Molecular and pharmacological characterization of insulin icodec: a new basal insulin analog designed for once-weekly dosing
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ABSTRACT

Introduction Insulin icodec is a novel, long-acting insulin analog designed to cover basal insulin requirements with once-weekly subcutaneous administration. Here we describe the molecular engineering and the biological and pharmacological properties of insulin icodec.

Research design and methods A number of in vitro assays measuring receptor binding, intracellular signaling as well as cellular metabolic and mitochondrial responses were used to characterize the biological properties of insulin icodec. To evaluate the pharmacological properties of insulin icodec in individuals with type 2 diabetes, a randomized, double-blind, double-dummy, active-controlled, multiple-dose, dose escalation trial was conducted.

Results The long half-life of insulin icodec was achieved by introducing modifications to the insulin molecule aiming to obtain a safe, albumin-bound circulating depot of insulin icodec, providing protracted insulin action and clearance. Addition of a C20 fatty acid-containing side chain imparts strong, reversible albumin binding, while three amino acid substitutions (A14E, B16H and B25H) provide molecular stability and contribute to attenuating insulin receptor (IR) binding and clearance, further prolonging the half-life. In vitro cell-based studies showed that insulin icodec activates the same dose-dependent IR-mediated signaling and metabolic responses as native human insulin (HI). The affinity of insulin icodec for the insulin-like growth factor-1 receptor was proportionately lower than its binding to the IR, and the in vitro mitogenic effect of insulin icodec in various human cells was low relative to HI. The clinical pharmacology trial in people with type 2 diabetes showed that insulin icodec was well tolerated and has pharmacokinetic/pharmacodynamic properties that are suited for once-weekly dosing, with a mean half-life of 196 hours and close to even distribution of glucose-lowering effect over the entire dosing interval of 1 week.

Conclusions The molecular modifications introduced into insulin icodec provide a novel basal insulin with biological and pharmacokinetic/pharmacodynamic properties suitable for once-weekly dosing.

Trial registration number NCT02964104.

Significance of this study

What is already known about this subject?
► Basal insulin therapy, currently administered either once or twice daily, is a very well-established option for the treatment of diabetes.
► Acylation has been proven to be an applicable technology to engineer the once-daily basal insulin analogs, insulin detemir and insulin degludec, as well as longer acting insulin analogs such as oral insulin 338.

What are the new findings?
► Insulin icodec, a new acylated basal insulin analog, has been engineered with optimized modifications to give a long half-life suitable for once-weekly insulin administration.
► Strong albumin binding combined with reduced insulin receptor affinity ensures slow clearance and the formation of an essentially inactive albumin-bound depot, providing slow and continuous insulin action.
► Insulin icodec retains the same biological properties as natural human insulin, with no increase in insulin-like growth factor-1 receptor binding or mitogenicity.
► In a clinical pharmacology trial in people with type 2 diabetes, insulin icodec was well tolerated and had a half-life of 196 hours with mean glucose-lowering effect being close to evenly distributed over the entire week.

How might these results change the focus of research or clinical practice?
► Insulin icodec may offer a convenient, safe and efficacious once-weekly basal insulin option that could eventually be widely used in clinical practice for the treatment of diabetes.

INTRODUCTION

Despite the lifesaving effects and unsurpassed glucose-lowering capability of insulin, the overall glycemic control observed in people...
with type 1 diabetes and type 2 diabetes does not meet recommended targets. The challenge in achieving good glycemic control with insulin therapy can be attributed to the complexity associated with matching the dose and timing of daily insulin injections to the actual physiological requirement. For people with type 1 diabetes, there are currently no options other than insulin therapy administered as multiple daily insulin injections or via pumps. For people with type 2 diabetes, there are a number of alternatives, including oral antidiabetic medicines and injectables such as glucagon-like peptide-1 receptor agonists (GLP-1RAs). Although glucose regulation often becomes inadequate with these options as the disease progresses, there is some degree of ‘clinical inertia’ due to the complexity and fear of insulin therapy, both from the perspectives of healthcare providers and those with diabetes.

Delivering the start of insulin therapy in type 2 diabetes contributes to overall poor glycemic control. A very long-acting basal insulin that can safely and effectively provide consistent glucose lowering with only one injection per week should reduce the treatment burden, resulting in more people in need of better glucose regulation to actually initiate insulin therapy. This would ideally lead to better adherence to therapy and an overall improvement in glycemic control. For people with type 1 diabetes, a once-weekly basal insulin could be seen as an attractive alternative to daily basal insulin injections, particularly if adherence is an issue.

For a once-weekly basal insulin to be clinically relevant, it must be as effective as conventional once-daily insulin treatment and above all should not lead to increased risk of hypoglycemia when administering 7 days’ worth of insulin in one injection. Recent advances in molecular engineering of insulin analogs have achieved very long plasma elimination half-lives in humans as described for the acylated oral insulin 338. Here, we have further engineered this concept for subcutaneous delivery of a once-weekly basal insulin analog: insulin icodex. Introducing strong, reversible binding to albumin, together with reduced insulin receptor (IR) affinity to slow down clearance, ensures that insulin icodex forms a circulating albumin-bound depot, which is essentially inactive, from which insulin icodex can slowly and consistently activate IRs, resulting in a long half-life suitable for once-weekly administration. A clinical pharmacology trial demonstrates that insulin icodex has a half-life of 196 hours and a glucose-lowering effect that is close to evenly distributed throughout the week, thus making it indeed suitable for once-weekly dosing.

**RESEARCH DESIGN AND METHODS**

**Production of insulin icodex**

The insulin icodex precursor was expressed in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* as a single-chain with a mini-C-peptide linking the B-chain (B1–B29) to the A-chain (A1–A21). After purification, the single-chain precursor was enzymatically converted into two-chain insulin A14E, B16H, B25H, desB30. Insulin icodex was chemically modified by coupling the N-hydroxysuccinimide-activated side chain to the epsilon amino group of B29 lysine.

**Albumin binding studies**

The binding of [125I]-insulin icodex to human serum albumin (HSA) was determined in a binding assay as previously described for insulin detemir. Briefly, [125I]-insulin icodex was mixed with increasing amounts of HSA conjugated to agarose. Free radioactivity was measured, and the ratio of bound/free radioactivity was plotted against the HSA concentration to obtain the affinity (slope) of insulin icodex for HSA. Insulin detemir was used as a comparator.

**Receptor binding studies**

IR and insulin-like growth factor-1 receptor (IGF-1R) binding studies were performed essentially as described previously. Briefly, receptor affinities were determined by competition scintillation proximity assays using either solubilized IRs or IGF-1Rs in the absence or presence of HSA. Concentration-response relations from the binding assays were analyzed using a four-parameter logistic model, assuming common slope and basal and maximum responses.

**Intracellular signaling studies**

To study intracellular signaling, Chinese Hamster Ovary (CHO) cells overexpressing human insulin receptors (hIRs) were used. Phosphorylation of the IR, extracellular-regulated kinase (ERK) and protein kinase B (AKT) were measured after stimulation with increasing concentrations of HI or insulin icodex. The experiments were performed in the presence of 0.1% HSA.

**Cellular metabolic effects**

In primary rat adipocytes isolated from epididymal fat pads, the effect of insulin icodex on lipogenesis was determined by measuring the incorporation of [3H]-labeled glucose into fat essentially as described previously. Glycogen accumulation was measured in rat hepatocytes isolated using a two-step collagenase perfusion technique. Cells were incubated with varying concentrations of HI or insulin icodex in the presence or absence of HSA. Cellular glycogen content was determined by measuring glucose following digestion by amyloglucosidase. Glycogen synthesis was determined in L6-hIR cells (rat skeletal myoblast L6 cell line stably transfected with the A isoform of the hIR), as well as in MCF-7 cells (human mammary adenocarcinoma cell line), as previously described. The effect of insulin icodex relative to HI was determined in these two cell lines by measuring glycogen synthesis as incorporation of [14C]-labeled glucose into glycogen.
Cellular mitogenic responses

The mitogenic response to insulin icodec was examined in L6-hIR and MCF-7 cells and in human mammary epithelial cells (HMECs) and human colorectal adenocarcinoma cells (COLO-205) by measuring the cellular incorporation of [6-3H]-thymidine as indication of DNA synthesis and thus cell proliferation. Mitogenicity in HMEC and MCF-7 was performed as previously described. Mitogenicity in COLO-205 cells was measured as described for MCF-7 with minor modifications: 1.2×10⁵ COLO-205 cells were seeded per well directly in assay medium for 24 hours before stimulation. Incorporation of [6-3H]-thymidine was used and cells were stimulated for 6–8 hours depending on the expected pharmacokinetic profile. Plasma samples were analyzed for the respective insulin analog by luminescent oxygen channeling immunoassay (AlphaLISA) using antibodies specific for each analog tested. Plasma concentration–time profiles were analyzed by non-compartmental pharmacokinetics analysis using WinNonlin Professional. Calculations were performed using concentration–time values from each animal.

Pharmacokinetic profiles in dogs

Beagle dogs weighing 9–18kg were not offered food for at least 12 hours prior to dosing subcutaneously in the neck with the different insulin analogs. Blood samples were drawn regularly for 7–14 days. Time points for blood sampling were prespecified for each analog depending on the expected pharmacokinetic profile. Plasma samples were analyzed for the respective insulin analog by luminescent oxygen channeling immunoassay (AlphaLISA) using antibodies specific for each analog tested. Plasma concentration–time profiles were analyzed by non-compartmental pharmacokinetics analysis using WinNonlin Professional. Calculations were performed using concentration–time values from each animal.

Clinical pharmacology trial in individuals with type 2 diabetes

To evaluate the pharmacological properties of insulin icodec in individuals with type 2 diabetes, a randomized, double-blind, double-dummy, active-controlled, multiple-dose, dose escalation trial was conducted. Eligible participants had type 2 diabetes, were treated with any insulin (total daily dose 0.3–1.0U/kg), aged 18–64 years, with glycosylated hemoglobin (HbA₁c) ≤9.0% (75 mmol/mol) and were not using oral antidiabetic drugs or GLP-1RAs (subjects on insulin therapy in combination with metformin were allowed in cohort 3, but metformin was washed out for ≥3 weeks prior to first trial product administration in these subjects).

Within each of three subsequent cohorts, participants were randomized to once-weekly insulin icodec (cohort 1: 12nmol/kg; cohort 2: 20nmol/kg; cohort 3: 24nmol/kg) plus once-daily placebo or once-daily insulin degludec (0.4U/kg; corresponding to 16.8nmol/kg/week) plus once-weekly placebo for 5 weeks (all by subcutaneous injection in the thigh at ~20:00 hours using a NovoPen4 pen device; Novo Nordisk A/S, Bagsvaerd, Denmark) (online supplemental figure 1). Data on insulin degludec are not included, but pharmacodynamic data have been presented elsewhere. The decision to proceed to the next cohort was made by an internal trial safety group after review of blinded interim safety, pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic data. Total serum insulin icodec concentration (both the free and albumin bound) was measured using a specific assay at prespecified time points from predose until 36 days after the last weekly insulin icodec dose (online supplemental table 1). Steady-state pharmacodynamic properties were assessed during two 24-hour automated euglycemic glucose clamps (ClampArt, Profil, Neuss, Germany; target of 5.5mmol/L) at steady state on day 2 (24–48hours) and day 7 (144–168hours) after the last weekly insulin icodec dose in week 5 (ie, days 30 and 35 of the treatment period; online supplemental figure 1). Safety assessments included adverse events, hypoglycemic episodes, injection site reactions, vital signs, physical examination, clinical laboratory assessments and ECG.

Pharmacokinetic dose proportionality was evaluated by linear regression of log\(\text{AUC}_{0-168\text{h}}\) on log(dose), where \(\text{AUC}_{0-168\text{h}}\) was derived after the last insulin icodec dose and a slope of 1 corresponds to dose proportionality (the power model). Pharmacokinetic trough values to steady state and full-week glucose-lowering effect profiles in week 5 were predicted for each individual using a pharmacokinetic–pharmacodynamic model. Model parameters were estimated for each individual based on insulin icodec pharmacokinetic data from the first dose until day 65 and 24-hour glucose clamp data in week 5 (days 30 and 35).

RESULTS

Molecular design of insulin icodec

Engineering of an insulin molecule suitable for once-weekly administration requires not only a very long half-life, but just as importantly a mechanism to ensure that the glucose-lowering effect is close to evenly distributed throughout the week. To achieve this, insulin icodec was designed to introduce four key features: high affinity for albumin, improved stability, low IR binding affinity and high solubility. Very strong, reversible albumin binding was achieved by introducing a 20-carbon atom long icosane fatty diacid (icosanediolic acid) at the C-terminal of the B-chain of the HI amino acid sequence (figure 1A).

The icosanediolic acid is connected via a hydrophilic linker (γ-glutamic acid and a small PEG-like linker) to the lysine in position B29. The fatty diacid moiety of insulin icodec binds strongly to fatty acid binding sites on albumin, and the affinity of insulin icodec for albumin was estimated to be 9.5±0.6-fold greater (mean±SEM, n=3) than that of insulin detemir, which was used as a reference (online supplemental figure 2). However, the C20 fatty diacid was not sufficient to prolong the half-life to the extent needed for once-weekly dosing. Thus, three amino acid substitutions, TyrA14Glu (A14E), TyrB16His (B16H) and PheB25His (B25H), were introduced in order to lower the IR binding and subsequently IR mediated clearance to confer a longer half-life. Each individual amino acid substitution contributes by lowering the IR affinity...
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(A14E: to 80%, B16H: to 22%, B25H: to 30% relative to HI) such that the IR affinity of the molecule without the chemical modification is 5.5% relative to HI. The high albumin binding affinity of the fatty diacid limits the number of insulin icodec molecules available to bind to the IR further reducing the relative affinity from 5.5% to 0.03% in the presence of 1.5% HSA. Furthermore, the amino acid modifications confer molecular stability by minimizing enzymatic degradation.11 15 Finally, the threonine in position B30 of HI has been deleted; however, the desB30 has no influence on the IR affinity. Altogether, these molecular changes have been demonstrated to impart a long half-life as seen by the pharmacokinetic profile of insulin icodec in dogs following a single subcutaneous injection (online supplemental figure 2).

Moreover, A14E in combination with B25H was previously reported to improve solubility,11 also an important feature of insulin icodec, allowing for a 4.2 mM formulation, that is, seven times higher than a standard U100 insulin formulation. This ensures that the once-weekly insulin icodec dose volume can be low and similar to once-daily basal insulin dosing volumes.

Biological characterization of insulin icodec

A key consideration when developing insulin analogs is to ensure that the modifications to achieve the desired pharmacokinetic profile do not unfavorably alter the biological responses. Several in vitro studies were therefore conducted to demonstrate that insulin icodec retains the biological properties of native HI. Similar
assays have been previously used to assess the biological characteristics and in vitro safety profiles of various long-acting basal insulin analogs (insulin glargine, detemir and degludec).23 27 28 From the displacement curves for binding to the solubilized hIR, it can be seen that insulin icodec fully displaces the HI tracer to the same extent as HI (figure 1B). However, the relative binding in the presence of 1.5% HSA is approximately 0.03% that of HI, indicating low affinity (table 1). The difference in binding affinity obtained in the presence or absence of HSA reflects insulin icodec’s ability to bind albumin. Binding to the structurally related IGF-1R is correspondingly low (figure 1C and table 1). Insulin icodec is a full agonist and was shown to activate IRs and stimulate downstream signaling molecules AKT (figure 1D) and ERK in a similar manner as HI (table 1).

Insulin icodec was shown to have the same cellular metabolic profile as HI, stimulating glucose uptake and lipogenesis in rat adipocytes and glycogen accumulation in rat hepatocytes (figure 1E and table 2). Insulin icodec also stimulated glycogen synthesis in L6-hIR and MCF-7 cells (table 2).

Since native HI has cell proliferative effects in addition to its metabolic actions, it is important to determine both the metabolic and mitogenic effects of new insulin analogs and the relative balance between these two effects. The mitogenic response of insulin icodec was examined in various cell types that express IR and IGF-1R to differing degrees, including L6-hIR, MCF-7, COLO-205 and HMEC cells. As can be seen in table 2, the mitogenic potencies (all expressed as a percentage relative to HI) are within the same range as the metabolic potencies. It should be noted that it was not possible to conduct all metabolic and mitogenic cellular assays under the same conditions, particularly the same albumin concentration, as different cell types have differing sensitivities to albumin. Taking this into consideration, the overall data indicate that insulin icodec has retained a balanced mitogenic-to-metabolic potency ratio.

### Clinical pharmacological properties of insulin icodec

In the clinical pharmacology trial, 38 individuals were randomized to receive insulin icodec 12 nmol/kg (n=13), 20 nmol/kg (n=13) or 24 nmol/kg (n=12). The 38 individuals (33 men) had a mean±SD age of 57.8±4.3 years, body weight of 93.9±13.3 kg, body mass index of 29.9±2.8 kg/m², HbA₁c of 7.4%±0.6% and duration of diabetes of 14.4±6.7 years with comparable treatment characteristics suited for once-weekly administration.

### Table 1 Summary of the receptor binding and signaling properties of insulin icodec

| Assay type                      | HSA (%) | Insulin icodec | Human insulin | IC₅₀ (%) Insulin icodec relative to human insulin |
|---------------------------------|---------|----------------|---------------|--------------------------------------------------|
| **Human IR-A binding**         | 0 n=3   | 5.47×10⁻⁹ (4.37×10⁻⁹ to 6.85×10⁻⁹) | 2.75×10⁻¹¹ (2.69×10⁻¹¹ to 2.82×10⁻¹¹) | 0.50 (0.43 to 0.57) |
| 1.5 n=3                        | 6.95×10⁻⁸ (4.97×10⁻⁸ to 9.71×10⁻⁸) | 2.38×10⁻¹¹ (2.14×10⁻¹¹ to 2.63×10⁻¹¹) | 0.03 (0.03 to 0.04) |
| **Human IR-B binding**         | 0 n=3   | 3.13×10⁻⁹ (1.02×10⁻⁸ to 9.59×10⁻⁹) | 2.39×10⁻¹¹ (8.95×10⁻¹¹ to 6.39×10⁻¹¹) | 0.78 (0.68 to 0.89) |
| 1.5 n=3                        | 9.71×10⁻⁸ (3.10×10⁻⁸ to 3.04×10⁻⁷) | 2.55×10⁻¹¹ (9.31×10⁻¹² to 6.97×10⁻¹¹) | 0.03 (0.02 to 0.03) |
| **Human IGF-1R binding**       | 0 n=4   | 1.52×10⁻⁶ (1.24×10⁻⁶ to 1.89×10⁻⁶) | 2.05×10⁻⁹ (1.33×10⁻⁹ to 3.14×10⁻⁹) | 0.14 (0.13 to 0.15) |
| **Assay type**                  | HSA (%) | EC₅₀ (nM) Mean (95% CI) | Human insulin | EC₅₀ (%) Insulin icodec relative to human insulin |
| **IR phosphorylation in CHO-hIR cells** | 0.1 n=3 | 1419 (1148 to 1754) | 3.00 (2.46 to 3.66) | 0.21 (0.18 to 0.25) |
| **Phospho-ERK in CHO-hIR cells** | 0.1 n=3 | 271 (240 to 305) | 1.02 (0.86 to 1.22) | 0.39 (0.34 to 0.44) |
| **Phospho-AKT in CHO-hIR cells** | 0.1 n=3 | 245 (214 to 280) | 0.15 (0.13 to 0.17) | 0.62 (0.53 to 0.72) |

**AKT**, protein kinase B; **CHO**, Chinese Hamster Ovary; **EC₅₀**, half maximal effective concentration; **ERK**, extracellular-regulated kinase; **hIR**, human insulin receptor; **HSA**, human serum albumin; **IC₅₀**, half maximal inhibitory concentration; **IGF-1R**, insulin-like growth factor-1 receptor; **IR**, insulin receptor.
(figure 2B). Geometric mean half-life was 196 hours and median time to maximum concentration was 16 hours, with no systematic differences between dose levels. Results supported dose-proportionality for AUC0-168 h as the slope of log(AUC0-168 h) versus log(dose) was not statistically significantly different from 1 (0.83 (0.56; 1.10))95% CI. Model-predicted pharmacodynamic data of a 1 week dosing interval at steady state indicated rather consistent glucose-lowering effect of insulin icodc throughout the week at clinically relevant doses (figure 2C). The distribution of glucose-lowering effect of insulin icodc over a week at steady state was determined as the daily proportion of the total weekly model-predicted glucose-lowering effect. The dotted line in figure 2C represents equal distribution across 7 days corresponding to a daily proportion of 14.3%, and the range over the week was from 12.0% to 16.3% per day, hence only deviating −2.3% points to +2.0% points from complete even distribution.

Insulin icodc was well tolerated with no increase in adverse event incidence with increasing insulin icodc doses (12 nmol/kg: 33 events in 13 individuals; 20 nmol/kg: 19 events in 9 individuals; 24 nmol/kg: 23 events in 9 individuals). No serious or severe adverse events, severe hypoglycemic episodes, injection site reactions or clinically significant findings in vital signs, physical examination, clinical laboratory assessments or ECG were observed.

**DISCUSSION**

Bearing in mind that optimal insulin therapy requires very precise dosing in terms of both the actual dose and timing, it may be conceptually difficult to appreciate that it would be possible to safely administer an entire week’s worth of basal insulin in a single injection without risking hypoglycemia. The design of the insulin icodc molecule addresses this concern by introducing modifications to the insulin molecule that not only extend insulin action to cover an entire week, but most importantly slow down the effect to a ‘trickle’ by ensuring that the given dose enters an essentially inactive reservoir (albumin bound) from which a slow and steady glucose-lowering effect is achieved. The application of fatty acid acylation technology combined with amino acid substitutions to reduce IR affinity in order to obtain prolongation of plasma half-lives by reducing the rate of receptor mediated clearance of insulin molecules has recently been described for oral basal insulin analogs.11 Insulin icodc was engineered by further extending this technology.

It has previously been demonstrated that increasing the length of the fatty acid side chain gives rise to a longer half-life as observed with insulin detemir,30 insulin degludec25 and oral insulin 338.11 15 For illustrative purposes, the pharmacokinetic profile of insulin icodc has been compared with these acylated basal insulin analogs in dogs (online supplemental figure 2), where an increase in half-life was observed going from C14 to C16, C18 and C20 fatty acids, reflecting the increasing albumin binding affinity. For insulin detemir and insulin degludec, the fatty acid side chain also contributes to the formation of larger soluble self-association forms: dihexamers for insulin detemir and multihexamers for insulin

---

**Table 2** Overview of in vitro assays demonstrating that insulin icodc has retained the same biological effects as human insulin

| Metabolic effects | HSA (%) | Insulin icodc | Human insulin | EC50 (%) Insulin icodc relative to human insulin | Mean (95% CI) |
|-------------------|---------|---------------|---------------|-----------------------------------------------|--------------|
| Lipogenesis in rat adipocytes | 0.1 n=4 | 135 (113 to 160) | 0.041 (0.037 to 0.046) | 0.029 (0.026 to 0.032) | |
| Glycogen accumulation in rat hepatocytes | 0 n=7 | 6.4 (4.2 to 9.7) | 0.28 (0.18 to 0.44) | 4.3 (3.5 to 5.3) | |
| Glycogen synthesis in rat L6-hIR cells | 0.1 n=8 | 69 (37 to 130) | 0.17 (0.11 to 0.26) | 0.24 (0.11 to 0.51) | |
| Glycogen synthesis in human MCF-7 cells | 0 n=5 | 4863 (1571 to 15050) | 12.2 (3.8 to 39.0) | 0.26 (0.11 to 0.62) | |
| Glycogen accumulation in rat hepatocytes | 0.1 n=4 | 8.53 (5.73 to 12.69) | 0.05 (0.02 to 0.10) | 0.52 (0.35 to 0.79) | |
| Glycogen synthesis in human COLO-205 cells | 0 n=5 | 5263 (1571 to 15050) | 12.2 (3.8 to 39.0) | 0.26 (0.11 to 0.62) | |

*Geometric mean for all assays.

| Mitogenic effects | DNA synthesis in rat L6-hIR cells | DNA synthesis in human MCF-7 cells | DNA synthesis in human COLO-205 cells | DNA synthesis in human HMEC cells |
|-------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| 0 n=5 | 20.2 (14.6 to 28.0) | 2.8 (1.5 to 5.4) | 1.4 (0.2 to 12.7) | 3.7 (2.6 to 5.3) |
| 0 n=4 | 625 (140 to 2790) | 5.1 (1.5 to 11) | 69 (37 to 130) | 1879 (1340 to 2633) |
| 0 n=3 | 73 (53 to 100) | 4863 (1571 to 15050) | 69 (37 to 130) | 1879 (1340 to 2633) |
| 0 n=7 | 4863 (1571 to 15050) | 4863 (1571 to 15050) | 4863 (1571 to 15050) | 4863 (1571 to 15050) |

**EC50 (nM)**

**Mean (95% CI)**

**Insulin icodc relative to human insulin**

**Mean (95% CI)**
time longer than a week may not be desirable due to the site. For a protein drug, multimers with an absorption to promote the formation of multimers at the injection site. However, insulin icodec was intentionally formulated not to induce receptor activation before it can be cleared. Thus, insulin icodec remains fully potent, but much slower and lower IR affinity means it will take longer for each insulin molecule to initiate receptor activation before it can be cleared. Thus, insulin icodec remains fully potent, but much slower and longer acting compared with native HI. In addition, this slow initiation of insulin action due to the low IR binding affinity importantly contributes to the safe administration of large insulin icodec doses in one subcutaneous injection by preventing a quick glucose-lowering effect.

The reduced IR binding affinity of insulin icodec contributes to the long half-life by reducing the clearance rate, since insulin clearance occurs primarily via IR-mediated internalization. Importantly, the low IR affinity does not translate into reduced potency but rather results in a slow rate of insulin action since the low receptor affinity means it will take longer for each insulin molecule to initiate receptor activation before it can be cleared. Thus, insulin icodec remains fully potent, but much slower and longer acting compared with native HI. In addition, this slow initiation of insulin action due to the low IR binding affinity importantly contributes to the safe administration of large insulin icodec doses in one subcutaneous injection by preventing a quick glucose-lowering effect.

Furthermore, as demonstrated in a panel of in vitro systems, despite the low IR binding affinity, it can be seen that insulin icodec is a fully efficacious insulin analog, binding to IRs to activate the same signaling pathways leading to the same full metabolic effects as HI (figure 1, tables 1 and 2). Insulin icodec does not demonstrate increased binding to the IGF-1R, and there is no increased mitogenic potency (figure 1 and table 2). This indicates that insulin icodec has retained the balance between metabolic and mitogenic effects, similar to HI. Furthermore, clinical phase I and II trials indicate that the potency of insulin icodec is similar to other basal insulin products (insulin degludec and insulin glargine U100).36 37

Pharmacokinetic modelling of serum insulin icodec trough concentrations during initiation of once-weekly dosing (figure 2A) demonstrates the build-up of an albumin-bound depot. After 3–4 weekly injections, steady state is reached in the majority of individuals at which time the rate of clearance essentially matches the administered dose. Figure 3 provides a schematic illustration of the sequence of events leading to steady state. With the first injection (panel A1), a week’s dose of insulin icodec is administered into the subcutis, where hexamers dissociate degludec, which occur in the subcutis at the injection site. However, insulin icodec was intentionally formulated not to promote the formation of multimers at the injection site. For a protein drug, multimers with an absorption time longer than a week may not be desirable due to the
Figure 3  Schematic depiction of build-up to steady state and mechanism of action of insulin icodoc. (A) Distribution of insulin icodoc (light blue) bound to albumin (grey) in the different compartments over time from initiation of once-weekly dosing. Chart 1: distribution of insulin icodoc after the first injection, with the majority of insulin icodoc in the subcutis and a small proportion absorbed into the blood. Chart 2: day 7, prior to the second injection, showing that there is still insulin icodoc distributed prior to the next injection. Charts 3–4: showing the gradual build-up of insulin icodoc exposure towards steady state. (B) Conceptual model showing glucose-lowering effect over time from initiation of once-weekly dosing of insulin icodoc and once-daily dosing of insulin glargine U100 (at comparable dose levels). Blue curve: insulin icodoc; grey curve: insulin glargine U100. Orange labels refer to charts 1–4 in panel A. AU, arbitrary units.
into monomers that are absorbed into the circulation, binding to albumin. A fraction of insulin icodoc reaches target tissues, resulting in low glucose-lowering effect, which gradually increases during the week (panel B, first week).

The strong albumin binding and low IR affinity (slow initiation of insulin action) ensure that despite the large weekly dose, there is no immediate large glucose-lowering effect. A significant amount of albumin-bound insulin icodoc is still present at the end of the first week (panel A2; panel B, label 2). Following the second weekly injection, there is further build-up of albumin-bound insulin icodoc and glucose-lowering effect (panel A3; panel B, label 3), which continues with the subsequent weekly injections until the full response is achieved defined as steady state (panel A4; panel B, label 4). At this time, the glucose-lowering effect for insulin icodoc over the week is similar to the equivalent once-daily basal insulin glargine U100 dose (figure 3B).

Results from the clinical pharmacology trial support the model illustrated in figure 3. As shown in figure 2B, the geometric mean half-life was 196 hours, that is, just longer than 1 week. As a consequence of the long half-life, insulin icodoc builds up during the first weeks of once-weekly dosing until reaching a plateau. The median tmax was 16 hours, and a peak to trough difference could be observed when measured as total insulin icodoc (bound and unbound to albumin). However, the mean glucose-lowering effect of insulin icodoc demonstrated a close to even distribution over the entire dosing interval of 1 week (figure 2C).

The maximum serum concentration of insulin icodoc at steady state (<500 nmol/L; figure 2B) is substantially lower than the serum albumin concentration (600 000 nmol/L), and each albumin molecule has at least four high affinity fatty acid binding sites and additional intermediate affinity binding sites.36 37 Thus, even in case of higher concentrations of insulin icodoc, there is >2000-fold excess of binding sites in the circulating albumin pool compared with the insulin icodoc serum concentration. Therefore, displacement of insulin icodoc from albumin due to albuminuria, intrinsic factors or competitive protein binding will be minimal and unlikely to have any clinically relevant effect on insulin icodoc activity.

Insulin therapy is particularly sensitive to variations, both hour to hour and day to day, as too little insulin will result in hyperglycemia while too much can lead to hypoglycemia. It was therefore critical to ensure a flat and steady glucose-lowering effect of insulin icodoc from day to day, despite giving 7 days’ worth of insulin in one dose. The modifications engineered into the insulin icodoc molecule ensure that at steady state, variations in dosing time or amount would lead to minimal changes in immediate glucose-lowering effect due to the buffering effect of albumin binding as well as the slow initiation of IR activation. Indeed, in a phase II trial insulin icodoc reduced HbA1c and fasting plasma glucose in people with type 2 diabetes to the same extent as once-daily insulin glargine U100 at comparable frequency of level 2 and level 3 hypoglycemia with considerably fewer overall injections.38 Furthermore, in a phase II trial to investigate switching from once-daily basal insulin to once-weekly insulin icodoc, the use of an initial 100% loading dose of insulin icodoc (first injection only), equivalent to twice the weekly dose was well tolerated, reflecting the advantage of the strong albumin binding and slow rate of insulin icodoc action under these conditions.38 This is clearly illustrated in figure 3B, where it can be seen that during the first week, despite the administration of a full dose of insulin icodoc, the model-predicted pharmacodynamic effect is considerably less, suggesting that it should be safe to add a loading dose as was investigated in the phase II trial.39

In conclusion, the molecular modifications introduced into insulin icodoc make it a basal insulin with properties suitable for once-weekly dosing. The optimized features of strong albumin binding with reduced IR affinity leading to slow IR-mediated clearance contribute towards the formation of an essentially inactive albumin-bound depot, which provides continuous and slow initiation of insulin action. This mechanism is key to achieving safe and efficacious basal glucose lowering over an entire week with once-weekly dosing of insulin icodoc, providing the additional convenience of considerably fewer injections compared with a once-daily basal insulin.
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Online supplemental material
Supplemental Figure 1 – Trial design and methodology of the clinical pharmacology trial. (A) Overall trial design. (B) Methodology during week 5 of treatment in each cohort. OD, once daily; OW, once weekly; U, units.
**Supplemental Table 1** – Blood sampling for pharmacokinetic analysis of insulin icodec in the clinical pharmacology trial

| Day | Nominal time<sup>a</sup> (hours) | Insulin icodec | Day | Nominal time<sup>a</sup> (hours) | Insulin icodec |
|-----|---------------------------------|----------------|-----|---------------------------------|----------------|
| 1   | 00<sup>b</sup>                  | X              | 12  |                                  | X              |
|     | 01                              | X              | 16  |                                  | X              |
|     | 02                              | X              | 20  |                                  | X              |
| 2   | 04                              | X              | 24  |                                  | X              |
|     | 06                              | X              | 26  |                                  | X              |
|     | 10                              | X              | 31  | 28                               | X              |
|     | 14                              | X              | 30  |                                  | X              |
|     | 16                              | X              | 32  |                                  | X              |
|     | 18                              | X              | 34  |                                  | X              |
|     | 20                              | X              | 36  |                                  | X              |
|     | 24                              | X              | 40  |                                  | X              |
| 3   | 30                              | X              | 44  |                                  | X              |
|     | 36                              | X              | 48  |                                  | X              |
|     | 42                              | X              | 32  | 60                               | X              |
|     | 48                              | X              | 72  |                                  | X              |
| 4   | 60                              | X              | 33  | 84                               | X              |
|     | 72                              | X              | 96  |                                  | X              |
| 5   | 84                              | X              | 34  | 108                              | X              |
|     | 96                              | X              | 120 |                                  | X              |
| 6   | 108                             | X              | 35  | 132                              | X              |
|     | 120                             | X              | 144 |                                  | X              |
| 7   | 132                             | X              | 146 |                                  | X              |
|     | 144                             | X              | 36  | 148                              | X              |
| 8   | 156                             | X              | 150 |                                  | X              |
|     | 00<sup>b</sup>                  | X              | 152 |                                  | X              |
| 9   | 12                              | X              | 154 |                                  | X              |
|     | 24                              | X              | 156 |                                  | X              |
| 15  | 00<sup>b</sup>                  | X              | 160 |                                  | X              |
| 16  | 12                              | X              | 164 |                                  | X              |
|     | 24                              | X              | 168 |                                  | X              |
| 22  | 00<sup>b</sup>                  | X              | 39  | 228                              | X              |
| 23  | 12                              | X              | 44  | 348                              | X              |
|     | 24                              | X              | 51  | 516                              | X              |
| 29  | 00<sup>b</sup>                  | X              | 65  | 852                              | X              |
| 30  | 06                              | X              |      |                                  |                |

<sup>a</sup> Relative to last insulin icodec dose at 20:00 hours; <sup>b</sup> Pre-dose.
Supplemental Figure 2 – (A) Pharmacokinetic profiles of various insulin molecules after a single subcutaneous dose in dogs. C14, C16, C18 and C20 refer to the carbon length of the fatty acid side chains used in the different insulin analogs (n=6 for insulin icodec, 338, degludec and detemir and n=5 for NPH insulin). Error bars show standard error of the mean. (B) Albumin binding of insulin icodec in comparison to insulin detemir (n=3). Error bars show standard error of the mean. Lines show the non-linear fit. AU, arbitrary units; NPH, neutral protamine Hagedorn.
**Supplemental Table 2 – Baseline characteristics in the clinical pharmacology trial**

|                                      | 12 nmol/kg cohort | 20 nmol/kg cohort | 24 nmol/kg cohort |
|--------------------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| Number of individuals with type 2 diabetes | 13                | 13                | 12                |
| Age, years                           | 57.5±4.9          | 58.1±4.6          | 57.8±3.3          |
| Males, n (%)                         | 11 (84.6)         | 11 (84.6)         | 11 (91.7)         |
| Body weight, kg                      | 93.6±13.5         | 94.1±14.3         | 93.9±13.1         |
| BMI, kg/m²                            | 29.6±3.2          | 30.3±3.0          | 29.8±2.3          |
| HbA₁c, %                             | 7.4±0.6           | 7.5±0.7           | 7.2±0.7           |
| Diabetes duration, years             | 14.8±5.1          | 15.3±9.0          | 13.0±5.7          |
| Metformin at screening, n (%)        | 0 (0.0)           | 0 (0.0)           | 7 (58.3)          |

Insulin icodex once-weekly doses correspond to 0.29, 0.48, 0.57 U/kg/day anticipating equipotency to once-daily basal insulins. Data are mean±standard deviation unless otherwise stated. HbA₁c, glycosylated hemoglobin; BMI, body mass index; U, units.