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ABSTRACT: The present paper was aimed to investigate the physicochemical properties and combustion characteristics of corn straw briquette as a fuel energy. The results of physicochemical properties displayed that corn straw briquette has higher volatile matter, lower ash content, and higher heating value. Combustion characteristics and kinetic analysis were investigated using thermogravimetry analysis at various heating rates of 10, 15, and 20 °C/min. It was observed that the maximum burning rate shifted to a higher temperature as the heating rate increased. In addition, a lower heating rate would help in better heat transfer, leading to less mass residual. In contrast, the combustion characteristic index showed a nearly 2-fold increase under a higher heating rate, indicating a good combustion performance. The combustion kinetics were expressed using isoconversional methods with Kissinger–Akahira–Sunose and Ozawa–Flynn–Wall methods, which authenticated the average activation energy at 108.85 and 114.42 kJ/mol, respectively. These results can provide a theoretical basis and data support for further utilization of agriculture biomass briquette.

1. INTRODUCTION

Facing a serious challenge of the shortage problem of fossil energy coupled with environmental degradation has made the awareness of the development of alternative energy sources an urgent matter. Among various forms of renewable energy, biomass has captured attention for its huge resources, low cost, renewable nature, and low emissions.1–3 It can also become the future source of energy for its low carbon dioxides and sulfur oxides than fossil fuels.4 Biomass ranks fourth after coal, oil, and gas, providing approximately 14% of the global energy demand.1 Agriculture and forestry residues, livestock manure, municipal solid waste, sewage, wastewater, and energy plants are the main sources of biomass. China is an agricultural country, and a large amount of biomass is produced every year, which equals 460 million tons of standard coal.1 However, the low density and high costs of handling, transportation, and storage restricted its further utilization.6–9 They are combusted directly without the optimization of energy efficiency, or they are left on farming area, potentially causing loss of energy and air and water pollution.10 One effective way to solve these problems is densification of biomass into pellet or briquette. More generally, it is a physical change process of the crushed irregular shape biomass material rearranged by the mechanical and plastic deformation under specific temperature, pressure, and moisture content. Compared to raw material, the made pellet or briquette has the advantage of higher density and heating value, lower carbon dioxide and sulfur dioxide emissions, and reducing release of toxic and greenhouse gases. Meanwhile, the increased density and decreased volume reduce transport and storage costs.
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environmental problems caused by the direct burning of scattered coal and agroforestry waste. Hence, the utilization of pellets or briquette fuel as an alternative fuel has a well future. However, accurate combustion kinetic models are essential to the full utilization. Combustion kinetics focuses on the combustion reaction mechanism, combustion reaction rate, and influencing factors, which will help to predict the combustion efficiency, combustion parameters, and pollutant emissions. It can provide an accurate chemical mechanism for the actual combustion situation and optimize the burner structure and operation parameters.

Thermogravimetry analysis (TGA) is one of the most common methods and has received great attention in the understanding of solid fuel substrate degradation to release energy. In addition, some studies have been carried out on the combustion characteristics of biomass solid fuel and biomass blends, such as cotton refuse, pine and corn straw, microalgae, pine wood sawdust, Azadirachta indica seeds and Phyllanthus emblica kernel, red pepper, rice husk, camphor, elephant grass, hazelnut husk, soybean straw, and banana peel.

As mentioned above, researchers mostly focus on the study of biomass materials or pellets, while rarely mentioning the biomass briquette. Subsequently, the present paper purposed to enrich the studies to analyze the combustion characteristics of biomass fuel. In this paper, TGA was applied to evaluate the combustion characteristics of corn straw briquette at a heating rate of 10, 15, 20 °C/min. The isoconversional methods, Kissinger–Akahira–Sunose (KAS) and Ozawa–Flynn–Wall (OFW), were used for calculating kinetic parameters. The results can be provided for further utilization and thermo-chemical conversion of agriculture biomass briquettes.

2. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

2.1. Biomass Briquette Characteristics. Various studies confirmed that the thermochemical process largely depends on biomass characteristics. High volatile content matter facilitates the formation of more pyrolytic liquid and gaseous products. McKendry reported that the lower the ratios of O/C and H/C are, the greater the energy content of the biomass is. In addition, studies showed that less amount of N and S made it more environmentally friendly. Table 1 presents the proximate and ultimate analysis of the CSB. As can be seen from Table 1, the moisture content was 10.20%, indicating their suitability for combustion because the moisture content was around 10%. The amount of volatile matter in the CSB was 42.95 and 6.68% and in the fixed carbon was 40.82 and 0.71%, respectively. However, the H, N, and S elements were found to be lower, total less than 10%. The lower N and trace S content reflected the low NOx and SOx emissions during combustion. It also indicated that the CSB is a valuable fuel for thermal utilization.

2.2. Thermogravimetric Analysis. TGA has been a mature technique for studying combustion behavior. Figure 1 presents the TG and derivative thermogravimetric (DTG) curves of CSB under different heating rates. The TG curves revealed that changes in weight loss with increasing temperature. In addition, DTG was derived from TG, which shows the derivative weight loss curves. During combustion, the decomposition of hemicellulose and cellulose firstly generates volatile matter and separates. Then, as the ignition temperature is reached, the volatile matter will burn, followed by the combustion of coke produced by the lignin.

Figure 1a,b shows that the combustion process of CSB consists of three stages of thermal degradation. The weak peak in the DTG curve indicates that the mass loss in the first stage corresponds to the removal of moisture content, namely, the dehydration stage. Sometimes, it is also accompanied by a loss of the very small amounts of volatile matters. For the heating rate, 10 and 15 °C/min, they were started at 30 °C and ended at 150 and 155 °C, leading to a mass loss of 5.7 and 4.5%, respectively. Different from the above, the heating rate of 20 °C/min started at 30 °C and ended at 175 °C, leading to a mass loss of 6.7%. It can be seen from Figure 1b that the maximum mass loss in the first stage for the heating rate of 10 and 15 °C/min was 1.03 and 1.10%/min, which occurred at

| Table 1. Ultimate and Proximate Analysis |
|------------------------------------------|
| **item** | **ultimate analysis (%)** | **proximate analysis (%)** |
|      |  **C** |  **H** |  **O** |  **N** |  **S** |  **moisture** |  **volatile matter** |  **fixed carbon** |  **ash** |
| CSB   | 42.95 | 6.68  | 40.82 | 0.71  | 0     | 10.20        | 69.65              | 11.31            | 8.84    |

![Figure 1. TG (a) and DTG (b) curves of CSB at different heating rates.](https://doi.org/10.1021/acsomega.1c01249)
approximately 85 °C, respectively. In contrast, the maximum weight loss is 2.04%/min for a heating rate of 20 °C/min, which occurred at 100 °C. Next are considered as the main reaction stage during combustion. The second stage corresponds to the combustion of volatile matter generated by the decomposition of hemicellulose, cellulose. The thermal degradation occurred at various temperature zones ranging from 150 to 345 °C, 155 to 355 °C, and 175 to 375 °C for the heating rates of 10, 15, and 20 °C/min, contributing to 48.79, 49.37, and 47.00% of the mass loss, respectively. The DTG curve reveals that the maximum mass loss in stage 2 was 8.96, 11.31, and 13.95%/min for the heating rate of 10, 15, and 20 °C/min, which occurred at a temperature of 278, 290, and 298 °C, respectively. Those conclusions are also similar to the study of Xu et al., Braga et al., and Ahmad et al.26,33,34 The third stage ranging from 345 to 510 °C, 355 to 545 °C, and 375 to 570 °C under heating rate 10, 15, and 20 °C/min, resulting in the mass loss of 33.88, 33.07, and 29.68%/min, respectively. It was due to the combustion of the char remaining after devolatilization of the samples.

The combustion characteristic parameters, including ignition temperature, burnout temperature, and so forth, obtained from the graph are summarized in Table 2. As presented in Table 2, the data showed clearly that nearly all the parameters increase with the rise of heating rate. While compared to 10 °C/min, the $S_r$ value of CSB increased by 1.5 and 1.9 times at a heating rate of 15 and 20 °C/min, respectively, indicating that the heating rate contributes to the improvement of the combustion performance of CSB.

2.3. Effect of Heating Rates. It is reported that the heating rate has a significant effect on the combustion process. It can affect the maximum decomposition rate, DTG peak temperature, and final residual mass after the combustion.35–37 Depending on the heating rate, the burnouts are achieved quicker at a lower temperature or later at a higher temperature.11

Figure 1a,b vividly describes the effect of heating rate on the biomass briquette combustion at various heating rates of 10, 15, and 20 °C/min. Table 3 reveals that as the heating rate rises from 10 to 20 °C/min, the maximum decomposition rate increases from 8.95 to 13.95%/min, and the DTG peak temperature rises from 278 to 298 °C, which is similar to the study of Jayaraman et al.,38 in which the peak temperature of DTG curves was shifted to a higher value with an increase in heating rate. This is mainly due to the increase in heating rate; there is a certain difference between the internal and external temperatures of the experimental sample. It takes a long time to transfer the external heat to the internal. Thus, the hysteresis effect occurred.

It can be seen from Table 3 that the residual mass increases from 14.91 to 17.32% with the rise of heating rate from 10 to 20 °C/min. It was due to the fact that the lower heating rate helps transfer heat into the interior of CSB, resulting in better combustion. This is also the reason why the termination temperature of every stage observed in Part 2.2 increases with the rise of the heating rate.

2.4. Kinetic Analysis. The combustion process can be displayed through the activation energy ranges with the conversion degree. Here, the activation energy is the energy required to transform molecules from normality to an active state in which the reaction can easily occur. Briefly, it is the minimum energy required for a reaction, whose reaction process takes place easily with lower activation energy than that of higher activation energy.

As mentioned above, the kinetic parameters were determined using isocinversional methods KAS and OFW based on the thermogravimetric analysis data obtained under different heating rates. Figure 2 shows the linear fitting plots of CSB by KAS and OFW methods. Figure 2a shows the linear fitting plot of $\ln \left( \frac{\beta}{\tau} \right)$ versus $\frac{1}{\tau}$ at the various conversion rates. Similarly, Figure 2b gives the linear fitting plot of $\ln(\beta)$ versus $\frac{1}{\tau}$ at various conversion degrees. The conversion rate was chosen ranging from 0.1 to 0.8 with a step size of 0.1. For the low coefficient of determination ($R^2$), a conversion rate beyond 0.8 was not considered. The calculated activation energies from the slopes and intercepts of the plots are listed in Table 4.
changes in the activation energy deeply depended on the degree of conversion. For CSB, the increasing higher activation energy might be caused by the decomposition of chars. A study of Oyedun et al. showed that the chars in biomass had a higher activation energy.40

3. CONCLUSIONS
In this study, the combustion and thermal characteristics of corn straw briquette under three heating rates using TGA were investigated. The higher heating value and lower sulfur and nitrogen indicated that the corn straw briquette was a potential fuel. The less residual after combustion in lower heating rate was due to the better heat transfer to the interior of the corn straw briquette. With increasing heating temperature, the maximum thermal decomposition temperature shifted to a higher temperature. In addition, it also leads to the improvement of combustion performance. The combustion kinetics reveals a similar mean activation energy, 108.85 kJ/mol, calculated from the KAS method, and 114.42 kJ/mol calculated from the OFW method. High relation between conversion degree and activation energy indicated the complex combustion process. Based on these above conclusions, it provides the theoretical foundation for future application of corn straw briquette as a fuel resource of energy.

4. MATERIALS AND METHODS
4.1. Materials. Agriculture biomass (corn straw) was locally collected in Zibo city (36.81°N, 118.05°E), Shandong province, China. The fresh feedstocks were air-dried for 7 days and then crushed and sieved to less than 0.5 mm particle size. Subsequently, they were dried at 105°C for 12 h to a constant weight in a drying cabinet and later stored in the ventilated surroundings for briquette preparation.

The briquettes were obtained using a ram compression molding machine described in our patent.23 Briefly, the raw material was introduced to the forming mold by the screw conveyor and then stroked by a reciprocating plunger to form a briquette. Figure 4 shows a photograph of briquette. For convenience, corn straw briquette was abbreviated as CSB.

4.2. Characteristic Analysis. Proximate analysis for CSB was carried out according to the Chinese standard GB/T 28731-2012. Ultimate analysis of carbon (C), hydrogen (H), nitrogen (N), and sulfur (S) was determined using the various biomass briquette.39 The interplay of multiple reaction mechanisms leads to a change in activation energy with the degree of conversion. For CSB, the increasing higher activation energy might be caused by the decomposition of chars. A study of Oyedun et al. showed that the chars in biomass had a higher activation energy.40

From Table 4, it can be seen that all the coefficients of determination are larger than 0.97, which indicated the accuracy of the isoconversional methods. In other words, the results of activation energies calculated by the KAS and OFW methods were reliable. The mean activation energy was calculated as 108.85 kJ/mol of the KAS method and 114.42 kJ/mol of the OFW method, respectively. Comparing the two methods, it shows that the difference in average activation energy between KAS and OFW methods was 5.12%. This is consistent with other literature, such as hazelnut husk (7.32%).4

The relationship between the activation energy versus the conversion rate is depicted in Figure 3. It can be observed that changes in the activation energy deeply depended on the conversion rate, reflecting that the combustion process was complex.38 As shown in Figure 3, the activation energy increased with the increase of conversion rate, regardless of the KAS or OFW method. With the increase of the conversion rate from 0.1 to 0.8, the activation energy ranged from 85.67 to 143.01 kJ/mol for the KAS method and 88.54 to 151.15 kJ/mol for the OFW method. The change in activation energy with the degree of conversion is essentially the result of thermal degradation of different components of biomass with increasing temperature. The weaker bonds (lower molecular weight compounds) decayed at moderate energy and lesser temperature, while degradation of stronger bonds (higher molecular weight products) needed more energy at greater temperature.

Generally, activation energy is regarded as the minimum energy requirement during the reaction.4 Lower activation energy means faster reaction and vice versa. The kinetic parameter variation with conversion rate is referred to biomass heterogeneity. That is to say, each component has its particular characteristics, thus causing the different phenomenon of
elemental analyzer (Vario EL Cube). The weight percent of oxygen (O) was determined by difference according to eq 1.

\[ O \% = 1 - (C + H + N + S)\% \]  

(1)

All the proximate and ultimate analysis results are presented in Table 1.

### 4.3. Thermogravimetry Analysis

The combustion was conducted using a thermogravimetric analyzer (Netzsch, STA 449 F5). Approximately 10 mg sample was placed in an aluminum crucible and heated from room temperature (about 26 °C) to 1000 °C with three heating rates (10, 15, and 20 °C/min). The airflow rate was maintained at 20 mL/min.

#### 4.3.1. Combustion Characteristic Parameters

The combustion characteristic parameters mainly refer to ignition temperature \( T_i \), burnout temperature \( T_f \), and combustion characteristic index \( S_N \). They were defined by analyzing the thermogravimetric (TG) curve and DTG curve.

The ignition temperature \( T_i \) and burnout temperature \( T_f \) were determined by the TG–DTG joint definition method as presented in Figure 5.\(^{41,42} \)

A vertical green line was plotted through the peak point of the DTG curve to meet the TG curve. Then, a tangent was made to the intersection. Finally, the temperature corresponding to the intersection of the tangent and upper horizontal blue line (it was defined as the TG curve begins to lose weight) was recorded as the ignition temperature.

Similarly, the temperature corresponding to the intersection of the tangent and lower horizontal blue line (it was defined as the end of weight loss of the TG curve) was recorded as the burnout temperature.

As an important indicator of combustion performance, the combustion characteristic index \( S_N \) was calculated according to eq 2.\(^{43,44} \)

\[ S_N = \frac{(d\alpha/dt)_{\text{max}}(d\alpha/dt)_{\text{mean}}}{T_i/T_f} \]  

(2)

where \((d\alpha/dt)_{\text{max}}\) (°/min) is the maximum burning rate, \((d\alpha/dt)_{\text{mean}}\) (°/min) is the average burning rate, and \( T_i \) and \( T_f \) are the ignition and burnout temperatures, respectively.

Meanwhile, the average burning rate was determined as eq 3.

\[ (d\alpha/dt)_{\text{mean}} = \beta \times \frac{\alpha_i - \alpha_f}{T_i - T_f} \]  

(3)

where \( \beta \) is the heating rate, \( \alpha_i \) is the percentage of the remaining samples corresponding to ignition temperature, and \( \alpha_f \) is the percentage of the remaining samples corresponding to burnout temperature.

#### 4.3.2. Study of Kinetic Modeling

During thermal decomposition, the reaction process can be described as follows

\[ \text{Biomass} \rightarrow \text{volatiles} + \text{gas} + \text{biochar} \]

The precipitation rate of volatiles, also named the reaction rate, can be expressed as follows

\[ \frac{d\alpha}{dt} = k(T)f(\alpha) \]  

(4)

where \( \alpha \) is the rate of conversion during thermal decomposition, \( d\alpha/dt \) is the reaction rate, \( t \) is time, \( T \) is the absolute temperature, \( k(T) \) is the temperature-dependent rate constant, and \( f(\alpha) \) is the reaction model.

Moreover, \( \alpha \) can be written as follows

\[ \alpha = \frac{m_i - m_t}{m_i - m_f} \]  

(5)

where \( m_i \) is the initial mass of the experimental sample before combustion, \( m_f \) is the mass of experimental sample at any time \( t \) during combustion, and \( m_t \) is the final mass of the experimental sample at the end of the combustion.

Here, introducing the Arrhenius laws

\[ k(T) = A \exp\left(-\frac{E}{RT}\right) \]  

(6)

where \( A \) is the pre-exponential factor, \( E \) (J/mol) is the activation energy of the combustion, \( R \) (J/kmol·K) is the gas constant, and \( T \) (K) is the absolute temperature.

Thus, combining eqs 4 and 6, it then can be rearranged as follows

\[ \frac{d\alpha}{dt} = A \exp\left(-\frac{E}{RT}\right)f(\alpha) \]  

(7)

In addition, the temperature increases with a constant heating value. Then, take \( \beta = \frac{dT}{dt} = \frac{dT}{dt} \times \frac{d\alpha}{dt} \) into eq 7. Hence, eq 7 can be rearranged as follows

\[ \frac{d\alpha}{dT} = A \exp\left(-\frac{E}{RT}\right)f(\alpha) \]  

(8)

Following is the integration function of eq 8.

\[ \int_0^\alpha \frac{1}{f(\alpha)} d\alpha = \int_0^T A \exp\left(-\frac{E}{RT}\right)dT = \frac{AE}{\beta R} \]  

\[ \int_x^{\infty} u^{-2}e^{-u}du = \frac{AE}{\beta R}P(x) \]

### 4.4. KAS Method

The KAS method is presented as follows.\(^{45,46} \)

\[ \ln\left(\frac{\beta}{T^2}\right) = \ln\left(\frac{AE}{Rg(x)}\right) - \frac{E}{RT} \]

While plotting the \( \ln\left(\frac{\beta}{T^2}\right) \) vs \( \frac{1}{T} \), a straight line can be drawn and the slope of the fitted curve is equal to \(-\frac{E}{R}\), then \( E \) can be calculated.

### 4.5. OFW Method

The OFW method is presented as follows.\(^{47,48} \)
\[ \ln \beta = \ln \left( \frac{AE}{Rg(x)} \right) - 5.331 - 1.052 \left( \frac{E}{RT} \right) \]

where the plot of \( \ln \beta \) against \( \frac{1}{T} \) can be drawn, and the slope of the fitted curve is equal to \(-1.052 \frac{E}{RT}\); then \( E \) can be calculated.
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