DEEP-V HIGH SPEED CATAMARAN USING COMPUTATIONAL FLUID DYNAMICS APPROACH
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Abstract -- Seakeeping is the dynamic response of the ship in waves that may affect to passenger’s uncomfortability due to a harsh environmental condition. Therefore, an extensive assessment of seakeeping performance in the initial step of ship design is necessarily required. The authors here proposed to analyze the seakeeping performance of ‘deep-V’ high speed catamaran using Computational Fluid Dynamics (CFD) approach. Several effects of Froude number (Fr), wave-length (λ/L) and wave height (Hw/L) was considered to predict the RAO of heave and pitch motions. The results showed that the heave and pitch motions were inversely proportional to the Fr in the range of Fr 0.5 to 1.0. In shorter wavelength (λ<0.75), RAO of the heave and pitch motions were insignificant. However, the heave and pitch motions increase of wavelength (λ>0.75) was proportional with the increased RAO of the heave and pitch motions. The further increase of wavelength (λ>1.75) resulted in less RAO of the heave and pitch motions. The increase in wave height from 0.0257 to 0.0375 was affected by the RAO of the heave and pitch motions and her seakeeping performance. The prediction results in term regular sea state, showed the preliminary data very useful to predict the optimal condition that reduces the amplitude of motion.
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INTRODUCTION

Multi-hull ship have been earned attention among the naval architecture because of their advantages compared to the monohull ship, such as the ability to provide lower draught, excellent seakeeping performance, better transverse stability and wider deck area (Gunawan, Kurniawan, & Jamaluddin, 2015), (Luhulima, Setyawan, & Utama, 2014), (Sun et al., 2016) and (Setyawan, Utama, Murdijanto, Sugiarso, & Jamaluddin, 2010). However, according to the study from Vakilabadi et al. (Vakilabadi, Khedmati, & Seif, 2014), the quantities of the ship motion such as heave and pitch motions also an important element to consider for the operability of the crew and equipment. Therefore, the seakeeping performance of multi-hull ship is a very prominent aspect to be analyze in the early design stage to ensure safe ship motion in a regular wave condition.

There is a number of ways to estimating the seakeeping behavior of ships. Several researchers had studied the behavior of multi-hull ship using analytical, experimental and numerical methods to predictions the seakeeping performance. According to (Grande & Xia, 2002), (Belknap, 2008), (Lin et al., 2017 ) and (Aribenchi, 2017) seakeeping performance was also predicted using strip theory method. Besides that, (Vakilabadi, Khedmati, & Seif, 2014), (Yanuar, Ibadurrahman, Karim, & Ichsan, 2017), (Abdul Ghani & Wilson, 2017) and (Zotti, 2007) studies the behavior of catamaran ship using experimental method approach that have their own disadvantages such as complex procedure, costly and time-consuming. In the other ways, numerical method using Computational Fluid Dynamics (CFD) also offers more easier procedure and accurate data to study hydrodynamics characteristics of ‘deep-V’ catamaran. The validation of CFD result also was proven by comparing with experimental data (Haase et al., 2016), (Iglesias, Zamora, Fernández, & Pavón, 2006), (Salas et.al., 2004) and (Swidan, 2016).

This paper presents a Computational Fluid Dynamics (CFD) simulation approach to analyses seakeeping performance of a ‘deep-V’ catamaran ship in head-seas regular wave. Here a commercial CFD software, namely Numeca Fine™/Marine v3.1-1, is utilized by applying the incompressible unsteady Reynolds Average Navier Strokes Equation (RANSE). This RANSE and continuity equation are discretized by the finite volume method based on Volume of Fluid (VOF) to deal with the non-linear free surface. In addition, the computational domain with adequate numbers of grid meshes of the ‘deep-V’ catamaran ship was carefully determined before simulations. Basically, this is solved by means of...
a mesh independent study to estimate the optimal domain discretization. In this computational simulation, the small-scale geometry model was tested in several parameters, such as effect of Froude numbers (Fr), wavelengths (λ/L) and wave heights (Hw/L) are considered. The seakeeping performance of ‘deep-V’ catamaran was presented in Response Amplitude Operator (RAO) and visualization.

**CFD MODELLING**

**Conservation Equation**

The flow solver can deal with multi-phase flows and moving grids. In the multi-phase continuum, considering incompressible flow of viscous fluid under isothermal conditions, mass, momentum and volume fraction conservation equations can be expressed (by using the generalized form of Gauss’ theorem) as:

\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \int_V \rho \left( \mathbf{U} - \mathbf{U}_d \right) \cdot \mathbf{n} \, dS = 0
\]

\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \int_V \rho \mathbf{U} \cdot dV + \int_S \rho \mathbf{U} \times \left( \mathbf{U} - \mathbf{U}_d \right) \cdot \mathbf{n} \, dS = \int_S \left( \tau_{ij} I_{ij} - p I \right) \cdot \mathbf{n} \, dS + \int_V \rho g_i \cdot dV
\]

\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \int_V c_i dV + c_i \left( \mathbf{U} - \mathbf{U}_d \right) \cdot \mathbf{n} \, dS = 0
\]

where \( V \) is the control volume, bounded by the closed surface \( S \) with a unit normal vector \( \mathbf{n} \) directed outward that moves at the velocity \( \mathbf{U}_d \) with a unit normal vector \( \mathbf{n} \). The notation of \( \mathbf{U} \) and \( p \) represent the velocity and pressure fields, respectively. \( \tau_{ij} \) and \( g_i \) define the components of the viscous stress tensor and the gravity vector, respectively; whereas \( I_{ij} \) is a vector whose components vanish, except for the component \( j \) which is equal to unity. \( c_i \) is the \( i^{th} \) volume fraction for fluid \( i \) and is used to distinguish the presence \( (c_i = 1) \) or the absence \( (c_i = 0) \) of \( i^{th} \) fluid. Since a volume fraction between 0 and 1 indicates the presence of a mixture, the value of 1/2 is selected as a definition of the interface.

**Turbulence model**

In the case of a basic computation for turbulent condition, we propose the SST k – ω (SST for shear-stress transport) model, which is available inside ISIS-CFD solver code, where \( k \) is the turbulent kinetic energy and \( \omega \) is the specific dissipation rate.

It also should be noted here that when calculating turbulence quantities, it is important to consider an appropriate cell meshing size is essential. Here, an appropriate estimation of the cell meshing size \( y_{wall} \) for Navier-Stokes simulations including turbulence depends on the local Reynolds number, which is computed based on the wall variable \( y^+ \). This is a \( y^+ \) dimensionless parameter representing local Reynolds number in the near wall region. Referring to Numeca (2013), the value of \( y^+ \) value associated with the first node near the wall will be referred to as \( y_{wall}^+ \), where the equation of \( y_{wall}^+ \) can be written as:

\[
y_{wall}^+ = \frac{\rho u_{tr} y_{wall}}{\mu}
\]

where \( u_{tr} \) is the friction velocity,

\[
u_{tr} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{\rho} \left( \frac{1}{2} \rho (V_{ref})^2 \right) C_f}
\]

**Heave and Pitch Motion**

The degree of freedom (D.O.F) represented the possible translations and rotation of the body. The heaving and pitching motion noted as translation and rotation respectively along X, Y, and Z-axis that define the behavior of the monoricat under sailing. The coupled equation of heave and pitch motions are solved in the time domain for regular waves. These equations are demonstrated as follows:

\[
(M + A_{33}) \frac{d \eta_3}{dt} + B_{33} \frac{d \eta_2}{dt} + C_{33} \eta_5 + A_{35} \frac{d \eta_5}{dt} + B_{35} \frac{d \eta_5}{dt} + C_{35} \eta_5 = F_3
\]

\[
A_{53} \frac{d \eta_3}{dt} + B_{53} \frac{d \eta_2}{dt} + C_{53} \eta_5 + (I_{55} + A_{35}) \frac{d \eta_5}{dt} + B_{55} \frac{d \eta_5}{dt} + C_{55} \eta_5 = F_5
\]
In these equations, $M$ is the vessel mass, $I_{55}$ is the moment of inertia in pitch and $A_{ij}$, $B_{ij}$ and $C_{ij}$ are coefficients of added mass, damping and restoring coefficient respectively. Also, $F_3$ and $F_5$ are vertical force and longitudinal subverting moment on the vessel respectively [17].

**SIMULATION CONDITION**

**Ship Particular**

In the computational simulation, the principle dimension of the ‘deep-V’ catamaran composed of two demi-hulls is clearly presented in Table 1.

**Table 1. Principle dimensions of “deep-V” catamaran ship.**

| Description                          | Demi-hull | Catamaran |
|--------------------------------------|-----------|-----------|
| Length between perpendicular, $L_{BP}$ (m) | 1.740     | 1.740     |
| Breadth, $B$ (m)                     | 0.678     | 0.678     |
| Draft, $T$ (m)                       | 0.080     | 0.080     |
| Wetted surface area, $WSA$ (m$^2$)   | 0.256     | 0.512     |
| Volume of displacement, $P'$ (m$^3$) | 0.0082    | 0.027     |
| Displacement, $\Delta$ (tonnes)      | 7.222     | 10.792    |

**Computation Domain and Mesh Generation**

The computational domain of the catamaran model associated with the unstructured hexahedral meshes is shown in Fig. 3. An extra local refinement of the mesh was added around the free surface to capture the waves that are generated by the catamaran hull during computation. In addition, the local box refinement was employed surrounding the global meshed computational domain. Correspondingly, the maximum number of this local box refinement was set as the same as the maximum global number of refinements. In this simulation, the local maximum number was determined as equal to 12. Meanwhile, another surface was employed as a triangle purpose to capture the effective area in both $x$ and $y$ directions, where the Kelvin waves will appear. Furthermore, the effective domains for the CFD simulation in deep water conditions or infinite water depth. Considering on less computational time, the authors apply the symmetrical computational domain model (demihull).

**Table 2. Computation domain and boundary setting condition.**

| Description | Distance with respect to origin point | Type | Condition   |
|-------------|---------------------------------------|------|-------------|
| $X_{min}$ (Inlet) | $1.0 \ L_{mh}$ | EXT | Far Field   |
| $X_{max}$ (Outlet) | $3.0 \ L_{mh}$ | EXT | Far Field   |
| $Z_{min}$ (Bottom) | $1.5 \ L_{mh}$ | EXT | Prescribed pressure |
| $Z_{max}$ (Top) | $0.5 \ L_{mh}$ | EXT | Prescribed pressure |
| $Y_{min}$ (Side) | $1.5 \ L_{mh}$ | EXT | Far Field   |
| $Y_{max}$ (Side) | $1.5 \ L_{mh}$ | EXT | Far Field   |

Figure 1. 3D model of high speed “deep-V” catamaran model.

Referring to Table 3, the external (EXT) boundary type condition was assigned to treat velocity and pressure condition. In addition, the boundary condition for the top and bottom of the patches domain were assigned as ‘prescribed pressure’. In this mode, the pressure was imposed during the computation initialization, where the updated hydrostatics pressure was
then applied. This means that the pressure is not constantly at 0 (zero) during the computational but it dynamically updates due to the cell mesh moving vertically towards the free surface position. Since this computational will run in the presence of wave, the inlet path will be assigned as ‘wave generator’ that available for regular and irregular condition. The values for the wave condition will be computed by using tool names ‘waves generation info’. Concerning the boundary condition for the ‘deep-V’ catamaran ship, surfaces were assigned as solid patched, where a wall-function condition was employed to these surfaces.

| Case | Initial Number of Meshing | Total Number of cells in initial mesh | Number of Cell Meshing | Heave motion (m) | Pitch motion (°) |
|------|--------------------------|--------------------------------------|------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| A    | 5 x 4 x 2                | 40                                   | 823779                 | 0.005483        | 1.026354        |
| B    | 6 x 5 x 3                | 90                                   | 1121405                | 0.005264        | 1.351600        |
| C    | 7 x 5 x 2                | 170                                  | 2055700                | 0.007321        | 1.416003        |
| D    | 20 x 6 x 2               | 240                                  | 2649747                | 0.007310        | 1.396540        |

For the current CFD simulation, the mesh independent study of catamaran was conducted to assess a proper total number of cell meshing for the whole simulations. The results of the mesh independent study are summarised in Table 4 (S/L = 0.4 and Fr = 0.8). The meshing generation of catamaran model for the main hull block and outriggers surface is created in HEXPRESS v3.3.1. It should be noted that adequate mesh number is important to maintain numerical accuracy and steadiness in computation result, regardless of the longer CPU time. Hence, a mesh independent study is necessary to examine each of three cell meshing initial number. Table 4 refers to the mesh independent study result. The initial cell meshing of 170 in case C is selected from all the cases because it has reasonable accuracy of CFD solution. This can be explained by the fact that the increase of initial cell meshing number to 240 in computation was unnecessary due to insignificant influence on the computational result for total resistance.

![Figure 3. CFD visualization (a) perspective view, (b) plan view, where Fr= 0.8, S/L=0.2, λ/L=1.0, Hw/L=0.027 and Θ= 0°](image-url)
RESULT AND DISCUSSION

Referring to Table 5, 6 and 7, the seakeeping simulations of the ‘deep-V’ catamaran model has been successfully carried using Computational Fluid Dynamic Approach. The results are then presented in the form of her response amplitude operator (RAO) of heave and pitch motions. Here, the seakeeping investigation of the ‘deep-V’ catamaran model has been in a typical regular wave with wavelength and wave height within the range of 0.25 to 3.00 and 0.0257 to 0.0375, respectively.

Effect of Froude number (Fr)

Referring to Fig. 4, subsequent increase from Froude number 0.5 up to 1.1 was decrease the heave and pitch motion. It should be noted that the heave motion of ‘deep-V’ catamaran decreased from 0.02552 m to 0.001181 m as Fr=0.5 to 1.1. Although, the pitch motion decreases from 3.851286 ° to 0.622232 °. The result also showed that the higher the Froude number, the more the slope of the post-peak descending region will be and the lower of Froude number was prone to down degrade the seakeeping performance of the ‘deep-V’ catamaran due to the higher response of heave and pitch motion (Fitriadhy, Razali, & Aqilah Mansor, 2017) and (Vakilabadi et al., 2014).

![Figure 4. Heave and pitch motions for ‘deep-V’ catamaran ship at various Froude number, λ/L= 1, H_w/L=0.02576, θ=0°.](image)

![Table 4. Heave and pitch movement for ‘deep-V’ catamaran at various Froude number (Fr).](table)

| Froude Number (Fr) | λ/L= 1, H_w/L=0.02576 and θ=0° |
|--------------------|---------------------------------|
| Heave Motion (m)   | Pitch Motion (°)                |
| 0.5                | 0.02552                         | 3.851286 |
| 0.6                | 0.021287                        | 2.716444 |
| 0.7                | 0.012938                        | 2.159949 |
| 0.8                | 0.007321                        | 1.416003 |
| 0.9                | 0.004418                        | 1.298340 |
| 1.0                | 0.002073                        | 0.786671 |
| 1.1                | 0.001181                        | 0.622232 |
Effect of Wavelength ($\lambda/L$)

The RAO characteristics of the heave and pitch motions were displayed in Fig. 6, where the details result are completely presented in Table 5. In this figure, the graph shows that the heave motion were relatively steady at $\lambda/L = 0.25$ and $\lambda/L = 0.5$. The heave motion then increases slightly at $\lambda/L = 0.75$ and $1.0$. The continuously increase of wavelength from $\lambda/L = 1.0$ until $1.75$ will increase the heave motion until reach a highest point at $\lambda/L = 1.00$. The increase of wavelength from $\lambda/L = 1.75$ to $3.00$ has been decline gradually the heave motion until the motion steady again. In additional, the graph also shows the pitch motion of the ‘deep-V’ catamaran low at $\lambda/L = 0.25$ up to $0.75$. It should have noticed that the pitch motion of the ‘deep-V’ catamaran model significantly increased from $0.081^\circ$ to $0.043^\circ$ as wavelength increase $\lambda/L = 0.75$ to $1.75$. The pitch motion for wavelength $\lambda/L = 1.75$ to $3.00$ decrease from $2.76^\circ$ to $1.91^\circ$.

The critical point of the heave and pitch motion is at $\lambda = 1.75$ with $0.042524$ m and $2.76^\circ$ respectively. The critical point at heave and pitch motion describe the dangerous condition to the ‘deep-V’ catamaran (Castiglione & Bova).

Figure 5. Free surface elevation for ‘deep-V’ catamaran ship, $\lambda/L = 1$, $Hw/L=0.02586$, $\Theta=0^\circ$.

Figure 6. Heave and pitch motions for ‘deep-V’ catamaran ship at various wavelength, $Fr = 0.8$, $Hw/L=0.02586$, $\Theta=0^\circ$. 
Table 5. Heave and pitch motions of motions of ‘deep-V’ catamaran at various wavelength ($\lambda/L$)

| Fr = 0.80, Hw/L = 0.0257 and $\Theta = 0^\circ$. |
|-----------------------------------------------|
| $\lambda/L$ | Heave Motion (m) | Pitch Motion ($^\circ$) |
|------------|------------------|------------------------|
| 0.25       | 0.000061         | 0.092110               |
| 0.50       | 0.000083         | 0.018732               |
| 0.75       | 0.000901         | 0.081824               |
| 1.00       | 0.003649         | 0.708973               |
| 1.25       | 0.013765         | 1.77976               |
| 1.50       | 0.032703         | 2.518655               |
| 1.75       | 0.042524         | 2.765494               |
| 2.00       | 0.037151         | 2.653424               |
| 2.25       | 0.029632         | 2.643677               |
| 2.50       | 0.025720         | 2.375119               |
| 2.75       | 0.024221         | 2.127916               |
| 3.00       | 0.023902         | 1.919303               |

Figure 7. Free surface elevation for ‘deep-V’ catamaran ship, Fr=0.8, Hw/L=0.02586, $\Theta=0^\circ$.

Effect of Wave height (Hw/L)

Referring to the Fig. 8, the prediction of RAO ‘deep-V’ catamaran response to wave height, Hw/L= 0.025 and 0.038 in the various wavelength from $\lambda/L$ 0.25 up to 3.0. The result showed that the heave and pitch motion were obviously of similar trend with the result at Hw/L= 0.0257 and 0.0375. In case of Hw/L= 0.0375, the RAO for the heave and pitch motions of the ‘deep-V’ catamaran will generally result in higher responses as compared to Hw/L= 0.0257.

Besides that, looking into the range of wavelength ($\lambda/L$) 0.25 to 3.0, the ‘deep-V’ catamaran had small RAO of heave and pitch motions at $\lambda/L$=0.25 to 0.75. The RAO of heave and pitch motions increase in the range of $\lambda/L$=1.0 to 1.75 for both wave height. This situation can explained that, the increasing of ROA was prone to degrade the seakeeping performance due to the vigorous heave and pitch motion responses (Fitriadhy & Adam, 2017).
Table 6. Heave and pitch motions of motions of ‘deep-V’ catamaran at various wave height (Hw/L) Fr = 0.80 and θ = 0°

| λ/L | Hw/L = 0.0257 | Hw/L = 0.0375 |
|-----|---------------|---------------|
|     | Heave Motion  | Pitch Motion (°) | Heave Motion  | Pitch Motion (°) |
| 0.25| 0.000061      | 0.092110     | 0.000244      | 0.036180        |
| 0.50| 0.000083      | 0.018732     | 0.000279      | 0.063955        |
| 0.75| 0.000901      | 0.081824     | 0.001855      | 0.288011        |
| 1.00| 0.003649      | 0.706973     | 0.007857      | 1.138844        |
| 1.25| 0.013765      | 1.779765     | 0.026942      | 3.235661        |
| 1.50| 0.032703      | 2.518655     | 0.041236      | 3.888057        |
| 1.75| 0.042524      | 2.765494     | 0.048213      | 4.133604        |
| 2.00| 0.037151      | 2.653424     | 0.048232      | 4.017899        |
| 2.25| 0.029632      | 2.643677     | 0.041126      | 3.851112        |
| 2.50| 0.025720      | 2.373719     | 0.040508      | 3.623218        |
| 2.75| 0.024221      | 2.127916     | 0.037038      | 3.233578        |
| 3.00| 0.023902      | 1.919303     | 0.036148      | 2.907362        |

Figure 8. Heave and pitch motions for ‘deep-V’ catamaran ship at various wave height, Fr = 0.8 and θ=0°.
CONCLUSIONS

This preliminary investigation using computational simulation on ‘deep-V’ catamaran showed that the results are presented in the form of response amplitude operator for both heave and pitch motions and described the seakeeping performance. The effect of various Froude number (Fr), wavelength (\(\lambda/L\)) and wave-height (Hw/L) can be drawn as follow:

i) The increase of Froude number up to 1.25 was inversely proportional with the RAO of the heave and pitch motions, where this condition was prone to improve the seakeeping performance of the ‘deep-V’ catamaran.

ii) It was noted here that the maximum RAO of heave and pitch motions of the ‘deep-V’ catamaran occurred at \(\lambda/L=1.75\). However, the further increase wavelength from \(\lambda/L\) 1.75 to 3.0 showed that the RAO of the heave and pitch motions decrease that lead to be better seakeeping performance.

iii) The increase wave-height from 0.0257 to 0.0375 was proportional to the RAO of the heave and pitch motions that resulted in a lower seakeeping ability.

In general, the effect of Froude number, wavelength and wave-height was showed in increase and decrease the value of heave and pitch motions lead to the downgrade and better seakeeping performance respectively. The seakeeping performance also presented in the form of high and lower Response Amplitude Operator.
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