Rapid Detection of *Enterocytozoon hepatopenaei* Infection in Shrimp With a Real-Time Isothermal Recombinase Polymerase Amplification Assay
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**METHODS**

*Enterocytozoon hepatopenaei* (EHP) infection has become a significant threat in shrimp farming industry in recent years, causing major economic losses in Asian countries. As there are a lack of effective therapeutics, prevention of the infection with rapid and reliable pathogen detection methods is fundamental. Molecular detection methods based on polymerase chain reaction (PCR) and loop-mediated isothermal amplification (LAMP) have been developed, but improvements on detection speed and convenience are still in demand. The isothermal recombinase polymerase amplification (RPA) assay derived from the recombination-dependent DNA replication (RDR) mechanism of bacteriophage T4 is promising, but the previously developed RPA assay for EHP detection read the signal by gel electrophoresis, which restricted this application to laboratory conditions and hampered the sensitivity. The present study combined fluorescence analysis with the RPA system and developed a real-time RPA assay for the detection of EHP. The detection procedure was completed in 3–7 min at 39°C and showed good specificity. The sensitivity of 13 gene copies per reaction was comparable to the current PCR- and LAMP-based methods, and was much improved than the RPA assay analyzed by gel electrophoresis. For real clinical samples, detection results of the real-time RPA assay were 100% consistent with the industrial standard nested PCR assay. Because of the rapid detection speed and the simple procedure, the real-time RPA assay developed in this study can be easily assembled as an efficient and reliable on-site detection tool to help control EHP infection in shrimp farms.
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INTRODUCTION

Microsporidia are intracellular parasites which can infect a wide range of crustaceans and fish (Ning et al., 2019). Among Microsporidia, Enterocytozoon hepatopenaei (EHP) is an emerging pathogen and has been classified into the group of Enterocytozooidae, suborder Aphanoporoblastina, phylum Microsporidia, and kingdom Fungi (Tourtip et al., 2009). In shrimp aquaculture industry, EHP can infect the hepatopancreas of different shrimp species including Penaeus japonicas, Penaeus monodon, and Penaeus vannamei (Tang et al., 2016; Thitamadee et al., 2016; Chaijarasphong et al., 2020). When infected, it causes stunted growth, soft shells, lethargy and white feces symptoms (Behera et al., 2019). In Asian countries including India, Thailand and China, EHP caused 10%-20% reduced production of shrimp annually leading to significant economic losses (Thamizhvanan et al., 2019). In the year 2015 in Jiangsu, China, EHP infections had caused 300 million CNY of loss for the shrimp farming industry. EHP has been considered a huge threat to farms in many shrimp-farming countries (Shen et al., 2019).

There are no specific clinical signs in EHP-infected shrimp, making it difficult to monitor EHP infection and to control the spreading. The feces of EHP-infected shrimp contain a large number of spores, which can infect healthy shrimp through horizontal transmission (Karthiskeyan and Sudhakaran, 2019). In addition, there are no proven therapeutic methods for EHP infection (Chaijarasphong et al., 2020). Thus, it is very important to develop a rapid and simple detection method for EHP infection to prevent disease outbreaks and economic losses. To date, a number of diagnostic methods for the detection of EHP have been reported. These include loop-mediated isothermal amplification (LAMP), polymerase chain reaction (PCR), and quantitative PCR (qPCR) targeting the small subunit ribosomal RNA gene (SSU rRNA), nested PCR targeting the spore wall protein gene (swp) or β-tubulin gene, in situ hybridization assay, and histopathology (Jarooenlak et al., 2016; Han et al., 2018; Sathish et al., 2018; Piamsomboon et al., 2019). However, these methods have drawbacks like long detection time, need for trained personnel, and equipment dependence. Although the LAMP method takes only 45 min for the reaction, it still requires an accurate temperature-controlled machine (Sathish et al., 2018). These methods are not suitable for use in remote areas.

The isothermal recombinase polymerase amplification (RPA) assay derived from the recombination-dependent DNA replication (RDR) mechanism of bacteriophage T4 is a potentially suitable method (Li et al., 2018; Lobato and O’Sullivan, 2018; Dong et al., 2020; Hu et al., 2020; Wang et al., 2020). The RPA system uses several enzymes from bacteriophage T4, including the strand-exchange protein UvsX, the mediator protein UvsY, and the single-strand binding protein gp32, to mimic the bacteriophage T4 RDR system in vitro. UvsX and UvsY anchor on a DNA single strand and search for homologous sequences on another double-stranded DNA. Once a homologous sequence is found, a recombination event occurs and one strand of the double-stranded DNA is displaced by the single strand. The displaced strand is stabilized by gp32. The 3’-end of the displacing strand is extended by the Bsu DNA polymerase (Piepenburg et al., 2006). By mimicking the T4 RDR mechanism, the RPA system solves the low-temperature strand opening problem and amplifies the target DNA fragment isothermally at 37–42°C. Researchers have tried to apply the RPA technology to the detection of EHP infection in shrimp and, indeed, simplified the procedure because a thermocycler was no longer required. However, the analysis of amplification products by gel electrophoresis did not free the assay from the laboratory for field use, and the sensitivity was also limited by gel imaging tools (Zhou et al., 2020).

The amplification products of RPA can be analyzed with better convenience by lateral flow chromatography or fluorescence (Li et al., 2018). Among these two options, fluorescence analysis makes real-time reading of the signal possible and this “real-time RPA” assay has a good combination of speed, portability, and accessibility. Briefly, the real time RPA reaction contains an “exo probe” that anneals to one of the amplified strands and recruits an exonuclease to cleave off the tetrahydrofuran (THF) substitution on the probe to separate the fluorophore and the quenching group at the two adjacent sites of THF on the probe, emitting the fluorescence signal (Figure 1) (Piepenburg et al., 2006).

In this study, a real-time RPA assay for rapid detection of EHP has been established. This method finished the detection in 10 min with good specificity. The limit of detection was 13 gene copies per reaction. Detection results were 100% consistent with the established nested PCR assay for real clinical samples. The real-time RPA assay is simple and reliable, and can be widely deployed for the detection of EHP infection in remote areas.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Infected Shrimp Samples, Bacterial Strains, and Clinical Samples

A collection of shrimps infected by Enterocytozoon hepatopenaei (EHP), white spot syndrome virus (WSSV), shrimp hemocyte iridescent virus (SHIV), and a Vibrio parahealmolyticus strain causing acute hepatopancreatic necrosis disease (AHPND) (referred to as VP_AHPND in this study), and reference strains of Vibrio vulnificus and Vibrio parahealmolyticus (not AHPND-causative, referred to as non-VP_AHPND in this study) were obtained from Jiangsu Institute of Oceanology and Marine Fisheries (Nantong, China). Clinical shrimp samples at different growing stages were collected from shrimp farms of different areas in China, including Qingdao, Rudong, Yancheng, Qidong, Lianyungang, and Rizhao. DNA of infected shrimp samples or clinical samples was extracted by the Magnetic Universal Genomic DNA Kit using the handheld 3rd Gen. TGrinder (Tiangen Biotech Co., Ltd., Beijing, China) and quantified with a Qubit 4 fluorometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc, Wilmington, DE, USA). DNA from the infected shrimp samples were confirmed for the presence of infection agents by qPCR as described previously (Zhu and Quan, 2012;
Liu et al., 2018; Qiu et al., 2018; Zheng et al., 2018). The reference bacterial strains were confirmed by 16S rRNA sequencing (Hiergeist et al., 2016).

**Design of Primers and Probes**

An NCBI Primer-BLAST (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/tools/primer-blast) search was conducted using the FASTA sequence of the swp gene of EHP (GenBank accession no. KX258197.1). For parameter settings, the product size was set as minimum at 100 bp and maximum at 200 bp. The organism was set as Enterocytozoon hepatopenaei (taxid: 646526). The primer size was set at a minimum of 30 bases and a maximum of 35 bases. The primer GC content was set at a minimum of 20% and a maximum of 70%. The maximal self-complementarity was set as any at 4 and 3' at 1. The maximal pair complementarity was set as any at 4 and 3' at 1. Other parameters were set as default. For the probe design, the sequence defined by the primer pair was input into the Primer Premier 5 software. The size of the probe was set at a minimum of 46 bases and a maximum of 51 bases. The melting temperature (Tm) was set at a minimum of 57°C and a maximum of 63°C. The GC content was set at a minimum of 20% and a maximum of 80%. The maximum hairpin score was set as 1. The maximum primer-dimer score was set as 1. The maximum poly-X was set as 3. Other parameters were set as default. The probe had a C3 spacer (SpC3) at the 3’-end that could block strand extension and a tetrahydrofuran (THF) group at the middle to facilitate exonuclease III (exo) cutting. The two T bases adjacent the THF site were substituted by FAM (6-carboxy-fluorescein)-dT and BHQ1 (Black Hole Quencher 1)-dT (Figure 1). Primers and probes were synthesized by General Biosystems Co., Ltd., Anhui, China. The sequences are shown in Table 1.

**Construction of the Plasmid Standard**

DNA extracted from the EHP-infected shrimp was used as the template and a pair of primers (SWP_1F and SWP_1R) was used for PCR amplification to obtain the target fragment of the swp gene (Table 1) (Jaroenlak et al., 2016). The PCR product was cloned into a pMD18-T vector (Takara Biomedical Technology Co., Ltd., Beijing, China) and verified by sequencing. The recombinant plasmid was extracted from the correct clone and quantified with a Qubit 4 fluorometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc, Wilmington, DE, USA). The plasmid copy number was calculated based on its size (3,206 bp). The standard plasmid was tenfold serially diluted and used as templates for qPCR with the specific primers SWP_1F and SWP_2R targeting the swp gene fragment. The correlation of the Ct value with the copy number of the swp gene fragment was calculated from the qPCR results.

**Real-Time RPA Procedure**

Real-time RPA reactions were performed according to the manufacturer instructions of the TwistAmp DNA Amplification exo Kit (TwistDx Inc., Maidenhead, United Kingdom). The reaction mixture contained 29.5 μl of rehydration buffer, 2.1 μl of each primer (10 μM), 0.6 μl of probe (10 μM), 12.2 μl of distilled water, 1 μl of the template, and a dried enzyme pellet. The reaction was initiated by adding 2.5 μl of magnesium acetate (280 mM) to the mixture. The reaction was conducted on a Roche
LightCycler 480 II qPCR machine at 39°C in the FAM channel with signal reads at 15-s intervals for 20 min.

### Nested PCR

The nested PCR detection of EHP was performed as previously reported (Jaroenlak et al., 2016). Primers SWP_{1F} and SWP_{1R} were used for the 1st PCR step with an expected amplicon size of 514 bp. From the reaction mixture of the 1st PCR step, 1 μl was directly used for the 2nd PCR step with primers SWP_{2F} and SWP_{2R} (Table 1). The expected amplicon size of the 2nd PCR step was 147 bp. The amplicons were analyzed on a 1.5% agarose gel.

### RESULTS

#### Determination of Copy Number of the swp Gene Fragment in Extracted DNA

To determine the copy number of the swp gene fragment in the extracted DNA of EHP-infected shrimp, a standard plasmid containing the gene fragment was constructed (Supplementary Figure S1), purified, and quantified spectrophotometrically. Tenfold serial dilutions of the standard plasmid from 10^9 to 100 copies/μl were used as the template for qPCR, and the Ct value for each concentration was determined. A standard curve was built showing a good correlation between the DNA copy number and the Ct value (R^2 = 0.9982) (Figure 2). This correlation was used to determine the copy number of the swp gene fragment in the extracted DNA of EHP-infected shrimp.

#### Limit of Detection of the Real-Time RPA Assay

DNA was extracted from the EHP-infected shrimp and quantified by qPCR using the standard curve. The quantified DNA was diluted to final concentrations of 10^4 to 10^9 copies/μl of the gene fragment and used to evaluate the limit of detection of the real-time RPA assay. The results showed that the signal of 10^6 copies per reaction could be observed (Figure 3A). Reactions were conducted for eight independent repeats; 10^7 copies and above per reaction were detected in all the eight repeats and 10^6 copies per reaction were detected in seven of the eight repeats. A probit regression analysis was conducted and the limit of detection was calculated to be 13 copies/reaction in 95% of cases (Figure 3B). Semi-log regression analysis of the data of the eight repeats showed that the reaction time lengths of the real-time RPA assay to observe the signal were 3 to 7 min for 10^4 to 10^6 copies (Figure 3C).

#### Detection Specificity of the Real-Time RPA Assay

To evaluate the specificity of the real-time RPA assay, shrimp samples infected with different viruses and microbes were tested (EHP, WSSV, SHIV, and VP_{AHPND}). Also tested were reference strains of V. vulnificus and V. parahaemolyticus (non-VP_{AHPND}). DNA extracted from the healthy shrimp (P. vannamei) was used as the control. The DNA concentrations were normalized to 10 ng/μl and used as the templates. For the two reference bacterial strains, incubation cultures of 10^6 colony-forming unit (CFU)/ml were boiled at 100°C for 10 min and immediately used as the templates. Only the DNA from EHP-infected shrimp was positive, indicating good specificity of the real-time RPA assay toward EHP (Table 2).

#### Application of the Real-Time RPA Assay for EHP Detection

A total of 32 clinical shrimp samples at different growth stages from several shrimp farms were tested for EHP with the real-time RPA assay and the nested PCR assay. A total of 22 EHP-positive samples were detected and the results of real-time RPA assay were 100% consistent with the nested PCR assay (Tables 3, 4, and Supplementary Figure S2). These results indicated that the real-time RPA assay was effective for real clinical samples.

### DISCUSSION

*Enterocytotozoon hepatopenaei* (EHP) has emerged as a serious threat to shrimp aquaculture worldwide (Thitamadee et al., 2016). EHP infection in farmed shrimps does not cause mass mortality, but inflicts significant economic loss due to stunted growth and reduced feed consumption (Aranguren Caro et al., 2020) . Noting the lack of pharmacological interventions for EHP, a rapid and accurate detection assay of EHP infection in shrimp is significant for the shrimp farming industry as prevention is the only currently available control method. The currently available detection methods, including the nested PCR, qPCR, and LAMP, cannot fulfill the demand for rapidness and accessibility, especially in remote areas. Nested PCR and qPCR take several hours and require a precise temperature-controlled
thermocycler. LAMP can finish the detection within an hour, but an accurately controlled thermal source is still needed.

The RDR mechanism of bacteriophage T4 provided a good strategy to open the double strands of DNA without the need for temperature elevation (Alberts and Frey, 1970; Kodadek et al., 1988). By assembly of the RDR system in vitro withUvsX, UvsY, and gp32 of bacteriophage T4 and a strand-displacing DNA polymerase from Bacillus subtilis (Bsu), the DNA amplification cycle, including strand opening, primer pairing, and chain extension, can be conducted under one constant temperature between 37 and 42°C. Exponential amplification of DNA is achieved very rapidly, usually within 30 min (Li et al., 2018). This RPA system derived from bacteriophage T4 has been commercialized and widely applied to molecular diagnosis for many infectious diseases (Dong et al., 2020; Hu et al., 2020; Wang et al., 2020). An effort has been made to apply the RPA technology for the detection of EHP infection that targeted the SSU rRNA gene, but the analysis of the amplification signal was performed by gel electrophoresis, which not only hampered the sensitivity (8 × 10² gene copies per reaction) but also restrained the detection assay to the laboratory (Zhou et al., 2020).

Using fluorescence signal reading, this study described the development and evaluation of a real-time RPA assay for the detection of EHP infection in shrimp. The assay targeted the swp

---

**FIGURE 2** | Standard curve of swp gene fragment by qPCR. For each reaction, 1 μl of the standard plasmid between 10⁵ and 10⁹ copies/μl was used as the template. The standard curve representing the correlation between the DNA copy number and the qPCR cycle threshold (Ct) value was built using GraphPad Prism 8.0 (GraphPad Software Inc, San Diego, CA). The function of the standard curve and the R² value are indicated. The error bars represent the mean and standard error of three qPCR repeats.

**FIGURE 3** | Limit of detection of the real-time RPA assay. (A) The fluorescence history diagram of the results of real-time RPA with different amounts (in copies) of the swp gene fragment. The amounts tested are indicated with different colors. The NTC is the no-template control. The diagram was one typical outcome of eight independent experiments. (B) Probit regression analysis of the data collected from the eight real-time RPA repeats using SPSS software (IBM, Armonk, NY, USA). The limit of detection at 95% probability (13 copies/reaction) is depicted by a red rhomboid. (C) Semi-logarithmic regression analysis of the data collected from the eight real-time RPA repeats using GraphPad Prism 8.0 (GraphPad Software Inc). The run time (threshold time) of the real-time RPA was 3–7 min for the templates at 10⁴–10⁵ copies/reaction.
gene encoding the spore wall protein of EHP. This gene is considered a better molecular diagnosis biomarker than the SSU rRNA gene and has been used as the target in the previously established nested PCR method, which has been recognized as an industrial standard of shrimp farming (Jaroenlak et al., 2016). The results in this study confirmed the good specificity of this gene toward EHP detection.

The real-time RPA assay showed good detection sensitivity that was comparable to the nested PCR method and much better than the RPA assay using the gel electrophoresis analysis. The limit of detection was 13 copies/reaction in 95% of the cases. As referenced in other reports, the limit of detection of EHP was 10^3 copies/reaction with the nested PCR method as well as with the qPCR- and LAMP-based methods (Liu et al., 2018; Ma et al., 2019). Comparing with the RPA assay using the gel electrophoresis analysis, the sensitivity of real-time RPA has improved for ~60 folds. Moreover, the real-time RPA results of real clinical samples are 100% consistent with the nested PCR method, indicating good reliability.

Besides the good sensitivity, the rapid and simple procedure is the advantage of the real-time RPA method. The detection procedure could be finished in 3–7 min at a conveniently low temperature of 39°C. For the pretreatment of the samples, DNA was extracted with a magnetic bead-based commercialized kit that only needs a magnet to perform the extraction. For the signal reading, although we used a qPCR machine to read the fluorescence signal in

---

**TABLE 2** | Information of shrimp samples and bacteria strains used in this study.

| Infection agent | Sample type | Source/designation | Real-time RPA |
|-----------------|-------------|---------------------|---------------|
| Enterocytozoon hepatopneumaei (EHP) | Infected shrimp (Penaeus vannamei) | Nantong, China | + |
| White spot syndrome virus (WSSV) | Infected shrimp (Penaeus vannamei) | Nantong, China | – |
| Shrimp hemocyte iridescent virus (SHIV) | Infected shrimp (Penaeus vannamei) | Nantong, China | – |
| Vibrio parahemolyticus (VPAHMIC) | Infected shrimp (Penaeus vannamei) | Nantong, China | – |
| Vibrio vulnificus | Reference strain | ATCC 27562 | – |
| Vibrio parahaemolyticus (non-VPAHMIC) | Reference strain | ATCC 17802 | – |
| None | Healthy shrimp (Penaeus vannamei) | Nantong, China | – |

(+, positive result; –, negative result).

---

**TABLE 3** | Detection of Enterocytozoon hepatopneumaei (EHP) infection in clinical samples.

| No. | Sample type | Sample species | Length of shrimp (cm) | Source | Detection results |
|-----|-------------|----------------|-----------------------|--------|------------------|
| 1   | Shrimp      | Penaeus vannamei | 0.5–3                 | Qingdao, China | + |
| 2   | Shrimp      | Penaeus vannamei | 0.5–3                 | Qingdao, China | + |
| 3   | Shrimp      | Penaeus vannamei | 0.5–3                 | Rudong, China | + |
| 4   | Shrimp      | Penaeus vannamei | 0.5–3                 | Rudong, China | + |
| 5   | Shrimp      | Penaeus vannamei | 4–5                   | Yancheng, China | + |
| 6   | Shrimp      | Penaeus vannamei | 8–9                   | Rudong, China | + |
| 7   | Shrimp      | Penaeus vannamei | 8–9                   | Rudong, China | + |
| 8   | Shrimp      | Penaeus vannamei | 0.5–3                 | Rudong, China | + |
| 9   | Shrimp      | Penaeus vannamei | 0.5–3                 | Rudong, China | – |
| 10  | Shrimp      | Penaeus vannamei | 5–6                   | Qidong, China | – |
| 11  | Shrimp      | Penaeus vannamei | 5–6                   | Yancheng, China | – |
| 12  | Shrimp      | Penaeus vannamei | 0.5–3                 | Lianyangang, China | – |
| 13  | Shrimp      | Penaeus vannamei | 0.5–3                 | Lianyangang, China | – |
| 14  | Shrimp      | Penaeus vannamei | 7–8                   | Yancheng, China | – |
| 15  | Shrimp      | Penaeus vannamei | 7–8                   | Yancheng, China | – |
| 16  | Shrimp      | Penaeus vannamei | 0.5–3                 | Rudong, China | – |
| 17  | Shrimp      | Penaeus vannamei | 0.5–3                 | Rudong, China | – |
| 18  | Shrimp      | Penaeus vannamei | 0.5–3                 | Rizhao, China | + |
| 19  | Shrimp      | Penaeus vannamei | 5–6                   | Rizhao, China | – |
| 20  | Shrimp      | Penaeus vannamei | 5–6                   | Lianyangang, China | – |
| 21  | Shrimp      | Penaeus vannamei | 0.5–3                 | Lianyangang, China | – |
| 22  | Shrimp      | Penaeus vannamei | 5–6                   | Rizhao, China | – |
| 23  | Shrimp      | Penaeus vannamei | 0.5–3                 | Rizhao, China | – |
| 24  | Shrimp      | Penaeus vannamei | 4–5                   | Qidong, China | – |
| 25  | Shrimp      | Penaeus vannamei | 7–8                   | Qidong, China | – |
| 26  | Shrimp      | Penaeus vannamei | 0.5–3                 | Rudong, China | – |
| 27  | Shrimp      | Penaeus vannamei | 0.5–3                 | Qidong, China | – |
| 28  | Shrimp      | Penaeus vannamei | 0.5–3                 | Rudong, China | – |
| 29  | Shrimp      | Penaeus vannamei | 0.5–3                 | Yancheng, China | – |
| 30  | Shrimp      | Penaeus vannamei | 0.5–3                 | Lianyangang, China | – |
| 31  | Shrimp      | Penaeus vannamei | 7–8                   | Rizhao, China | – |
| 32  | Shrimp      | Penaeus vannamei | 4–5                   | Rizhao, China | + |

(+, positive result; –, negative result).
In conclusion, a real-time RPA assay was developed for rapid detection of EHP infection in shrimp. It can be applied as an efficient and reliable on-site detection tool to help control EHP infection in shrimp farms.
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