Letter to the Editor (Matters arising from published papers)

Dear Editor,

We thank Hayes et al. [1] for their interest in our report [2]. Many of their points are well taken. They expand on the scenario of selection bias that can explain why, in our cross-sectional observation, patients on glucocorticoids (GC) had higher bone mass than patients not on GC. Indeed, our observations are controversial, ‘...given that the vast majority of ... studies show that GC increase fracture risk by a multitude of mechanisms, including BMD loss’.

Their main arguments focus on the following points: the idea that GC users are referred for DXA scan at an early stage of exposure, in contrast to other patients who are referred because of classic risk factors; the idea that GC users with a fracture are preferentially not referred for a DXA scan because guidelines suggest starting therapy without a scan and because the relationship between fracture and low BMD is less strong in GC users; and the idea that GC users referred for a scan are healthier and under better treatment than those not referred. We agree that all these mechanisms might be at work.

One counter-argument we would like to make is that many studies show that adherence to guidelines for screening and treatment of osteoporosis is low, also in GC-treated patients.

Another counter-argument is that all these worries about selection bias are never levelled at that vast majority of studies that do find a relationship between GC exposure, BMD loss and fracture. As we have stated repeatedly in the past, observational studies on the adverse effects of GC are hopelessly confounded by indication [3], and there is a strong publication bias at work favouring studies that confirm detrimental effects of GC. Our report is but one example of this mechanism at work; it took us well over a year to get this report accepted.

And as an aside, the widely quoted study of Van Staa et al. [4] on the higher fracture risk of GC-treated patients with relatively high bone mass rests on a model that has vanishingly few cases, and it is a single study >20 years old that has never been replicated. Nevertheless, there is a growing body of literature, also in observational studies, to suggest the effects are not as bad as many think, such as our systematic review of prospective studies of bone loss [5].

We are happy to agree with Hayes et al. [1] that we need the results of the GLORIA trial, NCT 02585258. M.B. is lead author, and he is confident that the results (which are looking good for bone health) will appear in the literature in the coming months.
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