Relationships Among Trophic Niche Width, Morphological Variation, and Genetic Diversity of *Hemiculter leucisculus* in China
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According to the niche variation hypothesis (NVH), the populations with wider niches are phenotypically more variable than those with narrow niches. Giller expanded the NVH, suggesting that the niche width, morphological variation, and genetic diversity are all positively correlated. However, the hypothesis has been a subject of debate and discussion. In the present study, the NVH was tested by analyzing the relationships among trophic niche width, morphological variation, and genetic diversity of *Hemiculter leucisculus*, a widespread cyprinid fish. The fish samples were collected from six sites across Haihe, the Yellow, and the Yangtze River basins in China. The relationships among trophic niche width, morphological variation, and genetic diversity were analyzed using Pearson correlation at the inter-population level. Our analysis indicated that trophic niche width is significantly positively correlated with morphological variation, which corroborates the NVH. Morphological variation was significantly correlated to genetic diversity. However, no relationship was observed between trophic niche width and genetic diversity. We inferred that the dietary niche of *H. leucisculus* might change due to the plastic response toward environmental changes rather than due to the genetic variation. We also suggest that the effects of environment and heredity on the niche of the freshwater fish should be quantified separately in further studies.
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**INTRODUCTION**

Niche is an important concept in ecology that helps in understanding species interactions and community structures (Syväranta et al., 2013). Niche width, the core of the niche theory (Roughgarden, 1972; Bolnick et al., 2002), refers to the variety of resources, habitats, or environments utilized by a given species (Van Valen, 1965; Shugart and Blaylock, 1973; Roughgarden, 1974; Schindler et al., 1997; Bolnick et al., 2010; Edwards et al., 2013; Sexton et al., 2017). Previous studies on the niche width have contributed toward understanding the causes of species diversity (Roughgarden, 1974; Giller, 1984; Maldonado et al., 2017) and the response of species to environmental variation (Bolnick et al., 2010; Clavell et al., 2011; Bison et al., 2015).
Van Valen (1965) found that the bird populations on the islands had more morphological variance than those on the mainland and concluded that the birds on the islands evolved to different morphological traits to use more diverse resources and avoid competition (Snowberg et al., 2015). Therefore, the author hypothesized that “populations with wider niches are phenotypically more variable than populations with narrow niche,” which is known as the niche variation hypothesis (NVH). The total population niche width is composed of the within- and between-individual component (Bolnick et al., 2010). Niche width variation at the population level can mainly result from the increased variation at the between-individual level (Bolnick et al., 2007), which is accompanied by greater morphological variation (Snowberg et al., 2015).

Several studies involving multiple taxa, such as bird (Hsu et al., 2014; Maldonado et al., 2017), fish (Bolnick et al., 2010; Snowberg et al., 2015), wasp (Santoro et al., 2011), and lizard (Costa et al., 2008) have provided evidence to support the NVH. On the contrary, some studies have challenged the NVH because of failure in finding the correspondence between niche width and morphological variation (Diaz, 1994; Blondel et al., 2002; Meiri et al., 2005; Griffen and Mosblack, 2011). Bolnick et al. (2007) concluded that studies not supporting the NVH were biased because they did not directly measure the niche variation.

Furthermore, studies have demonstrated a positive correlation between the niche width and the level of genetic diversity (Smith, 1970; Shugart and Blaylock, 1973; Soulé and Yang, 1973; Steiner, 1977; Noy et al., 1987; Lavie et al., 1993; Pavlčík et al., 2000). Populations with large niche widths also have greater genetic variability, which enable them to adapt to a wide range of environmental conditions (Noy et al., 1987). When different individuals in a population focus on a specific subset of available resources, a specific group of individuals in the population become most likely to experience genetically controlled individual variation. When individuals spend most or all their lives in a specific niche, selection favors different alleles in different sub-niches, resulting in high heterozygosity (Noy et al., 1987), although this perception was also debated (Powell and Wistrand, 1978; Mitter and Futuyma, 1979; Smith, 1981; Lavie et al., 1993). Moreover, few studies have investigated the relationship among niche width, morphological variation, and genetic diversity, altogether.

*Hemiculter leucisculus* (Basilewsky, 1855), a small cyprinid fish, is widespread in the freshwaters of Southeast Asia and the far-eastern region of Russia (Dai and Yang, 2003). The fish inhabits pools, lakes, and rivers. Because of its high fecundity, the fish has become predominant in fish assemblages (Kolpakov et al., 2010; Esmaeili and Gholamfard, 2011). *H. leucisculus* also is an omnivorous fish that primarily feeds on zooplankton, invertebrates, algae, and organic detritus (Liu et al., 2016). Therefore, this fish is a suitable model organism for testing the NVH.

In this study, we evaluated the NVH by analyzing the relationship between the trophic niche width, morphological variation, and genetic diversity in six geographically diverse populations of *H. leucisculus* from China. The stable isotope method was used to quantitatively estimate the trophic niche width in the current analysis (Newsome et al., 2007; Marshall et al., 2019).
(CPW) were measured to account for foraging and swimming abilities (Figure 2).

**Genetic Diversity**
The dorsal muscle tissues were dissected from each specimen. The muscle tissues were preserved in 95% alcohol for DNA extraction. DNA was extracted following the standard phenol/chloroform protocol (Kocher et al., 1989), as described by Tang et al. (2008). The mtDNA cyt b gene was amplified using the polymerase chain reaction (PCR) with primer sets L14724 and H15915 (Xiao et al., 2001). The PCR amplification was performed in a total volume of 30 μL. PCR products were sent to Shanghai DNA Biotechnologies Company for purification and sequencing. All sequences obtained during this study were deposited in GenBank (accession numbers: MN696802–MN696989). The genetic diversity in our study was measured using the two indices, haplotype diversity (Hd) and nucleotide diversity (Pi).

**Stable Isotope Analysis**
Maldonado et al. (2017) tested the NVH by analyzing the stable isotope data from 7 to 14 specimens of each passerine bird species. Similar other studies have also used comparable sample sizes for analyzing stable isotope values in different populations (11–22 samples in a study by Cook et al., 2007; 7–21 samples in a study of Ryan et al., 2013). Thus, in this study, we selected 13 specimens from each site for stable isotope analysis. First, we grouped the collected samples according to BL and then selected samples from each BL group in equivalent proportion. In this manner, the average BL of the selected samples was similar for samples collected at each site (Table 1). One dorsal muscle tissue (about 0.3 mg) dissected for each specimen was oven-dried at 60°C for at least 48 h until a constant weight was attained, and then, it was ground into homogeneous powder by using mortar and pestle.

![Figure 1](image-url) Map of sampling locations of *Hemiculter leucisculus* (Fangshan, Luoyang, Mudong, Xingzi, Yugan, and Tongling).
The carbon and nitrogen stable isotope compositions ($\delta^{13}C$ and $\delta^{15}N$) were measured using the Delta Plus (Finnigan, Bremen, Germany) Continuous-flow Isotope ratio Mass Spectrometer coupled to the Carlo Erba NA2500 Elemental Analyzer (Carlo Erba Reagenti, Milan, Italy) in the Institute of Hydrobiology, Chinese Academy of Sciences. Stable isotope ratios were expressed in $\delta$ notation as deviation from the international standards in parts per thousand (‰) deviation according to the equation: $\delta X = \left(\frac{R_{\text{sample}}}{R_{\text{standard}}} - 1\right) \times 1000$, where $X$ is $^{15}N$ or $^{13}C$, and $R$ is the corresponding ratio $^{15}N/^{14}N$ or $^{13}C/^{12}C$. $\delta$ is the measure of heavy to light. The standard references for carbon and nitrogen were Vienna Pee Dee Belemnite and atmospheric $N_2$, respectively (Yao et al., 2016).

In general, four internal working standards (USGS40, USGS41, UREA-Thermo, and UREA-Wuhan) were employed for every seven samples for calibration of obtained values. Twenty percent of the samples were run in duplicate; the average standard errors of replicate measurements for $\delta^{13}C$ and $\delta^{15}N$ were both less than 0.3‰. After obtaining values of $\delta^{13}C$ and $\delta^{15}N$ for each sample, we corrected them according to the fitting function obtained from the values of the four working standards.

Statistical Analysis

The morphological variation parameters, related to trophic niche width and genetic diversity, for each population used in the analysis were calculated. Q-Q diagram and Kolmogorov–Smirnov test were used to detect whether the data of each morphological trait in each local population were normally distributed. A regression of BL to each morphological trait was performed to eliminate the effects of the size-dependent variation. The standard deviations of the residuals of the regressions in each population were calculated to present the morphological variation. The principal component analysis (PCA) was used to reduce the dimensionality of the retained morphological traits, transform interdependent variables into significant and independent components (Brosse et al., 2001; Wang et al., 2015), clarify the greater part of variation, and extract new composite variables (Samaee et al., 2009). To avoid super factorization and select variables that better represent morphology, we used components with eigenvalue scores >1 according to the Kaiser–Guttmann criteria (Kaiser, 1960). The extracted principal components (PCs) were rotated using a varimax rotation method for simplifying factors. In this study, the PC scores within each population were used to represent the morphological variation and used for subsequent analyses.

MEGA 7 was used to align and refine the nucleotide sequences manually by referring to the sequencing maps (Kumar et al., 2016). The $H_d$ and the $P_i$ were calculated using the DnaSP software (version 5.10) (Librado and Rozas, 2009).

We calculated the corrected standard ellipse area (SEAc) as the trophic niche width (Jackson et al., 2011, 2012; Syyranta et al., 2013). Metrics were calculated using the “SIBER” package (Jackson et al., 2011) in the R software (version 3.5.1).1

The relationships among corrected standardized ellipse area, morphological variation, and genetic diversity at the population level were analyzed using the Pearson correlation analysis (Hsu et al., 2014). A $P$ value of <0.05 was considered to indicate statistical significance. The statistical analysis was performed

---

1http://www.r-project.org/
Table 2 | Principal component axis loadings of the morphometric characters in *Hemiculter leucisculus* across the six study sites in China.

| Variables | PC1 | PC2 | PC3 |
|-----------|-----|-----|-----|
| Eigenvalue | 6.698 | 5.153 | 3.730 |
| Variance explained (%) | 33.49 | 27.65 | 18.65 |
| BD | 0.495 | −0.102 | 0.807 |
| HL | 0.255 | 0.955 | −0.020 |
| HD | 0.168 | −0.025 | −0.091 |
| SnL | −0.058 | 0.803 | 0.539 |
| ED | 0.952 | 0.105 | 0.263 |
| HLBE | 0.742 | 0.333 | −0.097 |
| CPL | −0.065 | 0.770 | 0.013 |
| CPD | 0.223 | 0.955 | −0.042 |
| DprD | 0.082 | 0.315 | −0.699 |
| DprP1 | 0.954 | 0.193 | 0.157 |
| DprP2 | 0.933 | −0.141 | 0.053 |
| DPYA | 0.442 | −0.072 | −0.353 |
| BW | 0.203 | 0.117 | 0.967 |
| EDs | −0.087 | −0.369 | 0.572 |
| CPW | −0.222 | 0.659 | 0.294 |
| LD | −0.029 | 0.939 | −0.261 |
| LP1 | −0.570 | −0.075 | −0.781 |
| LP2 | 0.333 | 0.083 | 0.046 |
| LA | −0.434 | 0.277 | −0.116 |

Numbers in bold means the value >0.5 or <-0.5.

BD, body depth; HL, head length; HD, head depth; SnL, snout length; ED, eye diameter; HLBE, head length behind eye; CPL, caudal peduncle length; CPD, caudal peduncle depth; DprD, predorsal fin distance; DprP1, prepectoral fin distance; P1, pectoral fin; DPrP2, prepelvic fin distance; P2, pelvic fin; DPYA, pre-anal fin distance; BW, body width; EDs, eye distance; CPW, caudal peduncle width; LD, dorsal fin length; LP1, pectoral fin length; LP2, pelvic fin length; LA, anal fin length.

with the SPSS software (version 20.0, SPSS Inc, Chicago, IL, United States).

## RESULTS

### Morphological Variation

Q-Q diagram and Kolmogorov–Smirnov test showed that the morphological traits of each population fitted the normal distribution. PCA produced five factors; however, only the first three principal components (PC) were used in this study because they accounted for nearly 80% of the total variance (Table 2). Fifteen morphological traits had the value of PC axis loadings more than 0.5 (Table 2). The PC scores varied among the local populations (Table 3). PC1 scores ranged from −0.918 to 1.831; PC2 scores ranged from −0.826 to 1.924; PC3 scores ranged from −1.206 to 1.805. In the following analysis, the PC1, PC2, and PC3 scores are referred to be representative of the parameters measuring morphological variation parameters (MV1, MV2, and MV3), respectively.

### Genetic Diversity

Alignment of the *cyt b* sequences yielded a 1140-bp-long region. The *Hd* ranged from 0.775 to 0.989, and the *Pi* ranged from 0.00256 to 0.00652. The minimum and maximum values of these two indices were observed in Tongling and Fangshan populations, respectively (Table 3).

### Trophic Niche Width

The corrected standard ellipse areas (SEAc) based on δ¹³C and δ¹⁵N values of the six *H. leucisculus* populations showed that trophic niche widths in these populations were different. The trophic niche width (SEAc) values ranged from 0.548 to 4.788. SEAc in the Mudong population was the highest (4.788) and that in the Tangong population was the lowest (0.548). The SEAc values in the Fangshan, Yugen, Xingzi, and Luoyang populations were 0.568, 0.744, 1.449, and 1.237, respectively (Table 3).

### Trophic Niche Width, Genetic Diversity, and Morphological Variation

Pearson correlation analysis indicated significant correlation between SEAc and the PC3 scores (*R* = 0.897, *P* < 0.05, *n* = 6) (Table 4). No correlation was noted between SEAc and PC1 and PC2 and genetic diversity (*P* > 0.05). Genetic diversity, including *Hd* and *Pi*, was significantly correlated with the PC1 scores (*P* < 0.05) (Table 4).

## DISCUSSION

### Relationship Between Trophic Niche Width and Morphological Variation

Our results from the current study involving *H. leucisculus* demonstrated that the trophic niche width is positively related to morphological variation at the inter-population level, which supports the NVH. The morphological traits concerning foraging and swimming abilities that contribute toward competition for resources play important roles in affecting the trophic niche width (Table 2). The fish compete for food and space and avoid predators mostly depending on their eyesight and swimming capacities (Fulton et al., 2001; Andrew et al., 2002; Onsrud et al., 2005). For example, individuals with bigger eyes can find food resources proficiently (Wikramanayake, 1990; Pouilly et al., 2003). The dimensions of the caudal peduncle and the anal fin were related to swimming capabilities, which includes speed and manoeuvrability (Bellwood and Wainwright, 2001; Breda et al., 2005; Oliveira et al., 2010; Sampaio et al., 2013).

The plasticity of swimming in fishes can evolve depending on environmental variations (Ouiforo and White, 2016). Adept swimming ability could make individuals gain advantages in competing for food and prompt them to have potential in stretching their diet spectrum and expanding their habitat areas (Winer, 1991; Onsrud et al., 2005; Oliveira et al., 2010). This has also been substantiated in several reports. Booth and Beretta (2004) found that the coral reef fish with high physiological conditions including swimming speed dominated the fish assemblage and consumed a large portion of a limiting prey resource. Andrew et al. (2002) showed that Atlantic salmon, gilthead sea bream, and European sea bass increased their swimming speed to find more food. However, in a study at
the water around Taishan Island in China, Yang et al. (2016) argued that in a given spatial scale, fish with stronger swimming capabilities had a narrower niche width.

Our results also suggest that habitat heterogeneity may play a role in niche width of *H. leucisculus*, with populations living in more heterogeneous habitats displaying a wider niche. Sexton et al. (2017) found that environmental heterogeneity in space, time, or both can impact the evolution of niche width based on a meta-analysis. For example, in this study, the population in Mudong site showed the maximum value of the trophic niche width. The water level changes between 145 and 175 m each year at the Mudong site, which is in the transitional zone of the Three Gorges Reservoir (TGR), leading to the flow shift between lotic and lentic regimes. The population in Xingzi site, which is the channel between Yangtze River and Poyang Lake, has an intra-annual variation of 11.01 m in the average water level and has the second largest value of trophic niche width. The species composition and density of zooplankton and phytoplankton in Mudong and Xingzi exhibit significant differences due to water level fluctuation (Song et al., 2015; Li et al., 2019; Wang et al., 2020). High diversity of resources increases the degree of individual specialization, according to the ecological opportunity theory (Nosil and Reimchen, 2005; Parent and Crespi, 2009; Araújo et al., 2011), which can increase niche width at the population level.

### Relationship Between Genetic Diversity and Trophic Niche Width and Morphological Variation

Our results indicated that trophic niche width has no correlation with genetic diversity. Moreover, the viewpoint on correlation between trophic niche width and genetic diversity is merely an extension of NVH, rather than the basic assumption, and thus is controversial. Only a few studies have been conducted in the recent years in this regard. For example, Noy et al. (1987) found that the population of *Littorina neritoides* with a broader niche had more genetic diversity than *Littorina punctate* with a narrower niche in the Mediterranean coast in Israel, whereas Mitter and Futuyma (1979) found that the genetic polymorphism was higher in specialized species of the geometrid subfamily Ennominae in the forests of Long Island, New York. Genetic diversity can reflect the inter-individual differences in morphology, physiology, ecology, and habits (Moritz and Hillis, 1990). Genetic differences among individuals caused by nucleotide sequence variation may affect the population niche width by enhancing the phenotypic plasticity (Herrera et al., 2012). However, in addition to the genetic differences caused by nucleotide sequence variation, environmentally induced epigenetic changes can promote plasticity and broaden the resource utilization and niche width of organisms (Herrera et al., 2012; Meyer, 2015; Sexton et al., 2017). The present study suggests that the trophic niche width of *H. leucisculus* may be influenced by epigenetic variation rather than nucleotide sequence variation.

In this study, morphological variation was found to be significantly related to genetic diversity. Morphological variation is often accompanied by genetic differences, although they are sometimes not synchronous (Bell et al., 1982; Derkarabetian et al., 2011). However, in some cases, greater phenotypic diversity is associated with a greater number of genotypes. Nevertheless, some observations suggest that higher levels of heterozygosity confer developmental homeostasis, resulting in lower levels of phenotypic variance (Mitton, 1978). These two seemingly contradictory results represent the two situations: inter- and

### Table 3

| Sites        | Trophic niche width (SEAc) | Morphological variation | Genetic diversity |
|--------------|---------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------|
|              | MV1          | MV2         | MV3          | Haplotype diversity (Hd) | Nucleotide diversity (Pi) |
| Fangshan (FS)| 0.568        | 1.831       | −0.536       | −0.137           | 0.775            | 0.00256           |
| Tongning (TL)| 0.548        | −0.097      | 1.924        | −0.374           | 0.989            | 0.00652           |
| Yuyang (YQ) | 0.744        | −0.651      | −0.826       | −1.206           | 0.945            | 0.00638           |
| Xingzi (XZ) | 1.449        | −0.918      | −0.458       | −0.294           | 0.970            | 0.00648           |
| Luoyang (LY) | 1.234        | 0.341       | 0.185        | −0.207           | 0.934            | 0.00625           |
| Mudong (MD) | 4.788        | −0.505      | −0.290       | 1.805            | 0.919            | 0.00520           |

### Table 4

The Pearson correlations among trophic niche width (corrected standardized ellipse areas, SEAc), morphological variation (MV1, MV2, and MV3 refers to PC1, PC2, and PC3 scores, respectively) and genetic diversity (Hd and Pi).

| Variables | Correlation coefficient (R) |
|-----------|----------------------------|
| SEAc      | vs Hd 0.065                |
|           | vs Pi −0.012               |
|           | vs MV1 −0.348              |
|           | vs MV2 −0.207              |
|           | vs MV3 0.897*              |
|           | vs Hv1 −0.863*             |
|           | vs Hv2 0.445               |
|           | vs Hv3 −0.130              |
|           | vs Vi1 −0.844*             |
|           | vs Vi2 0.334               |
|           | vs Vi3 −0.221              |

SEAc denotes the corrected standardized ellipse areas that is used to measure the trophic niche width. MV1, MV2, and MV3 are the factor scores of the first three principal components (PC1, PC2, and PC3) obtained through the principal component analysis. Hd is the haplotype diversity, Pi is the nucleotide diversity. *P < 0.05.
intra-populations, implying that within any population, the most heterozygous individuals tend to have the least phenotypic variation, but under the same conditions, populations with higher genetic diversity will have greater phenotypic variation. Moreover, the high genetic diversity indicates that the population has high gene richness and high genetic variation potential, which is conducive to the adaptation of a given species. Adaptation with respect to morphology, and reproduction and food preference/behavior in response to environmental changes contribute toward long-term survival (Honjo et al., 2004; Allendorf et al., 2008). Therefore, the morphological variation was attributed to either genetic factors or environmental heterogeneity (Mitton, 1978).

In summary, the trophic niche width positively correlated with the morphological variation in our analysis for geographical populations of H. leuciscus. This inference is in agreement with the NVH. We conclude that the dietary niche of H. leuciscus could change owing to a plastic response to environmental changes rather than to genetic variation. We suggest that the effects of environment and heredity on the niche of the freshwater fish should be quantified separately in further studies.
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