CASE REPORT

Surgical findings and technical knacks to performing living donor liver transplantation for hepatocellular carcinoma recurrence after carbon ion radiotherapy
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Abstract

Although carbon-ion radiotherapy (CIRT) has been reported to achieve good local control of hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC), liver transplantation is still required in patients with tumor recurrence. However, few cases of living donor liver transplantation (LDLT) after curative CIRT for HCC has been reported. It would be of great interest to ascertain the true situation of the irradiated region as well as to clarify the surgical points. We herein report the surgical findings and our experience along with technical difficulties and knacks concerning two cases of LDLT for HCC after CIRT. Both patients suffered tumor recurrence after curative CIRT for HCC. Severe adhesions were found between the irradiated region and the surrounding tissues, which resulted in surgical difficulties. Histological findings showed severe tissue fibrosis in the CIRT area. We should pay attention to adhesions in the irradiated area caused by CIRT including the vascular reconstruction during surgery.

INTRODUCTION

Hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) is the second leading cause of cancer death worldwide and in less developed countries and the sixth leading cause of cancer death in more developed countries among men [1]. Carbon-ion radiotherapy (CIRT) has been developed as an effective treatment for HCC with cirrhosis [2]. The effectiveness was equivalent to the results of hepatectomy, independent of tumor location, and excellent local control of even tumors adjacent to the hepatic hilum can be obtained [3]. Furthermore, thus far, no death or liver failure has been reported. The local control rate at 5 years is over 80%, and the overall survival rate at 3 years is 50% [4].

We recently reported the first case of living donor liver transplantation (LDLT) after curative CIRT for HCC with portal vein invasion [5]. This report confirmed a pathological complete response of CIRT, although the surgical findings were not reported. LDLT for HCC recurrence after CIRT remains rare. Thus far, only two patients with HCC recurrence after CIRT have undergone LDLT at our hospital.

We herein report the surgical findings and our experience along with the technical difficulties and knacks associated with these two cases in order to provide suggestions regarding the management of similar patients.

CASE REPORT

Case 1

A 50-year-old woman with a diagnosis of HCC-related liver failure and hepatitis C virus (HCV) infection-related liver cirrhosis...
was referred to our hospital (Table 1). She was diagnosed with chronic hepatitis C in 2002 and received splenectomy due to portal hypertension in 2006. Interferon therapy was administered several times, and she achieved a sustained virological response in 2011.

In 2014, she was administered CIRT with a total of 60 Gy (relative biological effectiveness) given in four fractions because

---

**Table 1** Demographic characteristics of the two cases.

| Character | Case 1                  | Case 2                  |
|-----------|-------------------------|-------------------------|
| Medical history | 50-year-old female | 60-year-old male |
|            | In 2002, chronic hepatitis C | In 2014, CT: → HCC in S4 |
|            | In 2006, PH → splenectomy | In 2014, CIRT (60 Gy in four fractions) |
|            | In 2011, interferon→SVR, LC | September 2015, CT → HCC recurrence in S6 (18 mm), multiple HCC |
|            | July 2014, CECT → HCC (3 cm) in S7 → PV invasion | January 2016, TACE → meet with Milan criteria |
|            | September 2014, HCC (5 cm), CIRT (60 Gy in four fractions) | |
|            | September 2015, new lesion in left lobe → liver failure | |

**Diagnosis in Lt**

- HCC (cT1N0M0, Stage I)
- LC-HCV
- Liver failure, Child-Pugh grade B (9), MELD score 11
- In 2014, CT: → HCC in S4
- In 2014, CIRT (60 Gy in four fractions)
- September 2015, CT → HCC recurrence in S6 (18 mm), multiple HCC
- January 2016, TACE → meet with Milan criteria

**Treatment**

- February 2016, LDLT enlarged left lobe graft
- Operation time: 11 h 19 min
- Bleeding: 2490 g
- Blood transfusion: FFP10U

- In 2014, CECT → HCC (3 cm) in S7 → PV invasion

---

SVR, sustained virologic response; CECT, contrast-enhanced computed tomography; PH, portal hypertension; PV, portal vein; LC, liver cirrhosis; HCC, hepatocellular carcinoma; CIRT, carbon-ion radiotherapy; MELD, Model for End-Stage Liver Disease; LDLT, living-donor liver transplantation; HCV, hepatitis C virus; FFP, fresh-frozen plasma; RBC, red blood cell; PC, platelet cell.
of rapidly enlarged tumor with segmental portal vein invasion, as shown on contrast-enhanced computed tomography. Before admission, CT revealed a new recurrence tumor in the lateral segment of the left lobe (Fig. 1A). The irregular surface and unsmooth liver edge also showed LC. Furthermore, severe atrophy was obvious at the CIRT area (Fig. 1A). Because of the new lesion in the left lobe located close to the heart and the underlying liver failure with C-P grade B (9 points), LDLT was performed with an extended left lobe graft from her son in 2015. During surgery, strong adhesion between the thoracic diaphragm and the irradiated lesion in Segment 7 was observed, which resulted in difficulty with adhesiolysis (Fig. 1B). If we preserve the diaphragm, it might be injured irradiated liver during adhesiolysis. We intensively choose a part of diaphragm resection with liver, also protected inferior vena cava (IVC) from injury during resection of diaphragm. We checked where the IVC existed in the thoracic cavity and preserved the IVC above the liver, encircling the right hepatic vein (RHV) after mobilization of the liver. The diaphragm was then repaired directly by suturing. After reconstruction of the hepatic vein (HV), portal vein (PV), hepatic artery and bile duct, we successfully finished the operation. The surgery took over 11 h to complete, and the total amount of blood lost was 2500 g with 10 units of fresh-frozen plasma transfusion (FFP). The specimens showed no HCC recurrence in the CIRT area but did show severe fibrosis (Fig. 2).

Case 2

The patient was a 60-year-old man who had been diagnosed with HCV-related LC and HCC (Table 1). In 2014, this patient underwent CIRT with a total of 60 Gy (relative biological effectiveness) given in four fractions for HCC in S4 and was in complete remission (CR). In 2015, multiple HCC was observed on CT (Fig. 3A). After treatment with TACE, he met the Milan criteria and underwent LT. Preoperative magnetic resonance imaging with gadolinium-ethoxybenzyl-diethylenetriamine penta-acetic acid (EOB-MRI) revealed atrophy in the CIRT area and hypertrophy in the left liver (Fig. 3B).

During surgery, strong atrophy of the liver parenchyma was found in the irradiated area (Fig. 3C). Tissues adjacent to the irradiated area were also strongly adhered. During dissection of the right side in the liver hilum, the right hepatic artery (RHA) was injured due to inflexible conglutination (Fig. 3D). We sutured and closed the bleeding point of the RHA and decided to separate the RHA without detachment between the RHA and bile duct. Carefully, the liver was retrieved after we successfully secured the common bile duct, left hepatic artery (LHA), PV and HV. This case is also transplanted with left lobe graft. We reconstructed the middle hepatic vein and left hepatic vein (MHV–LHV) in the recipient to the MHV–LHV in the donor by continuous sutures with 4-0 Prolene, the left branch of the PV in the recipient to the left branch of the PV in the donor by continuous sutures with 5-0 Prolene, and the LHA in the recipient to the LHA in the donor by interrupted sutures with 8-0 Prolene using microscopy.

After reconstruction of the LHA, we found that the graft backflow from the middle hepatic artery (MHA) of the liver graft was weak. Therefore, we decided that reconstruction of the MHA was necessary. As the recipient’s RHA was not suitable for reconstruction due to CIRT, we chose to use the right gastroepiploic artery (RGEA) in the recipient. We performed reconstruction between the RGEA in the recipient to the MHA in the donor by interrupted sutures with 8-0 Prolene using microscopy.

The surgery took over 14 h to complete, and the total amount of blood lost was 4400 g, with 6 U of red blood cell (RBCs), 25 U of FFP and 10 U of platelet (PC) transfusion. The histological specimens showed severe fibrosis along with heavy

Figure 3: Preoperative photograph and intraoperative images of case 2. (A) New lesion in S6 on preoperative CT. Atrophy was noted in the CIRT area, and hypertrophy was noted in the left liver on preoperative EOB-MRI (B) and intraoperative images (C). (D) Severe tissue adhesion on the hepatic hilar resulted in difficulties during adhesiolysis.
during detachment between the diaphragm and liver. After partial resection of the thoracic diaphragm to avoid liver injury adhesion between the thoracic diaphragm and S7 resulted in gery and increased risk of complications. In case 1, strong the non-CIRT area (white color in the liver (dotted line area). H&E staining revealed normal liver in which might be accompanied by a high risk of difficul
diance period after CIRT for HCC. This may serve as a remained to perform a 1-year surveil-
nce in both of our cases, strong adhesion due to CIRT was difficult to predict based on preoperative photographs; such images will need to be carefully evaluated before surgery and meticulously administered during operation. The two present cases showed adhesion and fibrosis characteristics of CIRT. Surgically, we should pay attention to adhe-
sion in the irradiated area caused by CIRT including the vascular reconstruction during. We believe that our surgical experience will be beneficial for managing other LDLT cases with HCC after CIRT. More knowledge must be gained regarding the hepatic histopathological features after CIRT for HCC in order to improve the understanding of the biological specificity of CIRT for HCC and possibly optimize the treatment.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST STATEMENT
Non declared.

FUNDING
None.

REFERENCES
1. Torre LA, Bray F, Siegel RL, Ferlay J, Lortet-tieulent J, Jemal A. Global cancer statistics, 2012. CA Cancer J Clin 2015;65:87–108. doi:10.3322/caac.21262.
2. Kato H, Tsujii H, Miyamoto T, Mizoe J, Kamada T, Tsuji H, et al. Results of the first prospective study of carbon ion radiotherapy for hepatocellular carcinoma with liver cirrho-
sis. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys 2004;59:1468–76. doi:10.1016/j.ijrobp.2004.01.032.
3. Imada H, Kato H, Yasuda S, Yamada S, Yanagi T, Kishimoto R. Comparison of efficacy and toxicity of short-course carbon ion radiotherapy for hepatocellular carcinoma depending on their proximity to the porta hepatitis. Radiother Oncol 2010;96: 231–5. doi:10.1016/j.radonc.2010.05.019.
4. Nakayama H, Sugahara S, Tokita M, Fukuda K, Mizumoto M, Abei M, et al. Proton beam therapy for hepatocellular carcino-
ma: the University of Tsukuba experience. Cancer 2009;115: 5499–506. doi:10.1002/cncr.24619.
5. Toyama S, Shioyama Y, Suefuji H, Shinoto M, Matsumoto K, Terashima K, et al. A case of the cirrhotic patient performed living donor liver transplantation after carbon-ion radiother-
apy for hepatocellular carcinoma. Int Cancer Conf J 2018;7: 65–70. doi:10.1007/s13691-018-0322-5.
6. Imada H, Kato H, Yasuda S, Yamada S, Yanagi T, Hara R, et al. Compensatory enlargement of the liver after treatment of hepatocellular carcinoma with carbon ion radiotherapy—relation to prognosis and liver function. Radiother Oncol 2010; 96:236–42. doi:10.1016/j.radonc.2010.03.025.