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A B S T R A C T

The Zr and Co co-substituted Ni₀.₅Zn₀.₅Fe₂O₄ have been synthesized by sol-gel auto combustion method. The XRD patterns provide single phase cubic spinel with (Fd3m(Oh)1) space group and extra peaks found in XRD patterns from x = 0.24 to x = 0.4. The experimental lattice parameter is increased from 8.3995Å to 8.4129 Å and the theoretical lattice parameter is increased from 8.3948Å to 8.4130Å with increasing dopant concentration. The substitute ions in place of ferric ions cause the significant changes in all structural parameters. The DC resistivity is increased from 126597 Ω-cm to 684229 Ω-cm and the drift mobility is decreased from 4.9×10⁻³⁸ cm²/V-s to 1.88×10⁻³⁸ cm²/V-s with increasing dopant concentration. The activation energy is decreased from 0.2108 eV to 0.0905 eV with increasing dopant concentration. The saturation magnetization is decreased from 71.1559 emu/gm to 28.2405 emu/gm and the net magnetic moment is decreased from 6.3556 Bhor magnetons to 2.5523 Bhor magnetons with increasing dopant concentration. The Y-K angles are increased from 20.0723° to 59.4274° with increasing dopant concentration. The permeability is decreased from 117 to 19.4524 with increasing dopant concentration.

1. Introduction

The iron based magnetic materials and metallic alloys are useless at higher frequency application due to their low resistivity for examples inductor cores in T.V circuits. The low resistivity of iron based magnetic and metallic materials allow the flow of electrons (electric current) through them, which produces the heat, hence these materials become inefficient due to waste of energy and this wastage of energy is increased at high frequency [1, 2, 3]. However the ferrites are better performers at high frequency application than iron based magnetic and metallic alloys because of their high electrical resistivity and high temperature stability. These factors increase the usage of ferrites of high frequency application. The ferrites are best core materials for frequency from 10 kHz to few MHz [4]. The important factors of ferrites are lower cost, higher temperature stability and high quality. The porosity is insignificant in metals, but its considerable important in ferrite such consideration helps us, why the ferrites have been used and studied for several years [5]. The ferrite properties are being improved on increasing trends in ferrite technology. The ferrites are categorized into soft and hard, the most common soft ferrites are Ni-Zn and Mn-Zn. The Mn-Zn ferrites have high permeability and low resistivity. Ni-Zn ferrites exhibit the high resistivity lower permeability. For high resistivity application Ni-Zn ferrites are suitable above 5 MHz frequency. The electrical conductivity in Ni-Zn ferrite is due to the presence of (Fe³⁺/Fe²⁺) in both A and B-sites [6]. The low permeability is assigned in Ni-Zn ferrites because of low saturation magnetization and net magnetic moment. In case of Mn-Zn ferrites high saturation magnetization and net magnetic moments are possible, hence Mn-Zn ferrites are used in beyond the 1 MHz frequency applications [7]. By making the suitable modification with substitute ions the resistivity of ferrites is increase or decrease. The higher magnetic materials give the M-H loop with large area by means of great loss of energy. If the loop area is large the loss of energy is more and area of loops is less the loss if energy is less i.e. high magnetic materials induce the great loss of energy by means of eddy currents [8]. This will minimize by reduction the magnetization in material and increase the resistivity of ferrites. For present study the Ni₀.₅Zn₀.₅Fe₂O₄ is modified with Zr and Co ions to
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increase the resistivity of ferrites by decreasing magnetic ions (Fe$^{3+}$). Since the ferrites possessed high resistivity by formation of Fe$^{2+}$ ions. But formation of Fe$^{3+}$ ions (Fe$^{2+}$ → Fe$^{3+}$) will increase the electric conduction and also magnetization (If Fe$^{3+}$ ions present in material the magnetic properties are increase because one Fe$^{3+}$ ion posses 5μB) [9]. For this present study our aim is increase the resistivity of Ni$_{0.5}$Zn$_{0.5}$Zr$_{x}$Co$_{2-2x}$O$_4$ ferrites by substitution of Zr and Co ions. The present study deals the structural, DC resistivity and magnetic properties of Zr and Co co-substitute Ni$_{0.5}$Zn$_{0.5}$Zr$_{x}$Co$_{2-2x}$O$_4$ at room temperature.

2. Experimental

The Conventional ceramic technique usually common technique to prepare the Ni-Zn ferrites but resultant product is not stoichiometric and homogeneous because the reactants are react at high temperature [10]. As a result the unwanted grain growth betides in processing of material. To lower processing material defects researchers developed alternative wet chemical method known as sol-gel auto combustion method. The sol-gel auto combustion method for tailoring the precursor materials was adopted in this investigation. For the present study Ni$_{0.5}$Zn$_{0.5}$Zr$_{x}$Co$_{2-2x}$O$_4$ have been prepared by sol-gel auto combustion method. All reagents Ni(NO$_3$)$_2$.6H$_2$O (Hi meadia 99.9% purity), Zn(NO$_3$)$_2$.6H$_2$O (Hi meadia 99.9% purity), Co(NO$_3$)$_2$.6H$_2$O (Hi meadia 99.9% purity), ZrO(-NO$_3$).xH$_2$O (Hi meadia 99%), citric acid (C$_6$H$_8$O$_7$) (Hi meadia 99.9% purity) and ethelyglycol were used to tailoring of the material. Previous studies conducted by [11, 12] have been reported the making process of Ni$_{0.5}$Zn$_{0.5}$Zr$_{x}$Co$_{2-2x}$O$_4$. The precursor ferrite powder, ground fine and calcined at 800 °C for 3hrs. Later, 5% PVA added to calcined powders and ground for another 30–45 minutes then powders were sintered at 1200 °C for 2hrs. The sinter powders were characterized using different methods. The reactions products were characterized by X-ray diffraction (XRD) at room temperature. Powders acquired from combustion and sintering were tested by x-ray powder diffraction (XRD) recorded at 2θ from 10° to 60° at a scanning rate of 1° min$^{-1}$ using a Bruker D8 with GADDS Co-Kα radiation ($\lambda = 1.7895$ Å) and cryostream 700 recorded at 2θ from 10° to 70° at a scanning rate of $\frac{2}{\theta}$ min$^{-1}$. The surface structure examined by JEOL JSM-6610L model. The average grain size was measured by using the image-j software. TEM was carried out on the powders to confirm the particle size. The combustion powders are compressed by applying 5 tons pressure in 5 minutes to make the pellets and then the pellets sintered at 1200 °C for 2hrs. The sintered pellet surface layers carefully polished later washed in acetone. After that the pellet surfaces are coated with silver paste on the opposite faces to provide the electrodes. The Wayne-Kerr high frequency LCR meter Model 65120 used to carry out the inductance measurements in the frequency range 20Hz to 8 MHz at room temperature. Lake Shore 7410 VSM system used to characterize the DC magnetic properties of materials as a function of magnetic field at room temperature.

3. Results and discussion

The Zr and Co co-substituted Ni$_{0.5}$Zn$_{0.5}$Zr$_{x}$Co$_{2-2x}$O$_4$ (x = 0.08, 0.16, 0.24, 0.32 and 0.4) ferrites have been prepared by sol-gel auto combustion method. In Fig. 1, the X-ray diffraction curves of the samples Ni$_{0.5}$Zn$_{0.5}$Zr$_{x}$Co$_{2-2x}$O$_4$ (x = 0.08, 0.16, 0.24, 0.32 and 0.4) are illustrated. For the doped concentration x = 0.24 to x = 0.4, the extra peaks were observed using XRD. The extra peaks arose by decreasing intensities of (422) (511) (440) lattice planes. The lattice planes (220) and (422) are more sensitive for cations on tetrahedral site. The (222) lattice planes are sensitive for cations on octahedral site and the (511) planes are sensitive to oxygen ions parameter respectively. In cubic spinel structure (220) and (400) planes are sensitive to cation distribution on tetrahedral and octahedral sites, respectively. The magnetic moment of the ferrite determine by cations distributed among tetrahedral and octahedral sites. The substitution of Zr and Co ions in place of Fe$^{3+}$ ions creates the distortion in the unit cell due to large ionic radius of Zr and Co. This distortion may dominated by pure cubic structure uptill x = 0.16, there after small intensity peaks developed around the (3 1 1) peak. The developed peaks around (3 1 1) may be due to the shifting of (3 1 1) peak to higher angles. The arised peaks around the may not disturb the pure cubic spinel phase and no character was changed by these peaks. The average position peak shifted by the angle of 1.31 supports the formation of Ni$_{0.5}$Zn$_{0.5}$Fe$_{2}$O$_4$ in different stoichiometry as a function of the Zr and Co substitution [13]. The lattice constant was calculated using the following formula reported by [14].

$$a = \sqrt{h^2 + k^2 + l^2}$$

where is “a” lattice constant d is the space between the planes and h, k, l, are the Miller indices. The previous reported lattice constant value for Ni$_{0.5}$Zn$_{0.5}$Fe$_{2}$O$_4$ ferrite is 8.39 Å [15]. The lattice constant in this present study was found as 8.3995 Å. This is good in agreement with the earlier reported value. Theoretical and experimental lattice parameters are shown in Fig. 2 with increasing dopant concentration variation. From Fig. 2 it is found that the lattice constant decreased from the 8.3995 Å to 8.3611 Å for substitution x = 0.0 to 0.08 later increased to 8.4125Å for x = 0.4 with the addition of Zr and Co ions. The error bars mentioned in lattice parameter, the average error occurred in the lattice parameter as 0.0007Å and it is shown in Fig. 2 (a). The initial decrease in the lattice constant the substitute ions enters into specific lattice sites. Later, in increase in the lattice parameter is missing match between the ionic radius of substitute ions and host site radius. By increasing the dopant concentration the substitute ions occupy a large amount of empty place, hence the lattice constant increased with increasing doping concentration [16]. The other structural parameters like to hope length L$_h$ and L$_b$, bond length of tetrahedral and octahedral d$_{4h}$ and d$_{6a}$, shared and un-shared edges of tetrahedral and octahedral (d$_{4e}$,$d_{8a}$and d$_{4e}$) increased with increasing dopant concentration because of the increase in the lattice constant [17, 18]. Calculated values listed in Table 2 and Table 3. The strain constant, averaged-space and theoretical lattice parameter increase with increasing dopant concentration as a result of large ionic radius ions substitute in place of Ferric ions Fe$^{3+}$ (0.67 Å) (Zr and Co have ionic radius 0.80Å and 0.79 Å the respectively). The substitution of large ionic radius ions in place of ferric ions expand the spinel lattice promptly. The equation used to determine the crystalline size is represented by Eq. (2).

$$D = \frac{0.94\lambda}{\beta \cos \theta}$$

where $\lambda$ is the wavelength and the $\beta$ is the full width at half maximum of (311) peak. The crystallite size inversely depends on the FWHM in Eq. (2).
The increase in the FWHM decreases the crystallite size and vice versa. The ratio of sintered density and X-ray density gives the porosity of samples. The porosity increased with increasing dopant concentration because of formation of Fe$^{2+}$ ions during the sintering [19]. The formation of Fe$^{3+}$ ions in the sintering of material lags the sinter rate of the samples so that the grains are not grown monotonically as result pores developed in the samples. The variation of particle size and crystallite size with dopant concentration is shown in Fig. 3.

3.1. Morphology study

3.1.1. SEM morphology

The SEM micrographs are shown in Fig. 4 the grain size measured by using image-j software. Fig. 5 shows the variations of sintered density, porosity and grain size with dopant concentration. From Fig. 5 the grain size and sinter density varies oppositely with porosity on increasing dopant concentration. The porosity of sample decreases the grain size it is obviously shown in SEM micrographs. Density is important factor for micro-structural aspects and depending on material processing. In material processing primary particles are rearranged through the diffusion of grain boundaries. The grain growth rate improves due to necking process of primary particles through formation of liquid phase. During sintering of materials porosity arise due to occurrence of oxygen vacancy at higher temperature. The occurred porosity is increases with increasing sintering temperatures. In Ni-Zn ferrites Zinc evaporate at higher temperature. The volatize Zinc ions usually promote the formation of Fe$^{2+}$. The loss of zinc resulted in unsaturated oxygen ions. Then the excess electrons on oxygen encourage neighboring Fe$^{3+}$ ions form of Fe$^{2+}$ ions the due to the electrostatic interaction bond broken. This process develops the pore in the spinel lattice. To minimize this porosity the excess of ferric oxide partially dissolve into spinel lattice as γ-Fe$_2$O$_3$ in cation vacancies and partly as Fe$_3$O$_4$ with formation Fe$^{2+}$ ions. This formation makes cation vacancies and oxygen vacancies concentration low as consequence the sintering rate becomes slow this may understand by using following Eq. (3).

$$6\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3 \rightarrow 4\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4 + \text{O}_2$$

Hence formation of Fe$^{2+}$ ions decreases the sintering rate as result the sinter density is decrease. The decrease sintering density consequence the grain size reduces.

3.1.2. TEM morphology

Fig. 6 shows TEM picture, the particle size estimated from TEM pictures by using the image-j software. The estimated particle size values are smaller compared to the data obtained from XRD measurements since particles show the agglomeration nature due to the particles reducing their dimensions into nano region. The All the structural variables are listed in Table 1, Table 2 and Table 3.

3.2. DC resistivity study

According to band theory of solids the oxides of transition metal should be semi metallic conductors than that of insulators or ordinary semiconductors, because the 3D bands in transition metal oxides occupied by electrons partly in all cases. Slater explained this phenomenon by semiconducting nature based on the super exchange coupling. The super exchange coupling provides the evidence for the semiconducting nature in ferrets. According to super exchange coupling the 3D bands of metallic oxides split with intervening gap. The lower band completely filled with electron and an upper band completely empty. For example, in Fe$_3$O$_4$ the Fe$^{3+}$ ions and Fe$^{2+}$ ions present in neighboring B-sites. The electronic exchange occurred between Fe$^{3+}$ and Fe$^{2+}$ ions only by a small energy barrier this leads high electronic conductivity as usual semiconductor mechanism. The semiconductor conductivity was described by the following Eq. (4).

$$\sigma = \sigma_0 \exp\left(\frac{\Delta E}{k_B T}\right)$$

where $\sigma_0$ is the constant, $\Delta E$ is the activation energy, $k_B$ is the bolts man constant, $T$ is the absolute Temperature (K). The less or more curved lines obtained while a graph is drawn between the $\sigma$ and $1/T$. $\Delta E$ equals to 0.1eV for stoichiometries composition and $\Delta E$ reaches 0.5eV for low
conductivity ferrites. The Arrhenius plot drawn between the inverse temperature and resistivity in the temperature range of 300 K–620 K is shown in Fig. 7 shows the semiconductor nature [20, 21]. The results revealed that an increase in inverse temperature decreases the DC resistivity exponentially. The electrical conductivity occurred from paramagnetic Fe$^{2+}$ region to ferromagnetic Fe$^{3+}$ region with increasing inverse temperature as a result the resistivity of ferrite samples decrease.

The activation energy calculated from the slopes of Arrhenius plots. Fig. 8 shows the activation energy with a variation of dopant concentration. The activation energy, decreased from the 0.2108 eV–0.0905 eV, with increasing doping concentration attributed to the increase of porosity of prepared samples. That is jumping frequency of electrons from the ferrous region (Fe$^{2+}$) to the ferric region (Fe$^{3+}$) decrease it may justify by the increase in resistivity because the activation energy behaves as DC electrical resistivity [22, 23]. The compositional dependence DC resistivity of ferrites increase from 1.2 $\times$ 10$^6$ Ω-cm to 8.03 $\times$ 10$^6$ Ω-cm, for x = 0.08 to x = 0.24 later slightly decreased to 6.8 $\times$ 10$^6$ Ω-cm for x = 0.4. The increase in DC resistivity as a result of formation of Fe$^{3+}$ ions in

Fig. 4. (a)–(f). SEM photo graphs of Zr and Co substituted Ni$_{0.5}$Zn$_{0.5}$Zr$_x$Co$_{2-2x}$O$_4$ ($x = 0.00, 0.08, 0.16, 0.24, 0.32$ and $0.4$).

Fig. 5. Variation of sintered density, porosity and grain size with dopant concentration.
The drift mobility of samples was determined from the following Eq. (5).

\[ \mu_d = \frac{1}{\eta e \rho} \]  

where \( \eta \) is the resistivity of the sample. The drift mobility, increased from 4.9 \( \times \) 10\(^{-36}\) cm\(^2\)/V-s to 6.14 \( \times \) 10\(^{-36}\) cm\(^2\)/V-s for \( x = 0.0 \) to \( x = 0.08 \). Later it decreased to 1.88 \( \times \) 10\(^{-36}\) cm\(^2\)/V-s for \( x = 0.4 \). The drift mobility and DC resistivity of the samples were compared in Table 1 and Fig. 6.

### Table 1
The structural data of Zr and Co substituted Ni\(_{0.5}\)Zn\(_{0.5}\)Zr\(_x\)Co\(_x\)Fe\(_{2-2x}\)O\(_4\).

| Dopant concentration (x) | Lattice parameter \((a_{\text{exp}})\) Å | Lattice parameter \((a_{\text{n}})\) Å | Crystallite size \(D\) (nm) | Sintered density \((d)\) g/cm\(^3\) | X-ray density \(d_x\) g/cm\(^3\) | Particle size (nm) | Grain size \(\mu\) m | Porosity % |
|--------------------------|------------------|------------------|-----------------|------------------|------------------|-----------------|-----------------|---------|
| 0.0                      | 8.3995           | 8.3948           | 9.1121          | 4.7657           | 5.3292           | 15.5051         | 2.3137          | 10.57   |
| 0.08                     | 8.3941           | 8.3876           | 10.9423         | 5.0146           | 5.4084           | 21.7809         | 3.043           | 9.73    |
| 0.16                     | 8.4035           | 8.4004           | 10.2274         | 4.9698           | 5.4640           | 15.364          | 2.7436          | 10.86   |
| 0.24                     | 8.4086           | 8.4072           | 10.1211         | 4.9288           | 5.5108           | 9.6893          | 2.6048          | 13.63   |
| 0.32                     | 8.4122           | 8.4118           | 10.1474         | 4.8653           | 5.5806           | 4.1924          | 2.4618          | 15.85   |
| 0.4                      | 8.4129           | 8.4130           | 10.3397         | 4.7936           | 5.6471           | 19.0597         | 2.2952          | 18.1    |

sintering process. The drift mobility of samples was determined from the following Eq. (5).

\[ \mu_d = \frac{1}{\eta e \rho} \]  

where \( \eta \) is the resistivity of the sample. The drift mobility, increased from 4.9 \( \times \) 10\(^{-36}\) cm\(^2\)/V-s to 6.14 \( \times \) 10\(^{-36}\) cm\(^2\)/V-s for \( x = 0.0 \) to \( x = 0.08 \). Later it decreased to 1.88 \( \times \) 10\(^{-36}\) cm\(^2\)/V-s for \( x = 0.4 \). The drift mobility and DC resistivity
variations are shown in Fig. 9. The high resistivity samples has low mobility and vice versa it is concluded by Fig. 9 [24]. The measured DC resistivity, activation energy and drift mobility values are listed in Table 4.

### Table 2
The structural data of Zr and Co substituted Ni$_{0.5}$Zn$_{0.5}$Zr$_x$Co$_y$Fe$_{2-2x}$O$_4$.

| Dopant concentration | $r_A(\text{Å})$ | $r_B(\text{Å})$ | $\mu$ | d-space (average) | $\epsilon$ (strain) x 10$^{-3}$ | $I(220)/I(222)$ | $I(220)/I(400)$ | $I(422)/I(400)$ |
|----------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-------|-------------------|---------------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| 0.0                  | 0.4984          | 1.8298          | 0.4638| 2.3632            | 7.4336                    | 8.6936         | 2.2573         | 1.055          |
| 0.08                 | 0.4947          | 1.8277          | 0.4633| 2.2817            | 6.9218                    | 2.6238         | 0.7545         | 0.2075         |
| 0.16                 | 0.4993          | 1.8313          | 0.4641| 2.4565            | 7.5134                    | 8.1149         | 1.9547         | 0.6645         |
| 0.24                 | 0.5004          | 1.8332          | 0.4646| 2.4657            | 7.7560                    | 2.8857         | 1.0408         | 0.4903         |
| 0.32                 | 0.5011          | 1.8345          | 0.4649| 2.4622            | 7.9988                    | 3.6201         | 1.1418         | 0.6390         |
| 0.4                  | 0.5013          | 1.8348          | 0.4650| 2.0073            | 8.2814                    | 1.6576         | 1.2943         | 0.5319         |

### Table 3
The structural data of Zr and Co substituted Ni$_{0.5}$Zn$_{0.5}$Zr$_x$Co$_y$Fe$_{2-2x}$O$_4$.

| Dopant concentration | $\theta$ | $L_0(\text{Å})$ | $L_B(\text{Å})$ | $d_{AL}$ | $d_{BL}$ | $d_{AE}$ | $d_{BE}$ | $d_{BEU}$ |
|----------------------|---------|-----------------|-----------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|-----------|
| 0.0                  | 1.2601  | 3.6369          | 2.9696          | 1.7748   | 2.1233   | 2.8984   | 3.0499   | 3.5773    |
| 0.08                 | 1.2601  | 3.6346          | 2.9677          | 1.7737   | 2.1220   | 2.8962   | 3.0389   | 3.5750    |
| 0.16                 | 1.2563  | 3.6387          | 2.9710          | 1.7756   | 2.1244   | 2.8997   | 3.0423   | 3.5790    |
| 0.24                 | 1.2622  | 3.6409          | 2.9728          | 1.7767   | 2.1256   | 2.9015   | 3.0442   | 3.5812    |
| 0.32                 | 1.2609  | 3.6423          | 2.9740          | 1.7774   | 2.1265   | 2.9027   | 3.0454   | 3.5826    |
| 0.4                  | 1.2601  | 3.6427          | 2.9744          | 1.7776   | 2.1267   | 2.9030   | 3.0457   | 3.5830    |

### Table 4
The DC resistivity properties of Zr and Co substituted Ni$_{0.5}$Zn$_{0.5}$Zr$_x$Co$_y$Fe$_{2-2x}$O$_4$.

| Dopant concentration | DC resistivity | $\mu_d210^{-3}$ | Activation energy ($\Delta E$) eV |
|----------------------|---------------|-----------------|-------------------------------|
| 0.0                  | 126597        | 4.90            | 0.2108                         |
| 0.08                 | 327540        | 6.14            | 0.1837                         |
| 0.16                 | 604121        | 5.52            | 0.1669                         |
| 0.24                 | 803084        | 2.09            | 0.1455                         |
| 0.32                 | 784661        | 1.87            | 0.1267                         |
| 0.4                  | 684229        | 1.88            | 0.0905                         |

### 3.3. Magnetic properties

Fig. 7. Variation of log $\rho$ with inverse temperature.

Fig. 8. Variation of activation energy with dopant concentration.

Fig. 9. Compositional variation of D.C resistivity and Drift mobility.

The M-H loops for samples Ni$_{0.5}$Zn$_{0.5}$Zr$_x$Co$_y$Fe$_{2-2x}$O$_4$ ($x = 0.0, 0.08, 0.16, 0.24, 0.32, 0.4$) was recorded on the room temperature using vibrating sample magnetometer (VSM). The shape and the width of the hysteresis loop depend on chemical composition, porosity, grain size. The area of M-H loops flourishing with increasing dopant concentration shown in Fig. 10. The ferromagnetic ion ordering by application of external magnetic field depicts the saturation magnetization. In ferrites the ferromagnetic order disturbs by the substitutes elements, hence the saturation magnetization is decreased. The magnetization of samples was estimated using the following Eq. (6).
where $M_A$ and $M_B$ are the net magnetic moment of A and B-sites respectively. From Eq. (6) obviously resultant magnetization of the material is depending on the A-site magnetic moment. The Ni-Zn ferrite is the mixed ferrite in which ferric ions ($\text{Fe}^{3+}$) are distributed among both A and B sites [25]. The substitution of Zr and Co in spinel lattice in place of ferric ions the net magnetic moment of resultant samples decreased gradually. Since the Zr and Co are exhibiting the non-magnetic and ferromagnetic ordering respectively. Despite Co shows the ferromagnetic ordering the net magnetic moment of B-site decreased as a result of the decrease of ferric ($\text{Fe}^{3+}$) ions in B-site. The $\text{Zr}^{4+}$ ions prefer to occupy the tetrahedral sites because of the tetrahedral site environment and replace the $\text{Fe}^{3+}$ ions A-site to B-site. The A-site $\text{Fe}^{3+}$ ions spin moment always opposite to B-site $\text{Fe}^{3+}$ ions spin moment as result saturation magnetization is decreased [26]. The net magnetic moment of prepared samples was reckoned using the following Eq. (7).

$$M = M_B - M_A$$

$$\eta_B = \frac{\text{molecular weight} \times m_s}{5585 \times d_s}$$

where $m_s$ and $d_s$ are the saturation magnetization and sintered density. The saturation magnetization and net magnetic moment with dopant concentration is shown in Fig. 11. The both parameters are decreased with increasing dopant concentration since they are directly related according to Eq. (7). The coercive field is the field where magnetization becomes zero in reverse direction in magnetization process. The coercive field for present samples increased from 48.6911 to 310.5979 for $x = 0.00$ to $x = 0.4$. The decrease in porosity decreases coercivity and vice versa [27]. The coercive field and porosity varied in similar trend with increasing dopant concentration and is shown in Fig. 12. The Y-K angles was computed from the following Eq. (8).

$$n_B = (6 + x)\cos\alpha_1 - x - 5(1 - x)$$
where $x$ represents the substituting element concentration, the Y-K angles are gradually increase with increasing dopant concentration from 20.0723° to 59.4274°. The increase in Y-K angles is indicated for A-site spin moments arranged for B-site spin moments with some angle. That is the spin of A-sub lattice arranged in B-sub lattice ferromagnetic ordering to antiferromagnetic ordering. A three lattice model by suggesting Yafett-Kittel, according to three lattice model the ferrites has A-lattice and B-lattice, but B lattice divided into two sub lattices of B1 and B2 [28] both are remain parallel. The A-site ions spin moments always opposite to B-site spins moments. The substitution of Zr ions replaces the Fe$^{3+}$ ions from A-site to B-site. The A-site Fe$^{3+}$ ions spin moments always opposite to B-site Fe$^{3+}$ ions spins moments. Up to certain dopant concentration Fe$^{3+}$ ions will arrange opposite later arranged in canting position. This canting position exhibits triangular spins arrangement in B lattices. This triangular arrangement increases with increase of substitution of impurities in ferrite lattice. Hence the ferromagnetic order changed as the anti ferromagnetic order in ferrite lattice. The decreasing trend shows the zero Y-K angles attributed decreasing A-B interaction suggested by the Neel’s two lattice sub model favours the ferromagnetism with parallel spin arrangement in B lattice [29]. The composition variation of Y-K angles shown is in Fig. 13.

### 3.4. Permeability

The permeability is defined as in electromagnetism permeability is the measure of the ability of a material to support the formation of a magnetic field within itself. Hence the material obtains a degree of magnetization in response to the applied magnetic field. Eq. (9) is used to determine the extend magnetization of a material in response to an applied magnetic field.

$$\mu = \frac{L}{L_0}$$  \hspace{1cm} (9)

where $L$ is the inductance and $L_0$ of torroids Figured as follows.

$$L_0 A \times 606 N^2 \log(OD)/10$$ \hspace{1cm} (10)

Fig. 11. Variation of Net magnetic moment and saturation magnetization with dopant concentration.

Fig. 12. Variation of Coercive field and porosity with dopant concentration.

Fig. 13. Variation of Y-K angles with dopant concentration.

Fig. 14. Variation of permeability and grain size with dopant concentration and Fig. 14(a). Variation of permeability and anisotropy constant with dopant concentration.
The compositional dependence of permeability with grain size is shown in Fig. 14. The permeability decreased from the 117.1649 to 19.4524 with increasing dopant concentration. The permeability directly proportional to saturation magnetization in case of contribution of electronic spin rotation and proportional to square of Ms in case of contribution of magnetocrystalline anisotropy. The permeability further decreased with increasing dopant concentration because of the electrical conduction losses. The eddy current loss is inversely proportional to the resistivity of the system with the applied field. The Q-factor decreases with increasing electrical resistivity. It is known that the low-frequency dispersion associated with domain wall bowing and wall displacement. The application of field bows the pinned walls, the domain wall displacement is not triggered by any field. The domain walls are not displaced up to a certain frequency later the domain walls are displacing called critical field. The decrease in frequency dispersion indicates that the critical field increases due to incorporation of Co and Zr in general formula of ferrites as result low initial permeability attains. The Q-factor determines the efficiency of the system with the applied field, it may neither electrical nor mechanical system. The wide frequency band is ascribed by a lower Q-factor of system and the narrow frequency band is caused by a high Q-factor of the system. The frequency variation Q-factor shown is in Fig. 16. From Fig. 16 the Q-factor decreases with increasing dopant concentration due to increasing electrical resistivity. It is known that the main loss mechanism in Ni-Zn ferrite at high frequency is eddy current loss. The eddy current loss is expressed as follows in Eq. (11).

\[ P_e = \frac{KB^2f^2d^2}{\rho} \]  

where \( P_e \) is the energy loss per unit volume, \( K \) is a geometric constant, \( B \) is the maximum induction, \( f \) is the frequency and \( d \) is the thickness of narrowest dimension perpendicular to the magnetic flux. From Eq. (11) the eddy current loss is inversely proportional to the resistivity of the samples. Fig. 16 shows the quality factor decreases with increasing Zr and Co concentration. The decrease in the Q-factor due to the increasing electrical resistivity of ferrite with applied field. This scenario is clearly shown by M-H loops with great losses of magnetic energy as a result the quality factor is decreased with increasing of resistivity of ferrites. All the measured magnetic properties are listed in Table 5.

4. Conclusions

The Zr and Co co-substitute Ni_{0.5}Zn_{0.5}Fe_{2-2x}O_{4} have been prepared by sol-gel auto combustion method. The XRD patterns provide the single phase cubic spinel with \( (Fdd2m(O_3^2)) \) space group. The extra peaks arise from dopant concentration of 0.24–0.4. This is indication for specific lattice sites preferred by substituting ions with decrease intensities of (422) (511) (440) planes. The particle agglomeration nature observed from TEM pictures due to the particles are reduce their dimension into nano range. The clear porosity observed in SEM micrographs due to sintering rate of material as result of formation of Fe\(_{2}\)O\(_{4}\) nano range. The clear porosity observed in SEM micrographs due to sintering rate of material as result of formation of Fe\(_{2}\)O\(_{4}\) nano range. The clear porosity observed in SEM micrographs due to sintering rate of material as result of formation of Fe\(_{2}\)O\(_{4}\).
the electrical conduction. The increase in the area of M-H loops reveals the loss of energy is high in materials by application of magnetic field justified by the Q factor of samples with a wide frequency band. The coercive field is increased as a result of increase in porosity. The non-collinear arrangement of Fe³⁺ ions in B-site increases the Y-K angles because of increasing A-B interactions. The formation of Co³⁺ ions will induce the large anisotropy constant in prepared samples, hence increase in the anisotropy constant results in increasing dopant concentration.
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