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Abstract. The easternmost part of the Mediterranean corresponds to a tectonically complex region which is linked with the convergence between Africa and Eurasia. The tectonostratigraphic evolution of this region is poorly constrained because of the absence of exploration wells. Cyprus is a crucial area to assess the link between the tectonic deformation and the consequent sedimentation in the Northern Levant margin. Paleogene and Neogene basins in the southern part of Cyprus record the main tectonic events related to the convergence of Africa and Eurasia. The objective of this contribution is to investigate the timing and the mechanisms of basin deformation, as well as the sedimentary infill of basins located onshore Cyprus and finally resolve how their evolution is linked to the regional geodynamic events. Based on fieldwork studies we reconstructed the tectono-stratigraphic evolution of the Polis Basin and the Limassol Basin to propose a conceptual model for the evolution of the Northern Levant margin, in accordance with the main geodynamic events. It is expected that analysis of the Polis and Limassol depressions, and later comparison of them will also shed more lights on the impact of the substratum and how it is associated to the main tectonic events.

1 Introduction

Cyprus is located in the eastern corner of the Mediterranean Sea. It is 225 km long (E-W) and 95 km wide (N-S) and is bounded by the Cyprus Arc and Eratosthenes Seamount to the south and Turkey to the north (Fig. 1). Eratosthenes Seamount is the bathymetric expression of an isolated carbonate platform which appears to subduct beneath the Cyprus Arc (Papadimitriou et al., 2018; Robertson et al., 2012). The Cyprus Arc is a complex structure which records the opposite movement of African and Eurasian plates that extends from the Ionian islands of western Greece to Turkey, striking E-W (Glover and Robertson, 1998; Kinnaird, 2008; Robertson et al., 2009).

The opening and the closing of Neotethys are recorded onshore Cyprus by the formation and the subsequent obduction of the Troodos Ophiolites during the Late Cretaceous (Garfunkel, 1998, 2004; Robertson and Xenophontos, 1993; Stampfli and Borel, 2002). After the obduction of the Ophiolites, a series of smaller basins were formed (Kinnaird, 2008; Robertson et al., 2009). These basins are: (a) Mesaoria Basin; (b) Psematismenos Basin (PSB), (c) Limassol Basin (LB), and (d) Polis Basin (PB) (Fig. 2A).

Different scenarios attempt to describe the tectonic evolution of the region after the obduction of Troodos Ophiolites. These scenarios referred to as (a) the advance collision model which is associated with thrusting and folding onshore Cyprus since the late Eocene (Calon et al., 2005a, b); (b) the subduction and incipient collision scenario that suggests a northward dipping subduction zone to the south of Cyprus during the late Oligocene to early Miocene and a recent collision of the Cyprus Arc with Eratosthenes Seamount (Robertson et al., 2012); (c) strike-slip scenario which depicts that the obduction of the Troodos Ophiolites and the formation of the Neogene basins is controlled by sinistral strike-slip (Harrison, 2008); (d) thrust belt forward propagation model which suggests successive compressional pulses since the early Miocene and a change to strike-slip in the early Pliocene and/or Early Pleistocene due to the westward movement of the Anatolia microplate (Reiche et al., 2016; Robertson et al., 2012; Symeou et al., 2017).

This study is focused on the impact of tectonics on sedimentation during the Miocene and is based on sedimentological investigations that were undertaken during two field campaigns in the Polis and Limassol basins (Fig. 2A). The Limassol and Polis basins are bounded by a transverse zone which extends from the Kouklia village to the Xenopotamos River (Fig. 2A). Monnet (2005) has
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shown that this zone has a sigmoid geometry due to the existence of a sinistral component on two main groups of thrusts located to the north and the south of the Mamonia Suture Zone (Fig. 1). It is expected that through basis analysis (Polis and Limassol basins), will also shed light on the impact of the substratum how it is linked with the main tectonic events that are recorded onshore Cyprus.

2 Geological framework

Recent tectonic reconstructions of the Eastern Mediterranean illustrated that different tectonic events influenced the island of Cyprus (Harrison et al., 2004; Kinnaird, 2008). The first episode occurred during the Late Cretaceous with the subduction of the African Plate beneath the Eurasian Plate and subsequent obduction of the Troodos Ophiolites (Dilek and Sandvol, 2009; Hawie et al., 2013; Robertson, 2008; Robertson et al., 2009, 2012).

The emplacement of the Troodos Ophiolites was succeeded by their juxtaposition with the Mamonia Complex (Bailey et al., 2000). The mechanism of the juxtaposition of the two complexes – Troodos Ophiolites and Mamonia Complexes – is still debated, and several models have been proposed (Bailey et al., 2000; Lapierre et al., 2007; Malpas et al., 1992, 1993; Robertson and Woodcock, 1979). Paleontological results of sediments that pre- and post date this tectonic event indicate that the juxtaposition ended during the Maastrichtian (Bailey et al., 2000).

From the late Maastrichtian until the late Eocene, Cyprus was covered by deep marine sediments rich in planktonic foraminifera and calcareous nanofossils, which correspond to the first three members of the Lefkara Formation (Kähler and Stow, 1998; Kinnaird, 2008; Robertson, 1977; Fig. 3). The Lefkara Formation is subdivided into four (4) geological units: (a) the Lower Marl Unit consists of pinkish marls, (b) the Chalk and Chert Unit, (c) the Chalk Unit, and (d) the Upper Marl Member which is composed of marly cherts (Kähler and Stow, 1998; Fig. 3). Robertson (1977) identified some in-situ benthic foraminifera in the upper levels of this unit and proposed a gradual shallowing of the area during the late stages of Eocene (Fig. 3).

The transition between the Lefkara (Paleogene) and the overlying Pakhna (Miocene) formations is highly connected the collision between the African and the Eurasian plates (Dercourt et al., 1986; Jolivet and Faccenna, 2000). In some places, there is a gradual change in the depositional environment, whereas in others the transition is marked by erosional surfaces (Follows, 1992, 1996). Pakhna Formation shows a lateral change in facies from reefs to hemipelagic and turbiditic deposits (Eaton and Robertson, 1993; Payne and Robertson, 1995; Fig. 3). The reefs referred to as the Terra and the Koronia members and can be found at the base and the top of the Miocene succession (Young et al., 2006; Follows, 1992, 1996; Fig. 3). Early Miocene reefs grew as upstanding patches under a relatively deep and calm sea, on isolated carbonate shelves (Young et al., 2006; Follows, 1992) and consist of several coral frame-stones (e.g., faviids sp. and Porites sp.). In contrast, the Koronia Member is a bindstone that is comprised of monospecific, laminar poritid corals (Follows, 1996) and
**Fig. 2.** [A] Simplified geological map of Cyprus illustrating the distribution of the basement terranes: the Troodos Ophiolites in purple, the Mamonia Complex in brown, the Keryneia Range in grey – and sedimentary cover and the main sedimentary basins. A distinction is made between circum-Troodos and circum-Keryneia sediments. Abbreviations as follows: AL – Akrotiri Lineament; YFS – Yerasa Fault System; OFS – Ovgos Fault System; ATFS – Arakapas Transform Fault; PFS – Pafos Fault System; LB – Limassol Basin; PIB – Pissouri Basin; PSB – Psematismenos Basin; PB – Polemi Basin (modified from Kinnaird, 2008); [B] Overview of the dataset used for the present study.

| Location        | Dataset        | Coordinates of the main studied location | Elevation | Total thickness | Purpose                                      |
|-----------------|----------------|-----------------------------------------|-----------|----------------|----------------------------------------------|
| Polis Basin     | Field section  | 34°55'33.81"N; 32°24'57.09"E           | 340 m     | 320 m          |                                              |
| Structural Unit 1 | Wells          | (1994/V18) 32°21'51.53"E; 34°53'43.23"N | 200 m     | 180 m          |                                              |
|                 |                | (1994/V10) 32°22'1.09"E; 34°54'0.786"N | 360 m     | 200 m          |                                              |
| Structural Unit 2 | Field section  | 35°1'36.80"N; 32°19'39.60"E           | 240 m     | 280 m          |                                              |
| Structural Unit 3 | Wells          | (2004/015) 32°26'4.29"E; 34°56'20.24"N | 520 m     | 150 m          |                                              |
|                 |                | (1980/076) 34°58'3.48"N; 32°28'3.12"E | 180 m     | 300 m          |                                              |
| Structural Unit 4 | Field section  | 34°58'36.30"N; 32°28'24.60"E           | 700 m     | 240 m          |                                              |
| Limassol Basin  | Field section  | 34°39'50.48"N; 32°38'13.30"E           | 20 m      | 350 m          | Dating facies analysis of the Cenozoic interval and hiatuses identification |
| Paramali Section | Field section  | 34°41'12.51"N; 32°41'24.64"E           | 100 m     |                |                                              |
|                 |                | 34°45'14.42"N; 32°41'19.12"E           | 20 m      |                |                                              |
| Koiilani Section B | Field section | 34°50'15.52"N; 32°51'31.12"E           | 300 m     | 900 m          |                                              |
Pakhna Formation is overlain by Messinian deposits (Kalavasos Formation) which are exposed in localized depocenters such as Polemi, Pissouri, and Psematismenos, and they consist of alternating sapropels, marls and carbonates (Manzi et al., 2016; Figs. 2 and 3). Finally, the evaporitic sequence is succeeded by the calcarenites and the relatively deep-water marls of the Nicosia Formation, which in turn passes into stacked fluvial deposits that consist of well-bedded gravels, flood deposits, and palaeosols (Kinnaird, 2008; Figs. 2 and 3).

---

**Fig. 3.** A synthesized chronostratigraphic chart with the main lithologies onshore Cyprus (modified from Kinnaird, 2008).

was developed in a shallower sea with varying turbulence (Fig. 2B).

Pakhna Formation is overlain by Messinian deposits (Kalavasos Formation) which are exposed in localized depocenters such as Polemi, Pissouri, and Psematismenos, and they consist of alternating sapropels, marls and carbonates (Manzi et al., 2016; Figs. 2 and 3). Finally, the evaporitic sequence is succeeded by the calcarenites and the relatively deep-water marls of the Nicosia Formation, which in turn passes into stacked fluvial deposits that consist of well-bedded gravels, flood deposits, and palaeosols (Kinnaird, 2008; Figs. 2 and 3).
3 Methodology

In order to propose a conceptual model which illustrates the major geodynamic events and their impact on sedimentary processes, fieldwork in the southern part of Cyprus was carried out. The field campaigns were focused on the description of the lithology and the stratigraphic contacts between the Paleogene and Miocene formations (Figs. 2 and 4). During the fieldwork six (6) composite sedimentary logs were completed in the two areas of interest and sixty-five (65) sediment samples were recovered (Fig. 2B). The locations of logging were thoroughly chosen based on accessibility, dating requirements (to constrain the Upper Cretaceous to Pliocene rock successions), and the variety of the facies attested during the Miocene. Thirty-five (35) samples were used for dating to have a better time constraint regarding the continuity of stratigraphic contacts and the sedimentary facies extent (Fig. 2B). In particular nanofossil analyses involved counting all specimens within a standard traverse (60 fields of view at ×1000 magnification) as well as scanning of the rest of the slide for rare species. Each of the samples is dated using only refined versions of the “standard” published biostatigraphic zonation schemes (Martini, 1971; Sissingh, 1977, 1978). Moreover, petrography studies have been conducted to examine the microtextural features of the studied rock interval. For petrographic studies, sixty-five (65) thin sections were prepared and studied with a polarizing microscope at 1250 magnifications, following the Dunham’s classification. Also, the fieldwork was supported by hydrogeological, exploration and geotechnical boreholes which are drilled by the Geological Survey Department of Cyprus (GSD) and provide subsurface data on borehole chips or boreholes cores. These drillings resulted in borehole data ranging up to 300 m that are used here in order to document the basement of the Polis Basin. Out of the provided data (courtesy of GSD), four boreholes were chosen and analyzed for the purposes of this study (Fig. 4).

4 Results

Five (5) facies associations are identified onshore Cyprus from the Late Cretaceous until the Pliocene period. Each of the defined facies allowed us to define the characteristics for the formations recognized onshore Cyprus and portray the architecture of Polis and Limassol basins since the Paleogene.

4.1 Facies analysis

4.1.1 Intertidal deposits

Facies association 1

Fa 1A

Description. Fa 1A is characterized by an alternation of clays (0.2 m) with cross-bedded (0.2 m), bioclastic, dolomitized grainstones (Fig. 5.1). The laminated clays show traces of mud-cracks and contain flat pebbles (Fig. 5.1B), while at the base of the section, the grainstones show traces of mega ripples (Fig. 5.1D).

Interpretation. This facies refers tidal-flat deposits which are mainly found in the intertidal zone. Exposures of this facies are mainly located along the northern parts of Limassol Basin (34°43’19.76”N; 33°1’2.19”E).

Fa 1B

Description. Fa 1B consists of massive, parallel beds of coarse-grained, bioclastic grainstones, alternating with bioturbated wackestones rich in benthic foraminifera (Figs. 5.2B and C). Bioturbation is occasionally identified and corresponds to Thalassinoides burrows (Eaton and Robertson, 1993).

Interpretation. The grain textures of these rock units, as well as the traces of Thalassinoides, suggest a shallow, high-energy environment and more particular it represents sand shoal deposits (Table 1). The bioclastic material was mostly derived from patch reefs. In contrast, the allochthonous calcarenites have been transported from the Troodos Ophiolites and/or the Mamonia Complex (Follows, 1992, 1996; Eaton and Robertson, 1993). Exposures of this facies are mainly located along the northern parts of Polis (34°47’16.07”N; 32°54’53.99”E) and Limassol basins (34°43’19.76”N; 33°1’2.19”E).

4.1.2 Barrier reef/open shelf deposits

Facies association 2

Fa 2A

Description. Fa 2A corresponds to rudstone/boundstone interval with corals, red algae and bivalves (Fig. 2A) associated with bioclastic packstone (red algae and bivalve debris). The primary frame builders of this unit are the Porites sp. (Fig. 6.1B) which in some cases are abundant while in others their number decreases towards the top of the sequence, and they are replaced by Poritopsis sp. Secondary frame builders found in grainstone and rudstone textures (Fig. 6.1C) include red algae and small benthic foraminifera (miliolids, nummulitids, echinoderms, bivalves).

Interpretation. The presence of the in-situ corals (i.e., Porites sp.) reveals that these sediments deposited in a very shallow and protected euphotic environment (Fig. 6.1B and Table 1). Usually, this facies has been recognized in circular shape structures attaining a width of 100 m and a thickness of 80 m and has been described to present coral reefs (Fig. 6.1A).

Fa 2B

Description. Fa 2B facies presents grainstone grading into wackestones rich in red algae (Fig. 6.2B [1]) benthic foraminifera (Fig. 6.2B, i.e., [2] crinoids and [3] Lepidocyclina) and coral debris. Incisions of structureless rudstone units (1–2 m thick), with abundant bioclasts, bioturbation, benthic foraminifera (Fig. 6.2C; [4] miliolids) appear to cut this wackestone to grainstones beds.

Interpretation. This facies indicates a high-energy environment and the abundant red algae and coral fragments suggest a reef front setting (Fig. 6.2). Reef front deposits have been observed in a small distance from in-situ reefs of early Miocene age (i.e., Burdigalian, Terra Member), mainly in the Polis Basin (34°53’27.35”N, 32°21’56.77”E).
Fig. 4. Simplified geological map of the western part of Cyprus showing the main geologic formations with their ages (adapted from Cyprus Geological Survey Department, 1995).
Fig. 5. [1] (A) Studied outcrop near Koilani village (34°50′15.52″N; 32°51′31.12″E); (B) Thin bedded bioclastic grainstones; (C) Photomicrographs (PPL – Plane Polarized) of packstone, grainstones with abundant benthic and pelagic foraminifera; (D) Traces of mega-ripples in a bioclastic grainstone; [2] (A) Studied outcrop of the upper Pakhna Formation in the eastern part of Limassol Basin (34°43′19.76″N; 33°1′2.19″E); (B) Grainstones interbedded with wackestones; the base of the calcarenitic unit is composed of allochthonous grains; (C) Bioturbated w/p grading to grainstone; (D) Photomicrograph (PPL – Plane Polarized) of calcarenite with shell debris and quartz.
Table 1. Summary of the facies associations’ description and proposed depositional environments for the Upper Cretaceous to Upper Miocene rock interval of southern Cyprus.

| Facies associations       | Facies description                                                                 | Depositional environment |
|---------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------|
| Restricted shallow marine | Fa 1 A Early dolomitized bioclastic grainstone with current ripples and mega-ripples alternated by bioturbated wackestone with some bioclasts and mud cracks.  
B Alternation of bioclastic grainstone rich in miliolids and some lepidocyclina with bioturbated bioclastic wackestone to packstone. | Tidal Flat               |
| Barrier reef              | Fa 2 A Boundstone/bafflestone with red algae debris, corals in place, Lepidocyclina and bioclasts.  
B Breccia (boundstone with red algae debris, coral debris, large benthic foraminifera (Lepidocyclina, bryozoans) occasional incisions. | Reef /Back-reef          |
| Open shelf deposits       | Fa 3 A Wackstones to packstones with an abundance of benthic foraminifera, and bioclasts. Erosional contact between the packstone and the wackestone beds. | Open shelf               |
| Slope deposits            | Fa 4 A Intercalation of grainstone packstone beds (highly bioturbated) with marls.  
B Large contorted fragments of laminated marls and carbonates 3, 5 m thick.  
C Bioclastic grainstones which are fining upwards and intercalated with a marly laminated unit including benthic foraminifera. | Slope Deposits/ turbidites MTCs Toe of the upper slope (contourites) |
| Basin                     | Fa 5 A Mudstone to wackestone with bioclasts, pelagic, traces of zoophycos. | Basinal setting          |

Facies association 3
Fa 3A

Description. Fa 3A consists of highly-bioturbated packstones, intercalated with less bioturbated wackestones (Fig. 6.3). Both packstones and wackestones are rich in benthic (2) crinoids (3) Lepidocyclina and planktonic foraminifera ([6] Globigerina; Fig. 6.3C) as well as shell debris (Fig. 6.3). Red algae debris is only occasionally present (Fig. 6.3D), whereas coral fragments are absent. The variety of fauna in these textures (bivalves, and benthic foraminifera, such as (Fig. 6.3D [3] Lepidocyclina and [2] crinoids), indicate normal salinities and, thus, open-shelf conditions (Table 1).

Interpretation. This facies is interpreted as open shelf deposits which is mainly exposed in the Pegoia region (i.e., in the western side of the Polis Basin 34°53’10.57”N, 23°20’52.2”E).

4.1.3 Slope-deep marine deposits

Facies association 4

Fa 4A

Description. Fa 4A is represented by alternations of bioturbated marlstone with packstones (Figs. 7.1A and B). This unit is highly-bioturbated, with abundant foraminifera (benthic and planktonic) and shell debris (Fig. 7.1C). The packstones are cross-bedded and have sharp contacts with the laminated marls (Figs. 7.1A and B).

Interpretation. The erosional surface at the base of the grainstones and their gradual upward fining suggest that this sedimentary facies corresponds to proximal slope turbiditic deposits. However, the absence of water escape structures and sole-marks, suggest that these sediments correspond to calciturbidites (Table 1). Previous works have defined that these sediments are Pakhna Formation equivalents and are mainly exposed in the Limassol Basin (Eaton and Robertson, 1993; Kinnaird, 2008).

Fa 4B

Description. Fa 4B consists of bioclastic grainstones, which fine upwards, and are intercalated with laminated marls (Figs. 7.2A and B). The whole unit is highly-bioturbated and contains abundant planktonic foraminifera (Fig. 7.2A) and is found in the small cyclic sequences (Fig. 7.2C). Moreover, the grainstones are cross-bedded, while the sedimentary structures faint upwards.

Interpretation. The description given for these deposits, seem to agree with the description that was proposed by Stow and Lovell (1979) and Stow et al., (2002) for contourite systems (Table 1). Kähler and Stow (1998) have shown strong evidence for deposition of contourites from the early (eastern part of the island) and late Oligocene (western part of the island) until the early Miocene.
Fig. 6. [1] (A) Reef limestones found near the Androlykou quarry (35°30'0.91"N; 32°23'7.70"E); (B) Photograph showing corals that are probably in place; (C) Photograph showing coral fragments in a boundstone; [2] (A) Photograph showing a studied outcrop of reef front deposits in the Pegeia region (34°53'27.35"N, 32°21'56.77"E); (B) Photomicrograph (PPL – Plane Polarized) of a packstone to grainstone with benthic foraminifera ([1] red algae cross-section; [2] crinoids; [3] Lepidocyclina; [4] miliolids) (C) Photomicrographs (PPL – Plane Polarized) of (C) Packstone with medium to low porosity; [3] (A) Studied outcrop of open shelf deposits (Fa 3A) in the Pegeia region (34°53'10.57"N; 23°20'52.2"E); (B) Wackestone with some bioturbation; (C, D) Photomicrographs (PPL – Plane Polarized) of (C) Packstone with medium to low porosity ([2] crinoids; [3] Lepidocyclina; [6] Globigerina planktonic foraminifera); (D) Packstone with benthic foraminifera ([3] Lepidocyclina; [4] miliolids; [5] red algae).
Fig. 7. [1] (A) Photograph showing a turbidite system in the Limassol Basin (34°48'23.30"N; 32°47'29.49"E); (B) Wackestone grading to packstone; (C) Highly bioturbated mudstone grading to packstone; [2] (A) Micritic non-porous mudstone, rich in pelagic foraminifera; (B) Cross-bedded grainstones fining to marlstones; (C) Mudstone overlain by gross bedded grainstones suggesting the initiation of a new cycle; [3] (A) Panoramic view of the MTCs identified along the Limassol-Pafos highway (34°45'21.30"N; 34°45'21.30"E); [4] (A) Studied outcrop of basinal sediments near Monagri village (34°47'16.67"N; 32°54'53.99"E); (B) Alternations of mudstone/wackestones with packstones; (C) Highly bioturbated mudstone; (D) Photomicrograph (PPL – Plane Polarized) of wackestone with benthic and planktonic foraminifera.
Fa 4C
Description. Fa 4C is described by chaotic intervals, usually 3.5 m thick, which are composed of large, contorted fragments of laminated marls (Fig. 7.3). These chaotic intervals, pinch out on both sides and are characterized by a basal shear zone (30–150 m long), as well as upward curved or stepped margins, indicating several distinct lobes.

Interpretation. The Fa 4C has been interpreted as Mass Transport Complexes (MTCs; Eaton and Robertson, 1993; Lee and Stow (2007); Lord et al., 2009). The MTCs have mainly been identified in the western and eastern sides of the Limassol Basin. In the western part of the Limassol Basin the MTCs are found along the recently constructed Limassol-Pafos highway (Fig. 7.3; 34°41′34.66″N; 32°51′53.47″E) as well as in an outcrop 4 km north from Anogryva village (34°45′13.72″N; 32°41′12.99″E). Biostratigraphy results from Lee and Stow (2007), Lord et al., (2009) and Kinnaird (2008) have shown that the MTCs are interbedded with late Miocene sediments.

Fa 5A
Description. This facies consists of thin, alternating beds of wackestone and marls, interbedded with packstones (Fig. 7.4A). All of the packstones and wackestones are highly bioturbated, with benthic and planktonic foraminifera and bioclasts (Figs. 7.4B–D).

Interpretation. The bioturbation found in these textures is characterized by Zoophycos, Chondrites and Planolites suggesting a deep-water setting (e.g., open shelf; 700–1100 m; Eaton and Robertson, 1993). This facies is exposed in several outcrops in Limassol Basin and marks the most common appearance of Pakhna equivalent. These outcrops are also described by Eaton and Robertson, (1993) and are referred to as Basin-plain Association. In particular, they described these sediments as off-white with a high planktonic/benthic foraminifera ratio (i.e., 85–95%; Eaton, 1987; Eaton and Robertson, 1993).

4.2 Architecture of the basins in southern Cyprus
Through the sedimentological, the structural observations as well as spatial distribution of the facies association we propose a new tectonostratigraphic framework of these two basins and attest the different controlling factors (such as tectonics, eustatism, and paleoenvironmental conditions), which contribute into the geological evolution of the southern part of Cyprus.

4.2.1 Polis Basin
The Polis Basin is located in the westernmost part of Cyprus (Figs. 2 and 4). To the west, the topography of the basin (i.e., the Structural unit 1 – Pegeia region) is controlled by N-S trending thrusts (Fig. 4). Similarly, to the north (i.e., Structural unit 2-Akamas Peninsula), the late Miocene reefs are occasionally sitting on top of the Mesozoic sediments (Fig. 4). The largest Structural unit 3 in the Polis Basin is referred to as “Polis Graben” and corresponds to an asymmetrical depression NNW–SSE oriented that is approximately 20 km at its widest point (Fig. 4). Finally, the Structural unit 4 is located along the eastern flank of Polis Graben along NW–SSE trending faults (Fig. 4).

Structural unit 1 (Pegeia region)
The Pegeia region is bounded to the northeast by the Kathikas Formation which is juxtaposed to the Quaternary marine terraces (Fig. 4). The boundary between the Lefkara and Pakhna formations found in this region is unconformable (Fig. 8.1). For instance, the Late Cretaceous sediments are overlain by the Miocene Formation while the Paleogene succession (Lefkara Formation), is locally absent (Figs. 8.1A–C). This contact also appears to be diachronous. In particular, towards the coastline, the early Miocene sediments rest unconformably on top of the Lefkara Formation (Fig. 8.1) while towards the east (i.e., Kathikas village; Fig. 4) the Lefkara Formation pinches on the slopes of the Kathikas Formation (Fig. 4).

Cretaceous
Swarbrick and Naylor, 1980 observed that the Cretaceous is (lowest part of the composite log in Fig. 8.1A) is composed of poorly sorted brownish to grey clasts supported by argillaceous matrix. The beds of this interval are 1–3 m thick, and they can be defined by the variation in the size of clasts and fabrics, or the interbeds of pelagic chalks (Fig. 8.1A). Coccolith fragments and foraminifera found within the pelagic chalks dated back to late Maastrichtian indicating pauses in debris flow sedimentation (Urquhart and Banner, 1994; Morse, 1996). We propose that these sediments are deposited in a deep marine bathyal setting as a result of gravitational forces.

Paleogene
The Cretaceous interval is overlain by a sequence which consists of alternations of wackestone to mudstone beds, with pelagic foraminifera (40–120 m, Fig. 8.1A) suggesting basinal deposits (Fa 5A). Biostratigraphy results have shown that these sediments were deposited during the early Eocene (Kühler, 1994).

Early Miocene
The Eocene–Miocene stratigraphic contact in the Pegeia region is occasionally erosive (Figs. 8.1B and C). The overlying unit (120–150 m, Fig. 8.1A) corresponds to reef front deposits (Fa 2B). This facies is occasionally cut off by channelized conglomerate sheets (1–2 m thick), with an abundance of red algae fragments and bioclasts and is found in several locations (near Kathikas Village: 34°53′45.58″N, 32°23′6.74″E; Pegeia village: 34°53′27.70″N; 32°21′16.46″E). The presence of the calcareous nannofossils such as Sphenolithus heteromorphus (restricted to Namafossil Zones NN5–NN4), Helicosphaera ampliaperta, and H. scissura confirms an early Miocene age (Burdigalian; NN4a).

In-situ early Miocene reefs (Fa 2A: Table 1) have been identified along the south-western coastline of Cyprus and near Androlykou village (Fig. 4). The majority of these reefs appear to be 50 m thick, with few signs of deformation (i.e., breccia).
Fig. 8. [1] (A) Sedimentary log measured in the Pegeia region showing the main sedimentary facies from the Late Cretaceous to late Miocene as well as the ages subdivisions deduced from biostratigraphic analysis; (B) Panoramic view of the contact between the Miocene (Pakhna Fm), Paleogene (Lefkara Fm) and Late Cretaceous (Kathikas Fm) sediments. The contact between the Miocene and the Cretaceous sediments is shown by the red line (34°55′33.81″N; 32°24′57.09″E); (C) Karstification identified near Kathikas village. [2] (A) Synthetic log showing the main lithologies (interpreted during the present study) recovered from of the Borehole 1980/076; (B) Messinian evaporites on top of Miocene neritic carbonates in the southern part of Polis Graben near Theletra village (34°54′36.40″N; 32°27′32.27″E). [3] (A) Sedimentary log illustrating the main facies and the depositional environments in the eastern flank of Polis Basin; Panoramic view of the Koronia Member sitting on top of Late Cretaceous sediments in the north-eastern flank of Polis Basin (34°59′59.59″N; 32°29′4.48″E); (B) Massive boundstones of late Miocene reef (Koronia Member); [4] Cross-section intersecting Polis Basin: (A) SW-NE cross-section intersecting the southern part of Polis Basin from Pegeia region to the Pelathousa village.
Middle Miocene
Reef front facies (Fa 2B) evolves into a 20 m-thick unit of open shelf deposits (Fa 3A; Fig. 6.2A). Open shelf deposits (Fa 3A) continue up to 280 m (Fig. 8.1A). Biostratigraphy results have shown that these sediments persist from the Langhian until the Tortonian and correspond to the Pakhna Formation. In the Pegeia region, these chalks are intercalated by reworked material of early Miocene age (Eaton and Robertson, 1993).

Structural unit 2 (Akamas Peninsula)
Located in the north-western part of Polis Basin, the Akamas Peninsula (Fig. 4) corresponds to a serpentinite belt which is found on top Mamonía Complex through a series of thrusts (Figs. 4 and 9.2; Monnet, 2005).

Cretaceous
The allochthonous rocks of the Mamonía Complex are characterized by volcanic breccias (Loutra tis Aphroditi Formation) sandstones (Vlampouros Formation and Akamas Sandstones) intercalated with pink calcilutites and radiolarian mudstones (Episkopi Formation; Fig. 4).

Miocene
On top of the grey Mesozoic sediments, reef limestones (Fa 2A) were identified (Fig. 9). The contact between the Upper Cretaceous unit and the Miocene reefs is marked by an erosional surface (Fig. 9). The reefs are 60 m thick and are mainly composed of boundstone intervals with corals (i.e., Porites sp.), and red algae (Fig. 9.1).

To the northern part of Polis Basin (35°1’36.80”N; 32°19’39.60”E), it has been noticed that the base of the reefs (0–10 m) consists of red algae fragments, corals, bioturbation and greyish beds of clay. Above this unit, irregular wavy poritid sheets are alternated with thin marl to packstone beds which could correspond to the Koronia Mb (Follows, 1992).

Structural unit 3 (Polis Graben)
In the “Polis Graben,” Pliocene shallow marine (Payne and Robertson, 1995), as well as Quaternary continental deposits are exposed (Poole and Robertson, 1991, 1998, 2000). Hence, to examine the transition from Pliocene to Miocene units a 260-m deep borehole 1980/076 has been investigated (Figs. 4, 8.2A and 8.4).

Miocene
The deepest unit in the borehole corresponds open shelf deposits (Fa 3A) of Miocene age (Fig. 8.2A). The presence of Cyclicaryolithus floridanus in the chalky limestone indicates a middle Miocene or older age (NN7a or older). Because some early Miocene age material is reworked, a younger age (middle Miocene) is preferred.
Messinian
The transition from the Pakhna to Kalavasos formations (Fig. 8.2A and B) is found at 220 m below ground level (bgl), where whitish marly chalks pass to the thinly laminated gypsum (Fig. 8.2B). The Messinian is presented by 50 m of gypsum that are found between 150–220 m bgl. Although the description of the borehole is only brief, outcrop evidence found in the center of the basin, reveal that the Kalavasos Formation is represented by parallel-laminated (Marmara) gypsum and massive fine-grained (alabaster) gypsum.

Pliocene
At 135–150 m bgl, a set of thinly bedded limestones with allochthonous pebbles marks the end of the MSC. These are succeeded by 130 m of grey marl with bivalves which indicate a shallow marine restricted environment (Fig. 8.2A).

Structural unit 4 (Pelathousa-Peristerona)
The eastern flank of the Polis Basin is referred to as Pelathousa-Peristerona block (Fig. 4) and is located 10 km to the east of the Akamas Peninsula (Fig. 4). Geological observations have shown that Troodos Ophiolites vanish towards the west (Figs. 4 and 8) whereas late Miocene reefs are exposed and rest on top of the volcanioclastic sediments of Kanavio Formation (Figs. 4 and 8.4).

Paleogene
The Paleogene is not exposed, but field observations from the eastern flank of the basin allowed us to predict that this unit is composed of chalk alternated with cherts indicating a deep marine setting (Fa 5B and Fa 5C).

Miocene
The lower part of the Miocene interval is investigated near Evretou dam (Figs. 8.3A and 8.4; 34°38′36.30″N, 32°28′24.60″E). It is composed of alternating highly bioturbated bioclastic sand shoal deposits (Fig. 8.3A). The alternation of high sand and low energy facies might correspond to small cycles that indicate flooding and shallowing of the region. Based on the presence of Cyclicaragolithus floridanus (NN7a or older) and the absence of Plectocylindrus masturoides, we propose that these layers represent a Serravallian sequence.

Moving upwards in the sequence, we observed that the Miocene unit is composed of massive reefal limestones (Fa 2A and 2B). The base of the second sequence is found in the Pliocene sediments (Fig. 4). The Akrotiri High is trending in the same direction with the Yerasa Fault System and has been described as a thrust system controlled by a basement high (McCallum et al., 1993). To the west, the Limassol Basin is separated from Polis Basin by a transverse zone which extends along the Xeropotamos River (Fig. 4).

Stratigraphic architecture of Limassol Basin
The south-western margin of the Limassol Basin extends from the Paramali village up to the Petra tou Romiou (Fig. 4) where white chalks (referred to as Lefkara Formation) overlies brownish siliciclastic and volcanoclastic sediments (referred to as Mammalia Complex). The basinal deposits of Paleogene age, in turn, are covered by rudstone breccias with occasional coral fragments (Fig. 10A). Although no contact has been found between the Paleogene and the Miocene formations, we assume that this abrupt change in the lithology is also marked by a sharp erosional surface.

Based on the composite logs constructed for the southern and the northern part of the Limassol Basin for the Miocene sequence six stratigraphic sequences have been recognized, (Figs. 10B and C). The base of each cycle corresponds to either an erosional surface or the transition of very shallow facies (Fa 2, i.e., coral reefs) into slope deposits (turbiditic deposits; Fa 4). In particular, the first sequence is only present along the southern coast of Cyprus and is represented by shoal deposits. To the north (Koilani composite log) and to the east this sequence is marked by an erosional surface on top of the deep marine sediments of the Lefkara Formation (Fig. 10C).

The base of the second sequence is found in the Paramali section is topped by open-shelf deposits (Fa 3A), whereas in the Koilani section, by peritidal deposits. Further up in the Paramali section, this cycle is divided into three sub-cycles. These sub-cycles represent deepening and shallowing events, with the alternation of open-shelf (Fa 3A) and reefal deposits (Fa 2A). Mainly to the south, the base of sub-cycles 1a, 1b, and 1c are marked at the top of the coral reef facies and represent the transition from barrier reef setting to open-shelf and deeper environments. In the Koilani section, the elementary cycles are absent, and shoal sands (Fa 1B) are succeeded by open-shelf (Fa 3A) deposits (Fig. 10C). The Maximum Flooding Surface (MFS) in both sections are marked by thin, chalky intervals, which coarsen upwards and end with coral reefs to the south and bioclastic sands to the north and the east. To the south, these cycles account for 110 m thickness of shallow carbonates, whereas to the north and the east there are only 50 and 60 m, respectively (Fig. 10B).

The initiation of the third sequence in the southern part of the Limassol Basin is recorded by the transition of shallow marine facies (Fa 3) into slope and deep marine sediments (Fa 4 and Fa 5). This change in the depositional environment is well represented in the south (i.e., the Paramali section), where coral reefs (Fa 2A) are overlain by turbidites (Fa 4A) and pelagic sediments (Fa 5), which in turn are cut off by MTCs (Fa 4C). The same facies have been observed to the north and the east (Fig 10B) suggesting an overall drowning. Slope deposits, which are cut off by the Pliocene sediments (Fig. 4).
MTCs, record the transgressive systems tract, whereas the MFS is marked by the transition to a deeper setting. Regarding the thickness, this cycle accounts for 180 m of sediments to the south, whereas the northern and eastern parts have 40 and 50 m, respectively, indicating a migration of the depocenter further to the south. The top of this sequence is marked by an erosional surface below a remarkable MTCs (Fig. 10B). Further deepening was recorded in the fourth sequence which to the north is characterized only by deformed micritic carbonates.

The following highstand systems tract is represented by the transition of slope to open-shelf deposits (Fa 3A), which progressively, evolves, into bioclastic sands. To the north and east, the slope deposits (i.e., MTCs) pass into open shelf (Fa 3A) and relatively shallower sediments. Sea-level fall and/or uplift can explain the progressive shallowing of the basin to the south. In this case, the highstand systems tract and normal regression evolved as a forced regression. The continuous deposition of shallow marine sediments observed to the north and east excludes this hypothesis.

The base of the fifth sequence corresponds to another transgressive surface, above the bioclastic sand to the south, and below the first turbiditic interval in the northern and eastern parts of the basin. In particular, to the south, this surface records a further deepening of the area and deposition of shallow marine sediments. To the north and east, this cycle is characterized by slope deposits (Fa 4). The end of this cycle is recorded by the formation of coral reef

Fig. 10. (A) Synthetic cross-section of Limassol Basin. Synthesized measured and interpreted log sections of key exposures of the Miocene succession in Limassol Basin: (B) Paramali Composite sedimentary log and (C) Koilani composite sedimentary log. They represent an N-S transect to the western part of the Limassol Basin (see the location of the logs in Fig. 4)
to the south, and bioclastic sands bars (Fa 1B) to the north and east (Figs. 10B and C).

The sixth sequence is marked by drowning of the coral reefs and the bioclastic sands to the south and the north-east respectively. It seems that the entire basin was filled up with shallow-marine/open-shelf deposits. The following highstand, recorded in the basin by the transition of open-shelf deposits (Fa 3A) into bioclastic bars with some patches of coral reef to the south (Fa 2A), and another bar of bioclastic sands (Fa 1B) to the north and east (Figs. 10B and C). The bioclastic sands (Fa 1B) to the south prograde towards the NE whereas those that are deposited to the eastern part of the basin prograde towards the NW. The thickness of this cycle varies from the south to the north. In particular, to the south, these sediments are 180 m thick, whereas the northern and the eastern parts account for only 60 m of sediments.

5 Discussion

5.1 Reconstruction of Polis Basin since the Cretaceous

New offshore studies west of the Polis Basin, based on seismics and fault plane solution indicate a strike-slip structure termed the Paphos Transform Fault (Papazachos and Papadimitriou, 1999). These results are in contrast with the proposed models by Payne and Robertson (1995, 2000), thus prompting a re-evaluation and the proposal of a new conceptual model that explains the structures and the deformation encountered during the field campaigns in Cyprus.

The early phase of sedimentation in the Polis Basin occurred in the late Maastrichtian (Kähler and Stow, 1998) and is marked by pelagic sediments of the Lefkara Formation. These sediments are found directly on top of the Mesozoic (Fig. 11A). It is assumed that the Polis Basin was part of a deep basin (Fig. 11A) with the nearest land being further north and represented by the Taurus Mountains of southern Turkey (Robertson and Fleet, 1976; Robertson et al., 1991, 2012).

From the late Oligocene to the early Miocene, the Eastern Mediterranean experienced a regional uplift coeval to a long-lived lowstand (Haq et al., 1988) which might be also linked to the collision of the African and Eurasian plates (Dercourt et al., 1986; Robertson, 1998a, b; Jolivet and Faceeenna, 2000; Dargahi et al., 2010). The inferred uplift in the Polis area is identified by blind thrusts and karstification on top of the Lefkara Formation that is exposed locally, near the Kathikas village (Fig. 11B). It seems that the Upper Cretaceous thrust activity at the Troodos Ophiolites propagated further to the south-west near Kathikas village and thus a new basin was formed (Fig. 11B).

During its early stages, the Polis Basin was characterized by reefal (Fa 2A) and reef front (Fa 2B) sediments that evolved into open shelf deposits (Fa 3A; Fig. 11B). Cross-sections in the basin portray the accurate pattern of the early Miocene reefs which in turn reflects the topography of western Cyprus during that time (Fig. 4). These reefs (i.e., Terra Member) were mainly formed on the basin margins. Reworked material from Cretaceous (Kathikas Fm) and Oligocene (Lefkara Fm) formations are found in the early Miocene sediments depicting a tectonically active period. The reef front facies were identified in an NW-SE trending zone parallel to the linear trend of the reef patches (Fig. 11B). Although there are no signs for the direction of the paleoslope, the borehole data suggest that the high-energy reef front sediments evolved into low energy shelf deposits in the center of the Polis Graben. During the Langhian, a global sea-level rise occurred (Haq et al., 1988; Hawie et al., 2013). In the Polis Basin, the bioclastic shallow marine sediments with reef front and reefal facies were succeeded by open shelf deposits (Fig. 11C).

Microscale analysis revealed that the middle Miocene sediments were floored with reworked early Miocene material (i.e., C. fenestratus, Z. bijugatus, and S. dissimilis).

Sea-level rise alone cannot explain the deepening of this region, and it is unlikely that no tectonic activity was involved in the sedimentary evolution of the basin during this period. Payne and Robertson (2000), suggest that the dominant deformation mechanism was associated with an extensional regime expressed by large normal faults which bound of the basin flanks. This period of extension may be associated with slab rollback along the northward subduction of the African plate during the Miocene. Indeed, during our field studies, we observed east of the Kathikas high/plateau NNW-SSE normal faults cutting Pahkna sediments (Fig. 4). These faults have been interpreted by Monnet (2005) as pronounced escarpments associated with gravitational forces and not as deep structures.

A possible mechanism for the subsidence of the basin can be the tilting of its basement which might have occurred due to the SW propagation of the thrust belt and the contemporaneous uplift of the southern slopes of the Troodos Mountains. As a consequence, some gravitational faults were created in the center of the basin which was covered by relatively deeper sediments. In contrast, the north-eastern flank of the basin (near the slopes of Troodos Mountains) was covered by sand shoal deposits which were prograding to the south-west (Fig. 11C).

Nevertheless, the local accommodation space in piggyback basins can be created by out of phase thrust activity and the subsequent migration of the depocenter (Zoetemeijer et al., 1993; Chanvry et al., 2018). Usually, the depocenters in piggyback basins migrate towards to the foredeep as a result of the propagation of the thrust belt. Out of phase thrusting (back thrust) can lead to the migration of the depocenter towards the inner land. Thus, further investigation should be undertaken in order to examine the mechanisms of subsidence during this period.

During the late Tortonian, reefs (Koronia Member) colonized the eastern and the western flanks of the Basin (Fig. 11D). The development of the Koronia Member should be connected with a late Miocene thrust activity which propagated towards the SW (Pafos Thrust) and/or the sea-level drop before the MSC (Haq et al., 1988). This thrust activity is thought to be ceased during this period since the thrust faults in the Pelathousa Region are sealed by the Koronia Member (Fig. 11D).
In the eastern flank, no extensional traces prior to Pleistocene have been identified. Nevertheless, the presence of Messinian evaporites on top of the neritic carbonates of Pakhna Formation as well as the thick sequence (150 m) of Pliocene marine sediments in the center of the basin (Payne and Robertson, 1995), led us to propose the formation of a N-S asymmetrical depression during the post-Tortonian period (Fig. 8.4). This NW-SE depression formed...
within the present-day Polis valley (Structural unit 3; Fig. 8.4; Follows, 1992; Payne and Robertson, 1995) and was controlled by normal gravitational faults that created during the tilting of the basement due to the propagation of the thrust belt (Fig. 11E).

Field observations have shown that the Kalavasos Formation (Messinian evaporites) is absent from the northern part of the Polis Graben (Kinnaird, 2008). In addition, at the eastern and the western flanks of the graben, the Miocene carbonates are directly overlain by the Pleistocene marls and gypsum in the boreholes (Fig. 8.2).

During the Pliocene, the deposition of evaporites was followed by the inundation of the basin with sea waters (Robertson, 1998a; Bowman, 2011; Hawie et al., 2013; Gorini et al., 2015). The increased thickness of the Nicosia Formation towards the center of the Polis Graben (Figs. 8.4 and 9.1) and its absence from the Pegaia region and Akamas Peninsula reflects the infill of the existing basin (Fig. 4).

The deposition of shallow water calcarenites within the Polis Graben during the late Pliocene and early Pleistocene combined with the non-deposition elsewhere reflects the first stages of the general uplift of Cyprus (Payne and Robertson, 1995; Kinnaird, 2008). The continuous shallowing during this time can be linked with the southward propagation of the thrust activity and thus the migration of the depocenter towards the foredeep. It is assumed that the youngest thrust-sheets are located offshore and are the equivalent of the Cyprus Arc.

5.2 Reconstruction of Limassol Basin

Based on biostratigraphic results and field observations, it is suggested that the Limassol Basin was created during the early to middle Miocene (Burdigalian – Langhian). During this time, compression and propagation of the thrust belt to the south, resulted in the initiation of several thrusts, in an NW-SE direction (i.e., the Yerasa and Akrotiri fault systems), bounding the Limassol Basin (Fig. 4). The sedimentary record shows an unconformity to the north of the basin, where basinal deposits (contourites) are directly overlain by intertidal sediments (Figs. 12A and B). This abrupt shallowing of the region marks an episode of uplift that on a regional scale, might be attributed to the position of Cyprus, in the complex zone of convergence between Africa and Eurasia (Robertson and Woodcock, 1986; Eaton and Robertson 1993). To the south, the basin was colonized by small, mounded bioherms, surrounded by reef-talus and shallow-water carbonates whereas to the north by bioclastic shoals (Figs. 12B and C; Stow et al., 1995). It is assumed that the reefs in the southern margin of the basin colonized the relief topography that was created by a blind thrust (referred to as Akrotiri High or Akrotiri Fault System; Fig. 4).

During the Tortonian, the Limassol Basin experienced significant subsidence and the Miocene reefs to the south as well as the bioclastic sands to the north were covered by slope to deep marine sediments (Fig. 12D). Progressive thickening of the Tortonian sediments, from north to south, suggests a southward migration of the depocenter (Fig. 12D). In particular, to the south, 500 m of slope to basinal deposits have been measured, whereas the northern part of the basin accounts for only 80 m of sediments. The subsidence of the basin can be explained by the thrust activity at the southern slopes of the Troodos Mountains. The early Miocene Yerasa Thrust System was still active during the Tortonian and as a basement thrust caused the displacement of the Troodos Ophiolites to the south and thus the thickening/stacking of the crust. Consequently, the northern part of the Limassol Basin was out of isostatic equilibrium and must have partially sunk. Therefore, the southern part of the basin which was underlined by relatively thin crust compared to the north, subsided, allowing further subsidence of the over lain sedimentary basin.

On the flanks of the basin, MTCs have been identified. Previous works suggest that the MTCs are oriented in east-southeast (Farrell and Eaton, 1987; Eaton and Robertson, 1993; Kinnaird, 2008), or in the east-west direction (Lord et al., 2009). During the present study, measurements along the Limassol-Pafos highway to the south (34°41′12.51″N, 32°41′24.64″E), and near Dora village to the north (34°45′14.42″N, 32°41′19.12″E), agree with Eaton’s (1987) results (Fig. 4). However, it is unlikely that the slope was dipping uniformly away from the Troodos Ophiolites, since Eaton and Robertson, (1993) noted two exceptions near Agia Fila and Happy Valley, depicting a palaeoslope direction towards the north (Fig. 4). Thus the direction of the slope must have also been controlled by a northward dipping structure probably located south of Cyprus. This structure could correspond to the Pafos Thrust (Monnet, 2005). Since the MTCs are the result of intense seismic activity (e.g., earthquakes) (Alves, 2015; Arfai et al., 2016; Guan et al., 2016) it is proposed that, during the Tortonian, the thrust belt propagated further to the south resulting in the initiation of the Pafos Thrust (Fig. 12E).

Before the Messinian, the Limassol Basin was filled with shallow marine sediments (Fig. 12E) and therefore the basin experienced an uplift probably associated with the southward propagation of the thrust belt. Along the eastern margin of the basin, bioclastic sand shoals began to prograde towards the NW, whereas to the south the bioclastic sands were prograding towards the NE (Figs. 10B and C).

5.3 A new model for the Cenozoic tectonostratigraphic evolution of Cyprus

Using the field data presented in this contribution, and recently published geophysical data (Welford et al., 2015; Reiche et al., 2016; Granot, 2016) a new paradigm for the tectonostratigraphic evolution of southern Cyprus since the Late Cretaceous can be proposed. A northward-dipping subduction zone is thought to have been initiated during the Turoanian, with the opposing movement of the Afro-Arabian and Eurasian plates, resulting in the formation and obduction of the Troodos Ophiolites (Bowman, 2011; Montadert et al., 2014). After their obduction (Campanian until the Maastrichtian), the
ophiolites juxtaposed with the Mamonia Complex (Fig. 13A; Bailey et al., 2000). At that time, westerly directed thrusting, at the slope of Troodos Mountains, and sinistral transpression along the Mamonia Suture Zone took place (Figs. 1 and 2). The transpressional movement is recognized by a transverse fault zone along the Xeropotamos River (Fig. 4). This fault zone defines the limit of the Mamonia Complex onshore Cyprus and has been interpreted as the prolongation of the Continental Oceanic Boundary (COB), that is identified offshore Cyprus (Figs. 1, 2 and 4; Granot, 2016).

From the Maastrichtian until the late Eocene, the Mediterranean was under deep marine conditions, with no evidence of ongoing plate convergence (Fig. 13B; Robertson et al., 2012). During the late Eocene, the convergent plate boundary migrated southwards, following the collision of the Keryneia Range with the Troodos Ophiolites (Fig. 13B; Robertson and Woodcock, 1986). The collision and the southward propagation of the thrust activity resulted in the submergence of the Keryneia Range and the subsequent development of an extensive submarine fan system (Robertson and Woodcock, 1986).
Fig. 13. Paleoreconstructions showing the evolution of southern Cyprus based on the forward propagation model.
In southern Cyprus, the sedimentation style shows a diachrony between the Limassol and Polis basins, suggesting a differential uplift. For instance, the southern margin of Polis Basin was controlled by thrust faults, while small reefs formed (Terra Member), suggesting southward propagation of the thrusts from the southern slopes of the Troodos Mountains towards the Kathikas village (Fig. 13B). On the other hand, in the Limassol Basin, a relatively deeper marine environment prevailed until the early Miocene (Fig. 13B). During the following period (Langhian – Serravallian), the Limassol Basin was under a shallow marine setting (Fig. 13C). Eaton and Robertson (1993) suggested that the uplifted area was controlled by southward verging thrusts (YFS) that are visible on the slopes of the Troodos Mountains.

Evidence of Late Miocene compressional deformation is documented in both basins. In the western flank of Polis Basin, an erosional surface between Late Miocene reefs and the Cretaceous pillow lavas depicts an early/Late Miocene movement along a thrust fault. In the eastern flank of the Polis Basin, the Pelathousa-Peristerona thrust was active as evidenced by the deposition of the Koronia Member reefs which are tilted towards the east and are in direct contact with the Campanian sediments (Fig. 13D). On the contrary, the Limassol Basin suffered by significant subsidence and deep marine sediments deposited in the southern part of the basin (Fig. 13D).

The collision between Eratosthenes Seamount and Cyprus Arc during the latest stages of the Miocene (Robertson, 1998b; Papadimitriou et al., 2018) resulted in a progressive shallowing of the two Neogene basins (Fig. 13E). During this time, pre-existing normal faults continued to control the central part of the Polis Basin, (Polis Graben) whereas, on its flanks, small patch reefs were developed (Fig. 11). In the Limassol Basin, the sedimentation was dominated by prograding bioclastic sands with some patch reefs in the eastern and the southern margins (Fig. 12D).

New Geophysical data that cover the northern part of the Levant Basin suggest that during the late Miocene compressional nature of the Latakia Ridge system changed to a sinistral strike-slip (Hall et al., 2005a, b). This change in the compressional regime between the two plates may have resulted in the westward propagation of the COB (Fig. 1) explaining the eastward migration of the apparent deformation observed onshore Cyprus (Fig. 13E).

The Plio-Pleistocene time is envisaged as a period of tectonic uplift (Sage and Letouzey, 1990; Orszag-Sperber et al., 1989; Eaton and Robertson, 1993; Robertson, 1998b; Kinnaird and Robertson, 2012). Evidence of this uplift has been found within the Polis and Limassol basins (Fig. 13F). In particular, late Pliocene and early Pleistocene shallow water calcarenites were observed in the center of the Polis Basin (i.e., Polis Graben) whereas its marginal areas were subaerially exposed (Fig. 13F; Payne and Robertson, 1995; Kinnaird, 2008).

5.4 Piggyback vs. flexural basins

Both Limassol and Polis basins were formed under a compressive regime (Figs. 11–14). The transition from one basin to the other was previously defined by a slightly oblique ramp outcropping from the coastline to the southern slopes of the Troodos Mountains, through the Xeropotamos River (Fig. 4) trending in a north-south direction (Monnet, 2005).

Kühler and Stow, (1998) suggested that gradual uplift and an increase in sediment input from the northeast can explain this lateral change in depositional environment between the two basins. The question that arises from this statement is: What might be the cause of the progressive and differential uplift between the two basins?

A good explanation would be given due to the structured part of the Polis Basin substratum associated with the collision of the Mamonia Complex with the Troodos Ophiolites (Bailey et al., 2000; Lapierre et al., 2007). However, this hypothesis does not explain the diachrony and the spatial distribution of Miocene thrusts alongside the Limassol and Polis basins (Figs. 14 and 15).

In the Polis Basin, the early Miocene thrust sheet extends from Mesogi to the Akamas Peninsula, whereas in the Limassol Basin, this thrust is referred to as Yerasa Fault System (Figs. 14 and 15). It is proposed that the two faults are connected through the transverse fault zone, across the Xeropotamos River (Fig. 4).

The Polis Basin is formed on top of the sedimentary cover of the Mamonia Complex (Fig. 14). In contrast, the Cenozoic sediments found in the Limassol Basin overly the rigid ophiolitic complex (Fig. 14). The nature of the substratum and the “subducting” crust between the two basins (oceanic in Polis and thin continental in Limassol) can explain the diachrony of the thrusts as well as the differences in intensity of the deformation style between the two. As one of a series of piggyback basins, the Polis system must have been formed in association with upper crust, thin-skinned NW-SE thrusts, detached from their basement along a decollement horizon (Zoetemeijer et al., 1993; Muńoz et al., 2013). It is expected that the decollement level of such thrusts is within the weak sediments of the Mamonia Complex (Fig. 14). In contrast, 500 m of Tortonian series in the Limassol Basin implies the local emplacement of a substantial lithospheric load to generate such rates of flexural subsidence.

Hence, thin-skinned vs. thick-skinned tectonics can explain the eastward increase (from 50 to 500 m) in the sedimentation, along the southern limits of the Polis and Limassol basins. The southward propagation of the thrust belt in both basins and the synchronization of the deformation is recorded by the Pafos Thrust (Fig. 15A). In the Polis Basin, the activity of this fault resulted in the uplift of the flanks and the subsequent tilting of the basement and thus the formation of Polis Graben. In contrast, in Limassol Basin, the initiation of Pafos Thrust is recorded by MTCs which cut the deep marine sediments. It has been postulated that the MTCs record also the collision of the Eratosthenes Carbonate platform with the Cyprus Arc (Papadimitriou et al., 2018).

A good analog of the Polis and Limassol basins would be the Graus-Tremp-Ainsa basins which are located in the southern Pyrenees (Chanvry et al., 2018). In particular, in the south Pyrenees, the easternmost basin (i.e., the Organya-Tremp-Ager sub-basins) is controlled by three
Fig. 14. Synthesized chronostratigraphic chart which shows the lithologies and the major hiatuses in Limassol and Polis basins with respect to the main geodynamics.
Fig. 15. [A] Geological map of southern Cyprus with the main structures that have been discussed during the present study; (A) Cross-section of Polis Basin; (B) Cross-section of Limassol Basin; CA – Cyprus Arc; PFS – Pafos Fault System; ATF – Arakapas Transform fault; PB – Polis Basin; LB – Limassol Basin; KF – Kathikas Thrust; [B] Sketch showing the main structural units of the central Pyrenees and the major anticlines of the Ainsa Oblique Zone at the western boundary of the major thrust in the central Pyrenees. Crustal cross-sections at both sides of the Ainsa Oblique Zone illustrate changes of the structural style along strike. AOZ – Ainsa Oblique Zone; A – Añisclo Anticline; B – Boltana Anticline; M – Mediano Anticline; C – Cotiella; PM – Peña Montañesa; SCU – South Pyrenean Central Unit; Bx – Boixoles; SM – Serres Marginals (modified from Muñoz et al., 2013).
follows:

...pertaining to the sedimentary filling and the structural graphic evolution of Cyprus has been achieved. The results based on fieldwork in southern Cyprus, and the review of the observed data is that of the forward propagation of the thrust belt is only related to crustal shortening which recorded the main geodynamics. The main thrusts that control the Limassol Basin are (a) the Yerasa Fault, (b) the Pafos Thrust and (c) the Cyprus Arc.

- The slightly oblique ramp (referred as a transversal zone along the Xeropotamos River) determines the transition between the shallow marine sediments deposited in Polis Basin and the slope to basinal setting observed in the Limassol Basin.
- The MTCs identified in the Limassol Basin are associated with an intense tectonic activity that occurred during the late Miocene. Similar MTCs, but with different scale have been identified to the eastern and the western sites of Eratosthenes Seamount offshore Cyprus.
- The integration of structural and sedimentological data to propose a reconstitution of the northern margin of the Cyprus Arc between Oligocene to late Miocene. The kinematic model that best matches all the observed data is that of the forward propagation model.
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