Abstract. The aim of the present study was to examine the value of window technique in qualitative diagnosis of the ground glass opacities (GGO) in patients with non-small cell pulmonary cancer. A total of 124 clinically suspected pulmonary cancer patients were analyzed retrospectively. The lesions were affirmed by puncture biopsy, and were GGO on pulmonary window while were invisible on mediastinal window. Sixty-four multi-detector spiral computed tomography with the window width and window level of 1,500 Hounsfield units (HU) and -450 HU on pulmonary window, while the window width and window level of 400 and 40 HU on mediastinal window, was used in the study. The window adjustment technique was used to analyze the window width and window level of lesion on pulmonary window and mediastinal window, for searching invisible threshold on 3-megapixel medical displays. The diagnostic accuracy and the cut-off value were compared on receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve. The results showed that the window width and window level on pulmonary window and mediastinal window of malignant lesions were significantly less than those of benign ones (P<0.05). The cut-off value on pulmonary window was the window width and window level of 1,300 and -220 HU, the area under the ROC was 0.830 (sensitivity was 72.5%, specificity was 84.3%; 95% confidence interval (CI), 0.712-0.945). The cut-off value on mediastinal window was the window width and window level of 360 and 30 HU, and the area under the ROC was 0.623 (was 62.0%, specificity was 55.7%; 95% CI, 0.541-0.745). In conclusion, the window technique has high sensitivity and accuracy in qualitative diagnosis of the GGO.

Introduction

Ground glass opacities (GGO) refers to the lesions manifested as blur and high-density image on pulmonary window, while these lesions were either invisible or only the solid portion was visible on mediastinal window. The texture of vessel and bronchus of lesion were clear. GGO were closely associated with pulmonary cancer, and were regarded as precancerous lesions (1). However, the lesions were also found in inflammation, infection and tuberculosis (2). Thus, early qualitative diagnosis of the GGO is very important for the treatment of diseases.

The use of pre-set parameter to obtain GGO images has some limitations with regard to qualitative diagnosis (3). The operational level of technical personnel, scanning parameter setting, the accuracy of computed tomography (CT) values, and the quality of the system were considered to be important factors influencing qualitative diagnosis (4). The window technique is a type of amplification technology that takes advantage of the gray-scale function in computer software to enlarge the visual gray-scale identification range (5). It can adjust the window width and window level of lesion on pulmonary window and mediastinal window continuously and dynamically, to obtain the best range of gray-scale, and compare the window characteristics of different GGO to obtain more sensitive and accurate quantitative data.

The aim of the present study was to examine the value of window technique in qualitative diagnosis of the GGO in patients with non-small cell pulmonary cancer. The results showed that, the window width and window level on pulmonary window and mediastinal window on pulmonary window and mediastinal window of malignant lesions were significantly less than those of benign ones.

Patients and methods

Objects. In total, 124 clinically suspected pulmonary cancer patients were retrospectively analyzed between January, 2012 and January, 2016. The lesions were affirmed by puncture biopsy, and were GGO on pulmonary window but invisible on mediastinal window. The following conditions were excluded: a clear diagnosis of tumor, recent history of pneumonia, pulmonary tuberculosis, thoracic injury, surgery and history of radiation exposure, poor quality image. The Zaozhuang Municipal Hospital (Shandong, China) Ethics Committee approved the study. Informed consent was obtained from the patients or their family members.

Of the 124 cases, 93 patients had tumor, and 31 had benign lesions (25.0%). Of the 93 tumor lesions, 40 were squamous carcinoma, 49 were adenocarcinoma, and 4 were others. There
were 37 atypical hyperplasia, 35 were in situ, and 21 were invasive lesions. There were 52 lesions with round or ovoid shape, and 41 were irregular in shape. The average diameter of the lesions was 0.6±0.3 cm (range, 0.3-1.0 cm), with 46 cases having left pulmonary and 47 cases right pulmonary lesions. The patients with tumors included 52 males and 41 females, and the average age was 56.9±14.7 years (6-48 years). If the 31 benign lesions, 23 cases were pneumonia, and 8 cases were tuberculosis, while of the 31 cases 20 were round or ovoid shaped, and 11 irregular in shape. The average diameter of the lesions was 0.5±0.4 cm (range, 0.2-1.3 cm), 13 lesions were in the left lung, and 18 were in the right lung. The patients with benign lesions included 17 males and 14 females, and the average age was 54.6±15.2 years (37-73 years). The difference of gender, age, pathological changes, morphology and diameter of comparison between the two groups was not significantly different.

CT scanning methods. Siemens Sensation 64 multi-detector spiral CT (Siemens AG, Munich, Germany) was used, with a parameter of pitch 1.2, collimation width 128x0.6 mm, scanning thickness 5 mm, tube voltage 120 kV, automatic tube current, reconstruction thickness 1 mm, window width 1,500 Hounsfield units (HU) and window level -450 HU on pulmonary window, window width 400 HU and window level 40 HU on mediastinal window. A plain scan was used without oral contrast material.

For window technique, two radiology doctors analyzed the images in a blinded manner. The window width was adjusted constantly until the lesions were invisible with the fixed pulmonary window level (-450 HU) on 3-megapixel medical displays, and then the pulmonary window width was fixed (150 HU), and the window level was adjusted constantly until the lesions were invisible. The window width was adjusted constantly until the lesions were invisible with the fixed mediastinal window level (40 HU), and then the mediastinal window width (400 HU) was fixed, and the window level adjusted constantly until the lesions were invisible. Multi-plane reorganization observation was used to obtain the average. Those above the upper limit gray-scale range were enhanced to white, and those below the upper limit compression were black. M - (W/2) - M + (W/2) was used to represent (M, window level; W, window width). M = (CT_{max} - CT_{min})/2, W = CT_{max} - CT_{min}.

Statistical analysis. Data were analyzed using SPSS 19.0 statistical software (Chicago, IL, USA). The quantitative data were expressed as means ± standard deviation, and the difference of groups was compared by t-test. Qualitative data were expressed as the number of cases or percentage (%), and the difference of groups was compared using the χ² test. The area under the receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve represented the diagnostic accuracy, and difference with P<0.05 was considered to indicate a statistically significant difference.

Results

Comparison of window width and window level. The window width and window level on the pulmonary and mediastinal windows of malignant lesions was significantly less than those of benign ones (P<0.05), as shown in Table I.

| Groups   | Pulmonary window | Mediastinal window |
|----------|------------------|--------------------|
|          | Width  | Level  | Width  | Level  |
| Malignant| 1,356±53 | -210±35 | 353±45 | 26±10  |
| Benign   | 2,124±67 | -546±43 | 652±52 | 63±20  |
| t-test   | 7.632  | 6.549  | 7.541  | 7.835  |
| P-value  | <0.001 | <0.001 | <0.001 | <0.001 |

Discussion

The window width mainly affected the contrast of the image. The large window width multiplies the levels of the image, reduces the contrast and deteriorates the detail display, which is suitable for distinguishing the structure with large density difference (6). The small window width decreased the levels of the image, enhanced the contrast, and optimized the detail display, which was suitable for distinguishing the structure with small density difference. The window level mainly affected the image contrast. The high window darkened the image, and the window was equivalent to the center of the gray-scale (7). The best window width and window level is necessary for setting the standard window, and was manifested...
as 35 picture/sheet at the center of the image. Subsequently, the window width and window level was adjusted slightly and each part of 4-6 images was captured (8). The narrow window technique was suitable for lesions with small density difference and unclear boundary (9). Thus, lesions with slight density differences or a large range and rich layers need to use the wide window technique (10).

The development of vessels and bronchi pulmonary window have a greater interference to the qualitative diagnosis of GGO. Early pulmonary cancer mainly originates in bronchial epithelium, with the involvement of centriflobular bronchi or centriflobular arterial nodules, and located around the blood vessels, around or through the minimum pulmonary arteries that CT show. The adjustment of the pulmonary window width and window level can distinguish the imaging range of GGO from blood vessels and bronchi to improve the accuracy of clinical diagnosis (11,12). The common parameter is window width of 800-1,000 HU, window level of -700 HU, and upper bound of -200 HU. Fat, fluid, soft tissue and bone were located out of the window by analyzing the density, and in sharp contrast with the pulmonary tissue, which was not suitable to display the characteristics of lesions and the inflammation. Additionally, it was difficult to distinguish the lesions in the center with vascular section. The window width and window level on pulmonary window and mediastinal window of malignant lesions was significantly less than those of benign ones. In the malignant group, the average window width and window level was of 1,356 and -210 HU on pulmonary window. The diameter of the GGO, the size of solid or pathological invasive component, and the composition of ground glass proportion have great limitations to qualitative diagnosis (13). The tumors can be divided into gas type and solid type according to mediastinal window width and window level technology. The gas type has good prognosis and applicable value (14,15). The mediastinal window cannot display GGO lesions well, so the mediastinal window technique remains in limited use.

The adjustment of the pulmonary window width and window level can highlight the boundaries of the tissues and the appearing and disappearing range of CT, to improve the accuracy of clinical diagnosis. The prognosis was assessed based on the size of tumor alone, but disagreement also exist (16,17).

In conclusion, the cut-off value on pulmonary window was the window width and window level of 1,300 and -220 HU, the area under the ROC was 0.830 (sensitivity was 72.5%, specificity was 84.3%; 95% CI, 0.712-0.945). The cut-off value on the mediastinal window was the window width and window level of 360 and 30 HU, and the area under the ROC was 0.623 (sensitivity was 62.0%, specificity was 55.7%; 95% CI, 0.541-0.745). The diagnosis sensitivity, specificity and accuracy of pulmonary window were better than those of mediastinal window. However, the frequent adjustment of window technology will lead to visual fatigue, and increase workload. Further exploration of a more simple and higher quantitative inspection method is required.