Influenza vaccines that confer broad and durable protection against diverse viral strains would have a major effect on global health, as they would lessen the need for annual vaccine reformulation and immunization. Here we show that computationally designed, two-component nanoparticle immunogens induce potently neutralizing and broadly protective antibody responses against a wide variety of influenza viruses. The nanoparticle immunogens contain 20 haemagglutinin glycoprotein trimers in an ordered array, and their assembly in vitro enables the precisely controlled co-display of multiple distinct haemagglutinin proteins in defined ratios. Nanoparticle immunogens that co-display the four haemagglutinins of licensed quadrivalent influenza vaccines elicited antibody responses in several animal models against vaccine-matched strains that were equivalent to or better than commercial quadrivalent influenza vaccines, and simultaneously induced broadly protective antibody responses to heterologous viruses by targeting the subdominant yet conserved haemagglutinin stem. The combination of potent receptor-blocking and cross-reactive stem-directed antibodies induced by the nanoparticle immunogens makes them attractive candidates for a suprasessional influenza vaccine candidate with the potential to replace conventional seasonal vaccines.

**Immunogen design and characterization**

We genetically fused HA ectodomains from the four strains in licensed 2017–2018 seasonal influenza vaccines to the N terminus of I53_dn5B, the trimeric component of the two-component icosahedral nanoparticle I53_dn5, and separately expressed and purified each protein (Fig. 1a, Extended Data Fig. 1a, b, Supplementary Fig. 1a). All influenza A HA sequences contained the Tyr98Phe mutation to facilitate production, which has been shown to have a minimal effect on antigenicity. The purified trimeric HA-I53_dn5B components were mixed in equimolar amounts before the addition of purified I53_dn5A pentamer to generate a mosaic nanoparticle immunogen that co-displayed the four HAs (qsMosaic-I53_dn35) (Fig. 1a). In parallel, we produced nanoparticles that displayed each HA individually. Purification by size-exclusion chromatography (SEC) showed that nearly all of the nanoparticles that displayed each HA individually. Purification by size-exclusion chromatography (SEC) showed that nearly all of the nanoparticles that displayed each HA individually.
protein eluted in an early peak corresponding to the assembled nanoparticles (Extended Data Fig. 1c, d, Supplementary Fig. 1b), which bound head- and stem-directed monoclonal antibodies specific to each of the HA trimers displayed (Extended Data Fig. 1e). Comparison of each nanoparticle to I53_dn5 without HA by SEC, dynamic light scattering, and negative-stain electron microscopy confirmed assembly of the intended icosahedral architecture with no evidence of aggregation (Fig. 1b, Extended Data Fig. 1f, g), a result supported by a single-particle cryo-electron microscopy (cryo-EM) reconstruction of the H1-I53_dn5 nanoparticle at 6.6 Å resolution (Fig. 1c, Extended Data Fig. 1h–j). Localized reconstruction of the displayed H1 HA at 3.3 Å resolution (Fig. 1c, Extended Data Fig. 1k) and comparison to an H1 HA-foldon protein by hydrogen–deuterium exchange mass spectrometry (HDX-MS) (Extended Data Fig. 2) confirmed full retention of the native structure of the displayed antigen. We also prepared a ‘cocktail’ immunogen that contained equimolar amounts of the four individual HA-displaying nanoparticles (qsCocktail-I53_dn5) (Fig. 1a). Although immunoprecipitation of qsCocktail-I53_dn5 nanoparticles with an H1 HA-specific monoclonal antibody recovered only H1-I53_dn5, it completely pulled down all of the qsMosaic-I53_dn5 nanoparticles (Fig. 1d, Supplementary Fig. 1b, c). Similarly, qsMosaic-I53_dn5 nanoparticles immobilized on biolayer interferometry sensors using an H1 HA-specific monoclonal antibody were subsequently bound by H3- and B HA-specific monoclonal antibodies, whereas qsCocktail-I53_dn5 nanoparticles were not (Extended Data Fig. 3a). These results indicated efficient co-assembly of qsMosaic-I53_dn5, matching numerical predictions (Extended Data Fig. 3b, c), and confirmed that subunit exchange did not occur in qsCocktail-I53_dn5. We used quantitative peptide-specific mass spectrometry to confirm that the HA stoichiometry in assembly reactions used to prepare qsMosaic-I53_dn5 nanoparticles of several different compositions was maintained in the nanoparticles purified by SEC (Extended Data Fig. 3d).

Responses against vaccine-matched strains

We next compared the immunogenicity of qsCocktail-I53_dn5 and qsMosaic-I53_dn5 to a commercial 2017–2018 quadrivalent influenza vaccine (QIV) in mice, ferrets (Mustela putorius) and non-human primates (NHPs; Macaca mulatta), matching the total protein dose of each nanoparticle immunogen to the HA content of QIV. After three immunizations with each immunogen formulated with a squalene oil-in-water adjuvant (AddaVax), the HA-specific antibody titres (Extended Data Fig. 4a–c), haemagglutination inhibition (HAI) (Fig. 2a) and microneutralization titres (Fig. 2b) induced by both nanoparticle immunogens were equivalent or superior to those induced by QIV. We also observed I53_dn5 nanoparticle scaffold-specific antibodies in NHPs immunized with either nanoparticle immunogen (Extended Data Fig. 4d). Additional immunogenicity studies in mice without adjuvant (Extended Data Fig. 4e) and using updated versions of the three immunogens containing the 2018–2019 vaccine strains (Extended Data Fig. 5a–d) yielded similar results.

Responses against historical viruses

We next tested sera from ferrets immunized with QIV, qsCocktail-I53_dn5 and qsMosaic-I53_dn5 for their ability to neutralize a panel of H1N1 and H3N2 viruses that represent historical antigenic drift and shift. Both nanoparticle immunogen elicited roughly equivalent or superior neutralizing activity to QIV for all H1N1 strains tested, and approximately 10-fold higher levels of neutralizing activity against H3N2 viruses dating back to 2002 (Fig. 3a, Extended Data Fig. 6). We then compared the ability of QIV, qsCocktail-I53_dn5 and qsMosaic-I53_dn5 to protect against lethal challenges with heterologous H1N1 (A/Puerto Rico/8/1934) and mismatched H3N2 (A/Philippines/2/1982) viruses in mice (Fig. 3b, c, Supplementary Fig. 3a–c). All mice receiving mock immunizations succumbed to disease and were euthanized by 9 days...
were calculated as the geometric mean IC50 titres across 10 H1N1 or 9 H3N2 viruses for each individual ferret. Global geometric mean titres (GMTs) determined by one-sided parametric two-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) with P-values were determined by one-sided nonparametric Kruskal–Wallis tests with Dunn’s multiple comparisons. All animal experiments except for NHPs were performed at least twice and representative data are shown.

**Heterosubtypic responses and protection**

We next compared the ability of QIV, qsCocktail-I53_dn5 and qsMosaic-I53_dn5 to provide immunity against heterosubtypic influenza A viruses. Both nanoparticle immunogens elicited cross-reactive antibody responses to HAs from heterosubtypic group 1 (H5N1 and H6N1) and group 2 (H7N9 and H10N8) viruses, whereas QIV elicited low—in some cases undetectable—levels of such antibodies (Fig. 4a–c, Extended Data Fig. 5e). To assess whether these cross-reactive responses were protective, we first immunized mice with each of the three immunogens with or without AddaVax, and challenged them with H5N1 (A/Vietnam/1203/2004) and H7N9 (A/Anhui/1/2013) viruses 8–10 weeks after the last immunization. All mice receiving mock immunizations succumbed to disease, and QIV provided negligible (12%) protection (Fig. 4d, Supplementary Fig. 3d, e). Notably, qsCocktail-I53_dn5 protective responses to HAs from heterosubtypic group 1 (H5N1 and H6N1) and group 2 (H7N9 and H10N8) viruses, whereas QIV elicited low—in some cases undetectable—levels of such antibodies (Fig. 4a–c, Extended Data Fig. 5e). To assess whether these cross-reactive responses were protective, we first immunized mice with each of the three immunogens with or without AddaVax, and challenged them with H5N1 (A/Vietnam/1203/2004) and H7N9 (A/Anhui/1/2013) viruses 8–10 weeks after the last immunization. All mice receiving mock immunizations succumbed to disease, and QIV provided negligible (12%) protection (Fig. 4d, Supplementary Fig. 3d, e). Notably, qsCocktail-I53_dn5
Each symbol represents the log_{10}-transformed endpoint titre of an individual neutralizing antibody. 

Heterosubtypic influenza viral challenge in immunized mice (d) and ferrets (e). Three ferrets from each group were euthanized 4 days after challenge to measure lung viral RNA (right). Individual ferrets are identified by unique symbols. Right and left caudal lung lobes are indicated by closed and open symbols, respectively. 

Heterosubtypic influenza viral challenge after passive transfer of purified NHP immune Ig in mice. The multiple Kaplan–Meier curves were compared using Mantel–Cox log-rank test with Bonferroni correction. Mouse challenge experiments were performed twice; ferret experiments and passive transfer experiments were performed once.

Molecular basis for broad antibody responses

Stem-directed antibodies elicited by both qsCocktail-I53_dn5 and qsMosaic-I53_dn5, measured using stem-only HA proteins, were significantly higher than those induced by QIV in all three animal species (Fig. 5a). Microneutralization activity against the vaccine-matched H1N1 virus in sera from NHPs immunized with qsMosaic-I53_dn5 was depleted by vaccine-matched HA ectodomain, but not by an H1 HA stem, which suggests that antibodies that target epitopes outside of the stem domain account for most of the vaccine-matched neutralizing activity, as expected (Fig. 5b). By contrast, neutralizing activity against a heterosubtypic H5N1 virus was fully depleted by both vaccine-matched HA and stem-only HA, indicating that stem-directed antibodies are responsible for the observed heterosubtypic neutralization.

Next, we directly visualized the nanoparticle-elicited antibodies in individual NHPs in complex with HA using single-particle negative-stain electron microscopy analysis of polyclonal antibodies. We found that the polyclonal antibodies to vaccine-matched H1 HA target at least three distinct antigenic sites: the receptor-binding domain (RBD), the vestigial esterase domain, and the stem (Fig. 5c, Extended Data Fig. 8). Although antibodies to each antigenic site contained several fine specificities and angles of approach, the most complex antibodies recognized the RBD. By contrast, single-particle cryo-EM analysis of H5 HA in complex with polyclonal antibody Fab fragments
elicited by qsMosaic-I53_dn5 revealed only stem-directed antibodies, which clearly demonstrates the recognition of this conserved supersite (Fig. 5d, Extended Data Fig. 9). The data suggest at least one common class of vaccine-elicited antibodies recognizes the stem in a manner reminiscent of MEDI8852 and 56.a.09, which both belong to the VH6-1+ DH3-3 class of multi-donor human broadly neutralizing antibodies31,32 (Fig. 5e).

To study how pre-existing influenza immunity could influence antibody responses to the nanoparticle immunogens, NHPs from the QIV and qsMosaic-I53_dn5 groups were boosted 63 weeks later (week 99) with a single dose of an updated 2018–2019 version of qsMosaic-I53_dn5. All macaques had high levels of neutralizing antibodies against vaccine-matched strains at week 99 that were strongly boosted after immunization with updated qsMosaic-I53_dn5 (Extended Data Fig. 10).

At week 99, the NHPs pre-immunized with QIV had near-baseline levels of stem-directed antibodies, whereas macaques pre-immunized with qsMosaic-I53_dn5 maintained substantial stem-directed titres (Fig. 5f). After a boost with an updated qsMosaic-I53_dn5, all macaques showed strongly increased titres of anti-stem antibodies. These data demonstrate that qsMosaic-I53_dn5 stimulates robust stem-directed antibody responses even in the context of strong pre-existing immunity against the immunodominant HA head.

Discussion

We have developed nanoparticle vaccines that elicit potent vaccine-matched HAI activity as well as protective stem-directed neutralizing antibodies against distantly related—including heterosubtypic—viruses in
several animal models. These results go beyond previous next-generation influenza vaccine concepts, which have been reported to increase stem-directed responses9-12,13,14, the potency and breadth of HA1 within specific subtypes14,15, or both types of response within specific subtypes16,17. Because both HA1 and stem-directed antibodies have been shown as independent immune correlates of protection against influenza infection in humans18, immunogens that can elicit both would have advantages over approaches that elicit one or the other, and would make attractive candidates for clinical evaluation as supraseasonal vaccines that may eventually replace current seasonal vaccines. The broad, antibody-mediated protection conferred by qsCocktail-I53_dn5 and qsMosaic-I53_dn3 suggests that they may be able to provide consistent year-to-year protection against seasonal influenza viruses, even in the event of antigenic mismatches in the hypervariable head domain.

Although defining the immunological or structural basis for the breadth elicited by our nanoparticle vaccines will require further investigation, it is clear that HA presentation on the assembled nanoparticle is vital. Motivated by the data presented here, a variant of qsMosaic-I53- dn3 with updated haemagglutinin proteins has been manufactured for a planned phase I clinical trial. In addition to evaluating safety and reactogenicity, data from this trial should reveal the effect of complex and individualized influenza exposure histories19,20 on the responses elicited by this nanoparticle immunogen and bring us one step closer to a universal influenza vaccine.

Online content
Any methods, additional references, Nature Research reporting summaries, source data, extended data, supplementary information, acknowledgements, peer review information; details of author contributions and competing interests; and statements of data and code availability are available at https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-021-03365-x.

1. Wei, C.-J. et al. Next-generation influenza vaccines: opportunities and challenges. Nat. Rev. Drug Discov. 19, 239–252 (2020).
2. Ueda, G. et al. Tailored design of protein nanoparticle scaffolds for multivalent presentation of viral glycoprotein antigens. eLife 9, e57659 (2020).
3. Kanekiyo, M. & Graham, B. S. Next-generation influenza vaccines. Cold Spring Harb. Perspect. Med. 10, a038448 (2020).
4. Iuliano, A. D. et al. Estimates of global seasonal influenza-associated respiratory mortality: a modeling study. Lancet 391, 1285–1300 (2018).
5. Flannery, B. et al. Interim estimates of 2017-18 seasonal influenza vaccine effectiveness - United States, February 2018. MMWR Morb. Mortal. Wkly. Rep. 67, 180–185 (2018).
6. Ellebedy, A. H. et al. Induction of broadly cross-reactive antibody responses to the influenza HA stem region following H5N1 vaccination in humans. Proc. Natl.Acad. Sci. USA 111, 13133–13138 (2014).
7. Andrews, S. F. et al. Immune history profoundly affects broadly protective B cell responses to influenza. Sci. Transl. Med. 7, 316ra192 (2015).
8. Tan, H.-X. et al. Subdominance and poor intrinsic immunogenicity limit humoral immunity targeting influenza HA stem. J. Clin. Invest. 129, 850–862 (2019).
9. Yassine, H. M. et al. Hemagglutinin-stem nanoparticles generate heterosubtypic influenza protection. Nat. Med. 21, 1065–1070 (2015).
10. Impagliazzo, A. et al. A stable trimeric influenza hemagglutinin stem as a broadly protective immunogen. Science 349, 1301–1306 (2015).
11. Corbett, K. S. et al. Design of nanoparticulate group 2 influenza virus hemagglutinin stem antigens that activate unmutated ancestor B cell receptors of broadly neutralizing antibody lineages. mBio 10, e02810-18 (2019).
12. Boyoglu-Barnum, S. et al. Glycan repositioning of influenza hemagglutinin stem facilitates the elicitation of protective cross-group antibody responses. Nat. Commun. 11, 791 (2020).
13. Steel, J. et al. Influenza virus vaccine based on the conserved hemagglutinin stalk domain. mBio 1, e00018-10 (2010).
14. Bommakanti, G. et al. Design of an HA2-based Escherichia coli-expressed influenza immunogen that protects mice from pathogenic challenge. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 107, 13701–13706 (2010).
15. Krammer, F., Pica, N., Hai, R., Margine, I. & Palese, P. Chimeric hemagglutinin influenza virus vaccine constructs elicit broadly protective stalk-specific antibodies. J. Virol. 87, 6542–6550 (2013).
16. Marcandalli, J. et al. Induction of potent neutralizing antibody responses by a designed protein nanoparticle vaccine for respiratory syncytial virus. Cell 176, 1430–1431.e17 (2019).
17. Kanekiyo, M. et al. Self-assembling influenza nanoparticle vaccines elicit broadly neutralizing H1N1 antibodies. Nature 499, 102–106 (2013).
18. López-Sagasteta, J., Malito, E., Rappuoli, R. & Bottomley, M. J. Self-assembling protein nanoparticles in the design of vaccines. Comput. Struct. Biotechnol. J. 14, 58–68 (2015).
19. Tokatlian, T. et al. Innate immune recognition of glycans targets HIV nanoparticle immunogens to germinal centers. Science 363, 649–654 (2019).
20. Kanekiyo, M. et al. Mosaic nanoparticle display of diverse influenza virus hemagglutinins elicits broad B cell responses. Nat. Immunol. 20, 362–372 (2019).
21. Cohen, A. A. et al. Mosaic nanoparticles elicit cross-reactive immune responses to zoonotic coronaviruses in mice. Science 371, 735–741 (2021).
22. Georgev, I. S. et al. Two-component ferritin nanoparticles for multimerization of diverse trimeric antigens. ACS Infect. Dis. 4, 788–796 (2018).
23. Cohen, A. A. et al. Construction, characterization, and immunization of nanoparticles that display a diverse array of influenza HA trimers. PLoS ONE 16, e0247963 (2021).
24. King, N. P. et al. Accurate design of co-assembling multi-component protein nanomaterials. Nature 510, 103–108 (2014).
25. Bale, J. B. et al. Construction and group 2 influenza A hemagglutinin complexes. Science 353, 389–394 (2016).
26. Martin, J. et al. Studies of the binding properties of influenza hemagglutinin receptor-site mutants. Virology 241, 101–111 (1998).
27. Whittle, J. R. et al. Flow cytometry reveals that HSNI vaccination elicits cross-reactive stem-directed antibodies from multiple Ig heavy-chain lineages. J. Virol. 88, 4047–4057 (2014).
28. Creanga, A. et al. A comprehensive influenza reporter virus panel for high-throughput deep profiling of neutralizing antibodies. Nat. Commun. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-021-21965-2 (2021).
29. Corti, D. et al. A neutralizing antibody selected from plasma cells that binds to group 1 and group 2 influenza A hemagglutinins. Science 333, 850–856 (2011).
30. Bianchi, M. et al. Electron microscopy-based epitope mapping defines specificities of polyclonal antibodies elicited during HIV-1 BG505 envelope trimer immunization. Immunity 49, 288–300.e8 (2018).
31. Kallwaew, N. L. et al. Structure and function analysis of an antibody recognizing all strains of influenza A viruses. J. Virol. 94, 13701–13706 (2010).
32. Joyce, M. B. et al. Vaccine-induced antibodies that neutralize group 1 and group 2 influenza viruses. Cell 166, 609–623 (2016).
33. Wei, C.-J. et al. Induction of broadly neutralizing H1N1 influenza antibodies by vaccination. Science 329, 1060–1064 (2010).
34. Darracq, N. et al. Development of a Pan-H1 influenza vaccine. J. Virol. 92, e03491-18 (2018).
35. Giles, B. M. & Ross, T. M. A computationally optimized broadly reactive antigen (COBRA) based HSNI VLP vaccine elicits broadly reactive antibodies in mice and ferrets. Vaccine 29, 3043–3054 (2011).
36. Broecker, F. et al. A mosaic hemagglutinin-based influenza virus vaccine candidate protects mice from challenge with divergent H3N2 strains. NPJ Vaccines 4, 31 (2019).
37. Sun, W. et al. Development of influenza B universal vaccine candidates using the “mosaic” hemagglutinin approach. J. Virol. 93, e00333-19 (2019).
38. Ng, S. et al. Novel correlates of protection against pandemic H1N1 influenza A virus infection. Nat. Med. 25, 962–967 (2019).
39. Fonville, J. M. et al. Antibody landscapes after influenza virus infection or vaccination. Science 346, 996–1000 (2014).
40. Gostic, K. M., Ambrose, M., Worobey, M. & Lloyd-Smith, J. O. Potent protection against H5N1 and H7N9 influenza via childhood hemagglutinin imprinting. Science 354, 722–726 (2016).

Publisher's note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations. © The Author(s), under exclusive licence to Springer Nature Limited 2021
**Methods**

**Data reporting**

For mouse and ferret experiments, sample sizes were predetermined to detect twofold difference with more than 80% power on the basis of a two-tailed test of means with alpha set to 0.05 by one-way ANOVA pairwise test. No statistical methods were used to predetermine sample size for other experiments. Mice and ferrets were allocated randomly, whereas NHPs were allocated into experimental groups on the basis of their sex, weight, age and previous study history. In vivo challenge studies were performed in a blinded manner. Experimenters were blinded to experimental conditions whenever possible. Investigators were not blinded to allocation during experiments and outcome assessment.

**Gene synthesis and vector construction**

Plasmids for expression of the I53 dnSA pentamer were prepared in pET29b as previously described. Genes for expression of HA fusions to nanoparticle trimeric components were codon optimized for expression in human cells and cloned into the CMV/R (VRC 8400) mammalian expression vector by Genscript. All HA fusions to the I53 dnSB trimer contained full-length HA ectodomains including native secretion signals, and the H1 and H3 Has contained an additional mutation (Ty98Phe) to knock out sialic acid binding to facilitate expression and purification. HA ectodomain sequences preceded a short linker sequence followed by the I53 dnSB trimer sequence with a C-terminal flexible linker, WELQut protease recognition sequence, and a hexa-histidine tag. The amino acid sequences for all proteins used in this study are provided in Supplementary Table 1.

**Protein expression and purification**

All HA-I53 dnSB trimers, as well as monoclonal antibodies CR6261 (ref. 41), 5J8 (ref. 42), CR8020 (ref. 43), F005-126 (ref. 44), F045-092 (ref. 45), MED18552 (ref. 46), Fl6v3 (ref. 47), CR9114 and CR8071 (ref. 48), CT149 (ref. 49), D25 (ref. 40) and MPE8 (ref. 40) were expressed in Exp293F cells (ThermoFisher Scientific) by transient transfection using PEI MAX (Polysciences) or Expifectamine 293 (ThermoFisher Scientific). Monoclonal antibodies were purified by protein A affinity chromatography using established methods. Recombinant HA ectodomain trimers fused to T4 fibrinogen foldon were produced and purified as previously described. The protein-containing supernatants from cells expressing HA-I53 dnSB fusion proteins were further clarified by filtration (0.22 μm, Millipore Sigma). Before immobilized metal affinity chromatography, a background of 50 mM Tris, pH 8.0, and 350 mM NaCl was added to the clarified supernatant using concentrated solutions of 1M Tris, pH 8.0, and 5 M NaCl, respectively. For each litre of supernatant, 4 ml of Ni²⁺ Sepharose Excel resin (GE) was rinsed into PBS using a gravity flow. After assembly and incubation, the samples were centrifuged for 10 min at 18,600 × g at 4 °C and the nanoparticle immunogens purified by SEC using a Superdex 200 Increase 10/300 GL column pre-equilibrated with 25 mM Tris, pH 8.0, 150 mM NaCl, 5% glycerol. The protein-containing supernatants from cells expressing HA-I53 dnSA pentamer were pooled and the protein quantified using UV/vis spectroscopy. The samples were confirmed to be low in endotoxin (<100 EU mg⁻¹) using the limulus amebocyte lysate (LAL) assay (Charles River), then flash-frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at −80 °C within 6 h of purification to prevent oxidation of cysteine residues.

**Protein expression and purification**

To assemble nanoparticle immunogens bearing several copies of single HA antigens (for example, H1-I53 dn5), individual HA-bearing trimeric components were mixed with pentameric I53 dn5A at a molar ratio of 1:1 (subunit:subunit) at concentrations ranging from 15 to 40 μM (subunit) by pipetting. Assembly reactions were prepared at room temperature and incubated for 30 min before purification by SEC on a Superose 6 Increase 10/300 GL. The nanoparticle immunogens eluted at the void volume of the column. Fractions were analysed by SDS–PAGE (both reducing and non-reducing) before pooling and sterile filtering at 0.22 μm. For H1-I53 dn5 and H3-I53 dn5 nanoparticles, assembly reactions consisted of pentameric components and HA-bearing trimeric components buffered in either PBS or 25 mM Tris, pH 8.0, 150 mM NaCl, 5% glycerol. After assembly and incubation, the samples were centrifuged for 10 min at 18,600 g at 4 °C and the nanoparticle immunogens purified by SEC using a Superose 6 Increase 10/300 GL column pre-equilibrated with 25 mM Tris, pH 8.0, 150 mM NaCl, 5% glycerol.

For B/Vic (B/Victoria/2/1987-like)-I53 dn5 and B/Yam (B/Yamagata/16/1988-like)-I53 dn5 nanoparticles, half of the assembly reaction volume consisted of an additional buffer solution with high ionic strength to maintain nanoparticle immunogen solubility. The solutions used were 25 mM Tris, pH 8.0, 1.85 M NaCl, 3% glycerol for B/Vic and 25 mM Tris, pH 8.0, 3.85 M NaCl, 5% glycerol for B/Yam, which respectively brought NaCl in the assembly reactions to approximately 1 M and 2 M. In these cases the HA-bearing trimeric component was first added to the high-salt buffer before addition of the pentameric component. After assembly and incubation, the samples were centrifuged for 10 min at 18,600 g at room temperature and the nanoparticle immunogens purified by SEC using a Superose 6 Increase 10/300 GL column pre-equilibrated with 25 mM Tris, pH 8.0, 1 M NaCl, 5% glycerol for B/Vic-I53 dn5 or 25 mM Tris, pH 8.0, 2 M NaCl, 5% glycerol for B/Yam-I53 dn5.

For mosaic nanoparticles with equal amounts of each seasonal HA (qsMosaic-I53 dn5s), all four HA-bearing trimeric components (in PBS) were first mixed in equimolar amounts. Tris, pH 8.0, 1.85 M NaCl, 5% glycerol was added such that the final NaCl in the in vitro assembly
reaction would be 1 M. The pentameric component was added and the solution was mixed vigorously by pipetting. After assembly the samples were centrifuged for 10 min at 14,000 rpm at 4 °C and the nanoparticle immunogens purified by SEC using a Superose 6 increase 10/300 GL column pre-equilibrated with 25 mM Tris, pH 8.0, 150 mM NaCl, 5% glycerol.

After purification and evaluation of nanoparticle immunogen quality by SDS–PAGE, UV/vis spectroscopy, negative-stain electron microscopy, dynamic light scattering and LAL assay (<100 EU mg⁻¹), samples were flash-frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at −80 °C.

**Dynamic light scattering**

Light scattering analysis was conducted using an Unicel (Unchained Labs) at 25 °C. For each sample, 10 acquisitions (5 s per acquisition) were obtained using auto-attenuation of the laser. Increased viscosity due to the inclusion of 5% glycerol in the H1-I53_dn5, H3-I53_dn5, B/Yam-I53_dn5, B/Vic-I53_dn5, qsMosaic-I53_dn5 and I53_dn5 nanoparticles was accounted for in the software.

**Negative-stain electron microscopy and cryo-EM of immunogens**

To image nanoparticles and non-assembling immunogens by negative-stain electron microscopy, protein samples were diluted to 0.020–0.075 mg ml⁻¹ in 25 mM Tris, pH 8.0, with NaCl concentrations ranging from 0.15 to 2.0. 300 mesh cooper grids (Ted Pella) were glow discharged immediately before use. Six microlitres of sample was applied to the grid for 1 min, then briefly dipped in a droplet of water before blotting away excess liquid with Whatman no. 1 filter paper. Grids were stained with 6 µl of 0.75% (v/v) uranyl formate stain, immediately blotting away excess, then stained again with another 6 µl for 30 s. Grids were imaged on a Morgagni transmission electron microscope with a Gatan camera, and Gatan Digital Micrograph software was used to take images.

To obtain a cryo-EM single particle reconstruction of the H1-I53_dn5 nanoparticle, 3 µl of 0.7 mg ml⁻¹ H1-I53_dn5 was loaded onto a freshly glow-discharged (30 s at 20 mA) Protochips C-flat grid (2.0 µm hole, 200 mesh) using a Waters Acquity UPLC coupled to a Thermo L TQ-OT using 1 M. The pentameric component was added and the solution was mixed vigorously by pipetting. After assembly the samples were centrifuged for 10 min at 14,000 rpm at 4 °C and the nanoparticle immunogens purified by SEC using a Superose 6 increase 10/300 GL column pre-equilibrated with 25 mM Tris, pH 8.0, 150 mM NaCl, 5% glycerol.

After purification and evaluation of nanoparticle immunogen quality by SDS–PAGE, UV/vis spectroscopy, negative-stain electron microscopy, dynamic light scattering and LAL assay (<100 EU mg⁻¹), samples were flash-frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at −80 °C.

**Dynamic light scattering**

Light scattering analysis was conducted using an Unicel (Unchained Labs) at 25 °C. For each sample, 10 acquisitions (5 s per acquisition) were obtained using auto-attenuation of the laser. Increased viscosity due to the inclusion of 5% glycerol in the H1-I53_dn5, H3-I53_dn5, B/Yam-I53_dn5, B/Vic-I53_dn5, qsMosaic-I53_dn5 and I53_dn5 nanoparticles was accounted for in the software.

**Negative-stain electron microscopy and cryo-EM of immunogens**

To image nanoparticles and non-assembling immunogens by negative-stain electron microscopy, protein samples were diluted to 0.020–0.075 mg ml⁻¹ in 25 mM Tris, pH 8.0, with NaCl concentrations ranging from 0.15 to 2.0. 300 mesh cooper grids (Ted Pella) were glow discharged immediately before use. Six microlitres of sample was applied to the grid for 1 min, then briefly dipped in a droplet of water before blotting away excess liquid with Whatman no. 1 filter paper. Grids were stained with 6 µl of 0.75% (v/v) uranyl formate stain, immediately blotting away excess, then stained again with another 6 µl for 30 s. Grids were imaged on a Morgagni transmission electron microscope with a Gatan camera, and Gatan Digital Micrograph software was used to take images.

To obtain a cryo-EM single particle reconstruction of the H1-I53_dn5 nanoparticle, 3 µl of 0.7 mg ml⁻¹ H1-I53_dn5 was loaded onto a freshly glow-discharged (30 s at 20 mA) Protochips C-flat grid (2.0 µm hole, 200 mesh) by multiple blotting strategy⁵⁰ with a defocus range between −1.2 μm and −2.5 μm. Movie frame alignment, estimation of the microscope contrast-transfer function and DTT. Samples were then alkylated with iodoacetamide, deglycosylated with N-glycanase (New England Biolabs), and digested overnight with LysC protease (ThermoFisher scientific). LC–MS was performed using a Waters Acquity UPLC coupled to a Thermo LTQ-OT using data-dependent acquisition. Peptides were resolved over a Waters CSH C18 1.7 µm, 2.1 × 100 mm column with a linear gradient from 3% to 40% B over 30 min (A: 0.1% formic acid; B: acetonitrile with 0.1% formic acid). Peptides were identified from MS/MS data using Protein Prospector using a score cut off of 15 (http://prospector.ucsf.edu/). Owing to the high sequence identity between the HA constructs, only four peptides unique to each specific HA were observed that could be used for label-free quantitation. The integrated peak areas for these peptides relative to the areas from an equimolar mixture of each HA were used to estimate the total abundance of each HA within the mosaic nanoparticle samples (Supplementary Table 3).

**Hydrogen–deuterium exchange mass spectrometry**

For each time point, 30 pM of H1-HA foldon and H1-I53_dn5 were incubated in deuterated buffer (85% D₂O, pH/pD 7.4) for 3; 60; 1,800; or 72,000 s at room temperature and subsequently mixed with an equal volume of ice-cold quench buffer (4 M urea, 200 mM tris(2-chlorethyl) phosphate (TCEP), 0.2% formic acid) to a final pH of 2.5. Samples were immediately frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at −80 °C until analysis. Fully deuterated samples were prepared by digesting 30 pmol of...
undeterated HI-foldon over a pepsin column, followed by concentration under vacuum, resuspension in deuterated buffer at 65 °C for 1 h, then quenching and freezing. Zero time-point samples were prepared as previously described63. Inline pepsin digestion was performed and analysed by liquid chromatography–ion-mobility spectrometry–mass spectrometry (LC–IMS–MS) using a Waters Synapt G2 Si Q-TOF mass spectrometer as previously described63. Deuterium uptake analysis was performed using HD-Examiner (Sierra Analytics) followed by HX-Express V3.13 (refs. 48–49). The percentage exchange was normalized to the zero time point and fully deuterated reference samples. Internal exchange standards (Pro-Pro-Pro-Ile [PPPI] and Pro-Pro-Pro-Phe [PPP]) were included in each reaction to ensure that conditions were consistent throughout all of the labelling reactions.

Animal experiments
All animal experiments were reviewed and approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee of the VRC, NIAID, NIH. All animals were housed and cared for in accordance with local, state, federal, and institutional policies of NIH and American Association for Accreditation of Laboratory Animal Care. The space temperature in the rodent facility was set to 22 °C ± 3 °C. The humidity was maintained between 30% and 70%. The automatic light cycle is a 12 h on/off photo-period.

Immunization and challenge studies
The 2017–2018 and 2018–2019 QIVs used were split virion vaccines manufactured in embryonated chicken eggs (Afluria, Seqirus). Throughout our studies, we matched the total protein dose of each nanoparticle immunogen to the HA content of QIV. The HA antigens make up approximately 62% of the total peptideic mass of the nanoparticle immunogens. The HA content of commercial QIV was determined by the manufacturer using the standard SRD assay, and the total protein content of the nanoparticle vaccine preparations was measured by UV/vis absorbance. BALB/cj mice (Jackson Laboratory) were immunized intramuscularly with 6 μg of commercial QIV 2017–2018 (Afluria, Seqirus), qsCocktail-I53_dn5, or qsMosaic-I53_dn5 in the presence or absence of AddaVax (InvivoGen) at weeks 0, 4 and 8. Formulated vaccines were given 50 μl into each hind leg. Serum samples were collected before and after each immunization and used for immunological assays. For challenge studies, mice were infected intranasally at Bioqual with 10×, 25×, 10× and 10× the experimentally determined 50% lethal dose (LD50) of H1N1, H5N1, H3N2 and H7N9 viruses, respectively (Supplementary Table 4). The mice were monitored twice daily for development of clinical signs and weighed daily for 14 days. Any mice that had lost 20% or more of their initial body weight were euthanized. Fitch ferrets (M. putorius) were immunized intramuscularly with 20 μg of commercial QIV 2017–2018, qsCocktail-I53_dn5 or qsMosaic-I53_dn5 with AddaVax three times at weeks 0, 4 and 8. Immunogens were formulated in 500 μl per ferret and injected into limbs. Serum samples were collected periodically before and after immunization and used for immunological assays. Ferrets were infected intranasally at Bioqual with 25× and 10× LD50 of H5N1 and H7N9 viruses, respectively (Supplementary Table 4). Clinical signs of infection, weight and body temperatures were recorded twice daily for 14 days. Ferrets that showed signs of severe disease (prolonged fever, diarrhea, thirst; nasal discharge interfering with eating, drinking or breathing; severe lethargy; or neurological signs) or that had more than 20% weight loss were euthanized immediately. Rhesus macaques (M. mulatta) were immunized intramuscularly with 60 μg of commercial QIV 2017–2018, qsCocktail-I53_dn5 or qsMosaic-I53_dn5 with AddaVax three times at weeks 0, 8 and 16. Immunogens were prepared in 1.0 ml volumes per NHP and injected into limbs. Some of the NHPs that were immunized three times with either 2017–2018 commercial QIV (n = 3) or qsMosaic-I53_dn5 (n = 3) were boosted 6 weeks later with a single dose of an updated version of qsMosaic-I53_dn5 containing the 2018–2019 seasonal strains. Serum samples were collected periodically before and after immunization and used for immunological assays.

ELISA
Antigen-specific IgG levels in immune sera were measured by ELISA. The plates were coated with 2 μg ml−1 of recombinant HA-foldon proteins (Supplementary Table 1) and incubated at 4 °C overnight. Plates were then blocked with PBS containing 5% skim milk at 37 °C for 1 h. Monoclonal antibodies and immune sera were serially diluted in fourfold steps and added to the wells for 1 h. Horseradish peroxidase (HRP)-conjugated anti-human (SouthernBiotech, 2040-05, used 1:5,000); anti-mouse IgG (SouthernBiotech, 1080-05, used 1:5,000); and anti-ferret IgG (Abcam, Ab12770, used 1:20,000); or anti-monkey IgG (SouthernBiotech, 4700-05, used 1:5,000) antibody was added and incubated at 37 °C for 1 h. The wells were developed with TMB substrate (KPL), and the reactions were stopped by adding 1 M H2SO4, before measuring absorbance at 450 nm with a Spectramax Paradigm plate reader ( Molecular Devices). Sera from mice immunized with PBS or an irrelevant antigen (DS-Cavi-I53_dn5), ferrets immunized with PBS, and NHPs before immunization were used as negative controls, and did not yield signal above background (Supplementary Fig. 2).

Reporter-based microneutralization assay
All reporter viruses were prepared as previously described28. In brief, all H1N1 and H3N2 viruses were made with a modified PBI segment expressing the TdKatushka reporter gene (R3ΔPBI) and propagated in MDCK-SIAT-PBI cells, while H5N1 reporter virus was made with a modified HA segment expressing the reporter (R3ΔHA) and produced in cells stably expressing H5 HA. Replication-restricted reporter influenza viruses encoding influenza B HA and NA coding regions were rescued using plasmids expressing the open reading frames of influenza B HA and NA genes flanked by genome packaging signals of influenza A H5 and NA segments67, respectively. These viruses have a PBI segment modified to express the TdKatushka2 reporter gene and encode the internal genes of influenza A (A/WSN/1933, H3N1) virus. Rescued viruses were propagated in MDCK-SIAT-PBI in the presence of TPCK-treated trypsin (1 μg ml−1, Sigma) at 34 °C. Virus stocks were stored at −80 °C. Mouse sera were treated with receptor destroying enzyme (RDE II; Denka Seiken) and heat-inactivated before use in neutralization assays. Immune sera or monoclonal antibodies were serially diluted and incubated for 1 h at 37 °C with pre-titrated viruses (Supplementary Table 4). Serum-virus mixtures were then transferred to 96-well plates (PerkinElmer), and 1.0 × 104 MDCK-SIAT-PBI cells28,68 were added into each well. After overnight incubation at 37 °C, the number of fluorescent cells in each well was counted automatically using a Celigo image cytometer (Nexcelom Biosciences). For neutralization competition assays, mouse immune sera were pre-incubated with H1M15/HA, HI CA09 stem HA, or irrelevant RSV F protein at a final concentration of 50 μg ml−1 at room temperature for 1 h before use in the reporter-based microneutralization assay described above. IC50 values, defined as the serum dilution or antibody concentration that gives 50% reduction in virus-infected cells, were calculated from neutralization curves using a four-parameter nonlinear regression model and plotted with GraphPad Prism (v.8.0).

Pseudovirus neutralization assay
Pseudovirus neutralization assays were carried out using luciferase-encoding lentiviruses pseudotyped with influenza HA and NA, as previously described69,70. The HA and NA sequences used to generate the pseudoviruses were derived from H1N1 PR8 and H3N2 PH82 (Supplementary Table 4). In brief, mouse sera were treated with receptor destroying enzyme (RDE II; SEIKEN Accurate Chemical and Scientific) and heat-inactivated before use in assays. Immune sera were pre-incubated with H1 MI15 HA, HI CA09 stem HA, or irrelevant RSV F protein at a final concentration of 50 μg ml−1 at room temperature for 1 h before use in the reporter-based microneutralization assay described above. IC50 values, defined as the serum dilution or antibody concentration that gives 50% reduction in virus-infected cells, were calculated from neutralization curves using a four-parameter nonlinear regression model and plotted with GraphPad Prism (v.8.0).
of fresh Dulbecco’s Modified Eagle Medium including 5% fetal bovine serum (Fisher Scientific) and 5,000 U ml\(^{-1}\) penicillin-streptomycin (Gibco), and the plates were incubated in a static 37 °C, 5% CO\(_2\), humidified incubator for 48 h. Cells were lysed with cell culture lysis buffer (Promega) and luciferase activity in the lysate was measured using Luciferase kit (Promega). Luminescence was measured with a Spectramax M Luminescence (Molecular Devices). Ig\(_{\text{G}}\) values were calculated from neutralization curves using a four-parameter nonlinear regression model and plotted with GraphPad Prism (v.8.0).

**Haemagglutination inhibition assay**

HAI titre to vaccine-matched viruses were tested with immune sera. The reporter influenza viruses H1N1 MI15, H3N2 HK14, B/Vic CO17 and B/Yam PH13 (Supplementary Table 4) were propagated in Madin-Darby canine kidney (MDCK) cells. Immune serum was treated with receptor-destroying enzyme (RDE II; Denka Seiken) before use in HAI assays. Immune serum was serially diluted and incubated with viruses (four haemagglutination units per well) and then incubated with 0.5% turkey or guinea pig (for H3N2 HK14 virus only) red blood cells (Lampire Biological Laboratories) for 30 min at room temperature. The HAI titre of the sample was determined on the basis of the well with the last non-agglutinated appearance, immediately before an agglutination reaction was observed.

**Passive transfer**

To generate hyper-immune IgG for passive transfer, the immune serum samples from each NHP were diluted 1:50 with PBS, added to protein A columns, and incubated overnight at 4 °C. After washing the columns briefly, captured antibodies were eluted with low-pH IgG elution buffer (ThermoFisher Scientific) and the eluates were immediately neutralized by adding 1 M Tris-HCl, pH 8.0, to a final concentration of 100 mM. Purified polyclonal antibodies were dialysed twice against PBS, concentrated to approximately 20 mg ml\(^{-1}\) and stored at ~80 °C until use. BALB/c\(\times\)NHSd mouse (Envigo) were given intraperitoneally 0.2 mg of Fl6v3 (approximately 10 mg kg\(^{-1}\)) or 10 mg of purified polyclonal lg from individual NHPs. Twenty-four hours later, the mice were infected intranasally with 25× or 10× LD\(_{50}\) of H5N1 or H7N9 viruses (Supplementary Table 4) at Bioqual. The mice were monitored twice daily for development of clinical signs of infection and weighed daily for 14 days. Any mice that lost 20% or more of their initial body weight were euthanized.

**Preparation of polyclonal immunoglobulin antigen-binding fragments**

To generate polyclonal Fab fragments for epitope mapping, the immune serum samples from each NHP were diluted with PBS and applied to protein A columns. After washing the columns, captured antibodies were eluted with 0.1 M glycine, pH 3.5, and the eluates were immediately neutralized by adding Tris-HCl, pH 8.0, to a final concentration of 50 mM. Purified IgG was buffer-exchanged into PBS and concentrated to approximately 25 mg ml\(^{-1}\), and 250 μl of 2× digestion buffer (40 mM sodium phosphate pH 6.5, 20 mM EDTA, 40 mM cysteine) was added. Then, 300 μl of resuspended immobilized papain resin (ThermoFisher Scientific) was freshly washed in 1× digestion buffer (20 mM sodium phosphate, 10 mM EDTA, 20 mM cysteine, pH 6.5) was added, and samples were shaken for 5h at 37 °C. The supernatant was separated from resin and mixed with 1 ml of 20 mM Tris, pH 8.0. Resin was washed twice with 500 μl of 20 mM Tris, pH 8.0, and supernatants from the washes were pooled with the original supernatant to increase sample yield. Pooled supernatants were sterile-filtered at 0.22 μm and applied to protein A columns. Unbound fractions were pooled, concentrated to approximately 10 mg ml\(^{-1}\), and dialysed twice against 25 mM Tris, pH 8.0, to remove excess phosphates and cysteine before sample preparation for electron microscopy. Final samples were confirmed by SDS–PAGE, flash-frozen, and stored at ~80 °C.

**Electron microscopy polyclonal epitope mapping**

To prepare complexes of H1 HA and polyclonal Fab fragments, 150-fold molar excesses of qsCocktail- or qsMosaic-I53 dn5-elicited antibody Fab fragments were incubated with H1 HA-foldon for 1 h at room temperature, and the complexes were purified on a Superdex 200 Increase 10/300 GL column. The purified complexes were adsorbed onto glow-discharged carbon-coated copper mesh grids for 60 s, stained with 2% uranyl formate for 30 s, and allowed to air dry. Grids were imaged using an FEI Tecnai Spirit 120 kV electron microscope equipped with a Gatan Ultrascan 4400 CCD Camera. The pixel size at the specimen level was 1.60 Å. Data collection was performed using LegoBou (ref. 29) with most of the data processing carried out in Appion. In total, 4,112 and 3,237 micrographs were collected for qsCocktail-I53 dn5- and qsMosaic-I53 dn5-elicited Fab–HA complexes, respectively. The parameters of the contrast transfer function (CTF) were estimated using CTFIND4 (ref. 29). All particles were picked in a reference-free manner using DoG Picker. Reference-free 2D classification was used to select homogeneous subsets of particles using CryoSPARC. Only receptor binding domain, vestigial esterase domain, and stem-directed antibodies were included in the calculations. Particles from these classes were separately subjected to 3D refinement using CryoSPARC. The head-binding Fabs of the different classes were similar, but most classes showed obvious asymmetric features. All 3D reconstructions were compared to three classes of structurally characterized anti-HA antibodies: (i) receptor binding domain-targeted antibodies CH65 (PDB: 4QY5), COS (PDB: 4F88), F045-092 (PDB: 4OS8), HC63 (PDB: 4K1N), 2G1 (PDB: 4HG4), 8M2 (PDB: 4FHU), 5J8 (PDB: 4MSZ), 1F1 (PDB: 4GXU) and S139/1 (PDB: 4GMS); (ii) vestigial esterase domain-targeted antibodies H5M9 (PDB: 4MJH) and CR8071 (PDB: 4FQJ); and (iii) stem-binding antibodies C179 (PDB: 4HLZ). CR6261 (PDB: 3GBN), CR8043 (PDB: 4NMS), CR8020 (PDB: 3SDY), CR9114 (PDB: 4FQJ), Fl6v3 (PDB: 3ZTJ), MEDI8852 (PDB: 3JW4) and 39.29 (PDB: 4KN). Estimates of the fraction of particles containing receptor binding domain-, vestigial esterase domain- and stem-binding Fab were based on the number of particles clustered in each group. Particles containing Fabs bound to multiple sites were counted against each site. In Fig. 5c, the coordinates of an H1 HA crystal structure (PDB 1RUZ) and a Fab fragment (PDB 3GBN) were fitted into the EM densities. H5 HA and polyclonal Fab fragment complexes were prepared and verified by negative-stain electron microscopy as described above and then pooled and concentrated. Next, 3 μl of 0.1 mg ml\(^{-1}\) H5 HA in complex with qsMosaic-I53 dn5-elicited antibody Fab fragments was loaded onto a freshly glow-discharged (30 s at 20 mA) 1.2/1.3 Ulmoflat grid (300 mesh) with a thin layer of evaporated continuous carbon before plunge freezing using vitaRTBULMARK IV (ThermoFisher Scientific) using a blot force of ~1 and 2.5 s blot time at 100% humidity and 25 °C. Data were acquired using the an FEI Titan Krios transmission electron microscope operated at 300 kV and equipped with a Gatan K2 Summit direct detector and Gatan Quantum GIF energy filter, operated in zero-loss mode with a slit width of 20 eV. Automated data collection was carried out using LegoBou at a nominal magnification of 130,000× with a pixel size of 0.525 Å. The dose rate was adjusted to 8 counts pixel\(^{-1}\) s\(^{-1}\), and each movie was acquired in super-resolution mode fractionated in 50 frames of 200 ms. We collected 2,374 micrographs using beam-image shift with a defocus range between ~1.0 and ~2.5 μm. Movie frame alignment, estimation of the microscope contrast-transfer function parameters, particle picking, and extraction were carried out using...
Warp. Particle images were extracted with a box size of 800 pixels\(^2\) binned to 400 pixels\(^2\) yielding a pixel size of 1.05 Å. Two rounds of reference-free 2D classification were performed using CryoSPARC to select well-defined particle images. These selected particles were subjected to 3D refinement in CryoSPARC applying C3 symmetry using a map generated from a crystal structure of H5 HA (PDB 5JW4) low-pass filtered at 30 Å resolution. For beam tilt correction, the micrographs were grouped into beam tilt groups using beam-image shift values from Leginon. Beam tilt refinement was performed in Relion3.0 (ref. 74). After determining a refined 3D structure, the particles were then subjected to 3D classification without refining angles and shifts using a soft mask on three Fab regions and with a tau value of 20 using Relion. 3D refinements were carried out using non-uniform refinement along with per-particle defocus refinement in CryoSPARC\(^{75}\). Local resolution estimation, filtering, and sharpening was carried out using CryoSPARC. Reported resolutions are based on the gold-standard FSC of 0.143 criterion and FSC curves were corrected for the effects of soft masking by high-resolution noise substitution. In Fig. 5e, the coordinates of an H5 HA crystal structure in complex with MED18852 Fab (PDB 5JW4) were fitted into the electron microscopy densities.

Statistics and reproducibility

Multi-group comparisons were performed using nonparametric Kruskal–Wallis test with Dunn’s post hoc analysis in Prism 8 (GraphPad) unless mentioned otherwise. Differences were considered significant when \(P\) values were less than 0.05. Statistical tests to compare multiple Kaplan–Meier curves were performed using Mantel–Cox log-rank test with Bonferroni correction. Statistical methods and \(P\) value ranges can be found in the figures and legends. All figures were compiled in Inkscape (v.1.0).

Reporting summary

Further information on research design is available in the Nature Research Reporting Summary linked to this paper.

Data availability

All images and data were generated and analysed by the authors, and will be made available by the corresponding authors (B.S.G., N.P.K. and M.K.) upon reasonable request. Uncropped images of all gels are provided in Supplementary Fig. 1. Structural models and density maps have been deposited in the Protein Data Bank (PDB) and Electron Microscopy Data Bank (EMDB) under accession numbers EMD-22935 (H153 dN5 nanoparticle), EMD-22937 and PDB 7KNA (localized reconstruction of H1 HA), EMD-22940 (H5 HA bound to 3 polyclonal Fabs), EMD-22939 (H5 HA bound to 2 polyclonal Fabs), and EMD-22938 (H5 HA bound to 1 polyclonal Fab). Influenza reverse genetics plasmids were provided by the St Jude Children’s Research Hospital under a material transfer agreement with the NIH. Requests for these reagents should be made to the St Jude Children’s Research Hospital. Source data are provided with this paper.
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Extended Data Fig. 1 | Production and characterization of HA-I53_dn5 components and nanoparticle immunogens. a, SEC purification of seasonal HAs fused to I53_dn5 trimeric components, using a Superdex 200 Increase 10/300 GL column. b, Reducing and non-reducing SDS–PAGE of SEC-purified trimeric HA-I53_dn5B fusions, pentameric I53_dn5A component, and I53_dn5B trimer lacking fused HA. c, SEC purification of nanoparticle immunogens after in vitro assembly, including I53_dn5 lacking displayed antigen, using a Superose 6 Increase 10/300 GL column. The nanoparticle immunogens elute at the void volume of the column, while I53_dn5 is resolved. Residual, unassembled trimeric and pentameric components elute around 15 ml and 18 ml, respectively. d, Reducing and non-reducing SDS–PAGE of SEC-purified nanoparticle immunogens and I53_dn5. e, Antigenic characterization of purified nanoparticle immunogens by ELISA. Symbols indicate the specificity of each monoclonal antibody. AUC, area under the curve. f, Analytical SEC of purified nanoparticle immunogens, compared to I53_dn5 nanoparticles lacking displayed antigen and trimeric H1-I53_dn5B, using a Sephacryl S-500 HR 16/60 column. g, Dynamic light scattering of SEC-purified nanoparticle immunogens, including I53_dn5 nanoparticles lacking displayed antigen and trimeric H1-I53_dn5B. h, Analytical SEC of purified nanoparticle immunogens compared to I53_dn5 nanoparticles lacking displayed antigen and trimeric H1-I53_dn5B. i, Representative electron micrograph of H1-I53_dn5 embedded in vitreous ice. Scale bar, 100 nm. j, 2D class averages obtained using single-particle cryo-EM. Scale bar, 20 nm. k, Gold-standard Fourier shell correlation (FSC) curve for the H1-I53_dn5 density map presented in Fig. 1c. All experiments except for electron microscopy data collection and processing were performed at least twice.
Extended Data Fig. 2 | Hydrogen–deuterium exchange mass spectrometry (HDX-MS) of H1-foldon trimer and H1-I53_dn5 nanoparticle. a, Amino acid sequence of H1 ectodomain expressed as a genetic fusion to both foldon and I53_dn5B. Underlined sequences correspond to peptides analysed by HDX-MS. b, Hydrogen–deuterium exchange percentages after 20 h for both samples mapped onto the structure of HI HA (PDB 3LZG). c, Kinetics of hydrogen–deuterium exchange for both samples at multiple time points up to 20 h. Single asterisks denote peptides in which a negative percentage exchange was corrected to zero (<2% magnitude correction); double asterisks denote peptides that were missing a replicate at the 30 min time point.
Extended Data Fig. 3 | Controllable co-display of multiple antigenic variants on two-component nanoparticle immunogens. a, Sandwich BLI comparing qsCocktail-I53_dn5 and qsMosaic-I53_dn5. Biotinylated SJ8 immobilized on streptavidin probes was used to capture H1-containing nanoparticles from each sample. The captured particles were then exposed to antibodies specific to H3 (CR8020; left) or influenza B HA (CR8071; right). b, Numerical approximation of the H1 HA content of individual qsMosaic-I53_dn5 nanoparticles assuming an equimolar quadrivalent in vitro assembly reaction (that is, 25% of the input HA-I53_dn5B trimers bear H1 HA) and random incorporation of each HA-I53_dn5B trimer at each of the 20 trimeric positions into the nanoparticle. A distribution centred on 25% valency (5 H1 HA trimers per nanoparticle) is observed. c, Calculation of the fraction of individual mosaic nanoparticles displaying at least one H1 HA trimer as a function of the fractional concentration of H1-I53-dn5B in the in vitro assembly reaction ([H1]), expressed as: 1 – (1 – [H1])². At the 25% fractional concentration used to assemble qsMosaic-I53_dn5, 99.7% of the individual nanoparticles are expected to display at least one H1 HA trimer. d, Quantification of HA antigen content by peptide mass spectrometry in three distinct qsMosaic-I53_dn5 nanoparticles with various antigen ratios before and after preparative SEC. Dashed lines represent the fractional ratios of each HA in the in vitro assembly reactions used to prepare the mosaic nanoparticle immunogens, main bars represent the mean values of four unique peptides from each HA, and error bars represent the standard deviation of measurements across the four unique peptides from each HA. The peptides used to quantify each HA are provided in Supplementary Table 3.
Extended Data Fig. 4 | Vaccine-elicited antibody responses against vaccine-matched antigens. a–c, HA-specific antibody titres in immunized mice (a), ferrets (b) and NHPs (c). Immunization schemes are shown at the top of each panel. All immunizations were given intramuscularly with AddaVax. Groups of BALB/cJ mice (n = 10), ferrets (n = 9), and rhesus macaques (n = 4) were used in each experiment. ELISA antibody titres are expressed as endpoint dilutions. Each symbol represents an individual animal and the horizontal bar indicates the geometric mean of the group. Individual NHPs are identified by unique symbols. d, Antibody responses against unmodified I53_dn5 nanoparticles lacking displayed HA. Immunization scheme is shown at the top of the panel. Groups of NHPs (n = 4) were immunized three times with either QIV, qsCocktail-I53-dn5 or qsMosaic-I53_dn5 with AddaVax at weeks 0, 8 and 16. Serum samples were collected 2 weeks after each immunization and tested for ELISA binding antibody against unmodified I53 dn5 particles. Antibody titres are expressed as endpoint dilutions. Individual NHPs are identified by unique symbols. The immunization study was performed once. e, Antibody responses against vaccine-matched antigens and viruses elicited by unadjuvanted vaccines in immunized mice. Immunization scheme is shown. All immunizations were given intramuscularly. Groups of BALB/cJ mice (n = 10) were used. HA-specific ELISA binding antibody (top), HAI (middle), and microneutralization titres (bottom) in immune sera are shown. Microneutralization titres are reported as IC50 values. Each symbol represents an individual animal, and the horizontal bar indicates the geometric mean of the group. P-values were determined by nonparametric Kruskal–Wallis tests with Dunn’s multiple comparisons. All animal experiments except for NHP were performed at least twice and representative data are shown.
Extended Data Fig. 5 | Antibody responses against vaccine-matched antigens and viruses elicited by 2018–2019 vaccines. a, Immunization scheme. The commercial QIV, qsCocktail-I53_dn5 and qsMosaic-I53_dn5 vaccines used in this study comprised the 2018–2019 vaccine strains recommended by the WHO. Sequences for the HA-I53_dn5B fusion proteins—H1-I53_dn5, SG16-I53_dn5 (updated H3), B/Yam-I53_dn5, and CO17-I53_dn5 (updated B/Vic)—are provided in Supplementary Table 1. All immunizations were given intramuscularly with AddaVax. Groups of BALB/cJ mice (n = 10) were used. b–d, HA-specific antibody titres (b), HAI assay (c) and microneutralization titres (d) in immune sera. Microneutralization titres are reported as IC50 values. e, Heterosubtypic HA-specific antibody titres in immune sera. Each symbol represents an individual animal and the horizontal bar indicates the geometric mean of the group. P values were determined by nonparametric Kruskal–Wallis tests with Dunn’s multiple comparisons. The animal experiment was performed once.
Extended Data Fig. 6 | Neutralization of historical H1N1 and H3N2 viruses. Immunization scheme for the ferret study. Groups of ferrets (n = 9) were used. Phylogenetic trees of HA sequences of human H1N1 (left) and H3N2 (right) viruses are shown (see Supplementary Table 4). Each symbol represents an individual animal and the horizontal bar indicates the geometric mean of the group. P-values were determined by nonparametric Kruskal–Wallis tests with Dunn’s multiple comparisons. The ferret experiment was performed twice and representative data are shown.
Extended Data Fig. 7 | Antibody responses elicited by a non-assembling immunogen. a, Model of the I53_dnSB trimer, with the computationally designed interface that drives nanoparticle assembly indicated by the solid line (top), and the 1naOC3_int2 trimer, in which the interface mutations were reverted to their original identities (bottom). The dotted line indicates the inability of this molecule to drive nanoparticle assembly. b, Analytical SEC of the non-assembling immunogen (a mixture of four HA-1na0C3_int2 trimers with pentameric I53_dnSA) using a Superose 6 Increase 10/300 GL column. Only unassembled oligomeric components were observed. c, Reducing and non-reducing SDS–PAGE analysis of the non-assembling immunogen before and after analytical SEC. d, Negative–stain electron microscopy of the non-assembling immunogen, which confirmed the absence of higher-order structures indicated by analytical SEC. Scale bar, 100 nm. e, Immunization scheme in mice. All immunizations were given intramuscularly with AddaVax. Groups of BALB/cJ mice (n = 10) were used in the experiment. f, Microneutralization titres in immune sera against vaccine-matched or slightly mismatched viruses. Microneutralization titres are reported as IC50 values. g, Cross-reactive antibody titres in immune sera. Each symbol represents an individual animal, and the horizontal bar indicates the geometric mean of the group. P-values were determined by nonparametric Kruskal–Wallis tests with Dunn’s multiple comparisons. All experiments were performed once.
Extended Data Fig. 8 | Negative-stain electron microscopy analysis of H1 HA complexed with polyclonal antibody Fabs prepared from NHPs immunized with qsCocktail-I53_dn5 or qsMosaic-I53_dn5. a, Negative-stain electron microscopy analysis of Fabs obtained from NHPs immunized with qsCocktail-I53_dn5 in complex with recombinant H1 M115 HA trimers. Two-dimensional classifications were generated using 847,873 particles collected from 4,112 micrographs. The frequencies of complexes containing Fab fragments bound to RBD (81%), vestigial esterase (18%), or stem (1%) domains are presented as pie charts in Fig. 5c. The top part of each panel shows representative reference-free 2D class averages. Scale bars, 20 nm. The bottom part of each panel shows seven representative 3D reconstructions of HA–Fab complexes. Single complexes containing Fabs of multiple specificities were counted once against each specificity. The coordinates of an H1 HA crystal structure (PDB 1RUZ) and a Fab fragment (PDB 3GBN) were fitted into the electron microscopy densities. Light blue ribbons, H1 HA; cyan or magenta ribbons, Fabs. All experiments were performed once.

b, Negative-stain electron microscopy analysis of Fabs obtained from NHPs immunized with qsMosaic-I53_dn5 in complex with recombinant H1 M115 HA trimers. 2D classifications were generated using 997,557 particles collected from 3,237 micrographs. The frequencies of complexes containing Fab fragments bound to RBD (69%), vestigial esterase (24%), or stem (7%) domains are presented as pie charts in Fig. 5c. The top part of each panel shows representative reference-free 2D class averages. Scale bars, 20 nm. The bottom part of each panel shows seven representative 3D reconstructions of HA–Fab complexes. Single complexes containing Fabs of multiple specificities were counted once against each specificity. The coordinates of an H1 HA crystal structure (PDB 1RUZ) and a Fab fragment (PDB 3GBN) were fitted into the electron microscopy densities. Light blue ribbons, H1 HA; cyan or magenta ribbons, Fabs. All experiments were performed once.
Extended Data Fig. 9 | Cryo-EM analysis of heterosubtypic H5 HA in complex with polyclonal antibody Fab fragments prepared from NHP immunized with qsMosaic-I53_dn5. **a**, Representative cryo-electron micrograph. Scale bar, 100 nm. **b**, Reference-free 2D class averages. Scale bar, 20 nm. **c**, Gold-standard FSC curve for the asymmetric reconstruction shown in **d**. **d**, Asymmetric cryo-EM reconstruction of H5 HA–Fab complexes with Fab fragments bound to all three HA subunits at 3.6 Å resolution. The reconstruction is the same as that shown in the right panel in Fig. 5d, but here is coloured by local resolution. **e**, FSC curve for the asymmetric reconstruction shown in **f**. **f**, Two orthogonal orientations of an asymmetric cryo-EM reconstruction of H5 HA–Fab complexes with Fab fragments bound to two HA subunits at 4.1 Å resolution. **g**, FSC curve for the asymmetric reconstruction shown in **h**. **h**, Two orthogonal orientations of an asymmetric cryo-EM reconstruction of H5 HA–Fab complexes with Fab fragments bound to one HA subunit at 4.0 Å resolution. The reconstruction is the same as that shown in the left panel in Fig. 5d. All experiments were performed once.
Extended Data Fig. 10 | Vaccine-elicited antibody responses against vaccine-matched viruses in NHPs with pre-existing influenza immunity. Immunization scheme for the NHP study shown on the left. NHPs (n = 3) that had been immunized three times with either QIV 2017–2018 or qsMosaic-I53_dn5 2017–2018 were boosted 63 weeks later with a single dose (60 μg) of updated qsMosaic-I53_dn5 2018–2019. All immunizations were given intramuscularly with AddaVax. Microneutralization titres are reported IC_{50} values. Each symbol represents an individual animal and the horizontal bar indicates the geometric mean of the group. Individual NHPs are identified by unique symbols. *P* values were determined by paired *t*-tests.
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Software and code

Policy information about: availability of computer code

Data collection: EM data collection: Gatan Digital Micrograph software; Leginon (v3.5); Appion (v2.4)

Data analysis: All data with the following exceptions were analyzed with Matplotlib (v3.3.3) and GraphPad Prism (v8.4.3).
- EM analysis: Coot (v0.9) Relion (v3.0); CTFFIND4 (v4.1.14); DoG Picker (v0.2); CryoSPARC; Warp (v1.0.6); Phenix (v1.17.1-3660)
- Structure rendering: ChimeraX (v1.0.0); Chimera (v1.6.2)
- Bilayer interferometry: Octet Analysis (v11)
- Figure compilation: Inkscape (v1.0.0)

For manuscripts utilizing custom algorithms or software that are central to the research but not yet described in published literature, software must be made available to editors and reviewers. We strongly encourage code deposition in a community repository (e.g. GitHub). See the Nature Research guidelines for submitting code & software for further information.
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All images and data were generated and analyzed by the authors, and will be made available by the corresponding authors [B.S.G., N.P.K., and M.K.] upon reasonable request. Uncropped images of all gels/blots are provided in Supplementary Figure 1. Structural models and density maps have been deposited in the Protein Data Bank and Electron Microscopy Data Bank under accession numbers EMD-22933 (HA-iS3_dnS nanoparticles), EMD-22937 and PDB 7KNA [localized]
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**Sample size**

The number of animals selected for each study was chosen based on our prior experience with similar vaccine regimens. For mouse studies, assuming variance in immune response is proportional to mean for a given group (constant CV of 30%, typical for this type of experiments), a group size of 10 will give 89% power to detect 2-fold differences or 49% power to detect 1.5-fold differences between groups in the magnitude of the immunological parameters based on a two-tailed test of means with alpha set to 0.05 (calculation was performed by 1-way ANOVA pairwise tools at powerandsamplesize.com). For ferret studies, assuming variance in immune response and/or lethality is proportional to mean for a given group (constant CV of 30%, typical for this type of experiments), a group size of 6 will give 82% power to detect 2-fold differences (i.e. 100% vs. 50% survival rates) or 48% power to detect 1.5-fold differences (i.e. 100% vs. 67% survival rates) between vaccine and control groups based on a two-tailed test of means with alpha set to 0.05 (calculation was performed by 1-way ANOVA pairwise tools at powerandsamplesize.com). For nonhuman primate study, based on similar studies in mice and ferrets, we expect the differences in serum antibody titers to be at least 1 log10 between groups and the standard deviation of each group to be approximately 0.4 log10. With 4 animals per group, we have 82% power to detect 1 log difference between groups using 1-way ANOVA with a 2-sided equality and pairwise comparisons with a 5% Type I error rate. No sample size calculation was performed for experiments not involving animals. For those experiments, sample sizes were determined based on our previous studies utilizing similar experimental techniques.

**Data exclusions**

No data has been excluded.

**Replication**

All analyses for antibody binding, specificity, virus neutralization assays, biochemical and biophysical characterization have been performed at least twice. All attempts at replication were successful. Many of these analyses including immunization studies have been repeated three times or more with similar results. All of the data in which we could perform statistical analysis showed that the differences observed were significant and highly consistent across experiments. NHP immunization and cryo-EM studies were performed once.

**Randomization**

All mice and ferrets used in the studies were allocated randomly. NHPs were allocated into groups based on their sex, weight, age, and prior study history.

**Blinding**

In vivo challenge studies were done in a blinded manner. Experimenters were blinded to experimental conditions whenever possible. Readout of the serological assays including virus neutralization assays, and structural, biochemical and biophysical characterizations were not performed in a blinded manner, as these experiments often require subtle real-time adjustment to ensure optimal data collection.
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### Materials & experimental systems

| n/a | Involved in the study |
|-----|-----------------------|
| ☑  | Antibodies            |
|     | Eukaryotic cell lines |
| ☑  | Palaeontology and archaeology |
| ☑  | Animals and other organisms |
| ☑  | Human research participants |
| ☑  | Clinical data         |
| ☑  | Dual use research of concern |

### Methods

| n/a | Involved in the study |
|-----|-----------------------|
| ☑  | CHIP-seq              |
|     | Flow cytometry        |
|     | MRI-based neuroimaging |

### Antibodies

Antibodies used

All the antibodies except for secondary antibodies used in the study were made recombinantly by cloning antibody heavy and light chains into the respective mammalian expression vectors. Recombinant antibodies were produced in mammalian cells (Exp293 cells) by transient transfection of expression vectors and purified by protein A affinity chromatography. Sequences, specificity and function of the antibodies were verified for each antibody. Antibodies CR6261 (human), SJ8 (human), CR8020 (human), FO05-126 (human), F663 (human), MED48852 (human), CR8114 (human), CR8071 (human), and D25 (human) were used in ELISA. Antibodies MPEB...
Validation

All the antibodies used in the study were tested for their reactivity and specificity by ELISA, BIJ using a set of recombinant HAS, or virus neutralization assays with multiple subtype viruses prior to use in the study.

Eukaryotic cell lines

Policy information about: cell lines

Cell line source(s)

- Exp293F cells (ThermoFisher, Catalog A14527);
- 293A cells (ThermoFisher, Catalog R70507);
- Turkey and guinea pig red blood cells (Lampire biologicals, Catalog 7249409 and 7243109, respectively);
- MDCK-SIAT1-PB1 cells (Creanga et al., doi:10.1101/2020.02.24.963611).

The parental MDCK-SIAT1 cells were purchased from Millipore Sigma (Catalog 05071507).

Authentication

Commercial cell lines were authenticated by manufacturers and no further authentications were performed by the authors; MDCK-SIAT-PB1 cells were not authenticated. All cells used in the studies were not extensively passaged.

Mycoplasma contamination

Tested negative.

Commonly misidentified lines

No commonly misidentified cell lines were used in this study.

Animals and other organisms
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Laboratory animals

Female 4–6 week old BALB/cJ (Jackson Laboratories) or BALB/cAnNhsd (Envigo) mice; domestic finch ferrets (mixed sex, 6 month old; Triple F Farm); and rhesus macaques (9 males and 3 females, 4–6 year old) were used in the studies in accordance with all federal regulations, NIH guidelines, AAALAC, and IACUC approval. All mouse and ferret challenge studies were performed at Bioqual, Inc. Other mouse studies were performed at NIH. The VRC research facility is AAALAC International accredited and standards for all animal care (acquisition, breeding, and experimental protocols), biosafety, and personnel occupational health and safety conform to all Federal, State and local regulations including but not limited to the following:

a) The Animal Welfare Act (P.L. 89-544, as amended) Rules and Regulations published in the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR), Title 9 (Animals and Animal Products), Chapter 1, Subchapter A (Animal Welfare), Parts 1, 2, and 3
b) Public Health Service (PHS) Policy on Humane Care and Use of Laboratory Animals (1996)
c) The Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals, revised 2010
d) Biosafety in Microbiological and Biomedical Laboratories (CDC, NIH 2009)
e) Occupational Health and Safety in the Care and Use of Research Animals [NRC 1997]

The space temperature in the rodent facility is set to 22°C ± 3 degrees. The humidity is maintained between 30% and 70%. The automatic light cycle is a 12 hour on/off photo-period.

Wild animals

No wild animals were used in the study.

Field-collected samples

No field collected samples were used in the study.

Ethics oversight

All animal experiments were reviewed and approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee of the VRC, NIAID, NIH. All animals were housed and cared for in accordance with local, state, federal, and institutional policies of NIH and American Association for Accreditation of Laboratory Animal Care.

Note that full information on the approval of the study protocol must also be provided in the manuscript.