DETECTION OF A VERY BRIGHT OPTICAL FLARE FROM THE GAMMA-RAY BURST GRB 050904 AT REDSHIFT 6.29
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ABSTRACT

In this Letter, we discuss the flux and the behavior of the bright optical flare emission detected by the 25 cm TAROT robotic telescope during the prompt high-energy emission and the early afterglow. We combine our data with simultaneous observations performed in X-rays, and we analyze the broadband spectrum. These observations lead us to emphasize the similarity of GRB 050904 with GRB 990123, a remarkable gamma-ray burst whose optical emission reached 9th magnitude. While GRB 990123 was, until now, considered as a unique event, this observation suggests the existence of a population of GRBs that have very large isotropic equivalent energies and extremely bright optical counterparts. The luminosity of these GRBs is such that they are easily detectable through the entire universe. Since we can detect them to very high redshift even with small-aperture telescopes like TAROT, they will constitute powerful tools for the exploration of the high-redshift universe and might be used to probe the first generation of stars.

Subject heading: gamma rays: bursts

Online material: gamma rays: bursts

1. INTRODUCTION

Gamma-ray bursts (GRBs) are powerful stellar explosions that are easily detectable as they are accompanied by a burst of high-energy photons usually lasting several seconds. The high-energy emission occurs within a jet of ultrarelativistic material ejected toward the Earth by the exploding star. Late afterglow emission is explained as the forward shock component of the external shock produced by the interaction of the expanding fireball with the external medium. Very early optical emission is thought to be an effect of the reverse shock component of the external shock. Alternatively, it can be explained as the low-energy tail of the high-energy emission of the internal shock (Mészáros & Rees 1999; Katz 1994).

The event of 2005 September 4 (GRB 050904) was detected by the Swift Burst Alert Telescope (BAT) experiment at 01:51:44 UT (Cusumano et al. 2005). The alert was distributed via the Gamma-ray burst Coordinate Network (GCN) at 01:53:05 UT. Five seconds later, TAROT (Telescope Action Rapide pour les Objets Transitoires—Rapid Action Telescope for Transient Objects; Boër et al. 1999), a 25 cm robotic telescope located on the plateau du Calern in southern France, started to observe the field. In the meantime, Swift slewed to the source direction. Observations with its narrow-field instruments (the X-Ray Telescope [XRT] and the UV/Optical Telescope) started about 160 s after the burst triggered the instrument. The Burst Observer and Optical Transient Exploring System (BOOTES) 1B 30 cm robotic telescope in southern Spain observed simultaneously to TAROT with the R-band filter, although no detection was achieved (Jelinek et al. 2005).

Large telescopes started to image the field 3 hr later and discovered a bright, fading near-infrared source (Cusumano et al. 2005; Tagliaferri et al. 2005). The lack of counterpart at visible wavelengths was quickly attributed to the Lyα absorption in a highly redshifted source (Haislip et al. 2005a). The Subaru telescope measured the spectroscopic redshift of the source (Kawai et al. 2005) that was found (Haislip et al. 2005a) to be at z = 6.29, corresponding to an age of the universe that is only 7% of the present epoch. To date, GRB 050904 is the gamma-ray burst with the highest measured redshift and the farthest cosmological source ever observed with a small (25 cm) telescope. In this Letter, we present the observations made by TAROT (§ 2). The results of a joint temporal and spectral analysis of both the visible and Swift XRT data are presented in § 3. We then discuss these results in the framework of theoretical models, and we show evidences that both GRB 990123 and GRB 050904 might belong to an overluminous class of bursts.

2. OBSERVATIONS AND ANALYSIS

TAROT acquired data from 86 to 1666 s after the trigger. Twenty-two unfiltered images were recorded with exposure times varying from 15 to 90 s (as time passes, the exposure time was increased to optimize the sensitivity). The first images were acquired while the burst was still active at gamma-ray energies. In order to increase the signal-to-noise ratio, we co-added these images and computed a series of six frames, which are called T1–T6 hereafter. Table 1 gives the main characteristics of the data. The optical transient is detected in images T2, T3, and T4, with its narrow-field instruments (the X-Ray Telescope [XRT] and the UV/Optical Telescope) started about 160 s after the burst triggered the instrument. The Burst Observer and Optical Transient Exploring System (BOOTES) 1B 30 cm robotic telescope in southern Spain observed simultaneously to TAROT with the R-band filter, although no detection was achieved (Jelinek et al. 2005).
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Large telescopes started to image the field 3 hr later and discovered a bright, fading near-infrared source (Cusumano et al. 2005; Tagliaferri et al. 2005). The lack of counterpart at visible wavelengths was quickly attributed to the Lyα absorption in a highly redshifted source (Haislip et al. 2005a). The Subaru telescope measured the spectroscopic redshift of the source (Kawai et al. 2005) that was found (Haislip et al. 2005a) to be at z = 6.29, corresponding to an age of the universe that is only 7% of the present epoch. To date, GRB 050904 is the gamma-ray burst with the highest measured redshift and the farthest cosmological source ever observed with a small (25 cm) telescope. In this Letter, we present the observations made by TAROT (§ 2). The results of a joint temporal and spectral analysis of both the visible and Swift XRT data are presented in § 3. We then discuss these results in the framework of theoretical models, and we show evidences that both GRB 990123 and GRB 050904 might belong to an overluminous class of bursts.
In order to compute magnitudes relative to a standard system, we used the 80 cm telescope of the Observatoire de Haute-Provence during the night of 2005 September 12 to measure the VRI magnitudes and the spectrum of three nearby stars. Then we deduced the spectral type of these stars, and we modeled the flux ratio of the GRB source relative to the stars for the unfiltered bands magnitudes (see text).

The observations reported here provide the only optical detections available for GRB 050904 during the first minutes after the trigger; they offer a continuous coverage of the prompt emission. The optical transient at 9500 Å as deduced from the spectrophotometric calibration of three nearby stars (see text). Col. (5): The equivalent flux density (1σ errors) of the optical transient at 9500 Å.

| Interval | Start Time (s) | End Time (s) | Flux Density at 9500 Å [10⁻¹⁶ ergs (cm² s Å⁻¹)] | I Band (mag) |
|----------|---------------|--------------|-----------------------------------------------|--------------|
| T1 ...... | 86            | 144          | ≥5.3                                          | >15.3        |
| T2 ...... | 150           | 253          | 5.8±1.3                                       | 15.22±0.26   |
| T3 ...... | 312           | 443          | 5.6±1.5                                       | 15.26±0.30   |
| T4 ...... | 449           | 589          | 17±4                                          | 14.07±0.24   |
| T5 ...... | 595           | 978          | ≤4.2                                          | >15.5        |
| T6 ...... | 985           | 1666         | ≤3.1                                          | >15.8        |

Notes.—Cols. (1)–(3): The interval designation, the beginning, and the end of co-added exposures since the GRB trigger. Col. (4): The energy spectral index. Col. (5): The reduced χ² for the fit. Cols. (6)–(8): The flux computed, respectively, in the spectral band 0.5–2.0, 2.0–5.0, and 5.0–10.0 keV. The data quality and/or calibration status did not allow us to derive the extragalactic absorption for intervals T5 and T6.

Fig. 1.—Image of the field of GRB 050904 from TAROT. We co-added all frames from 150 to 978 s after the Swift trigger. This interval covers the prompt gamma-ray emission as well as the X-ray flash event. The size of the image is 6 × 6 centred on R.A. = 00°54′50.09′′, decl. = +14°05′09.9′′ (J2000.0). North is up, and east is left. The spatial sampling is 3.3 arcsec pixel⁻¹. The afterglow is visible within the white circle.

3. RESULTS

The optical emission can be described as a gradual increase of the optical emission during the first 150 s of the prompt emission (T1) followed by a plateau lasting 250–300 s (T2–T3); a bright flare takes place before the end of the prompt gamma-ray emission (T4). We marginally detect the optical transient after the end of the prompt emission with a signal-to-noise ratio S/N = 3.2 for 380 s (T5). This emission is not detected in the subsequent time interval lasting 680 s (T6). Taking the trigger instant as the origin of times, the rapid decrease between T4 and T5 implies a decay index greater than 3. We computed the flux expected from the backward extrapolation of the optical emission is displayed in Figure 2, along with the X-ray light curve.

TABLE 1

| Interval | Start Time (s) | End Time (s) | Flux Density at 9500 Å [10⁻¹⁶ ergs (cm² s Å⁻¹)] | I Band (mag) |
|----------|---------------|--------------|-----------------------------------------------|--------------|
| T1 ...... | 86            | 144          | ≥5.3                                          | >15.3        |
| T2 ...... | 150           | 253          | 5.8±1.3                                       | 15.22±0.26   |
| T3 ...... | 312           | 443          | 5.6±1.5                                       | 15.26±0.30   |
| T4 ...... | 449           | 589          | 17±4                                          | 14.07±0.24   |
| T5 ...... | 595           | 978          | ≤4.2                                          | >15.5        |
| T6 ...... | 985           | 1666         | ≤3.1                                          | >15.8        |

Notes.—Cols. (1)–(3): The interval designation, the beginning, and the end of co-added exposures since the GRB trigger. Col. (4): The energy spectral index. Col. (5): The reduced χ² for the fit. Cols. (6)–(8): The flux computed, respectively, in the spectral band 0.5–2.0, 2.0–5.0, and 5.0–10.0 keV. The data quality and/or calibration status did not allow us to derive the extragalactic absorption for intervals T5 and T6.

TABLE 2

| Interval | t − t₀ (s) | Nᵣ (10⁻¹⁶ cm⁻³) | α | χ²(p) | Flux (0.5–2.0 keV) | Flux (2.0–5.0 keV) | Flux (5.0–10.0 keV) |
|----------|------------|-----------------|----|-------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| T2 ...... | 1.69×10⁸–2.53×10⁸ | 7.3±3.3 | 0.19 | 0.09 | 1.04(136) | 39.9 ± 0.7 | 74 ± 2 | 96 ± 2 |
| T3 ...... | 3.12×10⁸–4.43×10⁸ | 2.8±3.3 | 0.44 | 0.08 | 1.27(120) | 26.5 ± 0.5 | 34.5 ± 0.7 | 40.7 ± 0.8 |
| T4 ...... | 4.49×10⁸–5.82×10⁸ | <0.37 | 0.52 | 0.06 | 1.07(92) | 20.8 ± 0.5 | 23.7 ± 0.6 | 26.4 ± 0.6 |
| T5 ...... | 5.82×10⁸–9.78×10⁸ | 0.53 | 0.09 | 1.4(12) | 2.2 ± 0.2 | 2.5 ± 0.2 | 2.7 ± 0.2 |
| T6 ...... | 9.85×10⁸–1.66×10⁹ | 0.7 | 0.2 | 0.79(17) | 1.39 ± 0.06 | 1.35 ± 0.06 | 1.35 ± 0.06 |

Notes.—Col. (1): The time interval taken for the TAROT data (see Table 1). Col. (2): The corresponding interval for the Swift data analysis. Col. (3): The extragalactic absorption (at a redshift of z = 6.29) in excess to the Galactic absorption (fixed at 4.97 × 10⁷ cm⁻³; Dickey & Lockman 1990). Col. (4): The energy spectral index. Col. (5): The reduced χ² for the fit. Cols. (6)–(8): The flux computed, respectively, in the spectral band 0.5–2.0, 2.0–5.0, and 5.0–10.0 keV. The data quality and/or calibration status did not allow us to derive the extragalactic absorption for intervals T5 and T6.
olation of the afterglow measured from 3 to 12 hr after the burst (Haislip et al. 2005b; Tagliaferri et al. 2005; Fig. 2, dotted line): the peak of the actual optical emission exceeds the flux computed from the afterglow extrapolation by a factor of 5 at least. This should be considered as a lower limit since the interval containing the peak of the optical emission is integrated over 140 s.

4. DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION

Four gamma-ray bursts have been observed at optical wavelengths while the high-energy source was still active: GRB 990123 at $z = 1.60$ (Akerlof et al. 1999), GRB 041219a at an unknown redshift (Vestrand et al. 2005), GRB 050401 at $z = 2.90$ (Rykoff et al. 2005a), and finally GRB 050904 at $z = 6.29$, which we present here. All these events exhibit variable optical emission on short timescales. The flux density at the redshift measured in gamma rays with BAT (0.27 $\pm$ 0.09) is compatible with the spectral slope measured in gamma rays with BAT ($-1.22 \pm 0.1$); (2) the substantial hydrogen column density, in excess of the Galactic value, apparent during intervals T2 and T3, disappears afterward; finally, (3) the optical emission stands well above the extrapolation of the X-ray spectrum, even if we deconvolve for the absorption. This is clearly illustrated by the slope of the broadband $n_f$ spectrum (between 950 nm and 1 keV), which is $-1.60 \pm 0.05$ if we scale the four GRBs at $z = 1.60$, the redshift of GRB 990123; here we assume that GRB 041219a has a redshift of $z = 1$, and we neglect any k-correction. Taken at face, these numbers show that the optical flares associated with GRB 050904 and GRB 990123 were very bright. In both events, the optical flare is detected near the end of the prompt emission (right after a high-energy peak) and exceeds significantly the backward extrapolation of the late-time afterglow. At redshift $z = 1.60$, the magnitude of the flare detected by TAROT would have been comparable with the optical transient associated with GRB 990123. Other similarities between these two bursts include a high rest-frame peak energy (2000 keV for GRB 990123 and $>1500$ keV for GRB 050904) and a large isotropic-equivalent energy release ($2.8 \times 10^{54}$ ergs for GRB 990123 and $\sim 10^{54}$ ergs for GRB 050904). A major difference between both events may be the plateau observed for GRB 050904 during the gamma-ray event (T2–T3), at both X-ray and optical wavelengths. This feature is not apparent on the Robotic Optical Transient Search Experiment (ROTSE) data from GRB 990123. However, this is easily understandable since the TAROT dead time between two consecutive exposures was only 5 s, while it was 20 s for ROTSE. This makes the absence of the plateau in GRB 990123 inconclusive.

The simultaneous observations of Swift and TAROT allow the measure of the broadband spectrum of GRB 050904 and its evolution. Figure 3 displays the $n_f$ spectra computed for intervals T2–T6 of Table 1. We can derive several features from these spectra: (1) during interval T2, the slope of the X-ray spectrum ($\alpha = -1.19 \pm 0.09$) is compatible with the spectral slope measured in gamma rays with BAT ($-1.22 \pm 0.1$); (2) the substantial hydrogen column density, in excess of the Galactic value, apparent during intervals T2 and T3, disappears afterward; finally, (3) the optical emission stands well above the extrapolation of the X-ray spectrum, even if we deconvolve for the absorption. This is clearly illustrated by the slope of the broadband $n_f$ spectrum (between 950 nm and 1 keV), which is $+0.27 \pm 0.05$, $+0.22 \pm 0.05$, and $-0.33 \pm 0.05$ during T2, T3, and T4, respectively (Fig. 3). This last feature was also observed in GRB 990123 (Corsi et al. 2005).

The optical emission of GRB 990123 has been explained by reverse shock emission (Sari & Piran 1999). Wei et al. (2006) suggested that also for GRB 050904 the optical emission can be explained with the reverse shock model. In this case, the reverse shock would have started between intervals T1 and T2, about 150 s after the trigger (20 s in the rest frame). A long-lasting optical emission could be produced when the reverse shock propagates into the various layers of the ejecta (Nakar & Piran 2005). The reverse shock could also explain the fast decrease of the afterglow emission after the optical flare. However, in the reverse shock interpretation of the optical emission, we have to admit that the temporal coincidence of the optical flash with an X-ray peak, which is part of the prompt emission, is fortuitous (Wei et al. 2006).
Alternatively, this coincidence could find a natural explanation if the optical emission is attributed to the prompt emission (Vestrand et al. 2005). In particular, Wei et al. (2006) proposed an alternative model to the reverse shock, where the optical flare is produced by a late internal shock from a reactivity of the internal engine and the X-ray flare is produced by the synchrotron self-Compton mechanism (see also Zou et al. 2005).

These observations demonstrate that continuous joint optical and X-ray coverage with a time resolution of several seconds is essential to understand the origin of the GRB prompt emission and of the early afterglow. Given the intrinsic brightness of GRB 050904, the measure of accurate optical light curves with a temporal resolution of a few seconds was within the reach of 1 m class robotic telescopes working in the optical and in the near-infrared. If GRB 050904 were at redshift unity, TAROT would have produced detailed time-resolved observations of the prompt emission. The lack of bright optical transients, similar to those of GRB 990123 and GRB 050904, for the majority of GRBs at redshifts 1 or 2, implies that optically bright GRBs (OB GRBs) are uncommon (Roming et al. 2005). Since the origin of the prompt optical emission has not yet a univocal interpretation, it seems difficult to identify the factor(s) responsible for their brightness. We note, however, that GRB 990123 and GRB 050904 have in common large isotropic equivalent energies and very high intrinsic peak energies (about 2 MeV). It is also interesting to notice that while at very different distances, the optical behavior of both events is remarkably similar. Two events are not sufficient to tell whether the bright optical emission is always associated with very high peak energies or whether we must call on other factors, like a different environment and/or distinct progenitors. However, the TAROT observations show that GRB 990123 was not a once-in-a-lifetime event (Rykoff et al. 2005b). The existence of GRBs with bright optical afterglows has many important consequences: (1) bright optical flares can be monitored with temporal resolutions on the order of a second, a sampling that is essential to understand the origin of the prompt optical emission; (2) the detection of OB GRBs at high redshift may be the best way to hold the promises of GRBs for cosmology, since the measure of GRB redshifts beyond redshift 5 requires intrinsically bright optical and infrared emission; (3) finally, the importance of OB GRBs is confirmed by the fact that GRB 990123 was the first GRB for which the prompt optical emission could be detected, while GRB 050904 was the first GRB at redshift >5 whose redshift could be measured and whose light curve could be studied in detail. Thanks to the combination of high-energy space experiments and of the expected increasing coverage of fast robotic telescopes devoted to the follow-up at optical and near-infrared wavelengths, we expect that more breakthroughs will result from the detection of new OB GRBs in the future.

B. G. and G. S. acknowledge the support by the EU Research and Training Network “Gamma-Ray Bursts, an Enigma and a Tool.” TAROT has been funded by the Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique, Institut National des Sciences de l’Univers (INSU-CNRS) and built thanks to the support of the Technical Division of INSU-CNRS. Correspondence and requests for data should be addressed to M. B.
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