Introduction

Biodiversity conservation is a fundamental objective of sustainable forest management (Lindenmayer et al. 2000, Lassauce and Paillet et al. 2012). Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) encourage the protection of forest ecosystems and species diversity, which are fundamental to ensure the provision of ecosystem services. In this sense, habitat diversity is especially relevant; for example, insects and other invertebrates have profound influences on the provision of ecosystem services (Dashley & Jerôme 2019), and can be included among the indicator targets (Lassauce et al. 2012, Bouget et al. 2014), and highlights the role of biological diversity in supporting the provision of multiple forest ecosystem services (Manning et al. 2016). The relationships between species diversity and forest complexity have been investigated by estimating the species richness (Manning & Müller 2009). Management types strongly influence the occurrence and abundance of microhabitats (MHS), in turn the diversity of coexisting species (Paillet et al. 2019, Parisi et al. 2020). Microhabitats occurring on living or standing dead trees constitute a particular and essential substrate or life site for species or communities to develop, feed, shel-
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ter or breed, during at least a part of their life cycle (Larrieu et al. 2018). In particular, the occurrence of saproxylic species is influenced by the occurrence and abundance of MHs, though these relationships are not always well understood across different geographical regions (Paillet et al. 2017), especially in Mediterranean environments.

Forest management influences the abundance and diversity of beetle (Coleoptera) communities, which have important ecological functions, being prey or predators themselves, but also pollinators, herbivores and decomposers (Redolfi De Zan et al. 2014). Beetle communities represent one of the most important and predominant components of forest ecosystems (Parisi et al. 2016). Coleoptera, particularly saproxylic beetles, i.e., organisms that depend upon wounded or decaying woody material during at least a stage of their life cycle (Stokland et al. 2012), are considered excellent bioindicators of health in forest ecosystems and, as such, useful to assess the sustainability of forest management (Lindemayer et al. 2000). Traditional forest management approaches, applied to timber production, represent one of the main disturbances for rare or endangered saproxylic species (Stokland et al. 2012).

However, studies on the effects of forest management on beetle communities have been mainly realized in boreal forests (Las sauce et al. 2011), while less studies linking structural attributes and saproxylic communities are available for Mediterranean mountain forests (Persiani et al. 2015, Sabinini et al. 2016, Bani et al. 2018, Parisi et al. 2019). Focusing on mountainous areas of Southern Europe, a gap of knowledge is particularly evident for chestnut (Castanea sativa Mill.) forests. Although several studies have shown the high ecological value and importance of these ecosystems to support a wide diversity of fauna and flora (Zlatanov et al. 2013), the relationships between saproxylic beetle communities and the different management options of chestnut forests are almost unknown.

The EU Habitats Directive and Natura 2000 network recognize the importance of the chestnut stands (habitat 9260, Annex I), managed both as high forests and plantations, for biodiversity conservation (EC 2007). In particular, fruit orchards are in regression, having been converted into coppice stands, which has led to their abandonment. Currently, these systems are not threatened to completely disappear, though contraction phenomena are probable. For this reason, long-term monitoring protocols should be applied in permanent areas (Angelini et al. 2016). However, actions aimed at preserving the biodiversity of chestnut forests have been largely neglected, particularly in Mediterranean Europe, where harsh climatic conditions, long-lasting anthropic pressures, and frequent forest fires have resulted in marked fragility of these ecosystems. In Italy, chestnut agroforestry systems cover an area of about 800,000 ha (Gia nini et al. 2014); 70% of them are managed as coppices and the remaining 30% as orchards for fruit production (Greco et al. 2018). They create a landscape mosaic consisting of patches (habitats) in a matrix, in which different types of stand structures (young, mature and abandoned coppices, managed or abandoned fruit orchards) co-occur, influencing the composition of insect communities (Greco et al. 2016).

The prevalence of coppice stands over high stands is significant and related to the rapid growth rates and short rotation periods of chestnut, but also to the high vitality of stumps and resilience to stress factors, such as fires and diseases. Several authors have investigated the changes in biodiversity of different taxonomic groups in coppice stands (Joyal et al. 2004, Lassauce et al. 2012), though only few of these in chestnut stands. Mattioli et al. (2016) has highlighted the relationships between silvicultural treatments and the floristic diversity of chestnut coppices, focusing on the rotation period. In particular, knowledge on the ecological inter-relationships between different types of management in chestnut stands is still very scarce for the Mediterranean area (Infusino et al. 2016).

Here we assess how different management types influence the α-diversity of beetles in chestnut forest stands in the Aspromonte National Park (Apennines, southern Italy). Specifically, we used the Coleoptera community and saproxylic beetles as ecological indicators. Three management options were considered: (i) young and (ii) mature coppice stands, and (iii) traditional fruit orchard. In addition, considering the ecological, environmental and cultural importance of the traditional fruit orchards in the Italian and European landscape (Cone dera et al. 2004), the relationships between the MHs occurring on veteran trees and the saproxylic communities were also investigated. Veteran or monumental trees are habitats for many species, including several beetles listed in Annex II of the EU “Habitats” Directive 92/43/EEC, such as Osmoderma eremita (Coleoptera Scarabaeidae – Mosconi et al. 2017). Veteran trees, rich in MHs, contribute to the conservation of saproxylic species (Silti nen & Ranius 2015). In Italy, the Ministerial Decree 23/10/2014 identified seven criteria that should be met, jointly or alternatively, for the tree to be listed as monumental. These criteria are: 1. significant age and size; 2. peculiar shape; 3. ecological value; 4. botanical rarity; 5. plant architecture; 6. landscape value and 7. historic-cultural-religious aspects.

We hypothesized that: (i) the difference in complexity between coppice stands and the fruit orchard is reflected in the diversity and abundance of the beetle communities in; (ii) the frequency and typology of MHs in the traditional chestnut orchards influence.
ence the diversity of saproxylic beetles (specifically in the fruit orchard); (iii) the focus on saproxylic beetles allows to obtain indications on species diversity of all beetle communities in these agroforestry systems.

Materials and methods

Study area

The study was carried out in three different agroforestry systems dominated by chestnut: (i) young (YC, 2 years old) and (ii) mature (MC, 11 years old) coppice stands located in Santo Stefano in Aspromonte, 38° 10′ 48.798″ N. 15° 47′ 03.51″ E; and (iii) traditional fruit orchard (TO, older than 80 years) located in Cardeto d’Aspromonte, 38° 03′ 36.66″ N. 15° 46′ 53.82″ E. The stands occur on similar ecological and environmental conditions, on the borders of the Aspromonte National Park (Calabrian Apennines – Fig. 1), along an altitudinal gradient ranging from 907 to 1059 m a.s.l. In the study area, chestnut fruit orchards, generally of limited extension, are mainly located near small urban areas, in a mosaic of agroforestry systems, which includes chestnut coppice stands and other sparse woodlands, as well as agricultural field crops.

The climate is temperate, typical of Mediterranean mountainous environments. Mean annual temperature is about 8 °C, while average minimum and maximum monthly temperatures are 0.5 °C (the coldest month) and 16 °C (the warmest month), respectively. Average total annual precipitation is 1200 mm, mainly occurring over the winter season (Meteorological station of Gambicori d’Aspromonte, 1187 m a.s.l.). Summer aridity is almost absent as frequent orographic precipitation occurs, due to the exposure to the moist air deriving from the Tyrrhenian sea.

Sampling scheme and dendrometric measurements

Each analyzed management type extends on about 12 ha. A total of 60 sampling plots (20 per each management type) were established and located at a regular distance of 50 m to each other, following a systematic aligned grid (Fig. 1 – A, B and C). For each sampling plot, UTM datum WGS84 coordinates (Zone 32 T) and altitude (m a.s.l.) were recorded using the Juno SBα Global Positioning System (Trimble, Sunnyvale, California). In YC and MC, each plot extended over 530 m², while in TO each plot covered an area of 1963 m². Within each management type (YC, MC and TO), three randomly selected circular plots were established for dendrometric measurements. The following parameters were recorded: diameter at breast height (DBH), canopy cover (through visual estimation), and total tree height. Veteran trees (orchard) have been identified by applying the criteria of the above-mentioned Ministerial Decree 23/10/2014. Tree volumes were calculated via double-entry volume equations reported for chestnut in Tabacchi et al. (2011).

Sampling of beetle fauna

The collection of Coleoptera was carried out using window flight traps (WFTs). At the center of each sampling plot, one WFT was positioned at a height of 2 m above the ground (Bouget et al. 2008). A total of 60 WFTs were placed, 20 for each of the three management types. Traps were checked approximately every 20 days, for a total of four surveys in 2017 (from June to October).

Systematics and nomenclature followed Bouchard et al. (2011) and Audisio et al. (2015). All the taxa collected during the field activities are alphabetically listed in Tab. S1 (Supplementary material). Species strictly considered as saproxylic (sensu Carpaneto et al. 2015) are also reported in Tab. S1, together with their risk category at the Italian level (Audisio et al. 2015, Carpaneto et al. 2015).

Collected saproxylic and non saproxylic species were grouped according to the prevalent trophic categories, defined as follows: (i) xylophagus (organisms feeding exclusively or largely from wood); (ii) saproxylophagus (organisms feeding exclusively or largely from fungus-infected wood); (iii) mycophagous (organisms feeding exclusively or largely on fungi); (iv) mycetobiont (organisms feeding on carpophores of large Polyporales and other fungi living on old trees and stumps); (v) commensal (commensals of saproxylophagus); (vi) sap-feeder (sap-feeders on trees attacked by xylophagus); (vii) predator (organisms that primarily obtain food killing and consuming other organisms); (viii) unknown (unknown or uncertain trophic category).

Regarding the IUCN risk categories, the sampled saproxylic beetles follows the Italian Red List (Audisio et al. 2015): Endangered (EN, 1 species); Vulnerable (VU, 4 species); Near Threatened (NT, 15 species); Data Deficient (DD, 2 species); and Least Concern (LC, 67 species). All the collected taxa are alphabetically listed in Tab. S1 (Supplementary material).

At a plot level, we quantified the abundance (i.e., number of individuals) of each sampled species, which was used as a response variable in the following analyses.

Diversity indices

In this study, univariate indices were calculated combining the number of species and their abundance. Particularly, we calculated the following four diversity indices: (i) the Shannon Index, taking into account the number of individuals, as well as the number of taxa (eqn. 1):

\[ H = - \sum_{i=1}^{s} p_i \ln p_i \]  

(eqn. 1)

with \( p_i \) representing the share of one individual within all species that were collected; (ii) the Margalef’s richness index \( (D) \), based on the relationship between the number of species \( (S) \) and the total number of individuals \( (n) \) (eqn. 2):

\[ D = (S - 1) \ln n \]  

(eqn. 2)

(iii) the Equitability index (also known as Pielou’s evenness), which measures the evenness of individual distribution among taxa and is calculated as the ratio between the Sørensen diversity index and the logarithm of the number of taxa; (iv) the Dominance index \( (= 1\text{-Simpson index}) \), that ranges from 0 (all taxa are equally present) to 1 (one taxon completely dominates the community – eqn. 3):

\[ D = \sum \frac{n_i^2}{n} \]  

(eqn. 3)

with \( n_i \) representing the number of individuals of the \( i \)-th taxon.

Microhabitat survey

The MHs were surveyed only in the traditional fruit orchard, and not considered for the two coppice stands, since they were characterized by high tree density with small stem diameters. These young structural features and the associated development stage do not allow the formation of MHs, which are usually linked to the presence of mature and veteran trees (Larrieu et al. 2018). A set of 47 MHs, according to Larrieu et al. (2018) was recorded (Tab. 1). We surveyed the whole trees and deadwood components, carefully examining the trunk from the ground to the crown or the whole length of horizontal deadwood elements. Dead branches, stem cavities, substitute or secondary crown, mold pockets, occurring on old living trees and deadwood, were recorded. All the sampled MHs were related to the orchard components (e.g., living trees, crown deadwood, dead trees) and then related to the sampled insects occurring in these components.

Statistical analysis

For each univariate index, the confidence intervals were calculated through a bootstrap procedure (Dixon 1993). This allowed to evaluate for each diversity index significant differences between the agroforestry systems, both for all beetle communities and for the saproxylics only.

In order to assess the significance, the PERMANOVA statistical test (Permutation Analysis of Variance) was applied, which considers the values of similarities without any assumption about the data distribution (McArdle & Anderson 2001). PERMANOVA test verifies the simultaneous responses of one or more variables for one or more factors in an ANOVA experimental model, calculating the \( p \)-values through permutations (Marti 2001). Finally, the bootstrapped comparison of diversity indices was consid-
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Table 1 - Type, definition and description of the MHs recorded in TO (from Larrieu et al. 2018).

| Form                        | Group                  | MH form                                                                 |
|-----------------------------|------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Cavities l.s.               | Woodpecker breeding cavities | Small woodpecker breeding cavity; Medium-sized woodpecker breeding cavity; Large woodpecker breeding cavity; Woodpecker “flute” (breeding cavity string) |
| Rot holes                   |                        | Trunk base rot hole; Trunk rot hole; Semi-open trunk rot hole; Chimney trunk base rot hole; Chimney trunk rot hole; Hollow branch |
| Insect galleries and bore holes |                        | Insect galleries and bore holes; Dendroterm (phytotelmatia, water-filled hole); Woodpecker foraging excavation; Trunk bark-lined concavity |
| Concavities                 |                        |                                                                         |
| Tree injuries and exposed wood | Exposed sapwood only   | Bark loss; Fire scar; Bark shelter; Bark pocket                        |
|                            | Exposed sapwood and heartwood | Stem breakage; Limb breakage (heartwood exposed); Crack; Lightning scar; Fork split at the intersection |
| Crown deadwood             | Crown deadwood         | Dead branches; Dead top; Remaining broken limb                          |
| Excrecences                 | Twig tangles           | Epicormic shoots                                                        |
|                            | Burrs and cankers      | Bur; Canker                                                             |
| Fruiting bodies of saproxylic fungi and slime moulds | Perennial fungal fruiting bodies (life span >1y) | Perennial polypore; Annual polypore; Pulpy agaric; Pyrenomycete; Myxomycete |
|                            | Ephemeral fungal fruiting bodies and slime moulds |                                                                         |
| Epiphytic, epixylic and parasitic structures | Epiphytic or parasitic crypto- and phanerogams | Bryophytes; Foliose and fruticose lichens; Ivy and lianas; Ferns; Mistletoe |
|                            | Nests                  | Vertebrate nest; Invertebrate nest                                      |
|                            | Microsoils             | Bark microsoil; Crown microsoil                                         |
| Fresh exudates              | Fresh exudates         | Sap run; Heavy resinosis                                                |

Table 2 - Mean values (± standard deviation) of the main dendrometric parameters for the three management types. (Trees): number of trees; (DBH): diameter at breast height; (Ht): tree height; (G): basal area; (V): volume.

| Silvicultural system | Trees (N ha⁻¹) | DBH (cm) | Ht (m) | G (m² ha⁻¹) | V (m³ ha⁻¹) |
|----------------------|---------------|----------|--------|-------------|-------------|
| YC                   | 23360 ± 2700  | 3.36 ± 0.95 | 2.2 ± 0.75 | 20.7 ± 3.2 | 28.8 ± 3.4 |
| MC                   | 5814 ± 904    | 9.0 ± 2.3 | 7.7 ± 1.3 | 36.6 ± 4.1 | 168.3 ± 21.5 |
| TO                   | 125 ± 15      | 74.7 ± 10.1 | 15.8 ± 1.9 | 54.8 ± 3.9 | 409.2 ± 30.7 |

Fig. 2 - Number of species for each trophic category in the three agroforestry systems. (MB): mycetobiontic; (MY): mycophagous; (Ni): commen- sal; (PR): predator; (SF): sap-feeder; (SX): saproxylophagous; (UN): unknown; (XY): xylophagous.

Results

Stand structural features

The number of trees per hectare was 23,360 for YC and 5814 for MC. In TO, 125 trees per hectare were recorded (Tab. 2). In TO, we also observed the occurrence of trees having DBH > 100 cm with a density of 37 trees ha⁻¹; no veteran trees occurred in YC and in MC. The small standard deviation of the analyzed dendrometric parameters (Tab. 2) was related to the homogeneity of these stands. For the investigated parameters, the univariate analysis of variance revealed no significant differences between replications. The total canopy cover was 21% in TO, 86% in MC and 10% in YC.

Coleoptera analysis

We collected 6282 specimens, belonging to 259 species. A total of 53 beetle families were detected. Among the sampled beetles, 34.3% were saproxylics, namely 3783 specimens belonging to 89 species and 53 families of Coleoptera (Tab. 5 in Supplemental material).

In TO 3986 individuals were collected, whereas 2255 in YC and 1041 in MC. Considering the number of sampled species, 164 different species were found in YC, 111 in MC and 145 in TO. Furthermore, 43 of these species occurred in all the three agroforestry systems.

The most abundant species were Epuraea fuscicollis, Soronia oblonga and Acanthogethes fuscus (Nitidulidae family), with 1885, 1038 and 502 specimens, respectively. These species were 54.5% of the total sampled beetles. Moreover, Nitidulidae family represented 53.3% of the whole beetles captured, followed by Elateridae (5.74%), Staphylidae (3.51%) and Curculionidae (5.36%). Silphidae family (necrophagous beetles) were probably accidentally caught in the traps, due to attraction by moth and butterflies, and we decided to exclude them from further analysis.

In Fig. 2 the proportion of the collected species, grouped according to the prevalent trophic categories, is reported. Xylophagous represented 31% of the total sampled families, followed by predators (19%), saproxylophagous (18%), mycophagous (16%), mycetobiontic (8%), sap-feeder (5%) and unknown (12%). In YC, the categories xylophagous, saproxylophagous and pred-
Diversity of saproxylic beetle communities in chestnut agroforestry systems

In general, the diversity indices analyzed were always higher for all beetle communities than for the saproxylic community only, with the exception of the dominance index. This difference was less evident for the Margaleff and Shannon index, since the number of individuals characterizing all beetle communities was higher than for the saproxylics (34.3%). This difference was more evident in the equitability index, for which an evenness distribution of individuals was observed, both considering saproxylic and non-saproxylic species in three agroforestry systems. Finally, the dominance index had higher values in saproxylics than in all beetle communities, as in this component the Nidulididae completely dominated the community.

Both for all beetle communities and for the saproxylics only, the diversity indices were always significantly lower in TO than in YC and MC (Tab. 3). However, with reference to all beetle communities, no significant differences were observed between YC and MC, both for the Shannon index and for the dominance and equitability index. By contrast, the two coppice stands differed significantly between each other, when only the saproxylic community was considered, except for the equitability index (Tab. 3). Considering the saproxylic community, all the indices were significantly higher in MC than in YC and TO, while the dominance index was significantly lower in MC than in YC and TO.

For the Margaleff index, no significant differences were found between YC and TO, both considering all beetle communities and the saproxylics only, probably due to the high abundance of some species (E. fusculosis, S. oblonga, A. fuscus), belonging to the Nidulididae family.

Survey of MH forms in the traditional fruit orchard
A total of 495 MHs was counted, with an average of $41.2 \pm 6.4$ ha$^{-1}$. In Fig. 4, the number of MHs per tree for each MHs form is reported.

Several MHs were absent (i.e., small, medium and large woodpecker breeding cavities, chimney trunk rot hole, woodpecker foraging excavation, fire scar, crack, bryophytes, foliose and fruticose lichens, ivy and lianas). Conversely, MHs occurring on stems and main branches (i.e., trunk base rot hole, trunk rot hole, semi-open trunk rot hole, dead branches) were the most abundant. Furthermore, MHs present at the trunk base (medium and large cavity) and on the main branches (insect galleries and boreholes, concavities) were quite frequent as well.

Those MHs appearing on cavities and tree injuries were the most numerous (8 and 7 MHs per tree, respectively). The analysis of variance showed significant differences in the number of MHs per tree among the

| Group | Index | F-value | P-level | YC | MC | TO |
|-------|-------|---------|---------|----|----|----|
| Saproxylics | Shannon | 154.48 | <0.001 | 1.912 $^a$ | 2.450 $^b$ | 1.138 $^a$ |
| | Margaleff | 20.75 | 0.002 | 6.522 $^a$ | 8.721 $^b$ | 6.075 $^a$ |
| | Equitability | 47.33 | <0.001 | 0.508 $^a$ | 0.598 $^b$ | 0.294 $^a$ |
| | Dominance | 235.62 | -0.001 | 0.242 $^a$ | 0.167 $^b$ | 0.469 $^a$ |
| Whole community | Shannon | 82.31 | <0.001 | 3.063 $^a$ | 3.285 $^a$ | 2.182 $^a$ |
| | Margaleff | 26.37 | 0.001 | 15.830 $^a$ | 20.200 $^b$ | 17.870 $^a$ |
| | Equitability | 59.27 | <0.001 | 0.650 $^a$ | 0.650 $^b$ | 0.439 $^a$ |
| | Dominance | 93.62 | <0.001 | 0.101 $^a$ | 0.075 $^b$ | 0.280 $^a$ |
different MH forms ($F_{(3,20)}=52.47, p<0.001$).
In particular, we observed three groups of MHs (group 1: Cavities, Tree injures; group 2: Crown deadwood, Epiphytic and Epixylic, Excrescens; group 3: Fresh exudates); no significant differences within groups were detected, while they occurred between the three groups. However, considering the second group, the number of “Excrescens” was not significantly different from that of “Fresh exudates”. Fig. S1 in Supplementary material reports those pairs of MH forms significantly differing between each other after Tukey’s test.

Fig. 5 shows the relationships (through Pearson’s correlation coefficients) between the abundance of each family of saproxylics and the MH forms. The “Fruiting bodies of saproxylic fungi and slime moulds” was excluded since the associated MHs were absent.

Cleridae, Scraptiidae, Tenebrionidae and Throscidae were positively and significantly correlated with the “Cavities”, representing 70% of the insect families. Only a few insect families (e.g., Elaterida and Mordellidae) did not use cavities as MH. Furthermore, most of the families positively correlated with cavities were negatively correlated with the presence of “Tree injures and exposed wood”, with the exception of the Tenebrionidae, which revealed high correlation with this MH form. The “Crown deadwood” significantly favored the abundance of many insect families.

About the 59% of the families (e.g., Cerambycidae, Curculionidae, Melyridae and Oedemeridae) were positively and significantly correlated with the “Excrescens” and “Epiphytes, epixylic and parasitic”. The presence of these two MH forms, apparently, inhibited the occurrence of Elateridae and Tenebrionidae. Only the Apionidae and Scarabaeidae were significantly and positively correlated with the “Fresh exudates”, while no significant correlations were observed for all the other families.

The Anthicidae and Bruchidae were positively correlated with all MH forms, except for the “Excrescens”, for which the correlations were negative. The Elateridae were negatively correlated with all MH forms, while the Oedemiridae were the only family positively correlated with all MH forms. Finally, several families revealed a random distribution (e.g., Nitidulidae, Cerambycidae, Curculionidae, Scarabaeidae, and Staphylinidae), since no correlations with the most abundant MH forms were observed.

---

**Fig. 5** - Correlation coefficients ($r$) between the abundance of each family of saproxylic insects and the MHs forms.
Discussion

Diversity and abundance of beetle communities in relationship with silvicultural treatments and MH occurrence

The α-diversity of Coleoptera communities and saproxylic beetles was relatively high, in terms of species richness. The different management types had an impact on α-diversity, confirming our first hypothesis. In fact, a lower number of species was found in MC (111 beetle species) than in YC and TO (164 and 145, respectively). Tree density in MC was the highest among the tested management types, which, in combination with the associated structural traits (e.g., canopy cover, absence of deadwood) had a negative influence on α-diversity of Coleoptera communities. The same trend occurred considering only the species included in the Red List (59 in YC, 43 and 47 species per MC and OT, respectively).

In MC all the trophic categories were uniformly present in terms of species and abundance (Fig. 2 – see also Tab S1 in Supplementary material). Whereas in TO saproxylic communities were characterized by xylophagous and saproxylophagoous species, which are involved in decomposition processes on branches and twigs, and useful for the development of larvae (Quinto et al. 2012). In YC, the xylophagous category prevailed, probably due to the presence of exposed stumps and twigs, inducing also a significant increase in the number of predators. These species enter the galleries made by xylophagous species or live in the small available spaces under the bark of recently dead trees (Sitioten 2012).

Among the various ecological parameters that influenced differences among silvicultural systems (YC, MC, TO – 43 beetle species in total), in terms of diversity and abundance of species, available solar radiation represents a discrimination element between coppice stands and the fruit orchard (Salmon et al. 2008). The less dense canopy cover of TO probably promoted saproxylophagous and xylophagous beetle larvae expanding cavities by feeding on wood and converting the wood into frass-borings and excrements that accumulate at the bottom of the cavity, together with the external inputs of leaves, twigs and seeds (Jönsson et al. 2004). Dermentidae and Staphyliniidae showed positive correlation with epiphytes, epixylic and parasitic, adults carrying their biological cycle in the nests of birds or small mammals. Conversely, Morbellidae, Scaptiidae and Curculionidae were mainly linked to the root system of herbaceous plants and litter in TO. Cavities represent unique environments with a distinct community, also frequented by larvae of Elateridae. Their habits are not entirely clear, but many of the species caught were predators, probably opportunistic scavengers or saprophagous (Micó 2018).

Saproxylic beetles represented 34.3% of the whole beetle community and provided information on species diversity of the whole beetle community, confirming our third hypothesis. Among saproxylics, 22 species were positively correlated with the presence of organic compounds on the ground, mainly rotten fruits, which represents a source of food for many species of beetles (Nadeau et al. 2015). Trees in TO showed large stem diameters at breast height and were relatively old, which determined the open structure of their crowns, as such, suitable for species of beetles dependent on solitary and veteran trees (Horak 2014). Overall, these observations confirm our second hypothesis. In detail, cavities had positive effects on Bostrichidae, Tenebrionidae and Thysanomyiidae, whose larvae feed under the bark, building galleries that accelerate the decomposition processes, improving the quality of available substrates for fungi and bacteria, and then the colonization by secondary saproxylic insects (Parisi et al. 2018), such as Ceridae and Melyridae (predators). Whereas, tree injuries and exposed wood were positively correlated with Cerambycidae, Curculionidae and Nitidulidae, probably in relationship with the absence of obstacles that facilitate spawning by adults of beetles (Quinto et al. 2015). The action of saproxylophagous and xylophagous beetle larvae represents unique environments with a distinct community, also frequented by larvae of Elateridae. Their habits are not entirely clear, but many of the species caught were predators, probably opportunistic scavengers or saprophagous (Micó 2018).

Saproxylic beetles represented 34.3% of the whole beetle community and provided information on species diversity of the whole beetle community, confirming our third hypothesis. Among saproxylics, 22 species were positively correlated with the presence of organic compounds on the ground, mainly rotten fruits, which represents a source of food for many species of beetles (Nadeau et al. 2015). Trees in TO showed large stem diameters at breast height and were relatively old, which determined the open structure of their crowns, as such, suitable for species of beetles dependent on solitary and veteran trees (Horak 2014). Overall, these observations confirm our second hypothesis. In detail, cavities had positive effects on Bostrichidae, Tenebrionidae and Thysanomyiidae, whose larvae feed under the bark, building galleries that accelerate the decomposition processes, improving the quality of available substrates for fungi and bacteria, and then the colonization by secondary saproxylic insects (Parisi et al. 2018), such as Ceridae and Melyridae (predators). Whereas, tree injuries and exposed wood were positively correlated with Cerambycidae, Curculionidae and Nitidulidae, probably in relationship with the absence of obstacles that facilitate spawning by adults of beetles (Quinto et al. 2015). The action of saproxylophagous and xylophagous beetle larvae represents unique environments with a distinct community, also frequented by larvae of Elateridae. Their habits are not entirely clear, but many of the species caught were predators, probably opportunistic scavengers or saprophagous (Micó 2018).

Saproxylic beetles represented 34.3% of the whole beetle community and provided information on species diversity of the whole beetle community, confirming our third hypothesis. Among saproxylics, 22 species were positively correlated with the presence of organic compounds on the ground, mainly rotten fruits, which represents a source of food for many species of beetles (Nadeau et al. 2015). Trees in TO showed large stem diameters at breast height and were relatively old, which determined the open structure of their crowns, as such, suitable for species of beetles dependent on solitary and veteran trees (Horak 2014). Overall, these observations confirm our second hypothesis. In detail, cavities had positive effects on Bostrichidae, Tenebrionidae and Thysanomyiidae, whose larvae feed under the bark, building galleries that accelerate the decomposition processes, improving the quality of available substrates for fungi and bacteria, and then the colonization by secondary saproxylic insects (Parisi et al. 2018), such as Ceridae and Melyridae (predators). Whereas, tree injuries and exposed wood were positively correlated with Cerambycidae, Curculionidae and Nitidulidae, probably in relationship with the absence of obstacles that facilitate spawning by adults of beetles (Quinto et al. 2015). The action of saproxylophagous and xylophagous beetle larvae represents unique environments with a distinct community, also frequented by larvae of Elateridae. Their habits are not entirely clear, but many of the species caught were predators, probably opportunistic scavengers or saprophagous (Micó 2018).

Saproxylic beetles represented 34.3% of the whole beetle community and provided information on species diversity of the whole beetle community, confirming our third hypothesis. Among saproxylics, 22
out of 89 species are included in IUCN Red Lists (Tab. S1 in Supplementary material). In particular, for the vulnerable category, Xylepertha retusa (Bostrichoidea) and Drosmelus barnabita (Eucnemiidae) develop in twig galleries, specifically in the sapwood; Ampedus sinuatus and Brachycorynus megerlie (Elateridae) are predators of other saproxylic organisms. However, these species were sampled as single, or less than three, individuals. The rarity or highly localized presence could be explained by the low amount of deadwood, even of small size, and the associated absence of decomposition phases.

**Implications for chestnut management**

Beetle community compositions differed among the three management methods, suggesting that a matrix of chestnut agroforestry systems should be used to improve conditions for biodiversity in this rural landscape (Fig. 6). Maintaining a patchy agroforestry landscape through the rotation of uses (Yack et al. 2009) might help keep these beetle communities stable over time and space, ensuring their diversity and abundance, overall contributing to the conservation of biodiversity. Stand-specific management approach should be considered in relation to land-use planning and landscape design, as well as conservation measures and disturbance risks. Although coppice stands do not appear to be seriously endangered in terms of species richness (and the presence of endemic, rare and threatened species was a clear evidence of this), an excessive fragmentation of habitats in this vulnerable Mediterranean rural landscape, however, may reduce the connectivity between suitable areas and, thus, impeding saproxylic species with very limited dispersion capacity to recolonize those environments in which they extinguished or reach new habitats.

Landscapes with high density of veteran trees and high diversity of associated fauna should be preserved for conservation purposes (Sitonen & Ranius 2015). General management rules can be envisaged to foster the biodiversity of saproxylic insects in these agroforestry systems (Parisi et al. 2018). Guidelines will be useful to implement habitat 9260 conservation measures at the national level: (a) maintain as many veteran trees as possible, also at the edge of coppice stands, allowing for natural aging of trees and favoring the formation of suckers, without a complete removal of dead branches simultaneously; (b) ensure the continuous supply of both young and mature trees. Continuity in the wood decay sequence is the most critical factor for the long-term persistence of highly specialized organisms; (c) avoid removing veteran trees rich in microhabitats useful for saproxylic fauna. Standing dead trees are valuable for saproxylic invertebrates, such as fallen ones; (d) make sure that deadwood can occur in moist and shaded areas, under partial shade or completely exposed to the sun. Furthermore, (e) avoid mowing between April and September; (f) use rotational management to generate a diversity of structures (Hjälmén et al. 2017); (g) retain patches of woodland and scrub, especially where this provides blossom and additional deadwood; (h) plant small blocks of flowering trees on sites that lack spring nectar sources; (i) avoid any large-scale simultaneous wood felling that suddenly exposes semi-shaded, veteran trees to full sunshine and wind; (l) manage hedges on a minimum three year rotation to create a range of species and structures across the landscape.

**Conclusions**

Beetle communities proved to be excellent bioindicators in chestnut agroforestry systems of the fragmented Mediterranean landscape in southern Italy. Forest management strategies should be oriented to diversify the territorial mosaic as much as possible, ensuring the presence of suitable habitats for keeping the populations of organisms in balance. The occurrence of MHs in managed chestnut agroforestry systems represents a valid ecological indicator for conservation purposes.

In this territorial matrix, mature orchards play a key role in the preservation of saproxylic and non-saproxylic beetle communities. Coppicing (VC, MC) and orchard management (TO) may add to natural disturbances, determining the rotation periods and creating landscape heterogeneity, thus influencing the biological cycle of beetle communities. In this sense, considering both spatial turnover and species richness of beetles allows a more comprehensive assessment of the processes contributing to species diversity than considering species richness only.

Our results highlighted that, in chestnut agroforestry systems, Coleoptera communities (particularly saproxylics) may help direct management practices aiming at biodiversity conservation. Restoring structural heterogeneity through flexible management strategies, considering the rural matrix, as well as structures and processes that would occur in the absence of human impact, may prevent excess loss of biodiversity in chestnut agroforestry systems. With this aim, further studies warrant to investigate chestnut coppices converted into high forests and abandoned coppices.
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