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Abstract: The concentration series of nonstoichiometric crystals Ca$_{1-x}$Y$_x$F$_{2+x}$ ($x = 0.01–0.14$) was obtained from a melt by directional crystallization to refine the composition of the temperature maximum on the melting curves. A precision ($\pm 9 \times 10^{-5}$ Å) determination of lattice parameters of the Ca$_{1-x}$Y$_x$F$_{2+x}$ crystals with the structure of fluorite (sp. gr. $Fm-3m$) was performed, and a linear equation of their concentration dependence was calculated: $a(x) = 5.46385(5) + 0.1999(4)x$. The distribution of yttrium along the crystals Ca$_{1-x}$Y$_x$F$_{2+x}$, the content of which is determined by the precision lattice parameters, is studied. The congruently melting composition $x = 0.105(5)$ of the Ca$_{1-x}$Y$_x$F$_{2+x}$ phase is refined by the method of directional crystallization.
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1. Introduction

Modern photonics devices require crystal materials operating in the UV and vacuum UV spectral ranges. The performance characteristics of single-component fluoride crystals (CaF$_2$, BaF$_2$) do not meet the requirements of new applications, especially for extreme conditions (low operating temperatures and their fluctuations, vacuum, high mechanical loads). The need for new materials that are transparent in the short-wavelength range of a spectrum can be met by obtaining crystals of complex composition with improved properties. Such materials include crystals of strongly nonstoichiometric phases with the structure of fluoride - Ca$_{1-x}$Y$_x$F$_{2+x}$ ($x \approx 0.38$) solid solutions.

Ca$_{1-x}$Y$_x$F$_{2+x}$ crystals were discovered 110 years ago by T. Vogt as the mineral yttrium fluoride (Figure 1a) [1]. This work is dedicated to the anniversary of this discovery, which was followed by the epoch-making development of nonstoichiometry in inorganic fluorides of alkaline earth and rare earth elements (then called «mixed crystals») and fluoride materials science.

The scientific basis for the search for new multicomponent fluoride materials was the study of phase diagrams of more than 200 systems of the MF$_m$–RF$_n$ ($M$, $R$ – 27 metals; $m$, $n \leq 4$) in order to search for new phases. The results of the study of phase diagrams are summarized in [2]. The most promising applications have the crystals of 48 fluoride nonstoichiometric phases $M_{1-x}R_xF_{2+x}$ ($M$ = Ca, Sr, Ba; $R$ = Y, La-Lu).

Despite the prospects of $M_{1-x}R_xF_{2+x}$ crystals as an alternative, if necessary, to single-component MF$_2$ fluorides, the latter have been and remain the only optical structural materials for the VUV, UV, and mid-IR spectral ranges. In addition to technological tradition, this is largely determined by the lack of necessary characteristics of new materials that prove their preference for specific tasks over traditional MF$_2$. The prospects of some crystals of multicomponent nonstoichiometric fluorides as optical materials for the VUV spectral range were considered in [3].
Of all the MF$_2$–RF$_3$ systems in which congruently melting fluorite phases M$_{1-x}$R$_x$F$_{2+}$ are formed, it was the Ca$_{1-x}$Y$_x$F$_{2+}$ crystals in the CaF$_2$–YF$_3$ system that were the first to be obtained by spontaneous crystallization of a melt [4]. They should still be recognized as the most promising optical fluoride multicomponent material with improved properties compared to commercially produced CaF$_2$ optical crystals (Figure 1b). The isomorphic introduction of yttrium into CaF$_2$ is accompanied by a several-fold increase in hardness compared to CaF$_2$, which makes it possible to engrave a CaF$_2$ crystal with Ca$_{1-x}$Y$_x$F$_{2+}$ (Figure 1c).

The Ca$_{1-x}$Y$_x$F$_{2+}$ crystals as active media for lasers were first proposed [5] in 1965, and today, interest in them still remains [6]. The spectroscopic properties of Nd$^{3+}$ [7,8], Tm$^{3+}$ [9] and Yb$^{3+}$ [10] doped Ca$_{1-x}$Y$_x$F$_{2+}$ solid solution crystals were studied.

In a process of finishing of optical products (lenses, prisms, etc.) of complex shapes from fluorite crystals, chips occur along the characteristic planes (111) of perfect cleavage (Figure 2a). This reduces the yield of products and, accordingly, leads to an increase in the cost of the final product. Ca$_{1-x}$Y$_x$F$_{2+}$ crystals lose their perfect fluorite cleavage and acquire a conchoidal fracture (Figure 2b,c). The deterioration of cleavage significantly simplifies the process of manufacturing of structural optical elements.
Figure 2. Chip along the cleavage plane in the CaF₂ crystal (a); a conchoidal fracture in Ca₀.₉Y₀.₁F₂.₁ (b). A cleavage crack in CaF₂ and a conchoidal fracture in Ca₀.₉Y₀.₁F₂.₁ (on the left) (c).

The production of optical materials of multicomponent composition is generally limited by the incongruent crystallization of melts of complex composition. The structural defectiveness of nonstoichiometric fluorite crystals M₁₋ₓRₓF₂₊ₓ often manifests itself in an anomaly—the temperature maximum on the melting curves [11]. The compositions corresponding to the maxima melt congruently, ensuring a uniform distribution of components over the bulk of a crystal boule. This is the crucial property of an optical material—optical perfection. However, most of the temperature maxima have a smooth shape, which makes it difficult to find the exact composition with congruent behavior of a melt via the usual method of differential thermal analysis (DTA).

The nonstoichiometric Ca₁₋ₓYₓF₂₊ₓ fluorite phase has a smooth maximum. Attempts to determine it using the DTA method [4,12] showed insufficient accuracy of the method in relation to the flat shape of the melting curves for Ca₁₋ₓYₓF₂₊ₓ crystals.

The phase diagram of the CaF₂-YF₃ system (Figure 3) was investigated and published in [13]. The temperature dependence of the solubility of YF₃ in CaF₂ from 1123 K to the eutectic temperature of 1393 K is practically absent. The ultimate solubility of YF₃ in CaF₂ is defined as x = 0.38. The coordinates of the maximum on the melting curves of Ca₁₋ₓYₓF₂₊ₓ, found by the DTA are x = 0.11 ± 0.02 and 1695 ± 5 K [14].
The accuracy of the DTA (±10 K) is not sufficient to solve the question of congruency of the Ca$_{1-x}$Y$_x$F$_{2+3}$ phase melting, since the pure component of CaF$_2$ melts at 1691 (±5 K). In [13], autoradiographic method for estimating the maximum coordinate was used, which is more accurate than DTA. It is shown that when growing a crystal from a charge of composition $x = 0.10$, the final section of a crystal is yttrium depleted. Thus, for this composition, the distribution coefficient of YF$_3$ $k > 1$ and the temperature maximum on the melting curves are at a concentration of $x > 0.10$.

For the first time, the composition of the Ca$_{1-x}$Y$_x$F$_{2+3}$ solid solution with a congruent melting was determined as $x = 0.12$ in 1914 by the discoverer of yttrofluorite T. Vogt. He used a very inaccurate method of visual polythermal analysis [4].

There are studies where both a significantly higher concentration of YF$_3$-$x$ = 0.33 ($T_m = 1716$ K, grown in a platinum crucible) [15] and a twice lower concentration of $x = 0.05$ ($T_m = 1711$ K, grown in HF current) were obtained for the composition of the maximum [16]. In both cases, the maximum was determined by the melting temperature ($T_m$), which was measured with an accuracy of ±10 K. In [15], the melting temperature was measured only for three compositions with a step of $\Delta x = 0.10$, and in [16], only for two compositions—$x = 0.053$ and 0.11. These data are not sufficient to determine the maximum position with a smooth bend of melting curves.

The error of the DTA method is superimposed on the inaccuracy of determining the composition. For the analysis of a composition, it is common to use a concentration dependence of lattice parameters. In the previous studies of the composition of the maximum, inaccuracies in finding the lattice parameter were significant.

The directional crystallization of the two-component CaF$_2$-YF$_3$ melt in the area of homogeneity of the Ca$_{1-x}$Y$_x$F$_{2+3}$ phase with deviations from the congruent composition leads to a non-uniform distribution of yttrium along the crystal length. Therefore, using the effect of component differentiation in combination with the precision determination of the dependence of lattice parameters on a composition, it is possible to refine the congruently melting composition of Ca$_{1-x}$Y$_x$F$_{2+3}$ crystals.

The aim of the work is to obtain single crystals of Ca$_{1-x}$Y$_x$F$_{2+3}$ ($x = 0–0.14$) by directional crystallization of a melt and to determine the concentration dependence of the precision lattice parameters to clarify the composition of the phase with a congruent melting.
2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Crystal Growth

Crystals of fluorides of alkaline earth and rare earth elements are produced by various methods of directional crystallization: Bridgman–Stockbarger, Czochralski, Kiropoulos, zone melting. The Bridgman–Stockbarger method remains the most widespread in laboratory practice.

We used the Bridgman–Stockbarger method, which was structurally implemented in the form of a two-zone resistive apparatus with graphite heaters, screens and crucibles. As initial reagents, we used a mixture of CaF$_2$ optical crystals (IR-grade, manufactured by Vavilov State Institute of Optics, Saint Petersburg, Russia) and YF$_3$ powder (99.99%, Lanhit Ltd.). For purification from the acid impurity, the initial reagents were pre-melted in a fluorinating atmosphere, and the superheated melt was kept for 3 to 5 hours. The crystallization volume of the chamber was filled with high-purity helium. Polytetrafluoroethylene pyrolysis products were used as a fluorinating agent. The growth rate was set by the lowering rate of the crucible, which was 2.5–3 mm/h. The typical temperature gradient at the crystallization front was 70 K/cm.

To refine the composition of the temperature maximum on the melting curves, Ca$_{1-x}$Y$_x$F$_{2+x}$ $(0 < x \leq 0.14)$ crystals with a diameter of 12–15 mm and a length of 50–55 mm were grown (Figure 4).
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Figure 4. Appearance of the grown Ca$_{1-x}$Y$_x$F$_{2+x}$ crystals: top (a) and side (b) view; fabricated Ca$_{0.9}$Y$_{0.1}$F$_{2.1}$ optical element (c).

To determine the concentration dependence of the lattice parameter, a series of 16 crystals of Ca$_{1-x}$Y$_x$F$_{2+x}$ $(0 < x \leq 0.36)$ was obtained. The multicellular graphite crucible was loaded with 0.5 g charge (weighing accuracy ±0.001 g). The melt was homogenized for 2 hours at a temperature of 1773 K and then abruptly cooled to room temperature. Evaporation losses did not exceed 0.2 wt. %.
2.2. X-ray Diffraction Analysis

To ensure the greatest possible accuracy of determining the coordinate of the maximum on the melting curves, it is necessary to have a concentration dependence of a lattice parameter measured with high accuracy. In previous studies, this dependence was calculated on the basis of the lattice parameters measured for Ca$_{1-x}$Y$_x$F$_{2z}$ with an accuracy of ±3 × 10$^{-4}$ [17,18] and ±3 × 10$^{-3}$ [14].

With a high relative accuracy of determining the parameters [17,18], such factors as uncontrolled impurity composition and thermal conditions of sample processing become significant. In [17,18], the impurity composition was not determined. The samples were fluorinated in powdered form and were not melted. The difference in the measurements [14,17,18] could be related to the impurity composition, the conditions of production and fluorination. The samples were thermally processed below the melting point and were powders at all stages of preparation and study.

In order to maintain the same impurity composition, fluorination conditions, and to obtain crystals and samples for X-ray phase analysis, we conducted the entire cycle of their production on the same charges and fluorinated all samples in a melt. This ensures the internal consistency of the calibration line parameters and the measurement of parameters on single crystals.

X-ray powder diffraction analysis of the crystallization products was performed on X’Pert PRO MPD X-ray powder diffractometer (PANalytical, Eindhoven, The Netherlands) in the Bragg–Brentano geometry with a LaB$_6$ reference sample (NIST no. 660). The temperature inside the chamber was maintained at 293 K during measurements. The lattice parameters of the Ca$_{1-x}$Y$_x$F$_{2z}$ samples were calculated with the DICVOL14 program [19]. The precision of determination of the lattice constant was ±9 × 10$^{-5}$ Å.

3. Results

3.1. The Concentration Dependence of the Lattice Parameter

The concentration dependence of the lattice parameter of the Ca$_{1-x}$Y$_x$F$_{2z}$ solid solutions is present in Table 1 and plotted in Figure 5. It is calculated on the basis of the lattice parameter of undoped CaF$_2$ (5.46385(5) Å) used as a charge for crystal growth. In [17,18], a commercial (Merk, Darmstadt) CaF$_2$ reagent with a parameter of 5.4630(2) Å was used as a charge. In [14], the parameter 5.46295(10) Å from [20] was used to calculate the dependence.
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**Figure 5.** Concentration dependence of the lattice parameter of Ca$_{1-x}$Y$_x$F$_{2z}$. 
Table 1. Concentration dependence of the lattice parameter of Ca$_{1-x}$Y$_x$F$_{2+x}$.

| $x$, By Charge | $a$, Å | Losses, Mas. % |
|---------------|--------|----------------|
| 0             | 5.46385(5) | 0              |
| 0.01          | 5.46581(1) | 0.2            |
| 0.03          | 5.47059(3) | 0              |
| 0.06          | 5.47613(5) | 0.2            |
| 0.09          | 5.48175(4) | 0.2            |
| 0.12          | 5.48833(8) | 0              |
| 0.15          | 5.49348(2) | 0.2            |
| 0.18          | 5.49941(6) | 0              |
| 0.22          | 5.50773(3) | 0.2            |
| 0.24          | 5.51116(9) | 0.2            |
| 0.26          | 5.51609(7) | 0.2            |
| 0.28          | 5.51989(4) | 0.2            |
| 0.30          | 5.52424(9) | 0.2            |
| 0.32          | 5.52780(3) | 0.2            |
| 0.34          | 5.53141(7) | 0              |
| 0.36          | 5.53632(3) | 0              |

The concentration dependence of the lattice parameter has the form: $a(x) = a_0 + kx$, where $a_0 = 5.46385(5)$, $k = 0.1999(4)$. The refinement by the least-squares method was performed with the fixed intercept value $a_0$. RSS was $2.31 \times 10^{-8}$.

The concentration dependence of the lattice parameter obtained obeys Vegard’s law for the entire range of the studied concentrations up to $x < 0.37$. Table 2 shows the precision ($\delta$) of the determination of the unit cell parameter and the coefficients of the Vegard equation obtained in this work and in the previous ones [14,17,18].

Table 2. Precision ($\delta \bar{a}$) of the lattice parameter measurement and the coefficients of the Vegard equation $a(x) = a_0 + kx$ for Ca$_{1-x}$Y$_x$F$_{2+x}$.

| $\delta$, Å | $a_0$ | $k$ | Ref. |
|-------------|--------|-----|------|
| $9 \times 10^{-5}$ | 5.46385(5) | 0.1999(4) | present work |
| $3 \times 10^{-4}$ | 5.4630(2) | 0.20030 | [17,18] |
| $3 \times 10^{-3}$ | 5.46295(10) [20] | 0.191 | [14] |

The improved precision of the lattice parameter measurement improved the precision of the calculation of the Vegard equation coefficients, which is necessary for calculating concentrations when determining the position of the maximum on the melting curves.

3.2. Refinement of the Composition of the Maximum on the Melting Curves

In the CaF$_2$-YF$_3$ system, a small difference in the melting temperature of the component and the temperature of the maximum (from 5 to 10 K) is present. The most accurate information about the composition of such a smooth (tangential) maximum can be provided by the method of directional crystallization with the study of the distribution of an impurity component, which is distributed along the length of a crystal as it grows.

The only attempt to clarify the composition of the maximum on the melting curves for Ca$_{1-x}$Y$_x$F$_{2+x}$ by directional crystallization was made [13] for Ca$_{0.99}$Y$_{0.01}$F$_{2.17}$ crystal obtained by the Bridgman method from a melt. The $^{89}$Y isotope was used. The observed decrease in the concentration of yttrium in the final portion of the crystal boule is small, and one can assume that this composition is close to the composition of the maximum.

Despite numerous studies of the phase diagram and the behavior of yttrium during directional crystallization, the question of the composition corresponding to the maximum on the melting curves of the Ca$_{1-x}$Y$_x$F$_{2+x}$ fluorite phase remains open.
Finding the equilibrium distribution coefficient ($k_o$) for an impurity by the method of directional crystallization of a melt is a complex task, which requires knowledge of a number of characteristics of a melt and the behavior of an impurity component in it.

To clarify the position of the maximum on the melting curves of the Ca$_{1-x}$Y,F$_{2+y}$ solid solution, it is sufficient to find the effective coefficient ($k_{oe}$) for two compositions ($x_1$ and $x_2$), for which the $k_{oe}$ will be greater than 1 and less than 1, correspondingly. With a small difference in the composition of the melts and the same crystallization conditions (growing in a multicellular crucible), the impurity behavior for these compositions is comparable, which eliminates the need to find $k_o$. This will correspond to a decrease and an increase in the content of the impurity component (yttrium) from the initial to the final portion of the crystal, respectively.

To solve this problem, it is sufficient to build the dependence of $k = x'/x_o$ on the content of YF$_3$ in a charge, where $x_o$ and $x'$ are the concentrations of yttrium in a charge and in the seed cone of a crystal (at the starting point), correspondingly. The passage of $k$ through 1 corresponds to the composition with congruent melting and the value of $k_{oe} = 1$.

**Table 3.** The concentration of Y in a charge ($x_o$), in the region of the seed cone ($x'$), and the effective distribution coefficient ($k$) of Y in Ca$_{1-x}$Y,F$_{2+y}$.

| $x_o$ | $x'$ | $k$   | $a$ Å |
|-------|------|-------|-------|
| 0.010 | 0.011(9) | 1.116(11) | 5.46608(2) |
| 0.030 | 0.031(9) | 1.032(9) | 5.47004(4) |
| 0.050 | 0.051(5) | 1.014(5) | 5.47398(3) |
| 0.070 | 0.071(5) | 1.016(5) | 5.47807(4) |
| 0.090 | 0.091(3) | 1.007(3) | 5.48196(2) |
| 0.100 | 0.100(4) | 0.997(4) | 5.48378(4) |
| 0.110 | 0.110(3) | 1.001(4) | 5.48587(4) |
| 0.113 | 0.112(4) | 0.994(4) | 5.48620(4) |
| 0.115 | 0.114(4) | 0.993(4) | 5.48667(3) |
| 0.120 | 0.119(4) | 0.994(4) | 5.48769(5) |
| 0.125 | 0.124(3) | 0.993(3) | 5.48867(2) |
| 0.140 | 0.137(4) | 0.981(4) | 5.49130(4) |

The crystal compositions were determined by the lattice parameter using the established (Table 1) dependence of the unit cell parameter of Ca$_{1-x}$Y,F$_{2+y}$ on the composition: $a(x) = 5.46385 + 0.1999x$. Table 3 shows the concentration of Y in a charge, in the region of the seed cone, and the effective distribution coefficient ($k$) of Y in Ca$_{1-x}$Y,F$_{2+y}$. The concentration dependence of $k$ is shown in Figure 6.

According to the reference data, the concentration range within which the congruently melting composition can be found is indicated within a very large interval: Δ$x$ ~ 0.28.

The accuracy of the method of directional crystallization allowed us to narrow this interval to a value of Δ$x$ ~ 0.01 (1 mol.% of YF$_3$). The congruent composition corresponds to the middle of this interval, and the accuracy of its determination is equal to its half ($x = 0.005$ or 0.5 mol. % of YF$_3$). Thus, the composition of the maximum on the melting curves determined by this method is $x = 0.105(5)$. 

The check of the impurity distribution along the crystal length for compositions with \( x = 0.10 \) and 0.11 showed that the deviation of yttrium concentration in the crystals from its content in the charge is within the accuracy of the method.

5. Conclusions

The analysis of the reference data on the phase diagram of the CaF\(_2\)-YF\(_3\) system in the region of the formation of the Ca\(_{1-x}\)Y\(_x\)F\(_{2+x}\) (\( x < 0.38 \)) fluorite phase and the composition of the temperature maximum on the melting curves is carried out. A large difference in the compositions found by different authors is shown (\( x = 0.05–0.33 \)), and the conclusion that the previous definitions of congruent composition were not sufficiently accurate for growing crystals of high optical quality was made.

The Ca\(_{1-x}\)Y\(_x\)F\(_{2+x}\) crystals with \( x < 0.37 \) were obtained by the directional crystallization of a melt for the precise (\( \pm 9 \times 10^{-3} \) Å) determination of the concentration dependence of the lattice parameter \( a(x) \) and to refine the position of the maximum on the melting curves.

It is established that the concentration dependence of the lattice parameter of the Ca\(_{1-x}\)Y\(_x\)F\(_{2+x}\) fluorite crystals obeys Vegard’s law \( a(x) = 5.46385(5) + 0.1999(4) x \).

The congruently melting composition of the Ca\(_{1-x}\)Y\(_x\)F\(_{2+x}\) phase, which is \( x = 0.105(5) \), was determined by the method of directional crystallization.
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