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Natural products as LSD1 inhibitors for cancer therapy
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Abstract Natural products generally fall into the biologically relevant chemical space and always possess novel biological activities, thus making them a rich source of lead compounds for new drug discovery. With the recent technological advances, natural product-based drug discovery is now reaching a new era. Natural products have also shown promise in epigenetic drug discovery, some of them have advanced into clinical trials or are presently being used in clinic. The histone lysine specific demethylase 1 (LSD1), an important class of histone demethylases, has fundamental roles in the development of various pathological conditions. Targeting LSD1 has been recognized as a promising therapeutic option.
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for cancer treatment. Notably, some natural products with different chemotypes including protoberberine alkaloids, flavones, polyphenols, and cyclic peptides have shown effectiveness against LSD1. These natural products provide novel scaffolds for developing new LSD1 inhibitors. In this review, we mainly discuss the identification of natural LSD1 inhibitors, analysis of the co-crystal structures of LSD1/natural product complex, antitumor activity and their modes of action. We also briefly discuss the challenges faced in this field. We believe this review will provide a landscape of natural LSD1 inhibitors.

1. Introduction

Natural products have long been recognized as a rich source of leads for new drug discovery. Relative to synthetic compounds, natural products possess diverse scaffolds and retain a high degree of stereochemistry. Natural products are inherently within regions of biologically relevant chemical space and more importantly cover larger space than synthetic small-molecule libraries. As reported, 48.6% (85) of the 175 small-molecule antitumor agents approved from around the 1940s to 2012 are either natural products or analogs derived natural products. William C. Campbell, Satoshi Omura, and Youyou Tu were awarded the 2015 Nobel Prize in Physiology or Medicine for their achievements on the discovery of naturally occurring avermectins and artemisinin, respectively, for treating devastating parasite diseases. With the recent technological advances, particularly the functional assays and phenotypic screening, many natural products are currently being used as valuable starting points in drug discovery campaigns for novel therapeutics beyond their traditional antimicrobial and anticancer indications. With the epidemic of coronavirus disease (COVID-19), numerous efforts have been devoted to identifying effective drugs/vaccines for combating severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2). Of note, some natural products have shown effectiveness for treating 2019 novel coronavirus pneumonia. It is evident that the natural product-based drug discovery is now reaching a new golden age.

In last decades, natural products have been used as starting points for epigenetic probe or drug discovery. Some natural epigenetic modulators have advanced into clinical trials or are currently being used in clinic. For example, romidepsin, a selective class I and II histone deacetylase (HDAC) inhibitor obtained from cultures of Chromobacterium violaceum, was approved by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) in 2009 for treating cutaneous T-cell lymphoma. Mechanistically, the disulfide bridge of this bicyclic compound is reduced by glutathione to give free thiol groups, which then interact with Zn ions within the active site of HDAC enzymes, thus inhibiting the deacetylase activity.

Of the epigenetic targets, the lysine-specific histone demethylase 1A (LSD1, also known as KDM1A) specifically removes methyl marks of histone H3 lysine 4 (H3K4) in flavin adenine dinucleotide (FAD)-dependent manner. LSD1 has fundamental and diverse roles in physiological processes and under pathological conditions, such as cancers, infections, immune modulation, etc. LSD1 dysregulation has been found in a...
wide range of cancers and is closely associated with malignant transformation, the epithelial–mesenchymal transition (EMT), stem cell biology, and cell proliferation and differentiation. LSD1 inhibition by small molecules or genetic knockdown has shown effectiveness in blocking cell growth and migration, inducing differentiation, etc. These findings strongly suggest that targeting LSD1 is a promising option for cancer therapy.

To date, a large number of highly potent and selective LSD1 inhibitors have been reported in last decades, of which tranylcypromine (TCP), ORY-1001, ORY-2001, GSK-2879552, INCBO59872, IMG-7289, and CC-90011 are currently undergoing clinical evaluation at different phases for the treatment of cancers. Of particular interest is that some natural products could effectively inhibit LSD1 even at nanomolar levels (Fig. 2). Although the significant progress on natural LSD1 inhibitors has been observed, no comprehensive reviews have been published to date. Therefore, it would be necessary and of great interest to give an updated summary of natural product-based LSD1 inhibitors. In this review, an emphasis will be placed on the identification of natural LSD1 inhibitors, analysis of the co-crystal structures of LSD1/natural product complexes, antitumor activity and their modes of action. We will also briefly discuss the opportunities and challenges faced in this field. We believe this review will provide a landscape of natural LSD1 inhibitors and be interesting to the readers in this field.

2. Natural product-based LSD1 inhibitors

Due to the structural diversity and complexity, natural products generally fall into the biologically relevant chemical space and cover wider space that is not occupied by synthetic compounds, thus always possessing unexpected biological activities. These attributes make natural products a rich source of lead compounds for new drug discovery. To date, some natural products with different chemotypes including protoberberine, flavone, diterpenoid, curcumin, xanthone, stilbene, resveratrol, secoiridoid, indole, phenol, and cyclic peptide, have been reported to be capable of inhibiting LSD1 in vitro and/or in vivo. This section is organized according to the scaffold types of natural LSD1 inhibitors.

2.1. Cyclic peptides

The H3 N-terminal residues bind to an open cleft in LSD1-CoREST (RE1-silencing transcription factor co-repressor) and form interactions with surrounding negatively charged amino acid residues. Based on the structural features, Speranzini et al. screened their in-house focused library containing compounds with positively charged groups by thermal shift assay using ThermoFAD and in vitro anti-LSD1 enzymatic assay. Interestingly, they found that natural cyclic peptides polymyxins B and E
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**Figure 2** Crystal structure of LSD1 (PDB code: 2HKO) and representative natural LSD1 inhibitors (not exhaustive).
(Table 1) could inhibit LSD1 in the thermal shift assay. In structure, polymyxins B and E feature five positively charged amine units (highlighted in blue in Table 1) and possess a linear head group, thus possibly mimicking histone H3 peptide substrates for binding to LSD1. In the thermal shift assay, both compounds increased the melting temperature (ΔTm = 6 °C) of LSD1-CoREST. The enzymatic and fluorescence polarization assays showed that polymyxins B and E competitively bound to LSD1-CoREST with histone H3 peptide and showed favorable affinity with Kd values (157–193) and (463–594) μmol/L, respectively (Table 1). In cultured leukemia MV4-11 cells, polymyxin E (1 μmol/L), because of the poor permeability, had no remarkable effects on either H3K4/H3K9 (histone H3 lysine 9) methylation or cell growth.

Co-crystal structure (PDB code: 5L3F) of LSD1-CoREST in complex with polymyxin B revealed that this positively charged cyclic peptide bound to LSD1 at the H3 tail-binding region, far away from the flavin (5 Å) and formed electrostatic interactions with surrounding negatively charged residues (highlighted in red in Fig. 3B). Besides, because of the circular nature and multiple orientations, polymyxin B may adapt other “rotated” orientations with lower occupancies, the evidence supporting this notion is that polymyxin B showed decreased affinity (Kd = 4.7 ± 0.7 μmol/L) by a factor of 10 toward mutant LSD1, in which Glu 379 was substituted with Lys. This substitution from Glu to Lys reversed the charge of the key residue interacting with polymyxin B. This identified highly charged protein surface area is larger than that previously exploited^{38}, and thus particularly attractive for designing new LSD1 inhibitors suppressing the demethylase activity and impairing binding to LSD1-CoREST. Although the serious side effects observed in anti-infection treatments, these findings highlight that the well-known antibiotics polymyxins B and E could be potentially repurposed for regulating bacterial infections and epigenetic processes in the context of leukemia.

### 2.2. Protoberberine alkaloids

Through screening our in-house compound library containing natural products, we found that the tetracyclic protobberine alkaloids potently inhibited LSD1 (Table 2)^{39}. Of note, epiberberine showed the strongest inhibitory activity against LSD1 (IC50 = 0.14 μmol/L), while other protoberberine alkaloids decreased inhibitory activity, suggesting the crucial roles of substituents on the activity. We also noted that the tetracyclic canadine and tetrahydropalmatine were inactive against LSD1 with the IC50 values of over 100 μmol/L. This strongly indicate that the isoquinoline ring is extremely important for the anti-LSD1 activity. The structure—activity relationship studies (SARs) provide us the essential structural features of protoberberine alkaloids for LSD1 inhibition, we believe the protoberberine alkaloids could be used as starting templates for designing more potent and selective LSD1 inhibitors. Further structural modifications based on the tetracyclic scaffold is currently undergoing in our lab.

In contrast to LSD1, epiberberine was less potent against monoamine oxidase A/B (MAO-A/B), showing selective inhibition toward LSD1. At 1.0 μmol/L, epiberberine inhibited LSD1 with an inhibitory rate of 89.41%, but had <30% of inhibitory rates against MAO-A/B. Epiberberine reversibly inhibited LSD1 and was non-competitive with LSD1 substrate H3K4me2. In the surface plasmon resonance (SPR) assay, epiberberine bound to LSD1 with a fast association (Kd = 3.55 × 10^7 M⁻¹s⁻¹) but with a slow dissociation (Kd = 4.32 × 10⁻² s⁻¹). In acute myeloid leukemia (AML) cell lines THP-1 and HL-60, epiberberine moderately inhibited cell growth after 8 days’ treatment (IC50 = 12.80 and 23.82 μmol/L, respectively). In THP-1 cells, epiberberine had no effect on LSD1 expression, but induced

---

**Figure 3** Co-crystal structure (PDB code: 5L3F) of LSD1-CoREST in complex with polymyxin B. (A) No interactions are formed between polymyxin B (shown in blue) and FAD (yellow sticks). (B) Polymyxin B binds to LSD1 at the entrance of the H3 tail-binding cleft and establishes interactions with surrounding negatively charged residues Asp 553, Asp 556, Asp 555, Glu 559, and Glu 379 (depicted in red). Adapted from Ref. 37. Copyright © 2016 American Association for the Advancement of Science.

---

**Table 2 The inhibitory activity of protoberberine alkaloids against LSD1.**

| Protoberberine alkaloid | R1 | R2 | R3 | R4 | IC50 (μmol/L) |
|-------------------------|----|----|----|----|--------------|
| Epiberberine            | OMe| OMe| OMe| OMe| 0.14 ± 0.01  |
| Columbamine             | OMe| OMe| OH | OMe| 0.47 ± 0.01  |
| Jatrorrhizine           | OMe| OMe| OMe| OH | 1.55 ± 0.01  |
| Berberine               | OMe| OMe| OMe| OMe| 6.97 ± 0.01  |
| Palmatine               | OMe| OMe| OMe| OMe| 12.33 ± 0.01 |
accumulation of LSD1 substrates H3K4me2 and H3K9me1/2. At 1 μmol/L, epiberberine significantly increased expression of cluster of differentiation 86 (CD86) in THP-1 and HL-60 cells. These data suggest that epiberberine was engaged to LSD1 in THP-1 cells. Consistent with previous report40, epiberberine also induced differentiation related morphological changes and expression of integrin alpha M (CD11b) and cluster of differentiation 14 (CD14), two well-known myelo-monocytic differentiation markers41. However, like the well-known LSD1 inhibitor ORY-1001, epiberberine had no effect on cell cycle distribution and cell apoptosis at low concentrations in AML cells. In non-obese diabetic/severe combined immunodeficiency (NOD/SCID) xenograft model bearing THP-1 cells, epiberberine demonstrated robust efficacy without serious side effects after intravenous administration. Specifically, after treatment with epiberberine (50 mg/kg) for 14 days, the average tumor volume was about 469 mm³, significantly smaller than that of the vehicle group (963 mm³). Relative to the vehicle group, epiberberine significantly prolonged mean survival of the NOD/SCID mice bearing THP-1 cells from 19 (the vehicle group) to 25 days (the group treated with 50 mg/kg).

2.3. Flavones

The flavones are a large family of natural products that possess diverse biological activities42,43. In recent years, some flavone-based natural compounds have proven to be effective against LSD1 (Fig. 4)44. In structure, the flavones feature the backbone of 2-phenylchromen-4-one with additional phenolic hydroxy groups and/or sugar moiety. The in vitro inhibitory activity of these flavones against LSD1 is depicted in Fig. 5. Clearly, these flavones showed varied anti-LSD1 inhibitory activity dependent on their substituents attached to the scaffold. Of these compounds, isoquercitrin showed the best potency against LSD1 (IC50 = 0.95 μmol/L). These finding may suggest that the flavone may be a privileged scaffold for designing new LSD1 inhibitors.

In 2016, our group reported that baicalin could inhibit LSD1 with an IC30 of 3.01 μmol/L (similar to that later reported by Han et al.45) and the HillSlope value of 0.6744. Baicalin is the first reported flavone-based natural LSD1 inhibitor. In MGC-803 cells overexpressing LSD1, baicalin dose-dependently induced accumulation of H3K4me2 and CD86 messenger RNA (mRNA) levels, but had no effect on LSD1 expression, indicating cellular target...
engagement to LSD1. Baicalin inactivated LSD1 reversibly, dilution could recover the demethylase activity. Besides, baicalin inhibited cell proliferation (IC$_{50}$ = 8.78 ± 0.49 μmol/L) and migration of MGC-803 cells, accompanying the increase of E-cadherin mRNA levels and decrease of N-cadherin mRNA levels.

Based on the bioactivity-guided cut countercurrent chromatography (CCC) strategy, Han et al. isolated 6 flavone-based natural LSD1 inhibitors (baicalin, wogonoside, baicalein, wogonin, skullcap flavone II and oroxylin) from Scutellaria baicalensis Georgi. Wogonoside demonstrated the strongest inhibitory activity against LSD1 (IC$_{50}$ = 2.98 μmol/L) and reversibly inhibited LSD1. In MDA-MB-231, wogonoside significantly induced accumulation of H3K4me2 and also increased mRNA levels of CD86, a cellular surrogate biomarker for the LSD1 activity. After treatment of MDA-MB-231 cells with wogonoside for 48 h, the cell viability was inhibited dose-dependently with an IC$_{50}$ value of 14.94 μmol/L. Han et al. also found that wogonoside significantly inhibited migration of MDA-MB-231 cells accompanied by increased E-cadherin mRNA levels and decreased N-cadherin mRNA levels.

Subsequently, Xu et al. identified another 12 natural flavone-based LSD1 inhibitors including four aglycones, four monoglycosides, and four diglycosides. The SARs studies revealed that all monoglycosides displayed better potency against LSD1 than their aglycone counterparts independent on the sugar type and position attached. Among 12 flavone-based natural LSD1 inhibitors, isoquercitrin had the strongest inhibitory activity against LSD1 (IC$_{50}$ = 0.95 μmol/L), 20 times stronger than tranylcypromine. In MDA-MB-231 cells, isoquercitrin dose-dependently induced accumulation of H3K4me2 without affecting LSD1 expression and significantly induced CD86 expression. As a cellularly active LSD1 inhibitor, isoquercitrin dose- and time-dependently inhibited viability of MDA-MB-231 cells (IC$_{50}$ = 12.75 μmol/L) and cell migration. The Western blotting analysis showed that like above flavone-based LSD1 inhibitors, isoquercitrin also affected expression of E-cadherin and N-cadherin. Collectively, isoquercitrin is the first xanthone-based LSD1 inhibitor, thus making xanthones as novel scaffolds for the search of new LSD1 inhibitors.

### 2.4. Xanthones

Xanthones are an important class of polyphenolic compounds with diverse pharmacological activities, thus making them promising scaffolds for new drug discovery. Of which α-mangostin (α-MG, Fig. 6), the main constituent of the mangosteen fruit, has been proved to possess diverse pharmacological properties. Han et al. reported that α-mangostin inhibited LSD1 potently and reversibly (IC$_{50}$ = 2.81 μmol/L), 7-fold much more potent than tranylcypromine. In MDA-MB-231 cells, α-mangostin dose-dependently induced accumulation of H3K4me2 without affecting LSD1 expression and significantly induced CD86 expression. As a cellularly active LSD1 inhibitor, α-mangostin dose- and time-dependently inhibited viability of MDA-MB-231 cells (IC$_{50}$ = 12.75 μmol/L) and cell migration. The Western blotting analysis showed that like above flavone-based LSD1 inhibitors, α-mangostin also affected expression of E-cadherin and N-cadherin. Collectively, α-mangostin is the first xanthone-based LSD1 inhibitor, thus making xanthones as novel scaffolds for the search of new LSD1 inhibitors.

Figure 5  In vitro inhibitory activity of flavones against LSD1.
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Stilbenes are an important class of biologically active scaffolds structurally featuring a trans-1,2-diphenylethylene nucleus (highlighted in blue in Fig. 7). The stilbene scaffolds are prevalent in natural products including resveratrol (Fig. 7) and biologically active compounds, thus being recognized as privileged scaffolds in drug discovery.

In 2013, Abdulla et al. first reported that resveratrol dose-dependently inhibited the enzymatic activity of LSD1 (IC50 = 15 μmol/L) and also inhibited the demethylation process of H3K4me2. The inhibitory activity of resveratrol against LSD1 was independent on its antioxidant properties and was specific, not through SIRT1 activation. For myogenic differentiation of C2C12 fibroblasts, LSD1 is required. The authors found that in C2C12 cells, resveratrol effectively inhibited myocyte formation and myosin heavy chain (MHC) expression. Finally, they speculated that resveratrol bound to LSD1 to inhibit its demethylase activity. The data may suggest that resveratrol would be a good starting point for designing new LSD1 inhibitors.

Inspired by above work and the prevalence of the amidoxime moiety in LSD1 inhibitors, our group designed new stilbene-based LSD1 inhibitors by introducing the amidoxime to the stilbene scaffold, of which compounds Res-4e and Res-8c showed promising activity against LSD1 and in LSD1 overexpressed cancer cells. Res-4e inhibited LSD1 potently (IC50 = 121 nmol/L) and reversibly. In MGC-803 cells, Res-4e dose-dependently induced accumulation of H3K4me2 and CD86 mRNA levels, indicating its cellular target engagement to LSD1. Following this work, our group further performed structural modifications to obtain new stilbene derivatives as new LSD1 inhibitors. Res-8c effectively inhibited LSD1 (IC50 = 283 nmol/L) and showed superior selectivity over MAO-A/B (IC50 > 50 μmol/L). Res-8c inhibited LSD1 reversibly and FAD-competitively. In the SPR assay, Res-8c showed tight binding to LSD1 (Kd = 5.49 × 10^{-6} mol/L) with a fast association and slow dissociation (K_a = 1.82 × 10^{7} L/mol·s, K_d = 1.02 × 10^{-2} s^-1). Res-8c exhibited moderate inhibition against human MOLM-13 and THP-1 cell lines with the IC_{50} values ranging from 5.76 to 8.34 μmol/L. In THP-1 cells, Res-8c dose-dependently inhibited colony formation and increased CD86 expression. Res-8c induced accumulation of H3K4me1 and H3K4me2 dose-dependently after treatment for 5 days, supporting the target engagement of Res-8c in THP-1 cells. Besides, treatment of THP-1 cells with Res-8c dose-dependently caused characteristic morphological changes, including chromatin shrinkage and blurred cell membrane boundaries.

### 2.6. Diarylheptanoids

The diarylheptanoids, a class of natural products mainly distributed in the roots, bark and rhizomes of *Alpinia*, *Curcuma*, *Zingiber* and *Alnus* species, possess the aryl-C7-aryl skeleton and various substituents. Such compounds have shown diverse biological activities such as anticancer, antimicrobial, and antioxidant activity, thus becoming a promising class of bioactive natural products. Of particular interest is curcumin (Fig. 8), which is a constituent of turmeric derived from the roots of plant *Curcuma longa*. As a natural polyphenol, curcumin has shown numerous biological activities including antioxidant, anti-inflammatory, and anticancer properties and has been one of the focuses for new drug development. Accumulating data have supported the therapeutic potential of curcumin as an epigenetic modulator and warrant further preclinical and clinical studies for exploring its anticancer efficacy.

In 2013, Abdulla et al. first reported that curcumin inactivated LSD1 independent on its antioxidant property. Curcumin effectively suppressed myocyte formation of cell differentiation and the expression of both myogenin and MHC. Very recently, Zhao and co-workers reported that curcumin inactivated LSD1 (IC50 = 9.6 μmol/L), further structure-based modifications of curcumin gave cinnamamides as new LSD1 inhibitors, of which WB07 demonstrated the best potency (IC50 = 0.8 μmol/L) and was selective over MAO-A/B (IC50 > 50 μmol/L). Also, curcumin suppresses cell growth of A549 cells (IC50 = 9.333 μmol/L). Although curcumin has moderate inhibition toward LSD1, such compound has limitations including low bioavailability, toxicity, etc.

### 2.7. Melatonin

Melatonin (Fig. 9), a natural hormone produced primarily by the pineal gland in the brain, is functionally diverse, including anticancer, antioxidant, anti-inflammatory and immune-regulating activity. Melatonin may have the therapeutic potential against a variety of cancer types, particularly the gastrointestinal cancer. Interestingly, melatonin could induce histone H3 hyperacetylation and apoptosis of colorectal cancer cells through histone deacetylase 4 (HDAC4) nuclear import.

In 2017, Yang et al. revealed that melatonin showed therapeutic potential in patient-derived tumor xenograft (PDTX)
models bearing oral cancer cells via suppressing LSD1. After treatment for 42 days, melatonin inhibited tumor growth and weight significantly without observed toxicities. In contrast to the control group, LSD1 expression was significantly lower in the melatonin-treated group. Melatonin induced cell cycle arrest of SAS cells at G0/G1 phase and decreased expression of LSD1 in both SAS and SCC25 cells. In SCC25 cells, melatonin significantly led to elevated levels of P21 and decrease levels of cyclin D1. The data may suggest that melatonin may has therapeutic potential in LSD1-overexpressed oral cancer. However, questions remain exit for the anti-cancer effects of melatonin, for example, the authors did not address whether melatonin bound to LSD1 directly or indirectly, the cellular target engagement was not examined as well. More work should be done before carrying out melatonin-based modifications for more potent and selective LSD1 inhibitors.

2.8. Other natural LSD1 inhibitors

Geranylgeranoic acid (GGA, Fig. 10), a natural diterpenoid, inhibited LSD1 (IC_{50} = 46.97 μmol/L) in a non-competitive fashion. GGA induced expression of neurotrophic receptor tyrosine kinase 2 (NTRK2) gene and upregulated H3K4me2 state of neuroblastoma cells. These findings show promise for further structural modifications and/or in-depth mechanistic studies, any hit compound inhibiting LSD1 should be carefully cross-validated to make sure that it is a genuine LSD1 inhibitor. Particular attention should be paid to natural polyphenols, which always consume H_{2}O_{2} (produced in the screening assay), and then give a false positive reading. Besides, some compounds with aromatic character appear to be LSD1 inhibitors, but are actually horseradish peroxidase (HRP, a component used in the screening assay) inhibitors. This kind of compounds also give a false positive result in the screening assay. For example, the authors did not address whether melatonin bound to LSD1 directly or indirectly, the cellular target engagement was not examined as well. More work should be done before carrying out melatonin-based modifications for more potent and selective LSD1 inhibitors.

3. Conclusions and perspectives

Natural products feature diverse and novel molecular scaffolds, and thus are a rich source for identifying new bioactive compounds. Of note, with the recent development of the functional assays and phenotypic screening protocols, more and more natural products have demonstrated novel therapeutics beyond their traditional antimicrobial and anticancer indications. Youyou Tu, Satoshi Omura, and William C. Campbell were awarded the 2015’s Nobel Prize in Physiology or Medicine for discovering avermectins and artemisinin for treating parasite diseases. Undoubtedly, natural product-based drug discovery is now reaching a new golden age.

In the field of epigenetics, natural products have also shown promise in epigenetic drug discovery. To date, numerous natural products have been reported to be able to modulate epigenetic processes, some of them have advanced into clinical trials or are presently being used in clinic. LSD1 has fundamental roles in the development of various pathological conditions, including cancers. Pharmaceutical inhibition of LSD1 has been a promising therapeutic option for cancer treatment. Some TCP-based LSD1 inhibitors are currently being evaluated for cancer therapy. Notably, some natural products of different types have also shown effectiveness in inhibiting LSD1. Generally, these natural products can be mainly divided into four categories, including cyclic peptides, protoberberine alkaloids, polyphenols, and unsaturated carbonyl compounds. These natural products indeed provide novel scaffolds for developing new reversible LSD1 inhibitors. However, most of them show poor to moderate inhibitory activity against LSD1, and much efforts should be devoted to identifying new LSD1 inhibitors with novel scaffolds from nature. The “2 + 1” model previously proposed by us has been successfully used to design new LSD1 inhibitors in our lab and would also be useful to guide the selection of potential hit compounds from natural product library for further screening. We have to admit that biological characterization of natural LSD1 inhibitors is at very early stage, most of them are not fully characterized in vitro and in vivo. Before further structural modifications and/or in-depth mechanistic studies, any hit compound inhibiting LSD1 should be carefully cross-validated to make sure that it is a genuine LSD1 inhibitor. Particular attention should be paid to natural polyphenols, which always consume H_{2}O_{2} (produced in the screening assay), and then give a false positive reading. Besides, some compounds with aromatic character appear to be LSD1 inhibitors, but are actually horseradish peroxidase (HRP, a component used in the screening assay) inhibitors. This kind of compounds also give a false positive result in the screening assay.
false positive reading and should be excluded. Collectively, herein we provide an updated overview of natural LSD1 inhibitors reported to date and our own perspectives on future development of novel LSD1 inhibitors based on natural products. The scaffolds derived from natural products could be used for designing new LSD1 inhibitors.
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