Disentangling Orbital and Valley Hall Effects in Bilayers of Transition Metal Dichalcogenides
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It has been recently shown that monolayers of transition metal dichalcogenides (TMDs) in the 2H structural phase exhibit relatively large orbital Hall conductivity plateaus within their energy band gaps, where their spin Hall conductivities vanish [Canonico et al., Phys. Rev. B 101, 161409 (2020); Bhowal and Satpathy, Phys. Rev. B 102, 035409 (2020)]. However, since the valley Hall effect (VHE) in these systems also generates a transverse flow of orbital angular momentum, it becomes experimentally challenging to distinguish between the two effects in these materials. The VHE requires inversion symmetry breaking to occur, which takes place in the TMD monolayers but not in the bilayers. We show that a bilayer of 2H-MoS2 is an orbital Hall insulator that exhibits a sizeable orbital Hall effect in the absence of both spin and valley Hall effects. This phase can be characterized by an orbital Chern number that assumes the value $C_L = 2$ for the 2H-MoS2 bilayer and $C_L = 1$ for the monolayer, confirming the topological nature of these orbital-Hall insulator systems. Our results are based on density functional theory and low-energy effective model calculations and strongly suggest that bilayers of TMDs are highly suitable platforms for direct observation of the orbital Hall insulating phase in two-dimensional materials. Implications of our findings for attempts to observe the VHE in TMD bilayers are also discussed.

Introduction.—The orbital Hall effect (OHE) is the orbital analog of the spin Hall effect and consists in the appearance of a transverse current of orbital angular momentum that is induced by a longitudinally applied electric field [1]. Recently, a renewed interest in orbital magnetism and other orbital effects [2–5] gave origin to various theoretical studies on the OHE and related phenomena [6–15]. The possibility of using the OHE to generate orbital torque in magnetic materials [16,17] motivated new experimental works on orbital dynamics in magnetic multilayers [18,19], raising expectations that orbital angular degrees of freedom may eventually be employed to process information in logic and memory devices.

The interrelation between the OHE and the presence of orbital textures in reciprocal space [10] has been established and characterized both theoretically and experimentally in several low-dimensional materials [5,6,12,13,20,21], widening the class of systems that may be utilized for orbitronic applications. More specifically, the occurrence of a relatively large OHE has been predicted in the 2H structural phase of transition metal dichalcogenide (TMD) monolayers [6,7], where it is associated with the presence of a Dresselhau-like orbital texture around the valleys [6]. However, it is experimentally challenging to observe just the OHE in 2H-TMD monolayers due to the concurrent presence of the valley Hall effect (VHE) that also contributes to the transport of orbital angular momentum in these systems [22].

It is noteworthy, though, that the VHE manifests only in the absence of inversion symmetry, which naturally happens for the monolayers, but for bilayers, comprising two monolayers rotated by $\pi$ with respect to each other, the inversion symmetry is restored. This substantially affects valley related phenomena [23–25]. For instance, the valley Hall conductivity has opposite signs in each layer, canceling the VHE for the bilayer [26–28], as we shall subsequently discuss. Nevertheless, it is also possible to break inversion symmetry in the bilayers by applying an electric field perpendicular to the layers, by means of which one can control the valley polarization [29] and the VHE intensity [25] with a gate voltage.

Here, we perform calculations of the orbital Hall conductivities for ultrathin films (single layer and bilayer) of 2H-MoS2 which is representative of this class of systems. We combine density functional theory (DFT) and an effective low-energy model to disentangle the valley and orbital physics of TMD bilayers and explore some of their topological orbital features.
Implications of our findings regarding interpretations of recent experiments on the electric control of the VHE in MoS$_2$ bilayers [24,25] are also briefly discussed. Our results strongly indicate that bilayers of TMDs constitute a fertile playground for exploring orbital angular momentum current generation in 2D-like systems.

**DFT results.**—Our DFT calculations [30,31] were performed with the plane-wave-based code QUANTUM ESPRESSO [32]. The exchange and correlation potential is treated within the generalized gradient approximation [33]. The ionic cores were described with fully relativistic projected augmented wave (PAW) potentials [34]. We used a cutoff energy of 63 Ry for the wave functions and a value 10 times larger for the charge density. In order to reproduce the interlayer distance of the MoS$_2$ bilayer, we have used the DFT-D3 [35] method, which describes reasonably well the van der Walls forces in these systems. We have chosen a $10 \times 10 \times 1$ reciprocal space sampling, and to avoid spurious interaction due to periodic boundary conditions, we insert a vacuum spacing of 15 Å. We constructed an effective tight-binding Hamiltonian from our DFT calculations using the pseudoatomic orbital projection (PAO) method [36,37]. The PAO method consists of projecting the DFT Kohn-Sham orbitals into the compact subspace method [36,37]. The PAO method consists of projecting the DFT-Kohn-Sham orbitals into the compact subspace spanned by the pseudoatomic orbitals which are naturally built into the PAW potentials. The PAW potentials used for the Mo and S were constructed with a $sspd$ and $sp$ basis, respectively.

Once the PAO Hamiltonian is constructed, we can calculate the spin Hall (SH) and orbital Hall (OH) responses to an applied electric field [1,6,8,13,38–40]. Up to linear order on the external field, they are given by

$$\sigma^{\eta}_{\text{OH(SH)}} = \frac{e}{(2\pi)^2} \sum_{n} \int_{BZ} d^2k f_{nk} \Omega_{nk,\eta}^x,$$

where $\sigma^{\eta}_{\text{OH(SH)}}$ is the orbital Hall (spin Hall) dc conductivity with polarization along the $\eta$ direction, and

$$\Omega_{nk,\eta}^x = 2\hbar \sum_{m \neq n} \text{Im} \left[ \frac{\langle \psi_{mk}^x | \hat{v}_x(x,\vec{k}) | \psi_{nk}^x \rangle}{E_{nk} - E_{mk} + i0^+} \right],$$

represents the angular-momentum-weighted Berry curvature [10,40]. Here, $E_{nk}$ denotes the eigenvalue of the Hamiltonian $H(\vec{k})$ in reciprocal space, and $| \psi_{nk}^x \rangle$ is the corresponding eigenvector; $n$ is the band index, $k$ is the wave vector. The velocity operators are defined as $\hat{v}_x(x,\vec{k}) = \partial H(\vec{k}) / \partial k_{x(y)}$, where $x$ and $y$ specify the Cartesian axes, and we assume that the electric field is applied along the $\hat{x}$ direction. The current density operator component along $\hat{y}$ with polarization $\eta$ is defined as $j_{x\eta}(\vec{k}) = [X_\eta \hat{v}_x(x,\vec{k}) + \hat{v}_x(x,\vec{k}) X_\eta^*]/2$, where, for the SH conductivity, $X_\eta = \hat{S}_\eta$ and for the OH conductivity (OHC), $X_\eta = \hat{\ell}_\eta$. $\hat{S}_\eta$ and $\hat{\ell}_\eta$ represent the $\eta$ components of the spin and of the atomic angular momentum operators, respectively. This is implemented in the PAOFLOW code [41] that has been successfully used to study topological materials [42,43] and time dependent spin dynamics [44] among other topics. For our conductivity calculations, we have increased the sampling to $200 \times 200 \times 1$ $k$ points in the 2D BZ.

Figures 1(a) and 1(b) illustrate results of our calculations for a monolayer and for a bilayer of 2H-MoS$_2$, respectively. In the left panels of Fig. 1, we compare the band structures obtained from DFT (purple solid lines) and from PAOFLOW (yellow dashed lines). The agreement between the two approaches is excellent. For the monolayer, we obtain a direct energy-band gap of 1.60 eV, whereas for the bilayer, we found an indirect gap of 1.28 eV, in agreement with previous calculations [45]. The results for the SH conductivity (SHC) (red solid lines) and for the OHC (blue lines) are shown in the right-hand side panels of Fig. 1. In accordance with our previous results for the monolayer [6], we note in the right panel of Fig. 1(a) that the SHC vanishes...
in the main energy gap, but the OHC is finite and exhibits a relatively high plateau of \(\approx 2.6 \text{ eV}/2\pi\) in height within this energy range. For the bilayer, however, the right-hand side panel of panel Fig. 1(b) show that the height of the OHC plateau is essentially twice the monolayer value, while the SHC remains null in the main energy gap because it is topologically trivial. Although other regions in the BZ contribute to the OHC [7], the main contribution originates from the orbitally projected Berry curvature in the vicinity of \(K\) and \(K'\), as illustrated in the Supplemental Material [46]. To explore the physics behind these results, it is instructive to make use of a low-energy approximation around the \(K\) points (valleys) of the BZ, to build a simple model that is able to reasonably describe the main transport characteristics of these systems.

**Low energy calculations.**—Similar to the monolayers, the low-energy physics of TMD bilayers is dominated by the \(d_{z^2}\), \(d_{x^2−y^2}\), and \(d_{xy}\) atomic orbitals of the transition metal atoms [26,54,55]. We follow references [26,27] to build a simplified tight-binding (TB) model Hamiltonian in reciprocal space, which is expanded up to first order in the electronic momentum around the valleys located at \(\vec{K} = (4\pi/3a)\hat{x}\) and \(\vec{K}' = −\vec{K}\). This procedure leads to the following Hamiltonian:

\[
\hat{H}(\vec{q}) = \begin{pmatrix}
\Delta & \gamma_+ & 0 & 0 \\
\gamma_- & −\tau_0 & 0 & \tau_\perp \\
0 & 0 & \Delta & \gamma_- \\
0 & \tau_\perp & \gamma_+ & \tau_0
\end{pmatrix}, \tag{3}
\]

where \(\gamma_\pm = at(\tau q_x \pm iq_y)\), \(\tau = \pm 1\) is the valley quantum number associated with valleys \(K\) and \(K'\), respectively. Here, \(\vec{k} = \vec{q} + r\vec{K}\) where \(\vec{q}\) represents the wave vector relative to valleys and \(s_z\) denotes the usual Pauli matrix. For a 2H-MoS\(_2\) bilayer, an archetypal TMD, \(\Delta = 1.766\) eV is the monolayer band-gap, \(a = 3.160\) Å is the lattice constant, \(t = 1.137\) eV is the intralayer nearest-neighbor hopping, \(\lambda = 0.073\) eV is the spin-orbit coupling, and \(t_\perp = 0.043\) eV is the interlayer hopping [26].

The TB basis for this minimal model comprises \(\{|d_{z^2}^1\rangle, |d_{x^2−y^2}^1\rangle, −i\tau |d_{xy}^1\rangle/\sqrt{2}, |d_{xy}^2\rangle, |d_{x^2−y^2}^2\rangle + i\tau |d_{xy}^2\rangle/\sqrt{2}\}\), where the superscripts 1 and 2 specify the two layers of the bilayer, respectively. It is noteworthy that the orbital angular momentum (OAM) operator in this representation is given by \(L_z = \text{diag}(0, −2\hbar \tau, 0, 2\hbar \tau)\), which clearly does not commute with the Hamiltonian defined in Eq. (3).

Equation (2) can be used with the four-band low-energy Hamiltonian given by Eq. (3) to define the Berry and the orbital-weighted Berry curvatures that encode information of the VHE and OHE, respectively. For simplicity, we shall initially neglect the effect of spin-orbit coupling (\(\lambda\)), thereby restricting Eq. (3) to a spinless Hamiltonian, and including a degenerescence factor \(g_s = 2\). Equation (2), for the orbital weighted Berry curvature, may also be employed to calculate the usual Berry curvature \(\Omega_{n,k}\), provided that \(X_p\) is replaced by \(\lambda h\). The spinless Hamiltonian generates two valence bands \([E_1(q)\) and \(E_2(q)\)] that can be regarded as arising from each of the TMD layers because of the relatively small interlayer hopping. Figures 2(a) and 2(b) present the Berry curvatures for both \(E_1\) and \(E_2\) calculated around the \(K\) and \(K'\) points, respectively. The Berry curvature for \(E_2\) has a positive peak at \(K\) and a negative peak at \(K'\), which gives rise to a VHE. The opposite occurs for the Berry curvature of \(E_1\), which has a negative peak around \(K\) and a positive peak at \(K'\), giving origin to a VHE with an inverted sign. By adding the contributions of both layers, the net Berry curvature is zero in both valleys, and the VHE vanishes. This is a consequence of time-reversal symmetry and the presence of spatial inversion symmetry in the bilayer [27,54,56].

A similar situation occurs for TMDs with the \(T\) and \(T'\) structural phases, such as WTe\(_2\) [57]. Figures 2(c) and 2(d) show the orbital weighted Berry curvatures for both bands around the \(K\) and \(K'\) points, respectively. In contrast to the previous case, the peaks of the orbital-weighted Berry curvatures for both bands have the same sign around both valleys. Hence, the total orbital-weighted Berry curvature has a finite value, which leads to an OH insulating phase [6] with no VHE, as Figs. 2(e) and 2(f) illustrate. We note that, in order to assess just the OHE, it is crucial from the experimental point of view to have an OHE without a VHE, because the VHE also leads a transverse angular momentum current [22,58,59] that is hard to distinguish from the one generated by the OHE, as it
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**FIG. 2.** Berry curvature \(\Omega_{n,k}\) at points \(K\) (a) and \(K'\) (b), orbital weighted Berry curvature \(\Omega_{n,k}^\ast\) at points \(K\) (c) and \(K'\) (d) for the two valence bands \(E_1(q)\) and \(E_2(q)\), associated with the two layers. (e) Total Berry curvature \(\Omega_{1,k} + \Omega_{2,k}\) and orbital weighted Berry curvature \(\Omega_{1,k}^\ast + \Omega_{2,k}^\ast\) for the bilayer TMD at points \(K\) (e) and \(K'\) (f).
happens for TMD monolayers [6]. Thus, our results show that bilayers of 2H-TMDs are very promising candidates for observing the orbital Hall insulating phase without interferences from VHE or OHE effect.

Now, let us address the topological characterization of the OHE insulating phase in TMD bilayers. Our Berry curvature analysis suggests that it is possible to associate an orbital Chern number to describe the distinctive nature of these states in analogy with the well-known spin Chern number [60,61]. Here, the situation is slightly more subtle because the operator $L_z$ does not commute with the Hamiltonian of Eq. (3) for finite $\vec{q}$. This is similar to the problem of a quantum spin Hall insulator in the presence of a Rashba spin-orbit coupling. To address this issue, we follow the procedure developed in Refs. [62–64] to define the orbital Chern number $C_L$ for the insulating phase of the Hamiltonian given by Eq. (3). In this formalism, $C_L = (C_L^- - C_L^+)/2$ where $C_L^\pm$ are the Chern numbers calculated with the eigenstates of an OAM operator projected on the valence-band states $|L_z = \pm \hbar/2, \varepsilon >$ of the low-energy Hamiltonian; more details are given in the accompanying Supplemental Material [46]. It is worth mentioning that, hereafter, we reinstate the spin degree of freedom and the spin-orbit interaction in the Hamiltonian (3). Figure 3(a) shows the eigenvalues of $L_z^\pm(\vec{q})$ calculated as functions of $q$.

We clearly see that the spectrum splits in two separated sectors, allowing us to use the eigenstates of $L_z^\pm(\vec{q})$ in each valley to calculate the Chern numbers

$$C_L^\pm = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int d^2 q \sum_{n,m} F_{n,m}^\pm(q),$$

(4)

where $F_{n,m}^\pm(q) = 2\text{Im}\langle \partial_{\vec{q}}, \Phi_{n,m}^\pm(\vec{q}) | \partial_{\vec{q}}, \Phi_{n,m}^\pm(\vec{q}) \rangle$. Figure 3(b) shows the integrands of Eq. (4). Since they have azimuthal symmetry, the calculations of $C_L^\pm$ involve numerical integrations of one-dimensional radial functions only. Our results for the insulating phases of the 2H-MoS$_2$ bilayer and single layer are $C_L = 2$ and $C_L = 1$, respectively, supporting the idea that the relatively weak interlayer hopping in the bilayer makes it behave approximately as a mere superposition of its two constituent monolayers, which are rotated by $\pi$ with respect to each other.

The existence of a nontrivial orbital Chern number should lead to the appearance of edge states when the bulk material is cut to form a ribbon. It is well known that zigzag TMD ribbons present crossing edge states with interesting orbital properties, even though $\mathbb{Z}_2 = 0$. [65]. Figures 3(c) and 3(d) show the energy band spectra of 2H-MoS$_2$ zigzag nanoribbons, calculated with the use of $\text{PAOFLOW}$ Hamiltonian for a monolayer (c) and for the spin-up sector of a bilayer (d) of 2H-MoS$_2$. The color code indicates the orbital angular momentum expectation value $\langle L_z \rangle$. For better visualization, in the bilayer case, only the spin-up bands are shown. The spin-down band structures are shown in the Supplemental Material [46].
cause such a large inversion symmetry breaking effect. On the other hand, the OHE could be the source of this experimental evidence and explain the unexpected signals at zero bias in the bilayers. Careful experimental analysis of Kerr rotation and nonlocal resistance measurements as functions of gate voltage may help to distinguish between the orbital and valley Hall effects in these materials. The results illustrated in Fig. 4, in light of the experiments reported in Refs. [25,68], suggest that ultrathin films of TMDs are promising platforms for exploring the OHE in 2D materials.

**Final remarks and conclusion.**—Our DFT calculations showed that centrosymmetric two-dimensional materials, such as a bilayer of 2H-MoS\(_2\), can host an orbital Hall insulating phase in the absence of both spin and valley Hall effects. Using MoS\(_2\) as a prototype of the TMD family, we have also unveiled the topological nature of OHE in these systems and calculated the orbital Chern numbers for 2H-TMDs. Our work clarifies the interplay between orbital and valley Hall conductivity in bilayer TMDs. We found that, in the absence of a gate voltage between the layers, the magnetic moment accumulation observed in experiments should be dominated by the OHE, as VHE is zero in centrosymmetric materials. For finite bias, OHE and VHE are still decoupled and can behave as competing effects.
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**FIG. 4.** (a) Orbital (blue line) and valley Hall (orange line) conductivities as a function of the Fermi energy \(E_F\) for \(U = 0.2\) eV (solid line) and \(U = -0.2\) eV (dashed line). Schematic representation of the low energy spectrum for \(U = 0\) eV (b) and \(U = 0.2\) eV (c). The orbital polarization of the top valence bands is indicated by \(m_s = \pm 2\) and the solid orange and dashed blue lines indicate the two spin orientations.

For a finite \(U\), the inversion symmetry is broken in the bilayer and the VHE takes place. The OH and VHE conductivities can be calculated using Eqs. (1)–(3). To calculate the VH conductivity (VHC), we substitute the integrand of Eq. (1) by \(2\hbar\delta_{\nu,q}/\hbar\), and rewrite \(\sigma_{\text{VH}} \equiv \left(\sigma_{\tau=+1} - \sigma_{\tau=-1}\right)\). Figure 4(a) shows our results for the OHC (\(\sigma_{\text{OHE}}\)) and VHC (\(\sigma_{\text{VHE}}\)) calculated as functions of the Fermi energy (\(E_F\)) for positive and negative values of \(U\). There are clear differences between the two quantities. While the OHC is an even function of \(E_F\), the VHC is odd. Also, the OHE is an even function of \(U\), whereas the VHE is odd—the valley magnetic moment inverts when \(U\) changes sign [24]. Panels (b) and (c) of Fig. 4 show the energy spectrum for \(U = 0\) and \(U = 0.2\) eV, respectively. It is clear that \(U\) produces a rigid energy-band shift for the two layers, without changing their orbital polarizations. The OHE should remain unchanged for small variations of \(E_F\), but decreases when \(E_F\) crosses any band, as individual bands in each valley contribute to the total Chern number. We have also performed DFT calculations for the OHE in the presence of an electric field applied perpendicularly to the layers [69]. The results support our low-energy analysis and are presented in the Supplemental Material [46].

Kerr rotation microscopy experiments [25] showed that a bilayer of MoS\(_2\) exhibits a sizeable Kerr rotation even in the absence of an applied gate voltage. It was argued that this unexpected behavior could originate from substrate induced inversion symmetry breaking. Recent nonlocal resistance measurements in a hexagonal boron nitride (h-BN) encapsulated bilayer of MoS\(_2\) also exhibited a nonlocal signal at zero gate voltage [68]. The interpretation was the same, although one should not expect h-BN to
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