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Introduction: In March 2020, SARS-CoV-2 declared a pandemic by the World Health Organization. Restrictive isolation measures have also brought psychological distress to the pediatric population. Building on the syndrome’s characteristics, the present study explored the impact of lockdown on the clinical course of young people with PANDAS/PANS. The initial hypothesis considered both the reduced exposure to viral agents and the strategies of the parents and other containment actions as protective factors against the worsening of symptoms.

Methods: One hundred and eight children, adolescents, and young adults were recruited according to the multicenter PANDAS/PANS research program. Parents participated in a web-based survey. Results: contrary to our hypothesis, the study results show an increase in symptoms during the block in 71% of the sample. Psychometric analyzes allowed us to exclude a relationship between the main symptoms of PANDAS and the increase in symptoms or the presence of symptoms before the block and their increase over time. The increase in symptoms is best explained by the presence of sleep disturbances and emotional lability. The exacerbation also appears to be linked to the onset of new symptoms in children and adolescents with depressed moods and eating problems. Furthermore, irritability and oppositionality are significant predictors of acute exacerbation. Equally statistically significant is the factor linked to the effects of pandemic stress, such as the fear of contracting the virus. No significant associations for symptom reduction have been identified between parental strategies or other parent-initiated...
actions, but the study demonstrates that caregiver perceived efficacy on the strategies used can reduce the risk of exacerbation.

**Conclusion:** This preliminary study highlights the importance of studying the causes of increased symptoms in children with PANDAS/PANS. Life events can exacerbate the clinical condition or generate new symptoms in young patients. In particular, environmental, family, and social changes in the course of clinical symptoms in PANDAS/PANS patients should be investigated. It highlights the importance of emotional and behavioral management, which can be improved by enhancing coping strategies in young people with PANDAS/PANS and their caregivers through a combination treatment in which CBT and PMT are included, in line with guidelines.

**Limits:** An experimental proxy-report questionnaire not yet standardized and validated on the PANS/PANDAS pediatric clinical sample was used for the exploratory study. There is also a small sample size (N = 108) and the absence of a control group (pre-lockdown or children without PANDAS/PANS). It would be interesting to evaluate the exact long-term dimensions to see the course of symptoms after covid and conduct a new study focusing on the impact of stressful events on the clinical course of the syndrome.
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**INTRODUCTION**

**The PANS and PANDAS Syndromes**

The National Institutes of Health (NIH), in the 1980s, found obsessive-compulsive symptoms with sudden onset following streptococcus pyogenes, varicella, and Mycoplasma pneumoniae infections (Pediatric Infection Triggered Autoimmune Neuropsychiatric Disorders) in a group of children -PITANDS) (1, 2). Subsequent research on cohorts of children with acute-onset OCD related to group A streptococcal infections (GAS) preceded by a prodrome of Sydenham’s chorea (SC) suggested that acute-onset OCD might be a consequence of SC (3, 4). Subsequent systematic studies of the relationship between sudden onset and episodic course of tics or obsessive-compulsive symptoms generated by GAS infections led to the classification of a new syndrome of "pediatric autoimmune neuropsychiatric disorders associated with streptococcal infections" called PANDAS (5). Because of the variability of symptoms and their evolution, the diagnosis remains controversial. In 2012, Swedo et al. published diagnostic criteria for PANS. An "acute and dramatic onset of symptoms" (pediatric acute-onset neuropsychiatric syndrome) must occur to clinically define PANS (6), which can occur in the pediatric population (up to 18 and, in some definitions, 21 years). Experts have also included a broad spectrum of symptoms among the diagnostic criteria potentially associated with prior infection as in PANDAS and acute neuropsychiatric disorders without apparent precipitating environmental impact or immune dysfunction. Thus, PANS must be characterized by many interrelated heterogeneous disorders and an acute (lightning) onset or must have a relapse of OCD associated with two or more neuropsychiatric symptoms (7). Severe psychotic symptoms can sometimes emerge in the clinical setting of PANS/PANDAS (8).

Furthermore, emotional lability/depression could be highly related to autoimmune diseases in pregnancy, while anxiety symptoms could be related to recurrent infectious diseases in PANS patients (9). A recent study also found a possible involvement of serum levels of NOX2, as well as 8-isoprostaglandin F2α (8-iso-PGF2α) and lipopolysaccharide (LPS) in neuroinflammatory processes in PANDAS PANDAS patients (10). The criteria of Swedo et al. were confirmed in the first PANS Consensus Conference in 2013 (11). Within the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders DSM-fifth edition (11), the diagnostic features of PANDAS were introduced in the category "Obsessive-compulsive disorder and related disorders due to another medical condition 294.8 (F 06.8)" (...) characterized by the sudden onset of obsessions, compulsions, and/or tics accompanied by a variety of acute neuropsychiatric symptoms, in the absence of chorea, carditis, or arthritis, following a group A streptococcal infection. The DSM-5 also specifies that pending further clinical studies. Although there is some evidence to support PANDAS, this remains a controversial diagnosis. Given the ongoing controversy, in order to eliminate etiologic factors and indicate a consistent clinical entity: the description of PANDAS was modified to indicate idiopathic acute childhood neuropsychiatric syndrome (PANS) or acute neuropsychiatric symptoms (CANS). In 2017, the consensus guidelines for the treatment of children with PANS and PANDAS (1), given the symptomatic overlap between the two syndromes (obsessions and compulsions; food restriction; irritability and aggression; anxiety; ADHD symptoms; sleep disturbance; depression; and pain in the musculoskeletal area), decided to provide a treatment plan considering PANS/PANDAS as a single entity.

Regarding therapy, a combined approach is recommended by administering immunomodulatory drugs and antibiotics...
together with cognitive-behavioral psychotherapy (CBT) using the technique of exposure and response prevention (ERP). Additionally, Parent Management Training (PMT) can help caregivers manage children’s problem behavior. The role of parents assumes great importance in clinical management, mainly due to the relapsing-remitting course of the disease. In the acute stages, emotional and behavioral symptoms can be a stressor for the patient’s family. Among strategies for parents, experts recommend coordinating medical care and interacting with the school. Parents are also advised not to indulge the child’s compulsive and obsessive ritual demands and to offer reassurance during times of anxiety and severe irritability. Instead, in stages of symptom remission, parents should resume their usual strategies, establish behavioral boundaries, reinforce desirable behaviors, and ignore or punish undesirable behaviors by removing privileges (1).

To the best of our knowledge, few studies have data on the efficacy of psychotherapeutic treatments because they focus primarily on viral etiology, considering it the sole source of symptom triggering in the flare-ups. Given this premise, it is essential to analyze all factors contributing to symptom exacerbation, including environmental factors and triggering events of social, familial, and educational nature.

Research initiated during the COVID-19 pandemic on normal and clinical samples showed that changes in routine produced emotional and behavioral reactions in the pediatric and adolescent population. Coronavirus infection can also affect children who develop mainly mild symptomatic forms in which the most frequent clinical presentation, in addition to fever, may involve olfactory and gustatory loss, respiratory distress, and gastrointestinal manifestations. The SARS-CoV-2 disease is often linked to a multisystem inflammatory syndrome (MIS-C), which mimics the clinical features of Kawasaki disease (KD) (12–14). Psychophysiological symptoms appear to be predominantly associated with social and family changes adopted during the pandemic. SARS-CoV-2 spread initially in China and worldwide, has caused a pandemic recognized by the World Health Organization, also imposing to Italy, from March 2020, the closure of schools, gyms, and places of socialization to bend the curve of contagion (15).

**Psychological Condition in Children and Adolescents During the Pandemic**

The effects of the COVID-19 pandemic on mental health in children and adolescents without previous problems show the onset of anxiety-depressive symptoms, stress, and somatic symptoms (body pain, breathing difficulties) (16–19); neurophysiological alterations such as dysregulation in sleep/wake rhythms and feeding, the presence of fatigue and increased inattention and irritability; and increased attachment to caregivers with demands for reassurance (20–23). In the clinical population, a significant increase in the frequency of contamination obsessions and cleaning/washing compulsions was found in samples of children with a primary diagnosis of OCD (24) while, patients with Gilles de la Tourette syndrome developed new repeated cough tics also evident in public during the pandemic (25). A longitudinal study of 141 children and adolescents with previous neurological disorders, psychiatric problems, and complex developmental problems also showed a significant worsening of somatic and anxiety symptoms in children aged 1.5 and 5 years and the presence of thinking problems, obsessive symptoms, and post-traumatic stress symptoms from ages 6 to 18 years. In addition, the group of patients with psychiatric problems showed a better response to blocking due to reduced environmental demands (26).

Among risk factors related to the onset of symptoms during the lockdown, studies have shown a link to parental stress and mental and physical problems, family tension, and lower levels of resilience in children. Changes in parental working conditions, anxiety transmitted from parents to children, and fear of contagion associated with a perceived threat to life caused by uncontrollable events may also promote increased psychological distress in children (18, 23, 27, 28). Changes in daily routine, social isolation, boredom, lack of adequate information about the virus, and limited living space are other risk factors (21, 29). In terms of gender and age-related risk in children and adolescents, studies show controversial results, which require further investigation (18, 21, 28–30). In terms of protective factors against psychological distress, the need to inform children, who are constantly exposed to news about the epidemic, about the changes caused by the situation they are facing, clarifying any doubts to mitigate possible reactions, such as anxiety and panic, has been reported (31, 32). Other valuable strategies consist of multimedia entertainment and reading, a balanced diet and exercise, regular sleep patterns and good personal hygiene, and maintaining a daily routine (21, 29). Other valuable strategies consist of multimedia entertainment and reading, a balanced diet and exercise, regular sleep patterns and good personal hygiene, and maintaining a daily routine (21, 29). During confinement, parents should take confinement as an opportunity to engage their children through joint activities to strengthen family bonds and improve their autonomy (24).

As we have seen, during the COVID-19 era, in addition to a decrease in bacterial and viral infections due to home isolation, flu vaccination, mask use, and handwashing (33–35), was an increase in environmental stressors that produced adverse effects in parents and their children with both typical development and neurodevelopmental disorders (36). Also, for PANS/PANDAS to prepare therapeutic interventions, we should consider these environmental factors to produce the flare-up of symptoms.

**AIMS**

Starting from the diagnostic criteria and the symptomatic course that characterizes patients with PANDAS/PANS, in our exploratory study, we wanted to investigate the impact of the block on the clinical-psychological course of the syndrome (increase, stabilization, or reduction of symptoms). We hypothesized that reduced exposure to viral and bacterial agents that cause flare-ups of PANDAS/PANS symptoms could favor a reduction in clinical symptoms characterized by tics,
doc, anxiety, irritability, eating problems, or other secondary symptoms (7). Thus, the first objective was to assess the course of symptoms during lockdown by asking parents to indicate whether they had observed a change in the frequency and intensity of symptoms and whether they had noticed the onset of new related symptoms.

Second, the study aimed to detect protective factors (environmental, social, family, and educational) for the management of symptoms present before and during the lockdown (e.g., parenting strategies, physician monitoring and continuation of therapies, new routines, sports or recreational activities online, social contacts with the outside world). The hypothesis was that these factors could prevent symptom flare-ups.

The third was to investigate risk factors for symptom escalation or reduction. Thirdly, parents were asked to identify and describe risk factors for symptom escalation (e.g., socio-demographic characteristics, social isolation, suspension of school, sports, recreational and therapy activities, family stress, loss of routine and support figures, or fears related to Covid). Finally, the aim was to detect whether environmental factors could generate a compromise or the onset of new symptoms even without bacterial infections.

**METHODS**

The multicenter study was based on completing an online proxy report questionnaire by parents of patients with PANS/PANDAS. The questionnaire can be accessed through a link created on the Google platform sent to families by the recruitment centers: Department of Pediatric Neurology - Policlinico Umberto I - Sapienza University of Rome, in collaboration with the Department of Clinical and Experimental Medicine - Division of Pediatrics and Child Neuropsychiatry - University of Catania, Complex Operating Unit - ASST Crema and Child Neuropsychiatry Operating Unit - University of Cagliari.

All subjects followed with PANDAS/PANS treatment by referral centers were included; some of the patients' parents were also members of the PANDAS Italia ODV Association, which contributed to sending the questionnaire. Parents who filled out the questionnaire were asked to consent to the processing of data in aggregate form. One hundred and eight parents of children, adolescents, and young adults aged 3–21 years (mean age = 10.11 years) participated in the study, including 25 females and 83 males. The inclusion criteria concerned the maximum age of patients indicated by the experts (6) and were followed up at the participating hospital centers with a diagnosis of PANDAS or PANS. Some of these patients were also supported by the PANDAS Italia ODV Association, which collaborated for the sent link. The survey is open during the block from April 14 to May 3, 2020.

**MATERIALS**

**Description of the Tool**

For data collection, a semi-structured questionnaire was constructed consisting of 22 questions divided into four sections. It was decided to use mainly closed answers to select the information based on the hypotheses previously described.

The first section (Q.1-11) includes sociodemographic information: child's age, child's gender, region, marital status, first parent's age, first parent's school attendance, second parent's age, second parent's school attendance, number of children in the family, type of house, parents' working condition. This section is characterized by open (Q.1; 3; 11), dichotomous (Q.2), or multiple-choice (Q.4-11) questions. The responses were subsequently used with the dual purpose of describing the profile of the participants and, secondly, of detecting potential risk or protective factors associated with the increase or reduction of symptoms during the lockdown.

The second section (Q.12-16) includes information on the symptoms observed in children during the lockdown, grouped into two areas: emotional and cognitive (sadness/withdrawal/depression, behavioral and physiological (items 12; 13). The following questions concern (Q.14-16) the symptoms present before quarantine, their evolution during the lockdown (reduction, stabilization, increase), and finally, new symptoms. In this section, dichotomous (item 14), multiple choice (Q.12; 13; 15), or open (Q.16) questions were used in order to detect the presence of core PANDAS/PANS and other symptoms, but also their course and the possible onset of new symptoms related to environmental factors.

The third section (Q.17-20) includes parenting strategies or actions to contain the child's problematic behavior. Multiple choice questions describe relational, distracting, or educational strategies (Q.17). This question is followed by dichotomous questions (Yes, No) on perceived efficacy (item 18) and open questions on the best strategies found for symptom containment (Q.19) and on other strategies to recommend to parents (Q.20). The strategies were included in the questionnaire to measure the actions that obtain the best results with young people affected by the syndrome and establish which measures may constitute protective factors concerning acute symptomatic.

The fourth section (D.21; 22) includes multiple-choice questions on other tools and actions that, according to the parents, can promote remission (Q.21) or elevation of symptoms (Q.22). Both questions also include an open space in which further suggestions can be entered. Thanks to these questions, it was possible to collect information on the potential effects of environmental variables on the development/course of symptoms and parents’ perception about the actions they can activate or avoid supporting their children (Table 1).

To proceed with the statistical analysis, and in line with the three initial hypotheses: (1) the condition of isolation reduces exposure to the prevention of exacerbation or the onset of new symptoms; (2) positive parenting strategies and specific environmental characteristics can be a protective factor against the recurrence of symptoms; (3) inadequate parenting, treatment or environmental characteristics, can constitute risk factors and increase symptoms, the following statistical analyzes were carried out: Section 1 (Sociodemographic): Description of the study sample through descriptive statistics and frequency analysis. For the answers, n. from 1 to 8 of this section, the items relating to the family composition (presence of 2 or more
TABLE 1 | Structure and contents of the questionnaire: sections - information on the questions - number of questions.

| Sections                      | Information                                                                 | Questions N. |
|-------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------|
| I- Sociodemographic           | Children's parents, family, and logistics information.                      | 1–11         |
| II- Symptoms                  | Symptomatic characteristics before and during the block (type, course, or onset). | 12–16        |
| III- Parental strategies      | Parental behaviors; effectiveness of parental behaviors; recommended strategies. | 17–20        |
| IV- Other tools/actions       | Factors for symptom reduction; Factors for symptoms increased.              | 21–22        |

RESULTS

Section I: Socio-Demographic Information

Through the analysis of the frequencies on demographic data, a sample of 108 PANDAS/PANDAS patients aged between 3 and 21 years (M = 10.7, SD = 3.21; Female: M = 9.99; Male: M = 10.11) (Table 2), was identified, 23% (n = 25) made up of females and 77% (n = 83) were males. The geographical distribution of the sample showed a prevalence of responses from Southern Italy 45.4% (n = 49), followed by the Center 31.5% (n = 34), from Northern Italy 22.2% (n = 24) and from abroad, 9% (n = 1). The information provided by the parents reported the following results: Family composition: 93% (n = 100) presence of two parents; 7% (n = 8) one parent only; 67% (n = 72) two children; 27% (n = 29) a child; 6% (n = 7) three or more children; 2.

The age of the parent who completed the questionnaire places 73% (n = 79) of the sample in the 40–50 age range; 14% (n = 15) in the 30–40 years range; 13% (n = 14) in over 50 years with an upper secondary school level in 34% (n = 37), 32% degree (n = 35), 23% post-graduate (n = 25), middle school 8% (n = 9) and elementary school 2% (n = 2). Respondents to the questionnaire report an age of the partner between 40 and 50 years in 56% (n = 61) of the sample, over 50 years in 23% (n = 25), 30–40 years in 12% (n = 13) and under 30 years in 1% (n = 1) with high school diploma in 43% (n = 47), university degree 26% (n = 28), middle school 15% (n = 16), post-graduate 7% (n = 4) and elementary 1% (n = 1). This question was not answered by single parents. The occupational status of parents was distributed as follows: one parent in smartworking 52% (n = 56); both parents in smartworking 31% (n = 33); a parent unemployed or fired 10% (n = 11); both parents with work outside the home 6% (n = 7). Regarding the housing condition, several variables were explored to evaluate the possible effects on the symptomatological condition of children and young people. The variables were also divided into two macro-groups: house with outdoor space/ without external space presenting a higher frequency (65%) of dwelling with external space (Table 3).

Statistical Analyses

Statistical analysis was conducted using SPSS 18.0 software for Windows (SPSS, Chicago, IL, USA). The Kolmogorov-Smirnov test was used to determine whether the variables were normally distributed. Normally distributed data are described as means ± standard deviations (SD). Group differences were analyzed with the Kruskal-Wallis test (for non-normally distributed data) or analysis of variance (ANOVA). Differences between percentages were assessed with the χ²-test. Bivariate analysis was performed with Spearman’s correlation; a p-value < 0.05 was considered statistically significant. A binary logistic regression analysis was used to estimate the odds ratio and 95% IC of the variables associated with the increased symptoms during the block.

Section II: Evolution and Characteristics of Symptoms

Among the symptoms during lockdown, the results show neurology disturbance like tics in 56% (n = 61), and cognitive
difficulties (memory, attention, concentration) in 33% \((n = 36)\) of the total sample. In the affective and thought areas, anger/irritability 43% \((n = 46)\), anxiety 38% \((n = 41)\), sadness/withdrawal/depression states in 22% \((n = 24)\), sudden crying 14% \((n = 15)\) and obsession 16% \((n = 17)\). The most frequent behavioral problems concern the presence of somatic complaints 56% \((n = 51)\), excessive use of video games 52% \((n = 56)\), opposition 32% \((n = 35)\), hyperactivity 24% \((n = 26)\), compulsions 20% \((n = 22)\), aggressive/destructive behavior and coprolalia 21% \((n = 23)\), fears/phobias 21% \((n = 23)\), avoidance 9% \((n = 10)\). Finally, the physiological sphere presents sleep problems in 47% \((n = 51)\) of the sample, food problems in 20% \((n = 22)\) and episodes of enuresis or encopresis in 9% \((n = 10)\).

Frequencies and descriptions of symptoms divided by gender in the following (Figure 1 and Table 4).

Compared to the core symptoms of PANDAS (6), the results show a high percentage of tics, 56%, while obsessions, compulsions, and eating disorders show percentages below 50%. Regarding the inclusion criteria of PANS (1), higher frequencies are found in sleep disturbances and irritability, which, however, do not exceed 50% of the frequencies.

The presence of tics characterizes the sample profile, and this data differentiates it from the average population, which, as described in the literature, report emotional symptoms such as anxiety and depression. However, it is difficult to differentiate the characteristics of PANS since, as is known, the clinical spectrum of the syndrome includes a heterogeneous constellation of secondary symptoms that are similar to those found in the average population during the lockdown. However, it is possible to note that as in the Gilles de la Tourette syndrome (35), the presence of tics prevails in our sample during the lockdown.

Psychometric analysis showed positive correlations in this sample between PANS/PANDAS symptoms (Table 5). The subgroup of symptoms that characterize Obsessive-Compulsive Disorder (OCD) shows significant correlations between obsessions and compulsions \((p < 0.001)\), avoidance \((p = 0.0020)\), and somatic complaints \((p = 0.019)\). Obsessive

| Levels | Counts | % of total | Cumulative% |
|--------|--------|------------|-------------|
| House with outdoor space | 26 | 24.1 | 24.1 |
| Housing over 100 sqm (outdoor space) | 24 | 22.2 | 46.3 |
| Housing 70–100 sqm (outdoor space) | 17 | 15.7 | 62.0 |
| Housing 50–70 sqm (outdoor space) | 3 | 2.8 | 64.8 |
| House without outdoor space | 2 | 1.9 | 66.7 |
| Housing over 100 sqm (without outdoor) | 18 | 16.7 | 83.3 |
| Housing 70–100 sqm (without outdoor) | 12 | 11.1 | 94.4 |
| Housing 50–70 sqm (without outdoor) | 4 | 3.7 | 98.1 |
| Housing up to 50 sqm (without outdoor) | 2 | 1.9 | 100.0 |
| Total | 108 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| House without outdoor space | 38 | 35.2 % | 35.2 % |
| House with outdoor space | 70 | 64.8 % | 100.0 % |
thoughts are also connected with the use of video games \( (p = 0.027) \), and food problems \( (p = 0.020) \). There are associations between compulsion and sleep alterations \( (p = 0.027) \) and aggressivity \( (p = 0.012) \). The tics, which are the most frequent symptom in the sample, mostly occur independently, showing the correlation with avoidance behaviors \( (p = 0.002) \), cognitive problems \( (p = 0.009) \) and, a weak correlation with obsessions \( (p = 0.056) \); eating problems have a low frequency and are associated with sleep disturbances \( (p < 0.001) \), anxiety \( (p = 0.005) \) aggression \( (p = 0.012) \) and oppositional behaviors \( (p = 0.049) \).

Regarding the emotional area, most individuals have somatic complaints associated with obsessive symptoms but also with sadness/withdrawal/depression \( (p = 0.038) \); irritability is also very present in children and young people and closely related to aggressive and oppositional behaviors \( (p < 0.001) \), with hyperactivity \( (p = 0.007) \), crying \( (p = 0.043) \) and fear \( (p = 0.046) \). There are associations of depressed mood with crying \( (p = 0.02) \), irritability \( (p = 0.025) \), anxiety \( (p = 0.05) \), aggressive behavior \( (p = 0.028) \) and disturbances. somatic \( (p = 0.038) \). Children with depressed mood also have sleep disturbances \( (p = 0.008) \) and episodes of enuresis or encopresis \( (p = 0.027) \). Anxiety is mainly associated with the presence of fear or phobias \( (p = 0.010) \) and physiological alterations in sleep \( (p < 0.001) \) and nutrition \( (p = 0.005) \). The behavioral dimension presents a frequent excessive use of videogames associated with cognitive problems \( (p = 0.009) \) and the presence of obsessive thoughts \( (p = 0.027) \); of aggression in a strong relationship with opposition and hyperactivity \( (p < 0.001) \), with cognitive problems \( (p = 0.008) \), sudden crying \( (p = 0.009) \), as well as compulsions and eating disorders (as shown by the data previously described). Our sample also shows a strong link between oppositional behaviors and hyperactivity \( (p = 0.028) \), even if these manifestations appear less frequently, and both are associated with episodes of enuresis or encompassed \( (p = 0.045; p = 0.049) \). The opposition also has a relationship with eating problems, as discussed above. Sudden crying, which, as shown, is often present in children with irritability and aggression, is also present in association with feelings of fear or phobias \( (p = 0.009) \). At the same time, avoidance behaviors toward specific objects or situations occur in association with obsessions and tics. The presence of cognitive difficulties (memory, attention and concentration) is strongly correlated with aggression \( (p = 0.008) \), tics \( (p = 0.009) \), high use of video games \( (p = 0.009) \) and eating problems \( p < 0.001) \).

The results show statistically significant correlations between increased symptoms during lockdown and mood problems characterized by irritability \( (p < 0.01) \), sadness/depression \( (p = 0.012) \), somatic complaints \( (p = 0.18) \), anxiety \( (p = 0.37) \), and crying \( (p = 0.042) \). There is also an increase in oppositional behaviors \( (p = 0.006) \) and sleep problems \( (p = 0.004) \). An onset of new symptoms emerged in children and adolescents with depressed mood \( (p < 0.001) \) and eating problems \( (p = 0.022) \) and in general, with the group reporting an increase in symptoms during lockdown \( (p = 0.026) \).

Parents report symptoms in the period preceding lockdown in 84% \( (n = 91) \) of children associated with Covid emergency and
TABLE 5 | Correlation matrix: symptoms - symptoms increased - new symptoms.

|     | CG   | SD   | IR   | AN   | SO   | AG   | OB   | SC   | TIC  | OP   | HY   | CO   | FP   | VD   | AV   | EN   | EA   | SL   | IN   |
|-----|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| CG  | —    |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |
| SD  | 0.143| —    |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |
| IR  | 0.133| 0.025| —    |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |
| AN  | 0.579| 0.005| 0.313| —    |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |
| SO  | 0.559| 0.002| 0.043| 0.459| —    |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |
| AG  | 0.008| 0.028| < 0.001 | 0.406| 0.009| —    |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |
| OB  | 0.194| 0.442| 0.143| 0.405| 0.786| 0.127| —    |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |
| SC  | 0.497| 0.038| 0.117| 0.019| 0.396| 0.350| 0.019| —    |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |
| TIC | 0.009| 0.099| 0.994| 0.260| 0.793| 0.159| 0.056| 0.341| —    |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |
| OP  | 0.060| 0.113| < 0.001 | 0.590| 0.063| < 0.001 | 0.163| 0.184| 0.255| —    |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |
| HY  | 0.269| 0.082| 0.007| 0.604| 0.802| < 0.001 | 0.242| 0.555| 0.449| 0.028| —    |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |
| CO  | 0.403| 0.229| 0.081| 0.422| 0.970| 0.012| < 0.001 | 0.086| 0.497| 0.948| 0.697| —    |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |
| FP  | 0.511| 0.104| 0.046| 0.010| 0.009| 0.076| 0.378| 0.058| 0.997| 0.786| 0.801| 0.054| —    |      |      |      |      |      |      |
| VD  | 0.009| 0.839| 0.955| 0.919| 0.327| 0.617| 0.027| 0.809| 0.162| 0.729| 0.268| 0.451| 0.973| —    |      |      |      |      |      |
| AV  | 0.010| 0.539| 0.863| 0.415| 0.188| 0.917| 0.002| 0.370| 0.002| 0.594| 0.279| 0.108| 0.485| 0.592| —    |      |      |      |      |
| EN  | 0.245| 0.027| 0.067| 0.223| 0.562| 0.132| 0.701| 0.816| 0.077| 0.051| 0.045| 0.108| 0.364| 0.232| 0.933| —    |      |      |      |
| EA  | < 0.001| 0.075| 0.081| 0.005| 0.519| 0.012| 0.020| 0.784| 0.839| 0.049| 0.346| 0.372| 0.180| 0.451| 0.432| 0.397| —    |      |      |
| SL  | 0.004| 0.008| 0.379| < 0.001 | 0.106| 0.052| 0.988| 0.105| 0.646| 0.156| 0.442| 0.027| 0.004| 0.553| 0.865| 0.400| < 0.001 | —    |      |
| IN  | 0.552| 0.012| < 0.001 | 0.037| 0.042| 0.062| 0.094| 0.018| 0.290| 0.006| 0.224| 0.225| 0.180| 0.382| 0.925| 0.173| 0.225| 0.004| —    |
| NS  | 0.100| < 0.001 | 0.104| 0.160| 0.302| 0.216| 0.945| 0.137| 0.054| 0.379| 0.449| 0.721| 0.216| 0.194| 0.412| 0.120| 0.052| 0.022| 0.026|

Pearson's Correlation is significant at the *p < 0.05 level (2-tailed)—**p < 0.01 level(2-tailed).
Abbreviations explained in the Table 4.
an increase during quarantine in 71% ($n = 77$). The remaining 22% ($n = 24$) of the sample showed no difference in intensity and frequency of symptoms; only 7% ($n = 7$) reported a reduction in symptoms.

A small parents group of parents, 29% ($n = 31$), reported the onset of new symptoms in their children during the block,

Table 6 | Q16 new symptom.

| Levels                  | Counts | % of Total | Cumulative % |
|-------------------------|--------|------------|--------------|
| Fear of virus           | 1      | 3.1        | 3.1          |
| Generalized anxiety     | 3      | 9.4        | 12.5         |
| Generalized fear        | 1      | 3.1        | 15.6         |
| Complex motor tics      | 5      | 15.6       | 31.3         |
| Compulsions             | 1      | 3.1        | 34.4         |
| Fear of leaving home    | 2      | 6.3        | 40.6         |
| Fear of virus           | 1      | 3.1        | 43.8         |
| Sadness                 | 3      | 9.4        | 53.1         |
| Separation anxiety      | 1      | 3.1        | 56.3         |
| Washing compulsions     | 1      | 3.1        | 59.4         |
| Difficulty sleeping     | 2      | 6.3        | 65.6         |
| Increased of videogames | 1      | 3.1        | 68.8         |
| Vocal tic               | 1      | 3.1        | 71.9         |
| Sudden cry              | 1      | 3.1        | 75.0         |
| Fear of disease         | 1      | 3.1        | 78.1         |
| Fear of the dark        | 1      | 3.1        | 81.3         |
| Fatigue                 | 1      | 3.1        | 84.4         |
| Opposition              | 1      | 3.1        | 87.5         |
| Fear of death           | 1      | 3.1        | 90.6         |
| Irritability            | 3      | 9.4        | 100.0        |

Trends in adults are like more complex tics, negative emotions (sadness), generalized anxiety, irritability, and fears (of death, of the dark illness and leaving home) some of which were already present in other children of the sample, as shown in Table 6.

**Section III: Parental Strategies**

Among the strategies implemented by parents to contain the stress of their children during the period of social isolation, 87% ($n = 94$) of parents reported greater use of relational activities: joint activities, reassurance, dialogue, and active listening. Sixty-eight percentage ($n = 73$) of parents used distraction-oriented strategies when their children were most stressed: use of technological tools and chores around the house. Fewer parents, 52% ($n = 56$), used psychoeducational methods through positive reinforcement when the child engaged in socially desirable behaviors and negative reinforcement when the child exhibited problematic behaviors (reward/punishment). Only a tiny percentage of caregivers, 23% ($n = 25$), used negative reinforcement, punishing the child in the presence of unwanted behavior. Seventy-one percentage ($n = 77$) of parents reported a positive efficacy of the strategies use (Figure 2).

The following are the strategies considered most effective by parents for containing acute episodes of their children. The most reported concern the relationship between caregiver and child/young maintained through dialogue or joint activities (Table 7).

**Section IV: Other Tools/Actions**

**Factors for Symptom Reduction**

Factors perceived as effective by parents for prevention against increased symptoms include: establishing a new routine 53% ($n = 57$), continuing with drug therapy 50% ($n = 53$), maintaining contact with other people 38% ($n = 41$), online
activities 24% \((n = 26)\), online psychotherapy 11% \((n = 12)\), followed by contact with doctors 4% \((n = 4)\) (Figure 3).

The other factors indicated as predisposing the worsening of symptoms mainly concern distraction in the acute phases. However, it is essential to note that 79% of parents did not provide information in this regard (Table 8).

**Factors for Symptoms Increased**

As regards the risk factors perceived by parents for the increase in discomfort in children, the reduction of social contacts emerges more frequently, which also includes the suspension of extracurricular activities 78% \((n = 84)\), followed by change or loss routine 33% \((n = 36)\), restricted living space 32% \((n = 35)\), followed by negative family climate 30% \((n = 32)\), absence of support figures 23% \((n = 25)\), the fear of contracting the virus on the part of parents or of the child 18% \((n = 19)\), 17% \((n = 18)\), and of psychotherapy 17% \((n = 18)\), or pharmacotherapy 6% \((n = 6)\) (Figure 4).

A very low percentage of parents also provided open answers on the factors they believe contribute to the increased risk of exacerbating their children’s symptoms (Table 9).

**Risk and Protection Factors (Tables 11-15)**

As previously described, a further statistical analysis was conducted through binomial logistic regressions to determine the risk factors associated with the increase in symptoms and the protective factors associated with the reduction/stability of

### TABLE 7 | Q20 more positive strategies.

| Level            | Counts | % of Total | Cumulative% |
|------------------|--------|------------|-------------|
| Dialogue         | 24     | 31.6       | 31.6        |
| Homework         | 8      | 10.5       | 42.1        |
| Gratification     | 8      | 10.5       | 52.6        |
| Joint activities | 30     | 39.5       | 92.1        |
| Manual activities| 2      | 2.6        | 94.7        |
| Physical contact | 1      | 1.3        | 96.1        |
| Sporting activities | 2  | 2.6        | 98.7        |
| Videogames       | 1      | 1.3        | 100.0       |

### TABLE 8 | Q21 other positive factors.

| Levels                              | Counts | % of Total | Cumulative% |
|-------------------------------------|--------|------------|-------------|
| None                                | 79     | 73.1       | 73.1        |
| Videogames reduction                | 2      | 1.9        | 75.0        |
| Distraction                         | 8      | 7.4        | 82.4        |
| Video-call                          | 1      | 0.9        | 83.3        |
| New routine                         | 3      | 2.8        | 86.1        |
| Unstructured routine                | 1      | 0.9        | 87.0        |
| Have fun                            | 1      | 0.9        | 88.0        |
| Balanced diet                       | 2      | 1.9        | 89.8        |
| Ignore problematic behavior         | 1      | 0.9        | 90.7        |
| Ignore its rituals                  | 1      | 0.9        | 91.7        |
| Problem solving with child          | 1      | 0.9        | 92.6        |
| Reward when nervous                 | 1      | 0.9        | 93.5        |
| Empathy                             | 2      | 1.9        | 95.4        |
| Joint activities                    | 3      | 2.8        | 98.1        |
| Respect rules                       | 1      | 0.9        | 99.1        |
| Physical contact                    | 1      | 0.9        | 100.0       |

**FIGURE 3 | Q.21. Which factors do you think favor reduction of symptoms at this moment?**
Q.22. What factors do you think interfere negatively with symptom control in this period?

| Levels                                    | Counts | % of Total | Cumulative % |
|-------------------------------------------|--------|------------|--------------|
| None                                      | 102    | 94.4       | 94.4         |
| Febrile episodes                          | 1      | 0.9        | 95.4         |
| Parental stress                           | 1      | 0.9        | 96.3         |
| Another child with Pandas                 | 1      | 0.9        | 97.2         |
| Conflict with teacher                     | 1      | 0.9        | 98.1         |
| Hypertechnology use                       | 1      | 0.9        | 99.1         |
| Discontinued rehabilitation therapy        | 1      | 0.9        | 100.0        |

The chart below summarizes the potential risk and protective factors that have shown significant associations with increasing or decreasing symptom stability during the block (Table 10).
and the presence of obsessive-compulsive (wash) traits in clinical populations (24, 26). Among the possible triggers of psychological distress in children and adolescents, recent studies report parental stress and change in daily routines. Given the relapsing characteristics of PANS/PANDAS caused by viral and bacterial triggers, our exploratory study aimed to assess the course of symptoms during the blockade, hypothesizing psychopathological stabilization or reduction due to reduced exposure to infectious agents. Contrary to our hypotheses, the study results on 108 children and youths aged 3–21 years (M = 10.7, SD = 3.21) with PANS/PANDAS syndrome show an increase in symptoms during the lockdown in 71% of the sample. Psychometric analyses allowed us to exclude a relationship between core PANDAS symptoms (obsessions, compulsions, eating disorders, and tics) and symptom increase or the presence of symptoms before lockdown and their increase over time. The increase in symptoms is best explained by the presence of sleep disturbances and emotional lability such as sadness/depression, somatic complaints, anxiety, crying and irritability (Table 5). The increase during lockdown also appears to be related to the onset of new symptoms in children and adolescents with depression and eating problems (Table 15), it is possible to

Table 11: Regression between section II and symptoms increased.

| B       | E.S. | Wald | df | Sig. | Exp (B) |
|---------|------|------|----|------|---------|
| SD      | 0.935| 1.068| 0.767| 1    | 0.381   | 2.548   |
| IR      | 2.628| 0.869| 9.141| 1    | 0.002   | 13.849  |
| AN      | 1.295| 0.775| 2.791| 1    | 0.095   | 3.652   |
| SC      | 2.176| 1.517| 2.068| 1    | 0.151   | 8.814   |
| AG      | 0.814| 1.246| 0.427| 1    | 0.513   | 2.258   |
| OB      | 1.963| 1.256| 2.443| 1    | 0.118   | 7.120   |
| SO      | 1.076| 0.697| 2.383| 1    | 0.123   | 2.932   |
| TIC     | -0.054| 0.687| 0.006| 1    | 0.938   | 0.948   |
| OP      | 1.669| 0.874| 3.647| 1    | 0.056   | 5.306   |
| HY      | -1.090| 0.873| 1.560| 1    | 0.212   | 0.336   |
| CO      | -0.375| 1.008| 0.138| 1    | 0.710   | 0.687   |
| FP      | -1.212| 0.964| 1.582| 1    | 0.208   | 0.297   |
| VS      | 0.681| 0.619| 1.210| 1    | 0.271   | 1.975   |
| AV      | -0.434| 1.344| 0.104| 1    | 0.747   | 0.648   |
| EN      | 1.533| 1.447| 1.123| 1    | 0.289   | 4.630   |
| EA      | -0.486| 1.019| 0.227| 1    | 0.633   | 0.615   |
| SL      | 1.933| 0.761| 6.455| 1    | 0.011   | 6.909   |
| PPS     | -1.123| 0.908| 2.125| 1    | 0.145   | 0.266   |

Binomial logistic regression is significant at the p < 0.050. Abbreviations explained in Table 4: abbreviation added: PPS, previous presence of symptoms.

Table 12: Regression between positive factors and not onset of new symptoms (section III-IV).

| B       | E.S. | Wald | df | Sig. | Exp (B) |
|---------|------|------|----|------|---------|
| Relation strategies (joint activities and reassuring) (Dialogue) | -0.735| 0.866| 0.721| 1    | 0.396   | 0.479   |
| Distraction (technology and housework) | 0.430| 0.610| 0.498| 1    | 0.481   | 1.538   |
| Psychoeducational (positive and negative reinforcement) | -0.507| 0.566| 0.804| 1    | 0.370   | 0.602   |
| Pharmacological therapy | -0.232| 0.304| 0.584| 1    | 0.445   | 0.793   |
| Psychotherapy | -1.434| 1.050| 1.864| 1    | 0.172   | 0.238   |
| Contacts with doctors | -0.143| 1.624| 0.008| 1    | 0.930   | 0.866   |
| Contacts with people outside | -0.010| 0.575| 0.000| 1    | 0.986   | 0.990   |
| Online activities | -1.083| 0.700| 2.396| 1    | 0.122   | 0.339   |
| New routine | 0.130| 0.545| 0.057| 1    | 0.812   | 1.139   |

Binomial logistic regression is significant at the p < 0.050.

Table 13: Regression between positive factors and not increased symptoms (section III-IV).

| B       | E.S. | Wald | df | Sig. | Exp (B) |
|---------|------|------|----|------|---------|
| Relation strategies (joint activities and reassuring) (Dialogue) | 0.231| 0.752| 0.094| 1    | 0.759   | 1.260   |
| Distraction (technology and housework) | -0.821| 0.565| 2.116| 1    | 0.146   | 0.440   |
| Psychoeducational (positive and negative reinforcement) | 0.463| 0.476| 0.945| 1    | 0.331   | 1.589   |
| Effectiveness | 1.207| 0.546| 4.880| 1    | 0.027   | 3.343   |
| Pharmacological therapy | -0.020| 0.240| 0.007| 1    | 0.933   | 0.980   |
| Psychotherapy | 1.272| 0.909| 1.958| 1    | 0.162   | 3.568   |
| Contacts with doctors | -0.246| 1.323| 0.035| 1    | 0.852   | 0.782   |
| Contacts with people outside | -0.426| 0.500| 0.725| 1    | 0.395   | 0.653   |
| Online activities | 0.785| 0.627| 1.567| 1    | 0.211   | 2.192   |
| New routine | -0.333| 0.479| 0.484| 1    | 0.486   | 0.716   |

Binomial logistic regression is significant at the p < 0.050.

Table 14: Regression between negative factors and increased symptoms (section IV).

| B       | E.S. | Wald | df | Sig. | Exp (B) |
|---------|------|------|----|------|---------|
| Psychoeducational only negative reinforcement | 1.044| 0.666| 2.529| 1    | 0.112   | 2.840   |
| Family climate negative | 1.243| 0.632| 3.870| 1    | 0.049   | 3.465   |
| Confined spaces | 0.360| 0.552| 0.425| 1    | 0.514   | 1.433   |
| Change or loss routine | 1.065| 0.608| 3.071| 1    | 0.080   | 2.900   |
| Absence of other support | 0.768| 0.743| 1.071| 1    | 0.301   | 2.156   |
| Fear of contracting virus | 2.365| 1.079| 4.798| 1    | 0.028   | 10.640  |
| Reduction social contact | 0.183| 0.626| 0.065| 1    | 0.770   | 1.201   |
| Psychotherapy suspension | 0.847| 0.768| 0.710| 1    | 0.400   | 1.909   |
| Drugtherapy suspension | 0.058| 1.393| 0.002| 1    | 0.967   | 1.060   |
| Previous presence | -0.165| 0.735| 0.050| 1    | 0.823   | 0.848   |

Binomial logistic regression is significant at the p < 0.050.
This preliminary study highlights the importance of studying and investigating the causes of increased symptoms in children with PANDAS/PANS. As described, stressful events can contribute to the worsening of the disease and generate new symptoms. In particular, environmental, family, and social changes in the course of clinical symptoms in PANDAS/PANS patients should be investigated. The importance of managing emotions and problematic behaviors is also highlighted. It has also been found that parents' perception of self-efficacy concerning their educational and caring skills can act against the increase in symptoms. This information deduced from the study results is consistent with the guidelines on the clinical management of the syndrome, which favor a combined approach between pharmacotherapy, psychotherapy, and parent training (1).

CONCLUSION

In particular, environmental, family, and social changes in the course of clinical symptoms in PANDAS/PANS patients should be investigated. The importance of managing emotions and problematic behaviors is also highlighted. It has also been found that parents' perception of self-efficacy concerning their educational and caring skills can act against the increase in symptoms. This information deduced from the study results is consistent with the guidelines on the clinical management of the syndrome, which favor a combined approach between pharmacotherapy, psychotherapy, and parent training (1).

TABLE 15 | Regression between negative factors and onset of new symptoms (section III-IV).

|                        | B     | E.S.  | Wald | df   | Sig. | Exp (β) |
|------------------------|-------|-------|------|------|------|---------|
| Psychoeducational only | 0.323 | 0.556 | 0.338| 1    | 0.561| 1.381   |
| negative reinforcement |       |       |      |      |      |         |
| Family climate negative| 0.403 | 0.523 | 0.593| 1    | 0.441| 1.496   |
| Confined spaces        | 0.389 | 0.494 | 0.621| 1    | 0.431| 1.476   |
| Change or loss routine | −0.526| 0.542 | 0.942| 1    | 0.332| 0.610   |
| Absence of other support| 0.443 | 0.590 | 0.563| 1    | 0.453| 1.557   |
| Fear of contracting virus| 1.762 | 0.577 | 9.335| 1    | 0.002| 5.826   |
| Reduction social contact| 0.314 | 0.631 | 0.248| 1    | 0.618| 1.369   |
| Psychotherapy suspension| 0.928 | 0.643 | 2.085| 1    | 0.149| 2.530   |
| Drugtherapy suspension | −0.606| 1.202 | 0.254| 1    | 0.614| 0.546   |
| Previous presence      | −0.720| 0.602 | 1.431| 1    | 0.232| 0.487   |

Binomial logistic regression is significant at the p <0.050.

LIMITS

An experimental proxy-report questionnaire not yet standardized and validated on the PANS/PANDAS pediatric clinical sample was used for the exploratory study. To date, there are no specific standardized tools for PANS and PANDAS syndrome.

There is also a small sample size (N = 108) and the absence of a control group (pre-lockdown or children without PANDAS/PANS). Moreover, the number of patients is not fully representative of the Italian population (mainly Central and Southern Italy). It would be interesting to evaluate the exact long-term dimensions to see the course of symptoms after covid. It would also be helpful to conduct a new study focusing on the impact of stressful events on the clinical course of the syndrome.
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