between Xpert SA and the culture results. Methicillin resistance was determined using conventional methods (susceptibility testing or detection of altered penicillin binding protein).

**Results.** When compared with culture for the identification of SA (n = 481), there was an agreement of 95.0% with sensitivity and specificity being 95.6% and 94.8%, respectively. Among those culture-confirmed and Xpert SA positive samples (n = 131), concordance between Xpert SA and conventional methods for detection of methicillin resistance was 97.0% with sensitivity and specificity being 100% and 96.3%, respectively. Four culture-confirmed methicillin-resistant SA (MSSA) were identified as MRSAs in Xpert SA. Among 504 nasal specimens, 23 (4.6%) samples had invalid or instrument failure results. Nasal swabs collected from pediatric patients (≤21-year old) had a higher invalid/instrument failure rate (5.0%) than those from adults (0%) (P < 0.001).

**Conclusion.** Xpert SA Nasal Complete assay provides a rapid and sensitive method to detect and differentiate between MSSA and MRSA colonization. The higher invalid rate in pediatric patients and misidentification of MSSA as MRSAs by Xpert SA warrant the confirmation by bacterial culture and conventional susceptibility test.

**Disclosures.** A. Leber, Nationwide Children’s Hospital: Research Contractor, Research support.
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**Background.** Data on efficacy of T-Spot.TB Test (T-Spot) in diagnosing latent tuberculosis infection (LTBI) and guiding isoniazid preventive therapy (IPT) among healthcare professionals (HCP) in tuberculosis (TB)-endemic settings are limited.

**Methods.** A prospective study was conducted among Thai HCP undergoing T-Spot in June 2016 (initial screening) and June 2017 (follow-up). Nine-month isoniazid preventive therapy (IPT) was offered among the HCP with positive T-Spot. The incidence of TB and the rates of conversion and reversion of T-Spot were evaluated during the 1-year follow-up period (June 2016 to June 2017).

**Results.** A total of 140 HCP underwent initial T-Spot; the median age was 27 years (IQR 25–31 years), 89% were female and 23% (16%) were T-Spot-positive. Eighty-nine HCP (64%) had both initial and follow-up T-Spot. Among the 89 HCP, the initial and follow-up rates of T-Spot positivity were 19% (N = 17) and 24% (N = 21), respectively. The conversion and reversion rates were 10% (N = 9) and 6% (N = 5), respectively. All of the nine HCP (100%) with T-Spot conversion reported significant contacts with patients who had active pulmonary TB without using appropriate personal protection equipment. During the 1-year follow-up period, incidence of TB was significantly higher among HCP with T-Spot conversion compared with HCP with persistent positive T-Spot, HCP with T-Spot reversion and HCP with persistent negative T-Spot. For positive T-Spot (≥22 vs. 8 vs. 0 vs. cases/100 person-years; P = 0.001), the incidence of T-Spot positive initial T-Spot (8%) was significantly higher among HCP converting T-Spot (4%) compared with HCP declining or not completing IPT (0 vs. 11 cases/100 person-years; P = 0.001 and 63% vs. P = 0.009, respectively).

**Conclusion.** T-Spot could be used for diagnosing LTBI, guiding IPT and identifying HCP with subsequent risk for TB. The serial T-Spot may be used for evaluating IPT efficacy.

**Disclosures.** All authors: No reported disclosures.
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**Background.** Rapid detection and identification of sepsis causing pathogens are critical for optimizing antimicrobial therapy to improve patient survival and reduce healthcare costs. The T2Bacteria Panel RUO is a molecular diagnostic allowing detection of Gram-negative Escherichia coli, Klebsiella pneumoniae, Pseudomonas aeruginosa, Acinetobacter baumannii and Gram-positive Staphylococcus aureus and Enterococcus faecium within a few hours. The purpose of our study was to determine the feasibility and efficacy of the T2Bacterial Panel RUO in an Emergency Medicine (ED) and Surgical Intensive Care Unit (SICU) setting.

**Methods.** An IRB-approved, prospective, observational study was implemented at a Safety-Net, Level One Trauma Center in Denver, Colorado. Patients were enrolled who had an order for a blood draw from the ED or SICU. Patients who had blood drawn for cultures had a concurrent draw for testing with a T2Bacteria Panel RUO.

**Results.** Sixty-six patients were included in the present interim analysis. Mean patient age was 51 years (19–84), 36% were female, 46% Caucasian (34% Hispanic/Latino), and 74% of patients were enrolled upon presentation to the ED, 13% from the SICU, and 15% from the wards. 90% of blood sampling (culture and T2Bacteria) was done from peripheral stick while 7% were from the initial stick of a peripheral IV and 3% were obtained from an internal IV. Resistance was 97.0% with sensitivity and specificity being 100% and 96.3%, respectively. Of the 56 patients with negative blood culture, 53 had concordant negative T2Bacteria results, providing a specificity of 94.6%. 10 patients had positive blood cultures (15%) for T2Bacteria Panel RUO targets. Interestingly, only five of these (50%) had concordant positive T2Bacteria testing. Examining the discordant samples, all (5) blood culture positive, T2Bacteria negative were found to have clinically false-positive blood cultures. T2Bacteria positive samples were distributed as follows: two E.coli, one S. aureus, one K. pneumoniae, and one P. aeruginosa. No detections were made for E. fackomius or A. baumannii.

**Conclusion.** In this interim analysis, T2Bacteria Panel RUO provides feasible rapid diagnostics for ED and surgical ICU settings with a high specificity and much shorter time to result when compared with gold standard blood cultures.

**Disclosures.** C. Robinson, T2 Biosystems: Research Coordinator for funded study from T2 Biosystems, Research support. R. Jackson, T2 Biosystems: Research Assistant for funded study from T2 Biosystems, Research support. M. Cohen, T2 Biosystems: PI on study being funded by T2 Biosystems, not paying for any part of salary. Research support.
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**Background.** Clostridium difficile infection (CDI) is a leading cause of infectious diarrhea in healthcare settings in the United States. Accurate testing methodology provides guidance to clinicians as to when to treat. Our study was designed to determine whether more sensitive testing methodology implemented in 2013 reduced unnecessary treatment of hospital-associated diarrhea (HAD).

**Methods.** In 2012, patients with HAD were tested with the less sensitive testing method of C. difficile Toxin Assay by EIA. In 2013, a three-step algorithm incorporated C. difficile deoxyribonuclease assay (C. difficile DNA), in combination with an enzyme-linked immunosassay for Toxin A and B was introduced. Those samples with discrepant results (positive on only one of the two) were considered indeterminate and subjected to the nucleic acid amplification test (NAAT) for CDI genes. In a retrospective chart review of HAD, we assessed the decision to treat based on the laboratory results available at the time in the pre-algorithmic and post-algorithmic periods. Multiple demographic factors and comorbid conditions were analyzed to provide clues to why the patient may have had continued treatment despite negative assays.

**Results.** The rate of treated patients despite negative CDI testing in the pre-algorithmic period was 59% (118/444) and 41% (82/204) in the post-algorithmic period (P = 0.0765). A multiple logistic regression analysis was done for all tested factors. The factors that led to treatment despite negative testing in both time periods included: organ transplantation (P = 0.0003), other immunosuppressive conditions (P = 0.0447), prior hx of CDI (P = 0.0021), longer length of stay (P = 0.0105), and hx of hypertension (P = 0.0173).

**Conclusion.** While there was a downward trend toward holding CDI treatment in those with negative CDI testing as the more sensitive and specific algorithm was introduced it did not reach statistical significance. The higher risk patients were statistically more likely to be treated even if the testing was negative. Further efforts should be made to educate clinicians as to the accuracy of the testing methods so that appropriate antibiotic de-escalation can be achieved even in high-risk patients with diarrhea.

**Disclosures.** All authors: No reported disclosures.
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