Men with a complete absence of normal sperm morphology exhibit high rates of success without assisted reproduction
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In couples with infertility, abnormal strict morphology of 0% normal forms (NF) is a criterion to proceed rapidly to in vitro fertilization (IVF). Since no data currently exist, we investigated the outcomes for men with 0% NF to determine reproductive success without the use of assisted reproductive technologies (ART). A cohort of 24 men with 0% NF were identified (2010–2013) with 27 randomly selected men with ≥4% NF as controls. Patient charts were reviewed with men contacted and administered an Institutional Review Board (IRB)-approved telephone questionnaire to ascertain outcomes. After a median follow-up time of 2.5 years, 29.2% of men with 0% NF did not require ART for their first pregnancy (controls = 55.6%, P < 0.05). When all pregnancies were analyzed together, men with 0% NF achieved twenty pregnancies of which 75% did not require IVF (controls = thirty pregnancies; 76.7% did not require IVF). The average age of men and female partners was similar between men with 0% NF and >4% NF. All men had normal follicle-stimulating hormone (FSH), testosterone, prolactin, sex hormone-binding globulin (SHBG), and estradiol. Although, global semen parameters were worse in men with 0% NF, when a first pregnancy was a natural conception (NC), 100% of men with 0% NF (n = 7/7) and 37.5% of controls (n = 3/8) went on to have a subsequent pregnancy via NC. Men with 0% NF conceived without IVF in 29.2% of cases compared to 55.6% of controls. Strict morphology should not be used to predict fertilization, pregnancy, or live birth potential. In men with 0% NF, alternative modalities should be considered before immediate IVF.
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INTRODUCTION

Semen analysis is a critical tool in the assessment of the infertile male; however, the importance of sperm shape, or morphology, is controversial. The ability of sperm morphology to predict in vitro reproductive outcomes was first proposed by Kruger et al.¹,² who noted an inverse relationship between successful oocyte fertilization and sperm morphology. These findings were propagated by a study published in The Lancet³ that noted in men with an increased proportion of sperm with abnormal morphology, and the likelihood of pregnancy was decreased. Indeed, an abnormal strict morphology identifying severe teratozoospermia, or 0% normal forms (NF), has often been used as a criterion to proceed directly to in vitro fertilization (IVF).

While sperm morphology is guided by specific criteria, it still remains subjective to inter- and intra-laboratory differences.⁴ Furthermore, studies have identified a classification drift between different classification systems (i.e., WHO 2⁴, 3⁴, etc.)⁵,⁶ and in situations where the couples utilize intrauterine insemination (IUI) or IVF, sperm preparation methodologies, techniques, expertise, and sperm selection criteria vary widely. As such, it has been difficult to perform studies to ascertain the predictability of sperm morphology on outcomes.

In the context of these aforementioned limitations, studies have been performed examining sperm morphology and pregnancy outcomes following IUI⁷–¹¹ and IVF¹²–¹⁶ with variable results.

To date, no studies have been conducted examining teratozoospermia and the likelihood of achieving a natural conception (NC). To further elucidate the importance of strict morphology in NC, we focused only on those with severely abnormal morphology (0% NF).

MATERIALS AND METHODS

After the Institutional Review Board (IRB) approval, a retrospective chart review was conducted on all patients seen at a high volume, tertiary, academic infertility clinic from 2010 to 2013. All men with a strict morphology obtained during work-up for male infertility were examined (n = 156). Those with severe teratozoospermia (0% NF, strict Kruger criteria) were identified, contacted, and administered an IRB-approved telephone questionnaire to ascertain reproductive outcomes. Exclusion criteria included men who refused to participate or who we were unable to contact. Patients who adopted children or used donor sperm for IUI/IVF were also excluded from this analysis. Only live births and current pregnancies were included in the analysis.

When multiple strict morphologies, semen analyses, and hormones were obtained (usually during treatment) only the first, initial values
were used. Serum hormone levels were obtained via early-morning venipuncture and analyzed at the same laboratory to minimize fluctuations (Laboratory for Male Reproductive Research and Testing [LMRRT], Baylor College of Medicine [BCM], Houston, Texas, USA). An Access 2, radioimmunoassay system manufactured by Beckman Coulter (Fullerton, California, USA) was utilized. All semen analyses and strict morphologies were also performed at the LMRRT (BCM) to account for differences in technique and equipment.

Strict morphology was evaluated using the Kruger strict criteria. In brief, an aliquot of semen was air-dried and stained using Diff-Quik Stain Set (Baxter Scientific, Deerfield, IL, USA) with two hundred sperm analyzed at ×100 with an oil-immersion lens. NF were judged by the following criteria: (1) oval shaped head that was smooth in configuration; (2) head length, from midpiece to acrosome tip, between 5 μm and 6 μm; (3) head width between 2.5 μm and 3.5 μm; (4) acrosome covering 40%-70% of the head; and (5) no neck, midpiece or tail defects.

Men then were contacted and administered an IRB-approved telephone questionnaire to ascertain reproductive outcomes. The first portion of the survey confirmed that the men were aware of their abnormal morphology (0% NF) and determined whether they achieved pregnancy at any point since their initial semen analysis. In men who reported conception, details regarding the methodologies were ascertained (i.e., NC, IUI, or IVF).

Data were analyzed using Student’s t-test for scalar variables. The analysis was performed using Microsoft Excel (Microsoft, Redmond, WA, USA) and GraphPad Prism 6 software (GraphPad Software Inc., La Jolla, CA, USA) with P ≤ 0.05 considered statistically significant (unless otherwise noted). All values were reported as mean ± standard error of the mean (s.e.m.), unless otherwise noted.

RESULTS
A total of 156 men who had strict morphology obtained during an infertility work-up were obtained. Twenty-four men with a severely abnormal strict morphology (0% NF) were identified and compared to 27 randomly selected control men with ≥4% NF. The average age at time of evaluation of both men and their female partners was similar among the groups (i.e., NC, IUI, or IVF).

The current study suggests that proceeding directly with ART in the case of even 0% NF is unnecessary given that 29.2% of these couples had successful NCs on subsequent occasions. NF: normal forms; IVF: in vitro fertilization; NC: natural conception; IUI: intrauterine insemination.

DISCUSSION
IVF with intracytoplasmic sperm injection (ICSI) is increasingly used to overcome male subfertility. The Center for Disease Control reported in 2010 that children born through IVF now constitute 1.5% of all births. Of the 147,260 cycles performed by the Society for Assisted Reproductive Techniques (SART) member clinics in 2010, 47,090 live-birth deliveries occurred (37% live birth rate). The 2014 annual report from SART indicates a growing number of IVF cycles and infants conceived via assisted reproductive techniques. Despite the growing success of IVF, not all assisted reproduction procedures result in pregnancies and even more do not result in the birth of a child.

Among the many factors that influence the outcomes of IVF, semen parameters have traditionally been used as an indicator for oocyte fertilization and attainment of pregnancy in addition to the quality of the oocyte. In 1986, Kruger et al. published a novel classification system to evaluate sperm morphology and correlate it with the likelihood of IVF outcomes in terms of fertilization and clinical pregnancy.

Variability in IUI and IVF outcomes for men with teratozoospermia has resulted in controversy in how to counsel men with isolated defects in strict morphology. Indeed, some investigators have recommended IVF with ICSI in cases of isolated teratozoospermia. The results in the current study suggest that proceeding directly with ART in the face of even 0% NF is unnecessary given that 29.2% of these couples will have a successful NC.

The evaluation of morphology is regarded as subjective due to the fact that it has to be done by the human eye. Furthermore, form and function are two distinct properties. As a manner of illustration, consider that spermatozoa in the cervical mucus cannot be proven to be functionally better than those with the same appearance and form in the seminal plasma.

![Figure 1: A comparison between pregnancy rates of control men and those with ≥4% NF. (a) Men with 0% NF achieved a first pregnancy and live births without IVF in 29.2% of cases. (b) In men with 0% NF who conceived without use of IVF (Panel A), NC occurred in 25% (n = 6/24). (c) Men with 0% NF achieved twenty pregnancies, with 75% not requiring IVF. (d) In men with 0% NF and in whom the first pregnancy was conceived without IVF (n = 7), 100% had successful NCs on subsequent occasions. NF: normal forms; IVF: in vitro fertilization; NC: natural conception; IUI: intrauterine insemination.](image-url)
Previously, Mortimer and Templeton confirmed the existence of selection for morphologically normal human spermatozoa within the cervical mucus. The authors suggested that “abnormal” spermatozoa may reach the site of fertilization which is in accordance with findings in other species. Later, Mortimer by evaluating sperm recovered from the cervical canal, further delineated that the selection of spermatozoa is facilitated by reductions in spermatozoa with midpiece, tail, and other morphological defects which would be expected to impair motility. Despite their efforts and those of others, the presumed importance of strict morphology remains incompletely documented.

Morphology does not indicate anything with regard to the genetic composition of the spermatozoa or the fertilization potential. Given the controversy surrounding morphology, clinicians are left to ponder what to discuss with men who present to their clinics with poor morphology. Given the results of this study, we can comfortably discuss with these patients that NC is indeed possible and, in cases where maternal age allows, should be encouraged. It is likely that IVF is overutilized in the setting of isolated teratozoospermia, particularly when accounting for the known variability between semen analysis results in men.

While this study lacks the rigor of a randomized control trial, attempts were made to limit possible bias and confounders. When considering limitations, recall bias was eliminated by the tendency of parents to remember the birthdays of their offspring. Furthermore, the usage of continuous patients (2010–2014) resolved potential selection bias. Despite this, the population of men seen was limited, mostly, to those experiencing fertility issues and as such, may be different in the general populations. Moreover, IUI and IVF procedures were conducted by different reproductive endocrinologists potentially leading to variable results.

Interestingly, men with 0% NF had worse overall semen analyses compared to control men (Table 1). The finding that these men overcame such an overall “disadvantage” to experience high rates of fertilization and live birth success makes an even stronger argument against the routine use of strict morphology in counseling patients.

The notion that sperm morphology may not be as important as previously thought highlights how a novel systems biology approach to fertility could be considered. While the requirement for both partners to have adequately functioning gametes is not disputable, the inter-relationship between the female microenvironment and the male spermatozoa is still unknown. For example, spermatozoa remain in the oviduct for several days by binding to epithelial cells and recently, oviductal epithelial have been shown to interact with spermatozoa and protect against oxidative stress. As such, it is tempting to speculate that some oviductal cells may be more nurturing to spermatozoa than others – a factor that may be interrelated with morphology.

In summary, men with a complete absence of NF (0%) on strict morphology exhibit high rates of success without IVF. As such, strict morphology should not be used to predict fertilization, pregnancy, or live birth potential. In men with 0% NF, alternative modalities should be considered before immediate IVF.
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