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Dyspnea secondary to pleural effusion is a common presenting complaint in cats, and can be the result of a variety of disease processes, including neoplasia, cardiac disease, pyothorax, and feline infectious peritonitis.\(^1\)\(^2\) Differentiation of the underlying causes can be challenging in dyspneic cats. These cats might require supplementary oxygen and be too unstable to tolerate extensive diagnostic testing. Measurement of N-terminal pro-B-type natriuretic peptide (NT-proBNP) using a first-generation feline-specific quantitative ELISA\(^a\) in plasma and pleural fluid differentiates cardiac from noncardiac causes of pleural effusion. Measurement in pleural fluid samples is a potentially attractive alternative to measurement in plasma, as therapeutic thoracocentesis is usually performed in cats with significant pleural effusion, whereas venipuncture might cause additional distress to an already dyspneic cat. In human patients, measurement of NT-proBNP concentrations in pleural fluid has high diagnostic accuracy to distinguish cardiac from noncardiac causes of pleural effusion. Meta-analysis of 1,120 human patients revealed high sensitivity (94%, 95% confidence interval [CI]: 90–97%) and specificity (94%, 95% CI: 89–97%) with a strong correlation between NT-proBNP concentrations measured in plasma and pleural fluid samples.\(^5\)

Second-generation assays that measure NT-proBNP in cats are available, including a second-generation quantitative ELISA\(^a\) and a semiquantitative point-of-care (POC) test\(^b\) that is specifically designed for
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detection of preclinical or occult forms of cardiomyopathy.\textsuperscript{6} In contrast to the first-generation assay, sample collection for the second-generation assays is performed without the use of a protease inhibitor cocktail and special handling and shipping requirements.\textsuperscript{5} In emergent cats, one disadvantage of the ELISA assay that is run at a reference laboratory is that results are usually not available until 1–2 working days after sample submission. The POC test offers patient-side semiquantitative measurement of NT-proBNP but its utility to distinguish cardiac from noncardiac causes of pleural effusion using either plasma or pleural fluid samples has not been investigated.

The aims of this study were to determine whether measurement of NT-proBNP in plasma or pleural fluid samples using either the second-generation quantitative ELISA or POC assay could distinguish cardiac from noncardiac causes of pleural effusion, and how these results compared to the results of a previous study using the first-generation quantitative ELISA assay.\textsuperscript{4}

Materials and Methods

The study was approved by the respective institutional animal use and care committees and informed owner consent was obtained. Thirty-eight cats presenting to one of two US teaching hospitals (Matthew J. Ryan Veterinary Hospital of the University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia PA and the Foster Hospital for Small Animals, Cummings School of Veterinary Medicine, Tufts University, North Grafton, MA) with pleural effusion undergoing thoracocentesis were prospectively recruited (US cohort). Forty cats presenting to a UK teaching hospital (Queen Mother Hospital for Animals, Royal Veterinary College, North Mymms, Hatfield) with pleural effusion undergoing thoracocentesis were prospectively recruited, as previously described (UK cohort).\textsuperscript{4} For both cohorts, cats were recruited and the cause of the pleural effusion was determined to be either cardiac or noncardiac by a board-certified cardiologist on the basis of the echocardiogram, history, physical examination, and results of any additional diagnostic tests performed. The cardiologist was blinded to all quantitative and POC measurements of NT-proBNP.

Pleural fluid samples were obtained at the time of therapeutic or diagnostic thoracocentesis. Plasma samples were collected when venipuncture for diagnostic sampling was performed during the same hospital visit and as close to the time of therapeutic thoracocentesis as was clinically practicable on the basis of feline stability. One milliliter samples of pleural fluid and 2 milliliter samples of blood were collected in K2-EDTA treated tubes and were centrifuged at 3000 \( \times \) g for 5 minutes within 15 minutes of collection. For the US cohort, NT-proBNP was measured in plasma using the POC assay\textsuperscript{b} within 30 minutes of centrifugation and the remaining plasma and pleural fluid samples were each transferred to a cryotube and frozen at \(-80^\circ\text{C}\) for batched analysis. NT-proBNP was measured at the reference laboratory in plasma and pleural fluid samples using the second-generation ELISA\textsuperscript{a} and in pleural fluid samples using the POC assay.\textsuperscript{3} For the UK cohort, which was originally recruited for assessment of the first-generation NT-proBNP ELISA assay,\textsuperscript{2} NT-proBNP was measured at the reference laboratory in plasma and pleural fluid samples using the second-generation ELISA\textsuperscript{a} and POC assay\textsuperscript{b} in samples collected in a protease inhibitor tube\textsuperscript{e} and frozen at \(-80^\circ\text{C}\) for batched analysis.

The second-generation NT-proBNP in cat assays incorporate a set of antibodies different from the first-generation assay that attempt to target stable epitopes of NT-proBNP in cats.\textsuperscript{9} The lower and upper limits of detection of the reference laboratory assay are 24 pmol/L and 1500 pmol/L, respectively. Values of NT-proBNP less than the lower limit of detection were assigned values of 24 pmol/L. Values of NT-proBNP greater than the upper limit of detection were assigned values of 1500 pmol/L. All reference laboratory samples were assayed in duplicate and the mean of the two values used.

The POC test is a bidirectional flow ELISA that uses the same pair of antibodies for the detection of NT-proBNP in cats as the second-generation reference laboratory test, and its use in detecting occult cardiomyopathy has been previously described.\textsuperscript{6} Briefly, to perform the POC assay, 3 drops of plasma or pleural fluid were mixed with 5 drops of assay conjugate and then added to the sample well of the test device. The conjugate-diluted sample was allowed to flow across the device. Once the sample reached the indicator window, the device was activated by the operator. This initiated the wash and color development steps of the assay. The relative color densities of the sample and reference spots were evaluated visually after 10 minutes of incubation. Positive results were recorded when the density of the sample spot appeared equal to or greater than that of the reference spot. Negative results were recorded when the density of the sample spot appeared less than that of the reference spot. The transition from negative to positive on the POC test occurs in an NT-proBNP range of 150–200 pmol/L.\textsuperscript{6}

Statistical Analysis

Statistical analysis was performed using commercially available software.\textsuperscript{6} Data were examined graphically for normality of distribution. Group-wise comparisons were performed using Mann–Whitney tests, Wilcoxon signed-rank tests, or Fisher’s exact test, as appropriate. Receiver operator characteristic (ROC) curves were constructed to derive cut-offs for differentiation of cardiac from noncardiac causes of pleural effusion in plasma and pleural fluid samples based on results from the US cohort. Sensitivity, specificity, and positive and negative likelihood ratios were calculated. The positive likelihood ratio is the ratio of true positives to false positives and the negative likelihood ratio is the ratio of false negatives to true negatives. A positive likelihood ratio <5 is considered a reasonable diagnostic test for ruling in a condition and a negative likelihood ratio less than 0.2 is considered a reasonable diagnostic test for ruling out a condition.\textsuperscript{7} By prespecified design, the cut-off values generated from the US cohort were subsequently tested in the UK cohort. Spearman’s rank order correlation coefficients (rho) and Bland–Altman plots were used to compare quantitative ELISA measurements of NT-proBNP between plasma and pleural fluid samples and the first- and second-generation assays.\textsuperscript{8}

Results

Thirty-eight cats with pleural effusion were enrolled in the US cohort between February and November 2014. Twenty-one cats were assigned to the cardiac group and 17 cats were assigned to the noncardiac group. The population characteristics of the US cohort are presented in Table 1. No differences were detected between groups for age, breed, body weight, heart rate, respiratory rate, or diastolic measurements of interventricular septum and left ventricular free wall thickness (IVSd and LVFVwd, respectively). Cats in the cardiac group had significantly greater left atrial to aortic root ratios (LA/Ao), and were significantly more likely to be
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| Variable                          | Cardiac (n = 21) | Noncardiac (n = 17) | P value |
|-----------------------------------|-----------------|---------------------|---------|
| Age (years)                       | 12.0 (6.5–16.0) | 12.0 (1.5–18.0)     | 0.86    |
| Pedigree breed (yes/no)           | 2/15            | 1/20                | 0.58    |
| Sex (male/female) (n = 37)        | 6/11            | 16/4                | 0.008   |
| Weight (kg)                       | 4.1 (2.1–8.8)   | 4.9 (2.7–6.9)       | 0.74    |
| Heart rate (beats per minute)     | 200 (160–260)   | 180 (130–300)       | 0.27    |
| Respiratory rate (breaths per minute) | 68 (44–92)   | 60 (30–88)          | 0.17    |
| Murmur (yes/no)                   | 5/12            | 15/6                | 0.021   |
| Gallop (yes/no)                   | 1/16            | 13/8                | 0.001   |
| LA/Ao (n = 37)                    | 1.3 (1.0–1.9)   | 2.6 (1.6–3.2)       | <0.001  |
| IVSd (mm) (n = 37)                | 5.5 (3.5–7.5)   | 6.2 (3.7–10.0)      | 0.37    |
| LVFWd (mm) (n = 35)               | 5.8 (2.9–11.0)  | 7.2 (3.8–18.6)      | 0.16    |

Table 2. Results of NT-proBNP measurements for the cardiac and noncardiac groups using 2 assay methods for the US cohort. The median and interquartile ranges are shown for continuous variables.

male, to have a murmur or to have a gallop rhythm on ausculation.

Forty cats with pleural effusion were enrolled in the
UK cohort between February 2011 and June 2012. The study population and utility of NT-proBNP concentration using the first-generation assay have been described previously. Twenty-two cats were assigned to the cardiac group and 18 cats were assigned to the noncardiac group. A cut-off of 213.3 pmol/L in plasma samples had a sensitivity of 86.4% (95% confidence interval (CI) 66.7–95.3%) and specificity of 88.9% (95% CI 67.2–96.9%), and a cut-off of 322.3 pmol/L in pleural fluid samples had a sensitivity of 100% (95% CI 85.1–100.0%) and a specificity of 94.4% (74.2–99%) to distinguish cardiac from noncardiac causes of pleural effusion. Samples were stored at −80°C for 30–46 months before analysis.

Results of NT-proBNP measurements in the US cohort using the second-generation reference laboratory ELISA and the POC test are presented in Table 2. The result of the POC test using a plasma sample was not available for one cat in the noncardiac group. NT-proBNP concentrations in plasma and pleural fluid samples were significantly higher in the cardiac group than in the noncardiac group (P < 0.0001). The proportion of positive POC results from both plasma and pleural fluid samples was significantly higher in the cardiac group than in the noncardiac group (P < 0.0001). ROC curve analysis in the US cohort revealed an opti-
Table 3. Results of NT-proBNP measurements for the cardiac and noncardiac groups using 2 assay methods for the UK cohort. The median and interquartile ranges are shown for continuous variables.

|                      | Cardiac (n = 22) | Noncardiac (n = 18) | P value |
|----------------------|------------------|---------------------|---------|
| Second-generation ELISA (pmol/L) |                   |                     |         |
| Plasma               | 849 (590–1500)   | 73 (33–138)         | <0.0001 |
| (n = 20)             | (n = 17)         |                     |         |
| Pleural fluid        | 1001 (640–1500)  | 98 (45–273)         | <0.0001 |
| (n = 22)             | (n = 18)         |                     |         |
| Point-of-care test (no. positive/no. negative) |                   |                     |         |
| Plasma (n = 34)      | 15/2             | 2/15                | <0.0001 |
| Pleural fluid (n = 40)| 22/0             | 4/14                | <0.0001 |

Results of NT-proBNP measurements for the UK cohort. The median and interquartile ranges are shown for continuous variables.

NT-proBNP concentrations in plasma and pleural fluid samples from cats. Our results demonstrate that measurement of NT-proBNP in plasma samples using either a quantitative ELISA or POC test differentiates these etiologies of pleural effusion with good diagnostic accuracy. Measurement of NT-proBNP concentration in pleural fluid samples using the quantitative ELISA also had good diagnostic accuracy as long as a higher cut-off value is used for pleural fluid samples (>240 pmol/L) compared to the cut-off value used for plasma samples (>199 pmol/L). In the emergency setting, POC testing of either plasma or pleural fluid is attractive because of the immediacy of assay results. Testing of pleural effusion, specifically, is attractive in instances in which cats with significant pleural effusion would undergo therapeutic thoracocentesis but might not be stable enough for venipuncture. However, the results of this study suggest that the diagnostic value of POC testing of pleural effusion samples is limited. Using pleural fluid samples, a negative POC assay result helped to rule out cardiac causes of pleural effusion, but a positive result was associated with a relatively low positive predictive value, and the likelihood ratio associated with a positive pleural fluid POC test from either the US or UK cohort (i.e., 2.83 and 4.5) was lower than the level (i.e., 5.0) generally considered to indicate a useful diagnostic test. Thus, a positive POC result using pleural fluid samples frequently represents a false positive result, and this limits its diagnostic value. The discrepancy in performance between testing plasma and pleural fluid samples using the POC test probably reflects the NT-proBNP concentration at which the POC device transitions from a negative to positive result. A previous study has shown this to occur at a NT-proBNP concentration between 150 and 200 pmol/L, which approximates the cut-off value in plasma (199 pmol/L) but is lower than the optimal cut-off for pleural fluid samples (240 pmol/L) found in this study.
Likelihood ratios can be used to estimate post-test probability of pleural effusion of cardiac cause. In this study, plasma POC test results were associated with approximate positive and negative likelihood ratios of 7.50 and 0.10, respectively. These values roughly translate into an absolute increase or decrease in the post-test probability for a cardiac cause of pleural effusion of 40% compared to the pretest probability. For example, in a population of cats whose pretest probability of pleural effusion because of a cardiac cause is 0.50, the post-test probability would be approximately 0.70 and 0.30, respectively.

**Fig 1.** Bland–Altman plot illustrating agreement between measurements of NT-proBNP concentrations in pleural fluid and plasma samples in cats using the second-generation assay in samples from the US cohort. The mean bias and the dash-dot lines representing the 95% confidence intervals for the bias indicate that the NT-proBNP concentration of pleural fluid samples was on average 67.9 pmol/L greater than NT-proBNP concentration from paired plasma sample. The 95% limits of agreement between the two sample types are displayed as ±1.96 times the standard deviation of the difference.

**Fig 2.** Bland–Altman plot illustrating agreement between measurements of NT-proBNP concentrations in plasma samples from cats using the first- and second-generation assay in samples from the UK cohort. The mean bias and the dash-dot lines representing the 95% confidence intervals for the bias indicate that the NT-proBNP concentration of plasma samples using the second-generation assay was on average 156.1 pmol/L greater than NT-proBNP concentration from paired plasma sample using the first generation assay. The 95% limits of agreement between the two sample types are displayed as ±1.96 times the standard deviation of the difference.
60%, a positive plasma POC test would increase this probability to nearly 100% while a negative plasma POC test result would reduce the probability to 20%. Likewise, in a population of cats where a cardiac cause of pleural effusion is 10%, and hence unlikely, a positive POC test increases this probability to only 50%. It is therefore important, as with any clinical test, that measurements of NT-proBNP be interpreted in combination with historical and physical examination findings and results of other diagnostic tests such as imaging and not be relied upon in isolation for clinical decision-making.

The optimal cut-offs determined in this study are somewhat lower than those previously reported. Cut-offs for differentiation of cardiac from noncardiac causes of dyspnea using plasma samples have been reported as 220 pmol/L, 10 265 pmol/L, 11 214 pmol/L, and 258 pmol/L.3 The former two studies did not restrict inclusion to cats with pleural effusion, whereas the latter two did; all of these studies used the first-generation quantitative ELISA. A cut-off for pleural fluid samples at 220 pmol/L has been reported to distinguish cardiac and noncardiac causes of dyspnea using the first-generation ELISA.4 Given that plasma measurements of NT-proBNP were found to be higher using the second-generation ELISA, and that no difference was found in pleural fluid measurements between assay generations, it seems surprising that the optimal cut-offs were lower in this study than those previously reported. However, this probably reflects the relatively small sample sizes in each study, population differences (United States versus United Kingdom versus Germany) and differences in the methods used to select the optimal cut-off values.

This study revealed that NT-proBNP concentrations were significantly higher in pleural fluid samples than in plasma samples when measured using the quantitative second-generation ELISA, resulting in the need for two different cut-off values depending on sample type. These findings agree with a previous study that compared pleural fluid and plasma samples in cats using the first-generation assay,4 but are in contrast to those obtained in the latter two studies. The former two did not restrict inclusion to cats with pleural effusion, whereas the latter two did; all of these studies used the first-generation quantitative ELISA. A cut-off for pleural fluid samples at 220 pmol/L has been reported to distinguish cardiac and noncardiac causes of dyspnea using the first-generation ELISA.4 Given that plasma measurements of NT-proBNP were found to be higher using the second-generation ELISA, and that no difference was found in pleural fluid measurements between assay generations, it seems surprising that the optimal cut-offs were lower in this study than those previously reported. However, this probably reflects the relatively small sample sizes in each study, population differences (United States versus United Kingdom versus Germany) and differences in the methods used to select the optimal cut-off values.

This study revealed that NT-proBNP concentrations were significantly higher in pleural fluid samples than in plasma samples when measured using the quantitative second-generation ELISA, resulting in the need for two different cut-off values depending on sample type. These findings agree with a previous study that compared pleural fluid and plasma samples in cats using the first-generation assay,4 but are in contrast to those obtained in the latter two studies. The former two did not restrict inclusion to cats with pleural effusion, whereas the latter two did; all of these studies used the first-generation quantitative ELISA. A cut-off for pleural fluid samples at 220 pmol/L has been reported to distinguish cardiac and noncardiac causes of dyspnea using the first-generation ELISA.4 Given that plasma measurements of NT-proBNP were found to be higher using the second-generation ELISA, and that no difference was found in pleural fluid measurements between assay generations, it seems surprising that the optimal cut-offs were lower in this study than those previously reported. However, this probably reflects the relatively small sample sizes in each study, population differences (United States versus United Kingdom versus Germany) and differences in the methods used to select the optimal cut-off values.

In conclusion, the results of this study suggest that quantitative measurements of NT-proBNP in plasma and pleural fluid samples using a second-generation assay allow differentiation of cardiac from noncardiac causes of pleural effusion with good diagnostic accuracy. Cut-off values specific for sample type should be used. Measurement of NT-proBNP in plasma samples using a POC test also allows differentiation of cardiac from noncardiac causes of pleural effusion with good diagnostic accuracy; however, although sensitivity is excellent and a negative POC result in pleural fluid samples helps rule out a cardiac cause of pleural effusion, the specificity of the POC test is low, resulting in a low positive predictive value. Measurements of NT-proBNP concentrations in pleural fluid samples obtained using the second-generation assay are systematically greater than those obtained using the first-generation assay, and cut-offs specific to the second-generation assay should be used.
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Footnotes

a Cardiopet® Feline proBNP, IDEXX Laboratories Inc., Westbrook, ME
b SNAP® Feline proBNP, IDEXX Laboratories Inc., Westbrook, ME
c Cardiopet® proBNP specimen tubes, IDEXX Laboratories Inc., Westbrook, ME
d Mainville et al. Analytical validation of an immunoassay for the quantification of N-terminal pro-B-type natriuretic peptide in feline blood. Journal of Veterinary Diagnostic Investigation (In Press).
e SPSS 22; IBM, Armonk NY; MedCalc 15.2.2; MedCalc Software, Ostend, Belgium
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