A comparative genomic analysis of energy metabolism in sulfate reducing bacteria and archaea
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The number of sequenced genomes of sulfate reducing organisms (SRO) has increased significantly in the recent years, providing an opportunity for a broader perspective into their energy metabolism. In this work we carried out a comparative survey of energy metabolism genes found in 25 available genomes of SRO. This analysis revealed a higher diversity of possible energy conserving pathways than classically considered to be present in these organisms, and permitted the identification of new proteins not known to be present in this group. The Deltaproteobacteria (and Thermodesulfovibrio yellowstonii) are characterized by a large number of cytochromes c and cytochrome c-associated membrane redox complexes, indicating that periplasmic electron transfer pathways are important in these bacteria. The Archaea and Clostridia groups contain practically no cytochromes c or associated membrane complexes. However, despite the absence of a periplasmic space, a few extracytoplasmic membrane redox proteins were detected in the Gram-positive bacteria. Several ion-translocating complexes were detected in SRO including H+-pyrophosphatases, complex I homologs, Rnf, and Ech/Coo hydrogenases. Furthermore, we found evidence that cytoplasmic electron bifurcating mechanisms, recently described for other anaerobes, are also likely to play an important role in energy metabolism of SRO. A number of cytoplasmic [NiFe] and [FeFe] hydrogenases, formate dehydrogenases, and heterodisulfide reductase-related proteins are likely candidates to be involved in energy coupling through electron bifurcation, from diverse electron donors such as H₂, formate, pyruvate, NAD(P)H, β-oxidation, and others. In conclusion, this analysis indicates that energy metabolism of SRO is far more versatile than previously considered, and that both chemiosmotic and flavin-based electron bifurcating mechanisms provide alternative strategies for energy conservation.
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INTRODUCTION

Sulfate reducing organisms (SRO) are anaerobic prokaryotes found ubiquitously in nature (Rabus et al., 2007; Muyzer and Stams, 2008). They employ a respiratory mechanism with sulfate as the terminal electron acceptor giving rise to sulfide as the major metabolic end-product. These organisms play an important role in global cycling of sulfur and carbon in anaerobic environments, particularly in marine habitats due to the high sulfate concentration, where they are responsible for up to 50% of carbon remineralization (Jørgensen, 1982). Sulfate reduction is a true respiratory process, which leads to oxidative phosphorylation through a still incompletely understood electron-transfer pathway. This electron transport chain links dehydrogenases to the terminal reductases, which are located in the cytoplasm, and therefore, not directly involved in charge translocation across the membrane and generation of transmembrane electrochemical potential. In recent years, the advent of genomic information coupled with biochemical and genetic studies has provided significant advances in our understanding of sulfate respiration, but several important questions remain to be answered including the sites and mechanisms of energy conservation. These studies revealed that sulfate reduction is associated with a set of unique proteins. Some of these proteins are also present in sulfur-oxidizing organisms, whereas others are shared with anaerobes like methanogens. Most biochemical studies have focused on mesophilic sulfate reducers of the Deltaproteobacteria, mostly Desulfovibrio spp. (Matias et al., 2005; Rabus et al., 2007), but previous analyses indicated that the composition of energy metabolism proteins can vary significantly between different SRO (Pereira et al., 2007; Rabus et al., 2007; Junier et al., 2010). The increasing number of SRO genomes available from different classes of both Bacteria and Archaea prompted us to perform a comparative analysis of energy metabolism proteins. In this work we report the analysis of 25 genomes of SRO available at the Integrated Microbial Genomes website. This includes 3 Archaea, 17 Deltaproteobacteria (of the Desulfovibrionaceae, Desulfovibrionales, Desulfbacteriales, Desulfbacteriaceae, Desulfohalobiales, Desulfobulcales, and Syntrophobacteraceae families), 4 Clostridia (of the Peptococcaceae and Thermosanaerobacteriales families), and T. yellowstonii DSM 11347 of the Nitrospira phylum (Table 1). This analysis extends a previous one in which only the Deltaproteobacteria Desulfovibrio vulgaris Hildenborough, Desulfovibrio desulfuricans G20, and Desulfotalea psychrophila were considered (Pereira et al., 2007). Genes/proteins involved in carbon
Table 1 | Analysis of Hase distribution in the SRO genomes.

| Genus/Microbial lineage     | $N_s$ | $N_p$ | Periplasmic [NiFe] | Periplasmic [FeFe] | Cytoplasmic [NiFe] | Cytoplasmic [FeFe] |
|-----------------------------|-------|-------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|
|                             |       |       | Soluble Memb       | Sol Memb           | Soluble Memb       | Soluble Memb       |
|                             |       |       | HynAB              | HysAB              | HynABC             | HynABC             |
|                             |       |       | HynABC1            | HynABC2            | HynABC             | HynABC             |
|                             |       |       | [FeFe]$_{mem}$     | [FeFe]$_{cyt}$     | HdrA-Mvh           | HdrABC-Mvh         |
|                             |       |       | Mvh                | Ech                | Coo                | FHL$_{cyt}$         |
|                             |       |       |                    |                    |                    |                    |
| **ARCHAEA**                 |       |       |                    |                    |                    |                    |
| *Archaeoglobus fulgidus*    | 2     | 1     | 1                  | 1                  | 1                  | 1                  |
| *Archaeoglobus profundus*   | 2     | 1     | 1                  | 1                  | 1                  | 1                  |
| *Caldivirga maquilingensis* |       |       |                    |                    |                    |                    |
| **DELTA PROTEOBACTERIA**    |       |       |                    |                    |                    |                    |
| *Desulfovibrio aespoeensis* | 3     | 2     | 1                  | 1                  | 1                  | 1                  |
| *Desulfovibrio desulfuricans G20* | 6   | 4     | 1                  | 1                  | 1                  | 1                  |
| *Desulfovibrio desulfuricans ATCC 27774* | 5   | 3     | 1                  | 1                  | 1                  | 1                  |
| *Desulfovibrio magneticus RS-1* | 8   | 3     | 2                  | 1                  | 1                  | 2                  |
| *Desulfovibrio piger*       | 4     | 2     | 1                  | 1                  | 1                  | 1                  |
| *Desulfovibrio salexigens*  | 5     | 3     | 1                  | 1                  | 1                  | 1                  |
| *Desulfovibrio sp. FW1012B* | 5     | 2     | 1                  | 1                  | 1                  | 1                  |
| *Desulfovibrio vulgaris Hildenborough* | 7   | 4     | 1                  | 1                  | 1                  | 1                  |
| **Desulfomicrobiaceae**     |       |       |                    |                    |                    |                    |
| *Desulfumicrobium baculatum* | 2     | 2     | 1                  | 1                  | 1                  | 1                  |
| **Desulfobacteraceae**      |       |       |                    |                    |                    |                    |
| *Desulfatibacillum alkenivorans* | 3   | 1     | 1                  | 1                  | 1                  | 1                  |
| *Desulfobacterium autotrophicum HRM2* | 6   | 2     | 1                  | 1                  | 1                  | 1                  |
| *Desulfococcus oleovorans Hxd3* |      |       |                    |                    |                    |                    |
| **Desulfohalobiaceae**      |       |       |                    |                    |                    |                    |
| *Desulfohalobium retbaense DSM 5692* | 2   | 1     | 1                  | 1                  | 1                  |
| *Desulfobacterium thiodismutans ASO3-1* | 3   |       | 1                  | 1                  | 1                  |
| **Desulfobulbaceae**        |       |       |                    |                    |                    |                    |
| *Desulfotalea psychrophila*  | 6     | 2     | 1                  | 1                  | 1                  | 1                  |
| *Desulfurivibrio alcaliphilus* | 4     | 2     | 1                  | 1                  | 1                  |
| **Syntrophobacteraceae**    |       |       |                    |                    |                    |                    |
| *Syntrophobacter fumaroxidans MPOB* | 9   | 2     | 1                  | 1                  | 2                  | 1                  |
metabolism are not discussed, with the exception of lactate and formate dehydrogenases. The loci for all genes analyzed can be found in Supplementary Material. A general scheme depicting most of the proteins discussed is presented in Figure 1.

PROTEINS ESSENTIAL FOR SULFATE REDUCTION

As expected, all organisms analyzed contain genes for those proteins long known to be directly involved in sulfate reduction (Rabus et al., 2007), including sulfate transporters, ATP sulfurylase (sat), APS reductase (aprAB), and dissimilatory sulfate reductase (dsrAB; Supplementary Material). The hydrolysis of pyrophosphate is carried out by soluble inorganic pyrophosphatases in most cases, but in a few organisms a membrane-associated proton-translocating pyrophosphatase (Serrano et al., 2007) is present, which may allow energy conservation from hydrolysis of pyrophosphate. These include the Gram-positive bacteria (Junier et al., 2010), *Syntrophobacter fumaroxidans*, *Desulfococcus oleovorans*, and *Caldivirga maquilingensis*. F1F0-ATP synthases are also present in all the SRO analyzed. Other strictly conserved proteins include ferredoxins, which are very abundant proteins in sulfate reducers (Moura et al., 1994). Their crucial role in anaerobic metabolism has gained increasing evidence in recent years (Meuer et al., 2002; Herrmann et al., 2008; Thauer et al., 2008; see Cytoplasmic Electron Transfer section below). All organisms analyzed contain ferredoxin I, which in some cases is present in multiple copies, and most contain also ferredoxin II.

One of the remaining important questions about sulfate reduction is the nature of the electron donors to the terminal reductases AprAB and DsrAB. Two membrane complexes, QmoABC and DsrMKJOP (Figures 1 and 2) have been proposed to perform this function (Pereira, 2008).

THE QmoABC COMPLEX

QmoABC (for Quinone-interacting membrane-bound oxidoreductase complex) was first described in *D. desulfuricans* ATCC 27774 (Pires et al., 2003). It includes three subunits binding two hemes $b$, two FAD groups and several iron–sulfur centers. QmoA and QmoB are both soluble proteins homologous to HdrA, a flavin-containing subunit of the soluble heterodisulfide reductases (HDRs; Hedderich et al., 2005). HDRs are key enzymes in methanogens that catalyze the reduction of the CoM-S-S-CoB heterodisulfide, formed in the last step of methanogenesis, to the corresponding thiols (Hedderich et al., 2005). The function of HdrA is still not clear, but it has been proposed to be involved in flavin-based electron bifurcation by an HdrABC/MvhADG complex, where the endergonic reduction of ferredoxin by $H_2$ is coupled to the exergonic reduction of the CoM-S-S-CoB heterodisulfide by $H_2$ (Thauer et al., 2008). QmoC is a fusion protein that contains a cytochrome $b$ transmembrane domain related to HdrE and a hydrophilic iron–sulfur domain related to HdrC. QmoB includes also a domain similar to MvhD, a subunit of F420-non-reducing hydrogenase (Mvh; Thauer et al., 2010). Since the *qmo* genes are usually adjacent to *aprAB*, and both QmoC hemes are reduced by a menaquinol analog, it has been proposed that Qmo transfers electrons from the quinone pool to AprAB, in a process that may result in energy conservation (Pires et al., 2003; Venceslau et al., 2010). Although direct electron transfer has not been reported, it was recently shown that in *D. vulgaris*
Hildenborough the Qmo complex is essential for sulfate, but not for sulfite, reduction (Zane et al., 2010). Our analysis confirmed that a gene locus containing sat, aprAB and the qmoABC genes is present in the majority of SRO analyzed. The exceptions are the archaeon C. maquilingensis for which no qmo genes are detected, and the Gram-positive bacteria where the qmoC gene is absent. In Desulfotomaculum acetoxidans and Candidatus Desulforudis audaxviator the qmoC gene is replaced by the hdrBC genes that code for soluble subunits of HDRs (Junier et al., 2010). This suggests that in Gram-positive bacteria the reduction of APS reductase may derive from soluble pathways, rather than quinones, and not be coupled to energy conservation.

THE DsrMKJOP COMPLEX

The dsrMKJOP genes were first reported in the sulfur-oxidizing bacterium Allochromatium vinosum as part of a dsr locus encoding also the dsrAB and dsrC genes, among others (Pott and Dahl, 1998). The DsrMKJOP complex was isolated from Archaeoglobus fulgidus (Mander et al., 2002; where it was named Hme) and D. desulfuricans ATCC 27774 (Pires et al., 2006). It is a transmembrane complex with redox subunits in the periplasm – the triheme cytochrome c DsrJ, and the iron–sulfur protein DsrO; in the membrane – the cytochrome b DsrM (NarI family), and DsrP (NrfD family); and in the cytoplasm – the iron–sulfur protein DsrK that is homologous to HdrD, the catalytic subunit of the membrane-bound HdrED. DsrK and HdrD are both members of the CCG protein family, named after the CysCysGly residues present in the conserved cysteine-rich sequence (CX\textsubscript{n}CCGX\textsubscript{m}CX), which includes over 2000 archaeal and bacterial proteins (Hedderich et al., 1999; Hamann et al., 2007). This Cys sequence binds a special [4Fe4S] cluster, which in HDR is responsible for heterodisulfide reduction (Hedderich et al., 2005), and is also present in Dsr (Pires et al., 2006). Sequence analysis suggests that there may be two modules in the Dsr complex. One module, formed by DsrMK (based on its similarity to HdrED), may be involved in menaquinol oxidation and reduction of a cytoplasmic substrate, probably the DsrC disulfide (Oliveira et al., 2008);
Lack a periplasmic space, which may explain the absence of DsrJO, and in these organisms DsrMK must transfer electrons between the menaquinone pool and the cytoplasm, whereas in organisms with DsrMKJOP electron transfer likely involves also periplasmic components. Several SRO contain both dsrMKJOP and one or more copies of dsrMK. A DsrMK protein was isolated from *Archaeoglobus profundus* (Mander et al., 2004).

A second module formed by DsrJOP may be involved in electron transfer between the menaquinone pool and a periplasmic component, but it is not clear in which direction. The *dsrMKJOP* genes are present in all SRO genomes analyzed, with the exception of the Gram-positive bacteria (Junier et al., 2010) and *C. maquilingensis*, for which only *dsrMK* are present. This indicates that only these two proteins are essential for sulfite reduction. Gram-positive bacteria lack a periplasmic space, which may explain the absence of DsrJO, and in these organisms DsrMK must transfer electrons between the menaquinone pool and the cytoplasm, whereas in organisms with DsrMKJOP electron transfer likely involves also periplasmic components. Several SRO contain both *dsrMKJOP* and one or more copies of *dsrMK*. A DsrMK protein was isolated from *Archaeoglobus profundus* (Mander et al., 2004).

**Figure 2** Schematic representation of the SRO membrane-bound electron-transfer complexes, grouped in different categories according to expected function. The NuoEFG proteins are shown as one module, which is not always present.
DsrC
The dsrC gene is also strictly conserved in all SRO. It is one of the most highly expressed genes in *D. vulgaris* Hildenborough (Haveman et al., 2003; Wall et al., 2008) and also environmental samples (Canfield et al., 2010), pointing to an important role in sulfur metabolism. All organisms encoding a dsrAB sulfite reductase (sulfate/sulfite reducers or sulfur oxidizers) also contain the dsrC and dsrMK genes. DsrC is a small protein with a C-terminal swinging arm containing two strictly conserved cysteines (Cort et al., 2001; Mander et al., 2005). It belongs to a larger family of proteins, present also in organisms that do not perform dissimilatory sulfur metabolism (e.g., *E. coli* TusE), where they are involved in sulfur-transfer reactions (Ikeuchi et al., 2006). In these cases, a single cysteine, the penultimate residue of the C-terminal arm, is conserved. This suggests the involvement of a disulfide bond between the two DsrC cysteines as a redox-active center in the sulfite reduction pathway. DsrC was initially described as a subunit of DsrAB, with which it forms a tight complex (Pierik et al., 1992). However, DsrC is not a subunit, but rather a protein with which DsrAB interacts. The crystal structure of the DsrAB–DsrC complex from *D. vulgaris* revealed that the DsrC swinging arm inserts into a cleft between DsrA and DsrB, such that its penultimate cysteine comes in close proximity to the sulfite binding site at the catalytic siroheme (Oliveira et al., 2008). A mechanism for sulfite reduction involving DsrC was proposed, in which a DsrC persulfide is formed and gives rise to oxidized DsrC (DsrCox) with a disulfide bond between the two cysteines (Oliveira et al., 2008). DsrCox is then proposed to be reduced by the DsrK subunit of the Dsr complex, which contains a catalytic iron–sulfur center for putative reduction of disulfide bonds, as described in HDRs (Pires et al., 2006). The involvement of the Dsr complex provides a link between membrane quinol oxidation and sulfite reduction that may explain the fact that proton translocation is associated with this reduction (Kobayashi et al., 1982). *In vitro* sulfite reduction by desulfoviridin, the dissimilatory sulfite reductase of *Desulfovibrio* spp. does not produce sulfide as observed in the assimilatory enzymes, but a mixture of products including thiosulfate and trithionate (Rabus et al., 2007). This led to the proposal that sulfite reduction in SRO proceeds with thiosulfate and trithionate as intermediates (Akagi, 1995). In *Desulfovibrio gigas*, flavoredoxin was implicated in thiosulfate reduction (Broco et al., 2005). However, flavoredoxin is not conserved across the SRO analyzed and there is also no evidence for enzymes to handle trithionate. Most likely the *in vitro* polythionate products observed originate from the absence of other proteins required for physiological sulfite reduction, namely DsrC (Oliveira et al., 2008).

Our genomic analysis of SRO supports the interaction between DsrC, DsrAB and the DsrMKJOP complex: In *A. profundus* and *T. yellowstonii* dsrC is in the same gene cluster as dsrMKJOP, and in the three Gram-positive organisms and *Ammonifex degensii*, a dsrMK–dsrC gene cluster is present (Figure 3). Strikingly, this cluster is preceded by a gene encoding a ferredoxin (Fd), and a Fd gene is also present after the dsrMKJOP genes and in close proximity to dsrAB in three Deltaproteobacteria. This suggests that a Fd may also be involved in the electron transfer pathway between the Dsr complex, DsrC, and DsrAB. The involvement of Fd provides a link between the sulfite reduction step and other soluble electron transfer pathways.

**PERIPLASMIC ELECTRON TRANSFER**
One of the most discussed models for energy conservation in SRO is the hydrogen-cycling mechanism proposed by Odom and Peck (1981). In this mechanism the reducing power from lactate...
oxidation is transferred to a cytoplasmic hydrogenase to generate H₂, that diffuses to the periplasm. There its reoxidation generates electrons that are transferred back across the membrane for the cytoplasmic reduction of sulfate, resulting in a transmembrane proton gradient to drive ATP synthesis. This intracellular redox cycling proposal has been extended to include other possible intermediates like formate and CO (Voordouw, 2002). Hydrogen and formate are also important energy sources for SRO in natural habitats. Oxidation of these substrates by periplasmic enzymes contributes to a proton gradient as electrons are transferred to the quinone pool or directly across the membrane for cytoplasmic sulfate reduction. The common bacterial uptake hydrogenases (Hases) and formate dehydrogenases (FDHs) are composed of three subunits: a large catalytic subunit, a small electron-transfer subunit and a membrane-associated protein responsible for quinone reduction. Desulfovibrio organisms are unusual in that most of their periplasmic Hases and FDHs lack the membrane subunit, and instead transfer electrons to one or several cytochromes c (Heidelberg et al., 2004; Matias et al., 2005).

**PERIPLASMIC-FACING HYDROGENASES**

Two of the SRO analyzed contain no Hases at all: the archaean C. maquiltingensis and the Deltaproteobacterium *Ds. oleovorans*. In addition, *Desulfonatronospira thioidismutans* contains no periplasmic Hases (Table 1). The total absence of Hases in two SRO was unexpected and indicates that hydrogen metabolism is not essential for sulfate reduction. The other SRO contain from one to four periplasmic enzymes, the most common of which is the soluble [NiFe] HynAB. All *Deltaproteobacteria* contain at least one copy of HynAB. Eight organisms also contain the [NiFeSe] HysAB Hase (Valente et al., 2005). The HynAB and HysAB enzymes use as electron acceptor the Type I cytochrome c₃ (Tpc_c; Matias et al., 2005). Finally, only two organisms contain a copy of a HynABC, in which another dedicated cytochrome c₅ is encoded next to the *hynAB* genes. A periplasmic [FeFe] Hase is present in all *Desulfovibrio* organisms, except *D. piger*, and is also found in *S. fumaroxidans*. This enzyme is soluble and also uses Tpc_c as electron acceptor. A membrane-anchored [FeFe] Hase is present in the four *Clostridial* organisms. A Tat signal peptide present in the catalytic subunit indicates that the enzyme is translocated to the cytoplasmic side of the cellular membrane, which is somewhat unexpected for the Gram-positive organisms that lack a periplasmic compartment. The enzyme is anchored to the membrane through a NrfD-like transmembrane protein that should transfer electrons to the menaquinone pool.

Overall, the analysis indicates that a periplasmic Hase is found in most SRO, which functions in the uptake of H₂. The *Desulfovibrio* organisms contain a higher number of periplasmic enzymes compared to the others. In *D. vulgaris* Hildenborough, which has four periplasmic Hases, it has been shown that expression of these enzymes is fine tuned to respond to metal availability (Valente et al., 2006) and hydrogen concentration (Caffrey et al., 2007). The Clostridial organisms contain a novel membrane-anchored [FeFe] Hase.

**PERIPLASMIC-FACING FORMATE DEHYDROGENASES**

As in the case of Hases, the periplasmic FDHs can be either soluble, comprising only the catalytic and small subunits (FdhAB; Almendra et al., 1999) or additionally a dedicated cytochrome c₅ (FdhABC3; Sebben et al., 1995), or they can be of the typical membrane-associated form, in which a subunit for quinone reduction is present. This can either be a NarI-like cytochrome b (FdhABC) or a larger protein of the NrfD family (FdhABD). The physiological electron acceptor for FdhAB is also likely to be the soluble Tpc_c (ElAntak et al., 2003; Venceslau et al., 2010). Of the SRO analyzed, two Archaea contain neither periplasmic or cytoplasmic FDHs (Table A1 in Appendix), again indicating that formate metabolism is not essential for sulfate reduction. All other SRO analyzed contain from one to three periplasmic FDHs, the most widespread of which is FdhAB. Six organisms contain one FdhABC3. Only three organisms contain FdhABC. Two Gram-positive bacteria contain FdhABD, where FdhB has a twin-arginine signal peptide, indicating that these enzymes are translocated to outside of the cellular membrane, as observed for the [FeFe] Hase. In *D. vulgaris* Hildenborough the gene locus for FdhABD includes also two cytochromes c. Several of the FDHs contain selenocysteine (Sec), and in some organisms only Sec-containing FDHs are present, whereas others contain also Cys-containing enzymes.

**CYTOCHROMES c**

The *Desulfovibrio* organisms are characterized by a very high level of multiheme cytochromes c, the most abundant and well studied of which is the Tpc_c (Matias et al., 2005). The genome of *D. vulgaris* Hildenborough first revealed that a pool of cytochromes c is present in the periplasm (Heidelberg et al., 2004), some of which belong to the cytochrome c family, but not all (Matias et al., 2005; Pereira et al., 2007). Several multiheme cytochromes c are associated with membrane complexes, and these will be discussed in the following section. Most SRO analyzed contain a high number of multiheme cytochromes c (Table A2 in Appendix) but several exceptions are observed: *C. maquiltingensis, Dm. acetoxidans,* and *Desulfitomaculum reducens* contain no cytochromes c at all. *A. profundus* contains only DsrJ; *A. fulgidus* contains DsrJ and an octaheme cytochrome, and *D. vulgaris* contains only the NrfHA proteins (Rodrigues et al., 2006), both with signal peptides again indicating an extracytoplasmic location. In general terms, the *Deltaproteobacteria* and *T. yellowstonii* have multiple cytochromes c, contrary to the Archaea, Gram-positive SRO, and *A. degensi*. The Tpc_c is present in all the *Deltaproteobacteria* (except *D. psychrophila* and *D. alkaliophilus*) and in *T. yellowstonii*. Often there are two to four copies of monocistronic cytochromes c, whereas others are associated with periplasmic Hases and FDHs. Tetraheme cytochromes of the c₃₅ family (Iverson et al., 1998) are also present in several organisms, including one associated with a methyl-accepting chemotaxis sensory transducer protein, suggesting an involvement in regulation. The monoheme cytochrome c₅₃ is only present in five *Deltaproteobacteria,* often in the same locus as cytochrome c oxidase, suggesting it acts as its electron donor. The nitrite reductase complex formed by the two cytochromes NrfH and NrfA (Rodrigues et al., 2006) is one of the more widespread cytochromes in SRO. Nitrite is a powerful inhibitor of SRO and NrfHA acts as a detoxifying enzyme (Greene et al., 2003).
Table 2 | Analysis of membrane redox complexes distribution in the SRO genomes.

|                      | H-PPi | Dsr | Qmo | Periplasmic | Tpi₄ | Qrc | Tmc | Hmc | NhC | OhC | Rnf | Nuo | Nqr | bc₀ |
|----------------------|-------|-----|-----|-------------|------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| **ARCHAEA**          |       |     |     |             |      |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |
| Archaeoglobus fulgidus | 1 + 2MK | 1 |     |             |      |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |
| Archaeoglobus profundus | 1 | 1 |     |             |      |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |
| Caldivirga maquilingensis | 2 MK |     |     |             |      |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |
| **DELTAPROTEOBACTERIA** |       |     |     |             |      |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |
| Desulfovibrionaceae  |       |     |     |             |      |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |
| Desulfovibrio aespoeensis | 1 | 1 | + | + | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1* |
| Desulfovibrio desulfuricans G20 | 1 | 1 | + | + | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1* |
| Desulfovibrio desulfuricans ATCC 27774 | 1 | 1 | + | + | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1* |
| Desulfovibrio magneticus RS-1 | 1 | 1 | + | + | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1* |
| Desulfovibrio piger | 1 | 1 | + | + |     |     |     | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1* |
| Desulfovibrio salexigens | 1 | 1 | + | + | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1* | 1 |
| Desulfovibrio sp. FW1012B | 1 | 1 | + | + | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1* |
| Desulfovibrio vulgaris Hildenborough | 1 | 1 | + | + | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Desulfomicrobiaceae  |       |     |     |             |      |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |
| Desulfomicrobium baculatum | 1 | 1 | + | + | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Desulfobacteraceae   |       |     |     |             |      |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |
| Desulfatibacillus alkenivorans | 1 | 1 | 1 | + |     |     |     | 2 | 1 |     |     | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Desulfobacterium autotrophicum HRM2 | 1 | 1 | + | + | 1 | 2 | 2 |     |     |     | 1+1** | 1 |     |
| Desulfooccus oleovorans Hxd3 | 1 | 1 | + | + | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1+1** | 1 |
| Desulfohalobiaceae   |       |     |     |             |      |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |
| Desulfohalobium retbaense DSM 5692 | 1 | 1 | + | + | 4 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1** | 1 |     |
| Desulfonatronospira thiodismutans AS03-1 | 1 | 1 | + |     | 3 | 2 |     | 1 |     |     | 1* |
| Desulfobulbacaeae    |       |     |     |             |      |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |
| Desulfotalea psychrophila | 1 | 1 | + |     |     |     |     |     |     |     | 1** | 1* | 1 |
| Desulfoviribrio alkalophilus | 1 | 1 | + |     |     |     |     |     |     |     | 1** | 1* | 1 |
| Syntrophobacteraceae |       |     |     |             |      |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |
| Syntrophobacter fumaroxidans MPOB | 2 | 1 | 1 | + | + | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |     | 1** | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| **CLOSTRIDIA**       |       |     |     |             |      |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |
| Peptococcaceae       |       |     |     |             |      |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |
| Desulfotomaculum acetoxidans DSM 771 | 1 | MK |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     | 1* |
| Desulfotomaculum reducens | 1 | MK |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     | 1* | 1 |
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A family of transmembrane redox complexes that include a multiheme cytochrome c subunit has been described in *Desulfovibrio* (Pereira, 2008). The first complex identified was the Hmc complex composed of HmcABCDEF (Rossi et al., 1993). The subunit composition of Hmc is strikingly similar to the Dsr complex in terms of the type of proteins present: a cytoplasmic CCG protein related toHdrD, two integral membrane proteins of the NarI and NrfD families, a periplasmic ferredoxin-like protein and a periplasmic cytochrome c (Figures 1 and 2). This suggests that both complexes have related functions, but the sequence identity between subunits is very low. The cytochrome c subunit is a large 16 heme cytochrome in Hmc (HmcA) and a small triheme cytochrome in Dsr (DsrJ). HmcA can accept electrons from periplasmic hydrogenases via the TpIc3 (Pereira et al., 1998; Matias et al., 2005), but this is not observed for DsrJ (Pires et al., 2006). This cytochrome has a heme with unusual histidine/cysteine ligation, but its function has not been elucidated (Pires et al., 2006; Grein et al., 2010). It is not clear if Hmc exchanges electrons with the quinone pool, or directly between the periplasm and cytoplasm. Some studies have indicated that the function of Hmc is in electron transfer to the cytoplasm during growth with hydrogen (Dolla et al., 2000; Voordouw, 2002), but the hmc genes are downregulated under these conditions (Caffrey et al., 2007; Pereira et al., 2008). More recently this complex was shown to play a role during syntrophic growth of *D. vulgaris*, where it was proposed to be implicated in electron transfer from the cytoplasm to the periplasm (Walker et al., 2009).

The TmcABCD complex seems to be a simplified version of Hmc. It includes a tetraheme cytochrome c3 (TmcA, first described as acidic cytochrome c3 or Type II c3, Valente et al., 2001), a CCG protein homologous to HmcF (TmcB), a cytochrome b (TmcC), and a tryptophan-rich protein (TmcD; Pereira et al., 2006). TmcA is an efficient electron acceptor of the periplasmic Hase/TpIc3 couple (Valente et al., 2001, 2005; Pieulle et al., 2005). All redox centers of the Tmc complex are reduced with H2 (Pereira et al., 2006), and the tmc genes are upregulated in growth with hydrogen versus lactate (Pereira et al., 2008), indicating that Tmc acts to transfer electrons from periplasmic H2 oxidation to the cytoplasm.

Two other complexes related to Tmc and Hmc are present in the genomes of SRO. One includes a nine-heme cytochrome and is designated as Nhc complex (for nine-heme cytochrome complex; Saraiva et al., 2001), and the other includes an eight-heme cytochrome and was designated as Ohc (for octaheme cytochrome complex; Pereira et al., 2007). The structure of the NhCA cytochrome is similar to the C-terminal domain of the HmcA, and it is also reduced by the Hase/TpIc3 couple (Matias et al., 1999), whereas OhcA belongs to a different cytochrome family. OhcC is a cytochrome b of the NarI family, whereas NhC membrane subunit is of the NrfD family. The subunits of the Hmc, Tmc, Nhc, and Ohc complexes are homologous to each other, indicating they belong to the same family. However, the NhC and Ohc complexes lack the cytoplasmic CCG protein, so they should transfer electrons from the periplasm to the quinone pool. In contrast, both Hmc and Tmc include the CCG protein related to DsrK and HdrD, containing...
a binding site for a putative catalytic [4Fe4S] center, which hints that they are implicated in similar thiol/disulfide redox chemistry as DsrK possibly involving DsrC_s.

The genomic analysis indicates that the Hmc, Tmc, NhC, and OhC complexes (Table 2) are present in Deltaproteobacteria, with the exception of the two members of the Desulfobulbaceae family. They are not present in the Archaea organisms or members of Clostridia, and T. yellowstonii has only Hmc. This distribution correlates well with the presence of their putative electron donor, TpIC_s. All organisms that have Hmc, usually also have TmC, and some organisms have two copies of TmC. In D. desulfuricans ATCC 27774 a three-subunit complex is found including a triheme cytochrome c methylgen on lactate (Li et al., 2009). This mutant is unable to transposon mutants by its inability to grow syntrophically with a grow with H2 or formate as electron donors but grows normally in some organisms the cytochrome subunit has 13 hemes. In Dt. thiodismutans the cytochrome subunit is not present.

**Qrc COMPLEX**

Recently, a new membrane complex named Qrc (for quinone reductase complex) was isolated from D. vulgaris (Venceslau et al., 2010). It is composed of four subunits, QrcABCD, including a hexaheme cytochrome c (QrcC), a large protein of the molybdopterin-containing family, but which does not bind molybdenum (QrcB), a periplasmic iron–sulfur protein (QrcC) and an integral membrane protein of the NrfD family (QrcD). The Qrc complex accepts electrons from periplasmic Hases and FDHs through TpIC and has activity as a TpIC:menaquinone oxidoreductase (Venceslau et al., 2010). A D. desulfuricans G20 mutant lacking the qrcB gene was selected from a library of transposon mutants by its inability to grow syntrophically with a methanogen on lactate (Li et al., 2009). This mutant is unable to grow with H2 or formate as electron donors but grows normally with lactate, confirming the role of Qrc in H2 and formate oxidation. It has been proposed that the Qrc and Qmo complexes constitute the two arms of an energy conserving redox loop (Simon et al., 2008), contributing to proton motive force generation during sulfate reduction with H2 or formate (Venceslau et al., 2010). This previous study showed that the qrc genes are present in sulfate reducers that have periplasmic Hases and/or FDHs that lack a membrane subunit for quinone reduction. Our present membrane analysis confirms this and shows that the qrc genes are found in many Deltaproteobacteria, but not in other SRO (Table 2). D. piger and Dt. thiodismutans both have soluble periplasmic Hases and FDHs but lack a Qrc. In both cases an alternative complex for quinone reduction is present, like NhC and OhC. An exception is T. yellowstonii that also has soluble periplasmic Hases and FDHs and for which only the Hmc complex was identified. In this case maybe electrons go directly to the cytoplasm through Hmc or this is also capable of quinone reduction.

**Rfn, Nqr, AND Nuo COMPLEXES FOR NADH AND FERREDOXIN OXIDATION**

Although it has long been known that NADH and ferredoxin (Fd) are important cytoplasmic components of energy metabolism in SRO, it is still not clear what role they play in the electron-transfers chains of these organisms. The membrane-bound Rfn complex mediates electron transfer between NADH and Fd and is found in numerous organisms (Li et al., 2006; Mcinerney et al., 2007; Müller et al., 2008; Seedorf et al., 2008). It was first described in Rhodobacter capsulatus where it is proposed to catalyze the reverse electron transport from NADH to Fd driven by the transmembrane proton potential (Schmehl et al., 1993). In other organisms it is proposed to carry out electron transport from reduced Fd to NAD+, coupled to electrogenic Na+ or H+ translocation (Müller et al., 2008). The Rfn complexes are constituted by six to eight subunits (Figures 1 and 2), which show similarity to Na+-translocating NADH:quinone oxidoreductases (Nqr; Steuber, 2001). There is yet no direct biochemical confirmation that Rfn translocates ions, but recent inhibitor studies obtained with membrane vesicles of the acetogenic bacterium Acetobacterium woodii are consistent with the proposal that Rfn catalyzes reduction of NAD+ from Fd coupled to electrogenic Na+ transport (Biegel and Müller, 2010). Both Rnf and Nqr are small complexes compared to the usual 14 subunits of the Neo NADH:quinone oxidoreductases (Complex I; Efremov et al., 2010).

Our analysis shows that most organisms analyzed contain one, or more, of the Nuo, Rnf, and Nqr complexes (except C. Dr. audaxvior and A. degensii; Table 2). A surprisingly high number of SRO contain the nuo genes for complex I. Only Nuo is detected in the four Clostridia organisms, and Fdp_H; quinone oxidoreductase in the case of the Archaea (Kunow et al., 1994). In most cases the NuoEFG subunits that form the NADH dehydrogenase module are absent, as observed for the complex from cyanobacteria and chloroplasts (Friedrich and Scheide, 2000), suggesting that NADH is not the actual electron donor. It is tempting to speculate that these complexes also oxidize Fd. In Desulfovibrio magneticus and Desulfovibrio sp. FW1012B two clusters of nuo genes are present, one of which includes the nuoEFG genes.

The Rfn complex is present in most organisms, with the exception of the Clostridia and Archaea, suggesting it plays a key role in the energy conservation strategies of many sulfate reducers. In most cases a multiheme cytochrome c encoding gene (with 4–10 hemes) is found next to the rnf genes as reported for Methanosarcina acetivorans (Li et al., 2006). Interestingly, Desulfbacterium autotrophicum and Dc. oleovorans have two copies of the rnf genes, and only one includes the cytochrome c gene. The presence of this cytochrome provides an electron input/output module in the periplasm, which may link the cytochrome c pool with NAD(H) and/or Fd. The Nqr complex has a more limited distribution and is detected in only 5 of the 25 genomes analyzed. Of these, four are marine organisms and the other (Desulfurivibrio alkalophilus) is a haloalkaliphilic bacterium isolated from soda lakes, and thus all are likely to have Na+-based bioenergetics. Two of these organisms have genes for all three complexes (Nuo, Rnf, and Nqr).

**H3-PYROPHOSPHATASES AND OTHERS**

The Gram-positive organisms, C. maquiligenis and a few Deltaproteobacteria contain ion-translocating pyrophosphatases, which are probably involved in energy conservation (Table 2). This is likely to compensate for the absence of other transmembrane complexes in some of these organisms. A bc3 complex is also present.
in *C. maquiligenis*, *S. fumaroxidans*, and *T. yellowstonii*. A bd quinol oxidase is present in 19 of the 25 organisms, and 7 contain a cytochrome c oxidase (*Table A2* in Appendix).

**Ech AND Coo HYDROGENASES**

The Ech Hases belong to the energy-conserving membrane-bound [NiFe] Hases that are closely related to complex I (Hedderich and Forzi, 2005; Hedderich et al., 2005). They catalyze the reduction of H+ with Fd coupled to chemiosmotic energy conservation, or reduction of Fd with H2 driven by reverse electron transport. Thus, Ech Hases and Rnf constitute the two complexes in SRO capable of performing endergonic reduction of Fd based on chemiosmotic coupling. A closely related group are the CooMKLXUH CO-induced Hases of chemolithoautotrophic bacteria that oxidize CO to CO2 with reduction of H+ to H2 (Hedderich et al., 2005; Singer et al., 2006). The presence of an Ech Hase in SRO was first reported in *Desulfovibrio gigas*, where it was proposed to constitute the cytoplasmic Hase required for the hydrogen-cycling hypothesis (Rodrigues et al., 2003). The genome of *D. vulgaris* Hildenborough encodes both an Ech and Coo Hase (Heidelberg et al., 2004), and it was shown that this organism produces CO transiently from pyruvate during growth on sulfate (Voordouw, 2002). In *D. vulgaris* the ech genes are very upregulated during growth with H2, and also upregulated with pyruvate as electron donors relative to lactate, whereas the coo genes are downregulated in H2 (Pereira et al., 2008). This agrees with an expected higher level of CO during growth with lactate, leading to production of the Coo Hase, and suggests that Ech may work bidirectionally, to reduce Fd for carbon fixation during growth with H2 or to produce H2 from reduced Fd during growth with pyruvate. Recently, the coo genes were shown to be upregulated during syntrophic growth of *D. vulgaris* on lactate with a methanogen (Walker et al., 2009). In addition, mutation of the coo genes severely impaired syntrophic growth while not affecting sulfate respiration, suggesting that Coo is an essential Hase to produce H2 from lactate in these conditions.

Despite these interesting results the Ech and Coo Hases are restricted to *Desulfovibrio* organisms, with a single exception of *C. Dr. audaxviator* that has a set of ech genes (*Table 1*). In contrast, the other organisms have soluble cytoplasmic Hases that are not present in *Desulfovibrio*.

**CYTOPLASMIC ELECTRON TRANSFER**

In recent years several studies unraveled a novel process of coupling endergonic to exergonic redox reactions in anaerobic organisms, through a flavin-based electron bifurcation mechanism involving only soluble proteins (Herrmann et al., 2008; Li et al., 2008; Thauer et al., 2008; Schut and Adams, 2009). This mechanism involves the two-step reduction/oxidation of a flavin cofactor, through a flavin-semiquinone intermediate, in which each step is associated with a different reductant/oxidant (Thauer et al., 2008), in analogy to the quinone-based electron bifurcating mechanism of the *bc* complex (Xia et al., 2007). Five examples have been described including: (i) the coupling of Fd reduction with NADH to reduction of butyryl-CoA with NADH by the butyryl-CoA dehydrogenase-EnfAB complex (Herrmann et al., 2008; Li et al., 2008), (ii) coupling of Fd reduction with H2 to the reduction of the methanogenic CoM-S-CoB heterodisulfide with H2 catalyzed by the MvhADG/HdrABC complex (Thauer et al., 2008, 2010), (iii) coupling of Fd reduction with formate to the reduction of the methanogenic CoM-S-CoB heterodisulfide with formate catalyzed by a FdhAB/HdrABC complex (Costa et al., 2010), (iv) coupling of H2 formation from NADH with H2 oxidation catalyzed by the multimeric [FeFe] Hases (Schut and Adams, 2009), and (v) coupling of NAD+ reduction with reduced Fd with NADP+ reduction with NADH catalyzed by NfnAB (Wang et al., 2010). These cases stress the importance of Fd plays in anaerobic metabolism. The reduced Fd produced through a bifurcating reaction may be oxidized by membrane-associated ion-translocating complexes (such as Rnf or Ech), resulting in energy conservation, or it may be used as electron donor in other metabolic reactions. Our genomic analysis of SRO revealed there are several examples of soluble proteins in these organisms with the potential to carry out electron bifurcation from H2, formate or other carbon-based electron donors. In particular, a very high number of proteins related to HDRs were identified (see below).

**CYTOPLASMIC HASES**

An unexpectedly high number of soluble cytoplasmic hydrogenases, of both [NiFe] and [FeFe] families, were detected in the present analysis (*Table 1*). Most organisms contain a cytoplasmic-facing Hase, either soluble or membrane-bound, except the two organisms that contain no Hases at all and *Desulfofibrobium baculatum*. In numerous cases, the gene organization indicates that the cytoplasmic Hases are likely to be involved in electron bifurcation mechanisms, either involving NADH dehydrogenases or HdrA-like proteins. A large number of the [NiFe] Hases detected are related to the MvhADG Hases of methanogens (Thauer et al., 2010). In these organisms MvhADG reduces the cytoplasmic heterodisulfide reductase HdrABC, and the two proteins have been shown to form a large complex (Stojanovic et al., 2003). The activity of this complex is increased in the presence of Fd, and MvhADG/HdrABC are proposed to couple the endergonic reduction of Fd with H2 to the exergonic reduction of the heterodisulfide with H2 by electron bifurcation, probably involving the FAD group of HdrA (Thauer et al., 2008, 2010). In the SRO analyzed the mvhADG genes are found next to an *hda* gene (six organisms) or *hdrABC* genes (four organisms), suggesting these act as electron acceptors in a process that may involve electron bifurcation. In five organisms no *hda* genes are close by. Another type of closely related [NiFe] Hase, of the Hox type, is present only in three organisms. Hox Hases are bidirectional NAD(P)-linked Hases common in cyanobacteria, and also found in other organisms (Vignais and Billoud, 2007). In the three SRO the Hox gene cluster includes *hoxHY* coding for the catalytic and small subunits, and *hoxEFG* that are homologous to *nuoEFG*, and code for the diaphorase module of the Hase. It is striking that in all SRO analyzed, with a single exception (C. *Dr. audaxviator*), the organisms that contain the energy-conserving Hases Ech or Coo do not contain other cytoplasmic [NiFe] Hases, and organisms that contain cytoplasmic [NiFe] Hases do not contain either Ech or Coo. This suggests that in SRO energy coupling through [NiFe] Hases involves either a chemiosmotic or an electron bifurcating mechanism. In the Archaea, only MvhADG/HdrABC is
detected, and in the Clostridia only two isolated MvhADG Hases are present. In two organisms, genes for another [NiFe] Hase are found next to genes encoding sensor/response-regulator proteins and histidine kinases, suggesting they are regulatory Hases.

Many cytoplasmic [FeFe] Hases are also present in the SRO analyzed, and are particularly abundant in the Clostridia class. Many of these are monomeric Fd-dependent Hases (Table 1). Another large group of [FeFe] Hases detected is formed by multimeric NAD(P)-dependent Hases similar to the tetrameric Hases from D. fructosovorans (Malki et al., 1995) and Thermoanaerobacter tengcongensis (Soboh et al., 2004). These enzymes include one flavoprotein subunit that binds NAD(P). Another member of this group is the trimeric Hase of Thermotoga maritima that was shown to use Fd and NADH synergistically as electron donors for production of H₂ (Schut and Adams, 2009). This is proposed to be also an electron bifurcating mechanism in which the exergonic oxidation of Fd is coupled to the unfavorable oxidation of NADH to give H₂. In D. fructosovorans cell extracts no NAD⁺-reducing activity was detected and it was proposed that the enzyme functions as a NADP⁺-reducing H₂-uptake Hase (Malki et al., 1995). The enzyme from T. tengcongensis was isolated and shown to work bidirectionally with NAD(H), but not with NADP(H) (Soboh et al., 2004). In the organisms analyzed the enzyme may be tetrameric, trimeric and in two organisms (D. vulgaris and Db. autotrophicum) dimeric. At this point it is not clear if the function of these Hases in the SRO is of H₂ production from Fd/NAD(P)H, the reverse, or both depending on the metabolic conditions.

A novel and interesting group of [FeFe] Hases genes is found next to a gene coding for a type 1 FDH (Matson et al., 2010), suggesting the two units may form a soluble formate–hydrogen lyase complex (FHL⁺). This gene cluster is present only in five Delusuproteobacteria, and includes minimally the gene coding for the iron-only Hase, the gene for the catalytic subunit of FDH and two four-cluster electron-transfer proteins related to HydN. All subunits are soluble in contrast to the E. coli FHL complex (Sawers, 2005). In some organisms, the iron–sulfur protein encoded next to the hydA gene has a predicted signal peptide, but this is absent in other organisms. This raises doubts about the cellular location of the Hase. It is possible that this sequence is not cleaved and acts as a membrane anchor. This putative FHL⁺ complex is equivalent of the one recently described to be present in the termite gut acetogen Treponema primitia, where it is proposed to carry out H₂-dependent CO₂ reduction (Matson et al., 2010). However, the function of these proteins in SRO remains for now unknown.

Finally, in six organisms an [FeFe] Hase including a PAS sensor domain was identified, which is very similar to the HsB protein recently reported in Thermotoga maritima saccharolyticum (Shaw et al., 2009). This Hase is likely to be involved in H₂ sensing and regulation.

**Cytoplasmic Formate Dehydrogenases**

A cytoplasmic FDH is present in many, but not all SRO (Table A1 in Appendix). It is absent in the Archaea, for which a single periplasmic FDH is detected. A NAD(P)H-linked FDH is present in many organisms, but not in Desulfovibrionaceae and Desulfobacteraceae. In these cases the catalytic FDH gene is found next to two nuoEF-like genes. Another noteworthy group is that of the putative soluble FHL⁺ described above. Only in two organisms (Df. alkenivorans and Db. autotrophicum) is an isolated fdhA gene present that may encode a Fd-dependent FDH. In other cases an fdhA gene is part of a more complex gene cluster, including in some cases hdr genes (see below).

**Electron Bifurcating Transhydrogenase**

A heterodimeric transhydrogenase was recently reported from Clostridium kleyeri (Wang et al., 2010). The enzyme, named NfnAB, catalyzes the reversible NADH-dependent reduction of NADP⁺ by reduced Fd, or the NAD⁺-dependent reduction of Fd by NADPH. It is another example of a bifurcating reaction as it couples the exergonic reduction of NADP⁺ with reduced Fd to the endergonic reduction of NADP⁺ with NADH. The nfnAB genes, both encoding iron–sulfur flavoproteins, are present in several organisms (Wang et al., 2010). They are often annotated as sulfide dehydrogenase, as this enzyme was initially reported in Pyrococcus furiosus to act as sulfide dehydrogenase (Ma and Adams, 1994), but later described to act physiologically as a Fd:NADP⁺ oxidoreductase (Ma and Adams, 2001). We found that the nfnAB genes are also present in the great majority of SRO, with the exception of the Archaea, and three bacteria (Table A3 in Appendix), suggesting it plays an important role also in the metabolism of SRO.

**Heterodisulfide Reductase-Like Proteins**

In methanogens without cytochromes the HDR enzyme is soluble and composed of three subunits,HdrABC, whereas in methanogens with cytochromes it is membrane-associated and formed by two subunits, HdrDE (Hedderich et al., 2005; Thauer et al., 2008). HdrA is an iron–sulfur flavoprotein, HdrC is a small iron–sulfur protein and HdrB contains two CCG domains and harbors a special [4Fe4S] catalytic site. HdrE is a membrane-bound cytochrome b and HdrD has both HdrB- and HdrC-like domains and includes a similar catalytic cofactor to HdrB. The HdrDE protein receives electrons from methanophenazine and reduction of the heterodisulfide is coupled to energy conservation by a redox loop mechanism involving also the membrane-associated VhoAGC Hase (Hedderich et al., 2005; Thauer et al., 2008). The soluble HdrABC enzyme forms a complex with the soluble MvhADG Hase that catalyzes heterodisulfide reduction with H₂. This exergonic reaction is proposed to be coupled to the endergonic reduction of Fd by flavin-based electron bifurcation involving HdrA (Thauer et al., 2008). As discussed above, the membrane complexes Qmo, Dsr, Tmc, and Hmc all include subunits related to HDRs (Pereira, 2008). The abundance of HDR-like proteins in SRO has been highlighted in recent genomes of SRO (Strittmatter et al., 2009; Junier et al., 2010). Recently, Strittmatter et al. (2009) proposed two new types of HDR subunits, based on proteins encoded in the Db. autotrophicum genome. The first, HdrF includes HdrB- and HdrC-like domains fused to a third transmembrane domain. Thus, HdrF is like a fusion of HdrE and HdrD. The second, HdrL, is a large protein containing an HdrA domain and one or two NADH-binding domains (Strittmatter et al., 2009). We have analyzed genes coding for HdrA-, HdrB-, and HdrD-like proteins as these are the most relevant subunits of HDRs. In general, we found few HdrB-like proteins and they are either associated with HdrAs or they are domains of HdrDs. In contrast, we found a very high number of HdrA- and HdrD-related proteins in the genomes of SRO, so our analysis focuses on these two protein families.
The majority of HdrA-like proteins are encoded in two types of gene loci (Figure 4; Table 3). In the first type an *hdrA* gene or a set of *hdrABC* genes are found next to *mvhDGA* genes coding for a soluble Mvh [NiFe] Hase as discussed above. In the second type, again a single *hdrA* gene or a set of *hdrABC* genes are found next to four genes that we named *floxABCD* genes (for flavin oxidoreductase). The *floxABCD/hdrABC* gene cluster was first identified in *D. vulgaris* Hildenborough encoding a putative Hase–HDR complex (Haveman et al., 2003), as the *flox* genes are annotated as putative Hase genes because they code for proteins related to subunits of *P. furiosus* NAD(P)-dependent soluble Hases (SH) I and II (Jenney and Adams, 2008). However, a gene coding for a catalytic Hase subunit is not present, so Flox is not a Hase. The *flox* genes code for a protein with both FAD and NAD(P)-binding domains and is similar to *P. furiosus* SH subunit γ. The *floxB* and *floxC* genes are related to *rnfC* and both code for iron–sulfur proteins similar to *P. furiosus* SH subunit β. The *floxD* gene codes for a protein similar to MvhD, which in methanogens is involved in electron transfer from Mvh Hase to Hdr (Stojanovic et al., 2003). In several organisms the *floxCD* genes are fused into a single gene. Thus, the Flox proteins are likely to oxidize NAD(P)H and transfer electrons to the HdrABC proteins. In *D. vulgaris* and other organisms the *floxABCD/hdrABC* genes are found next to a co-regulated *adh* gene coding for an alcohol dehydrogenase (Haveman et al., 2003). The Adh may reduce NAD+ to NADH, which will be oxidized by Flox. The *floxABCD/hdrABC* genes are present in the majority of the SRO analyzed. This suggests they play an important physiological role, and indeed these genes have been reported in several gene expression and proteomic studies of *D. vulgaris* energy metabolism (Haveman et al., 2003; Zhang et al., 2006a,b; Caffrey et al., 2007; Pereira et al., 2008; Walker et al., 2009). The HdrA-associated Mvh and Flox proteins probably constitute parallel pathways for HdrA reduction from H2 or NAD(P)H. It seems likely that these proteins may be involved in electron bifurcating reactions involving HdrA as previously suggested (Thauer et al., 2008). We further propose that the electron acceptor of the HdrBC proteins may be DsrCox, also thought to be a substrate for DsrK (Oliveira et al., 2008). Thus, in SRO the HdrABC/MvhDGA and HdrABC/FloxABCD complexes may provide a soluble route of electron transfer to sulfite reduction through DsrC, where energy coupling occurs through electron bifurcation rather than chemiosmotically through DsrMK. In support of this hypothesis the *dsrC* gene of *Db. autotrophicum* is found next to a *hdrA(L)/floxACBD* gene cluster (Figure 3).

Other gene loci in SRO containing *hdrA*-like genes include a *fdhA* gene (and an *hdrL*) or genes for a pyruvate:Fd oxidoreductase (Por), suggesting that formate and pyruvate may also be the source of electrons for HdrA reduction.

The analysis of *hdrD*-like genes also provided interesting results, one of which was the identification of the iron–sulfur subunit of three putative lactate dehydrogenases (LDH) as belonging to the CCG family (Figure 4; Table 3). One of the LDH gene clusters...
Table 3 | Analysis of HdrA-like and HdrD-like proteins in the SRO genomes.

|                      | Hdr/Mvh | Hdr/Flox | HdrA/other | LDH | Other HdrD-like |
|----------------------|---------|----------|------------|-----|----------------|
|                      | HdrABC/ Mvh | HdrABC/ Flox | HdrABC/ Flox | HdrA/ Flox | HdrA/ Fdh | HdrA/ POR | LDH 1a | LDH 1b | LId | EFG | LDH3 | HdrD- FAD | HdrD/ Etf | HdrD/ Mop |
| **ARCHAEA**          |         |          |            |     |                |
| Archaeoglobus fulgidus | 1       |          |            |     |                |
| Archaeoglobus profundus | 1       |          |            |     |                |
| Caldivirga maquilingensis |       |          |            |     |                |
| **DELTAPROTEOBACTERIA** |         |          |            |     |                |
| Desulfovibrio aespoeensis |       |          |            |     |                |
| Desulfovibrio desulfuricans G20 | 2       |          |            |     |                |
| Desulfovibrio desulfuricans ATCC 27774 | 1       |          |            |     |                |
| Desulfovibrio magneticus RS-1 | 1       |          |            |     |                |
| Desulfovibrio piger | 1       |          |            |     |                |
| Desulfovibrio salexigens | 1       |          |            |     |                |
| Desulfovibrio sp. FW1012B | 1       |          |            |     |                |
| Desulfovibrio vulgaris Hildenborough | 1       |          |            |     |                |
| Desulfomicrobiaceae |         |          |            |     |                |
| Desulfomicrobium baculatum | 1       |          |            |     |                |
| Desulfofacteraceae |         |          |            |     |                |
| Desulfatibacillum alkenivorans | 1       |          |            |     |                |
| Desulfobacterium autotrophicum HRM2 | 1       |          |            | 3   | 1              |
| Desulfofoccus oleivorans Hxd3 | 1       |          |            |     |                |
| Desulfahalobiaceae |         |          |            |     |                |
| Desulfahalobium retbaense DSM 5692 | 1       |          |            | 2   | 1              |
| Desulfonatronospira thiodismutans ASO3-1 | 1       |          |            |     |                |
| Desulfofusulbaceae |         |          |            |     |                |
| Desulfotalea psychrophila | 1       |          |            |     |                |
| Desulfurivibrio alkalphius | 1       |          |            |     |                |
| Syntrophobacteraceae |         |          |            |     |                |
| Syntrophobacter fumaroxidans MPOB | 2       |          |            |     |                |
was previously identified as an “organic acid oxidation region” in the genome of *D. vulgaris* and *D. desulfuricans* G20 (Pereira et al., 2007; Wall et al., 2008). It includes genes for pyruvate:Fd oxidoreductase (*por*), putative lactate permease, the putative LDH catalytic subunit, a putative LDH iron–sulfur subunit that has two CCG domains, phosphate acetyl transferase (*pta*) and acetate kinase (*ack*). A larger HdrD-related protein is also present in this gene cluster. A novel three-subunit *l*-lactate dehydrogenase that was named LdEF (or LutABC) was recently identified in *Bacillus subtilis* (Chai et al., 2009), *Shewanella oneidensis* (Pinchuk et al., 2009), and *Campylobacter jejuni* (Thomas et al., 2011). LdEF is also present in several of the SRO genomes analyzed and the LdE protein is a small HdrD-related iron–sulfur protein with one CCG domain. The LdEF enzyme is membrane-associated although no transmembrane helices are present in any of its subunits. A third putative LDH with an HdrD-like subunit was also identified. The role of the LDH HdrD-like subunits is uncertain, as the electron acceptor for LDH has not been identified.

Other proteins related to HdrD include one membrane-associated HdrF protein found next to the *etfAB* genes coding for electron-transfer flavoprotein, a large flavoprotein with two CCG domains and a putative FAD-binding site, and a protein encoded next to a gene for a molybdenum-containing aldehyde oxidoreductase. These HdrD-related proteins suggest the presence of different electron-transfer pathways (from lactate, β-oxidation, and others) as possible donors for reduction of the menaquinone pool or DsrC•.

### CONCLUDING REMARKS

The comparative genomic analysis reported in this work provides new insights into the energy metabolism of SRO. By comparing phylogenetically distinct organisms capable of sulfate reduction we identified the proteins that can be considered as comprising the minimal set required for this metabolic activity: a sulfate transporter, Sat, a pyrophosphatase, AprAB, DsrAB, DsrC, DsrMK, and Fd. The QmoAB proteins are also present in most organisms, being absent only in *C. maquiligensis*. In addition, we identified a higher diversity of possible energy conserving pathways than classically has been considered to be present in these organisms. The intracellular redox cycling of metabolites (like H₂, formate or CO) is not a universal mechanism, but should play a role in bioenergetics of *Deltaproteobacteria* and *T. yellowstonii*, which are characterized by a large number of cytochromes *c* and cytochrome *c*-associated membrane redox complexes. A large number of cytochromes *c* has previously been correlated with increased respiratory versatility in anaerobes (Thomas et al., 2008), and such versatility is also suggested by the apparent redundancy of periplasmic redox proteins and membrane complexes found in many *Deltaproteobacteria*. Redox cycling is associated with energy conservation though charge separation or redox loop mechanisms.

In contrast, the Archaea and *Clostridia* groups contain practically no cytochromes *c* or associated membrane complexes. The Gram-positive organisms analyzed present some unique traits including the absence of QmoC and DsrJOP proteins. Despite the absence of a periplasmic space, three extracytoplasmic proteins are predicted for these organisms, namely NrfHA and membrane-anchored [FeFe] Hase and FDH.
Overall, this analysis suggests that all SRO use diverse processes for energy conservation involving membrane-based chemiosmotic mechanisms, or soluble flavin-based electron bifurcation ones. Many organisms include *nuo* genes for an ion-translocating complex I, which in most cases uses a still unidentified electron donor. Another widespread ion-translocating complex is Rnf, which together with Ech and Coo Hases, provides coupling sites for Fd-associated processes such as electron bifurcation. Regarding soluble processes, we identified a surprisingly high number of cytoplasmic Hases and FDHs as likely candidates for electron bifurcation involving NAD(P)/H, Fd, or FDHs. A large number of HDR-related proteins were also detected. We propose that these proteins are part of electron-transfer pathways involving energy coupling through electron bifurcation, from diverse electron donors such as H₂, formate, pyruvate, NAD(P)H, β-oxidation, and others. These pathways may constitute alternatives to Dsr and other transmembrane complexes for reduction of Dsra−, the protein we propose is central to the sulfite reduction step.

A few novel redox proteins were identified in SRO, including a FloXABCD/HdRa(BC) complex proposed to perform electron bifurcation with NAD(P)H, Fd, and Dsra−, a new type of membrane-anchored periplasmic [FeFe] Hase, and a putative soluble FHL also comprising an [FeFe] Hase. In conclusion, this analysis indicates that energy metabolism of SRO is far more versatile than previously considered; both chemiosmotic and flavin-based electron bifurcating mechanisms provide alternative strategies for energy conservation. An interesting aspect of (at least some) SRO is their ability to grow syntrophically in the absence of sulfate. In such situation some modules of this versatile redox machinery may operate in the opposite direction to that of respiratory conditions. Finally, it should be stressed that although drawing theories based on comparative genomic analysis is an attractive and even convincing exercise, no definite conclusions can be drawn until experimental evidence is provided. Thus, much work remains to be carried out to elucidate the bioenergetic mechanisms of SRO.
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### Table A1 | Analysis of FDH distribution in the SRO genomes.

| Species                        | N<sub>r</sub> | N<sub>p</sub> | Periplasmic | Cytoplasmic |
|-------------------------------|--------------|--------------|-------------|-------------|
|                               |              |              | Soluble     | Membrane-associated |         |         |
|                               |              |              | FdhAB      | FdhABC | FdhABCD | FdhABC | FdhABD | NAD- | FHL<sub>+</sub> | Others |
| Archaeoglobus fulgidus        | 0            | 0            |            |        |         |        |        |      |       |        |
| Archaeoglobus profundus       | 1            | 1            |            |        |         |        |        |      |       |        |
| Caldivirga maquilingensis     | 0            | 0            |            |        |         |        |        |      |       |        |
| **Archaea**                   |              |              |            |        |         |        |        |      |       |        |
| **Deltaproteobacteria**       |              |              |            |        |         |        |        |      |       |        |
| Desulfovibrionaceae           |              |              |            |        |         |        |        |      |       |        |
| Desulfovibrio aespoensis      | 2            | 2            | 2          |        |         |        |        |      |       |        |
| Desulfovibrio desulfuricans G20 | 4          | 3            | 3          |        |         |        |        |      |       |        |
| Desulfovibrio desulfuricans ATCC 2777A | 3        | 2            | 1          | 1      |         |        |        |      |       |        |
| Desulfovibrio magneticus RS1  | 4            | 3            | 3          |        |         |        |        |      |       |        |
| Desulfovibrio piger           | 2            | 1            | 1          |        |         |        |        |      |       |        |
| Desulfovibrio salexigens      | 3            | 2            | 1          | 1      |         |        |        |      |       |        |
| Desulfovibrio sp. FV1012B     | 2            | 2            | 2          |        |         |        |        |      |       |        |
| Desulfovibrio vulgaris Hildenborough | 3        | 3            | 1          | 1      |         |        |        |      |       |        |
| **Desulfomicrobiaceae**       |              |              |            |        |         |        |        |      |       |        |
| Desulfomicrobium baculatum    | 3            | 2            | 2          |        |         |        |        |      |       |        |
| **Desulfobacteraceae**        |              |              |            |        |         |        |        |      |       |        |
| Desulfotomaculum alkenivorans | 3            | 1            |            |        |         |        |        |      |       |        |
| Desulfobacterium autotrophicum HRM2 | 8        | 3            | 2          | 2      |         |        |        |      |       |        |
| Desulfococcus oleovorans Hxd3 | 2            | 1            |            |        |         |        |        |      |       |        |
| **Desulfohalobiaceae**        |              |              |            |        |         |        |        |      |       |        |
| Desulfohalobium retbaeae DSM 5692 | 1        | 1            |            |        |         |        |        |      |       |        |
| Desulfonatronospira thiodismutans AS03-1 | 4        | 2            | 2          |        |         |        |        |      |       |        |
| **Desulfobulbaceae**          |              |              |            |        |         |        |        |      |       |        |
| Desulfotalea psychrophila     | 4            | 2            | 2          |        |         |        |        |      |       |        |
| Desulfurivibrio alkalophilus  | 4            | 1            |            |        |         |        |        |      |       |        |
| **Syntrophobacteraceae**      |              |              |            |        |         |        |        |      |       |        |
| Syntrophobacter fumaroxidans MPOB | 8            | 3            | 3          |        |         |        |        |      |       |        |

(Continued)
### Table A1 | Continued

|                | \( N_T \) | \( N_P \) | Periplasmic | Cytoplasmic |
|----------------|-----------|-----------|-------------|-------------|
|                | Soluble   | Membrane-associated |            |             |
|                | FdhAB     | FdhABC    | FdhABC      | FdhABD      | NAD-        | FHLs        | Others |
| **CLOSTRIDIA** |           |           |             |             |             |             |        |
| **Peptococcaceae** |           |           |             |             |             |             |        |
| *Desulfotomaculum acetoxidans* DSM 771 | 2          | 0          |             |             |             |             | 2      |
| *Desulfotomaculum reducens* | 2          | 1          |             |             |             |             | 1      |
| *C. Desulfuris audaxviator* MP104C | 3          | 1          |             |             |             |             | 1      |
| **Thermoanaerobacterales** |           |           |             |             |             |             | 2      |
| *Ammonifex degensii* KC4 | 2          | 1          | 1           |             | 1           |             |        |
| **NITROSPIRA** |           |           |             |             |             |             |        |
| *Thermodesulfovibrio yellowstonii* | 1          | 1          | 1           |             |             |             |        |
| **No. of organisms** | 13        | 6          | 3           | 5           | 7           | 5           | 8      |

\( N_T \), total number of FDHs; \( N_P \), number of periplasmic FDHs; NAD, NAD(H)-dependent FDH; FHLs, putative soluble formate hydrogen lyase complex.
Table A2 | Analysis of distribution of selected cytochromes c in the SRO genomes.

|                | $N_1$ | $Tpc_c$ | $c_{3aa}$-like | split-Soret | NrfHA | $c_{553}$ | Cyt oxid | $bd_1$ oxid |
|----------------|-------|---------|----------------|-------------|-------|-----------|----------|------------|
| **ARCHAEA**    |       |         |                |             |       |           |          |            |
| Archaeoglobus fulgidus | 1     |         |                |             |       |           |          |            |
| Archaeoglobus profundus | 1     |         |                |             |       |           |          |            |
| Caldivirga maquilingensis | 0     |         |                |             |       |           |          |            |
| **DELTA PROTEOBACTERIA** |       |         |                |             |       |           |          |            |
| Desulfovibrionaceae |       |         |                |             |       |           |          |            |
| Desulfovibrio aespoeensis | 13    | 2       | 1              |             | 1     | 1         | 1        | 1          |
| Desulfovibrio desulfuricans G20 | 14    | 1       | 1              | 1           | 1     | 1         | 1        | 1          |
| Desulfovibrio desulfuricans ATCC 27774 | 11    | 1       | 1              | 1           | 1     | 1         | 1        | 1          |
| Desulfovibrio magnetotius RS-1 | 14    | 2       | 3              |             | 1     | 1         | 1        | 1          |
| Desulfovibrio piger | 7     | 1       | 1              | 1           | 1     | 1         |          |            |
| Desulfovibrio salexigens | 14    | 3       | 1              |             | 1     |           |          |            |
| Desulfovibrio sp. FW1012B | 11    | 2       | 2              |             | 1     | 1         | 1        | 1          |
| Desulfovibrio vulgans Hildenborough | 18    | 1       | 2              |             | 1     | 2         | 1        | 1          |
| Desulfomicrobiaceae |       |         |                |             |       |           |          |            |
| Desulfomicrobium baculatum | 15    | 2       | 1              |             | 1     | 1         | 1        | 1          |
| **Desulfobacteraceae** |       |         |                |             |       |           |          |            |
| Desulfatibacillus akenivorans | 14    | 2       | 1              |             | 1     |           |          |            |
| Desulfobacterium autotrophicum HRM2 | 15    | 1       | 1              |             |       | 1         |          |            |
| Desulfococcus oleovorans Hxd3 | 14    | 2       | 1              |             | 1     |           |          |            |
| **Desulfohalobacteae** |       |         |                |             |       |           |          |            |
| Desulfobulbaceae |       |         |                |             |       |           |          |            |
| Desulfotalea psychrophila | 5     |         |                |             |       | 1         |          |            |
| Desulfuribrio alkaliphilus | 22    | ?       | 4              |             | 1     | 1         | 1        |            |
| **Syntrophobacteraceae** |       |         |                |             |       |           |          |            |
| Syntrophobacter fumaroxidans M POB | 10    | 1       | 1              |             |       | 1         |          |            |
| **CLOSTRIDIA** |       |         |                |             |       |           |          |            |
| Peptococcaceae |       |         |                |             |       |           |          |            |
| Desulfotomaculum acetoxidans DSM 771 | 0     |         |                |             |       |           |          |            |

(Continued)
Table A3 | Analysis of nfnAB gene distribution in the SRO genomes.

|    | nfnA | nfnB |
|----|------|------|
| **ARCHAEIA** |      |      |
| Archaeoglobus fulgidus | 1  | 1 |
| Archaeoglobus profundus | 1  | 1 |
| Caldivirga maquiltingensis | 1  | 1 |

| **DELTAPROTEOBACTERIA** |      |      |
|--------------------------|------|------|
| Desulfovibrio aespoeensis | 1  | 1 |
| Desulfovibrio desulfuricans G20 | 1  | 1 |
| Desulfovibrio desulfuricans ATCC 27774 | 1  | 1 |
| Desulfovibrio magnetus RS-1 | 1  | 1 |
| Desulfovibrio piger | 1  | 1 |
| Desulfovibrio slexigens | 1  | 1 |
| Desulfovibrio sp. FW1012B | 1  | 1 |
| Desulfovibrio vulgaris Hildenborough | 1  | 1 |

| **DESUFSMICROBIAE** |      |      |
|---------------------|------|------|
| Desulfomicrobiium baculatum | 1  | 1 |

| **CLOSTRIDIA** |      |      |
|-----------------|------|------|
| Desulfobacteriaceae | 1  | 1 |
| Desulfobacterium alkenovarans | 1  | 1 |
| Desulfobacterium autotrophicum HRM2 | 1  | 1 |
| Desulfococcus oleovorans Hxd3 | 1  | 1 |

| **SYNTROPHOBACTERIAE** |      |      |
|-------------------------|------|------|
| Desulfohalobium retbaense DSM 5692 | 1  | 1 |
| Desulfitononaspora thiodismutans AS03-1 | 1  | 1 |

| **THERMOANAEROBACTERIALES** |      |      |
|-----------------------------|------|------|
| Ammonifex degensii KC4 | 1  | 1 |

| **NITROSPIRA** |      |      |
|----------------|------|------|
| Thermodesulfovibrio yellowstonii | 19  | 19 |

N	extsubscript{t} total number of multiheme cytochromes c detected. The presence of cytochrome c oxidases and bd quinol oxidases is also indicated.

NT, total number of multiheme cytochromes c detected. The presence of cytochrome c oxidases and bd quinol oxidases is also indicated.