Visceral Obesity as a Risk Factor for Left-Sided Diverticulitis in Japan: A Multicenter Retrospective Study
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Background/Aims: Left-sided diverticulitis is increasing in Japan, and many studies report that left-sided diverticulitis is more likely to be severe. Therefore, it is important to identify the features and risk factors for left-sided diverticulitis. We hypothesized that left-sided diverticulitis in Japan is related to obesity and conducted a study of the features and risk factors for this disorder in Japan.

Methods: Right-sided diverticulitis and left-sided diverticulitis patients (total of 215) were compared with respect to background, particularly obesity-related factors to identify risk factors for diverticulitis.

Results: There were 166 (77.2%) right-sided diverticulitis patients and 49 (22.8%) left-sided diverticulitis patients. The proportions of obese patients (body mass index ≥25 kg/m², p=0.0349), viscerally obese patients (visceral fat area ≥100 cm², p=0.0019), patients of mean age (p=0.0003), and elderly patients (age ≥65 years, p=0.0177) were significantly higher in the left-sided-diverticulitis group than in the right-sided-diverticulitis group. The proportion of viscerally obese patients was significantly higher in the left-sided-diverticulitis group than in the left-sided-diverticulosis group (p=0.0390).

Conclusions: This study showed that obesity, particularly visceral obesity, was a risk factor for left-sided diverticulitis in Japan. (Gut Liver 2013;7:532-538)
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INTRODUCTION

Diverticular disease of the colon is common in both Western countries and Japan. In the past, colonic diverticulosis was rare in Japan; with detection rates as low as approximately 2% in 1960. However, the detection rate increased to approximately 20% in the 1980s and 1990s. Studies have reported that increasing rates of diverticulosis are caused by westernization of the diet. Generally, in Western countries diverticulosis is predominantly found on the left side (sigmoid colon, descending colon, and left portion of the transverse colon), but in Asia it is usually found on the right side (cecum, ascending colon, and right portion of the transverse colon). Right-sided diverticulosis (R-control) in Japan depends to a large extent on congenital factors. However, this trend has been changing and today, diverticulosis is increasing and spreading from right-sided to bilateral in Japan. Thus, there has been a relative increase in the number of patients with left-sided diverticulosis (L-control) in Japan. Ten to twenty-five percent of patients with known diverticulosis progress to diverticulitis. As a result, in Japan, contrary to Western countries, we must be aware of not only right-sided diverticulitis (RD), but also left-sided diverticulitis (LD). We make a clear distinction between RD and LD because the location of diverticulitis is important. Many studies have reported that LD is more likely to be severe, compared with RD. On the other hand, in Asia, conservative management with bowel rest and antibiotics is considered a safe and effective option for treating right-sided colonic diverticulitis. Therefore, the features and risk factors for LD need clarification. In Western countries, there are reports that obesity and diverticulitis are related and, in Western countries, LD occurs most frequently compared with a higher frequency of RD in Asia. Therefore, we hypothesized that obesity was a risk factor for developing LD in Japan. Usually, obesity refers to an excess of body fat, which can be divided into subcutaneous and visceral fat. Visceral fat is highlighted as a cause of metabolic complica-
tions such as hypertriglyceridemia, insulin resistance. Then, a lot of studies showed the relationship between visceral fat and colorectal disease. For example, some study showed the association between waist circumferences and large or advanced adenoma. In addition, one study showed a strong association between colorectal adenoma and visceral fat measured by computed tomography scanning. Previous report showed that visceral fat which is estimated from waist circumference is influenced by age, gender, and racial. Therefore, computerized tomography scanning was useful to more accurately assess the visceral fat without influence of age, gender, and race.

In the field of acute colonic diverticulitis, one report showed the relationship between visceral obesity and development of diverticulitis. However, this report evaluated by means of indirect indicator such as waist-to-hip ratios or waist circumference and didn’t directly measure visceral fat. Therefore, we measured visceral fat directly by abdominal computerized tomography scanning in order to more accurately assess it. Based on the results, we conducted a study about the relationship between obesity and development of LD in Japan.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

1. Patients

The study design was a multicenter retrospective and matched case-control study, conducted in five centers (Yokohama City University Hospital, Machida Municipal Hospital, Chigasaki Municipal Hospital, Yokosuka City Hospital, and Tokyo Metropolitan Hiroo General Hospital). The flow diagram of this study is shown in Fig. 1. The subjects were consecutive Japanese patients diagnosed with colonic diverticulitis between October 2006 and October 2011 at each center. Diagnosis of acute diverticulitis was made based on the following criteria: acute abdominal pain localized to the right/left side of the abdomen, increased serum inflammatory parameters (leukocytes, C-reactive protein) and/or fever, and computed tomography (CT) findings (localized colonic diverticular wall thickening and/or infiltration of pericolonic fat with no evidence of enlarged appendix). Patients were confirmed the presence of a colonic diverticulum by colonoscopy after treatment or previous colonoscopic examination. Patients who couldn’t confirm the presence of colonic diverticulum by colonoscopy or patients who didn’t undergo colonoscopy were excluded. Patients with a history of colonic resection, steroid therapy, nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drug use, cancer, or inflammatory bowel disease were excluded from this study. The study was conducted with the approval of the Ethics Committee of Yokohama City University.

2. Data collection

A standardized sheet was used to collect data, including location of diverticulitis, age, gender, body mass index (BMI), blood lipid profile (total cholesterol, TC; low density lipoprotein, LDL; triglyceride, TG), alcohol habits, and smoking habits. Abdominal CT data were used to measure visceral and subcutaneous fat. Visceral fat area (VFA) and subcutaneous fat area (SFA) were measured by Fat Scan V5.0 (East Japan Institute of Technology Co., Ltd., Ibaraki, Japan) at the level of the umbilicus. Adipose tissue was determined by setting the attenuation level from -190 to -30 Hounsfield units. VFA and SFA were determined separately using a trace function, which manually defined the boundary between the visceral and subcutaneous fat using a cursor.

3. Control group

We conducted a matched case-control study to analyze the features and risk factors for diverticulitis. For each side of diverticulitis, a patient with multiple R-control or L-control with no history of colonic diverticulitis was selected as a control subject based on colonoscopy findings, exactly matched for age and gender. The reasons for colonoscopy were: diarrhea, constipa-
tion, abdominal pain, and a family history of colonic cancer. Records were reviewed and data collected as described.

4. Definition of obesity

Patients were classified as obese or viscerally obese using both BMI and VFA criteria. BMI was calculated, and obese patients were defined as those with a BMI $\geq 25$ kg/m$^2$ in accordance with the criteria of the Japan Society for the Study of Obesity. Additionally, we defined visceral obesity as VFA $\geq 100$ cm$^2$ in accordance with the criteria of the Japan Society for the Study of Obesity. This threshold value has been previously proven to be associated with elevated cardiovascular risk and with a substantial deterioration of metabolic variables predictive of metabolic syndrome.

5. Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis was performed using Excel-Toukei 2010 software for Windows (Social Survey Research Information Co., Ltd., Tokyo, Japan). The Student t-test, Welch t-test, and Mann-Whitney U test were used to assess significant differences between the RD and LD groups, and LD and control groups. A $p<0.05$ was considered statistically significant.

RESULTS

1. Background of the subjects

A total of 215 patients were enrolled in this study (137 male [63.7%] and 78 female [36.3%]). The mean age was 46.2 years. The clinical characteristics of the patients with RD (n=166, 77.2%) and LD (n=49, 22.8%) are shown in Table 1. The mean age of LD patients was older than that of RD patients (52.9 years vs 44.2 years, $p<0.001$). The ratio of aged patients (age $\geq 65$ years) (9.6% vs 22.4%, $p<0.05$) was significantly greater in the LD group compared with the RD group. Sex ratio ($p=0.7933$) revealed no significant difference between the two groups. Table 2 shows the characteristics of the patients with R-control and the patients with L-control.

2. Comparison of right-sided and LD

Table 1 shows the characteristics of the RD and LD groups for obesity-related factors. Mean BMI ($p=0.6606$), mean SFA ($p=0.1721$), TC ($p=0.5273$), LDL (p=0.6759), TG (p=0.2768), alcohol habits ($p=0.2210$), and smoking habits ($p=0.5689$) were not significantly different between the two groups. Mean VFA ($66.3\pm46.1$ cm$^2$ vs $97.3\pm53.4$ cm$^2$, $p<0.001$), the ratio of obesity (BMI $\geq 25$ kg/m$^2$) (24.1% vs. 40.8%, $p<0.05$) and the ratio of visceral obesity (VFA $\geq 100$ cm$^2$) (22.9% vs. 48.9%, $p<0.001$) were significantly greater in the LD group than in the RD group.

3. Comparison of R-control and L-control

Table 2 shows the characteristics of the R-control and L-control groups for obesity-related factors. Mean BMI ($p=0.4382$), the ratio of obesity (BMI $\geq 25$ kg/m$^2$) ($p=0.0529$) and the ratio of visceral obesity (VFA $\geq 100$ cm$^2$) (p=0.2332), TC (p=0.1902), LDL (p=0.9975), TG (p=0.4577), alcohol habits (p=0.3042), and smoking habits (p=0.2982) revealed no significant differences between the two groups. Mean VFA ($68.5\pm44.0$ cm$^2$ vs $85.7\pm39.9$ cm$^2$, $p<0.05$), and mean SFA ($110.7\pm55.7$ cm$^2$ vs $130.5\pm67.0$ cm$^2$, $p<0.05$) were significantly greater in the L-control group than in the R-control group.

Table 1. Clinical Characteristics of Patients with Right-Sided Diverticulitis and Left-Sided Diverticulitis

| Characteristic | Right-sided | Left-sided | p-value |
|---------------|-------------|------------|---------|
| Total         | 166 (77.2)  | 49 (22.8)  | -       |
| Sex ratio     | 1.72:1      | 1.88:1     | 0.7933* |
| Mean age, yr  | 44.2±14.2   | 52.9±14.9  | 0.0003* |
| Ratio of aged patient (age $\geq 65$ yr) | 16 (9.6) | 11 (22.4) | 0.0177** |
| Mean BMI, kg/m$^2$ | 22.9±3.4 | 23.3±4.4 | 0.6606* |
| Ratio of obesity (BMI $\geq 25$ kg/m$^2$) | 40 (24.1) | 20 (40.8) | 0.0349* |
| Mean SFA, cm$^2$ | 106.2±55.7 | 121.6±72.2 | 0.1721* |
| Mean VFA, cm$^2$ | 66.3±46.1 | 97.3±53.4 | 0.0001* |
| Ratio of visceral obesity (VFA $\geq 100$ cm$^2$) | 38 (22.9) | 24 (48.9) | 0.0019* |
| TC, mg/dL     | 192.8±46.8  | 199.0±48.9 | 0.5273* |
| LDL-C, mg/dL  | 123.7±41.5  | 127.8±35.2 | 0.6759* |
| TG, mg/dL     | 136.0±57.3  | 151.6±40.6 | 0.2768* |
| Alcohol       | 65 (39.2)   | 24 (49.0)  | 0.2210* |
| Smoking       | 56 (33.7)   | 19 (38.8)  | 0.5689* |

Data are presented as number (%) or mean±SD.
BMI, body mass index; SFA, subcutaneous fat area; VFA, visceral fat area; TC, total cholesterol; LDL-C, low density lipoprotein cholesterol; TG, triglycerides.
*Mann-Whitney U test; †Student t-test; ‡p<0.05; §Welch t-test.
4. Comparison of right-side diverticulitis and R-control

Table 3 shows the obesity-related factors for the RD and R-control groups. Mean BMI (p=0.3020), the ratio of obesity (BMI ≥25 kg/m²) (p=0.7960), mean SFA (p=0.4557), mean VFA (p=0.6670), the ratio of visceral obesity (VFA ≥100 cm²) (p=0.5956), TC (p=0.3917), LDL (p=0.1898), TG (p=0.6373), alcohol habits (p=0.6515), and smoking habits (p=0.2864) were not significantly different between the two groups.

5. Comparison of left-side diverticulitis and L-control

Table 4 shows the obesity-related factors of the LD and L-control groups. Mean BMI (p=0.7795), the ratio of obesity (BMI ≥25 kg/m²) (p=0.6800), mean SFA (p=0.6377), mean VFA (p=0.2229), TC (p=0.8147), LDL (p=0.2394), TG (p=0.4350), alcohol habits (p=0.8406), and smoking habits (p=0.5689) showed no significant differences between the two groups. The ratio of visceral obesity (VFA ≥100 cm²; 48.9% vs 28.5%, p<0.05) was significantly greater in the LD group compared with the L-control group.
This study showed that visceral obesity was a risk factor for LD. However, a similar trend was not seen for RD. There are many reports showing a relationship between visceral obesity and gastroenterological disease.\(^{31-33}\) The most critical link between visceral fat and these diseases is chronic inflammation. Adipose tissue secretes a number of cytokines, such as tumor necrosis factor-\(\alpha\) and interleukin-6 known to participate in local and generalized inflammation.\(^{34}\) Therefore, visceral fat may enhance or precipitate the inflammatory process in diverticulitis. Inflammation related to visceral obesity may be more likely to occur on the left rather than the right side due to greater bacterial numbers.\(^{35}\) However, the precise mechanism is still unclear and is an area for future research.

The ratio of visceral obesity (VFA \(\geq 100 \text{ cm}^2\)) was significantly greater in the LD group than in the L-control group. On the other hand, the ratio of BMI \(\geq 25 \text{ kg/m}^2\) revealed no significant difference between the two groups. BMI has been used as one of the most reliable anthropometric indices of obesity and is simple to calculate. However, even though BMI is a readily available objective measurement, it is not a reliable method of measuring fat, particularly visceral fat.\(^{36,37}\) This study also showed that the ratio of aged patients (age \(\geq 65\) years) and mean age were significantly greater in the LD group compared with the RD group. This result may have been influenced by the fact that L-control increases with age in Japan.\(^2\) In addition, our data showed that VFA was significantly higher in the L-control groups than the

**Table 4. Obesity-Related Factors of Patients with Left-Sided Diverticulitis and Left-Sided Diverticulosis (L-Control)**

| Factor                        | Left-sided (n=49) | L-control (n=49) | p-value |
|-------------------------------|-------------------|------------------|---------|
| Sex ratio                     | 1.88:1            | 1.88:1           |         |
| Mean age, yr                  | 52.9±14.9         | 53.0±14.7        | 0.9386* |
| Mean BMI, kg/m\(^2\)          | 23.3±4.4          | 23.0±4.0         | 0.7795* |
| Ratio of obesity (BMI \(\geq 25 \text{ kg/m}^2\)) | 20 (40.8)         | 18 (36.7)        | 0.6800† |
| Mean SFA, cm\(^2\)            | 121.6±72.2        | 130.5±67.0       | 0.6377* |
| Mean VFA, cm\(^2\)            | 97.3±53.4         | 85.7±39.9        | 0.2229* |
| Ratio of visceral obesity (VFA \(\geq 100 \text{ cm}^2\)) | 24 (48.9)         | 14 (28.5)        | 0.0390\(^{1,3}\) |
| TC, mg/dL                     | 199.0±48.9        | 201.8±46.0       | 0.8147* |
| LDL-C, mg/dL                  | 127.8±35.2        | 136.6±27.1       | 0.2394* |
| TG, mg/dL                     | 151.6±40.6        | 160.6±43.2       | 0.4350* |
| Alcohol                       | 24 (49.0)         | 22 (44.9)        | 0.8406† |
| Smoking                       | 19 (38.8)         | 18 (36.7)        | 0.5689† |

Data are presented as mean±SD or number [%].

BMI, body mass index; SFA, subcutaneous fat area; VFA, visceral fat area; TC, total cholesterol; LDL-C, low density lipoprotein cholesterol; TG, triglycerides.

*Student t-test; †Mann-Whitney U test; ‡p<0.05.

**Table 5. Previous Major Reports That Showed the Correlation between Obesity and Diverticulitis**

| Study          | Country | Study design                | Evaluation method of obesity | Conclusion |
|----------------|---------|-----------------------------|-------------------------------|------------|
| Dobbins et al. (2006)\(^{14}\) | Australia | Retrospective case note review | BMI | Patients with perforations and recurrent diverticulitis are significantly more obese than those who remain asymptomatic or have one episode. |
| Rosemar et al. (2008)\(^{16}\) | Sweden | Prospective cohort study | BMI | Overweight and obesity were strongly linked to future severe diverticular disease leading to hospitalization. |
| Strate et al. (2009)\(^{13}\) | USA | Prospective cohort study | BMI, waist circumference and waist-to-hip ratios | BMI, waist circumference and waist-to-hip ratio significantly increased the risk of diverticulitis. |
| Jeong et al. (2011)\(^{41}\) | Korea | Retrospective case note review | BMI, visceral obesity measured by abdominal CT | Visceral obesity is significantly associated with complications of diverticulitis. |
| Yamada et al. (this study) | Japan | Retrospective and matched case-control study | BMI, visceral obesity measured by abdominal CT | Visceral obesity as a risk factor for left-sided diverticulitis. |

BMI, body mass index; CT, computed tomography.
R-control groups suggesting that visceral fat may be related to not only the development of LD but also to the development of L-control.

This study also showed that 22.4% of patients with LD were aged patients (age ≥65 years). Japan is an aging society with the highest proportion of over 65-year-old in the world; 22.1% in 2008. The aging rate will continue to rise in the future with the numbers of aged LD patients in Japan expected to increase as well.28 Previous studies reported a high mortality rate in aged patients with diverticulitis.19,40 Therefore, LD prevention in aged patients is important. This study showed a relationship between visceral obesity and LD. Therefore, reducing visceral fat may prevent the development of LD. A limitation of this study is that colonoscopy cannot detect diverticulosis any better than a barium enema. Additionally, the size of the study population was small, and even though it was a multicenter study, the results do not represent the entire Japanese population. Larger scale studies are needed.

Thus far, some studies showed the correlation between obesity and diverticulitis (Table 5).13,14,20,41 However, most studies were conducted in Western countries. In Asia, there are few reports that show the relationship between obesity and diverticulitis. Jeong et al.21 showed that visceral obesity was significantly associated with complications of diverticulitis such as perforation or abscess. On the other hand, our data showed that visceral obesity was a risk factor for development of diverticulitis for the first time in Asia. Then, as a review method, we used control patient for evaluation of obesity. The patients with diverticulosis but without diverticulitis were selected as a control. This review method is also novel.

In summary, our results suggest that visceral obesity is associated with an increased risk of LD and, therefore, reducing visceral fat has the potential to prevent LD. In Japan, severe cases were more frequent in the LD group compared with the RD group and the number of LD patients is expected to increase as the population ages. Clarifying the risk factors and features of LD is necessary to establish effective prevention and treatment programs.
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