Research Article

Micropapillary Pattern at the Invasive Front and Its Association with Unresectable Colorectal Carcinomas
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Unresectable colorectal carcinomas (CRCs) as considered incurable even if the primary tumors and the metastatic ones can undergo resection are correlated with poor prognosis. We evaluated the association between micropapillary pattern at the invasive front and unresectable CRCs. Thirty-four out of 264 (12.9%) CRC patients with stages III and IV were unresectable cases. The patients with unresectable CRCs had significantly worse survival than those with resectable CRCs ($P < 0.001$). Micropapillary pattern was evident in 12 (4.5%) out of 264 cases. This pattern was observed in 6 of 34 (17.6%) unresectable CRCs and in 6 of 230 (2.6%) resectable cases ($P = 0.002$). Unresectable CRCs revealed more frequently deeper invasion (odds ratio (OR), 1.175; 95% confidence interval (CI), 1.113–1.241), lymph node metastasis (OR, 2.356; 95% CI, 1.132–4.905), and presence of micropapillary pattern at the invasive front (OR, 8.000; 95% CI, 2.415–26.504) as compared to resectable cases. By multivariable logistic regression analysis, only micropapillary pattern was shown to be an independent predictor of unresectable CRCs (OR, 9.451; 95% CI, 2.468–36.196; $P < 0.001$). In conclusion, micropapillary pattern at the invasive front is associated with unresectable CRCs, and detection of it could help identify unresectable CRC cases.

1. Introduction

Colorectal cancer (CRC) is a major cause of death in Japan, where it accounts for the largest number of deaths from malignant neoplasms in women and the third largest number in men [1]. The cumulative 5-year survival rates of Japanese CRC are 90.6% at stage I, 81.2% at stage II, 63.7% at stage III, and 13.2% at stage IV [1]. Unresectable CRCs are considered incurable even if the primary tumors as well as the metastatic ones are able to undergo resection, has been correlated with poor prognosis [2]. We previously reported that the preferential site and age of unresectable CRCs were not different from resectable CRCs, but $K$-ras mutations were more frequent in the latter [3]. The survival of unresectable CRC patients has dramatically improved with the progress in chemotherapeutic regimens such as new routes of administration and introduction of more potent cytotoxic agents. Biologic therapy in combination with chemotherapy leads to improved progression-free survival and overall survival in some cases such as the addition of cetuximab to cases with wild-type $K$-ras tumors [4]. For patients with an asymptomatic intact primary tumor, the initial prediction of metastatic potential is important for early intervention before disease progression to unresectable CRC.

Invasive micropapillary carcinoma (IMPC) was first described in the breast by Siriaunkgul and Tavassoli [5] and subsequently in other organs, including urinary bladder [6], lung [7], ovary [8], salivary gland [9], stomach [10], and colorectum [11–13]. Histologically, micropapillary features...
are characterized by tight neoplastic cell clusters surrounded by cleft-like spaces. The tumor cells have eosinophilic cytoplasm and pleomorphic nuclei. The inverted polarity of the cancer cells that compose the micropapillary nests with an inside-out growth pattern is probably related to its high invasive potential [14, 15]. Micropapillary CRC is rare with previously reported cases fewer than 130 [11–13]. In CRCs, micropapillary pattern is associated with a high risk of lymph node metastasis [13] and an unfavorable prognosis in CRC patients with stage I and II [16].

The purpose of this study was to clarify the association between micropapillary pattern at the invasive front and unresectable CRCs.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Study Cases and Tissue Samples. The subjects of our study were a consecutive series of 1165 patients diagnosed and undergoing surgery for CRC at the Dokkyo Medical University School of Medicine and affiliated hospitals between January 2004 and December 2009. Patients with multiple CRCs or those who had died within 30 days of surgery were not included in the analysis. Proximal cancers were classified as tumors proximal to the splenic flexure, and the remaining tumors were defined as distal. The depth of invasion (pT category), lymph node involvement (pN category), and pathological staging of all surgically resected tumors were assessed according to the UICC/TNM classification. Out of 1165 patients, 264 cases (22.7%) were classified as stages III and IV, who were enrolled in our study. The patients comprised 138 males and 126 females, with a mean age of 65.4 years (median, 66 years; range, 32–88). Unresectable CRC was defined as a primary or locally recurrent tumor that is incurable even if the primary tumors as well as the metastatic ones are able to undergo resection. Remaining CRCs were resectable.

The Ethics Committee of Dokkyo Medical University School of Medicine approved all protocols, and informed consent for tissue procurement was obtained from all patients. For the ethics procedure, this work was conducted in a blinded manner using a linkable anonymizing method.

Samples used in this study were surgically resected materials for diagnosis or treatment but not for research purposes. Participation in the present study did not increase medical disadvantage or risk for patients.

2.2. Histologic Evaluation. Resected specimens were fixed in 10% formalin and processed for embedding in paraffin wax according to routine procedures, and then sections were cut and stained with hematoxylin and eosin (H&E). We selected H&E staining specimens of the invasive front (deepest part) of CRC and assessed whether they contained micropapillary pattern or not. Micropapillary component in CRC is usually a minor component of the entire tumor and is often present at the edge of the invasive tumor [13]. We thus focused on the presence of this pattern at the invasive front without taking into account the amount of micropapillary pattern. Micropapillary pattern was defined as a carcinoma composed of small clusters of tumor cells within stromal spaces that mimic vascular channels (Figure 1(a)), according to the previous description [11–13, 17]. We additionally used the MUC1 (clone Ma695, dilution 1:100; Novocastra, Newcastle, UK) and EMA (clone E29, dilution 1:200; Dako, Glostrup, Denmark) immunostaining to emphasize the inside-out pattern of micropapillary pattern. MUC1 expression was strongly and diffusely present in the stroma-facing (basal) surface of the neoplastic cell clusters, consisting of a thin band of staining in all carcinomas with micropapillary morphology invariably and regardless of the site (Figure 1(b)) [15].

Well-to-moderately differentiated tubular adenocarcinoma (tub) was characterized by large to small gland formation, and poorly differentiated adenocarcinoma (por) had little tendency to form glands or tubules but had intracellular mucus production. Mucinous carcinoma (muc) had at least 50% mucinous component. Venous or lymphatic invasion was categorized as either positive (v+/ly+) or negative (v−/ly−). A consensus diagnosis was reached for unequivocal cases by reviewing the slides together.

2.3. Statistical Analysis. Fisher’s exact or chi-square test was used to compare categorical variables. Survival curves were generated by the Kaplan-Meier method, and differences in
Table 1: Clinicopathological characteristics of resectable and unresectable CRCs.

| Variable                  | Unresectable CRCs (n = 34) | Resectable CRCs (n = 230) | P value |
|---------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------|---------|
| Age (years)               |                             |                           |         |
| ≤50                       | 6                           | 19                        | 0.109   |
| >50                       | 28                          | 211                       |         |
| Sex                       |                             |                           |         |
| Male                      | 19                          | 119                       | 0.652   |
| Female                    | 15                          | 111                       |         |
| Location                  |                             |                           |         |
| Left colon                | 24                          | 158                       | 0.824   |
| Right colon               | 10                          | 72                        |         |
| Depth of tumor invasion   |                             |                           |         |
| pT2                       | 0                           | 36                        | 0.007   |
| pT3                       | 34                          | 194                       |         |
| Lymph node metastasis     |                             |                           |         |
| pN1                       | 18                          | 167                       | 0.019   |
| pN2                       | 16                          | 63                        |         |
| Lymphatic invasion        |                             |                           |         |
| ly (−)                    | 0                           | 8                         | 0.602   |
| ly (+)                    | 34                          | 222                       |         |
| Venous invasion           |                             |                           |         |
| v (−)                     | 1                           | 27                        | 0.145   |
| v (+)                     | 33                          | 203                       |         |
| Histologic type           |                             |                           |         |
| tub                       | 31                          | 213                       | 0.730   |
| por/muc                   | 3                           | 17                        |         |
| Micropapillary pattern    |                             |                           |         |
| Presence                  | 6                           | 6                         | 0.002   |
| Absence                   | 28                          | 224                       |         |

CRCs: colorectal carcinomas.

Survival were compared using the log-rank test. Multivariate analysis was performed using unconditional logistic regression models to calculate odds ratios (ORs) for unresectable CRCs, according to the status of the given clinicopathological variables and presence of micropapillary pattern. Differences were considered to be statistically significant if P < 0.05. Statistical analysis was performed with SPSS (version 20.0, Chicago, IL, USA).

3. Results

3.1. Clinicopathological Characteristics of Resectable and Unresectable CRCs. Thirty-four out of the 264 (12.9%) CRC patients with stages III and IV were unresectable cases. Micropapillary pattern was evident in 12 (4.5%) out of 264 CRC patients with stages III and IV. This pattern was observed in 6 of 34 (17.6%) unresectable CRCs and in 6 of 230 (2.6%) unresectable CRCs (P = 0.002). The percentages of the length of micropapillary pattern at the invasive front per the maximal length of the invasive carcinoma were calculated using H&E specimen as follows: resectable CRCs, 66.0/61.0 ± 30.0% (mean/median ± standard deviation); unresectable CRCs, 64.0/72.0 ± 30.7% (P = 0.905).

Unresectable CRCs revealed more frequently deeper invasion (odds ratio (OR), 1.175; 95% confidence interval (CI) 1.113–1.241), lymph node metastasis (OR, 2.356; 95% CI, 1.132–4.905), and presence of micropapillary pattern at the invasive front (OR, 8.000; 95% CI, 2.415–26.504) as compared to resectable cases (Table 1).

3.2. Multivariable Logistic Regression Estimates for Risk Factors of Unresectable CRCs. In the multivariable logistic regression analysis, micropapillary pattern only proved to be independent predictors of unresectable CRCs (OR, 9.451; 95% CI, 2.468–36.196; P < 0.001) (Table 2).

3.3. Prognosis in Patients with Resectable and Unresectable CRCs. Survival analysis was conducted for the patients who underwent surgery between 2005 and 2009 and were observed for more than two years. The median duration of follow-up was 31 months (range, 25–91) for the survivors who were alive at the date of their last visit. The patients with unresectable CRCs had significantly worse survival than those with resectable CRCs (P < 0.001; Figure 2).

4. Discussion

This report is the first to demonstrate the significant correlation between micropapillary pattern at the invasive front and unresectable CRCs. We show here that only micropapillary pattern was shown to be an independent predictor of unresectable CRCs by multivariable logistic regression analysis. Unexpectedly, our analysis revealed that depth of invasion (pT), nodal status (pN), and lymphovascular invasion were not associated with unresectable CRC.
Micropapillary pattern at the invasive front was identified in 12 of 264 (4.5%) CRC patients with stages III and IV. The age of the CRC patients with micropapillary pattern ranged from 41 to 75 years (mean/median, 62.0/66.0). Eight (66.7%) patients were men and 4 were women. Nine of 12 (75%) cases were located in the left colon and 3 were in the right colon. Two of 12 (16.6%) cases were pT2, and the remaining were pT3 or T4. Eight of 12 (66.7%) cases were pN1 and 4 were pN2. Histologically, there were 10 moderately differentiated tubular adenocarcinomas and 2 poorly differentiated adenocarcinomas. The percentages of length of micropapillary pattern per maximal length of the invasive carcinoma ranged from 24% to 100% (median, 66).

The incidence of micropapillary pattern in our study is much lower than the values (15–31%) reported by previous studies [13, 15, 16, 18]. This difference may due to different study patient populations (racial and environmental differences), different tumor stage, or different criteria used for identification of micropapillary component. Haupt et al. [13] stated that micropapillary component in CRC is usually a minor component of the entire tumor and is often present at the edge of invasive tumor. In CRC, the growth pattern at the invasive front represents a powerful prognostic tool related to the presence of small cancer cell clusters that invade the surrounding stromal compartment [19, 20]. Along this line, we focused on the presence of micropapillary pattern at the invasive front in CRC without taking into account the amount of micropapillary pattern. In fact, the relationship between the amount of micropapillary component and the prognosis remains inconclusive [13, 18].

We applied the term “micropapillary pattern” because the proportion of this component needed for the diagnosis of IMPC has not yet been decided. In some cases, small cancer clusters composed of micropapillary components, which are similar to lymphoid vessels, are difficult to distinguish from poorly differentiated adenocarcinomas. In our ancillary immunohistochemistry, MUC1 or EMA staining confirmed the inside-out pattern of IMPC, consistent with a previous report [15]. MUC1 is considered to be a key factor in the detachment of cells from the stroma, which is a morphological feature of the micropapillary pattern [14, 19]. It is also important not to overdiagnose cancer cells within the lymphovascular spaces as this pattern.

Despite advances in chemotherapy and surgical techniques, only a highly selective subgroup of stage IV CRC patients are eligible to receive resection of the primary tumor with concomitant metastatic lesion followed by chemotherapy as curative treatment and to prolong survival [1, 21]. In the remaining patients with unresectable stage IV CRC, the sequential introduction of new cytotoxic agents (irinotecan and oxaliplatin) and targeted therapies (bevacizumab and cetuximab) has contributed to significant improvement in the response rate and survival [22–24].

In conclusion, the micropapillary pattern at the invasive front is associated with unresectable CRCs. Therefore, detection of this pattern could facilitate the identification of unresectable CRC cases. Subsequently, predicting unresectable CRC may allow the administration of adjuvant chemotherapy including molecular target therapy in the early stage for better prognosis.
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