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**Original research**

**ABSTRACT**

A new species of the genus *Gittella* (Oribatida, Oppiidae) - *G. kontschani* n. sp. - is described, based on materials collected from upper soil and leaf litter in the primary evergreen lowland rainforest of Amazonian Peru. Generic diagnosis, an identification key, distribution, and habitats of all known species of *Gittella* are presented.
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**Zoobank** [http://zoobank.org/5467ED59-4E13-4A83-9516-9101F6813682](http://zoobank.org/5467ED59-4E13-4A83-9516-9101F6813682)

**Introduction**

The oribatid mite genus *Gittella* (Acari, Oribatida, Oppiidae) was proposed by Hammer (1961) with *Gittella punctata* Hammer, 1961 as type species. At present, the genus comprises seven species, which are distributed in the Neotropical region (Subías 2004, online version 2021). The main goals of the paper are: to describe and illustrate a new species of *Gittella* collected from Amazonian Peru; to summarize generic morphological traits; to provide an identification key and to present distribution and habitats of all known species of the genus.

Presently, two species of *Gittella* have been registered in Peruvian fauna (Hammer 1961; Ermilov and Gwiazdowicz 2015; Ermilov and Friedrich 2016, 2017): *G. punctata* Hammer, 1961; and *G. variabilis* Ermilov, Sandmann, Marian and Maraun, 2013.

**Material and methods**

**Specimens** — Substrate samples containing oribatid mites were collected from South America, Amazonian Peru, 09°37′S, 74°56′W, Huánuco Department, Puerto Inca Province, Yuyapichis District, Área de Conservación Privada, Panguana (biological field station), near Rio Yuyapichis (river), 230–260 m a.s.l., upper soil and leaf litter in the primary evergreen lowland rainforest, 23.IV.2016–09.V.2016 (S. Friedrich, F. Wachtel and D. Hauth). Mites were extracted from samples into 75% ethanol using Winkler’s apparatus in laboratory conditions during 10 days.

Types are deposited in three institutions: the Museo de Historia Natural, Universidad Nacional Mayor de San Marcos, Lima, Peru (MUSM); the Tyumen State University Museum of Zoology, Tyumen, Russia (TSUMZ); and the SNSB-Bavarian State Collection of Zoology, Munich, Germany (ZSM).

**How to cite this article** Ermilov S. G. et al. (2021), Contribution to the knowledge of the oribatid mite genus *Gittella* (Acari, Oribatida, Oppiidae), with description of a new species from Peru. *Acarologia* 61(4): 1015-1022. [https://doi.org/10.24349/z72f-jdlc](https://doi.org/10.24349/z72f-jdlc)
Observation and documentation — Specimens were mounted in lactic acid on temporary cavity slides for measurement and illustration. Body length was measured in lateral view, from the tip of the rostrum to the posterior edge of the gastronotum. Notogastral width refers to the maximum width of the notogaster in dorsal view. Lengths of body setae were measured in lateral aspect. All body measurements are presented in micrometers. Formulas for leg setation are given in parentheses according to the sequence trochanter-femur-genu-tibia-tarsus (famulus included). Formulas for leg solenidia are given in square brackets according to the sequence trochanter-femur-genu-tibia-tarsus. Drawings were made with a camera lucida using a Leica transmission light microscope “Leica DM 2500”.

Terminology — Morphological terminology used in this paper follows that of F. Grandjean: see Travé & Vachon (1975) for references; Norton (1977) for leg setal nomenclature; and Norton & Behan-Pelletier (2009) for overview.

Abbreviations — Prodorsum: ro, le, in, bs, ex = rostral, lamellar, interlamellar, bothridial, and exobothridial seta, respectively; ev = vestige of the second exobothridial seta. Notogaster: c, da, dm, dp, la, lm, lp, h, p = setae; ia, im, ip, ih, ips = lyrifissures; gla = opisthognal gland opening. Gnathosoma: a, m, h = subcapitular setae; or = adoral seta; d, l, v, cm, ul, su, vt, lt = palp setae; ω = palp solenidion; cha, chb = cheliceral setae; Tg = Trägårdh’s organ. Epimeral and lateral podosomal regions: 1a–1c, 2a, 3a–3c, 4a–4c = epimeral setae; PdI = pedotectum I; dis = discidium. Anogenital region: g, ag, an, ad = genital, aggenital, anal, and adanal seta, respectively; iad = adanal lyrifissure; po = preanal organ. Legs: Tr, Fe, Ge, Ti, Ta = trochanter, femur, genu, tibia, tarsus, respectively; ω, φ, σ = solenidia; ε = famulus; d, l, v, bv, ev, ft, tc, it, p, u, a, s, pv, pl = setae; pa = porose area.

Taxonomy

Main generic traits of Gittella

Adult — Size. Length about 300–800. Integument. Notogaster and anogenital region smooth or microgranulate, sometimes notogaster and anogenital region foveolate. Prodorsum. Rostrum rounded. Costula present, often vestigial, or absent. Transcostula and lateral carina absent. Interbothridial and postbothridial tubercles present or absent. Interbothridial region with two or three pairs or without muscle sigillae. Rostral, lamellar and interlamellar setae well developed, setiform; le inserted closer to in than to ro. Bothridial seta pectinate. Without humeral tooth and crispa. Thirteen pairs of setae, c represented by alveolus, others of medium-sized or long, setiform or flagellate. Gnathosoma. Subcapitulum diarthric. Adoral seta present, spiniform. Palp setation: 0-2-1-3-9(+1 solenidion). Palp solenidion very long, in anterior part attached to distal seta. Chelicera chelate-dentate. Epimeral and lateral podosomal regions. Epimeral border IV present. Epimeral setal formula: 3-1-3-3; all setae setiform. Ventroscugal tubercle absent. Pedotectum I represented by small lamina. Discidium developed. Anogenital region. Five pairs of genital, one pair of aggenital, two pairs of anal, and three pairs of adanal setae, all setae setiform. Adanal seta ad1 posterolateral or lateral, ad2 lateral, ad3 anterolateral to anal plate, distance between ad3–ad3 longer than ag–ag and ad2–ad2. Adanal lyrifissure usually oblique, located close and lateral to anal aperture. Legs. Tarsus I with 20 setae (l″ present), tarsus II with 16 setae (l″ present). Tarsus II with two solenidia.

Juveniles — Unknown.

Description

Gittella kontschani n. sp.

Zoobank: xxxxxxxxxxx

(Figures 1, 2)

Diagnosis — Body size: 481–531 × 223–249. Body surface microgranulate; notogaster and anogenital region foveolate. Costula absent or vestigial. Rostral, lamellar, interlamellar,
Figure 1 *Gittella kontschani* **n. sp.**, adult: A – dorsal view (legs omitted); B – ventral view (gnathosoma and legs omitted); C – lateral view (legs omitted). Scale bar 100 μm.
Figure 2  *Gittella kontschani* n. sp., adult: A – subcapitulum, ventral view; B – palp, right, antiaxial view; C – chelicera (Trägårdh’s organ omitted), left, paraxial view; D – leg I, right, antiaxial view; E – leg II left, paraxial view; F – leg III (trochanter turned), left, paraxial view; G – leg IV, left, antiaxial view. Scale bar 20 μm (A, C), 10 μm (B), 50 μm (D–G).

Ermilov S. G. et al. (2021), *Acarologia* 61(4): 1015-1022. https://doi.org/10.24349/z72f-jdlc
Description — Measurements – Body length: 531 (holotype, male), 481–531 (16 paratypes, 11 males and five females); body width: 223 (holotype), 223–249 (16 paratypes). No distinct difference between male and female in body size.

Integument – Body color light brown to brown. Body surface densely microgranulate (diameter of granule less than 1). Notogaster and anogenital region sparsely foveolate (diameter of foveola up to 8). Lateral part of body between bothridium and acetabula I-III densely tuberculate (diameter of tubercle up to 4).

Prodorsum — Rostrum broadly rounded. Costula absent, rarely vestigial, indistinct. Rostral (36–41), lamellar (28–32), interlamellar (28–32), and exobothridial (28–32) setae setiform, slightly barbed. Bothridial seta (82–86) with five to seven branches; of them, two or three distal branches shorter than others. Interbothridial region with two pairs of muscle sigillae, but sometimes anterior pair divided into two pairs (in these cases, three pairs of muscle sigillae present). Interbothridial tubercle absent, but sometimes indistinct thickening observed instead. Postbothridial tubercle absent. Longitudinal row, comprising several muscle sigillae, present in front of the bothridium.

Notogaster – Anterior border convex medially. Twelve pairs of notogastral setae (73–90) setiform, barred; thirteenth pair (setae c) represented by alveoli. All notogastral lyrifissures and opisthonotal gland opening distinct.

Gnathosoma – Subcapitulum size: 118–127 × 90–94. Subcapitular setae (a: 18–20; m: 26–28; h: 22–24) setiform, slightly barbed. Palp length: 69–73. Postpalpal seta (4) spiniform, smooth. Chelicera length: 110–118. Cheliceral setae (cha: 34–36; chb: 20) setiform, barbed.

Epimeral and lateral podosomal regions — All epimeral setae (1a, 2a, 3a, 4b: 16; 1b, 1c, 3b, 4a: 20–22; 3c: 28–32; 4c: 32–36) setiform, slightly barbed. Discidium strong, triangular.

Anogenital region – Genital (g1: 16–18; others: 12–14), aggenital (16–18), adanal (36–41), and anal (16–18) setae setiform, slightly barbed. Adanal lyrifissure diagonal, close and lateral to anal aperture. Ovipositor elongated (159 × 28), blade (45) shorter than length of distal section (beyond middle fold; 114). Each of the three blades with four smooth setae, ψ1 ≈ τ1 (41) setiform, ψ2 ≈ τ2 ≈ τ3 ≈ τ4 (16) elongate thorn-like. Coronal setae not observed.

Legs – All leg claws with tubercle ventrobasally, slightly barred on dorsopore area on femora I-IV and tibiae I, II slightly visible. Formulas of leg setation and solenidia: I (1-5-2-4-20) (1-2-2), II (1-5-2-4-16) (1-1-2), III (2-3-1-3-15) (1-1-0), IV (1-2-2-3-12) (0-1-0); homology of setae and solenidia indicated in Table 1. Famulus of tarsus I erect, slightly swollen and blunted distally, inserted before solenidion ω1.

Table 1 Leg setation and solenidia of adult Gittella kontschani n. sp.

| Leg | Tr | Fe | Ge | Ti | Ta |
|-----|----|----|----|----|----|
| I   | v' | d, (l), bv" | v" | (l), σ | (l), (v), α, τ2 | (ft), (tc), (it), (p), (a), (s), (pv), (pl), l" | v', ε, ω1, ω2 |
| II  | v' | d, (l), bv" | v" | (l), σ | (l), (v), α | (ft), (tc), (it), (p), (a), (s), (pv), l" | ω1, ω2 |
| III | l', v' | d, l', ev' | l', σ | l', (v), α | (ft), (tc), (it), (p), (a), (s), (pv) |
| IV  | v' | d, ev' | d, l" | l', (v), α | ft", (tc), (p), (a), (s), (pv) |

Note: Roman letters refer to normal setae (except ε = famulus); Greek letters refer to solenidia. Single quotation mark (’) marks setae on the anterior and double quotation mark (’') setae on the posterior side of a given leg segment; parentheses refer to a pair of setae.
Type material — Holotype (male) and 16 paratypes (11 males and five females). The holotype is deposited in the collection of the MUSM; two paratypes are deposited in the collection of the ZSM; 14 paratypes are deposited in the collection of the TSUMZ. All specimens are preserved in 70% solution of ethanol with a drop of glycerol.

Etymology — The species name kontschani is given in honour of our friend and colleague, the well-known acarologist Dr. Jenő Kontschán (Budapest, Hungary), for his invaluable contributions to the knowledge of the mite fauna of ACP Panguana.

Remarks — Gittella kontschani n. sp. is morphologically most similar to Gittella variabilis Ermilov, Sandmann, Marian and Maraun, 2013 in having foveolate notogaster and anogenital region, but differs from the latter by the smaller body length (481–531 versus 647–680), the relative length of prodorsal setae (ro > le = in = ex versus in > le = ro > ex), and the absence (versus presence) of interlamellar and postbothridial tubercles.

Distinctive characters of the new species compared with other members of Gittella can be found in the identification key below.

Key to known species of Gittella

1. Dorsal notogastral setae comparatively short, tip of dm distinctly not reaching insertion of dp; costula well developed; body length less than 400. ........................................ 2
— Dorsal notogastral setae comparatively long, tip of dm reaching insertion of dp; costula absent or vestigial; body length more than 450. ........................................ 3

2. All notogastral setae smooth; epimeral border IV straight; rostral seta longer than lamellar and interlamellar setae; body length: 360. ............................... Gittella punctata Hammer, 1961.  
— All notogastral setae barbed; epimeral border IV arch-like; lamellar seta longer than rostral and interlamellar setae; body length: 311–328. ................................. Gittella minor Ermilov, Sandmann, Marian and Maraun, 2013.

3. Notogaster and anogenital region foveolate (well visible in lateral aspect) .................. 4
— Notogaster and anogenital region not foveolate ........................................ 5

4. Interbothridial and postbothridial tubercles present; interlamellar seta longer than rostral and lamellar setae; body length: 647–680. ............................... Gittella variabilis Ermilov, Sandmann, Marian and Maraun, 2013.  
— Interbothridial and postbothridial tubercles absent; rostral seta longer than lamellar and interlamellar setae; body length: 481–531 ........................ Gittella kontschani n. sp.

5. Dorsal notogastral setae very long, flagellate, tip of dm reaching of insertion of lp; body length: 500–524. ................................................ Gittella flagellata (Mahunka, 1983).  
— Dorsal notogastral setae so not long, setiform, tip of dm distinctly not reaching of insertion of lp ................................................................................ 6

6. All notogastral setae smooth; interbothridial muscle sigillae not observed; body length: 630–796. ..................................................... Gittella ecuadoriensis Ermilov and Kalúz, 2012.  
— All notogastral setae barbed; interbothridial muscle sigillae distinct ....................... 7

7. Interlamellar seta longer than rostral and lamellar setae; pair of interbothridial tubercles absent; two pairs of interbothridial muscle sigillae; body length: 640. .................................................. Gittella maxima (Balogh and Mahunka, 1981).  
— Interlamellar seta not longer than rostral and lamellar setae; pair of interbothridial tubercles present; three pairs of interbothridial muscle sigillae; body length: 576–642. .............................................. Gittella insularis Mahunka, 1998.
Distribution and habitat of *Gittella*

At present, all eight representatives of *Gittella* have been recorded only in the Neotropical region. Except for three species (*G. insularis*, *G. maxima*, *G. variabilis*), the other five species have a highly circumscribed geographic distribution, i.e. are endemic to a single country.

Three species were originally described from Ecuador: *G. ecuadoriensis* from litter (2000–2200 m a.s.l.) near San Francisco de las Pampas, Reserva de Bosque Integral Otonga, central Ecuador (Ermilov and Kalúz, 2012); *G. minor* and *G. variabilis* from the upper organic soil layer in mostly undisturbed rain forest (2000 m a.s.l.) near Estación Científica San Francisco, southern Ecuador (Ermilov et al. 2013). Later, *G. variabilis* was repeatedly recorded from litter (about 250 m a.s.l.) in the primary evergreen lowland rainforest near the river Río Yuyapichis, Panguana, central Peru (Ermilov and Gwiazdowicz 2015; Ermilov and Friedrich 2016, 2017); *G. kontschani* n. sp. was described from the same vicinities.

The species *G. flagellata* was originally described from soil in Assancao, northern Brazil (Mahunka 1983); *G. insularis* from very humid soil-litter (200-350 m a.s.l.) in rain forest in Quiless Reserve near Piton St. Esprit, Saint Lucia, Antilles (Mahunka 1998); *G. maxima* was originally described from wet soil and moss near Acaray waterfall in Ciudad del Este (as Puerto Presidente Stroessner), southeastern Paraguay (Balogh and Mahunka 1981); *G. punctata* from highly moist moss on the ground in Machu Picchu, eastern Cordillera, southern Peru (Hammer 1961). Also, *G. insularis* was recorded from litter in a rain forest at the border of Beni river in Maididi National Park, northwestern Bolivia (Ermilov and Niedbala 2013), and from leaf litter in a forest in Alejandro de Humboldt National Park, southeastern Cuba (Ermilov et al. 2016); *G. maxima* from tropical moist forest in Isla Coiba, Panama (Schatz 2007), and from fragments of semi-deciduous forests in Alter do Chão region, State of Pará, northern Brazil (Ferreira et al. 2012).
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