Objective: To evaluate trend in cervical cone length and CIN linear extension in women treated in a tertiary referral centre over a 28 years period. Methods: A retrospective study including 3716 women treated with cervical conization for biopsy-proven HSIL (CIN grade 2 and 3), glandular lesions and microinvasive squamous cervical cancer from 1992 to 2020. Relevant clinical and histopathological data were collected. Results: A mean cone length of 9.5 mm (SD 5.1 mm, range 1–40 mm) and CIN linear extension of 6.58 mm (SD 3.38 mm, range 1–45 mm) were found. A 35% significant decrease in cone length was observed in the 28 years period, while no differences were found in CIN extension. Furthermore, ectocervical and endocervical margin positivity rates were stable over the study period and not affected by decreasing cone length. Conclusion: The current study reported a significant trend of reduction in cone length from 1992 to 2020 while margin status was unaffected. This may reflect less invasive approach and increased attention to obstetric outcomes.

Keywords
Cervical intraepithelial neoplasia; Screening; Cervical conization; Cone dimension; Linear CIN extension

1. Introduction

Incidence and mortality of cervical cancer have steadily decreased over the last 30 years, since introduction of organized screening programs, whose aim is to detect and treat Cervical Intraepithelial Neoplasia (CIN) lesions before they progress to invasive disease [1]. In Italy, for instance, organized screening program brought a reduction of approximately 40% of cervical cancer incidence after 10 years from introduction and stabilized thereafter [2].

At the same pace disease stages have been changed, and a 55% increase in CIN3 incidence together with a 50–55% decrease in early and advanced invasive cancer from 1995 to 2014 were reported [3].

While preinvasive lesion treatment using Large Loop Excision of the Transformation Zone/Loop Electrosurgical Excision Procedure LLETZ/LEEP has proven to be highly effective in reducing subsequent cervical cancer incidence [4], diagnosis and treatment for CIN occur mainly in women in reproductive age with reported adverse obstetric outcomes [5]. Therefore, minimal impact on women reproductive future is of utmost importance as well as the high effectiveness of CIN treatment.

Some studies have underlined a decreasing trend in cone dimensions and in particular in cone length [6–8], considering the awareness of treatment impact on reproductive health.

The aim of this study is to present 28 years trends in cone dimension and CIN linear lesion extension in a large tertiary referral center in Northern Italy.

2. Methods

We conducted a retrospective cohort study of all patients undergoing cervical conization (cold knife, LEEP, or radiofrequency needle) for biopsy-proven cervical High Grade Squamous Intraepithelial Lesion (HSIL) (encompassing CIN grade 2 and 3), glandular lesions and microinvasive squamous cervical carcinoma at the tertiary referral center Lower Genital Tract Disease Clinic Unit 1 of the Department of Surgical Sciences, University of Torino, from January 1992 and to December 2020.

Women were referred to our center by either organized cervical cancer screening program or private gynecological practice.

The following histological information were collected:
1. The three dimensions of each cone specimen, measured by the pathologist in millimeter using a ruler after formalin fixation. The first and second measures were the two perpendicular radius of cone base. Cone length was considered from external cervical os to the most distal margin.
2. Area of the cone base was calculated using ellipse formula \(\text{Area} = \pi \times r_1 \times r_2\).
3. Margins status was considered: ectocervical margin, endocervical margin and “deep” margin (lateral margin involving endocervical gland crypts).
4. Endocervical crypt involvement: absent, focal in case of <50% gland depth involvement, and massive in case of >50% gland depth involvement.
5. Linear extension of CIN lesion measured in millimeter with a microscope ruler.
6. Histopathological diagnosis was recorded according WHO classification [9] as negative, CIN1, CIN2, CIN3, mi-
cervical or invasive squamous cell carcinoma, AIS (Adenocarcinoma in Situ) or Invasive Adenocarcinoma.

We always considered worst histological diagnosis in case of concomitant lesions.

Surgical procedures were carried out in outpatient or inpatient setting under local anesthesia. After application of 5% acetic acid, conization was guided by a colposcope and in case of LEEP using the most adequate loop size according to dimension of the transformation zone. Only four gynecologists were involved in surgery procedures and a standardized technique was used.

Regarding linear CIN lesion extension analysis, we excluded both squamous and glandular invasive and microinvasive carcinoma.

Descriptive analysis was carried out and the maximum, minimum and mean values for quantitative variables and percentages for qualitative variables. Statistical software SPSS 27 (SPSS Inc®, Chicago, IL, USA) was used for dataset analysis. In order to obtain evenly divided number of conization for trend analysis, time frame was divided in four categories 1992–2003, 2004–2010, 2011–2016, 2017–2020. Chi-square test or Fisher exact test were used for comparing proportions, as appropriate. A \( p \) value < 0.05 was considered statistically significant. In order to compare trends one-way ANOVA test was used.

This study was approved by internal Ethical committee and conducted according to Helsinki’s principles.

Informed consent was obtained from each patient for study participation.

3. Results

Starting from January 1992 through December 2020, 3837 women underwent cervical conization for CIN treatment. 121 patients were excluded because complete histopathological data were missing. A total of 3716 women were included in final analysis.

The distribution during the 28 years considered is: 876 women in 1992–2003, 889 in 2004–2010, 1036 in 2011–2016, 2017–2020. Chi-square test or Fisher exact test were used for comparing proportions, as appropriate. A \( p \) value < 0.05 was considered statistically significant. In order to compare trends one-way ANOVA test was used.

This study was approved by internal Ethical committee and conducted according to Helsinki’s principles.

Informed consent was obtained from each patient for study participation.

3. Results

Starting from January 1992 through December 2020, 3837 women underwent cervical conization for CIN treatment. 121 patients were excluded because complete histopathological data were missing. A total of 3716 women were included in final analysis.

The distribution during the 28 years considered is: 876 women in 1992–2003, 889 in 2004–2010, 1036 in 2011–2016 and 915 in 2017–2020.

Women mean age was 37 years (SD 9.3 years, range 18.1–77 years). No significant difference regarding mean age at the time of the cone emerged over the whole study period, from mean age of 37.13 years in 1992–2003 to 39.43 years in 2017–2020.

Number of cervical conizations per year increased during study period, starting from 12 in 1992 to 233 conization in 2020, with a maximum of 244 conizations in 2019, as shown in Fig. 1.

LEEP was the most frequent technique, accounting for 95.3% of all treatments, while cold knife conization was performed in 2.7% and radiofrequency needle in 2% of patients. The percentage of cold knife treatment was stable over the study period and did not affect the overall length trend observed in the present study.

A single surgical specimen was retrieved in 71.4% of patients, while in 21.6% multiple specimens were performed (either a top hat excision for endocervical lesions or a lateral enlargement).

Final histopathologic diagnosis is reported in Table 1: High Grade Squamous Intraepithelial Lesion (encompassing CIN 2 and 3) was found in 78.3% of cervical specimens (2910 women) while CIN1 was reported in 11.5%. Glandular lesions were reported in 63 patients (1.7%), in particular 44 adenocarcinomas in situ (AIS, 1.2%) and 19 invasive adenocarcinomas (0.5%). In 2.9% patients (109) no evidence of lesion was found at histopathological diagnosis.

Details on clinical pathological results are reported in Table 2. Dimensions of the cone base were recorded and mean first dimension of the cone base (ectocervix) was 17.5 mm (SD 5.1 mm), mean second dimension 13.7 mm (SD 4.3 mm). Mean area of the cone base was 197.6 mm² (SD 105.8 mm²), while no differences in mean area were found over the study period.

Mean total length of the cone was 9.5 mm (SD 5.1 mm, distribution is shown in Fig. 2 and distribution per year is detailed in Fig. 3) and linear CIN extension was 6.58 mm (SD 3.38 mm, range 1–45 mm).

Fig. 4 presents trend of cone length in the whole study period. A significant decrease in cone length was observed and in particular mean cone length in 2017–2020 (7.81 mm, SD 3.85) was significantly lower than in 1992–2003 (12.07 mm, SD 5.62), \( p < 0.001 \), one-way ANOVA. A 35% reduction in cone length was found when comparing mean length of conization made in first period of analysis (1992–2003) and the most recent (2017–2020).

Mean linear extension of the lesion was 6.58 mm (SD 3.38 mm, range 1–45 mm). From 1992–2003 mean extension was 6.61 mm (SD 3.96 mm) to 2017–2020 6.28 mm (SD 2.98 mm) and no statistically significant reduction was found (Fig. 5).

Margin status was recorded and endocervical margin was positive in 26.5% cases, esocervical margin in 32.4% and deep (lateral) margin 3.5% of cases. No significant trend in margin positivity was observed over the study period.

Endocervical crypt involvement was reported as focal in 53.2% (1977 women), massive in 12.5% (463) and negative in 15.6% (581).

| Table 1. Histopathological diagnosis on cone specimens. |
|-----------------------------------------------|
| Diagnosis          | N (%) |
|-------------------|-------|
| CIN 1             | 429 (11.5) |
| CIN 2             | 1140 (30.7) |
| CIN 3             | 1770 (47.6) |
| AIS               | 44 (1.2) |
| Invasive adenocarcinoma | 19 (0.5) |
| Microinvasive squamous carcinoma | 30 (0.8) |
| Invasive squamous carcinoma | 35 (0.9) |
| Not classifiable   | 140 (3.8) |
| Negative          | 109 (2.9) |
Table 2. Trends in mean age, mean cone length, mean cone base area, mean linear lesion extension over the study period.

|                    | 1992–2003 | 2004–2010 | 2011–2016 | 2017–2020 | \( p \) |
|--------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-------|
| N\(^o\) treated patients | 876       | 889       | 1036      | 915       | NS    |
| Mean age (SD)      | 37.13 y (10.23) | 36.51 y (9.44) | 37.57 y (9.34) | 39.43 y (9.77) | NS    |
| Mean cone length (SD) | 12.07 mm (5.62) | 8.86 mm (4.97) | 8.94 mm (4.21) | 7.81 mm (3.85) | \( p < 0.001 \) |
| Mean cone base area (SD) | 199.07 mm\(^2\) (103.52) | 190.35 mm\(^2\) (106.54) | 210.59 mm\(^2\) (114.06) | 184.01 mm\(^2\) (98.07) | NS    |
| Mean linear lesion extension (SD) | 6.61 mm (3.96) | 6.05 mm (3.26) | 6.79 mm (3.60) | 6.28 mm (2.98) | NS    |
| Ectocervical margin positivity | 31.5%       | 32.1%      | 32.4%     | 33.5%     | NS    |
| Endocervical margin positivity | 27.3%       | 26.6%      | 25.7%     | 26.3%     | NS    |

NS, not significant.

4. Discussion

The impact of cervical conization on future obstetric outcomes has been extensively discussed in medical literature and recent metanalysis have demonstrated that surgically treated women are at higher risk of preterm delivery, second-trimester pregnancy loss and other negative outcomes [5, 10].

These adverse effects are thought to be related mainly to cone depth and the alteration of cervical integrity that lead to disruption of a complex anatomical barrier. Removal of a portion of cervix may decrease the tensile strength of collagen, which is important for maintaining pregnancy until term and also destruction of glands may impact mucus production and facilitate migration of vaginal pathogen through membranes [10]. There is uncertainty about the exact pathogenic mechanism of cervical disruption: this could be linked to destruction of epithelial cervical reserve cells and stromal repair cells, that are responsible of regeneration process [11, 12]. Thus, greater cervical cone dimension implies increased destruction of reserve cells and impaired regeneration increasing the risk of adverse obstetrics outcomes.

Our study showed a significant reduction in cervical cone length from 1992 through 2020 in a referral tertiary center. A 35% reduction in mean cone length from 1992 through 2020, from 12.07 mm to 7.81 mm was reported, possibly indicating a more precise and conservative approach towards CIN treatment. This finding is in agreement with published data, as Ciavattini [6] reported a significant decrease in length of cone excision from 14 mm in 2006 to 12.3 in 2014. Also So-pracordevole [7] outlined a 30% reduction in length of cone specimen from 1996 to 2015.

In our cohort, length reduction did not lead to increased rates of margin positivity, as ectocervical and endocervical margin positivity rates were unaffected by decreasing cone length.

On the other hand, no significant reduction in CIN lesion linear extension was found over the study period.

Data regarding obstetric history from our series were not available and in particular regarding abortion rates and preterm deliveries, so that it is impossible to correlate our finding with immediate beneficial effects on reproductive health. A study from our department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, even if from another unit, found that exci-
Fig. 2. Cone length distribution in mm.

Fig. 3. Length of cone excision per year of procedure, expressed in mm.

As negative obstetric outcomes were reported more frequently in cone depth >14 mm, we underline the reduced percentage of cone >14 mm from 45.6% in 1992–2003 to only 8.79% in 2017–2020.

An increased attention to obstetric outcomes [6, 7] may have led to decreasing cone length trend in our case series. Furthermore, the use of colposcopy-guided conization could have allowed more precise excision of CIN lesion and less excised tissue compared to free-hand surgery.

Further studies should also assess whether less invasive and conservative surgical procedures will lead to favorable...
oncological outcomes. A study by Lara-Penaranda showed that conization height smaller than 10 mm did not affect disease persistence or HPV infection in 18 months follow up, even if a small number of participants was included in the study [14]. We did not observe any increase in margin positivity in our series, but longer follow up and further studies are needed to analyze long term risk of recurrence and progression to carcinoma.

The main strong point of this study is high number of patients involved from a single institution over 28 years and the same surgical method used in more than 95% of cases. In addition, the limited number of gynecologists involved may reduce the risk of potential bias in data collection and analysis.

However, the retrospective nature of the present study has some limitations. It has been possible to retrieve clinical data already recorded in medical charts, but there have been missed information regarding future obstetrical outcomes (such as abortion rates and preterm delivery) of patients included in the study.

5. Conclusions

In conclusion, our study reported a significant trend of reduction in mean cone length from 1992 to 2020, possibly reflecting increased attention on preserving future obstetric
outcomes. Also, ectocervical and endocervical margins positivity rates were unaffected by decreasing cone length. On the other hand, nosignificantreductiontrendinCINlinear lesion extension was observed during study period. Further studies are needed to assess the impact of this reduction on margin status and future oncological outcomes.
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