Temperature-induced melting of double-stranded DNA in the absence and presence of covalently bonded antitumour drugs: insight from molecular dynamics simulations
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ABSTRACT

The difference in melting temperature of a double-stranded (ds) DNA molecule in the absence and presence of bound ligands can provide experimental information about the stabilization brought about by ligand binding. By simulating the dynamic behaviour of a duplex of sequence 5'-d(TAATAACGGATTATT)-3'·5'-d(AATAATCCGTTATTA) in 0.1 M NaCl aqueous solution at 400 K, we have characterized in atomic detail its complete thermal denaturation profile in <200 ns. A striking asymmetry was observed on both sides of the central CGG triplet and the strand separation process was shown to be strongly affected by bonding in the minor groove of the prototypical interstrand crosslinker mitomycin C or the monofunctional tetrahydroisoquinolines trabectedin (Yondelis®), Zalypsis® and PM01183®. Progressive helix unzipping was clearly interspersed with some reannealing events, which were most noticeable in the oligonucleotides containing the monoadducts, which maintained an average of 6 bp in the central region at the end of the simulations. These significant differences attest to the demonstrated ability of these drugs to stabilize dsDNA, stall replication and transcription forks, and recruit DNA repair proteins. This stabilization, quantified here in terms of undisrupted base pairs, supports the view that these monoadducts can functionally mimic a DNA interstrand crosslink.

INTRODUCTION

The stability of a DNA double helix containing two complementary polynucleotide chains interacting with each other via Watson–Crick (WC) hydrogen bonds largely depends on its length, base composition, sequence and presence of mismatches, but it can also be strongly perturbed either globally or locally by alterations in pH, temperature and solvent (1) as well as by the binding of proteins such as helicases, polymerases or topoisomerases in living cells (2). In fact, local melting leading to strand separation is necessary for such vital processes as replication, transcription, recombination or repair (3) and can be affected by the presence of non-covalently bound [e.g. mono- and bis-intercalators (4)] or covalently bonded drugs [e.g. cisplatin and nitrogen mustards (5)]. Within the latter category we have focused on several anti-tumour agents (Figure 1) that form a covalent bond with the exocyclic N2 of select guanines in the DNA minor groove: (i) on the one hand, the well-known mitomycin C (MMC), which upon reductive activation is capable of physically connecting the two strands by simultaneously bonding to the two guanines present at a CpG step in a double-stranded (ds) DNA molecule (6), and (ii) on the other hand, the tetrahydroisoquinoline derivatives (7) Yondelis®, Zalypsis® and PM01183®, which form monoadducts in the minor groove that have been shown in fluorescence-based assays to increase substantially the melting temperature of selected DNA oligonucleotides (8–10). An interstrand crosslink (ICL) is considered a highly damaging lesion in DNA and clinically relevant for anti-neoplastic action because it represents a complex challenge to the DNA repair machinery (11).
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Advances in computer power, force field development and simulation methodologies applied to the study of nucleic acid structures have led to a maturity in the field that enables theoretical results to fill in some of the missing features that the experimental tools cannot provide. Thus simulations describing the thermal melting properties of several DNA duplexes have been carried out using both simplified coarse-grained models in the absence of ions and solvent molecules and atomistic models in either a continuum solvent model or explicit water. A remaining limitation in modelling this process is that the time scale usually covered in most MD simulations (tens or hundreds of nanoseconds) is much shorter, with some notable recent exceptions, than the time over which melting actually takes place. The workaround has been either to study very short DNA oligomers or to carry out the simulations under conditions that favour WC hydrogen bond disruption and strand separation, as for example, increased salt concentration and elevated temperature or the presence of miscible pyridine in the aqueous solvent. Another less explored alternative has been to use a combination of replica exchange and metadynamics to simulate the helix to coil transition of three different hexanucleotides. Interestingly, by comparing results from melting simulations of Dickerson’s dodecamer in the presence of only water or a water–pyridine mixture, it was concluded that strand separation is actually triggered by thermal energy and water molecules, with pyridine’s major role being to stabilize partially disrupted microstates through stacking with the solvent-exposed extrahelical nucleobases.

Figure 1. (A) Chemical structures of the N-protonated forms of the drugs used in the melting simulations. In the case of the tetrahydroisoquinolines, dehydration of the hemiaminal (carbinolamine) yields the reactive iminium intermediate that reacts with the 2-amino group of a guanine. In the case of MMC, two-electron reduction of the quinone ring facilitates methoxide elimination, formation of a leuco-aziridinomitosene and opening of the aziridine ring to provide the initial alkylating agent that leads to a DNA monoadduct with the 2-amino group of a guanine; the second alkylating centre is the iminium ion that forms upon the reverse Michael elimination of carbamic acid from the monoadduct and undergoes a nucleophilic attack by the 2-amino group of the guanine in the opposite strand at a CpG step. (B) Schematic of the binding modes of the tetrahydroisoquinolines Yondelis, Zalypsis® and PM-01183® (THIQ, left) and MMC (right) to the central CGG triplet of the DNA 15-mer studied. Covalent and hydrogen bonds are shown, respectively, as solid and dotted lines. Base numbers refer to their position in the 15-mer: strand bearing the adduct = 1–15; complementary strand = 16–30.
Recent work from our labs (24) showed that a bead-immobilized DNA template containing a central CGG triplet surrounded by A:T pairs on both sides was weakly sensitive to XPF, a structure-specific endonuclease that preferentially cleaves one strand of duplex DNA at the 5' side of a junction with single-stranded (ss) DNA (25). Our finding that the cleavage site increased, in a concentration-dependent manner, if the polynucleotide was pre-treated with either Yondelis® or Zalypsis® (8), led us to think that XPF, which is known to play a key role in multiple steps of ICL repair (26) and cuts the strand having the unpaired 3' flap, was probably recognizing a ds/ss discontinuity brought about by the particular choice of trinucleotide repeats (TAA and ATT) on each side of the drug-targeted CGG triplet. Our reasoning was that the enhanced XPF endonuclease activity observed in the presence of the drug adducts was likely to arise from increased stabilization of the A:T-rich region in which the central CGG triplet was embedded.

With the aim of gaining further insight into the effects of a covalently bonded drug on the process of DNA-strand separation, we have used all-atom molecular dynamics (MD) simulations in saline solutions at high temperature to examine and compare the thermal denaturation of an A:T-rich DNA 15-mer containing a central CGG triplet in the absence and presence of a drug covalently bonded to the underlined guanine. Complete loss of WC base pairing was observed for the drug-free oligonucleotide after 190 ns of simulation, whereas the central regions of the drug-bonded duplexes remained hydrogen bonded to different extents at the final time of 200 ns. Our findings thus support the view that the three tetrahydroisoquinoline adducts studied functionally mimic an ICL, despite the fact that these drugs are covalently bonded to only one strand. Moreover, several of the DNA conformational states that were characterized during the melting transitions were unique to the MMC bis-adduct, and this observation might account for some of the differences observed experimentally regarding the repair of these lesions in mammalian cells (8).

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Construction of the deoxyoligonucleotides and force field parameters for the non-standard residues

An initial model for the drug-free 15-mer of sequence 5'-d(TAATAACGGATTATT)-3' (5'-d(AATAATCCGTTATT)) was built using the nucgen module in the AMBER suite of programs (http://ambermd.org/) and optimized parameters for B-DNA (27). The structures of Yondelis® (8), Zalypsis® (9) and PM0118® (10) covalently bonded to the central guanine in the CGG triplet were built as previously reported. The N(2)G-MMC-N(2)G bisadduct with 1'-α stereochemistry (6) was modified by changing the connectivity and subsequent energy refinement of the MMC-N(2)G monoadduct structure deposited in the Protein Data Bank [PDB (28)] with code 199D and then transferring the ICL to the 15-mer by best-fit superposition on the central CpG step. Electrostatic potential-derived (ESP) point charges for the atoms making up the ICL were assigned from the wavefunction calculated using a 6–31 G(d) basis set, as implemented in the ab initio quantum chemistry program Gaussian03 (29), and subsequent fitting employing the RESP methodology (30). Parameters derived for drug atoms were consistent with those present for polynucleotides and proteins in the AMBER force field (31), currently incorporating corrections (parmbsc0) for an improved description of DNA conformations on a multiananosecond time scale (11).

MD simulations

Each molecular system was immersed in a truncated octahedron of TIP3P water molecules (32) that extended 15 Å away from any solute atom so that the resulting volume was enough to accommodate the separation of the strands upon DNA melting. Incorporation of the appropriate number of sodium ions (33) at random locations ensured electrical neutrality. A salt concentration of 0.1 M NaCl was then achieved by addition to each complex of equivalent amounts of Na+ and Cl- ions. The cut-off distance for the non-bonded interactions was 9 Å and periodic boundary conditions were used. Electrostatic interactions were represented using the smooth particle-mesh Ewald method (34) with a grid spacing of 1 Å. The SHAKE algorithm (35) was applied to all bonds involving hydrogens, and an integration step of 2.0 fs was used throughout. The simulation protocol made use of the pnmend module in AMBER 10 (36) and consisted of a number of steps. First, solvent molecules and counterions were relaxed by energy minimization and allowed to re-distribute around the positionally restrained solute (25 kcal mol⁻¹ Å⁻²) during 50 ps of MD at constant temperature (300 K) and pressure (1 atom) essentially as described previously (37). These initial harmonic restraints were gradually reduced in a series of progressive energy minimizations until they were completely removed. The resulting systems were then heated from 100 to 400 K during 25 ps, equilibrated at 400 K for 1 ns in the absence of any restraints and further simulated under the same conditions up to a total time of 200 ns during which system coordinates were collected every 20 ps for further analysis. Each complete simulation took ~2000 h of CPU time running in parallel on 32 IBM Power PC 970MP processors at the Barcelona Supercomputer Centre (MareNostrum).

Analysis of the MD trajectories

Three-dimensional structures and trajectories were visually inspected using the computer graphics program PyMOL (38). Interatomic or centroid-centroid distances, angles and root mean square deviations (rmsd) from a given structure were monitored using the ptraj module in AMBER. Our criteria for WC hydrogen-bonded pairs were (i) a distance between H-bond donor and acceptor atoms shorter than 3.2 Å, and (ii) the existence of at least two H-bonds between paired bases irrespective of their being A:T or G:C.
RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Progressive loss of WC base pairing in the duplexes

General considerations. MD simulations of DNA duplexes at room temperature routinely show fraying and reannealing of terminal base pairs independently of the force field used (39). In line with these findings, the A:T base pairs closest to the ends of the duplexes studied here were lost soon after initiation of the MD production runs (Figure 2). This strand dissociation process was progressively followed by ‘peeling’, that is, untwisting motions favoured by nucleic base competition with water molecules and ions, as well as by non-canonical hydrogen bonding of the purine and pyrimidine base edges with the sugar-phosphate backbone. Our choice of A:T base pairs surrounding the central CGG triplet clearly had an impact on the speed of base pair disruption (Figure 3) as only two hydrogen bonds need to be broken compared with three in the case of G:C base pairs, and they also present a smaller surface area for stacking interactions. Likewise, the selection of non-homopolymeric sequences prevented further base complementarity searching to yield a change of registry, as reported for d(A12)·d(T12) (21) or prevented further base complementarity searching to yield a change of registry, as reported for d(A12)·d(T12) (21) or d(CGCG)2 duplexes (18).

As the simulations progressed it became apparent that the stability of the central CGG triplet was crucial in the reaction coordinate of the melting process. Thus, for the drug-free DNA duplex this triplet remained WC hydrogen-bonded for not less than ~160 ns. At this point dehybridization took over rehybridization and at ~190 ns no standard WC hydrogen-bonded bases remained between the two strands. At 200 ns the simulation was stopped and we considered this time as the deadline for comparison with the other duplexes (Figure 4). Rather unexpectedly, the oligonucleotide containing the MMC bisadduct followed a very similar course, the main difference with respect to the drug-free DNA being that the two strands could not physically separate due to the existence of the ICL between the two guanines. But only the two G:C base pairs making up the CpG step remained WC hydrogen-bonded at the end of the simulation. In stark contrast, the duplexes containing the tetrahydroisoquinoline drug adducts in only one strand displayed a greatly increased stabilization in their central region, with up to 6 bp still hydrogen-bonded after 200 ns. Moreover, further dehybridization after ~110 ns was not only stopped but also reversed in the oligos containing Yondelis® and Zalypsis®. These reannealing events, which translate into a decreased percentage of time in the unpaired state for the base pairs on the 3′ side of the central CGG triplet relative to drug-free DNA (see shoulder in Figure 5), strongly suggest that the presence of the bulky drug in the minor groove helps stabilize the duplex on both sides of the covalent adduct.

Drug-free DNA complex. The rather dramatic decrease in the number of WC base pairs (from 15 down to 6) during the first ~12 ns of the MD production run at 400 K was mostly due to terminal base hydration, loss of stacking interactions (see below), and the existence of fast and reversible microevents of A:T base pair mismatching (Figure 4) following shearing of the canonical base pairs. This was followed by a period of ~40 ns during which an alternation of reversible dehybridization and rehybridization processes involving the central base pairs of the duplex maintained the double helical structure. The well-preserved central CGG triplet helped nuclease some extra dsDNA on both sides during this first part of the simulation forcing the closest AT base pairs to be temporarily reannealed (Figure 3). During the next 60 ns of simulation, the progressive and irreversible melting of all the A:T base pairs was observed. The result was that by 120 ns only the central and more stable CGG triplet remained WC hydrogen bonded. After this point, random hydrogen bonds between mismatched bases could be detected, but strong competition with the solvent prevented stabilization of any of these transition intermediates. Despite the occurrence of some occasional rehybridization microevents between 140 and 160 ns, the remaining two G:C base pairs were clearly not enough to promote further helicity and they broke up at 190 ns. At this time, we considered that the 15-mer was completely and irreversibly melted. Therefore, the three G:C base pairs making up the central triplet remained hydrogen bonded for a total length of about 190 ns.

The time evolution of the rmsd of the drug-free duplex with respect to the initial structure (Supplementary Figure S1) correlated strongly with the fraying-peeling process described above. The results of this simulation allowed us to understand the pathway of double helix disruption under denaturing conditions and were found to be in consonance with results from previous studies using different sequences (19,21). However, by introducing a central CGG triplet, we were able to assess more clearly the much higher stability of these three base pairs with respect to the surrounding AT pairs, which nicely highlights the base-pair dependence of the melting transition. Furthermore, examination of the evolution of the unpaired state of individual base pairs over the course of the simulation revealed some melting asymmetry. Thus, the ATT stretch located 3′ to the central CGG sequence behaved in an all-or-none fashion and melted as a block rather than progressively as did the TAA stretch located on the 5′ side (Figure 3). Interestingly, when TAA and ATT stretches on the 5′ and 3′ sides of the central CGG triplet were swapped, the asymmetry was even more accentuated (Supplementary Figure S2), with (ATT)2 on the 5′-side melting much faster and smoothly than the (TAA)2 block on the 3′-side, which was more resilient to melting and whose base pairs were also disrupted synchronously. Although more work is necessary to account for these differences, it seems clear that ATT triplets are more easily destabilized than TAA triplets, at least when located on the 5′-side of a CGG site. Our next goal was to examine the effect on this melting process of a drug-bonded guanine in the central triplet.

Drug-bonded DNA duplexes. The presence of the bonded drugs had a prominent effect on the denaturing profile of the DNA oligonucleotide (Figure 5). Most noticeable was the greater occurrence of reannealing events that took place over the course of the simulation, with some very...
dramatic examples showing up at \(~120\text{ ns}\) (Figure 4). Indeed, six WC hydrogen-bonded base pairs still remained in the complexes containing the three tetrahydroisoquinolines midway the simulations and for Yondelis\textsuperscript{\textregistered}, this number went up to 11 at \(~125\text{ ns}\). In other words, the double helical structure was preserved at this time to virtually the same extent that it was at the beginning of the MD production run. These continuous dehybridization–rehybridization microevents kept taking place until the very end of the simulation. At this final time, the duplex structures were clearly maintained in the central region since the number of WC hydrogen-bonded base pairs was very close to six in the three complexes.

Remarkably, these critical reannealing events were not so perceptible in the MMC–DNA complex despite the fact that the two strands were physically linked through this drug, which effectively prevented strand separation (Figure 4). Therefore, the most likely explanation for this distinct behaviour is that the smaller size of this
molecule, which fits into the minor groove causing only minimal distortion in the DNA (6), does not give rise to significant non-covalent (van der Waals and electrostatic) interactions with the DNA strands that could help stabilize the duplex. The two G:C base pairs making up the CpG step remained hydrogen bonded all along, with occasional extension to the remaining G:C base pair during the second half of the simulation, but this did not impinge on the stability of the neighbouring A:T base pairs. Interestingly, the last base pair to lose the WC hydrogen bonds in the simulation of the free DNA was G9:C22, whereas the most recalcitrant was C7:G24 when G8 and G24 were linked together by means of the bonded MMC.

Our results also showed, in common with previous evidence (19,21), that disrupted interstrand WC base pairing during the melting process, as described above, was accompanied by increased anomalous pairings in the duplex (Figure 2). Nonetheless, the solvent exposure of the polar atoms that make up the WC hydrogen bonds in a standard DNA double helix consistently increased during the simulated melting process of the drug-free duplex and reached a maximum after the two strands were separated (Supplementary Figure S3A). As expected, this increased exposure was much less marked in the duplexes containing the drug adducts, particularly in the case of Zalypsis® and Yondelis® than for PM01183®.

**Base stacking and hydrophobic effect**

Base stacking plays an even greater role than hydrogen bonding in the stabilization of DNA duplexes in aqueous solutions due to a combination of the hydrophobic effect (40,41) and optimal dipolar interactions between the planar heteroaromatic systems (42). During the
simulations, canonical intrastrand base stacking was largely maintained and, when lost, it was partially compensated by both non-contiguous intrastrand base stacking events and occasional interstrand face-to-face and edge-to-face interactions (data not shown). The overall result was that the individual strands showed a relatively high degree of compactness over the whole melting process (Figure 2). Nonetheless, despite the partial collapse of the individual strands, the DNA non-polar atoms were more exposed to the solvent at the end of the simulations than at the beginning (Supplementary Figure S3B) as a consequence of the increased disorder and loss of stacking interactions. Comparison of initial and final states for the different duplexes shows that those containing the adducts initially display a reduced solvent-accessible surface area (SASA) of their polar atoms relative to drug-free DNA due to partial occlusion by the bonded drug and also reduced solvent exposure at the end of the simulations as a consequence of the larger number of WC hydrogen-bonded base pairs. These differences are not as striking in the case of non-polar atoms because the extent of avoidance of interactions with water is much more similar in all the duplexes.

Implications for the mode of action of these drugs

The relevance of the present results is supported by (i) renewed interest in DNA minor groove binders as anti-cancer therapeutic agents (7,43), (ii) the established role of MMC in the therapy of bladder tumours (44) and other types of cancer, (iii) the recent approval of trabectedin (Yondelis®) (45) for the treatment of patients with advanced or metastatic soft tissue sarcoma and relapsed platinum-sensitive ovarian carcinoma and (iv) the ongoing clinical development of Zalypsis® (9) and PM01183® (10) for the treatment of solid tumours and haematological malignancies.

The three tetrahydroisoquinolines studied here have in common a fused pentacyclic skeleton that is mostly responsible for DNA recognition and binding to the amino group of a suitably embedded guanine (7). The sequence specificity of the three drugs depends on the establishment of highly specific hydrogen bonds with the nucleotides on both sides of the guanine that will be covalently bonded (46,47). Besides, non-covalent interactions within the minor groove help to stabilize the double helical structure of the duplex to which they bind, as inferred from experiments demonstrating notable increases (~20°C) in the melting temperature of dsDNA containing a single drug adduct (8–10) and the MD simulation results presented herein. Thus, although these three compounds are covalently bonded to only one strand of the DNA double helix, they have been viewed (8) as functional interstrand crosslinkers insofar as they prevent strand separation very effectively. To compare this action with that elicited by a true interstrand crosslinker, we chose MMC as a prototypical representative because of the similarities detected in cells exposed to all of these drugs regarding (i) phosphorylation of histone H2AX, a surrogate marker of double-strand breaks, (ii) Rad51 foci formation in the nucleus, which is known to facilitate DNA repair via homologous recombination and (iii) participation of the Fanconi anaemia (FA) pathway in the processing of the DNA damage (8). Nonetheless, a notable and yet unexplained difference regarding the effects of MMC and Yondelis® on the cell cycle of FA-deficient cells is that trabectedin, unlike MMC, did not induce any significant accumulation of cells in G2-M. Among the possible reasons for this differential effect on cell-cycle progression, the nature of the interaction of these drugs with DNA was pinpointed (8). The present results reinforce this possibility and provide a clear atomistic rationale for this interpretation even though more work will be necessary to clarify this point categorically.

CONCLUSIONS

DNA melting in the absence and presence of bonded drugs can provide information about the duplex
stabilization brought about by drug binding. Performing the simulations under conditions similar to those used in the real-life experiments, i.e. progressively increasing the temperature until complete separation of the two strands is detected, is currently unfeasible given the time scale of this process. However, by employing a constant elevated temperature from the outset as a control parameter for overcoming energy barriers more easily and using high salt concentrations, we were able to detect complete thermal denaturation of the drug-free oligodeoxynucleotide in <200 ns, still a formidable time for simulating large macromolecular systems such as these but now made affordable by the combined use of optimized parallel algorithms and available supercomputing power.

Initially, the duplex under study had fifteen WC hydrogen-bonded base pairs. During the initial stages of the simulation the frayed nucleobases at the ends started looping back to make transient hydrogen bonds with the sugar-phosphate backbone and this resulted in both untwisting and peeling apart of the DNA strands, essentially as described for similar duplexes (19,21). Although the number of intact base pairs consistently decreased with time, a striking asymmetry was observed on both sides of the central CGG triplet, as well as considerable fluctuations over the full course of the denaturation process. Furthermore, the progressive unzipping was clearly interspersed with some reannealing events, which were most noticeable in the oligonucleotides containing the tetrahydroisoquinoline monoadducts. After 200 ns of MD simulation, all the original WC hydrogen bonds were lost in the free oligonucleotide, whereas an extended WC-paired region embedding the central CGG triplet was still maintained in all drug–DNA complexes. This finding attests to the ability of these drugs to stabilize dsDNA and stall replication and transcription forks. On the other hand, in the MMC–DNA complex (Figure 6), but not in those with Yondelis®, Zalypsis® or PM01183®, several unique arrangements between the drug and different DNA bases in the ss region favoured the transient formation of distinct secondary structures in which MMC appeared pseudointercalated (Supplementary Figure S4). These hydrophobically collapsed intermediates, together with the existence of a true ICL physically connecting the two DNA strands, are likely to pose distinct challenges to the DNA repair machinery and may account for the differences in cellular response that have been detected experimentally (8).

Taken together, our results provide an atomic view of the process of DNA melting in the presence and absence of several bonded anti-tumour drugs, and they are found to be in agreement with the large stabilization that single Yondelis®, Zalypsis® and PM01183® monoadducts have been shown to impose on the DNA double helix (8–10). On the contrary, the prototypical interstrand crosslinker MMC does prevent strand separation because of the fact that it forms a covalent bond with each DNA strand, but its effect on duplex stabilization does not appear to extend beyond the CpG step to which it is covalently attached. Therefore, this is another example of how theory and experiment can be successfully merged to help us improve our understanding in atomic detail of anti-tumour drugs acting on such a vital macromolecule as DNA.

Figure 6. Distances between the ring centroids of MMC and different DNA nucleobases during the simulated melting process. The drug’s chromophore (CPK spheres coloured in orange) served as a platform for hydrophobic contacts with different nucleobases from one of the melted strands (that coloured in red), in the chronological order shown at the top of the figure. The dotted line given as a reference at 3.4 Å represents the canonical distance between stacked nucleobases in a standard B-DNA double helix.
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