Use of barley malt pomace in the production of fresh sausage
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Abstract

The present study aimed to evaluate the effects of barley malt pomace addition on the characteristics of fresh pork sausages over 10 days of storage. Four fresh sausage formulations were elaborated, one with no addition of barley malt pomace (control formulation), and the other three elaborated with 3%, 6%, and 9% of pomace. The sausages were submitted to physicochemical analyses to determine ash, moisture, protein, lipid, carbohydrate, and raw fiber contents, water activity, pH, peroxide indices, and energetic value. Additionally, texture and color technological analysis and sensory analysis were performed. Sausages with 3% of malt pomace presented a protein content of 17.10% on the 10th day of storage, and raw fiber content of 2.00%; while in the control formulation these contents were 16.59% and 0.77%, respectively, with a significant difference (p ≤ 0.05) between samples, representing an increase in product nutritional value. In the hardness analysis, the control formulation had a value of 13.99 N and the formulation with 3% of pomace of 10.11 N, which shows that sausages with the addition of pomace are not as hard. In the sensory analysis, the control formulation showed about 80% acceptability in all attributes, the sausage with 3% of malt pomace had an acceptability index higher than 80% for the attribute 'global acceptance', the sausage with 6% of pomace had an index of approximately 70% and the formulation with 9% showed acceptability index of approximately 64% for the attribute 'global acceptance'. The addition of 3% of malt pomace to the sausage was the best alternative, since it had good acceptance by consumers, and provided an increased nutritional value. Our results show that the use of malt pomace in meat products is a viable alternative that helps to reduce production costs and aids in solving an environmental issue.
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Resumo

O presente trabalho teve o objetivo de avaliar os efeitos da adição do bagaço de malte de cevada nas características de linguíscas frescas de carne suína ao longo de 10 dias de armazenamento. Foram elaboradas quatro formulações de linguíscas frescas, uma delas sem adição de bagaço de malte (formulação controle) e outras três com 3%, 6% e 9% do bagaço. As linguíscas foram submetidas às análises físico-químicas para determinação dos teores de cinzas,
umidade, proteínas, lipídeos, carboidratos, fibra bruta, atividade de água, pH, índice de peróxidos e valor energético. Também foram realizadas análises tecnológicas de textura e cor e avaliação sensorial. A linguíça com 3% de bagaço de malte apresentou no 10º dia de armazenamento teor proteico de 17,10% e teor de fibra bruta de 2,00%, enquanto na formulação controle esses teores foram de 16,59% e 0,77%, respectivamente, apresentando diferença significativa (p ≤ 0,05) entre as amostras, o que representa um aumento no valor nutricional do produto. Na análise de dureza, a formulação controle apresentou o valor de 13,99 N, e a formulação com 3% de bagaço, valor de 10,11 N, demonstrando que as linguíças com adição do bagaço ficaram menos rígidas. Na análise sensorial, a formulação controle apresentou cerca de 80% de aceitabilidade em todos os atributos; a linguíça com 3% de bagaço de malte atingiu índice de aceitação global superior a 80%; a linguíça com 6% de bagaço obteve índice de aproximadamente 70%; e a formulação com 9% apresentou índice de aceitação global de aproximadamente 64%. A adição de 3% de bagaço de malte na linguíça se mostrou a melhor alternativa, pois, além de proporcionar um aumento no valor nutricional do produto, apresentou uma boa aceitabilidade por parte dos consumidores. Os resultados obtidos neste estudo demonstram que o aproveitamento do bagaço de malte em produtos cárneos é uma alternativa viável, que contribui para a redução dos custos de produção e auxilia na solução de um problema ambiental.

Palavras-chave: Resíduo da indústria cervejeira; Produtos cárneos; Análises físico-químicas; Análise sensorial; Valor nutricional; Teor de fibra.

1 Introduction

Several agro-industrial residues can be used in the production of fresh sausage, among these residues are those generated by the brewing industry. Beer is one of the most largely consumed drinks worldwide, and it represents an important sector in the Brazilian economy. According to the Brazilian Association of the Brewery Industry (Associação Brasileira da Indústria da Cerveja, 2018), the brewery sector is responsible for 1.6% of the Brazilian Gross Domestic Product (GDP) and 14% of the national processing industry. According to data from the Ministry of Agriculture, Livestock, and Supply (Brasil, 2020), 210 new breweries were registered in Brazil in 2018, thus reaching the mark of 899 units throughout the country. As described in the Brazilian legislation (Brasil, 2009), beer is a drink that results from the alcoholic fermentation of the brewing wort, derived from barley malt and drinkable water, due to the action of yeast and the addition of hop. The most abundant ingredient found in beer is water, accounting for over 90% of the formulation (Rebello, 2009). Aside from water, beer is produced using some types of cereals, among which barley predominates due to its significant starch concentration, which is transformed into maltose and glucose during the malting stage. Hop, which is responsible for the bitter taste and the aroma typical of beer, and yeast, which acts in the fermentation process to produce ethanol, are other essential ingredients in beer production (Morado, 2017; Santos et al., 2013).

Some stages must be observed in beer processing. According to Santos et al. (2013) and Morado (2017), the following stages of the process are essential: malting, beer wort production, initial filtering, boiling, fermentation, maturation, and final processing. This entire process generates some residues, particularly malt pomace, which accounts for 85% of the residues generated (Mussatto et al., 2006). According to Cordeiro et al. (2012), a total of 14 to 20 kg of pomace are generated per 100 L of beer produced. According to the Ministry of Agriculture, Livestock, and Supply (Brasil, 2019), Brazil produces 14 billion liters of beer annually, generating 2 to 3 million tons of malt pomace, which causes a great environmental impact. Almeida et al. (2017) emphasized that this type of residue is rich in fibers, which might account for up to 70% of its content, and has a significant protein content, varying from 15% to 30%. Other compounds can be found, such as vitamins and minerals (Aliyu & Bala, 2011). The manufacture of foods destined for animal nutrition is the major alternative application for malt pomace. However, this residue has been applied in the human diet, used mostly in bakery products, as a partial replacement for flour (Mussatto et al., 2006). The use of malt pomace in the production of meat products is also a good alternative, whether to increase the nutritional value or to replace proteins of animal origin (Nagy et al., 2017).

Pork is another food item consumed in large amounts worldwide, according to data from the United States Department of Agriculture (United States Department of Agriculture, 2019). The major producers and consumers are China, the United States, and the European Union; Brazil comes fourth in the global ranking...
(United States Department of Agriculture, 2019). Meat is a food item with high protein concentration, which might reach up to 22%. However, the component with the highest concentration in water, varying from 65 to 80%. Other components such as lipids, ash, carbohydrates, and vitamins can also be found, yet in lower concentrations (Ordóñez, 2005). Considering that in natura meat is a highly perishable food, the production of sausages is an alternative to increase its shelf life, as well as to provide a higher diversity of consumer products (Oliveira et al., 2005). Fresh sausage is one of the major sausages produced by industries, considering that its elaboration process is relatively simple and it has good acceptance by consumers throughout Brazil (Silva et al., 2013). Due to its characteristics, this type of product cannot be consumed raw and must be stored under refrigeration (Hugo & Hugo, 2015). Poultry, pork, mixed, and Tuscany sausages are amongst the major fresh products produced (Lemos & Yamada, 2003).

Brazilian legislation has not established a standard for fiber content in processed meat products such as sausages, which usually exhibit reduced concentrations of this nutrient (Mendes et al., 2014). According to Petersson et al. (2014), increased daily uptake of fibers is important to maintain health and prevent some diseases. New formulations with the addition of plant fibers to meat products have been studied and developed to offer products with functional features, to increase their nutritional value without negatively affecting their sensory and technological characteristics, and seeking to provide consumer well-being (Mendes et al., 2014; Polizer et al., 2015; Oliveira et al., 2013).

Malt pomace, a residue generated in large amounts in the brewery industry, is low cost and has beneficial properties to the organism (Aliyu & Bala, 2011). Due to its functional characteristics, it helps to reduce cholesterol levels, speeds up intestinal transit, and acts as an antioxidant, thus showing potential for industrial exploitation (Nagy et al., 2017; Mussatto et al., 2006; Almeida et al., 2017). The addition of this ingredient in the production of kebab (França et al., 2016), smoked sausage (Nagy et al., 2017), and Frankfurters (Özvural et al., 2009) has shown good results, providing increased nutritional value to the products.

Therefore, the present study aimed to use beer malt pomace, a beer processing by-product, in the manufacture of pork fresh sausage, hence adding value to this by-product. The addition of this ingredient was intended for increased end-product yield and reduced production costs. Four fresh sausage formulations were elaborated, including one control formulation, without the addition of malt pomace, and three other formulations, with different concentrations of this ingredient. All sausages were evaluated regarding their physicochemical, technological, and sensory characteristics throughout 10 days of storage under refrigeration at 5 °C. In addition, the dry malt pomace used to elaborate sausages was analyzed regarding its granulometry, coloration, and physicochemical characteristics.

2 Material and methods

2.1 Obtaining the raw material

Barley malt pomace was obtained from a brewery in Taquari Valley – RS, derived from the production of a Pilsen beer. Pomace was submitted to a drying process using a tray dryer (Pardal PEG60, Petrópolis, RJ, Brazil), at 50 °C for 15 h, to reach lower moisture than 10%. Subsequently, it was grounded using a food processor (Philips RI7630, Varginha, MG, Brazil), to reduce particle size. After grounding, malt pomace was submitted to a granulometric analysis using a sieve shaker (Bertel 50BA, Caieiras, SP, Brazil). Sieves with aperture sizes of 149, 297, 595, 1190, 2000, and 4000 µm were used to perform this analysis, corresponding to Tyler series 100, 48, 28, 14, 9, and 5, respectively, with a plate with no holes at the base. Sieves were placed on the equipment in increasing aperture order, from the base to the top, and the shaking process took place for 10 min with a vibration intensity of 80%. Additionally, color analysis was performed using a colorimeter (Konica Minolta CM-5, Hino-shi, Tokyo, Japan) and physicochemical analyses of ash, moisture, proteins, lipids, carbohydrates, water activity, and energetic value were performed according to the methodologies described by Adolfo Lutz Institute (Instituto Adolfo Lutz, 2008) and the raw fiber content was performed according to the methodology described by the Association of Official Analytical Chemists (Association of Official Analytical Chemists, 2012).

The other ingredients required for the production of fresh sausage were obtained at the local market, in the city of Lajeado – RS.
2.2 Sausage formulations

Four sausage formulations were elaborated, one being the control formulation (CS formulation) produced with ingredients that are commonly used in the production of fresh sausages, namely pork, pork lard, water, sodium chloride, curing salt, powder garlic, and powder nutmeg. Formulations S3, S6, and S9 were elaborated with the addition of 3%, 6%, and 9% of malt pomace, respectively, to replace pork. The other ingredients were used at the same concentrations as those of the CS Formulation. Table 1 describes all ingredients that were used in the elaboration of sausages, as well as their corresponding percentages.

Table 1. Ingredients used in sausage formulations.

| Ingredients       | Formulations |
|-------------------|--------------|
|                   | CS | S3 | S6 | S9 |
| Pork (%)          | 77.49 | 74.49 | 71.49 | 68.49 |
| Pork lard (%)     | 10.00 | 10.00 | 10.00 | 10.00 |
| Water (%)         | 10.00 | 10.00 | 10.00 | 10.00 |
| Sodium chloride (%) | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 |
| Curing salt (%)   | 0.015 | 0.015 | 0.015 | 0.015 |
| Powder garlic (%) | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 |
| Powder nutmeg (%) | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 |
| Malt pomace (%)   | - | 3.00 | 6.00 | 9.00 |

CS: Control formulation; S3: formulation with 3% malt pomace; S6: formulation with 6% malt pomace; S9: formulation with 9% malt pomace.

In all fresh sausage formulations, pork and lard underwent the grinding stage through a meat grinder (G. Paniz MCR 10, Caxias do Sul, RS, Brazil) with an 8-mm disc, followed by addition of water, sodium chloride, curing salt, powder garlic, and powder nutmeg. Previously prepared malt pomace was also added to formulations S3, S6, and S9. All ingredients were mixed manually, which allowed for the formation of a smooth mixture. This mixture was then embedded in a natural pork casing, with an approximate diameter of 25 mm with the help of a manual vacuum filler.

Samples were packaged in transparent polyethylene bags, stored under refrigeration at 5 ºC, and had their physicochemical characteristics analyzed, after the 1st and 10th days of storage, and their technological characteristics were analyzed after the 10th day of storage. This period was defined considering the shelf life of the commercial fresh sausage. Sensory analysis was performed on the 3rd day of product storage, to evaluate the sensory characteristics of the fresh sausage recently elaborated.

2.3 Determination of physicochemical characteristics

The following were determined in the sausages: ash, moisture, lipid, protein, carbohydrate, and raw fiber contents, as well as energetic value and water activity. Additionally, pH and oxidative rancidity were measured. The raw fiber content was performed according to the methodology described by the Association of Official Analytical Chemists (Association of Official Analytical Chemists, 2012) and the other analyses were performed according to the methodologies described by Adolfo Lutz Institute (Instituto Adolfo Lutz, 2008).

Ashes were determined by incinerating the product in a muffle (Quimis Q-318M, Diadema, SP, Brazil) at 550 °C, according to the method of Adolfo Lutz Institute (Instituto Adolfo Lutz, 2008). To determine moisture, the sample was heated in an oven (Ethiktechnology 404-3D, Vargem Grande Paulista, SP, Brazil) at 105 °C and was subsequently cooled in a desiccator until the weight was constant. Lipids were determined based on the direct extraction method using solvents, in a Soxhlet extractor. The Kjeldahl method was used to analyze protein content; in this method, the total nitrogen content is determined, and then, converted into
raw protein using the factor 6.25. To determine the pH of samples, a bench potentiometer (Digimed DM-22, São Paulo, SP, Brazil) was used. The rancidity degree of lipids present in sausages was determined using peroxide index. For this analysis, samples were treated with an acetic acid-chloroform solution (3:2), with addition of saturated potassium iodide and starch-indicator solution. Titration was performed with sodium thiosulphate (Instituto Adolfo Lutz, 2008). Carbohydrate content was determined by calculating the difference between 100 g of sample and the sum of ash, moisture, protein, and lipid values. Energetic value in 100 g of sausage was calculated by the sum of protein, lipid, and carbohydrate concentrations, multiplied by their corresponding conversion factors (4 kcal g⁻¹, 9 kcal g⁻¹, and 4 kcal g⁻¹) (Brasil, 2003). To analyze the raw fiber content, samples were treated with 1.25% sulfuric acid (v/v), and then, with 1.25% sodium hydroxide (v/v). After that, they were heated in a furnace at 105 °C, cooled and calcinated in a muffle at 550 °C, according to the AOAC method (Association of Official Analytical Chemists, 2012). Water activity was determined using a water activity analyzer (Aqualab Lite, Rio de Janeiro, RJ, Brazil).

2.4 Determination of technological characteristics

The texture characteristics of raw sausage samples were evaluated using a texture analyzer (Brookfield CT3, Middleboro, MA, USA). The method used was Texture Profile Analysis (TPA), in which two compression and decompression cycles were performed. The 25-mm samples were compressed to 50% of their initial thickness, with a test speed of 2 mm s⁻¹, TA25/1000 probe, and 10 kg loading cell, according to the method adapted from Sisik et al. (2012). Parameters 'hardness', 'cohesiveness', 'elasticity', 'gumminess', and 'chewiness' were analyzed with the help of Texture Pro CT V 1.8.

Malt pomace and sausage color were analyzed using a colorimeter (Konica Minolta CM-5, Hino-shi, Tokyo, Japan), with which the parameters 'L*', 'a*', and 'b*' were evaluated. L* value is related to luminosity and indicates color variation from black to white, a* represents the level of red coloration in the sample, and 'b*' indicates the level of yellow coloration (Choi et al., 2015).

2.5 Determination of sensorial characteristics

Sensory analysis was performed at the Laboratory of Sensory Analysis of the Taquari Valley University – Univates by a group of 60 untrained tasters, recruited from Univates students and staff; 24 were men and 26 were women aged between 18 and 50, who analyzed the attributes ‘appearance’, ‘color’, ‘flavor’, ‘scent’, ‘texture’, and ‘global acceptance’. The untrained tasters, who participated in the sensory analysis, like fresh sausage and consume this product regularly. These characteristics have been asked to all individuals invited for the sensory analysis, before they had performed the sensory analysis of the fresh sausages. The samples were previously baked in a combi oven (Tedesco TC-6G, Caxias do Sul, RS, Brazil) at 180 °C for 60 min at the Dietetic Technique Laboratory of the Taquari Valley University – Univates. Sensory analysis was performed in individual cabinets with white light and at room temperature, and samples were placed on disposable plastic plates. The samples were divided in standardized portions of approximately 10 g and identified with three random digits; they were then provided to tasters for sensory evaluation. Together with the samples, cups with mineral water at room temperature (for tasters to cleanse their palate) and an assessment form were offered. Sensory analysis was performed using the acceptance test with a structured nine-point hedonistic scale, where score 1 refers to the term “disliked it immensely” and 9 means “liked it immensely” (Instituto Adolfo Lutz, 2008). The acceptability index of the samples was calculated by dividing the mean value of results by the highest score possible in each attribute, multiplied by 100. Prior to performing the analyses, the present study was submitted to the Research Ethics Committee (COEP) of the University for evaluation, and it was approved under report number 3.448.411 and CAAE: 15125819.2.0000.5310.
2.6 Statistical data analysis

Three batches of each sausage formulation were elaborated and were analyzed in duplicates. The results of physico-chemical, technological, and sensory analyses of the different sausage formulations were statistically evaluated using an analysis of variance (ANOVA) and the significance of the models was evaluated using the F-test. In the samples that had significant difference, mean values were compared using Tukey's test, with a confidence level of 95% \((p \leq 0.05)\). To check if there was significant difference between samples from the 1st and 10th days of storage, a Student's t-test was performed for each characteristic evaluated, also adopting the confidence level of 95% \((p \leq 0.05)\). Statistica 13.1 (Dell Statistica®, US) was used to statistically analyze results.

3 Results and discussion

3.1 Characterization of dry malt pomace

After grinding, malt pomace particles had a mean diameter of 951 µm, and color analysis indicated values of 52.99, 5.54, and 20.91 for parameters \(L^*\), \(a^*\), and \(b^*\), respectively. Results of the physicochemical analyses of malt pomace are shown in Table 2.

Table 2. Results of physicochemical analyses of malt pomace.

| Component          | Dry malt pomace |
|--------------------|-----------------|
| Ash (%)            | 3.77 ± 0.01     |
| Moist (%)          | 7.78 ± 0.23     |
| Proteins (%)       | 19.72 ± 0.29    |
| Lipids (%)         | 2.05 ± 0.19     |
| Carbohydrates (%)  | 67.09 ± 0.24    |
| Raw fiber (%)      | 19.87 ± 0.60    |
| Water activity     | 0.537 ± 0.001   |
| Energetic value (kcal 100 g\(^{-1}\)) | 363.88 ± 0.88 |

Results expressed as mean value ± standard deviation.

Results in Table 2 show that dry malt pomace exhibits high protein, carbohydrate, and raw fiber contents. Additionally, it has low moisture, which favors conservation and helps to decrease volume (Aliyu & Bala, 2011). The high energetic value of 363.88 kcal 100 g\(^{-1}\) is related to the high concentration of proteins and carbohydrates. Nagy et al. (2017) found 3.80% ash content, 7.90% moist content, 13.50% proteins, 4.80% lipids, and 70% carbohydrates in the malt pomace used to manufacture smoked sausage. Özvural et al. (2009) analyzed grounded malt pomace at different granulometry values to use in Frankfurters and they observed protein content between 23 and 31%, moisture content between 6.70% and 7.60%, and ash content between 3.57% and 3.76%. The raw fiber concentration observed in the present study, 19.87%, is different from the findings by Madabuike & Okolo (2016), who characterized malt pomace and found the value of 10.44%. According to Mussatto et al. (2006), differences in values obtained in the characterization of malt pomace might be related to barley variety, type of processing, and equipment used. Regarding water activity, the value found is considered to be intermediate, which enables to control water content and the microbiological safety of foods (Damodaran & Parkin, 2019).

3.2 Physicochemical characteristics of sausages

Table 3 shows the results of physicochemical analyses performed with sausages on the 1st day of storage and after the 10th day of storage, as well as the energetic value of formulations. Ash content in the samples varied from 2.46% to 2.96%, and there was no significant difference between them. Samples also showed no
difference in ash content between the 1st and the 10th days of storage, with values varying from 2.87% to 3.05%. Ash results in the present study are like those observed by Borrajo et al. (2016), who found values between 3.2% and 3.4% in a wheat fiber-enriched sausage.

Moisture content in the CS formulation was 64.55% on the 1st day of storage, while samples S3, S6, and S9 had 60.11%, 59.22%, and 58.68%, respectively, thus indicating a significant difference between them ($p \leq 0.05$). The decrease in moisture content in the sausages samples is probably due to the replacement of meat (higher moisture content) by the malt pomace (lower moisture content) in the sausage’s formulations. Nagy et al. (2017) also observed reduced moisture content in a smoked sausage with addition of malt pomace; moisture content was 66.30% in the control formulation and 53.90% in the formulation with 6% of pomace. Choi et al. (2015) showed that addition of rice fiber to a sausage also caused moisture to decrease. After 10 days of storage, all sausage formulations had increased moisture, with significant difference ($p \leq 0.05$) compared to the 1st day, and this might be explained by the loss of water in pork after a few days of storage (Ramos & Gomide, 2007). Addition of malt pomace to sausages also helped remove free water from the medium, thus causing water activity to decrease in the product. However, the high value found might favor food degradation and change its quality (Damodaran & Parkin, 2019).

Table 3. Results of physicochemical analyses and analysis of energetic value of sausages with malt pomace.

| Component     | Storage period | Formulations |
|---------------|----------------|--------------|
|               | 1 day          | CS S3 S6 S9  |
| Ash (%)       | 2.46 ± 0.43A   | 2.61 ± 0.21A | 2.78 ± 0.13A | 2.96 ± 0.10A |
| Moist (%)     | 64.55 ± 0.48B  | 60.11 ± 0.61B | 59.22 ± 0.46B | 58.68 ± 0.89B |
| Proteins (%)  | 15.61 ± 0.48B  | 16.67 ± 0.48B | 16.73 ± 0.60B | 17.21 ± 0.41B |
| Carbohydrates | 7.08 ± 0.29A   | 10.15 ± 0.55B | 10.28 ± 0.69A | 10.31 ± 1.05A |
| Water activity| 5.98 ± 0.02A   | 6.04 ± 0.02A | 6.19 ± 0.06A | 6.31 ± 0.03A |
| pH            | 5.93 ± 0.03A   | 5.99 ± 0.02B | 6.16 ± 0.04A | 6.23 ± 0.04B |
| PI (meq kg⁻¹) | 0.76 ± 0.11AB  | 0.82 ± 0.15AB | 0.96 ± 0.06AB | 1.02 ± 0.34AB |
| Energetic value(kcal 100 g⁻¹) | 183.53 ± 4.89AB | 201.40 ± 4.30AB | 206.94 ± 3.29AB | 208.62 ± 4.14AB |

Results expressed as mean value ± standard deviation. CS: Control formulation; S3: formulation with 3% malt pomace; S6: formulation with 6% malt pomace; S9: formulation with 9% malt pomace; PI: peroxide index. Different lowercase letters in the same row represent significant difference between samples using Tukey’s test ($p \leq 0.05$). Different uppercase letters in the same column for each characteristic represent a significant difference between formulations throughout storage period, using Student’s t-test ($p \leq 0.05$).
Regarding protein content, formulation S9 had 17.21% while formulation CS had 15.61%, indicating that there was a significant difference ($p \leq 0.05$). The other formulations also had higher values that the CS; however, differences were not significant. These results show that the addition of malt pomace provided increased protein value in the sausage. Protein content increased in all samples on the 10th day of storage, varying from 16.59% to 18.03%. However, the difference from the 1st day was not significant. Increased protein value was also observed by Nagy et al. (2017), who added malt pomace to smoked sausage. The authors observed that the control formulation had a value of 11.60% of protein, while the formulation with addition of malt pomace obtained a value of 12.90%. The addition of wine-processing by-product meal to salami also provided increased protein content, according to a study by Mendes et al. (2014).

Lipid concentration varied from 10.31 to 10.95% amongst the different sausage formulations, with no significant differences. Since malt pomace has a low lipid concentration, its addition to the sausage did not affect this parameter. From the 1st to the 10th day of storage, there was a reduction between 0.53% and 0.59% in the lipid content of sausages, although this difference was not significant, either. Borrajo et al. (2016) did not observe difference in lipid content of sausages with addition of wheat fiber, either. These results are different from the values found by Özvural et al. (2009), who analyzed Frankfurters with addition of malt pomace, and found a lipid content of 15.92%, while the control formulation had a value of 18.05%.

Sausage samples had carbohydrate concentrations of 7.08% and 10.31% on the 1st day of storage, and CS formulation statistically differed from the others ($p \leq 0.05$). The high concentration of this nutrient in malt pomace explains this increase. These values decreased on the 10th day of storage, showing difference compared to the 1st day, due to increased moisture and protein content. Increased carbohydrate content was also observed by Choi et al. (2015) and Nagy et al. (2017), who added rice fiber in sausages and malt pomace in smoked sausage, respectively. Regarding the energetic value of samples, results varied from 183.53 to 208.62 kcal 100 g$^{-1}$, with the CS sample differing from the others ($p \leq 0.05$). After 10 days of storage, these values decreased, and remained between 167.74 and 195.48 kcal 100 g$^{-1}$. Reduced energetic value is related to the decrease in lipid and carbohydrate contents throughout the storage period.

The pH value of the CS sample on the 1st day of storage was 5.98 and it increased with the addition of malt pomace, reaching 6.19 in S6 and 6.31 in S9, indicating that these two samples statistically differ from CS ($p \leq 0.05$). According to Bezerra et al. (2012) the pH of meat products usually lies in the range between 5.4 and 6.2. After 10 days of storage, the pH of all sausages decreased, remaining between 5.93 and 6.23. These values are like the results obtained by Marti-Quijal et al. (2019), who added different types of plant protein to pork sausages and obtained pH values between 5.93 and 6.15.

Lipid oxidation is one of the major factors that cause meat degradation and is an important quality indicator (Gois et al., 2017). Results in Table 3 show peroxide index values between 0.76 and 1.02 meq kg$^{-1}$ after the 1st day of storage, with significant difference between CS and the other samples ($p \leq 0.05$). After a storage period of 10 days, the peroxide index increased in all samples and values ranged from 1.45 to 1.78 meq kg$^{-1}$, representing a significant difference ($p \leq 0.05$) compared to the 1st day. Despite this increase, values were lower than those observed by Qin et al. (2013), who analyzed fresh ground pork meat, which had a peroxide index of 5.51 meq kg$^{-1}$ after 3 days of storage. Analyses performed by Bazargani-Gilani et al. (2015) with chicken breast meat also indicated increased peroxide index from the 1st to the 10th day of storage. According to the authors, peroxide formation is faster on the first days of storage, and after that, the product is degraded, forming secondary oxidation products. According to the Food and Agriculture Organization (Food and Agriculture Organization, 1995), in fats of animal origin the peroxide index of up to 5 meq kg$^{-1}$ is acceptable.

In raw fiber analysis, samples CS, S3, S6, and S9 had a significant difference ($p \leq 0.05$) and the mean values found were 0.77%, 2.00%, 2.46%, and 3.10%, respectively. Increased fiber content was expected due to the addition of malt pomace, which has a high concentration of this nutrient in its composition. The presence of fibers in the sausages resulted in a more nutritious product, which provides several benefits to health. Increased fiber content was also observed by Özvural et al. (2009), with the addition of malt pomace.
to Frankfurters. They observed that fiber content reached 3.89% in sausage with 5% of pomace, ground at a finer granulometry. Pintado et al. (2018) added chia and oatmeal to fresh sausages and observed increased fiber content in both formulations.

### 3.3 Technological characteristics of sausages

Results of the texture analyses of the different sausage formulations are shown in Table 4.

| Parameter      | Formulations       |
|----------------|--------------------|
|                | CS     | S3     | S6     | S9     |
| Hardness (N)   | 13.99 ± 1.98ab    | 10.11 ± 1.66ab | 5.92 ± 0.83b | 5.83 ± 0.58b |
| Cohesiveness   | 0.37 ± 0.04a      | 0.30 ± 0.04a | 0.39 ± 0.05a | 0.38 ± 0.01a |
| Gumminess (N)  | 5.76 ± 0.43a      | 3.00 ± 0.58b | 2.30 ± 0.46b | 2.23 ± 0.28b |
| Elasticity     | 0.93 ± 0.02a      | 0.91 ± 0.03a | 0.88 ± 0.02a | 0.86 ± 0.01ab |
| Chewiness (N)  | 5.37 ± 0.32a      | 2.76 ± 0.62b | 2.03 ± 0.44b | 1.93 ± 0.27b |

Results expressed as mean value ± standard deviation. CS: Control formulation; S3: formulation with 3% malt pomace; S6: formulation with 6% malt pomace; S9: formulation with 9% malt pomace. Different lowercase letters in the same row represent significant difference between samples using Tukey’s test ($p \leq 0.05$).

Results in Table 4 indicate a significant difference ($p \leq 0.05$) in hardness between CS formulation and formulations S6 and S9. It is possible to observe that there was a reduction from 13.99 N in the control formulation (CS) to 5.83 N in the formulation with 9% of malt pomace (S9). Özvural et al. (2009) and Sisik et al. (2012) also observed reduction in sausage hardness, adding malt pomace and broccoli fiber, respectively. According to Özvural et al. (2009), the addition of plant fiber might have provided a lower hardness to the products. Choi et al. (2015) did not obtain the same results, as they observed increased hardness in a sausage with addition of rice fiber. However, it is worth noting that there was also increased addition of fat proportion in this study, which might have affected the result. Cohesiveness values are similar among all samples, while sample S9 had a significant difference ($p \leq 0.05$) in the elasticity analysis. Chewiness was also higher in the CS formulation, as this parameter is related with hardness.

Table 5 shows the results of color analyses performed with sausages with addition of malt pomace. Data shows that there was a significant difference ($p \leq 0.05$) in coloration among samples regarding the parameter ‘L*’, which is related to luminosity.

| Parameter | Formulations       |
|-----------|--------------------|
|           | CS     | S3     | S6     | S9     |
| L*        | 60.54 ± 1.38a     | 53.76 ± 0.20b | 50.66 ± 0.42b | 48.65 ± 0.43b |
| a*        | 5.69 ± 0.046a      | 6.51 ± 0.40b | 5.17 ± 0.18b | 5.20 ± 0.24b |
| b*        | 14.59 ± 0.25a     | 14.02 ± 0.15b | 13.94 ± 0.21b | 14.11 ± 0.09b |

Results expressed as mean value ± standard deviation. CS: Control formulation; S3: formulation with 3% malt pomace; S6: formulation with 6% malt pomace; S9: formulation with 9% malt pomace. Different lowercase letters in the same row represent significant difference between samples using Tukey’s test ($p \leq 0.05$).

It is clear that the more malt pomace was added to the formulations, the lower was the luminosity, i.e., the darker the sausage mass was. This characteristic is not expected in pork sausage, but it can be explained by...
the presence of malt pomace, which has a dark coloration (Mussatto et al., 2006). Regarding the parameter 'a*', which is related to the intensity of red coloration, only sample S3 had a significant difference ($p \leq 0.05$) compared to formulations S6 and S9. CS sample had the highest 'b*' value, which indicates intensity of yellow coloration, showing that there is a difference compared to the other formulations. According to Borrajo et al. (2016), the addition of wheat fiber to sausages also caused reduced L* value. In the study mentioned, a* and b* did not have differences among formulations. Reduced L* value in sausages with addition of malt pomace was also addressed by Özvural et al. (2009), who also observed reduced a* value and increased b* value.

### 3.4 Sensory characteristics of sausages

Sensory analysis was performed using the 9-point hedonic scale and results are shown in Table 6. Despite having the highest hardness, the CS formulation was observed to obtain the best scores in the instrumental texture analysis (Table 4), above 7 in all attributes, and was differentiated from formulations S6 and S9 ($p \leq 0.05$). Formulation S3 got scores between 6.85 and 7.42 and did not have a significant difference compared the CS formulation in all attributes evaluated, which indicates that the addition of 3% of sausage by-product was well accepted by the tasters. The characteristics of formulation S9 were differentiated due to the higher addition of malt pomace, which caused the scores attributed by tasters to be lower than those observed in the other formulations. However, this formulation had the highest nutritional value, according to results in Table 3.

| Attribute      | Formulations                  |
|----------------|-------------------------------|
|                | CS   | S3    | S6    | S9    |
| Appearance     | 7.23 ± 1.42<sup>a</sup> | 6.87 ± 1.53<sup>a</sup> | 5.98 ± 1.42<sup>b</sup> | 5.67 ± 1.56<sup>b</sup> |
| Color          | 7.30 ± 1.44<sup>a</sup> | 6.85 ± 1.53<sup>a</sup> | 6.05 ± 1.53<sup>b</sup> | 5.65 ± 1.60<sup>b</sup> |
| Flavor         | 7.35 ± 1.56<sup>a</sup> | 7.23 ± 1.47<sup>a</sup> | 6.20 ± 1.84<sup>b</sup> | 5.83 ± 1.99<sup>b</sup> |
| Scent          | 7.48 ± 1.21<sup>a</sup> | 7.42 ± 1.28<sup>a</sup> | 6.60 ± 1.63<sup>b</sup> | 6.43 ± 1.58<sup>b</sup> |
| Texture        | 7.13 ± 1.56<sup>a</sup> | 6.95 ± 1.38<sup>a</sup> | 6.02 ± 1.75<sup>b</sup> | 5.80 ± 1.82<sup>b</sup> |
| Global Acceptance | 7.27 ± 1.41<sup>a</sup> | 7.22 ± 1.26<sup>a</sup> | 6.23 ± 1.57<sup>b</sup> | 5.75 ± 1.79<sup>b</sup> |

Results expressed as mean value ± standard deviation. Acceptance test with a structured nine-point hedonic scale, where score 1 refers to the term “disliked it immensely” and 9 means “liked it immensely”. CS: Control formulation; S3: formulation with 3% malt pomace; S6: formulation with 6% malt pomace; S9: formulation with 9% malt pomace. Different lowercase letters in the same row represent significant difference between samples using Tukey’s test ($p \leq 0.05$).

Nagy et al. (2017) produced a smoked sausage with addition of 6% of malt pomace, and in the texture analysis of the different attributes, they obtained results of 6.9 and 7.9. Özvural et al. (2009) added malt pomace to Frankfurters and also obtained results close to 7.0 in all sensory attributes evaluated.

Regarding the acceptance index, the CS sample had approximately 80% in all attributes, and the global acceptance index was 80.74%. Samples S3, S6, and S9 had global acceptance index of 80.19%, 69.26%, and 63.89%, respectively. According to Dutcoski (2015), for a product to be considered accepted regarding its sensory characteristics, the acceptability index must be approximately 70%. Therefore, the sensory evaluation of sausages showed that formulations with 3% and 6% of malt pomace were well accepted by tasters and had potential for commercialization.
4 Conclusion

The results of the present study showed that malt pomace is a viable alternative to be used in meat products. Sausage formulation formulation with addition of 3% of malt pomace had protein content of 17.10% and raw fiber content of 2.00%, which are higher values than those of the control formulation, thus representing an increase in product nutritional value. Regarding hardness, formulations with addition of pomace were not as hard as the formulation without pomace. In the sensory analysis, the sausage with 3% of malt pomace reached a global acceptance index higher than 80%, and the sausage with 6% of pomace had an index of approximately 70%. Therefore, the addition of 3% of malt pomace to the sausage was the best alternative, since it had good acceptance by consumers, and provided an increased nutritional value. The results obtained in the present study showed that the use of malt pomace in meat products helps both to reduce production costs and solve an environmental issue. Moreover, the addition of this by-product meets consumer requirements, as they seek for healthier food products with higher nutritional values.
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