Effect of Ti$_3$C$_2$T$_x$–PEDOT:PSS modified-separators on the electrochemical performance of Li–S batteries†
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Lithium–sulfur (Li–S) batteries have attracted much attention due to their high theoretical energy density, environmental friendliness, and low cost. However, the practical application of Li–S batteries is impeded by a severe shuttle effect. Using polar and conductive materials to prepare a modified separator as the second collector is an effective strategy to solve the shuttle effect. Herein, a Ti$_3$C$_2$T$_x$–PEDOT:PSS hybrid for modifying PP separators is successfully fabricated. In this hybrid, PEDOT:PSS can effectively prevent Ti$_3$C$_2$T$_x$ nanosheets from restacking and enhance the electrical conductivity of Li–S batteries, thereby promoting fast Li$^+$/electron transport and improving the sulfur utilization. Meanwhile, the introduction of Ti$_3$C$_2$T$_x$–PEDOT:PSS makes Ti$_3$C$_2$T$_x$ nanosheets effectively anchor polysulfide, thus inhibiting the shuttle effect. As a result, Li–S cells with Ti$_3$C$_2$T$_x$–PEDOT:PSS modified-separators exhibit superior performances, including a high discharge capacity of 1241.4 mA h g$^{-1}$ at 0.2C, a long cycling stability, and a low decay rate of 0.030% per cycle at 0.5C for 1000 cycles.

Introduction

Lithium–sulfur (Li–S) batteries are the most promising energy storage system due to their high theoretical energy density (2370 W h kg$^{-1}$), environmental friendliness, and low cost. Nevertheless, the practical application of Li–S batteries still suffers from many challenges. For example, lithium polysulfides (LiPSs) dissolve in ether-based electrolytes, leading to a severe shuttle effect, which gives rise to a significant change in the structure of the cathode, inferior stability of the anode, low coulombic efficiency, and loss of active materials. In the past years, researchers have solved this problem by designing nanostructured scaffolds of sulfur to improve the electrical conductivity of the cathode and confine lithium polysulfides (LiPSs). Although the shuttle effect has been significantly improved, the dissolution of LiPSs is inevitable in ether-based liquid electrolytes. Besides, optimizing electrolytes and constructing multifunctional interlayer are also used to improve the shuttle effect. In which, introducing an interlayer between the cathode and the separator is an effective approach. It can serve as the second collector to enhance the cathode conductivity and provide LiPS confinement to diminish LiPS shuttling simultaneously. In 2012, Manthiram and co-workers firstly applied porous carbon interlayers to improve sulfur utilization and mitigate the shuttle effect of LiPSs. The cell delivered a high capacity more than 1000 mA h g$^{-1}$ for 100 cycles at a current density of 1C. However, the weak interaction between non-polar carbon materials and polar LiPSs cannot effectively immobilize LiPSs, inevitably resulting in serious capacity decay during long-term cycling. For this reason, some attentions have been paid to modify PP separators by using various polar materials, such as heteroatom-doped carbons, metal oxides, and metal sulfides, which can strongly interact with LiPSs and effectively mitigate the diffusion of LiPSs. However, the poor electronic conductivity of these compounds is harmful to achieve high initial capacity and cause high battery polarization. Thus, exploration of polar materials simultaneously with excellent conductivity property and strong LiPS adsorption ability to modify separators is of great significance for enhancing the cycling performance of Li–S batteries.

Ti$_3$C$_2$T$_x$, as a representative MXene material has a unique 2D structure, and rich surface functional groups. Nazar group demonstrated for the first time that Ti$_3$C$_2$T$_x$ could effectively entrap LiPSs and promote them conversion via the double mechanism of Lewis acid–base interaction and thiosulfate/polythionate disproportionation. In 2018, Ti$_3$C$_2$T$_x$ nanosheets were used as sulfur host materials. The assembled cell exhibited a high initial discharge capacity of 1458 mA h g$^{-1}$ at 0.1 A g$^{-1}$ and an ultralow capacity loss of 0.04% per cycle at 0.8 A g$^{-1}$ over 1500 cycles. In addition, Wang and co-worker...
employed Ti₃C₂Tx nanosheet-modified PP separator to improve electric conductivity and effectively trap LPSs in Li-S batteries, which delivered a reversible capacity of 550 mA h g⁻¹ with a capacity decay of only 0.062% per cycle at 0.5C after 500 cycles.⁹ Above reports confirmed that the Ti₃C₂Tx nanosheets can effectively anchor LPSs and improve the electrical conductivity of cathode. However, the restacking issue of 2D layer-structure MXenes still remains, which limits the ion transport and reduces the available active surface. Polymers, as a special class of interlayer spacer materials, are widely applied in MXene-based supercapacitor to prevent MXene nanosheets from restacking, thereby facilitating fast Li⁺/electron transfer and achieving excellent electrochemical performance.³⁰ Among many polymers, PEDOT:PSS shows great advantages due to its excellent mechanical flexibility, low cost, and commercial availability.³¹ Therefore, combining PEDOT:PSS with 2D active Ti₃C₂Tx to modify separators will be a promising strategy to obtain high-capacity and long-life Li-S batteries.

Herein, Ti₃C₂Tx–PEDOT:PSS (labelled as Ti₃C₂Tx–P) hybrid was successfully synthesized and employed it to modify PP separators (labelled as Ti₃C₂Tx–P separators). The cell with the Ti₃C₂Tx–P separator exhibited a high initial discharge capacity of 1241.4 mA h g⁻¹ at a current density of 0.2C and retains 955.2 mA h g⁻¹ after 100 cycles. Importantly, it showed an ultra-low capacity decay rate of 0.030% per cycle with a reversible discharge capacity of 485.3 mA h g⁻¹ at 0.5C for over 1000 cycles, revealing the outstanding cycling stability.

**Experimental section**

**Fabrication of Ti₃C₂Tx–P separators**

The Ti₃C₂Tx suspension was prepared via a typical method and the mixed solution of Ti₃C₂Tx and commercial PEDOT:PSS was synthesized as follows: 10 mL of Ti₃C₂Tx suspension (1.5 mg mL⁻¹) and different volumes of commercial PEDOT:PSS solution (1 g mL⁻¹) were mixed and stirred for 1 h to obtain a homogeneous solution. The volume of commercial PEDOT:PSS solution is 25, 50, 100, 500, and 1000 μL, and their corresponding mass is 0.375, 0.75, 1.5, 7.5, and 15.0 mg, i.e. The content of PEDOT:PSS in Ti₃C₂Tx–P composite materials is 2.4, 4.8, 9.1, 33.3 and 50.0 wt%, respectively. Then the mixture was frozen at −80 °C for 2 h and subsequently the remaining water in the as-frozen sediments was removed by using a freeze-drying technology. Ti₃C₂Tx–P separator was fabricated by mixing 90 wt% of Ti₃C₂Tx–P composites, 10 wt% of polyvinylidene fluoride (PVDF) in the N-methyl-2-pyrrolidone (NMP) solvent. After continuously ultrasound for 30 min, the homogeneous suspension was deposited onto the PP separator via vacuum filtration. The obtained Ti₃C₂Tx–P separator was dried in a vacuum oven at 60 °C overnight and cut into individual pieces with a diameter of 19 mm. The mass loading of Ti₃C₂Tx–P is about 0.8 mg cm⁻². The Ti₃C₂Tx–P modified separator was prepared according to the same procedure.

**Preparation of C/S cathode**

C/S composites were prepared via a simple ball-milling process. The weight ratio of active carbon and sublimed sulfur was 1:3. Afterwards, this mixture was heated at 155 °C for 12 h in a sealed vessel to ensure enough infusion of the molten sulfur. To prepare the C/S cathode, active carbon, carbon black (CB), and PVDF (8:1:1 by weight) were further ball milled in NMP to form a homogeneous slurry. The slurry was directly coated onto aluminum foil as a current collector by using a doctor blade. The obtained film was dried in a vacuum oven at 60 °C for 12 h, and cut into individual pieces with a diameter of 13 mm. The areal sulfur loading in the C/S cathode is 1.0–1.2 mg cm⁻².

**Preparation of Li₂S₆ solution**

Sulfur and lithium sulfide (Li₂S, 99.9%, Sigma-Aldrich) with a molar ratio of 5:1 was added into 1,2-dimethoxyethane (DME) and 1,3-dioxolane (DOL) (1:1 by volume) by magnetically stirring for 12 h in a confined environment to form Li₂S₆ solution (0.01 M). All procedures were performed under an Ar atmosphere.

**Electrochemical tests**

Stainless steel coin cells (CR2025) were assembled in an argon-filled glove box using the C/S cathode, Li metal foil anode, and modified separator with the Ti₃C₂Tx–P layer facing the C/S cathode. In this experiment, the electrolyte (30 μL) is composed of 1.0 M lithium bis(trifluoromethanesulfonyl)imide (LiTFSI) and 0.2 M LiNO₃ in the mixture of DOL/DME (1:1, v/v). The other cells with the Ti₃C₂Tx–P modified and blank separators were assembled via the same procedure.

The galvanostatic charge–discharge performance (GCD) was tested on a LANCT battery program-control test system (Wuhan, China) in a potential range between 1.7–2.8 V at room temperature. The cyclic voltammetry (CV) curves were measured on a VMP3 electrochemical workstation (BioLogic, France) in a potential range of 1.7–2.8 V (Li/Li⁺) at a scan rate of 0.1 mV s⁻¹. The electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) measurements were conducted in a frequency range from 10 mHz to 100 kHz.

**Structural characterizations**

The morphologies of Ti₃C₂Tx and Ti₃C₂Tx–P hybrid were observed by scanning electron microscopy (SEM, SU70, Hitachi, Japan), X-ray diffraction (XRD, D/max2600, Rigaku, Japan, Cu Kα radiation, λ = 1.5418 Å) and transmission electron microscopy (TEM, FEI, Tecnai TF20) were used to characterize the crystal structure of the samples. An analysis of the specific surface area and pore volume of the samples was performed using an automatic N₂ adsorption–desorption instrument (ASAP 2010, Micromeritics). The element composition of the samples was analyzed by an X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS, K-alpha X-ray spectrometer, Thermo Scientific Company). Raman spectra were conducted by a Micro-Raman spectrometer (J–Y; HR800, France) under an excitation wavelength of 488 nm. Fourier transform infrared (FT-IR) spectra were obtained using
a Magna Model 560 FT-IR spectrometer with a resolution of 1 cm$^{-1}$. The electrical conductivity was measured using Hall (HL5550LN2, CRYOSTAT, USA).

Results and discussion

Digital photos of Ti$_3$C$_2$Tx and a representative Ti$_3$C$_2$T$_x$–P modified separators were taken, as shown in Fig. S1.$^\dagger$ The surface of the PP separators was uniformly covered by Ti$_3$C$_2$T$_x$ and Ti$_3$C$_2$T$_x$–P after vacuum filtration. The surface of the Ti$_3$C$_2$T$_x$–P modified separator becomes blacker and denser than that of the Ti$_3$C$_2$T$_x$ modified separator. Top-view SEM images of both Ti$_3$C$_2$T$_x$-modified separator and Ti$_3$C$_2$T$_x$–P separator are shown in Fig. 1a–d, respectively. The mass loadings of Ti$_3$C$_2$T$_x$ and Ti$_3$C$_2$T$_x$–P are the same on PP separators. As shown in Fig. 1a and b, the Ti$_3$C$_2$T$_x$ and Ti$_3$C$_2$T$_x$–P modified separators show relatively sparse surface morphology. In contrast, the cross-sectional thickness of Ti$_3$C$_2$T$_x$–P separator (49.7 µm) is 1.8 times higher than that of Ti$_3$C$_2$T$_x$ (∼27.1 µm) after introducing PEDOT:PSS (Fig. 1c and d). The elemental mapping of Ti$_3$C$_2$T$_x$–P on the PP separator was conducted to illustrate the homogeneous distribution of PEDOT:PSS on the Ti$_3$C$_2$T$_x$ surface (Fig. S2$^\dagger$). Moreover, the specific surface area and pore volume of the Ti$_3$C$_2$T$_x$–P (96.9 m$^2$ g$^{-1}$, 0.255 cm$^3$ g$^{-1}$) were also higher than that of the stacked Ti$_3$C$_2$T$_x$ (18.2 m$^2$ g$^{-1}$, 0.061 cm$^3$ g$^{-1}$) (Fig. S3$^\dagger$), indicating a larger accessible active surface area of
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Ti₃C₂Tₓ–P. Besides, according to the high-resolution transmission electron microscopy (HRTEM) images (Fig. 1e and f), the interlayer spacing of the (002) plane of Ti₃C₂Tₓ nanosheets in Ti₃C₂Tₓ–P is 14.6 Å, much larger than that of Ti₃C₂Tₓ (11.5 Å), further confirming that PEDOT:PSS has been successfully intercalated between the adjacent Ti₃C₂Tₓ layers and the interlayer distance is significantly increased.

The XRD patterns of Ti₃C₂Tₓ and Ti₃C₂Tₓ–P were also measured, further confirming the changes in interlayer spacing observed by HRTEM. The (002) peak (Fig. 2a) located at around 7.5° for pure Ti₃C₂Tₓ corresponds to the interlayer spacing of 11.7 Å. However, the (002) peak (Fig. 2b) of the Ti₃C₂Tₓ in Ti₃C₂Tₓ–P shifts to a lower diffraction angle of ~5.9°, corresponding to the interlayer spacing of 14.9 Å, indicating that the interlayer spacing has increased by ~3.2 Å, which is consistent with the HRTEM results. Ti₃C₂Tₓ and Ti₃C₂Tₓ–P composites were also characterized by Raman and FT-IR spectra. The strong Raman peaks at 1372 cm⁻¹ and 1574 cm⁻¹ (Fig. 2c) correspond to the D-band and G-band of the crystalline graphite carbon due to the exposed C on the surface of Ti₃C₂Tₓ.30 In addition, the bands at 995, 1199, 1262, 1433, 1558 cm⁻¹ are attributed to oxyethylene ring deformation, quinoid ring vibration, C=C symmetric stretching, and anti-symmetric C=C stretching deformations in PEDOT:PSS.31–35 All characteristic peaks shown in Ti₃C₂Tₓ–P indicate that PEDOT:PSS has been successfully intercalated between adjacent Ti₃C₂Tₓ layers. This result was further confirmed by the FT-IR spectra, as shown in Fig. 2d. C=O (~1051 cm⁻¹), C–F (~1089 cm⁻¹), C–C (~1402 cm⁻¹), and –OH (~1639 cm⁻¹) groups originate from the characteristic peaks of pure Ti₃C₂Tₓ.30

Fig. 2  (a) XRD patterns of Ti₃C₂Tₓ and Ti₃C₂Tₓ–P and (b) corresponding enlarged XRD patterns. (c) Raman spectra and (d) FTIR spectra of Ti₃C₂Tₓ and Ti₃C₂Tₓ–P. XPS spectra of (e) Ti 2p and (f) O 1s of Ti₃C₂Tₓ and Ti₃C₂Tₓ–P.
The band at 1509 cm$^{-1}$ is related to the C$\equiv$C stretching of the thiophene rings in PEDOT,$^{34}$ while the bands at 1201 cm$^{-1}$ and 1094 cm$^{-1}$ are attributed to the C$\equiv$O$\equiv$C bond stretching of the ethylenedioxy group.$^{35}$ Meanwhile, the IR bands at 988, 926 and 870 cm$^{-1}$ correspond to the C$\equiv$S stretching mode.$^{36}$ These peaks belong to the characteristic peaks of PEDOT:PSS. From Fig. 2d, Ti$_3$C$_2$Tx−P is composed of the Ti$_3$C$_2$Tx and PEDOT:PSS, suggesting the successful intercalation of PEDOT:PSS between adjacent Ti$_3$C$_2$Tx layers. Above SEM, TEM, XRD, Raman and FT-IR results suggest that the addition of PEDOT:PSS can increase the interlayer spacing of Ti$_3$C$_2$Tx and effectively prevent the restacking phenomenon, which is beneficial to promote Li$^+$/electron transport for rapid sulfur conversion and exposed more active sites to adsorb LiPSs, thereby reducing the diffusion of LiPSs in the electrolyte and improving the electrochemical performance of Li−S batteries during cycling processes. XPS measurements were carried out to investigate the elemental composition and chemical bonding states of the Ti$_3$C$_2$Tx and Ti$_3$C$_2$Tx−P, and the detailed peak assignments and interpretation are provided in Fig. S4 and Table S1.$^\dagger$ Compared with pure Ti$_3$C$_2$Tx, the peaks of Ti−C and Ti−O bonds for the Ti 2p$_{1/2}$ state in Ti$_3$C$_2$Tx−P shift to a higher binding energy of $\sim$0.4 eV$^{39,40}$ (Fig. 2e), whereas the S 2p$_{1/2}$ and S 2p$_{3/2}$ states of the PEDOT chain in Ti$_3$C$_2$Tx−P shift to a lower binding energy of $\sim$0.6 eV$^\dagger$ (Fig. S3f). Meanwhile, the O 1s spectrum for Ti$_3$C$_2$Tx−P shows a negative shift of $\sim$0.2 eV$^\dagger$ (Fig. 2f). These shifts of binding energy are attributed to the charge transfer interaction between Ti$_3$C$_2$Tx and PEDOT:PSS. The electrons transferred to Ti$_3$C$_2$Tx enhance the strength of the originally weakened Ti−C bond by Ti$_3$C$_2$Tx surface groups, thereby improving the electrical conductivity of Ti$_3$C$_2$Tx.$^{39,42}$ This result is further proved by Hall measurements, as shown in Table S2.$^\dagger$ Ti$_3$C$_2$Tx−P possesses higher conductivity of 3.19 S cm$^{-1}$ than that of Ti$_3$C$_2$Tx (1.66 S cm$^{-1}$), which is beneficial to promote the charge transport kinetics and obtain a high discharge specific capacity during the cycling processes.

In order to investigate the electrochemical performance of Li−S cells with Ti$_3$C$_2$Tx−P separator, CR2025 coin cells were assembled, using C/S composites as the cathode, lithium metal foil as the anode. The cycling performance of the cells using Ti$_3$C$_2$Tx−P separators with different amounts of PEDOT:PSS (2.4, 4.8, 9.1, 33.3 and 50.0 wt%) is shown in Fig. S5.$^\dagger$ By comparison, the cell with 9.1 wt% PEDOT:PSS exhibits the highest specific capacity of 1226.2 mA h g$^{-1}$ and the best cycle stability at 0.5C. Therefore, subsequent experiments were performed, using Ti$_3$C$_2$Tx−P separator with 9.1 wt% PEDOT:PSS. Meanwhile, the cells with blank separator and Ti$_3$C$_2$Tx−P modified separator were also assembled for comparison. Fig. 3a shows the CV curves of the cells with blank, Ti$_3$C$_2$Tx−P modified and Ti$_3$C$_2$Tx−P separators at 0.1 mV s$^{-1}$ and in a voltage ranging of 1.7−2.8 V. All cells show two cathodic peaks [i and ii], corresponding to the typical multistep reductions from sulfur to soluble long-chain LiPSs (Li$_2$S$_x$, 4 $\leq$ x $\leq$ 8) and then to insoluble short-chain Li$_2$S/LiS. While the anodic peaks (iii and iv) are attributed to the conversion of Li$_2$S/LiS to LiPSs, and further conversion to sulfur. By comparison, all peak intensities of the cells with Ti$_3$C$_2$Tx−P separator are higher than those of the cells with other separators, implying the increased utilization of active materials. More importantly, the CV curves (Fig. 3b) of the cell with Ti$_3$C$_2$Tx−P separator are almost consistent from the first to the fifth cycle, implying the remarkable cycling stability and high reversibility. The GCD voltage profiles of the cells with blank, Ti$_3$C$_2$Tx−modified and Ti$_3$C$_2$Tx−P separators are investigated at a current density of 0.2C, as shown in Fig. 3c. Two typical voltage platforms of these cells are observed in the discharge profile, which is in good agreement with the CV curves. The cells with the blank, Ti$_3$C$_2$Tx−modified and Ti$_3$C$_2$Tx−P separators exhibit initial specific capacities of 866.0 mA h g$^{-1}$, 1039.3 mA h g$^{-1}$ and 1241.4 mA h g$^{-1}$, respectively, and remain the corresponding 571.0 mA h g$^{-1}$, 600.1 mA h g$^{-1}$ and 955.2 mA h g$^{-1}$ after 100 cycles (Fig. 3c and d), indicating that the cell with Ti$_3$C$_2$Tx−P separator has an increased utilization of sulfur again. In addition, EIS measurements were also performed to evaluate the electrical conductivity of cells, as shown in Fig. 3e. The charge transfer resistance (Rct) of the cell with Ti$_3$C$_2$Tx−P separator is much lower than that of the cells with the blank and Ti$_3$C$_2$Tx−modified separators, indicating that the introduction of PEDOT:PSS can enhance the conductivity of cell, which can improve the electron transfer for fast redox reaction kinetics. To compare the anchoring performance of Ti$_3$C$_2$Tx and Ti$_3$C$_2$Tx−P in Li$_2$S$_6$, Ti$_3$C$_2$Tx and Ti$_3$C$_2$Tx−P were added into 0.01 M Li$_2$S$_6$ solution, respectively, and then stood for 30 min. As shown in the inset of Fig. 3f, Li$_2$S$_6$ solution with Ti$_3$C$_2$Tx−P fades into colorless, while another solution is still lightly yellow, indicating that Ti$_3$C$_2$Tx−P has the superior absorption ability of LiPSs. In addition, the UV-vis spectrum was used to assess the absorbing Li$_2$S$_6$ ability of Ti$_3$C$_2$Tx and Ti$_3$C$_2$Tx−P, as shown in Fig. 3f. Obviously, the absorbing Li$_2$S$_6$ ability of Ti$_3$C$_2$Tx−P is the best, which is in agreement with the above observation. All above results indicate that the combination of Ti$_3$C$_2$Tx and PEDOT:PSS can improve the conductivity of Ti$_3$C$_2$Tx, expose more active sites to anchor LiPSs and improve the utilization of sulfur.

Fig. 4a and b shows the rate performance of the cells with different separators. The cell with Ti$_3$C$_2$Tx−P separator displays the best rate performance, and delivers corresponding discharge capacities of 1438.4, 1102.8, 846.6, 715.6, and 607.9 mA h g$^{-1}$ at 0.1, 0.2, 0.5, 1.0, and 2.0C, respectively. When the current densities return to 0.2 and 0.1C, the discharge capacities of 1065.4 and 1273.6 mA h g$^{-1}$ are retained, corresponding to 96.6% and 88.5% of the initial capacity. These results indicate that Ti$_3$C$_2$Tx−P separator is beneficial to promote excellent reversibility and enhance high sulfur utilization. However, the cell with Ti$_3$C$_2$Tx−P modified separator shows slightly worse discharge capacity of 763.1 and 949.6 mA h g$^{-1}$ when the current densities switches back to 0.2 and 0.1C, because the restacking phenomenon affects the adsorption capacity of Ti$_3$C$_2$Tx. By comparison, the cell with blank separator displays the worst rate performance and the fastest capacity decay from 907.7 mA h g$^{-1}$ at 0.1C to 150.2 mA h g$^{-1}$ at 2C. In addition, the GCD profiles of the cell with Ti$_3$C$_2$Tx−P separator (Fig. S6f) at high rates shows much flatter and more stable plateaus compared to blank and Ti$_3$C$_2$Tx−P modified separator, demonstrating superior rate performance and high
electrochemical reversibility. The cycling stability of Li–S cells with blank, Ti$_3$C$_2$T$_x$-modified and Ti$_3$C$_2$T$_x$–P separators were also tested at 0.5C, as shown in Fig. 4c. The initial discharge capacities of blank, Ti$_3$C$_2$T$_x$-modified and Ti$_3$C$_2$T$_x$–P separators are 434.8, 1046.4 and 1182.1 mA h g$^{-1}$, respectively. After 1000 cycles, a high reversible discharge capacity of 485.3 mA h g$^{-1}$ is retained for Ti$_3$C$_2$T$_x$–P separators with an ultralow capacity fade rate as low as 0.030% per cycle, indicating the strong anchoring LiPS ability of Ti$_3$C$_2$T$_x$–P. The obtained good performance could be attributed to the advantages of Ti$_3$C$_2$T$_x$–P separator as follows: (i) the introduction of PEDOT:PSS can enhance the electrical conductivity of the cell and effectively prevent Ti$_3$C$_2$T$_x$ nanosheets from restacking, thereby promoting fast Li$^+$/electron transport. (ii) Ti$_3$C$_2$T$_x$–P hybrid with high active surface can chemically anchor LiPSs, promote them conversion, improve the sulfur utilization, and effectively inhibit the shuttle effect.
Conclusions

In summary, Ti$_3$C$_2$Tx–P separators were rationally designed and successfully prepared to achieve high performance Li–S batteries. On the one hand, the introduction of PEDOT:PSS enhances the electrical conductivity of Li–S batteries and effectively prevents Ti$_3$C$_2$Tx from restacking, thereby promoting fast Li$^+$/electron transport. On the other hand, Ti$_3$C$_2$Tx–P with high active surface has a strong anchoring effect to LiPSs to suppress the shuttle effect, thereby improving the cycling and rate performance of Li–S batteries. With these advantages, Li–S batteries with Ti$_3$C$_2$Tx–P separator achieve an excellent electrochemical performance. This work could provide an outstanding strategic guidance on designing modified separator for cycling stability Li–S batteries.
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