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Isolation and Identification of Endophytic Bacteria with Plant Growth Promoting Activity and Biocontrol Potential from Wild Pistachio Trees

Faegheh Etminani and Behrouz Harighi *

Department of Plant Protection, Faculty of Agriculture, University of Kurdistan, Sanandaj, Iran

(Received on July 22, 2017; Revised on February 18, 2018; Accepted on February 26, 2018)

In this study, samples were collected from the leaves and stems of healthy wild Pistachio trees (*Pistacia atlantica* L.) from various locations of Baneh and Marivan regions, Iran. In total, 61 endophytic bacteria were isolated and grouped according to phenotypic properties. Ten selected isolates from each group were further identified by partial sequencing of the 16S rRNA gene. Based on the results, isolates were identified as bacteria belonging to *Pseudomonas, Stenotrophomonas, Bacillus, Pantoea* and *Serratia* genus. The ability of these isolates was evaluated to phytohormone production such as auxin and gibberellin, siderophore production, phosphate solubilization, atmospheric nitrogen fixation, protease and hydrogen cyanide production. All strains were able to produce the plant growth hormone auxin and gibberellin in different amounts. The majority of strains were able to solubilize phosphate. The results of atmospheric nitrogen fixation ability, protease and siderophore production were varied among strains. Only Ba66 could produce a low amount of hydrogen cyanide. The results of biocontrol assay showed that Pb78 and Sp15 strains had the highest and lowest inhibition effects on bacterial plant pathogens, *Pseudomonas syringae* pv. *syringae* Pss20 and *Pseudomonas tolaasii* Pt18 under *in vitro* condition. Pb3, Pb24 and Pb71 strains significantly promote root formation on carrot slices. To our knowledge this is the first report of the isolation of endophytic bacterial strains belonging to *Pantoea, Bacillus, Pseudomonas, Serratia* and *Stenotrophomonas* genus from wild pistachio trees with plant growth promoting potential and biocontrol activity.
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Plants are naturally associated with diverse microorganisms in various ways. One group of these microorganisms, endophytic bacteria, can colonize internal tissues of host plant, including aboveground and underground parts and seeds without damaging host cells (Reinhold-Hurek and Hurek, 2011). Bacteria reported as endophytes include a significant range of both Gram-positive and -negative bacteria belonging to genera of Alpha-, Beta- and Gamma-proteobacteria, as well as Actinobacteria and Firmicutes (Bacon and Hinton, 2006). Although research regarding endophytic bacteria is still overlooked, it is one of the most promising aspects of microbiological studies. Endophytic bacteria promote plant growth, possess the capacity to solubilize phosphate and contribute assimilable nitrogen to plants (Rosenblueth and Martinez-Romer, 2006). Furthermore, their plant growth-promoting activity may be due to the production of phytohormones and/or enzymes involved in growth regulator metabolism (Taghavi et al., 2009). These bacteria colonize the same ecological niche in plants as plant pathogens and have widely recognized mechanisms of biocontrol activity such as competition for ecological niche or substrate, production of inhibitory chemicals and induced systemic resistance in the host plants against a broad spectrum of pathogens (Compant et al., 2005). In addition, the interactions between plants and endophytic bacteria might help plants to settle in ecosystem restoration
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processes. Each plant species might be a host for one or more endophytic bacterial species. Presence of endophytic bacterial species and their population density is highly variable depending on the bacterial species, host genotypes and environmental conditions (Chebotar et al., 2015).

Although the majority of research has focused on endophytic bacteria associated with important agricultural plants because of their positive effects on the host trees, finding useful strains for forest practices might have plant growth promotion effects and improve environmental quality. It is possible that microorganisms associated with forest trees enhance host survival under harsh environmental situations. Among various microorganisms associated with forest trees, less is known about the role and diversity of their bacterial counterparts. There is little research on endophytic bacteria-forest trees interaction. Some studies have shown that the genetic background of plant hosts had direct influence on endophytic bacterial community structure (Ulrich et al., 2007). The most common genera of endophytic bacterial community isolated from forest trees include Pseudomonas, Bacillus, Acinetobacter, Actinobacteria, Sphingomonas and some genera belong to the Enterobacteriaceae family (Pirttila and Frank, 2011). Taghavi et al. (2009) reported an Enterobacter sp. strain with ability to enhance the growth of poplar cutting. Diazotrophic endophytic strains were isolated from Populus trichocarpa and Salix sitchensis trees (Doty et al., 2009). Lodgepole pine harbor endophytic bacteria, Paenibacillus polymyxa with the ability to fix nitrogen (Anand et al., 2013) and Enterobacter cloacae strains which could produce indole acetic acid under in vitro condition were isolated from Mediterranean pines (Madmony et al., 2005).

As the plant growth promoting properties of endophytic bacteria might vary, it is important to investigate such properties of bacterial populations associated with economically important forest trees. Pistacia genus belongs to the Anacardiaceae family, Pistacia atlantica subsp. kurdica and P. atlantica subsp. mutica, are the most important subspecies of wild pistachio trees growing in the Zagros forest of north-west and western part of Iran (Mozaffarian, 1998). Useful products such as resin derived from various parts of tree have high economic value. Wild pistachio trees have widespread distribution, survive and grow well under extreme environmental conditions. Despite the ecological and economic importance of wild Pistachio, no studies are found on endophytic bacteria associated with this plant and its possible role in bacteria-plant host interaction. The aims of the present study were: (i) isolation and identification of endophytic bacteria associated with wild pistachio trees from various locations of Kurdistan province, Iran, (ii) the screening of isolates for a number of plant-growth promotion potential, and (iii) evaluation of biological control potential of isolates against some bacterial pathogens under in vitro condition.

Materials and Methods

Sample collection, Isolation and characterization of endophytic bacteria. Leaf and stem samples were collected from healthy wild pistachio trees (Pistacia atlantica subsp. kurdica) growing in the Zagros mountains of Kurdistan province between June and July 2014 and 2015. The sampling locations included Sarvabad (35°30’ N, 46°35’ E), Baraqu (35°26’ N, 46°49’ E) Dezli (35°37’ N, 46°14’ E), Garan (35°52’ N, 46°19’ E), Armardeh (35°92’ N, 45°79’ E), Balakeh (35°84’ N, 45°77’ E) and Parseh (36°12’ N, 45°71’ E). Small pieces of samples were washed with tap water, sterilized with 5% sodium hypochlorite for 5 min followed by washing 4-5 times with sterile-distilled water. 50 µl of the final wash was spread onto nutrient agar medium as a control. After that, the sterilized pieces were macerated in 5 ml of sterile distilled water for 30 min and 50 µl of final suspension was cultured onto nutrient agar, nutrient agar plus 5% sucrose, King B agar and LB agar media. All plates, including control were incubated at 26-28°C for 14 days and observed daily. Morphologically distinct colonies were selected, purified on the same medium and used for further studies. Bacterial isolates were tested for catalase and oxidase activity, gram reaction and the production of fluorescent pigment on King B agar according to methods previously described (Schaad et al., 2001).

Amplification and sequencing of the 16S rRNA gene. Bacterial isolates were grown in nutrient broth medium for 24 h at 28°C and genomic DNA was extracted using phenol/chloroform procedure as previously described (Kheirandish and Harighi, 2015). Amplification of the 16S rRNA gene was performed with the universal primer pairs of iD2 (5’-AGA GTT TGA TCA TGG CTC AG-3’, position 8-27) and rP1 [5’-ACG GTT ACC TTG TTA CGA CTT-3’, position 1512-1492 (Escherichia coli)] (Weisburg et al., 1991). The PCR products were sequenced using an ABI3730XL DNA sequencer (Foster city, CA, USA). 16S rRNA gene sequences obtained was first aligned by using Ibis Therapeutics (Carlsbad, CA, USA). 16S rRNA gene sequences obtained was first aligned by using Ibis Therapeutics (Carlsbad, CA, USA) (Hall, 1999). Then, the sequences obtained were further aligned to other closely related bacterial species deposited in NCBI database using the BlastN program. The phylogenetic tree was constructed for bacterial isolates along with the sequences from selected references strains. The analysis was conduct-
ed with PAUP v. 4.0b10 (Swofford, 2003) using neighbor-joining method (Bootstrap analysis with 1000 replicates).

**Biocontrol activity of endophytic bacteria.** Two bacterial plant pathogens, *P. syringae* pv. *syringae* strain Pss20 (GeneBank accession numbers KX160011, KX160031, KX160051 and KX160071) and *P. tolaasii* strain Pt18 (GeneBank accession number KX203807) previously identified as a broad- and narrow-host range bacteria respectively were chosen as indicators. 300 µl suspension of bacterial pathogen (approximately 2×10⁷ cfu/ml) was poured into the plates containing nutrient agar and kept at room temperature for 5 min. Then, sterile paper discs pre-immersed in suspension of endophytic bacteria (concentration of about 10⁸ cfu/ml) were spotted on the pathogen-inoculated plates. Plates were incubated at 28°C for 48-72 h and the width of the inhibition zones was measured. Sterile water spotted in the plates with the pathogen was used as a control (Aliye et al., 2008). The experiment was repeated twice with four replications.

**Plant growth-promoting potential of endophytic bacteria.** All isolates were evaluated for their plant growth promotion properties. Solubilization of phosphate on Pikovskaya agar medium, siderophore production on CAS medium, ability to fix nitrogen on nitrogen-free NFb medium and HCN production were assessed using methods previously described by Naik et al. (2008), Schwyn and Neilands (1987) and Döbereiner et al. (1995), respectively. Isolates were assessed for the hydrogen cyanide (HCN) production by using method of Alstrom and Burns (1989). 50 µl of each bacterial suspension was streaked onto nutrient agar medium with whatman paper soaked in picric acid solution placed inside the lids. The plates were sealed with parafilm and incubated in an inverted position at 26-28°C for 4 days. Color change of paper to orange or red indicated HCN production.

Protease production was determined according to the method previously described (Sgroy et al., 2009) with some modifications. Plates containing skim milk agar (SMA) medium (g/l of distilled water: pancreatic digest of casein, 5; yeast extract, 2.5; glucose, 1; 7% skim milk and agar, 15) were inoculated with 10 µl of bacterial isolates, incubated at 28°C for up to 4 days and observed for the formation of the halo zone around the colonies.

**Indole acetic acid (IAA) and gibberellic acid (GA) production.** Endophytic bacterial isolates were inoculated into nutrient broth media containing 0.2% (v/v) L-tryptophan and incubated at 28°C for 10 days with vigorous shaking. Then, IAA production was calculated according to the procedure described by Rahman et al. (2010). A standard curve of various concentrations of pure IAA (Merck, Frankfurt, Germany) in the range of 0-250 µg/ml was prepared by plotting IAA concentration to optical density (at 530 nm). Then, the concentration of IAA produced by each isolate was determined by using this standard curve according to the following equation: \( Y = 0.0071X+0.1108, R^2 = 1 \). Production of gibberellic acid by isolates was determined using the method of Holbrook et al (1961). Concentration of GA produced by each isolate was calculated using GA standard curve with the equation of: \( Y = 0.00005X^2 + 0.0263X−0.3132 \). Both experiments were repeated three times.

**Effect of endophytic bacteria on root formation.** An experiment was carried out to test if endophytic bacteria can induce root formation. Small pieces of carrot with the same size were prepared, surface sterilized by submerging in 70% ethanol for 3 min, rinsing 3 times with sterile-distilled water, and subsequently treated with 0.5% NaClO for 7 min. Finally, the samples were washed in sterile-distilled water three times. Samples were transferred to sterile tubes containing 5 ml MS growth media and 80 µl of the endophytic bacteria (OD₆₀₀ ≥ 0.5) was added. The tubes were incubated at 25°C for four weeks with a 16 h photoperiod. Observations were recorded on wet weight of the roots. Cutting samples placed in tubes containing media without endophytic bacteria were used as control. The experiment was set out as a completely randomized design with three replications.

**Statistical analysis.** Data analysis was performed using the SAS institute (NC, USA) and comparison of means was made with LSD test at the 1% level. Graphs were plotted with Excel software (Microsoft, WA, USA).

**Results**

**Identification of endophytic bacterial isolates.** Results obtained in this study revealed that wild Pistachio trees harbor an abundance of culturable endophytic bacteria. Sixty-one endophytic bacteria were isolated from the leaves and stems. The absence of bacterial colony on control plates confirmed that isolates obtained are endophyte. All isolates were tested for a number of important properties (Table 1). 20% and 80% of isolates were Gram-positive and -negative, respectively. Based on the preliminary phenotypic properties, plant growth promoting activity and biological control potential, 10 isolates were randomly selected and
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further characterized by comparative sequence analysis of the 16S rRNA gene generated by PCR. Based on results obtained, nucleotide sequencing of the nearly full length of the 16S rRNA gene from all isolates possessed 99-100% similarity with a species already described in the GenBank database (Table 1).

Sequence analysis indicates that strains Pb3, Pb24, Pb71 and Pb78 have 100% homology with *Pseudomonas protegens* CHAO1. The nucleotide sequences of 16S rRNA gene revealed that Sm25 and Sm97 strains had 100% and 99% similarity to *Stenotrophomonas maltophilia* IAM12423\(^3\), respectively. Strains Ba66 and Bp108 showed 99% 16S rRNA sequence similarity to *Bacillus anthracis* ATCC14578\(^3\) and *B. pumilus* ATCC7061\(^3\), respectively. As well as strains Sp15 and Pb1 showed 100% and 99% similarity to *Serratia plymuthica* DSM4540\(^3\) and *Pantoea brenneri* LMG5343\(^3\), respectively. A Phylogenetic tree was constructed using the partial 16S rRNA sequences of the putative endophytic bacterial isolates and representative bacterial type strains of related taxa generated by neighbor-joining method is presented in Fig. 1.

**In vitro biocontrol activity of endophytic bacteria.** Strains were tested *in vitro* for their ability to inhibit the

### Table 1. Preliminary phenotypic grouping and identification of endophytic bacterial isolates by partial sequencing of 16s rRNA

| Strains | Gram reaction | Oxidase | Catalase production | Fluorescent pigment production | 16s rRNA sequence (5’→3’bp) | % similarity | GenBank accession no. |
|---------|---------------|---------|---------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------|----------------------|
| Pb1     | –             | –       | +                   | –                            | 1-1338                      | 99% with *Pantoea brenneri* KU693267 |
| Pb3     | –             | –       | +                   | –                            | 45-1431 bp                  | 100% with *Pseudomonas protegens* KU693268 |
| Pb24    | –             | +       | +                   | +                            | 51-1432 bp                  | 100% with *Pseudomonas protegens* KU693269 |
| Pb71    | –             | +       | +                   | +                            | 46-1432 bp                  | 100% with *Pseudomonas protegens* KU693270 |
| Pb78    | –             | +       | +                   | +                            | 47-1431 bp                  | 100% with *Pseudomonas protegens* KU693271 |
| Sm25    | –             | –       | +                   | –                            | 43-1418 bp                  | 99% with *Stenotrophomonas maltophilia* KU693275 |
| Sm97    | –             | –       | +                   | –                            | 43-1419 bp                  | 99% with *Stenotrophomonas maltophilia* KU693276 |
| Ba66    | +             | –       | +                   | –                            | 4-1306 bp                   | 99% with *Bacillus anthracis* KU693274 |
| Bp108   | +             | +       | +                   | –                            | 23-1390                     | 99% with *Bacillus pumilus* KU693273 |
| Sp15    | –             | –       | +                   | –                            | 63-1422                     | 100% with *Serratia plymuthica* KU693272 |

### Table 2. Plant growth potential properties of 10 bacterial strains isolated from wild Pistachio trees

| Strains | Phosphate solubilization | Siderophore production | Nitrogen fixation activity | Protease production | HCN production | Root weight of carrot (mg) \(^a\) |
|---------|--------------------------|------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------|----------------|-----------------------------|
| Pb1     | +                        | +                      | –                         | –                   | –              | 10.5\(^i\)                   |
| Pb3     | –                        | –                      | –                         | –                   | –              | 26\(^i\)                    |
| Pb24    | +                        | –                      | +                         | +                   | –              | 20.1\(^ic\)                 |
| Pb71    | +                        | +                      | +                         | +                   | –              | 21.5\(^ic\)                 |
| Pb78    | +                        | +                      | +                         | +                   | –              | 7.5\(^i\)                   |
| Sm25    | +                        | –                      | –                         | +                   | –              | 18.5\(^ic\)                 |
| Sm97    | +                        | –                      | –                         | –                   | –              | 18.5\(^ic\)                 |
| Sp15    | –                        | +                      | +                         | –                   | –              | 17.1\(^i\)                  |
| Ba66    | –                        | –                      | –                         | +                   | +              | 7\(^i\)                     |
| Bp108   | +                        | +                      | –                         | –                   | –              | 16.7\(^i\)                  |
| Control | –                        | –                      | –                         | –                   | –              | 6.7\(^i\)                   |

\(^a\)Means with the same letter are not significantly different at 1% level.
growth of bacterial plant pathogens, *P. syringae* pv. *syringae* Pss20 and *P. tolaasii* Pt18. All strains suppressed the growth of the pathogens, indicated by different size of inhibition zone. The inhibition zone produced by bacterial endophytes ranged between 3 and 36 mm in radius (Fig. 2). Amongst the isolates tested Pb78 had the highest inhibition effect on the pathogens. Sp15 had the lowest inhibition effect against both pathogens (Fig. 3).

**Plant growth promotion potential.** Biosynthesis of phytohormones, indole acetic acid (IAA) and gibberellic acid (GA) were calculated for each isolate. About 40% of isolates were able to produce IAA at the range of 2.7-57.6 µg/ml. Sp15 strain with 57.6 µg/ml produced the highest amount of IAA among strains tested. According to results obtained, 31% of isolates had the ability to produce GA at the range of 12.7-21.8 µg/ml. The Pb71 strain was able to produce the highest amount of GA among strains studied (Fig. 4). Approximately 35% of strains were able to grow on nitrogen free medium, indicating diazotroph capability and had the ability to produce protease. Approximately 30% of strains formed a clear halo zone around the spot inoculation of Pikovskaya’s medium, indicating phosphate solubilization activity. Furthermore 30% of strains were
able to produce siderophore on CAS medium. Amongst all the strains tested only strain Ba66 was positive for HCN production. Results of plant growth promotion properties of selected strains are presented in Table 2.

All bacterial strains except Pb78 and Ba66 strains promoted root formation when inoculated into media containing carrot slices. The Pb3 strain had the highest effect and significantly enhanced biomass of the root compared with non-inoculated treatment.

Discussion

The culturable endophytic bacterial population of healthy looking stems and leaves of wild Pistachio trees were as-

Fig. 2. Diameter of the inhibition zone produced by endophytic bacterial strains against. (A) Pseudomonas syringae pv. syringae Pss20, and (B) Pseudomonas tolaasii Pt18. 1: Pb78, 2: Bp108, 3: Ba66 and 4: Pb1 strains.

Fig. 3. Antagonistic activity of endophytic bacterial strains against bacterial plant pathogens, P. s. pv. syringae Pss20 and P. tolaasii Pt18 under in vitro condition. Data represents the mean of three replicates ± SE. Data were analyzed by ANOVA and post hoc Duncan test < 0.05. Means with the same letter are not significantly different.

Fig. 4. Indole acetic acid and Gibberellic acid production by endophytic bacterial strains. Each value represents the mean of three replicates. Means with the same letter are not significantly different at 1% probability level.
Some of the isolated endophytes from wild pistachio such as Bp3, Sp15 and Bp108 synthesized a high amount of the phytohormone IAA which is involved in plant root and stem growth regulation. Previous reports indicated that many endophytic bacteria including, \textit{Pseudomonas, Serratia} and \textit{Bacillus} are able to synthesize IAA (Liu et al., 2010; Sgroy et al., 2009). \textit{B. pumilus} B3 isolated from the root of European alder produced high amounts of IAA (Gutierrez Manero et al., 1996). Koo and Cho (2009) showed that \textit{Serratia plymuthica} SY5 isolated from heavy metal contaminated soil had capacity for IAA production and root growth potential. A previous report also indicated that \textit{P. protegens} strains can produce IAA and stimulate plant growth (Bensidhoum et al., 2016). In our study there was no direct relationship between the IAA producing ability of strains and root growth promoting effects. Perhaps these strains triggered growth promoting activity by more than one mechanism or can be plant specific. The same results have been reported for other endophytic bacteria-plant relationship (Khan and Doty, 2009).

In this research all ten selected strains were able to produce different amounts of phytohormone, GA. Statistically, Pb71 synthesized higher amounts of GA followed by Pb3, Sp15, Bp108 and Ba66. Both Pb71 and Pb3 with the highest GA production also had the greatest influence on root formation of carrot slices under \textit{in vitro} condition. This phytohormone has a role in plant growth, promotes primary and lateral root elongation, and increases yield (Bottini et al., 2004). It is well documented that bacteria belonging to fluorescent \textit{Pseudomonas, Bacillus} and rhizobia known to improve plant growth has the ability to produce gibberelins. The majority of these bacteria have been isolated from rhizosphere or the root of associated plants (Vacheron et al., 2013). A previous report indicated that \textit{B. pumilus} isolated from the rhizosphere had the growth promoting effect of red pepper and this effect originated from GA production (Joo et al., 2004).

Nitrogen fixation is well studied in the rhizobial bacteria symbiotic with plant hosts. Moreover, some endophytic bacteria with nitrogen-fixing ability have been isolated from trees like poplar and willow (Doty et al., 2009; Ulrich et al., 2008). Several strains investigated in this study and belonging to \textit{Pseudomonas, Pantoea} and \textit{Serratia} genus can grow well on nitrogen-free medium, supporting the hypothesis that these strains might be of diazotrophic nature. However, further studies such as looking for the presence of N2 fixation gene or acetylene reduction assay are needed to confirm the contribution of these endophytes to the nitrogen input if wild Pistachio trees grow well in forest soil. Previous reports have indicated that bacterial endophytes like \textit{Pantoea, Pseudomonas} and \textit{Serratia} had potential nitrogen fixation ability of other plants (Loiret et al., 2004). Deng et al. (2011) reported \textit{S. plymuthica} and \textit{Pseudomonas fluorescens} strains as endophytic bacteria associated with the root nodules of plant host which could increase nodule number and average nodule weight under nitrogen-free conditions.

In the present study, it was observed that several strains identified, such as Pb1, Pb71, Pb78, Sp15 and Bp108 were able to produce siderophore, solubilize phosphate or both. Siderophore produced by bacteria can promote plant growth through enhanced direct iron availability to plants under iron deficient conditions or by inhibiting the availability of iron to plant pathogens (Ahmad et al., 2008). In addition, phosphorus is one of the major macronutrients necessary for biological growth and development of plants. Phosphate solubilizing bacteria are capable of solubilizing the insoluble phosphate, increase soil quality and plant growth (Rodriguez and Fraga, 1999). It is well documented that such properties have a role in plant growth promotion and some authors have previously reported these capabilities in other strains belonging to the same genus identified in this work. Bensidhoum et al. (2016) reported \textit{P. protegens} strains with plant growth promotion and their activity was associated with the production of siderophores and the solubilization of phosphate. \textit{S. plymuthica} Sp15 with the ability for IAA and siderophore production significantly increased the root weight of carrot. Similarly, \textit{Serratia} sp. SY5 strain with the same capacity has been reported (Koo and Cho, 2009). Earlier research reported \textit{B. pumilus} S1r1 strains with their ability to solubilize phosphate, with the main mechanism of plant growth promotion being nitrogen fixation activity (Kuan et al., 2016).

Among strains tested, only Ba66 with high similarity to \textit{B. anthracis} was able to produce HCN. Although \textit{B. anthracis} is considered an obligate pathogen of humans and animals, recent studies show that some strains promote plant growth (Ganz et al., 2014). Regardless of plant growth promotion or biocontrol potential of \textit{B. anthracis} strains, this species is usually classified as a biohazardous agent within risk group 3 indicating high potential human disease risk (Advisory Committee on Dangerous Pathogens., 2013). The results of our study showed this strain had no effect on root forma-
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Strains with this ability had an inhibition effect on root formation of plants (Schippers et al., 1990). There are conflicting views about the role of bacteria in plant growth and the manners in which HCN production are involved. Some deleterious bacteria reduce plant yields through HCN production (Bakker and Schippers, 1987). However, HCN production is a biological control mechanism by bacterial antagonists (Kumar et al., 2012). Some plant growth promoting bacteria produce such secondary metabolites which are recognized as biological control agents against fungal and bacterial plant pathogens. Also, HCN can indirectly increase availability of phosphorus and iron to plants, resulting in increased plant growth promotion activity (Rijavec and Lapanje, 2016).

This study has demonstrated the high antagonistic potential of strains Pb71, Pb78 and Pb3 followed by Ba66, Bp108 and Pb24 against both bacterial pathogens tested in this work under in vitro condition. Therefore, these strains had the potential to be used as biological control agents. Strains Pb78 and Pb71 with their ability to produce siderophore and protease had the highest inhibition effects against pathogens. Surprisingly, Pb3 strain with high similarity to P. protegens were negative for all traits but had high biocontrol activity in vitro suggesting that this strain is using other mechanisms against pathogens. In addition to the siderophore, protease and HCN production, the observed antagonistic potential of the strains is probably due to production of antibiotic compounds. Previous reports have indicated that P. protegens strains can produce antimicrobial compounds which are active against various plant pathogens (Loper et al., 2007; Ramette et al., 2011; Wang et al., 2015).

Strain Ba66 with high similarity to B. anthracis and positive in protease and HCN production and Bp108 with similarity to B. pumilus and able to produce siderophore, had high inhibition effects of both pathogens. Several reports examining the ability of B. pumilus strains in suppressing plant pathogens exist (Ren et al., 2013). Amaresan et al (2012) reported B. pumilus strain capable of producing siderophore with high biocontrol activity against several plant pathogens. Amongst the two strains identified in the present study similar to S. maltophilia only Sm25 strain was able to produce protease, but both strains did not have significant inhibitory effects on the growth of bacterial pathogens under in vitro condition. Previous research suggest that strains of S. maltophilia which have high proteolytic potential and antimicrobial-associated genes, contribute to the biocontrol activity of this bacterium (Ryan et al., 2009; Zho et al., 2012).

Because forest trees have different biological properties compared to agricultural plants they can provide specific ecological circumstances. Additionally, endophytic bacteria with the positive effects on the host trees can be useful for forestry practices to increase yield and improve environmental quality. This initial study revealed the existence of several bacterial species living as an endophyte in various parts of the wild Pistachio tree. Some works show that season has strong effects on the endophyte population structure in tree species (Shen and Fulthorpe, 2015). Therefore to have a correct view in regards the diversity of endophytic bacteria and possible plant-endophyte interactions it is necessary to study the endophytic population through different seasons. In our study, some of the isolates produced phytohormone IAA and GA in different amounts. The microbial synthesis of plant growth regulators is an important factor that could influence plant growth in harsh environments.

In conclusion, this study demonstrated the occurrence and diversity of culturable bacterial endophytes in wild Pistachio trees. Despite the economic importance and geographical distribution of wild Pistachio trees, no studies are found on endophytic bacteria associated with this plant. According to results obtained in this study, strains Pb3, Pb71 and Bp108 with high similarity to P. protegens and B. pumilus respectively, have the potential to be used as biological control and/or plant growth promoting agents. Strain Pb78 had high biological control potential and Sp15 is a suitable candidate to use as plant growth promoting agent. To our knowledge this is the first report which is concerned with the isolation of bacterial endophytes from this plant host. The existence of such microorganisms suggests that they can be utilized in future application such as controlling plant diseases or enhancing plant growth. However further in vivo studies and investigation under natural environmental conditions are needed to confirm this hypothesis.
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