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Abstract

Background: The aim of the present study was to explore the brain active characteristics of patients with irritable bowel syndrome with diarrhea (IBS-D) using resting-state functional magnetic resonance imaging (rsfMRI) technology.

Methods: Thirteen IBS-D patients and fourteen healthy controls (HC) were enrolled. All subjects underwent head MRI examination during resting state. A voxel-based analysis of fractional amplitude of lowfrequency fluctuation (fALFF) maps between IBS-D and HC was performed using a two-sample t-test. The relationship between the fALFF values in abnormal brain regions and the scores of Symptom Severity Scale (IBS-SSS) were analyzed using Pearson correlation analysis.

Results: Compared with HC, IBS-D patients had lower fALFF values in the left medial superior frontal gyrus and higher fALFF values in the left hippocampus and right precuneus. There was a positive correlation between the duration scores of IBS-SSS and fALFF values in the right precuneus.

Conclusion: The altered fALFF values in the medial superior frontal gyri, left hippocampus and right precuneus revealed changes of intrinsic neuronal activity, further revealing the abnormality of gut-brain axis of IBS-D.

Background

Irritable bowel syndrome (IBS) is one of the most common functional gastrointestinal (GI) disorders affecting up to 11.5% of the general global population [1]. According to Rome III criteria, IBS was divided into three clinical subtypes: IBS with diarrhea (IBS-D), IBS with constipation (IBS-C), and IBS with a mixed bowel pattern (IBS-M). IBS-D is the most common subtype of IBS and has a lower disease-specific quality of life than do the other two subtypes [2]. Current treatment options for IBS-D are limited [3–5].

Though the pathophysiology of IBS is not well understood, the interaction of psychosocial factors and gut physiology has been unanimously accepted views [6–9]. The first reason is that psychosocial factors are involved in the onset of IBS and closely related to clinical efficacy [10, 11]. Studies have shown that anxiety and depression can double the risk of IBS onset [12], also aggravate gastrointestinal symptoms of IBS [13]. Another powerful reason is the reciprocal communication between central nervous system (CNS) and enteric nervous system (ENS), described as brain-gut axis [14, 15]. A review showed that several brain regions involving prefrontal lobe and cingulate gyrus, which were responsible for the process of “top-down” emotional and cognitive pain modulation, were involved in symptoms of abdominal pain of IBS [16]. Recently, one studies by Peter et al. [17] demonstrated that psychological state can change the proportion of intestinal microbiota, Daulatzai and Labus also found pathogenic gut microbiota-related systemic inflammation contributes to dysfunctional changes in brain regions including the hippocampus, underscoring the role of brain-gut-microbiota interactions in IBS. [18, 19].
Several lines of evidence from brain imaging studies have shown that IBS is closely related to structural and functional changes of brain [20, 21], further suggesting the important role for gut-brain-axis (GBA) in the pathogenesis of IBS. Using MRI and voxel-based morphometry method, Seminowicz et al. [22] examined brain anatomical differences between IBS patients and healthy individuals. They further analyzed subgroups and subclinical symptoms, and found that IBS-D was associated with changes of the posterior parietal cortex (PPC)/middle frontal gyrus (MFG)/bilateral ventral striatum/pregenual anterior cingulate cortex (ACC), pain symptoms with MFG, ventral striatum, ventrolateral prefrontal cortex (vIPFC), Orbitofrontal Cortex (OFC), emotional symptom with MFG, hippocampus and thalamus[22]. Based on functional MRI, Guleria et al. [23] examined brain responses to rectal balloon distension. They reported that the inferior orbito-frontal cortex, left calcarine, and bilateral fusiform gyri were activated in IBS-D and that the right mid-cingulate cortex was activated in IBS-C [23]. These neuroimaging studies showed that brain networks, including cognitive and emotional networks, were involved in pathogenesis of IBS, and there was heterogeneity among different subgroups.

Resting-state fMRI is a more important tool to examine brain functional activities of IBS when intestinal tract is at rest state. Based on rsfMRI and the amplitude of low-frequency fluctuation (ALFF) method, Ma et al. [24] reported that IBS patients showed decreased ALFF values in the left superior frontal gyrus, right hippocampus, right MFG, bilateral postcentral gyrus, and right superior temporal pole and increased ALFF values in the left median cingulate and left calcarine. There was a significant correlation between ALFF values in the altered regions and duration of disease in IBS [24]. Qi et al. [25] reported that IBS patients had decreased ALFF values in several core default mode network regions and increased ALFF values in the bilateral posterior insula and cuneus.

However, the brain function of IBS-D patients during resting-state is still unclear. Therefore, we chose IBS-D patients as research subjects. All subjects underwent rsfMRI. fALFF was calculated to analyze the rsfMRI data. Considering the role of psychosocial factors in IBS [26], we hypothesized that IBS-D patients have abnormal activity in emotional and cognitive areas.

**Methods**

**Subjects**

Twenty-seven right-handed subjects were recruited from ××× Hospital, including 13 IBS-D patients (8 men, 5 women; mean age, 32.23 ± 5.96 years; range, 24–40 years) and 14 healthy controls (8 men, 6 women; mean age, 29.14 ± 5.92 years; range, 24–44 years). The mean duration of IBS was 19.31 ± 4.50 months (range, 12–24 months). The diagnostic criteria used for IBS-D were the Rome III criteria [27]. Exclusion criteria included pregnancy, substance abuse, abdominal surgery, tobacco dependence and psychiatric illness. In addition, IBS-D subjects with current, regular use of analgesic drugs were also excluded. The IBS Symptom Severity Scale (IBS-SSS) was completed before scanning to determine IBS severity [28]. All procedures were approved by the ethics committee of ××× Hospital, and all subjects provided informed consent.
MRI Data Acquisition

Imaging data were acquired using a 3T Siemens scanner (Siemens Magnetom Verio; Siemens Medical Systems, Erlangen, Germany) with an 8-channel birdcage head coil and foam padding to reduce head motion. All participants were informed to stay still, keep their eyes closed, and not to think of anything in particular. Noise-cancelling headphones were used to help reduce the noise from the scanner. rsfMRI images were obtained using an echo-planar imaging sequence with the following parameters: 36 axial slices, thickness/gap = 3/0.75 mm, in-plane resolution = 64 × 64, repetition time (TR) = 2000 ms, echo time (TE) = 30 ms, flip angle = 90°, and field of view (FOV) = 240 × 240 mm². Each acquisition consisted of 200 brain volumes. A 3D high-resolution T1-weighted anatomic image was also acquired using magnetization-prepared rapid gradient echo images with the following parameters: 176 sagittal slices, slice thickness/gap = 1/0 mm, in-plane resolution = 256 × 256, TR = 1900 ms, TE = 2.48 ms, inversion time (TI) = 900 ms, flip angle = 9°, and FOV = 240 × 240 mm².

Functional Data Preprocessing

Functional data analyses were conducted using Data Processing Assistant for rsfMRI (DPARSF) programs [29] based on the statistical parametric mapping (SPM8.0) and rsfMRI data analysis toolkits (REST). A total of 200 volumes were scanned, and the first 10 volumes were discarded to allow for equilibration of the initial magnetic resonance signal and adaptation of the subjects to the conditions. The remaining 190 consecutive volumes were used for data analysis. Subsequently, the following procedures were conducted as follows: slice-timing adjustment, realignment for head-motion correction, spatial normalization to the Montreal Neurological Institute (MNI) template (resampling voxel size = 3 mm × 3 mm × 3 mm), smoothing with an isotropic Gaussian kernel (FWHM = 6 mm), detrending and filtering (0.01–0.08 Hz). Any subjects with a head motion > 2.0 mm translation or a 2.0° rotation in any direction were excluded.

fALFF Calculation

fALFF was calculated using REST software (http://www.restfmri.net). The preprocessed time series was first converted to a frequency domain with a fast Fourier transform, and the power spectrum was obtained. The square root of the power spectrum was computed at each frequency of the power spectrum, and the average square root was obtained across 0.01–0.08 Hz at each voxel. Finally, fALFF was calculated as the ratio of the low-frequency power spectrum (0.01–0.08 Hz) to the power spectrum of the entire frequency range.

Statistical Analyses

To explore the differences in fALFF between the groups, a second-level, random-effect, two-sample t-test was performed on the individual normalized fALFF maps in a voxel-by-voxel manner. To correct for multiple comparisons, 3DClustSim, a Monte Carlo clusterwise simulation program implemented in AFNI (http://afni.nimh.nih.gov), was used to protect against false positives. The statistical threshold was set at
P < 0.005 with a cluster size of > 28 voxels, corresponding to a corrected P < 0.05. All coordinates are reported in Montreal Neurological Institute coordinates, as used by SPM.

**Correlation Analysis**

To investigate the association between the fALFF values and clinical variables, disease duration and severity, a Pearson correlation analysis was performed between the Z-value of the abnormal brain regions and the disease duration and IBS-SSS scores of IBS-D patients in a voxelwise manner. The statistical threshold was set at P < 0.05 (after FDR correction).

**Results**

**Demographic and Clinical Characteristics**

There were no significant differences between the IBS-D and healthy control (HC) groups in terms of age, gender distribution or level of education. IBS-D patients had higher scores on the IBS-SSS (Table 1).

| Demographic and clinical characteristics. |
|------------------------------------------|

|                        | IBS-D          | HC            | t’×²  | p         |
|------------------------|----------------|---------------|-------|-----------|
| Gender, n (M/F)        | 13(8/5)        | 14(8/6)       | 0.054 | 0.816     |
| Age, years             | 32.23±5.96     | 29.14±5.92    | 1.350 | 0.189     |
| Education, years       | 15.69 ± 0.85   | 16.14 ± 0.83  | 1.388 | 0.177     |
| Course (months)        | 16.6±5.10      |               |       |           |
| IBS-SSS                | 225.8±47.4     | 0             |       |           |

Data are presented as the mean ± standard deviation. Independent-samples t-tests and ×² statistics were used for data analysis. IBS-D: diarrhea-predominant irritable bowel syndrome. HCs: Healthy controls. M: Male. F: Female.

**Differences in fALFF between the Two Groups**

Compared with HCs, IBS-D patients had lower fALFF in the left medial superior frontal gyri, and they had higher fALFF in the left hippocampus and right precuneus (Table 2, Fig. 1).

| Brain regions                  | Voxels | BA | MNI coordinates | T-value  |
|--------------------------------|--------|----|-----------------|----------|
| IBS-D > HCs                    |        |    |                 |          |
| Left hippocampus               | 42     | 20 | -36 -39 0       | 5.6806   |
| Right precuneus                | 36     | 21 | -48 18          | 6.7449   |
| IBS-D < HCs                    |        |    |                 |          |
| Left medial superior frontal gyri| 70     | 32 | -18 39 24      | -5.8257  |
Correlations between Abnormal fALFF and Clinical Variables in the Patients

We found a positive correlation between the duration of IBS-D and the fALFF value in the right precuneus ($r = 0.6137$, $p = 0.0257$). No correlations were found between IBS-SSS scores and fALFF values in other two brain regions (Fig. 2).

Discussion

IBS is heterogeneous, not only because of the clinical phenotypes but also because of the possible pathogeneses. IBS-D is an important subtype of IBS characterized by visceral hypersensitivity and closely related to psychological states [30]. Therefore, the regulation of GBA plays an important role in IBS-D. In the current study, we used fMRI and fALFF methods to examine spontaneous neural activity in IBS-D patients during resting state. Our results demonstrated that IBS-D patients had lower fALFF in the left medial superior frontal gyri, and they had higher fALFF in the left hippocampus and right precuneus than in the HCs. Additionally, there was a positive correlation between the duration of IBS-D and the fALFF value in the right precuneus. To the best of our knowledge, this study was the first to examine the functional activity of neurons in IBS-D patients at rest, further confirming the important role of the central nervous system (CNS) in the pathogenesis of IBS-D.

IBS-D patients showed lower activity in the left medial superior frontal gyri than in the HC. There may be several reasons for this observation. First, the hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal (HPA) axis forms a key component of GBA, and a large number of studies have confirmed that the HPA is overactive in IBS patients, giving rise to abnormal changes in the enteric nervous system (ENS)[15, 31]. It is well known that hypothalamic communication with the cerebral cortex is crucial for a wide variety of physiological and psychological functions, including managing affective processes and maintaining neuroendocrine circadian rhythms. There is a structural and functional connection between the prefrontal cortex (PFC) and the hypothalamus that regulates emotional responses to circumstances; these are known as top-down cognitive control mechanisms [32–34]. Recent research suggests that reappraisal, a top-down emotion regulation strategy, is more effective in decreasing self-reported negative affect when emotions are generated top-down versus bottom-up[35, 36]. Previous studies have shown that IBS patients had structural and functional changes in the PFC. Andresen et al[37]. found that IBS patients responded with lower activations of the PFC to both subliminal and supraliminal stimulation relative to controls, suggesting disturbances in the associative and emotional processing of visceral sensations. Based on rsfMRI and ALFF methods, Qi et al.[25] and Ma et al.[24] also reported that IBS patients had lower ALFF in the medial prefrontal cortex, middle frontal cortex, right orbital part of the superior frontal gyrus and anterior cingulate cortex than that of healthy controls. Therefore, the lower activity in the frontal lobe in our study was consistent with previous research results, indicating that the inhibitory effect of the frontal lobes on the HPA was attenuated. It also indicates maladjustment of emotional cognitive control in IBS.
patients. Second, the frontal lobe is an important part of the central descending pain control system[38]. Previous studies have shown that the IBS group had smaller reliable activation primarily in cortical regions involved in modulation of pain as well as attention, including the lateral prefrontal cortex, medial prefrontal cortex, and hippocampus and supramarginal gyrus (BA 40)[39–41]. Coen et al.[42] found that prefrontal area activity under visceral pain conditions was reduced in a working memory task acting as a distractor in comparison with no distractor. Therefore, the decreased activity in the frontal lobe in our study may reflect insufficient pain-modulating capacity in IBS-D patients.

Our study shows that IBS-D patients had enhanced functional activities in hippocampus and precuneus, in line with previous studies based on immunohistochemistry, fMRI and structural MRI[22, 37, 43]. Both the hippocampus and precuneus are important nodes of two brain networks. One is default mode network (DMN), that plays a key role in internally directed or self-generated thought, known as cognitive processes[44]. Another is Papez circuit, that is associated with processing of memory and emotion[45]. Several lines of research have shown that early-life stress is a key risk factor for IBS[46, 47], and a few researchers have explored the effect of early stress on the CNS. Graham et al.[48] reported that infants who exposed to interparental conflict showed connective changes between DMN regions. Sripada et al. [49] also reported that childhood poverty was not only associated with reduced DMN connectivity but also with higher cortisol levels in anticipation of social stress. They considered that the alterations in the DMN may be associated with less efficient cognitive processing or greater risk for development of stress-related psychopathology. The study by Chen et al.[50] demonstrated that the IBS model induced by neonatal maternal separation enhanced the expression of GluR2 and facilitated LTP in the hippocampus, possibly leading to the formation of visceral hypersensitivity at older ages. Therefore, unpredictable, stress-provoking early-life experiences may influence adolescent cognitive and emotional outcomes by disrupting the maturation of the underlying brain networks[51]. An interesting and important feature for IBS patients is that auditory stress, in the absence of direct stimulation of the rectum, can induce symptoms of IBS, further confirming the role of the CNS in IBS [37, 52, 53]. Therefore, we have reason to conclude that IBS patients integrate external stimulation (such as auditory stress) with internal experience (from adverse early-life experiences stored in the hippocampus that may be unconscious) and then appraise using the cognitive network, and cognitive bias and emotional dysregulation will be produced due to the altered networks and affect the enteric nervous system (ENS). Our results indicating increased functional activity in the hippocampus and precuneus may suggest that IBS-D patients have amplified sensitivity to external stimuli.

The last important result in our study was the positive correlation between disease duration and the fALFF value in the right precuneus. The changes in enteric microbiota due to disease course may be one reason, just as the study by Malinen et al. [54] showed that IBS patients had quantitative alterations in GI microbiota after 3 months using real-time PCR assays. These changes in internal environments had a direct impact on the CNS through GBA[15, 55]. Another important reason may be chronic stress. IBS was confirmed to be a long-lasting, recurring disorder. On average, IBS patients have symptoms for 7 days in a month, and the average number of bouts on an affected day was two, with each bout lasting an hour[56]. Lembo et al. [57] reported that IBS patients with longstanding disease (> 5 years) had significantly
increased phobia scores, anxiety scores, paranoia scores, and hostility scores on the SCL-90 scale compared to those with recent onset (> 2 years), suggesting IBS patients with long symptom duration had more psychological symptoms. Therefore, the disease itself and the duration are chronic stressors. A survey also showed that the indirect and direct costs were important stressors for IBS patients [58, 59]. Those chronic stressors may act on the CNS in two ways. One way is that the stressors directly affect the CNS, as has been shown in previous studies of posttraumatic stress disorder [60], social anxiety disorder [61] and unipolar major depression [62]. Veer et al. [63] also reported that psychosocial stress can increase amygdala functional connectivity with the precuneus in healthy subjects. Another way is an indirect effect: First, stressors have major effects on gut physiology, including visceral hypersensitivity, increased permeability and changes in gastrointestinal secretion and colonic mucosa [64, 65], and then these changes in the bowel affect the CNS.

Several limitations are worth mentioning. First, the number of participants in our study was relatively small, possibly affecting the statistical power. Second, the range of disease duration was between 12 and 24 months. Whether the results of correlations between disease duration and fALFF value in the right precuneus can be applied to other ranges of disease duration should be studied further. Third, due to a lack of available scales, we could not analyze the effect of psychological factors on brain function in IBS-D patients.

Conclusion

In conclusion, we found that IBS-D patients had decreased spontaneous neuronal activity in the left medial superior frontal gyri with increased regional brain activity in the right precuneus and left hippocampus. These regions are cognitive and stress pain modulatory brain areas. Therefore, our results could be related to cognitive impairment and weak stress/pain regulation in long-term visceral sensory abnormalities.
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