Analysis of related factors of surgical treatment effect on 215 patients with laryngeal cancer
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Abstract. This study investigated the factors affecting the surgical treatment effect on patients with laryngeal cancer. The clinical data (including the sex, age, smoking index, drinking, primary tumor site, tumor (T) stage, lymph nodes (N) stage, tumor differentiation degree, tumor diameter, surgical method, lymph node metastasis and cervical lymph node dissection) of 215 patients with laryngeal cancer in The Central Hospital of Wuhan were analyzed retrospectively; the survival rate was calculated using the Kaplan-Meier method; log-rank test was used for single-factor analysis, while Cox proportional hazard regression model was used for multiple-factor analysis. The 215 patients were followed up after surgical treatment. The 1-, 3- and 5-year survival rates were 96.2, 78.0 and 72.5%, respectively. The results of single-factor analysis showed that the age, primary tumor site, tumor differentiation degree, T stage, N stage, smoking index, tumor diameter and lymph node metastasis had significant influence on the postoperative curative effect on patients (P<0.05), but the patient’s age, drinking and surgical method had no correlation with the postoperative curative effect (P>0.05). Multiple-factor analysis revealed that the primary tumor site, T stage, N stage and lymph node metastasis were independent risk factors affecting the surgical effect on patients with laryngeal cancer. The survival rate of laryngeal cancer is decreased with the increase of T stage and N stage. The survival rate of patients with supraglottic laryngeal cancer is higher than that of patients with glottic laryngeal cancer and subglottic laryngeal cancer, and the survival rate of patients with lymph node metastasis-positive laryngeal cancer is lower than that of patients with lymph node metastasis-negative laryngeal cancer. The main factors affecting the survival rate of laryngeal cancer are primary tumor site, T stage, N stage and lymph node metastasis.

Introduction

Laryngeal cancer is a kind of malignant tumor derived from the laryngeal mucosal epithelial tissues (1), and its incidence rate accounts for 5% in the incidence rate of systemic tumor and 14.2% in the incidence rate of head-neck tumors (2). In the ear-nose-throat filed, laryngeal cancer ranks third following nasopharynx cancer and nasal cancer, and its incidence rate shows an increasing trend, seriously threatening the health and life of patients (3,4). Laryngeal cancer often occurs in people aged 50-70 years, more frequently in males than in females; the proportion of female patients with laryngeal cancer in the Northeast China is higher than that in official reports in China and worldwide. With the rapid development of head-neck tumor surgery, the clinical treatment techniques have been constantly developed and improved, but the prognosis is still not satisfactory (5). In order to investigate the related factors affecting the postoperative curative effect on patients with laryngeal cancer, and to develop effective therapeutic regimen and improve the survival rate of patients with laryngeal cancer, the clinical data of 215 patients with laryngeal cancer in the Central Hospital of Wuhan were retrospectively analyzed.

Patients and methods

General materials. A total of 215 patients with laryngeal cancer admitted in The Central Hospital of Wuhan from May 2010 to May 2017 were selected into the study strictly according to the inclusion and exclusion criteria. Inclusion criteria: patients without radiotherapy and chemotherapy before surgery; patients confirmed as squamous cell carcinoma via cell biopsy; patients with complete data; patients with first-onset cancer and treated in our hospital for the first time. Exclusion criteria: patients with postoperative recurrence of laryngeal cancer; patients who could not receive surgical treatment due to distant metastasis; patient who refused surgical treatment. In the 215 patients with laryngeal cancer, there were
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203 males and 12 females aged 41-80 years with an average of 57.2±3.5 years. The vast majority of patients (n=175) had a smoking history, accounting for 81.4%, and approximately 62.3% patients consumed alcohol frequently. Cancer type, there were 95 cases of supraglottic laryngeal cancer, 72 cases of glottic laryngeal cancer and 48 cases of subglottic laryngeal cancer. In terms of tumor differentiation degree, there were 78 cases of high differentiation, 86 cases of moderate differentiation and 51 cases of poor differentiation. According to the latest Union for International Cancer Control (UICC) tumor lymph nodes metastasis (TNM) staging criteria, there were 48 cases of T1 stage, 56 cases of T2 stage, 68 cases of T3 stage and 43 cases of T4 stage. The lymph node (N) stages were 101 cases of N0 stage, 63 cases of N1 stage and 51 cases of N2 stage. The study was approved by the Ethics Committee of The Central Hospital of Wuhan and informed consent was signed by the patients or the guardians.

Treatment methods. With surgical treatment as the basic treatment measure, all patients received clinical examination before operation, and underwent total or partial laryngectomy through laryngoscopy and computed tomography/magnetic resonance imaging (CT/MRI) combined with the specific intraoperative situations. Of 215 patients, 148 underwent total laryngectomy, while 67 patients underwent partial laryngectomy. One hundred and forty-four patients received cervical lymph node dissection, while 71 patients did not.

Follow-up. All patients were followed up via telephone, outpatient return visit, letters, medical data and health insurance card, with the date of operation as the starting point. The survival time began from the date of operation to the date of death, date of loss to follow-up or the last follow-up date.

Statistical analysis. SPSS 23.0 software (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA) was used for the data processing and analysis. The survival rate was calculated using Kaplan-Meier method, and the survival curve was drawn. Log-rank test was used for single-factor analysis, while Cox proportional hazard regression model was used for multiple-factor analysis. P<0.05 was considered to indicate a statistically significant difference.

Results

Follow-up results and survival rates. All of the 215 patients were followed up, and the 5-year follow-up rate was 100%. There were 16 cases of survival without tumor, 10 cases of survival with tumor and 41 cases of death, among which 21 cases died of laryngeal cancer recurrence, 2 cases died of lung metastasis, 1 case died of cervical metastasis, 4 cases died of heart disease and 13 cases died due to unknown causes. The 1-, 3- and 5-year survival rates were 96.2, 78.0 and 72.5%, respectively, and the survival curve is shown in Fig. 1.

Single-factor analysis of postoperative curative effect on patients with laryngeal cancer. The results of single-factor analysis showed that the age, primary tumor site, tumor differentiation degree, T stage, N stage, smoking index, tumor diameter and lymph node metastasis had significant influences on the postoperative curative effect on patients (P<0.05), but the patient's age, drinking habit and surgical method had no significant correlation with the postoperative curative effect (P>0.05) (Table I).

Multiple-factor analysis of surgical effect on patients with laryngeal cancer. Cox proportional hazard regression model was used for multiple-factor analysis with the age, primary tumor site, tumor differentiation degree, T stage, N stage, smoking index, tumor diameter and lymph node metastasis included, and the results showed that the primary tumor site, T stage, N stage and lymph node metastasis were the independent risk factors affecting the surgical effect on patients with laryngeal cancer (P<0.05) (Table II). In order to show the difference in survival rate among the four independent prognostic factors, the survival curves were drawn (Figs. 2-5).
Discussion

Laryngeal cancer is one of the common malignant tumors in the head and neck, and its incidence rate still shows an upward trend. Although progress has been made constantly in the clinical comprehensive treatment, surgery, biotherapy and genetic research, and the diagnosis and treatment of patients with laryngeal cancer are improved, its survival rate shows a

Table I. Single-factor analysis of postoperative curative effect on 215 patients with laryngeal cancer.

| Characteristics                      | n      | 3-year survival rate | 5-year survival rate | Log-rank value | P-value |
|--------------------------------------|--------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------|---------|
| Sex                                  |        |                      |                      |                |         |
| Male                                 | 203    | (94.4%)              | 81.4%                | 73.1%          |         |
| Female                               | 12     | (5.6%)               | 79.6%                | 71.2%          |         |
| Age                                  |        |                      |                      |                |         |
| >57                                  | 139    | (64.7%)              | 65.5%                | 35.2%          |         |
| ≤57                                  | 76     | (35.3%)              | 82.5%                | 73.0%          |         |
| Smoking index (pcs/year)             |        |                      |                      |                |         |
| <400                                 | 32     | (14.9%)              | 85.5%                | 79.4%          |         |
| ≥400, <800                           | 101    | (47.0%)              | 72.0%                | 53.5%          |         |
| ≥800                                 | 82     | (38.1%)              | 64.6%                | 43.6%          |         |
| Primary tumor site                   |        |                      |                      |                |         |
| Supraglottic type                    | 95     | (44.2%)              | 89.5%                | 72.6%          | >0.05   |
| Glottic type                         | 72     | (33.5%)              | 88.9%                | 58.3%          |         |
| Subglottic type                      | 48     | (22.3%)              | 70.8%                | 22.9%          |         |
| Tumor differentiation degree         |        |                      |                      |                |         |
| High differentiation                 | 78     | (36.3%)              | 88.5%                | 81.2%          |         |
| Moderate differentiation             | 86     | (40.0%)              | 75.6%                | 67.5%          |         |
| Poor differentiation                 | 51     | (23.7%)              | 45.1%                | 43.7%          |         |
| T stage                              |        |                      |                      |                |         |
| T1                                   | 48     | (22.3%)              | 91.7%                | 88.4%          |         |
| T2                                   | 56     | (26.0%)              | 82.1%                | 79.6%          |         |
| T3                                   | 68     | (31.6%)              | 61.8%                | 42.1%          |         |
| T4                                   | 43     | (20.0%)              | 60.5%                | 40.7%          |         |
| N stage                              |        |                      |                      |                |         |
| N0                                   | 101    | (47.0%)              | 87.1%                | 76.5%          |         |
| N1                                   | 63     | (29.3%)              | 58.7%                | 49.4%          |         |
| N2                                   | 51     | (23.7%)              | 45.1%                | 31.0%          |         |
| Drinking                             |        |                      |                      |                |         |
| Never or occasionally                | 81     | (37.7%)              | 82.7%                | 75.9%          |         |
| Often                                | 134    | (62.3%)              | 73.1%                | 65.7%          |         |
| Tumor diameter (cm)                  |        |                      |                      |                |         |
| ≤2                                   | 106    | (49.3%)              | 82.1%                | 76.1%          | >0.05   |
| >2, ≤4                               | 68     | (31.6%)              | 77.9%                | 49.8%          |         |
| >4                                   | 41     | (19.1%)              | 70.7%                | 35.7%          |         |
| Surgical method                      |        |                      |                      |                |         |
| Total laryngectomy                   | 148    | (68.8%)              | 84.5%                | 60.9%          |         |
| Partial laryngectomy                 | 67     | (31.2%)              | 76.1%                | 68.4%          |         |
| Lymph node metastasis                |        |                      |                      |                |         |
| Positive                             | 46     | (21.4%)              | 68.8%                | 24.5%          | >0.05   |
| Negative                             | 169    | (78.6%)              | 95.3%                | 79.6%          |         |
| Cervical lymph node dissection       |        |                      |                      |                |         |
| No                                   | 71     | (33.0%)              | 75.9%                | 58.5%          |         |
| Functional                           | 63     | (29.3%)              | 85.7%                | 64.9%          |         |
| Radical                              | 81     | (37.7%)              | 93.8%                | 76.8%          |         |
downward trend (6); therefore, the understanding of factors affecting the prognosis of patients, and appropriate prognosis estimation and clinical treatment are of great significance in improving the survival rate of patients with laryngeal cancer. Compared with other studies, the subjects were selected in this study in strict accordance with the inclusion and exclusion criteria for seven years, thus ensuring the credibility of results.

The results of single-factor analysis showed that the age was an important factor affecting the patient's postoperative efficacy. This study revealed that the survival rate was 35.2% in patients with laryngeal cancer aged over 57 years and 73.0% in patients aged 57 years and below. The poorer prognosis in elderly patients than that in middle-aged patients may be caused by the following factors: the human natural resistance and the function of various organs are weakened with age; the failure of various organs lead to other types of disease and increase the mortality rate; after surgery and a series of treatment, the body function of the elderly patients is damaged, and the absorption and recovery rates are slow.

Swisher-McClure et al (7) reported that the body function declines with the age in patients with laryngeal cancer, resulting in poorer prognosis than those in the middle-aged patients than those in the middle-aged patients, and it is a potential risk factor of poor prognosis. The sex has no significant correlation with the postoperative efficacy on patients, the middle-aged men are dominant in patients with laryngeal cancer, and the incidence rate of laryngeal cancer in men is much higher than that in women, which is consistent with our research (203 male patients and 12 female patients, 17:1).

The study of Guo et al (8) showed that the sex is one of the important factors affecting the prognosis of laryngeal cancer, but there was no significant correlation between sex and prognosis of laryngeal cancer in this study, and the specific influencing mechanism needs to be further explored. The smoking index is also associated with the postoperative

Table II. Multiple-factor analysis of surgical effect on 215 patients with laryngeal cancer.

| Influencing factor            | β value | SE    | Wald   | P-value | Hazard ratio (HR) | 95% confidence interval (CI) |
|-------------------------------|---------|-------|--------|---------|------------------|-----------------------------|
| Primary tumor site            | 1.315   | 0.368 | 10.526 | 0.001   | 3.618            | 1.592-7.925                 |
| T stage                       | 1.259   | 0.540 | 5.426  | 0.019   | 3.522            | 1.221-10.159                |
| N stage                       | 0.699   | 0.321 | 3.200  | 0.040   | 2.012            | 1.031-3.926                 |
| Lymph node metastasis         | 1.152   | 0.358 | 4.125  | 0.028   | 2.916            | 1.151-4.581                 |

The survival rates of patients in T1 and T2 stages are significantly higher than those in T3 and T4 stages. The survival rate of lymph node metastasis-negative patients is significantly higher than that of lymph node metastasis-positive patients. The 1-, 3- and 5-year survival rates of lymph node metastasis-positive patients are 73.9, 68.8 and 24.5%, respectively.
efficacy on patients. The smoke in tobacco and tobacco burning contains many carcinogenic substances, and smoking has always been recognized as the most important risk factor of lung cancer (9). In the single-factor analysis, smoking also had a statistically significant effect on the postoperative efficacy on patients with laryngeal cancer.

The study of Kim et al (10) showed that the survival rate of smokers with laryngeal cancer is only one third of that of non-smokers, so smoking is an important factor affecting the prognosis of patients. In this study, both single-factor analysis and multiple-factor analysis revealed that the primary tumor site, T stage, N stage and lymph node metastasis were independent risk factors for the prognosis of patients with laryngeal cancer. Our data showed that the prognosis of patients with supraglottic cancer was superior to that of patients with glottic cancer and subglottic cancer; however, de Miguel-Luken et al (11) stated that the prognosis of patients with glottic cancer is better than that of patients with supraglottic cancer, because there are rich lymphatic vessels above the vocal cords, and lymphatic metastasis occurs easily in the tumor in this site with high occult metastasis (12). It is thought that the early symptoms of supraglottic cancer can affect the throat and pharynx, and patients will seek medical treatment once uncomfortable, which has no significant correlation with its prognosis (P>0.05); the different conclusions need further study.

It is believed that the higher the T stage and N stage are, the lower the survival rate of patients will be. The higher T stage indicates larger tumor size and involved range, increased lymph node metastasis rate, more damaged sites, difficult combined cure and decreased survival rate. However, Han and Ge (13) reported that the higher T stage decreases the survival rate of patients with laryngeal cancer, but it is not an independent influencing factor of prognosis. The N stage represents the lymph node metastasis staging of tumor, and the survival rate of patients with lymph node metastasis-positive laryngeal cancer is significantly lower than that of patients with lymph node metastasis-negative laryngeal cancer; moreover, the higher the N stage is, the lower the survival rate will be. The higher the tumor differentiation degree is, the malignant degree will be, reducing the survival rate of patients. Besides, the tumor diameter can reflect the tumor development time and deterioration degree indirectly, which has a significant impact on the survival rate of patients.

The study of Liu et al (14) came to the same conclusion as our study. In this study, the treatment method was not an influencing factor of prognosis, which was different from the conclusion made by Zhang et al (15), maybe because the different types of patients affect the experimental results. Zhang et al (15) thought that the correct treatment method is important for the recurrence of laryngeal cancer, the survival rate of patients receiving comprehensive treatment is higher than that of patients receiving simple surgical treatment, and the tumor can be radically cured via the combined application of radiotherapy and chemotherapy after surgical resection, greatly increasing the survival rate of patients.

In the latest study, the research on the pathogenesis and prognosis of laryngeal cancer has been developed to the levels of gene and blood type. Choe et al (16) reported that the STAT3 gene expression in laryngeal cancer cells is related to the prognosis of patients. Jin et al (17) considered that the ABO blood type can predict the survival rate of patients with laryngeal cancer, and the survival rate of patients with O blood type is lower than that with other types. Moreover, Dang et al (18) argued that mitochondrial DNA (mtDNA) can predict the prognosis of patients with early laryngeal cancer. These cutting-edge research directions may guide the researchers to obtain different diagnosis and quality treatment methods of laryngeal cancer.

In conclusion, we believe that the age, primary tumor site, tumor differentiation degree, T stage, N stage, smoking index, tumor diameter and lymph node metastasis are the factors affecting the surgical treatment effect on patients with laryngeal cancer. The independent factors affecting the prognosis of patients with laryngeal cancer are the primary tumor site, T stage, N stage and lymph node metastasis. Patients with laryngeal cancer should be diagnosed and treated in time, the laryngeal function should be retained as far as possible in the case of complete resection of tumor, and the surgical treatment should be combined with other treatment means, so as to improve the survival rate of patients with laryngeal cancer.
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