A study of efficacy of oral probiotics in management of cases with symptomatic white discharge per vagina in a tertiary care hospital
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Abstract

Background: Urogenital infections are the most common gynecological condition constituting about 25% of outpatients. Because of higher recurrence rates and resistance to standard antimicrobials now a days the use of probiotics in augmenting normal bacterial populations is gradually achieving scientific acceptance. Objective: Determine the efficacy of probiotics in treating women with symptomatic white discharge per vagina (WDPV), role of oral probiotics in restoring the vaginal flora. Methods: 70 women aged between 18 to 45 yrs (mean age 35yrs) with symptomatic WDPV who are attending gynecology outpatient procedures, these patients underwent Grams stain, received antibiotics along with probiotics (L. rhamnosus GR-1 and L. fermentum RC-14) for a period of 1 week, again reviewed with repeat Grams stain. Results: Among post-treatment group 46 % of patients showed >30 organisms/100× objective. Fifty percent of patients showed counts between 5 and 30 among post-treatment, but the response in terms of symptomatic relief was about 74%. The improvement in the lactobacilli count was interpreted using Nugents scoring. Conclusion: The combination of probiotic (L. rhamnosus GR-1 and L. fermentum RC-14) is not only safe for daily use in healthy women, but it can reduce colonization of the vagina by potential pathogenic bacteria and yeast.
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Introduction

Urogenital infections afflict an estimated few billion women in a year, the size of this problem and the increased prevalence of multidrug resistant pathogens make it imperative for alternate treatment. The microorganisms that colonize the vagina play a major role in maintenance of resistance against infestations from pathogenic organisms. When this flora is dominated by lactobacilli or a commensal flora, the person is regarded as being healthy in terms of the urogenital tract, unless other specific disease traits are evident.

When the vault is colonized primarily or solely by pathogenic bacteria, such as Escherichia coli or Gardnerella vaginalis [1-3] the patient is generally regarded as having an abnormal flora. Antimicrobial therapy has been reasonably effective at curing bacterial infections of the bladder and vagina, but mounting drug resistance and failure of antibiotics to change host receptivity to pathogen recurrences, plus a negative impact on patient quality of life make it imperative that alternative therapeutics be found [4-7].

Probiotics are regarded as ‘Live microorganisms which when administered in adequate amounts confer a health benefit on the host’[8]. A recent Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations and the World Health Organization Working Group has developed guidelines for what constitutes a true ‘probiotic’, and very few so called health products currently meet these criteria because they have no published clinical studies showing a benefit of their strains on the host [9]. Probiotic Lactobacillus rhamnosus GR-1 and Lactobacillus fermentum RC-14 have been shown in open studies to colonize the vagina following oral intake [10,11].

Bacterial vaginosis (BV) is the most common cause of abnormal vaginal discharge in women of childbearing
age. The causative organisms for this condition are Gardnerella vaginalis, Mycoplasma hominis and anaerobic bacteria. It is thought that a shift to a symptomatic BV state may simply be due to a decline in the levels of ‘beneficial’ lactic acid and hydrogen peroxide-producing lactobacilli and/or an increase in the levels of Gram-negative anaerobes. A variety of events can contribute to the development of BV in which a mixture of the organisms listed above is usually present in concentrations 100 to 1,000 times greater than in the healthy vagina.

The standard scoring system termed the ‘Nugent score’ is an accepted technique using microscopic examination of a Gram-stained smear of vaginal discharge for determining BV. Due to the wide variety of Gram smear results from vaginal samples that can be considered normal, specific bacterial types need not be reported, but may be listed as ‘organisms resembling normal urogenital flora’, Yeast should always be reported with an added comment, such as ‘Candida species are normal flora in the genital area of 30 to 40% of women. The presence of yeast must be correlated with the clinical picture’. Smear results that score >7 in the Nugent scoring system should be reported as ‘consistent with bacterial vaginosis’. It is acceptable not to report cells, or bacteria, and only report presence or absence of yeast, and whether smear results are consistent with BV or not [12].

Available evidence now indicates that certain strains of lactobacilli when administered to patients can colonize the vagina and reduce the risk of BV. Studies have been carried out to assess the efficacy of single strain or combination of probiotics administered orally or Intravaginally in the treatment of BV. In addition, the effect of probiotics in conjunction with antimicrobial regimen has to be evaluated. So this study is aimed to determine the efficacy of probiotics in treating women with symptomatic WDPV.

Materials and Methods

Design of study: This is a prospective randomized clinical study done on women with symptomatic white discharge per vagina (WDPV).

Settings: Symptomatic white discharge per vagina (WDPV) patients attending gynecology outpatient of Vinayaka Mission's Medical College, Karaikal, Puducherry for a period of 2 years (2014-2016).

Study population: In the present study, 70 women with history of WDPV received probiotics along with antibiotics were selected.

Inclusion criteria
- Females patients aged between 18 to 45 yrs (mean age 35yrs) will be included in the study.
- Patients willing to give written informed consent.
- Clinical diagnosis was confirmed by Nugents score.
- Patients who have been newly diagnosed and/or recurrent vulvovaginitis not treated in the previous one month.

Exclusion criteria
- Pregnant or nursing women
- Patient Menstruating at the time of diagnosis
- Usage of antibacterial drugs either systemically or intravaginally within last two weeks
- Patients already on medications for vulvovaginitis.
- Patients taking immunosuppressive, or immunostimulating medications, systemic corticosteroids within 3 months prior to study.
- Patients involved in any other study in previous one month.
- Patients with comorbid conditions like diabetis mellitus and Sexually transmitted diseases (STDs) like Gonorrhea, Syphilis, Chlamydia, AIDS.
- Patients with history of allergy to metronidazole, clotrimazole, clindamycin,

Method of study: Women were examined at their first visit—after detailed history and clinical examination, including local examination to see the amount, character of discharge and for presence of cervical movement tenderness. Routine investigations to rule out presence of anemia, diabetes and urinary infection are done along with Gram stain of the vaginal discharge to study the type of organism and the load of lactobacilli, which is defined using Nugent scoring (table 1 and 2). These patients receive a combination of antibiotics (Ofloxacin + Ornidazole + fluconazole) along with probiotics containing 2,500 million spores of Lactobacilli rhamnosus GR-1 and L. fermentum RC-14, for a period of 1 week.
Table-1: Laboratory examination of vaginal smears and the determination of the Nugent score

| Lactobacilli | Score | Gardnerella, Bacteroides | Score | Curved Gram-negative bacilli | Score | Sum=*N score |
|-------------|-------|--------------------------|-------|-----------------------------|-------|--------------|
| 30 or >     | 0     | 0                        | 0     | 0                           | 0     | 0            |
| 5-30        | 1     | <1                       | 1     | <1                          | 1     | 3            |
| 1-4         | 2     | 1-4                      | 2     | 1-4                         | 1     | 5            |
| <1          | 3     | 5-30                     | 3     | 5-30                        | 2     | 8            |
| 0           | 4     | 30 or >                  | 4     | 30 or >                     | 2     | 10           |

Note: Number of organisms seen/100× objective

Table-2: Showing the Interpretation of Nugent score

| N-score | Reports               |
|---------|-----------------------|
| 0-3     | Clue cells not present Smear not consistent with BV |
| 4-6     | Clue cells are present Smear consistent with BV |

These patients are called back after 1 week and examined by the same gynecologist, asked regarding symptom relief, examination findings are compared and Grams stain is repeated to look for treatment response and also for the restoration of vaginal flora.

Statistical Analysis: Data was collected and tabulated as shown in results. Statistical analysis was done using Microsoft Excel. Frequency and percentage of each parameter was calculated and analyzed

Results

In the present study, 70 women with history of WDPV received probiotics along with antibiotics. Fifty two percent of patients were aged between 21 and 35 years indicating the increased incidence of RTI in reproductive age group. Forty-six percent had history of pain abdomen along with WDPV and the duration was between 15 days and 6 months in 74% of them.

Among them 48% had received treatment before coming here and were not relieved of the symptoms. On examination, there was copious discharge in 66, 58% had cervical and vaginal congestion, 48% had erosion on the cervix.

Forty eight percent had hemoglobin levels between 8 and 10 gm% (mean ± SD: 10.73 ± 1.21), this shows the correlation between anemia and vaginal infections. Four percent had random blood sugars >160 mg/dl (mean ± SD: 87.19 ± 13.48), 15% of them had associated urinary tract infection.

Pretreatment Gram’s stain is interpreted with respect to the lactobacilli score (Table 3) using one of the criteria’s in Nugent’s score.

Among patients in pretreatment group, 66% of them had <1 organism/100× objective, this showed the shift in vaginal flora, only 2% of them showed count between 5 and 30. Forty-two percent of patients showed >30 organisms/100× in the post-treatment Grams stain. Fifty percent of patients showed counts between 5 and 30 after post-treatment. Pap smear is done for all the patients, 66% of them showed inflammatory. Seventy four (74%) are relieved of symptoms, there was response even in terms of improved vaginal flora (Table 4).

Nine patients (18%) were not relieved of symptoms, even though there was improvement in vaginal flora in seven of these nonresponders, they were not relieved symptomatically. The cause among these patients were non infective, i.e, chronic cervicitis in three patients, diabetes mellitus in two patients, CIN-2 and three in two patients, one patient had Cu-T in situ which had caused recurrent PID, one patient had history of immunocompromised status which caused recurrent pelvic inflammatory disease.
Table-3: Lactobacilli score -pre- and post-treatment

| Lactobacilli score (number of organisms seen/100× objective) | Pretreatment (n = 70) | Post-treatment (n = 70) |
|-------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------|------------------------|
| 0 (30 or >)                                                  | Nil                 | 46%                    |
| 1 (5-30)                                                    | 2%                  | 50%                    |
| 2 (1-4)                                                     | 12%                 | 3%                     |
| 3 (<1)                                                      | 66%                 | 2%                     |
| 4 (0)                                                       | 20%                 | Nil                    |

Table-4: Response of treatment

| Response | Number of patients (n = 70) | %   |
|----------|----------------------------|-----|
| Yes      | 52                         | 74.0|

Only 32% patients had side effects like nausea, vomiting in 26%, giddiness in 8% and pain abdomen in 2% of patients. But these side effects cannot be completely attributed to use of probiotics only, as in the study they receive additional antibiotics also.

Discussion

The therapy resulted in a significant improvement in the vaginal flora in terms of increased lactobacilli presence. The outcome was not designed to be mechanism-based, but the results indicate that intestinal passage of these probiotic strains led to a beneficial impact on the vaginal microflora.

This may have occurred due to the strains themselves ascending to the vagina from the rectal area. It is feasible that the therapy caused an alteration in the mucosal immunity of the host (via the gut and/or vagina) and that this played a part in reducing pathogen counts. This study was concurrent with the previous studies. Bohbot and Cardot (2012) found that positive impact of the oral administration of LCR35 on vaginal microbiota and also decrease in the Nugent score in healthy women and therefore the maintenance of the quality of their vaginal microbiota [13].

Wagner and Johnson (2012) showed that Lactobacillus rhamnosus GR-1 and Lactobacillus reuteri RC-14 strains used in commercial products inhibit infectivity of Candida albicans the major cause of VVC [14]. Larsson et. al showed that aggressive treatment of patients with BV with antibiotics combined with lactobacillus administration can provide a long lasting cure[15].

The loss of vaginal lactobacilli appears to be the major factor in the cascade of changes leading to bacterial vaginosis and relapses are associated with failure to establish a healthy lactobacilli dominated vaginal flora.

The mode of action has not been elucidated but might comprise:

- Increased ascension of probiotic and/or indigenous lactobacilli from the rectal skin to the vagina.
- Reduced ascension of pathogens from the rectal skin to the vagina.
- Enhancement of the intestinal mucosal immunity which affects vaginal immunity rendering the environment less receptive to bacterial vaginosis organisms.

In our present study, the lactobacilli score was improved with oral probiotics. Among patients in pretreatment group, 66% of them had <1 organism/100× objective, this showed the shift in vaginal flora, only 2% of them showed count between 5 and 30.

Among post-treatment group 46 % of patients showed >30 organisms/100×. Fifty percent of patients showed counts between 5 and 30 among post-treatment. This shows the improved vaginal flora following treatment with probiotics.

Nine patients (18%) were not relieved of symptoms, even though there was improvement in vaginal flora in 7 of these nonresponders, they were not relieved symptomatically. The cause among these patients were non infective, i.e. chronic cervicitis in 3 patients, diabetes mellitus in 2 patients, CIN-2 and 3 in 2 patients, one patient had Cu-T in situ which had caused recurrent PID, one patient had history of immuno-compromised status which caused recurrent pelvic inflammatory disease.

Therefore only the altered vaginal flora leading to bacterial vaginosis should not be blamed on, as other predisposing factors has to be treated simultaneously.
Although antimicrobial therapy is generally effective in eradicating urogenital infections, there is still a high incidence of recurrence. There is good clinical evidence to show that the intestinal and urogenital microbial flora have a central role in maintaining both the health and well-being of humans.

**Conclusion**

This study clearly showed that combination of probiotic (L. rhamnosus GR-1 and L. fermentum RC-14) is not only secure for daily use in healthy women, but it could decrease colonization of the vagina by potential pathogenic bacteria and yeast.
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