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INTRODUCTION

Supracondylar fractures are the most frequent elbow injuries in children, reported to occur in 55% to 75% of patients with elbow fractures.¹² The peak age of incidence of these fractures is between 5 and 7 years.³⁴ Supracondylar fractures generally occur as a result of a fall onto the outstretched hand with the elbow in full extension.⁵ Increased ligamentous laxity has been correlated with the occurrence of supracondylar fractures.⁶ Most distal humeral supracondylar fractures are displaced in extension,⁷ and flexion-type injuries are seen infrequently. These fractures represent a severe musculoskeletal injury and can cause severe complications like neurovascular compromise, compartment syndrome, Volkman’s ischaemic contracture, malunion, angular deformities, myositis ossificans and loss of motion.⁸⁻²¹ Hence proper management of these fractures is essential to avoid these complications.
The treatment of supracondylar fractures is decided according to the classification system devised by Gartland. According to this classification system, supracondylar fractures are classified into three types: type I—undisplaced, type II—displaced with intact posterior cortex and type III—displaced with no cortical contact. Type I fractures can be managed conservatively, while most of the type II and all type III fractures require proper reduction and fixation with Kirschner (K) wires.

In the present study, we evaluated the effectiveness of effectiveness of lateral percutaneous K wire fixation in the management of displaced supracondylar fractures (type II and III) in relation to achievement of union and functional results.

**METHODS**

After approval by the institutional ethics committee and informed written consent, the study was started. This was a prospective observational study conducted on 70 patients, either sex with an average age of 5.98 years, presenting to the Orthopaedic department of S.H.K.M. Government Medical College Hospital, Nalhar, Nuh, Haryana between February 2016 and February 2018, with displaced supracondylar fractures of humerus. The sex distribution was 38 males and 32 females.

**Inclusion criteria**

All the patients, i.e., children in the age group between 2 to 10 years, who had incurred displaced supracondylar humerus fractures (Gartland type II and type III) and injury to presentation interval up to 5 days were included.

**Exclusion criteria**

Patients in the age group <2 or >10 years, children with undisplaced supracondylar humerus fractures (Gartland type I), injury to presentation interval greater than 5 days, open fractures (Gustilo Anderson type II and III) and fractures associated with vascular injuries.

At presentation all the patients were thoroughly examined and neurovascular assessment was done. Standard anteroposterior and lateral radiographs of the elbow were obtained. Preliminary reduction was done and an above elbow plaster of Paris slab was applied. The patients were admitted and preoperative anaesthetic checkup was done.

The surgery was done as soon as possible usually within 24 hours of presentation. The surgical procedure was explained to the guardians of the patients and informed written consent was taken.

**Procedure**

After administration of general anaesthesia, patients were placed in a supine position on the fluorescent operating table with the affected upper limb hanging by the side. With one assistant providing counter traction by grasping the proximal arm, longitudinal traction was given by grasping the forearm. Supination and pronation of the forearm were done to correct the rotational malalignment and translation of the distal fragment was carried out to correct the medio-lateral displacement. While maintaining traction, elbow was gently flexed, with gentle pressure on the olecranon with the thumb for correction of the posterior displacement of the distal fragment. Maximal flexion of the elbow and pronation of the forearm were done to lock the posterior and medial soft tissue hinges. Anteroposterior and lateral images of the elbow were taken by the image intensifier to confirm reduction. While maintaining reduction, two lateral wires were inserted in such a fashion to exit above the medial epicondyle engaging both the fracture fragments. Proper placement of the K wires was confirmed under C arm. Wires were cut and bent in such a way, so that they do not migrate proximally. Radial pulse was checked. Antiseptic dressing was done and above elbow slab was applied. The patient was shifted out of the operation theatre.

Standard post-operative protocol was followed. On the first post-operative day dressing was changed, radiographs were taken and the patients were discharged. The patients were followed up weekly for the first month and monthly for one year. The wires and the plaster slab were removed after 3 weeks and the range of motion at elbow was started. The patients were followed up for one year and the data collected was subjected to analysis.

**Statistical methods**

The data was analysed with SPSS version 17.0 software. The demographic variables were assessed by number and percentage. Simple arithmetic mean was used for the description of the results of various values of time taken (in weeks) for union. Flynn’s criteria were used for assessment of cosmetic and functional results (Table 1).

| Table 1: Flynn’s criteria for grading of functional and cosmetic results. |
|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Results                    | Rating                     | Cosmetic factor: carrying angle loss (degrees) | Functional factor: motion loss (degrees) |
| Satisfactory               | Excellent                  | 0-5                          | 0-5                                      |
|                            | Good                       | 5-10                         | 5-10                                     |
|                            | Fair                       | 10-15                        | 10-15                                    |
| Unsatisfactory             | Poor                       | >15                          | >15                                      |
RESULTS

This was a prospective observational study. The average age was 5.98 years. The study included 38 male and 32 female children. The mean time taken for union was 3.8 weeks.

The fractures of all the patients in this study united with an average duration of 3.8 weeks. Majority of the patients in our study at follow up had satisfactory functional and cosmetic outcomes according to Flynn’s criteria. According to these criteria the results in our study were excellent in 58 (82.86%), good in 7 (10%), fair in 3 (4.28%) and poor in 2 (2.86%) patients. Of the two patients with poor results one patient had elbow stiffness which improved with continuous passive motion and physical therapy, while the other patient who developed cubitus varus deformity needed surgery in the form of corrective osteotomy. Three patients developed superficial pin track infections which improved with antiseptic dressing and antibiotics.

**Table 2: Age distribution.**

| Age in years | No. of patients | Percentage (%) |
|--------------|-----------------|----------------|
| 2-4          | 19              | 27.14          |
| 4-6          | 23              | 32.86          |
| 6-8          | 21              | 30.00          |
| 8-10         | 7               | 10.00          |
| Total        | 70              | 100            |

**Table 3: Sex distribution.**

| Gender | No. of patients | Percentage (%) |
|--------|-----------------|----------------|
| Male   | 38              | 54.28          |
| Female | 32              | 45.72          |
| Total  | 70              | 100            |

**Table 4: Observations of the study.**

| Parameters | Mean age of the patients in years | Mean time taken for union in weeks | Flynn’s Grading in various patients, N (%) |
|------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|------------------------------------------|
| Values of the parameters | 5.98 | 3.8 | Excellent 58 (82.86%), Good 7 (10%), Fair 3 (4.28%), Poor 2 (2.86%) |

**Figure 1:** Radiograph of the elbow showing Gartland type III fracture. (A) Lateral view, (B) anteroposterior view.

**Figure 2:** Radiograph of the elbow showing Gartland type II fracture. (A) Lateral view, (B) anteroposterior view.

**Figure 3:** Radiograph of a Gartland type III fracture fixed with two lateral K wires. (A) Anteroposterior view, (B) lateral view.

**Figure 4:** Radiograph of a united displaced supracondylar fracture after fixation with two lateral Kirchner wires at follow up. (A) Anteroposterior view, (B) lateral view.
DISCUSSION

Displaced supracondylar humerus fractures in children are a common clinical entity encountered in orthopaedic practice. Appropriate reduction and fixation of these fractures is essential for attainment of good functional and cosmetic results. Closed reduction and percutaneous pinning is now considered the treatment of choice for most displaced supracondylar fractures. The advantages of this treatment technique include less duration of hospital stay, preservation of circulation and decreased incidence of complications like infections, joint stiffness and compartment syndrome. Several configurations of pins for fixation of these fractures have been used over the years. These include crossed medial and lateral pins, lateral parallel, convergent or divergent configurations. Lateral pin configuration has been found to be as stable as crossed pin configuration, while minimizing the risk of iatrogenic ulnar nerve injury.

In our present study we evaluated the effectiveness of closed reduction and lateral percutaneous K wire fixation in the management of displaced supracondylar fractures (type II and III) in relation to achievement of union and functional results. Flynn’s criteria (Table 1) were used for assessment of cosmetic and functional results. This was a prospective observational study conducted on 70 patients of either sex with an average of 5.98 years, with displaced supracondylar humerus fractures (Figures 1 and 2). All the patients underwent closed reduction and percutaneous lateral K wire fixation (Figures 3). The fractures of all the patients in this study united (Figures 4) with an average duration of 3.8 weeks (Table 4). Majority of the patients in our study at follow up had satisfactory functional and cosmetic outcomes according to Flynn’s criteria. According to these criteria the results in our study were excellent in 58 (82.86%), good in 7 (10%), fair in 3 (4.28%) and poor in 2 (2.86%) patients. Of the two patients with poor results one patient had elbow stiffness which improved with continuous passive motion and physical therapy, while the other patient, who developed cubitus varus deformity needed surgery in the form of corrective osteotomy. Three patients developed superficial pin track infections which improved with antiseptic dressing and antibiotics.

The results of our study are quite comparable to other studies done about this technique. In the study by Bhuyan the average time taken for union was 4 weeks and the Flynn’s criteria were good to excellent in 97.47% of the patients and fair to poor in 2.52% of the patients. These results favour quite favourably to our study. In the study by Sahu the average time taken for union was 4 weeks and the Flynn’s criteria were 91.75% excellent, 7.05% good, 1.17% fair and no poor result, which is quite comparable to our study. In the study by Sharma et al the average time taken for union was 4 weeks and the Flynn’s criteria were 13.3% excellent, 60% good, 16.67% fair and 10% poor which compares quite favourably to our study.

CONCLUSION

From the above analysis, we can infer that the lateral percutaneous K wire fixation is a safe and effective method of treatment of displaced paediatric supracondylar humerus fractures with excellent rates of union and satisfactory functional and cosmetic results.
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