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Abstract

Among the variety of factors which influence the durability of concrete structures, chloride ions ingress is one of the governing factors enhancing the corrosion and reducing the durability at a faster rate. With the continuous infiltration of Cl⁻ ions the passivating layer that protects the concrete is disturbed rendering it more vulnerable to corrosive effects. In this research the effect of using treated waste water on chloride ion permeability of M25 grade concrete is studied. For optimizing the use of treated waste water cube specimens were cast using variable proportions of treated waste water and potable water such as: 50%, 75%, 100% and comparison was done with potable water results. Out of the various proportions of treated waste water and potable water used, for M25 grade concrete 75%TWW exhibited superior RCPT result.

Introduction:

Being aware of the fact that none of the advancements in the technological field can guarantee human survival until and unless we save the environment we live in, whole of the world is united to save the environment and its resources. Every year a dozen of summits, conferences and meets are organized at national as well as international levels to bring awareness about saving our environment but a very little effect is seen.

Water is the basic unit of life, which in the time to come needs to be conserved. Construction industry is biggest consumer sector of potable water with tremendous rate of consumption. We can change the basic ingredients, especially water so that our motive of saving potable water is fulfilled without disturbing the construction activities.

Waste water can be the new alternative for potable water, which many of us would not agree to use but a significant amount of research has been carried out and presented in this paper which is enough to consider this hard believing fact. Without compromising the durability aspect of concrete structure we can use the waste water instead of potable water. The only prerequisite is that the water to be used should satisfy a certain set of chemical properties as defined by BIS: 3025. Hence, any water lying within the given agreed limits can be used undoubtedly in production of concrete.
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Materials and Methodology:
Cement: - Ultra-tech OPC 43 grade conforming to BIS: 8112 was used for this research work.

Water: - The sewage treatment plant located at 3BRD Chandigarh was selected as suitable source of treated waste water used in this research work. Laboratory tests for various chemical properties were conducted as per BIS: 3025 in the institute’s laboratory. The results are as shown in the table 1.

| S. No. | Parameters      | Potable Water (mg/l or ml) | Treated Waste Water (mg/l or ml) | Permissible Limits (mg/l or ml) |
|--------|-----------------|----------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| 1      | pH              | 7.49                       | 7.24                             | >6                               |
| 2      | DO              | 7.38                       | 6.83                             | -                                |
| 3      | COD             | 10                         | 196                              | 3000                             |
| 4      | BOD             | 6                          | 140                              | 200                              |
| 5      | TDS             | 225                        | 532                              | 2000                             |
| 6      | Sulphate Content| 24.72                      | 36.3                             | 400                              |
| 7      | Chloride Content| 18.5                       | 140                              | 2000                             |
| 8      | Acidity         | -                          | 3.5                              | 5                                |
| 9      | Alkalinity      | 11.5                       | -                                | 25                               |

Coarse aggregate: - Aggregate having Specific gravity 2.74 conforming to BIS: 383-1970 was used in this project. Maximum size aggregate limited to 20 mm was mixed with 12mm sized aggregate in proportion of 1.5:1 in order to make a well graded mix.

Fine aggregate: - Locally available clean river sand having specific gravity value 2.65 conforming to zone III was used.

Mix Design: - Mix design for M25 grade was carried out as per BIS: 10262-2009.
Casting: - For carrying out rapid chloride ion permeability test (RCPT) a set of three cylinders (100 mm × 200 mm) for each water proportion were cast. The various waste water proportions used were as such:
  a) 100% treated waste water.
  b) 75% treated waste water with 25% potable water.
  c) 50% treated waste water with 50% potable water.
  d) 100% potable.

Curing: - Curing for variable days was done in the water tank filled with potable water maintained at 28±3°C.

Rapid chloride ion permeability test: - This test method covers the determination of the electrical conductance of concrete to present a rapid indication of its resistance to the penetration of chloride ions. The test procedure followed was as per ASTM 1202. The cylindrical specimens 50mm thick and 100mm diameter were prepared, coated with epoxy on the outer surface (to ensure uni-directional flow of electrons) and then placed in vacuum desiccator for 3 hours after which they were allowed to soak in the distilled water for another 16 hours. The specimens were then wiped surface dry and fitted within the cell assembly for another 6 hours. The arrangement of the whole RCPT apparatus and prepared specimens is as shown in the below given figure1, 2 and 3.
Figure 1: Test specimens.

Figure 2: Vacuum desiccator

Figure 3: RCPT apparatus
After the completion of test the value of total charge passed was shown on the instrument’s main screen. This value was then be compared with the values given in the standard table 2

| Chloride ion penetration | 56-day Rapid chloride permeability charge passed (Coulombs) |
|--------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------|
| High                     | >4000                                                   |
| Moderate                 | 2000-4000                                               |
| Low                      | 1000-2000                                               |
| Very low                 | 100-1000                                                |
| Negligible               | <100                                                    |

Results:-
M25 Grade concrete:-
The value of total charge passed as evaluated with the help of RCPT apparatus at 28 days curing for various proportions of treated waste water and potable water is depicted in the figure 4.

![Figure 4: Total charge passed for M25 grade concrete](image)

We can observe that for M25 grade concrete, 75% TWW results are satisfactory. The table 3 presents the combined RCPT results for various treated waste water proportions.
Table 3: Results for rapid chloride ion permeability test

| S.No | Proportioning of TWW | 28-day Charge passed (Coulombs) | Inference |
|------|-----------------------|---------------------------------|-----------|
| 1.   | 50% TWW               | 3243.8                          | Moderate  |
| 2.   | 75% TWW               | 3201.2                          | Moderate  |
| 3.   | 100% TWW              | 3215.5                          | Moderate  |
| 4.   | 100% PW               | 3280.7                          | Moderate  |

Summary of the test Results:-
We can monitor that for M25 grade concrete, RCPT result corresponding to 75% TWW is satisfactory.

Conclusions:-
1. M25 grade concrete cast with 75% TWW and 25% PW exhibit the lowest RCPT value.
2. By examining the overall results we can figure out that the value of total charge passed corresponding to 100% TWW is also quite satisfying.
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