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ABSTRACT

The determination of the heavy element abundances from giant extragalactic H II regions has generally been based on collisionally excited lines. We will discuss the reasons to study the characteristics of recombination lines, and then use these lines to determine chemical abundances. Of these lines the oxygen (specifically the O II) lines are the most important; and, of them, the lines of multiplet 1 of O II are the most accessible. It has often been assumed that by measuring the intensity of a single line within a multiplet the intensities of all the lines in the multiplet can be determined; in recent studies we have found that the intensity ratios of lines within a multiplet can depend on density; we will present empirical density-intensity relationships for multiplet 1 based on recent observations of H II regions and planetary nebulae. From observations of H II regions we find that the critical density for collisional redistribution of the multiplet 1 O II recombination lines amounts to $2800 \pm 500 \text{ cm}^{-3}$. We point out that the O/H recombination abundances of H II regions in the solar vicinity are in excellent agreement with the O/H solar value, while the abundances derived from collisionally excited lines are not. We present a calibration of Pagel’s method in the $8.2 < 12 + \log \text{O/H} < 8.8$ range based on O recombination lines.
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1. INTRODUCTION

There are many observations that indicate the presence of large temperature variations in gaseous nebulae. In the case of chemically homogeneous nebulae it can be shown that recombination lines provide us with a better indication of the abundances than collisionally excited lines. In this short review we discuss the information provided by the O II recombination lines on the determination of O/H values in gaseous nebulae. In Section 2 we discuss the derivation of the O/H abundances and their dependence in the electron temperature and the electron density. In Section 3 we discuss two independent methods to estimate the O/H value in the ISM of the solar vicinity. In Section 4 we discuss Pagel’s method to determine the O/H abundances in extragalactic nebulae based on the [O II] and [O III] nebular excitation lines and we calibrate it, for the first time based on O II and H I recombination lines. In Section 5 we present the conclusions.

\textsuperscript{1}Instituto de Astronomía, U.N.A.M. México, D.F., México.
2. OXYGEN ABUNDANCES DERIVED FROM RECOMBINATION LINES

Peimbert, Storey, & Torres-Peimbert (1993), based on the recombination coefficients for O II lines computed by Storey (1994), were the first to determine O/H values for gaseous nebulae. The temperature dependence of the O II lines is relatively weak and very similar to that of the H I lines, therefore the O$$^{++}/$$H$$^+$$ ratios are independent of the electron temperature. Alternatively the O$$^{++}/$$H$$^+$$ ratios derived from collisionally excited lines do depend strongly on the temperature (e.g.: Peimbert 1967, Peimbert & Costero 1969, Peimbert et al. 2004). In H II regions the recombination lines typically yield abundances higher than the optical collisionally excited lines do depend strongly on the temperature (e.g.: Peimbert 1967, Peimbert & Costero 1969, Peimbert et al. 2004). In H II regions the recombination lines typically yield abundances higher than the optical collisionally excited lines by factors in the 2 to 3 range. The classical definition of the mean temperature square, $$t^2$$, is given by Peimbert (1967) and recent discussions on the presence of temperature variations in gaseous nebulae have been presented by Torres-Peimbert & Peimbert (2003), Ruiz et al. (2003), and Peimbert et al. (2004).

Ruiz et al. (2003) have found that the levels where the O II recombination lines of multiplet 1 originate are not in LTE, therefore to obtain the correct O$$^{++}/$$H$$^+$$ value it is necessary to observe the eight lines of the multiplet. For those cases where not all of the lines of the multiplet are observed (due to faintness of some of the lines or due to blending produced by the low spectral resolution used) Ruiz et al. (2003) and Peimbert, Peimbert, & Ruiz (2005) have presented a preliminary set of equations of the multiplet as a function of the critical density. Based on additional observations in what follows we will revise those equations.

In Figures 1 and 2 we present additional observations of H II regions and PNe to those presented by Ruiz et al. (2003) and Peimbert et al. (2005). We have added the H II region values presented by Tsamis et al. (2003a) for LMC11B and by Esteban et al. (2004, 2005) and García-Rojas et al. (2004, 2005) for Orion, M8, M17, NGC 3576, and S 311. We have also added the PNe values presented by Tsamis et al. (2003b) for NGC 2022, NGC 3132, NGC 3234, NGC 5882, IC 4191, and IC 4406 and we have deleted the value for IC 4997. From the best fits to the H II regions in Figures 1 and 2 we have obtained the following equations:

$$\left[ \frac{I(4651 + 74)}{I(\text{sum})} \right]_{obs} = 0.101 + \frac{0.128 \pm 0.010}{1 + N_e(\text{FL})/2800},$$

(1)

$$\left[ \frac{I(4639 + 62 + 96)}{I(\text{sum})} \right]_{obs} = 0.201 + \frac{0.190 \pm 0.010}{1 + N_e(\text{FL})/2800},$$

(2)

$$\left[ \frac{I(4642 + 76)}{I(\text{sum})} \right]_{obs} = 0.301 - \frac{0.049 \pm 0.010}{1 + N_e(\text{FL})/2800},$$

(3)

and

$$\left[ \frac{I(4649)}{I(\text{sum})} \right]_{obs} = 0.397 - \frac{0.269 \pm 0.010}{1 + N_e(\text{FL})/2800}.$$

(4)

where the critical density, $$N_e(\text{H II regions})= 2800 \pm 500 \text{ cm}^{-3}$$ was obtained from the observed O II line intensities and the $$N_e$$ values derived from collisionally excited lines, mainly those of [Cl III].
Similarly from the best fit to the PNe in Figures 1 and 2 we have obtained a critical density, $N_c$(PNe) = 1325 ± 300 cm$^{-3}$.

The difference between $N_c$(H II regions) and $N_c$(PNe) probably indicates that the density variations present in PNe are more significant than those present in H II regions. For the density fluctuations to be effective in explaining this difference a significant fraction of the emission measure should correspond to densities higher than the O II critical density and a significant fraction to densities smaller than the critical density.

In Table 1, we present the density derived from the forbidden line data for PNe and the density derived from the O II recombination line intensities and equations 2 and 4. The large differences for most of the objects indicate large density fluctuations in the 1,000 to 10,000 cm$^{-3}$ range. This fluctuations will produce underestimations in the heavy element abundances derived from IR collisionally excited lines if they are not taken into account.

The critical density to reach LTE for multiplet 1 of O II, $N_c$(Atomic Physics) should be estimated from atomic physics computations to see if it agrees with the one derived from observations of H II regions. If $N_c$(Atomic Physics) turns out to be higher than $N_c$(H II regions), it would indicate the presence of significant temperature and density variations in H II regions.

As we just saw above, from the observed line intensities of a given object and equations 1-4 it is possible to determine the average density where the O II recombination lines originate. In the presence of strong chemical inhomogeneities, with high density clumps of H-poor material embedded in low density regions of H-rich material, the density derived from equations 1 - 4 will be higher than the density derived from fitting the ratio of the higher Balmer lines to H$\beta$ or H$\alpha$.

### 3. THE O/H VALUE IN THE SOLAR VICINITY

There are two independent methods to determine the O/H ratio in the ISM of the solar vicinity: a) from the solar ratio by Asplund, Grevesse, & Sauval (2005), that amounts to $12 + \log(O/H) = 8.66$, and taking into account the increase of the O/H ratio due to galactic chemical evolution since the Sun was formed, that according to state of the art chemical evolution models of the Galaxy amounts to 0.13 dex (e.g. Carigi et al. 2005), we obtain an O/H value of 8.79 dex; and b) from the H II regions O/H value for the solar vicinity by Esteban et al. (2005) based on the O/H galactic gradient determined from O II recombination lines, that amounts to 8.77 dex, in excellent agreement with the value based on the solar abundance.

In the previous comparison we are assuming that the solar abundances are representative of the abundances of the ISM solar vicinity when the Sun was formed. There are two other determinations of the present O/H value in the ISM of the solar vicinity that can be made from observations of F and G stars of the solar vicinity. According to Allende Prieto et al. (2004) the Sun appears deficient by roughly 0.1 dex in O, Si, Ca, Sc, Ti, Y, Ce, Nd, and Eu, compared with its immediate neighbors with similar iron abundances, the probable reason for this difference is that the Sun is older than the comparison stars, by adopting the O/H value of the comparison stars we obtain a value of $12 + \log(O/H) = 8.76$ dex. A similar result is obtained by Bensby & Feltzing (2005) that find that the most O-rich thin-disk F and G dwarfs have [O/H] $\sim 0.15$ by adopting their value for the present day ISM of the solar vicinity we find $12 + \log(O/H) = 8.81$ dex. Both results in excellent agreement with the O/H value derived from O recombination lines in H II regions of the solar vicinity.

### 4. CALIBRATION OF PAGEL’S METHOD TO DERIVE OXYGEN ABUNDANCES

The difficulty of measuring $I(\lambda 4363)$ (or any other direct temperature indicator) led Pagel et al. (1979) to propose an empirical method based on the ratio of the nebular oxygen lines to $I(H\beta)$, $R_{23} = $
I([O II]λ3727 + [O III]λλ4959, 5007)/I(Hβ), to determine the O/H ratio in giant extragalactic H II regions.

There are four different options to calibrate O/H versus R23: a) from photoionization models where the observed I([O II]λ3727)/I(Hβ) and the I([O III]λλ4959, 5007)/I(Hβ) values are matched with those predicted by the models, b) from abundances derived from the observed I([O II]λ3727)/I(Hβ) and the I([O III]λλ4959, 5007)/I(Hβ) values and the observed T_e(4363/5007) under the assumption that t^2 = 0.00, c) from O abundances derived from supergiant stars, and d) from O abundances derived from recombination lines.

4.1. Photoionization models

This calibration is based on photoionization models where O/H is an input of the models. Calibrations based on this option have been presented by many authors (e.g., McCall, Rybski, & Shields 1985; Dopita, & Evans 1986; McGaugh 1991; Zaritsky, Kennicutt & Huchra 1994; Kewley, & Dopita 2002; Kobulnicky, & Kewley 2004). This calibration depends on the quality of the models. A good model should include the gaseous density distribution for the nebula and for the ionizing cluster: an initial mass function, the time elapsed since the beginning of the star formation, and a star formation rate.

The photoionization models not yet include all the physical processes needed to reproduce all the ratios observed in real nebulae. For example they do not include the possible presence of stellar winds due to WR stars nor the possible presence of supernova remnants and related shocks. From a study of NGC 604, a giant extragalactic H II region in M33, Yang et al. (1996) conclude that the velocity width of the Hα line consists of equal contributions from thermal broadening, stellar winds and SNRs, and gravity. Even the best photoionization models, those tailored to fit I Zw 18, NGC 2363, and NGC 346, predict T_e(4363/5007) values smaller than observed (Stasińska & Schaerer 1999; Luridiana, Peimbert, & Leitherer 1999, and Relaño, Peimbert, & Beckman 2002), probably indicating the need for additional heating sources. The photoionization models typically predict t^2 ≈ 0.005, values considerably smaller than those derived from observations that are typically in the 0.02 < t^2 < 0.06 range.

4.2. Observations of R23 and T_e(4363/5007)

This calibration is based on adjusting the observed R23 values with the abundances derived from

![Fig. 3. Pagel’s R23 method calibration — using abundances determined with recombination lines (solid line) and abundances determined with collisionally excited lines (dotted line).](image)

T_e(4363/5007) under the assumption that t^2 = 0.00. These calibrations depend strongly on the temperature structure of the nebulae and underestimate the O/H values by factors of about 2 to 3 because, as mentioned before, t^2 is typically in the 0.02 to 0.06 range.

There are significant differences between the calibrations of Pagel’s method based on models (e.g. McCall et al. 1985; Dopita & Evans 1986; McGaugh 1991) and the calibrations based on observations and T_e(4363/5007) (e.g. Edmunds & Pagel 1984; Torres-Peimbert, Peimbert, & Fierro 1989; Pilyugin 2000, 2003; Castellanos, Díaz, & Terlevich 2002). The differences in the O/H values are in the 0.2 - 0.4 dex range and could be due mainly to the presence of temperature inhomogeneities over the observed volume (e.g. Campbell 1988; Torres-Peimbert et al. 1989; McGaugh 1991; Roy et al. 1996; Luridiana et al. 1999; Kobulnicky, Kennicutt, & Pizagno 1999). These differences need to be sorted out if we want to obtain absolute accuracies in O/H of the order of 0.1 dex or better.

4.3. O recombination lines

We have discussed previously the need to calibrate Pagel’s method with O recombination lines (Peimbert & Peimbert 2003); in Figure 3 we present a calibration of Pagel’s method based on recombination line observations of galactic and extragalactic
H II regions obtained by the following authors: Esteban et al. (2002, 2004, 2005), Peimbert (2003), Tsamis et al. (2003a), García-Rojas et al. (2004, 2005), and Peimbert et al. (2005). Also in this figure we present the abundances derived from the O collisionally excited lines and $T_e(4363/5007)$ under the assumption of constant temperature, i.e., $t^2 = 0.00$. The average difference between both methods amounts to 0.21 dex. Notice that we are presenting for both methods the gaseous abundances without correction for the fraction of O embedded in dust grains.

We consider that the option to calibrate Pagel’s method based on the O recombination lines is superior to the one based on fitting the 5007 and 3727 O lines to those predicted by photoionization models because even the best available models are not yet able to reproduce all the observed emission line ratios. The O recombination method is also better than the option based on the observationally determined $T_e(4363/5007)$ because the abundances derived from the nebular lines and $T_e(4363/5007)$ are very sensitive to the $t^2$ value while the O/H values derived from recombination lines are independent from it.

5. CONCLUSIONS

The O II relative line intensities from multiplet 1 might deviate from the LTE predictions. If that is the case equations 1 - 4 should be used to estimate the intensities of the unobserved lines of the multiplet.

If the density derived from equations 1 - 4 is larger than that derived from the high $n$ Balmer lines, this would indicate that the O II lines originate in high density H-poor gas embedded in a low-density H-rich gas.

The $N_e$(PNe) that we derive from the multiplet of O II and forbidden lines is considerably smaller than the $N_e$(H II regions) one, which implies that PNe show larger density fluctuations in the 1,000 to 10,000 cm$^{-3}$ range than H II regions.

The typical densities for PNe from the O II multiplet 1 calibrated based on H II regions (equations 2 and 4) are about a factor of two of three larger than those derived from forbidden lines of PNe (see Table 1). This result has to be taken into account in the determination of heavy element abundances from collisionally excited lines in the IR.

The abundances derived from O recombination lines are typically from 0.2 to 0.3 dex higher than those derived from O collisionally excited lines and the adoption of $T_e(4363/5007)$ under the assumption of $t^2 = 0.00$. For galactic H II regions the abundances derived from recombination lines by Esteban et al. (2005) are about 0.2 dex smaller than those derived by Deharveng et al. (2000) and Pilyugin, Ferrini, & Shkvarun (2003) from O collisionally excited lines and the adoption of $T_e(4363/5007)$ under the assumption of $t^2 = 0.00$.

We present for the first time a calibration of Pagel's method to derive the O/H ratio in the 8.2 < [O II] < 8.8 range based on recombination lines. This calibration is about 0.21 dex higher than that based on $T_e(4363/5007)$ under the assumption of $t^2 = 0.00$. Alternatively, the O recombination lines calibration is in fair agreement with those calibrations based on grids of models that fit the $R_{23}$ observed values like the one by McGaugh (1991); the main reason for the better agreement is that the $5007/\beta$ and $3737/\beta$ ratios depend to a considerably lesser extent on the $t^2$ value than the 4363/5007 ratio (see Peimbert & Costero 1969 and Peimbert et al. 2004).
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