Isolated persistence of the fifth aortic arch in an infant presenting with congestive heart failure
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ABSTRACT
The persistence of the fifth aortic arch (PFAA) in postnatal life is an extremely rare and controversial cardiovascular malformation. PFAA is defined as an extra-pericardial vessel arising from the ascending aorta proximal to the origin of the brachiocephalic arteries, terminating either in the dorsal aorta or in the pulmonary arteries through the persistently patent arterial duct. An isolated PFAA with systemic-to-pulmonary connection best fits this definition, while the vast majority of cases reported as PFAA may have alternative embryological explanations. We present a unique case of a 5-week-old patient with an isolated PFAA with systemic-to-pulmonary connection, who presented with congestive heart failure. A first differential diagnosis was made with distal aortopulmonary window and an atypical patent arterial duct. A careful analysis of the case and a systematic review of the literature made us conclude for an isolated PFAA, which is one of the only five cases ever reported.

Keywords: Aortic arch anomalies, aortopulmonary window, congestive heart failure, persistence of fifth aortic arch

INTRODUCTION
The persistence of the fifth aortic arch (PFAA) in postnatal life is a cardiovascular malformation so uncommon in humans that its definition and true embryological derivation are still a subject of controversy.¹ In 1969, van Praagh and van Praagh² were the first to suggest that the so-called double-lumen aorta could be interpreted as the persistence of the artery of the fifth aortic arch. Since then, the PFAA has been anecdotally reported in literature with variable anatomical forms. According to a retrospective analysis of over 2000 cardio-pathological specimens, its incidence is about 1 in every 330 autopsy cases.³

PFAA is frequently described in association with complex cardiac heart defects and its presence is usually beneficial to the associated cardiac anomalies.⁴ Freedom et al.⁵ defined four different subtypes based on the anatomical and physiological characteristics of the existing case reports⁶ [Table 1 and Figure 1].

CLINICAL SUMMARY
A 5-week-old male patient was referred to our department due to a suspected aortic arch anomaly. He was delivered through spontaneous vaginal birth at full-term after a normal course of pregnancy, with a birth weight of 3.07 kg. After the first 3 weeks of life, he progressively developed poor weight gain and respiratory distress during feeding. He was then examined by an outpatient pediatric cardiologist and referred to our evaluation.

On hospital admission, the patient was fussy and tachypneic. His body weight was 3.65 kg (<3rd centile).
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His heart rate was 170 beats/min, and peripheral oxygen saturation on room air was 99%. Right arm blood pressure was 80/40 mmHg, equal to lower limb blood pressure. He presented no dysmorphic features at general inspection. Physical examination revealed a Grade III continuous machinery murmur, loudest in systole and best heard at the left infraclavicular area and a fine wheezing at thoracic auscultation. The liver was mildly enlarged. Femoral pulses were well palpable.

EKG demonstrated sinus rhythm with signs of left ventricular hypertrophy. Chest X-ray displayed cardiomegaly with increased pulmonary vascular markings.

Echocardiography revealed normal sequential anatomy with no intra-cardiac defects. Signs of left-sided volume overload were detected with a functional moderate mitral regurgitation. Global biventricular systolic function was preserved. An abnormal and large vascular connection was identified between the inferior aspect of the aortic arch and pulmonary artery, causing a large left-to-right shunt [Figure 2]. Given this unusual aortic arch anomaly, regarded as a distal aortopulmonary window or a large atypical patent arterial duct, it was decided to further investigate the anatomy with cardiac catheterization.

Aortography showed an extremely large and short vascular structure arising from the inferior concave surface of the aortic arch, beneath the origin of the brachiocephalic artery, and connected to the superior margin of the bifurcation of the pulmonary trunk, causing a systemic-to-pulmonary shunt [Figure 2]. It was recorded a total oxygen saturation step up of 20%

DISCUSSION

After a careful analysis of the case and a systematic review of the literature, we concluded that the encountered abnormality was an isolated PFAA with systemic-to-pulmonary connection. It fulfilled the definition criteria proposed by Gupta et al.[1] Based on studies on murine and human embryos, he defined a
persistent fifth aortic arch artery as an extra-pericardial vessel arising from the ascending aorta proximal to the origin of the brachiocephalic arteries, terminating either in the dorsal aorta or in the pulmonary arteries through the persistently patent arterial duct.

The possible differential diagnosis could be distal aortopulmonary window or an atypical patent arterial duct. Indeed, the PFAA might resemble a distal aortopulmonary window, as it connects the distal ascending aorta with the bifurcation of the pulmonary trunk. However, while the aortopulmonary windows by definition lie within the pericardial sac, the PFAA is an extrapericardial structure, as the series of paired arch arteries that connect the aortic sac to the initially paired dorsal aortas (and thus the fifth aortic arch artery) are located extrapericardially within the pharyngeal mesenchyme. In our case, the vascular connection was extrapericardial at direct surgical inspection, leading us to exclude the diagnosis of possible distal aortopulmonary window. Moreover, we rejected the suspicion of a patent arterial duct, as in this case the aortic extremity would have arisen beyond the origin of the brachiocephalic artery. Although the absence of any ligamentous connection to descending aorta and the absence of a separate duct or ligamentum make it difficult to rule out a proximal origin of the arterial duct, the abnormal vessel clearly arose from the ascending aorta at direct surgical inspection.

Figure 3: Cardiac catheterization. Panel A. Anterior-posterior projection. A short and large vessel (asterisk) arises proximal to brachiocephalic artery (which is not filled in by contrast, yet) and connects ascending aorta and pulmonary trunk, leading to a significant left-to-right shunt. Panel B. Aortography in lateral projection. Contrast flows directly in the abnormal vascular connection (asterisk), which originates beneath brachiocephalic artery (arrow-heads) and has anterior and inferior course to pulmonary artery.

Systemic-to-pulmonary PFAA might be associated with critical right-sided obstructive lesions, such as pulmonary atresia and intact ventricular septum, pulmonary atresia and ventricular septal defect, tetralogy of Fallot, and isolated left pulmonary artery.[6] In such cases, PFAA can act as a vital source of pulmonary flow, and some authors reported its responsiveness to intravenous prostaglandin infusion.[7]

Systemic-to-pulmonary PFAA can also be an isolated pathological entity, causing excessive pulmonary blood flow with pulmonary hypertension. Systemic-to-pulmonary PFAA might have been underrecognized in the past because of the similarities in the clinical presentation of the isolated patent arterial duct and the relatively recent publication of a few reports describing this rare entity.[8-10] Our case is one of only five reported cases of isolated systemic-to-pulmonary PFAA in literature [Table 2].

Advances in the field of cardiac imaging have resulted in many cases being reported as PFAA to explain double-lumen aorta, systemic-to-pulmonary arterial channels, abnormal branching of the brachiocephalic arterial structures. However, most of the vascular malformations attributed to the persistence of the fifth aortic arch artery might depend on alternative developmental aberrations.[6] Bamforth et al.[11] observed collateral channels extending between the distal insertions of the fourth and sixth arch arteries in up to half of all developing mice, and in developing human embryos too. Such channels have been described as “fifth arch arteries” by other investigators, but they do not originate from the aortic sac and do not run in parallel with the previously existing bilateral channels.[11] In light of the above, many of the reported cases of PFAA are equally well explained on the basis of persistence and abnormal arterial duct remodeling, or persistence of those collateral channels. Some of the cases included in Freedom’s classification as persistent arteries of the fifth pharyngeal arch do not clearly follow the definition offered by Gupta et al. The association of PFAA and left obstructive lesions (Type 3) and bilateral PFAA (Type 4) have been contested[1,4,6] and could have alternative embryological explanations. According to Gupta et al.[6] none of the reported cases of double lumen aorta (Type 1) unequivocally represents the fifth aortic arch artery, but all can be better explained invoking the dorsal collateral channels.

Table 2: All cases of isolated systemic-to-pulmonary persistence of fifth aortic arch reported in literature

| Case | Age | Imaging       | Treatment              | Outcome            | Reference |
|------|-----|---------------|------------------------|--------------------|-----------|
| 1    | double-lumen years | TTE, cath, MRI | Surgery, Dacron patch | Asymptomatic       | Chiu et al.[8] |
| 2    | 1 day | TTE, cath    | No treatment           | Dead at 3 months   | Chiu et al.[9] |
| 3    | 2 months | TTE, CT, cath | Surgery, ligation      | Asymptomatic       | Hwang et al.[9] |
| 4    | 21 wga | Fetal echo, TTE, CT | Surgery              | Asymptomatic       | Jowett et al.[10] |
| 5    | 5 weeks | TTE, cath    | Surgery, ligation      | Asymptomatic       | Meliota G*   |

*Present case report. Cath: Cardiac catheterization, CT: Computed tomography, MRI: Magnetic resonance imaging, TTE: Transthoracic echocardiography, wga: Weeks of gestational age
On the other hand, the only human embryo that showed a structure resembling a true fifth aortic arch artery presented a vascular channel originating from the aortic sac, occupying a discrete component of the pharyngeal mesenchyme and terminating on the artery of the sixth.[9] Therefore, the occurrence of an extrapericardial vascular connection between the ascending aorta and the bifurcation of the pulmonary trunk is the most suitable definition of a persistent fifth aortic arch among other candidates.

PFAA is a rare and controversial condition, often misdiagnosed or ignored. An appropriate description of cardiovascular lesions is important in terms of classification and often affects the therapeutic approaches. The aim of our report is to raise awareness of this rare and complex entity, whose presence has to be taken into account when dealing with aortic arch and great vessel abnormalities.
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