Composting of cow manure and rice straw with cow urine and its influence on compost quality

The aim of this study was to assess the effect of composting process of cow manure and rice straw with application of cow urine and to evaluate the quality of composting products. There were two treatment piles, in which one pile was applied with cow urine every week and another pile without urine application. Each pile was set up by one tone cow manure and 500kg rice straw. The piles were half-covered by plastic foil to protect from rain and turned one a week. The composting duration lasted 8 weeks. The parameters such as temperature, pH, DM, density and nitrogen were monitored and observed during the 8-week period. The results showed that there was a significant difference in temperature, compost quality and duration between two piles with and without cow urine application. The application of cow urine increased significant nitrogen and phosphorous content and shortened the composting process. This study recommends that cow urine should be applied for composting process of cow manure and rice straw in order to increase the quality of compost. The final product was in the range of matured compost level and can be used directly for agriculture crop.

1. Introduction

Cow manure and urine can provide nutrients for agriculture (Schmidt et. al., 2015; Beatriz et. al., 2017). The manure can be used as an input for biogas production but, if managed inappropriately, can also have a negative impact on the environment (Putria et. al., 2012). Cow urine contains a lot of valuable nutrients such as N and P (Sunita et. al., 2017; Nelson et. al., 2009). Previous study has found that cow urine contains 12.6mg L^{-1} N, 97.8mg L^{-1} and 2.666mg L^{-1} K (Nelson et. al., 2009).

Recycling nutrients from urine has many advantages: rather than diverting directly into canals and rivers as it is
common practice in Vietnam, the valuable nutrients can be recovered by transforming into compost product. In this way, nutrients contained in urine can be used as a fertilizer in agriculture instead of causing environmental pollution such as eutrophication of lakes and rivers. According to Tiquia and Tam (2002), composting is a biological treatment that is cost-effective to treat different types of organic waste. It is a simple and environmental friendly technology for recycling agricultural residues and animal manure (Ahn et al., 2011). Also, farmers could spend less money when replacing mineral fertilizers with urine-based compost. The abundance of cow manure and rice straw can be converted to fertilizer throughout the process of composting (Tamura and Osada, 2006). Composting of cow manure and rice straw using urine as an addition to increase nutrients in the compost has not been well studied. Therefore, it is essential to study the effect on urine application during the composting process.

The objective of this study is to examine the application of urine in the composting process. To achieve the aim, two compost piles containing materials: cow manure and rice straw were set up in small farm, in which one pile was applied with cow urine every week and another pile without urine application.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Pile materials and set up

The experiment was conducted in a small farm in Ben Tre province, from July to August in 2017. Cow manure, rice straw and cow urine were collected in the small farm. In this study, cow manure was used as the main material for composting together with rice straw. The chopped rice straw was soaked in the water for one day before the composting process. Composting was carried out in a shaded area.

Experimental piles initially had a triangle-shaped profile (height 1.0 m; later on during the biological degradation processes, the profile changed to parabolic-shaped) and the composting process lasted 8 weeks. Compost aeration was ensured by manual mixing every week.

Figure 1. Schematic of experiment set up

Figure 2. Left: Mixing the compost pile. Right: Mixture of cow manure, rice straw and urine
2.2. Experiment

The basic method was to test the urine application on composting piles of cow manure and rice straw. In this experiment, there were two treatments: compost piles with urine application (A) and without urine application (B). The mixtures used for all piles were arranged with the mass ratio as follows: 1-ton cow manure + 500kg rice straw. Cow urine was collected and used within 24 hours. Two compost piles were set up as Figure 1. The experiment was conducted in the household conditions.

For piles with urine addition, fresh urine was added to the mixture every week (Figure 2). The moisture content was maintained at 50–60% by the addition of urine for pile A and water for pile B throughout the active composting period by frequent checking. The piles are half-covered by plastic foil to protect from evaporation and turned over once a week. The composting duration lasted 8 weeks. The parameters such as temperature, pH, DM, nitrogen and phosphorous were observed during the composting duration. The temperature was measured weekly with a digital thermometer at 11 different depths.

Compost samples were taken from each treatment at 0, 7, 14, 21, 28, 35, 42, 49 and 56 days of the composting and were determined moisture and pH throughout the composting process. The samples taken from the piles were divided into two portions. Moisture content was determined using the gravimetric method. 100 grams of compost material was dried in an oven for 24h at 105°C. The samples were then allowed to cool at room temperature before the final weight was taken. The pH_{H2O} was determined using a digital electrode pH meter. Total nitrogen and phosphorous were determined at the end of the composting process using the TCVN 8557-2010 (2007) and TCVN 8563-2010 (2007) methods accordingly.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Temperature monitoring

The temperature profiles of two different composting piles are shown in the Figure 3. The pile with urine application (A) shows an increase in temperature right after composting started. On day 7, the temperature rose to 60°C; whereas pile without urine application (B) was still 35°C. The temperature in the pile B increased slowly to 60°C after 14 days. Even though no microbial test was done on the sample, it could be assumed that the compost treatment A (with urine application) had faster microbial activity compared to pile B based on the high temperature achieved.

The temperature pattern showed that there was a rapid progress from the initial mesophilic phase to the thermophilic phase for both piles. The results are in line with previous study results (Karolina and Máriá, 2010; Jusoh et al., 2013; Hellmann et al. 1997; Osada et al., 2001). These authors found that temperatures in the compost pile reached highest after 2 weeks composting. The increase in temperature during the composting process was caused by the heat generated from the respiration and decomposition of organic substances by the population of microorganisms (Jusoh et al., 2013). All the composting treatments reached thermophilic temperature (>60°C) after 14 days. The thermophilic phase lasted for 56 days for treatment A, whereas treatment B lasted for 42 days.

The results in the Figure 3 illustrated that the temperature gradually decreased afterwards and finally stabilized at lower than 50°C after 7 weeks.

From the top to the bottom of the piles, the low temperatures recorded were at -10 and -50cm for pile A and -10 and -40cm for pile B. The highest temperatures, which was 65°C at the day 21 for pile A and 60°C at the day 28 for pile B. After that, the temperature values declined to 40°C at the day 56 for both piles.

Figure 3. Change in temperature during composting processes. A: Compost pile with urine application, B: Compost pile without urine addition
3.2. Moisture monitoring

The compost material at middle of pile A lost water quickly in the first week, due to the high temperature (Figure 4). During this period, urine was added into the pile to maintain the moisture content (MC) at a suitable level for the microbe’s activity.

Figure 4. Change in water holding (%) during composting processes. A: Compost pile with urine application, B: Compost pile without urine application
Generally, the MC decreased over process time in both compost piles, but decreased greater in pile A. The decrease of MC during composting course was in agreement with comparable data from other authors (Marco et al., 2010; Adani et al., 2000; Tao et al., 2011). Turning operations which were performed along the process should tend to decrease moisture contents. In addition, the increase of temperature in the compost pile also increased water evaporation. The moisture contents were higher on the surface and decreased with depth.

The decrease of MC from the -10cm to -50cm was due to biological activity that generated heat. Compost pile A showed lower MC than pile B. This could be explained by the fact that temperature in the pile A was higher than in the pile B. Higher temperature led to higher amount of water evaporation.

In the compost A, the highest MC were 65% at -10cm (the temperature ranged from 50 to 55°C) and the lowest was 40% at -30 to -40cm (the temperature was from 60 to 65°C).

3.4. pH monitoring

The pH values of 2 compost piles during composting course are shown in the Figure 5. The pH recorded ranged from 6.6 to 7 for pile B and from 7.2 to 7.8 for pile A. The pH values for both treatments tended to increase in the first week. The results are in line with the finding of Sundberg and Jonsson (2008). The authors found that there was a rise in pH from the start of composting.

![Figure 5. Change in pH of composting with and without urine application with days](image)

The pH values at the end of the composting process was 7.8 for pile A and 6.7 for pile B. This pH values indicated a good quality compost. Previous study reported that pH of compost ranged from 6 – 8.5 (Fogarty and Tuovinen, 1991), whereas Smars (2002) found that composting is inhibited at pH below 6. Sundberg and Jonsson (2008) did an experiment on composting of biowaste, which indicated that pH is an important parameter to control composting processes. At higher pH condition, it could be faster decomposition in biowaste composting and result in a more stable compost product.

3.5. Compost quality

Two of the parameters often used to assess the quality of compost are Nitrogen (N) and Phosphorous (P) contents. Figure 6 shows the total N and P in 2 compost piles. N content in the compost pile with urine addition was 1.5 times higher than that in the pile without urine addition. The total N value was 0.15% for treatment with urine and 0.1% for treatment without urine.

Similarly, it was observed that the P content in compost with urine addition was 2 times higher than compost without urine. The total P value was 0.42% for treatment with urine and 0.22% for treatment without urine. The results were slightly than that from other author, who found P content in compost ranged from 0.15 to 0.22% (Jusoh et al., 2013).

The high nutrients (N and P) in the compost pile A could be explained by high concentration of nutrient in urine, which was added in the compost pile.

![Figure 6. Total Nitrogen and Phosphorous in the compost products](image)

4. Conclusion

Cow urine addition increased temperature and pH during composting process of cow manure and rice straw. The high temperatures showed that there was the decomposition of organic matter during the composting duration.

The application of urine could also shorten the composting duration.

There were differences between compost quality with urine and without urine addition. The application of urine increased N and P in the compost product compared to compost without urine.

Generally, based on the obtained results, it is possible to conclude that urine should be collected and added into the composting process of cow manure and rice straw. This implication could lead to increase nutrient content in the compost product and reduce nutrient loss and negative environmental impacts.
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