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Visual Abstract

The G2019S mutation in leucine-rich repeat kinase 2 (LRRK2) causes familial Parkinson’s disease (PD) and is also found in a subset of idiopathic cases. Prior studies in Drosophila and human induced pluripotent stem cell (iPSC)-derived dopamine neurons uncovered a pronounced effect of G2019S LRRK2 on mRNA translation. It was previously reported that G2019S LRRK2 promotes translation of mRNAs with complex 5’ untranslated region (UTR) secondary structure, resulting in increased expression of calcium channels and dysregulated calcium homeostasis in human dopamine neurons. Here, we show that dysregulated translation occurs in the brains of mammalian LRRK2 models in vivo. Through ribosome profiling studies of global translation, we observe that mRNAs with complex 5’UTR structure are also preferentially translated in the G2019S LRRK2-expressing mouse brain. Reporter assays suggest that this 5’UTR preference is independent of translation initiation factors. Conversely, translation of mRNAs with complex 5’UTR secondary structure is downregulated

Significance Statement

Parkinson’s disease (PD)-linked G2019S mutation of leucine-rich repeat kinase 2 (LRRK2) is known to cause abnormalities in mRNA translation. These translational defects were suggested to cause calcium dysregulation, thereby imposing a long-term cellular stress to dopamine neurons. While these effects of G2019S LRRK2 on mRNA translation have been seen in Drosophila brain tissues and cultured mammalian neurons, translational profiling of the mammalian brain expressing G2019S LRRK2 has not been reported. In this study, we employed ribosome profiling to survey mRNA translation in the brains of LRRK2 mouse models, thereby demonstrating that the G2019S LRRK2 mutation broadly alters mRNA translation in the mouse brain.
in LRRK2 knock-out (KO) mouse brain, indicating a robust link between LRRK2 kinase activity and translation of mRNA with complex 5′UTR structure. Further, substantia nigra pars compacta (SNpc) dopamine neurons in the G2019S LRRK2-expressing brain exhibit increased calcium influx, which is consistent with the previous report from human dopamine neurons. These results collectively suggest that LRRK2 plays a mechanistic role in translational regulation, and the G2019S mutation in LRRK2 causes translational defects leading to calcium dysregulation in the mammalian brain.
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Introduction

Dominant mutations in the leucine-rich repeat kinase 2 (LRRK2) gene are the most common genetic cause of familial Parkinson’s disease (PD), with the G2019S missense mutation being most frequent disease-causing mutation in LRRK2 (Martin et al., 2014a). The G2019S mutation enhances the kinase activity of LRRK2, leading to neurotoxicity (Greggio et al., 2006; Smith et al., 2006). While various cellular functions are associated with LRRK2 kinase activity, emerging evidence suggests that alterations in mRNA translation downstream of kinase activity plays an important role in PD pathogenesis (Imai et al., 2008; Gehlke et al., 2010; Martin et al., 2014b; Taymans et al., 2015). G2019S LRRK2 was reported to increase global protein synthesis through phosphorylation of the ribosomal protein S15 (uS19), and reduction of global protein synthesis is protective against G2019S LRRK2 neurotoxicity in a Drosophila model (Martin et al., 2014b). In addition, a recent study applying ribosome profiling to human dopamine neurons differentiated from patient-derived induced pluripotent stem cells (iPSCs) showed that the increased translation in G2019S LRRK2 leads to increased expression of genes responsible for calcium influx in neurons (Kim et al., 2020). While these studies presented potential mechanisms linking abnormal translation to cellular stress, the proposed mechanisms have yet to be tested in the mammalian brain.

Materials and Methods

All animal protocols are in accordance with the regulations of Johns Hopkins University Animal Care and Use Committee and the National Institutes of Health Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals. Animals were housed in a 12/12 h light/dark cycle with free access to water and food. High-throughput sequencing data are available via NCBI GEO (accession number: GSE167704).

Maintenance of LRRK2 transgenic mouse models

Generation and characterization of LRRK2 “Tet-off” transgenic mice and LRRK2 knock-out (KO) mice were previously reported (Andres-Mateos et al., 2009; Nikonova et al., 2012; Xiong et al., 2017). For transgenic mice, high copy number lines (569 line for GS, 763 line for GS/DA) were used (Xiong et al., 2017). Single transgenic mice Ca2+/calmodulin-dependent protein kinase II (CaMKII)-I-eTA or Tet-LRRK2 were used for breeding, and the breeding cages were maintained with doxycycline chow (Diet-Sterile, 200 mg/kg doxycycline, Bio-Serv) and fed ad libitum. Doxycycline food was switched back to regular food after weaning for transgene induction. Three- to four-month-old mice were used for ribosome profiling experiments (described below).

Mouse primary cortical neuron culture

Dissipated primary cortical neurons were prepared from embryonic day (E)15 developing brain (CD1, Charles River or LRRK2 transgenic mice). Developing cortices were dissected in the dissecting medium (DMEM with 20% horse serum, 0.5 mM GlutaMax, and 6 μM glucose, Invitrogen), digested with TrypLE (Invitrogen), and plated at a concentration of 3 × 10⁶ cells for a plate. Culture plates were precoated with 15 μg/mL poly-L-ornithine. Cultures were maintained under Neurobasal (Invitrogen) medium with a serum-free supplement B-27 (Invitrogen) and 0.5 mM GlutaMax (Invitrogen).

Immunocytochemistry of neurons

Cells were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde for 15 min at room temperature, then permeabilized with 0.03% Triton X-100 for 15 min. The cells were washed then blocked for 1 h with 10% goat serum in PBS. The blocked...
and RNA purification. Ribo-Zero Gold kit (Illumina) was used.

Ribosome profiling library generation

Ribosome footprinting and RNA-seq libraries were prepared by following a published protocol with several modifications made for mouse brain tissue (Ingolia et al., 2012).

Mouse brain

Brains of three- to four-month-old mice were dissected in TBS buffer with 100 μg/ml cycloheximide and immediately frozen in dry ice. Caudate putamen tissues from three mice of mixed gender (1:2 or 2:1 male:female ratio) were pooled; 2.5% of total lysate was subjected to Western blotting to ensure sufficient expression of transgene. The collected samples were homogenized in lysis buffer [10 mM Tris pH 7.5, 150 mM NaCl, 5 mM MgCl₂, 0.5 mM DTT, 100 μg/ml cycloheximide, EDTA-free protease inhibitor (Roche), and 40 μl/murine RNase Inhibitor (NEB)] with 12 strokes of high-speed motorized homogenizer (Glas-Col GT series) at 40% power. The lysates were briefly centrifuged for 10 min at 2000 × g. The supernatant was transferred to a new tube, added NP-40-1% final concentration, incubated 5 min on ice. The samples were centrifuged again for 10 min at 20,000 × g. The lysates were incubated in ice for 15 min, and centrifuged for 10 min at 20,000 × g. Total RNA concentration of lysate was measured by Qubit RNA BR Assay (Life Technologies), and the same amount of RNA was used across samples. The supernatant was split into two tubes for ribosome footprinting and RNA-seq library generation.

Ribosome footprinting

The lysates were treated with 15 μl of RNase I (Ambion) in 600-μl total reaction volume for 45 min at room temperature, and the reaction was stopped by adding 30 μl of SuperAse-In (Ambion). Sucose form was prepared with 1.7 g sucose in 3.9 ml polysyme buffer (10 mM Tris pH 7.5, 150 mM NaCl, 5 mM MgCl₂, 0.5 mM DTT, 100 μg/ml cycloheximide, 20U/ml SuperAse-In), 4 h at 70,000 rpm. The pellet was resuspended with 700 μl QiAzo1 (QIAGEN) reagent, incubated for 5 min at room temperature, 100 μl chloroform was added, vortexed for 15 s, and incubated again for 2 min at room temperature. The sample was centrifuged for 15 min at 12,000 × g, the 350 μl supernatant was mixed with 525 μl 100% EtOH. The mixture was loaded on an RNeasy Mini column (QIAGEN), and the RNA was extracted; 26-34 nt ribosome footprints were size-selected by Urea-PAGE, gel extraction and RNA purification. Ribo-Zero Gold kit (Illumina) was used for rRNA removal after the size selection. The RNA depleted ribosome footprints were dephosphorylated by T4 polynucleotide kinase treatment, then Universal miRNA Cloning Linker (NEB) was added to the 3’ ends. Reverse transcription reaction was performed, and the cDNA was circularized by CircLigase II (Epicentre) reaction, and subjected to the PCR for final library generation.

RNA-seq

Total RNA was purified by a combination of QiAzo1 and RNeasy Mini as described. Ribo-Zero Gold kit was used for rRNA removal. RNA-seq library was generated from the total RNA by ScriptSeq v2 Library Preparation kit (Epicentre).

Ribosome footprinting data processing

Illumina HiSeq 2000 or 2500 were used for deep sequencing of the libraries. FASTX-Toolkit (http://hannonlab.cshl.edu/fastx-toolkit/) was used for the initial processing of the reads.

Ribosome footprinting libraries

Only adapter-containing reads were clipped. Reads shorter than 25 nt were discarded. The first nucleotide of the reads was trimmed. rRNA-mapped reads were discarded before genomic alignment.

RNA-seq libraries

Only adapter-containing reads were clipped, rRNA-mapped reads were discarded.

The processed reads were mapped to the UCSC genome database (mouse: mm9) by Tophat (2.0.11) with Bowtie2 (2.2.2). Maximum 1 mismatch was allowed for the alignments (for sequencing read counts, see Table 1).

Internal ribosome entry site (IRES) reporter assays

pFR-HCV-xb, pFR-CrPV-xb vectors (from Phil Sharp Lab) were obtained from the Addgene depository (#11510 and #11509, respectively). The reporter vectors were co-transfected into CD1 wild-type mouse cortical neurons at day in vitro (DIV)5 with LRRK2-expressing or S15-expressing plasmids (or empty, respective expression plasmids for control) using Lipofectamine 2000 (Invitrogen) reagent. Luciferase to LRRK2/S15 expression vector ratio was 1:3. Culture medium was replaced (half-change) every 24 h to minimize any potential effects from the growth condition including starvation. Luciferase activity was measured at DIV7 by Dual-Glo Luciferase Assay System (Promega; for the IRES reporters) with Glomax 20/20 Luminometer (Promega). The lysates were subjected to the total RNA purification with DNase treatment for the transcript level measurement.

Immunoblotting

Brain tissues were lysed with an automated homogenizer in RIPA buffer with 1% SDS [20 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.5), 150 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, 1% NP-40, 1% sodium deoxycholate, 1% SDS, protease inhibitors]. Lysates were incubated on a rotator for 1 h at 4°C, and spun down for 10 min × 12,000 × g at 4°C. Supernatant was collected, protein concentration was measured, and the lysate was mixed with 2× Laemmli sample buffer. Generation and
characterization of rabbit polyclonal T136 phospho-S15 antibody was previously published (Martin et al., 2014b). Commercial antibodies: LRRK2: Neumob (75-188, N138/6), P-eIF2α (Cell Signaling Technologies, #9721), eIF2α (Cell Signaling Technologies, #9722), and ATF4 (Millipore, ABE387).

Ribosome profiling data analysis

Aligned reads were counted by either a Python package HTSeq (htseq-count) or an R package GenomicAlignments (summarizeOverlaps). Annotations and sequencing reads were handled using an R package GenomicFeatures. To avoid multiple counting on isoforms, transcript reference data were processed to have one unique annotation covering all isoforms (union of isoforms) per gene. Reads only in the CDS regions were counted. Transcripts with low read counts (<128 reads) were discarded. An R package DESeq (1.20) was used for calculating normalized expression from either ribosome footprinting or RNA-seq data based on a negative binomial distribution and generalized linear model. For the mouse data, replicates were initially analyzed independently to confirm reproducibility, and then analyzed in combination for the final analysis. For the human neuron data, biological tripli-

Table 1. Sequencing read counts

| Sample                      | Type | Mapped reads |
|-----------------------------|------|--------------|
| Mouse control 1             | Ribo | 11,528,964   |
| mRNA                        |      |              |
| Mouse control 2             | Ribo | 14,236,436   |
| mRNA                        |      |              |
| Mouse control 3             | Ribo | 28,913,143   |
| mRNA                        |      |              |
| G2019S TG 1                 | Ribo | 10,832,574   |
| mRNA                        |      |              |
| G2019S TG 2                 | Ribo | 11,636,313   |
| mRNA                        |      |              |
| G2019S/D1994A TG 1          | Ribo | 11,391,779   |
| mRNA                        |      |              |
| G2019S/D1994A TG 2          | Ribo | 30,967,177   |
| mRNA                        |      |              |
| Mouse WT (vs KO) 1          | Ribo | 6,096,632    |
| mRNA                        |      |              |
| Mouse WT (vs KO) 2          | Ribo | 6,331,204    |
| mRNA                        |      |              |
| LRRK2 KO 1                  | Ribo | 5,552,451    |
| mRNA                        |      |              |
| LRRK2 KO 2                  | Ribo | 7,322,616    |
| mRNA                        |      |              |
| LRRK2 WT 3 (STR)            | Ribo | 22,166,290   |
| mRNA                        |      |              |
| LRRK2 WT 3 (VMB)            | Ribo | 8,773,494    |
| mRNA                        |      |              |
| LRRK2 KO 3 (STR)            | Ribo | 22,069,910   |
| mRNA                        |      |              |
| LRRK2 KO 3 (VMB)            | Ribo | 6,492,191    |

Ribosome profiling data analysis

Electrophysiological recordings

Mice (10–12 weeks old) were anesthetized and decapitated, and the brains were placed in ice-cold artificial CSF (ACSF) containing the following: 125 mM NaCl, 2.5 mM KCl, 1 mM MgSO₄, 1.25 mM NaH₂PO₄, 26 mM NaHCO₃, 2 mM CaCl₂, and 10 mM D-glucose. Transverse brain slices containing substantia nigra pars compacta (SNpc; 50 μm) were prepared using a vibratome (Leica VT1200S). Sections were incubated in ACSF saturated with 95% O₂ and 5% CO₂ at 34°C for 60 min, and then at room temperature (22–24°C) until use. Recordings were performed at room temperature. All experiments were conducted in accordance with the National Institutes of Health Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals.

HEKA EPC10 amplifier (HEKA Elektronik) was used to perform electrophysiological recordings. For spontaneous and evoked action potentials (APs), a single slice was transferred into a submerged recording chamber and perfused constantly with oxygenated ACSF at a rate of 2 ml/min. DA neurons were visualized under a 40x water immersion objective by fluorescence and DIC optics (Carl Zeiss). The patch electrodes had a resistance of 2–5 MΩ and filled with solution containing the following: 126 mM K-glucuronate, 8 mM KCl, 20 mM HEPES, 2 mM NaCl, 3 mM MgATP, 0.5 mM Na₃GTP, and 0.05 mM Alexa Fluor 568 (pH 7.2, 290–300 mOsm/kg). Input resistance (Rin), series resistance (Rs), and leak currents (Leak) were monitored throughout the experiment. Unstable recordings (>10% fluctuation of Rs value) during the course of experiments were rejected for further analysis. Resting membrane potential was recorded in current clamp mode at 0 pA immediately after establishing whole-cell configuration. A series of hyperpolarizing and depolarizing step currents were injected to elicit APs. For whole-cell calcium currents, the external solution used contained 140 mM tetraethylammonium methanesulfonate (TEA-MeSO₄), 10 mM HEPES, and 10 mM BaCl₂ or CaCl₂ (pH 7.4, 300–310 mOsm/kg). The pipette solution contained 135 mM CsMeSO₃, 5 mM CsCl, 1 mM MgCl₂, 4 mM MgATP, 5 mM HEPES, and 5 mM EGTA (pH 7.3, 290–300 mOsm/kg). Currents were recorded by holding the cell at –90 mV, before stepping to various potentials from –60 to +50 mV for 250-ms in 10-mV increments. Tetrodotoxin (TTX; 1 μM) was used to block voltage-gated sodium currents. Data were acquired by PatchMaster software (HEKA Elektronik), sampled at 10 kHz, and filtered at 2.9 kHz. APs and calcium currents were analyzed using Clampfit 10.5 software (Molecular Devices). Neurons labeled with similar group size was randomly selected for each comparison to avoid potential bias from sample size differences. Transcript coordinates were calculated by a custom R script and re-aligned based on the rounded half point of the ribosome footprint [5′ end + (footprint length/2)]. For icSHAPE data analysis, icSHAPE (in vivo) results from mouse ES cells (GEO: GSE64169) were downloaded, converted to mm9 (UCSC liftOver), and merged with our mouse ribosome profiling data.

Table 1. Sequencing read counts

| Sample     | Type | Mapped reads |
|------------|------|--------------|
| Mouse control 1 | Ribo | 11,528,964   |
| mRNA       |      |              |
| Mouse control 2 | Ribo | 14,236,436   |
| mRNA       |      |              |
| Mouse control 3 | Ribo | 28,913,143   |
| mRNA       |      |              |
| G2019S TG 1 | Ribo | 10,832,574   |
| mRNA       |      |              |
| G2019S TG 2 | Ribo | 11,636,313   |
| mRNA       |      |              |
| G2019S/D1994A TG 1 | Ribo | 11,391,779   |
| mRNA       |      |              |
| G2019S/D1994A TG 2 | Ribo | 30,967,177   |
| mRNA       |      |              |
| Mouse WT (vs KO) 1 | Ribo | 6,096,632    |
| mRNA       |      |              |
| Mouse WT (vs KO) 2 | Ribo | 6,331,204    |
| mRNA       |      |              |
| LRRK2 KO 1  | Ribo | 5,552,451    |
| mRNA       |      |              |
| LRRK2 KO 2  | Ribo | 7,322,616    |
| mRNA       |      |              |
| LRRK2 WT 3 (STR) | Ribo | 22,166,290   |
| mRNA       |      |              |
| LRRK2 WT 3 (VMB) | Ribo | 8,773,494    |
| mRNA       |      |              |
| LRRK2 KO 3 (STR) | Ribo | 22,069,910   |
| mRNA       |      |              |
| LRRK2 KO 3 (VMB) | Ribo | 6,492,191    |
Alexa Fluor 568 were confirmed by immunohistochemistry after recording.

Results

We sought to characterize translational abnormalities in the brains of LRRK2 mouse models, focusing on the caudate putamen, where substantia nigra dopamine neurons project to and is linked to the pathology of PD. To obtain high expression of G2019S LRRK2 or kinase dead G2019S/D1994A LRRK2 transgenes, we crossed mice harboring doxycycline-regulated LRRK2 expression constructs with the CaMKII-tTA driver mice (Lee et al., 2013; Xiong et al., 2017). We then analyzed translation in the caudate putamen of the resulting G2019S LRRK2 or G2019S/D1994A LRRK2 transgenic mice, as well as LRRK2 KO animals (Fig. 1A; Extended Data Figs. 1-1, 1-2; Nikonova et al., 2012).

We characterized translation by ribosome profiling, the deep sequencing of ribosome-protected mRNA fragments generated by nuclease digestion. Ribosome profiling provides a quantitative measurement of translation and reports on the precise location of translating ribosomes across the transcriptome (Ingolia et al., 2009). We inferred the translational activity of different mRNAs by calculating the TE, the ratio between the abundance of ribosome footprints derived from a gene to the overall abundance of its mRNA as determined by RNA-seq (Anders and Huber, 2010; Brar and Weissman, 2015; Ingolia, 2016). Comparison of the global distribution of TE values between LRRK2 transgenic mice and non-transgenic littermate control mice revealed broad alterations in TE distribution (Fig. 1B). Likewise, LRRK2 KO mice showed widespread differences in TE relative to wild-type control mice (Fig. 1C). In contrast, G2019S/D1994A LRRK2 transgenic mice have a TE distribution similar to those in non-transgenic control mice, indicating that the changes in LRRK2 transgenic mice are dependent on kinase activity (Fig. 1D; Greggio et al., 2006; Smith et al., 2006). The broadly altered TE distribution indicates that G2019S LRRK2 causes increased expression of some genes (TE up) and decreased expression of others (TE down), distorting the overall translatome.

It has been shown that G2019S LRRK2 enhances the translation of transcripts containing complex 5′UTR structure (Kim et al., 2020). Therefore, we compared the predicted 5′UTR folding energy between genes showing elevated or reduced TE from each comparison. The TE up genes in G2019S LRRK2 transgenic mouse brain have significantly lower folding energy than randomly selected control genes with the same group size (Fig. 2A), indicating that they have more complex 5′UTR secondary structures. Conversely, the TE down genes have significantly higher folding energy compared with the control genes, which suggests that they have less structured 5′UTR (Fig. 2A). Notably, LRRK2 KO mice show the reverse trend, indicating that loss of LRRK2 has the opposite effect from hyperactive G2019S LRRK2 (Fig. 2B). The same trend is clear when we stratify transcripts according to the strength of their 5′UTR secondary structure (Fig. 2C,D). Unlike the case for 5′UTRs, 3′UTR folding energy does not show LRRK2-dependent correlation with TE (Extended Data Fig. 2-1A,B). In addition, we did not find significant TE changes from 5′ terminal oligopyrimidine (TOP)-containing genes, which are known to be regulated by phosphorylation of 4E-BP (Extended Data Fig. 2-1C,D; Thoreen et al., 2012). Therefore, our ribosome profiling data from the mouse brain samples indicate that LRRK2 enhances translation of mRNAs with complex 5′UTR secondary structure in a kinase activity-dependent manner.

Recent advances in molecular techniques that combine chemical probes and deep sequencing have provided transcriptome-wide measurements of RNA structure in living cells. We analyzed mouse RNA structure data (icSHAPE; Spitale et al., 2015) to estimate basal levels of 5′UTR structural complexity of genes differentially regulated by LRRK2. Low icSHAPE signal indicates low chemical reactivity at a given nucleotide, thereby suggesting a higher likelihood that it participates in secondary structures in cells. We compared icSHAPE reactivity between TE up and TE down genes from G2019S LRRK2 transgenic and LRRK2 KO mice. Structure probing data from 100 nt windows 5′ of the CDS start site revealed that the TE up genes in G2019S LRRK2 have significantly low average icSHAPE reactivity (0.229) associated with more complex structure, while the TE down genes have higher average reactivity (0.240) suggesting low structural complexity (Fig. 2E). LRRK2 KO mice show the opposite trend (up: 0.237, down: 0.219; Fig. 2F). These results suggest that the 5′UTR secondary structure adjacent to the start codon may play a role in the translatome alteration by G2019S LRRK2.

Translation initiation is a tightly regulated process, with many eukaryotic initiation factors (eIFs) involved in the regulation and facilitation of the process (Sonenberg and Hinnebusch, 2009; Jackson et al., 2010). Of note, DEAD-box RNA helicases including eIF4A, Ddx3, and Dhx29 are thought to resolve 5′UTR secondary structure of mRNAs with the help of other initiation factors such as eIF4B (Parsyan et al., 2011; Sen et al., 2015). Previous studies suggested that T136 phosphorylation of ribosomal protein S15 (uS19) mediates the translational effects of G2019S LRRK2 (Martin et al., 2014b; Kim et al., 2020). Consistent with this, we found that S15 T136 phosphorylation is increased in the G2019S LRRK2 transgenic mouse brain and decreased in the LRRK2 KO mouse brain (Fig. 3A,B). To investigate potential crosstalk between G2019S LRRK2, phosphorylated S15 and eIFs, we employed bicistronic reporters with hepatitis C virus (HCV) or cricket paralysis virus (CrPV) IRES. HCV-IRES and CrPV-IRES do not require RNA helicase activity to initiate translation, and CrPV-IRES initiation is entirely independent of eIFs (Fig. 3C,D; Jackson et al., 2010). In these bicistronic reporter assays, cap-dependent translation of firefly luciferase is dependent on helicase activity of eIFs, while IRES-driven cap-independent translation of Renilla luciferase is helicase independent. Unexpectedly, both IRES reporters show the same cap-dependent and cap-independent translational induction by G2019S LRRK2 and T136D S15,
thereby leaving the ratios between cap-dependent and cap-independent translation unchanged (Fig. 3E–J; Extended Data Fig. 3–1). Since the CrPV IRES does not require any initiation factors to recruit ribosomes, the results indicate that the translational effects of G2019S LRRK2 are independent of translation initiation factors, and phosphorylation of S15 is sufficient to enhance the translation of mRNAs with structured 5'UTRs.

Figure 1. Broad alteration in mRNA translation in the G2019S LRRK2 mouse brain. A, A schematic of ribosome profiling workflow with mouse brain tissue. B–D, TE was calculated to estimate translational activity. Global TE distributions between (B) GS LRRK2 TG and non-TG control, (C) LRRK2 KO and WT, and (D) GS/DA LRRK2 TG and non-TG control were compared. All values are in log₂, and each data point represents a single transcript. In scatterplots, centerline is a guideline with slope of 1, meaning that the dots on the line do not have TE value differences between the genotypes. SD of TE differences: 0.226 (GS LRRK2 vs control), 0.179 (GS/DA LRRK2 vs control), 0.273 (LRRK2 KO vs WT). Standard z score was calculated, and ±1.5 cutoff was used to select TE up and TE down genes. Triplet periodicity is normal across the results (Extended Data Fig. 1–1). E, F, Histogram of TE differences (ΔTE) between (E) GS LRRK2 TG and non-TG control or (F) LRRK2 KO and WT. Z score ±1.5 cutoff was used, and TE values are in log₂. Each ribosome profiling experiment was firstly analyzed independently to ensure reproducibility. Two independent results were analyzed together by DESeq (Anders and Huber, 2010; n = 2). Expression analysis results including TE values were compiled (Extended Data Fig. 1–2).
While we sought to characterize translational abnormalities in the LRRK2 KO mouse brain, we found unexpected patterns of ribosomal footprint distribution on the Atf4 upstream open reading frame (ORF) regions. Atf4 is the key transcription factor underlying one branch of the integrated stress response (ISR) pathway and its expression is known to be translationally regulated (Vattem and Wek, 2004). eIF2α-mediated regulation of Atf4 is a well-studied example of translational regulation using termination-reinitiation balance between the upstream ORFs. We observed that in the LRRK2 KO brain, ribosome footprints are depleted 15–20 nt before the start codon of the main ORF (Fig. 4A). We performed additional ribosome profiling experiments with the caudate putamen (striatum; STR) and the ventral midbrain (VMB) of LRRK2 KO mouse brain and found that the footprint depletion is consistent across all ribosome profiling experiments conducted (Fig. 4B). Since we found that 5′UTR secondary structure is important to LRRK2-mediated translational regulation,
we examined potential secondary structures near the depleted region. Computational secondary structure predictions (RNAfold) reported multiple potential hairpins in the \textit{Atf4} mRNA, and the depleted region in particular has a very high probability to form hairpin (Fig. 4C).

These results further point to the importance of 5′ UTR secondary structure near start codon for the translational effects of LRRK2.

Since \textit{Atf4} uORF footprint abnormality is observed in the LRRK2 KO brain and \textit{Atf4} induction is a central downstream pathway of ISR, we further sought to determine a potential relationship between G2019S LRRK2 and ISR. First, elf2u phosphorylation levels in the G2019S LRRK2 transgenic brain were examined. We found no steady-state induction of elf2u phosphorylation regardless of the transgene expression levels (Extended Data Fig. 4-1A). Next, we tested the potential relationship by inducing ISR in G2019S LRRK2-expressing primary neurons cultured from the transgenic mouse model. Of note, G2019S LRRK2-expressing neurons have defective recovery from thapsigargin-induced ISR (Extended Data Fig. 4-1B). Considering the enhanced translation of structured 5′ UTR-containing transcripts in the G2019S LRRK2 brain, this defective recovery could be because of translational defects caused by G2019S LRRK2 inhibiting 5′ UTR-mediated translational regulation required for ISR recovery. In addition, since thapsigargin induces ISR by blocking SERCA, defective calcium handling in G2019S LRRK2 neurons could also exacerbate ISR. A previous study suggested that dysregulated translation leads to increased calcium influx in G2019S LRRK2 human dopamine neurons (Kim et al., 2020). In this regard, we performed calcium recordings with the G2019S LRRK2-expressing brain. Basic electrophysiological properties including spontaneous and evoked AP wave of SNpc dopamine neurons are indifferent to G2019S LRRK2 expression in the brain (Extended Data Fig. 5-1). Substantia nigra dopamine neurons also show similar pacemaking activities compared with the wild type (Fig. 5A). However, calcium currents measurement showed significant increase of calcium currents in the G2019S LRRK2-expressing brain (Fig. 5B,C). These results are consistent with the previous report of increased calcium influx in G2019S LRRK2 human dopamine neurons (Kim et al., 2020).
Discussion

In this study, we found that G2019S LRRK2 alters the global translational landscape in the mouse brain. Dysregulated translation caused by G2019S LRRK2 has been reported in Drosophila models and human dopamine neurons differentiated from patient-derived iPSCs (Martin et al., 2014b; Kim et al., 2020). Our data from the mouse brain are in line with the previous results showing that G2019S LRRK2 induces genome-wide translational abnormality. In addition, the 5’UTR-mediated translation shift, which was previously observed in the G2019S LRRK2 human dopamine neurons, is also present in the mouse brain. In G2019S LRRK2 transgenic mice, mRNAs with complex 5’UTR secondary structure tend to have elevated TE, while LRRK2 KO mice show the opposite trend. Analysis of RNA secondary structure data suggest that mRNA secondary structure on the 5’UTR regions near the start codon is important for these TE changes. These observations are in accordance with the previous finding that G2019S LRRK2 alters genome-wide translation by favoring mRNAs with complex 5’UTR secondary structure.

While the precise structural mechanism underlying the 5’UTR-mediated mRNA preference is unclear, our IRES reporter assays suggest that the enhanced translation in G2019S LRRK2 expressing neurons does not rely on

Figure 4. Ribosome footprint distributions on Atf4 uORFs in the LRRK2 KO brain. A, B, Ribosome footprints distribution in the 5’UTR of Atf4 gene (visualized: chr15:80,086,569–80,086,862). Red box indicates the region that ribosomes are depleted in the LRRK2 KO brain. C, RNA structure prediction of the Atf4 uORF sequences by ViennaRNA RNAfold (Lorenz et al., 2011). The regions of depleted ribosome footprints have high probability to form secondary structure. In addition, relationship between G2019S LRRK2 and eIF2a was addressed (Extended Data Fig. 4-1).
translation initiation factors. Considering that G2019S LRRK2 is known to phosphorylate multiple ribosomal proteins including S15, our results bolster the idea that phosphorylation of ribosomal proteins could change the global translational landscape autonomously. Of note, the IRES reporter assays also indicate that the effects of LRRK2 may not be limited to translational initiation, since IRES-recruited ribosomes are thought to bypass scanning (Jackson et al., 2010). While our analyses indicate a strong correlation between 5'UTR secondary structure and TE, an alteration in secondary structure may in theory impact elongation as well. Secondary structure-mediated regulation is generally considered in the context of translation initiation since the coding region has limited degree of freedom for nucleotide-based secondary structure formation. However, as the Atf4 CDS secondary structure prediction depicts, it is possible that elongation could be, at least partially, regulated by mRNA secondary structure as well. Therefore, these collectively suggest that the G2019S LRRK2 mutation and its downstream effects can facilitate translation during both initiation and elongation steps if secondary structure-mediated regulation is in place.

Ribosome footprint depletion at the Atf4 5'UTR in LRRK2 KO provides new information on the mechanisms by which LRRK2 affects translation. It suggests that the low TE of complex 5'UTR genes in the LRRK2 KO brain is because of strong hairpin formation and reduced ribosomal processivity. Alternatively, it is possible that the depletion is caused by disome formation, which can reduce the recovery of footprints in ribosome profiling experiments that include a monosome-specific size-selection step. This disome hypothesis is supported by the facts that the depletion is just in front of the main CDS start codon, and the main CDS also tends to form strong hairpin structure right after the start codon (Fig. 4C). Both cases are consistent with the interpretation of reduced ribosomal processivity in the LRRK2 KO brain. It further suggests that uORF-mediated regulation of Atf4 expression could potentially be regulated by manipulating ribosomal processivity. While we did not find any Atf4 footprint distribution abnormality in the G2019S LRRK2 transgenic brain, we cannot exclude the possibility that increased ribosomal processivity could impair the ISR, thereby incurring a long-term cellular stress in G2019S LRRK2 PD. Delayed recovery from thapsigargin-mediated ISR in G2019S LRRK2 neurons might be linked to this increased processivity. Since ATF4 plays central roles in the integrated stress responses, including induction of genes necessary to cope with cellular stresses, understanding the exact molecular mechanisms for Atf4 expression regulation will deepen our knowledge on the pathobiology of LRRK2 PD.

Since this study was conducted with dissected brain tissues without cell-type specificity, dopamine neuronspecific translational profiling experiments in rodent models, which have been done in human iPSC-derived dopamine neuron and Drosophila models, would further reveal the specific changes relevant to G2019S LRRK2 PD (Kim et al., 2020; Pallos et al., 2021). In addition, there is a recent report suggesting that G2019S LRRK2 leads to reduced bulk translation in rodent neurons (Deshpande et al., 2020). The study was conducted with different model systems from this study (cultured neurons, in vitro transla- tion system, and skin fibroblasts), which makes it hard to directly compare the results. However, bulk protein synthesis rate is tightly related to the neuronal activity levels. In this regard, investigating the relationship between LRRK2 and neuronal activity would be informative to collectively comprehend the molecular mechanisms of LRRK2-mediated translational regulation.

It is noteworthy that calcium influx is increased in the substantia nigra dopamine neurons in the G2019S LRRK2-expressing brain. The increased calcium influx was originally reported in G2019S LRRK2 human dopamine neurons. While the previous findings from cultured neurons initiated a plausible molecular mechanism that can lead to a long-term dopamine neuronal stress, the electrophysiological characteristic of a neuron is heavily influenced by the neuron’s wiring context. Therefore, monitoring calcium dynamics in a fully developed adult brain tissue is essential to validate the hypothesis (Yin et al., 2021). In this manner, our findings on the increased calcium influx in vivo bolster the suggested molecular

Figure 5. Calcium currents recorded in SNpc DA neurons. A, Comparison of spontaneous AP firing pattern of DA neurons between wild-type and GS LRRK2 mouse slices. B, Calcium currents were measured in mouse SNpc DA neurons using whole-cell patch clamp recordings. C, Quantification of calcium peak currents. Data are expressed as means ± SEM, n = 12 slices from 12 animals for each group. Intrinsic properties were measured (Extended Data Fig. 5-1).
etiology that calcium dysregulation leads to dopamine neuronal stress in the G2019S LRRK2 PD.
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