Optic nerve sheath diameter at high altitude: standardized measures in healthy volunteers
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Abstract

Background: Increases in the diameter of the optic nerve sheath (ONSD) on ultrasound are associated with high intracranial pressure (hICP). The normal value varies with altitude and the population studied. The objective of this study is to describe the normal values of the ONSD in a healthy adult population of the city of Bogotá, Colombia, at 2640 meters above sea level (masl).

Patients and methods: A prospective observational study was conducted on a total of 247 healthy individuals recruited from May 2021 to May 2022 who were subjected to the color, low power, optic disk, safety, elevated frequency, dual (CLOSED) protocol for measuring the bilateral ONSD adjusted to the eyeball transverse diameter (ETD).

Results: A total of 230 individuals were analyzed; the average ONSD of the right eye (RE) was 0.449 cm (range 0.288–0.7) and that of the left eye (LE) was 0.454 cm (range 0.285–0.698); the correlation between RE and LE was 0.93 (p < 0.005), and the correlation of the ONSD/ETD ratios for the RE and LE was lower (r² = 0.79, p < 0.005). A total of 10.8% of the studied population had values greater than 0.55 cm.

Conclusions: The median ONSD and ONSD/ETD ratio in the city of Bogotá are similar to those described in other populations; however, approximately 10.8% of the healthy population may present higher values, which would limit the use of ONSD on its own for clinical decision-making, only repeated measurements with significant changes in the ONSD and ONSD/ETD or asymmetries between the measurements of both eyes linked to clinical findings would allow the diagnosis of hICP.
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Background

The Monro–Kellie doctrine describes the behavior of intracranial pressure (ICP) based on the dynamics of 3 variables: blood volume (arterial and venous), cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) volume and cerebral parenchyma volume [1, 2, 3]. The total of the pressure of these three components within the cranial vault is interpreted as the ICP, which can be measured with invasive techniques that, although precise, can lead to tissue damage, while noninvasive methods are still in development [2, 4].

The diameter of the optic nerve sheath (ONSD) as measured on ultrasound assessment of the orbit has been shown to be an acceptable alternative for monitoring the ICP in patients in the intensive care unit [5, 6, 7, 8], since when interpreted as a continuum of the subarachnoid space through which the CSF can expand, it can enlarge in cases in which the other components of intracranial pressure are altered [9]. However, as most measurements performed by ultrasound have a certain degree of subjectivity, adjustment of the measurement by the ETD [10, 11] and the application of standardized
protocols such as the color, low power, optic disk, safety, elevated frequency, dual (CLOSED) protocol [12] or the measurement from the internal and external layers of the optic nerve sheath that should be taken into account when making decisions in clinical practice [13].

Determining the presence of hICP from absolute values of the ONSD remains controversial due to the difficulty in establishing a cut-off for determining the increase in ICP. Values greater than 0.55 cm have been proposed in the literature for the diagnosis of hICP, with better correlations with elevated ICP obtained with values greater than 0.6 cm [14, 15]. The normal values vary according to the populations studied between 0.3 and 0.46 [16]. This great variability on the normal value of the ONSD and that adjusted by the ETD in healthy people may be related to hypobaric hypoxemia in cities at different altitudes above the sea level.

The objective of this study is to describe the pattern of normal values of the ONSD in a healthy adult population from the city of Bogotá, Colombia, at 2640 masl [17].

**Methodology**

This was a prospective cohort study of an exploratory nature conducted from May 2021 to May 2022 that included the measurement of 247 healthy individuals who corresponded to the working staff, visitors, and students at the University Hospital of Fundación Santa Fe de Bogotá in the city of Bogotá, Colombia. Candidates for measurement were subjects older than 18 years who were at the institution as employees, students or visitors; who had lived in Bogotá for more than 6 months; who agreed to participate in the study and signed informed consent. People with a history of traumatic brain injury or ocular trauma, intracranial hypertension, ischemic or hemorrhagic cerebrovascular disease, neuroinfection, tumors at the level of the central nervous system or eyeball, previous optic nerve and ocular disease (glaucoma, uveitis or diseases that increase blood flow), epilepsy, recent facial trauma, pregnancy and hyperthyroidism were excluded from the measurements. The protocol for data collection and measurement was approved by the institutional ethics committee (CCEI-13460-2021). In addition to the ultrasound measurements, baseline measures such as history of comorbidities (hypertension, diabetes mellitus) and anthropometric measurements including weight, height and head circumference were collected averaged and analyzed.

**Ultrasound measurements**

Ultrasonographic measurements of the ONSD and the ONSD/ETD ratio were performed by a physician final-year critical-care resident who received specialized theoretical and practical training that certified them to perform the measurement and further evaluated by a researcher specialized in neurovascular ultrasound who performs ultrasound assessments as part of their daily practice. The measurements were obtained with a Mindray TE7 ultrasound device with a high-frequency linear transducer (7–13 MHz and the CLOSED protocol with the following parameters: frequency 10 MHz, thermal index less than or equal to 1 °C, mechanical index less than or equal to 0.23, power 20–25%, depth 40–45 mm, gain 55–60% and color Doppler frequency 1.0 kHz [12]. For patients’ safety, all measures were done less than 15 s and ultrasound images were collected as a video clip so images could be measured without long ultrasound probes, a pause of 1 min was taken between every measurement, the whole protocol took around 12–15 min. The participant was placed in a supine position with the head between 15 and 25°, the protocol was started with evaluation of the right eye. The transducer was positioned on the transverse axis with an initial angle of 25° and tilted until the optic nerve and the papilla were visualized. Then, color Doppler was activated to find the central vessels of the retina, determine their direction and guide the measurement (distance from the papilla and the ONSD). Then, the ciliary vessels were identified to determine the edges of the optic nerve sheath and perform the correct measurement by freezing the image and acquiring the ETD and the ONSD 3 mm from the papilla. The procedure was repeated three times on the transverse axis; then, the transducer was positioned on the longitudinal axis, and the previous procedure (except the measurement of the ETD) was again repeated three times (Fig. 1). The entire ultrasound assessment was then performed for the contralateral eye.

**Statistical analysis**

The sample size was calculated based on the estimation of the mean for an infinite population under the assumptions of a variation of 0.4 mm, an alpha error of 0.05 and Z score of 1.96, 222 subjects were needed. Continuous variables are represented as medians and interquartile ranges or means and standard deviations depending on their distribution, while categorical variables are presented as absolute frequencies and percentages. The continuous variables of the ONSD and ONSD/ETD ratio were subjected to a bivariate analysis and Pearson’s correlation to identify potential baseline data associated with the measurements, which were selected according to reports in the literature on the study of normal populations (age, sex, BMI, head circumference and the presence of chronic comorbidities). In addition, the measurements were compared between both eyes and evaluated with respect to those reported in other
populations. All analyses were performed using the freely distributed R software, version 4.1.

**Results**

A total of 230 individuals were analyzed, 123 women (53.4%) and 107 men (46.5%). A total of 17 individuals were excluded from the final analysis due to low-quality images and missing data. None of the quantitative variables in the analysis demonstrated a normal distribution. The baseline characteristics of interest of the study population are shown in Table 1. The average ONSD was obtained from the analysis and processing of three measurements in the transverse axis and three measurements in the longitudinal section of each eye. The mean ONSD of the right eye was 0.4492 cm (IQR 0.36–0.51), and that of the left eye was 0.4542 cm (IQR 0.36–0.51). The ONSD/ETD ratio was 0.2257 (IQR 0.1797–0.2566) for the right eye and 0.2280 (IQR 0.1859–0.2558) for the left eye.

![Ultrasound measurements technique.](Fig. 1) A-B: The transducer positioned on the transverse axis with an initial angle of 25° and tilted until the optic nerve and the papilla were visualized. C: Optic nerve and papilla with color doppler mode showing the central vessels of the retina, the ciliary vessels and measurement performed using central vessels of the retina direction and landmarks from the ciliary vessels. D-E: The transducer positioned on the vertical axis. F: Optic nerve and papilla with color Doppler mode showing the central vessels of the retina, the ciliary vessels and measurement performed using central vessels of the retina direction and landmarks from the ciliary vessels.
(Table 2). In addition, the frequency of normal values was determined by dividing the ONSD data into 4 groups for analysis according to the data reported in other populations (< 0.33 cm, 0.34–0.45 cm, 0.46–0.55 cm, > 0.56 cm). As shown in Table 3, 13.04% of the participants had values < 0.33 cm, 37.4% values between 0.34 and 0.45 cm, 38.6% values between 0.46 and 0.55 cm, and 10.8% values greater than 0.55 cm.

Table 2: Optic nerve sheath diameter (ONSD) and optic nerve sheath diameter/transverse diameter of the eyeball (ONSD/ETD)

|                  | Right eye ONSD average (cm) | Left eye ONSD average (cm) | Right eye ONSD/ETD | Left eye ONSD/ETD |
|------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------|--------------------|-------------------|
| Mean             | 0.443                       | 0.448                      | 0.222              | 0.227             |
| Median           | 0.449                       | 0.454                      | 0.225              | 0.228             |
| IQR              | 0.36–0.51                   | 0.36–0.51                  | 0.17–0.25          | 0.18–0.25         |
| Min              | 0.288                       | 0.285                      | 0.04               | 0.04              |
| Max              | 0.7                         | 0.69                       | 0.49               | 0.56              |

Table 3: Optic nerve sheath diameter (ONSD) distribution in four groups according to normality reported in other populations

| ONSD (cm) | n (%) |
|-----------|-------|
| < 0.33    | 30 (13)|
| 0.34–0.45 | 86 (37)|
| 0.46–0.55 | 89 (38.6)|
| > 0.56    | 25 (10.8)|

The correlation between the measurements of the right eye and the left eye was close to 1 (ONSD, \( r^2 = 0.93, \ p < 0.005 \); ONSD/ETD ratio; \( r^2 = 0.79, \ p < 0.005 \) (Fig. 2). There was no correlation between the ONSD and the ETD (Fig. 3). History of comorbidities, sex, age, BMI, and head circumference were not correlated with ONSD AND ONSD/ETD; however, head circumference was slightly higher in men (Fig. 4).

Discussion

The ONSD and ONSD/ETD were not correlated with baseline variables of the participating subjects, such as sex, age, BMI or even head circumference. This shows that anthropometric measurements are not confounding factors for the ONSD and ONSD/ETD at bedside.

The not normally distribution of the ONSD and ONSD/ETD with extreme values is one of the most relevant findings of the present study. 76.2% reported...
ONSD values between 0.34 and 0.55 cm among different populations in European, American and Asiatic countries [16], but 23.8% of the participants showed values lower than 0.33 cm and higher than 0.56 cm which has been described in healthy volunteers [16] but also in cases of hICP [18]. A total of 89% of the participants showed an ONSD less than 0.55 cm, which is the current cut-off value used in different clinical scenarios for the diagnosis of hICP [18]; however, 10.8% of the participants presented with a high ONSD value, which would nevertheless be considered normal in the context of the presumption of being healthy subjects evaluated with a standardized ultrasound measurement technique. Schroeder et.al, showed an important heterogeneity in healthy volunteers results due the ultrasound methods to measure the optic nerve sheath diameter [18]. The CLOSED protocol has been proposed as solution that guide the right machine settings in order to minimize artifacts and also apply the color Doppler for the standard evaluation of the ONSD enabling a more reliable and accurate measurement [12]. The ONSD/ETD showed similar values to reported before, but also trended to have higher values.

It is possible that the results of this study were affected by the altitude at which the city is located (2640 masl), since it has been shown that the value of the ONSD can increase by 0.14 mm per 1000 m of altitude and that adaptations can be seen within less than 1 week [17, 19, 20]. Higher ONSD values are thought to be due to increased cerebral vessel permeability at high altitudes that is not necessarily related to hICP [21]. Most of the healthy volunteers measured by many authors seems to show trends to upper values as places get higher masl level in the same country. Chen, et.al studied healthy volunteers in the Fujian province in China with an altitude of 640 masl with ONSD values up to 0.51 cm [22]. Wang, et.al also studied healthy Chinese volunteers in China but in a low-altitude province (Jilin province) with an altitude of 202 masl and found lower values of the ONSD (0.34 cm) [23]. Even so, many other studies done in European countries like Italy and Germany showed different values regardless cities altitudes (Italy: 0.48–0.62 cm) [24, 25], (Germany: 0.52–0.57 cm) [26, 27]. Also, other Asian countries like Bangladesh and Iran have measured healthy volunteers even with a wider range of values (Bangladesh: 0.42–0.47 cm) [28] (Iran: 0.31–0.33 cm) [28, 29]. The great variability of ONSD values in different studies is possibly explained by the different altitudes of the cities where they were made and the difference in measurement techniques.

The findings of the present study suggest that it would not be possible to use only the value of the ONSD or the ONSD/ETD ratio for determining the presence of hICP.
because, as demonstrated, high values can normally be found in the general population, even when a standardized measure is applied there is a difficulty to achieve a right measurement.

However, the ONSD and ONSD/ETD ratios of the right and left eyes were almost perfectly and well correlated, respectively, which could indicate an abnormal ICP if the values between the two eyes were asymmetric [30, 31].

The present study was limited in that the sample was not analyzed in its entirety, but guarantees adequate quality of the measurements studied with the systematic application of the CLOSED protocol and the taking of serial measurements by adequately trained personnel.

Conclusions
The findings of the present study show that in approximately 10.8% of healthy individuals, the values of the ONSD and the ONSD/ETD ratio may be a range considered pathological. It is possible that the usefulness of these measurements in clinical practice is limited, and only repeated measurements with significant changes in the ONSD or asymmetries between the measurements of both eyes linked to clinical findings would allow the diagnosis of hICP.

The objective measures of the study were not influenced by the baseline characteristics of the patients; however, as the city is located at 2640 masl, it could be that the explanation of these high values is related to the effects of altitude, so the findings of this study should be considered mainly in cities at high altitude.

Future studies are necessary to correlate these proposed normal values for the general population in patients in the neurointensive care unit.
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