Patient-reported symptoms and changes up to 1 year after meniscal surgery
An observational cohort study of 641 adult patients with a meniscal tear
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Background and purpose — Detailed information on the symptoms and limitations that patients with meniscal tears experience is lacking. This study was undertaken to map the most prevalent self-reported symptoms and functional limitations among patients undergoing arthroscopic meniscal surgery and investigate which symptoms and limitations had improved most at 1 year after surgery.

Patients and methods — Patients aged 18–76 years from the Knee Arthroscopy Cohort Southern Denmark (KACS) undergoing arthroscopic meniscal surgery were included in this analysis of individual subscale items from the Knee Injury and Osteoarthritis Outcome Score and 1 question on knee stability. Severity of each item was scored as none, mild, moderate, severe, or extreme. Improvements were evaluated using Wilcoxon’s signed-rank test and effect size (ES).

Results — The most common symptoms were knee grinding and clicking, knee pain in general, pain when twisting and bending the knee and climbing stairs (88–98%), while the most common functional limitations were difficulty bending to the floor, squatting, twisting, kneeling, and knee awareness (97–99%). Knee pain in general and knee awareness improved most 1 year after meniscal surgery (ES –0.47 and –0.45; p < 0.001), while knee instability and general knee difficulties improved least (ES 0.10 and –0.08; p < 0.006).

Interpretation — Adults undergoing surgery for a meniscal tear commonly report clinical symptoms and functional limitations related to their daily activities. Moderate improvements were observed in some symptoms and functional limitations and small to no improvement in others at 1 year after surgery. These findings can assist the clinical discussion of symptoms, treatments, and patients’ expectations.

Meniscal tears are a common knee injury in adults, with an annual incidence of up to 172 injuries per 100,000 persons (Peat et al. 2014).

Symptoms such as knee pain and mechanical symptoms (i.e., clicking, locking, or catching) are often considered to be related to meniscal tears (Niu et al. 2011, Yan et al. 2011). However, more detailed information on the clinical symptoms and functional limitations that these patients experience is lacking. Although meniscal surgery is not recommended in most patients with a degenerative meniscal tear (Siemieniuk et al. 2017), no consensus exists on when meniscal surgery is in fact indicated (Lyman et al. 2012). As such, an overview of the specific clinical symptoms and functional limitations that improve most following meniscal surgery would be helpful for clinicians and patients in a shared decision-making process discussing benefits, harms, and patients’ expectations of meniscal surgery.

Importantly, meniscal tears often differ with regard to tear type and symptom onset (i.e., traumatic vs. slowly evolving) between younger and middle-aged to older patients (Poehling et al. 1990, Englund et al. 2008, Bergkvist et al. 2016). Hence, symptom patterns and improvements following meniscal surgery may also differ.

The aim of this exploratory study was to determine the most common clinical symptoms and functional limitations and their severity as reported by patients with a meniscal tear undergoing meniscal surgery and in 2 subgroups based on age (40 years or younger and older than 40 years). Furthermore, we investigated which symptoms and functional limitations had improved most at 1 year after meniscal surgery.
Patients and methods
We followed the STROBE guideline to report this observational cohort study.

Patients
Participants from the Knee Arthroscopy Cohort Southern Denmark (KACS) cohort were included in this study (Thorlund et al. 2013). KACS is a prospective cohort following adults undergoing arthroscopy for meniscal tears. Participants were consecutively recruited from 4 public hospitals in Denmark between February 1, 2013 and January 31, 2014, and at 1 of the original four hospitals from February 1, 2014 to January 31, 2015.

Eligibility criteria
In KACS, patients of at least 18 years of age referred for knee arthroscopy by an orthopedic surgeon on suspicion of a meniscal tear (based on clinical examination, injury history, and MRI if considered necessary) were included if they were able to read and understand Danish, had an email address and did not fulfill any of the following exclusion criteria: no meniscal tear at the later surgery; previous or planned reconstruction surgery of the anterior or posterior cruciate ligament in either knee; fractures to the lower extremities within the last 6 months; or inability to reply to questionnaires because of mental impairment (Thorlund et al. 2013). For the present study, all patients with baseline assessment were included. For the analysis of change from baseline to follow-up, only patients with both baseline assessment and 12-month follow-up data were included.

Outcomes and other variables
Patient characteristics and outcomes were collected using online questionnaires before surgery (median 7 days, interquartile range 3–10 days) and 3 and 12 months after arthroscopic meniscal surgery.

Patient characteristics
Patient characteristics included age, sex, height, weight, symptom onset, and duration of symptoms (Thorlund et al. 2013). Symptom onset was assessed by the question: “How did the knee pain/problems for which you are now having surgery develop?” with 3 response options: “The pain/problems have slowly developed over time,” “As a result of a less severe incident (i.e., kneeling, sliding, and/or twisting of the knee or the like),” and “As a result of a severe incident (i.e., during sports, a crash, or a collision or the like).” Duration of symptoms was assessed by the questions: “How long have you had your knee pain/knee problems for which you are now having surgery?” with response options ranging from “0–3 months” to “more than 24 months”.

Outcomes
KOOS. The Knee Injury and Osteoarthritis Outcome Score (KOOS) is a validated (Roos et al. 1998, Collins et al. 2016) and often used patient-reported outcome in studies concerning patients with meniscal tears (Herrlin et al. 2007, Katz et al. 2013). It consists of 5 subscales (i.e., Pain, Symptoms, Function in daily living (ADL), Function in sport and recreation (Sport/Rec), and knee related Quality of life (QoL)) constituted of several single-item questions with 5 response categories (0–4; typically ranging from “None” to “Extreme” symptoms) concerning specific perceived clinical symptoms, functional limitations, and quality of life (Roos et al. 1998). Hence, it is a possible source of detailed information on specific knee symptoms and functional limitations that patients with meniscal tears experience.

Knee stability. As KOOS does not include specific items on knee instability and giving way, the following question was included: “In the last month, have you felt that your knee was unstable or about to buckle?” The patients responded on a 6-point Likert-like scale (0–5) ranging from “Never” to “All the time.” The question was adapted from the Oxford Knee Score (Dawson et al. 1998).

Statistics
The single-item outcomes were divided into clinical symptoms, defined as Pain and Symptoms subscales items from the KOOS and the knee instability question, and functional limitations and quality of life, defined as the individual items from the other three subscales of KOOS.

Prevalence of clinical symptoms and functional limitations and quality of life were reported as the actual numbers (proportions) of the full study sample. Presence of symptoms was defined as response options 1–4 (leaving out the response option 0, corresponding to no symptoms) on KOOS and response options 1–5 (leaving out the response option 0, corresponding to no symptoms) on the stability question. Severity of symptoms is presented as the actual number of patients with that symptom severity (proportion). Only patients reporting having symptoms (responded 1–4 or 1–5 on the items described above) were included in this analysis. All outcome items were included in the analysis; however, to increase the readability of the manuscript only the 5 clinical symptoms and the 5 functional limitations and quality-of-life items with the highest prevalence were included in the results section, whereas the rest are presented in the Supplementary material. Subgroup analyses based on age (40 years or younger and older than 40 years) were conducted.

We assessed the differences in single-item scores from baseline to 12 months’ follow-up by presenting the distribution of answers at baseline and 12 months for patients with complete data. Only the 5 clinical symptoms and functional limitations and quality-of-life items with the highest effect size are presented in the results, whereas the rest are presented in the Supplementary material. We made subgroup analyses of patients
40 years or younger and patients older than 40 years of age. The comparison was done using Wilcoxon’s signed-rank test. Effect sizes were calculated by the formula \( r = \frac{Z}{\sqrt{N}} \), with \( Z \) being the z statistic output of the Wilcoxon signed-rank test and \( N \) being the number of observations. The distribution of answers at baseline and 12 months are presented alongside the effect sizes to allow for a comparison of how each item changed from baseline to 12 months follow-up.

Due to the exploratory nature of this study, the significance level was set at \( p < 0.05 \), and all analyses were performed in IBM SPSS Statistics (Version 24, IBM Corporation, Armonk, NY, USA).

**Ethics, registration, funding, and potential conflicts of interest**

Written informed consent was obtained from all participants. The regional scientific ethics committee of Southern Denmark waived the need for ethical approval (Thorlund et al. 2013).

The observational KACS cohort was pre-registered at ClinicalTrials.gov (NCT01871272).

This study was funded by an individual postdoctoral grant (JBT) from the Danish Council for Independent Research and funds from the Region of Southern Denmark. The funder had no role in any part of the study other than to provide funding. The authors report no conflict of interest related to this study.

**Results**

Baseline characteristics for the full group (\( n = 641 \)) and the subgroups of patients based on age are presented in Table 1, while study flow is presented in Figure 1. 76 (12%) patients failed to reply to the 12 months questionnaire. Of those with complete follow-up, 60 (11%) patients self-reported additional surgery of their knee during the follow-up period. Those with complete follow-up, 60 (11%) patients self-reported additional surgery of their knee during the follow-up period.

All patients (\( n = 641 \); \( n = 565 \) at 12 months’ follow-up)

Increased awareness of the knee problem, knee pain in general, and difficulty twisting/pivoting the knee were the most prevalent symptoms and limitations. Severities of symptoms were mostly moderate to severe while severities of limitations were mostly severe to extreme for the most common symptoms and limitations (Tables 2 and 3, Figure 2 and Supplementary Tables 4 and 5).

12 months after meniscal surgery, knee pain in general and awareness of the knee problem had improved most, while...
knee instability (actually worsened) and general knee difficulties had improved least (Table 6, Figure 3 and Supplementary Table 7).

Patients aged 40 years or younger (n = 150; n = 121 at 12 months’ follow-up)

Increased awareness of the knee problem, difficulties squatting, and knee pain in general were the most prevalent symptoms and limitations. Severities of symptoms were mostly moderate to severe while severities of limitations were mostly severe to extreme for the most common symptoms and limitations (Tables 2 and 3 and Supplementary Tables 4 and 5).

12 months after meniscal surgery, knee pain in general and difficulty running had improved most, knee instability (no difference) and general knee difficulties had improved least (Table 6, Figure 3 and Supplementary Table 7).

### Table 2. Prevalence and severity of the 5 most common clinical symptoms in the full group and the subgroups at baseline a

|                  | All (n = 641) | ≤ 40 years (n = 150) | > 40 years (n = 491) |
|------------------|---------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| Prevalence, n (%) [95% CI] |               |                      |                      |
| S2. Grinding, clicking or other | 562 (88) [85–90] | 135 (90) [84–94] | 427 (87) [84–90] |
| S7. Stiffness later in the day | 546 (85) [82–88] | 114 (76) [69–82] | 432 (88) [85–91] |
| P1. Knee pain in general | 631 (98) [97–99] | 147 (98) [95–99] | 484 (99) [97–99] |
| P2. Pain twisting/pivoting knee | 616 (96) [94–97] | 141 (94) [89–97] | 475 (97) [95–98] |
| P4. Pain bending knee fully | 567 (89) [86–91] | 131 (87) [81–92] | 436 (89) [86–91] |
| P6. Pain going up or down stairs | 590 (92) [90–94] | 131 (87) [81–92] | 459 (93) [91–95] |
| Severity (1 / 2 / 3 / 4), % |               |                      |                      |
| S2. Grinding, clicking or other | 12 / 31 / 42 / 15 | 12 / 26 / 43 / 19 | 12 / 26 / 43 / 19 | 12 / 26 / 43 / 19 |
| S7. Stiffness later in the day | 34 / 43 / 22 / 1 | 43 / 33 / 22 / 2 | 31 / 46 / 22 / 1 | 31 / 46 / 22 / 1 |
| P1. Knee pain in general | 14 / 31 / 43 / 12 | 18 / 26 / 38 / 16 | 13 / 32 / 44 / 11 | 13 / 32 / 44 / 11 |
| P4. Pain bending knee fully | 24 / 33 / 31 / 11 | 25 / 31 / 26 / 18 | 24 / 34 / 33 / 9 | 24 / 34 / 33 / 9 |
| P6. Pain going up or down stairs | 24 / 36 / 32 / 9 | 34 / 34 / 21 / 11 | 21 / 36 / 35 / 8 | 21 / 36 / 35 / 8 |

95% CI = 95% confidence intervals.

a Letters and numbers in front of each variable refer to item identification from the Knee Injury and Osteoarthritis Outcome Score (KOOS).

### Table 3. Prevalence and severity of the 5 most common functional limitations and quality-of-life items in the full group and the subgroups at baseline a

|                  | All (n = 641) | ≤ 40 years (n = 150) | > 40 years (n = 491) |
|------------------|---------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| Prevalence, n (%) [95% CI] |               |                      |                      |
| A5. Difficulty bending to floor | 619 (97) [95–98] | 144 (96) [92–98] | 475 (97) [95–98] |
| SP1. Difficulty squatting | 626 (98) [96–99] | 148 (99) [96–100] | 478 (97) [96–98] |
| SP4. Difficulty twisting/pivoting knee | 628 (98) [97–99] | 144 (96) [92–98] | 484 (99) [97–99] |
| SP5. Difficulty kneeling | 626 (98) [96–99] | 145 (97) [93–99] | 481 (98) [96–99] |
| Q1. Often aware of knee problem | 637 (99) [98–100] | 149 (99) [97–100] | 488 (99) [96–100] |
| Q3. Lack of knee confidence | 611 (95) [93–97] | 146 (97) [94–99] | 465 (94) [92–96] |
| Severity (1 / 2 / 3 / 4), % |               |                      |                      |
| A5. Difficulty bending to floor | 16 / 28 / 39 / 16 | 17 / 35 / 33 / 15 | 15 / 27 / 41 / 17 | 15 / 27 / 41 / 17 |
| SP1. Difficulty squatting | 6 / 18 / 37 / 37 | 11 / 18 / 36 / 34 | 8 / 17 / 37 / 38 | 8 / 17 / 37 / 38 |
| SP2. Difficulty running | 6 / 14 / 38 / 41 | 10 / 17 / 34 / 39 | 5 / 13 / 40 / 42 | 5 / 13 / 40 / 42 |
| SP4. Difficulty twisting/pivoting knee | 8 / 14 / 36 / 42 | 10 / 17 / 35 / 37 | 7 / 13 / 37 / 43 | 7 / 13 / 37 / 43 |
| SP6. Difficulty kneeling | 9 / 19 / 35 / 38 | 13 / 20 / 34 / 32 | 8 / 18 / 35 / 40 | 8 / 18 / 35 / 40 |
| Q1. Often aware of knee problem | 1 / 4 / 63 / 32 | 3 / 9 / 64 / 25 | 1 / 3 / 63 / 34 | 1 / 3 / 63 / 34 |
| Q3. Lack of knee confidence | 18 / 33 / 40 / 9 | 14 / 27 / 47 / 12 | 19 / 34 / 38 / 8 | 19 / 34 / 38 / 8 |

95% CI = 95% confidence intervals.

a Letters and numbers in front of each variable refer to item identification from the Knee Injury and Osteoarthritis Outcome Score (KOOS).

b Not in top 5 for the group, only included as comparator for the other groups.

c Severity: ranging from 1 (best) to 4 (worst) is the KOOS response categories for each individual item.

d The prevalence was the same for these items, so both were included resulting in the young group having 6 most prevalent items.
ference between baseline and 12 months follow-up) and general knee difficulties had improved least (Table 6 and Supplementary Table 7).

**Patients aged more than 40 years (n = 491; n = 444 at 12 months’ follow-up)**

Increased awareness of the knee problem, knee pain in general, and difficulty twisting/pivoting the knee were the most prevalent symptoms and limitations. Severities of symptoms were mostly moderate to severe while severities of limitations were mostly severe to extreme for the most common symptoms and limitations (Tables 2 and 3 and Supplementary Tables 4 and 5).

12 months after meniscal surgery, knee pain in general and difficulty lying in bed (turning over and maintaining knee position) had improved most, while knee instability (actually worsened) and general knee difficulties had improved least (Table 6 and Supplementary Table 7).

**Discussion**

In this exploratory study we found that patient-reported grinding and clicking with knee movement, knee pain in general, and pain when twisting and bending the knee and going up and down stairs were the most common clinical symptoms experienced by patients about to undergo surgery for a meniscal tear. Difficulty bending to the floor, squatting, twisting, and kneeling, and awareness of the knee problem were the most common functional limitations and quality-of-life problems. These symptoms and functional limitations were present in 88–99% of all patients in the cohort and mostly of moderate to extreme severity. Furthermore, we found that patient-reported knee pain in general and knee awareness had improved most at 1 year after meniscal surgery, while kneeinstability and general knee difficulties improved least. Some differences did exist in subgroups of patients older or younger than 40 years of age.

**Prevalence and severity of symptoms**

Meniscal injury is a common problem in the general population (Peat et al. 2014). However, detailed information on the most common self-reported symptoms, functional limitations, and quality-of-life problems, their severity, and improvements after treatment in patients with a meniscal tear is sparse despite its importance to guide the clinical discussion of symptoms, treatments, and patient expectations. A recent cross-sectional study of baseline data from 2 randomized controlled trials (RCT) of 199 middle-aged patients with a meniscal tear eligible for meniscal surgery found that knee pain in general, pain when twisting/pivoting the knee, when bending the knee fully, and when going up and down stairs, and lack of knee confidence were the 5 most common self-reported symptoms, functional limitations, and quality-of-life problems, all with at least moderate severity (Hare et al. 2017). In general, our results confirm these findings in a larger, prospective cohort that typically are more generalizable to the population undergoing meniscal surgery than participants recruited for RCTs with strict eligibility criteria (Bellomo and Bagshaw 2006). Furthermore, the clinical symptoms found to be most prevalent in our study are consistent with the meniscal symptoms that clinicians typically query patients about when diagnosing...
Table 6. Outcome from baseline to 12 months for the 5 clinical symptoms and limitations and quality-of-life items mostly improved after arthroscopic surgery in the full group and for patients of 40 years of age or younger and patients older than 40 years of age

|                      | All         | ≤ 40 years | > 40 years |
|----------------------|-------------|------------|------------|
|                      | (n = 565)   | (n = 121)  | (n = 444)  |
| Severity b            |             |            |            |
|                       | Base-line   | 12 months  | Diff.       | Base-line   | 12 months  | Diff.       | Base-line   | 12 months  | Diff.       |
|                       | n           | n          | p-value     | n           | n          | p-value     | n           | n          | p-value     |
|                       |             |            | Effect size |             |            | Effect size |             |            | Effect size |
| P1. Knee pain in general | 0          | 124        | < 0.001    | –0.47       | 3           | 20         | < 0.001    | –0.44       | 7           | 104        | < 0.001    | –0.48       |
|                       | 1          | 29         |            |             | 11          | 33         |            |             | 18          | 99         |            |             |
|                       | 2          | 58         |            |             | 20          | 28         |            |             | 38          | 85         |            |             |
|                       | 3          | 371        |            |             | 68          | 31         |            |             | 303         | 130        |            |             |
|                       | 4          | 97         |            |             | 19          | 9          |            |             | 78          | 26         |            |             |
| P6. Pain going up and down stairs | 0          | 46         | < 0.001    | –0.42       | 17          | 46         | < 0.001    | –0.30       | 29          | 145        | < 0.001    | –0.45       |
|                       | 1          | 125        |            |             | 38          | 32         |            |             | 87          | 126        |            |             |
|                       | 2          | 185        |            |             | 37          | 26         |            |             | 148         | 108        |            |             |
|                       | 3          | 169        |            |             | 22          | 13         |            |             | 147         | 58         |            |             |
|                       | 4          | 40         |            |             | 7           | 4          |            |             | 33          | 7          |            |             |
| A10. Difficulty rising from bed | 0          | 131        | < 0.001    | –0.44       | 51          | 89         | < 0.001    | –0.39       | 80          | 258        | < 0.001    | –0.46       |
|                       | 1          | 204        |            |             | 46          | 25         |            |             | 158         | 113        |            |             |
|                       | 2          | 162        |            |             | 19          | 7          |            |             | 143         | 52         |            |             |
|                       | 3          | 58         |            |             | 4           | 0          |            |             | 54          | 16         |            |             |
|                       | 4          | 10         |            |             | 1           | 0          |            |             | 9           | 5          |            |             |
| A12. Difficulty lying in bed (turning over, maintaining knee position) | 0          | 89         | < 0.001    | –0.44       | 36          | 74         | < 0.001    | –0.34       | 53          | 228        | < 0.001    | –0.47       |
|                       | 1          | 152        |            |             | 36          | 27         |            |             | 116         | 110        |            |             |
|                       | 2          | 183        |            |             | 32          | 11         |            |             | 151         | 68         |            |             |
|                       | 3          | 118        |            |             | 14          | 8          |            |             | 104         | 27         |            |             |
|                       | 4          | 23         |            |             | 3           | 1          |            |             | 20          | 11         |            |             |
| SP2. Difficulty running | 0          | 23         | < 0.001    | –0.41       | 8           | 31         | < 0.001    | –0.43       | 15          | 75         | < 0.001    | –0.41       |
|                       | 1          | 34         |            |             | 12          | 28         |            |             | 22          | 89         |            |             |
|                       | 2          | 79         |            |             | 21          | 25         |            |             | 58          | 88         |            |             |
|                       | 3          | 208        |            |             | 37          | 21         |            |             | 171         | 112        |            |             |
|                       | 4          | 221        |            |             | 43          | 16         |            |             | 178         | 80         |            |             |
| SP4. Difficulty twisting/pivoting knee | 0          | 10         | < 0.001    | –0.43       | 4           | 27         | < 0.001    | –0.41       | 6           | 74         | < 0.001    | –0.44       |
|                       | 1          | 43         |            |             | 13          | 27         |            |             | 30          | 95         |            |             |
|                       | 2          | 85         |            |             | 25          | 29         |            |             | 60          | 92         |            |             |
|                       | 3          | 198        |            |             | 39          | 22         |            |             | 159         | 101        |            |             |
|                       | 4          | 229        |            |             | 40          | 16         |            |             | 189         | 82         |            |             |
| Q1. Often aware of knee problem | 0          | 3          | < 0.001    | –0.45       | 1           | 9          | < 0.001    | –0.40       | 2           | 42         | < 0.001    | –0.46       |
|                       | 1          | 7          |            |             | 4           | 30         |            |             | 3           | 79         |            |             |
|                       | 2          | 21         |            |             | 8           | 24         |            |             | 13          | 81         |            |             |
|                       | 3          | 358        |            |             | 82          | 42         |            |             | 276         | 186        |            |             |
|                       | 4          | 176        |            |             | 26          | 16         |            |             | 150         | 56         |            |             |
| Q3. Lack of knee confidence | 0          | 25         | < 0.001    | –0.39       | 3           | 19         | < 0.001    | –0.39       | 22          | 89         | < 0.001    | –0.39       |
|                       | 1          | 94         |            |             | 14          | 35         |            |             | 80          | 171        |            |             |
|                       | 2          | 183        |            |             | 36          | 33         |            |             | 147         | 87         |            |             |
|                       | 3          | 216        |            |             | 56          | 30         |            |             | 160         | 86         |            |             |
|                       | 4          | 47         |            |             | 12          | 4          |            |             | 35          | 11         |            |             |

Letters and numbers in front of each variable refer to item identification from the Knee Injury and Osteoarthritis Outcome Score (KOOS).

Severity: ranging from 0 (best) to 5 (worst) or 0 (best) to 4 (worst) is the response categories for each individual item.

Effect sizes were calculated by the formula: \( r = Z / \sqrt{N_{\text{observations}}} \).

All comparisons between baseline and 12 months' follow-up were significant (p-value < 0.05).

Not in top 5 for the group, only included as comparator for the other groups.
a meniscal tear (Niu et al. 2011), highlighting the relevance of asking these questions in clinical practice. However, many of these symptoms are also typical for patients with early knee osteoarthritis (Hare et al. 2017).

Niu et al. (2011) found that a Meniscal Symptom Index consisting of the combination of 4 symptoms (localized pain, clicking, catching, and giving way) were able to identify 76% of patients with symptoms consistent with a meniscal tear. As our cohort did not include patients without a meniscal tear, we were not able to assess the diagnostic value of a combination of symptoms to identify patients with a meniscal tear. However, a systematic review has shown that the accuracy of most commonly applied clinical tests of a meniscal tear is poor (Smith et al. 2015). Our findings may be used to develop and test a combined approach of clinical tests, medical history and self-reported symptoms for clinical diagnosing of a meniscal tear.

Interestingly, we found some subgroup differences in the most common clinical symptoms and functional limitations and quality-of-life problems. Knee stiffness after resting was only among the most prevalent symptoms in patients above 40 years of age (88%). Knee stiffness is a typical symptom of knee osteoarthritis (OA) (Zhang et al. 2010) and a meniscal tear in middle-aged and elderly people has been suggested to be a signifying feature of incipient OA (Englund et al. 2012). This corresponds well to the previous finding that a large proportion of patients in the present cohort have early or more established OA (Phil et al. 2017). Lack of knee confidence was prevalent in the full group (95%), but only among the 5 most common functional limitations and quality-of-life problems in patients of 40 years or younger (97%). Worse knee confidence has previously been found to predict functional decline in people with or at increased risk of having knee OA (Colbert et al. 2012). Furthermore, it has been suggested to be an important part of a downward spiral with worse knee confidence decreasing the level of activity, potentially leading to worse pain again affecting knee confidence (Skou et al. 2014). This highlights the need to address knee confidence along with other symptoms in the treatment of patients with a meniscal tear.

**Changes in symptoms 1 year after meniscal surgery**

Systematic reviews and meta-analyses of randomized controlled trials have failed to show superior effect of arthroscopic surgery compared with placebo surgery or in addition to exercise for middle-aged and older patients with degenerative meniscal tears (Khan et al. 2014, Thorlund et al. 2015, Brignardello-Petersen et al. 2017). Self-reported mechanical symptoms (i.e., the sensation of catching and/or locking) are typically considered an important indication for surgery (Stuart and Lubowitz 2006, Jevsevar et al. 2014, Krych et al. 2014). However, a recent study in patients with degenerative meniscal tears found no added benefit of surgery over that of placebo surgery in patients with preoperative mechanical symptoms (Sihvonen et al. 2018). Furthermore, the proportion of patients with preoperative mechanical symptoms satisfied with their knee status and reporting improvements at 1 year after arthroscopic surgery is lower compared with those without preoperative mechanical symptoms (Sihvonen et al. 2016b). In our study, mechanical symptoms (S2 and S3 on KOOS) only improved to a small extent 1 year following meniscal surgery. To some extent, this supports the findings from a secondary analysis of an RCT demonstrating that meniscal surgery has no added benefit over sham surgery in relieving knee catching or occasional locking (Sihvonen et al. 2016a).

Interestingly, although awareness of knee problem, difficulty twisting/pivoting knee, and knee pain in general were among the self-reported clinical symptoms and limitations and quality-of-life items that had improved most at 1 year after meniscal surgery, 77–91% of the patients still had the symptoms, suggesting that although patients can expect substantial improvements at 1 year after surgery, most will not be symptom free.

We found some differences in the subgroups of patients older or younger than 40 years, including smaller improvements in knee joint stiffness in the morning and in pain at night in the younger subgroup, potentially explained by differences in the severity of the symptoms at baseline in the different subgroups.

Based on data from the same cohort as used in this study, Thorlund et al. (2017) found a statistically larger, but clinically irrelevant, improvement in patient-reported outcomes following meniscal surgery in patients with a degenerative meniscal tear compared with patients who had a traumatic tear. However, evidence from RCTs supporting these findings is lacking. 2 ongoing trials will help shed light on the effects from meniscal surgery in a younger population, typically with a non-degenerative meniscal tear: 1 Dutch trial comparing arthroscopic resection and rehabilitation for a traumatic tear in adults aged 18–45 years of age (identifier www.trialregister.nl no. 17454) and 1 Danish trial of meniscal surgery versus exercise and education in patients of 40 or younger with a meniscal tear (Skou et al. 2017).

Our results provide guidance to clinicians and patients in terms of which symptoms patients can expect will improve most, and which symptoms will improve the least at 1 year after meniscal surgery and whether the patient can expect the individual symptom to disappear or be relieved.

**Limitations**

Previous studies have suggested that the majority of the treatment effect from various treatments of knee pain is attributable to placebo or contextual factors (Bannuru et al. 2015, Zou et al. 2016). As our study is limited by the lack of a control group, the specific effect sizes found following meniscal surgery can only be used to compare changes in the different self-reported symptoms, functional limitations, and quality-of-life
problems and not as a measure of the effects of surgery per se. Furthermore, the exploratory nature of this study precludes any firm conclusions.

155 patients did not reply to the baseline questionnaire before surgery. However, this was mainly because patients did not have sufficient time to respond, as waiting time for surgery was very short. The age of patients not responding was a bit lower (46 years of age vs. 49), while the gender distribution was similar (43% females vs. 44%). In general, demographics with regard to sex and age for patients included in this study are similar to what has previously been reported for patients undergoing meniscal surgery in Denmark (Thorlund et al. 2014), thus we consider the external validity of the results from the cohort to be high.

In summary, in patients undergoing meniscal surgery, grinding and clicking with knee movement, knee pain in general, and pain when twisting and bending the knee and going up and down stairs of mostly moderate to severe severity are common clinical symptoms. Difficulties bending to the floor, squatting, twisting, and kneeling, and awareness of the knee problem of mostly severe to extreme severity are common functional limitations and quality-of-life problems. At 1 year after surgery, moderate improvements were observed in some symptoms and functional limitations (knee pain in general and knee awareness improved most) and small to no improvement in others (knee instability and general knee difficulties improved least). Some differences did exist in the age-based subgroups, including worsened knee instability at 1 year after meniscal surgery in patients older than 40 years of age. Although the observational nature of our study precludes conclusions on the effects of surgery, the findings from our study can be used in the clinical discussion of symptoms, treatments, and patient expectations for patients with a meniscal tear.
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Supplementary Tables 4, 5 and 7 are available as supplementary data in the online version of this article, http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/17453674.2018.1447281
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