Performing a stellar autopsy using the radio-bright remnant of SN 1996cr
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ABSTRACT

We present newly reduced archival radio observations of SN 1996cr in the Circinus Galaxy from the Australia Telescope Compact Array (ATCA) and the Molonglo Observatory Synthesis Telescope (MOST), and attempt to model its radio light curves using recent hydrodynamical simulations of the interaction between the SN ejecta and the circumstellar material (CSM) at X-ray wavelengths. The radio data within the first 1000 days show clear signs of free-free absorption (FFA), which decreases gradually and is minimal above 1.4 GHz after day $\sim 3000$. Constraints on the FFA optical depth provide estimates of the CSM free electron density, which allows insight into the ionisation of SN 1996cr’s CSM and offers a test on the density distribution adopted by the hydrodynamical simulation. The intrinsic spectral index of the radiation shows evidence for spectral flattening, which is characterised by $\alpha = 0.852 \pm 0.002$ at day 3000 and a decay rate of $\Delta \alpha = -0.014 \pm 0.001$ yr$^{-1}$. The striking similarity in the spectral flattening of SN 1987A, SN 1993J, and SN 1996cr suggests this may be a relatively common feature of SNe/CSM shocks. We adopt this spectral index variation to model the synchrotron radio emission of the shock, and consider several scalings that relate the parameters of the hydrodynamical simulation to the magnetic field and electron distribution. The simulated light curves match the large-scale features of the observed light curves, but fail to match certain tightly constraining sections. This suggests that simple energy density scalings may not be able to account for the complexities of the true physical processes at work, or alternatively, that the parameters of the simulation require modification in order to accurately represent the surroundings of SN 1996cr.
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1 INTRODUCTION

Radio and X-ray emission arising from remnants of supernovae (SNe) provide rich information about the ongoing interaction between the SN ejecta and the circumstellar material (CSM). The fact that SN ejecta expand $\sim 2$–3 orders of magnitude faster than the slower-moving progenitor stellar wind ensures that, in a matter of decades, the ejecta will have swept up and revealed information on tens to thousands of years of stellar evolution leading up to the explosion, thus providing an alternate window for studying the evolution and classification of the progenitor stars (Chevalier & Fransson 1994).

An analytical model of the emission from radio SNe (RSNe) was developed by Chevalier (1982, hereafter the ‘standard’ model), in which the SN ejecta and CSM den-
sity profiles are described as power laws in radius, and the evolution of the shock front is characterised by a self-similar solution in which the shock radii expand as power laws in time. The interaction between the SN ejecta and the CSM leads to the formation of a contact discontinuity, bounded by a forward shock travelling into the CSM and a reverse shock moving back into the ejecta relative to the contact discontinuity. The radio emission arising from these two shocks is typically characterised as synchrotron radiation from ultrarelativistic electrons accelerated at the shock front (Duffy et al. 1995; Reynolds 2008). If the energy density of the magnetic field as well as the relativistic particles can be assumed as proportional to the thermal energy density, then this treatment provides a simple formula for the radio emission. This has been successful in describing the radio light curves of SNe exploding into simple red supergiant (RSG) type winds, where the emission decreases as a power-law in time (Weiler et al. 2002). It fails, however, to account for the light curves of RSNe which do not decrease in power-law fashion, and therefore where the CSM has presumably been altered by perhaps a binary companion, or by various evolutionary stages both on or after the main sequence (cf. table 4 in Soderberg et al. 2006).

The late-stage evolution of stars more massive than $\sim 20M_\odot$ should extend beyond the initial post-main-sequence RSG phase into yellow or blue supergiant (YSG, BSG), luminous blue variable (LBV), and/or Wolf-Rayet (WR) phases (e.g., Lamers et al. 1991; Stothers & Chin 1994). The relatively high mass-loss rates and/or wind velocities often present during these later phases (e.g., Nugis & Lamers 2000; Vink et al. 2011) can result in stellar winds with enough momentum to sweep up ambient
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**Figure 1.** Observed radio flux densities (filled circles) for SN 1996cr along with 1σ error bars (which are smaller than symbols in some cases); when no secure detection is obtained we show 3σ upper limits (e.g., at early times). The gray-shaded region denotes the period between days $\sim 700\text{–}3000$ when the shock is believed to be travelling through the dense shell. Three regions are clearly defined, with low early emission (for which only upper limits are available), a flux rise at $\sim 700$ d when the shock begins to interact with the shell, and a late-time plateau region with a possible turnover at day $\sim 5000$. Also shown are the relative flux densities for the host AGN nucleus (middle panel) and various phase flux calibrators (lower panel). The nucleus values were normalised based on the average values at each frequency found in the highest resolution configurations only (e.g., 6A), while the calibrators were normalised based on their historical values at each frequency. In general, the nucleus only varies by $\approx 10\text{–}30\%$, while the calibrators vary by $\approx 10\text{–}20\%$. These should provide strict empirical upper limits to any uncertainties on SN 1996cr’s variability.
material deposited by winds during previous evolutionary stages of the star, creating a low-density cavity bordered by a dense shell of swept-up material (Weaver et al. 1977). Once the progenitor explodes, the ejecta expand within this complex CSM, which is not represented by a power-law density profile, and for which the standard model, which assumes self-similar shock expansion, is not applicable (Chevalier & Liang 1983; Tenorio-Tagle et al. 1990; Dwarkadas 2005; Dwarkadas 2007a). We must therefore model the ejecta-CSM interaction through hydrodynamical simulations using prescribed ejecta and CSM density profiles. The resulting hydrodynamical quantities (pressure, density and temperature) can then be input to radiative transfer equations to simulate the radio light curves and spectra of the SN (e.g., Martí-Vidal et al. 2011; Mioduszewski et al. 2001).

We employ a simplified version of this method to study the radio light curve of SN 1996cr, located in the Circinus Galaxy (~3.8 Mpc; Freeman et al. 1977; Koribalski et al. 2004), which exploded around 1996 and was confirmed as a type IIn SN by Bauer et al. (2007) ~11 yr later. Serendipitous archival data provide a crude picture of the explosion dynamics and the late evolutionary history of the progenitor (see, e.g., Bauer et al. 2008 and references therein). In behaviour reminiscent of SN 1987A (Park et al. 2005; Zanardo et al. 2010), SN 1996cr shows an increase of X-ray and radio luminosity in the years following the initial explosion. Dwarkadas et al. (2010) studied SN 1996cr through hydrodynamical simulations of the ejecta-CSM interaction, from which they accurately reproduced the X-ray light curves and spectra, and in doing so derived valuable constraints on the CSM distribution: SN 1996cr exploded in a low-density medium and expanded freely before interacting with a dense shell of material at about 0.04 pc, which is thought to be the result of the interaction between fast winds of either a BSG or WR star and a slower wind, possibly from a previous RSG stage which is not yet well constrained by observations.

In this work we provide an observational update on the radio evolution of SN 1996cr, and attempt to compute the radio light curves from the hydrodynamic simulation in Dwarkadas et al. (2010). The constraints on the simulation parameters came primarily from the observed X-ray light curves and spectra. However, there are no X-ray detections prior to year 2000, and only a few relatively weak soft X-ray upper limits before that (Bauer et al. 2008; Dwarkadas et al. 2010). Thus for the epoch when the shock is thought to have first encountered the dense shell in 1997, there are no direct thermal constraints on the density of the inner cavity implemented in the simulation. Radio detections of SN 1996cr start as early as mid-1996, and could provide constraints on the CSM density during this period, since both the X-ray and radio emissions can be ultimately related to the density of the emitting region. Probing the CSM density of the inner boundary of the shell and possibly even the initial cavity using the radio light curve may ultimately help to refine some of the uncertainties in the hydrodynamic simulation, give us a better picture of the CSM structure, and thereby provide more information about the progenitor star and its evolution.

This study is organised as follows. Radio data and reduction methods are detailed in §2. In §3 we characterise the observational properties of SN 1996cr, and outline how we translate the hydrodynamic simulation into a radio emission model. In §4 we explore the H1 region surrounding SN 1996cr and present the simulated radio light curves. Finally, in §5 we discuss the results and implications of this study. In this paper, we adopt the explosion epoch of 1995.6 determined in Bauer et al. (2008). All errors are quoted at 1σ unless otherwise stated.

2 RADIO OBSERVATIONS

Bauer et al. (2008) provide radio data up to 2007. However, since more data exist for comparison, and some of the data presented in Bauer et al. (2008) were re-reduced to clean up artifacts and incorporate a larger array of calibration data, we detail the data reduction procedures here. The data presented here supersede those of Bauer et al. (2008).

Numerous observations of the Circinus Galaxy exist from studies at radio wavelengths spanning 1995 to 2011 (e.g., Elmouttie et al. 1995; Greenhill et al. 1997; Jones et al. 1999; McCallum et al. 2003; Phillips et al. 1998; Wilson et al. 2011). These were retrieved from the Australia Telescope Compact Array (ATCA) archive and were reduced with MIRIAD (v4.0.5 and v20110616) following the procedures outlined in the ATNF Miriad User Manual. Fluxes for SN 1996cr are presented in Table 1 and Fig. 1.

For the 1.4, 2.4, 4.8, and 8.5 GHz observations, the ‘primary’ flux calibrator was typically PKS B1934−638, with approximate flux densities of 14.9, 11.6, 5.8, and 2.8 Jy, respectively. For 15–25 GHz observations the ‘primary’ flux calibrators were either PKS B1934−638 (0.7−12 Jy) or PKS B1921−293 (10−25 Jy). For the 36 GHz and 89 GHz observations, the primary calibrators were Mars and Uranus.

The secondary calibrators, which served as the gain, bandpass, and phase calibrators for the Circinus Galaxy, varied from observation to observation and were variable in time, and include (in descending order of use) sources PKS B1921−293 (bandpass, alternate 22.5 GHz flux), PKS B0823−500 (bandpass, alternate flux), PMN J1355−6326 (phase), PMN J1417−5950 (phase), PKS B1329−665 (bandpass, phase), PKS B1718−649 (phase), PKS B1549−790
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compared to their average or interpolated historical values from calibration monitoring efforts. Importantly, aside from one case at day $\sim 400$, and in spite of sometimes strong intrinsic variability and scintillation effects, the phase calibrators never strayed more than $\approx 20\%$ from their nominal historical values, as shown in Fig. 1. Both source and calibration data were inspected with the aim to flag and remove bad time intervals and channels, time intervals strongly affected by interference, and obvious emission and absorption lines. The calibration tables were applied to the Circinus Galaxy data, from which deconvolved, primary-beam-corrected images were made. Due to the increasing beam size of ATCA with decreasing frequency, we measured flux densities using only the 6 km baselines for frequencies below 4 GHz in order to limit contamination to SN 1996cr from the diffuse emission of the host galaxy. Phase self-calibration was employed when feasible, and only if it led to a substantial improvement in the rms noise. Point-source flux densities (determined from DIFIT or UVFIT) or 3$\sigma$ upper limits (determined from IMSTAT) were then determined for SN 1996cr. These values are provided in Table 1 and shown in Fig. 1. The larger errors on the 15–90 GHz data points reflect greater uncertainties in atmospheric corrections and flux calibrators. Some of the dispersion among data points arise from contamination by water maser emission that was not flagged from the continuum (e.g., Greenhill et al. 1997; McCallum et al. 2007).

The only other strong point source in the field of view is the nucleus of the Circinus Galaxy, which is primarily due to emission from the Compton-thick AGN as well as a compact circumnuclear starburst. Flux measurements from the point-like nucleus were extracted in a manner identical to SN 1996cr. These are shown in Fig. 1 and provided in the Appendix. While the nucleus could vary intrinsically and can additionally be contaminated at long wavelengths by diffuse emission from the extended disk, it at least provides us with a secondary estimate of any systematic error associated with each observation. We find that the majority of measurements of the nucleus lie between 10–30% of its mean total flux in each band, although some observations vary upwards considerably. For instance, at 22.5 GHz there may be possible contamination from water maser emission and varies by a factor of up to four, while at low frequencies the host galaxy contaminates as a function of the beamsize and varies by factors as large as $\sim 10$–50. Thus aside from our previously stated reservations regarding the 15–90 GHz data, we are generally confident in our calibrated fluxes for SN 1996cr.

SN 1996cr was observed on 2009 January 25 with the Molonglo Observatory Synthesis Telescope (MOST) at 843 MHz with a synthesised beam of $43'' \times 43''$ at a position angle of $0^\circ$. The MOST data were reduced and calibrated using a custom process described in Bock et al. (1999). Given the $24''$ north-south separation of SN 1996cr from the bright nucleus of the Circinus Galaxy, the supernova emission was completely blended with that of the galaxy. Fortunately, there were three prior MOST observations of this field with SN 1996cr absent or self-absorbed: 1989 April, 1996 April and 1997 April. Difference images were formed after regridding all images to a common reference frame (see Fig. 2).

A point source fit to each difference image yielded a mean flux density for SN 1996cr of $520 \pm 30$ mJy and a position fully consistent with the Chandra position. Note that the total flux density for the Circinus Galaxy in 1996 was $\sim 2.15 \pm 0.15$ Jy at 843 MHz. Since the flux contribution from the AGN is expected to be minimal (roughly 75 mJy with only 10–30% variability), the observed flux must arise almost exclusively from diffuse component and hence should not vary.

3 MODELLING THE LIGHT CURVE

3.1 Radio Emission

The interaction between the SN ejecta and the CSM results in the formation of a double-shocked structure separated by a contact discontinuity. Charged particles are accelerated to relativistic energies across the shock front, giving rise to non-thermal synchrotron emission in the presence of a strong magnetic field. Electrons, being substantially less massive, are easier to accelerate (in the direction perpendicular to their motion) by the magnetic field, and end up dominating the emission. Their energy distribution is assumed to be a power law of the form $N(E) = K E^{-\gamma}$, where $E$ is the electron energy, $K$ is the normalisation of the distribution, and $\gamma$ is the electron spectral index. Following the prescription given in Chevalier (1982), the synchrotron luminosity $L_\nu$ at frequency $\nu$ is:

$$L_\nu = 4\pi R^2 \Delta R K B^{\alpha+1} \nu^{-\alpha} e^{-\tau} \text{ (erg s}^{-1}\text{Hz}^{-1}),$$

where $\Delta R$ is the thickness of the synchrotron-emitting region at radius $R$, assumed in this case to be the spherically symmetric region encompassing the forward and reverse shocks, $B$ is the magnetic field strength, the radio spectral index is $\alpha = (\gamma - 1)/2$, and $\tau$ is the optical depth.

Radio emission can be absorbed either by synchrotron self-absorption (SSA) or free-free absorption (FFA) from CSM both inside (attenuating backside emission) and outside (attenuating all emission) of the forward shock, leading to telltale low-frequency absorption. We initially assume all of the absorption is due to FFA (see Sec. 3.2 for reasoning) and use the form of the FFA optical depth given in Altenhoff et al. (1960):

$$\tau_{FR} = 8.235 \times 10^{-22} \nu_{GHz}^{-2.1} \int_0^{spc} N_e^{2.6} d_{spc},$$

where $T$ is the temperature of the CSM, $\nu$ is the frequency and $N_e$ is the line-of-sight electron number density. Early absorption can be high due to the strong density gradient in the stellar wind, which should decrease as the shock wave overtakes more of the CSM, resulting in a rapid frequency-dependent turn-on like that observed in the spectra of SN 1996cr in Fig. 3.
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### Table 1. ATCA Radio Observations

| Obs. Date | Days from explosion | Obs. ID | Array | ν (GHz) | Sν (Jy) |
|-----------|---------------------|--------|-------|---------|---------|
| 1995-03-03 | -120                | C204a  | 750A  | —       | —       |
| 1995-03-03 | -120                | C204a  | 750A  | —       | —       |
| 1995-03-30 | -93                 | C363   | 1.5A  | —       | —       |
| 1995-04-16 | -76                 | C418   | 6C    | —       | —       |
| 1995-05-27 | -35                 | C204a  | 1.5B  | —       | —       |
| 1995-05-27 | -35                 | C204a  | 1.5B  | —       | —       |
| 1995-06-07 | -24                 | C204a  | 750C  | —       | —       |
| 1995-06-07 | -24                 | C204a  | 750C  | —       | —       |
| 1995-07-27 | 26                  | C204a  | 6C    | —       | —       |
| 1995-08-03 | 33                  | C363   | 375   | —       | —       |
| 1996-02-06 | 220                 | CT09   | 750B  | —       | —       |
| 1996-06-01 | (1) 397             | C466   | 6C    | —       | —       |
| 1996-12-05 | (2) 523             | C505   | 365   | —       | —       |
| 1996-12-15 | (2) 533             | C586   | 6D    | —       | —       |
| 1996-12-15 | (2) 533             | C586   | 6D    | —       | —       |
| 1996-06-17 | 717                 | V100C  | 6A    | 4800 < 0.3 | 8425 15 ± 0.3 |
| 1996-06-17 | 717                 | V100C  | 6A    | 4800 < 0.3 | 8425 15 ± 0.3 |
| 1996-12-05 | (3) 888             | V099   | 6C    | —       | —       |
| 1996-12-05 | (3) 888             | V099   | 6C    | —       | —       |
| 1996-12-31 | (3) 914             | C694   | 6C    | —       | —       |
| 1996-09-19 | (4) 1449            | C788   | 375   | —       | —       |
| 1996-09-19 | (4) 1499            | C788   | 375   | —       | —       |
| 2000-06-09 | (4) 1815            | V137   | 6B    | —       | —       |
| 2000-06-19 | (4) 1815            | V137   | 6B    | —       | —       |
| 2000-06-19 | (4) 1815            | V137   | 6B    | —       | —       |
| 2002-07-18 | 2574               | V137   | 1.5G  | —       | —       |
| 2002-07-18 | 2574               | V137   | 1.5G  | —       | —       |
| 2002-07-18 | 2574               | V137   | 1.5G  | —       | —       |
| 2002-07-18 | 2574               | V137   | 1.5G  | —       | —       |
| 2003-05-07 | 2990               | C1224  | EW367 | —       | —       |
| 2003-11-03 | (5) 3047            | C1049  | H214  | —       | —       |
| 2003-11-03 | (5) 3047            | C1049  | H214  | —       | —       |
| 2003-11-04 | (5) 3048            | C1049  | 1.5D  | —       | —       |
| 2003-11-04 | (5) 3048            | C1049  | 1.5D  | —       | —       |
| 2004-04-02 | 3198               | C1424  | 6A    | —       | —       |
| 2004-05-20 | 3246               | C685   | 1.5B  | —       | —       |
| 2004-06-13 | 3270               | V157   | 750D  | —       | —       |
| 2004-06-13 | 3270               | V157   | 750D  | —       | —       |
| 2004-08-01 | 3319               | V176B  | H168  | —       | —       |
| 2004-08-01 | 3319               | V176B  | H168  | —       | —       |
| 2005-03-14 | 3544               | C1368  | H214  | —       | —       |
| 2005-04-20 | 3581               | C1368  | 750A  | —       | —       |
| 2005-05-25 | 3616               | C1321  | Hybird | 86032 23.9 ± 6.0 |
| 2005-10-27 | 3771               | C1049  | H168  | —       | —       |
| 2005-10-27 | 3771               | C1049  | H168  | —       | —       |
| 2005-11-13 | 3788               | C1049  | 1.5C  | —       | —       |
| 2006-04-29 | 3955               | C1049  | H214  | —       | —       |
| 2006-04-29 | 3955               | C1049  | H214  | —       | —       |
| 2006-06-08 | 3995               | C114   | 1.5D  | —       | —       |
| 2006-06-08 | 3995               | C114   | 1.5D  | —       | —       |
| 2006-06-21 | 4008               | C1049  | 1.5D  | —       | —       |

| ν Band | Kν-Band | Kν-Band | ν (GHz) | Sν (Jy) |
|--------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| W-Band | —       | —       | —       | —       |
| K-Band | —       | —       | —       | —       |
| X-Band | —       | —       | —       | —       |
| C-Band | —       | —       | —       | —       |
| S-Band | —       | —       | —       | —       |
| L-Band | —       | —       | —       | —       |
| UHF    | —       | —       | —       | —       |
| Obs. Date | Days from explosion | Obs. ID | Array | W-Band | Kα-Band | K-Band | X-Band | C-Band | S-Band | L-Band | UHF |
|-----------|---------------------|--------|-------|--------|---------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|------|
| 2006-09-14 (8) | 4093 | C1341 | H75 | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — |
| 2006-09-14 (8) | 4093 | C1341 | H75 | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — |
| 2007-06-24 | 4376 | VX013A | EW352 | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — |
| 2007-06-24 | 4376 | VX013A | EW352 | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — |
| 2008-04-21 (9) | 4678 | C1803 | 6A | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — |
| 2008-08-16 (9) | 4795 | C1750 | 6B | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — |
| 2008-10-02 (10) | 4842 | C184 | H168 | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — |
| 2008-10-12 (10) | 4852 | C184 | 6A | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — |
| 2008-10-12 (10) | 4852 | C184 | 6A | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — |
| 2008-12-22 (11) | 4923 | C184 | 6C | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — |
| 2009-01-25 (12) | 4957 | MOST | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — |
| 2009-01-28 (12) | 4960 | C184 | 1.5C | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — |
| 2009-04-13 (13) | 5035 | CX172 | H168 | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — |
| 2010-05-05 (14) | 5422 | V253 | H214 | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — |
| 2010-05-07 (14) | 5424 | V253 | H214 | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — |
| 2011-05-09 | 5791 | C2479 | 1.5B | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — |

Note. — Column 1: Starting date of observation. Numbers in parenthesis indicate epochs whose data were combined, as described in Sec. 3.2. Column 2: Days from explosion date. Column 3: Observing program ID. Column 4: Array configuration. Columns 5, 7, 9, 11, 13, 15, 17, and 19: Mean frequency of observed band in units of MHz. Columns 6, 8, 10, 12, 14, 16, 18, and 20: Integrated flux densities, as determined from $\text{imfit}$, or 3σ upper limits, as determined from $\text{imstat}$, in units of mJy. Uncertainties include both statistical and systematic error terms. The systematic error is estimated from the ratio of the measured calibrator fluxes over its estimated historical value based on monitored light curves (typical variance was 5–20%).
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Figure 3. Radio spectra of SN 1996cr for selected epochs indicated in the legend as days after explosion. Flux densities (filled circles) are shown with 1σ error bars (which are smaller than symbols in some cases) or 3σ upper limits (downward arrows). The intrinsic and absorbed spectra are represented by solid and dashed lines respectively, with the latest spectra at the top. For clarity, fluxes are plotted with the multiplicative factors in parenthesis. Early-time spectra show high absorption, mostly affecting the lower frequencies as expected from FFA. The effect of adopting a varying spectral index (see §3.2 and Fig. 4) can be seen in the intrinsic models plotted at each epoch.

3.2 Empirical Radio Properties

A key constraint on the nature of the radio emission is its spectral index. Measuring this for SN 1996cr, however, is non-trivial, since this object was largely observed via serendipitous observations with sparse frequency coverage, while additionally the data during the first 1000 days appear to be heavily absorbed (Fig. 3). In order to deal with the lack of multifrequency observations at each epoch, the available data were grouped together in periods of up to six months where possible, during which the spectral index is assumed to remain constant to within our measurement errors.

A constant spectral index is generally assumed for radio SNe, but the data for SN 1996cr allow us to confront this assumption directly. We note that the late-time data for SN 1996cr, between days ~2800–5000, exhibit minimal absorption, and thus provide relatively strong constraints on the intrinsic spectral index. For epochs with wide frequency coverage, an FFA optical depth and spectral index were computed using the Levenberg-Marquart least-squares method. Limits on the optical depth for epochs with poor low-frequency coverage were set equal to the value from the last well-fit spectrum. These limits are of minimal consequence, as the free-fit spectral indices in epochs where such optical depth limits exist only show marginal variations to changes in the limiting optical depth values. The constraints on the optical depths and the values of the spectral indices are listed in Table 2.

As seen in Fig. 4, well constrained values of the intrinsic spectral index between days ~3000–5000 appear to shift to flatter values at later times, and a weighted linear regression fit (OLS Y|X; Isobe et al. 1990) to the values of the spectral index shows evidence for mild spectral flattening (nominally ~10σ confidence), which we assume to be the intrinsic variation of the spectral index. A constant alpha model, however, cannot be ruled out using χ² or likelihood ratio statistics (only ~1.5σ confidence), leaving doubts about the veracity of the trend. Extrapolation of this trend back to days ~520, ~900 and ~1600–1900 is consistent with the data, although these values are not well constrained due to stronger degeneracies between their spectral indices and absorption.

We characterise the evolution of the intrinsic spectral index of SN 1996cr in a manner similar to that used for SN 1987A in Zanardo et al. (2010). The intrinsic spectral index is given by $\alpha(t) = \alpha_0 + \beta_0 \times (t - t_0)/\Delta$, where $t$ is given in days, $t_0 = 3000$ d, $\Delta = 365.25$ d, $\alpha_0 = 0.852 \pm 0.002$, and $\beta_0 = 0.014 \pm 0.001$. Errors on regression parameters were assessed through jackknife resampling. We extrapolate this regression back to day 400 (see Fig. 4 and rely on the fixed values of the spectral index from the above fit to reassess values of the optical depth that will be used in the subsequent analysis. The specific (fixed) values of the spectral index and the refitted values of the optical depth are also listed in Table 2.

The mild evolution of the spectral index of SN 1996cr is similar to that of the highly monitored SN 1987A (Zanardo et al. 2010). Fig. 4 also shows the spectral index variation for SN 1993J; we note that Weiler et al. (2007) assumed a constant spectral index for this SN, but its spectral index clearly appears to vary based on fig. 4 of Weiler et al. (2007), fig. 7 of Chandra et al. (2004), and fig. 8 of Martí-Vidal et al. (2011). From the data in the ~80–3000 d period from Weiler et al. (2007), a constant spectral model is rejected at a 100% confidence level. We characterise a varying spectral model for SN 1993J as $\alpha = 0.865 \pm 0.003$ at day 800, and a decay rate of $\Delta = -0.036 \pm 0.001$ yr⁻¹.

It is intriguing that the spectral indices of arguably the three best-studied radio SNe all show evidence for spectral flattening with time. This variation runs counter to some theoretical predictions (e.g., Ellison et al. 2004, Berezhko & Ksenofontov 2006, Tatischeff 2009) and clearly warrants further investigation. It is still unclear whether this spectral flattening is a ubiquitous property of young RSNe. For instance, SN 1979C also has a considerable amount of radio data available and appears to remain constant since day 2000 (see fig. 3 of Montes et al. 2000), although difficulties associated with disentangling spectral and CSM variations and the large scatter in measured spectral indices could easily mask subtle spectral changes such as those observed in Fig. 3. SN 1986J, on the other hand, shows strong, complex spectral variations with time. VLBI observations suggest that these variations appear to stem from the flat spectrum of the remnant core brightening as the steep spectrum of the shell fades (Bietenholz et al. 2010).

Thus neither SN 1979C nor SN 1986J provide ‘clean’ examples. Other RSNe, such as SN 1980K and SN 1994I show hints of spectral variations, while SN 1978K, SN 1995N and SN2001ig remain thoroughly ambiguous (Montes et al. 1998, Weiler et al. 2011, Smith et al. 2007, Chandra et al. 2009, Ryder et al. 2004); unfortunately, all are relatively weak radio sources and hence too poorly constrained to provide compelling examples either for or against spectral flattening. Clearly interpreting mild evolutionary trends (e.g., SN 1993J) should be done with caution, given the obvious
...and the acceleration of particles to relativistic energies that dictate the strength of the synchrotron emission. One must therefore appeal to simpler scaling relations that are well understood. Chevalier (1996) has outlined several possibilities for how the synchrotron luminosity parameters might scale, although many other variations may exist. The radiating electron energy density ($\propto K$) and the magnetic energy density ($\propto B^2$) may scale with the thermal energy density ($\propto P$), since these are thought to be built up by high-pressure turbulent motions in the shock, or may also scale with the thermal particle density if a constant fraction of the thermal particle density is injected in the acceleration process. Another possibility is that the magnetic energy density may decrease as the surface area ($B^2 \propto r^{-2}$), as the magnetic field is carried out from the surface of the progenitor via the wind. These simple physical scalings, however, may not provide a complete picture of the likely complex physics involved, as evidenced by the spectral index flattening and the decoupling of the X-ray and radio light curves in SN 1987A, for instance. Although there is a physical basis for the possible energy density scalings, the only SN in which such scaling relations have been successfully constrained (albeit somewhat controversially) is SN 1993J (e.g., Fransson & Björnsson 1998; see also Moduszewski et al. 2001 for alternative viewpoint), where the magnetic energy density was derived independently from SSA through very early monitoring, and radius constraints were provided by VLBI data (e.g., Marcaide et al. 1997; Bartel et al. 2000), thus removing several of the degeneracies that force the need for the scaling relations in the first place. We discuss the results of applying a few particular scaling models in Sec. 4.2.

As mentioned in the previous section, SSA should play a role in the spectral appearance of SN 1996cr at very early times. To incorporate SSA into our radio models in a self-consistent way, we first determined the unabsorbed brightness temperature of SN 1996cr, which is calculated from the simulated shock expansion and the SSA effects (Readhead 1994). Therefore, the radio model is absorbed by this model, and it is presented for a few basic scaling models, and are evaluated by comparing their individual features to those of the observed light curves. These results set the scope for further research on this object.

4 RESULTS

We initially characterise the ionised region surrounding SN 1996cr and compare the radio constraints on the CSM free electron density to the expectations from hydrodynamic simulation. The simulated radio light curves are then presented for a few basic scaling models, and are evaluated by comparing their individual features to those of the observed light curves. These results set the scope for further research on this object.

4.1 Empirical Constraints on the CSM

The constraints on the FFA optical depth allow for the study of the CSM ionisation by providing limits on the density of free electrons. This in turn can be used as a relatively direct cross-check on the simulation density distribution, since the free electron density provides a strong lower limit on the total mass in the CSM assuming full ionisation. For simplicity, we considered a CSM composition of H only, and adopted a scaling factor of 20 (based on the X-ray derived abundances...
quires setting a value of optical depth between two radio epochs (Eq. 2). This can be determined from the difference in the values of the optical depth at that time. The free electron density in front of the shock is assigned to it based on the position of the forward shock presented for SN 1996cr in Dwarkadas et al. (2010).

We compared our results to the CSM composition of H, He and metals and resulting mean molecular weight of the CSM (latest radio epoch) from which to iterate back through the CSM to the innermost shock radius (earliest radio epoch), which we assumed to be \( N_e = 10^4 \, \text{cm}^{-3} \), corresponding to an average value found in H\ii regions. We adopt this value since SN 1996cr appears to reside at the centre of a diffuse H\alpha clump 5–10 pc in diameter (cf. figs. 3 and 5 of Bauer et al. 2008), which is presumably a large extended H\ii region ionised by several massive stars. For simplicity, we assumed that the CSM has a uniform temperature, and investigated a range of initial CSM temperatures between \( T = 10^4–10^6 \, \text{K} \), since these were not directly constrained by the hydrodynamical simulation. This range should be appropriate for a wide range of RSG wind densities (e.g., Fransson & Björnsson 1998 and references therein). Such temperature variations will lead to proportionally higher ionisation fractions, although the radial form appears to remain relatively steady. The shock radii and constraints on \( N_e \) are listed in Table 3 for \( T = 10^4 \, \text{K} \). The number densities of total electrons that are available to be ionised are obtained from the simulation over the same CSM regions delimited by the radio epochs. Finally, the CSM ionisation fraction is calculated directly from the free and total electron densities, and is shown in Fig. 5.

The radio-constrained free electron densities appear fully in line with (i.e., lower than) the total electron densities from the hydrodynamical simulation for temperatures lower than \( 10^5 \, \text{K} \). Overall, the CSM ionisation fraction decreases slightly with radius; this is fully consistent with our expectations, based on recombination after a strong flash ionisation from the SN outburst ionising our adopted CSM density distribution. We are relatively confident in our adopted value of \( N_e \) for the latest radio epoch, as all values lower than \( 5 \times 10^4 \, \text{cm}^{-3} \) appear stable, yielding ionisation fractions that are consistent with the simulation, while values over

### Table 2. Selected epochs with corresponding free-fit optical depth and spectral index, final optical depth, and fixed spectral index.

| Days since explosion | \( \tau_0 \) (K\(^{-1.35}\) pc cm\(^{-6}\)) | Spectral Index | \( \tau_0 \) (K\(^{-1.35}\) pc cm\(^{-6}\)) | Spectral Index |
|----------------------|---------------------------------|---------------|---------------------------------|---------------|
| 397                  | 30.00 †                         | 1.00 †        | 30.00 †                         | 0.95          |
| 530*                 | 28.16 ± 2.56                    | 1.00 †        | 27.07 ± 2.54                    | 0.95          |
| 897*                 | 16.67 ± 4.97                    | 0.87 ± 0.86   | 17.05 ± 0.51                    | 0.93          |
| 1607*                | 3.75 ± 2.11                     | 0.71 ± 0.38   | 4.82 ± 0.16                     | 0.91          |
| 3039*                | 1.87 ± 5.20                     | 0.86 ± 0.13   | 1.66 ± 1.60                     | 0.85          |
| 3270*                | 0.72 ± 0.23                     | 0.83 ± 0.05   | 0.78 ± 0.13                     | 0.84          |
| 3676                 | 0.70 †                          | 0.83 ± 0.04   | 0.70 †                          | 0.83          |
| 4013                 | 0.73 ± 0.18                     | 0.84 ± 0.05   | 0.64 ± 0.06                     | 0.81          |
| 4737*                | 0.39 ± 0.34                     | 0.70 ± 0.08   | 0.70 ± 0.19                     | 0.79          |
| 4849*                | 0.58 ± 0.58                     | 0.80 ± 0.09   | 0.51 ± 0.40                     | 0.78          |
| 4923*                | 0.15 †                          | 0.64 ± 0.12   | 0.46 ± 0.41                     | 0.78          |
| 4959*                | 0.13 ± 0.15                     | 0.67 ± 0.11   | 0.27 ± 0.06                     | 0.78          |
| 5035                 | 0.10 †                          | 0.83 ± 0.20   | 0.10 †                          | 0.77          |
| 5370                 | 0.10 †                          | 0.84 ± 0.09   | 0.10 †                          | 0.76          |

Note. — Only epochs marked with an asterisk are used in the subsequent analysis, as they provide the most reliable constraints on the refitted optical depth. Values marked with † denote instances where the parameter pegged at the reasonable imposed upper or lower limits that we set in the fitting routine; the corresponding parameter (\( \tau_0 \) or spectral index \( \alpha \)) was therefore evaluated at this fixed value and its errors should be regarded with caution.
this tend to drive the ionisation in all shells to significantly higher ionisation fractions.

As an additional check, we obtain the CSM neutral fraction directly from the ionised fraction, and use it to calculate the neutral hydrogen column, which we then compare to the one obtained from spectral fits to the X-ray data. Between 1999 June (∼1450 d) and 2009 January (∼5000 d), the CSM neutral fraction implies a neutral hydrogen column density of $N_{\text{H}} = (9.3 \pm 2.2) \times 10^{20}$ amu cm$^{-2}$, which is roughly consistent with a physical measurement of $N_{\text{H}} = 1.8 \times 10^{21}$ amu cm$^{-2}$ presented in Dwarkadas et al. (2010), based on differential spectral fits to the 2000 and 2009 HETG data. Although there may be a slight difference in the regions being considered for both these column densities, it is perhaps more likely that these values differ as a result of the latter being constrained by model fits to the X-ray spectrum, which can be easily affected by low-energy spectral lines.

We can also crudely estimate the evolution of the CSM ionisation following shock breakout on theoretical grounds to obtain an interesting consistency check with the hydrodynamic simulation. A SN explosion with an average output energy of $\sim 10^{51}$ erg will radiate (0.5–2.3) $\times 10^{57}$ ionising photons during the first 1.5 days (Ensman & Burrows 1992), which at the higher end is sufficient to ionise up to $\sim 2M_\odot$ of material (Lundqvist & Fransson 1996), assuming the CSM is pure H. The CSM mass in the hydrodynamic simulation is about 2.1$M_\odot$, and thus could possibly be almost completely ionised by the shock breakout. We therefore assume that the entire model CSM is initially ionised, which provides an upper bound on the true ionisation of the CSM; the true CSM is likely to be less ionised if it is not distributed in a spherically symmetric manner, has a mass significantly higher than 2$M_\odot$, or the explosion energy is lower. We model the recombination using the initial CSM density distribution from the hydrodynamical simulation (for which we assume a CSM composition of pure H, and scale to a composition of H, He and metals with our assumed scaling factor) and the Hii recombination rates given in Seager et al. (2000), i.e., $\alpha_B = 2.6 \times 10^{-13}$ cm$^3$ s$^{-1}$ for our assumed CSM temperature). As two examples, we note that the CSM densities of free electrons at 0.04 pc (within the shell) and 0.12 pc (within the assumed RSG wind) are $1.5 \times 10^8$ and $6 \times 10^6$ cm$^{-3}$, and will completely recombine in 0.08 and 2.1 yrs, respectively.

The X-ray radiation from the SN shock provides an ongoing source of energy for reionisation of recently recombinant material. To model the amount of ionising photons that the shock injects into the CSM as a function of time, we assume that the ionising photon rate scales linearly with the X-ray light curve. Using the same absorbed non-equilibrium ionisation model adopted in Dwarkadas et al. (2010), we normalised the photon rate to $2.7 \times 10^{48}$ photons s$^{-1}$ on day $\sim 1670$ to match the absorbed photon rate from the 2001 August XMM spectrum. Our simulation shows that as the shock moves through the CSM, it largely reionises the immediate region around it (i.e., the bin it is in), but nothing more. Thus, the vast majority of the line-of-sight ionisation we observe comes from the initial shock-breakout ionisation. The resulting CSM ionisation fraction is shown for comparison in Fig. 5. From this, the theoretical neutral column density in the 1450–5000 d period is $N_{\text{H}} = (9.4 \pm 1.9) \times 10^{20}$ amu cm$^{-2}$, in accord with the value from the HETG 2000–2009 data.

### 4.2 Simulation of the Radio Light Curve

As a test of our methods, we initially computed radio light curves for a hydrodynamic simulation of a self-similar shock expansion to demonstrate that we could correctly reproduce the decline rates of the synchrotron luminosity presented in Chevalier (1998) for the different models therein. We then applied this code to the more complex simulation of Dwarkadas et al. (2010), scaling the simulated light curves to the radio data.

For SN 1996cr there are three conditions that the observations require if the model is to successfully simulate the light curve. (1) Low emission while the ejecta is moving within the sparse cavity, since we have upper limits for this from both X-rays and radio. (2) A sharp increase in flux from $\sim 700$ to $\sim 1000$ d, as the SN ejecta initially impacts and interacts with the dense shell. The fluxes in the 4.8 and 8.5 GHz bands rise together, which indicates that the rise is intrinsic rather than the result of diminishing absorption, since FFA (or SSA for that matter) will affect the bands differently. (3) A flattening of the light curve between days $\sim 1000$ and $\sim 4000$, with the beginning of a decrease/turnover at very late times.

Fig. 6 shows the simulated radio light curves for two different models. The standard model in Chevalier (1998), relating $K$ and $B^2$ to the thermal energy density, proved inadequate in our simulations. It provides a fair match to the luminosity rise around day 700, but the subsequent peak in luminosity decreases too quickly as the forward shock leaves the shell. The second model, which relates $K$ to the thermal particle density and $B^2$ to the surface area, does a better job at fitting the late-time data, but fails however to fit the data from the rise, since the simulated flux around day 900 is almost one order of magnitude lower than the observations. In our model we have assumed that the radio emission is generated from both the forward and reverse shocks, as the forward shock-only light curve resulted in poor fits to the data (i.e., lack of plateau region followed by a sharp falloff).

More complex scalings may allow us to potentially fit the entire radio light curve, but remain ad hoc due to our currently poor understanding of the detailed microphysics that ultimately drives the large-scale radio emission. This
obviously makes it difficult to assess whether the deviations between radio data and models stem from our simplistic approach, which assumes that the emission at all times and all locations arises from both shocks, or alternatively, may still be limited by the hydrodynamic simulation. While the simulation has some difficulty reproducing a similar feature in the X-ray light curve (Dwarkadas et al. 2010), it is able to reproduce the X-ray spectra and light curves, which are more directly and fundamentally tied to physical parameters (e.g., density, emissivity, and ionisation equilibrium), and even allow to separate X-ray emission from the forward shock, which dominates during the first seven years, and reverse shock, which dominates thereafter. Our radio calculations, on the other hand, do not allow this level of accuracy or differentiation.

5 DISCUSSION & CONCLUSIONS

We have presented an up-to-date sequence of radio light curves of SN 1996cr. Using an analytic model that describes the radio emission from SNe, combined with a hydrodynamic simulation of the synchrotron-emitting regions, we simulated the observed radio light curves of SN 1996cr to further constrain the density of the CSM, particularly in the sparse region interior to the shell, for which there are no firm X-ray constraints implemented in the hydrodynamic simulation.

To this end, we explored some basic scalings that relate the hydrodynamics of the shock to the magnetic field and the radiating electron distribution that are required for synchrotron emission. For all of the models studied, we found that the behaviour in certain periods of our simulated radio light curves matched the functional form of our observations relatively well, but invariably failed to match the most tightly constraining temporal features, typically the rise around ~700 d and/or the plateau region between ~2000–5000 d.

The simulation shown on the right panel in Fig. 6 (with $K \propto$ thermal particle density and $B^2 \propto$ surface area) matches the radio light curves reasonably well from a radius of $\approx 0.04$ pc outwards. The different scalings that we studied have their share of assumptions and potential failings. However, the mismatch interior to $\approx 0.04$ pc coincides with the lack of strong constraints on the simulation parameters from the X-ray light curve at similar radii, which may mean that the inner region of the dense shell is not being adequately modelled. To improve the early discrepancies, we need to understand how the magnetic field and accelerated particle distribution actually scale as the SNe shock collides with a dense CSM shell, and/or have the simulation potentially consider (1) a more concentrated shell mass along the inner edge, (2) clumpy structure that could provide modifications to both the ionisation and radio flux, (3) asymmetric mass distribution to spread out/flatten the peak, or (4) modification to the SN parameters (e.g., ejecta density slope, the ejecta mass and explosion energy). Changes to the simulations, however, cannot be too large, since the non-equilibrium ionisation model spectra already match the HETG X-ray spectra well over several epochs.

Geometrical considerations, such as possible asymmetries in the wind, in the SN explosion or in the dense shell, were not included in our calculations. Dewey et al. (2011) recently showed that SN 1996cr might in fact not be spherically symmetric. Nevertheless, our assumption that a 1D hydrodynamic simulation is appropriate to simulate the radio light curve is at least justified by the fact that it also reproduces the broad features of the observed X-ray light curve (Dwarkadas et al. 2010).

Of course, the X-ray emission is thermal, while the radio emission is non-thermal synchrotron. These are very different mechanisms, arising from potentially distinct regions...
and scaling with different physical parameters; the micropysics and mechanics of which are poorly understood or constrained in the radio. Thus we should not be surprised to see a decoupling of the simulated X-ray and radio light curves. Clearly, the physics that generates the X-ray emission is much simpler than that of the radio, as it relates only to the density, emissivity, and ionisation (in)equilibrium of the emitting material. This close link between the hydrodynamical parameters of the shock and a correct simulation of the observed X-ray light curve lends more support to the hydrodynamic simulation as accurately descriptive of the dynamics of the shock. We attempted to simulate the radio light curve using simple assumptions for the magnetic field and the electron distribution, which do not appear to be appropriate for complex objects such as SN 1996cr. The use of simple scaling relations, combined with hydrodynamic simulations, also failed to adequately reproduce the sharp rise and subsequent linear increase of radio emission in SN 1987A (Dwarkadas [2007b]). These are precautions to be considered when trying to constrain the circumstellar density of these objects using simple radio models, because even if an X-ray-based density model is available, it may not be able to accurately model the radio light curve while avoiding the degeneracies that arise in trying to determine viable density scalings.

SN 1996cr remains quite bright at nearly all wavelengths, and future radio and X-ray monitoring will be able to constrain the apparent decline, which should place stronger constraints on the outer wind and CSM density distribution. Future monitoring with the LBA and ALMA will be able to resolve the radio morphology of SN 1996cr in greater detail to constrain its spatial expansion (i.e., current velocity) and emission regions.
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6 APPENDIX

Below we provide flux density tables for the calibrators and nucleus measurements (Tables A1 and A2 respectively) which are shown in the lower panels of Fig. 1. These were reduced in the same manner as the flux densities of SN 1996cr, and demonstrate that the variability we see is real. The full tables are available in the online journal.
### Table A1. Calibrators

| Obs. Date | Obs. ID | Calibrator | W-Band $\nu$ | $S_\nu$ | K$_\alpha$-Band $\nu$ | $S_\nu$ | K-Band $\nu$ | $S_\nu$ | X-Band $\nu$ | $S_\nu$ | C-Band $\nu$ | $S_\nu$ | S-Band $\nu$ | $S_\nu$ | L-Band $\nu$ | $S_\nu$ |
|-----------|--------|------------|-------------|--------|----------------------|--------|-------------|--------|--------------|--------|--------------|--------|--------------|--------|--------------|--------|
| 1995-03-03 | C204a | 1329-665 | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — |
| 1995-03-03 | C204a | 1329-665 | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — |
| 1995-03-30 | C363 | 1329-665 | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — |
| 1995-04-16 | C418 | 1329-665 | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — |
| 1995-05-27 | C204a | 1329-665 | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — |
| 1995-05-27 | C204a | 1329-665 | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — |
| 1995-06-07 | C204a | 1329-665 | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — |
| 1995-06-07 | C204a | 1329-665 | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — |
| 1995-07-27 | C204a | 1329-665 | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — |
| 1995-08-03 | C363 | 1329-665 | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — |
| 1996-02-06 | CT09 | 1718-649 | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — |
| 1996-08-01 | C466 | 1329-664 | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — |
| 1996-12-05 | C505 | 1326-684 | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — |
| 1996-12-15 | C586 | 1549-790 | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — |
| 1996-12-15 | C586 | 1549-790 | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — |
| 1997-06-17 | V100C | 1045-62 | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — |
| 1997-06-17 | V100C | 1045-62 | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — |
| 1997-12-05 | V099 | 1104-445 | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — |
| 1997-12-05 | V099 | 1104-445 | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — |
| 1997-12-31 | C694 | 1414-59 | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — |
| 1999-06-19 | C788 | 1329-665 | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — |
| 1999-06-19 | C788 | 1329-665 | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — |
| 2000-06-19 | V137 | 1424-418 | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — |
| 2000-06-19 | V137 | 1424-418 | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — |
| 2000-06-19 | V137 | 1424-418 | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — |
| 2000-06-19 | V137 | 1424-418 | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — |
| 2000-06-19 | V137 | 1424-418 | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — |
| 2002-07-18 | V137 | 1610-771 | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — |
| 2002-07-18 | V137 | 1610-771 | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — |
| 2002-07-18 | V137 | 1610-771 | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — |
| 2002-07-18 | V137 | 1610-771 | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — |
| 2003-07-07 | C1224 | 1414-59 | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — |
| 2003-11-03 | C1049 | 1414-59 | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — |
| 2003-11-03 | C1049 | 1414-59 | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — |
| 2003-11-03 | C1049 | 1414-59 | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — |
| 2003-11-04 | C1049 | 1414-59 | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — |
| 2003-11-04 | C1049 | 1414-59 | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — |
| 2003-11-04 | C1049 | 1414-59 | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — |
| 2003-11-08 | C1049 | 1414-59 | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — |
| 2004-04-02 | C1424 | 1338-58 | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — |
| 2004-05-20 | CX065 | 1414-59 | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — |

Notes: ±15.1, ±16.7, ±17.3, ±18.0, ±18.0, ±18.0, ±18.0, ±19.4, ±20.2, ±25.0.
| Obs. Date | Obs. ID | Calibrator | W-Band \( \nu \) \( S_\nu \) | K\(_\alpha\)-Band \( \nu \) \( S_\nu \) | K-Band \( \nu \) \( S_\nu \) | X-Band \( \nu \) \( S_\nu \) | C-Band \( \nu \) \( S_\nu \) | S-Band \( \nu \) \( S_\nu \) | L-Band \( \nu \) \( S_\nu \) |
|-----------|---------|------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| 2004-06-13 | V176 | 1414-59 | — — | — — | — — | — — | — — | — — | — — |
| 2004-06-13 | V176 | 1414-59 | — — | — — | — — | — — | — — | — — | — — |
| 2004-08-01 | V176B | 1414-59 | — — | — — | — — | — — | — — | — — | — — |
| 2004-08-01 | V176B | 1414-59 | — — | — — | — — | — — | — — | — — | — — |
| 2005-03-14 | Cl1368 | 1414-59 | — — | — — | — — | — — | — — | — — | — — |
| 2005-04-20 | Cl1368 | 1414-59 | — — | — — | — — | — — | — — | — — | — — |
| 2005-10-27 | Cl1049 | 1414-59 | — — | — — | — — | — — | — — | — — | — — |
| 2005-10-27 | Cl1049 | 1414-59 | — — | — — | — — | — — | — — | — — | — — |
| 2005-11-13 | Cl1049 | 1414-59 | — — | — — | — — | — — | — — | — — | — — |
| 2006-04-29 | Cl1049 | 1414-59 | — — | — — | — — | — — | — — | — — | — — |
| 2006-04-29 | Cl1049 | 1414-59 | — — | — — | — — | — — | — — | — — | — — |
| 2006-06-08 | CX114 | 1352-63 | — — | — — | — — | — — | — — | — — | — — |
| 2006-06-08 | CX114 | 1352-63 | — — | — — | — — | — — | — — | — — | — — |
| 2006-06-21 | Cl1049 | 1414-59 | — — | — — | — — | — — | — — | — — | — — |
| 2006-09-14 | Cl1341 | 1329-665 | — — | — — | — — | — — | — — | — — | — — |
| 2006-09-14 | Cl1341 | 1329-665 | — — | — — | — — | — — | — — | — — | — — |
| 2007-03-22 | VT10C3 | 1352-63 | — — | — — | — — | — — | — — | — — | — — |
| 2007-06-24 | VX013A | 1352-63 | — — | — — | — — | — — | — — | — — | — — |
| 2007-06-24 | VX013A | 1352-63 | — — | — — | — — | — — | — — | — — | — — |
| 2008-04-21 | Cl1803 | 1352-63 | — — | — — | — — | — — | — — | — — | — — |
| 2008-06-16 | Cl1750 | 1352-63 | — — | — — | — — | — — | — — | — — | — — |
| 2008-10-02 | Cl184 | 1352-63 | — — | — — | — — | — — | — — | — — | — — |
| 2008-10-12 | Cl184 | 1352-63 | — — | — — | — — | — — | — — | — — | — — |
| 2008-10-12 | Cl184 | 1329-665 | — — | — — | — — | — — | — — | — — | — — |
| 2008-12-22 | Cl184 | 1352-63 | — — | — — | — — | — — | — — | — — | — — |
| 2009-01-28 | Cl184 | 1352-63 | — — | — — | — — | — — | — — | — — | — — |
| 2009-02-16 | Cl184 | 1352-63 | — — | — — | — — | — — | — — | — — | — — |
| 2009-04-13 | CX172 | 1352-63 | — — | — — | — — | — — | — — | — — | — — |
| 2010-01-16 | Cl1726 | 1352-63 | — — | — — | — — | — — | — — | — — | — — |
| 2010-05-05 | V253 | 1352-63 | — — | — — | — — | — — | — — | — — | — — |
| 2010-05-07 | V253 | 1352-63 | — — | — — | — — | — — | — — | — — | — — |
| 2011-05-09 | C2479 | 1329-665 | — — | — — | — — | — — | — — | — — | — — |
| 2011-05-09 | C2479 | 1329-665 | — — | — — | — — | — — | — — | — — | — — |

Note. — Column 1: Starting date of observation. Column 2: Observing program ID. Column 3: Calibrator. Columns 4, 6, 8, 10, 12, 14, and 16: Mean frequency of observed band in units of MHz. Columns 5, 7, 9, 11, 13, 15, and 17: Integrated flux densities in units of mJy as measured by UVIT in Miriad.
| Obs. Date   | Obs. ID  | W-Band $\nu$ | K$_\nu$-Band $\nu$ | K-Band $\nu$ | X-Band $\nu$ | C-Band $\nu$ | S-Band $\nu$ | L-Band $\nu$ |
|------------|----------|--------------|---------------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
|            |          | (1)  | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) | (9) | (10) | (11) | (12) | (13) | (14) | (15) | (16) |
| 1995-01-20 | C363     | —    | —   | —   | —   | —   | —   | —   | —   | 8640 | 22.7 ± 9.0 | 4800 | 44.9 ± 4.3 | —   | —   | —   | 1418 | 45.8 ± 2.9 |
| 1995-01-20 | C363     | —    | —   | —   | —   | —   | —   | —   | —   | 8640 | 37.4 ± 9.2 | 4800 | 45.5 ± 4.4 | —   | —   | —   | 1418 | 65.0 ± 3.1 |
| 1995-03-03 | C204a    | —    | —   | —   | —   | —   | —   | —   | —   | 8640 | 41.1 ± 11.0 | 4800 | 48.8 ± 4.9 | —   | —   | —   | 1418 | 64.3 ± 2.3 |
| 1995-05-27 | C204a    | —    | —   | —   | —   | —   | —   | —   | —   | 8640 | 48.0 ± 12.0 | 4800 | 48.6 ± 4.9 | —   | —   | —   | 1418 | 62.8 ± 1.0 |
| 1995-05-27 | C204a    | —    | —   | —   | —   | —   | —   | —   | —   | 8640 | 48.7 ± 12.0 | 4800 | 43.2 ± 4.3 | —   | —   | —   | 1418 | 62.8 ± 1.0 |
| 1995-06-07 | C204a    | —    | —   | —   | —   | —   | —   | —   | —   | 8640 | 49.1 ± 1.2 | 4800 | 42.9 ± 4.3 | —   | —   | —   | 1418 | 52.4 ± 3.0 |
| 1995-07-27 | C204c    | —    | —   | —   | —   | —   | —   | —   | —   | 8640 | 114.0 ± 20.0 | 4800 | 83.3 ± 1.7 | —   | —   | —   | 1664 | 56.5 ± 1.0 |
| 1995-08-03 | C363     | —    | —   | —   | —   | —   | —   | —   | —   | 8512 | 55.0 ± 5.5 | 4928 | 48.1 ± 4.8 | —   | —   | —   | 1384 | 50.5 ± 1.0 |
| 1996-02-06 | CT09     | —    | —   | —   | —   | —   | —   | —   | —   | 8512 | 56.4 ± 5.6 | 4928 | 48.6 ± 4.9 | —   | —   | —   | 1384 | 50.5 ± 1.0 |
| 1996-08-01 | C466     | —    | —   | —   | —   | —   | —   | —   | —   | 8425 | 87.7 ± 16.0 | —   | —   | —   | —   | —   | —   |
| 1996-12-05 | C505     | —    | —   | —   | —   | —   | —   | —   | —   | 8425 | 76.9 ± 5.0 | —   | —   | —   | —   | —   | —   |
| 1996-12-15 | C586     | —    | —   | —   | —   | —   | —   | —   | —   | 8425 | 82.6 ± 7.0 | —   | —   | —   | —   | —   | —   |
| 1997-06-17 | V100C    | —    | —   | —   | —   | —   | —   | —   | —   | 8425 | 82.6 ± 7.0 | —   | —   | —   | —   | —   | —   |
| 1997-06-17 | V100C    | —    | —   | —   | —   | —   | —   | —   | —   | 8425 | 76.9 ± 5.0 | —   | —   | —   | —   | —   | —   |
| 1997-12-05 | V099     | —    | —   | —   | —   | —   | —   | —   | —   | 8425 | 76.9 ± 5.0 | —   | —   | —   | —   | —   | —   |
| 1999-06-19 | C788     | —    | —   | —   | —   | —   | —   | —   | —   | 6024 | 80.8 ± 7.0 | 4800 | 67.5 ± 4.7 | —   | —   | —   | 1418 | 48.4 ± 4.0 |
| 1999-06-19 | C788     | —    | —   | —   | —   | —   | —   | —   | —   | 8256 | 98.2 ± 6.3 | 5056 | 78.0 ± 8.5 | —   | —   | —   | 1418 | 54.5 ± 4.0 |
Table A2 (cont’d)

| Obs. Date |Obs. ID | W-Band | K-Band | X-Band | C-Band | S-Band | L-Band |
|----------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
|          |       | ν S₀ | ν S₀ | ν S₀ | ν S₀ | ν S₀ | ν S₀ |
| (1)      | (2)   | (3)  | (4)  | (5)  | (6)  | (7)  | (8)  |
| 2004-04-02 | C1424 | —— | —— | —— | —— | —— | —— |
| 2004-05-20 | CX065 | —— | —— | —— | —— | —— | —— |
| 2004-06-13 | V176  | —— | —— | —— | —— | —— | —— |
| 2004-08-01 | V176B | —— | —— | —— | —— | —— | —— |
| 2005-03-14 | C1368 | —— | —— | —— | —— | —— | —— |
| 2005-04-20 | C1368 | —— | —— | —— | —— | —— | —— |
| 2005-05-25 | C1321 | 88632 | 40.0 ± 7.0 | —— | —— | —— | —— |
| 2005-10-27 | C1349 | —— | —— | —— | —— | —— | —— |
| 2005-11-13 | C1349 | —— | —— | —— | —— | —— | —— |
| 2006-04-29 | C1349 | —— | —— | —— | —— | —— | —— |
| 2006-04-20 | C1349 | —— | —— | —— | —— | —— | —— |
| 2006-05-28 | C1349 | —— | —— | —— | —— | —— | —— |
| 2006-06-21 | C1349 | —— | —— | —— | —— | —— | —— |
| 2006-07-22 | C1349 | —— | —— | —— | —— | —— | —— |
| 2006-08-03 | C1349 | —— | —— | —— | —— | —— | —— |
| 2006-08-15 | C1349 | —— | —— | —— | —— | —— | —— |
| 2006-10-27 | C1349 | —— | —— | —— | —— | —— | —— |
| 2006-11-13 | C1349 | —— | —— | —— | —— | —— | —— |
| 2007-06-24 | VX013A | —— | —— | —— | —— | —— | —— |
| 2007-06-24 | VX013A | —— | —— | —— | —— | —— | —— |
| 2008-04-21 | C1803 | —— | —— | —— | —— | —— | —— |
| 2008-08-16 | C1750 | —— | —— | —— | —— | —— | —— |
| 2008-10-02 | C184  | —— | —— | —— | —— | —— | —— |
| 2008-10-12 | C184  | —— | —— | —— | —— | —— | —— |
| 2008-12-22 | C184  | —— | —— | —— | —— | —— | —— |
| 2009-01-28 | C184  | —— | —— | —— | —— | —— | —— |
| 2009-04-13 | C172A | —— | —— | —— | —— | —— | —— |
| 2010-01-16 | C172A | —— | —— | —— | —— | —— | —— |
| 2010-01-21 | C184  | —— | —— | —— | —— | —— | —— |
| 2010-05-05 | V253  | —— | —— | —— | —— | —— | —— |
| 2010-05-07 | V253  | —— | —— | —— | —— | —— | —— |
| 2011-05-09 | 1.5B  | —— | —— | —— | —— | —— | —— |
| 2011-05-09 | 1.5B  | —— | —— | —— | —— | —— | —— |

Note. — Column 1: Starting date of observation. Column 2: Observing program ID. Columns 3, 5, 7, 9, 11, 13, and 15: Mean frequency of observed band in units of MHz. Columns 4, 6, 7, 10, 12, 14, and 16: Integrated flux densities in units of mJy as measured by uvfit or imfit in miriad.