The Pterygospinous Foramen (Civinini) and the Pterygoalar (Crotaphitico-Buccinatorius). Laboratory Findings

INTRODUCTION

For access to the base of the skull the transnasal, transoral and transfaryngeal pathways are used and also, in many of the access procedures to pathological formations, the lateral transzigmatic, which will follow to the infratemporal fossa and, from there, through adjacent retro and parapharyngeal spaces (Al-Khatib, 2006; Ryu et al., 2016), with the sphenoid bone spine, the styloid process and the pterygoid process constituting important anatomical landmarks of this transition (von Lüdinghausen et al., 2006; Saran et al., 2013).

The ossification of fibrous tissues and/or ligaments associated with sphenoid bone are common and occur in up to 58.3%, according to a large study conducted by Touska et al. (2019), and among these occurrences, and with neurological and surgical relevance, we note the pterygospinous and pterygoalar foramen (crotaphitico-buccinatorius) (Goyal & Jain, 2016). These foramen are atypical formations of the homonymous ligaments present in the sphenoid bone and, due to their positions, of paramount importance because they make extracranial access procedures more difficult, mainly aiming at the oval foramen and its surroundings (Isolan & Al-Mefty, 2008; Erdogmus et al., 2009; Suazo et al., 2010; B & K, 2014; Singh & Niranjan, 2019; Somayaji et al., 2019; Henry et al., 2020). Nevertheless, detailed clinical and anatomical information associated with the foramen formations and their
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proximity to the oval foramen still require studies (Tubbs et al., 2009), especially the relations of the fascial tissues and skull, which are fundamental in understanding the fibrous formations at the cranial base (Komune et al., 2019). In addition to the ligament structures, in the constitution of these foramen, the pterygospinous muscle, as described by von Lüdinghausen et al. was reported in 9.2 % of its specimens and the modalities of associations between this and the homonymous ligamentous form, ossified or not, in the investigations of Al-Khatib.

The pterygospinous muscle, variant, is probably a third head of the lateral pterygoid muscle and the pterygospinous ligament, a reinforced extension of the interpterygoid fascia (von Lüdinghausen et al.; Saran et al.; Komune et al.). Iwanaga et al. (2020) dissected 30 infratemporal fossae for the pterygoalar and pterygospinous ligaments and their respective bars and did not report any muscle formations similar to the pterygospinous or pterygoalar type. Regarding the origins of these ossifications or calcifications, with their respective foraminal formations, there is strong evidence, by comparative anatomy, that they are phylogenetic and atavistic remnants and, therefore, erratic in humans (von Lüdinghausen et al.; Al-Khatib). The incidence is very variable, probably related to differences in ethnic groups (Yadav et al., 2014) or to individual differences in the methods of analysis and acceptance of these occurrences (Goyal & Jain). Yadav et al. reported 10.2 % of pterygospinous formations of 500 evaluated skulls, while Goyal & Jain noted 17.33 % of complete and incomplete pterygospinous foramen formation in 75 evaluated specimens. In this paper we discuss the laboratory findings of five incomplete pterygospinous foramen formations and another complete and bilateral pterygoalar foramen (crotaphitico-buccinatorius), and its anatomical implications.

**MATERIAL AND METHOD**

A total of 45 specimens between dry skulls and disjointed sphenoid bones (90 halves in total) from the shared collection of the anatomy laboratory at the University of Juiz de Fora, Governador Valadares campus (UFJF / GV) were selected and studied over the years of 2018 and 2019. All evaluated by direct cranioscopy, without special lighting and by a single examiner, from the perspective of the pterygospinous foramen and pterygoalar foramen (crotaphitico-buccinatorius), considering, in each skull, the microregional integrity of the infratemporal fossa roof and the structural conformation typical of a natural foramen. In none of the structures was there identification regarding sex and age. The dimensions of the complete foramen and the pterygoalar bone bars were taken using a digital caliper (Western Pro), resolution of 0.1 mm. The area for each foramen was calculated using the Radinsky’s formula.

**RESULTS**

Our work resulted in the combined identification of 10 foraminal formations in 7 skulls (15.5 %) with the following distribution: two complete and bilateral crotaphitico-buccinatorius foramen, in one of the skulls (2.2 % of those evaluated) (Fig. 1) and in the other 6 skulls there were 5 incomplete formations of the pterygospinous foramen (Figs. 2 and 3), being unilateral in 3 skulls and in the fourth, bilaterally and 3 incomplete formations of the pterygoalar foramen, according to Table I. The two pterygoalar foramen (crotaphitico-buccinatorius) occurred in a lateral position to the oval foramen and underwent morphometry according to Table II.

![Fig. 1. Skull in basal norm demonstrating the complete left pterygoalar bar in "C" and the pterygoalar foramen in "A" where the string crosses it from the oval foramen. In "B" the string crosses exclusively the foramen ovale.](image-url)
Table I. Occurrences of the crotaphitico-buccinatorius and pterygospinous foramen in the sample of 45 skulls and disarticulated sphenoid bones.

| Structure                  | Complete | Incomplete | Total | Complete | Incomplete | Total |
|----------------------------|----------|------------|-------|----------|------------|-------|
| Foramen crotaphitico-      |          |            |       |          |            |       |
| buccinatorius              | Right    | 0          | 1     | 0        | 2 skulls   | 2     |
|                           | Left     | 0          | 0     | 0        | 1 skull    | 1     |
|                           | Bilateral| 1 skull    | 1 skull| 2        | 1 skull    | 1     |
|                           | Total    | 1 skull    | 2 skulls| 3(3.3 %)| 4 skulls | 4 (8.8 %)|

Table II. Morphometry of complete pterygoalar foramen and pterygoalar bone bars.

| Structure          | Length | Width | Thickness | Area       | Side |
|--------------------|--------|-------|-----------|------------|------|
| Foramen pterygoalar | 5.5 mm | 2.3 mm | -         | 9.9 mm²    | Right|
| 2                  | 4.15 mm| 2.0 mm| -         | 6.5 mm²    | Left |
| Bar pterygoalar    | 8.3 mm | 3.20 mm| 1.15 mm   | -          | Right|
| 2                  | 7.2 mm | 2.70 mm| 1.20 mm   | -          | Left |
DISCUSSION

The ossification of pterygospinous and pterygoalar ligaments are relevant conditions in the clinic, due to their proximity, in the infratemporal fossa, with the foramen ovale and the parapharyngeal and retropharyngeal spaces, important in surgical maneuvers of resections, decompressions, punctures and anesthetic infiltrations (Al-Khatib; Erdogmus et al.; Tubbs et al.; Rosa et al., 2010; Yadav et al.; Singh & Niranjan).

The sphenoid bone has ligaments in narrow points of neurovascular pathways and subject to ossification and/or calcification, resulting, under certain conditions, in compressive nerve syndromes, as can occur with the petrosphenoid ligament (abducent nerve), the petroclinoid ligament (oculomotor nerve) and the pterygospinous and pterygoalar ligaments (mandibular nerve branches) (Somayaji et al.; Touska et al.; Iwanaga et al.). The disposition of the pterygospinous ligament occurs from the lateral lamina of the pterygoid process to the spine of the sphenoid bone, immediately superior to the foramen ovale (Erdogmus et al.; Goyal & Jain), while that of the pterygoalar ligament is from the inferior portion of the greater wing of the sphenoid bone, near the anterolateral edge of the foramen spinosus, to the root of the lateral lamina of the pterygoid process (Tubbs et al.).

Shapiro & Robinson (1967) related the fascia between the pterygoid muscles and the bone bar formations – pterygospinous and pterygoalar and their respective foramen. von Lüdinghausen et al. report that there are not only ossified bridges between the pterygoid process and the sphenoid spine, in the case of the pterygospinous foramen, there are the fibrous bridges of firm connective tissue and the muscular bridges that also affect the distribution of the mandibular nerve. The muscle bridges were reported in the findings of Komune et al.; and in the investigations of Al-Khatib. (2006), the author identifies them as a distinct variant muscle called pterygospinous, found in 8 out of 68 of his preparations (11.76 %), and may have two origins and several associations to the pterygospinous ligament. von Lüdinghausen et al. also report the possibility of ligament fixation of the pterygospinous ligament, in addition to the sphenoid bone spine, with extensions to the articular tuber and articular disc of the temporomandibular joint. However, Iwanaga et al. reported the concomitant existence, in some of their dissected specimens, of bone formation, in bridges, independent of the pterygoalar ligament, and suggest, which is controversial, the independence between the ossification and the ligament structure. The same occurred with some pterygospinous ligaments in which they were next to ossified formations, indicating perhaps, by suggestion, an incomplete or independent ossification process. As for the incidences, conformations and presentations of these foramen, there are very discrepant findings in the literature.

A study with 500 dry skulls from the Kanpur Museum of Anthropology and Anatomy, India, specifically focused on the analysis of the occurrence of pterygospinous foramen, among complete and incomplete classifications, the authors pointed to an incidence of 10.2 % (51 skulls) (Yadav et al.). Of the 312 dry skulls in the UNIFESP (Brazil) collection, 37.18 % were identified, in varying degrees of complete and incomplete foraminal formations (Suazo et al.). An Indian study conducted by B & K, on a sample of 100 dry skulls from the Department of Forensic Medicine and Medical Sciences of Shimoga, the authors reported the total incidence, between the various extensions of ligament ossifications, to form both foramen, at 41 %. Tubbs et al., in examinations of 154 skulls with detailed analyses of the dimensions of foramen and associated ligaments, reported an incidence of completely closed and open foramen in 2.6 % of the specimens (2 foramen from each: pterygospinous and crocaphitico-buccinatorius).

When the foramen are examined for its conformations, simultaneity (between the pterygospinous and pterygoalar) and extensions of its calcifications there are various classifications, as is the case with the survey conducted by B & K, in which the authors classified the foramen, considering these parameters, into three types, already in the studies of Suazo et al. from 312 dry skulls, classified them into four types, using the criterion of extensions of ossifications (complete or incomplete) and without morphometric analysis, similar classification adopted by Ryu et al. However, his studies applied morphometric analysis to the foramen dimensions.

Iwanaga et al. proposed a classification to differentiate foramen by its relationship with the mandibular nerve and then differentiate the pterygoalar foramen, when characterized in two types, also with respect to the mandibular nerve and its branches.

The pterygospinous foramen was first described by the Italian anatomist Filippo Civinini, however, Giovanni Filippo Ingrassia, also an Italian anatomist from Sicily, is credited with being the first to accurately describe the sphenoid bone and recognize the foraminal variant structure (Cappello et al., 2010; Saran et al.; Costea et al., 2018). It is an infratemporal, variant and low incidence cranial formation, representing an important condition for neurological diagnoses and a limiting element for neurosurgery (Tubbs et al.; Goyal & Jain).
The pterygospinous foramen is located medially to the oval foramen and is delimited between the upper edge of the ossified pterygospinous ligament and the base of the skull and complemented by cribiform tissue from an extension of the interpterygoid fascia and being crossed by the tensor palatine vein muscle, accessory middle meningeal artery and medial pterygoid nerve (Saran et al.; B & K; Komune et al.; Somayaji et al.).

Saran et al. report that typically, to form the pterygospinous foramen, there is mineralization of fibrous tissue from the Civinini’s spine, present at the base of the lateral blade of the pterygoid process or from its central part, to the sphenoid bone spine. In our studies, we recognized the incomplete pterygospinous foramen, in those findings that we noticed accentuated and acute projections of Civinini’s spines in postero-inferior prominence, in the direction of a sphenoid bone spine also very projected. As for conformation, the pterygospinous foramen may be complete or incomplete, depending on the extent of its ossification, in addition to uni or bilateral (Tubbs et al.; Goyal & Jain; Somayaji et al.). Suazo et al. reported only 1.6 % of a complete pterygospinous foramen formation (Type I of these authors).

Singh & Niranjan in a study of 530 dry skulls, noted, between complete and incomplete formations, ossifications in 9.8 % of the specimens, 31 skulls with complete foraminal formations and 21 incomplete skulls. Chouké (1946), in 1544 skulls, reported 6.28 % occurrence for pterygospinous foramen formation more frequently in white individuals than in black individuals. B & K reported 17 skulls (17 %) between incomplete (16) and complete formations (1), similar incidence to Goyal & Jain in which the authors reported a total of 17.33 % complete and incomplete of 75 specimens (150 laterals). Jansirani et al. (2012) on 204 skulls, reported complete ossification of the pterygospinous ligament on only 2 skulls (0.98 %) and incomplete ossification on 22 skulls (10.78 %). In the studies of Yadav et al., the authors reported an incidence of 4 % for the complete formation of pterygospinous foramen. Tubbs et al., reported, of 154 skulls, only 2 skulls, one with complete formation and another with incomplete formation of pterygospinous foramen (1.3 %). Saran et al. noted between complete and incomplete foramen the incidences of 1.25 and 7.5 %, respectively. Henry et al. in a metanalytical study involving 35 studies (14,047 specimens), reported a higher prevalence of incomplete formation of pterygospinous foramen in 11.6 % compared to complete formation (4.4 %) between the selected studies.

Our findings pointed to 8.8 % of pterygospinous foramen in varying degrees of incomplete conformation. As for the antimeres, B & K noted a higher incidence of pterygospinous foramen on the left side of 62.5 % (all of them incomplete), values that are very expressive and coincident with the side also verified by Tubbs et al. who identified 75 % of their findings on the left side. von Lüdinghausen et al. and Al-Khatib reported that the pterygospinous foramen would represent a phylogenetic remnant in humans. von Lüdinghausen et al. noted, in studies by computerized tomography of old world monkeys (Macaca fuscata) and skulls of herbivores, rodents and carnivores, the presence of an expressive ossified pterygospinous ligament (monkeys, herbivores and carnivores) and a tenuous formation in rodents. Justifiable structure for higher muscle fixation. Which is unusual for humans. In monkeys of the new world, there was no detection of this formation (Al-Khatib).

The pterygoalar foramen or crotaphitico-buccinatorius, described by Hyrtl in 1862 (Chouké), is related to the ossification of the pterygoalar ligament and, by proximity to the pterygospinous, also related to the neurological and vascular clinical conditions in the infratemporal fossa by proximity to the oval foramen. Iwanaga et al. report that the pterygoalar ligament or the result of its ossification can be subdivided (classification proposal) into types I and II as to the topographic relationship of this ligament and the branches of the mandibular nerve. Thus, Type I is positioned between the buccal nerve and mandibular nerve and Type II is located medially to the inferior alveolar nerve and lateral to the lingual nerve. Suazo et al. reported, with a complete ossification, only in 12 cases (3.84 %). Chouké recorded in an extensive work with 1544 skulls, from the anatomy department of the University of Washington, of the white and black individuals, 10.3 % of occurrence for the pterygoalar foramen, being twice as frequent in skulls of black individuals.

Ryu et al. in 284 dry skulls of adult Koreans, described 8 complete pterygoalar ligament ossifications (2.8 %) and 16 incomplete (5.6 %). Natsis et al. (2014) in 145 skulls, reported the presence of the pterygoalar ligament in 46 skulls (31.7 %), but only in 6 skulls were the foramen complete (4.1 %), in 4 unilaterally (2.7 %), and in 2 bilaterally (2.7 %). Jansirani et al. reported complete ossification in the pterygoalar ligament in 5 skulls (2.45 %) and in 8 skulls the incomplete form (3.92 %). Skrzat et al. (2005), analyzed 70 dry human skulls, and noted in only 5 the pterygoalar foramen, all unilateral, and positioned medial, lateral, and crossing the oval foramen.

Singh & Niranjan noted that in Lemurs the position of the ossified bar (ossified ligament) is medial to the foramen ovale and in anthropoids lateral to the foramen ovale. In B & K the complete formation by ossification of the
pterygoalar ligament occurred in only 1 of the skulls, and most of the incomplete formations occurred on the left side in 51.72 % of the cases and without measurements of their findings. Iwanaga et al. reported the occurrence of the pterygoalar ligament in 16 out of 30 of their specimens (53 %), 11 cases being the type I ligament and 5 ligaments classified as type II by the authors. In this study, the occurrence of a complete pterygoalar foramen was bilateral and in one of the 45 specimens evaluated (2.2 %). Both foramen variants were lateral to the foramen ovale. As for dimensions, Skrzat et al. reported that the fully ossified ligament ranged from 4 to 8 mm, while the diameter of the pterygoalar foramen ranged from 7 to 11 mm.

Tubbs et al. reported an area of 9.42 mm² for a pterygoalar foramen. Jansirani et al. reported, for the ossified ligament, mean length of 6.3 mm and mean width of 3.1 mm, and for the pterygoalar foramen, the authors reported 3.2 mm in the horizontal dimension of the foramen and 2.7 mm in the vertical dimension. Suazo et al. identified a maximum diameter of 5.2 mm and a minimum diameter of 3.79 mm in totally formed pterygoalar foramen. Touska maximum diameter of 5.2 mm and a minimum diameter of mm in the vertical dimension. Suazo et al. reported, for the ossified ligament ranged from 4 to 8 mm, while the diameter of the pterygoalar foramen ranged from 7 to 11 mm.

CONCLUSIONS

Knowledge of the processes of ossification and/or heterotopic calcification of ligamentous or non ligamentous fibrous structures, whether of atavistic or non origin, is important for diagnosis and effective surgical approaches. Pterygospinous and pterygoalar foramen, due to their close relations with anatomotopographic territories of high neurovascular complexities, such as the infratemporal fossa and, by extension, the associated fascial spaces, require studies that can disseminate them more extensively and establish a fruitful correlation of phylogenetic basis.
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