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Can Exercise Adaptations Be Maintained in Men with Prostate Cancer Following Supervised Programmes? Implications to the COVID-19 Landscape of Urology and Clinical Exercise
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Exercise medicine clinics have had to change their services including those for men with prostate cancer (PCa) due to the recent coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) where face-to-face supervised exercise programmes are required to cease. Unsupervised home-based (ie, off-site) interventions are an alternative method to improve physical activity behaviour in different clinical populations; however, despite being superior to usual care \cite{1}, benefits derived from these programmes are modest compared with face-to-face clinic-based programmes and not sustained for prolonged periods in men with PCa at different stages of disease \cite{1,2}. Moreover, it is undetermined whether a change in programme delivery (ie, face-to-face clinic-based to unsupervised home-based programmes) would preserve clinical outcomes. These outcomes are relevant as the gains achieved with supervised periods of exercise could be significantly reduced, resulting in loss of exercise adaptations and decline in psychological and metabolic health.

In this brief correspondence, we analyse the effects of change from face-to-face supervised to unsupervised home-based exercise programmes on fatigue, quality of life (QoL), and body composition in men with PCa. Although none of the studies were performed during a viral pandemic, we highlight and contextualise our findings to their application for the COVID-19 pandemic, where face-to-face interventions have been restricted. This information will help provide a rationale for the delivery of exercise medicine to PCa patients in clinical practice in the current COVID-19 landscape.

A systematic review was undertaken in randomised controlled trials (RCTs) evaluating the effects of supervised resistance-based exercise programmes with subsequent change to a nonsupervised exercise intervention in PCa patients. Data were extracted from four manuscripts \cite{3–6} describing three RCTs in men with PCa on androgen suppression therapy (AST) or previously treated with AST, which included fatigue, QoL, and body composition (ie, fat and lean mass) from the completion of face-to-face supervised and unsupervised home-based periods. The study selection procedure and results, and the main characteristics are described in the Supplementary material and provided in Table 1. We undertook a meta-analysis using a random-effect model and the Hartung-Knapp-Sidik-Jonkman method. Pooled-effect estimates were obtained from within-group values. Statistical heterogeneity was assessed using the Cochran Q test and expressed by $I^2$.

One-third of men undergoing AST experience fatigue due to associated depression, anxiety, pain, and insomnia, resulting in reduced QoL. Despite the maintenance of patient-reported outcomes during unsupervised home-based programmes (Fig. 1A and 1B), issues related to the participants’ baseline levels and programme design may affect the interpretation of our findings. In the included studies, participants mostly presented with low baseline levels of fatigue and high levels of QoL, and this may have attenuated further change from the exercise programme during the unsupervised period. Thus, we may need to consider that fatigue and QoL are likely to be affected during the pandemic period in patients with higher fatigue levels and poor QoL. This concern is not only because of the distress associated with time on AST or fears related to tumour recurrence, but also because of distancing from family and friends, and fears associated with the COVID-19 pandemic. Moreover, most unsupervised home-based programmes require participants to have a self-controlled physical activity habit. Although this might work for those with regular exercise habits, it is unlikely to be generalised to all patients. Thus, current unsupervised models based on a usual weekly exercise volume (eg, 150 min/wk) or self-directed physical activity may not fit patient needs during
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the COVID-19 pandemic. A redesigned home-based exercise programme leveraging the rapidly developing technology resources may help facilitate and assist participants in overcoming barriers related to exercise practice, such as lack of self-discipline, safety and monitoring, time, and treatment-related fatigue. Digital health facilitating technology might include the following:

1. Wearable biosensors (e.g., heart rate, physical activity, steps, and distance)
2. Digital exercise prescription platforms providing the exercise programme and instructions, and recording exercises completed on the patient’s smart device or computer
3. Video chat with a qualified exercise professional to monitor and support the patient

During face-to-face supervised exercise, studies reported positive effects on body fat (range: −2.6 to −0.6 kg) and lean mass (range: 0.1–0.7 kg) [3,5,6]. However, our analysis reveals that PCa patients were more likely to increase fat mass during follow-ups with unsupervised programmes, although lean mass was preserved (Fig. 1C and 1D). Considering the maintenance of physical activity levels and nutritional status reported in those studies [3,6], our findings suggest that body fat is likely to be increased during COVID-19 restriction, potentially adversely affecting metabolic health and disease prognosis [7]. Although there is evidence that patients on AST may be less likely to develop COVID-19 than PCa patients not receiving AST [8], poor body composition and reduced physical activity levels can contribute to poorer disease prognosis, altering systemic and cellular factors and increasing the incidence of comorbidities such as diabetes or hypertension [9]. Thus, our results highlight the need for different exercise strategies such as an adequate increase of exercise stimulus (volume or intensity) to balance energy expenditure during physical activity restrictions or for facilitating intervention delivery as outlined above using different resources such as exercise smartphone Apps and online programmes (e.g., telehealth). This may help provide instructions and feedback to help maintain patient motivation during the outbreak and avoid physical inactivity. Therefore, a higher exercise stimulus and increased contact with patients are likely to help maintain exercise adherence and counteract expected weight gain during self-quarantine [10].

In summary, despite the relatively small number of studies and patients in our meta-analysis, the direction of our results and the change of habits during the lockdown [10] are a concern when viewed in the context of the current worldwide situation. Changing from face-to-face to unsupervised self-directed home-based exercise programmes is unlikely to provide further benefits on fatigue, QoL, and body composition in patients with PCa during physical distancing restrictions, but may help with maintenance. Therefore, use of various technologies to keep patients motivated during self-quarantine and an increase in exercise stimulus to counteract physical distancing restrictions are some of our suggestions for the current COVID-19 landscape to avoid physical inactivity. These measures are
necessary to guarantee the continuation of appropriate and targeted exercise medicine delivery to PCa patients.
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Appendix A. Supplementary data

Supplementary material related to this article can be found, in the online version, at doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.euo.2020.09.002.
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