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Abstract

**Background and Aim:** Improper administration of oxygen as an expensive drug has dangerous side effects. Nurses are responsible for performing this therapy and even prescribing it in emergencies. This study aimed to determine the effect of two methods of mastery learning and lectures on the oxygen therapy knowledge and practice of nurses in a teaching hospital in southern Iran.

**Materials and Methods:** This quasi-experimental study was conducted on 50 nurses working in a teaching hospital in southern Iran. The participants were selected using convenience sampling and randomly divided into intervention and control groups. The members of the intervention group attended 4 oxygen therapy master learning sessions, while the members of the control group were trained using the lecture method for 4 sessions. The instruments used for data collection were a demographic information questionnaire and the researcher-made nurses’ oxygen therapy knowledge and practice inventories that were completed by the participants in both groups. The data were collected before the intervention and one week and three months after the intervention and analyzed using SPSS software with descriptive and inferential statistics.

**Results:** The findings indicated that the nurses had poor oxygen therapy knowledge and practice before the intervention. Furthermore, there was no significant difference between the two groups in terms of the mean scores of oxygen therapy knowledge and practice before the intervention. It was also shown that oxygen therapy knowledge and practice were significantly improved one week and three months after the learning mastery intervention in the participants in the intervention group (P < 0.001). In contrast, only the oxygen therapy knowledge of the members of the control group showed a significant increase three months after the intervention compared to the pre-intervention stage (P = 0.04).

**Conclusion:** The results of the present study showed that the mastery learning model is an effective model for improving and maintaining the nurses’ oxygen therapy knowledge and practice. Since nurses’ optimal practice improves the quality of care and increases patient safety, mastery learning can be used as an effective training technique to improve nurses’ oxygen therapy practice.

Introduction

Oxygen therapy is a treatment technique that provides oxygen to the client at a higher concentration than is available in ambient air. Oxygen administration improves cellular metabolism. Thus, oxygen is considered a drug in clinical situations (1). Although oxygen is used in many cardiac, pulmonary, and emergency disorders, it must be prescribed and cared for as a drug, and its dose or concentration must be constantly monitored for its dangerous side effects, like any drug (2). Oxygen poisoning may occur if high concentrations of oxygen (more than 50%) are administered for a long time (more than 48 hours). Moreover, administration of high concentrations of oxygen in patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease causes loss of respiratory stimulation, followed by a progressive increase in arterial carbon dioxide and ultimately death of the patient due to anesthesia, carbon dioxide, and acidosis (3). Another
side effect of oxygen therapy is mucosal dryness because oxygen is a gas and lacks moisture, so administering oxygen above 4 liters per minute causes dryness of the respiratory tissue and mucous secretions, both of which can lead to obstruction of the airway and increase the risk of infection (4).

Some studies have shown that errors and mistakes during oxygen therapy include decisions about oxygen administration, oxygen control, oxygen modulation, monitoring, and discontinuation of oxygen therapy. There are also problems with oxygen explosion prevention and nebulizer care. Health care professionals and nurses appear to use oxygen therapy experimentally without sufficient knowledge of indication, dosage, side effects, and intoxication. Rood-Deghan et al. (2011) found that 96.2% of Iranian nurses have poor adherence to oxygen therapy standards (2). The results of another study in Greece showed that 41% of nurses considered oxygen not as a medicine but as a gas that improves patients’ breathing. It was also found that the majority of nurses had no protocol for oxygen therapy in their wards (5). However, a nurse must have sufficient knowledge and skills to carry out treatment and medication orders and examine the patient for the need for treatment and constantly monitor him/her (6). Thus, in the last twenty years, along with the rapid growth of knowledge and technology, scientific discoveries, and the expansion of health systems, the need for nurses with advanced clinical skills, higher knowledge, and awareness in clinical care settings has increased and more attention is paid to clinical settings and learning in the workplace (7). In other words, the complex environment of current health care requires examining the practice of nurses because nurses’ knowledge and skills directly affect patient care (8). Hence, clinical education styles play an important role in the development of nursing skills and comprehensive care (9). One of the clinical education styles is mastery learning, which gives a person enough time to achieve learning (10). According to Bloom (1968), mastery learning also leads to practice appraisal against predetermined standards and a clear measure of one’s mastery (11). This clinical education style involves a series of steps including setting educational goals, initial assessment, determining the level of mastery, implementation of the training course, formative assessment, correctional education, and summative assessment. Therefore, one of the advantages of this clinical education style is that learners make more efforts due to higher motivation because they know that they can reach the highest mastery level by spending a certain amount of time (12). Furthermore, using this clinical training style guarantees that nurses acquire the minimum necessary competencies to perform the intended procedure (13). Previous studies have demonstrated the effect of mastery learning on the skill of nasogastric (NG) intubation (14), cardiopulmonary resuscitation (15), and central venous catheter placement (16, 17).

In Iran, oxygen therapy is an essential activity in nursing practice and nurses are responsible for safe medication to the patient (18) and must regularly check the oxygen therapy-based medical instructions so that the patient receives the correct concentration of oxygen. Besides, in Iran, five rules of prescribing drugs, namely the right medicine, the right dose, the right client, the right method, and the right time must be observed for prescribing oxygen to patients (19). Therefore, this study examined the effect of two educational methods, mastery learning, and lectures, on oxygen therapy knowledge and practice of nurses in southern Iran.
Materials And Methods

This quasi-experimental study aimed to evaluate the effect of two educational methods, mastery learning, and lectures, on oxygen therapy knowledge and practice of 50 nurses of a teaching hospital in southern Iran. The participants were selected using convenience sampling. To do so, the Continuing Education Center informed the nurses of the training program. Afterward, the enrolled nurses were randomly placed in the two intervention (mastery learning) and control (lecturing) groups. The inclusion criteria were the willingness for voluntary participation in the study and having at least three years of service records as a nurse. The exclusion criterion was the failure to attend the training sessions regularly.

The data collection instruments were a demographic information questionnaire, the Nurses’ Oxygen Therapy Knowledge Inventory, and the Nurses’ Oxygen Therapy Practice Inventory. The demographic information questionnaire contained 7 items that assessed the participants’ age, gender, place of residence, marital status, education, clinical records, and the name of the clinic or ward. The Nurses’ Oxygen Therapy Knowledge Inventory was a research-made tool that was developed using books and articles in nursing principles and skills (1, 3) and contained 20 four-choice items (e.g., What is the right decision for a patient who needs oxygen at your diagnosis but the doctor has not prescribed oxygen for him/her?). The total score on the inventory ranges from 0 to 20. To calculate each respondent’s score, the sum of the scores of the 20 items was calculated and then divided by 20 (the total number of items). Accordingly, the respondent oxygen therapy knowledge was assessed using four quartiles (25, 50, and 75 percentiles). Accordingly, a score of less than 25 indicated poor oxygen therapy knowledge, a score of 25 to 50 indicated moderate oxygen therapy knowledge, a score of 50 to 75 indicated good oxygen therapy knowledge, and a score of higher than 75 indicated excellent oxygen therapy knowledge. Moreover, the Nurses’ Oxygen Therapy Practice Inventory was developed following a review of previous studies in the literature (2, 12, 13) and contained 20 items (e.g. I check the patient's breathing rate and depth before administering oxygen) that measured the nurses’ oxygen therapy practice on a five-point Likert scale (always, often, sometimes, rarely, and never). The total score on the inventory ranges from 0 to 80. To calculate each respondent’s score, the sum of the scores of the 20 items was calculated and then divided by 20 (the total number of items). Then, the respondent oxygen therapy practice was assessed using four quartiles (25, 50, and 75 percentiles). Hence, a score of less than 25 indicated poor oxygen therapy practice, a score of 25 to 50 indicated moderate oxygen therapy practice, a score of 50 to 75 showed good oxygen therapy practice, and a score of higher than 75 suggested excellent oxygen therapy practice.

The content validity of the nurses’ oxygen therapy knowledge and practice inventories was assessed and confirmed by 10 faculty members of the School of Nursing. It should be noted that the content validity of the instruments was assessed both qualitatively and quantitatively by 10 nursing faculty members who had a history of teaching oxygen therapy. Accordingly, the items in the inventories were revised based on the feedback received from the faculty members. The quantitative content validity was assessed using the content validity index (CVI) and content validity ratio (CVR). To calculate the CVR, the items in the inventories were assessed by 10 nursing faculty members and they checked the necessity of each item (1 = Necessary, 2 = Useful but not necessary, and 3 = Not necessary). Thus, the items with CVR less than 0.7 were removed. To measure the CVI, the experts were asked to determine how relevant each item was.
A score of 0.7 or higher was considered acceptable (20). Moreover, the face validity of the instruments was checked by 10 nurses. To measure the reliability of the instruments, Cronbach's alpha coefficient was calculated which was 0.87 in a pilot study on 20 nurses.

The content of the training program was prepared based on the books on nursing principles and skills. The instructional content covered topics on various issues including the use of oxygen therapy devices (nasogastric (NG) tubes, simple face masks, venturi masks, masks with inhaled oxygen exhalation, etc.), the adjustment of the amount of oxygen according to the doctor's instructions, checking the patient's airway, performing respiratory physiotherapy and incentive spirometry, checking hypoxia symptoms, oxygen therapy complications, determining the patient's oxygen saturation with a pulse oximeter, and oxygen poisoning symptoms.

Before starting the intervention, written consent was obtained from all participants and some information was given to them about the training program. The participants in both groups completed the questionnaires. A 30-minute briefing session was held for the participants in the intervention group to make them familiar with the training protocol. Afterward, the intended nursing skills were instructed to the participants in the intervention group using the mastery learning method through interactive lectures and questions and answers. The instructions were provided by an emergency medicine physician and a nursing professor. At the end of the training sessions (4 training sessions each lasting 1.5 hours), the post-test was administered to the group members. The individuals who scored less than 80% of the total score were trained individually. Individual training continued until all members obtained at least 80% of the total grade and gained complete mastery over the topics covered in the training sessions (13). Furthermore, the same educational content was instructed through lectures to the participants in the control group. One week and also three months after the training sessions, the participants in both groups completed the questionnaires and the results were compared.

In this study, continuous variables were described with the mean, standard deviation (SD), median, and interquartile range (IQR), and categorical variables were measured using frequency and percentage. The chi-square test was applied to test the possible associations between the categorical variables. The normality assumption of continuous variables was rejected by the Shapiro–Wilk test (P value < 0.05). Thus, the Mann-Whitney U test was applied to compare the means of the two groups. Moreover, the nonparametric covariance analysis (ANCOVA) (Quade Method) was used to test the effect of an independent variable (the variable with 2 intervention and control groups) on a continuous dependent variable (nurses’ practice or knowledge) by controlling the effect of the nurses’ practice or knowledge scores in the baseline time. In addition, the Friedman test and Dunn's pairwise post hoc tests were applied to detect significant differences between the means of the dependent variable at 3-time points. A P < 0.05 was considered statistically significant and the data were analyzed by SPSS software.

Results
In this study, the oxygen therapy knowledge and practice of 25 nurses in the intervention group (mastery learning) and 25 nurses in the control group (lecturing) were evaluated before, one week after, and three months after the intervention. There were no significant differences between the two groups in terms of the demographic variables (P-value > 0.05) as shown in Table 1:
| Variable          | Intervention Group | Control Group | P-value<sup>3</sup> |
|------------------|--------------------|---------------|------------------|
|                  | Mean (SD)<sup>1</sup> | Median (IQR)<sup>2</sup> | Mean (SD)<sup>1</sup> | Median (IQR)<sup>2</sup> |
| Age (year)       | 31.72(5.66) | 30.0(3.0) | 30.68(4.29) | 30.0(4.0) | 0.681 |
| Experience (year)| 7.20(5.28)  | 5.0(4.0)   | 5.84(2.77)   | 5.0(3.0)   | 0.371 |
|                  | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | P-value<sup>4</sup> |
| Gender           | Male    | 6.0     | 12.0  | 5.0      | 10.0     | 0.999 |
|                  | Female  | 19.0    | 38.0  | 20.0     | 40.0     |         |
| Marital Status   | Single  | 2.0     | 4.0   | 4.0      | 8.0      | 0667   |
|                  | Married | 23.0    | 46.0  | 21.0     | 42.0     |         |
| Residence        | Urban   | 25.0    | 50.0  | 25.0     | 50.0     | —       |
|                  | Rural   | 0.0     | 0.0   | 0.0      | 0.0      |         |
| Education        | Bachelor| 24.0    | 48.0  | 23.0     | 46.0     | 0.999   |
|                  | Master  | 1.0     | 2.0   | 2.0      | 4.0      |         |
| Ward             | Emergency| 3.0   | 6.0   | 2.0      | 4.0      | 0.991   |
|                  | Covid   | 3.0     | 6.0   | 3.0      | 6.0      |         |
|                  | Surgery | 2.0     | 4.0   | 1.0      | 2.0      |         |
|                  | Orthopedic| 4.0  | 8.0   | 6.0      | 12.0     |         |
|                  | ICU     | 6.0     | 12.0  | 6.0      | 12.0     |         |
|                  | Pediatrics | 2.0  | 4.0   | 1.0      | 2.0      |         |
|                  | Nerves  | 2.0     | 4.0   | 2.0      | 4.0      |         |
|                  | Infectious| 2.0 | 4.0   | 2.0      | 4.0      |         |
|                  | NICU    | 1.0     | 2.0   | 2.0      | 4.0      |         |

<sup>1</sup> Standard Deviation; <sup>2</sup> Interquartile Range; <sup>3</sup> The Mann-Whitney U test; <sup>4</sup> The chi-square test
| Variable                      | Intervention group | Control group | P value¹ |
|-------------------------------|--------------------|---------------|----------|
| Knowledge score (%)          |                    |               |          |
| Baseline                     |                    |               |          |
| Low                          | 4.0 (8.0%)         | 4.0 (8.0%)    | 0.877    |
| Medium                       | 5.0 (10.0%)        | 3.0 (6.0%)    |          |
| Good                         | 10.0 (20.0%)       | 12.0 (24.0%)  |          |
| Excellent                    | 6.0 (12.0%)        | 6.0 (12.0%)   |          |
| One week after the intervention |                    |               |          |
| Low                          | 0.0 (0.0%)         | 12.0 (24.0%)  | < 0.001  |
| Medium                       | 0.0 (0.0%)         | 13.0 (26.0%)  |          |
| Good                         | 11.0 (22.0%)       | 0.0 (0.0%)    |          |
| Excellent                    | 14.0 (28.0%)       | 0.0 (0.0%)    |          |
| Three months after the intervention |                |               |          |
| Low                          | 0.0 (0.0%)         | 9.0 (18.0%)   | < 0.001  |
| Medium                       | 0.0 (0.0%)         | 15.0 (30.0%)  |          |
| Good                         | 11.0 (22.0%)       | 1.0 (2.0%)    |          |
| Excellent                    | 14.0 (28.0%)       | 0.0 (0.0%)    |          |
| Practice score (%)           |                    |               |          |
| Baseline                     |                    |               |          |
| Weak                         | 5.0 (10.0%)        | 4.0 (8.0%)    | 0.925    |
| Medium                       | 7.0 (14.0%)        | 7.0 (14.0%)   |          |
| Good                         | 6.0 (12.0%)        | 8.0 (16.0%)   |          |
| Excellent                    | 7.0 (14.0%)        | 6.0 (12.0%)   |          |
| One week after the intervention |                    |               |          |
| Weak                         | 0.0 (0.0%)         | 11.0 (22.0%)  | < 0.001  |
| Medium                       | 0.0 (0.0%)         | 14.0 (28.0%)  |          |
| Good                         | 8.0 (16.0%)        | 0.0 (0.0%)    |          |
| Excellent                    | 17.0 (34.0%)       | 0.0 (0.0%)    |          |
| Three months after the intervention |                |               |          |
| Weak                         | 0.0 (0.0%)         | 10.0 (20.0%)  | < 0.001  |
| Medium                       | 0.0 (0.0%)         | 15.0 (30.0%)  |          |
| Good                         | 10.0 (20.0%)       | 0.0 (0.0%)    |          |

¹ The chi-square test
As shown in Table 2, there is no significant association between the learning styles and nurses’ oxygen therapy knowledge and practice in the baseline time (P-value = 0.877) and (P-value = 0.925), respectively. Unlike the control group, the nurses’ knowledge and practice of oxygen therapy in the intervention group improved significantly one week after the intervention and three months later (P-value < 0.001).

The results also revealed that the mean scores of nurses’ knowledge in the two groups increased significantly compared to the baseline time (P-value < 0.05). However, unlike the control group, the mean score of nurses’ practice in the intervention group increased compared to the baseline time (P-value < 0.001) as displayed in Table 3. Table 4 shows the post hoc analysis of Friedman’s Test results. Figure 1 shows error bars for the nurses’ practice and knowledge over time.

### Table 3
A comparison of the nurses’ knowledge and practice in three-time points

| Group                | Baseline  | One week after the intervention | 3 months after the intervention | P-value¹ |
|----------------------|-----------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|----------|
| **Nurses' Knowledge²; Mean (Standard Error)** |           |                                 |                                 |          |
| Intervention         | 0.18(0.02)| 0.92(0.01)                      | 0.83(0.02)                      | < 0.001⁸ |
| Control              | 0.18(0.02)| 0.21(0.02)                      | 0.26(0.02)                      | 0.042⁸   |
| **Nurses' Practice³; Mean (Standard Error)** |           |                                 |                                 |          |
| Intervention         | 1.92(0.04)| 3.93(0.01)                      | 3.76(0.02)                      | < 0.001⁸ |
| Control              | 1.94(0.04)| 2.07(0.03)                      | 1.99(0.05)                      | 0.075    |

¹ Friedman test.
### Table 4
Pairwise Comparisons

| Difference | Intervention Group | Control Group |
|------------|--------------------|---------------|
|            | Test statistic\(^1\) | P-value       | Test statistic\(^1\) | P-value |
| Nurses' Knowledge |                       |               |                       |         |
| baseline-one week after learning | -1.80 | < 0.001\(^a\) | -0.28 | 0.322 |
| baseline-3 months after learning | -1.20 | < 0.001\(^a\) | -0.68 | 0.016\(^a\) |
| 3 months after learning- one week after learning | 0.60 | 0.034\(^a\) | -0.40 | 0.157 |
| Nurses' Practice |                       |               |                       |         |
| baseline-one week after the intervention | -1.86 | < 0.001\(^a\) | - | - |
| baseline-3 months after the intervention | -1.14 | < 0.001\(^a\) | - | - |
| 3 months after the intervention- one week after the intervention | 0.72 | 0.011\(^a\) | - | - |

Dunn’s pairwise post hoc tests. \(^a\) significant at the 0.05 level

### Table 5
The nonparametric ANCOVA to test the effect of learning styles on the nurses’ knowledge and practice by controlling the effect of nurses’ knowledge or practice in the baseline time

| Variable | Test statistic\(^1\) | P-value |
|----------|-----------------------|---------|
| The knowledge one week after the intervention | 150.58 | < 0.001 |
| Knowledge 3 months after the intervention | 148.27 | < 0.001 |
| Practice one week after the intervention | 152.51 | < 0.001 |
| Practice 3 months after the intervention | 144.42 | < 0.001 |

\(^1\)Quade Method
The findings displayed in Table 5 showed that by controlling the practice score of the nurses at the baseline time, the mean practice score of the nurses in the intervention group and the control group was different one week and 3 months after the intervention (P-value < 0.001). Moreover, by controlling the knowledge score of nurses at the baseline time, the mean knowledge score of nurses in the intervention group and the control group was different both one week and 3 months after the intervention (P-value < 0.001).

Discussion

The present study evaluated the effect of two educational methods, mastery learning, and lectures, on the oxygen therapy knowledge and practice of nurses in a teaching hospital in southern Iran. The findings suggested that the nurses’ oxygen therapy knowledge and practice were poor in both groups before the intervention. Similarly, Hamdy Mostafa et al. (2019) and Aloushan et al. (2019) showed that nurses’ oxygen therapy knowledge and skills were not satisfactory (21, 22) perhaps due to their inefficiency in updating their knowledge and skills after a long stay in clinical settings and their high workload (23).

The present study also showed that mastery learning was more effective than the lecture method on nurses’ oxygen therapy knowledge and practice. In a similar vein, Lengetti et al. (2018) (8) also showed the effectiveness of mastery learning in comparison with the traditional method on retaining nurses’ urinary catheter placement skills one month after the training program. Dorri et al. (2018) (13) found that using the mastery learning model can lead to the improvement of practical nursing skills and satisfactory practice of nursing procedures. Furthermore, Barsuk et al. (2009) (24) conducted a descriptive-observational prospective study to increase the skills of 18 nephrology residents in temporary hemodialysis catheter placement and found that the mastery learning model was effective in increasing their clinical skills. Butter et al. (2010) (25) also conducted a study with a pretest-posttest design and a control group to investigate the effect of mastery learning using a simulator in improving cardiac auscultation skills and confirmed the effectiveness of mastery learning in improving medical students’ auscultation skills. Additionally, Benbassat et al. (2007) (26) showed that the mastery learning program is a strategy that can improve nursing students’ skills and knowledge in the physical examination course. Tang et al. (2013) (27) implemented mastery learning in a clinical skills training program for primary care nurses and showed that the clinical competence of nurses including knowledge, skills, cooperation, and professional development increased significantly after the application of the mastery learning program, as was confirmed by the data in the present study.

Limitations:

One of the limitations of this study was related to the individual differences in the participants. Since learning styles and the learning speed differ in individual nurses, it was tried to take into account these differences in this study when implementing the training program. Moreover, the education received by
nurses from other sources (books, television, etc.) during the training course was out of the control of the researcher. Thus, future studies can explore the effect of the mastery learning model on nurses’ practice in other clinical procedures.
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