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Abstract
We present a static, isotropic fluid solution around a black hole and its effects on the field equations and the horizon. We offer the solutions, their descriptions and we comment on their shortcomings. We derive from the proposed metric the density, the pressure, the equation of state, the temperature near and far of the black hole and the equation of state parameter. We show that for a special case, when $r \to 0$ the solution behaves as $p = \frac{\rho}{3}$, the equation of state for radiation and for $r \to \infty$ we observe that $p = 0$, the equation of state for dust.
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1. Introduction

In Einstein’s theory of general relativity, static solutions with spherically symmetric spacetimes could be used to describe the relativistic spheres in astrophysics. This is why different techniques and analysis are worked through to attain exact solutions. Durgal et al., [1] modeled the physical requirements for a neutron star. There are models [2] for static, spherically symmetric solutions which provide leading paths. John et al., [4] derived an exact isotropic solution which reduces to a recurrence equation with variable, rational coefficients of order three.
Semiz \cite{5} searched for spherically symmetric solutions for perfect fluids to include the possibilities of dark energy and phantom energy pervading the spacetime. Magli \cite{6} proposed simple models of ‘black hole interiors’ which satisfy the weak energy condition and the matter content is specified by an equation of state of the elastic type. Dotti et al., \cite{7} studied spherically symmetric solutions that have a regular horizon and satisfy the weak and dominant energy conditions outside the horizon. Emparan et al., \cite{8} examined spaces with some rotational symmetries which provided a rich spectrum of different phases of black objects with distinct topologies for horizons. Pons et al., \cite{9} considered Einstein-Gauss-Bonnet black holes, a new aspect is that there can occur a non-central naked singularity, that can be averted by imposing a range for black hole mass. Ganonouji et al., \cite{10} inspected the existence and stability of static black holes in Lovelock theories and derived the equation of stability from action. Davidson et al., \cite{11} have proven that any static metric with a Killing horizon in the presence of a perfect fluid, is necessarily a Schwarzschild solution with a vanishing proper energy density and a vanishing proper pressure. Solutions describing black holes embedded in gradually increasing perfect fluid and the phenomenon for \( p = \omega \rho \) were demonstrated. Leading to the proof that the only perfect fluid \( p = \omega \rho \) static, spherically symmetric black hole solution is the Schwarzschild solution with vanishing \( p \) and \( \rho \).

The motivation that drove us to this paper was the possibility if a solution satisfying only the isotropy condition existed in a static spacetime. In the following section we will propose a two parameter solution of the Field Equations that lead to interesting findings. One of the parameters is related to the mass of the black hole and the other to the energy density in the universe.
2. Solution

Let us write the metric in a static spacetime with a function \( f(r) \).

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{ds}^2 &= \frac{(1 - f(r))^2}{(1 + f(r))^2} \text{dt}^2 - (1 + f(r))^4 \left( \text{dr}^2 + r^2 \text{d}\Omega^2 \right) \\
&= \frac{(1 - f(r))^2}{(1 + f(r))^2} \text{dt}^2 - (1 + f(r))^4 \left( \text{dr}^2 + r^2 \text{d}\Omega^2 \right) \quad \text{where } \text{d}\Omega^2 = d\theta^2 + \sin^2 \theta d\phi^2.
\end{align*}
\]

(1)

The motivation for this ansatz was to investigate the singularity at \( r = 0 \). Instead of putting a solution like \( \frac{1}{r} \) directly we searched for a more general form for a solution. The calculations lead us to the following non-vanishing components of the Einstein tensor in the orthonormal basis \( G_{tt}, G_{rr}, \) and \( G_{\theta\theta} = G_{\phi\phi} \).

We will not bother the reader with the long and exhausting details of these components at this point.

The only condition that we impose is the following, in the orthonormal basis:

\[
G_{rr} = G_{\theta\theta} = G_{\phi\phi}.
\]

(2)

What we obtain is rather intriguing, the unique solution that satisfies (2) is:

\[
f(r) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{a^2 r^2 + 2b}} = (a^2 r^2 + 2b)^{-1/2}.
\]

(3)

where \( a \) and \( b \) are constants.

We obtain the following non-vanishing components of the Einstein tensor in the orthonormal basis:

\[
G_{tt} = \frac{24a^2 b}{(\sqrt{a^2 r^2 + 2b} + 1)^5},
\]

(4)

\[
G_{rr} = G_{\theta\theta} = G_{\phi\phi} = \frac{8a^2 b}{(\sqrt{a^2 r^2 + 2b} - 1)(\sqrt{a^2 r^2 + 2b} + 1)^5}.
\]

(5)

For further purposes we denote the equation of state parameter:

\[
\nu = \frac{1}{3(\sqrt{a^2 r^2 + 2b} - 1)} = \frac{1}{3(f(r) - 1)}
\]

(6)

\( G_{rr} = G_{\theta\theta} = G_{\phi\phi} = p \) and \( G_{tt} = \rho \). where \( p \) and \( \rho \) are respectively the pressure and density.
i.e. with (3), \( a = \frac{2}{GM} \) and \( b = \frac{8}{3}G^2M^2\rho_H \)

\[
\rho = \frac{32\rho_H}{(\frac{1}{f(r)} + 1)^6} \quad \text{and} \quad p = \nu\rho
\]  

(7) \[ \rho_H \] being the energy density at the horizon.

The non-vanishing components of the Weyl Tensor are:

\[
C_{0101} = -2C_{0202} = -2C_{0303} = 2C_{1313} = 2C_{2323} = \frac{4a^4r^2}{f(r)(\frac{1}{f(r)} + 1)^6}
\]  

(8)

3. Discussions

Singularity. We immediately observe the horizon singularity at \( f(r) = 1 \), we note that the pressure \( p \to \infty \) whereas the density and the Weyl Tensor components are finite at the horizon. On the other hand for \( r \to \infty \), we see that the equation of state parameter \( \nu \) goes to zero and the components of the Weyl tensor signal a massive object of mass \( M \). Far from the black hole, matter is approximated as stationary dust particles which produce no pressure.

Can the horizon be circumvented? The answer to this question can be given by a choice of \( b \) in \( f(r) \). We can circumvent this singularity for \( \sqrt{a^2r^2 + 2b} > 1 \) which gives us for all \( r \), \( b > \frac{1}{2} \).

Let us consider and analyze the situation where we have to choose \( b \) so that the positivity of the energy condition is not violated.

Noting \( p = \nu\rho \) with \( \nu = \frac{1}{3(\sqrt{a^2r^2 + 2b} - 1)} \), as \( r \to 0 \), we calculate \( b \) for \( \nu \leq 1 \) i.e. \( p \leq \rho \).

We simply obtain by doing the calculation that \( b \geq \frac{8}{9} \).

Next we calculate \( b \) so that \( p = \frac{\rho}{3} \), as \( r \to 0 \) and we get \( b = 2 \). That is to say that if we choose \( b=2 \), we have as \( r \to 0 \), \( p = \frac{\rho}{3} \) which is the radiation equation of state and as \( r \to \infty \), \( p = 0 \) the dust equation of state. And the singularity is circumvented.
Temperature. Another interesting aspect of this metric with \( f(r) \), the density and the pressure formulations that we have derived is that we can calculate via

\[
\frac{d}{dT} \left( \frac{\rho + p}{T} \right) = \frac{1}{T} \frac{d\rho}{dT}
\]

the temperature as a function of \( r \).

Doing the necessary calculations we have:

\[
\frac{T}{T_\infty} = \frac{1 + f(r)}{1 - f(r)}
\]

(10)

where \( T_\infty \) is the temperature at \( r \to \infty \). We see that the change of temperature is quite mild unless there is a horizon in which case the temperature is infinite at the horizon. We note that the equation of state parameter is:

\[
\nu = \frac{1}{6} \left( \frac{T}{T_\infty} - 1 \right).
\]

(11)

and observe that \( \nu \) has a linear dependence on temperature.

4. Conclusion

This solution suggests that the vacuum black hole solution attains a singular horizon even if a small amount of isotropic matter-energy is introduced. In this case one expects a non static solution with isotropic matter-energy falling into the black hole.

In case of a large amount of isotropic matter-energy, the horizon disappears. We physically expect that again, matter falling into the black hole eventually causes a singular horizon to appear, with the horizon getting bigger as matter-energy keeps falling into the black hole. Thus investigation of such non-static solutions is relevant.

The fact, \( \nu > 1 \), near the singular horizon is probably due to the fact that interactions other than gravity are neglected. It is a well know fact that the increase of pressure as real matter falls into the black hole causes thermonuclear fusion to occur.
From cosmological solutions, we know that matter-energy in a spatially flat universe causes the universe to expand. This may be relevant for our solution which is static and the tendency of matter-energy to expand is balanced by the pull of the black hole.

For large values of the dimensionless parameter \( b \), the equation of state remains close to the dust equation of state for all \( r \). For values of \( b > \frac{1}{2} \), there is no horizon and the maximum value of energy density \( \rho_0 \) is attained for \( r=0 \).

For \( b >> \frac{1}{2} \), the relation between \( b \) and \( \rho_0 \) is

\[
b = \left( \frac{9}{2\pi^2 G^6 M^4 \rho_0^2} \right)^{\frac{1}{3}}.
\]

(12)

Hence the energy density of the universe prevents small mass objects from ever attaining a horizon.
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