Evolution of cosmic filaments and of their galaxy population from MHD cosmological simulations
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ABSTRACT

Despite containing about a half of the total matter in the Universe, at most wavelengths the filamentary structure of the cosmic web is difficult to observe. In this work, we use large unigrid cosmological simulations to investigate how the geometrical, thermodynamical and magnetic properties of cosmological filaments vary with mass and redshift (\(z \leq 1\)). We find that the average temperature, length, volume and magnetic field of filaments scales well with their total mass. This reflects the role of self-gravity in shaping their properties and enables statistical predictions of their observational properties based on their mass. We also focus on the properties of the simulated population of galaxy-sized haloes within filaments, and compare their properties to the results obtained from the spectroscopic GAMA survey. Simulated and observed filaments with the same length are found to contain an equal number of galaxies, with very similar distribution of masses. The total number of galaxies within each filament and the total/average stellar mass in galaxies can now be used to predict also the large-scale properties of the gas in the host filaments across tens or hundreds of Mpc in scale. These results are the first steps towards the future use of galaxy catalogues in order to select the best targets for observations of the warm–hot intergalactic medium.
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1 INTRODUCTION

The large-scale structure of the Universe is organized into a web of filamentary matter connecting clusters and groups of galaxies and wall-like structures separating gigantic underdense regions (voids). A large fraction of the baryonic matter (around 50 per cent) resides in such cosmic web, at densities \(\sim 10^{-100}\) times the average cosmic value and temperature of \(10^5–10^7\) K, forming the ‘Warm-Hot Intergalactic Medium’ (WHIM, see e.g. Bond, Kofman & Pogosyan 1996; Cen & Ostriker 1999; Davé et al. 2001; Viel et al. 2005; Dolag et al. 2006; Dolag, Bykov & Diaferio 2008, and references therein).

Direct observations of the cosmic web are challenging, due to its extremely low mass density. Indications of possible detections of filamentary structures emerged from the analysis of soft X-ray (e.g. Finoguenov, Briel & Henry 2003; Werner et al. 2008; Nicastro et al. 2010, 2013), or Sunyaev–Zeldovich effect (Planck Collaboration VIII 2013) data. However, very recently the first X-ray imaging of the terminal part of four filaments connected to the virial radius of cluster A2744 has been reported by Eckert et al. (2015) using XMM–Newton.

The interest in the observational detection of filaments is growing rapidly since their evolution is less violent and complex than that of galaxy clusters, with adiabatic physics (besides gravity) dominating the gas dynamics. Therefore, filaments are expected to preserve many traces of the original environment in which the process of gravitational clustering started. They are also expected to retain memory of the initial magnetic seed fields of the Universe because they should not host strong dynamo amplification (Ryu et al. 2008; Donnert et al. 2009; Vazza et al. 2014). Cosmological simulations can now be used to produce observable predictions for different models of extragalactic magnetic fields, to be tested by radio observations. Radio surveys at low frequencies (e.g. LOFAR, MWA and SKA) are expected to detect the brightest parts of the filamentary cosmic web if the magnetic field in the WHIM is
amplified to the level of a few percent of the thermal gas energy there (Vazza et al. 2015a,b). Predicting the evolution of the magnetic fields in filaments is important because radio surveys might be able to detect the cosmic web in the redshift range $z \sim 0.1–0.2$, while especially at high radio frequencies it is impossible to sample the large angular scales ($\lesssim$ degrees) associated with the emission from the cosmic web in the local Universe (Vazza et al. 2015b).

In addition to galaxy–galaxy mergers (e.g. Conselice et al. 2003; Robertson et al. 2006; Di Matteo et al. 2007; Naab, Johansson & Ostriker 2009) and the co-evolution of supermassive black holes (e.g. Springel, Di Matteo & Hernquist 2005; Schawinski et al. 2007; Hopkins et al. 2008), the relation between galaxies and their surrounding large-scale environment is key to understand the accretion of cold gas into galactic centres (e.g. Goerdt et al. 2015), the spin orientation of galaxies (e.g. Hahn, Teyssier & Carollo 2010) and their ellipticity (e.g. Chen et al. 2015). On the other hand, other works suggest that the star formation rate is more dependent on the stellar mass and not on the environment (e.g. Wijesinghe et al. 2012). More recently, Alpaslan et al. (2015) observed that the large-scale environment of galaxies determines their stellar mass function, but has otherwise a modest impact on other galactic properties. An important motivation to study the filamentary structure of the cosmic web as well as the simulated galaxies in filaments is to understand which internal galactic properties are connected to the large-scale properties of filaments, and which are independent. We have studied in detail the properties of simulated dark-matter haloes hosting galaxies in filaments, and compared them with those of real galaxies in the GAMA survey (Driver et al. 2009, 2011; Liske et al. 2015).

Many high-resolution $N$-body cosmological simulations have been used to explore the properties of the cosmic web (e.g. Colberg, Krughoff & Connolly 2005; Hahn et al. 2007; Libeskind et al. 2012; Cautun et al. 2014). Most of these works, however, focus on the properties of the dark component, described via $N$-body algorithms, representing matter as a set of massive particles. In this work, we focus instead on the gas component, described by the adopted simulation code, ENZO (Bryan et al. 2014) as an Eulerian fluid on a computational mesh, which, for our purposes, has been kept at fixed resolution. We have exploited the fluid and thermodynamical properties of the gas to identify, extract and analyse the filamentary structures from the results of state-of-the art cosmological magneto-hydrodynamical simulations (Vazza et al. 2014). Our methodology, presented in all details in Gheller et al. (2015a) (hereafter Paper I), relies on the usage of the VST data visualization and analysis software (Childs et al. 2011), exploiting a combination of its Isovolume and Connected Components algorithms. The adopted numerical and data processing methodologies are described in Section 2.

In Sections 3 and 4, we present the results obtained from several simulations of the $\Lambda$CDM cosmological model with density parameters $\Omega_0 = 0.05$, $\Omega_M = 0.0455$, $\Omega_M = 0.2265$ (BM and DM indicating the baryonic and the dark matter respectively), $\Omega_\Lambda = 0.728$, and a Hubble constant $H_0 = 70.2 \text{ km s}^{-1} \text{ Mpc}^{-1}$. In particular, Section 3 focuses on the study of the time evolution of the geometric, thermal and magnetic properties of filaments up to $z = 1$. Section 4 instead analyses the properties of the galactic haloes hosted in filaments, in comparison to the results of the GAMA survey.

The results are discussed in Section 5, where we comment on the most important observational implications of our modelling of galaxies in filaments. The major achievements are finally summarized in Section 6.

2 NUMERICAL METHODS

2.1 Numerical simulations

The ENZO code is an Adaptive Mesh Refinement (AMR) code designed to solve a broad variety of astrophysical problems. ENZO solves the equations describing the dynamics of the two main matter component in the universe, dark and baryonic matter, driven by the gravitational field generated by the combined mass distributions. The dynamics of the collisionless DM component is followed using a particle-mesh $N$-body method, while the BM component is represented as an ideal fluid, discretized on a mesh. The gravitational potential of BM+DM is calculated solving the Poisson equation through a combined multigrid plus Fast Fourier Transform approach. The magnetic field in the magneto-hydrodynamical (MHD) method uses the conservative Dedner formulation of MHD equations (Dedner et al. 2002) which uses hyperbolic divergence cleaning to preserve $\nabla \cdot B = 0$. Further numerical details about these simulations are given in Vazza et al. (2014, 2015b).

The simulations have been performed on the Piz Daint HPC system operated by the Swiss National Supercomputing Centre (ETHZ-CSCS), a Cray XC30 supercomputer accounting for more than 5000 computing nodes, each equipped with an 8-core Intel SandyBridge CPU (Intel Xeon E5-2670) and an NVIDIA Tesla K20X GPU (Gheller et al. 2015b).

The simulations presented here belong to the larger CHRONOS++ suite of MHD simulations produced using Piz Daint, designed to study the generation of extragalactic magnetic fields under a variety of scenarios for magnetogenesis. The three runs adopt a fixed resolution mesh. Two runs, hereafter called ‘BOX200’ and ‘BOX100’, have a box size of (200 Mpc)$^3$ and (100 Mpc)$^3$ (comoving) respectively, and a mesh of 1200$^3$ cells. The third one, called ‘BOX50’ simulates a box of (50 Mpc)$^3$ (comoving) with a mesh of 2400$^3$ cells. The number of DM particles is equal to the number of cells. The first two runs provide a large statistical sample and probe cosmic volumes of the order of what radio telescopes (e.g. LOFAR, SKA) can target with deep pointings. However, these runs have a quite limited spatial resolution (around 167 and 83 kpc comoving). The 2400$^3$ run allows us to study in detail the properties of several single objects at high spatial resolution (around 21 kpc comoving). The comparison between the three runs allows estimating the effect of numerical resolution and box size on the results. In all runs we initialized the magnetic field at the (comoving) value of $B_0 = 10^{-15}$ G, which is within the present upper limit from the analysis of the CMB (Ade et al. 2015).

We assumed a WMAP 7-yr cosmology with $\Omega_0 = 1.0$, $\Omega_M = 0.0455$, $\Omega_M = 0.2265$, $\Omega_\Lambda = 0.728$, Hubble constant $H_0 = 70.2 \text{ km s}^{-1} \text{ Mpc}^{-1}$, a normalization for the primordial density power spectrum $\sigma_8 = 0.81$ and a spectral index of $n_s = 0.961$ for the primordial spectrum of initial matter fluctuations (Komatsu et al. 2011). The detailed list of the simulations analysed in this project is given in Table 1.

2.2 Filaments identification and selection

In order to identify filaments in the simulations, we have implemented a procedure based on the VST data visualization and analysis framework (Childs et al. 2011). A detailed description of such procedure, with validation tests and performance analysis, can be found in Paper I. Here we summarize its main features.

The mass density of the baryonic component is used in order to separate over- from under-dense regions. The Isovolume-based
approach, described in Meredith (2004), has been adopted in order to accurately trace the boundaries between the warm/hot phase which characterizes collapsed cosmological structures and the cold underdense phase typical of voids.Isovolumes are then segmented into distinct objects applying a Connected Components filter. Identified overdense isovolumes undergo a further cleaning procedure in order to eliminate objects that either cannot be classified as filaments or that can be affected by large numerical errors, due, in particular to the limited spatial resolution. The main steps of such procedure are following.

(i) Identification and removal of large clumps. Galaxy clusters are identified using the Isovolume algorithm out of the highest peaks of the matter distribution. They are discarded by removing from the data all cells identified as part of the cluster.

(ii) Filament identification. The Isovolume algorithm is used once more on the residual cells to identify the volumes with:

\[
\delta_{\text{BM}} = \frac{\varrho_{\text{BM}}}{\varrho_0} \geq a_{\text{fil}},
\]

where \(\delta_{\text{BM}}\) is the BM over-density, \(\varrho_{\text{BM}}\) is the cell’s baryon mass density, \(a_{\text{fil}}\) is a proper threshold and \(\varrho_0\) is the critical density. The Connected Components algorithm is then used to combine cells belonging to distinct (i.e. non-intersecting) filaments, assigning to each filament an Id (an integer number) and marking each cell belonging to a filament with that Id.

(iii) Shape selection. We use the following two-stage cleaning procedure to remove possible round-shaped, isolated structures that could be still present in the identified objects. First, we retain only elongated objects, with:

\[
\text{MAX}(r_{xy}, r_{xz}, r_{yz}) > \alpha,
\]

where \(r_{ab}\) is the ratio between axes \(a\) and \(b\) of the bounding box and \(\alpha\) was set to 2. Secondly, among the remaining objects, we accept as filaments all those whose volume is smaller than a given fraction of the corresponding bounding box volume (see Paper I for details).

(iv) Data export. The properties of the cells belonging to each filament, such as the mass density, temperature, velocity, geometric and topological information, are exported to output files.

The resulting methodology depends on six parameters, the most important being the mass density threshold \(a_{\text{fil}}\), whose value was determined in Paper I to lie in the range 0.5–2.0. The exact value can influence some statistical properties of the identified objects (e.g. the maximum size of filaments extracted from a simulation). Other properties (e.g. the average density within filaments) are unaffected. Three of the remaining parameters are related to the physical properties of galaxy clusters and to the spatial resolution of the simulations (so they can be considered as fixed for each model). The last two parameters have been set after extensive testing as discussed in Paper I (appendix A).

The whole procedure is implemented within the visit framework, which provides all the required numerical methods and supports big data processing through a combination of optimized algorithms, the exploitation of high-performance computing architectures, in particular through parallel processing, and the support of client-server capabilities. The visit filament reconstruction pipeline run on the Piz Dora CRAY XC40 system at CSCS, equipped with 1256 compute nodes, each with two 12-core Intel Haswell CPUs (Intel Xeon E5-2690 v3), using 192 cores (this minimum number being set by memory constraints).

3 PROPERTIES OF FILAMENTS

In this section we present the statistical properties of the filaments extracted from the three different simulations. Results are presented at three redshifts, \(z = 0.0\), \(z = 0.5\) and \(z = 1.0\).

3.1 Global properties

Before focusing on the properties of filaments we analyse the mass and volume distribution of cosmic baryons as a function of the gas over-density, in three boxes at the different times, as shown in Fig. 1. While the volume fraction (dashed lines) is dominated by underdense regions, the mass distribution (solid) peaks within the typical over-density range of filaments (1 \(\leq \delta_{\text{BM}} \leq 10^2\)). With decreasing redshift more gas mass enters this over-density range, but the late growth of massive galaxy clusters (more visible in the largest boxes) causes the distribution to move to higher over densities.

In Fig. 2, we show the baryon fraction for the same boxes and epochs, calculated as a ratio between the total BM mass and the total BM+DM mass within each over-density bin, normalized to the cosmic baryon fraction \(f_{\text{cosmic}} = 0.167\). At the mean over-density of filaments, \(\delta_{\text{BM}} \sim 5 - 10\) (e.g. Paper I) the baryon fraction is larger than the cosmic one, consistent with the expectation that the WHIM dominates the pool of ‘missing baryons’ (Cen & Ostriker 1999; Davé et al. 2001; Eckert et al. 2015). However, we shall see in the following that the baryon fraction enclosed within single filaments

![Figure 1. Mass (solid lines) and volume (dashed lines) fractions of the cells as a function of their BM over-density, for the three simulated volumes at three redshifts.](image-url)
The slope and the normalization of both relations show only a very mild evolution with redshift, showing the tendency that filaments with the same BM mass are slightly longer/larger at earlier times, consistent with the visual impression of Fig. 4.

The relation between the BM mass and the mass-weighted temperature is shown in Fig. 5. Resolution affects the scaling of the M–T relations more than in the previous cases, filaments simulated at a lower resolution having a significantly larger temperature in the same BM mass range. This follows from the tendency of accretion shocks to produce a larger thermalization at coarser resolution, as known from the study of cosmological shocks in the literature (Vazza, Brunetti & Gheller 2009; Vazza et al. 2011). The scatter in this relation is also higher than in the previous cases, due to the likely contamination from hot cluster outskirts in a few cases, as already discussed in Paper I (Section 4.2). The slopes of the $T \propto M_{\text{BM}}^\alpha$ relation are in the range $0.35 \leq \alpha \leq 0.45$. This is significantly lower than the same relation for galaxy clusters, as is shown by the additional grey dots in Fig. 5. In the case of clusters, the slope is $\alpha \sim 2/3$, as usually found in non-radiative simulations and is also expected from self-similar scaling relations (e.g. Borgani et al. 2008). In clusters (dropping cosmological factors), the mass scales as $M \sim \rho_0 \Delta R_{\Delta}^3$, where $R_{\Delta} \sim M^{1/3}$, so that we get from $MKT \sim GM^2/R \propto M^2/3$ ($\rho_0$, being the critical density). For filaments this becomes: $MKT \sim GM^2/R_k$ and $M \sim \rho_0 R_k^2 \times L$, where $L$ is the length of the filament and $R_k$ the radius perpendicular to the axis. This then yields $T \propto M^2/2$ in case of cylindrical objects, where density only changes transverse to the cylinder axis.

However, we expect a significant departure from this simple geometrical argument because the filaments have complex geometries. Furthermore, filaments with masses $M_{\text{BM}} \sim 10^{13} M_\odot$ are about 10 times cooler than galaxy clusters of the same mass, suggesting that the thermalization of infall kinetic energy in filaments is about $\sim 10$ times less efficient than in clusters. This is consistent with the fact that filaments are not objects in virial equilibrium, which sets them apart from galaxy clusters.

The baryon fraction of each filament, $f_{\text{BM}} = M_{\text{BM}}/(M_{\text{TOTAL}} \cdot f_{\text{cosmic}})$ (where $M_{\text{BM}}$ and $M_{\text{TOTAL}}$ are the baryonic and total mass of the filament, respectively), is given in Fig. 6 in all boxes and for all epochs. The corresponding best-fitting parameters are presented in Table 3. The baryon fraction in the largest filaments ($\geq 10^{13} M_\odot$) is very close to the cosmic value, while for lower masses it is from 10 percent to 40 percent lower than the cosmic value (the smallest values in BOX200). The highest baryon fraction at all redshifts is measured in the highest resolution run, which results in a very flat $f_{\text{BM}} \propto M_{\text{BM}}^\alpha$ relation, $\alpha \approx 0.05$. At all resolutions there is no significant dependence on redshift. As already commented above (Fig. 2), these results show that, although the WHIM gas in filaments has a baryon fraction above the cosmic mean, the total baryon faction locked within most identified filaments is biased low by the presence of high-density massive substructures with a lower baryon fraction.

### 3.2 Geometric and thermodynamical properties

In Fig. 4 we show the relation between the BM mass and the comoving volume/length of the extracted filaments, which are expected to be narrow power-law relations as found by Cautun et al. (2014) and in Paper I. Table 2 gives the values of the best-fitting parameters for the log–log scaling relations, of the kind $Y \propto \beta M^\alpha$. Both, spatial resolution and redshift only slightly affect the slope. As expected the BOX200 simulation has the biggest filaments, as a result of the power on the largest scales, which is progressively reduced for BOX100 and BOX50. At a given mass, filaments have smaller volumes but are longer in higher resolutions runs (a factor 2–5 when BOX50 and BOX200 are compared), due to the higher compression reached increasing the resolution. On one hand, in fact, compression leads to higher mass densities, so largest masses in a given volume. On the other, objects with the same volume are thinner, so longer, at higher resolutions (Fig. 1). Lengths at a given mass increase also at higher redshifts, due to the more diffuse distribution of matter.

### 3.3 Magnetic properties

The magnetization level of cosmic gas outside galaxy clusters is largely unknown and the predictions from MHD simulations are still very approximate, due to numerical limitation in resolution and physical uncertainties on the seeding processes (e.g. Dolag et al. 2008; Donnert et al. 2009; Vazza et al. 2014; Marinacci & Vogelsberger 2016). With our simulations we can study the average level of magnetization in the cosmological seeding scenarios,
and the dependence on the host filament mass. Fig. 7 shows the maximum physical magnetic field as a function of the filament gas mass, for the three volumes and epochs. Compared to the thermodynamical quantities analysed above, the magnetic field in filaments shows the strongest evolution with resolution. At each redshift, the maximum and the mean magnetic fields increase with resolution, as shown in Fig. 8. In the most resolved BOX50 run the largest filaments show a maximum of \( \sim 0.1 \) \( \mu \)G at \( z = 0 \), suggesting some modest level of dynamo amplification that can boost the magnetic field by \( \sim 10^3 \) times in a few patches within such objects. The maximum magnetic field slowly increases with mass \( (B_{\text{MAX}} \propto M_{\text{RM}}^{\alpha}, \text{with } \alpha \sim 1/3) \) and with resolution, suggesting that this process relates to dynamo amplification, since more massive and more resolved structures are expected to host larger Reynolds number flows (e.g. Vazza et al. 2014). However, even in the largest objects the average magnetic field (as shown in Fig. 8) only show a growth of order \( \sim 10 \) compared to the comoving seed field, indicating that gas compression is on average the most significant source of magnetic field amplification in these objects. Overall, both the maxima and average physical magnetic fields are decreased by a factor \( \sim 4 \) from \( z = 0 \) to \( z = 1 \), consistent with the expected \( B_{\text{phys}} = B_{\text{com}}(1 + z)^2 \) scaling (where \( B_{\text{phys}} \) denotes the physical fields strength and \( B_{\text{com}} \) the comoving field strength). This also indicates that the magnetization of filaments has already reached its maximum at earlier epochs, and that no significant further amplification is found between \( z = 0 \) and \( z = 1 \), unlike galaxy clusters where the field is amplified over time due to the continuous stirring by turbulent motion (in agreement with Vazza et al. (2014)). We conclude that unless other significant sources of magnetization are present (e.g. magnetized winds from galaxies) measuring the magnetic fields in...
Properties of filaments

3.4 Volumetric properties of filaments

The mean values of most quantities are shown here as a function of the gas over-density, motivated by the fact that the average density in filaments decreases away from the filament axis. This was shown in Figure 6. Baryon fraction in filaments as a function of their BM mass. Squares represents data at $z = 0.0$, diamonds at $z = 0.5$ and triangles at $z = 1.0$. The horizontal black line is the reference value $f_{BM} = 1$.

Paper I, where we analysed a few filaments with regular shapes and computed radial profiles perpendicular to the filament axis. Here, we also show the volume distribution of the gas density and focus on the most resolved volume, BOX50. Fig. 9 shows the volume-density relation. The averaged profiles show a regular behaviour going from low-mass filaments ($M_{BM} \leq 10^{14} M_{\odot}$, blue) to high-mass filaments ($M_{BM} > 10^{14} M_{\odot}$, red) to clusters (black), showing that self-gravity causes a similar density stratification of gas in the volume of these structures. In low-mass objects densities increased

| ID     | $\alpha$  | $\beta$  | $\alpha$  | $\beta$  | $\alpha$  | $\beta$  |
|--------|------------|----------|------------|----------|------------|----------|
| BOX50  | 0.894 ± 0.009 | −10.437 ± 0.103 | 0.419 ± 0.012 | −4.095 ± 0.142 | 0.447 ± 0.016 | 0.027 ± 0.189 |
| BOX50  | 0.910 ± 0.009 | −10.568 ± 0.101 | 0.421 ± 0.013 | −4.050 ± 0.144 | 0.408 ± 0.017 | 0.456 ± 0.189 |
| BOX50  | 0.918 ± 0.007 | −10.760 ± 0.088 | 0.454 ± 0.012 | −4.438 ± 0.142 | 0.384 ± 0.017 | 0.855 ± 0.200 |
| BOX100 | 0.824 ± 0.002 | −9.525 ± 0.028 | 0.381 ± 0.003 | −3.795 ± 0.041 | 0.431 ± 0.005 | 0.377 ± 0.061 |
| BOX100 | 0.850 ± 0.002 | −9.712 ± 0.023 | 0.427 ± 0.003 | −4.210 ± 0.036 | 0.375 ± 0.004 | 1.035 ± 0.045 |
| BOX100 | 0.864 ± 0.002 | −9.990 ± 0.022 | 0.440 ± 0.003 | −4.390 ± 0.040 | 0.375 ± 0.004 | 1.193 ± 0.047 |
| BOX200 | 0.840 ± 0.001 | −9.563 ± 0.013 | 0.358 ± 0.001 | −3.560 ± 0.021 | 0.370 ± 0.003 | 1.302 ± 0.038 |
| BOX200 | 0.865 ± 0.001 | −9.756 ± 0.010 | 0.392 ± 0.001 | −3.868 ± 0.018 | 0.344 ± 0.002 | 1.632 ± 0.026 |
| BOX200 | 1.087 ± 0.000 | −10.004 ± 0.009 | 0.403 ± 0.001 | −4.032 ± 0.018 | 0.352 ± 0.002 | 1.680 ± 0.024 |
Table 3. Best fit for the $M_{\text{fBM}}$ relation considering both all masses and only objects with $M_{\text{BM}}>10^{13}M_\odot$. The $\alpha$ parameter is the slope and $\beta$ is the normalization.

| ID       | All masses | $M_{\text{BM}}>10^{13}M_\odot$ |
|----------|------------|----------------------------------|
|          | $\alpha$   | $\beta$                          | $\alpha$   | $\beta$                          |
| BOX50 $z=0.0$ | 0.026 ± 0.004 | 0.672 ± 0.052 | −0.001 ± 0.060 | 1.015 ± 0.021 |
| BOX50 $z=0.5$ | 0.037 ± 0.004 | 0.560 ± 0.054 | −0.006 ± 0.002 | 1.023 ± 0.001 |
| BOX50 $z=1.0$ | 0.027 ± 0.003 | 0.663 ± 0.044 | 0.022 ± 0.005 | 1.013 ± 0.003 |
| BOX100 $z=0.0$ | 0.100 ± 0.004 | −0.295 ± 0.053 | 0.020 ± 0.013 | 0.976 ± 0.005 |
| BOX100 $z=0.5$ | 0.109 ± 0.002 | −0.371 ± 0.031 | 0.005 ± 0.008 | 0.982 ± 0.004 |
| BOX100 $z=1.0$ | 0.097 ± 0.002 | −0.234 ± 0.028 | 0.018 ± 0.009 | 0.973 ± 0.004 |
| BOX200 $z=0.0$ | 0.107 ± 0.003 | −0.477 ± 0.046 | 0.034 ± 0.005 | 0.936 ± 0.003 |
| BOX200 $z=0.5$ | 0.122 ± 0.002 | −0.640 ± 0.027 | 0.026 ± 0.004 | 0.940 ± 0.002 |
| BOX200 $z=1.0$ | 0.114 ± 0.002 | −0.534 ± 0.023 | 0.030 ± 0.004 | 0.941 ± 0.002 |

Figure 7. Distribution of the maximum absolute value of the magnetic field in filaments for the three simulated volumes at three epochs.

Figure 8. Distribution of the mean volume-weighted magnetic field for each simulated filament for the three simulated volumes at three epochs.

slightly more gently towards smaller volumes, due to the combined effect of physical pressures and resolution effects.

In Fig. 10 we show the average profile of temperature, bolometric X-ray emission ($L_X \propto n^2 T^{1/2}$), average rms velocity and average magnetic field strength for the same objects, as a function of gas over-density. All the quantities show a clear trend with mass since smaller masses correspond to smaller volumes. At the reference value of $n=n_{cr}$ (roughly corresponding to the gas over-density at the virial radius of clusters), filaments are $\sim 10$ times cooler and less X-ray bright than clusters, while smaller filaments are up to $\sim 10^2$ times cooler and dimmer. This is expected because galaxy clusters produce the most energetic shocks, with temperatures higher than those of filaments at all density bins. The distribution displays remarkably similar shapes, stressing once more that self-gravity imposes a similar stratifications to these objects along the direction in which density increases. On the other hand, smaller differences are seen in velocity and magnetic fields, and we find only $\sim 2$–3 times lower typical values in filaments. The flat average velocity of $\sim 200\text{ km s}^{-1}$ in the case of clusters corresponds to subsonic motions ($M\sim 0.6$ at the mean temperature of $\sim 5\times 10^7\text{ K}$), while in the case of filaments the average velocity of $\sim 150\text{ km s}^{-1}$ corresponds to transonic motions ($M\sim 1$) in large mass filaments and to supersonic motions ($M\sim 3$) in the smallest filaments. The predominance of supersonic compressive motions in filaments explains why no dynamo amplification is observed within them, consistent with our results in Vazza et al. (2014). At higher resolution in clusters, instead, the sub-sonic motions are expected to develop solenoidal turbulence and sustain a more significant dynamo amplification (e.g. Ryu et al. 2008), even if the clusters in BOX50 are not sufficiently resolved to develop a significant dynamo (Vazza et al. 2014).
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4 GALAXIES WITHIN FILAMENTS

Our selection procedure for filaments allows us also to analyse the over-dense objects they contain, which are tagged based on a density threshold, as explained in Section 2.2. In Figs 11 and 12 we give two representative examples of how the appearance and structure of a filament change with the density threshold, \( a_{\text{fil}} \). The reference value \( a_{\text{fil}} = 1 \) used to extract the filament properties of the previous sections is in the top-left panel. The threshold increases from left to right and from top to bottom. Velocity vectors are superimposed. The main characteristics of the two objects, identified in our catalogue as filament 107 and 10947, can be found in Table 4. Increasing the value of the threshold, the extended filamentary structure changes progressively into increasingly smaller and higher density spherical blobs, distributed along the spine of the filament. The velocity fields show a rather complex distributions at all scales, with rather random velocity distribution in the proximity of high density peaks and more coherent motions towards the extremes of filaments, connecting to surrounding clusters. The highest density blobs close to the central axis of filaments display large velocity fields and are not at rest with respect to the surrounding filament volume.

The distribution of the blobs mostly marks the location of self-gravitating haloes identifying the location of forming galaxies.

Although the missing baryon physics and low resolution do not allow us to simulate galaxy formation, their statistical and overall physical properties (e.g. their total mass) should be properly captured by our runs. This will be verified in this section by comparing the properties of over dense haloes extracted within our filament those of real galaxies.

For each filament we have extracted the volumes with total (BM+DM) over-density above a given threshold. Only BOX50 has been used, the other two boxes having a spatial resolution too low to cope with objects at the galactic size scale. In order to increase the statistics of objects here we included also a second simulated volume of \((50 \text{ Mpc})^3\) with \(2400^3\) cells/particles (BOX50B), in all identical to BOX50 except for the different random initial conditions. One of the best observational data sets that can be used to compare with the statistics of galaxies in the cosmic web is the Galaxy And Mass Assembly (GAMA) survey, which is a spectroscopic survey of \(\sim 300,000\) galaxies to \(r = 19.8\) mag, across five independent fields for total area of \(\sim 286 \text{ deg}^2\) (Driver et al. 2009, 2011; Liske et al. 2015). Alpaslan et al. (2014a) and Alpaslan et al. (2014b) presented a classification of large-scale structures in three GAMA fields, including the detection of filaments of groups and galaxies, as well as of smaller structures formed by individual galaxies on the peripheries of filaments (‘tendrils’) and galaxies in voids. The method used to generate the GAMA Large Scale Structure Catalogue (GLSSC)
is based on an adapted minimum spanning tree algorithm that is first run on galaxy groups to identify filaments and the galaxies that inhabit them. This population of galaxies is then removed from the data, and a second minimum spanning tree is used to identify tendrils and void galaxies. The groups used to identify filaments are taken from the GAMA Group Catalogue (Robotham et al. 2011), which are themselves identified using a friends-of-friends algorithm that is run in both projected and comoving space, and calibrated on a set of mock galaxy catalogue that match GAMA’s volume and luminosity function (Merson et al. 2013).

The GLSSC catalogue consists of group centres, different size estimates, luminosity and magnitude measurements etc.

The data of GLSSC are compared with those of the haloes extracted within our simulated filaments by our procedure. In Fig. 13 we present the number of galaxy objects as a function of the length of the host filaments. We notice that the lengths are here defined in slightly different ways due to the intrinsic differences in the data sets: in our simulations it is the diagonal of the bounding box of each object, while in GAMA it is defined as the ‘backbone length’, defined as the total distance of the minimum spanning tree links from one end of the filament to the other (Alpaslan et al. 2014a). The blue diamonds represent the objects extracted from the simulation (for an over-density threshold $\Delta = 1000$), while the red crosses show the galaxies coming from the GAMA catalogue. The number of observed and simulated galaxies is consistent in filaments longer than $\sim 10$ Mpc (corresponding to gas masses $\geq 10^{12} M_\odot$), and so is the log–log best-fitting relations computed in these range of length (see straight lines in Fig. 13). Based on these relations, an $\sim 10$ Mpc long filament hosts $\sim 10–30$ galaxies, while an $\sim 100$ Mpc filament hosts $\sim 400–600$ galaxies. In the case of filaments smaller than $\sim 10$ Mpc, both simulated and observed statistics are too strongly affected by systematic uncertainties. The galaxy population of simulated
Figure 12. BM density isovolumes and velocity fields at different overdensities for filament 10947, extracted from BOX50 at $z = 0.0$. Isovolumes are calculated for over-density thresholds $a_{\text{fil}} = 1.0$ (top-left), 2.0 (top-right), 5.0 (bottom-left) and 50.0 (bottom-right).

Table 4. Main physical properties of two sample filaments (ID 107 and 10947) extracted from BOX50 at $z = 0.0$. Columns contain (from left to right) the filament’s ID, its length and volume, the BM and the total mass, the mass averaged temperature and the average, minimum and maximum magnetic field intensity.

| ID    | $L$ (Mpc) | $V$ (Mpc$^3$) | BM $M$ ($M_\odot$) | Tot. $M$ ($M_\odot$) | $\langle T \rangle_{\text{M}}$ (K) | $\langle B \rangle_{\text{M}}$ (G) | $B_{\text{min}}$ (G) | $B_{\text{max}}$ (G) |
|-------|------------|---------------|---------------------|----------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| 107   | 10.36      | 2.71          | $1.58 \times 10^{12}$ | $9.16 \times 10^{12}$ | 586 070.35                        | $2.59 \times 10^{-9}$    | $1.45 \times 10^{-11}$ | $2.12 \times 10^{-8}$ |
| 10947 | 13.25      | 3.16          | $1.91 \times 10^{12}$ | $1.14 \times 10^{13}$ | 342 997.88                        | $1.49 \times 10^{-9}$    | $0.41 \times 10^{-11}$ | $1.37 \times 10^{-8}$ |

Filaments is poorly resolved due to the effects of limited resolution and spurious numerical pressure. Also the results by GAMA are significantly biased in this regime, because of the use of the finite edge length which must be assumed in building the filament catalog (Alpaslan et al. 2014a).

Limited to $\geq 10$ Mpc filaments, we now show in Fig. 14 the total (left) and average (right) stellar mass in galaxies in the GLSSC data set and in the extrapolation of our simulated galaxies. These runs lack the necessary physics to properly simulated galaxy formation (i.e. radiative gas cooling, star formation and star feedback) and therefore we can only extrapolate the stellar mass of our simulated haloes based on other works. Similar to Han et al. (2015), we assumed a scaling between the galaxy stellar mass and total mass within $R_{200}$ of the form:

$$M_* = A M_h \left[ (M_h/M_0)^\alpha + (M_h/M_0)^\beta \right]^{-\gamma} \quad (3)$$
Figure 13. Comparison of the number of galaxies detected in filaments of a given backbone length in the GAMA survey with the corresponding number extracted from BOX50 and BOX50B runs. The vertical line marks the critical length outside which the two data sets show good agreement, while the coloured blue and red lines show the best fit for the log–log regression of both data sets.

with parameters $A = 0.069$, $\log (M_0/h^{-1} M_\odot) = 11.40$, $\alpha = -0.926$, $\beta = 0.261$ and 2.44 as derived by Guo et al. (2010).

The stellar masses from galaxies in the GLSSC catalogue have been adjusted with a linear correction term as in Taylor et al. (2011), which allows correction for the missing flux beyond the finite aperture used to model the observed spectral energy distribution. In this way the stellar masses of all objects are referred to the extrapolated total stellar mass in a Sérsic profile truncated at $10R_e$ ($R_e$ being the half-light radius). The predicted stellar masses from the simulated galaxies are accordingly computed up to $10R_e \approx 4r_s$ (where $r_s$ is the scale radius of the NFW profile of each halo). The comparisons in Fig. 14 show that both data sets are in good agreement within the scatter, across one decade in the length of the host filament. Within the assumed start-to-mass scaling (Han et al. 2015), these results suggest that both the average number of galaxies per filament and the typical mass distribution of DM haloes in simulations and observations are consistent.

Fig. 15 shows the radius (left-hand panel, spherical symmetry is assumed) and mass-weighted average temperature (right-hand panel) of the simulated galaxies versus their total mass. The identified objects have masses that range from $10^9$ to more than $10^{12} M_\odot$, and radii are between 5 and 200 kpc. As it could be expected from the virial theorem, the radius scales almost perfectly with $M^{1/3}$ ($M$ being the mass of the galaxy). The mass-temperature relation is presented in the right-hand panel of Fig. 15, showing three different regimes. For masses bigger than $10^{10} M_\odot$, the mass–temperature relation follows a tight power law with exponent equal to $0.469 \pm 0.008$, close to that of the whole filament. The temperature ranges between $2-3 \times 10^5$ and $5 \times 10^5$ K, significantly higher than those of hosting filaments. At smaller temperatures, the relation flattens to an exponent of $0.122 \pm 0.004$, with temperatures in the range $1-2 \times 10^5$ K, slightly decreasing towards lower masses. A third region is characterized by a larger scatter in the distribution of temperatures, independent of the mass of the host galaxies. A better understanding of the behaviours of the temperature distribution is given by the following analysis.

Fig. 16 shows how the total mass of galaxies and average mass-weighted temperature relate to the total mass of the host filament. Two distinctive features emerge. First, the maximum galaxy mass and temperature increase with the mass of the filament: the most massive and hottest galaxies reside in most massive filaments. The total mass in galaxies presents a remarkably tight correlation with the total mass of the host filament, and represent a roughly constant $\sim 10$ per cent fraction of the total filament mass at all masses. The range of masses and temperatures increases with the filament mass. This is more evident for the temperature, smaller filaments
Properties of filaments

Figure 15. Radius of simulated galaxies (left-hand panel) and average mass weighted temperature (right-hand panel) as a function of their total mass. In the right-hand panel the red and green lines show the best fit calculated for objects below and above $10^{10} \, M_\odot$, respectively.

Figure 16. Total mass (left-hand panel) and average mass weighted temperature (right-hand panel) of the simulated galaxies as a function of the total mass of the hosting filament.

forming galaxies with temperatures between 1 and $5 \times 10^7 \, K$, even when the corresponding mass is of the order of $10^{11} \, M_\odot$. This suggests that the thermodynamic properties of the galaxies, especially at the lowest masses, are strongly influenced by those of the hosting filament. Combined with the previous analysis (Fig. 15), this suggests that galaxies with low masses and high temperatures are the result of a strong interaction between the galactic gas and the one of the host filament, probably during the infall through the strong accretion shocks at the periphery of filaments. In these cases, the gas temperature of the galactic halo is equally set by the combination of its internal pressure equilibrium and of the shock heating by the surrounding environment. For the largest galaxies ($\geq 10^{12} \, M_\odot$) the internal temperature follows the viral relation, showing that these objects are residing close to the spine of the host filament and far from strong accretion shocks. This picture is confirmed by the analysis of the velocity distribution of these galaxies.

Fig. 17 presents the distribution of the average velocity of the gas enclosed in the identified galaxies as a function of the total mass of the hosting filament. We measure an average velocity of galaxies which is similar to that of the host filament. We detected a systematic excess by a factor $\sim 2$ of average velocity of the galaxy population. This is consistent with the fact that accretion shocks are more efficient in thermalizing the infall velocity field of the smooth accreted gas, while the gas close to galaxy haloes can keep a significantly larger velocity inside the filament. Moreover, a large scatter in the velocity of galaxies is observed, and several galaxies have significantly larger relative velocities compared to the surrounding gas. These high velocity objects, which are typically found at the periphery of filaments (Figs 11 and 12), are driving shocks while running into the slower gas within filaments, causing the large temperatures discussed above.

To conclude, we notice that the relation between galaxies and their host filamentary environment is a very open topic, both in observations and simulations. Interestingly, Alpaslan et al. (2015) found that the surrounding environment of large-scale structure determines the stellar mass function of galaxies, but otherwise has a modest impact on the galaxy properties. On the other hand, using a different algorithm to relate the density distribution of galaxies with large-scale structures in GAMA, Eardley et al. (2015) reported that the luminosity function of galaxies is not significantly affected by their large scale structure. While our analysis here supports the view that the gas properties of simulated haloes are significantly affected by the properties of their host filament, our runs lack the necessary small-scale physics to shape the transformation of gas in haloes into stars, and therefore must be taken with caution. In future work we plan to use more complex simulations including radiative cooling, star formation and feedback in order to investigate the internal properties of simulated galaxies in filaments and to estimate the galaxy-to-gas bias in filaments as a tool to better mark the gas distribution of the cosmic web in large surveys of the sky in various wavelengths.
DISCUSSION

5.1 Properties of filaments

Some properties of simulated filaments may depend on numerical effects such as the finite spatial/mass resolution of our runs. The use of our different volumes provides a mean to address these effects.

The most massive and volume-filling filaments are formed in the largest box (BOX200) with fluctuations on larger scales driving the growth of more massive objects. Numerical diffusion opposes the infall of matter and makes structures more diffuse in lower resolution runs, making filaments slightly more volume-filling compared to more resolved filaments of the same mass (Section 3.2). Spatial resolution also affects the temperature of the filaments, leading to increasingly higher average temperature objects as the resolution decreases. Overall, box size and resolution affect the volume/length/temperature versus mass relations in terms of normalization of the different distribution, within a factor $\sim 2$, while the slope of the best-fitting relations is only marginally affected (see Section 3.2). In particular, the mass-temperature relation is compatible with what is expected for virialized cylindrical objects.

The baryon fraction in most filaments is biased low at low resolution, while we find that at all resolutions objects with $10^{13} M_\odot$ are instead more independent of resolution in our runs, and shows no evolution for $z \leq 1$ (see Section 3.2). The magnetic field in filaments shows the largest dependence on resolution effects (see Section 3.3). Our previous MHD results in Vazza et al. (2014a) show that the amplification of magnetic fields in filament very slowly increases with resolution, even if no small-scale dynamo is produced. At increased resolutions, the average magnetic field in filaments shows a slow increase with mass. On the other hand, the maximum magnetic field within filaments is more significantly increased with mass and resolution, consistent with the picture that turbulent flows are better captured by simulations in larger objects and at higher resolution. The predominance of supersonic turbulent forcing (see Section 3.4) makes small-scale dynamo in filaments very unlikely to occur (e.g. Ryu et al. 2008; Vazza et al. 2014).

We have presented how different statistical relations depend on time, analysing the properties of filaments at three different redshift, $z = 0.0, z = 0.5, z = 1.0$. We report only a mild time evolution at $z \leq 1$. The main changes with time are essentially due to the gravitational infall process, which leads to more compact structures. An important role is played by the progressive formation of galaxy clusters at $z \leq 1$, which affects our identification of filaments. The formation of a galaxy cluster at low redshift can break a larger network of connected structures, which are then identified as individual entities.

5.2 Properties of galaxies in filaments

Our simulated population of galaxies in filaments shows a remarkable similarity with the galaxies in filaments identified in the GAMA survey (Alpaslan et al. 2014a). Simulated filaments longer than $\sim 10$ Mpc host the same number of galaxies as observed ones. For a given filament mass the total and the average stellar mass in galaxies is consistent with observations. Also other properties such as masses and radii are compatible with galaxies (or small groups of galaxies).

Although filaments contain a broad variety of galaxies in terms of mass, average temperature and velocity, there is a strong dependence of these properties on the characteristics of the hosting filament. The total mass of galaxies in a filament is nearly a constant fraction ($\sim 10\%$) of the mass of the host filament, and while the internal gas temperature of simulated galaxies mostly depends on the mass of the host galaxy, in a significant fraction of low mass galaxies their internal temperature is linked to that of the host filament via shock heating. This is found in small haloes characterized by large infall velocities on to the host filament, while larger objects are typically found within accretion shocks and move along the spine of filaments (Figs 11 and 12). An important caveat of this analysis is that several assumptions on the star-to-DM mass ratio are necessary both in observations and simulations (Section 4). From the numerical point of view, several important ingredients are missing from our modelling of galaxies, and future work will be necessary to assess their impact on the distribution of
Correlations between the total mass of simulated galaxies and: (a) the total mass of the host filament (top-left); (b) the length of the host filament (top-right); (c) the mass weighted temperature of the host filaments (bottom left) and (d) the mean magnetic field of the host filament (bottom-right). Best-fitting curves are shown as red lines.

The tight correlation between the galaxy population in filaments and the host filament allows derivation of other correlations between the galaxy properties and the properties of the surrounding gas. This is a crucial step that can predict the thermal and magnetic properties of the WHIM on \(10 \sim 100\) Mpc scales, based on optical/IR surveys targeting the stellar mass component of galaxies. In the panels of Fig. 18 we derive for BOX50 the correlations between the total mass of simulated galaxies and: (a) the total mass of the host filament; (b) the length of the host filament; (c) the mass weighted temperature of the host filaments and (d) the mean magnetic field of the host filament. The best fit of the \(\log(Y) = A \log(M_{\text{tot,gal}}) + B\) for the different relations are given in Table 5. For objects with a total mass in galaxies larger than \(10^{11} \, M_\odot\) all correlations are narrow and characterized by a constant scatter smaller than \(\sim 0.5\) dex. Based on the trends with resolutions (Section 5.1), the same correlations should also be extended to larger masses, not contained in the BOX50 run.}

| Relation                  | Parameter $\alpha$ | Parameter $\beta$ |
|---------------------------|--------------------|-------------------|
| $M_{\text{tot,fil}}$     | 0.872 $\pm$ 0.011 | 2.374 $\pm$ 0.125 |
| $L_{\text{fil}}$         | 0.398 $\pm$ 0.014 | $-3.896 \pm 0.163$ |
| $T_{\text{avg,fil}}$     | 0.438 $\pm$ 0.011 | 0.445 $\pm$ 0.135 |
| $B_{\text{avg,fil}}$     | 0.136 $\pm$ 0.013 | $-10.233 \pm 0.150$ |
filaments as a function of the observed properties of galaxies can enable a better prediction of the expected synchrotron emission from the shocked WHIM, to be observed by future radio surveys or with long exposures (e.g. Vazza et al. 2015a,b).

These correlations can be naively interpreted as the result of the obvious fact that larger objects form more galaxies, and that these galaxies form on the high density peaks of the total matter distribution that surround them, which in turn set the thermal properties of the diffuse gas via shock heating. Nevertheless, the fact that the net outcome of the overall star formation within galaxies (a process that ultimately operates on ≲pc scales) correlates so tightly with the average gas temperature on structures up to ≈10^2 Mpc long, highlights the role of self-gravity in organizing the properties of cosmic objects across a tremendous range of scales (≳10^3).

6 CONCLUSIONS

Cosmological filaments permeate the universe and account for a meaningful fraction of its mass. However, their detection is extremely challenging, due to their physical properties, which make them almost invisible at any wavelength. Therefore, it is crucial to find reliable approaches to identify a filament and characterize its main properties through easily detectable tracers. This would not only facilitate the filaments discovery process, but would also give essential hints to tune and optimize instruments and observations finalized to their detection.

Our conclusions can be summarized as follows.

(i) In the redshift range explored (0 ≲ z ≲ 1) the thermal and magnetic properties evolve only mildly, e.g. the average temperature of the most massive objects decreases by a factor ~2 from z = 0 to z = 1 and also the mean and maximum magnetic fields evolve similarly. The length of filaments show a slow increase in this redshift range. This suggests that the properties of cosmic filaments are set already by z ≈ 1, i.e. at a look-back time of ~8 Gyr. This is consistent with the fact that they are objects characterized by a very early formation epoch, and that their dynamical evolution is very slow.

(ii) We find a small impact of the spatial/mass resolution on the global properties of the simulated population of filaments, with a factor ~2 in most cases. As resolution is increased, the thermalization of filaments tends to decrease (due to the weakening of accretion shocks), the average length at a given mass is increased for the formation of more low density branches around filaments, and the magnetic field at a given mass is increased by the enhanced compression within filaments.

(iii) The volume and density profiles of filaments show that the most important quantities (temperature, velocity, X-ray luminosity, magnetic fields) show a remarkable self-similar behaviour across the explored mass range.

(iv) Galaxies provide an effective proxy to characterize the geometric and physical properties of the hosting filaments, facilitating their detection through future observational campaigns. Future simulations including a more detailed description of galactic physics and higher spatial resolution will give even more accurate and comprehensive indications.
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