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Abstract: Markets face a constant dilemma regarding factors that can affect customer satisfaction with online shopping. The solution to this dilemma is especially important for those markets where online shopping is not sufficiently represented, such as the Serbian market. Therefore, it is necessary to analyse the relationship of customer satisfaction and the various factors. The aim of the research was to determine the effect of certain factors of online shopping on customer satisfaction in the Serbian market. Accordingly, a research methodology and a measuring instrument were developed and applied in an empirical research on the Serbian market. The conceptual model and the measuring instrument embeds dimensions and items that correspond to specific online shopping contexts. The focus of this research stems from the need to fill in this research gap by developing an appropriate measurement instrument for evaluation of the customer satisfaction in online shopping service in Serbia. Hence, the scientific contribution of this paper lies in the fact that the developed measuring instrument embeds dimensions and items that correspond to a specific online shopping context. The first question is what are the key factors affecting customer satisfaction and online shopping service level. The customer will be satisfied when the service fulfils or exceeds its expectations [4]. Customers would purchase again only if they are satisfied, i.e. if the service provided reaches or exceeds their expectations [5]. Due to the importance of customer satisfaction, a large number of researches on this issue have been conducted on developed markets. Studies have shown that this way of shopping provides more satisfaction to modern customers who are looking for convenience and speed, compared to the classic systems [6]. In less developed markets, such as the Serbian market, there is no significant research on this topic. This research stems from the need to fill in this research gap by developing an appropriate measurement instrument for evaluation of the customer satisfaction in online shopping service in Serbia. Hence, the scientific contribution of this paper lies in the fact that the developed measuring instrument embeds dimensions and items that correspond to a specific online shopping context. The first question is what are the key factors affecting customer satisfaction and online shopping service level. The first question is what are the key factors affecting customer satisfaction. Customer satisfaction factors represent business indicators and serve as guidelines for future improvements [5]. Key factors and satisfaction dimensions in this paper were identified on the basis of the literature review dealing with this topic. Numerous authors have analysed the various online shopping service factors and dimensions affecting the customer satisfaction. For example, 11 dimensions of electronic service quality were identified as [7]: reliability, access, ease of navigation, efficiency, responsiveness, flexibility, price knowledge, assurance/trust, security site aesthetics, and personalization. An overview of the literature related to the development of the electronic service quality has shown that different dimensions are used in surveys on customer satisfaction in online shopping. However, most papers use dimensions, such as: reliability/fulfilment, responsiveness,
web design, ease of use/usability, privacy, security, and information quality [8].

On the basis of relevant literature, five dimensions of the online shopping of material products are defined: website quality, information availability, security, privacy and reliability. Accordingly, five subhypotheses are also defined within the main research hypothesis. The following discussion gives a detailed description of the dimensions and subhypotheses of the research.

The website quality is cited as a key dimension that affects customer satisfaction in a large number of studies [8]. The website quality, design and content play an important role in attracting and retaining customers [9]. According to [10], the website has a similar effect on the customer as the physical appearance of the store in traditional shopping. The aesthetic component of the website, the content transparency and the online catalogue structure are very important [11]. In [9], important items for customer satisfaction are the website availability, the ease of use, and the visual presentation of the product. Some authors state that online shopping can be viewed as "fun", where important dimensions are the visual appeal, innovation, and the flow of movement through the website [12]. Content layout must be simple and feature an easy way to navigate, order processing, appropriate personalization, search of information and product selection [13]. Based on the presented, the following research null subhypothesis is defined:

H1.1 - There is no statistically significant relationship between customer satisfaction and web site quality.

Many authors believe that the amount and credibility of the information are key elements in securing the service quality of online shopping. The amount of information is the ability to access relevant information at the time of online shopping (e.g. price comparison), while credibility implies the degree of customer confidence according to information provided by online sellers [14]. During online shopping, the customer wants to receive compatible, accurate and reliable information [15]. Providing relevant information can help online vendors to eliminate customers' concerns and fears about a particular product or online shopping [16]. Instead of "byte sound", customers want access to complete information that will enable them to make the right decisions about a product, service, or purchase [17]. Interactive tools for online comparison of products and services are considered as important tools for obtaining information. They make it easier for customers to make online shopping decisions and make them more satisfied [18]. In [19], product information, in terms of quantity and quality, has been identified as components of e-satisfaction. Having in mind the above facts, the following research null subhypothesis has been defined:

H1.2 - There is no statistically significant relationship between customer satisfaction and information availability.

Website security is defined as the ability of a website to protect customer's personal information from any unauthorized disclosure during an electronic transaction [20]. Security is considered an important factor that online shopping customers take seriously [21]. This is because security and privacy issues play an important role in creating trust in the internet transaction [22]. Security can be divided into two parts: the first part refers to the security of data and transactions, while the other part is directed at the customer authenticity [20]. For the online shopping security, a good organization of the process with precise data access procedures is crucial, as well as a security policy, an effective checking technology and certainly a human factor [23]. If a company cannot ensure data security, then it is certain that it does not have a corresponding level of corporate responsibility [24]. Therefore, secure web sites have reliable and satisfied customers. Based on the above, the following research null subhypothesis has been defined:

H1.3 - There is no statistically significant relationship between customer satisfaction and service security.

Privacy can be a major problem that discourages customers from online shopping, as customers are concerned that sellers will mislead them and misuse their personal information, in particular their credit cards. Since online shopping usually involves paying by using debit or credit cards, customers sometimes direct their attention to seller information in order to protect themselves [25]. Customers tend to buy a product from a seller whom they trust or a well-known product brand [26]. In online shopping, trust is one of the most critical factors that affects the success or failure of online sellers [27]. For example, the report indicates that 70% of US online shopping users are seriously worried about the misuse of their personal data and transaction security [28]. In [29] attention is focused on privacy and security issues and it is found that 61% of respondents would have continued with online transactions if their privacy and personal data had been protected. This shows that privacy protection in online shopping is one of the important dimensions which the customer takes into account when deciding on online shopping. Online services that have good privacy protection have reliable and satisfied customers. Based on the above, the following research null subhypothesis has been defined:

H1.4 - There is no statistically significant relationship between customer satisfaction and privacy protection.

In most papers and studies reliability is cited as a key dimension that affects the online customer satisfaction [10, 14]. In online shopping, the customer expects an appropriate standard of reliable delivery to be achieved. He expects efficient and reliable purchase and delivery at all stages from processing orders and transactions to delivery of the product. The reliability refers to the fulfillment of the guaranteed delivery conditions, that is, that the material product is delivered exactly on time, to the appropriate place, with the expected quality [30]. Delivery time is very important for online customers and they expect the service to be time reliable [31]. Reliability is associated with the observed risk of online shopping [32]. The lack of reliable, fast and accurate service causes a negative perception and dissatisfaction of customers. Reliability is an important factor of the service quality that creates customer satisfaction [33]. Based on the above, the following research null subhypothesis has been defined:

H1.5 - There is no statistically significant relationship between customer satisfaction and reliability.

On the Serbian market, about 33% of customers use online shopping service. Nearly half of Serbian online customers buy clothes, shoes and jewellery, while about a third of them buy the devices and equipment [34, 35].
Customers have different age structure. Studies have shown that younger customers, with higher levels of education and higher incomes use more often online shopping services [34]. The assumption is that customers of different ages have different expectations and perceptions of online shopping service quality. On the other hand, it is interesting to examine the customer perceptions of the online services quality in terms of gender. In accordance with the above, two other research null hypotheses have been defined:

H2 - Customer satisfaction with online shopping service does not depend on the age of the customer.

H3 - Customer satisfaction with online shopping service does not depend on the gender of the customer.

After a brief description of the research question and the definition of the main research hypotheses, a specific methodology was developed for carrying out the empirical analysis and testing hypotheses.

In the next section of the paper, the research methodology is described and then the results are presented and analysed.

3 RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

The empirical research includes three main methodological steps: selection of a representative sample, definition of the survey measurement instrument and model testing.

3.1 Sample Selection

The sample was selected in accordance with the research objective. The sample consists of customers of material products that intensively used online shopping services on the Serbian market in the past two years. In accordance with the research objectives, customers who bought different types of products through online services are not included.

Customers were selected from the Post of Serbia database which, via Post express service, delivers shipments purchased through various online shopping services. Customers of different age, gender, education and with various experience in using online shopping services were selected and surveyed. A web-based survey method was used for data acquisition in accordance with the guidelines set out in the paper [16]. The Post of Serbia has acknowledged the importance of this research and gave its support and assistance during the survey phase. Accordingly, consumers’ contacts and e-mail addresses were legally obtained from their database. The Post of Serbia informed the customers about the motives and the relevance of the research and pointed out the importance of completing the web survey. Afterwards, the authors contacted customers by e-mail and asked them to complete the survey questionnaire online. During the survey, respondents were reminded and encouraged to fill out the survey through a friendly reminder. This has significantly increased customer response, and thus the number and quality of completed web survey questionnaires. Out of 850 sent emails, 30 were not delivered and therefore were deleted from the sample, resulting in a net potential sample of 820 respondents. Out of 820 potential questionnaires, 369 questionnaires were only partially completed and 340 (41.46%) valid questionnaires remained.

As proposed in [36], the competence of the respondents is assessed on the basis of their personal data. In order to check for the respondent bias, a comparison of the response from the early and late stage of the research was made. In fact, all received questionnaires are divided into four equal groups, by respond date. In order to evaluate the difference between the responses received in certain test periods, t-tests were performed. It was found that there was no statistically significant difference in responses, which led to the conclusion that there was no significant respondent bias.

3.2 Measuring Instrument Determination

There is no clear consensus in the literature on the measuring instrument, dimensions and items to deploy for evaluation of the user satisfaction with online shopping service [8]. Most of the papers developed and used measuring instruments with different dimensions and items. Among these, certain dimensions appear in a large number of papers, e.g.: reliability, website design, security, privacy, information availability etc. [37]. Additional dimensions used in other researches depend mainly on the industry and the context of online shopping being researched [38].

Essentially, it is necessary to adapt each measurement instrument to the specific industry and market. Accordingly, this research paper developed a measuring instrument that includes dimensions of online services regularly used in the literature together with items that correspond to online purchases of material products specific to the Serbian market [34, 35].

The measuring instrument and the questionnaire are defined in three sections. The first section contains questions related to general user information. The second section contains questions about expectations while the third section refers to the observations of online shopping service users. A questionnaire with 20 items was constructed, classified into five basic dimensions:

Website quality (F1 - website design is attractive, F2 - website content is detailed and transparent, F3 - website is user-friendly, F4 - website is easy to find);

Information availability (F5 - information can be effectively reviewed and exchanged, F6 - customer questions and opinions can be immediately sent online to the appropriate departments, F7 - information can be obtained on time, F8 - questions, opinions and answers can be easily lost in the communication chain);

Security (F9 - transaction security, F10 - data access without permission is not possible, F11 - original message content remains unchanged during or after online transactions, F12 - technology provides efficient user action checking);

Privacy (F13 - customer personal and financial information protection, F14 - technology can effectively prevent theft of identity and data, F15 - payment terms and delivery conditions are protected, F16 - it is not possible to use a fake name and identity);

Reliability (F17 - online technologies are efficient in preserving accurate data values, F18 - system is able to efficiently process a large number of transactions,
connections, and commands, \( V19 \) - purchased products/services will be delivered within the time limit, \( V20 \) - delivered products/services will be of the appropriate quality.

On the basis of the Likert scale, respondents assessed expectations and perceptions by individual dimensions and items. Respondents gave ratings on a scale of 1 - very low expectation (perception) level - up to 7 - very high expectation (perception) level.

### 3.3 Model Testing

Testing and validation of the measuring instrument were performed using factor analysis and metric characteristics such as: reliability, convergence and discriminant validity. The suitability of the sample for the use of factor analysis was previously evaluated. One of the preconditions for applying the analysis is the correlation between the source variables. The correlation test found that the Kaiser-Meyer-Olkin measure of sampling adequacy was 0.846 and the Bartlett's test of sphericity (647.385) was significant at 1%, indicating that the sample was fit for factor analysis. A confirmatory factor analysis (CFA) was carried out with the aim of testing empirically and verifying the factor structure of the measuring instrument [39]. The obtained results (Tab. 3) show that each of the five dimensions explains a high percentage of total variation (from 64.26% for security to 76.169% for information availability). In such situations, the model explaining over 60% of the total variance is considered acceptable. The measuring instrument reliability was determined via Cronbach's alpha coefficient whose value ranges from 0.736 to 0.891 (Tab. 1).

Given that all values are significantly greater than 0.7, the reliability condition is satisfied and five selected dimensions can be defined as key factors [40]. The measuring instrument convergence validity and dimensionality is tested over factor loadings, which indicate the relationship between factors and associated variables. The standard factor loadings in all cases are over 0.5, which provides support for the convergent validity of dimensions [41]. The statistical significance of factor loadings is estimated and all values were found to be greater than 10 (for \( p < 0.001 \)), indicating a strong relationship between the variables within the observed factors (Tab. 1).

The variables and factors represent a unique concept and the unidimensionality condition of the measurement factors is fulfilled. The discriminatory validity of the measurement scale is tested by correlation among measurement factors (Tab. 2). There is a weak correlation between the factors (from 0.143 to 0.295) indicating that they are significantly different from each other. Hence, discriminatory validity was confirmed [40].

Since the results of the conducted tests confirmed the validity and convenience of the measuring instrument on the observed sample, the satisfaction of the online shopping service users was assessed, i.e. the determination and testing of the gap between expectations and perceptions. The obtained results are shown in the next section of the paper.

### Table 1 Factor loadings (t-values), reliability and total variation of measurement scale

| Abbreviation of measured variable | Dimensions | Website quality | Information availability | Security | Privacy | Reliability |
|----------------------------------|------------|----------------|--------------------------|----------|---------|------------|
| \( F1 \)                          |            | 0.815          |                          |          |         |            |
| \( F2 \)                          |            | 0.77 (11.65)*  |                          |          |         |            |
| \( F3 \)                          |            | 0.787 (23.43)  |                          |          |         |            |
| \( F4 \)                          |            | 0.76 (14.67)   |                          |          |         |            |
| \( F5 \)                          |            | 0.835          |                          |          |         |            |
| \( F6 \)                          |            | 0.782 (17.22)  |                          |          |         |            |
| \( F7 \)                          |            | 0.835 (18.15)  |                          |          |         |            |
| \( F8 \)                          |            | 0.797 (12.23)  |                          |          |         |            |
| \( F9 \)                          |            | 0.758          |                          |          |         |            |
| \( F10 \)                         |            | 0.862 (15.53)  |                          |          |         |            |
| \( F11 \)                         |            | 0.7568 (22.72) |                          |          |         |            |
| \( F12 \)                         |            | 0.780 (14.44)  |                          |          |         |            |
| \( F13 \)                         |            | 0.823          |                          |          |         |            |
| \( F14 \)                         |            | 0.975 (18.98)  |                          |          |         |            |
| \( F15 \)                         |            | 0.766 (13.53)  |                          |          |         |            |
| \( F16 \)                         |            | 0.834 (22.48)  |                          |          |         |            |
| \( F17 \)                         |            | 0.797          |                          |          |         |            |
| \( F18 \)                         |            | 0.808 (18.51)  |                          |          |         |            |
| \( F19 \)                         |            | 0.746 (11.68)  |                          |          |         |            |
| \( F20 \)                         |            | 0.832 (10.31)  |                          |          |         |            |
| Variance extracted / %            |            | 76.169         | 66.14                     | 64.26    | 71.91    | 70.23      |
| Cronbach's alpha                  |            | 0.891          | 0.796                     | 0.824    | 0.736    | 0.812      |

* \( t \)-values shown in parentheses. All are significant \( (p < 0.001) \)

* Values are not available for the fixed factor loadings

### Table 2 Correlations and standard errors among factors

| Website quality | Information availability | Security | Privacy |
|-----------------|--------------------------|----------|---------|
| Information availability | -0.224 (0.002)* |          |         |
| Security         | -0.143 (0.01)           | 0.295 (0.018) |        |
| Privacy          | 0.182 (0.02)            | 0.274 (0.023) | 0.322 (0.02) |
| Reliability      | -0.197 (0.05)           | -0.231 (0.087) | -0.256 (0.03) | -0.187 (0.04) |

* Note: Confidence intervals around factor correlations indicate that in general factors are not distinct from each other.
4 RESULTS ANALYSIS AND DISCUSSION

The online shopping service user satisfaction is estimated through the gap between observed and expected values per measurement scale. If the gap is positive, it is considered that the user is satisfied, and if the gap is negative then the user is considered not to be satisfied with the online shopping service. Tab. 3 shows the average expected and observed values as well as the gap determined by the five basic dimensions of the measuring instrument.

As previously determined using the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test, all variables have a normal distribution. Therefore, a t-test was performed in order to determine the statistical significance of the identified gap. According to the obtained results and t-values (t-values are greater than 10 for \( p < 0.001 \)), it is concluded that there is a significant difference between the expected and observed online shopping service level, in all dimensions of the measuring instrument. For each dimension, the observed values are less than expected, indicating an unsatisfactory online shopping service level. It is concluded that the hypothesis H1 and all null sub-hypotheses H1.1-H1.5 are confirmed. The total average value of the gap is \(-1.152\). The greatest gap value is for the information availability \((-1.904\)), and the lowest for the website quality \((-0.577\)). It means that the lowest online shopping service level refers to the information availability (hypothesis H1.2), and the highest to the website quality (hypothesis H1.1).

### Table 3 Gap between user perceptions and expectations

| Dimensions                  | Perception (\( P \)) | Expectations (\( E \)) | Gap (\( P - E \)) | t-value | p-value |
|-----------------------------|----------------------|------------------------|-------------------|---------|---------|
| Website quality             | 5.80                 | 6.447                  | -0.577            | 22.84   | 0.001   |
| Information availability    | 4.54                 | 6.452                  | -1.904            | 17.04   | 0.001   |
| Security                    | 4.23                 | 5.164                  | -0.932            | 11.75   | 0.001   |
| Privacy                     | 4.88                 | 5.597                  | -0.714            | 16.98   | 0.001   |
| Reliability                 | 3.71                 | 5.347                  | -1.634            | 24.23   | 0.001   |
| Total gap                   | 4.649                | 5.801                  | -1.152            | 14.74   | 0.001   |

The results are in line with conclusions of several studies that dealt with different markets across the world. For example, surveys done for the Greek market showed that customer satisfaction directly depends on the information availability and the user interface quality [42]. In the Malaysian market, the key impact on consumer satisfaction have information availability, reliability and website design [32]. Studies of the Chinese market show that information quality, website design, security and payment methods are crucial for customer satisfaction [32]. Studies of the Chinese market show that information quality, website design, security and payment methods are crucial for customer satisfaction [32]. Studies of the Chinese market show that information quality, website design, security and payment methods are crucial for customer satisfaction [32]. Studies of the Chinese market show that information quality, website design, security and payment methods are crucial for customer satisfaction [32]. Studies of the Chinese market show that information quality, website design, security and payment methods are crucial for customer satisfaction [32].

### Table 4 User perceptions and realized gap according to the age structure of users

| Measurement scale dimension | TS1 users under 30 years of age | TS2 users aged 30-50 years | TS3 users older than 50 years | F-value |
|-----------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------|---------|
| Website quality             | 5.59                          | 6.32                      | 5.41                          | 7.24    |
| Perception                  | 5.75                          | 4.92                      | 4.92                          | 9.31    |
| Gap                         | -1.37                         | -1.97                     | -1.65                         | 8.56    |
| Information availability    | 5.11                          | 4.73                      | 4.17                          | 9.95    |
| Perception                  | 5.56                          | 5.19                      | 4.92                          | 9.31    |
| Gap                         | -1.12                         | -1.75                     | -1.95                         | 9.95    |
| Security                    | 5.56                          | 5.19                      | 4.92                          | 9.31    |
| Perception                  | 5.95                          | 5.94                      | 5.18                          | 7.12    |
| Gap                         | -0.95                         | -0.94                     | -1.85                         | 8.92    |
| Privacy                     | 6.3                           | 4.95                      | 5.2                           | 8.65    |
| Perception                  | 5.2                           | 6.76                      | 5.93                          | 8.23    |
| Gap                         | -0.83                         | -0.76                     | -0.93                         | 9.42    |
| Reliability                 | 5.65                          | 6.77                      | 5.35                          | 7.12    |
| Perception                  | 5.65                          | 6.77                      | 5.35                          | 7.12    |
| Gap                         | -0.87                         | -1.84                     | -0.95                         | 9.42    |

A significant difference in the perception of users (F-values range from 7.12 to 9.31 for \( p < 0.001 \)), as well as in the gap (F-values range from 9.59 to 9.95 at \( p < 0.001 \)) was found. Using the least significant difference (LSD) test, the significance of the difference between individual market segments was determined. F-value between TS1 and TS2 is 7.35 (\( p < 0.001 \)), F-value between TS1 and TS3 is 9.35 (\( p < 0.001 \)), and F-value between TS2 and TS3 is 7.68 (\( p < 0.001 \)). Based on the results of the ANOVA analysis, it is concluded that the online shopping service level perception and satisfaction depend directly on the age of the user rejecting the null hypothesis H2.

Further analysis tested whether the customer satisfaction in online shopping depends on the gender of the user. The analysis of the perception gap for male and female customers was performed (Tab. 5). The ANOVA analysis showed that there is no significant difference between these two customer groups. F-values range from 1.52 to 2.58 (\( p < 0.001 \)) in the perception, and from 2.47 to 3.37 (\( p < 0.001 \)) in the gap. These results confirm the H3 null hypothesis and therefore it can be concluded that
customer satisfaction in online shopping does not depend on the gender of the user.

| Table 5 User perceptions and realized gap according to the gender of users |
|---------------------------------|-----|-----|-----|
|                               | Male | Female | F-value |
| Website quality              |     |       |       |
| Perception                   | -6.75|  3.89 |  1.96 |
| Gap                          | -0.12| -0.78 |  2.84 |
| Information availability      |     |       |       |
| Perception                   |  6.53|  3.64 |  2.58 |
| Gap                          | -0.26| -0.95 |  3.37 |
| Security                     |     |       |       |
| Perception                   |  6.69|  6.10 |  1.62 |
| Gap                          | -0.17| -1.01 |  2.47 |
| Privacy                      |     |       |       |
| Perception                   |  6.83|  5.67 |  2.03 |
| Gap                          | -0.09| -0.98 |  2.97 |
| Reliability                  |     |       |       |
| Perception                   |  6.15|  6.75 |  2.42 |
| Gap                          | -0.07| -0.86 |  3.12 |

5 CONCLUSION

Daily growth of the internet and online sales has changed the way of doing business, marketing, and selling products and services. As a result of the development of electronic information sources, the evolution of product and information supply and the new digital era have imposed many business challenges. With the new way of doing business, the user requirements and expectations have been changing. Customers have a growing demand for product vendors and service providers. Service quality and customer satisfaction are key factors of successful business. It is essential that companies constantly observe the user requirements and expectations, find ways to fulfill requests and create satisfied customers. It is necessary for companies to measure user satisfaction and to develop different procedures, models and tools that will be successfully used in real market and economic conditions.

The research developed and carried out in this paper presents an exact contribution to these efforts. The proposed methodology can be successfully used to research and examine various factors and variables that affect the online shopping service user satisfaction.

The results of the research show that website quality, information availability, security, privacy and reliability affect the customer satisfaction. In the case of Serbian market, the application of these dimensions indicates that users are not satisfied with the online shopping service quality. Therefore, these results suggest that key service dimensions need to be improved, such as website quality, information availability, transaction security, privacy and reliability. Moreover, the survey showed that satisfaction also depends on the age structure of customers while the customer expectations and perceptions differ significantly depending on their age. In addition to the factors and dimensions of satisfaction analysed in this paper, in the follow-up research it would be necessary to include other elements such as security, payment method, product delivery costs, etc. In addition, it would be important to research and compare different online shopping services as well as different customer structure on the market.
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