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Abstract: Dealing with problems on portfolio selection models fuzzy set theory is effectively interpolating investor’s attitude. The credibility theory (Branch of fuzzy set theory) is broadly utilized to describe uncertainty of the financial markets. We regard the return rate of each risky stock as a trapezoidal fuzzy number. Variance and semi-variance of fuzzy return on stocks are widely accepted as risk measures in portfolio selection models. This paper obtains credibilistic semi-variance of trapezoidal fuzzy variable and applied this concept to quantify the risk in stock fuzzy portfolio selection. A multi-criteria credibilistic mean-semivariance-skewness model is proposed with numerical illustration taking historical data set from the premier market for financial assets. Three objectives are taken into account namely, expected portfolio return, risk on expected portfolio return and portfolio skewness to construct multi-objective programming problem, along with cardinality constraint, complete capital utilization, floor and ceiling constraint, no short selling constraints. To solve the proposed multi-objective optimization problem, optimal goal programming approach is suggested. Finally, a case study is conducted to highlight the effectiveness of the proposed models through the real-world data from the Bombay Stock Exchange (BSE), an Indian premier market for financial stocks. Furthermore, results comparison of semi-variance as risk measure with other existing risk measures is performed.
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1. Introduction

Modern theory of portfolio optimization is an improvement of milestone research of Markowitz [1] namely “mean-variance model”. The variance as a tool of risk measure comes to be very popular in portfolio theory. However, variance is criticized also because variance considers equal weight to both low and high returns. Markowitz [2] rectified this limitation of variance by introducing a new risk measure namely “semi-variance” in the portfolio optimization theory. Some scholars gathered merits of semi-variance measuring risk in portfolio optimization [3-5]. These works were proposed based on the assumption that returns on risky stocks are random variables and correctly reflected by available real historical data. In general, the stock market is so complex and suffers from the lack of larger data because of the occurrence of new stocks. There may be some cases such that the stock returns are surrounded with ambiguity and vagueness in the stock market see [6, 7]. To handle such situations modern researcher suggests describing stock returns by fuzzy sets or fuzzy numbers see [8, 9] is applied possibility measure to describe parameters. Zhang [16] presented multi-period model for fuzzy portfolio selection taking risk as possibilistic semi-variance of trapezoidal fuzzy variable with various constraints. Yong and Zhang [15] proposed investor’s different behavior portfolio optimization model based on possibilistic semi-variance of LR fuzzy number. Liu et al. [17] presented fuzzy portfolio performance evaluation based multi-period model using possibilistic semi-variance with
some realistic constraints. Although the theory of possibility has been widely adopted, it does not follow the theory of protection of truth and is incompatible with the principle of abstinent central and the theory of contraposition. The major argument is that possibility measure does not follow self-duality property. Although a self-dual property is thoroughly expected both in practice and theory. Liu and Liu [10] introduced new credibility measure to overcome the limitations of possibility measure which is being broadly applied in modern theory of fuzzy portfolio optimization. Huang [11] firstly defined semi-variance of fuzzy variables based on credibility theory and applied this concept to manage the risk in fuzzy portfolio optimization. Qin et al. [12] presented multi-period model using credibilistic semi-variance of trapezoidal fuzzy variable with transaction cost and various constrains.

Above cited research works reviled the utility of semi-variance as risk measure in portfolio theory especially in fuzzy portfolio selection problems. Presented work in this paper is a motivation of sapidity of researchers in modern portfolio theory. The key differences of presented research work from existing work are disquisition of credibilistic semi-variance of trapezoidal fuzzy variable when credibilistic mean returns lie within the cores and typical extension of mean-semi-variance model to mean-semi-variance-skewness model for credibilistic portfolio optimization. Modern research works have been presented portfolio selection models assuming that returns on risky stocks are trapezoidal fuzzy variable under credibilistic framework such as Mehlawat, M. K. [19] presented mean-entropy model and suggested multi-choice goal programming approach to select portfolios, Vercher and Bermudez [20] proposed rank-index model based on expected mean and loss function, Liu et al. [21] introduced CVaR as a new risk and presented mean-conditional value-at-risk model, Gupta P. et al. [22] proposed data envelopment analysis model for fuzzy portfolio selection, Mehlawat, M. K. et al. [23] proposed multi-objective portfolio performance evaluation model credibilistic framework etcetera. After defining skewness of fuzzy variable and considering in fuzzy portfolio selection by Li et al. [24], lots of research works have presented and argued about the role of skewness on portfolio selection under fuzzy environment see [25-30].

Credibilistic semi-variance is not only risk measure in fuzzy portfolio optimization theory but there are some other risk measures are also notified by researchers such as credibilistic variance, credibilistic absolute deviation, credibilistic entropy, credibilistic Value-at-risk etc see [31-34]. Although, these risk measures have organized importance in fuzzy portfolio selection not enough compatible to compare with semi-variance, but there are some more compatible risk measures to compare with semi-variance such as credibilistic semi-absolute deviation, credibilistic semi-entropy and credibilistic conditional value-at-risk see [35, 21, 27]. Therefore we will compare these three risk measures with presented risk measure for fuzzy portfolio selection problem.

Rest of the paper is organized in the following sections: Section 2 reviewed some necessary basic definitions and essential properties for credibilistic measure of fuzzy variables. In section 3, the credibilistic semi-variance of the trapezoidal fuzzy variable is obtained. In section 4, we presented mean-semi-variance-skewness model with solution methodology of the multi-objective programming problem for fuzzy portfolio selection. In section 5, a numerical example is presented to illustrate the performance of the proposed model and results comparison with the existing models. In section 6, the paper has been concluded by putting some comments. Acknowledgments and references are presented in the last of the paper.

2. Preliminaries

In this section, we recall the credibilistic notion and some basic concepts relevant to continuous fuzzy variable. In order to measure fuzzy sets, Zadeh [36] introduced a possibility measure. Fuzzy portfolio selection based on possibility measure become very popular, but criticized due to the absence of duality property in possibility measure, Liu and Liu [10] introduced credibility measure for fuzzy variables with duality property which is necessary in theory and practical. Some necessary basic definitions and essential properties for credibilistic measure of fuzzy variables are as follows:

Definition 2.1 (Li and Liu [37]): For the power set $\mathbb{P}$ of a non-empty set $\Phi$, each member $A \in \mathbb{P}$ is called an event and for any two members $A, B \in \mathbb{P}$ the set function $\text{Cr}(A)$, such that $0 \leq \text{Cr}(A) \leq 1$, is called the credibility measure if following axioms are hold

- Normality axiom: $\text{Cr}(\emptyset) = 1$.
- Monotonicity axiom: $\text{Cr}(A) \leq \text{Cr}(B)$, whenever $A \subset B$.
- Self-duality axiom: $\text{Cr}(A) + \text{Cr}(A^c) = 1$, for any event $A$.
- Maximality axiom: $\text{Cr}(\{A_i\}) = \sup_{t \in A} \text{Cr}(A_t)$, for any sequence of event $\{A_i\}$ ith sup $\sup_{t \in A} \text{Cr}(A_t) < 0.5$.

Definition 2.2 (Liu [38]): The membership function $\mu(t)$ of a fuzzy variable $\eta$ can be derived from the credibility measure as follows:

$$\mu(t) = (2\text{Cr}(\eta = t)) \wedge 1, t \in R.$$  

Definition 2.3 (Liu [38]): For a fuzzy variable $\eta$ with membership function $\mu(t)$, we have

$$\text{Cr}(\eta \in A) = \frac{1}{2} \left( \sup_{t \in A} \mu(t) + 1 - \sup_{t \in A^c} \mu(t) \right)$$
Definition 2.4 (Liu and Liu [10]): The expected value of a fuzzy variable $\eta$ can be defined by

$$E(\eta) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} C_r(\eta \geq r) dr - \int_{-\infty}^{0} C_r(\eta \leq r) dr$$

Definition 2.5 (Liu and Liu [10]): The variance of a fuzzy variable $\eta$ with finite expected value $E(\eta)$ can be defined by

$$V(\eta) = E\left[(\eta - E(\eta))^2\right]$$

Definition 2.6 (Liu [39]): The credibility distribution $\Gamma: R \rightarrow [0,1]$ of a fuzzy variable $\eta$ can be defined by

$$\Gamma(r) = C_r(\theta \in \Theta|\eta(\theta) \leq r)$$

Definition 2.7 (Li et al. [24]): Let $\eta$ be a fuzzy variable with finite expected value $E[\eta]$. Then its skewness can be defined by

$$S_3[\eta] = E[(\eta - E[\eta])^3]$$

Example 2.1: Let $\text{Trapez}(\eta) = (t_a, t_b, t_c, t_d)$ be a trapezoidal fuzzy variable, then its credibility distribution can be given by

$$\Gamma(r) = \begin{cases} 
0, & \text{if } r < t_a, \\
\frac{r - t_a}{2(t_b - t_a)}, & \text{if } t_a \leq r < t_b, \\
\frac{1}{2}, & \text{if } t_b \leq r < t_c, \\
\frac{t_d - 2t_c + r}{2(t_d - t_c)}, & \text{if } t_c \leq r < t_d, \\
1, & \text{if } r \geq t_d. 
\end{cases}$$

Example 2.2: The credibilistic expected value of trapezoidal fuzzy variable $\text{Trapez}(\eta) = (t_a, t_b, t_c, t_d)$ can be given by

$$E[\eta] = \frac{t_a + t_b + t_c + t_d}{4}$$

Theorem 2.1 (Liu and Liu [40]): Let $\eta$ be a fuzzy variable with finite expected value $E(\eta)$. Then for any two real numbers $r_1$ and $r_2$ we have

$$E(r_1 \eta + r_2) = r_1 E(\eta) + r_2$$

Theorem 2.2 (Liu and Liu [40]): Let $\eta_1$ and $\eta_2$ be two fuzzy variables with finite expected values $E(\eta_1)$ and $E(\eta_2)$ respectively. Then for any two real numbers $r_1$ and $r_2$ we have

$$E(r_1 \eta_1 + r_2 \eta_2) = r_1 E(\eta_1) + r_2 E(\eta_2)$$

3. Credibilistic Semi-variance of the Trapezoidal Fuzzy Variable

In order to obtain credibilistic semi-variance for trapezoidal fuzzy variable, we define semi-variance for fuzzy variable and its mathematical representation in terms of credibility measure. Let $\eta$ be a fuzzy variable with finite expected value $E(\eta)$, then semi-variance of $\eta$ can be defined as

$$V_s(\eta) = E[\{(\eta - E[\eta])^-\}^2],$$

where

$$(\eta - E[\eta])^- = \begin{cases} 
\eta - E[\eta], & \text{if } \eta \leq E[\eta], \\
0, & \text{if } \eta \geq E[\eta]. 
\end{cases}$$

It clear from the definition of semi-variance that $V_s(\eta)$ is always non-negative for fuzzy variable $\eta$. Using the definition 2.4 following mathematical representation of $V_s(\eta)$ is formulated:

$$V_s(\eta) = \int_{0}^{\infty} C_r((\eta - E[\eta])^-)^2 \geq r) dr$$

$$= \int_{0}^{\infty} C_r((\eta - E[\eta])^- \geq \sqrt{r}) dr$$

$$= 2 \int_{0}^{\infty} r C_r((\eta - E[\eta])^- \geq r) dr$$

$$= 2 \int_{0}^{\infty} r C_r(\eta \leq E[\eta] - r) dr$$

This shows that credibility measure $C_r(\eta \leq E[\eta] - r)$ for non-negative $r$ is required to obtain $V_s(\eta)$, which can be obtained from the definition 2.1 for trapezoidal fuzzy variable $\text{Trapez}(\eta) = (t_a, t_b, t_c, t_d)$ as follows:

$$V_s(\eta) = \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2} dr + \int_{\frac{3(a - 3b + b + c + d)}{4}}^{\infty} \frac{-3(t_a + t_b + t_c + t_d - 4r)}{8(t_b - t_a)} dr$$

Using this credibility in mentioned formula of variance, we get the variance of trapezoidal fuzzy variable as follows:

$$V_s(\eta) = \frac{1}{96} [7(t_b - t_a)^2 + 3(t_d - t_c)^2 + 6(t_b - t_a)(t_d - t_c) + 12(t_d - t_a)(t_c - t_b)]$$
Theorem 3.1 – Assume that \( Trap(\eta) = (t_a, t_b, t_c, t_d) \) be a trapezoidal fuzzy variable with finite expected value \( E[\eta] \) such that \( b \leq E[\eta] \leq c \), then

i. Credibilistic skewness \( S_k[\eta] \) of \( Trap(\eta) \) is given as follows:

\[
S_k[\eta] = \frac{1}{32} ((t_d - t_c)^2 - (t_b - t_a)^2) [t_d + t_c - t_b - t_a]
\]

ii. Credibilistic semi-absolute deviation \( SAD[\eta] \) of \( Trap(\eta) \) is given as follows:

\[
SAD[\eta] = \frac{t_a + t_c - t_b - t_a}{8}
\]

such that its credibilistic expected value lies within the core. Experts’ knowledge allows us to incorporate the expected value of the trapezoidal fuzzy variables between the cores because of the maximum membership grade in it. To obtain a multi-objective programming problem the following objective functions and constraints are constructed.

4.1. Objective Functions

\[
E(\eta_1 x_1 + \eta_2 x_2 + \ldots + \eta_n x_n) = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} t_{a_i} x_i + \sum_{i=1}^{n} t_{b_i} x_i + \sum_{i=1}^{n} t_{c_i} x_i + \sum_{i=1}^{n} t_{d_i} x_i}{4} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left( \frac{t_{a_i} + t_{b_i} + t_{c_i} + t_{d_i}}{4} \right) x_i
\]

Risk on portfolio return

Using credibilistic semi-variance of trapezoidal fuzzy variable as shown in section 3, the risk on portfolio return \( V(\eta_1 x_1 + \eta_2 x_2 + \ldots + \eta_n x_n) \) is obtained as:

\[
\frac{1}{96} \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{n} (t_{b_i} - t_{a_i}) x_i \right\}^2 + 3 \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{n} (t_{d_i} - t_{c_i}) x_i \right\}^2 + 6 \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n} (t_{b_i} - t_{a_i}) x_i \right) \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n} (t_{d_i} - t_{c_i}) x_i \right)
\]

The skewness of the portfolio

Using credibilistic skewness of trapezoidal fuzzy variable according to the theorem 5.1 the skewness of portfolio \( S_k(\eta_1 x_1 + \eta_2 x_2 + \ldots + \eta_n x_n) \) is obtained as:

\[
\frac{1}{32} \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{n} (t_{d_i} - t_{c_i}) x_i \right\} - \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{n} (t_{b_i} - t_{a_i}) x_i \right\}^2 \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{n} (t_{d_i} + t_{c_i} - t_{b_i} - t_{a_i}) x_i \right\}
\]

4.2. Constrains

Constraint of the complete capital budget on the stocks:

\[
\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i = 1,
\]

(Floor and ceiling constraint) - Maximum fraction of the capital budget that can be invested in a separate stock:

\[
x_i \leq u_i y_i, \forall i = 1, 2, \ldots, n,
\]

Infinitesimal fraction of the capital budget that can be invested in a separate stock:

\[
x_i \geq l_i y_i, \forall i = 1, 2, \ldots, n.
\]

Selection or rejection of stocks in the portfolio:

\[
y_i = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if \ ith \ stock \ is \ included \ in \ the \ portfolio,} \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}
\]

The minimum number (cardinality constraint) of stocks

4. Credibilistic Mean-semivariance-skewness Model

In order to construct an investment portfolio under multi-objective fuzzy portfolio selection, let us consider \( n \) risky stocks in the financial stock market. Assume that future return rates of stocks are independent trapezoidal fuzzy variables \( \eta_i = (t_{a_i}, t_{b_i}, t_{c_i}, t_{d_i}), i = 1, 2, \ldots, n \), with real continuous membership functions. Suppose that \( x_i, i = 1, 2, \ldots, n \), be proportions of investing budget for various stocks. Note that the future return of the portfolio \( x = (x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n) \) is also a trapezoidal fuzzy variable.

Expected portfolio return

Since portfolio return is a trapezoidal fuzzy variable, using the credibilistic expected value of trapezoidal fuzzy variable as shown in example 2.2 the expected portfolio return is obtained as:

\[
E(\eta_1 x_1 + \eta_2 x_2 + \ldots + \eta_n x_n) = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} t_{a_i} x_i + \sum_{i=1}^{n} t_{b_i} x_i + \sum_{i=1}^{n} t_{c_i} x_i + \sum_{i=1}^{n} t_{d_i} x_i}{4} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left( \frac{t_{a_i} + t_{b_i} + t_{c_i} + t_{d_i}}{4} \right) x_i
\]
held in the portfolio:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} y_i \geq 4,$$

No short-selling of stocks:

$$0 \leq x_i \leq 1, \forall i = 1,2, ..., n.$$

4.3. The Multi-objective Optimization Problem

Suppose that an investor wants to maximize expected portfolio return, minimize the portfolio risk and maximize the portfolio skewness simultaneously under some boundary conditions. Using the above objective functions and constraints, we proposed the following multi-objective MSVS model for fuzzy portfolio selection:

$$\begin{align*}
I. & \quad \text{max } E(n_1 x_1 + n_2 x_2 + \cdots + n_n x_n) = g_1 \\
& \quad \text{s.t. } x_1 + x_2 + \cdots + x_n = 1 \\
& \quad y_1 + y_2 + \cdots + y_n \geq 4 \\
& \quad l_i y_i \leq x_i \leq u_i y_i, i = 1,2, ..., n, \quad 0 \leq x_i \leq 1, i = 1,2, ..., n, \\
& \quad y_i \in (0,1)
\end{align*}$$

$$\begin{align*}
II. & \quad \text{min } V_S(n_1 x_1 + n_2 x_2 + \cdots + n_n x_n) = g_2 \\
& \quad \text{s.t. } x_1 + x_2 + \cdots + x_n = 1 \\
& \quad y_1 + y_2 + \cdots + y_n \geq 4 \\
& \quad l_i y_i \leq x_i \leq u_i y_i, i = 1,2, ..., n, \quad 0 \leq x_i \leq 1, i = 1,2, ..., n, \\
& \quad y_i \in (0,1)
\end{align*}$$

$$\begin{align*}
III. & \quad \text{max } S_v(n_1 x_1 + n_2 x_2 + \cdots + n_n x_n) = g_3 \\
& \quad \text{s.t. } x_1 + x_2 + \cdots + x_n = 1 \\
& \quad y_1 + y_2 + \cdots + y_n \geq 4 \\
& \quad l_i y_i \leq x_i \leq u_i y_i, i = 1,2, ..., n, \quad 0 \leq x_i \leq 1, i = 1,2, ..., n, \\
& \quad y_i \in (0,1)
\end{align*}$$

After solving these three problems and assigning optimal goals $g_1$, $g_2$, and $g_3$ to the objective functions the multi-objective programming problem can be reformulated to a single objective programming problem as follows:

$$\begin{align*}
\text{min } & \quad d_1 + d_2 + d_3 \\
\text{s. t. } & \quad E(n_1 x_1 + n_2 x_2 + \cdots + n_n x_n) + d_1 = g_1 \\
& \quad V_S(n_1 x_1 + n_2 x_2 + \cdots + n_n x_n) - d_2 = g_2 \\
& \quad S_v(n_1 x_1 + n_2 x_2 + \cdots + n_n x_n) + d_3 = g_3 \\
& \quad x_1 + x_2 + \cdots + x_n = 1 \\
& \quad y_1 + y_2 + \cdots + y_n \geq 4 \\
& \quad l_i y_i \leq x_i \leq u_i y_i, i = 1,2, ..., n, \quad 0 \leq x_i \leq 1, i = 1,2, ..., n, \\
& \quad y_i \in (0,1)
\end{align*}$$

This single objective optimization problem with all constraints is main programming problem to get proposed investment strategies, which can be solved easily.

5. Numerical Experimental Analysis with Discussion

In this section, we present and discuss the outcomes of an experimental screening for which we trust on real data set of weekly closing prices of stocks listed in Indian premier market for financial stocks.

5.1. Input Data Description

To investigate the performance of the proposed model for fuzzy portfolio selection, the real-world data has been extracted from the Bombay Stock Exchange (BSE), an Indian premier market for financial stocks. The sample data set contains randomly selected 20 stocks, which were taken from the BSE website (www.bseindia.com). The exchange codes for the stocks are presented in Table 1.

![Figure 1. Frequency distribution of historical return for stock 500003.](image)

To simulate the future returns for the stocks, the daily closing prices were used for the duration ranging from August 1, 2017 to July 25, 2019 (490 observations). Since future returns on stocks are supposed to be trapezoidal fuzzy variables, we need to compute quadruplet crisp numbers of the trapezoidal fuzzy variable for each stock. To estimate these parameters a group of expert's was formed, their technique is presented here for the first (500003) stock. Experts apprize that it can be possible to express the return...
observations graphically in the trapezoidal form (see Figure 1). The Figure 1 shows that most of the observations fall in the intervals \([0.0791, 0.1141]\), \([0.1141, 0.1491]\), \([0.1491, 0.1841]\), \([0.1841, 0.2191]\) and \([0.2191, 0.2541]\). The group of expert's advised that mid-points of the intervals \([0.0791, 0.1141]\) and \([0.2191, 0.2541]\) can be fixed as endpoints of the tolerances of quadruplet crisp numbers.

5.2. Computational Results

In order to obtain the investment strategies through the proposed model, we present the computational results of proposed model with optimal goals for the objectives. We set goal \(g_1 = 0.28215\) for the expected portfolio return, the goal \(g_2 = 0.04156\) for risk of the portfolio and the goal \(g_3 = 0.008497\) for skewness of the portfolio. After solving the proposed optimization programming problem with respect to the all constraints, the compatible computational results of the proposed model are compressed in Table 3. The proposed model suggested investors to invest his/her capital budget in the all 20 stocks. The expected value of optimal goals almost nearly to the optimal goals. The expected values of optimal goals will get the values \(0.28215\), \(0.04323\) and \(0.00795\) respectively in future. These show that we will get the expected goals almost nearly to the optimal goals.

5.3. Comparison with Existing Work

To show the novelty of the proposed model, we compare the computational results with some existing work related to credibilistic risk measures for trapezoidal fuzzy variable. Since there are various risk measures in portfolio selection modals (such as variance, absolute deviation, entropy, value at risk etc.), so we compare outcomes of proposed model taking our risk measure with outcomes of other three models taking compatible risk measures namely semi-entropy which is presented by Zhou et al. [35], conditional value-at-risk presented by Liu et al. [21], and semi-absolute deviation.
proposed by Vercher and Bermudez [27]. We apply the same problem formulation methodology and the same solution methodology for presented model and other models using these risk measures and obtain the results. To compare the results all outcomes are presented geometrically. The comprised results of expected portfolio returns of various models are presented geometrically (see Figure 2).

Finally we compare geometrically the portfolio skewness using various risk measure with the skewness of proposed model (see Figure 4), we find that maximum portfolio return using proposed risk measure and conditional value-at-risk measure. This numerical comparison of outcomes of various models show that presented model is best on each scale. We also compare stock proportions of various models with presented model. Comparison of optimal stocks and its allocations are presented in Table 4. Results presented in Table 4 shows that portfolio optimization model with proposed risk measure suggest investors to invest his/her capital budget in stocks $S_1, S_6, S_{14}, S_{15}$ with the investment proportions 8%, 45%, 39%, 8% respectively of his/her capital budget, portfolio optimization model with semi-entropy risk measure suggest investors to invest his/her capital budget in stocks $S_1, S_6, S_{8}, S_{13}$ with the investment proportions 8%, 45%, 39%, 8% respectively of his/her capital budget, portfolio optimization model with CVaR risk measure suggest investors to invest his/her capital budget in stocks $S_1, S_6, S_{14}, S_{15}$ with the investment proportions 8%, 45%, 39%, 8% respectively of his/her capital budget and semi-absolute deviation risk measure suggest investors to invest his/her capital budget in stocks $S_1, S_6, S_{8}, S_{15}$ with the investment proportions 8%, 45%, 39%, 8% respectively of his/her capital budget. Investor can generate more portfolios with different number of stocks according to his/her satisfaction.

6. Conclusions

This paper has obtained credibilistic semi-variance of the trapezoidal fuzzy variable and applied this concept to quantify risk on future return of risky stocks and the risk on expected portfolio return. An empirical multi-criteria mean-semivariance-skewness portfolio selection model has presented under a fuzzy environment. In order to obtain optimal portfolios, a multi-objective optimization has been constructed concerning mean, semivariance and skewness as objective functions with various constraints. To solve multi-objective programming problem an optimal goal programming approach has been applied. A numerical exemplification has been delivered to expound the comportment of the proposed portfolio selection model, using original historical data from the Bombay Stock Exchange, India. To show the novelty of the proposed model, we compared the computational results with some existing work related to credibilistic risk measures for trapezoidal fuzzy variable namely semi-entropy, conditional value-at-risk, and semi-absolute deviation. The computational outcomes demonstrate that the proposed portfolio optimization
approach come out clean congenial portfolio optimization strategies, according to the investor’s degree of amusement.
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