Relationship of Initial Pancreatic Enzyme Replacement Therapy Dose With Weight Gain in Infants With Cystic Fibrosis
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ABSTRACT

Objectives: The aim of the study is to test the hypothesis of a positive relationship between initial dose of pancreatic enzyme replacement therapy (PERT) in infants with cystic fibrosis (CF) and optimal weight gain over the first 2 years of life.

Methods: Using the CF Foundation Patient Registry, we identified 502 children born in 2010 and used multivariable models to compare as our primary analysis their 2-year changes in weight-for-age Z score (WAZ) and as our secondary analysis weight-for-length percentile (W/L%) by initial PERT dose. We focused on initial dose without reference to subsequent changes in treatment to avoid confounding by indication (severity).

Results: Initial PERT dose demonstrated a linear relationship to change in WAZ and W/L% at age 2 years. An initial dose of >1500 lipase units/kg/largest meal resulted in a higher likelihood of attaining WAZ at 2 years or above the birth WAZ (adjusted odds ratio [aOR] 1.87, 95% confidence interval [CI] 1.22–2.86) and at the top quartile for improvement over 2 years in WAZ (aOR 1.90, 95% CI 1.19–3.05). There was no correlation between initial PERT dose and weight at initial PERT encounter (P = 0.35). Findings were similar for W/L% and when the cohort was restricted to infants who began PERT in the first 3 months of life.

Conclusions: Infants receiving higher initial PERT dose demonstrate better weight-related outcomes, as reflected by attainment of favorable changes in WAZ and W/L%, at age 2 years.
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lung function, morbidity, and survival (4–8). A high-fat, high-protein diet along with pancreatic enzyme replacement therapy (PERT) is recommended to meet energy demands and achieve optimal weight gain, growth, and development (1,9).

The determination of optimal PERT dosing is essential to minimize malabsorption and help attain the goal of maximizing growth of children with CF. Clinical data are, however, still lacking on the optimal dose of PERT to achieve recommended weight goals (8,10,11). The current recommendations for PERT dosing were made in the mid-1990s in response to the recognition that excessively high doses were associated with the development of fibrosing colonoopathy (12,13). Current guidelines for PERT dosing recommend 2000 to 5000 lipase units (LU) per 120 mL feed in infants <12 months of age and 1000 to 2500 LU/kg/meal with a maximum daily dose of 10,000 LU/kg in children 12 months to 4 years (10,14,15). An analysis of 2010 CF Foundation (CFF) Patient Registry data revealed that infant PERT dosing varied widely in clinical practice, and that many infants receive PERT doses either lower than or in excess of the recommendations (10).

The CFF Patient Registry includes data that can provide insight into the real-world relationship between clinical practice and health outcomes (16,17). We present the results of an analysis of this registry investigating the association between the initial reported PERT dose in infants and weight-related outcomes at 2 years. We hypothesized that infants who were started on higher doses of PERT would be more likely to achieve satisfactory weight status by age 2 years, as defined primarily as achievement of weight-for-age z score (WAZ) at or above the WAZ at birth (6).

METHODS

Patient Population

Using the CFF Patient Registry (3), we identified individuals diagnosed with CF who were born in 2010, and were prescribed PERT starting in 2010. Each participating CF Program that contributes data to the CFF registry obtains local institutional review board approval and written informed consent and assent, as appropriate, from participants and/or their legal guardians (3). Anonymized data were obtained from the CFF following a review of the protocol by the CFF Patient Registry Committee. We excluded infants with a reported dose >4000 LU/kg/largest meal and those with an absolute WAZ >3 as outliers. Patients with a documented weight and length at in at least 2 of the years between 2010 and 2012, separated by at least 12 months between the first and the last encounters, were included in the analysis.

Study Design

This was a retrospective cohort analysis, which included a nested case-control component, of the relationship between initial reported PERT dose and subsequent weight-related outcomes in infants in the CFF Patient Registry. PERT dose is reported at each encounter in the Registry as LU per weight in kilograms at the patient’s largest meal. Length and weight at each encounter are entered into the registry, and the registry software calculates percentiles based on patient’s birth date. Using age in months at each encounter, we calculated WAZ using the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention 2000 growth charts (ages 0 to <20 years) (http://www.cdc.gov/nccdphp/dhpao/growthcharts/resources/sas.htm). The WAZ and weight-for-length percentile (W/L%) from the patient’s initial encounter and from the 21st, 22nd, or 23rd month were compared for analysis.

The change in WAZ was predetermined as the primary outcome of interest, and the change in W/L% as the secondary outcome. For analyses of dichotomous outcomes, we defined a priori as a successful outcome, the eventual attainment of a WAZ score in 2012 at or above the initial 2010 WAZ score (adapted from the analysis performed by Lai et al (6))—approximately half the subjects achieved this outcome. We then evaluated PERT dose associated with the likelihood of attaining an increase in WAZ at the top 25th percentile. Similarly, we evaluated the relationship between enzyme dose and the likelihood of attaining the 50th percentile or the 75th percentile for increase in W/L%.

We focused on the starting PERT dose to avoid confounding by indication (severity bias)—subsequent increases in PERT dosing would be made in response to poor weight gain and would therefore obscure the therapeutic relationship between PERT dose and weight gain (18). To determine whether the relationship between dosing and weight gain could be obscured by a delay in diagnosis regarding the timing of enzyme initiation that may have been biased by severity at presentation, a subgroup analysis was performed that was limited to patients who started PERT within the first 3 months of life (months 0, 1, and 2), designated as the early dosing cohort.

Statistical Methods

Initial descriptive analyses evaluated the marginal association between demographic, clinical characteristics as well as nutritional therapies, and weight-related outcomes. Group characteristics were compared using Wilcoxon rank-sum test and Fisher’s exact test for continuous and categorical variables, respectively. The Pearson correlation was used to measure the association between initial PERT dose and weight at initial PERT encounter. The Spearman correlation was used to measure the association between initial PERT dose and change in W/L%.

Simple and multiple linear regressions were used to quantify the relationship between initial PERT dose in 2010 and the change in WAZ or in W/L%. The covariates used in the multiple linear models (determined a priori or on the basis of the initial results shown in Table 1) included weight at initial PERT dose encounter, history of prematurity, diagnosis by newborn screening, diagnosis of meconium ileus, history of Pseudomonas aeruginosa on any respiratory culture, proton pump inhibitor use, reported history of distal intestinal obstruction syndrome (DIOS), and cystic fibrosis transmembrane conductance regulator (CFTR) genotype, categorized as severe (Class I–III), mild (Class IV–V), and unknown (if the genotype was not completely identified or if the CFTR genotype was not categorized by the CFF Patient Registry). Plots of the mean change in WAZ or W/L% versus PERT dose over 250 LU/kg/meal intervals were used to identify dose windows for additional analyses, specifically the cutoff point of initial PERT doses of <1500 versus ≥1500 LU/kg/largest meal. Odds ratios (ORs) of achieving a successful outcome between patients with initial PERT doses of <1500 and ≥1500 LU/kg/largest meal were calculated using logistic regression, adjusting for the same variables as those in the multiple linear regression. All analyses were performed with SAS 9.4 (SAS Institute Inc, Cary, NC).

RESULTS

Study Patients

Of 910 patients in the CFF Patient Registry born in 2010, 710 were documented to have been started on PERT and a total of 502 met all inclusion criteria (Supplemental Digital Content Figure 1, Supplemental Digital Content, http://links.lww.com/MPG/B461).

Weight-for-Age z Score Analysis

The analysis of the changes in WAZ showed that, at their last measurement to their second birthday, 246 patients (49%) did not achieve and 256 (51%) were at or above their initial WAZ measured
TABLE 1. Baseline characteristics for weight-for-age z score categories

| Characteristic                                      | All patients (N = 502) | ΔWAZ < median (2012 WAZ < 2010 WAZ) (n = 246) | ΔWAZ ≥ median (2012 WAZ ≥ 2010 WAZ) (n = 256) |
|----------------------------------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|
| Gender, %                                           | 52.2                   | 50.0                                          | 54.3                                          |
| Male                                               | 52.2                   | 50.0                                          | 54.3                                          |
| Race, %                                             |                        |                                               |                                               |
| White                                              | 91.8                   | 91.5                                          | 92.2                                          |
| Black                                               | 6.8                    | 6.1                                           | 7.4                                           |
| Ethnicity, %                                        |                        |                                               |                                               |
| Hispanic                                            | 11.2                   | 12.6                                          | 9.8                                           |
| Insurance, %                                        |                        |                                               |                                               |
| Private                                             | 41.8                   | 39.4                                          | 44.1                                          |
| Medicaid                                            | 42.8                   | 40.2                                          | 45.3                                          |
| Diagnosis suggested by: %                           |                        |                                               |                                               |
| Acute respiratory symptoms                          | 3.2                    | 4.5                                           | 2                                             |
| Failure to thrive/malnutrition                      | 6.0                    | 5.7                                           | 6.3                                           |
| Family history                                      | 11.4                   | 12.6                                          | 10.2                                          |
| Genotype                                            | 21.1                   | 19.5                                          | 22.7                                          |
| Meconium ileus                                      | 15.7                   | 13.8                                          | 17.6                                          |
| Prenatal diagnosis (CVS, AC)                        | 6.6                    | 4.9                                           | 8.2                                           |
| Newborn screening                                   | 80.5                   | 80.9                                          | 80.1                                          |
| Statorrhea                                          | 5.8                    | 5.3                                           | 6.3                                           |
| CFTR genotype, %                                    |                        |                                               |                                               |
| Severe (Class I–III)                               | 79.3                   | 78.5                                          | 80.1                                          |
| Mild (Class IV–V)                                   | 2.8                    | 2.4                                           | 3.1                                           |
| Unknown                                             | 17.9                   | 19.1                                          | 16.8                                          |
| Any form of supplemental nutrition, %               | 83.9                   | 89.4                                          | 78.5*                                         |
| Oral supplemental feeding                           | 82.9                   | 88.6                                          | 77.3*                                         |
| Nasogastric supplemental feeding                    | 3.8                    | 3.7                                           | 3.9                                           |
| Gastroscopy tube feeding                            | 12.7                   | 13.8                                          | 11.7                                          |
| H2 blocker use, %                                   | 51.8                   | 48.4                                          | 55.1                                          |
| Proton pump inhibitor use, %                        | 55.0                   | 52.8                                          | 57.0                                          |
| Exclusive breast milk feeding reported at any visit, %| 28.7                   | 31.7                                          | 25.8                                          |
| Breast milk and formula reported at any visit, %    | 32.5                   | 35.4                                          | 29.7                                          |
| Exclusive formula feeding reported at any visit, %  | 71.5                   | 67.9                                          | 75                                            |
| Normal flora on sputum culture, %                   | 77.5                   | 76.4                                          | 78.5                                          |
| Pseudomonas aeruginosa present on respiratory culture, %| 50.4                   | 48.4                                          | 52.3                                          |
| DIOS, %                                             | 8                      | 7.3                                           | 8.6                                           |
| Gastroesophageal reflux disease, %                  | 40.4                   | 37.8                                          | 43                                            |
| Cystic fibrosis liver disease, %                    | 4.4                    | 2.8                                           | 5.9                                           |
| Age at initial PERT encounter (mo), mean (range)    | 1.4 (0, 2)             | 1.2 (0, 2)                                    | 1.6 (0, 2)                                    |
| Prematurity, %                                      | 11.8                   | 11.8                                          | 19.1*                                         |
| Birth weight (kg), median (IQR)                     | 3.2 (2.7, 3.5)         | 3.3 (3.0, 3.6)                                | 2.9 (2.6, 3.3)*                               |
| Weight at initial PERT encounter (kg), median (IQR) | 4.6 (3.7, 5.7)         | 4.8 (3.9, 5.7)                                | 4.1 (3.5, 5.4)*                               |
| Weight-for-age percentile at initial PERT encounter, median (IQR) | 18.4 (5.9, 40.1) | 30.4 (13.6, 56.3) | 8.7 (2.5, 26.3)* |
| W/L% at initial PERT encounter, median (IQR)        | 35.1 (12.0, 60.0)      | 42 (17.5, 67.4)                               | 27.3 (9.1, 54.6)*                             |
| WAZ at initial PERT encounter, median (IQR)         | −0.3 (−1.1, 0.5)       | 0.2 (−0.6, 0.9)                               | −0.8 (−1.5, −0.2)                             |
| Median initial PERT dose, LU/kg/largest meal, median (IQR) | 932 (682, 1389) | 1200 (790, 1200) | 1200 (790, 1200) |

AC = amniocentesis; CFTR = cystic fibrosis transmembrane conductance regulator; CVS = chorionic villus sampling; DIOS = distal intestinal obstruction syndrome; IQR = interquartile range; LU = lipase units; PERT = pancreatic enzyme replacement therapy; W/L% = weight-for-length percentile; WAZ = weight-for-age z score.

Insurance, DIOS, Pseudomonas infection, H2 blocker, proton pump inhibitor, and supplemental feeding are recorded for the year.

*P ≤ 0.05, ΔWAZ ≥ median versus ΔWAZ < median.

in 2010. Thus, a change of ≥0 in WAZ for the 502 patients was approximately the 50th percentile and an appropriate cutpoint for our analysis. An increase in WAZ of ≥0.8 was achieved by the top quartile of subjects. Population characteristics are shown in Table 1. Patients achieving their initial 2010 WAZ 2 years later in 2012 were more likely to be premature and to have a lower birth weight, and a lower weight, weight-for-age, W/L%, and WAZ at their initial clinic encounter. They were also less likely to have received nutritional supplements during the follow-up period. There was no significant correlation between initial PERT dose and weight at initial PERT encounter (P = 0.3458, by Pearson correlation). The differences in baseline characteristics were similar when the WAZ
75th percentile cutpoint was used (Supplemental Digital Content Table 1, Supplemental Digital Content, http://links.lww.com/MPG/B461). The median initial reported PERT dose in those who achieved their initial 2010 WAZ score in 2012 was significantly larger (1200 LU/kg/largest meal, interquartile range [IQR] 790, 1667) compared with those who did not achieve it (932 LU/kg/largest meal, IQR 682, 1389) ($P < 0.001$) (Supplemental Digital Content Figure 2, Supplemental Digital Content, http://links.lww.com/MPG/B461). Figure 1 shows the relationship between initial PERT dose (grouped into 250 LU/kg/largest meal intervals) and mean change in WAZ score. Patients with an initial PERT dose $<1500$ LU/kg/largest meal (from here on designated as being in the low-dose group) had a negative mean change in WAZ score, whereas those with an initial PERT dose $\geq 1500$ LU/kg/largest meal (the high-dose group) averaged a positive change. Simple and multiple linear regressions both showed that the change in WAZ was positively associated with a higher initial PERT dose ($P = 0.001$ and $P = 0.005$, respectively).

As shown in Table 2, 62.9% of patients with initial PERT dose $\geq 1500$ LU/kg/largest meal were at or above their initial WAZ at their last measurement in 2012, compared with 46.4% of those with a lower initial PERT dose. The adjusted odds ratio (aOR) of finishing in 2012 at or above their initial WAZ was 1.87 in the high-dose group compared with the low-dose group (95% confidence interval [CI] 1.22–2.86, $P = 0.004$). Similarly, 33.6% of patients in the high-dose group and 21.0% of patients in the low-dose group were in the top quartile for change in WAZ in 2012 (aOR 1.90, CI 1.19–3.05, $P = 0.007$). Similar findings were obtained when comparing infants who had been started on $\geq 1750$ LU/kg/largest meal with those started on $<1750$ LU/kg/largest meal, with aOR of 1.86 for achieving WAZ at or above initial WAZ from the logistic model (CI 1.11–3.14, $P = 0.019$),

FIGURE 1. Relationship of initial PERT dose to change in WAZ from birth to age 2 (top panel) and W/L% at age 2 (lower panel). IQR = interquartile range; LU = lipase units; PERT = pancreatic enzyme replacement therapy; WAZ = weight-for-age z score; W/L% = weight-for-length percentile. N = 502. Error bars are 95% confidence intervals.
and for achieving a change in WAZ in the top quartile (aOR 1.66, CI 0.95–2.88, P = 0.073).

When the WAZ analysis was restricted to the early dosing cohort of 348 patients who received their initial PERT dose in the first 3 months of life, similar results were obtained (Supplemental Digital Content Figure 3, Supplemental Digital Content, http://links.lww.com/MPG/B461).

## Weight-for-Length Percentile Analysis

Analysis of the relationship between change in W/L% and PERT dosing was done as a secondary analysis and is described in detail in the Supplemental Digital Content Text and Supplemental Digital Content Table 2 (Supplemental Digital Content, http://links.lww.com/MPG/B461). To summarize, we found a significant association of median initial PERT dose with changes in W/L quartile (P = 0.019) at follow-up in 2012 (Supplemental Digital Content Figure 2, Supplemental Digital Content, http://links.lww.com/MPG/B461), and a significant linear relationship between starting PERT dose up to 2000 LU/kg/largest meal and mean attainment in W/L % (P = 0.005 for the multiple regression model) (Fig. 1). The relationship of initial PERT dose with W/L% lost significance when doses ≥2000 LU/kg/largest meal were included in the multivariable model (P = 0.08), suggesting a threshold effect. We also found that infants started on an initial PERT dose ≥1500 LU/kg/largest meal had a greater likelihood of achieving a change in the top quartile of W/L% compared with the patients whose dose was <1500 LU/kg/largest meal (aOR 1.81, CI 1.14–2.87, P = 0.013). The relationship of PERT dosing ≥1750 LU/kg/largest meal to improvement in W/L% followed similar trends but did not reach statistical significance (Supplemental Digital Content Table 3, Supplemental Digital Content, http://links.lww.com/MPG/B461). As in the WAZ analysis, the W/L% analysis resulted in similar findings when restricted to patients who were started on PERT before the third month of life (Supplemental Digital Content Figure 4, Supplemental Digital Content, http://links.lww.com/MPG/B461).

### DISCUSSION

These results suggest a significant association between the PERT starting dose prescribed to infants with CF and achievement of weight gain in the first 2 years of life. We looked at this relationship in different ways and found concordance among them. First, median initial PERT dose correlated with categories of anthropometric improvement using change in either WAZ or W/L% as our outcome. Second, a positive linear relationship was found between the initial PERT dose and the change in WAZ and W/L% in these infants, modeled so as to adjust for potential confounders. Third, an initial PERT dose ≥1500 LU/kg/largest meal was associated with a higher likelihood of achieving a change in WAZ above the median and above the 75th percentile by age 2 years; similar findings were seen in association with the changes in W/L%. The findings were similar when the study cohort was limited to the infants who were started on PERT before 3 months of age.

While these findings do not substitute for clinical trial data, they do reflect clinical experience in the real-life practice setting and contribute insights regarding the relationship of initial PERT dosing with weight-related outcomes in young children with CF. Current recommendations to limit PERT dosing with the development of fibrosing colonopathy (12,13). These recommendations have been carried forward (8,14,20) without any additional evidence regarding the optimal PERT dosage for minimizing malabsorption and maximizing growth in patients of any age (11). Given that the average infant feeds at least 6 times a day, our findings suggest that infants who are more likely to achieve preferred nutritional outcomes are also more likely to be receiving PERT doses above the recommended 10,000 LU/kg/day (10).

Determining optimal PERT dosing is essential for minimizing malabsorption to help attain the goal of maximizing growth of children with CF. Multiple studies and analyses show a correlation between nutritional status and pulmonary outcomes in CF (1), and this relationship may be especially salient in infancy. Konstan et al (5) analyzed data on 931 children from the Epidemiologic Study of CF and found that those with weight-for-age less than fifth percentile at age 3 had an average forced expiratory volume in 1 second of 86 ± 20% predicted at age 6 compared with 102 ± 18% predicted in those with weight-for-age ≥75th percentile at age 3. Lai et al (6) studied 63 children aged 2 through 6 years who were enrolled in the Wisconsin CF Neonatal Screening Project, and found that recovery of birth weight z score within 2 years of CF diagnosis was associated with fewer cough symptoms, higher lung function, and better chest radiograph scores at age 6. Most recently, Yen et al (21) studied 3142 children in the CFF Registry born between 1989 and 1992 and found that a higher weight-for-age percentile at 4 years was associated with greater height, better lung function, fewer complications of CF, and improved survival through 18 years.

Previously published studies evaluating PERT dosing used older preparations, which were generally performed on small numbers of patients, and had important methodological weaknesses (22,23). For example, a CFF Registry study of 1215 patients >4 years from 33 US CF centers that was published in 2005 found no association between PERT dose and growth or gastrointestinal symptoms (24). The findings of that analysis were, however, likely confounded by

### TABLE 2. Change in weight-for-age z score from 2010 to 2012 by initial pancreatic enzyme replacement therapy dose category

| Change in WAZ | Initial PERT dose category (2010) |  |  |  |  |  |
|--------------|---------------------------------|---|---|---|---|---|
| (<1500 LU/kg/largest meal) | (<1500 LU/kg/largest meal) | ≥1500 LU/kg/largest meal | P | ≥1750 LU/kg/largest meal | P | Total |
| (<0)        | 194 (53.6)                      | 52 (37.1) | 218 (51.8) | 28 (34.6) | 246 (49.0) |
| (≥0 median) | 168 (46.4)                      | 88 (62.9) | 203 (48.2) | 53 (65.4) | 256 (51.0) |
| (<0.8)      | 286 (79.0)                      | 93 (66.4) | 326 (77.4) | 53 (65.4) | 379 (75.5) |
| (≥0.8 top quartile) | 76 (21.0) | 47 (33.6) | 95 (22.6) | 28 (34.6) | 123 (24.5) |

LU = lipase units; PERT = pancreatic enzyme replacement therapy; WAZ = weight-for-age z score.
indication bias, an important pitfall when observational databases and registries are used to evaluate the association between therapies and outcomes. Clinicians often prescribe higher doses or additional therapies to patients whose condition is more severe, so naïve analyses of observational data may find the apparent effectiveness of evidence-based therapies to be dampened, lost, or even apparently reversed (25). Multivariable modeling may adjust for indication bias if all indications for treatment are measured and recorded, but residual confounding will occur when modeling is unable to adjust for undocumented influences on prescribing (16,25). Novel techniques have been proposed to eliminate confounding by undocumented indication, but indication bias remains an important challenge to comparative effectiveness research (26). Haupert et al (27) used CFF Patient Registry data to investigate the association between PERT dosing and body mass index (BMI) percentile in patients 2 to 20 years, using CF center practice as the unit of analysis to avoid indication bias. They found a higher adjusted median PERT dose prescribed at centers in the highest quartile for BMI compared with that prescribed at centers in the lowest BMI quartile (1755 LU/kg/meal, 95% CI 1722–1788 vs 1628 LU/kg/meal, 95% CI 1595–1660, P < 0.001).

Although the present analysis aligns with previous publications suggesting that lower PERT dosing may be associated with worse weight-related outcomes, certain limitations must be considered. Pitfalls of secondary analyses of observational data are well described and include selection bias, information bias, and confounding (16). Selection and information biases are likely to be minimal in the CFF Patient Registry (3). The potential impact of confounding by indication, or indication bias, as described above, must be acknowledged (16,25). This was minimized by our study design, which focused on the PERT initial dose prescribed at early encounters with infants whose primary risk factors, such as genotype, mode of diagnosis, and current weight, are all recorded and therefore easily adjusted with multivariable modeling techniques. Furthermore, to determine whether our findings were sensitive to unmeasured factors that may have developed before treatment initiation (18), we duplicated our analysis using a population restricted to those who were started on PERT in the first 3 months of life, and found no substantive difference. Another potential confounding factor is the possibility that the prescription of higher enzyme dosing may be correlated with more aggressive overall approach to nutritional management. The analysis was adjusted for the prescribed use of nutritional supplements in the dataset, but other potential factors are not recorded in the CFF Registry. Finally, as data on fecal elastase in the CFF Patient Registry is incomplete, it is possible that some patients receiving PERT were not pancreatic insufficient. Our model, however, included CFTR mutation class, which is a strong predictor of pancreatic function (28).

In conclusion, higher initial PERT dosing (≥1500 LU/kg/largest meal) in infants with CF appears to be associated with an increased likelihood of favorable weight-related outcomes at 2 years, as measured by the change in WAZ or W/L%. This dosing regimen is associated with a total daily dose of PERT that is likely to exceed the current guideline recommendations. Although our findings cannot be used in isolation to prove causation or to identify or recommend a specific initial PERT dose for patients with CF, they suggest that current recommendations for initial PERT dosing should be re-evaluated and tested in a prospective clinical trial to evaluate both efficacy and safety.
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