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Abstract

We present a novel paleomagnetic record for the lower Matuyama chronozone, which includes the Réunion subchron and the lower Olduvai polarity reversal, from a continuous section of a 168-m-thick on-land marine succession in the southernmost part of the Boso Peninsula, central Japan. In this section, the Réunion subchron and the lower Olduvai reversal are observed at 38.6–44.6 m and 142.0 m, respectively. The average sedimentation rates between the lower and upper Réunion boundaries and between the upper Réunion boundary and lower Olduvai boundary are calculated as 25 cm/ky and 57 cm/ky, respectively. The virtual geomagnetic pole (VGP), observed in the Boso Peninsula, at both the upper and lower Réunion boundaries passed across the equator within a similar longitudinal band over Africa. Immediately below the upper boundary, between 43.0 and 43.5 m, the VGP settled in a cluster area around China. Relative paleointensity (RPI) values for the entire Réunion interval are generally lower than the average for the entire interval from the Réunion to the lower Olduvai subchron. Conversely, the VGP for the lower Olduvai reversal boundary did not pass across the equator within a narrow longitudinal band but settled in several cluster areas; i.e., the southern Indian Ocean, North America, and the southern South Pacific Ocean off South America. The VGP then moved rapidly between the clusters. The locations of VGP cluster areas in the lower Olduvai reversal seem to coincide with areas where a vertical component of the present geomagnetic non-axial dipole (NAD) field is dominant. During the reversal, the RPI declined rapidly and recovered slowly as the VGP moved rapidly between cluster areas. Our new paleomagnetic data are one of the most detailed records for those geomagnetic reversals from marine sediments, and will, therefore, help to understand the dynamics of the geomagnetic reversals.
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Introduction

Geomagnetic reversals are one of the most significant fluctuations in the Earth’s magnetic field, during which the magnetic intensity decreases by an order of magnitude as the polarity transitions (e.g., Merrill and McFadden 1999; Valet and Fournier 2016). Moreover, studies based on several high-resolution reversal records suggest that the behavior of the geomagnetic field during polarity transitions could be related to the present non-axial dipole (NAD) field (e.g., Channell et al. 2003, 2004; Hoffman et al. 2008). Therefore, determining the behavior of the magnetic field during a geomagnetic reversal could be the key to revealing the mechanisms governing geomagnetic field generation and outer core dynamics (e.g., Ingham and Turner 2008; Amit et al. 2010). Hoffman (1992) attempted to determine the characteristics of geomagnetic variations during reversals using paleomagnetic records from lava flow sequences around the world. Although paleomagnetic records from volcanic rocks, such as lava flows, can provide stable magnetic signals associated with the absolute intensity of past geomagnetic fields, the resulting records are intermittent because volcanic eruptions do not occur continuously (e.g., Jarboe et al. 2011; Valet et al. 2012).

Conversely, despite only recording relative and not absolute magnetic intensities, sedimentary paleomagnetic records reveal continuous geomagnetic field variations, including geomagnetic reversals (e.g., Channell 2017; Valet and Fournier 2016). Previous sedimentary records reconstructing long-term variations in the geomagnetic dipole moment have successfully revealed the general features of geomagnetic dipole fluctuations (e.g., Valet et al. 2005; Yamazaki and Oda 2005; Channell et al. 2009). However, the details of paleointensity variations during polarity transitions are often not recorded in standard deep-sea cores because the sedimentation rates are generally relatively low, i.e., approximately a few centimeters per thousand years. Such a low sedimentation rate prevents the accurate recording of rapid geomagnetic variations due to the smoothing of the magnetic signal associated with the post-depositional remanent magnetization (pDRM) process (e.g., Roberts and Winklhofer 2004; Suganuma et al. 2011; Valet et al. 2016). Therefore, for a more detailed description of geomagnetic field characteristics during polarity transitions, on-land marine successions with sufficiently high sedimentation rates, such as reported by Okada et al. (2017) and Simon et al. (2019), are crucial.

The Kazusa Group, a forearc basin-fill deposit formed from the Calabrian to the early Chibanian age, is widely distributed in the central part of the Boso Peninsula (e.g., Kazaoka et al. 2015) (Fig. 1b, c). Due to good exposure of the geologic strata, the biostratigraphy, magnetostratigraphy, and oxygen isotope stratigraphy of the Kazusa Group have been comprehensively studied (e.g., Niitsuma 1971; Oda 1977; Niitsuma 1976; Okada and Niitsuma 1989). In recent years, many chronostratigraphic studies have been conducted for the upper part of the Kazusa Group (Kazaoka et al. 2015; Suganuma et al. 2015; Nishida et al. 2016; Okada et al. 2017; Suganuma et al. 2018; Simon et al. 2019; Haneda et al. 2020). As well as the Kazusa Group, the middle Miocene-upper Pliocene Awa Group, distributed in the middle part of the Boso Peninsula, has been well documented using magnetostratigraphy (Niitsuma 1976), and magnetic and oxygen isotope stratigraphy (Haneda and Okada 2019) (Fig. 1b, c).

In contrast, the Chikura Group, a small basin-fill deposit on the trench slope in the southernmost part of the Boso Peninsula formed during the Piacenzian to early Calabrian (Kotake 1988; Kotake et al. 1995; Kawakami and Shishikura 2006) (Fig. 1c), exhibits complex geology and developed folds and faults (see Fig. 1d). As a result, the construction of a continuous chronostratigraphic record has been hindered for a long time. However, Okada et al. (2012) established the paleomagnetic-oxygen isotopic stratigraphy between 3.15 and 2.35 Ma in the Chikura Group by selecting suitable routes for recovering a continuous stratigraphic record (see Fig. 1c, e).

In this study, we aim to provide a paleomagnetic record during the early Matuyama chron, which includes the Réunion subchron and the onset Olduvai boundary where the previous study was not conducted, through the establishment of the detailed magnetostratigraphy of the upper part of the Chikura Group. Oxygen isotopic analysis of fossil foraminiferal tests from this succession is ongoing; therefore, this will be reported elsewhere. Note that the Réunion subchron used in this study is the same one that has been redefined as “Feni” subchron by Channell et al. (2020).

Geologic setting

The Boso Peninsula is located on the Pacific side of central Japan, close to the trench-trench-trench type triple junction (Fig. 1a). The southernmost part of the Boso Peninsula contains sediments deposited in deep-sea trench and trench-slope basins; this sedimentary sequence was named as the Chikura Group (Naruse et al. 1951; Kotake 1988) (Fig. 1b). The central part of the Chikura Group consists of, in ascending order, the Shirahama, Shiramazu, Mera, Minamiasai, and Hata Formations, in which the sediments are predominantly siltstone, sandy siltstone, and alternating sandstone and siltstone layers, with frequently intercalated tephra beds (Kotake 1988). Kotake et al. (1995) reconstructed the chronostratigraphy of the Chikura Group based on a detailed field survey and a paleomagnetic study. They also revealed that the Matuyama-Gauss boundary is contained in the Minamiasai Formation (Fig. 1e). By conducting a detailed correlation of the tephra beds, Kawakami and Shishikura (2006) redefined parts of the
Chikura Group, namely that the Minamiasai Formation is a part of the Mera Formation and the Mera Formation is partly inter-fingered with the Hata Formation (Fig. 1e). However, since most of the previous chronostratigraphic studies conducted on the Chikura Group (e.g., Kotake et al. 1995; Okada et al. 2012; Tamura et al. 2016; Kameo and Okada 2016) have followed the stratigraphic classification by Kotake (1988), we use the Hata Formation defined by Kotake (1988) to keep consistency with the previous studies.

**Methods/Experimental**

**Collection of paleomagnetic core samples**

We conducted a geologic survey and collected paleomagnetic mini-core samples from the lower to the middle part of the Hata Formation that is exposed along a route consisting of a
small creek running to near Komatsu-Ji (Komatsu Temple), Chikura town, Minamiboso City, in the southernmost part of the Boso Peninsula (Fig. 2a, b). We named this route as the “KJ route” (34.9668° N, 139.9114° E–34.9649° N, 139.9117° E). This part of the Hata Formation contains the last appearance horizon of *Discoaster brouweri*, which is a calcareous nannoplankton indicating a horizon just below the Olduvai subchronzone (Sato et al. 1988), and a normal polarity zone above the datum horizon (Kotake et al. 1995) (Fig. 1e). The KJ route follows a small creek, which is a branch of the Seto River that runs beside the Komatsu Temple along the Komatsu forest road (Fig. 2a). In this study, we described a continuous section along the KJ route measuring 168 m thick (Fig. 2ba and S.Fig. 1).

From the KJ route, we collected 25-mm-diameter drilled core samples for rock-magnetic and paleomagnetic measurements using a portable engine drill. The cores were collected from 218 horizons, typically at intervals of 1–4 m; however, intervals of less than 10 cm were employed around the magnetozone boundaries assigned with the lower Olduvai and upper and lower Réunion boundaries. At each horizon, we collected more than three pieces of core. The drilled core samples were oriented with a magnetic compass before being extracted from the holes. The collected core samples were cut into 2-cm-long specimens for subsequent measurements.

**Paleomagnetic measurements**

The natural remanent magnetization (NRM) of each specimen was measured using a two-axis cryogenic magnetometer (SRM-750R; 2G Enterprises, USA) in a magnetic shield room at Ibaraki University. To extract the primary magnetic component, which was acquired when the sample rock was formed, we removed the secondary remanence from the NRM by conducting three types of treatment: progressive alternating field demagnetization (pAFD), progressive thermal demagnetization (pThD), and a hybrid method that is a combination of thermal demagnetization at 250 °C and subsequent pAFD. To minimize the thermal alternation of specimens, the minimum temperature to which the secondary components are effectively removed was selected as the appropriate temperature. The hybrid...
method was used in Okada et al. (2017), in which 300 °C was chosen as the appropriate temperature, whereas 250 °C was used in this study. Alternating field and thermal demagnetization treatments were conducted at Ibaraki University using an alternating field demagnetizer (DEM-8601; Natsuha-Giken, Japan) and a thermal demagnetizer (TD-48; ASC Scientific, USA), respectively. The pA FD treatment was performed up to 80 mT every 5 mT for 45 specimens from 45 horizons and the pThD from 150–600 °C every 50 °C for 77 specimens from 77 horizons. The hybrid treatment, performed for 216 specimens from 154 horizons, was applied to remove the secondary remanence, which holds a similar or higher coercive force, but a lower unblocking temperature than the primary remanence.

We extracted the characteristic remanent magnetization (ChRM) using more than five remanent vector endpoints, which were aligned linearly toward the origin, by principal component analysis (Kirschvink 1980). In the pA FD and pThD treatments, the data points used to deduce ChRMs were selected from appropriate demagnetization intervals at each specimen. In contrast, for the hybrid treatment, the data points were from the demagnetization interval between 20 and 50 mT for all the specimens. The virtual geomagnetic pole (VGP) at each sampling site was calculated from a site-mean direction obtained from multiple ChRM directions when multiple ChRMs at a site were available. However, if the only single ChRM was available at a site, the VGP was calculated from a single ChRM. In any case, the VGP was deduced from the ChRMs whose maximum angular deviations (MADs) were equal to or less than 15°. We identified the magnetic polarities based on VGP latitudes, where latitudes of greater than +45° are defined as normal polarity, latitudes of less than −45° are defined as reversed polarity, and latitudes of less than the absolute value of 45° are defined as intermediate polarity.

Proxies of magnetic grain concentration; i.e., \( k_{LF} \), anhysteretic remanent magnetization (ARM), and isothermal remanent magnetization (IRM), are typically employed to normalize NRM when calculating the relative paleointensity (RPI) index (e.g., Valet 2003; Suganuma et al. 2008). Following the methodology of Okada et al. (2017), we calculated the NRM30–50/ARM30–50 ratio as the RPI index; i.e., NRM30–50 and ARM30–50 are coercivity fractions between 30 and 50 mT for the NRM and ARM after thermal demagnetization at the appropriate temperature (250 °C) used in the hybrid demagnetization method.

**Rock-magnetic measurements**

To evaluate the magnetic properties (magnetic grain concentration, magnetic grain size, domain state of magnetic grains, magnetic carrier minerals) of samples from the KJ route, we performed the following rock-magnetic experiments. Before any other paleomagnetic and rock-magnetic measurements were performed, we measured the low-field magnetic susceptibility \( (k_{LF}) \) of each specimen using a Kappabridge susceptibility meter (KLY-3S; AGICO, Czech Republic) at Ibaraki University. The anhysteretic remanent magnetization (ARM) was applied for specimens in a 0.03-mT DC field with an 80-mT alternating field using the DEM 8601 demagnetizer at Ibaraki University. The ARM susceptibility \( (k_{ARM}) \) was calculated from the initial intensity of ARM. These magnetic susceptibilities represent magnetic grain concentration. The \( k_{ARM}/k_{LF} \) ratio could be used as a proxy for the magnetic grain size only under certain conditions, including a constant concentration of magnetic grains (e.g., Yamazaki and Ioka 1997). Although, we exhibit the ratio as a reference for the magnetic grain size due to that the variations of both concentration proxies \( (k_{ARM} \) and \( k_{LF}) \) are well within an order of magnitude in this study (Fig. 5a).

Magnetic hysteresis was measured with a maximum magnetic field of 1.0 T for selected specimens using an alternating gradient magnetometer (PMC MicroMag 2900 AGM; Lake Shore Cryogenics Inc., USA) at the Center for Advanced Marine Core Research, Kochi University (KCC). By using both the ratios of saturation remanence to saturation magnetization (Mrs/Ms) and coercivity of remanence to coercivity (Hcr/Hc) deduced from a hysteresis curve, a Day diagram (Day et al. 1977) was generated to illustrate the broad distribution of the magnetic domain states of ferrimagnetic particles.

Thermomagnetic experiments were performed using a thermomagnetic balance (NMB-89; Natsuha Giken, Japan) at the KCC. The specimens were heated to 700 °C then cooled to room temperature in air or a vacuum in an applied field of 0.3 T. The J-T curves obtained by these experiments allowed us to infer the magnetic minerals present in the specimens based on their Curie/Néel temperatures, blocking temperatures, and temperatures of thermal alteration. Low-temperature magnetic experiments were performed using a low-temperature SQUID magnetometer (MPMS XL-5; Quantum Design, USA) at the KCC. The specimens were magnetized in a 1-T field and warmed to 300 K after cooling to 10 K in a zero-T field. These experiments reveal the magnetic phase transition temperatures of the magnetic minerals included in a specimen without alteration due to heating and/or oxidation.

**Results and discussion**

**Rock-magnetic characteristics**

The results of the thermomagnetic and low-temperature magnetic analyses of the two selected specimens are shown in Fig. 3. The thermomagnetic experiments, performed in both air and a vacuum, demonstrate that the specimens have a single Curie/Néel temperature at approximately 580 °C (Fig. 3a). The thermomagnetic curve for specimen KJ100 shows no abrupt changes throughout the heating and cooling processes, but specimen Rhr44 exhibits a small plateau
at approximately 450 °C during the heating process. The normalized induced magnetization (I/I0) during cooling in air is slightly smaller than that during heating for both specimens. In contrast, I/I0 during cooling in a vacuum is slightly larger for KJ100 but substantially larger than that during heating for Rhr44 (Fig. 3a). The results of KJ100 indicate that the specimen contains (titanio) magnetite with a Curie temperature close to that of magnetite at 585 °C (e.g., Hunt et al. 1995). The cooling curves are irreversible because of the degradation of iron oxhydroxides within the specimens into ferrimagnetic minerals due to the heating or minor thermal alteration of titanomagnetite (Bowles et al. 2013).

Conversely, the results of Rhr44, in which the specimen was heated in air, exhibit a small plateau at approximately 450 °C, indicating the creation of a ferrimagnetic mineral phase. The thermomagnetic curve of the cooling process in air has a lower magnetization than the equivalent heating curve, indicating that the ferrimagnetic or ferrimagnetic minerals created at approximately 450 °C were further altered by continued heating and oxidized to form a higher Curie/Néel temperature mineral, such as hematite. In contrast, the specimen heated in a vacuum has a single Curie/Néel temperature at 580 °C, the same as that heated in air, but almost no increase in magnetization at approximately 450 °C. Moreover, unlike during the heating process, the curve exhibits a drastic increase in magnetization throughout the cooling process. The thermomagnetic behavior seen in Rhr44 was also observed in the Kazusa Group (Okada et al. 2017) and has been reported as one of the typical behaviors of greigite-bearing samples (Roberts et al. 2011).

The low-temperature remanence curves (Fig. 3b) indicate the presence of a Verwey transition, where the magnetite transforms from a monoclinic to cubic spinel structure between 110 and 120 K (Verwey 1939; Özdemir et al. 1993). The curves, which indicate a declining ratio of magnetization per unit temperature, are characterized by a rapid remanence decline from 10 to 50 K, with a broad Verwey transition from 100 to 120 K. Özdemir et al. (1993) reported that the Verwey transition tends to shift to lower temperatures with a broader transition temperature range due to maghemitization (oxidation) on the surface of magnetite. The rapid decrease in remanence between 10 and 50 K is considered to be due to the influence of superparamagnetic particles (Özdemir et al. 1993; Özdemir and Dunlop 2010). The Day diagram of selected specimens is shown in Fig. 4. The Day diagram for the upper part above 36 m, i.e., where the hybrid method was applied, the kARM and the kARM/kLF ratio are not plotted below 36 m. Although several spikes are observed in the kARM/kLF ratio, which likely corresponds to tephra and/or sandy layers, these data indicate that the rock-magnetic characteristics are relatively homogeneous for this interval (Fig. 5b). The kFL and kARM curves, which exhibit variations well within an order of magnitude, suggest that the specimens from the KJ route are suitable for estimating RPIs (Tauxe 1993).

Remanent magnetization

Typical orthogonal vector diagrams (Zijderveld 1967) for the NRMFs obtained from pAFD, pThD, and the hybrid method are shown in Fig. 6. The results of the pAFD (Fig. 6a, d) indicate that the NRMFs consist of two magnetic components. The low-coercivity (LC) components were removed at a peak field of 15–20 mT and the remaining high-coercivity (HC) remanences demagnetized almost linearly, but slightly curvilinearly toward the origin (grey arrows in Fig. 6a, d). The demagnetization paths for the pThD (Fig. 6b, e) also indicate the existence of two components, among which the low-temperature (LT) components are demagnetized by 250 °C. The remaining high-temperature (HT) component of KJ85 (Fig. 6b) is almost linearly demagnetized toward the origin but slightly digresses at 600 °C, whereas that of KJ82 (Fig. 6f) demagnetized with a curvilinear path (green arrows in Fig. 6b, e), indicating that the HT component in KJ82 consists of a mixture of two different components. One of those components in HT had the same direction with LT but might have had blocking temperatures overlapped with the other component in HT. Moreover, the demagnetization path digresses substantially from 450 °C (red arrow in Fig. 6e), probably affected by magnetic minerals newly created during the ThD process.

Although, as Roberts et al. (2018) recently discussed, the Day diagram is fundamentally ambiguous for domain state diagnosis; therefore, our diagnosis described above should be treated as just a reference for the domain states.

To evaluate the variation in rock-magnetic properties, the low-field magnetic susceptibility ($k_{LF}$) and the ARM susceptibility ($k_{ARM}$), as well as the ratio of both parameters throughout the stratigraphic sequence, are shown in Fig. 5a, b. As the ARM experiment was only conducted for the upper part above 36 m, i.e., where the hybrid method was applied, the $k_{ARM}$ and the $k_{ARM}/k_{LF}$ ratio are not plotted below 36 m. Although several spikes are observed in the $k_{ARM}/k_{LF}$ ratio, which likely corresponds to tephra and/or sandy layers, these data indicate that the rock-magnetic characteristics are relatively homogeneous for this interval (Fig. 5b). The $k_{FL}$ and $k_{ARM}$ curves, which exhibit variations well within an order of magnitude, suggest that the specimens from the KJ route are suitable for estimating RPIs (Tauxe 1993).
Fig. 3 Typical results of thermomagnetic and low-temperature magnetic experiments. 

(a) Results of thermomagnetic experiments. Left (right) diagrams indicate results from specimen KJ100 (Rhr44). Upper (lower) diagrams indicate results in air (a vacuum).

(b) Results of low-temperature experiments. The left (right) diagram indicates results from specimen KJ100 (Rhr44).
temperature component, respectively. We can express the low and the high unblocking temperature component in the LC as \( \text{LT}_{\text{L}} \) and \( \text{HT}_{\text{L}} \), and those in the HC as \( \text{LT}_{\text{H}} \) and \( \text{HT}_{\text{H}} \), respectively. As above, we assume that both the LT and HT components in pThD consist of a low-coercivity and a high-coercivity component, respectively. Here, too, we can express the low- and the high-coercivity component in the LT as \( \text{LT}_{\text{L}} \) and \( \text{LTH}_{\text{C}} \), and those in the HT as \( \text{HT}_{\text{L}} \) and \( \text{HT}_{\text{H}} \), respectively. In the hybrid results, the component below 250 °C corresponds to the LT in pThD, and the remaining two components from 250 °C to 15 mT (blue arrow in Fig. 6f) and higher than 15 mT (orange arrow in Fig. 6f) correspond to \( \text{HT}_{\text{L}} \) and \( \text{HT}_{\text{H}} \), respectively. The comparison between the hybrid and the pThD results (Fig. 6f, e) clearly shows that the HT component (green arrow in Fig. 6e) consists of the sum of \( \text{HT}_{\text{L}} \) and \( \text{HT}_{\text{H}} \) (dashed blue and orange arrows in Fig. 6e), indicating that the HT component includes the \( \text{HT}_{\text{L}} \) component as a secondary magnetization. The \( \text{HT}_{\text{L}} \) component is not able to be separated by the pThD method alone since the spectrum of unblocking temperature of \( \text{HT}_{\text{L}} \) is comparable with that of the \( \text{HT}_{\text{H}} \) component, which probably corresponds to the primary magnetization.

In the reversals test, if the ChRMs indicating reversed polarity are distributed symmetrically with those indicating normal polarity, the ChRMs can be treated as a primary paleomagnetic record without any secondary component. For the reversals test, we used all the ChRMs, excluding the intervals of the reversal boundaries and the lower normal polarity zone (40–47 m), where the RPIs indicate much lower than the average value. In Fig. 7a, the \( \alpha_{95} \) circle of reversed polarity ChRMs does not overlap with the \( \alpha_{95} \) of normal polarity, indicating that the secondary components are not removed from the pAFD results. The same results are observed for pThD in Fig. 7b. This situation, whereby both pAFD and pThD failed to remove the secondary components, was also observed in the lower Chikura Group (Okada et al. 2012). In contrast, the hybrid result (Fig. 7c) shows that the \( \alpha_{95} \) of reversed polarity includes the average of the normal polarity, and vice versa, which indicates that the ChRMs deduced by the hybrid method can be treated as the primary paleomagnetic record with a level of significance of 5% or less. This result indicates, for the first time, that the hybrid method is statistically confirmed to be able to successfully remove the secondary components since Okada et al. (2017), which did not conduct any field tests, including the reversals test. This result also indicates that the interpretation that the \( \text{HT}_{\text{H}} \) corresponds to the primary magnetization is proven.

According to the results of the hybrid method (Fig. 7c), we calculated the average declination value and the associated \( \alpha_{95} \) interval of the hybrid ChRMs as \( 8.6 \pm 3.3^\circ \), indicating that a clockwise tectonic rotation of \( \sim 10^\circ \) occurred in the KJ route region, which is similar to the results of a previous study (Kotake et al. 1995). We subtracted this value from the declinations to remove the rotation effect for subsequently determining the VGPs. All the ChRM directions, MADs, VGP latitudes, and RPIs, which were
used following the discussion of geomagnetic field variations, were deduced by the hybrid method, which was conducted for all horizons above 36 m (Fig. 5c–g). However, we also plotted the ChRM directions, MADs, and VGP latitudes deduced by the pThD below 36 m as reference data (Fig. 5c–f). The ChRM inclinations are in close agreement with the expected values for the geocentric axial dipole (dashed lines in Fig. 5d). The MADs generally remain below 5°, while they exhibit much higher values in some intervals, mainly associated with geomagnetic reversals. We avoided using ChRMs with MADs greater than 15° (Fig. 5c) in the subsequent discussion.

**Magnetostratigraphy**

The VGP latitudes plotted in Fig. 5f indicate that two normal polarity zones are identified in the stratigraphic intervals above 142 m and between 38.6 and 44.6 m, respectively. An intermediate polarity zone identified from 12 to 15 m will be discussed in a future study after applying the hybrid method. Kotake et al. (1995) reported that the Olduvai subchronzone was identified in the middle part of the Hata Formation, and a marker tephra bed named the KO tephra was intercalated in the Olduvai interval (Fig. 1e). The KO tephra is described as HF tephra in Kawakami and Shishikura (2006). They
reported that the HF tephra was identified on a horizon slightly above the interval of this study. On the other hand, Okada et al. (2012) reported that the Gauss/Matuyama boundary was identified in the Minamiasai Formation, which is just below the Hata Formation. These observations confirm that the upper and lower normal polarity zones identified in this study correspond to the Olduvai and Réunion subchronozones, respectively. The average sedimentation rates between the lower Réunion boundary at 39.3 m and upper Réunion boundary at 44.6 m, and between the lower Olduvai boundary at 142.0 m and the upper Réunion boundary, are calculated as 25 cm/ky and 57 cm/ky respectively, using boundary ages of 2137 ka and 2116 ka for the lower and upper Réunion, respectively (Channell et al. 2016), and 1945 ka for the lower Olduvai (Ogg 2012). Since these sedimentation rates are higher than those in any deep-sea core (e.g., Channell et al. 2002, 2016; Valet et al. 2014), this sedimentary sequence has the potential to provide a paleomagnetic record that will play an important role in finding unknown field behaviors during the geomagnetic reversals.

In addition, we found a very characteristic tephra bed, in which cummingtonite is exclusively predominant among the heavy minerals, at the 43.55 m horizon that is 1 m
below the upper Réunion boundary (see S. Figure 1). This tephra bed could be useful as a local marker tephra bed to indicate a horizon of the upper Réunion boundary.

Variations of VGP and RPI during the reversals

The VGP paths, latitudes, and RPIs between 36 and 47 m, which cover the entire Réunion subchronozone, are shown in Fig. 8a–c, respectively. In the same manner, those for the Réunion subchron from ODP Site 981 (Channell et al. 2003) are shown in Fig. 8d–f as a corresponding record. The VGPs of this study passed across the equator within a similar longitudinal band over Africa during both the upper and lower Réunion reversals, which is also observed in the Site 981 record, although the longitude is different (Fig. 8d). Moreover, the VGPs of this study settled in a VGP cluster area around China, termed “A” in this study (Fig. 8a) and is identified between 43.0 and 43.5 m immediately below the upper boundary (Fig. 8b). A similar cluster area with the same timing, but on a different longitudinal band from this study, is also observed in the Site 981 record (marked “A” in Fig. 8d, e). These observations suggest that these two records might reflect the nondipole feature of the geodynamo during the Réunion subchron. The VGPs in this study settled in a VGP cluster area around Argentina during the upper boundary reversal, termed “B” in this study (Fig. 8a, b). However, this is not apparent in Site 981 (Fig. 8d). In Fig. 8c, although the RPI values indicate minima at both the upper and lower Réunion boundaries, the RPI values for the entire Réunion interval are generally lower than the average of the entire interval of this study (Fig. 5g). This observation suggests that the dipole moment during the Réunion subchron never recovered to the average level after the first reversal ended, which was also observed in the RPI record from deep-sea sediments of the North Atlantic Ocean (Channell et al. 2016; Channell et al. 2020), and authigenic 10Be/9Be record from a deep-sea core of the western Pacific Ocean (Simon et al. 2018).

In the same manner in Fig. 8, we show our record from the stratigraphic interval between 138 and 145 m covering the lower Olduvai reversal in Fig. 9a–c, and the Site 984 record (Channell et al. 2002, 2013a, 2013b) in Fig. 9d–f. In the lower Olduvai polarity transition, the VGP did not move across the equator within a narrow longitudinal band, unlike in the Réunion subchron, but instead settled in several VGP cluster areas. The VGP can be observed in three areas (Fig. 9a): (A) the southern Indian Ocean, (B) North America, and (C) the southern South Pacific Ocean off South America. The VGP moved rapidly between these clusters. The RPI started to decline at 139.4 m immediately before the onset of the paleomagnetic directional change, where the VGP settled in cluster A in the southern hemisphere.
Immediately following this, the RPI declined rapidly, reaching a minimum at 140.1 m, which corresponds to the VGP settling in cluster B in the northern hemisphere. Subsequently, the RPI gradually recovered to a peak at 144.2 m. During this recovery, the VGP settled in cluster C in the southern hemisphere at approximately 141 m, before moving back again to cluster B in the northern hemisphere.

Similar features to these, particularly for the asymmetric RPI profile consisting of a rapid decline and slow recovery and the locations of the VGP cluster areas, are well documented in the Site 984 record (Channell et al. 2002, 2013a, 2013b) shown in Fig. 9d–f. The cluster areas A, B, and C are observed in almost the same locations in both records. Similar VGP cluster areas have also been identified in lava records (e.g., Hoffman 1991, 1992) as well as other sedimentary records (e.g., Channell et al. 2002; Kusu et al. 2016). These cluster positions have been discussed to be related to the vertical flux patches in the present non-axial dipole (NAD) field (e.g., Channell et al. 2003, 2004; Hoffman et al. 2008). Kusu et al. (2016) reported that the VGP cluster areas in the upper Olduvai boundary were observed in similar locations to downward flux patches of the NAD, which occur in North America and the southern South Pacific, where the vertical component is less than $-15 \mu T$ (Constable 2007). The locations of these flux patches of the NAD correlate relatively well with our record, especially for cluster B in the Réunion subchron and clusters A and B in the lower Olduvai reversal, which suggests that the VGPs during geomagnetic reversals associated with low RPIs were strongly affected by the NAD field.

**Conclusions**

This study presented a reliable paleomagnetic record that passed the reversals test for the lower Matuyama chronozone, which includes the Réunion subchron zone and the lower Olduvai polarity reversal from a
continuous section along the KJ route, with a stratigraphic thickness of 168 m. In this section, the Réunion subchronozone and lower Olduvai reversal were identified at 38.6–44.6 m and 142 m, respectively. The average sedimentation rates between the lower and upper Réunion boundaries and between the upper Réunion boundary and the lower Olduvai boundary were 25 cm/ky and 57 cm/ky, respectively, whose sedimentation rates are higher than those recorded in any deep-sea core. The VGP at both the upper and lower Réunion boundaries passed across the equator within a similar longitudinal band over Africa. Immediately below the upper boundary, between 43.0 and 43.5 m, the VGP settled in a cluster area around China. Additionally, the RPI values for the entire Réunion interval were generally lower than the average of the entire interval of this study.

Conversely, the VGP for the lower Olduvai reversal boundary did not pass across the equator within a narrow longitudinal band and instead settled in several cluster areas, i.e., the southern Indian Ocean, North America, and the southern South Pacific Ocean off South America. The VGP then moved rapidly between these clusters. The RPI declined rapidly and recovered slowly as the VGP moved rapidly between the cluster areas. The geomagnetic reversal features observed in our record might be affected by the NAD field. The ongoing study, which is for an oxygen isotopic analysis of fossil foraminiferal tests from this formation, will provide us a reliable age model for the section along the KJ route. That will facilitate a further discussion on the timings and durations of the individual geomagnetic field variations during the polarity reversals observed in this study.

Fig. 9 VGP paths and horizontal plots of the VGP latitude and RPI covering the Olduvai bottom reversal of this study correlated with the North Atlantic record. a–f Show the results of this study. d–f Show the results from ODP Site 984 (Channell et al. 2002, 2013a, 2013b). Letters “A” to “C” in (a) and (b) and (d) and (e) indicate the locations of the characteristic VGP clusters identified on (a) and (d), respectively. The blue shaded areas in (a) and (d) indicate that the vertical component of the NAD field at the Earth’s surface is less than –15 μT (Constable 2007). The yellow stars indicate the sample/core sites. The green dashed line in (c) indicates the average RPI value for the entire interval of this study. The red square shows the site mean value at a site in (a) and (b) if multiple ChRM is available at a site. The grey circle in (a) and (b) shows a single value used to deduce a site-mean value, while the red circle in (a) and (b) shows a representative value at a site if a single ChRM is only available at a site. The lines are connected among the red squares or the red circles.
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