Real-Time Sensing and Control of Integrative Horticultural Lighting Systems
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Abstract: Optical radiation, including light, plays a crucial role in the structural development of plants through photomorphogenesis and the response to environmental changes. However, plant sensitivity to optical radiation widely varies across species. While research efforts are currently underway to discover the fundamentals of plant physiology, light sources with preprogrammed light settings (light recipes) are offered to clients to expedite plant growth. Since horticultural lighting research is in its infancy, prescribed lighting conditions are not likely to address every plant's needs in terms of the spatial and spectral distribution, intensity, and duration of the light sources. However, it is possible to imagine an intelligent horticultural lighting system that can diagnose plants through sensors, and adjust the light intensity, the spatial and spectral distribution for the specific plant species with active feedback. Such an advanced real-time horticultural lighting system would consist of sensors to detect physiological markers from plants and environmental factors and an artificial intelligence algorithm to adjust the output. While the underlying technology for a real-time optimization system exists, the implementation and training would require further research.
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1. Introduction

The increasing food and energy demand, the need to control CO₂ emission, and the catastrophic effects of climate change are major problems that humankind is facing today. Increasing the efficiency of food production while reducing energy demand requires a multidisciplinary effort encompassing agriculture, engineering, and biological and physical sciences. As part of this multidisciplinary effort, horticultural science focuses on cultivation, plant propagation, plant breeding, crop production, and plant physiology. Horticultural studies concentrate on fruits, vegetables, nuts, and ornamental plants [1], in contrast to agriculture, which contains large-scale crop production and animal husbandry. Plant growth and development depend on a wide range of environmental factors, including temperature, water, humidity, soil, nutrients, and optical radiation (historically and most prominently daylight).

Plants process energy from optical radiation through a biochemical process called photosynthesis. Plants use the converted chemical energy for growth and development. However, the conversion efficiency of natural photosynthesis (i.e., solar energy to biomass) in green plants is surprisingly low, between 4.6% and 6% [2]. This process can be increased by optimizing the lighting to meet the sensitivity curve of photosensitive pigments in plants [3].

The increasing amount of research on the gene and plant level encouraged manufacturers to promote lighting products and specific lighting conditions (also known as light recipes) to deliver positive results. However, the success of generic lighting conditions or products is limited due to the differences in the spectral response of photosensitive receptors such as cryptochromes, phytochromes, phototropin, and zeitlupe [4]. Since the whole plant presents a complex structure in terms of its functions (photosynthesis, photomorphogenesis, and photoperiodism), the generalizability of individual studies
is quite limited. Studies that report nominal “color” categories (e.g., blue, red, far-red) instead of providing the spectral power distribution (SPD) of the light sources prevent the reproducibility of these studies. In addition, there are countless species that have not been studied yet, and certain crops (e.g., lettuce, cannabis) have been studied more often than others, which leads to an unequal distribution of research findings.

While daylight has been the main source of light for millions of years, the generation of light through semiconductor devices (solid-state lighting (SSL)) provides an alternative to daylight and older electric light sources, such as high-pressure sodium (HPS) lamps. SSL devices offer several advantages over traditional electric light sources, such as spectral control, generating a higher light intensity with a low radiant heat output, long lifetime, lower environmental impact over the product lifecycle, and integration into digital systems [5–9]. The reduced cost and increased energy and photometric performance of light-emitting diodes (LEDs) has accelerated their market penetration. LEDs offer another great advantage: connectivity to other electronic systems.

The energy savings resulting from LEDs are due to the increase in the luminous efficacy of radiation (LER) and radiant efficiency compared to older technologies, especially incandescent lamps. SSL devices emit narrowband spectra, which can be maximized where the human visual system is most sensitive. However, human brightness sensitivity (spectral luminous efficacy function) is not similar to plant sensitivity to optical radiation. In addition, the plants’ sensitivity to optical radiation widely varies among species. Therefore, the energy saving comparisons for horticultural systems should utilize radiant efficiency (the ratio of optical power to the input electrical power).

It is clear that studying plants’ response to optical radiation requires a complex system approach. Although the Internet of things (IoT) and big data approaches have been previously used to analyze data on agriculture [10,11], a real-time autonomous horticultural system has not been previously conceptualized. To address the challenges in meeting horticultural lighting systems, here a connected lighting system is described that detects environmental and plant-related factors and adjusts the amount and spectrum of the light output in real time using machine learning techniques, thus improving the quality and quantity of the yield.

The spectral optimization of the light source can be utilized by mixing multicolor SSL devices, such as LEDs [12] and lasers [13]. It should be noted that the term “lighting” is used nominally here. Since plants respond to infrared [14] and ultraviolet radiation [15], “optical radiation” would be the technically accurate term.

The advanced real-time lighting system requires initial conditions and progressively updates its output while tracking the plant response in real time, as shown in Figure 1. The initial conditions can be loaded to the system (training artificial intelligence) through datasets based on previous research findings. However, the described real-time optimization system does not require a constant initial feed from the users. Artificial intelligence (AI) techniques (e.g., evolutionary computation) ensure minimal user intervention and the continual improvement of the output using metaheuristic methods. A metaheuristic is an algorithm designed to seek, generate, or identify a feasible and satisfactory solution to an optimization problem. Users can define target parameters (e.g., improve crop quality and energy efficiency, reduce light pollution) for the real-time horticultural lighting system. The optimization of the lighting system can be adjusted to meet plants’ varying needs at different stages of growth. For example, a plant in the flowering stage may require different spectrum and intensity compared to the ripening stage. Plant growth can be measured by comparing the fresh vs. dry weight, calculating the root mass measurement (e.g., grid intersect technique) and root-shoot ratio, or through visual observation. The performance assessments can be done by comparing the growth with a baseline scenario. The real-time optimization system can also perform adaptive and predictive plant behavior analytics beyond sustaining the energy efficiency and accuracy of plant morphology descriptors.
Figure 1. A real-time ambient intelligent horticultural system can ensure plant growth and development by controlling the environmental conditions, such as optical radiation, temperature, and moisture, without human intervention. Sensors can be used to identify the plant morphology, vital signs, and crop quality.

Adding technological features to an existing system often adds costs and complexity. Sensors, intellectual property related to the machine learning algorithms, and spectrally tunable lighting systems are the main costs of a real-time adaptive lighting system. However, the adaptive horticultural system can provide a return on investment through the increased efficiency of the light output (reduced energy consumed by lighting), reduced water consumption (recycling water by condensing vapor in the air-conditioning system and returning it to the root zone), increased efficiency of produce production (reduced product growth time), and reduced use of pharmaceuticals. Despite the initial technical challenges and costs that are innate to any automated system, an integrative horticultural system can increase the energy efficiency and product quality, and enable re-engineering plants with increased nutrition values. It should be noted that water will likely be a scarce resource in the future, and optimizing the use of natural sources to improve the quality of produce should be the primary optimization goal for any sustainable system.

2. Precision Agriculture

Precision agriculture (PA) is the management of spatial and temporal variability in fields using information and communication technologies [16]. PA can help to improve the quality and quantity of the produce by using advanced techniques, such as remote sensing [17], mobile robots [18], and GPS [19]. The quality management of the crops is a major component of PA, which requires site-specific technologies and strategies [20]. These site-specific technologies require tailor-made solutions using sensors and cameras.
The sensing and control of horticultural systems are key components of the successful adoption of new technologies. For example, wireless sensors are more frequently used in agriculture and food industries for environmental monitoring and precision agriculture [21]. Similarly, imaging systems that use CCT cameras have been proposed to detect deflection on the surface of the produce [22] and classify fruits and vegetables [23]. Plant species can be identified using digital equipment with an up to 94% accuracy [24,25].

In the control area, advanced methods, such as evolutionary computation algorithms, can be used to tune the output of a system. For example, multi-objective genetic algorithms have been previously used in horticultural research to regulate a crop area’s temperature distribution, carbon dioxide concentration, energy consumption [26,27], pot-growth substrate mixture [28], resource use and net profit [29], energy savings [30]; reduce over-segmentation [31]; and ensure a return on investment [32]. Techniques used in the sensing and control of PA systems can also be adapted to light optimization systems.

3. Light Optimization

Adaptive real-time sensing and controls in lighting are not widely investigated, although several architectural lighting applications have been previously proposed. Studies show that light source SPDs can be optimized to increase the energy efficiency and color quality of light sources [33], reduce damage to artwork [34], and synchronize the non-visual (melanopic) response to optical radiation [35]. These proposals can be deconstructed, similar to horticultural systems, where a sensing device (e.g., charge-coupled device (CCD) camera) and control mechanism (e.g., computational algorithm) are deployed to optimize the light output. Sensing devices have been previously used to estimate the spectral power distribution of light sources [36] and the spectral reflectance function of objects [37] in architectural spaces.

Evolutionary optimization algorithms can control the light output to address user-defined functions, such as reducing damage to artwork by optical radiation. However, target parameters in an optimization problem can be conflicting. For example, light enables human vision, but it also causes damage to light-sensitive artwork through photochemical action [38]. To address the visibility-damage dilemma, multi-objective genetic algorithms have been employed to find the optimal light conditions in terms of optical damage, energy efficiency, and color quality [39]. Visual assessments were also conducted to ensure that the visual quality of objects under optimized lighting conditions is acceptable [40]. Although it is more challenging than spectral optimization, the spatial optimization of lighting has also been performed for artwork conservation using machine vision algorithms [41]. These advanced light optimization systems consider the objective specific parameters (e.g., the appearance of objects, energy efficiency, damage to the artwork), and the mathematical models used in the algorithms are all based on the human visual response to optical radiation.

4. Light Optimization for Horticulture

Advanced light control methods can be applied to areas beyond architecture, such as optimizing lighting for roadways, in product and lighting design processes, in modeling circadian impacts, and for plant growth [42,43]. Early research on the role of electric lighting on plant growth was conducted using commercially available light sources which were not varied in terms of spectral power distribution [44,45]. High-intensity discharge lamps (e.g., metal halide, high-pressure sodium) have been widely used in horticultural applications. However, SSL devices offer a higher luminous efficacy, longer life, and spatial and spectral control over the output. Multi-colored LEDs emit narrowband spectra, which allows the fine-tuning of the light source spectrum compared to older technologies, as shown in Figure 2.
emote sensing, may require broadband spectrum emission as opposed to the narrowband emission provided by LEDs [55].

The performance of a connected lighting system varies depending on the input and output parameters. A connected lighting system controlling the frequency and pulse widths found that low frequencies (0.1 Hz, 1 Hz, 10 Hz) result in higher quantum efficiency in photosystem II (a protein complex that provides the initial reaction of photosynthesis) compared to higher frequencies (50 kHz and 100 kHz) [56]. The dynamic dimming and optimization of light output for photosynthetic photon flux density (PPFD) and light uniformity resulted in energy savings, with a similar fresh weight of the plants on average [57]. These findings indicate that the success of the optimization procedure depends on the identification of the problem as well as the configuration of the optimization parameters. For a broad review of automation in agriculture, readers can refer to Jha and colleagues [58].

5. Challenges and Future

A real-time lighting system would detect plant physiological markers (e.g., bio mass, gas exchange) as well as environmental factors using sensing technologies, and the output would be adjusted in real-time through artificial intelligence. The initial conditions required for the system would be based on previous research findings on plant physiology (gene expression and holistic plant response). The complexity of the plant response to lighting conditions may bring challenges to the system in the initial steps. Since the initial conditions can cause evolutionary algorithms to prematurely converge to local maxima or minima, the underlying dataset that feeds into the system plays an important role. However, a real-time optimization system has the advantage of delivering the precise

Figure 2. High-pressure sodium (HPS, red line) lamps are widely used in horticulture as grow lamps. LEDs offer a wide range of spectral power distributions. Two of the most widely used LED types are multi-colored LED (mcLED, blue line) and phosphor-coated LED (pcLED, black line).

More recent research was conducted by National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA) to explore the possibility of developing lighting systems for space stations [46]. The following studies showed that LEDs can increase the efficiency of photosynthesis and photomorphogenesis [47–49] and control disease development [50]. However, research in this field indicates that the complexity of the plant physiology requires a multi-objective optimization approach where plant growth can be ensured by optimizing the lighting [6,44] without sacrificing energy goals [51] or visual quality [52]. Although it has been argued that a broadband spectrum is required for the visual monitoring of crops [53], visual assessments showed that fruits and vegetables can appear natural and attractive to observers under optimized lighting conditions [54]. On the other hand, adding more complex conflicting parameters, such as the detection of plant stress with spectral reflectance through remote sensing, may require broadband spectrum emission as opposed to the narrowband emission provided by LEDs [55].

The performance of a connected lighting system varies depending on the input and output parameters. A connected lighting system controlling the frequency and pulse widths found that low frequencies (0.1 Hz, 1 Hz, 10 Hz) result in higher quantum efficiency in photosystem II (a protein complex that provides the initial reaction of photosynthesis) compared to higher frequencies (50 kHz and 100 kHz) [56]. The dynamic dimming and optimization of light output for photosynthetic photon flux density (PPFD) and light uniformity resulted in energy savings, with a similar fresh weight of the plants on average [57]. These findings indicate that the success of the optimization procedure depends on the identification of the problem as well as the configuration of the optimization parameters. For a broad review of automation in agriculture, readers can refer to Jha and colleagues [58].

5. Challenges and Future

A real-time lighting system would detect plant physiological markers (e.g., bio mass, gas exchange) as well as environmental factors using sensing technologies, and the output would be adjusted in real-time through artificial intelligence. The initial conditions required for the system would be based on previous research findings on plant physiology (gene expression and holistic plant response). The complexity of the plant response to lighting conditions may bring challenges to the system in the initial steps. Since the initial conditions can cause evolutionary algorithms to prematurely converge to local maxima or minima, the underlying dataset that feeds into the system plays an important role. However, a real-time optimization system has the advantage of delivering the precise
amount of spectral distribution, intensity, and duration of optical radiation tailored to the plants’
development stages.

The accuracy and precision of user-defined parameters are expected to influence the success
of the lighting system described here. Widely used metrics can be limited in describing the impact
of optical radiation on plants. For example, photosynthetically active radiation (PAR) covers the
spectral range between 400 nm and 700 nm, which is not entirely accurate due to the discovery of
the new chlorophyll photoreceptor (chlorophyll f), with an absorption sensitivity peaking around
750 nm [6]. PAR also ignores the wavelength sensitivity (weighting is not applied). Since the success of
evolutionary algorithms depends on the accurate parameter definition, it is vital to establish accurate
quantities that characterize the impact of optical radiation on plant physiology.

The research directions that are essential to the realization of the real-time integrated horticultural
lighting system that uses AI are:

- the identification of physiological markers,
- database generation,
- the development of the processing model and constraints,
- testing sensor sensitivity and accuracy,
- designing and testing feedback loops,
- validation.

The identification of plant physiological markers (membrane injury, leaf greening, gas exchange,
water potential [59]) is the focus of agricultural studies. Generating a database of the required
parameters requires a collaborative effort between several parties, included but not limited to data
scientists and agricultural biotechnologists. The development of the optimization model and constraints
is a mid-stage research effort, which is dependent on the previous research phases. However, an
optimization system can be developed without obtaining a full picture of the plant physiology. As the
real-time adaptive system is likely to improve itself through machine learning, it can likely guide
future research interests through data generated from the earlier trials.

Testing sensor sensitivity and accuracy is another key aspect of the overall success of the system,
and it should be an effort undertaken parallel to the conceptual development of the optimization
system. Optical and network engineering solutions will likely focus on sensor precision, accuracy,
and energy trade-offs through simulation, physical modeling, and validation. The algorithm design for
real-time computing will require developing hardware and software for data acquisition, processing,
and inference. The feedback loops provided by data acquisition systems will feed into the central
algorithm to optimize the output. Different machine learning algorithms (e.g., supervised, unsupervised,
self-learning, feature learning) have to be assessed against the previously identified optimization
parameters (i.e., energy efficiency, plant biomarkers). Finally, the adaptive lighting system should be
tested in the field for validation. The results from independent groups can be compared and analyzed
to identify future research directions.

The role of AI in tackling the current and future problems in agriculture is tremendous. As the
world’s population continues to grow, the land available for farming is becoming scarce. Utilizing less
land and increasing the productivity (more produce per cost per time) requires automated systems
that yield healthier crops; betters growth conditions; monitors environmental conditions; and collects,
organizes, and analyzes data to help farmers. AI-based technologies, such as precision agriculture,
have already made an impact on various tasks, included but not limited to detecting plant diseases
and pests, regulating environmental conditions, and reducing energy consumption and resource use.
AI can also contribute to increasing net profit, therefore providing a quicker return on investment.

Real-time monitoring and adjusting the light output through AI can combine all the benefits into
a single system. The described real-time horticultural lighting system has the potential to improve the
accuracy and precision of horticultural systems by promoting growth, manipulating plant morphology,
and improving nutrient quality. While the conceptual and technological aspects of the system are
currently available [60,61], the construction, evaluation, and adoption of real-time light optimization require further research.
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