Validation of the Injustice Experiences Questionnaire adapted for Use with Patients with Severe Osteoarthritis of the Knee
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Abstract

Objective: Recent research has linked perceptions of injustice to problematic recovery outcomes for individuals with musculoskeletal injuries. However, the measure currently used to assess perceived injustice is not readily applicable to individuals who have a pain condition, such as osteoarthritis (OA), where pain onset is insidious as opposed to traumatic. The purpose of this study was to validate a modified version of the Injustice Experiences Questionnaire (IEQ-chr) for patients with OA of the knee.

Methods: The IEQ-chr was administered along with measures of pain catastrophizing, fear of movement, depressive symptoms, pain severity and physical function to 110 individuals with severe OA of the knee.

Results: Principal component analyses yielded a factor structure similar to that in the original validation study. The IEQ-chr had high internal consistency (Chronbach alpha=0.88), and was significantly correlated with pain catastrophizing, fear of movement, depressive symptoms, pain severity and physical function. Regression analyses revealed that the IEQ-chr contributed significant unique variance to prediction of pain severity and physical function, beyond the variance accounted for by measures of pain catastrophizing and fear of movement.

Conclusions: The findings of the present study support the construct validity of the IEQ-chr in the context of osteoarthritis, and suggest that this measure may be useful in the psychosocial assessment of individuals at risk for adverse pain outcomes. The discussion addresses theoretical and clinical implications of these findings.
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Introduction

Considerable evidence indicates that psychological variables play a significant role in the experience of pain and disability. Pain catastrophizing and fear of movement are examples of psychological variables that have been associated with heightened levels of pain severity, physical disability, and depressive symptoms in a number of clinical pain populations [1-7]. Recent investigations suggest that perceptions of injustice associated with debilitating pain conditions are also implicated in problematic recovery following musculoskeletal injury [8-12].

Perceived injustice has been defined as a multidimensional construct comprising negative appraisals of the severity and irreparability of pain-related loss, attributions of blame, and a sense of unfairness [10]. There are indications that appraisals of injustice may trigger psychological and physiological changes that increase the intensity of pain and complicate recovery [11,13-15]. For individuals who have sustained musculoskeletal injuries, perceived injustice has been shown to prospectively predict the persistence of pain symptoms, more pronounced and prolonged disability, as well as the persistence of post-traumatic stress symptoms [10,12,16,17]. To date, research on the health and mental health consequences of perceived injustice has been conducted almost exclusively with patients with persistent pain following musculoskeletal injury. The role of perceived injustice as a determinant of adverse health and mental health outcomes associated with OA has not been investigated.

There is a basis for suggesting that perceptions of injustice may be relevant to the experience of severe OA. The majority of patients with severe OA are near retirement age [18,19]. A time of life that, for many, was intended to bring freedom from employment and realization of one’s dreams is now replaced by pain, restricted mobility, and decreased quality of life. Narratives reflecting a sense of injustice are not uncommon in patients with severe OA [20,21]. The experiences of loss and unnecessary suffering consequent to OA may give rise to perceptions of injustice which in turn, might augment the experience of pain, distress and disability.

The aim of this study was to validate a version of the Injustice Experiences Questionnaire (IEQ) adapted for use with patients with OA. The instructional set of the original IEQ was modified to make it more suitable to the context of OA pain where pain onset is insidious as opposed to traumatic. The psychometric properties of the modified IEQ were examined in a sample of patients with severe OA of the knee. The pattern of findings was compared to previous research using the original version of the scale.

Methods

Participants

The sample consisted of 110 (67 women and 43 men) patients with severe OA of the knee. The mean age of the participants was 66.9 years.
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Measures

Perceived injustice: The modified Injustice Experiences Questionnaire (IEQ-chr) is a 12-item measure used to assess pain-related injustice perceptions [10]. The only difference between IEQ-chr and the original IEQ is the instructional set. Whereas the original scale asks individuals to respond to items in terms of how their injury affected their life, the IEQ-chr asks individuals to respond in terms of how their ‘health condition’ has affected their life. The suffix ‘chr’ was added to the scale acronym to distinguish it from the original measure. The IEQ measures cognitions associated with unfairness (“I can’t believe this has happened to me”), perceived severity and irreparability of loss (“Nothing will ever make up for what I have gone through”), and blame (“I am suffering because of someone else negligence”). The IEQ uses a 5-point Likert-type scale with responses ranging from 0=“never” to 4=“all the time”.

Catastrophizing: The Pain Catastrophizing Scale (PCS) was used to measure pain catastrophizing [22]. The PCS is a 13-item measure that assesses various thoughts and feelings related to the experience of pain. The PCS uses 4-point Likert-type scale with responses ranging from 0=“not at all” to 4=“all the time.” Item responses are summed to yield a total score. The scale and its subscales have been shown to have high internal consistency (Cronbach’s [23] alphas: total= .87; rumination=.87, magnification=.66, helplessness=.78) and to be associated with measures of pain intensity and disability [22,24-26].

Depressive symptoms: The Patient Health Questionnaire-9 (PHQ-9) is a 9-item instrument used to assess the severity of depressive symptoms [27]. Respondents are asked to indicate the frequency with which they experience each of nine symptoms that are considered in the diagnostic criteria for major depressive symptoms [27]. Ratings are made on a 4-point frequency scale with the endpoints 0 “not at all” and 3 “everyday.” The scores range from 0 to 27 with higher scores representing worse symptoms of depressive symptoms. A clinical cut score of 15 has been suggested to identify individuals with clinically significant depressive symptoms. Reliability and validity of the PHQ-9 have been demonstrated in various clinical populations [28-30].

Fear of movement/Re-injury: The Tampa Scale for Kinesiophobia (TSK-13) is a 13-item measure used to assess fear of movement and contains 13 items from the original TSK-17 [31,32]. The TSK-13 uses a 4-point Likert-type scale with responses ranging from 1=“strongly disagree” to 4=“strongly agree”. High scores represent more intense fear of movement. Elevated scores on the original and shortened versions of TSK have been associated with a wide range of manifestations of movement. Elevated scores on the original and shortened versions of TSK have been confirmed (Cronbach’s alpha=.86) [32,34].

Pain and function: The Western Ontario and McMaster University Osteoarthritis Index (WOMAC) is a self-administered questionnaire used to evaluate pain, stiffness and physical function in individuals with osteoarthritis of the hip or knee [38]. The WOMAC uses a 5-point Likert scale with responses ranging from “none” to “extreme”. For the purposes of the current study, only the subscale scores for pain and function are reported. Higher scores represent worse pain and higher disability. The scores of WOMAC Pain and WOMAC Function were converted to 0-100 ranges to allow for cross-scale and cross-study comparison [39,40]. The WOMAC has been shown to be a valid and reliable measure for assessing pain and disability in the context of OA [38,41,42].

Procedure

Patients with severe OA of the knee were invited to participate in the current study at one of three collaborating hospitals. For the purposes of the present study, severe OA of the knee was operationalized as a diagnosis of primary OA of the knee for which the patients were scheduled to undergo total knee arthroplasty within the next 4 weeks. Patients were informed that the research was concerned with the physical, psychological and social aspects of pain associated with OA. All patients signed a consent form and received $25 as compensation for completing the questionnaires. The research was approved by the Research Ethics Boards of the McGill University Health Centre, the Hôpital Maisonneuve-Rosemont, and the Capital Health Authority of Nova Scotia.

Data analysis

All measures used in psychometric analyses showed a normal distribution with values of skewness below 3 and values of kurtosis below 10. Descriptive statistics were computed on sample characteristics and independent sample t-tests were computed to investigate sex differences on all measures. A principal component analysis (PCA) with oblique rotation was perform Catastrophizinged to assess the structure of the IEQ-chr. Based on previous research examining the psychometric properties of the original IEQ, it was expected that the PCA would yield a two-component solution (blame/unfairness and severity/irreparability). Construct validity was assessed by examining correlations between the IEQ-chr and measures of pain catastrophizing, fear of movement, depressive symptoms, pain and physical function.

Hierarchical regression analyses were used to assess whether the IEQ-chr contributed significantly to the prediction of pain, physical function, and depressive symptoms beyond the variance accounted for by pain catastrophizing, and fear of movement. All tolerance coefficients in the regression results were greater than .49 indicating no problems of multi collinearity.

Results

Sample characteristics

Demographic information and means and standard deviations on assessment measures are summarized in Table 1. Men and women did not differ significantly on any of the study variables. The distribution of age, body mass index (BMI), and WOMAC pain and function scores were comparable (less than one standard deviation from the mean) to those reported in previous studies with patients with OA of the knee [43-45]. The scores on the PHQ-9, and PCS were also comparable to those reported research on patients with OA of the knee [3,45]. The majority of patients scored below the cut off for clinically significant depressive symptoms. Scores on the IEQ-chr were lower (greater than one standard deviation) than the IEQ scores reported on patients with musculoskeletal injuries [9,10].

Structure and internal consistency of the IEQ-chr

Principal component analysis with oblique rotation yielded a two-component solution with eigenvalues greater than 1. The loadings of the pattern matrix were similar to those found in the original validation study (Table 2). The first component labelled blame/unfairness accounted for 46% of the total variance. The second component labelled severity/irreparability accounted for 11% of the variance. The
correlation between the two components was .42. The coefficient alpha was .88 and item total correlation coefficients ranged between .38 and .72, indicating good internal consistency.

Construct validity

As presented in Table 3, the construct validity of the IEQ-chr was supported by significant correlations with pain severity (r=.41, p<.001), physical function (r=.44, p<.001), depressive symptoms (r=.48, p<.001), fear of movement (r=.47, p<.001), and pain catastrophizing (r=.67, p<.001). Age was inversely correlated with pain intensity (r=.28, p<.05), physical function (r=-.20, p<.05) and BMI (r=-.36, p<.001). BMI was also correlated with pain intensity (r=.24, p<.05).

Contributions of perceived injustice to the prediction of pain, function and depression

Three separate hierarchical regression analyses were conducted to examine the unique contribution of the IEQ-chr to the prediction of pain severity, physical function, and depressive symptoms after controlling for pain catastrophizing and fear of movement. In each regression, age, sex, and BMI were entered in the first step. In the second step pain catastrophizing and fear of movement were entered, followed by perceived injustice in the third step.

Table 4 shows the results of the regression analyses for the prediction of WOMAC pain. The demographic variables entered in the first step contributed significant variance to the prediction of pain severity. Pain catastrophizing and fear of movement were entered in the second step and contributed significant variance to the prediction of pain severity. Perceived injustice was entered in the third step and also contributed significantly to the prediction of pain severity, and accounted for 6% additional variance in WOMAC pain scores. Examination of the standardized beta weights from the final regression equation indicated that of the three psychological variables, only the IEQ-chr (β=.35, p<.05) contributed significant unique variance to the prediction of pain severity.

Table 5 shows the results of the regression analyses for the prediction of WOMAC function. Demographic variables were entered in the first step but did not contribute significant variance to the prediction of physical function. Pain catastrophizing and fear of movement were entered in the second step and contributed significant variance to the prediction of WOMAC function. Perceived injustice was entered in the third step and also contributed significantly to the prediction of physical function, accounting for 6% additional variance in WOMAC function scores. Examination of the standardized beta weights from the final regression equation indicated that of the three psychological variables, only the IEQ-chr (β=.34, p<.05) contributed significant unique variance to the prediction of WOMAC function scores.

Table 6 shows the results of the regression analyses for the prediction of depressive symptoms. Demographic variables were entered in the

---

### Table 1: Sample Characteristics.

| Pre surgical variables | Women N=67 | Men N=43 | P  | Total |
|------------------------|------------|----------|----|-------|
| Age                    | 67.0 (6.6) | 66.7 (7.6) | ns | 66.8 (8.3) |
| BMI                    | 31.2 (5.9) | 30.6 (4.0) | ns | 31.0 (5.3) |
| Pain                   | 54.0 (16.3) | 50.6 (18.7) | ns | 52.7 (17.2) |
| Function               | 55.7 (18.6) | 55.3 (19.5) | ns | 55.6 (17.7) |
| PHQ-9                  | 6.7 (6.6) | 6.3 (7.7) | ns | 6.6 (7.0) |
| PCS                    | 12.9 (11.4) | 11.2 (9.2) | ns | 12.2 (10.6) |
| TSK-13                 | 27.9 (8.5) | 28.6 (8.3) | ns | 28.1 (8.4) |
| IEQ-chr                | 9.3 (9.0) | 7.7 (7.1) | ns | 8.7 (8.3) |

Note: N= 110. BMI=body mass index; Pain = WOMAC Pain Score; Function = WOMAC Physical Function Score; PHQ-9 = Patient Health Questionnaire; PCS = Pain Catastrophizing Scale; TSK-13 = Tampa Scale for Kinesiophobia; IEQ-chr = Perceived Injustice Scale.

### Table 2: IEQ Rotated factor loadings (pattern matrix).

| Item#   | F1         | F2         | Item total r |
|---------|------------|------------|--------------|
| IEQ9    | .83        |            | .66          |
| IEQ8    | .82        |            | .66          |
| IEQ1    | .71        |            | .56          |
| IEQ3    | .71        |            | .38          |
| IEQ12   | .65        |            | .57          |
| IEQ11   | .60        |            | .59          |
| IEQ7    | .54        | .38        | .72          |
| IEQ10   | .51        | .38        | .68          |
| IEQ4    | .44        | .49        | .71          |
| IEQ2    | .68        |            | .65          |
| IEQ5    | .75        |            | .44          |
| IEQ6    | .85        |            | .52          |

Values in bold are factor loadings greater than .50.
Table 5: Regression analyses examining the role of perceived injustice in the prediction of physical function.

| Dependent = Function | Beta | R² change | F change |
|----------------------|------|-----------|----------|
|                      |      |           |          |
| Step 1               |      |           |          |
| Age                  | -.11 |           |          |
| Sex                  | .02  |           |          |
| BMI                  | .14  | .06       | 2.09 (3,106) |
| Step 2               |      |           |          |
| PCS                  | .02  |           |          |
| TSK-13               | .17  | .14       | 9.32 (2,104)** |
| Step 3               |      |           |          |
| IEQ-chr              | .34* | .06       | 8.41 (1,103)** |

Note. N=110. Function = WOMAC Physical Function Score; BMI=body mass index; TSK-13 = Tampa Scale for Kinesiophobia; IEQ-chr = Perceived Injustice Scale. Standardized Beta weights are from the final regression equation.

*p<.05; **p<.001

Table 6: Regression analyses examining the role of perceived injustice in the prediction of depressive symptoms.

| Dependent = Depressive symptoms | Beta | R² change | F change |
|--------------------------------|------|-----------|----------|
|                                |      |           |          |
| Step 1                         |      |           |          |
| Age                            | -.09 |           |          |
| Sex                            | -.01 |           |          |
| BMI                            | -.04 | .02       | .74 (3,106) |
| Step 2                         |      |           |          |
| PCS                            | .24* |           |          |
| TSK-13                         | .22* | .28       | 21.14 (2,104)** |
| Step 3                         |      |           |          |
| IEQ-chr                        | .21† | .02       | 3.68 (1,103) |

Note. N=110. PCS = Pain Catastrophizing Scale; BMI=body mass index; TSK-13 = Tampa Scale for Kinesiophobia; IEQ-chr = Perceived Injustice Scale. Standardized Beta weights are from the final regression equation.

†p=.06; ‡p=.05; **p<.001

First step but did not contribute significant variance to the prediction of depressive symptoms. Pain catastrophizing and fear of movement were entered in the second step and contributed significant variance to the prediction of symptoms of depression. Perceived injustice was entered in the last step but did not contribute significantly to the prediction of depressive symptoms. Examination of the standardized beta weights from the final regression equation revealed that fear of movement (β=.22, p<.05) and the pain catastrophizing (β=.24, p<.05) contributed significant unique variance to the prediction of symptoms of depression while the predictive value of the IEQ-chr was only marginally significant (β=.21, p=.06).

Discussion

The aim of the current study was to evaluate the psychometric properties of the IEQ-chr in the context of OA of the knee. The original measure of perceived injustice (IEQ) was developed for use in individuals with musculoskeletal injuries. In the current version, the instructional set was modified to make it suitable to the context of pain and suffering associated with OA, which is characterized by insidious onset as opposed to traumatic onset.

Consistent with the original IEQ validation study conducted with individuals with various musculoskeletal injuries [10], the principal component analysis of the IEQ-chr yielded a two-component solution (blame/unfairness and severity/irreparability of loss). The scale had high internal consistency (alpha=.88). Replicating research conducted with the original IEQ, the construct validity of IEQ-chr was supported by significant correlations with measures of pain catastrophizing, fear of movement, depression, pain intensity and physical function [8-11,16,46].

Consistent with previous findings, in the current study perceived injustice showed a strong correlation with pain catastrophizing (r=.67) [10]. One explanation that has been proposed for the strong correlation between these variables is the conceptual overlap between subcomponents of perceived injustice and pain catastrophizing. It has been suggested that the “severity and irreparability” component of the IEQ overlaps with the ‘magnification’ component of the PCS. However, blame and unfairness dimensions of IEQ are not reflected in the item content of the PCS, suggesting that these constructs are at least partially distinct [10,11,47].

Zero-order correlations revealed that the IEQ accounted for 17%, 19% and 23% of the variance in pain severity, physical function and depression, respectively. Regression analyses revealed that perceived injustice contributed significant unique variance to the prediction of pain intensity, and physical function beyond the variance accounted for by pain catastrophizing and fear of movement. Pain catastrophizing and fear of movement contributed unique variance to the prediction of depressive symptoms while perceived injustice, was marginally significant (p=.06). In previous research, pain catastrophizing and fear of movement have been identified as two of the most robust predictors of problematic health and mental health outcomes associated with OA [3,48-50]. The present findings suggest that perceptions of injustice are as important, if not more important, than previously identified psychosocial risk factors in the prediction of problematic health and mental health outcomes associated with OA.

The total IEQ-chr score in the current sample of patients with OA was substantially lower than that previously reported in samples of individuals who had sustained musculoskeletal injuries. Sullivan and colleagues reported a mean IEQ score of 25.1 (SD=1.8) in individuals with whiplash injuries, and a mean IEQ score of 17.3 (SD=12.2) in individuals who have sustained work-related musculoskeletal injuries [10]. In the present study, the mean IEQ-chr score was 8.7 (SD=8.3). There could be several explanations to this finding.

Firstly, when examining the phenomenology of perceived injustice in injury and non-injury populations the main distinction arising between the individuals in these two samples is the salience of targets to blame for their health condition. Osteoarthritis patients, given the insidious nature of their condition, may not have one such identifiable source for the onset of their pain. Indeed, 99 out of 110 individuals in the current sample reported a score of “0” on question assessing attributions of blame (“I am suffering because of someone else’s negligence”). The mean score for this particular question in the present sample was .18 (SD=.64), lowest of all items on the IEQ-chr. The mean score for this item in whiplash-injured patients was 10 times higher (M=1.85; SD=1.60) [9].

Other factors that may account for lower perceptions of injustice in individuals with OA include age, the nature of symptom onset, and treatment context. The majority of patients with musculoskeletal injuries are significantly younger than patients with OA [9,18,19]. Post-injury life for patients with musculoskeletal injuries if often associated with loss of employment, loss of independence, possible financial losses, and chronic pain [51,52]. It has been suggested that health related losses are perceived to be less severe for an older person than for a younger person as older individuals have a larger portion of life of enjoyment.
and accomplishment behind them [53]. Thus, having to live with a debilitating condition at a young age might be perceived more unjust than developing painful and debilitating condition at a later stage in life. Due to the insidious nature of OA, patients with OA might also have more time to adjust to their medical status, compared to younger individuals with a sudden onset of painful condition.

Another difference between patients with OA and patients with musculoskeletal injuries is the treatment context. Injury, as a result of a work injury or a motor vehicle accident, is managed within an insurance context where relations between clients and insurer representatives can become adversarial, fueling the injured person’s perceptions of injustice [11]. Moreover, legal representatives have a vested interest in maintaining their client’s perceptions of injustice [11]. The process of litigation for compensation has been consistently associated with pain severity and prolonged disability [54,55].

Therefore, the salience of target for blame, age, the onset of pain, and treatment context may partially explain why perceived injustice in the current OA sample was lower compared to injury samples. However, in spite of the lower IEQ-chr scores in the current sample, perceived injustice still emerged as a significant predictor of pain severity and disability after controlling for measures of pain, catastrophizing, and fear of movement.

Research is beginning to elucidate the processes by which perceptions of injustice might impact on recovery outcomes. For example, research emerging from social psychology indicates that perceptions of injustice give rise to anger responses [56,57]. There is also research to show that anger contributes to the experience of greater pain intensity through disruption of endogenous opioid mechanisms [58,59]. Increased muscle reactivity resulting from anger is also thought to be implicated in heightened experience of pain [60]. Several investigations reported associations between anger, pain, disability, and poor self-efficacy in patients with chronic pain conditions including arthritis [13,14,61-63]. Thus, anger arising from perceptions of injustice may be one vehicle through which perceived injustice impacts on pain in individuals with OA. Emotional distress, attentional focus on themes related to injustice and maladaptive coping have also been proposed as possible mechanisms by which perceived injustice might impact on pain outcomes [11].

The results of this study add to the mounting evidence highlighting the significance of perceived injustice in the context of pain and physical disability. The present results also suggest that assessment of perceived injustice in patients with OA of the knee might permit identification of individuals at-risk for problematic health and mental health outcomes. Based on previous research with individuals with chronic pain, cognitive behavioural approaches to anger management and acceptance-based interventions may be beneficial in reducing perceptions of injustice in individuals with OA [64-66].

The current study has several limitations. The correlational design of the study precludes strong statements about the direction of causality. For example, while perceptions of injustice may augment pain intensity, it is possible that the experience of severe pain may increase patients’ perceptions of injustice. In addition, the size of the study sample was modest, thus inviting caution in generalizing the findings to the wider OA population. Despite these limitations, this study is the first to provide support for the validity of a measure of perceived injustice in patients with OA. Results indicated that the IEQ-chr was associated with increased pain and disability, even when controlling for pain catastrophizing and fear of movement. Future research is needed to investigate whether the IEQ-chr scale can be used as a screening measure to identify individuals with OA who might be at risk for problematic health or mental health outcomes.
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