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Abstract

Background: The FERM domain containing protein Mosaic Eyes (Moe) interacts with Crumbs proteins, which are important regulators of apical identity and size. In zebrafish, loss-of-function mutations in moe result in defects in brain ventricle formation, retinal pigmented epithelium and neural retinal development, pericardial edema, and tail curvature. In humans and mice, there are two major alternately spliced isoforms of the Moe orthologue, Erythrocyte Protein Band 4.1-Like 5 (Epb4.1l5), which we have named Epb4.1l5long and Epb4.1l5short, that differ after the FERM domain. Interestingly, Moe and both Epb4.1l5 isoforms have a putative C' terminal Type-I PDZ-Binding Domain (PBD). We previously showed that the N' terminal FERM domain in Moe directly mediates interactions with Crumbs proteins and Nagie oko (Nok) in zebrafish, but the function of the C'terminal half of Moe/Epb4.1l5 has not yet been examined.

Results: To define functionally important domains in zebrafish Moe and murine Epb4.1l5, we tested whether injection of mRNAs encoding these proteins could rescue defects in zebrafish moe-embryos. Injection of either moe or epb4.1l5long mRNA, but not epb4.1l5short mRNA, could rescue moe embryonic defects. We also tested whether mRNA encoding C' terminal truncations of Epb4.1l5long or chimeric constructs with reciprocal swaps of the isoform-specific PBDs could rescue moe defects. We found that injection of the Epb4.1l5short chimera (Epb4.1l5short+long_PBD), containing the PBD from Epb4.1l5long, could rescue retinal and RPE defects in moe mutants, but not brain ventricle formation. Injection of the Epb4.1l5long chimera (Epb4.1l5long+short_PBD), containing the PBD from Epb4.1l5short, rescued retinal defects, and to a large extent rescued RPE integrity. The only construct that caused a dominant phenotype in wild-type embryos, was Epb4.1l5long+short_PBD, which caused brain ventricle defects and edema that were similar to those observed in moe mutants. Lastly, the morphology of rod photoreceptors in moe mutants where embryonic defects were rescued by moe or epb4.1l5long mRNA injection is abnormal and their outer segments are larger than normal.

Conclusion: Taken together, the data reveal tissue specificity for the function of the PBD in Epb4.1l5long, and suggest that additional C' terminal sequences are important for zebrafish retinal development. Additionally, our data provide further evidence that Moe is a negative regulator of rod outer segment size.
Background
The mechanisms underlying the acquisition and maintenance of apical cell polarity are beginning to be understood and the importance of cell polarity in development is now widely appreciated. Drosophila Crumbs (Crb) and vertebrate Crumbs orthologues are important determinants of apical polarity and are critical for epithelial morphology [1-4]. The establishment of cell polarity within the developing retinal neuroepithelium is crucial for normal retinal development, as zebrafish with loss-of-function mutations in the polarity determinants aPKC/heart and soul (has), pals1/mpp5/nagie oko (nok), crb2a/oko meduzo (ome), and mosaic eyes (moe), fail to properly form cell-specific laminae [4-9]. In addition, ablation of aPKC in differentiating photoreceptors in a conditional knockout mouse results in a loss of retinal lamination [10].

Crumbs proteins are also important for normal photoreceptor morphogenesis and zonula adherens/adherens junction formation and/or Drosophila [11-14]. In humans, mutations in the CRUMBS HOMOLOGUE-1 (CRB1) gene cause retinal degeneration diseases [15-19]. Mouse models lacking functional Crb1 exhibit a compromised outer limiting membrane (OLM) in the retina and defects in photoreceptor morphology [13,14]. Furthermore, data from our lab and others have implicated the Crumbs complex as a key regulator of apical membrane size in photoreceptors [4,11,12,20,21].

The moe mutant was discovered in a zebrafish mutagenesis screen, and the moe mutations affect retinal lamination, brain ventricle formation, and heart and body morphology [7,22]. Orthologues have been identified in Drosophila (Yurt) and mammals (Erythrocyte Protein Band 4.1-Like 5, Epb4.1l5) [22,23]. The yurt and epb4.1l5 locus encode four and two isoforms respectively [20,24]. We and our colleagues have shown that Moe and Moe orthologues form a complex with Crumbs proteins that is mediated by the FERM domain, and this interaction is important for Crumbs protein function [20,21]. The mouse mutant lulu has a null-allele mutation in epb4.1l5, and has defects in the epithelial-mesenchymal transition in cells at the primitive streak and abnormal neural plate morphology that is accompanied by defects in the actin-cytoskeleton [24]. In this study we use a comparative genomic and proteomic approach to identify functionally important sequences within Moe and Epb4.1l5 by testing whether injection of mRNA encoding the long and short isoforms of Epb4.1l5 (Epb4.1l5long and Epb4.1l5short) can functionally substitute for moe function in zebrafish. We further investigate the role of Moe within different tissues by defining what Epb4.1l5 domains are necessary to rescue distinct moe defects. Lastly, we report the histological and morphological consequences of losing Epb4.1l5long protein in the rescued zebrafish retina after the depletion of rescue construct.

Results
Injection of moe mRNA rescues embryonic defects in moe mutants
We tested whether injecting moe mRNA into moe embryos could rescue embryonic and early larval defects. We found that injection of wild-type moe mRNA into moe mutant embryos at the 1-4 cell-stage rescued brain ventricle formation, retinal pigmented epithelial (RPE) integrity, and retinal neural epithelial integrity and straightened the tail at 60 hours post fertilization (hpf) (Figure 1). We observed no abnormalities in injected wild-type embryos (or larvae). Pericardial edema in moe mutants was only partially rescued by moe mRNA injection (Figure 1D, F, arrow). The remaining pericardial edema was a convenient marker, however, and made it possible to easily distinguish between wild-type larvae and rescued moe mutants, but we also confirmed that embryos were moe-mutants by labeling with anti-Moe antibodies at 60 hpf and comparing labeling to wildtypes and uninjected moe-mutants (Figure 1G, H, I). In moe mRNA injected moe mutants very little anti-Moe labeling was observed except background (Figure 1I, double arrowheads). The weak anti-Moe labeling in moe mRNA injected moe mutants suggests very little Moe protein encoded by the injected mRNA remains at 60 hpf.

We have shown that Moe interacts with Crumbs proteins, which are important apical polarity determinants and that moe loss-of-function results in a failure to localize Crb2a and the junctional protein ZO-1 at the apical surface of the retina and brain [21,22]. We examined whether injection of moe mRNA into moe mutants could rescue the apical localization of Crumbs proteins and ZO-1 in the retina and brain. In order to examine Crumbs proteins in zebrafish, we used an antibody we raised against the highly conserved C’ terminal peptide and because this antibody recognizes all zebrafish Crumbs proteins by western blot (data not shown) we call this antibody a pan-Crb antibody. In wild-type embryos at 60 hpf, anti-panCrb and anti-ZO-1 labeling localize to the apical/ventricle surface in the brain, and the apical surface and the newly forming outer limiting membrane in the retina (Figure 1J, M, N). In moe mutants, brain ventricles fail to form properly, and panCrb and ZO-1 fail to localize to the apical surfaces in the brain and retina (Figure 1K, O, P). Injection of moe mRNA into moe mutants leads to the apical relocalization of Crumbs proteins and ZO-1 in the retina and brain (Figure 1L, Q, R).

Conservation between Moe and mouse Epb4.1l5
To help identify functionally important domains in the Moe and Epb4.1l5 proteins, we first compared their
sequences (Figure 2). The mammalian epb4.1l5 locus encodes two major splice isoforms that are represented by ESTs in both the human and mouse databases, which we term Epb4.1l5short and Epb4.1l5long. We provide the exon/intron structure of the mouse epb4.1l5 locus that has 25 exons: Epb4.1l5short is encoded by exons 1–16 and Epb4.1l5long by exons 1–15 and 17–25 (Figure 2A). We have not found a zebrafish transcript that encodes a protein similar to Epb4.1l5short.

A comparison between Moe and mouse Epb4.1l5long shows very strong homology in the FERM domain (88% identity), and there is also strong conservation flanking the FERM domain (44 amino acids preceding the FERM domain and about 35 amino acids after) as well as additional islands of strong conservation, notably a class I PDZ-binding domain (PBD) at the C' terminus of both proteins (Figure 2B; [24,25]). Homology between Moe and Epb4.1l5short ends at amino acid 444 (Figure 2C, blue arrow), after which Epb4.1l5short has 60 unique amino acids (Figure 2C). Epb4.1l5short is predicted to be 56 kDa, and interestingly also has a predicted binding motif for a class I PDZ domain at its C' terminus (Figure 2C).

We raised isoform-specific antibodies against the unique C' terminal sequences of the long and short isoform of Epb4.1l5 and used them for western analysis of mouse tissue. We observed two bands that were immunoreactive with Epb4.1l5long anti-sera. A protein that migrated at approximately 100 kDa was present in eye, brain, heart, lung, kidney, and testis tissue (Figure 2D). There was an additional protein recognized in all tissues at 75 kDa, which is probably non-specific reactivity. Two proteins were detected with anti-Epb4.1l5short affinity-purified antibody. One protein migrating at the expected molecular weight of 56 kDa and was present in brain, liver, lung, kidney, pancreas, and gut. A second protein migrating at approximately 75 kDa was broadly expressed. This higher
Figure 2
Genomic structure of the mouse epb4.1l5 locus and expression of its two major splice isoforms. (A) Diagram of the inton/exon structure of the Mus musculus epb4.1l5 locus. Exons that are common to both isoforms are black, the exons unique to epb4.1l5long are indicated in red and the unique exon in epb4.1l5short is indicated in green. Bars represent 1 kb and 10 kb scales for exon and intron lengths, respectively. (B) ClustalX alignment of mouse Epb4.1l5long and zebrafish Moe. Ymo1long and Moe share a high degree of homology within the FERM domain (black). Ymo1long and Ymo1short are identical up to Lysine 444 (blue arrow) and then alternately spliced into the long (red) and short (green) isoforms. Moe and Epb4.1l5long, and Epb4.1l5short have predicted C-terminal PDZ-binding domains (Pink [TTEL]) and (light green [MTEI]). (*) identical, (:) highly conserved, (.) moderately conserved. (C) Western analysis of mouse tissues with antibodies raised against the unique C-terminal sequences of Epb4.1l5long and Epb4.1l5short. Two bands are immunoreactive with the anti-Epb4.1l5long antibody, one migrates at the expected molecular weight of 100 kDa and is present in the eye, brain, heart, lung, kidney, and testis. An additional band migrates at 75 kDa, which is probably non-specific. Two bands are recognized by the affinity purified Epb4.1l5short antibody. A lower band migrates at the predicted molecular weight of 56 kDa and is present in brain, liver, lung, kidney, pancreas, and gut. A second band with a broad expression pattern migrates at approximately 75 kDa. An anti-α-Tubulin was used as a loading control.
molecular weight protein may represent a post-translationally modified form of Epb4.115short or more likely is non-specific reactivity (Figure 2D).

Functional comparative genomics reveals important domains in Moe/Epb4.115

To identify functionally important sequences in the Moe and orthologous protein Epb41.15, we tested whether injection of mouse epb4.115long mRNA could substitute for moe and rescue moe mutant defects. We injected epb4.115long mRNA into 1–4 cell moe embryos and found that it rescued brain ventricle formation, retinal pigment epithelial integrity, and retinal lamination and straightened the tail like injection of moe mRNA (Table 1 and data not shown). Injection of epb4.115long also rescued apical localization of ZO-1 and anti-panCrb labeling in

Figure 3

Both the PDZ-binding domain and unique sequences in Epb4.115long required for rescue of moe-mutant defects

(A–C) At 30 hpf in wild-type embryos, Moe localizes cortically in brain and retinal neuroepithelial cells and is concentrated at the apical surface (A) and ZO-1 (green) and panCrb (red) localize to the apical surface of the retina (B) and brain (C). (D–F) At 30 hpf in moe embryos, there is no Moe labeling (D) and ZO-1 (green) and panCrb (red) fail to localize to the apical surface of the retina (E) and brain (F). (G) At 30 hpf in moe embryos injected with epb4.115long mRNA, Epb4.115long immunoreactivity is cortically localized in most retinal and brain neuroepithelial cells and ZO-1 (green) and panCrb (red) localize to the apical surface in the retina (H) and brain (I). Upper inset (G), magnified section of anti-Epb4.115long labeling and lower inset, uninjected moe embryos show no labeling with anti-Epb4.115long. (J) At 30 hpf in moe mutants injected with epb4.115short mRNA, anti-Epb4.115short is cytoplasmically localized and ZO-1 (green) and panCrb (red) do not localize to the apical surface in moe retina (K) and brain (L). Upper inset (G), magnified section of anti-Epb4.115short labeling and lower inset, uninjected moe embryos show no labeling with anti-Epb4.115short. (M, N) At 30 hpf in moe mutants injected with myc-epb4.115FERM mRNA, ZO-1 (green) and panCrb (red) do not localize to the apical surface in moe retina (M) and brain (N). (O, P) At 30 hpf in moe mutants injected with myc-epb4.115long, PBD mRNA, ZO-1 (green) and panCrb (red) do not localize to the apical surface in moe retina (O) and brain (P). (Q, R) At 30 hpf in moe mutants injected with myc-epb4.115short+long, PBD mRNA, ZO-1 (green) and panCrb (red) localize to the apical surface in moe retina (Q) and brain (R). (S, T) At 30 hpf in moe mutants injected with myc-epb4.115long+short, PBD mRNA, ZO-1 (green) and panCrb (red) localize to the apical surface in moe retina (S) and brain (T). (U) Western analysis of zebrafish embryos injected with epb4.115long and epb4.115short mRNA were tested for expression of protein product with isoform-specific antibodies at time points from 6 hpf to 96 hpf. (V) Western analysis of zebrafish embryos injected with epb4.115FERM (anti-Myc), epb4.115long, PBD (anti-Epb4.115long), epb4.115short+long, PBD (anti-Myc), epb4.115long+short, PBD (anti-Epb4.115long). Blots stripped and reprobed with Anti-α-Tubulin as a loading control. Scale bars, 10 μm (A, D, G, J), 50 μm (lower insets in G, J), 10 μm (remaining panels). (A–T), single confocal z-sections.
the retina and brain (Figure 3H, I). Using antibodies we raised against the unique sequence in Epb4.1l5 long, we found that Epb4.1l5 long protein in mRNA injected moe mutants localized cortically like endogenous Moe in wild-type embryos (Figure 3A, G). Because all the proteins shown to interact with Moe do so through its FERM domain [21], we tested whether injection of epb4.1l5 mRNA encoding a myc-tagged FERM domain (amino acids 1–346, Epb4.1l5 FERM) could rescue moe mutant defects like full length moe and epb4.1l5 long mRNA injection. Injection of epb4.1l5 FERM mRNA at the 1–4 cell stage failed to rescue the defects in moe mutants (data not shown) and did not lead to apical relocalization of ZO-1 and anti-panCrb labeling (Figure 3M, N). We also ruled out the possibility that the N’ terminal myc-tag interfered with protein function by showing that Epb4.1l5 long and Epb4.15short were not detectable at time points beyond 72 and 48 hpf respectively (Figure 3V). Both Epb4.1l5+short+long_PBD and Epb4.1l5short+long_PBD were expressed at 6 hpf (Figure 3U). However, injection of epb4.1l5 FERM mRNA failed to rescue Crb2a and ZO-1 apical localization in the retina or rescue brain ventricle formation (Figure 3O, P). However, injection of epb4.1l5+short+long_PBD or epb4.15short+long_PBD mRNAs did lead to apical relocalization of ZO-1 and anti-panCrb labeling (Figure 3Q-T).

We confirmed that protein was expressed from each of the template rescue mRNAs by western analysis of injected zebrafish. Epb4.1l5 long and Epb4.15short were not detectable at time points beyond 72 and 48 hpf respectively (Figure 3U). Both Epb4.1l5+short+long_PBD and Epb4.1l5short+long_PBD were expressed at 6 hpf and faint signal was visible at 24 hpf. Neither Epb4.1l5 FERM nor Epb4.15+short_PBD were detectable at time points beyond 6 hpf (Figure 3V), therefore a failure to rescue any moe defects in these cases may be due to a rapid loss of the protein generated by rescue constructs.

Analysis of rescue with chimeric Epb4.1l5 PBD isoforms

At 60 hpf, mutant moe embryos exhibit reduced or absent brain ventricles, pericardial edema, and RPE defects (Figure 4A, B). Injection of epb4.1l5+short+long_PBD mRNA restored RPE integrity (Figure 4C) and retinal lamination (data not shown) in moe mutants, but did not rescue the edema, brain ventricles were small or absent, and the tail curved (Figure 4C, data not shown).

In a heterozygous moe+/- incross, a roughly Mendelian inheritance (20/88; 23%) of individuals injected with epb4.1l5+short+long_PBD mRNA exhibited RPE defects; however, in all but one case, those defects were minor com-

Table 1: Quantitative assessment of phenotypic rescue of moe mutant defects by injection of moe and epb4.1l5 mRNA constructs.

| Injected mRNA Construct | Genetic Background | % Edema | % Brain Ventricle Defects | % RPE Defects | Apical ZO-1/panCrb | Retinal Lamination |
|-------------------------|--------------------|---------|---------------------------|--------------|--------------------|------------------|
| -                       | wt control         | 0% (0/81) | 0% (0/81) | 0% (0/81) | Yes | Yes |
| -                       | moe+/- incross     | 0% (0/81) | 0% (0/81) | 0% (0/81) | No | No |
| epb4.1l5 long           | moe+/- incross     | 25% (22/88) | 25% (22/88) | 25% (22/88) | Yes | Yes |
| epb4.1l5 short          | moe+/- incross     | 0% (0/81) | 0% (0/81) | 0% (0/81) | Yes | Yes |
| epb4.1l5 FERM           | moe+/- incross     | 27% (10/37) | 27% (10/37) | 24% (9/37) | No | No |
| epb4.1l5 FERM-PBD       | moe+/- incross     | 24% (4/17) | 24% (4/17) | 24% (4/17) | No | No |
| epb4.1l5 short+long_PBD | moe+/- incross     | 26% (30/86) | 26% (30/86) | 26% (30/86) | No | No |
| epb4.1l5 short+long_PBD | wt                 | 0% (0/16) | 0% (0/16) | 0% (0/16) | NA | NA |
| epb4.1l5 short+long_PBD | moe+/- incross     | 61% (20/47) | 96% (54/56) | 1% (1/88)* | Yes | Yes |
| epb4.1l5 short+long_PBD | wt                 | 20% (7/35) | 42% (32/63) | 3% (1/35) | NA | NA |

WId-type embryos or embryos from heterozygous moe+/- incrosses were injected with the indicated mRNA constructs and scored based on pericardial edema, brain ventricle defects, RPE defects, apical localization of ZO-1 or Crb2a at 30 hpf, and retinal lamination at 5 dpf. Phenotypes that are not analyzed are indicated with an NA. * See Figure 4M, N and text.
Figure 4  Morphological rescue of some moe embryonic defects by injection of the epb4.1l5 constructs. (A) In wild-type embryos at 60 hpf, brain ventricles are visible, and the RPE is uniform (inset). (B) In moe embryos, brain ventricles are reduced in size or absent, pericardial edema is pronounced, and the RPE is patchy (inset). (C) In moe embryos injected with epb4.1l5short+long PBD mRNA, brain ventricles are reduced in size or absent, pericardial edema is pronounced, but the RPE is normal (inset). (D) moe embryos injected with epb4.1l5long+short PBD mRNA, brain ventricles are absent or present and pericardial edema is pronounced, and RPE defects are milder than those in uninjected moe embryos. (E) A magnified view of the RPE of a 60 hpf wild-type embryo shows that it is uniform and the cells are confluent. (F) In a wild-type retina at 4 dpf, GFP+ rods localize next to the RPE and lamination is apparent. In 60 hpf moe mutants, the integrity of the RPE varies from mild (G), to moderate (I), to severe (H). However, GFP+ rods are mislocalized in all moe mutants 4 dpf (H, J, L). The integrity of the RPE is improved and nearly normal in a 60 hpf moe mutant injected with epb4.1l5long+short PBD mRNA (M) and most GFP+ rods are adjacent to the RPE (N). (O) A wild-type embryo injected with epb4.1l5long+short PBD showing brain ventricles that are reduced or absent. (P) At 30 hpf Epb4.1l5long+short PBD is cortically localized, upper inset is a 2× magnification of Epb4.1l5long+short PBD localization. Scale bars, 10 μm (F), 50 μm (lower insets in F).
pared to un.injected moe mutants and their detection required very careful examination (Table 1). The severity of RPE defects varies in un.injected moe mutants: an examination of 35 un.injected moe mutants showed that 8 had mild RPE defects (23%, Figure 4G), 26 had moderate RPE defects (74%, Figure 4I), and 1 had severe RPE defects (3%, Figure 4K). The shift in severity of RPE defects from mostly moderate in moe mutants to nearly normal in injected moe mutants, suggests that injection of epb4.115long+short_PBD mRNA largely restores RPE integrity.

Because Epb4.115long+short_PBD largely restored RPE integrity in moe mutants we examined whether retinal lamination was also restored in these individuals. At 4 dpf, in wildtypes, GFP+ rods are localized adjacent to the RPE (Figure 4F). In moe mutants, GFP+ rods are ectopically localized throughout the retina, regardless of the severity of RPE defects (Figure 4H, I, L). In moe mutants injected with of epb4.115long+short_PBD mRNA, most GFP+ rods localize normally and are adjacent to the RPE. (Figure 4N).

When we injected epb4.115long+short_PBD mRNA into embryos resulting from an incross of moe+/+ individuals, we observed that more than 25% exhibited edema, suggesting that injection of epb4.115long+short_PBD mRNA has a tissue-dependent dominant negative effect. We determined that 61% of embryos had pericardial edema and 96% had small or missing brain ventricles (Table 1). We next injected epb4.115long+short_PBD mRNA into embryos from a wildtype incross and found a large proportion of these individuals exhibited pericardial edema and brain ventricle defects, but the RPE was normal, confirming that the dominant negative effects of Epb4.115long+short_PBD are limited to edema and brain ventricle formation (Table 1 and Figure 4O). Lastly, Epb4.115long+short_PBD retains immunoreactivity with the anti-Epb4.115 long sera, and we show that the protein localizes cortically like Epb4.115 long (Figure 4F), suggesting that the Epb4.115 long PBD is not required for cortical localization.

**Early Epb4.115 function rescues later retinal lamination and function**

Because mRNA injection could rescue early moe mutant defects, we investigated whether later defects of retinal development were also rescued, in particular, whether differentiated cells acquired their correct laminar position and photoreceptors their normal morphology. We compared the retinas of 6 dpf wildtypes, moe mutants, and moe mutants injected with moe or epb4.115long mRNA (Figure 5, data not shown). The western blot experiments and immunohistochemistry (data not shown) showed that there is very little, if any, remaining Epb4.115long protein after 3 dpf (Figure 3L), the time at which most photoreceptors begin to undergo morphogenesis. In wild-type retinas, nuclei are arranged in distinct layers, Müller glia are radially oriented and project to and contribute to the inner (basal) and outer (apical) limiting membranes of the retina, and rods and double cones display a polarized morphology with their outer segments projecting into the RPE (Figure 5A, D, G). In moe mutants, nuclei do not form distinct layers and the numbers of Müller glia, rods and double cones are reduced and their morphology is abnormal, although interestingly rods do make outer segments (Figure 5B, E, H).

Whereas Müller glial morphology and retinal lamination are rescued in moe mutants by injection of either moe or epb4.115long mRNA, the morphology of photoreceptors (rods and double cones) is not; instead of standing perpendicular to the normal RPE (Fig. 5A, D, G and Fig. 6A), those in moe mutants injected with either moe or epb4.115long mRNA, lie collapsed in a twisted heap adjacent to the RPE (Fig. 5C, F, I and Fig. 6C, and data not shown). The failure to rescue photoreceptor morphology is likely because Moe or Epb4.115long protein from injected mRNA is lost by the time photoreceptors undergo morphogenesis.

Previously, we showed in genetic mosaics that the outer segments of rods lacking moe function are larger than those in wild-type rods [21]. We sought to determine whether outer segments are also larger in rods in larvae where all cells have lost moe function by about 3 dpf. We measured the size of rod outer segments using anti-Rhodopsin labeling. We found that rods in rescued moe mutants (i.e. injected with epb4.115long mRNA) were significantly larger than those in wild-type retinas at 6 dpf, whereas, rods in moe mutants were significantly smaller than those in wild-type retinas (Figure 5I).

We also tested whether injection of epb4.115long mRNA could restore vision to moe mutants as measure by the optokinetic response (OKR). The OKR measures the tracking of the eyes to a moving stimulus [26]. In our study the stimulus consisted of alternating white and black vertical bars moving to the right on a projection screen and we measured tracked eye movements (TM) in response to the stimulus over a minute time period. We measured TM in wild-type, moe mutants, and epb4.115long mRNA injected moe mutant larvae immobilized in methylcellulose at 5 dpf. We found that wild-type larvae exhibited an average of 7.8 (+/- 0.6) TM/minute, moe mutants completely lacked TM, and epb4.115 mRNA injected moe mutant larvae had an average of 3.6 (+/- 0.7) TM/minute (Figure 5K). Thus, even though photoreceptors have morphological defects in epb4.115long mRNA injection into moe mutants many of these larvae have functional vision as measured by the optokinetic response.
Crb2a/b protein localization and outer limiting membrane integrity requires moe function, but rod outer segment disc stacking does not

We recently showed that Moe is an important regulator of Crumbs protein localization in the embryo [21], so we were interested in whether moe function is also required at later stages in the retina for Crumbs protein localization. Crb2a and Crb2b are the only Crumbs proteins shown to be expressed by zebrafish photoreceptors [4,21] and the antibodies we use recognize both proteins ([21], and data not shown). The ability to rescue the embryonic defects and retinal lamination with moe or epb4.15long injection allows us to ask whether the localization of Crb2a/b in photoreceptors also requires moe function. The western
blot analysis indicates very little, if any, Epb4.115 long protein remains after 3 dpf in moe mRNA injected individuals, so we can examine retinas in moe mutant larvae where early defects have been rescued but where there is no endogenous Moe and little, if any, exogenous Epb4.115 long protein after 3 dpf. We examined the localization of Crb2a/b in wild-type, moe mutant, and epb4.115 long mRNA injected moe mutant larvae at 6 dpf. In the wild-type retina, Crb2a/b localizes just apical to the outer limiting membrane (OLM), which is labeled by anti-ZO-1 antibodies (Figure 6A). In moe mutants, very little Crb2a/b protein is detected in the area surrounding GFP+ rod photoreceptors, ZO-1 labeling is highly disorganized suggesting the OLM has not formed, and there is no spatial relationship between Crb2a/b and ZO-1 (Figure 6B). In epb4.115 long mRNA injected moe mutants, Crb2a/b labeling is evident, but reduced compared to wild-type retinas, and like moe mutants, ZO-1 labeling is disorganized indicating the absence of the OLM, and we also observed no spatial relationship between Crb2a/b and ZO-1 (Figure 6C).

Although labeling of rods with anti-Rhodopsin antibodies in moe mutant and epb4.115 long mRNA injected moe mutant larvae suggest that outer segments form (Figure 4D, F, G, I), we wanted to determine whether these outer segments are normal.
segments were normal ultrastructurally, in particular, whether disk morphology and packing is normal. We examined outer segments by transmission electron microscopy (TEM) at 6 dpf in wild-type, moe mutant and epb4.115long mRNA injected moe mutant larvae. We found that disk morphology and packing appeared relatively normal in photoreceptors in moe mutants and epb4.115long mRNA injected moe mutants (Figure 6D-F).

Discussion
In this study we sought to identify functionally important domains in the orthologous FERM proteins, zebrafish Moe and mouse Epb4.115. Our strategy was to use evolution, comparative genomics, and protein engineering to discover regions and sequences necessary for rescue of embryonic and early larval defects in moe deficient zebrafish. We first established that injection of wild-type moe mRNA into moe embryos rescued all embryonic defects with the exception that mild pericardial edema persisted. In mammalian EST databases there are two major splice isoforms of Epb4.115, Epb4.115long that is 731 amino acids in length and is similar in length and shares sequence identity with Moe beyond the FERM domain (Figure 1) and Epb4.115short that is 504 amino acids. These two isoforms are identical until amino acid 444 and interestingly both contain a predicted PDZ-binding domain at their C’ terminus. We raised isoform-specific antibodies and examined expression of the two orthologues by western blot of different mouse tissues. Both antibodies recognized a protein of about 75 kDa in all tissues, which is likely to be non-specific reactivity. Anti-Epb4.115long recognized a protein of the expected molecular weight of about 100 kDa in eye, brain, heart, lung, kidney and testis; this expression profile agrees well with recently published immunohistochemical and mRNA expression (in situ hybridization) data in mammalian retinal, brain, and kidney tissues [24,27]. Anti-Epb4.115short recognized protein of the expected molecular weight of about 56 kDa in brain, liver, lung, kidney, pancreas, spleen and gut. Not all tissues expressed both isoforms, for instance Epb4.115long but not Epb4.115short is found in the eye and Epb4.115short but not Epb4.115long is found in the gut, suggesting that the two isoforms may have non-overlapping functions.

We tested whether either of these Epb4.115 isoforms could functionally substitute for Moe during embryonic and early larval development. Injection of mRNA encoding Epb4.115long into moe mutants rescues moe mutant defects and leads to the restoration of retinal lamination, RPE integrity, normal brain ventricle morphology, and the apical localization of Crumbs proteins and ZO-1 in the developing retina and brain, similar to injection of moe mRNA. Like injection of moe mRNA into moe mutants, mild pericardial edema persisted in these epb4.115long mRNA injected moe mutants. We found that injection of epb4.115short mRNA into moe mutants failed to rescue any phenotypic defects and also failed to relocalize Crumbs proteins and ZO-1 to the apical surface of the retina and brain. Interestingly, while exogenous Epb4.115long protein is cortically localized in neuroepithelial cells similar to endogenous Moe protein, Epb4.115short protein is localized cytoplasmically, suggesting that either the PBDs or sequences unique to Epb4.115long underlie Epb4.115long protein localization.

Although edema was reduced in moe mutants by injection of moe or epb4.115long mRNA, it was never abolished by mRNA injection. We presume that the edema in moe mutants is caused by kidney dysfunction. There are several possible reasons for failure of mRNA injection to rescue kidney function. It is possible that Moe/Epb4.115long function is required for a longer period of time or perhaps continually in the kidney and protein from injected mRNA is not around long enough completely restore kidney function. A second possibility is that the Moe and Epb4.115long constructs we used lack the sequence(s) needed to rescue kidney function. If this is the case, then those specific sequence(s) do not seem to reside in Epb4.115long, since injection of epb4.115short mRNA failed to rescue any pericardial edema, and the severity of edema was as severe as uninjected moe mutants. There are, however, many minor splice variants of Moe and Epb4.115long in the zebrafish and mammalian EST databases.

Because all the proteins so far identified that interact with Moe and Epb4.115 (also known as Ymo1) do so via the FERM domain [20,21], we tested whether expression of a Epb4.115 construct encoding the first 346 amino acids (Epb4.115FERM), which includes the FERM domain, could rescue apical Crumbs proteins and ZO-1 localization or any defects in moe mutants. This construct failed to rescue apical Crumbs protein and ZO-1 localization and any moe defects, however, we could not detect Epb4.115FERM protein after 6 hpf, suggesting that this mRNA or protein is unstable. The same result was observed when the PDZ-binding domain in Epb4.115long was deleted (Epb4.115long ΔPBD); there was no phenotypic rescue in moe mutants and no apical Crumbs protein and ZO-1, and we did not detect Epb4.115long ΔPBD protein after 6 hpf. These observations suggest that the PDZ-binding domain might be important for stability of Moe/Epb4.115 protein.

PDZ domains are important mediators of protein interactions and have been shown to be important during the establishment and maintenance of cell polarity [28,29]. We sought to identify the importance of the PDZ-binding domain in Epb4.115long by replacing it with the PDZ-domain from Epb4.115short to generate the chimeric protein Epb4.115long ΔPBD and by replacing the PDZ-
domain in Epb4.115short with the PDZ-domain from Epb4.115long to generate the Epb4.115short-long_PBD chimera. We found that injection of epb4.115long-short_PBD or epb4.115short-long_PBD mRNA into moe mutants rescued apical localization of Crumbs proteins and ZO-1 in the retinal and brain neuroepithelium, RPE integrity (epb4.115long-short_PBD almost complete rescue), and retinal lamination, but did not rescue ventricle morphology. Furthermore, injection of epb4.115longshort_PBD mRNA into wild-type embryos caused a dominant negative phenotype-brain ventricles were small or failed to form. These observations have revealed important insights into the function of Moe/Epb4.115 and suggest that both the PDZ-binding domain of Moe/Epb4.115 and an additional internal sequences in the C-terminal domain Moe/Epb4.115 (aa 444–727) are important for the localization of ZO-1 and Crumbs proteins, RPE integrity and retinal lamination.

Several observations support the importance of both the PDZ-binding domain of Epb4.115long and internal sequences in Epb4.115long. The importance of the PDZ domain in Epb4.115long is shown by the experiment where injection into moe mutants of the chimeric construct epb4.115short-long_PBD, in which the PDZ-binding domain of Epb4.115short is replaced by the PDZ-binding domain from Epb4.115long, rescues apical ZO-1 and panCrb, retinal lamination and RPE integrity, whereas, injection of epb4.115short mRNA does not. This result suggests that there is some specificity between the PBD of Epb4.115long and the PBD of Epb4.115short. The importance of the internal sequence in Epb4.115long (aa 444–727) is shown by the experiment where injection into moe mutants of the chimeric construct epb4.115long-short_PBD, in which in which the PDZ-binding domain of Epb4.115long is replaced by the PDZ-binding domain from Epb4.115short, rescues apical ZO-1 and panCrb, retinal lamination and mostly RPE integrity, whereas, injection of epb4.115short mRNA does not. Unexpectedly, injection of epb4.115long-short_PBD mRNA into wild-type embryos caused a dominant phenotype that included brain ventricle defects and edema that are similar to those in moe mutants. One possibility is that Epb4.115long-short_PBD competes with endogenous Moe and takes a protein necessary for brain ventricle formation away from the Crumbs complex. Taken together, our experiments have suggested that Moe/Epb4.115 proteins are modular proteins and that the PDZ-binding domains have specificity in some tissues.

Moe and other cell polarity determinants, Crb2a/Ome, aPKCa, and Nok, are required for proper lamination of the zebrafish retina [4-8,22]. The time at which these proteins are needed for lamination has not been determined. Since we see very little Moe or Epb4.115long protein after 60 hpf, we suggest that early Moe or Epb4.115long function is sufficient to rescue retinal lamination and function. In moe or epb4.115long injected moe mutants at 6 dpf, Müller glial cell processes are properly oriented and span the thickness of the retina, and the retina has distinct nuclear layers. Furthermore, the vast majority of rod and double cone photoreceptors localize correctly to outer most portion of the retina to form an outer nuclear layer.

Immunohistochemical and ultrastructural analysis of Epb4.115long rescued moe mutant retinas, revealed that rescued rods form outer segments, but they were not always oriented with their outer segments toward the RPE (Figure 5D-I, 6D-F). This may be a consequence of the failure of the rescued individuals to establish or maintain the OLM (Figure 6C). Despite the morphological defects of rods and cones in the moe mutants injected with epb4.115long mRNA, many of these larvae are visually competent as tested by optokinetic response. Interestingly, our ultrastructural analysis revealed that moe rods formed outer segments, complete with organized membranous discs, suggesting that mechanisms that dictate apical opsin transport and disc formation do not require moe function.

Previously, we showed that Moe function is required for the localization of Crb2a and ZO-1 protein at the apical surface of the developing retina in zebrafish embryos [7,21]. We show here that in the wild type retina at 6 dpf, anti-panCrb labeling localizes just above the OLM in the subapical region. In moe photoreceptors, anti-panCrb labeling is not detectible, and ZO-1 appears disorganized. When we examined photoreceptors in epb4.115long mRNA rescued moe- mutants at 6 dpf, which is several days after detectable Epb4.115long protein, we observed that both ZO-1 and Crumbs proteins are present in the photoreceptor region (Figure 6C). The design of our rescue experiment allowed us to analyze the localization of Crumbs proteins and ZO-1 in rescued moe- photoreceptors several days after exogenous Epb4.115long was gone. In epb4.115long mRNA injected moe- mutants, ZO-1 and panCrb labeling is not normal and mislocalized ectopic plagues of ZO-1 and panCrb labeling appears to be at the interface of photoreceptors, and/or photoreceptors and Müller glia and there is no clear relationship between ZO-1 and anti-panCrb labeling. Thus, Epb4.115/Moe function is required to maintain, or establish, the OLM and the localization of Crumbs proteins relative to it.

We measured the size of rod outer segments in moe- mutants that had been injected with epb4.115long mRNA but that lack measurable Moe protein during photoreceptor morphogenesis, and found that these genetically moe-deficient rods were nearly twice the normal size (362 μm3 compared to wild-type outer segments 197 μm3). This observation is in agreement with previous data from our
lab and others implicating Moe and the Drosophila orthologue, Yurt, as negative regulators of apical membrane size in photoreceptors [20,21]. We observed that in uninjectected moe- mutants, rod outer segments are smaller than wild-types (90.9 μm³ compared to 362 μm³), this could be a consequence of the general ill health of moe mutants at 6 dpf, and/or the isolation of photoreceptors from factors secreted by the RPE and Müller glia [30,31].

Conclusion

Our strategy to use comparative genomics and protein engineering has revealed that the function of Moe/Epb4.1l5 protein is modular and that particular regions can be assigned particular functions. We also show that the C’ terminal domain that encodes the PDZ-binding domain in Moe and Epb4.1l5long is important but not sufficient to confer full protein function to the FERM domain and that other sequences in Moe and Epb4.1l5long are important. The next challenge will be to identify the PDZ-containing protein that interacts with the PDZ-binding domain in Moe/Epb4.1l5long and the additional protein(s) that interact with the unique sequences in Epb4.1l5long. Although the role of the Crumbs complex in epithelial morphogenesis has been much studied, the molecular mechanism of the Crumbs complex function is still unknown. The identification of additional proteins that interact with Moe/Epb4.1l5long may help to determine the mechanistic function of the Crumbs complex.

Methods

Animals

AB wild-type strain and the moe781 allele were maintained and staged as described previously [7,32]. For analysis requiring EGFP-expressing rods, the moe781 allele was crossed into the Tg(Xop:EGFP) transgenic line [33]. To block pigmentation, embryos were treated with 2.5 μg/mL phenylthiourea (PTU) beginning at about 20 hpf.

RNA injections

For mRNA transcription, PCRTopoII or pBSII vectors containing the cDNAs of full-length moe, epb4.1l5long, epb4.1l5short, or myc-tagged fusions of the following constructs; epb4.1l5long, epb4.1l5FERM (1–346 N-terminal amino acids), epb4.1l5long_PBD (epb4.1l5long with the four C-terminal TTEL deleted), epb4.1l5short-long_PBD (short C-terminal AAMTEI replaced with LLTTEL) or epb4.1l5long-short_PBD (long C-terminal LLTTEL replaced with AAMTEI), were linearized by NotI or PspOMI restriction digest, and transcribed with the Sp6 or T7 Message Machine transcription kit (Ambion). Roughly 100–250 pg (amount varied per construct for a final 0.2 fM) of mRNA was injected into yolk of 1–4 cell embryos obtained from an incross of moe781 or moe781/Xop-GFP heterozygotes. For those mRNAs that failed to rescue at the above molarity, we tested whether injecting more rescued, and in all cases higher amounts failed. Concurrent with immunohistochemical analysis, we probed with anti-Moe on alternate sections to confirm the moe genotype. All constructs were sequenced prior to mRNA synthesis.

Antibody production

We generated rabbit polyclonal antibodies against the C-terminal 20 amino acids of mammalian Crb2 (N’ AGAR-LEMDSVLKVPPEERLI C’; 95% identity with D. ripio Crb2a, 90% identity with Crb2b) conjugated to keyhole limpet hemocyanin. This anti-Crb antibody recognizes GST-tagged recombinant purified intracellular domains of D. ripio Crb1, Crb2a, Crb2b, Crb3a, and Crb3b by western blot but not GST alone (data not shown). Rabbit antibodies were generated to be specific for either Epb4.1l5long or Epb4.1l5short by immunizing rabbits with purified recombinant His-Epb4.1l5long_445–731 and His-Epb4.1l5short_445–504 protein that was purified with His-Link resin (Promega). All rabbits were immunized and boosted with about 500 μg protein in PBS (University of Massachusetts-Amherst antibody facility). Anti-Epb4.1l5short serum was affinity purified against 2 mg GST-Epb4.1l5short_445–504 (cDNA cloned into pGEX-4T1 vector (Amersham Biosciences) that was purified with Glutathione Sepharose (Amersham Biosciences), cross-linked to a NHS-activated Sepharose (Amersham Biosciences) column, and eluted in 100 mM glycine pH 2.5. Affinity purified antibodies were dialyzed against PBS, and BSA was added to 1mg/ml and glycerol to 50%.

Western blot analysis

Protein was extracted from mouse tissues by homogenization in PBS with protease inhibitors (Complete Mini, Roche), 1 mM AEBSF, 0.2 mM Na2SO4, 1% Trition X-100. Protein was extracted from mouse tissues by homogenizing zebrafish embryos or larvae in 2 μL lysis buffer (18 μl/ml of total protein from each tissue was resolved on a 10% SDS-PAGE gel. Zebrafish protein was prepared by homogenizing zebrafish embryos or larvae in 2 μl reducing sample buffer (plus protease inhibitors) per individual. To reduce interference from yolk proteins, embryos were deyolked according to Link et al., 2006 [34]. Samples were denatured at 100 °C for 5 min, vortexed, and insoluble debris pelleted by centrifugation. Western blotting was conducted according to standard procedures. Primary antibodies: rabbit anti-Epb4.1l5long_1:750; affinity purified rabbit anti-Epb4.1l5short_1:15, 5 μg/mL final; rabbit anti-Myc 1:10,000 (Bethyl); mouse anti-α-Tubulin 1:2000 (Developmental Studies Hybridoma Bank).

Immunohistochemistry

Zebrafish embryos and larvae were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde/0.1M NaPO4 pH 7.2 for 1 hr at room temperature, washed in 0.1M NaPO4 pH 7.2, embedded in agar, and equilibrated in 30% sucrose, and frozen sections cut (18 μm or 30 μm). Sections were incubated in blocking
solution (20% goat serum, 10 mg/mL BSA, 145 mM NaCl, 10 mM lysine, 50 mM Tris pH 7.4, 0.1% Tween-20), then in primary and secondary antibody, overnight at 4 °C and 3 hours at room temperature respectively (rabbit anti-Moe 1:500, rabbit anti-panCrb 1:1000, mouse anti-ZO-1 1:10, rabbit anti-CAl1 1:500, mouse anti-ZPR1 1:100, mouse anti-Rhodopsin 1:100 (B6–30), goat anti-mouse and goat anti-rabbit 1:100 (Molecular Probes and Jackson ImmunoResearch), and TO-PRO-3 1:500 (Invitrogen)). Slides were cover-slipped with Prolong anti-fade reagent (Invitrogen). Images were acquired on a Zeiss 510C META confocal microscope and processed with ImageJ 1.37v [35]. Volume determination of outer segments was performed on albino larvae by quantifying the voxels represented by Rhodopsin (B6–30) labeling in 3D reconstructions with the Imagel plugin Sync Measure 3D [36].

Transmission electron microscopy

Larvae were fixed at 6 days in 4% PFA/0.5% glutaraldehyde/0.1M NaPO4 pH 7.2 overnight at 4 °C. Samples were rinsed, incubated in 1% osmium tetroxide in PBS at room temperature, dehydrated in an ethanol series, and then rotary in a 1:1 ratio of 80% ethanol:LR White resin (Electron Microscopy Sciences) for one hour at room temperature, and in a change of the same solution overnight at room temperature. Samples were then equilibrated in 100% LR White 2X for 2 hours, and polymerized. Ultrathin sections (100 nm) were cut then stained with 2% uranyl acetate for 30 min and 0.5% lead citrate for 12 min at room temperature. Sections were visualized on a JOEL100S Transmission Electron Microscope.

Optokinetic response

Briefly, Optokinetic Response (OKR) was measured according to protocol modified from Rinner et al., 2005 [37], at 5 dpf in WT, m6eh781, and epb4.1l5long mRNA injected m6eh781 mutants that were immobilized in a drop of 2% Methyl Cellulose. A dissecting scope was used to visualize Tracked eye Movements (TM) per minute in response to a moving visual stimulus. Cycling vertical lines were generated with Optomotor 1.2 software (f. 1Hz; λ, 120 pxls; speed, 5), and rear projected with an inFocus LCD digital projector on a 180° curved screen approximately 4.5 cm from larvae (Optomotor 1.2 software was generously provided by Harold Burgess).

Authors’ contributions

AKC participated in study design, data collection and analysis, and drafted the manuscript. AMJ conceived of the study, and participated in its design and drafted the manuscript.

Acknowledgements

The authors are grateful for the following: Paul Linser for anti-Carbonyl Anhydrase II antibody; Mikio Furuse for anti-ZO-1 (T8754); Paul Hargrave for anti-Rhodopsin (B6–30); Jim Fadool for EGFP rod transgenic fish; Jeff Lee and Kathryn Anderson for mouse epb4.1l5long cDNA; Harold Burgess for Optomotor 1.2 software. We thank John W Illioguy for help with design and production of some of the Epb41.15 constructs and Judy Bennett for fish care. This research was supported by the NIH, EY015420.

References

1. Tepass U, Theres C, Knust E: Crumbs encodes an EGF-like protein expressed on apical membranes of Drosophila epithelial cells and is required for organization of epithelia. Cell 1990, 61:787-799.
2. Wodor Az, Hinz U, Engelbert M, Knust E: Expression of Crumbs confers apical character on plasma membrane domains of ectodermal epithelia of Drosophila. Cell 1995, 82:267-76.
3. 2007, JH, Fan S, Liu CJ, Margolis B: The Crumbs3-Pal complexes participate in the establishment of polarity in mammalian epithelial cells. J Cell Sci 2003, 116:2895-2906.
4. O mori Y, Malicki j: oko meduz and related crumbs genes are determinants of apical cell features in the vertebrate retina. FEBS J 2006, 269:945-957.
5. Malicki J, Drierer W: oko meduz mutations affect neuronal patterning in the zebrafish retina and reveal cell-cell interactions of the retinal neuroepithelial sheet. Development 1999, 126:1235-1246.
6. Horrie-Badovicac S, Lin D, W aldron S, Schwarz M, Mbamu G, Paw- son T, Jan Y, Stainer DY, Abdelallah-Seffried S: Positional cloning of heart and soul reveals multiple roles for PKC lambda in zebrafish organogenesis. Curr Biol 2001, 11:1492-1502.
7. Jensen AM, Waker C, W estfield M: mosaic eyes, a zebrafish gene required in pigment epithelium, is essential for optical localization of retinal cell division and lamination. Development 2001, 128:95-105.
8. W ek X, Malicki J: nagie oko, encoding a MAGUK-family protein, is essential for cellular patterning of the retina. Nature Genet 2002, 31:150-157.
9. Cui S, Otten C, Rohr S, Abdelallah-Seffried S, Link BA: Analysis of aPKClambda and aPKCzeta reveals multiple and redundant functions during vertebrate retinogenesis. Mol Cell Neurosci 2007, 34:431-444.
10. Koike C, Nishida A, Akimoto K, N akaaya M, N ota T, O hno S, Furuki- kawa T: Function of atypical protein kinase C lambda in differentiating photoreceptors is required for proper lamination of mouse retina. [J Neurosci 2005, 25:10290-10298.
11. Pelliccia K, Tanentzapf G, Pinto M, Christian S, McGlade CJ, Ready DF, Tepass U: Crumbs, the Drosophila homologue of human CRB1/RP12, is essential for photoreceptor morphogenesis. Nature 2002, 416:143-149.
12. Izaddoost S, N am SC, Bhat MA, Choi KW: Drosophila Crumbs is a positional cue in photoreceptors adherent junctions and rhabdomeres. Nature 2002, 416:178-183.
13. Mehawol AK, K ameya S, Smith RS, Hawes N L, Deemre JM, Young JA, Bechtold L, Haider NB, Tepass U, Heckenlively JR, Chang B, N appert JK, N ishina PM: CRB1 is essential for external limiting membrane integrity and photoreceptor morphogenesis in the mammalian retina. Hum Mol Genet 2002, 12:2179-2189.
14. van de Pavert SA, Kantardzhieva A, Malyseva A, Meuleman J, Vers- tegg I, Levet C, Klooster J, Geiger S, Seeliger MW, Rashbass P, Le Bivic A, W ijnhofs J: Crumbs homologue 1 is required for maintenance of photoreceptor cell polarization and adhesion during light exposure. J Cell Sci 2004, 117:1469-1477.
15. den Hollander AI, ten Brink JB, van Dok YK, van Soest S, van den Born LI, van Driel MA, van de Po1 DJ, Payne AM, Bhattacharya SS, Keliner U, H oynig CB, W esterveld A, Brunner HG, Bleeker-Wagemakers EM, Deutman AF, Heckenlively JR, Cremers FP, et al: Mutations in a human homologue of Drosophila Crumbs cause retinitis pigmentosa (RP12). Nature Genet 1999, 23:217-221.
16. den Hollander AI, Heckenlively JR, van den Born LI, van Dok YK, Van der Velde-Visser SD, Keliner U, Jurkles B, van Schooneveld M, Blank- enagel A, Roehrschneider K, Wissinger B, Cruysberg JR, Deutman AF, Brunner HG, Apfelstedt-Sylla E, Hoynig CB, Cremers FP: Leber congenital amaurosis and retinitis pigmentosa with Coats-like exudative vascularopathy are associated with mutations in the Crumbs homologue 1 (CRB1) gene. Am J Hum Genet 2001, 69:198-203.
17. Lotery AJ, Jacobson SG, Fishman GA, W eleder RG, Fulton AB, N amperumalsamy P, H éon E, Levin AV, Grover S, Rosenow JR, Kopp KK, et al: The Crumbs homologue 1 (CRB1) gene is essential for photoreceptor morphogenesis. Nature Genet 2002, 31:150-157.

MAJ conceived of the study, and participated in its design and drafted the manuscript.

Acknowledgements

The authors are grateful to the following: Paul Linser for anti-Carbonyl Anhydrase II antibody; Mikio Furuse for anti-ZO-1 (T8754); Paul Hargrave for anti-Rhodopsin (B6–30); Jim Fadool for EGFP rod transgenic fish; Jeff Lee and Kathryn Anderson for mouse epb4.1l5long cDNA; Harold Burgess for Optomotor 1.2 software. We thank John W Illioguy for help with design and production of some of the Epb41.15 constructs and Judy Bennett for fish care. This research was supported by the NIH, EY015420.
Sheffield VC, Stone EM: Mutations in the CRB1 gene cause Leber congenital amaurosis. Arch Ophthalmol 2001, 119(3):415-420.

18. Gerber S, Perrault I, Hanne J, Salme S, Zlotogora J, Barbet F, Ducroc D, Dufer J, Munnich A, Rozet J, Kaplan J: A novel mutation disrupting the cytoplasmic domain of CRB1 in a large consanguineous family of Palestinian origin affected with Leber congenital amaurosis. Ophthalmol Genet 2002, 23(4):225-235.

19. Jacobson SG, Cideciyan AV, Aleman TS, Pianta MJ, Sumaroka A, Schwartz SB, Smilko EE, Milam AH, Sheffield VC, Stone EM: Crumbs homolog 1 (CRB1) mutations result in a thick human retina with abnormal lamination. Hum Mol Genet 2003, 12:1073-1078.

20. Laprise P, Berojna S, Silva-Gagliardi NF, Pellikka M, Jensen AM, McGlade CJ, Tepass U: The FERM protein Yurt is a regulatory component of the Crumbs complex that controls epithelial polarity and apical membrane size. Dev Cell 2006, 11:363-374.

21. Hsu Y, Willoughby JJ, Christensen AK, Jensen AM: Mosaic Eyes is a novel component of the Crumbs complex and negatively regulates photoreceptor apical size. Development 2006, 133:4849-4859.

22. Jensen AM, Westerfield M: Zebrafish Mosaic Eyes is a novel FERM protein required for retinal lamination and retinal pigmented epithelial tight junction formation. Curr Biol 2004, 14:711-717.

23. Hoover KB, Bryant PJ: Drosophila Yurt is a new protein-4.1-like protein required for epithelial morphogenesis. Dev Genes Evol 2002, 212:223-238.

24. Lee JD, Silva-Gagliardi NF, Tepass U, McGlade CJ, Anderson KV: The FERM protein Epb41l5 is required for organization of the neural plate and for the epithelial-mesenchymal transition at the primitive streak of the mouse embryo. Development 2005, 132:2671-2006.

25. Songyang Z, Fanning AS, Fu C, Xu J, Marfatia SM, Chishti AH, Crompton A, Chan AC, Anderson JM, Cantley LC: Recognition of unique carboxyl-terminal motifs by distinct PDZ domains. Science 1997, 275:73-77.

26. Easter SS Jr, Nicola GN: The development of vision in the zebrasift (Danio rerio). Dev Biol 1996, 180:646-663.

27. Gosens I, Sessa A, den Hollander AL, Letteboer SJF, Belloni V, Arends ML, Le Bivic A, Cremer FPM, Broccoli V, Roepman R: FERM protein EPB41L5 is a novel member of the mammalian CRB-MPP5 polarity complex. Exp Cell Res 2007, 313:3959-3970.

28. Fanning AS, Anderson JM: PDZ-domains: fundamental building blocks in the organization of protein complexes at the plasma membrane. J Clin Invest 1999, 103:767-772.

29. Rob MH, Margolis B: Composition and function of PDZ protein complexes during cell polarization. Am J Physiol Renal Physiol 2003, 285:F377-F387.

30. Jablonski MM, Tombran-Tink J, Mrazek DA, Iannaccone A: Pigment epithelium-derived factor supports normal development of photoreceptor neurons and opsin expression after retinal pigment epithelial removal. J Neurosci 2000, 20:7149-7157.

31. Wang X, Iannaccone A, Jablonski MM: Contribution of Muller cells toward the regulation of photoreceptor outer segment assembly. Nuron Glia Biol 2005, 1:6.

32. Westerfield M: The Zebrafish Book. Eugene, Oregon: University of Oregon Press; 1995.

33. Fadool JM: Development of a rod photoreceptor mosaic revealed in transgenic zebrasift. Dev Biol 2003, 258:277-290.

34. Link V, Shevchenko A, Heisenberg CP: Proteomics of early zebrafish embryos. BMC Dev Biol 2006, 6:1.

35. ImageJ, Image process and analysis in Java [http://rsb.info.nih.gov/ij/]

36. ImageJ, Sync Windows and Sync Measure 3D [http://rsb.info.nih.gov/ij/plugins/sync-windows.html]

37. Rinner O, Rick JM, Neuhauß SC: Contrast Sensitivity, Spatial and Temporal Tuning of the Larval Zebrafish Optokinetic Response. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci 2005, 46:137-142.

Submit your manuscript here: http://www.biomedcentral.com/info/publishing_adv.asp

BioMed Central and every scientist can read your work free of charge

"BioMed Central will be the most significant development for disseminating the results of biomedical research in our lifetime."
Sir Paul Nurse, Cancer Research UK

Your research papers will be:
- available free of charge to the entire biomedical community
- peer reviewed and published immediately upon acceptance
- cited in PubMed and archived on PubMed Central
- yours — you keep the copyright