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Abstract: Recent research has highlighted the importance of key tumor microenvironment features, notably the collagen-rich extracellular matrix (ECM) in characterizing tumor invasion and progression. This led to great interest from both basic researchers and clinicians, including pathologists, to include collagen fiber evaluation as part of the investigation of cancer development and progression. Fibrillar collagen is the most abundant in the normal extracellular matrix, and was revealed to be upregulated in many cancers. Recent studies suggested an emerging theme across multiple cancer types in which specific collagen fiber organization patterns differ between benign and malignant tissue and also appear to be associated with disease stage, prognosis, treatment response, and other clinical features. There is great potential for developing image-based collagen fiber biomarkers for clinical applications, but its adoption in standard clinical practice is dependent on further translational and clinical evaluations. Here, we offer a comprehensive review of the current literature of fibrillar collagen structure and organization as a candidate cancer biomarker, and new perspectives on the challenges and next steps for researchers and clinicians seeking to exploit this information in biomedical research and clinical workflows.
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1. Introduction

The tumor microenvironment consists of multiple biochemical, mechanical, and structural signals. One of the major structural components of the tumor microenvironment is the extracellular matrix (ECM). The ECM is a very dynamic structure consisting of many components including collagen, laminin, fibronectin, glycoproteins, proteoglycans, and polysaccharides (Figure 1). There have been increasing efforts to better understand the influences of the ECM components on cell behaviors and functions. A major focus of studying the ECM is the role of collagen in both normal and abnormal function. Collagen is the most abundant ECM protein in the human body. There are twenty-eight different types of collagen involved in many normal biological functions such as tissue scaffolding,
cell adhesion, cell migration, angiogenesis, tissue morphogenesis, and tissue repair [1]. Based on function and domain homology [2], these collagens are classified into seven groups: fibril-forming (fibrillar) collagens, fibril-associated collagens with interrupted triple helices, network-forming collagens, transmembrane collagens, endostatin-producing collagens, anchoring fibrils, and bead-filament-forming collagens.

Fibril-forming collagens, in particular, are highly abundant throughout the stroma. Normally, fibrillar collagens maintain tissue integrity and are separated from epithelial cells by a thin basement membrane (Figure 1). There are eleven fibrillar collagen genes that break down into seven different collagen types: type I, II, III, V, XI, XXIV, and XXVII. Molecular assembly of fibrillar collagen is hierarchical [3]. All collagen molecules consist of three polypeptide alpha chains (~1.6 nm width, ~300 nm length) that make at least one triple helical domain. The combination of three alpha chains determines the collagen type. These alpha chains consist of around 1,000 amino acid residues with a characteristic triplet repeat sequence Gly-X-Y, alpha chain types are differentiated by the amino acid residues in the X and Y positions of those triplets [4]. The most abundant fibrillar collagen, type I, is generally heterotrimeric and composed of two α1(I) and one α2(I) chains; however, a homotrimeric α1(I) isomer has been found to be produced by certain malignant cells and is resistant to proteolysis [5]. Collagen types II, III, XXIV, and XXVII are composed of homotrimers. Collagen types V and XI are often found as heterotrimeric molecules; however, homotrimers of the α1(V) chain have been characterized and composite molecules of type V and XI collagens have also been described. α3(XI) chains also appear to be a modified product of the gene that encodes the type II collagen chain [2]. Procollagen containing N- and C-propeptides at each end of the triple helical domains are ultimately processed into collagen upon protease cleavage [6]. Collagen molecules associate both in lateral and longitudinal directions to form collagen fibrils (~100 nm width, ~1 µm length) that are stabilized by non-reducible covalent cross-links that involve residues in the triple helix. Multiple fibrils can then aggregate to form collagen fibers (~1 µm width, ~10 µm length).

Collagen fiber formation is essential to human health. Abnormalities in collagen expression or collagen structure can result in debilitating disease. Osteogenesis imperfecta, for example, is a connective tissue disorder characterized by bone deformities, brittle bones, and low bone density caused by the improper encoding and assembly of collagen type I [7]. Over the last decade, there has been growing evidence that collagen fiber organization is not only a structural scaffold for normal tissue function, but, in some instances, it correlates

![Figure 1. Key extracellular matrix (ECM) components of the tumor microenvironment. Collagens, laminin, fibronectin, proteoglycans, and other components are produced by fibroblasts and other resident cells.](image-url)
with disease onset and progression. This review presents the current understanding of the role of collagen in oncogenesis.

During cancer progression, homeostatic control of the ECM is compromised, and epithelial cells become exposed to a collagen-rich stroma. In addition to elevated content, stromal collagen is differentially organized in a number of cancer types [8–10]. Both biochemical cues and specific organizational changes in collagen result in many pathological consequences (Figure 2). For example, breast cancer cells migrate in vivo along linearized collagen fibers aligned perpendicular to the stroma-cancer interface [8,11]. Subsequent mechanistic work demonstrated that aligned collagen limits cellular protrusions, and therefore increases migratory persistence [12]. Aligned collagen prevents T cells from migrating to tumor islets in human lung cancer [13]. Additionally, strain-stiffening behavior of collagen along the longitudinal axis contributes significantly to the tensile strength of tissue, and cancer cells respond aberrantly to aligned, stiff matrices via integrin signaling [14–21]. Aligned collagen also significantly contributes to increased stromal density and intratumoral fluid pressures [22], which can impede the transport of therapeutic agents to the tumor target site [23,24]. While the exact mechanisms remain incompletely understood, collagen alignment is believed to be produced and maintained by both cancer cells and stromal components through a number of biological underpinnings, including Rho-dependent actin-myosin contractility [11,25], intra- and intermolecular cross-linking via lysyl oxidase [17], syndecan-1 expression [26], and interactions among other ECM molecules such as fibronectin, peristin, and minor collagens [27–32].

![Figure 2](image-url)

**Figure 2.** The multifunctional role of fibrillar collagen in cancer progression. Biochemical cues and specific organizational changes in collagen result in diverse pathological consequences for the survival, proliferation, and spread of cancerous cells.

In this review, we focus on the published evidence showing that fibrillar collagen organization and structure is an important factor and potential candidate biomarker in disease etiology and progression in a wide variety of cancers. We review the literature pertaining to collagen morphology in diagnosis, patient prognosis, and treatment response in many cancer types. We have primarily constrained this review to studies of human cancer tissue where fibrillar collagen structure and organization are visualized and measured using standard histopathologic specimen preparation methods (tissues from paraffin-embedded blocks of tissue).
2. Collagen Fiber Imaging and Quantification

While ongoing research seeks to fully understand the mechanistic causes and pathological consequences of collagen organization in cancer, much of the foundation of this work was established through imaging studies of pathological tissues from human patients. The role of fibrillar collagen organization in cancer is increasingly better understood because of the various imaging techniques and corresponding quantification tools that allow for examination of archival tissue cancer samples. We briefly review below some of the imaging and computational approaches that meet the spatial resolution and other quantification requirements for fibrillar collagen evaluation in cancer.

2.1. Histological Staining

Traditional histological staining methods such as Masson’s Trichrome, Movat’s Pentachrome, and Van Gieson are widely used to visualize collagen in thin sections (typically 5 micron) due to their low cost and relative ease of application. Picrosirius red is another staining technique used to detect collagen that, when combined with polarized light, is sensitive to fibrillar collagen birefringence [33] and, in the quantification of certain types of collagen fiber metrics, it was correlated well with Second Harmonic Generation (SHG) imaging which is a gold standard fibrillar collagen imaging technique and will be described below [34]. Picrosirius Red provides better specificity for collagen detection compared to the other collagen stains as differences in interference color and birefringent intensity can help further identify collagen subtypes [35]. Another new stain named collagen hybridizing peptide (CHP) was found to be effective for detection of degraded collagen in tissue samples and has the ability to target some types of collagen remodeling [36,37]. All of these stains, however, are limited in their clinical utility due to the variable quality of the stains, even with the use of automated platforms for staining. Antibody labeling has also been used extensively for collagen detection and characterization [38], but is complicated by cross-reactivity between collagen types. Despite the recognition that visible changes in the ECM (so-called “desmoplastic stroma”) are required for a diagnosis of invasive cancer in most epithelial malignancies, the possibility that the ECM holds any further clues to oncologic growth, prognosis, or treatment has not been explored by traditional staining methods. This is partly due to the fact that in standard clinical practice, collagen histological stains enable enhanced qualitative assessment of tissue specimens but are not readily amenable to collagen quantification.

2.2. Second Harmonic Generation Microscopy

There continues to be great interest in label-free imaging methods for tumor tissues that do not require specialized staining. SHG microscopy is a powerful optical method to non-invasively detect fibrillar collagen changes in intact tissues that cannot be readily achieved using biochemical or other imaging approaches [39–41]. SHG is a laser scanning microscopy technique in which two lower energy photons are up-converted to exactly twice the incident frequency [42]. For this phenomenon to occur, a non-centrosymmetric molecular structure is required. Fibrillar collagen and other biological molecules such as microtubules and muscle myosin satisfy this structural requirement [43]. SHG has a distinct advantage over traditional histological staining-based approaches because there is no need to process or stain the tissue, and the ability to optically section tissue allows for thicker specimens (several hundred microns or more) to be used. In addition, SHG is a high-resolution imaging technique allowing for submicron resolution, with down to ~300 nm views of collagen structures. SHG microscopy has emerged as the experimental “gold standard” for fibrillar collagen studies enabling collagen organization to be directly visualized and quantified in live [44] and fixed histology tissues [10,45,46], three-dimensional (3D) in vitro systems [12,47–51], and dynamic in vivo disease models [52,53]. However, the specialized equipment, significant cost, requisite specialized training, and computational requirements do not currently permit easy adoption of this methodology in routine clinical pathologic, radiologic, or surgical practices.
2.3. Liquid Crystal-Based Polarizing Microscope (LC-PolScope)

Polarization imaging methods, such as the liquid crystal-based polarization microscopy method LC-PolScope, is another label-free imaging modality for collagen. LC-PolScope is a simpler, less expensive, faster and hence a more clinically friendly imaging modality compared to SHG [54,55]. However, LC-PolScope imaging can only be used to assess collagen orientation in thin and transparent specimens. It has been shown to yield comparable orientation and alignment results from computed retardance images for traditional 5 micron histopathology sections including human breast cancer and pancreatic cancer histology slides [56]. Regular research-grade or clinical microscopes can readily be converted to a basic LC-PolScope by adding a universal compensator and a circular polarizer or analyzer. The universal compensator is made of two liquid crystal variable retarders and a linear polarizer, which can produce any light polarization. The LC-PolScope allows for fast measurements of specimen anisotropy (i.e., retardance and slow axis orientation) at all points of the image constituting the field of view. Nevertheless, an ongoing challenge of using LC-PolScope for collagen imaging is how to properly interpret the signals as they may represent other biological structures of birefringent contrast such as smooth muscle. While LC-Polscope is promising for collagen fiber assessment, the meaning inherent in the images produced by the LC-PolScope must first be validated in well-informed and well-executed studies.

2.4. Computational Methods

In addition to imaging the collagen topology, whether by traditional histological staining or by advanced imaging methods, there is the common need to quantitate collagen organization. A number of groups, including our own, have investigated the best computational approaches to quantify changes in collagen fiber organization in a wide range of biomedical applications. The main features that were found to be meaningful to date are collagen fiber amount or density [57,58], orientation and anisotropy of orientations (or alignment) [46,59], individual fiber properties including angle, width, length and curvature, texture analysis-based collagen fiber patterns [10,60–62], fiber network branching [63], and features related to combined analysis of collagen fibers and their associated tumor cells such as tumor-associated collagen signatures [8,46,64]. Among all of these features, collagen fiber orientation and alignment were of most interest to investigators. Pixel-wise orientation and window-wise orientation can efficiently be computed based on intensity derivatives or intensity variations [65,66] and transformation-based analysis [67–72] such as Fourier transform and Hough transform, respectively. Besides describing orientation-related features, approaches based on fiber-wise information [73–75] can provide data on many other morphologic features, but they are generally computationally demanding. To be noted, curvelet transform [76] can yield an optimal multiscale directional representation of the collagen fiber image, and has been used in our quantification studies to directly track local fiber orientation change or enhance fiber edges for later individual fiber extraction [77]. Machine learning has emerged as a powerful tool to identify discriminative fiber features [78] and can classify images into pre-determined categories (e.g., normal or abnormal tissues, lower and higher grades of cancer) based on either explicitly calculated fiber features [79] or implicit fiber patterns [80–82] in the collagen fiber images. Automated quantification approaches are promising to improve assessment accuracy of prognostic variables in clinical pathologic practice, and also expand research possibilities by enabling the measurement of larger areas of interest and greater numbers of samples than with current, manually intensive imaging technologies. Some most frequently cited or recently emerged open-source tools include Fiji plugins of OrientationJ [66], Ridge Detection [83], FibrilTool [84], TWOMBLI [85], MATLAB-based CytoSpectre [68], CurveAlign [77,86,87] and CT-FIRE [75,77], and Python-based PyFibre [79]. Users are recommended to follow the tutorials or protocols to test and choose a tool that best meets their needs. The validation of the usage or the accuracy of a tool usually relies on visual inspection, cross-validation by other computational tools developed based on different
methodologies, or by comparing the results with those from manual or semi-automatic measurements. As well it can be useful to analyze computationally generated fiber images with known fiber metrics as shown in our previous publication [77]. Visual inspection, if available, is often the most practical strategy.

3. Collagen Fiber Organization as a Candidate Cancer Biomarker

Pathology-driven studies of human patient tissues have spotlighted collagen fiber organization as an intrinsic biomarker in oncologic diseases with potential clinical applications. Recent studies have demonstrated that discrete features of collagen fibers including width [88–91], length [10,90,92], angle [8], and alignment [59,86,93–97], can be used to differentiate benign from malignant tissues or serve as accurate predictors of cancer aggression and patient survival, while total tissue collagen content may be less important in determining cancer extent [98]. Insights from these studies are highlighted in Table 1 and reviewed below.

Table 1. Overview of Published Collagen Fiber Organization Studies in Cancer.

| Disease                  | Metric                                      | Visualization | Findings                                                                 | References |
|--------------------------|---------------------------------------------|---------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------|
| Breast cancer (p)        | Intensity, area, density, collagen reticular index (junctions:length), fiber length, fiber thickness | SHG           | Increased amount of aggregated collagen in the tissue, brighter collagen fibers, are associated with increased survival. | [92]       |
| Breast cancer (p)        | COX2, TAMs, collagen alignment               | SHG, Masson’s Trichrome, Immunofluorescence | Collagen orientation perpendicular to the tumor boundary is associated with poor overall survival. | [86,99]    |
| Breast cancer (d)        | Fiber shape                                 | SHG           | Shape different between normal, benign, and malignant breast.           | [100]      |
| Breast cancer (d)        | Relative angle                              | SHG           | TACS as a function of disease progression: More aligned collagen associated with invasion. | [8]        |
| Breast cancer (d)        | $d_{15}/d_{31}$ tensor element ratio of the second order susceptibility $\chi^2$ | SHG           | Malignant tissue contains locally aligned fibers compared to normal, hyperplastic, and dysplastic tissues. | [101]      |
| Breast cancer (d)        | Relative angle                              | SHG           | Radially aligned fibrillar collagen is a poor prognostic factor.         | [46]       |
| Breast cancer (xenograft)| Alignment                                   | SHG           | Significantly less aligned collagen after trastuzumab treatment.          | [53]       |
| Colon Cancer (d)         | Fiber width, straightness, alignment        | SHG, EM       | Changes in collagen alignment are apparent 10-20cm from the tumor. Increase in collagen width, straightness, and alignment further from the tumor. | [89]       |
| Disease                               | Metric                                                                 | Visualization | Findings                                                                                                                                  | References |
|---------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------|
| Colorectal cancer (d)                 | Average intensity per pixel (AIPP) and fiber alignment                | SHG           | SHG signal intensity can differentiate malignant from non-malignant colonic polyp tissue with high sensitivity and specificity. Anisotropic polarization can discern high-grade dysplasia from normal colonic polyp tissue. | [96,102]  |
| Esophageal Cancer (p)                 | Length                                                                 | SHG           | Elongated collagen fibers are associated with poorer prognosis, alignment did not predict survival.                                      | [10]       |
| Gastric cancer (d)                    | Average intensity per pixel                                            | MPM, SHG      | Increase in overall collagen intensity in early gastric cancer vs normal                                                                   | [103]      |
| Gastric cancer (d)                    | CT-FIRE (alignment, length, straightness, width)                     | SHG, IHC (Coll, PICP, PINP, LOX, LOXL2) | Increased stromal collagen alignment, length, straightness, and width in gastric cancer.                                                  | [88]       |
| Glioblastoma (p)                      | Alignment                                                             | SHG           | More organized collagen is associated with better prognosis.                                                                               | [95]       |
| Head and neck, (p)                    | Length                                                                | SHG           | Elongated collagen fibers are associated with poorer prognosis, alignment did not predict survival.                                      | [10]       |
| Hepatocellular carcinoma (d)          | Aggregated and distributed collagen fiber ratio, individual percentage, number, length, width, and cross-link density | SHG           | Collagen architecture varies with different grades of HCC; can be used to accurately predict HCC grading.                               | [90]       |
| Lung cancer                           | Alignment                                                             | SHG           | Aligned collagen prevents T cells from migrating to tumor islets.                                                                         | [13]       |
| Non-small cell lung carcinoma (d)     | second-order susceptibility component ratio                          | Polarization-in, polarization-out SHG | Collagen in less compact and has larger disorder in tumor tissue.                                                                        | [104]      |
| Oral squamous cell carcinoma (d)      | Fiber thickness                                                       | Picrosirius Red | Thin fibers increased and thick fibers decreased with increasing grade.                                                                   | [91]       |
| Oral squamous cell carcinoma (d)      | Alignment                                                             | Picrosirius Red | Parallel fibers in neoplastic regions.                                                                                                   | [105]      |
| Osteosarcoma, breast cancer, melanoma (d) | d22 coefficient and anisotropy                                       | SHG           | Structure different in tumor tissue, low collagen density correlates with cancer.                                                          | [106]      |
| Ovarian cancer (d)                    | Gray-level co-occurrence matrix to measure fibril size and separation | SHG, TPEF    | Normal tissue is more highly structured. Loss of fine structure and structural organization with wavy collagen fibers in ovarian cancer. | [107]      |
### Table 1. Cont.

| Disease                              | Metric                                      | Visualization       | Findings                                                                 | References |
|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|---------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------|
| Ovarian cancer (d)                   | SHG emission attributes                     | SHG                 | Malignant tissue has higher fiber regularity.                             | [9]        |
|                                      | (directionality and relative intensity) and bulk optical parameters |                     |                                                                           |            |
| Ovarian cancer (d)                   | Alignment of collagen fibers, anisotropy, and correlation | SHG                 | Significant differences in the distribution and organization of collagen fibers in the stroma component of serous, mucinous, endometrioid, and mixed ovarian tumors as compared with normal ovary tissue. | [108]     |
| Ovarian cancer (d)                   | Intensity, scattering, anisotropy            | SHG, Optical Scattering | Collagen fibers are more organized in high-grade serous compared to normal tissue. | [109]     |
| Pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma (p) | Fiber alignment                             | SLIM                | Inverse relationship between survival data and fiber width and length.    | [97]       |
| Pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma (p) | Alignment                                   | SHG                 | High alignment is a poor prognostic factor.                              | [59,110]   |
| Pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma (d) | Alignment                                   | SHG                 | Increased alignment, length, and width in PDAC vs. normal and chronic pancreatitis. | [45]       |
| Prostate cancer (d)                  | Fiber orientation, anisotropy               | SHG, US             | Increased fiber alignment associated with higher Gleason score.           | [111]     |
| Prostate cancer (d)                  | Trained CNN using SHG                       | SHG, CNN            | TPEF and SHG can be used in combination with deep learning for accurate and automated Gleason grading of unstained prostate tissues.  | [82]       |
| Prostate cancer (d)                  | Anisotropy vs Gleason                        | SHG                 | Increased fiber alignment associated with higher cancer grading.          | [95]       |
| Renal cell carcinoma (d)             | Alignment and density                       | SHG                 | Increased fiber density and alignment in RCC grade 4 compared to grade 1. | [94]       |

(p)—Prognostic, (d)—Diagnostic.

#### 3.1. Tissue Diagnosis and Grading

Many of the methods used for quantifying morphological features of collagen are highly sensitive to detect features that cannot be elucidated by human visual analysis. In some cases, pathologists are faced with differentiating benign from malignant processes—such as chronic pancreatitis from invasive adenocarcinoma—on the basis of scant tissue with rare or distorted epithelial elements [45]. By traditional assessment methods, the stroma alone is not helpful in making this distinction. Visual or computational methods that can clearly differentiate benign from malignant collagen fiber orientation may be beneficial in these cases. The characteristic differences in collagen organization between normal and malignant tissues are shown in Figure 3 for multiple cancer types.
Figure 3. Normal vs. malignant collagen organization, Second Harmonic Generation (SHG) images from different cancer types showing characteristic signatures: normal (a-1) and malignant (a-2) ovarian tissue [9], normal (b-1) and high grade (b-2) pancreatic (PDAC) tissue [59], normal (c-1) and malignant (c-2) breast tissue, low grade (d-1) and high grade (d-2) kidney (RCC) tissue [94], normal (e-1) and high grade (e-2) prostate tissue [93], normal (f-1) and malignant (f-2) esophageal tissue [10].
Collagen fiber organization in ovarian cancer is a growing area of study. Multiple groups have found that collagen fibers are more organized in high-grade serous compared to normal tissue, and evidence that significant differences in the distribution and organization of collagen fibers between various grades of ovarian tumors compared to benign and normal ovary tissue [106,108,109]. Characteristic examples of these differences in collagen fiber organization in normal and cancerous tissue are shown in Figure 3a-1,a-2, respectively.

A prospective grading application for fibrillar collagen quantification is in renal cell carcinoma (RCC). SHG-based quantification of fiber topology in RCC human samples has shown the ability to differentiate normal from cancer tissues [112], and perhaps more significantly, low-grade from high-grade tumors (Figure 3d-1,d-2) [94]. Increased fiber alignment associated with higher grading in prostate cancer (Figure 3e-1,e-2) [93]. Similarly, quantification of fibrillar collagen alignment to differentiate low-grade from high-grade cancers has been demonstrated in colorectal cancer [96].

3.2. Patient Prognosis

Assessment of the aggressiveness of a tumor (grade) and the extent to which it has spread through the body (stage) is the basis for prognosis and treatment recommendations in every new cancer diagnosis. Accurate pathologic analysis of biopsy or resection tissue is therefore crucial for planning patient management. A common weakness in the current systems used by pathologists to grade and stage cancers is inter-observer variability, for example, in assigning Gleason scores in prostatic cancer [113]. Quantification of collagen fiber alignment in prostatic cancer with SHG microscopy can more accurately define Gleason scores, notably, intermediate Gleason scores that are the most challenging for a pathologist to classify [93,111].

While cancer stage is supposed to correlate with prognosis, this is not always the case and additional methods for improving cancer staging are constantly evolving. As illustrated in Table 1, the potential for collagen fiber topology to provide additional prognostic information independent of traditional assessments used for grading and staging in a variety of cancer types has been amply demonstrated. Studies on collagen topology features have revealed candidate biomarkers that could be used to refine prognostic classifications.

Breast cancer research was an early pioneer of fibrillar collagen-based prognostic studies, with the discovery of Tumor-Associated Collagen Signatures (TACS). In particular, TACS type 3 (TACS-3) in which multiple collagen fibers are bundled and aligned perpendicular to the tumor boundary, has been shown to be prognostically significant in breast cancer. Specifically, such aligned collagen fibers are a negative prognostic factor. TACS-3 corresponds to sites of focal invasion into the stroma, suggesting that tumor cells preferentially invade along these straightened, aligned collagen fibers [8,46]. The characteristic differences in collagen fiber organization between normal and cancerous breast tissue are shown in Figure 3c-1,c-2, respectively. Increased collagen fiber alignment has also been shown to be a negative prognostic factor in pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma (PDAC) (Figure 3b-1,b-2) [59].

Collagen fiber length and width have also been investigated as prognostic factors. Increased collagen fiber length was shown to correlate with poor patient survival in multiple tumor types including head & neck squamous cell carcinoma (HNSCC), esophageal adenocarcinoma (EAC) (Figure 3f-1,f-2), and colorectal adenocarcinoma (CRC) [10]. Collagen organization in gastric cancer has been studied with collagen width as the strongest predictor of 5-year overall patient survival [88]. Using Picrosirius red to study collagen architecture and reorganization in gastric cancer patients, they were able to classify collagen organization into previously indistinguishable subgroups, with wide collagen fiber groups having higher rates of recurrence.

Most of the research on fibrillar collagen organization in cancer has focused on epithelial tumors. However, there has been growing interest in the role of fibrillar organization in neurologic tissues. While fibrillar collagen in the brain is thought to be primarily as-
associated with blood vessels, there is growing evidence of a strong fibrotic response to wounds [114]. Unlike epithelial tissues where the primary collagen is produced by fibroblasts, it is thought that the fibrillar collagen produced in response to brain wounding events is made by immune cell types such as macrophages and microglia [115]. More aligned collagen was shown to be a positive prognostic biomarker in glioblastoma (GBM). GBM patients with more organized collagen had a longer median survival than those with less organized collagen [95]. This is the opposite of what has been reported from epithelial cancers, where more aligned collagen is negatively prognostic. More research is needed to investigate the possible reasons underlying this difference, which may be linked to the respective roles of fibroblasts versus immune cells in collagen expression and possible differential roles in the tumor/brain microenvironment.

4. Clinical Implications

Collagen alignment and the pathways of cell migration in the context of an organized stroma are not considered prognostically meaningful in pathology practice. The research presented here, along with growing understanding of the epithelial–mesenchymal transition, altered gene expression profiles and altered cell signaling in malignant stroma, and the role of stem cells in promoting malignant growth and metastasis are challenging the assumption that the stroma is inert and not involved in tumor progression. The repeatedly demonstrated observation that collagen organization in malignant tissue correlates with patient survival requires better understanding for translation into clinically relevant applications. The inclusion of collagen topology in pathological assessment could result in improved patient outcomes by informing patient care decisions and cancer management plans. Subtle changes can be predictive of aggressive behavior and may powerfully inform and change a patient’s treatment plan.

The studies that have been performed to date on collagen topology in cancer tissues are not readily translated into clinical practice. A major practical hurdle is the current need for patient biopsies. Another difficulty lies in the inability to consistently compare methodologies. Many studies have used computational algorithms on tissue analyzed by SHG to define the collagen-based changes such as the TACS signature. While the TACS signature could reproducibly be determined with picrosirius red, it is still not clear from these studies how to “see” the signature in routine clinical practice. Other studies found a correlation between “straight” vs. “aligned” collagen in cancer tissues, but the relationship of “straight” vs. “aligned” collagen to TACS needs to be better defined. The distribution and alignment of collagen in tumors is typically heterogeneous, and it remains to be demonstrated whether differences in TACS or collagen alignment in the center or edge of the tumor are prognostically significant. By definition, TACS describes the orientation of collagen fibers in relation to individual malignant glands or cells, yet other studies have shown that collagen orientation by itself, without regard to the epithelial component, carries prognostic meaning. Further studies are required to develop a lexicon to reproducibly describe changes in stromal collagen so that larger studies to compare similar features may be performed. With the methodologies described in Section 2 that enable visualization and quantification of collagen orientation on routine tissue sections, collagen signatures that are prognostically meaningful can be described and harnessed to improve prognostication in a wide range of cancers.

While oncologic pathology is the most obvious field in which discovery of meaningful collagen organization patterns could contribute to improved clinical care, there are other possible clinical applications for collagen biomarkers. These areas include surgery, radiotherapy, and drug studies. There has been little investigation of fibrillar collagen in these areas, largely due to the emerging understanding of the role of collagen fiber organization in cancer growth and development. There is a growing body of research on collagen organization (using in vitro and in vivo animal models) that explore the potential for drugs to inhibit collagen formation or disrupt existing anisotropic collagen matrices to inhibit metastasis [116]. There is growing interest in exploiting these findings to investigate
specific drug target for mediating alignment of collagen matrices or even to attack the
collagen backbone directly. While this is a nascent study area, some drugs are already
known to target the collagen backbone and fibrillar collagen-related proteins. For example,
the chemical mediator of inflammation cyclooxygenase 2 (COX-2) was shown to affect
fibrillar collagen in breast cancer [99]. Treatment with the COX-2 inhibitor Celecoxib
was shown to reduce the collagen-dense tumor burden in glioblastoma, and to enhance
radiosensitivity of hypoxic glioblastoma cells [117]. Tenascin-C and Thrombospondin-2
co-localize with aligned collagen, suggesting that they may function to structurally support
collagen alignment [118]. These matricellular proteins could serve as either targets for
inhibition or for development of therapeutic agents [119]. Other suggested treatments of
collagen-modifying agents (e.g., LOXL2 and MMP inhibitors) or stromal depleting strate-
gies (e.g., PEGPH20 and Hh inhibition) have yet to find success in clinical trials [120–123],
perhaps indicating that a more detailed examination of feedback between collagen architec-
ture and the microenvironment is necessary in some pathologies. Alternative approaches
to disrupt aberrant collagen architecture and the tumor stroma more generally focus on
interventions to the collagen-producing/organizing cells themselves, myofibroblasts (e.g.,
all-trans retinoic acid [124]), or the cancer cell-ECM biomechanical interaction (e.g., integrin
inhibitors [125]). Addressing the fibrotic environment and targeting of collagen may also be
key to disrupting immunosuppression and boosting the efficacy of immunotherapies [126].
Particular changes or characteristics of collagen topology might be useful for surgical guid-
ance, targeting radiation, or playing a role in chemotherapy or immunotherapy strategies.

Despite the growing body of evidence describing the importance of fibrillar collagen in
cancer invasion and progression, the mechanisms of collagen deposition and alignment in
the ECM are not yet fully understood. There is an abundance of published evidence related
to a wide range of cancers, demonstrating that collagen organization is an important feature
of disease etiology and progression and in some cases may even be a candidate biomarker
(Table 1). We have focused specifically on the fiber topology itself. However, to fully
realize the potential of these findings, it is important to perform studies investigating the
mechanisms that govern collagen organization and structure. In breast cancer, for example,
it is known that collagen alignment contributes to intravasation and the escape of metastatic
cells into the blood and lymphatic systems [8,40,46], but the precise mechanisms that control
this collagen fiber alignment are still largely unknown.

Cell-to-cell interactions also play an important role in the development and spread of
cancer. The spatial distribution of cancer cells in relation to stromal cells, immune cells,
and molecules of the ECM have been widely studied as predictors of tumor progression
and metastasis [127]. While some of these interactions may alter the collagen architecture,
collagen itself plays a key role in directing and changing the ECM in ways that can both
promote and inhibit tumor progression [128]. Understanding the mechanisms underlying
the alignment of collagen fibers in the ECM has implications for the development of
pharmacological targets that may inhibit invasion and metastasis of cancer. Recent re-
search suggests there are possible interactions between inflammatory and immune cells,
particularly macrophages, with fibroblasts in the process of alignment in the ECM [129].

More research is also needed on the distribution of the collagen topology in tissue
biopsy specimens. Much of the current published work on pattern of collagen orientation
has been adjacent to the tumor. However more recent data shows collagen organization
changes distal to the tumor site as well [89]. Further studies are needed to examine this
issue and may open new avenues of investigation for the hypothesis that collagen fibers are
involved in creating a possible metastatic highway. The role of collagen topology in cancer
is still a relatively new area of study, but if the prognostic significance of cancer-associated
collagen characteristics continues to hold true then important clinical applications should
be developed.

Collectively, these studies examined in this review demonstrate that collagen topology
plays an important role in tumor progression and, if we could learn to “read” it in clinical
practice, could become a powerful biomarker that would allow more precise prognostica-
tion of individual patient tumors in clinical practice. More translational work is needed to validate collagen morphometrics as useful, practical clinical biomarkers. In addition to the technical hurdles discussed, it will take additional validation studies and education to achieve widespread clinical acceptance and adoption.

5. Conclusions

Fibrillar collagen is known to be a major structural feature of the ECM and is essential for normal tissue development and integrity. The role of fibrillar collagen in disease, specifically in oncologic diseases, is less well understood even though the ECM often forms a major component of the tumor microenvironment. There is growing evidence that changes in fibrillar collagen organization greatly contribute to the important role of the ECM in cancer invasion and progression. The convergence of modern imaging methods and advanced computational methods has facilitated early forays into the investigation and quantitation of the role of fibrillar collagen in cancer. To date, the role of collagen fiber organization has been studied in approximately a dozen different cancers. All of these studies have shown some correlation between fiber features such as angle and alignment with disease and progression or patient outcomes. Many of these changes could help to more precisely or quantitatively define tumor stage as it correlates with survival. While the precise pathophysiologic mechanisms underlying these changes in collagen characteristics still need to be understood, the clinical utility of these observations is already under research investigation. There is a great opportunity for understanding the clinical meaning of these collagen changes in pathology, surgery, pharmacology, radiation therapy, and radiology. There is a great benefit to investigate fibrillar collagen organization as a candidate biomarker for a given cancer and also to compare fibrillar collagen characteristics across a broad spectrum of cancers. Such characterization of similarities and differences in collagen organization, expression, and visualization between cancer types may reveal new research opportunities and potential new clinical targets.
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