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\textbf{ABSTRACT}

The SARS-CoV-2 papain-like (PL\textsuperscript{pro}) protease is essential for viral replication. We investigated potential antiviral effects of hypericin relative to the well-known noncovalent PL\textsuperscript{pro} inhibitor GRL-0617. Molecular dynamics and PELE Monte Carlo simulations highlight favourable binding of hypericin and GRL-0617 to the naphthalene binding pocket of PL\textsuperscript{pro}. Although not potent as GRL-0617 (45.8 vs 1.6 \textmu M for protease activity, respectively), in vitro fluorogenic enzymatic assays with hypericin show concentration-dependent inhibition of both PL\textsuperscript{pro} protease and deubiquitinating activities. Given its use in supplementations and the FDA conditional approval of a synthetic version, further evaluation of hypericin as a potential SARS-CoV-2 antiviral is warranted.

\textbf{1. Introduction}

Severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) is the highly transmissible pathogen responsible for coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) and the evolving pandemic [1]. A number of SARS-CoV-2 variants of concern have been identified by the World Health Organization (WHO), and the increased transmissibility or virulence of emerging variants continues to be a challenge [2]. Upon entry into host cells, the single-stranded RNA genome (positive-sense) is released into the cytoplasm and the polyproteins pp1a and pp1ab are produced from the translation of the open reading frames (ORF1a and ORF1b) [3,4]. The polyproteins are cleaved by two cysteine proteases, the main protease (M\textsuperscript{pro}) and papain-like protease (PL\textsuperscript{pro}), to form 16 non-structural proteins (nsps) [3,4]. The M\textsuperscript{pro} is located at nsp5 and the PL\textsuperscript{pro} domain is encoded within nsp3 [3].

Cysteine proteases play an essential role in the virus life cycle and have been identified as promising drug targets [5]. The SARS-CoV-2 PL\textsuperscript{pro} is comprised of an N-terminal ubiquitin-like domain (Ubl), thumb domain, finger domain, and palm domain (Fig. 1A). The protease activity of PL\textsuperscript{pro} is coordinated by the conserved catalytic triad residues C111, H272, and D286 (Fig. 1A) [6,7]. The SARS-CoV-2 PL\textsuperscript{pro} recognises the P4-P1 consensus sequence LXGG (X = any amino acid) and hydrolyses the peptide bond that is found between nsp1-nsp2, nsp2-nsp3, and nsp3-nsp4 (P4-P1P1\textsuperscript{′}) [8]. This results in the release of nsp1, nsp2, and nsp3 [8].

The immunomodulating activities of the SARS-CoV-2 PL\textsuperscript{pro} are also being explored. Ubiquitination is a posttranslational modification that regulates cellular pathways, including immune responses to viral infections [9]. The C-terminus of ubiquitin and ubiquitin-like proteins, which carries the LXGG motif, binds to target proteins by forming a covalent isopeptide bond with the ε-amino group of lysine side chains [10]. Studies have demonstrated that the SARS-CoV and SARS-CoV-2 PL\textsuperscript{pro} have deubiquitinating and delS\textsuperscript{G}ylating activities, which result in the inhibition of the antiviral immune response [10,11]. The SARS-CoV and SARS-CoV-2 PL\textsuperscript{pro} preferentially target ubiquitin chains and interferon-stimulated gene 15 (ISG15), respectively [6,10–12]. Furthermore, two ubiquitin binding sites (SUb1 and SUb2) have been identified in PL\textsuperscript{pro} and the SUb2 region facilitates the binding of K48-
diubiquitin or -polyubiquitin and ISG15 [13]. In comparison to the SUb2 site, the active site and SUb1 site of the SARS-CoV and SARS-CoV-2 PL<sup>pro</sup> exhibit high conservation at the amino acid level [6].

Due to PL<sup>pro</sup> being a multifunctional protein, it is an attractive target for therapeutic agents [14]. Covalent inhibitors of the SARS-CoV-2 PL<sup>pro</sup>, such as VIR250 and VIR251, have predominantly been designed to modify the catalytic triad residue C111 through a Michael Addition reaction and form a covalent thioether bond [6]. Ebselen, an organo-selenium drug, and its analogues have also been identified as covalent inhibitors of the SARS-CoV and SARS-CoV-2 PL<sup>pro</sup> [15]. Moreover, noncovalent inhibitors have gained a significant amount of attention and this includes naphthalene-based compounds [16]. GRL-0617 was initially developed as a noncovalent inhibitor of the SARS-CoV PL<sup>pro</sup> and has been found to inhibit the SARS-CoV-2 PL<sup>pro</sup> [11,17]. The naphthalene-based inhibitor binds to the S3 and S4 subsites and is positioned in a cleft that leads to the active site [7,8,11,17,18].

Using molecular docking and enzymatic assays, we previously identified hypericin as a potential lead compound from a library of 300 ligands for the inhibition of the SARS-CoV-2 PL<sup>pro</sup> [19,20]. The naphthodianthrone hypericin is a secondary metabolite found in St. John’s Wort (Hypericum perforatum) and the broad pharmacological activities of this compound have been reported [21]. Hypericin has also been identified as a potential inhibitor against the SARS-CoV-2 M<sup>pro</sup> [22,23]. The chemopreventative properties of synthetic hypericin (SGX-301) have been of particular interest and the clinical development of SGX-301 as a photosensitising agent for the treatment of cutaneous T-cell lymphoma is underway in the European Union and USA [24].

Here, our aim was to investigate the potential PL<sup>pro</sup> inhibition activity of hypericin relative to the well-known noncovalent inhibitor GRL-0617. We performed comparative in silico all-atom microsecond molecular dynamics and Protein Energy Landscape Exploration (PELE) Monte Carlo simulations to investigate the stability and interactions of hypericin and GRL-0617 with the PL<sup>pro</sup> naphthalene binding pocket. Further, we performed in vitro PL<sup>pro</sup> protease and deubiquitinase activity assays to determine the inhibition activity of hypericin in comparison to GRL-0617.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. All-atom molecular dynamics simulations

GRL-0617, hypericin and its isomer were docked using the quantum-mechanics polarised ligand docking (QPLD) protocol of the Schrödinger suite [25,26] to the naphthalene binding site of SARS-CoV-2 PL<sup>pro</sup> (PDB ID: 7Z88) (Fig. 1). Classical MD simulation of ligands bound with SARS-CoV-2 PL<sup>pro</sup>. A) The SARS-CoV-2 PL<sup>pro</sup> is composed of four main domains; the Ubl, thumb, fingers, and palm domain. PL<sup>pro</sup> also contains four subsites highlighted in surface representation: S1 and S2 for ubiquitin- and ISG16-binding, and naphthalene-based inhibitors bind in the S3 and S4 subsites. Protease activity is mediated by catalytic triad residues in the active site. B) Chemical structures of GRL-0617, hypericin, and its isomer. C) MD simulations were performed for 1000 ns. Root mean square deviation (RMSD) of PL<sup>pro</sup> protein backbone. D) Root mean square fluctuation (RMSF) of PL<sup>pro</sup> backbone throughout the trajectory. Data for the apo PL<sup>pro</sup> is shown in grey, GRL-0617-bound in red, hypericin-bound in blue, and hypericin-isomer-bound in green.
Ewald scheme (PME) [38] was used to calculate long-range electrostatic forces (grid spacing 0.16 nm), and cut-off ratios for Coulomb and van der Waals potentials were set at 1.2 nm. Production runs were carried out for 1000 ns with a time-step of 2 fs. Additional simulations were performed for 100 ns in triplicate.

Simulated trajectories were visualised and analysed using Visual Molecular Dynamics 1.9.3 [40] and PyMOL [41]. Analysis tools included within the GROMACS software package were utilised, including gmx rms and gmx rmsf for calculation of RMSD and RMSF for the protein backbone. Clusters of similar structures based on RMSD of the protein backbone were calculated for the entire trajectory using gmx cluster, utilising the gromos clustering algorithm as described by Daura et al. [42]. An RMSD cut-off of 0.2 nm was used to define two structures as neighbours to obtain approximately 32 clusters for each system. The central structure of each cluster was written for analysis. The number of contacts between residues of PLapo and the ligands was calculated using gmx mindist with a threshold of 0.45 nm to define a contact [31,32]. This was calculated as an average number between the ligand and each residue throughout the entire trajectory.

2.2. PELE Monte Carlo energy landscape explorations

Protein energy landscape exploration (PELE) analysis was performed as described previously [23,43]. Briefly, binding sites for GRL-0617 and hypericin were identified on the SARS-CoV-2 PLapo crystal structure, whereas the cluster 2 structure has an RMSD of 5.45 Å compared to the crystal structure. Wary of Param was used to generate ligand topologies [30].

Molecular dynamics (MD) simulations using GROMACS 2018.2 software [31,32] were performed with the CHARMM27 force field [33,34], as previously described [23]. The TIP3P water model [35] was used to solvate the protein-ligand complexes in a dodecahedral box with a distance of 2.0 nm between protein atoms and the box edge. The system was neutralised with sodium ions, and underwent energy minimisation using the steepest-descent gradient method. Equilibration was performed with the canonical (NVT) ensemble followed by an isothermal-isobaric ensemble (NPT) for 100 ps. A modified Berendsen thermostat [36] was used to maintain a temperature of 310 K, and pressure at 1.0 bar the Parrinello-Rahman barostat [37]. The LINCS algorithm [38] was applied to constrain bond lengths, particle-mesh Ewald scheme (PME) [39] was used to calculate long-range electrostatic forces (grid spacing 0.16 nm), and cut-off ratios for Coulomb and van der Waals potentials were set at 1.2 nm. Production runs were carried out for 1000 ns with a time-step of 2 fs. Additional simulations were performed for 100 ns in triplicate.

Simulated trajectories were visualised and analysed using Visual Molecular Dynamics 1.9.3 [40] and PyMOL [41]. Analysis tools included within the GROMACS software package were utilised, including gmx rms and gmx rmsf for calculation of RMSD and RMSF for the protein backbone. Clusters of similar structures based on RMSD of the protein backbone were calculated for the entire trajectory using gmx cluster, utilising the gromos clustering algorithm as described by Daura et al. [42]. An RMSD cut-off of 0.2 nm was used to define two structures as neighbours to obtain approximately 32 clusters for each system. The central structure of each cluster was written for analysis. The number of contacts between residues of PLapo and the ligands was calculated using gmx mindist with a threshold of 0.45 nm to define a contact [31,32]. This was calculated as an average number between the ligand and each residue throughout the entire trajectory.

2.3. Fluorogenic PLapo protease and deubiquitinase inhibition assays

To investigate the inhibitory activity of small molecules in vitro, proprietary PLapo protease and deubiquitinase assay kits, which contain the recombinant PLapo and appropriate substrates, were utilised according to the manufacturer’s protocols (BPS Bioscience, San Diego, CA, USA). Hypericin (89%, HWI pharma services GmbH, Germany), was prepared as a 20 mM stock and stored at –80 °C until use. Working stocks of hypericin were prepared by doubling dilution to achieve final concentrations in the range of 1.5–200 μM. The positive internal control GRL-0617 was used at final concentration of 100 μM. Following incubation the fluorogenic substrate (excitation wavelength = 360 nm) was measured at 460 nm using a CLARIOstar microplate reader (BMG Labtech, Ortenberg, Germany), at gains of 1164 and 1460 for the protease and deubiquitinase assays, respectively, to ensure that both background and test values were in an appropriate range for accurate detection. In both assays, the test inhibitors were assayed in triplicate; six determinations were made for the background and nine for total PLapo protease and deubiquitinase activities and the GRL-0617 positive control. Absolute fluorescence intensity values at 460 nm were measured, and % protease inhibition activity at 100 μM hypericin and GRL0617, and IC50 Values for hypericin were calculated.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Structural effects in response to ligand binding to the PLapo naphthalene binding site

MD simulations were performed using previously identified [20] compounds GRL-0617, hypericin, and its isomer (Fig. 1B) with trajectories spanning 1000 ns. Analysis of the PLapo trajectories show a jump in protein backbone RMSD approximately halfway through the simulation for the apo and GRL-0617-bound PLapo (Fig. 1C). The average RMSD of apo PLapo went from 0.20 to 0.55 nm at 544 ns. Similarly, the RMSD of GRL-0617-bound PLapo jumps from 0.20 to 0.50 nm at 520 ns. Hypericin-bound PLapo briefly has an RMSD of 0.20 nm for the first 15 ns before maintaining an average RMSD of 0.58 nm for the remaining trajectory. Hypericin-isomer-bound PLapo quickly reaches equilibrium, with the trajectory maintaining a stable average RMSD of 0.22 nm after 1 ns. Triplicate 100 ns trajectories also showed that all systems reached equilibrium within 10 ns (Fig. S1). The number of hydrogen bonds within the protein were similar for all systems, with averages of 236 for apo, 233 for GRL-0617-bound, and 230 for hypericin and its isomer-bound PLapo (Fig. S2).

Similar trends are observed in cluster analysis, which show two distinct conformations of PLapo that dominate throughout the trajectory (Fig. 2). Both the apo-PLapo and GRL-0617-bound PLapo adopt a similar conformation for the first half of the trajectory, with frames corresponding to cluster 1 structures for 41.9% and 44.5% of the trajectory in apo and GRL-0617-bound systems respectively. For the second half of the trajectory, the cluster 2 structure is dominant, with 40.4% of apo and 44.0% of GRL-0617-bound PLapo frames of the trajectory corresponding to this conformation. The hypericin analogue-bound PLapo systems adopt a single conformation for the majority of the trajectory. Hypericin-bound PLapo maintains the equivalent of apo and GRL-0617-bound cluster 2 conformation for 47% of the trajectory. Conversely, hypericin-isomer-bound PLapo maintains a conformation corresponding to the cluster 1 structures for apo and GRL-0617 for 82.1% of the trajectory. It is noted that this conformation is close to the crystal structure. The apo cluster 1 structure has an RMSD of 1.55 Å compared to the crystal structure, whereas the cluster 2 structure has an RMSD of 5.45 Å (Table S1). The differences between the structures can be attributed to the Ubl-domain (Fig. S3).

RMSF analysis indicates the fluctuation in RMSD may be attributed to the N-terminal Ubl domain of PLapo (Fig. 1D), which demonstrated the greatest flexibility in the protein. All ligands are shown to suppress
RMSF, with hypericin and its isomer more effective than GRL-0617, especially at the Ubl domain (Fig. 1D). This is likely due to hypericin analogue-bound PL$_{\text{pro}}$ systems remaining in a single cluster conformation for $\sim$80% of the trajectory (Fig. 2). The blocking loop 2 (BL2, GNYQCGH) region is flexible, with these residues having a higher RMSF for hypericin-bound compared to the isomer and GRL-0617-bound PL$_{\text{pro}}$. In particular, Y268 had an RMSF of 0.54 nm in apo and 0.44 nm in hypericin-bound PL$_{\text{pro}}$, compared to values of 0.24 and 0.26 nm in GRL-0617 and hypericin-isomer-bound PL$_{\text{pro}}$, respectively (Fig. 1D).

Fig. 2. Cluster analysis of 1000 ns MD simulation trajectories of SARS-CoV-2 PL$_{\text{pro}}$ complexes. A) Heatmap depicting cluster number throughout the trajectory. The top six clusters from 100,000 frames are depicted for each system. B) Structures from different systems similar to cluster 1 of apo PL$_{\text{pro}}$, with the proportion of frames shown as a percentage. C) Structures from different systems similar to cluster 2 of apo PL$_{\text{pro}}$, with the proportion of frames shown as a percentage. Structures for the apo PL$_{\text{pro}}$ are shown in grey, GRL-0617-bound in red, hypericin-bound in blue, and hypericin-isomer-bound in green.

3.2. Stability of compounds bound to the PL$_{\text{pro}}$ active site

Visual analysis of trajectories indicates a largely stable enzyme with contraction and expansion of the Ubl domain corresponding to conformational changes described by changes in RMSD and cluster analysis (Movies S1 to S4). GRL-0617 stays firmly bound to the naphthalene binding site throughout the trajectory (Movie S2), reinforcing its validity as a positive control. Contacts analysis demonstrates the proximity of the ligand with key residues in the naphthalene binding pocket (Fig. 3), particularly Y268 which had an average of 107 contacts with GRL-0617 throughout the trajectory. Also prominent was D164 (65 contacts) and P248 (69 contacts). Analysis of hydrogen bonds indicates

Fig. 3. Number of contacts between residues of SARS-CoV-2 PL$_{\text{pro}}$ and bound ligands. The average number of contacts throughout the trajectory between all residues of PL$_{\text{pro}}$ and bound ligands was calculated for A) GRL-0617, B) hypericin, and C) the isomer of hypericin. Residue interactions are shown for the final frame of the trajectory. Data for the GRL-0617-bound PL$_{\text{pro}}$ is shown in red, hypericin-bound in blue, and hypericin-isomer-bound in green.
that GRL-0617 demonstrated a greater average number of hydrogen bonds were formed with PL\textsuperscript{pro}, forming an average of 2.34 hydrogen bonds with PL\textsuperscript{pro}, compared with values of 0.76 and 0.83 for hypericin and its isomer (Fig. S2). Similarly, the isomer of hypericin also remained bound to the naphthalene binding pocket for the duration of the trajectory (Movie S4), forming contacts with similar residues. Y268 is the most frequent residue, forming an average of 139 contacts with the hypericin isomer throughout the trajectory. Contacts were also formed between the hypericin isomer and residues located deeper within the binding site, including R166 (65 contacts) and M208 (16 contacts) (Fig. 3).

While ligand unbinding was initially observed, hypericin re-attaches to the enzyme at approximately 150 ns into the trajectory at the active site of the enzyme in proximity to the catalytic triad residues (Movie S3). This is also illustrated in Fig. S4 depicting the distance between ligands and the catalytic triad residues throughout the trajectory. Hypericin initially deviates from the naphthalene binding site before binding to the active site of PL\textsuperscript{pro}, with an average distance of 0.95 nm from the catalytic triad residues after 150 ns. This is closer than GRL-0617 and the hypericin isomer, which were 1.38 and 1.61 nm from the catalytic triad residues, respectively (Fig. S4). While modest, contacts are observed between hypericin and the catalytic C111, H272 and D286 residues (Fig. 3). The most frequent residue in contact with hypericin throughout the trajectory was W106 (127 contacts). Although hypericin was binding to a different site to its isomer and GRL-0617, Y268 is still among the most prominent residues, forming an average of 96 contacts with hypericin. This residue is located within the BL2 loop, which ordinarily caps the naphthalene binding site [7], but in this case is flipped downwards to an open conformation, accommodating binding of hypericin with the catalytic residues in the active site. This is supported by the higher RMSF of Y268 (Fig. 1D) for hypericin-bound PL\textsuperscript{pro}, as well as the RMSD observed for BL2 loop residues (Fig. S5). The RMSD of BL2 loop residues remain relatively stable for GRL-0617 and hypericin isomer-bound PL\textsuperscript{pro}, with average values of 0.25 and 0.42 nm for the entire trajectory, respectively. The ligand-free PL\textsuperscript{pro} shows the BL2 loop flipping open and closed for the first 400 ns, before remaining closed to cap the naphthalene binding site for the remainder of the trajectory with an average RMSD of 0.22 nm (Fig. S5). This may indicate that as well as capping the naphthalene binding site, the BL2 loop functions to secure ligand binding to the active site. This suggests a ligand-mediated induced-fit mechanism which prevents the binding of natural LXGG motif-containing substrates, resulting in the inhibition of both the pro tease and deubiquitinase activities of PL\textsuperscript{pro} [7,17,44].

3.3. PELE Monte Carlo simulations highlight ligand interactions with PL\textsuperscript{pro} binding pockets

Adaptive-PELE Monte Carlo simulations were performed with GRL-0617 and hypericin to identify binding modes on PL\textsuperscript{pro}. The plot in Fig. 4 depicts all the interaction energies for poses explored by PELE against the RMSD to the initial crystal structure of GRL-0617. Only using the crystal structure for analysis, the best protein-ligand poses with the lowest interaction energies were located in the naphthalene binding site (Fig. 4A). These poses are at 1 Å and 3 Å from the crystal structure, producing binding affinities of −38 and −39 kcal/mol, respectively, with residue interactions similar to that of the co-crystallised GRL-0617 (Fig. 4C). This shows that PELE reproduces the crystal structure.

Hypericin was also found to bind to the naphthalene binding site, with pose 1 (Fig. 5) producing a binding affinity of −47 kcal/mol. As well as the naphthalene binding pocket, additional binding sites were identified for hypericin. Notably, pose 2 (Fig. 5) was near the catalytic triad residues, positioned in a similar manner to hypericin following MD simulation (Fig. 3, Movie S3). This pose had a binding affinity of −46 kcal/mol, forming hydrogen bonds with N109, C270, L274, and the catalytic D286. Additional poses were identified with comparable binding energies: pose 3 between the palm and thumb domains (−53 kcal/mol) and pose 5 located on the Ubl-like domain (−48 kcal/mol). Pose 4 (−51 kcal/mol) was in the zinc finger domain, forming a hydrogen bond with the zinc-coordinating residue C189 (Fig. 5C). This residue has been targeted for inhibition with other small molecules to disrupt zinc binding in SARS-CoV-2 PL\textsuperscript{pro} [45]. Overall these findings indicate that hypericin preferentially binds to the canonical naphthalene binding and active sites associated with inhibition of the PL\textsuperscript{pro}, and also may possess zinc-modifying properties [45].

Fig. 4. PELE binding site search of GRL-0617 on SARS-CoV-2 PL\textsuperscript{pro}. A) Interaction energy plot vs RMSD distance to the crystallographic position GRL-0617 in the naphthalene binding site. The global search is shown in dark blue and structures of the first epoch are shown in cyan, showing that initial positions are not close to the crystal structure. B) The two best poses following global refinement of GRL-0617 are numbered. C) Residue interactions for co-crystallised GRL-0617, and poses identified following PELE analysis. Hydrogen bonds are depicted as dashed blue lines.
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3.4. Hypericin inhibits the SARS-CoV-2 PL\textsuperscript{pro} protease and deubiquitinase activities in vitro

We have previously investigated the in vitro PL\textsuperscript{pro} protease and deubiquitinase inhibitory activities of small molecules including those of hypericin [19,20]. Here, our findings confirm the concentration-dependent inhibition of PL\textsuperscript{pro} protease and deubiquitinase activities of hypericin (Fig. 6). At a concentration of 100 µM, hypericin possessed inhibition activities (97.9 ± 1.8 and 91.4 ± 3.2 for protease and deubiquitinase, respectively), that were analogous to the internal positive control GRL-0617 (96.9 ± 1.6 µM and 88.4 ± 4.9 µM; Table 1). However, the IC\textsubscript{50} values were calculated to be 45.8 ± 7.2 µM and 20.3 ± 8.3 µM for the PL\textsuperscript{pro} protease and deubiquitinase inhibition activities of hypericin, highlighting decreased potency compared to GRL-0617 (1.6 µM and 1.7 µM; Table 1). Typical plasma concentrations of hypericin range from 36 to 180 nM following consumption of LI 160 hypericum extracts containing 1.09–4.36 mg hypericin [46]. Standard over the counter formulations of St John’s wort contain approximately 1 mg of hypericin. It is noteworthy that it has been used in a variety of human clinical trials, including in the context of premenstrual syndrome, non-melanoma skin cancer, and hepatitis C virus infection [47–49]. Furthermore, concentration-dependent uptake of hypericin has been observed in Caco-2 cell assays [50]. Nevertheless, the inhibition activities are within biologically relevant ranges and the findings are encouraging.

4. Conclusion

Overall, microsecond molecular dynamics simulations highlight the stability of GRL-0617 and hypericin in the naphthalene binding pocket of the SARS-CoV-2 PL\textsuperscript{pro}. Similarly, PELE Monte Carlo simulations indicate favourable energies associated with interactions of the small molecules in the naphthalene binding site. Finally, enzymatic assays in vitro confirm the potency with respect to inhibition of PL\textsuperscript{pro} protease and deubiquitinase activities of the well-known inhibitor GRL-0617. In comparison, although not as potent, hypericin also inhibits PL\textsuperscript{pro} protease and deubiquitinase activities with IC\textsubscript{50} values in the biologically relevant micromolar range. When considering potential clinical utility, the long history of use of hypericin in supplementations and the conditional Food and Drug Administration (FDA) approval of a synthetic version (designated SGX-301), are encouraging, and highlight the importance of further evaluation in appropriate antiviral models.
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The protease and deubiquitinase inhibition activities of hypericin were determined using commercial PL<sup>prot</sup> assays, consisting of a proprietary fluorogenic substrate at an emission wavelength of 460 nm (BPS Bioscience, San Diego, CA, USA). Hypericin (0.2 µM to 200 µM concentration range was investigated), resulted in a concentration-dependent inhibition of both protease (A), and deubiquitinase (B) activities. Average values ± SEM from triplicate determinations are shown. The average background (n = 6), total PL<sup>prot</sup> protease and deubiquitinase activities (n = 9), and inhibition by the positive control GRL-0617 at 100 µM (n = 9), are highlighted (horizontal dotted lines).

### Table 1

**Inhibition of PL<sup>prot</sup> protease and deubiquitinase activities by GRL-0617 and hypericin.** Percentage inhibition at a ligand concentration of 100 µM and IC<sub>50</sub> values from in vitro fluorogenic PL<sup>prot</sup> protease and deubiquitinase assays.

|        | Protease Activity | Deubiquitinase activity |
|--------|-------------------|-------------------------|
|        | IC<sub>50</sub> | % Inhibition (100 µM)  | IC<sub>50</sub> | % Inhibition (100 µM)  |
| GRL-0617 | 1.6              | 96.9 ± 1.6             | 1.7           | 88.4 ± 4.9             |
| Hypericin | 45.8 ± 1.8       | 97.9 ± 1.8             | 20.3 ± 1.6    | 91.4 ± 3.2             |
|        | 7.2              | 8.3                    |              |                       |

*IC<sub>50</sub> values for GRL0617 according to assay manufacturer (BPS Bioscience, San Diego, CA, USA). Experimental average ± SEM indicated for hypericin (IC<sub>50</sub>), and % inhibition at 100 µM ligand concentration for GRL-0617 and hypericin.
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