Single-Mode Delay Time Statistics for Scattering by a Chaotic Cavity
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Abstract
We investigate the low-frequency dynamics for transmission or reflection of a wave by a cavity with chaotic scattering. We compute the probability distribution of the phase derivative $\phi' = d\phi/d\omega$ of the scattered wave amplitude, known as the single-mode delay time. In the case of a cavity connected to two single-mode waveguides we find a marked distinction between detection in transmission and in reflection: The distribution $P(\phi')$ vanishes for negative $\phi'$ in the first case but not in the second case.

1. Introduction
Microwave cavities have proven to be a good experimental testing ground for theories of chaotic scattering [1]. Much work has been done on static scattering properties, but recently dynamic features have been measured as well [2]. A key dynamical observable, introduced by Genack and coworkers [3–5], is the frequency derivative $\phi' = d\phi/d\omega$ of the phase of the wave amplitude measured in a single speckle of the transmitted or reflected wave. Because one speckle corresponds to one element of the scattering matrix, and because $\phi'$ has the dimension of time, this quantity is called the single-channel or single-mode delay time. It is a linear superposition of the Wigner-Smith delay times introduced in nuclear physics [6,7].

The probability distribution of the Wigner-Smith delay times for scattering by a chaotic cavity is known [8]. The purpose of this paper is to derive from that the distribution $P(\phi')$ of the single-mode delay time. The calculation follows closely our previous calculation of $P(\phi')$ for reflection from a disordered waveguide in the localized regime [9]. The absence of localization in a chaotic cavity is a significant simplification. For a small number of modes $N$ connecting the cavity to the outside we can calculate $P(\phi')$ exactly, while for $N \gg 1$ we can use perturbation theory in $1/N$. The large-$N$ distribution has the same form as that following from diffusion theory in a disordered waveguide [4,5], but for small $N$ the distribution is qualitatively different. In particular, there is a marked distinction between the distribution in transmission and in reflection.

2. Formulation of the problem
The geometry studied is shown schematically in Fig. 1. It consists of an $N$-mode waveguide connected at one end to a chaotic cavity. Reflections at the connection between waveguide and cavity are neglected (ideal impedance matching). The $N$ modes may be divided among different waveguides, for example, $N = 2$ could refer to two single-mode waveguides. The cavity may contain a ferromagnetic element as in Refs. [10,11], in which case time-reversal symmetry is broken. The symmetry index $\beta = 1$ (2) indicates the presence (absence) of time-reversal symmetry. We assume a single polarization for simplicity, as in the microwave experiments in a planar cavity [2].

The dynamical observable is the correlator $\rho$ of an element of the scattering matrix $S(\omega)$ at two nearby frequencies,

$$ \rho = S_{nm}(\omega + \frac{1}{2} \delta \omega) S_{nm}^*(\omega - \frac{1}{2} \delta \omega). $$

The indices $n$ and $m$ indicate the detected outgoing mode and the incident mode, respectively. The single-mode delay time $\phi'$ is defined by [3–5]

$$ \phi' = \lim_{\delta \omega \to 0} \frac{\text{Im} \rho}{\delta \omega I}, $$

with $I = |S_{nm}(\omega)|^2$ the intensity of the scattered wave in mode $n$ for unit incident intensity in mode $m$. If we write the scattering amplitude $S_{nm} = \sqrt{I} e^{i\phi}$ in terms of amplitude and phase, then $\phi' = d\phi/d\omega$. We will investigate the distribution of $\phi'$ in an ensemble of chaotic cavities having slightly different shape, at a given mean frequency interval $\Delta$ between the cavity modes. For notational convenience, we choose units of time such that $2\pi/\Delta \equiv 1$.

The single-mode delay times are linearly related to the Wigner-Smith [6,7] delay times $\tau_1, \tau_2, \ldots, \tau_N$, which are the eigenvalues of the matrix

$$ Q = -iS^o \frac{dS}{d\omega} = U^\dagger \text{diag}(\tau_1, ..., \tau_N) U. $$

To see this, we first expand the scattering matrix linearly in $\delta \omega$,

$$ S(\omega \pm \frac{1}{2} \delta \omega) = V^T \omega \pm \frac{1}{2} i \delta \omega V^T \text{diag}(\tau_1, ..., \tau_N) U. $$

Since $S$ is symmetric for $\beta = 1$, one then has $V = U$. For $\beta = 2$, $V$ and $U$ are statistically independent. Combination

![Fig. 1. Sketch of a chaotic cavity coupled to $N$ propagating modes via one or more waveguides. The shape of the cavity is the quartered Sinai billiard used in recent microwave experiments [2].](image)
of Eqs. (1), (2), and (4) leads to [9]

\[ I = \prod_{i} u_i v_i, \quad \phi' = \text{Re} \sum_{i} \frac{\tau_i u_i v_i}{\sum_j u_j v_j}, \tag{5} \]

\[ u_i = U_{im}, v_i = V_{im}. \tag{6} \]

The distribution of the Wigner-Smith delay times for a chaotic cavity was calculated in Ref. [8]. It is a Laguerre ensemble in the rates \( \mu_i = 1/t_i \).

\[ P(\mu_1, ..., \mu_N) \propto \prod_{i,j} \left| \mu_i - \mu_j \right| \prod_k \mu_k^{N/2} \exp(-\frac{1}{2} \beta \mu_k \theta(\mu_k)). \tag{7} \]

The step function \( \theta(x) = 1 \) for \( x > 0 \) and \( \theta(x) = 0 \) for \( x < 0 \).

It follows from Eq. (7) that \( \sum_i \tau_i = 1 \), a result that was known previously [12].

To calculate the joint distribution \( P(I, \phi') \) from Eq. (5), we also need the distribution of the coefficients \( u_i \) and \( v_i \). This follows from the Wigner conjecture [13], proven in Ref. [8], according to which the matrices \( U \) and \( V \) are uniformly distributed in the unitary group. The calculation for small \( N \) is now a straightforward integration, see Section 3. For large \( N \) we can use perturbation theory, see Section 4.

Because of the uniform distribution of \( U \) and \( V \), independent of the \( t_i \)'s, we can evaluate the average of \( \phi' \) directly for any \( N \).

\[ \langle \phi' \rangle = \text{Re} \left( \sum_i \tau_i \frac{u_i v_i}{\sum_j u_j v_j} \right) = \frac{1}{N}. \tag{8} \]

We define the rescaled variable \( \hat{\phi}' = \phi' / \langle \phi' \rangle = N \phi' \), that we will use in the next sections.

3. Small number of modes

For \( N = 1 \) there is no difference between the Wigner-Smith delay time and the single-mode delay time. In that case \( I = 1 \) and \( \phi' = \phi' \) is distributed according to [14,15]

\[ P(\hat{\phi}') = c_\beta \hat{\phi}'^{\frac{1}{2} - \beta / 2} \exp(-\frac{1}{2} \beta \hat{\phi}' / \hat{\phi}' \theta(\hat{\phi}')). \tag{9} \]

The normalization coefficient \( c_\beta \) equals \( (2\pi)^{-1/2} \) for \( \beta = 1 \) and 1 for \( \beta = 2 \).

Now we turn to the case \( N = 2 \). By writing out the summation in Eq. (5) for \( I \) and \( \phi' \), one obtains \( \phi' = \tau_+ + \tau_- \) with \( \tau_\pm = \frac{1}{2} (\tau_1 \pm \tau_2) \) and

\[ I = |u_1|^2 |v_1|^2 + |u_2|^2 |v_2|^2 + u_1 u_2^* v_1 v_2^* + u_1^* u_2 v_1 v_2^*, \tag{10} \]

\[ \alpha = (|u_1|^2 |v_1|^2 - |u_2|^2 |v_2|^2) / I. \tag{11} \]

To find the joint distribution \( P(I, \alpha) \) we parametrize \( U \) in terms of 4 independent angles,

\[ U = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \gamma \exp(-i \xi_1) & \sin \gamma \exp(-i \xi_2) \\ -\sin \gamma \exp(-i \xi_3) & \cos \gamma \exp(-i \xi_2) \end{pmatrix}. \tag{12} \]

with \( \xi_i \in (0, 2\pi) \) and \( \gamma \in (0, \pi / 2) \). The invariant measure \( \text{d} \mu \propto |\text{Det } g| \text{d} \gamma \prod_i \text{d} \xi_i \) in the unitary group follows from the metric tensor \( g \), defined by

\[ \text{Tr } \text{d} U \text{d} U^\dagger = \sum_{i,j} g_{ij} \text{d} x_i \text{d} x_j, \quad \{ x_i \} = \{ \gamma, \xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3 \}. \tag{13} \]

The result is

\[ \text{d} \mu \propto \sin 2 \gamma \text{d} \gamma \prod_i \text{d} x_i. \tag{14} \]

The joint distribution function \( P(\tau_+, \tau_-) \) follows from Eq. (7). For \( \beta = 1 \) one has

\[ P(\tau_+, \tau_-) = \frac{1}{\tau_-} (\tau_+ \tau_-)^{\beta / 2} \exp(-\frac{1}{2} \beta \mu_k \theta(\mu_k)). \tag{15} \]

while for \( \beta = 2 \)

\[ P(\tau_+, \tau_-) = \frac{1}{\tau_-} (\tau_+ \tau_-)^{\beta / 2} \exp(-\frac{1}{2} \beta \mu_k \theta(\mu_k)). \tag{16} \]

First we consider the case \( \beta = 1, n \neq m \). Because of the unitarity of \( U \), one has \( |v_1|^2 = |u_2|^2 \) and \( |v_2|^2 = |u_1|^2 \). Therefore \( \alpha = 0 \) and \( \phi' = \tau_+ \), so \( \phi' \) is independent of \( I \). Integration of Eq. (15) over \( \tau_- \) results in

\[ P(\hat{\phi}') = \frac{1}{2} \hat{\phi}'^{\beta / 2} (\hat{\phi}' + 2) \exp(-2) \theta(\hat{\phi}) \theta(\hat{\phi}'). \tag{17} \]

In this case (as well as in the case \( N = 1 \)), \( \hat{\phi}' \) can take on only positive values, but this is atypical, as we will see shortly. From Eqs. (10) and (12) we find the relation between \( I \) and the parametrization of \( U \),

\[ I = \sin^2 2 \gamma \sin^2 (\xi_3 - \xi_1 - \xi_2). \tag{18} \]

The distribution of \( I \) resulting from the measure (14) is

\[ P(I) = \frac{1}{2} I^{1/2} \theta(I) \theta(1 - I). \tag{19} \]

in agreement with Refs. [16,17].

For the case \( N = 2, \beta = 1, n = m \) we use that \( u_1 = v_1, u_2 = v_2 \) and obtain the parametrization

\[ I = 1 - \sin^2 2 \gamma \sin^2 (\xi_3 - \xi_1 - \xi_2). \tag{20} \]

\[ \alpha = (\cos 2 \gamma)/I. \tag{21} \]

The distribution \( P(I, \alpha) \) resulting from the measure (14) is

\[ P(I, \alpha) = \frac{1}{2\pi} I^{1/2} (1 - I)^{-1/2} (1 - I x^2)^{-1/2} \theta(I) \theta(1 - I) \theta(1 - I x^2). \tag{22} \]

The joint distribution of \( I \) and \( \hat{\phi}') = 2 \phi' \) takes the form

\[ P(I, \hat{\phi}') = \int_0^\infty \text{d} \tau_- \times \int_{\tau_-}^\infty \text{d} \tau_+ P(\tau_+, \tau_-) P(I, \alpha = \frac{\hat{\phi}'}{2} - \frac{\tau_+}{\tau_-}) \frac{1}{\tau_-}. \tag{23} \]

The distribution of \( I \) following from integration of \( P(I, \alpha) \) over \( \alpha \) is given by Eq. (19) with \( I \to 1 - I \), as it should. The integrations over \( \tau_+ \), \( \tau_- \), and \( I \), needed to obtain \( P(\hat{\phi}') \) can be evaluated numerically, see Fig. 2. Notice that \( P(\hat{\phi}') \) has a tail towards negative values of \( \phi' \).
For $N = 2$, $\beta = 2$ it doesn’t matter whether $n$ and $m$ are equal or not. Parametrization of both $U$ and $V$ leads to

$$I = (1 - x_1)(1 - x_2) + x_1 x_2 + 2\sqrt{(1 - x_1)(1 - x_2)} x_1 x_2 \cos \eta,$$

(24)

with a measure $d\mu \propto dx_1 dx_2 d\eta$ and $x_1, x_2 \in (0, 1), \eta \in (0, \pi)$. The joint distribution $P(I, z)$ is now given by

$$P(I, z) = \frac{1}{2} \theta(I) \theta(1 - I) \theta(1 - I z^2).$$

(26)

Integration over $z$ leads to [16,17]

$$P(I) = \theta(I) \theta(1 - I).$$

(27)

The distribution $P(I, \phi')$ follows upon insertion of Eqs. (16) and (26) into Eq. (23). Numerical integration yields the distribution $P(\phi')$ plotted in Fig. 3. As in the previous case, there is a tail towards negative $\phi'$.

### 4. Large number of modes

We now calculate the joint distribution $P(I, \phi')$ for $N \gg 1$. First the case $n \neq m$ will be considered, when there is no distinction between $\beta = 1$ and $\beta = 2$. In the large $N$-limit the vectors $u$ and $v$ become uncorrelated and their elements become independent Gaussian numbers with zero mean and variance $1/N$. We first average over $v$, following Ref. [9]. We introduce the weighted delay time $W = I \phi'$. The Fourier transform of $P(I, W)$ is given by

$$\chi(p, q) = \langle \exp[ip I + iq W] \rangle.$$

The average over $v$ is a Gaussian integral, that gives

$$\chi(p, q) = \det(1 - iH/N)^{-1},$$

(28)

$$H = pu^u^T + \frac{1}{2} q(u^u^T + u^u^T),$$

(29)

where $u_i = u_i \tau_i$. The matrix $H$ has only two nonzero eigenvalues,

$$\lambda_{\pm} = \frac{1}{2} \left( qB_1 + p \pm \sqrt{2pqB_1 + q^2 B_2 + p^2} \right),$$

(30)

$$B_k = \sum_i |u_i|^2 \tau_i^k.$$

(31)

Performing the inverse Fourier transforms and returning to the variables $\phi'$ and $I$ leads to

$$P(I, \phi') = (N^3 I/\pi)^{1/2} \exp(-NI)$$

$$\times \left( (B_2 - B_1^2)^{-1/2} \exp \left( -NI \frac{(\phi' - B_1)^2}{B_2 - B_1^2} \right) \right) \theta(I).$$

(32)

The averages over $u_i$ and $\tau_i$ still have to be performed.

Up to now the derivation is the same as for the disordered waveguide in the localized regime [9], the only difference being the different distribution of the Wigner-Smith delay times $\tau_i$. The absence of localization in a chaotic cavity greatly simplifies the subsequent calculation in our present case. While in the localized waveguide anomalously large $\tau_i$’s lead to large fluctuations in $B_1$ and $B_2$, in the chaotic cavity the term $\mu_b^{N/2}$ in Eq. (7) suppresses large delay times. Fluctuations in $B_k$ are smaller than the mean by a factor $1/\sqrt{N}$. For $N \gg 1$ we may therefore replace $B_k$ in Eq. (32) by $\langle B_k \rangle$.

To calculate the average of $B_1$ and $B_2$ we need the density $\rho(\tau) = \langle \sum_i \delta(\tau - \tau_i) \rangle$ of the delay times. It is given by

$$\rho(\tau) = \frac{N}{2\pi \tau^2} \sqrt{(\tau_+ - \tau)(\tau - \tau_-)}.$$

(33)

for $\tau$ inside the interval $(\tau_-, \tau_+)$. The density is zero outside this interval. The resulting averages are $\langle B_1 \rangle = N^{-1}$ and...
\begin{align}
\langle B_2 \rangle &= 2N^{-2}, \quad \text{which leads to} \\
P(I, \hat{\phi}') &= (N^3I/\pi)^{1/2} \exp\left(-N\left[1 + (\hat{\phi}' - 1)^2\right]\right) \theta(I). \tag{34}
\end{align}

(Recall that \( \hat{\phi}' = \phi'/\langle \phi' \rangle = N\phi' \). Integration over \( \hat{\phi}' \) or \( I \)
gives
\begin{align}
P(I) &= N \exp(-NI) \theta(I), \tag{35} \\
P(\hat{\phi}') &= \frac{1}{2} \left[1 + (\hat{\phi}' - 1)^2\right]^{-3/2}. \tag{36}
\end{align}

This distribution of \( I \) and \( \hat{\phi}' \) has the same form as that of a disordered waveguide in the diffusive regime [4,5].

We next turn to the case \( n = m \) and \( \beta = 1 \). (For \( \beta = 2 \) there is no difference between \( n = m \) and \( n \neq m \).) Since \( u_i = v_i \) in Eq. (5) we have
\begin{align}
I &= |C_0|^2, \quad \hat{\phi}' = Re \frac{C_1}{C_0}, \quad C_k = \sum_i \tau_i^k u_i^2. \tag{37}
\end{align}
The joint distribution \( P(C_0, C_1) \) has the Fourier transform
\begin{align}
\chi(p_0, p_1, q_0, q_1) &= \langle \exp(ip_0ReC_0 + iq_0ImC_0 + ip_1ReC_1 + iq_1ImC_1) \rangle. \tag{38}
\end{align}

Averaging over \( u \) we find
\begin{align}
\chi(p_0, p_1, q_0, q_1) &= \langle \exp(-L) \rangle, \tag{39} \\
L &= \frac{1}{2} \sum \ln\left[1 + N^{-2}(p_0 + p_1\tau)^2 + N^{-2}(q_0 + q_1\tau)^2\right]. \tag{40}
\end{align}

Fluctuations in \( L \) are smaller than the average by a factor \( 1/N \). We may therefore approximate \( \langle \exp(-L) \rangle \approx \exp(-L) \). Because \( N^{-2}(p_0 + p_1\tau)^2 + N^{-2}(q_0 + q_1\tau)^2 \) is of order \( 1/N \), we may expand the logarithm in Eq. (40). The average follows upon integration with the density (33),
\begin{align}
\langle L \rangle &= \frac{p_0^2 + q_0^2}{2N} + \frac{p_1^2 + q_1^2}{N^2} + \frac{p_0p_1 + q_0q_1}{N^2}. \tag{41}
\end{align}

Inverse Fourier transformation gives
\begin{align}
P(C_0, C_1) &= \frac{N^4}{(2\pi)^2} \exp(-N|C_0|^2 - \frac{1}{2}N^3|C_1|^2 + N^2Re C_0C_1^*). \tag{42}
\end{align}

The resulting distribution of \( \hat{\phi}' \) and \( I \) is
\begin{align}
P(I, \hat{\phi}') &= (N^3I/2\pi)^{1/2} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}N\left[1 + (\hat{\phi}' - 1)^2\right]\right) \theta(I). \tag{43}
\end{align}

It is the same as the distribution (34) for \( n \neq m \), apart from the rescaling of \( I \) by a factor of 2 as a result of coherent backscattering.

The distribution (36) of \( \hat{\phi}' \) for \( N \gg 1 \) is included in Figs. 2 and 3 for comparison with the small \( N \)-results.

5. Numerical check

We can check our analytical calculations by performing a Monte Carlo average over the Laguerre ensemble for the \( \tau \)'s and the unitary group for the \( u_i \)'s and \( v_j \)'s. For the average over the unitary group we generate a large number of complex Hermitian \( N \times N \) matrices \( H \). The real and imaginary part of the off-diagonal elements are independently Gaussian distributed with zero mean and unit variance. The real diagonal elements are independently Gaussian distributed with zero mean and variance \( 1/N \). We diagonalize \( H \), order the eigenvalues from large to small, and multiply the \( n \)-th normalized eigenvector by a random phase factor \( e^{i2\pi} \), with \( x_n \) chosen uniformly from \((0, 2\pi)\). The resulting matrix of eigenvectors is uniformly distributed in the unitary group.

The Laguerre ensemble (7) for the rates \( \mu_i = 1/\tau_i \) can be generated by a random matrix of the Wishart type [18,19]. Consider a \( N \times (2N - 1 + 2/\beta) \) matrix \( X \), where \( X \) is real for \( \beta = 1 \) and complex for \( \beta = 2 \). (The matrix \( X \) is neither symmetric nor Hermitian.) The matrix elements are Gaussian distributed with zero mean and variance \( |x_{mn}|^2 = 1 \). The joint probability distribution of the eigenvalues of the matrix \( XX^* \) is then given by Eq. (7).

The results of our numerical check are included in Figs. 2 and 3. The large-\( N \) limit is represented by \( N = 400 \), \( n \neq m \). The analytical curves agree well with the numerical data.

6. Conclusion

We have investigated the statistics of the single-mode delay time \( \hat{\phi}' \) for chaotic scattering. For a large number \( N \) of scattering channels the distribution has the same form as for diffusive scattering [4,5], but for small \( N \) the distribution is different. The case \( N = 2 \) is of particular interest, representing a cavity connected to two single-mode waveguides. For preserved time-reversal symmetry and detection in transmission (\( \beta = 1, n \neq m \)), we find that \( \hat{\phi}' \) can take on only positive values, similarly to the Wigner-Smith delay times. In contrast, for detection in reflection (or for broken time-reversal symmetry) the distribution acquires a tail towards negative \( \hat{\phi}' \). These theoretical predictions are amenable to experimental test in the microwave cavities of current interest [2].
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