Comparison of Wet Fractionation Methods for Processing Broccoli Agricultural Wastes and Evaluation of the Nutri-Chemical Values of Obtained Products
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Abstract: The main objective of this study was to increase the economic value of broccoli green agro-waste using three wet fractionation methods in the shadow of green biorefinery and the circular economy. Product candidates were obtained directly by using a mechanical press, and indirectly by using microwave coagulation or via lactic acid fermentation of green juice. The leaf protein concentrates (LPC) fractions displayed significantly higher dry matter content and crude protein content (34–39 m/m% on average) than the green juice fraction (27.4 m/m% on average), without considerable changes in the amino acids composition ratio. UHPLC-ESI-ORBITRAP-MS/MS analysis showed that kaemferol and quercetin are the most abundant flavonols, forming complexes with glycosides and hydroxycinnamic acids in green juice. Lacto-ermentation induced a considerable increase in the quantity of quercetin (48.75 µg g⁻¹ dry weight) and kaempferol aglycons (895.26 µg g⁻¹ dry weight) of LPC. In contrast, chlorogenic acid isomers and sulforaphane disappeared from LPC after lactic acid fermentation, while microwave treatment did not cause significant differences. These results confirm that both microwave treatment and lacto-fermentation coagulate and concentrate most of the soluble proteins. Also, these two processes affect the amount of valuable phytochemicals differently, so it should be considered when setting the goals.
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1. Introduction

Due to the growing demand for protein, the European Union (EU) agricultural sector has had to pay special attention to ensuring adequate quality and quantity of protein in the food chain. Plants are among the protein sources of particular importance. Today’s food and feed industry are built mainly on seed-based protein sources, including cereals and legumes (mainly soybean). However, most EU countries are not self-sufficient in soybean, and they have to import soybean from third countries. However, imported soy is constantly exposed to fluctuations in market prices, affecting profits in the food production chain. Therefore, finding alternative sources of protein is of vital interest.

Regarding the protein distribution within plant organs in addition to seeds, protein accumulates in high concentrations in the leaves. The protein composition of green leaves is more heterogeneous than the stored protein in the seed endosperm or cotyledon. Along with it, the most abundant leaf protein is the RuBisCo enzyme (ribulose-1,5-bisphosphate
carboxylase/Oxygenase enzyme), which can constitute 30–50% of the soluble cell protein in C3 plants [1]. It is located in the stroma of the chloroplast.

The philosophy of green biorefining builds on the protein and other valuable biomolecules in green biomass/leaves to create value-added products for food, feed or other industrial purposes with minimal waste emission. Green biorefining can involve both dedicated biomass plant species such as alfalfa and annual/perennial grasses or green residues of plant crops [2].

Broccoli (Brassica oleracea L. var. Italica) is a member of the Brassicaceae family, which includes a variety of vegetables that are consumed worldwide, such as cabbage, cauliflower, brussels sprouts, and radishes [3]. Due to their health promoting effects, the consumption of cruciferous foods has increased across Europe in recent years. At the same time, broccoli’s harvest produces a massive amount of agro-wastes, particularly large-sized leaves. Broccoli is one of the crops with only 10–15% of the plant’s total aerial edible biomass [4]. A considerable amount of the plant residue is abandoned in the field or at the processing facility during the harvesting, sorting, and processing. According to Liu et al. [5] after harvesting the heads/florets, the leaves, stalks, and stems (about 70% of the plant) are left on the fields and plowed back into the soil as green fertilizer. This waste of precious resources results in the loss of nutritious green biomass, as well as investments in limited resources like water, fertilizer, farmland, and energy, all of which contribute to greenhouse gas emissions [6].

Broccoli heads have long been recognized as a vital ingredient of a balanced, healthy diet. They have a low-calorie content of 34 kcal (142 kJ)/100 g FW, and are a good source of minerals (i.e., calcium, phosphorus, potassium, and sodium), vitamins (i.e., B, C, E, and K), fibers, amino acids and a variety of other health-promoting molecules, such as carotenoids (ß-carotene and lutein), flavonoids (kaempferol), hydroxycinnamic acids (sinapic and caffeoyl-quinic acid derivatives), and, most importantly, glucosinolates (GLs) [7,8]. At the same time, the leaf also contains valuable phytonutrients. Prade [9] reported that between 34–42 kg of total protein could be extracted per one ton of dry matter of broccoli leaves. Liu et al. [5] cited the significantly higher accumulation of carotenoids (carotene, violaxanthin, neoxanthin, and lutein) chlorophylls, vitamins E and K in leaves than in florets. Also, higher phenolic acids contents, including chlorogenic acids, sinapic acid, caffeic acid, and ferulic acid were detected in microwave-assisted broccoli leaf extracts than in florets [10]. Phytocompounds in broccoli organs such as sulphoraphane showed anti-inflammatory properties in vitro and in animal studies [11]. Despite its valuable substances, the direct eating of broccoli leaves is not attractive to consumers. Different extraction processes of green biomass/waste can contribute to provide products with concentrated proteins and other desirable functional properties [12]. Generally, the first step of wet processes is a mechanical disruption to separate the soluble proteins from the fibrous parts. The proteins are then concentrated in simple step or combination of steps by using thermal coagulation or by the use of acidic/alkaline precipitation or flocculants [13]. By-products originated from broccoli’s leaves for food and feed purposes are available with information about their protein and phenolic compounds contents [9].

In agreement with these purposes, the current study aimed to valorize the neglected green biomass (mainly leaves) of broccoli, comparing three wet fractionation methods, including a novel microwave-assisted coagulation, lactic acid fermentation, and direct mechanical pressing. The protein quantity and quality of the obtained fractions were evaluated in the context of the applied processes. Furthermore, the quantitative changes in the phytochemicals of the obtained fractions were documented.

2. Materials and Methods
2.1. Chemicals and Reagents

For the chemical analysis, HCl (analytical reagent grade) (a.r.), 37%, NaOH (≥97.0%) LC–MS grade water, and a 3kDa PES membrane filter were ordered from VWR Interna-
2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Chemicals and Reagents

For the chemical analysis, HCl (analytical reagent grade) (a.r.), 37%, NaOH (≥99%), lactic acid (≥98%), acetic acid (≥99%), formic acid (≥98%), chlorogenic acid (analytical standard), acetic anhydride (98%), meso-2,3-dimercaptopropanol (98%), acetic acid (analytical standard), luteolin (≥95%), apigenin (≥95%), apigenin-7-O-glucuronide (primary reference standard); luteolin (≥98%); quercetin (≥95.0%); isoquercitrin (a. s.); naringenin (≥95.0%); genkwanin (≥98%); kaempferol (≥97.0% (HPLC); isoliquiritigenin (a. s.), and ferulic acid (USP reference standard) were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich (Darmstadt, Germany).

2.2. Plant Source

Broccoli (Brassica oleracea var. Italica) seedlings were cultivated in an open field at the Demonstration garden of the University of Debrecen, Hungary (47°32′0", 21°38′0" E) in 2020. The plant-to-plant distance was 40 × 60 cm and plants were irrigated individually by using the PoliDrip Standard (Poliext Ltd., Kecskemét, Hungary) drip irrigation system (consisting of 20 mm tubes) delivering water directly to the root zone of the plant. The experimental plots did not receive fertilizers or pesticides before or during the growing period of broccoli plants. Adapted to broccoli growing practices, the leafy shoots were collected when the florets reached marketable size.

2.3. Processing of Green Biomass

Different wet processing methods of broccoli fresh green leaves were compared to obtain fractions as summarized in Figure 1. These three processes started with a common wet fractionation step using the Angel Juicer twin screw (5500, Angel Ltd., Anyang, South Korea) device and then powdered by a stainless steel grinder. The Process 2 was based on an alternative thermal coagulation method using microwave radiation as described by Fári and Domokos-Szabolcsy [14], where the GJ was coagulated in one step at 80 ± 2 °C using an intermittent microwave device (Samsung M1711N, South Korea) set for 450 Watt. The coagulated “green cottage cheese” was further separated by vacuum filtration using a filter membrane with a pore size of 5 µm. The Process 2 resulted in a solid protein rich leaf protein concentrate (MW-LPC) and liquid brown juice (MW-BJ) fractions (Figure 1). The MW-LPC was then freeze-dried and powdered, as mentioned in Process 1. The MW-BJ was kept at −20 °C for further biochemical analysis.

In Process 3, the protein isolation was based on acidic precipitation using lacto-fermentation of the GJ. The lacto-fermentation was induced by applying 1 M lactic acid at a rate of 5% to the fresh GJ, and then incubated for four days at 36 °C under anaerobic conditions. The coagulated “green cottage cheese” was further separated by vacuum filtration as mentioned in Process 2, yielding solid protein rich leaf protein concentrate (LA-LPC) and liquid brown juice (LA-BJ) fractions. The LA-LPC was freeze-dried and powdered as mentioned in Processes 1 and 2, while the LA-BJ was stored at −20 °C.
2.4. Physicochemical Parameters of Obtained Products

Dry matter content of the freeze-dried GJ, MW-LPC, and LA-LPC fractions was calculated based on the fresh and dry masses. The pH and water-soluble dry material content Brix (°Bx) of the MW-BJ and LA-BJ fractions were measured before freeze-drying using a pH meter (Mettler Toledo S20 Seven Easy, Switzerland) and refractometer (RBR32-ATC manual refractometer, Polling, Germany), respectively.

2.5. Determination of Crude Protein Content

The crude protein content of the GJ, MW-LPC, MW-BJ, LA-LPC, and LA-BJ fractions was measured as total N content using the Kjeldahl method according to the ISO 5983-2:2009 international standard method. The crude protein content was calculated by using a nitrogen conversion factor of 5.6 [15].

2.6. Quantification of Amino Acids Composition

The amino acid composition of GJ, MW-LPC, MW-BJ, LA-LPC, and LA-BJ fractions was measured by ultrahigh-pressure liquid chromatography (UHPLC) using a Waters Acquity H-class plus UPLC System (Waters, Milford, MA, USA). Briefly, a 20 mg sample was placed in 50 mL-digestion tubes and hydrolyzed with 6 M HCl in a microwave digestion unit (CEM MARS One, Matthews, NC, USA). The pH of the hydrolyzed acidic sample was adjusted with 6M NaOH. The sample was filtered by a 3kDa PES membrane filter (VWR International, Radnor, PA, USA).

The separation was based on AccQTag pre-column derivatization chemistry. According to the manufacturer’s instructions, the hydrolyzed and neutralized samples were derivatized using an AccQ-Tag Ultra derivatization reagent kit. The sample derivatization process was the same as was used in the case of standard amino acid mixtures [16].

The separation of the derivatized amino acids was carried out on an AccQ-tag Ultra C18 column (1.7 μm; 2.1 × 100 mm, Waters, Millford, MA, USA) guarded by an Accquity in-line filter (0.2 μm; 2.1 mm, Waters, Millford, MA, USA). An 11 min long gradient elution was set up with 0.100 mL min⁻¹ flow rate. The column temperature was 54 °C. A Quaternary gradient pump mixed solvent A, which was 100% AccQ-tag Ultra eluent A, while solvent B was 10% AccQ-tag Ultra eluent B in LC–MS grade water, solvent C was LC water, and solvent D was 100% AccQ-tag Ultra eluent B. The results were evaluated with Waters Empower 3 software (Waters, Millford, MA, USA).
2.7. Protein Expression Pattern by SDS-PAGE

The soluble protein expression pattern of broccoli fractions was evaluated by sodium dodecyl sulfate–polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (1D SDS-PAGE). Ten mg of freeze-dried sample or 400 µL of liquid sample were quantified and mixed with an 800 µL solubilization buffer (2 × Laemmli). After vigorous vortexing, the samples were incubated for 5 min at 95 °C, followed by 15 min centrifugation at 13,000 rpm at 4 °C. The supernatant was used for further analysis. The SDS-PAGE was performed by a vertical system on a discontinuous polyacrylamide gel. The resolving gel was 12.5%; and the stacking gel was 9%, prepared in a Mini-Protein Tetra Cell gel system (Bio-Rad Inc. Hercules, MI, USA). The gels were stained with Coomassie G250 staining solution and were then analyzed using the BioRad ChemiDoc MP Imaging System.

2.8. Qualitative and Quantitative Phytochemical Analysis

Freeze-dried fractions, i.e., GJ, MW-LPC, and LA-LPC, were extracted by methanol:water (70:30 ratio) with continuous shaking at 150 rpm for 2 h at room temperature in the dark. The extracts were then filtered using a PTFE filter with a pore size of 0.22 µm. The liquid MW-BJ and LA-BJ fractions were filtered directly by a PTFE filter. Qualitative analyses of phytochemicals were performed from the GJ fraction by the UHPLC-ESI-ORBITRAP-MS/MS hyphenated analytical system as described in our prior work [17]. For chromatography, a Dionex Ultimate 3000RS UHPLC system (Thermo Fisher, Waltham, MA, USA) was served with a Thermo Accucore C18 analytical column (2.1 mm × 100 mm, 2.6 µm particle size). Gradient elution was performed at a 0.2 mL min⁻¹ flow rate with methanol and water eluents. Samples were measured in positive and negative ionization modes. Each chemical compound was processed according to retention time, molecular weight, and ion fragmentation pattern using Thermo Trace Finder 2.1 software. For identification, self-collected and online databases were used. The processed data were manually checked using Thermo Xcalibur 4.0 software.

Considering the results of the qualitative analysis, quantification of some selected components was determined using the same UHPLC-MS system. For the quantification, an external calibration curve was generated. Quantitative determination was made taking into account the following standard compounds: nicotinamide (≥98%), nicotinic acid (a. s), biotin (≥99%), riboflavin (a. s), liquiritigenin (≥97% (HPLC), formononetin (a. s), chlorogenic acid (analytical standard), neochlorogenic acid (≥98%), chrysochlorogenic acid (a. s), syringaldehyde (98%), sulforaphane (≥90% synthetic, liquid), sinapic acid (≥98%), scopoletin (analytical standard), apigenin (≥95.0%); apigenin-7-O-glucuronide (primary reference standard); luteolin (≥98%); quercetin (≥95.0%); isoorientin (a. s); naringenin (≥95.0%); genkwanin (≥98%); kaempferol (≥97.0% (HPLC)); isoliquiritigenin (a. s.), and ferulic acid (USP reference standard). A 30 min long gradient was established. Gradient elution was made with MetOH and water (buffer A, water; buffer B, MetOH delivered at 0.2 mL min⁻¹ flow rate. The program was as follows: 0–2 min, 95% A and 5% B; 2–20 min, up to 100% B; 20–22 min, 100% B; 22–23 min, down to 5% B; 23–30 min, 95% A and 5% B.

2.9. Statistical Evaluation

Normality and homoscedasticity of the dependent variables were checked and transformed as necessary. Data analysis was performed using Microsoft Excel 2016 and the SPSS 25.0 software package (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). The analysis of variance using one-way ANOVA was performed between processed fractions. Separation of means was performed by post-hoc test (Tukey’s test), and significant differences were accepted at the level of $p \leq 0.05$. The data were presented as mean ± standard deviation.
3. Results

3.1. Yield and Physicochemical Traits of Processed Products of Broccoli Green Leaves

Three wet fractionation methods were compared for the production of value-added products by harvesting the green residue of broccoli after the economically mature florets had been harvested. The applied methods are summarized in Figure 1 in the Materials and Methods section.

3.1.1. Fresh Yield

The yield of the processed products obtained from broccoli fresh green leaves by different fractionation methods is presented in Figure 2. The results revealed that the GJ and fiber fractions represented 65.9% and 34.1%, of the mechanically pressed fresh green leaves of broccoli (Figure 2A), respectively. The BJ fraction was almost twofold that of the LPC fraction, regardless of the extraction method. The isolation of leaf protein via the microwave coagulation method displayed markedly higher LPC content (35.3%) than the lacto-fermentation method (~13%) (Figure 2B).

Figure 2. Percentage distribution of wet fractions from the processed broccoli green biomass: (A): Fibre and green juice fractions resulting from wet pressing; (B): Percentage of leaf protein concentrate and brown juice fractions from broccoli green juice resulting from microwave coagulation (MW) or lactic acid fermentation (LA). Data are presented as mean ± SD (n = 3).

3.1.2. Dry Matter Content of Processed Products of Broccoli Green Leaves

Dry matter content was calculated based on the fresh and dry masses of the freeze-dried products, i.e., GJ, MW-LPC, and LA-LPC (Figure 3). The different fractions showed significantly different dry matter contents. The GJ fraction exhibited the lowest dry matter content at 8.53%, while the MW-LPC and LA-LPC fractions showed dry matter content of 18.80% and 25.39%, respectively. Results confirmed that the method applied to isolate LPC is of importance, as the lacto-fermentation method resulted in a higher content of LPC than the microwave coagulation method.

3.1.3. pH and Brix of GJ and BJ Fractions

The liquid fractions, i.e., GJ, MW-BJ, and LA-BJ, of the processed broccoli green leaves displayed significantly different pH and Brix values (Figure 4A,B). The fresh GJ fraction showed the highest pH (6.27), whereas the lacto-fermentation process of the fresh GJ resulted in the lowest pH (4.57). The results indicated that the lacto-fermentation process was effective in reducing the pH of the BJ fraction (Figure 4A). This is an advantage for the storage of brown juice, as the fresh BJ fraction is unstable at room temperature and spoils quickly. However, lowering the pH of the BJ increases its stability and means that it can be stored for a longer period. Along with pH, the lacto-fermented BJ fraction displayed the
lowest Brix value. Both GJ and MW-BJ fractions exhibited similar Brix values of 8.03 and 7.53, respectively (Figure 4B).

Figure 3. Dry matter content (%) of the freeze-dried fractions of the processed broccoli fresh green leaves. GJ is obtained after the mechanical pressing of the green leaves, the MW-LPC is the leaf protein concentrate obtained by the thermal coagulation of the fresh GJ using a microwave device, and LA-LPC is the leaf protein concentrate obtained by the lacto-fermentation process of the fresh GJ. Different letters on the columns show significant differences according to Tukey’s test at the level of $p \leq 0.05$. Data are presented as mean ±SD ($n = 3$).

Figure 4. (A) pH and (B) Brix value (%) of the liquid fractions obtained after the processing of the broccoli fresh green leaves by different fractionation methods. GJ is the fresh green liquid obtained after the mechanical pressing of the fresh green leaves of broccoli, MW-BJ is the brown liquid obtained after the thermal treatment of the fresh GJ to isolate the leaf protein using a microwave device, and LA-BJ is the brown liquid obtained after the lacto-fermentation of the fresh GJ to isolate the leaf protein. Different letters on the columns show significant differences according to Tukey’s test at the level of $p \leq 0.05$. Data are presented as mean ±SD ($n = 3$).

3.2. Biochemical Assessment of Processed Broccoli Green Leaves

3.2.1. Crude Protein Content and Amino Acids Composition

The protein content of freeze-dried green juice was 27.43 m/m% as measured by the Kjeldhal method (Table 1). Applying coagulation processes to the GJ fraction obtained by the mechanical pressing of broccoli fresh biomass significantly increased the crude protein content in LPC and BJ fractions compared to the freeze-dried GJ fraction (Table 1). Microwave coagulation increased the crude protein content of the MW-LPC fraction by ~25%,
resulting in a 34.30 m/m% protein content compared to freeze-dried GJ (27.43 m/m%). The lacto-fermentation process also resulted in an increase of 43% of crude protein content in the LA-LPC compared to the freeze-dried GJ. The BJ fraction displayed significantly lower crude protein content compared to the LPC fraction. The microwave coagulation process exhibited higher protein content (1.96 m/m%) in BJ fraction compared to the BJ obtained through the lacto-fermentation process, which resulted in a protein content of 1.30 m/m%. Regarding the amino acid composition, most of the proteinogenic amino acids in the BJ fractions were below the detection limit. Aspartic and glutamic acids were the most abundant amino acids, regardless of the fraction. Among the nutritionally essential amino acids, lysine and methionine displayed the highest concentration (1.403 and 0.455 g 100 g−1 DW, respectively) in the MW-LPC. In contrast, arginine, as a conditionally essential amino acid, was detected in the highest concentration (2.371 g 100 g−1 DW) in the LA-LPC.

Table 1. Crude protein content and amino acid composition of broccoli green leaves-derived fractions by different processes (Process 1; Process 2 and Process 3): freeze-dried green juice (GJ); leaf protein concentrate obtained by microwave coagulation (MW-LPC); leaf protein concentrate obtained by lactic acid fermentation (LA-LPC); brown juice obtained by microwave coagulation (MW-BJ); and brown juice obtained by lacto-fermentation (LA-BJ). Data are means ± SD (n = 2 (for amino acids) and 3 (for crude protein content)). One-way ANOVA performed for the crude protein content of all the fractions (p ≤ 0.05).

|        | GJ            | MW-LPC        | LA-LPC        | MW-BJ         | LA-BJ         |
|--------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| Crude Protein Content (m/m%) | 27.43 ± 0.12 c | 34.30 ± 1.77 b | 39.18 ± 0.17 a | 1.96 ± 0.01 a | 1.30 ± 0.03 b |
| Amino acids composition | | | | | |
|        | g 100 g−1 DW | g 100 g−1 FW  |               |               |               |
| His    | 0.286 ± 0.12  | 0.503 ± 0.01  | 0.253 ± 0.01  | 0.001 ± 0.00  | 0.002 ± 0.00  |
| Asn    | 0.000 ± 0.00  | 0.000 ± 0.00  | 0.000 ± 0.00  | 0.000 ± 0.00  | 0.001 ± 0.00  |
| Ser    | 1.285 ± 0.49  | 1.217 ± 0.01  | 1.673 ± 0.48  | 0.002 ± 0.00  | 0.007 ± 0.00  |
| Gln    | 0.000 ± 0.00  | 0.000 ± 0.00  | 0.000 ± 0.00  | 0.000 ± 0.00  | 0.000 ± 0.00  |
| Arg    | 1.194 ± 0.23  | 1.342 ± 0.00  | 2.371 ± 0.07  | 0.002 ± 0.01  | 0.005 ± 0.00  |
| Gly    | 0.986 ± 0.17  | 1.135 ± 0.00  | 1.248 ± 0.02  | 0.001 ± 0.01  | 0.004 ± 0.00  |
| Asp    | 2.013 ± 0.24  | 2.443 ± 0.08  | 2.134 ± 0.03  | 0.005 ± 0.01  | 0.010 ± 0.00  |
| Glu    | 2.644 ± 0.06  | 2.648 ± 0.03  | 2.063 ± 0.19  | 0.008 ± 0.04  | 0.013 ± 0.00  |
| Thr    | 0.873 ± 0.05  | 1.082 ± 0.01  | 0.751 ± 0.27  | 0.002 ± 0.00  | 0.004 ± 0.00  |
| Ala    | 1.080 ± 0.02  | 1.365 ± 0.02  | 1.189 ± 0.07  | 0.002 ± 0.01  | 0.005 ± 0.00  |
| Pro    | 0.913 ± 0.06  | 1.198 ± 0.01  | 1.533 ± 0.02  | 0.002 ± 0.00  | 0.004 ± 0.00  |
| Cys    | 0.000 ± 0.00  | 0.128 ± 0.00  | 0.067 ± 0.05  | 0.000 ± 0.00  | 0.000 ± 0.00  |
| Lys    | 1.115 ± 0.05  | 1.403 ± 0.03  | 1.092 ± 0.08  | 0.002 ± 0.00  | 0.005 ± 0.00  |
| Tyr    | 0.743 ± 0.01  | 1.047 ± 0.01  | 0.668 ± 0.02  | 0.001 ± 0.00  | 0.003 ± 0.00  |
| Met    | 0.309 ± 0.04  | 0.455 ± 0.00  | 0.321 ± 0.02  | 0.000 ± 0.00  | 0.001 ± 0.00  |
| Val    | 0.980 ± 0.09  | 1.407 ± 0.01  | 1.056 ± 0.04  | 0.002 ± 0.00  | 0.004 ± 0.00  |
| Ile    | 0.701 ± 0.11  | 1.321 ± 0.36  | 0.787 ± 0.03  | 0.001 ± 0.00  | 0.003 ± 0.00  |
| Leu    | 1.568 ± 0.07  | 1.892 ± 0.34  | 1.812 ± 0.06  | 0.002 ± 0.00  | 0.007 ± 0.00  |
| Phe    | 1.039 ± 0.02  | 1.411 ± 0.01  | 1.271 ± 0.01  | 0.001 ± 0.00  | 0.004 ± 0.00  |
| Trp    | 0.127 ± 0.18  | 0.255 ± 0.00  | 0.000 ± 0.00  | 0.000 ± 0.00  | 0.000 ± 0.00  |

Means in the same column followed by different letters are statistically significant according to Tukey’s test at the level of p ≤ 0.05. Data are presented as mean ± SD (n = 3).

3.2.2. Protein Expression Pattern

Changes in protein expression patterns of fractions obtained from broccoli green leaves are depicted in Figure 5. The GJ, as a result of Process 1, showed the highest number of bands due to the high amount of soluble proteins. The MW-LPC contained the least number of bands compared to the LA-LPC and GJ fractions. However, there are some common bands appearing in LPCs and GJs. These include the large subunit of Rubisco at ~55 kDa and the small subunit of Rubisco at ~14 kDa. The microwave coagulation and
lacto-fermentation methods showed very few protein bands. Faint bands with a weak appearance were noticed in the BJ s, particularly the MW-BJ.

Figure 5. SDS-PAGE analysis of broccoli green leaves-derived fractions by different processes (Process 1, Process 2 and Process 3): freeze-dried green juice (GJ); leaf protein concentrate obtained by microwave coagulation (MW-LPC); leaf protein concentrate obtained by lactic acid fermentation (LA-LPC); brown juice obtained by microwave coagulation (MW-BJ); and brown juice obtained by lacto-fermentation (LA-BJ).

3.2.3. Screening of Phytochemicals of GJ

The phytochemical composition of broccoli green leaves-derived GJ was revealed by the UHPLC-ESI-ORBITRAP-MS/MS. The GJ is the end product of process 1, and at the same time it is the platform product of process 2 and 3. As Table 2 shows, seven water-soluble vitamins were identified. Among the phytochemicals, flavonoids were the highest identified in the GJ fraction. In agreement with the literature, quercetin (3,3′,4′,5,7-Pentahydroxyflavone), kaempferol (3,4′,5,7-Tetrahydroxyflavone) aglycones and their various derivatives belonging to the flavonols were the most abundant while isorhamnetin (3,5,7,4-tetrahydroxy-3′-methoxyflavone) derivatives were identified at lower numbers [18]. Most of the kaempferol and quercetin form complexes with acylated di-, tri- or tetra-glycosides and hydroxycinnamic acids, such as caffeic, ferulic, and sinapic acids, since they are frequent in the side chain [19]. Some flavones, flavonones and chalcone, such as apigenin (4′,5,7-Trihydroxyflavone), apigenin-7-O-glucuronide and luteolin (3′,4′,5,7-Tetrahydroxyflavone) were also detected. Broccoli GJ is also a rich source of phenolic acids. All the three isomers of caffeoylquinic acid were identified, i.e., chlorogenic acid (3-O-Caffeoylquinic acid), neochlorogenic acid (5-O-Caffeoylquinic acid) and chrytochlorogenic acid (4-O-Caffeoylquinic acid), with a characteristic [M + H]+ ion at m/z 355.103 (Table 2). Moreover, sinapic acid and its glycosyl derivatives, such as di-O-sinapoylglucose were also
found in the broccoli GJ. Among glucosinolates, glucobrassicin and neoglucobrassicin as indole glucosinolate quantified with the sulforaphane.

### Table 2. Identified phytochemical compounds of broccoli fresh green leaves-originated green juice (GJ).

| Identified Compounds | Formula          | [M + H]+ (m/z) | [M – H]– (m/z) |
|----------------------|-----------------|---------------|---------------|
| **Vitamins/vitamin like substances** |                 |               |               |
| Nicotinic acid       | C_{n}H_{2}N_{2}O | 124.040       |               |
| Nicotinamide         | C_{n}H_{2}N_{2}O | 123.056       |               |
| Cabagin-U (Vitamin U)| C_{n}H_{2}NO_{2}S| 164.075       |               |
| Pantothenic acid     | C_{n}H_{2}NO_{2} | 220.119       |               |
| Riboflavin           | C_{n}H_{2}N_{2}O_{5} | 377.146   |               |
| Phylloquinone        | C_{n}H_{2}N_{2}O_{6} | 451.358    |               |
| Biotin               | C_{10}H_{18}N_{2}O_{5}S | 245.095 |               |
| **Chalcones**        |                 |               |               |
| Isoliquiritigenin    | C_{15}H_{21}O_{4} | 255.065       |               |
| Quercetin (3,3′,4,5,7-Pentahydroxyflavone) |  |               |               |
| Kaempferol (3,3′,4,5,7-Pentahydroxyflavone) |  |               |               |
| Kaempferol-O-(caffeoyl)-hexosylhexoside isomer 1 | C_{15}H_{21}O_{4} | 255.065       |               |
| Quercetin-O-(caffeoyl)-hexosylhexoside isomer 2 | C_{15}H_{21}O_{4} | 255.065       |               |
| Kaempferol-O-(caffeoyl)-hexosylhexoside isomer 3 | C_{15}H_{21}O_{4} | 255.065       |               |
| Kaempferol-O-(caffeoyl)-hexosylhexoside isomer 4 | C_{15}H_{21}O_{4} | 255.065       |               |
| **Flavonoids**       |                 |               |               |
| Apigenin (4′,5,7-Trihydroxyflavone) | C_{15}H_{18}O_{5} | 269.045       |               |
| Apigenin-O-glucoside | C_{15}H_{20}O_{11} | 445.077       |               |
| Luteolin (3′,4′,5,7-Tetrahydroxyflavone) | C_{15}H_{16}O_{5} | 285.039       |               |
| Neochlorogenic acid   | C_{16}H_{18}O_{3} | 355.103       |               |
### Table 2. Cont.

| Identified Compounds | Formula | [M + H] + (m/z) | [M – H]⁻ (m/z) |
|----------------------|---------|----------------|---------------|
| Chlorogenic acid (3-O-Caffeoylquinic acid) | C₁₅H₁₁O₇ | 355.103 | \(345.087\) |
| Caffeic acid | C₇H₇O₅ | 355.103 | \(345.087\) |
| 4-Coumaric acid | C₇H₆O₅ | 179.034 | \(171.016\) |
| Sinic acid | C₁₁H₁₂O₅ | 225.076 | \(217.058\) |
| Di-O-sinapoylgentiobiose | C₃₄H₉₀O₃₈ | 753.224 | \(735.205\) |
| Tri-O-sinapoylgentiobiose | C₄₀H₁₀₂O₃₈ | 959.282 | \(941.263\) |
| Feruloyl-sinapoyldihexoside | C₃₀H₇₂O₃₈ | 722.214 | \(704.196\) |
| Di-O-sinapoylglycine | C₂₈H₇₄O₃₈ | 591.171 | \(573.152\) |
| Feruloyl-disinapoyldihexoside | C₃₄H₉₀O₃₈ | 929.272 | \(911.253\) |
| Syringaldehyde (3,5-Dimethoxy-4-hydroxybenzaldehyde) | C₉H₆O₃ | 183.066 | \(175.048\) |
| Glucobrassicin (3-Indolylmethyl glucosinolate) | C₁₆H₂₃N₂O₉S₂ | 447.053 | \(439.035\) |
| 3-Methylsulphinylpropyl isothiocyanate | C₃₀H₇₂O₃₈ | 164.020 | \(156.002\) |
| 4-Methoxy-3-indolylmethyl glucosinolate | C₁₅H₂₃N₂O₉S₂ | 477.064 | \(469.046\) |
| Sulforaphane | C₇H₁₁N₂O₅S | 178.036 | \(170.018\) |
| Neoglucobrassicin (1-Methoxy-3-indolylmethyl glucosinolate) | C₁₇H₂₃N₂O₉S₂ | 477.064 | \(469.046\) |
| Scopoletin (7-Hydroxy-6-methoxycoumarin) | C₁₀H₆O₄ | 193.050 | \(185.032\) |
| γ-Aminobutyric acid | C₄H₆NO₂ | 104.071 | \(96.053\) |
| Indole-4-carbaldehyde | C₄H₄NO | 146.061 | \(138.043\) |
| Abscisic acid | C₁₅H₂₃O₅ | 263.128 | \(255.110\) |
| Kynurenic acid | C₁₀H₇NO₃ | 190.050 | \(182.032\) |

3.2.4. Quantitative Analysis of the Phytochemicals of Fractions Obtained by Different Processes

The quantitative alterations of some health-relevant phyto-components concerning the fractionation methods were investigated in the GJ, MW-LPC, LA-LPC, MW-BJ, and LA-BJ products. The results showed that nicotinic acid is the most abundant water-soluble vitamin. Significantly, higher values were measured in the GJ (19.18 µg g⁻¹ DW) than in the MW-LPC and LA-LPC (11.97 and 11.33 µg g⁻¹ DW), respectively (Table 3). The highest nicotinamide content (9.71 µg g⁻¹ DW), also known as vitamin B3, was found in the green juice fraction. Protein coagulation processes reduced its concentration significantly. Regarding the BJs, nicotinic acid significantly reduced by the lacto-fermentation process (1620.00 ng mL⁻¹) compared to the microwave coagulation process (2093.35 ng mL⁻¹). Biotin was only detectable in the GJ in small amounts, while it was below the detection limit in both the LPC and BJ fractions, regardless of the extraction method.

**Table 3.** Quantitative alterations of vitamins in broccoli green leaves-derived products by different fractionation processes (Process 1; Process 2 and Process 3): freeze-dried green juice (GJ); leaf protein concentrate obtained by microwave coagulation (MW-LPC); leaf protein concentrate obtained by lactic acid fermentation (LA-LPC); brown juice obtained by microwave coagulation (MW-BJ); and brown juice obtained by lactic fermentation (LA-BJ).

| Vitamins | GJ | MW-LPC | LA-LPC | MW-BJ | LA-BJ |
|----------|----|--------|--------|-------|-------|
| Nicotinamide | 9.71 ± 0.17 a | 6.95 ± 0.17 b | 4.03 ± 0.20 c | 839.73 ± 101.00 a | 386.36 ± 16.77 b |
| Nicotinic acid | 19.18 ± 0.27 a | 11.97 ± 0.14 b | 11.33 ± 0.43 b | 2093.35 ± 480.15 a | 1620.00 ± 10 a |
| Biotin | 1.54 ± 0.06 a | nd † | nd | nd | nd |
| Riboflavin | 5.01 ± 0.11 b | 3.85 ± 0.08 c | 5.35 ± 0.03 a | 644.37 ± 19.85 b | 1069.55 ± 5.46 a |

† not detected. Means in the same column followed by different letters are statistically significant according to Tukey's test at the level of p ≤ 0.05. Data are presented as mean ± SD (n = 3).

Among the quantified flavonoids, quercetin (3,3',4',5,7-Pentahydroxyflavone) and kaempferol (3,4',5,7-tetrahydroxyflavone) were the most abundant in all the fractions, regardless of the extraction method (Table 4). The microwave coagulation method resulted...
in a significant increase (~10-fold) in kaempferol and quercetin aglycones contents in the MW-LPC compared to the GJ. However, as a result of lacto-fermentation, the concentrations of both quercetin and kaempferol aglycones in the LA-LPC increased by at least one order of magnitude compared to the GJ. For instance, the concentration of kaempferol was 2.63 µg g⁻¹ DW in the GJ and increased to 895.26 µg g⁻¹ DW in the LA-LPC. Likewise, a significant increase in the content of kaempferol and quercetin aglycones were observed in the LA-BJ compared to the MW-BJ. For example, as shown in Table 4, the concentration of quercetin was 209.66 ng mL⁻¹ in the MW-BJ compared to 7390 ng mL⁻¹ in the LA-BJ. Isoliquiritigenin (2′,4,4′-trihydroxychalcone), belonging to the chalcones, liquiritigenin (4′,7-Dihydroxyflavanone) and naringenin (4′,5,7-Trihydroxyflavanone), belonging to the flavanones, and genkwanin, luteolin (3′,4′,5,7-Tetrahydroxyflavone) and apigenin (4′,5,7-Trihydroxyflavone) and apigenin-7-O-glucuronide, belonging to the flavones, were detected as minor phytocompounds. The concentrations of these flavonoids ranged between 0.11 to 3.13 µg g⁻¹ DW in the GJ, MW-LPC, and LA-LPC fractions, and from 1.09 to 80.34 ng mL⁻¹ in the MW-BJ and LA-BJ, respectively. However, in some cases, the concentrations of these components were below the detection limit.

Among phenolic compounds, 12 phytochemical components were qualitatively identified in the GJ (Table 2). The three isomers of the chlorogenic acid were detected and they were the most abundant. Among them, neochlorogenic acid (5-O-Caffeoylquinic acid) was the dominant isomer, typically accumulating in different fractions in an order of magnitude higher than cryptochlorogenic acid (4-O-Caffeoylquinic acid) and chlorogenic acid (3-O-Caffeoylquinic acid) (Table 5). It is striking that the lacto-fermentation process reduced the concentration of all the three chlorogenic acid isomers, while they were below the detection limit in the LA-LPC. A similar dramatic decrease was noticed in the LA-BJ compared to the MW-BJ. The concentration of sinapic acid was 352.20 µg g⁻¹ DW in the GJ. Its concentration decreased to 208.51 µg g⁻¹ DW in the LPC after the microwave coagulation (MW-LPC). On the other hand, the lacto-fermentation process resulted in a larger decrease, recording 109 µg g⁻¹ DW of in the LA-LPC. Sulforphane, as a glucosinolate compound characteristic of broccoli, was detected at a concentration of 17.65 ng in the GJ, while it was almost absent in the LA-LPC and LA-BJ, possibly due to the lacto-fermentation process.

### Table 4. Quantitative changes of flavonoids in broccoli green leaves-originated products by different processes (Process 1; Process2 and Process 3): freeze-dried green juice (GJ); leaf protein concentrate obtained by microwave coagulation (MW-LPC); leaf protein concentrate obtained by lactic acid fermentation (LA-LPC); brown juice obtained by microwave coagulation (MW-BJ); and brown juice obtained by lacto-fermentation (LA-BJ).

| Flavonoids | GJ | MW-LPC | LA-LPC | MW-BJ | LA-BJ |
|------------|----|--------|--------|-------|-------|
|            | µg g⁻¹ DW | ng mL⁻¹ |        |       |       |
| Isoliquiritigenin (2′,4,4′-trihydroxychalcone) | 0.22 ± 0.03 a | 0.24 ± 0.04 a | 0.21 ± 0.03 a | 1.57 ± 0.09 b | 2.10 ± 0.07 a |
| Quercetin (3′,4′,5,7-Pentahydroxyflavone) | 1.90 ± 0.24 c | 20.26 ± 0.53 b | 48.75 ± 1.30 a | 209.66 ± 116.18a | 7390.00 ± 275.13 b |
| Kaempferol (3′,4′,5,7-Tetrahydroxyflavone) | 2.63 ± 0.12 c | 20.91 ± 0.30 b | 895.26 ± 17.13a | 340.00 ± 45.83 b | 13046.7 ± 1956.76 a |
| 4′,7-Dihydroxyflavanone (Liquiritigenin) | 0.14 ± 0.02 a | 0.14 ± 0.01 a | 0.13 ± 0.02 a | 1.19 ± 0.06 b | 1.37 ± 0.04 a |
| Naringenin (4′,5,7-Trihydroxyflavone) | 1.08 ± 0.06 b | 1.08 ± 0.05 b | 3.13 ± 0.14 a | nd | 38.18 ± 1.85 a |
| Genkwanin | 0.12 ± 0.01 a | nd | 0.14 ± 0.02 a | nd | 14.73 ± 0.32 a |
| Luteolin | 0.25 ± 0.03 b | 0.26 ± 0.03 b | 2.04 ± 0.11 a | 23.79 ± 9.47 b | 80.34 ± 3.32 a |
| Apigenin | 0.15 ± 0.02 ab | 0.11 ± 0.02 b | 0.20 ± 0.02 a | 1.85 ± 0.16 a | 2.04 ± 0.10 a |
| Apigenin-7-O-glucuronide | 0.17 ± 0.02 ab | 0.14 ± 0.02 b | 0.22 ± 0.02 a | 1.09 ± 0.04 b | 1.17 ± 0.04 a |

† not detected. Means in the same column followed by different letters are statistically significant according to Tukey’s test at the level of p ≤ 0.05. Data are presented as mean ± SD (n = 3).
Table 5. Quantitative changes of phenolic acids/glucosinolates in broccoli green leaves-derived products by different processes (Process 1; Process 2 and Process 3): freeze-dried green juice (GJ); leaf protein concentrate obtained by microwave coagulation (MW-LPC); leaf protein concentrate obtained by lactic acid fermentation (LA-LPC); brown juice obtained by microwave coagulation (MW-BJ); and brown juice obtained by lacto-fermentation (LA-BJ).

| Phenolic Acids/Glucosinolates | GJ       | MW-LPC     | LA-LPC     | MW-BJ     | LA-BJ     |
|-------------------------------|----------|------------|------------|-----------|-----------|
| Chlorogenic acid (3-O-Caffeoylquinic acid) | 26.36 ± 2.02 \( b \) | 26.52 ± 0.47 \( a \) | n.d        | 3723.33 ± 183.39 \( b \) | 32.36 ± 4.68 \( a \) |
| Neochlorogenic acid (5-O-Caffeoylquinic acid) | 644.71 ± 16.12 \( a \) | 530.81 ± 22.51 \( b \) | n.d        | 94880.00 ± 4762.02 \( a \) | 4.94 ± 1.20 \( b \) |
| Cryptochlorogenic acid (4-O-Caffeoylquinic acid) | 57.15 ± 2.20 \( b \) | 76.18 ± 2.08 \( a \) | n.d        | 16733.33 ± 1095.10 \( a \) | 12.13 ± 0.56 \( b \) |
| Syringalddehyde               | 0.39 ± 0.03 \( b \) | 1.27 ± 0.03 \( a \) | 0.17 ± 0.03 \( c \) | 301.12 ± 81.34 \( a \) | 34.46 ± 3.59 \( b \) |
| p-Coumaric acid              | 2.73 ± 0.20 \( b \) | 8.26 ± 0.15 \( a \) | 0.23 ± 0.05 \( c \) | 2623.33 ± 1114.64 \( b \) | 22.92 ± 0.75 \( a \) |
| Ferulic acid                 | 4.71 ± 0.21 \( b \) | 11.51 ± 0.48 \( a \) | 3.55 ± 0.25 \( c \) | 6923.33 ± 3122.38 \( b \) | 530.29 ± 32.98 \( a \) |
| Sinapic acid                 | 352.20 ± 10.60 \( a \) | 208.51 ± 8.19 \( b \) | 109.09 ± 11.14 \( c \) | 20190.00 ± 1047.62 \( a \) | 8376.67 ± 370.04 \( b \) |
| Sulforaphane                 | 17.65 ± 0.12 \( a \) | 12.57 ± 0.25 \( b \) | n.d        | 208.33 ± 456.33 \( a \) | n.d       |

Means in the same column followed by different letters are statistically significant according to Tukey’s test at the level of \( p \leq 0.05 \). Data are presented as mean ± SD (\( n = 3 \)).

4. Discussion

The present study investigates the valorization potential of broccoli green leaves as side streams through a comparative evaluation of three processes in the green bioeconomies. Wet fractionation is the first step of the general green conveyor belt, which results in GJ and lignocellulosic fiber fractions. Although the GJ of broccoli at pH 6.27 contains valuable carbohydrates (Brix% is 8.03) and proteins, it is perishable in this form. For this reason, it is necessary to convert the GJ into a storable form, preferably increasing its nutritional value. The simplest solution is to reduce the water content of the GJ by freeze-drying. The GJ, as a product of Process 1, displayed a dry matter content of 8.53%. The third alternative method presented in Process 3 (Figure 1). Acidification of the GJ with organic acids and/or lactic acid bacteria is a well-known option in green biorefining with several advantages [22]. A decrease in pH leads to the precipitation of soluble proteins in the GJ, which can be concentrated by filtration into a value-added protein product candidate (LA-LPC) and LA-BJ. This method resulted in significant changes in the quality of the obtained MW-LPC compared to the freeze-dried GJ obtained through Process 1. Similar to Process 1, the final step of Process 2 is freeze-drying of the MW-LPC to avoid microbial spoilage; the dry matter content of the MW-LPC increased by 18.80%. The protein content also considerably higher LPC yields than lactic acid fermentation (Figure 2). By contrast, the freeze-dried LA-LPC showed the highest significant dry matter content (25.39%) compared to the GJ and MW-LPC (Figure 3), as well as displaying high protein content (39.18 m/m%). Another advantage of lacto-fermentation of the fresh GJ is the reduction in pH value that can inhibit the growth of acid sensitive antagonistic pathogens. The proliferation of lactic
acid bacteria (LAB) has an added value when LA-LPC is used as feed, as LAB is part of the natural flora of the gastrointestinal tract of animals and humans, which is involved in the probiotic effects and the detoxification of carcinogens [23]. The brown juice obtained as a by-product of lactic acid fermentation showed a markedly higher amount than the result of microwave coagulation. In detail, 70% liquid brown juices were left over from the microwave treated green cottage, while more than 80% of the LA-BJ was generated by lacto-fermentation (Figure 2). Not only the quantity but also the quality of the BJ was affected by the processing method of the fresh GJ, recording significant differences in the composition of MW-BJ and LA-BJ. For instance, the Brix value of the LA-BJ dropped significantly to 5.03; this may be ascribed to converting most of the carbohydrates in the GJ organic acids, mainly lactic acid [24]. The crude protein content of the LA-BJ was also lower; however, the summarized amino acids were higher than that of the MW-BJ. The applied microwave and lacto-fermentation processes concentrated the proteins into a solid fraction, which was confirmed by the increased crude protein content. The summarized values of amino acids composition showed a similar tendency to the crude protein content, but lower values were reported. Accordingly, higher total amino acids contents were measured in the MW-LPC and LA-LPC than in the GJ. At the same time, functional properties of proteins considerably changed. While proteins in the GJ were typically in soluble form, heating provoked the proteins coagulation due to the opening of hydrophobic sites and the denaturation of proteins [25] and lacto-fermentation led to precipitation of several soluble proteins. Most bands on the SDS-PAGE were detected in the GJ fraction, confirming the presence of a large number of soluble proteins (Figure 5). On the contrary, few bands were detected with less intensity for the MW-LPC, despite its significantly high crude protein content. This could be attributed to the fact that the MW-LPC is largely composed of coagulated protein aggregates, which could be solubilized to a small extent. Typically, imperfectly coagulated copies of some abundantly expressed proteins such as Rubisco subunits are seen with weak appearance [1]. Even fewer and weaker bands could be detected in the BJs than in the LPCs due to the vacuum filtration, which retains the precipitated/coagulated proteins in solid phase. The measured crude protein content (1.30–1.96 m/m%) may be derived from minor peptides, amino acids [26] and other N-compounds.

Green biomass contains valuable phytochemicals in addition to proteins, in varying proportions and composition, depending on plant species. Although phytochemicals do not have an essential nutritional value, they possess a number of health-promoting properties depending on their biological activity [5,27,28]. Among others, clinical trials indicate that quercetin, as one of the most ubiquitous polyphenols, has beneficial effects on cardiovascular diseases and inflammation. Along with this, it possesses a higher bioavailability and thus bioactivity in the form of glucoside conjugates, than aglycone. Furthermore, the flavonoid isoquercitrin (quercetin-3-O-β-D-glucopyranoside) shows a chemopreventive impact against oxidative stress, cancer and allergic reactions [29]. Among the phenolic acids, the therapeutic role of chlorogenic acid is the most well-known. The antiobesity and antidiabetic properties of chlorogenic acid are associated with glucose metabolism [30].

The health benefits and other biological activities of the *Brassica* species may be attributed, on one side, to a diverse group of polyphenols, including flavonoids and phenolic acids [31]. For instance, a positive correlation was found between total phenolic compounds in broccoli green leaf extract and the inhibition of cancer cell growth [5]. Anti-inflammatorily and chromatin modifying impacts of glucoraphanin derivatives of cruciferous has also been provided in in vitro and animal studies [11].

Here, qualitative analysis of phytochemicals of broccoli green leaves-derived GJ was carried out by the UHPLC-ESI-MS in both negative and positive ESI modes. Quercetin, kaempferol and isorhamnetin and their derivatives, belonging to the flavonols, were the most abundant flavonoids (Table 2). In agreement with Cartea et al. [32], quercetin, kaempferol and isorhamnetin were revealed, especially as O-glycosides. For instance, 21 identified kaempferol glycosides/hexosides were detected in the broccoli GJ. The number of sugar
moieties were elevated and most of them were acylated by various hydroxycinnamic acids such as caffeic acid or sinapic acid. The quantitative changes of quercetin and kaempferol aglycones in solid fractions (i.e., GJ, MW-LPC, and LA-LPC) were also monitored, where results showed an extraordinary increase in the processed LPCs compared to the GJ (Table 4). According to Podsedek [31], food processing may induce the conversion of flavonoid aglycones from conjugated flavonoids. Microwave coagulation could be interpreted as a form of food/feed processing, producing a ~10-fold increase in the MW-LPC. Lacto-fermentation was even more pronounced than microwave treatment, resulting in a ~340-fold increase in kaempferol aglycon content (895.26 µg g−1 DW). This is probably due to the fact that the lactic acid bacteria, in addition to the lacto-fermentation treatment, used the glycosyl moieties of the conjugated kaempferol derivatives, resulting in a relative increase in the concentration of aglycones. Miean et al. [33] reported that the kaempferol content of broccoli’s edible part was below the detection limits. However, another study [28] documented the existence of kaempferol in broccoli at a concentration of 7.20 mg kg−1 FW. The kaempferol and quercetin concentrations of BJs were similar to those reported in the LPCs. For example, LA-BJ displayed ~13.047 ng mL−1 kaempferol, while MW-BJ showed only 340 ng mL−1. Hence BJs could modulate important physiological routes in plants, therefore it can be used as plant conditioner/biostimulant [34]. Among other flavonoids, relatively few numbers of chalcones, flavones and flavonones were presented in broccoli green leaves-derived GJ. These minor components did not show outstanding differences in concentration compared to the GJ and LPCs, although statistically verifiable differences were observed in most cases.

In addition to flavonoids, phenolic acids were also predominant. Out of these, chlorogenic acids were formed by esterification of hydroxycinnamic acid derivatives with quinic acid. In agreement with the literature, neochlorogenic acid was the main isoform of caffeoylquinic acid in the processed broccoli fractions [35]. However, the maximum concentration in broccoli sprout juice was 12.03 µg mL−1, while our experiment showed that the concentration of neochlorogenic acid in the MW-BJ was 94.88 µg mL−1 (Table 5). After neochlorogenic acid, cryptochlorogenic acid (4-O-Caffeoylquinic acid) was in the second highest concentration. The isomeric distribution of caffeoylquinic acids typically varies between plant families. While neochlorogenic acid was the predominant isoform in broccoli green leaves-derived products, chlorogenic acid was the most abundant isoform in Jerusalem artichoke green biomass-derived LPC [17]. In contrast to the findings of the amount of chlorogenic acid isomers in the different broccoli green leaves-derived products, kaempferol and quercetin aglycones exhibited an opposite tendency. Almost the same concentrations of chlorogenic acids were measured in the GJ and MW-LPC, while in the LA-LPC they were below detection limits. In BJs, the concentration of the three chlorogenic acid isomers also dropped as a result of the lacto-fermentation process. Among the glucosinolates, the quantitative changes of sulforaphane were monitored because of its biological activity and health protective effects. It is converted from biologically inert glucoraphanin to sulforaphane by the enzyme myrosinase as a result of physical/mechanical effects [35–37]. The first step in the processing of broccoli green leaves was the mechanical pressing that may induce the bioconversion of glucoraphanin to sulforaphan. The myrosinase enzyme released from previously intact cell organelles liberating the sulforaphane. This unstable aglycone was most abundant in the freshly pressed and untreated GJ (17.65 µg g−1 DW). Due to its instability, significantly lower amounts of sulforaphane were detected in the MW-LPC that were subjected to heat treatment. The lacto-fermentation had an even more negative effect, as sulforaphane was below the detection limit in both LA-LPC and LA-BJ.

5. Conclusions

In the present work, the green juice (GJ) obtained through the mechanical pressing of broccoli leaves was treated in different ways, including freeze-drying, microwave coagulation, and lacto-fermentation, obtaining concentrated leaf protein (LPC). A by-product, referred to as brown juice, was also obtained via microwave coagulation and
lacto-fermentation. Microwave coagulation of freshly pressed GJ beneficially increased the dry matter and the crude protein content of the MW-LPC compared to the direct freeze-dried GJ. However, from the two protein concentration methods, lacto-fermentation increased the crude protein content of the LPC fraction more but with lower yields, in addition to altering the amino acid composition. Furthermore, the lacto-fermentation process has the advantage of reducing the pH of the by-product brown juice, which accounts for 60% of the green biomass, and thus increasing its shelf-life. To our knowledge, this study is the first that compares microwave coagulation (as an alternative method of thermal coagulation) with the lacto-fermentation process to isolate leaf protein concentrate and brown juice from fractionated broccoli green biomass.

As concerns the phytochemical composition, it largely depended on the applied protein isolation method. The results showed a marked increase in the amount of flavonol aglycones, especially quercetin and kaempferol, during the lacto-fermentation process compared to microwave coagulation. However, the contents of some vitamins B, including nicotinamide, nicotinic acid, biotin, and riboflavin, were higher in the freeze-dried GJ, without any further processing. Regarding the quantitative changes of phenolic acids, especially chlorogenic acid isomers, p-coumaric acid, and ferulic acid, it is clearly preferable to either coagulate the fresh GJ by microwave technique or to freeze-dry the fresh GJ.

Overall, both methods can effectively concentrate proteins dissolved in green juice. However, considering the ratio and the phytochemical composition of the fractions obtained, the wet processes have both advantages and disadvantages compared to each other, which should be taken into account when scaling up.
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