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J Lyn Haskins* ☑, Sifiso A Phakathi, Merridy Grant and Christiane M Horwood ☑

Centre for Rural Health, University of KwaZulu-Natal, Durban, South Africa
*Corresponding author, email: Haskins@ukzn.ac.za

Background: Integration of services in primary health care settings can provide mother/baby pairs with all required services at one visit. This study aimed to evaluate a proof of concept, quality improvement (QI) intervention to strengthen well-child service provision and integration with maternal health services in five rural clinics in KwaZulu-Natal, South Africa.

Methods: Quantitative cross-sectional surveys were conducted among mothers bringing their child for well-child services, before and after implementation of the intervention. Exit interviews and reviews of the child’s Road to Health Booklet (RTHB) were conducted to determine services provided at the visit, and the time spent in the clinic was observed and recorded.

Results: A total of 413 exit interviews and record reviews were conducted (123 at baseline and 290 at follow-up). At follow-up, significantly more mothers were tested for HIV during the well-child visit (9.2% vs. 22.6%; \( p = 0.045 \)) and significantly more mothers received ART (3.7% vs. 35.5%; \( p = 0.010 \)). However, coverage of growth-monitoring services remained low and there was no difference in infant feeding advice provided to mothers at baseline and follow-up (49.5% vs. 49.7%; \( p = 0.996 \)). More mothers interacted with a registered nurse at follow-up than at baseline (35.8% vs. 80.7%; \( p = 0.032 \)).

Conclusions: Over the implementation period of the QI intervention, improvement was shown in coverage and quality of some maternal health and HIV services, but there was no improvement in growth monitoring. This suggests that QI has the potential to improve integration of service delivery, but this was a small study and further research is recommended.
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Introduction

Discourse around integration and the provision of integrated services has gained momentum in health literature, particularly around the integration of prevention of mother-to-child HIV transmission (PMTCT) services with routine services for mothers and children. Integration of services is promoted by policy-makers as an approach to improve the efficiency of the health system, deliver care that is appropriate for patients with complex and varied needs, and improve health outcomes. Integration also improves service provision by avoiding missed opportunities to provide care, making services more user-friendly and easier for patients to navigate, thereby improving patient satisfaction. Policy-makers support integration within health services that brings together services and activities that share common objectives. In South Africa, when patients come to a primary health care (PHC) clinic, health workers should provide the patient with a complete package of preventative, promotive and curative care. While there has been widespread acceptance that integration is important, and provision of integrated services is a core component of primary health care policy, in practice it has been challenging to implement on the ground. In many instances, fragmentation of services continues to lead to missed opportunities to provide comprehensive integrated care.

Maternal, child and women’s health (MCWH) services in South Africa are well established and widely used. Attendance at antenatal clinics is almost universal among pregnant women and well-child services, including immunisation services, are well attended. At the time of the study, 95% of women in South Africa attended facilities for first immunisation at six weeks, and 84.5% children were fully immunised at one year. Linking additional services to an existing, well-functioning immunisation service is possible, and has been shown to lead to rapid uptake of the additional service. An integrated MCWH service at PHC level would aim to provide a comprehensive package of essential preventive and curative services to all mothers and children at a single visit. Effective provision of integrated services would encourage mothers and children to attend regularly for an entire package of care including family planning, HIV care and screening activities, as well as for immunisation and growth monitoring, thus improving service coverage and retention in care. In particular, although successful integration of PMTCT services with antenatal care has led to high coverage of HIV testing and reduction in perinatal HIV transmission, retaining HIV-positive mothers under care during the postnatal period has been sub-optimal, and this could be improved by providing HIV care during routine well-child visits.

Description of the intervention

Prior to the intervention, services were fragmented with many missed opportunities for providing care to mothers and their babies. Partnering with the Department of Health at provincial and district level, we conducted a series of workshops to agree the package of essential services that should be provided to mothers and their babies. To address the missed opportunities, we implemented a multi-pronged, health systems strengthening intervention using a quality improvement approach to support the provision of an integrated package of services for mothers and babies. The quality improvement intervention was conducted over a 12-month period and aimed to improve integration of services by supporting health workers to provide a comprehensive package of MCWH and HIV/PMTCT...
In this paper, we report the evaluation results of this proof-of-concept intervention to investigate whether a quality improvement intervention could improve implementation of integrated MCWH and HIV/PMTCT services in one sub-district in KwaZulu-Natal.

**Research methods and design**

**Research design**
A cross-sectional survey was undertaken at two time points: prior to commencement of the intervention (baseline) and at the end of the intervention period (follow-up). A data collection tool was used to collect information about mothers’ sociodemographic indicators, services the mother and baby received during the visit, and to record the number of health workers seen by the mother, and the time spent with each health worker.

**Study setting**
The study was conducted in one sub-district in KZN.

The sub-district was one of five in a predominantly rural district. The area is characterised by poor infrastructure, subsistence farming and poverty. At the time of the study (2013–2014), HIV prevalence among pregnant women in the district was 37.1% and immunisation coverage showed that 76.5% of children were fully immunised at one year.18

**Study population and sampling strategy**
Five clinics were purposively selected in one sub-district to serve as implementation sites at the request of the KZN DoH because of poor maternal and child health indicators, including quality of growth monitoring, and poor coverage of immunisation, PMTCT, family planning and cervical screening.

No sample size was calculated for this proof-of-concept study. All mothers, aged 18 years and older with a baby under one year, attending the clinic for a well-child visit were eligible to participate. In each clinic at baseline, data were collected for a period of 5 working days and at follow-up for 10 working days and all mothers attending during that period were approached to participate. Duration of data collections was a pragmatic decision made by the researchers and based on additional funding being available post-intervention.

**Data collection**
Mothers who agreed to participate were assigned a study number. Research assistants tracked the mothers throughout their clinic visit to document the number of contacts with different cadres of health workers, the duration of each contact and the services received from each health worker. A structured exit interview was then conducted with the mother and the baby’s Road to Health Book (RTHB) was reviewed. All data were directly entered at the point of data collection on an android tablet device.

**Data analysis**
Data were uploaded to a central server and reviewed for quality and logical inconsistencies. Data were cleaned, coded and analysed using Stata IC version 14 (StataCorp, College Station, TX, USA). Responses to questions on health history as well as demographic information were used to determine what health services were indicated for each mother–baby pair. Frequencies were calculated for categorical variables and means for continuous variables.
Growth monitoring requires a series of stepwise activities to be undertaken by health workers, most of which require completion of the previous step. These include weighing the baby, plotting the weight on the RTHB, providing feedback to the mother on how her baby is growing, asking the mother about how she is feeding her child and providing age-appropriate feeding advice. These steps were taken into consideration during analysis of growth monitoring.

For each of the maternal services, a dichotomous variable was created to indicate whether each service was indicated on that day. The Pearson chi-square test was used to test for significance between categorical variables, and a p-value less than 0.05 was deemed significant.

**Ethical considerations**

Ethical approval was obtained from the Biomedical Ethics Review Committee (BREC) at UKZN (BE198/13). Permission to undertake the study was obtained from the KZN DoH (HRKM 258/13). All participants provided written informed consent.

**Results**

Data were collected at each of the participating clinics between September and October 2013 (baseline), and between September and November 2014 (follow-up). In total, 123 mothers participated at baseline and 290 mothers at follow-up. Demographic characteristics of the mothers at baseline and follow-up were similar and are given in **Table 1**.

**Figure 2** shows the drop-off through the steps in the growth monitoring cascade with fewer than half of mothers receiving feeding advice at both time points. At follow-up significantly fewer babies had their weight recorded in the RTHB; otherwise there was no significant difference in the coverage of growth monitoring activities between baseline and endpoint.

At baseline, most mothers (89/122; 72.4%) did not receive any maternal health services during the well-child visit as recommended in the comprehensive package of care (**Figure 1**), and where mothers did receive services they received only one or two services. However, at follow-up, although 153/289 (58.2%) of mothers did not receive any maternal services, among those who did receive maternal services mothers reported having received up to five different maternal health services (p = 0.047) as shown in **Figure 3**.

There was a significant increase in the provision of TB and STI screening and more services were discussed with mothers between baseline and follow-up. However, despite family planning and PAP smears being discussed with mothers more often, there was no increase in the provision of those services (**Table 2**).

HIV services were provided for mothers according to their HIV status. At baseline 76 mothers reported they were HIV-negative, of whom seven (9.2%) were offered a test on the day of the visit. At follow-up, 177 mothers reported they were HIV-negative, of whom 40/177 (22.6%) were offered an HIV test on the day of the visit, significantly more than at baseline (p = 0.045).

At baseline, 37 mothers reported themselves HIV-positive and 27 (73.0%) were currently taking ART, while at follow-up 97/107 (90.7%) HIV-positive mothers reported taking ART (p = 0.041). At baseline, 1/37 (2.7%) HIV-positive mother had received her ART on the day of the visit, while at follow-up, 38/107 (35.5%) received their ART on the day of the visit, which was a significant increase (p = 0.010).

Of 37 HIV-positive mothers at baseline, 27 were breastfeeding of whom 21 (77.8%) were taking ART, compared with follow-up, where 92/107 HIV-positive mothers were breastfeeding, and 86 (94.4%) were on ART, not significantly more than at baseline (p = 0.051).

At the time of the study, all HIV-exposed infants should have had a PCR test at six weeks. Of 37 HIV-exposed babies at baseline, 36 (97.3%) mothers reported their baby had had a PCR test done; this was similar at follow-up with 104/107 (97.2%) having had a PCR done (p = 0.108).

**Time mothers spent in the clinic**

Mothers spent significantly more time in the clinic at follow-up than at baseline, an increase of 22.6% (p = 0.004), but the time they spent in the consulting room face-to-face with the health worker was similar for baseline (19 minutes) and follow-up (18 minutes) (p = 0.647).

**Cadres of health workers consulted**

Different cadres of health workers provided services to mothers attending well-child services. **Figure 4** shows the health workers

---

**Table 1: Sociodemographic characteristics of mother and baby**

| Characteristic                                      | Baseline n = 123 (%) | Follow-up n = 290 (%) |
|-----------------------------------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|
| **Mothers’ age in years:**                          |                      |                       |
| Median                                             | 25.2                 | 25.0                  |
| IQR                                                | 21–31                | 21–31                 |
| **Child’s age in weeks:**                          |                      |                       |
| Median                                             | 22                   | 15                    |
| IQR                                                | 11–37                | 7–30                  |
| **Child’s gender:**                                |                      |                       |
| Male                                               | 59 (48.0)            | 151 (52.4)            |
| Female                                             | 64 (52.0)            | 136 (47.2)            |
| **Mother living in the same household as the child:** |                      |                       |
| Yes                                                | 123 (100)            | 284 (98.6)            |
| No                                                 | 0 (0)                | 4 (1.4)               |
| **Total number of children under 5 for each mother:** |                      |                       |
| 1 child under 5 years                              | 85* (69.1)           | 207 (71.9)            |
| > 2 children under 5 years                         | 20* (16.3)           | 76 (26.4)             |
| 2 children under 5 years                            | 10* (8.1)            | 5 (1.8)               |
| **Highest school grade the mother completed:**     |                      |                       |
| None                                               | 2 (1.6)              | 0 (0)                 |
| Primary school only                                | 7 (5.7)              | 13 (4.7)              |
| Any high school (Grade 8–12)                       | 113 (92.6)           | 262 (90.3)            |
| Post-school qualification                          | 0 (0)                | 8 (2.5)               |
| Missing                                            | 1 (0.8)              | 5 (1.8)               |
| **Mother currently employed:**                     |                      |                       |
| Yes                                                | 8 (6.5)              | 29 (10.0)             |
| No                                                 | 114 (92.7)           | 259 (89.3)            |
| Missing                                            | 1 (0.8)              | 2 (0.7)               |
| **How did you get to the clinic?**                 |                      |                       |
| Walked                                             | 92 (74.8)            | 218 (75.4)            |
| Taxi                                               | 30 (24.4)            | 54 (18.7)             |
| Other                                              | 1 (0.8)              | 17 (5.9)              |

* Missing data

---
seen by mothers at baseline and follow-up. Compared with mothers at baseline, significantly more mothers at follow-up were seen by a registered nurse on the day of the visit (35.8% vs. 80.7%; \( p = 0.032 \)).

**Discussion**

In this small proof-of-concept study, we showed that health workers were able to improve coverage of MCWH and HIV/PMTCT services for mothers and babies attending well-child clinic visits. HIV service provision improved significantly following this quality improvement intervention. More mothers were able to access maternal health services and more mother–baby pairs were seen by a registered nurse on the day of consultation. However, the intervention did not show any improvement in the core services of growth monitoring and nutrition counselling.

In South Africa malnutrition is a significant cause of morbidity and mortality in children under the age of five\(^{19} \) where 27% of children in the age group are stunted,\(^{20} \) and growth monitoring is the mainstay of well-child services. Our findings highlight that although all children are being weighed, most mothers did not receive any advice on how to feed their baby, therefore an essential opportunity is lost to support optimal nutrition practices, particularly breastfeeding. At baseline most mother–baby pairs were seen only by enrolled nurses and the visit consisted of weighing and immunisation only, consistent with the exploratory work around integration previously described.\(^{10} \) Registered nurses provide oversight for enrolled nurses and, because the proportion of mother–baby pairs seen by a registered nurse increased, there should have been more scope for registered nurses to support enrolled nurses providing growth monitoring. A possible reason for failure to provide the full cascade of growth monitoring services could be due to an increased emphasis on providing additional services to improve integration and uptake of HIV services. Initiatives to provide integrated services should ensure that quality of core services, in this case growth monitoring and immunisation, receive equal focus when adding additional services.

HIV care remains a challenge in South Africa and has been identified as being particularly poor for mothers and babies in the postnatal period.\(^{21-23} \) Policy changes can take time to be implemented, especially if they are to be integrated into already existing services. Structured, data-driven quality improvement methods were successfully used when PMTCT was first introduced into antenatal care, resulting in a decrease in perinatal HIV.\(^{24} \) This study, using similar quality improvement methods, shows a similar trend in improvements when integrating HIV and PMTCT into well-child services. The scope of practice of registered nurses in clinics allows them to prescribe ART, whereas enrolled nurses are unable to

![Figure 2: Provision of growth monitoring services.](image)

![Figure 3: Number of maternal health services received on well-child visit.](image)
provide this service. In order to access a comprehensive range of services all mothers should be seen by a registered nurse. This is likely to be a contributing factor to the significant improvements shown in the number of mothers receiving ART at follow-up. Currently, South African PMTCT guidelines require health workers to provide integrated HIV/PMTCT care to mothers and children attending well-child services, but there is still a long way to go before this becomes routine, especially the continuity of care and provision of ART. Adherence support and early detection of mothers failing to adhere to ART while breastfeeding is essential if we are to prevent increases in perinatal transmission of HIV and the subsequent high mortality among HIV-infected infants.

However, there are still many missed opportunities, and many mothers and babies leave the clinic without essential lifesaving information, confirming the notion that integration of services is difficult to achieve, scale up and sustain. Various studies suggest that integrated healthcare services are more cost-effective than fragmented services due to economies of scope and scale, are time saving for patients, and contribute to the long-term outcome of healthier communities. However, cost benefits of integration depend on the model of integration used and this needs to be tested at scale.

**Strengths and limitations**

This study design was limited to a small number of purposively selected clinics that participated in an intensive quality improvement intervention to improve integration of MCWH, HIV and PMTCT care in well-child clinics, so is too small to generalise the findings widely. In addition, there was no control group so changes cannot be unequivocally attributed to the intervention. However, our findings demonstrate the potential for improved integration of health services in this setting using a QI approach. Scale-up of the intervention should be tested before inferences regarding large-scale effectiveness can be made. The model of integration used in this intervention was resource intensive and may not be easily replicated at scale given the low resource context.

This study did not assess health workers’ perceptions, attitudes and beliefs concerning provision of integrated services. These aspects may influence the practice of providing integrated services and further research into the potential opportunities and barriers to integration of services is needed.

The use of self-reported data relies on subjective recall and may not be a true representation of what has happened. We attempted to limit this by interviewing the mother directly after her clinic visit. Interviews were undertaken in the clinic and participants may have been reluctant to be critical of the services they had received.

**Recommendations**

We suggest ongoing capacity building for all health workers regarding the importance of ensuring they provide the whole cascade of growth-monitoring services at every opportunity, including weighing and measuring the length of infants, plotting these on the RTHB, interpreting the infant’s growth, providing feedback to the mother and giving appropriate nutritional advice on feeding young infants.

**Table 2: Maternal services discussed and received**

| Item                                                                 | Baseline n = 123 | Baseline % | Follow-up n = 290 | Follow-up % | p-value |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------|------------|-------------------|-------------|---------|
| Today were you asked about your own health?                          | Yes              | 21         | 17.1              | 105         | 36.2    | 0.001  |
|                                                                      | No               | 102        | 82.9              | 185         | 63.8    |        |
| Today were you asked if you were coughing (TB screening)?            | Yes              | 2          | 1.6               | 30          | 10.3    | 0.002  |
|                                                                      | No               | 121        | 98.4              | 260         | 89.7    |        |
| Today were asked if you had a discharge or sores in your vaginal area (STI screening)? | Yes | 5 | 4.1 | 44 | 15.2 | 0.001 |
|                                                                      | No               | 118        | 95.9              | 246         | 84.8    |        |
| Today were you asked if you are using family planning?               | Yes              | 14         | 11.4              | 134         | 46.2    | 0.001  |
|                                                                      | No               | 109        | 88.6              | 156         | 53.8    |        |
| Today were you offered family planning?                              | Yes              | 6          | 4.9               | 21          | 7.2     | 0.374  |
|                                                                      | No               | 117        | 95.1              | 269         | 92.8    |        |
| Today did you receive family planning?                               | Yes              | 10         | 8.1               | 27          | 9.3     | 0.701  |
|                                                                      | No               | 113        | 91.9              | 263         | 90.7    |        |
| Today were you offered condoms?                                      | Yes              | 0          | 0                 | 42          | 14.5    | 0.001  |
|                                                                      | No               | 123        | 100               | 248         | 85.5    |        |
| Today did you receive condoms?                                       | Yes              | 0          | 0                 | 44          | 15.2    | 0.001  |
|                                                                      | No               | 123        | 100               | 246         | 84.8    |        |
| Today did anyone talk to you about a PAP smear?                      | Yes              | 40         | 32.5              | 51          | 17.6    | 0.001  |
|                                                                      | No               | 83         | 67.5              | 239         | 82.4    |        |
| Today were you offered a PAP smear?                                  | Yes              | 7          | 5.7               | 17          | 5.9     | 0.9    |
|                                                                      | No               | 116        | 94.3              | 273         | 94.1    |        |
| Have you ever had a PAP smear?                                       | Yes              | 29         | 23.6              | 50          | 17.2    | 0.133  |
|                                                                      | No               | 94         | 76.4              | 240         | 82.8    |        |

*Seven variables contributed to the calculation of the number of maternal services received by each mother: mother asked about her own health today; mother received family planning today; mother had a PAP smear today; mother was screened for TB today; mother was screened for STI today; and mother received HIV care today.

**Figure 4:** Category of health worker providing care for mother–baby pairs.
To ensure it is possible to provide ART and monitor adherence at every opportunity we recommend that, in line with existing DoH policy, all mother/baby pairs are seen by a registered nurse at every well-child visit.

As this study was implemented in a small number of health facilities, we recommend that this methodology for integration of MCWH and HIV services should be adapted in line with the lessons learned in this study, and further evaluated to determine whether this approach could be effectively implemented at scale.

**Conclusion**
Integration has the potential to improve service delivery and quality of patient care, and our findings suggest that a QI intervention can successfully improve coverage of services. However, further modifications to the approach are required to address the challenges and shortfalls identified in our study, and these approaches should be rigorously evaluated. There is a need for large-scale interventions to evaluate the effectiveness of different models of integration.
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