Combined risk factors for melanoma in a Mediterranean population
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Summary A case-control study of non-familial melanoma including 183 incident cases and 179 controls was conducted in North-Eastern Italy to identify important risk factors and determine how combination of these affects risk in a Mediterranean population. Presence of dysplastic nevi (OR = 4.2, 95% CI = 2.4–7.4), low propensity to tan (OR = 2.4, 95% CI = 1.1–5.0), light eye (OR = 2.4, 95% CI = 1.1–5.2), and light skin colour (OR = 4.1, 95% CI = 1.4–12.1) were significantly associated with melanoma risk after adjustment for age, gender and pigmentation characteristics. A chart which identifies melanoma risk associated with combinations of these factors is presented; it can be used to identify subjects who would most benefit from preventive measures in Mediterranean populations. According to the combination of these factors, a relative risk range from 1 to 98.5 was found. Light skin colour, high number of sunburns with blistering, and low propensity to tan were significantly associated with melanoma thickness, possibly indicating that individuals with these characteristics underestimate their risk and seek attention when their lesion is already advanced. © 2001 Cancer Research Campaign
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Though Mediterranean populations have been considered at low risk of cutaneous malignant melanoma (CMM) because of their relatively dark complexion, CMM incidence rates are steadily increasing in Italy, Spain, France and former Yugoslavia (Muir et al, 1987; Parkin et al, 1992). According to the estimates for the year 2000 provided by the International Agency for Research on Cancer (Ferlay et al, 2001), the Italian national age-adjusted incidence of CMM was 4.6 cases/100 000 person-years for males and 5.5 cases/100 000 person-years for females.

The number of nevi and presence of atypical or dysplastic nevi (DN) are powerful predictors of melanoma risk (Tucker et al, 1997) even though melanoma does not always arise in pre-existing nevi. Other risk factors include skin sensitivity to sun, freckling, history of sunburns, intermittent sun exposure, and fair hair, eye, or skin colours (Bliss et al, 1995; Tucker et al, 1997). In addition, family history of melanoma is a strong risk factor for approximately 2.5–18% of melanoma patients (Greene and Fraumeni, 1979; Goldstein and Tucker, 1995; Calista et al, 2000).

These factors have been identified in populations with a large number of fair-skinned individuals. A few studies published on Mediterranean populations (Cristofolini et al, 1987; Zanetti et al, 1988; Carli et al, 1995; Rodenas et al, 1996; Espinosa Arranz et al, 1999; Naldi et al, 2000a) suggest that they share similar risk factors, but the distribution and relative weight of these factors may vary. Our study aimed at identifying the strongest risk factors for non-familial melanoma in an Italian area in which incidence has increased from 5.0 to 10.0 cases/100 000 person-years in males, and from 9.0 to 13.1 in females between 1986 and 1997 (Vinceti et al, 1999) and at determining how the combination of these factors contributes to CMM risk.

SUBJECTS AND METHODS

We recruited newly diagnosed incident CMM cases of any stage (n = 183) between December 1994 and January 1999 at the Dermatology Unit of Maurizio Bufalini Hospital in Cesena, Italy. This Dermatology Unit serves as a referral point for the regions of Southern Emilia-Romagna and Northern Marche, a population of nearly one million people. The Hospital’s Ethical Committee approved the study and all participants signed an informed consent. The patients referred to the clinic were representative of patients in the entire area (Calista et al, 2000). Cases were enrolled after surgical removal of the lesion and pathological confirmation, but prior to chemotherapy or radiation therapy. Controls were spouses or close friends of the cancer cases (n = 134), outpatients referred to the hospital due to small accidental trauma (n = 14), and healthy volunteers from the Bufalini Hospital personnel (n = 31). All cases and control subjects were from the described catchment area. 5 subjects (3 cases and 2 controls) had a family history of melanoma and were excluded from the analysis. Study subjects included 87 male and 96 female cases with 89 male and 90 female controls. The age range was 17–77 years. Controls were frequency-matched to cases by age and gender. Approximately 95% of cases and 83% of controls agreed to participate in the study.

One dermatologist (DC) performed all skin examinations of the entire body, except the genital area. Multiple lightly pigmented macular lesions, commonly present on the face, upper back and arms were defined as freckles. We classified the frequency of
RESULTS

Subject characteristics

Details of personal characteristics of the 183 cases and 179 controls are summarised in Table 1. Hair colour, eye colour, skin colour, skin reaction after sun exposure, freckling, number of nevi, presence of DN, and sunburns with blistering were each strongly associated with CMM risk after adjustment for age and gender. When these variables were considered through forward stepwise regression analyses, presence of DN, skin colour, propensity to tan, and eye colour were retained with the matching variables. Using individuals with dark eyes as the comparison group, the relative odds of CMM was 1.8 (95% CI = 1.0–3.0) and 2.4 (95% CI = 1.1–5.2) for median and light eyes respectively (P = 0.013, test for trend). Similarly, using subjects with dark skin as the comparison group, the CMM odds were 2.6 (95% CI = 0.9–7.2) and 4.1 (95% CI = 1.4–12.1) for medium and light skin colour respectively (P = 0.009, test for trend). We obtained comparable results, with narrower confidence intervals, when subjects with medium skin colour were used as a reference group (data not shown). Subjects with medium and low propensity to tan after prolonged sun exposure had CMM relative odds of 0.9 (95% CI = 0.5–1.6) and 2.4 (95% CI = 1.1–5.0) when compared with subjects with high propensity to tan (P = 0.027, test for trend). The relative odds for CMM due to presence of dysplastic nevi (OR = 4.4, 95% CI = 2.6–7.5), after adjustment for age and gender, did not substantially change after further adjustment for propensity to tan and skin and eye colour (OR = 4.2, 95% CI = 2.4–7.4).

In order to control for a possible bias due to frequent dermatological examinations, we re-analysed the association between DN and CMM risk adjusting the results for history of moles removed, or restricting the sample to thicker CMM lesions (above the median). We did not observe any important difference in the results (data not shown). Nevi and DN tend to disappear with age (Tucker et al, 1997). We repeated all analyses involving DN or nevi in the subset of subjects younger than 60. No major differences were observed. Results in the tables and text are based on the entire study group.

Men tended to have larger ORs than women. However, the tests for interaction for each risk factor with gender were not significant (P = 0.12 for DN; P = 0.65 for skin colour; P = 0.17 for propensity to tan; and P = 0.41 for eye colour).

Sun exposure and CMM risk

Reported lifetime sun exposure during vacation between 11 am and 3 pm was comparable for cases and controls. Similarly, sun exposure due to vacation before the age of 18 years showed a relative odds close to one. This relative odds did not vary when adjusted for propensity to tan, skin colour, eye colour and presence of DN. The number of hours of sun exposure due to occupation was generally small in both cases and controls, and was not associated with CMM risk. Most subjects lived in the study area for their entire lifetime, and no difference was observed in the mean UVB-intensity index for cases and controls (median 101.8 RB units/year and 101.3 RB units/year for cases and controls, respectively, P = 0.34). Only 7 cases and 4 controls had been in the tropics, with no association with CMM risk (OR = 1.6, 95% CI =
0.4–6.6). Among leisure outdoor activities (such as, playing soccer, bicycling, fishing, hiking, sailing, etc.), swimming in the sea, lake, and/or pool was associated with a 2-fold CMM risk (30 cases and 38 controls, OR = 1.8, 95% CI = 0.9–3.4) after adjustment for eye colour, skin colour, propensity to tan and presence of dysplastic nevi.

**Use of sunscreens**

Approximately 45% of cases and 43% of controls used sunscreens but most could not remember the protective factor, so this could not be assessed in the analysis. Ever/never sunscreen use did not substantially change the association between lifetime sun exposure during vacation and CMM risk after adjustment for age, gender, eye colour, skin colour, propensity to tan, and presence of DN (P for the interaction between sun exposure and sunscreen use = 0.89). Use of sunscreen itself was not significantly associated with CMM risk or protection (OR = 1.2, 95% CI = 0.7–2.1), after adjustment for the strongest risk factors.

**Use of sunlamps and sunbeds**

32 cases (17.6%) and 38 controls (21.2%), mostly with medium skin colour, high propensity to tan, and absence of DN, used sunlamps or sunbeds at least once during their lifetime. Sunlamp use was associated with a CMM relative odds of 1.3 (95% CI = 0.7–2.4), after adjustment for age, gender, skin colour, eye colour, propensity to tan and presence of DN. We did not find any association between number of sunlamp use and CMM risk (OR = 1.2, 95% CI = 0.7–2.4, for more than 10 times in their lifetime, and OR = 1.4, 95% CI = 0.5–3.6, for more than 10 times). Only a few subjects used sunlamps as part of a Photosensitising drug + UVA therapy (PUVA), so the association of PUVA therapy with CMM risk could not be evaluated.
Risk charts

We used forward stepwise regression analyses to identify the strongest CMM risk factors to be included in a parsimonious model. Overall, in order of relative significance, these were the presence of dysplastic nevi, skin colour, propensity to tan and eye colour. We constructed a flow chart to describe the relative risk associated with the combinations of 3-scale skin colour (dark-olive, medium, light), 3-scale eye colour (dark, medium, light), 2-scale propensity to tan (high/medium, low), and the presence of dysplastic nevi (yes/no), after adjustment for age and gender (Figure 1). We computed odds ratios for the combination of individual risk factors using the corresponding linear combinations of coefficients estimated by the logistic model. We used the categories at lowest risk for CMM (i.e. no DN, dark-olive skin colour, high/medium propensity to tan, dark eye colour) as reference category. An analysis using medium skin colour as a reference point would provide relative-risk estimates with narrower confidence intervals and with the same rank order. We decided to present results using the dark skin category as a reference point for an easier comprehension. Of note, when analyses were restricted to subjects younger than 60, the combination of presence of DN, light skin colour, low propensity to tan and light eye colour produced an OR = 215 (95% CI = 38–1217).

CMM thickness

Thickness of melanoma lesions varied from 0.1 to 8.5 mm. Melanoma thickness increased with age, but the association was not statistically significant after adjustment for gender, eye colour, skin colour, propensity to tan and presence of DN (P = 0.15). When cases were categorised into 2 groups by CMM thickness, above and below the median (0.9 mm), light skin colour (OR = 3.6, 95% CI = 1.7–7.6), low propensity to tan (OR = 2.7, 95% CI = 1.3–5.8), and history of sunburns with blistering (OR = 3.3, 95% CI = 1.3–8.2) were significantly associated with CMM thickness (Table 2), after adjustment for age and gender. Conversely, presence of dysplastic nevi (OR = 0.6, 95% CI = 0.3–1.2) and high number of common nevi (OR = 0.5, 95% CI = 0.3–0.8) were not associated with CMM thickness.

Table 2. Results of logistic regression analysis of CMM thickness

| N. of subjects | Modeled OR | (95% CI) |
|---------------|------------|----------|
| dark (n = 12) | 1.0        | (0.8–1.3) |
| medium (n = 14) | 1.8        | (1.0–3.0) |
| light (n = 3)  | 2.4*       | (1.1–5.1)* |
| dark (n = 0)  | 2.6*       | (1.4–4.7)* |
| medium (n = 0) | 4.5*       | (2.0–10.3)* |
| light (n = 0)  | 6.1*       | (2.3–16.7)* |
| dark (n = 31) | 2.4        | (0.9–6.6) |
| medium (n = 36) | 4.3        | (1.4–13.3) |
| light (n = 10) | 5.8        | (1.7–20.3) |
| dark (n = 4)  | 6.3        | (2.0–19.9) |
| medium (n = 5) | 11.1       | (3.1–39.7) |
| light (n = 1)  | 15.0*      | (3.7–60.2)* |
| dark (n = 6)  | 3.8        | (1.4–10.7) |
| medium (n = 28) | 7.0        | (2.2–20.5) |
| light (n = 8)  | 9.0        | (2.6–31.4) |
| dark (n = 10) | 9.9        | (3.3–29.5) |
| medium (n = 21) | 17.3       | (5.3–56.6)* |
| light (n = 2)  | 23.4*      | (6.3–88.7)* |
| dark (n = 1)  | 4.2*       | (2.4–7.4)* |
| medium (n = 1) | 7.4*       | (3.3–16.7)* |
| light (n = 0)  | 10.0*      | (3.8–26.5)* |
| dark (n = 0)  | 10.9*      | (4.6–25.5)* |
| medium (n = 1) | 19.1*      | (6.7–54.3)* |
| light (n = 0)  | 25.8*      | (7.9–84.2)* |
| dark (n = 17) | 10.3       | (3.4–30.8) |
| medium (n = 17) | 18.0       | (5.2–62.6) |
| light (n = 6)  | 24.4       | (6.4–93.8) |
| dark (n = 4)  | 26.5*      | (7.6–92.9)* |
| medium (n = 1) | 46.6*      | (11.6–187.2)* |
| light (n = 1)  | 63.1*      | (14.2–279.3)* |
| dark (n = 8)  | 16.1       | (5.2–49.9) |
| medium (n = 16) | 28.2       | (8.2–97.1) |
| light (n = 3)  | 38.1*      | (10.0–146.0)* |
| dark (n = 2)  | 41.6       | (12.4–138.8) |
| medium (n = 16) | 72.8       | (19.6–270.5) |
| light (n = 8)  | 98.5*      | (23.8–406.9)* |

Figure 1 Relative risk of melanoma due to the combination of multiple risk factors

*Results extrapolated from logistic regression analysis
CI = 0.2–1.7) were negatively, although not significantly, associated with melanoma thickness. Similarly, the more hours of sun exposure during vacation, the thinner the CMM lesions were, particularly for sun exposure during childhood (OR for the highest exposure category = 0.3, 95% CI = 0.1–0.7; \( P = 0.01 \), test for trend). Results reported in Table 2 did not substantially change after adjustment for skin colour, propensity to tan, and presence of DN, in addition to gender and age.

**DISCUSSION**

Melanoma incidence rates are rapidly increasing in Mediterranean countries. Because advanced melanoma is still largely incurable, early detection and identification of factors associated with the development and progression of the disease are of great importance. We found that presence of dysplastic (or atypical) nevi, light skin colour, light eye colour, and low propensity to tan are the strongest risk factors for a population from north-eastern Italy. Light skin colour, light eye colour and low propensity to tan, singularly or in combination, contributed strongly to CMM risk, even after adjustment for the presence of DN. There was no interaction between each phenotypic characteristic and presence of DN in the association with CMM risk. A recent study conducted in Italy (Naldi et al, 2000a) identified similar risk factors, along with history of sunburns before age 15 years, and presence of solar lentigines. Precise history of sunburns is difficult to recall, and identification of solar lentigines, as distinct from freckles, is difficult and can vary among dermatologists. In addition, the diagnosis of DN and nevi is more difficult in older subjects. We attempted to minimise assessment variability by (1) having only one dermatologist to perform the skin examinations, (2) verifying the results in subjects younger than 60, and (3) having one oncologist to assess all DN and nevi diagnoses on photographs. Recognition and classification of DN from photographs have been shown to be accurate and reproducible (Hartge et al, 1995).

Studies in Scotland (MacKie et al, 1989) and West Germany (Garbe et al, 1994) showed how melanoma risk was affected by a combination of multiple risk factors. Our chart describes how the

| Hair colour          | < median (n = 75) | ≥ median (n = 76) | OR (95% CI) |
|----------------------|------------------|------------------|-------------|
| Black/dark brown     | 47 (62.7%)       | 35 (47.3%)       | 1.0         |
| Light/reddish brown  | 20 (26.7%)       | 26 (35.1%)       | 1.9 (0.9–4.2)|
| Blond/red            | 8 (10.7%)        | 13 (17.6%)       | 2.5 (0.8–7.3)|

| Eye colour*          |                  |                  |
|----------------------|------------------|------------------|
| Dark                 | 22 (29.3%)       | 17 (22.4%)       | 1.0 (0.7–3.3)|
| Medium               | 39 (52.0%)       | 46 (60.5%)       | 1.5 (0.7–3.3)|
| Light                | 14 (18.7%)       | 13 (17.1%)       | 1.2 (0.5–3.3)|

| Skin colour          |                  |                  |
|----------------------|------------------|------------------|
| Dark-olive/medium    | 42 (56.0%)       | 22 (29.3%)       | 1.0 (0.6–2.3)|
| Light                | 33 (44.0%)       | 53 (70.7%)       | 3.6 (1.7–7.6)|

| Freckles             |                  |                  |
|----------------------|------------------|------------------|
|                      |                  |                  |

| Tanning ability to prolonged sun exposure |                  |                  |
|------------------------------------------|------------------|------------------|
| High/medium                             |                  |                  |
| Low                                      |                  |                  |

| Skin response to 30 min in the sun       |                  |                  |
|------------------------------------------|------------------|------------------|
| Tan without burn                         |                  |                  |
| Light/medium burn                        |                  |                  |

| Dysplastic nevi                          |                  |                  |
|------------------------------------------|------------------|------------------|
| 34 (45.3%)                               | 34 (45.3%)       | 34 (45.3%)       |

| Nevi number §                           |                  |                  |
|------------------------------------------|------------------|------------------|
| 0–27                                     | 18 (28.6%)       | 26 (36.1%)       | 1.0 (0.3–1.2)|

| Sunburns with blisters                  |                  |                  |
|------------------------------------------|------------------|------------------|
| 18 (28.6%)                               | 26 (36.1%)       | 26 (36.1%)       |

| Lifetime exposure to sun during vacation †|                  |                  |
|------------------------------------------|------------------|------------------|
| 0–150 hours                              | 21 (29.6%)       | 32 (44.4%)       | 1.0 (0.2–1.2)|

| Exposure to sun during vacation in childhood ‡|                  |                  |
|-----------------------------------------------|------------------|------------------|
| None                                          | 20 (28.2%)       | 21 (29.2%)       | 0.7 (0.3–1.7)|

* Dark: black or dark brown. Medium: light brown, brown-green, green, or blue-green. Light: light blue, dark blue or grey.
† Nevi categories reflect tertile distribution in all cases. ‡ Hours of lifetime outdoor exposure between 11 AM and 3 PM under age 18. Odds ratios and confidence intervals adjusted for age and sex in multivariable logistic regression analysis. Total number of subjects may vary across variables due to missing values.
combination of DN, propensity to tan, skin colour, and eye colour can affect CMM relative risk in an Italian population. We used the logistic model to predict risk estimates for combinations of several factors. Since the analyses were based on relatively small numbers of cases and controls, results should be used with caution. This is particularly true for risk-factor combinations that were not present among cases and/or controls, because of their rarity in the general Mediterranean population, and/or in subjects affected by CMM. In fact, in this study, there was only one subject with dark-olive skin and low propensity to tan, and there were only 3 subjects with DN and dark-olive skin.

In the top part of the chart, CMM risk is based on the combination of factors that can be immediately recognised by both patients and clinical personnel, thus allowing for an easy identification of at-risk people that need particular attention. The bottom part adds to the phenotypic characteristics the contribution of the presence of DN, which requires more skills and experience to assess. CMM personal risk may increase 70 times or more if combined with the factors in the top part of the chart.

Interestingly, subjects with light skin colour and low propensity to tan and those who experienced sunburns with blistering had generally thicker CMM lesions. Such subjects may not be aware that they are at high risk and defer seeking medical attention until their lesion is large. We cannot verify this hypothesis, but we feel these subjects should be the targets for public education campaigns for early detection or prevention of melanoma in Mediterranean countries. Subjects with DN or many nevi are possibly more aware of their at-risk condition, and in fact, had generally thinner CMM lesions. In addition, subjects who spend long periods of time under the sun in bathing suits are more likely to be observed by other people, who may notice and call attention to suspicious skin lesions at an early stage. In fact, high number of hours of sun exposure, particularly during childhood, was associated with thinner CMM lesions.

The amount of lifetime sun exposure is notoriously difficult to assess, so imprecision in reporting hours of exposure as well as recall bias are possible. The study participants were all from the same area. In addition, the great majority of the controls were spouses or partners of the cases, thus likely sharing with cases similar sun and other environmental exposures. Finally, most at-risk people that need particular attention. The bottom part adds to the phenotypic characteristics the contribution of the presence of DN, which requires more skills and experience to assess. CMM personal risk may increase 70 times or more if combined with the factors in the top part of the chart.

In conclusion, screening for melanoma is not yet common in Italy, and personnel of the Bufalini Hospital for their participation.
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