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Changes in nutrients elements uptake, physiological characteristics, as well as, correlations analysis for these traits to each other are good factors to study the effects of drought on sweet pepper (Capsicum annuum L. cv., commercial hybrid) and disclose physiological reaction to several specific levels of stress from water deficit. Water scarcity processing was carried out by irrigating the sweet pepper plants with 20, 40 and 60% of water deficit to reach soil pot capacity, plus to 100% of water capacity (control). The results showed that the concentrations of nutrients of the studied sweet pepper grown in pots under different water treatments varied greatly depending on the levels of water stress except C. Resulting data of the growing season's experiments revealed that, the four water stress levels had a highly significant effect on all the four traits under this study. The content of proline in plant had risen significantly by drought stress treatments (80, 60 and 40% of pot capacity). All water stress treatments led to high significant changes in the accumulation of vitamins and enzymes, that is, peroxidase, ascorbate peroxidase, catalase and superoxide dismutase. Also, the associations of correlation between all physiological traits had been reported in results.
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INTRODUCTION

Water stress is considered as one of the serious limitations of agricultural production especially in water scarcity regions (Showemimo and Olayewaju, 2007) including Kingdom of Saudia Arabia. The high temperature and scarcity of water in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia have led to increased competitions for water resources among various sectors (domestic, agricultural and industry uses). Consequently, the most critical problem to address in this concern of water scarcity is the economic and efficient irrigation systems for increased production of sweet pepper and reduced import from outside countries.

Sweet pepper (Capsicum annuum L.), is one of the important prominent solanaceous crop plants widely cultivated in tropical and subtropical plantations. The growth impairment, low quantity and quality of production are caused by several factors. On top of these factors is water scarcity. Plants utilize a range of physiological and biochemical techniques to overcome the negative effect of water deficit. Many studies in different areas around
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Irrigation treatments

The water stress condition had been applied on many solanaceae plants (sweet pepper) which has been subjugated to investigation. The evaporation potential was determined utilizing pan evaporation as defined by Doorenbos (1975). Treatments included four levels of water proceedings, viz; control treatment, normal irrigation (W1), and the other three treatments were water deficit to reach soil pot capacity by 20% (W2), 40% (W3) and 60% (W4).

Physiological parameters

The content of C, K, P and N elements were measured based on Richards (1969) method. Chlorophyll and carotenoids were estimated with an Ultraspec 2100 pro spectrophotometer (Amersham Biosciences), and then calculated according to Arnon (1949). Proline was estimated based on Bates et al. (1973) method. Superoxide dismutase activity (SOD EC 1.15.1.1) was estimated according to Beyer and Fridovich (1987). Peroxidase (POX) activity was measured according to Sakharov and Ardila (1999). Catalase (CAT EC 1.11.1.6) activity was estimated spectrophotometrically according to the method of Aebi (1974). Ascorbate peroxidase (APX EC 1.11.1.11) was determined according to Wang et al. (1991).

Statistical analysis

Analysis of variance (ANOVA), LSD, and simple correlation coefficient were carried out according to Snedecor and Cochran (1980) method using MSTAT software (Nissen et al., 1985).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The analyzed physiological and biochemical characteristics, that is, C, K, P, N, chlorophyll a, b, and total chlorophyll, carotenoids, proline, POX, ascorpate POX, CAT and SOD of sweet pepper variety under four levels of water deficit are presented in Table 1. The ANOVA results revealed that the four water stress levels had high significant effect on all previous investigated traits except the content of C element in plant.

Regarding the content of Potassium in the plant, the highest value (14.27) was recorded in the high level of irrigation 100% (W1), while lowest (6.10) was recorded from (W4) when the level of pot capacity decreased to 40%. In the opposite of the trend of other elements, the largest Phosphorous content (31.47) was obtained by the
lowest treatment in the levels of pot capacity (W4), while the other values varied gradually for the four treatments. Generally, the results indicated that the Phosphorous increased with decreasing stress levels from 100% normal irrigation to 40% pot capacity. Percentage Nitrogen content was affected significantly at 0.01 by water deficit where the level of pot capacity (60%) gave the highest value (2.28), while the lowest value was 1.61 with least significant difference of 0.17.

The results agree with Kirnak et al. (2001) who suggested that concentrations of nutrients in the solanaceous leaves decreased under various irrigation levels. Furthermore, Almohisen (2015) reported similar patterns in tomato content of C, K, P and N. This could mainly be because of water deficit on function of two physiological processes. The first one is increasing number of cells through cell division and the second is increasing cell size through cell elongation and turgidity, then the absorption of elements. Therefore, these processing pushed the plants towards different growth behavior. Many investigators have studied the role of many elements in plants and their physiological functions, in addition to a very important role in plant growth and nutrition (Almohisen, 2015; Azam et al., 2016; Buschmann et al., 2000; Delfine et al., 2001; El-Ghany et al., 2012).

The analysis of variance for green and organic pigments accumulation data of the growing season’s experiments revealed that, the four treatments of drought had a highly significant effect on these four previous characters under this study. Results showed that, the detraction of pot capacity from (100%) to (40%) caused a reduction of total chlorophyll from (1.33) to (0.44), respectively with least significant difference (0.02), taking into consideration the value of 60% pot capacity of (0.43). The same actual trend as total chlorophyll was observed where the W1 (100% normal irrigation) produced the highest figure (0.85), while the water stress treatment, either 60 or 40% gave the smallest accumulation (0.28) and (0.27) of chlorophyll a, respectively, without any significant difference between the two lowest values. As regards chlorophyll b, the highest accumulation (0.49) was obtained from adding water to maximization (100%) of pot capacity; however, the addition of water to 40% and/or 60% of pot capacity gave the lowest value (0.15 mg/g FW) and (0.16), respectively, with non-significant difference between the lowest amounts. Whereas the least significant differences among data of chlorophyll a and/or chlorophyll b was (0.01), water deficit treatments affected the total accumulation of carotenoids significantly (P< 0.01). Carotenoids dramatically decreased responsive to water deficit from (15.38) in W1 (100% normal irrigation) to (6.06) in W4 (60%).

Kirnak et al. (2001) reported that the overall amount of chlorophyll in drought regimes were reduced by 55% relative to treatment. The chlorophyll indicators were used as subordinate predictors of chlorophyll and concentrations of N (Liu et al., 2006). Okunlola et al. (2017) showed that moderate and severe drought induced significant reduction in carotenoid, chlorophyll a, b and total chlorophyll content of the study plants at the vegetative stage.

Regarding the proline content, results showed that, the water deficit reaching soil capacity of pot proceedings by 20, 40 and 60% had high significant impact on increased elevation of proline compared to control (normal irrigation). The results revealed that, proline content was 2.41, 2.59, 2.85 and 7.18 in W1, W2, W3 and W4, respectively. With least significant difference (0.24) where the highest value obtained by W4 followed by W3, then with W2 and W1 (without insignificant difference between W1 and W2). In terms of water strain, proline elevation typically occurs in cytosol, that plays an important role in cytoplasmic osmotic adjustment (Anjum et al., 2012). El Sayed (1992) reported that, the proline dehydrogenase and oxidase activities declined as the water deficit level escalated. In much more severely strained roots and leaves, activity of proline dehydrogenase were inhibited by around 85%. Ali et al. (2012) recommended that, using biological fertilizers and fertilizers which contain amino acids could increase the proline density in wheat under drought conditions.

Data in Table 1 showed the highly significant changes in vitamins and enzymes accumulation, that is, POX, ascorbate POX, CAT and SOD as impact of water deficit. Both low level of pot capacity (40%) and the highest one (100%) gave the highest values of Peroxidase accumulation (6.31) and (5.79), respectively, without any significant difference. Moreover, the lowest values (2.00 and 2.15) were obtained from 80 and 60% of pot capacity, respectively, with no significant difference among them. The highest value of ascorbate peroxidase accumulation (2.40) was obtained from the high level of irrigation (100 pot capacity), while the lowest value of (0.27) was recorded when sweet pepper was irrigated with the low level of pot capacity (W4), taking into consideration that the second treatment (80% pot capacity) gave (0.34) with lowest significant difference (0.13). Exposing sweet pepper plants to water deficit during its life causes a big reduction in catalase accumulation up to about 28% which was (405.45) at normal irrigation (W1), while it highly decreased at 40% of pot capacity (W4) which gave (114.67). On the other hand, superoxide dismutase accumulation in sweet pepper plants values varied highly significantly (0.77 L.S.D.), where the elevation of SOD reached 91.11, 45.58, 34.49 and 17.59 in W1, W3, W2 and W4, respectively.

These differences among studied traits responsive to water strain reflects the role of these components as biological regulators in the plant (El-Saidy et al., 2011; Ahanger et al., 2016), and might even be due to the role of plant metabolism system, in addition to the partial retardation of co-vitamins with some interaction in the generation of reactive oxygen species (Ratnakar and Rai, 2013; Ichwan et al. (2017) concluded that, drought stress
at 75% FC can readily lower the agronomical and physiological characteristics of red chilli. Sayyari and Ghanbari (2012) reported that, super absorbent polymers, irrigation doses and their interrelationships significantly affected sweet pepper biological and physiological metrics. By increasing irrigation intervals and drought stress, growth parameters, and chlorophyll were reduced and proline content increased. Anjum et al. (2012) declared that water deficit caused numerous changes in the activity of antioxidant enzymes. Furthermore, peroxidase and catalase activity were estimated to have increased or stabilized in the early deficit of water and then lowered as the water deficit progressed. Tahi et al. (2008) noticed that increasing the antioxidants correlated with water deficit tolerance. The SOD is deemed to be the first point of defence from ROS that catalyzed the radical superoxide (O$_2^-$) to O$_2$ and H$_2$O$_2$ that are also satiated by various antioxidants.

### Table 1. Impact of water deficit on some physiological traits of studied genotype of sweet pepper.

| Character | C K P N% | Total Chlorophyll | Chlorophyll a | Chlorophyll b | Carotenoids | Proline | Peroxidase | Ascorbate peroxidase | Catalase | Superoxide dismutase |
|-----------|----------|-------------------|---------------|---------------|------------|---------|-----------|---------------------|----------|---------------------|
| Treatment | (mg/gFW) | (mg/gFW)          | (mg/gFW)      | (mg/gFW)      | (mg/gFW)   | (µmol g$^{-1}$FW) | (Ug FW) | (Ug$^{-1}$ FW) | (Ug$^{-1}$ FW) | (Ug$^{-1}$ FW) |
| W1        | 37.20    | 14.27             | 19.40         | 1.74          | 1.33       | 0.85    | 0.49      | 15.38               | 2.41     | 5.79                | 2.40                 | 405.45  | 91.11               |
| W2        | 38.29    | 12.20             | 14.80         | 1.61          | 0.89       | 0.59    | 0.29      | 10.44               | 2.59     | 2.00                | 0.34                 | 137.65  | 34.49               |
| W3        | 40.58    | 13.37             | 18.20         | 2.28          | 0.43       | 0.27    | 0.16      | 6.20                | 2.85     | 2.15                | 0.64                 | 122.79  | 45.58               |
| W4        | 38.15    | 6.10              | 31.47         | 1.91          | 0.44       | 0.28    | 0.15      | 6.06                | 7.18     | 6.31                | 0.27                 | 114.67  | 17.59               |
| F-test    | ns       | **                | **            | **            | **        | **      | **       | **                  | **       | **                  | **                   | **      | **                  |
| LSD (0.5%)| -        | 0.00.43           | 0.00.65       | 0.00.17       | 0.00.02   | 0.00.01 | 0.00.01  | 0.00.13             | 0.00.24  | 0.00.66             | 0.00.13             | 0.01.05 | 0.00.77             |

W: 100% of pot capacity, W$_2$: 80%, W$_3$: 60%, W$_4$: 40%, *: significant at 1%, and ns: not significant.

Correlation analysis

Recorded matrix data in Table 2 show the simple correlation coefficient among some physiological traits of sweet pepper under water stress which are arranged in a correlation matrix.

Statistical analysis of correlation coefficient revealed many types of simple correlation coefficients (either positive or negative direction) and (weak or very strong relation).

**Positive correlation coefficient among all studied characteristicis**

Correlation estimates between K and superoxide dismutase was (0.774), to P and proline was (0.957), and peroxidase was (0.769), which were observed as positive and highly significant relations.

Regarding the three green and one organic pigments, they take the same trend, that is, positive and highly significant relations, where total chlorophyll with both chlorophyll a, chlorophyll b, carotenoids, ascorbate peroxidase, catalase and superoxide dismutase gave (0.999), (0.996), (0.999), (0.829), (0.899) and (0.829), respectively. Also, the correlation between chlorophyll a with both chlorophyll b was (0.990), carotenoids was (0.996), ascorbate peroxidase was (0.801), catalase was (0.877) and superoxide dismutase was (0.805), which, were positive and highly significant. In addition, the correlation between chlorophyll b with both carotenoids, ascorbate peroxidase, catalase and superoxide dismutase were highly positive expressive correlations: (0.998), (0.876), (0.934) and (0.868), respectively.

As respects correlation among the organic pigments trait; carotenoids and both ascorbate peroxidase was (0.851), catalase was (0.915) and superoxide dismutase was (0.850), with all these relations being positive and highly significant correlations. For proline, there is only one positive and highly significant correlation with P content traits as earlier mentioned.

Also, the remaining coefficients that were positive and highly significant were (0.983) between ascorbate peroxidase and catalase, (0.970) for ascorbate peroxidase and superoxide dismutase, and (0.940) for catalase with superoxide dismutase. In this respects, we can say that, the positive and highly significant relations represent about 31% of data.

Moreover, positive and only significant correlation estimates were observed between C and N % which gave (0.598).
Coefficient among all carotenoids
2) between significant correlations superoxide dismutase show with all studied traits was not significant either at 5 found that the association between N % and proline and gives the value (0.166). The correlation coefficient between C with K which gave correlation value (0.120); or K with catalase correlation coefficient between expressive correlation (at level of 5 and 1%) for relationship among characters, there is no significant correlations were (0.590), (0.595), (0.601) and (0.602) between K with green and organic pigments, both total chlorophyll, chlorophyll a, chlorophyll b, carotenoids and ascorbate peroxidase, respectively. In the same trend, coefficient of correlation (0.599) was obtained from the relation between proline and Peroxidase.

As regards weak or moderate positive relationship among characters, there is no expressive correlation (at level of 5 and 1%) for correlation coefficient between C with K which gave correlation value (0.120); or K with catalase (0.540). In the same trend, the correlation coefficient between P and N % was not significant and gives the value (0.166). The correlation between N % and proline was (0.120). Focusing on the results of correlation matrix in Table 2, we found that the association between peroxidase with all studied traits was not significant either at 5 or 1% level, except two cases (mentioned before and three negative cases that will be mentioned later), where both total chlorophyll, chlorophyll a, chlorophyll b, carotenoids, ascorbate peroxidase, catalase and superoxide dismutase show correlation values of (0.212), (0.189), (0.261), (0.224), (0.407), (0.448) and (0.177), respectively.

Negative correlation coefficient among all studied characteristics

Conversely, negative correlation coefficient between all studied traits and each other had been observed to be either at probability of 0.01 or 0.05. Results showed just two cases were negative and highly significant correlations between content of K with both P and proline which gave (-0.872) and (-0.971), respectively.

On the same positive direction of relationships, the only significant correlations were (0.590), (0.583), (0.595), (0.601) and (0.602) between K with green and organic pigments, both total chlorophyll, chlorophyll a, chlorophyll b, carotenoids and ascorbate peroxidase, respectively. In the same trend, coefficient of correlation (0.599) was obtained from the relation between proline and Peroxidase.

As regards weak or moderate positive relationship among characters, there is no expressive correlation (at level of 5 and 1%) for correlation coefficient between C with K which gave correlation value (0.120); or K with catalase (0.540). In the same trend, the correlation coefficient between P and N % was not significant and gives the value (0.166). The correlation between N % and proline was (0.120). Focusing on the results of correlation matrix in Table 2, we found that the association between peroxidase with all studied traits was not significant either at 5 or 1% level, except two cases (mentioned before and three negative cases that will be mentioned before later), where both total chlorophyll, chlorophyll a, chlorophyll b, carotenoids, ascorbate peroxidase, catalase and superoxide dismutase show correlation values of (0.212), (0.189), (0.261), (0.224), (0.407), (0.448) and (0.177), respectively.

Negative correlation coefficient among all studied characteristics

Conversely, negative correlation coefficient between all studied traits and each other had been observed to be either at probability of 0.01 or 0.05. Results showed just two cases were negative and highly significant correlations between content of K with both P and proline which gave (-0.872) and (-0.971), respectively.

On the same positive direction of relationships, the only significant correlations were (0.590), (0.583), (0.595), (0.601) and (0.602) between K with green and organic pigments, both total chlorophyll, chlorophyll a, chlorophyll b, carotenoids and ascorbate peroxidase, respectively. In the same trend, coefficient of correlation (0.599) was obtained from the relation between proline and Peroxidase.

Table 2. Simple correlation coefficient among some physiological traits of sweet pepper under water stress.

| Correlation | 1     | 2     | 3     | 4     | 5     | 6     | 7     | 8     | 9     | 10    | 11    | 12    |
|-------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| 2           | 0.120 |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |
| 3           | -0.131| -0.872**|       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |
| 4           | 0.598*| -0.005| 0.166 |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |
| 5           | -0.544| 0.590*| -0.442| -0.657*|       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |
| 6           | -0.552| 0.583*| -0.458| -0.684*| 0.999**|       |       |       |       |       |       |       |
| 7           | -0.532| 0.595*| -0.404| -0.603*| 0.966**| 0.990**|       |       |       |       |       |       |
| 8           | -0.537| 0.601*| -0.436| -0.629*| 0.996**| 0.996**| 0.998**|       |       |       |       |       |
| 9           | -0.072| -0.971**| 0.957**| 0.120| -0.583*| -0.586*| -0.568| -0.587*|       |       |       |       |
| 10          | -0.519| -0.474| 0.769**| -0.184| 0.212| 0.189| 0.261| 0.224| 0.599*|       |       |       |
| 11          | -0.348| 0.602*| -0.215| -0.203| 0.829**| 0.801**| 0.876**| 0.851**| -0.473| 0.407|       |       |
| 12          | -0.483| 0.540| -0.202| -0.357| 0.899**| 0.877**| 0.934**| 0.915**| -0.441| 0.448| 0.983**|       |
| 13          | -0.264| 0.774**| -0.432| -0.152| 0.829**| 0.805**| 0.868**| 0.850**| -0.666*| 0.177| 0.970**| 0.940**|

* Significant at 0.05 and 0.01, respectively. 1 - C (mg/g DW); 2 - K (mg/g DW); 3 - P (mg/g DW); 4 - N (%); 5 - Total chlorophyll (mg/g FW); 6 - Chlorophyll a (mg/g FW); 7 - Chlorophyll b (mg/g FW); 8 - Carotenoids (mg/g FW); 9 - Proline (µmol g⁻¹ FW); 10 - Peroxidase (Ug⁻¹ FW); 11 - Ascorbate peroxidase (Ug⁻¹ FW); 12 - Catalase (Ug⁻¹ FW); 13 - Superoxide dismutase (Ug⁻¹ FW).
later), where both total chlorophyll, chlorophyll a, chlorophyll b, carotenoids, ascorbate peroxidase, catalase and superoxide dismutase show correlation values of (0.212), (0.189), (0.261), (0.224), (0.407), (0.448) and (0.177), respectively.

**Negative correlation coefficient among all studied characteristics**

Conversely, negative correlation coefficient between all studied traits and each other had been observed to be either at probability of 0.01 or 0.05. Results showed just two cases were negative and highly significant correlations between content of K with both P and proline which gave (-0.872) and (-0.971), respectively.

While the correlation associations were negative and significant at 5% only with the content of N % and both total chlorophyll, chlorophyll a, chlorophyll b and carotenoids, which gave (-0.657), (-0.684), (-0.603) and (-0.629), respectively; in the same trend (negatively significant probability at 0.05), the correlation between proline (µmol g⁻¹ FW) and both total chlorophyll, chlorophyll a, carotenoids and superoxide dismutase were (-0.583), (-0.586), (-0.587) and (-0.666), respectively.

On the contrary, there is negative and non-significant correlation either at probability of 0.01 or 0.05 where the values of correlation, that is, (-0.131), (-0.544), (-0.552), (-0.532), (-0.537), (-0.072), (-0.519), (-0.348), (-0.483) and (-0.264) were obtained from relations between the content of C and both P, total chlorophyll, chlorophyll a, chlorophyll b, carotenoids, proline, peroxidase, ascorbate peroxidase, catalase and superoxide dismutase, respectively.

In the same way, the content of K had been negative and non-significantly correlated with N % by (-0.005) and peroxidase by (-0.474). In addition to that mentioned before, trait of P accumulation had negative and non-significant correlation with both total chlorophyll, chlorophyll a, chlorophyll b, carotenoids, ascorbate peroxidase, catalase and superoxide dismutase with the following values: (-0.442), (-0.458), (-0.404), (-0.436), (-0.215), (-0.202), and (-0.432), respectively.

Based on this, the only negative correlation coefficient between the element N % and both peroxidase (-0.184), ascorbate peroxidase (-0.203), catalase (-0.357) and superoxide dismutase (-0.152) that were not significant was either at 5 or 1% level of probability. Also, the relation between proline and both chlorophyll b, ascorbate peroxidase and catalase gave value of (0.568), (-0.473) and (-0.441), respectively, making the negative and non-significant relations at either 5 or 1% probability level represent more than 33% of the data.

Al-Saady et al. (2012) reported that the existence of NaCl in soil had a massive impact on the content of proline and chlorophyll. According to Yunus (2019), correlation analysis revealed that traits under stress condition had no significant correlation with the traits under non-stress environment, indicating high stress intensity and adds that the drought tolerant genotypes identified in this research could be utilized in future breeding program for further improvement in curly pepper. Many investigators had studied the importance of correlation (El-Ghany et al., 2012; Fufa, 2013; Ichwan et al., 2017; Khan, 1985; Kole and Saha, 2013; Nemeskéri and Helyes, 2019; Sadek et al., 2006; Singh and Chowdhury, 1983; Wallt and Levin, 1998). The role and impact of proline, vitamins and enzymes and its relation with others under drought and salt stress conditions had been studied by many researchers (Abdelaal et al., 2020; Al-Saady et al., 2012; Beltagi, 2008; Gadalla, 2009; Misra and Gupta, 2005; Oertli, 1987; Ratnakar and Rai, 2013; Sun et al., 2011; Veeranagamallaiah et al., 2007).

**Conclusion**

Considering all the parameters of the preliminary study, the preceding findings as a whole and with respect to the sweet pepper hybrid variety tested, it can be concluded that there are various physiological alterations caused by water deficit proceedings for all treatments studied except one (N constant). The findings suggest that irrigation procedures (in pots) with 20% moisture stress to attain pot capacity (W2) are more effective than 40% (W3) and 60% (W4) from the viewpoint of more effective water usage. This would help to reduce drought damage, maintain healthy plants in order to ensure superior performance, and ability to adapt under water deficit and save water usage by 20%.
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