Glutamatergic Targets for Enhancing Extinction Learning in Drug Addiction
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Abstract: The persistence of the motivational salience of drug-related environmental cues and contexts is one of the most problematic obstacles to successful treatment of drug addiction. Behavioral approaches to extinguishing the salience of drug-associated cues, such as cue exposure therapy, have generally produced disappointing results which have been attributed to, among other things, the context specificity of extinction and inadequate consolidation of extinction learning. Extinction of any behavior or conditioned response is a process of new and active learning, and increasing evidence suggests that glutamatergic neurotransmission, a key component of the neural plasticity that underlies normal learning and memory, is also involved in extinction learning. This review will summarize findings from both animal and human studies that suggest that pharmacological enhancement of glutamatergic neurotransmission facilitates extinction learning in the context of drug addiction. Pharmacological agents that have shown potential efficacy include NMDA partial agonists, mGluR5 receptor positive allosteric modulators, inhibitors of the GlyT1 glycine transporter, AMPA receptor potentiators, and activators of the cystine-glutamate exchanger. These classes of cognition-enhancing compounds could potentially serve as novel pharmacological adjuncts to cue exposure therapy to increase success rates in attenuating cue-induced drug craving and relapse.
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INTRODUCTION

Drug addiction is a disorder of the nervous system characterized by compulsive drug intake despite negative consequences, numerous failed attempts at abstinence, and a narrowing of the behavioral repertoire towards drug-seeking and drug intake. Chronic, repeated drug use leads to the formation of powerful and lasting associations between the drug’s effects, drug-specific withdrawal symptoms, and the environmental cues and contexts that are present at the time these drug-related effects are experienced. As a result, these stimuli become overconditioned and overlearned [1], so that when experienced in the absence of the drug, they produce physiological responses (i.e., sympathetic nervous system activation) and maladaptive motivational states (i.e., drug craving). In other words, repeated drug intake causes drug-associated external stimuli to become motivationally “hyper-salient” and exert significant control over the addict’s behavior [2, 3]. These drug-related stimuli are frequent triggers of drug craving, which leads to the resumption of drug-seeking behavior and ultimately relapse [2, 4-6]. Attempts at extinguishing the motivational salience of drug-associated cues and contexts by behavioral modification techniques such as cue exposure therapy have been met with limited success [7-9]. This general lack of success has been attributed to numerous factors including the high degree of context specificity of extinction [10-15] and inadequate consolidation of extinction learning [16]. Historically, most of what is known about the neural circuits and cellular and molecular mechanisms underlying extinction learning has been derived from the study of extinction of conditioned fear [17]. However, there has been a recent resurgence of interest in understanding the neural mechanisms underlying extinction of drug-related cue reactivity, and pharmacologically targeting specific components of extinction learning to reduce the ability of drug-related cues and memories to elicit drug craving and relapse [16, 18, 19]. Current evidence, although limited, suggests that many of the neural mechanisms that underlie extinction of conditioned fear and that of drug-related cue reactivity and drug-seeking behavior overlap to a significant degree [20].

Since extinction is a process of active learning, it is not surprising that many of the key neural underpinnings of extinction learning are mediated by glutamatergic neurotransmission, an established critical mediator of enduring changes in synaptic efficacy such as long-term potentiation (LTP) and long-term depression (LTD) that accompany learning [21-25]. It is therefore plausible to hypothesize that potentiation of glutamate-mediated neural plasticity might enhance various forms of learning, including extinction learning. However, since glutamatergic neurotransmission and ho-
meostasis is tightly regulated [26, 27], and overstimulation of which can lead to neuronal hyperexcitability and excitotoxicity [27-29], pharmacological potentiation of glutamatergic transmission with the intent of enhancing extinction learning will therefore require subtle modulatory pharmacological mechanisms of action rather than full glutamate receptor agonism.

Following brief overviews of animal models of extinction of drug-seeking behavior, the neuroanatomical substrates of extinction, and glutamatergic synaptic transmission, the majority of this review will focus on pharmacological agents that enhance glutamate-mediated neurotransmission and have been shown to enhance extinction learning in animals as well as human in the context of the motivational salience of drug-related stimuli. The ultimate therapeutic goal of such pharmacological agents would be to serve as pharmacological adjucnts to cue exposure therapy to improve the meager success rates currently achieved by this technique alone. While not the focus of this review, it is worth mentioning that drugs of abuse produce lasting changes in the brain, including enduring synaptic plasticity [30-33], which have the net effect of tilting the motivation scale of addicts towards drug craving and drug-seeking behavior rather than abstinence or controlled drug intake. Therefore, therapeutic compounds that enhance extinction learning via glutamatergic mechanisms are likely to be acting on receptor, transporter, or exchanger proteins whose expression levels, subcellular distribution, or posttranslational modifications have been altered by chronic drug exposure, which may in turn increase or decrease the efficacy of a particular compound. While there is some evidence that certain therapeutic agents such as D-cycloserine (see below) facilitate extinction learning in drug addicts and patients with anxiety disorders, it is possible that other classes of compounds discussed in this review may only enhance extinction learning in subpopulations of drug addicts or only those with pathological anxiety.

Finally, a discussion of the topic of extinction would be incomplete if a brief summary of the phenomenon of reconsolidation were not provided. When given the opportunity to explore both compartment with unique tactile, visual, and/or olfactory cues for a set period of time. Drug conditioning procedures over a period of 1-2 weeks, the animal learns to associate the euphorigenic and physiological effects of the drug with the physical environment in which it is received. When given the opportunity to explore both com-
partments in a drug-free state, the animal will subsequently demonstrate an increased amount of time spent in the drug-paired compartment as compared to time spent in the saline-paired compartment (or as compared with the amount of time spent in the drug-paired compartment during a pre-test prior to drug conditioning). Extinction of an established CPP is accomplished either by repeatedly pairing the previously drug-paired compartment with saline, or by allowing the CPP to dissipate over a period of several weeks with repeated testing of place preference in the absence of further conditioning.

In the IVSA paradigm, an animal is trained to perform an operant response (such as pressing a lever) to obtain an infusion of a drug solution through an indwelling intravenous catheter. Drug infusions are usually accompanied by discrete auditory and/or visual stimuli, which allows for associations between discrete environmental cues and drug infusions to be formed. Following the stabilization and maintenance of drug self-administration behavior for a period of time, the behavior can be subject to extinction by replacing the drug solution with saline, or by changing the outcome of the operant response so that it no longer has any programmed consequences (i.e., drug infusion or cue presentation). During extinction training, new response-outcome contingencies are learned (i.e., the operant response no longer produces drug delivery), and since no drug reinforcer is delivered, a gradual decrease in operant responding is observed. Many researchers then proceed to administer a priming dose of the self-administered drug, expose the animal to drug-associated cues, or introduce a mild stressor, all of which can result in a resumption of responding on the lever that previously delivered the drug infusion. This is referred to as “reinstatement” of drug-seeking behavior, and is an established model of relapse. The reinstatement model has been widely used to elucidate the neural substrates of relapse-like behaviors as well as assess the potential efficacy of pharmacological compounds or behavioral manipulations that might be developed as anti-relapse treatments [53, 54]. However, relatively little attention has been given to examining the neural circuitry and neuronal adaptations underlying extinction process in the CPP and IVSA paradigms, nor has there been much attention dedicated to the study of pharmacological enhancement of extinction learning.

NEUROANATOMICAL BASIS OF EXTINCTION

As mentioned earlier, most of what is known about the neural substrates of extinction learning has been derived from the study of the extinction of conditioned fear. Intracranial neuronal recordings and microstimulation/microinjection studies in rodents, as well as brain imaging studies in humans, have revealed that prefrontal cortex (PFC), hippocampus, and amygdala are involved in the extinction of conditioned fear [17, 55-61]. More specifically, electrical stimulation of the ventromedial PFC (vmPFC, which contains the infralimbic cortex, ILC) in rodents facilitates the extinction of conditioned fear [62], and this region is activated when human subjects are exposed to fear-evoking stimuli following extinction of the fear response [59]. The vmPFC exerts inhibitory control over activity of the amygdala and its ability to generate fear responses [17]. Fear responses evoked by environmental contexts previously paired with noxious stimuli, as well as the extinction of these responses, are mediated by the hippocampus [63, 64]. Activity of the hippocampus is governed by numerous inputs including reciprocal connections with both the vmPFC and amygdala.

Emerging evidence suggests a similar regulatory role of the vmPFC in the extinction of drug-seeking behavior and drug cue reactivity [20], and glutamatergic mechanisms have also been implicated. For example, Hsu and Packard showed that blockade of NMDA receptors in the PFC inhibited the extinction of an amphetamine CPP [65], whereas Peters and colleagues demonstrated that activation of the ILC by local infusion of AMPA blocked cocaine-induced reinstatement of extinguished cocaine-seeking behavior [66]. In contrast, inactivation of the ILC actually induced the reinstatement of cocaine-seeking [66]. Similar observations were shown by Ovari and Leri, who demonstrated that inactivation of the vmPFC resulted in a re-emergence of a previously extinguished heroin CPP [67]. Since the vmPFC appears to have a facilitatory influence on extinction learning, it is possible that the dysfunction and underactivity of this and other regions of the PFC that are often observed in long-term drug users [1, 68-73] limits the ability of the addict to extinguish his or her own drug-seeking behavior.

The amygdala, particularly the basolateral region (BLA), plays a role in the extinction of the salience of drug cues. The BLA is highly implicated in the formation of associations between drug and natural rewards and discrete environmental cues [74-77], and exposure of current and former drug users to drug-related cues activates the amygdala [78-84]. As will be discussed below, infusions of glutamatergic ligands into the BLA can alter extinction of conditioned fear-related responses. It is also likely that the hippocampus is involved in the extinction of the salience of drug-related contextual cues, as inhibition of the dorsal hippocampus attenuates context-induced reinstatement of cocaine-seeking behavior [85, 86], likely via interactions with the PFC and BLA [87]. Although these studies offer a rudimentary neural circuitry of addiction-related extinction learning, clearly more studies are warranted to more accurately define a comprehensive neural circuitry that underlies the extinction of drug-seeking behavior and the hypersalience of drug-related cues.

THE GLUTAMATERGIC SYNAPSE

A diagram of a typical glutamatergic synapse is shown in Fig. (1). Following release into the synaptic cleft, glutamate can bind to one of three different types of ionotropic glutamate receptors (iGluRs) located on the head of the postsynaptic spine: the NMDA receptor, the α-amino-3-hydroxy-5-methylisoxazole-4-propionic acid (AMPA) receptor, and the kainic acid (kainate, KA) receptor.

NMDA receptors are heteromeric protein complexes that form ligand-gated ion channels composed of at least one NR1 subunit (for which there are at least 8 splice variants) and a combination of NR2A-D and NR3A or 3B subunits [88, 89]. In addition to being stimulated by glutamate, endogenous amino acids such as D-serine and glycine act as
co-agonists at the NMDA receptor. The NR2 subunit contains the glutamate binding region, whereas the NR1 subunit contains the glycine-binding domain. Extracellular glycine levels are regulated by two subtypes of plasma membrane glycine transporters (designated GlyT1 and GlyT2). GlyT1 was originally thought to be located only on glial cells [90-91], but has recently been demonstrated to be found at glutamatergic synapses, where it can be localized to postsynaptic membrane densities (see Fig. (1)) and physically interact with NMDA receptors [92]. NMDA receptors are primarily permeable to Ca\(^{2+}\) ions upon activation, but certain subunit combinations allow for the influx of K\(^+\) and Na\(^+\) ions as well. The NMDA receptor has been extensively implicated in mediating neural plasticity as well as learning and memory processes [25, 93, 94].

AMPA receptors are also heteromeric protein complexes that form ligand-gated ion channels. These receptors are composed of various subunits termed GluR1-4 (also termed GluRA-D) and GluR\(\delta\)1 and 2 [95]. Once activated, AMPA receptors are permeable to various cations including Ca\(^{2+}\), Na\(^+\), and K\(^+\), although the majority of AMPA receptors in the brain contain GluR2 subunits, which render the channel impermeable to Ca\(^{2+}\). Both NMDA and AMPA receptors are necessary for the induction of many forms of synaptic plasticity such as LTP and LTD [96-99].

KA receptors (not shown in Fig. (1)) are ligand-gated ion channels composed of various subunits that can form homomeric tetramers composed of GluR5, 6 or 7 subunits, or heteromeric complexes containing GluR5 or KA1 or 2 subunits [95]. KA receptors are permeable to Na\(^+\) and K\(^+\) ions and, like NMDA and AMPA receptors, contribute to excitatory postsynaptic currents and synaptic plasticity [100, 101]. Although a role for KA receptors in the reinstatement of drug-seeking behavior has been suggested [26], we know of no studies published to date implicating a role for these receptors in extinction learning. However, given their role in regulating synaptic plasticity, studies examining the role of KA receptor in extinction are clearly warranted.

In addition to iGluRs, glutamate can also bind to metabotropic glutamate receptors (mGluRs), which are located either in the perisynaptic annulus or on presynaptic terminals. mGluRs are seven transmembrane domain-containing G-protein coupled receptors (GPCRs) that mediate slower, modulatory glutamatergic transmission. mGluRs are typically divided into three distinct groups, based on their phar-
macological and signal transduction properties. Group I mGluR receptors (mGluR1 and mGluR5) activate the G\(_\alpha_q\) class of G-proteins [102-104]. Group I mGluRs, particularly mGluR5, are positively coupled to NMDA receptor function (see Fig. (1)) [105-107], such that activation of mGluR5 receptors increases NMDA-mediated excitatory postsynaptic activity. Group II (mGluR2 and mGluR3) and Group III (mGluR4, mGluR6, mGluR7, and mGluR8) mGluRs, activate the G\(_\alpha_i\) class of G-proteins and are negatively coupled to adenylyl cyclase (AC) activity.

Activation of iGluRs alone is sufficient for the propagation of the action potential by the postsynaptic neuron, and can activate various intracellular signaling molecules including protein kinase A (PKA), mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK), and extracellular signal-related kinase (ERK) [108] (see Fig. (1)). Activation of additional signaling molecules, such as protein kinase C (PKC) and release of Ca\(^{2+}\) ions from intracellular stores, is achieved by activation of Group I mGluRs. Together, the simultaneous activation of iGluRs and mGluRs initiates a host of intracellular signaling cascades that result in phosphorylation of ligand- and voltage-gated ion channels, activation of other protein kinases and transcription factors, which eventually lead to the molecular events underlying hallmarks of neural plasticity such as LTP and LTD [21, 23, 109-111]. Such downstream events include initiation and/or regulation of dendritic mRNA translation and localized de novo protein synthesis, changes in gene expression in the nucleus, and cytoskeletal remodeling (see Fig. (1)). Glutamate-mediated neural plasticity is also characterized by changes in glutamate receptor trafficking such as insertion of AMPA receptors into postsynaptic plasma membrane [22].

Extracellular glutamate levels are tightly regulated by a family of sodium-dependent excitatory amino acid transporters (EAATs). To date, five separate EAATs have been identified, designated EAAT1-5. EAAT1-3 are alternatively termed glutamate aspartate transporter (GLAST), glutamate transporter 1 (GLT-1), and excitatory amino acid carrier 1 (EAAC1), respectively, and are primarily (but not exclusively) localized to glial cells [112-113], whereas EAAT4 is primarily localized to neurons and EAAT5 is primarily found in the retina [114]. This family of EAATs provides numerous mechanisms to prevent an excessive accumulation of extracellular glutamate, which if high enough concentrations are reached, can result in excitotoxicity. Conversely, glutamate can be transported from within glial cells to the extracellular environment by the cystine-glutamate exchanger (x\(_o\)) [115-118].

It should be noted that, in support of the notion that glutamatergic transmission is involved in extinction learning, animal studies have shown that extinction training following IVSA or CPP results in various neuroadaptive changes in numerous proteins found at glutamatergic synapses. In the PFC, levels mRNA encoding the NR1 subunit of the NMDA receptor are initially elevated in the mPFC during the early phases of extinction, but later become decreased with extended extinction training [119]. Since NR1 subunits are present in all NMDA receptors, these findings suggest a general up-regulation of NMDA receptor expression occurs during the early phase of extinction, which may be a result of plastic events occurring during extinction learning. In the dorsal striatum and nucleus accumbens, which are dopaminergically innervated regions that are highly involved in mediating habit-learning, reward salience, and drug reinforcement, numerous extinction-induced neuroadaptive changes have been observed. Such changes include increases in levels of the NR1 subunit of the NMDA receptor [119-121], the GluR1 and GluR2/3 subunits of the AMPA receptor [120-122]. Conversely, extinction-induced reductions in expression of mGluR5 have been observed in these regions [123]. In the hippocampus, extinction of a morphine CPP results in an increase in phosphorylation of the serine 845 residue of the GluR1 subunit of the AMPA receptor [124]. Such findings indicate that a significant degree of plasticity in glutamatergic synaptic components in various brain regions occurs as a result of extinction training, which lends further support to the notion that extinction is an active learning process involving glutamatergic transmission.

**GLUTAMATERGIC TARGETS FOR ENHANCING EXTINCTION LEARNING**

Evidence reviewed so far that suggests that extinction of drug-seeking behavior and the hypersalience of drug-associated stimuli is an active learning process, and that glutamatergic transmission is a complex and critical mediator of synaptic plasticity and extinction learning. We therefore hypothesize that pharmacological enhancement of glutamatergic transmission, via subtle modulatory mechanisms that do not result in excitotoxicity, may facilitate extinction learning in drug addiction. Pharmacological compounds that increase glutamatergic transmission, many of which are either approved for use in humans by regulatory agencies or are in clinical trials for the treatment of various neurological conditions, may therefore serve as adjuncts to cue exposure therapy. We will now review five different pharmacological classes of compounds by which glutamatergic transmission can be enhanced, and associated findings from human and animal studies that support the notion that such compounds may enhance extinction learning. This review will focus primarily on compounds that are systemically active, and the chemical structures of these compounds are shown in Fig. (2). It should be noted that various compounds in several of these classes are currently in active preclinical or clinical development, but their chemical structure has not yet been revealed and are thus not shown in Fig. (2).

**NMBA Receptor Partial Agonists**

D-cycloserine (DCS, Fig. (2)) is a partial agonist at the N-methyl-D-aspartate (NMDA) glutamate receptor subtype that binds to the glycine co-agonist site located on the NR1 subunit, which is present in all NMDA receptors in the central nervous system. Numerous studies have shown that DCS facilitates the extinction of conditioned fear responses in animals and humans (see [125, 126] for reviews), and several recent animal studies have shown that DCS also facilitates the extinction of drug-seeking behavior. Botreau and colleagues [40] demonstrated in rats that had been administered cocaine (20 mg/kg i.p.) in the CPP paradigm, administration of DCS (15 mg/kg i.p.) immediately, but not 4 hours, following CPP extinction tests facilitated the extinction of the cocaine CPP (i.e., DCS reduced the number of test sessions
required for the cocaine CPP to extinguish). The fact that the effects were observed when DCS was administered immediately following the extinction tests, and not 4 hours later, suggests that this compound facilitated the consolidation of extinction learning. These findings were independently replicated in mice by Thanos and colleagues [127].
Botreau and colleagues [40] also demonstrated that infusion of DCS directly into the BLA immediately following extinction sessions facilitated the extinction of a cocaine CPP. However, Lee and colleagues [39] found intra-BLA administration of DCS actually potentiated cue-induced reinstatement of cocaine-seeking behavior. Although Botreau and colleagues did not examine reinstatement of CPP, their findings of facilitatory effects of DCS on extinction may seem contradictory to the potentiating effects of DCS on reinstatement as observed by Lee and colleagues. These apparently discrepant findings are most likely attributable to the vastly different methodologies used in these studies. Botreau and colleagues administered DCS into the BLA immediately following extinction sessions in the CPP paradigm [40], while Lee and colleagues [39] employed an entirely different methodology. These investigators trained rats to intravenously self-administer cocaine for 10 days with standard response-contingent presentation of visual cues during drug infusions. Three days after the final self-administration session, animals were infused with DCS or vehicle into the BLA prior to a drug cue “reactivation” session, where animals were placed in the self-administration apparatus and exposed to 30 response-independent presentations of the cocaine-paired visual stimulus, which presumably reactivated the cocaine-cue “memory”. Three days following this session, animals were tested for cue-induced reinstatement of drug-seeking behavior (i.e., resumption of lever pressing that resulted in drug cue presentation but no drug delivery). The results of this experiment showed a potentiation of cue-induced reinstatement in animals receiving DCS into the BLA as compared with those receiving vehicle [39]. The authors attributed the ability of DCS to increase cue-induced cocaine-seeking to potentiation of the “reconsolidation” of the drug-cue memory. Thus, whether DCS facilitates the consolidation of extinction learning or promotes reconsolidation of drug-related memories are highly dependent on the experimental procedures used and timing of DCS administration.

DCS (15 mg/kg i.p.) was also shown to facilitate the extinction of a cocaine CPP when extinction tests were performed at intervals ranging from 3 to 14 days [128]. In addition, these investigators also demonstrated that acute administration of a priming dose of cocaine (5 mg/kg i.p.) did not reinstate cocaine CPP in animals treated with DCS during extinction, suggesting that increased consolidation of extinction learning may enable resistance to reinstatement and possibly reduce the incidence of relapse. However, Kelley and colleagues [129] found that DCS treatment failed to impair cocaine-primed reinstatement of a cocaine CPP. These discrepant findings can again be explained by methodological differences between these two studies. In the study by Kelley and colleagues [129], DCS was administered prior to CPP extinction tests (which would affect the acquisition of extinction learning), whereas in the study by Paolone and colleagues [128] the drug was administered following extinction sessions, which would affect the consolidation of extinction learning.

With regards to other drugs of abuse, DCS (30 mg/kg i.p.) administered prior to extinction testing following place conditioning with ethanol (2 g/kg i.p.) in mice was shown to be ineffective in facilitating the extinction of an ethanol CPP [130]. Combined with the findings of Kelley et al. [129] mentioned above, these data suggest that DCS, when used in CPP paradigms, may be more effective in facilitating the consolidation of extinction learning rather than its acquisition. However, an operant self-administration study where discriminative stimuli (i.e., distinct olfactory scents) were used to allow the animals to predict the availability of oral ethanol or water [131] showed that pre-extinction session administration of DCS (5 mg/kg i.p.) facilitated the extinction of lever pressing for alcohol but not water when the reinforcer was withheld. DCS treatment also reduced the magnitude of reinstatement of alcohol-seeking behavior produced by the combined presentation of the alcohol-associated discriminative stimulus and presentation of alcohol to serve a gustatory and olfactory cue. Finally, post-extinction session administration of DCS (30 mg/kg i.p.) has been shown to facilitate the extinction of operant food-seeking behavior in mice [132]. Thus, while DCS may selectively facilitate the consolidation of contextual extinction learning in CPP paradigms, there is evidence that DCS may facilitate both the acquisition and consolidation of instrumental extinction learning. Clearly more research in this area is needed to identify the ideal time points at which DCS administration produces the most robust facilitation of extinction learning.

In addition to facilitating the appetitive salience of drug-associated cues, DCS also facilitates the extinction of the conditioned aversive effects of drugs, such as drug withdrawal symptoms. It was recently demonstrated that administration of DCS (15 mg/kg i.p.) prior to naloxone-precipitated morphine withdrawal in morphine-dependent rats facilitated the extinction of a resulting conditioned place aversion [133]. While additional studies are needed to confirm that DCS facilitates the extinction of the conditioned aversive properties of abstinence-induced drug withdrawal, which is more akin to drug withdrawal experienced by human addicts, these findings are encouraging as they demonstrate that DCS can facilitate both appetitive and aversive conditioned effects of drugs of abuse. This is especially important in light of the fact that drug use in humans is motivated by negative reinforcement processes that alleviate both conditioned and unconditioned drug withdrawal symptoms [134].

While all of the aforementioned studies have been performed in rodents, there is recent evidence to suggest that DCS may not facilitate extinction in all species. A recently published study showed that, as expected, pre-extinction session administration of DCS (30 mg/kg) facilitated the extinction of operant responding for a cocaine-paired lever in rats, but had no effect no effect in monkeys [135]. Nonetheless, this pre-extinction administration of DCS was effective in reducing the reacquisition of cocaine self-administration in both species. These results suggest that relapse-related behaviors may be altered by therapeutic drug treatment during extinction despite a lack of observable effects during the extinction phase.

Similar to its cyclic analogue DCS, the endogenous NMDA receptor co-agonist D-serine (Fig. (2)) has recently
been shown to affect extinction responding following drug self-administration. In rats with a history of intravenous cocaine self-administration, administration of D-serine at a dose of 100 mg/kg i.p. several hours prior to extinction training sessions facilitated the extinction of responding on the lever that previously delivered cocaine, but had no effect on the ability of a cocaine-associated cue to reinstate lever pressing [136]. This same group of investigators subsequently showed that the same dose of D-serine administered before or after extinction training in animals with a history of cocaine self-administration had no effect on responding during the first day of extinction (although only a single 90-minute extinction training session was allowed), but reduced the magnitude of reinstatement of responding induced by a priming dose of cocaine (0.5 mg/kg i.v.) [137]. Interestingly, these effects were not observed in animals that underwent one day of abstinence (i.e., no extinction training), suggesting an interaction between the experience of extinction training and the ability of D-serine to attenuate the reinstatement of cocaine-seeking behavior.

Only two reports to date have been published on the effectiveness of DCS in reducing reactivity to drug-associated cues in humans. In a study by Santa Ana and colleagues [138], 25 cigarette smokers were administered either placebo (n=13) or DCS (n=12, 50 mg orally) 1 hour prior to two smoking-related cue exposure tests. It was found that participants receiving DCS demonstrated significant reductions in physiological arousal (as measured by skin conductance) in response to presentation of smoking-related cues, as well as reductions in self-reported urges to smoke as compared with participants that received placebo. These encouraging preliminary findings suggest that DCS may be an effective adjunct to cue exposure therapy in facilitating extinction of conditioned responses to smoking-associated cues. However, another recent preliminary study conducted by Price and colleagues on the effects of DCS on cue reactivity in cocaine addicts found opposing results [139]. DCS (50 mg orally) or placebo (n=5 subjects per group) was administered 2 hours prior to cocaine-related cue reactivity tests. Surprisingly, subjects receiving DCS reported higher subjective ratings towards statistical significance, with p-values ranging from 0.06 to 0.10. Finally, in the Santa Ana study [138], smokers were required to refrain from smoking overnight prior to cue testing, whereas in the study by Price and co-workers, cocaine addicts who were also cigarette smokers were given nicotine patches to prevent nicotine craving, and the presence of nicotine in the bloodstream may have altered the subjective reports of cocaine craving. Clearly, more studies on the effects of DCS on cue reactivity with large sample sizes, subjects addicted to other drugs of abuse, different timings of DCS administration, and the use of more than two cue exposure sessions to allow a greater degree of extinction learning to occur, are needed to determine the effectiveness of DCS in reducing cue reactivity in drug addicts.

mGluR5 Positive Allosteric Modulators

While DCS and D-serine are direct partial agonists at the glycine binding site of the NMDA receptor, an alternative approach to increasing NMDA receptor function is by positive allosteric modulation of mGluR5 receptors. As shown in Fig. (1), these receptors are primarily located on the perisynaptic annulus of postsynaptic dendritic spines. mGluR5 receptors are positively coupled to NMDA receptor function, such that potentiation of mGluR5 function increases NMDA receptor-mediated postsynaptic currents. mGluR5 receptors are highly expressed in forebrain regions such as the frontal cortex, olfactory bulb, dorsal and ventral striatum, amygdala, and hippocampus [140]. These receptors are known to be critically involved in drug reward and reinforcement [141-146], synaptic plasticity [21, 23, 109-111], and learning and memory [147]. A role for mGluR5 receptors in extinction learning was recently demonstrated by Xu and colleagues [148], who demonstrated that mice lacking functional mGluR5 receptors failed to show evidence of extinction of contextual or cue conditioned fear. Thus, it is plausible (and now documented in the literature) that potentiation of mGluR5 function might actually enhance learning in certain paradigms, including extinction learning, as described below.

Positive allosteric modulators (PAMs) of mGluR5 receptor function were originally designed to indirectly increase NMDA receptor function, and therefore possibly alleviate some of the cognitive deficits associated with schizophrenia in accordance with the NMDA receptor hypofunction model of this disorder [105-107, 149-151]. The development of mGluR5 PAMs was deemed to be advantageous over developing direct orthosteric agonists of the receptor for numerous reasons, including the poor selectivity offered by orthosteric agonists due to the high degree of homology of the glutamate binding site of the receptor across mGluR subtypes, poor brain penetrance of mGluR5 orthosteric agonists, and excessive receptor stimulation which causes rapid receptor desensitization. To circumvent these issues, mGluR5 PAMs were developed, which bind to the receptor at a site that is distinct from the orthosteric glutamate binding site and increase the functioning of the receptor upon the binding of endogenous glutamate. The first mGluR5 PAMs to be developed were (1E,2E)-1,2-bis(3-fluorobenzylidene)hydrizidine (DFB) [152] and N-[(1,3-dioxoisoxindolin-2-yl)methyl]phenyl]-2-hydroxybenzamide (CPPHA) [153, 154]. Another mGluR5 PAM that has been developed is 4-nitro-N-(3-diphenyl-1H-pyrazol-5-yl)benzamide (VU-29) [155], and it was recently demonstrated that this compound enhances LTP and LTD in the hippocampal CA1 region in vitro [156], suggesting that it may have cognition-enhancing properties. Unfortunately, these compounds exhibit poor brain penetrance which make them unsuitable for behavioral studies. Nonetheless, one study did demonstrate that intracerebroventricular infusion of DFB in rats improved performance in a spatial alternation task [157].

The first report of a systemically active mGluR5 PAM was published by Lindsley and colleagues [158], who characterized the compound 3-cyano-N-(1,3-diphenyl-1H-pyrazol-5-yl)benzamide (CDPPB, see Fig. (2), which displayed antipsychotic-like properties [159-160]. Another systemically active mGluR5 PAM, (S)-(4-fluorophenyl)\{3-[3-}
Given the pro-cognitive and pro-mnemonic effects of CDPPB, the effects of this compound on extinction learning have recently been explored. It has been found that CDPPB (3-30 mg/kg s.c.) administered prior to extinction sessions facilitated the extinction of a previously established cocaine CPP [164], and these effects were blocked by the mGluR5 receptor antagonist 3-((2-methyl-4-thiazolyl)ethyl)pyridine (MTEP) as well as an NMDA antagonist MK-801. Other studies show that CDPPB reduces extinction responding in rats with a history of intravenous cocaine or heroin self-administration [165]. Despite its ability to indirectly enhance NMDA receptor function, repeated administration of CDPPB (30 mg/kg s.c.) did not produce evidence of neurotoxicity [164]. Although mGluR5 PAMs are primarily being developed for the treatment of schizophrenia, these data suggest that mGluR5 PAMs may also be useful in facilitating the extinction of drug-seeking behavior. It remains to be determined if they also facilitate the extinction of drug-related cue reactivity.

Glycine Transporter Inhibitors

Another method for indirectly potentiating NMDA receptor function, and in turn enhancing synaptic plasticity and potentially facilitating extinction learning, is by inhibition of the activity of plasma membrane glycine transporters (GlyTs, with subtypes designated GlyT1 and GlyT2) [166-169]. These transporters, particularly the GlyT1 subtype, locally regulate extracellular levels of the endogenous NMDA receptor co-agonist glycine [170]. Early in situ hybridization and immunohistochemistry studies showed that GlyT1 transporters were primarily localized to glial cells [90, 91], but more recently it has been demonstrated by electron microscopy with improved antisera against GlyT1 that these transporters are highly concentrated at glutamatergic synapses on both the pre- and postsynaptic membranes, and physically interact with postsynaptic NMDA receptors [92]. GlyT1 transporters are widely expressed throughout the brain, although there is some regional variation in levels of protein expression, whereas GlyT2 transporter expression is primarily confined to the spinal cord, brainstem, and cerebellum, where it is found on presynaptic terminals and glial cells.

One of the first systemically active and selective GlyT1 inhibitors to be characterized was N-[1-(ethylsulfonyl)-4-isobutyl[1-piperidin-4-yl]methyl}-4-(tri-fluoromethyl)benzamide (Compound 5) [173, 174], [2-(4-benzo[1,3]dioxol-5-yl-2-tert-butyl]phenoxyethyl]-methyl-amino)-acetic acid (LY2365109) [175], and 2-chloro-N-[(S)-phenyl[(2S)-piperidin-2-yl]-methyl]-3-trifluoromethyl benzamide (SSR504734) [176]. The chemical structures of these compounds are shown in Fig. (2).

Inhibition of GlyT1 function by either pharmacological inhibition or targeted gene deletion has been shown to facilitate associative and spatial reversal learning, working and spatial memory, and object recognition memory [177-181]. Compound 5 has been shown to attenuate the ability of nicotine to reinstate sucrose-seeking [182], although the drug was given prior to reinstatement, and its effects on extinction learning were not determined in this study. To date only one study relevant to extinction has been published on GlyT1 inhibitors and extinction. In this study, local delivery of NFPS into the amygdala of rats facilitated the extinction of conditioned fear [183], which raises the possibility that GlyT1 function may also facilitate extinction learning in the context of drug addiction. While to our knowledge no such studies have been published to date on effects of GlyT1 inhibitors in the context of drug addiction, such studies are clearly warranted based on the aforementioned results.

AMPA Receptor Potentiators

Similar to NMDA receptors, AMPA receptors are critically involved in synaptic plasticity and learning and memory processes [21-25]. Substantial effort has been devoted to developing small molecule compounds that selectively increase AMPA receptor function without inducing neuronal hyperexcitability or excitotoxicity. Such compounds have been termed “AMPAKines”, AMPA receptor potentiators, or AMPA receptor positive allosteric modulators. These compounds facilitate LTP and improve performance in learning and memory tasks in rodents such as spatial navigation, odor discrimination, non-matching-to-sample short-term memory tests, and have yielded some positive results in psychological tests in humans [184, 185]. Numerous selective and systemically active AMPA receptor potentiators have been developed for potential use as cognition-enhancing agents in disorders such as schizophrenia and Alzheimer’s disease, including 4-[2-(phenylsulfonylamino)ethylthio]-2,6-difluorophenoxyacetamide (PEPA) [186], 6-(piperidin-1-ylcarbonyl) quinoxaline (CX-516) [187], 2,1,3-benzoxadiazol-6-yl-piperidin-1-ylmethanone (CX-691, also known as Farampa) [188], and 7-chloro-3-methyl-3,4-dihydro-2H-1,2,4-benzothiadiazine S,S-dioxide (IDRA-21) [189]. Recent studies have demonstrated that PEPA facilitates the extinction of conditioned fear responses [190-191], and relevant to addiction, LaLumiere and Kalivas recently demonstrated that infusions of PEPA into the infralimbic region of the prefrontal cortex enhances the retention of extinction learning following cocaine self-administration [192]. Further support for an important role of AMPA receptors in extinction learning comes from a study by Sutton and colleagues, who showed that increasing AMPA receptor function by virally-induced overexpression of the GluR1 and GluR2 subunits of the AMPA receptor in the nucleus accumbens facilitates the extinction of cocaine-seeking behavior [122]. Thus, potentiation of AMPA receptor function may be an...
other possible pharmacological mechanism to facilitate extinction learning in addiction.

**Cystine-Glutamate Exchanger Activators**

Extracellular levels of glutamate are tightly regulated by various transporter proteins, including neuronal and glial glutamate transporters (as discussed previously) and other proteins such as the cysteine-glutamate exchanger (often abbreviated x_c) located on glial cells (see Fig. (1)). The cystine prodrug N-acetylcysteine (NAC, see Fig. (2)) is converted to cysteine following penetration into the brain and ultimately to cysteine, which stimulates x_c. The resulting effect is an increase in extracellular glutamate levels that arises from cytoplasmic pools inside glial cells expressing x_c. NAC is particularly effective in elevating extracellular glutamate levels when they are below normal, such as during cocaine withdrawal [117, 193]. NAC has been shown in rodents to normalize deficits in extracellular levels of glutamate in forebrain regions such as the nucleus accumbens during cocaine withdrawal, and inhibit the ability of acute cocaine exposure to reinstate cocaine-seeking behavior [118, 193-195]. NAC also reverses the metaplasticity (an alteration in the ability to induce LTP or LTD) in the nucleus accumbens following cocaine self-administration [195]. In contrast, pharmacological blockade of x_c with (S)-4-carboxyphenylglycine (CPG) actually promotes cocaine-seeking behavior [196]. Recently, NAC has been tested in clinical trials in human addicts and has been shown to reduce cue reactivity and craving in cocaine addicts [197, 198] and reduce cigarette smoking [199].

Since NAC nonspecifically enhances glutamatergic transmission by increasing extracellular levels of this excitatory amino acid, it can potentially activate numerous post-synaptic glutamate receptors (i.e., AMPA, NMDA, mGluRs, etc.). It was recently demonstrated that the ability of NAC to restore LTP in the nucleus accumbens was due to actions of glutamate on presynaptic mGluR2/3 receptors, whereas the ability of NAC to restore LTD was due to stimulation of mGluR5 receptors [195], consistent with evidence that mGluR5 receptors mediate drug-induced alterations in synaptic plasticity [46]. Although studies on the effects of NAC on enhancement on normal learning processes are lacking, Zhou and Kalivas demonstrated that NAC reduced extinction responding following intravenous heroin self-administration [200], and produced lasting reductions in the reinstatement of heroin-seeking behavior. Similar inhibitory effects of NAC on extinction responding have recently been reported in rats with a history of cocaine self-administration [201]. Thus, NAC may be a novel potential adjunct to cue exposure therapy to facilitate the extinction of cue-evoked cocaine craving as well as reducing cocaine and heroin-seeking behavior.

**CONCLUSIONS**

Drug-associated environmental stimuli induce drug craving, which in turn motivates drug-seeking behavior and often result in relapse. The combination of the prevalence of drug-associated cues in the addict’s day-to-day life, the context-specificity of extinction learning, and the enduring neuro-adaptive changes in the brain produced by repeated drug use, create a substantial uphill battle in the development of successful treatments for drug addiction. As many common neural mechanisms underlie both normal learning and memory processes and drug addiction, targeting neural systems with either pro-cognitive or pro-mnemonic agents to facilitate extinction learning and improve cognitive abilities are potentially promising avenues for both basic and clinical research that may improve the long-term outcomes of the treatment of addiction [202]. We hypothesize that pharmacological agents that enhance glutamatergic transmission via subtle mechanisms, including NMDA receptor partial agonism, mGluR5 and AMPA receptor potentiation, GlyT1 inhibition, and cystine-glutamate exchanger activation, may be of potential benefit in enhancing synaptic plasticity and thereby facilitating extinction learning. Undoubtedly such pharmacological approaches, if devoid of toxicological or adverse side effects and approved by appropriate regulatory agencies, will have to be correctly incorporated into cue exposure therapy practices and cognitive-behavioral therapy in order to maximize their effectiveness.
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