Interpretation of Upper Gastrointestinal Tract Mucosal Biopsies – A Tertiary Care Centre Experience
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ABSTRACT

Background: Endoscopy is one of the initial diagnostic tests performed for the evaluation of upper gastrointestinal (GI) symptoms in clinical practice. Accurate interpretation and confirmation can be achieved by histopathological study of endoscopic biopsies and is one of the bases for planning proper treatment.

Methods: Total 200 endoscopic biopsies, 50 from oesophagus, 50 from stomach and 100 from duodenum received in the department of pathology of a tertiary care medical college and hospital, Ahmedabad, Gujarat, India, over a period of two years from patients of all ages and sex with upper GI symptoms were included. Specimens were processed according to standard protocol and then reported by pathologist. The spectrum of histopathological lesions, whether inflammatory, non – neoplastic or neoplastic was evaluated along with the overall frequency, age and sex distribution of various upper gastrointestinal lesions.

Result: Out of 200 cases of endoscopic biopsies received, 114 were males and 86 were females (M:F- 1.3:1). Majority were non-neoplastic lesions comprising of 153 (76.5%) cases. Out of 38(19%) malignant cases, oesophagus was the most common site comprising 78.9% and duodenum was the least common site comprising 10.5% of total malignancy. In duodenum 25% cases of celiac disease were also identified.

Conclusion: In this prospective study, the commonest site for upper GI endoscopic biopsy was from the duodenum (50%). Overall Inflammatory lesions were more common (76.5%), the predominant sites being stomach (88%) and duodenum (68%). Most common site for malignancy was oesophagus, histological type was Squamous Cell Carcinoma predominantly. Endoscopic biopsy serves as an effective tool for the diagnosis and management of upper gastrointestinal lesions.
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Introduction

Various pathology involving the upper Gastrointestinal (GI) tract manifest with a similar group of symptoms which may be difficult to assess clinically. There are several diagnostic investigations available in the evaluation of these symptoms where endoscopy is performed as the initial diagnostic test. Endoscopic screening may detect mucosal lesions at an early stage especially atrophy, intestinal metaplasia and dysplasia so as to prevent progress of lesions to invasive cancer.[1,2,3]

Endoscopy with biopsy and histological examination is more rewarding than endoscopy alone [4] as more accurate and detailed information results from histological examination of mucosal biopsy specimens.[5] In routine clinical practice histology is often considered as the ‘Gold standard’ against which other tests are performed.[6]

In this study the nature and frequency of different upper gastrointestinal lesions, benign or malignant, diagnosed on endoscopic biopsy, in different age groups was evaluated.

Materials and Methods

The present study was carried out in department of pathology of a tertiary care medical college and hospital, Ahmedabad, Gujarat, India from January 2016 to December 2017. Total 200 endoscopic biopsies, 50 from oesophagus, 50 from stomach and 100 from duodenum were collected from patients of all ages and sex with upper GI symptoms and included in the study. Biopsies from lesions of mouth, pharynx or jejunum and below were excluded. The demographic data was collected from the clinical records of the patient. Majority of oesophageal biopsies were from thickened area, friable growth and ulcerated area in middle and lower part of oesophagus. Gastric biopsies were predominantly from antrum and body of stomach. All the duodenal biopsies were taken from second part of duodenum (D2) showing scalloping, nodularity or growth. All tissues were fixed in 10% formaldehyde, routinely processed in an automatic tissue processor and then paraffin wax blocks prepared. Three to five serial sections of four to five micron thickness were cut, stained with Hematoxylin...
and Eosin and then analyzed microscopically by a pathologist. Special stains like PAS and Giemsa’s stains were used in selected cases to rule out /confirm H.pylori and other lesions. Adequacy of biopsy was assessed and tumours were classified according to WHO classification.

Grading of duodenal biopsies was done according to modified Marsh Classification.[7]

The clinical & histopathological data so obtained were statistically analyzed using Microsoft Excel software.

**Result**

Out of 200 cases of endoscopic biopsies received, majority were from duodenum accounting for 50% (100) of total, biopsies from oesophagus (n=50) and stomach (n=50) comprised 25% each. There were 114 male patients and 86 female patients (M:F- 1.3:1).

Table 1 shows the distribution of upper GI lesions according to age and site. Highest no. of biopsies (n=73, 36.5%) were performed in 51-70 yrs, followed by 54(27%) biopsies in 31-50 yrs, 52 (26%) in 0-30 yrs and lowest were in 70-90 yrs (n=21, 10.5%).

Non-neoplastic lesions comprised of 153 (76.5%) cases out of which histologically the predominant lesions seen were: chronic duodenitis (n=68, 34%), non specific gastritis (n=27, 13.5%), Celiac disease (n=25, 12.5%) and oesophagitis (n=12, 6%). Premalignant lesions, histologically evident as dysplasia, were seen in 9(4.5%) cases; 5 in oesophagus and 4 in stomach. Out of 38(19%) malignant cases, oesophagus was the most common site comprising 78.9% (30/38) of total malignancy.

In oesophagus out of 50 cases, malignancy was more common accounting for 60% (30/50) of the cases. Dysplasia was seen in 10% (5), Barret’s oesophagus in 6% (3) and oesophagitis in 24%[12] of cases. (Table 2) Amongst the malignant cases Squamous Cell Carcinoma (26/30,86.6%) was more common than adenocarcinoma (4/30, 13.4%). Majority of Squamous cell carcinomas detected were moderately differentiated (n=17, 65.4%).

Most of the gastric lesions encountered were inflammatory (n=44,48%) showing Chronic Non specific gastritis in 54%, H.pylori induced gastritis in 12%, erosive gastritis in 6%, chronic gastritis with intestinal metaplasia in 8% and chronic gastritis with dysplasia in 8%. Gastric polyp was seen in 4% of cases. All the 4(8%) malignant cases were of adenocarcinoma. (Table 3)

Malignancy was least common in duodenum comprising 4% of cases whereas nonspecific duodenitis was most common (68%). 25 cases of Coeliac disease were detected and classified according to modified Marsh Classification. Type IIIA was the most common (10%), followed by Type IIIB (7%), Type II (6%) and Type I (2%) in that order. (Table 4)

| Age in years | Oesophagus (50) | Stomach (50) | Duodenum (100) |
|--------------|-----------------|--------------|-----------------|
|              | Oesophagitis    | Malignancy   | Dysplasia       | Metaplasia      | H pylori Gastritis | Non H pylori Gastritis | Erosive Gastritis | Malignancy | Polyp | Dysplasia | Others | Duodenitis | Celiac Disease | Malignancy | Others | Total |
| 0-20         |                 |              | 1              | 1               |                 |                 |                 |            |      |         |        |            |              |            |        |       |      |
| 21-30        | 2               | 2            | 1              | 4               | 1               |                 |                 | 1           | 16   | 9        |        |            |              |            |        |       | 36    |
| 31-40        | 1               | 2            | 1              | 4               | 1               |                 |                 | 1           | 10   | 2        |        |            |              |            |        |       | 21    |
| 41-50        | 1               | 4            | 1              | 6               | 1               | 1               |                 | 2           | 10   | 5        | 2      |            |              |            |        |       | 33    |
| 51-60        | 4               | 6            | 1              | 2               | 5               | 1               | 1               | 3           | 10   | 3        | 2      |            |              |            |        |       | 38    |
| 61-70        | 2               | 13           | 1              | 5               | 2               |                 |                 | 8           | 1    | 2        | 1      |            |              |            |        |       | 35    |
| 71-90        | 2               | 3            | 4              | 2               | 2               | 1               |                 | 1           | 1    | 5        |        |            |              |            |        |       | 21    |
| **Total**    | **12**          | **30**       | **5**          | **3**           | **6**           | **27**          | **3**           | **4**       | **2** | **4**    | **4**  | **68**     | **25**       | **4**     | **3**  | **200**|
### Table 2: Types Of Histopathological Lesions In Oesophagus.

| Lesion                                      | No. of cases | Percentage (%) |
|---------------------------------------------|--------------|----------------|
| Benign Lesions                              | 20           | 40             |
| Chronic non specific oesophagitis           | 12           | 24             |
| Metaplasia (Barrets oesophagus)             | 3            | 6              |
| Dysplasia                                   | 5            | 10             |
| Malignant Lesions                           | 30           | 60             |
| Squamous Cell Carcinoma                     | 26           | 52             |
| Adenocarcinoma                              | 4            | 8              |

### Table 3: Types Of Histopathological Lesions In Stomach.

| Type of Lesion                               | No. of Cases | Percentage (%) |
|---------------------------------------------|--------------|----------------|
| Chronic non-specific gastritis              | 27           | 54             |
| Chronic gastritis with H.Pylori             | 6            | 12             |
| Erosive Gastritis                           | 3            | 6              |
| Chronic gastritis with intestinal metaplasia| 4            | 8              |
| Chronic gastritis with dysplasia            | 4            | 8              |
| Gastric Polyp                                | 2            | 4              |
| Gastric malignancy                          | 4            | 8              |

### Table 4: Types Of Histopathological Lesions In Duodenum (According to Marsh Classification).

| Type of lesion                              | No. of cases | Percentage (%) |
|---------------------------------------------|--------------|----------------|
| Benign Lesions                              | 93           | 93             |
| Non-specific Duodenitis                     | 68           | 68             |
| Type I                                      | 2            | 2              |
| Type II                                     | 6            | 6              |
| Type IIIA                                   | 10           | 10             |
| Type IIIB                                   | 7            | 7              |
| Malignant Lesions                           | 4            | 4              |
| Adenocarcinoma                              | 3            | 3              |
| Neuroendocrine tumour                       | 1            | 1              |
| Others                                      | 3            | 3              |

Fig. 1: Squamous Cell Carcinoma, moderately differentiated, Oesophagus. (10x, H&E Stain).

Fig. 2: Barret’s Oesophagus (10x, H&E stain).
In the present study, neoplastic lesions. Similar findings were observed in studies of the total oesophageal biopsies while 40% were non-malignant lesions accounting for 60% of patients with oesophageal carcinoma were in 51-70 yrs. These observations are similar to studies done by Abhilash SC et al. Chronic Non specific oesophagitis (24%) was the commonest diagnosis amongst the non neoplastic lesions similar to study by Gulia SP et al. Barrets oesophagus, a premalignant condition, was seen in 6% of cases. Abhilash SC reported 5.3% and Gumber R et al reported 4.4% cases of Barrets oesophagus. The occurrence of specialized columnar epithelium lining a segment of distal esophagus above the level of the lower esophageal sphincter is referred to as Barrett esophagus. (Fig.2) This change is acquired and the result of ulceration and subsequent re-epithelization by columnar cells of what originally was esophageal squamous mucosa. The ulceration is nearly always induced by gastroesophageal reflux and is said to occur in 10% of patients with this condition. The ultimate origin of the columnar cells remains uncertain; theoretically, they could arise from migration of gastric mucosa, as a result of a phenotypic switch of undifferentiated elements in the stem cell mucosal population, or as a repopulation from underlying submucosal glands. The main complications of Barrett esophagus are peptic ulcer, stricture, bleeding plus the development of dysplasia and adenocarcinoma. Dysplasia is found in Barrett esophagus in the absence of carcinoma in 5–10% of the cases and in association with carcinoma in 68–100% of the cases. Risk for development of invasive carcinoma in patients with dysplasia in Barrett’s oesophagus is estimated to be 30 to 40 fold higher than in general population. Thus the identification of this lesion is important and endoscopic biopsy is a successful tool for the same.

Dysplasia is found in Barrett esophagus in the absence of carcinoma in 5–10% of the cases and in association with carcinoma in 68–100% of the cases. Risk for development of invasive carcinoma in patients with dysplasia in Barrett’s oesophagus is estimated to be 30 to 40 fold higher than in general population. Thus the identification of this lesion is important and endoscopic biopsy is a successful tool for the same.

**Distribution of Gastric Lesions:** In the present study, overall inflammatory lesions (88%) were more common in gastric biopsies and chronic non-specific gastritis (54%) was the most common diagnosis. Memon F et al. and Gumber R et al. also showed similar trends. H.pylori gastritis was seen in 12 % of cases. In various studies done H.pylori gastritis has been reported variably ranging from 3.9% to as high as 36%. The wide variation may be due to the subjective variation, density of the organism and low sensitivity of H&E stain along with Giemsa stain to identify the bacteria. In one study, Polymerase Chain Reaction (PCR) detected H. pylori in about 20% of histologically negative gastric biopsies. Medical therapy...
can lead to eradication of the organism and a regression of the inflammatory changes in the mucosa. As there was no history available for previous medications by the patient, this could also be a contributory factor for the low incidence of H. Pylori gastritis in the present study. Gastritis with intestinal metaplasia or dysplasia is an important histological finding as it denotes a premalignant condition. In 16% of cases, intestinal metaplasia/dysplasia was seen along with gastritis. Similar observation was also made by Abhilash SC et al. Only 8% of gastric biopsies were diagnosed as malignancy on histology, adenocarcinoma being the histological type. Memon F et al, Gulia SP et al and Abhilash SC et al also reported a lower prevalence of malignancy, frequencies of 5%, 6.1% and 14.7% respectively. In contrast, studies done by Krishnappa R et al, Gumber R et al reported 27.9% & 29% cases of malignancy respectively. Although the incidence of gastric carcinoma is comparatively lower in India than in other countries, a high incidence has been noted in Southern India, particularly in Chennai. Aparajita A et al, Qureshi et al and Gulia SP et al also reported adenocarcinoma as the most common histological type in gastric carcinoma similar to the present study.

**Distribution of Duodenal Lesions:** Non-specific duodenitis (68%) was the most common lesion encountered in duodenum. Duodenal has a rich rapidly regenerating epithelial lining which can easily be affected by any inflammatory insult. Inflammatory lesions are more common in duodenum was also shown by studies done by Abhilash SC et al, Khandelia R et al and Shepherd NA et al. Coeliac disease (Fig.3) was seen in 25% of cases. The currently used grading system of celiac disease is a modification of the Marsh (Marsh – Oberhuber) criteria adopted by the National Institutes of Health.

Grade 0 = normal

Grade 1 = Intraepithelial lymphocyte (IELs) only

Grade 2 = IELs plus crypt proliferation

Grade 3a, b, c = mild, moderate, and severe shortening of villi, respectively.

Increased intraepithelial T lymphocytes, although far from being pathognomonic, are a clue to the diagnosis in specimens in which villous atrophy may not be evident. It should be emphasized that villous atrophy is not pathognomonic of celiac disease. In present study Type IIIA (10%) was the most common and Type I(2%) was the least common. This is in contrast to findings of Farzana Memon who reported a high suspicion of celiac disease in 76.1% of cases amongst which mild celiac disease was more common (35%). Small tissue biopsy and fragmented bits make the identification of IELs difficult which may be the cause for less number of type I disease in the present study. In the upper gastrointestinal lesions, duodenum presented with the least number of malignant cases (4%). This could not be compared with other studies as the numbers of duodenal biopsies included were very less in the various studies.

**Conclusion**

In present study the commonest site of endoscopic biopsy was from duodenum. Overall inflammatory lesions were common, most common being chronic non-specific duodenitis. In 4.5% of cases premalignant lesions were identified and malignancy was identified in 19% of cases, oesophagus being the most common site of malignancy. Malignant lesions were more common in sixth decade. Thus, ulcerative or fibrotic lesions in oesophagus must always be biopsied to rule out a malignant etiology. Endoscopy is a least invasive, daycare procedure which when combined with endoscopic biopsy helps to identify and classify the various upper gastrointestinal lesions along with the premalignant lesions. Biopsy tissue can also be further used for Immunohistochemistry in malignant lesions.
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