Poor semen parameters are associated with abnormal methylation of imprinted genes in sperm DNA
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Abstract

Background. Altered sperm DNA methylation patterns of imprinted genes as well as certain spermatogenesis-related genes has been proposed as a possible mechanism of male subfertility. Some reports suggest that there is an elevated risk of congenital diseases, associated with imprinted genes, in children conceived via intra-cytoplasmic sperm injection, due to the involvement of spermatozoa with aberrant imprinted genes obtained from infertile men.

Methods. In this study, the DNA methylation status of the promoter regions of six imprinted genes, namely potassium voltage-gated channel subfamily Q member 1 (KCNQ1), maternally expressed gene 3 (MEG3), insulin-like growth factor 2 (IGF-2), KCNQ1 overlapping transcript 1 (KCNQ1OT1), mesoderm specific transcript (MEST), and paternally expressed gene 3 (PEG3), were detected by a next generation sequencing-based multiple methylation-specific polymerase chain reaction analysis of sperm samples obtained from 166 men who sought fertility evaluation in our Reproductive Medicine Center. Thereafter, the semen samples were classified into subgroups according to sperm motility and DNA integrity status.

Results. As compared to the normozoospermic group, the samples of the asthenospermic group exhibited significant hypermethylation in two CpG sites of IGF-2 and significant hypomethylation in one CpG site of KCNQ1 as well as three CpG sites of MEST (P < 0.05). However, we did not observe any significant differences in the overall methylation levels of these six imprinted genes (P > 0.05). Additionally, we found that 111 of 323 CpG sites were hypomethylated in the group with DNA fragmentation index (DFI) ≥ 30% as compared to the group with DFI < 30% (P < 0.05). In this case, there were significant differences in the overall methylation levels of MEG3, IGF-2, MEST, and PEG3 (P < 0.05), but not in that of KCNQ1OT1 and KCNQ1 (P > 0.05). Hence, aberrant methylation patterns of imprinted genes were more prevalent in males with poor sperm quality, especially in those with severe sperm DNA damage.

Conclusion. In conclusion, abnormal DNA methylation of some CpG sites of imprinted genes are associated with poor sperm quality, including asthenospermia and severe sperm DNA impairment.
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Background
During spermatogenesis, as the sperm cells mature, they undergo a series of specific epigenomic modifications to ensure proper chromatin condensation [1]. The gamete-imprinted genes are crucial for embryonic as well as placental development and growth [2]. Previously, some studies have demonstrated that acquired traits in male mice can induce epigenetic changes in their sperms, which, in turn, can influence their offspring’s physiology [3, 4]. In fact, there are some reports suggesting that children conceived via assisted reproductive technologies (ART) have an elevated risk of suffering from congenital imprinting diseases [5–7], and this might be due to the aberrant imprinting in spermatozoa of infertile men [8]. Therefore, it has been proposed that the semen quality of patients with idiopathic infertility is associated with abnormal DNA methylation of imprinted genes.

Previously, several studies have focused on the role of epigenetics in spermatogenesis and male infertility [9–11], especially the relationship between improper DNA methylation of imprinted genes in sperms and semen parameters [11–13]. Till date, several genes carrying defective methylations have been associated with poor semen parameters and population with reduced fertility. Two such genes that have been studied the most are the paternally imprinted H19 gene and the maternally imprinted mesoderm specific transcript (MEST) gene [10, 14, 15]. A meta-analysis reported that as compared to the H19 methylations in the sperm DNAs of fertile men, there was almost ten-fold higher risk ratio of aberrant H19 methylation in the sperm DNAs of infertile men [16]. Likewise, methylation defects in three maternally imprinted genes have been identified in sperm DNAs of oligospermia patients [17–20]. Additionally, hypermethylation of MEST, paternally expressed gene 3 (PEG3), and zinc finger protein which regulates apoptosis and cell cycle arrest (ZAC) genes has been detected in spermatozoa of male partners from couples experiencing recurrent pregnancy loss [21]. Indeed, the improper methylation of the paternally imprinted potassium voltage-gated channel subfamily Q member 1 (KCNQ1) gene, insulin-like growth factor 2 (IGF-2) gene and KCNQ1 overlapping transcript 1 (KCNQ1OT1) genes have been found to be associated with oligozoospermia and asthenospermia in infertile males [22]. Incidentally, imprinting errors may be related to the sperm DNA integrity status. KCNQ1 and IGF-2 have also been documented to be associated with serious sperm DNA damage in infertile males [23].

Interestingly, our previous study has shown that imprinting genes are associated with relatively higher rates of differentially methylated CpG sites than non-imprinting genes in case of patients with sperm DNA damage [12]. Until now, studies have largely focused on the analysis of only a few imprinted genes and a small fraction of genomic CpG sites. Hence, in this study, we aimed to investigate the correlation between poor semen parameters and the DNA methylation status of the promoter regions of candidate imprinted genes in human semen samples. The DNA methylation status of CpG sites within the promoter regions of six imprinted genes, namely KCNQ1, paternally expressed 3 (MEG3), IGF-2, KCNQ1OT1, MEST, and PEG3, were examined by bisulfite sequencing of sperm DNAs obtained from 166 men.

Materials and methods
Semen samples
Semen samples were collected from 166 males who sought fertility evaluation at the Reproductive Medicine Center of the First Affiliated Hospital of Anhui Medical University between November 2017 and May 2019. Individuals with a history of heavy smoking, alcohol consumption, or direct exposure to environmental pollutants were excluded from the study. This study was approved by the Ethical Review Board of The First Affiliated Hospital of Anhui Medical University.

Semen parameters analysis by computer-assisted sperm analysis
All semen samples were collected by masturbation into sterile containers after 3–7 days of sexual abstinence. Conventional semen parameters, including semen volume, sperm concentration, and progressive motility rate (PR), were determined using computer-assisted sperm analysis (CASA), according to the World Health Organization guidelines [24]. For this study, only the semen samples with the following characteristics were selected: semen volume ≥1.5 mL, sperm concentrations ≥15 × 10⁶ cells/mL, PR ≥10%, and white blood cell count ≤10⁶ cells/mL, as observed by light microscopy. A total of 74 men with mild-to-moderate asthenozoospermia (PR, 10–32%; asthenozoospermia group) and 92 with normal semen characteristics (normozoospermia group) were included.

Measurement of DNA fragmentation using sperm chromatin structure assay
The DNA integrity status of the sperm samples was assessed by sperm chromatin structure assay (SCSA), following the manufacturer’s recommendations [25]. Initially, the sperm samples were exposed to an acidic detergent solution, followed by an acridine orange staining. The fluorescence patterns were assessed using a
BD FACScan flow cytometer (Becton Dickinson, San Jose, CA, USA). Red fluorescence indicated single or double strand breaks of DNA and green fluorescence represented normal intact DNA. Sperm DNA Fragmentation index (DFI) of the sperm samples can be calculated based on the ratio of red to total fluorescence intensity. Subsequently, the samples were also divided into two groups according to sperm DFI, namely low DFI group (DFI≤30%) and severely sperm DNA impaired group (DFI>30%).

Sperm DNA isolation and sodium bisulfite treatment
We washed the semen samples twice with phosphate buffered saline to remove as many somatic cells as possible, and treated the semen samples by density gradient centrifugation. Each sample was then tested for round-cell contamination and, if somatic contamination was identified, the entire sample was treated with swimming-up techniques prior to genomic DNA isolation. Genomic DNA was then extracted by the commercial DNA kit (Qiagen Inc., Germany), according to protocol of the manufacturer. Nanodrop 2000 (Thermo Scientific) was used to estimate the purity and concentration of the isolated DNA. Subsequently, 500 ng of genomic DNA from each sample was treated with sodium bisulfite using the EZ DNA methylation-Gold Kit (Zymo Research, USA), following standard protocol of the manufacturer.

DNA methylation analysis
The methylation status of the promoter regions of the six selected imprinted genes (KCNQ1, MEG3, IGF-2, KCNQ1OT1, MEST and PEG3) in the sperm DNA was detected by a next generation sequencing (NGS)-based multiple methylation-specific polymerase chain reaction (PCR) analysis (MethyTarget™, Genesky Biotechnologies Inc., Shanghai, China). We analyzed a total of 323 CpG sites by the targeted bisulfite sequencing, following the manufacturer’s instructions. The information of the six genes and CpG sites were shown in Table 1. Multiplex PCR was executed with primers projected by MethiPrimer (http://www.urogene.org/) to observe the transformed sequences (Table S1). The PCR amplification and the high-throughput bisulfite sequencing with the Illumina MiSeq sequencing platform (Illumina, San Diego, CA, USA) were carried out, following our previously depicted protocols. The data obtained after sequencing were prepared, filtered, and aligned applying the BiQ Analyzer HT software, as stated by protocols of the producer.

Statistical analysis
SPSS software (version 22.0; SPSS Inc., USA) was employed to analyze the collected data. The Mann-Whitney test, parametric, and nonparametric tests were used to describe the test results following assessment of the normal distribution. The results of the methylation analysis are expressed as mean±standard deviation (S.D.). Statistical significance was set at $P<0.05$.

Results
Clinical data
The semen parameters and clinical features of the 166 men participating in this study are listed in Table 2. There were no statistically significant differences between the mean ages of the men included in the asthenospermia and normozoospermia groups (31.35±4.35 y and 31.68±3.64 y, respectively; $P>0.05$). Moreover, the semen volume, sperm concentration, and DFI were also similar for both the groups, with no significant differences ($P>0.05$). The mean PR of sperms in the samples of the

| Items              | Normozoospermia (n = 92) | Asthenospermia (n = 74) | $P$  | $DFI \leq 30\%$ (n = 119) | $DFI > 30\%$ (n = 47) | $P$  |
|-------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------|------|---------------------------|-----------------------|------|
| Age(years)        | 31.68±3.64               | 31.35±3.43              | NS   | 31.28±3.88                | 32.19±4.14            | NS   |
| Semen volume(ml)  | 3.94±1.38                | 3.79±0.17               | NS   | 3.80±1.29                 | 4.09±1.67             | NS   |
| Sperm concentration(*10^6/mL) | 92.51±71.06             | 75.29±57.66             | NS   | 87.87±68.59               | 77.15±58.15           | NS   |
| Progressive Motility(%) | 51.62±11.82             | 23.79±6.69              | <0.01| 42.36±18.50               | 31.25±8.12            | NS   |
| DFI(%)            | 18.78±10.50              | 21.38±13.32             | NS   | 13.42±5.47                | 37.03±6.39            | <0.01|

DFI, DNA fragmentation index; NS, not significant. Patients clinical characteristics are expressed as mean and standard deviations and analysed by $t$ test.

Table 1 The information of CpG sites for the 6 selected genes

| Gene      | Chr | Cpg islands | Detected CpG sites | Paternal/Maternal imprinted |
|-----------|-----|-------------|--------------------|-----------------------------|
| KCNQ1     | 11  | 1           | 27                 | paternal                    |
| MEG3      | 14  | 3           | 35                 | paternal                    |
| IGF-2     | 11  | 4           | 105                | maternal                    |
| KCNQ1OT1  | 11  | 2           | 41                 | maternal                    |
| MEST      | 7   | 5           | 96                 | maternal                    |
| PEG3      | 19  | 1           | 19                 | maternal                    |

Chr: Chromosome

Table 2 Clinical characteristics and semen parameters in the study

| Items              | Normozoospermia (n = 92) | Asthenospermia (n = 74) | $P$  | $DFI \leq 30\%$ (n = 119) | $DFI > 30\%$ (n = 47) | $P$  |
|-------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------|------|---------------------------|-----------------------|------|
| Age(years)        | 31.68±3.64               | 31.35±3.43              | NS   | 31.28±3.88                | 32.19±4.14            | NS   |
| Semen volume(ml)  | 3.94±1.38                | 3.79±0.17               | NS   | 3.80±1.29                 | 4.09±1.67             | NS   |
| Sperm concentration(*10^6/mL) | 92.51±71.06             | 75.29±57.66             | NS   | 87.87±68.59               | 77.15±58.15           | NS   |
| Progressive Motility(%) | 51.62±11.82             | 23.79±6.69              | <0.01| 42.36±18.50               | 31.25±8.12            | NS   |
| DFI(%)            | 18.78±10.50              | 21.38±13.32             | NS   | 13.42±5.47                | 37.03±6.39            | <0.01|
asthenospermia group (23.79±6.69%) was significantly lower (P<0.01) than that in the normozoospermia group (51.62±11.82%). Interestingly, when these 166 semen samples were classified according to their DFI values into either the sperm DNA damage group or the normal group, there were no significant differences with respect to the age of the men, their semen volume, sperm concentration, and PR rate. The DFI was significantly higher (P<0.01) in the DNA damage group (37.03±6.39%) as compared to that of the normal group (13.42±5.47%).

**Table 3** The DNA Methylation levels of selected candidate genes in the mild asthenospermia group and normozoospermia group

| Gene  | Position | Case Value | Control Value | P    |
|-------|----------|------------|---------------|------|
| IGF-2 | 169      | 0.014±0.007| 0.011±0.006   | 0.025|
| IGF-2 | 55       | 0.007±0.004| 0.006±0.003   | 0.018|
| KCNQ1 | 29       | 0.004±0.006| 0.007±0.009   | 0.017|
| MEST  | 37       | 0.012±0.006| 0.014±0.007   | 0.035|
| MEST  | 58       | 0.007±0.004| 0.009±0.007   | 0.028|
| MEST  | 186      | 0.007±0.007| 0.011±0.010   | 0.004|

Case:asthenospermia group; Control:normozoospermia group; Bold values was statistically significant (P<0.05)

The CpG site methylation of imprinted genes in patients with severely impaired sperm DNA

Among the 323 detected CpG sites, the methylation levels of 111 CpG sites in 5 genes (MEG3, IGF-2, KCNQ1OT1, MEST, and PEG3) were significantly different between the individuals with low DFI group and those with severely impaired sperm DNA (Table 4, P<0.05). No significant differences were found in the remaining 212 CpG sites. The average rate of differentially methylated positions (DMPs) was 34.37%. The three genes with the highest DMP percentages were PEG3, MEG3, and KCNQ1OT1 (100%, 62.9%, and 51.2%, respectively). The average value of all CpG sites in the gene promoter regions was considered as the overall methylation value of each gene. Notably, IGF-2, MEG3, MEST, and PEG3 showed significant differences in the overall methylation levels among the detected genes (P<0.05).

**Discussion**

The methylation status of a quantity of imprinted genes of the sperm DNA has been investigated concerning reproductive dysfunction and/or impaired spermatogenesis in males [26]. In fact, imbalances in the methylation patterns have been detected in case of both paternally and maternally imprinted genes in the sperm DNA derived from infertile males with abnormal semen parameters [10]. Therefore, the role of DNA methylation in male infertility and spermatogenesis deserves further attention.

In this study, we examined the DNA methylation status of CpG sites in the promoter regions of two paternally imprinted genes, namely KCNQ1 and MEG3, and four maternally imprinted genes, namely IGF-2, KCNQ1OT1, MEST, and PEG3, by employing deep bisulfite sequencing of the target genes. First, we analyzed the differential methylation between sperm DNA samples obtained from males with mild to moderate asthenospermia and normozoospermia. Only 6 out of 323 detected CpG sites were found to be significantly different between the two groups. We identified CpG sites associated with three imprinted genes, namely IGF-2, MEST, and KCNQ1, displaying aberrant methylation, but there were no alterations in the imprinted genes MEG3, KCNQ1OT1, and PEG3. Our results suggest that DNA methylation status

**Table 4** The DNA Methylation levels of selected candidate genes in patients with severely impaired sperm DNA.

| Gene   | CpG Sites | DMP | DMP (%) | Case Value | Control Value | P Value |
|--------|-----------|-----|---------|------------|---------------|---------|
| IGF-2  | 105       | 16  | 15.2    | 0.009±0.002| 0.010±0.004   | 0.029   |
| KCNQ1  | 27        | 0   | 0       | 0.007±0.002| 0.007±0.002   | 0.321   |
| KCNQ1OT1| 41       | 21  | 51.2    | 0.040±0.036| 0.055±0.064   | 0.066   |
| MEG3   | 35        | 22  | 62.9    | 0.027±0.027| 0.043±0.066   | 0.026   |
| MEST   | 96        | 33  | 34.4    | 0.030±0.031| 0.045±0.064   | 0.043   |
| PEG3   | 19        | 19  | 100     | 0.027±0.029| 0.045±0.063   | 0.014   |

Case:severely impaired sperm DNA group (DFI>30%); Control:low DFI group (DFI≤30%); Bold values was statistically significant (P<0.05)
may be associated with the poor semen parameters in infertile males. This hypothesis was further confirmed in the subsequent analysis. We observed that aberrant methylation patterns of imprinted genes were more prevalent in patients with severely impaired sperm DNA integrity status. The imprinted genes PEG3, MEG3, and KCNQ1OT1 presented a very high proportion of differential methylation, each with >50% DMPs in the detected CpG sites. Although there have been indications that the spermatozoa of infertile males can carry imprinting defects, a relatively small sample size patient cohort was investigated. Generally, our results are in accord with preceding studies that show that sperm DNA methylation patterns are correlated with sperm quality parameters [11–20].

Abnormal genomic imprinting may lead to inappropriate gene transcription or gene repression. The accurate expression of imprinted genes is responsible for embryo development, fetal growth, and behavioral syndromes [28]. Moreover, the aberrant DNA methylation status of the imprinted genes of sperm can be transmitted directly to the embryo, as imprinted genes can escape epigenetic reprogramming after fertilization [29, 30]. It has been widely reported that children conceived through ART have a higher incidence of imprinting-related genetic diseases than those conceived naturally, and this might be due to the epigenetic errors in gametes [6, 7]. Growing evidence were confirmed that the paternal epigenome plays a crucial role during embryo development [30]. The results of our study may raise certain concerns about the risk of patients with poor semen parameters, especially severe impairments in the sperm DNA, transmitting epigenetic dysfunctions to their offsprings.

Although many genetic and environmental factors have been confirmed to affect semen quality parameters, the vast majority of male infertility is still unknown [30–32]. The DNA methylation status of sperms has emerged as a novel biomarker that can provide information related to male fertility [27, 33, 34]. Incidentally, oxidative stress commonly causes several conditions that are associated with male infertility [35]. High levels of reactive oxygen species (ROS) impair sperm quality by decreasing sperm motility and inducing sperm DNA damage [36]. Multi-antioxidant supplementation is considered effective for the improvement of male fertility parameters, since they lead to a decrease in the ROS concentration [37]. Previously, a study has reported that semen quality is negatively correlated with ROS levels [38]. Interestingly, excessive levels of ROS adversely alter DNA methylation, thereby leading to epigenetic errors [39]. Oxidative stress is associated with global hypomethylation and aberrant hypermethylation of target gene promoter regions [40]. One possible mechanism is that ROS causes an upregulated expression of DNA methyltransferases (DNMTs) or

the formation of a new DNMT containing complex that results in site-specific hypermethylation. Another explanation is that ROS yield raises DNA oxidation structures’ formation, such as 8-hydroxy-2’-deoxyguanosine and 5-hydroxymethylcytosine, both of which can induce global DNA hypomethylation through suppressing DNA methylation at neighboring cytosine bases [9, 36, 41]. Therefore, we inferred that the abnormal DNA methylation patterns in patients with poor semen parameters might be a result of ROS-induced alterations in DNA methylation. Imprinting genes may be more susceptible to the ROS-induced modulation of sperm DNA methylation, which, in turn, may increase the epigenetic risk of male infertility.

Conclusion
In conclusion, our study suggests that in infertile men, the poor semen parameter, especially the severe impairments in the sperm DNA integrity, are associated with abnormal DNA methylation of both paternal and maternally imprinted genes. Furthermore, these aberrant DNA methylation patterns in the imprinted genes have the potential to be employed as useful tools in the diagnostic and prognostic setup of male infertility. Hence, further investigations are necessary to successfully implement this.

Abbreviations
ART Assisted reproductive technique
MEST Mesoderm specific transcript
PEG3 Paternally expressed gene 3
MEG3 Maternally expressed gene 3
IGF-2 Insulin-like growth factor 2
KCNQ1 Potassium voltage-gated channel subfamily Q member 1
KCNQ1OT1 KCNQ1 overlapping transcript 1
ZAC Zinc finger protein which regulates apoptosis and cell cycle arrest
DMR Differentially methylated region
DMP Differentially methylated point
PR Progressive motility
CASA Computer-assisted sperm analysis
SCSA Sperm chromatin structure assay
DFI DNA Fragmentation Index
NGS Next generation sequencing
PCR Polymerase chain reaction
ROS Reactive oxygen species
DNMT DNA methyltransferase

Supplementary Information
The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi.org/10.1186/s12958-022-01028-8.

Supplementary Material 1

Acknowledgements
We would like to thank all the patients who agreed to participate in this study.

Authors’ contributions
BS and YJ C contributed to the conception and design. GW and GJ L contributed to the development of the methodology. BS, YJ C, GW and GJ L contributed to the acquisition of the data. BS and YJ C contributed to the analysis and interpretation of data. BS, YXC, XJ H, and YX C contributed to
the writing, review, and/or revision of the manuscript. ZL, W, XH J and VX C contributed to administrative, technical, or material support. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Funding
This work was supported by the Key research and development plan of Anhui Province (202004J0700032) and the National Natural Science Foundation of China (BS2016682).

Data availability
The datasets used and/or analyzed during the current study are also available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate
This study was approved by the Ethical Review Board of The First Affiliated Hospital of Anhui Medical University and was conducted according to the Declaration of Helsinki principles (P2020-0313). Written informed consents were obtained from all enrolled patients.

Consent for publication
Not applicable.

Competing interests
The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Author details
1Reproductive Medicine Center, Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, the First Affiliated Hospital of Anhui Medical University, 230032 Hefei, China
2NHC Key Laboratory of Study on Abnormal Gametes and Reproductive Tract, Anhui Medical University, 230032 Hefei, China
3Ministry of Education Key Laboratory of Population Health Across Life Cycle, Anhui Medical University, 230032 Hefei, China
4Anhui Province Key Laboratory of Reproductive Health and Genetics, 230032 Hefei, China
5Biopreservation and Artificial Organs, Anhui Provincial Engineering Research Center, Anhui Medical University, 230032 Hefei, China
6Department of Gynecology and Obstetrics, The Affiliated Wu Xi Maternity and Child Health Care Hospital of Nanjing Medical University, 214000 Wu Xi, China

Received: 12 October 2021 / Accepted: 26 October 2022
Published online: 10 November 2022

References
1. Gunes S, Esteves SC. Role of genetics and epigenetics in male infertility. Andrologia. 2021;53(1):e13586.
2. Arnaud P. Genomic imprinting in germ cells: imprints are under control. Reproduction. 2010;140(3):411–23.
3. Wei Y, Yang CR, Wei YP, Hou Y, Schatten H, et al. Paternally induced transgenerational inheritance of susceptibility to diabetes in mammals. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2014;111(8):1873–8.
4. Huysmans P, Sass S, Wu M, Dyckhoff O, Tischop M, Theis F, et al. Epigenetic germline inheritance of diet-induced obesity and insulin resistance. Nat Genet. 2016;48(5):497–9.
5. Yeung EH, Mendola P, Sundaram R, Zeng X, Guan W, Tsai MY, et al. conception by fertility treatment and offspring deoxyribonucleic acid methylation. Fertil Steril. 2021;116(2):493–504.
6. Cox GF, Burger J, Lup V, Mau UA, Sperling K, Wu BL, et al. Intracytoplasmic sperm injection may increase the risk of imprinting defects. Am J Hum Genet. 2002;71(1):162–4.
7. Gicquel C, Gavost V, Mandelbaum J, Siffro JF, Flahault A, Le Bouc Y. In vitro fertilization may increase the risk of Beckwith–Wiedemann syndrome related to the abnormal imprinting of the KCN1OT gene. Am J Hum Genet. 2003;72(5):1338–41.
8. Kobayashi H, Hiro H, John RM, Sato A, Otsu E, Kobayashi N, et al. DNA methylation errors at imprinted loci after assisted conception originate in the parental sperm. Eur J Hum Genet. 2009;17(12):1582–91.
9. Sharma P, Ghaghans P, Kaushal N, Kaur J, Kaur P. Epigenetics and oxidative stress: A twin-edged sword in spermatogenesis. Andrologia. 2019;51(1):e13432.
10. Åsenius F, Danson AF, Marzi SJ. DNA methylation in human sperm: a systematic review. Hum Reprod Update. 2020;26(6):841–73.
11. Laqgan M, Hammahdeh ME. Aberrations in sperm DNA methylation patterns of males suffering from reduced fecundity. Andrologia. 2018;50(3).
12. Song B, Wang C, Chen Y, Li G, Gao Y, Zhu F, et al. Sperm DNA integrity status is associated with DNA methylation signatures of imprinted genes and non-imprinted genes. J Assisl Reprod Genet. 2021;38(8):2041–8.
13. Santana V, James ER, Miranda-Furtado CL, Souza MF, Pompeu CP, Esteves SC, et al. Differential DNA methylation pattern and sperm quality in men with varicocele. Fertil Steril. 2020;114(4):770–8.
14. Nasi F, Ghareesi-Fard B, Namavar Jahromi B, Faraz-Fard MA, Banaei M, Davari M, et al. Sperm DNA methylation of H19 imprinted gene and male infertility. Andrologia. 2017;49(10).
15. Kobayashi H, Sato A, Otsu E, Hiro H, Tomatsu C, Utsunomiya T, et al. Aberrant DNA methylation of imprinted loci in sperm from oligospermic patients. Hum Mol Genet. 2007;16(21):2542–51.
16. Santi D, De Vincentis S, Magnani E, Spaggiari G. Impairment of sperm DNA methylation in male infertility: a meta-analytic study. Andrologia. 2017;5(4):695–703.
17. Anolkar M, Salvi V, Warke H, Kundinid BR, Balasinos NH. Methylation status of imprinted genes DUK1-GTL2, MEST (PEG1), ZAC (PLAG1), and UBE3C elements in spermatozoa of normozoospermic men, unlike H19 imprinting control region, is not associated with idiopathic recurrent spontaneous miscarriages. Fertil Steril. 2013;99(6):1668–73. H19.
18. Poplinska A, Tuttelmann F, Kanber D, Horshemkhe B, Gromoll J. Idiopathic male infertility is strongly associated with aberrant methylation of MEST and IGF2/H19 KRCI. Int J Androl. 2010;33(4):642–9.
19. Laqgan M, Tierling S, Alkhalef Y, LoPorto C, Hammahdeh ME. Alterations in sperm DNA methylation patterns of oligospermic males. Reprod Biol. 2017;17(4):396–400.
20. Tang Q, Pan F, Yang J, Fu Z, Lu Y, Wu X, et al. Idiopathic male infertility is strongly associated with aberrant DNA methylation of imprinted loci in sperm: a case-control study. Clin Epigenetics. 2018;10(1):134.
21. Khambata K, Raut S, Deshpande S, Mohan S, Saniovane S, Gaonkar R, et al. DNA methylation defects in spermatozoa of male partners from couples experiencing recurrent pregnancy loss. Hum Reprod. 2021;36(1):48–60.
22. Bruno C, Blagoskonov Q, Barberet J, Guilleman M, Daniel S, Tournier B, et al. Sperm imprinting integrity in semenoma patients? Clin Epigenetics. 2016;1(101):135.
23. Ni W, Pan C, Pan Q, Fei Q, Huang X, Zhang C. Methylations levels of IGF2 and KCNQ1 in spermatozoa from infertile men are associated with sperm DNA damage. Andrologia. 2019;51(5):e13239.
24. Cooper TG, Noonan E, von Eckardstein A, Auger J, Baker HW, Behre HM, et al. World Health Organization reference values for human semen characteristics. Hum Reprod Update. 2010;16(3):231–45.
25. Evanson DJ. The Sperm chromatin Structure Assay (SCSA)® and other sperm DNA fragmentation tests for evaluation of sperm nuclear DNA integrity as related to fertility. Anim Reprod Sci. 2016;169:56–76.
26. Jenkins TG, Aston KL, Meyer TD, Hotaling JM, Shamiy MB, Johnstone EB, et al. Decreased fecundity and sperm DNA methylation patterns. Fertil Steril. 2016;105(1):51–7.e51–73.
27. Rotondo JC, Lanzillotti C, Mazziotta C, Tognon M, Martini F. Epigenetics and fertility as related to fertility. Anim Reprod Sci. 2016;169:56–76.
28. Carrell DT, Hammond SS. The human sperm epigenome and its potential role in embryonic development. Mol Hum Reprod. 2010;16(1):37–47.
29. Marques CJ, Carvalho F, Sousa M, Barros A. Genomic imprinting in disruptive spermatogenesis. Lancet. 2004;363(9422):1700–2.
30. Anfandis G, Messini CI, Dafopoulos K, Messinis IE. Genes and Conditions Controlling Mammalian Per- and Post-implantation Embryo Development. Curr Genomics. 2015;16(1):32–46.
31. Zhang T, Ru YF, Wu B, Dong H, Chen L, Zheng J, et al. Effects of low lead exposure on sperm quality and sperm DNA methylation in adult men. Cell Biosci. 2021;11(1):150.
32. Lombó M, Fernández-Díez C, González-Jojo S, Herráez MP. Genetic and epigenetic alterations induced by bisphenol A exposure during different
peripheral periods of spermatogenesis: from spermatozoa to the progeny. Sci Rep. 2019;9(1):18029.

33. Aston KL, Uren PJ, Jenkins TG, Horsager A, Cairns BR, Smith AD, et al. Aberrant sperm DNA methylation predicts male fertility status and embryo quality. Fertil Steril. 2015; 104 (6):1388–1397.e1381–1385.

34. Luján S, Caroppo E, Niederberger C, Arce JC, Sadler-Riggleman I, Beck D, et al. Sperm DNA methylation epimutation biomarkers for male infertility and FSH therapeutic responsiveness. Sci Rep. 2019;9(1):16786.

35. Lavranos G, Balia M, Tzortzopoulou A, Syriou V, Angelopoulou R. Investigating ROS sources in male infertility: a common end for numerous pathways. Reprod Toxicol. 2012;34(3):298–307.

36. Bui AD, Sharma R, Henkel R, Agarwal A. Reactive oxygen species impact on sperm DNA and its role in male infertility. Andrologia. 2018;50(8):e13012.

37. Majzoub A, Agarwal A. Systematic review of antioxidant types and doses in male infertility: benefits on semen parameters, advanced sperm function, assisted reproduction and live-birth rate. Arab J Urol. 2018;16(1):113–24.

38. Xie D, Lu C, Zhu Y, Zhu S, Yang EJ, Jin X. Analysis on the association between sperm DNA fragmentation index and conventional semen parameters, blood microelements and seminal plasma ROS in male patients with infertility. Exp Ther Med. 2018;15(6):5173–6.

39. Tunc O, Tremellen K. Oxidative DNA damage impairs global sperm DNA methylation in infertile men. J Assist Reprod Genet. 2009;26(9–10):537–44.

40. Wu Q, Ni X. ROS-mediated DNA methylation pattern alterations in carcinogenesis. Curr Drug Targets. 2015;16(1):13–9.

41. Rang FJ, Boonstra J. Causes and consequences of age-related changes in DNA methylation: A role for ROS? Biology. 2014;3(2):403–25.

Publisher’s note
Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.