Evolutionary Self-Energy-Loss Effects in Compact Binary Systems: Importance of Rapid Rotation and of Equation of State
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Abstract. The spin-down of a millisecond pulsar in a compact binary system leads to self-energy losses, that cause the binary’s orbital period to increase (the effect being of first post-Newtonian (1PN) order). If the pulsar’s period-derivative is not exceedingly small, this effect can become measurable in long-term high-precision timing measurements of the binary’s orbital motion. We use rotating compressible spheroids to obtain an approximate, explicit expression for the orbital period derivative, due to spin-down, valid to 1PN order. This expression can be used to observationally constrain the pulsar’s moment of inertia, and, combined with other observations, the high-density equation of state of compact stars. We apply our expression to representative models of millisecond pulsars in binary systems and demonstrate the importance of including higher-order rotational effects as well as the importance of the choice of equation of state, which both have been neglected, so far, in the literature. The computed increase in orbital period is larger, by as much as 32%, when the higher-order rotational effects are included, while it can change by more than a factor of two between different plausible equations of state.

1. Introduction and Motivation

A large number of known millisecond pulsars are members of binary systems (see Phinney & Kulkarni 1994 and Lorimer 1998 for reviews). Nine new millisecond pulsars in binary systems have recently been discovered in the globular cluster 47 Tucanae (Camilo et al. 2000), and future observations are expected to yield an even larger number of such systems. Long-term timing observations of several relativistic binary pulsars have allowed the measurement of secular changes in the orbital period, caused by emission of gravitational radiation, with very high accuracy (see Kaspi 1999 for a recent review).

Various causes for secular changes in the orbital period of a binary pulsar have been examined to date. The first and traditional such study, in the case of a two-point-mass binary, has been based on the use of the quadrupole formula for the loss of orbital energy in the form of gravitational radiation and of the binary’s Newtonian (Keplerian) orbital motion (Peters and Mathews, 1963). The above lowest-order result has been extended (Spyrou and Papadopoulos 1985) to the general-relativistic 1PN approximation, with the aid of a similarly generalized quadrupole formula (Epstein and Wagoner, 1975) and of the binary’s 1PN orbital motion (Spyrou 1981, 1983; see also Blanchet and Schäfer, 1989). Of central importance to the above calculations is the fact that both members of the binary are treated as realistic bodies with finite dimensions, internal structure and internal motions, as opposed to the usual Newtonian point mass of the standard two-body Kepler problem. More specifically, the members are described in terms of the so-called inertial (or gravitational) mass, namely, their total mass-energy, and the corresponding position and velocity three-vectors. These parameters are valid to 1PN approximation and, initially, they were proposed by Spyrou (1977a,b,1978, see also Caporali and Spyrou, 1981 for an inclusion of finite-size effects in a relativistic parametrized description of the realistic binary). As a consequence, the binary’s total inertial mass manifests itself in the 1PN description of its orbital motion and, therefore, any of the member’s characteristics of internal structure and motions can in principle affect its orbital motion and hence orbital period. Especially in the case of the binary pulsar B1913+16, it was proved (Spyrou and Papadopoulos, 1985) that, based on the then available observational data (Weisberg and Taylor 1984), the relative 1PN correction to the orbital-period shortening, deduced from the above mentioned generalized quadrupole formula, was about five orders of magnitude smaller than the relative observational uncertainty.

Another cause for secular changes in the orbital period of a binary or binary-participating compact star, are evolutionary changes in the compact star’s total self-energy (Spyrou 1985, 1987; see also Spyrou 1988, 1999 for the importance, as a cause of orbital-period changes, of the accretion-induced changes of binary-participating pulsar’s
period of axial rotation and radius). Especially in the case of the binary pulsar B1913+16, it was claimed (Spyrou 1987) that an accuracy improvement in the next decimal place of the then observed values (Weisberg and Taylor, 1984) of the orbital period’s rate of change and of the associated uncertainty, would permit orbital-period shortening due to self-energy losses to be distinguished from that due to gravitational-radiation losses.

In this paper, we will focus on the secular spin-down of a pulsar (due e.g. to magnetic braking), that can cause a decrease in its rotational kinetic energy and thus, a corresponding change in the pulsar’s gravitational mass. By conservation of the orbital angular momentum, this leads to an increase in the orbital period of the binary (Jeans 1924, 1928, Smarr & Blandford 1976, Damour & Taylor 1991). If the pulsar’s period is only a few milliseconds and its spin-down rate is of the order of $10^{-19}$ s/s (which is not uncommon for millisecond pulsars), then the induced secular increase in the orbital period may be measurable with current technology. Previous studies of this effect have used only lowest-order approximations for the self-energy change of the pulsar, to obtain order-of-magnitude estimates of the effect (see e.g. Smarr & Blandford 1976, Will 1981, Damour & Taylor 1991). For example, the star was considered to be spherical, i.e. higher order rotational corrections to the shape of the star were neglected, and no particular equation of state was chosen. Here, we present a detailed description of mass-energy loss from a rapidly rotating pulsar, deriving an expression for the orbital period change that can be used to accurately constrain the pulsar’s moment of inertia, from long-term timing measurements of the orbital period. Our treatment relies on the description of rapidly rotating neutron stars as compressible ellipsoids (Lai, Rasio & Shapiro, 1993, hereafter LRS). We apply our results to typical millisecond pulsars in binary systems and derive constraints on their parameters, for the effect to be observable. Moreover, our results are derived consistently to first post-Newtonian order. The relation between mass-energy loss and orbital period increase, originally derived by Jeans (1924), assumed Newtonian gravity and isotropic mass-energy loss. The inclusion of 1PN terms in the description of the binary’s orbit, changes the orbital period at 2PN order. Thus, Jean’s relation is valid to 1PN order. Finally, we show that, including spin-orbit coupling in the description of the binary’s orbit, changes the above relation by a term that can be neglected for typical binary systems.

The outline of the paper is as follows: In the next Section 2, we derive the self-energy loss of a rapidly rotating compact star, due to evolutionary spin-down, while in Section 3, we derive the corresponding orbital period change. In Sections 4 and 5 we present lowest-order estimates and exact numerical results for the orbital period change and we conclude with a discussion of our results in Section 6.

### 2. Self-energy loss due to spin-down

We will derive a relation between the spin-down rate of a pulsar and the resulting mass-energy loss, valid for rapidly rotating stars. The structure of rotating stars is approximated by compressible spheroids (introduced by LRS). These are approximate equilibrium configurations, the compressible generalization of Maclaurin spheroids (Chandraaskhar 1969), constructed using a variational method. The equation of state (EOS) has the usual zero-temperature polytropic form

$$P = K \rho^{1+1/n},$$

where $K$ is the polytropic constant and $n$ is the polytropic index. A polytropic index of $n = 0.5$ corresponds to a relatively stiff EOS, while a polytropic index of $n = 1$ corresponds to a relatively soft EOS. The rigidly-rotating, oblate spheroid has major axis $a_1$, minor axis $a_3 < a_1$ and eccentricity

$$e = \sqrt{1 - (a_3/a_1)^2}.$$

If $\bar{m}$ is the baryonic mass of the spheroid and $R_0$ the radius of a nonrotating (spherical) star of the same baryonic mass, then the gravitational binding energy $W$ is

$$W = -\frac{3}{5-n} \frac{G \bar{m}^2}{R_0} g(e) \left[ g(e) \left( 1 - 2 \frac{T}{|W|} \right) \right]^{n/(3-n)},$$

where,

$$g(e) = (1 - e^2)^{1/6} \sin^{-1} e.$$  

In (3), the ratio of rotational kinetic energy $T$ to $|W|$ depends only on the eccentricity $e$ and is given by

$$\frac{T}{|W|} = \frac{3}{2e^2} \left( 1 - \frac{e(1-e^2)^{1/2}}{\sin^{-1} e} \right) - 1.$$  

The internal energy $U$ of the spheroid is assumed to satisfy the virial relation

$$\frac{3}{n} U + W + 2T = 0,$$

and the total self-energy $\mathcal{E} = U + W + T$ is given by

$$\mathcal{E} = \frac{3-n}{3} W \left( 1 - \frac{3-2n}{3-n} \frac{T}{|W|} \right).$$

The moment of inertia is

$$I = \frac{2}{5} \kappa_n \bar{m} R_0^2 (1 - e^2)^{-1/3} \left[ g(e) \left( 1 - 2 \frac{T}{|W|} \right) \right]^{-\frac{3}{3-n}},$$

where $\kappa_n$ is a constant that depends on the equation of state (see LRS), with typical values $\kappa_n = (1; 0.82; 0.65)$ for $n = (0; 0.5; 1)$. Then, the rotational period of the star is defined through

$$P = 2\pi \sqrt{I/2T}.$$
Thus, through \( \mathcal{E}, \Omega \) and \( \kappa_n \), the total self-energy and the rotational period can be expressed entirely in terms of \( e, \dot{m}, R_0 \) and \( \kappa_n \).

As the star spins down due to magnetic braking, it follows a quasi-equilibrium sequence of constant baryonic mass (we do not consider significant mass-loss from the pulsar). Along this sequence, the total self-energy and rotational period, for a given equation of state, are then functions of the eccentricity only. In order to derive useful relations, we employ a series expansion of the structure of rotating compressible spheroids, around the nonrotating configuration, in powers of the eccentricity \( e \), and retain terms of order at most six in \( e \), a quite accurate, indeed, approximation for rotational periods of a few milliseconds. The total self-energy and angular velocity \( \Omega = 2\pi/P \) are then written as

\[
\mathcal{E} = -\frac{3 - 3n}{5 - n} \frac{G\dot{m}^2}{R_0} \left[ 1 - \frac{2}{5(3-n)} e^2 - \frac{9}{35(3-n)} e^4 \right. \\
\left. - \frac{2(2050 - 1383n + 227n^2)e^6}{2625(3-n)^3} + O_8 \right],
\]

\[
\Omega = e \left[ 1 - \frac{3(11n - 5)}{70(3-n)} \dot{\Omega}^2 + \frac{9(25 + 982n - 416n^2)}{9800(3-n)^2} \dot{\Omega}^4 \\
- \frac{(3490875 + 12039717n - 11829047n^2 + 7669405n^3)}{7546000(3-n)^3} e^6 + O_8 \right].
\]

Inverting the series expansion of \( \Omega \), one obtains the following expansion for the eccentricity:

\[
e = \frac{\bar{\Omega}}{\sqrt{2}} \left[ 1 + \frac{3(11n - 5)}{140(3-n)} \frac{397n^2 + 414n + 225}{2800(3-n)^2} \dot{\Omega}^4 \\
+ O_6 \right],
\]

where

\[
\bar{\Omega} = \Omega \sqrt{\frac{|3 - n|\kappa_n R_0^3}{G\dot{m}}},
\]

is a dimensionless form of the angular velocity. Taking time derivatives (denoted by an overdot), one can express the mass-energy loss \( \dot{\mathcal{E}} \) in terms of the spin-down rate \( \dot{P} \):

\[
\dot{\mathcal{E}} = -4\pi^2 I_0 \frac{\dot{P}}{P^3} \left[ 1 + \frac{6\pi^2(5+n)}{5(3-n)} \frac{1}{P^2} \right. \\
\left. + \frac{4\pi^4(275 + 204n + n^2)}{35(3-n)^2} \frac{1}{P^4} + O_6 \right].
\]

where \( \bar{\Omega} = 2\pi/\bar{\Omega} \) is a dimensionless form of the rotational period and \( I_0 = \frac{2}{5}\kappa_n\dot{m}R_0^2 \) is the moment of inertia of a nonrotating star of baryonic mass \( \dot{m} \) and radius \( R_0 \). With this definition, \( R_0 \) remains constant during spin-down (when changes in the baryonic mass are neglected), while the equatorial and polar radii can both change due to the changing eccentricity.

### 3. Orbital Period Change

The gravitational mass \( m \) of a compact star (entering the gravitational equations of motion) can be decomposed (Spyrou 1977a) as

\[
m = \bar{m} + \frac{\mathcal{E}}{c^2},
\]

where \( \bar{m} \) is the baryonic rest mass and \( \mathcal{E} \) is the Newtonian self-energy, i.e.

\[
\mathcal{E} = W + U + T
\]

We consider the 1PN motion of a binary system, consisting of two compact objects of gravitational masses \( m_p \) (primary) and \( m_c \) (companion). The orbital period of the binary system of total mass \( M = m_c + m_p \) and orbital energy \( E = \mu E_0 \) (where \( E_0 \) is the orbital energy per unit reduced gravitational mass) is (Blanchet & Schäfer, 1989)

\[
P_b = \frac{2\pi GM\mu^{3/2}}{(-2E)^{3/2}} \left[ 1 + \frac{1}{4} \left( \frac{\mu}{M} - 15 \right) \frac{E}{\mu c^2} \right],
\]

and \( \mu = m_cm_p/(m_c + m_p) \) is the reduced gravitational mass of the system. If the primary loses energy isotropically and the orbital angular momentum is conserved, then it has been shown by Jeans (1924,1928), assuming mass-energy equivalence and Keplerian orbital motion, that the induced change in the binary’s orbital period is

\[
\frac{\dot{P}_b}{P_b} = -\frac{2\dot{m}_p}{M}.
\]

It is easy to show that under our assumptions, the 1PN term on the r.h.s. of (17) does not contribute to 1PN order in (15).

We notice that, the pulsar’s spin can be included in the equations describing the orbital motion, following, e.g. the formalism of Gergely, Perjes & Vasith (1998). In the Appendix, we show, that including the spin-orbit coupling, the total change in the binary’s orbital period is

\[
\frac{\dot{P}_b}{P_b} = -\frac{2\dot{m}_p}{M} - \frac{3G(2 + \eta)\cos \psi}{2c^2\alpha^{5/2}\sqrt{GM}(1 - \epsilon^2)} S,
\]

where \( \eta = m_c/m_p \), \( \psi \) is the angle subtended by the orbital angular momentum \( \mathbf{L} \) and the pulsar’s spin \( \mathbf{S} \), \( \alpha \) and \( \epsilon \) are the semi-major axis and eccentricity of the orbit and \( S \) is the magnitude of the spin. In (19), only the dominant, to 1PN order, spin-terms are included. In the Appendix, we also show that, for typical millisecond binary pulsars, the spin-term in the r.h.s. of (18) is of order \( (R_0/a)^{5/2} \) smaller than the first term. Since we will not be concerned with binaries that are near coalescence, in the
remainder of this paper, we will neglect the spin-term in \( (19) \) and use only equation \( (15) \).

Assuming that the spin-down is mainly due to magnetic braking and, thus, neglecting changes in the baryonic mass of the primary, we find

\[
m_{\text{in}} = \frac{\dot{\varepsilon}}{c^2},
\]

and through \( (14) \), \( (13) \) and \( (18) \), we derive our final expression for the orbital change due to spin-down

\[
\frac{\dot{P}_b^S}{P_b} = \frac{8\pi^2 I_0 \dot{P}}{c^2 M P^3} \left[ 1 + \frac{6\pi^2 (5 + n)}{5(3 - n)} \frac{1}{P^2} + \frac{4\pi^4 (275 + 204n + n^2)}{35(3 - n)^2} \frac{1}{P^4} + O_6 \right],
\]

This expression depends only on the spin and spin-down rate of the primary, the total mass \( M \) of the binary system and the moment of inertia of a nonrotating star of same baryonic mass as the primary. To lowest order in the eccentricity, our result agrees with slow-rotation expressions derived previously in the literature (see Smarr & Blandford 1976, Will 1981, Damour & Taylor 1991)\(^\text{[4]}\) and extends those results to rapidly rotating stars. As we will show in the remainder of this paper, the higher order rotational corrections that we introduce in \( (21) \) are essential, if one wants to arrive at quantitative constraints on the structure of compact objects, using observationally derived data of the above effect.

4. Lowest Order Estimates

Before applying \( (21) \) to specific binary systems, it is instructive to use the lowest-order term

\[
\left( \frac{\dot{P}_b^S}{P_b} \right)_0 \simeq \frac{8\pi^2 I_0 \dot{P}}{c^2 M P^3},
\]

for obtaining a qualitative conclusion about the possible types of binary systems, in which the orbital change due to spin-down can be observationally important. For the effect to be measurable, it must be larger than achievable timing precision. For example, the precision with which the orbital decay \( \dot{P}_{\text{orb}}/P_{\text{orb}} \) (due to quadrupole gravitational radiation emission) of the binary pulsar B1913+16 has been measured is \( 2.3 \times 10^{-19} \text{s}^{-1} \) (Taylor 1992, 1993; Kaspi 1999).

Assuming a radius of \( R = 12 \text{km} \), a spin period of 1.6ms and assuming that \( m_c \ll m_p \), we see that the present effect exceeds the above precision, if \( \dot{P} > 3 \times 10^{-20} \text{s}/\text{s} \). In the next section, we will show that including the higher order corrections in rotation, relaxes this requirement. Typical values of \( \dot{P} \) for pulsars with periods of a few milliseconds are \( 10^{-21} \text{s}/\text{s} < \dot{P} < 10^{-15} \text{s}/\text{s} \) (Phinney & Kulkarni 1994, Lorimer 1998). Thus, we conclude that in binary systems in which the primary is a rapidly rotating millisecond pulsar with periods of a few milliseconds only and spin-down rate larger than a few times \( 10^{-20} \text{s}/\text{s} \), the orbital change due to the pulsar’s spin-down becomes, in principle, observable. In contrast, the secular increase in the orbital period of the relativistic binary pulsar B1913+16 (due to the pulsar’s spin-down) is several orders of magnitude smaller than the secular decrease caused by emission of gravitational radiation, because of the pulsar’s relatively large spin period of 59ms (see Spyrou 1987 for a related discussion - an upper limit to self-energy-loss effects has still not been reached by current observational accuracy). Hence, in the case of B1913+16 and similar relativistic binary systems, the influence of self-energy losses on the orbital period are still beyond reach of experimental verification.

5. Results for Typical Binary Pulsars

We now apply equation \( (21) \) to different possible millisecond pulsars in binary systems. We use the accuracy in measuring changes in the orbital period of PSR B1913+16, as a measure of the observability of the changes induced by spin-down. Thus, we define the ratio

\[
\Lambda = \frac{\dot{P}_b^S/P_b}{2.3 \times 10^{-19} \text{s}^{-1}}.
\]

We note that, the measured value of \( \dot{P}/P \) for millisecond pulsars is normally corrected by a galactic acceleration term. In the case of PSR B1913+16, the uncertainty in estimating the galactic acceleration term in \( \dot{P}/P \) is \( 1.9 \times 10^{-19} \text{s}^{-1} \) (Damour and Taylor 1991), which is slightly less than the presently achieved accuracy in measuring \( P/P \) for this pulsar..

In order to illustrate the importance of including the higher-order rotational terms, we define

\[
\Delta = \frac{\dot{P}_b^S - (\dot{P}_b^S)_0}{\dot{P}_b^S},
\]

as being the relative difference between the result \( (21) \) (which includes terms up to \( O_4 \)) and the lowest order estimate \( (24) \). We focus on typical millisecond pulsars of mass \( m_p = 1.4 M_\odot \) using two different equations of state that span the expected range of possible realistic EOSs: a relatively stiff EOS, with polytropic index \( n = 0.5 \) and a relatively soft EOS, with polytropic index \( n = 1.0 \). For the stiff EOS, we choose the nonrotating radius to be \( R_0 = 12 \text{km} \), while for the soft EOS, the nonrotating radius is chosen to be \( R_0 = 10 \text{km} \). In this way, the corresponding nonrotating moment of inertia \( I_0 \) is, in both cases, in agreement with the relativistic moment of inertia computed by Ravenhall & Pethick (1994) for various equations of state. Rapidly rotating neutron stars may have mass larger than \( 1.4 M_\odot \), if they are spun-up by accretion. However, the neutron...
star’s mass enters in the r.h.s. of eq. (21) only through the ratio \( I_0/M \sim R_0^4 \), which is much more sensitive to the radius of the star than to its mass. Thus, it is sufficient, in the present context, to consider only one representative value for the mass.

The mass of the companion is fixed at \( m_c = 0.2M_\odot \), which is a typical value for white-dwarf companions of millisecond pulsars in binary systems (our results are weakly dependent on the mass of the companion, if the companion is a low-mass white dwarf). We do not consider the case of binary systems with two neutron stars, as, in that case, changes in the orbital period are dominated by quadrupole gravitational radiation losses (see Spyrou & Kokkotas 1994, for an account of self-energy loss effects on the gravitational radiation from neutron star binaries).

Table 1 displays our results for the stiff EOS. For \( P = 1.6\text{ ms} \) (eccentricity \( e = 0.332 \)), \( \Delta = 26.1 \) for \( \dot{P} = 5 \times 10^{-18} \text{ s/s} \), while \( \Delta = 2.6 \) for \( \dot{P} = 10^{-19} \text{ s/s} \). The rotational effects are reduced to \( \Delta = 19\% \), for \( P = 3 \text{ ms} \) (eccentricity \( e = 0.175 \)), \( \Delta = 17.0 \) for \( \dot{P} = 5 \times 10^{-18} \text{ s/s} \) and \( \Delta = 1.7 \) for \( \dot{P} = 5 \times 10^{-19} \text{ s/s} \). The rotational effects are now only \( \Delta = 6\% \).

It is evident that the results are sensitive to the chosen equation of state. The present uncertainty in the high-density equation of state of neutron star matter (which could be as stiff as a \( n = 0.5 \) polytrope or as soft as a \( n = 1.0 \) polytrope) introduces, in the computed orbital period change, an unknown factor, which could be as large as a factor of two. A measurement of the orbital change due to the pulsar’s spin-down and a knowledge (or guess) of its baryonic mass could yield a value for (or constrain) the moment of inertia \( I_0 \) of nonrotating neutron stars of same mass \( m_p \). Combined with a measurement (or educated guess) of the pulsar’s mass, this information can

| \( \dot{P} \) (s/s) | \( \dot{P}_{\text{orb}}/P_{\text{orb}} \) (s\(^{-1}\)) | \( \Delta \) (%) | \( \Lambda \) |
|----------------|-----------------|-------------|---------|
| \( 1 \times 10^{-18} \) | \( 1.3 \times 10^{-17} \) | 32 | 56.4 |
| \( 5 \times 10^{-19} \) | \( 6.5 \times 10^{-18} \) | 32 | 28.2 |
| \( 1 \times 10^{-18} \) | \( 1.3 \times 10^{-18} \) | 32 | 5.6 |
| \( 5 \times 10^{-20} \) | \( 6.5 \times 10^{-19} \) | 32 | 2.8 |
| \( 1 \times 10^{-20} \) | \( 1.3 \times 10^{-19} \) | 32 | 0.6 |

| \( \dot{P} \) (s/s) | \( \dot{P}_{\text{orb}}/P_{\text{orb}} \) (s\(^{-1}\)) | \( \Delta \) (%) | \( \Lambda \) |
|----------------|-----------------|-------------|---------|
| \( 1 \times 10^{-18} \) | \( 6.0 \times 10^{-18} \) | 19 | 26.1 |
| \( 5 \times 10^{-19} \) | \( 3.0 \times 10^{-18} \) | 19 | 13.1 |
| \( 1 \times 10^{-19} \) | \( 6.0 \times 10^{-19} \) | 19 | 2.6 |
| \( 5 \times 10^{-20} \) | \( 3.0 \times 10^{-19} \) | 19 | 1.3 |
| \( 1 \times 10^{-20} \) | \( 6.0 \times 10^{-20} \) | 19 | 0.3 |

Table 1. Relative rate of orbital period change (second column), corresponding to different values of the pulsar’s period derivative \( \dot{P} \). Two different cases for the pulsar’s period are considered. The quantity \( \Delta \) measures the significance of the higher-order rotational corrections, while \( \Lambda \) is the ratio of the second column to the accuracy achieved in measuring orbital period changes in the binary pulsar B1913+16. The pulsar’s mass is \( m_p = 1.41M_\odot \), while the mass of the companion is \( m_c = 0.2M_\odot \). Results are for a stiff equation of state, of polytropic index \( n = 0.5 \).

Table 2. Same as Table 1, but for a soft equation of state of polytropic index \( n = 1.0 \).
then constrain the very high-density equation of state of compact stars.

6. Discussion

We have extended previous, approximate, calculations of the orbital period change in binary pulsars (due to the pulsar’s spin-down), by including higher-order rotational effects and the influence of the choice of the high-density equation of state. We have shown that the above higher-order terms, as well as the choice of the equation of state are significant, if quantitative constraints are to be drawn for future observations of this effect. We also showed that the inclusion of the pulsar’s spin in the description of the binary’s orbit, does not contribute significantly to the orbital period change. Our treatment relies on the description of rotating stars as compressible perfect fluid spheroids (ignoring the possible influence of a solid crust), in which one can express both the change in spin-period and the change in radius, during spin-down, through the change in the eccentricity of the spheroid. Binary pulsars, that are interesting for the above effect to be measurable, must be rapidly rotating, with a rotational period of only a few ms and, in addition, with a period derivative equal to or larger than a few times $10^{-20}$ s/s. As Phinney & Kulkarni (1994) point out, a millisecond pulsar like B1937+21 (which has $P_{1937}=1.56$ ms and $\dot{P}=10^{-18}$ s/s), if it were in a binary system, would yield a measurable orbital period change. Using our results, i.e. equation (22), one could then derive quantitative constraints on the pulsar’s moment of inertia. More accurate constraints could be derived, using numerical models of rapidly rotating neutron stars that satisfy the general-relativistic field equations, for various realistic equations of state. Such a computation, however, will make sense only after observational measurements of the predicted effect will start becoming available. The increasing number of millisecond pulsars discovered in binary systems (Camilo et al. 2000) is a positive sign that this could happen in the near future.
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Appendix

We have shown that (18) depends only on the Newtonian orbital characteristics (as the 1PN orbital terms contribute at 2PN order). Thus, the pulsar’s spin can be included in the description of the binary’s orbit, following the formalism of Gergely, Perjés & Vasúth (1998), who consider the correction to the Newtonian orbit, induced by the spin. We define $\eta = m_e/m_p$, $\psi$ the angle substended by the orbital angular momentum $L$ and the pulsar’s spin $S$, $a$ and $e$ are the semi-major axis and eccentricity of the pulsar’s orbit and $L$, $S$ the magnitudes of its orbital angular momentum and spin. Then, the binary’s period $P_b$, orbital energy $E$ and semi-major axis $a$, are given by

$$P_b = \frac{2\pi GM \mu^3}{(-2\mu E)^{3/2}}, \quad (25)$$

$$E = -\frac{GM\mu}{2a} \left[ 1 + \frac{GS \cos (2 + \eta)}{c^2 a^{3/2} \sqrt{GM(1-e^2)}} \right], \quad (26)$$
and

\[ a = -\frac{GM\mu}{2E} \left[ 1 - \frac{2ES\cos\psi}{c^2ML} (2 + \eta) \right] \quad (27) \]

In the case that the spin is zero and the star loses mass-energy isotropically (e.g. due to thermal emission), it has been shown by Jeans (1924, 1928) that the product \( A = aM \) remains constant during the orbit’s evolution (which leads to equation (18) in the text). We treat the inclusion of spin in the description of the orbital motion as a first-order perturbation about the orbital motion with zero spin. If so, we can write

\[ E = E_0(1 + \delta \tilde{E}), \quad (28) \]
\[ A = A_0(1 + \delta \tilde{A}), \quad (29) \]

where

\[ \delta \tilde{E} = \frac{GS \cos \psi (2 + \eta)}{c^2a^{3/2} \sqrt{GM(1 - \epsilon^2)}} \quad (30) \]
\[ \delta \tilde{A} = -\frac{2ES \cos \psi}{c^2ML} (2 + \eta) - \delta \tilde{E}, \quad (31) \]

and \( E_0, A_0 \) are the the values of \( E \) and \( A \) when spin-orbit coupling is ignored. Then,

\[ \frac{\dot{P}_b^s}{P_b} = -2\frac{\dot{\mu}_p}{M} + \frac{3}{2} \left( \delta \tilde{A} - \delta \tilde{E} \right). \quad (32) \]

Keeping only first-order terms in the spin (and keeping only 1PN terms), we find

\[ \frac{\dot{P}_b^s}{P_b} = -2\frac{\dot{\mu}_p}{M} - \frac{3G(2 + \eta) \cos \psi}{2c^2a^{3/2} \sqrt{GM(1 - \epsilon^2)}} \dot{\Omega}. \quad (33) \]

In order to arrive at an order-of-magnitude estimate for the spin-term in (33), we substitute the lowest-order (in spin-period) expressions derived in the main text (using \( S = I\Omega \)), and find

\[ \frac{\dot{P}_b^s}{P_b} = \frac{8\pi^2I_0}{c^2M P^3} \left[ 1 + \frac{3 \cos \psi (2 + \eta)}{8} \frac{1}{\epsilon \sqrt{1 - \epsilon^2}} \sqrt{2|5 - n|\kappa_n} \left( \frac{R_0}{a} \right)^{3/2} \right]. \quad (34) \]

Since the spin-term is of order \( (R_0/a)^{3/2} \) smaller than the isotropic term in (34), it can be neglected for typical binary pulsar systems considered in the present paper.