Some Identities of Fully Degenerate Bernoulli Polynomials Associated with Degenerate Bernstein Polynomials
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Abstract: In this paper, we investigate some properties and identities for fully degenerate Bernoulli polynomials in connection with degenerate Bernstein polynomials by means of bosonic \( p \)-adic integrals on \( \mathbb{Z}_p \) and generating functions. Furthermore, we study two variable degenerate Bernstein polynomials and the degenerate Bernstein operators.
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1. Introduction

Let \( p \) be a fixed prime number. Throughout this paper, \( \mathbb{Z} \), \( \mathbb{Z}_p \), \( \mathbb{Q}_p \) and \( \mathbb{C}_p \), will denote the ring of rational integers, the ring of \( p \)-adic integers, the field of \( p \)-adic rational numbers and the completion of algebraic closure of \( \mathbb{Q}_p \), respectively. The \( p \)-adic norm \( |q|_p \) is normalized as \( |p|_p = \frac{1}{p} \).

For \( \lambda, t \in \mathbb{C}_p \) with \( |\lambda|_p < \frac{1}{p-1} \) and \( |t|_p < 1 \), the degenerate Bernoulli polynomials are defined by the generating function to be

\[
\frac{t}{(1 + \lambda t)^{\frac{1}{\lambda}} - 1} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \beta_n(x|\lambda) \frac{t^n}{n!}, \tag{1}
\]

(See [1–3]). When \( x = 0 \), \( \beta_n(\lambda) = \beta_n(0|\lambda) \) are called the degenerate Bernoulli numbers. Note that \( \lim_{\lambda \to 0} \beta_n(x|\lambda) = B_n(x) \), where \( B_n(x) \) are the ordinary Bernoulli polynomials defined by

\[
\frac{t}{e^t - 1} e^{xt} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} B_n(x) \frac{t^n}{n!}, \tag{2}
\]

and \( B_n = B_n(0) \) are called the Bernoulli numbers. The degenerate exponential function is defined by

\[
e^{x\lambda}(t) = (1 + \lambda t)^{\frac{1}{\lambda}} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (x)_{n\lambda} \frac{t^n}{n!}, \tag{3}
\]

where \( (x)_{0\lambda} = 1, (x)_{n\lambda} = x(x-\lambda)(x-2\lambda) \cdots (x-(n-1)\lambda) \), for \( n \geq 1 \). From (1), we get

\[
\beta_n(x|\lambda) = \sum_{l=0}^{n} \binom{n}{l} \beta_l(\lambda) (x)_{n-l\lambda}. \tag{4}
\]
Recently, Kim-Kim introduced the degenerate Bernstein polynomials given by
\[
\frac{(x)_{k,\lambda}}{k!} (1 + \lambda t)^{\frac{1}{\lambda}} = \sum_{n=k}^{\infty} B_{k,n}(x|\lambda) \frac{t^n}{n!},
\]
(5)
(See [4–6]). Thus, by (5), we note that
\[
B_{k,n}(x|\lambda) = \begin{cases} \binom{n}{k} (1 - x)_{n-k,\lambda}, & \text{if } n \geq k, \\ 0, & \text{if } n < k. \end{cases}
\]
(6)
where \( n, k \) are non-negative integers. Let \( UD(\mathbb{Z}_p) \) be the space of uniformly differentiable functions on \( \mathbb{Z}_p \). For \( f \in UD(\mathbb{Z}_p) \), the degenerate Bernstein operator of order \( n \) is given by
\[
B_{n,\lambda}(f|\lambda) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} f \left( \frac{k}{n} \right) \binom{n}{k} (1 - x)_{n-k,\lambda}
\]
(7)
(See [4–6]). The bosonic \( p \)-adic integral on \( \mathbb{Z}_p \) is defined by Volkenborn as
\[
\int_{\mathbb{Z}_p} f(x) d\mu_0(x) = \lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{p^N} \sum_{x=0}^{p^N-1} f(x),
\]
(8)
(see [7]). By (8), we get
\[
\int_{\mathbb{Z}_p} f(x+1) d\mu_0(x) - \int_{\mathbb{Z}_p} f(x) d\mu_0(x) = f'(0),
\]
(9)
where \( \left. \frac{d}{dx} f(x) \right|_{x=0} = f'(0) \).

From (8), Kim-Seo [8] proposed fully degenerate Bernoulli polynomials which are reformulated in terms of bosonic \( p \)-adic integral on \( \mathbb{Z}_p \) as
\[
\int_{\mathbb{Z}_p} (1 + \lambda t)^{x+y} d\mu_0(y) = \frac{1}{(1 + \lambda t)^{\frac{1}{\lambda}} - 1} \log(1 + \lambda t) \frac{t^n}{n!} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} B_n(x|\lambda) \frac{t^n}{n!},
\]
(10)
and for \( x = 0 \), \( B_n(\lambda) = B_n(0|\lambda) \) are called fully degenerate Bernoulli numbers.

Note that the fully degenerate Bernoulli polynomial was named Daehee polynomials with \( \alpha \)-parameter in [9]. On the other hand,
\[
\int_{\mathbb{Z}_p} (1 + \lambda t)^{x+y} d\mu_0(y) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{Z}_p} (x+y)_{n,\lambda} d\mu_0(y) \frac{t^n}{n!},
\]
(11)
By (10) and (11), we get
\[
\int_{\mathbb{Z}_p} (x+y)_{n,\lambda} d\mu_0(y) = B_n(x|\lambda), \quad (n \geq 0).
\]
(12)
Recall that the Daehee polynomials are defined by the generating function to be
\[
\frac{\log(1+t)}{t} (1+t)^{\frac{1}{\lambda}} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} D_n(x) \frac{t^n}{n!},
\]
(13)
and for \( x = 0 \), \( D_n = D_n(0) \) are called the Daehee numbers (see [10,11]).
Also, the higher order Daehee polynomials are defined by the generating function to be
\[
\left( \frac{\log(1+t)}{t} \right)^k (1+t)^x = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} D_n^{(k)}(x) \frac{t^n}{n!},
\]
and for \( x = 0, D_n^{(k)} = D_n^{(k)}(0) \) are called the higher order Daehee numbers. From (10), we observe
\[
\frac{1}{x} \log(1+\lambda t) \left( 1 + \lambda t \right)^x - 1 = \frac{t}{1 + \lambda t} \frac{\log(1+\lambda t)}{\lambda t} = \left( \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \beta_m(x|\lambda) \frac{t^m}{m!} \right) \left( \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} D_l(\lambda l) \frac{t^l}{l!} \right)
\]
\[
= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left( \sum_{m=0}^{n} \binom{n}{m} \beta_m(x|\lambda) D_{n-m} \lambda^{n-m} \right) \frac{t^n}{n!}.
\]
By (10) and (14), we get
\[
B_n(x|\lambda) = \sum_{m=0}^{n} \binom{n}{m} \beta_m(x|\lambda) D_{n-m} \lambda^{n-m}, \quad (n \geq 0).
\]
From (3) and (10), we observe that
\[
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} B_n(x|\lambda) \frac{t^n}{n!} = \frac{1}{x} \log(1+\lambda t) \left( 1 + \lambda t \right)^x - 1 = \left( \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} B_m(\lambda) \frac{t^m}{m!} \right) \left( \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} (x|\lambda)_l \frac{t^l}{l!} \right)
\]
\[
= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left( \sum_{m=0}^{n} \binom{n}{m} B_m(\lambda) (x)_n \lambda^m \right) \frac{t^n}{n!}.
\]
By (17), we get
\[
B_n(x|\lambda) = \sum_{m=0}^{n} \binom{n}{m} B_m(\lambda) (x)_n \lambda^m, \quad (n \geq 0).
\]
From (1) and (3), we note that
\[
t = (1+\lambda t)^{\frac{1}{x}} - 1 = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \beta_m(\lambda) \frac{t^m}{m!}
\]
\[
= \left( \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} (1|\lambda)_l \frac{t^l}{l!} \right) \left( \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \beta_m(\lambda) \frac{t^m}{m!} \right) - \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \beta_m(\lambda) \frac{t^m}{m!}
\]
\[
= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left( \sum_{m=0}^{n} \binom{n}{m} (1|\lambda)_{n-m} \beta_m(\lambda) \right) \frac{t^n}{n!} - \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \beta_n(\lambda) \frac{t^n}{n!}
\]
\[
= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left( \sum_{m=0}^{n} \binom{n}{m} (1|\lambda)_{n-m} \beta_m(\lambda) - \beta_n(\lambda) \right) \frac{t^n}{n!}.
\]
Comparing the coefficients on both sides of (19), we get
\[
\sum_{m=0}^{n} \binom{n}{m} (1|\lambda)_{n-m} \beta_m(\lambda) - \beta_n(\lambda) = \delta_{1,n}, \quad (n \geq 0),
\]
where \( \delta_{k,n} \) is the Kronecker’s symbol.
By (4) and (20), we have

$$\beta_n(1|\lambda) - \beta_n(\lambda) = \delta_{1,n}. \quad (21)$$

The generating function of fully degenerate Bernoulli polynomials introduced in (5) can be expressed as bosonic $p$-adic integral but the generating function of degenerate Bernoulli polynomials introduced in (1) is not expressed as a bosonic $p$-adic integral. This is why we considered the fully degenerate Bernoulli polynomials, and the motivation of this paper is to investigate some identities of them associated with degenerate Bernstein polynomials.

In this paper, we consider the fully degenerate Bernoulli polynomials and investigate some properties and identities for these polynomials in connection with degenerate Bernstein polynomials by means of bosonic $p$-adic integrals on $\mathbb{Z}_p$ and generating functions. Furthermore, we study two variable degenerate Bernstein polynomials and the degenerate Bernstein operators.

2. Fully Degenerate Bernoulli and Bernstein Polynomials

From (10), we observe that

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} B_n(1-x|\lambda) \frac{t^n}{n!} = \frac{\frac{1}{\lambda} \log(1 + \lambda t)}{(1 + \lambda t)^{1-x} - 1}$$

$$= \left( -\frac{1}{\lambda} \right) \frac{(1 + (-\lambda)(-t))}{(1 + (-\lambda)(-t))^{-\frac{x}{\lambda}} - 1}$$

$$= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} B_n(x - \lambda)(-1)^n \frac{t^n}{n!}. \quad (22)$$

From (22), we obtain the following Lemma.

**Lemma 1.** For $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, we have

$$B_n(1 - x|\lambda) = (-1)^n B_n(x - \lambda). \quad (23)$$

From (16) and (21), we get

$$B_n(1|\lambda) - B_n(\lambda) = \sum_{m=0}^{n} \binom{n}{m} (\beta_m(1|\lambda) - \beta_m(\lambda)) D_{n-m} \lambda^{n-m}$$

$$= \sum_{m=0}^{n} \binom{n}{m} \delta_{1,m} D_{n-m} \lambda^{n-m}, \quad (n \geq 0). \quad (24)$$

From (1), we observe that

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \beta_n(x + 1|\lambda) \frac{t^n}{n!} = (1 + \lambda t) \frac{\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \beta_m(x|\lambda) \frac{t^m}{m!}}{1 - (1 + \lambda t) \frac{t^m}{m!}}$$

$$= \left( \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \binom{n}{l} \frac{t^l}{l!} \right) \left( \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \beta_m(x|\lambda) \frac{t^m}{m!} \right)$$

$$= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left( \sum_{m=0}^{n} \binom{n}{m} (1)_{n-m,\lambda} \beta_m(x|\lambda) \right) \frac{t^n}{n!}. \quad (25)$$

By (25), we get

$$\beta_n(x + 1|\lambda) = \sum_{m=0}^{n} \binom{n}{m} (1)_{n-m,\lambda} \beta_m(x|\lambda). \quad (26)$$
By (26), with $x = 1$, we have
\[
\beta_n(2|\lambda) = \sum_{m=0}^{n} \binom{n}{m} \beta_m(1|\lambda)(1)_{n-m,\lambda}
\]
\[
=(1)_{n,\lambda} \beta_0(1|\lambda) + n(1)_{n-1,\lambda} \beta_1(1|\lambda) + \sum_{m=2}^{n} \binom{n}{m} \beta_m(1|\lambda)(1)_{n-m,\lambda}
\]
\[
=(1)_{n,\lambda} + n(1)_{n-1,\lambda} (\beta_1(\lambda) - 1) + \sum_{m=2}^{n} \binom{n}{m} \beta_m(1|\lambda)(1)_{n-m,\lambda}
\]
\[
=(1)_{n,\lambda} + n(1)_{n-1,\lambda} \beta_1(\lambda) - n(1)_{n-1,\lambda} + \sum_{m=2}^{n} \binom{n}{m} \beta_m(1|\lambda)(1)_{n-m,\lambda}
\]
\[
= - n(1)_{n-1,\lambda} + \sum_{m=0}^{n} \binom{n}{m} \beta_m(1|\lambda)(1)_{n-m,\lambda}
\]
\[
= - n(1)_{n-1,\lambda} + \beta_n(1|\lambda).
\]

Therefore, by (27), we obtain the following theorem.

**Theorem 1.** For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have
\[
\beta_n(2|\lambda) = -n(1)_{n-1,\lambda} + \beta_n(1|\lambda).
\] (28)

Note that
\[
(1 - x)_{n,\lambda} = (-1)^n (x - 1)_{n,\lambda}, \quad (n \geq 0).
\] (29)

Therefore by (12), (23), and (29), we get
\[
\int_{\mathbb{Z}_p} (1 - x)_{n,\lambda} d\mu_0(x) = (-1)^n \int_{\mathbb{Z}_p} (x - 1)_{n,\lambda} d\mu_0(x) = \int_{\mathbb{Z}_p} (x + 2)_{n,\lambda} d\mu_0(x).
\] (30)

Therefore, by (30) and Theorem 1, we obtain the following theorem.

**Theorem 2.** For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have
\[
\int_{\mathbb{Z}_p} (1 - x)_{n,\lambda} d\mu_0(x) = \int_{\mathbb{Z}_p} (x + 2)_{n,\lambda} d\mu_0(x) = n(1)_{n-1,\lambda} (\lambda - 1) B_1(\lambda) + \int_{\mathbb{Z}_p} (x)_{n,\lambda} d\mu_0(x).
\] (31)

**Corollary 1.** For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have
\[
(-1)^n B_n(-1|\lambda) = (1)_{n-1,\lambda} (1 - n B_1(\lambda)) + B_n(\lambda) = B_n(2|\lambda).
\] (32)

By (17), we get
\[
B_n(1 - x|\lambda) = \sum_{m=0}^{n} \binom{n}{m} B_m(\lambda)(1 - x)_{n-m,\lambda}
\]
\[
= \sum_{m=0}^{n} \binom{n}{m} (x)_{m,\lambda}(1 - x)_{n-m,\lambda} \frac{B_m(\lambda)}{(x)_{m,\lambda}}
\]
\[
= \sum_{m=0}^{n} B_{m,n}(x|\lambda) B_m(\lambda) \frac{1}{(x)_{m,\lambda}}.
\] (33)
In [8], we note that
\[
\frac{1}{(x)_{m,\lambda}} = \frac{1}{x(x - \lambda)(x - 2\lambda) \cdots (x - (m - 1)\lambda)} = \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \frac{(-1)^k}{(m-1)!} \binom{m-1}{k} (-\lambda)^{1-m} \frac{1}{x - k\lambda}, \quad (m \in \mathbb{N}).
\] (34)

By (33) and (34) we get
\[
B_n(1 - x|\lambda) = \sum_{m=0}^{n} B_{m,n}(x|\lambda)B_m(\lambda) \frac{1}{(x)_{m,\lambda}}
= (1 - x)_{n,\lambda} + \sum_{m=1}^{n} B_{m,n}(x|\lambda)B_m(\lambda) \frac{1}{(x)_{m,\lambda}}
= (1 - x)_{n,\lambda} + \sum_{m=1}^{n} B_{m,n}(x|\lambda)B_m(\lambda) \frac{(-\lambda)^{1-m}}{(m-1)!} \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} (-1)^k \binom{m-1}{k} \frac{1}{x - k\lambda}.
\] (35)

Therefore, by (35), we obtain the following theorem.

**Theorem 3.** For \(n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}\), we have
\[
B_n(1 - x|\lambda) = (1 - x)_{n,\lambda} + \sum_{m=1}^{n} B_{m,n}(x|\lambda)B_m(\lambda) \frac{(-\lambda)^{1-m}}{(m-1)!} \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} (-1)^k \binom{m-1}{k} \frac{1}{x - k\lambda}.
\] (36)

**Corollary 2.** For \(n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}\), we have
\[
B_n(2|\lambda) = (2)_{n,\lambda} + \sum_{m=1}^{n} B_{m,n}(-1|\lambda)B_m(\lambda) \frac{(-\lambda)^{1-m}}{(m-1)!} \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} (-1)^k \binom{m-1}{k} \frac{1}{1 + k\lambda}.
\] (37)

For \(k \in \mathbb{N}\), the higher-order fully degenerate Bernoulli polynomials are given by the generating function
\[
\left(\frac{\frac{1}{\lambda} \log(1 + \lambda t)}{(1 + \lambda t)^\frac{1}{\lambda} - 1}\right)^k = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} B_n^{(k)}(x|\lambda)t^n n!.
\] (38)

(See [8,12,13]). When \(x = 0\), \(B_n^{(k)}(\lambda) = B_n^{(k)}(x|0)\) are called the higher-order fully degenerate Bernoulli numbers. From (5) and (38), we note that
\[
\left(\frac{\log(1 + \lambda t)}{\lambda t}\right)^k \sum_{n=k}^{\infty} B_n^{(k)}(x|\lambda)t^n n! = (x)_{k,\lambda} t^k (1 + \lambda t)^{\frac{1}{\lambda} - 1} \left(\frac{\log(1 + \lambda t)}{\lambda t}\right)^k \frac{1}{k!}
= (x)_{k,\lambda} \sum_{m=0}^{k} \binom{k}{m} (-1)^{m-k} (1 + \lambda t)^{\frac{1}{\lambda} - 1} \left(\frac{\log(1 + \lambda t)}{\lambda t}\right)^k (1 + \lambda t)^{\frac{1-k}{\lambda} - 1} \frac{1}{k!}
= (x)_{k,\lambda} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \binom{k}{m} (-1)^{-m} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} B_n(1 - x + m|\lambda)t^n n! \frac{1}{n!} \frac{1}{k!}
= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{m=0}^{k} \binom{k}{m} (-1)^{-m} B_n(1 - x + m|\lambda) \frac{1}{n!}\right) \frac{1}{n!}.
\] (39)
Theorem 4. For $k, n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$\frac{1}{k!} (x)_{n,\lambda} \sum_{m=0}^{k} \binom{k}{m} (-1)^{m-k} B_{n-m} (1-x+m|\lambda) = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \sum_{l=0}^{n} D_{l}^{(k)} \lambda^{l} B_{n-l} (x|\lambda), & \text{if } n \geq k, \\
0, & \text{if } n < k. \end{array} \right. \quad (41)$$

Let $f \in UD(Z_{p})$. For $x_1, x_2 \in \mathbb{Z}_p$, we consider the degenerate Bernstein operator of order $n$ given by

$$B_{k,n}(x_1, x_2|\lambda) = \binom{n}{k} (1-x_2)_{n-k,\lambda}, \quad (n \geq 0). \quad (43)$$

The authors [3] obtained the following:

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n} B_{k,n}(x_1, x_2|\lambda) \frac{t^{n}}{n!} = \frac{1}{t} e_{\lambda}^{1-x_2}(t). \quad (44)$$

The authors [8] obtained the following:

$$B_{k,n}(x_1, x_2|\lambda) = \binom{n}{k} (1 - (1-x_1))_{n-(n-k),\lambda} (1-x_2)_{n-k,\lambda} = B_{n-k,n}(1-x_2, 1-x_1|\lambda), \quad (45)$$

and

$$B_{k,n}(x_1, x_2|\lambda) = (1-x_2 - (n-k-1)\lambda) B_{k,n-1}(x_1, x_2|\lambda) + (x_1 - (k-1)\lambda) B_{k-1,n-1}(x_1, x_2|\lambda). \quad (46)$$

From (42), we note that $x_1, x_2 \in \mathbb{Z}_p$, if $f(x) = 1$, then we have

$$\mathbb{B}_{n,\lambda}(1|x_1, x_2) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} B_{k,n}(x_1, x_2|\lambda) \quad (47)$$

and if $f(t) = t$, then we have

$$\mathbb{B}_{n,\lambda}(t|x_1, x_2) = (x_1)_{1,\lambda}(1 + 1 - \lambda - x_2)_{n-1,\lambda}, \quad (48)$$

and if $f(t) = t^2$, then we have
Theorem 5. For \( n \in \mathbb{N} \), we have

\[
\mathbb{B}_{n,\lambda}(t^2|x_1, x_2) = \frac{1}{n} (x_1)_{1,\lambda} (x_1 - 1 - \lambda - x_2)_{n-1,\lambda} + \frac{n-1}{n} (x_1)_{2,\lambda} (1 + x_2 - 2\lambda - x_2)_{n-2,\lambda}.
\]

The authors [3] obtained the following:

\[
(x)_{1,\lambda} = \frac{1}{(x_1 + 1 - \lambda - x_2)_{n-1,\lambda}} \mathbb{B}_n(t|x_1, x_2),
\]

and

\[
(x)_{2,\lambda} = \frac{1}{(x_1 + 1 - 2\lambda - x_2)_{n-2,\lambda}} \sum_{k=2}^{n} \binom{n}{k} B_{k,n}(x_1, x_2|\lambda),
\]

and

\[
(x)_{i,\lambda} = \frac{1}{(1 + x_1 - x_2 - i\lambda)_{n-i,\lambda}} \sum_{k=i}^{n} \binom{n}{k} B_{k,n}(x_1, x_2|\lambda).
\]

Taking double bosonic \( p \)-adic integral on \( \mathbb{Z}_p \), we get the following equation:

\[
\int_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \int_{\mathbb{Z}_p} B_{k,n}(x_1, x_2|\lambda) d\mu_0(x_1) d\mu_0(x_2) = \binom{n}{k} \int_{\mathbb{Z}_p} (x_1)_{k,\lambda} d\mu_0(x_1) \int_{\mathbb{Z}_p} (1 - x_2)_{n-k,\lambda} d\mu_0(x_2).
\]

Therefore, by (53) and Theorem 2, we obtain the following theorem.

**Theorem 5.** For \( n, k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\} \), we have

\[
\int_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \int_{\mathbb{Z}_p} B_{k,n}(x_1, x_2|\lambda) d\mu_0(x_1) d\mu_0(x_2)
= \begin{cases} 
\binom{n}{k} B_n(\lambda) \left( (1)_{n-1,\lambda} n(\lambda - 1) B_n(\lambda) + B_{n-k}(\lambda) \right), & \text{if } n > k, \\
B_n(\lambda), & \text{if } n = k.
\end{cases}
\]

We get from the symmetric properties of two variable degenerate Bernstein polynomials that for \( n, k \in \mathbb{N} \) with \( n > k \),

\[
\int_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \int_{\mathbb{Z}_p} B_{k,n}(x_1, x_2|\lambda) d\mu_0(x_1) d\mu_0(x_2)
= \sum_{m=0}^{k} \binom{n}{k} \binom{k}{m} (-1)^{k+m} (1)_{m,\lambda} \\
\times \int_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \int_{\mathbb{Z}_p} (1 - x_1)_{k-m,\lambda} (1 - x_2)_{n-k,\lambda} d\mu_0(x_1) d\mu_0(x_2)
= \binom{n}{k} \int_{\mathbb{Z}_p} (1 - x_2)_{n-k} d\mu_0(x_2) \sum_{m=0}^{k} \binom{n}{k} \binom{k}{m} (-1)^{k+m} (1)_{m,\lambda} d\mu_0(x_2)
\times \left\{ (1)_{k-m,\lambda}(k-m) (-\lambda-1) B_1(-\lambda) + \int_{\mathbb{Z}_p} (x_1)_{k-m,\lambda} d\mu_0(x_1) \right\}
= \binom{n}{k} B_{n-k,\lambda}(2) \sum_{m=0}^{k} \binom{n}{k} \binom{k}{m} (-1)^{k+m} (1)_{m,\lambda} \\
\times \left\{ (1)_{k-m,\lambda}(k-m) (-\lambda-1) B_1(-\lambda) + B_{k-m,\lambda}(2) \right\}
\]

Therefore, by Theorem 5, we obtain the following theorem.
Theorem 6. For \( n, k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\} \), we have the following identities:

1. If \( n > k \), then we have

\[
B_n ((1)_{n-1, \lambda} n(\lambda - 1)B_1(\lambda) + B_{n-k}(\lambda)) \\
= B_{n-k, \lambda}(2) \sum_{m=0}^{k} \binom{n}{k} \binom{k}{m} (-1)^{k+m} (1)_{m, \lambda} \\
\times ((1)_{k-m-\lambda}(k-m)(-\lambda - 1)B_1(-\lambda) + B_{k-m-\lambda}(2)).
\] (56)

2. If \( n = k \), then we have

\[
B_k(\lambda) = \sum_{m=0}^{k} \binom{k}{m} (1)^{k+m}(1)_{k, \lambda} ((1)_{k-m-\lambda}(k-m)(-\lambda - 1)B_1(-\lambda) + B_{k-m-\lambda}(2)).
\] (57)

3. Remark

Let us assume that the probability of success in an experiment is \( p \). We wondered if we could say the probability of success in the 9th trial is still \( p \) after failing eight times in a ten trial experiment, because there is a psychological burden to be successful. It seems plausible that the probability is less than \( p \). The degenerate Bernstein polynomial \( B_n(x|\lambda) \) is used in the probability of success. Thus, we give examples in our results as follows:

Example 1. Let \( n = 2 \), we have

\[
B_2(2|\lambda) = 2(1)_{1, \lambda}(\lambda - 1)B_1(\lambda) + B_2(\lambda) \\
= 2(\lambda - 1) \left( -\frac{1}{2} \right) + \frac{\lambda}{2} + \frac{1}{6} \\
= -\frac{\lambda}{2} + \frac{7}{6}.
\]

Example 2. Let \( n = 1 \), we have

\[
B_1(1-x|\lambda) = (1-x)_{1, \lambda} + \sum_{m=1}^{1} B_{m, 1}(x|\lambda)B_m(\lambda) \frac{(-1)^{1-m} m^{-1}}{(m-1)!} \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} (-1)^k \binom{m-1}{k} \frac{1}{x-k\lambda} \\
= (1-x)_{1, \lambda} + B_{1, 1}(x|\lambda)B_1(\lambda) \frac{1}{x} \\
= -x + \frac{1}{2}.
\]

Example 3. Let \( n = 1, k = 2 \), we have

\[
(x)_{1, \lambda} \sum_{m=0}^{2} \binom{2}{m} (-1)^{m-2} B_1(1-x+m|\lambda) = x (B_1(1-x|\lambda) - 2B_1(2-x|\lambda) + B_1(3-x|\lambda)) \\
= -x \left( \left( -x + \frac{1}{2} \right) - 2 \left( -x + \frac{3}{2} \right) + \left( -x + \frac{5}{2} \right) \right) \\
= 0.
\]

4. Conclusions

In this paper, we studied the fully degenerate Bernoulli polynomials associated with degenerate Bernstein polynomials. In Section 1, Equations (12), (18), (20) and (21) are some properties of them. In Section 2, Theorems 1–3 are results of identities for fully degenerate Bernoulli polynomials in connection with degenerate Bernstein polynomials by means of bosonic \( p \)-adic integrals on \( \mathbb{Z}_p \).
and generating functions. Theorems 4–6 are results of higher-order fully Bernoulli polynomials in connection with two variable degenerate Bernstein polynomials by means of bosonic $p$-adic integrals on $\mathbb{Z}_p$ and generating functions.
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