ESR spectrometer with a loop-gap resonator for cw and time resolved studies in a superconducting magnet
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The design and performance of an electron spin resonance spectrometer operating at 3 and 9 GHz microwave frequencies combined with a 9 T superconducting magnet is described. The probehead contains a compact two-loop, one gap resonator and is embedded in the variable temperature insert of the magnet enabling measurements in the 0-9 T magnetic field and 1.5-400 K temperature range. The spectrometer allows studies on systems where resonance occurs at fields far above the g ≈ 2 paramagnetic condition such as in strongly interacting spin systems. The low quality factor of the resonator allows time resolved experiments such as e.g. longitudinally detected ESR. We demonstrate the performance of the spectrometer on the MgB$_2$ superconductor and the RbC$_{60}$ conducting alkaline fulleride polymer.

INTRODUCTION

Recent developments in electron spin resonance instrumentation aims at the use of high microwave frequencies and magnetic fields. This allows to obtain higher resolution and the study of magnetic field and microwave frequency dependent phenomena [1-3]. Most spectrometers utilize a superconducting magnet with a resonant structure built in the bore providing high sensitivity, such as Fabry-Pérot [4-6] or cylindrical cavity resonators [7, 8]. An alternative is to use the superconducting magnet in combination with a simple pass configuration that enables temperature variability and multi-frequency operation at the cost of sensitivity [4, 11]. Although, HF-ESR is seen to gain growing importance, the conventional low-field ESR experiments, such as X-band, are usually required to complement the spectroscopic information. It is often desired to perform the low frequency experiments under similar experimental conditions than those at the high frequencies e.g. at low temperatures (down to 1.5 K) that is usually not available in commercial X-band spectrometers. A yet unexplored domain of the frequency-field diagram is the use of low microwave frequencies (f < 10 GHz) combined with high magnetic fields (6 T or higher). This would enable to obtain extra information on strongly correlated spin systems e.g. antiferromagnets. The primary obstacle for the development of such spectrometers is the small usable size for a resonant microwave structure required for measurements in superconducting magnet bores. The recent developments of the NMR instrumentation beyond 1 GHz enters the same domain with the same difficulties. A solution which satisfies all these requirements would be an X-band spectrometer combined with a superconducting magnet.

Here, we describe the development and performance of an ESR spectrometer that allows the use of low frequencies in the S (2-4 GHz) and X (8-12 GHz) bands and high magnetic fields (up to 9 T). A loop-gap resonator (LGR) with coaxial leads is embedded in the variable temperature insert of a superconducting magnet that is also used for high field/frequency ESR measurements with a simple pass probehead. The LGR design is advantageous when lower cavity quality and higher sample filling factors are required such as for time resolved experiments for these microwave bands [11, 12, 13, 14, 15]. The instrument has two orders of magnitude lower sensitivity than a commercial ESP300 Bruker spectrometer that is compensated by the ∼20 times larger usable samples providing comparable signal when sufficient sample amounts are available. The apparatus has comparable sensitivity as the simple pass HF-ESR spectrometer and allows studies on the same samples. The special design of the LGR provides transparency for rf radiation (f < 10 MHz) and allows longitudinally detected ESR (LOD-ESR) measurements at S and X bands. LOD-ESR complements continuous wave (cw) ESR and spin-echo methods as it allows the measurement of short longitudinal relaxation times [16, 17, 18, 19] and to separate overlapping ESR signals of spin species [20, 21, 22, 23]. The instrument detects the modulation of the longitudinal magnetization, M$_z$, induced by a chopped microwave field, using a pick-up coil parallel to the external magnetic field, H$_0$. LOD-ESR spectrometers were previously built at X-band and we recently reported the development of the high field version [19]. The performance of the apparatus in the cw-ESR mode is demonstrated on the MgB$_2$ superconductor below $T_c$ and in the LOD-ESR mode on the RbC$_{60}$ conducting alkaline fulleride polymer.

THE SPECTROMETER

The block-diagram of the spectrometer operating in the cw-ESR mode including the optional LOD-ESR elements (dashed) is shown in Figure 1. The microwave bridge is made of commercially available coaxial elements. The coaxial microwave circuit is connected to the probehead with a flexible coaxial cable (Pasternack Enterprises, PE3481) providing sufficient mechanical stability. The source is an Agilent (Agilent 83751B) synthesized frequency sweeper (2-20 GHz, maximal output...
power 20 dBm) that is locked to the microwave resonator (see below). The microwaves are directed toward the sample with a 4 port circulator (DITOM D4C2040 for 2-4 GHz and D4C8012 for 8-12 GHz) whose fourth port is terminated with a precision 50 Ohm (Pasternack, PE6071). The microwave loss is 1 dB for the 2-4 GHz band and 3 dB at 8-12 GHz for the exciting and the reflected signals. The broad-band microwave detector (Agilent 8474C, 0.01-33 GHz, sensitivity 500 mV/mW) does not require microwave bias thus we do not employ a reference microwave arm. Magnetic field modulation is driven by a lock-in (Stanford Research Systems SRS830, 1 mHz-102 kHz) through a home-built U/I converter.

The instrumentation of the LOD-ESR experiments closely follows the previous design of the HF-LOD-ESR apparatus [19] and here is only outlined. A coaxial PIN diode (Advanced Technical Materials, S1517D, 0.5-18 GHz bandwidth, switching speed: 10-90 %, 90-10 %: 10 ns, insertion loss: 3 dB at 3 GHz and 5 dB at 9 GHz) provides the rapid microwave amplitude modulation and at X-band a TWT microwave amplifier (Varian, TWTA VZX-6980GZ, 8-12.4 GHz, maximum output 40 dBm, amplification 40 dB) is also built in. The PIN diode is driven by a high frequency lock-in (Stanford Research Systems, SRS844, 0.025-200 MHz). An rf parasite component with the modulation frequency appearing on the output of the PIN diode is suppressed out with a band-pass microwave filter consisting of two facing waveguide to coaxial adapters (Agilent X281A). The LOD-ESR signal is detected with a longitudinal coil (see also below) that is part of an rf LC circuit. The capacitors are placed outside the magnet to prevent temperature drift of the rf resonance frequency. The LOD signal is amplified through a low noise rf preamplifier (Analog Modules 322-6-50, 200 Hz-100 MHz, gain 40 dB, input noise 380 pV/√Hz) and is phase sensitively detected by the SRS844 lock-in.

The spectrometer is based on a high homogeneity (10 ppm/cm) superconducting magnet (Oxford Instruments, 0-9 T) that is equipped with a variable temperature insert (VTI) for the 1.5-400 K temperature range and is regularly used for cw-HF-ESR measurements. Exchange gas in the sample compartment (40 mm internal diameter) thermally connects it to the VTI. Separation of the He gas in the sample compartment and the VTI avoids rapid He pressure changes inside the resonator when the cooling rate is modified. The outline of the probehead is shown in Figure 2. Its outer diameter is 38 mm. Except the LGR itself, all elements are made of brass (CuZn39Pb3). The LGR (A) is placed between a lower (B1) and an upper (B2) microwave shield which are surrounded by an on-screwable shielding cap (C). These are fixed to the upper support (D) that is connected to the top of the magnet with two stainless steel tubes (not shown). Heating resistance is also attached to the upper support, the thermometer (Lakeshore, Cernox CX1050-SD) fixed to the LGR provides precise temperature monitoring of the sample. In cw-ESR mode, two coils (E1, E2) in an almost optimal Helmholtz configuration provide modulation with 0.6 mT/A. The coils are connected with a cable that is embedded in a brass tube (F) to prevent microphonics. The upper coil is connected to a semi-rigid coaxial cable above the D support with a short section of shielded flexible coaxial cable (not shown). We also use a pair of coils to detect the LOD rf signal in a quasi-Helmholtz configuration (see below). The shielded cabling guarantees shielding from the noisy environment, which is crucial for the LOD-ESR studies [10].
parameter notations are taken from Ref. [12] and are quality factors are summarized in Table I. The LGR with the calculated and measured resonance frequencies ESR (not shown). The dimensions of the LGRs together with the calculated and measured resonance frequencies are shown in Fig. 3a. and b. show the resonators used for cw-ESR measurements, b) the LGR working at X-band, optimized for LOD-ESR measurements.

rigid coaxial cable (Pasternack, PE3931) that is connected to a curved coaxial cable section (G) and ends in a capacitive antenna (H). Coupling is varied by a moveable grounded screw (I) that is uniaxial with the antenna following Ref. [13]. The capacitive coupling is of smaller size and provides enhanced mechanical stability as compared to the inductive one [15]. The fine adjustment of the coupling is performed by moving the coupling screw towards the antenna. On approaching the antenna, the coupling increases. However, the coupling screw is only 3.8 mm apart from the LGR and its movement affects the resonance frequency. To minimize the required movement of the screw, the cavity is slightly undercoupled by adjusting the effective length of the antenna before inserting the probehead into the magnet.

The synthesized sweeper enables a simple design of the automatic frequency control (AFC) as it allows the frequency control through a DC feed-back signal and also AC modulation. Thus the AFC involves an analogue lock-in (Stanford Research Systems SRS510) together with a simple circuit with passive elements only.

The LGRs for the different experiments are shown in Fig. 3. Fig. 3a. and Fig. 3b. show the resonators used for cw-ESR measurements at 9 GHz, respectively. Similar LGRs were constructed for the 3 GHz LOD-ESR and 9 GHz cw-ESR measurements. The 4 port circulator limits the maximum power to 36 dBm peak or 33 dBm cw power. At 9 GHz, we can use a TWT amplifier (see Fig. 1) for the LOD-ESR measurements. At low temperatures the power is limited to lower values.

PERFORMANCE OF THE SPECTROMETER

The cw-ESR spectrometer

In Fig. 4 we show typical cw-ESR spectra of MgB₂ in the superconducting state of this material. MgB₂ is a highly conducting material in its normal state and becomes a superconductor below Tc = 39 K [25]. The spectra were measured by the currently described spectrometer (a and b) at S and X-band, respectively, as well as on a Bruker ESP300 commercial spectrometer at X-band (c). In the fine powder of the MgB₂ superconductor the conducting particles were separated by a powder of the non-magnetic SnO₂ insulator [10] [28]. Measurement in the superconducting magnet requires a magnetic field calibrating g-factor standard. We used KC₆₀ that has a narrow line with a temperature independent intensity and

| LGR | r₀ | r₁ | W | t | h | ν₁ | ν₂ | νEXP | Q(copper) | Q(brass) |
|-----|----|----|---|---|---|----|----|------|----------|----------|
| 3 GHz | 4.5 | 4.5 | 2 | 0.3 | 8.32 | 3.26 | 3.25 | 3.55 | 500 | - |
| 9 GHz | 4.5 | 1.5 | 1 | 0.3 | 8.41 | 8.33 | 8.99 | 350 | 250 |

TABLE I: Dimensions, resonant frequencies and quality factors of the LGRs in this work. r₀ and r₁ are the radii of the sample and flux return loops, respectively, W is the width, t is the separation of the slit, h is the height of the LGR (all length parameters are in mm, frequencies in GHz units). Q is the quality factor of the critically coupled unloaded cavities.

The small space available for closing the flux inside the brass cap gives rise to a further ~10 % frequency up-shift. The design allows the use of cylindrically shaped samples with diameters up to 8 mm and a height of 5 to 8 mm that are used for the simple pass HF-ESR apparatus with 8 mm stainless steel tubing, such as a loose powder contained in a Teflon sample holder of a metallic sample as RbC₆₀ [24] or fine grains of MgB₂ cast in epoxy [10].

The thin upper and lower walls of the LGR and the cuts perpendicular to the axis of the loops minimize eddy currents in the field modulation detected cw-ESR experiments. For LOD-ESR measurements, we use cavities made of the lower conducting brass to obtain better transparency for the detected rf signal. The pick-up coil is wound through the holes indicated in Fig. 3b. in a quasi-Helmholtz configuration providing good transparency for the rf signal. The interaction between microwaves and the pick-up coil does not affect the pick-up signal. In the LOD-ESR mode, we have 12 dBm at 9 GHz and 16 dBm at 3 GHz at the sample. At 9 GHz, we can use a TWT amplifier (see Fig. 1) for the LOD-ESR measurements. The 4 port circulator limits the maximum power to 36 dBm peak or 33 dBm cw power. At low temperatures the power is limited to lower values.
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FIG. 4: $\text{MgB}_2$ spectra measured with the different spectrometers at 30 K. a) Measured in the S-band LGR at 3.71 GHz, b) measured in the X-band LGR at 9.14 GHz, c) measured in a Bruker ESP300 commercial spectrometer at 9.38 GHz. The narrow line at a) and b) is a KC$_{60}$ for the field calibration, arrows indicate the field sweep direction. Note the significant hysteresis loop and the non-linear background in the S-band measurement due to the superconductivity in the sample.

$g$-factor. Spectra of comparable quality can be obtained with the current LGR and the commercial spectrometers. The calculated sensitivity of the current spectrometer is $S = 2 \cdot 10^{13}$ spin/G and $S = 5 \cdot 10^{12}$ spin/G at for the S and X band LGRs, respectively. These values have to be compared to the $S = 5 \cdot 10^{10}$ spin/G of the ESP300 spectrometer determined on the same samples. The lower sensitivity can be partially compensated by the possibility of using up to 20 times larger amounts of the same sample without affecting the resonance mode of the cavity. As a result, we found that the current spectrometer provides only a factor 3-4 times smaller signal to noise ratios than the commercial apparatus when there is no limit on the sample availability. The sensitivity of the LGRs is comparable to that of the simple pass HF-ESR apparatus $S = 2 \cdot 10^{13}$ spin/G at 75 GHz [28].

An advantage of the lower Q of the LGR is that it allows the detection of ESR in superconductors in magnetic fields as low as ~ 0.1 T as Fig. 4 demonstrates. The so-called vortex noise has been a limiting factor of field modulated ESR studies in superconductors using high Q commercial cavities that could be overcome only by avoiding magnetic field modulation [27]. Detailed temperature dependent study of $\text{MgB}_2$ have been published separately [26].

The LOD-ESR spectrometer

In Fig. 5, we compare the performance of our spectrometer operating in the LOD mode with the previously developed 35 GHz simple pass LOD-ESR apparatus [19]. We used RbC$_{60}$ to calibrate and test the performance of our system. The LOD-ESR signal is proportional to the ESR saturation factor, $\gamma_e^2 B_1^2 T_1 T_2$, where $\gamma_e$, $B_1$, $T_1$ and $T_2$ are the electron gyromagnetic factor, exciting microwave field, longitudinal and transversal relaxation times, respectively. Compared to the simple pass ($Q = 1$) 35 and 75 GHz LOD-ESR spectrometers, a $Q$-fold increase in the signal is expected in the current spectrometer. The use of smaller magnetic fields reduces the longitudinal magnetization and the corresponding LOD signal. Altogether, one order of magnitude better per-
formance is expected at the same power than with the 35 GHz spectrometer. However, we observed experimentally that the signal amplitudes are similar. The probable origin of the 10 times smaller sensitivity than expected is that the coil and microwave filling factors are smaller than for the 35 GHz LOD-ESR spectrometer. The quasi-Helmholtz configuration for the pick-up coils is known to have a smaller filling factor than the solenoid used at 35 GHz. The magnetic component of the microwave field inside the cavity is highly inhomogeneous and a substantial part of the sample is not in the maximum field position. This yields an experimentally determined $S = 7 \cdot 10^{16}$ spin/$G^{3/2}$ at 3.5 GHz (16 dBm) and $S = 1.5 \cdot 10^{16}$ spin/$G^{3/2}$ at 9 GHz (12 dBm), this has to be compared to the 35 GHz simple pass LOD ESR that had $S = 3.3 \cdot 10^{16}$ spin/$G^{3/2}$ at 12 dBm. At 9 GHz the TWT power amplifier at 33 dBm output power allows to achieve a maximum sensitivity of $S = 1.5 \cdot 10^{14}$ spin/$G^{3/2}$.

RbC$_{60}$ has relaxation times in the 13–46 ns range that cannot be measured with usual spin-echo methods. On the other hand, LOD-ESR has been successful in determining $T_1$ in this compound. The full method of obtaining $T_1$ data from the raw LOD-ESR data was reported previously and is only outlined here. In the simplest case when $\Omega \cdot T_1 < 1$, the ratio of the in $(u)$ and out-of-phase $(v)$ components of the oscillating longitudinal magnetization gives: $T_1 = \frac{v}{u}$. The accuracy of measuring $T_1$ relies not only on the magnitude of the detected LOD-ESR signal but also on the microwave amplitude modulation frequency, this latter being optimal when $\Omega \approx 1/T_1$. The maximum modulation frequency of our apparatus is limited to 10 MHz by the bandwidth of the LGRs used and the switching speed of the PIN diode. The best accuracy is for $T_1$ of 16 ns. However, $T_1$’s down to 5 ns can still be measured with reduced accuracy.

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, we presented the construction and the performance of a spectrometer that utilizes low microwave frequencies and is embedded in the VTI of a superconducting magnet. The spectrometer is made of commercially available elements and its performance is comparable to the commercially available resistive magnet based S and X-band spectrometers. Thus, our system is an affordable alternative to the commercial low-frequency spectrometers and it can be readily installed at an HF-ESR laboratory. In addition, the system allows time resolved ESR experiments such as the detection of longitudinally detected ESR thus allowing the measurement of very short $T_1$’s in metallic samples. The current spectrometer completes the previously developed 35 GHz version of LOD-ESR enabling magnetic field dependent relaxation studies. We plan to perform experiments on systems where combination of low frequencies and high magnetic fields is required such as in antiferromagnets. The approach reported here may also find applications in the emerging ultra-high field NMR applications as customary NMR frequencies approach the 1 GHz value where our resonator design, completed with frequency tunability appears to be a feasible design.
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