Adenoviral Infections in Singapore: Should New Antiviral Therapies and Vaccines Be Adopted?
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BACKGROUND. A number of serious human adenovirus (HAdV) outbreaks have been recently reported: HAdV-B7 (Israel, Singapore, and USA), HAdV-B7d (USA and China), HAdV-D8, -D54, and -C2 (Japan), HAdV-B14p1 (USA, Europe, and China), and HAdV-B55 (China, Singapore, and France).

METHODS. To understand the epidemiology of HAdV infections in Singapore, we studied 533 HAdV-positive clinical samples collected from 396 pediatric and 137 adult patients in Singapore from 2012 to 2018. Genome sequencing and phylogenetic analyses were performed to identify HAdV genotypes, clonal clusters, and recombinant or novel HAdVs.

RESULTS. The most prevalent genotypes identified were HAdV-B3 (35.6%), HAdV-B7 (15.4%), and HAdV-E4 (15.2%). We detected 4 new HAdV-C strains and detected incursions with HAdV-B7 (odds ratio [OR], 14.6; 95% confidence interval [CI], 4.1–52.0) and HAdV-E4 (OR, 13.6; 95% CI, 3.9–46.7) among pediatric patients over time. In addition, immunocompromised patients (adjusted OR [aOR], 11.4; 95% CI, 3.8–34.8) and patients infected with HAdV-C2 (aOR, 8.5; 95% CI, 1.5–48.0), HAdV-B7 (aOR, 3.7; 95% CI, 1.2–10.9), or HAdV-E4 (aOR, 3.2; 95% CI, 1.1–8.9) were at increased risk for severe disease.

CONCLUSIONS. Singapore would benefit from more frequent studies of clinical HAdV genotypes to identify patients at risk for severe disease and help guide the use of new antiviral therapies, such as brincidofovir, and potential administration of HAdV 4 and 7 vaccine.
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Human adenoviruses (HAdVs) cause diverse illnesses that often vary by HAdV species (A–G) and type (>90 genotypes have been described) [1]. Human adenovirus types 3, 4, 7, 21, 14, and 55 have been associated with severe acute respiratory disease [2–5]. Although a number of HAdV vaccine types have been or are under current study, live enteric-coated vaccines against HAdV-B7 and HAdV-E4 have been used with remarkable effectiveness and safety among US military trainees [6].

Clinical epidemiological data regarding HAdV infections are relatively sparse for Southeast Asia (SEA). However, existing data suggest that HAdV-B3 is ubiquitous and more often associated with milder illness when compared with recent HAdV-B7 infections in Singapore, Malaysia, Taiwan, and China [7–14]. Unlike HAdV-B3 and HAdV-B7, outbreaks of HAdV-E4 have not been as commonly reported globally until recently. Upon its discovery in the 1950s [15], HAdV-E4 was largely considered restricted to and controlled by vaccine in the US military population, with rare detections among civilians, such as school children in the Netherlands in 1958 [16], users of a private swimming pool in the USA in 1977 [17], and conjunctivitis patients in Japan in 1979 [18]. More recently, surveillance for HAdV has improved, and evidence of HAdV-E4 infections among civilian populations in the USA, Italy, West Africa, China, Hong Kong, Taiwan, India, Malaysia, and Singapore have been increasingly reported [8, 19–28]. Due to the historical lack of HAdV genotyping surveillance, it is unknown whether HAdV-E4 is a new or re-emerging pathogen in SEA. In an effort to epidemiologically describe clinical HAdV genotypes in Singapore, we used a hexon and fiber genotyping algorithm [29] to retrospectively and prospectively study HAdV infections among patients from 2 large public hospitals in Singapore.

METHODS

This study was approved by the SingHealth Centralised Institutional Review Board (CIRB Reference 2015/2773) to study archived and prospectively collected HAdV-positive specimens.
Retrospective Patient Sample Collection
Clinical samples previously collected from HAdV-positive patients admitted to Singapore General Hospital (SGH) and KK Women’s and Children’s Hospital (KKH) between 2012 and 2015 were preserved at −80°C and transferred to Duke-NUS Laboratory of One Health Research for study. Clinical records were accessed only to determine clinical sample type.

Prospective Patient Sample Collection
From 2015 to 2018, informed consent was sought from all patients with laboratory-confirmed adenovirus infection at SGH and KKH. A patient with laboratory-confirmed adenovirus infection was defined as a person of any age seeking healthcare and who had evidence of adenovirus infection by polymerase chain reaction (PCR), real-time PCR, commercial direct fluorescent antibody (DFA), culture, specific monoclonal antibody assay, or other clinically approved adenovirus tests. The clinical samples (nasal, pharyngeal, nasopharyngeal, throat, bronchoalveolar, blood, urine, conjunctival, or serum) were first clinically screened with commercial DFA or Seeplex RV15 ACE PCR targeting influenza A and B virus, parainfluenza 1–4 virus, respiratory syncytial virus, metapneumovirus, rhinovirus, enterovirus, human coronavirus OC43 and 229E/ NL63, adenovirus, and bocavirus. Residual samples were then preserved at −80°C. Clinical records were reviewed and Specimen Information Forms were completed. Human adenovirus-positive samples were transported on dry ice to the SGH Department of Microbiology where they were divided into two 0.5-mL aliquots (1 kept at SGH and 1 transferred to Duke-NUS’s Laboratory of One Health Research). Human adenovirus-positive patients with an influenza virus codetection were excluded from our study because these samples were required for study at Singapore’s National Public Health Laboratory.

Human Adenovirus Isolation, Deoxyribonucleic Acid Extraction, and Viral Typing
Human adenovirus culture was attempted at Duke-NUS. A 500-μL aliquot of each sample was inoculated into A549 cells with Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium 2% (v/v) fetal bovine serum and incubated at 37°C while controlling for bacterial contamination. Inoculated shell vials were observed for cytopathic effect 72 hours after inoculation and daily afterward for 10 days. Observation of cytopathic effect was used to score HAdV-positive culture.

At Duke-NUS, deoxyribonucleic acid (DNA) was extracted from the culture supernatants of HAdV-positive samples. For culture-negative HAdV samples, DNA was extracted directly from the original clinical sample. Total DNA was extracted using the QIAamp DNA Blood Mini Kit (QIAGEN, Inc., Valencia, CA) and typed using conventional PCR [29, 30] targeting the hexon (predicted amplicon size: 764–896 base pairs [bp]) and fiber gene (predicted amplicon size according to species: A 1444–1537 bp; B 670–772 bp; C 1988–2000 bp; D 1205–1221 bp; E 967 bp; F 541–586 bp). Positive PCR bands were purified using a QIAquick PCR Purification kit (QIAGEN, Inc.) before submission to a local sequencing company (AIIBiotech Pte Ltd, Singapore) for Sanger sequencing.

At SGH, DNA was extracted from clinical samples using the QIAamp MinElute Virus Spin Kit (QIAGEN, Inc.). The hexon gene typing strategy [29] was independently performed, and in-house sequencing was performed using the BigDye Terminator v3.1 Cycle Sequencing Kit (Applied Biosystems) on the ABI PRISM 3130 Genetic Analyzer (Applied Biosystems). Results from the typing efforts at each institution were then compared and the HAdV genotype was confirmed.

Whole-Genome Sequencing and Phylogenetic Analysis
Deoxyribonucleic acid extracted from culture supernatants from 10 culture-positive HAdV samples were sent for whole-genome sequencing at the Duke-NUS Genome Biology Facility. A Qubit High Sensitivity assay was used to measure DNA concentrations (ng/μL) in each sample before library preparation using the Nextera XT DNA library prep kit. Samples were then studied using the Miseq 250 × 2 bp (500 cycle) Nano kit v2. The quality of short NGS reads were initially checked by FastQC and followed by the removal of the Illumina adaptors using Trimmomatic [31]. The trimmed reads were then mapped against the reference genomes (HAdV-C or HAdV-E) using Geneious R9.0.3 (Biomatters Ltd., Auckland, New Zealand).

A total of 10 new adenovirus genomes were generated from this study and compared with all available HAdV-C and HAdV-E sequences of fiber, hexon, and penton base and full genomes from GenBank. For each dataset, multiple sequence alignment was initially performed using MAFFT [32] followed by manual alignment. Gene and full genome phylogenies were initially reconstructed using FastTree [33], and the outliers were removed for subsequent analysis. For HAdV-C viruses, 4 final datasets were further subsampled to 84 fiber sequences (1759 bp in length), 72 hexon sequences (2928 bp), 66 penton base sequences (1725 bp), and 46 full genomes (36 445 bp). For HAdV-E viruses, another 4 final datasets were also subsampled to 46 fiber sequences (1278 bp in length), 39 hexon sequences (2811 bp), 39 penton base sequences (1608 bp) and 37 full genomes (36 397 bp). For each dataset, maximum likelihood (ML) phylogenies were reconstructed using RAxML v8.0 [34], and branch support was assessed using 1000 bootstrap (BS) replicates.

Recombination Analysis of Human Adenovirus Species C and E
To detect potential recombinant events, full genome datasets were analyzed separately for HAdV-C and HAdV-E, because these were the species identified from the subset of isolates (n = 10) that were fully sequenced. Human adenovirus-C datasets consisted of 4 novel genomes from Singapore and
22 representative virus isolates from different genotypes (HAdV-C1, HAdV-C2, HAdV-C5, and HAdV-C6). Human adenovirus-E datasets consisted of 6 novel genomes and 40 representative virus isolates of HAdV-E4. The datasets were analyzed using different methods as implemented in Recombination Detection Program (RDP4) [35]. These methods included RDP, GENECONV, Bootscan, MaxChi, Chimaera, SiScan, and 3Seq and their P values estimated. The breakpoints positions and their major and minor parents were identified for putative recombination strains. For each novel genome, a subsampled dataset was subsequently analyzed in RDP to determine their recombination events. To further confirm detected recombination events, independent phylogenetic analyses were performed using ML method as described above.

Statistical Methods
Epidemiological data were imported and categorized for statistical analyses using STATA, version 15.0 (StataCorp, College Station, TX). Logistic regression was performed to examine HAdV genotype frequencies by time period. Pearson’s χ² and Fisher’s exact tests were used to examine potential risk factors (gender, age group, immunosuppression, and HAdV genotype) for associations with severe disease (prolonged hospitalization, prolonged fever, or intensive care unit admission). Stepwise, unconditional logistic regression using a saturated model and backward elimination (exclusion \( P > .05 \)) was used to further examine these risk factors.

RESULTS
Clinical samples were studied from 533 HAdV-positive patients admitted at either of the 2 hospitals from 2012 to 2018. Partial genome (hexon and fiber) sequencing was attempted on all 533 samples, 458 (86%) of which were successfully genotyped. The most prevalent genotypes identified were HAdV-B3 (35.6%), HAdV-B7 (15.4%), and HAdV-E4 (15.2%) followed by HAdV-C2 (11.8%) and HAdV-C1 (4.9%). See Figure 1 for HAdV genotype frequencies by year.

Retrospective Patients
Among the 300 retrospective cases (245 pediatric and 55 adult), HAdV-B7 was most prevalent from 2012 to 2013, which was consistent with previous literature for Singapore [36].

Prospective Patients
A total of 233 (151 pediatric and 82 adult) prospective HAdV-positive patients provided informed consent, and their samples (89% respiratory) and clinical data were included in the study (Table 1). Twenty-two immunocompromised patients were included in the study and described separately (Table 2). Human adenovirus-B3 (26.2%) was most prevalent among prospective cases, followed by HAdV-E4 (25.8%) and HAdV-B7 (18.5%) (Table 1). Lastly, immunocompromised patients (adjusted odds ratio [aOR], 11.4; 95% confidence interval [CI], 3.8–34.8) and patients infected with HAdV-C2 (aOR, 8.5; 95% CI, 1.5–48.0), HAdV-B7 (aOR, 3.7; 95% CI, 1.2–10.9), HAdV-E4 (aOR, 3.2; 95% CI, 1.1–8.9), or an unknown HAdV genotype (aOR, 5.7; 95% CI, 2.0–16.2) were found to have an increased risk for severe disease (Table 3).

Figure 1. Human adenovirus (HAdV) genotype frequencies among HAdV-positive patients hospitalized in Singapore, by year. Years 2012–2013 represent an adult cohort among which 35 cases have been previously described [13]. Year 2014 represents pediatric cases only. Patient recruitment ceased in March of year 2018. "Unknown" represents samples of which genotyping failed. "Other" represents HAdV-C5, -C6, -B11p, -B21, -B35, -D8, or -D53.
Overall, 396 (74.3%) of the clinical samples were collected from the pediatric population (≤16 years). Among the pediatric cases, the most prevalent genotypes identified were HAdV-B3 (47.0%), HAdV-C2 (14.6%), and HAdV-E4 (13.1%). Among the pediatric samples, 245 (61.9%) were retrospective samples collected from 2014 to 2015, and the remaining 151 (38.1%) were prospectively collected from 2016 to 2018. Pediatric patients hospitalized during 2016–2018 had an increased risk of HAdV-E4 infection (OR, 13.6; 95% CI, 3.9–46.7) and HAdV-B7 infection (OR, 14.6; 95% CI, 4.1–52.0) when compared with pediatric patients hospitalized from 2014 to 2015. Among the pediatric cohort, age <1 year (aOR, 17.9; 95% CI, 1.3–240) and infection with HAdV-C2 (aOR, 23.1; 95% CI, 3.1–173.3) or an unknown HAdV genotype (aOR, 9.8; 95% CI, 1.7–56.3) were risk factors for severe disease (Table 4).

Adult Patients
Overall, 137 (25.7%) of the clinical samples were collected from adults, of which genotypes HAdV-B7 (28.5%), HAdV-E4 (21.2%), and HAdV-C2 (3.6%) were most prevalent. Among the adult samples, 55 (40.1%) were retrospectively collected from 2012 to 2013, whereas the remaining 82 (59.9%) were prospective samples from 2015 to 2018. No significant differences in HAdV genotype frequencies were found between retrospective and prospective adult patients.

Phylogenetic and Genomic Analyses
In 2016, we noticed an increase in HAdV-E4 infections. To learn whether the observed increase might reflect a novel HAdV-E4 strain, 6 HAdV-E4 sample genomes were sequenced (3 from severe and 3 from mildly ill patients). Another 4 HAdV species C samples were chosen for sequencing based on their discrepant hexon and fiber genotyping results between laboratories. Based on the full genomes of HAdV-C (Figure 2), 3 isolates—SG05, SG08, and SG09—belonged to C1 and were strongly monophyletic, with 100% BS support. These new isolates were also sister to a group of HAdV strains from the United States. Contrarily, isolate SG06 was nested within C2 lineage (ML BS = 100%) and closely related with a Beijing HAdV-C2 strain (human/CHN/BJ04/2012) collected from an infant during a HAdV-C epidemic in Beijing in 2012–2013 [37] as well as earlier C2 strains from the United States isolated from 1992 to 2012.
2004. It is notable that SG06 was isolated from a 2-year-old patient requiring oxygen therapy. Hexon, fiber, and penton base topologies (Supplemental Figures 1 and 2) indicated that isolates SG05 and SG09 were most closely related, with strong BS support (87%, 88%, and 99%, respectively). However, penton base phylogeny (Supplemental Figure 2) demonstrated that isolate SG08 was related to C2 lineage, although this lacks statistical support, as opposed to C1 based on full genomes. More notably, the penton base phylogeny demonstrated that isolates SG05 and SG09 were closely related to C2 and C6. Clinical descriptions of the Singapore HAdV-C isolates and their GenBank accession numbers can be found in Supplemental Table 1 and Figure 2.

In contrast to HAdV-C, the full genomes of HAdV-E (Figure 3) clearly indicated 6 new isolates (SG01, SG03, SG04, SG07, SG10, and SG11) from Singapore grouped within E4, with strong BS support (ML BS = 100%). These results were concordant with fiber and penton base phylogenies (Supplemental Figures 3 and 4), indicating that these E4 isolates were genetically identical (100% in both genes) and appeared to be clonal. However, the HAdV-E hexon phylogeny (Supplemental Figure 3) indicated that the 6 E4 isolates were relatively segregated, despite being largely monophyletic. These 6 isolates also exhibited 99.8%–100% nucleotide similarities, suggesting that some genetic variations existed among them. Clinical descriptions of the HAdV-E isolates and their GenBank accession numbers can be found in Supplemental Table 1 and Figure 3.

Global mutational analysis using Genome Mutation Mapper ([GMM]; unpublished beta software) was then used to investigate nucleotide-level variations (single-nucleotide polymorphisms and insertions/deletions) between the 6 HAdV-E4 isolates (SG01, SG03, SG04, SG07, SG10, and SG11) and a 2002 HAdV-E4 reference field strain [38]. Based on the
GMM results, SG01 and SG10 are clones, “lineal” to SG04 and SG07, which are clonal. Clones SG01 and SG10 are also lineal to SG03, which is lineal to SG11. It is notable that SG11 has both an insertion and a deletion near the 30.7-kDa gene.

In addition, to provide nexus with the earlier reports of HAdV-E4 in the literature and to compare genome types [5, 38], in silico restriction enzyme fragment analyses were performed using SnapGene (version 4.3), revealing that SG03 and SG11 are novel separate genome type variants, with differing DraI and BamHI patterns and given designations “4a1 DraI/BamHI v1” and “4a1 DraI/BamHI v2”. The others are genome typed as HAdV-E4a1. These patterns support the lineages described by the GMM results. For reference, the 2002 HAdV-E4 field strain is genome type HAdV-E4a1[5], and the patterns for the prototype strains differ from the HAdV-E4a strains across all restriction enzymes applied, with one exception, a match of HAdV-E4p MIL and the HAdV-E4a strains using EcoRI.

**Recombination Analyses**

Our RDP analyses detected recombination events in 4 new HAdV-C isolates from Singapore. Recombination analysis of SG05 isolate clearly identified 2 parental strains (BJ04 and C1), and the estimated breakpoint was located at the position 17874 (Supplemental Figure 5A, Supplemental Table 2). To confirm this result, phylogenetic analysis was independently performed for the gene regions before and after the breakpoint. The ML topologies (Supplemental Figure 5B) indicate that SG05 recombinant was descended from C1, but it also comprised genetic components from the BJ04 recombinant strain. The BJ04 strain was crucially important [37] because it has been shown to bear gene sequences from 3 different genotypes: HAdV-C1 at (position 1–18076, comprising E1A, E1B, penton base), HAdV-C2 (18077–33397, comprising hexon and fiber), and HAdV-C6 (33398–end, comprising the E4 gene region). The E4 region of SG05 recombinant also contained C6 because this region was recombined with the BJ04 strain. Another recombinant, SG09, was found to be almost identical (99.9% nucleotide identity) to SG05, therefore they both displayed the same breakpoint location (Supplemental Table 2) and RDP graph (data not shown) as well as the phylogenetic position as expected (Supplemental Figure 1). These findings indicate that SG05 and SG09 isolates were recombinants of C1 and BJ04 parental strains.

Recombination analysis of isolate SG06 (Supplemental Figure 6A) suggested that this strain was recombinant of C2 and BJ04 parental strains, although the SG06 backbone was largely derived from C2. The ML phylogenies (Supplemental Figure 6B) confirmed, with strong BS support (ML BS = 100%), that sequence position 12502–35973 of SG06 isolate was nested within C2, whereas sequence position 7536–12501 of SG06 isolate was closely related with the BJ04 and BJ09 strains. In contrast, the
RDP analysis of isolate SG08 (Supplemental Figure 7A) displayed the parental C1 subtype as the main backbone, although recombination with C6 was found towards the end of the full genome. The RDP results were also concordant with the 2 ML phylogenies that are incongruent with each other (Supplemental Figure 7B). All recombination results were statistically significant \((P < .05)\) (Supplemental Table 2). In marked contrast, no recombination events were detected in the 6 HAdV-E isolates.

**DISCUSSION**

This is the first large-scale study to systematically describe clinical HAdV genotypes in Singapore. Our results highlight the prevalence of HAdV-B3 and an increase in morbidity due to HAdV-E4 and HAdV-B7 pediatric infections in Singapore. More important, patients with HAdV-C2, HAdV-E4, and HAdV-B7 were more likely to have severe disease. Hence, it seems prudent for public health officials and clinicians to consider using antiviral therapies and HAdV vaccines in Singapore. For instance, brincidofovir, an experimental antiviral therapy, is being used in the USA against severe HAdV infections with good outcomes \([39–41]\). In addition, a very effective “Adenovirus Type 4 and Type 7 Vaccine, Live, Oral” has been used among US military personnel since 1971. The new version of this vaccine, used since 2011, has resulted in a dramatic decline of respiratory infections among US military recruits \([42]\).

Given the lack of HAdV surveillance in SEA, it is unknown whether HAdV-E4 is a new or re-emerging pathogen in the region. The high prevalence of HAdV-E4 infections identified in our study is intriguing. The HAdV-E4 genes and genome have been shown to be almost identical to several chimpanzee adenoviruses, including the SAdV-E25 genome at 91% and the SAdV-E26 at 92%, with the 1952 prototype as reference \([38, 43]\). These values are consistent with HAdV-E4 being the only HAdV amongst several chimpanzee adenoviruses phylogenetically grouped within HAdV species E, supporting a zoonotic origin, consistent with current hypotheses that cross-species infections may lead to the emergence of novel human pathogens \([44]\).

**Table 4. Risk Factor Associations for Severe Disease Among Prospectively Studied HAdV-Positive Pediatric Patients Hospitalized in Singapore, 2016–2018**

| Risk Factors        | Total | Severe Disease\(^a\) | Unadjusted OR (95% CI) | Adjusted\(^b\) OR (95% CI) |
|---------------------|-------|----------------------|------------------------|-----------------------------|
| **Gender**          |       |                      |                        |                             |
| Female              | 57 (37.8) | 9 (31.0)               | Reference              | --                          |
| Male                | 94 (62.2) | 20 (69.0)              | 1.4 (0.6–3.4)          | --                          |
| **Age Group**       |       |                      |                        |                             |
| <1 years            | 9 (6.0)     | 4 (13.8)               | 13.6 (1.2–151)         | 17.9 (1.3–240)              |
| 1–5 years           | 115 (76.2) | 24 (82.8)              | 4.5 (0.6–35.4)         | 4.9 (0.5–46.4)              |
| 6–10 years          | 18 (12.0)   | 1 (3.4)                | Reference              | Reference                   |
| 11–16 years         | 8 (5.3)     |                        |                        |                             |
| **HAdV Genotype**   |       |                      |                        |                             |
| C1                  | 4 (2.6)     | 1 (3.4)                | 2.8 (0.3–31.7)         | 2.9 (0.3–32.4)              |
| C2                  | 6 (4.0)     | 4 (13.8)               | 17 (2.6–113.3)         | 23.1 (3.1–173.3)            |
| B3                  | 57 (37.7)   | 6 (20.7)               | Reference              | Reference                   |
| B7                  | 32 (21.2)   | 8 (27.6)               | 2.8 (0.9–9.1)          | 2.8 (0.9–9.3)               |
| E4                  | 38 (25.2)   | 5 (17.2)               | 1.3 (0.4–4.6)          | 1.6 (0.4–5.9)               |
| Unknown\(^c\)       | 10 (6.6)    | 4 (13.8)               | 5.7 (1.2–26.0)         | 9.8 (1.7–56.3)              |
| Other\(^d\)         | 4 (2.6)     | 1 (3.4)\(^e\)         | 2.8 (0.3–31.7)         | 4.3 (0.3–55.3)              |

Abbreviations: CI, confidence interval; HAdV, human adenovirus; OR, odds ratio.

\(^a\)Prolonged hospitalization, prolonged fever, or intensive care unit admission.
\(^b\)After stepwise logistic regression using saturated model and backward elimination of covariates with \(P > .05\).
\(^c\)Genotyping failed.
\(^d\)HAdV-C5, -B11p, or -D8.
\(^e\)HAdV-C5.
\(^f\)HAdV-C5.  

Downloaded from https://academic.oup.com/jid/article/221/4/566/5576003 by guest on 14 April 2022
Figure 2. Evolutionary relationships of full genomes of human adenovirus (HAdV)-C, inferred by the maximum-likelihood method with the GTR+GAMMA model in RAxML. Colored branches represent viruses isolated from different genotypes. Red isolates denote new isolates collected from patients in Singapore. Bootstrap support values greater than 50% are displayed at major nodes. The scale bar indicates the number of nucleotide substitutions per site.
outside the US military basic trainee populations [20, 48–50].
The recombination event is likely a recent one, with the earliest
appearance in 1978 (KX384956 V0014/France/1978) as noted
in a resurvey [23] of 2 sets of recently released genomes that
include archived and currently circulating military and ci-
vilian HAdV-E4 isolates [20, 49], and it may be important as an
evolutionary relationship of full genomes of human adenovirus (HAdV)-E, inferred by the maximum-likelihood method with the GTR+GAMMA model in RAxML.
Red isolates denote new isolates collected from patients in Singapore. Bootstrap support values greater than 50% are displayed at major nodes. The scale bar indicates the number of nucleotide substitutions per site.

Figure 3. Evolutionary relationships of full genomes of human adenovirus (HAdV)-E, inferred by the maximum-likelihood method with the GTR+GAMMA model in RAxML. Red isolates denote new isolates collected from patients in Singapore. Bootstrap support values greater than 50% are displayed at major nodes. The scale bar indicates the number of nucleotide substitutions per site.

out of human HAdV-E4 isolates [20, 49], and it may be important as an
example of a molecular evolution pathway by which zoonosis
may be a source of emergent human pathogens [44].

Our RDP analyses detected separate recombination events in each of our 4 HAdV-C isolates, which suggests the frequent exchange of genetic components among different genotypes. SG05, SG09, and SG06 were shown to be recombinants of a
CONCLUSIONS

Our study demonstrates how HAdV genotyping can be performed in a clinical setting and can be useful in detecting novel HAdV incursions. For Singapore and other countries considering new HAdV treatment and control measures, we strongly recommend periodic but routine HAdV genotype surveillance with a goal of collecting the HAdV prevalence data necessary to make informed decisions.
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