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ABSTRACT. Using min-max inequality we investigate the existence of solutions and their dependence on parameters for some second order discrete boundary value problem. The approach is based on variational methods and solutions are obtained as saddle points to the Euler action functional.

1. INTRODUCTION

Boundary value problems governed by discrete equations have received some attention lately by both variational and topological approach. The variational techniques applied for discrete problems include, among others, the mountain pass methodology, the linking theorem, the three critical point, compare with [2], [3], [8], [11], [12], [13]. Moreover, the fixed point approach is in fact much more prolific in the case of discrete problem and covers the techniques already applied for continuous problems, see for example [1], [5], with both list of references far from being exhaustive.

While in the literature mainly the problem of the existence of solutions and their multiplicity is considered, we are going to go a bit further and investigate also the dependence on a functional parameter $u$ for the following discrete boundary value problem which is a saddle -point type system. Let $D > 0$ be fixed. The problem which we consider reads

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Delta^2 x(k-1) &= F_x(k, x(k), y(k), u(k)), \\
\Delta^2 y(k-1) &= -F_y(k, x(k), y(k), u(k)), \\
x(0) &= x(T + 1) = y(0) = y(T + 1) = 0,
\end{aligned}
$$

where $F : [1, T] \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \times [-D, D] \to \mathbb{R}$ is a continuous function differentiable with respect to the second and the third variable,

$$u \in L_D = \{ u \in C([1, T], \mathbb{R}) : ||u||_C \leq D \},$$
where \( \|u\|_C \) denotes the classical maximum norm \( \|u\|_C = \max_{k \in [1,T]} |u(k)| \) and \([a,b]\) for \( a < b, a, b \in \mathbb{Z} \) denotes a discrete interval \( \{a, a+1, ..., b\} \).

By a solution to (1) we mean a function \( x : [0, T+1] \to \mathbb{R} \) which satisfies the given equation and the associated boundary conditions.

Such type of a difference equation as (1) may arise from evaluating the Dirichlet boundary value problem

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{d^2}{dt^2} x &= G_x (t,x,y,u), \\
\frac{d^2}{dt^2} y &= -G_y (t,x,y,u), \\
0 < t < 1, x(0) = x(1) = 0, y(0) = y(1) = 0
\end{align*}
\]

where \( G : [0,1] \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R} \) is continuous and subject to some growth conditions. Such a continuous problem subject to a functional parameter has been considered in [6].

The question whether the system depends continuously on a parameter is vital in context of the applications, where the measurements are known with some accuracy. This question is even more important when the solution to the problem under consideration is not unique as is the case of the present note. In the boundary value problems for differential equations there are some results towards the dependence of a solution on a functional parameter, see [7], [6] with references therein. This is not the case with discrete equations where we have only some results which use the critical point theory, see [4]. The approach of this note is different from this of [4] since it does not rely on coercivity arguments but on a min-max inequality due to Ky Fan, see [10]. In our approach we use some ideas developed in [6] suitable modified due to the finite dimensionality of the space under consideration.

The following results will be used in the sequel, see [10].

**Theorem 1** (Fan’s Min–Max Theorem). Let \( X \) and \( Y \) be Hausdorff topological vector spaces, \( A \subset X \) and \( B \subset Y \) be convex sets, and \( J : A \times B \to \mathbb{R} \) be a function which satisfies the following conditions:

(i) for each \( y \in B \), the functional \( x \to J(x,y) \to \mathbb{R} \) convex and lower semi-continuous on \( A \);
(ii) for each \( x \in A \), the functional \( y \to J(x,y) \to \mathbb{R} \) is concave and upper semi-continuous on \( B \);
(iii) for some \( x_0 \in A \) and some \( \delta_0 < \inf_{x \in A} \sup_{y \in B} J(x,y) \), the set \( \{y \in B : J(x_0,y)\} \) is compact.

Then

\[
\sup_x \inf_y J(x,y) = \inf_y \sup_x J(x,y).
\]
Definition 2. Let \((X, \tau)\) be a Hausdorff topological space and let \((A_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}\) be a sequence of nonempty subsets of \(X\). The set of accumulation points of sequences \((a_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}\) with \(a_n \in A_n\) for \(n = 1, 2, 3, \ldots\) is called the upper limit of \((A_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}\) and denoted by \(\limsup A_n\).

2. Variational framework for problem (1)

Solutions to (1) will be investigated in the space \(H = \{x : [0, T+1] \to \mathbb{R} : x(0) = x(T+1) = 0\}\) considered with the norm

\[ ||x|| = \left( \sum_{k=1}^{T+1} |\Delta x(k-1)|^2 \right)^{1/2}. \]

Then \((H, ||\cdot||)\) becomes a Hilbert space. For any \(m \geq 2\) let \(c_m\) be the smallest positive constant such that

\[ \sum_{k=1}^{T} |x(k)|^m \leq c_m \cdot \sum_{k=1}^{T+1} |\Delta x(k-1)|^m \]

for any \(x \in H\); see [9, Lemma 1].

Since the approach of present note is a variational one we investigate the action functional \(J_u : H \times H \to \mathbb{R}\), corresponding to problem (1). For a fixed parameter \(u \in L_D\), \(J_u\) is of the form

\[ J_u(x, y) = \sum_{k=1}^{T+1} \frac{|\Delta x(k-1)|^2}{2} - \frac{|\Delta y(k-1)|^2}{2} + \sum_{k=1}^{T} F(k, x(k), y(k), u(k)). \]

We assume that \(F\) has the following properties:

H1 \(F : [1, T] \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}\) is a continuous function which is differentiable with respect to the second and the third variable; \(F_x, F_y : [1, T] \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}\) are continuous functions.

H2 For any fixed \(y \in H\) there are a constant \(\beta_1\), a function \(\gamma_1 : [1, T] \to \mathbb{R}\) and a constant \(\alpha_1 < 1/(2c_2)\) such that

\[ F(k, x, y(k), u) \geq -\alpha_1 |x|^2 + \beta_1 x + \gamma_1(k) \]

for all \(x \in \mathbb{R}\), all \(u \in \mathbb{R}\), \(|u| \leq D\) and all \(k \in [1, T]\).
H3 For any fixed \( x \in H \) there are a constant \( \beta_2 \), a function \( \gamma_2 : [1, T] \to \mathbb{R} \) and a constant \( \alpha_2 < 1/(2c_2) \) such that

\[
F(k, x(k), y, u) \leq \alpha_2 |y|^2 + \beta_2 y + \gamma_2(k)
\]

for all \( y \in \mathbb{R} \), all \( u \in \mathbb{R} \), \( |u| \leq D \) and all \( k \in [1, T] \).

H4 Functional \( x \to J_u(x, y) \) is convex for all \( y \in H \), \( u \in L_D \).

H5 Functional \( y \to J_u(x, y) \) is concave for all \( x \in H \), \( u \in L_D \).

We observe that with any fixed \( u \in L_D \) functional \( J_u \) is continuous. With the aid of Theorem 1 we are able to find saddle points for functional \( J_u \). Since \( J_u \) is differentiable in the sense of Gâteaux, it is apparent that such points are the critical points to \( J_u \). Since in turn critical points to \( J_u \) constitute solutions to (1), we arrive at existence result once we get the existence of saddle points. Moreover, since the spaces in which we work are finite dimensional one, there is no need to distinguish between the weak and the strong solutions.

3. Existence of Saddle Point Solutions

**Theorem 3** (Existence of saddle points). Assume that conditions H1-H2 hold. Let \( u \in L_D \) be fixed. Then it follows that

(A) There is a saddle point \((x_u, y_u)\) for the functional \( J_u \);

(B) There are balls \( B_1 = \{ x : ||x|| \leq r_1 \} \) and \( B_2 = \{ y : ||y|| \leq r_2 \} \) such that \((x_u, y_u) \in B_1 \times B_2 \);

(C) The set of all saddle points of \( J_u \) is compact.

**Proof.** For fixed \( y \in H \) using H2 we obtain

\[
J_u(x, y) \geq \sum_{k=1}^{T+1} \left( \frac{|\Delta x(k-1)|^2}{2} - \frac{|\Delta y(k-1)|^2}{2} - \alpha_1 |x(k)|^2 + \beta_1 x(k) + \gamma_1 \right) \geq (\frac{1}{2} - c_2 \alpha_1) ||x||^2 + \tilde{\beta}_1 ||x|| + \tilde{\gamma}_1,
\]

where \( \tilde{\beta}_1 > 0 \) depends only on \( \beta_1 \) (note that \( ||x|| \) and \( \sum_{k=1}^{T+1} |x(k)| \) are equivalent norms, since \( H \) is finite-dimensional) and \( \tilde{\gamma}_1 > 0 \) depends only on \( \gamma_1 \) and \( y \). Since \( \frac{1}{2} - c_2 \alpha_1 > 0 \), the functional \( J_u(x, y) \) is coercive on \( H \). By H1 and H4 it is continuous and convex for each \( u \). Put

\[
J_u^-(y) = \min_x J_u(x, y).
\]
By H5 the functional $J_u^-$ is concave. By H3 we obtain that

$$J_u^-(y) \leq J_u(0, y) \leq \sum_{k=1}^{T+1} \left(-\frac{\Delta y(k-1)^2}{2} + \alpha_2|y(k)|^2 + \beta_2 y(k) + \gamma_2\right) \leq \left(-\frac{1}{2} + c_2 \alpha_1\right) ||y||^2 + \tilde{\beta}_2 ||y|| + \tilde{\gamma}_2,$$

where $\tilde{\beta}_2 > 0$ depends only on $\beta_2$ and $\tilde{\gamma}_2 > 0$ depends only on $\gamma_2$. Since the constant $-\frac{1}{2} + c_2 \alpha_1$ is negative, then $J_u^-$ is anti-coercive. Hence it attains its supremum at some point $y_u$. By H2 we have

$$J_u^-(y_u) \geq J_u^-(0) = \min_x J_u(x, 0) \geq \min_x \left((\frac{1}{2} - c_2 \alpha_1)||x||^2 + \tilde{\beta}_1 ||x|| + \gamma_1\right) = \gamma_1.$$

Since $J_u^-$ is anti-coercive, there is $r_2 > 0$ such that $J_u^-(y) < \gamma_1$ for every $||y|| > r_2$. Since $J_u^-$ is continuous the set $\{y : J_u^-(y) \geq \gamma_1\}$ is compact and is contained in $B_2$. Hence each $y_u$ is in $B_2$.

Analogously one can show that there is $x_u$ with

$$J_u^+(x_u) = \min_x J_u^+ = \min \max_y J_u(x, y).$$

Furthermore, there is a ball $B_1$ with $x_u \in B_1$ for each such $x_u$.

We have already showed that for each $x$ there exists $\max_y J_u(x, y)$. Hence for some $\delta_0$ we have

$$\delta_0 < \min_x J_u(x, 0) \leq \min \max_y J_u(x, y).$$

By (2) we obtain

$$\{y : J_u(0, y) \geq \delta_0\} \subset \{y : (-1/2 + c_2 \alpha_1)||y||^2 + \tilde{\beta}_2 ||y|| + \tilde{\gamma}_2 \geq \delta_0\}.$$

Since the set of right hand of inclusion is compact, so is the set $\{y : J_u(0, y) \geq \delta_0\}$. Thus, the assumptions H4 and H5 and Fan’s minimax Theorem give the existence of a saddle point of $J_u$. Moreover the set of all saddle points of $J_u$ is compact. \qed

**Theorem 4** (Existence of saddle point solutions). Assume that conditions H1-H5 hold. Let $u \in L_D$ be fixed. Then it follows that there exists is at least one saddle point $(x_u, y_u) \in H \times H$ for the functional $J_u$ which solves (1).

**Proof.** By Theorem there is at least one saddle point $(x_u, y_u)$ for the functional $J_u$. Since $J_u$ is a Gâteaux differentiable functional we see that $J_u'(x_u, y_u) = 0$ and therefore $(x_u, y_u)$ solves (1). \qed
In order to obtain existence results we do not need to impose conditions H2-H5 uniformly in \( u \). This is not the case when one is interested in the dependence on parameters, when assumptions must be placed uniformly with respect to \( u \). Indeed, let us consider a following problem

\[
\begin{aligned}
\Delta^2 x(k - 1) &= F_x(k, x(k), y(k)), \\
\Delta^2 y(k - 1) &= -F_y(k, x(k), y(k)), \\
x(0) &= x(T + 1) = y(0) = y(T + 1) = 0,
\end{aligned}
\]

where \( F : [1, T] \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \) is a continuous function which is differentiable with respect to the second and the third variable. The action functional \( J : H \times H \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \), corresponding to problem \((3)\) is

\[
J(x, y) = \sum_{k=1}^{T+1} \frac{|\Delta x(k - 1)|^2}{2} - \frac{|\Delta y(k - 1)|^2}{2} + \sum_{k=1}^{T} F(k, x(k), y(k)).
\]

We assume that

1. \( F : [1, T] \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \) is a continuous function which is differentiable with respect to the second and the third variable; \( F_x, F_y : [1, T] \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \) are continuous functions.

2. For any fixed \( y \in H \) there are a constant \( \beta_1 \), a function \( \gamma_1 : [1, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \) and a constant \( \alpha_1 < 1/(2c_2) \) such that

\[
F(k, x, y(k), u) \geq -\alpha_1 |x|^2 + \beta_1 x + \gamma_1(k)
\]

for all \( x \in \mathbb{R} \) and all \( k \in [1, T] \).

3. For any fixed \( x \in H \) there are a constant \( \beta_2 \), a function \( \gamma_2 : [1, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \) and a constant \( \alpha_2 < 1/(2c_2) \) such that

\[
F(k, x(k), y, u) \leq \alpha_2 |y|^2 + \beta_2 y + \gamma_2(k)
\]

for all \( y \in \mathbb{R} \) and all \( k \in [1, T] \).

4. Functional \( x \rightarrow J_u(x, y) \) is convex for any \( y \in H \).

5. Functional \( y \rightarrow J_u(x, y) \) is concave for any \( x \in H \).

Then we have

**Corollary 5.** Assume that conditions H6-H10 hold. Then it follows that there exists at least one saddle point \((x, y) \in H \times H\) for the functional \( J \) which solves \((3)\).
4. Continuous dependence on parameters

Now we are interested of the behavior of the sequence of saddle points which correspond to a sequence of parameters. Dependence on parameters is investigated through the convergence of the sequence of action functionals corresponding the sequence of parameters—this approach has already been applied with some success for the continuous and also the discrete problems, see [4], [7]. Let \((u_n)_{n=1}^\infty \subset L_D\) be a sequence of parameters. We put \(J_n = J_{u_n}\) and let

\[ V_n = \{(\overline{x}, \overline{y}) : J_n(\overline{x}, \overline{y}) = \max_y \min_x J_n(x, y)\} \subset B_1 \times B_2 \]

be the set of all saddle points of \(J_n\). Due to Theorem 3, \(V_n \neq \emptyset\) for all \(n = 1, 2, \ldots\).

**Theorem 6.** Assume that conditions H1-H5 hold. Let \((u_n)_{n=1}^\infty \subset L_D\) be a convergent sequence of parameters and \(u_n \to u_0 \in L_D\) as \(n \to \infty\). Then \(\emptyset \neq \limsup_{n \to \infty} V_n \subset V_0\).

**Proof.** At first we observe by continuity of \(F\) that \(J_n\) tends to \(J_0\) uniformly on \(B_1 \times B_2\), where \(B_1, B_2\) are defined in Theorem 3. We will prove that \(\emptyset \neq \limsup V_n \subset V_0\). Let \(a_n = \max_y \min_x J_n(x, y)\) and let \(\varepsilon > 0\). Since \(J_n\) tends uniformly to \(J_0\), then \(J_n(x, y) \leq J_0(x, y) + \varepsilon\) for each \((x, y) \in B_1 \times B_2\) and every \(n \geq n_0\) for some \(n_0\). Then

\[
\min_x J_n(x, y) \leq \min_x J_0(x, y) + \varepsilon,
\]

\[
\max_y \min_x J_n(x, y) \leq \max_y \min_x J_0(x, y) + \varepsilon.
\]

Hence \(a_k - a_0 \leq \varepsilon\). Similarly one can show that \(a_k - a_0 \geq -\varepsilon\). Therefore \(a_k \to a_0\).

Let \((x_n, y_n) \in V_n\) for \(n = 1, 2, \ldots\). Since

\[ \{(x_n, y_n)\}_{n=1}^\infty \subset B_1 \times B_2 \]

we may assume that \((x_n, y_n) \to (x_0, y_0)\). In particular \(\limsup V_n \neq \emptyset\). Suppose now that \((x_0, y_0) \notin V_0\). Let \((\overline{x}, \overline{y}) \in V_0\). Then \(J_0(\overline{x}, \overline{y}) \neq J_0(x_0, y_0)\). Consider the case

\[ J_0(\overline{x}, \overline{y}) - J_0(x_0, y_0) = \eta < 0. \]
Then
\[ a_n - a_0 = J_n(x_n, y_n) - J_0(x_0, y_0) = \]
\[ \min_x J_n(x, y_n) - J_0(x_0, y_0) \leq \]
\[ \leq J_n(\bar{x}, y_n) - J_0(x_0, y_0) = \]
\[ J_n(\bar{x}, y_n) - J_0(\bar{x}, y_n) + J_0(\bar{x}, y_n) - J_0(\bar{x}, \bar{y}) + J_0(\bar{x}, \bar{y}) - J_0(x_0, y_0). \]

Since
\[ J_0(\bar{x}, \bar{y}) = \max_y J_0(\bar{x}, y) \geq J_0(\bar{x}, y_n), \]

then
\[ \lim \sup_n J_0(\bar{x}, y_n) - J_0(\bar{x}, \bar{y}) \leq 0. \]

By the continuity of \( F \) we obtain that \( J_n(\bar{x}, y_n) \to J_0(\bar{x}, y_n) \). Therefore
\[ \lim \sup_{n \to \infty} (a_n - a_0) < \eta. \]

A contradiction. Similarly, a contradiction can be obtained when \( \eta > 0. \)

Theorem 6 combined with Theorem 4 yield the following main result of our note

**Theorem 7.** Assume H1-H5. For any fixed \( u \in L_D \) there exists at least one solution \( y \in V_u \) to problem (1). Let \( \{u_n\} \subset L_D \) be a convergent sequence of parameters, where \( \lim u_n = u_0 \in L_D \). For any sequence \( \{(x_n, y_n)\} \) of solutions \( (x_n, y_n) \in V_n \) to the problem (1) corresponding to \( u_n \), there exist a subsequence \( \{(x_{n_i}, y_{n_i})\} \subset H \times H \) and an element \( (x_0, y_0) \subset H \times H \) such that \( \lim x_{n_i} = x_0 \), \( \lim y_{n_i} = y_0 \) and \( J_0(x_0, y_0) = \max_y \min_x J_0(x, y). \) Moreover \( x_0, y_0 \in V_0 \), i.e. the pair \( (x_0, y_0) \) satisfies (1) with \( u = u_0 \), namely
\[
\begin{align*}
\Delta^2 x_0(k - 1) &= F_x(k, x_0(k), y_0(k), u_0(k)), \\
\Delta^2 y_0(k - 1) &= -F_y(k, x_0(k), y_0(k), u_0(k)), \\
x_0(0) &= x_0(T + 1) = y_0(0) = y_0(T + 1) = 0.
\end{align*}
\]
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