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INTRODUCTION

The term 'Gene Therapy' was originally coined in 1972 to describe an approach to disease treatment that involved genetic modification of cells.1 Initial research in the field focused on introduction of genes into cells to achieve a therapeutic effect. However, the scope of gene therapy has since expanded to include use of any nucleic acid to alter gene function (reviewed in Collins et al.2 Naldini3). Since the way in which genes function underlies normal and pathological processes, employing technology that specifically modifies gene function has utility for treating a variety of diseases. Many conditions that are of serious public health importance in Africa are thus amenable to treatment using gene therapy. The technology is therefore of significance to the management of disease on the continent. Despite its potential importance, direct research on the topic in Africa has been limited. Although Africa has good research-intensive institutions, the continent loses most of its skilled personnel to laboratories in North America, Europe and Australasia.4 Consequently there is a scarcity of capable molecular biologists to carry out research on gene therapy. To strengthen human resource capacity, a priority is to create platforms that will attract qualified scientists and incentivize trained African scientists to remain on the continent. To date investigations have largely involved advancing therapeutic nucleic acids for management of hepatitis B virus (HBV)5–8 and HIV-1 infections.9,10

A particularly powerful feature of gene therapy is that design of candidate drugs is based on rational design that is essentially based on information about nucleic acid sequences.2,3 Recent impressive progress of sequencing technology, coupled with advances in broader fields of molecular biology, virology, oncology and synthetic chemistry, amongst others, have provided valuable resources for advancing gene therapies. Design of novel gene therapies is becoming more reliable and improved insights are leading to use of efficient standardization of drug development protocols. Although versatile, strategies employing gene therapy to treat disease require complex, labor intensive and time consuming multi-step processes. These are expensive and implementation requires sophisticated infrastructure to comply with regulatory requirements (Table 1). During preclinical development, specialized skills and equipment are required for gene therapeutics design and production. Large scale production of gene therapeutics before clinical testing requires facilities that meet the requirements for good manufacturing practice, which are lacking in Africa.11 These are important factors to be taken into account when considering feasibility of gene therapy in resource-poor settings of Africa.

Many different expressed or synthetic nucleic acids, as well as their chemically modified derivatives, are now considered to be gene therapeutics. Concomitant with the broader range of gene therapy-active molecules, the diseases that are now considered to be feasible therapeutic targets are highly varied. Treatment of viral infections, cancers, inherited diseases and immunotherapy are now all within the realm of gene therapy.2,3 The technology is, however, not yet widely used in clinical settings, and licenses have usually only been granted in particular countries. Examples of gene therapies are Spinraza for treatment of spinal muscular
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Table 1. Infrastructure requirements for development of gene therapy candidates, with capabilities and limitations in Africa

| Infrastructure requirements                                                                 | Capabilities/limitations in Africa |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Preclinical development of gene therapy                                                   |                                    |
| 1. Expert human resources                                                                 | Few specialists in gene therapy. Molecular biology capabilities adequate but not uniform over the continent |
| 2. Partnerships with scientists or industry outside of Africa                              | Isolated collaborations aimed at developing candidate gene therapies |
| 3. Regulatory oversight                                                                    | No or little experience with implementing gene therapy |
| 4. Funding for material resources                                                          | Largely inadequate for developing gene therapy-based drugs |
| 5. Material research infrastructure, including specialized equipment                       | Pockets of well-resourced facilities |
| 6. Capacity for toxicological evaluations                                                   | Adequate in parts of the continent |
| 7. Legal support for development of intellectual property                                  | Excellent in major cities of the continent |
| Clinical use (pre- and post-trial)                                                         |                                    |
| 1. Regulatory oversight                                                                    | No or little experience with gene therapy |
| 2. Manufacturing capabilities for large-scale production                                    | Existing production facilities geared mainly to develop small molecule drugs, limited capability for production of biologicals |
| 3. Appropriate storage of gene therapies                                                   | Good in urban areas, but maintenance of cold chain in rural areas is often limited |
| 4. Compliance with regulations of good manufacturing practice                              | Limited experience with compliance with production of gene therapy candidates, but good capabilities with small molecule drug manufacture |
| 5. Patient management, monitoring and record keeping                                        | Excellent in major centers where there is extensive experience with global multicentre clinical trials |

atrophy,12 Gendicine, for the treatment of head and neck squamous cell carcinoma,13 Glybera, for the correction lipoprotein lipase deficiency,14 and Imlygic, for the treatment of melanoma lesions.15 Nevertheless, when gene therapy reaches the mainstream of disease treatment, it is likely to be important for management of diseases that affect the African continent particularly. Capacity development to enable Africans to tackle health problems of Africa is vital to the future of gene therapy on the continent. Key to success of any gene therapy program in Africa is government endorsement, ensuring sustainability, community engagement, enactment of appropriate regulatory legislation and rewarding of entrepreneurship. Although there are many research and diagnostic laboratories that carry out work on gene therapy-affiliated scientific topics, such as genetic analysis of African populations, there are very few research laboratories in Africa that specialize in work on developing gene therapy drugs. Africa has made significant progress with advancing stem cell therapy, and several laboratories focus on this therapeutic approach.10 To our knowledge, the Antiviral Gene Therapy Research Unit of the South African Medical Research Council and University of the Witwatersrand (Wits/SAMRC AGTRU) in Johannesburg is the only lab in Africa that specializes in gene therapy research. The Institute for Cellular and Molecular Medicine (ICMM) at the University of Pretoria and the School of Life Sciences at the University of KwaZulu-Natal also pursue research themes on the topic of gene therapy. Issues related to the shortage of human resources (discussed above), funding for the maintenance of research laboratories and limited partnerships with international collaborators all contribute to the problem.

The dearth of resources in African countries is further complicated by inequalities within countries. For example, South Africa is the country with the highest Gini coefficient and unequal distribution of income translates to provision of health care that markedly favors the wealthy. Ensuring that there is fair allocation of resources within countries and over the continent will be challenging. Working towards this distributive justice, as well as by working within a framework of sound principles of health economics, will be important to safeguard benefits of high-technology medicine for African communities. Little if any research has been carried out to estimate the future benefits of implementing gene therapy in Africa and other parts of the world. This has been compounded by the fact that gene therapeutics are currently very expensive.17,18 This point is clearly highlighted by the case of Glybera, which has a price tag of approximately US $1 M for a single administration. Similar issues regarding the pricing and efficacy of Imlygic have limited its widespread use.19 The roll-out of Strimvelis, a cell-based therapy for the treatment of adenosine deaminase-severe combined immunodeficiency, appears more encouraging and this has been related to robust data on efficacy of the therapeutic and reasonable pricing plans.19 Furthermore, the data suggest that the pricing of Strimvelis is significantly lower than that of the alternative of enzyme replacement therapy. When the field matures, it is likely that bringing modern and powerful technology into the mainstream of medical practice will lower costs of gene therapy.

THE BURDEN OF DISEASE IN AFRICA

The global burden of disease has changed significantly during the past few decades.20 The general trend has been that people are living longer, deaths resulting from communicable diseases are declining and mortality from non-communicable diseases is increasing. The changes in sub-Saharan Africa have however been less significant. Although non-communicable diseases are increasing in this region, infectious, nutritional, perinatal infant and maternal diseases remain major contributors to mortality. Deaths from measles and tetanus have declined significantly throughout the region. However HIV/AIDS, malaria, infectious hepatitis and tuberculosis are major contributors to mortality and morbidity on the continent.20,21 Interventions to counter burdens of disease are best prioritized according to the most cost effective means of limiting morbidity and mortality from the commonest diseases. Attention to appropriate primary health care, vaccination, basic sanitation, behavioral modification, pre- and post-natal care have greatest impact. Implementing gene therapy, which is correctly perceived to be a costly and sophisticated treatment option, will require careful assessment of the impact of such an intervention.

The concept of false dichotomies in global health22 is appropriate when considering the usefulness of gene therapy in Africa. In their recent publication, Frenk and Gomez-Dantes propose that the complexity of global health-care needs requires integrated and comprehensive strategies to be most effective. Until recently, health priorities were determined by what appeared to be opposing viewpoints. Examples of these so-called dichotomies
include primary as opposed to specialized care, and prevention versus cure. Integration of these apparently polarized approaches is, however, important to create synergy to improve overall management of disease. Treatment of HIV-1-infected individuals is an easily understood example that illustrates the point. Although efforts to modify behavior have diminished spread of the virus, prognosis for untreated individuals who are already infected with the virus is grave. Suppressing HIV-1 replication through the use of combination antiretroviral therapy dramatically improves prognosis, renders individuals less infectious and therefore curtails transmission. However, cure from HIV-1 infection would be ideal to obviate the current requirement for long-term administration of antiretrovirals. The advances with gene therapy have demonstrated that cure from the serious infection is a possibility and is discussed below in more detail.

GENE THERAPY FOR TREATING DISEASES OF AFRICAN IMPORTANCE

Although most gene therapy research aimed at treating conditions that are common to Africa has been performed outside of the continent, considerable progress has been made with developing the technology to counter diseases of African importance. Using gene therapy to inactivate viruses, eliminate cancers and treat inherited diseases is likely to be feasible in the long term. Progress against selected examples of diseases that are significant in the African continent is discussed below.

Gene therapy for viral infections

Viruses remain a significant cause of mortality and morbidity in Africa. In a broad context, advancing new treatments has been impressive and many viral infections are now managed effectively. An example is the use of directly acting antivirals for curative treatment of hepatitis C virus infection. However, although treatment of HBV and HIV-1 may suppress viral replication, licensed drugs do not eliminate the viruses. Gene therapy has the potential to eliminate these pathogens and has therefore generated considerable enthusiasm. Infections by HIV-1, HBV and Ebola virus, particularly common in sub-Saharan Africa, are discussed in more detail below.

Gene therapy for HIV-1 infection. Infection with HIV-1 and progression to AIDS emerged as a serious public health problem in the 1980s. Sub-Saharan Africa was, and still is, particularly seriously affected by the pandemic. In 2014 there were approximately 790,000 deaths in this region as a result of AIDS (http://www.who.int/gho/hiv/epidemic_status/deaths_text/en/). Improved access to combination antiretroviral therapy has limited AIDS development in HIV-1-infected individuals. Nevertheless, difficulties with eliminating the virus and slow progress of vaccination strategies have meant that the infection and its complications remain a significant public health problem in Africa.

Advances with using gene therapy to achieve durable suppression of HIV-1 infection have stimulated interest in applying this technology. Enthusiasm for gene therapy to treat HIV-1 infection is partly derived from the success with eliminating HIV-1 from the so-called ‘Berlin patient’. After bone marrow ablation to treat acute myeloid leukemia, this HIV-1-infected individual received an allogenic bone marrow transplant from a matched donor who was homozygous for mutant CCR5, an HIV-1 co-receptor. Donor cells were thus resistant to CCR5-tropic HIV-1 infection and the Berlin patient has been free from HIV-1 without the need for antiretrovirals. Although this is a particularly impressive achievement, widespread use of allogenic bone marrow transplant is problematic because of the difficulty with finding matched donors with the double Δ32/Δ32 mutations. To address this problem, gene editing is being employed in an attempt to disable ccr5 and render autologous cells resistant to HIV-1 infection.

Repeated cleavage of the target ccr5 sequence leads to repair by error-prone non-homologous end joining and eventual mutation of the target. The clustered regularly interspaced short palindromic repeats (CRISPR) with CRISPR-associated (Cas) system, Zinc finger nucleases and transcription activator-like effector nucleases have all been employed to achieve target-specific cleavage and inhibition of HIV-1 replication (reviewed in Arbuthnot31). The favored strategy is to employ ex vivo modification of autologous hematopoietic stem cells (Figure 1). Modified hematopoietic stem cells may then be expanded before re-infusion into the patient. As a variation of the procedure, induced pluripotent stem cells may be used. The underlying principle is that stem cells retain the capability of self-renewal. The treated individual may therefore have a reconstituted immune system that comprises cells that are resistant to infection with the virus. In addition to mutating host factors such as CCR5,10 which are required for HIV-1 entry into host cells, strategies aimed at disabling viral sequences directly have also been used.32,33 Although inactivation of the provirus has been observed, delivery of gene editors to all reservoirs of latently infected cells remains challenging.

Harnessing the RNA interference (RNAi) pathway is another gene-inactivating strategy that has been investigated for anti-HIV-1 therapeutic application (reviewed in Berkhout et al.34). As with gene editing, artificial RNAi activators have

---

**Figure 1.** Modification of cells *ex vivo*. Cells are collected and expanded in culture following selection. Transduction with vectors is employed to introduce a therapeutic sequence which may, for example, confer resistance to HIV-1 or induce expression of a globin gene. The selected cells may be re-infused into the donor by autologous transplant. Use of hematopoietic stem cells enables formation of self-renewing precursor cells that generate progeny with the desired phenotype.
been used to target host factors or viral sequences and impede viral replication. Since RNAi activators function at a post-transcriptional level of gene expression, long-term action of the gene silencers is necessary to achieve durable suppression of viral replication. Combinatorial approaches have been employed to counter the emergence of viral escape. Use of expression cassettes that generate mimics of the RNAi pathway may thus be preferable to administration of synthetic exogenous RNAi activators, which have a transient effect on viral replication. The effect of sustained expression of RNAi activators on cellular function is not yet comprehensively established, and unintended off-target effects may well occur. Strategies to overcome induction of an immune response by dsRNA, unintended binding of the exogenous RNAi effectors to cellular targets, cytotoxicity and saturation of the endogenous pathway remain important considerations.

Gene therapy for HBV infection. Chronic infection with HBV occurs in ~240 million people, and the infection is hyperendemic to sub-Saharan Africa. Life-threatening complications, which include cirrhosis and hepatocellular carcinoma, occur commonly in carriers of the virus. Although effective vaccines are available to prevent HBV infection, they have no therapeutic benefit and are of no use to individuals who are already infected with the virus. Use of gene transfer to induce immunity against the virus has shown promise and is discussed below. Complications of chronic HBV infection remain a significant public health problem of particular relevance to Africa. Despite widespread vaccination programs, global mortality from HBV infection increased over the period from 1990 to 2013 and ~700 000 people died in 2013 as a result of complications of HBV infection.1

Available licensed therapies for HBV infection include reverse transcriptase inhibitors and derivatives of interferon-alpha (IFN-α). These drugs are capable of suppressing HBV replication, but rarely eliminate the virus from virus-infected individuals. The main reason for the shortcomings of current treatments is the stability of the viral replication intermediate comprising covalently closed circular DNA (cccDNA). This cccDNA, which serves as the template for expression of viral genes, is unaffected by nucleoside and nucleotide analogs and is rarely eliminated in IFN-α-treated carriers. Persistence of the virus therefore results in continued risk of mortality from complicating cirrhosis and hepatocellular carcinoma.

Research on applying gene therapy to treat HBV infection shows promise. As with advancing treatment of HIV-1 infection, both gene silencing and gene editing have potential (reviewed in Dever et al.,43 Ivacic et al.44). HBV has an unusually compact genome with overlapping open reading frames. As a result, the cccDNA has restricted sequence plasticity, and unlike with HIV-1, viral escape is unusual. Targeting cccDNA with engineered sequence-specific nucleases, such as Zinc finger nucleases,45 transcription activator-like effector nucleases7,48 and CRISPR/Cas,49–52 provide a way of permanently disabling the replication intermediate. Several studies have shown that targeted mutagenesis disables HBV DNA and thereby provides a means for eliminating HBV from chronically infected individuals. Advancing the technology to a stage of evaluation in a clinical setting is currently a priority. This next step is faced with challenges of safe and efficient delivery of therapeutic sequences to HBV-infected hepatocytes following systemic administration (Figure 2), and verifying specificity of action of the gene editors. Sequences encoding potentially therapeutic CRISPR/Cas, dimeric Zinc finger nucleases and transcription activator-like effector nucleases are long and not easily accommodated by the popular adenovectorial vectors. Alternative approaches, such as formulating mRNA encoding the gene editors in synthetic non-viral vectors (NVVs), may be necessary.

Activating RNAi for therapeutic inhibition of HBV gene expression has been an active field of research (reviewed in Ivacic et al.44). Synthetic siRNAs, with and without chemical modifications, incorporated into hepatotropic NVVs, have been used successfully to inhibit replication of the virus.53,54 Expressed RNAi activators, which include short hairpin RNAs,55,56 long hairpin RNAs57 and single5,58 and multimeric artificial microRNAs (miRs)5 have also demonstrated good efficacy against the virus. Interestingly, the evidence that expressed short hairpin RNAs are capable of inhibiting HBV replication in vivo was the first demonstration that RNAi has antiviral potential.56 Use of HBV-targeting RNAi activators has now reached a stage of testing in clinical trials.59 Although preclinical evaluation has shown promise, it remains to be established whether the long-term efficacy is sufficient to achieve a cure.

Gene therapy undoubtedly has the potential to cure HBV infection. However, the challenges that face clinical translation of most gene therapies also apply to HBV treatment. Efficient delivery, avoidance of toxicity, limiting immunostimulation and ensuring specificity of action are all important. For large-scale use in patients, synthetic formulations are likely to be necessary, and to be useful in sub-Saharan Africa, they will need to be affordable, stable and easily administered. Regimens that include
combinations of licensed drugs with gene therapies will be interesting to evaluate and may improve efficacy.

**Gene therapy for hemorrhagic fever viruses.** Sporadic outbreaks of infections with hemorrhagic fever viruses (HFVs) have been a major concern in parts of sub-Saharan Africa. Hemorrhagic fever is very serious, and the condition is characterized by sudden onset of symptoms that rapidly progress to bleeding, shock and multi-organ failure with associated high mortality. The Ebola virus (EBOV) epidemic that commenced in Guinea in December 2013, and which then spread to Liberia and Sierra Leone was particularly severe. The virus claimed the lives of in excess of 11 000 people in West Africa (https://www.cdc.gov/vhf/ebola/outbreaks/2014-west-af rica/case-counts.html). Marburg virus is another member of the Filovirus family of HFVs that is encountered most frequently in Africa. Given the often lethal nature of the infection with HFVs and their potential global impact, developing vaccines and effective antivirals is understandably a priority. Early clinical features of viral hemorrhagic fevers are usually not pathognomonic of the infection and early diagnosis is therefore difficult. Management is currently limited to being supportive and containment of infected individuals is vital to prevent spread. The rational design that forms the basis of gene therapies is particularly useful to disable HFVs and many investigations have shown that gene therapy has promise for the treatment of these serious infections.

The pathogens that cause hemorrhagic fevers are RNA viruses which replicate in the cytoplasm of infected cells. Exploiting RNAi to inactivate replication has therefore been a logical approach to advancing gene therapy to treat the infections. However, an important feature of infection with EBOV is that the viral VP35 protein inhibits the RNAi pathway.60 To overcome this effect, the VP35 sequence itself has been the target of strategies employing RNAi to inhibit EBOV replication.61 Given the acute nature of HFV infections, sustained RNAi-mediated silencing is less important than it is with HIV-1 or HBV infection. A very promising preclinical study showed that synthetic siRNAs, formulated in hepatotropic NVVs, protect macaques from lethal exposure to EBOV.61 Although several different types of human and non-human primates are permissive for infection with EBOV, replication of the virus occurs mainly in the liver, which is the rationale for using hepatotropic NVVs (Figure 2). Approaches that employ RNAi to disable replication of Marburg virus have also shown therapeutic potential. Synthetic siRNAs, formulated in hepatotropic NVVs, afforded good protection to guinea pigs62 and in Rhesus macaques63 following lethal challenge. Rift Valley Fever Virus, another potentially serious HFV, has also been shown to be susceptible to RNAi-based inhibition.64

The serious nature of infections with EBOV and Marburg virus makes it impractical to evaluate new drugs to treat these infections in conventional clinical trials. The United States Food and Drug Administration thus devised the ‘Animal Rule’ (reviewed in Snoy65), which enables clinical use of drugs that show good efficacy in animal studies. Since efficacy of nucleic acid-based treatments of EBOV and Marburg virus have been convincingly demonstrated in macaques, the case for initiating gene therapy to treat HFV infections is compelling. Although commendable, practical issues relating to rapid implementation on a large scale in affected African populations may currently be difficult. The recent outbreak of EBOV during 2014–2015 highlights how the ‘Animal Rule’ could have been employed. Efforts were underway to fast-track candidate vaccines and engagement between African and international stakeholders facilitated this process.66 However, since clinical data on efficacy are normally required for licensing, these interventions were never used during the EBOV outbreak,67 which endorses the merits of pursuing less traditional licensing routes, such as the ‘Animal Rule’.

**Nucleic acids as vaccines**

For many years, vaccination has been the cornerstone of protecting against infectious diseases. The rationale is that administration of an immunogen, which may be a recombinant protein, or a killed or attenuated pathogen, induces memorized immunity to the pathogen without the risk of disease. Delivery of nucleic acids, such as those that may be engineered within recombinant viral vectors or synthetic formulations, have recently gained popularity as immunogens. Use of DNA encoding immunogens has been an active field of research68 and early studies showed usefulness of the approach in preventing HBV infection.69–71 Recombinant viruses, such as engineered replication defective adenoviruses (Ads) and poxviruses (reviewed in Arbuthnot71), have now gained popularity. These vectors deliver immunogenic sequences efficiently and are capable of inducing powerful cytotoxic T lymphocyte responses. Ads and poxviruses are now well understood and it is possible to manipulate their genomes to encode various immunogens and confer a variety of preferred biological properties. A drawback of recombinant viral vectors is that they are themselves immunogenic. The Step HIV vaccination trial highlighted a particularly serious consequence of the immunostimulatory effects of Ads.72 The vaccine, termed MRKAd5, comprised a serotype 5 Ad vector that encoded gag, pol and nef sequences of HIV-1 subtype B. The initial study entailed administration of the vaccine to non-African residents in parts of the world where clade B is the predominant HIV-1 subtype. Contrary to the intended effect, patients receiving the vaccine had a slightly higher risk of acquiring HIV-1 infection. The effect may have been a result of a predeliction of HIV-1 for T cells that had been activated by the vaccine. The subsequent HVTN503/Phambili trial analyzed administration of MRKAd5 to South African subjects, where clade C is commonest.73 The study was however halted after outcome of the Step trial became available.72 Although early termination of the investigation limited ability to draw conclusions, the MRKAd5 vaccine did not appear to prevent HIV-1 infection in the South African subjects.74 Subsequent investigations attempted to augment anti-HIV-1 immunogenicity by employing a prime-boost strategy in individuals from South Africa74 and the USA.75,76 Subjects initially received a 6-plasmid DNA vaccine encoding Gag, Pol and Nef from clade B, and Env from clades A, B and C. Recombinant Ad5-encoding clade B Gag-Pol fusion and Env from clades A, B and C was thereafter given as a booster. Although the vaccination regimen induced humoral and cell-mediated immune responses, prevention of viral infection was not established. Another consideration relevant to developing Ad5-based vaccines is that pre-existing immunity to adenoviruses of this serotype occurs commonly. Ad26-based vaccines, which are distinct from Ad5 and bypass immunity to this serotype,77 are thus now being tested in clinical trials to develop vaccines against HIV-1.78–80

A major advantage of employing nucleic acid transfer rather than recombinant protein administration is that cell-mediated as well as humoral immunity are induced. Expression of immunogens within cells simulates pathogens’ gene expression in infected cells. Encoded proteins may be presented on the cell surface by major histocompatibility complex class I receptors, which in turn lead to activation of cytotoxic T cells. With recombinant proteins, it is typically only the humoral arm of the immune response that is activated. This occurs following major histocompatibility complex class I-mediated interaction of antigen-presenting cells with T helper cells and stimulation of B cell maturation to form antibody-producing plasma cells. Following intramuscular or intradermal administration of nucleic acid vectors, myocytes, dendritic cells and monocytes may take up and express the encoded immunogen. This results in major histocompatibility complex class I and major histocompatibility complex class II activation with stimulation of cytotoxic T cells and proliferation of
plasma cells to effect activation of cell-mediated and humoral immunity. Preventative or therapeutic immunization using gene transfer has many advantages that are particularly relevant to an African setting. Some include the following:

1. The vaccines are generally safe, not toxic and the nucleic acids that are formulated within synthetic NVVs are usually not antigenic.
2. Manipulation and preparation of DNA employs procedures that are standard, and preparation of sufficient amounts required for widespread vaccination programs is typically uncomplicated.
3. Incorporation of additional sequences to augment immunostimulation is feasible.
4. It is possible to include adjuvants, such as oligonucleotides that activate Toll-like receptors to enhance immunostimulation.

Immunomodulatory effects using gene transfer are also gaining popularity for cancer treatment. Using gene therapy to adapt principles of passive immunization also has utility. Sequences encoding broadly neutralizing antibodies (nNabs), which are active against a range of HIV-1 isolates, have been incorporated into recombinant adeno-associated viral vectors. This approach, termed vectored immunophylaxis, is capable of protecting against HIV challenge in humanized mice.

Gene therapy for inherited diseases common to Africa

There is a myriad of inherited diseases that is particular to Africa, and the African diaspora led to its global dispersement. Although they have a variable distribution throughout Africa, two of the more common inherited disorders on the continent are sickle cell disease and glucose-6-phosphatase deficiency. Sickle cell disease results from a homozygous transversion substitution in the first exon of the β-globin gene (reviewed in Hossain et al. ). The affected codon in the changed sequence encodes a valine amino acid instead of glutamic acid. A heterozygous state of the sickle gene provides protection against malaria and the mechanism is thought to involve preferential removal of Plasmodium falciparum-infected red blood cells by macrophages. When homozygous, the mutation results in serious pathology that manifests as sickle cell disease. Sickling of red blood cells results from polymerization of hemoglobin following deoxygenation. These red blood cells have a short life span, and cause endothelial and end organ damage with resultant high mortality and morbidity.

Allogeneic stem cell transplantation has been used successfully to treat sickle cell disease, but finding matched donors is difficult. Investigating use of gene therapy to counter the defect has thus become a popular line of research (reviewed in Marimani et al. ). Safe and efficient globin gene expression together with appropriately regulated transgene expression in progenitors of red blood cells are vital. Gene addition to target cells, expression of alternative globin genes, reactivation of fetal globin genes and gene editing have all been investigated as therapeutic options. Two promising approaches entail gene editing to inactivate BCL11A expression or stimulation of homology directed repair to restore the normal sequence of the β-globin gene. BCL11A is a repressor of γ-globin gene expression in the adult. Monoaletic inactivation of the target of BCL11A within the γ-globin gene increases its expression to achieve a therapeutic effect. Application of autologous hematopoietic stem cell modification and re-infusion (Figure 1) is also a feasible mode of treatment.

Gene therapy for primary immunodeficiencies is currently an area of vigorous research. Although gene therapy for primary immunodeficiencies in Africa has received little attention, programs for managing primary immunodeficiencies in Africa have been established (http://www.sun.ac.za/engli sh/faculty/healthsciences/Molecular_Biology_Human_Genetics/pi ddgen). This capability may provide an entry point for establishment of gene therapy platforms, which may also be used to facilitate treatment of more common diseases discussed above.

**FUNDING AND PARTNERSHIPS TO FACILITATE RESEARCH ON GENE THERAPY IN AFRICA**

Gene therapy is a complex field, which is very demanding of material and human resources. Adequate funding and strategic partnerships are thus essential to provide a base to facilitate meaningful progress in Africa. Government support is variable throughout the continent and information is not readily available on specific details about the resources that have been devoted to advancing gene therapy on the continent. South African funding agencies, which have been particularly generous with their backing, include the South African Medical Research Council, National Research Foundation and Department of Science and Technology. Funds coming from outside of Africa, typically provided to research partnerships involving African researchers, have also been valuable. The US National Institutes of Health (NIH), Wellcome Trust and European Commission have sponsored teams that include African researchers working on gene therapy.

The H3Africa initiative (http://www.h3afrika.org/) is a shining example of how properly channeled and monitored international funding can be used effectively to build capacity in fields related to gene therapy. A requirement for support from the funding agency is that the principal investigator should reside on the African continent and she/he should address problems that are relevant to its peoples. The program receives funding from the NIH and the Wellcome Trust. A first round of funding was initiated in 2012 and a second round, which is also supported by GlaxoSmithKline (GSK) (London, UK), began in 2016/2017. The second round funding is being administered by the The Alliance for Accelerating Excellence in Science in Africa (AESA - http://aasciences.ac.ke/aesa/programmes/h3afrika/funding-opportunities/), which is an initiative of the African Academy of Sciences (AAS) and the New Partnership for Africa’s Development (NEPAD) Agency. AESA has recently also solicited applications for Grand Challenges Africa (GCA) (http://aasciences.ac.ke/aesa/en/pro grammes/grand-challenges-africa/), which aims to promote ‘Africa-led scientific innovations to help countries better achieve the Sustainable Development Goals by awarding seed and full grants to the continent’s most impressive solutions’. Funding for the GCA initiative is provided by the Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation.

An African initiative that includes support for gene therapy within the program, is the African Network for Drugs and Diagnostics Innovations (ANDI) (www.andi-africa.org or http://www.who.int/trd/partnerships/initiatives/andi/). This project has been supported by several partners including the World Health Organization and several institutions from countries throughout Africa. The main objective of ANDI is to promote and sustain African-led health product innovation to address African public health needs through efficient use of local knowledge, assembly of research networks, and building of capacity to support economic development. The initiative was launched in 2008 and the network was formed through an association of several African laboratories that were considered Centers of Excellence. To date, ANDI has carried out a facilitating function rather than as a direct funder of research. However, attracting significant and sustained funding to drive efforts aimed at attaining the intended goals is a necessity for the program to thrive.

Partnerships with industry to develop specific gene therapies are rare in Africa. One example is the collaboration that has recently been established between Johnson & Johnson
Innovation, based in Boston, MA, USA, and the Antiviral Gene Therapy Research Unit located at the University of the Witwatersrand in Johannesburg, South Africa (http://www.prnewswire.com/news-releases/johnson–johnson-innovation-announces-17-collaborations-with-focus-on-advancing-global-healthcare-through-transformational-science-and-technologies-300097578.html). The cooperative research carried out by the two groups aims to develop gene therapy for treatment of chronic HBV infection. The initiative is a good example of support for African scientists who aim to tackle a disease of particular importance to Africa. Importantly, such partnerships hinge on offering the industry partner well-developed expertise and highlight the advantage for Africa to invest in research and development. The ability to manage and generate intellectual property are additional significant factors that motivate industry to partner with the researchers in the African research setting.

CONCLUSIONS

Although there are very few licensed gene therapies, the technology is poised at an interesting phase of development. Useful properties of the methods employed are based on rational design principles and versatility that enables application to treatment of many diseases. It is important for Africa that gene therapy is potentially applicable to several diseases that cause serious public health problems of the continent. There are however challenges that are impeding global progress of gene therapy to the mainstream of clinical application. Successful translation of gene therapy is particularly dependent on achieving efficient delivery of therapeutic nucleic acids to target tissues, limiting toxicity and ensuring specificity of action of candidate therapeutics.

In addition to the technical hurdles that need to be overcome, there are other factors that will influence the utility of gene therapy in Africa. Efficacy of alternative preventative or therapeutic management is important. Recent development of directly acting antivirals that cure hepatitis C virus infection means that use of gene therapy to eliminate the virus is less likely (discussed above). Nevertheless, gene therapy has an important role when curative small molecule drugs are not available. Diseases caused by HIV-1 and HBV are examples where gene therapy may well be important to eliminate viral infections of African importance. In the case of HBV persistence, the problematic cccDNA is stable and unaffected by currently licensed drugs. Employing a gene editing approach provides the means to disable this viral transcription template. Gene editing may also be employed to render CD4+ T cells resistant to HIV-1 infection and inactivate the proviral DNA.

A major factor influencing the long-term prospects of gene therapy in Africa relates to the practicalities of using the technology in resource-poor settings. Gene therapy drugs are complex and costly to produce and administer. Their use in Africa is equipped to carry out such procedures. Ideally, the methodology will become cheaper and protocols will be simplified. Moreover, with progress of the technology, development of new candidate drugs should be rapid. This will be particularly useful to counter emerging pathogens and serious outbreaks such as have been caused by EBOV and severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus. In addition to technical challenges, lack of legal frameworks that regulate use of gene therapy may also hinder Africa deriving benefit from this technology. Implementing comprehensive legislation that will govern gene therapy research and translation into the clinic remains imperative. The diverse religious, ethical and moral beliefs in Africa also need to be accommodated. Despite these hurdles, implementing the legal framework for gene therapy may be informed by prior experiences of developing regulations pertaining to biotechnology and use of stem cell therapy in Africa.

Participation of developing countries, especially those of Africa, in the implementation of gene therapy will be exciting and valuable. This extends to regulation of gene therapy, which is non-existent or is loosely covered by legislation relating to biotechnology. Ensuring appropriate legislation is in place for implementing gene therapy is paramount to eventually realizing the potential of this field in Africa. Involving African countries in the process will improve the capacity for modern medically applied molecular biology, assist with tackling health problems of the continent effectively, and enable knowledge-based economies to develop. Activities in the field of gene therapy in Africa are currently modest. However, strengthening the endeavor should be a priority that will be of extensive benefit to the continent.
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