Bioremediation of toluene by bioaugmentation, biostimulation and natural attenuation
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Abstract. Contamination in subsurface environment is a serious environmental hazard. Main sources of the contamination are petrol, diesel fuel, gasoline at oil refineries, underground storage tanks, transmission pipelines and different industries. Permeable reactive barriers (PRBs), which is a promising technology to remediate groundwater in-situ, are filled with reactive materials for the removal of the contaminants present in groundwater. In this study, groundwater contaminated with toluene is treated in reactor columns by biological processes. This study was conducted to assess the impact of bioaugmentation (BA) and biostimulation (BS) on toluene degradation efficiency. After 44 days of treatment, toluene concentrations were decreased from 5 mg/l to 4.304 mg/l by the natural attenuation treatment (Reactor 2), which represents a 13.9% removal efficiency. Toluene was reduced to 0.0239 mg/l in the biostimulation and bioaugmentation treatment (Reactor 1), which represents a toluene removal efficiency of 99.5%. This study showed that the toluene removal efficiency in the combined BA and BS process was much higher than in natural attenuation (NA) process tested.

1 Introduction

Contamination in subsurface environment has become a serious environmental hazard in recent years. Main sources of the contamination are petrol, diesel fuel, gasoline at oil refineries, underground storage tanks, transmission pipelines and non-petroleum related industries. These hazardous contaminants are in the EPA’s (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency) priority pollutant lists. Besides, some of that chemicals in the fossil fuels tend to persist in the environment for a long time. These persistent contaminants have several health risks to humans, animals, and other living organisms. Therefore, this threat needs to be removed carefully from the subsurface environment. Removal of contaminants, especially petroleum hydrocarbons, from soil and groundwater through bioremediation technologies has been commonly practiced by researchers and industry. One of the most common remediation technologies is bioremediation which uses microorganisms to biodegrade hydrocarbon. Bioremediation has been commonly used for cleaning up soil and groundwater contaminated sites and is an environmentally friendly and cost-effective remediation technology.

Total petroleum hydrocarbons consist of three components: aliphatic (or saturated), aromatic, and polar hydrocarbon fractions. Diesel fuels primarily contain a mixture of C10 through C19 hydrocarbons, which include 64% aliphatic hydrocarbons, 1-2% olefinic hydrocarbons, and 35% aromatic hydrocarbons. All the above fuel oils contain less than 5% polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs). Biodegradation of petroleum hydrocarbons by microorganisms have been studied by scientists for many years. Microorganisms responsible for degrading crude and refined petroleum products are defined as either eukaryotic or prokaryotic organisms. Some of the species that are effective on biodegradation of petroleum hydrocarbons are Nocardi, Pseudomonas, Acinetobacter, Flavobacterium, Arthrobacter, Achromobacter, Alcaligenes, Mycobacterium, Bacillus, Aspergillus, Fusarium, Penicillium, and Sporobolomyces. Bioremediation method can be selected based on the properties of the polluting hydrocarbons and the characteristics of soil and the groundwater where the contaminant is spilled. It is preferred that the hydrocarbons contain carbon chain length of between C10 and C20, because these carbon chains are easier to break. On the other hand, hydrocarbons with C20-C40 carbon chains are hydrophobic, less soluble in water and resistant to biodegradation. Bioremediation is a technology that aims at cleaning a contaminated region by microorganisms and their enzymes. Specific contaminants such as chlorine containing pesticides can be also biodegraded by microorganisms. Microorganisms that degrade these hydrocarbons can be bacteria, protozoa, nematodes, and fungi. Bioremediation of a contaminated site by adding specifically selected microorganisms is called bioaugmentation.

Bioaugmentation technology is used effectively in soils and groundwater where there is not sufficient microbial population available for biodegradation. Bioremediation of a contaminated site by adding nutrients is called biostimulation. Some of the benefits of bioremediation can be summarized as; effective,
Bioremediation is the process of using microorganisms to convert organic compounds such as crude oil into non-toxic substances such as CO₂ and H₂O. Any given bacteria can occur naturally and require specialized nutrients. In most cases naturally occurring microorganisms are not in sufficient concentrations to provide complete degradation. Thus, there exists an opportunity to enhance and accelerate the natural degradation by the introduction of additional microorganisms and nutrients. When mixed with water and applied as a slurry to contaminated soil or groundwater, microorganisms break down the molecular structures of the targeted hydrocarbons by utilizing their mass as a source of energy. Because of the high degree of interface between bacteria and the hydrocarbon, the rate of degradation tends to be quite rapid at first, but gradually diminishes as the more biodegradable hydrocarbon is consumed.

One of the most promising technologies for in-situ groundwater treatment is to use Permeable reactive barriers (PRBs). PRBs are filled with reactive materials and they must be permeable than the surrounding aquifer material. When compared to conventional pump and treat systems, PRB method is much cheaper because there is no continuous energy input is needed. The other benefit of PRBs is that replacement of the PRB material is always possible [1]. There are two types of PRBs, continuous PRBs and funnel and gate system PRBs. Continuous PRBs consist of a single reactive zone whereas funnel and gate PRBs consists of permeable gate (reactive zone) placed between two impermeable walls that direct the contaminated plume towards the reactive zone. The mechanisms of interaction in PRBs are degradation, precipitation and sorption. The types of reactive materials used in PRBs are those changing pH or redox potential, causing precipitation, materials with high sorption capacity, and releasing nutrients and oxygen to enhance degradation. Some of the reactive materials used in PRBs are activated carbon (AC), Al, ferric oxide, peat, zeolite, lignite, zero valent metals, fly ash, lime, limestone, sand, and clay.

PRBs consisting of zero valent iron (ZVI) can also be used to treat groundwater contaminated with chlorinated solvents, nitrate, chromium, uranium, and pesticides [2]. In another study, PRB columns filled with olive nut, sand and soil were usefully used to treat wastewater containing nitrate [3]. PAHs were successfully removed from water by using PRB materials of wheat straw and coconut shell [4]. Corn straw, fly ash, Fe-Mn, and zeolite were used as PRB materials to reduce the concentrations of Pb and Cd from groundwater [5]. Steel manufacturing basic oxygen furnace sludge (BOFS) was tested as a PRB material to remove Cr+6 from soil [6]. Two lab scale column studies used ZVI and ZVI + zeolite to treat leachate [7]. In one study, ZVI, zeolite and activated carbon were used as PRBs to treat groundwater contaminated with leachate material, when hydrocarbon-containing contaminants spill on land, degradation by indigenous microorganisms progresses slowly due to low microbial population and activity. Bioremediation is recognized as one of the most cost-effective clean-up methods for the treatment of oil-contaminated soils and groundwater. For instance, bioaugmentation (BA) and biostimulation (BS) are the two main bioremediation technologies commonly used for soil and groundwater clean-up. Bioaugmentation works by introduction of exogenous microorganisms to the contaminated soil or water. Microorganisms may be inoculated with specially cultivated microorganisms with capabilities for degrading a certain contaminant. Biostimulation is used to describe the addition of nutrients, such as nitrogen and phosphorus, to stimulate the existing microorganisms that are capable of degrading contaminants. On the other hand, natural attenuation (NA) processes can include chemical reactions, volatilization, adsorption, and biodegradation.

2 Methodology

2.1 Configuration of PRBs

Two reactors with the dimensions of 0.6 m height and 10 cm diameter are filled with coarse to medium sized sand. Each reactor column will have effluent port for water samples (Figure 1). The column reactors are operated as up flow. Reactor 1 (R-1) is inoculated with specific bacteria and required nutrients (N, P and K) are added. To maintain aerobic conditions, influent tank for reactor 1 is aerated as needed. Reactor 2 (R-2) is used as control and contains only sand and gravel but no bacteria and nutrients. No aeration is performed for reactor 2.

For each reactor, 5 mg/l toluene concentration is prepared as influent sample. All the reactors are fed at an influent flowrate of 1 mL/minute.

2.2 Reactive materials in PRBs

The reactive filler material in the PRBs consists of the following mixed materials (Table 1).
Fig. 1. The schematic of the PRB columns.

Table 1. Properties of filler material in PRBs.

| Material       | Diameter (mm) | % of SiO₂ | % of acid solubility | Bulk density (t/m³) | Specific gravity |
|----------------|---------------|-----------|---------------------|--------------------|-----------------|
| Silica sand 1  | 1-2           | 98±1      | 1±0.5               | 1.5-1.70           | 2.55-2.70       |
| Silica sand 2  | 2-3           | 98±1      | 1±0.5               | 1.5-1.70           | 2.55-2.70       |
| Silica sand 3  | 3-6           | 90±1      | 10±0.5              | 1.5-1.70           | 2.55-2.70       |
| Silica gravel  | 8-12          | 90±1      | 10±0.5              | 1.5-1.70           | 2.55-2.70       |

2.3 Analytical method

An HPLC chromatograph equipped with a UV detector was used for toluene analysis. The UV detector was set to 254 nm. The high-performance liquid chromatography column was a C18 Bond Pack 3 µm (25 cm x 4.6 mm) analytical column. Chromatography was isocratic in a mobile phase composed of water-methanol (30-70). The flow rate was set at 1 ml / minute. All chemicals and water used were HPLC grade.

3 Results

3.1 Results of permeability tests

Permeability is the water and air conductivity of the soil or sand. The falling head permeability test is a common laboratory test method used to determine the permeability of fine-grained soils with medium to low permeability such as sand, silt, and clay. Permeability of soils can be performed by using falling head and, constant head methods. In this study, falling head permeability test was performed by using the equation below:

\[ K = \frac{a \cdot L}{A \cdot t \cdot \ln(h_1 / h_2)} \]

where

- \( K \) = permeability in cm/sec
- \( a \) = cross sectional area of the tube used for water elevation change (cm²)
- \( L \) = length of the reactor (cm)
- \( A \) = cross sectional area of the reactor (cm²)
- \( h_1 \) = distance of the water elevation to the bottom of the reactor before the test (cm)

3.2 Results of pore volume and porosity tests

Pore volume and porosity tests are used to determine the volume and volume distribution of pores in sand filters on the apparent diameter of the pore inlets. In general, both the size and volume of pores affect the performance of sand filters. Therefore, the pore volume distribution is useful in understanding sand filter performance and determining a material that can be expected to perform in a particular manner.

Pore volume is the volume occupied by water in the reactor. To determine the pore volume, the reactor is filled with water and the amount of water was measured as pore volume.

**Reactor 1:**

- Reactor volume = \( \pi r^2 h = 3.14 \times (5)^2 \times 60 = 4710 \ cm^3 \)
- Pore volume = 1.05 liter = 1050 mL
- Porosity (\( \varepsilon \)) = \( 1050 / 4710 = 0.225 \)

**Reactor 2:**

- Reactor volume = \( \pi r^2 h = 3.14 \times (5)^2 \times 60 = 4710 \ cm^3 \)
- Pore volume = 1.15 liter = 1150 mL
- Porosity (\( \varepsilon \)) = \( 1150 / 4710 = 0.244 \)

3.3 Results of flow rate and hydraulic residence time tests

The hydraulic residence time (HRT) (t) is a measure of the average time a soluble compound remains in a sand filter. In engineering, volumetric flow rate is the volume of fluid passing per unit of time; it is usually represented by the symbol Q.

Hydraulic residence time is the ratio of total water volume pumped to the flowrate as shown below.

**Reactor 1:**

- \( t = V / Q \), where \( V = 1.05 \) liter = 1050 mL, \( Q = 1.2 \) mL/minute so \( t = \frac{1050}{1.2} = 875 \) minutes = 14.58 hrs.

**Reactor 2:**

- \( t = V / Q \), where \( V = 1.15 \) liter = 1150 mL, \( Q = 1.2 \) mL/minute so \( t = \frac{1150}{1.2} = 958.33 \) minutes = 15.97 hrs.
3.4 Toluene removal in reactors

Aromatic hydrocarbons such as BTEX compounds and their derivatives are among the most important contaminants of soil and groundwater. BTEX compounds are the most common groundwater pollutants among various petroleum hydrocarbons. BTEX compounds are released into the environment through spilled diesel fuel or gasoline and leaks from underground storage tanks and pipelines during transportation. BTEX compounds are listed as priority pollutants by the US Environmental Protection Agency and are among the top 100 chemicals on the hazardous substances’ priority list. Therefore, the development or improvement of existing remediation methods that minimize the environmental damage caused by BTEX compounds has attracted the attention of environmental protection organizations. Biological treatment of contaminated groundwater is a well-established technique and is also known to be cost-effective and environmentally friendly. During the biological degradation process, microorganisms can directly reduce BTEX to less toxic compounds by depleting the carbons present within the structure of BTEX. The performance of the biological treatment method is affected by various environmental factors such as temperature, oxygen, pH and inorganic nutrients. Therefore, these factors must be optimized for the implementation of efficient biological treatment systems. Bioremediation process in the PRBs has two main processes: a) Biostimulation – bioremediation process is enhanced by adding nutrients (NPK) and oxygen. b) Bioaugmentation – microorganisms are added.

3.5 Oxygen requirement

For toluene (C₈H₁₀), the biodegradation reaction will take place as follows:

\[ C₈H₁₀ + 9O₂ → 7CO₂ + 4H₂O \]

So, 1 mole of toluene requires 9 moles of oxygen. Since the total concentration of oxygen is 5 ppm (5 mg/l), then the amount of oxygen required can be calculated as follows:

Molecular weight of toluene is 92 g. If 92 g of toluene requires 9 x 32 = 288 g oxygen, then 5 mg toluene requires 0.0156 g oxygen. The required oxygen is provided by ambient air through aeration for R-1, but R-2 was not aerated since it is used as control.

3.6 Nutrient requirement

Molecular weight of toluene is 92 g and 1 mole of toluene contains 84 g of carbon (C) per mole of toluene. If 92 g of toluene contains 84 g of C, then 5 mg of toluene contains approximately 0.00456 g of C. As a nutrient, mixture of ammonium chloride (NH₄Cl) and potassium dihydrogen phosphate (KH₂PO₄) as N and P sources, respectively, are used.

Based on the ratio of C:N:P of 100:5:1; if C is 0.00456 g, then N required is 0.000228 g and P required is 0.0000456 g. If 1 mole of NH₄Cl (53 g) contains 23 g of N, then 0.000525 g of NH₄Cl contains 0.000228 g of N, so the amount of NH₄Cl required is 0.000228 g. Similarly, 1 mole of KH₂PO₄ (136 g) contains 39 g of P, then 0.000159 g of KH₂PO₄ contains 0.0000456 g of P, so the amount of KH₂PO₄ required is 0.0000456 g.

In summary, to bioremediate 5 mg/l of toluene in R-1, 0.000525 g of NH₄Cl and 0.000159 g of KH₂PO₄ are required.

3.7 Bacteria requirement

To accelerate the biodegradation process in R-1, one of the commercially available BTEX biodegrading bacteria such as *Alcanivorax* sp. is added to the system as inoculant.

3.8 Toluene removal results

Toluene removal rates for R-1 and R-2 are depicted in Figure 2. Toluene concentration in the influent sample for both reactors were 5 mg/l. After 44 days of treatment, toluene concentrations were decreased from 5 mg/l to 4.304 mg/l by the natural attenuation treatment (R-1), which represents a 13.9% removal efficiency. Toluene was reduced to 0.0239 mg/l in the biostimulation and bioaugmentation treatment (R-1), which represents a toluene removal efficiency of 99.5%. The efficiency of biodegradation was the highest when the BS and BA processes were combined.

![Toluene removal rates for R-1 and R-2](image)

Fig. 2. Toluene removal rates in reactors.

4 Discussion

Bioaugmentation alone may not be effective to improve the remediation of hydrocarbon contaminated soils. Some research studies showed that bioaugmentation improves biodegradation efficiencies temporarily and that biostimulation seems to be a more preferred bioremediation technology to reach sufficient clean-up. Microbial populations are important in the remediation of petroleum hydrocarbon. Molecular methodologies provide help to understand the microbial community structure in remediation systems. Petroleum derived contaminants remaining in soil and groundwater for a long time after contamination along with costly treatment methods have made them one of the most important environmental pollutants. Improvement of hydrocarbon biodegradation is possible by applying different...
bioremediation technologies such as bioaugmentation and biostimulation alone or in combination. Biostimulation seems to be a more effective remediation methodology for BTEX removal than bioaugmentation alone.

Results of this study showed that combining BS and BA has a higher effect on biodegradation efficiency than NA process. Adding nitrogen and phosphorus, along with microbial inoculation and aeration can create an optimum condition for microorganisms to degrade toluene. This study assessed the effectiveness of bioaugmentation combined with biostimulation process on a freshly toluene-contaminated water. The results of this work justified that the bioaugmentation and biostimulation combined provided the accelerated biodegradation of toluene from the contaminated water through increased microbial biomass. Several different bacterial species would be needed to effectively biodegrade hydrocarbons. Single microbial species do not have the capacity to biodegrade more than two different compounds that are usually present in hydrocarbons. Conventionally, the higher the hydrocarbon degrading microbial population, the more hydrocarbon biodegradation takes place.

5 Conclusions

Petroleum hydrocarbons remaining in water for a long time after contamination along with costly treatment methods have made them one of the most important environmental pollutants. This study confirmed that it is possible to enhance the biodegradation of toluene in water by using different treatment methods such as bioaugmentation and biostimulation in combination. Analysis of toluene indicated that bioremediation of toluene contaminated water is very successful especially when BS and BA treatment are used together. In this study, the toluene degradation in the contaminated water was improved by bioaugmentation with genus Alcanivorax and biostimulation with nitrogen and phosphorus. The study also showed that bioaugmentation and biostimulation resulted in effective toluene removal within 44 days of treatment. The study highlighted the importance of in-situ groundwater treatment combined with bioaugmentation and biostimulation as a suitable strategy.

This research was funded by Imam Abdulrahman bin Faisal University (IAU) project No. (2019-037-Eng) throughout the Deanship of Scientific Research (DSR).
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