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ABSTRACT

Using atomic hydrogen (H I) data from the VLA Galactic Plane Survey we measure the H I terminal velocity as a function of longitude for the first quadrant of the Milky Way. We use these data, together with our previous work on the fourth Galactic quadrant, to produce a densely sampled, uniformly measured, rotation curve of the Northern and Southern Milky Way between 3 kpc < \( R < \) 8 kpc. We determine a new joint rotation curve fit for the first and fourth quadrants, which is consistent with the fit we published in McClure-Griffiths and Dickey (2007) and can be used for estimating kinematic distances interior to the solar circle. Structure in the rotation curves is now exquisitely well defined, showing significant velocity structure on lengths of \( \sim \) 200 pc, which is much greater than the spatial resolution of the rotation curve. Furthermore, the shape of the rotation curves for the first and fourth quadrants, even after subtraction of a circular rotation fit shows a surprising degree of correlation with a roughly sinusoidal pattern between 4.2 < \( R < \) 7 kpc.

Subject headings: ISM: kinematics and dynamics — Galaxy: kinematics and dynamics — radio lines: ISM

1. Introduction

Atomic hydrogen (H I) emission, as traced by the \( \lambda = 21 \) cm line, is ubiquitous throughout the Milky Way disk. As such it is an excellent tracer of gas kinematics and dynamics.
in the disk. There is a long and fruitful history of using measurements of the H I line to measure the rotation curve of the Milky Way and to infer the Galaxy’s structure (e.g. Kwee et al. 1954, Kerr 1962, Shane & Bieger-Smith 1966, Burton & Gordon 1978, Levine et al. 2006, Sofue et al. 2009). The departures from circular rotation, observed as the various bumps and wiggles in the rotation curve, have been used to infer the mass structure of the Galaxy using spiral density-wave theories (Lin et al. 1969, Roberts 1969) or more recently through comparison with simulations (Chemin et al. 2015) and mass discrepancy acceleration modelling (McGaugh 2016). Fundamentally, accurate knowledge of the rotation curve is required for any attempt to model the surface density profile of a galaxy and the Milky Way is no exception. In addition, the Milky Way rotation curve is used extensively for kinematic distance estimates based on the observed radial velocity of an object or associated gas.

Much of the work on the rotation curve interior to the Solar circle ($R < R_0$) is based on measurements of the H I or CO terminal-velocity. Inside the solar circle each line of sight has a location where it is tangent to a circular orbit about the Galactic center. At this location, known as the tangent point, the projection of Galactic rotational velocity, $V_\theta(R)$ onto the Local Standard of Rest (LSR) is greatest, and the measured LSR velocity is called the terminal velocity. At the tangent point all radial or vertical velocities are projected perpendicular to the LSR and the distance from the Galactic center is geometrically defined at $b = 0^\circ$ as $R_t = R_0 \sin(l)$. Assuming that azimuthal streaming motions are not too large (see however, Chemin et al. 2015), the maximum velocity of H I emission can be equated to the terminal velocity, $V_t$, and assigned to a galactocentric radius, $R_t$.

This is the second paper in a series about the kinematics of the inner Milky Way. In the first paper, McClure-Griffiths & Dickey (2007), hereafter Paper I, we developed a new technique for measuring the H I terminal velocity curve. In that paper we discussed the technique extensively and produced a table of H I terminal velocities sampled every four arcminutes for the fourth Galactic quadrant using H I data from the Southern Galactic Plane Survey (SGPS; McClure-Griffiths et al. 2005). In this companion paper we employ the same technique to measure the H I terminal velocities for the first Galactic quadrant from the VLA Galactic Plane Survey (VGPS; Stil et al. 2006). Together these terminal velocity curves give a uniform, densely sampled measurement of the H I rotation curve of the Milky Way between 3 kpc $\leq R \leq$ 8 kpc. This paper is organized as follows: in §3 we review the key aspects of the technique described in Paper I and apply this technique to the VGPS data. In §4 we present the first quadrant terminal velocity curve, comparing with the CO terminal velocities from Clemens (1985) and with the fourth quadrant curve. In §5 we show both the first and fourth quadrant rotation curves together with a variety of standard rotation curve fits and discuss the curves as an ensemble.
2. Data

The H I data used here are from the VLA Galactic Plane Survey (VGPS; Stil et al. 2006). The VGPS is a survey of 21 cm continuum and H I emission and absorption obtained with the Very Large Array in its D-array configuration and combined with single dish data from the NRAO Green Bank Telescope. The survey covers Galactic longitudes $l = 18^\circ$ to $l = 67^\circ$ with a varying latitude range from $|b| < 1.3^\circ$ to $|b| < 2.3^\circ$. The H I spectral line data have an angular resolution of 1′ with rms noise of 2 K of brightness temperature, $T_b$, in each 0.83 km s$^{-1}$ velocity channel. Here we use the H I data, as well as the continuum data, in the latitude range $|b| < 0.5^\circ$.

We compare the first quadrant terminal velocity data with terminal velocity data of the fourth quadrant from the Southern Galactic Plane Survey, as presented in Paper I. The noise and resolution characteristics of the SGPS and VGPS are comparable, leading to a very uniform dataset across the first and fourth quadrants.

3. Measuring the Terminal Velocity

For all lines of sight near the Galactic plane the circular rotation of the Milky Way projects onto the Local Standard of Rest (LSR) as:

$$V_{LSR} = R_0 \sin l (\omega - \omega_0) \cos b,$$

where $\omega$ is the angular velocity of Galactic rotation at the point of interest, and $\omega_0$ is the corresponding angular velocity of Galactic rotation at the solar circle ($R = R_0$). In general we discuss the circular rotation speed, $\Theta(R) = R \omega$. The IAU recommended values for the distance to the Galactic Center, $R_0$, and the circular rotation speed at the Sun, $\Theta_0$, are 8.5 kpc and 220 km s$^{-1}$, respectively. Although there is strong evidence that these should be varied, i.e. Reid et al. (2014), we use the IAU values here for consistency with previous work, except where noted. By measuring the terminal velocity, $V_t = V_{LSR}(R)$, at the tangent point, $R_t = R_0 \sin l$ we can derive the Galactic rotation curve, $\Theta(R) = |V_t| + \Theta_0 |\sin l|$, interior to the solar circle. In practice, extracting the terminal velocities close to the solar circle is almost impossible because the circular velocity projected onto the LSR has nearly zero radial component. We therefore restrict our measurements to about 0.92$R_0$ ($l \sim 67^\circ$).

As described in an extensive body of past work on the Milky Way H I rotation curve
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(e.g. Kerr 1962; Shane & Bieger-Smith 1966; Sinha 1978; Celnik et al. 1979; Kulkarni & Fich 1985; Malhotra 1995; Levine et al. 2006), and summarized in Paper I, if the Milky Way were filled with cold gas in perfectly circular orbits the H I spectrum would drop abruptly to zero at the terminal velocity. In reality, thermal velocity motions, random gas motions and bulk motions all contribute to extend the H I spectrum beyond the terminal velocity. The magnitude of thermal gas motions and turbulent widths are determined from the spectral width beyond the terminal velocity.

In Paper I we built on the analysis by Celnik et al. (1979) and Kulkarni & Fich (1985) to cast the drop-off of the H I profile beyond the terminal velocity in terms of an error function. We will not reproduce the full derivation, but the conceptual point is that for optically thin gas beyond the terminal velocity, the brightness temperature, $T_b$, as a function of velocity, $v$, in each velocity interval $\delta v$ is:

$$T_b(v) \delta v = \frac{n_0}{C} \int_0^\infty \left| \frac{dv'}{dr} \right|^{-1} f(v - v') dv',$$

where $n_0$ is the local gas number density in units of $\text{cm}^{-3}$; $C$ is the familiar constant converting velocity integrated brightness temperature to column density, $C = 1.823 \times 10^{18} \text{ cm}^{-2} (\text{K km s}^{-1})^{-1}$; $f(v - v')$ is the velocity distribution of HI atoms; and $|dv'/dr|$ is the magnitude of the velocity gradient at the position, $r$, along the line of sight, where the radial velocity is $v'$. Celnik et al. (1979) showed that for a smooth rotation curve $|dv/dr|$ is small and directly proportional to $r$, taking values of $< 3 \text{ km s}^{-1} \text{ kpc}^{-1}$ for Galactic longitudes $|l| > 20^\circ$. We can therefore move the gradient term outside of the integral and if the velocity distribution function of H I atoms, $f(v - v')$, is Gaussian, then the drop-off in the H I profile beyond the terminal velocity as shown in equation (2) is an error function. In fact, we showed in Paper I that the data were best fit by a sum of two or three error functions of widths, $\Delta v_i$:

$$T_b(v) = \sum_{i=1}^N a_i \text{erfc}(-1 \ast (v - v_o)/\Delta v_i),$$

where $N$ is the number of error functions. Here the term $v_o$ is the velocity offset between the terminal velocity and a brightness temperature threshold, which we use to define a starting place for fitting the H I spectrum at the terminal velocity.

To find the terminal velocity some authors have defined a brightness temperature threshold (e.g. Malhotra 1995) or a relative brightness temperature threshold such as half of the intensity of the most extreme peak (e.g. Sinha 1978), whereas Shane & Bieger-Smith (1966) and Celnik et al. (1979) fit a functional form, similar to the error function described above. Any threshold method is subject to errors because both the local density, $n_0$, and the path
length, $\Delta r = \delta v |dr/dv|$, contribute to the H I brightness temperature (as shown in eq. 2). In Paper I we found best results with a functional fitting method that was initiated from a threshold. We showed that the threshold was useful to determine a starting point for the functional fitting, but that by fitting the spectrum with a sum of two error functions we were able to largely remove ambiguities caused by the choice of threshold (see §3.3 of Paper I).

An advantage of using a survey like the VGPS or SGPS for measuring terminal velocities is that the effects of H I absorption of continuum emission can be removed from the data. Absorption can alter inferred terminal velocity by reducing the brightness temperature of velocities near the terminal velocity. The net effect is a reduction in the terminal velocity at positions where there is significant absorption. A clear example was shown in Figure 1 of Paper I. In our technique we use the VGPS continuum images to define a mask of pixels to exclude from our analysis. Masking at a level of 40 K in the continuum images we reduce the total number of pixels by $\sim 1\%$ but also decrease the scatter in the terminal velocity measurements by $\sim 3 - 5\%$.

Our steps to finding the terminal velocity from the VGPS were therefore:

1. Mask H I spectra towards pixels containing continuum emission in excess of 40 K, as measured in VGPS continuum images.

2. Find the velocity of the 20 K $T_b$ threshold on latitude averages over the range $|b| < 0.5^\circ$. We chose a threshold value of 20 K, as opposed to 10 K as used in Paper I, because the noise in the VGPS H I data is slightly higher, such that the threshold is at $\sim 10\sigma$.

3. Shift all profiles to place the threshold crossing velocity, $v_t$, at a common velocity of 0 km s$^{-1}$ to simplify binning with longitude and the functional fitting.

4. Average the shifted spectra in longitude by 3$'$ to improve the signal-to-noise of a given longitude.

5. Fit each averaged spectrum with a sum of two error functions as defined by Equation 3 to determine the true terminal velocity as $V_t = v_t - v_o$.

### 4. The Terminal Velocity Curve

The first quadrant terminal velocity curve for 960 longitude bins of width 3$'$ between $l = 18^\circ$ and $l = 67^\circ$ is shown in Figure 1. We also show the offset velocity, $v_o$, which indicates regions where the terminal velocities are likely effected by significant local non-circular velocities. The spike in velocity offset at $l \approx 25^\circ$ corresponds to small, anomalous
velocity clouds found by Stil et al. (2006). In total we found spurious fits for much less than 1% of the fitted spectra. For the analysis that follows we run a median filter of spectral width 3 samples across the $v_o$ values before correcting the data from $v_t$ to $V_t$. The final terminal velocity values are provided in tabulated form in Table 1.

4.1. Comparison with CO

The pre-existing most densely sampled terminal velocity curve of the first quadrant comes from $^{12}$CO J=1-0 data published by Clemens (1985). This curve, and its associated polynomial fit, has been used for many analyses and kinematic distances over the past decades. As a tracer of the terminal velocity CO has different strengths and weaknesses from H i. While H i is a more ubiquitous tracer, it has large ($\sim 5 - 10$ km s$^{-1}$) velocity linewidths, whereas CO typically has linewidths of less than $\sim 2$ km s$^{-1}$ (Burton 1976). It has therefore been argued that CO may be a better tracer of the terminal velocity than H i. However, in Paper I we compared H i and CO for the fourth quadrant and showed that they were remarkably consistent but with larger scatter in the CO measurements, which we posited was because the low filling factor of CO led the CO terminal velocity curve to reflect the individual velocity dispersion of clouds, rather than an ensemble average as traced by H i. The analysis there indicated that neither tracer was significantly better than the other.

Figure 2 shows the Clemens (1985) curve plotted with the H i curve determined for the first quadrant. At all longitudes $l > 22^\circ$ there is a systematic offset between the two curves, with the Clemens (1985) CO data approximately 7 km s$^{-1}$ higher than the H i. In deriving the CO terminal velocity curve, Clemens (1985) effectively used a threshold detection method (three consecutive channels with emission greater than $3\sigma$) for the most extreme velocity line component, corrected by a uniform offset towards permitted velocities (smaller $V_{LSR}$) equivalent to the half-width of an average CO cloud or $3 \pm 1.5$ km s$^{-1}$. In general, threshold-crossing methods will result in higher terminal velocities than our spectral fitting method, which locates the inflection point of the spectral drop-off. The difference between Clemens (1985) and our H i results suggests that the average CO cloud 3 km s$^{-1}$ correction is insufficient to recover the velocity at the tangent point. In spite of the differences in the magnitude of the velocity at a given longitude, the shape of the two curves are reassuringly similar. Most of the features on scales as small as a few degrees and up to scales of tens of degrees are apparent in both curves. This lends confidence to the veracity of the small-scale features as true dynamical effects rather than measurement artefacts.

For a galaxy in purely circular rotation the run of terminal velocities will be completely linear with $\sin(l)$: $V_t = v_{circ}(1 - |\sin l|) + v'$. Of course, non-circular motions induced by spiral
Fig. 1.— H I terminal velocities, $V_t$ (crosses), and the velocity offset, $v_o$ (dots), as a function of Galactic longitude for the first quadrant extracted from the VGPS. These data are averaged over 3′ in longitude.
arms, the Galactic bar and other discrete dynamic effects produce deviations from linearity, but a linear fit with \( \sin(l) \) provides a useful mechanism for comparing data. Independently fitting the CO and H i terminal velocity curves and then comparing the residuals we find that the scatter in the two curves is nearly identical at \( \sim 6 \) km s\(^{-1}\).

### 4.2. First and Fourth Quadrant Comparison

We compare H i terminal velocity curves for the first and fourth quadrants, each plotted versus \( |\sin(l)| \), in Figure 3. The absolute values of the velocities are plotted for direct comparison. The two curves are strikingly similar, despite probing different regions of Galactic structure. Most notably, both curves have an abrupt flattening of the slope at \( |\sin(l)| = 0.67 \) \((|l| \sim 42^\circ)\) with almost no gradient in velocity until \( |\sin(l)| = 0.67 \) \((|l| \sim 52^\circ)\). In addition, both curves show an inflection at \( |\sin(l)| = 0.5 \) \((|l| \sim 30^\circ)\). These features will be discussed further in the context of the rotation curve below.

The dashed lines in Figure 3 show independent linear fits to the run of terminal velocities with \( |\sin(l)| \) in QI and QIV of the form described above \( \left( V_t = v_{\text{circ}}(1 - |\sin(l)|) + v' \right) \), where the fitted coefficients are \( v_{\text{circ}} = 172.5 \) km s\(^{-1}\), \( v' = 20.7 \) km s\(^{-1}\) and \( v_{\text{circ}} = 177.7 \) km s\(^{-1}\), \( v' = 18.4 \) km s\(^{-1}\) for QI and QIV, respectively. It is important to note that because the rotation curve is not necessarily flat over this range of \( \sin(l) \), the coefficient \( v_{\text{circ}} \) does not equate to \( \Theta_0 \). However, just as with the comparison of the H i and CO curves, the fit is a useful indicator of the consistency of the two quadrants. We find that the fits to QI and QIV are consistent to within 2% indicating the uniformity of the complete terminal velocity curve presented here.

There are, however, some large-scale offsets between the two curves. The asymmetry between the terminal velocity curves in the first and fourth quadrants has been discussed extensively (e.g. Kerr 1962; Grabelsky et al. 1987; Blitz & Spergel 1991). In Figure 4 we plot a smoothed version of the difference between our QI and QIV terminal velocities as a function of Galactic longitude. The difference ranges smoothly from \(-15 \) km s\(^{-1}\) at \( |l| \sim 25^\circ \) to \( 10 \) km s\(^{-1}\) at \( |l| \sim 40^\circ \) and back down to \(-10 \) km s\(^{-1}\) at \( |l| \sim 65^\circ \). As discussed in Blitz & Spergel (1991), the difference between the terminal velocity curves depends only on the difference in azimuthal velocity of the gas at the tangent points in the two quadrants and any potential outward motion of the LSR. An outward motion of the Sun or the LSR, as posited by Kerr (1962) and Blitz & Spergel (1991), will give rise to a velocity difference between QI and QIV at all longitudes of the form \( \Delta v = 2 \Pi_0 \cos l \), where \( \Pi_0 \) is the radial velocity of the LSR. Kerr (1962) found \( \Pi_0 = 7 \) km s\(^{-1}\), which was consistent with stellar data at the time. Blitz & Spergel (1991) revisited the asymmetry, recommending a value of...
Fig. 2.— Comparison of H I (crosses) and CO (dots) first quadrant terminal velocities. The overall agreement between the two curves is extremely good, but the CO shows a systematic offset towards higher velocities.
Fig. 3.— Comparison of H I terminal velocities for the first quadrant (red) and the fourth quadrant (blue). The dotted lines are fits to the function $V_t = v_{\text{circ}} (1 - \sin(l)) + v'$ over the entire longitude range, where the coefficients are $v_{\text{circ}} = 172.5$ km s$^{-1}$ and $v' = 20.7$ km s$^{-1}$ for QI and $v_{\text{circ}} = 177.7$ km s$^{-1}$ and $v' = 18.4$ km s$^{-1}$ for QIV.
Π₀ = 14 km s⁻¹ for the magnitude of the radial motion of the LSR. In Figure 4 we over-plot the functional form, ∆v = 2Π₀ cos l for radial velocities of Π₀ = 7 km s⁻¹, 10 km s⁻¹ and 14 km s⁻¹. It is clear that over the range of longitudes measured here an outward motion of the LSR alone cannot account for velocity difference. To fit the terminal velocity difference curve [Blitz & Spergel (1991)] included a non-axisymmetric velocity field induced by a triaxial spheroidal potential. Alternative fits to the Galactic potential, including those associated with the long bar (e.g. [Chemin et al. 2015]) or spiral arms (e.g. [Pettitt et al. 2014]) would also produce a noticeable difference curve. Fitting the velocity curves to multiple Galactic potentials is clearly an important next step, but beyond the scope of this paper.

An alternative suggestion to explain only the asymmetry between the two curves near the Solar circle is that of streaming motions associated with the Carina spiral arm in QIV at l ≈ 295° ([Henderson et al. 1982], [Grabelsky et al. 1987]). In McG07 we compared SGPS QIV values with QI data from [Fich et al. (1989)], which showed the quadrants deviating by about 10 km s⁻¹ from R = 7 – 8 kpc (|l| ∼ 55° – 67°). Using the consistently measured data here, and shown in Figure 4, we see that over the longitude range |l| ∼ 55° – 67° the terminal velocities in QI are 3 – 9 km s⁻¹ (mean 5.2 km s⁻¹) lower than QIV, with no indication that the difference is converging towards zero at the Solar circle.

5. Inner Galaxy Rotation Curve

From the terminal velocities measured here we can calculate the rotation curve for 3 ≤ R ≤ 8 kpc from the circular velocity relation Θ(R)/Θ₀ = |V₉|/Θ₀ + |sin l| and assuming R₉ = R₀|sin l|, where we use IAU standard values of R₀ = 8.5 kpc and Θ₀ = 220 km s⁻¹. The rotation curves for QI, as calculated in this paper, and QIV, as calculated in Paper I, are shown in Figure 5.

5.1. Fits to the Rotation Curve for 3 ≤ R ≤ 8 kpc

In Paper I we performed a joint linear fit to the QIV data along with H I rotation curve data of the first quadrant from [Fich et al. (1989)], giving a fit of: Θ(R)/Θ₀ = 0.186(R/R₀) + 0.887. We repeat that exercise here substituting the new uniformly measured, high resolution H I data for first quadrant. The joint fit is very similar, as can be seen in Figure 5, Θ(R)/Θ₀ = 0.171(R/R₀) + 0.889. The slightly smaller slope in the new fit is not within the formal fitting errors of either fit, but is less than 10% different and therefore on the order of the error in any given velocity measurement. Also shown in this Figure is the often used [Clemens (1985)]
Fig. 4.— Difference between QI and QIV terminal velocities as a function of Galactic Longitude. This curve has been smoothed by a Gaussian kernel of width 1°. The dotted lines show $\Delta v = 2\Pi_0 \cos l$, for $\Pi_0 = 7$ km s$^{-1}$, 10 km s$^{-1}$, and 15 km s$^{-1}$, as labelled.
rotation curve, derived from CO terminal velocities for QI. While this curve fits well the velocity structure of QI, it incorporates streaming motions that are not general to the inner galaxy rotation curve.

Reid et al. (2009, 2014) have recently used parallax measurements of masers to carefully measure the Milky Way rotation curve and derive Galactic constants, $\Theta_0$ and $R_0$. In Reid et al. (2014) they explored several rotation curve fits to their data. Their simplest fit, which is an excellent match to their data at $R > 4$ kpc, is given by $\Theta(R) = \Theta_0 - d\Theta/dR(R - R_0)$, where $d\Theta/dR = -0.2$ and $\Theta_0 = 241$ km s$^{-1}$. This produces an almost flat rotation curve for large radii, as shown in Figures 5 & 6. Including data interior to $R = 4$ kpc, Reid et al. (2014) found that the so-called “Universal” rotation curve for spiral galaxies from Persic et al. (1996) captured the decrease in velocities at $R < 4$ kpc, while still maintaining the nearly flat, though slightly declining, rotation curve for larger radii. Their fit to the “Universal” curve is also shown in Figure 5 using their value of $\Theta_0 = 240$ km s$^{-1}$. Both the Reid et al. (2014) rotation curves are above our H i velocities calculated from IAU value of $\Theta_0 = 220$ km s$^{-1}$. For comparison, in Figure 6 we show our H i rotation curves calculated assuming $\Theta_0 = 240$ km s$^{-1}$ and $R_0 = 8.34$ kpc, as in Reid et al. (2014). For these values, the ‘Universal” rotation curve gives a reasonable match to the data. Because of the small range of Galactic radii available in our data we do not perform a new fit with the ‘Universal” rotation curve. Future work should include a careful compilation of the extremely accurate maser parallax measurements with these new H i curves for a complete ‘Universal” Milky Way rotation curve. For now we recommend the joint linear fit as a good estimate of the H i rotation curve at 3 kpc < $R < 8$ kpc.

5.2. Kinematic Distances

An advantage of our simple linear fit to the rotation curve over the range $R = 3$ to 8 kpc range, i.e. $\Theta(R)/\Theta_0 = k_0 (R/R_0) + k_1$, where $k_0 = 0.171 \ k_1 = 0.889$, is that kinematic distances are given by the quadratic equation, based on equation 1 and the law of cosines. Scaling the observed velocity of an object of interest, $V_{LSR}$, by $(\Theta_0 \sin l)$ gives dimensionless parameter $x \equiv V_{LSR}/(\Theta_0 \sin l)$. We can therefore define the kinematic distance, $D_k$, in terms of the parameter $x$ as:

$$\frac{D_k}{R_0} = \cos l \pm \sqrt{\frac{0.790}{(x + 0.971)^2 \sin^2 l}},$$

where the constants are $1 - k_0^2 = 0.971$ and $k_1^2 = 0.790$. The square root term gives the line of sight distance measured on either side of the subcentral point, whose distance is defined as $d_t = R_0 \cos l$. 
Fig. 5.— Rotation curve for the first quadrant (red) and the fourth quadrant (blue), using \( \Theta_0 = 220 \text{ km s}^{-1} \). Overlaid are the polynomial fit from Paper I (McG07), a new joint polynomial fit, \( \Theta(R)/\Theta_0 = 0.171(R/R_0) + 0.889 \) and two curves as published in Reid et al. (2014). The first of the Reid et al. (2014) curves is valid for \( R > 4 \text{ kpc} \) and given by \( \Theta(R) = \Theta_0 - d\Theta/dR (R - R_0) \), where \( d\Theta/dR = -0.2 \) and \( \Theta_0 = 240 \text{ km s}^{-1} \); and the Reid fit to the so-called “Universal” rotation curve for spiral galaxies from Persic et al. (1996).
Fig. 6.— Our QI (red) and QIV (blue) H I rotation curves calculated assuming $\Theta_0 = 240$ km s$^{-1}$ overlaid with the Reid et al. (2014) rotation curves shown in Figure 5.
5.3. Structure in the Rotation Curve

Using the joint polynomial fit described above we can subtract the overall rotation to examine the structure of the residuals in the rotation curve. These residuals should reflect bulk non-circular motions in the Galaxy. The residual curves for both quadrants are shown in Figure 7. Once again, QI is shown in red and QIV in blue. The values for the two quadrants are extremely close at $R \sim 4$ kpc and for $R > 7$ kpc. The agreement at $R > 7$ kpc is expected as we trace gas towards the cardinal points $l = 90^\circ$ and $l = 270^\circ$, where circular rotation projects towards $V_{LSR} = 0$ km s$^{-1}$. The agreement at $R < 4$ kpc is more surprising. While there are clearly small scale variations with $R$, i.e. $\Delta R < 500$ pc, the magnitude of those features are generally $\pm 5$ km s$^{-1}$. The largest deviations occur in the range $4.2 \leq R \leq 7$ kpc. In this region the two curves are offset from each other by $\sim 3 - 9$ km s$^{-1}$. This region also encompasses some of the salient features noted in the terminal velocity curve discussed in §4.2. Notably the inflection seen in both quadrants’ terminal velocity curves at $|l| \sim 30^\circ$ is observed as the rise in both quadrants’ rotation curves at $R \sim 4.25$ kpc and the flattening of the slope of the terminal velocity curves between $|l| \sim 42^\circ$ and $|l| \sim 52^\circ$ are observed as the smooth rise in the range $R \sim 5.7 - 6.7$ kpc.

5.4. Residual Velocity Field

The most striking aspect of the residuals shown on Figure 7 is the similarity in the saw-tooth patterns seen in both quadrants between $4 < R < 7$ kpc. In addition, by eye it appears that there is a great deal of structure in both quadrants that has a characteristic width of a few hundred pc, even though the resolution of the survey is much finer than this, typically $\sim 10$ pc x cos $l$. Although the effects of H I absorption toward compact continuum sources have been removed, there are a few very narrow features on Figure 7 that correspond to local velocity perturbations, most of the structure in both residual curves is much broader in $R$.

To robustly estimate the characteristic width of the structure in the residuals on Figure 7 we compute the autocorrelation functions of both quadrant’s rotation curves. These are shown on Figure 8 and labelled ”acf”. To construct the autocorrelations, we first re-sample the residuals to a constant step size of 5 pc in Galactic radius. All correlation functions are computed using the routine scipy-signal-correlate from the python numerical package numpy, which handles edge effects in a conservative way. But given that our data covers a range of only $\sim 5$ kpc, edge effects still lead to increasing uncertainty for lags of more than about $\pm 2$ kpc. We have compared the scipy correlation program with other algorithms, and they give similar results for lags less than half the total interval of $R$ used for
Fig. 7.— Rotation curve residuals after subtraction of a joint rotation curve fit. Residuals are shown for QI (red) and QIV (blue) after subtraction of the joint, linear fit shown in Fig. 5.
the correlation, which is 3.5 to 7.5 kpc.

The half-width to half maximum of the autocorrelation functions for the first and fourth quadrants are 290 and 210 pc, respectively. Their half widths to first zero crossing are 690 pc (QI) and 730 pc (QIV). This shows that the residuals have generally quite broad structure, in spite of a few sharp variations that can be seen in Figure 7. That we observe a consistent scale size for velocity fluctuations in the rotation curve that is much greater than the resolution of the data suggests that we are probing a characteristic size scale for dynamical effects. This size scale is of the same order as that often suggested for the energy injection scale of turbulence in the Milky Way, ∼ 150 pc (e.g. Chepurnov et al. 2010), and similar to the scale of the largest dynamical objects in the Galaxy, specifically potential energy injection sites of clustered supernovae (Norman & Ferrara 1996).

In addition to auto-correlation analysis, we can more robustly estimate the degree of similarity between the rotation residuals of the first and fourth quadrants with a cross-correlation analysis. The cross-correlation function between the residuals two quadrants shows a distinct peak near zero lag on Figure 8 (“ccf”). The peak has normalised correlation of 0.27 at +75 pc shift (positive ∆r_G meaning that the QIV residuals are shifted to higher radius relative to the QI residuals at the peak). The non-zero normalised correlation coefficient confirms the visual impression that the two curves are very similar in their structure. Furthermore, a radial shift between the two curves is what we expect if the large-scale structure is induced by spiral arms. The magnitude of this shift, however, is surprisingly small.

The large sidelobes at ±2 kpc lag for each of the cross-correlation function and auto-correlation functions show that the residuals have structure that is roughly sinusoidal with wavelength about 2 kpc. This corresponds to the sawtooth pattern that is apparent by eye between 4.2 < R < 7 kpc. It has long been assumed that these large departures from a smooth circular rotation are induced by the spiral pattern of the inner Galaxy (e.g. Roberts 1969; Burton 1971). Other grand design spirals like M81 the perturbations give sinusoidal departures from circular rotation (e.g. Visser 1980) with amplitude (peak-to-peak) about 20 km s⁻¹. Unfortunately, interpretation of the structure in the residuals (Figure 7) is complicated by the changing projection of the line of sight as we move along the locus of sub-central points and cross spiral arms at various angles. Furthermore, the velocity field predictions are different for linear and non-linear density wave theories (Yuan 1969; Roberts 1969). The cross-correlation function and autocorrelation functions presented in Figure 8 will be useful constraints for hydrodynamical models of Galactic structure and dynamics (e.g. Pettitt et al. 2014).

Underlying most of our analysis is the assumption that the measurement of the terminal
Fig. 8.— Correlation functions of the rotational velocity residuals after subtraction of a linear fit, as shown in Figure 7. The autocorrelation functions (ACFs) are shown for QI (red) and QIV (blue) and the cross correlation function between the two quadrants is shown in green.
velocity represents gas at the tangent point and probes the true rotation curve. Of course, that premise is flawed slightly because of non-circular rotation due to streaming motions near spiral arms or the elliptical orbits around the central bar. Given the observational indications that the Milky Way has a long bar, extending over a half-length of $R \sim 5$ kpc and an angle of $\sim 28 - 38^\circ$ from the Sun-Galactic Center line (e.g. Wegg et al. 2015), we expect non-axisymmetric effects in the inner Galaxy rotation curve. Recently Chemin et al. (2015) explored this topic thoroughly using a hydrodynamical simulation of a barred Milky Way-like galaxy. From the simulation they measured the velocity field and therefore both the true rotation curve and the rotation curve assuming the maximum velocity is found at the tangent point. Their analysis found that for $4.8 \leq R \leq 8$ kpc2 the average tangent point derived curve is very close to the true Galactic rotation curve, however, in the range $3 \leq R \leq 4.8$ kpc, the tangent point analysis deviates by as much as $\sim 15\%$ for bar angles of $20^\circ - 30^\circ$. Chemin et al. (2015) found for their fiducial bar of orientation $23^\circ$, QI tangent point velocities over-estimated the true azimuthal velocity and QIV tangent point values underestimated the azimuthal velocities. Similar results appear in the velocity field modelling by Fux (1999) and seem to agree well with the residual rotation curves derived here. Because of the complexities in attributing measured terminal velocities to the tangent point the next steps for understanding the Milky Way rotation curve must be either comparison with simulations (e.g. Englmaier & Gerhard 1999 Fux 1999 Chemin et al. 2015) or surface density mass modelling (e.g. McGaugh 2016).

6. Summary

We have used the VLA Galactic Plane Survey of H i and 21-cm continuum emission (Stil et al. 2006) to derive the terminal velocity curve of the first Galactic quadrant with a sampling of 4′. We find excellent consistency between the structure of the first quadrant H i terminal velocity curve and the CO terminal velocity curve derived by Clemens (1985), but with a systematic offset in the H i suggesting that the CO curve slightly over-estimates the terminal velocities. Combining our first quadrant H i data with the terminal velocity curve of the fourth quadrant from the Southern Galactic Plane Survey (McClure-Griffiths et al. 2005) published in our companion paper (McClure-Griffiths & Dickey 2007), we have produced the most uniform, high resolution, terminal velocity curve for the Milky Way interior to the solar circle.

As seen previously (Kerr 1962 Blitz & Spergel 1991) there is a clear asymmetry between

---

2Galactocentric radii have been adjusted for our assumption of $R_0 = 8.5$ kpc.
the terminal velocity curves of the two quadrants. We confirm that an outward motion of the LSR alone cannot explain the asymmetry (Blitz & Spergel 1991), highlighting the need to include a non-axisymmetric azimuthal velocity component for the inner Milky Way. We find that the measured terminal velocities in two quadrants are almost identical over the galactic radius ranges, $3.8 < R < 4.2$ kpc and from $R > 7$ kpc to the solar circle. Over the intermediate radius range ($4 < R < 7$ kpc), the amplitudes of the two quadrants differ by 5 to 10 km s$^{-1}$, but they show remarkably similar structure.

Using the rotation curves for first and fourth quadrants together we have performed a new joint fit to the Milky Way rotation curve over the range $3$ kpc $< R < 8$ kpc. This curve can be used for estimating kinematic distances. This joint fit smooths over the streaming motions contained in the previous first quadrant fit by Clemens (1985) and should be used in preference to that curve for inner Galaxy kinematic distances. We also compared our data with the flat and “Universal” spiral galaxy rotation curves from Reid et al. (2014), finding both to be reasonable fits to the H I rotation curve when calculated assuming $\Theta_0 = 240$ km s$^{-1}$ and $R_0 = 8.34$ kpc, instead of the IAU recommended values for the Galactic constants. Finally, we compared the velocity residuals for the two quadrants after subtraction of the fitted rotation curve. The residuals show remarkably similar structure that is roughly sinusoidal with a wavelength of about 2 kpc.

With this high resolution, uniform terminal velocity curve we have overcome the remaining observational problems limiting our knowledge of the Milky Way terminal velocities. There is no indication from our measurements that either higher resolution or higher sensitivity observations would change the H I rotation curve derived here over the range $R = 3 – 8$ kpc. Further progress on the Milky Way rotation curve will need to come from the compilation of these H I values with other measurements such as on-going maser parallax (e.g. Reid et al. 2014) or upcoming stellar parallax from GAIA. Those measurements, together with our H I terminal velocity curve can be used to improve the estimates of the surface density of the Milky Way disk and spiral structure.

We are grateful to S. McGaugh whose encouragement lead us to complete this long overdue companion paper and who provided us with an electronic version of the Clemens data and to M. Reid for comments on an earlier version of this manuscript. N. M. M.-G. acknowledges the support of the Australian Research Council through Future Fellowship FT150100024.
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Table 1. Measured H i terminal velocities, $v_{LSR}$, as a function of Galactic longitude, $l$, from the VGPS. The third column gives the terminal velocities, $v_{mod}$, predicted by the linear rotation curve shown in Figure 5. This table is published in its entirety in the electronic edition of the journal.

| $l$ (deg) | $v_{LSR}$ (km s$^{-1}$) | $v_{mod}$ (km s$^{-1}$) |
|-----------|------------------------|-------------------------|
| 18.412    | 134.17                 | 137.98                  |
| 18.478    | 134.17                 | 137.78                  |
| 18.543    | 133.86                 | 137.58                  |
| 18.608    | 133.23                 | 137.38                  |
| 18.672    | 132.73                 | 137.19                  |
| 18.738    | 132.73                 | 136.99                  |
| 18.802    | 131.91                 | 136.80                  |