Genetically modified macrophages accomplish targeted gene delivery to the inflamed brain in transgenic Parkin Q311X(A) mice: importance of administration routes
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Cell-based drug delivery systems have generated an increasing interest in recent years. We previously demonstrated that systemically administered macrophages deliver therapeutics to CNS, including glial cell line-derived neurotrophic factor (GDNF), and produce potent effects in Parkinson’s disease (PD) mouse models. Herein, we report fundamental changes in biodistribution and brain bioavailability of macrophage-based formulations upon different routes of administration: intravenous, intraperitoneal, or intrathecal injections. The brain accumulation of adoptively transferred macrophages was evaluated by various imaging methods in transgenic Parkin Q311(X)A mice and compared with those in healthy wild type littermates. Neuroinflammation manifested in PD mice warranted targeting macrophages to the brain for each route of administration. The maximum amount of cell-carriers in the brain, up to 8.1% ID/g, was recorded following a single intrathecal injection. GDNF-transfected macrophages administered through intrathecal route provided significant increases of GDNF levels in different brain sub-regions, including midbrain, cerebellum, frontal cortex, and pons. No significant offsite toxicity of the cell-based formulations in mouse brain and peripheral organs was observed. Overall, intrathecal injection appeared to be the optimal administration route for genetically modified macrophages, which accomplished targeted gene delivery, and significant expression of reporter and therapeutic genes in the brain.

Parkinson’s disease (PD) is the second most prevalent neurodegenerative disorder in people over 65 years old, and one of the leading sources of disability affecting one million people in the US1. Furthermore, it is projected to reach 1.24 million in 2030. PD is characterized by the lack of the neurotransmitter, dopamine, due to a loss of dopaminergic neurons within the Substantia Nigra parts compacta (SNpc). Regrettably, there are no effective treatments or cures known. Currently available treatments provide only short-term benefits that is followed by the increased neurodegeneration and resistance to the existing therapies. Moreover, offsite toxicity leads to multiple complications, including dyskinesia, anxiety, depression, fatigue and pain2. In particular, the most common treatment of PD with L-DOPA that aims to increase the levels of dopamine in striatum may result in some improvements in motor functions at the beginning followed by fast decreases in dopaminergic activity and progression of the disease3. Clearly, the new treatment paradigms for nervous system disorders, and specifically, for PD to stop and reverse neurodegeneration must be developed.
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PD is associated with brain inflammation, microglia activation, and oxidative stress, all of which affect neuronal, astrocyte, and microglia survival by activating apoptotic processes, and leading to neuronal demise. Several lines of evidence suggest that mitochondrial dysfunction and oxidative stress have a central role in the dopaminergic neurodegeneration of PD. In fact, the majority of CNS disorders have in common an inflammatory component. In this respect, specialized cells of the immune system, including monocytes, macrophages, stem cells, and T cells, exhibit an intrinsic homing property of migrating toward the sites of injury, inflammation and tumor via the processes known as diapedesis and chemotaxis. Thus, immunocytes are reported to cause blood–brain barrier (BBB) breakdown following brain inflammation trafficking primarily between adjacent endothelial cells, i.e. paracellularly through the junctional complexes. Even in the healthy brain, perivascular macrophages, which reside on the parenchymal side of endothelial cells, originate from circulating phagocytes, monocytes and macrophages. It is well documented that under pathological conditions, the rate of transport of immunocytes to the inflamed brain tissues is further elevated. In particular, these cells have shown a remarkable capability to cross an intact BBB with 80% turnover in 3 months. Such frequent migration offers a unique opportunity to design novel cell-based drug formulations.

Two approaches are developed in the field of cell-mediated therapeutics. First approach utilizes the host cells that are loaded with a drug, usually incorporated into a protective container, and then carry the drug to the disease site. Importantly, immunocytes have a high rate of endocytosis that allows efficient accumulation of micro- and nanoparticles with incorporated drugs within intracytoplasmic endosomes. These drugs are subsequently released from the cell-carriers through the recycling processes that include exocytosis. However, one of the main obstacles of this approach is efficient disintegration of the entrapped foreign particles by monocytes. Furthermore, loading of cytotoxic anticancer compounds requires the exceptionally strong protection of cell-carriers against their toxic cargo.

Second approach is based on the genetic modification of living cells to overexpress therapeutic molecules. In particular, neural stem cells (NSC) were transfected to express vascular endothelial growth factor (VGEF), or glial cell-line neurotrophic factor (GDNF), or neurturin (NTN), and examined for the brain delivery of these therapeutics to treat PD. Furthermore, bone marrow-derived macrophages (BMM) and microglia were also used in PD mouse model. Specifically, BMM were transduced ex vivo with lentivirus to express GDNF, and adaptively transferred to recipient mice. GDNF-BMM decreased neuroinflammation and neurodegeneration that led to regeneration of axons in SNpc and improved motor functions in PD mice. Likewise, genetically modified NSC were investigated for the delivery of neurotrophic factors to treat Alzheimer's disease. Clearly, drug delivery systems based on living cells could serve as a new therapeutic approach.

Overall, drug delivery systems based on living cells can act as 'Trojan horses' carrying concealed drug cargoes across impermeable barriers, such as the BBB or blood-tumor barrier, to the disease sites. These features make immunocytes attractive candidates for the CNS drug delivery. The neuroinflammation developed in the course of disease serves as a cue for recruitment of immune cells from periphery to the brain, providing the necessary spatial, temporal, and dosage control at targeted tissues.

Based on this exciting and promising therapeutic concept, we developed a novel platform technology for brain delivery of therapeutic agents, in which monocytes/macrophages are loaded with therapeutics, and adaptively transferred to a disease-affected animal. Following adoptive transfer, drug-loaded macrophages accumulate at the disease tissues in the brain and exert therapeutic effect. We demonstrated the potential of this approach for the treatment of PD using diverse therapeutics (proteins and recombinant DNA) delivered within macrophages in toxin-induced PD mouse models and transgenic Parkin Q311X(A) mice. These prior studies, autologous bone marrow-derived macrophages (BMM) were administered into the bloodstream by intravenous (i.v.) injections. The present study evaluates whether the route of systemic administration can alter the biodistribution and brain bioavailability of the cell-carriers in transgenic Parkin Q311X(A) mouse model. To assess brain and peripheral organ distribution of BMM in living mice, we used different imaging techniques, including in vivo Imaging System (IVIS), Positron Emission Tomography (PET), and Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI). We convincingly demonstrated significant brain accumulation of BMM injected through different administration routes in Parkin Q311X(A) mice. Importantly, the intrathecal (i.t.) route resulted in the superior BMM brain accumulation when compared to intravenous (i.v.) or intraperitoneal (i.p.) administrations. We hypothesized that the mild brain inflammation manifested in the transgenic PD mouse model warranted targeted gene delivery to the brain. We further evaluated the delivery of reporter and therapeutic genes to the brain in Parkin Q311X(A) mice by genetically-modified BMM. For the first time, we report significant increases in the expression of GDNF brain levels after a single i.t. injection of GDNF-transfected macrophages, providing additional evidence for clinical relevance of this cell-based delivery system for PD treatment.

Results
Biodistribution of autologous macrophages in Parkin Q311X(A) mice by bioluminescence imaging. We evaluated i.v., i.p., and i.t. administration routes of BMM in Parkin Q311X(A) mice by IVIS (Fig. 1). To visualize the cell-carriers, their lipid membranes were labeled with a hydrophobic dye, DTR (DiIC18(7); 1,1'-dioctadecyl-3,3',3'-tetramethylindotricarbocyanine iodide). In this experiment, we used maximal dose and volume of cell suspension allowed for each route of administration (specifically, 4 x 10^6 cells/200 µL/mouse for i.v. and i.p. injections, and 1 x 10^6 cells/50 µL/mouse for i.t. injections). These experimental conditions were chosen to replicate following therapeutic efficacy investigations. Significant levels of DIR-BMM were recorded in PD mouse brain at 24–72 h time frame for all three routes of administration (Figs. 1A–C). Lower fluorescence signals during the first hours in dorsal images were likely due to the fact that most DIR-BMM circulated in the bloodstream and were accumulated in main excretion organs, liver, spleen, and kidney, as seen in Supplementary Figure S1.
We also administered same doses of DIR-BMM in the age-matched wild type (WT) healthy mice (Fig. 2). DIR-BMM fluorescence was recorded in the brain of WT mice at 24–72 h after i.v. and i.p. injections (Fig. 2A–C). Very little if any brain fluorescence was recorded in the brain in healthy animals after i.t. administration of DIR-BMM. Additional supine images of WT littermates injected with DIR-BMM are also shown on Supplementary Figure S2.

The live imaging data in PD and WT mice was quantified by IVIS Aura software (Fig. 3). For all routes of administration, the signals of DIR-BMM in the brain in living PD mice (Fig. 3A, filled symbols) were significantly greater than those in healthy WT littermates (Fig. 3A, empty symbols) throughout the entire observation period. Individual values of the DIR-BMM fluorescence for each animal are presented in Supplementary Tables S1 and S2. Remarkably, signals of DIR-BMM in the PD mouse brain at later time points (48–72 h) were significantly greater (p < 0.05) after i.p. and i.t. injections (Fig. 3A, filled squares and triangles, respectively), when compared to the signal observed after the i.v. injections (Fig. 3A, filled circles). Keeping in mind that the i.t. injected dose of DIR-BMM was four times less than those injected via i.p. and i.v. routes, the i.t. administration appears to be preferred for macrophage-based CNS delivery.

The mice were sacrificed at the endpoint (72 h), perfused to eliminate the blood, and the main organs were imaged by IVIS for PD (Fig. 1D–F) and WT groups (Fig. 2D–F). The quantification of fluorescence levels at necropsy suggested that the fluorescence signal of DIR-BMM decreased in the row: liver > lungs ≅ spleen > kidney > brain (Fig. 3B). For all routes of administration the greatest DIR-BMM signal was detected in the liver, although, considerable signal was also seen in the brain (Figs. 1B, 3A), where DIR-BMM could possibly transport via the lymphatic system. Significantly greater accumulation of DIR-BMM in the PD mouse brain were detected compared with their WT littermates, especially in case of i.t. and i.p. injections (Fig. 3B, insert). These results suggest that systemically administered macrophages migrate to the sites of inflammation and accumulate in the brain of transgenic Parkin Q311(X)A mice that is in good agreement with our earlier observations made in PD mice with acute toxin-induced brain inflammation. One should keep in mind that quantification IVIS images of isolated organs reflects total fluorescence of whole organ, therefore high fluorescence count in liver may be not only due to the greater accumulation of DIR-BMM in this organ, but also to the overall larger liver...
mass compared to other organs. In fact, when fluorescent accumulation levels were normalized to the mass of the excited organ (RFU/g), the highest BMM uptake was observed in the spleen and lungs (Supplementary Table S3).

Biodistribution of 64Cu-labeled macrophages in Parkin Q311(X)A mice by PET. Next, biodistribution of adoptively transferred cell-carriers in PD and WT mice was studied by PET imaging, a highly sensitive technique that detects pairs of gamma rays emitted indirectly by a positron-emitting radionuclide. For this purpose, autologous BMM were labeled with 64Cu, and PD or WT littermates received a single injection of 64Cu-BMM (48.0 ± 2.8 µCi/1 × 10⁶ cells/50 µL/mouse, N = 4) through i.v., i.p., or i.t. routes. Unlike the IVIS experiments described above, the comparable dose of 64Cu-labeled macrophages was used for all three administration routes in this experimental setup. The representative images of PD mice injected with 64Cu-BMM through i.v., i.p., and i.t. routes at various time points (up to 48 h) are shown on Fig. 4A. 64Cu-BMM brain levels for each administration route are shown in Supplementary Table S4. To quantify 64Cu-BMM levels, PD and WT mice with a single injection of radioactively-labeled macrophages were sacrificed at the endpoint (48 h), perfused, main organs and blood were harvested, and radioactivity levels were assessed using gamma counter (Fig. 4B). Individual values of the injected dose (%ID/g) for each animal are presented in Supplementary Tables S5–S7. Consistent with the results of IVIS experiments, the levels of 64Cu-BMM in the brain of PD mice with i.t. injections were significantly greater than those in i.v. and i.p. injected mice (Fig. 4A, B). Furthermore, the amount of BMM accumulated in PD mouse brain increased in a row: i.v. < i.p. < i.t. and for all injection routes was significantly greater than in WT littermates (Fig. 4B). The superior brain bioavailability for adoptively transferred macrophages, up to 8.1% of injected dose/g, was confirmed for PD mice at 48 h after a single i.t. injection. Of note, the brain/blood ratio in mice with i.t. injected macrophages also was significantly greater than in those treated i.v. and i.p. (Fig. 4C). Moreover, for all three administration routes, the brain/blood ratios of 64Cu-BMM in PD mice were significantly greater than those in WT mice (Fig. 4C), suggesting preferential transport and accumu-

**Figure 2.** Biodistribution of DIR-labeled BMM in healthy mice by IVIS. DIR-labeled BMM were administered in healthy mice (12 mo. of age) (A, D) i.v. (4 × 10⁶ cells/200 µL), (B, E) i.p. (4 × 10⁶ cells/200 µL), or (C, F) i.t. (1 × 10⁶ cells/50 µL). Images were recorded (A–C) in live animals at different time points, and (D–F) in main organs, liver (1), spleen (2), kidney (3), lungs (4), and brain (5), collected at the endpoint (72 h). Prone representative images of animals i.v., and i.p. suggest that DIR-BMM accumulate in the brain, although to a much lesser extent than same treatments in Parkin Q311(X)A mice (Fig. 1). Little if any DIR signal was observed in live animals after i.t. administration in healthy animals. Accumulation of labeled macrophages was also observed in the main peripheral organs.
Figure 3. Quantification of BMM accumulation in the main organs of PD and WT mice. Parkin Q311(X)A mice (12 mo. of age) were injected with DIR-BMM using different routes of administration and imaged by IVIS. Wild type mice were used as healthy controls. (A) Quantification of fluorescence levels in the brain area of PD mice (filled symbols) or WT animals (empty symbols) injected with DIR-BMM through i.v. (circles), i.p. (squares), or i.t. (triangles). The highest levels were detected in PD mice with i.p. and i.t. administrations at 24–72 h time frame. Accumulation levels of BMM in PD mouse brain were significantly greater than those in WT littermates. (B) At the endpoint (72 h), mice were sacrificed, perfused, and fluorescent levels of main organs (i.e., liver, spleen, kidney, lungs, and brain) were assessed by IVIS Aura software. The highest BMM accumulation was recorded in liver, spleen, and lungs. The amount of cell-carriers was significantly greater in the brain area of PD mice compared with WT littermates (insert). Values are the means ± SEM (N = 4), *p < 0.05; **p < 0.005 compared with WT healthy mice; #p < 0.05 compared to PD mice injected through i.v. route. Individual data points shown in Supplementary Tables S1 and S2.

Figure 4. Biodistribution kinetics of 64Cu-labeled macrophages in Parkin Q311(X)A and WT mice by PET. Radioactively-labeled BMM were injected into PD or WT mice (12 mo. of age) though i.v., i.p., or i.t. routes (2.5 × 10^6 cells/50 µL). The animals were imaged by PET over 48 h-time period after the injection (A). At the endpoint (48 h), mice were sacrificed, perfused, and the radioactivity in the blood and main organs was measured. Panels present (A) representative PET images, (B) percentage of the injected dose (%ID/g), (C) brain/blood ratio, and (D) brain/muscle ratio. The greatest brain accumulation of 64Cu-BMM was detected in PD mice with i.t. injections. The amount of 64Cu-BMM accumulated in the PD mouse brain was significantly greater than those in WT animals. Values are the means ± SEM (N = 4), *p < 0.05; **p < 0.005 compared with WT healthy mice (B, C), or i.v. injections (D). Individual data points shown in Supplementary Tables S4–S6.
biodistribution results obtained by IVIS (Figs. 1, 2, 3) and PET (Fig. 4) studies, the Luc expression in the brain of expression levels for each animal are presented in Supplementary Tables S8 and S9. Consistent with BMM brain mals was recorded in all brain sub-regions of both PD and WT mice (Fig. 6A). Individual values of the luciferase DNA/BBM treated animal. Representative dual mode brain MR images show significant accumulation of i.t. administered BMM in the brain, especially at 48 h time point.

Biodistribution of 19F-labeled BMM in Parkin Q311(X)A mice by MRI. Fluorine 19 (19F) imaging is a technique utilizing non-radioactive version of fluorine in MRI scanners. This technique takes advantage of the minimal background signal in the body; there is very little endogenous fluorine. Furthermore, the perfluorocarbon agents are relatively inert and nontoxic, and have been proposed for applications such as blood substitutes, treatment of retinal detachment, ultrasound contrast agents, etc. Thus, the lack of ionizing radiation and no reduction in signal due to radioactive decay confers advantages to perfluorocarbon MR techniques as a method to perform cell tracking for clinical purposes. Therein, we validated the 19F tracking of BMM into the brain in Parkin Q311(X)A mice, and laid the foundation for translating this drug treatment technique in humans. For this purpose, BMM were labeled with 19F (CS-ATM DM Red, Celsense, Inc., Pittsburgh, PA), and the accumulation of 19F-BMM in PD mouse brain after i.t. injection was studied by MRI (Fig. 5). Dual mode optical and 19F MRI images confirmed that significant amount of i.t. administered BMM can reach the brain, especially at 48 h time point.

Delivery of reporter and therapeutic genes via pre-transfected macrophages to the brain in Parkin Q311(X)A mice. Based on the results of IVIS, PET and MRI experiments, we selected the i.t. injection as the preferred route of administration of pre-transfected BMM for the delivery of reporter and therapeutic genes to the brain in Parkin Q311(X)A mice. For the reporter gene delivery study, the BMM cells transfected by electroporation with plasmid encoding a reporter gene, luciferase (Luc), Luc-pDNA were injected into PD mice, or their WT littermates through i.t. route. The luciferase expression levels in the brain regions (frontal cortex, midbrain, cerebellum, and pons) were examined 48 h after the single injection. To account for auto-luminescence, the relative luminescence values obtained for control animals injected with saline were subtracted from those injected with Luc-BMM for each brain region. Significant luminescence in Luc-pDNA/BBM treated animals was recorded in all brain sub-regions of both PD and WT mice (Fig. 6A). Individual values of the luciferase expression levels for each animal are presented in Supplementary Tables S8 and S9. Consistent with BMM brain biodistribution results obtained by IVIS (Figs. 1, 2, 3) and PET (Fig. 4) studies, the Luc expression in the brain of PD mouse was significantly greater than those in WT littermates (Fig. 6A). Specifically, luminescence levels in the midbrain, cerebellum and pons of PD mice were up to 40 times higher than those in WT animals. No differences in Luc levels were found in the blood of PD and WT animals. We suggest that Luc-BMM targeted inflamed brain tissues in PD animals, resulting in overexpression of the encoded gene (Luc). Thus, pre-transfected adoptively transferred macrophages provided a sustained expression of the encoded protein in the inflamed brain. Luc brain/blood ratio in PD mice was also significantly ($p < 0.005$) greater than those in WT healthy mice (Fig. 6B).

For the therapeutic gene delivery studies, Parkin Q311(X)A mice were injected i.t. with GDNF-transfected BMM, and GDNF-expression levels were examined in different brain regions 48 h after the administration (Fig. 6C). Individual values of the GDNF levels for each animal are presented in Supplementary Tables S10. The obtained data clearly demonstrate that a single injection of GDNF-BMM resulted in significant increases of GDNF expression in all brain regions examined. Similar to Luc expression, the highest levels of the GDNF was detected in pons. Consistently to the Luc-BMM studies, no significant increases in the blood GDNF levels were detected in the GDNF-BMM treated animals compared to saline-injected control group (Fig. 6C). Together, the studies demonstrated that cell-carriers efficiently target affected brain sub-regions in PD mice and deliver their therapeutic cargo.

Absence of cytotoxic effects in the mouse brain upon treatment with GDNF-transfected macrophages. To evaluate possible offsite toxicity of the transfected macrophages, healthy WT mice were injected i.t. with GDNF-BMM, or the same amount of sham BMM. Control group of mice was injected with saline. The animals were sacrificed 48 h after the injection, and the levels of pro-inflammatory cytokines and chemokines, including RANTES, MCP-1, TNF-a, and IL-6 were assessed in the brain, as well as in main organs; brain, kidney, spleen and liver by membrane-based antibody arrays and confirmed by colorimetric sandwich
fluorescently labeled macrophages in the PD mouse brain. A prolonged sustained accumulation of cell-carriers of pre-transfected BMM. (Figure 6. were harvested and luciferase activity was measured in different brain regions [i.e. frontal cortex (1), midbrain (2), cerebellum (3), and pons (4)], and blood (5)). Luciferase activity in each brain region was significantly greater in PD mouse brain regions than those in WT counterparts (A). Total brain/blood ratio of luciferase activity in PD mice was 25 times greater than in WT mice (B), indicating that BMM target inflamed brain tissues. (C) PD mice were injected with the same amount of GDNF-transfected BMM through i.t. route (black bars), or saline (white bars). Mice were sacrificed 48 h after injection, perfused, brains were harvested and GDNF expression was measured in different brain regions by ELISA. GDNF levels in each brain region were greater in PD mouse brain regions injected with GDNF-BMM than controls injected with saline. Negligible differences in GDNF levels in the blood were observed. Values are means ± SEM (N = 6). *p < 0.05 compared to WT healthy mice (A, B), or saline injected control mice (C). Individual data points shown in Supplementary Tables S8–S10.

ELISA (Fig. 7). Individual values of the inflammatory signals levels for each animal are presented in Supplementary Tables S11–S14.

No signs of increased inflammation in the brain were detected. Moreover, administration of GDNF-transfected macrophages slightly reduced expression of all pro-inflammatory signals in the brain, compared to control saline-injected animals (Fig. 7A–D). Of note, this phenomenon may be due to a particular subset of M2 cell-carriers differentiated with MCSF that show mild neuroprotective effects themselves as reported earlier47. Next, the effect of GDNF-BMM and sham BMM administration on the expression of inflammatory molecules, 3-nitrotyrosine (3-NT), NF-kb, and P62, were evaluated in healthy mice, and expressed as a ratio of expression of these molecules and that of housekeeping protein, β-actin (Supplementary Figure S3). In consistency with the expression levels of chemokines and cytokines, adoptive transfer of cell-based formulations did not significantly affect the levels of 3-NT, NF-kb, and P62 in the brain. The administration of sham BMM increased NF-kb and p62 levels in kidney, and spleen, however, injection of GDNF-BMM eliminated this effect (Supplementary Figure S3). Finally, no differences in post-synaptic density (PSD-95) were detected in the brain of GDNF-BMM or sham BMM injected mice (Supplementary Figure S4A, B), indicating absence of toxic effects of macrophage-based drug delivery system on the synaptic transmission. Of note, some increases of glutamate receptor levels, NMDR2A and NMDR2B, were recorded upon administration of sham BMM and GDNF-BMM, respectively (Supplementary Figure S4B, C). Overall, no significant offsite toxicity was found in the brain upon GDNF-BMM, or sham BMM injections. The slight cytotoxic effects in peripheral organs, kidney, and spleen, observed in animals injected with sham BMM were eliminated, when the GDNF-transfected macrophages were administered.

Discussion

Cell-based drug delivery systems have a potential as a treatment modality for CNS disorders. However, this field is not well developed, and relatively little research has been done to advance these novel cell-based therapeutic agents. It was long time demonstrated that macrophages have extraordinary ability to cross body barriers, including the BBB, and reach the CNS, especially during a neurodegenerative process51. Therefore, we posit that the chronic inflammation in the brain at pathological conditions provides an opportunity for site-specific delivery using inflammatory response cells as vehicles for different potent therapeutics, including genes, proteins and low molecular compounds. In particular, we have developed cell-based drug delivery systems, in which immune cells are non-virally transfected, ex vivo, with pDNA encoding therapeutic proteins, and then systemically administered into mice with induced brain neurodegeneration, where they migrate to the sites of inflammation resulting in sustained expression of these therapeutics and prolonged neuroprotective effects44,47.

The critical information about biodistribution of cell-carriers upon different routes of administration would allow to optimize decisions on dosing, treatment frequency, and other clinical and regulatory parameters. Herein, we report that i.t. administration is the most efficient way to deliver macrophage-based drug formulations to the inflamed brain in the transgenic mouse model of PD, Parkin Q311(X)A mice. First, IVIS studies demonstrated that all examined routes of administration, namely i.v., i.p., or i.t. injections, provided considerable amount of fluorescently labeled macrophages in the PD mouse brain. A prolonged sustained accumulation of cell-carriers
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in the mouse brain with maximal amount at 48–72 h after single injection was recorded. In addition to the brain accumulation, a substantial amount of adoptively transferred macrophages was detected in the spinal column in PD mice, especially after i.t. administration. This may have additional therapeutic benefits, as a widespread alpha-synucleinopathy was shown not only in the brain, but also in the spinal cord of PD patients52. Thus, the delivery of therapeutics to the spinal cord may improve some nonmotor symptoms including urinary, sexual, and gastrointestinal, as well as of some motor symptoms. Next, we confirmed BMM accumulation in the brain in PD mice by PET with 64Cu-labeled macrophages. To have quantitative comparison for each administration route, the same dose of radioactively labeled macrophages was utilized in these settings. The results of PET experiments clearly demonstrated that i.t. administration lead to the highest accumulation of macrophages in the brain of Parkin Q311(X)A mice, with up to 8.1% of the injected dose was detected. The MRI studies with 19F-labeled BMM confirmed significant amount of i.t. administered BMM can reach the brain, especially at 48 h time point. We hypothesized that i.t. route allows to avoid entrapment of significant portion of BMM in peripheral organs, specifically, liver, lungs, and spleen, which was observed in case of i.v. and i.p. injections. Surprisingly, i.p. administration resulted in significantly greater BMM levels in the brain compared to i.v. injection. We speculated that in addition to BMM penetration across the BBB brain from the bloodstream (Fig. 8A), a portion of i.p. injected macrophages may also reach the brain through lymphatic system (Fig. 8B). In fact, the meningeal lymphatics50 have been recently recognized as an important player in the complex circulation and exchange of molecular and cellular contents between the cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) and the interstitial fluid (ISF)53. Indeed, the perfusion procedure, which was carried out at the endpoint of IVIS and PET experiments, allowed to clear out the luminal space from circulating in the blood stream cell-carriers provided more accurate information about the amount of macrophages entered the brain tissues. However, it does not distinguish between the cells associated with the luminal wall and the brain parenchyma. The more comprehensive understanding of how adoptively transferred macrophages reach the inflamed brain upon different administration routes warrant further investigations.

Equally important, in consistence with our previous reports44–46,54,55, we demonstrated here the superior accumulation levels of autologous macrophages in the PD mouse brain compared to their WT littermates. This effect was obtained for all routes of administration. We suggest that brain inflammation developed during the disease progression, and release of various cytokines and reactive oxygen species (ROS) by activated microglia attracted macrophages providing targeted transport of cell-carriers to the brain.

Figure 7. Effect of BMM administration on the levels of inflammatory signals in mice. Healthy WT mice were injected with saline (white bars), sham BMM (striped bars), or GDNF-transfected BMM (black bars), and the inflammation signals in the main organs was assessed by the levels of RANTES (A), TNF-α (B), MCP-1 (C), IL-6 (D). No significant increases of inflammatory signals were found in the brain. Some slight increases in RANTES, and MCP-1 were registered in spleen and liver (A, C). TNF-α levels were significantly decreased in spleen upon sham BMM injection. Values are means ± SEM (N=10). *p < 0.05 compared to saline-injected control mice. Individual data points shown in Supplementary Tables S11–S14.
The most significant observation was made for PD mice that were i.t. injected with autologous macrophages pre-transfected ex vivo with reporter Luc and therapeutic GDNF genes. Genetically modified cell-carriers accomplished a site-specific delivery of the encoded gene to local areas of the disease, where the inflammatory processes are active. Indeed, Luc activity in the PD mouse brain was considerably greater (up to 40 times) than in those in healthy WT littermates. Moreover, a single i.t. injection of GDNF-BMM resulted in significant increases of GDNF levels in all examined brain regions, including pons, midbrain, frontal cortex, and cerebellum. Essential, no increases in GDNF blood levels were recorded. We hypothesized that transfected ex vivo and adoptively transferred macrophages can accomplish horizontal gene transfer to the neighboring brain cells resulting in transfection of brain tissues. Thus, pre-transfected macrophages serve as “donors” that pass or “horizontally transfer” the gene to acceptor cells. In this respect, we demonstrated recently that transfected macrophages can pass pDNA to muscle cells and siRNA to cancer cells, causing significant antitumor effects. In addition, GDNF-BMM can also deliver the overexpressed neurotrophic factor. Our earlier in vitro experiments indicate that genetically modified macrophages express GDNF up to a week after transfection (~ 1.8 ng/mg protein on day one) and release it to the conditioned media (~ 16.1 ng/mL) over 7 days after transfection. Finally, absence of offsite effects in the brain upon adoptive transfer GDNF-transfected macrophages or sham BMM that manifested in the lack of increases of pro-inflammatory cytokines and chemokines levels, as well as unchanged synaptic activity in the brain was demonstrated. Noteworthy, some signs of increased inflammation were recorded in peripheral organs, kidney, and spleen, in case of sham BMM injection that were alleviated when GDNF-macrophages were administered. In this respect, using human umbilical cord blood monocytes (HUCBM) in future clinical trials may be more beneficial, as it was reported that these cells have protective activities by releasing neurotrophic and anti-inflammatory factors, and even can rescue brain cells from hypoxic-ischemic injury. Using these cells for gene delivery could have supplementary therapeutic benefits. Altogether, macrophages may pose an advantageous and efficient delivery carrier for a spectrum of various degenerative, cancerous, and infectious disorders.

Methods

Plasmids and reagents. The plasmid encoding the reporter gene luciferase (Luc) (gWILuc) and Human GDNF cDNA (NM_199234) were purchased from Gene Therapy Systems, (San Diego, CA), and OriGene (Rockville, MD), respectively. The sequence and condition for plasmids expansion can be found in our earlier publication. A lipophilic fluorescent dye, 1,1′-dioctadecyl-3,3,3′,3′-tetramethylindocarbocyanine iodide (DIR), was purchased from Thermo Fisher Scientific (Waltham, MA). Murine macrophage colony-stimulating factor (MCSF) was purchased from Peprotech Inc (Rocky Hill, NJ).

Cells and animals. Primary bone marrow-derived cells extracted from murine femurs (wild type littermates of Parkin Q311X(A) female mice, 2 months old) as described in. Two breeding pairs of Parkin Q311X(A) mice were purchased from the Jackson Laboratory (Bar Harbor, ME) 12 weeks of age, and were treated in accordance to the Principles of Animal Care outlined by National Institutes of Health and approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee of the University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill. Several cohorts of transgenic mice as well as their wild type controls were bred in house. The genotyping of pups was carried by PCR analysis of Parkin Q311X(A) gene was performed for parents, a negative control wild mouse, and several mice generations according to manufacturers protocol. Mice were housed in a temperature and humidity-controlled facility on a 12 h light/dark cycle and food and water were provided ad libitum. According to NIH policy on Sex as a Biological Variable, both male and female mice were used in each group in 1:1 proportion. Significant sex differences were not detected in the analyses.
Transfection of macrophages. BMM were transfected by electroporation according to manufacturer’s protocol with some modifications. Briefly, BMM (50 × 10^6 cells) were spun down at 1,000 RPM for 5 min, re-suspended in 700 µL electroporation buffer (Neon Transfection system, Thermo Fisher Scientific) and supplemented with 30 µg Luc-pDNA (300 µL), or GDNF-pDNA (300 µL). The aliquots of cell suspension with Luc-pDNA (100 µL) were placed into electroporation cell, and electroporated at following setup: voltage 1,400; width 20; Pulses 2. Then, the cells were supplemented with 1 mL PBS, and centrifuged at 50 × g for 5 min. The supernatant was removed, and pellet was re-suspended in saline buffer and injected in PD or WT mice. To account for the expression levels of luciferase, a portion of the cells was cultured in CCM media for 24 h, then washed 3X with PBS, and lysed with luciferase lysis buffer (Promega, Madison, WI). The luciferase activity levels in BMM were assessed by luminescence (N = 4) and expressed in relative fluorescent units (RFU). Total luciferase activity in Luc-BMM was 693.560 × 10^6 RFU/10^6 cells. The GDNF levels in the cells were assessed by ELISA (Human GDNF ELISA kit, #EHHGDNF, ThermoFisher Scientific, Waltham, MA). In addition, GDNF-BMM can also deliver the overexpressed neurotrophic factor. GDNF-transfected macrophages showed about 1.8 ng/mg protein on day one after transfection.

Administration of cell-based formulations via different routes. Three different routes of administration were utilized: i.v., i.p., and i.t. injections. For i.v. administration route, PD or WT mice were injected with a BMM-based formulation (50–200 µL) intra-tail vein with the use of a restraining devise. For i.p. administration route, mice were injected into the left lower quadrant of the abdomen (50–200 µL) without restraining devise. For i.t. administration route, mice were anesthetized with 3% isoflurane, and the fur at the posterior end was shaved. The BMM-based formulation (50 µL) was slowly injected between the groove of L5 and L6 vertebrae.

Kinetics of biodistribution of autologous macrophages in Parkin Q311(X)A mice and WT healthy littersmates by IVIS. To track systemically injected cell-carriers in PD and WT mice, BMM were labeled with DIR lipophilic dye (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA) according to manufacturer’s protocol. Briefly, BMM (1 × 10^6 cell/mL) were incubated with 7 µM DIR in PBS for 20 min at 37°C. Followed incubation, the cells were spun down and washed 3 × with ice-cold PBS and re-suspended in saline. To establish background fluorescence levels, animals were imaged before the BMM administration. Then, Parkin Q311X(A) mice (12 mo. of age) and their WT littermates were injected with DIR-labeled BMM, via i.v., or i.p., or i.t. routes. In this experiment we used maximal dose and volume of cell suspension for each route of administration (specifically, 4 × 10^6 cells/200 µL/mouse for i.v. and i.p. injections, and 1 × 10^6 cells/50 µL/mouse for i.t. injections), and the animals were imaged by Xenogen IVIS Optical Imaging System. The conditions of this experiment were set up for a maximal volume of the cell suspension injected that is allowed for each route of administration. At the endpoint (72 h), animals were sacrificed and perfused as described earlier,48, main organs were removed, washed, post-fixed in 10% phosphate-buffered paraformaldehyde, and evaluated by IVIS (Human GDNF ELISA kit, #EHHGDNF, ThermoFisher Scientific, Waltham, MA). In addition, GDNF-BMM can also deliver the overexpressed neurotrophic factor. GDNF-transfected macrophages showed about 1.8 ng/mg protein on day one after transfection.

Labeling BMM with celsense for MRI studies. BMM were labeled with 19F (CS-ATM DM Red, Celsense Inc., Pittsburg, PA). CS-ATM is an aqueous colloidal nanoemulsion of a perfluorocarbon polymer with a red fluorescent label. This compound enables dual mode optical and 19F MRI imaging in small animals. For this purpose, BMM (4 × 10^6 cell/T25 flask) were supplemented with 0.32 mL Celsense solution (3 mg/mL) and incubated at 37°C for 4 h. Then, labeled 19F-BMM were collected, washed 3X with ice-cold PBS, and re-suspended in saline. The labeling efficiency was evaluated by NMR with a fluorine probe with serial dilutions. A global calibration curve was determined based on BMM concentration versus NMR 19F signal. Serial dilutions of 19F-BMM were imaged utilizing the 9.4 T small animal MRI and 400 Hz NMR to calibrate concentration curves between the modalities. Next, ParkinQ311(X)A mice were i.t. injected with 19F-BMM (2 × 10^6 cell/50 µL/mouse) and brain images were obtained over 120 h after the injection on the 9.4 T small animal scanner with a custom dual mode 1H/19F volume coil using conventional sequences for anatomic (1H T2 RARE, 1H T1 FLASH) and functional localization (19F T1 RARE). Animals with a sham injection served as a control.

Labeling BMM with 64Cu for PET imaging and biodistribution studies. To track the brain targeting efficiency of BMM cells administered via different routes, BMM were labeled with 64Cu as described earlier. Briefly, BMM were incubated with 64Cu-pyruvaldehyde-bis(N4-methylthiosemicarbazone) (64Cu-PTSM) in 1 mL serum free medium at 37°C for 1.5 h, and then washed with ice-cold PBS (pH 7.4). Parkin Q311X (A) mice (12 mo. of age) and their WT littermates were injected with 64Cu-BMM (48.0 ± 2.8 µCi/1 × 10^6 cells/50 µL/mouse) via i.v., i.p., or i.t. injections, and then washed 3X with ice-cold PBS, and re-suspended in saline buffer and injected in PD or WT mice. After 48 h post-injection PET scan, the animals were euthanized. The brain and blood were collected and the accumulation of 64Cu-BMM was measured using gamma counter (2,470 Wizard, Perkin Elmer). The results were presented at percentage of injected dose per g of tissue (%ID/g).

Luciferase activity and GDNF levels in the brain and main peripheral organs. Parkin Q311(X) A mice (12 mo. old, N = 6) and their healthy WT littermates were injected with Luc-BMM (2.5 × 10^6 cells/50 µL) through i.t. route of administration. Followed 48 h mice were anesthetized with ketamine/xylazine cocktail and subjected to transcardial perfusion with ice-cold PBS for 5 min following 5 min of perfusion with 4% ice-cold paraformaldehyde (PFA) in PBS. Blood samples (100 µL) at the endpoint were taken into heparin-coated micro-hematocrit tubes (Braintree Scientific Braintree, MA), centrifuged for 5 min at 400×g, and luminescence levels...
Expression of cytokines and chemokines in the brain and main peripheral organs by ELISA and Western blotting. Organs were homogenized in cell lysis buffer (Thermo Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) and the levels of interleukin (IL)-6, monocyte chemotactic protein-1 (MCP-1), regulated on activation, normal T cell expressed and secreted (RANTES) and tumor necrosis factor alpha (TNF-α) were measured by ELISA (R&D Systems, Minneapolis, MN, USA) as described in49. Protein was extracted using RIPA buffer (Thermo Scientific) supplemented with a mixture of protease and phosphatase inhibitors followed by SDS-PAGE protein separation. Immunoblots were labeled with primary antibodies against 3-Nitrotyrosine (NT)—1:200 (Abcam, ab110282), PSD-95—1:200 (Abcam, ab76115), NMDAR2B—1:200 (Abcam, ab65783), NF-kB—1:200 (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, sc8008), P62/SQSTM1—1:500 (Novus Biologicals, NB1—48,320) and β actin—1:200 (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, sc-47778) was used as internal control. Immunoblots were subsequently incubated with secondary antibodies conjugated to horseradish peroxidase (Millipore, Billerica, MA, USA), exposed to SuperSignal West Femto Substrate (Thermo Scientific) and visualized using a ChemiDoc imaging system (Bio-Rad.). Densitometric analysis was quantitatively measured using image J (NIH.gov).

Statistical analysis strategy. Previous studies guided our decisions regarding distributional assumptions, transformations of scales, and choices of estimators (mean vs. median, etc.). For each outcome measure in each experiment involving groups of N = 4, 6 or 10 mice, sample means were tabulated along with their corresponding standard errors (SEM): the estimated mean ± one SEM is an approximate 66% confidence interval. Hypothesis tests regarding treatment effects and differences between types (PD vs. WT) were performed using sets of pairwise two-sided t tests. The reported p values have not been adjusted for multiple comparisons. In the main analyses, rarely occurring missing data values were assumed to be caused by ignorable mechanisms. After the main analyses were completed, sensitivity analyses were performed to guide our level of trust in the main results by examining the their robustness/fragility; examples of these auxiliary computations include analysis of residuals, and examination of the impacts of influential observations, questionable data values, and alternative transformations of measurement scales (e.g., log10). Data values for the individual mice were tabulated for presentation in the Supporting Information section. All statistical computations were performed using GraphPad Prism 5.0 (GraphPad Software, Inc., La Jolla, CA, USA) and Microsoft Excel (Microsoft Corp., 2016).
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