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We discuss an extension of the instanton-dyon liquid model that includes light quarks at finite chemical potential in the center symmetric phase. We develop the model in details for the case of SU(2)×SU(2) by mapping the theory on a 3-dimensional quantum effective theory. We analyze the different phases in the mean-field approximation. We extend this analysis to the general case of SUc(Nc)×SUf(Nf) and note that the chiral and diquark pairings are always comparable.
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I. INTRODUCTION

This work is a continuation of our earlier studies of the gauge topology in the confining phase of a theory with the simplest gauge group SU(2). We suggested that the confining phase below the transition temperature is an “instanton-dyon” (and anti-dyon) plasma which is dense enough to generate strong screening. The dense plasma is amenable to standard mean field methods.

The treatment of the gauge topology near and below Tc is based on the discovery of KvBLL instantons threaded by finite holonomies and their splitting into the so called instanton-dyons (anti-dyons), also known as instanton-monopoles or instanton-antiquarks. Diakov and Petrov and others suggested that the back reaction of the dyons on the holonomy potential at low temperature may be at the origin of the order-disorder transition of the Polyakov line. Their model was based on (parts of) the one-loop determinant providing the metric of the moduli spaces in BPS-protected sectors, purely selfdual or antiselfdual. The dyon-antidyon interaction is not BPS protected and appears at the leading – classical – level, related with the so called streamline configurations.

The dissociation of instantons into constituents was advocated by Zhitnitsky and others. Using controlled semi-classical techniques on S¹×R³, Usual and his collaborators have shown that the repulsive interactions between pairs of dyon-anti-dyon (bions) drive the holonomy effective potential to its symmetric (confining) value.

Since the instanton-dyons carry topological charge, they should have zero modes as well. On the other hand, for an arbitrary number of colors Nc those topological charges are fractional 1/Nc, while the number of zero modes must be integers. Therefore only some instanton-dyons may have zero modes. For general Nc and general periodicity angle of the fermions the answer is known but a bit involved. For SU(2) colors and physically anti-periodic fermions the twisted L dyons have zero modes, while the usual M-dyons do not. Preliminary studies of the dyon-antidyon vacuum in the presence of light quarks were developed in. In supersymmetric QCD some arguments were presented in.

In this work we would like to follow up on our recent studies by switching a finite chemical potential in the center symmetric phase of the instanton-dyon ensemble with light quarks. We will make use of a mean-field analysis to describe the interplay of the spontaneous breaking of chiral symmetry with color superconductivity through diquark pairing. One of the chief achievement of this work is to show how the induced chiral effective Lagrangian knows about confinement at finite µ. In particular, we detail the interplay between the spontaneous breaking of chiral symmetry, the pairing of diquarks and center symmetry.

Many model studies of QCD at finite density have shown a competition between pairing of quarks, chiral density waves and crystals at intermediate quark chemical potentials µ. We recall that for SUc(2) the diquarks are colorless baryons and massless by the extended flavor SUf(4) symmetry. Most of the models lack a first principle description of center symmetry at finite chemical potential. This concept is usually parametrized through a given effective potential for the Polyakov line as in the Polyakov-Nambu-Jona-Lasinio models. We recall that current and first principle lattice simulations at finite chemical potential are still plagued by the sign problem, with some progress on the bulk thermodynamics.

In section 2 we detail the model for two colors. By using a series of fermionization and bosonization techniques we show how the 3-dimensional effective action can be constructed to accommodate for the light quarks at finite µ. In section 3, we show that the equilibrium state at finite T, µ supports center symmetry but competing quark-antiquark or quark-quark pairing. In section 4, we generalize the results to arbitrary colors Nc. Our conclusions are in section 5. In Appendix A we briefly discuss the transition matrix in the string gauge. In Appendix B we estimate the transition matrix element in
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the hedgehog gauge. In Appendix C we give an alternative but equivalent mean-field formulation with a more transparent diagrammatic content.

II. EFFECTIVE ACTION WITH FERMIONS AT FINITE $\mu$

A. General setting

In the semi-classical approximation, the Yang-Mills partition function is assumed to be dominated by an interacting ensemble of instanton-dyons (anti-dyons). For inter-particle distances large compared to their sizes – or a very dilute ensemble – both the classical interactions and the one-loop effects are Coulomb-like. At distances of the order of the particle sizes the one-loop effects are encoded in the geometry of the moduli space of the ensemble. For multi-dyons a plausible moduli space was argued starting from the KvBLL caloron [3] that has a number of pertinent symmetries, among which permutation symmetry, overall charge neutrality, and clustering to KvBLL.

Specifically and for a fixed holonomy $A_4(\infty)/2\omega_0 = \nu\tau^3/2$ with $\omega_0 = \pi T$ and $\tau^3/2$ being the only diagonal color algebra generator, the SU(2) KvBLL instanton (anti-instanton) is composed of a pair of dyons labeled by $L, M$ (anti-dyons by $\bar{L}, \bar{M}$) in the notations of [4]. Generically there are $N_c - 1$ M-dyons and only one twisted L-dyon type. The SU(2) grand-partition function is

\[ Z_{\text{g}}[T] = \sum_{\{K\}} \prod_{iL=1}^{K_L} \prod_{iM=1}^{K_M} \prod_{i\bar{L}}^{K_{\bar{L}}} \prod_{i\bar{M}}^{K_{\bar{M}}} \times \int \frac{d^3x_{L\bar{L}}}{K_L!} \frac{d^3y_{L\bar{L}}}{K_{\bar{L}}!} \frac{d^3y_{M\bar{M}}}{K_M!} \frac{d^3y_{\bar{M}L}}{K_{\bar{M}}!} \times \det(G_x) \det(G_y) \det(T(x,y)) e^{-V_{DD}(x-y)} \]

(1)

Here $x_{n\bar{n}}$ and $y_{n\bar{n}}$ are the 3-dimensional coordinate of the i-dyon of m-kind and j-anti-dyon of n-kind. Here $G_x$ a $(K_L+K_M)^2$ matrix and $G_y$ a $(K_L+K_M)^2$ matrix whose explicit form are given in [4, 5]. $V_{DD}$ is the streamline interaction between $D = L,M$ dyons and $\bar{D} = \bar{L}, \bar{M}$ antidyons as numerically discussed in [6]. For the SU(2) case it is Coulombic asymptotically with a core at short distances [1].

The fermionic determinant $\det(T(x,y))$ determinant at finite chemical potential will be detailed below. The fugacities $f_i$ are related to the overall dyon density. The dyon density $n_{D\bar{D}}$ could be extracted from lattice measurements of the caloron plus anti-caloron densities at finite temperature in unquenched lattice simulations [21]. No such extraction are currently available at finite density. In many ways, the partition function for the dyon-anti-dyon ensemble resembles the partition function for the instanton-anti-instanton ensemble [3].

B. Quark zero modes at finite $\mu$

At finite $\mu$ the exact zero modes for the L-dyon (right) and $\bar{L}$-anti-dyon (left) in the hedgehog gauge are defined as $\varphi^A_\alpha = \eta^A_\alpha \epsilon_\beta \lambda^\alpha$ with indices $A$ for color and $\alpha$ for spinors. The normalizable M-dyon zero mode are periodic at finite $\mu$. The L-dyon zero modes are anti-periodic at finite $\mu$. At finite $T, \mu$ they play a dominant role in the instanton-dyon model with light quarks. Keeping in the time-dependence only the lowest Matsubara frequencies $\pm \omega_0$, their explicit form is

\[ \eta_R = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\xi = \pm} \alpha_\xi(r) S_+ (1 - \xi \sigma \cdot \hat{r}) e^{i\xi(\omega_0 x_4 + \alpha R)} \]

\[ \eta_L = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\xi = \pm} \alpha_\xi(r) S_- (1 + \xi \sigma \cdot \hat{r}) e^{i\xi(\omega_0 x_4 + \alpha L)} \]

(2)

with

\[ \alpha_{\pm}(r) = \frac{C e^{\pm i\mu r}}{\sqrt{(v \omega_0)^3}} \left( \frac{2i \mu}{v_0 \omega_0} + \left( e^{+2i\mu r} - \frac{2}{e^{v_0 \omega_0 r} + 1} \right) \right) \]

(3)

$C$ is an overall normalization constant and the SU(2) gauge rotation $S_\pm$ satisfies

\[ S_\pm(\sigma \cdot \hat{r}) S_\mp^\dagger = \pm \sigma_3 \]

(4)

translating from the hedgehog to the string gauge. In [2], $\alpha_{L,R}$ correspond to the rigid U(1) gauge rotations that leave the dyon color invariant. We have kept them as they do not drop in the hopping matrix elements below. The oscillating factors $e^{\pm 2i\mu r}$ are Friedel type oscillations. For $\mu = 0$, we recover the zero modes in [21, 11]. We have checked that the periodic M-dyon zero modes are in agreement with those obtained in [22]. The restriction to the lowest Matsubara frequencies makes the mean-field analysis to follow reliable in the range $\mu/3\omega_0 < 1$. Note that this truncation prevents the emergence of a Fermi-Dirac distribution.

C. Fermionic determinant at finite $\mu$

The fermionic determinant can be viewed as a sum of closed fermionic loops connecting all dyons and antidyons. Each link – or hopping – between L-dyons and L-anti-dyons is described by the elements of the “hopping chiral matrix” $T$. 


\[ T(x, y) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & iT_{ij} \\ iT_{ji} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \] (5)

with dimensionality \((K_L + K_L)^2\). Each of the entries in \(T_{ij}\) is a “hopping amplitude” for a fermion between an L-dyon and an L-anti-dyon, defined via the zero mode \(\varphi_D\) of the dyon and the zero mode \(\varphi_{\bar{D}}\) (of opposite chirality) of the anti-dyon

\[ T_{LR} = \int d^4x \varphi_L^+(x)i(\partial_4 - \mu - i\sigma \cdot \nabla)\varphi_R(x) \]
\[ T_{RL} = \int d^4x \varphi_R^+(x)i(\partial_4 - \mu + i\sigma \cdot \nabla)\varphi_L(x) \] (6)

And similarly for the other components. These matrix elements can be made explicit in the hedgehog gauge,

\[ T_{LR} = e^{i(\alpha_L - \alpha_R)} T(p) - e^{-i(\alpha_L - \alpha_R)} T^*(p) \]
\[ T_{RL} = e^{i(\alpha_R - \alpha_L)} T(p) - e^{-i(\alpha_R - \alpha_L)} T^*(p) \] (7)

with a complex \(T(p)\) at finite \(\mu\),

\[ T(p) = -\frac{1}{2} (\omega_0 + i\mu) \left( |f_1|^2 - |f_2'|^2 \right) - \text{Re} \left( f_1 f_2^* \right) \] (8)

Here \(f_{1,2} \equiv f_{1,2}(p)\) are the 3-dimensional Fourier transforms of \(f_1(r) = \alpha_-(r)\) and \(f_2(r) = \alpha_-(r)/r\). The transition matrix elements in the string gauge are more involved. Their explicit form is discussed in Appendix A. Throughout, we will make use of the hopping matrix elements in the hedgehog gauge as the numerical difference between the two is small [2] on average as we show in Appendix A.

D. Bosonic fields

Following [1, 2, 4] the moduli determinants in (1) can be fermionized using 4 pairs of ghost fields \(\chi_{L,M}, \chi_{\bar{L},\bar{M}}\) for the dyons and 4 pairs of ghost fields \(\chi_{L,\bar{M}}, \chi_{\bar{L},M}\) for the anti-dyons. The ensuing Coulomb factors from the determinants are then bosonized using 4 boson fields \(v_{L,M}, w_{L,M}\) for the dyons and similarly for the anti-dyons. The result is

\[ S_{1F}[\chi, v, w] = -\frac{T}{4\pi} \int d^3x \left( (\nabla \chi_L)^2 + (\nabla \chi_{\bar{M}})^2 + (\nabla v_{L})^2 - (\nabla w_{L})^2 \right) + \left( (\nabla \chi_{\bar{L}})^2 + (\nabla \chi_M)^2 + (\nabla v_{\bar{L}})^2 - (\nabla w_{\bar{L}})^2 \right) \] (9)

For the interaction part \(V_{D\bar{D}}\), we note that the pair Coulomb interaction in [1] between the dyons and anti-dyons can also be bosonized using standard methods [23, 24] in terms of \(\sigma\) and \(b\) fields. As a result each dyon species acquire additional fugacity factors such that

\[ M : e^{-b-i\sigma} \quad L : e^{b+i\sigma} \quad \bar{M} : e^{-b+i\sigma} \quad \bar{L} : e^{b-i\sigma} \] (10)

Therefore, there is an additional contribution to the free part (9)

\[ S_{2F}[\sigma, b] = \frac{T}{8} \int d^3x \left( \nabla \sigma \cdot \nabla \sigma - \nabla \sigma \cdot \nabla \sigma \right) \] (11)

and the interaction part is now

\[ S_I[v, w, b, \sigma, \chi] = -\int d^3x \left( e^{-b-i\sigma} f_M (4\pi |v_m + |\chi_M - \chi_L|^2 + v_M - v_L) e^{w_M - w_L} + e^{b+i\sigma} f_L (4\pi |v_l + |\chi_L - \chi_{\bar{M}}|^2 + v_L - v_{\bar{L}}) e^{w_L - w_{\bar{M}}} \right) \] (12)

without the fermions. We now show the minimal modifications to (12) when the fermionic determinantal interaction is present.

E. Fermionic fields

To fermionize the determinant and for simplicity, consider first the case of 1 flavor an 1 Matsubara frequency, and define the additional Grassmannians \(\chi = (\chi_1, \chi_2)\) with \(i, j = 1, ..., K_L, \bar{L}\) and

\[ \left\{ \det T \right\} = \int D[\chi] e^{\chi^\dagger \tilde{T} \chi} \] (13)

We can re-arrange the exponent in (13) by defining a Grassmannian source \(J(x) = (J_1(x), J_2(x))^T\) with

\[ J_1(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{K_L} \chi_1^i \delta^3(x - x_{Li}) \]
\[ J_2(x) = \sum_{j=1}^{K_{\bar{L}}} \chi_2^j \delta^3(x - y_{Lj}) \] (14)

and by introducing 2 additional fermionic fields \(\psi(x) = (\psi_1(x), \psi_2(x))^T\). Thus

\[ e^{\chi^\dagger \tilde{T} \chi} = \int D[\psi] \exp (-\int \psi^\dagger \tilde{G} \psi + \int J^\dagger \psi + \int \psi^\dagger J) \] (15)

with \(\tilde{G}\) a \(2 \times 2\) chiral block matrix
\[
\mathbf{G} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \mathbf{G}(x,y) \\ -i \mathbf{G}(x,y) & 0 \end{pmatrix}
\]  
(16)

with entries \(TG = 1\). The Grassmannian source contributions in (15) generates a string of independent exponents for the \(L\)-dyons and \(\bar{L}\)-anti-dyons

\[
\prod_{i=1}^{K_L} e^{\chi_i(x_L) + \psi_i(x_L)} + \prod_{j=1}^{K_L} e^{\chi_j(y_{\bar{L}}) + \psi_j(y_{\bar{L}})}
\]  
(17)

The Grassmannian integration over the \(\chi_i\) in each factor in (17) is now readily done to yield

\[
\prod_i \left[-\psi_1^i \psi_{1L}(x_Li)\right] \prod_j \left[-\psi_2^j \psi_{2\bar{L}}(y_{\bar{L}j})\right]
\]  
(18)

for the \(L\)-dyons and \(\bar{L}\)-anti-dyons. The net effect of the additional fermionic determinant in (1) is to shift the \(L\)-dyon and \(\bar{L}\)-anti-dyon fugacities in (12) through

\[
f_L \rightarrow -f_L \psi_1^1 \psi_1 = -f_L \psi_1^1 \gamma_+ \psi
\]

\[
f_L \rightarrow -f_L \psi_2^1 \psi_2 = -f_L \psi_1^1 \gamma_- \psi
\]  
(19)

where we have now identified the chiralities through \(\gamma_\pm = (1 \pm \gamma_5)/2\). The fugacities \(f_{M,\bar{M}}\) are left unchanged since they do not develop zero modes.

The result (19) generalizes to arbitrary number of flavors \(N_f\) and two Matsubara frequencies labeled by \(i, j = \pm\) through the substitution

\[
f_L \rightarrow \prod_{f=1}^{N_f} \prod_{i=\pm} \psi_f^i (i_f) \gamma_+ \psi_f (j_f) \delta \left( \sum_f (i_f + j_f) \right)
\]

\[
f_L \rightarrow \prod_{f=1}^{N_f} \prod_{i=\pm} \psi_f^i (i_f) \gamma_- \psi_f (j_f) \delta \left( \sum_f (i_f + j_f) \right)
\]  
(20)

F. Resolving the constraints

In terms of (9)(12) and the substitution (19), the dyon-anti-dyon partition function (11) for finite \(N_f\) can be exactly re-written as an interacting effective field theory in 3-dimensions,

\[
Z_1[T] = \int D[\psi] D[\phi] D[v] D[w] D[\sigma] D[b] e^{-S_1 - S_2 - S_t - S_\psi}
\]  
(21)

with the additional \(N_f = 1\) chiral fermionic contribution \(S_\psi = \psi^\dagger \mathbf{G} \psi\). Since the effective action in (21) is linear in the \(v_{M,L,\bar{M},\bar{L}}\), the latters integrate to give the following constraints

\[
-\frac{T}{4\pi} \nabla^2 w_M + f_M e^{w_M - w_L}
\]

\[
-f_L \prod_f \psi_f^1 \gamma_+ \psi_f e^{w_L - w_M} = \frac{T}{4\pi} \nabla^2 (b - i\sigma)
\]

\[
-\frac{T}{4\pi} \nabla^2 w_L - f_M e^{w_M - w_L}
\]

\[
+f_L \prod_f \psi_f^1 \gamma_+ \psi_f e^{w_L - w_M} = 0
\]  
(22)

and similarly for the anti-dyons with \(M, L, \gamma_+ \rightarrow M, L, \gamma_-\). To proceed further the formal classical solutions to the constraint equations or \(w_{M,L}[\sigma,b]\) should be inserted back into the 3-dimensional effective action. The result is

\[
Z_1[T] = \int D[\psi] D[\phi] D[b] e^{-S}
\]  
(23)

with the 3-dimensional effective action

\[
S = S_F[\sigma,b] + \int d^3 x \sum_f \psi_f^\dagger \mathbf{G} \psi_f
\]

\[
+ 4\pi f_M v_M \int d^3 x \left(e^{w_M - w_L} + e^{w_L - w_M}\right)
\]

\[
+ 4\pi f_L v_L \int d^3 x \prod_f \psi_f^1 \gamma_+ \psi_f e^{w_L - w_M}
\]

\[
+ 4\pi f_L v_L \int d^3 x \prod_f \psi_f^1 \gamma_- \psi_f e^{w_L - w_M}
\]  
(24)

Here \(S_F\) is \(S_{2F}\) in (11) plus additional contributions resulting from the \(w_{M,L}[\sigma,b]\) solutions to the constraint equations (22) after their insertion back. This procedure for the linearized approximation of the constraint was discussed in (12) for the case without fermions.

III. EQUILIBRIUM STATE

To analyze the ground state and the fermionic fluctuations we bosonize the fermions in (23) by introducing the identities

\[
\int D[\Sigma_1] \delta \left( \psi_f^1 (x) \psi_f (x) + 4\Sigma_1 (x) \right) = 1
\]  
(25)

\[
\int D[\Sigma_2] \delta \left( \frac{1}{2} (\epsilon_f \psi_f^T (x) \psi_f (x) - c.c.) + 4i \Sigma_2 (x) \right) = 1
\]

and re-exponentiating them to obtain
\[
Z_1[T] = \int D[\psi] D[\sigma] D[b] D[\tilde{\Sigma}] D[\tilde{\lambda}] e^{-S - S_C} 
\]  
(26)

with

\[
-S_C = \int d^3x i\lambda_1(x)(\psi_f^\dagger(x)\psi_f(x) + 4\Sigma_1(x)) 
+ \int d^3x i\lambda_2(x)\left(\frac{1}{2} (\epsilon_{fg}\psi_f^\dagger(x)\psi_g(x) - c.c.) + 4i\Sigma_2(x)\right) 
\]  
(27)

The ground state is parity even so that both sets \(f_{LM} = \bar{f}_{LM}\). By translational invariance, the SU(2) ground state corresponds to constant \(\sigma, b, \tilde{\Sigma}, \tilde{\lambda}\). We will seek the extrema of \(\Sigma, \tilde{\lambda}\) with finite condensates in the mean-field approximation, i.e.,

\[
\langle \psi_f^\dagger(x)\psi_g(x) \rangle = -2\delta_{fg}\sigma_1 \\
\langle \psi_f^\dagger(x)\psi_f(x) \rangle = -2i\epsilon_{fg}\sigma_2 
\]  
(28)

With this in mind, the classical solutions to the constraint equations \(\frac{22}{22}\) are also constant

\[
f_M e^{w_M - w_L} = f_L \left(\prod_f \psi_f^\dagger \gamma_+ \psi_f\right) e^{w_L - w_M} 
\]  
(29)

with

\[
\left(\prod_f \psi_f^\dagger \gamma_+ \psi_f\right) = (\Sigma_1^2 + \Sigma_2^2) = \sqrt{\Sigma_1^2 + \Sigma_2^2} 
\]  
(30)

and similarly for the anti-dyons. The expectation values in \(\frac{29}{29}\) are carried in \(\frac{26}{26}\) in the mean-field approximation through Wick contractions. Here we note that both the chiral pairing \(\Sigma_1\) and diquark pairing \(\Sigma_2\) are of equal strength in the instanton-dyon liquid model. The chief reason is that the pairing mechanism goes solely through the KK- or L-zero modes which are restricted to the affine root of the color group. With this in mind, the solution to \(\frac{29}{29}\) is

\[
e^{w_M - w_L} = |\tilde{\Sigma}| \left(\frac{f_L}{f_M}\right)^\frac{3}{2} 
\]  
(31)

and similarly for the anti-dyons.

### A. Effective potential

The effective potential \(\mathcal{V}\) for constant fields follows from \(\frac{26}{26}\) by enforcing the delta-function constraint \(\frac{25}{25}\) before variation (strong constraint) and parity

\[
-\mathcal{V}/\mathcal{V}_3 = -4\bar{\Sigma} \cdot \tilde{\Sigma} + 4\pi f_M v_m (e^{w_M - w_L} + e^{w_L - w_M}) + 4\pi f_L v_l \bar{\Sigma}^2 (e^{w_L - w_M} + e^{w_M - w_L}) 
\]  
(32)

after shifting \(\lambda_1 \to -i\lambda_1\) for convenience, with \(\mathcal{V}_3\) the 3-volume. For fixed holonomies \(v_{m,l}\), the constant \(w\)'s are real by \(\frac{22}{22}\) as all right hand sides vanish, and the extrema of \(\frac{32}{32}\) occur for

\[
e^{w_M - w_L} = \pm|\tilde{\Sigma}| \sqrt{f_L v_l / f_M v_m} \\
e^{w_M - w_L} = \pm|\tilde{\Sigma}| \sqrt{f_L v_l / f_M v_m} 
\]  
(33)

are consistent with \(\frac{29}{29}\) only if \(v_l = v_m = 1/2\) and \(\bar{v_l} = v_m = -1/2\). That is for confining holonomies or a center symmetric ground state. Thus

\[
-\mathcal{V}/\mathcal{V}_3 = \alpha |\tilde{\Sigma}| - 4 \bar{\lambda} \cdot \tilde{\Sigma} 
\]  
(34)

with \(\alpha = 4\pi\sqrt{f_L f_M}\). We note that for \(\tilde{\Sigma} = 0\) there are no solutions to the extrema equations. Since \(\tilde{\Sigma} = 0\) means a zero chiral or quark condensate (see below), we conclude that in this model of the dyon-anti-dyon liquid with light quarks, center symmetry is restored only if both the chiral and superconducting condensates vanish.

### B. Gap equations

For the vacuum solution, the auxiliary field \(\tilde{\lambda}\) is also a constant. The fermionic fields in \(\frac{26}{26}\) can be integrated out. The result is a new contribution to the potential \(\frac{31}{31}\)

\[
-\mathcal{V}/\mathcal{V}_3 \sim \alpha |\tilde{\Sigma}| - 4 \bar{\lambda} \cdot \tilde{\Sigma} + 2 \int \frac{d^3p}{(2\pi)^3} \ln \left(\left(1 + \bar{\lambda}^2 |\mathbf{T}(p)|^2 \right)^2 - 4\bar{\lambda}^2 |\text{Im}\mathbf{T}(p)|^2\right) 
\]  
(35)

The saddle point of \(\frac{35}{35}\) in \(\tilde{\Sigma}\) is achieved for parallel vectors

\[
\bar{\lambda} = \frac{\alpha}{4 |\tilde{\Sigma}|} \equiv \lambda (\cos \theta, \sin \theta) 
\]  
(36)

Inserting \(\frac{36}{36}\) into the effective potential \(\frac{35}{35}\) yields

\[
-\mathcal{V}/\mathcal{V}_3 = \int \frac{d^3p}{(2\pi)^3} \times \ln \left(\left(1 + \lambda^2 |\mathbf{T}(p)|^2 \right)^2 - 4\lambda^2 \cos^2 \theta |\text{Im}\mathbf{T}(p)|^2\right) 
\]  
(37)
with \( \lambda = \alpha / 4 \) now fixed. \( (37) \) admits 4 pairs of discrete extrema satisfying \( \delta \mathcal{V} / \delta \theta = 0 \) with \( \cos \theta = 0, 1 \). The extrema carry the pressure per 3-volume

\[
-\mathcal{V}_{0,1}/\mathcal{V}_3 = 2 \int \frac{d^3p}{(2\pi)^3} \times \ln \left( \left( 1 + \lambda^2 |\mathbf{T}(p)|^2 \right)^2 - 4\lambda^2 (0, 1)|\text{Im} \mathbf{T}(p)|^2 \right)
\]

(38)

We note \( \text{Im} \mathbf{T} = 0 \) in \( (35) \) for \( \mu = 0 \). The effective potential has manifest extended flavor \( SU_f(4) \) symmetry which is spontaneously broken by the saddle point \( (36) \). Since zero \( \mu \) cannot support the breaking of \( U(1)_V \), this phase is characterized by a finite chiral condensate and a zero diquark condensate. For \( \mu \neq 0 \), we have \( \text{Im} \mathbf{T} \neq 0 \) in \( (35) \). The effective potential loses manifest \( SU_f(4) \) symmetry. While the saddle point \( (36) \) indicates the possibility of either a chiral or diquark condensate, \( (35) \) shows that the diquark phase is favored by a larger pressure since \( \mathcal{V}_0 > \mathcal{V}_1 \). The \( \mu > 0 \) is a superconducting phase of confined baryons.

The chiral and diquark condensates follow from the definitions \( (28) \) and the saddle point \( (36) \), which are

\[
\left( \frac{\langle qq \rangle}{T}, -\frac{\langle \bar{q}q \rangle}{T} \right) = -2(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)
\]

(39)

For \( \mu = 0 \) we have \( \lambda_2 = 0 \) and \( \langle \bar{q}q \rangle / T = -\alpha / 2 \), while for \( \mu \neq 0 \) we have \( \lambda_1 = 0 \) and \( \langle qq \rangle / T = \alpha / 2 \), with \( \alpha = 4\pi \sqrt{f_L f_M} \) which is independent of \( \mu \).

C. Constituent quark mass and scalar gap

In the paired phase with \( \lambda_1 = 0 \), the momentum-dependent constituent quark mass \( M(p) \) can be defined using the determinant \( (38) \) to be

\[
M(p) = \lambda \left( \omega_0^2 + p^2 \right)^{1/2} |\mathbf{T}(p)|
\]

(40)

In Fig. \( 1 \) we show the behavior of the dimensionless mass ratio \( (M(p)/\lambda/\omega_0)^2 \) as a function of \( p/\omega_0 \). The oscillatory behavior is a remnant of the Friedel oscillation noted earlier. We note that \( (40) \) through \( (27) (28) \) satisfies

\[
\int \frac{d^3p}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{M^2(p)}{\omega_0^2 + p^2 + M^2(p)} = \frac{n_D}{8}
\]

(41)

with \( n_D = 8\pi \sqrt{f_L f_M} \).

The superconducting mass gap \( \Delta(0)/2 \) can be obtained by fluctuating along the modulus of the paired quark \( qq \). This is achieved through a small and local scalar deformation of the type \( \lambda_2(x) \approx \lambda (1 + i s(x)) \), for which the effective action to quadratic order is

\[
\mathcal{S}[s] = \frac{2N_f}{2f_s} \int \frac{d^3p}{(2\pi)^3} s(p) \frac{1}{\Delta_s(p)} s(-p)
\]

(42)

For \( x \neq 1 \), the scalar propagator is \( (p_\pm = q \pm \frac{p}{2}) \)

\[
\frac{1}{\Delta_s(p)} = \frac{x}{1-x} \frac{n_D}{N_c} + \int \frac{d^3q}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{(p_- M_+ + p_+ M_-)^2}{(p_+^2 + M_+^2)(p_-^2 + M_-^2)}
\]

(43)

while for \( x = 1 \) it is

\[
\frac{1}{\Delta_s(p)} = 2 \int \frac{d^3q}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{M_+(p_- p_- - M_- M_+)}{(p_-^2 + M_-^2)(p_+^2 + M_+^2)}
\]

(45)

Here we have defined \( M_\pm = \lambda |\mathbf{T}(p_\pm)| \), and therefore \( \Delta(0) = \Delta_s(0)/\Delta_s(0) \).

IV. GENERALIZATION TO \( SU_c(N_c) \times SU_f(N_f) \)

For general \( N_c \) with \( x = N_f/N_c \), the pairing in \( (28) \) involves only those color indices commensurate with the affine root of \( SU_c(N_c) \) through their corresponding KK- or L-zero modes. This leaves \( (N_c - 2) \) colors with energy \( \omega_0 \) unpaired. As a result, the non-perturbative pressure per unit 3-volume of the paired colored quarks \( (35) \) is now changed to

\[
-\mathcal{V}/\mathcal{V}_3 = \alpha |\Sigma|^2 - 4\tilde{\lambda} \cdot \tilde{\Sigma}
\]

\[
+ N_f \int \frac{d^3p}{(2\pi)^3} \ln \left( \left( 1 + \tilde{\lambda}^2 |\mathbf{T}(p)|^2 \right)^2 - 4\lambda^2 |\text{Im} \mathbf{T}(p)|^2 \right)
\]

(46)

with now instead \( \alpha = 4\pi (f_L f_M N_c^{-1}) \). The extrema in \( \tilde{\Sigma} \) still yield parallel vectors

\[
\tilde{\lambda} = \lambda (\cos \theta, \sin \theta)
\]

\[
\tilde{\Sigma} = \Sigma (\cos \theta, \sin \theta)
\]

(47)

for which \( (46) \) simplifies.
while the saddle point in $\Sigma$ gives

$$\lambda = \frac{\alpha}{4} x^{\Sigma_x - 1}$$

while the saddle point in $\theta$ gives $\cos \theta = 0, 1$. The latter yields the respective pressure per volume

$$-\nu_{0,1}/\nu_3 = \alpha \left( \frac{4}{\alpha x} \right)^{\frac{x}{2}} (1 - x) \lambda^{\frac{x}{2}-1}$$

$$+ N_f \ln \left( (1 + \lambda^2 |T(p)|^2)^2 - 4 \lambda^2 \cos^2 \theta |\text{Im} T(p)|^2 \right)$$

For $\mu = 0$, we have $\nu_0 = \nu_1$ and both the chiral and diquark phase are degenerate. Since the $\mu = 0$ phase cannot break $U(1)_V$, the chiral phase with a pion as a Goldstone mode is favored. For $\mu > 0$, $\nu_0 > \nu_1$, the diquark phase is favored by the largest pressure. Since the phase is center symmetric, this implies that the baryon chemical potential $\mu_B$ satisfies $\mu_B \equiv N_c \mu > (N_c - 2) \omega_0$. The transition from the chiral phase to the diquark phase is first order.

V. CONCLUSIONS

We have extended the mean field treatment of the SU(2) instanton-dyon model with light quarks in [1] to finite chemical potential $\mu$. In Euclidean space, finite $\mu$ enters through $i \mu$ in the Dirac equation. The anti-periodic KK- or L-dyon zero modes are calculated for the lowest Matsubara frequencies. The delocalization occurs only through the KK- or L-dyon zero modes which implies that the diquark pairing and the chiral pairing have equal strength whatever $N_c$. Therefore, the instanton-dyon liquid may not support chiral density waves [15]. The di-quark phase is favored for $\mu > (1 - 2/N_c) \omega_0$ under the additional stricture of center symmetry. A useful improvement on this work would be a re-analysis of the KK- or L-zero modes including all Matsubara frequencies.
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VII. APPENDIX A: FERMIONIC HOPPING IN THE STRING GAUGE AT FINITE $\mu$

In this Appendix we detail the form of the hopping matrix in the string gauge. We will show that the difference with the hopping matrix element in the hedgehog gauge [5] used in the main text is (numerically) small.

We transform the L-zero modes in hedgehog gauge [2] to the string gauge using the $(\theta, \phi)$ polar parametrization of $S_4$,

$$\psi_{L^1}^{a=1} = e^{-i \omega x \chi} (-\sin \frac{\theta}{2} e^{-i \phi}, \cos \frac{\theta}{2}) \alpha_+(r)$$

$$\psi_{L^1}^{a=2} = e^{+i \omega x \chi} (-\cos \frac{\theta}{2} e^{+i \phi}, -\sin \frac{\theta}{2}) \alpha_-(r)$$

and similarly for the $\bar{L}$-dyon

$$\psi_{\bar{L}^1}^{a=1} = e^{-i \omega x \chi} (-\cos \frac{\theta}{2} \sin \frac{\theta}{2} e^{i \phi}) \alpha_-(r)$$

$$\psi_{\bar{L}^1}^{a=2} = e^{+i \omega x \chi} (-\sin \frac{\theta}{2} e^{-i \phi}, -\cos \frac{\theta}{2}) \alpha_+(r)$$

with $\alpha_+(r)$ defined in [3]. In terms of [50-51] the hopping matrix element [5] involves the relative angular orientation $\theta$ (not to be confused with $\theta$ used in the text). It is in general numerically involved.

To gain further insights and simplify physically the numerical analysis, let $l_{xy}$ be the line segment connecting $x$ to $y$ in [5] and let $z$ lies on it. Since the zero modes decay exponentially, the dominant $z$-contribution to the integral in [5] stems from those $z$ with the smallest $|x-z| + |y-z|$ contribution. Using rotational symmetry, we can set $x = 0$ and $y = (r, \theta, 0)$ in spherical coordinates. The dominant contributions are from $\theta_{x-z} = \theta, \phi_{x-z} = 0$, and $\theta_{y-z} = \pi - \theta, \phi_{y-z} = -\pi$ which can be viewed as constant in the integral. With this in mind, [5] in string gauge reads

$$-T_{LB}^{L_1}(x-y) =$$

$$\frac{\omega_0 + i \mu}{2} \int d^3 z \, \alpha^*_+(|x-z|) \alpha_+ (|y-z|)$$

$$-\frac{1}{2} \left( 1 + \frac{\cos^2 \theta - \cos \theta}{2} \right)$$

$$\times \text{Re} \int d^3 z \, \alpha^*_+(|x-z|) \frac{\alpha_+ (|y-z|) + \alpha_+ (|y-z|)}{|y-z|}$$

(52)
we will use the dimensionless redefinitions \( \mu \) is due to the first contribution in the hedgehog gauge. The dominant contribution in (54) is due to the first contribution \(|\alpha_+|^2\) which is common to both gauge fixing. A similar observation was made in [2] for the case of \( \mu = 0 \).

VIII. APPENDIX B: ESTIMATE OF THE FERMIONIC HOPPING IN THE HEDGEHOG GAUGE AT FINITE \( \mu \)

In this Appendix, we will estimate the fermionic hopping matrix element \([3, 4]\) by using the asymptotic form of the L-dyon zero mode at finite \( \mu \) \([3, 4]\). Throughout we will use the dimensionless redefinitions \( \mu \to \mu/\omega_0 \) and \( p \to p/\omega_0 \). The normalization in \([2]\) is fixed with

\[
C = \omega_0^3 (8\pi(1 + 4\mu^2))^{1/2}
\]

With this in mind, \([3, 4]\) reads

\[
T(p) \approx -\frac{1}{2} \left( (\omega_0 + i\mu)|\alpha_+(p)|^2 - \frac{5}{4} \text{Re}(\alpha_+(p)\tilde{\alpha}_+(p)) \right)
\]

with \( \tilde{\alpha}(r) = (r\alpha_+(r))^*/r \). \([54]\) is to be compared to \([\ref{54}]\) in the hedgehog gauge. The dominant contribution in \([54]\) is due to the first contribution \(|\alpha_+|^2\) which is common to both gauge fixing. A similar observation was made in [2] for the case of \( \mu = 0 \).

More explicitly, define

\[
a(p) = \frac{\sqrt{2}\pi \sin \left( \frac{\pi}{2} \tan^{-1}(2p) \right)}{(4p^2 + 1)^{3/4}}
\]

\[
b(p) = \frac{\sqrt{2}\pi \cos \left( \frac{\pi}{2} \tan^{-1}(2p) \right)}{(4p^2 + 1)^{3/4}}
\]

\[
A(p) = \frac{2\sqrt{\pi}p}{\sqrt{4p^2 + 1}}
\]

\[
B(p) = \frac{\sqrt{\pi} \sqrt{4p^2 + 1} + 1}{\sqrt{4p^2 + 1}}
\]

Then we have

\[
a_1(p) = \frac{1}{p} \left( \mu(b(p - \mu) - b(p + \mu)) - \frac{1}{2}(a(p + \mu) + a(p - \mu)) \right)
\]

\[
a_2(p) = \frac{1}{p} \left( \mu(a(p - \mu) + a(p + \mu)) - \frac{1}{2}(b(p + \mu) - b(p - \mu)) \right)
\]

\[
A_1(p) = \frac{1}{p} \left( \mu(B(p - \mu) - B(p + \mu)) - \frac{1}{2}(A(p + \mu) + A(p - \mu)) \right)
\]

\[
A_2(p) = \frac{1}{p} \left( \mu(A(p - \mu) + A(p + \mu)) - \frac{1}{2}(B(p + \mu) - B(p - \mu)) \right)
\]

We note the momentum averaged hopping strengths

\[
\mu = 0 : \quad \int \frac{d^3p}{(2\pi)^3} |T(p)|^2 \approx \frac{4.86}{\omega_0}
\]

\[
\mu = \omega_0 : \quad \int \frac{d^3p}{(2\pi)^3} |T(p)|^2 \approx \frac{0.98}{\omega_0}
\]

and the typical hopping strengths at zero momentum is

\[
\mu = 0 : \quad |T(0)|^2 \approx \frac{307.97}{T^4}
\]

\[
\mu = \omega_0 : \quad |T(0)|^2 \approx \frac{0.20}{T^4}
\]

We note the huge reduction in hopping at \( \mu = \omega_0 \).

IX. APPENDIX C: ALTERNATIVE EFFECTIVE ACTION AT FINITE \( T; \mu \)

In this Appendix, we detail an alternate mean-field analysis of the instanton-dyon ensemble at finite \( T; \mu \).
The construction is more transparent for a diagrammatic interpretation and allows for the use of many-body techniques beyond the mean-field limit. For that, we set $N_f = 2$ and define

$$\langle \psi_f(p) \psi_f^\dagger(-p) \rangle = \delta_{fg} F_1(p) \quad (63)$$

$$\langle \psi_f(p) \psi_f^\dagger(-p) \rangle = i \epsilon_{fg} F_2(p) \quad (64)$$

with $p = (\vec{p}, \pm \omega_0)$ subsumed. The averaging is assumed over the instanton-dyon ensemble, with

$$\Sigma_{1,2} = \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr} F_{1,2} \quad (65)$$

The Trace is carried over the dummy spin indices and momentum. The 3-dimensional effective action for the momentum dependent spin matrices $F_{1,2}$ in the mean-field approximation takes the generic form

$$-\Gamma[F] = \alpha \left( \left( \frac{\text{Tr} F_1}{2} \right)^2 + \left( \frac{\text{Tr} F_2}{2} \right)^2 \right) \frac{1}{\pi} \quad (66)$$

$$+ 2 \text{Tr} G F_1 - \text{Tr} \ln \left( F_2^2 + F_3 F_1^T F_2^{-1} F_1 \right) \quad (67)$$

yield the saddle point results in the main text.

The first contribution is the Hartree-Fock type contribution to the effective potential after minimizing with respect to $(w_M - w_L)$. The second and third contributions are from the fermionic loop with the fermion propagator evaluated in the mean-field approximation. We note that in the dyon ensemble both the quark-quark pairing and the quark-anti-quark pairing carry equal weight in the Hartree-Fock term. This is not the case for one-gluon exchange or the instanton liquid model where the quark-anti-quark pairing is $1/N_c$ suppressed in comparison to the quark-anti-quark pairing. We have checked that the saddle point equations

$$\delta \Gamma[F] = 0 \quad (67)$$
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$$\delta \Gamma[F] = 0 \quad (67)$$
[18] C. Ratti, S. Roessner, M. A. Thaler and W. Weise, Eur. Phys. J. C 49, 213 (2007) [hep-ph/0609218]; S. Roessner, C. Ratti and W. Weise, Phys. Rev. D 75, 034007 (2007) [hep-ph/0609281]; H. Abuki and K. Fukushima, Phys. Lett. B 676, 57 (2009) [arXiv:0901.4821 [hep-ph]]. D. Scheffler, M. Buballa and J. Wambach, Acta Phys. Polon. Supp. 5, 971 (2012) [arXiv:1111.3839 [hep-ph]].

[19] I. Barbour, N. E. Behilil, E. Dagotto, F. Karsch, A. Moreo, M. Stone and H. W. Wyld, Nucl. Phys. B 275, 296 (1986), J. Han and M. A. Stephanov, Phys. Rev. D 78, 054507 (2008) [arXiv:0805.1939 [hep-lat]].

[20] Z. Fodor, S. D. Katz and C. Schmidt, JHEP 0703, 121 (2007) [hep-lat/0701022].

[21] V. G. Bornyakov, E.-M. Ilgenfritz, B. V. Martemyanov and M. Muller-Preussker, Phys. Rev. D 91, no. 7, 074505 (2015) [arXiv:1410.4632 [hep-lat]]; V. G. Bornyakov, E.-M. Ilgenfritz, B. V. Martemyanov and M. Muller-Preussker, arXiv:1512.03217 [hep-lat].

[22] F. Bruckmann, R. Rodl and T. Sulejmanpasic, Phys. Rev. D 88, 054501 (2013) [arXiv:1305.1241 [hep-ph]].

[23] A. M. Polyakov, Nucl. Phys. B 120, 429 (1977).

[24] M. Kacir, M. Prakash and I. Zahed, Acta Phys. Polon. B 30, 287 (1999) [hep-ph/9602314].