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Abstract

Background: There has been rising concern amongst the public regarding their home's proximity to high tension power transmission lines. The primary cause of fear is the impact of the electromagnetic interference (EMI) radiation on the nearby occupants' health. Despite the presence of national permissible limits of EMI radiation, there is still lack of information with regards to the EMI radiation of the types of power lines configuration in Malaysia.

Methods: The electric and magnetic fields of several selected power transmission lines were simulated using the EMFACDC software program from the recommendation ITU-T K.90. Five types of power transmission lines available in Malaysia are considered.

Results: It was found that the simulated electric and magnetic field levels at all the power lines' right of way (ROW) boundary complies with the prescribed exposure limit. However, the electromagnetic fields (EMF) level increases significantly as the separation distance is reduced from 30m. For a more conservative approach, the ROW can be set at 30m across all transmission voltage level and corridor area condition.

Conclusion: It can be concluded that Malaysia's power transmission lines are within the prescribed exposure limits. To further minimize the electric and magnetic field level, it is recommended that the residential building should be built at least 30 meters away from the power transmission lines, especially for the 275kV double circuit, 275/132kV quadruple circuit, and 500kV double circuit lines.
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### Introduction

The public is getting increasingly concerned about the potential biological and health effects of power transmission lines considering the risk of exposure to electromagnetic interference (EMI) radiation. Biological effects are noticeable responses to a stimulus or an environmental change. At an extreme level, electromagnetic fields (EMF) will affect humans' health, such as micro shocks and induced currents in the body. Power transmission lines produce electric and magnetic fields at an extremely low frequency. These transmission lines were sometimes located in close proximity to residential areas, which could potentially increase the exposure level of electromagnetic radiation. Although national and international guidelines have established exposure limits to protect the public against high-level EMF that might be harmful, there are still rising concerns among the public on whether their residency is affected by such radiation, especially to those living near power transmission lines.

Overhead power transmission lines in Malaysia are typically rated at 132 kV, 275 kV, and 500 kV. Figure 1 shows a typical dimension for various power transmission lines towers in Malaysia. These dimensions agree well with the transmission line design manual in I. Beck.

Several isolated simulation studies on the propagation of EMF around the 132 kV, 275 kV, and 500 kV power transmission lines in Malaysia has been presented in Sahbudin et al., Said and Hussain, Jimbin and Ahmad, and Rahman et al. All of the studies referenced here are related to EMF propagation around the power transmission lines and how it affects the nearby public. Sahbudin et al. have analyzed and computed the amount of electrical potential and EMF level at a specific point and distance from Malaysia’s 132 kV and 275 kV double circuit power transmission lines. On the other hand, Said and Hussain, together with Jimbin and Ahmad, conducted magnetic field simulation studies on the quadruple circuit power transmission lines in Malaysia. Rahman et al. has presented the magnetic field radiation simulation studies at multiple locations in Malaysia, accounting for the 132/132 kV quadruple circuit and the 500 kV double circuit power transmission lines. The studies were conducted in several locations in Malaysia in which the EMF level was analyzed and computed at a...
specific point and distance from the power transmission line. However, analysis of the compliance of the EMF with recommended public exposure limits remains lacking as no specific study evaluated the EMF level from every type of power transmission line in Malaysia. Hence, this paper presents the simulations of the electromagnetic field radiation from various high-voltage power transmission lines in Malaysia, conducted simultaneously to provide an overall comparison in terms of their corresponding EMF level as a function of distance away from the power lines. The simulated EMF levels are

Figure 1. Overhead power transmission lines towers in Malaysia.\textsuperscript{4-6, 10, 11}
then benchmarked with public exposure limits recommended by international standards and the commenced right of way (ROW), after which the minimum safe distance of power transmission lines from residential areas can then be determined.

This paper is organized in the following manner. The methods section explains the overall procedures and techniques involved in identifying, processing, and analyzing information regarding the EMI radiation of power transmission lines to implement the research objective. Then the results section presents the collected data of the EMF value of the power transmission lines relative to the selected exposure limits and commenced ROW. This paper ends with a conclusion, which synthesizes the key points from the collected data and benchmarked results, a safer approach to minimize the exposure to EMF from the power transmission lines in Malaysia is also recommended.

**Methods**

Figure 2 depicts the overall project flow for this work. Initially, EMF from the power transmission lines was calculated and then simulated using the existing source (EMFACDC program). Both calculation and simulation results are then compared to test for the accuracy of the simulation program. If the results are satisfactory, the simulations of EMF from power transmission lines were conducted again using the collected parameter of the power lines in Malaysia. The EMF of

---

**Figure 2. Overall project flow.**
the power transmission line along the horizontal line is then plotted and recorded. The EMF value is then verified by
comparing it with the data obtained from the literature. If the results are satisfactory, the EMF value from power
transmission lines are analyzed and correlated with the known exposure limits and commenced ROW. The field
calculation for a three-phase circuit power transmission line is based on the principle of superposition.9,12 Suppose
the three conductors are labelled with an index $k$ that ranges from one to three. For each conductor, there is a combination
of complex current $I_k$ and complex voltage $V_k$. The position of the conductors is denoted by $(x_k, y_k)$ in which the origin
can be chosen at any point. The objective is to calculate the field at a point of interest $(x, y)$. Every conductor is above the
earth at the height of $h_k$ and $r_k$ away from the point of interest as shown in Figure 3. For a single conductor-carrying
current $I$ along a straight line, the magnetic field magnitude, $B$ is given by:

$$B = \frac{\mu_0 I}{2\pi (x^2 + y^2)^{3/2}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

where $\mu_0$: permittivity of free space.

The resultant magnetic field due to a three-phase conductor system is the complex summation of contributing magnetic
field vectors. The vertical and horizontal components of $B$ can then be established geometrically as shown in the National
Grid EMF guide.13 Each conductor is then resolved into an in-phase and out-of-phase component to determine the current
relation. This is done by referring to the phasor diagram depicted in the National Grid EMF guide.13 By referring to the
phasor diagram, all the in-phase and out-of-phase components can be extracted using the sin and cos of $30^\circ$ ($120^\circ - 90^\circ$).
For a single three-phase circuit, it does not matter which order these conductors were placed. Each conductor will have a
phase $120^\circ$ apart from the other two conductors. Being $120^\circ$ apart makes the phases balanced in which the power transfer
is constant at any point. This method has also been used in other isolated simulation studies presented in Refs. 6 and 7.
For more than one circuit, a consistent convention should be applied when defining the phases to achieve optimum
efficiency as shown in the National Grid EMF guide.13

By multiplying both geometrical and current term, four components of the field can be obtained, which is $B_{in,x}$, $B_{in,y}$,
$B_{out,x}$, and $B_{out,y}$. The total magnetic field is then given by:
The electric field generated by a system of conductors is attributable to the potential \( V_k \) applied to each of them. The electric coupling between conductors should be considered as well in order to determine the linear charge density. The potential \( V \) at its boundary surface for a single conductor of effective radius \( R' \) at a height \( h \) above the ground is given by:

\[
V = \frac{\lambda}{2\pi\varepsilon_0} \ln \frac{2h}{R'}
\]

where \( \lambda \): equivalent density of charge; \( \varepsilon_0 \) is the permittivity of free space.

The conductor's electrical image's contribution was also incorporated in equation 3 in the case of perfectly conducting ground. For a known value of \( V \), the equivalent linear density of charge can be assessed along with its electrical image, which is then used to calculate the electric field vector at any point of interest \((x, y)\). A single contribution to the electric field either from the conductor or its electrical image is given by:

\[
E = \frac{\lambda}{2\pi\varepsilon_0} \frac{1}{r} \hat{r}
\]

where \( r \): distance from the conductor (or image) to the point of interest; \( \hat{r} \) is the respective unit vector. In the event of multiple conductors (three conductors for a three-phase circuit), the equivalent linear density of charge is determined by solving the linear system of equations given by:

\[
[P][\lambda] = [V]
\]

where \([P]\): coupling matrix; \([\lambda]\): equivalent densities vector; \([V]\): vector of potentials applied to the conductors.

The coupling matrix can be defined as:

\[
P_{ii} = \frac{1}{2\pi\varepsilon_0} \ln \frac{2h_i}{R_i} \quad P_{ij} = \frac{1}{2\pi\varepsilon_0} \ln \frac{D_{ij}}{d_{ij}}
\]

Here the conductor indexes are denoted by \( i \) from 1 to \( N \). \( D_{ij} \) represents the distance between the conductor \( i \) and the image of the conductor \( j \) while \( d_{ij} \) represents the distance between the conductor \( i \) and the conductor \( j \). With \( V \) as the voltage level of the overhead power transmission lines in Malaysia as depicted in Figure 1, the charge density can be obtained by inverting the matrix equation above where:

\[
[\lambda] = [V][P]^{-1}
\]

After solving the system of equations, the corresponding charge densities are then used to calculate the resultant electric field at the point of interest, as in the case of a single conductor described above. The resulting electric field due to a system of conductors (and their electric images) is obtained by the complex summation of the contributing electric field vectors.

In this study, the EMF simulations were conducted using the publicly available EMFACDC v2.0, update to Appendix II program developed by the Telecommunication Standardization Sector of International Telecommunication Union (ITU-T) under the series of recommendations known as Series K (https://www.itu.int/rec/T-REC-K.90-201905-I!Amd1/en). Table 1 shows the geometrical parameters of this study.

Verification of the EMFACDC program is performed by comparing the simulation value obtained from the program with the value obtained through manual calculation performed for a typical power line setup. To do this, the exact parameter of the selected power line is keyed into the program. The results were considered satisfactory if both of the values were approximately similar to each other. This study chooses the magnetic field level of a typical United Kingdom (UK) 400 kV double circuit power transmission line at 10 meters from the centerline. As this verification purpose is to test for the accuracy of the simulation program, any type of power line is applicable.

Rated current is used for each conductor in the power lines to test for the maximum current value possible as applied in Jimbin and Ahmad. The current in the left and right circuits are assumed to be equal in order to ensure the EMF level is
balanced at the left and right ROW boundary. For each type of power transmission line, the conductors are represented by their phase pattern and assigned their own phase code. Standard transposed phasing and un-transposed phasing will be considered in this study as shown in Figures 4 and 5. Transposition of phasing is performed to reduce crosstalk or interference which arises by current flowing in the conductors. Therefore, un-transposed phasing is expected to produce the highest magnetic flux density and vice versa, as suggested in Said et al., under Group 5 conductor phase pattern. Although transposed phasing is used by most of the power transmission lines in the National Grid to significantly reduce the magnetic and electric field generated, un-transposed phasing is still considered in this study as it is not always feasible to transpose every line.

The parameters for each type of power lines which are based on Malaysia’s specific power transmission line were consolidated from R. K. Z. Sahbudin et al., I. Said et al., V. S. Jimbin and Ahmad, N. A. Rahman et al., I. M. Rawi et al., and N. H. N. Hassan et al. These dimensions also agree well with the Transmission Line Design Manual prepared by R. W. Beck, Inc., for the use of Tenaga Nasional Berhad (TNB) in June 2000. Five types of power transmission lines available in Malaysia are considered in this study. These are the power transmission lines typically used in Malaysia, known with voltage levels of 132 kV, 275 kV, and 500 kV:

1. 132 kV double circuit power transmission line.
2. 275 kV double circuit power transmission line.
3. 132/132 kV quadruple circuit power transmission line.
4. 275/132 kV quadruple circuit power transmission line.
5. 500 kV double circuit power transmission line.

| Coordinates of plotted area | x1  | x2  | y1  | y2  | Step |
|-----------------------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|------|
| 30 m                        | 0 m | 0 m | 40 m| 0 m | 0.1 m|

| Parameters for the line chart | Horizontal line, Y-coordinate | Vertical line, X-coordinate |
|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| 1 m (in compliance with IEEE Std C37.1) | 0 m |

Table 1. Geometrical parameters.

Figure 4. Double circuit phase configuration for standard transposed phasing (left) and un-transposed phasing (right).

Figure 5. Quadruple circuit phase configuration for standard transposed phasing (left) and un-transposed phasing (right).
These power transmission lines were identified based on the Suruhanjaya Tenaga Wayleave for Electricity Supply Lines. They were chosen as they were categorized under high voltage and extra-high voltage distribution and ran under three-phase configurations. Tables 2 and 3 show samples of the input data used for the field simulation of 275/132 kV quadruple circuit power line and 500 kV double circuit power lines in Malaysia. The remaining set of input data i.e. of 132 kV double circuit power line, 275 kV double circuit power line and 132/132 kV quadruple circuit power line is made available in Tables 3, 4, and 5.

Table 2. 275/132 kV quadruple circuit power line.

| No | Phase code | Cond. coordinate | Current rating (A) | Voltage level (kV) | Phase angle (Deg.) |
|----|------------|------------------|--------------------|-------------------|--------------------|
|    | U T x(m) y(m) |                 |                    |                   |                    |
| 1  | A1 A1 -6.0 43.13 | 1232 275 | 0 0               |
| 2  | B1 B1 -6.0 37.58 | 1232 275 | 120 120           |
| 3  | C1 C1 -6.0 32.03 | 1232 275 | 240 240           |
| 4  | A2 C2 6.0 43.13 | 1232 275 | 0 240             |
| 5  | B2 B2 6.0 37.58 | 1232 275 | 120 120           |
| 6  | C2 A2 6.0 32.03 | 1232 275 | 240 0             |
| 7  | a1 C1 -5.2 24.31 | 729 132 | 0 240             |
| 8  | b1 b1 -5.2 20.43 | 729 132 | 120 120           |
| 9  | c1 a1 -5.2 16.55 | 729 132 | 240 0             |
| 10 | a2 a2 5.2 24.31 | 729 132 | 0 0               |
| 11 | b2 b2 5.2 20.43 | 729 132 | 120 120           |
| 12 | c2 c2 5.2 16.55 | 729 132 | 240 240           |

Table 3. 500 kV double circuit power line.

| No | Phase code | Cond. coordinate | Current rating (A) | Voltage level (kV) | Phase angle (Deg.) |
|----|------------|------------------|--------------------|-------------------|--------------------|
|    | U T x(m) y(m) |                 |                    |                   |                    |
| 1  | A1 A1 -6.2 47.5 | 2309.4 500 | 0 0               |
| 2  | B1 B1 -6.2 36.5 | 2309.4 500 | 120 120           |
| 3  | C1 C1 -7.0 25.25 | 2309.4 500 | 240 240           |
| 4  | A2 C2 6.2 47.5 | 2309.4 500 | 0 240             |
| 5  | B2 B2 6.2 36.5 | 2309.4 500 | 120 120           |
| 6  | C2 A2 7.0 25.25 | 2309.4 500 | 240 0             |

Table 4. Benchmarked public exposure limits.

| No | Category | Public exposure limit |
|----|----------|-----------------------|
| 1  | Specific International Organization | ICNIRP 2010\(^{16}\) (5 kV/m for electric field and 200 μT for magnetic field) |
| 2  | National Standardization Committee | EU Recommendation 1999\(^{17}\) (5 kV/m for electric field and 100 μT for magnetic field) |
| 3  | Regional variation of a country | Exposure limit for the residential region – Slovenia and Italy\(^{18}\) (0.5 kV/m for electric field and 10 μT for magnetic field) |
| Type of power lines      | Conducting ground | Phasing | Magnetic flux densities (μT) | Compliance to exposure limit? |
|-------------------------|-------------------|---------|----------------------------|-------------------------------|
|                         | Yes               | No      | Untransposed | Transposed | Under the line | ROW boundary | ICNIRP | EU   | Slovenia/Italy |
| 132 kV double circuit   | ✓                 | ✓       | ✓                  | ✓          | 4.794284      | 0.253069     | Yes    | Yes  | Yes          |
|                         | ✓                 | ✓       | ✓                  | ✓          | 0.545671      | 0.624946     | Yes    | Yes  | Yes          |
|                         | ✓                 | ✓       | ✓                  | ✓          | 2.6043        | 1.4302       | Yes    | Yes  | Yes          |
|                         | ✓                 | ✓       | ✓                  | ✓          | 1.3384        | 0.4673       | Yes    | Yes  | Yes          |
| 275 kV double circuit   | ✓                 | ✓       | ✓                  | ✓          | 3.928109      | 0.960835     | Yes    | Yes  | Yes          |
|                         | ✓                 | ✓       | ✓                  | ✓          | 0.443016      | 1.074408     | Yes    | Yes  | Yes          |
|                         | ✓                 | ✓       | ✓                  | ✓          | 2.088845      | 1.494544     | Yes    | Yes  | Yes          |
|                         | ✓                 | ✓       | ✓                  | ✓          | 1.263348      | 0.636509     | Yes    | Yes  | Yes          |
| 132/132 kV quadruple circuit | ✓           | ✓       | ✓                  | ✓          | 13.62006      | 2.978469     | Yes    | Yes  | Yes          |
|                         | ✓                 | ✓       | ✓                  | ✓          | 1.13004       | 0.340224     | Yes    | Yes  | Yes          |
|                         | ✓                 | ✓       | ✓                  | ✓          | 7.28901       | 4.670191     | Yes    | Yes  | Yes          |
|                         | ✓                 | ✓       | ✓                  | ✓          | 1.493262      | 0.676831     | Yes    | Yes  | Yes          |
| 275/132 kV quadruple circuit | ✓           | ✓       | ✓                  | ✓          | 10.13539      | 2.489524     | Yes    | Yes  | Yes          |
|                         | ✓                 | ✓       | ✓                  | ✓          | 0.909531      | 0.18436      | Yes    | Yes  | Yes          |
|                         | ✓                 | ✓       | ✓                  | ✓          | 5.405135      | 3.546732     | Yes    | Yes  | Yes          |
|                         | ✓                 | ✓       | ✓                  | ✓          | 1.113876      | 0.588538     | Yes    | Yes  | Yes          |
| 500 kV double circuit   | ✓                 | ✓       | ✓                  | ✓          | 18.43185      | 4.860459     | Yes    | Yes  | Yes          |
|                         | ✓                 | ✓       | ✓                  | ✓          | 2.857379      | 4.293753     | Yes    | Yes  | Yes          |
|                         | ✓                 | ✓       | ✓                  | ✓          | 9.693522      | 6.762919     | Yes    | Yes  | Yes          |
|                         | ✓                 | ✓       | ✓                  | ✓          | 4.852491      | 2.516856     | Yes    | Yes  | Yes          |
| Type of power lines | Conducting ground | Phasing | Compliance to exposure limit? | Electric field strength (kV/m) | ROW boundary | EU ICNIRP | Slovenia/Italy |
|---------------------|-------------------|---------|-----------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------|-----------|--------------|
|                     | Yes | No | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| 132 kV double circuit | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ |
| 275 kV double circuit | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ |
| 275/132 kV quadruple circuit | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ |
| 500 kV double circuit | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ |

*Table 6. Electric field of the power transmission lines in Malaysia.*
The simulation of electric and magnetic fields at various horizontal distances from the center of power lines was analyzed and benchmarked with public exposure limits from selected organizations defined in Table 4 (Table 820). The International Commission on Non-Ionizing Radiation Protection (ICNIRP) and European Union (EU) limits were selected as they were used in most of the countries globally while Slovenia and Italy were purposely selected due to their more stringent requirement. In this study, public exposure limits from three different categories are chosen for the correlation studies to satisfy all variants in every country. The exposure limits selected were used to prevent established effects at high electromagnetic field level. The simulation is also benchmarked with the commenced ROW from Suruhanjaya Tenaga for each type of power lines to estimate the electromagnetic field level at the minimum separation distance from the center of power lines. The ROW specified by the Suruhanjaya Tenaga 15 is applicable for the correlation process as it is the currently commenced minimum safe distance for power transmission lines in Malaysia. Correlation between the exposure limit and the commenced ROW is analyzed by observing the electromagnetic field level at the minimum separation distance. The field level at the minimum separation distance is considered to be safe if it is lower than the exposure limits.

Results

The simulation was initially verified with a typical setup of a double circuit power transmission line in the United Kingdom as outlined in the methods section (Table 220). Upon verification, simulation of magnetic flux densities and the electric field strength from different types of power lines at the horizontal line were conducted. The magnetic and electric field of the power transmission lines relative to the selected exposure limits and commenced ROW were summarized and shown in Tables 5 and 6 (Table 10 and Table 1120). The average EMF values recorded for each power lines at ROW boundary were also portrayed in Table 12.20 In this paper, EMI radiation refers to the disturbance caused by the electric and magnetic field towards a subject. The probability of EMI occurring depends highly on the electric and magnetic field strength.

The magnetic field due to all the power transmission lines are within the exposure limits set by the organizations in Table 4 (Table 820). The highest magnetic flux density at the ROW boundary were simulated from the un-transposed 500 kV double circuit power line under non-conducting ground condition, while the lowest was simulated from the transposed 275/132 kV quadruple circuit under the conducting ground condition, as shown in Table 10. Even directly below the overhead power lines, the magnetic field levels are still within the exposure limit recommended by ICNIRP and EU.

Similarly, the electric field due to all the power transmission lines are within with the exposure limits set by ICNIRP and EU. However, 25% of the power transmission lines exceed the stricter exposure limit practiced in Slovenia and Italy. The highest electric field strength data at the ROW boundary was simulated from the 500 kV double circuit power line under un-transposed and conducting ground condition, while the lowest was simulated from the 132/132 kV quadruple circuit under transposed and non-conducting ground (Table 1120). Similar to the magnetic field simulation, even below the overhead power lines, the electric field levels are still within the exposure limit recommended by ICNIRP 2010 and EU Recommendation 1999. The transposed conductors give significantly lower magnetic flux densities and electric field strength.

Conclusions

The electric and magnetic fields due to five types of power transmission lines in Malaysia were simulated and benchmarked with the known exposure limits and commenced ROW. The electric and magnetic field level for all types of power lines at the edge of ROW was found to be lower than the exposure limit recommended by ICNIRP. Moreover, the average EMF values recorded for each power line at the ROW boundary were determined to be significantly lower than the ICNIRP recommendation. The substantial difference between the simulated values of EMF due to power transmission lines in Malaysia at the ROW boundary with the exposure limit recommended by ICNIRP is noticeable by referring to the output data representations of this study. Hence, it can be concluded that Malaysia's power transmission lines are within the safe exposure limit recommended by ICNIRP. To further minimize the electric and magnetic field level, it is recommended that the residential building should be built at least 30 meters away from the power transmission lines, especially for the 275 kV double circuit, 275/132 kV quadruple circuit, and 500 kV double circuit lines. It is also recommended that Malaysia’s regulatory bodies develop and implement regional exposure limits to specific locations where the public could potentially experience long-term exposure to EMF radiation, such as in the residential building, school, and hospital. Utilizing a proper phase arrangement was also crucial in the power transmission line's design process as it could reduce the EMF radiation.
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Figshare: Data for Electric and Magnetic Field of Power Transmission Lines in Malaysia.
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The project contains the following underlying data:

- Data for Electric and Magnetic Field of Power Transmission Lines in Malaysia. (Simulated dataset of electric and magnetic field of five types of power transmission lines in Malaysia in Tables 1-12 and Figures 1-20).
- Figure 1. (Overhead power transmission lines towers in Malaysia).
- Figure 2. (Overall project flow).
- Figure 3. (Geometrical illustration for the magnetic and electric field calculation).
- Figure 4. (Double circuit phase configuration for standard transposed phasing (left) and un-transposed phasing (right)).
- Figure 5. (Quadruple circuit phase configuration for standard transposed phasing (left) and un-transposed phasing (right)).

Data are available under the terms of the Creative Commons Zero “No rights reserved” data waiver (CC0 1.0 Public domain dedication).
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**Methods:**

- Explain in more detail the flowchart of Figure 2.

**Results:**

- Do you have any waveform that can show the EMI related to Electric field strength?
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- Too short, add more summary on the methods and results.
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**Is the study design appropriate and is the work technically sound?**
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**Introduction:**
- Add proper objectives like "this paper or manuscript present..."

*The objective has been rephrased as follows:*

> Hence, this paper presents the simulations of the electromagnetic field radiation from various high-voltage power transmission lines in Malaysia conducted simultaneously to provide an overall comparison in terms of their corresponding EMF level as a function of distance away from the power lines.

- Add also an overview of each section at the end of the introduction part.

*The overview of each section has been added at the end of the introduction part.*

**Methods:**
- Explain in more detail the flowchart of Figure 2.

*Flowchart of Figure 2 has been explained in more detail under the Methods section.*

**Results:**
- Do you have any waveform that can show the EMI related to Electric field strength?
An additional Reference 21 has been cited to support the relation between EMI and electric field strength.

- More discussion needed as referred to Table 6 in reference to each parameter discussed as in table 6.

The discussion on Table 6 has been extended

Conclusions:
- Too short, add more summary on the methods and results.

The conclusion has been expanded to be more comprehensive.

**Competing Interests:** No competing interests were disclosed.

Reviewer Report 07 February 2022

https://doi.org/10.5256/f1000research.76690.r120587

© 2022 Paś J. This is an open access peer review report distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Jacek Paś
Division of Electronic Systems Exploitations, Faculty of Electronics, Institute of Electronic Systems, Military University of Technology, Warsaw, Poland

1. Please add at the end of the article a list of abbreviations used in the article.

2. The critical review of the literature on the state of the issue is done poorly.

3. Please expand the conclusions in the article, they are currently only four sentences long. Not all important graphs are included in the conclusions.

4. The article and low frequency electromagnetic field calculations around power lines are very interesting, and the results can be used to determine safety zones.

5. The issue of low-frequency electromagnetic field effects is important to the health of people living near power lines. Please refer to the E, H component limits of standards in other countries, e.g. USA, European countries and the proposed regulations in the country.

**Is the work clearly and accurately presented and does it cite the current literature?**
Yes

**Is the study design appropriate and is the work technically sound?**
Yes

**Are sufficient details of methods and analysis provided to allow replication by others?**
Partly

**If applicable, is the statistical analysis and its interpretation appropriate?**
I cannot comment. A qualified statistician is required.

**Are all the source data underlying the results available to ensure full reproducibility?**
Yes

**Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the results?**
Yes

**Competing Interests:** No competing interests were disclosed.

**Reviewer Expertise:** Electronic safety systems, compatibility

I confirm that I have read this submission and believe that I have an appropriate level of expertise to confirm that it is of an acceptable scientific standard, however I have significant reservations, as outlined above.

---

**Author Response 20 Feb 2022**

**Chun Lim Siow,** Multimedia University, Cyberjaya, Malaysia

Thank you for your invaluable comments to improve this article. The improvements made are summarised below:

1. Please add at the end of the article a list of abbreviations used in the article.

   *The list of abbreviations has been added at the end of the article.*

2. The critical review of the literature on the state of the issue is done poorly.

   *The literature review has been improved. The contributions from related and cited articles have been discussed and the research gap has been clearly identified and stated.*

3. Please expand the conclusions in the article, they are currently only four sentences long. Not all important graphs are included in the conclusions.

   *The conclusion has been expanded to be more comprehensive.*

4. The article and low frequency electromagnetic field calculations around power lines are very interesting, and the results can be used to determine safety zones.

   *Yes, this is indeed an important issue where public awareness and understanding still has a lot of room for improvement.*
5. The issue of low-frequency electromagnetic field effects is important to the health of people living near power lines. Please refer to the E, H component limits of standards in other countries, e.g. USA, European countries and the proposed regulations in the country.
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