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Early-time polarized optical light curve of GRB 131030A
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ABSTRACT

We report the polarized optical light curve of a gamma-ray burst afterglow obtained using the RoboPol instrument. Observations began 655 s after the initial burst of gamma-rays from GRB 131030A, and continued uninterrupted for 2 h. The afterglow displayed a low, constant fractional linear polarization of $p = (2.1 \pm 1.6)$ per cent throughout, which is similar to the interstellar polarization measured on nearby stars. The optical brightness decay is consistent with a forward-shock propagating in a medium of constant density, and the low polarization fraction indicates a disordered magnetic field in the shock front. This supports the idea that the magnetic field is amplified by plasma instabilities on the shock front. These plasma instabilities produce strong magnetic fields with random directions on scales much smaller than the total observable region of the shock, and the resulting randomly-oriented polarization vectors sum to produce a low net polarization over the total observable region of the shock.
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1 INTRODUCTION

Gamma-ray burst (GRB) afterglows are usually attributed to the synchrotron emission from a shock or jet propagating through the circumburst medium. The observed emission is thought to be the combination of the forward shock and a reverse shock that propagates backwards into the flow (Piran 1999; Zhang, Kobayashi & Mészáros 2003), with the reverse shock dominating at early times. The light from the reverse shock might be highly linearly polarized if ordered magnetic fields thread the ejecta (Granot & Königl 2003; Lyutikov 2003; Lazzati et al. 2004), while the polarization of the forward shock depends of the circumburst magnetic field (Uehara et al. 2012).

The early-time polarized optical GRB afterglow emission has been measured five times. Mundell et al. (2007) measured a $2\sigma$ upper limit of 8 per cent on the linear polarization 203 s after the GRB event for GRB 060418. They interpreted this relatively-low polarization level as ruling out the presence of a large-scale ordered magnetic field. The next measurement of the early-time afterglow polarization was made by Steele et al. (2009) of GRB 090102 160.8 s after the GRB. They, by contrast, measured a level of $(10 \pm 1)$ per cent, which they interpreted as coming from the reverse shock. GRB 110205A was measured by Cucchiara et al. (2011) to have a $3\sigma$ upper limit of 16 per cent 243 s after the Burst Alert Telescope (BAT) trigger time. A later measurement 56 min after the trigger time found a polarization level of $3.6^{+2.6}_{-1.6}$ per cent ($2\sigma$ confidence levels). They excluded the zero-polarization hypothesis at a 92 per cent confidence level, supporting a reverse plus forward-shock scenario. Uehara et al. (2012) measured the optical polarization afterglow of GRB 091208B from 149 to 706 s after the burst trigger and found a...
linear polarization level of $(10.4 \pm 2.5)$ per cent. At the time of the measurement, the optical light curve exhibited a power-law decay (index of $-0.75 \pm 0.02$), which they interpreted as the signature of the forward-shock synchrotron emission.

Most recently, Mundell et al. (2013) obtained multiple measurements of the early-time optical polarization light curve of GRB 120308A, making this the first measurement of the temporal evolution of the early-time polarized optical afterglow emission. They began observing the GRB afterglow 240 s after the GRB trigger and monitored it for $\sim$10 min, during which time the fractional polarization dropped from $28^{+7}_{-5}$ to $16^{+5}_{-4}$ per cent.

## 2 RoboPol Observations of GRB 131030A

The RoboPol project operates a four-channel imaging polarimeter on the 1.3 m telescope at the Skinakas Observatory in Crete, Greece. The RoboPol instrument measures the Stokes parameters $I, q = Q/I,$ and $u = U/I,$ simultaneously in a single exposure. It is used to monitor the optical linear polarization of blazars (Pavlidou et al., 2014), and observations are performed by an automated control system (King et al. 2014) that is capable of responding to target-of-opportunity events such as GRBs.

At 20:56:18 UT on 2013 October 30 the Swift BAT (Barthelmy 2004) triggered and located GRB 131030A. The afterglow was located at $23^h 00^m 16.1^s 3, -05\degr 22\arcmin 05.1^s$ (J2000) by the Swift Ultraviolet/Optical Telescope (GCN#15402). The duration over which 90 per cent of the 15–350 keV GRB photons were collected, $T_{90}$, was $41.1 \pm 4.0$ s and it had a fluence in the 15–150 keV band of $2.93 \pm 0.04 \times 10^{-6}$ erg cm$^{-2}$ (GCN#15456). The GRB occurred at a redshift of 1.293–1.295 (GCN#15407 and GCN#15408) and had an isotropic energy release of $E_{iso} = (3.0 \pm 0.2) \times 10^{53}$ erg (GCN#15413).

The RoboPol control system automatically responded to the GRB notification by interrupting the regular observing schedule and slewing to the location of the GRB afterglow. The telescope operator identified the afterglow and began taking exposures in the Johnson–Cousins R band at 2013 October 10 21:07:13 UT, 655 s after the GRB trigger. We continued monitoring the GRB afterglow in a series of exposures until it set below our observing horizon about 2 h after the GRB trigger. The mean polarization percentage from the 2 h observing period is $p = (2.1 \pm 1.6)$ per cent, and the mean polarization angle is $\chi = 27^\circ \pm 22^\circ$.

## 3 Is the Polarization Intrinsic?

The measured polarization of the GRB might be due to interstellar extinction in our Galaxy. We can estimate the expected level of induced polarization in the direction of the GRB from the level of Galactic extinction using the standard empirical relation from Serkowski, Mathewson & Ford (1975). According to the NASA Extragalactic Database the extinction in the direction of the GRB is $A_B = 0.208$ and $A_V = 0.157$, which gives $E(B-V) = 0.051$ mag (Schlafly & Finkbeiner 2011). The resulting level of stellar polarization is $P_{\max} \leq 9.0E(B-V)$, i.e., $\leq 0.5$ per cent, though this method is approximate.

To obtain a more accurate estimate of the scale of the interstellar scattering effect, we measured the linear polarization of four field stars around GRB 131030A in a separate series of exposures. We show in Fig. 3 a polarization vector map of the GRB and the field sources. The mean polarization fraction for the field sources is $(1.66 \pm 0.43)$ per cent, and the polarization vectors are well aligned, indicating an ordered magnetic field in the absorbing interstellar medium (ISM).

The high level of polarization of the field sources around GRB 131030A implies that the measured polarization is dominated by interstellar extinction rather than the intrinsic polarization of the GRB afterglow.

## 4 Interpretation

The GRB occurred at a redshift of 1.294, so in the rest frame we started observing $655/(1+z) = 285$ s after the GRB event, which
Table 1. RoboPol data for GRB131030A. \(t_m\) is the middle of the exposure time in the observer frame, in minutes since 2013 October 30 20:56:18 UT. \(t_e\) is the exposure duration. The uncertainties in the \(R\)-band magnitude, \(\sigma_R\), are dominated by a systematic uncertainty from the relative photometry fit.

| \(t_m\) (min) | \(t_e\) (s) | \(p\) (per cent) | \(\sigma_p\) | \(\chi\) (deg) | \(\sigma_\chi\) (deg) | \(R\) (mag) | \(\sigma_R\) (mag) |
|----------------|-------------|-------------------|-------------|--------------|---------------------|------------|----------------|
| 11.25          | 20          | 2.25              | 1.65        | 31.3         | 20.6                | 15.94      | 0.08           |
| 12.33          | 20          | 1.65              | 1.72        | 26.2         | 29.8                | 15.97      | 0.08           |
| 13.22          | 20          | 1.36              | 1.80        | 129.7        | 36.4                | 16.05      | 0.08           |
| 13.72          | 20          | 4.12              | 1.82        | 29.6         | 12.4                | 16.09      | 0.08           |
| 14.23          | 20          | 1.38              | 1.85        | 173.7        | 38.0                | 16.12      | 0.07           |
| 14.73          | 20          | 1.79              | 1.89        | 19.1         | 30.3                | 16.15      | 0.08           |
| 14.73          | 20          | 1.79              | 1.89        | 19.1         | 30.3                | 16.15      | 0.08           |
| 15.28          | 20          | 2.63              | 1.91        | 22.5         | 20.8                | 16.16      | 0.07           |
| 15.78          | 20          | 2.98              | 1.96        | 167.1        | 18.7                | 16.22      | 0.08           |
| 16.30          | 20          | 3.86              | 1.94        | 19.0         | 14.4                | 16.20      | 0.07           |
| 17.80          | 20          | 0.96              | 0.80        | 38.8         | 11.2                | 16.38      | 0.07           |
| 21.17          | 20          | 2.20              | 0.85        | 35.4         | 10.9                | 16.51      | 0.07           |
| 23.77          | 20          | 2.40              | 0.92        | 24.8         | 10.8                | 16.62      | 0.07           |
| 26.48          | 20          | 2.71              | 0.97        | 15.8         | 10.4                | 16.75      | 0.07           |

The X-ray Telescope (XRT; Burrows et al. 2005) started observing the GRB field 78.4 s\(^7\) after the trigger. The XRT light curve\(^8\) is shown in Fig. 4. At early times, the X-ray light curve brightens until \(\sim 50\) s (rest frame) after the burst, and then the X-ray afterglow decays steeply until \(\sim 150\) s. At later times, coincident with the RoboPol observations, the X-ray light curve declines as a single power law \(\propto t^{-1.01 \pm 0.02}\). The RoboPol optical light curve is also plotted in Fig. 4. The optical flux declines also as a single power law \(\propto t^{-0.78 \pm 0.02}\) (we have included in the optical band light curve later \(R\)-band photometry from GCN circulars \#15418\(^9\) and \#15423\(^10\)).

Since we observe a single, power-law decline from \(\sim 5\) up to \(\sim 55\) min (rest frame) after the burst, both in the optical and X-rays, the simplest explanation is that a single emitting component is responsible for the observed emission in both bands. This is most probably the forward-shock propagating in the external medium, and we observe the synchrotron emission from this shock.
consistent with this model if a fraction $\epsilon$ of the dissipated energy goes into non-thermal electrons, a fraction $\epsilon$ propagates through the ambient medium around GRB 131030A. The observed flux in the X-ray and optical bands is constant density with a profile similar to the ISM. The blast decelerates in a constant density medium and the cooling synchrotron break is between the optical and the X-ray bands $\sim 10^5–55$ min after the burst. The observed flux in the X-ray and optical bands is consistent with this model if a fraction $\epsilon_e \sim 0.1$ of the dissipated energy goes into non-thermal electrons, a fraction $\epsilon_B \sim 3 \times 10^{-4}$ goes into amplifying the magnetic field while the ambient density of the circumburst material is $n \sim 1$ cm$^{-3}$. These values are similar to those inferred in other bursts (e.g. Santana, Barniol Duran & Kumar 2014).

In general, the GRB afterglow emission is believed to consist mainly of the reverse- and forward-shock emission and other possible components (such as radiation related to jet reactivation). The reverse-shock emission may dominate at early times, i.e. comparable, or a few times longer that the duration $T_{90}$ of the burst (Kobayashi, Piran & Sari 1999; Mimica, Giannios & Aloy 2009, 2010) and may be strongly polarized, as in Mundell et al. (2013) and Steele et al. (2009). At later times, the forward shock is the primary candidate for emission, and its polarization may be much weaker as observed by Mundell et al. (2007). Our results support this view, indicating a disordered magnetic field in the shock front as it propagates through the ambient medium around GRB 131030A.

This result supports suggestions that the magnetic field is amplified by plasma instabilities on the shock front, which would produce strong magnetic fields with random directions, on scales much smaller than the total observable region of the shock (Medvedev & Loeb 1999). On the other hand, Uehara et al. (2012) observed a strong polarization signal of $\sim 10$ per cent from the early afterglow of GRB 091208B, when the observed emission was also dominated by the forward-shock emission. Their observation started $\sim 72$ s after the burst, and lasted for $\sim 550$ s (in the source rest frame). They measured an $R$-band flux decaying as $t^{-0.75}$, with the X-ray flux initially decaying as $t^{-0.1}$ and later steepening to $t^{-1}$. The first $\approx 200$ s of our observations overlap with the end of their observations in rest-frame time, during which time both the optical and X-ray light-curve decay rates are very similar. Therefore, if the same mechanism operates in all GRBs, then a very fast decline in optical polarization must take place, indicating a fast change in the mechanism that amplifies the strong magnetic fields in the jet of these sources. On the other hand, these mechanisms may not be the same in all GRBs. More optical polarization data from different GRBs, and on long time-scales, are needed in order to understand better the magnetic field structure in GRBs.
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