A small protein, derived from an alternative 53BP1 promoter transcript and expressed via translational reinitiation on an internal overlapping ORF, modulates proteasome activity.
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ABSTRACT

The complexity of the metazoan proteome is significantly increased by the expression of small proteins (<100 aas) derived from smORFs within IncRNAs, uORFs, 3' UTRs and, more rarely, reading frames overlapping the CDS. These smORF encoded proteins (SEPs) can have diverse roles, ranging from the regulation of cellular physiological to essential developmental functions. We report the characterisation of a new member of this protein family, SEP\textsuperscript{53BP1}, derived from a small internal ORF that overlaps the CDS that encodes 53BP1. Its expression is coupled to the utilisation of an alternative, cell-type specific, promoter coupled to translational reinitiation events mediated by a uORF in the alternative 5' TL of the mRNA. The uORF-mediated initiation at the internal AUG\textsuperscript{53BP1} is conserved in metazoan species ranging from human to zebrafish. As such, it couples SEP\textsuperscript{53BP1} expression to the integrated stress response (ISR). We demonstrate that one function of this protein is to interact with, and stimulate, the activity of the 26S proteasome. As such, it opens the door to new approaches in the treatment of clinical conditions that arise due to the accumulation of toxic intracellular protein aggregates.
INTRODUCTION

Protein synthesis represents a key step in the regulation of gene expression. The differential recruitment of mRNA populations onto polysomes permits a rapid response to changes in the cellular environment. As such, it is a key process in the maintenance of homeostasis, and perturbations in its control are associated with numerous disorders. Translation can be subdivided into four main steps: initiation, elongation, termination and sub-unit recycling. Most regulation is exerted at initiation, and this has been confirmed in translational profiling studies covering the entire mammalian transcriptome \(^1\). The ternary complex (TC) composed of Met-tRNA\(^{i}\)-eIF2-GTP is first loaded onto the 40S ribosomal subunit in combination with a series of eukaryotic initiation factors (eIFs) to form the 43S pre-initiation complex (PIC). The PIC generally loaded onto the mRNA via the 5’ cap. Once recruited, it moves forward (5’→3’) scanning the mRNA 5’TL or UTR (Transcript Leader or Untranslated Region) to locate the first AUG. The nucleotides flanking the AUG codon influence the efficiency of recognition, with the sequence 5’-ACCAUGG-3’ (the Kozak context: the nts in red being particularly important) being optimal\(^2\). If sub-optimal, scanning ribosomes will sometimes ignore the AUG codon and continue to the next. This phenomenon, known as leaky scanning, can produce N-terminal truncated proteins or proteins from overlapping reading frames\(^3,4\).

The 5’TL contains a number of features that can regulate the translational readout during both PIC recruitment and subsequent scanning \(^5\). This includes uAUGs and uORFs (upstream Open Reading Frames). Genomic analysis has estimated that ~50% of human 5’TLs contain one or more uORFs\(^6,7\). Both uAUGs and uORFs can function as translational repressors limiting PIC access to downstream start codons\(^8\). The amplitude of this repression is dictated by the uAUG context\(^9\). However, small uORFs (< 50 codons) can also couple the readout to stress and TC levels in the cell, via a process referred to as delayed reinitiation in which the 40S ribosome remains on the mRNA and continues to scan subsequent to translation of the uORF. This process permits access to start codons downstream of the AUG of the principle ORF (AUG\(^\text{GENE}\))\(^7,10\). However, the efficiency of reinitiation at downstream start sites varies.
depending on parameters such as uORF length and the distance between the stop codon and the AUG. This process is conserved from human to zebrafish\textsuperscript{11}. Reacquisition of the Met-tRNA by the 40S ribosome post-uORF termination is dependent on eIF2-GTP levels. When low, the slow reacquisition can cause a bypass of a proximal downstream AUG as the 40S is unable to re-recruit the TC necessary to form an initiation competent PIC. The TC levels respond to stress via the regulation of a series of “stress activated protein kinases” that include GCN2, HRI, PKR and PERK. These form the axe of the “integrated stress response” (ISR). Their substrate is the $\alpha$ subunit within eIF2.GDP generated during each round of translational initiation\textsuperscript{12,13}. Phosphorylation impedes GDP/GTP exchange and the subsequent TC regeneration. Thus, reinitiation in combination with leaky scanning offer the possibility to significantly increase the complexity of the mammalian proteome by permitting access to internal AUGs (iAUG).

Alterations in the 5'TL arise due to the use of alternative promoters (AP), transcriptional start site (TSS) heterogeneity and alternative splicing\textsuperscript{14-16}, with studies suggesting that AP exceeds alternative splicing in generating transcriptome diversity\textsuperscript{14}. A genome wide analysis revealed that $\sim$18\% of human genes use multiple promoters\textsuperscript{17}. Promoter switches change the nature of the first exon, and hence the 5'TL, and this event has been linked to a number of human pathologies\textsuperscript{18,19}. This switch is rarely complete but it can be amplified by the selective recruitment of one of the TL variants onto polysomes, as occurs with the MDM2 gene in tumour cells\textsuperscript{20,21}. Therefore, by generating 5'TL heterogeneity, which can be both tissue and cell type specific, alternative promoters regulate the protein readout, the proteome and ultimately the cellular phenotype\textsuperscript{16}. Indeed, in a transcriptome/translatome analysis using a glioblastoma model, the authors concluded that selective polysomal recruitment of specific mRNA populations could initiate and drive tumour formation\textsuperscript{22}.

We have discussed uORFs as translational regulatory elements. However, transcriptome analysis has identified thousands of yet non-annotated small open reading frames (smORFs) with the potential to encode biologically active peptides or SEPs (smORF-encoded
proteins/peptides) smaller than 100 aas\textsuperscript{23-26}. Detecting the products of smORFs, which are numerous and small, is technically not straightforward\textsuperscript{27}. However, it has been facilitated by ribosome profiling\textsuperscript{6}. This technique couples ribosome footprinting to high-throughput RNAseq and provides quantitative information about ribosome density across a transcript. It has been used to identify alternative START/STOP sites, initiation from non-AUG codons, translational pausing/frame-shifting as well as expression from uORFs and alternative ORFs\textsuperscript{28}. A bioinformatics analysis of the ribosome profiling database revealed that 40\% of long non-coding RNAs (lncRNAs) carry smORFs and are expressed in human cells\textsuperscript{29}. Another source of SEPs are the uORFs, with ~35\% of mRNA coding genes having uORFs that are expressed\textsuperscript{29}. These SEPs can act either in-cis to modulate downstream initiation events, or have distinct biological function(s)\textsuperscript{7,30}. Stalling of ribosomes over the AUG\textsuperscript{GENE} start site can also cause queuing of scanning ribosomes within the 5'TL. This can permit the expression of smORFs initiating on near-cognate codons with the potential to increase the SEP repertoire\textsuperscript{31,32}. Another interesting group are the cis-acting peptides that are responsive to environmental signals and have been coined “peptoswitches”\textsuperscript{33}.

Both leaky scanning and reinitiation permit access to internal AUGs. When in-frame with the principle ORF this gives rise to N-terminally truncated proteins\textsuperscript{34,35}. When positioned internal and out-of-frame (ioORF: internal overlapping ORF), they represent a second source of smORFs. About 4\% of human mRNAs appear to express SEPs from AUG codons downstream of the AUG\textsuperscript{GENE} \textsuperscript{29}. In fact, the expression of biologically active proteins from ioORFs has been known for some time. It was described in mammalian viral systems as far back as the early 1980’s\textsuperscript{4,36}. Nevertheless, its implications for the human proteome are only now beginning to be appreciated\textsuperscript{37}. For example, within the ataxin-1 (ATXN1) transcript, a small ioORF starting 30nts downstream of the AUG\textsuperscript{ATXN1}, and in the -1 reading frame, is expressed by leaky scanning\textsuperscript{38}. The SEP, Alt-ATXN1, co-localises and interacts with Ataxin-1 within nuclear inclusions. The prion protein gene PRNP also expresses a novel polypeptide from an ioORF, referred to as AltPrP\textsuperscript{39}. It localises at mitochondria, is up-regulated by ER
stress and proteasomal inhibition and was detected in human brain homogenates, primary neurons, and peripheral blood mononuclear cells. Despite sizes smaller than 100 aas, the products of smORFs can have essential biological functions\textsuperscript{23,24}. In mice, the Mln smORF expresses a 46 aa SEP implicated in muscle contraction\textsuperscript{40}. In humans, a 24 aa long SEP called humanin, synthesized from a lncRNA, is involved in apoptosis, interacting with BAX (Bcl-2-associated X protein)\textsuperscript{41}, and the MRI-2 smORF (69 aas) has been implicated in DNA repair\textsuperscript{24,42}. Intriguingly, it has been proposed that in general, the expression of SEPs may be coupled to the stress response, an observation that would tie it in nicely with the process of translational reinitiation\textsuperscript{43}. With regards to clinical medicine, a number of human cancer specific antigens are also derived from iORFs\textsuperscript{44,45}. Their expression reflects the change in the translational landscape that occurs with cellular transformation and they represent novel targets for immune based therapies\textsuperscript{46}.

In this manuscript, we have extended on our earlier study in which we reported a differential RNAseq analysis on the tumoural MCF7 and non-tumoural MCF10 cell lines\textsuperscript{47}. A number of genes were identified that exploited alternative promoters to generate 5’TTL heterogeneity that could, in-turn, modulate the protein readout. One of these, the 53BP1 gene, uses two promoters (Fig. 1A). The P1 promoter (TSS12390) was active in both cell backgrounds. It generates two transcripts, referred to as V1 and V2 (NM_001141979.1, NM_001141980.1), which possess the same 5’TTL but differ due to an alternative splicing event within the CDS (hereafter referred to as V1/2). The second P2 promoter (TSS20205) was more active in MCF7 cells\textsuperscript{47}. It generates a V3 transcript (NM_005657.2) with a ~278 nts 5’TTL carrying a 5-codon uORF whose stop codon is 15 nucleotides upstream of the AUG\textsuperscript{53BP1} (Fig. 1A). We postulated, and now confirm, that this uORF directs reinitiation events at an ioORF that expresses a 50 aas SEP which we refer to as SEP\textsuperscript{53BP1}. We provide evidence that uORF mediated expression of such a protein is conserved right through to zebrafish. The endogenous SEP\textsuperscript{53BP1} protein has been detected in a number of human cell lines of lymphoid origin and shows punctate staining in both cytoplasmic and nuclear compartments.
Interactome and biochemical studies indicate that it interacts with components of the proteasome machinery. Thus, we have identified a novel small protein whose expression is linked to a promoter switch, coupled to a stress responsive translational reinitiation event on an AUG^ORF. At least one function of the expressed SEP protein is to modulate proteasome activity. We discuss these observations in the light of current models for SEP function, and the potential therapeutic applications of increased SEP^53BP1 expression in the treatment of human neurodegenerative diseases.48.
RESULTS

Organisation and expression profiles of the 53BP1 gene transcripts: In our earlier work, we reported on a differential RNAseq analysis comparing the tumoural MCF7 and non-tumoural MCF10 cell lines. The 53BP1 gene was a particularly intriguing hit. It uses two promoters. The P1 promoter is active in both cell backgrounds. It generates two transcripts, named V1/2, originating from alternative splicing but carrying the same 5'TL. The mRNA has two potential AUG start codons in the 53BP1 ORF, located at the end of the first and beginning of the second exons, and separated by four codons, hinting at two N-terminal isoforms (Fig. 1a). These we refer to as AUG\textsuperscript{53BP1(a)}, which has a relatively good Kozak context, and AUG\textsuperscript{53BP1(b)} whose context is poor (Fig. 1a, lower panel). The 5'TL is ~113nts long, 71% G/C and contains no uAUGs. The second P2 promoter was more active in MCF7 cells (Fig. 1b). Based upon CAGE analysis it generates a V3 transcript with a ~278 nts 5’TTL carrying a 5 codon uORF whose stop codon is 15 nucleotides upstream of the AUG\textsuperscript{53BP1(b)} (Fig. 1a: AUG\textsuperscript{53BP1(a)} is in the first exon of the V1/2 transcript). Luciferase based reporter assays revealed that the V3 5’TTL was more repressive than V1/2 with regards to initiation events on the AUG\textsuperscript{53BP1} start sites, due to the uORF. Furthermore, polysome gradient profiling of the two cell lines revealed that whereas the V1/2 transcript was mainly polysomal in both, the V3 transcript was polysomal only in the tumoural MCF7 cells. Therefore, at the outset of our current study we evaluated to what extent P2 promoter activity was a marker of the tumoural phenotype. We performed an RT-PCR analysis of V1/2 and V3 across a range of established tumoural and non-tumoural cell lines available in the lab. No clear correlation with the tumoural phenotype was observed (Fig. 1b).

The protein readout from the V3 mRNA is different: The small uORF in the V3 5’TTL could promote delayed reinitiation events downstream of the AUG\textsuperscript{53BP1}. Examination of the human sequence reveals that the next start codon downstream AUG\textsuperscript{53BP1(b)} opens an ioORF, +1 relative to the 53BP1 ORF, that would encode a polypeptide of 50 aas that we named SEP\textsuperscript{53BP1}.
To monitor expression in the V1/2 and V3 5'TL backgrounds at both the AUG\textsuperscript{53BP1} and AUG\textsuperscript{SEP}, we inserted the sequences upstream of the AUG\textsuperscript{SEP} into our LP/SP overlapping ORF reporter\textsuperscript{10,49}. This fuses the 53BP1 ORF to LP (which carries a FLAG and HA tag) and the AUG\textsuperscript{SEP} to SP (which carries a MYC and HA tag: the AUG\textsuperscript{SEP} and its Kozak context were retained) (Fig. 2a). It allows us to follow initiation events at the AUG\textsuperscript{53BP1} (we were unable to distinguish between the sites AUG\textsuperscript{53BP1(a)} and AUG\textsuperscript{53BP1(b)} on V1/2: however, based upon context we presume that the former is the major start codon) (Fig. 2a). Transient expression assays in HEK293T cells, revealed that the V1/2 5'TL directed initiation events mainly at AUG\textsuperscript{53BP1} whereas with V3 the majority of initiation events occurred at AUG\textsuperscript{SEP} (Fig. 2a). This pattern was also observed in transient assays performed in MCF10 and MCF7 cells (Fig. 2b). To monitor the impact of the V3 uORF on the readout we mutated its stop codon (UGA→UGC: V3\textsuperscript{UGA/UGC}) thereby fusing the uAUG to the 53BP1 ORF (Fig. 2b). This effectively removes events arising from delayed reinitiation. When transiently expressed in HEK293T, MCF10 and MCF7 cells, the V3\textsuperscript{UGA/UGC} directed expression mainly from the AUG\textsuperscript{uORF} (Fig. 2b). This would be consistent with its good Kozak context (Fig. 1a). Leaky downstream scanning to the AUG\textsuperscript{53BP1} and AUG\textsuperscript{SEP} was weak in the HEK293T and MCF10 cell backgrounds but more evident in MCF7 cells.

The results demonstrate high levels of initiation at the AUG\textsuperscript{SEP} in transcripts carrying the V3 5'TL and this is mediated by its small uORF. To confirm a role for delayed reinitiation we introduced a 30 nts spacer element between the uORF and the AUG\textsuperscript{53BP1(b)}. Consistent with a reinitiation model, this increased initiation events at the AUG\textsuperscript{53BP1(b)} relative to AUG\textsuperscript{SEP} (Fig. 2c: compare lanes 1 and 2). The expression pattern observed with the 30 nts spacer construct was similar to that obtained when the uAUG was changed to GCG (Fig. 2c: compare lanes 2 and 3). In the uAUG/GCG mutant, we still observed significant initiation events at the AUG\textsuperscript{SEP}. That this arose due to leakiness of the AUG\textsuperscript{53BP1(b)} was confirmed by introducing changes that improved its context (..CAGAUGG... → ...GCCAUGG) (Fig. 2c: compare lanes 3 and 4). In conclusion, the presence of a short uORF positioned close to a very leaky downstream
AUG\textsuperscript{53BP1(b)} means that the major initiation events on the V3 transcript take place on AUG\textsuperscript{SEP}. This would direct the expression of a smORF-encoded peptide (SEP) of 50 aas (SEP\textsuperscript{53BP1})\textsuperscript{2,24}.

**The configuration of the V3 5'TL and the ioORF are conserved:** Sequence alignment suggests that the SEP\textsuperscript{53BP1} ioORF is conserved across vertebrates and can be found even in zebrafish (Danio rerio) (Fig. 3a). Likewise, uORFs are frequently found within the annotated 5'TLs of the 53BP1 gene. For example, zebrafish have a single promoter expressing a single 5'TL variant with an uORF of 19 codons (GenBank: BC129236.1 and NM_001080170: longer than the human) whose stop codon is 15 nts upstream of the first AUG\textsuperscript{53BP1a} (similar to human) (Fig. 3b). uORFs are frequently present in zebrafish transcripts and, as in mammals, they serve to modulate the translational readout\textsuperscript{11}. The zebrafish ioORF would express a SEP polypeptide of 40 aas (Fig. 3a). The nucleotide spacing between the AUG\textsuperscript{53BP1a} and AUG\textsuperscript{ioORF} is 400 nts in zebrafish compared to 97 nts in the human V3 mRNA. Within this 400 nt region there is a second AUG in the 53BP1 ORF (AUG\textsuperscript{53BP1b}) that could express an N terminally truncated (\(\Delta121\) aas) 53BP1 protein (Fig. 3B). To examine initiation events on this transcript, we RT-PCR cloned all 53BP1 sequences upstream of the ioORF STOP codon (changing it at the same time to a sense codon) starting from total zebrafish embryonic RNA. This was then fused to our LP/SP reporter to generate 53BP1ZLP/SP WT (Fig. 3B). To monitor the role of the uORF on start site selection, a number of mutations were created. The uORF\textsuperscript{AUG/GCG} removed the start codon and the uORF\textsuperscript{UAA/AGG} fused the uORF to the 53BP1/LP ORF in the reporter (Fig. 3b). We also exploited two BamHI sites, one positioned just before the uORF UAA stop codon and the second just after the AUG\textsuperscript{53BP1a}. Deletion of the small BamHI fragment removed both the uORF\textsuperscript{UAA} and AUG\textsuperscript{53BP1a} codons fusing uORF to the ORF of 53BP1/LP (Fig. 3b: 53BP1ZLP/SP\(\Delta\)Bam). As in the human reporter construct, the ioORF was fused to the SP reading frame (Fig. 2). In the WT background, we could detect products from AUG\textsuperscript{53BP1a}, AUG\textsuperscript{53BP1b} and AUG\textsuperscript{ioORF}, with the latter corresponding to the zebrafish SEP\textsuperscript{53BP1} (Fig. 3c, lane 2). Removal of the uAUG significantly enhanced expression at the AUG\textsuperscript{53BP1a} but did not impact
significantly on the downstream start sites (Fig. 3c, lane 3). These latter initiation events would now arise due to leaky scanning through AUG53BP1a whose context is poor (Fig. 3b). Thus as in humans, the uORF in zebrafish represses 53BP1 expression. Fusing the uORF to the 53BP1 ORF, either by the uORFUAAGG mutation (Fig. 3c, lane 4) or the ∆BamH1 deletion (which also removes AUG53BP1a: Fig. 3c, lane 5) produced a single band on the blot whose slower migration indicates that it arises from an initiation event on AUGuORF. The “non-leakiness” of this start codon would be consistent with its good Kozak context (Fig. 3b). We confirmed this by introducing the uORFAUGGCG mutation into the ∆BamH1 background. The slow migrating band was lost and we restored the expression of products from the AUG53BP1b and AUGuORF (Fig. 3c, lane 7). Thus, in zebrafish the uORF is also permitting initiation events downstream of the AUGGENE (in this case AUG53BP1a). These downstream initiation events can give rise to N-terminal truncated forms of the 53BP1 protein and the expression a SEP53BP1. However, unlike the human V3 transcript the single zebrafish 5′TL assures robust expression from all initiation sites.

Studies on the human SEP53BP1: Polyclonal Abs against the SEP53BP1 were generated using two peptides that spanned most of the protein (VLTSVCYLDTFLISRRTKKILC and WMLCPILNKQLEKNEETVIVGC: Proteogenix, France). The Ab did not detect SEP53BP1 expression in HEK293T cells (Fig. 4a, lane 2), an observation that would be consistent with the low levels of the V3 transcript in this cell line (Fig. 1b). We therefore generated an authentic full-length V3-53BP1 cDNA clone and transiently expressed it in HEK293T cells (Fig. 4a). A doublet band co-migrating with the SEP53BP1 protein expressed in a WGE (the in-vitro system was programmed with a capped/poyladenylated mRNA covering the SEP53BP1 ORF) was detected on blots (Fig. 4a, lanes 1 and 3). Proof that this arose from initiation events at the SEP53BP1 AUG codon came from both altering the AUGSEP53BP1 Kozak context from good to bad (…aggAUGa…→…cggAUGa…), which impacted negatively on expression (Fig. 4a, lane 4), and changing the AUGSEP53BP1 to GCG which ablated all expression (Fig. 4a, lane 5).
Furthermore, and consistent with the reinitiation model derived from the reporter assays (Fig. 2), expression increased under stress conditions that activated PERK (treatment with the drug Cyclopiazonic acid, CPA), thereby increasing the intracellular phospho-eIF2α levels (Fig. 4b). The transiently expressed SEP53BP1 had an intracellular half-life of 2.5 hrs (Fig. 4c). Immunofluorescence (IF) imaging of transfected HEK293T cells revealed a mainly cytoplasmic localisation (Fig. 4d). However, staining could be observed in the nucleus and, in rare occasions, it was almost exclusively nuclear (Fig. 4d, lower panel).

**Detection and localisation of the endogenous SEP53BP1 protein** Transient expression assays have allowed us to elucidate the mechanism by which P2 promoter activation will permit the expression of a novel SEP. However, at this point in the study it was necessary to detect the endogenous protein, and determine the cellular compartment(s) in which it accumulates as a route towards function. We had already observed that P2 promoter activity and V3 transcript levels are regulated in a cell-specific manner (Fig. 1b). Furthermore, we had reported that polysomal recruitment of V3 could also be cell specific⁴⁷. With this in mind, we scanned the ribosome-profiling database (http://sysbio.sysu.edu.cn/rpfdb/index.html). The image in Supplementary Fig. 1 was extracted from a study performed by the Brosch lab using THP-1 cells (a human acute monocytic leukaemia cell line: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/query/acc.cgi?acc=GSE39561). The accumulation of reads around both the AUG53BP1 and AUGSEP53BP1 would be consistent with their utilisation as start sites. We therefore performed polysomal analysis of the total, V1/2 and V3 mRNAs in this cell background (Fig. 5a). Only a minor fraction of the total 53BP1 gene transcripts were polysomal (26%) (Fig. 5a, left hand profile). Concerning V3, very little was associated with light polysomes (9%) although a more significant fraction was observed within the heavy polysomes (44%), in particular the heaviest fraction (fraction 11, 32%). It is worth remembering that the ioORF is only 150 nts in length and can accommodate a maximum of five elongating ribosomes⁶,⁵²,⁵³. This would mean that V3 transcripts in the heavy polysomal fraction, that we
define as >5 ribosomes per transcript, must be translating both ORFs. We also analysed another lymphocyte cell line that was available to us, namely Raji cells (Fig. 5a, right hand profile: no ribo-profiling data is available for this cell line). The polysomal profiles indicated that the majority (80%) of the 53BP1 transcripts were polysomal and this was also observed with both V1/2 (78%) and V3 (87%) (Fig. 5a). Immunoblots detected SEP53BP1 expression in both these cell lines but only weak expression in MCF7 cells, the cell line in which we originally reported V3 expression (Fig. 5B). Curiously, in THP-1 cells, slower migrating bands were also detected reminiscent of the doublet observed with the transiently expressed protein (Fig. 4b), suggesting that post-translational modifications may be occurring. Confocal imaging of the endogenous protein in both THP-1 and Raji cells revealed punctate staining in both nuclear and cytoplasmic compartments (Fig. 5c). Z-stacking analysis confirmed the presence of endogenous SEP53BP1 in the nucleus (see Supplementary animation 1 and 2 for THP-1 and Raji, respectively).

**The SEP53BP1 interactome:** To gain insights into function we employed a yeast-2-hybrid (Y2H) screen to identify partners. SEP53BP1 was used as a prey, and screened against a peptide library generated from a human B cell Lymphoma_RP1. This background was selected because we had observed SEP53BP1 in two cell lines of lymphoid origin. Around 51 million interactions were tested and 5 genes (PSMA7, UBQLN4, TRIP12, MAPRE1, BCOR) gave interactions with good confidence levels (Fig. 6a). The selected interaction domain (SID) for each prey is depicted in Supplementary Fig. 2. String analysis connected three of the five genes (PSMA7, UBQLN4, TRIP12) to proteasome biology (Fig. 6a). We sought to biochemically validate this analysis focusing on the protein products of the first two genes. PSMA7 encodes the \( \alpha 4 \) subunit of the 20S proteasome barrel and it plays a key role in its assembly\(^{54,55} \). Sedimentation analysis performed on cytoplasmic extracts prepared from HEK293T cells transiently expressing SEP53BP1 revealed that a fraction of the 50 amino acid protein co-sedimented with the \( \alpha 4 \) protein in fractions 4-6 (Fig. 6b, upper panel). Extracts
contained ATP to ensure 26S proteasome integrity during the assay. That these fractions corresponded to the 20S/26S proteasome was confirmed by disruption of the complexes using SDS treatment of the extracts prior to gradient loading. (Fig. 6b, lower panel). It should be noted that whereas only a fraction of SEP53BP1 co-sedimented with α4 in native conditions, the majority of the protein entered into the gradient, and a significant fraction was found in the pellet ribosomal fraction (as indicated by the presence of the ribosomal protein S6: Fig. 6b, upper panel). However, our interactome study did not give any hits with components of the translational machinery. Overall, the sedimentation profile of SEP53BP1 is quite remarkable considering its small molecular size (very little of the protein remains on the top in fraction 10). These results suggest that it has multiple interacting partners in the cell. We directly demonstrated the α4-SEP53BP1 association by co-IP performed on HEK293T cell extracts transiently expressing the latter (Fig. 6c) and this corroborated their intracellular co-localisation (Fig. 6d). UBQLN4 also plays a role in the regulation of intracellular protein degradation by mediating the proteasomal targeting of misfolded or accumulated proteins. Its over-expression, as observed in some human tumours, also represses homologous DNA repair.

It did not enter into our glycerol gradients, suggesting that under our assay conditions the protein was mainly free in the extracts (Fig. 6b), and we were unable to co-IP transiently expressed SEP53BP1 by pulling down the endogenous UBQLN4 protein. Nonetheless, an interaction could be demonstrated by co-IP in cells transiently expressing both UBLQN4 and SEP53BP1 (Fig. 6e).

Since the interactome clearly pointed to a role in proteasome biology, we asked if SEP53BP1 expression influenced proteasome function. We compared proteasome activity in HEK293T extracts prepared from cells transfected with empty vector or a vector expressing SEP53BP1 (Fig. 6f). The presence of the small polypeptide stimulated 26S proteasome activity as confirmed by the inhibitory effect of the drug MG132. The stimulation was greater than two fold over a 90 mins assay period.
DISCUSSION

It is increasingly evident that the complexity of the metazoan proteome is considerably increased by the expression of SEPs (< 100 aas) that until recently escaped detection using conventional biochemical procedures (they are also referred to as small protein\textsuperscript{60}, alt-ORF\textsuperscript{61,62}, nORF\textsuperscript{63}, miniproteins or micropeptides\textsuperscript{60}). The presence of these products, encoded by smORFs, was initially predicted by Basrai and coworkers\textsuperscript{64}, and has subsequently been confirmed using modern techniques such as ribosome profiling, proteogenomics and conservation signatures\textsuperscript{60,65}. The OpenProt database now lists nearly 21,000 human SEPs (www.openprot.org)\textsuperscript{66}. They are expressed mainly from IncRNAs and uORFs\textsuperscript{24,67} but also arise from internal overlapping ORFs or even the 3'UTR, bringing to an end the dogma that eukaryotic mRNAs are monocistronic\textsuperscript{25,38,39,42,68-70}. Furthermore, studies have ascribed diverse functions to these SEPs ranging from the regulation of physiological functions within the cell (e.g. endoplasmic reticulum, Golgi and vesicular transport: see also the introduction)\textsuperscript{60,71} to crucial developmental functions within metazoan species separated across large evolutionary distances\textsuperscript{30,72-75}.

In this manuscript, we have identified a new member of the SEP family expressed from an ioORF within the 53BP1 gene, the main CDS of which expresses a protein that plays a central role in non-homologous DNA repair\textsuperscript{76}. It is the mode of expression and the function of this SEP\textsuperscript{53BP1} that is novel. In humans, it couples alternative promoter activity (P1 versus P2) to a translational reinitiation event on the internal AUG\textsuperscript{53BP1} mediated by a short uORF within the P2 derived mRNA 5'TL. Both these events can respond to intracellular stresses\textsuperscript{77-79}. Curiously, it has already been proposed that SEP expression may be an integral part of the cellular “stress response”\textsuperscript{80}. The link to developmental functions is also intriguing, because promoter switching and translational reprogramming are key events during differentiation in metazoans \textsuperscript{81,82}. We have observed P1 promoter activity (V1/2 expression) in all human cell lines tested, indicating that it is the probably the major source of the 53BP1 protein (Fig. 1b). On the other hand, P2 promoter activity showed considerable cell line variability and it remains
unclear the molecular basis of its regulation (Fig. 1b). Its V3 transcript directs expression mainly of SEP53BP1, indicating that expression of this protein is also not ubiquitous. The low levels that we observed in MCF7 cells (Fig. 5b), despite high cellular levels of the V3 transcript and high polysomal occupancy47, suggests that intracellular stability is also regulated in a cell-specific manner. The smORF responsible for SEP expression can be observed in metazoan species from human through to zebrafish (Fig. 3a), with the caveat that part of this conservation may arise from the constraints imposed by the overlapping 53BP1 ORF. However, most of the key functional domains of 53BP1 reside in its C-terminus and there may be more primary sequence plasticity within its long largely disordered N-terminus. Curiously, zebrafish have only one annotated 53BP1 promoter but the organisation of the 5'TL, more specially the longer uORF, appears to permit ribosome access to both AUG53BP1 and AUGSEP53BP1 at high efficiency (a sort of fusion of the human V1/2 and V3 readouts: Fig. 3c). This behaviour, with the caveat that our studies have as yet only be performed in mammalian cells, would be consistent with current models of reinitiation84,85 However, the cis-acting sequences on the mRNA that regulate reinitiation, and their responsiveness to intracellular stresses, are well conserved between mammal and zebrafish8,86,87. Extensive studies on SEP protein expression, and function, have been reported using a D. melanogaster model72,74,75,88, and riboprofiling studies have confirmed the presence of smORFs in zebrafish89. Consequently, zebrafish presents itself as a useful animal model to explore the role of the both uORF and the AUGSEP53BP1 in metazoan development.

Our interactome studies revealed that a fraction of the cytoplasmic SEP53BP1 associates with the proteasome via its α4 subunit (Fig. 6).The SID on α4 maps to the C-terminus, a region that is found largely exposed on the surface of the 20S and 26S proteasome (Fig.7a/b). This interaction appears to stimulate 26S proteasome activity, based upon the MG132 inhibitory effect90, despite the fact that proteolytic activities are on the β rings and positioned in the interior of the 20S cylinder91. The 26S specifically targets polyubiquitinylated substrates that are degraded in an ATP-dependent manner (Fig. 6f)92. This polyubiquitinylated selectivity
resides within the 19S regulatory complex positioned at each extremity of the 20S cylinder (Fig. 7b)\textsuperscript{93}. However, during certain stresses, binding of activating proteins can open the $\alpha$ ring on the 20S permitting the entry of protein substrates. Proteins that enter are degraded in an ubiquitin/ATP independent manner. This “active” 20S serves to remove misfolded or oxidised proteins that accumulate during the stress\textsuperscript{94}. Furthermore, our detection of SEP\textsuperscript{53BP1} in two cell lines of lymphoid origin is intriguing because lymphoid tissues express a specific proteasome involved in antigen processing called the “immunoproteasome” whose assembly involves changes in the composition of the $\beta$ ring\textsuperscript{95,96}. It remains to be determined if SEP\textsuperscript{53BP1} is also modulating the activity of the “active” 20S and the immunoproteasome, all of which retain the $\alpha 4$ SID. Proteasomes are found in both cytoplasmic and nuclear compartments\textsuperscript{57,97}. One of the putative nuclear localisation signals is actually located on the C-terminal tail of $\alpha 4$ (Fig. 7a)\textsuperscript{98}. It seems conceivable that the nuclear SEP\textsuperscript{53BP1} may enter in association with the proteasome. Furthermore, proteasome levels in the nucleus responds to stresses, such as glucose starvation, hypoxia or low pH,\textsuperscript{99} many of which may also be modulating SEP\textsuperscript{53BP1} intracellular levels.

The regulation of proteasome activity has important clinical applications. Proteasome function becomes impaired during many age-related neurodegenerative disorders, including Parkinson's disease, amyotrophic lateral sclerosis and Alzheimer's disease. All these conditions are characterised by the accumulation of toxic intracellular protein aggregates that arise because of reduced proteasome activity\textsuperscript{100,101}. Consequently, a considerable amount of research has focused on the development of pharmacological small molecules that can stimulate proteasome function, as potential therapeutic agents for these conditions\textsuperscript{48}. However, few of these small molecule compounds activate proteasomes \textit{in vivo}\textsuperscript{48}. Increasing P2 promoter activity and intracellular SEP\textsuperscript{53BP1} levels offers a new avenue of research in the treatment of these conditions.

In bicistronic transcripts, the SEP and the product of the CDS frequently exhibit a functionality link. This can involve a direct protein-protein interaction\textsuperscript{38,71,102,103}, or alternatively they act
indirectly on the same metabolic or physiological pathway\textsuperscript{104,105}. Our Y2H analysis showed no hit with 53BP1 and we have been unable to demonstrate any interaction by co-IP using transiently over-expressed proteins. However, the Y2H study did provide a hit on TRIP12, an E3 ubiquitin-protein ligase that regulates 53BP1 steady state levels in the cell\textsuperscript{106}. In a similar vein, UBQLN4 has been reported to co-localise with 53BP1 at the sites of DNA damage and to repress homologous recombination-mediated DNA repair\textsuperscript{59}. We have not yet biochemically confirmed these Y2H interactions but it remains possible that SEP\textsuperscript{53BP1} may also impact on the DNA repair process by modulating 53BP1 activity and levels.

**In conclusion:** We have identified a new member of the SEP family within the 53BP1 gene, conserved across metazoans. The mode of expression indicates that its intracellular levels will be regulated via alternative promoter activation and cellular stress. One of its functions is to interact with, and modulate, the activity of the cellular proteasome. As such, it offers the possibility of new therapeutic approaches for the treatment of conditions coupled to the accumulation of toxic intracellular protein aggregates.
METHODS

Cell culture and transfection. HEK293T, HeLa, MCF7, MCF10A, THP-1 and Raji cells were grown at 37°C in a humidified 5% CO₂ chamber. Cells were cultured in Dulbecco's modified Eagle’s medium (DMEM) supplemented with 1% penicillin/streptomycin (P/S) (Gibco) and 10% foetal bovine serum (FBS, Brunschwig) for HEK293T, HEK293, MDA-MB-231, and HeLa cells. MCF7 (ATCC, HTB-22) were cultured DMEM F-12 medium (Gibco), 1% P/S and 10% FBS supplemented with 10 µg/mL human recombinant insulin and 0.5 nM estradiol. MCF10A (ATCC, CRL-10317) were cultured DMEM F-12 medium containing 1% P/S, 5% horse serum heat inactivated (HS, Brunschwig), 10µg/mL Epidermal Growth Factor (EGF), 1 µM Dexamethasone, and 5µg/ml human recombinant insulin. THP-1 and Raji cells (kind gift of Prof. Walter Reith, UNIGE) were cultured in RPM1 1640 1X (+L-Glutamine) supplemented with 0.05mM 2-mercaptoethanol and 10% foetal bovine serum. MRC-5 cells were grown in Eagle’s Minimum Essential Medium (MEM; Sigma) supplemented with 10% FBS, 1% P/S, 1X amino acids and 1mM sodium pyruvate. HCT-116 cells were cultured in McCoy’s 5a Medium Modified (Gibco) supplemented with 10% FBS and 1% P/S.

Transfections of HEK293T cells were performed using Lipofectamine 3000 (Invitrogen) when the cells were 70-80% confluent. Eight hours post-transfection, the medium was replaced with normal growth medium, and lysates were usually prepared at 24h post-transfection.

Drug Treatment. Transfected HEK293T cells were treated for 16 h with DMSO or with 10 µM Cyclopiazonic acid (CPA, Merck) before collection.

DNA cloning. All clones were prepared in a pcDNA3 backbone. The human α4 20S proteasome subunit was cloned by RT-PCR starting from total cell RNA Trizol extracted from MCF7 cells. The UBQLN4 was subcloned into pcDNA3 starting from the pENTR-A1Up
Plasmid #16170, Addgene). All mutations and deletions were introduced by PCR. The oligos employed are listed in the Supplementary Table 1.

**Polysome gradient/RNA extraction.** For polysome profiling, 20–60% sucrose (Sigma) in 100 mM KCl, 5 mM MgCl₂, 20 mM HEPES and 2 mM DTT gradients were prepared manually in SW41 rotor tubes. Cells were treated for 5 min with 50 μg/ml cycloheximide (Sigma) and then collected in cold PBS containing 100 μg/ml cycloheximide. Cells were pelleted and lysed in polysome lysis buffer (100 mM KCl, 50 mM Tris–HCl pH 7.6, 1.5 mM MgCl₂, 1 mM DTT, 1 mg/mL Heparin (Sigma), 1.5% (v/v) NP-40, 100 μM cycloheximide, 1% aprotinin, 1 mM AEBSF and 100U/mL of Rnasin) supplemented with protease cocktail inhibitor EDTA-free (Roche), on ice for 20 min. Lysates were cleared by centrifugation (14,000xg) and the supernatants loaded onto the gradients. These were centrifuged for three and a half hours at 35,000 rpm and 4°C. They were collected through an UV-lamp and an Absorbance detector. One mL fractions were recovered using a Foxi Junior Fraction Collector (Isco). RNA was isolated from each fraction by adding an equal volume of TriZol (Invitrogen). Samples were mixed and incubated on ice for 15 minutes before addition of 0.3 volumes of chloroform. After centrifugation, the upper phase was collected, and the RNA precipitated with 0.7 volumes of isopropanol. The RNA pellet was resuspended in water.

**RT-PCR.** The RT-PCR was performed using 250 ngs of total or polysomal RNA that were reverse transcribed using 50 U of Superscript II (Promega) in a total volume of 25 μL at 42 °C for 1 h. Relative mRNA levels were evaluated by semi-quantitative PCR using the Pfu polymerase (Rovalab) as detailed previously⁴⁷. The number of amplifications cycles was first optimised for each primer set and corresponded to the exponential phase.

**Western blot.** Cytoplasmic extracts were prepared in either hypotonic lysis buffer (20mM Hepes, 5mM MgCl₂, 1mM DTT, 2mM ATP, protease inhibitor) or CSH buffer (50 mM Tris-Cl
pH 7.5, 250 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, 0.1% (v/v) Triton X-100). Whole cell extracts were prepared by resuspending the cell pellet in either X2 sample buffer (125 mM Tris-HCl pH 6.8, 20% glycerol, 10% (v/v) β-mercaptoethanol, 5% SDS, 0.025% (w/v) bromophenol blue) for SDS-PAGE, or X2 sample buffer Novex (450 mM Tris H-Cl pH 8.45, 12% Glycerol, 4% SDS, 0.00075% Coomassie Blue G, 10% β-mercaptoethanol) for the pre-cast 16% Novex Tricine gels (Invitrogen).

Protein concentrations were determined by Bradford (Cytoskeleton, USA). Twenty μg of protein was resolved on polyacrylamide-SDS gels and electro-transferred to PVDF membranes.

Antibodies used in this study were: anti-53BP1 (Santa Cruz Biotechnologies, sc-22760), anti-phospho (Ser51) eIF2α (GenTex, #61039), anti-eIF2α (Invitrogen, #44728G), anti-HA (Covance clone 16B12), anti-FLAG (M2 antibody, Sigma), anti-actin (Millipore, #MAB1501), anti-RPS6 (Cell Signaling, #2317), anti-A1Up (UBQLN4, Santa Cruz Biotechnologies, sc-136145), anti-α4 20S proteasome (PSMA7, MCP72, Santa Cruz Biotechnologies, sc-58417), and goat anti-mouse or rabbit HRP secondary antibodies (Bio-Rad). The Anti-MYC tag was a gift from Prof. Dominique Soldati (University of Geneva, Switzerland). Immunoblots were developed using the WesternBright™ Quantum (Advansta) and quantitated using Image Lab (Biorad).

**Glycerol gradients.** Glycerol gradients were prepared as previously described\(^\text{107}\). HEK293T cells were lysed in hypotonic lysis buffer (see below) containing 2mM ATP. Cell extracts were loaded onto a 10–40% linear glycerol gradient in 100 mM KCl, 5 mM MgCl\(_2\), and 20 mM HEPES pH 7.4 prepared in an SW60 tube. Gradients were centrifuged for 16 hr at 30,000 rpm in a SW60 rotor at room temperature. After centrifugation, 10 X 400 μL fractions were collected from the bottom of the tube. Proteins were recovered by methanol/chloroform precipitation, resuspended directly in 40μL of X2 sample buffer and analysed by western blotting.
Preparation of hypotonic cell extracts. Extracts were prepared following the protocol outlined in Terenin and coworkers\textsuperscript{108}. Actively dividing cells (≈70% confluence) from a 100mm petri dish were scrapped into ice-cold PBS (final volume 1 mL) and recovered by pelleting at 1,000g for 5 mins at 4°C. They were washed a second time with PBS before resuspending in 200 μL of Lysolecitin lysis buffer (20 mM HEPES-KOH pH 7.4, 100 mM KOAc, 20 mM DTT, 0.1 mg/mL (w/v) Lysolecitin) for precisely 1 minute on ice before centrifuging at 10,000g for 10 secs at 4°C. The pellet was resuspended in an equal volume of ice-cold hypotonic extraction buffer (20 mM HEPES-KOH pH 7.5, 10 mM KOAc, 1 mM MgAc, 4 mM DTT containing complete protease inhibitor cocktail-EDTA minus). Cells were disrupted in a pre-cooled Dounce homogeniser using 20-25 strokes, transferred to an Eppendorf tube, and spun at 10,000g for 10 mins at 4°C.

Yeast-two-hybrid. Y2H was performed by Hybrigenics Services (https://www.hybrigenics-services.com/) against the Human B cell Lymphoma library.

Co-immunoprecipitation.

\textit{a4 pull-down}: SEP\textsuperscript{53BP1} was transiently expressed in HEK293T cells. Cells were lysed in hypotonic lysis buffer containing 2mM ATP. 500 μg of protein were pre-incubated with \textit{a4} antibody overnight at 4°C. The next day, the lysates were incubated with 10 μL of Dynabeads Protein G (Invitrogen) for 1 hr at 4°C. Beads were washed X3 in hypotonic lysis buffer, resuspended in X2 sample buffer and analysed by SDS-PAGE.

\textit{UBQLN4 pull-down}: HEK293T cells were co-transfected with SEP\textsuperscript{53BP1} and UBQLN4. They were lysed in hypotonic lysis buffer. 500 μg of protein were pre-incubated with UBQLN4 antibody overnight at 4°C. The next day, the lysates were incubated with 10 μL of Dynabeads Protein G (Invitrogen) 1 hr at 4°C. Beads were washed X3 in hypotonic lysis buffer, resuspended in X2 sample buffer and analysed by SDS-PAGE.
**In-vitro transcription and translation.** Plasmids were linearized and RNA *in vitro* transcribed using the mMessage mMmachine™ T7 Transcription Kit (Invitrogen) and purified by LiCl precipitation according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Transcripts were capped using the vaccinia capping system (NEB) according to the suppliers’ protocol and polyadenylated using the polyA tailing kit (Invitrogen). The mRNA quality was controlled on a 2% agarose gel. RNA (100 ng) was translated in a 50% wheat germ extract (WGE, Promega) for 90 mins at 25°C in a final volume of 20 μL. The reaction was stopped by the addition of Laemmli buffer SB (X4 buffer: 250 mM Tris HCl pH 6.8, 40% glycerol, 20% β-mercaptoethanol, 10% SDS, 0.05% Bromophenol blue.

**Indirect immunofluorescence using confocal imaging and z-stacking.** SEP53BP1 was transiently expressed in HEK293T cells grown on No. 1.5 coverslips. Cell suspensions of THP-1 and Raji were pelleted and resuspended in PBS. They were allowed to adhere on the coverslips by sedimentation for 30 minutes at RT. Cells were fixed with 4% PFA, permeabilised with 0.3% Triton/PBS and then incubated in blocking buffer (2% BSA/PBS) for 60 minutes at RT. Blocking buffer was replaced by the SEP53BP1 primary antibody (1:100 dilution in blocking buffer) overnight at 4°C. Cells were washed X3 with PBS and incubated in the dark for 60 minutes at RT with Alexa Fluor 594 goat anti-rabbit IgG (H+L) (1:500 dilution in blocking buffer) (Invitrogen). Coverslips were washed X3 with PBS. Nuclei were stained with DAPI (1:1000 in PBS) at RT for 10 minutes in the dark. Coverslips were mounted using Prolong Diamond Antifade Mountant (Invitrogen) and sealed with nail polish.

Confocal images were collected on a Zeiss LSM800 confocal scanning microscope equipped with a Plan-Apochromat 63x/1.40 Oil DIC M27 objective. Pictures were analysed using the ImageJ software.
Z-series videos in THP1 and RAJI cells are shown as maximum z-projections, and gamma, brightness, and contrast were adjusted (identically for compared image sets) and were generated using the Imaris software.

**Proteasomal activity assay.** The proteasomal activity assay was performed on HEK293T cells transfected with either empty vector or a vector expressing SEP53BP1. Activity was measured using the Proteasome Activity kit (ab107921, Abcam) following the manufacturer’s protocol. MG-132 (Sigma) treatment served as a control to differentiate between 26S proteasome activity and other protease activities present in the extract. The reaction was followed for 90 minutes, and fluorescence was measured on a fluorometric microplate reader (SpectraMax Paradigm) equipped with an Ex/Em: 350/440nm filter, R.E.A.D.S. Unit. Experiments were performed in triplicate.
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FIGURES LEGENDS

Figure 1. The human 53BP1 variants and their expression levels in tumoural and non-tumoural cell lines. (a) Schematic representation of the V1/2 and V3 transcript variants. P1 and P2 refer to the alternative promoters. The different AUG initiation sites are marked and there Kozak contexts are indicated in the lower table (nucleotides indicated in red indicate a positive context). The 53BP1 ORF is indicated in blue and the overlapping SEP ORF in orange. The small blue rectangle in V3 refers to the uORF. (b) Levels of each transcript variant in a range of cell lines. RT-PCR values for each variant were normalised to actin. The results are from biological triplicates. Bars indicate the SEM.

Figure 2. Reporter assays monitoring start site selection on the 53BP1 variants. (a) Upper panel: Schematic representation of the LP/SP reporter fused to the V3 and V1/2 sequences upstream of the AUG\textsuperscript{SEP}. The LP ORF (yellow rectangle) carries FLAG/HA tags and was fused in-frame to the 53BP1 ORF (indicated in blue). The AUG\textsuperscript{53BP1} started the SP ORF (green rectangle) which carries MYC/HA tags. These constructs were transiently expressed in HEK293T cells and protein steady-state levels were monitored on Western blots using the anti-HA, anti-FLAG and anti-MYC Abs (lower panel). (b) Upper panel: Schematic representation of the V3 construct with the UGA/UGC mutation that fused the uORF to the 53BP1 ORF. The V1/2, V3 and V3UGA/UGC constructs were transiently expressed in HEK293T, MCF10A and MCF7 cells and steady-state protein levels were monitored on Western blots using the anti-HA Ab which monitors expression from both overlapping ORFs (lower panel). (c) A schematic representation of the 30nt spacer introduced between the uORF stop codon and the AUG\textsuperscript{53BP1}. This construct, and a series of other constructs, as listed in the lower panel, were transiently expressed in HEK293T cells and expression monitored by Western using the anti-HA Ab. The numbers indicate the intensity of the bands normalised to the AUG\textsuperscript{53BP1(b)} signal that was set as 1.
**Figure 3. Delayed reinitiation mediated by a uORF promotes expression at the AUG\textsuperscript{SEP} also in Danio rerio (zebrafish).** (a) Alignment of SEP\textsuperscript{53BP1} proteins in a range of vertebrates. Highly conserved amino acids across all four species are highlighted in yellow and those moderately conserved in grey. (b) Schematic representation of the LP/SP reporter construct generated to monitor initiation events on the zebrafish transcript. Sequences downstream of the iORF stop codon (which was removed) were fused to the reporter, with the 53BP1 ORF (blue rectangle) fused to LP and the ioORF (green rectangle) fused to SP. The position of the HA, FLAG and MYC tags are all indicated. The lower panel indicates the Kozak context for all the AUG initiation codons. Favourable nucleotides are highlighted in red. (c) A series of LP/SP zebrafish constructs (as indicated above each lane) were transiently expressed in HEK293T cells. Western blots were performed with the anti-HA Ab. Each transfection was performed in duplicate.

**Figure 4. SEP\textsuperscript{53BP1} expression is increased during cellular stress.** (a) A full-length human V3 53BP1 clone was generated and transiently expressed in HEK293T cells (lane 3). SEP\textsuperscript{53BP1} expression was monitored by Western blotting using an anti-SEP\textsuperscript{53BP1} polyclonal Ab. As a marker, an in-vitro generated SEP\textsuperscript{53BP1} mRNA was translated in a WGE (lane 1). Confirmation that the band observed in the transfected cells arose from initiation events at the AUG\textsuperscript{SEP} came by weakening the Kozak context (lane 4) and changing the AUG→GCG (lane 5). Transcript expression levels were monitored by RT-PCR (lower panel). (b) The V3 53BP1 clone was transiently expressed in HEK293T cells treated with either DMSO or the drug CPA for 16 hrs. SEP\textsuperscript{53BP1} and 53BP1 levels were detected by Western blotting (right hand panels: CTRL indicates a lane in which SEP\textsuperscript{53BP1} was expressed in WGE). Cellular stress was monitored by blotting using Abs against elf2α and phospho-elf2α (P-elf2α: right-hand panels). (c) SEP\textsuperscript{53BP1} was transiently expressed in HEK293T cells seeded in a six well plate. At 16 hrs post-transfection cells were treated with cycloheximide (100 µg/mL) and recovered at the
times indicated in the panel. The levels of SEP$^{53BP1}$ and actin were monitored by immunoblotting. (d) IF studies using HEK293T cells transiently expressing SEP$^{53BP1}$. The control was performed without the primary Ab. Nuclei were stained with DAPI (blue). The insert below the Anti-SEP$^{53BP1}$ panel shows cells in which staining was mainly nuclear.

**Figure 5. Detecting the endogenous SEP$^{53BP1}$ protein.** (a): Upper panel: Polysome gradient profiles from THP-1 and Raji cells indicating the RNP (ribonucleoprotein), 40S, 60S, 80S, light and heavy polysomal fractions. Gradients were collected as eleven equal fractions. RT-PCR was performed on equal amounts of RNA from each fraction using primer sets specific for each variant and the total 53BP1. Amplicons were resolved on polyacrylamide gels (panels below the profiles), quantitated and plotted graphically as a percentage of the total signal for each variant (lower images). (b) Approximately 3x10^7 cells (MCF7, THP-1, Raji and HEK293T) were lysed in CSH buffer and immunoprecipitated with anti-SEP$^{53BP1}$ Ab. Immune-complexes were recovered on Protein-G magnetic beads and analysed by Western blotting using the same Ab. The CTRL is the SEP$^{53BP1}$ protein expressed in WGE. (c) IF in THP-1 and Raji cells using the SEP$^{53BP1}$ Ab (red) superimposed on the cell nuclei (blue). Images were generated by confocal microscopy.

**Figure 6. The SEP$^{53BP1}$ interactome.** (a): String interactions (STRING software) generated using the five SEP$^{53BP1}$ hits from the Y2H analysis as listed in the table. Circled in red are subunits of the proteasome. (b) Glycerol gradient profiles generated from HEK293T cells transiently expressing SEP$^{53BP1}$. The cell extracts were prepared in hypotonic lysis buffer supplemented with ATP (see Methods). Ten equal fractions plus the pellet (P) were collected and probed for the $\alpha_4$ and UBQLN4 proteins by Western blotting. The pellet was also analysed for the ribosomal S6 protein. Fractions 4-5-6 are highlighted as the region in which SEP$^{53BP1}$ co-sediments with the majority of the $\alpha_4$ protein. In parallel, lysates were treated with SDS
and heated at 65°C prior to loading onto the gradient (lower panels). M indicates a marker for the SEP53BP1 protein. (c) Immunoblot analysis of the co-IP assay performed on extracts prepared from HEK293T cells transiently expressing SEP53BP1 (T) or non-transfected controls (NT). Complexes were recovered on Protein-G magnetic beads alone (-) or beads carrying the α4 antibody (+). NBF and BF indicate the non-binding and binding fractions from the pull-down, respectively. (d) HEK293T cells transiently expressing the SEP53BP1 protein were grown on glass coverslips. The localisation of SEP53BP1 (red staining) and the β5 subunit of the proteasome (green staining) were analysed using confocal IF microscopy using the Zeiss LMS800 confocal scanning microscope. A merged non-contrast-adjusted image is shown in the lower frame. (e) Co-IP analysis performed using HEK293T cells transiently expressing both SEP53BP1 and UBLQN4 (indicated as T). Non-transfected cells (NT) served as a control. Complexes were recovered on Protein-G magnetic beads alone (-) or beads carrying the UBQLN4 antibody (+) and analysed by immunoblotting with SEP53BP1 and UBLQN4 Abs. (f) Proteasome activity assay performed using HEK293T lysates prepared from cells transfected with either empty vector (pcDNA) or a vector expressing SEP53BP1 (immunoblot presented in the lower panel). The assays indicated with “I” were carried out in the presence of the proteasome inhibitor MG132. All experiments were performed in triplicate and the vertical bars indicate the SEM.

Figure 7. The location of the SEP53BP1 interaction site on the human proteasome. (a) Upper panel: Side view of the 20S proteasome with the α4 subunits indicated in blue. The exposed C-termini are circled in red. The black dotted lines mark the interface between the α7β7β7α7 rings that form the 20S barrel. Lower panels: Rotations of the 20S barrel with α4s indicated in burgundy and the exposed C-termini circled in red. The orientation of the view in the right hand panel (which looks down the 20S barrel) is indicated by the arrow in the upper panel image. The amino acid sequence below is the C-terminal 27 aas of α4. In burgundy is the sequence that forms the extended α-helix visible in all α4 images on the proteasome, and
in blue a putative nuclear localisation signal. (b) Upper panel: Side view of the 26S proteasome with the α4 subunits indicated in blue. The dotted black line marks the interface between the 20S cylinder and the 19S regulatory caps. Lower panel: Rotation of the 26S showing a 19S-α ring interface (black dotted line) with the exposed C-terminus of α4 circled in red. All images were extracted from the PDBe database (https://www.ebi.ac.uk/pdbe/node/1).
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(a) Diagram showing the start sites and contexts for AUG

- AUG\textsuperscript{uORF}
- AUG\textsuperscript{51BP1(a)}
- AUG\textsuperscript{51BP1(b)}
- AUG\textsuperscript{SEP}

| START SITE      | CONTEXT     |
|-----------------|-------------|
| AUG\textsuperscript{uORF} | agaAUGa     |
| AUG\textsuperscript{51BP1(a)} | gggAUGc     |
| AUG\textsuperscript{51BP1(b)} | cagAUGg     |
| AUG\textsuperscript{SEP}  | aggAUGa     |

(b) Graphs showing transcript band density for V1/V2 and V3 in non-tumour and tumour conditions.
Figure 2
* A 30 nt spacer was inserted just upstream the AUG of S3BP1 changing the Kozak context as follows:
  - wt context: GCAG-AUG-GACC
  - changed context: AUCCGCC-AUG-GACC
Figure 3
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AUG_53BP1a

cuuAUG_g

AUG_53BP1b

cacAUG_g

AUG ioORF

gagAUG_u

(c) 

| pcDNA | 53BP1Z LP/SP uAUG/GCG | 53BP1Z LP/SP uUAAG | 53BP1Z LP/SP uAUG/GCG | 53BP1Z LP/SP uUAAG |
|-------|-----------------------|---------------------|------------------------|---------------------|
| 1     | 2                     | 3                   | 4                      | 5                   |

Tarsius MILGLTSVCSLDTFLIYRRT---KRTLCWMLCPLNEQLEKNTVIVGNSNI-
Orc MILVLSAVCVDLTFLIYRRT---KRTLCWMLCPIYNKQLEKNTVIVASVNN-
Human MILVLSAVCVDLTFLISRT---KRTLCWMLCPLNQLEKNEETTVIGSMNI-
Zebrafish MRRPGLVWPSRPVFRVPKNTWME---PKKTF---RNQTPANMC
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(a) 1. WGE
2. HEK293T CTRL
3. V3 53BP1
4. V3 53BP1, AUG<sup>SEP</sup> bad kozak
5. V3 53BP1, SEP AUG/GCG

(b) 

* CPA
* DMSO
CTRL

SEP<sup>53BP1</sup>
53BP1

P-eIF2α

elF2α
|         | t0 | 1h | 2h | 4h | 8h |
|---------|----|----|----|----|----|
| SEP^{53BP1} |    |    |    |    |    |
| actin   |    |    |    |    |    |

**Figure 4**

**d**

Control | HEK293T | Anti-SEP^{53BP1}

|                   |                   |                   |
|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
|                   |                   |                   |
| ![Control](image) | ![HEK293T](image) | ![Anti-SEP](image) |

Scale: 10 μm
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THP-1 = human monocytic cell line
Raji = B-lymphocyte cell line Epstein-Barr positive
| GENE     | FUNCTION                                                                 |
|----------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| PSMA7    | Proteasome subunit alpha type-7; Encodes the a4 component of the 20S core proteasome complex |
| UBQLN4   | Ubiquilin-4; Mediates the proteasomal targeting of misfolded or accumulated proteins for degradation |
| TRIP12   | E3 ubiquitin-protein ligase involved in ubiquitin fusion degradation (UFD) pathway and regulation of DNA repair. |
| MAPRE1   | Microtubule-associated protein RP/EB family member 1; Promotes cytoplasmic microtubule nucleation and elongation. |
| BCOR     | BCL-6 corepressor; Transcriptional corepressor. |
Figure 6

(c) SEP^{53BP1} and α4 Ab expression levels in different conditions:

- SEP^{53BP1} and α4 Ab levels in NBF NT and BF NT samples are not statistically significant.
- SEP^{53BP1} and α4 Ab levels in NBF T and BF T samples are significantly elevated.
- SEP^{53BP1} and α4 Ab levels in CTRL + samples are the highest.

(d) Immunofluorescence images showing SEP^{53BP1} and β5 localization.

- SEP^{53BP1} localization is predominantly in the cytoplasm.
- β5 localization is mainly at the plasma membrane.

Merged image shows the co-localization of SEP^{53BP1} and β5 in the cells.
| UBQLN4 Ab | + | + | - |
|----------|---|---|---|
| NT       | T | T |

**Figure 6**
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Fluorescence (arbitrary units) vs. TIME (mins)

- pcDNA
- pcDNA-I
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1. HEK293T pcDNA
2. HEK293T SEP53BP1
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Supplementary Figure Legends

**Supplementary Figure 1**: Ribosome Profiling analysis of 53BP1 gene expression in THP-1 cells. The data was extracted from the RPF database http://sysbio.sysu.edu.cn/rpfdb/index.html. The upper graph shows read density mapped through the AUG$_{53BP1}$ and AUG$_{SEP53BP1}$ start sites from three independent experiments. The mRNA is decoded from left to right as indicated by the corresponding amino acid sequence for the two overlapping reading frames. The N-terminal methionine’s for 53BP1 and SEP53BP1 are highlighted in green.

**Supplementary Figure 2**: The SEP$_{53BP1}$ partners as determined by Y2H are represented according to their protein chain length. The selected interaction domains (SID) and the known functional domains of each protein are all indicated.

**Supplementary animation 1**: Cellular distribution of endogenous SEP$_{53BP1}$ in THP-1 cells.

**Supplementary animation 2**: Cellular distribution of endogenous SEP$_{53BP1}$ in Raji cells.

Z-stack was performed using a Zeiss LSM800 confocal scanning microscope equipped with a Plan-Apochromat 63x/1.40 Oil DIC M27 objective. For THP-1 (Supplementary animation 1) 38 slices were taken (7.77 µm) and for Raji (Supplementary animation 2) 30 slices (6.09 µm), in a manner that assures that the cell is imaged in its entirety. Pictures were analysed by the Imaris software. The first few seconds of each video shows the original pictures collected, with the endogenous SEP$_{53BP1}$ staining red and the nuclei staining blue. This is followed by a 3-Dimensional reconstruction of the nucleus using the surface function within Imaris. In this representation, the cytoplasmic SEP$_{53BP1}$ stains magenta and nuclear SEP$_{53BP1}$ stains light blue.

**Supplementary table 1**: List of oligos used.
Supplementary Figure 2

BCOR
- Ankyrin repeat-containing domain - PF12796
- Ankyrin repeat - SM00248
- BCL-6 corepressor, PCGF1 binding domain - PF16553

MAPRE1
- Coiled domain
- Calponin homology domain - PF00307
- EB1, C-terminal - PF03271

PSMA7
- Proteasome alpha-subunit, N-terminal dom - PF10584
- Proteasome alpha-subunit, N-terminal dom - SM00948
- Proteasome, subunit alpha/beta - PF00227

UBQLN4
- Ubiquitin-like domain - SM00213
- Ubiquitin-like domain - PF00240
- Heat shock chaperonin-binding - SM00727

TRIP12
- Ubiquitin-associated domain - SM00165
- Ubiquitin-associated domain - PF00627
| Primer | Sequence |
|--------|----------|
| 53BP1_iORF_LP_SP | AGTTCTAGAGGAGTGAAGCTCACACGTCACGTCACGCAAGC |
| 53BP1V1V2+ | GATCTGAATTTGTTTTTTCGATGCCGCGGCGGCGGCGG |
| V1_2+ | TAAAGCTTGATCGCATCCGAGAGGGAGGT |
| V1_2-ve | AGTTCTAGAGGAGTGAAGCTCACACGTCACGTCACGCAAGC |
| V3+ | TAAAGCTTGATCGCATCCGAGAGGGAGGT |
| V3-ve | AGTTCTAGAGGAGTGAAGCTCACACGTCACGTCACGCAAGC |
| 53BP1 Tot+ | CAATACTCAAACCTCTGTTGTCG |
| 53BP1 Tot- | AGTTCTAGAGGAGTGAAGCTCACACGTCACGTCACGCAAGC |
| SPACER_30nt_uORF ++ | CGCGCATCGGGAAAGCGGATGCTTTCGCTACGGAGG |
| SPACER_30nt_uORF -- | CTCAAGCTTGTTTTTTCGATGCCGCGGCGGCGGCGG |
| V3 LP-SP AUG/GCG 53BP1 | GCGGATCGGCAAAGGCGAGGCTCTGTGCTTCAAGGCCGGAAGGTCATTC |
| V3 53BP1 -- | GAAGTGAGAACCAGAATCATCCTCTAGAACCTGGCTTTCA |
| SEP_39nt XhoI -- | GCTCTCGAGGAATCCACAGGGTCTGCAAC |
| SEP_39nt bad kozak -- | ACTGAAGTGAGAACCAGAATCATCCTCTAGAACCTGGCTTTCA |
| SEP_39nt AUG/GCG + | GCTCTCGAGGAATCCACAGGGTCTGCAAC |
| SEP_39nt AUG/GCG - | ACTGAAGTGAGAACCAGAATCATCCTCTAGAACCTGGCTTTCA |
| HindIII UBLN4 ++ | GCTAAAGCTGATCGCATCCGAGAGGGAGGT |
| HindIII UBLN4 -- | CTCAAGCTTGTTTTTTCGATGCCGCGGCGGCGG |
| Zebra ioORF LPNext Xba(-) | GCTCTAGAGGAGTGAAGCTCACACGTCACGTCACGCAAGC |
| Zebra uAUG_GCG Hind(+ | GCTCTAGAGGAGTGAAGCTCACACGTCACGTCACGCAAGC |
| Zebra_53BP1_5'Hind(+ | GCTCTAGAGGAGTGAAGCTCACACGTCACGTCACGCAAGC |
| SEP_39nt UBLN4 ++ | GCTCTAGAGGAGTGAAGCTCACACGTCACGTCACGCAAGC |
| SEP_39nt UBLN4 -- | ACTGAAGTGAGAACCAGAATCATCCTCTAGAACCTGGCTTTCA |
| SEP_39nt bad kozak -- | ACTGAAGTGAGAACCAGAATCATCCTCTAGAACCTGGCTTTCA |
| SEP_39nt AUG/GCG + | GCTCTAGAGGAGTGAAGCTCACACGTCACGTCACGCAAGC |
| SEP_39nt AUG/GCG - | ACTGAAGTGAGAACCAGAATCATCCTCTAGAACCTGGCTTTCA |
| HindIII UBLN4 ++ | GCTCTAGAGGAGTGAAGCTCACACGTCACGTCACGCAAGC |
| HindIII UBLN4 -- | ACTGAAGTGAGAACCAGAATCATCCTCTAGAACCTGGCTTTCA |
| V3 LP-SP AUG/GCG 53BP1 | GCTCTAGAGGAGTGAAGCTCACACGTCACGTCACGCAAGC |
| V3 53BP1 -- | GAAGTGAGAACCAGAATCATCCTCTAGAACCTGGCTTTCA |
| SEP_39nt XhoI -- | GCTCTAGAGGAGTGAAGCTCACACGTCACGTCACGCAAGC |
| SEP_39nt bad kozak -- | ACTGAAGTGAGAACCAGAATCATCCTCTAGAACCTGGCTTTCA |
| SEP_39nt AUG/GCG + | GCTCTAGAGGAGTGAAGCTCACACGTCACGTCACGCAAGC |
| SEP_39nt AUG/GCG - | ACTGAAGTGAGAACCAGAATCATCCTCTAGAACCTGGCTTTCA |
| HindIII UBLN4 ++ | GCTCTAGAGGAGTGAAGCTCACACGTCACGTCACGCAAGC |
| HindIII UBLN4 -- | ACTGAAGTGAGAACCAGAATCATCCTCTAGAACCTGGCTTTCA |
| Zebra ioORF LPNext Xba(-) | GCTCTAGAGGAGTGAAGCTCACACGTCACGTCACGCAAGC |
| Zebra uAUG_GCG Hind(+ | GCTCTAGAGGAGTGAAGCTCACACGTCACGTCACGCAAGC |
| Zebra_53BP1_5'Hind(+ | GCTCTAGAGGAGTGAAGCTCACACGTCACGTCACGCAAGC |
| SEP_39nt UBLN4 ++ | GCTCTAGAGGAGTGAAGCTCACACGTCACGTCACGCAAGC |
| SEP_39nt UBLN4 -- | ACTGAAGTGAGAACCAGAATCATCCTCTAGAACCTGGCTTTCA |
| SEP_39nt bad kozak -- | ACTGAAGTGAGAACCAGAATCATCCTCTAGAACCTGGCTTTCA |
| SEP_39nt AUG/GCG + | GCTCTAGAGGAGTGAAGCTCACACGTCACGTCACGCAAGC |
| SEP_39nt AUG/GCG - | ACTGAAGTGAGAACCAGAATCATCCTCTAGAACCTGGCTTTCA |
| HindIII UBLN4 ++ | GCTCTAGAGGAGTGAAGCTCACACGTCACGTCACGCAAGC |
| HindIII UBLN4 -- | ACTGAAGTGAGAACCAGAATCATCCTCTAGAACCTGGCTTTCA |
| Zebra ioORF LPNext Xba(-) | GCTCTAGAGGAGTGAAGCTCACACGTCACGTCACGCAAGC |
| Zebra uAUG_GCG Hind(+ | GCTCTAGAGGAGTGAAGCTCACACGTCACGTCACGCAAGC |
| Zebra_53BP1_5'Hind(+ | GCTCTAGAGGAGTGAAGCTCACACGTCACGTCACGCAAGC |
| SEP_39nt UBLN4 ++ | GCTCTAGAGGAGTGAAGCTCACACGTCACGTCACGCAAGC |
| SEP_39nt UBLN4 -- | ACTGAAGTGAGAACCAGAATCATCCTCTAGAACCTGGCTTTCA |
| SEP_39nt bad kozak -- | ACTGAAGTGAGAACCAGAATCATCCTCTAGAACCTGGCTTTCA |
| SEP_39nt AUG/GCG + | GCTCTAGAGGAGTGAAGCTCACACGTCACGTCACGCAAGC |
| SEP_39nt AUG/GCG - | ACTGAAGTGAGAACCAGAATCATCCTCTAGAACCTGGCTTTCA |