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**ABSTRACT**

Although afforestation is one of the best solutions for combating desertification, it requires intensive management. To minimize management efforts in plantations in arid area, it is crucial to select suitable tree species, i.e. those with a high adaptability to xeric environments. In this study, we investigated key tree traits related to drought adaptation in *Populus sibirica* Hort. ex Tausch and *Ulmus pumila* L., planted in the Korea-Mongolia Green Belt Plantation in Lun soum, Mongolia. We found that *U. pumila* had a smaller leaf size and smaller specific leaf area (SLA) than *P. sibirica*. In addition, the water use efficiency (WUE) of *U. pumila* (carbon isotope-based) was significantly higher than that of *P. sibirica*. Although biomass production was ~2.5 times higher in *P. sibirica* than in *U. pumila* due to the differences in leaf area, there were no statistically significant differences in the photosynthetic characteristics on an area basis. These results indicate that *U. pumila* has a higher adaptive potential to dry conditions than *P. sibirica* due to its small leaf size, low SLA, and high WUE.
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**Introduction**

The most common methods for combatting desertification are afforestation and reforestation (Miao et al. 2015; Yan et al. 2015; Fan et al. 2016). However, many afforestation and reforestation efforts, especially large-scale efforts, have been unsuccessful (Choi 2004; Cao 2008; Wang et al. 2010). In addition, improper afforestation can induce unwanted environmental problems (e.g. increased water consumption that may lower the ground water table, reduce water yield, and diminish soil moisture) (Yi and Wang 2013; Cao et al. 2016; Lu et al. 2018). These issues are mainly caused by lack of clear objectives, inadequate management practices, and species selection (Cao S et al. 2011; Cao et al. 2014; Qu et al. 2014). Appropriate species selection is key to the success of afforestation efforts and is especially important for the sustainability of the plantation, which requires long-term monitoring (Cao et al. 2009; Jacobs et al. 2015; Lu et al. 2018). Afforestation species are frequently selected based on their growth capacity, i.e. for fast establishment and quick recovery of degraded areas (Padilla et al. 2009; Zhang et al. 2016). However, although these fast-growing tree species generally grow well at first, some are not able to maintain their fast growth as soil water is depleted and when their water use exceeds the supply of the environment (Cao SX et al. 2011). To avoid these problems, there has been an increased interest in and use of slow-growing species that are less water-demanding in the afforestation or restoration of desert areas (Liang et al. 2006; Chazdon 2008; Padilla et al. 2009; Cao S et al. 2011; Jacobs et al. 2015; Lu et al. 2018).

For successful restoration, it is necessary to consider whether the planted trees have species-specific adaptive strategies to drought-stressed environments. For instance, xeromorphic traits, such as the small leaf size or specific leaf area (SLA, or its reciprocal, leaf mass per area) can help overcome extremely dry conditions (Haworth and McElwain 2008). In addition to morphological traits, changes in plant stomatal conductance (g\(_s\)) are considered the main physiological mechanisms to regulate leaf transpiration-induced leaf water deficit (Farquhar and Sharkey 1982) and to avoid irreversible damage in the plant hydraulic system (Chaves 1991; Medrano 2002; Pivovaroff et al. 2016). Eventually, the reduction in g\(_s\) in a prolonged water-stressed condition leads to a decrease in photosynthesis (Chaves 1991). Interestingly, however, certain biochemical property in the leaves can improve photosynthetic capacity at given values of g\(_s\) by partially compensating the reduction of photosynthesis due to the stomatal limitation (Wright et al. 2001; Hinojo-Hinojo et al. 2018). These key measurements of photosynthetic capacity include the rate parameters: the...
maximum rate of carboxylation ($V_{Cmax}$) and maximum rate of electron transport ($J_{max}$) (Farquhar et al. 1980; Wullschlegger 1993). Thus, the improvement of these photosynthetic properties could increase the intrinsic water use efficiency (WUE), which is estimated as the ratio between maximum photosynthetic rate ($A_{max}$) at $g_c$, even though the $g_c$ reduces access to CO$_2$ when the plants are drought stressed (Medranò et al. 2009).

Especially in water-limited environments, plants should minimize water loss while maximizing carbon uptake to optimize their water-use strategies (e.g. Galiano et al., 2011; Adams et al., 2013; Mitchell et al., 2013). For this reason, water use efficiency (WUE) is an important indicator of plant adaptability and sustainability, especially under drought stress. The relationship between WUE and drought tolerance is, however, more difficult to establish and thus to use in the selection of species for afforestation or reforestation efforts in arid regions. Some researchers have proposed that drought-tolerant species with high WUEs are more beneficial than fast-growing species with lower WUEs under water-limited conditions (Fischer and Turner, 1978; Ehleringer and Cooper, 1988). In contrast, other researchers have argued that drought-tolerant species should have low WUEs because they have conservative morphological and physiological strategies that result in low photosynthetic rates than those of species with high WUEs (Delucia and Schlesinger, 1991; Miller et al., 2001). Consequently, for sustainable conservation, it is necessary to understand the various responses, mechanisms, and strategies underpinning tree species, especially those used (or may potentially be used) for afforestation and reforestation.

*Populus sibirica* Hort. ex Tausch and *Ulmus pumila* L. are the two most commonly planted species used to combat desertification (i.e. used in afforestation or restoration efforts) in Mongolia (Jo and Park, 2017). *Populus sibirica* is widely used for its high productivity, re-sprouting capability, and easy vegetative propagation, but it requires a relatively higher water supply due to its rapid growth (Rhodenbaugh and Pallardy, 1993; Isebrands and Richardson, 2014). On the other hand, *U. pumila* is considered to have higher drought resistance due to its natural existence in the Mongolian desert, but it shows slow growth (Hilbig, 1995). Despite their frequent use as restoration species, *P. sibirica* has not been well studied after its introduction from Russia in the 1940s; and similarly, *U. pumila* has been reported in only a few studies conducted in the forest steppes of Mongolia (Dulamsuren et al., 2005a, 2005b; Dulamsuren et al. 2009). In this study, we compared two common afforestation species, *P. sibirica* and *U. pumila*, planted in the Korea-Mongolia Green Belt Plantation in Lun soum, Mongolia, in 2008. We tested the following hypotheses: (1) the leaf morphology (leaf size and SLA) of the fast-growing *P. sibirica* is larger than that of *U. pumila* and demonstrates less seasonal variation; (2) under drought stress, *P. sibirica* accumulates more biomass by maintaining a higher $g_c$ and $A_{max}$; and (3) the WUE of *U. pumila* is higher than that of *P. sibirica*. We tested these hypotheses by comparing the morphological and physiological differences, stomatal regulation behavior, WUEs, and the discrimination of the C isotope ($\Delta^{13}$C) of these species. Leaf size and SLA were used to investigate the morphological characteristics and the $A_{max}$, $V_{Cmax}$ and $J_{max}$ photosynthetic traits were used to investigate the physiological characteristics. To test the physiological adaptations to drought conditions, we compared the WUE and $\Delta^{13}$C. Finally, we assessed how the species-specific strategies related to the aboveground biomass (AGB) increments by estimating the growth of *P. sibirica* and *U. pumila* during the growing season.

**Materials and methods**

**Site description**

The study site was located at the Korea-Mongolia plantation in Lun soum, Tov aimag: 135 km west of Ulaanbaatar, Mongolia (Figure 1). It is located at 47°51’27.1”N, 105°8’51”E, with an elevation of 1130 m. The area is in a semi-arid region, with an average temperature of 0.6 ± 0.45°C, a growing season temperature of 16.29 ± 0.41°C (May–September), and an average annual precipitation of 206.62 ± 22.45 mm from 1989 to 2013 in Lun station, Mongolia (NAMEM, 2013). Summer precipitation occurs between June and August, and accounts for 80–90% of the total annual rainfall. The mean annual potential evapotranspiration was 752.12 ± 30.68 mm; during the growing season of the Mongolian Plateau grassland from 1981 to 2013, it was 565.38 ± 26.94 mm (Cao et al. 2018).

**Study plots and growth measurements**

The study plots were located inside the plantation, in a 50 ha area (1000 m x 500 m). The trees were planted within a 20.88 ha area and the rest of area was left for agroforest use. Three-year-old *P. sibirica* and *U. pumila* (total n = 27,348 trees), were planted in alternating lines in 2008. The distance between rows and the planting distance between trees were 4 m and 2 m, respectively (Figure 1). Trees were subjected to one of two irrigation regimes: 2L (= 0.25 mm m$^{-2}$) per hour and 4 L (= 0.5 mm m$^{-2}$) per hour. Irrigation was performed once every 6 days, from mid-May until September 2014 (total n = 30 irrigation events), the last of which occurred on September 28. The duration of irrigation was 6 hours, during which time 2 L or 4 L water per hour was provided through drip irrigation. Sixteen trees were selected from each species (total n = 32 trees), with eight trees selected per irrigation regime for each species.

The diameter and height of trees were measured at the beginning (July 7, 2014) and end (October 27, 2014) of the study period for biomass estimates. Tree diameters were measured twice per tree at 5 cm above the ground surface, in the north-south and east-west directions, using a Vernier caliper (Absolute Digimatic Caliper, Mitutoyo, Kanagawa, Japan; 0.01 mm precision). Tree height was measured using a steel tape.
The heights and diameters for each treatment are listed in Table 1. There was an increasing trend in the tree heights and diameters when the irrigation quantity increased for *P. sibirica*, while those of *U. pumila* showed the opposite trend, i.e. their heights and diameter decreased with increased irrigation. However, no statistical differences in diameter and height growth were detected between the two irrigation regimes for both species (minimum $p$-value $= 0.11$). Furthermore, no statistically significant differences were detected in the morphological and physiological traits between irrigation regimes. Therefore, we used the combined data from the two irrigation regimes to increase the statistical confidence and power of the analysis.

### Environmental variables

Meteorological data were measured from July to September and included air temperature ($T_a; ^\circ C$), relative humidity (RH; %), volumetric soil water content ($\theta; \%$), photosynthetically active radiation ($Q; \mu\text{mol} \text{ m}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$), and precipitation (mm). The $T_a$ and RH were measured using a HOBO Micro Station (H21-002, Onset Computer Corporation, Cape Cod, MA, USA). $Q$ was measured using a Li-Cor 190 (Campbell Scientific Inc., Logan, UT, USA). The instruments were installed on a 7 m high tower. For data validation and accuracy, we also used $T_a$, RH (HMP155, Vaisala, Helsinki, Finland), and precipitation (TE525MM, Texas Electronics, Dallas, TX, USA) data measured at an eddy covariance tower 1500 m away from our study plots. The $\theta$, up to a depth of 30 cm, was measured using a CS616 (Campbell Scientific Inc.). Three sensors were used for each tree species and three additional sensors were installed on bare land to determine the effects of irrigation. All the environmental variables were recorded using a data logger (CR1000, Campbell Scientific Inc.), that collected data at 15 s intervals and recorded after averaging 30 min of data. Due to power loss, $Q$ data were not available from day of year (DOY) 182 to DOY 191 and $\theta$ data were not collected from DOY 210 to DOY 221. The error data that were received from several soil moisture sensors were corrected by regression with the data from the other sensors.

### Leaf characteristics

To measure leaf size and SLA, leaves from the 32 sampled trees were collected three times: on DOY 207, 221, and 249. In total, 10 to 12 leaves were collected from each sampled tree at various heights, from the south-facing canopy. The leaves were imaged using a scanner (HP Laserjet M1132 MFP, Boise, ID, USA) at 600 dpi resolution and processed by MATLAB (R2014b; Math Works Inc., Natick, MA, USA) to calculate leaf size. Leaf weights were measured to the 0.001 g using a
scale (Discovery Semi-Micro and Analytical Balance, Ohaus Corp., Switzerland), after being dried in a convection oven (OF-11E, Jeio Tech., Republic of Korea) at 65 °C for 3 days. The SLA (cm$^2$ g$^{-1}$) was calculated from the dry leaf weight (g) divided by the leaf size (cm$^2$).

**Photosynthesis measurements and intrinsic water use efficiency (WUE)**

The rate of photosynthesis was determined using a portable photosynthesis measurement system (LI-6400, Li-Cor Inc., Lincoln, NE, USA), equipped with 2 × 3 cm$^2$ red and blue light source chambers (red + blue 6400-02B, Li-Cor Inc.). To measure the light response curve of photosynthesis, the radiation was adjusted from 1500 μmol m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ to 0 μmol m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ in 13 different intensity levels. The sample CO$_2$ concentration, [CO$_2$] in the chamber was controlled at 400 μmol mol$^{-1}$ in 14 concentration levels. The leaves used for measurements were selected based on locations in the canopy (between 1 m and 2 m, and south-facing), and their similar sizes and quality, and if they were fully unfolded. The leaves were measured after they were stabilized in the chamber environments, which were controlled to maintain a temperature of 25 °C and 55–65% humidity. Six leaves were chosen from the samples of each study species (P. sibirica and U. pumila) and monitored throughout the study period. The first and second measurements were conducted from DOY 209 to 217, and from DOY 244 to 253, respectively. $A_{\text{max}}$ was determined as the value of the photosynthesis reaction curve at 1000 μmol m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$. $V_{\text{cmax}}$ and $J_{\text{max}}$ were estimated from the acquired CO$_2$ response curves following Sharkey et al. (2007).

WUE$_i$ (μmol CO$_2$ mol$^{-1}$ H$_2$O), or the quantity of absorbed CO$_2$ (μmol CO$_2$ m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$) per unit of water consumption (mol H$_2$O m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$), was estimated independently from the leaf gas exchange measurements by using the photosynthetic rate at the light saturation point. WUE$_i$ was calculated as the ratio of $A_{\text{max}}$ to $g$. and Life Science, Seoul National University in Korea for the carbon isotope analyses. The composition of the carbon isotopes was analyzed using a mass spectrometer (Isotope Vision-EA, Micromass, Manchester, UK) coupled with a CNS analyzer (Elemental group, Hanau, Germany). Carbon isotope ($\delta^{13}$C) composition was calculated as follows:

$$\delta^{13}C \, (\text{‰}) = \frac{R_{\text{sample}}}{R_{\text{standard}}} - 1 \times 1000 \quad (2)$$

where $R_{\text{sample}}$ is the abundance ratio of $^{13}$C/$^{12}$C in the sample plant, and $R_{\text{standard}}$ is the Pee Dee Belemite standard (PDB, 0.0112372‰) for carbon. The accuracy of measurements was assessed using multiple replicated analyses, which indicated that the standard deviation for the $\delta^{13}$C measurement was $<0.1$‰. The carbon isotope discrimination ($\Delta^{13}$C) was calculated from the acquired $\delta^{13}$C value, following Farquhar et al. (1989).

**Aboveground biomass**

The aboveground tree biomass (AGB, kg) data were obtained using the allometric equations developed from harvested branches. Five trees of *P. sibirica* and *U. pumila* were sampled from near the experimental site when the leaf area of each species had reached its maximum. Branches ($n=10–15$) were collected evenly from throughout the crown each individual tree, which resulted in a total of 98 and 102 branches for *P. sibirica* and *U. pumila*, respectively. The sample collection progressed from the lower to the upper branches to ensure that the size of the branches was the evenly distributed over the entire tree. Branch diameter (measured at 1 cm from point of insertion in the north-south and east-west directions, and twice in each direction), length, and its height (position) on the tree were recorded. After harvested branches were transported to the lab, the leaves were separated from the branches and dried in a convection oven (OF-11E, Jeio Tech.) at 65 °C for 3 days. After drying, the dry weight of the leaves and branches were measured to 0.001 g (Discovery Semi-Micro and Analytical Balance, Ohaus Corp.). Allometric equations for branch diameter and the respective leaf biomass were developed for each species. We tested some power equations (with the diameters of the studied trees) using the most reliable models, based on $R^2$, and scaled them up from the sample trees to all trees. Stem biomass (SB) was calculated using the cylinder formula. Stem volume was converted to dry weight using the equation:

$$SB = \pi \times \left( \frac{DRC}{2} \right)^2 \times \text{tree height} / 3 \times \text{DEN} \quad (3)$$

where DRC and DEN denote the diameter root collar and the wood density, respectively. Finally, AGB was calculated as the sum of SB, total branch, and leaf biomass of each measured tree. Allometric equations for AGB were developed using simple linear regression with DRC as the independent variable (Rawat and Singh 1988). The allometric equations used were:

$$\text{AGB}_{P\text{sibirica}} = 171.19 \times \text{DRC} - 7808.4 \quad (R^2 = 0.92) \quad (4)$$
AGB_{U. pumila} = 90.159 \times \text{DRC} - 1641.4 \quad (R^2 = 0.98)

\text{(5)}

**Data analysis**

Student’s t-tests were used to compare the means after data were tested for normality (Shapiro-Wilk test) and homogeneity of variance (Levene’s test). If the data did not satisfy the normality and homogeneity tests, Mann-Whitney tests were used to compare the differences. The statistical analyses were conducted in SPSS 22.0 (IBM Corp., SPSS Statistics for Windows, Chicago, IL, USA). Data were presented as means ± standard errors (SEs). \( p \)-Values < 0.05 were considered statistically significant. We compared SLA, \( A_{\text{max}} \), \( V_{\text{C}_{\text{max}}} \), \( J_{\text{max}} \), WUEi, and \( \Delta^{13}\text{C} \) using analysis of variance (ANOVA) and Tukey’s standardized multiple comparisons test to identify significant differences among groups (Norusis 2008). We compared the linear regression lines for the relationships between \( V_{\text{C}_{\text{max}}} \) and \( J_{\text{max}} \), \( g_s \), and WUEi, \( A_{\text{max}} \) and WUEi, and \( \Delta^{13}\text{C} \) for the two species using analysis of covariance (ANCOVA), after analyzing the regressions to elucidate significant differences between the two species. Soil moisture was evaluated using a Kruskal-Wallis nonparametric test. The graphs were produced using Sigma Plot version 12.5 (Systat Software for windows, San Jose, CA, USA).

**Results**

**Environmental variables**

The meteorological data during the period of DOY 182 to DOY 263 showed that the average and highest \( T_a \) of Lun soum were 17.31 and 33.66 °C, respectively (Figure 2a). The \( T_a \) followed the change of the seasons, peaking at mid-July to early August and decreasing in September. The mean daily \( D \) and \( Q \) were 1.08 kPa, and 534.08 \( \mu \text{mol m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1} \), respectively (Figures 2b, c). The total precipitation during the study period was 109.8 mm, where 71.6 mm, 8.6 mm, and 29.6 mm, were recorded in July, August, and September, respectively (Figure 2d). \( D \) peaked in August due to little precipitation and high \( T_a \). \( Q \) was highest in July, probably due to the missing data in June. \( \theta \) showed a higher value in July and September than in August, due to the precipitation (Figure 2e). The average \( \theta \) of the \( U. pumila \) plot was 9.88 ± 0.23%, which was higher than that of the \( P. sibirica \) plot (9.03 ± 0.23%). \( \theta \) was higher in the treatment plots than in the control plot (7.28 ± 0.27%), due to the irrigation showing clear enhancement of \( \theta \) after irrigation (\( p < 0.001 \), Figure 2e).

![Figure 2. Daily average environmental variables in Lun soum plantation](image-url)
Morphological characterization of the leaves

The average leaf size of *P. sibirica* was larger than that of *U. pumila* \((p < 0.001, \text{Figure 3a})\). Similarly, the average SLA of *P. sibirica* was also larger than that of *U. pumila* \((p < 0.001, \text{Figure 3b})\). There were significant variations with seasonal change in SLA within species for both species: the SLA of *P. sibirica* was 116.07 ± 1.49, 101.73 ± 1.13, and 118.13 ± 2.08 cm² g⁻¹ in July, August, and September, respectively, and that of *U. pumila* was 92.19 ± 1.64, 86.09 ± 1.44, and 92.44 ± 1.70 cm² g⁻¹, respectively (Figures 3c, d). Both species had smaller SLAs in August than in July and September \((p < 0.001, p < 0.01 \text{ for } P. sibirica \text{ and } U. pumila, \text{respectively})\).

Estimation of \(A_{\text{max}}\), photosynthesis parameters

\(A_{\text{max}}\) of *P. sibirica* was affected by seasonal change, showing a higher value in August and a decrease in
September ($p < 0.001$, Figure 4a). $A_{\text{max}}$ of *U. pumila*, however, did not show such changes, and was $23.18 \pm 1.32$ and $20.35 \pm 2.03 \mu$mol CO$_2$ m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ in August and September, respectively ($p = 0.266$, Figure 4a). In August and September, $A_{\text{max}}$ did not differ between *P. sibirica* and *U. pumila* ($p = 0.242$ and $p = 0.154$, respectively, Figure 4a). $V_{\text{Cmax}}$ and $J_{\text{max}}$ were higher in August than in September for both species ($p < 0.001$ and $p < 0.01$, Figures 5b and c, respectively). In August and September, $V_{\text{Cmax}}$ and $J_{\text{max}}$ did not differ between *P. sibirica* and *U. pumila*.

The relationship between $V_{\text{Cmax}}$ and $J_{\text{max}}$ was not significantly different between species (ANCOVA analysis, $p = 0.819$). The overall means of $V_{\text{Cmax}}$ and $J_{\text{max}}$ were $122.16 \pm 5.31$ and $158.16 \pm 5.14 \mu$mol m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$, respectively, and both parameters were linearly correlated. As a result, the linear regression equation for the two species is given as (Figure 4d):

$$J_{\text{max}} = 0.83 \times V_{\text{Cmax}} + 56.27 \ (R^2 = 0.74, p < 0.001)$$

The relationship between $WUE_i$, $g_s$, and $A_{\text{max}}$ across species

Differences in $WUE_i$ were seasonally dependent. In August, the $WUE_i$ of *U. pumila*, was higher than that of *P. sibirica* ($p < 0.01$). In September, however, there was no difference between the $WUE_i$ of *U. pumila* and *P. sibirica* ($p = 0.095$). Within species, the $WUE_i$ of *P. sibirica* differed between August and September ($p < 0.01$), while that of *U. pumila* did not ($p = 0.072$, Table 2).

A strong negative, but non-linear relationship was found between $g_s$ and $WUE_i$ (Figure 5a). $WUE_i$ decreased as $g_s$ increased in both species (Figure 5a). The relationship between $g_s$ and $WUE_i$ of *P. sibirica* was more robust ($R^2 = 0.96$) and had broader ranges of $g_s (0.04–0.54 \mu$mol m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$) than *U. pumila* ($R^2 = 0.61$ and $0.02–0.38 \mu$mol m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$, respectively). However, the relationships between $WUE_i$ and $g_s$ for both species did not differ significantly (overall $WUE_i = (0.19 \times 0.20)/(0.20 + g_s)$, $R^2 = 0.80, p < 0.001$, Figure 5a). The relationship between $A_{\text{max}}$ and $WUE_i$ showed a negative correlation: $WUE_i$ decreased as $A_{\text{max}}$ increased in both species (Figure 5b). Similar to $g_s$, a stronger negative linear relationship was found in *P. sibirica* ($WUE_i = -0.006 \times A_{\text{max}} + 0.204$, $R^2 = 0.84, p < 0.001$) than in *U. pumila* ($WUE_i = -0.002 \times A_{\text{max}} + 0.153$, $R^2 = 0.17, p < 0.05$). In addition, the slope of the relationship between $A_{\text{max}}$ and $WUE_i$ of *P. sibirica* was steeper than that of *U. pumila* ($p < 0.01$, Figure 5b).

**Figure 4.** Comparisons of photosynthetic characteristics between *Populus sibirica* and *Ulmus pumila*. (a) Seasonal differences in the maximum rates of photosynthesis ($A_{\text{max}}$, $\mu$mol m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$) of *P. sibirica* and *U. pumila* ($p < 0.01$), (b) seasonal differences in the maximum rate of carboxylation ($V_{\text{Cmax}}$, $\mu$mol m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$) of *P. sibirica* and *U. pumila* ($p < 0.001$), (c) comparison of the maximum rate of electron transport ($J_{\text{max}}$, $\mu$mol m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$) of *P. sibirica* and *U. pumila* ($p < 0.01$), and (d) the relationship between $V_{\text{Cmax}}$ and $J_{\text{max}}$. Closed circles (●) and open circles (○) represent *P. sibirica* and *U. pumila*, respectively. The solid line represents the regression line ($J_{\text{max}} = 0.83 \times V_{\text{Cmax}} + 56.27, R^2 = 0.74, p < 0.001$). Different letters on the error bars indicate significant differences according to $t$-test and Tukey’s multiple range tests at $p < 0.05$. The differences in the regression were calculated using analysis of covariance (ANCOVA).

**Figure 5.** Comparisons of the intrinsic water use efficiency ($WUE_i$), stomatal conductance ($g_s$), and maximum photosynthetic capacity ($A_{\text{max}}$) between *Populus sibirica* and *Ulmus pumila*. (a) The relationship between $g_s$ (mol m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$) and $WUE_i$ (mmol mol$^{-1}$) in *P. sibirica* and *U. pumila*. The curve is a non-linear regression line fit to all data. (b) The relationship between $A_{\text{max}}$ (mmol m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$) and $WUE_i$ in *P. sibirica* and *U. pumila*. The dashed lines are linear regression through the data points for the two individual species. Closed circles (●) and open circles (○) represent *P. sibirica* and *U. pumila*, respectively.
Aboveground biomass growth and carbon isotope discrimination of stems

Aboveground biomass (AGB) of *P. sibirica* and *U. pumila* changed from 5.71 ± 0.74 and 3.26 ± 0.35 kg tree⁻¹ in the pre-measurement to 6.31 ± 0.74 and 3.57 ± 0.35 kg tree⁻¹ in the post-measurement, respectively (Figure 7a). Leaf area production also differed significantly between *P. sibirica* and *U. pumila*, i.e. 0.6 ± 0.06 and 0.31 ± 0.03 kg tree⁻¹, respectively (p < 0.001, Figure 7a). Leaf area production also differed significantly between *P. sibirica* and *U. pumila*: 13.66 ± 2.36 and 7.43 ± 0.86 m² tree⁻¹, respectively (p < 0.05, Figure 7b). The Δ¹³Cstem of *U. pumila* (17.64 ± 0.27‰) was significantly lower than that of *P. sibirica* (18.72 ± 0.18‰) (p < 0.01, Figure 7c).

**Discussion**

**Morphological differences in leaf size and SLA**

*Ulmus pumila* was found to have smaller and thicker leaves (smaller SLA) than *P. sibirica*. The low SLA recorded for *U. pumila* would enable reduced water loss, a thick cuticle layer, and deeply sunken stomata (Figures 3a, b) (Givnish 1987; Park et al. 2016; Leigh et al. 2017). In addition, the small leaves of *U. pumila* might result in the species being less stressed and better adapted to heat stress by preventing heat accumulation due to efficient coupling of leaves with the surrounding environment (Gibson 1998; Monteith and Unsworth 2013; Leigh et al. 2017). These findings are further corroborated by the fact that *U. pumila* is naturally found in arid regions (Wesche et al. 2011).

The SLAs of *P. sibirica* and *U. pumila* were dependent on seasonal changes, and were lower in August than in July and September due to low precipitation and high temperatures (Coble et al. 2016; Fajardo and Sievert 2016). Furthermore, the trees in our study area consisted of two evenly distributed young species, which seemed to induce a higher soil moisture loss rate due to the increased transpiration than when planted as a single species (Delzon and Loustau 2005). Similar results have often been reported for seasonal changes in SLA and other leaf traits caused by water stress in hardwood species (e.g. Wilson et al. 2000; Xu L and Baldocchi 2003; Nouvellon et al. 2010; Chen et al. 2015). In addition, Gotsch et al. (2010) showed that leaf characteristics are more relevant in dry than in wet conditions.

However, the SLA of both species increased in September, but the reasons for this change seem to differ between species. The larger SLA in *P. sibirica* may be due to nutrient reallocation for the upcoming senescence that resulted in a lighter and the larger SLA (Jurik 1986; Han et al. 2008). *Populus sibirica* showed higher seasonal variation than *U. pumila*, which showed no changes in A_max between August and September (Figure 4a). A rapid reduction in photosynthetic rate, which is characteristic of senescing leaves, appears to have occurred during the late autumn season (Herrick and Thomas 2003; Katahata et al. 2007). In addition, *P. sibirica*, being a fast-growing species with higher photosynthetic capacity, generated larger and thinner leaves with a shorter leaf longevity
than the smaller, longer-lived leaves of *U. pumila* (Reich et al. 1997; Reich et al. 1999). These results suggest that variations in SLA can be attributed to seasonal changes in the re-translocation processes of leaf nutrients or non-structural carbohydrate contents (Misson et al. 2006).

**Differences in photosynthesis parameters**

Mongolia is characterized by a long, cold winter, with a very short growing season. Species with short-lived leaves in harsh environments tend to invest more resources in their photosynthesis efficiency rather than their structure (Cunningham et al. 1999; Fonseca et al. 2000; Ackerley et al. 2002). The \(A_{\text{max}}\) data (21.77 ± 1.22 μmol CO₂ m⁻² s⁻¹) in the present study were surprisingly higher than that of the 5- to 20-year-old *U. pumila* (15 μmol CO₂ m⁻² s⁻¹) reported from a similar environment in China with an altitude of 1300 m and around 350 mm of precipitation (Su et al. 2014). Similarly, *P. sibirica* had a higher \(A_{\text{max}}\) value (27.31 ± 2.12 μmol CO₂ m⁻² s⁻¹ in August) than has been reported in warmer and wetter environments (average temperature of 3.7°C and annual precipitation of 550 mm), i.e. 20.05 μmol m⁻² s⁻¹ (Xu et al. 2008) (Figure 4a). A high \(A_{\text{max}}\), such as reported by Wright et al. (2001) and Hinojo-Hinojo et al. (2018), is assumed to increase the photosynthetic rate per unit leaf size by improving the biochemical properties such as nitrogen concentration of leaves to overcome low \(g_s\) due to moisture stress and a short growing period.

The photosynthetic capacity of a plant is simply expressed with the parameters \(V_{\text{Cmax}}\) and \(J_{\text{max}}\) which represent the enzyme kinetic activity of RuBisCO (ribulose-1,5-bisphosphate carboxylase-oxygenase) and electron transport or ribulose bisphosphate (RuBP) regeneration, respectively (Farquhar et al. 1980). In general, these two parameters have a strong linear relationship \((J_{\text{max}}/V_{\text{Cmax}} = 1.5–2.0)\) at 25°C; Wullschleger 1993; Beerling and Quick 1995; Von Caemmerer 2000; Medlyn et al. 2002). However, the \(J_{\text{max}}/V_{\text{Cmax}}\) ratio in our study was 0.83 (Figure 4d), which was much lower than the general relationship; this low value was attributed to high \(V_{\text{Cmax}}\) rather than low \(J_{\text{max}}\). The mean \(V_{\text{Cmax}}\) in our study was higher than those reported in many empirical studies (Walker et al. 2014; Ali et al. 2015; Nolan et al. 2017). Based on the findings of other studies, a high \(V_{\text{Cmax}}\) or low \(J_{\text{max}}/V_{\text{Cmax}}\) is common in arid areas, with values ranging from 1.3 to 1.55 (Midgley et al. 2004; Meir et al. 2007; Maseyk et al. 2008; Zhu et al. 2011). The high levels of \(V_{\text{Cmax}}\) require high RuBisCO activity, which requires a high nitrogen content in the leaves (Reich et al. 1997; Xu L and Baldocchi 2003). The high \(V_{\text{Cmax}}\) reflects acclimation to abundant irradiance that originates from the high latitude (47°51’27.1”N), altitude (1130 m), and long summer days in Mongolia, because these conditions rarely limit RuBP regeneration. For this reason, trees in arid regions may increase the ability for RuBP carboxylation relatively more than for RuBP regeneration as the best strategy to maintain co-limitation and avoid photo-inhibition as much as possible at high irradiance (Hikosaka et al. 1999).

**4.3. Different water-use strategies at various scales**

In our study, we examined the water use strategies at three temporospatial scales: intrinsic leaf (i.e. WUE), integrated leaf (i.e. \(\Delta^{13}C_{\text{leaf}}\)), and integrated biomass (i.e. \(\Delta^{13}C_{\text{stem}}\)) (Figures 5, 6, and 7). At the variation in WUE, across species was not different between species and was primarily driven by variations in \(g_s\) (Figure 5a). However, the WUE, with high \(A_{\text{max}}\) was higher in *P. sibirica* than in *U. pumila*, and vice versa with low \(A_{\text{max}}\) (Figure 5b). Simply, the short-lived leaves of *P. sibirica* had wider ranges in \(g_s\) and WUE, than the longer-lived leaves of *U. pumila*. These results implied that *U. pumila* controlled \(g_s\) more conservatively, maintaining a lower \(g_s\) at high \(A_{\text{max}}\) than *P. sibirica*. This pattern was consistent at the integrated time scales (Figures 6a and 7c). Significant differences in \(\Delta^{13}C\) between *P. sibirica* and *U. pumila* indicated the different leaf-level time integrated WUE in the two species (Figure 6a). Likewise, the results from stable isotopes in the tree rings of *P. sibirica* and *U. pumila* were significantly different \((p < 0.01\), Figure 7c\). The above results offer a good explanation as to why *U. pumila* is distributed throughout the dry desert areas of Mongolia.

Furthermore, *P. sibirica* was found to have higher biomass production than *U. pumila*, presumably by using more water than *U. pumila* (Figures 7a, b). Consistent with this notion, the average soil moisture for *U. pumila* (9.88 ± 0.23%) was higher than that for *P. sibirica* (9.03 ± 0.23%) (Figure 2e). The difference in
biomass production is thus presumed to be due to species-specific water consumption and growth characteristics (Ma et al. 2012). *Populus sibirica* has larger leaves and a larger leaf area than *U. pumila*, which also results in the increase in AGB production (Figures 3a and 7b). In addition, Fig. 4a shows that there were no differences in leaf gas exchange per unit leaf area, but Figures 7a, b show the difference in total biomass production due to differences in total leaf area. When the difference in leaf area (1.84 = 13.66/7.43 cm² tree⁻¹) was accounted for, the difference in biomass enhancement (1.96 = 0.6/0.31 kg tree⁻¹) was substantially reduced to ~7% (1.07 = 1.96/1.84 kg tree⁻¹; Figures 7a, b).

**Conclusion**

Our study compared the morphological and physiological characteristics as well as the WUE of 10-year-old *P. sibirica* and *U. pumila* trees, i.e. the commonly used species for the afforestation of the Mongolian desert. Regarding the morphological characteristics, *U. pumila*, which is native to and present throughout Mongolia, including the deserts, showed a better adaptation to the desert environment (i.e. small, thick leaves), than did *P. sibirica*, which was introduced from Russia in the mid-twentieth century. In contrast, $A_{\text{max}}$ for *P. sibirica*, which is considered to have high productivity, did not differ from that in *U. pumila*, but the biomass yield for *P. sibirica* was nearly double that of *U. pumila*. This difference in biomass production may be attributed to the difference in leaf area and presumably transpiration, as evidenced by the lower soil water content. Even with unfavorable morphological traits for arid areas, *P. sibirica* showed much higher biomass production and had an $A_{\text{max}}$ that was more than 1.5 times higher in August than in September. This indicated that *P. sibirica* assimilated a large amount of CO₂ in a short period of time and its physiological activity would be expected to be diminished early. In comparison, the native species *U. pumila* showed small seasonal variations in physiological characteristics, such as $A_{\text{max}}$ and $I_{\text{max}}$ and grew slowly over a long period of time. According to the results of this study, *U. pumila* had higher WUE and a consistent $A_{\text{max}}$ while *P. sibirica* exhibited the opposite trends. These findings indicate that *U. pumila* has a lower water requirement than *P. sibirica* in water deficit conditions. Furthermore, *U. pumila* exhibited greater flexibility than did *P. sibirica* in adapting to a dry environment, e.g. Mongolia, via the adoption of a conservative growth strategy. As a result, *P. sibirica* is believed to experience more difficulties in surviving under drought stress conditions, especially due to having such higher water requirements. In addition, the comparison of the morphological and physiological characteristics of the two species revealed that they would have greatly different effects on the water environment and management of plantations, and that *U. pumila* is the more logical choice for use in afforestation efforts in the arid regions of Mongolia.
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