Diagrammatic Approach for the High-Temperature Regime of Quantum Hall Transitions
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We use a general diagrammatic formalism based on a local conductivity approach to compute electronic transport in continuous media with long-range disorder, in the absence of quantum interference effects. The method allows us then to investigate the interplay of dissipative processes and random drifting of electronic trajectories in the high-temperature regime of quantum Hall transitions. We obtain that the longitudinal conductance $\sigma_{xx}$ scales with an exponent $\kappa = 0.767 \pm 0.002$ in agreement with the value $\kappa = 10/13$ conjectured from analogies to classical percolation. We also derive a microscopic expression for the temperature-dependent peak value of $\sigma_{xx}$, useful to extract $\kappa$ from experiments.

Introduction. – The geometric concept of percolation is ubiquitous to electronic transport in strongly disordered media [1], in both the classical and quantum realm. Indeed, building on earlier studies in the context of metallic alloys and granular materials [2], recent advances have extended percolation ideas to the description of quantum phases in low-dimensional electron gases, ranging from metal/insulator transitions at low magnetic field to the high magnetic field regime associated to the quantum Hall effect [3–5]. Despite this very seductive geometrical analogy, difficulties arise for a microscopic description of transport because the electrical current does not just propagate on simple geometrical objects, such as the bulk or the boundaries of a percolation network. In fact, in a dissipative system the current density always spreads along extended structures, so that fractality of the transport network may be smeared in realistic situations [6]. While fully numerical simulations of transport models can account for such complexity [3, 5], they bring finite size effects that give limitations for quantitative description of transport. For instance, an important question for metrological purposes [7] is the precise understanding of the accuracy of Hall conductance quantization, where percolation is known to play a role, both from theoretical grounds [6, 8, 9] and from local density of states [10] and transport measurements [11–13].

Our goal in this Letter is to show that percolation features of transport in continuous disordered media can be captured analytically by a diagrammatic approach, starting from local Ohm’s law:

$$j(r) = \hat{\sigma}(r)E(r),$$

with $j$ the local current density and $E$ the local electrical field. This introduces $\hat{\sigma}(r)$ the local conductivity tensor, a spatially-dependent quantity due to inhomogeneities, that naturally encodes altogether dissipation, disorder and confinement [6, 14, 15]. The local conductivity model is expected to be accurate at high enough temperatures whenever phase-breaking processes, such as electron-phonon scattering, occur on length scales that are shorter than the typical variations of disorder. However, quantum mechanics may still be important to determine microscopically the quantitative behavior of the local conductivity tensor [16, 17]. The main difficulty thus lies in solving the continuity equation $\nabla \cdot j = 0$ in the presence of long-range random inhomogeneities in the sample, see Fig. 1.

General formalism. – Our starting point follows early ideas proposed by several authors [18, 19], where effective conductivity approaches were developed based on a local conductivity tensor $\hat{\sigma}(r)$. We consider the general situation of an arbitrary and continuous distribution of conductivity in a macroscopic $d$-dimensional sample of volume $V$, bounded by a surface $S$. The experimentally accessible quantity is the average current density $\langle j \rangle = \hat{\sigma}_{\text{eff}}E_0$ which is driven by applying a constant electric field $E_0$ at the boundary of the sample. This defines a position-independent effective conductivity tensor $\hat{\sigma}_{\text{eff}}$, which is nothing but the macroscopic conductance tensor, up to a geometrical prefactor. Following Ref. 19, we decompose (arbitrarily at this stage) $\hat{\sigma}(r) = \sigma_0 + \delta\hat{\sigma}(r)$ into uniform and fluctuating parts, re-
spectively. By expressing the electrical field by its scalar potential \( E(\mathbf{r}) = -\nabla \Phi(\mathbf{r}) \), the continuity equation leads to the boundary value problem:

\[
\nabla \cdot [\sigma_0 \nabla \Phi(\mathbf{r})] = -\nabla \cdot [\delta \sigma(\mathbf{r}) \nabla \Phi(\mathbf{r})] \text{ in } V
\]

\[
\Phi(\mathbf{r}) \equiv \Phi_0(\mathbf{r}) = -E_0 \cdot \mathbf{r} \text{ on } S.
\]

By introducing the Green’s function \( G(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}') \) defined by

\[
\nabla \cdot [\sigma_0 \nabla G(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}')] = -\delta(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}') \text{ in } V
\]

\[
G(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}') = 0 \text{ for } \mathbf{r} \text{ on } S,
\]

the scalar potential is formally given by

\[
\Phi(\mathbf{r}) = \Phi_0(\mathbf{r}) + \int_V d^d r' \nabla \cdot [\nabla G(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}') \delta \sigma(\mathbf{r}') E(\mathbf{r}')] \tag{6}
\]

with the short-hand notation \( \nabla' = \nabla_{\mathbf{r}'} \). Integrating by parts with \( \nabla' G(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}') = -\nabla G(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}') \) and taking the gradient on both sides of Eq. (6) leads to

\[
E(\mathbf{r}) = E_0 + \int_V d^d r' \nabla \cdot [\nabla G(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}') \delta \sigma(\mathbf{r}') E(\mathbf{r}')] \tag{7}
\]

\[
= E_0 + \int_V d^d r' \left[ G_0(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}') \delta \sigma(\mathbf{r}') E(\mathbf{r}') \right], \tag{8}
\]

where \( \left[ G_0 \right]_{ij} = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} G(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}') \). Finally, multiplying Eq. (8) by \( \delta \sigma(\mathbf{r}) \) and introducing a new local tensor \( \tilde{\chi} \) such that \( \delta \sigma(\mathbf{r}) E(\mathbf{r}) = \tilde{\chi}(\mathbf{r}) E_0 \), we obtain:

\[
\tilde{\chi}(\mathbf{r}) E_0 = \delta \sigma(\mathbf{r}) E_0 + \delta \sigma(\mathbf{r}) \int_V d^d r' \left[ G_0(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}') \tilde{\chi}(\mathbf{r}') E_0 \right]. \tag{9}
\]

As Eq. (9) is valid for all possible choices of \( E_0 \), the following tensorial equation also holds:

\[
\tilde{\chi}(\mathbf{r}) = \delta \sigma(\mathbf{r}) + \delta \sigma(\mathbf{r}) \int_V d^d r' \left[ G_0(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}') \tilde{\chi}(\mathbf{r}') \right]. \tag{10}
\]

Spatial averaging of the current \( j(\mathbf{r}) = [\delta_0 + \tilde{\chi}(\mathbf{r})] E_0 \) over conductivity fluctuations \( \delta \sigma(\mathbf{r}) \) leads therefore to the effective conductivity \( \tilde{\sigma}_{\text{eff}} = \delta_0 + \langle \tilde{\chi} \rangle \), where the spatial average on \( \tilde{\chi} \) is performed while enforcing the integral equation (10). Although sample boundaries could be considered in principle, we now focus on an infinite sample, so that the Green’s function [Eq. (4)] becomes translation-invariant:

\[
G(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}') = \int d^d p \frac{e^{i p \cdot (\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}')}}{(2\pi)^d p^2 p_0 p + 0^+}, \tag{11}
\]

where \( 0^+ \) is a small positive quantity which ensures the correct boundary condition at infinity [Eq. (5)].

**Systematic expansion at strong-dissipation.**—Previous works either considered a mean-field solution of Eq. (10) in the peculiar case of binary randomness in the local conductivity tensor [19], or computed low order contributions for continuous disorder distribution [18, 20]. Our aim is to present a systematic expansion controlled by weak fluctuations of the conductivity and to show that the nonperturbative regime of large conductivity fluctuations can be tackled by sufficient knowledge of the perturbative series. The spatial average on \( \tilde{\chi}(\mathbf{r}) \) can be obtained clearly after iterating Eq. (10) to all orders:

\[
\langle \tilde{\chi}(\mathbf{r}) \rangle = \langle \delta \sigma(\mathbf{r}) \rangle + \int d^d r_1 \langle \delta \sigma(\mathbf{r}) \tilde{G}_0(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}_1) \delta \sigma(\mathbf{r}_1) \rangle \tag{12}
\]

\[
+ \int d^d r_1 d^d r_2 \langle \delta \sigma(\mathbf{r}) \tilde{G}_0(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}_1) \delta \sigma(\mathbf{r}_1) \tilde{G}_0(\mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_2) \delta \sigma(\mathbf{r}_2) \rangle + \ldots
\]

which can be expressed graphically as in Fig. 2. For in-

\[
\tilde{G}_0(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}_1) = \int \frac{d^d p}{(2\pi)^d p^2 p_0 p + 0^+} \langle \delta \sigma(\mathbf{r}) \delta \sigma(\mathbf{r}_1) \rangle = \sigma_0 - \langle \delta \sigma^2 \rangle
\]

**FIG. 2.** Graphical representation of the strong-dissipation expansion (12) of the self-consistent transport equation (10).

coherent transport, self-averaging occurs and the spatial average over the local conductivity fluctuations may be replaced by an ensemble average.

Let us first illustrate the method for a purely resistive and isotropic medium, so that \( \sigma_0 = \sigma_0 1 \) and \( \delta \sigma(\mathbf{r}) = \delta \sigma(\mathbf{r}) 1 \), with \( \langle \delta \sigma(\mathbf{r}) \rangle = 0 \). In the limit of strong-dissipation compared to the typical fluctuations of conductivity \( \sigma_0 \gg \langle \delta \sigma^2 \rangle \), we get \( \tilde{\sigma}_{\text{eff}} = \sigma_{xx} \hat{1} \) with

\[
\sigma_{xx} = \sigma_0 - \frac{1}{\sigma_0} \int d^d r \int d^d p \left\{ p^2 \frac{e^{i p \cdot (\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}_1)}}{(2\pi)^d p^2 p_0 p + 0^+} \langle \delta \sigma(\mathbf{r}) \delta \sigma(\mathbf{r}_1) \rangle \right\}
\]

\[
= \sigma_0 - \frac{1}{\sigma_0} \int d^d r \frac{\delta \sigma(\mathbf{r})}{d} \langle \delta \sigma(\mathbf{r}) \delta \sigma(\mathbf{r}_1) \rangle = \sigma_0 - \frac{\langle \delta \sigma^2 \rangle}{\sigma_0}
\]

We thus recover previous results [20] obtained for weakly disordered media, which predict a reduction of the macroscopic conductance due to randomly distributed resistive barriers. Clearly, nontrivial geometrical aspects are absent at this order, because the dominant background of conductivity \( \sigma_0 \) prevents the percolating network to establish. This general formulation of transport [Eq. (12)] is immediately appealing because arbitrary orders of the strong-dissipation expansion can be generated in a compact fashion, fostering hope that the difficult limit of large conductivity fluctuations can be tackled by standard resummation methods.

**Simplification for Gaussian randomness.**—Under some microscopic assumptions, the conductivity tensor may follow a random Gaussian distribution, according to \( \langle \delta \sigma(\mathbf{r}) \rangle = 0 \) and \( \langle \delta \sigma_{ij}(\mathbf{r}) \delta \sigma_{kl}(\mathbf{r}') \rangle = C_{ijkl}(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}') \), so that all moments of the local conductivity tensor are determined from Wick’s theorem (in particular, all odd correlations vanish here). This hypothesis leads to a familiar-looking diagrammatic formulation for the
strong-dissipation expansion, as shown in Fig. 3. An important technical point is that all particle reducible graphs (diagrams that can be split in two parts by cutting a single line of $\mathcal{G}_0$) are identically zero. This is because all such contributions contain the zero momentum limit of the Green's function $[\mathcal{G}_0]_{ij}(p) = -p_i p_j G(p)$ which vanishes at zero momentum according to Eq. (11) (note the crucial role of the regularization parameter). Interestingly, the conductance correction $\langle \chi \rangle$ now takes the precise form of a self-energy, in contrast to a fully quantum formulation of electronic transport [21] where spatial modulations of the electron density brought by diagonal fluctuations of the conductivity correspond to lines of Hall conductivity. Indeed, from Maxwell's equations follow from Hall's law and propagate along constant $\mathbf{E}$-values 

\[\mathcal{E}_\parallel \mathbf{j} = 0\] 

where vertex corrections associated to interference effects need necessarily pass through saddle-points of the disordered landscape, where the transport equation becomes undetermined. Thus having finite $\sigma_0$ is required to establish a finite conductance in the sample, by connecting the different nearly localized states. This difficulty has led authors [6] to wonder whether purely geometric arguments are sufficient to understand the transport properties at small but finite dissipation, because the current carrying states become broad filaments that may smear the fractal structure of the percolation cluster. This question is now investigated in a controlled fashion.

At high temperature, the Hall conductivity fluctuations given by Eq. (14) follow the Gaussian distribution of disorder [22]. We also consider for simplicity Gaussian spatial correlations $\langle \delta \sigma(\mathbf{r})\delta \sigma(\mathbf{r}') \rangle = \langle \delta \sigma^2 \rangle e^{-|\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}'|^2/\xi^2}$, with correlation length $\xi$. Inspection of the diagrammatic series depicted in Fig. 3 shows that the effective conductivity obeys the following expansion:

\[
\hat{\sigma}_{\text{eff}} = \begin{pmatrix}
\sigma_H & -\sigma_H \\
\sigma_H & \sigma_0
\end{pmatrix} + \sigma_0 \left[ 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \frac{\langle \delta \sigma^2 \rangle^n}{\sigma_0^{2n}} \right] \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}
\]

(15)

with dimensionless coefficients $a_n$ collecting all diagrams of order $n$ in perturbation theory in $\langle \delta \sigma^2 \rangle / \sigma_0^2$. The Hall component is therefore not affected here, while the longitudinal conductance receives nontrivial corrections that encode the interplay of dissipation and percolation. The diagrammatic formulation of transport allowed us to compute this series up to sixth order [22].

As understood previously, the effective longitudinal conductivity $\sigma_{xx}$ must vanish when $\sigma_0 \to 0$ for a continuous local conductivity model, and previous works [6, 8, 9] suggested a power-law dependence $\sigma_{xx} \sim C(\delta \sigma^2)^{\kappa/2} \sigma_0^{1-\kappa}$ at small $\sigma_0$, with nonuniversal dimensionless constant $C$ and universal critical exponent $\kappa$ characterizing the transport properties. While $\kappa = 10/13$ is often quoted as an exact value [1, 6, 8, 9], Simon and Halperin [6] argued that one could not completely rule out the possibility that finite dissipation may spoil the connection to geometrical percolation and change the value of $\kappa$. In order to check that this is not the case, we performed careful Padé resummation [22] of the perturbative series (15) up to six loops, see Table I. Our most accurate result

| Order | Method | Exponent $1 - \kappa$ |
|-------|--------|-----------------------|
| 2     | Padé  | 0.28 ± 0.09           |
| 4     | Padé  | 0.221 ± 0.006         |
| 4     | n-fit | 0.233 ± 0.002         |
| $\infty$ | Conjecture | 3/13 ≈ 0.2308 |

TABLE I. Critical exponent $1 - \kappa$ obtained from Padé approximants [22] built from the perturbative series (15).

$\kappa = 0.767 \pm 0.002$ seems to confirm the conjectured value $\kappa = 10/13 \approx 0.7692$ based on the analogy to classical percolation [6, 8, 9]. We stress the good convergence of
the Padé approximants for all values of the dissipation strength $\sigma_0$, see Fig. 4. Note that partial resummation of perturbation theory in previous works [18] failed to recover the critical behavior associated to percolation in the strong coupling regime and this approximation led to an incorrect saturation of $\sigma_{xx}$ in the limit $\sigma_0 \to 0$, which would apply only for transport model with discrete conductivity values [23].

**Microscopics of $\sigma_{xx}$ at plateau transitions.** We finally study the temperature behavior of transport in the percolation dominated regime. At high magnetic field, the local Hall conductivity is explicitly related to the Fermi distribution of Landau levels $E_m = \hbar \omega_c (m+1/2)$ with integer $m$, disorder landscape $V(r)$ and chemical potential $\mu$ [16, 17, 22]:

$$\sigma_H(r) = \frac{e^2}{2} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} n_F(E_m + V(r) - \mu)$$

(16)

neglecting spin effects. We have introduced here the cyclotron energy $\hbar \omega_c = \hbar e B / m^*$ in terms of Planck’s constant $\hbar$, electron charge $e$, applied perpendicular magnetic field $B$ and effective mass $m^*$. At temperatures $T \gg \sqrt{(V^2)}$, the Fermi distribution $n_F(E)$ can be linearized, so that the random conductivity distribution (14) becomes Gaussian. Straightforward analysis [22] and our low-dissipation formula lead to a simple expression for the peak conductance measured at the transition region between two Landau levels ($k_B$ is Boltzmann’s constant):

$$\sigma_{xx}^{\text{peak}} = \sigma_{bg}(T, B) \left[ 1 + \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \frac{4\pi^2 k_B T}{\hbar \omega_c} \text{csch} \left( \frac{2\pi^2 k_B T}{\hbar \omega_c} \right) \right]^\kappa.$$  

(17)

This expression plotted in Fig. 5 shows a sharp crossover at temperature $k_B T^* = \hbar \omega_c / 4$ from a low-$T$ power-law behavior $\sigma_{xx}^{\text{peak}} = \sigma_{bg}(T, B) [\hbar \omega_c/(4k_B T)]^\kappa$ [8] to a high-$T$ background conductivity

$$\sigma_{bg}(T, B) = C[\sigma_0(T, B)]^{1-\kappa} \left[ \frac{e^2 \sqrt{V^2}}{h \hbar \omega_c} \right]^\kappa.$$  

(18)

Formulas (17)-(18), which combine microscopic parameters (such as the width of the disorder distribution) with geometrical effects through the exponent $\kappa$, should be useful for detailed analysis of transport measurement in quantum Hall samples.

Clearly, $\sigma_{xx}^{\text{peak}}$ cannot diverge at $T \to 0$ and is in fact expected to level off when reaching conductance values of the order of $e^2/2h$ [23, 24]. In this very-low-temperature regime, the linearization of the local Hall conductivity (16) breaks down, thereby putting a limit to the present diagrammatic calculation. Furthermore, quantum effects become important at low-$T$ and lead [4, 13] to a different exponent $\kappa^\text{qu} \approx 3/7 \approx 0.42$. The classical percolation exponent [6, 8, 9] $\kappa = 10/13 \approx 0.77$ may be observable in very high mobility samples dominated by smooth disorder [12, 13]. Finally, at temperatures $T > \hbar \omega_c / 4$, the leading magnetic field dependence of the longitudinal conductivity in Eq. (17) is provided by the $\omega_c^{-\kappa} \propto B^{-\kappa}$ term, as discussed previously [11, 25].

**Conclusion.** We have used a general diagrammatic method to compute fully microscopically the electronic transport in incoherent disordered conductors, leading to accurate determination of critical exponents for the conductivity in the classical percolation regime of the quantum Hall transition. This framework seems also well suited for efficient numerical implementations using the recently developed diagrammatic Monte Carlo methods [26], leading to envision progresses towards more realistic description of quantum Hall transport taking into account disorder effects.
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**FIG. 4.** (color online) Scaling function of the longitudinal conductance from the percolating ($\sigma_0 \to 0$) to the dissipative regime ($\sigma_0 \to \infty$). A comparison is made between first-order bare perturbation theory (controlled only at large dissipation) to the resummations of the $n = 2$ and $n = 4$ orders, showing good convergence for all values of $\sigma_0$.

**FIG. 5.** (color online) Temperature dependence of the peak longitudinal conductance from Eq. (17) in log-scale. A crossover occurs at $k_B T^* = \hbar \omega_c / 4$ between a low-$T$ power-law [$\sigma_{xx} \propto T^{-\kappa}$ or $T^{1-2\kappa}$] and a high-$T$ power-law [$\sigma_{xx} \propto \text{cst}$ or $T^{1-\kappa}$], for elastic scattering $\sigma_0(T) = \sigma_0$ or inelastic phonon scattering $\sigma_0(T) = AT$ respectively.
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**SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL FOR “DIAGRAMMATIC APPROACH FOR THE HIGH-TEMPERATURE REGIME OF QUANTUM HALL TRANSITIONS”**

**Evaluation of the diagrams**

We consider here the problem of random Gaussian fluctuations of the local Hall conductivity in two dimensions (see Eq. (14) in the main text), split into an average Hall component $\sigma_H$ and a fluctuating term $\delta\sigma(r)$, defined so that $\langle\delta\sigma(r)\rangle = 0$. The dissipationless nature of the Hall component shows up by the fact that $\sigma_H$ exactly drops in the correlation function $\langle \hat{G}_0_{ij}(r, r') \rangle$:

$$\langle \hat{G}_0_{ij}(r, r') \rangle = \frac{1}{\sigma_0} \int \frac{d^2p}{(2\pi)^2} \frac{p_ip_j e^{ip \cdot r}}{p^2 + \delta^2}, \quad (1)$$

with $G(r, r')$ defined by Eq. (11) in the main text.

The first order diagram contributing to the conductivity is straightforwardly calculated in the case of Gaussian fluctuations of the Hall component in two dimensions (see Eq. (14) in the main text):

$$\begin{align*}
\frac{K(r)}{2\sigma_0} &= \int \frac{d^2p}{(2\pi)^2} \tilde{K}(p) \hat{G}_0(p) \hat{G}_0(p) \\
&= \langle \delta\sigma^2 \rangle \int_0^{\infty} dp \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{r^2}{p^2} \left( \frac{\sin^2(\theta)}{-\cos(\theta) \sin(\theta)} \right) \\
&= \langle \delta\sigma^2 \rangle \left( \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 0 \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{cc} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{array} \right) \\
&= \langle \delta\sigma^2 \rangle \left( \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 0 \end{array} \right)
\end{align*} \quad (2)$$

with $K(r) \equiv \langle \delta\sigma(r)\delta\sigma(0) \rangle = \langle \delta\sigma^2 \rangle e^{-|r|^2/\delta^2}$, and its Fourier transform $\tilde{K}(p) = \pi \xi^2 (\delta\sigma^2) e^{-\xi^2 p^2/4}$. Here $\hat{\epsilon}$ denotes the fully antisymmetric $2 \times 2$ matrix, $\hat{\epsilon} = [0 -1]$. Note that the conductivity correction [Eq. (2)] is positive and exactly opposite in sign to the one obtained in the case of pure longitudinal fluctuations of the conductivity in Eq. (13) of the main text.

All second and third order diagrams can be obtained analytically with the help of symbolic computation, see the results displayed in Table I. The method of computation for the second and third order contributions is to first express each of the several denominators appearing in a given graph using Feynman’s identity:

$$\frac{1}{x_i} = \int_0^\infty dt_i e^{-t_i x_i}. \quad (3)$$

One can then perform the Gaussian integration over all momenta, and finally compute the remaining integrals over the auxiliary variables $t_i$.

We have not managed to analytically obtain the diagrams of fourth order and beyond (except for the non-crossing ones, see below), and we had therefore recourse to a combination of analytical and numerical steps. First,
TABLE I. Diagrams to second and third order: multiplicity and analytical values. The resulting coefficients \(a_4\) and \(a_6\) are given in Table III.

| Diagram | Multiplicity | Analytical Value | Decimal Value |
|---------|--------------|-----------------|---------------|
| second order | | | |
| | 1 | \(-\frac{1}{2} \log(2)\) | \(-0.173287\) |
| | 1 | \(\frac{1}{4}(1 - \log(4))\) | \(-0.0482868\) |
| third order | | | |
| | 1 | \(|\frac{3}{16}(3 - \pi^2 + 3 \log(3)(-3 + \log(9)) + 12 \text{Polylog}[2, \frac{3}{2}]|\) | 0.00504001 |
| | 2 | \(|\frac{1}{2} \log(\frac{37}{16})|\) | 0.0163515 |
| | 1 | \(|\frac{1}{10} (2 \log(2)^2 - 3 \log(3) + \log(8) + \text{Polylog}[2, \frac{3}{2}]|\) | 0.000760209 |
| | 2 | \(|\frac{1}{8}\pi^4 (2 + 100 \log(2) - 63 \log(3))|\) | 0.00547433 |
| | 1 | \(|\frac{3}{4} \log(\frac{3}{16})|\) | 0.0212374 |
| | 1 | \(|\frac{1}{10} - \frac{\log(3)}{3} + \frac{4 \log(3)}{5}|\) | 0.065406 |
| | 1 | \(|\frac{1}{10} - \frac{\log(5)}{5} + \frac{9 \log(3)}{10}|\) | 0.0181345 |
| | 1 | \(|\frac{3}{16} \log(\frac{4}{3})|\) | 0.0539404 |

FIG. 1. Self-energy \(\hat{\Sigma}_1(p)\) entering the calculation of the non-crossing diagrams in Table II.

an automated script was used to generate all possible diagrams, discarding the particle reducible ones, which enables to output explicitly the corresponding functions that require full momentum integration. In order to avoid indefinite integrals, all two-dimensional momenta in an \(n^{th}\) order diagram were combined into the hyperspherical coordinate \(K\) in dimension \(2n\), such that \(K^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{n} p_i^2\). This allows analytical integration over \(|K|\), leaving the bounded integration domain on the hypersphere in \(2n\) dimensions. This numerical step was finally performed using the \textit{Vegas} Monte Carlo integration routine from the GNU Scientific Library. Because only the complete sum of all diagrams at a given order matters, and since multidimensional integrals are time consuming, we have summed up all the contributions at a given order before performing the integration. The Monte Carlo evaluations were iterated until the relative error was below 0.1%, but we can also ascertain the good convergence of the numerics by benchmarking the routine on analytically tractable diagrams that have no crossings of the propagators, see Table II for comparison. The high (up to \(6^{th}\)) order non-crossing diagrams that we considered are obtained in the following way: we remark that these graphs are only composed of bare propagators and of the first order self-energy \(\hat{\Sigma}_1\) appearing in Fig. 1. The momentum dependence of this self-energy is readily evaluated:

\[
\hat{\Sigma}_1(p) = \frac{\langle \delta \sigma^2 \rangle}{\sigma_0} \frac{1}{(p_x^2 + p_y^2)^2} \left( \begin{array}{ccc} a & b & c \\ b & c & a \\ c & a & b \end{array} \right),
\]

\[
a = \frac{1}{2} (p_0^2 - p_x^2) \left[ e^{-p_x^2 - p_y^2} - 1 \right] + p_x^2 p_0^2 + p_y^4,
\]

\[
b = -p_x p_y [e^{-p_x^2 - p_y^2} - 1] + p_x^2 + p_y^2,
\]

\[
c = \frac{1}{2} (p_0^2 - p_y^2) \left[ e^{-p_x^2 - p_y^2} - 1 \right] + p_x^2 p_0^2 + p_y^4.
\]

We note that \(\hat{\Sigma}_1(p = 0)\) recovers the first order contribution to the conductivity in Eq. (2). At finite momentum, the self-energy contains off-diagonal elements, although the final correction to the conductivity is purely diagonal. The analytical computation of the non-crossing diagrams then proceeds as previously described, using Feynman’s trick and Gaussian integration. For instance the following fourth order contribution

\[
\int \frac{d^2p}{(2\pi)^2} \langle \hat{K}(p) \hat{G}(\hat{p}) [\hat{\Sigma}_1(p)] \hat{G}^\dagger(\hat{p}) \rangle^3 \hat{\epsilon}^\dagger
\]

only involves a single momentum integration, which can then be performed analytically. Its value is given in Table II.

Extrapolation to the weak dissipation regime

We present here the methodology to obtain the extrapolated behaviour of the effective diagonal conductivity in the limit \(\sigma_0 \to 0\), starting from the large-\(\sigma_0\) expansion:

\[
\sigma_{xx}(\sigma_0) = \sigma_0 \left[ 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \frac{\langle \delta \sigma^2 \rangle^n}{\sigma_0^{2n}} \right]
\]
with the first six coefficients $a_n$ given in Table III.

One standard method of extrapolation is the so-called DLog Padé approximants \[1\], which starts with the dimensionless logarithmic derivative of the function to extrapolate:

$$f(x) = \frac{\sigma_0}{\sigma_{xx}(\sigma_0)} \frac{d\sigma_{xx}(\sigma_0)}{d\sigma_0} \bigg|_{\sigma_0/\sqrt{\langle \delta \sigma^2 \rangle} \rightarrow x}. \quad (6)$$

One then reexpands at small $x$ the function $f(x)$ to order $N$:

$$f_N(x) = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{N} b_n x^{2n} \quad (7)$$

with the coefficients $b_n$ given in Table IV. The DLog Padé method then approximant for $f(x)$ of the following form:

$$f_N(x) = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{N} c_n x^{2n} + \sum_{p=1}^{N} d_n x^{2n}. \quad (8)$$

The coefficients $c_n$ and $d_n$ are computed from the knowledge of the perturbative terms $b_n$ given in Table IV.

From the expected power-law behavior of the conductivity at small dissipation, $\sigma_{xx} \propto (\delta \sigma^2)^{\kappa/2} \sigma_0^{1-\kappa}$, one gets $f(x) \to (1-\kappa)$ for $x \to \infty$. The critical exponent $\kappa$ is thus obtained by extrapolating the Padé approximant (8) to infinity, which simply reads $1-\kappa = c_N/b_N$ at the order $N$.

The corrections to the effective conductivity at second order require an order $N = 2$ DLog Padé approximant, which lead after integration of Eq. (6) to the formula:

$$\sigma_{xx} \simeq \sigma_0 \left[ 1 + \frac{1}{\kappa} \frac{\langle \delta \sigma^2 \rangle}{\sigma_0^2} \right]^{\kappa/2} \quad (9)$$

with $\kappa = 0.72 \pm 0.09$. The error bar on $\kappa$ is obtained here by expanding Eq. (9) to third order with $\kappa$ arbitrary, and comparing the deviation from the resulting coefficient with the exact $a_3$ value. Eq. (9) captures the full crossover between the perturbative regime $\langle \delta \sigma^2 \rangle \ll \sigma_0^2$ (where strong dissipation controls transport) to the non-perturbative limit of vanishing dissipation $\sigma_0 \to 0$ (where percolation effects dominate), see Fig. 4 in the main text.

In order to obtain a better estimate for the exponent, one must push the calculation of the effective conductivity to fourth order. Following the same strategy, the order $N = 4$ DLog Padé approximant provides the estimate $\kappa = 0.779 \pm 0.006$, and the resulting formula for the effective conductivity takes the form:

$$\sigma_{xx} = \sigma_0 \left[ 1 + A \frac{\langle \delta \sigma^2 \rangle}{\sigma_0^2} \right]^{B} \left[ 1 + C \frac{\langle \delta \sigma^2 \rangle}{\sigma_0^2} \right]^{D} \quad (10)$$

with dimensionless numbers $A, B, C, D$, leading to $\kappa = 2B + 2D$. Again, the error bar on $\kappa$ is obtained from comparison to the next known coefficient, namely $a_5$, expanding Eq. (10) to fifth order while keeping an arbitrary $\kappa$ fixed (a small additional error due to the Monte Carlo evaluation of the coefficients was also taken into account).

While our calculation of the sixth order corrections to the conductivity would allow us in principle to further refine the estimation of the exponent, we encounter in that case a spurious pole \[2\], that invalidates the method. One explanation why the Padé method becomes unstable at high orders can be understood already from the fourth order extrapolation (10), which leads to trivial sub-leading corrections to scaling at small dissipation:

$$\sigma_{xx}(\sigma_0) \propto (\delta \sigma^2)^{\kappa/2} \sigma_0^{1-\kappa} \left[ 1 + E \frac{\sigma_0^2}{(\delta \sigma^2) + \ldots} \right]. \quad (11)$$

This shows that the DLog Padé method enforces a given value $\kappa' \simeq 3 - \kappa$ for the sub-leading exponent $\kappa'$, which

| Order | Diagram | Analytical value | Monte Carlo evaluation |
|-------|---------|------------------|------------------------|
| 4     | ![Diagram](1) | $\frac{14 \log[2] + 27 \log[3]}{12} \simeq -0.02612$ | -0.02607 |
| 5     | ![Diagram](2) | $\frac{162 \log[3] + 125 \log[5] - 544 \log[2]}{192} \simeq 0.01084$ | 0.01087 |
| 6     | ![Diagram](3) | $\frac{-6496 \log[2] - 486 \log[3] + 3125 \log[5]}{1536} \simeq -0.004632$ | -0.004630 |

TABLE II. Benchmarking the numerical Monte Carlo evaluation against analytically tractable non-crossing diagrams at fourth, fifth and sixth order respectively.

| Order | Method | Coefficient $a_n$ |
|-------|--------|-------------------|
| 1     | Analytical | $\frac{3}{2}$ |
| 2     | Analytical | $\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2} \log(2)$ |
| 3     | Analytical | 0.2034560502 |
| 4     | Numerical | $-0.265 \pm 0.001$ |
| 5     | Numerical | 0.405 \pm 0.001 |
| 6     | Numerical | $-0.694 \pm 0.001$ |

TABLE III. Coefficients $a_n$ of the perturbative series (5) up to sixth loop order.

| Order | Coefficient $b_n$ |
|-------|-------------------|
| 1     | 1.0 |
| 2     | 1.735 |
| 3     | 3.698 |
| 4     | 6.919 |
| 5     | 13.823 |

TABLE IV. Coefficients $b_n$ used in the DLog Padé extrapolation, corresponding to the small-$x$ series expansion (7) of the function $f(x)$ defined in Eq. (6).
is unlikely to correspond with good precision to the right value. This lack of flexibility is the likely source of the instability of the Padé approximant, and authors [3] have used a generalized n-Fit method that circumvents this problem. For the case of the fourth order conductivity, the fitting formula has rather the following additive form:

\[
\sigma_{xx}(\sigma_0) = F \sigma_0 \left( 1 + G \frac{(\delta \sigma^2)}{\sigma_0^2} \right)^H + (1-F)c_0 \left( 1 + I \frac{(\delta \sigma^2)}{\sigma_0^2} \right). \tag{12}
\]

The critical exponent is then given by \( \kappa = \min[2H,2J] \), while the independent subleading exponent reads \( \kappa' = \max[2H,2J] \). All unknown numerical coefficients are obtained by expanding Eq. (12) at small \( \kappa \) and fitting to the coefficients of Table IV. Estimating the error by comparison to the known \( a_5 \) coefficient, we find \( \kappa = 0.767 \pm 0.002 \), in excellent agreement with the conjectured value \( \kappa = 10/13 \approx 0.7692 \). Moreover, the Padé approximants show good convergence for all values of the dissipation strength \( \sigma_0 \), see Fig. 4 in the main text.

**High temperature microscopics of \( \sigma_{xx} \) at the plateau transition**

The local Hall conductivity can be computed microscopically in the high magnetic field regime [4, 5], and simply follows from Hall’s law with Landau level quantization:

\[
\sigma_H(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{e^2}{h} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} n_F[E_m + V(\mathbf{r}) - \mu] \tag{13}
\]

with standard Landau levels \( E_m = \hbar \omega_c (m + 1/2) \), cyclotron frequency \( \omega_c = |eB|/m^* \), random disorder potential \( V(\mathbf{r}) \), chemical potential \( \mu \) and Fermi function \( n_F(E) = 1/(\exp[E/(\hbar B T)] + 1) \). In particular, microscopic calculations [5] show that deviations from the form (13) are small by the dimensionless parameter \[ l_B^2 \sqrt{(V^2)}/[\xi^2 \hbar \omega_c] \ll 1, \]

with \( \sqrt{(V^2)} \) the width of the disorder distribution, \( l_B = \sqrt{\hbar/eB} \) the magnetic length, and \( \xi \) the large correlation length of the disorder fluctuation. Note the smallness of \( l_B \approx 8 \) nm at \( B = 10 \) T, so that \( l_B \ll \xi \) for smooth disorder.

At temperatures such that \( T \gg \sqrt{(V^2)} \), the Fermi distribution in Eq. (13) can be linearized, so that Gaussian fluctuations of disorder provide Gaussian fluctuations for the Hall conductivity \( \sigma_H(\mathbf{r}) = \sigma_H + \delta \sigma(\mathbf{r}) \) with

\[
\sigma_H = \frac{e^2}{h} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} n_F(E_m - \mu), \tag{14}
\]

\[
\delta \sigma(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{e^2}{h} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} n_F'(E_m - \mu)V(\mathbf{r}). \tag{15}
\]

The power-law behavior of the longitudinal conductivity at small dissipation, \( \sigma_{xx} = C \sigma_0^{1-\kappa} (\delta \sigma^2)^{\kappa/2} \), leads to:

\[
\sigma_{xx} = C \sigma_0^{1-\kappa} \left| \frac{e^2}{h} \sqrt{(V^2)} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} n_F'(E_m - \mu) \right|^{\kappa}. \tag{16}
\]

We re-express the sum over Landau levels in Eq. (16) by using Poisson summation formula:

\[
\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} f(m) = \sum_{l=-\infty}^{\infty} f(t) e^{2\pi i \Omega f(t)}. \tag{17}
\]

In the limit \( T < \mu \), one finds after standard manipulations [6]:

\[
\left| \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} n_F'(E_m - \mu) \right| = \frac{1}{\hbar \omega_c} \left[ 1 + \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} (-1)^l \cos \left( \frac{2\pi l \mu}{\hbar \omega_c} \right) \right. \\
\left. \times \frac{4\pi^2 \hbar \omega_c T}{\sinh \left( \frac{4\pi^2 \hbar \omega_c T}{\hbar \omega_c} \right)} \right]. \tag{18}
\]

Finally, by considering the plateau transition region between the filling factors \( \nu \) and \( \nu + 1 \), the chemical potential is pinned to \( \mu = \hbar \omega_c (\nu + 1/2) \), leading to expressions (17)-(18) in the main text.

[1] R. R. P. Singh and S. Chakravarty, Phys. Rev. B 36, 559 (1987).
[2] M. G. Watts, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 8, 61 (1975).
[3] M. Ferer and M. J. Velgakis, Phys. Rev. B 27, 2839 (1983).
[4] M. R. Geller and G. Vignale, Phys. Rev. B 50, 11714 (1994).
[5] T. Champel, S. Florens, and L. Canet, Phys. Rev. B 78, 125302 (2008).
[6] T. Champel and V. P. Mineev, Philos. Mag. B 81, 55 (2001).