The acidic activator GAL4–AH can stimulate polymerase II transcription by promoting assembly of a closed complex requiring TFIID and TFIIA
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The assembly of activated RNA polymerase II (pol II) transcription complexes has been investigated by assaying whether pre-assembly of intermediate complexes reduces the extended time required for start-site melting. The results show that a closed complex requiring factors IIA, IID, and the acidic activator GAL4–AH forms in a rate-limiting step. This directs the templates into a productive assembly pathway. Factor TFIIB is then added rapidly, affording further protection against diversion into nonproductive pathways. These events are followed by a series of rapid steps in which the remaining general factors are assembled onto the template, which is then melted using the energy of ATP hydrolysis.
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plex assembly, at which time the general factors are added, but the precise activation step could not be identified. We now turn to a partially purified transcription system to define how an acidic activator stimulates assembly of pol II transcription complexes.

There have been several proposals addressing the steps at which activators intervene and at which point the DNA melts. DNA melting has been suggested to occur early in the pathway, either by the binding of TFIIID (Mizutani et al. 1991), or after binding of both TFIIH and TFIIIB (Ha et al. 1991). Another study identified a copper-phenanthroline-sensitive complex that required a complete complement of general factors to form (Buratowski et al. 1991). We proposed that these and other complexes generated in the absence of ATP are all closed complexes because start-site melting as measured by the permanganate assay has not yet occurred (Wang et al. 1992). Below, we confirm that melting is indeed a very late step in the initiation pathway.

The more critical problem is identifying at which point activator intervenes in the pathway. There have recently been several biochemical studies narrowing the steps at which this might occur (for review, see Lewin 1990; Ptashne and Gann 1990; Carey 1991; Sharp 1991).

Recruitment of TFIIB into a transcription complex containing DNA-bound TFIIID is stimulated by the acidic activator GAL4–AH, perhaps by direct binding to TFIIB (Lin et al. 1991). This was proposed to be the rate-limiting step in the assembly pathway (Lin and Green 1991). In other studies, the involvement of TFIIH was inferred from its ability to contact acidic activators (Stringer et al. 1990; Ingles et al. 1991) that lead to alterations in its interaction with promoter DNA in DNase I footprinting experiments (Horikoshi et al. 1988a, b). Indirect evidence led to the suggestion that activators may facilitate the entry of TFIIA into the preinitiation complex (Meisterernst and Roeder 1991; Meisterernst et al. 1991). Activators are also known to prevent transcriptional repression by nucleosomes (Taylor et al. 1991; Workman et al. 1991) and histone H1 (Crowston et al. 1991; Laybourn and Kadonaga 1991). None of the processes described above requires nucleoside triphosphates, and thus activation in these cases appears to be a preinitiation event as opposed to the type of postinitiation activation that has been proposed for heat shock (Rougivie and Lis 1988) and other genes (for review, see Cullen 1990).

Below, we describe the use of direct kinetic studies and the permanganate open complex assay (Sasse-Dwight and Gralla 1989) to determine the slow step in transcription complex assembly and the step at which activator intervenes to promote this assembly. Our results suggest that the activator promotes, either directly or indirectly, the function of TFIIA. Although this result was unexpected on the basis of the experiments of Lin and Green (1991), it is consistent with both that and the other aforementioned studies. Taken together with other experiments, the results imply that acidic activators and TFIIA assist in converting TFIIID-containing complexes into productive closed complexes, thereby diverting them from less productive assembly pathways.

## Results

### The experimental system

The acidic activator used in this study is GAL4–AH (Lin et al. 1988; Carey et al. 1990a, b). The DNA template is a truncated adenovirus E4 promoter bearing its TATA sequence and 9 tandem repeats of the 17-bp synthetic binding site for the yeast activator GAL4 (Fig. 1A). In a previous study using unfractionated HeLa extract, the acidic activator GAL4–AH stimulated transcription to the same level as GAL4–VP16 on this reporter template (Carey et al. 1990b). GAL4–AH is a stronger transcriptional activator than GAL4–VP16 in the factor reconstituted system at our standard low template concentration of 0.1 nM (possibly because GAL4–VP16 can squelch transcription more efficiently in that system; data not shown).

The general transcription factors and pol II were fractionated using modifications of standard procedures (Dignam et al. 1983; Reinberg and Roeder 1987; Reinberg et al. 1987). TFIIH contained TBP and its associated TAFs. As shown below, one of our fractions contained trace amounts of pol II. We found that the HeLa cell TFIIH fraction could be replaced with recombinant protein in both transcription and open complex assays (Ha et al. 1991). Thus, the experimental system included a GAL4-responsive E4 template, various fractions containing partially pure HeLa transcription factors, and recombinant TFIIH and GAL4–AH, expressed in and purified from *Escherichia coli*. Transcription was measured by a primer extension assay.

Separate fractions containing TFII D, TFIIA, TFII B, pol II, TFII E/F, and GAL4–AH were all necessary for efficient transcription in a standard 1-hr transcription assay (Fig. 1B). The results, however, differed when our assay conditions corresponded to those used to assemble an open transcription complex (Fig. 1C). In this experiment, all of the components were incubated together for 30 min in the absence of ATP to allow assembly of the closed complex. Then all four nucleotides were added for 2 min to restrict transcription to a single round (Y. Jiang and J. Gralla, unpubl.). Significantly less RNA was produced, but more importantly, the system showed little dependence on the pol II fraction (Fig. 1C, cf. lane 6 to lane 2). We believe polymerase is present at very low levels, perhaps in the TFII E/F fraction (Reinberg and Roeder 1987). That amount, however, is apparently sufficient to transcribe the low concentration of template used in our first round transcription assay. The important point is that under conditions that were used to assay open complexes, transcription depended strongly on fractions containing all of the general factors and activator, but only weakly on added pol II.

### Factor requirements for pol II open complex formation

Next, we used the permanganate [KMnO₄] assay (Sasse-Dwight and Gralla 1988, 1989; Zhang and Gralla 1989) to measure open complex formation in our reconstituted system. KMnO₄ reacts selectively with single-stranded
Figure 1. Factor dependence of in vitro transcription. (A) Diagram of the truncated adenovirus E4 promoter, G9E4T (Carey et al. 1990a, b). The multiple start-site region is marked with a bracket. (B) Standard 1-hr transcription with a complete set of general transcription factors or with the indicated factors missing (−). The arrow denotes the transcription signal. (C) Same as B except a one-round transcription assay was used (see text).

Thymines in open complexes formed by pol II, pol III, bacterial RNA polymerases, and vaccinia initiation factors [Sasse-Dwight and Gralla 1988, 1989; Kassavetis et al. 1990; Vos et al. 1991; Wang et al. 1992]. The E4 promoter contains 6 consecutive thymines in the initiation region that can act as start sites [Baker and Ziff 1981]. When the initiation region is melted, these thymines become highly reactive to KMnO₄. The modified residues serve as strong stops in primer extension assays using Taq DNA polymerase [Wang et al. 1992]. We showed previously that these open complexes were functional. Addition of nucleoside triphosphates resulted in the disappearance of the hypersensitivity signal, consistent with polymerase elongating away from the initiation site and the DNA reclosing behind it. In contrast, addition of low concentrations of α-amanitin prevented the disappearance, thereby implicating RNA pol II in the process [Wang et al. 1992].

The thymines in the start-site region of E4 promoter became hyperreactive to KMnO₄ when a complete set of general factors and GAL4–AH were present [Fig. 2, lane 2]. In the absence of GAL4–AH, however, these thymines reacted poorly with KMnO₄ [lane 1], and their reactivities were similar to that of double-stranded DNA [Wang et al. 1992, also see Fig. 3, lane 1]. This result indicates that in a reconstituted system, assembly of detectable pol II open complexes is completely dependent on the presence of activator as is the transcription observed under the same conditions [see Fig. 1B,C].

We have shown previously that the relative level of open complex formation on templates bearing different numbers of GAL4 binding sites parallels the amount of transcription observed on these templates in our standard transcription assay [Carey et al. 1990b, Wang et al. 1992].

When either TFIID, TFIIA, TFIIB, or TFIIE/F were omitted from the complete reaction mixture, no open complex signal was observed [Fig. 2, lanes 3, 4, 5, and 7, respectively]. Thus, we conclude that all the above general factors are required for open complex formation. As expected on the basis of the transcription results shown in Figure 1, open complexes can be seen in the absence of added pol II fraction [cf. lane 6 with lane 2], likely due to a low level of pol II contaminating the factors [detected in the sensitive single-round transcription assay of Fig. 1C]. Therefore, we cannot say for certain if melting requires pol II in addition to all the general factors.

**KMnO₄ probing of intermediate complexes along the initiation pathway**

Next, we probed the intermediate complexes generated by sequential binding of TFIID, TFIIA, TFIIB, pol II, and TFIIE/F to the template [Fig. 3]; GAL4–AH and dATP were present to promote closed complex formation and DNA melting, respectively. Potential intermediate complexes generated by TFIID, TFIIA, TFIIB, and pol II did not generate any open complex signal [lanes 3–6], indicating that only partially assembled closed complexes...
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Figure 2. Requirements for open complex formation. The various reaction mixtures used in Fig. 1C were probed with KMnO₄. The multiple start-site region is marked with a bracket. The arrows indicate the residues at -9 and +17.

Kinetic analysis of assembly of pol II open complex

We showed previously that assembly of the pol II open complex at the E4 promoter in a HeLa extract proceeds through a slow, ~20-min activator-dependent, rate-limiting assembly of a closed complex, followed by a fast ATP-dependent step in which the DNA becomes melted; efficient closed complex formation depended on the activator GAL4-VP16 [Wang et al. 1992]. Thus, both the rate-limiting step and the step facilitated by activator could in principle be any of the assembly steps. To identify the slow and activator-dependent steps in the pathway, we employed kinetic experiments.

In these experiments, we first determined the time required for complete open complex formation in the reconstituted system, beginning with free components. We then determined if preincubation of a subset of factors with the DNA template could reduce this time. Figure 4 shows the open complex signal at various times after initial mixing of all components. These autoradiographs were scanned by densitometry. Taking the level at 30 min as 100%, the open complex was barely detectable at 5 min, ¼ complete at 10 min, and about ¾ complete at 20 min. The quantitation of these and other experiments will be presented below; qualitatively, we used the appearance of the strong 20-min signal as a benchmark of substantial open complex formation. We note that the two bands that depend on the binding of TFII D were fully formed within 5 min, when open complex can form under these conditions. Addition of TFIE/F was required to generate measurable open complexes. We conclude that none of the intermediate complexes expected to assemble under these various conditions are open complexes [lane 7].

Two hypersensitive bands at positions -9 and +17 can be seen in most of the lanes of Figure 3. These bands depend only on the presence of the TFII D fraction [Fig. 3] and can be observed when the template is incubated with the TFII D fraction alone [lane 3], even in the absence of activator [not shown, but see Fig. 2, lane 1]. They do not appear when purified recombinant TFII D is used [Fig. 3, lane 2]. Interestingly, neither band appears in naked DNA, and neither is completely dependent on addition of permanganate. These sites are not within the binding site of recombinant TBP, as measured by DNase I footprinting, but are within that of HeLa TFII D [Buratowski et al. 1988; Horikoshi et al. 1988a,b, Nakajima et al. 1988; Van Dyke et al. 1988]. Thus, they appear to be influenced specifically by the binding of HeLa TFII D, which consists of TBP and its associated factors. These hypersensitive sites may be the result of DNA distortions caused by binding of the multicomponent TFII D complex to DNA surrounding the promoter start site. Chemically sensitive distorted DNA has been observed previously in bacterial repression complexes [Borowiec et al. 1987].
plexes are just beginning to form, demonstrating that this change occurred much more quickly than open complex formation. We used these bands to normalize the amount of open complex in the following experiments.

Next we determined whether preincubation of a particular subset of factors with DNA template could shorten the 20-min time period required to observe a strong open complex signal. During the assembly of complexes in the absence of activator, TFIID, TFIIA, and TFIIB assemble on the template first (Buratowski et al. 1989; Flores et al. 1991). Therefore, we preincubated various combinations of TFIIA, TFIID, and TFIIB in the presence and absence of activator. After 30 min, these assembly reactions were chased with the remaining factors, dATP, and activator (if it was lacking in the preincubation). The goal was to determine if open complexes could now assemble rapidly during this chase; this would occur if the preincubation led to a complex that had already passed the slow rate-limiting step.

Figure 5A shows the results of an experiment in which TFIID (D), TFIIA, and TFIIB (DB) were preincubated in the presence or absence of GAL4–AH for 30 min. Each of these reactions was then chased for 5, 10, or 30 min prior to using the permanganate assay to measure open complexes. Recall from Figure 4 that in the absence of a preincubation, complexes are nearly undetectable at 5 min. The results indicate that preincubation of DA or DAB in the presence of activator leads to significant open complex formation only 5 min after adding the remaining factors (Fig. 5A, lanes 7 and 13). In both cases, the levels of open complex observed after a 5-min chase were comparable to those seen if the chase was allowed to proceed for either 10 or 30 min (cf. lane 7 with lanes 8 and 9, or lane 13 with lanes 14 and 15). Figure 6 shows that a significant amount of open complex can even be observed after only a 2-min chase reaction. In contrast, preincubation of the template with either TFIID (D) alone, or TFIID and TFIIB (DB), did not result in the formation of open complexes after 5 min of chase (Fig. 5A,B). We infer that the TFIID and TFIIA are required to form a complex that can be rapidly converted to an open complex following addition of the remaining complement of factors and dATP.

The experiment in Figure 5 also shows that activator must be coincubated with TFIID and TFIIA for this rapidly converting complex to form. If activator is omitted from the preincubation but included in the chase, no significant open complex forms after 5 min even when preincubation includes DA or DAB (Fig. 5A, lanes 10 and 16). This is not due to slow binding by activator because DNase I footprinting shows that GAL4 derivatives bind completely within 2 min (not shown). We conclude that preincubation of either DA or DAB in the presence of activator has overcome the lengthy rate-limiting step required to assemble the open complex.

We note that preincubation mixtures lacking activator lead to a substantially decreased level of open complexes even after the extended 30-min chase period (Fig. 5). A similar result was observed if TFIIA was omitted from the preincubation. Therefore, it appears that in the absence of activator, the intermediate complexes are diverted into a nonproductive pathway. These cannot be efficiently rescued when activator is added subsequently. This phenomenon might be caused by the tight binding of negative regulatory factors to TFIID that interfere with subsequent assembly of productive complexes (Meinsterernst and Roeder 1991; Meinsterernst et al. 1991).

These and other experiments were quantified by densitometry, and the amounts of open complexes were normalized, by comparing the intensity of the open complex signal to the +17 band that does not vary significantly in intensity and is completely formed at 2 min (Fig. 7). We estimate that the $t_{1/2}$ for the formation of open complexes from free components is 15 min. In contrast, when the DA or DAB complexes are preformed and then chased, open complexes form with a $t_{1/2}$ of 2–3 min. Thus, the DA complex appears to have passed the rate-limiting step, because it can convert to the open complex five times faster than can free components.

Inclusion of TFIIB in the preincubation with TFIIA and TFIID increased the extent of open complex formation but did not measurably change the rate. Activator must be coincubated with DA and DAB for this increase in efficiency to occur. The lack of detectable rate enhancement was to be expected because TFIIB binds to a DA complex (Buratowski et al. 1989), and our experiments show that incubation of TFIID and TFIIA overcome the rate-limiting step. The result indicates that TFIIB plays an important role in protecting the DA complexes from becoming nonproductive, because coincubation with TFIIB leads to more open complexes. We conclude that TFIIB adds rapidly to protect the DA complexes.
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Figure 5. Kinetic analysis of polymerase II open complex assembly after preincubation of a subset of general factors (A, B). The experimental procedure is diagrammed at the top of each lane. Abbreviations: (D) TFIID, (DA) TFIID and TFIIA, (DAB) TFIID, TFIIA, and TFIIB, (DB) TFIID and TFIIB. The presence (+) or absence (−) of factors and GAL4-AH are indicated. The multiple start-site region is marked with a bracket. All lanes in A are from the same experiment except lane 11, which is from a separate experiment performed under identical conditions.

Transcription assays suggest the same rate-limiting step

The open complex kinetic experiments indicate that the rate-limiting step during assembly of a pol II open complex is the activator-dependent assembly of a closed complex requiring TFIID and TFIIA. To correlate these results with productive transcription initiation, we used a single-round transcription assay (as in Fig. 1B) to measure the rate at which transcriptionally competent complexes form. The protocol is identical to the open complex assay, except instead of adding dATP prior to KMnO₄ probing, the four nucleoside triphosphates were added for 2 min before isolating RNA.

Lanes 1 and 2 of Figure 4 show the result obtained in the absence of preincubation. The transcription signal was weak 5 min after mixing and strong after 30 min. This is consistent with results from the open complex assay shown in Figure 8, which demonstrated that few open complexes form at 5 min, whereas many form by 30 min. Preincubation of DA or DAB with activator and template, however, allowed synthesis of a high level of RNA 5 min after the complementing factors were added (lanes 5 and 7), thus mimicking the open complex results. Preincubation in the absence of activator did not lead to formation of rapidly converting complexes (data not shown). The results show that preincubation mixtures including DA or DAB allowed closed intermediate complexes to form that could be rapidly converted into functional transcription complexes.

Discussion

Our results imply that the activator-dependent assembly of a complex requiring TFIID and TFIIA is the rate-limiting step in the assembly of transcriptionally active open promoter complexes (for summary, see Fig. 9). After fractions containing TFIID, TFIIA, and GAL4-AH are preincubated with template, the remaining factors and pol II can add to this preformed complex rapidly and, in the presence of ATP, melt the start-site with a half-time of ~3 min (Fig. 7). This is significantly shorter than the $t_{1/2}$ of 15 min observed for start-site melting beginning with free components. The comparison demonstrates that the rate-limiting step was bypassed by preincubation with TFIID and TFIIA. This rapid formation of open complexes from the preformed presumptive DA complex is paralleled by equally rapid formation of transcriptionally competent complexes [Fig. 8]. Thus, out of the ~15
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**Figure 6.** Preincubation of TFIID and TFIIA with GAL4-AH allows opening in just 2 min. (See Fig. 5 legend for experimental procedures.)

It takes to assemble a functional preinitiation complex in this system, ~80% was consumed by forming a rate-limiting complex that required factors TFIID and TFIIA.

The assembly of the rapidly converting complex also required the activator GAL4-AH. If the activator was absent during the preassembly reaction including TFIID and TFIIA, then a complex that could not be rapidly opened formed, even when the activator was added subsequently. Thus, the activator assists during or immediately after recruitment of TFIIA into a preinitiation complex containing TFIID. This process could be facilitated by direct interaction of activator with either the TBP component (Stringer et al. 1990; Ingles et al. 1991) or the TAF component (Pugh and Tjian 1990; Dynlacht et al. 1991; Tanese et al. 1991) of TFIID, or through the structural changes in the TFIID complex caused by activator (Horikoshi et al. 1988a,b). The requirement for activator at this step, however, does not preclude its involvement at later steps in the assembly pathway, as discussed below.

In addition, the results of Figure 5 also suggest that the activator prevents formation of a complex that is refractory to the assembly of an open complex on addition of the missing components. When partial complexes are assembled in the absence of activator and TFIIA, a full level of open complex formation cannot be attained, even when the missing factors are added subsequently. One likely cause of this is the binding of negative cofactors [Meisterernst and Roeder 1991; Meisterernst et al. 1991]. These factors can compete with TFIID to bind the
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TFIID complex (TBP + TAFs) and repress transcription. Thus the role of activator during the rate-limiting step could be indirect. That is, its binding might favor a conformation of a complex that binds TFIIB and TFIID rather than negative cofactors.

The negative cofactors might represent TAFs for other nuclear polymerases. TBP is now known to be required for transcription by polymerases I, II, and III (Cormack and Struhl 1992; Schultz et al. 1992), and the associated TAFs in each case are thought to be distinct (Timmers and Sharp 1991; Comai et al. 1992). Binding of pol I or pol III TAFs to a pol II-responsive TFIID might lead to inhibition of transcription. The role of activator and TFIID would then be to prevent this binding, thereby promoting formation of a pol II complex. In a related scenario, entire complexes of TBP and TAFs required for transcription by pol I and pol III might be contaminating our TFIID fraction. If all are able to bind a TATA box, then the role of activator and TFIID would be to select the pol II-responsive form and recruit it to the promoter. It is important to emphasize, however, that a biological interpretation of the inhibition results must be regarded with caution because the use of impure fractions might tend to emphasize a process that does not occur in vivo.

The results (Fig. 7) show that addition of TFIIB also prevents diversion to repressed complexes. Thus, once TFIIB is bound, recruitment of TFIIB by activator [Lin et al. 1991] could further protect against competition by negative cofactors [Meisterernst and Roeder 1991; Meisterernst et al. 1991]. The involvement of activator at more than one step could account for its ability to activate transcription synergistically [Meiklejohn and Gralla 1989; Carey et al. 1990a,b].

This model can be used to explain the reported variability in the requirement for factor TFIIB in reconstituted transcription systems [for review, see Roeder 1991]. The systems may vary in the balance between TFIIB and negative cofactors. When the experimental system contains few negative cofactors, then the requirement for TFIIB would be much less because there would be no need to protect against diversion into nonproductive pathways. One study of a TFIIB-independent system (Lin and Green 1991) showed that the same activator used here, GAL4-AH, was required for the recruitment of TFIIB into the preinitiation complex. That result is consistent with this study in that recruitment of TFIIB can precede binding of TFIID, and thus the activator could recruit them both. Alternatively, TFIIB-independent systems may contain TFIID in the TFIID fraction [Meisterernst and Roeder 1991] or TFIIG, which can partially substitute for TFIIB [Sumimoto et al. 1990]. There have not yet been kinetic measurements on systems lacking added TFIID.

Our results indicate that all of the general factors, including TFIID, TFIIB, TFIIB, and TFIIE/F, are required before the DNA can be melted, as speculated previously [Wang et al. 1992]. We do not have conclusive evidence that pol II itself is required for open complex formation, due to the presence of very low levels of contaminating pol II in our system. However, because TFIIF joins the complex during pol II binding [Buratowski et al. 1989] and TFIIE afterwards [Flores et al. 1991], and because the TFIIF fraction is required for open complex formation, pol II should also be required. Because TFIIE binds after pol II/TFIIF [Flores et al. 1991], it is possible that TFIIE is not required to form the open complex. Future experiments will be required to resolve this issue and to determine the roles of the recently identified factors TFIIF [Sumimoto et al. 1991], TFIH, and TFIJ [for review, see Zawel and Reinberg 1992].

It is interesting to note that the activator enters the pathway very early, directly after the promoter is marked by the binding of the TFIIB complex. This may be the earliest step that can provide the diversity necessary for appropriate gene regulation. It has been argued that the promoter should be marked by binding of at least one factor prior to activation to provide a target for the looping of upstream activators [Gralla 1991]. In the step after the promoter is marked, there appears to be a competition between activator-mediated productive complex assembly and negative cofactors [Meisterernst and Roeder 1991; Meisterernst et al. 1991], which divert the complex. This early entry of activator may also allow the establishment of an intermediate transcription complex containing TFIIB that need not be disrupted when the polymerase leaves during transcription. Because assembly of an open complex from a TFIID-TFIIB-activator complex is very rapid, this would allow subsequent
rounds of assembly and transcription to be very rapid, as we have recently observed (Y. Jiang and J. Gralla, unpubl.). Only when activator is withdrawn would rapid transcription cease as the negative cofactors would begin to outcompete TFIIA. These speculations require further investigation into the pathway of open complex formation in the presence of other activators and negative cofactors.

Materials and methods

DNA templates

The plasmid G9E4T has been described previously (Carey et al. 1990a,b). It contains 9 GAL4 binding sites 23 bp upstream of the truncated adenovirus E4 promoter TATA box. The E4 primer was used previously for both transcription and open complex studies. Its 5' end is located -90 bp downstream of the start site and reads the top nontemplate strand of the DNA. The DNA sequence of the region encompassing the E4 start-site promoter (-28 to +18) is as follows: 5'-TATATATAGACTCTCTG-CACCTGGCCCTTTTTACACTGACTGATT-3'. The underlined residues indicate the Ts in the start-site region that become melted in the initiation complex [Wang et al. 1992]. The TATATA sequence at the 5' end represents the E4 TATA box.

Preparation of transcription factors

The general transcription components were purified by the methodology of Roeder (Dignam et al. 1983) with minor modifications. Briefly, 40 ml (250 mg) of HeLa cell nuclear extract ([Dignam et al. 1983] was applied to a 30-ml P-11 (Whatman) column pre-equilibrated in buffer D [20 mM HEPES (pH 7.9), 0.1 mM EDTA, and 1 mM PMSF] containing 0.1 M KC1. The column was then washed with buffer D + 0.1 M KC1 and developed sequentially with steps of buffer D containing 0.3, 0.5 (TFIIB, TFIIE, TFIIF, pol II), and 0.85 M KC1 (TFIID).

The P-11 flowthrough containing TFIIA was applied directly to a 10-ml DE-52 column pre-equilibrated in buffer D containing 0.1 M KC1. The column was then washed with 30 ml of D + 0.1 M KC1 and TFIIA activity was eluted with buffer D + 0.3 M KC1.

The 0.5 M P-11 fraction was dialyzed against buffer D + 0.15 M KC1 and applied to a 10-ml DE-52 column pre-equilibrated in the same buffer. TFIIH activity flowed through the column, and pol II, TFIIE, and TFII F (referred to as TFIIE/F in the text) were eluted with buffer D + 0.3 M KC1. The eluate was dialyzed down to D + 0.15 M KC1, reapplied to DE-52, and eluted again with buffer D + 0.3 M KC1. This removed the residual TFIIH and much, but not all, pol II.

The 0.85 M P-11 fraction containing TFII D activity was dialyzed against buffer D + 0.05 M KC1 and applied to an 8-ml DE-52 (Whatman) column. After washing extensively with pre-equilibration buffer, TFII D was eluted with buffer D containing 0.2 M KC1. The eluate was applied directly to a 3-ml heparin-Sepharose (Pharmacia) column pre-equilibrated in buffer D + 0.3 M KC1. The column was washed with the pre-equilibration buffer and TFII D activity was eluted with buffer D + 0.5 M KC1.

RNA pol II was purified essentially as described (Reinberg and Roeder 1987). Pol II and the factors were dialyzed against 0.5× buffer D + 0.05 M for use in cell-free transcription experiments. TFII B was overexpressed in and purified from E. coli using an expression vector kindly provided by D. Reinberg [Ha et al. 1991]. Six liters of E. coli [BL21(DE3)] harboring the expression vector were grown to an A600 of 0.5, and TFII B expression was induced by addition of IPTG to 1 mM. After 3 hr the cells were harvested, washed in 1 liter of buffer A [20 mM HEPES (pH 7.9) and 0.2 mM NaCl], and resuspended in buffer A containing 20 mM 2-mercaptoethanol and 1 mM PMSF. The cells were then lyzed by sonication, and the insoluble debris was removed by centrifugation at 10,000 g for 10 min. Polyclenylimine [PEI] was added dropwise to the supernatant while stirring gently, to a final concentration of 0.1%. After 30 min at 0°C, the precipitate was removed by centrifugation for 10 min at 10,000 g. Ammonium sulfate (0.2 grams) was added per milliliter of supernatant. After 30 min, the precipitate containing about 40% of the starting TFII B was collected by centrifugation as above. The pellet was resuspended by Dounce homogenization in buffer C [20 mM HEPES (pH 7.9), 1 mM EDTA, 0.5 mM PMSF, 10 μg/ml of leupeptin and pepstatin, 20 mM 2-mercaptoethanol] to a conductivity equal to buffer C + 0.2 M NaCl. Insoluble debris was removed by centrifugation. The supernatant containing about 30% of the original TFII B was applied to a 3-ml P-11 column pre-equilibrated in buffer C + 0.2 M NaCl. The column was washed with buffer C + 0.2 M, and TFII B activity was eluted with buffer C + 0.6 M NaCl.

GAL4-AH was purified as described previously (Lin et al. 1988).

In vitro transcription reactions

The 40-μl mixture contained 10 ng of supercoiled G9E4T template, 200 ng of pGEM-3 carrier DNA, 8 mM MgCl2, 4 μg of BSA, 15 μl of TFII D, 10 μl of TFIIA, 1 μl of TFII B, 1 μl of pol II, and 3 μl of TFIE/F. For transcription assays, a mixture of ATP, UTP, GTP, and CTP was added to a final concentration of 0.5 mM. For open complex assays, a mixture of dATP and α-amanitin was added to a final concentration of 0.5 mM and 1 μg/ml, respectively. All reactions were performed for 1 hr at 30°C.

In these transcription assays, all 4 nucleoside triphosphates were added at the beginning of the incubation. Omitting TFIIA or pol II in the mixture resulted in slightly higher signals than background, but their levels were insignificant when compared to that of a complete set of factors. The basal transcription level is low, usually indistinguishable from the background, the template DNA concentration was 10 ng/40 μl. As a result, our system strongly responded to GAL4-AH for activation. Basal transcription was observed when higher DNA concentrations were used (data not shown).

The 1-hr transcription protocol described above measures RNA produced during multiple rounds of transcription because nucleotide substrates were present during the entire incubation. On the other hand, the KMnO4 assay is different from the transcription protocol in that no nucleotide substrates were added. Therefore, it only measures the open complex generated in the first round. To correlate the transcription protocol and the open complex assay, we revised the transcription protocol to allow the transcription complex to assemble for 30 min, followed by a 2-min incubation with nucleotide substrates. The kinetic experiments showed that the open complex level began to plateau between 20 and 30 min. Thus this protocol measures RNA produced largely from the first round.

In the absence of added pol II, the remaining factors gave a significant amount of transcripts in the one-round transcription assay but not in the multiple-round assay. We speculate that the trace amount of pol II might be inactivated by phosphorylation after the initial round of transcription [Laybourn and Dahmus 1990, Lu et al. 1991], and cannot be used for subsequent rounds. It is also possible that pol II was inactivated by nonspecific
potassium permanganate probing

The potassium permanganate probing of open complexes on the E4 promoter has been described previously (Wang et al. 1992). The following conditions were used for the in vitro probing in this study. Instead of ATP, dATP was used in all experiments to provide the energy for DNA melting. This substitution was carried out because there appear to be endogenous nucleoside triphosphates that support elongation, and consequently result in an decrease in open complex signals: ATP, but not dATP, can be used as an elongation substrate. In our standard procedure, the template was first incubated with the transcription factors for 30 min. Then α-amanitin was added together with dATP for 2 min before KMnO4 probing. α-Amanitin is a pol II elongation inhibitor. It is added to the incubation mixtures to prevent fortuitous escape of polymerase from the open complex in the presence of low concentrations of nucleoside triphosphates that may occasionally contaminate our less pure factor preparations. The contamination is more apparent in crude nuclear extracts (see Wang et al. 1992). Preincubation with α-amanitin does not affect the rate at which the open complexes form. The final concentration of α-amanitin and dATP are 1 μg/ml and 0.5 mM, respectively. The KMnO4-modified template was subsequently purified and analyzed by primer extension as described (Wang et al. 1992).

Kinetic studies using open complex assay

In experiments performed without a preincubation, the template was incubated with all the general factors, including TFIIE through F, pol II, and GAL4-AH for 3, 8, 18, and 28 min. Then, dATP and α-amanitin were added for an additional 2 min before KMnO4 probing. Thus, the overall incubation times are 5, 10, 20, and 30 min, respectively.

In experiments performed with a preincubation, the template was first incubated with a subset of general transcription factors for 30 min in the presence or absence of GAL4-AH. The remaining factors were then added for 3, 8, or 28 min. GAL4-AH was also added this time if it was lacking in the initial incubation. Therefore, all reactions contained a complete set of factors. Subsequently, dATP and α-amanitin were added for 2 min before KMnO4 probing. Thus, the overall times for the second incubation were 5, 10, or 30 min. To get the 2-min time point for the second incubation, dATP and α-amanitin were premixed with the remaining factors. The whole mixture was then added to that from the preincubation for an additional 2 min before KMnO4 probing.

The kinetic experiments were performed using two different preparations of general factors. Each experiment was done at least twice using each preparation. Only the data from the more active preparation of factors are shown. The data from the less active preparation are consistent with our conclusions. The autoradiographs were scanned with a Bio-Rad densitometer. The intensity of the DNA bands from −3 to +3 was divided by the intensity of the +17 band to yield the relative level of open complex formation shown in Figure 8. The error for the lower ratios was negligible, whereas for the higher ratios, the values varied by about 25% for two different experiments. Figure 8 shows a representative data set.
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