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Repressor Element 1 Silencing Transcription Factor (REST) Governs Microglia-Like BV2 Cell Migration via Progranulin (PGRN)
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Microglia activation contributes to Alzheimer’s disease (AD) etiology, and microglia migration is a fundamental function during microglia activation. The repressor element-1 silencing transcription factor (REST), a powerful transcriptional factor, was found to play a neuroprotective role in AD. Despite its possible role in disease progression, little is known about whether REST participates in microglia migration. In this study, we aimed to explore the function of REST and its molecular basis during microglia migration under Aβ1-42-treated pathological conditions. When treated by Aβ1-42 REST was upregulated through JAK2/STAT3 signal pathway in BV2 cells. And transwell coculture system was used to evaluate cell migration function of microglia-like BV2. Small interfering RNA (siRNA) targeting progranulin (PGRN) were delivered into BV2 cells, and results showed that PGRN functions to promote BV2 migration. REST expression was inhibited by sh-RNA, which induced BV2 cell migration obviously. On the contrary, REST was overexpressed by REST recombinant plasmid transfection, which repressed BV2 cell migration, indicating that REST may act as a repressor of cell migration. To more comprehensively examine the molecular basis, we analyzed the promoter sequence of PGRN and found that it has the potential binding site of REST. Moreover, knocking-down of REST can increase the expression of PGRN, which confirms the inhibiting effect of REST on PGRN expression. Further detection of double luciferase reporter gene also confirmed the inhibition of REST on the activity of PGRN promoter, indicating that REST may be an inhibitory transcription factor of PGRN which governs microglia-like BV2 cell migration. In conclusion, the present study demonstrates that transcription factor REST may act as a repressor of microglia migration through PGRN.

1. Introduction

Alzheimer’s disease, the first leading cause of senile dementia, is characterized by amyloid-β deposition and tau hyperphosphorylation. Increasing evidence indicates that over activation of microglia plays an important role in the development of Alzheimer’s disease [1]. Microglia, the resident immune cell of the brain, are considered to be the first line defense and respond quickly to infectious, inflammatory, and pathophysiological stimuli [2, 3]. As the guardian of the central nervous system, microglia are constantly sampling their environment to maintain homeostasis and respond to immune challenges [4].

The migration of microglia is mediated by the interaction of chemokine and its receptor. Previously published data showed that progranulin (PGRN), a multifunctional growth factor expressed in various tissues, may act as a chemoattractant for microglia that over expression of progranulin in
C57BL/6 mice lead to an increase of microglia around the injection site, and progranulin alone was sufficient to promote migration of primary mouse microglia in vitro [5].

The repressor element-1 silencing transcription factor (RESE/REST) is a master transcriptional factor which played an important role in neurogenesis and neurodegenerative diseases. In the aging human brain, REST potently protects neurons from oxidative stress and amyloid β (Aβ) toxicity, while in AD brain, neuronal REST is lost from the nucleus resulting in the decline of cognitive function [6]. Abundance of study was focused on neuronal REST while function of REST in microglia remains unknown.

In this study, we reported that Aβ-induced REST upregulation in microglia-like BV2 cells and microglial REST represses migration. And we further show that REST regulated microglia migration through PGRN.

2. Methods

2.1. Preparation of Aggregated Aβ1-42. It is generally believed that oligomer Aβ is more toxic than fibrillar Aβ. Therefore, in recent years, the research on the pathogenesis of AD is mainly based on oligomer Aβ stimulation. However, due to the poor stability of the oligomer Aβ which is easy to transform into fibrillary Aβ [1], the operation time window in vitro is relatively short of oligomer Aβ. So, in this study, fibrillary Aβ was choosing to stimulate BV2 cells. And aggregated Aβ1-42 was formed as previously described [7]. Synthetic human Aβ1-42 peptides (ChinaPeptides, Shanghai, China) were dissolved in 0.4% DMSO-water to a concentration of 100 μM, then incubated at 37°C for 72 h to form fibrillary Aβ1-42. Fibrillary Aβ1-42 was frozen at -80°C for storage.

2.2. Cell Culture. BV-2 cells (Saaiq, Shanghai, China), PC12 cells (Chinese Academy of Sciences, Shanghai, China), and 293T cells (Chinese Academy of Sciences, Shanghai, China) were cultured in a humidified incubator with 5% CO₂ at 37°C. The culture medium was Dulbecco’s modified Eagle medium (Gibco, New York, America) supplemented with 5% low-endotoxin fetal bovine serum (Gibco, New York, America), 100 units/ml penicillin (Gibco, New York, America), and 100 μg/ml streptomycin (Gibco, New York, America).

2.3. Transwell Migration Assay. BV-2 cells (3.5 × 10⁴ [4]) were seeded in the inserts of transwells (Corning Costar Corp., Cambridge, MA, USA, 8.0 μm pore size). The transwell assay was performed as described. The insert was transferred into a well containing serum-free DMEM with or without Aβ1-42 in the lower compartment and incubated for 24 h in 5% CO₂ at 37°C. Microglia that migrated to the lower surface were stained with Gentian Violet. Images were taken from four random fields with a fluorescent microscope at 4x magnification. The number of microglia on the lower surface of the insert was quantified. The experiments were repeated at least three times.

2.4. Plasmid Transfection. BV-2 cells were replanted 24 hours before transfection in 2 ml of fresh culture medium in a 6-well plastic plate. Plasmid were transfected when the cell density reached 70-80% by Lipofectamine 3000 (Thermo Fisher Scientific) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Before transfection, DMEM was removed and instead by Opti-MEM media. BV-2 cells were transfected with 2500 ng/well of the plasmid pCMV6XL4+sh-REST (Bio-link, Shanghai, China). Alternatively, the mock plasmid pcDNA 3.1 (Bio-link, Shanghai, China) was used as a control instead of the sh-REST plasmid. Six hours after transfection Opti-MEM media was removed, and BV-2 cells were culture for 48 h in DMEM before collecting for further Western blotting or qPCR.

2.5. Western Blotting. Before harvest, BV-2 cells were washed with cold PBS and then lysed with lysis buffer containing protease inhibitors for 30 min on ice. The samples were centrifuged at 12000 rpm, 4°C for 15 min. Then, the protein concentrations were determined by using a BCA protein assay kit (Beyotime Institute of Biotechnology, Haimen, China). Proteins were electrophoresed using sodium dodecyl sulfate/polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE Bio-Rad, CA, USA) and transferred electro-photically to PVDF membranes. Then, the membranes were blocked with 5% skim milk at room temperature (RT) for 1 h and then were incubated with primary antibodies overnight at 4°C. Subsequently, membranes were washed and incubated with the appropriate HRP-conjugated secondary antibodies at room temperature for 1 h. Finally, membranes were washed and treated with enhanced chemiluminescence. Primary antibodies were as follows: anti-β-tubulin (1:2000; Sangon Biotech, China), anti-REST (1:1000; Abcam, USA) [8], anti-lamin 1 (1:2000, Proteintech, China), Jak2 (1:5000, Abcam, USA), p-JAK2 (1:1000, abcam, USA), STAT3 (1:1000, Abcam, USA), p-STAT3 (1:1000, Abcam, USA), PGRN (1:1000; R&D systems, USA), and lamin B1 (1:1000, Abcam, USA).

2.6. qPCR. Total RNA was isolated from the BV2 cells using Trizol Reagent (Invitrogen Life Technologies, Carlsbad, CA, USA) according to the manufacturer’s protocol. 1 μg of RNA was reverse-transcribed to cDNA using PrimeScript™ RT reagent Kit (TaKaRa Bio Inc., Beijing, China). Quantitative RT-PCR analysis was performed using a SYBR Green PCR Kit (KAPA Biosystems, South Africa) with 1 μl of cDNA template in 20 μl reaction mixture. Results were analyzed using the comparative CT method. Data are expressed throughout the study as 2-ΔΔCT for the experimental gene of interest normalized to β-actin [9]. The gene specific primer pairs were as follows: mouse REST gene forward 5′-GGCGAGGTGGCCGAATTTGATG-3′ and reverse 5′-GAGGTCAGCCGACTCT-3′; β-actin gene forward 5′-AGATGTGTTGAGACCTTAAA-3′ and reverse 5′-CATCCTTGCTCAGAGTTC-3′.

2.7. Dual Luciferase Assay Experiments. PGRN 3′ UTR (2000 bp) containing REST target sequences was amplified from the BV-2 DNA with primers (forward: 5′-GGGGTACCCAGGCTGCTCTACTAAGTTGAG-3′; reverse: 5′-GAAGATCTCTGGCCGTCAGCTCCAGG-3′) and cloned into pGL3 Luciferase Reporter Vectors (Promega, Madison,
USA). pRL-TK-SV40 control plasmid was used as internal control. 293T cells were replanted in a 24-well plate. When the cell fusion degree reached 70%, REST constructed plasmids; the GRN gene promoter plasmids and the control plasmid PRL TK were cotransfected (pGL3 basic recombinant plasmid : PRL TK control plasmid transfection amount = 10 : 1). Luciferase activity was detected with a Dual-Luciferase Reporter Assay System (Promega, Madison, USA) 48 h after transfection. Luciferase reporter activity in relative light units (RLU) was expressed as firefly-to-renilla ratio.

2.8. Statistical Analysis. For the analysis among more than two experimental conditions, one-way ANOVA with Tukey’s post hoc test was used, whereas for the analysis between two experimental groups, unpaired Student’s t test was used. *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01.

3. Results

3.1. The Promoting Effect of PGRN on Migration of BV-2 Cells. To explore the mechanism of microglia migration,
in vitro transwell coculture system of BV-2 cells and PC12 was performed. In the transwell system, BV-2 cells were seeded in on the upper insert, and cell migration was analyzed by crystal violet staining. Results showed that DMEM cell culture medium in the bottom dish with different concentration of Aβ1-42 did not cause a significant increase in the transmigration (Figures 1(a) and 1(b)). And PC12 cells cultivated in bottom dish treated with different concentration of Aβ1-42 induced transmigration of BV2 cells significantly (Figures 1(a) and 1(c)). These results indicated that compared with Aβ1-42 itself, impaired neurons are more likely to promote microglia migration.

Existing studies confirmed that PGRN may act as a chemotactant to promote microglia migration [2]. In order to verify the effect of PGRN on the migration of microglia, small interfering RNA (siRNA) targeting PGRN were delivered into BV2 cells in the upper transwell dishes. Results were shown in Figures 1(d) and 1(e) that compared with Aβ1-42, itself, impaired neurons are more likely to promote microglia migration.

3.2. Aβ1-42 Induced REST Expression through JAK2/STAT3 Pathway. Previous work established that REST is a master transcription factor of neurogenesis, which plays an important role in neuron. And Ilaria Prada’s work found that, in microglia, REST is highly expressed in the nucleus [10]. In this study, when BV-2 cells were treated with Aβ1-42, REST mRNA and protein expression was upregulated with the increase of concentration of Aβ1-42 (Figures 2(a) and 2(b)), which indicated that REST may involve in Aβ-induced activation of microglia.

Meanwhile, a significant induction of JAK2 and STAT3 phosphorylation were observed when BV-2 cells were treated with Aβ1-42 although JAK2 and STAT3 total protein level did not change significantly (Figures 3(a)–3(d)), which was consistent with previous researches [11, 12]. In order to verify whether the increase of REST expression is induced by JAK2/STAT3 pathway, we treated BV-2 cells with Aβ1-42, and meanwhile, different concentrations of JAK2/STAT3 pathway-specific inhibitor WP1066 was added, and then, REST protein level was analyzed by Western blotting. The results showed that compared with the control group, REST was upregulated which was consistent with previous data. And with the existing of WP1066 at 4 μΜ or 6 μΜ, Aβ1-42-induced REST upregulation was inhibited (Figures 3(e) and 3(f)), which suggested that Aβ1-42 might induce REST upregulation through JAK2/STAT3 pathway.

3.3. REST Repressed BV2 Cell Migration. In order to study the effect of REST on the migration function of BV-2 cells, shRNA was used to knock down REST in BV-2 cells in a transwell migration assay. As shown in Figures 4(a) and 4(b) that REST was downregulated about 75% compared with the control group by treatment with sh-RNA. And knocking down of REST induced BV2 cell migration (Figure 4(d)). This result indicated that REST may act as a repressor of BV-2 cell migration. On the contrary, when REST was overexpressed by recombinant plasmid, BV-2 cell migration was repressed significantly (Figures 4(c) and 4(e)).

3.4. REST Repressed PGRN Expression. The previous experimental results confirmed the inhibition of REST on cell
migration [13]. Since REST is a powerful transcription factor regulating various neural functions, we speculated REST might inhibit the migration of BV-2 cells by silencing the expression of PGRN. Searching from JASPAR database (http://jaspar.genereg.net/), putative REST binding sequences in genomic regions upstream of the PGRN gene coding sequences was identified (Figure 5(a)).

In order to verify the regulatory effect of REST on PGRN, Western blotting was performed to analyze PGRN expression when REST was knocked down by sh-RNA transfection and overexpressed by REST recombinant plasmid transfection. Results were shown in Figures 5(b) and 5(c) that knocking down of REST induced PGRN protein while overexpression of REST leads to downregulation of PGRN. And, meanwhile, PGRN in culture supernatant PGRN protein level was upregulated when REST was knocked down (Figure 5(b)). Ultimately, these observations suggest that REST may repress PGRN expression and secretion.

3.5. REST Repress PGRN Promoter Activity. To more comprehensively examine the molecular mechanism of PGRN transcription regulation by REST, dual-luciferase reporter

Figure 3: Aβ₁₋₄₂ induced REST expression through JAK2/STAT3 pathway. (a, b) Aβ₁₋₄₂ promotes JAK2 phosphorylation. (c, d) Aβ₁₋₄₂ promotes STAT3 phosphorylation. (e, f) Blocking JAK2/STAT3 signaling with WP1066 repressed Aβ₁₋₄₂-induced REST expression. *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001.
gene assay was performed. The first base of the transcription start site (TSS) of PGRN was numbered +1, and 2000 BP (-1959~+41) upstream of TSS was selected as the promoter. Then, using BV-2 cell genomic DNA as template, we cloned the 5' noncoding region (-1959~+41, PGRN promoter) of PGRN gene, and then, we insert the PGRN promoter into pGL3. Basic plasmid. Results were shown in Figure 5(d) that the luciferase activity of PGRN promoter transfected cells was significantly higher than that of the control group, which means gene segment we had cloned from BV-2 cells contains the functional region of PGRN promoter.

To investigate whether PGRN transcriptional activity could be regulated by REST, REST recombinant plasmid and PGRN promoter plasmid were cotransfected into 293T cells, and then, changes of luciferase activity were examined. Results were shown in Figure 5(e) that compared with the control group, overexpression of REST reduced luciferase activity significantly, which means that REST may repress PGRN promoter transcriptional activity.

4. Discussion

Overactivation of microglia is closely related to the progression of Alzheimer’s disease, and microglial migration plays an important role in the activation of microglia. In Alzheimer’s disease, microglial migration towards soluble Aβ is an important process of phagocytosis [14]. Furthermore, microglia migrate to senile plaques constituting a barrier which prevents outward plaque expansion and limits inward accumulation of protofibrillar Aβ aggregates [15]. Besides,
The synaptic pruning function of microglia is also carried out in a migration-dependent manner [16, 17]. And when neuronal damage occurs, the migration function facilitates microglial phagocytosis of unwanted self-debris, which is critical to maintain homeostasis in the brain [18, 19]. In this study, transwell system was used to explore the role of REST on Aβ-induced microglial migration, and our data suggested that REST repressed microglial migration through PGRN.

Microglial migration is regulated by many mechanisms; some of which promote migration while others inhibit migration. Microglial migration is dependent on interaction between cell surface receptors and diverse external stimuli. The mechanisms related to the microglial migration have been studied, including P2Y receptor-mediated Ca(2+) signalling [20], calcium-dependent purinergic signalling [21], TRPM7 and KCa2.3(SK3) channels [22], and TREM2/β-catenin signaling pathway [23]. It has been reported that ATP released from injured neurons and nerve terminals can affect the motor ability of microglia. ATP/ADP can induce the chemotaxis of microglia through P2Y12 or P2Y13 receptors [24]. In this study, REST was observed as a suppressor of migration.

REST is a powerful transcription factor which binds to a conserved 23 bp DNA motif known as repressor element 1 (RE1) blocking transcription of downstream genes [25]. Previous work established that REST also participates in cell migration and plays diverse roles both in the physiological and pathological condition. Mandel et al. have reported that

---

Figure 5: REST repress PGRN promoter activity. (a) Putative REST binding sequences in upstream of the PGRN gene coding sequences were predicted from JASPAR database. (b) Knocking down of REST induced PGRN expression and secretion. (c) Overexpression of REST repressed PGRN expression. (d, e) overexpression of REST repressed PGRN promoter transcriptional activity. KD: knock down; OE: overexpression.
REST blocks radial migration during neurogenesis [13]. And in medulloblastoma (MB), REST is elevated promoting MB cell migration [26]. Beyond that, in glioblastoma (GBM) downregulation of REST by siRNA silencing could inhibit the migration of GBM cells [27]. Up to now, the role of REST in microglial migration in Alzheimer’s disease is unclear. In this study, a significant induction of JAK2 and STAT3 phosphorylation were observed when BV-2 cells were treated with Aβ1-42, and with the existing of WP1066, Aβ1-42-induced REST upregulation was inhibited, which suggested that Aβ1-42 might induce the increase of REST expression through JAK2/STAT3 pathway. And knocking-down of REST weakened the migration of BV2 cells, which indicated that REST may have played a role of repressor during Aβ1-42-induced BV-2 cell migration.

In addition to REST, progranulin (PGRN) also regulates microglial migration. PGRN is a secreted glycoprotein expressed in peripheral organs and the central nervous system, which was reported to implicate in embryonic development, tumorigenesis, wound defense, and inflammation, and PGRN was proved to promote cell migration as well. Previous work established that PGRN promotes migration of epithelial ovarian cancer cells [28], breast cancer cells [29], and H. pylori infected gastric cell migration [30]. In this study, PC12 cells stimulated by Aβ1-42 were observed to promote microglial migration, which was consisting with previous study. And PGRN-specific siRNA was used to knockdown PGRN, which results in decreased BV2 cell migration. These data showed that PGRN can promote BV2 cell migration under the condition of treatment with Aβ1-42. This observation was not surprising as previously published data showed that PGRN acts as a chemoattractant in the brain to recruit or activate microglia [2].

By analyzing the promoter sequence of PGRN, we found that it has the potential binding site of REST. Moreover, the knockdown of REST can increase the expression of PGRN, which confirms the inhibiting effect of REST on PGRN. Further detection of double luciferase reporter gene also confirmed the inhibition of REST on the activity of PGRN promoter, indicating that REST may be an inhibitory transcription factor of PGRN which governs microglia-like BV2 cell migration. In conclusion, the present study demonstrates that PGRN can promote microglia migration and transcription factor REST may act as a repressor of microglia migration through PGRN.

5. Conclusions

Our findings raise the possibility that Aβ1-42-induced REST expression has a repressing effect on BV-2 cell migration through PGRN.
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