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Postoperative Refractive Prediction Error Measured by Optical and Acoustic Biometry after Phacovitrectomy for Rhegmatogenous Retinal Detachment without Macular Involvement
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Introduction. The aim of this study was to investigate the postoperative prediction error measured by optical biometry and acoustic biometry in eyes after phacovitrectomy for rhegmatogenous retinal detachment (RRD) with no macular involvement.

Methods. Forty-nine eyes of 49 patients (32 male, 17 female; mean age 62.6 ± 7.5 years) with RRD without macular involvement who underwent phacovitrectomy (RRD group) and 49 eyes of 33 patients (21 male, 12 female; mean age 74.1 ± 7.1 years) without macular disease who underwent cataract surgery (control group) were included in this retrospective comparative study. The difference between the preoperative predictive value and the postoperative refractive value was measured both by optical and acoustic biometry and compared in each group.

Results. The postoperative refractive error calculated by acoustic biometry was −0.81 ± 0.75 D and that calculated by optic biometry was −0.44 ± 0.77 D in the RRD group. The postoperative refractive error calculated by acoustic biometry was −0.21 ± 0.64 D and that calculated by optic biometry was 0.27 ± 0.71 D in the control group. Significant myopic shifts were observed in the RRD group using both acoustic biometry and optic biometry but not in the control group.

Conclusion. Phacovitrectomy for RRD with no macular involvement resulted in a significant myopic shift when compared with cataract surgery alone in patients without macular disease when calculated by both acoustic biometry and optic biometry.

1. Introduction

Rhegmatogenous retinal detachment (RRD) and cataract are often operated on simultaneously because development of cataract after vitrectomy is common [1]. Moreover, pars plana vitrectomy for RRD is more difficult to perform in phakic eyes [2–5]. Therefore, in Europe and Asia, it is common to perform phacovitrectomy for RRD [3–5]. However, a myopic shift after phacovitrectomy has been reported in patients with vitreomacular disorders, such as epiretinal membrane (ERM), macular hole (MH), and RRD [6–10].

Myopic shift has been observed when the macula is not attached in eyes with RRD because of errors in the measurement of axial length [10]. However, myopic shift of refractive error has also been reported after phacovitrectomy in eyes with ERM and in those with MH, in which the measurement of axial length is not considered to be difficult [6, 7, 9, 11]. Gas tamponade during anterior intraocular lens (IOL) replacement has been identified as a cause of myopic shift in eyes with MH [9]. Axial length measured by optic biometry is not accurate in patients with poor fixation because of deviation in the visual axis [12]. Because RRD without macular involvement should have a good fixation, axial length of those cases can be measured accurately.

There are no reports of myopic shift in eyes with RRD and no macular involvement when measurements are obtained by optic biometry and acoustic biometry. In this
study, we investigated the prediction error in eyes with RRD without macular involvement measured by both acoustic biometry and optic biometry.

2. Materials and Methods

This retrospective, observational comparative study included 49 eyes of 49 patients (32 males, 17 females; mean age 62.6 ± 7.5 years) with RRD and no macular involvement who underwent phacovitrectomy (RRD group) and 49 eyes of 33 patients (21 males, 12 females; mean age 74.1 ± 7.1 years) without macular disease who underwent cataract surgery (control group) at the Toho University Sakura Medical Center between April 2015 and May 2018.

The study protocol was approved by the ethics committee at the Toho University Sakura Medical Center (approval number S18083) and adhered to the tenets of the Declaration of Helsinki. Written informed consent was obtained from all subjects after the study design and risks/benefits of participation were explained via the Toho University Sakura Medical Center website in accordance with the guidelines for clinical research set out by the Japanese Ministry of Health, Labour and Welfare.

Patients who underwent phacovitrectomy with segmental scleral buckling and encircling and those whose IOL was fixed on the anterior capsule because of rupture of the posterior capsule were excluded. Patients in whom measurements could not be obtained by both acoustic biometry and optic biometry and whose axial length was >26 mm or <22 mm were also excluded, as were those who had undergone intraocular surgery or had a history of trauma.

Axial length was measured by both acoustic biometry ultrasonography (UD-6000; Tomey Corporation, Nagoya, Japan) and optic biometry (IOLMaster; Carl Zeiss, Oberkochen, Germany, and Jena, Germany). The examinations included manifest refraction and autokeratometry (K) using an RK-F1 keratometer (Canon Inc., Kanagawa, Japan).

Anterior chamber depth (ACD) was measured using optic biometry. RRD without macular involvement was confirmed by fundus examination and optical coherence tomography. Postoperative refractive outcomes were compared with the predicted refractive value measured by both optic biometry and acoustic biometry. Postoperative refraction was measured at least 1 month after vitrectomy.

2.1. Cataract Surgery. The surgical procedure was performed under sub-Tenon local anesthesia using 2% lidocaine. All patients underwent phacoemulsification and aspiration (via a 2.8 mm clear corneal incision at the superior limbus) and posterior capsular implantation of an acrylic foldable IOL.

2.2. RRD Surgery. The surgical procedure was performed under retrobulbar local anesthesia using a combination of 2% lidocaine and 0.75% ropivacaine. All patients underwent phacoemulsification and aspiration (via a 2.8 mm corneoscleral incision) and posterior capsular IOL implantation with an acrylic foldable IOL. A standard pars plana vitrectomy was performed using a 25-gauge system (Constellation; Alcon, Inc., Fort Worth, TX) in all cases. Sclerotomies were placed 3.5 mm from the limbus. Core vitrectomy was performed, and, if not already present, a posterior vitreous detachment was induced after staining the vitreous cortex with triamcinolone acetonide. The posterior vitreous detachment was induced to the vitreous base as far as possible, followed by vitreous shaving with scleral indentation. Any untreated retinal holes or tears and lattice degeneration were treated by endolaser photocoagulation followed by gas tamponade using 20% SF6 or X-70 (Santen, Osaka, Japan; A-constant: 118.9 for ultrasonography and 119.4 for the IOLMaster) was used in 24 eyes (49.0%) with RRD and in 4 eyes (8.2%) with no macular disease. XY-1 (Hoya, Tokyo, Japan; A-constant: 118.9 for ultrasonography and 119.2 for the IOLMaster) was used in 24 eyes (49.0%) with RRD. AN6K (Kowa, Tokyo, Japan; A-constant: 118.9 for ultrasonography and 119.0 for the IOLMaster) was used in one eye (2.0%) with RRD. SN60WF (Alcon, Inc., Fort Worth, TX, USA; A-constant: 118.7 for ultrasonography and 119.0 for the IOLMaster) was used in 45 eyes (91.8%) without macular disease. The SRK/T formula was used to calculate the IOL power [13].

2.3. Statistical Analysis. All statistical analyses were performed using Statcel software (OMS Publishing Inc., Saitama, Japan). Student’s t-test was used for between-group comparison of the refractive error. The Mann–Whitney U test was used to compare between-group differences in the axial length, ACD, and K-average. The chi-squared test was used to investigate any sex-related differences. A P value <0.05 was considered statistically significant.

3. Results

The mean axial length in eyes with RRD was 24.32 ± 0.90 mm when measured by optic biometry and 24.05 ± 0.89 mm when measured by acoustic biometry; the difference was statistically significant (P < 0.01, Mann–Whitney U test). The mean axial length in the control group was 23.65 ± 0.86 mm when measured by optic biometry and 23.36 ± 0.85 mm when measured by acoustic biometry; this difference was also significant (P < 0.01, Mann–Whitney U test; Table 1).

The mean postoperative refractive error was −0.81 ± 0.75 D measured by acoustic biometry and −0.44 ± 0.77 D by optic biometry in the RRD group and −0.21 ± 0.64 D by acoustic biometry and 0.27 ± 0.71 D by optic biometry, respectively, in the control group (Table 2).

Using axial measurements, a significantly greater myopic shift was recorded by acoustic biometry than by optical biometry in the RRD group (P < 0.05, paired t-test; Table 3). Similarly, significantly greater myopic shifts were recorded by acoustic biometry than by optical biometry in the control group (P < 0.05, paired t-test; Table 3). Significant myopic shifts were observed in the RRD group using acoustic biometry and optic biometry when compared with the control group (P < 0.05, Student’s t-test; Table 4).
4. Discussion

Refraction after cataract surgery is presently predicted by partial coherence laser interferometry and ultrasonographic acoustic biometry. Optic biometry is a noncontact device that takes measurements down to the retinal pigment epithelium layer and uses a fixation beam that assists with measurements along the visual axis, whereas the axial length obtained by acoustic biometry is from the signal of the internal limiting membrane. Optic biometry is reportedly more accurate than acoustic biometry in eyes with cataract [12]. Therefore, we compared the axial length measured in eyes with RRD, rhegmatogenous retinal detachment.

Recently, in Europe and Asia, phacovitrectomy has been performed in several types of vitreoretinal disease because it is common for cataracts to develop after vitrectomy, and pars plana vitrectomy is more difficult to perform in a phakic eye [1–5]. In general, phacovitrectomy has been performed in patients who are expected to have a good visual prognosis; thus, precise evaluation of the refractive error is a critical issue.

There have been several reports of myopic shift after vitrectomy from measurements recorded by using optic biometry and acoustic biometry [6–10]. Kovacs et al. suggested that myopic shift results from underestimation of the axial length on acoustic biometry ultrasonography because of the thicker macula in eyes with ERM [6]. In contrast, Manvikar et al. [8] reported that there was no tendency for a myopic shift in IOL power estimation using optic biometry, while Falkner-Radler et al. [7] reported that optic biometry detected a myopic change after combined phacovitrectomy despite the IOL power calculation. Higher myopic shifts after vitrectomy with gas tamponade have been reported in eyes with MH than in eyes with ERM [9]. However, in eyes with poor visual acuity, the axial length cannot be measured accurately because of poor fixation, which leads to deviation of the visual axis [12]. Therefore, we compared the axial length measured in eyes with RRD, nonmacular involvement, and good fixation with that measured in eyes with good visual acuity.

The measurements of myopic shift in the RRD group obtained by optic biometry were more accurate than those obtained by acoustic biometry. A measurement error of 100 μm results in a postoperative error of 0.28 D [14]. In this study, the mean axial length in the eyes with RRD was

| Table 1: Patient demographic and clinical characteristics. |
|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Males           | RRD group       | Control group   | P value         |
|                 | 32              | 21              | 0.548           |
| Females         | 17              | 12              |                 |
| Age (years)     | 62.6 ± 7.5 (48–82) | 74.1 ± 7.1 (57–85) | P < 0.001 |
|                 |                 |                 |                 |
| Axial length (mm), optical biometry | 24.32 ± 0.90 (22.76–25.99) | 23.65 ± 0.86 (22.26–25.77) | 0.00026 |
|                 |                 |                 |                 |
| Axial length (mm), acoustic biometry | 24.05 ± 0.89 (22.58–25.75) | 23.36 ± 0.85 (22.03–25.77) | 0.000114 |
| K-average (Dpt) | 43.67 ± 1.36 (41.19–47.38) | 44.48 ± 1.37 (41.54–47.05) | 0.002276 |
| ACD (mm)        | 3.42 ± 0.33 (2.57–4.09) | 3.03 ± 0.42 (2.26–4.08) | P < 0.001 |

Note. Data are expressed as mean ± standard deviation and range. The proportion of male patients was analyzed by the chi-squared test. The values for age, axial length, K-average, and ACD were analyzed by the Mann–Whitney U test. ACD, anterior chamber depth; RRD, rhegmatogenous retinal detachment.

| Table 2: Comparison of refractive prediction error between the RRD group and the control group. |
|-------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Actual postoperative refraction | Predictive refraction | Refraction error |
| RRD group                     | Optic biometry  | Acoustic biometry | Optic biometry  | Acoustic biometry |
| −1.50 ± 1.72                  | −1.06 ± 1.40    | −0.68 ± 1.51     | −0.44 ± 0.77    | −0.81 ± 0.75     |
| Control group                 | −0.39 ± 1.12    | −0.67 ± 0.90     | −0.18 ± 0.86    | 0.27 ± 0.71      | −0.21 ± 0.64     |

Note. Data are expressed as mean ± standard deviation. RRD, rhegmatogenous retinal detachment.

| Table 3: Comparison of predicted refraction error measured by acoustic biometry and optic biometry. |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Refractive error (optic biometry)                   | Refractive error (acoustic biometry) | P value | Difference | 95% CI |
| RRD group                                           | −0.44 ± 0.77                     | −0.81 ± 0.75 | < 0.0001 | 0.37     | 0.22–0.51 |
| Control group                                       | 0.27 ± 0.71                      | −0.21 ± 0.64 | < 0.0001 | 0.48     | 0.32–0.65 |

Note. Data are expressed as mean ± standard deviation. The values for refractive error were analyzed using the paired t-test. CI, confidence interval; RRD, rhegmatogenous retinal detachment.

| Table 4: Comparison of predicted refraction error measured by acoustic biometry and optic biometry between the RRD and control groups. |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Refractive error (optic biometry)                   | Refractive error (acoustic biometry) | P value | Difference | 95% CI |
| RRD group                                           | −0.44 ± 0.77                     | 0.27 ± 0.71 | < 0.001 | −0.71   | −0.41 to −1.01 |
| Control group                                       | −0.81 ± 0.75                     | −0.21 ± 0.64 | < 0.001 | −0.60   | −0.32 to −0.88 |

Note. Data are expressed as mean ± standard deviation. The values for refractive error were analyzed using Student’s t-test. CI, confidence interval; RRD, rhegmatogenous retinal detachment.
In the present study, the myopic shift in the eyes of the RRD group was longer than that in the control group. A longer axial length has been reported as a cause of postoperative prediction error [11]. Further studies in which axial length is matched to that in the control group are needed. Studies of IOL positioning have reported that the IOL moves slightly forward during the first postoperative week but that this shift is neutralized by a slight backward movement within 3 months [21]. Therefore, our follow-up duration of 1 month may have been too short, and a smaller refractive error may be seen during the longer-term follow-up. Further studies that include a longer duration of follow-up are needed. Another shortcoming of this study is that we did not examine the difference in the K value between before and after vitrectomy. Falkner-Radler et al. speculated that the myopic shift in their combined surgery group calculated by optic biometry might result from the postoperative K2 value being significantly higher than the baseline K2 value [7]. A further study is needed to investigate the difference in the K value between before and after vitrectomy. Finally, the preoperative error in axial length measurement of 0.3 mm results in a 0.75 D difference in the IOL power calculation, which is clinically significant [22]. Finally, axial length after vitrectomy was not measured in our study. Again, further research is required to determine the change in axial length after vitrectomy, given that several studies have suggested that myopic shift might reflect a postoperative increase in axial length caused by scleral thinning or stretching around the sclerotomy site after vitrectomy [7, 10, 23, 24].

5. Conclusions
Phacovitrectomy for RRD without macular involvement resulted in a significantly greater myopic shift on both acoustic biometry and optic biometry than that after cataract surgery alone in patients without macular disease. Measurements obtained using optic biometry were more accurate than those obtained using acoustic biometry in eyes with RRD and no macular involvement.
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