Influence of Aging, Sterilization, and Composition on the Degradation of Polyurethane Foams
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**Abstract**

Shape memory polymers (SMPs) are highly attractive materials for medical devices. Specifically, SMP foams are currently being used as embolic devices in peripheral and cerebral vascular applications. To ensure the proper function and safety of these materials in their intended applications, it is important to understand how processing treatments, such as aging, sterilization, and foam composition can influence their degradation. Here, SMP foams were treated with industry relevant processing parameters, and the influence on degradation was observed via gravimetric, chemical, and morphological studies. Accelerated thermal aging was shown to have an influence on material degradation rate in real time oxidative studies. Sterilization was performed via Electron beam (E-beam) irradiation at the high and low dosages commonly used in industry and had no significant influence on foam degradation profiles. These findings help inform appropriate treatment of SMP foam embolic devices to ensure their safety and efficacy.
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1. Introduction

Degradation is a vital consideration in the design of any implantable medical device. If the material degrades too quickly, it can fail to provide required mechanical support or produce high concentrations of cytotoxic by-products [1, 2]. If it degrades too slowly, it may inhibit replacement or ingrowth by native tissues. For this reason, much work goes into understanding the degradation profile of biomaterials used in medical devices. Many factors can influence a material’s degradation profile in ways that can alter in vivo performance, including processing conditions, sterilization, and handling and storage. Understanding the influence of these factors on the degradation profile is required to achieve clinical success of implanted materials [3].

Polyurethanes have several beneficial characteristics for biomedical applications, such as biocompatibility, strength, and processability [4]. They have been used in devices ranging from catheters to pacemaker leads and continue to be studied for use in novel devices. Additionally, certain polyurethanes can show a shape memory effect [5]. Shape-memory polymers (SMPs) can be fabricated in a primary shape that can be deformed to a secondary shape, typically while heated above a transition temperature. If cooled while deformed, the material will hold this secondary shape until it is again heated above the transition temperature, at which time a thermally induced shape-recovery takes place [6]. This shape memory ability can be beneficial for a number of applications ranging from biomaterials [7] to aerospace [8]. Recently, advances have been made in increasing Tg of SMPs with high recovery stress [9] and using shape memory composites for electromagnetic shielding [10].

Among SMP scaffolds, foams are of particular interest due to high levels of compressibility and volume recovery. Namely, SMP foams are particularly useful for minimally invasive procedures, wherein they can be compressed to a low-profile delivery shape, guided to the desired area in the body, and then expanded to their primary, clinically relevant shape once in place. Recently, SMP foams were approved for use as embolic devices in medical application (IMPEDE Embolization Plug, Shape Memory Medical, Inc.). These porous poly (amino urethane) foams form a tortuous pathway for blood, initiating the clotting cascade, and serving as a scaffold for stable clot formation [11]. Previous studies have investigated the in vitro and in vivo degradation profiles of SMP foams. These studies showed that the materials are resistant to hydrolytic degradation (though variations have been created to allow for hydrolysis) but are susceptible to oxidative degradation [11-13]. This degradation is believed to occur via oxidation of the tertiary amines in foaming monomers. None of these previous studies have investigated the influence of common processing parameters, such as sterilization via electron beam (E-beam) or aging, on SMP foam, both of which are important considerations for biomaterial commercialization.

One consideration with sterilizing SMP foams is their sensitivity to heat and moisture. For embolic applications, it is requisite that the materials are sterilized in the crimped, secondary state and that they are not actuated by the sterilization process. Thus, techniques that use high temperature or moisture, such as autoclaving and traditional ethylene oxide, are not viable.
Previous studies with SMP foams compared the impact of a modified ethylene oxide (EtO) gas treatment and E-beam irradiation sterilization on thermomechanical properties [14]. They showed that even the lower temperatures and humidity of the modified EtO gas treatment, as compared to traditional EtO, influenced the glass transition temperature of the materials and caused premature expansion in the packaging. E-beam sterilization had minimal influence on the thermomechanical properties. Gamma radiation was not used for this study due to concerns regarding oxidative degradation [15].

E-beam irradiation is a popular technique for sterilizing medical devices, and ideal for SMP foams, because it can be performed in ambient temperatures and does not add any potentially cytotoxic chemicals. E-beam sterilization works by producing a concentrated beam of electrons that disrupts chemical bonds and DNA, disabling replication in cells and microbes [16]. This technique has a short penetration depth but is ideal for low density materials such as SMP foams. The dosage used for sterilization is generally between 20-30 kGy with the industry standard set at 25 kGy (ISO 11137). E-beam is also commonly used to form crosslinks in various polymers as it can alter chemical bonds in the polymer backbone, though the required dosages are generally much higher than those used for sterilization [17]. Even at low dosages, E-beam irradiation can influence the backbone structure of a polymer [18]. Murray et al. investigated the effect of E-beam irradiation ranging from 5 kGy to 200 kGy on a commercial polyurethane (Pellethane 2363 90A) and found that even at dosages as low as 25 kGy, observable changes occurred in chemical structure as measured by Fourier transform infrared (FTIR) spectroscopy [19]. Kang et al. investigated the influence of E-beam sterilization on the in vivo degradation of β-tricalcium phosphate/polycaprolactone using volumetric micro-computed tomography measurements and found that sterilized samples degraded faster than non-sterilized samples [20]. However, no studies have been performed with SMP foams to determine if E-beam sterilization changes the material in a way that impacts the degradation profile.

Accelerated aging is a commonly used technique to determine the appropriate shelf life of a material. Often, physical changes in the material are measured throughout aging, such as colorimetric changes [21-24], changes in mechanical strength or integrity [25-32], or thermomechanical changes in the glass transition or melting temperatures [27, 33]. Additionally, chemical changes can be measured using FTIR and/or mass spectroscopy. Accelerated aging is commonly achieved by storing the material at an elevated temperature. This process can be correlated to real-time aging based on the Arrhenius equation, which states that the chemical reaction rate increases with temperature [34]. Many industry standards are based on this equation, including AAMI TIR 17 and ASTM F1980, which use a reaction rate factor of Q10. Previous studies with SMP foams have investigated the effect of aging on the shape memory properties (strain fixity and recovery) [35]. However, gravimetric degradation studies have not been performed to ensure appropriate function of the device after aging.

In this study we investigate the influence of E-beam sterilization and aging on the degradation profile of SMP foams intended for occlusion applications. These studies aim to ensure the success and safety of these devices after sterilization and storage.
2. Materials and Methods

2.1 Materials

N,N,N’,N’-tetrakis (2-hydroxypropyl)ethylenediamine (HPED, 99%; Sigma-Aldrich Inc., St. Louis, MO, USA), triethanolamine (TEA, 98%; Sigma-Aldrich Inc., St. Louis, MO, USA), trimethyl-1,6-hexamethylene diisocyanate, 2,2,4- and 2,4,4- mixture (TMHDI, TCI America Inc., Portland, OR, USA), Vorasurf DC 198 (Dow Corning, Midland, MI, USA), Vorasurf DC 5943 (Dow Corning, Midland, MI, USA), DABCO T-131 (Evonik Industries AG, Essen, Germany), DABCO BL-22 (Evonik Industries AG, Essen, Germany), Ortegol 500 (Evonik Industries AG, Essen, Germany), and deionized (DI) water (ASTM Type II; LabChem, < 1µS/cm) were used as received for foam fabrication. 2-propanol 99% (IPA) (VWR, Radnor, PA, USA), was used for foam cleaning before sterilization and aging. Phosphate buffered saline (PBS), UPG Powder (Amresco Inc., Solon, OH) and sodium hydroxide (Sigma-Aldrich Inc. St. Louis, MO) were used for hydrolytic degradation studies. Hydrogen peroxide solution, 50 wt% in H2O, stabilized (Sigma-Aldrich Inc., St. Louis, MO) was diluted to the desired concentration (3% or 20%) in reverse osmosis water and stored at -4 °C.

2.2 Foam Synthesis and Treatment

SMP foams were synthesized using the multi-step protocol previously described by Hasan et al. [36]. Briefly, an isocyanate (NCO) pre-polymer was synthesized using the molar ratios of 60% HPED, 40%TEA, and 100% TMHDI (H60) or 70% HPED, 30%TEA, and 100% TMHDI (H70), with a 43 wt% hydroxyl (OH) component. An OH mixture was prepared with the remaining weight percentage of HPED and TEA, along with catalysts (DABCO T-131 and DABCO BL-22), surfactants (Vorasurf DC198 and Vorasurf DC5943), cell opener (Ortegol 500), and DI water. DI water was used as a chemical blowing agent to generate carbon dioxide bubbles via a reaction with free isocyanates. The NCO premix and the OH component was mixed using a speedmixer (FlakTek Inc., Landrum, SC, USA) and the foam mixture was poured into a tray. The resulting foam was cured in a vacuum oven (Cascade Tek, Hillsboro, Oregon) at 90 °C for 10 minutes. The SMP foam was cooled to room temperature (21 ± 1 °C) followed by a 24-hour cold cure (21 ± 1 °C) before further processing. Table 1 shows the weight percent of each component used for foam synthesis and Figure 1 shows the chemical structure of the monomers used to fabricate the SMP network and a hypothetical schematic of the amorphous network.

Table 1. Weight percentage of monomers used during the foaming process.

| Foam Composition | Weight Percent (wt %) | TMHDI | Ortegol 500 | T-131 | BL-22 | DC 198 | DC 5943 | HPED | TEA | Water |
|------------------|-----------------------|-------|-------------|-------|-------|--------|--------|------|-----|-------|
| 100TMHDI         |                       |       |             |       |       |        |        |      |     |       |
| HPED60          | 63.07                 | 0.51  | 1.26        | 1.89  | 2.48  | 4.47   | 16.58  | 7.53 | 2.21|
| TEA40           |                       |       |             |       |       |        |        |      |     |       |
| 100TMHDI         |                       |       |             |       |       |        |        |      |     |       |
| HPED70          | 63.73                 | 0.53  | 0.51        | 0.64  | 2.52  | 4.55   | 19.55  | 5.71 | 2.25|
| TEA30           |                       |       |             |       |       |        |        |      |     |       |
Figure 1 Molecular structure of the monomers used to create the SMP backbone and polymer network schematic.

Foam cubes (1 cm x 1 cm x 1 cm) were cut out of the bulk foam and cleaned in 1 litre glass jars using one 30-minute sonication wash in DI water, two 30 minutes sonication washes in 70% isopropyl alcohol (IPA), and four 30 minutes sonication washes in IPA. After each wash, the solvent was discarded, and the jars were replenished with fresh solvent. Prior to testing, foam cylinders were dried at 100 °C under vacuum for at least 12 hours after which they were stored in a plastic storage container with desiccant.

After cleaning, all foam cubes were packaged and sent to SteriTek (Fremont, CA, USA) and sterilized via electron beam at 21, 25, or 31 kGy. Foams to be aged were then sent to Westpak Inc. (San Jose, CA, USA) for 1-year accelerated aging. This accelerated aging process followed ASTM F 1980-07 with an aging temperature of 55 °C for 40 days. The accelerated aging time (AAT) was calculated using the following formula, which is derived from the Arrhenius equation:

\[
\text{Accelerated Aging Time (AAT)} = \frac{\text{Desired Real Time (RT)}}{Q_{10} \left( (T_{AA} - T_{RT})/10 \right)}
\]

where RT is the desired real time, \(T_{AA}\) is the accelerated aging temperature in °C, \(T_{RT}\) is the ambient temperature in °C, and \(Q_{10}\) is the aging factor. An aging temperature of 55°C was selected as this is the highest temperature to which the foams can be exposed before the onset of the material’s glassy-to-rubbery state transition.

2.3 Degradation and Gravimetric Analysis

Oxidative degradation solutions were made by diluting the 50% H\(_2\)O\(_2\) in reverse osmosis water to the desired concentration (20% H\(_2\)O\(_2\) for accelerated analysis and 3% H\(_2\)O\(_2\) for real-time analysis). For the real time hydrolytic degradation solutions, PBS was prepared in RO water according to manufacturer instructions. Accelerated hydrolytic solutions (0.1 M NaOH) where made by dissolving NaOH pellets in RO water.

All sterilized foam cubes (aged and non-aged groups) were weighed upon arrival to obtain an initial weight. They were then placed in a labelled glass vial, submerged in 20 mL of the appropriate
degradation solution, and incubated at 37 °C. Solution levels were checked daily and solutions were changed every three days. Mass measurements were taken every 6 days for accelerated oxidative solutions, every 20 days for real time oxidative solutions, and every 14 days (28 days after day 70) for all hydrolytic samples. When taking mass measurements, the degradation solution was decanted while retaining the sample in the vial. 20 mL of ethanol was added to each vial and samples were allowed to sit in the ethanol for 3 minutes before the ethanol was decanted. Sample vials were covered with a laboratory wipe and dried in a vacuum oven at 50 °C for a minimum of 12 hours. Once dry, samples were removed from the oven and the mass was measured on a precision scale (1 mg resolution). They were then returned to their respective vial and re-submerged in the degradation solution.

For the oxidative degradation samples, weighing was stopped when the samples broke apart and could no longer be removed from the vial without causing significant mechanical degradation or losing material.

2.4 Morphological and Chemical Characterization

Morphological changes were observed using scanning electron microscopy (SEM) images captured throughout the degradation process. For SEM images, a thin slice (~1 mm) was taken from a sacrificial sample and dried in an oven at 50 °C. It was then seated on a metal stub with carbon black tape and sputter coated for 60 seconds using a Ted Pella Cressington 108 gold sputter coater (Ted Pella Inc., Redding, CA). Images were captured using a JEOL JCM-5000 Neoscope benchtop SEM (JEOL USA Inc., Peabody, MA) to observe any structural degradation of the materials.

Chemical changes in the material were observed with attenuated total reflectance Fourier transform infrared (ATR-FTIR) spectrometry. At day 0 and day 30 a thin slice (2-3 mm) was taken from a sacrificial sample and spectra were collected using Bruker ALPHA Infrared Spectrometer (Bruker, Billerica, MA). For each sample, 64 background scans were taken, followed by 32 scans of the sample. Spectra was collected in absorption mode with a resolution of 1 cm⁻¹. OPUS software was used to perform baseline and atmospheric corrections. The intensities of sample peaks over time were compared by using the carbon skeletal peak at 1243 cm⁻¹ as a baseline.

2.5 Statistical Analysis

All data are expressed as the mean ± standard deviation of the mean. Statistical analysis was performed in JMP using unpaired Student’s t-tests with p<0.05 accepted as statistical significance. For gravimetric studies on the influence of aging on degradation, N=8 throughout all time points because sacrificial samples for SEM and FTIR were not included in weighing. For gravimetric studies on the influence of sterilization and composition on degradation, sacrificial samples were included in weighing until sacrifice at day 30 and day 60. Thus, for these studies, N=8 until day 30, N=7 from days 36-60, and N=6 from day 66 until termination.
3. Results

3.1 Foam Characterization

Prior to aging or sterilization treatment, pore size and density data were collected for all foams. For H60 foams, the average pore size was 217.7±99.8 µm in the axial direction and 221.4±95.9 µm in the transverse direction with an average density of 0.0378±0.0047 g cm⁻³. For H70 foams, the average pore size was 207.7±86.3 µm in the axial direction and 187.5±73.7 µm in the transverse direction with an average density of 0.0447±0.0067 g cm⁻³.

3.2 Influence of Aging on Degradation

We first investigated the influence of material ageing on the degradation profile of H60 foams sterilized at 25 kGy when degraded in oxidative and hydrolytic conditions. Figure 2 shows the gravimetric degradation profile of aged and unaged foams in real-time and accelerated oxidative and hydrolytic media. These profiles align with previously seen results [11], showing significant degradation in an oxidative environment (Figure 2a) and negligible degradation in hydrolytic conditions (Figure 2b). Furthermore, a significant change in degradation profile is observed between aged and non-aged samples when degraded in a real-time (3% H₂O₂) oxidative solution, but not when samples were degraded in an accelerated (20% H₂O₂) solution.

![Figure 2](image)

**Figure 2** (a) Gravimetric degradation analysis for H60 foams in 3% (real-time) and 20% (accelerated) H₂O₂ solutions. Error bars show one standard deviation from the mean. * indicate statistical difference (p < 0.05) between aged and non-aged samples in 3% H₂O₂ at the indicated time point. (b) Gravimetric degradation analysis for H60 foams in PBS (real-time) and 0.1M NaOH (accelerated) hydrolytic solutions. Error bars show one standard deviation from the mean.
FTIR spectra of the foams were collected throughout the degradation process to investigate chemical changes. Figure 3 shows the FTIR spectra of the aged and unaged foams when degraded oxidatively and hydrolytically. Figure 3a shows a shift in the urethane peak at 1692 cm\(^{-1}\) and a loss of the tertiary amine peak at 1052 cm\(^{-1}\) when samples are degraded in oxidative solutions. These changes in the FTIR spectra match previously observed phenomena [11] indicating a scission at the C-N bond in the tertiary amines. Figure 3b demonstrates a lack of chemical degradation in hydrolytic conditions with no observable changes in the FTIR spectra between days 0 and 30. There were no notable differences in FTIR spectra between the aged and unaged samples for either oxidative or hydrolytic degradation.

**Figure 3** (a) FTIR analysis of oxidatively degraded H60 foams at day 0 and day 30 in 3% \(\text{H}_2\text{O}_2\). The dotted line at 1692 cm\(^{-1}\) indicates the urethane peak of day 0 foams and shows the left shift of the urethane peak during degradation. The dotted line at 1052 cm\(^{-1}\) indicates the tertiary amine in day 0 foams which undergoes oxidative degradation. (b) FTIR analysis of hydrolytically degraded foams at day 0 and day 30 in 0.1M NaOH.

SEM images of the foams were also gathered during the degradation process to show morphological changes. Figure 4 provides SEM images of the foams at days 0 and 30 of the degradation process. In the oxidatively degraded samples, collapse of the porous structure can be observed at day 30 while no observable structure loss is seen in the hydrolytically degraded samples, further confirming the lack of degradation indicated by gravimetric and chemical studies. Similar morphological changes were observed in aged and non-aged samples.
Figure 4: SEM images of oxidatively (3% H$_2$O$_2$) and hydrolytically (0.1M NaOH) degraded H60 foams at day 0 and day 30. Loss of strut integrity is observed for both aged and non-aged foams after oxidative degradation while strut integrity is preserved in hydrolytically degraded foams.

3.3 Influence of Sterilization and Composition on Degradation

The next study investigated the influence of high and low E-beam irradiation dosage levels of on the degradation profile of two foam compositions (H60 and H70). All degradation was performed in an accelerated oxidative solution (20% H$_2$O$_2$). Figure 5 plots the gravimetric degradation profile of the foams where no significant differences were observed between electron beam dosage or foam composition groups.

Figure 5: Gravimetric degradation analysis for H60 and H70 foams sterilized at 21 or 30 kGy in 20% H$_2$O$_2$ solutions. Error bars show one standard deviation from the mean.

Figure 6 shows the FTIR spectra for these foams demonstrating the same left shift of the urethane peak and loss of the tertiary amine peak when degraded oxidatively. However, there are no observable differences between samples treated with different e-beam dosages, supporting the observations of the gravimetric degradation.
Figure 6 FTIR analysis of sterilized (21 or 30 kGy), oxidatively degraded foams at day 0 and day 30 in 3% H₂O₂. A shift in the urethane peak at 1692 cm⁻¹ and loss of the tertiary amine at 1052 cm⁻¹ is observed for all compositions and sterilizations.

SEM images of these foams (Figure 7) were collected on day 50 of the degradation process. Collapse of the porous structures was observed for all samples indicating significant mass loss with no notable differences between sterilization dosage groups.

Figure 7 SEM images of oxidatively degraded foams at day 50. Similar strut loss is observed for foams with different compositions and treated with different sterilizations.

4. Discussion

The aim of this study was to investigate how aging, sterilization, and chemical composition may influence the degradation of SMP foams. Gravimetric studies showed the overall mass loss of materials in various solutions while ATR-FTIR readings showed chemical changes to the material backbone when degraded oxidatively. Finally, SEM images captured the morphological changes of the material during various stages of degradation. Together, these studies further inform appropriate biomedical applications of SMP foams while accounting for shelf life and sterilization parameters.

Aging studies showed that oxidative degradation does appear to occur more quickly in real time solutions when the materials are aged. Previous studies have demonstrated that thermal aging can decrease the amount of hydrogen bonding in polyurethane foams, leading to increased
susceptibility to water penetration [37]. This data may explain why the aged samples degraded faster than the non-aged samples in the real time oxidative studies. Notably, this was not seen in the accelerated studies where the rate of degradation was likely less dependent on aqueous penetration into the polymer system, indicating the importance of real time degradation studies in biomaterial characterization. A more thorough understanding of the cause of this change in degradation rate will be important for future testing of devices, and it may inform which tests require aging to provide accurate and reliable results.

Sterilization studies investigated the high and low ranges of commonly used E-beam irradiation dosages and found no significant influence on accelerated oxidative degradation profile for either foam composition tested. This suggests that these dosages do not initiate chemical changes or alter the degradation rate of these materials. It is likely that if significantly higher dosages were used, such changes could occur [19], but such dosages would be outside those commonly used for sterilizing medical devices. This is an important finding for the commercial application of SMP foams because it provides flexibility in the sterilization process without concern for potential influences on the degradation profile. It also shows that sterilization does not influence various foam compositions differently, indicating they can safely be tuned to allow for appropriate working times or stiffness. It was observed that the H70 foams degraded slightly faster than H60 foams. Previous work with these foams found that the tertiary amines in TEA and HPED are susceptible to oxidative degradation and laid out a proposed degradation pathway for these compounds [11]. Because H70 foams have a higher molar concentration of HPDE, which has two susceptible tertiary amines, it is expected that they would undergo slightly faster oxidative degradation. Future studies will focus on real-time degradation analysis to expand upon this data. However, the degradation studies correlate with our previous work that shows that high dosages of E-beam irradiation (40 kGy) do not significantly impact SMP foam expansion, thermal, or mechanical properties [14].

Of note, in all gravimetric studies foams had recorded weights above 100% at the early time points. This is due to residual solvent (water) that is not completely removed during the drying process. It was observed that all solvent could be removed by drying the foams at 100 °C, however, this is above the Tg of these materials and thus was not performed because it would have caused additional thermal degradation. Additionally, the degradation rate for H60 foams did differ significantly between the studies presented in Figures 2 and 5. While the same protocols were followed for both, other work with these foams has shown that slight differences in handling (collection of particles during drying, removal of foams from the vial, foam compression), foam synthesis (reticulation of the foams, starting foam weights), oven temperatures, and H2O2 Concentration can cumulate is varying degradation rates. However, the goal of these studies was to determine the relative influence of various treatments (aging, sterilization, composition) on the degradation rate, not to determine the in vivo degradation rate of these material as that has been previously studied [11]. For this reason, conclusions for this paper were drawn only based on data within each study and we caution against drawing any conclusion between studies.

In addition to the understanding provided by these studies, further studies investigating the mechanical properties of foams after aging or sterilization and during degradation would be informative. Previous work investigating the mechanical properties of similar foams [38] showed that while the tensile strength decreases over time, samples were robust enough to test after 2.5 months of accelerated degradation.
5. Conclusions

In summary, these studies demonstrated an influence, or lack thereof, of ageing, sterilization, and foam composition on the degradation profile of shape memory polymers intended for biomedical applications. Of note, we demonstrated that e-beam sterilization dosages between 21 and 30 kGy do not influence degradation profile of these polyurethane foams. The influence of accelerated aging was not observed in accelerated oxidative degradation studies but could be seen in real-time oxidative studies. Slight differences in degradation rate for H60 and H70 foams were observed as expected, but neither were affected by e-beam sterilization. These studies will inform the processing and application of these materials in medical devices.
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