Simvastatin and Atorvastatin inhibit DNA replication licensing factor MCM7 and effectively suppress RB-deficient tumors growth
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Loss of dysfunction of tumor suppressor retinoblastoma (RB) is a common feature in various tumors, and contributes to cancer cell stemness and drug resistance to cancer therapy. However, the strategy to suppress or eliminate RB-deficient tumor cells remains unclear. In the present study, we accidentally found that reduction of DNA replication licensing factor MCM7 induced more apoptosis in RB-deficient tumor cells than in control tumor cells. Moreover, after a drug screening and further studies, we demonstrated that statin drug Simvastatin and Atorvastatin were able to inhibit MCM7 and RB expressions. Further study showed that Simvastatin and Atorvastatin induced more chromosome breaks and gaps of RB-deficient tumor cells than control tumor cells. In vivo results showed that Simvastatin and Atorvastatin significantly suppressed Rb-deficient tumor growth than control in xenograft mouse models. The present work demonstrates that ‘old’ lipid-lowering drugs statins are novel weapons against RB-deficient tumors due to their effects on suppressing MCM7 protein levels.
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Retinoblastoma (RB) gene, a well-studied tumor suppressor, plays important roles in cell-cycle regulation and other cellular processes.1–3 Loss or dysfunction of RB is a common feature in various tumors, and contributes to tumor cell stemness and drug resistance.4,5 Therefore, it is urgent to explore a way to suppress RB-deficient tumor cells.

We accidentally found that acute depletion of minichromosome maintenance protein 7 (MCM7), a DNA replication licensing factor, could induce more apoptosis in RB-deficient tumor cells than in control cells. Therefore, MCM7 might be an ideal target for suppressing RB-deficient tumor cell growth. MCM7 is one component of MCM2-7 hexamer (MCMs). The MCM2-7 complex forms the core of the DNA helicase and is responsible for melting and unwinding the double helix during DNA synthesis.6–8 Recent studies have demonstrated that the chromatin-bound excess MCM complex plays an important role in maintaining genomic integrity under conditions of replicative stress in human cells, and that acute ablation of MCMs induces chromosome fragility in cells.9–11 DNA replication licensing factor MCM2-7 proteins are highly expressed in various clinical tumor tissues.12–16 Reduction of MCMs causes tumor cells to become sensitive to chemotherapy drugs;11,17 thus, excess MCMs in tumor cells might serve as a shield to resist antitumor chemotherapy. Remarkably, depletion or mutation of a single MCM in mammalian cells by siRNA-mediated approaches results in suppression of all functional MCMs due to the hexameric dependency of the MCM complex for helicase activity,9,11,18,19 and cells might own a sensing mechanism that maintains equal MCM subunit stoichiometry.20,21

In the present study, we demonstrated that reduction of MCM7 induces much more γ-H2AX expression and apoptosis in RB deficient or inactive tumor cells than in RB-proficient tumor cells. Small molecular drug screening and further experiments demonstrated that Simvastatin (SVA) and Atorvastatin (ARO) could suppress MCM7 protein expression effectively. SVA and ARO are members of statins, well known as small-molecule inhibitors of 3-hydroxy-3-methylglutaryl coenzyme A (HMG-CoA) reductase that can lower cholesterol. Numerous studies, employing a variety of tumor cells, have demonstrated their antitumor effects.22–24 Nevertheless, the mechanisms for these antitumor effects are still not well characterized.

The aim of this study is to verify whether MCMs is a target for anti-RB-deficient tumor therapy, and to further clarify the antitumor effect and potential mechanism of the statin drugs.

Results

Acute depletion of MCM7 induces γ-H2AX expression and apoptosis in RB-deficient or inactive tumor cells. Numerous studies have shown that DNA replication licensing factors are overexpressed in various tumor cells and clinical
Acute depletion of MCM7 expression induced γ-H2AX expression and apoptosis in RB inactive or deficient tumor cells. (a) RB expression in human osteosarcoma cell lines U2OS/SaOS2 and human cervical cancer cell lines SiHa/C33A. (b) SiHa cells and C33A cells were transfected with siMCM7 or sicontrol for 72 h. The resulting cells were analyzed by immunoblotting with antibodies MCM7, RB and γ-H2AX. (c) U2OS cells and SiHa cells were transfected with siMCM7 or sicontrol for 24 h, then infected with HPV E7 adenovirus or control adenovirus to deplete RB expression for 48 h. The resulting cells were analyzed by immunoblotting with antibodies MCM7, RB or γ-H2AX. (d) SaOS2 cells and C33A cells were transfected with siMCM7 and sicontrol for 24 h, then infected with Adpsm-RB adenovirus or control adenovirus to activate RB for 48 h. The resulting cells were analyzed as described above. (e) U2OS cells, SiHa cells, SaOS2 cells and C33A cells were treated as above. The resulting cells were then incubated with annexin V-FITC and PI, then examined by FACS. (g and h) Proteins involved in DNA damage repair were analyzed by western blotting. U2OS, SiHa, SaOS2 and C33A cells were treated with siRNA and adenovirus as described above. Equal protein loading was evaluated by β-actin. Data were represented as mean ± S.D of the three independent expressions.
MCM7, a potential target of statins for tumor therapy
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Therefore, excess MCMs in tumor cells might serve as a shield to protect tumor cells against antitumor chemotherapy. Studies have also shown that depletion of MCM7 results in disruption of whole MCMs hexamer complex.9,11,18,19 To test the role of MCMs in maintaining cell chromosomal integrity, the RB expression levels of U2OS/SaOS2/SiHa/C33A cancer cells were detected (Figure 1a). Then, MCM7 was silenced in SiHa and C33A cells, and DNA double-strand break (DSB) marker protein γ-H2AX was detected. As shown in Figures 1b, significant reduction of MCM7 expression was achieved, and depletion of MCM7 led to a reduction in RB protein levels. This result is fully expected based on our published work: in MCM7-depleted cells, there is reduced replication licensing leading to attenuation of several cell-cycle-regulated genes, including RB whose expression is transcriptionally induced in G1.26 Interestingly, γ-H2AX was also detectable in RB-deficient C33A cells after MCM7 knockdown. These results indicated that RB status may be important for the DNA DSBs after MCM7 depletion. To further investigate the effect of MCM7 depletion in treatment of RB-proficient and RB-deficient tumors, AdE7 adenoviruses, which express human papillomavirus (HPV) E7 oncoprotein and degrade RB protein,27–29 was used to infect RB-proficient U2OS and SiHa cells; and AdPSM-RB adenoviruses, which express an active allele of RB protein,30 was used to restore active RB in RB-deficient SaOS2 and C33A cells. In this study, the active RB allele PSM is a truncation mutant that contains the pocket domain but is smaller than full-length RB protein. PSM-RB lacks epitopes that are recognized by most commercial RB antibodies, including the antibodies used in our study. Therefore, as a surrogate for measuring RB activity in AdPSM-RB-infected cells, we examined expression of Cyclin E (a repressed transcriptional target of RB – see Figures 1c and d). We also confirmed expression of PSM-RB mRNA (using RT-PCR) in AdPSM-RB-infected cells (Supplementary Figure S1b). As shown in Figures 1c and d, MCM7 depletion resulted in stronger expression of γ-H2AX after HPV E7 adenovirus infection in SiHa and U2OS cells, and MCM7 depletion induced a weaker expression of γ-H2AX in PSM-RB adenovirus-infected C33A and SaOS2 cells. Then, MCM7 and RB were silenced using siRNA in SiHa and U2OS cells and the effects of MCM7/RB-ablation on γ-H2AX were determined. As shown in Supplementary Figure S1a, co-depletion of MCM7 and RB led to increased γ-H2AX, thereby fully recapitulating the effects of HPV E7 on γ-H2AX when MCM7 is depleted. These results strengthen our conclusion that RB allows cells to tolerate reduced MCM7 expression.

γ-H2AX is known as a sensor for DNA DSBs, and apoptosis is one of the results of DNA DSBs.31,32 Thus, we asked whether depletion of MCM7 gave rise to more apoptosis in RB-deficient tumor cells. The FACS results showed that MCM7 depletion resulted in more apoptosis in HPV E7 adenovirus-infected U2OS and SiHa cells (Figure 1e), and that MCM7 depletion led to a larger apoptotic population in SaOS2 and C33A RB-deficient tumor cells (Figure 1f).

DNA DSBs trigger DNA damage response (DDR) checkpoint to repair the damaged DNA, and cell apoptosis is one of the outcomes of DDR response.33–35 Here, we asked whether RB status is crucial for activation of DDR checkpoints. RB inactive (AdE7 infected) and deficient tumor cells showed elevated p-ATM, p-ATR, p-Chk1, p-Chk2 and p-p53 expressions, and that PSM-RB restored C33A and SaOS2 cells showed weaker expression of those proteins, and that total DNA damage checkpoint proteins were not changed (Figures 1g, h, Supplementary Figures S1c and d). Taken together, our results showed that RB inactive or deficient tumor cells were more sensitive to acute MCM7 depletion, and that acute MCM depletion induced stronger DDR response in RB-deficient tumor cells than in control tumor cells.

SVA or ARO inhibits MCM7 and RB protein expressions and induces apoptosis in Hep3B cells. Based on the above observation, to further explore which small molecular drug(s) can inhibit MCM7 protein expression, a small molecular drug screening was carried out and SVA showed the strongest inhibition effect on MCM7 protein (Figure 2a). To identify which statins were more effective to inhibit MCM7 expression, we screened five clinically used statins and identified their capacities for inhibiting MCM7 expression. We found that SVA and ARO exhibited more inhibitory effect on MCM7 protein expression than the other statins. Moreover, we found that SVA and ARO could effectively reduce both the MCM7 and RB protein expressions (Figure 2b).

To determine the effects of SVA or ARO on cell-cycle progression, Hep3B cells were treated with different concentrations of SVA or ARO. The percentages of the G2/M phase cells were reduced, and that the percentages of apoptotic cells in the sub-G1 phase were significantly increased at 72 h following the treatment (Figure 2c). Our experiments showed that expressions of MCM7, RB, p-RB and S-phase proteins CDC45 and PCNA were reduced while expressions of G1 phase inhibitors p21 and p27 proteins were increased after SVA or ARO treatment (Figure 2d).

Antiapoptotic protein Bcl2 was reduced, apoptosis protein cleaved-caspase-3 increased and DSB sensor protein γ-H2AX, which is an apoptosis-related protein,31 increased 72 h after SVA or ARO treatment (Figure 2e). Immunofluorescence assay showed the presence of γ-H2AX after SVA or ARO treatment (Figure 2f). These data suggest that SVA or ARO decreased G2/M phase population and induced apoptosis.

SVA suppresses MCM7 and RB protein expressions via activating endoplasmic reticulum stress and autophagy signaling pathway. To investigate the mechanism(s) by which SVA led to reduced expression of MCM7 and RB, the mRNA expression levels of MCM2, MCM4, MCM7 and RB were analyzed. Interestingly, the mRNA expression levels of all licensing factors and RB increased after SVA treatment (Figure 3a), while the protein expression levels of MCM7 and RB decreased after SVA treatment (Figure 3b). Our results showed that reduction of MCM7 and RB protein after SVA treatment was not due to the reduced transcription activity.

We next investigated whether MCM7 and RB were degraded by ubiquitin proteasome system (UPS). Our experiments showed that MG132 did not recover the SVA-reduced MCM7 and RB protein expression levels (Figure 3c). These data indicated that reduction of MCM7 and RB proteins after SVA treatment were not due to UPS activation.
Figure 2  Statins inhibited the expressions of MCM7 and RB and induced apoptosis and DNA damage. (a) A small molecular drug screening was carried out and SVA showed strongest inhibition effect on MCM7 protein. (b) MCM7 and RB expressions were inhibited after Hep3B cells were treated for 24 or 72 h with 5 or 10 μM Simvastatin, 20 and 40 μM Atorvastatin, 50 and 100 μM Fluvastatin, 10 and 20 μM Lovastatin, and 10 and 20 μM Pravastatin. (c) Hep3B cells were treated with Simvastatin (10 μM), Atorvastatin (40 μM) and Pravastatin (20 μM) for 72 h, harvested and incubated with PI, and then analyzed by FACS. Statistical analysis showed differences in the G0/G1 phase and the sub-diploid peak between drug-treated cells and control cells. (d) Hep3B cells were treated with Simvastatin (5 or 10 μM) or Atorvastatin (20 or 40 μM) for 24 or 72 h, and cell extracts were analyzed by immunoblotting. The expression of MCM7, RB, p-RB, cyclin D1, PCNA and CDC45 were reduced and the expressions of p21 and p27 were increased. (e) Hep3B cells were treated as above; cell extracts were analyzed by immunoblotting with Bcl2, γ-H2AX, PARP or cleaved-caspase3 antibodies. (f) Hep3B cells were treated with 10 μM Simvastatin or 40 μM Atorvastatin for 72 h, then incubated with γ-H2AX antibody and imaged by laser confocal microscopy (scale bars, 10 μm)
Reportedly, statins can activate AMPK, endoplasmic reticulum (ER) stress and autophagy signaling pathway, and inhibit the mTOR pathway. We tested whether serum starvation-induced autophagy affected MCM7 and RB expression. After serum starvation, MCM7 and RB mRNA expressions were significantly increased, while their protein expressions showed no change (Figures 3d and e). Then, we determined whether SVA activated ER stress and autophagy signaling pathway. We found that p-AMPK, p-PERK, p-eIF2α and LC3A/B were increased and p70S6 was decreased after SVA treatment (Figures 3f, g and i). We also found that compound C (AMPK inhibitor) and GSK2606414 (PERK inhibitor) effectively reversed the MCM7 and RB protein expression (Figures 3h and j). Taken together, our results...
indicate that SVA inhibited MCM7 and RB protein expressions by activating ER stress and the autophagy signaling pathway.

**SVA inhibits tumor proliferation and sensitizes RB-deficient or inactive tumor cells.** To further demonstrate the broad inhibitory effect of SVA on different tumor cells, the RB and p-RB protein expression of paired U2OS and SaOS2 human osteosarcoma cells, SiHa and C33A human cervical cancer cells, and LNCaP and Du145 human prostate cancer cells were examined. RB proteins were not detectable or weakly expressed in SaOS2, C33A and Du145 cells, in contrast with their paired U2OS, SiHa and LNCaP cells (Figure 4a). Then the paired cancer cells were treated with different dosages of SVA. Cells that survived were harvested and counted at different time points (Figure 4b). We found that RB-deficient cells were more sensitive to SVA than RB-proficient cells (Figure 4c). Apoptosis proteins cleaved-PARP and cleaved-caspase-3 were detectable after 72 h SVA treatment, and apoptosis inhibitory protein Bcl2 were decreased after SVA treatment for 72 h (Figure 4d). Flow cytometry experiments also showed that the pre-G1 apoptotic cell populations were significantly increased in RB-deficient C33A and Du145 cells (Supplementary Figures S2a–c).

Taken together, our results demonstrated that SVA could inhibit proliferation of various tumor cells, and that RB-deficient cells were more sensitive to the SVA treatment than RB-proficient cells.

**SVA increases chromosome instability in RB-deficient cells.** We investigated the possible mechanism(s) by which SVA induced apoptosis in RB-proficient and RB-deficient cells. Chemical cytotoxicity is usually associated with endogenously generated reactive oxygen species (ROS), but we found that ROS was not detected after SVA treatment (Supplementary Figure S3). It suggested that SVA exerted apoptotic effects were not induced by the increase in oxidative stress.

Then we detected γ-H2AX expression in RB-proficient and RB-deficient cells. The result showed detectable γ-H2AX in SaOS2 and C33A cells, but no γ-H2AX in U2OS and SiHa cells after SVA treatment (Figure 5a). After SVA treatment, RB-depleted U2OS cells showed a significantly increased Pre-G1 apoptotic cell population, and γ-H2AX was detectable in RB-depleted U2OS cells, but barely detected in control cells (Figures 5b–e).

Since SVA induced the expression of γ-H2AX in RB-depleted tumor cells, we asked whether SVA could activate DDR response. After SVA treatment, DDR checkpoint proteins (p-ATM, p-CHK2 and p-p53) were increased at an earlier time points in SaOS2 and C33A cells than in U2OS and SiHa cells. Seventy-two hours after SVA treatment, those active DDR checkpoint proteins were significantly impaired in SaOS2 and C33A cells, compared with those in U2OS and SiHa cells (Figure 5f).

Ibarra and others11 have reported that cells with reduced backup MCMs are sensitive to replicative stress and display chromosome instability after treatment with DNA replication inhibitor aphidicolin. Therefore, we asked whether SVA could induce chromosome instability and whether RB status was related to chromosome instability. We found that RB-deficient SaOS2 cells displayed more breaks and gaps than U2OS after SVA treatment (Figure 5g).

Taken together, compared with RB-proficient cells, RB-deficient cells showed highly increased chromosome instability and significantly impaired DDR checkpoint proteins 72 h after SVA treatment, which suggests that irreparable chromosome damage might be a cause of cell apoptosis.

**RB-deficient tumors were more sensitive to SVA than RB-proficient tumors in vivo.** To investigate whether SVA can inhibit tumor growth in vivo, mouse melanoma B16 cells were subcutaneously injected into C57 mice, and mouse breast carcinoma 4T1 cells were injected into the fat pad of BalB/C mice. When tumors grew to the size of 4 mm × 4 mm, mice were treated with water or SVA (60 mg/kg/day) via taking gavage. B16 and 4T1 tumors were significantly reduced after SVA treatment (Figures 6a–c and Supplementary Figures S4a–c). Immunohistochemistry showed that MCM7-positive and RB expression were reduced after SVA treatment (Figures 6d, e and Supplementary Figure S4d).

Next, we determined whether RB-deficient tumor was more sensitive to SVA than RB-proficient tumor in vivo. SiHa human cervical cancer cells were infected with control adenovirus and HPV E7 adenovirus. Forty-eight hours after the infection, adenovirus-infected cells were subcutaneously injected into nude mice. The growth of AdE7-infected SiHa tumor was significantly decreased after SVA treatment, and the inhibitory effects were dosage-dependent (Figures 6f–h). Immunohistochemistry showed that MCM7 and RB proteins were reduced in AdE7-infected tumor cells after SVA treatment. These experiments showed that MCM7 and RB were reduced in AdE7-infected tumor cells after SVA treatment. These experiments showed that MCM7 and RB were more sensitive to SVA than RB-proficient tumor in vivo (Figures 6i and j).

Taken together, the present study demonstrated that statin drugs such as SVA could effectively inhibit MCM7 and RB via activation of ER stress and autophagy signaling cascade, and that reduction of MCM7 and RB induced more chromosome breaks or gaps and further gave rise to apoptosis in RB-deficient tumor cells (Figure 6k).

**Discussion**

In the present study, we reported for the first time that reduction of licensing factor MCM7 induced more γ-H2AX expression and apoptosis in RB inactive or deficient tumor cells than in RB-proficient tumor cells. Various reports have shown that DNA replication licensing factors, such as CDC6, CDT1 and MCMs, are overexpressed in different clinical tumor samples and tumor cell lines.12–14 Excess MCM proteins protect human cells from replication stress,9,11 so tumor cells owning excess MCMs may act as a ‘shield’ against chemical drugs which induce replication stress. Reduction of licensing factor MCMs has been considered a potential strategy to disarm the tumor cells’ ability to resist against replication stress-inducible chemotherapy drugs.15 In this study, we found that RB status was essential to this effect of MCMs reduction. RB, a gatekeeper gene of the G1 phase, is phosphorylated when Pre-RC properly assembles and allows cells to enter the S-phase for DNA replication. RB monitors the assembly of
Our finding will provide a potential strategy for RB-deficient tumor therapy since loss or inactivation of RB is a common feature of more than half of human tumors. Interestingly, we found that MCM7 depletion resulted in stronger expression of $\gamma$-H2AX in HPV E7 adenovirus-infected SiHa and U2OS cells than in control adenovirus-infected cells. As is well known, high-risk HPVs (HPV16, 18, etc.) are important risk factors in various tumors; most cases of cervical cancer are associated with HPV infections, such as HPV16 and HPV 18 infections. Therefore, the results of our study also identify MCM7 as a potential therapeutic target not only in RB-deficient tumors but also in HPV-expressing malignancies, thereby broadening the significance of our findings.

Figure 4

RB-deficient or inactive tumor cells were more sensitive to Simvastatin than RB-proficient cells. (a) The expression status of RB in different cells. Extracts of U2OS/SaOS2/SiHa/C33A/LNCaP/Du145 cells were analyzed by immunoblotting with MCM7 or RB antibodies. (b) The cell survival rates of U2OS, SaOS2, SiHa, C33A, LNCaP and Du145 cells after treatment with Simvastatin for 24, 48 or 72 h. The viable cells were harvested and counted three times for each treatment. (c) U2OS and SaOS2 cells were treated with 5 $\mu$M Simvastatin for 72 h, incubated with annexin V-FITC and PI, and then examined by FACS. (d) U2OS/SaOS2 and SiHa/C33A were treated with Simvastatin (2.5 or 5 $\mu$M) for 24 or 72 h, and then cell extracts were analyzed by immunoblotting with apoptosis-related antibodies.
Statins can also inhibit tumor growth via different mechanisms, such as inhibition of angiogenesis or inflammation. Our results showed that SVA or ARO displayed a stronger effect on inhibiting MCM7 expression in various tumor cells. Interestingly, SVA or ARO not only effectively reduced the MCM7 protein expression in various tumor cells, but also effectively reduced the RB protein expression. Moreover, we also observed herein that RB-deficient or inactive tumor cells displayed higher expression of γ-H2AX and higher sensitivity to SVA treatment than RB-proficient tumor cells. Our finding will broaden the application of SVA or ARO to RB-deficient tumor therapy.
Several reports have shown that various RB-deficient tumors are drug resistant.\(^\text{4,5,51}\) For instance, tamoxifen (TAM)-resistant breast cancer cells display stem cell-like traits and loss of RB function.\(^\text{4,5}\) Statins can re-sensitize those TAM-resistant breast cancer cells to chemo-drugs.\(^\text{52,53}\) Our work present here may provide a clue for exploring the mechanisms by which statins inhibit proliferation of TAM-resistant breast cancer cells or other chemo-drug-resistant tumor cells in the process of tumor therapy.

Ibarra and co-workers have reported that depletion of licensing factor MCMs induces chromosome break and gap.\(^\text{11}\) As DNA damage or chromosome instability is a common cause of cell-cycle arrest and apoptosis, one of the earliest events in DNA damage is the initiation of histone H2AX phosphorylation on Ser139 to generate γ-H2AX, which forms nuclear foci and recruits DNA repair factors.\(^\text{54,55}\) Our results showed that SVA and ARO treatment resulted higher γ-H2AX expression in RB-deficient tumor cells than in RB-proficient tumor cells. We also found that RB-deficient SaOS2 cells displayed more breaks and gaps than RB-proficient U2OS cells after SVA treatment. Since DNA damage initiates DDR response in cells and DDR inhibition triggers apoptosis signaling cascade, we examined the expression of DDR response checkpoint proteins at different time points after SVA treatment. Our results demonstrated that SVA or ARO inhibited DDR checkpoint proteins activation at later time points in RB-deficient tumor cells than in RB-proficient tumor cells. SVA or ARO also induced more chromosome breaks or gaps in RB-deficient tumor cells than in RB-proficient tumor cells. Evidence indicates that tumor development is associated with perturbed DDR repair pathways. Defects in one DDR repair pathway can be compensated by other pathways, which may contribute to resistance to DNA damage chemotherapy and radiotherapy. Therefore, DDR pathways make an ideal target for therapeutic intervention.\(^\text{33,35,55,56}\) Our results demonstrated that SVA or ARO effectively inhibited activities of RB and other DDR checkpoint proteins in various tumor cells 72 h after treatments. These results support the point of view that DDR pathways are ideal targets for tumor therapy.

In vivo results showed that SVA effectively reduced the size and weight of xenograft tumors and inhibited MCM7 and RB protein expressions in mice. What is more, although the mice remained healthy after treatment with high-dosage SVA (60 mg/day/kg in mice amount to about 5.4 mg/kg/day in human), a dosage much higher than what is used for patients, whether high-dosage SVA can effectively inhibit tumor development in clinic should be investigated. This finding also provided evidence for the potential of statins in tumor treatment.

Although previous reports have shown that MCMs serve as potential targets for tumor therapy, an important problem should be pointed out: partial suppression of MCMs function can give rise to increased genomic instability and DNA damage.\(^\text{11,17}\) MCM4 knockout mice display genomic instability and mice with sustained, partially defective MCMs function display increased cancer risk.\(^\text{57-60}\) We provide here that SVA or ARO reduces MCM7 and RB protein expressions, induces chromosome instability and gives rise to apoptosis in various tumor cells. Statins have been used almost four decades and have been proved safe. No evidence shows that statins result in tumor; instead randomized controlled clinical trials indicate that statins have unexpected benefits of reducing tumors.\(^\text{43-45}\) To our knowledge, this is the first report showing that: (1) RB-deficient or inactive tumor cells are more sensitive to MCMs reduction; (2) statin drug SVA or ARO inhibits the protein expression of licensing factor MCM7 and RB via activating ER and autophagy signaling pathway; (3) SVA or ARO induces a higher apoptosis rate in RB-deficient cells than in RB-proficient cells; (4) SVA or ARO triggers DDR checkpoint cascade at earlier time point and induces more chromosome breaks or gaps in RB-deficient tumor cells than in RB-proficient tumor cells. These findings suggest that statin drugs, such as SVA and ARO, may be potential anti-RB-deficient tumor drugs, and may provide new insights for application of ‘old’ lipid-lowering statin drugs to tumor therapy.

Materials and Methods

Cell culture and treatments. SiHa and C33A human cervical cancer cells, HeLa/B human liver cancer cells and 4T1 mouse mammary gland cells were cultured in high-glucose DMEM (HyClone, Logan, UT, USA) and 10% fetal bovine serum (Gibco, Grand Island, NY, USA). SaOS2 human osteosarcoma cells were cultured in McCoy’s 5a medium (Hyclon) and 5% fetal bovine serum (Gibco). U2OS human osteosarcoma cells were cultured in RPMI-1640 medium (HyClone) and 10% fetal bovine serum (Gibco). SiHa, SaOS2 and C33A cells were cultured in McCoy’s 5a medium and 15% fetal bovine serum. All cell lines purchased from Shanghai Institute of Biochemistry and Cell Biology, Chinese Academy of Sciences (Shanghai, China).

Cell lines were authenticated by short-tandem repeat analysis by the cell bank. For siRNA (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA), cells were transfected using Lipofectamine 2000 (Invitrogen) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Target sequences of oligonucleotides used were as follows: Control (Non-Targeting), 5′-GUU UCU UCG GUA CGU ACU U-3′; MCM7-1, 5′-AUC GGA UUG UGA AGA UGA A-3′; MCM7-2, 5′-GUU UCG GUA CGU ACU U-3′; 5′-ACG UGA CCU GGA AGA A-3′; MCM7-3, 5′-AUC GGA UUG UGA AGA UGA A-3′; MCM7-4, 5′-AUC GGA UUG UGA AGA UGA A-3′; MCM7-5, 5′-AUC GGA UUG UGA AGA UGA A-3′. For transfection, 2.5, 5 or 10 μM Simvastatin for 72 h, and then treated with 5 μM Simvastatin for 24 or 72 h; then cells extracts were analyzed by immunoblotting with p-ATM, p-ATR, p-CHK1 or p-CHK2 antibodies. (g) Simvastatin induced more DNA damage in RB deficient or inactive tumor cells. U2OS and SaOS2 cells were treated with 5 μM Simvastatin for 72 h. Chromosomal instability was examined as described and chromosome gaps (white boxes) and breaks (black boxes) were scored.

Figure 5  Simvastatin was more likely to induce chromosome instability and γ-H2AX expression in RB deficient or inactive tumor cells than in RB-proficient cells. (a) Simvastatin induced γ-H2AX expression in RB deficient or inactive tumor cells. U2OS, SaOS2, SiHa and C33A cells were treated with 5 μM Simvastatin for 72 h, and then analyzed by immunofluorescence staining with γ-H2AX antibody. (b) U2OS cells were transfected with siRB-1 and siRB-2 for 48 h to deplete RB. Then cells were treated with 5 μM Simvastatin for 72 h, incubated with PI and analyzed with FACs. The result was compared with that of control cells, statistical analysis of the difference in sub-diploid peak between drug-treated cells and control cells. (c) U2OS cells were depleted of RB by siRB and treated with Simvastatin (2.5, 5 or 10 μM) for 72 h. The resulting cells were analyzed by immunofluorescence staining or immunoblotting with γ-H2AX antibody. (d) U2OS, SaOS2, SiHa and C33A cells were treated with 2.5 or 5 μM Simvastatin for 24 or 72 h; then cells extracts were analyzed by immunoblotting with p-ATM, p-ATR, p-CHK1 or p-CHK2 antibodies. (g) Simvastatin induced more DNA damage in RB deficient or inactive tumor cells. U2OS and SaOS2 cells were treated with 5 μM Simvastatin for 72 h.
was dissolved in ethanol. Fluvastatin and Pravastatin were dissolved in double distilled H₂O.

**Immunoblot analysis.** Protein extracts were prepared from cell cultures. For immunoblotting analysis, protein extracts were separated on 6–12% SDS-PAGE gels and transferred onto PVDF membrane (Millipore, Bedford, MA, USA). The membrane reacted with primary anti-MCM7, anti-p27, anti-Bcl2 (Santa Cruz, CA, USA), anti-γ-H2AX (Millipore) and the other antibodies (Cell Signaling Technology, Beverly, MA, USA). Proteins were visualized by chemiluminescence reagent (Cell Signaling).

**Real-time PCR.** mRNA expression was analyzed using SYBR Green (TaKaRa, Dalian, China). Quantitative PCR analyses were performed with the Bio-Rad CFX96TM Real-Time PCR detection system and analyzed using the CFX™ manager 3.0 (BioRad, CA, USA). The PCR mixtures were prepared, and the
Flow cytometry. For cell-cycle analysis, cells were fixed in 70% ethanol for at least 1 h at 4 °C. Then cells were washed and resuspended in 1 ml PBS containing 8 μg RNAse A and 50 μg propidium iodide. The cell suspensions were incubated in the dark at room temperature for 30 min prior to FACS analysis. Flow cytometry was performed to remove unbound antibody and each well was blocked with 0.05% skim milk powder solution; (e) TMB solution, 1 ml 10 mg/ml TMB and 1 μl 33% H2O2; and (f) stop solution: 2 M H2SO4. Washes were repeated and 100 μl of the ELISA plate was coated with MCM7 capture antibody (SC-9966) in coating buffer at 4 °C overnight. Three washes with washing buffer were performed to remove unbound antibody and each well was blocked with 0.05% skim milk powder and incubated at 37 °C for 30 min. The plate was then washed three times and 100 μl of TMB solution was added to each well and incubated at 37 °C for 15 min. The reaction was then terminated by the addition of the absorbance values at 450 nm were measured.

Immunofluorescence. Cells were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde and permeabilized with 0.1% Triton-X100. Anti-mouse and anti-rabbit Alexa Fluor 488 secondary antibodies were from Invitrogen. Images were taken by a Leica TCS S2P II Microscope (Leica, Wetzlar, Germany).

Immunohistochemistry staining. Tissues were fixed in 10% neutralized formaldehyde for 24 h and embedded in paraffin. Primary antibodies anti-MCM7 and anti-RB (1:50 dilution) were applied overnight at 4 °C, followed by a secondary antibody for 30 min at room temperature. Images were taken by a Leica SCN400 slide scanner (Leica).

Chromosomal instability assays. Cells were treated with 0.5% μg/ml colchicine for 4 h at 37 °C before fixation. To prepare metaphase chromosome spreads, cells were resuspended in 0.56 M KCl, incubated for 25 min at 37 °C, centrifuged and resuspended in fixation solution (3:1 vol/vol methanol/acetic acid) for 5 min at 37 °C. Cells were dropped onto microscope slides and fixed for 2 h at 65 °C. Images were captured with a chromosome karyotype analyzer (ZEISS, Oberkochen, Germany).

ELISA buffers and antigen preparation. The ELISA buffers used regularly include (a) coating buffer, 50 mM carbonate buffer (pH 9.6); 1.59 g Na2CO3, 2.93 g NaHCO3 in 1 l distilled water; (b) dilution buffer, 10 mM PBS (pH = 7.4); 2.9 g NaH2PO4·12H2O, 8 g NaCl, 0.2 g KCl and 0.2 g MgCl2, in 1 l distilled water; (c) washing buffer (PBST), 10 mM PBS (pH 7.4) containing 0.05% (v/v) Tween 20; (d) double blocking buffer, 10 mM PBS (pH 7.4) containing 0.05% (v/v) BSA and 0.05% (v/v) skim milk powder solution; (e) TMB solution, 1 ml sodium citrate buffer (pH 3.6) containing 10 μl 10 mg/ml TMB and 1 μl 30% (v/v) H2O2; and (f) stop solution: 2 M H2SO4. HEP3B was cultured in high-glucose DMEM medium supplemented with 10% FSB and 88 compounds of Selleck Customized Library as complete medium for 72 h. Cells were washed in PBS and scraped and whole-cell lysates were prepared and centrifuged at 12 000 r.p.m. for 10 min at 4 °C.

Immunohistochemical staining with MCM7 or RB antibodies. The deficiency of MCM7 and RB protein induces chromosome instability, and gives rise to apoptosis in various tumor cells. The statistics of MCM7-positive cells and RB-positive cells between control and drug-treated group. The expression level of MCM7 and RB in tumor tissues was evaluated 10 days after treatment and tumor volumes (mm3) were recorded every 2 days. The statistics of MCM7-positive cells and RB-positive cells between control and drug-treated group. (k) Schematic model. Stains suppress MCM7 and RB protein expressions via activating ER stress and autophagy signaling pathway. The deficiency of MCM7 and RB protein induces chromosome instability, and gives rise to apoptosis in various tumor cells. The expression level of MCM7 and RB in tumor tissues was evaluated 10 days after treatment and tumor volumes (mm3) were recorded every 2 days. The statistics of MCM7-positive cells and RB-positive cells between control and drug-treated group. (k) Schematic model. Stains suppress MCM7 and RB protein expressions via activating ER stress and autophagy signaling pathway. The deficiency of MCM7 and RB protein induces chromosome instability, and gives rise to apoptosis in various tumor cells.

Detection of MCM7 by double antibody sandwich ELISA. The microcrops of the ELISA plate were coated with MCM7 capture antibody (SC-9966) in coating buffer at 4 °C overnight. Three washes with washing buffer were performed to remove unbound antibody and each well was blocked with 0.05% skim milk powder and incubated at 37 °C for 30 min. The plate was then washed three times and 100 μl of TMB solution was added to each well and incubated at 37 °C for 15 min. The reaction was then terminated by the addition of the absorbance values at 450 nm were measured.

Statistical analysis. Each experiment was repeated at least three times. Results are expressed as mean± S.D. or S.E.M. as indicated. Statistical analysis was performed using GraphPad Prism 5 and presented in the following manners: *P< 0.05, **P<0.01 and ***P<0.001.
6. Fragkos KJ, Gainer D, Coulombe P, Mechali M. DNA replication origin activation in space and time. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol 2015; 16: 360–374.
7. Bell SP, Dutta A. DNA replication in eukaryotic cells. Annu Rev Biochem 2002; 71: 333–374.
8. Takahashi TS, Wiegley DB, Walter JC. Pumps, parasites and phloemgrasses: mechanism of the MCM 2-7 DNA helicase. Trends Biochem Sci 2005; 30: 437–444.
9. Woodward AM, Gohler T, Luciani MG, Dehmlmann M, Ga X, Gartner A et al. Excess Mcm2-7 license dormant origins of replication that can be used under conditions of replicative stress. J Cell Biol 2006; 173: 673–683.
10. GE XQ, Jackson DA, Blow JJ. Dormant origins licensed by excess Mcm2-7 are required for human cells to survive replicative stress. Genome Dev 2007; 21: 3301–3304.
11. Ibara A, Schwind E, Mendez J. Excess MCM proteins protect human cells from replicative stress by licensing backup origins of replication. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2008; 105: 8956–8961.
12. Gonzalez MA, Tachibana KE, Laskey RA, Coleman N. Control of DNA replication and its potential clinical exploitation. Nat Rev Cancer 2005; 5: 135–141.
13. Jackson AP, Laskey RA, Coleman N. Replication proteins and human disease. Cell 2005; 121: 1291–1299.
14. Dudderidge TJ, Stoeber K, Loddo M, Atkinson G, Fanshawe T, Griffiths DF et al. RB1 in cancer: different mechanisms of RB1 inactivation and alterations of pRb pathway in tumorigenesis. Exp Biol Med 2005; 230: 2712–2719.
15. Di Fiore R, D'Anneo A, Tesoriere G, Vento R. RB1 in cancer biology. J Biol Chem 2006; 281: 22493–22502.
16. Hamel PA, Gill RM, Phillips RA, Gallie BL. Inactivation and alterations of pRb pathway in tumorigenesis. Mol Cancer Res 2000; 6: 1052–1063.
17. Bell SP, Dutta A. DNA replication in eukaryotic cells. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol 2001; 2: 127–136.
18. Kang YH, Galal WC, Farina A, Tappin I, Hurwitz J. Properties of the human Cdc45/Mcm2-7/GINS helicase complex and its action with DNA polymerase epsilon in rolling circle DNA synthesis. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2012; 109: 6542–6547.
19. Labib K, Terreno JA, Diffley JE. Uninterrupted MCM2-7 function required for DNA replication and elimination of subtelomural heteromas in rats. J Neurosci 2014; 34: 95–96.
20. Chuang CH, Wallace MD, Abratte C, Southard T, Schimenti JC. Incremental genetic perturbations to MCM2-7 expression and subcellular distribution reveal exquisite sensitivity of mice to DNA replication stress. Exp Biol Med 2006; 231: 200–215.
21. Sadeghi MM, Collinge M, Pardi R, Bender JR. Simvastatin modulates cytokine-mediated NF-kappaB in vitro and potentiates antitumor activity of doxorubicin in murine melanoma via an apoptosis dependent mechanism. J Cancer Res Ther 2009; 5: 241–247.
22. Duddridge TJ, Stoeber K, Loddo M, Atkinson G, Fanshawe T, Griffiths DF et al. RB1 in cancer: different mechanisms of RB1 inactivation and alterations of pRb pathway in tumorigenesis. Exp Biol Med 2005; 230: 2712–2719.
23. Liu SJ, Lee I, Lee J, Park C, Kang WK. Statins 3-hydroxy-3-methylglutaryl coenzyme A reductase inhibitors, potentiate the anti-angiogenic effects of bevacizumab by suppressing angiopoietin-2, and Hsp90alpha in human colorectal cancer. Br J Cancer 2011; 114: 497–505.
24. Attaway SJ,感人. Tumor suppressor p53 in human cancer biology. Cell Death and Disease 2011; 2: 941–948.
25. Kudinov R, Rusiniak ME, Kudia A, Freeland A, Godfrey J, Prutt SC. DNA damage response and repair mechanisms as targets for tumor therapy. Carcinogenesis 2013; 34: 1244–1252.
26. Armitage J. The safety of statins in clinical practice. Lancet 2007; 371: 1781–1790.
27. Law M, Rudnicka AR. Statin safety: a systematic review. Am J Cardiol 2006; 97: 52–60.
28. Hamel PA, Gill RM, Phillips RA, Gallie BL. Regions controlling hyperphosphorylation and the protease Calpain to degrade the retinoblastoma protein. J Biol Chem 2007; 282: 23493–23499.
29. Ertel A, Dean JL, Rui H, Liu C, Warden ME, Knudsen KE et al. RB-pathway disruption in human cancers as an indication of lethal DNA lesions. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2005; 102: 1305–1310.
30. Roos WP, Thomas AD, Kaina B. DNA damage and the balance between survival and death in cancer biology. Nat Rev Cancer 2016; 16: 20–33.
31. Al-Ejeh F, Kumar R, Wiegman A, Lakhani SR, Brown MP, Khanna KK. Harnessing the complexity of DNA-damage response pathways to improve cancer treatment outcomes. Oncogene 2010; 29: 6085–6098.
32. Heikelay T, Petermann E, Lundin C, Hodgson B, Sharma RA. DNA repair pathways as targets for cancer therapy. Nat Rev Cancer 2008; 8: 193–204.
33. Sun W, Lee TS, Zhu M, Gu C, Wang Y, Zhu Y et al. Statins activate AMP-activated protein kinase in vitro and in vivo. Circulation 2006; 114: 2655–2662.
34. Ma L, Niknejad N, Gorn-Hondermann I, Dayekh K, Dimitroulakis J. Lovastatin induces multiple stress pathways including LKB1/AMPK activation that regulate its cytotoxic effects in squamous cell carcinoma cells. PLoS ONE 2012; 7: e46655.
35. Chen JC, Wu ML, Huang KC, Lin WW, HMGA2 reductase inhibitors activate the unfolded protein response and induce cytotoxic protease GRP78 expression. Cardiovasc Res 2008; 80: 159–165.
36. Yang PM, Liu YL, Lin YC, Shu CT, Wu MS, Chen CC. Inhibition of autophagy enhances anticancer effects of oxaliplatin in digestive malignancies. Cancer Res 2010; 70: 7699–7709.
37. Roudier E, Mistola O, Stenius U. Statins induce mammalian target of rapamycin (mTOR)-mediated inhibition of Akt signaling and sensitizes p53-deficient cells to cytostatic drugs. Mol Cancer Ther 2006; 5: 2765–2771.
38. Muñoz N, Bosch FX. The causal link between HPV and cervical cancer and its implications for prevention of cervical cancer. Bull Pan Am Health Organ 1996; 30: 362–377.
39. Zhai K, Ding J, SHI HZ. HPV and lung cancer risk: a meta-analysis. J Clin Virot 2013; 55: 83–90.
40. Betteridge DJ, Carmena R. The diabetogenic action of statins-mechanisms and clinical implications. Nat Rev Endocrinol 2016; 12: 99–110.
41. Collison EA, Kicser C, Wu M, De A, Gambhir SS, Merajver SD et al. Atorvastatin prevents HspCp supression, invasion, and metastasis in human melanoma cells. Mol Cancer Ther 2014; 3: 2186–2192.
42. Poynter JN, Gruber SB, Higgins PD, Bonecipher R. A potential target of statins for tumor therapy. Mol Cancer Res 2005; 3: 300–302.
43. Ertel A, Dean JL, Rui H, Liu C, Warden ME, Knudsen KE et al. RB-pathway disruption in breast cancer: differential association with disease subtypes, disease-specific prognosis and therapeutic response. Cell Cycle 2010; 9: 4153–4163.
44. Armitage J. The safety of statins in clinical practice. Lancet 2007; 371: 1781–1790.
45. Law M, Rudnicka AR. Statin safety: a systematic review. Am J Cardiol 2006; 97: 52–60.
46. Demierre MF, Higgins PD, Gruber SB, Etwick J, Lippman SM. Statins and cancer prevention. Nat Rev Cancer 2006; 6: 930–932.
47. Ertel A, Dean JL, Rui H, Liu C, Warden ME, Knudsen KE et al. RB-pathway disruption in breast cancer: differential association with disease subtypes, disease-specific prognosis and therapeutic response. Cell Cycle 2010; 9: 4153–4163.
48. Armitage J. The safety of statins in clinical practice. Lancet 2007; 371: 1781–1790.
49. Law M, Rudnicka AR. Statin safety: a systematic review. Am J Cardiol 2006; 97: 52–60.
50. Demierre MF, Higgins PD, Gruber SB, Etwick J, Lippman SM. Statins and cancer prevention. Nat Rev Cancer 2006; 6: 930–932.