Introduction

While high-dose-radiation (HDR)-induced human diseases are well known, the effects of low-dose radiation (LDR) on human health are still in argument, in spite of the LDR is ubiquitous in our environment, for example, the radioactive substance leakage due to the accident of nuclear power plant, medical exposure such as medical diagnosis and radiotherapy, occupational radiation exposure, frequent-flyer risks and manned space exploration. Researches over the past two decades suggested the biological effects of LDR differ from those observed with HDR. Most cell lines exhibit hyper-radio-sensitivity (HRS) to LDR that is not predicted by back-extrapolating the cell survival response from HDR. As the dose is increased, they exhibit increased radio-resistance (IRR), and the cell survival follows the usual downward-bending curve with increasing dose. One explanation is, with low dose radiation, the induction of DNA repair mechanisms does not work sufficiently, then the cells becomes hypersensitive, while at high doses, the mechanisms can be recruited, then the cells becomes resistant [1-3]. Another mechanism of HRS by LDR was explained as the radiation-induced bystander responses in unirradiated cells that receive signals from the neighboring irradiated cells give carcinogenic risks.

In contrast, the LDR sometimes confers beneficial effects, called radiation hormesis, on organisms [4]. Moreover, the adaptive response by the LDR to the following HDR has been studied [5]. The LDR is generally defined as a radiation dose of 100 mSv or less (≤100 mGy). However, even if it is limited to researches of human culture cells, the doses causing HRS by LDR are various from 10 to 200 mGy depending on the cell line and quality of radiation [6-8] and most of them utilized wide intervals of doses. Because the IRR occurs at close doses of the HRS, determination of the accurate border of dose that distinguish the HRS and IRR is necessary, especially for analyzing the mechanisms underlying the events of HRS/IRR, by observing the cellular responses by irradiation of gradually increasing doses as much as possible. To accurately determine the dose at which HRS/IRR occurs in various cells by delimiting the dose more finely, will lead to correct coping of the LDR whether it has proper impact as radiation hormesis or harmful effect. In this study, we investigated the dose of γ-rays for HRS using human normal fibroblast cells because they are widely utilized for this kind of research and determined border doses distinguishing from doses for supposed IRR, by conventional cell biological methods.
Materials and Methods

Cell Culture and Irradiation by γ-Rays

The "Normal Human Dermal Fibroblasts (NHDF), adult donor" used in this study was purchased from KURABO (Osaka, Japan). After thawing, they were routinely cultivated in T-25 flasks (BD Biosciences, Bedford, MA, USA) with phenol-red free Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium (D29202, Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, USA) supplemented with 10 % Glucose to make final concentration of 4.5 g/L, 5 % sodium carbonate to 3.7 g/L, fetal bovine serum (Invitrogen Corp., CA, USA) to 10%, penicillin(Wako Pure Chemical Industries) to 100/U and streptomycin to 100 μg/mL (Wako Pure Chemical Industries). The cells were cultivated at 37°C in an atmosphere of 95% air/5% carbon dioxide. When the cells were grown to 80% confluence, they were detached by trypsin, counted and cultivated in 3.5 cm dishes with density of 1.0 × 10⁴ cells/dish. After two days from seeding, the cells were measured cell viabilities by the WST-8 assay method. This is designed for the spectrophotometric quantification of cell viability that reflect the mitochondria dehydrogenase activity of living cells. Following the manufacturer’s instructions, the cultured medium was first removed; and 50 μL of culture medium was added to each dish; and incubated for 30 min. The supernatant was transferred into a microtube, and 500 μL of CCK-8 mixture (Dojindo Laboratories, Kumamoto, Japan) and 500 μL of culture medium were added to each dish; and incubated for 5 times.

Assays of Cell Viability and Living Cell Numbers

Cell viability in each culturing dish was determined using a flow cytometry. The resultant diformazan formation was measured based on the absorption at a wavelength of 450 nm with a micro-plate reader (Benchmark, Bio-Rad, CA).

Cell viability of the test-group [irradiated by γ-rays] was calculated as follows with normalizing by the OD450 values of 0 R (control) before and after irradiation. \[
\text{Cell viability} \times (\frac{\text{OD of test group after irradiation} - \text{OD of blank}}{\text{OD of control before irradiation} - \text{OD of blank}}) \times (\frac{\text{OD of control after irradiation} - \text{OD of blank}}{\text{OD of test group before irradiation} - \text{OD of blank}}).\]

Results and Discussion

Change of normalized cell viabilities of the irradiated cells compared with the control cells is shown in Figure 1. At 20 and 50 mGy, slight decrease of cell viabilities was observed but at 75 mGy the decrease was abrupt (indicated by an arrow). At 100 mGy, the cell viability recovered to the level of control, then with increasing γ-doses the cell viability decreased. This phenomenon is supposed to be the HRS. Therefore, the border of dose that distinguish the HRS and IRR is between 75 and 100 mGy. Change of living cell numbers of the irradiated cells compared with the control cells is shown in Figure 2. At 20 and 50 mGy, slight increase of living cell numbers was observed probably by the radiation hormesis, but at 75 mGy the steep decrease was observed (indicated by an arrow).
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Figure 1: Change of mean cell viabilities revealing the HRS phenomenon. The horizontal axis indicates γ-doses in a logarithmic scale, while the vertical axis indicates the normalized cell viabilities of the irradiated cells compared with the control cells. Standard deviations are indicated by vertical lines. At 75 mGy the phenomenon supposed to be HRS can be observed (indicated by an arrow). N=9.
Therefore, the border of dose that distinguish the HRS and IRR is between 75 and 100 mGy, in consistence with the previous result of cell viabilities (Figure 1). Because any significant difference was not observed between 50 mGy versus 75 mGy, or between 75 mGy versus 100 mGy, in both indexes of cell viabilities and living cell numbers. One reason of the insignificant difference between the adjacent γ-doses would be the deviations in this experimental system. The deviations would be brought by the difference of cell origins. By the cell lot, the origins of the fibroblast cells were from Hispanic women or Caucasian women with variety of ages 31-45, according to the manufacturer’s instructions. The reference describing the different degrees of radio-sensitivity of human fibroblast cells depending on the cell lines [9] is relevant to our observation. To elucidate the underlying mechanisms of HRS at the levels of genomic DNA mutation, changes of mRNA expression and protein expression, a detailed division of irradiation dose is necessary.

Conclusion

The dose causing hyper-radio-sensitivity (HRS) by low-dose radiation (LDR) was determined to be 75 mGy for human normal fibroblast cells with γ-rays from a $^{60}$Co source, and the border of doses that distinguish from the increased radio-resistance (IRR) to be between 75 and 100 mGy.
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