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Corrole–Protein Interactions in H-NOX and HasA

Christopher M. Lemon,a,b,c* Amos J. Nissley,d Naomi R. Latorraca,a,c Elizabeth C. Wittenborn,b and Michael A. Marlettaa,b,d*

Replacing the native porphyrin cofactor in haem proteins has led to the development of novel designer proteins for a variety of applications. In most cases, haem analogues bind in a way that is comparable to the iron porphyrin, but this is not necessarily the case for complexes bearing non-exchangeable ligands. This study probes how a P=O corrole binds to functionally disparate hemoproteins: a haem-dependent oxygen sensor (H-NOX) and a haem-scavenging protein (HasA).

The results demonstrate that the protein–cofactor interactions are distinct from the native, haem-bound holoprotein. In H-NOX, the P=O unit primarily hydrogen bonds with the haem-ligating histidine (H102), rather than the hydrogen-bonding network that stabilises the Fe(II)–O2 complex in the native protein. In the absence of H102, the protein is still able to bind the corrole, albeit at reduced levels. Molecular dynamics simulations were utilised to determine the flexibility of apo H-NOX and revealed the coupled motion of key residues necessary for corrole binding. In the case of HasA, the P=O unit does not primarily interact with either the haem-ligating histidine (H32) or tyrosine (Y75). Instead, histidine 83, the hydrogen-bonding partner for Y75, is critical for P=O corrole binding. The conformation of HasA is interrogated by site-specifically labelling the protein and exploiting Förster resonance energy transfer (FRET) to determine the dye–cofactor distance. HasA reconstituted with the P=O corrole exhibits an extended, apo-like conformation. Together, these results demonstrate that non-natural cofactors can bind to proteins in unexpected ways and highlight the need to uncover these interactions for the further development of designer haem proteins.

Introduction

Haem proteins represent a versatile platform for the development of designer proteins with novel functionality,1–3 most prominently as artificial metalloenzymes. Often, haem proteins are directly used with the native iron porphyrin cofactor.4 Directed evolution can be utilised to reconfigure active and/or allosteric sites to engender novel reactivity.5 Alternatively, substitution of the iron centre with another transition metal provides another inroad to novel chemical reactivity.6-9 Together, these approaches have greatly expanded the scope of chemical transformations that haem proteins can mediate.10-13 In addition to artificial metalloenzymes, the incorporation of non-iron metalloporphyrins in haem proteins has enabled the development of designer proteins as optical oxygen sensors,14,15 MRI contrast agents,16,17 spectroscopic probes,18-20 and tools to interrogate protein function.21-23

A less explored approach is substitution of the porphyrin cofactor with an alternative tetapyrrole macrocycle or a...
related ligand.24,25 These include corrole,26–28 porphycene,29–31 phthalocyanine,32,33 salophen,32,34–37 and tetradehydrocorrin38,39 (Figure 1). In general, these ligands exhibit chemical properties (e.g., optical, redox) and reactivity that are distinct from porphyrins. This approach has been utilised to develop artificial metalloenzymes,36,29–31,35–39 antibiotics,32–34 and fluorescent proteins.28 Expansion of this approach could explore uncharted chemical space for a variety of applications that range from energy conversion to biomedical sensing and imaging.

Another approach to develop proteins with novel functionality is de novo protein design;40 this has been utilised for a variety of applications that range from fluorescent sensors41,42 to vaccine development.39 Computer protein design goes beyond the confines of extant proteins and can leverage specific protein–cofactor interactions to enhance cofactor binding. This is accomplished by modulating the residues that interact with the cofactor to tailor the binding pocket. In the case of a metallocofactor, this could include the protein-based ligands that bind to the metal centre. However, there are few examples where de novo protein design has been exploited to bind small-molecule cofactors, namely haem or non-biological iron porphyrins.44–48 This methodology has been refined and extended to design proteins that are selective for specific zinc porphyrins, enabling discrimination of both the metal centre and peripheral substituents.49–51 Examples of proteins specifically designed to bind non-porphyrin cofactors are exceedingly rare.52,53 The lack of studies that have determined the molecular interactions between proteins and abiological cofactors is one obstacle that hampers the broad application of this approach. After identifying critical and/or preferred contacts, this chemical information can then be utilised to design proteins that bind unnatural synthetic cofactors.

For the non-porphyrin complexes in Figure 1, it is generally assumed that the cofactor directly replaces haem, resulting in a similar fold with protein–cofactor interactions that are analogous to the native holoprotein. This has been confirmed by X-ray crystal structures of haem proteins that have been substituted with a variety of complexes.30–33,36,38 However, this may not always be the case, particularly for cofactors that have distinctive coordination preferences or non-exchangeable axial ligands. Of the examples listed in Figure 1, the P=O corrole (P-1) would not be expected to bind to the protein in a way that is analogous to the native haem cofactor. Given that this corrole does not bind histidine (i.e., no P–NHis bond) and remains a five-coordinate complex in solution,28 it is unclear how this molecule would interact with haem proteins.

To address this question, this study sought to uncover the molecular details of P-1 binding in the haem nitric oxide/oxygen binding (H-NOX) protein from Coldanaeroebacter subterraneus (Cs) and the haem acquisition system protein A (HasA) from Pseudomonas aeruginosa (Pa). Key residues in the haem binding pocket of these proteins were modulated. Corrole binding in these variants was analysed by absorption and emission spectroscopy. Molecular dynamics (MD) simulations were utilised to assess the flexibility and accessibility of key residues in apo H-NOX. The conformation of P-1-bound HasA was determined using Förster resonance energy transfer (FRET) with fluorescein-labelled variants. Together, these experiments demonstrate that P-1 binding in Cs H-NOX and Pa HasA is distinct from haem binding in the native holoprotein.

Results

P-1 Binding in H-NOX Variants

To investigate how corrole P-1 binds to Cs H-NOX, several mutations were made around the haem binding pocket (Figure 2a). Haem binding in these variants is presented in the Supporting Information (Figure S1–S2). The out-of-plane coordination of the P=O unit could place the oxygen atom in a position to interact with the hydrogen bonding network (W9, N74, Y140) that stabilises the native Fe(II)–O2 haem complex. The W9F and Y140F variants both exhibit P-1 binding that is nearly identical to the WT H-NOX (Table 1, Figure 2b). Similarly, the emission of these variants is unperturbed and comparable to the WT protein (Figure 2c, Table 1). These results suggest that the distal hydrogen bonding network is not directly involved in corrole binding.

The low fluorescence quantum yield of P-1 bound to H-NOX relative to HasA (2.5 vs. 7.3%) might be due to quenching by H102. P-1 does not bind histidine, and DFT calculations confirm that imidazole binding is destabilising.28 The H102G variant exhibited impaired corrole incorporation (59% relative to WT H-NOX). To rescue corrole binding with a hydrogen bonding partner, H102S was prepared. Surprisingly, this variant incorporates less corrole (37% relative to WT H-NOX).

Since the absorption spectra are nearly identical for these three variants (Figure S3), the histidine side chain does not directly bind to the phosphorus centre (i.e., no P–NHis bond). These results suggest that, although H102 is not absolutely required, it does play a key role in P-1 binding.

Interestingly, corrole emission in H102G and H102S is approximately the same as WT H-NOX, indicating that H102 does not quench corrole fluorescence. However, there are a few subtle differences in the emission spectra of these variants. The full width at half maximum (FWHM) of the main emission transition for the H102 variants is nominally wider than the WT protein: 15 nm for WT, 16 nm for H102G, and 17 nm for H102S.29–31,35–39 Table 1. Summary of H-NOX Variants

| Protein | Ratioa | P-1 Incorporation | Rel. Em.c | Ratioc | Table 1. Summary of H-NOX Variants |
|---------|--------|------------------|----------|--------|
| WT      | 5.06   | 100%             | 1.00     | 2.5    |
| W9F     | 5.42   | 97 ± 2%          | 0.99     | 2.5    |
| H102G   | 3.10   | 59 ± 5%          | 1.02     | 2.6    |
| H102S   | 1.86   | 37 ± 4%          | 1.07     | 2.7    |
| P115A   | 2.19   | 42 ± 3%          | 0.83     | 2.1    |
| Y140F   | 4.97   | 96 ± 2%          | 0.99     | 2.5    |

a Soret to 280 nm absorbance ratio for the representative dataset in Figure 2a; b Average P-1 incorporation relative to WT H-NOX, accounting for differences in εP1 for protein variants; errors reflect one standard deviation; c Integrated emission intensity relative to WT H-NOX; d Fluorescence quantum yield relative to WT H-NOX.
nm for H102S. Moreover, the Q(0,1) emission transition (~620 nm) is more pronounced for the H102 variants, resulting in a decrease of the Q(0,0) to Q(0,1) intensity ratio: 7.74, 7.21, and 6.17 for WT, H102G, and H102S, respectively. Together, these results indicate that the corrole exhibits greater conformational flexibility in the H102 variants compared to the WT protein.

In an effort to enhance corrole fluorescence in H-NOX, the P115A variant was expressed. It has been previously demonstrated that P115 contributes to the highly distorted porphyrin macrocycle in the O2-bound WT protein.4 A protein environment that engenders distortion of the corrole macrocycle could result in lower fluorescence. The P115A variant exhibits low corrole binding (42%) that is similar to H102S (Table 1). In contrast to the other variants, P115A does not exhibit a split Soret band, indicating that the protein environment surrounding the corrole is distinct. This is also evident from the emission spectrum, which demonstrates that P-1 fluorescence is lower than the other variants (Figure 2c).

Given the impaired binding of P-1 to the H102G, H102S, and P115A variants, additional reconstitution experiments were performed using other cofactors to ensure that these apoproteins are properly folded and competent to bind exogenous cofactors. Pd(II) mesoporphyrin IX (MPIX) was selected to test binding in H102G and H102S because this cofactor does not require a histidine residue, since Pd(II) porphyrins do not bind axial ligands. WT, H102G, and H102S bound Pd(MPIX) to the same extent (Figure S4). Similarly, P115A reconstituted with Zn protoporphyrin IX (PPIX) exhibits cofactor binding that is identical to the WT H-NOX (Figure S5a). Interestingly, Zn(PPIX) fluorescence for the P115A variant is lower than the WT protein (Figure S5b), albeit not to the same extent as observed for P-1. This suggests that there is a subtle difference in the protein fold that quenches cofactor fluorescence relative to WT H-NOX. Together, these results indicate that apo H102G, H102S, and P115A are appropriately folded and enable cofactor binding. Decreased binding of P-1 in these variants reflects the absence of specific protein–corrole interactions that are uniquely required for this cofactor.

Apo H-NOX Molecular Dynamics Simulations

Since P-1 incorporation is impaired for P115A, H102S, and H102G, and the P115A mutation changes the chemical environment of the corrole, these point mutations may alter the conformational dynamics of H-NOX. To test this, molecular dynamics (MD) simulations were performed to survey the flexibility of the protein and determine the solvent accessibility of key residues. Since P-1 incorporation is accomplished by reconstitution, apo structures are more likely to reveal determinants of corrole binding than holoprotein structures. In the absence of a crystal structure of apo H-NOX, MD simulations of H-NOX were initiated after removing the haem and O2 from the WT structure (PDB ID: 3TF0). In simulation, the αF helix containing H102, as well as the loop connecting αF

Figure 2. (a) Structure of the Fe(II)–O2 complex of WT Cs H-NOX (PDB ID: 3TF0), highlighting key residues in cyan: H102, P115, and the hydrogen bonding network W9, Y140, and N74. (b) Normalised (280 nm) absorption spectra of H-NOX variants reconstituted with P-1: WT (▬), W9F (▬), H102G (▬), H102S (▬), P115A (▬), and Y140F (▬). (c) Emission spectra of absorbance-matched samples (A(520) = 0.0421 ± 0.0004) of H-NOX variants reconstituted with P-1: WT (▬), W9F (▬), H102G (▬), H102S (▬), P115A (▬), and Y140F (▬).
Figure 3. (a) In simulation, H102 spontaneously transitions between the crystallographic ‘upright’ conformation (\(\chi_1 \approx -70^\circ\)) and a ‘flipped’ conformation (\(\chi_1 \approx -180^\circ\)). (b) Metrics used to characterise conformational changes in the simulations: distance between H102 and P115 Ca atoms (turquoise), and the H102 \(\chi_1\) torsion angle (grey). (c) Representative simulations of WT and P115A, depicting the metrics illustrated in (b) over the course of the 1 \(\mu\)s simulation. Stars indicate the times that representative snapshots were extracted from the simulation, illustrated in (a). (d) Histograms constructed by aggregating values for each metric for the six WT simulations. (e) Histograms for the six P115A simulations.

and \(\alpha\)-Equation (\(\phi\)) quickly (< 100 ns) moves to partially occupy the space previously filled by haem (Figure S6).

In these simulations, H102 rapidly reorients to a ‘flipped’ conformation (Figure 3a), occupying the space previously filled by P115. Additionally, the distance between the \(\alpha\)-helix and the neighbouring \(\beta\)-strand, containing P115, increases significantly. Importantly, H102 can spontaneously switch between the ‘flipped’ conformation and an ‘upright’ (crystallographic) conformation. When H102 transitions back to the ‘upright’ conformation, P115 also assumes its crystallographic position, indicating that these two residues are tightly coupled. There are two key metrics that describe this interaction: the \(\chi_1\) torsion angle for H102 and the Cα–Ca distance between P115 and H102 (Figure 3b). Over six 1 \(\mu\)s simulations of WT apo H-NOX (Figure 3c, Figure S7a), H102 more frequently adopts the ‘upright’ conformation (\(\chi_1 \approx -70^\circ\)) rather than the ‘flipped’ conformation (\(\chi_1 \approx -180^\circ\)), resulting in (on average) a shorter Cα–Ca distance (Figure 3d). These simulations suggest that P115 constrains the movement of H102.

To test the hypothesis that the essential role of P115 arises due to its ability to control the conformation of H102, simulations using the P115A structure (PDB ID: 3EEE) after removing haem and O2 were also performed. It should be noted that 3EEE is nearly identical to 3TF0; alignment of the two structures yields a Cα root-mean-square deviation of 0.623 Å. In simulations of apo P115A H-NOX (Figure S7b), the \(\alpha\)-helix exhibits a similar deviation as observed in the WT simulations. H102 also quickly transitions to the ‘flipped’ conformation, but unlike the WT simulations, it rarely transitions back to an ‘upright’ conformation (Figure 3e). Moreover, transitioning back to the ‘upright’ conformation is not coupled to the repositioning of the A115 backbone and the \(\beta\)-strand. Taken together, P115 imposes a constraint on the conformational dynamics of H102 by increasing its propensity to adopt a haem-engageable orientation.

### P-1 Binding in HasA Variants

To evaluate P-1 binding in HasA, variants were prepared that modify residues on either the H32 loop or the Y75 loop (Figure 4a). Haem binding in these variants is presented in the Supporting Information (Figures S8–S10). For variants on the H32 loop (H32C, H32S, and V38C), corrole binding is nearly identical to the WT protein (Table 2, Figure 4b, 4c). If H32 were sufficiently close to P-1, then it would be expected that the lone pair of electrons on the imine nitrogen of the side chain could quench fluorescence. If this were the case, the emission of the H32S variant should be enhanced relative to the WT protein. Similarly, if residue 32 were sufficiently close to the lone pair of electrons on the imine nitrogen of the side chain could quench fluorescence. If this were the case, the emission of the H32S variant should be enhanced relative to the WT protein.
corrole, the H32C variant would exhibit lower fluorescence than the H32S via heavy atom quenching. The similar emission intensity from these variants indicates that H32 is not sufficiently close to P-1 to cause fluorescence quenching, suggesting that the H32 loop does not engage with the corrole.

Conversely, mutations on the Y75 loop drastically affect P-1 binding. In an attempt to enhance corrole emission, variants of H83 were prepared. Since this residue is not essential for haem binding, it may not be necessary for P-1 binding. Surprisingly, the H83A variant did not bind any corrole; only apoprotein was recovered after reconstitution. Next, the H83D variant was prepared to provide a hydrogen bonding partner for Y75 that could also increase the phenolate character of the tyrosine, but no corrole binding was observed. Finally, H83S was prepared to provide a small, flexible hydrogen bonding partner, but this variant did not bind corrole. These results indicate that H83 is absolutely required to bind P-1.

Given the direct interaction between H83 and P-1, it is expected that the lone pair of electrons on the imine nitrogen of the side chain would quench emission. To see if protonation of H83 enhances corrole fluorescence, pH-dependent studies were performed. Pa HasA is remarkably stable over a wide pH range, exhibiting minimal protein precipitation between pH 3 and 11 (Figure S11). This is consistent with the previously reported stability of HasA over the pH 4 to 10 range. At pH 5, the extent of reconstitution of WT apo HasA with P-1 was identical to the standard conditions at pH 6.5. Moreover, the emission intensity is identical at pH 5 and 6.5 (Figure S12), suggesting that H83 is protonated at pH 6.5.

To determine if the haem-ligating tyrosine is necessary for corrole binding, the Y75F variant was prepared. In this case, P-1 binding is diminished (45% relative to WT HasA). Interestingly, the absorption spectrum of this variant is blue-shifted relative to the WT and H32 loop variants; this is particularly apparent for the Soret band. This shift likely reflects a change in the dielectric constant of the medium surrounding the corrole. Additionally, the emission intensity of the Y75F variant is significantly quenched relative to the WT protein. These results demonstrate that, although Y75 is not absolutely required for corrole binding, it does directly interact with the cofactor.

### Determining HasA Conformation Using FRET

Since the H32 loop does not appear to engage with P-1, FRET was used to interrogate the conformation of corrole-bound HasA. The protein was labelled with a fluorescent dye, utilising FRET to measure the dye–cofactor distance. Since Pa HasA does not have any native cysteine residues, site-specific labelling can be accomplished without interference from non-target residues. To this end, the H32C and V38C HasA variants were prepared because these residues move significantly upon haem binding, as evidenced by the apo (PDB ID: 3MOK) and holo (PDB ID: 3ELL) crystal structures (Figure 5a, 5b). Fluorescein-5-maleimide was selected as the dye because its emission profile overlaps well with the absorption profile of WT HasA bound to P-1 (Figure S13). Results for haem-bound,
fluorescein-labelled HasA variants are presented in the Supporting Information (Figure S14–S16, Table S1).

Since attempts to label V38C HasA reconstituted with P-1 led to extensive corrole loss, reconstitution of fluorescein-labelled protein was preferred (Figure 5c). P-1 incorporation, as judged by the Soret to 280 nm ratio, is not as high as it is for the unlabelled sample (4.22 vs. 6.06), indicating that P-1 incorporation is ~70%. Based on the steady-state data, the apparent FRET efficiency is 63%. Correcting for the presence of apoprotein, the estimated FRET efficiency is 75%. Since the presence of apoprotein complicates the steady-state data, time-resolved methods were utilised to determine a more accurate FRET efficiency (Figure S16). Fluorescein-labelled apo V38C cleanly fits to a monoexponential decay with a lifetime of 4.11 ns. The P-1 reconstituted sample exhibits biexponential kinetics; the long component is consistent with the apoprotein, while the short component has a lifetime of 0.91 ns. The time-resolved data yields a FRET efficiency of 78%, which is consistent with the corrected steady-state data (75%). This corresponds to a donor–acceptor distance of 3.16 nm.

This distance suggests that the protein exhibits an open, apo-like conformation. Based on the holo HasA structure, the Fe to Cα of V38 distance is 1.03 nm (Figure 5a). At most, the dye, linker, and side chain would add 1.36 nm (Figure S17), to give an expected maximum distance of 2.39 nm. This is substantially shorter than the FRET-determined distance of 3.16 nm. Instead, the FRET distance is similar to the O atom of the Y75 side chain to Cα of V38 distance of 2.54 nm observed in the apo HasA structure (Figure 5b). The difference between the FRET and crystal structure distances is 0.62 nm, which reasonably accounts for the cysteine side chain, the maleimide, and the dye.

To corroborate this result, the H32C variant was also studied. As with V38C, reconstitution of fluorescein-labelled H32C with P-1 is incomplete. After accounting for the presence of apoprotein, the FRET efficiency is 68% (Figure S18). This is lower than that for V38C (75%), which is expected given that H32 is farther away from the putative corrole binding site. This result is corroborated with time-resolved data. The fluorescein lifetime of 1.06 ns gives a FRET efficiency of 74%, which corresponds to a 3.28 nm distance. This is consistent with 2.80 nm distance between the O atom of the Y75 side chain and Cα of H32 (Figure 5b). Together, these results indicate that HasA adopts an open, apo-like conformation when P-1 is bound.

Discussion

Data Interpretation and Structural Model for P-1 binding in H-NOX

The results of corrole binding to the protein variants, in conjunction with MD simulations, enable a model of P-1 binding in Cs H-NOX. Variants that modify the distal hydrogen bonding network (W9F, Y140F) exhibit P-1 binding that is the same as the WT protein. It has previously been demonstrated that the distal subdomain, containing the hydrogen bonding network, moves ~4.5 Å upon O2 binding.58 Given the lack of direct contacts between P-1 and the H-bonding network, the

Figure 5. Structures of (a) holo (PDB ID: 3ELL) and (b) apo Po HasA (PDB ID: 3MOK), illustrating the large-scale conformational change upon haem binding. Key residues are highlighted in cyan. (c) Absorption (solid lines) and emission spectra (λex = 470 nm, dashed lines) of fluorescein-labelled apo (▬) and P-1 (▬) V38C HasA in TEA buffer at pH 6.5. Emission spectra are for absorbance-matched samples: A(470) = 0.0481 ± 0.0002.
distal subdomain is likely shifted away from the haem binding pocket, analogous to the unliganded haem structure. The increased space in the haem binding pocket would enable greater conformational flexibility of the corrole.

While it could be the case that the absence of one H-bonding residue can be compensated by another, it is more likely that the P=O unit primarily interacts with H102. This is evident from the significant decrease in corrole binding for the H102 variants. In the absence of H102, hydrogen bonding of the propionate chains on the corrole to the Y–S–R motif serves as the only point of contact. However, this interaction alone is insufficient for full corrole incorporation. The broader emission profiles and pronounced Q(0,1) emission band for the H102 variants suggest that the corrole exhibits greater conformational flexibility. This is consistent with the idea that the distal subdomain has moved away from the haem binding pocket. The diminished rigidity of the binding pocket is likely one reason for the decrease in corrole incorporation.

The other residue that plays a key role in P-1 binding is P115. The absence of P115 leads to a significant decrease in corrole binding and the absorption spectrum is distinct from the other H-NOX variants, displaying a single Soret band. Additionally, corrole emission is lower than the other H-NOX variants. These spectral differences suggest that the corrole resides in a more hydrophilic environment such that P-1 is more solvent-exposed in the P115A variant. Given the strong coupling between H102 and P115 observed in the MD simulations, perhaps the absence of P115 does not enable the proper movements of H102, precluding proper cofactor recognition. Indeed, MD simulations of the apo P115A variant indicate that H102 is primarily in the ‘flipped’ conformation. This rotamer is likely less amenable to bind P-1 given that the corrole cannot simultaneously engage H102 and the Y–S–R motif.

Given the potential similarity between the P-1 bound H-NOX and the Fe(II) unliganded structure (PDB ID: 5JRU), we looked for interactions that could further stabilise corrole binding. In the unliganded structure, the N–H proton of the H102 side chain could hydrogen bond with the backbone carbonyl of P115 (Figure S19a). Therefore, if H102 were protonated when P-1 is bound, this residue could hydrogen bond with both the P=O unit and the backbone carbonyl of P115. Given the necessity of P115 for corrole binding, this is an intriguing possibility. The structure of the P115A variant (PDB ID: 3EEE) exhibits a different conformation of the protein backbone at A115 (Figure S19b), which likely precludes this contact from forming in the variant. It was previously demonstrated that P-1 incorporation decreases with increasing pH, suggesting that protonation of this residue occurs at lower pH to enhance corrole binding. Moreover, protonation of H102 explains why the WT protein and H102 variants exhibit the same emission intensity. If H102 were not protonated, then the lone pair of electrons on the imine nitrogen would be sufficiently close to the corrole to observe significant fluorescence quenching. Together, these observations suggest that H102 is protonated, which can then form an additional hydrogen bond with P115 to rigidify the haem binding pocket and enhance corrole binding.

Figure 6a illustrates a proposed model for P-1 binding in C5 H-NOX. Rather than interacting with the distal hydrogen bonding network, the P=O unit of the corrole hydrogen bonds to H102. This residue is protonated, forming an additional H-bond to the backbone carbonyl of P115. Together, these interactions rigidify the haem binding pocket and stabilise corrole binding.

Data Interpretation and Structural Model for P-1 binding in HasA

In the case of HasA, modulation of residues on the H32 loop has no effect on corrole binding. Since corrole absorption does not change in the presence or absence of H32, the histidine side chain does not directly coordinate to the phosphorus centre. Moreover, corrole emission in the two H32 variants is not enhanced relative to the WT protein. This suggests that H32 is sufficiently far away from the corrole (> 10 Å) such that no quenching is observed.

Alternatively, the absence of quenching by H32 could be due to protonation. In a previous study, the pKₐ values for histidine residues in HasA from Serratia marcescens were determined using NMR spectroscopy. The pKₐ of H32 in the apoprotein is 7.3 and this value significantly decreases to < 4.8 when Ga(PPIX) is bound. Since H32 does not directly bind to P-1, this residue likely has a pKₐ that is similar to the apoprotein when the corrole is bound. Therefore, H32 is largely protonated in these experiments at pH 6.5.

To determine the conformation of the H32 loop when P-1 is bound, FRET experiments were utilised. The V38C and H32C variants were labelled with fluorescein maleimide. Based on the FRET analysis, the fluorescein label at V38C and H32C with P-1 exhibit distances of 3.16 nm and 3.28 nm, respectively. These values are consistent with the apo conformation of HasA, indicating that the H32 loop extends an extended conformation when P-1 is bound. This observation corroborates previous studies, which suggest the H32 loop does not randomly sample conformations. Instead, movement of the H32 loop is triggered by the formation of a high-spin haem intermediate, implying an induced fit mechanism. Since P-1 is unable to recapitulate haem binding, the H32 loop remains in an apo conformation.

Since the H32 loop is not involved in corrole binding, modulation of the Y75 loop has a significant effect on P-1 binding. The Y75F variant exhibits lower corrole binding. Although this residue is not necessary, it provides a contact for P-1 that enables complete corrole loading. The Y75F variant exhibits decreased fluorescence, suggesting that P-1 residues in a more hydrophilic environment. Alternatively, the loss of Y75 could pull P-1 closer to H83, thereby quenching fluorescence (via the lone pair on the imine nitrogen). Based on the S. marcescens study, the pKₐ of H83 in the apoprotein is 5.6 and increases to 9.7 when Ga(PPIX) is bound. Therefore, H83 is likely protonated at pH 6.5 when P-1 is bound to HasA. This is supported by the pH-dependent emission studies of WT HasA with P-1.
Surprisingly, no corrole binding is observed in the absence of H83. After initial experiments with H83A, we hypothesised that the lack of corrole binding may be due to the loss of a hydrogen bonding residue. We then attempted to recapitulate the proposed roles of H83 with other, non-histidine variants. H83 forms a hydrogen bond with Y75 and may facilitate deprotonation to enable haem ligation. The H83D variant was expressed because the aspartate side chain is an appropriate length to hydrogen bond to Y75 (Figure S10). However, no corrole binding was observed. The H83S variant was prepared in an attempt to provide a flexible, non-histidine H-bonding partner for P-1, but no corrole binding was observed. Together, these results indicate that H83, similar to H102 in H-NOX, is critical for P-1 binding. Unlike H-NOX, HasA lacks a Y-S-R motif, so there is no other point of contact in the protein for P-1 in the absence of H83.

Figure 6b illustrates a proposed model for corrole binding in Pa HasA. The P=O unit hydrogen bonds with both Y75 and H83. This hydrogen bonding network may also include water and/or buffer molecules to further stabilise corrole binding. It was previously demonstrated that haem recognition initially occurs at the Y75 loop; hydrophobic patches on this loop stabilise cofactor binding. The H32 loop does not interact with P-1 and, based on the FRET experiments, adopts an apo-like structure. Given the similarity to apo HasA, a docking model was generated using the apo HasA structure (PDB ID: 3MOK) and the DFT-optimised geometry of P-1 (Figure 6c). The corrole was positioned such that the P=O unit forms suitable H-bonds to both Y75 and protonated H83 while avoiding steric clashes with Y138 and M141. The macrocycle is flanked by two hydrophobic residues, F51 and F78, that may serve as hydrophobic gatekeeping residues for cofactor recognition. With this docking model, we can gauge how it compares to the FRET distances. The distance between the P atom and Cα of V38 and H32 are 2.36 nm and 2.69 nm, respectively (Figure 6c). The corresponding FRET distances are 3.16 nm for V38C and 3.28 nm for H32C. The difference between these metrics is 0.80 nm for V38C and 0.59 nm for H32C, which reasonably accounts for the cysteine side chain, the maleimide, and the dye.

**Insight into the Biochemistry of Cs H-NOX and Pa HasA**

Although this study involves an unnatural haem analogue, these experiments provide some insight into the native biochemistry of Cs H-NOX and Pa HasA. MD simulations...
demonstrate that H102 in Cs H-NOX is conformationally flexible, exhibiting a ~90° rotation. This motion had only previously been observed for NO-sensing H-NOX proteins (e.g., *Shewanella oneidensis*). In the unliganded state of So H-NOX, the proximal histidine coordinates to the iron centre. Upon NO binding, the Fe–His bond breaks and the side chain rotates ~90°. Conversely, Cs H-NOX, an O2 sensor, forms a six-coordinate NO complex, retaining the Fe–His bond. MD simulations demonstrate that H102 is flexible in Cs H-NOX, adopting conformations similar to those in NO-bound So H-NOX. This suggests that this flexibility is inherent to H-NOX proteins. Consequently, the formation of a six-coordinate NO complex in Cs H-NOX is not likely a constraint imposed by the protein fold. Instead, it may be a consequence of the electronic structure of the haem cofactor that is conferred by the secondary coordination sphere (i.e., the distal hydrogen bonding network).

The coupling of P115 and H102 sheds some light on the role of this proline residue, which is highly conserved in H-NOX domains, from bacterial H-NOX to mammalian sGC. P115 modulates the conformation and redox potential of the haem cofactor. Mutation of the proline to an alanine also has a functional consequence. The P117A variant of the *Shewanella woodyi* H-NOX constitutively activates the cognate di guanylate cyclase.

While these observations are consequences of the mutation, they do not necessarily describe the fundamental role of the proline or explain why it is so highly conserved. Since P115 forces H102 to preferentially adopt an ‘upright’ conformation, it could be that P115 poises H102 for haem binding in the native holoprotein. The influence of P115 likely extends to the conformation of the αF helix, which bears H102. The αF helix is involved in the binding interface between the H-NOX and histidine kinase for both *Shewanella oneidensis* and *Vibrio cholerae*. Perhaps P115 serves a fundamental role to modulate the αF helix conformation and regulate activity. This could explain why this proline residue is so highly conserved across H-NOX domains.

**P-1 binding in Pa HasA**

Corrole binding occurs on the Y75 loop, which is consistent with observations that haem binding first occurs at this site. However, hydrophobicity of the Y75 loop is not sufficient for cofactor binding. Attempts to reconstitute the apo-protein with Pd(MPIX) failed, resulting in no porphyrin binding. Since the Pd(II) porphyrin does not bind axial ligands, it is clear that an additional protein–cofactor interaction is necessary. This is accomplished by the formation of the Fe–Tyr bond for haem or the P=O–His hydrogen bond for P-1. The observation that P-1-bound HasA adopts an apo-like structure supports the idea that this interaction occurs when the H32 loop opens up on an induced-fit mechanism upon haem binding.

Given the necessity of H83 for P-1 binding, this residue may play a critical role in the initial steps of haem acquisition. Additionally, it has been posited that H83 serves to increase the phenolate character of Y75. This contention seems to be further supported by the holo/haem H83D variant, which exhibits a UV–vis absorption spectrum nearly identical to the WT protein (Figure S9). This contrasts the other H83 variants, which exhibit distinct spectra. The aspartate side chain can seemingly fill the role of H83 by acting as a suitable hydrogen bonding partner with a comparable distance to the native residue (Figure S10). However, since the H83D variant does not bind P-1, it is likely that H83 serves additional roles through π-stacking and hydrophobic interactions that stabilise cofactor binding. Together, these experiments support previously reported hypotheses regarding H83 and underscore the multifaceted role of this conserved residue.

**Conclusions**

Mutagenesis of key residues in the haem binding pocket of Cs H-NOX and Pa HasA has identified critical contacts between the protein and corrole P-1. In both cases, the corrole exhibits a binding mode that is distinct from haem. The primary interaction occurs between a histidine residue and the P=O unit of P-1. In contrast to all previously characterised examples of non-porphyrin cofactors bound to haem proteins, this histidine interaction does not involve direct coordination to the central element of the macrocycle. MD simulations of apo H-NOX reveal that H102 and P115 are tightly coupled, thereby explaining the necessity of both residues for full corrole incorporation. FRET studies with site-specific labelling of HasA variants demonstrate that the protein adopts an extended, apo-like conformation when P-1 is bound. Together, this study illustrates that unnatural haem analogues can bind to proteins in unexpected ways and underscores the need to identify these interactions, particularly in cases of cofactor reconstitution.

The continued elucidation of key interactions between small molecules and proteins provides foundational research for a variety of applications, ranging from drug development to de novo protein design. The key interaction between a P=O unit and a protonated histidine residue revealed in this study could be leveraged for drug design, targeting histidine side chains that are integral to protein active or allosteric sites. For example, bacterial histidine kinases are emerging targets for antibiotics. Drug molecules with a P=O moiety could target the active-site histidine residue of these proteins as an inroad to novel antibiotics.

Given the ability of Pa HasA to bind a diverse array of haem analogues, this protein is an attractive platform for artificial metalloenzymes. One barrier to achieving this application is the necessity of two protein-based ligands, rendering the complex coordinatively saturated. Indeed, all previously reported examples of cofactors bound to HasA require both Y75 and H32. Consequently, one of these ligands must dissociate in order to bind a substrate at the metal centre. It is likely that the loss of one protein-based ligand would lower the affinity for the haem analogue, resulting in potential cofactor loss. Conversely, P-1 binding in Pa HasA only requires the Y75 loop, making this construct a suitable scaffold for catalysis, particularly because phosphorus corroles can serve as photocatalysts that mediate organic transformations. Additionally, phosphorus corroles are potent photosensitisers.
that can generate singlet oxygen and/or superoxide from molecular oxygen for photodynamic therapy. The H-NOX and HasA conjugates with corrole 1 provide a facile means of imparting water solubility to utilise phosphorus corroles for advanced photochemical applications.
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