An Approach to Assess the Stability of Unsaturated Multilayered Coastal-Embankment Slope during Rainfall Infiltration
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Abstract: This study aims to develop a simple but effective approach to investigate the stability of an unsaturated and multilayered coastal-embankment slope during the rainfall, in which a Random Search Algorithm (RSA) based on the random sampling idea of the Monte Carlo method was employed to obtain the most dangerous circular sliding surface, whereas the safety factor of the unsaturated slope was calculated by the modified Morgenstern–Price method. Firstly, two typical distributions of matric suction were illustrated and the associated methods for determining the strength parameters of unsaturated soil were developed. Based on this, the Morgenstern–Price method was further modified to calculate the safety factor, and RSA was adopted to locate the most dangerous sliding surface of the unsaturated multilayered coastal-embankment slope. Finally, the slope breaking process under rainfall infiltration was simulated through continuously searching the critical slip surfaces under different groundwater levels by RSA. The results indicated that the stability of the unsaturated embankment slope was gradually deteriorated with the increase of rainfall infiltration. It was also found that both of the distributions of the matrix suction \( (u_a-u_w) \) and the suction angle \( (\phi^b) \) had significant effects on the safety factor of the embankment slope. Basically, linear distribution of \( (u_a-u_w) \) along the depth and linear relationship between \( \phi^b \) and \( (u_a-u_w) \) should be adopted in assessing the stability of the unsaturated multilayered coastal-embankment slope.
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1. Introduction

As one of the effective defending coastal structures, embankment slope or breakwater is widely used to protect ashore human life and property from severe environment (storm, rainfall, long-term wave loading, etc.). Therefore, the instability of the embankment slope has attracted more and more attention in coastal engineering with the failure modes of scour [1–4] and shear failure [5–8]. Moreover, most of the above literature has mainly focused on wave-induced seabed instability, in which the soil was considered as saturated material [4,6,9–13]. However, in practical coastal engineering, the coastal-embankment slope is always unsaturated and multilayered. Its stability suffers from huge challenges, especially during rainfall, which has proven to be the key factor linked to landslides [14–18].

As shown in Figure 1, rainfall usually results in a rising of the water table, and a decreasing in matric suction [19–22]. In addition, as the moisture content increases, the unit weight increases. The combined
factors mentioned above may reduce the stability of an unsaturated-soil slope. The occurrence of instabilities of unsaturated slopes during wet periods is quite common all over the world [23,24] and has an expectable increasing trend in intensity and frequency due to the warming climate [22,24]. Therefore, it becomes more and more urgent to develop a simple but effective method to assess the stability of the unsaturated-soil slope during rainfall infiltration.

To comprehensively investigate the stability of an unsaturated-soil slope, three key issues should be addressed, as follows: (1) an appropriate method to determine the matric suction and its associated strength parameters; (2) a reasonable approach to evaluate the stability of a trial unsaturated-soil slope; (3) an effective algorithm to search for the critical slip surface. Fredlund and Rahardjo [25] pointed out that the presence and the magnitude of matric suction (\(u_a-u_w\)), which were critical to the stability of unsaturated-soil slopes, were dependent on the practical environmental conditions. For the sake of simplicity, the distribution of matric suction in general is considered to be uniformed or linearly decreased along the buried depth [26,27]. Recently, Zhang et al. [28] investigated the effect of the different distributions of matric suction on the overturning stability of the retaining wall with homogeneous, continuous and non-layered surrounding soils. It was found that the influence of uniformed suction was more remarkable than that of linear suction. Xu and Yang [21] found that there is a little difference between the effect of the distribution pattern of matric suction on stability of three-dimensional unsaturated, homogeneous, continuous and non-layered soil slope. However, in practice, the ground conditions in the unsaturated region are generally multilayered, which results in the uncertainty of influence of the matric suction distribution patterns on the stability of unsaturated-soil slope. Moreover, the existence of the multilayered behavior will significantly increase the difficulty in the determination of strength parameters when the rigorous limit equilibrium method was adopted to investigate the safety of the unsaturated-soil slope, which will be analyzed in detail in a later section.

In practical slope engineering design, the limit equilibrium method (LEM) has proven to be an efficient tool to investigate the stability of a saturated soil slope under two-dimensional (2-D) plane strain conditions by computing the safety factor of a given slip surface with some calculation models (Simplified Bishop method [29], Spencer method [30], Morgenstern–Price method [31], etc.). As the most rigorous theoretical approach in LEM under 2-D conditions, Morgenstern–Price (represented by M–P for short) method was firstly developed by Morgenstern and Price in 1965 with the integral form, which resulted in difficulty in programming. Then, M–P method was improved for the convenience of programming by Chen et al. [32], Chen et al. [33] and Zhu and Chen [34] with the discrete pattern. However, both of the improved M–P methods were applicable to compute the safety factor of the saturated soil slope, which should be modified to investigate the stability of the unsaturated-soil slope by combined with the failure criterion of unsaturated soil as suggested by Fredlund and Rahardjo [25].

After determining the safety factor of a given slip surface, another work to investigate the stability of an unsaturated multilayered coastal-embankment slope is to adopt an effective global optimization algorithm to locate the most dangerous slip surface. In the last few decades, nonlinear programming approaches were usually adopted to address the failure surface of saturated slope both in practice and in academic research [35,36]. However, their efficiencies in locating the most dangerous sliding surface have proven to be poor in the case of multilayered slopes with discontinuous soil properties [37]. The Random Search Algorithm (RSA), developed based on the Monte Carlo principle, behaves much better in solving complicated optimization problems in comparison to nonlinear programming approaches [38]. Within the framework of RSA, the global optimal solution can be addressed without iteration by generating a series of trial solutions randomly and comparing them with current optimum solution one by one until reaching the maximum number of locating. The main shortcoming of RSA is the generation of the large number of trial solutions which reduces the calculation efficiency in the past and has become of secondary importance due to the rapid development of computational technology. Currently, it has been widely used in determining the most dangerous sliding surface by search adequate times [39,40].
Figure 1. Cross section of unsaturated multilayered coastal-embankment slope under rainfall infiltration.

Figure 2. Framework of the present study.

It should be noted that the stability of coastal-embankment slope with the unsaturated and multilayered feature during rainfall were greatly different from those of dry or saturated soils and should be investigated by a simple and effective approach in consideration of effect of matric suction distributions and underground water levels. As a consequence, in the framework of this research (shown in Figure 2), the influence of rainfall infiltration on unsaturated-soil slope was simulated by...
the gradual rise of the groundwater level and strength parameters under different distributions of matric suction were determined. Then, the M–P method was modified to investigate the safety of the unsaturated multilayered coastal-embankment slope by combined the rigorous limit equilibrium theory with failure criterion of unsaturated soil. Furthermore, the RSA developed by Malkawi’s method [40] was adopted to locate the critical slip surface of the unsaturated multilayered coastal-embankment slope. Finally, the failure process under the rainfall infiltration was reproduced by searching for the critical slip surface and the associated safety factor, on which the effect of distribution of the matric suction was also investigated. In addition, the influence of the determined method of suction angle on the safety factor is discussed in detail.

2. A Simple Approach to Simulate Rainfall Infiltration

When the rainfall is heavy enough to overcome the hydraulic conductivity of the sub-layer, the groundwater table will move upward gradually; however, assuming capillarity rising to the ground surface, the distribution depth of matric suction will decrease until the soil becomes fully saturated, as depicted in Figure 1. Moreover, the density of Layers 2–3 will lose the effective density from its natural pattern and the pore-water pressure will decrease with the associated reduction in the effective stress. Then, the shear strength of soil will drop and the stability of the soil slope will in turn deteriorate. Actually, the effect of rainfall on stability of unsaturated-soil slope is dependent on many of factors (rainfall amount, average intensity, duration, pattern, vegetation) [16,19,41]. For more detailed investigations, it is necessary to address the soil-water profile with 1-D or 2-D infiltration model to address the effect of infiltration on unsaturated-soil slope’s stability [42], which will make the problem become more complicated, laborious and computationally expensive [43]. Since the groundwater level will gradual rise during long-term rainfall infiltration, the effect of rainfall on the unsaturated coastal-embankment slope can be alternatively reproduced by the progressive elevation of the groundwater level without considering the interaction between soil and water. For the sake of simplicity, the phreatic line is assumed to be horizontal in this study as shown in Figure 1.

3. Limit Equilibrium Method of Unsaturated-Multilayered-Soil Slope

3.1. Failure Criterion of Unsaturated-Soil

Fredlund and Rahardjo [25] developed the Mohr–Coulomb criterion to evaluate the failure of the unsaturated soil through introducing matric suction:

$$\tau_u = c' + (\sigma_n - u_w) \tan \phi' + (u_a - u_w) \tan \phi^b$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

where $\tau_u$ = the shear strength; $c'$ = the effective cohesion; $\sigma_n$ = the total normal stress; $u_w$ = the pore-water pressure; $\phi'$ = the effective internal friction angle associated with $(\sigma_n-u_w)$; $(u_a-u_w)$ = the matric suction; $\phi^b$ = the suction angle. $(u_a-u_w)$ and $\phi^b$ are the key parameters of unsaturated-soil failure criterion. Furthermore, the value of $\phi^b$ is always dependent on $(u_a-u_w)$ [44], the $(u_a-u_w)$ has become a crucial issue unsaturated soil theory [25–28].

3.2. Distributions of Matric Suction

As shown in Equation (1), $(u_a-u_w)$ and $\phi^b$ are the key parameters of unsaturated-soil failure criterion. Furthermore, the value of $\phi^b$ is always dependent on $(u_a-u_w)$ [44], the accurate determination of $(u_a-u_w)$, therefore, is of great important to the failure criterion of unsaturated soil, which has become a crucial issue in unsaturated-soil theory.
Although the matric suctions at the ground surface \((u_a-u_w)_g\) can be easily tested by tensiometer, the underground matric suctions are difficult to test in practical engineering. For the sake of simplicity, the matric suction is assumed to be distributed along the buried depth by following two patterns [21,25–28]:

(1) Distribution I

As shown in Figure 3a, the matric suction is uniform along the depth and its value can be half of the matric suction at the ground surface in a general stratified unsaturated-soil slope.

(2) Distribution II

As shown in Figure 3b, the matric suctions decrease linearly along the depth and attained their minimum value at the groundwater level.

3.3. Determination of the Strength Parameters under Different Distributions of Matric Suction

For the sake of simplicity, the total cohesion \(C\) of unsaturated soil is always considered to be composed of the effective cohesion and the contribution of suction to strength. Then, Equation (1) can be reduced to

\[ \tau_s = C + (\sigma_m - u_w) \tan \phi \]  

(2)

where \(C\) is the total cohesion and \(C = c' + (u_a - u_w) tan \phi\).

The associated soil strength parameters at different depths can be calculated as follows:

(1) For Distribution I

As shown in Figure 3a, assume that the soil slope is composed of three layers (Layer I, Layer II, Layer III) and for each layer the strength parameters are \(c_i\), \(\phi_i\) (\(i = 1,2,3\)). Because of the existence of \((u_a-u_w)\) in the soil layers above the groundwater level, the correspondent strength parameters can be determined as follows:

\[ C_i = c'_i + 0.5(u_a - u_w)g \tan \phi_i; \quad \phi'_i = \phi_i \]  

(3)

\[ C_i = c'_i; \quad \phi'_i = \phi'_i \]  

(4)

(2) For Distribution II

As shown in Figure 3b, the soil layer above the groundwater level can be divided into \((m+n)\) small soil layers. In each small layer, the strength parameters are supposed to be uniform and to be determined as follows,

\[ C_i = c'_1 + (u_a - u_w)g \tan \phi_i (H-h_i)/H; \quad \phi'_i = \phi'_i \]  

(5)

\[ C_i = c'_2 + 0.5(u_a - u_w)g \tan \phi_i (H-h_i)/H; \quad \phi'_i = \phi'_i \]  

(6)

\[ C_i = c'_3 \]  

(7)

\[ C_i = c'_3 \]  

(8)

where \(c'_i\), \(\phi'_i\) (\(i = 1,2,3\)) = the strength parameters of the original soil layers; \((u_a-u_w)_g\) = the matric suction at the ground surface; \(C_i\), \(\phi'_i\) (\(i = 1,2,\ldots, m+n+2\)) = the strength parameters of the divided small layers; \(H = \) the distance between the groundwater level and the top of the slope, \(h_i \) (\(i = 1,2,\ldots, m+n+2\)) = the distance between the \(i\)th small soil layers and the top of the slope.
layers; $H$ = the distance between the groundwater level and the top of the slope, $h_i$ ($i = 1, 2, \ldots, m + n + 2$) = the distance between the $i$th small soil layers and the top of the slope.

Figure 3. Distributions of the $(u_a-u_w)$ along the depth in unsaturated-multilayered-soil slope (a) Distribution I: uniform distribution of $(u_a-u_w)$; (b) Distribution II: linear distribution of $(u_a-u_w)$.

3.4. Modified M-P Method

As shown in Figure 4a, a trial sliding mass was generally divided into several small slices based on the principle of slice method. The inter-slice forces imposing on the typical slice was further illustrated in Figure 4b. Within the framework of two-dimensional (2-D) limit equilibrium analysis, M-P method [31] and its improved pattern [32–34] had proven to be the most rigorous theoretical approach due to its excellent performance in satisfying both force and moment equilibrium conditions. However, the aforementioned M–P method and its improved pattern were developed within the framework of saturated soil and should be modified with reference to the unsaturated soil strength criterion to exactly address the stability of a two-dimensional unsaturated-soil slope.
unsaturated multilayered coastal-embankment slope can be performed as shown in Figure 5. Other detailed information about the model parameters can be found in Reference [34]. The safety factor of a trial sliding surface in the unsaturated multilayered coastal-embankment slope can be performed as shown in Figure 5.

Based on both of the forces and moment equilibrium of a typical slice (as shown in Figure 4b), the safety factor with the iteration form can be addressed by the following equations [34]:

\[ F_s = \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} R_j \sum_{k=j}^{n-1} \Psi_k + R_n}{\sum_{j=1}^{n} P_j \sum_{k=j}^{n} \Psi + P_n} \]  \hspace{1cm} (9)

\[ \lambda = \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{n} \left[ b_j \left( E_j + E_{j-1} \right) \sin \alpha_j - 2 Q_j \sin \omega_j h_j \right]}{\sum_{j=1}^{n} b_j \left( f_j E_j + f_{j-1} E_{j-1} \right)} \]  \hspace{1cm} (10)

\[ E_j \Phi_j = \Psi_{j-1} E_{j-1} \Phi_{j-1} - F_j P_j + R_j \]  \hspace{1cm} (11)

\[ P_j = W_j \sin \alpha_j - Q_j \sin (\omega_j - \alpha_j) \]  \hspace{1cm} (12)

\[ R_j = [W_j \cos \alpha_j - Q_j \cos (\omega_j - \alpha_j)] \tan \omega_j + C_j l_j \]  \hspace{1cm} (13)

\[ \Phi_{j-1} = (\sin \alpha_{j-1} - \lambda f_{j-1} \cos \alpha_{j-1}) \tan \omega_{j-1} + \left( \cos \alpha_{j-1} + \lambda f_{j-1} \sin \alpha_{j-1} \right) F_s \]  \hspace{1cm} (14)

\[ \Psi_{j-1} = \left( \sin \alpha_{j-1} - \lambda f_{j-1} \cos \alpha_{j-1} \right) \tan \omega_{j-1} + \left( \cos \alpha_{j-1} + \lambda f_{j-1} \sin \alpha_{j-1} \right) F_s \]  \hspace{1cm} (15)

\[ N_j = (Q_j \cos \alpha_j + W_j - \lambda f_{j-1} E_{j-1} + \lambda f_j E_j \cos \alpha_j + (Q_j \sin \alpha_j + E_{j-1} - E_j) \sin \alpha_j - u_j l_j) \]  \hspace{1cm} (16)

where \( F_s \) is the safety factor of the trial sliding surface; \( \lambda \) is the scaling factor; \( E_{j-1} \) and \( E_j \) is the inter-slice force for slice \( j \)-1 and \( j \); \( W_j \) is the weight of \( j \)th slice; \( Q_j \) is the external loading; \( S_j \) is the shear force; \( N_j \) is the normal pressure; \( u_j \) is the pore-water pressure at slice-base; \( \omega_j \) and \( C_j \) is the mobilized internal friction angle and total cohesion along \( j \)-1 and \( j \) which can be determined by relating them with the horizontal coordinate. Other detailed information about the model parameters can be found in Reference [34]. The safety factor of a trial sliding surface in the unsaturated multilayered coastal-embankment slope can be performed as shown in Figure 5.
4. A Global Algorithm to Search for the Critical Slip Surface of Unsaturated Coastal-Embankment Slope

As a typical failure mechanism in practical geotechnical engineering, circular sliding surfaces are widely employed in the stability analysis of saturated soil slopes with both single layer [45–47] and multilayer [34,48,49]. Then, the aforementioned problem of determining a trial circular failure surface can be represented by locating the two control intersections \((L(x_L, y_L), R(x_R, y_R))\) and a radius \(R_c\) shown in Figure 6, in which the potential sliding surface should be addressed in order to satisfy the boundary conditions represented by \(y = g(x)\) and \(y = r(x)\), the hydraulic conditions \(y = w(x)\) and the discontinuity between the layers demonstrated by \(y = l_j(x)\). Then, the potential sliding surface represented by \(S\) can be expressed as functions of \(x_L, y_L, x_R, y_R\) and \(R_c\) in the following form:

\[
S = [x_L, y_L, x_R, y_R, R_c] \tag{17}
\]

On the basis of LEM, the safety factor \((F_s)\) with respect to the most dangerous sliding surface should be minimized among all of the trial failure surfaces. The issue in terms of locating the most dangerous slip surface can be mathematically represented by addressing the minimum of \(F_s\) with regard to \(S\) as follows:

\[
\min F_s(S) \tag{18}
\]

Theoretically speaking, the trial circular failure surface can be generated with any combination of intersections \((L(x_L, y_L), R(x_R, y_R))\) and \(R_c\). However, most of these are invalid due to their impossible
occurrence in practical engineering. To improve the searching efficiency, the control parameters should be empirically constrained as:

\[ x_{\text{Lmin}} \leq x_L \leq x_{\text{Lmax}}; x_{\text{Rmin}} \leq x_R \leq x_{\text{Rmax}}; R_{\text{cmin}} \leq R_c \leq R_{\text{cmax}} \] (19)

where \( x_{\text{Lmax}} \) and \( x_{\text{Lmin}} \) are the upper and lower limits of horizontal ordinate of point \( L \); \( x_{\text{Rmax}} \) and \( x_{\text{Rmin}} \) are the upper and lower limits of horizontal ordinate of point \( R \); \( R_{\text{cmax}} \) and \( R_{\text{cmin}} \) are the upper and lower limits of radius. It should be noted that definitions of these parameters should be dependent on the experience of the researcher.

As shown in Figure 6, the following constraints are considered:

\[ y_L = g(x_L); y_R = g(x_R); x_L \leq x_R; R_c \geq ||LR||; H + y_c \geq R_c \] (20)

where \( H \) = the distance between the bottom and the lower boundary; \( ||LR|| \) = the length of \( LR \); \( y_c \) = the vertical ordinate of the center of the circular slip surface.

To resolve the problem mentioned above, a trial sliding surface should be firstly addressed by locating two points and the radius (represented by \( L \), \( R \) and \( R_c \) shown in Figure 6) within the range of \([x_{\text{Lmin}}, x_{\text{Lmax}}]\), \([x_{\text{Rmin}}, x_{\text{Rmax}}]\) and \([R_{\text{cmin}}, R_{\text{cmax}}]\), in which points \( L \) and \( R \) can be located stochastically in the following forms:

\[ x_L = r_1 x_{\text{Lmin}} + (1 - r_1) x_{\text{Lmax}}; x_R = r_2 x_{\text{Rmin}} + (1 - r_2) x_{\text{Rmax}}; R_c = r_3 R_{\text{cmin}} + (1 - r_3) R_{\text{cmax}}; \]

\[ y_L = g(x_L); y_R = g(x_R) \] (21)

where \( r_1 \), \( r_2 \) and \( r_3 \) are stochastic numbers which can be obtained randomly from 0 to 1.

The horizontal and vertical ordinate of \( O' \) can be addressed by the following equations:

\[ (x_c - x_L)^2 + (y_c - y_L)^2 = R_c^2; (x_c - x_R)^2 + (y_c - y_R)^2 = R_c^2 \] (22)

where \( x_c \) = the horizontal ordinate of \( O' \). There are two groups of solution for Equation (22), and to make sure the trial slip surface to be reliable, the smaller value of \( x_c \) and the larger value of \( y_c \) are used.

The Random Search Algorithm (RSA) is adopted to obtain the most dangerous sliding surface and the associated minimum safety factor for the unsaturated-soil slope. The detailed procedure for the RSA can be schematically illustrated in Figure 7.

---

**Figure 6.** Cross section of a typical multilayered slope with circular sliding surface.
The Random Search Algorithm (RSA) is adopted to obtain the most dangerous sliding surface and the associated minimum safety factor for the unsaturated-soil slope. The detailed procedure for the RSA can be schematically illustrated in Figure 7.

Figure 7. The flowchart of locating the critical slip surface.

5. Application

5.1. Comparison with the Existing Model

The feasibility of the developed model is assessed by comparison with the numerical results predicted by Zhang et al. [50], in which both the linear and nonlinear relationship between the unsaturated shear strength and matric suction determined by the soil-water characteristic curve (SWCC) were adopted to investigate the effect of matric suction on the slope stability, for a steep unsaturated-soil slope with 30 m high and 50° inclination angle, as illustrated in Figure 8. The parameters for both models are illustrated in Table 1. In addition, the inclined groundwater table in the research of Zhang et al. [50] is assumed to be horizontal with an average 5 m below the toe of the slope for simplicity.

As shown in Figure 8, the critical slip surfaces predicted by both models become gradually deeper and their associated minimum safety factors progressively decrease with the increase of $\phi^b$. This is because of the amplification of $\phi^b$ which significantly increases the total cohesion (shown in Equations (3)–(8), and enhances the shear strength (Equation (2)), which in turn improves the safety factor. Moreover, both the critical slip surfaces (marked by the solid line) and the associated safety factor always agree well with those (marked by other line type) predicted by Zhang et al. [50]. Therefore, the present method performs well in investigating the stability of the unsaturated-soil slope.
Table 1. Parameters of soil.

| Analysis Case | $\gamma'$ kN/m$^3$ | $c'$/kPa | $\phi'$/° | $(u_a-u_w)_g$/kPa | $\phi^b$/° |
|---------------|-------------------|----------|------------|-------------------|------------|
| Case 1        | 18                | 10       | 34         | 200               | 0          |
| Case 2        | 18                | 10       | 34         | 200               | 15         |
| Case 3        | 18                | 10       | 34         | 200               | 34         |

Figure 8. Comparison of the critical slip surface.

5.2. Case Study

As shown in Figure 9, an unsaturated coastal-embankment slope with the inclination of 1:1.5 and four layers is subject to long-term rainfall, the stability of which is facing a great challenge. The physical-mechanical parameters of each layer are illustrated in Table 2.

Table 2. Physic-mechanical properties of soil.

| Layer | $\gamma'$ kN/m$^3$ | $c'$/kPa | $\phi'$/° | $(u_a-u_w)_g$/kPa | $\phi^b$/° |
|-------|-------------------|----------|------------|-------------------|------------|
| 1     | 20                | 0.5      | 40.0       | 200               | 15         |
| 2     | 20                | 1        | 42.0       |                   |            |
| 3     | 19.5              | 0.0      | 38.0       | 200               | 15         |
| 4     | 17                | 15.0     | 21.0       |                   |            |

To investigate the stability of the unsaturated coastal-embankment slope under rainfall, the failure surface under different groundwater levels and the associated minimum safety factor have been...
searched 100,000 times by RSA. Two matric suction distributions along the depth: namely the linear distribution (Distribution I); and uniformed distribution (Distribution II) are used in the analysis. Meanwhile, the most dangerous sliding surface and its associated minimum safety factor determined by the traditional saturated M–P method in which the matric suction along the depth is zero (Distribution III) are also addressed by RSA. Based on the comparison results shown in Figure 10, it can be summarized as follows:

(1) There is an apparent discrepancy among Distribution I, Distribution II and Distribution III with respect to the critical slip surfaces. The critical slip surfaces associated with the above three distributions do not coincide until the groundwater level reaches the top of slope in which the soil is fully saturated.

(2) The most dangerous sliding surface of Distribution III is always located at the shallow depth of the slope and it is independent on the fluctuation of the groundwater level. Unfortunately, these results do not coincide with the practical results. The location of critical slip surface of Distribution I and Distribution II are close and both of them change from deep slip to shallow slip with the rising of the groundwater level and these predicted results coincide well with the practical results.

![Figure 10](image-url)

**Figure 10. Cont.**
(d) Groundwater table = 3 m

1—Critical slip surface for distribution I ($F_{s\text{min}}=1.811$)
2—Critical slip surface for distribution II ($F_{s\text{min}}=1.795$)
3—Critical slip surface for distribution III ($F_{s\text{min}}=1.365$)

(e) Groundwater table = 6 m

1—Critical slip surface for distribution I ($F_{s\text{min}}=1.745$)
2—Critical slip surface for distribution II ($F_{s\text{min}}=1.725$)
3—Critical slip surface for distribution III ($F_{s\text{min}}=1.341$)

(f) Groundwater table = 10 m

1—Critical slip surface for distribution I ($F_{s\text{min}}=1.626$)
2—Critical slip surface for distribution II ($F_{s\text{min}}=1.603$)
3—Critical slip surface for distribution III ($F_{s\text{min}}=1.255$)

(g) Groundwater table = 12 m

1—Critical slip surface for distribution I ($F_{s\text{min}}=1.510$)
2—Critical slip surface for distribution II ($F_{s\text{min}}=1.515$)
3—Critical slip surface for distribution III ($F_{s\text{min}}=1.150$)

*Figure 10. Cont.*
It is suggested that Distribution I of \((\text{result in an unsafe design of the unsaturated-soil slope. With the comprehensive consideration of safety}

Moreover, the safety factor for uniformed distribution analysis is unexpected which may significantly increase the cost of solidified unsaturated-soil slope and Distribution I and II are much greater than that for Distribution III.

Therefore, the reduced rate and amplitude of the increase of the pore-water pressure. Therefore, the reduced rate and amplitude of 

When the groundwater level approaches to 15 m, 

Moreover, both of the amplitude and reduced rate of Distribution I are greater than those of Distribution II. When the groundwater level approaches to 15 m, \(F_{s\min}\) of the three distributions coincides with each other, in which the soil is fully saturated. The effects of distributions of \((u_a-u_w)\) on \(F_{s\min}\) varies accordingly to the distributions. For Distribution I and II with consideration of \((u_a-u_w)\), the reduction in \(F_{s\min}\) is due to the decrease of distributed depth of \((u_a-u_w)\) (shown in Figure 1) and the increase of the pore-water pressure (Equations (13) and (16)) with the increase of groundwater level. However, the decrease of \(F_{s\min}\) for Distribution III regardless of \((u_a-u_w)\) is mainly attributed to the increase of the pore-water pressure. Therefore, the reduced rate and amplitude of \(F_{s\min}\) for Distribution I and II are much greater than that for Distribution III.

Above all, it can be concluded that the Distribution III in traditional saturated limit equilibrium analysis is unexpected which may significantly increase the cost of solidified unsaturated-soil slope and the other two distributions are more rational. Moreover, the safety factor for uniformed distribution (Distribution I) of \((u_a-u_w)\) is always greater than that for linear distribution (Distribution II), which may result in an unsafe design of the unsaturated-soil slope. With the comprehensive consideration of safety and economy, it is suggested that Distribution II can be used in addressing the stability of unsaturated coastal-embankment slope in practical engineering.

**Figure 10.** Critical slip surfaces associated with each distribution pattern of matric suction under rainfall infiltration.

5.3. Discussion on the Effect of Distribution of \((u_a-u_w)\) on \(F_{s\min}\)

In this section, the minimum safety factor \((F_{s\min})\) under different groundwater level shown in Figure 10 was adopted to investigate the effects of the different distributions of matric suction \((u_a-u_w)\) on the stability of unsaturated-soil slope. As shown in Figure 11, \(F_{s\min}\) is intensively related to the distribution of \((u_a-u_w)\) along the buried depth. Overall, all of the three values of \(F_{s\min}\) corresponding to different distributions show gradual decrease with the rise of the groundwater level. However, the reduced rate of Distribution III without suction, which keeps constant (approaches to 0) under the condition that the groundwater level is below 6 m, is remarkable lower that of the other two distributions. Moreover, both of the amplitude and reduced rate of Distribution I are greater than those of Distribution II. When the groundwater level approaches to 15 m, \(F_{s\min}\) of the three distributions coincides with each other, in which the soil is fully saturated. The effects of distributions of \((u_a-u_w)\) on \(F_{s\min}\) varies accordingly to the distributions. For Distribution I and II with consideration of \((u_a-u_w)\), the reduction in \(F_{s\min}\) is due to the decrease of distributed depth of \((u_a-u_w)\) (shown in Figure 1) and the increase of the pore-water pressure (Equations (13) and (16)) with the increase of groundwater level. However, the decrease of \(F_{s\min}\) for Distribution III regardless of \((u_a-u_w)\) is mainly attributed to the increase of the pore-water pressure. Therefore, the reduced rate and amplitude of \(F_{s\min}\) for Distribution I and II are much greater than that for Distribution III.

Above all, it can be concluded that the Distribution III in traditional saturated limit equilibrium analysis is unexpected which may significantly increase the cost of solidified unsaturated-soil slope and the other two distributions are more rational. Moreover, the safety factor for uniformed distribution (Distribution I) of \((u_a-u_w)\) is always greater than that for linear distribution (Distribution II), which may result in an unsafe design of the unsaturated-soil slope. With the comprehensive consideration of safety and economy, it is suggested that Distribution II can be used in addressing the stability of unsaturated coastal-embankment slope in practical engineering.
5.4. Discussion on the Relationship between $\phi^b$ and $(u_a-u_w)$

The suction angle $\phi^b$ was conventionally supposed to be constant and independent on $(u_a-u_w)$ in the previous literature [19,51–54]. However, the multistage direct shear test results [44] showed that the relationship between $\phi^b$ and $(u_a-u_w)$ could be depicted by Curve 3, as shown in Figure 12. When $(u_a-u_w)$ is small, $\phi^b$ is supposed to be the maximum value $\phi^b_{\text{max}}$. With the increase of $(u_a-u_w)$, $\phi^b$ gradually decreases and when $(u_a-u_w)$ increases to a certain value $\phi^b$ reaches the minimum value $\phi^b_{\text{min}}$. Some researchers [25,55,56] used the Curve 1 and Curve 2 to simulate the relationship between $\phi^b$ and $(u_a-u_w)$ as shown in Figure 12. Curve 1, Curve 2 and Curve 3 can be described by the following functions:

1. For Curve 1

$$\phi^b = \left(\phi^b_{\text{min}} + \phi^b_{\text{max}}\right)/2, (u_a - u_w) > 0$$ (23)

2. For Curve 2

$$\phi^b = \phi^b_{\text{min}} + \left(\phi^b_{\text{max}} - \phi^b_{\text{min}}\right)\times\left[(u_a - u_w) - 50\right]/450, \quad 50 \text{kPa} < (u_a - u_w) \leq 500 \text{kPa}$$ (24)

3. For Curve 3

$$\phi^b = \left(\phi^b_{\text{max}} + \phi^b_{\text{min}}\right)/2 + \left(\phi^b_{\text{max}} - \phi^b_{\text{min}}\right)\times\sin[2\times(u_a - u_w) - 550]\pi/900, \quad 50 \text{kPa} < (u_a - u_w) \leq 500 \text{kPa}$$ (25)

$$\phi^b = \phi^b_{\text{min}}, \quad 50 \text{kPa} < (u_a - u_w)$$ (26)

where $\phi^b_{\text{max}}$ and $\phi^b_{\text{min}}$ are the upper and lower limit respectively. Herein, $\phi^b_{\text{max}}$ is $30^\circ$ and $\phi^b_{\text{min}}$ is $5^\circ$. 

**Figure 11.** Effect of distribution pattern of matric suction on safety factor under rainfall infiltration.
Figure 12. Correlation curves between $\phi^b$ and $(u_a-u_w)$.

Now, two conditions in which the groundwater level reaches up to 6 m and 12 m are considered associated with the Distribution II of matric suction, respectively. Their correspondent critical slip surface is Surface 2 in Figure 10. The influence of the distribution of $\phi^b$ on safety factor in different $(u_a-u_w)_g$ is shown in Figure 13. It can be seen that when $\phi^b$ is supposed to be constant, the safety factor($F^1_s$) associated with Curve 1 is almost linearly increased with the increasing of $(u_a-u_w)_g$. When the distribution of $\phi^b$ is linear (Curve 2) and nonlinear (Curve 3) both the safety factor ($F^2_s$) associated with Curve 2 and ($F^3_s$) associated with Curve 3 show the same change trend with the increase of $(u_a-u_w)_g$. At first, $F^2_s$ and $F^3_s$ have a dramatic rise until reaching the maxima. This is because that $(u_a-u_w)_g$ is smaller in this stage and the matric suction on the slip surface is smaller than 50 kPa. Meanwhile, the correspondent value of $\phi^b$ always equals to $\phi^b_{\text{max}}$ and the total cohesion on the slip surface increases with the increasing of $(u_a-u_w)_g$. Therefore, $F^2_s$ and $F^3_s$ become larger and larger. When $(u_a-u_w)_g$ increases to a certain value (about 450 kPa in the example) the matric suction on the slip surface will exceed to 50kPa and the correspondent $\phi^b$ will decrease accordingly to Equation (24) and Equation (25). The value of $(u_a-u_w)$ will increase but the value of $\phi^b$ will decrease on the slip surface and the total cohesion slightly decreases. Therefore, $F^2_s$ and $F^3_s$ decrease slightly at this stage. When $(u_a-u_w)_g$ is kept increasing to a certain value (about 750 kPa in the example), $(u_a-u_w)$ in the slip surface always exceed to 500 kPa and the correspondent value of $\phi^b$ no longer change. Then, the total cohesion keeps increasing with the increase of $(u_a-u_w)_g$ and $F^2_s$ and $F^3_s$ will increase at this stage.

Above all, it can be found that the safety factor is sensitive to the distribution of $\phi^b$ and Curve 2 and Curve 3 are more rational than Curve 1 shown in Figure 13. For the sake of simplicity, it is more reasonable to adopt the linear relationship between $\phi^b$ and $(u_a-u_w)$ in addressing the unsaturated-soil slope’s safety factor.
Algorithm (RSA) was developed to investigate the stability of an unsaturated and multilayered embankment slope considering the effects of matric suction distributions, determinations of suction angle and rainfall infiltration. A convenient programmable M–P method was developed to address the unsaturated-multilayered-soil slope’s safety factor. Based on RSA without iteration, the critical slip surface of unsaturated-multilayered-soil slope could be located. Assuming that the effect of rainfall infiltration could be simulated by the gradual increase in groundwater level, the stability of an unsaturated-multilayered-soil slope under rainfall infiltration was evaluated by introducing different matric suction distribution patterns. Finally, the effect of the determined method of suction angle ($\phi^b$) on the safety factor was investigated. It was found that the fluctuation of the groundwater level has a significant influence on the location of the most dangerous sliding surface. The associated minimum safety factor and the sliding modes of unsaturated-soil slope gradually change from deep sliding to shallow sliding with the rise of groundwater level. Moreover, the traditional slope stability method regardless of the matric suction is conservative to the predicted results. It is more reasonable to adopt the linear distribution of matric suction in practical calculation of the safety factor ($F_s$). In addition, $F_s$ is sensitive to the distribution of $\phi^b$ and the linear relationship between $\phi^b$ and $(u_a-u_w)$ is more beneficial in addressing the stability of the unsaturated multilayered coastal slope. It should be noted that the present approach is simple and a lot of factors such as the effect of soil layering on the matric suction and non-circular failure surface are not covered, which will be addressed in detail in future work.
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