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Introduction: Lymph node (LN) metastasis is the first site of metastasis of papillary thyroid cancer (PTC). LN status influences clinical management and the prognosis of patients. We explored the relationship between patient obesity and regional patterns of LN involvement in PTC.

Materials and Methods: This study retrospectively analyzed the data from 12,772 PTC patients. The rate of LN metastasis, number of LN metastasis, maximum diameter of positive LN, number of dissected LN, and LN ratios (LNR) were compared between normal-weight and obese patients. Statistical methods have been adjusted for the confounders in hypothesis testing.

Results: Overweight and obesity were independent risk factor for metastatic LNs (OR1 = 1.125, 95% CI 1.042-1.214, P1 = 0.003; OR2 = 1.554, 95% CI 1.339-1.802, P2 < 0.001). Obesity was an independent risk factor for the number of metastatic CLNs (OR=1.159, 95% CI 0.975-1.377, P = 0.045), however not for number of metastatic lateral LNs (P = 0.907). Furthermore, obesity was not an independent risk factor for number of CLNs when dissected more than five (P = 0.653), still an independent risk factors for number of metastatic lateral LNs when more than six (OR=1.185, 95% CI 1.010-1.391, P = 0.037). As for LNR, obesity was an independent risk factor for the central LNR when more than 0.12 (ORadjusted = 1.099, 95% CI 1.011-1.194, P = 0.027; ORadjusted = 1.177, 95% CI 1.003-1.381, P = 0.045), for the lateral LNR more than 0.05 (P = 0.283).

Conclusions: Obesity was associated with poor prognoses with PTC respecting LNs. Surgeons should be extreme caution when performing central neck dissection in obese patients.
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INTRODUCTION

The prevalence of obesity is approximately 40% worldwide, affecting more than 2 billion adults (1). Obesity has been identified as an independent risk factor for many cancers. Some studies have reported that nearly 40% of cancers may be attributed to obesity (2), and there is strong evidence to suggest that obesity is related to cancers of the esophagus, liver, pancreas, gallbladder, ovary, thyroid, kidneys, and plasma cells (3). Although obesity has been linked to an increased risk of diabetes and coronary artery disease, the impact of obesity on the incidence rates, risk factors, morbidity, and mortality of thyroid cancer requires further exploration (4). As thyroid cancer is the most common type of endocrine tumor, understanding how BMI impacts this disease has vital public health implications (5). Obesity also affects the diagnostic assessment of patients negatively. Deglise et al. found that obese women were less likely to have undergone ultrasound (OR=0.5) or MRI (OR=0.3) and were at an increased risk of prolonged hospital stays (OR=4.7) in the clinic (6).

A significant association has been established between elevated BMIs and increased papillary thyroid carcinoma (PTC) incidence rates (7). In a previous study, obesity was identified as a risk factor for thyroid cancer, specifically when tumor sizes were larger than 1 cm with multifocality and extrathyroidal extensions (8–10). However, the connection between obesity and lymph node (LN) metastasis of thyroid cancer has not been thoroughly investigated. One of the most important prognostic factors in PTC is LN status (11). LN metastasis is the first station of extra-gland metastasis of thyroid cancer (11). Hence, LN metastasis is an excellent predictor of the prognosis of patients with thyroid cancer. LN status can influence important clinical decisions, such as therapeutic options (11). The American Thyroid Association (ATA) guidelines use the number of metastatic LNs and the maximum diameter of positive LNs as important indicators for predicting the risk of recurrence (11). Compared to patients with less than five metastatic LNs, the recurrence rates are much higher for patients with more than five metastatic LNs (19% vs. 8%) (12, 13). In terms of maximum positive LN diameter, recurrence rates are significantly higher in patients with the diameter of LNs higher than 3 cm (27% vs. 5%) (13).

In this study, we focused on the relationship between obesity and the patterns of LN involvement in PTC, with an emphasis on the number of metastatic LNs, the maximum diameter of positive LNs, the lymph node ratio (LNR), the number of dissected LNs, and the LN skip metastasis.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study Design

This study was a retrospective descriptive analysis of patients with operable PTC. The patients in this study were diagnosed with PTC at our Institute between June 2008 and December 2017. Patient data was gathered and stored in the Institutional database of the Division of Thyroid Surgery, China-Japan Union Hospital of Jilin University. The Institutional data collected have important impact locally on the epidemiological surveillance, evaluation of thyroidal disease, impact of the treatment on diseases progression and improvement on research quality.

All the patients eligible for this analysis received central neck node dissection. Patients were aged ≥18 years, pathologically-confirmed to have PTC, and PTC patients routinely underwent prophylactic central neck dissection (CND). The exclusion criteria was: non-PTC patients, other thyroid cancer subtypes, different types of cancer, family history of thyroid cancer; history of cervical radiation exposure in adolescence or childhood, incomplete data, no lymph node dissection, and patients requiring reoperations (Figure 1). Patients who do not fall into the criteria of this study will be excluded from the effectiveness data set, but all safety observation records will be kept in a safe center.

Treatments

Oncological treatment, which ranged from surgery to radioactive iodine (RAI), was standardized for all patients and in accordance with the multidisciplinary tumor board consensus. The histological subtype was assessed according to the WHO classification. According to the Chinese guidelines for diagnosis and treatment of differentiated thyroid, all patients with thyroid cancer routinely underwent prophylactic CND (14). For those LNs suggested to be malignant by ultrasonography, fine needle aspiration cytology (FNAC) was used to confirm the diagnosis. Patients with cervical lymph node metastasis were
confirmed by preoperative FNAC or intraoperative frozen pathological examinations. These patients underwent therapeutic cervical lymph node dissection. Prophylactic cervical lymph node dissection was not recommended. The upper bound of the range of CND is the lower hyoid bone, the lower bound is the superior sternum fossa, the outside is the common carotid artery, and the inside is the inside of the trachea. The lateral LN dissection minimum ranged from the IIa, III, IV, and Vb area, while other areas were treated according to the results of the FNAC.

Definitions
Body-mass index. According to the WHO-BMI standard, underweight is <18.5 kg/m², normal weight is 18.5-24.9 kg/m², overweight is 25-29.9 kg/m², and obese is ≥30 kg/m² (15). Height and weight measurements used to calculate the BMI were retrieved from electronic registration databases. BMI was calculated as weight divided by height squared. Upon the first admission, the demographics and clinical data, including height and weight, were recorded.

Pattern of metastatic LNs. The pattern of metastatic LNs included the rate of metastatic LNs, the number of metastatic LNs, the number of dissected LNs, the lymph node ratio (LNR), the maximum diameter of positive LNs, and the rate of LN skip metastasis.

Maximum diameter of positive LNs. The maximal tumor diameter of the largest metastatic LN using the concept of micro-metastases in breast cancer (16).

Lymph node ratio (LNR). LNR was defined as the number of nodes involved by the tumor divided by the total number of resected lymph nodes during the surgical treatment.

LN skip metastasis. Defined as a lateral lymph node metastasis without central lymph node involvement.

Pathological Examination
Specimens removed during the operation were submitted for histological examination to determine the presence and size of metastatic LNs. For this study, an experienced pathologist reviewed the pathological slides and measured the properties of each LN.

Outcomes and Covariates
We used BMI as an index and further correlated it with the presence and pattern of LN metastases. Patients were identified as LN positive if they had a pathologic LN status of pN1a or pN1b. Based on prior studies, we included important predictors of LN status in multivariable models (17). For example, information regarding the following thyroid tumor characteristics was obtained from medical records: age, weight, height, tumor size (mm), multifocality, extrathyroidal extension, rate of metastatic LNs (%), number of metastatic LNs, number of dissected LN, LNR (%), maximum diameter of positive LNs (mm), and LN skip metastasis (%).

Sample Size Collection
Retrospective analysis of sample size (i.e., margin of error and level of confidence calculation) was carried out through “Raoosoft® sample size calculator” (http://www.raosoft.com/samplesize.html), on the basis of the Chinese epidemiologic data recently published (3). This reporting an overall number of 90,000 new cases of thyroid cancer for the year 2015, assuming that 90% of these tumors are represented by DTC with a 20% rate of relapse after thyroideectomy and iodine therapy.

Statistical Analysis
All data were collected using Microsoft Excel (Microsoft Corporation, Redmond, WA, USA). Continuous variables were expressed as mean (standard deviation), and categorical variables were expressed as a percentage (frequency). Continuous variables were analyzed by the t-test or one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA). Categorical variables were examined using the χ²-test or Fischer’s exact test. Binary logistic regression calculates the dependent variable OR and 95% confidence interval (CI). The characteristics of pathological invasion were regarded as dependent variables, WHO-BMI group was used as a covariate, and age, sex, FT3, TPO-Ab, tumor diameter >1 cm, multifocality, High T stage and extrathyroidal extension were used as adjustment variables. TSH, FT3, FT4, TPO-Ab and Tg-Ab do not conform to the normal distribution, so logarithmic transformation is performed. P < 0.05 was considered statistically significant. Statistical analysis was performed using SPSS 22.0 software (IBM, Chicago, IL, USA).

RESULTS
Baseline Characteristics of Patients
Our database included 14,877 patients with PTC, of which 12,772 patients were included in the final analysis (Figure 1). The male-to-female ratio is 1:3.7. The average age is 42.73 ± 9.41 years. The average BMI is 24.27 ± 3.49 kg/m². Obesity accounted for 6.1% of patients. In total, 166,802 LNs were dissected, of which 24,670 LNs were metastases (14.8%). The rate of metastatic LNs was 44.9% (5437/12,772). The mean number of LN metastases was 1.93 ± 3.81. The average number of LN dissected was 13.06 ± 12.31, and the LNR was 0.14 ± 0.23% as shown in Table 1.

Influencing Factors of Metastatic LNs
Single factor logistic regression was used to analyze the factors influencing metastatic LNs. We identified other confounding factors related to the presence of metastatic LNs in addition to overweight and obesity. As shown in Table 2, age, FT3, TPO-Ab, tumor diameter more than 1 cm, multifocality, High T stage and extrathyroidal extension are confounding factors for metastatic LNs.

Impact of BMI on the Rate of Metastatic LNs
As shown in Table 3, the rate of metastatic LNs in overweight and obesity patients with PTCs was significantly higher than that of normal-weight patients (45.9 vs. 52.6 vs. 42.0%, P < 0.001). The rates of central metastatic LNs and lateral neck metastatic LNs were significantly higher in overweight and obesity patients than...
normal-weight patients (41.5% vs. 47.6% vs. 38.0%, \(P < 0.001\); 18.8% vs. 22.2% vs. 16.9% vs. 22.2%, \(P < 0.001\)). The rates of lateral neck metastatic LNs on both the left and right sides were higher than normal-weight patients (10.2% vs. 11.1% vs. 8.6%, \(P = 0.008\); 10.5% vs. 13.7% vs. 9.8%, \(P = 0.001\)).

Binary logistic regression analyzed the relationship between BMI and the risk of metastatic LNs. It was found that overweight and obesity were independent risk factor for metastatic LNs (OR1 = 1.125, 95% CI 1.042-1.214, \(P = 0.003**\); OR2 = 1.554, 95% CI 1.339-1.802, \(P < 0.001**\) (Table 4). According to the central and lateral neck lymph nodes, the adjusted OR value of metastatic LNs was calculated respectively. It was found that overweight and obesity were independent risk factor for metastatic CLNs (OR adjusted 1 = 1.129, 95% CI 1.038-1.227, \(P_1 = 0.005**\); OR adjusted 2 = 1.174, 95% CI 1.001-1.378, \(P_2 = 0.049**\). After adjusting for confounding factors, overweight and obesity were not independent risk factors for metastatic lateral LNs (\(P_1 = 0.621\), \(P_2 = 0.657\)) (Table 4).

Impact of BMI on the Number of Metastatic LNs
As shown in Table 3, the total number of LN metastases was significantly higher in obese patients than normal-weight patients (2.41 vs. 1.79, \(P < 0.001\)). Among the obese patients, the number of LN metastases in the central and lateral neck regions were higher than the normal-weight patients (1.59 vs. 1.17, \(P < 0.001\); 0.83 vs. 0.62, \(P = 0.006\)). In addition, the number of lateral neck LN metastases was higher than that of the normal-weight patients on the left side (0.40 vs. 0.27, \(P = 0.018\)).

It was found that overweight and obesity were independent risk factor for metastatic LNs more than three (ORadjusted 1 = 1.108, 95% CI 1.011-1.214, \(P_1 = 0.029**\); ORadjusted 2 = 1.622, 95% CI 1.377-1.910, \(P_2 < 0.001**\) (Table 5). It was found that overweight and obesity were independent risk factor for number of metastatic CLNs more than two (OR1 = 1.106, 95% CI 1.006-1.215, \(P_1 = 0.038**\); OR2 = 1.159, 95% CI 0.975-1.377, \(P_2 = 0.045**\). After adjusting for confounding factors, overweight and obesity were not independent risk factors for number of metastatic lateral LNs more than two (\(P_1 = 0.866\), \(P_2 = 0.907\)) (Table 5).

Impact of BMI on the Number of Dissected LNs
The total number of dissected LN in the obese patients with PTC was significantly higher than the normal-weight patients (14.37 vs. 12.10, \(P < 0.001\)). The obese patients have a higher total

**TABLE 2 |** Basic demographics and clinical data of patients included in this study.

| Characteristic       | Total (N=12,772) Mean (SD) or % (n) |
|----------------------|--------------------------------------|
| Sex                  |                                      |
| Female (%)           | 78.9% (10,073)                       |
| Male (%)             | 21.1% (2,699)                        |
| Mean age (years)     | 42.73 (8.41)                         |
| Mean BMI (kg/m²)     | 24.27 (4.49)                         |
| BMI group            |                                      |
| Underweight (%)      | 3.2% (409)                           |
| Normal-weight (%)    | 58.4% (7,460)                        |
| Overweight (%)       | 32.3% (4,128)                        |
| Obesity (%)          | 6.1% (775)                           |
| Thyroid Function     |                                      |
| TSH (mIU/L)          | 3.20 (3.43)                         |
| FT3 (pmol/L)         | 4.83 (1.06)                         |
| FT4 (pmol/L)         | 15.80 (5.79)                        |
| Tg-Ab (IU/mL)        |                                      |
| TPO-Ab (IU/mL)       |                                      |
| Mean tumor size (mm) | 0.83 (0.62)                          |
| Multifocality        | 40.9% (5,230)                        |
| Extrathyroidal       | 26.9% (3,441)                        |
| Rate of total        | 44.9% (5,737)                        |
| Number of total      | 1.93 (3.81)                          |
| Number of total      | 13.06 (12.31)                        |
| Total disected LNs   | 0.14 (0.23)                          |

BMI, body mass index; TSH, thyroid stimulating hormone; FT3, free triiodothyronine; FT4, free thyroxine; LN, lymph node; LNR, lymph node ratio.

**TABLE 2 |** Regression analysis of influencing factors of metastatic LNs.

|                      | Crude OR         | \(P\) value |
|----------------------|------------------|------------|
| Age                  | 0.949 (0.945-0.953) | <0.001**   |
| BMI group            |                   |            |
| Underweight          | 1.529 (1.253-1.867) | <0.001**   |
| Normal-weight        | Reference         |            |
| Overweight           | 1.125 (1.042-1.214) | 0.003**    |
| Obesity              | 1.554 (1.359-1.802) | <0.001**   |
| IgTSH                | 1.020 (0.925-1.125) | 0.687      |
| IgT4                 | 2.242 (1.445-3.478) | <0.001**   |
| IgTPO-Ab             | 1.028 (0.633-1.67) | 0.911      |
| IgThy-Ab             | 0.89 (0.832-0.952) | 0.001**    |
| Tumor diameter>1cm   | 1.012 (0.959-1.068) | 0.675      |
| Multifocality        | 3.866 (3.538-4.225) | <0.001**   |
| High T stage         | 1.575 (1.467-1.691) | <0.001**   |
| Extrathyroidal       | 1.270 (1.247-1.294) | <0.001**   |

BMI, body mass index; TSH, thyroid stimulating hormone; FT3, free triiodothyronine; FT4, free thyroxine; OR, odds ratio; LN, lymph node.

* \(P < 0.05\), ** \(P < 0.01\).
number of lateral neck dissected LN (8.51 vs. 6.36, \( P < 0.001 \)). This difference exists on the left and right sides of the neck (Table 4). However, there was no difference in the number of CNDs (\( P = 0.466 \)).

Binary logistic regression analyzed the relationship between BMI and number of dissected LNs. Overweight and obesity were independent risk factor for the number of dissected LNs more than twelve (OR\(_1 \) = 1.156, 95% CI 1.069-1.250, \( P < 0.001 \); OR\(_2 \) = 1.376, 95% CI 1.184-1.59, \( P < 0.001 \)) (Table 6). It was found that obesity was not an independent risk factor for number of dissected central LNs more than five (\( P = 0.653 \)). After adjusting for confounding factors, overweight and obesity were independent risk factors for number of dissected lateral LNs more than six (OR\(_1 \) = 1.122, 95% CI 1.032-1.219, \( P = 0.007 \); OR\(_2 \) = 1.185, 95% CI 1.010-1.391, \( P = 0.037 \)) (Table 6).

Impact of BMI on the LNR
The LNR in obese patients was significantly higher than normal-weight patients (0.18 vs. 0.14, \( P < 0.001 \)) (Table 5). While the difference was statistically significant in the central LNs (0.79 vs. 0.58, \( P < 0.001 \)), but there was no statistically significant difference in the lateral neck LNs (\( P = 0.067 \)) (Table 5).

Impact of BMI on Lymph Node metastasis

\begin{table}[h]
\centering
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline
\textbf{WHO-BMI} & \textbf{Normal %/Mean (SD)} & \textbf{Overweight %/Mean (SD)} & \textbf{Obesity %/Mean (SD)} & \textbf{\( P \) value} \\
\hline
\textbf{Rate of total LN+} & 42.0% (3133) & 45.9% (1984) & 52.6% (408) & <0.001** \\
\textbf{Rate of CLN+} & 38.0% (2835) & 41.5% (1713) & 47.6% (369) & <0.001** \\
\textbf{Rate of LLN+} & Total: 16.9% (1261) & 18.8% (775) & 22.2% (172) & <0.001** \\
 & Right: 9.5% (731) & 10.5% (435) & 13.7% (108) & <0.001** \\
 & Left: 6.6% (642) & 10.2% (421) & 11.1% (88) & 0.006** \\
\textbf{Number of total LN+} & 1.79 (2.67) & 1.94 (3.83) & 2.41 (4.20) & <0.001** \\
\textbf{Number of CLN+} & 1.17 (2.28) & 1.28 (2.39) & 1.59 (2.72) & <0.001** \\
\textbf{Number of LLN+} & Total: 0.62 (1.92) & 0.66 (1.96) & 0.83 (2.08) & 0.005** \\
 & Right: 0.35 (1.38) & 0.33 (1.26) & 0.43 (1.39) & 0.032 \\
 & Left: 0.27 (1.17) & 0.33 (1.32) & 0.40 (1.43) & 0.006* \\
\textbf{Number of total dissected LN} & 12.1 (11.69) & 13.70 (13.38) & 14.37 (13.21) & <0.001** \\
\textbf{Number of dissected CLN} & 5.74 (4.34) & 5.71 (4.27) & 5.86 (4.52) & 0.028* \\
\textbf{Number of dissected LLN} & Total: 6.36 (10.13) & 7.99 (11.99) & 8.51 (11.72) & <0.001** \\
 & Right: 3.22 (6.94) & 3.87 (7.69) & 4.22 (7.97) & <0.001** \\
 & Left: 3.14 (7.06) & 4.12 (8.38) & 4.30 (8.33) & <0.001** \\
\textbf{Total LNR} & 0.14 (0.23) & 0.14 (0.22) & 0.18 (0.27) & <0.001** \\
\textbf{Central LNR} & 0.58 (1.14) & 0.21 (0.31) & 0.79 (1.36) & <0.001** \\
\textbf{Lateral LNR} & Total: 0.03 (0.10) & 0.08 (0.12) & 0.04 (0.10) & <0.001** \\
 & Right: 0.02 (0.09) & 0.08 (0.13) & 0.03 (0.09) & <0.001** \\
 & Left: 0.02 (0.07) & 0.07 (0.12) & 0.02 (0.07) & <0.001** \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\caption{Binary logistic regression analyzed the relationship between BMI and the risk of metastatic LNs.}
\end{table}

\begin{table}[h]
\centering
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline
\textbf{WHO-BMI} & \textbf{Underweight} & \textbf{Normal-weight} & \textbf{Obesity} \\
\hline
\textbf{Risk of total metastatic LN+} & 1.529 (1.253-1.867) & Reference & 1.554 (1.339-1.802) \\
 & \( P < 0.001 \) & & \( P < 0.001 \) \\
\textbf{Risk of metastatic CLN+} & 1.139 (0.915-1.417) & Reference & 1.198 (1.021-1.408) \\
 & 0.244 & & 0.282* \\
\textbf{Risk of metastatic LLN+} & 1.602 (1.312-1.955) & Reference & 1.511 (1.303-1.752) \\
 & \( P < 0.001 \) & & \( P < 0.001 \) \\
\textbf{Risk of metastatic LLN+} & 1.164 (0.897-1.447) & Reference & 1.174 (1.001-1.378) \\
 & 0.171 & & 0.049* \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\caption{Binary logistic regression analyzed the relationship between BMI and the risk of metastatic LNs.}
\end{table}

\( \text{BMI, body mass index; OR, odds ratio; CI, confidence interval; LN +, lymph node metastasis; CLN, central lymph node; LLN, lateral lymph node.} \)

\( *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01. \)
Impact of BMI on the Size of Positive LNs
As shown in Figure 2A, the maximum diameter of positive LNs in obese patients was higher than normal-weight patients (2.00 vs. 1.60 mm, \( P = 0.007 \)). There was no significant difference in the rate of LN skip metastasis between the two groups (Figure 2B).

DISCUSSION
This study is a retrospective analysis of 12,772 patients with PTC, with a focus on the correlation between LN status and obesity. Obesity not only increased the rate of metastatic LNs, but also increased the number of metastatic LNs and the maximum diameter of positive LNs, which are two indicators of a poor prognosis. We analyzed two indicators that have been overlooked in previous studies, including the number of dissected LN and the LNR. These results showed opposite regional differences between obesity, the number of dissected LN, and the LNR (Figure 3).

TABLE 5 | Binary logistic regression analyzed the relationship between BMI and the number of metastatic LNs.

| WHO-BMI | Underweight | Normal-weight | Overweight | Obesity |
|---------|-------------|---------------|------------|---------|
| Number of total metastatic LNs\(^1\) | Crude OR 1.859 (1.502-2.301) | Reference | 1.108 (1.011-1.214) | 1.622 (1.377-1.910) |
| \( P \) value \(<0.001**\) | | | | | \(<0.001**\) |
| Adjusted OR 1.294 (1.017-1.648) | Reference | 1.091 (0.987-1.207) | 1.201 (1.002-1.439) | |
| \( P \) value 0.038* | | 0.090 | 0.047* | |
| Number of metastatic CLNs\(^2\) | Crude OR 1.718 (1.394-2.117) | Reference | 1.091 (1.000-1.190) | 1.526 (1.302-1.789) |
| \( P \) value \(<0.001**\) | | | \(<0.001**\) | |
| Adjusted OR 1.148 (0.91-1.448) | Reference | 1.106 (1.006-1.215) | 1.159 (0.975-1.377) | |
| \( P \) value 0.243 | | 0.038* | 0.045* | |
| Number of metastatic LLNs\(^3\) | Crude OR 1.665 (1.287-2.154) | Reference | 1.076 (0.96-1.206) | 1.447 (1.184-1.769) |
| \( P \) value \(<0.001**\) | | | \(<0.001**\) | |
| Adjusted OR 1.263 (0.947-1.688) | Reference | 0.989 (0.874-1.12) | 1.013 (0.813-1.262) | |
| \( P \) value 0.112 | | 0.866 | 0.907 | |

BMI, body mass index; OR, odds ratio; CI, confidence interval; LNs, lymph nodes; CLNs, central lymph nodes; LLNs, lateral lymph nodes.

\( ^1 \) The cut-off point of number in total LN + is three.

\( ^2 \) The cut-off point of number in total CLN + is two.

\( ^3 \) The cut-off point of number in total LLN + is two.

\( ^* P < 0.05, \quad ** P < 0.01. \)

Effect on the Rate of Metastatic LNs
Previous studies on the relationship between obesity and metastatic LNs in thyroid cancer have been controversial (Table 8) (18–28). Yu et al. found a positive correlation between BMI and neck LN metastasis (OR = 1.58, \( P = 0.02 \)) (24). Our previous research also yielded consistent results with those of Yu et al. (OR = 1.493) (8, 23, 24). One of the possible mechanisms is that serum leptin levels are higher in obese patients with PTC. In vitro studies have indicated that leptin promotes invasion and migration of thyroid cancer cell lines (29, 30). However, Kim, Gasior, Grani, Tresallet, and others have found that obesity is not associated with neck metastatic LNs in patients with thyroid cancer (19, 20, 26, 28). Simultaneously, Paes et al. found that obesity is negatively correlated with metastatic LNs (18). This finding may be due to differences in ethnicity. In the current study, we found that the rate of metastatic LNs in obese patients with PTC was significantly higher than normal-weight patients (52.6% vs. 42.0, \( P < 0.001 \)).

TABLE 6 | Binary logistic regression analyzed the relationship between BMI and the number of LNs dissections.

| WHO-BMI | Underweight | Normal-weight | Overweight | Obesity |
|---------|-------------|---------------|------------|---------|
| Number of total LNs dissections\(^1\) | Crude OR 1.317 (1.076-1.611) | Reference | 1.156 (1.069-1.250) | 1.376 (1.184-1.598) |
| \( P \) value 0.007** | | | \(<0.001**\) | |
| Adjusted OR 0.992 (0.808-1.218) | Reference | 1.128 (1.038-1.226) | 1.173 (1-1.377) | |
| \( P \) value 0.942 | | 0.004* | 0.047* | |
| Number of CLNs dissections\(^2\) | Crude OR 1.16 (0.95-1.416) | Reference | 0.875 (0.81-0.945) | 1.127 (0.971-1.307) |
| \( P \) value 0.145 | | | 0.001** | 0.115 |
| Adjusted OR 0.964 (0.782-1.189) | Reference | 0.895 (0.826-0.97) | 1.036 (0.888-1.208) | |
| \( P \) value 0.735 | | 0.007** | 0.653 | |
| Number of LLNs dissections\(^3\) | Crude OR 1.26 (1.029-1.542) | Reference | 1.168 (1.08-1.264) | 1.384 (1.191-1.607) |
| \( P \) value 0.025* | | | \(<0.001**\) | \(<0.001**\) |
| Adjusted OR 1.14 (0.918-1.414) | Reference | 1.122 (1.032-1.219) | 1.185 (1.010-1.391) | |
| \( P \) value 0.235 | | 0.007** | 0.037* | |

BMI, body mass index; OR, odds ratio; LN, lymph node; CLN, central lymph node; LLN, lateral lymph node.

\( ^1 \) The cut-off point of number in total LNs dissections is twelve.

\( ^2 \) The cut-off point of number in total CLNs dissections is five.

\( ^3 \) The cut-off point of number in total LLNs dissections is six.

\( ^* P < 0.05, \quad ** P < 0.01. \)
After adjusting for confounding factors, it was found that overweight and obesity were independent risk factor for metastatic LNs (OR1 = 1.125, 95% CI 1.042-1.214, P1 = 0.003; OR2 = 1.554, 95% CI 1.339-1.802, P2 < 0.001). This effect exists in both the central and lateral neck regions (Figure 3).

**Number of Metastatic LNs and the Sizes of Positive LNs**

The 2015 ATA guidelines suggest that more than five metastatic LNs yields an intermediate risk of recurrence (11). Previously, Leboulleux et al. found a recurrence rate of 3% with less than five metastases, while 6 to 10 metastases was associated with a recurrence rate of 7% and 10+ metastases with 21% (31). Previous studies have given minimal attention to the relationship between the number of metastatic LNs and obesity. The current study revealed a positive correlation between obesity and metastatic LNs (2.41 vs. 1.79, P < 0.001), which was reflected in the central and lateral neck LNs (Figure 3).

The maximum diameter of positive LNs is another indicator of poor prognoses. The ATA guidelines classify the maximum diameter of positive LN between 0.2 and 3.0 cm as the intermediate risk of recurrence (11). In a previous study, the rate of locoregional recurrence was 5% for patients with maximum positive LN diameters smaller than 0.2 cm (12). When the maximum diameter of positive LNs were >3 cm, the rate of locoregional recurrence increased to 27% (13). In the current study, obese patients with PTC had larger maximum positive LN diameters (2.00 vs. 1.60, P = 0.007), suggesting that obesity may lead to poorer prognoses. Previous studies have primarily shown that obesity increases the rate of LN metastasis. This paper confirmed that obesity not only increases the rate of metastatic LNs, but also increases the number of metastatic LNs and the maximum diameter of positive LNs.

**Number of Dissected LNs**

Neck LNs are commonly wrapped in adipose tissue, and obese patients have more adipose tissue. Only a few studies have focused on whether the increased adipose tissue in obese patients can affect the dissection of neck LNs. This study found that obese patients had more dissectible LNs, yet this association only exists in the lateral neck area (14.37 vs. 12.10, P < 0.001), which seems to be more beneficial for obese patients. However, the number of CNDs

---

**TABLE 7** Binary logistic regression analyzed the relationship between BMI and the risk of LNR.

| WHO-BMI | Underweight | Normal-weight | Overweight | Obesity |
|---------|-------------|---------------|------------|---------|
| Total LNR | Crude OR   | 1.637 (1.341-1.998) | Reference | 1.086 (1.005-1.174) | 1.537 (1.325-1.783) |
|          | P value     | <0.001** | Reference | 0.038* | <0.001** |
|          | Adjusted OR | 1.216 (1.078-1.511) | Reference | 1.081 (0.994-1.176) | 1.196 (1.018-1.403) |
|          | P value     | 0.078 | Reference | 0.067 | 0.029* |
| Central LNR | Crude OR   | 1.526 (1.251-1.862) | Reference | 1.095 (1.014-1.184) | 1.511 (1.303-1.752) |
|          | P value     | <0.001** | Reference | 0.021* | <0.001** |
|          | Adjusted OR | 1.106 (0.89-1.374) | Reference | 1.099 (1.011-1.194) | 1.177 (1.003-1.381) |
|          | P value     | 0.364 | Reference | 0.027* | 0.045* |
| Lateral LNR | Crude OR   | 19.11 (15.047-24.269) | Reference | 15.914 (14.485-17.483) | 1.357 (1.123-1.641) |
|          | P value     | <0.001** | Reference | <0.001** | 0.002** |
|          | Adjusted OR | 19.425 (15.183-24.854) | Reference | 18.239 (16.501-20.16) | 1.113 (0.915-1.354) |
|          | P value     | <0.001** | Reference | 0.0 <0.01 |

OR, odds ratio; LNR, lymph node ratio.
Age, lgFT3, lgTPO-Ab, tumor diameter >1cm, multifocality, High T stage and extrathyroidal extension as covariates to adjust OR value.
1The cut-off point of total LNR is 0.07.
2The cut-off point of central LNR is 0.12.
3The cut-off point of lateral LNR is 0.05.
*P < 0.05, **P < 0.01.

---

**FIGURE 2** Relationship of obesity with (A) the maximum diameter of positive LN and (B) the rate of LN skip metastasis. BMI, body mass index; LN, lymph node.
is not related to obesity. There is a regional difference between the number of dissected LN and obesity (Figure 3). One of the possible reasons is that the difficulty of intraoperative identification of LNs is reduced. LNs are often surrounded by adipose tissue, which helps the surgeon identify the LNs and minimize the burden of identification. The second reason involves inflammatory factor stimulation, as obesity can cause adipose cells to secrete inflammatory factors, such as C-reactive protein, interleukin 6 (IL-6), IL-10, and tumor necrosis factor (TNF-α). These inflammatory factors may stimulate LN hyperplasia (32).

TABLE 8 | Previous studies on the relationship between obesity and LN metastasis of thyroid cancer.

| References | Date | Race | Cases (N) | BMI (kg/m²) | Rate of obesity (%) | Correlation between BMI and LN metastasis |
|------------|------|------|-----------|-------------|---------------------|------------------------------------------|
| Paes et al. (18) | 2010 | Mostly Caucasian (93%) | 259 | 27.8 | 38.9% | Negative correlation |
| Kim et al. (19) | 2013 | Asian | 2057 | 23.8 | 5% | Non-correlation |
| Tresallet et al. (20) | 2014 | Caucasian | 1216 | N/A | 14.5% | Non-correlation |
| Leo et al. (21) | 2015 | Asian | 1121 | 23.3 | 27% | Non-correlation |
| Choi et al. (22) | 2015 | Asian | 612 | 23.1 | 2.1% | Non-correlation |
| Kim et al. (23) | 2016 | Asian | 5081 | N/A | 5% | Correlation |
| Yu et al. (24) | 2017 | Asian | 1622 | N/A | 24.3% | Correlation |
| Wu et al. (25) | 2017 | Asian | 796 | 25 | 8% | Correlation |
| Gsior et al. (26) | 2018 | Caucasian | 1181 | 28.1 | 33.7% | Non-correlation |
| Feng et al. (27) | 2013 | Asian | 417 | 23.9 | 6% | Correlation |
| Grani et al. (28) | 2018 | Caucasian | 432 | N/A | 19.8% | Non-correlation |

1Defined the standard of obesity as BMI ≥27.5 kg/m².
2Defined the standard of obesity as BMI ≥30.0 kg/m².

Obese patients have difficulty in performing CNDs due to their short and thick necks. In this study, obesity was not associated with an increase in the number of CNDs, but it was associated with an increased LNR. Hence, surgeons should be cautious when performing CNDs for obese patients, as there may be more positive LNs in obese patients. Obesity may increase risk of inadequate lymph node dissection during surgery.

In the current study, we revealed that obesity promotes the metastasis of LNs and increases the average diameter of positive LNs. These two indicators are indicative of a poor prognosis. In addition, we considered the number of dissected LN and the LNR into the current analysis. We found a regional difference between obesity and these two indicators.

**Limitations**

Most sources of error due to confounding and bias are more common in retrospective studies than in prospective studies. However, this retrospective study will be helpful to assess the feasibility of future prospective studies and to help in their design. This paper did not analyze the relationship between obesity and LNs in various regions of the lateral neck. The number of positive nodes is often affected by the variability in nodal staging techniques, which may yield different numbers of excised nodes. Finally, this study did not analyze the disease-free survival rate or locoregional recurrence rate of patients, for which LN metastasis is a very important independent risk factor in patients with thyroid cancer after curative resection.

This is a large-scale study of more than 10,000 PTC patients in which several of significance tests were performed. The testing procedure can be biased by latent confounding factors such as batch effects and unmeasured covariates that correlate with both primary variables of interest and the outcome. Despite the methodological advances in this paper, providing analysis that are able to capture complex and regional shape differences,
the limits of the analysis methodology may remain. It is clear, for example, that a limit and bias of this work is BMI remodelling of PTC patients due to the practice (or not practice) of exercise, which it is perhaps impossible to analyze with the present data available. In addition, these data represent the work of multiple surgeons with varying degree of expertise.
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