Rat lung transplantation model: modifications of the cuff technique
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Background: Although the cuff technique in rat lung transplantation (LTx) has a long history, it remains technically challenging. We have developed key tricks and modifications in the devices and the cuff technique that optimize the rat LTx model to achieve successful operations during a short learning period.

Methods: Altogether, 180 consecutive rats underwent orthotopic left LTx performed by a single surgeon using our modified devices and procedures. Allogeneic and syngeneic transplantation were performed using Lewis rats as recipients and Brown Norway and Lewis rats as donors. Allogeneic recipients were treated with cyclosporine during the first week. Recipients were sacrificed at various time points after ≥2 weeks. Recipients were sacrificed at various time points after ≥2 weeks.

Results: A special cuff-preparation plate was created using a petri dish and two foam blocks. This modified plate stabilizes the preparation and prevents donor lung compression. A “┴”-shaped incision was carved into the front wall of the pulmonary artery (PA) using micro-scissors. “V”-shaped incisions were made from the inferior-to-superior branches of the pulmonary vein (PV) and bronchus. A “pendulum model” was applied at implantation to make the hilar anastomosis tension-free and technically easier to perform. There were no intraoperative complications. Ten rats (5.6%) experienced partial or full pulmonary atelectasis. Five deaths (2.8%) due to pleural effusion occurred during the follow-up period. The operative times for heart-lung block retrieval, cuff preparation, cold ischemia, warm ischemia, and total procedure time were 8.4±0.8, 11.6±1.5, 25.1±2.2, 8.1±1.2, and 46.7±2.8 min, respectively.

Conclusions: The key tricks and improvements we made in the cuff technique for rat LTx provided the advantages of expeditiousness, a low complication rate, and a high success rate.
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Introduction

The cuff technique of the rat lung transplantation (LTx) model was first reported by Mizuta et al. in 1989 (1). Various modifications and improvements have been reported in recent years (2-5). Although it has been thought that any trained surgeon could perform this technique successfully, the difficulties and disadvantages of this model still exist and often require a long learning curve (6). In addition, complications such as twisted blood vessels, pulmonary atelectasis, bleeding, and thoracic effusion still occur, although some recipient rats survive without any symptoms (4). Because improper devices and procedures for this technique could potentially lead to frustration and failure to complete one’s training, disclosing the improvements of the devices and procedures may shorten and simplify complex steps, thereby encouraging the trainee to continue. This article
describes the key tricks and modifications of devices used in this improved cuff technique that optimize the rat LTx model, helping to achieve successful operations in a shorter time with a lower complication rate.

**Methods**

**Devices and instruments**

Small, handheld surgical instruments and larger equipment for rat LTx were used as in previous studies of Kyoto University (7,8) and as described in Figures S1-S3. We have modified the device for cuff preparation. We used a petri dish attached to two foam blocks. A groove and hole were carved on the foam blocks to match the ends of a bulldog clamp (Figure 1). To prevent lung tissue injury, we modified the ends of the cuff tail from rectangular to round (Figure S4).

**Animals**

Male Brown Norway and Lewis rats were purchased from Japan SLC, Inc. (Hamamatsu, Japan). Models of syngeneic and allogeneic transplantation were performed as described previously (7) (further details are described in supplementary material). Animals were sacrificed at ≥2 weeks postoperatively. All rats were maintained under specific pathogen-free conditions at The University of Tokyo. Animals were fed a standard diet and provided with water ad libitum.

This study was approved by the Experimental Animal Ethics Committee of The University of Tokyo (No. H19-027). All procedures were performed in accordance with the guidelines of the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee at The University of Tokyo.

**Time-interval definitions**

The donor operation time was the interval from incision of the donor rat to excision of the heart-lung block. The cuff preparation time was the interval from excision of the heart-lung block to completion of cuff placement. The cold ischemia time was defined at the time from flushing the donor lungs in situ with ET-Kyoto solution (Otsuka, Tokushima, Japan) to graft removal from hypothermic storage. The warm ischemia time was set as the interval from donor lung removal from the ice until restoration of the reperfusion. The total procedure time was the interval from the donor’s skin incision until closure of the recipient’s incision.

**Donor procedures**

Donor heart-lung block retrieval was performed as in previous studies (7,8). After the hilar structures of the left main bronchus, pulmonary artery (PA), and pulmonary vein (PV) with part of the left atrium were dissected, the hilar structures were consecutively everted around the cuff and secured by a preparatory 6-0 slipknot. Thereafter, the graft was rewrapped by organ perfusate-soaked paper and stored on ice for implantation (Videos 1,2).

**Recipient procedure**

A thoracotomy incision was made from the area of a palpable cardiac impulse on the chest wall and extended dorsally for about 3–4 cm. A blepharostat was used as a chest retractor (Speculum BANGERTER Large Right; Inami, Tokyo, Japan) to expose the thoracic cavity. The hilar structures were dissected and then clamped distally and proximally using two Satinsky clamps. The Satinsky clamps were then fixed in plastic clay to place mild tension on the bronchovascular structures. A \( \nabla \) -shaped incision was made on the front wall of the PA using micro-scissors. V-shaped incisions were made from the inferior-to-superior branches of the PV and bronchus (Figure 2).

The “pendulum model” method was used for implantation. The bronchus was implanted first. The native bronchus and cuff body were secured using a preparatory 6-0 nylon knot positioned loosely around the recipient bronchus. The
superior bifurcation of the bronchus was excised to eliminate any overlaps between the bronchus and the PA. The donor lung was then repositioned to allow a PA anastomosis without tension. The donor lung was repositioned again to allow creation of the PV anastomosis without tension. The PA and PV were implanted in analogous fashion as with the bronchus. After excising the left native lung parenchyma, the end rim of the native structures was cut for debonding before reperfusion (Figure S5) (Video 3).

**Assessment of chest-radiography and histology**

Post-transplantation rats underwent chest plain radiography on postoperative day 7 to screen for complications such as atelectasis or to have recorded complications at the time of sacrifice. For routine histological evaluation, lung grafts were fixed in 10% formalin, embedded in paraffin, sectioned into 4-µm thickness, and stained with hematoxylin-eosin.

**Statistical analysis**

Results were expressed as means ± SD. The results were analyzed by SPSS 24.0 software (IBM, Armonk, NY, USA).

**Results**

**Key tricks and modifications on techniques and devices**

The following devices and techniques were improved and modified in our series (Table 1).

- Regarding the devices, we modified the cuff preparation plate, which now contains a petri dish attached to two foam blocks. A groove and hole were carved on the foam blocks to match the ends of a bulldog clamp. This modified plate stabilized the operation, was less injurious, and made the procedure easier to master.
- When locating the incision site on the recipient, the region of apical impulse, or palpable cardiac impulse on the chest wall, was first confirmed and then extended dorsally for about 3–4 cm. This position was located more easily and was suitable for the following implantation. Before implantation, a L-shaped incision was made on the PA, and V-shaped incisions were made on the PV and bronchus. These improvements made implantation easier and lacerations on the structures less likely to occur.
- The “pendulum model” method was used for implantation. First, the bronchus was implanted. Thereafter, the donor lung was repositioned to close the PA and PV, thereby allowing a tension-free anastomosis. In addition, we modified the cuff so it had a round tail, used the slip-knots for cuff preparation, and debonded the end rim of the native structures after implantation.

**Outcomes of rat LTx**

In a consecutive series, 180 (syngeneic 33, allogeneic 147) anastomoses were completed by one performer (D Tian) without lacerations, twisting, or angulation of intraoperative bronchovascular structures and without bleeding at the vascular anastomosis. There were no intraoperation deaths, pneumothorax, or vessel thromboses. Partial or full pulmonary atelectasis was observed in 10 cases (5.6%). Five deaths (2.8%) were found at a follow-up time of 3–7 days, most likely due to pleural effusion.

**Operation time of rat LTx of all procedures**

The mean weights of the rats at the time of transplant of Lewis and Brown Norway rats were 239.7±42.8 and 291.2±23.0 g, respectively. The mean operative times of heart-lung block retrieval, cuff preparation, cold ischemia, warm ischemia and total procedure time are seen in Table 2.

**Discussion**

Although several studies have introduced technical
modifications for rodent LTx after Mizuta and colleagues first reported the cuff technique (1), some important and difficult key procedures have not been described. Rat LTx remains a difficult procedure, necessitating a long learning curve. In this study, we modified the operative devices and the cuff techniques to make it easier to master the procedure with a low complication rate and a high success rate. Using this modified technique, one author (H Shiiya) completed the first successful case at the 10th trying. Because it is a more expeditious operation, the ischemic times were shorter than those in a previous study (1) with fewer complication and no intraoperative deaths.

Only a few studies have reported on the instruments used for cuff preparation, although instruments can determine the success of this technique in the rat LTx model. Sugimoto et al. (9) used a petri dish for cuff preparation. With their technique, the donor lung was compressed and unstable when the cuff was created. A previous study reported the cuff preparation time at 18.7 min, which more than twice that of our technique (5). We introduced a modified cuff preparation plate that could stabilize the cuff preparation, making it easier to master and more expeditious. With this improved device, the cuff preparation time was only 8.1 min, with no structural lacerations in our series.

Table 1 Key tricks and modifications on techniques and devices

| Procedures and devices | Previous status                      | Modifications                                      | Advantages                                                                 |
|------------------------|--------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Cuff                   | Rectangular tail                     | Round tail (Figure S4)                             | Round tail can prevent accidental injury                                   |
| Cuff preparation plate | Petri dish only                      | Two foam blocks with a petri dish (Figure 1)       | Modified plate can keep operation stable, cause less injury, is easy to master |
| Recipient thoracotomy  | 3rd/4th Intercostal space; inferior margin of the scapula | Region of apical impulse (Video 3, 3”–4”)            | This anatomical landmark can easily confirm the correct skin site for incision |
| Hilum structure incisions | Transverse or ├-shaped incision on the front wall | (I) └-shaped incision for PA; (II) V-shaped incisions on the bifurcations of the PV and bronchus (Video 1) | (I) └-Shaped incision can prevent laceration of pulmonary artery; (II) V-shaped incisions form a wide rim of structure ostium that can be easily implanted and free of laceration |
| Implantation           | Single direction with PV or PA first  | “Pendulum model” for implantation (Video 3: 3’40”–7’03”) | “Pendulum model” method is easy for implantation |
| Reperfusion            | No record                            | Cut the end rim of native structures (Figure S5)   | Debonding can prevent compression after reflation and reperfusion          |

PA, pulmonary artery; PV, pulmonary vein.

Table 2 Overall operative time for rat lung transplantation, including all procedures

| Procedure*                     | Operative time (min) |
|--------------------------------|----------------------|
| Heart-lung block retrieval     | 8.4±0.8              |
| Cuff preparation               | 8.1±1.4              |
| Cold ischemia                  | 26.4±2.2             |
| Warm ischemia                  | 8.1±1.2              |
| Total procedure                | 48.0±2.8             |

* The procedures are defined as follows: heart-lung block retrieval: from incision on donor rat to excision of heart-lung block; cuff preparation: from excision of left donor lung to completion of cuff placement; cold ischemia: from flushing the donor lungs in situ with ET-Kyoto solution to graft removal from hypothermia storage; warm ischemia: from donor lung removal from ice until restoration of reperfusion; total procedure: from donor skin incision until closure of the recipient incision.

The skin incision of the recipient is important for transplantation. It is impossible to measure the intercostal space on a rat before surgery. Most previous reports that described the incision location, however, relied on different intercostal spaces (3-6,10,11). Habertheuer et al. (12) started the skin incision 1 cm below the inferior margin of the scapula, which is unreliable because of the scapula is easily mobilized. In our series, we located the region of palpable cardiac impulse on the chest wall. This apical impulse region is at the level of the third or fourth intercostal space, as previously described (4,6,13,14). It seems more useful, however, to follow this apical impulse when performing rat LTx. Our series showed no failures in placing a suitable incision.

Another key trick is to locate the incisions on the recipient's PV, bronchus, and PA. To shorten the warm ischemia period and minimize pulmonary injury, an expeditious technique is important. Most of the previous
reports made a transverse incision on the front wall of the recipient’s hilar structures (3,9,12,15,16). Guo et al. (5) made the incision in a shape toward all the structures. Jungraithmayr et al. (16) made the incision on the lower branch of the PV. We first introduced the V-shaped incisions from the inferior-to-superior branches on the PV and bronchus cuffs. This V-shaped incision follows a wide rim of structure ostium, which allows the donor graft to be easily implanted without laceration. To match the lengths of the Br and PV, the V-shaped incision is made on the front wall of the PA, which can well prevent proximal laceration at implantation. Guo et al. (5) reported a 5% intraoperative failure rate of their technique due to bleeding and PV injury during the operation. Our study showed no intraoperative failures with hilar structure lacerations.

The “pendulum model” method for implantation was proposed in our study. Previous investigators often chose vessels as the first step. Rajab et al. (11) addressed PV first, followed sequentially with the bronchus and PA. Sugimoto et al. (9) went in the opposite direction, with the PA, bronchus, and PV, respectively. In our study, we anastomosed the bronchus first because, compared with vessels, it is structurally solid and easy to anastomose without laceration. In addition, we cut the superior bifurcation of the bronchus before the PA anastomosis because the bronchus and PA are always located adjacent to each other. Previous studies have not been concerned about the rim of the native hilum structures before reperfusion. Because the rim of the structures may contract and compress the graft structures, we cut the rim of the native structures to release them. With this technique, no vessel thrombosis, inadequate blood supply, or airway compression occurred after reperfusion and reinflation. Regarding the implantation time, previous studies reported an implantation time (warm ischemia time) of 15.2–20.0 min (5,6,12). With our modified implantation technique, the warm ischemia time was only 8.1 min.

Previous studies also showed follow-up survival rates of <90%. The intraoperative deaths were mainly due to pleural effusion, venous cuff failure, or pyothorax (1,5,12). Habertheuer et al. (12) reported about 18.9% cases were lost because of severe pleural effusions during the postoperative period. With our approach, the total follow-up survival rate was 97.2% (175/180), with no intraoperative deaths. A high success rate not only saves cost and time, it can strengthen the operator’s confidence during surgery. All five deaths in our study were most likely due to pleural effusion. Minor bleeding from intercostal muscles is difficult to detect and may have caused the pleural effusion. As effusion accumulates, it may compress the grafted lung, causing a life-threatening complication. Thus, careful inspection is necessary before chest closure.

Pulmonary atelectasis is a common, severe complication of rat LTx. Although a rat can survive without obvious symptoms, the animal may not be used for further evaluation. In our study, only 5.6% of rats experienced graft atelectasis during the follow-up period, which appears to be an acceptable result for the rat LTx model. In our series, the post-LTx complications and follow-up deaths were rare. Reperfusion injury, a major cause of graft injury, is related to the ischemia time. A decrease in reperfusion pressure is thought to minimize this complication (17-19).

Conclusions

We developed modifications of devices and procedures of the cuff technique for the rat LTx model. The advantages of this modified cuff technique include its expeditiousness, low complication rate, and high rate of success. Our improvements could further facilitate rat LTx in a feasible, reproducible manner.
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For allogeneic transplant, 9- to 10-week-old Brown Norway weighing about 250 g are used as donors and 11- to 12-old Lewis weighing 300 g are used as recipients.

For syngeneic transplant, Lewis rats are used as both donors and recipients. Allogeneic LTx recipients were subcutaneously administered 25 mg/kg CsA (Novartis pharma, Tokyo, Japan) on 4 days in the first week.

All recipients were executed 0.5 g/kg cefazolin sodium (Nichi-Iko Pharmaceutical Co, Toyama, Japan), 30 mg/kg Methylprednisolone Sodium Succinate (Pfizer, Tokyo, Japan) and 10 mg/kg Furosemide (Teva Takeda Pharma Ltd, Aichi, Japan) at postoperative day 1 and day 2.

**Figure S1** These instruments are used for donor graft retrieval. (1) Mayo scissors curved with carbide tips L140 mm, Natsume Seisakusho Co., Ltd, Tokyo, Japan. (2) Tweezers with fine tip without hook straight type L130 mm, Natsume Seisakusho Co., Ltd, Tokyo, Japan. (3) Micro-Mosquito Angled 90, Fine Science Tools, Inc British Columbia, Canada. (4) Kelly hemostatic forceps 14 cm curved, Bioresearch Center Co., Ltd., Aichi, Japan. (5) Kelly hemostatic forceps 14 cm straight, Bioresearch Center Co., Ltd., Aichi, Japan. (6) Extra Fine Bonn Curved sharp/sharp 8.5 cm, Fine Science Tools, Inc., British Columbia, Canada. (7) Blood Vessel Clamp Satinsky 12 cm, Dr.Frigz International(Pvt)Ltd, Sialkot, Pakistan.

**Figure S2** These instruments are used for graft cuff preparation. (8) Vessel Dilator (Angled), Fine Science Tools, British Columbia, Canada. (9) Micro Needle holder curved L155 mm, Natsume Seisakusho Co., Ltd, Tokyo, Japan. (10) Dumont #5/45, Fine Science Tools, Inc., British Columbia, Canada. (11) Microspring scissors 15 cm curved, Bioresearch Center Co., Ltd., Aichi, Japan. (12) Bulldog clamp straight W 0.9 mm × L 17 mm, Natsume Seisakusho Co., Ltd, Tokyo, Japan.
Figure S3 These instruments are used for implantation/anastomosis. (1) Mayo scissors curved with carbide tips L140 mm, Natsume Seisakusho Co., Ltd, Tokyo, Japan. (2) Tweezers with fine tip without hook straight type L130 mm, Natsume Seisakusho Co., Ltd, Tokyo, Japan. (7) Blood Vessel Clamp Satinsky 12 cm, Dr.Frigz International(Pvt)Ltd, Sialkot, Pakistan. (8) Vessel Dilator (Angled), Fine Science Tools, Inc., British Columbia, Canada. (9) Micro Needle holder curved L155 mm, Natsume Seisakusho Co., Ltd, Tokyo, Japan. (11) Microspring scissors 15 cm curved, Bioresearch Center Co., Ltd., Aichi, Japan. (13) Micro scissors curved L105 mm Cutting Edge 7 mm, Natsume Seisakusho Co., Ltd, Tokyo, Japan. (14) Speculum BANGERTER Large Right, Inami & Co., Ltd., Tokyo, Japan.

Figure S4 Cuffs with round tail.
Figure S5 Cut the end rim of native structures (arrow).