INTRODUCTION

Breast cancer is the most common female malignancies worldwide, affecting one in nine women during their lifetime. Hereditary breast cancer constitutes 5–10% of all breast cancer cases. Together BRCA1 and BRCA2 mutations account for about 20–25% of all inherited breast cancers, and BRCA1/2-mutation carriers face a high risk of developing breast cancer. BRCA1 has diverse roles in breast cancer development and its multifaceted functions include DNA damage repair, cell cycle checkpoint control, protein ubiquitination and transcriptional control through chromatin modification and direct interaction with RNA polymerase II holoenzyme complex. The vast majority of BRCA1-deficient tumours exhibit basal-like breast cancer phenotypes, which include triple-negative receptor status (ER-, PR- and HER2-negative), strong expression of basal cytokeratins, high p53 mutation rates and poor prognosis. Consistently, the loss of BRCA1 hinders differentiation into ER-positive luminal cells, leading to increased stem/progenitor cell-like self-renewal properties. Nevertheless, the exact mechanism by which BRCA1 regulates the differentiation of luminal breast cancer cells is still poorly understood. It has been suggested that BRCA1 might regulate luminal differentiation through the transcriptional activation of ER. BRCA1 might also regulate mammary cell fate through transcriptional activation of Notch signalling pathway. In addition, BRCA1 could also interact with GATA3 at its C-terminal region to repress triple-negative and basal-like breast cancer (BLBCs) associated genes, such as FOXC1.

FOXO1 is a ‘pioneer’ forkhead transcription factor that can directly bind condensed chromatin, displace repressive linker histones and recruit other transcription factors to promote...
transcription,\textsuperscript{7,8} It is required by ERα as a cofactor for chromatin binding\textsuperscript{9} and has been reported to regulate nearly 50% of all oestrogen receptor (ER)-target genes.\textsuperscript{10} Thus, FOXA1 and ERα constitute a major proliferative and survival axis for breast cancers, specifically of the luminal A subtype. Crucially, FOXA1 is a favourable prognostic marker\textsuperscript{10–12} and can directly repress the expression of a subset of basal specific genes. Accordingly, the silencing of FOXA1 causes a partial transcriptome shift from luminal to basal gene expression signatures.\textsuperscript{13} FOXA1 may also prevent metastatic progression of luminal subtype breast cancers by controlling differentiation through enhancing the expression of the negative cell cycle regulator p27\textsuperscript{130} and the cell adhesion molecule E-cadherin.\textsuperscript{14}

EZH2 (enhancer of zeste homologue 2) is a subunit of the polycomb-repressive complex 2 (PRC2) and its overexpression is also associated with the presence of metastasis and poor survival in breast cancer patients. EZH2 mediates the trimethylation of histone 3 lysine 27 (H3K27me3), which serves as an epigenetic code for subsequent recruitment of PRC1, DNA methyltransferases (DNMTs) and histone deacetylases, resulting in chromatin condensation and transcription suppression.\textsuperscript{15} In addition, EZH2 can also directly interact with DNMTs and regulate the methylation of EZH2-target genes,\textsuperscript{16} although these interactions might be cell-type specific.\textsuperscript{17} Recently, EZH2 has also been functionally linked with BRCA1 in breast cancer. It has been shown that the growth of BRCA1-deficient mouse mammary tumours is dependent on EZH2 expression.\textsuperscript{18} Conversely, EZH2 inhibition in breast cancer cell lines can cause BRCA1 nuclear exportation and inactivation.\textsuperscript{19} Crucially, BRCA1 interacts directly with EZH2 in both mouse embryonic stem cells and human breast cancer cells, functioning as a negative modulator of EZH2 activity.\textsuperscript{20}

In this study, we hypothesized that BRCA1 regulates FOXA1 expression and confirmed it by the reconstitution or knockdown of BRCA1. We found that BRCA1 deficiency correlates with the repression of FOXA1 expression in mammary epithelial cancer cell lines and that BRCA1 mutation is significantly associated with FOXA1 promoter methylation and silencing in human breast tumours. We also went on to explore the potential molecular mechanism involved and identified FOXA1 as an EZH2-regulated gene in breast cancer cells.

**RESULTS**

**Correlation between BRCA1 and FOXA1 expression in breast cancer cell lines**

The majority of BRCA1 mutation breast cancers are of the basal subtype. The molecular phenotype of basal breast cancers has been shown to be repressed by FOXA1 expression, which is associated with the luminal phenotype.\textsuperscript{15} These findings raise the possibility that BRCA1 and FOXA1 interact at the molecular level to modulate the development of basal and luminal breast cancer subtypes. To investigate the relationship between BRCA1 and FOXA1, western blot analysis was performed on a panel of six breast cancer cell lines, which include the luminal-type MCF-7 cells with wild-type BRCA1 and the basal-type lines HCC70, MDA-MB-231, MDA-MB-436, SUM1315MO2 and MDA-MB-468 expressing either low or mutated BRCA1.\textsuperscript{21} (Figure 1a). Despite the great heterogeneity among the cell lines, there was a good correlation between the expression of wild-type BRCA1 and FOXA1. Similar correlations between BRCA1 and FOXA1 expression were observed in a panel of breast cancer cell lines with different levels of wild-type BRCA1 (Supplementary Figure S1). In the basal-type cell lines, FOXA1 expression was much lower when compared with that of MCF-7, which supports the notion that FOXA1 is a marker for luminal subtype breast cancer.\textsuperscript{13} The expression of FOXA1 in MCF-7 cells was also significantly higher compared with the basal-type cell lines at the mRNA level (Figure 1b). Consistent with this, other luminal markers, ERα and GATA3, were also only expressed in considerable levels in MCF-7 cells. We also studied the expression of the Polycomb protein EZH2, which has previously been demonstrated to interact with BRCA1,\textsuperscript{20} and found it ubiquitously expressed in all six cell lines. Notably, high BRCA1 mRNA levels, which encode for non-functional BRCA1, were also detected in some of the BRCA1 mutation cell lines.

**BRCA1 regulates FOXA1 expression in human and mouse mammary epithelial cells**

The good correlation between BRCA1 and FOXA1 expression in the panel of breast cancer cell lines led us to explore further the possibility that BRCA1 regulates FOXA1 expression. To this end, BRCA1 was silenced in MCF-7 cells using BRCA1-specific small interfering RNA (siRNA) pool. BRCA1 depletion resulted in a significant reduction in FOXA1 expression at both protein (Figure 2a) and mRNA levels in MCF-7 cells (Figure 2b) when
compared with the non-silencing control (NSC), supporting the notion that BRCA1 regulates FOXA1 expression. Conversely, the knockdown or overexpression of FOXA1 did not affect BRCA1 protein and mRNA levels (Figures 2c and d). Taken together, these results indicate that BRCA1 regulates FOXA1 expression, at least in part, at the transcriptional level.

To confirm further that the loss of FOXA1 expression is a result of BRCA1 depletion in mammary epithelial cells, we next investigated the effect of re-expressing BRCA1 on FOXA1 expression in Brca1-deficient mouse mammary epithelial cells (MMECs). Analysis of the expression levels of Foxa1 in Brca1-deficient KB1P-3.12 (K14cre;Brca1F/F;p53F/F, clone 12) and BRCA1-reconstituted KB1PR-3.12 E3 and KB1PR-3.12 F4 (K14cre;Brca1F/F; p53F/F) MMECs revealed that Foxa1 expression was significantly higher at both the mRNA and protein levels in the BRCA1-reconstituted cell lines compared with the parental Brca1-deficient, KB1P-3 12 cells (Figures 3a and b), further confirming that BRCA1 has a role in modulating FOXA1 expression.

FOXA1 promoter is hypermethylated in the BRCA1-deficient MMECs compared with the BRCA1-reconstituted cells. DNA methylation is a well-defined epigenetic mechanism for the repression of gene expression and BRCA1 mutation is associated with specific global DNA methylation profiles in breast cancer. These findings suggested that BRCA1 deficiency may lead to DNA

**Figure 2.** Knockdown of BRCA1 results in a significant decrease in FOXA1 expression and not vice versa in MCF-7 cells. (a) Western blot and (b) quantitative reverse transcription-PCR (qRT–PCR) analysis was performed MCF-7 cells transfected with BRCA1-specific siRNA pool for 48 h. FOXA1 expression was observed to be downregulated both at the protein and mRNA levels. For qRT–PCR analysis, the experiments were repeated three times independently and the results were normalized against L19 mRNA levels and expressed as mean ± s.d. **P ≤ 0.01, ***P ≤ 0.001 and NS, no significance by Student’s t-test. (c) MCF-7 cells were either non-transfected (Mock) or transfected with NSC siRNA or with FOXA1 siRNA-specific pool for 48 h. The protein expression levels of FOXA1, BRCA1 and β-Tubulin were assessed by western blot analysis (arrows indicate the specific protein band). Levels of FOXA1, BRCA1 and FOXM1 transcripts were analyzed by qRT–PCR normalized with L19 mRNA levels. (d) MCF-7 cells were transfected with 0, 1, 3 or 6 μg of pcDNA3-FOXA1 or pcDNA3-empty vector for 48 h. Total protein was extracted from whole-cell lysates were extracted from these cells and analyzed by western blotting with the indicated antibodies (arrow indicates the specific protein band). FOXA1 and BRCA1 mRNA levels were also analyzed by qRT–PCR, with results normalized with L19 mRNA levels. All qRT–PCR results presented as bars representing mean ± s.d. of three independent experiments in triplicates. **P ≤ 0.01, ***P ≤ 0.001 and NS indicates no significance by Student’s t-test.
KB1PR-3.12 E3 and KB1P-3.12 cell lines were bisulphite converted and methylation status of the locations of CpG islands in mouse Foxa1 region, are shown for both cell lines. Results are expressed as the mean ± s.d. of three independent experiments in triplicates. (a) Schematic representation, as presented on UCSC genome web browser, of the locations of CpG islands in mouse Foxa1 gene and the positions of primers used for pyrosequencing analysis. (b) DNA extracts from KB1PR-3.12 E3 and KB1P-3.12 cell lines were bisulphite converted and methylation status of Foxa1 promoter region were analyzed by pyrosequencing. Average Foxa1 methylation values of the two analyzed regions within the CpG island 86, located in the Foxa1 promoter region, are shown for both cell lines. Results are expressed as the mean ± s.d. from two independent experiments in triplicates. *P ≤ 0.05 **P ≤ 0.01, ***P ≤ 0.001 by Student’s t-test. TSS, Transcription start site.

FOXA1 expression is lower and its promoter more hypermethylated in the BRCA1-deficient murine mammary epithelial cell line. (a) KB1PR-3.12 E3, KB1PR-3.12 F4 and KB1P-3.12 murine mammary epithelial cell lines were collected and analyzed by western blotting and (b) quantitative reverse transcription-PCR (qRT–PCR) to determine the expression levels of BRCA1 and FOXA1. qRT–PCR results are presented as the mean ± s.d. of three independent experiments in triplicates. (c) Schematic representation, as presented on UCSC genome web browser, of the locations of CpG islands in mouse Foxa1 gene and the positions of primers used for pyrosequencing analysis. (d) DNA extracts from KB1PR-3.12 E3 and KB1P-3.12 cell lines were bisulphite converted and methylation status of Foxa1 promoter region were analyzed by pyrosequencing. Average Foxa1 methylation values of the two analyzed regions within the CpG island 86, located in the Foxa1 promoter region, are shown for both cell lines. Results are expressed as the mean ± s.d. from two independent experiments in triplicates. *P ≤ 0.05 **P ≤ 0.01, ***P ≤ 0.001 by Student’s t-test. TSS, Transcription start site.

methylpyrimidines and therefore the silencing of FOXA1. To test this conjecture, we studied the extent of DNA methylation in the CpG islands located within the Foxa1 gene promoter in the Brca1-deficient mouse epithelial cells (Figure 3c). In silico analysis using the UCSC Genome browser website (www.genome.ucsc.edu) predicted three regions of CpG sites (CpG 50, CpG 74 and CpG 86) within the mouse Foxa1 gene. Bisulphite pyrosequencing revealed that the Brca1-deficient KB1P-3.12 cells displayed significantly higher levels of DNA methylation at the CpG island 86 that locates nearby the mouse Foxa1 promoter region when compared with the Brca1-knockout cells reconstituted with BRCA1 (Figure 3d). This suggests that Foxa1 promoter methylation might contribute to the lower Foxa1 expression observed in the KB1P-3.12 cells (Figures 3a and b).

Consistently, Foxa1 transcript levels were significantly induced when treating the KB1P-3.12 and KB1PR-3.12 E3 cells with 5-aza-2'-deoxycytidine (5-aza-dC), a drug that inhibits the activity of DNMT and suppresses DNA methylation, and therefore the silencing of FOXA1. To test this conjecture, we studied the extent of DNA methylation in the CpG islands located within the Foxa1 gene promoter in the Brca1-deficient mouse epithelial cells (Figure 3c). In silico analysis using the UCSC Genome browser website (www.genome.ucsc.edu) predicted three regions of CpG sites (CpG 50, CpG 74 and CpG 86) within the mouse Foxa1 gene. Bisulphite pyrosequencing revealed that the Brca1-deficient KB1P-3.12 cells displayed significantly higher levels of DNA methylation at the CpG island 86 that locates nearby the mouse Foxa1 promoter region when compared with the Brca1-knockout cells reconstituted with BRCA1 (Figure 3d). This suggests that Foxa1 promoter methylation might contribute to the lower Foxa1 expression observed in the KB1P-3.12 cells (Figures 3a and b).

Consistently, Foxa1 transcript levels were significantly induced when treating the KB1P-3.12 and KB1PR-3.12 E3 cells with 5-aza-2'-deoxycytidine (5-aza-dC), a drug that inhibits the activity of DNMT and suppresses DNA methylation, and therefore the silencing of FOXA1. To test this conjecture, we studied the extent of DNA methylation in the CpG islands located within the Foxa1 gene promoter in the Brca1-deficient cells. However, a corresponding induction of FOXA1 at the protein level was not observed after 5'-aza-dC treatment implicating other mechanisms exist to regulate FOXA1 post-transcriptionally in these cells (Supplementary Figure S2).

Knockdown of BRCA1 in MFC-7 induces FOXA1 promoter methylation

In the human FOXA1 gene, five CpG islands (CpG 110, CpG 52, CpG 99, CpG 143 and CpG 123) were identified. We focused on CpG island 143 as it corresponds to CpG island 86 located at the promoter region of the mouse Foxa1 gene (Figure 5a). MCF-7 was transiently transfected with either NSC or BRCA1 siRNA, and FOXA1 methylation levels analyzed. Methylated and unmethylated DNAs were also used in the pyrosequencing experiment. In the MCF-7 cells, the FOXA1 promoter was hypomethylated. Knockdown of BRCA1 led to significantly higher levels of FOXA1 methylation compared with the mock (non-transfected) and the NSC siRNA-transfected controls (Figure 5b). Western blot analysis confirmed the knockdown of BRCA1 in MCF-7 cell lines and FOXA1 expression was reduced by BRCA1 knockdown (Figure 5c). Collectively, these data suggest that BRCA1 positively regulates FOXA1 expression, in part, through suppressing its promoter methylation. However, the relatively low levels of DNA methylation before and after 5'-aza-dC treatment suggested that the FOXA1 promoter in the MCF-7 cell line is undermethylated.

5'-aza-dC treatment induces FOXA1 expression in basal-type cell lines

To investigate further the relevance of FOXA1 methylation in repressing FOXA1 expression in basal breast cancer, the basal-type cell lines SUM1316MO2 and MDA-MB-231 and the luminal-type cell line MCF-7 were treated with different amounts of 5'-aza-dC (0, 1 and 5 μM) for 72 h and BRCA1, FOXA1 and EZH2 expression levels examined by both western blot and quantitative reverse transcription-PCR. In SUM1315MO2, FOXA1 mRNA expression was significantly induced after treatment with 1 and 5 μM of 5'-aza-dC treatment (both P < 0.05, Student’s t-test) (Figure 6a). In agreement, the FOXA1 expression was also induced after being treated...
with 5 μM of S-aza-dC in MDA-MB-231 cells (Figure 6b). Notably, the expression of BRCA1 at the mRNA level also increased significantly (P < 0.05, Student’s t-test) after S-aza-dC treatment, suggesting that DNA methylation contributes to the low BRCA1 expression in this cell line. In fact, promoter methylation has been reported to be a regulatory mechanism for BRCA1 expression and is associated with poor prognosis in breast cancer.25,26 On the contrary, treatment of the luminal MCF-7 with S-aza-dC did not alter the expression levels of Foxa1 (Figure 6c) indicating that, in contrast, treatment of the luminal MCF-7 with 5 μM of S-aza-dC:27,28

Figure 4. S-aza-dC treatment induces Foxa1 mRNA expression in KB1PR-3.12 E3 and KB1P-3.12. The two cell lines were treated with 0, 1 and 5 μM of S-aza-dC for 72 h with culture medium changed every day. Total RNA was extracted and expression of Ezh2 and Foxa1 mRNA was analyzed by quantitative reverse transcription-PCR (qRT-PCR). The experiments were repeated three times independently and qRT-PCR results were normalized against L19 mRNA levels and the results expressed as mean ± s.d. *P ≤ 0.05 and **P ≤ 0.001 by Student’s t-test.

Inhibition or depletion of EZH2 induces FOXA1 expression in BRCA1-deficient mammary epithelial cells

We next explored the mechanism by which BRCA1 regulates FOXA1 methylation and the cofactors involved. A recent study showed that BRCA1 interacts with the PRC2 protein EZH2 to negatively regulate gene expression through promoting histone H3 lysine 27 trimethylation (H3K27me3) in mouse embryonic stem and human breast cancer cells.29 These findings raised the possibility that BRCA1 modulates FOXA1 methylation and expression through recruiting EZH2. To test this idea, we treated the Brca1-deficient KB1P-3.12 murine mammary epithelial cells and the corresponding BRCA1-reconstituted KB1PR-3.12 E3 cells for 72 h with GSK126, a highly selective, S-adenosyl-methionine-competitive inhibitor of EZH2 methyltransferase activity27 and studied its effect on Foxa1 expression. The results showed that Foxa1 transcript levels were significantly induced by treatment with 5 μM of GSK126 in the BRCA1-deficient KB1P-3.12 cells (P < 0.05, Student’s t-test, Figure 7a) but remained largely constant in the BRCA1-reconstituted KB1PR-3.12 E3 cells (Figure 7b). This result suggests that EZH2 is involved in the repression of FOXA1 expression in the BRCA1-deficient cells but not in the BRCA1-competent cells. However, there were no changes in Foxa1 protein levels after treatment with GSK126, indicating that FOXA1 is also regulated at the post-transcriptional level, consistent with the previous S-aza-dC treatment results with these murine cell lines (Supplementary Figure S3). To further confirm this finding, we used siRNA to deplete EZH2 in the human breast cancer MCF-7 cells. The knockdown efficiency of EZH2 after 48 h was confirmed by western blot and quantitative reverse transcription-PCR analysis. It was found that after EZH2 depletion FOXA1 expression was significantly induced both at protein and mRNA levels when compared with the NSC (Figures 8a and b). These data corroborate with the hypothesis that EZH2 negatively regulates FOXA1 transcription in BRCA1-deficient breast cancer cells.

EZH2 directly interacts with DNMT3b and binds to FOXA1 promoter

Hitherto, our data indicated that BRCA1 inhibits EZH2 activity to suppress the methylation and silencing of FOXA1. Previously, EZH2 has been shown to cause Histone 3 lysine 27 trimethylation (H3K27me3) and DNA methylation at target genes by recruiting DNMTs.30 Collectively, these findings evoked the idea of EZH2 inducing H3K27 trimethylation and recruiting DNMTs to promote heterochromatinization and DNA methylation respectively at the FOXA1 promoter in BRCA1-deficient cells. To test this possibility, we next investigated by ChIP analysis the in vivo occupancy of the human FOXA1 promoter region by BRCA1, EZH2, DNMT3b and H3K27me3 in the BRCA1-positive MCF-7 cells, where the FOXA1 promoter is hypomethylated. Incidentally, the inspection of this human FOXA1 promoter region also identified a putative EZH2-binding motif.31 Primers for ChIP were designed to amplify across the predicted EZH2-binding region of FOXA1 promoter (Figure 9a). The ChIP results showed that BRCA1, EZH2, DNMT3b and H3K27me3 can all bind to the FOXA1 promoter in MCF-7 cells (Figure 9b). The protein recruitment to the endogenous FOXA1 promoter was also examined by quantitative reverse transcription-PCR following ChIP (Figure 9c). The results again demonstrated that BRCA1, EZH2, DNMT3b and H3K27me3 are specifically associated with the endogenous FOXA1 promoter region further supporting our hypothesis that these proteins interact to modulate FOXA1 methylation and silencing. To further confirm this, the methylation status of the FOXA1 promoter was examined in the BRCA1 wild-type and -deficient breast epithelial cell lines by PCR analysis of bisulfite-converted DNA (Supplementary Figure S4). Surprisingly, the FOXA1 promoter region was hypomethylated in both BRCA1 wild-type and deficient human cell lines. Gene promoter hypomethylation has previously been shown to be due to the prolonged passaging of cell lines and the immortalization process32 and could explain for the inefficient derepression of FOXA1 expression in BRCA1-deficient human breast cancer cell lines after S-aza-dC treatment.

BRCA1 represses and EZH2 enhances the destruction of the H3K27me3 gene silencing histone marker

To investigate further the mechanism involved in FOXA1 repression in BRCA1-deficient cells, we studied the recruitment of BRCA1, EZH2, DNMT3a/3b and H3K27me3 to the endogenous FOXA1 promoter in MCF-7, MDA-MB-231, SUM131M20 and
MDA-MB-436 cells by ChIP analysis. Consistent with previous data, the ChIP results showed that BRCA1, EZH2, DNMT1, DNMT3a and DNMT3b were all recruited to the FOXA1 promoter albeit at low levels (all with P < 0.05 compared with respective IgG controls; Figure 10a). Interestingly, high levels of the repressive H3K27me3 histone marks were detected at the FOXA1 promoter in the BRCA1-deficient MDA-MB-231, SUM131MO2 and MDA-MB-436 compared with the wild-type BRCA1-expressing MCF-7 cells, suggesting that gene silencing via heterochromatinization could be the predominant mechanism by which FOXA1 expression is repressed in the hypomethylated BRCA1-deficient cells. To gain further information on the spatial relationships between these proteins in the regulation of FOXA1 repression, we first studied the interactions of BRCA1, EZH2 and DNMT3b in MCF-7 cells by co-immunoprecipitation. The result showed that both BRCA1 and DNMT3b co-immunoprecipitated with EZH2 but not with each other. These co-immunoprecipitation data led us to propose that the BRCA1-containing EZH2 complexes do not contain DNMTs, such as DNMT3b, and are therefore inactive in DNMT activity. To validate this, we performed sequential ChIP (ChIP–reChIP) experiments in MCF-7 cells and found that BRCA1 co-occupied the FOXA1 promoter region with EZH2 but not DNMT1/3a/3b (Figure 10b). ChIP–reChIP experiments also showed that the levels of DNMT3b recruited with EZH2 to the FOXA1 promoter were significantly higher in MDA-MB-231 compared with MCF-7 cells (Supplementary Figure S5). We next examined the role of BRCA1 and EZH2 in the deposit of the histone marker H3K27me3 in MCF-7 cells by ChIP analysis after BRCA1 or EZH2 silencing. Interestingly, both the conventional ChIP (Figure 10c) and qPCR-ChIP (Figure 10d) analysis showed that BRCA1 depletion enhanced and EZH2 knockdown reduced H3K27me3 levels on the FOXA1 promoter. This is in agreement with a previous study showing that BRCA1 binds directly to EZH2 and inhibits PRC2 activity,20 which regulated histone methylation and the DNA methylation of target genes.16 Consistent with this idea, the expression of BRCA1 could significantly upregulate FOXA1 mRNA expression in basal-like breast cancer cells, including MDA-MB-231 and MDA-MB-436, but not in the luminal cells, such as MCF-7 (Supplementary Figure S6).

FOXA1 is hypermethylated and downregulated in BRCA1-mutated tumours

Having established that BRCA1 regulates FOXA1 methylation and silencing as well as the mechanism involved in human and MMECs, we next examined the association between FOXA1 methylation and expression in vivo in a published methylated DNA immunoprecipitation and gene expression microarray data set derived from familial breast tumour samples collected by KConFab (The Kathleen Cuningham Foundation Consortium for Research into Familial Breast Cancer, Melbourne, Australia).23 There are 33 patient samples in the cohort, 11 of which are with BRCA1 mutation. Statistical analysis of the expression and methylation profiles of FOXA1 in these familial breast cancers revealed that there was a strong and significant inverse correlation between FOXA1 methylation and expression using both linear regression model (R² = 0.127, P = 0.042; Figures 11a and b; Supplementary Figures S7 and S8) and Pearson’s correlation
BRCA1 modulates FOXA1 gene methylation and silencing

model (cutoff is the mean, Pearson correlation coefficient = −0.492, P = 0.004; Figure 11c), providing further physiological evidence that FOXA1 methylation negatively regulates its expression in breast cancer patient samples. We also compared the levels of FOXA1 methylation in different familial breast tumours and found that FOXA1 methylation levels were significantly higher in BRCA1-mutated tumours compared with BRCA2, BRCAx (non-BRCA1/2) and BRCA2/x tumours (P = 0.006, P = 0.046 and P = 0.006, respectively; Student’s t-tests; Figures 10d and e, Supplementary Figures S9 and S10). Moreover, we also observed that FOXA1 expression was significantly lower in BRCA1-mutated tumours compared with BRCA2, BRCAx and BRCA2/x tumours (P < 0.001, P = 0.001 and P < 0.001, respectively; Student’s t-test; Figures 11f and g; Supplementary Figures S11 and S12). These in vivo data provide further proof that DNA methylation is a major mechanism for repressing FOXA1 expression in familial breast cancers and confirm a role for BRCA1 in regulating FOXA1 methylation and expression.

Figure 6. 5′-Aza-dC treatment induces FOXA1 expression in basal-type breast cancer cell lines. The basal-type cell lines SUM1316MO2 and MDA-MB231 and the luminal-type cell line MCF-7 were treated with increasing amount of 5′-aza-dC (0, 1 and 5 μM) for 72 h and BRCA1, FOXA1 and EZH2 expression levels were examined by western blot and quantitative reverse transcription-PCR (qRT–PCR) analysis. Treatment of 5′-aza-dC induced expression of FOXA1 in (a) SUM1316MO2 and (b) MDA-MB231 but not in (c) MCF-7 cells. The experiments were repeated three times independently and qRT–PCR results were normalized against L19 mRNA levels and the results presented as average ± s.d. (d) Quantitative analysis of FOXA1 protein expression in SUM1316MO2, MDA-MB231 and MCF-7 cells following treatment with 5′-aza-dC (0, 1 and 5 μM) was performed using Image J Software (Image Processing and Analysis in Java). The values were normalized against those of β-Tubulin. *P ≤ 0.05, **P ≤ 0.01 and NS indicates no significance by Student’s t-test.
differentiation and its de...

There is evidence to suggest that DNA methylation is gradually lost passively through many rounds of cell division and during breast epithelial cell immortalization. In agreement, bisulphite-converted PCR analysis showed that the FOXA1 promoter region is hypomethylated in BRCA1-positive as well as negative human breast cancer cell lines (Supplementary Figure S4). Nevertheless, the breast cancer patient gene expression microarray and methylated DNA immunoprecipitation analyses clearly show that BRCA1 mutation is significantly associated with FOXA1 gene promoter methylation and expression downregulation.

Interestingly, recent studies have demonstrated that DNA hypomethylation can cause the redistribution of the H3K27me3 epigenetic marks and derepression of PRC2-targeted genes. Conversely, DNA hypomethylation can also be coupled to repressive chromatin domain formation and gene silencing through the deposit of H3K27me3 in breast cancer. Our data suggest that FOXA1 gene expression is negatively regulated by EZH2, a key subunit in PRC2, as chemical inhibition or siRNA-mediated depletion of EZH2 enhanced FOXA1 expression in Brca1-knockout MMECs and MCF-7 cells. EZH2 has been shown to epigenetically suppress target gene expression through modulating histone methylation, especially H3K27 trimethylation. In agreement, our results show that the H3K27me3 repressive histone mark is highly enriched on the FOXA1 promoter in the BRCA1-deficient MDA-MB-231, SUM1315 MO2 and MDA-MB-436 cells compared with the wild-type BRCA1-expressing MCF-7 cells. Importantly, the ChIP data also demonstrate that BRCA1 depletion enhances and EZH2 knockdown reduces H3K27me3 levels on the FOXA1 promoter, further suggesting an antagonistic role of BRCA1 and EZH2 on FOXA1 gene expression. However, in addition to regulating trimethylation of H3K27, an epigenetic mark for transcriptionally silent chromatin, EZH2 might also recruit DNMTs, including DNMT1/3a/3b, to enhance promoter methylation and gene silencing.

As BRCA1 has been shown to be able to bind EZH2 and negatively regulate PRC2 complex activity, we reason that BRCA1 can promote the transcription of FOXA1 indirectly through binding to EZH2 subunit of the PRC2 complex and restraining its methyltransferase and gene silencing activity. In agreement, ChIP assays showed that BRCA1, EZH2, DNMT1/3a/3b and H3K27me3 are recruited to the promoter region of FOXA1. The low levels of recruitment of DNMT1/3a/3b probably reflect the fact that the FOXA1 gene expression is predominantly repressed through H3K27me3-associated gene silencing mechanisms rather than DNA methylation. In addition, co-immunoprecipitation and ChIP-reChIP experiments also revealed that both BRCA1 and DNMT3b bind to EZH2 but do not exist in the same complexes, supporting the notion that BRCA1 binding inhibits the methyltransferase activity of EZH2/PRC2. Together these findings unveil two epigenetic mechanisms by which BRCA1 and EZH2 combined to regulate FOXA1 expression in breast epithelial cells, namely through DNA methylation and H3K27me3-related heterochromatinization (Supplementary Figure S13). Consistent with the finding that EZH2 is involved in the epigenetic repression of FOXA1, a previous genome-wide siRNA screen also revealed FOXA1 as one of 40 genes derepressed in human embryonic fibroblasts depleted of PRC2 components, including EZH2, EED and suppressor of zeste homologue (SUZ12). Subsequent ChIP analysis also showed that SUZ12 is specifically recruited to the FOXA1 gene, which is enriched for H3K27me3. These findings are further endorsed by a number of studies, which also found that FOXA1 expression is repressed by EZH2.

**DISCUSSION**

Mutations to the breast cancer susceptibility gene BRCA1 predispose women to a high lifetime risk of breast and ovarian cancer. BRCA1 is implicated in mammalian epithelial cell differentiation and its deficiency associated with basal-like breast cancer subtype, yet the underlying mechanism involved is still not well understood. Moreover, although a tumour suppressive role of BRCA1 in DNA damage repair and cell cycle checkpoint has been demonstrated, the exact reason whereby BRCA1 deficiency causes predominantly cancers of the breast and ovary also remains largely unknown. BRCA1 mutation is associated with developing triple-negative breast cancer of the basal subtype. It is also a marker for breast cancer endocrine therapy-insensitive and poor outcome. Conversely, FOXA1 is a pioneer transcription factor and a recognized marker for luminal subtype of breast cancer. It is also a predictor for hormonal responsiveness and good outcome in breast cancer.

In this study, we show that FOXA1 expression is negatively regulated by promoter methylation and silencing, and that BRCA1 regulates FOXA1 expression through suppressing its methylation in both human breast cancer cells and MMECs. FOXA1 expression is enhanced in basal but not in BRCA1-wild-type MCF-7 cells following treatment with 5′-aza-dC, supporting our hypothesis that methylation has a role in FOXA1 silencing in the basal cell lines. Pyrosequencing further reinforced that BRCA1-deficient mouse mammary cell lines are hypermethylated compared with those expressing wild-type BRCA1, despite the changes in levels of FOXA1 methylation upon BRCA1 reconstitution are relatively small.

| Figure 7. EZH2 inhibition by GSK126 treatment induces Foxa1 expression in murine BRCA1-deficient murine mammary epithelial cell line. The BRCA1-deficient murine mammary epithelial cell line KB1P-3.12 and the corresponding BRCA1-reconstituted murine cell line KB1PR-3.12 E3 were treated with 0, 1 or 5 μM of GSK126 for 72 h. Total RNA was collected and expression of Ezh2 and Foxa1 examined by quantitative reverse transcription-PCR (qRT–PCR). (a) Foxa1 expression at the mRNA level was significantly induced after treatment with 5 μM of GSK126 in KB1P-3.12 cells. (b) However, Foxa1 mRNA expression did not show significant changes in the BRCA1-reconstituted murine cell line KB1PR-3.12 E3 after GSK126 treatment. The experiments were repeated three times independently and qRT–PCR results normalized against L19 mRNA levels and the results expressed as mean ± s.d. *P ≤ 0.05 and NS indicates no significance by Student’s t-test. |
Figure 8. Knockdown of EZH2 in MCF-7 cells induces FOXA1 expression. EZH2 was transiently knocked down using specific siRNA pool in MCF-7 for 48 h. Protein and total RNA were extracted and expression levels of EZH2, BRCA1 and FOXA1 analyzed by (a) western blot and (b) quantitative reverse transcription-PCR (qRT–PCR) analysis. Knockdown of EZH2 significantly induced FOXA1 expression at both protein and mRNA levels. The experiments were repeated three times independently and qRT–PCR results were normalized against L19 mRNA levels and the results expressed as mean ± s.d. *P ≤ 0.05, ***P ≤ 0.001 and NS indicates no significance by Student’s t-test.

Figure 9. EZH2 is recruited to the FOXA1 promoter and complexes with BRCA1 and DNMT3b. MCF-7 cells were analyzed for of EZH2, DNMT3b and H3k27me3 to the endogenous FOXA1 promoter by ChIP assays. The PCR-amplified DNA was analyzed by agarose gel electrophoresis. A representative inverted image is presented. (a) Schematic representation, as presented on UCSC genome web browser, of the human FOXA1 gene and the position of primers used for ChIP analysis. (b) The precipitated chromatin DNA was quantified by quantitative reverse transcription-PCR (qRT–PCR). (c) The results were normalized to the amount of Input and compared with the IgG negative controls. The experiments were repeated three times independently and the qRT–PCR results presented as mean ± s.d. **P ≤ 0.01 and ***P ≤ 0.001 by Students’ t-test. IgG (r), rabbit IgG negative control. IgG (m), mouse IgG negative control.
Figure 10. EZH2 but not BRCA1 recruits DNMT3b and H3K27me3 to the FOXA1 promoter. (a) quantitative reverse transcription-PCR (qRT–PCR) analysis of ChIP showing the recruitment of BRCA1, EZH2, DNMT1, DNMT3a, DNMT3b and H3K27me3 to the endogenous FOXA1 promoter in MCF-7, MDA-MB-231, MDA-MB-436 and SUM1315MO2 cells. The ChIP results showed that BRCA1, EZH2, DNMT1, DNMT3a and DNMT3b were all recruited to the FOXA1 promoter albeit at low levels (all with \( *P < 0.05 \) compared with IgG controls). The fold of enrichment over IgG (mouse) is shown for ChIP with antibodies against H3K27me3. The experiments were repeated three times independently and the qRT–PCR results presented as mean ± s.d. **\( P \leq 0.01 \) by Student’s t-test. (b) BRCA1 and DNMT3b were immunoprecipitated using specific antibodies and the precipitated proteins analyzed by western blot analysis. The specific bands were indicated by arrows. Co-immunoprecipitation (Co-IP) showed that, in MCF-7 cells, EZH2 associated with BRCA1 and DNMT3b but not in the same complexes. (c) ChIP–reChIP assays were performed with BRCA1 and EZH2, DNMT1, DNMT3a or DNMT3a antibodies in MCF-7. (d) ChIP analysis was performed on MCF-7 cells after BRCA1 or EZH2 silencing by siRNA and recruitment of BRCA1, EZH2, and H3K27me3 to the endogenous FOXA1 promoter MCF-7 cells were transiently transfected with smart pool siRNA against BRCA1, EZH2 or control siRNA pool. Twenty-four hours later, the transfected MCF-7 cells were analyzed for EZH2 and H3K27me3 recruitment to the FOXA1 promoter by ChIP assays. The precipitated chromatin DNA was analyzed by conventional PCR (e) The precipitated chromatin DNA was quantified by qRT–PCR. The results were normalized to the amount of Input and compared with the IgG negative controls. The experiments were repeated three times independently and the qRT–PCR results presented as mean ± s.d. *\( P \leq 0.05 \), **\( P \leq 0.01 \) and ***\( P \leq 0.001 \) by Student’s t-test. IgG (r), rabbit IgG negative control. IgG (m), mouse IgG negative control.
Figure 11. FOXA1 gene promoter is hypermethylated in BRCA1 mutation tumours and its methylation is negatively correlated with its expression. Frequency of FOXA1 methylation in clinical samples with mutations in BRCA1, BRCA2 and BRCAx tumours was analyzed using the kConFab database. (a) Significant higher percentage of FOXA1 promoter methylation in BRCA1-mutated tumours compared with BRCA2 or BRCAx mutated tumour. (b and c) FOXA1 expression level inversely correlates with FOXA1 methylation. Box plots represent median (centre line), interquartile range (box) and 95th percentiles (whisker), and samples outwith this range are represented as points. GEM, gene expression marker. (d and e) Significant higher percentage of FOXA1 promoter methylation in BRCA1-mutated tumours compared with BRCA2 or/and BRCAx mutated tumour. (f and g) Significant lower percentage of FOXA1 expression in BRCA1-mutated tumours compared with BRCA2 or/and BRCAx mutated tumour.

Table 1. Correlations

|                  | FOXA1 methylation | FOXA1 expression |
|------------------|-------------------|-----------------|
| FOXA1 methylation (MeDIP) | Pearson Correlation 1 | -0.492** |
|                  | Sig. (2-tailed)   | 0.004           |
|                  | N                 | 33              |
| FOXA1 expression (GEM) | Pearson Correlation 2 | -0.492** |
|                  | Sig. (2-tailed)   | 0.004           |
|                  | N                 | 33              |

**. Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).
FOXA1, ERα and GATA3 are key transcription factors essential for normal mammary epithelial cell development and they function cooperatively to regulate the expression of genes essential for luminal mammary epithelial cell development. FOXA1, ERα and GATA3 co-express in normal breast luminal epithelial cells and their expression is controlled by complex cross-regulatory mechanisms. For example, GATA3 regulates the expression of both ESR1 and FOXA1 mRNA, whereas FOXA1 regulates GATA3 mRNA expression, whereas FOXA1 regulates ESR1 but not GATA3 expression. Moreover, recent genome-wide ChiP-sequencing studies also show that FOXA1 is involved in the regulation of ERα-mediated transcription by dictating the binding location and transcriptional activity of ERα. This interdependent relationship between FOXA1, ERα and GATA3 ensures that a loss of FOXA1 expression will disrupt the FOXA1–ERα–GATA3 transcriptional network and, therefore, luminal mammary epithelial cell development. Indeed, FOXA1 expression in breast cancers is predictive of luminal ERα-positive disease, and co-re-expression of ERα, FOXA1 and GATA3 has been shown to be able to reprogramme ER-negative breast cancer cell lines to regain hormonal sensitivity. In addition to promoting mammary luminal phenotype, FOXA1 might also have a more direct role in repressing the basal breast cancer phenotype. It has been shown that FOXA1 also inhibits the transcription of basal-type associated genes such as CD58, ANXA1, JAG1 and SOX9, whereas the loss of FOXA1 leads to the derepression of these basal genes. These findings together highlight a critical role of FOXA1 in maintaining the luminal and repressing the basal phenotype. In light of these findings, collectively, our results lead us to propose a mechanistic model in which BRCA1 can promote FOXA1 transcriptional expression and thereby, breast cancer luminal subtype development through targeting EZH2 (Supplementary Figure S12). Conversely, when BRCA1 is silenced or mutated, the activity of EZH2 is restored, culminating in trimethylation of H3K27, recruitment of DNMTs to CpG islands, hypermethylation and silencing of FOXA1 and ultimately, expression of basal genes and phenotype. In summary, our findings are of importance for understanding the aetiology of breast cancer subtypes, with potential implications for breast cancer diagnosis and therapy.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Cell culture**

The human breast carcinoma cell lines MCF-7, MDA-MB-231, MDA-MB-436, MDA-MB-468, HCC70 and SUM1315MO2 originated from the American Type Culture Collection (LGC standards, Middlesex, UK) and were authenticated by Cancer Research UK (London, UK). The Brca1 knockout (KB1P-3 12) and reconstituted (KB1P3R E3 and KB1P3R F4) murine breast epithelial cell lines have been described. Also see Supplementary Materials and methods.

FuGENE6 transfection

Cells were seeded into six-well plates or 100-mm dishes to achieve ~60% confluency before transfection. Plasmid DNA was transfected using FuGENE 6 (Roche Diagnostics, West Sussex, UK) in a 3:1 ratio (µg of FuGENE: µg of DNA) following manufacturer’s instructions.

RNA interference with small interfering RNAs (siRNAs)

All siRNAs for the work were ON-TARGETplus SMARTpool siRNA purchased from Dharmacon Thermo Scientific (Lafayette, CO, USA). The SMARTpool siRNAs used in this study were: siBRCA1 (L-003461-00), siEZH2 (L-004218-00) and the ON-TARGETplus Non-Targeting Pool (D-001810-10). All siRNA pools were resuspended to 20 µM in 1x siRNA buffer before use.

Statistical analyses

All statistical analyses, unless otherwise specified, were carried out using SPSS 16.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA) and Windows XPs (Microsoft, 2015 Macmillan Publishers Limited. Oncogene (2015) 5012–5024.
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