Neoproterozoic Nafun Group Sediments from Oman Affected by an Active Continental Margin
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Abstract: The Neoproterozoic era is a time of major environmental change in Earth history. The Ediacaran period (635–541 Ma), the uppermost division of Precambrian time, is characterized by the remarkable Shuram excursion (largest C isotope negative excursion), a deep ocean water oxidation event, and Ediacaran biota. The Nafun Group of Oman provides a well-preserved and mostly continuous section of an Ediacaran succession. Based on geochemical data from the Nafun Group, the Shuram excursion (SE) and deep ocean oxidation hypotheses were proposed. Now, we sampled this section at high stratigraphic resolution, and present here the petrographical and geochemical analysis of the Khufai, Shuram and Buah Formations. The major and trace element analysis of shales from the Shuram Formation indicates that northern Oman was an active continental margin environment in Neoproterozoic times. The provenance of the Shuram Formation was primarily mafic and intermediate igneous rocks. With the unsteady tectonic setting, the development of the Nafun Group was influenced by hydrothermal supply and volcaniclastic input. Based on the V/Cr and U/Th ratio of the samples from the Nafun Group, our study reveals the transition of the ocean water redox environment, which is connected to the rise and fall of the Ediacaran biota. Our study constrains the tectonic setting of northern Oman and the petrography and geochemical data from the Nafun Group for the hydrothermal and volcaniclastic supply. Thus, our study acknowledges more factors for the explanation of the Ediacaran conundrums.
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1. Introduction

Marine carbon isotope changes provide direct information on the global carbon cycle. The Ediacaran Shuram Formation (Nafun Group in Oman) is well known for the Shuram Negative Excursion (SE), which represents the largest carbon isotope excursion in geological history [1–4], with carbonate carbon isotopes values down to −12‰ VDB. Although there is a consensus on the origin of the negative carbon isotope signature of interglacial cap carbonates, the origin of the SE is still debated, and various hypotheses have been put forward to explain this shift around the globe [2]. The SE may have been caused by the oxidation of marine dissolved organic carbon (DOC) [5–8], water column stratifications [9,10], burial diagenesis alteration [11], methane-derived 13C-depleted authigenic carbonate [4,5,12–14], and terrestrial organic carbon through weathering [15,16].
Based on the abundant terrestrial debris from the Fiq glaciation (underlying the Nafun Group) and the average deposit accumulation rate of the Nafun Group, southern Oman is interpreted as late-stage rifts or passive margin [17–19]. Grotzinger et al. [20] considered that an Andean margin developed in eastern Oman and that the Nafun Group is related to subsidence of the lithosphere caused by the subduction of oceanic lithosphere beneath the Arabian plate. However, by the lack of outcrops and an incomplete understanding of tectonic evolution of the Huqf Supergroup (including Abu Mahara, Nafun and Ara Group), the tectonic models of Oman are hampered [21].

Previous research has followed the SE with interest, and took carbon isotopes of the Shuram Formation of Oman as a model for the relationship of the same abnormal excursion globally. The SE provides an isochronous stratigraphic framework for the negative excursion among the Wonoka Formation in Australia [22], Johnnie Formation in the USA [15], Doushantuo Formation in China [14], and Bol’shoy Patom section in Siberia [23]. The ocean oxidation event in the Ediacaran period has also been discussed [6,23–25]. Using the Shuram Formation (or the Nafun Group) as a reference, the oxidation of the ocean could be divided into three stages [6,23,24]. Previous research focused on C, O, S, Sr isotope and TOC of the Shuram Formation [1,3,6], but no other geochemical data of the Nafun Group from Oman have been presented recently. However, other formations with identified SE have been subject to numerous detailed isotopic and elemental analyses, having led to regional correlation of $^{34}\text{S}$ [8,14,26,27], $^{238}\text{U}$ [4,23], major and trace elements [28–30], $^{15}\text{N}$ [27] and Ca-Mg isotopes [31].

In this study, the first high-resolution whole-rock major and trace element data of the Nafun Group in Oman are reported. Moreover, combined with C and O isotope and petrography data, we discuss the tectonic setting of the Nafun Group and evaluate the role of hydrothermal fluids and volcanioclastic input in the SE. Furthermore, our data reveal the transition of the ocean water redox environment and determine the temporary oxidation of the deep water in the Shuram Formation. Our findings may contribute to a better understanding of the largest negative carbon isotope excursion and present a unique event of the carbon cycle through geological time.

2. Geological Setting

The Huqf Supergroup comprises the Abu Mahara Group, Nafun Group and Ara Group. Interpretations of the Abu Mahara Group suggest a record of the global glaciations (Sturtian and Marinoan) in Jabal Akhdar and stratigraphically equivalent to the felsic volcanics and volcanioclastics of the Halfayn Formation volcanism in the Huqf area [18,19,21,32].

The Nafun Group crops out in the Jabal Akhdar area (northern Oman), the Huqf area (east-central Oman), and the Mirbat area (southern Oman) (Figure 1A,B). The Nafun Group was subdivided into the Masirah Bay, Khufai, Shuram, Buah and Hadash Formations (Figure 1C) [18,19]. At the base of the Nafun Group, the Hadash Formation is directly overlying the Fiq glacial deposits or Halfayn Formation felsic volcanics and is considered to be the cap carbonate after the Marinoan glaciation (635 Ma) [18,19,33]. Moreover, the Hadash Formation is interpreted as the isochronous sedimentary strata for the cover of the north–south basement in Oman.

The four overlying formations contain two siliciclastic to carbonate sequences made of the Masirah Bay/Khufai and Shuram/Buah Formations, which represent transgression–regression cycles [18,33]. The shallow–deep marine sandstone, siltstone and shale of the Masirah Bay and Shuram Formations change gradationally upwards to the carbonate ramp of the Khufai and Buah Formations [34].

The Ara Group overlies the Buah Formation and is made of fine-grained sediments, carbonates, cherts and volcanioclastics in Jabal Akhdar. However, in the salt basins of central and eastern Oman, the Ara Group consists of carbonates and evaporates and forms a structural high referred to as Eastern Flank or Huqf High [35,36].
Figure 1. (A) Regional map of Oman with the Neoproterozoic outcrops and salt basins. (B) Schematic geologic map of Jabal Akhdar area. (C) Stratigraphic column of the Nafun Group. Modified after [1,33]; Age data source: [19,21].

3. Materials and Methods

3.1. Materials

The Khufai, Shuram and Buah Formations in Jabal Akhdar were systematically sampled at high stratigraphic resolution. Fresh samples were taken at about every 15 m through the section (Figure 1C), and a total of 76 samples were collected for petrographic and major and trace element analysis (see Supplementary Materials). Among them, five limestones from the Khufai Formation, three limestones from the Shuram Formation and four limestones and three dolostones from the Buah Formation were collected (Table 1).

3.2. Analytical Methods

The micrographs of the thin sections were taken by a Zeiss Axioscope A1 light microscope. Additionally, the thin section halves were stained with Alizarin Red S to distinguish calcite from dolomite, after the procedure described by [37].

All samples were analyzed with an X-ray fluorescence spectrometer to determine major and trace element concentrations. For this purpose, the hand specimens were cut and polished, and then the polished sample surface was analyzed using a hand-held Tracer IV-SD spectrometer, equipped with rhodium target and Silicon Drift Detector, and a Bruker 3V Vacuum pump. The analyses were collected...
using both the Trace MudRock (TMR) and Major MudRock (MMR) modes which operate at 40 kV and 15 kV, respectively. The vacuum conditions at which the analyses were run help prevent the absorption of low energy radiation by air within the tube known to hinder the detection of light elements [38].

The samples for stable carbon and oxygen isotope were obtained using a dental drill and analyzed by a Kiel IV automated carbonate device attached to a MAT 253 mass spectrometer in the Qatar Stable Isotope lab of Imperial College London. The powder samples of between 90 and 150 µg were reacted with orthophosphoric acid at 70 °C in individual vials. The resulting CO₂ was then trapped in a cold finger and cleaned before being automatically transferred to the mass spectrometer. Results were corrected for instrumental drift using values for NBS 19 and an internal standard (ICCM, Imperial College Carara Marble), and expressed in per mil relative to Vienna Peedee Belemnite (VPDB). Reproducibility of the standards was 0.03‰ for δ¹³C and 0.09‰ for δ¹⁸O (one standard deviation). The oxygen isotopic composition of samples with dolomite was corrected for acid fractionation using the fractionation factors given by [39,40].

4. Results

4.1. Petrography

4.1.1. Khufai Formation

In Jabal Akhdar, the Khufai Formation mainly consists of fetid limestones, lime-mudstones, siltstones, grainstones and dolomitic limestones (Figure 2A,B), and can be divided into three units. The lower unit consists of lime-mudstones and siltstones which represent a deepwater environment. The middle and upper unit are grainstones, fetid limestones and dolomitic limestones. The veins and cement calcite occur widely in the carbonates of the Khufai Formation (Figure 2C). There is also abundant organic matter in the rocks (Figure 2D).

The stratigraphic patterns indicate deposition in a deep outer-ramp environment [34,41]. The sections suggest that carbonate production replaces the siliciclastic influx because of sea-level rise or decreased rates of uplift and/or erosion. The Masirah Bay/Khufai Formation sedimentary cycle was interpreted as HST (highstand system tract) deposits after the Marinoan glaciation [18,41].

The dolomitic limestone at the top of the Khufai Formation is typically coarsely crystalline calcite and finely crystalline dolomite (Figure 2B) and may be influenced by the hydrothermal fluid [42,43]. The carbonate veins in the upper unit and the remaining bitumens in the veins could be interpreted as a past hydrocarbon migration process by the post-depositional fluids [44].

4.1.2. Shuram Formation

From bottom to top, the Shuram Formation consists of coarse conglomeratic sandstones, sandstones interbedded with siltstones, siltstones interbedded with sandy limestones, organic-rich shales, limestones and sandy limestones (Figure 2E–I). Based on thin section analysis, abundant extrusive quartz, sedimentary pyrite, white mica and relics of microorganisms are present in the Shuram Formation limestones (Figure 2G–I). The minerals and microorganisms show the same crystallographic orientation. In addition, Shuram Formation shales are rich in organic matter (Figure 2F,H), and some veins in the carbonate rocks are filled with remaining bitumens and hydrothermal coarse calcites (Figure 2I).

The Shuram Formation developed in a shallow-deepwater environment, and the facies deepened from the Huqf area to the Jabal Akhdar area [18]. In the rocks sampled from Jabal Akhdar, there is abundant sedimentary pyrite (mostly 5 to 35 µm). The precipitation of pyrite is linked to sulfate, methane, microorganisms and hydrogen sulfide [45–47], and was most likely developed by activity of microorganisms.

Quartz, calcite, pyrite and white mica show preferred orientation, revealing fluid flow in the deepwater. Based on the abundant hyalophane-quartz and white mica, which indicates volcanic
activity, the development of the Shuram Formation is probably influenced by submarine volcanism. The volcaniclastics were introduced in the formation by hydrothermal fluid flow [48].

**Figure 2.** Photomicrograph of the Nafun Group. (A) Sparry limestone, medium-coarsely crystalline, sutured contact, Khufai Formation, orthogonal polarization; (B) Dolomitic limestone, medium crystalline mosaic calcite and finely crystalline dolomite, concave-convex contact, Khufai Formation, polarization; (C) Sparry limestone, medium-coarsely crystalline, abundant veins, residual organic matter, Khufai Formation, polarization; (D) Sparry limestone, wavy organic matter, maroon pyrite, Khufai Formation, polarization; (E) Siltstone, interbedded with same orientation minerals, narrow tabular muscovite, calcareous cementation, Shuram Formation, polarization; (F) Shale overlying sandy limestone, abundant organic matter in the shale, abundant quartz and narrow tabular muscovite crystals in the limestone, all minerals in the same orientation, Shuram Formation, orthogonal polarization; (G) Sandy limestone, very finely crystalline calcite matrix, interbedded with same orientation quartz crystals, Shuram Formation, orthogonal polarization; (H) Limestone, very finely crystalline calcite matrix, laminated quartz and muscovite crystals, pyrites and microorganisms, Shuram Formation, polarization; (I) Limestone, micrite calcite matrix, calcite veins, laminated pyrites, Shuram Formation, polarization; (J) Limestone, micrite calcite overlies finely crystalline calcite, laminated pyrites, Buah Formation, orthogonal polarization; (K) Limestone, finely crystalline calcite matrix, calcite veins, remaining bitumens in the veins, Buah Formation, polarization; (L) Limestone, finely crystalline calcite, laminated abundant quartz and muscovite crystals; microorganisms, sizes range mostly from 10 to 50 µm, occasionally from 200 to 800 µm; Buah Formation, polarization. Mineral abbreviations: Cal: Calcite; Dol: Dolomite; Q: Quartz; M: Muscovite; P: Pyrite; MO: Microorganism.
4.1.3. Buah Formation

The Buah Formation is composed of limestones, dolostones, stromatolites and ooid carbonates, mudstones and sandy carbonates (Figure 2J–L). In Jabal Akhdar, there are limestones in the lower part of the formation and dolostones in the upper part (Figure 1C). There are abundant quartz grains at the top of the Buah Formation, and the quartzes show preferred orientation (Figure 2J,L). The abundant veins filled by calcite crystals usually cut the layers and some veins in the carbonate rocks are filled with remaining bitumens (Figure 2K). There are more relics of microorganisms in comparison with the underlying formations, and they are distributed along the layers (Figure 2L).

The Buah Formation carbonates are interpreted to have been deposited in a carbonate ramp, and record a sedimentary facies transformation from deep to shallow water [34,49]. The abundant veins are interpreted to have resulted from the post-depositional fluids. Furthermore, the largest \( \delta^{13}C \) negative values (Shuram Excursion) change gradually back to the positive values in the Buah Formation [1].

4.2. Major and Trace Elements

The composition of terrigenous sedimentary rocks provides a powerful tool to identify the tectonic setting [50,51]. For effective geochemical analysis, we plotted the Shuram Formation siliciclastic rocks into the Herron diagram (Figure 3A) [52], and subsequently, selected suitable samples (30 shales and 2 calcareous siltstones samples in Supplementary Materials) for the tectonic setting discrimination diagrams.

**Figure 3.** (A) Geochemical classification of the sedimentary samples based on the Herron diagram [52]; (B,C) Tectonic setting discrimination diagrams for Shuram Formation shales: major elements [51,53] and trace elements [50]. P1 (mafic): first-cycle basaltic and lesser andesitic detritus; P2 (intermediate): dominantly andesitic detritus; P3 (felsic): acid plutonic and volcanic detritus; P4 (recycled): mature polycyclic quartzose detritus. A1: arc setting, basaltic and andesitic detritus; A2: evolved arc setting, felsitic-plutonic detritus.
For distinguishing the provenance of sediments, a discriminant function diagram was proposed [53]. In Figure 3B, most shales plot along the boundary between the P1 and P2 fields and indicate a provenance from primary mafic and intermediate igneous rock sources. Roser and Korsch [51] have demonstrated that SiO$_2$/Al$_2$O$_3$ and K$_2$O/Na$_2$O ratios are good indicators for tectonic setting discrimination, also providing a specific diagram for discriminating between four main depositional environments. The samples from our study plot along the boundary between the active continental margin and the evolved arc setting fields. Although authors usually discriminate tectonic backgrounds by analyzing the major elements, there is risk and uncertainty in the discriminant diagrams for tectonic settings [54].

In contrast to major elements, a comparison of trace element concentrations could be more effective for inferring the tectonic settings, because they have a larger range of elements [55]. Bhatia and Crook [50] created a tectonic discrimination diagram using Th-Co-Zr/10 to set an additional basis for distinguishing between sediments deposited in a passive margin (PM), active continental margin (ACM), continental island arc (CIA) and ocean island arc (OIA). Most of the samples plot close to the OIA, CIA and ACM fields (Figure 3C). Basing their study on late Proterozoic clastic rocks, Winchester and Max [55] considered positive Rb, Th, Nb, Sr and Y anomalies as common features for ACM or CIA. In Table 1, these elements have obviously anomalous values. Furthermore, the large ion lithophile elements (LILE) concentration of the Shuram shales is higher than in Proterozoic shales [56], which suggests that the Shuram Formation developed in an ACM or CIA environment [55]. In addition, a comparison between three different elemental profiles [57] and those of our samples suggests that the Shuram Formation is in closest similarity to rocks deposited within an active continental margin environment.

**Table 1.** Average trace element concentration of the Shuram Formation shales associated with different tectonic settings.

| Element | Shuram Shales | OIA | ACM | PM | Proterozoic Shales | PAAS | NASC |
|---------|---------------|-----|-----|----|-------------------|------|------|
| Rb      | 178           | 30  | 62  | 50 | 165               | 160  | 125  |
| Sr      | 144           | 362 | 274 | 72 | 108               | 200  | 142  |
| Ba      | 1155          | 370 | 481 | 255| 642               | 650  | 636  |
| U       | 4             | 0.8 | 2.0 | 3.2| 3.4               | 3.1  | 2.7  |
| Cu      | 39            | 29  | 22  | 8  | 27                | 20   | 20   |
| Pb      | 12            | 15  | 15  | 11 | 35                | 27   | 35   |
| Y       | 32            | 15  | 17  | 24 | 16.8              | 18   | 13   |
| Nb      | 14            | 5   | 9   | 7  | 16.8              | 18   | 13   |
| Zn      | 102           | 88  | 73  | 49 | 102               | 210  | 200  |
| Zr      | 188           | 99  | 146 | 302| 196               | 210  | 200  |
| Th      | 14            | 1.9 | 8.5 | 8.1| 14.3              | 14.6 | 12.3 |
| U       | 4             | 0.8 | 2.0 | 3.2| 3.4               | 3.1  | 2.7  |
| V       | 113           | 188 | 106 | 44 | 100               | 140  | 130  |
| Cr      | 91            | 49  | 55  | 29 | 115               | 100  | 127  |
| Ti      | 4852          |     |     |    |                   |      |      |
| Ni      | 70            | 22  | 31  | 15 | 52                | 60   | 58   |

The average element concentrations of different settings are from [57]; Proterozoic shales, post-Archean Australian shale (PAAS) and north American average shale (NASC) are from [56,58]. Trace elements in ppm.

Major and trace elements also respond to paleoenvironmental evolving conditions. Several types of discriminant diagrams have been proposed to reveal the hydrothermal origin of the sediments, e.g., (Co + Ni + Cu) × 10 – Fe – Mn [59–61], Zn-Ni-Co [62] and Al/Al + Fe + Mn) [63]. These ratios can be regarded as a sensitive indicator for hydrothermal authigenic origin and their use has been effectively demonstrated in several studies [64,65]. In Figure 4, the samples of the Khufai, Shuram and Buah Formation are located in the area indicative of hydrothermal deposits.
4.3. Carbon and Oxygen Isotopes

The $\delta^{13}$C values in the Khufai Formation are positive, $+4.4\%o$ to $+7.8\%o$ (Table 2). Because of the lack of much carbonate in the Shuram Formation, only three samples were obtained, and they are all strongly negative, reaching a minimum of $-10.4\%o$ at the top of the Shuram Formation. The $\delta^{13}$C values start to increase and return to positive towards the top dolostone of the Buah Formation. The $\delta^{18}$O values in the Khufai, Shuram and bottom of the Buah Formation are negative, reaching a minimum of $-14.7\%o$ in the bottom of the Shuram Formation. The $\delta^{18}$O increases to positive values towards the top of the Buah Formation, co-varying with $\delta^{13}$C (Table 2).

The Mn/Sr ratio of carbonate rocks is widely used as a proxy for diagenetic alteration. It is proposed that carbonate rocks with low Mn/Sr ratio (<2) can be regarded as unaltered [66], whereas some consider that the ratio should be <2 in conjunction with $\delta^{18}$O > $-10\%o$ [67,68]. In Table 1, except for the top three samples from the Buah Formation, which may indicate possible diagenetic alteration, the other samples would be interpreted to have preserved their original isotopic compositions. The Sr concentrations in the Shuram Formation are high [11], in two cases >1400 ppm and one case >850 ppm. Another important observation is that Sr concentrations in the Khufai and Buah Formations are >2000 ppm and such high Sr could preclude the interpretation of C isotope values [11].
Table 2. Reference composite $\delta^{13}$C isotope, $\delta^{18}$O isotope, Mn and Sr data of the Huqf Group in Jabal Akhdar.

| Formation | Code | Lithology | Depth (m) | Isotope | Mn (ppm) | Sr (ppm) | Mn/Sr |
|-----------|------|-----------|-----------|----------|----------|----------|-------|
| Buah      | BAJ1 | Dolostone | 1330      | $\delta^{13}$C 1.23 | 251      | 84       | 2.99  |
| Buah      | BAJ2 | Dolostone | 1314      | $\delta^{13}$C 1.12 | 292      | 91       | 3.21  |
| Buah      | BAJ3 | Dolostone | 1240      | $\delta^{13}$C -0.88 | 228      | 89       | 2.56  |
| Buah      | BAJ4 | Limestone | 1175      | $\delta^{13}$C -6.11 | 322      | 1761     | 0.18  |
| Buah      | BAJ4B| Limestone | 1165      | $\delta^{13}$C -6.86 | 466      | 4957     | 0.09  |
| Buah      | BAJ5 | Limestone | 1152      | $\delta^{13}$C -6.83 | 340      | 2972     | 0.11  |
| Buah      | BAJ6 | Limestone | 1127      | $\delta^{13}$C -6.87 | 460      | 1142     | 0.40  |
| Shuram    | BAJ7 | Limestone | 1124      | $\delta^{13}$C -8.46 | 916      | 1436     | 0.64  |
| Shuram    | BAJ8 | Limestone | 1088      | $\delta^{13}$C -10.38 | 1631     | 1448     | 1.13  |
| Shuram    | BAJ9 | Limestone | 1001      | $\delta^{13}$C -9.54 | 1676     | 851      | 1.97  |
| Khufai    | BAJ10| Limestone | 105       | $\delta^{13}$C 5.45 | 158      | 1071     | 0.15  |
| Khufai    | BAJ11| Limestone | 79        | $\delta^{13}$C 7.52 | 183      | 2571     | 0.07  |
| Khufai    | BAJ12| Limestone | 53        | $\delta^{13}$C 7.46 | 197      | 2712     | 0.07  |
| Khufai    | BAJ13| Limestone | 27        | $\delta^{13}$C 7.81 | 232      | 2019     | 0.11  |
| Khufai    | BAJ14| Limestone | 5         | $\delta^{13}$C 4.44 | 319      | 2219     | 0.14  |

5. Discussion

5.1. Tectonic Setting

In the late Neoproterozoic-Cambrian transition, the Arabia plate, as part of East Gondwana, was involved in the collision of East and West Gondwana [19,69]. The geological history of Oman, which belonged to the Arabia plate, is expected to reveal the final amalgamation of greater Gondwana. However, different tectonic interpretations have been proposed to explain the evolutionary history of the Nafun and Ara Groups in Oman. With the restricted accumulation of the Abu Mahara and average deposit accumulation rate of the Nafun Group, the sedimentary setting of northern Oman is interpreted as late-stage rifts or passive margin [17–19,21]. However, for the regional subsidence in the Ediacaran period, Grotzinger et al. [20] and Hisham and John [70] considered that an Andean margin developed in eastern Oman and that the Nafun Group was related to subsidence of the lithosphere caused by the subduction of oceanic lithosphere beneath the Arabian plate. A similar view is that the subsidence was caused by the tectonic loading and development of a foreland basin [21]. Alessio et al. [71] studied the igneous samples from Jebel Ja’alan (North eastern Oman) and concluded that they were formed in an arc environment during the Tonian.

The Arabian plate was one of the terranes of the eastern Arabian-Nubian Shield, and southern Oman was under its influence [19]. The study of the U-Pb geochronology of the Shuram Formation shows that a large number of detrital zircons have ages between 600 and 900 Ma [18,19,21]. To explain the sources of 600–640 Ma zircons in the Nanfun Group, Allen [19] considered a provenance from the Arabian-Nubian Shield. Based on the tectonic setting discrimination diagrams (Figure 3B,C) and Table 1, the sedimentary rocks from the Shuram Formation were primarily sourced from mafic igneous and intermediate igneous rocks, and their geochemical composition indicates that the tectonic setting of northern and eastern Oman during the Neoproterozoic was an active continental margin or continental island arc. Additionally, Bowring et al. [21] suggested that the increase in volcanic and volcaniclastic rocks in the Ara Group is unlikely due to simple passive margin subsidence. Hence, the evidence above indicates that the tectonic setting was an unsteady continental margin, as opposed to not previous models that proposed a passive margin setting.

From Neoproterozoic to Cambrian times, the basins of Oman show a clear N-S trend, including the sedimentary basins of the Abu Mahara Group [72], the development of the Fiq Formation rift basins [33], and the continuous salt basin of the Ara Group [19]. Such a geometry is compatible with major tectonic structures (e.g., plate boundaries) trending similarly. Based on our geochemical analysis, we propose
that eastern Oman was located in the upper plate to a subduction zone, towards the mainland flank of a marginal, arc-related sedimentary basin (Figure 5). This basin would be affected by a Neoproterozoic volcanic belt [72], as well as multiple phases of volcanic activity in Mirbat [73] and basaltic to rhyolitic dykes in Al Hallaniyah Islands [74]. However, there is still uncertainty about the full geodynamic setting and interrelationship between eastern Oman and the rest of adjacent tectonic plates, such as the Iran plate [75] or India plate [71,76,77].

![Figure 5. Schematic simplification of the tectonic setting of northeastern Oman. Fm. = Formation.](image)

### 5.2. Hydrothermal Activity

Based on the petrographical characteristics and geological background of the active continental margin, we applied additional geochemical methods to reveal more earthbound environmental factors. The hydrothermal deposits have $\text{Al/(Al + Fe + Mn)} < 3.5$ and $\text{Fe/Ti} > 20$, and these ratios are an indicator of hydrothermal supply [64,78]. High $\text{Zr/Al}_2\text{O}_3$ or $\text{TiO}_2/\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$ ratios of black shales are signs of active continental volcanism, reflecting active tectonics [79–81]. A $\text{Zr/Al}_2\text{O}_3$ ratio $\geq 0.001$ indicates a higher contribution from volcanicles [78,82].

It is well known that the typical SE occurred in Unit 1 of the Shuram Formation [6,7,18,83,84]. In our study, the isotopic anomaly occurs in unit 3, at the top of the Shuram Formation. In Figure 6, the $\text{Al/(Al + Fe + Mn)}$, $\text{Fe/Ti}$ and $\text{Zr/Al}_2\text{O}_3$ profiles of unit 1 and 3 of the Shuram Formation show a significant change in the supply and input. It seems clear that there are links between the isotopic anomaly, the hydrothermal supply and the volcanicles. Although unit 2 of the Shuram Formation does not present much evidence of volcanicles, the $\text{Zr/Al}_2\text{O}_3$ ratio is close to the boundary line of the volcanic signals in Figure 6, so there could be a potential contribution of volcanicles in related hydrothermal fluids.

Just like the unsteady continental margin environment, the volcanicles layer overlying the Nafun Group reflects active volcanism [21,33,84], which could have caused the abundant hydrothermal fluid activity. This process affected the primary depositional features in the Mid-Late Neoproterozoic-Early Cambrian period. Although the orientation of abundant quartz and white mica (Figure 2C,D,F) might be explained by tractive current deposits, the phenomenon could indicate deep water fluid flow.

The high Sr content of carbonates of the Nafun Group (Table 2) is affected not only by its original mineralogy, diagenetic process and seawater Sr concentration, but also by the terrigenous clastic flux and submarine hydrothermal fluid. There is large evidence on major hydrothermal events during parts of the Neoproterozoic period [66], and the hydrothermal event could result in the flux of Sr to seawater, to be incorporated into later carbonate formations. Furthermore, the ocean geochemical system significantly changed from the Proterozoic to the Paleozoic. The late Neoproterozoic period must have been an important transition period, and the Nafun Group is still an ideal target for further study.
5.3. Shuram Excursion

Chemical proxies like redox-sensitive trace element compositions (Cu, Cr, V, Cd, Mo, U, Y, Zn and REEs) in shales are effective methods to deduce the redox conditions of paleo-seawater [85]. The V/Cr and U/Th ratios are accepted as evidence of a certain redox regime, where 0 < V/Cr < 2 or 0 < U/Th < 0.75, 2 < V/Cr < 5 or 0.75 < U/Th < 1.25, and V/Cr > 5 or U/Th > 1.25 represent oxic, dysoxic and anoxic conditions, respectively [86–88].

The Neoproterozoic ocean oxidation event was proposed by the indirect proxy of the SE [6] and was driven by the strong tectonic movements during the amalgamation of Gondwana supercontinent [25,69]. One proposed mechanism for the SE is the oxidation of the deep-ocean pool of the dissolved organic carbon (DOC) [5,6,89]. However, the extent and interval of widespread ocean oxygenation are poorly constrained [23,25,90]. Based on the V/Cr and U/Th data, we propose that the Khufai, Shuram and Buah formations recorded the redox transitions from anoxic to oxic to anoxic conditions. It is noted that the data from unit 2 of the Shuram Formation suggest oxic or dysoxic ocean environment, which would reveal that the onset of the Neoproterozoic deepwater oxidation event is at the bottom of the Shuram Formation, and that the decline of that is at the top of the Shuram Formation. Similar to our results, Zhang et al. [23] examined U isotope variations in the sections from South China, Siberia and USA, and concluded that the ocean evolved from an anoxic state to a near-modern oxygen level during SE. Tahata et al. [24] considered that the O\textsubscript{2} content in the atmosphere increased after the Marinoan glaciation (635 Ma) and peaked in the SE to then decreased to its lowest point in the Buah period.

The C isotopes of the samples from unit 3 of the Shuram Formation show strongly negative excursion (Figures 6 and 7). For the SE, the typical negative values occur at the bottom (unit 1 in our study) of the Shuram Formation [6,7,18,83,84] (Figure 7). With the Shuram isotope anomaly in both units 1 and 3, the hydrothermal supply and volcanic input show strong relationship with the C isotope transformation (Figure 6). Coinciding with the C isotope excursion, also sulfur isotopes show an anomaly [8,14,26,91], with 34S-depletion of sulfate interpreted to be caused by the weathering debris and oxidant event or massive volcanic/hydrothermal input [92].

Figure 6. Geochemical environmental proxies for the Nafun Group.
The negative carbon isotope excursion has been interpreted as a primary signal by some researchers, linking it to oxidation of dissolved organic carbon (DOC), water column stratification [9,10], and terrestrial organic carbon through weathering [15,16]. In contrast to the interpretation of preservation of the primary signal, another hypothesis interprets the signature as being caused by diagenetic alteration [11] or incorporation of methane-derived 13C-depleted authigenic carbonate [4,5,12–14]. Disregarding whether the SE reflects a primary or diagenetic signature, the hydrothermal supply and volcaniclastic input, which is clear from our study of the geological setting, seem to have been overlooked so far. The volcaniclastic or hydrothermal components could have influenced the ocean water chemistry, the composition of sediments, oxidation of organic matter, and recrystallization of carbonate rocks. Furthermore, the hydrothermal and redox conditions are also responsible for the enrichment of organic matter (Figure 2) [93].

The Shuram negative C isotope excursion in the Ediacaran period occurred in at least another four parts of the globe (the Wonoka Formation in Australia, Johnnie Formation in the USA, Doushantuo Formation in China and Bol’shoy Patom section in Siberia). The study of the Shuram excursion was strengthened in recent decades [2], and we aimed to provide a consideration factor (hydrothermal activity) for modelling the SE or carbon cycle.

6. Conclusions

Based on the petrography and geochemical record of the Nafun Group in Jabal Akhdar (northern Oman), we propose that northern Oman was an active continental margin or continental island arc environment during the late Neoproterozoic, and the provenance for the sediments that were deposited in that region was primarily mafic and intermediate igneous rocks. Within the unsteady tectonic setting, the Nafun Group shows a remarkable hydrothermal supply and volcaniclastic input, and the sedimentary succession shows evidence of a change from anoxic to dysoxic-oxic to anoxic conditions in ocean water.

Compared with the δ13C and δ18O profiles, the hydrothermal flux and ocean redox conditions are strongly related to the Shuram carbon isotope transformation. Disregarding whether the largest
isotope anomalies in Neoproterozoic strata resulted from a primary or diagenetic process, the regional (active) tectonic setting and ocean geochemical system probably played important roles.
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