A Seamless Gene Deletion Method and Its Application for Regulation of Higher Alcohols and Ester in Baijiu Saccharomyces cerevisiae
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The security of engineering Saccharomyces cerevisiae is becoming more focused on industrial production in consideration of the public concern regarding genetically modified organisms. In this work, a rapid and highly efficient system for seamless gene deletion in S. cerevisiae was developed through two-step integration protocol combined with endonuclease I-SCEI expression. The factors affecting the frequency of the second homologous recombination were optimized, and studies indicated that the mutant strains with 500 bp direct repeats and that have been incubating in galactose (0.5 g/100 mL) medium at 30°C and 180 r/min for 24 h permit high frequency (6.86 × 10⁻⁴) of the second homologous recombination. Furthermore, DNA sequence assays showed only self-DNA in native location without any foreign genes after deletion using this method. The seamless gene deletion method was applied to the construction of the engineering strains with BAT (encoding aminotransferase) deletion and ATF (alcohol acetyltransferases) overexpression. The mutants exhibited significant effects on higher alcohol reduction and ester improvement after Baijiu fermentation. The engineered strains can be used in industrial production in security, thereby meeting the requirements of modern science and technology.

1. Introduction

Saccharomyces cerevisiae is widely used for industrial production as an efficient and important fermentation microorganism because of its advantageous qualities, such as high-ethanol productivity, tolerance to process hardiness, and tolerance to fermentation byproducts; therefore, it is preferred for crop ethanol production [1–3]. Meanwhile, with the public’s increasing concern about genetically modified (GM) organisms, the security of genetically engineered strains has attracted an increasing amount of attention.

Baijiu (Chinese liquor) presents peculiar sensory characteristics and has health-promoting effects. S. cerevisiae is the dominant microorganism in Baijiu fermentation and is closely related to the quality of Baijiu. S. cerevisiae is not only responsible for the efficient production of ethanol, it can also be manipulated to produce other heterologous flavor substances during alcoholic fermentation. Acetate esters and higher alcohols are significant parameters in the determination of the beverage's quality and flavor profiles [4–6]. Higher alcohols can lead to fusel oil taste and cause potential damage to human health at high levels [7]. Acetate esters, such as ethyl acetate, isoamyl acetate, and isobutyl acetate, are among the flavor-active esters that can elicit the desired fruity aroma in alcoholic drinks [8].

Systematic sequencing of S. cerevisiae genome has revealed a profusion of Open Reading Frames, and a large majority of genes need to be mediated to determine its phenotypic effects [9, 10]. To date, several genome editing protocols have been widely employed and developed.
for *S. cerevisiae* [11–16]. Cre/loxP recombination is used as a site-specific recombinase technology for controlling gene expression; it relies on the integration of 34-bp loxP sequences directly upstream and downstream of the genomic target by one double homologous or two single homologous recombination events. The cassette can precisely be removed with the induction of Cre recombinase and used again for the succeeding deletion step. However, a scar is left in the chromosome in the form of loxP site after each deletion step, thereby affecting the efficiency of the next gene deletion. Recent research has demonstrated that CRISPR-associated (Cas) systems can serve as the basis of a simple and highly efficient method for manipulating the genome in bacteria, yeast, and human cells [17–21]. The CRISPR-associated enzyme Cas9 is an RNA-guided endonuclease that uses RNA:DNA base-pairing to target foreign DNA [22–24]. However, the key limitation of this system is off-target effects. Fusion polymerase chain reaction (PCR) with a mixture of upstream and downstream sequences was carried out. Then, the PCR product was *KpnI*-SpIh double-digested and inserted in the same *KpnI*-SpIh digested plasmid pUC19, thereby creating the plasmid pUC19-UD.

The plasmids YHERP1.0ΔBAT2 and YHERP1.0ΔBAT2::ATFI were constructed as follows. First, the HERP1.0 (*P*<sub>gali</sub>-I-SCEI-*p*<sub>Tef1</sub>-HSV-TK-*T*<sub>Tef1</sub>) was amplified using the primers YH1.0(EcoRI)-F/YH1.0(SphI)-R from the yeast yW2455 gifted by William G [30]. The cassette was inserted into *EcoR*I-SphI-digested plasmid *Yep*352, creating the plasmid YHERP1.0. Second, the fragment of BAT2-UD (1183 bp) amplified from pUC19-UD with the primers B+500 bp- F/BAT2-B-R and the fragment BA-*p*<sub>Tef1</sub>-ATFI-*p*<sub>Kgk1</sub>-BB (3600 bp) amplified from pUC-BBTA preserved in this laboratory by the primers YH1.0ΔB::A-F/YH1.0A::A-R were cloned into the plasmid YHERP1.0 digested with *KpnI*, obtaining the plasmids YHERP1.0ΔBAT2 (Figure 1) and YHERP1.0ΔBAT2::ATFI.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Strains, Culture Conditions, and Media. Strains used in this work are shown in Table 1. *Escherichia coli* was used to construct plasmids. *S. cerevisiae* strain α5 was used as the parent strain; this was cultured in yeast extract peptone dextrose (YPEP) (1% yeast extract, 2% peptone, and 2% glucose) for general culturing and in galactose medium (1% yeast extract, 2% peptone, 100 mg/L adenine hemisulfate, and 1% galactose) for galactose induction. Selection and counter-selection were performed in the medium TP Gly + AF (1% yeast extract, 2% peptone, 5% glycerol, 200 mg/mL methotrexate, 5 mg/mL sulfanilamide, 5 mg/mL thymidine, and 50 mg/mL hypoxanthine) and the medium SC + FUrD [0.17% YNB, 0.5% (NH<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, 2% glucose, 50 μg/mL 5-fluoro deoxyuridine (FudR)], respectively.

2.2. Plasmid Construction. The primers designed in this study are listed in Table 2. The plasmid pUC19-UD was constructed as follows. pUC19 was used as backbone. Upstream BAT2-U(1500) and downstream BAT2-D(1500) were amplified from yeast strain α5 with primers BAT2-A(KpnI)-F/BAT2-A-R and BAT2-B-F/BAT2-B(SphI)-R, respectively. The PCR product was *KpnI*-SpIh double-digested and inserted in the same *KpnI*-SpIh digested plasmid pUC19, thereby creating the plasmid pUC19-UD.

The fragments BAT2-A-R and BAT2-A-R(F) [containing the restriction site for I-SCEI (S) and homologous sequence (R) of BAT2-A(SF)] and BAT2-B-R and the fragment BA-*p*<sub>Tef1</sub>-ATFI-*p*<sub>Kgk1</sub>-BB (3600 bp) amplified from pUC-BBTA were cloned into the plasmid YHERP1.0 digested with *KpnI*, obtaining the plasmids YHERP1.0ΔBAT2 (Figure 1) and YHERP1.0ΔBAT2::ATFI.

The fragments BAT2-A(RS) and RS-HERP1.0-BA-*p*<sub>Tef1</sub>-ATFI-*p*<sub>Kgk1</sub>-BB were transformed into the yeast strain α5 by lithium acetate method and spread onto TPGly + AF plates, obtaining a mutant yeast Ha5 + H (BAT2 deletion) with HERP1.0 integrant. Using the same method, fragments BAT2-A(RS) and RS-HERP1.0-BA-*p*<sub>Tef1</sub>-ATFI-*p*<sub>Kgk1</sub>-BB were transformed into the yeast strain α5 to construct mutant Ha5::ATFI+H (BAT2 deletion and ATFI overexpression) with HERP1.0 integrant.

2.4. Pop-Out of HERP1.0 Cassette through Second Step of Induction in Galactose. Ha5 + H cells were incubated and induced in galactose medium at 30°C at 180 rpm. The I-SCEI endonuclease was expressed at I-SCEI site, and DSB was generated and repaired through the second homologous recombination. The yeast solution after dilution was spread onto SC + FUdR. The resulting strain Ha5 popping out the
| Strains or plasmids | Relevant characteristic | Reference or source |
|---------------------|-------------------------|---------------------|
| **Strains**         |                         |                     |
| DH5α                | supE44 ΔlacU169 (φ 80lacZΔM15) hsdR17 recA1 endA1 gyrA96 thiI relA | This lab            |
| DH5α                 | Δade2::HERP1.0 Δex2 Δura3 Δhis::KanMX | Gifted by William G |
| α5                  | MATα, haploid yeast strain from AY15 | This lab            |
| Ha5 (50)            | MATα, Δbat2::S-HERP1.0-BAT2-U | This study          |
| Ha5 (150)           | MATα, Δbat2::S-HERP1.0-BAT2-U | This study          |
| Ha5 (300)           | MATα, Δbat2::S-HERP1.0-BAT2-U | This study          |
| Ha5 (500)           | MATα, Δbat2::S-HERP1.0-BAT2-U | This study          |
| Ha5 (700)           | MATα, Δbat2::S-HERP1.0-BAT2-U | This study          |
| Ha5 (1000)          | MATα, Δbat2::S-HERP1.0-BAT2-U | This study          |
| Ha5+H               | MATα, Δbat2::S-HERP1.0-BAT2-U | This study          |
| Ha5                 | MATα, Δbat2 | This study          |
| Ha5::ATF1+H         | MATα, Δbat2::S-HERP1.0-BA-PTEF1-ATF1-TPGK1 | This study |
| Ha5::ATF1           | MATα, Δbat2::PTEF1-ATF1-TPGK1 | This study          |
| **Plasmids**        |                         |                     |
| pUC19               | Ap′, cloning vector     | This lab            |
| Yep352              | Ap′, ori control vector | This lab            |
| pUC-BBTAP           | Ap′, containing BA-PTEF1-ATF1-TPGK1-BB cassette | This lab |
| pUC19-UD            | Ap′, containing BAT2-UD cassette | This study |
| YHERP1.0            | Ap′, TK′, containing HERP1.0 cassette | This study |
| YHERP1.0ΔBAT2       | Ap′, TK′, containing HERP1.0-BAT2-UD cassette | This study |
| YHERP1.0(50 bp)     | Ap′, TK′, containing HERP1.0-BAT2-D cassette | This study |
| YHERP1.0(150 bp)    | Ap′, TK′, containing HERP1.0-BAT2-D cassette | This study |
| YHERP1.0(300 bp)    | Ap′, TK′, containing HERP1.0-BAT2-D cassette | This study |
| YHERP1.0(500 bp)    | Ap′, TK′, containing HERP1.0-BAT2-D cassette | This study |
| YHERP1.0(1000 bp)   | Ap′, TK′, containing HERP1.0-BAT2-D cassette | This study |
| YHERP1.0ΔBAT2::ATF1 | Ap′, TK′, containing HERP1.0-BA-PTEF1-ATF1-TPGK1-BB cassette | This study |

**Table 1: Strains and plasmids used in the current study.**

**Figure 1: Construction of plasmid YHERP1.0ΔBAT2.**
| Primers          | Sequence (5' → 3')                                      | Restriction site |
|------------------|--------------------------------------------------------|-----------------|
| BAT2-A(KpnI)-F   | GGTTACCTCTCAACCTGCTGCAAG                              | KpnI            |
| BAT2-A-R         | TACGTGAATAGGAATTACTATCGTTTCTTAATTAACCTGAGG            | KpnI            |
| BAT2-B-F         | CCAGGAATTTTAGAAGAAGATAGTATCGCTATGCTACTGTA            | SphI            |
| BAT2-B(SphI)-R   | ACATGCTACCTTATTTTCCGTCGAAATTTCATCCCTGCGCC          | SphI            |
| YH1.0(EcoRI)-F   | GACCATGATTACGAATTACTATCGTTTAAAACTCGTGG               | EcoRI           |
| Yh1.0(SphI)-R    | GTGCAAGCTTTGCTGCAGGGGTACCATTAGGGTTCTCGAGAGCT        | KpnI            |
| B+50 bp-F       | GGGGTTACCAATTTATTTAAGG                                | KpnI            |
| B+150 bp-F      | GGGGTTACCTGACAGTATACATTAATAT                        | KpnI            |
| B+300 bp-F      | GGGGTTACCCTCTCAGACACCTCTTGT                         | KpnI            |
| B+500 bp-F      | GGGGTTACCCCTCCTCTCCAGAACAATCTACT                    | KpnI            |
| B+700 bp-F      | GGGGTTACCAAGACTCTTACTACATCT                        | KpnI            |
| B+1000 bp-F     | GGGGTTACCCCAACCAGTCTTCAGGCTAACC                     | KpnI            |
| BAT2-B-R        | GGGGTTACCCCTTACCGGCACATTTCA                       | KpnI            |
| BAT2-A-F        | GTCCCTTCCAAACTACTCT                                  | KpnI            |
| BAT2-A-R(F)     | AACTCTTTTGCTCCATOCAGTCCATCAGTCCCTGTATTCTTTAAAACCTCGTG | 1-SCEI          |
| BAT2-B-F(R)     | CACGAGTTTAAAGAAGAGTACAGGTAACAGGTAATGCATTTGAGGATGGGACGCAAAGTT | 1-SCEI          |
| AHB-A-U         | TCACTGGGAGCCTCTCA                                      |                  |
| AHB-A-D         | GCTCTATATGCTATCCATTACAT                              |                  |
| AHB-B-U         | ATCCATCCATCTGTTGATG                                  |                  |
| AHB-B-D         | TGAATCTTTGCTCAGG                                     |                  |
| YH1.0A:B-A-F    | CAGGAACCTTAATTTCCGTACGCTTCTCTCCCAAAACATCTTCCG         | KpnI            |
| YH1.0A:B-A-R    | AAGCTTGATGGGGGACACCCCTCCTAAAGATTCTCAGG               | KpnI            |
| AHB(ATF1)-A-D   | GCATGCGCTCTCTCTGCTTGA                                |                  |
| AHB(ATF1)-B-U   | GGTTACCTATACAGGCTTCCG                                |                  |
HERP1.0 integrant was constructed (Figure 2). The mutant Haα5::ATF1 (BAT2 deletion and ATF1 overexpression) was constructed using the same processing step.

2.5. Optimization of Factors Affecting Frequency of the Second Homologous Recombination. Different lengths of DRs (50, 150, 300, 500, 700, and 1000 bp) were used to construct the plasmids YHERP1.0(50), YHERP1.0(150), YHERP1.0(300), YHERP1.0(500), YHERP1.0(700), and YHERP1.0(1000). The fragments RS-HERP1.0-BAT2-A-SF, thereby yielding the mutants Haα5 + H (50, 150, 300, 500, 700, and 1000) bp amplifications from the plasmids YHERP1.0 (50, 150, 300, 500, 700, and 1000) were transformed into the yeast strain Haα5 with the fragment BAT2-A-SF, thereby yielding the mutants Haα5 + H (50, 150, 300, 500, 700, and 1000) that carry HERP1.0 integrants with different DR lengths. The mutants were induced in 0.1 g/100 mL galactose for approximately 24 h and replica-plated onto TPGly + AF and YEPD plates.

Chromosomal DSBs were generated through I-SCEI endonuclease induction. To confirm the effect of galactose-inducible time on the frequency of the second homologous recombination efficiency, the mutants carrying the HERP1.0 integrant with 500 bp DRs were induced in 0.1 g/100 mL galactose medium for 12, 24, 36, and 48 h and replica-plated onto TPGly + AF and YEPD plates. The counts of the mutants were measured in both plates.

The media with different galactose concentrations (0.1, 0.5, 1, 2, 3, 4, and 5 g/100 mL) were used to induce I-SCEI endonuclease expression. The mutants carrying HERP1.0 integrant with 500 bp DRs were induced in the galactose media for 24 h and replica-plated onto TPGly + AF and YEPD plates. The growth and characteristics of colonies cultured in TPGly + AF and YEPD plates were measured.

2.6. Fermentation Experiment. Corn hydrolysate medium was used in Baijiu fermentation of the parental strain and mutants. The details of the experiment procedures, including preparation of corn hydrolysate and control of the fermentation process, were based on those used in a previous study [31]. All fermentations were performed in triplicate.

2.7. Analytical Methods. CO₂ weight loss during fermentation was determined using an analytical balance. Residual sugar, ethanol production, total acids, and total ester were detected after the fermentation was terminated using the standard method according to the International Organization of Vine and Wine [32]. The production of volatile flavor compounds including higher alcohols and esters was determined using Agilent 7890 GC through the method reported by Ma et al. [33].

2.8. Statistical Analysis. Data were represented as the mean ± standard errors. The differences between the transformants and the parental strain were confirmed by Student’s t-test. Statistical significance was considered at P < 0.05.

3. Results

3.1. Construction of the Seamless Gene Deletion Method. In the current study, a seamless gene deletion system was constructed using the BAT2 gene as a target gene. In HERP1.0 cassette, TkMX was fused to the I-SCEI gene driven by the galactose-inducible promoter of GAL1 by gap repair cloning in the strain. Fragments BAT2-A(RSF) and RS-HERP1.0-BAT2-UD were transformed into the aα5 chromosome to replace BAT2 gene through the first homologous recombination. After galactose induction, DSBs by I-SCEI endonuclease induction facilitated the second homologous recombination, and the HERP1.0 cassette was popped out. The process of deletion strategy is illustrated in Figures 1 and 2.
After transformation of fragments $\text{BAT2-A(RSF)}$ and $\text{RS-HERP1.0-BAT2-UD}$, the correct mutant $H\alpha5+H$ ($\text{BAT2 deletion}$) with HERP1.0 integrant was selected on TPGly + AF plates. PCR amplification with specific primers (AHB-A-U and AHB-A-D, AHB-B-U, and AHB-B-D) from genomic DNA of desired mutant strains produced a 1410 bp band (Figure 3(a), lane 2) and a 2008 bp band. Moreover, no amplification (Figure 3(a), lane 1) was observed from the parental strain $\alpha5$. The fragments $\text{BAT2-A(RSF)}$ and $\text{RS-HERP1.0-BAT2-UD}$ were integrated at the target site of genome in $S.\text{cerevisiae} \alpha5$.

$H\alpha5 + H$ cells were cultivated and induced in galactose medium and then replica-plated onto SC + FUdR plates. Only strains in which the HERP1.0 integrant was popped out can grow on SC + FUdR plates. The deletion strains were further confirmed via PCR by using the primer pairs

\begin{itemize}
  \item \text{AHB-A-U \& AHB-A-D, AHB-B-U, and AHB-B-D}
\end{itemize}
of AHB-A-U and AHB-B-D. A 2605 bp band (Figure 3(b), lane 1) containing no HERP1.0 region was obtained, demonstrating that the strain Ha5 with BAT2 seamless deletion was constructed successfully. In addition, the sequence alignment was detected, and the sequencing results illustrated in Figure 3 are consistent with the S288C genomic sequence from the Saccharomyces Genome Database (SGD, accession number 9169867). The 1131 bp sequence containing the gene BAT2 region of genome was completely deleted, and no foreign DNA sequence was retained in the S. cerevisiae chromosome (Figure 4, part of results).

3.2. Effect of the Factors on Frequency of the Second Homologous Recombination Efficiency. Different lengths of DRs (50, 150, 300, 500, 700, and 1000 bp) were used to detect the second homologous recombination efficiency. The first homologous recombination, in which mutants Ha5 plus H (50, 150, 300, 500, 700, and 1000) carrying HERP1.0 integrants with different lengths of DRs were constructed, was verified via PCR by using primer pairs of AHB-A-U and AHB-A-D and AHB-B-U and AHB-B-D (Figure 5). Regarding galactose induction, the second homologous recombination was performed, and the counts of yeast colonies were measured in TPGly + AF and YEPD plates. The result shown in Figure 6(a). When the DR length was less than 500 bp, the second homologous recombination efficiency increased with increasing DR length but showed no obvious distinction when the DR length exceeded 700 bp. The desired deletion occurred at a frequency of approximately $4.74 \times 10^{-4}$ at the optimal length (500 bp) of DRs.

Mutant strains carrying HERP1.0 integrant with 500 bp DRs were induced in galactose for 12, 24, 36, and 48 h to confirm the effect of galactose-inducible time on chromosomal DSBs. The count of the mutants was measured in TPGly + AF and YEPD plates. Figure 6(b) shows that the second homologous recombination efficiency increased with increasing of galactose induction time. Meanwhile, long experimental time has a direct effect on experimental period. The induction time of 24 h was chosen as the optimal induction time, and the second homologous recombination efficiency was $4.62 \times 10^{-4}$.

As the I-SCEI endonuclease expression was affected by galactose concentration, mutants carrying HERP1.0 integrant with 500 bp DRs were induced in media with different galactose concentrations. The colonies in TPGly + AF and YEPD plates were counted. The result in Figure 6(c) demonstrates that the galactose content had a significant effect on the second homologous recombination. At the galactose concentrations of 0.1 and 0.5 g/100 mL, the second homologous recombination efficiencies were $4.66 \times 10^{-4}$ and $6.86 \times 10^{-4}$, respectively, showing an increased tendency. However, when the content was in the range of 1 g/100 mL to 5 g/100 mL, the second homologous recombination efficiency decreased. The 0.5 g/100 mL content was chosen as the optimal condition to induce the I-SCEI endonuclease expression to generate chromosomal DSBs.

3.3. Regulation of BAT2 Seamless Deletion and ATF1 Overexpression on Higher Alcohols and Ester in Baijiu S. cerevisiae. Branched-chain alcohols are products from the degradation of corresponding branched-chain amino acids (BCAAs). BCAAs are converted to the corresponding α-keto acids through the initial transamination step, which is catalyzed by the aminotransferases (encoded by BAT2) [34]. Alcohol acetyltransferase encoded by gene ATF1 (known as AATaseI or Atf1p) is a key enzyme in the synthesis of acetate ester, which is one of the major beneficial esters and responsible for the highly desired fruity aroma in Baijiu. Thus, fragment $P_{TEF}^{ATFI}$-$ATFI$-$P_{PGK1}$, in which the $ATFI$ gene was overexpressed under the control of the $TEFI$ promoter, was inserted into the locus of BAT2 gene to construct the strain Ha5::$ATFI$ with BAT2 gene deletion and $ATFI$ gene overexpression.
The mutant strains Ha5 (BAT2 gene deletion) and Ha5::ATFI (BAT2 gene deletion and ATFI gene overexpression) were fermented in Baijiu in contrast with the parental strain. Moreover, the production of higher alcohols and esters were detected after fermentation to confirm the effect of the mutants Ha5 and Ha5::ATFI on the regulation of higher alcohols and esters (Figure 7). No obvious distinctions were obtained in acetate esters and β-phenylethanol content of the parental strain and Ha5 (P > 0.05). The production of n-propanol, isobutanol, and isoamylol by Ha5 were 24.25, 48.26, and 162.65 mg/L, which decreased by 20.32%, 44.92%, and 26.64%, respectively, compared with those of the parental strain (30.44, 87.62, and 221.70 mg/L, P < 0.05). This result showed the significant effects on higher alcohol reduction. The concentration of ethyl acetate by Ha5::ATFI was 920.05 mg/L, which was 34.84-fold higher than that produced by parental strain α5 (25.68 mg/L, P < 0.05). Moreover, the content of isobutyl acetate and isoamyl acetate increased to 12.43 and 60.38 mg/L, respectively. The β-phenylethanol, isobutanol, and isoamylol contents produced by Ha5::ATFI were 21.15% (52.32 mg/L in the parental strain and 41.25 mg/L in Ha5::ATFI, P < 0.05), 57.85% (87.62 mg/L in the parental strain and 36.93 mg/L in Ha5::ATFI, P < 0.05) and 60.36% (221.70 mg/L in the parental strain and 87.86 mg/L in Ha5::ATFI, P < 0.05) less than those produced by parental strain α5. Moreover, compared with mutant Ha5, the isobutanol and isoamylol contents by Ha5::ATFI decreased by 23.48% (48.26 mg/L in Ha5, P < 0.05) and 45.98% (162.65 mg/L in Ha5, P < 0.05), respectively. This result demonstrated that the mutant strain Ha5::ATFI had significant effect not only on higher alcohol reduction but also on acetate ester improvement in S. cerevisiae.

3.4. Fermentation Properties of the Mutant Strains. Stable and credible performance of the strains is remarkably important for Baijiu fermentation. The fermentation properties, including weight loss of CO₂, liquor yield, residual sugar, total acids, and total esters, were investigated to assess the

Figure 6: The effect of the length of DRs (a), induction time in galactose (b), and galactose concentration (c) on frequency of the second homologous recombination efficiency.
stable performance of the mutants with BAT2 deletion and ATF1 overexpression. Weight loss was monitored during fermentation (Figure 8). Ha5 showed the same weight loss trend with the parental strain, whereas the fermentation rate of mutant Ha5::ATF1 was slightly slower than that of strain α5. However, the mutants had no significant difference in terms of total weight loss of CO$_2$ compared with the parental strain ($P > 0.05$). The ethanol content, residual sugar, total acids, and total esters were detected after fermentation (Table 3). No obvious distinction was observed in the ethanol content, residual sugar, total acids, and total esters of the parental strain and Ha5 ($P > 0.05$). Meanwhile, the content of ethanol and total acids in Ha5::ATF1 decreased by 2.25% (16.03% v/v in parental strain and 15.67% v/v in Ha5::ATF1, $P < 0.05$) and 19.35% (0.093 g/L total acids in parental strain, and 0.075 g/L total acids in Ha5::ATF1, $P < 0.05$). Moreover, the total ester content increased by 111.35% (0.705 g/L in the parental strain and 1.490 g/L in Ha5::ATF1, $P < 0.05$) compared with those of parental strain α5.

### 4. Discussion

*S. cerevisiae* plays a major role in traditional biotechnologies, such as baking, brewing, and wine making. Its broad application in industry is closely related to its role as a major platform for metabolic engineering that aims to enhance yeast biotechnology. Thus, the application of gene-modified technology in genome editing of *S. cerevisiae* is necessary for promoting its efficacy and safety in industry. Many current tools for gene manipulation in *S. cerevisiae* are still limited in terms of generation of the disruption construct or in the efficiency of transformation or marker removal. This study developed a rapid and highly efficient protocol for genome editing allowing gene disruption without any exogenous gene in *S. cerevisiae*. HERP1.0 cassette, including the TkMX marker and a galactose-inducible I-SCE1 endonuclease, was fused into a fusion DNA fragment of the upstream and the downstream sequences with an I-SCE site and then transformed into the *S. cerevisiae* α5 with an upstream sequence of target gene. The HERP1.0 cassette and the DR were inserted into the locus of the target gene after the first homologous recombination. The DSB was generated at the I-SCEI site under the induction of galactose and repaired through the second homologous recombination of DRs. Meanwhile, sequence analysis of the target region revealed that the HERP1.0 sequences were removed completely. The method is more effective than the two-step integration protocol described by Dong et al., in which wild-type URA3 in host strain was replaced by a disabled *ura3* gene before deletion, and the resulting mutants of the second integration recombination of DRs were either parental or deletion strains [35]. In the current method, the TKMX gene that has not been identified in fungus to date was used as a selectable and counter-selectable marker, and the final mutant was only the desired deletion strain. As the mutant left only self-DNA in its native location without any foreign DNA sequence after deletion, the current strategy can be repeatedly used in yeast strains.

The desired deletion strain was obtained through the second homologous recombination after galactose induction. The length of DRs, induction time, and galactose concentration were the crucial factors that affect the frequency of the second homologous recombination efficiency. The length of DRs has a direct correlation with the DSB. In Riniji AKAda’s research, a 40 bp sequence derived from a region adjacent to the targeted locus was placed in an integrating construct to generate DRs after integration, and the recombination frequency ($10^{-6}$) was low [17]. Thus, 500 bp was chosen as the optimal length of DRs to promote the second homologous recombination in the desired deletion in this study.
Furthermore, the induction time and galactose concentration during galactose induction affected the expression of the I-SCE1 endonuclease and then influenced the DSB repair through homologous recombination. Considering the period and efficiency of the experiment, 24 h and 0.5 g/100 mL were chosen as the optimal induction time and galactose concentration, respectively.

Aminotransferase encoded by BAT2 and alcohol acetyltransferases encoded by ATF1 are related to the metabolism of branched-chain alcohols and acetate esters, respectively. The strain Ha5 with BAT2 deletion and Ha5::ATF1 with BAT2 deletion and ATF1 overexpression were engineered using the current method. The higher alcohol contents, specifically n-propanol, isobutanol, and isoamylol contents, decreased significantly after BAT2 gene deletion in mutant Ha5. The result was consistent with the conclusion obtained in our previous research [36]. ATF1 gene encoding alcohol acetyltransferases was overexpressed under the control of promoter TEF1 at the locus of the BAT2 in this work. The acetate ester concentrations produced by the mutant Ha5::ATF1 had obvious increase compared with those of the parental strain, and the higher alcohols, such as isobutanol, β-phenylethanol, and isoamylol production, were further decreased compared with the mutant Ha5. This result demonstrated that ATF1 overexpression contributed not only to the reduction of higher alcohol production but also in the improvement of the acetate ester contents. The result was in accordance with our previous study, in which the ATF1 gene was overexpressed under the control of the promoter PGK1 and inserted into the BAT2 locus with KanMX maker, whereas excision of KanMX maker left behind the foreign sequences (a single loxP site) [5]. In this study, the mutants were constructed with BAT2 deletion and ATF1 overexpression via the seamless gene deletion system, in which TKMX gene was used as a selectable and counter-selectable marker. No any foreign DNA sequence retained in S. cerevisiae chromosome after deletion, thereby increasing the security of the engineered strains in industry.

| Strains          | Alcohol content (% v/v) | Residual sugar (g/L) | Total acids (g/L) | Total esters (g/L) |
|------------------|-------------------------|----------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| α5               | 16.03 ± 0.15            | 2.04 ± 0.12          | 0.093 ± 0.012     | 0.705 ± 0.009     |
| Ha5              | 16.12 ± 0.11            | 2.88 ± 0.10          | 0.100 ± 0.015     | 0.629 ± 0.011     |
| Ha5::ATF1        | 15.67* ± 0.10           | 3.01 ± 0.08          | 0.075* ± 0.010    | 1.490* ± 0.015    |

* Data are the average of three independent experiments ± the standard deviation. Significant difference of Ha5::ATF1 from the parental strain was confirmed by Student’s t-test (* P < 0.05, n = 3).

5. Conclusions

A rapid and highly efficient system for seamless gene deletion through endonuclease I-SCE1 as a DSB inducer and two-step integration protocol was developed. To accelerate the system efficiency, the factors affecting the frequency of the second homologous recombination efficiency were screened and optimized. In addition, the strains with BAT2 deletion and ATF1 overexpression were constructed through the novel method, resulting in desirable reduction of higher alcohol contents and improvement of the acetate ester production. The novel protocol proposed in this work is a promising strategy for gene deletion in S. cerevisiae, providing insights into further improvement of performance characteristics of S. cerevisiae. Moreover, as any foreign genes did not retain at chromosomes after deletion, the engineered strains can be used in industrial production in security, easing public safety concerns over genetic modification and meeting the requirement of modern science and technology and industrial production.
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