The dynamic action of SecA during the initiation of protein translocation
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The motor ATPase SecA drives protein secretion through the bacterial Sec complex. The PPXD (pre-protein cross-linking domain) of the enzyme has been observed in different positions, effectively opening and closing a clamp for the polypeptide substrate. We set out to explore the implicated dynamic role of the PPXD in protein translocation by examining the effects of its immobilization, either in the position occupied in SecA alone with the clamp held open or when in complex with SecYEG with the clamp closed. We show that the conformational change from the former to the latter is necessary for high-affinity association with SecYEG and a corresponding activation of ATPase activity, presumably due to the PPXD contacting the NBDs (nucleotide-binding domains). In either state, the immobilization prevents pre-protein transport. However, when the PPXD was attached to an alternative position in the associated SecYEG complex, with the clamp closed, the transport capability was preserved. Therefore large-scale conformational changes of this domain are required for the initiation process, but not for translocation itself. The results allow us to refine a model for protein translocation, in which the mobility of the PPXD facilitates the transfer of pre-protein from SecA to SecYEG.
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INTRODUCTION

Protein secretion and membrane insertion occur through the ubiquitous SecY/61 complex, driven by associated ribosomes or motor proteins. Secretory proteins are recognized by virtue of cleavable signal sequences at the N-terminus and by elements contained in the mature protein [1,2]. In bacteria, the ATPase SecA targets pre-secretory proteins to SecYEG at the cytosolic membrane and drives translocation through it [3]. The structures of these components are known [4–7], but major questions lie in the nature of the dynamic interaction between the translocation machinery and its substrate.

The protein channel is formed between two pseudo-symmetrical halves of SecY, comprising TMSs (transmembrane segments) 1–5 and 6–10 [5]. This arrangement also allows the movement of membrane protein segments laterally into the lipid bilayer, by passing between TMSs 2b and 7 of SecY; this lateral gate also forms the signal-sequence-binding site [5,8]. Protein translocation proceeds through one of the SecYEG complexes contained in the functional dimeric translocon, whereas the other is unknown.

In solution SecA is dimeric [12]. Each monomer can be divided into five domains: two NBDs (nucleotide-binding domains; NBD1 and NBD2), between which ATP is bound and hydrolysed, an HSD (helical scaffold domain), coupling the NBDs to the HWD (helical wing domain) and the PPXD (pre-protein cross-linking domain) [6,13–15] (Figures 1A and 1B). The latter is thought to play a dynamic role during the activation of the enzyme [16]. Monomer formation results in PPXD relocation towards the NBDs [17], resulting in the perturbation of the signal-sequence-binding site formed between the PPXD and the HWD [14].

The association of SecA with SecYEG has major consequences on the structure and activity. Dimers dissociate and the PPXD swings all the way from the HWD to contact NBD2 [7,18] (Figures 1A and 1B). Another result is the penetration of a 2HF (two-helix finger) of SecA into the protein channel [7]. These events correspond to a major shift in the kinetic properties of the enzyme: a 160-fold reduction in the affinity (increase in $K_m$) for ATP and a 30-fold stimulation in the ATPase activity by alleviation of the rate-limiting step, the release of ADP [19]. The distance between distinct signal-sequence-binding sites in SecA and SecYEG is approximately 60 Å (1 Å = 0.1 nm) [7] (Figure 1B) and the mechanism of its transfer from one to the other is unknown.

The route for translocating pre-protein has been mapped by cross-linking the substrate preOmpA to SecY [20] and SecA [15]. The path runs through two coincident channels formed by a ‘clamp’ between the PPXD and NBD2 of SecA and the channel through the centre of SecY. The PPXD has been proposed to assist in translocation by successively trapping and releasing polypeptide in the clamp during ATP hydrolysis, together with the concerted insertion and retraction of the 2HF [7,21].

In the present study we devised a series of experiments to evaluate the dynamic action of the PPXD throughout the ATP hydrolysis cycle and protein translocation. On the basis of the structure of SecA and its complex with SecYEG, cysteine pairs were designed to immobilize the PPXD in the position occupied in the SecA dimer with the clamp in the open position [6], and in the SecYEG-bound state with it closed [7] (Figures 1A and 1B). Engineered proteins incorporating intramolecular SecA disulfide
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cross-links, or intermolecular SecA–SecYEG cross-links were used to correlate the consequences of the position and mobility (or immobility) of the PPXD to ATP hydrolysis and pre-protein transport. The results of the present study demonstrate that the relocation of the PPXD towards NBD2 is necessary for the initial activation of the ATPase high-affinity association with SecYEG and intercalation of pre-protein. The present study complements our recent analysis of the role of the 2HF in protein translocation [22].

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Chemicals and biochemicals**

All lipids were purchased from Avanti. Detergents were from Glycon. BioBeads and gel-filtration standards were from BioRad. NuSep pre-cast gels were supplied by Generon, and all chromatographic material was from GE Healthcare Life Sciences. The QuikChange® kit was from Agilent Technologies and all other reagents were acquired from Sigma.

**Cross-linking and purification of the wild-type translocation components**

Cloning, expression and purification of the translocation components and specific mutants thereof were conducted as described previously [9,23]. Reconstitution of SecYEG into total Escherichia coli polar lipids has been well documented [9]. The proOmpA used was a cysteine-less construct [19] designated proOmpA<sub>DysY</sub>. The proOmpA<sub>DysY</sub> site-directed mutagenesis kit was from Agilent Technologies and all other reagents were acquired from Sigma.

**Cross-linking and purification of SecA with the clamp in open or closed positions**

Double-cysteine mutants SecA<sub>D317C/R606C</sub> (clamp open) and SecA<sub>D337C/K626C</sub> (clamp closed) were introduced into cysteine-less SecA in the plasmid pT7N95-SecA(C98S) [17] using the QuikChange<sup>®</sup> site-directed mutagenesis kit. After expression in E. coli using the standard protocol [23], the intramolecular cross-links were present at approximately 50% of the total material. Following the nucleotide-stripping procedure [23], cross-links were further oxidized by incubation with 1 mM copper phenanthroline for 1 h at room temperature (21°C). This procedure was also followed for the SecA<sub>DysY</sub> control as a mock treatment. To purify the cross-linked material away from the uncross-linked material, SecA was exchanged into 20 mM 10 mM DTT. The column was calibrated in both buffers using gel-filtration standards to gain values for apparent molecular masses.

**Steady-state ATPase and protein translocation assays**

Steady-state SecA ATPase measurements were performed as described previously [9,19]. Briefly, activity was assayed using a Lambda 25 spectrophotometer (PerkinElmer) in TKM buffer [50 mM triethanolamine, 50 mM KCl and 2 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub> (pH 7.5)] containing 1 unit of pyruvate kinase, 1.4 units of lactate dehydrogenase, 0.2 mM NADH and 2 mM phosphoenol pyruvate, with or without 10 mM DTT in 100 μl cuvettes at 25°C. Detergent solution experiments used 0.03% C<sub>12</sub>E<sub>9</sub>. Other reaction components were at concentrations stated in the text.

Depending on the K<sub>d</sub>, data were fitted either to a one-site ligand-binding equation (eqn 1), or to a one-site quadratic tight ligand-binding equation (when the enzyme concentration approaches the binding affinity; eqn 2), defined as:

\[
v = \frac{V_{\text{max}} \cdot [L]}{K_d + [L]} + \text{background}
\]

(1)

where \(v\) is equal to the enzyme velocity, \(V_{\text{max}}\) is the total capacity of the ligand-associated ATPase stimulation, [L] is the ligand concentration and \(K_d\) is the dissociation constant for SecA–ligand. The ‘background’ is the ATPase activity without added ligand.

\[
v = V_{\text{max}} \cdot \left(\frac{[L] + [E_o] + K_d - \sqrt{([L] + [E_o] + K_d)^2 - 4 \cdot [E_o] \cdot [L]}}{2 \cdot [E_o]}\right)
\]

(2)

where \([E_o]\) is the total SecA concentration. All data were fitted using GraFit (Erithacus).

Protein translocation assays were performed as described previously [19]. Briefly, SecA, ATP and proOmpA<sub>DysY</sub> were incubated with vesicles reconstituted with purified SecYEG.
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Figure 1 Interactions of the PPXD

SecA protomer from a structure determined as (A) a dimer [6] and (B) a monomer bound to SecYEG (white) [7], viewed from the side of the membrane, with the α-helices shown as cylinders. NBD1 is shown in blue, NBD2 in pink, the HSD including 2HF in yellow, the PPXD in green and the HWD in red. The signal-sequence-binding sites are shown for SecA [15] and SecY [20]. Specific residues used for cross-linking are shown in space-fill representation, and labelled according to the E. coli residue numbers: SecA D337C/E806C (clamp open; depicted in A), SecA D337C/K482C (clamp closed; depicted in B), SecA300–SecY356EG (clamp closed; depicted in B). (C) Gradient SDS/PAGE was used to resolve the intramolecular cross-links in the presence of oxidizing (1 mM copper phenanthroline) or reducing (10 mM DTT) agent. Lanes 1 and 2, SecA Δcys; lanes 3–5, SecA D337C/K482C; lanes 6–8, SecA D337C/E806C. S denotes the starting material, which contained both species (cross-linked (top band) and uncross-linked (bottom band)). (D–F) The corresponding size-exclusion chromatography analysis for each sample is shown below: (D) SecA Δcys + DTT; (E and F) cross-linked and uncross-linked mutants (black continuous traces or grey broken traces respectively) used to trap the clamp in the closed and open states. A vertical broken line shows the elution volume of SecA Δcys in all three traces. CuPh, copper phenanthroline.

Successful translocation of the substrate into the interior was monitored by protease protection and Western blotting for proOmpA Δcys.

Insertion of the SecA 2HF into SecY268FlEG

SecY268FlEG was made by covalent modification of SecYK268CEG with fluorescein [24]. Fluorescence quenching of SecY268FlEG was monitored in a Jobin Yvon Fluorolog (Horiba Scientific) using an excitation wavelength of 495 nm, and an emission wavelength of 515 nm. SecY268FlEG (5 nM) and 1 mM p[NH]ppA were added to 1 ml of fluorescence buffer [20 mM Tris/HCl (pH 8), 130 mM NaCl, 10% glycerol, 2 mM MgCl₂ and 0.03% C₁₂E₉]. SecA Δcys, SecA337C/K482C or SecA337C/E806C and their corresponding released counterparts (+10 mM DTT) were titrated in over a 0–1 μM concentration range, and fluorescence readings were taken 200 s after each SecA addition.
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Figure 2  Analysis of SecA ATPase activity and binding affinity for SecYEG

Steady-state ATPase activity of 0.15 μM SecA in TKM buffer in the presence of 1 mM ATP and increasing concentrations of purified detergent-solubilized SecYEG. Data were fitted to a ligand-binding equation and the parameters are shown in Supplementary Table S1 (at http://www.biochemj.org/bj/449/bj4490695add.htm), columns 1 and 2. (A) SecAΔcys in the absence (broken trace) and presence (continuous trace) of 10 mM DTT. (B) SecAΔcys/K482C cross-linked with the clamp closed (broken trace; no DTT) and with the clamp released from the closed (c) state (continuous trace; 10 mM DTT). (C) SecAΔcys/E806C cross-linked with the clamp open (broken trace; no DTT) and with the clamp released from the open (o) state (continuous trace; 10 mM DTT). (D-F) Experiments shown in (A-C) were repeated in the presence of 40 μM CL. (G) The end point $k_{\text{cat}}$ values under the conditions described, along with the $K_d$ of SecA binding SecYEG, are shown in the histogram (also in Supplementary Table S1, columns 3 and 4).

Results were presented as the percentage fluorescence quench relative to baseline, and fitted according to a one-site ligand-binding equation with linear phase (eqn 3) using GraFit (Erithacus).

$$F = F_{\text{max}} \cdot [L]/(K_d + [L]) + m[L]$$

where $F$ is the percentage signal change, $F_{\text{max}}$ is the maximum signal change, $[L]$ is the ligand concentration and $K_d$ is the dissociation constant for SecA–ligand. $m$ represents the gradient of a non-saturable linear increase which was subsequently subtracted from the data.
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Figure 3 Measurement of the binding of the SecA 2HF to SecY268FlEG

SecA was titrated into a solution containing 5 nM SecY268FlEG and the fluorescence quench expressed as the percentage fluorescence decrease. (A) SecA\(_{\Delta\text{cys}}\) in the absence (broken trace) and presence (continuous trace) of 10 mM DTT. (B) SecA\(_{\text{D337C/K482C}}\) in the absence (broken trace; clamp closed) and presence (continuous trace; clamp released from closed (c)) of 10 mM DTT. (C) SecA\(_{\text{D337C/E806C}}\) in the absence (broken trace; clamp open) and presence (continuous trace; clamp released from open (o)) of DTT. (D) Parameters from the fits are shown in the histogram (also in Supplementary Table S1 at http://www.biochemj.org/bj/449/bj4490695add.htm, columns 7 and 8).

Supplementary online data

The Supplementary Online Data (at http://www.biochemj.org/bj/449/bj4490695add.htm) describes determination of \(K_m\) and \(k_{\text{cat}}\) for the mutants (Supplementary Figure S1). A further explanation of the model (see Figure 7) shows how the translocation process is affected by the various cross-links (Supplementary Figure S2).

RESULTS

The PPXD of SecA moves freely within SecA dimers

In order to understand the connotations of the mobility of the PPXD we immobilized it by various intramolecular disulfide bonds. Site-specific cysteine residues were incorporated into an otherwise cysteine-free background to lock the clamp in the open (SecA\(_{\Delta\text{cys}/\Delta\text{cys}}\)) or closed (SecA\(_{\text{D337C/K482C}}\)) positions (Figures 1A and 1B). SDS/PAGE resolved the intramolecular cross-links, which were present at approximately 50% of the total purified protein (Figure 1C, lanes 3 and 6); in both cases the PPXD could be predominantly cross-linked or released, by exposure to either oxidizing or reducing agents. Thus the two different cross-linked forms of SecA, with the clamp held open and shut, could be effectively purified for further analysis (Figure 1C, lanes 4 and 7) and compared with their counterparts released by reduction with DTT (Figure 1C, lanes 5 and 8).

SecA without cysteine residues (SecA\(_{\Delta\text{cys}}\)) behaves similarly to the wild-type [25] and was used as a control. The SecA\(_{\Delta\text{cys}}\) protein had the same gel mobility under either oxidizing or reducing conditions, and was indistinguishable from the reduced forms of the double-cysteine mutants (Figure 1C, lanes 1, 2, 5 and 8).

Size-exclusion chromatography was then used to distinguish large changes in the globular structure or oligomeric state of the cross-linked enzyme. In all cases when the clamp was released by DTT (Figure 1C, lanes 5 and 8), or in the control (Figure 1C, lanes 1 and 2), the proteins eluted at the same position (Figures 1D–1F, broken lines), with an apparent molecular mass of ~250 kDa, characteristic of SecA dimers. When the clamp was fixed in either the open or closed states (Figure 1C, lanes 4 and 7) the decrease in elution volume indicated a large conformational change (Figures 1E and 1F, continuous lines). These effects are incompatible with the formation of SecA monomers, which instead would have resulted in an increase in the elution volume. Therefore the location of the PPXD is apparently not dependent on the oligomeric state of SecA.

The transposition of the PPXD from the HWD to NBD2 increases the ATPase activity of SecA and its affinity for SecYEG

The ATPase activity of SecA and its stimulation by SecYEG were tested with the clamp fixed in the open and closed positions. The activation and apparent affinity of the SecA\(_{\Delta\text{cys}}\) control for SecYEG was similar to the wild-type [19] and unaffected by DTT (Figures 2A and 2G). However, when the clamp was held in the closed position, the basal ATPase activity (without SecYEG) was increased ~5-fold compared with the SecA\(_{\Delta\text{cys}}\) control (0 μM point in Figure 2B, and Figure 2G). In the presence of saturating SecYEG, the stimulation reached the same level as the control; however, the apparent affinity was considerably higher, ~500-fold (Figures 2B and 2G and Supplementary Table S1 at http://www.biochemj.org/bj/449/bj4490695add.htm, columns 1 and 2). The effects on both basal activity and affinity for SecYEG were reversed on release of the clamp by DTT. In contrast, the basal activity of the mutant with the clamp locked open was unchanged; its activation by SecYEG was reduced and the binding affinity was unaffected (Figures 2C and 2G and Supplementary Table S1, columns 1 and 2).

The SecYEG-stimulated ATPase activity of SecA (increased \(k_{\text{cat}}\)) is also accompanied by a decrease in affinity for ATP (increased \(K_m\)) [19,23]. When the PPXD was fixed adjacent to NBD2, with the clamp closed, the kinetic parameters
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Figure 4 Analysis of the effect of proOmpA on the binding affinity between SecA and SecYEG

The steady-state ATPase activity of 80 nM SecA in TKM buffer was measured in the presence of 1 mM ATP and increasing amounts of either empty vesicles (● and □, bottom axis) or vesicles reconstituted with purified SecYEG (○ and △, top axis) in the absence or presence of 0.6 μM proOmpA Δcys (circles compared with squares respectively). (A) SecA Δcys, (B) SecA Δcys + 10 mM DTT, (C) SecA Δcys/K482C with the clamp locked closed, (D) SecA Δcys/K482C with the clamp released from closed (c) + DTT, (E) SecA Δcys/E806C with the clamp locked open, (F) SecA Δcys/E806C with the clamp released from the open state (o) + DTT, (G) Data were fitted to a ligand-binding equation and the parameters are shown in the histogram (also in Supplementary Table S1 at http://www.biochemj.org/bj/449/bj4490695add.htm, columns 9–12).

(K_m ~ 1.7 μM and k_cat ~ 9 min⁻¹) corresponded to the uncross-linked enzyme bound and activated by SecYEG (K_m ~ 1.8 μM and k_cat ~ 8.5 min⁻¹) (Supplementary Figure S1 and Supplementary Table S1 at http://www.biochemj.org/bj/449/bj4490695add.htm). Upon release of the cross-link, the kinetic properties returned to the ground state (K_m ~ 0.1 μM and k_cat ~ 0.5 min⁻¹) and the dependence on SecYEG for activation was re-established. When the PPXD was fixed adjacent to the HWD, with the clamp open, the kinetics mirrored the inactive enzyme (K_m ~ 0.1 μM and k_cat ~ 0.4 min⁻¹) (Supplementary Figure S1 and Supplementary Table S1 at http://www.biochemj.org/bj/449/bj4490695add.htm).
The clamp. The mock oxidized and reduced SecA positioning of the 2HF in the channel is independent of the state of irrespectively of the position of the PPXD (Figure 3). Therefore the effect of proOmpA had little further effect on the ATPase activity or the affinity also seen in solution (Figure 2B). However, the presence of only CL and the ATPase activity was not further stimulated by the addition of SecYEG (Figures 2E and 2G). When the clamp was fixed open, the basal activity remained low and there was no stimulation by SecYEG (Figures 2F and 2G). Releasing the constraints with DTT restored the wild-type (SecAΔcys) behaviour: tight binding of SecYEG causing stimulation of the ATPase activity (Figures 2E–2G and Supplementary Table S1).

The results show that closing the clamp in the presence of CL is sufficient to prime the ATPase for translocation, even in the absence of SecYEG.

The insertion of the 2HF of SecA is not dependent on the location of the PPXD

The insertion of the 2HF into SecYEG was monitored by fluorescence. The ATP-dependent conformational change reported by SecY268FlEG [24] was induced by all versions of SecA, irrespective of the position of the PPXD (Figure 3). Therefore the positioning of the 2HF in the channel is independent of the state of the clamp. The mock oxidized and reduced SecAΔcys controls both bound with the same affinity (Figures 3A and 3D). However, in the active state when the clamp was locked closed, the affinity was ∼4-fold tighter compared with the counterpart released by DTT (Figures 3B and 3D). Conversely, when the clamp was locked in the open state the affinity was unchanged with respect to the unleashed enzyme (Figures 3C and 3D).

Immobilization of the PPXD disables protein translocation

The effect of restraining SecA was assessed with respect to ATP-driven translocation of pre-protein. Vesicles reconstituted with or without SecYEG were titrated into reaction mixtures containing SecA, ATP and, where indicated, the pre-protein substrate proOmpA (Figure 4). The ATPase activity of SecA (or SecAΔcys) is stimulated by vesicles harbouring SecYEG much more effectively than the detergent-solubilized complex (Figures 2A, 4A and 4G, and Supplementary Table S1), as expected [19]. In the presence of proOmpA there was a further ∼5-fold increase in the affinity of SecA (SecAΔcys) for SecYEG and added stimulation of the ATPase activity, associated with translocation into the vesicle interior [19]. This was true of both oxidized and reduced versions of the control SecAΔcys (Figures 4A, 4B and 4G, and Supplementary Table S1, columns 9–12).

SecA with the clamp shut associated with membrane-bound SecYEG more tightly than the corresponding reduced form and the controls (Figures 4A, 4C and 4G), consistent with the increase in affinity also seen in solution (Figure 2B). However, the presence of proOmpA had little further effect on the ATPase activity or the affinity for SecYEG (Figures 4C and 4G, and Supplementary Table S1). As expected, exposure of SecAΔcys to CL increased the ATPase activity and tightened the affinity for SecYEG (Figures 2D and 2G and Supplementary Table S1). Therefore the relocation of the PPXD and contact with SecYEG more tightly than the corresponding reduced form and added stimulation of the ATPase activity, associated with translocation into the vesicle interior [19]. This was true of both oxidized and reduced versions of the control SecAΔcys (Figures 4A, 4B and 4G, and Supplementary Table S1, columns 9–12).

Next, the translocation-associated ATPase activity of the preformed SecA–SecYEG membrane–bound complex was monitored following the addition of proOmpAΔcys (Figure 5A). The dynamic action of SecA

The action of CL (cardiolipin) (diphosphatidylglycerol) with respect to PPXD

CL is a ubiquitous negatively charged phospholipid, required for protein translocation and a range of other essential energy-transducing membrane-transport systems (see [26] and references therein). It stabilizes SecYEG dimers to form a high-affinity binding surface for SecA and confers its ability to activate the ATPase activity. These effects were re-investigated with respect to the different positions occupied by the PPXD within SecA. As expected, exposure of SecAΔcys to CL increased the ATPase activity and tightened the affinity for SecYEG (Figures 2D and 2G and Supplementary Table S1, columns 3 and 4).

The insertion of the 2HF of SecA is not dependent on the location of the PPXD

The insertion of the 2HF into SecYEG was monitored by fluorescence. The ATP-dependent conformational change reported by SecY268FlEG [24] was induced by all versions of SecA, irrespective of the position of the PPXD (Figure 3). Therefore the positioning of the 2HF in the channel is independent of the state of the clamp. The mock oxidized and reduced SecAΔcys controls both bound with the same affinity (Figures 3A and 3D). However, in the active state when the clamp was locked closed, the affinity was ∼4-fold tighter compared with the counterpart released by DTT (Figures 3B and 3D). Conversely, when the clamp was locked in the open state the affinity was unchanged with respect to the unleashed enzyme (Figures 3C and 3D).

Immobilization of the PPXD disables protein translocation

The effect of restraining SecA was assessed with respect to ATP-driven translocation of pre-protein. Vesicles reconstituted with or without SecYEG were titrated into reaction mixtures containing SecA, ATP and, where indicated, the pre-protein substrate proOmpA (Figure 4). The ATPase activity of SecA (or SecAΔcys) is stimulated by vesicles harbouring SecYEG much more effectively than the detergent-solubilized complex (Figures 2A, 4A and 4G, and Supplementary Table S1), as expected [19]. In the presence of proOmpA there was a further ∼5-fold increase in the affinity of SecA (SecAΔcys) for SecYEG and added stimulation of the ATPase activity, associated with translocation into the vesicle interior [19]. This was true of both oxidized and reduced versions of the control SecAΔcys (Figures 4A, 4B and 4G, and Supplementary Table S1, columns 9–12).

SecA with the clamp shut associated with membrane-bound SecYEG more tightly than the corresponding reduced form and the controls (Figures 4A, 4C and 4G), consistent with the increase in affinity also seen in solution (Figure 2B). However, the presence of proOmpA had little further effect on the ATPase activity or the
Figure 6  Purification and analysis of the cross-linked SecA–SecYEG complex

(A) Size-exclusion chromatography: SecY356CEG, SecA300C, and SecA300–Y356EG. Fractions analysed by SDS/PAGE in (B) are indicated by the numbered grey boxes. (B) SDS/PAGE of the purified cross-linked SecA300–Y356EG complex contained in adjacent fractions eluting from the final size-exclusion purification step: SecYEG and a SecA sample containing monomers and SDS-resistant dimers (and other higher aggregated states) were run as additional gel markers (not as representatives of the input to the cross-linking experiment). (C) Steady-state ATPase activity of 0.3 μM wild-type SecA or SecA300C with saturating (1 μM) wild-type SecYEG or SecY356CEG proteoliposomes, or the cross-linked complex SecA300–Y356EG reconstituted into phospholipid vesicles, with and without 0.7 μM proOmpA. The results were averaged from four independent experiments. (D) Top panel: relative levels of translocation for wild-type SecA or SecA300C with SecY356CEG proteoliposomes over 25 min, analysed by anti-proOmpA immunoblot. t = 0' represents translocation achieved after initial mixing of reaction components, approximately 10 s. The blot is representative of n = 5, which were quantified using ImageJ (NIH) software (bottom panel).

Without addition of proOmpA (0 μM point), with the clamp locked closed, the ATPase activity was elevated (Figure 5B), whereas it was low when locked open (Figure 5C, as seen before in Figures 4C and 4E). In both cases the activity did not significantly change following addition of the substrate (Figures 5B and 5C, and Supplementary Table S1, columns 13 and 14). Stimulation of the ATPase activity by substrate was again rescued upon release of the clamp with DTT.

Finally, the different SecA forms were tested for their ability to transport proOmpA across the membrane. Cross-linking the clamp in either the closed or open position abolished transport activity, whereas release of the PPXD with DTT restored it (Figure 5D). Therefore, in spite of the activation achieved by intramolecular cross-linking the PPXD to NBD2, its formation prevents the productive association of SecA with the pre-protein.

Isolation and analysis of the SecA–SecYEG complex with the PPXD locked in the activated state

Next, we investigated the behaviour of the SecA–SecYEG complex with the PPXD immobilized in the activated position, adjacent to the NBDs [7], by an intermolecular disulfide bridge to the fifth cytosolic loop of SecY (C5; SecA300C and SecY356CEG; Figure 1B). Purified SecA300C, p[NH]ppA and crude membranes containing overexpressed SecY356CEG were mixed and oxidized to form the cross-linked complex, which was purified successively by successive Ni2+-chelating, ion-exchange and gel-filtration chromatography steps (Figures 6A and 6B). Analysis of the latter by SDS/PAGE indicated that the complex was largely free of the uncross-linked components, which elute later from the column (shown for comparison in Figure 6A).

The complex was then reconstituted into phospholipid vesicles and its ATPase ability and protein transport activity were evaluated. In respect to ATP turnover and stimulation by SecYEG and proOmpAΔΔ1cys, the individual single mutants (uncross-linked) behaved similarly to the wild-type (Figure 6C). When cross-linked, the uncoupled ATPase (in the absence of pre-protein) was elevated to similar levels observed when the PPXD was cross-linked to NBD2 (Figure 2C and 6C). However, in marked contrast with the previous experiment, the addition of proOmpAΔΔ1cys resulted in a small, but significant, stimulation of ATPase activity and successful translocation (Figure 6D).
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The consequences of the location and restriction of the PPXD, and in a related study the 2HF [22], of SecA have been used to explore the dynamic mechanism of ATP-driven protein transport. This has been achieved by the incorporation of unique cysteine pairs for the formation of intra- and inter-molecular disulfide bonds by oxidation. The mutants used in the present study have afforded minor changes in the affinity of SecA for SecYE\(G\) (Figure 3) as well as the ATP\(\text{ase}\) activity (Figures 4 and 5). This is perhaps not very surprising given that the mutations themselves are at the interface between the PPXD and the NBDs of SecA and cytosolic surface of SecY. The key findings of the present study were related by much more profound consequences on the affinity and activation of the enzyme upon the formation of each disulfide bond. Significantly, these changes are reversed upon the release of the restrictions by a reducing agent.

The PPXD alternates between the HWD and NBDs within the intact SecA dimer. These transitions do not appear to be coupled to ATP hydrolysis, in agreement with the nucleotide-independent conformational change of this domain seen in the SecA monomer [17].

The formation of SecA monomers following the initiation of translocation (see the Introduction) exposes the SecYE\(G\)-binding site, including the 2HF of the HSD [7] (Figure 1B). This interaction has been monitored by an extrinsic fluorescent probe in SecY [24], which was elicited irrespectively of the position of the PPXD. Therefore the dissociation of SecA dimers is not strictly dependent on the position of the PPXD.

The relocation of the PPXD away from the HWD results in a switch in the kinetic properties, akin to those observed in the polypeptide transport activity was reduced by approximately one-half compared with the uncross-linked material, possibly due to partial restrictions imposed by the cross-link. The results demonstrate that, following its transit from the HWD to the NBD2, the PPXD does not move back to its resting state until translocation is complete.

DISCUSSION

The formation of SecA monomers following the initiation of translocation (see the Introduction) exposes the SecYE\(G\)-binding site, including the 2HF of the HSD [7] (Figure 1B). This interaction has been monitored by an extrinsic fluorescent probe in SecY [24], which was elicited irrespectively of the position of the PPXD. Therefore the dissociation of SecA dimers is not strictly dependent on the position of the PPXD.

The relocation of the PPXD away from the HWD results in a switch in the kinetic properties, akin to those observed in the polypeptide transport activity was reduced by approximately one-half compared with the uncross-linked material, possibly due to partial restrictions imposed by the cross-link. The results demonstrate that, following its transit from the HWD to the NBD2, the PPXD does not move back to its resting state until translocation is complete.
presence of SecYEG, together with an increase in affinity for the protein channel. Evidently then, the initial contact of SecA with SecYEG promotes the transposition of the PPXD, most probably due to its interaction with cytoplasmic loop C5 of SecY, between TMSs 8 and 9 [7]. In this position the PPXD is in direct contact with the NBD to activate the enzyme, presumably by promoting the release of ADP, which is the rate-limiting step of the ATP hydrolytic cycle [19]. The low ATPase activity observed when the PPXD is adjacent to the HWD, with the clamp open, reflects the stability of the complex of the enzyme with ADP, in agreement with the known tight association between the PPXD and HWD in this state [16,17].

The cross-link between the PPXD and NBD2 activates the ATPase, but inhibits transport. Therefore the cross-link may have blocked the intercalation path for the pre-protein into the translocation clamp (see also below). Changing the attachment to the interaction site with SecY-C5 retained the transport activity. Therefore the PPXD does not need to move significantly once translocation is underway. The large movement of the latter is presumably only required during substrate binding and the initiation step (see below).

On the basis of these results and a re-evaluation of the structures of SecA and SecYEG, including those associated with pre-protein peptide mimics [8,14], a model for the initiation of translocation has been formulated (Figure 7). The pre-protein-binding sites on SecA have been identified by cross-linking [13,15], NMR [14] and X-ray crystallography [27] and allow us to partially map the pre-protein-binding site on SecA (Figure 7: stage 1, black polypeptide). The signal sequence binds between the PPXD and HWD [14], and a site for the mature protein has been localized by the C-terminus of SecA [6], proposed to mimic the substrate by β-augmentation of the two strands connecting the PPXD to NBD1 [27]. The relocation of the PPXD would effectively release the signal sequence, encapsulate the mature regions of the pre-protein and increase the affinity for the SecY complex (Figure 7: stage 1–2). The subsequent SecYEG-induced dissociation of SecA dimers [18,24] would expose the pre-protein to SecY (Figure 7: stage 2). The association of the well-placed signal sequence would then unlock the SecY complex and facilitate the intercalation of the mature region of the pre-protein [8] (Figure 7: stage 2–3). This mechanism avoids the need to transfer the signal sequence from between the PPXD and HWD 60 Å to the other side of the SecA–SecYEG complex. Note also that the model is not absolutely dependent on two copies of SecYEG.

The model explains the effects observed when the PPXD is immobilized by intramolecular cross-links in the resting (clamp open) or activated (clamp closed) states, including why the latter is incapable of forming a productive interaction with pre-protein (Supplementary Figures S2A and S2B at http://www.biochemj.org/bj/449/bj4490695add.htm). In contrast, the alternatively activated enzyme, with the PPXD cross-linked to SecY, is fully primed and capable of pre-protein binding and transport (Supplementary Figure S2C).

The mechanism of ATP-driven energy-transducing systems often relies on large domain movements coupled to changes in the affinity for ATP, ADP and substrate, in this case pre-protein. SecA seems to have adopted a method whereby a large domain movement is involved during the initiation phase for both the activation of the NBDs and the trapping and release of pre-protein.
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SUPPLEMENTARY ONLINE DATA
The dynamic action of SecA during the initiation of protein translocation
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MATERIALS AND METHODS
Steady-state ATPase and determination of the $K_m$

Steady-state SecA ATPase measurements were performed as described previously [1,2] with various concentrations of ATP. The $K_m$ and $V_{\text{max}}$ ($k_{\text{cat}}$) were determined by fitting the data to the Michaelis–Menten equation (eqn S1)

$$v = \frac{V_{\text{max}} \cdot [S]}{K_m + [S]}$$

where $v$ is equal to the enzyme velocity, $V_{\text{max}}$ is the total capacity of the substrate-associated ATPase stimulation, [S] is the substrate concentration and $K_m$ is the Michaelis constant.
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Table S1: Kinetic parameters

Various parameters derived from data fits (see individual Figure legends in the main text). The data for the clamp-closed SecA are shown in bold to emphasize the significant differences in this version. Errors represent S.D. values from the fit.

| Condition | Kd (μM) | kcat (μM s⁻¹) | Kd (nM) | Δ1 (% of control) |
|-----------|---------|---------------|---------|------------------|
| Clamp closed | 7.6±0.6 | 31.4±0.6 | 37±19 | 17±0.6 |
| Clamp released | 22.3±0.6 | 8.8±1.9 | 56±13 | 17±0.6 |
| Clamp released (c) | 22.3±0.6 | 8.8±1.9 | 56±13 | 17±0.6 |

Figure S1: Michaelis–Menten parameters for ATP binding

(A) ATPase rates of SecA (0.75 μM) over a range of ATP concentrations with: the clamp held in the closed state (black dotted trace), the clamp released from the closed (c) state (silver continuous trace, +10 mM DTT) and the same released form in the presence of saturating SecYEG (detergent solubilized; 16 μM) (grey dashed trace). (B) ATPase assays were performed as in (A) using SecA with: the clamp open (black dotted trace) and released from the either the closed (c) or open (o) states (silver continuous trace and grey dashed trace respectively). (C) Data in (A) and (B) were fitted to the Michaelis–Menten equation and the parameters are shown in the histogram (also in Table S1, columns 5 and 6).
The consequences of the immobilization of the PPXD within SecA to protein transport

The model of pre-protein transport (Figure 7A of the main text) has been modified to incorporate the intra- and inter-molecular disulfide bonds, and to explain their effect on the activity. Colour co-ordination and labelling is as in Figure 7 of the main text. Stages of the mechanism precluded as a result have been fogged out. (A) When the PPXD is cross-linked (purple bar) to the HSD the clamp is permanently held open. This prevents the activation of the ATPase activity (thin blue arrows), maintains a low affinity for SecYEG (small pale blue arrows) and prevents association with the pre-protein. (B) Fixing the PPXD to NBD2, holding the clamp closed, activates the ATPase activity (blue arrows), brings about a high-affinity association with SecYEG (large pale blue arrows), but prevents the engagement of pre-protein. (C) The pre-activated cross-linked SecA–SecYEG complex is primed for translocation and capable of pre-protein intercalation and ATP-driven (thick blue arrows) translocation.
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