Integral representation for $L$-functions for $\text{GSp}_4 \times \text{GL}_2$, II
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Abstract. Based on Furusawa’s theory [7], we present an integral representation for the $L$-function $L(s, \pi \times \tau)$, where $\pi$ is a cuspidal automorphic representation on $\text{GSp}_4$ related to a holomorphic Siegel modular form, and where $\tau$ is an arbitrary cuspidal automorphic representation on $\text{GL}_2$. As an application, a special value result for this $L$-function in the spirit of Deligne’s conjecture is proved.

1 Introduction

Let $F$ be a number field and $\mathbb{A}$ its ring of adeles. Let $\pi$ be a cuspidal, automorphic representation of $\text{GSp}_4(\mathbb{A})$, and let $\tau$ be a cuspidal, automorphic representation of $\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{A})$. In his paper [7], Furusawa has obtained an integral representation for the $\text{GSp}_4 \times \text{GL}_2$ partial $L$-function $L^S(s, \pi \times \tau)$ by integrating an Eisenstein series on a unitary group $\text{GU}(2, 2; L)$, where $L$ is a quadratic extension of $F$, against a cusp form in the space of $\pi$. Furusawa carried out the relevant local $p$-adic calculations in the case where all the data is unramified, and the relevant archimedean calculations in the case of “matching weights”. This was sufficient to prove a special value result for $L(s, \pi \times \tau)$ in the case where $\pi$ and $\tau$ come from holomorphic modular forms with respect to the full modular group.

Furusawa’s theory has been refined and extended in the works [17] and [20]. In [17] it was shown that the $\text{GL}_2$ representation $\tau$ can have arbitrarily high conductor, as long as its central character remains unramified. At the archimedean place, the condition on the weights was relaxed. In [20] it was shown that Furusawa’s method still works in certain cases of square-free ramification, both for $\tau$ and $\pi$. These more general integral representations lead to special value results for a wider class of holomorphic modular forms.

In the present paper we will still assume that $\pi$ is of the type considered in Furusawa’s original work, but we will remove any restriction on $\tau$. Over the number field $\mathbb{Q}$, this means that $\pi$ is related to a holomorphic Siegel modular form for $\text{Sp}_4(\mathbb{Z})$, but we will allow arbitrary cuspidal twists $\pi \times \tau$. In a future work we would like to combine this method with the converse theorem for $\text{GL}_4$ (see [31]) in order to lift holomorphic Siegel modular forms (which are non-generic) to the group $\text{GL}_4$, which is one reason why it is important to be able to twist with arbitrary cuspidal $\text{GL}_2$ representations.

Via a “basic identity” proved in [7], Furusawa’s global integrals factor into an Euler product of local zeta integrals of the form

\[ Z(s, W^\#, B_v) = \int_{R(F_v) \backslash \text{GSp}_4(F_v)} W^\#(\eta h, s) B_v(h) \, dh. \tag{1} \]

Here, the function $B_v$ is a vector in a suitable Bessel model of $\pi_v$. The group $R$ is the corresponding “Bessel subgroup” of $\text{GSp}_4$. The function $W^\#$ is a section in a family of induced representations on the local unitary group $\text{GU}(2, 2; L)(F_v)$ (the element $\eta$ is a certain fixed element in the unitary group). The main point in Furusawa’s theory is to choose the functions $B_v$ and $W^\#$ such that the integrals (1) are non-zero for all places $v$.

In view of the nature of the representation $\pi$, natural choices of Bessel functions $B_v$ present themselves, namely as the spherical vector at finite places, and as a highest weight vector in the archimedean case. The “correct” choice of section $W^\#$ is more delicate. For a finite place $v$, the choice of $W^\#$ in [17] or [20] is a bit ad hoc and hence not applicable to the case of general $\tau_v$. Here, we will obtain a more natural and canonical choice which works for all representations $\tau_v$ and leads to a much simplified integral calculation in the cases of overlap with [17]. We shall prove that the relevant induced representation on $\text{GU}(2, 2; L)(F_v)$ admits a sort of local newform theory with respect to a certain sequence of compact-open subgroups (Theorem 6.25). The
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minimal level coincides with that of \( \tau_v \), the local \( \GL_2 \) representation from which the induced representation is constructed. Moreover, at this minimal level, the space of invariant vectors is one-dimensional. In this sense there is a unique local newform and we let \( W^\#_v \) be this newform. We believe that this choice of local vector in the ramified case is, conceptually, one of the most important contributions of this paper. We hope that it will shed some light on ramified integral calculations in different settings.

Our choice of section in the archimedean case is novel as well, which allows us to remove a certain assumption on the \( \GSp_4 \) weight (condition (4.3.3) in [7] and Assumption 2 in [17], 5.1). But more importantly, the current approach works for non-matching parity in the \( \GSp_4 \) and \( \GL_2 \) weights. Hence, for a real place and suitable \( W^\#_v \), the local integrals (1) are always non-zero. The precise value of the integral is given in Theorem 4.4.1 which is our archimedean main theorem.

In the final part of this paper we demonstrate how to apply the local theorems in order to derive a global integral representation for \( L(s, \pi \times \tau) \), where the cuspidal automorphic representation \( \pi \) of \( \GSp_4(\mathbb{A}_\mathbb{Q}) \) comes from a holomorphic Siegel modular form, and \( \tau \) is an arbitrary cuspidal, automorphic representation of \( \GL_2(\mathbb{A}_\mathbb{Q}) \). Theorem 5.5.1 contains the precise result in the case that \( \tau \) comes from a holomorphic elliptic cusp form of the same weight (even though such a restriction on \( \tau \) is not necessary). We further use this integral representation to prove a special value result for \( L(s, \pi \times \tau) \); see Theorem 5.7.1. Results of this kind have appeared in [11], [7] and [20]. The special value result of this paper substantially adds to the previously known cases, in the sense that it allows elliptic modular forms (with the same weight) of any level and nebentypus. In particular, we allow the weights to be odd (the smallest possible odd weight for a full level cuspidal Siegel modular form is 35).

After some definitions and preliminary remarks, we review Furusawa’s general theory in Sect. 2.5. Following this we develop the non-archimedean theory. The main result here is the local integral representation Theorem 3.5.1 but the existence of a “local newform” in certain induced representations stated in Theorem 3.4.5 is possibly of independent interest. For example, the uniqueness of the distinguished vector is helpful in proving a functional equation, which will be the topic of a future work. After the non-archimedean theory we develop the archimedean theory, with the local integral representation Theorem 4.4.1 as the archimedean main result. The final sections contain the global applications mentioned above.

We would like to thank Abhishek Saha, with whom we had several helpful discussions on the subject of this paper.

## 2 General setup

In this section, we will recall the basic definitions as stated in Sect. 2 of [17]. For simplicity we will make all definitions over a local field, but it is clear how to define the corresponding global objects. Let \( F \) be a non-archimedean local field of characteristic zero, or \( F = \mathbb{R} \). We fix three elements \( a, b, c \in F \) such that \( d := b^2 - 4ac \neq 0 \). Let

\[
L = \begin{cases} 
F(\sqrt{d}) & \text{if } d \notin F^{x^2}, \\
F \oplus F & \text{if } d \in F^{x^2}.
\end{cases}
\]  

(2)

In case \( L = F \oplus F \), we consider \( F \) diagonally embedded. If \( L \) is a field, we denote by \( \bar{x} \) the Galois conjugate of \( x \in L \) over \( F \). If \( L = F \oplus F \), let \((x, y) = (y, x)\). In any case we let \( N(x) = x \bar{x} \) and \( \text{tr}(x) = x + \bar{x} \).

### 2.1 The unitary group

We now define the symplectic and unitary similitude groups. Let \( H = \GSp_4 \) and \( G = \GU(2, 2; L) \) be the algebraic \( F \)-groups whose \( F \)-points are given by

\[
H(F) = \{g \in \GL_4(F) : {}^t g J g = \mu(g) J, \mu(g) \in F^{x}\},
\]

\[
G(F) = \{g \in \GL_4(L) : {}^t g J g = \mu(g) J, \mu(g) \in F^{x}\},
\]
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where \( J = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \). Note that \( H(F) = G(F) \cap \text{GL}_4(F) \). As a minimal parabolic subgroup we choose the subgroup of all matrices that become upper triangular after switching the last two rows and last two columns. Let \( P \) be the standard maximal parabolic subgroup of \( G(F) \) with a non-abelian unipotent radical. Let \( P = MN \) be the Levi decomposition of \( P \). We have \( M = M^{(1)} M^{(2)} \), where

\[
M^{(1)}(F) = \{ \begin{bmatrix} \zeta & 1 \\ \bar{\zeta}^{-1} & 1 \end{bmatrix} : \zeta \in L^\times \},
\]

\[
M^{(2)}(F) = \{ \begin{bmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ \mu & \gamma \end{bmatrix} : \alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta \in L^\times, \mu = \bar{\alpha} \delta - \beta \bar{\gamma} \in F^\times \},
\]

\[
N(F) = \{ \begin{bmatrix} 1 & z \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & w \\ 1 & y \end{bmatrix} : w \in F, y, z \in L \}.
\]

Note that \( M^{(2)}(F) \cong \text{GU}(1,1;L)(F) \), where \( \text{GU}(1,1;L) \) is defined analogously to \( G = \text{GU}(2,2;L) \). The modular factor of the parabolic \( P \) is given by

\[
\delta_P(\begin{bmatrix} \zeta & \bar{\zeta}^{-1} \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ \mu & \gamma \end{bmatrix}) = |N(\zeta)| \mu^{-|\beta|} (\mu = \bar{\alpha} \delta - \beta \bar{\gamma}),
\]

where \( | \cdot | \) is the normalized absolute value on \( F \). By Lemma 2.1.1 of [17], the map

\[
L^\times \times \text{GL}_2(F) \longrightarrow \text{GU}(1,1;L)(F),
\]

\[
(\lambda, \begin{bmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ \gamma & \delta \end{bmatrix}) \longrightarrow \lambda \begin{bmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ \gamma & \delta \end{bmatrix},
\]

is surjective with kernel \( \{(\lambda, \lambda^{-1}) : \lambda \in F^\times \} \). Hence, if \( \tau \) is a representation of \( \text{GL}_2(F) \), and if \( \chi_0 \) is a character of \( L^\times \) such that \( \chi_0|_{F^\times} \) coincides with the central character of \( \tau \), then we can extend \( \tau \) to a representation of \( \text{GU}(1,1;L)(F) \) by

\[
\tau(\lambda \begin{bmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ \gamma & \delta \end{bmatrix}) = \chi_0(\lambda) \tau \begin{bmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ \gamma & \delta \end{bmatrix}.
\]

This construction will be used frequently in the following. Every irreducible, admissible representation of \( \text{GU}(1,1;L)(F) \) is of the form (8).

### 2.2 The Bessel subgroup

Recall that we fixed three elements \( a, b, c \in F \) such that \( d = b^2 - 4ac \neq 0 \). Let

\[
S = \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ \frac{b}{2} & c \end{bmatrix}, \quad \xi = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{b}{2} & c \\ -a & -\frac{b}{2} \end{bmatrix}.
\]

Then \( F(\xi) = F + F\xi \) is a two-dimensional \( F \)-algebra isomorphic to \( L \). If \( L = F(\sqrt{d}) \) is a field, then an isomorphism is given by \( x + y\xi \mapsto x + y\frac{\sqrt{d}}{2} \). If \( L = F \oplus F \), then an isomorphism is given by \( x + y\xi \mapsto (x + y\frac{\sqrt{d}}{2}, x - y\frac{\sqrt{d}}{2}) \). The determinant map on \( F(\xi) \) corresponds to the norm map on \( L \). Let

\[
T(F) = \{ g \in \text{GL}_2(F) : \, ^t g S g = \det(g) S \}.
\]
One can check that \( T(F) = F(\xi)^{\times} \). Note that \( T(F) \cong L^{\times} \) via the isomorphism \( F(\xi) \cong L \). We consider \( T(F) \) a subgroup of \( H(F) \) via

\[
T(F) \ni g \mapsto \begin{bmatrix} g & \det(g) t \end{bmatrix} g^{-1} \in H(F).
\]

Let

\[
U(F) = \{ \begin{bmatrix} 1 & X \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \in H(F) : \ 'X = X \}
\]

and \( R(F) = T(F)U(F) \). We call \( R(F) \) the Bessel subgroup of \( H(F) \) (with respect to the given data \( a, b, c \)). Let \( \psi \) be any non-trivial character \( F \to \mathbb{C}^{\times} \). Let \( \theta : U(F) \to \mathbb{C}^{\times} \) be the character given by

\[
\theta\left( \begin{bmatrix} 1 & X \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \right) = \psi(\text{tr}(SX)).
\]

Explicitly,

\[
\theta\left( \begin{bmatrix} 1 & x & y \\ 1 & y & z \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \right) = \psi(ax + by + cz).
\]

We have \( \theta(t^{-1}ut) = \theta(u) \) for all \( u \in U(F) \) and \( t \in T(F) \). Hence, if \( \Lambda \) is any character of \( T(F) \), then the map \( tu \mapsto \Lambda(t)\theta(u) \) defines a character of \( R(F) \). We denote this character by \( \Lambda \otimes \theta \).

### 2.3 Parabolic induction from \( P(F) \) to \( G(F) \)

Let \( (\tau, V_{\tau}) \) be an irreducible, admissible representation of \( \text{GL}_{2}(F) \), and let \( \chi_{0} \) be a character of \( L^{\times} \) such that \( \chi_{0}|_{F^{\times}} \) coincides with \( \omega_{\tau} \), the central character of \( \tau \). Then the pair \( (\chi_{0}, \tau) \) defines a representation of \( M^{(2)}(F) \) as in \([5]\) on the same space \( V_{\tau} \). We denote this representation by \( \chi_{0} \times \tau \). If \( V_{\tau} \) is a space of functions on \( \text{GL}_{2}(F) \) on which \( \text{GL}_{2}(F) \) acts by right translation, then \( \chi_{0} \times \tau \) can be realized as a space of functions on \( M^{(2)}(F) \) on which \( M^{(2)}(F) \) acts by right translation. This is accomplished by extending every \( W \in V_{\tau} \) to a function on \( M^{(2)}(F) \) via

\[
W(\lambda g) = \chi_{0}(\lambda)W(g), \quad \lambda \in L^{\times}, \ g \in \text{GL}_{2}(F).
\]

If \( V_{\tau} \) is the Whittaker model of \( \tau \) with respect to the character \( \psi \), then the extended functions \( W \) satisfy the transformation property

\[
W\left( \begin{bmatrix} 1 & x \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} g \right) = \psi(x)W(g), \quad x \in F, \ g \in M^{(2)}(F).
\]

If \( s \) is a complex parameter, \( \chi \) is any character of \( L^{\times} \) and \( \chi_{0} \times \tau \) is a representation of \( M^{(2)}(F) \) as above, we denote by \( I(s, \chi, \chi_{0}, \tau) \) the induced representation of \( G(F) \) consisting of functions \( f : G(F) \to V_{\tau} \) with the transformation property

\[
f\left( \begin{bmatrix} \zeta & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \right) \left( \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \lambda_{\alpha} \\ \lambda_{\gamma} & 1 \end{bmatrix} \right) \left( \begin{bmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ \gamma & \delta \end{bmatrix} \right) n g \right) \right) = |N(\zeta \lambda^{-1})(\alpha \delta - \beta \gamma)^{-1}|^{3(s+\frac{1}{2})} \chi(\zeta)\chi_{0}(\lambda)\tau\left( \begin{bmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ \gamma & \delta \end{bmatrix} \right)f(g).
\]
Now assume that $V_\tau$ is the Whittaker model of $\tau$ with respect to the character $\psi$ of $F$. If we associate to each $f$ as above the function on $G(F)$ given by $W_f(g) = f(g)(1)$, then we obtain another model $I_W(s, \chi, \chi_0, \tau)$ of $I(s, \chi, \chi_0, \tau)$ consisting of functions $W : G(F) \to \mathbb{C}$. These functions satisfy

$$W(\begin{bmatrix} \zeta & 1 \\ 1 & \zeta^{-1} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \lambda \\ \lambda & N(\lambda) \end{bmatrix} g) = |N(\zeta\lambda^{-1})|^{\Re(s+\frac{1}{2})}\chi(\zeta)\chi_0(\lambda)W(g), \quad \zeta, \lambda \in L^\times,$$

(15)

and

$$W(\begin{bmatrix} 1 & z \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & w \\ \frac{1}{y} & x \end{bmatrix} g) = \psi(x)W(g), \quad w, x \in F; \ y, z \in L.$$

(16)

See Sect. 2.5 for more on various models for the induced representation.

2.4 The local integral

Let $(\pi, V_\pi)$ be an irreducible, admissible representation of $H(F)$. Let the Bessel subgroup $R(F)$ be as defined in Sect. 2.2; it depends on the given data $a, b, c \in F$. We assume that $V_\pi$ is a Bessel model for $\pi$ with respect to the character $\Lambda \otimes \theta$ of $R(F)$. Hence, $V_\pi$ consists of functions $B : H(F) \to \mathbb{C}$ satisfying the Bessel transformation property

$$B(tuh) = \Lambda(t)\theta(u)B(h) \quad \text{for } t \in T(F), \ u \in U(F), \ h \in H(F).$$

Let $(\tau, V_\tau)$ be a generic, irreducible, admissible representation of $GL_2(F)$ such that $V_\tau$ is the $\psi^{-c}$-Whittaker model of $\tau$ (we assume $c \neq 0$). Let $\chi_0$ be a character of $L^\times$ such that $\chi_0|_{F^\times} = \omega_\tau$. Let $\chi$ be the character of $L^\times$ which satisfies

$$\chi(\zeta) = \Lambda(\zeta)^{-1}\chi_0(\zeta)^{-1}. \quad (17)$$

Let $W^#(\cdot, s)$ be an element of $I_W(s, \chi, \chi_0, \tau)$ for which the restriction of $W^#(\cdot, s)$ to the standard maximal compact subgroup of $G(F)$ is independent of $s$, i.e., $W^#(\cdot, s)$ is a “flat section” of the family of induced representations $I_W(s, \chi, \chi_0, \tau)$. By Lemma 2.3.1 of [17], it is meaningful to consider the integral

$$Z(s, W^#, B) = \int_{R(F) \backslash H(F)} W^#(\eta h, s)B(h) \, dh. \quad (18)$$

Here,

$$\eta = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ \alpha & -\zeta \alpha \end{bmatrix}, \quad \text{where } \alpha = \begin{cases} \frac{b + \sqrt{d}}{2c} & \text{if } L \text{ is a field}, \\ \left(\frac{b + \sqrt{d}}{2c}, \frac{b - \sqrt{d}}{2c}\right) & \text{if } L = F \oplus F. \end{cases} \quad (19)$$

As explained in Sect. 2.5 below, see in particular [23], these local integrals appear in integral representations for global $GSp_4 \times GL_2$-functions. Therefore, being able to make choices for the functions $W^#$ and $B$ such that $Z(s, W^#, B)$ is non-zero leads to such integral representations. In the following we shall demonstrate that this is always possible for local $GSp_4(F)$ representations $\pi$ that are relevant for the global application to Siegel modular forms we have in mind. In the real case we shall assume that $\pi$ is a holomorphic discrete series representation and that $B$ corresponds to the highest weight vector. In the $p$-adic case we shall assume that $\pi$ is an unramified representation and that $B$ corresponds to the spherical vector.

The generic $GL_2(F)$ representation $\tau$, however, will be completely arbitrary. In the non-archimedean case, we will restrict our attention to ramified representations $\tau$, since the unramified case has been done in [7]. In both the archimedean and non-archimedean cases, $W^#$ will be a vector in the induced representation which has a suitable right transformation property under the maximal compact subgroup of $H(F)$ depending on that of the Bessel vector $B$. 
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2.5 Review of Furusawa’s theory

In this section we recall some of the theory of [7] relevant for this paper. For simplicity we work over the ground field \( \mathbb{Q} \).

Bessel models

Let \( a, b, c \in \mathbb{Q} \) such that \( D = 4ac - b^2 > 0 \) is a non-square in \( \mathbb{Q}^\times \). Then \( L = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-D}) \) is an imaginary quadratic field extension of \( \mathbb{Q} \). Let \( \mathbb{A}_L \) be the ring of adeles of \( L \). The adelic points \( T(\mathbb{A}) \) of the group defined in [9] satisfies \( T(\mathbb{A}) \cong \mathbb{A}_L^\times \) and \( T(\mathbb{Q}) \cong L^\times \).

We fix the additive character \( \psi = \prod_p \psi_p \) of \( \mathbb{Q}\setminus\mathbb{A} \) for which \( \psi_p \) has conductor \( 
\psi_p \) for all primes \( p \) and for which \( \psi_{ac}(x) = e^{-2\pi ix} \) for \( x \in \mathbb{R} \). Let \( U \) be the unipotent radical of the Siegel parabolic subgroup of \( H \). Let \( \theta \) be the character of \( U(\mathbb{A}) \) given by

\[
\theta \left( \begin{bmatrix} 1 & X \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \right) = \psi(\text{tr}(SX)), \quad X \in M_2(\mathbb{A}), \ X = ^tX.
\]

As in Sect. 2.2, \( R = TU \) is the Bessel subgroup defined by \( S \). Let \( \Lambda \) be a Hecke character of \( L \), i.e., a character of \( T(\mathbb{Q}) \setminus T(\mathbb{A}) \cong L^\times \setminus \mathbb{A}_L^\times \). Then the map

\[
tu \mapsto \Lambda(t)\theta(u), \quad t \in T(\mathbb{A}), \ u \in U(\mathbb{A}),
\]

is a character of \( R(\mathbb{Q}) \setminus R(\mathbb{A}) \), which we denote by \( \Lambda \otimes \theta \).

Let \( \pi = \otimes \pi_p \) be a cuspidal, automorphic representation of \( H(\mathbb{A}) \). Let \( V_\pi \) be the space of automorphic forms realizing \( \pi \). Assume that a Hecke character \( \Lambda \) as above is chosen such that the restriction of \( \Lambda \) to \( \mathbb{A}_L^\times \) coincides with \( \omega_\pi \), the central character of \( \pi \). For each \( \phi \in V_\pi \) consider the corresponding Bessel function

\[
B_\phi(g) = \int_{Z_H(\mathbb{A})R(\mathbb{Q}) \setminus R(\mathbb{A})} (\Lambda \otimes \theta)(r)^{-1}\phi(r g) \, dr,
\]

where \( Z_H \) is the center of \( H \). If one of these integrals is non-zero, then all are non-zero, and we obtain a model \( B_{\Lambda,\theta,\psi}(\pi) \) of \( \pi \) consisting of functions on \( H(\mathbb{A}) \) with the obvious transformation property on the left with respect to \( R(\mathbb{A}) \). In this case, we say that \( \pi \) has a global Bessel model of type \( (S, \Lambda, \psi) \). It implies that the local Bessel model \( B_{\Lambda_p,\theta_p,\psi_p}(\pi_p) \) exists for every \( p \). In fact, there is a canonical isomorphism

\[
\bigotimes_p B_{\Lambda_p,\theta_p,\psi_p}(\pi_p) \cong B_{\Lambda,\theta,\psi}(\pi).
\]

If \( (B_p)_p \) is a collection of local Bessel functions \( B_p \in B_{\Lambda_p,\theta_p,\psi_p}(\pi_p) \) such that \( B_p|_{H(\mathbb{Z}_p)} = 1 \) for almost all \( p \), then this isomorphism is such that \( \otimes_p B_p \) corresponds to the global function

\[
B(g) = \prod_p B_p(g_p), \quad g = (g_p)_p \in H(\mathbb{A}).
\]

Global induced representations

The Eisenstein series \( E(h,s) \) entering into the global integral \([20]\) below will be defined from a section in a global induced representation of \( G(\mathbb{A}) \). We therefore now discuss various models of such induced representations. Let \( (\tau, V_\tau) \) be a cuspidal, automorphic representation of \( \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{A}) \). Let \( \chi_0 \) be a character of \( L^\times \setminus \mathbb{A}_L^\times \) such that the restriction of \( \chi_0 \) to \( \mathbb{A}_L^\times \) coincides with \( \omega_\tau \), the central character of \( \tau \). Then, as in [8] in the local case, \( \chi_0 \) can be used to extend \( \tau \) to a representation of \( M^{(2)}(\mathbb{A}) \), denoted by \( \chi_0 \times \tau \). Let \( \chi \) be another character of \( L^\times \setminus \mathbb{A}_L^\times \), considered as a character of \( M^{(1)}(\mathbb{A}) \). This data defines a family of induced
representations \( I(s, \chi, \chi_0, \tau) \) of \( G(\mathbb{A}) \) depending on a complex parameter \( s \). The space of \( I(s, \chi, \chi_0, \tau) \) consists of functions \( \varphi : G(\mathbb{A}) \to V_\tau \) with the transformation property

\[
\varphi(m_1 m_2 ng) = \delta_p(m_1 m_2)^{s+1/2} \chi(m_1)(\chi_0 \times \tau)(m_2) \varphi(g), \quad m_1 \in M^{(1)}(\mathbb{A}), \ m_2 \in M^{(2)}(\mathbb{A}), \ n \in N(\mathbb{A}).
\]

Since the representation \( \tau \) is given as a space of automorphic forms, we may realize \( I(s, \chi, \chi_0, \tau) \) as a space of \( \mathbb{C} \)-valued functions on \( G(\mathbb{A}) \). More precisely, to each \( \varphi \) as above we may attach the function \( f_\varphi \) on \( G(\mathbb{A}) \) given by \( f_\varphi(g) = (\varphi(g))(1) \). Each function \( f_\varphi \) has the property that \( GL_2(\mathbb{A}) \ni h \mapsto f_\varphi(hg) \) is an element of \( V_\tau \), for each \( g \in G(\mathbb{A}) \). Let \( I_C(s, \chi, \chi_0, \tau) \) be the model of \( I(s, \chi, \chi_0, \tau) \) thus obtained. A third model of the same representation is obtained by attaching to \( f \in I_C(s, \chi, \chi_0, \tau) \) the function

\[
W_f(g) = \int_{\mathbb{Q}\setminus\mathbb{A}} f \left( \begin{array}{ccc} 1 & x & \lambda \\ 1 & 1 & \lambda \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \end{array} \right) g \psi(cx)dx, \quad g \in G(\mathbb{A}). \tag{22}
\]

The map \( f \mapsto W_f \) is injective since \( \tau \) is cuspidal. In fact, \( f \) can be recovered from \( W_f \) via the formula

\[
f(g) = \sum_{\lambda \in \mathbb{Q}^\times} W_f \left( \begin{array}{ccc} 1 & x & \lambda \\ 1 & 1 & \lambda \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \end{array} \right) g, \quad g \in G(\mathbb{A}). \tag{23}
\]

Let \( I_W(s, \chi, \chi_0, \tau) \) be the space of all functions \( W_f \). Now write \( \tau \cong \otimes \tau_p \) with local representations \( \tau_p \) of \( GL_2(\mathbb{Q}_p) \). We also factor \( \chi = \otimes \chi_p \) and \( \chi_0 = \otimes \chi_{0,p} \), where \( \chi_p \) and \( \chi_{0,p} \) are characters of \( \prod_{\mathfrak{p} \mid p} L^\times_p \). Then there are isomorphisms

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
I(s, \chi, \chi_0, \tau) & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \otimes_p I(s, \chi_p, \chi_{0,p}, \tau_p) \\
\sim & \downarrow & \downarrow \\
I_C(s, \chi, \chi_0, \tau) & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \otimes_p I(s, \chi_p, \chi_{0,p}, \tau_p) \\
\sim & \downarrow & \downarrow \\
I_W(s, \chi, \chi_0, \tau) & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \otimes_p I_W(s, \chi_p, \chi_{0,p}, \tau_p)
\end{array} \tag{24}
\]

Here, the local induced representation \( I(s, \chi_p, \chi_{0,p}, \tau_p) \) consists of functions taking values in a model \( V_{\tau_p} \) of \( \tau_p \); see Sect. 2.3 for the precise definition. Assume that \( V_{\tau_p} = W(\tau_p, \psi_{\tau_p}^c) \) is the Whittaker model of \( V_{\tau_p} \) with respect to the additive character \( \psi_{\tau_p}^c \). If we attach to each \( f_p \in I(s, \chi_p, \chi_{0,p}, \tau_p) \) the function \( W_{f_p}(g) = f_p(g)(1) \), then we obtain the model \( I_W(s, \chi_p, \chi_{0,p}, \tau_p) \) of the same induced representation. The bottom isomorphism in diagram (24) is such that if \( W_p \in I_W(s, \chi_p, \chi_{0,p}, \tau_p) \) are given, with the property that \( W_p|_{G(\mathbb{Z}_p)} = 1 \) for almost all \( p \), then the corresponding element of \( I_W(s, \chi, \chi_0, \tau) \) is the function

\[
W(g) = \prod_{p \leq \infty} W_p(g_p), \quad g = (g_p)_p \in G(\mathbb{A}). \tag{25}
\]

The global integral and the basic identity

As above let \( (\tau, V_\tau) \) be a cuspidal, automorphic representation of \( GL_2(\mathbb{A}) \), extended to a representation of \( M^{(2)}(\mathbb{A}) \) via a character \( \chi_0 \) of \( L^\times \setminus \mathbb{A}^\times_L \). Let further \( (\pi, V_{\pi}) \) be a cuspidal, automorphic representation of \( H(\mathbb{A}) \) which has a global Bessel model of type \( (S, \Lambda, \psi) \), where \( S = \begin{bmatrix} a & b/2 & 0 \\ b/2 & c & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \) as above. Define the character \( \chi \) of \( L^\times \setminus \mathbb{A}^\times_L \) by

\[
\chi(a) = \Lambda(\bar{a})^{-1} \chi_0(\bar{a})^{-1}, \quad a \in \mathbb{A}^\times_L.
\]

Let \( f(g, s) \) be an analytic family in \( I_C(s, \chi, \chi_0, \tau) \). For \( \Re(s) \) large enough we can form the Eisenstein series

\[
E(g, s; f) = \sum_{\gamma \in P(\mathbb{Q}) \backslash G(\mathbb{Q})} f(\gamma g, s).
\]
In fact, \( E(g, s; f) \) has a meromorphic continuation to the entire plane. In [7] Furusawa studied integrals of the form

\[
Z(s, f, \phi) = \int_{H(\mathbb{Q})Z_H(\mathbb{A})} E(h, s; f)\phi(h) \, dh,
\]

where \( \phi \in V_\pi \). Theorem (2.4) of [7], the “Basic Identity”, states that

\[
Z(s, f, \phi) = \int_{R(\mathbb{A})H(\mathbb{A})} W_f(\eta h, s)B_\phi(h) \, dh, \quad \eta = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \alpha & 1 & -\bar{\alpha} \\ \alpha & 1 & -\bar{\alpha} & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \alpha = \frac{b + \sqrt{d}}{2c},
\]

where \( R(\mathbb{A}) \) is the Bessel subgroup determined by \( (S, \Lambda, \psi) \), and \( B_\phi \) is the Bessel function corresponding to \( \phi \); see [29]. The function \( W_f(\cdot, s) \) appearing in (27) is the element of \( I_W(s, \chi, \chi_0, \tau) \) corresponding to \( f(\cdot, s) \in I_\mathbb{C}(s, \chi, \chi_0, \tau) \); see [22] for the formula relating \( f \) and \( W_f \).

**Factorization**

The importance of the basic identity lies in the fact that the integral on the right side of (27) is Eulerian. Namely, assume that \( f(\cdot, s) \) corresponds to a pure tensor \( \otimes f_p \) via the middle isomorphism in [24]. Assume that \( W_p \in I_W(s, \chi, \chi_0, \tau_p) \) corresponds to \( f_p \in I(s, \chi, \chi_0, \tau_p) \). Then

\[
W_f(g, s) = \prod_{p \leq \infty} W_p(g_p, s), \quad g = (g_p)_p \in G(\mathbb{A}),
\]

see [25]. Assume further that the global Bessel function \( B_\phi \) factorizes as in [24]. Then it follows from (27) that

\[
Z(s, f, \phi) = \prod_{p \leq \infty} Z_p(s, W_p, B_p),
\]

where

\[
Z_p(s, W_p, B_p) = \int_{R(Q_p)\backslash H(Q_p)} W_p(\eta h, s)B_p(h) \, dh.
\]

Furusawa has calculated the local integrals [29] in the case where all the data is unramified. The result is that

\[
Z_p(s, W_p, B_p) = \frac{L(3s + \frac{1}{2}, \pi_p \times \tau_p)}{L(6s + 1, \chi_p|_{Q_p})L(3s + 1, \tau_p \times \mathcal{A}^r(\Lambda_p) \times (\chi_p|_{Q_p})).}
\]

Here, \( \mathcal{A}^r(\Lambda_p) \) denotes the representation of \( \text{GL}_2(Q_p) \) obtained from the character \( \Lambda_p \) via automorphic induction. \( A \sim \) over a representation denotes its contragredient. Therefore, up to finitely many factors and up to \( L \)-functions with well-known analytic properties, the global integral [26] represents the \( \text{GSp}_4 \times \text{GL}_2 \) \( L \)-function \( L(s, \pi \times \tau) \).

In order to obtain more detailed information about the analytic properties of \( L(s, \pi \times \tau) \), one has to take the ramified and archimedean places into account as well. As is evident from [20], the choice of local vectors \( W_p \in I_W(s, \chi, \chi_0, \tau_p) \) and \( B_p \in B_{\Lambda_p, \theta_p, \psi_p}(\pi_p) \) is crucial. Any time these vectors can be chosen such that all local integrals [29] are non-zero, one obtains an integral representation for \( L(s, \pi \times \tau) \), with possibly finitely many undesirable Euler factors which need to be controlled as well.

## 3 Local non-archimedean theory

In this section we evaluate the local zeta integral [18] in the non-archimedean setting. The key steps are the choices of the vector \( W^\# \) and the actual computation of the integral \( Z(s, W^\#, B) \). The vector \( B \) will be
chosen to be the spherical vector in \( \pi \). For \( W^\# \), we want to choose a vector in the induced representation that is right invariant under \( K^H = H(\mathfrak{o}) \). We will show that such vectors exist and will obtain a canonical one using the newform theory for \( \text{GL}_2 \).

### 3.1 Notation

Let \( F \) be a non-archimedean local field of characteristic zero. Let \( \mathfrak{o}, \mathfrak{p}, \varpi, q \) be the ring of integers, prime ideal, uniformizer and cardinality of the residue class field \( \mathfrak{o}/\mathfrak{p} \), respectively. Recall that we fix three elements \( a, b, c \in F \) such that \( d := b^2 - 4ac \neq 0 \). Let \( L \) be as in [2]. We shall make the following assumptions:

(A1) \( a, b \in \mathfrak{o} \) and \( c \in \mathfrak{o}^\times \).

(A2) If \( d \not\in F^\times \), then \( d \) is the generator of the discriminant of \( L/F \). If \( d \in F^\times \), then \( d \in \mathfrak{o}^\times \).

We set the Legendre symbol as follows,

\[
\left( \frac{L}{p} \right) := \begin{cases} 
-1, & \text{if } d \not\in F^\times, d \not\in \mathfrak{p} \\
0, & \text{if } d \not\in F^\times, d \in \mathfrak{p} \\
1, & \text{if } d \in F^\times \end{cases}
\]

(31)

If \( L \) is a field, then let \( \mathfrak{o}_L \) be its ring of integers. If \( L = F \oplus F \), then let \( \mathfrak{o}_L = \mathfrak{o} \oplus \mathfrak{o} \). Note that \( x \in \mathfrak{o}_L \) if and only if \( N(x), \text{tr}(x) \in \mathfrak{o} \). If \( L \) is a field then we have \( x \in \mathfrak{o}_L^\times \) if and only if \( N(x) \in \mathfrak{o}^\times \). If \( L \) is not a field then \( x \in \mathfrak{o}_L^\times \) implies that \( x \in \mathfrak{o}_L^\times \mathfrak{o}^\times \). Let \( \varpi_L \) be the uniformizer of \( \mathfrak{o}_L \) if \( L \) is a field and set \( \varpi_L = (\varpi, 1) \) if \( L \) is not a field. Note that, if \( \left( \frac{L}{p} \right) \neq -1 \), then \( N(\varpi_L) \in \varpi^\times \). Let \( \alpha \in \mathfrak{o}_L \) be as in [19]. Then, by Lemma 3.1.1 of [17], we have

\[
\mathfrak{o}_L = \mathfrak{o} + \alpha \mathfrak{o}.
\]

(32)

We fix the following ideal in \( \mathfrak{o}_L \),

\[
\mathfrak{p} := \mathfrak{p}_L = \begin{cases} 
\mathfrak{p}_L & \text{if } \left( \frac{L}{p} \right) = -1, \\
\mathfrak{p}_L^2 & \text{if } \left( \frac{L}{p} \right) = 0, \\
\mathfrak{p} \oplus \mathfrak{p} & \text{if } \left( \frac{L}{p} \right) = 1.
\end{cases}
\]

(33)

Here, \( \mathfrak{p}_L \) is the maximal ideal of \( \mathfrak{o}_L \) when \( L \) is a field extension. Note that \( \mathfrak{p} \) is prime only if \( \left( \frac{L}{p} \right) = -1 \). We have \( \mathfrak{p}^n \cap \mathfrak{o} = \mathfrak{p}^n \) for all \( n \geq 0 \).

### 3.2 The spherical Bessel function

Let \( (\pi, V_\pi) \) be an unramified, irreducible, admissible representation of \( H(F) \). Then \( \pi \) can be realized as the unramified constituent of an induced representation of the form \( \chi_1 \times \chi_2 \times \sigma \), where \( \chi_1, \chi_2 \) and \( \sigma \) are unramified characters of \( F^\times \); here, we used the notation of [21] for parabolic induction. Let

\[
\gamma^{(1)} = \chi_1 \chi_2 \sigma, \quad \gamma^{(2)} = \chi_1 \sigma, \quad \gamma^{(3)} = \sigma, \quad \gamma^{(4)} = \chi_2 \sigma.
\]

Then \( \gamma^{(1)} \gamma^{(3)} = \gamma^{(2)} \gamma^{(4)} \) is the central character of \( \pi \). The numbers \( \gamma^{(1)}(\varpi), \ldots, \gamma^{(4)}(\varpi) \) are the Satake parameters of \( \pi \). The degree-4 \( L \)-factor of \( \pi \) is given by \( \prod_{i=1}^4 (1 - \gamma^{(i)}(\varpi)q^{-s})^{-1} \).

Let \( \Lambda \) be any character of \( T(F) \cong L^\times \). We assume that \( V_\pi \) is the Bessel model with respect to the character \( \Lambda \otimes \theta \) of \( R(F) \); see Sect. 2.2. Let \( B \in V_\pi \) be a spherical vector. By [25], Proposition 2-5, we have \( B(1) \neq 0 \), which implies that necessarily \( \Lambda|_{\mathfrak{a}_L} = 1 \). For \( l, m \in \mathbb{Z} \) let

\[
h(l, m) = \begin{bmatrix} \varpi^{2m+l} & \varpi^{m+l} & 1 \\
\end{bmatrix} \quad (34)
\]
Then, as in (3.4.2) of [7],
\[ H(F) = \bigcup_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} \bigcup_{m \geq 0} R(F) h(l,m) K^H, \quad K^H = H(\mathfrak{o}). \] (35)

The double cosets on the right hand side are pairwise disjoint. Since \( B \) transforms on the left under \( R(F) \) by the character \( \Lambda \otimes \theta \) and is right \( K^H \)-invariant, it follows that \( B \) is determined by the values \( B(h(l,m)) \).

By Lemma (3.4.4) of [7] we have \( B(h(l,m)) = 0 \) for \( l < 0 \), so that \( B \) is determined by the values \( B(h(l,m)) \) for \( l,m \geq 0 \).

In [25], 2-4, Sugano has given a formula for \( B(h(l,m)) \) in terms of a generating function. It turns out that for our purposes we only require the values \( B(h(l,0)) \). In this special case Sugano’s formula reads
\[ \sum_{l \geq 0} B(h(l,0)) y^l = \frac{H(y)}{Q(y)}, \] (36)

where
\[ Q(y) = \prod_{i=1}^{4} (1 - \gamma^{(i)}(\varpi) q^{-3/2} y) \] (37)

and
\[ H(y) = \begin{cases} 1 - q^{-4} \Lambda(\varpi) y^2 & \text{if } \left( \frac{\mathfrak{p}}{\varpi} \right) = -1, \\ 1 - q^{-2} \Lambda(\varpi_L) y & \text{if } \left( \frac{\mathfrak{p}}{\varpi} \right) = 0, \\ 1 - q^{-2} (\Lambda(\varpi_L) + \Lambda(\varpi \varpi_L^{-1})) y + q^{-4} \Lambda(\varpi) y^2 & \text{if } \left( \frac{\mathfrak{p}}{\varpi} \right) = 1. \end{cases} \] (38)

### 3.3 Double coset decompositions

Let \( K^G = G(F) \cap GL_4(\mathfrak{o}_L) \), a maximal compact subgroup. We define the principal congruence subgroups
\[ \Gamma(\mathfrak{p}^r) := G(F) \cap \left[ \begin{array}{cccc} 1 + \mathfrak{p}^r & \mathfrak{p}^r & \mathfrak{p}^r & \mathfrak{p}^r \\
\mathfrak{p}^r & 1 + \mathfrak{p}^r & \mathfrak{p}^r & \mathfrak{p}^r \\
\mathfrak{p}^r & \mathfrak{p}^r & 1 + \mathfrak{p}^r & \mathfrak{p}^r \\
\mathfrak{p}^r & \mathfrak{p}^r & \mathfrak{p}^r & 1 + \mathfrak{p}^r \end{array} \right] \] (39)
with \( \mathfrak{p} \) as in (33). For \( r = 0 \) we understand that \( \Gamma(\mathfrak{p}^0) = K^G \).

The main result of this section is the double coset decomposition in Proposition 3.3.5 below. In Proposition 3.3.5 we obtain representatives for \( P(F) \backslash G(F)/K^H \) and \( P(F) \backslash G(F)/K^H \Gamma(\mathfrak{p}^r) \), and the corresponding double cosets in \( K^G \). This will be crucial for the definition of \( W^\# \). For this, the first step is \( r = 1 \). We have to treat the case \( \left( \frac{\mathfrak{p}}{\varpi} \right) = 1 \) separately, for which we need Lemma 3.3.1. We then obtain the disjointness of various double cosets in Lemma 3.3.2. Using these, we obtain the \( r = 1 \) case in Lemma 3.3.3. And, finally, the general case is done in Proposition 3.3.4.

We start with the following lemma, which will be used for the split case of Lemma 3.3.4.

**3.3.1 Lemma.** Let \( k \) be a field. Let \( P_4(k) \) be the parabolic subgroup of \( GL_4(k) \) consisting of matrices of the form
\[ \left[ \begin{array}{cccc} * & * & * & * \\
* & * & * & * \\
* & * & * & * \\
* & * & * & * \end{array} \right]. \]
Let $\text{GSp}_4(k)$ be defined using the symplectic form $\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1_2 \\ -1_2 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$, considered as a subgroup of $\text{GL}_4(k)$. Then

$$\text{GL}_4(k) = P_4(k)\text{GSp}_4(k) \sqcup P_4(k)t_1\text{GSp}_4(k), \quad \text{where } t_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & 1 \\ & & & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$  

**Proof.** It is easy to see that the double cosets represented by 1 and $t_1$ are disjoint. We have to show that every element of $\text{GL}_4(k)$ lies in one of these two double cosets. Let $W_4$ be the Weyl group of $\text{GL}_4$. Representatives for the generators of $W_4$ are given by

$$t_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & 1 \\ & & & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad t_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & 1 \\ & & & -1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad t_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & 1 \\ & & & 1 \end{bmatrix}. \quad (40)$$

Note that $t_2 \in P_4(k)$. The Bruhat decomposition for $\text{GL}_4(k)$ implies that

$$\text{GL}_4(k) = P_4 \sqcup P_4t_1 \sqcup P_4t_2 \sqcup P_4t_3 \sqcup P_4t_1t_2 \sqcup P_4t_1t_3 \sqcup P_4t_2t_3 \sqcup P_4t_2t_3t_3 \sqcup P_4t_1t_1t_3 \sqcup P_4t_2t_2t_3 \sqcup P_4t_1t_3t_2 \sqcup P_4t_3t_3t_1 \sqcup P_4t_1t_2t_3t_1 \sqcup P_4t_4t_3t_5t_1, \quad (41)$$

where we simply wrote $P_4$ for $P_4(k)$. Each of these cosets can be reduced to one of the first two by multiplying with suitable elements of $\text{GSp}_4(k)$ on the right. For example,

$$P_4t_3 \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & 1 \\ & & & 1 \end{bmatrix} \text{GSp}_4(k) = P_4t_3 \begin{bmatrix} 1 & * \\ & & & \\ & & & & 1 \\ & & & & 1 \end{bmatrix} \text{GSp}_4(k) = P_4t_3\text{GSp}_4(k) = P_4t_3t_1\text{GSp}_4(k) = P_4t_1\text{GSp}_4(k).$$

Similarly,

$$P_4t_3t_2t_1 \begin{bmatrix} 1 & * & * \\ & & & \\ & & & & 1 \\ & & & & 1 \end{bmatrix} \text{GSp}_4(k) = P_4t_3t_2t_1 \begin{bmatrix} 1 & * \\ & & & \\ & & & & 1 \\ & & & & 1 \end{bmatrix} \text{GSp}_4(k) = P_4t_3t_2t_3t_1 \begin{bmatrix} 1 & * \\ & & & \\ & & & & 1 \\ & & & & 1 \end{bmatrix} \text{GSp}_4(k)

= P_4t_3t_2 \begin{bmatrix} 1 & * \\ & & & \\ & & & & 1 \\ & & & & 1 \end{bmatrix} \text{GSp}_4(k) = P_4t_3 \begin{bmatrix} 1 & * \\ & & & \\ & & & & 1 \\ & & & & 1 \end{bmatrix} \text{GSp}_4(k).

(42)
If the element in the lower left corner is zero, then we are reduced to the case worked out above. If the element in the lower left corner of (42) is non-zero, then, using
\[
\begin{bmatrix}
1 \\
-1 \\
1
\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}
-x^{-1} \\
x \\
1
\end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix}
1 \\
x^{-1} \\
1
\end{bmatrix},
\]
we get
\[
P_4 t_3 \begin{bmatrix}
1 & 1 \\
* & 1
\end{bmatrix} \text{GSp}_4(k) = P_4 t_3 \begin{bmatrix}
1 & 1 \\
1 & *
\end{bmatrix} t_2 t_1 t_2 \begin{bmatrix}
1 & 1 \\
1 & *
\end{bmatrix} \text{GSp}_4(k)
\]
\[
= P_4 t_3 t_2 t_1 t_2 \begin{bmatrix}
1 & 1 \\
1 & *
\end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix}
1 & * \\
1 & 1
\end{bmatrix} \text{GSp}_4(k)
\]
\[
= P_4 t_3 t_2 t_1 t_2 \begin{bmatrix}
1 & 1 \\
1 & *
\end{bmatrix} \text{GSp}_4(k) = P_4 t_3 t_2 t_1 \begin{bmatrix}
1 & * \\
1 & 1
\end{bmatrix} \text{GSp}_4(k)
\]
\[
= P_4 t_3 t_2 t_1 t_2 G_{\text{Sp}_4}(k) = P_4 t_3 t_2 t_1 G_{\text{Sp}_4}(k) = P_4 t_3 t_2 t_1 G_{\text{Sp}_4}(k)
\]
\[
= P_4 t_3 G_{\text{Sp}_4}(k) = P_4 t_1 G_{\text{Sp}_4}(k).
\]

In a similar way, all cosets occuring in (41) can be reduced to one of the first two after multiplication on the right with \(G_{\text{Sp}_4}(k)\). Finally, for elements of the second coset, we have
\[
P_4 t_1 \begin{bmatrix}
1 & * \\
1 & 1
\end{bmatrix} \text{GSp}_4(k) = P_4 t_1 \begin{bmatrix}
1 & 1 \\
* & 1
\end{bmatrix} \text{GSp}_4(k) = P_4 t_1 G_{\text{Sp}_4}(k).
\]

This concludes the proof.

We return to the group \(G(F)\). Recall that
\[
\eta = \begin{bmatrix}
1 \\
\alpha \\
1 \\
-\bar{\alpha}
\end{bmatrix}, \quad \alpha \text{ as in (19)},
\]
\[
(44)
\]

For any \(m \geq 0\), we let
\[
\eta_m = \begin{bmatrix}
1 & 0 \\
\alpha \omega^m & 1 \\
1 & -\bar{\alpha} \omega^m \\
0 & 1
\end{bmatrix}.
\]
\[
(45)
\]

For systematic reasons, we let \(\eta_\infty\) be the identity matrix.

### 3.3.2 Lemma

Let \(K^G = G(F) \cap \text{GL}_4(\alpha_L)\) as before.

i) The subsets of \(K^G\) given by
\[
P(\alpha) \eta_m K^H, \quad m \in \{0, 1, 2, \ldots, \infty\},
\]
\[
(46)
\]
are pairwise disjoint.
ii) Let $r \geq 1$. The subsets of $K^G$ given by
\[ P(\sigma)\eta_mK^H\Gamma(\mathfrak{P}^r), \quad m \in \{0, \ldots, r\}, \]  
(47)
are pairwise disjoint.

**Proof.** i) Let $g = p\eta_m k$ with $k \in K^H$ and
\[ p = \begin{bmatrix} \zeta & \alpha & b \\
\xi & \xi^{-1} & c \\
\end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & z & 1 \\
1 & 1 & \bar{y} \\
1 & -\bar{z} & 1 \\
\end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & x & y \\
1 & \bar{y} & 1 \\
1 & 1 & 1 \\
\end{bmatrix} \in P(\sigma) \quad (\mu = \bar{a}d - b\bar{c}). \]

A calculation shows that the $(3,2)$-coefficient of $gJ^iy$ is given by
\[ (gJ^iy)_{3,2} = a\mu\varpi^m\zeta^{-1}(\alpha - \alpha) \] 
(48)
and that the $(3,4)$-coefficient of $gJ^iy$ is given by
\[ (gJ^iy)_{3,4} = c\mu\varpi^m\zeta^{-1}(\alpha - \alpha) \] 
(49)
(with the understanding that the right sides of (48) and (49) are zero if $m = \infty$). Since at least one of $a$ or $c$ is in $\mathfrak{o}_L^\times$, it follows that the function on $K^G$ given by
\[ g \mapsto \min(v((gJ^iy)_{3,2}), v((gJ^iy)_{3,4})) \] 
(50)
takes different values on the double cosets $[H]P$.

ii) The argument is similar as in i); one considers the valuation of the $(3,2)$- and the $(3,4)$-coefficient of $gJ^iy$ mod $\mathfrak{P}^r$. \hfill \blacksquare

**3.3.3 Lemma.** Let $r$ be a positive integer and
\[ \gamma \in G(F) \cap \begin{bmatrix} \mathfrak{o}_L^\times & \mathfrak{P}^r & \mathfrak{o}_L \\
\mathfrak{o}_L & \mathfrak{o}_L^\times & \mathfrak{o}_L \\
\mathfrak{P}^r & \mathfrak{P}^r & \mathfrak{o}_L \\
\end{bmatrix}. \] 
(51)

Then $\gamma$ can be written in the form
\[ \gamma = p\eta_m h, \quad p \in P(\sigma), \quad h \in K^H, \quad \eta_m = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & -\bar{\alpha}\varpi^m \\
\alpha\varpi^m & 1 & 1 \\
1 & 1 & 1 \\
\end{bmatrix}, \]
with a uniquely determined $m \in \{0, 1, 2, \ldots, \infty\}$.

**Proof.** The uniqueness of $m$ follows from Lemma 3.3.2; we will show that such an $m$ exists. The group (51) has an Iwahori decomposition, enabling us to write
\[ \gamma = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & B \\
1 & 1 \\
\end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} A \\
\bar{A}^{-1} \\
1 \\
C \\
1 \\
\end{bmatrix}, \quad A \in \begin{bmatrix} \mathfrak{o}_L^\times & \mathfrak{P}^r \\
\mathfrak{o}_L & \mathfrak{P}^r \\
\end{bmatrix}, \quad B \in \begin{bmatrix} \mathfrak{o}_L & \mathfrak{o}_L \\
\mathfrak{P}^r & \mathfrak{P}^r \\
\end{bmatrix}, \quad C \in \begin{bmatrix} \mathfrak{P}^r & \mathfrak{P}^r \\
\mathfrak{P}^r & \mathfrak{P}^r \\
\end{bmatrix}. \]

Decomposing $A$ further in the form $\begin{bmatrix} \mathfrak{o}_L^\times & \mathfrak{P}^r \\
\mathfrak{o}_L & \mathfrak{P}^r \\
\end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\
\mathfrak{o}_L \ 1 \\
\end{bmatrix}$, and multiplying on the left with an appropriate element of $P(\sigma)$, we may assume that
\[ \gamma = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & z \\
1 & 1 \bar{z} \\
x_1 & y \\
1 & x_2 \\
\end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\
1 & \bar{y} \\
x_1 & 1 \\
1 & x_2 \\
\end{bmatrix}, \quad x_1, x_2 \in \mathfrak{P}^r \cap F, \quad y \in \mathfrak{P}^r, \quad z \in \mathfrak{o}_L. \]
Observing that $\sigma_L = \sigma + \alpha \sigma$ and multiplying on the right with an appropriate elements of $K^H$, we may assume that
\[
\gamma = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \alpha z & 1 \\ \alpha z & 1 & -\bar{\alpha} z \\ 1 & \bar{\alpha} y & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & \bar{\alpha} y & 1 \\ \alpha y & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad y, z \in \sigma.
\] (52)

If $y = z = 0$, then $\gamma = 1 = \eta_{\infty}$, and we are done. Assume that $y$ and $z$ are not both zero. Then the identities
\[
\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ -yz^{-1} & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \alpha z \\ 1 & -\bar{\alpha} z \\ \alpha y & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -\bar{\alpha} z \\ \alpha y & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ \alpha \bar{\alpha} y z & -yz^{-1} \\ \alpha \bar{\alpha} y z & -yz^{-1} \end{bmatrix}
\]
(for $yz^{-1} \in \sigma$) and
\[
\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -z y^{-1} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \alpha z \\ 1 & -\bar{\alpha} z \\ \alpha y & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -\bar{\alpha} y \\ \alpha \bar{\alpha} y z & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ -z y^{-1} & 1 \\ -z y^{-1} & 1 \end{bmatrix}
\]
(for $zy^{-1} \in \sigma$) show that we may assume
\[
\gamma = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \alpha z \\ \alpha z & 1 & -\bar{\alpha} z \\ 1 & \bar{\alpha} y & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad z \in \sigma.
\] (55)

By using appropriate unit diagonal matrices, we see that such a $\gamma$ defines the same element of the double coset space $P(F) \backslash G / K^H$ as $\eta_m$, where $m = v(z)$.

3.3.4 Lemma. We have the disjoint union
\[
K^G = P(\sigma) K^H \Gamma(\mathfrak{P}) \sqcup P(\sigma) \eta K^H \Gamma(\mathfrak{P}).
\] (56)

Proof. The disjointness follows from Lemma 3.3.2 ii). To prove that each element of $K^G$ is contained in one of the double cosets, we will distinguish three cases depending on the value of $(\frac{\alpha}{\bar{\alpha}})$.

Let us first assume that $(\frac{\alpha}{\bar{\alpha}}) = -1$. In this case, $\mathfrak{P}$ is the maximal ideal in $\sigma_L$. Using $K^G / \Gamma(\mathfrak{P}) \simeq G(\alpha/\mathfrak{p})$ and the Bruhat decomposition of the group $G(\alpha/\mathfrak{p})$, we see that a set $S$ of representatives of $P(\sigma) \backslash K^G / K^H \Gamma(\mathfrak{P})$ can be chosen from $\{wb : w \in W, b \in B(\alpha)\}$. Here, $W$ is the eight element Weyl group of $GU(2,2)$ and $B$ is the Borel subgroup. Since $wb = (wbw^{-1})w$ and $w \in K^H$, we see that $S$ can be chosen from the opposite of the Borel subgroup. Since diagonal elements are in $P(\sigma)$, elements of $S$ can be chosen of the form
\[
\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ z & 1 & -\bar{z} \\ 1 & \bar{y} & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ x_1 & y & 1 \\ 1 & x_2 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad z, y \in \sigma_L, \quad x_1, x_2 \in \sigma.
\]

Since $\sigma_L = \sigma + \alpha \sigma$ and we can modify the elements of $S$ by elements of $K^H$ on the right, it follows that the elements of $S$ can be chosen of the form
\[
\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ \alpha z & 1 & -\bar{\alpha} z \\ 1 & \bar{\alpha} y & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ \alpha y & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad z, y \in \sigma.
\]
Using (53) and (54), we see that the elements of $S$ can be chosen of the form

$$
\begin{bmatrix}
1 \\
\alpha z \\
1 \\
1 \\
1 \\
\end{bmatrix}, \quad z \in o.
$$

Finally, using unit diagonal matrices, we may assume that $z \in \{0, 1\}$. The assertion follows.

Next, let us assume that $1 \equiv \frac{z}{p}$ by Lemma 3.1.1 (ii) of [17]. Hence, we can take the set $\{ (\alpha - w_0) x : x \in o/p \}$ as representatives of $o/p$. Since we can modify elements of $S$ by elements of $K^H$ on the right, we see that $S$ can be chosen from

$$
\begin{bmatrix}
1 \\
z \\
1 \\
1 \\
1 \\
\end{bmatrix}, \quad z, y \text{ from a set of representatives for } o/p.
$$

The assertion now follows by imitating the steps in the proof of the case $1 \equiv \frac{z}{p} = -1$.

Finally, assume that $1 \equiv \frac{z}{p} = 1$. In this case $L = F \oplus F$. Accordingly, we can write every element $g \in G$ as a pair $(g_1, g_2)$ with matrices $g_1, g_2 \in GL_4(F)$. The condition $(\tilde{g} J) g = \mu(g) J$ translates into $g_2 = \mu(g) J^{-1} \tilde{g}_1^{-1} J$. Hence, we obtain an isomorphism

$$
G \cong GL_4(F) \times GL_1(F),
$$

$$
g = (g_1, g_2) \mapsto (g_1, \mu(g)).
$$

Under this isomorphism, the parabolic subgroup $P(F)$ is mapped onto $P_4(F) \times GL_1(F)$, where

$$
P_4(F) = \begin{bmatrix}
* & * & * & * \\
& * & * & * \\
& & * & * \\
& & & * & *
\end{bmatrix} \subset GL_4(F)
$$

is the parabolic subgroup of $GL_4(F)$ of the same shape as $P$. The group $K^G$ is mapped onto $GL_4(o) \times o^\times$. The principal congruence subgroup $\Gamma(\mathfrak{p})$ is mapped onto $\Gamma_4(p) \times (1 + p)$, where $\Gamma_4(p)$ is the principal congruence subgroup of level $p$ in $GL_4(F)$. And the group $K^H = GSp_4(o)$ is mapped onto

$$
K^H_4 := \{ (g, \mu(g)) \in GL_4(F) \times GL_1(F) : g \in GSp_4(o) \}.
$$
Therefore,
\[
P(\mathfrak{o})/K^G/K^H\Gamma(\mathfrak{F}) \cong (P_4(\mathfrak{o}) \times \mathfrak{o}^\times)/(GL_4(\mathfrak{o}) \times \mathfrak{o}^\times)/K^H(\Gamma_4(\mathfrak{p}) \times (1 + \mathfrak{p}))
\cong P_4(\mathfrak{o})/GL_4(\mathfrak{o})/GSp_4(\mathfrak{o})\Gamma(\mathfrak{p})
\cong P_4(\mathfrak{o}/\mathfrak{p})/GL_4(\mathfrak{o}/\mathfrak{p})/GSp_4(\mathfrak{o}/\mathfrak{p}).
\]
By Lemma 3.3.1, this double coset space is represented by the elements 1 and \(t_1\). The assertion therefore follows from the easily checked fact that the element \(\eta \in K^G\) maps to an element representing the same double coset as \(t_1\) in \(P_4(\mathfrak{o}/\mathfrak{p})/GL_4(\mathfrak{o}/\mathfrak{p})/GSp_4(\mathfrak{o}/\mathfrak{p})\). This completes the proof.

3.3.5 Proposition. Let \(\eta_m\) be as in [43]. Let \(\eta_\infty\) be the identity matrix. We have the following disjoint double coset decompositions.

\begin{enumerate}
\item \[K^G = \bigcup_{0 \leq m \leq \infty} P(\mathfrak{o})\eta_m K^H.\]
\item For any \(r \geq 0\), \[K^G = \bigcup_{0 \leq m \leq r} P(\mathfrak{o})\eta_m K^H \Gamma(\mathfrak{F}^r).\]
\item \[G(F) = \bigcup_{0 \leq m \leq \infty} P(F)\eta_m K^H.\]
\item For any \(r \geq 0\), \[G(F) = \bigcup_{0 \leq m \leq r} P(F)\eta_m K^H \Gamma(\mathfrak{F}^r).\]
\end{enumerate}

Proof. Using the Iwasawa decomposition, iii) follows from i) and iv) follows from ii). In view of the disjointness stated in Lemma 3.3.2, ii) follows from i) by multiplying on the right with \(\Gamma(\mathfrak{F}^r)\). Hence it is enough to prove i). The disjointness was already proved in Lemma 3.3.2.

Let \(g \in K^G\). Then, by Lemma 3.3.4, either \(g = p\gamma k\) or \(g = p\eta \gamma k\), where \(p \in P(\mathfrak{o})\), \(\gamma \in \Gamma(\mathfrak{F})\), and \(k \in K^H\). In the first case we write \(\gamma\) according to Lemma 3.3.3. In the second case we write \(\eta \gamma\) according to Lemma 3.3.5. The assertion follows.

The following lemma shows that the first \(r - 1\) double cosets occurring in i) are the same as those occurring in ii) of Proposition 3.3.5.

3.3.6 Lemma. For any \(0 \leq m < r\), we have
\[P(\mathfrak{o})\eta_m K^H \Gamma(\mathfrak{F}^r) = P(\mathfrak{o})\eta_m K^H\]
and
\[P(F)\eta_m K^H \Gamma(\mathfrak{F}^r) = P(F)\eta_m K^H.\]

Proof. We will prove the second equality; the argument for the first one is the same. By Proposition 3.3.5, for any \(r > 0\),
\[G(F) = \bigcup_{0 \leq m < r} P(F)\eta_m K^H \sqcup X, \quad X = \bigcup_{r \leq m \leq \infty} P(F)\eta_m K^H,\]
and also
\[G(F) = \bigcup_{0 \leq m < r} P(F)\eta_m K^H \Gamma(\mathfrak{F}^r) \sqcup Y, \quad Y = P(F)\eta_r K^H \Gamma(\mathfrak{F}^r).\]
For \(m \geq r\), we have \(\eta_m \in P(F)\eta_r K^H \Gamma(\mathfrak{F}^r)\) and \(P(F)\eta_m K^H \Gamma(\mathfrak{F}^r)\). Hence \(X \subset Y\). Evidently, for \(m < r\), we have \(P(F)\eta_m K^H \subset P(F)\eta_m K^H \Gamma(\mathfrak{F}^r)\). It follows that \(P(F)\eta_m K^H = P(F)\eta_m K^H \Gamma(\mathfrak{F}^r)\).
3.4 New- and oldforms for GU(2, 2)

Using the double coset decompositions from the previous section, we shall determine the structure of the spaces of vectors in the induced representations \( I(s, \chi, \chi_0, \tau) \) invariant under the groups \( K^H \Gamma(Y^r), \ r \geq 0 \). Here, \( \tau \) is a representation of GL\(_2(F)\), and \( \chi, \chi_0 \) are appropriately chosen characters of \( L^\times \); see Sect. 2.3. It turns out that these spaces of invariant vectors are zero if \( r < n \), where \( p^n \) is the conductor of \( \tau \). If \( r = n \), then the space of invariant vectors is one-dimensional; in this sense there is a unique newform. For \( r > n \), the dimensions of the spaces of invariant vectors grow quadratically. We start by recalling some familiar GL\(_2\) theory.

The GL\(_2\) newform

We define congruence subgroups of GL\(_2(F)\), as follows. For \( n = 0 \), let \( K^{(0)}(0) = GL_2(o) \). For \( n > 0 \), let

\[
K^{(0)}(p^n) = [1 + p^n \sigma \ o p^n \ o σ^x].
\]

The following result is well known (see [3], [6]).

3.4.1 Theorem. Let \((τ,V_τ)\) be a generic, irreducible, admissible representation of GL\(_2(F)\). Then the spaces \( V_τ(n) = \{ v \in V_τ : τ(g)v = v \text{ for all } g \in K^{(0)}(p^n) \} \) are non-zero for \( n \) large enough. If \( n \) is minimal with \( V_τ(n) \neq 0 \), then \( \dim(V_τ(n)) = 1 \). For \( r \geq n \), we have \( \dim(V_τ(r)) = r - n + 1 \).

If \( n \) is minimal such that \( V_τ(n) \neq 0 \), then \( p^n \) is called the conductor of \( τ \), and any non-zero vector in \( V_τ(n) \) is called a local newform.

3.4.2 Lemma. Let \((τ,V_τ)\) be a generic, irreducible, admissible representation of GL\(_2(F)\) with conductor \( p^n \). We assume that \( V_τ \) is the Whittaker model of \( τ \) with respect to the character of \( F \) given by \( ψ^{-c}(x) = ψ(−cx) \), where \( c \in o^\times \). Let \( W^{(0)}(0) \) be a local newform. Then \( W^{(0)}(1) \neq 0 \). If \( W^{(0)} \) is normalized such that \( W^{(0)}(1) = 1 \), then the following formulas hold.

i) If \( τ \) is a supercuspidal representation, or \( τ = ΩSt_{GL(2)} \) is a twist of the Steinberg representation with a ramified character \( Ω \), or \( τ \) is a principal series representation \( α × β \) with two ramified characters \( α, β \) (such that \( αβ^{-1} \neq |1|^{±1} \)), then

\[
W^{(0)}(\begin{bmatrix} α^l & \  \\
 α^{-1} & 1 
\end{bmatrix}) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } l = 0, \\
 0 & \text{if } l \neq 0. \end{cases}
\]

ii) If \( τ = α × β \) is a principal series representation with an unramified character \( α \) and a ramified character \( β \), then

\[
W^{(0)}(\begin{bmatrix} α^l & \  \\
 α^{-1} & 1 
\end{bmatrix}) = \begin{cases} (β(α)q^{-1/2})^l & \text{if } l \geq 0, \\
 0 & \text{if } l < 0. \end{cases}
\]

iii) If \( τ = ΩSt_{GL(2)} \) is a twist of the Steinberg representation with an unramified character \( Ω \), then

\[
W^{(0)}(\begin{bmatrix} α^l & \  \\
 α^{-1} & 1 
\end{bmatrix}) = \begin{cases} (Ω(α)q^{-1})^l & \text{if } l \geq 0, \\
 0 & \text{if } l < 0. \end{cases}
\]
iv) If \( \tau = \alpha \times \beta \) is a principal series representation with unramified characters \( \alpha \) and \( \beta \), then

\[
W^{(0)} \left( \begin{array}{c} \omega^l \\ 1 \end{array} \right) = \begin{cases} q^{-l/2} \sum_{k=0}^{l} \alpha(\omega)^k \beta(\omega)^{l-k} & \text{if } l \geq 0, \\ 0 & \text{if } l < 0. \end{cases}
\]

To prove this lemma, one can use formulas for the local newform with respect to the congruence subgroup \( \Gamma(P) \cap \mathcal{O}(0, 0, p^n, 1 + p^n) \) (given, amongst other places, in [23]), together with the local functional equation.

**An auxiliary lemma**

We will derive a lemma which will be used in the proof of Theorem 3.4.5 further below.

**3.4.3 Lemma.** Let \( \alpha \) be as in (15). Let \( x \in \mathcal{O}_L \) be such that \( x \in \mathcal{O} + \mathcal{P}^n \) and \( \alpha x \in \mathcal{O} + \mathcal{P}^n \) for a non-negative integer \( n \). Then \( x \in \mathcal{P}^n \).

**Proof.** Using (32) and (33), first note that \( \mathcal{O} + \mathcal{P}^n = \mathcal{O} + \alpha \mathcal{P}^n \). Let \( x = y + \alpha z \), with \( y \in \mathcal{O} \) and \( z \in \mathcal{P}^n \). Since \( \alpha^2 = \frac{ab}{c} - \frac{a}{c} \), we get \( \alpha x = -\alpha z/c + \alpha(y + \beta z/c) \). Now, \( \alpha x \in \mathcal{O} + \mathcal{P}^n \) implies that \( y + \beta z/c \in \mathcal{P}^n \) and hence, \( y \in \mathcal{P}^n \). This proves that \( x \in \mathcal{P}^n \). \( \blacksquare \)

Recall that \( K^G \) is the maximal compact subgroup of \( G(F) \) and that \( K^H = \mbox{GSp}_4(\mathcal{O}) \). Let the principal congruence subgroups of \( K^G \) be defined as in (39). For \( m \geq 0 \), let \( \eta_m \) be as in (40).

**3.4.4 Lemma.** Let

\[
\tilde{m} = \begin{bmatrix} \zeta & 1 \\ \alpha' & \mu \zeta^{-1} \\ \delta' & \mu \delta \zeta^{-1} \end{bmatrix} \in M(F) \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{n} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & z \\ 1 & y \\ -\overline{z} & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & w \\ 1 & y \end{bmatrix} \in N(F).
\]

Let \( m, r \) be integers such that \( r > m \geq 0 \). If \( A := \eta_m^{-1} \tilde{m} \tilde{n} \eta_m \in K^H \Gamma(P^r) \) then \( c' \in \mathcal{P}^r \) and \( \alpha' \zeta^{-1} \in 1 + \mathcal{P}^{r-m} \).

**Proof.** Suppose \( A := \eta_m^{-1} \tilde{m} \tilde{n} \eta_m \in K^H \Gamma(P^r) \). First note that \( K^H \Gamma(P^r) \subset M_4(\mathcal{O} + \mathcal{P}^r) \). Looking at the \((3, 2), (4, 2)\) coefficients of \( A \), we see that \( c', \tilde{c}' \zeta \omega^m \in \mathcal{O} + \mathcal{P}^r \). By Lemma 3.4.3 we obtain \( c' \zeta \omega^m \in \mathcal{P}^r \) and hence \( c' \in \mathcal{P}^{r-m} \), as required.

Note that \( \tilde{m} \tilde{n} \in K^G \) and \( c' \in \mathcal{P}^{r-m} \subset \mathcal{P} \) implies that \( \zeta, \alpha', \delta' \in \mathcal{O}_L^\times \). The upper left 2 \times 2 block of \( A \) is given by

\[
\begin{bmatrix} \zeta + \alpha \zeta \omega^m - \alpha \zeta \omega^m & \zeta \\ \alpha \alpha' \zeta \omega^m - \alpha \zeta \omega^m(\zeta + \alpha \zeta \omega^m) & \alpha' - \alpha \zeta \omega^m \end{bmatrix}.
\]

We will repeatedly use the following fact:

\[
\text{If } x \in \mathcal{O} + \mathcal{P}^r, \text{ then } x \equiv \bar{x} \pmod{(\alpha - \bar{\alpha}) \mathcal{P}^r}. \quad (59)
\]

For if \( x = y + \alpha z \) with \( y \in \mathcal{O} \) and \( z \in \mathcal{P}^n \), then \( x - \bar{x} = (\alpha - \bar{\alpha}) z \). Applying this to the matrix entries of \( A \), we get \( \zeta \equiv \bar{\zeta} \pmod{(\alpha - \bar{\alpha}) \mathcal{P}^r} \), and then

\[
a' - \alpha' \equiv (\alpha - \bar{\alpha}) \zeta \omega^m \pmod{(\alpha - \bar{\alpha}) \mathcal{P}^r}, \quad \zeta - \bar{\zeta} \equiv (\alpha - \bar{\alpha}) z \omega^m \pmod{(\alpha - \bar{\alpha}) \mathcal{P}^r}. \quad (60)
\]

Using \( \zeta + \alpha \zeta \omega^m \equiv \bar{\zeta} + \alpha \bar{\zeta} \omega^m \pmod{(\alpha - \bar{\alpha}) \mathcal{P}^r} \) and (60), we get from the (2, 1) coefficient of \( A \) that

\[
(a' \omega^m - \tilde{c} \omega^m)(\alpha - \bar{\alpha}) \equiv 0 \pmod{(\alpha - \bar{\alpha}) \mathcal{P}^r}.
\]

Hence \( a' \omega^m - \tilde{c} \omega^m \equiv 0 \pmod{\mathcal{P}^r} \), so that \( a' \zeta^{-1} \in 1 + \mathcal{P}^{r-m} \), as required. \( \blacksquare \)
New- and oldforms in $I(s, \chi, \chi_0, \tau)$

Let $(\tau, V_\tau)$ be a generic, irreducible, admissible representation of $GL_2(F)$. We assume that $V_\tau$ is the Whittaker model of $\tau$ with respect to the character of $F$ given by $\psi^{-c}(x) = \psi(-cx)$. Let $p^n$ be the conductor of $\tau$, where $n$ is a non-negative integer. Let $W^{(0)} \in V_\tau(n)$ be the local newform as in Lemma 3.4.2. Observe that the central character $\omega_\tau$ is trivial on $1 + p^n$. We choose any character $\chi_0$ of $L^\times$ such that

$$\chi_0|_{F^\times} = \omega_\tau \quad \text{and} \quad \chi_0|_{1+p^n} = 1.$$  \hfill (61)

(for $n = 0$ we mean that $\chi_0$ is unramified). Given an unramified character $\Lambda$ of $L^\times$, we define the character $\chi$ of $L^\times$ by the formula

$$\chi(\zeta) = \Lambda(\zeta)^{-1}\chi_0(\zeta)^{-1}.$$  \hfill (62)

Let $I(s, \chi, \chi_0, \tau)$ be the parabolically induced representation of $G(F)$ as defined in Sect. 3.4.2. Explicitly, the space of $I(s, \chi, \chi_0, \tau)$ consists of functions $W : G(F) \rightarrow C$ with the transformation properties (15) and (16). The following result shows that there is an essentially unique vector in $I(s, \chi, \chi_0, \tau)$ right invariant under $K^H \Gamma(\mathfrak{P})$. This vector will be our choice of local section which will be used to evaluate the non-archimedean local zeta integrals (18).

3.4.5 Theorem. Let $\chi, \chi_0$ and $\tau$ be as above. Let $p^n, n \geq 0$, be the conductor of $\tau$. Let

$$V(r) := \{W \in I(s, \chi, \chi_0, \tau) : W(g\gamma, s) = W(g, s) \text{ for all } g \in G(F), \gamma \in K^H \Gamma(\mathfrak{P}^r)\}.$$  

Then

$$\dim(V(r)) = \begin{cases} \frac{(r-n+1)(r-n+2)}{2} & \text{if } r \geq n, \\ 0 & \text{if } r < n. \end{cases}$$

Proof. Let $W \in V(r)$. By Proposition 3.4.5, $W$ is completely determined by its values on $\eta_m, 0 \leq m \leq r$. Let $r \geq m \geq 0$. For any $\begin{bmatrix} a' & b' \\ c' & d' \end{bmatrix} \in K^{(0)}(p^{r-m})$ (see (58)), we have

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ a' & b' \\ c' & d' \end{bmatrix} \in M(F)N(F) \cap \eta_mK^H \Gamma(\mathfrak{P}^r)\eta_m^{-1}, \quad \mu = a'd' - b'c'.$$

It follows that

$$W(\eta_m) = W\left(\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ a' & b' \\ c' & d' \end{bmatrix} \eta_m\right) = \tau(\begin{bmatrix} a' & b' \\ c' & d' \end{bmatrix} W(\eta_m)).$$

Hence, for $0 \leq m \leq r$, a necessary condition for $v_m := W(\eta_m)$ is that it is invariant under $K^{(0)}(p^{r-m})$. Since the conductor of $\tau$ is $p^n$, we conclude that $v_m = 0$ if $r - m < n$. Therefore $\dim(V(r)) = 0$ for all $r < n$.

Now suppose that $r \geq n$. We will show that, for any $m$ such that $r - m \geq n$, if $v_m$ is chosen to be any vector in $V_\tau(r - m)$, then we obtain a well-defined function $W$ in $V(r)$. For $m = r$ this is easy to check, since in this case $n = 0$ and all the data is unramified. Assume therefore that $r > m$. We have to show that for $m_1n_1k_1\gamma_1 = m_2n_2m_2k_2\gamma_2$, with $m_i \in M(F), n_i \in N(F), k_i \in K^H$ and $\gamma_i \in \Gamma(\mathfrak{P}^r)$,

$$|N(\zeta_1) \cdot \mu_1^{-1}3^{(s+1/2)}\chi(\zeta_1)(\chi_0 \times \tau)(\begin{bmatrix} a_1 & b_1' \\ c_1 & d_1 \end{bmatrix})v_m| = |N(\zeta_2) \cdot \mu_2^{-1}3^{(s+1/2)}\chi(\zeta_2)(\chi_0 \times \tau)(\begin{bmatrix} a_2 & b_2' \\ c_2 & d_2 \end{bmatrix})v_m|.$$  \hfill (63)

We have $\eta_m^{-1}m_2^{-1}m_1n_2^{-1}n_1 \in K^H \Gamma(\mathfrak{P}^r)$, where $n^*_1 \in N(F)$ depends on $m_1, m_2, n_1, n_2$. Let

$$\hat{m} := m_2^{-1}m_1 = \begin{bmatrix} \zeta \\ \tilde{a} \\ \mu_2^{-1} \\ \tilde{c} \\ \tilde{d} \end{bmatrix}, \quad n^*: \begin{bmatrix} 1 & z & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \\ \bar{y} & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$
Then $\zeta \in \mathfrak{L}_\infty$ and $\mu \in \mathfrak{O}_\infty$. By definition, $\zeta_1 = \zeta_2 \zeta$ and $\mu_1 = \mu_2 \mu$. Hence (63) is equivalent to

$$\chi(\zeta)(\chi_0 \times \tau)(\begin{bmatrix} a_1' b_1' \\ c_1' d_1' \end{bmatrix})v_m = (\chi_0 \times \tau)(\begin{bmatrix} a_2' b_2' \\ c_2' d_2' \end{bmatrix})v_m.$$  (64)

Using Lemma 3.4.3, we get $\tilde{\alpha}^{-1} \in 1 + \mathfrak{P}^{-m}$ and $\tilde{c} \in \mathfrak{P}^{-m}$. Hence, using (61) and (62) (with unramified $\Lambda$) and the fact that $v_m \in V_r(r - m)$,

$$\chi(\zeta)(\chi_0 \times \tau)(\begin{bmatrix} a_1' b_1' \\ c_1' d_1' \end{bmatrix})v_m = \chi(\zeta)(\chi_0 \times \tau)(\begin{bmatrix} a_2' b_2' \\ c_2' d_2' \end{bmatrix})v_m$$

$$= \chi(\zeta)(\chi_0(\tilde{a}) (\chi_0 \times \tau)(\begin{bmatrix} a_2' b_2' \\ c_2' d_2' \end{bmatrix})(\begin{bmatrix} 1 \tilde{b}/\tilde{a} \\ c/\tilde{a} \tilde{d}/\tilde{a} \end{bmatrix})v_m$$

$$= \chi(\zeta)(\chi_0(\tilde{a})(\chi_0 \times \tau)(\begin{bmatrix} a_2' b_2' \\ c_2' d_2' \end{bmatrix})v_m$$

$$= (\chi_0 \times \tau)(\begin{bmatrix} a_2' b_2' \\ c_2' d_2' \end{bmatrix})v_m,$$

as claimed.

Now, using the formula for $\dim(V_r(r - m))$ from Theorem 3.4.1 completes the proof of the theorem.  

**3.5 The zeta integral**

As in the previous section let $(\tau, V_\tau)$ be a generic, irreducible, admissible representation of GL$_2(F)$ with conductor $\mathfrak{p}_n$. We assume that $V_\tau$ is the Whittaker model of $\tau$ with respect to the additive character $\psi^{-c}(x) = \psi(-cx)$. Let the characters $\chi_0$ and $\chi$ of $L^\times$ be as in (61), resp. (62). In the induced representation $I(s, \chi, \chi_0, \tau)$, consider the spaces $V_\tau(r)$ of invariant vectors defined in Theorem 3.4.5. Taking $r = n$ in this theorem, we see that $\dim(V(n)) = 1$. The proof of Theorem 3.4.5 shows that, in the model $I_\tau(s, \chi, \chi_0, \tau)$ of $I(s, \chi, \chi_0, \tau)$ consisting of complex-valued functions (see Sect. 2.3), $V(n)$ is spanned by the unique function $W^\ast(\cdot, s)$ with the following properties.

- If $g \notin M(F)N(F)\eta K^H \Gamma(\mathfrak{P}^n)$, then $W^\ast(g, s) = 0$.
- If $g = mnk\gamma$ with $m \in M(F), n \in N(F), k \in K^H, \gamma \in \Gamma(\mathfrak{P}^n)$, then $W^\ast(g, s) = W^\ast(m\eta, s)$.
- For $\zeta \in L^\times$ and $\begin{bmatrix} a'b' \\ c'd' \end{bmatrix} \in \text{GU}(1, 1; L)(F)$,

$$(\begin{bmatrix} \zeta & 0 \\ 0 & \zeta^{-1} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}) \begin{bmatrix} a' & b' \\ c' & d' \end{bmatrix} \eta(s) = |N(\zeta)| \cdot \mu^{-1}|s+1/2| \chi(\zeta) W^{(0)}(\begin{bmatrix} a' & b' \\ c' & d' \end{bmatrix}).$$  (65)

Here $\mu = \tilde{a}'d' - b'\tilde{c}'$ and $W^{(0)}$ is the newform in $\tau$ as defined in Lemma 3.4.4 but extended to a function on $\text{GU}(1, 1; L)(F)$ via the character $\chi_0$ as in (12).

It is this function $W^\ast$ for which we will evaluate the local integral $Z(s, W^\ast, B)$ defined in (18). The other ingredient in this integral is the Bessel function $B$, which is the spherical vector in the Bessel model of an unramified representation $(\pi, V_\pi)$ of $H(F)$ with respect to the character $\Lambda \otimes \theta$ of $R(F)$; see Sect. 3.2. In the following we shall assume $n > 0$, since for unramified $\tau$ the local integral has been computed by Furusawa; see Theorem 3.7 in [71]. Since both functions $B$ and $W^\ast$ are right $K^H$-invariant, it follows from (59) that the integral (18) is given by

$$Z(s, W^\ast, B) = \sum_{l, m \geq 0} B(h(l, m))W^\ast(\eta h(l, m), s)V_m q^{3m + 3l}.  \quad (66)$$
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Here, as in Sect. 3.5 of [7],

\[ V_m = \int_{T(F) \backslash T(F)} \left[ \varpi^m \right]_{GL_2(s)} \]

We will only need the value of \( V_0 \), which is normalized to be equal to 1. To compute the integral (66), we need to know for what values of \( l, m \) does \( \eta h(l, m) \) belong to the support of \( W^\# \). The support of \( W^\# \) is \( P(F) \eta R^H \Gamma(\mathbb{Q}^n) \) (see Lemma 3.3.6). Hence, by Proposition 3.3.5 iii), only \( \eta = \eta_0 \) is in the support. It follows that the integral (66) reduces to

\[ Z(s, W^#, B) = \sum_{l \geq 0} B(h(l, 0)) W^#(\eta h(l, 0), s) q^{3l}. \quad (67) \]

By (62) and (65),

\[ W^#(\eta h(l, 0), s) = |N(\varpi^l)\varpi^{-l}|^{3(s+1/2)} \chi(\varpi^l)W^0(\left[ \begin{smallarray}{cc} \chi & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{smallarray} \right]) = q^{-3(s+1/2)} \omega_\pi(\varpi^{-l})\omega_\tau(\varpi^{-l})W^0(\left[ \begin{smallarray}{cc} \chi & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{smallarray} \right]). \quad (68) \]

We will consider three cases for the representation \( \tau \) according to the values of the newform \( W^0(\cdot) \) given in Lemma 3.3.2.

**Case 1:** Let \( \tau \) be either a supercuspidal representation, or a twist \( \Omega St_{GL(2)} \) of the Steinberg representation with a ramified character \( \Omega \), or a principal series representation \( \alpha \times \beta \) with two ramified characters \( \alpha, \beta \) (such that \( \alpha \beta^{-1} \neq | \varpi |^k \)). In each of these cases, using Lemma 3.3.2 i), we have

\[ Z(s, W^#, B) = 1. \quad (69) \]

**Case 2:** Let \( \tau = \alpha \times \beta \) be a principal series representation with an unramified character \( \alpha \) and a ramified character \( \beta \). Then, by Lemma 3.3.2 ii) and 68,

\[ Z(s, W^#, B) = \sum_{l \geq 0} B(h(l, 0)) q^{-3(s+1/2)} \omega_\pi(\varpi^{-l})\omega_\tau(\varpi^{-l})(\beta(\varpi)q^{-1/2})^{l} q^{3l} \]

\[ = \sum_{l \geq 0} B(h(l, 0)) \left( q^{-3s+1}(\omega_\pi \alpha)^{-1}(\varpi) \right)^l. \]

Let \( \varpi_L \) be the uniformizer of \( \mathfrak{o}_L \) if \( L \) is a field, and set \( \varpi_L = (\varpi, 1) \) if \( L \) is not a field. If \( L/F \) is a ramified field extension, we assume in addition that \( N_{L/F}(\varpi_L) = \varpi \). Then, using the notations from Sect. 5.2

\[ Z(s, W^#, B) = H(y) \]

with \( y = q^{-3s+1}(\omega_\pi \alpha)^{-1}(\varpi) \).

Explicitly,

\[ Q(y) = \prod_{i=1}^{4} \left( 1 - \gamma^{(i)}(\varpi) q^{-3/2} q^{-3s+1}(\omega_\pi \alpha)^{-1}(\varpi) \right) \]

\[ = \prod_{i=1}^{4} \left( 1 - q^{-3s-\frac{3}{2}} \gamma^{(i)}(\varpi) \right), \quad (71) \]

and

\[ H(y) = \begin{cases} 
1 - (\Lambda(\omega_\pi \alpha)^{-2}(\varpi) q^{-6s-2}) & \text{if } \left( \frac{2}{p} \right) = -1, \\
1 - (\Lambda(\varpi_L) \omega_\pi \alpha)^{-1}(\varpi) q^{-3s-1} & \text{if } \left( \frac{2}{p} \right) = 0, \\
(1 - \Lambda(\varpi_L) \omega_\pi \alpha)^{-1}(\varpi) q^{-3s-1}(1 - \Lambda(\varpi \varpi_L^{-1}) \omega_\pi \alpha)^{-1}(\varpi) q^{-3s-1} & \text{if } \left( \frac{2}{p} \right) = 1.
\end{cases} \quad (72) \]
Case 3: Let \( \tau = \Omega_{\text{St}_{GL(2)}} \) with an unramified character \( \Omega \) of \( F^\times \). Then, using Lemma \ref{lem:3.4} iii) and \ref{lem:68}, a similar calculation as in Case 2 shows that
\[
Z(s, W^#, B) = \frac{H(y)}{Q(y)} \quad \text{with} \quad y = q^{-3s+1/2}(\omega \tau)^{-1}(\varpi).
\] (73)

We now get the following theorem, which is our main non-archimedean result.

3.5.1 Theorem. Let \( \pi \) be an irreducible, admissible, unramified representation of \( GSp_4(F) \) and let \( \tau \) be an irreducible, admissible representation of \( GL_2(F) \). Let \( B \) be the unramified Bessel function given by formula \ref{eq:36}. Let \( W^# \) be the element of \( I_W(s, \chi, \chi_0, \tau) \) defined in Sect. 3.5. Then the local zeta integral \( Z(s, W^#, B) \) defined in \ref{eq:18} is given by
\[
Z(s, W^#, B) = \frac{L(3s + \frac{1}{2}, \tilde{\tau} \times \tilde{\tau})}{L(6s + 1, \chi_{F^\times})L(3s + 1, \tau \times \mathcal{A}\mathcal{T}(\Lambda) \times \chi_{F^\times})}Y(s),
\] (74)

where
\[
Y(s) = \begin{cases} 
1 & \text{if } \tau = \alpha \times \beta, \alpha, \beta \text{ unramified,} \\
L(6s + 1, \chi_{F^\times}) & \text{if } \tau = \alpha \times \beta, \alpha, \beta \text{ unram.}, \beta \text{ ram.}, \left( \frac{\varpi}{p} \right) = \pm 1, \text{ OR } \tau = \alpha \times \beta, \alpha \text{ unram.}, \beta \text{ ram.}, \left( \frac{\varpi}{p} \right) = 0 \text{ and } \beta_{\chi_{L/F}} \text{ ramified,} \\
L(6s + 1, \chi_{F^\times})/ \left( 1 - \Lambda(\varpi_L)(\omega \tau)^{-1}(\varpi)q^{-3s+1} \right) & \text{if } \tau = \alpha \times \beta, \alpha, \beta \text{ ramified, } \text{ and } \beta_{\chi_{L/F}} \text{ unramified,} \\
L(6s + 1, \chi_{F^\times})L(3s + 1, \tau \times \mathcal{A}\mathcal{T}(\Lambda) \times \chi_{F^\times}) & \text{if } \tau = \alpha \times \beta, \alpha, \beta \text{ supercuspidal.}
\end{cases}
\]

In \ref{eq:74}, \( \tilde{\tau} \) and \( \tilde{\tau} \) denote the contragredient of \( \pi \) and \( \tau \), respectively. The symbol \( \mathcal{A}\mathcal{T}(\Lambda) \) stands for the \( GL_2(F) \) representation attached to the character \( \Lambda \) of \( L^\times \) via automorphic induction, and \( L(3s + 1, \tau \times \mathcal{A}\mathcal{T}(\Lambda) \times \chi_{F^\times}) \) is a standard \( L \)-factor for \( GL_2 \times GL_2 \times GL_1 \).

Proof. If \( \tau = \alpha \times \beta \) with unramified \( \alpha \) and \( \beta \), then this is Theorem (3.7) in Furusawa’s paper \ref{fur}. If \( \tau = \alpha \times \beta \) with unramified \( \alpha \) and ramified \( \beta \) (Case 2 above), then, from the local Langlands correspondence, we have the following \( L \)-functions attached to the representations \( \tilde{\pi} \times \tilde{\tau} \) of \( GSp_4(F) \times GL_2(F) \) and \( \tau \times \mathcal{A}\mathcal{T}(\Lambda) \times \chi_{F^\times} \) of \( GL_2(F) \times GL_2(F) \times GL_1(F) \),
\[
L(s, \tilde{\pi} \times \tilde{\tau}) = \prod_{i=1}^{4} (1 - (\gamma_i \alpha)^{-1}(\varpi)q^{-s})^{-1}
\] (75)

and
\[
\frac{1}{L(s, \tau \times \mathcal{A}\mathcal{T}(\Lambda) \times \chi_{F^\times})} = \begin{cases} 
1 - (\Lambda(\omega \tau)^{-2})(\varpi)q^{-2s} & \text{if } \left( \frac{\varpi}{p} \right) = -1, \\
1 - (\Lambda(\varpi_L)(\omega \tau)^{-1}(\varpi)q^{-s}) & \text{if } \left( \frac{\varpi}{p} \right) = 0 \text{ and } \beta_{\chi_{L/F}} \text{ ram.,} \\
(1 - (\Lambda(\varpi_L)(\omega \tau)^{-1}(\varpi)q^{-s}))(1 - (\Lambda(\varpi_L)(\omega \beta)^{-1}(\varpi)q^{-s})) & \text{if } \left( \frac{\varpi}{p} \right) = 0 \text{ and } \beta_{\chi_{L/F}} \text{ unram.,} \\
(1 - (\Lambda(\varpi_L)(\omega \tau)^{-1}(\varpi)q^{-s}))(1 - (\Lambda(\varpi_L)(\omega \beta)^{-1}(\varpi)q^{-s})) & \text{if } \left( \frac{\varpi}{p} \right) = 1.
\end{cases}
\] (76)

The desired result therefore follows from \ref{eq:74} and \ref{eq:76}. If \( \tau \) is an unramified twist of the Steinberg representation (Case 3 above), then the result was proved in Theorem 3.8.1 of \ref{fur}. In all remaining cases (i.e., Case 1 above) we have \( L(s, \tilde{\pi} \times \tilde{\tau}) = 1 \), so that the theorem follows from \ref{lem:69}. This completes the proof. \( \blacksquare \)
4 Local archimedean theory

In this section we evaluate the local zeta integral \([13]\) in the real case. As in the non-archimedean case, the key steps are the choices of the vector \(W^\#\) and the actual computation of the integral \(Z(s, W^\#, B)\).

4.1 Notations

We recall some of the definitions and basic facts from Sect. 4.1 of \([17]\). Let \(G = GU(2, 2; \mathbb{C})\) as in Sect. 2.1 (with \(F = \mathbb{R}\) and \(L = \mathbb{C}\)). Consider the symmetric domains \(\mathbb{H}_2 := \{Z \in M_2(\mathbb{C}) : i(Z - \overline{Z})\) is positive definite\(\}\) and \(\mathfrak{h}_2 := \{Z \in \mathbb{H}_2 : tZ = Z\}\). The group \(G^+(\mathbb{R}) := \{g \in G(\mathbb{R}) : \mu_2(g) > 0\}\) acts on \(\mathbb{H}_2\) via \((g, Z) \mapsto g(Z)\), where

\[
g(Z) = (AZ + B)(CZ + D)^{-1}, \text{ for } g = \begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{bmatrix} \in G^+(\mathbb{R}), Z \in \mathbb{H}_2.
\]

Under this action, \(\mathfrak{h}_2\) is stable by \(H^+ = GSp^+_2(\mathbb{R})\). The group \(K_\infty = \{g \in G^+(\mathbb{R}) : \mu_2(g) = 1, g(I) = I\}\) is a maximal compact subgroup of \(G^+(\mathbb{R})\). Here, \(I = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{H}_2\). Explicitly,

\[
K_\infty = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ -B & A \end{bmatrix} : A, B \in M(2, \mathbb{C}), tAB = tBA, tAA + tBB = 1 \right\}.
\]

By the Iwasawa decomposition

\[
G(\mathbb{R}) = M^{(1)}(\mathbb{R})M^{(2)}(\mathbb{R})N(\mathbb{R})K_\infty,
\]

where \(M^{(1)}(\mathbb{R}), M^{(2)}(\mathbb{R})\) and \(N(\mathbb{R})\) are as defined in \([3], [4]\) and \([5]\). A calculation shows that

\[
M^{(1)}(\mathbb{R})M^{(2)}(\mathbb{R})N(\mathbb{R}) \cap K_\infty = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} \zeta & \alpha \\ -\beta & \zeta \end{bmatrix} : \zeta, \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}, |\zeta| = 1, |\alpha|^2 + |\beta|^2 = 1, \alpha\beta = \beta\bar{\alpha} \right\}.
\]  

Note also that

\[
M^{(2)}(\mathbb{R}) \cap K_\infty = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \alpha \\ -\beta & 1 \end{bmatrix} : \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}, |\alpha|^2 + |\beta|^2 = 1, \alpha\bar{\beta} = \beta\bar{\alpha} \right\},
\]

and that there is an isomorphism

\[
(S^1 \times SO(2))/\{(\lambda, \begin{bmatrix} \lambda & 1 \\ 1 & \lambda \end{bmatrix}) : \lambda = \pm 1\} \xrightarrow{\sim} M^{(2)}(\mathbb{R}) \cap K_\infty,
\]

\[
(\alpha, \beta) \longmapsto \begin{bmatrix} \lambda & \lambda\beta \\ -\lambda\beta & \lambda^{-1} \end{bmatrix}.
\]

For \(g \in G^+(\mathbb{R})\) and \(Z \in \mathbb{H}_2\), let \(J(g, Z) = CZ + D\) be the automorphy factor. Then, for any integer \(l\), the map

\[
k \mapsto \det(J(k, I))^l
\]

defines a character \(K_\infty \to \mathbb{C}^\times\). If \(k \in M^{(2)}(\mathbb{R}) \cap K_\infty\) is written in the form \([80]\), then \(\det(J(k, I))^l = \lambda e^{-il\theta}\), where \(\alpha = \cos(\theta), \beta = \sin(\theta)\). Let \(K_H^\infty = K_\infty \cap H^+(\mathbb{R})\). Then \(K_H^\infty\) is a maximal compact subgroup, explicitly given by

\[
K_H^\infty = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ -B & A \end{bmatrix} : tAB = tBA, tAA + tBB = 1 \right\}.
\]
Sending \( \begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ -B & A \end{bmatrix} \) to \( A - iB \) gives an isomorphism \( K^H_\infty \cong U(2) \). Recall that we have chosen \( a, b, c \in \mathbb{R} \) such that \( d = b^2 - 4ac \neq 0 \). In the archimedean case we shall assume that \( d < 0 \) and let \( D = -d \). Then \( \mathbb{R}(\sqrt{-D}) = \mathbb{C} \). The group \( T(\mathbb{R}) \) defined in (82) is given by

\[
T(\mathbb{R}) = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} x + yb/2 & yc \\ -ya & x - yb/2 \end{bmatrix} : x, y \in \mathbb{R}, \ x^2 + y^2D/4 > 0 \right\}.
\]

Let

\[
T^1(\mathbb{R}) = T(\mathbb{R}) \cap SL(2, \mathbb{R}) = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} x + yb/2 & yc \\ -ya & x - yb/2 \end{bmatrix} : x, y \in \mathbb{R}, \ x^2 + y^2D/4 = 1 \right\}.
\]

We have \( T(\mathbb{R}) \cong \mathbb{C}^\times \) via \( \begin{bmatrix} x + yb/2 & yc \\ -ya & x - yb/2 \end{bmatrix} \mapsto x + y\sqrt{-D}/2 \). Under this isomorphism \( T^1(\mathbb{R}) \) corresponds to the unit circle. We have

\[
T(\mathbb{R}) = T^1(\mathbb{R}) \cdot \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} \zeta \\ \zeta^{-1} \end{bmatrix} : \zeta > 0 \right\}.
\]

As in [7], p. 211, let \( t_0 \in \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{R})^+ \) be such that \( T^1(\mathbb{R}) = t_0SO(2)t_0^{-1} \). We will make a specific choice of \( t_0 \) when we choose the matrix \( S = \begin{bmatrix} a & b/2 \\ b/2 & c \end{bmatrix} \) below. It is not hard to see that

\[
H(\mathbb{R}) = R(\mathbb{R}) \cdot \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} \lambda \zeta & \zeta^{-1} \\ \zeta^{-1} & \zeta \end{bmatrix} : \lambda \in \mathbb{R}^\times, \ \zeta \geq 1 \right\} \cdot K^H_\infty.
\]

Here, \( R(\mathbb{R}) = T(\mathbb{R})U(\mathbb{R}) \) is the Bessel subgroup. One can check that all the double cosets in (83) are disjoint.

### 4.2 The Bessel function

Recall that we have chosen three elements \( a, b, c \in \mathbb{R} \) such that \( d = b^2 - 4ac < 0 \). We will now make the stronger assumption that \( S = \begin{bmatrix} a & b/2 \\ b/2 & c \end{bmatrix} \in M_2(\mathbb{R}) \) is a positive definite matrix. Set \( D = 4ac - b^2 > 0 \), as above. Given a positive integer \( l \geq 2 \), consider the function \( B : H(\mathbb{R}) \to \mathbb{C} \) defined by

\[
B(h) := \begin{cases} 
\mu_2(h)^l \det(J(h, I))^{-l} e^{-2\pi i \text{tr}(ShI)} & \text{if } h \in H^+(\mathbb{R}), \\
0 & \text{if } h \notin H^+(\mathbb{R}),
\end{cases}
\]

where \( I = \begin{bmatrix} i & \ 1 \\ 1 & i \end{bmatrix} \). Note that the function \( B \) only depends on the choice of \( S \) and \( l \). Recall the character \( \theta \) of \( U(\mathbb{R}) \) defined by \( \theta(\begin{bmatrix} 1 & X \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}) = \psi(\text{tr}(SX)) \). It depends on the choice of additive character \( \psi \), and throughout we choose \( \psi(x) = e^{-2\pi ix} \). Then the function \( B \) satisfies

\[
B(tuh) = \theta(u)B(h) \quad \text{for } h \in H(\mathbb{R}), \ t \in T(\mathbb{R}), \ u \in U(\mathbb{R}),
\]

and

\[
B(hk) = \det(J(k, I))^l B(h) \quad \text{for } h \in H(\mathbb{R}), \ k \in K^H_\infty.
\]

Property (SS) means that \( B \) satisfies the Bessel transformation property with the character \( \Lambda \otimes \theta \) of \( R(\mathbb{R}) \), where \( \Lambda \) is trivial. In fact, by the considerations in [25] 1-3, or by [18] Theorem 3.4, \( B \) is the highest weight vector (weight \( \omega \)) in a holomorphic discrete series representation (or limit of such if \( l = 2 \)) of \( \text{PGSp}_4(\mathbb{R}) \) corresponding to Siegel modular forms of degree 2 and weight \( l \). By (SS) and (SS), the function \( B \) is determined by its values on a set of representatives for \( R(\mathbb{R}) \backslash H(\mathbb{R})/K^H_\infty \). Such a set is given in (85).
4.3 The function $W^\#$

Let $(\tau, V_\tau)$ be a generic, irreducible, admissible representation of $GL_2(\mathbb{R})$ with central character $\omega_\tau$. We assume that $V_\tau = W(\tau, \psi_\cdot)$ is the Whittaker model of $\tau$ with respect to the non-trivial additive character $x \mapsto \psi(-cx)$. Note that $S$ positive definite implies $c > 0$. Let $W^{(0)} \in V_\tau$ have weight $l_1$. Then $W^{(0)}$ has the properties

$$W^{(0)}(gr(\theta)) = e^{it\theta}W^{(0)}(g), \quad g \in GL_2(\mathbb{R}), \quad r(\theta) = \begin{bmatrix} \cos(\theta) & \sin(\theta) \\ -\sin(\theta) & \cos(\theta) \end{bmatrix} \in SO(2),$$

and

$$W^{(0)}\left(\begin{bmatrix} 1 & x \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}\right) = \psi(-cx)W^{(0)}(g), \quad g \in GL_2(\mathbb{R}), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (90)$$

Let $l_2$ be an integer of the same parity as $l_1$; further below in (101) we will be more specific. Let $\chi_0$ be the character of $\mathbb{C}^\times$ with the properties

$$\chi_0|_{\mathbb{R}^\times} = \omega_\tau, \quad \chi_0(\zeta) = \zeta^{-l_2} \text{ for } \zeta \in \mathbb{C}^\times, |\zeta| = 1. \quad (91)$$

Such a character exists since $\omega_\tau(-1) = (-1)^{l_1} = (-1)^{l_2}$. We extend $W^{(0)}$ to a function on $M^{(2)}(\mathbb{R})$ via

$$W^{(0)}(\zeta g) = \chi_0(\zeta)W^{(0)}(g), \quad \zeta \in \mathbb{C}^\times, \quad g \in GL_2(\mathbb{R}); \quad (92)$$

see (12). We will need the values of $W^{(0)}$ at elements $\begin{bmatrix} t & \cr 1 & \end{bmatrix}$ for $t \neq 0$. For this we consider the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{gl}(2, \mathbb{R})$ and its elements

$$R = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad L = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad H = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad Z = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}. \quad (93)$$

In the universal enveloping algebra $U(\mathfrak{g})$ let $\Delta = \frac{1}{2}(H^2 + 2RL + 2LR)$. Then $\Delta$ lies in the center of $U(\mathfrak{g})$ and acts on $V_\tau$ by a scalar, which we write in the form $-(\frac{1}{4} + (\frac{t}{2})^2)$ with $r \in \mathbb{C}$. In particular,

$$\Delta W^{(0)} = -\left(\frac{1}{4} + \left(\frac{t}{2}\right)^2\right)W^{(0)}. \quad (94)$$

If one restricts the function $W^{(0)}$ to $\begin{bmatrix} t^{1/2} & \cr & t^{-1/2} \end{bmatrix}$, $t > 0$, then (93) reduces to the differential equation satisfied by the classical Whittaker functions. Hence, there exist constants $a^+, a^- \in \mathbb{C}$ such that

$$W^{(0)}\left(\begin{bmatrix} t & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}\right) = \begin{cases} a^+\omega_\tau((4\pi ct)^{1/2})W_{\frac{3}{4} + \frac{1}{2}(4\pi ct)} & \text{if } t > 0, \\ a^-\omega_\tau((-4\pi ct)^{1/2})W_{-\frac{1}{4} - \frac{1}{2}(-4\pi ct)} & \text{if } t < 0. \end{cases} \quad (94)$$

Here, $W_{\frac{3}{4} + \frac{1}{2}(4\pi ct)}$ denotes a classical Whittaker function; see [2] p. 244, [13]. According to (12), let $\chi$ be the character of $\mathbb{C}^\times$ given by

$$\chi(\zeta) = \chi_0(\zeta)^{-1}. \quad (95)$$

We interpret $\chi$ as a character of $M^{(1)}(\mathbb{R}) \cong \mathbb{C}^\times$. We wish to define a function $W^\#$ of the form

$$W^\#(m_1m_2nk, s) = \delta_p + 1/2(m_1m_2)f(k)\chi(m_1)W^{(0)}(m_2), \quad (96)$$

where $m_1 \in M^{(1)}(\mathbb{R}), m_2 \in M^{(2)}(\mathbb{R}), n \in N(\mathbb{R})$ and $k \in K_\infty$, for some analytic function $f$ on $K_\infty$. Any such $W^\#$ would be a legitimate section of the induced representation $I_C(s, \chi, \chi_0, \tau)$ considered in Sect. 4.2.

In addition, we would like $W^\#$ to satisfy the right transformation property

$$W^\#(gk, s) = \det(J(k, I))^{-1}W^\#(g, s) \quad \text{for } g \in G(\mathbb{R}), \quad k \in K^H. \quad (97)$$

We need this property so that the function $B(g)W^\#(g, s)$ will be right invariant under $K^H$; see (88). The following lemma gives the precise conditions to be satisfied by the function $f$ so that $W^\#$ is well-defined.
4.3.1 Lemma. Let $f$ be a function on $K_{\infty}$. For $\zeta \in \mathbb{C}$, set $\hat{\zeta}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} \zeta & 1 \\ 1 & \zeta \end{bmatrix}$ and $\hat{\zeta}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \zeta \\ \zeta & 1 \end{bmatrix}$.

For $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$, set $\hat{r}(\theta) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \cos(\theta) & \sin(\theta) \\ -\sin(\theta) & 1 & \cos(\theta) \end{bmatrix}$. We can define a function $W^\#(\cdot, s)$ on $G(\mathbb{R})$ by formula (96) if and only if $f$ satisfies, for all $k \in K_{\infty}$, $\zeta \in S^1$ and $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$, the conditions

$$
\begin{align*}
    f(\hat{\zeta}_1 k) &= \chi(\zeta)f(k), \\
    f(\hat{\zeta}_2 k) &= \chi_0(\zeta)f(k), \\
    f(\hat{r}(\theta)k) &= e^{it_1\theta}f(k).
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. This is obtained by direct computation.

We will now demonstrate how to obtain a function $f$ on $K_{\infty}$ satisfying all the required conditions. We define four functions $\hat{a}, \hat{b}, \hat{c}, \hat{d}$ on $K_{\infty}$ by

$$
\begin{align*}
    \hat{a}(g) &= a\text{-coefficient of } J(g^I I), & \hat{b}(g) &= b\text{-coefficient of } J(g^I I), \\
    \hat{c}(g) &= c\text{-coefficient of } J(g^I I), & \hat{d}(g) &= d\text{-coefficient of } J(g^I I).
\end{align*}
$$

The function $J(g, Z)$ was defined in Sect. 4.1. Here, we have written $J(g^I I)$ as $\begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix}$. Since $h^t h = 1$ for all $h \in K^H_{\infty}$, each of these functions is right $K^H_{\infty}$ invariant. Calculations show that

$$
\begin{align*}
    \hat{a}(\hat{\zeta}_1 g) &= \zeta^2 \hat{a}(g), & \hat{b}(\hat{\zeta}_2 g) &= \hat{a}(g), & \hat{b}(\hat{\zeta}_2 g) &= \zeta \hat{b}(g), \\
    \hat{c}(\hat{\zeta}_1 g) &= \zeta \hat{c}(g), & \hat{c}(\hat{\zeta}_2 g) &= \zeta \hat{c}(g), & \hat{d}(\hat{\zeta}_1 g) &= \hat{d}(g), & \hat{d}(\hat{\zeta}_2 g) &= \zeta^2 \hat{d}(g).
\end{align*}
$$

for $\zeta \in S^1$, as well as

$$
\begin{align*}
    \hat{a}(\hat{r}(\theta)g) &= \hat{a}(g), & \hat{b}(\hat{r}(\theta)g) &= e^{i\theta} \hat{b}(g), & \hat{c}(\hat{r}(\theta)g) &= e^{-i\theta} \hat{c}(g), & \hat{d}(\hat{r}(\theta)g) &= \hat{d}(g)
\end{align*}
$$

for all $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$.

4.3.2 Lemma. Let $l$ be any integer. Let $t_1, t_2, t_3$ be integers of the same parity satisfying $t_1 \geq t_2 \geq -t_3 \geq -2l - t_2$. Then there exists a real analytic function $f$ on $K_{\infty}$ with the following properties.

- For all $h \in K^H_{\infty}$,

$$
    f(gh) = \text{det}(J(h, I))^{-1} f(g).
$$

- For all $\zeta \in S^1$,

$$
    f(\hat{\zeta}_1 g) = \zeta^{t_1} f(g), & f(\hat{\zeta}_2 g) = \zeta^{t_2} f(g).
$$

- For all $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$
    f(\hat{r}(\theta)g) = e^{it_3 \theta} f(g).
$$

In fact, for any integer $t \geq 0$ such that all exponents in the function

$$
    f(g) = \hat{a}(g)^{t_1} \hat{b}(g)^{t_2} \hat{c}(g)^{t_3} \hat{d}(g)^t \text{det}(J(g, I))^{-t}
$$

are non-negative, this function has the desired properties.
Proof. This follows from the above transformation properties of \( \hat{a}, \hat{b}, \hat{c}, \hat{d} \). In order to obtain a well-defined function, we need to make sure all exponents are non-negative integers.

With \( l \) being the weight of our Siegel modular form and \( l_1 \) being the weight of our function \( W^{(0)} \in V_\tau \), we now make the choice \( t = 0 \) and \( t_3 = l_1 \) in the above lemma, and \( t_1 = t_2 \) as small as possible. We obtain the analytic function on \( K_\infty \) given by

\[
f(g) = \begin{cases} 
\hat{b}(g)^{l_1 - l} \det(J(g, I))^{-1} & \text{if } l \leq l_1, \\
\hat{c}(g)^{l - l_1} \det(J(g, I))^{-1} & \text{if } l \geq l_1.
\end{cases}
\] (100)

This function satisfies (98) with

\[
\chi(\zeta) = \chi_0(\zeta) = \zeta^{l_2}, \quad \text{where} \quad l_2 = \begin{cases} 
l_1 - 2l & \text{if } l \leq l_1, \\
-l_1 & \text{if } l \geq l_1.
\end{cases}
\] (101)

It also satisfies (99), and can therefore be used to define the function \( W^\# \) on \( G(\mathbb{R}) \) via

\[
W^\#(m_1 m_2 n k, s) = \delta_p^{s+1/2} (m_1 m_2) \chi(m_1) W^{(0)}(m_2) f(k).
\] (102)

Here, \( m_1 \in M^{(1)}(\mathbb{R}) \), \( m_2 \in M^{(2)}(\mathbb{R}) \), \( n \in N(\mathbb{R}) \) and \( k \in K_\infty \). It is clear that \( W^\#(\cdot,s) \) satisfies (97), since \( f \) has the corresponding property. By Lemma 2.3.1 of [17], we have

\[
W^\#(\eta t u h, s) = \theta(u)^{-1} W^\#(\eta h, s)
\] (103)

for \( t \in T(\mathbb{R}), u \in U(\mathbb{R}), h \in G(\mathbb{R}) \) and

\[
\eta = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\
\alpha & 1 \\
1 & -\bar{\alpha}
\end{bmatrix}, \quad \alpha = \frac{b + \sqrt{-D}}{2c}, \quad D = 4ac - b^2.
\]

Note that, if \( l = l_1 \), then \( W^\# \) coincides with the archimedean section used in [17] and [17].

4.4 The local archimedean integral

Let \( B \) and \( W^\# \) be as defined in Sect. 4.2 and 4.3. By (87) and (103), it makes sense to consider the integral

\[
Z(s, W^\#, B) = \int_{R(\mathbb{R}) \setminus H(\mathbb{R})} W^\#(\eta h, s) B(h) dh.
\] (104)

Our goal in the following is to evaluate this integral. The function \( W^\#(\eta h, s) B(h) \) is right invariant under \( K_\infty^H \). Using this fact and the disjoint double coset decomposition (85), we obtain

\[
Z(s, W^\#, B) = \pi \int_{\mathbb{R} \times 1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^\infty} W^\#(\eta, s)
\]

\[
= \int_{\mathbb{R} \times 1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^\infty} \chi(\zeta) J(\zeta, s) B \biggl( \lambda t_0 \begin{bmatrix} \zeta & \zeta^{-1} \\
\zeta^{-1} & \zeta
\end{bmatrix} \biggr) \biggl( \chi(\zeta) - \chi(\zeta^{-3}) \lambda^{-4} \biggr) d\zeta d\lambda;
\] (105)

see (4.6) of [17] for the relevant integration formulas. The above calculations are valid for any choice of \( a, b, c \) as long as \( S = \begin{bmatrix} a & b/2 \\
b/2 & c
\end{bmatrix} \) is positive definite. To compute (105), we will fix \( D = 4ac - b^2 \) and make
special choices for $a, b, c$. First assume that $D \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$. In this case, let $S(-D) := \begin{bmatrix} \frac{D}{1} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$. Then
\[
\eta = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{D}} \\ 1 \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{-D}} \\ 1 \end{bmatrix},
\]
and we can choose $t_0 = \begin{bmatrix} 2^{1/2}D^{-1/4} \\ 2^{-1/2}D^{1/4} \end{bmatrix}$. From (100) we have
\[
B\left( \begin{bmatrix} \lambda t_0 \zeta^{-1} \\ t_0^{-1} \zeta^{-1} \end{bmatrix} \right) = \begin{cases} \lambda \sqrt{2 \pi \lambda D^{3/2}} \frac{2^{-\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{4}}}{\zeta} & \text{if } \lambda > 0, \\
0 & \text{if } \lambda < 0. \end{cases}
\] (106)

Next we rewrite the argument of $W^#$ as an element of $MNK_{\infty}$,
\[
\eta \begin{bmatrix} \lambda t_0 \zeta^{-1} \\ t_0^{-1} \zeta^{-1} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} D^{-\frac{1}{4}} \left( \frac{\zeta^2 + \zeta^{-2}}{2} \right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \\ D^{\frac{1}{4}} \left( \frac{\zeta^2 + \zeta^{-2}}{2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ D^{\frac{1}{4}} \left( \frac{\zeta^2 + \zeta^{-2}}{2} \right)^{\frac{1}{4}} \\ D^{-\frac{1}{4}} \left( \frac{\zeta^2 + \zeta^{-2}}{2} \right)^{-\frac{1}{4}} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -i\zeta^2 \\ 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \\ -i\zeta^{-2} \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} k_0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ k_0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \text{where } k_0 = (\zeta^2 + \zeta^{-2})^{-1/2} \begin{bmatrix} \zeta^{-1} & i\zeta \\ i\zeta & \zeta^{-1} \end{bmatrix} \in \text{SU}(2).
\]

With $f$ as in (100), we have
\[
f\left( \begin{bmatrix} k_0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ k_0 \end{bmatrix} \right) = i^{l_1+l_2} \left( \frac{\zeta^2 + \zeta^{-2}}{2} \right)^{-(l_1+l_2)}.
\]
Therefore, by (102),
\[
W^# \left( \eta \begin{bmatrix} \lambda t_0 \zeta^{-1} \\ t_0^{-1} \zeta^{-1} \end{bmatrix}, s \right) = i^{l_1+l_2} \left( \frac{\zeta^2 + \zeta^{-2}}{2} \right)^{-(l_1+l_2)} \left| \lambda D^{-\frac{1}{4}} \left( \frac{\zeta^2 + \zeta^{-2}}{2} \right)^{-\frac{1}{2}+l_1-l_2} \right| \omega_{\tau}(\lambda^{-1}W(0)) \left( \begin{bmatrix} \lambda D^{-\frac{1}{4}} \left( \frac{\zeta^2 + \zeta^{-2}}{2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \right). \] (107)

Let $q \in \mathbb{C}$ be such that $\omega_{\tau}(y) = y^q$ for $y > 0$. It follows from (94), (106) and (107) that
\[
Z(s, W^#, B) = i^{l_1+l_2}a^+ \pi D^{-\frac{1}{4}+\frac{s}{2}+\frac{l_1}{2}+\frac{l_2}{2}} (4\pi)^{\frac{1}{2}} \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \lambda^{3s+\frac{1}{2}+l_1-l_2} \left( \frac{\zeta^2 + \zeta^{-2}}{2} \right)^{-3s-\frac{1}{2}+\frac{3}{2}+l_1-l_2} W_{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}} \left( \frac{4\pi \lambda D^{1/2} \left( \frac{\zeta^2 + \zeta^{-2}}{2} \right)}{2} \right) e^{-2\pi \lambda D^{1/2} \left( \frac{\zeta^2 + \zeta^{-2}}{2} \right)} \left( \zeta - \zeta^{-1} \right)^{\lambda^{-1}} d\zeta d\lambda. \] (108)

The substitution $u = (\zeta^2 + \zeta^{-2})/2$ leads to
\[
Z(s, W^#, B) = i^{l_1+l_2}a^+ \pi D^{-\frac{1}{4}+\frac{s}{2}+\frac{l_1}{2}+\frac{l_2}{2}} (4\pi)^{\frac{1}{2}} \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \lambda^{3s+\frac{1}{2}+l_1-l_2} u^{-3s-\frac{1}{2}+\frac{3}{2}+l_1-l_2}
\]
We will first compute the integral with respect to $\lambda$. For a fixed $u$ substitute $x = 4\pi\lambda D^{1/2}u$ to get

$$Z(s, W^#, B) = \int_1^{l+l_2} a^+ \pi D^{-3s-\frac{1}{2} + \frac{\pi}{2}} (4\pi)^{-3s+\frac{3}{2} - l + q} \Gamma(3s + l - 1 + \frac{ir}{2} - \frac{q}{2}) \Gamma(3s + l - 1 - \frac{ir}{2} - \frac{q}{2}) \Gamma(3s + l - \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2} - \frac{q}{2}) \frac{6s + 2l + l_2 - q - 1}{(4\pi)^{-3s+\frac{3}{2} - l + q}}.$$

Using the integral formula for the Whittaker function from [13, p. 316], we get

$$Z(s, W^#, B) = \int_1^{l+l_2} a^+ \pi D^{-3s-\frac{1}{2} + \frac{\pi}{2}} (4\pi)^{-3s+\frac{3}{2} - l + q} \Gamma(3s + l - 1 + \frac{ir}{2} - \frac{q}{2}) \Gamma(3s + l - 1 - \frac{ir}{2} - \frac{q}{2}) \Gamma(3s + l - \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2} - \frac{q}{2}) \frac{6s + 2l + l_2 - q - 1}{(4\pi)^{-3s+\frac{3}{2} - l + q}}.$$

Here, for the calculation of the $u$-integral, we have assumed that Re$(6s + 2l + l_2 - q - 1) > 0$. In all of this we assumed $D \equiv 0 \mod 4$. If $D \equiv 3 \mod 4$, one can proceed as in Sect. 4.4 of [17]. We summarize the results.

### 4.4.1 Theorem

Let $l$ and $D$ be positive integers such that $D \equiv 0, 3 \mod 4$. Let $S(-D) = \left[ \begin{array}{c} D/4 \\ 1 \end{array} \right]$ if $D \equiv 0 \mod 4$ and $S(-D) = \left[ \begin{array}{c} (1 + D)/4 \\ 1/2 \\ 1 \end{array} \right]$ if $D \equiv 3 \mod 4$. Let $B : \text{GSp}_4(\mathbb{R}) \to \mathbb{C}$ be the function defined in [50], and let $W^#(\cdot, s)$ be the function defined in [102]. Let $l_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$ be as in [101]. Then, for Re$(6s + 2l + l_2 - q - 1) > 0$, the local archimedean integral [18] is given by

$$Z(s, W^#, B) = \int_1^{l+l_2} a^+ \pi D^{-3s-\frac{1}{2} + \frac{\pi}{2}} (4\pi)^{-3s+\frac{3}{2} - l + q} \Gamma(3s + l - 1 + \frac{ir}{2} - \frac{q}{2}) \Gamma(3s + l - 1 - \frac{ir}{2} - \frac{q}{2}) \Gamma(3s + l - \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2} - \frac{q}{2}) \frac{6s + 2l + l_2 - q - 1}{(4\pi)^{-3s+\frac{3}{2} - l + q}}.$$

Here, $q \in \mathbb{C}$ is related to the central character of $\tau$ via $\omega_r(y) = y^q$ for $y > 0$. The number $r \in \mathbb{C}$ is such that [53] holds.

Note that, if $l \geq l_1$, so that $l_2 = -l_1$, the formula in the theorem simplifies to

$$Z(s, W^#, B) = i^{l-l_1} a^+ \pi D^{-3s-\frac{1}{2} + \frac{\pi}{2}} (4\pi)^{-3s+\frac{3}{2} - l + q} \Gamma(3s + l - 1 + \frac{ir}{2} - \frac{q}{2}) \Gamma(3s + l - 1 - \frac{ir}{2} - \frac{q}{2}) \Gamma(3s + l - \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2} - \frac{q}{2}) \frac{6s + 2l + l_2 - q - 1}{(4\pi)^{-3s+\frac{3}{2} - l + q}}.$$

In particular, for $l = l_1$, we recover Theorem 4.4.1 of [17]. We point out that in our present approach the number $l_1$ (the GL$_2$ weight) can be chosen independently of $l$ (the GSp$_4$ weight), including the case of different parity.

### 5 An application: special values

Let $\mathbb{A}$ be the ring of adeles of $\mathbb{Q}$. Let $\pi$ be a cuspidal, automorphic representation of $H(\mathbb{A})$ associated with a holomorphic Siegel cusp form $\Phi$ of degree 2. Our local results are strong enough to obtain an integral representation for the GSp$_4 \times$ GL$_2$ $L$-function $L(s, \pi \times \tau)$, where $\tau$ is an arbitrary cuspidal, automorphic representation of GL$_2(\mathbb{A})$. In the case that $\tau$ comes from a holomorphic cusp form of the same weight as $\Phi$, but with arbitrary level and character, we will use the integral representation to obtain a special $L$-value result that fits into the general conjecture of Deligne on special values of $L$-functions.
5.1 Siegel modular forms and Bessel models

We would like to apply the theory outlined above to the case where \( \pi \) comes from a holomorphic Siegel modular form of full level. Following [7], we will impose a condition on the Fourier coefficients of this modular form guaranteeing the existence of a suitable Bessel model for \( \pi \). Let \( \Gamma_2 = \text{Sp}_4(\mathbb{Z}) \). For a positive integer \( l \) we denote by \( S_l(\Gamma_2) \) the space of Siegel cusp forms of degree 2 and weight \( l \) with respect to \( \Gamma_2 \). Let \( \Phi \in S_l(\Gamma_2) \) be a Hecke eigenform. It has a Fourier expansion

\[
\Phi(Z) = \sum_{S > 0} a(S, \Phi) e^{2\pi i \text{tr}(SZ)},
\]

where \( S \) runs through all symmetric, semi-integral, positive definite matrices of size two. We shall make the following assumptions about the function \( \Phi \).

**Assumption:** \( a(S, \Phi) \neq 0 \) for some \( S = \begin{bmatrix} a & b/2 \\ b/2 & c \end{bmatrix} \) such that \( b^2 - 4ac = -D < 0 \), where \( -D \) is the discriminant of the imaginary quadratic field \( \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-D}) \).

Strong approximation allows for the definition of an adelic function \( \phi = \phi_{\Phi} \) on \( H(\mathbb{A}) \) by

\[
\phi(\gamma h_{\infty}k_0) = \mu_2(h_{\infty})^4 \det(J(h_{\infty}, I))^{-1} \Phi(h_{\infty}(I)),
\]

where \( \gamma \in H(\mathbb{Q}), h_{\infty} \in H^+(\mathbb{R}), k_0 \in \prod_{p \in \mathbb{Q}} H(\mathbb{Z}_p) \). Here \( I = \begin{bmatrix} i & 0 \\ 0 & i \end{bmatrix} \), and \( J(g, Z) = CZ + D \) for \( g = \begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{bmatrix} \) and \( Z \) in the Siegel upper half space. Note that \( \phi \) has archimedean weight \((l, l)\) and is a lowest weight vector with respect to the action of the Lie algebra. The complex conjugate function \( \bar{\phi} \) has weight \((-l, -l)\) and is a highest weight vector; if \( \phi \) lies in a space of automorphic forms realizing a representation \( \pi \), then \( \bar{\phi} \) lies in a space of automorphic forms realizing the contragredient representation \( \bar{\pi} \). Let \( \psi_p \) be the character of \( \mathbb{Q} \backslash \mathbb{A} \) which has conductor \( \mathbb{Z}_p \) at each finite prime \( p \) and such that \( \psi_\infty(x) = e^{-2\pi i x} \) for \( x \in \mathbb{R} \). Let

\[
S(-D) = \begin{cases} 
\begin{bmatrix} D & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} & \text{if } D \equiv 0 \pmod{4}, \\
\begin{bmatrix} 1 & \frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{1}{2} & 1 \end{bmatrix} & \text{if } D \equiv 3 \pmod{4}.
\end{cases}
\]

Our quadratic extension is \( L = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-D}) \). Let \( T \) be the subgroup of \( \text{GL}_2 \) defined in [19] with \( S = S(-D) \). Let \( \Lambda \) be an ideal class character of \( \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-D}) \), i.e., a character of

\[
T(\mathbb{A})/T(\mathbb{Q})T(\mathbb{R}) \prod_{p < \infty} (T(\mathbb{Q}_p) \cap \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}_p)),
\]

to be specified further below. Note that if we write \( \Lambda = \otimes_v \Lambda_v \) with characters \( \Lambda_v \) of \( L_v^\times \), then \( \Lambda_\infty \) is trivial and \( \Lambda_v \) is unramified for each finite \( v \). We define the global Bessel function of type \((S, \Lambda, \psi)\) associated to \( \bar{\phi} \) by

\[
B_{\bar{\phi}}(h) = \int_{Z_H(\mathbb{A})R(\mathbb{Q}) \backslash R(\mathbb{A})} (\Lambda \otimes \theta)(r)^{-1} \bar{\phi}(rh) dr,
\]

where \( \theta(\begin{bmatrix} 1 & X \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}) = \psi(\text{tr}(S(-D)X)) \). From [25] (1-17), (1-19), (1-26)], we have, for \( h_{\infty} \in H^+(\mathbb{R}) \),

\[
B_{\bar{\phi}}(h_{\infty}) = \mu_2(h_{\infty})^4 \det(J(h_{\infty}, I))^{-1} e^{-2\pi i \text{tr}(S(-D)h_{\infty}(I))} \sum_{j=1}^{h(-D)} \Lambda(t_j)^{-1} a(S_j, \Phi),
\]

\(3\)The “Assumption 2” from [7] and [17], namely that \( l \) is a multiple of the number of roots of unity in \( \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-D}) \), is no longer needed in our current approach.
and $B_{\overline{\phi}}(h_\infty) = 0$ for $h_\infty \not\in H^+(\mathbb{R})$. Here, $h(-D)$ is the class number of $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-D})$, the elements $t_j, j = 1, \ldots, h(-D)$, are representatives of the ideal classes of $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-D})$, and $S_j, j = 1, \ldots, h(-D)$, are representatives of the $\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ equivalence classes of primitive semi-integral positive definite matrices of discriminant $-D$ corresponding to $t_j$. Thus, by the Assumption above, there exists a $\Lambda$ such that $B_{\overline{\phi}}(I_4) \neq 0$. We fix such a $\Lambda$. Let $\mathcal{B}$ be the space of Bessel functions generated by $B_{\overline{\phi}}$ under right translation. Let $\mathcal{B} = B_1 \oplus \ldots \oplus B_j$ be a decomposition into irreducible components. Each $B_i$ has a holomorphic discrete series representation with scalar minimal $K$-type $(l, l)$ as its archimedean component, and a spherical representation determined by the Hecke $p$-eigenvalues as its component at a finite prime $p$. Therefore, all the $B_i$ are isomorphic. It follows from the uniqueness of local Bessel models that all spaces $B_i$ are identical, i.e., $\mathcal{B}$ is irreducible. If $\pi = \otimes_{p} \pi_p$ is any of the irreducible components of the automorphic representation generated by $\phi$, then the representation of $H(\mathcal{A})$ on $\mathcal{B}$ is $\pi = \otimes_{p} \pi_p$. Since the vector $B_{\overline{\phi}}$ is $H(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ invariant for each finite $p$, and a highest weight vector at the archimedean place, it follows that $B_{\overline{\phi}}$ is a pure tensor. More precisely,

$$B_{\overline{\phi}}(g) = a(\Lambda) \prod_{p \leq \infty} B_p(g_p), \quad g = (g_p)_p \in H(\mathcal{A}),$$

where $B_\infty$ is the function given in (56), where $B_p, p < \infty$, is the spherical vector in the local Bessel model $B_{\Lambda_p, \varrho_p, \psi_p}(\pi_p)$, normalized by $B_p(1) = 1$ (see Sect. 5.2), and where $a(\Lambda) = \sum_{j=1}^{h(-D)} \Lambda(t_j)a(S_j, \Phi)$.

### 5.2 Elliptic modular forms as adelic functions

Let $S_l(N, \chi')$ be the space of holomorphic cusp forms on the complex upper half plane $\mathfrak{h}_1$ of weight $l$ with respect to $\Gamma_0(N)$ and nebentypus $\chi'$. Here $N = \prod_p p^{n_p}$ is any positive integer and $\chi'$ is a Dirichlet character modulo $N$. Then $\Psi \in S_l(N, \chi')$ satisfies

$$\Psi \left( \frac{az + b}{cz + d} \right) = \chi'(d)(cz + d)^l \Psi(z) \quad \text{for } z \in \mathfrak{h}_1 \text{ and } \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} \in \Gamma_0(N)$$

and has a Fourier expansion

$$\Psi(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n e^{2\pi inz}.$$  \hfill (118)

We will assume that $\Psi$ is primitive, which means that $\Psi$ is a new form, a Hecke eigenform, and is normalized so that $b_1 = 1$. We will now define a function $f_{\Psi}$ on $\text{GL}_2(\mathcal{A})$ associated to $\Psi$. For this, let $\omega = \otimes \omega_p$ be the character of $\mathbb{A}^\times/\mathbb{Q}^\times$ defined as the composition

$$\mathbb{A}^\times = \mathbb{Q}^\times \times \mathbb{R}_+^\times \times \left( \prod_{p < \infty} \mathbb{Z}_p^\times \right) \rightarrow \prod_{p \mid N} \mathbb{Z}_p^\times \rightarrow \prod_{p \mid N} (\mathbb{Z}_p/p^{n_p} \mathbb{Z}_p)^\times \cong (\mathbb{Z}/N \mathbb{Z})^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times.$$ 

By definition, for primes $p \nmid N$, the local character $\omega_p$ of $\mathbb{Q}_p^\times$ is unramified and satisfies $\chi'(p) = \omega_p(p)^{-1}$. Furthermore, $\omega_{\infty}$ is trivial on $\mathbb{R}_+^\times$ and $\omega_{\infty}(-1) = (-1)^l$. For primes $p \mid N$, $\omega_p$ is trivial on $1 + p^{n_p} \mathbb{Z}_p$. Furthermore, for any positive integer $a$ coprime to $N$,

$$\chi'(a) = \prod_{p \mid N} \omega_p(a).$$ \hfill (119)

Let $K^{(0)}(N) := \prod_{p \mid N} K^{(0)}_0(p^{n_p} \mathbb{Z}_p) \prod_{p \mid N} \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ with the local congruence subgroups $K^{(0)}_0(p^{n_p} \mathbb{Z}_p) = \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}_p) \cap \left[ 1 + p^{n_p} \mathbb{Z}_p, \mathbb{Z}_p \right] \mathbb{Z}_p$, as in (58). Let $K_0(N) := \prod_{p \mid N} K_0(p^{n_p} \mathbb{Z}_p) \prod_{p \mid N} \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}_p)$, where $K_0(p^{n_p} \mathbb{Z}_p) = \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ ∩ $\left[ 1 + p^{n_p} \mathbb{Z}_p, \mathbb{Z}_p \right] \mathbb{Z}_p$. \footnote{Since multiplicity one for GSp(4) is still an issue, we are being careful here and avoid assuming that $\phi$ itself generates an irreducible representation.}
By definition, the function $a(123)$ follows from a standard calculation. The equality $\tau$ for each finite classical Whittaker function in (94) we have

$$W_\tau \mid_{p^N} \text{ for each finite } p.$$ 

With these notations, we now define the adelic function $f_\Psi$ by

$$f_\Psi(\gamma mk) = \lambda(k) \frac{\det(m)^{1/2}}{(\gamma i + \delta)^t} \Psi \left( \frac{\alpha i + \beta}{\gamma i + \delta} \right),$$

where $\gamma \in \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q})$, $m = \begin{bmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ \gamma & \delta \end{bmatrix} \in \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{R})$ and $k \in K_0(N)$. Using (117), (119) and (120), it is easy to check that $f_\Psi$ is well-defined. Let $V_\Psi$ be the space of right translates of $f_\Psi$, on which the group $\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{A})$ acts by right translation to give an irreducible, cuspidal, automorphic representation $\tau = \tau_\Phi$. Note that the central character $\omega_\tau$ of $\tau$ is given by the character $\omega$ associated to $\chi^\prime$.

**5.2.1 Lemma.** Let $\tau = \otimes \tau_p$ be the decomposition of $\tau$ into a restricted tensor product of local representations. Let the global character $\psi$ be as in Sect. 5.1. Consider the function

$$W^{(0)}(g) = \int_{\mathbb{Q} \setminus \mathbb{A}} \psi(x) f_\Psi \left( \frac{1}{x} \right) g dx,$$

which is a vector in the global $\psi^{-1}$ Whittaker model $W(\tau, \psi^{-1}) = \otimes W(\tau_p, \psi_p^{-1})$ corresponding to the automorphic form $f_\Psi$. This function is a pure tensor of local Whittaker functions,

$$W^{(0)}(g) = \prod_{p \leq \infty} W^{(0)}_p(g_p), \quad g = (g_p) \in \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{A}).$$

For each finite $p$, the function $W^{(0)}_p$ is the local newform in $W(\tau_p, \psi_p^{-1})$ described in Lemma 3.4.2. If the normalization is such that $W^{(0)}_p(1) = 1$ for all finite $p$, then

$$W^{(0)}_\infty \left( \begin{bmatrix} t & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \right) = \begin{cases} e^{-2\pi t l/2} & \text{if } t > 0, \\ 0 & \text{if } t < 0. \end{cases}$$

The constants appearing in (94) are $a^+ = (4\pi)^{-l/2} b_1 = (4\pi)^{-l/2}$ and $a^- = 0$. Furthermore,

$$W^{(0)}_\infty \left( \begin{bmatrix} \cos(\theta) & \sin(\theta) \\ -\sin(\theta) & \cos(\theta) \end{bmatrix} \right) = e^{i\theta} W^{(0)}_\infty (g) \quad \text{for all } g \in \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{R}), \theta \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (124)$$

**Proof.** By definition, the function $f_\Psi$, and hence the function $W^{(0)}$, is right invariant under $K^{(0)}(p^N \mathbb{Z}_p)$ for each finite $p$. Our requirement that $\Psi$ is a newform implies that $n_p$ is the conductor of the local representation $\tau_p$. This implies that $W^{(0)}$ factors as in (122), and that $W^{(0)}_p$ is the local newform, for each finite $p$. Formula (123) follows from a standard calculation. The equality $a^+ = (4\pi)^{-l/2}$ follows from the fact that for the classical Whittaker function in (94) we have $ir = l - 1$ (for the discrete series representation of lowest weight $l$ under consideration) and the explicit formula

$$W^{(0)}_p(x \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}) = e^{-x/2} x^{l/2} \quad \text{for all } x > 0. \quad (125)$$

The property (124) follows from (121).
5.3 Choosing the global characters

In the following we will make a choice for the section \( f_A(g, s) \in \mathcal{I}_C(s, \chi, \chi_0, \tau) \) appearing in the global integrals (20) and (27). We will choose \( f_A \) as a pure tensor \( \otimes f_p \) via the middle isomorphism in (24). Each \( f_p \) will be chosen to be the local section corresponding to the function \( W^\# \) from the local integral representations obtained in Theorem 3.5.1 (non-archimedean case) and Theorem 4.4.1 (archimedean case). We have to make sure, however, that the local data entering these theorems, in particular the characters obtained in Theorem 3.5.1 (non-archimedean case) and Theorem 4.4.1 (archimedean case). We have to make sure, however, that the local data entering these theorems, in particular the characters \( \chi, \chi_0 \) and \( \Lambda \), fit into a global situation.

5.3.1 Lemma. Let \( L \) be an imaginary quadratic field extension of \( \mathbb{Q} \). Let \( \omega = \otimes \omega_p \) be a character of \( \mathbb{Q}^\times \backslash \mathbb{A}^\times \). Let \( l_2 \) be an integer such that \((-1)^{l_2} = \omega_\infty(-1)\). Then there exists a character \( \chi_0 = \otimes \chi_{0,v} \) of \( L^\times \backslash \mathbb{A}_L^\times \) such that

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{i)} & \quad \text{the restriction of } \chi_0 \text{ to } \mathbb{A}^\times \text{ coincides with } \omega, \text{ and} \\
\text{ii)} & \quad \chi_{0,\infty}(\zeta) = \zeta^{l_2} \text{ for all } \zeta \in S^1.
\end{align*}
\]

Proof. Since \( \omega \) is trivial on \( L^\times \cap \mathbb{A}^\times = \mathbb{Q}^\times \), we can extend \( \omega \) to a character of \( L^\times \mathbb{A}^\times \) in such a way that \( \omega|_{L^\times} = 1 \). Since \( S^1 \cap (L^\times \mathbb{A}^\times) = \{ \pm 1 \} \), we can further extend \( \omega \) to a character of \( S^1 L^\times \mathbb{A}^\times \) in such a way that \( \omega(\zeta) = \zeta^{l_2} \) for all \( \zeta \in S^1 \). For each finite place \( v \) of \( L \) we will choose a compact subgroup \( U_v \) of \( \sigma_L^\times \) such that \( \omega \) can be extended to \( S^1 L^\times \mathbb{A}^\times (\prod_{v<\infty} U_v) \), with \( \omega \) trivial on \( \prod_{v<\infty} U_v \) and \( U_v = \sigma_L^\times \) for almost all \( v \). Hence, the \( U_v \) should be chosen such that \( \omega \) is trivial on \( \prod_{v<\infty} U_v \cap S^1 L^\times \mathbb{A}^\times \). We consider the intersection

\[
\left( \prod_{v<\infty} U_v \right) \cap S^1 L^\times \mathbb{A}^\times = \left( \prod_{v<\infty} U_v \right) \cap \mathbb{C}^\times \left( \prod_{p<\infty} \mathbb{Z}_p^\times \right).
\]  

(126)

Let \( z \alpha x \) be an element of this intersection, where \( z \in \mathbb{C}^\times \), \( \alpha \in L^\times \) and \( x \in \prod_{p<\infty} \mathbb{Z}_p^\times \). We have \( \alpha \in L^\times \cap \prod_{v<\infty} \sigma_{L,v}^\times = \sigma_L^\times \), which is a finite set, say \( \{\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_m\} \). For \( i \) such that \( \alpha_i \notin \mathbb{Q}^\times \), choose a prime \( p \) such that \( \alpha_i \notin \mathbb{Z}_p^\times \). Then choose a place \( v \) lying above \( p \), and choose \( U_v \) so small that \( \alpha_i \notin U_v \mathbb{Z}_p^\times \). Then the intersection (126) equals

\[
\left( \prod_{v<\infty} U_v \right) \cap \mathbb{C}^\times \left( \prod_{p<\infty} \mathbb{Z}_p^\times \right).
\]

(127)

We can choose \( U_v \) even smaller, so that \( \omega \) is trivial on this intersection. We can therefore extend \( \omega \) to a character of \( S^1 L^\times \mathbb{A}^\times (\prod_{v<\infty} U_v) = \mathbb{C}^\times L^\times (\prod_{v<\infty} U_v) (\prod_{p<\infty} \mathbb{Z}_p^\times) \).

(128)

in such a way that \( \omega \) is trivial on \( \prod_{v<\infty} U_v \). The group (128) is of finite index in \( \mathbb{C}^\times L^\times (\prod_{v<\infty} \sigma_{L,v}^\times) \), and therefore of finite index in \( \mathbb{A}^\times_L \) (using the finiteness of the class number). By Pontrjagin duality, we can now extend \( \omega \) to a character \( \chi_0 \) of \( \mathbb{A}^\times_L \) with the desired properties.

We apply this lemma with \( \omega = \omega_r \), the central character of the representation \( \tau \) of \( \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{A}) \) generated by the cusp form \( \Psi \), and with \( l_2 = -l \). We let \( \chi_0 = \otimes \chi_{0,v} \) be a character of \( L^\times \mathbb{A}_L^\times \) satisfying properties i) and ii) of the lemma. Let \( \chi \) be the character of \( L^\times \mathbb{A}_L^\times \) defined by

\[
\chi(\zeta) = \Lambda(\bar{\zeta})^{-1} \chi_0(\bar{\zeta})^{-1}, \quad \zeta \in \mathbb{A}_L^\times,
\]

(129)

where \( \Lambda \) is as in Sect. 5.1. Since \( \Lambda_\infty \) is trivial, we have

\[
\chi_{\infty}(\zeta) = \chi_{0,\infty}(\zeta) = \zeta^{l_2} \quad \text{for all } \zeta \in S^1.
\]

(130)
5.4 Defining the global section

Let the characters $\chi$ and $\chi_0$ be chosen as in the previous section. Let $f_\psi$ be the function defined in (121), and let $W^{(0)}$ be the corresponding Whittaker function as in Lemma 5.2.1. We extend $W^{(0)}$ to a function on $\text{GU}(1, 1; L)(A)$ via

$$W^{(0)}(\zeta g) = \chi_0(\zeta)W^{(0)}(g) \quad \text{for } \zeta \in A, \quad g \in \text{GL}_2(A).$$

If $W^{(0)}_p$ are the local components of $W^{(0)}$ as in (122), then the extended function factors again as $W^{(0)}(g) = \prod_{p \leq \infty} W^{(0)}_p(g_p)$ with local functions extended to $\text{GU}(1, 1; L_p)(Q_p) \cong M(2)(Q_p)$ via

$$W^{(0)}_p(\zeta g) = \chi_0(p, \zeta)W^{(0)}_p(g) \quad \text{for } \zeta \in L^\times_p, \quad g \in \text{GL}_2(Q_p).$$

Here, $L_p$ is the quadratic algebra $L \otimes \mathbb{Q} Q_p$. Let $s$ be a complex parameter. For each finite prime $p$, let $W^{\#}_p(\cdot, s)$ be the element of $I_{W_p}(s, \chi_p, \chi_{0,p}, \tau_p)$ defined at the beginning of Sect. 5.3 see in particular (65). Recall that the support of $W^{\#}_p(\cdot, s)$ is contained in $M(Q_p)N(Q_p)\eta H(Z_p)\Gamma((\rho a_{L_p})^n)$, where $a_{L_p}$ is the ring of integers in $L_p$, and where $\Gamma$ denotes a principal congruence subgroup as in (59). The element $\eta$ is defined in (27). For the archimedean place we define $W^{\#}_\infty(\cdot, s)$ as in Sect. 4.3. Since we are considering the case $l_1 = l$, the function $f$ in (100) simplifies to $f(g) = \text{det}(J(g, I))^{-l}$ for all $g \in K_\infty$. Hence, the formula for $W^{\#}_\infty(\cdot, s)$ is

$$W^{\#}_\infty(m_1m_2nk, s) = \delta_p^{1/2}(m_1m_2)\chi_\infty(m_1)W^{(0)}(m_2)\text{det}(J(k, I))^{-l},$$

where $m_1 \in M^{(1)}(\mathbb{R}), m_2 \in M^{(2)}(\mathbb{R}), n \in \mathbb{N}(\mathbb{R})$ and $k \in K_\infty$. The local functions $W^{\#}_p(\cdot, s)$ for all places $p$ define a global function

$$W^{\#}(g, s) := \prod_{p \leq \infty} W^{\#}_p(g_p, s), \quad g = (g_p) \in \text{GU}(2, 2; L)(A).$$

Hence $W^{\#}(\cdot, s)$ is an element of the global induced representation $I_W(s, \chi, \chi_0, \tau)$.

5.4.1 Lemma. The function $W^{\#}(\cdot, s)$ has the following properties.

i) Let $\eta$ be the element of $G(\mathbb{Q})$ defined in (27), and let $\eta_N$ be the element of $G(A)$ whose $p$-component is $\eta$ for $p | N$ and 1 for $p \nmid N$. Then

$$W^{\#}(g, s) = 0 \quad \text{if } g \not\in M(A)N(A)\eta K_\infty K^{\#}(N) = M(A)N(A)\eta_N K_\infty K^{\#}(N).$$

ii) $W^{\#}(\cdot, s)$ is right invariant under the compact group

$$K^{\#}(N) = \prod_{p | N} H(Z_p)\Gamma((\rho a_{L_p})^n) \prod_{p \nmid N} G(Z_p).$$

iii) We have

$$W^{\#}(gk, s) = \text{det}(J(k, I))^{-l}W^{\#}(g, s) \quad \text{for all } g \in G(A), \quad k \in K_\infty.$$  

(133)

iv) If $m = m_1m_2, n_\in N(A), k = k_0K_\infty, k_0 \in K^{\#}(N), k_\in K_\infty$, then

$$W^{\#}(mm_\eta nk, s) = \delta_p^{1/2}(m)\chi_\infty(m_1)\text{det}(J(k_\infty, I))^{-l}W^{(0)}(m_2).$$

(134)

Recall that $\delta_p(m_1m_2) = \left|N_{L/Q}(m_1)\mu_1(m_2)\right|^{-1}$.  

Proof. i), ii) and iii) are immediate from properties of the local functions. iv) follows from (103) and (133).

Now let $f_\lambda(\cdot, s) : G(A) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be the element of $I_C(s, \chi, \chi_0, \tau)$ corresponding to $W^{\#}(\cdot, s)$. By (23),

$$f_\lambda(g, s) = \sum_{\lambda \in Q^\times} W^{\#}\left(\begin{array}{cc} 1 & \lambda \\ \lambda & 1 \end{array}\right) g, \quad g \in G(A).$$

(135)
5.4.2 Lemma. The function $f_{\Lambda}(\cdot, s)$ has the following properties.

i) $f_{\Lambda}(g, s) = 0$ if $g \notin M(\Lambda)N(\Lambda)\eta N K^\#(N)$.

ii) $f_{\Lambda}(\cdot, s)$ is right invariant under the compact group $K^\#(N)$.

iii) We have

$$f_{\Lambda}(gk, s) = \text{det}(J(k, I))^{-t} f_{\Lambda}(g, s) \quad \text{for all } g \in G(\Lambda), \ k \in K_\infty.$$  \hspace{1cm} (136)

iv) If $m = m_1m_2$, $m_i \in M^{(i)}(\Lambda)$, $n \in N(\Lambda)$, $k = k_0k_\infty$, $k_0 \in K^\#(N)$, $k_\infty \in K_\infty$, then

$$f_{\Lambda}(mn\eta N k, s) = \delta_{p}^{-t+s}(m)\chi(m_1)\text{det}(J(k_\infty, I))^{-t}f_{\psi}(m_2).$$  \hspace{1cm} (137)

Proof. i), ii) and iii) follow from the corresponding properties of the function $W^\#(\cdot, s)$ given in Lemma 5.4.1.

iv) Using (134), we calculate

$$f_{\Lambda}(mn\eta N k, s) = \sum_{\lambda \in \mathbb{Q}^\times} W^\#(m_1) \lambda \lambda 1 m_2 n\eta N k, s)$$

$$= \sum_{\lambda \in \mathbb{Q}^\times} \delta_{p}^{-t+s}(m)\chi(m_1)\text{det}(J(k_\infty, I))^{-t}W^\#(1)m_2$$

$$= \delta_{p}^{-t+s}(m)\chi(m_1)\text{det}(J(k_\infty, I))^{-t} \sum_{\lambda \in \mathbb{Q}^\times} W^\#(1)m_2$$

$$= \delta_{p}^{-t+s}(m)\chi(m_1)\text{det}(J(k_\infty, I))^{-t}f_{\psi}(m_2).$$

This concludes the proof.

5.5 The global integral representation

Observing (28), (29) and (30), as well as the local Theorems 3.5.1 and 4.4.1, we now obtain the following result.

5.5.1 Theorem. Let $\Phi \in S_l(\Gamma_1)$ be a Hecke eigenform satisfying the Assumption made in Sect. 5.1. Let $\phi$ be the adelic function corresponding to $\Phi$, and let $\tau$ be an irreducible component of the cuspidal, automorphic representation generated by $\phi$. Let $\tau$ be the irreducible, cuspidal, automorphic representation of $GL_2(\mathbb{A})$ generated by a primitive cusp form $\Psi \in S_l(N, \chi')$, where $N$ is a positive integer and $\chi'$ is a Dirichlet character modulo $N$. Let the global characters $\chi, \chi_0$ and $\Lambda$, as well as the global section $f_{\Lambda} \in IC(s, \chi, \chi_0, \tau)$, be chosen as above. Then the global integral (26) is given by

$$Z(s, f_{\Lambda}, \tilde{\phi}) = \left( \prod_{p \leq \infty} Y_p(s) \right) \frac{L(3s + \frac{1}{2}, \pi \times \tau)}{L(6s + 1, \omega^{-1}_r) L(3s + 1, \tau \times \mathcal{A}\Phi(\Lambda))}$$  \hspace{1cm} (138)

with

$$Y_{\infty}(s) = \frac{a(\Lambda)\pi D^{-3s - \frac{l}{2}} (4\pi)^{-3s + \frac{l}{2} - \frac{3}{2}} \Gamma(3s + \frac{2l}{3} - \frac{3}{2})}{6s + l - 1}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (139)

Here, $\mathcal{A}\Phi(\Lambda)$ is the automorphic representation of $GL_2(\mathbb{A})$ obtained from $\Lambda$ via automorphic induction. The factor $Y_p(s)$ is one for almost all $p$ and depends on $\tau_p$; its precise definition is given in Theorem 3.5.1. The constant $a(\Lambda)$ is defined at the end of Sect. 5.1.
5.6 The classical Eisenstein series on GU(2, 2)

For \( Z = \begin{bmatrix} * & * \\ * & z_{22} \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{H}_2 \), let us denote \( z_{22} \) by \( Z^* \). Let \( \tilde{Z} = \frac{i}{2} (iZ - Z) \) for \( Z \in \mathbb{H}_2 \). Let \( \text{Im}(z) \) denote the imaginary part of a complex number \( z \), and let \( I = \begin{bmatrix} i \\ i \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{H}_2 \).

5.6.1 Lemma. Let \( f_\Lambda \) be the function defined in (139). For any \( g \in G^+(\mathbb{R}) \),

\[
f_\Lambda(g \eta_N, s) = \mu_2(g)^l \det(J(g, I))^{-l} \left( \frac{\det g(I)}{\text{Im}(g(I))^*} \right)^{3s+\frac{1}{2}+\frac{s}{2}} \Psi((g(I))^*). \tag{141}
\]

Proof. This follows from a direct calculation as in as in Lemma 5.4.1 of [17].

As in Sect. 2.5, let

\[
E(g, s; f_\Lambda) = \sum_{\gamma \in P(\mathbb{Q}) \setminus G(\mathbb{Q})} f_\Lambda(\gamma g, s).
\]

This series is absolutely convergent for \( \text{Re}(s) > \frac{1}{2} \). By Lemma 5.4.2 iii), this function satisfies \( E(gk, s; f_\Lambda) = \det(J(k, I))^{-1} E(gk, s; f_\Lambda) \) for all \( g \in G(\mathbb{A}) \) and \( k \in K_\infty \). It follows that the function on \( G(\mathbb{A}) \) given by \( \mu_2(g)^{-l} \det(J(g, I))^l E(g, s; f_\Lambda) \) is right invariant under \( K_\infty \). Since \( K_\infty \) is the stabilizer of \( I \in \mathbb{H}_2 \), we can define a function \( \mathcal{E} \) on \( \mathbb{H}_2 \) by the formula

\[
\mathcal{E}(Z, s) := \mu_2(g)^{-l} \det(J(g, I))^l E(g, s + \frac{l}{3} + \frac{1}{6} - \frac{1}{2}; f_\Lambda), \tag{142}
\]

where \( g \in G^+(\mathbb{R}) \) is such that \( g(I) = Z \). The series that defines \( \mathcal{E}(Z, s) \) is absolutely convergent for \( \text{Re}(s) > 3 - l/2 \) (see [11]). We have \( l \geq 10 \) (see [12]), so that one can set \( s = 0 \) to obtain an Eisenstein series \( \mathcal{E}(Z, 0) \) on \( \mathbb{H}_2 \). It follows from Lemma 5.6.1 that \( \mathcal{E}(Z, 0) \) is holomorphic. This Eisenstein series is a modular form of weight \( l \) with respect to

\[
\Gamma^*_G(N) := G(\mathbb{Q}) \cap G^+(\mathbb{R}) K^*_G(N).
\]
Its restriction to \( h_2 \) is a modular form of weight \( l \) with respect to \( \Gamma_2^\#(N) \cap H(\mathbb{Q}) = \text{Sp}_4(\mathbb{Z}) \). We remark that the Eisenstein series constructed in [17] defines, upon restriction to \( h_2 \), a modular form with respect to a congruence subgroup \( \Gamma_2^\#(N) \) of level \( N \). The fact that the Eisenstein series \( E \) obtained above is a modular form with respect to the full modular group \( \text{Sp}_4(\mathbb{Z}) \) is a direct consequence of the choice of the non-archimedean sections \( W_p \) in Sect. 3.

Let

\[
\mathcal{E}(Z, 0) = \sum_{S \geq 0} b(S, E) e^{2\pi i \text{tr}(SZ)}
\]

be the Fourier expansion of \( \mathcal{E}(Z, 0) \), where \( S \) runs through all hermitian half-integral (i.e., \( S = \begin{pmatrix} t_1 & t_2 \\ t_2 & t_3 \end{pmatrix}, t_1, t_3 \in \mathbb{Z}, \sqrt{-D} t_2 \in \mathfrak{o}_Q(\sqrt{-D}) \) positive semi-definite matrices of size \( 2 \times 2 \). By [10],

\[
b(S, E) \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}} \text{ for any } S.
\]

Here \( \overline{\mathbb{Q}} \) denotes the algebraic closure of \( \mathbb{Q} \) in \( \mathbb{C} \). The following lemma shows that the global integral \( Z(s, f_\Lambda, \bar{\phi}) \) defined in (20) (with \( \phi \) replacing \( \phi \)) can be expressed as the Petersson inner product of two classical modular forms.

\[\tag{143}Z\left(\frac{1}{6} - \frac{1}{2} : f_\Lambda, \bar{\phi}\right) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\text{Sp}_4(\mathbb{Z}) \backslash h_2} \mathcal{E}(Z, 0) \bar{\Phi}(Z)(\det(Y))^{l-3} dX dY,\]

where \( Z = X + iY \).

**Proof.** The proof follows exactly as in the proof of Lemma 5.4.2 of [17]. It is even simpler in this case since \( \mathcal{E}(Z, 0) \) is a modular form with respect to \( \text{Sp}_4(\mathbb{Z}) \).

\[\square\]

### 5.7 The special value

If \( \Phi' \in S_l(\Gamma_2) \) is a Hecke eigenform, then the subfield \( \mathbb{Q}(\Phi') \) of \( \overline{\mathbb{Q}} \), obtained by adjoining the Hecke eigenvalues of \( \Phi' \) to \( \mathbb{Q} \), is a totally real number field. For a subring \( A \) of \( \mathbb{C} \), let \( S_l(\Gamma_2, A) \) be the space of modular forms whose Fourier coefficients are contained in \( A \). By [14], we can assume that \( \Phi \in S_l(\Gamma_2, \mathbb{Q}(\Phi)) \). Arguing as in the proof of Lemma 5.4.3 of [17], we get the following result.

\[\tag{144}\frac{Z\left(\frac{1}{6} - \frac{1}{2} : f_\Lambda, \bar{\phi}\right)}{\langle \Phi, \bar{\Phi} \rangle} \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}},\]

where

\[
\langle \Phi, \bar{\Phi} \rangle = \int_{\text{Sp}_4(\mathbb{Z}) \backslash h_2} \Phi(Z) \bar{\Phi}(Z)(\det(Y))^{l-3} dX dY.
\]

Let \( \langle \Psi, \Psi \rangle_1 = (\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) : \Gamma_1(N))^{-1} \int_{\Gamma_1(N) \backslash \text{h}_1} |\Psi(z)|^2 y^{l-2} dx dy \), where \( \Gamma_1(N) := \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \right\} \in \Gamma_0(N) : a, d \equiv 1 \pmod{N} \}. We have the following generalization of Theorem 4.8.3 of [7].

\[\tag{145}\frac{L\left(\frac{1}{6} - 1, \pi_\phi \times \bar{\tau}_\Psi\right)}{\pi^{3l-8} \langle \Phi, \bar{\Phi} \rangle \langle \Psi, \Psi \rangle_1} \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}},\]
Proof. By Theorem 5.5.1 we have
\[
Z\left(\frac{l}{6} - \frac{1}{2}, f_{\Lambda}, \bar{\phi}\right) = C \pi^{4-2l} \frac{L\left(\frac{l}{2} - 1, \pi_{\phi} \times \bar{\tau}_{\psi}\right)}{L(l-2, \omega_{\tau}^{-1})L(\frac{l-1}{2}, \tau_{\psi} \times \tilde{\Lambda}(\Lambda))},
\]
where
\[
C = \frac{a(\Lambda)}{D^{-\frac{l}{2} + \frac{3}{2}}} 2^{-4l+6} (2l - 5)! \prod_{p | N} Y_p \left(\frac{l}{6} - \frac{1}{2}\right).
\]
For \( p | N \), the \( Y_p \) are defined in Theorem 5.5.1. We first claim that \( C \in \mathbb{Q} \). Note that, since \( \omega_{\tau} \) is a character of finite order, we obtain \( L_p(l-2, \omega_{\tau}^{-1}) \in \mathbb{Q} \). It follows from an argument as in the proof of Proposition 3.17 of [19] that \( L_p((l-1)/2, \tau_{\psi} \times \tilde{\Lambda}(\Lambda_p)) \in \mathbb{Q} \). This gives \( Y_p \left(\frac{l}{6} - \frac{1}{2}\right) \in \mathbb{Q} \) in all cases, except if \( \tau_{\psi} = \alpha_p \times \beta_p \), with \( \alpha_p \) unramified, \( \beta_p \) ramified, \( \left(\frac{L_p}{p}\right) = 0 \) and \( \beta_p \chi_{L_p/q_{\psi}} \) is unramified. In this case,
\[
Y_p \left(\frac{l}{6} - \frac{1}{2}\right) = \frac{L_p(l-2, \omega_{\tau}^{-1})}{1 - \Lambda(\omega_{\tau})^{-1}(p) p^{-l/2+1/2}}.
\]
We have \( \omega_{\psi} \equiv 1 \) and \( \Lambda(\omega_{\tau}) = \pm 1 \). Using the fact that \( \alpha(p)\beta(p) = \omega_{\tau}(p) \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}} \) and \( L_p((l-1)/2, \tau_{\psi} \times \tilde{\Lambda}(\Lambda_p)) \in \mathbb{Q} \), it can be deduced from the third line of (76) that \( \beta(p) \in \mathbb{Q} \). It follows that the right hand side of (147) lies in \( \overline{\mathbb{Q}} \). This proves our claim. Now it is well-known that
\[
\frac{L(l-2, \omega_{\tau}^{-1})}{\pi^{l-2}} \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}
\]
(see, e.g., [15], VII.2; the adelic \( L \)-function \( L(s, \omega_{\tau}^{-1}) \) coincides with the Dirichlet \( L \)-function \( L(s, \chi') \), and we have \((-1)^{l-2} = \chi'(-1))\). Using [23], by the same argument as in the proof of Theorem 4.8.3 in [7], we get
\[
\frac{L(l-1/2, \tau_{\psi} \times \tilde{\Lambda}(\Lambda))}{\pi^{2l-2} \langle \Psi, \Psi \rangle 1} \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}.
\]
The assertion now follows by combining (146), (148) and (149) with Lemma 5.7.1.

In [7], special value results for full level elliptic modular forms are obtained. In [1], holomorphic modular forms for full level, a range of weights and all critical values are considered. In [20], certain squarefree levels for both the Siegel cusp form and elliptic cusp form are considered.
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