great Plains region) reveal that some open-habitat type grasses permeated subtropical and closed forest by the earliest Oligocene but were minor elements there until the late Oligocene into the early Miocene when they began to expand. It was not until the latest Miocene that less patchy and more uniformly open grasslands seem to have spread based on work by Strömberg and McInerney (2011). (3) Paleosol data from studies by Retallack (1997, 2007) on the central Great Plains, northern Rocky Mountains, and Pacific Northwest suggests an expansion of more open habitats by the earliest Oligocene while C3-dominated grasslands were purported to have spread in the early Miocene in drier habitats.

Even so, Samuels and Hopkins (2017) have shown that smaller mammals such as rodents and lagomorphs correspond more closely with the timing of habitat changes than those studied here most likely because of their smaller ranges and shorter generation times. Figure 6 shows comparative familial mesowear patterns over time. These patterns closely mirror hypsodonty trends (Figure 1B) in terms of synchrony in the timing of the acquisition of increased dietary abrasion, as evidenced by higher mesowear scores when hypsodonty increases. An interesting difference, however, is that while antilocaprids attain relatively high hypsodonty indices in the late Miocene, the spike in their mesowear scores is relatively lower comparatively than what is observed in equids or camelids. This most likely reflects more intermittent exposure to abrasive elements via seasonal or regional mixed feeding than the high level of dirty browsing (reflected by extreme pitting and coarser scratches) seen in the camelids and more grazing seen in the equids. Antilocaprids also show finer (though still relatively coarse) scratch textures than equids or camelids further explaining their somewhat lower mesowear scores in the late Miocene and prescribing their further drop in abrasion as they moved into the Recent and adopted a browse-dominant diet.

We need to insert a word of caution when comparing hypsodonty levels in equids and other ungulates (principally ruminant artiodactyls). Equids experience a greater degree of dental wear for any given diet than other ungulates due to both their digestive physiology and their feeding behavior: thus, even if only considering the relative height of a single tooth, an equid will be more hypsodont than other ungulates consuming the same diet. The living species of equids (all grazers) are not only more hypsodont than other ungulates in terms of measures of individual molars, they also have a greater total postcanine tooth volume (due to their large and molarized premolars, which are as hypsodont as the molars, in contrast to the partially molarized premolars of ruminants, which may be reduced in both size and number, and are not as hypsodont as the molars) (see Janis, 1988). A further additional factor is that equid postcanine teeth do not form roots when they are first laid down; root formation is delayed until around 2 years of age, with additional crown growth during this time, so height of an unerupted molar does not measure the total (functional) height of the tooth as it cannot capture the length of crown added before tooth closure. This dental condition is rare in hypsodont artiodactyls, but it has been reported in a few, such as *Ovis, Capra*, and *Antilocapra* (Webb and Hulbert, 1986; Ackermans et al., 2019). We do not know of a comprehensive survey of extinct hypsodont equids that might determine whether the *Equus* condition is seen throughout the clade, but open-rooted molars have been reported in specimens of the hipporiniane equid *Pseudhipparion simpsoni* (but not in other species of this genus) (Webb and Hulbert, 1986).

Equids (at least those in the hypsodont subfamily Equinae) also have a greater amount of enamel in their postcanine dentition than most ruminant artiodactyls, due to complication of the occlusal enamel surface. Famoso et al. (2016) attribute this
occlusal enamel complexity (OEC) to dental durability, noting that it is more extensive in the tribe Hipparionini than in the tribe Equini (of which Equus is the surviving genus): because hipparionines are generally less hypsodont than equines, they conclude that OEC is an alternative mode of resisting dental wear to hypsodonty. But the issue is more complex than this: for example, high levels of OEC are seen in Pleistocene species of Equus whose mesowear is indicative of browsing (Juha Saarinen, personal communication). Similar OEC is seen in specialized grazing bovids, such as Bos (Bovini), Hippotragus (Hippotragini), and Connochaetes (Alcelaphini). For mechanical reasons, OEC can only be a feature of teeth that have a flat occlusal surface, and Sanson (2016) considers that this dental pattern is related to food manipulation on this surface. A flat occlusal surface in bovids is seen only among grazers, while it is a characteristic feature of the Equinae, even though other dental parameters (microwear, mesowear, hypsodonty index) indicate that many of these species were not grazers (especially among the Hipparionini). This is an interesting issue that requires further investigation; but the data suggest that OEC relates to other issues besides resisting rates of tooth wear.

The reason why equids require a greater tooth volume (i.e., greater resistance to tooth wear) than other ungulates on similar diets relates both to differences in digestive physiology and to food intake behavior. As originally noted by Janis (1988), the less efficient hindgut fermentation of perissodactyls means that they must consume more food per day than a similarly-sized ruminant artiodactyl eating a diet of similar fiber content, and hence equids encounter more total tooth wear per lifetime. Additionally, in contrast to both ruminant artiodactyls, and other perissodactyls such as rhinos, horses grind their food to a fine particle size on its initial ingestion, a behavior which must take a toll on their dentition (Clauss et al., 2015). Although fecal particle size is slightly smaller in ruminants than in horses, attesting to a greater total amount of oral preparation, the majority of this processing takes place during rumination when the food has been softened by the effects of fermentation. In addition, the rechewed food has the benefit of being "washed" in the rumen: abrasive particles such as sand sink to the bottom of the rumen and are not included in the regurgitated bolus (Dittman et al., 2017).

Figures 9–13 show comparative dietary assignments of fossil families in each time period based on average scratch and pit results and reveal some very interesting differences between these North American families. The Camelidae and Merycoidodontidae, though attaining hypsodonty in some forms, were committed browsers throughout most of their evolutionary history but with a type of browsing that incurred a large amount of abrasion (i.e., "dirty" browsing) right from the beginning. Solounias and Semprebon (2002) and Semprebon and Rivals (2007, 2010) have demonstrated a similar pattern of microwear in extant taxa that occupy open and arid habitats such as camels and pronghorns. Thus, any hypsodonty attained by these forms, due most likely to continuous exposure to exogenous grit, would allow them to forage on grass periodically, thus providing a mechanism to broaden their niche. Antilocaprids appear to have shifted their dietary behavior between grass and browse through much of their evolutionary history but returned to mainly browsing in the Recent. Lastly, it is clear that the Equidae exhibited a wider array of dietary behaviors than the other families through most of their evolutionary history (except in the Recent). Even so, grass apparently was a much more common dietary item for equids than for the other families, and when combined with exogenous grit, which was more accelerated in the late Miocene onward (more pitting and coarser scratch textures), may explain the more extreme acquisition of hypsodonty in equids compared to camels and antilocaprids, and set the stage for the Equidae alone of the families studied here to become hypergrazers in the Recent. Our results are compatible with those of Hummel et al. (2011) by stressing that total exposure to abrasive elements (both phytoliths in plant material and exogenous abrasives) should be considered when interpreting factors relating to the acquisition of hypsodonty.

We would also like to caution that much remains to be learned regarding the effects of extrinsic abrasive particles of different sizes (i.e., dust vs. grit). It is obvious that small particles would inflict smaller enamel scars than larger particles and that smaller particles should be more quickly obliterated by wear than larger scars which should persist for a longer period of time. It is intuitive that very small particles such as dust could collectively remove large amounts of tissue without leaving many scars that would be simultaneously detectable by any of these dietary methods. Consequently, the wear rate in open habitats is accelerated despite the fact that large pits do not override the dietary signal, it should be considered that very small particles could be a major but unrecognized wear agent.

Winkler et al. (2019) have proposed that the state of hydration of plant tissue may also affect dental abrasion based on a controlled feeding experiment using guinea pigs fed with different types of forage (in both a fresh and dried state). They stress that water content as well as phytolith content may affect plant abrasiveness. This is very likely why browsers and browse-dominated mixed feeders in open habitats (e.g., camels, pronghorns) tend to have such a clear browser signal in their mesowear, from high attrition in combination with (moderately) high wear rates (Fortelius and Solounias, 2000). Further research should help to elucidate how surface texture wear patterns (as per Winkler et al.) translate into absolute wear rates.

**CONCLUSIONS**

Documenting changes in crown height (hypsodonty index), relative abrasion (mesowear) and diagnostic food and grit scar topography on dental enamel (microwear) allowed for the discernment of the relative contributions of grass vs. exogenous abrasives as potential driving forces in ungulate tooth evolutionary changes during the evolution of North American Equidae, Camelidae, Antilocapridae, Dromomerycidae, and Merycoidodontidae. The timing of hypsodonty acquisition is not consistent with grazing as the main driver for crown height changes in the equids or the artiodactyl families studied.
as highly hypsodont ungulates post-date the appearance of widespread grasslands. Synchrony in the timing of the acquisition of hypsodonty and increased dietary abrasion incurred during feeding as measured via microwear was seen in all five fossil families. High degrees of enamel pitting (particularly large pits) and unusually coarse scratch textures in all five fossil families as measured via microwear are consistent with exposure to exogenous grit or soil ingestion as the main driver of hypsodonty acquisition prior to the consumption of significant levels of grass and consistent with recent experimental controlled feeding studies in ungulates. Camelids, dromomerycids, and merycoidodontids, though attaining varying levels of hypsodonty in some forms, were committed browsers throughout most of their evolutionary history, but with a type of browsing that incurred a large amount of abrasion (i.e., “dirty” browsing). Antilocapridae browsed and grazed throughout most of their evolutionary history, but demonstrated unusual levels of large pitting and relatively coarser scratch textures consistent with exposure to exogenous grit. Equidae exhibited a wider array of dietary behavior than the other families through most of their evolutionary history (except the Recent). Even so, grass was a much more common dietary item for equids than for the other families. This combined with exposure to exogenous grit, which was more accelerated from the early Miocene onward based on more pitting and coarser scratch textures, may explain the more extreme acquisition of hypsodonty in equids compared to the artiodactyl families studied, setting the stage for the Equidae alone to become hypergrazers in the Recent.
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