Introduction: One of notable initiatives in improving the academic education is to use the abilities of students to learn together in a new and effective system of peer learning. In this regard, Education Development Center in Shiraz University of Medical Sciences proceed to implementation and study of the curriculum and teaching methods course in the form of peer learning for college students of nutrition science and survey the efficacy of this implementation.

Methods: This study was conducted two parts: qualitative and quantitative survey. A quasi-experimental, pre-test/post-test research was used in quantitative part. In this study, whole groups of undergraduate nutrition students in courses of study and learning techniques with the help of teachers held a course and took a part in a competition in 15 major subjects of study and learning methods. The study lasted for two-week sessions and whole of nutrition students were included. We used pair t test for comparison pre test and post test in this study.

Results: In the quantitative part of the study, the results showed a significant difference between pre-test (0.0346 ± 0.108) and post-test scores (0.809 ± 0.187) of the students. This means that the level of knowledge of students, who participated in this course, has significant difference before and after the peer learning course (pair t-test = 1.010, p = 0.002). The results of the quality survey of the training course also indicated satisfaction of participants and necessity of teacher’s presence and control during the courses.

Conclusions: The results of this study confirm the results of the previous studies, emphasizing numerous positive effects of peer learning methods in the academic community. The results also suggest that peer learning is effective in the enhancement of the students’ confidence and learning. Peer learning also helps the students to develop their future responsibilities.
One of the advantages of this method is increasing the confidence (8, 9), improved emotional-cognitive skills and conduction (8), increasing the presentation skills, teamwork, decision making, responsibility (10, 11), developing the critical thinking skills (12), and improved test scores. In several studies, it has been shown that using peer education improves academic functional outputs (13). It is also reported that this method of education can increase the cooperation between the groups to increase health information (14) and also leads to better performance of the students (15-17).

The main aim of peer teaching program at the university is development of practice, increase in the students' interest and participation in academic activities and also improvement and enhancement of the educational environment (18).

According to the effects of student peer teaching as a professor and also special attention of college to the design of student-centered curriculum in medical education, this study was conducted to evaluate the effectiveness of peer teaching and learning using college students of nutrition sciences in Shiraz University of Medical Sciences.

Methods

Thirty five undergraduate nutrition students at Shiraz University of Medical Sciences were included in this study. The study was conducted under the supervision of peer tutoring program teachers. A quasi-experimental, pre test/post-test research method was used in study. The study was done in two qualitative and quantitative parts. In the quantitative part, fifteen key issues in teaching and learning methods were evaluated including awareness about the principles and methods of learning and study notes, speed, time management, role of concept mapping in learning, communication with friends, academic staff and attendance, learning styles and motivation, scientific principles and practical strategies to improve learning memory, internet search methods, education technology, exam preparation, cooperative learning methods, coping with stressful conditions, six thinking hats, and creativity in education and presentation in the class. This section was performed as 2 sessions per week for 35 interested first-year students in nutritional science.

Each group contained 2-3 students and they chose a certain subject based on their interests. This study has been conducted according to Associate for Medical Education in Europe (AMEE guild no 31) (10). Information transition pattern was from student to student and under teacher guide and, in fact, teachers were only the guides of the group. Each group included two subgroups. Students at each subgroup evaluated various aspects of each mentioned topic and they taught training sessions to other members at other groups.

Each student acted as a teacher in one topic and as a student for other 14 topics. Before starting the course, a questionnaire containing 10 questions on the main subject (which was going to be taught during the course) as a pre-test was filled out by all participants. All participants were asked to answer the questions based on their previous knowledge.

At the end, the questionnaires were also filled out by the participants as a post-test. This comparison method of two tests was to exclude the confounding factors that caused the differences among participants’ knowledge.

The questionnaire included questions on note taking strategies, concentrate memory, appropriate environment for study, understanding the lessons, answering the questions, effective communication barriers between teachers and students, professorial ways of coping with stress, learning strategies, creativity in education and professionalism. Content validity of the questionnaire was evaluated and confirmed by 5 members of the academic faculty of Education Development Center of Shiraz University of Medical Sciences and its reliability was confirmed by test/retest in one workshop held for learning and study strategies for a group of medical students using Cronbach’s alpha by 0.83. The survey questionnaire also included questions about the quality of the course as well as assessment of satisfaction of study. The questionnaire was scored based on a scoring style (from 1 to 5); 1 as very poor, 2 as poor, 3 as average, 4 as good and 5 as very good. The mean±SD scores of the questionnaire were calculated using SPSS 14 (SPSS Inc, Chicago, IL, USA). In the second part of the study, a semi-structured questionnaire was used including five questions concerning the quality of holding this learning method widely in all courses and for all students in different levels. The second part of this study was done at the end by filling out semi-structured questionnaires among the students. At the end of the course, the questionnaire was filled out by all students and the organizers of the course, and all participants were asked to carefully comment about this course to improve the courses like this. SPSS 14, was used to analyze the collected data; the analysis of data was performed using paired t-test and descriptive statistics. P≤0.05 was considered as significant difference.

Results

In this study, 35 students of nutrition with exclusion of 3 non-compliant students, 17 female (53%) and 15 male (47%) participated in the two pre-test and post-test stages. The minimum age of the students was 21 years old and maximum 23 years. It is noteworthy
to mention that until the end of the test phase, no samples were excluded. As explained in the methods section, the questionnaires were filled out in two stages: at beginning and end of the course. Descriptive analyses of the results are listed in Table 1.

We used pair t-test for comparison of pre-test and post-test in this study and it was found that for nearly all scales, there is a statistically significant difference in the rate of students’ learning (pair t-test=1.010, p=0.002).

Also the results of the course learning survey from peer learning of the students participating in this study showed an increase in confidence of participants, improvement in the stimulated learning, development in future works, and decrease in their anxiety and stress.

The participants also believed that this course had clear goals and strong management. Their comments in this regard are shown in Figure 1.

Discussion
Peer-assisted learning (PAL) is an efficient way of incorporating extra training with which students can reinforce basic learning and find this method enjoyable (19). The confidence of both trainers and trainees in the examination technique was evaluated highly after these sessions. PAL allows trainers to assist their trainees with teaching and learning support and it is implemented in many undergraduate and postgraduate programs (20, 21). Actually this method cannot be a replacement for teacher absence or poor teaching in the college, but it is an arena for interested students wishing to improve their abilities in required courses (16). Today, attention and emphasis on learning has changed to learning by teaching and many teachers focus on designing and maintaining a student-centered method through peer learning method instead of teaching a subject (22).

This study focused on the student’s teaching to others and evaluating the effects of their teaching together. In a study in gastroenterology and hematology field, selected trainers learned more, gained better knowledge, and had better retention of memory (10) and in another study, it was found that 86% of trainees wished to be educator (3). Of course, in this study, we provided this rotated opportunity to all of the students.

In this study, we evaluated the effects of peer learning methods as a tool to promote and enhance the students’ knowledge. The concept of peer learning has recently been considered among the students of the same age and peer education of teacher and student, as an organized training program in medical education. In this study, the aim is providing new graduates with teaching skills and experience (23) obtained for nutritional sciences.

As stated in the methodology section, this study was conducted in two parts. In the first part, there was a significant positive correlation between the students’ pre-test and post-test scores (p<0.05). It is likely that the 6 other subjects were more familiar for the students because they were similar to some of the topics at their high school, so they showed more learning skills and in the 9 other issues due to lack of familiarity.

### Table 1. Descriptive statistics of pre-test and post-test results of evaluation of peer learning method in nutritional science undergraduate students

| Questions                          | Mean±SD Pre-test | Mean±SD Post-test |
|------------------------------------|------------------|-------------------|
| Note taking strategies             | 0.311±0.104      | 1±0.602           |
| Concentrate memory                 | 0.209±0.850      | 0.968±0.93        |
| Appropriate environment for study  | 0.238±0.094      | 0.875±0.178       |
| Understand the lessons             | 0.332±0.116      | 0.906±0.189       |
| Answering to questions             | 0.200±0.079      | 0.906±0.189       |
| Effective communication barriers    | 0.405±0.131      | 0.656±0.162       |
| Professorial ways of coping to stress| 0.420±0.134      | 0.718±0.172       |
| Learning strategies                | 0.389±0.136      | 0.593±0.148       |
| Creativity in education            | 0.430±0.141      | 0.468±0.131       |
| Professionalism                    | 0.532±0.152      | 1±0.202           |

Scores range is from 0 to 1

### Table 2. Comparison of students’ means scores before and after participation in the course

| Test        | N  | Min | Max  | Mean±SD       | Pair t-test | p    |
|-------------|----|-----|------|---------------|-------------|------|
| Pre-test    | 35 | 0.200 | 0.532 | 0.346±0.108 | 1.010       | 0.002 |
| Post-test   | 35 | 0.468 | 0.968 | 0.809±0.187  |             |      |
and because of a competitive aspect between learners and student groups that led to discussion and more attention, so better teaching contents were achieved.

Few studies have been conducted on this subject in our country. Mousavi surveyed comparative effectiveness of peer tutoring on academic achievement and anxiety and found that peer education had a significant effect on academic achievement and anxiety coping between the two experimental and control groups (24).

Mehrabi also studied the effects of peer education on medical students and concluded that the students’ scores in different clinical fields showed a significant increase compared with the control group \( p<0.05 \). Peer learning was effective in increasing the students’ clinical skills and student roles as teachers led to a combination of attitudes, skills and knowledge in educators and learners (25).

Results of our study indicated the effectiveness of training on the participants’ knowledge and attitudes, helping each other as partners in the learning process (26), evaluating the effect of peer learning student (27), and studying peer learning strategies through peers to improve study skills in students (23).

But Walsh concluded that computer-based education and training by skilled teachers is more effective than peer learning method. He found that external feedback and response is required as a measurement tool, tutor specialization degree is a vital and effective factor, and determining the success of peer learning depends on the kind of evaluation method (28).

Wang also concluded that students who participated in learning from peers had higher scores in USMLE and GPA than other students. In Wang’s study also an external criterion was considered as the measure pattern, but the result was in favor of peer learning methods. Unlike Walsh’s study (29), ours indicated that learning from peers is more effective on test scores, student satisfaction and personal and professional development.

Kimiai et al. concluded that despite higher scores in the intervention group, this difference was not statistically significant (30). In our study, results of the satisfaction survey indicated high levels of satisfaction among participants (approximately 88%). In other words, PAL in this course had been very pleasurable and helpful.

In a study that investigated the characteristics of peer teaching on 447 final year medical students in Brazil, it was shown that this system provides engagement and lively educational opportunities for students’ academic achievement and it can be very helpful to the choice of future profession (31). The participants in the present study also considered the proposed system as attractive educational opportunities.

In another study in Australia on 131 second-year medical students to evaluate the effectiveness of this method, it was shown that peer tutors can have an important role in helping each other and enhancing confidence and practical skills (32). These findings were similar to the results of our study.

In the West Australian Medical Students Society (WAMSS), grand rounds are held and the students of
4-6 years old, as a volunteer, teach clinical skills to other students. The participants of the course were extremely helpful and pleasant and the participants believed that this course could help them in clinical skills 3 times that of other types of education such as self-positive evaluation of abilities (33).

From the perspective of the students that participated in the study, teacher’s training and supervision has a significant effect on effective learning. They believe that in the absence of tutor and supervision, peer education learning will not happen so well. For example, one student commented that: ”The tutor in this course is very important so that if sometimes, if occasionally, errors occur by peer, the teacher can realize quickly and remove it, or if a subject is not understood, the teacher can explain it again”.

Students also believed that characteristics of special education in peers such as questioning the teacher’s morale, maintaining classmates respect during the education period and having good rhetoric in peers are the influential factors on learning through peer process.

Conclusions
The results of this study and previous research are consistent with each other and all of them emphasize numeros and constructive effects of such modern learning methods in the academic environment. The results of this study also suggest that peer-assisted learning increases the confidence, reduces anxiety and stress and affects the development of learner’s future responsibilities. Also according to the students’ comments in the qualitative part of the study, it seems that teachers’ comments and detailed feedback to students during the course can increase the effectiveness of the course. Also, communication with other students during the course can increase the sense of cooperation and trust. Also, it is effective on enhancing and improving the environment of these courses. Education authorities also can set up the necessary infrastructure to implement these courses with new methods of teaching, and therefore send efficient and knowledgeable students to the national health system.

Limitation
This study is not a complete randomized controlled trial because it was not possible to divide the students randomly into intervention and comparison groups.
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