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ABSTRACT

Objective The objective was to investigate if there is a relationship between preischaemic stroke medicine use and health outcomes after stroke.

Setting This registry-based study covered Swedish stroke care, both primary and secondary care, including approximately 60% of the Swedish stroke cases from seven Swedish regions.

Participants The Sveus research database was used, including 35 913 patients (33 943 with full information on confounding factors) with an ischaemic stroke (International Classification of Diseases, 10th Revision (ICD-10) I63*) between 2009 and 2011 registered both in the regions’ patient administrative systems and in the Swedish Stroke Register. Patients with haemorrhagic stroke (ICD-10 I61*) were excluded.

Primary outcome The primary outcome was the association, expressed in ORs, of prestroke medicine use (oral anticoagulants, statins, antihypertensives, antidepressants, non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) and antidiabetic drugs) and health outcomes 1 and 2 years poststroke (survival, activities of daily living dependency and modified Rankin Scale (mRS) 0–2), adjusted for patient characteristics and stroke severity at stroke onset.

Results The multivariate analysis indicated that patients on drugs for hypertension, diabetes, oral anticoagulants and antidepressants prestroke had worse odds for health outcomes in both survival (OR 0.65, 95% CI 0.60 to 0.69; OR 0.77, 95% CI 0.71 to 0.83; OR 0.72, 95% CI 0.66 to 0.80; OR 0.91, 95% CI 0.84 to 0.98, respectively, for survival at 2 years) and functional outcome (OR 0.82, 95% CI 0.75 to 0.89; OR 0.61, 95% CI 0.55 to 0.68; OR 0.83, 95% CI 0.72 to 0.95; OR 0.58, 95% CI 0.52 to 0.65, respectively, for mRS 0–2 at 1 year), whereas patients on statins and NSAIDs had significantly better odds for survival (OR 1.16, 95% CI 1.08 to 1.25 and OR 1.12, 95% CI 1.00 to 1.25 for 1-year survival, respectively), compared with patients without these treatments prior to stroke.

Conclusions The results indicated that there are differences in health outcomes between patients who had different common prestroke treatments, patients on drugs for hypertension, diabetes, oral anticoagulants and antidepressants had worse health outcomes, whereas patients on statins and NSAIDs had significantly better survival, compared with patients without these treatments prior to stroke.

BACKGROUND

Stroke affects almost 25 000 patients each year in Sweden according to the Swedish Stroke Register. The risk factors of having a stroke are well known and established in literature, where higher age is associated with higher incidence.1 Men within the age group 45–75 years has also been shown to have higher incidence than women.1 Modifiable risk factors primarily relate to smoking and comorbidities, where hypertension, atrial fibrillation and diabetes are the three most common comorbidities increasing the risk for stroke.2

Generally, the use of prescription medicines is often an indicator of health as it reflects a person with comorbid conditions but may also reflect a medically well managed person. The increased risk of stroke associated with specific comorbidities can potentially be decreased with right management and treatment of these underlying diseases. Overall, oral anticoagulant (OAC) and aspirin treatment have shown to increase the risks of bleeding3 while decreasing the risk of embolic and non-embolic ischaemic stroke, respectively.4 5 Diabetes is also a well-established and modifiable risk factor for stroke, and specific clinical patterns of

Strengths and limitations of this study

- The study is based on registry data with good coverage of the population with confirmed stroke diagnosis, minimising the risk of selection bias.
- Combination of several data sources enables analyses of different health outcomes taking several confounding factors into account in multivariate regression analyses.
- Registry data always have limitations such as missing data, incomplete data and incorrect registrations.
- Potential confounding by indication.
- Data were not available on patient compliance to drug treatment or stroke aetiology.
ischaemic stroke in individuals with diabetes have been identified such as higher frequency of lacunar infarct and hypertension. Depression is also a risk factor for stroke, where selective serotonin receptor inhibitors (SSRIs) are the most commonly used antidepressive drugs. Inhibition of serotonin in the platelets lead to an increased risk of bleeding and has been associated with increased risk of intracerebral haemorrhage. Current use of SSRI has also been shown to be associated with increased risk of ischaemic stroke. Use of non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs), particularly the selective COX-2 inhibitors, has also been associated of a higher risk of stroke.

Although studies have evaluated the association of these treatments with stroke incidence, the association with health outcomes after stroke is not as well established. The purpose of this study was therefore to investigate if there is a relationship between preischaemic stroke medicine use and health outcomes after stroke.

**MATERIAL AND METHODS**

**Sveus stroke research database**

The study was a retrospective registry-based study of patients with stroke in Sweden. The study population was retrieved from the Sveus stroke research database as part of the larger Sveus project (extensively explained elsewhere, www.sveus.se). The research database consisted of data from patients with acute stroke 2007–2012, identified in patient administrative systems (PAS) from seven Swedish regions (Jämtland-Härjedalen, Östergötland, Dalarna, Uppsala, Skåne, Stockholm and Västra Götaland), covering approximately 60% of all registered strokes annually in Sweden. PAS contain information on diagnosis and procedure codes related to all healthcare activities registered within the region. Adult patients (>18 years) with stroke were identified at inpatient admission with International Classification of Diseases, 10th Revision (ICD-10) codes I61* (intracerebral haemorrhage), I63* (cerebral infarction) and I64* (unspecified stroke), defined as index date. Data from all healthcare contacts during the study period were retrieved. Through the unique personal identification numbers and matching on index date, data for the identified patients were linked to data from the Swedish Stroke Register (health outcomes and patient characteristics), prescription drug registry at the National Board of Health and Welfare (dispensed drugs) and Statistics Sweden (date of death and level of education). These registries all have national coverage above 95%. All data were anonymised after linkage of the different data sources, before being delivered to the research group.

**Study population**

The final study population consisted of 35,913 patients acquiring an ischaemic stroke (ICD-10 code I63*) during 2009–2011, registered both in PAS and the Swedish Stroke Register. This allowed for 1 year of history prior to stroke and 2 years follow-up of health outcomes for the full study population. Index date was defined as the first inpatient admission at a unit treating acute stroke in PAS (ie, emergency, stroke unit, neurology or neurosurgical department).

- Patients residing in another region than where the acute stroke care was performed were excluded from the analysis to allow for complete follow-up.
- One patient could have more than one stroke within the study period if it occurred more than 28 days after the previous stroke, in line with definitions of new strokes in the Swedish Stroke Register.
- In the event a patient had several stroke diagnoses within the initial inpatient admission, a hierarchy was applied where I61>I63. Consequently, patients who had both diagnoses registered in PAS were not part of this study population. This definition of ischaemic stroke are in line with the Swedish Stroke Register.

**Study variables**

The study variables of interest were prescription drugs dispensed at pharmacies from six different groups of medicines. The dispensed prescriptions were identified through Anatomical Therapeutic Chemical (ATC) codes (see table 1).

A definition of a patient being on treatment was applied to the data for each of the groups above, defined as having dispensed two prescriptions within 1 year within one group of medicines. This is estimated both prior to (used in analysis) and after stroke (for descriptive purposes).

The health outcome variables of interest were estimated at 1 year and 2 years after stroke onset. The included data contained both patient-reported outcome measures from the Swedish Stroke Register and data on mortality from Statistics Sweden. The health outcome variables were (data source within parenthesis):

- Activities of daily living (ADL) independent 1 year after stroke, defined as not needing assistance with going to the toilet or dressing himself/herself (Swedish Stroke Register).
- Estimated modified Rankin Scale (mRS) 0–2 1 year after stroke. The Swedish Stroke Register does not collect mRS but contain variables that allow for estimating mRS based on algorithms by Eriksson et al (Swedish Stroke Register).
- Survival 1 year after stroke based on all cause death date (Statistics Sweden).
- Survival 2 years after stroke based on all cause death date (Statistics Sweden).

**Statistical analysis**

Logistic univariate regression analyses were performed for each group of medicines and each respective health outcome. Thereafter, a multivariate analysis was performed of usage of all the different groups of medicines, while adjusting for confounding factors that previously have shown to have a large impact on health outcomes. The confounding factors that the multivariate analysis adjusted for were (data source in parenthesis):
Table 1  Medicines included in study by ATC code

| Groups of medicines      | ATC code     | Medicines                                                                 |
|--------------------------|--------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Oral anticoagulants      | B01AA03      | Warfarin (vitamin K Antagonists)                                          |
|                          | B01AE07      | Dabigatran (direct thrombin inhibitors)                                   |
|                          | B01AF        | Direct factor Xa inhibitors                                               |
| Statins                  | C10AA        | HMG CoA reductase inhibitors                                              |
| Drugs used for hypertension | C03        | Diuretics                                                                |
|                          | C07          | Beta blocking agents                                                     |
|                          | C08          | Calcium channel blockers                                                 |
|                          | C09          | Agents acting on the renin-angiotensin system                            |
| NSAIDs                   | M01AB        | Acetic acid derivatives and related substances                           |
|                          | M01AC        | Oxicams                                                                  |
|                          | M01AE        | Propionic acid derivatives                                                |
|                          | M01AH        | Cyclo-oxygenase-2 inhibitors                                              |
|                          | M01AX        | Other antiinflammatory and antirheumatic agents, non-steroids            |
| Antidepressants          | N06AA        | Non-selective monoamine reuptake inhibitors                               |
|                          | N06AB        | Selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors                                   |
|                          | N06AG        | Monoamine oxidase A inhibitors                                            |
|                          | N06AX        | Other antidepressants                                                    |
| Drugs used in diabetes   | A10A         | Insulins and analogues                                                   |
|                          | A10B         | Blood glucose-lowering drugs, excluding insulins                         |
|                          | A10X         | Other drugs used in diabetes                                             |

ATC, Anatomical Therapeutic Chemical code; HMG CoA reductase, 3-hydroxy-3-methylglutaryl coenzyme A reductase; NSAIDs, non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs.

Table 2  Patient characteristics prior to stroke

|                          | Value (mean, SD) | N     |
|--------------------------|------------------|-------|
| Age                      | 76.5 (12.16)     | 35913 |
| Men (%)                  | 50.0             | 35910 |
| Higher education (>12 years) (%) | 16.5         | 35035 |
| Living alone (%)         | 51.3             | 35536 |
| Type of accommodation (%) | 100.0        | 35464 |
| Living at home with no home help service | 71.8       |       |
| Living at home with home help service | 18.8       |       |
| Special housing (%)      | 9.3              |       |
| ADL dependent (%)        | 11.3             | 35127 |
| Level of consciousness at hospital arrival (%) | 100.0       | 35378 |
| Fully awake              | 85.7             |       |
| Lethargic                | 10.9             |       |
| Unconscious              | 3.4              |       |
| ADL, activities of daily living; n, number of patients with information on this variable.

► Sex (PAS).
► Age (PAS).
► ADL dependency prior to stroke (Swedish Stroke Register).
► Accommodation prior to stroke (Swedish Stroke Register).
► Consciousness at arrival (Swedish Stroke Register), proxy for stroke severity due to poor coverage of National Institutes of Health Stroke Scale (NIHSS) in the Swedish Stroke Register.
► Level of education (Statistics Sweden).

A multivariate logistic regression was performed for dichotomous outcomes, while continuous data were analysed using ordinary least squares regression. The full set of predictors was used in all regression models. STATA V.13.1 was used for statistical analyses. Analyses were performed without adjustment for multiple comparisons.

In the statistical analyses, the population consisted of 33,493 patients in total, who had full information on confounding factors.

**Patient and public involvement**

A patient representative from the Swedish Stroke Association participated in the Sveus research group. The Sveus research group together defined relevant indicators of interest for the stroke population, limited by data availability, in the set-up of the Sveus research database. This current study is an extension of the initial work of the Sveus research group, adding analyses and perspectives on the predefined indicators.

Anonymous patients have contributed to this study by participating in the national registries, with an opt-out possibility. No other patient or public representatives were involved in the design, conduct, reporting or dissemination plans of this research.

**RESULTS**

**Study population**

Table 2 summarises the characteristics of the patient population. A majority of the patients were living at home without home help service and were ADL independent prior to the stroke. The vast majority were also awake at arrival to the hospital.

The results indicated that there was an increase in proportion of patients taking drugs for hypertension, OACs and statins after stroke compared with prior to stroke (figure 1). These findings were expected as they
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Figure 1  Proportion of patients on treatment for different causes the year prior to and after stroke. All incident cases (n=35 913) and patient surviving 1 year poststroke (n=27 587). NSAIDs, non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs.

are in line with treatment recommendations. The results further indicated that there was an increase in proportion of patients taking antidepressants, whereas the use of NSAIDs decreased (figure 1) after stroke compared with prior to stroke. The same prescription pattern is detected both for the population of incident cases and the population surviving 1 year (complete follow-up). The most commonly prescribed group of medicines were drugs used for hypertension, followed by statins, both before and after stroke.

Health outcomes

Overall, 77% (n=27 587) of the patients were still alive 1 year poststroke. For these patients, ADL-independence and mRS was estimated based on data from the Swedish Stroke Register, with approximate coverage of 70% of the surviving patients (table 3). The results indicated that the majority of surviving patients were ADL-independent and had an estimated mRS of 0–2, that is, good functional ability, 1 year post stroke.

Univariate analysis

The results from the univariate analysis indicated that patients on OACs, drugs for hypertension, antidepressants or drugs for diabetes before stroke had worse health outcomes compared with those without any of these therapies (table 4). Patients who had statins or NSAIDs prior to stroke had higher survival, but non-significant difference on level of ADL-independence and mRS 0–2, compared with those patients without these therapies.

Multivariate analysis

The results from the multivariate regression analysis are presented in figure 2 (numbers in online supplementary table 1). The results indicated a clear relationship between patient characteristics and the health outcomes of interest, with all significant ORs (95% CIs). Older age, lower educational level, ADL dependency, dependency on home help/special housing prior to stroke as well as worse level of consciousness at hospital arrival were all associated with worse health outcomes.

Most of the results from the univariate analyses of association between medication use and health outcomes prevailed after adjusting for confounding factors. After

| Table 3 | Health outcomes |
|---------|-----------------|
|         | Proportion (%)  | N          | Coverage (%) |
| ADL independent at 1 year | 83.22 | 19 140 | 69* |
| mRS 0-2 at 1 year | 58.16 | 18 734 | 68* |
| Survival 1 year | 76.82 | 35 913 | 100 |
| Survival 2 years | 69.36 | 35 913 | 100 |

*Coverage based on patients surviving 1 year poststroke. ADL, activities of daily living; mRS, modified Rankin Scale.
## Results from univariate analysis for each category of medicines and health outcome

| Group of medicines | Survival 1 year | Survival 2 year | ADL independent 1 year | mRS 0–2 1 year |
|--------------------|-----------------|-----------------|------------------------|---------------|
| Oral anticoagulants| 0.61 0.56       | 0.66 0.66       | 0.76 0.66              | 0.88 0.88     |
| Statins            | 1.18 1.11       | 1.25 1.25       | 0.93 0.86              | 1.01 1.01     |
| Drugs used for hypertension | 0.60 0.56 | 0.64 0.64 | 0.80 0.75 | 0.86 0.86 |
| NSAIDs             | 1.44 1.31       | 1.59 1.59       | 1.43 1.31              | 1.56 1.56     |
| Antidepressants    | 0.60 0.56       | 0.64 0.64       | 0.80 0.75              | 0.86 0.86     |

**ORS and 95% CIs:**
- Survival 1 year: OR 0.61, 95% CI 0.56 to 0.66
- Survival 2 years: OR 0.66, 95% CI 0.61 to 0.71
- ADL independent 1 year: OR 0.87, 95% CI 0.79 to 0.97
- mRS 0–2 1 year: OR 0.83, 95% CI 0.72 to 0.95
- ADL independent 1 year: OR 0.69, 95% CI 0.62 to 0.78

### Discussion

Previous studies on prestroke treatment have often been conducted based on a subpopulation of the total stroke population or evaluating the association to stroke incidence or severity. This current study took a more holistic approach to the stroke population and based on a patient sample of 33,493 patients with full information on confounding factors, estimated the association between prestroke treatment and health outcomes 1 year and 2 years after ischaemic stroke. The multivariate analysis indicated that patients on drugs for hypertension, diabetes, OACs, and antidepressants had worse health outcomes, whereas patients on statins and NSAIDs had significantly higher survival, compared with patients without these drug treatments prestroke.

### Stroke severity

Several studies have investigated the impact of prestroke treatment on stroke severity, which in turn is a strong...
indicator of the final health outcome. For example, studies have indicated that prestroke hypertension treatment is associated with milder strokes, as well as prestroke statin use.

Other studies have not identified any statistical association between prestroke treatment with hypertension treatment or OACs and stroke severity. In this current study, the association between prestroke treatment and outcomes have been adjusted for differences in stroke severity in the multivariate model, isolating the association to longer-term health outcomes. Level of consciousness was used to adjust the results for stroke severity, which has been shown to be a strong predictor of health outcome. Another option would have been to use NIHSS as measure of stroke severity, which is a validated stroke severity scale that has been shown to be a strong predictor of health outcome. However, the coverage of NIHSS in the Swedish Stroke Register was too low to be used (approximately 50%), and therefore, level of consciousness was used instead. This variable has, however, been shown to be a good approximation of the full NIHSS in predictions and therefore provide valid adjustment in the multivariate analysis.

Previous studies
Outcome after stroke, ischaemic or haemorrhagic, in patients treated with OACs, platelet inhibitors or other agents with an antithrombotic effect is of interest as an increasing part of the stroke population are using these drugs. At the time of our data extraction, the use of non-vitamin K antagonist oral anticoagulants (NOACs) were still limited. However, studies have indicated that there is no difference in risk for mortality in warfarin compared with NOAC-treated patients with ischaemic stroke after adjustment for confounders, indicating that the results from this study may still be valid today. Previous studies regarding association of anticoagulant treatment prestroke and health outcomes poststroke present inconclusive results. A systematic review of anticoagulant treatment at onset of atrial fibrillation-related stroke and its association with functional outcomes, conclude that prestroke treatment had a favourable association with functional outcome and survival. These findings are supported by Illán-Gala et al indicating that preischaemic stroke use of OACs was associated with favourable functional outcomes (mRS 0–2 at 3 months). Other studies have not been able to show any significant association of prestroke warfarin use on functional health outcomes (mRS) or indicating worse survival both for patients treated with warfarin only, as well as those with untreated atrial fibrillation. The present study indicated worse health outcomes in terms of survival for patients treated with OACs prior to stroke, whereas the association with functional outcome was not significant. These results may potentially indicate that these patients also are at a worse health condition prior to stroke.

Patients presenting with ischaemic stroke in atrial fibrillation have previously been shown to have a risk reduction of worse health outcomes after stroke and better survival if they had used statins prestroke. These findings are in line with the findings in our study with regards to mortality, although this study is not limited to...
patients with atrial fibrillation. Studies of the association of preischaemic stroke statin use on short-term functional outcome is however inconclusive, with evidence of not significantly influencing the short-term functional outcome, whereas others have shown a favourable association. This present study did not find any statistically significant association to functional outcome, adding to these inconclusive results. Additionally, continuous statin use after stroke in addition to preischaemic treatment has been found favourable for health outcomes. Most patients on statin use prior to stroke also continued with statins after stroke in this study as well.

Several studies have indicated that there is no significant association of preischaemic antihypertensive treatment and health outcomes but untreated hypertension trended for more unfavourable outcome compared with those on treatment. On the contrary, this present study indicated that patients on hypertensive treatment had worse health outcomes, both in terms of functional outcome and survival. Differences in results may partly be explained by adjustment for stroke severity in our study, a larger patient sample and inclusion of total patient population and not only patients with hypertension (treated vs untreated). Several previous studies have indicated that the observed decreased mortality in stroke over time can be explained by better primary preventions, especially of hypertension. This can possibly be explained by findings that hypertensive treatment is also associated with milder strokes.

The literature is rather scarce regarding the association of preischaemic treatment for depression and diabetes as well as NSAID use with health outcomes poststroke. Results regarding preischaemic SSRI and health outcomes are conflicting. Studies have indicated that preischaemic treatment with SSRI did not have any association with functional outcome or survival, whereas other studies have indicated a negative association with health outcomes and others a positive association. On the contrary, poststroke use of SSRI is suggested to ameliorate outcome after ischaemic stroke as shown in several studies. This present study indicated worse functional outcome for patients on antidepressants prior to stroke but did not find any significant association with survival.

Patients with diabetes, both treated and untreated prior to stroke, have been shown to have worse survival. These findings are in line with the findings of this current study, where patients on treatments for diabetes preischaemic had worse outcomes both in terms of functional outcome and survival.

**Strengths and limitations**

The criteria for a patient being ‘on treatment’ in this study was two dispensed prescriptions within a group of medicines within the year prior to stroke. This definition was examined in a sensitivity analysis to determine how many patients were missed compared with allowing only one dispensation. For all groups except NSAIDs, the criteria of two dispensations captured 85%–96% of the population who had dispensed any prescription of the specific group of medicines during the year. However, for NSAIDs, almost 50% of the patients were missed using the chosen criterion. NSAIDs are more likely to be used for shorter treatment periods, whereby these results are in line with expectations. Additionally, non-prescribed NSAIDs were not included in the database, whereby short-term usage of NSAIDs were not part of the analysis.

A limitation of using dispensation data is that information on patient compliance is missing. Adding data on patient compliance to dispensed medicines would increase the accuracy of the ‘on treatment’ definition, but this information was not available in the registries. However, using dispensation data is one step closer to patient use compared with prescription data. Specification of stroke aetiology was also missing, which would have given more precise information on the nature of the stroke event.

A major strength of this study was the large patient sample, representing the vast majority of the patients who had a stroke during 2009–2011 in the included regions. The sample represents the majority of the total Swedish ischaemic stroke population, although generalisations to other regions or countries should be done with caution. The data were taken from regional or national registries, which all have good coverage of the stroke population, providing high coverage of the datapoints included. These registries are generally of good quality, but the results may be affected by missing data, incorrect registrations or incomplete data. The variations were, however, deemed to be minor. Health outcomes were based on national data on date of death (for survival) and patient reported outcomes as collected by the Swedish Stroke Register. The latter were collected through questionnaires that were sent out to the patients 1 year after their stroke, providing a coverage of approximately 70% of the surviving patients. Additionally, for some patients who had a stroke, these questions were completed by their next of kin, possibly giving other results than if the patient would have answered themselves. These patients were most likely severely impaired after stroke, which may give rise to systematic errors.

We chose to exclude patients with diagnoses of both ischaemic and haemorrhagic stroke. The rationale for this was to ensure that we only analysed patients who had an ischaemic stroke. However, in doing this, patients who had (often large) ischaemic strokes with haemorrhagic transformation who have a poorer outcome may have been excluded.

There is a risk of confounding by indication as there is a potential association between the underlying diseases (for which the medicines are prescribed) and health outcomes. Previous studies have also indicated that patients not receiving treatment are more frail and have more comorbidities than those who get treatment, whereas in some cases it may be the opposite, that is, that sicker patients have more medications. An interpretation of the causal effect of medication use on health outcomes...
cannot be done based on these results. Such conclusions warrant another study design, such as a randomised clinical trial or a case–control study. The choice of study design for this study was limited by the data availability within the Sveus stroke research database, and a case–control design would require information and subjects that were not available, for example, controls that did not have a stroke. Another option would have been to select a subpopulation, for example, patients with previous hypertension, and only evaluate hypertensive treatments. This would instead only provide insights to a small part of the total patient population and not account for all the treatments that are common within the ischaemic stroke population.

This present study was limited to the association between prestroke treatments and health outcomes. Variations in poststroke treatments may, however, also have an effect on deviating results in final health outcomes.

CONCLUSIONS

The results of this present study have indicated that there are differences in health outcomes between patients who had different common prestroke treatments. Prestroke treatment with drugs for hypertension, diabetes, OACs and antidepressants were all associated with worse outcomes post ischaemic stroke and may serve as a special prompt to ensure acute and preventative stroke treatments are thoroughly applied.
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