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Abstract

We derive a metric-like Lagrangian and equations of motion, in AdS space, for multiplets of fermionic fields with spin ranged from $\frac{1}{2}$ to $s$, from their frame-like formulation.
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1 Introduction

Systems of massless higher spin fields which are transformed under a reducible representation of the Lorentz group have attracted a great deal of attention (see e.g. [1–23]), since, as was shown 30 years ago [1, 2], they arise in a tensionless limit of string theory in a flat background and may, therefore, shed light on a possible relation between string theory and higher spin gauge theory. The simplest of these systems consists of three symmetric tensor fields of rank \( s, s - 1 \) and \( s - 2 \). This motivated Francia and Sagnotti [6] to call them higher-spin triplets. The simplest massless bosonic triplets form reducible multiplets of physical fields with even or odd spins (or helicities) running, respectively, from 0 or 1 to \( s \), while the fermionic triplets consist of physical fields with half-integer spins running from 1/2 to \( s - 1/2 \). More complicated systems involve tensor fields of mixed symmetry. Since 1986 higher-spin triplets have been studied from different perspectives (see e.g. [1–27]). For a review and references on different aspects of higher spin theory see e.g. [12, 28–38]).

Fermionic triplets of tensor-spinor fields were introduced in [3] and independently in [6]. Their origin from a tensionless limit of a Ramond-Neveu-Schwarz string was studied in detail in [7]. The Lagrangian formulations (i.e. the actions and equations of motion) for bosonic triplets are known in flat and anti de Sitter spaces, both in metric-like and frame-like formulations of the higher spin fields. For the fermionic triplets, however, the metric-like actions and equations of motion have been constructed only in flat space-time, while the generalization of this construction to the anti-de Sitter spaces encountered obstacles [7,10] and have not been fulfilled by now. On the other hand, in the frame-like formalism the Lagrangian description of reducible fermionic higher-spin systems in AdS was constructed in [13] and it was outlined therein that the metric-like Lagrangian formulation of the fermionic triplets in AdS can be obtained from the frame-like one by a certain redefinition of fields.

The purpose of this note is to accomplish this goal and to derive an explicit form of the metric-like action, equations of motion and local symmetries of the fermionic triplets in AdS from their frame-like counterparts. In passing we will clarify a subtlety regarding a physical spin-1/2 field one should deal with when relating the reducible fermionic frame-like higher-spin system to the metric-like fermionic triplet. A motivation for presenting these results is that having got the gauge invariant metric-like Lagrangian formulation of the fermionic (and bosonic) higher-spin triplets in AdS one can perform its BRST analysis (already carried out in the bosonic case in [7]) with the aim of understanding whether and how these systems may be obtained by taking a tensionless limit of a String Theory in an AdS background.

Our main notation and conventions are given in the Appendix.

2 Fermionic triplets in flat space-time

2.1 Metric-like formulation

In the metric-like formulation in D-dimensional space-time a fermionic higher-spin triplet consists of three symmetric tensor-spinor fields \( \Psi^{\mu_1...\mu_r} \), \( \chi^{\alpha_{\mu_1...\mu_{r-1}}} \) and \( \lambda^{\alpha_{\mu_1...\mu_{r-2}}} \), where \( \mu_i = 0, 1, \ldots, D - 1 \) are D-dimensional space-time indices, \( \alpha \) is a spinor index which we will usually skip, and \( r = s - 1/2 \)

\( ^{1}\)The conventional notion of spin and helicity are associated with irreducible representations of the four-dimensional Poincaré group. In what follows we will formally use this terminology also in higher-dimensional theories associating the “spin” with the rank of symmetric tensor-spinors which transform under irreducible representations of the \( SO(1, D - 1) \) Lorentz group.
with \( s \) denoting the highest spin in the spectrum of the triplet fields. In flat space-time they satisfy the following equations of motion [6]

\[
\begin{align*}
\partial_\nu \Psi^{\mu_1 \ldots \mu_r} + i\bar{\partial}^{(\mu_1} \chi^{\mu_2 \ldots \mu_r)} &= 0, \\
\partial_\nu \Psi^{\mu_1 \ldots \mu_{r-1}} - \bar{\partial}^{(\mu_1} \chi^{\mu_2 \ldots (r-1) \mu_{r-1})} + i\bar{\partial} \chi^{\mu_1 \ldots \mu_{r-1}} &= 0, \\
\partial_\nu \chi^{\mu_1 \ldots \mu_{r-2}} + i\partial_\nu \chi^{\mu_1 \ldots \mu_{r-2}} &= 0,
\end{align*}
\]

(2.1)

which are invariant under the gauge transformations

\[
\begin{align*}
\delta \Psi^{\mu_1 \ldots \mu_r} &= \partial^{(\mu_1} \Lambda^{\mu_2 \ldots \mu_r)}, \\
\delta \chi^{\mu_1 \ldots \mu_{r-1}} &= i\partial \Lambda^{\mu_1 \ldots \mu_{r-1}}, \\
\delta \chi^{\mu_1 \ldots \mu_{r-2}} &= \partial_\nu \Lambda^{\mu_1 \ldots \mu_{r-2}}.
\end{align*}
\]

(2.2)

The field equations (2.1) follow from the flat-space action which we present in the form similar to that given in [6]

\[
S = \int d^Dx \left[ i\bar{\chi}^{(\mu(r-1)} \partial_{\mu(r-1)} \chi^{\mu(r-1)} + \bar{\chi}^{(\mu(r-1)} \partial_{\nu} \Psi^{\nu(r-1)} + \partial_{\nu} \bar{\Psi}^{(\nu(r-1)} \chi^{\mu(r-1)} + \frac{i}{r} \bar{\Psi}^{(\nu(r)} \bar{\partial} \Psi^{(r)}}
\right.
\]

\[
+ (r - 1) \left[ -i\bar{\chi}^{\mu(r-2)} \partial_{\mu(r-2)} \chi^{\mu(r-2)} + \partial_{\nu} \bar{\chi}^{(\nu(r-2)} \chi^{\mu(r-2)} + \bar{\chi}^{(\nu(r-2)} \partial_{\nu} \chi^{(\nu(r-2)}} \right],
\]

(2.3)

where, for brevity, the collective index \( \mu(k) \) stands for \( k \) symmetrized indices \( \mu_1 \ldots \mu_k \).

The construction of the metric-like Lagrangian formulation of fermionic higher-spin triplets in AdS spaces, however, encountered difficulties [7, 10] and has not been accomplished by now. In what follows we will show how this puzzle is resolved by deriving the metric-like action for the fermionic higher-spin triplets in AdS from their frame-like Lagrangian formulation.

### 2.2 Frame-like formulation

In the frame-like formulation [13] the fermionic triplet is associated with a 1-form that takes values in the space of symmetric tensor-spinors:

\[
\psi^{a_1 \ldots a_r-1}_a = dx^\mu \psi^{a_1 \ldots a_r-1}_a. 
\]

This form is not subject to any gamma-trace conditions.

In flat space the gauge transformation of \( \psi^{a_1 \ldots a_r-1}_a \) has the following form

\[
\delta \psi^{a_1 \ldots a_r-1}_a = d\xi^{a_1 \ldots a_r-1}_a - dx_b \xi^{a_1 \ldots a_r-1,b}_a,
\]

(2.4)

where the tensor-spinor gauge parameters \( \xi^{a_1 \ldots a_r-1}_a \) and \( \xi^{a_1 \ldots a_r-1,b}_a \) are zero forms that remove from \( \psi^{a_1 \ldots a_r-1}_a \) the unphysical degrees of freedom. The second parameter is associated with a connection-like 1-form \( \psi^{a_1 \ldots a_r-1,b}_a \). The connection field is auxiliary and expressed (modulo the pure gauge degrees of freedom) as a function of \( \psi^{a_1 \ldots a_r-1}_a \) via the torsion-like constraint

\[
T^{a_1 \ldots a_r-1} = d\psi^{a_1 \ldots a_r-1} - dx_c \wedge \psi^{a_1 \ldots a_r-1,b}_c = 0,
\]

(2.5)

Remember that the frame-like fermionic field transforming under an irreducible representation of the Lorentz group of a spin \( s = r + \frac{1}{2} \) is gamma-traceless [39, 41]

\[
\gamma^b \psi^{a_1 \ldots a_r-2}_{a-b} = 0 \implies \eta_{bc} \psi^{a_1 \ldots a_{r-3}b}_{c} = 0.
\]
The torsion is invariant under the gauge transformations \((2.4)\) and that of \(\psi^{a_1 \ldots a_{r-1},b}\)
\[
\delta \psi^{a_1 \ldots a_{r-1},b} = d\xi^{a_1 \ldots a_{r-1},b} - dx_c \xi^{a_1 \ldots a_{r-1},bc}.
\] (2.6)

For the consistency of the construction (see Section 2.3), while the higher-spin vielbein is unconstrained, the connection \(\psi^{a_1 \ldots a_{r-1},b}\) and the gauge parameters \(\xi^{a_1 \ldots a_{r-1},b}\) and \(\xi^{a_1 \ldots a_{r-1},bc}\) should satisfy the following (gamma-)trace constraints
\[
\gamma_b \psi^{a_1 \ldots a_{r-1},b} = 0, \quad \eta_{bc} \psi^{a_1 \ldots a_{r-2},bc} = 0,
\]
(2.7)
\[
\gamma_b \xi^{a_1 \ldots a_{r-1},b} = 0, \quad \eta_{bc} \xi^{a_1 \ldots a_{r-2},bc} = 0,
\]
(2.8)
\[
\gamma_b \xi^{a_1 \ldots a_{r-1},bc} = 0, \quad \eta_{bd} \xi^{a_1 \ldots a_{r-2},bc} = 0.
\]

The metric-like triplet fields \(\Psi, \chi, \lambda\) introduced in Section 2.1 are related to \(\psi^{a_1 \ldots a_{r-1}}\) by the following identifications motivated by the form of the gauge transformations \((2.2)\)
\[
\hat{\psi}^{a_1 \ldots a_r} \equiv \delta^{a_r} (\nu \psi^{a_1 \ldots a_{r-1}}), \quad \hat{\chi}^{a_1 \ldots a_{r-1}} \equiv i \gamma^a \psi^{a_1 \ldots a_{r-1}}, \quad \hat{\lambda}^{a_1 \ldots a_{r-2}} \equiv \delta^a_{a_r} \psi^{a_1 \ldots a_{r-1}},
\] (2.9)
where we introduced “hatted” quantities which differ from \(\Psi, \chi, \lambda\) by a total trace, as we will explain now.\(^3\)

The splitting \((2.9)\) manifests the fact that the representation in which \(\psi^{a_1 \ldots a_{r-1}}\) sits is not irreducible, as it may have \(\gamma_a\)- and \(\eta_{ab}\)-traces. As a result, this field contains physical states with spins going down from \(s = r + \frac{1}{2}\) to \(3/2\), while its spin \(1/2\) state is a pure gauge. This is due to the fact that the three fields \((2.9)\), being all derived from \(\psi^{a_1 \ldots a_{r-1}}\), are not completely independent. Indeed, let us define the complete trace \(\hat{T}\) of a tensor-spinor \(T^{a_1 \ldots a_r}\) as
\[
\hat{T} = \begin{cases} 
\eta_{a_1 a_2} \cdots \eta_{a_{r-1} a_r} T^{a_1 \ldots a_r} & \text{if } r \text{ is even} \\
\eta_{a_1 a_2} \cdots \eta_{a_{r-2} a_{r-1}} i \gamma_{a_r} T^{a_1 \ldots a_r} & \text{if } r \text{ is odd.}
\end{cases}
\] (2.10)

Then, in the metric-like description, the equations of motion imply that for \(s = r + \frac{1}{2}\)
\[
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{D} \left( \hat{\psi} - r \hat{\lambda} \right) &= 0 \quad &\text{if } r \text{ is even} \\
\mathcal{D} \left( \hat{\psi} - \hat{\chi} - (r - 1) \hat{\lambda} \right) &= 0 \quad &\text{if } r \text{ is odd.}
\end{align*}
\] (2.11)

Their form allows us to identify the spin \(\frac{1}{2}\) field as
\[
\rho \equiv \begin{cases} 
\hat{\psi} - r \hat{\lambda} & \text{if } r \text{ is even} \\
\hat{\psi} - \hat{\chi} - (r - 1) \hat{\lambda} & \text{if } r \text{ is odd.}
\end{cases}
\] (2.12)

In the frame-like formulation, by virtue of \((2.9)\), we find that \(\rho \equiv 0\).

This analysis tells us that the triplet fields \((2.9)\) obtained from \(\psi^{a_1 \ldots a_{r-1}}\) are not quite the same as those appearing in the equations \((2.11)\): their complete traces do not match. Of course, we can fix this issue by simply adding a spin-\(\frac{1}{2}\) massless field \(\rho\) to the frame-like action of the theory, which

---

\(^3\)The imaginary unit factor \(i\) in the definition of \(\hat{\chi}\) is introduced because in our conventions when \(D = 4\) the gamma-matrices are purely imaginary in the Majorana representation.
we will introduce in the next section. Then the original metric-like triplet fields $\Psi, \chi$ and $\lambda$ are related to the fields (2.9) as follows

$$
\Psi^{a_1...a_r} = \tilde{\Psi}^{a_1...a_r} + \eta^{(a_1a_2}...\eta^{a_{r-1}a_r)} \rho,
$$

$$
\chi^{a_1...a_{r-2}} = \tilde{\chi}^{a_1...a_{r-2}} + \eta^{(a_1a_2}...\eta^{a_{r-3}a_{r-2})} \rho \quad \text{for } r \text{ even}
$$

and

$$
\Psi^{a_1...a_r} = \tilde{\Psi}^{a_1...a_r} - i\eta^{(a_1a_2}...\eta^{a_{r-2}a_{r-1}\gamma a_r)} \rho
$$

$$
\chi^{a_1...a_{r-2}} = \tilde{\chi}^{a_1...a_{r-2}} - i\eta^{(a_1a_2}...\eta^{a_{r-4}a_{r-3}\gamma a_{r-2})} \rho, \quad (2.14)
$$

and

$$
\chi^{a_1...a_{r-1}} = \tilde{\chi}^{a_1...a_{r-1}} + 2\eta^{(a_1a_2}...\eta^{a_{r-2}a_{r-1})} \rho \quad \text{for } r \text{ odd.}
$$

2.3 Frame-like action for fermionic triplets in flat space

The frame-like action that reproduces, upon making the identifications (2.9), (2.13) and (2.14), the equations (2.1) can be found by an ansatz motivated by some simple requirements. It should be gauge-invariant under (2.6) and have a schematic form of a free fermion action equations (2.1) can be found by an ansatz motivated by some simple requirements. It should be gauge-invariant under (2.6) and have a schematic form of a free fermion action $\mathcal{T}_{a_1...a_{r-1}} \equiv d\psi^{a_1...a_{r-1}} - dx_b \wedge \psi^{a_1...a_{r-1}b}$, which is considered to be non-zero off the mass shell (compare with (2.5)). Then, terms like $i\tilde{\psi} \gamma \wedge \mathcal{T}$ are gauge invariant under the transformations of $\psi$ but not $\tilde{\psi}$. The most general Lorentz-invariant action constructed using such 3-forms is [13]

$$
S_{\mathcal{T}} = i \int dx^{a_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge dx^{a_{D-3}} \wedge \epsilon_{a_1...a_{D-3}cdef} \left( \tilde{\psi}^{b_1...b_{r-1}} \wedge \gamma^{cdef} \mathcal{T}_{b_1...b_{r-1}} + c \tilde{\psi}^{b_1...b_{r-2}c} \wedge \gamma^{d} \mathcal{T}_{b_1...b_{r-2}} \right),
$$

where $\epsilon_{a_1...a_D}$ is the $D$-dimensional completely antisymmetric Levi-Civita tensor and $c$ is an arbitrary constant.

This action has three issues to tackle. It is not invariant under the gauge transformations of $\tilde{\psi}$; it is not real and contains the auxiliary field $\tilde{\psi}^{a_1...a_{r-1}b}$, that should be completely determined by $\psi^{a_1...a_{r-1}}$ through (2.5). These three issues are fixed by choosing a proper $c$. Indeed, it is possible to show that for $c = -6(r-1)$ and if $\psi^{a_1...a_{r-1}b}$ is constrained as in (2.7), all the terms proportional to this auxiliary field disappear. Then (2.16) becomes simply

$$
S = i \int dx^{a_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge dx^{a_{D-3}} \wedge \epsilon_{a_1...a_{D-3}cdef} \left( \tilde{\psi}^{b_1...b_{r-1}} \wedge \gamma^{cdef} d\psi^{b_1...b_{r-1}} - 6(r-1) \tilde{\psi}^{b_1...b_{r-2}c} \wedge \gamma^{d} d\psi^{b_1...b_{r-2}} \right).
$$

Integrating (2.17) by parts we can turn it (modulo total derivatives) into its complex conjugate, so (2.17) satisfies the reality condition. Moreover, due to our choice of $c$, in the Hermitian conjugate version of (2.17) we can restore the (vanishing) terms proportional to $\tilde{\psi}^{a_1...a_{r-1}b}$ and rewrite the
action as
\[ S_T = i \int dx^{a_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge dx^{a_{D-3}} \wedge \varepsilon_{a_1 \ldots a_{D-3}} \text{det} \left( \bar{T}^{b_1 \ldots b_{r-1}} \wedge \gamma^{cd} f_{b_1 \ldots b_{r-2}} \right), \]
which is therefore equivalent to (2.16) up to total derivatives. The variation of (2.17) under gauge transformations can be then schematically rewritten as
\[ \delta S = \delta S_T + \delta \bar{T}^{cd} \delta f_{b_1 \ldots b_{r-2}} = 0. \]
It vanishes because of the manifest gauge invariance of \( T^{a_1 \ldots a_{r-1}} \) and \( \bar{T}^{a_1 \ldots a_{r-1}} \) and provided that the gauge parameters \( \xi_{a_1 \ldots a_{r-1}, b} \) satisfy the constraints (2.8).

One can show [13] that the equations of motion derived from the frame-like action (2.17) are equivalent to the metric-like ones (2.1) modulo the subtlety with the spin-\( \frac{1}{2} \) field \( \rho \), which can be included into the frame-like formulation by simply adding to the action the massless Dirac Lagrangian \( L_{\frac{1}{2}} = i \bar{\rho} \gamma^m \partial_m \rho \).

3 Fermionic higher-spin triplets in anti-de-Sitter spaces

3.1 The frame-like formalism in AdS

The frame-like description of the field \( \psi^{a_1 \ldots a_{r-1}} \) can be generalized to the anti-de-Sitter space by employing a proper \( AdS \) covariant derivative in place of the flat-space derivative and by using a local basis for the \( AdS \) tangent space given by the vielbein \( e^a = dx^m e^a_m(x) \). Actually, to construct the covariant derivatives we will employ two kinds of connections. The one associated with the invariance under \( SO(1, D - 1) \) local Lorentz transformations and containing the spin–connection 1–form \( \omega^{ab} = -\omega^{ba} \) will be denoted by
\[ \nabla T^a = dT^a + \omega^{ab} \wedge T^b \] (3.1)
and will act on the \( D \)-dimensional vectorial tangent-space indices. For the spinorial indices one can also use the connection associated to the whole symmetry group of \( AdS_D \), namely the isometry group \( SO(2, D - 1) \), which includes, in addition to the Lorentz transformations generated by \( J^{ab} \), the non-commuting translations generated by \( P^a \):
\[ \left[ P^a, P^b \right] = \Lambda J^{ab}, \]
where \( \Lambda \) is a negative cosmological constant which defines the \( AdS \) curvature
\[ R^{ab} = d\omega^{ab} + \omega^{ac} \wedge \omega^c_b = -\Lambda e^a \wedge e^b. \] (3.2)
We denote this covariant derivative by
\[ D\psi_\alpha = d\psi_\alpha + \frac{1}{2} \omega^{ab} (J^{ab})_\alpha^\beta \wedge \psi_\beta + e^b (P_b)_\alpha^\beta \wedge \psi_\beta, \]
where in the spinorial representation
\[ J^{ab} = \frac{1}{4} \left[ \gamma^a, \gamma^b \right], \quad P^a = -\frac{i}{2} \sqrt{-\Lambda} \gamma^a. \]
Note that, in view of (3.2), when acting on the spinors the product of the two external differentials \(D\) vanishes \((3.3)\)

\[D^2\psi = 0.\]  

(3.4)

When dealing with tensor-spinors we will assume that \(D\) acts as a covariant differential on vector indices and as \((3.3)\) on spinorial ones. In particular, the matrices \(\gamma^a\) are annihilated by \(\nabla\) but not by \(D\)

\[D\gamma^a = -\frac{i}{2}\sqrt{-\Lambda} e_b \left[ \gamma^b, \gamma^a \right] = -i\sqrt{-\Lambda} e_b \gamma^{ba}.\]  

(3.5)

In view of (3.2) and (3.4), the following identity holds for the symmetric tensor-spinors

\[D^2\psi^{a_1...a_r} = \nabla^2\psi^{a_1...a_r} = -\Lambda e^{(a_1} e_b \wedge \psi^{a_2...a_r)b}.\]  

(3.6)

The AdS counterpart of the fermionic higher-spin torsion \((2.15)\) is defined as follows

\[T^{a_1...a_{r-1}} \equiv D\psi^{a_1...a_{r-1}} - e_b \psi^{a_1...a_{r-1},b}.\]  

(3.7)

In virtue of (3.6), it is gauge invariant under the following AdS-deformation of the gauge transformations \((2.6)\)

\[\delta\psi^{a_1...a_{r-1}} = D\xi^{a_1...a_{r-1}} - e_b \psi^{a_1...a_{r-1},b},\]  

(3.8)

\[\delta\psi^{a_1...a_{r-1},b} = D\xi^{a_1...a_{r-1},b} - e_c \xi^{a_1...a_{r-1},bc} + \Lambda \left( e^{(a_{r-1}} \xi^{a_1...a_{r-2})b} - (r-1)e^b \xi^{a_1...a_{r-1}} \right),\]  

which coincide with \((2.6)\) in the flat limit \(\Lambda \to 0.\)

In flat space, for consistency, we required the gauge parameters to satisfy the constraints \((2.8)\), which guarantee that the \(\gamma\)-trace of the higher-spin vielbein of rank \(r = s - \frac{1}{2}\) transforms under the gauge transformations in the same way as a higher-spin vielbein of rank \(r = s - \frac{3}{2}\). In the AdS space, in view of (3.5), the same requirement leads to the following constraints on the gauge parameters

\[\delta \left( \gamma_b \psi^{a_1...a_{r-2},b} \right) = D\Xi^{a_1...a_{r-2}} - e_c \Xi^{a_1...a_{r-2},c},\]

where

\[\Xi^{a_1...a_{r-2}} \equiv \gamma_b \xi^{a_1...a_{r-2},b}, \quad \Xi^{a_1...a_{r-2},c} \equiv -i\sqrt{-\Lambda} \gamma^c \xi^{a_1...a_{r-2},b} + \gamma_b \xi^{a_1...a_{r-2},b,c}.\]

The symmetrization properties of the parameter \(\Xi^{a_1...a_{r-2},c}\) impose the following constraint on \(\xi^{a_1...a_{r-1},b}\) which is the AdS generalization of \((2.8)\)

\[\gamma_b \xi^{a_1...a_{r-1},b} = -i\sqrt{-\Lambda} \gamma^{(a_1}_b \xi^{a_2...a_{r-1})b}.\]

Correspondingly, one imposes the analogous constraint on the auxiliary higher-spin connection

\[\gamma_b \psi^{a_1...a_{r-1},b} = -i\sqrt{-\Lambda} \gamma^{(a_1}_b \psi^{a_2...a_{r-2})b}.\]  

(3.9)

The relations involving contractions with the metric \(\eta_{ab}\) in \((2.7)\) and \((2.8)\) do not change.
3.2 The frame-like action in AdS

We construct the frame-like action for the reducible higher-spin fermionic field $\psi^{a_1...a_r-1}_{a}$ in the anti-de Sitter space in the same way as in flat space, i.e. with the use of the higher-spin torsion $\mathcal{T}_{a_1...a_r-1}$ and fix the coefficient by requiring that the action reduces to (2.16) in the $\Lambda \to 0$ limit. We thus get

$$S_{AdS} = i \int e^{a_1} \wedge \ldots \wedge e^{a_{D-3}} \wedge \bar{e}_{a_1...a_{D-3}} \left( \bar{\psi}^{b_1...b_{r-1}} \gamma^{cdef} \mathcal{T}_{b_1...b_{r-1}} - 6(r-1) \bar{\psi}^{b_1...b_{r-2}c} \wedge \gamma^d \mathcal{T}_{b_1...b_{r-2}} \right).$$

(3.10)

This time the terms in this action containing the independent components of the auxiliary field $\bar{\psi}^{a_1...a_r-1,b}$ cancel each other due to the deformed constraint (3.9). So, in comparison with (2.17) the action (3.10) contains more terms than in the flat space, namely

$$S_{AdS} = i \int \bar{e}_{a_1,...,a_{D-3}} e^{a_1} \ldots e^{a_{D-3}} \left( \bar{\psi}^{b_1...b_{r-1}} \gamma^{cdef} D_{\psi_{b_1...b_{r-1}}} - 6(r-1) \bar{\psi}^{b_1...b_{r-2}c} \gamma^d \mathcal{T}_{b_1...b_{r-2}} \right).$$

(3.11)

where to simplify the appearance of the above expression we have skipped the wedge products of the differential forms. In the form (3.11) the AdS action was constructed in [13] by a “brute force”, i.e. without the help of the gauge-invariant higher-spin torsion $\mathcal{T}_{a_1...a_r-1}$.

The total gauge invariance and reality of (3.10) (and therefore of (3.11)) is proven in the same way as in Section 2.3 by showing that the action is equivalent (modulo total derivatives) to the action constructed with the use of the Dirac conjugate torsion $\mathcal{T}^{a_1...a_r-1}$.

As in the flat case, to include in the consideration the spin-$\frac{1}{2}$ field $\rho$ we add to the action (3.11) the Dirac action

$$S_{\frac{1}{2}} = \int d^D x \bar{\rho} \left( i \gamma^a \nabla_a + \frac{D-4}{2} \sqrt{-\Lambda} \right) \rho.$$
result in the following metric-like action

\[ S_{ML}^{AdS} = \int d^D x \sqrt{-g} \left[ i\chi^{ab_1...b_{r-1}} \mathcal{L}_{\chi b_1...b_{r-1}} + \bar{\chi}^{ab_1...b_{r-1}} \nabla_a \bar{\Psi}^{ab_1...b_{r-1}} + \nabla_a \bar{\Psi}^{ab_1...b_{r-1}} \chi_{b_1...b_{r-1}} + \frac{i}{r} \bar{\Psi}^{ab_1...b_{r-1}} \mathcal{L}_{\Psi b_1...b_{r}} \right] \]

\[-(r-1) \left( i\bar{\chi}^{ab_1...b_{r-2}} \mathcal{L}_{\chi b_1...b_{r-2}} - \nabla_a \bar{\chi}^{ab_1...b_{r-2}} \lambda_{b_1...b_{r-2}} - \bar{\lambda}_{b_1...b_{r-2}} \nabla_a \chi^{ab_1...b_{r-2}} \right) \]

\[-\sqrt{-\Lambda} \left( \frac{D + 2r}{2} \chi^{ab_1...b_{r-1}} + \frac{2r - 4}{2r} \bar{\Psi}^{ab_1...b_{r-1}} \chi_{b_1...b_{r-1}} \right) \]

\[+ (r-1) \left( \frac{D + 2r - 8}{2} \lambda_{b_1...b_{r-2}} + \frac{3}{2} i(r-1) \bar{\chi}^{b_1...b_{r-2}} \chi_{b_1...b_{r-2}} \right) \]

This action is gauge invariant under the AdS version of the gauge transformations (2.4):

\[ \delta\Psi^{a_1...a_r} = \nabla^{(a_1} \xi_{a_2...a_r)} - \frac{i}{2} \sqrt{-\Lambda} \gamma^{(a_1} \eta_{a_2...a_r)} \]

\[ \delta\chi^{a_1...a_{r-1}} = i\xi_{[a_1} \eta_{a_{r-1}]} + \frac{D + 2r - 2}{2} \sqrt{-\Lambda} \xi^{a_1...a_{r-1}} - \sqrt{-\Lambda} \gamma^{a_1} \eta_{a_2...a_{r-1}} \]

\[ \delta\lambda^{a_1...a_{r-2}} = \nabla_b \eta_{a_1...a_{r-2}b} - \frac{i}{2} \sqrt{-\Lambda} \eta_{a_1...a_{r-2}} \]

which follow from the first expression in (3.8). Note that these transformations differ from those considered in [10] by terms containing the \( \gamma \)-trace of the symmetry parameter.

The fermionic triplet equations of motion obtained by extremising this action have the following form

\[ \left( i\mathcal{L}_{\chi b_1...b_r} + \frac{D + 2r - 4}{2} \sqrt{-\Lambda} \right) \Psi_{b_1...b_r} = \sqrt{-\Lambda} \gamma_{(b_1} \Psi_{b_2...b_r)} \]

\[= \left( \nabla_{b_1} + \frac{3}{2} i \sqrt{-\Lambda} \gamma_{(b_1} \right) \chi_{b_2...b_r)} \]

\[ \left( i\mathcal{L}_{\lambda b_1...b_{r-2}} + \frac{D + 2r - 8}{2} \sqrt{-\Lambda} \right) \lambda_{b_1...b_{r-2}} = \sqrt{-\Lambda} \gamma_{(b_1} \lambda_{b_2...b_{r-2})} \]

\[= \nabla^a \chi_{ab_1...b_{r-2}} + \frac{3}{2} i \sqrt{-\Lambda} \lambda_{b_1...b_{r-2}} \]

\[ \left( i\mathcal{L}_{\Psi a b_1...b_{r-1}} - \frac{D + 2r - 2}{2} \sqrt{-\Lambda} \right) \chi_{b_1...b_{r-1}} = \sqrt{-\Lambda} \gamma_{b_1} \Psi_{b_2...b_{r-1}} \]

\[= - \nabla^a \chi_{ab_1...b_{r-1}} - \frac{3}{2} i \sqrt{-\Lambda} \Psi_{b_1...b_{r-1}} + \left( \nabla_{b_1} + \frac{3}{2} i \sqrt{-\Lambda} \gamma_{b_1} \right) \lambda_{b_2...b_{r-1}} \]  

3.4 \( (\frac{3}{2}, \frac{3}{2}) \) doublet

As the simplest example demonstrating basic properties of the above metric-like Lagrangian systems of fermionic fields in AdS, let us consider a doublet of fields \( \chi \) and \( \Psi_a \) propagating a spin \( \frac{1}{2} \) and \( \frac{3}{2} \),
For this system the action reduces to
\[
S_{\text{doublet}} = \int d^D x \sqrt{-g} \left[ i \bar{\chi} \nabla a \chi + \bar{\chi} \nabla a \bar{\Psi}^a + \nabla a \bar{\Psi}^a \chi + i \bar{\Psi}^a \nabla a \chi \right.
\]
\[
\left. - \sqrt{-\Lambda} \left( \frac{D-2}{2} \bar{\chi} \chi - \frac{D-2}{2} \bar{\Psi}^a \Psi^a + \frac{3}{2} i \bar{\Psi}^a \chi - \frac{3}{2} i \bar{\chi} \bar{\Psi}^a + \bar{\Psi}^a \Psi^a \right) \right] \tag{3.16}
\]
and the equations of motion (3.15) become
\[
\left( i \nabla_a + D-2 \sqrt{-\Lambda} \right) \Psi_a - \sqrt{-\Lambda} \gamma^b \Psi_b = \left( \nabla_a + \frac{3}{2} i \sqrt{-\Lambda} \gamma_a \right) \chi,
\]
\[
i \nabla^a \Psi_a - \frac{3}{2} \sqrt{-\Lambda} \gamma^a \Psi_a = \left( \nabla^a + D-2 \sqrt{-\Lambda} \right) \chi. \tag{3.17}
\]
These are invariant under the gauge transformations
\[
\delta \Psi_a = \nabla_a \xi - \frac{i}{2} \sqrt{-\Lambda} \gamma_a \xi, \tag{3.18}
\]
\[
\delta \chi = i \gamma^a \nabla_a \xi + \frac{D}{2} \sqrt{-\Lambda} \xi.
\]
By taking linear combinations of the equations (3.17) we get the disentangled conventional equations of motion for the gauge-invariant dynamical spin-1/2 field \( \rho = \chi - i \gamma^a \Psi_a \) and the dynamical spin-3/2 field \( \psi_a = \Psi_a - \frac{i}{D-2} \gamma_a \rho \)
\[
\left( i \gamma^a \nabla_a + \frac{D-4}{2} \sqrt{-\Lambda} \right) \rho = 0,
\]
\[
\gamma^b D_{[b} \psi_{a]} = \gamma^b \left( \nabla_{[b} - \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{-\Lambda} \gamma_{[b} \right) \psi_{a]} = 0. \tag{3.19}
\]
Let us now compare the equations (3.17) with equations for a \((\frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2})\) doublet proposed in [7]. The latter have the following form
\[
\left( i \nabla_a + D-2 \sqrt{-\Lambda} \right) \Psi_a + \sqrt{-\Lambda} \gamma_a \Psi_b = \nabla_a \chi,
\]
\[
\left. i \nabla^a \Psi_a + \frac{D-2}{2} \sqrt{-\Lambda} \gamma^a \Psi_a = \nabla^a \chi. \right. \tag{3.20}
\]
These equations are also invariant under the gauge transformations (3.18) but, as one can see, they differ from (3.17). As was shown in [7], the consistency of (3.20) requires that
\[
\chi = i \gamma^a \Psi_a,
\]
which means that the system (3.20) does not contain the physical spin-1/2 field, the issue which is solved by the properly modified equations (3.17).

4 Conclusion and outlook

As was shown in [13], in the frame-like formulation, the triplet fields are endowed with a geometrical meaning of higher-spin vielbeins and connections transforming under higher-spin local symmetries. This allows one to determine in a conventional way gauge-invariant higher-spin torsion and curvatures and use them for the construction of simply-looking frame-like actions for these systems both in flat and AdS spaces.
Starting from the frame-like action for the unconstrained fermionic higher-spin vielbein and the spin-1/2 field in AdS space, and using the splitting of this vielbein into the metric-like triplet of fermionic fields, we have resolved a long-standing issue of the construction of the metric-like Lagrangian description of the fermionic triplets in AdS spaces.

Having now at our disposal the metric-like Lagrangian formulation for bosonic and fermionic triplets in AdS, one can analyze the BRST structure associated with their gauge transformations and equations of motion, and use the obtained form of the BRST charge for comparing it with that of [7] and studying whether and how the triplets in AdS may arise from the quantization of strings in AdS in a tensionless limit. Since in AdS one may play with two parameters, the string tension and the AdS radius, the tensionless limit of AdS strings may avoid singularities of its flat space counterpart. In this respect it will be interesting to revise the procedure and results of taking a tensionless limit of a bosonic AdS string considered in [12].

As another direction of research, one can proceed with studying interactions of higher-spin triplet fields (cubic and quartic vertices, current exchanges, etc.) along lines put forward in [12, 13, 27]. One of the advantages here is that reducibility of triplet systems can make things simpler, since a single triplet vertex contains a number of vertices of irreducible higher-spin fields.

Finally, one may study whether the minimal Vasiliev theory [43], describing interacting fields of the even spins from 0 to infinity (which can be viewed as a single higher-spin “triplet” with $s = \infty$), could be extended to an interacting theory of infinite sets of “triplets”, as might happen in string theory. To this end one will need to generalize the unfolded machinery to deal with weak trace and gamma-trace conditions (like in (2.7) and (2.8)). First steps in this direction were made in [13].
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Appendix. Notation and conventions

The signature of the $D$-dimensional space-time metric is chosen to be almost minus $(+,−,\ldots,−)$. The Greek letters $\mu, \nu, \ldots$ denote world indices associated with space-time coordinates $x^\mu$. The Latin letters $a, b, c, \ldots$ label the components of tangent-space tensors. The world indices are converted into the tangent space ones by means of the vielbein $e^a_\mu(x)$, which is just the unit matrix $\delta^a_\mu$ in flat space-time.

Different groups of symmetric indices are separated by commas. Each group corresponds to a row in the Young tableau associated to the representation the tensor sits in. For example,

$$\psi^{a_1 a_2 \ldots a_m, b_1 \ldots b_n}, \quad (n \leq m)$$

is a tensor whose symmetry properties are defined by the Young tableau

\[
\begin{array}{c}
\{a_1 a_2 \ldots a_m \} \\
\{b_1 \ldots b_n \}
\end{array}
\]
i.e. $\psi(a_1 a_2 \ldots a_m, b_1) \ldots b_n = 0$.

Symmetrizations of indices are not weighted and are denoted with round brackets, e.g.

$$A^{(a_1} B^{a_2 a_3) } = A^{a_1} B^{a_2 a_3} + A^{a_2} B^{a_1 a_3} + A^{a_3} B^{a_2 a_1}. $$

We also use the short-hand notation for contractions involving $\gamma$ matrices, e.g.

$$\not\gamma^{a_1 \ldots a_n} \equiv \gamma_{a_{n+1}} \gamma^{a_1 \ldots a_n a_{n+1}}, \quad \not\partial \equiv \gamma_\mu \partial^\mu. $$

The gamma matrices obey the Clifford algebra

$$\gamma^a \gamma^b + \gamma^b \gamma^a = 2 \eta^{ab}. $$

In $D = 4$ the gamma-matrices are purely imaginary in the Majorana representation.
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