The increased necessity for longer lasting and higher capacity batteries have led to the rapid development of lithium-ion batteries and their widespread use. This demand forces researchers to develop anode materials that could provide higher capacity along the numerous cycles [1]. Graphite, which is a commonly used anode material, has just 372 mAh g⁻¹ initial theoretical capacity [2]. On the other hand, silicon is a promising anode material due to its high theoretical specific capacitance (4200 mAh g⁻¹). However, Si faces drastic volume change up to 300% during lithiation and delithiation processes. Thus, silicon cannot retain its integrity during incessant battery cycles and this creates dead weight (inactive material) in battery [3]. To increase the cycling performance of Si-based anode materials, several approaches have been proposed in the literature such as; (1) developing nanostructured Si-based materials [4,5], (2) synthesis of Si-based alloy [6,7], and (3) preparation of amorphous silicon materials [8,9].

Among these, the approach of Si-based alloy system is comparable advantageous as it has a potential to prevent disintegration that occurs due to the volume expansion of silicon during the charge/discharge process. Therefore, several Si based alloy systems such as Si/C, Si/Ti, Si/Ni, Si/Fe [10-19] have been studied so far. Recently, Xinping Ai et al. developed a FeSi₂/Si nanocomposite that exhibits a high Li storage capacity of ~1010 mAh g⁻¹ and an excellent cyclability with 94% capacity retention after 200 cycles [18]. Martin Winter et al. synthesized porous NiSi₂/Si/carbon composites [16], which resulted in good stability and high capacity. Antimony (Sb) takes more attention due to great cycling stability even after numerous cycles [20]. However, the initial theoretical capacity of Sb is just 650 mAh g⁻¹. Therefore, in the Si/Sb alloy system, the high capacity of silicon can be combined with the high cyclic stability of antimony. According to our knowledge just one group, which is Jingwei Wang et al., have developed Si/Sb alloy system using nano-sized Si and Sb particles [21]. They claimed that SixSb immiscible alloy system have been succeeded by the chemical reduction-mechanical

**ABSTRACT**

In this study, SixSb immiscible composite blend as anode materials have been synthesized using micron-sized silicone and antimony particles in different compositions through chemical reduction-mechanical alloying method (CR-MA). The obtained microstructures have been investigated by X-ray diffraction (XRD) and Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM) with Energy Dispersive X-Ray analysis (EDX). Spectroscopic characterizations of the composite materials showed that a traditional intermetallic compound could not be achieved. However a novel immiscible composite blend system have been developed. One of the newly prepared composite materials, Si₀.₆₅Sb, exhibits an initial capacity of 790 mAh g⁻¹ and a good cyclic stability compared to the pure silicone. The battery performance results of the micron-sized Si₀.₆₅Sb blend system have been compared with the commercially used graphite and the nano-sized Si/Sb alloy systems. The cycling stability of the micron-sized Si₀.₆₅Sb blend system showed an improvement compared to nano-sized Si/Sb alloy systems. Moreover its specific capacity is slightly higher than the commercial graphite anode material. These results portray the importance of micron sized Si/Sb system in large-scale applications due to its low cost.
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**INTRODUCTION**
alloying method and found specific capacity of 1194 mAh g⁻¹. However after seven cycles, it drops to 596.4 mAh g⁻¹.

The motivation of this work is to obtain high capacity compared to the graphite anode material and cycling stability compared to the nano-sized Si/Sb alloy system. Therefore, alternative to nano-sized Si/Sb alloy system, micron-sized silicon and antimony particles have been utilized to develop Si/Sb alloy system by chemical reduction-mechanical alloying (CR-MA) method. However XRD, SEM-EDX studies displayed that alloying of Si and Sb in micron size cannot be succeeded but stable immiscible blend system can be. The battery performance results obtained from the micron-sized Si₀.₆₅S₀.₃₅ blend system have been compared to graphite and the nano-sized Si/Sb alloy system. It has been found that there is an improvement in cycling stability compared to nano-sized Si/Sb alloy system and in specific capacity compared to graphite anode material.

EXPERIMENTAL

Materials: Silicon powder (-100 mesh, 99.9% purity, density 2.329 g/cm³), Antimony powder (-100 mesh, 99.5 % purity, density 6.697 g/cm³), and absolute ethanol were purchased from Aldrich Chemical Co. Inc. and used as received. For battery tests; acetylene black, polyvinylidene fluoride (PVDF), and 1-methy1-2-pyrrolidinone (NMP) were kindly donated by Aldrich Chemical Co. Inc.

Preparation of Alloy: To obtain Si and Sb based alloy, chemical reduction and mechanical alloying method was used. Si and Sb mixed at different molar ratios into the attrition milling, while adding ethanol (300 ml) as milling control agents, charged with nitrogen as a protective gas. Samples were dried in a vacuum oven at 120 °C after milling process. Molar ratios, milling and drying times are shown in the Table 1.

Spectroscopic Characterization: XRD was performed using a Bruker AXS X-ray generator and diffractometer with Cu Kα radiation. The instrument operates at room temperature, with 0–28 optics, and is equipped with a 3 kW generator and Cu Kα X-ray tubes. The system is interfaced with Visual XRD and Traces version 6.6.10 software designed for graphical processing and manipulation. The tube voltage and current were set at 40 kV and 25 mA, respectively.

Sample morphologies were investigated using a JEOL JEM-3000 (30 kV) SEM. Some of the higher resolution SEM images in this research work were obtained by using a field emission scanning electron microscope (FE-SEM), a JEOL JSM-7500FA. The elemental analysis of some particles was performed with energy dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDX).

Cell assembly and measurement: The electrode was prepared by mixing 70 wt% active materials, 10 wt% acetylene black and 20 wt% polyvinylidene fluoride (PVDF) binder in 1-methy1-2-pyrrolidinone (NMP) to form slurry, which was then spread onto a copper grid. Galvanostatic cycling measurements were performed with a classical two-electrode Swagelok-typeTM cell at a C/10 current density. Half-cell Li ion battery for test was assembled inside in an argon filled glove box to evaluate the electrochemical characteristics of Si₀.₆₅S₀.₃₅ alloy blend system. Ethylene carbonate (EC) and dimethyl carbonate (DMC) (EC/DEC: 1/1, V/V) was used as electrolyte and a porous polyethylene (PE) membrane was used as a separator. The cell was galvanostatically charged (Li⁺ insertion) and discharged (Li⁺ extraction) in the voltage range of 0.01–2.0 V vs Li/Li⁺ at different current densities at room temperature using VMP3 Biologic battery test system.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Micron sized Si and Sb particles have been utilized to obtain Si/Sb alloy systems by CR-MA method. Si and Sb have been mixed at different molar ratios into the attrition milling, and obtained three different components. After milling process, samples have been dried in a vacuum oven at 120 °C. XRD, SEM-EDS and battery performance tests have been done for each SixSb blend system (Fig. 1).

XRD has been used to illuminate the microstructure of Si/Sb systems (Fig. 2). In the Fig. 2, the diffraction peaks of a series Si–Sb are attributed to Si and Sb phases. It has not 

---

### Table 1. Conditions of chemical reduction and mechanical alloying method and ratios of Si and Sb amounts in alloy samples

| Experiment | Si   | Sb   | Drying time (h) | Milling time (h) |
|------------|------|------|-----------------|------------------|
| Experiment I/ Si₀.₆₅S₀.₃₅ | 0.05 mol | 0.08 mol | 10              | 20               |
| Experiment II/ Si₀.₆₅S₀.₅ | 0.06 mol | 0.07 mol | 10              | 20               |
| Experiment III/ Si₀.₇S₀.₃ | 0.07 mol | 0.07 mol | 10              | 20               |
been observed any shift of Si and Sb peaks, meaning that
traditional intermetallic compounds cannot be occurred.
Jingwei Wang et al. have obtained same XRD result with
that obtained in this study. However they investigated the
peaks characteristic of Si-Sb immiscible alloy and observed
an enhancement with the increasing Si contents, stating
that an appropriate addition of Si contributes to the forma-
tion of Si-Sb immiscible alloy material [21]. However in this
study, there is no relation between the peak areas of the ele-
ments in the X-ray diffraction spectroscopy and those of
mixing ratios. Therefore, it has been concluded that alloying
cannot be achieved using micron sized (100 mesh) silicone
and antimony particles.

Despite the preparation of three different samples,
trials experiments have been carried out for one specimen
which is Si0.65Sb immiscible composite blend system.

The SEM image of Si0.65Sb immiscible blend system
is showed in Fig.3. As schematically depicted in Fig. 3, the
sample is formed by tiny irregular particles and the particles
aggregated into homogenous secondary particles, which are
uniformly distributed without distinct agglomeration.

An energy dispersive X-Ray analysis (EDX) is utilized
to provide elemental identification and quantitative com-
positional information. Therefore further microstructure con-
formation has been done by SEM-EDX analysis (Fig. 4). The
mixing ratio of Si:Sb in the Si0.65Sb immiscible blend system
is 0.15 in weight. When the SEM-EDX analysis has been
carried out for Si0.65Sb, the normalised concentration ratio
of the elements (Si:Sb) in weight percent is found to be 0.31.
It has been clearly seen that no any relation between analysis
results of the SEM-EDX and mixing ratios of Si and Sb
powders. Aforementioned results obtained from XRD and
EDX display that alloying of micron-sized Si and Sb partic-
les cannot be achieved.

The prepared half–cell was galvanostatically charged
(Li+ insertion) and discharged (Li+ extraction) in the voltage
range of 0.01–2.0 V vs Li/Li+ to evaluate the electrochemical
characteristics of Si0.65Sb alloy blend system at a C/10 (Fig. 5).
Fig. 5 depicts the cycle performance of Si0.65Sb alloy anode
material, providing a direct evidence of the good lithium
storage performance due to the proper silicon contents.

The initial discharge capacity of the micron-sized
Si0.65Sb anode material, which is obtained using the CR-
MA method, is 790 mAh g–1 and the discharge capacity at
the end of the 7th cycle is maintaining 520 mAh g–1 (Fig. 6).
Although the initial specific capacity of the nano-sized Si/
Sb alloy system is about 1194 mAh g–1, its capacity drop to
596.4 mAh g–1 which means cycling stability of nano-sized
Si/Sb alloy system is lower than micron-sized Si0.65Sb anode
material. On the other hand, when the capacity values of the
obtained Si0.65Sb anode material are compared with those of
the conventional graphite anode material, it has been clearly
seen that specific capacity of Si0.65Sb is higher than graphite
anode material (Table 2)

![Figure 3. SEM image of Si0.65Sb immiscible blend materials.](image)

![Figure 2. XRD patterns of Si-Sb immmiscible blend system](image)

![Figure 4. SEM-EDX result for Si0.65Sb blend system.](image)

![Figure 5. Initial charge–discharge curves of Si0.65Sb alloy anode material.](image)
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CONCLUSION

Stable immiscible blend systems composed of micron-sized silicon and antimony particles have been developed using CR-MA method. Si0.65Sb blend system exhibits initial capacity of 790 mAh g–1 and a superior capacity of 520 mAh g–1 after 7 cycles. Although the blend system composes of micron size Si and Sb particles, which means interaction occurs in micron distances, the results are promising when compared to the nano-sized Si/Sb alloy system or to commercial using graphite.
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Figure 6. Cycle performance of Si0.65Sb anode material in the potential range of 2.0 V – 0.01 V at a scan rate of 0.05 mV s–1 (vs. Li/Li+).

Table 2. Capacity comparision of Si0.65Sb anode material with the literature.

| Anode Materials                  | 1st cycling | 7th cycling |
|----------------------------------|-------------|-------------|
| Graphite [25]                   | 372 mAh g–1 | 350 mAh g–1 |
| Silisium [26]                   | 4200 mAh g–1 | 1580 mAh g–1 |
| Antimony [27]                   | 650 mAh g–1 | 200 mAh g–1 |
| Nano-sized Si0.65Sb alloy [21]   | 2194 mAh g–1 | 596.4 mAh g–1 |
| Micron-sized Si0.65Sb anode material [this study] | 790 mAh g–1 | 520 mAh g–1 |