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Abstract
We compute the partition function of the $q$-states Potts model on a random planar lattice with $p \leq q$ allowed, equally weighted colours on a connected boundary. To this end, we employ its matrix model representation in the planar limit, generalising a result by Voiculescu for the addition of random matrices to a situation beyond free probability theory. We show that the partition functions with $p$ and $q-p$ colours on the boundary are related algebraically. Finally, we investigate the phase diagram of the model when $0 \leq q \leq 4$ and comment on the conformal field theory description of the critical points.
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1. Overview

A planar map can be defined as a graph embeddable in the plane, modulo homeomorphisms. The enumeration of planar maps, initiated by Tutte half a century ago [1], has been a topic of long-running interest with important applications in theoretical physics. When endowed with a statistical lattice model defined thereon, the detailed knowledge of the asymptotic properties of large maps affords a rigorous definition of the path integral for two-dimensional gravity coupled to matter or equivalently, bosonic string theory in a non-critical target space dimension [2–5]—see also [6, 7] for a more general overview. The classification of boundary conditions that can consistently be imposed on the boundary of the graph provides detailed insight into the spectrum of the theory and as a result, the evaluation of the corresponding generating functions has been of continued interest [8–14].
Here we compute the partition function \( W_{p,q}(z) \) of the \( q \)-states Potts model [15] on random planar maps with \( p \leq q \) allowed, equally weighted colours on a connected boundary, where \( z \) denotes the fugacity of a boundary link. For \( q = 2 \) and \( q = 3 \), all of these correspond to boundary conditions of the Ising and Potts lattice models that were found to be integrable on a fixed lattice by Behrend and Pearce [16]. To this end, we employ the matrix model formulation as proposed long ago by Kazakov [17], defined by a probability measure on \( q \) Hermitian matrices \( X = \{ X_{ij} \}_{i,j=1}^N \) of size \( N \times N \) of the form

\[
d\mu(X_1, X_2, \ldots X_q) = \frac{1}{Z_{N,q}} \prod_{ij} e^{\text{tr}X_iX_j} \prod_{i=1}^q e^{-\text{tr}Y_i(X_i)}dX_i,
\]

where \( dX_i \) denotes the integration over independent components

\[
dX_i = \prod_{1 \leq a \leq b \leq N} d\text{Re}(X_i)_{ab}^{a} \prod_{1 \leq a \leq b \leq N} d\text{Im}(X_i)_{ab}^{a}.
\]

Here, the partition function \( Z_{N,q} \) normalises expectation values such that \( \int d\mu = 1 \) and \( \langle ij \rangle \) denotes the product over distinct \( i, j \). In the particular case of random triangulations, \( W_{1,1}(z) \) was first found by Daul [18] and later Zinn-Justin [19] in the saddle point approximation for integer \( 0 < q < 4 \), and by Bonnet and Eynard [20, 21] using the method of loop equations; the latter also found an algebraic equation for \( W_{1,1}(z) \) when \( \arccos((q - 2)/2)/\pi \) is rational. See also [22, 23] for related results. More recently, the authors of [24] considered a combinatorial approach using the so-called ‘loop-gas’ representation of the Potts model on planar maps without reference to a matrix integral, from which a pair of coupled functional equations for \( W_{1,1}(z) \) and a function related to \( W_{p,q}(z) \) was obtained and solved. For \( q = 2 \), the relationship between \( W_{1,1}(z) \) and \( W_{2,1}(z) \) has been expressed succinctly from the perspective of the boundary renormalisation group [8, 9], a picture which later was extended to non-planar geometries [13] and arbitrary face degrees [14]. Indeed, these investigations revealed that different boundary conditions yield equivalent algebraic equations satisfied by the corresponding generating functions. However, a systematic understanding of the relationship between different boundary conditions for more general values of \( q \) and \( p \) appears to be lacking and herein we report on some progress on this matter.

As will be discussed in section 2, \( W_{p,q}(z) \) is given by the Stieltjes transform of the spectral density of the sum of \( p \) Hermitian random matrices of infinite size. A more mathematically inclined characterisation of the problem solved in this paper thus goes as follows: given a set of \( q \) Hermitian random matrices distributed according to (1) and a positive integer \( p \leq q \), what is the spectral density of the sum \( X_1 + X_2 + \ldots X_p \) as \( N \to \infty \)? For the simpler case of uncorrelated matrices, the answer has been succinctly summarised in the context of free probability [25, 26], going back to Voiculescu’s observation of the asymptotic freeness of Gaussian independent random matrices [27]: given a spectral density \( \rho_X(z) \), define the R-transform via the functional inverse of its Stieltjes transform \( W_X(z) \),

\[
R^X(z) = W_X^{-1}(z) - \frac{1}{z}.
\]

Now assume \( Y \) is freely independent from \( X \). Then the free (additive) convolution \( \rho_X \boxplus \rho_Y \) is defined by \( \rho_{X+Y} \). The results of free probability theory [27] state that the latter is obtained from \( \rho_X \) and \( \rho_Y \) by

(i) computing \( R^{X+Y} \) by adding the respective R-transforms

\[
R^{X+Y}(z) = R^X(z) + R^Y(z),
\]

(ii) computing the Stieltjes transform \( W_{X+Y}(z) \) of the sum of the random matrices

\[
W_{X+Y}(z) = W_X(z) + W_Y(z) - \frac{1}{z}.
\]
(ii) inverting the relationship equation (3),
\[ W_{X+Y}^{-1}(z) = R^{X+Y}(z) + \frac{1}{z}. \] (5)

The spectral density for the sum \( X + Y \) can then be read off from the imaginary part of the inverse function\(^4\),
\[ \rho_{X+Y}(x) = \frac{1}{\pi} \Im W_{X+Y}(x). \] (6)

We follow [28] in referring to the key relationship given by (5) as Voiculescu’s formula. Clearly the matrices \( \{X_i\}_{i=1}^p \) distributed according to (1) are not freely independent—their correlations prevent us from applying Voiculescu’s formula to compute the spectral densities for sums like \( X_1 + X_2 + \ldots + X_p \). Our strategy to obtain the disk partition function of the Potts model involves a suitable generalisation of the R-transform and using it to evaluate the spectral density and hence \( W(z) \).

This article is organised as follows: section 2 reviews the matrix model formulation and defines the observables of interest. In section 3, we state the main results in propositions 3.1 and 3.6 and discuss how our results reduce to Voiculescu’s formula when the interactions of the Potts model are turned off. In section 4, we study hard dimers, the Ising model and the 3-states Potts model on planar triangulations as simple examples in greater detail, deriving explicit expressions for the spectral curve for given \( p \) and comparing our results to the literature where available. In section 5, we proceed to investigate the phase diagram of the model when \( 0 < q < 4 \) and comment on the conformal field theory description of the scaling behaviour associated with the critical points. Finally, we discuss the implications of our results in section 6.

2. Definition of the model

Following [17–21], we use (1) to define observables of the \( q \)-states Potts model on a random planar lattice. A distinguishing feature of this measure are the exponentials of \( \text{tr} X_i X_j \) in (1), breaking the overall \( U(N) \times O(q) \)-invariance of the remaining factors. Here we confine our study to the case \( V(z) = U(z) + z^2/2 \) \( \forall i \) for a fixed polynomial \( U(z) = \sum_{m=0}^{k-2} m z^m / m \), rendering the \( q \) states of the statistical system indistinguishable. In this case, the measure remains invariant under the overall symmetries
\[ X_i \rightarrow U^i X_i U, \quad U \in U(N), \quad \text{and} \quad X_i \rightarrow X_{\sigma(i)}, \quad \sigma \in S_q, \] (7)
where \( S_q \) denotes the symmetric group of order \( q! \). This is to be contrasted with the ‘multi-matrix chain’ studied for example in [29, 30], for which \( \mathbb{Z}_2 \) is preserved in place of \( S_q \). Our definition includes a subset of the statistical RSOS models on a random lattice, which are indexed by simply laced Dynkin diagrams [31] and have been described using matrix integrals by Kostov [32]. In particular, for \( (q, k) = (2, 1) \), (1) describes the \( A_3 \) model and for \( (q, k) = (3, 1) \) the \( D_4 \) model on random triangulations, respectively.

The desired quantities \( W_{\sigma}(z) \) can now be defined as follows: given \( \sigma \in S_q / (S_p \times S_{q-p}) \), we define the partition function of the model on a random lattice with \( p \) allowed, equally weighted colours on a single connected boundary containing a marked point as

\(^4\) Throughout we denote by \( f(z) \), the value of a multivalued function \( f(z) \) on the physical sheet, and by \( f(z) \), the value on the sheet connected to the physical sheet by the physical cut.
where here and in what follows \( \langle \cdot \rangle \) denotes the average with respect to the measure (1) and \( z \) denotes the fugacity of a boundary link. As a result of the permutation symmetry, for given \( p \), all \( W_{z|p}(z) = \sum_{\sigma} \langle X_{\sigma} \rangle \) partition functions \( W_{z|p}(z) \) are described by the same function, so that we henceforth abbreviate \( W_{z|p}(z) = W_{z|0}(z) \) for a representative \( \sigma_0 \) and denote the spectral density of the sum \( X_{\sigma_0} \) by \( \rho_{\sigma_0}(z) \). Note that for \( p = 1 \), our definition of \( W_{z|p}(z) \) reduces to the one studied in [17–21].

We conclude this section with a helpful lemma which expresses the partition function as a single integral over effective matrix variables \( X_0 \) and \( P_\pm \) by a series of integral transformations. Thinking of \( X_i \) as coordinates on configuration space, these simply correspond to linear canonical transformations on the corresponding phase space. This circumvents a notorious difficulty presented by the measure (1), which when written as a function of the eigenvalues of the matrices \( X_i \), \( i > 0 \), leads to a complicated integral over the unitary group [17, 18].

**Lemma 2.1.** Let \( h > 0 \) and abbreviate the integral transformations

\[
\gamma_\pm(X) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} dP f(P) e^{-2\pi h P_\pm^2} e^{NuP X(1-e^{-2\pi h})},
\]

\[
\gamma_{\pm}'(P) = \int_{\Gamma} dX f(X) e^{NuPX(1-e^{-2\pi h})},
\]

where the subscripts below the integrals indicate the integration cycle for the corresponding eigenvalues. Then up to an overall constant, the partition function in (1) can be written as

\[
Z_{N,q} = \int_{\mathbb{R}} dP e^{-\frac{2\pi}{h}(1-e^{-2\pi h})P_\pm^2} \langle \gamma_\pm'[e^{NUT}]P_\pm \rangle^q,
\]

\[
= \int_{\mathbb{R}} dX_0 \gamma_+[(\gamma_+'[e^{NUT}])^q](X_0) \gamma_-[(\gamma_-'[e^{NUT}])^q](X_0),
\]

\[
= \int_{\mathbb{R}} dX_0 \left( \prod_{i=1}^q \int_{\Gamma} dX_0 \ e^{-NUT(X_0)} \right) \times \left( X_0 + 2 \sin(h) \sum_{i=1}^p X_i \right) \times \left( X_0 - 2 \sin(h) \sum_{i=p+1}^q X_i \right).
\]

**Proof.** We begin by showing equality of (12) and (13), and then equality to \( Z_{N,q} \). Subsequently showing equality to (11) completes the proof. By definition, we can write

\[
\gamma_\pm'[(\gamma_\pm'[e^{NUT}])^q](X_0) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} dP_\pm e^{-\frac{NuP_\pm^2}{2}} e^{NuX_0X_0(1-e^{-2\pi h})} \times \left( \prod_{i=1}^n \int_{\Gamma} dX_0 \ e^{-NUT(X_0)} \right) \ e^{NuP_\pm X_0(1-e^{-2\pi h})}. \]
In general, there are \( \deg \ U' = k + 1 \) independent cycles \( \Gamma \) that render this iterated integral absolutely convergent for finite \( N \). Hence we can apply the Fubini–Tonelli-theorem, that is, exchange the order of integration:

\[
\gamma_k [ \gamma_{U'} [ \gamma_{U}^{-N} ] ] (X_0) = \left( \prod_{i=1}^{n} \int_{\Gamma} dX_i \ e^{-N \nu \Upsilon (X_i)} \right)
\times \gamma_k [1] \left( X_0 \pm 2 \sinh(h) \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i \right).
\]

Inserting this result into (12) proves equality to (13). To obtain equality to \( Z_{N,q} \), note that up to an overall multiplicative constant

\[
e^{N \nu (\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i)^2 / 2} = \int_{\mathbb{R}} dX_0 \ \gamma_k [1] \left( X_0 + 2 \sinh(h) \sum_{i=1}^{q} X_i \right)
\times \gamma_k [1] \left( X_0 - 2 \sinh(h) \sum_{i=p+1}^{q} X_i \right).
\]

Inserting this result into (13) and interchanging the order of integration between \( X_0 \) and \( X_i \) by the same argument proves equality to \( Z_{N,q} \). It remains to show equivalence to (11). Starting from (13), we may use (14) to write the action of \( \gamma_+ \) on \( \gamma_{U'} [ \gamma_{U}^{-N} ] )^p \) and of \( \gamma_- \) on \( \gamma_{U'} [ \gamma_{U}^{-N} ] )^{q-p} \) as Gaussian integrals over two matrices \( P_+, P_- \), respectively. Performing the integration over \( P_- \) and subsequently \( X_0 \), we are left with (11). As a cross-check, it is straightforward to confirm that (11) equals our initial definition of \( Z_{N,q} \) by writing out the \( q \)th power of \( \gamma_{U'} [ \gamma_{U}^{-N} ] \) as a product of integrals over \( X_i, i = 1 \ldots q \) and reversing the order of integration with \( P_+ \).

\[\square\]

3. Planar limit

This section is concerned with the explicit evaluation of \( W_{\rho, (z)} \) in the planar limit and is organised as follows: section 3.1 expresses \( W_{\rho, (z)} \) via the \( p \)-independent spectrum of the matrix \( Y = \sqrt{1 - e^{-2\theta}} \), appearing in (11). The spectrum is then obtained explicitly in section 3.2—a problem first solved in [18, 29] and rederived here for arbitrary \( q = 4 \). Finally, in section 3.3, we discuss how Voiculescu’s formula (5) arises as a special case of our results in the limit of vanishing interaction strength of the Potts model. For any Hermitian matrix \( X \) with eigenvalues \( \{x_i\}_{i=1}^N \), we define the large-\( N \) spectral density

\[
\rho_N(x) = \lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{N} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{N} \delta(x-x_i) \right),
\]

and introduce its Stieltjes transform

\[
W_{\rho}(z) = \int_{\text{supp } \rho_N} d\lambda \frac{\rho_N(\lambda)}{z-\lambda}, \quad z \notin \text{supp } \rho_N.
\]

To streamline the presentation, for any pair of \( N \times N \) matrices \( (X, P) \), we also define the averages
The key property of the above functions is that $G^X_p(z) = z(1 + \mathcal{O}(1/N))$ for large $N$. 

3.1. Saddle point equations

We begin by stating the main result of this section, proposition 3.1. This rests on lemmas 3.4 and 3.5, which we then derive before concluding with the proof of the proposition.

**Proposition 3.1.** Let the random matrix $P_\tau$ be defined as in lemma 2.1, and set $Y = \sqrt{1 - e^{-2\hbar}P_\tau}$. Then for $N \to \infty$, the spectral density of the sum of $p$ matrices distributed according to (1) is given by

$$
\rho_\tau(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} [G^Y_{(p)}(z)_+ - G^Y_{(p)}(z)_-],
$$

where $G^Y_{(p)}(z)$ is the functional inverse of $G^Y_{(p)}(z)^{-1}$.

**Remark 3.2.** Generally, $G^Y_{(p)}(z)$ is a multi-valued function so that we need to specify the sheet on which (21) is evaluated—this ambiguity is fixed by requiring $\lim_{z \to \infty} W_{(p)}(z) = 1$.

**Corollary 3.3.** When $G^Y_{(p)}(z)$ satisfies an algebraic equation of the form $F_{(p)}(G^Y_{(p)}(z) - z, G^Y_{(q-p)}(z)) = 0$, then $G^Y_{(q-p)}(z)$ follows from

$$
F_{(p)}(G^Y_{(q-p)}(z) - z, G^Y_{(q-p)}(z)) = 0.
$$

As announced, we proceed to formulate the main lemmas involved in the proof of the above results:

**Lemma 3.4.** In the limit $N \to \infty$, the matrix $M = e^{-\hbar\sum_{i=p+1}^q X_i} + e^{\hbar\sum_{i=p+1}^q X_i}$ satisfies

$$
W_{X_\theta}(z) = W_{M}(z - W_{X_\theta}(z)).
$$

**Proof.** This result follows from the translation invariance of the measure $\mu$ defined in (1). Setting $\sigma = \text{id}$ in (13) without loss of generality, consider the shift by a small Hermitian matrix\(^5\) See also [21] for an earlier application of this method of ‘loop equations’ to the Potts matrix model.
\[ X_0 \rightarrow X_0' = X_0 + \varepsilon \left( \frac{1}{z - X_0 z'} - M \right), \quad \varepsilon \ll 1, \]  

understood as a formal power series in \( z, z' \). When \( M = e^{-h\sum_{i=1}^{p} X_i + e^{h\sum_{i=p+1}^{q} X_i}} \), the variation of the product of the two Gaussian integrals

\[ I(\{X_i\}_{i=0}^{q}) \equiv \gamma_1(1) \left( X_0 + 2 \sinh(h) \sum_{i=1}^{p} X_i \right) \times \gamma_1(1) \left( X_0 - 2 \sinh(h) \sum_{i=p+1}^{q} X_i \right) \]  

and the measure \( dX_0 \) is respectively given to leading order by

\[ I(\{X_i\}_{i=0}^{q}) \rightarrow I(\{X_i\}_{i=0}^{q}) + \varepsilon \text{tr} \frac{1}{z - X_0 z'} \left( M - X_0 \right) + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^2), \]  

\[ dX_0 \rightarrow dX_0 \left( 1 + \varepsilon \text{tr} \frac{1}{z - X_0 z'} \left( M - X_0 \right) + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^2) \right). \]

Demanding invariance of \( Z_{N,q} \) to leading order in \( \varepsilon \) and approximating \( \langle \text{tr} A \text{tr} B \rangle = \langle \text{tr} A \rangle \langle \text{tr} B \rangle + \mathcal{O}(1/N) \) yields, as \( N \rightarrow \infty \),

\[ W_M(z') - W_X(z) = \frac{1}{N} \left( \text{tr} \frac{1}{z - X_0 z'} \left( M - X_0 \right) \right) (W_X(z) - z + z'). \]

Evaluating the above at \( z' = z - W_X(z) \) proves the lemma.

**Lemma 3.5.** Let the matrices \( P_r, X_0 \) be defined as in lemma 2.1, and set \( P_r = Y/\sqrt{1 - e^{-2h}} \) and \( X_0 = 2 \sinh(h) \tilde{X}_0 \). Then for \( z \in \text{supp } \rho \), as \( N \rightarrow \infty \),

\[ \text{Re } G^{X_0}_Y(z) = \left( \frac{2p}{q} - 1 \right) \text{Re } W_Y(z) + \left( \frac{1}{1 - e^{-2h}} - \frac{p}{q} \right) z. \]

**Proof.** This result follows from the saddle point approximation applied to (11) and (12) in lemma 2.1. Setting

\[ Y = U \text{diag}(\{\gamma_{i_n}\}_n^{N}) U^+, \quad \frac{X_0}{2 \sinh(h)} = V \text{diag}(\{\chi_{i_n}\}_n^{N}) V^+, \]

with \( U, V \in U(N) \), we can perform the integration over \( U^+V \) using the well-known result [34, 35]

\[ \int_{U(N)} dU \: e^{i \text{tr}(YUXU')} = \text{const.} \times \frac{\det_{1 \leq i, j \leq N} e^{i \lambda \chi_{ij}}}{\Delta(x) \Delta(y)} \quad \forall \lambda \in \mathbb{C}, \]

where \( dU \) is the normalised Haar measure. It follows that, for the exponent of the integrand in (12) to have an extremum, the eigenvalues \( \{x_n\} \) and \( \{y_n\} \) must satisfy
\[
0 = \frac{1}{N} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial \gamma_n} \ln \det e^{W_{ht}} + \sum_{k \neq n} \frac{1}{\gamma_k - y_k} - \frac{y_n}{1 - e^{-2h}} \right) + \frac{p}{N} \frac{\partial}{\partial \gamma_n} \ln \gamma'_n \left[ e^{-N\nu(U)} \right] \left( \frac{Y}{\sqrt{1 - e^{-2h}}} \right).
\]

(33)

On the other hand, from (11) and (33) we find

\[
0 = \frac{2}{N} \sum_{k \neq n} \frac{1}{\gamma_k - y_k} + \frac{q}{N} \frac{\partial}{\partial \gamma_n} \ln \gamma'_n \left[ e^{-N\nu(U)} \right] \left( \frac{Y}{\sqrt{1 - e^{-2h}}} \right) - y_n,
\]

(34)

which allows us to eliminate \( \gamma'_n \left[ e^{-N\nu(U)} \right] \left( Y/\sqrt{1 - e^{-2h}} \right) \) between the above and (33). Taking \( N \to \infty \) and using (19) yields (30) as advertised.

\[\square\]

**Proof of proposition 3.1 and corollary 3.3.** Equation (22) follows from lemma 3.5 after analytic continuation. Following an argument in [19], we note that the derivative w.r.t. \( x_N \) of the logarithm of (32) is an entire function of \( x_N \), which implies that as \( N \to \infty \), \( G^Y_{x_N} \) and \( W_{x_N} \) have the same discontinuity across the real axis. Applying this to our situation, we conclude that when \( z \in \text{supp} \rho_Y \),

\[
G^Y_{x_N}(z) = \Re G^Y_{x_N}(z) \pm i\pi \rho_Y(z),
\]

(35)

\[
G^Y_{x_N}(z) = \Re G^Y_{x_N}(z) \pm i\pi \rho_{x_N}(z).
\]

(36)

For \( h > 0 \), it follows from (11) that \( Y \) is Hermitian, so \( W_{y}(z) \) has no singularities in the complex plane away from the real axis. Hence we can analytically continue (30) to \( z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \text{supp} \rho_{y} \) using

\[
G^Y_{x_N}(z)^+ - G^Y_{x_N}(z)^- = W_{y}(z)^+ - W_{y}(z)^-.
\]

(37)

which results in

\[
G^Y_{x_N}(z) = \frac{p}{q} (W_{y}(z)^+ - z) - \frac{q - p}{q} W_{y}(z)^- + \frac{z}{1 - e^{-2h}}.
\]

(38)

To obtain (21), note first that from lemma 3.4, we find

\[
W_{x_N}(z) = W_{M/(2\sinh(h))} \left( z - \frac{1}{4\sinh^2(h)} W_{x_N}(z) \right).
\]

(39)

where we used the property \( W_{y}(z) = \lambda W_{x_N}(\lambda x) \) for real \( \lambda \). In the limit \( h \to \infty \), \( M/(2\sinh(h)) \to \sum_{l=0}^{q} X_l \) and consequently, from the above

\[
W_{x_N}(z) \to W_{q-p}(z + O(e^{-2h})) \quad \text{as} \quad h \to \infty.
\]

(40)

We infer that in this limit, \( \rho_{x_N}(z) \to \rho_{q-p}(z) \), which in conjunction with (36) yields

\[
\rho_{q-p}(z) = \lim_{h \to \infty} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \left[ G^Y_{x_N}(z)^+ - G^Y_{x_N}(z)^- \right].
\]

(41)

We thus obtain the desired expressions (21) and (22) from the above and (38) by identifying \( G^Y_{x_N}(z) = G^Y_{q-p}(z) \), and noting that according to (38), the analytic continuation of \( G^Y_{q-p}(z) \) through \( \text{supp} \rho_{y} \) is given by \( z - G^Y_{q-p}(z) \).

It is the analysis of this quantity that leads us to the exact solution for \( W_{y}(z) \) in the next subsection.
The functional inversion relation then follows from $G_{X_0}^{-1} \circ G_{X_0} = \text{id}$. Finally, to show corollary 3.3, observe that according to (42), for an algebraic function $F_p$ in two variables

$$F_p(z, G^d_z F_z G z, 0) = -\mu,$$

since the analytic continuation merely takes us from one solution to the above equation to another. Evaluating at $z' = G^{q-p}_z(z)$ proves the corollary.

3.2. General solution

The main result in proposition 3.1 is expressed via the functional inverse of $G^d_z$. Generally, this functional inversion is most easily achieved by means of an explicit parametric form of $W_z$. Proposition 3.6 below provides just that for general $q \neq 4$ when $U(z)$ is cubic, i.e. $k = 1$. Our conventions for elliptic functions are those of Gradshtein and Ryzhik [36] and are spelled out in appendix A.

**Proposition 3.6.** Let $k = 1, \nu = \arccos((q - 2)/2)/\pi$ and assume $\text{supp } \rho_y = [z_-, z_+] \subset \mathbb{R}$ as $N \to \infty$. Then

$$W_Y(z(\sigma)) = \frac{1}{4 - q} \left( \frac{q \tau^2}{2} + 2 \zeta(\sigma) \right) + \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{f_n}{n!} \partial_y^n \left[ g(\sigma; \nu) + g(\sigma; -\nu) \right],$$

with the coefficients $\{f_n\}$ determined by the requirement $\lim_{z \to \infty} zW_Y(z) = 1$ and

$$\zeta(\sigma) = \delta_U + \sqrt{(z_+ - \delta_U)(z_- - \delta_U)} \left( \frac{\partial_2(\pi \sigma|\tau)}{\partial_3(\pi \sigma|\tau)} \right),$$

$$g(\sigma; \nu) = e^{i\nu\pi} \frac{\partial_3(\pi \sigma + \pi \nu/2|\tau)}{\partial_3(\pi \sigma|\tau)},$$

where $\tau$ and $\delta_U$ are implicit functions of $t_2, t_3$.

**Proof.** We can determine the spectrum of $Y$ from the saddle point equation (34) which is precisely the problem first considered in [18, 19]. To our knowledge, the first large $N$ analysis of $\gamma_N^1 [e^{-N^2U}]$ for cubic $U$ was done, if in a slightly different context, by Gross and Newman in [37]. Using [37, equations (2.10), (2.11)], equation (34) can be expressed as

$$z = 2 \text{Re } W_Y(z) + \frac{q}{2} \int_{z_-}^{z_+} \frac{dz'}{\sqrt{z' - \delta_U \sqrt{z' - \delta_U} + \sqrt{z' - \delta_U}}} \frac{\rho_Y(z')}{\sqrt{z' - \delta_U}}$$

$$+ q \frac{\sqrt{z - \delta_U}}{\sqrt{t_3}} - q \frac{t_2}{2t_3}, \quad z \in [z_-, z_+] ,$$

where $\delta_U$ solves the implicit equation

$$\frac{t_2}{4t_3} + \delta_U = \frac{\sqrt{t_3}}{t_2^{1/2}} \int_{z_-}^{z_+} dz \frac{\rho_Y(z)}{\sqrt{z - \delta_U}}.$$

Let us resolve the branch point at $\delta_U$ by the change of variables $w(z) = \sqrt{z - \delta_U}$, and denote $w(z_{\pm}) = w_{\pm}$. Introducing the auxiliary function
we derive the two identities

\[
\text{Re } W_Y(z) = \text{Re } f(w(z)) + f(-w(z)), \quad z \in [z_-, z_+],
\]

\[
f(-w(z)) = -\frac{1}{2} \int_{z_-}^{z_+} \frac{dz'}{\sqrt{z'^2 - \delta_U}} \frac{\rho_Y(z')}{\sqrt{z - \delta_U + \sqrt{z'^2 - \delta_U}}}. \tag{51}
\]

We can then rewrite (47) in the equivalent form

\[
2 \text{Re } f(w) + (2 - q)f(-w) = \delta_U + w^2 - \frac{w}{\sqrt{t_3}} + \frac{q}{2t_3}, \quad w \in [w_-, w_+]. \tag{52}
\]

A particular polynomial solution to the above equation when \( q = 4 \) is

\[
f_4(w) = \frac{ql_2}{2t_3(4 - q)} - \frac{w}{\sqrt{t_3}} + \delta_U + w^2 - \frac{1}{4 - q}. \tag{53}
\]

The general solution will therefore differ from the above by a function \( f_4(w) = f_4(w) - f(w) \) holomorphic on \( \mathbb{C} \setminus [w_-, w_+] \) satisfying the homogenous equation

\[
2 \text{Re } f_4(w) + (2 - q)f_4(-w) = 0, \quad w \in [w_-, w_+]. \tag{54}
\]

We recover \( \rho_Y(z) \) by inverting (49), which, using the fact that \( f_4(w) \) is analytic, gives

\[
\rho_Y(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} [f_4(\sqrt{z - \delta_U})]_+ - [f_4(\sqrt{z - \delta_U})]_- + [f_4(\sqrt{z - \delta_U})]_+ - [f_4(\sqrt{z - \delta_U})]_- \tag{55}
\]

From the above expressions it then follows that for \( z \notin [z_-, z_+] \), \( W_Y(z) \) is given by

\[
W_Y(z) = \frac{1}{4 - q} \left( \frac{ql_2}{t_3} + 2z \right) - f_4(\sqrt{z - \delta_U}) + f_4(-\sqrt{z - \delta_U}). \tag{56}
\]

The general solution to (54) was first derived in [38] in the context of the \( O(n) \) model on a random lattice and is presented in more detail in appendix A. There we recall how \( f_4(w) \) can be parametrised in terms of \( g(\sigma; \nu) \), defined in (46), as \(^7\)

\[
f_4(w(\sigma)) = \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{n!}{n!} \frac{\partial^n}{\partial \sigma^n} \left[ e^{-i\sigma \nu} g(\sigma; \nu) + e^{i\sigma \nu} g(\sigma; -\nu) \right], \tag{57}
\]

\[
w(\sigma) = \sqrt{w_1 w_2} \frac{\vartheta_2(\pi \sigma | \tau)}{\vartheta_3(\pi \sigma | \tau)}, \tag{58}
\]

where \( \nu = \arccos((2 - q)/2)/\pi \) and \( \tau = iK'/K \), with \( K \) and \( K' \) respectively given by the complete elliptic integral of the first and second kind (see (A.4)); the coefficients \( \{f_4\} \) are entirely determined by the condition that \( \lim_{z \to -\infty} W_Y(z) = 1 \). Inserting the above parametrisation into (56) completes the proof. \( \square \)

### 3.3. Derivation of Voiculescu’s formula for free convolution

Here we show how our results provide a non-trivial generalisation of Voiculescu’s formula for free convolution of probability distributions to a non-free situation. This is essentially an adaption of the derivations in [28, 39] to the case where the ‘external’ matrix follows a Gaussian distribution; gradually turning off the \( O(q) \)-symmetry breaking interactions of the

\(^7\) By abuse of notation, we distinguish the functions \( w(\sigma) \) and \( w(z) = \sqrt{z - \delta_U} \) solely by their arguments.
Potts model, our formulae should reduce to Voiculescu’s for free random variables. To confirm this is the case, it is convenient to consider the slightly generalised measure \( \mu_\lambda \),

\[
d\mu_\lambda(X_1, X_2, \ldots X_q) = \frac{1}{Z_{N,q}^{\lambda}} \prod_{i<j} e^{\lambda \text{Tr} X_i X_j} \prod_{i=1}^q e^{-\lambda \text{Tr} V(x_i)} dX_i, \quad \lambda \geq 0,
\]

which reduces to \( \mu \) for \( \lambda \to 1 \) (see (1)) and should yield Voiculescu’s formula for \( \lambda \to 0 \). Of course, the parameter \( \lambda \) is redundant in that we may equivalently obtain \( \mu \) by a suitable rescaling of \( X_i \) and \( \{t_m \}_{m=0}^{k+2} \); we are thus not departing from the initial parameter space of the model. The following holds for averages with respect to \( \mu_\lambda \).

**Proposition 3.7.** Take \( N \to \infty \). Then as \( \lambda \to 0 \),

\[
G_{X,Y}^M(z) - W_{X,Y}(z) \to R^M(z),
\]

\[
W_{(q)}^{-1}(z) \to \sum_{i=1}^q W_{X_i}^{-1}(z) - \frac{q-1}{z},
\]

where \( R^M(z) \) denotes the R-transform of \( W_{X,Y}(z) \) as defined in (3).

**Proof.** According to lemma 2.1, we can write the partition function \( Z_{N,q}^{\lambda} \) via the fiducial matrix \( Y = \sqrt{1 - e^{-2N}} P \), as

\[
Z_{N,q}^{\lambda} = \int_\mathbb{R} dY \ e^{-N \text{Tr} \lambda Y^2/2} \prod_{i=1}^q \int_\Gamma dX_i \ e^{-N \text{Tr} V(X_i) + \lambda \sum_{i=1}^q X_i^2},
\]

\[
= \int_\mathbb{R} dY \ e^{-N \text{Tr} \lambda Y^2/2} \left( \prod_{i=1}^q \int_\Gamma dX_i \ e^{-N \text{Tr} V(X_i) + \lambda \sum_{i=1}^q X_i^2} \right) e^{\lambda \text{Tr} \sum_{i=1}^q X_i},
\]

Diagonalising the matrices and integrating over the unitary group, we can write, taking the limit \( N \to \infty \),

\[
\frac{1}{N} \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \ln \int_\Gamma dX_i \ e^{-N \text{Tr} [V(X_i) + X_i^2]} \bigg|_{V_0 = z} = G_{X,Y}^M(z) - W_{X,Y}(z),
\]

where we used the definition (19); comparing (62) and (63), this implies

\[
G_{X,Y}^{X_1+X_2+\ldots X_q}(z) - W_{X,Y}(z) = \sum_{i=1}^q \left( G_{X,Y}^{X_i}(z) - W_{X,Y}(z) \right).
\]

Now consider the limit \( \lambda \to 0 \). On the one hand

\[
1 = \lim_{\lambda \to 0} \frac{e^{\lambda W_{X,Y}}}{\Delta(x) \Delta(y)},
\]

from which it follows that

\[
0 = \lim_{\lambda \to 0} (G_{X,Y}^{X,\lambda}(z) - W_{X,Y}(z)),
\]

\[
0 = \lim_{\lambda \to 0} (G_{X,Y}^{X_1+X_2+\ldots X_q,\lambda}(z) - W_{(q)}(z)).
\]

On the other hand, as can be seen from (34) in this limit, the matrix \( Y \) will follow a Gaussian distribution, so its spectral density approaches a semi-circle. As a result, the spectral density of the rescaled matrix \( X Y \) approaches a delta function so that \( W_{X,Y}(z) \to 1/z \). Together with the relation \( G_X^Y \circ G_Y^X = \text{id} \), this means that indeed
For \( q = 2 \), (61) indeed gives Voiculescu’s formula (5). It is in this sense that the function \( G_{X}^{M}(z) - W_{X}(z) \) lifts the notion of the \( R \)-transform, so that (65) represents a non-trivial extension of Voiculescu’s formula to the addition of correlated random matrices, distributed according to \( ml \). It is instructive to compare (65) for \( l = 1 \) to the expressions in proposition 3.1 of the previous section more explicitly. Since from (62) and (63)

\[
G_{X}^{Y}(z) = \frac{q - 1}{q}W_{Y}(z) + \frac{1}{q}(z - W_{Y}(z)),
\]

we observe upon comparison to (22) that indeed

\[
G_{Y}^{(1)}(z) = G_{X}^{Y}(z), \quad G_{Y}^{(q)}(z) = G_{Y}^{X+\ldots X}(z).
\]

Hence, for the \( S_{q} \)-invariant case \( \mathcal{V}(z) = U(z) - z^{2}/2 \), our main result in proposition 3.1 further generalises this result to the sum of \( p \leq q \) matrices: the function \( G_{Y}^{(p)}(z) - W_{Y}(z) \) generalises the \( R \)-transform of \( W_{(p)}(z) \), and equation (22) generalises Voiculescu’s formula.

### 4. Case studies

Here we consider the cases \((q, k) = (1, 2), (2, 1), \) and \((3, 1)\), which describe hard dimers, the \( A_{3} \) and the \( D_{4} \) model on planar triangulations, respectively. For the former two models, the functions \( W_{(p)}(z) \) have been known for a while [8, 9, 40]—the fact that our general formula in proposition 3.1 reproduces these results lends credence to our extension to the \( D_{4} \) model. Unlike models with irrational values of \( \arccos((q - 2)/2) \), all of these share the simplification that they can be described by polynomial equations: we derive explicit expressions for the polynomials \( F_{(p)}(x, y) \) satisfying

\[
F_{(p)}(z, G_{(p)}^{I}(z)) = 0, \quad 1 \leq p \leq q,
\]

which define a family of algebraic curves \( \mathcal{C}_{(p)} = \{ (x, y) \in \mathbb{C}^{2} | F_{(p)}(x, y) = 0 \} \). Here we exclusively consider solutions for which the spectral densities have connected support such that the constants \( c_{ij}^{(p)} \) that appear in the expressions for \( F_{(p)}(x, y) \) below may be determined as functions of \( l_{m_{l}m_{r}+2} \) via the requirement that \( \mathcal{C}_{(p)} \) be of genus zero. In appendix B, we describe the resulting analytic structure of \( G_{Y}^{(p)}(z) \) and \( G_{X}^{Y}(z) \).

#### 4.1. \((q, k) = (1, 2)\) — Hard dimers

This model describes hard dimers on planar triangulations and was first solved on the sphere by Staudacher [40]. According to (1) and (11), the partition function can be written as both a one- and two-matrix integral

\[
Z_{N,1} = \int dX \ e^{-Ntr[U(X) - X^{2}/2]},
\]

\[
= \int dY \ e^{-NtrY^{2}/2} \int dX \ e^{-Ntr[U(X) - XY]},
\]

Using the definition (19) in the planar limit, the above expressions imply the following relations:
The first line above is indeed consistent with (22) in proposition 3.1 and (72) in section 3.3. Through the relation \( G_Y \circ G_X = \text{id} \), (76) and (77) dictate the analytic structure and asymptotic behaviour of \( G_Y(z) \), the result of which is given in detail in appendix B.1. This allows us to compute the spectral curve using (22),

\[
F(x, y) = x^4 - x^3y + \frac{t_3}{t_4}x^3 + \frac{y^2}{t_4} - \frac{t_3}{t_4}x^2y + \frac{t_2 + t_4}{t_4}x^2 - \frac{t_2 + 1}{t_4}xy - c_{0,0}x + c_{1,1}y + c_{1,0}.
\]  

(79)

According to corollary 3.3, the functions \( G_Y(z) \) then satisfy

\[
F_{(1)}(z) - z, \quad G_Y(z) = 0, \quad F_{(1)}(z), \quad \Gamma(1)(z) = 0,
\]

(80)

which in turn determines their analytic structure and asymptotic behaviour on all sheets—see appendix B.1. Finally, comparing to (78), we conclude that

\[
G_Y(z)_+ = z + W(1)(z), \quad G_Y(z)_- = t_4z^3 + t_2z^2 + t_2z - W(1)(z).
\]

(81)

4.2. \((q, k) = (2, 1)—Ising model\)

This corresponds to the Ising model on planar triangulations, which is the \( A_3 \) model in the classification of [31] and was first solved on the sphere by Kazakov and Boulatov [41, 42]; it is described by the much-studied symmetric Hermitian two-matrix model. The three integrable boundary conditions of the model are captured by the linear combinations of \( X_i \) shown in figure 1 [43]: \( W(1) \) captures the \( S_2 \cong \mathbb{Z}_2\)-doublet \([1, \varepsilon]\), \( W(2) \) the \( \mathbb{Z}_2\)-singlet \( \{\sigma\} \). From (11), we see that in this case the partition function can be written as

\[
Z_{V, 2} = \int dX_1 dX_2 e^{-N tr[U(X_1) + U(X_2)]} e^{N tr(X_1 + X_2)^2/2},
\]

(82)

\[
= \int dY e^{-N trY^2/2} \left( \int dX e^{-N tr[U(X) - XY]} \right)^2.
\]

(83)

On the other hand, changing variables to \( X_+ = X_1 + X_2 + t_2/t_3 \) and integrating out \( X_- \), we obtain the equivalent one-matrix representation going back to [44].
\[ Z_{N,2} = \text{const.} \times \int \frac{dX_+ e^{-N \text{U} \left( X_+ \right)}}{\sqrt{\text{Det} \left( X_+ \otimes I + I \otimes X_+ \right)}}, \]  

where \( U'_+ (z) = t_3 z^2 / 4 - z - t_2 (4 - t_2) / (4t_3) \) and capital \( \text{Det} \) denotes the determinant on \( N^2 \times N^2 \) matrices. Using the definition (19) in the planar limit, the above expressions respectively imply the following set of equations:

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{z} &= W_F (z)_- - W_F (z)_+ + 2G^X_\nu (z)_+ , \\
U' (z) &= W^{(1)}_1 (z)_- + G^X_\nu (z)_+ , \\
U' (z) &= W^{(1)}_1 (z)_- + G^X_\nu (z)_+ + z, \\
U'_F (z + t_2 / t_3) &= W^{(2)}_1 (z)_- + W^{(2)}_1 (z)_+ + W^2 (z). 
\end{align*}
\]

Again, the first line is consistent with (22) in proposition 3.1 and (72) in section 3.3. Equations (85) and (86) dictate the analytic structure and asymptotic behaviour of \( G^X_\nu (z) \), see appendix B.2. As before, this allows us to compute the spectral curve using (22),

\[
\begin{align*}
F_{(1)} (x, y) &= x^4 - 2x^3 y - \frac{1}{t_3} y^3 + \frac{1 - t_2}{t_3^2} x^2 + x^2 y^2 - \frac{t_2 + 2}{t_3} x^2 y \\
&\quad + \frac{t_2^2 - t_2}{t_3} x^2 + \frac{t_2 + 2}{t_3} x^3 y^2 + \frac{t_2^2 - t_2}{t_3} xy + c^{(1,1)} + c^{(1,2)}, \\
F_{(2)} (x, y) &= x^4 + \frac{4t_2}{t_3} x^3 + \frac{4}{t_3} y^3 - x^2 y^2 - \frac{4 + 2t_2}{t_3} x^2 y - \frac{2t_2}{t_3} x^3 y^2 + \frac{4t_2^2 + 2t_2^2}{t_3^2} x^2 \\
&\quad + \frac{8t_2}{t_3^2} x^2 y - \frac{4t_2 (2 + t_2)}{t_3} xy - c^{(2,0)} x + c^{(2,1)} y + c^{(2,2)}. 
\end{align*}
\]

According to corollary 3.3, the functions \( G^Y_\nu (z) \) then satisfy

\[
\begin{align*}
F_{(1)} (z, G^Y_1 (z)) = 0, & \quad F_{(1)} (G^Y_1 (z) - z, G^Y_1 (z)) = 0, \\
F_{(2)} (z, G^Y_2 (z)) = 0, & \quad F_{(2)} (G^Y_2 (z) - z, G^Y_2 (z)) = 0. 
\end{align*}
\]

Again we may use the above to compute the analytic structure and asymptotic behaviour of \( G^Y_\nu (z) \) on all sheets—see appendix B.2. Comparing to (87) and (88), we conclude that for \( p = 1 \)

\[
\begin{align*}
G^Y_{(1)} (z)_+ &= z + G^{X \nu}_{(k)} (z), \\
G^Y_{(1)} (z)_- &= t_2 z^2 + t_2 z - W^{(1)} (z), 
\end{align*}
\]

whereas for \( p = 2 \)

\[
\begin{align*}
G^Y_{(2)} (z)_+ &= z + W^{(2)} (z), \\
G^Y_{(2)} (z)_- &= t_2 z^2 / 4 + t_2 z / 2 - W^{(2)} (z) - W^2 (z). 
\end{align*}
\]

Our results reproduce the analytic structure found in \([8, 9, 13]\) as well as the relation between the \( p = 1 \) and \( p = 2 \) boundary conditions reported in \([14]\): at the level of the polynomial equation, the correspondence with the quantities defined therein is

\[
W_F (z) \leftrightarrow W_A (a), \quad G^{(1)}_Y (z) \leftrightarrow x (a), \quad G^{(2)}_Y (z) \leftrightarrow m (a). 
\]
The polynomial $E(x, y) = -t_3 F(x, x + y)$ is of order 3 in both $x$ and $y$.

$$E(x, y) = x^3 + y^3 - t_3 x^2 y^2 - 1 - t_3 \frac{(x^2 y + y^2 x)}{t_3} = \frac{1}{t_3} - \frac{t_2}{t_3} (x^2 + y^2)$$

and satisfies $E(x, y) = E(y, x)$ and $E(z, G_x^z(z)) = 0$, as follows from comparison of (85) and (86). This is the usual spectral curve of the two-matrix model introduced by Eynard [45].

### 4.3. $(q, k) = (3, 1)$—3-states Potts model

This model is equivalent to the $D_4$ lattice model on planar triangulations, for which $W_{ij}(z)$ was first calculated by Daul in [18]. The full list of boundary conditions of the $D_4$ lattice model is given in figure 2—see [16, p 60]: $W_{ij}(z)$ captures the $S_3$-triplet $[I, \psi, \psi^\dagger]$, $W_{i0}(z)$ the $S_3$-triplet $[\varepsilon, \sigma, \sigma^\dagger]$, and $W_{i3}(z)$ the singlet $[F]$; thanks to corollary 3.3, the spectral curve for the latter also defines another singlet $W_{0i}(z)$, which may be conjectured to describe the one remaining independent boundary condition $[N]$, though herein we will not attempt to determine its relationship to the microscopic definition given in [16]. From (11), we see that the partition function can be written as

$$Z_{3,3} = \int dX dY dX_0 e^{-N\text{Tr}[U(X)+U(X_0)+U(X_0)]} e^{N\text{Tr}(X_0 + X_0^2)/2},$$

(99)

$$= \int dY e^{-N\text{Tr}Y^2/2} \left( \int dX e^{-N\text{Tr}[U(X)-X^2]} \right)^3.$$  

(100)

Again we may set $X_0 = X_0 \pm X_2 + t_2/t_3$ and integrate out $X_-$, which gives

$$Z_{3,3} = \text{const.} \times \int dX dX_3 e^{-N\text{Tr}[U(X)+U(X_0)+U(X_0)]} \sqrt{\text{Det}(X_+ \otimes \mathbb{1} + \mathbb{1} \otimes X_+)}.$$  

(101)

where $U_0(z)$ is as in the previous section. Using the definition (19) in the planar limit, the above expressions respectively imply the following set of equations:

$$z = W_Y(z) - 2W_Y(z) + 3G_Y^X(z),$$

(102)
Once again, the first line is consistent with (22) in proposition 3.1 and (72) in section 3.3. The analytic structure and asymptotic behaviour of all relevant functions can be determined as before—see appendix B.3. The resulting spectral curves are

\[
F_{(1)}(x, y) = x^6 - x^5 \left( 6y + \frac{6t_2}{t_3} \right) + x^4 \left( 13y^2 + \frac{6(4t_2 - 1)}{t_3} y + \frac{9t_2^4}{t_3^2} + 2 \right) \\
- x^3 \left( 12y^3 - \frac{24 - 28t_2}{t_3} y^2 + \frac{12t_2^2 + 8t_3^2 - 24t_2 y - 4t_2^2 - 8t_2 t_3^2}{t_3^2} \right) \\
+ x^2 \left( 4y^4 + \frac{6(t_2 - 6)}{t_3} y^3 + \frac{9 - 54t_2 - 15t_2^2 + 10t_2^3}{t_3^2} y^2 \\
- \frac{6(3t_2^3 + 5t_3^3 + t_3^3 - 3t_2 t_3^2)}{t_3^3} y - \frac{12t_2^4}{t_3^4} + \frac{6t_2^5}{t_3^5} + 1 \right)
\]

Figure 3. A portion of the phase diagram of the 3-states Potts model on planar triangulations. Along the critical lines, \( \partial^4 E_{(1)} \), \( \partial_y \partial^3 E_{(1)} \) and \( \partial_x \partial_y \partial^3 E_{(1)} \) vanish, with the polynomial \( E_{(1)} \) as in equation (116); at the critical points, \( \partial^4 E_{(1)} \) vanishes in addition.

\[
U'(z) = W_{(1)}(z)_- + G_X(z)_+, \quad \text{(103)}
\]

\[
U'(z) = W_{(1)}(z)_- + G_{X_1 + X_2}(z)_+ + z, \quad \text{(104)}
\]

\[
U'_+(z + t_2^2/t_3) = W_{(2)}(z)_- + G_{X_1 + X_2}(z)_+ + W_{(2)}(-z). \quad \text{(105)}
\]
\[ F_{13}(x, y) = x^6 + x^5 \left( 6y + \frac{18t_3}{t_3} \right) + x^4 \left( 9y^2 + \frac{18(4t_2 - 1)}{t_3} y + \frac{117t_3^2}{t_3^2} + 6 \right) \]

\[ - x^3 \left( 4y^3 - \frac{36(t_2 - 2)}{t_3} y^2 - \frac{12(21t_2^2 + 2t_2 - 18t_2)}{t_3} y - \frac{36(9t_3^2 + 2t_2t_3^2)}{t_3^2} \right) \]

\[ - x^2 \left( 12y^4 + \frac{18(3 + 5t_3)}{t_3} y^3 - \frac{9(9 - 54t_2 - 15t_2^2 + 2t_2^2)}{t_3^2} y^2 \right) \]

\[ - \frac{54(-15t_3^2 + 3t_2^2 - t_3^2 + 3t_2t_3^2)}{t_3^4} y - \frac{270t_2^2}{t_3^2} - \frac{324t_2^4}{t_3^4} - 9 \]

\[ - x \left( \frac{36(t_2 - 1)}{t_3} y^4 + \frac{6(39t_2^2 + 2t_3^2 - 27)}{t_3^3} y^3 \right) \]

\[ + \frac{54(12t_2^2 + 9t_2^3 + 2t_2 - 9t_2)}{t_3^4} y^2 \]

\[ - \frac{54t_2(6t_3^2 + t_3^2)}{t_3^4} \]

\[ - \frac{18(12t_2^2 t_3^4 + t_3^4 - 54t_2^4 - 18t_2^4 - 18t_2^4)}{t_3^4} y \]

\[ + \frac{108y^5}{t_3^3} + \frac{27(26t_2 - 9)}{t_3^2} y^4 - \frac{9(12 - 84t_2^2 + 16t_2^3 + 82(t_2^2 - 9))}{t_3^3} y^3 \]

\[ + \frac{3(216t_2^3 + 36t_2^2 - 144t_2^2 t_3^2 + t_3^4 (216 - 84t_3^2) + 8t_3^4)}{t_3^5} y^2 \]

\[ - \frac{9(48t_2^2 + 24t_3^2 - 8t_2 t_3^2 + 4t_3^4)}{t_3^3} y \]

\[ - \frac{72t_2^2}{t_3^2} + 4 \]

\[ - 27(c_{3,3}^{(1)} y^3 + c_{3,2}^{(1)} y^2 + c_{3,1}^{(1)} y + c_{3,0}^{(1)}). \]  

(106)

According to corollary 3.3, the functions \( G_{1/1}^y(z) \) then satisfy

\[ F_{11}(z, G_{1/1}^y(z)) = 0, \quad F_{11}(G_{2/1}^y(z) - z, G_{2/1}^y(z)) = 0. \]  

(108)

\[ F_{13}(z, G_{1/3}^y(z)) = 0, \quad F_{13}(G_{2/0}^y(z) - z, G_{2/0}^y(z)) = 0. \]  

(109)

As before, the above fixes the analytic structure and asymptotic behaviour of \( G_{1/0}^y(z) \) on all sheets—see appendix B.3. Comparing to (104) and (105), we conclude that
\[ G_{(1)}^y(z) = z + G_{0}^y, \]  
\[ G_{(1)}(z)_{-} = t_2 z^2 + t_2 z - W_{(1)}(z), \]  
and
\[ G_{(2)}^y(z) = z + G_{0}^y, \]  
\[ G_{(2)}^y(z)_{-} = t_2 z^2/4 + t_2 z/2 - W_{(2)}(z) - W_{(2)}(-z). \]

Similarly, one can show
\[ G_{(3)}^y(z) = z + W_{(3)}(z), \]  
\[ G_{(3)}^y(z)_{-} = t_2 z^2/9 + t_2 z/3 + O(z^{-1}). \]

\( F_{(1)}(x, y) \) corresponds to the spectral curve first described in [18, 19]; the remaining expressions are new results. The polynomials \( -t_3 F_{(1)}(x, x + y) = 4E_{(1)}(x, y) \) are of degree one less in \( x \). For example
\[
E_{(1)}(x, y) = x^5 + y^5 - x^4 \left( \frac{t_2}{4} y^2 + \frac{2t_2 - 20}{4} y + \frac{8 - 24t_2}{4t_3} \right) - \frac{17}{4t_3} - \frac{18t_2 y^4}{4t_3} - x^3 \left( \frac{14t_2 - 34}{4t_3} y^2 + \frac{12t_2^2 - 84t_2 + 32}{4t_3} y - \frac{t_2 c_1}{4} \right) - x^2 \left( \frac{5t_2 - 1}{2} y^3 + \frac{39t_2^2 - 90t_2 - 2t_3 + 57}{4t_3} y^2 - \frac{t_2 c_2}{4} y + \frac{c_3}{4} \right) + x \left( \frac{8 - 4t_2 t_3}{4} y^4 - \frac{9t_2^2 - 24t_2 - 2t_3^2 + 25}{2t_3} y^3 + \frac{t_3 (3c_{(1)}^{(2)} - c_{(3)}^{(1)})}{4} y^2 \right) - \frac{t_3 (2c_{(1)}^{(2)} - c_{(1)}^{(3)})}{4} y^4 - \frac{1}{4t_3} c_{(3, 3)}^{(1)} y - \frac{1}{4} t_3 c_{(3)}^{(1)}, \]

where
\[ c_1 = c_{(1)}^{(2)} - c_{(1)}^{(3)} - c_{(1)}^{(0)}, \]  
\[ c_2 = c_{(2)}^{(1)} - 2c_{(1)}^{(1)} - 3c_{(1)}^{(2)}, \]  
\[ c_3 = c_{(1)}^{(0)} - c_{(2)}^{(1)} + c_{(1)}^{(2)}. \]

This expression satisfies \( E_{(2)}(x, y) = E_{(1)}(y, x) \) and is equivalent to the polynomial \( Q(x_3, x_+^2) \) reported previously by the authors in [46]. Upon inspection of (103)–(105) we conclude that
\[ 0 = E_{(2)}(z, G_{X^+_0+X_0}(z)) = E_{(2)}(G_{X_0^+X_0}(z, z), z). \]

5. Critical behaviour

This section discusses the critical behaviour of \( W_{(2)}(z) \) for \( 0 < q < 4 \). The existence of a second-order phase transition for the Potts model in this regime has been demonstrated on a
flat lattice by Baxter [47, 48]; here we describe their random-lattice counterparts. According to proposition 3.1, it suffices to determine the critical behaviour of \( W_f(z) \) for \( 1 > \nu > 0 \). Its possible critical exponents are determined by the multiplicity of the singularity at the left edge \( z_- \) of the spectral density \( \rho_f(z) \), which controls the large-order behaviour of the generating function \( W_f(z) \).

Let us consider the case of triangulations covered in proposition 3.6. Then \( z_- = \delta_U \) when both \( t_2 \) and \( t_3 \) are at their critical values \( t_{2,c}, t_{3,c} \) with \( t_{m>3} = 0 \). When \( \nu \) is rational, exact expressions for the critical lines and points can be obtained easily by requiring sufficiently many derivatives of the polynomial \( F_{\rho_f}(x, y) \) to vanish; the result is depicted for the example \((q, k) = (3, 1)\) in figure 3. For example, from (79), (89) and (106) respectively, we find

\[
(t_{2,c}, t_{3,c}) = \begin{cases} 
(1 \pm 2\sqrt{3}, \pm \sqrt{2}), & q = 1, \\
(2 \pm 2\sqrt{7}, \pm \sqrt{10}), & q = 2, \\
(3 \pm \sqrt{47}, \pm \sqrt{105}/2), & q = 3.
\end{cases}
\]

(121)

Let us parametrise the vicinity of this point by eliminating \( \delta_U \) in favour of the scaling parameter \( \varepsilon = z_- - \delta_U \) and investigate the limit \( \varepsilon \to 0 \). We would like to expand \( W_f(z) \) in powers of \( \varepsilon \), keeping \( (z - z_-)/\varepsilon \) finite. Setting again \( w(z) = \sqrt{z - \delta_U} \), this requires the expansion of \( f(w) \) in (56) in powers of \( \sqrt{\varepsilon} \equiv w_e \), keeping \( w/\sqrt{\varepsilon} \) finite. As we show in appendix A, equation (A.29), the terms of \( O(\varepsilon^{n+1/2}) \) in the expansion of \( f_w(w) \) can be written as

\[
\varepsilon^{n+1/2} (t_n^{(\pm)} T_{2n+\nu}(-w/\sqrt{\varepsilon}) + u_n^{(\pm)} U_{2n+\nu}(-w/\sqrt{\varepsilon})),
\]

(122)

where \( T_{\nu}(w) \) (respectively \( U_{\nu}(w) \)) is the Chebyshev function of the first (respectively second) kind as defined in (A.25). Using (A.27) to compare the term of same order in the expansion of the discontinuity \( f(w)_+ - f(w)_- \) across \( w/\sqrt{\varepsilon} \in [1, \infty) \) to (55) and requiring that \( \rho_f(z) \to 0 \) as \( z \to z_- \) reveals that \( u_n^{(\pm)} = 0 \) for all \( n \). Using the relationship \( (z - z_-)/\varepsilon = w^2/\varepsilon - 1 \) and (56) together with

\[
T_{2-\nu}(\sqrt{1 - \eta}) + T_{2-\nu}(-\sqrt{1 - \eta}) = 2 \cos \left( \frac{\pi \nu}{2} \right) T_{2-\nu}(\sqrt{\eta}),
\]

(123)

gives the following expansion of \( W_f(z) \):

\[
W_f(z - \varepsilon \eta) = W_f(z_-) + C \varepsilon^{1-\nu/2} T_{2-\nu}(\sqrt{\eta}) - \varepsilon^2 \frac{2q}{4 - q} + O(\varepsilon^{1+\nu/2}),
\]

(124)

where \( W_f(z_-) = (2z_- + q t_{2,s}/t_{3,c})/(4 - q) \) and \( C \) is a normalisation constant. The expansion of \( G_f^{(\rho)}(z) \) now follows immediately from proposition 3.1; the leading non-analytic term reads

\[
C \varepsilon^{1-\nu/2} \left[ T_{2-\nu}(\sqrt{\eta}) - \frac{2p}{q} \cos \left( \frac{\pi \nu}{2} \right) T_{2-\nu}(\sqrt{1 - \eta}) \right].
\]

(125)

The string exponent \( \gamma_s \) predicted by \( W_f(z - \varepsilon \eta) \sim (z - z_-)^{1-\gamma_s} \) is in agreement with previous findings [18, 19, 21], namely

\[
\gamma_s = \frac{\nu}{\nu - 2}.
\]

(126)

In particular, \( \gamma_s = -1/2, -1/3, -1/5 \) and 0 for \( q = 1, 2, 3 \) and 4 respectively, which is consistent with Liouville theory interacting with conformal matter of central charges

---

8 When \( q > 4 \), these critical points do not exist, though presumably another critical point emerges as for the \( O(n) \) model on planar triangulations, for which \( \gamma_s = 1/2 \) when \( n > 2 \) [38, 49].
c_M = 0, 1/2, 4/5 and 1. Whilst in the first two cases the conformal field theory is unique, there exist two distinct modular invariants at c_M = 4/5, corresponding to the (A_1, A_3) Virasoro minimal model and the (A_1, D_4) minimal model, which admits a conserved spin-3 current is diagonal under the extended W algebra \([50, 51]\). In light of the S_3-symmetry of the partition function \(Z_{N,3}\) and the resulting spectrum of boundary conditions \([52]\)—see figure 2—we expect our equations to describe the latter coupled to Liouville theory, not the former.

6. Discussion

Let us summarise our results. Starting from the matrix integral representation of the Potts model on a random lattice in lemma 2.1, we employed the saddle point approximation to express \(W_{(p)}(z)\) via the \(p\)-independent average \(W_f(z)\) in proposition 3.1. For the case of planar triangulations, proposition 3.6 provides an explicit elliptic parametrisation of the latter for arbitrary \(q \neq 4\). Just as (11) defines an analytic continuation of the partition function to the complex \(q\)-plane, (22) may be used to define the analytic continuation of \(W_{(p)}(z)\) in the complex \(p\)- and \(q\)-plane. Furthermore, corollary 3.3 showed that \(W_{(p)}(z)\) and \(W_{(q-p)}(z)\) can be related algebraically—in the case studies in section 4, this resulted in the partition functions with \(p = q\) and \(q-p\) colours on the boundary being described by a single spectral curve defined by the zero locus of (73). Remarkably, equations (93), (110) and (112) indicate that \(G^{(p)}_f(z) - z\) and \(G^{(q-p)}_f(z) - z\) are functional inverses, generalising the well-known duality\(^9\) interchanging the two matrices of the \(\mathbb{Z}_2\)-invariant Hermitian two-matrix model \([54, 55]\).

Our results naturally pave the way for a number of further developments: firstly, going beyond the planar limit, as was done in [56] for the \(O(n)\) model on random lattices, it would be interesting to explore if and when the curves \(C_{(p)}\) defined by (73) can be used as a valid part of the initial data of the topological recursion algorithm \([57]\), which enables the computation of averages to all orders in \(1/N\). Secondly, for general values of \(h\) in lemma 2.1, the remarkably simple result in lemma 3.4 should enable us to investigate the boundary renormalisation group flow relating boundary conditions with different \(p\). This flow is expected to induce a partial order on the spectrum of boundary states in accordance with the boundary analogue of the \(c\)-theorem \([58]\), as conjectured in \([59]\) and finally proven by Friedan and Konechny \([60]\); it would be interesting to derive this fact directly from the matrix model, thus extending the work of \([8, 9, 14]\).

Finally, it would be instructive to check if the universal results of section 5 can be reproduced by other means, e.g. by explicitly constructing the corresponding conformal field theory. Remarkably, as exemplified by the case of the \(D_4\) model, this appears to require a non-diagonal partition function in the Liouville sector in general—see also \([61]\). From this perspective, various other corners of the \((q, k)\)-parameter space also warrant more detailed investigations. Of particular interest would be the computation of the scaling behaviour for strongly coupled models with \(q > 4\): given the close relationship between the Potts model and loop models, one might wonder if there exist analogues of the critical points of the \(O(n)\) model on a random lattice with \(n > 2\) reported in \([38, 49]\).

\(^9\) Note that this involution is in general distinct from the Kramers–Wannier duality \([53]\) on the dynamical lattice: e.g. for \(q = 3\), the latter interchanges \(p = 1\) with \(p = 3\), and \(p = 2\) with \(p = 0\), mixing singlets and triplets \([52]\).
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Appendix A. Auxiliary saddle point problem

Given \(|w| \leq 1\), consider a function \(f(w)\) holomorphic on \(\mathbb{C} \setminus [\alpha, \beta]\) for some connected \([\alpha, \beta] \subset \mathbb{R}\), satisfying

\[
\text{Re } f(w) = \cos(\pi w)f(-w), \quad w \in [\alpha, \beta].
\]  

(A.1)

The general solution to this equation was first described in [38] and we will derive it below; thereafter we investigate the limit \(\alpha/\beta \to 0\).

A.1. General solution

We begin by showing that any function satisfying (A.1) is uniquely specified by the behaviour at its singularities. To this end, it is useful to introduce a new coordinate \(\sigma\) by

\[
\mathbb{C} \setminus [\pm \alpha, \pm \beta] \longrightarrow (0, 1) \times [0, \tau) \subset \mathbb{C},
\]

\[
w \mapsto \sigma(w) = \frac{1}{2K} \int_1^{w/\alpha} dt \left( (1 - t^2)(1 - (\alpha t/\beta)^2) \right)^{-1/2}.
\]

(A.2)

By definition of the Jacobi elliptic function\(^{10}\) \(\text{sn}(u/k)\) of elliptic modulus \(k\), the inverse map is

\[
(0, 1) \times [0, \tau) \longrightarrow \mathbb{C} \setminus [\pm \alpha, \pm \beta],
\]

\[
\sigma \mapsto w(\sigma) = \alpha \text{ sn}(2K\sigma + K|\alpha/\beta)|.
\]

(A.3)

Here, \(K\) and \(K'\) are given by the complete elliptic integrals of the first and second kind, respectively:

\[
K = \int_0^1 dt \left( (1 - t^2)(1 - (\alpha t/\beta)^2) \right)^{-1/2},
\]

(A.4)

\[
K' = \int_0^\infty dt \left( (1 - t^2)(1 + (\alpha t/\beta)^2) \right)^{-1/2}.
\]

(A.5)

This change of variables corresponds to parametrising the two-cut complex \(w\)-plane on the torus \(\mathbb{C}/(\mathbb{Z} + \tau\mathbb{Z})\) with modular parameter

\[
\tau = \frac{K'}{K}.
\]

(A.6)

\(^{10}\) Our conventions for elliptic functions are those of Gradshtein and Ryzhik [36].
The coordinate \( w \) is invariant under \( \sigma \to -\sigma \) and (anti-) periodic along the respective cycles of the torus:

\[
w(\sigma + m + n\tau) = (-1)^m w(\sigma), \quad (m, n) \in \mathbb{Z}^2.
\] (A.7)

Our choice of fundamental domain is illustrated in figure A1. We also require the Jacobi theta functions

\[
\vartheta_1(u|\tau) = \frac{1}{i} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} (-1)^n e^{i\pi (n+1/2)^2 \tau} e^{i\pi (n+1)},
\] (A.8)

\[
\vartheta_2(u|\tau) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} e^{i\pi (n+1/2)^2 \tau} e^{i\pi (n+1)},
\] (A.9)

\[
\vartheta_3(u|\tau) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} e^{i\pi n^2 \tau} e^{i\pi n}.
\] (A.10)

In particular, \( \vartheta_1 \) is an entire function with a unique simple zero at \( u = 0 \mod \mathbb{Z} \oplus \pi\tau \mathbb{Z} \), satisfying

\[
\vartheta_1(u + \pi (m + n\tau)|\tau) = (-1)^m e^{-i\pi (\tau + 2n)} \vartheta_1(u|\tau), \quad (m, n) \in \mathbb{Z}^2,
\] (A.11)

\[
\vartheta_1(-u|\tau) = -\vartheta_1(u|\tau),
\] (A.12)

\[
\vartheta_1(u/\tau|-1/\tau) = -\sqrt{\tau} e^{-i\pi u^2/\tau} \vartheta_1(u|\tau).
\] (A.13)

We also note the equivalent representation of \( w(\sigma) \) in terms of \( \vartheta_i \),

\[
w(\sigma) = \sqrt{\alpha\beta} \frac{\vartheta_3(\pi\sigma|\tau)}{\vartheta_3(\pi\sigma|\tau)}.
\] (A.14)

Analytic continuation of \( f(w(\sigma)) \) requires boundary conditions on the rectangle \((0, 1) \times [0, \tau)\):
(i) Analyticity across $[0, \alpha] \cup [\beta, \infty]$ allows us to continue $f(w(\sigma))$ to the infinite strip $(0, 1) \times i\mathbb{R}$ by
\[
 f(w(\tau + \sigma)) = f(w(\sigma)), \quad \sigma \in (0, 1) \times [0, \tau). \quad (A.15)
\]

(ii) Analyticity across $[-\beta, -\alpha]$ allows us to extend this definition to $(0, 2) \times i\mathbb{R}$ using
\[
 f(w(1 + \sigma)) = f(w(1 - \sigma)), \quad \sigma \in (0, 1) \times i\mathbb{R}. \quad (A.16)
\]

(iii) Finally, using all the above, (A.1) implies
\[
 f(w(1 + \sigma)) = \frac{f(w(\sigma)) + f(w(2 + \sigma))}{2\cos(\pi\nu)}, \quad \sigma \in (0, 2) \times i\mathbb{R}. \quad (A.17)
\]

Solving the latter condition allows us to continue $f(w(\sigma))$ to a meromorphic function on the entire complex $\sigma$-plane, on which it satisfies two (quasi-)periodicity conditions:
\[
\begin{align*}
0 &= (e^{-ik} - e^{i\pi\nu})(e^{-ik} - e^{-i\pi\nu})f(w(\sigma)), \quad (A.18) \\
0 &= (e^{-\pi\nu} - 1)f(w(\sigma)). \quad (A.19)
\end{align*}
\]

We find it convenient to follow [24] in introducing the unique function in $\text{Ker}(e^{-ik} - e^{i\pi\nu})$ with a simple pole of unit residue at $\sigma = 0$ and no other singularities mod $\mathbb{Z} \oplus \tau\mathbb{Z}$ as
\[
g(\sigma; \nu) = \frac{\partial_1(0|\tau)}{\partial_1(\pi\sigma + \pi\nu/2|\tau)} \frac{\partial_1(\pi\sigma|\tau)}{\partial_1(\pi\nu/2|\tau)} e^{i\pi\nu\sigma}, \quad (A.20)
\]

which has a simple zero at $\sigma = -\nu\pi/2$; any solution to (A.18) and (A.19) may be expressed as a linear combination of derivatives of $g(\sigma; \pm\nu)$ with shifted argument. The reflection relation (A.16) fixes the relative coefficient, so that the general solution to (A.1) can be expressed as
\[
f(w) = \sum_{n \geq 0} a_n \frac{\partial^n}{\partial\sigma_0^n} (e^{-i\pi\nu/2}g(\sigma(w) - \sigma_0; \nu) - e^{i\pi\nu/2}g(\sigma(w) - \sigma_0; -\nu)), \quad (A.21)
\]

where the requirement that $f(w)$ be free of singularities on $\mathbb{C}\setminus[\alpha, \beta]$ demands $\sigma_0 = (\tau + 1)/2$, and the coefficients $a_n$ are to be determined by boundary conditions supplementing the problem, using
\[
\lim_{z \to \infty} (\sigma - \sigma_0)^n g(\sigma - \sigma_0; \nu) = 1. \quad (A.22)
\]

In particular, if $f(w)$ has a pole of order $m$ at $w = \infty$, then $a_n = 0$ for $n > m$.

A.2. The limit $\alpha/\beta \to 0$

In section 5 we will be interested in the limit $\alpha/\beta \to 0$, in which $\tau \to i\infty$, and thus
\[
\lim_{\tau \to i\infty} w(\sigma)/\alpha = \cos(\pi\sigma), \quad \lim_{\tau \to i\infty} g(\sigma; \nu) = \frac{\pi}{\sin(\pi\nu)} e^{i\pi(\nu - 1)\sigma}. \quad (A.23)
\]
In this limit, \( f(w) \) is holomorphic on \( w \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{1, \infty\} \), and (A.1) becomes
\[
(e^{-\beta} - e^{i\alpha})(e^{-\beta} - e^{-i\alpha})f(\alpha \cos(\pi \sigma)) = 0. 
\] (A.24)

A convenient basis for the solution space is given by the Chebyshev functions. These are represented on the unit disk as
\[
T_n(x) = \cos(\pi n \phi), \quad (A.25)
\]
\[
U_n(x) = \frac{\sin(\pi(n + 1) \phi)}{\sin(\pi \phi)}, \quad x = \cos(\pi \phi). \quad (A.26)
\]

From the above definition it is easy to verify that both \( T_n(x) \) and \( U_n(x) \) satisfy (A.1), and \( T_{n/p}(x) \) is the functional inverse of \( T_n(x) \). For non-integer \( \nu \), these functions have a branch cut on \( x \in [-1, -\infty) \), with discontinuity
\[
T_n(x)_+ - T_n(x)_- = -2i \sin(\pi \nu) \sqrt{1 - x^2} U_{n-1}(-x), \quad (A.27)
\]
\[
U_n(x)_+ - U_n(x)_- = -2i \frac{\sin(\pi \nu)}{\sqrt{1 - x^2}} T_{n+1}(-x). \quad (A.28)
\]

When \( \nu \in \mathbb{N} \), the right-hand side vanishes and we recover the definition of the Chebyshev polynomials of the first and second kind. As a result when \( \nu = p/q \) is rational, \( y = T_n(x) \) is the solution to the polynomial equation \( T_q(y) - T_p(x) = 0 \). Since (A.1) restricts the scaling exponents \( f(w) \sim (-w)^\kappa \) to the form \( \kappa = 2n \pm \nu, n \in \mathbb{Z} \) we can expand \( f(w) \) as
\[
f(w) = \sum_{n \geq 0} \sum_{\nu \in \mathbb{Z}} G_{\nu}(\nu) (-w/\alpha)^n \]
with constants \( t_n^{(\pm)}, u_n^{(\pm)} \) to be determined by boundary conditions.

**Appendix B. Analytic structure and asymptotics**

We illustrate the analytic structure of \( G^{(p)}(z) \) and \( G^{(q)}(z) \) by graphs in which nodes depict sheets and lines between nodes depict branch cuts that connect the sheets. Of the latter, double lines represent finite cuts and single lines represent cuts that extend to infinity.

**B.1. \((q, k) = (1, 2)\)**

From equations (76) and (77), we compute the analytic structure and asymptotic behaviour of \( G^{(p)}(z) \),
\[
G^{(p)}(z) = \frac{z - z^{-1} + O(z^{-2})}{\omega^{2\ell_1} z^{1/3} z^{1/3} - \frac{h}{3\ell_1} + \frac{\ell_1^2 - 3\ell_1 \ell_2}{9\ell_1^{2/3}} z^{-1/3} - \frac{\omega^2 \ell_1^2 - 3\ell_1 \ell_2}{81\ell_1^{2/3}} z^{-2/3} + \frac{1}{3} z^{-1} + O(z^{-4/3})}
\]
\[
G^{(q)}(z) = \frac{\omega^{4\ell_1} z^{1/3} z^{1/3} - \frac{h}{3\ell_1} + \frac{\ell_1^2 - 3\ell_1 \ell_2}{9\ell_1^{2/3}} z^{-1/3} - \frac{2\ell_1^2 - 9\ell_1 \ell_2}{81\ell_1^{2/3}} z^{-2/3} + \frac{1}{3} z^{-1} + O(z^{-4/3})}{\omega^{4\ell_1} z^{1/3} z^{1/3} - \frac{h}{3\ell_1} + \frac{\ell_1^2 - 3\ell_1 \ell_2}{9\ell_1^{2/3}} z^{-1/3} - \frac{2\ell_1^2 - 9\ell_1 \ell_2}{81\ell_1^{2/3}} z^{-2/3} + \frac{1}{3} z^{-1} + O(z^{-4/3})}
\]
where \( \omega = e^{i\pi/3} \). From (79), we may compute the asymptotic behaviour of \( G^{(p)}(z) \) on all sheets:
From (85) and (86), we compute the analytic structure and asymptotic behaviour of $G_{\rho}^{Y}(z)$,

\[
G_{\rho}^{Y}(z) = z^{-1 + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^{-1})}
\]

From the resulting polynomials $F_{\rho}(x, y)$, we may also compute the asymptotic behaviour of $G_{\rho}^{Y}(z)$ on all sheets. For example, from (89),

\[
G_{\rho}^{Y}(z) = z^{-1 + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^{-1})}
\]
From (102) and (103), we compute the analytic structure and asymptotic behaviour of $G_{(p)}^Y (z)$.

\[
\begin{align*}
2z + t_1^{-1/2}z^{1/2} + \frac{5t_2}{2t_3} + \frac{t_3^2}{8t_3^2}z^{-1/2} - \frac{1}{2}z^{-1} + \mathcal{O}(z^{-3/2}) \\
2z - t_1^{-1/2}z^{1/2} + \frac{5t_2}{2t_3} - \frac{t_3^2}{8t_3^2}z^{-1/2} - \frac{1}{2}z^{-1} - \mathcal{O}(z^{-3/2}) \\
z + 2t_1^{-1/2}z^{1/2} + \frac{t_2}{t_3} + \frac{t_3^2}{4t_3^2}z^{-1/2} + \mathcal{O}(z^{-3/2}) \\
z - 2t_1^{-1/2}z^{1/2} + \frac{t_2}{t_3} - \frac{t_3^2}{4t_3^2}z^{-1/2} - \mathcal{O}(z^{-3/2}) \\
G_{p}^{(3)}(z) \\
- t_3^{-1/2}z^{1/2} + \frac{t_2}{2t_3} + \frac{t_3^2}{8t_3^2}z^{-1/2} + \frac{1}{2}z^{-1} + \mathcal{O}(z^{-3/2}) \\
- t_3^{-1/2}z^{1/2} - \frac{t_2}{2t_3} - \frac{t_3^2}{8t_3^2}z^{-1/2} - \frac{1}{2}z^{-1} - \mathcal{O}(z^{-3/2})
\end{align*}
\]

\[
G_{p}^{(0)}(z) \\
z - z^{-1} + \mathcal{O}(z^{-3})
\]

\[
G_{p}^{(1)}(z) \\
3t_3^{-1/2}z^{1/2} - \frac{3t_2}{2t_3} + \frac{3t_3^2}{8t_3^2}z^{-1/2} - \frac{1}{2}z^{-1} + \mathcal{O}(z^{-3/2}) \\
- 3t_3^{-1/2}z^{1/2} - \frac{3t_2}{2t_3} - \frac{3t_3^2}{8t_3^2}z^{-1/2} - \frac{1}{2}z^{-1} - \mathcal{O}(z^{-3/2}) \\
- 2z + 3t_1^{-1/2}z^{1/2} - \frac{9t_1}{2t_3} + \frac{3t_3^2}{8t_3^2}z^{-1/2} + \frac{1}{2}z^{-1} + \mathcal{O}(z^{-3/2}) \\
- 2z - 3t_1^{-1/2}z^{1/2} - \frac{9t_1}{2t_3} - \frac{3t_3^2}{8t_3^2}z^{-1/2} - \frac{1}{2}z^{-1} - \mathcal{O}(z^{-3/2}) \\
- 3z - 6t_1^{-1/2}z^{1/2} + \frac{9t_2}{2t_3} + \frac{3t_3^2}{8t_3^2}z^{-1/2} + \frac{1}{2}z^{-1} + \mathcal{O}(z^{-3/2})
\]

From the resulting polynomials $F_p(x, y)$, we may also compute the asymptotic behaviour of $G_{(p)}^{Y} (z)$ on all sheets. For example, from (106),

\[
\begin{align*}
2z + t_1^{-1/2}z^{1/2} + \frac{5t_2}{2t_3} + \frac{t_3^2}{8t_3^2}z^{-1/2} - \frac{1}{2}z^{-1} + \mathcal{O}(z^{-3/2}) \\
2z - t_1^{-1/2}z^{1/2} + \frac{5t_2}{2t_3} - \frac{t_3^2}{8t_3^2}z^{-1/2} - \frac{1}{2}z^{-1} - \mathcal{O}(z^{-3/2}) \\
z + 2t_1^{-1/2}z^{1/2} + \frac{t_2}{t_3} + \frac{t_3^2}{4t_3^2}z^{-1/2} + \mathcal{O}(z^{-3/2}) \\
z - 2t_1^{-1/2}z^{1/2} + \frac{t_2}{t_3} - \frac{t_3^2}{4t_3^2}z^{-1/2} - \mathcal{O}(z^{-3/2}) \\
G_{p}^{(3)}(z) \\
- t_3^{-1/2}z^{1/2} + \frac{t_2}{2t_3} + \frac{t_3^2}{8t_3^2}z^{-1/2} + \frac{1}{2}z^{-1} + \mathcal{O}(z^{-3/2}) \\
- t_3^{-1/2}z^{1/2} - \frac{t_2}{2t_3} - \frac{t_3^2}{8t_3^2}z^{-1/2} - \frac{1}{2}z^{-1} - \mathcal{O}(z^{-3/2})
\end{align*}
\]

References

[1] Tutte W 1963 Can. J. Math. 15 249
[2] David F 1985 Nucl. Phys. B 257 45
[3] Ambjorn J, Durhuus B and Frohlich J 1985 Nucl. Phys. B 257 433
[4] Ambjorn J, Durhuus B, Frohlich J and Orland P 1986 Nucl. Phys. B 270 457
[5] Kazakov V A, Migdal A A and Kostov I K 1985 Phys. Lett. B 157 295
[6] Di Francesco P, Ginsparg P H and Zinn-Justin J 1995 Phys. Rep. 254 1
[7] Ginsparg P H and Moore G W 1993 Lectures on 2-D gravity and 2-D string theory Proc. Recent Directions in Particle Theory (Boulder, 1992) (arXiv:hep-th/9304011)
[8] Carroll S M, Ortiz M E and Taylor W 1996 Phys. Rev. Lett. 77 3947
[9] Carroll S M, Ortiz M E and Taylor W 1998 Phys. Rev. D 58 046006
[10] Bourgine J E, Ishiki G and Rim C 2010 Phys. Lett. B 694 272
[11] Chan C T, Irie H and Yeh C H 2012 Nucl. Phys. B 854 67
[12] Kazakov V 1988 Nucl. Phys. B 4 93
[13] Daul J M 1994 (arXiv:hep-th/9502014)
[14] Bonnet G 1999 Phys. Lett. B 459 575
[15] Eynard B and Bonnet G 1999 Phys. Lett. B 463 273
[16] Guionnet A, Jones V, Shlyakhtenko D and Zinn-Justin P 2012 Commun. Math. Phys. 316 45
[17] Bernardi O and Bousquet-Méhou M 2011 J. Comb. Theory B 101 315
[18] Borot G, Boustier J and Guttier E 2012 J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. 45 494017
[19] Speicher R 1993 Publ. RIMS 29 731
[20] Speicher R 1994 Math. Ann. 300 97
[21] Voiculescu D, Dykema K and Nica A 1992 Free Random Variables (CRM Monograph Series)
(Providence, RI: American Mathematical Society)
[22] Zinn-Justin P 1999 Phys. Rev. E 59 4884
[23] Zinn-Justin P 1998 Commun. Math. Phys. 194 631
[24] Eynard B 2003 J. High Energy Phys. JHEP11(2003)018
[25] Pasquier V 1987 Nucl. Phys. B 285 162
[26] Kostov I K 1996 Nucl. Phys. Proc. Suppl. 5A 13
[27] Matytsin A 1994 Nucl. Phys. B 411 805
[28] Harish-Chandra 1956 Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. 42 538
[29] Itzykson C and Zuber J 1980 J. Math. Phys. 21 411
[30] Gradsteyn I S and Ryzhik I M 2007 Table of Integrals, Series, and Products 7th cnd
(Amsterdam/New York: Elsevier/Academic)
[31] Gross D J and Newman M J 1991 Phys. Lett. B 266 291
[32] Eynard B and Kristjansen C 1996 Nucl. Phys. B 466 463
[33] Zee A 1996 Nucl. Phys. B 474 726
[34] Staudacher M 1990 Nucl. Phys. B 336 349
[35] Boulalov D and Kazakov V 1987 Phys. Lett. B 186 379
[36] Kazakov V 1986 Phys. Lett. A 119 140
[37] Cardy J L 1989 Nucl. Phys. B 324 581
[38] Eynard B and Zinn-Justin J 1992 Nucl. Phys. B 386 558
[39] Eynard B 2003 J. High Energy Phys. 0301 JHEP01(2003)051
[40] Atkin M, Niedner B and Wheeler J 2015 Springer Proc. Phys. 170 387
[41] Baxter R 1971 Stud. Appl. Math. 50 51
[42] Baxter R J 1973 J. Phys. C: Solid State Phys. 6 L445
[43] Durhuus B and Kristjansen C 1997 Nucl. Phys. B 483 535
[44] Cappelli A, Itzykson C and Zuber J B 1987 Nucl. Phys. B 280 445
[45] Caldeira A F, Kawai S and Wheeler J F 2003 J. High Energy Phys. JHEP08(2003)041
[46] Affleck I, Oshikawa M and Saleur H 1998 J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 31 5827
[47] Kramers H and Wannier G 1941 Phys. Rev. 60 252
[48] Bertola M, Eynard B and Harnad J P 2002 Commun. Math. Phys. 229 73
[49] Bertola M, Eynard B and Harnad J 2003 Theor. Math. Phys. 134 27
[50] Borot G and Eynard B 2011 J. Stat. Mech. 2011 P01010
[51] Eynard B and Orantin N 2007 Commun. Number Theory Phys. 1 347
[52] Zamolodchikov A 1986 JETP Lett. 43 730
[53] Affleck I and Ludwig A W 1991 Phys. Rev. Lett. 67 161
[54] Friedan D and Konechny A 2004 Phys. Rev. Lett. 93 030402
[55] Kawamoto S, Wheeler J F and Wilshin S 2008 Int. J. Mod. Phys. A 23 2257