The discovery and application of advanced molecular techniques, such as gene and microRNA expression profiling, whole genome and exome sequencing and methylation assays, allowed for the identification of recurrent molecular abnormalities in acute myeloid leukemia (AML) that have revolutionized our understanding of the genetic landscape of the disease. Moreover, these modalities have emerged as valuable tools that permit a more comprehensive and detailed molecular characterization of AML, helping in the prediction of prognosis, particularly within the context of cytogenetically normal AML (CN-AML). This review will discuss the major techniques and platforms that have been used to identify novel recurrent gene mutations in AML and briefly describe how these discoveries have impacted on outcome prediction.
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Introduction

Acute Myeloid Leukemias (AML) is a clonal hematopoietic disorder arising from the acquisition of genetic and epigenetic alterations, leading to a premature arrest of the normal differentiation of stem cells and to the accumulation of immature neoplastic cells in the blood and bone marrow. According to Byrd et al, almost 55% of newly diagnosed adult patients harbor non-random chromosomal abnormalities (e.g., deletions, translocations), which have long been recognized as the genetic events that cause and promote this disease [1].

Moreover in 1990s, the karyotypic evaluation at diagnosis emerged as the most powerful tool in defying the prognosis and the response to treatment [2] (Figure 1).

However with the conventional karyotyping almost 50% of the patients were classified as CN-AML and displayed an heterogeneous outcome [3,4]. Over the past two decades, advances in molecular diagnostics has improved our knowledge of AML biology and has contributed remarkably to refining overall prognosis, particularly in the subset of CN-AML [5]. In the same way, gene and microRNA expression profiling discovered the deepest perturbation following the acquisition of a specific mutation, suggesting new targets for treatment and adding further prognostic information [6].

Lastly whole genome sequencing of AML patients uncovered new mutations in AML that have also an impact on prognosis. The integration of the data is critical to guide future therapeutic strategies. Herein we will describe molecular techniques and platforms that have been used to discover novel mutations and gene expression signatures in AML and how these discoveries have refined prognosis in AML.

Molecular Diagnostic in AML

Gene mRNA expression microarrays

The genes’ mRNA expression levels were determined mainly using single real time PCR assays. While this is still of paramount importance in the measurement of single gene expression, the development of microarray platforms allowed the simultaneous measurement of multiple genes’ expression in a one step-assay. With this approach we gained knowledge about unique gene expression signatures associated with defined cytogenetics and molecular subgroups of AML [7,8]. The use of gene expression profiling (GEP) has also identified novel sub-types of disease, particularly in CN-AML [9,10] and has been helpful in identifying potential targets of therapy [11,12]. GEP is highly accurate in predicting cytogenetically favorable AML subtypes, such as core-binding factor (CBF-AML) and acute promyelocytic leukemias. However, it is less accurate in predicting intermediate and high risk cytogenetic subtypes (such as monosomy 7 and 11q23) [13] with the exception of FLT3-ITD, where gene expression signatures using mRNA microarrays were found to outperform FLT3-ITD mutation status in predicting disease outcome,
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thereby supporting the use of GEP in these cases [14-16]. Moreover in older adults, GEP studies revealed signatures that were unique and distinct from those of younger patients and suggestive of a different biology [17]. Recently, the multi-national Microarray Innovations in Leukemia (MILe) study, in which whole GEPs were studied in 11 laboratories on 3,334 patients, demonstrated the high level of accuracy in classifying subtypes of AML and argues for the use of this modality on a broad scale [18]. However as reported by Mills et al., although the DC model proved to be very accurate in the classification of leukemia, it failed in discriminating between AML and Myelodysplastic Syndromes in almost 50% of MDS samples[19]. Moreover the same group [20] reported a significant difference in AML progression among MDS discordant cases depending on the GEP stratification into 3 different categories (AML-like, none of the targets and MDS like) (Figure 2).

![Figure 2: AML Progression according to GEP in discordant cases of MDS (reproduced from Mills et al. Blood 2009 [16]).](image-url)
MicroRNA expression microarrays

The micro-RNAs are small (19-25 nucleotides long) RNAs, acting as regulators of genes’ RNA translation by binding them. An aberrant expression pattern has been reported in different cancers and in AML. As shown in Table 1 in the last years there have been an ever growing burden of report regarding micro-RNAs’ expression in AML. These experiences had two major merits: on one hand they were able to add new insights in AML biology, both in molecular defined and CN-AML; on the other hand they gave new valuable prognostic markers for refining the prognosis of CN-AML and maybe in the future new targets for treatment [21-33]. Early studies reported a specific abnormal expression signatures associated with specific cytogenetic abnormalities and molecular aberrations such as FLT3-ITD, NPM1 and CEBPA mutations [34,35]. A landmark study in which microRNA expression profiles were obtained from 64 patients with CN-AML and high-risk molecular features (FLT3-ITD mutation, NPM1 WT) identified 12 miRNA probes, five of which were members of the miR-181 family, whose expression levels were inversely associated with event free survival (EFS) [36]. Similarly other groups found a significant correlation between hyper-expression of other miRs and a worse prognosis (miR199a [37], miR-126 [38], miR-155 [39]). Over-all these observations were the premise for the application of miRNA expression profiling at diagnosis. With this technique, Diaz et al. were able to confirm the prognostic impact of four miRNAs (miR-135a, miR-49-3p, miR-196b and miR-644) in a group of 238 patients with intermediate risk cytogenetic AML, arguing for use of this miRNA array at diagnosis [40]. However there is a general lack of consistency among the signatures associated with prognosis obtained from the different groups, making difficult to draw a firm conclusion and arguing the need for an extensive validation and standardization of the technique before the use in the clinical setting.

| Genetic/molecular subtype | Upregulated | Downregulated | Study |
|---------------------------|-------------|---------------|-------|
| t(15;17)                  | miR-193b/379/382/4855p/134/376a/2995p/452/127/224/432/370/100/323/125b/154/424/181a,b,d | miR-96a,b/151/10b/let-7c | [17] |
|                          | miR-127/154/154*/299/323/368/370 | miR-173p/185/187/194/200a,b,c/330/339 | [18] |
|                          | miR-181a,b,c,d/234/368/382/424/100/125b | miR-126/126*/150/17-5p/20a/422b/10a/124* | [18] |
| t(8;21)                   | miR-126* | let-7b,c/miR-148a/125b/99a/133a,b/910a,b/196a,b/133a | [17] |
| inv(16)                   | miR-424/199b/365/335/511 | miR-10a,b/196a,b/127/192/let-7b,c | [17] |
|                          | miR-99a/100/224 | miR-320/335/130a/126*/192/365/339/424/100/99b/328 | [18] |
| 11q23                     | miR-326/219/194/301/324/339/99b/328 | miR-34b/15a/29a/29c/372/30a/29b/30e/196a/120/331/299/193/let-7f | [7] |
|                          | miR-196b/17-3p, 5p/18°/19a,b/20°/92/93/10a,b/124a | miR-126/126*/130a/148a/181a,b,c,d/224/368/382/424 | [19] |
| +8                        | miR-124a/30d/337/184/302b/105/let7d/153/185/119 | miR-34b/15a/29a/29c/372/30a/29b/30e/196a/120/331/299/193/let-7f | [7] |

Cyogenetically normal acute myeloid leukemia

| FLT3-ITD mutated          | miR-155/10b/511/135a | miR-143/333/38a/3-3p/182/145/130a/214/203 | [17] |
|                          | miR-10a,b/100/224/16a,b/29a,b,c/16-1/17-92 | miR-192/299/128a/198/429/326/204/127/299/5p/193b | [20] |
|                          | miR-10a,b/196a,b/135a/let-7b | miR-320/353/130a/126*/424/365/450/127/299/5p/193b | [17] |
| NPM1 mutated             | miR-335/181a | miR-196a,b/149/9/21/130b/let-7b/99b/148* | [17] |
|                          | miR-128/181a,b,c,d/192/219-1-3p/224/335/340 | miR-34a/194 | [21] |
| RUNX1 mutated            | miR-211/220/595 | miR-572/99a/100/let7a,f | [22] |
| ASXL1 mutated            | None | None | [23] |
| DNMT3A mutated           | miR-10a,b/659/147/361-3p | miR-10a,b/659/147/361-3p | [24] |
| IDH2 R172 mutated        | miR-1/133a/125b/125a-b/5p/421/374a/361-5p/26a/30d | miR-7/345/129-5p/632/615-5p/1301/639/648b/520a/3p/528a/194-1 | [25] |
Application of SNP-array in clinical practice will accomplish the goal to implement the prognostic classification of AML, allowing for a better planning of treatment in selected patients [41,42].

Indeed, these lower frequencies are in accord with other studies on AML, as primarily involved in disease pathogenesis [50]. In another recently published study, whole genome sequencing of CN-AML genomes were compared to those from patients with a known driver mutation (PML-RARA) and hematopoietic stem cells from healthy volunteers in order to provide insight regarding clonal evolution in AML.[51] This report showed that the majority of somatic mutations in AML genomes occur randomly in hematopoietic stem cells prior to acquisition of driver mutations, and that, often, only one or two cooperating mutations are capable of producing malignant clones. The Cancer Genome Atlas Network recently used an integrative approach with either whole genome or whole exome sequencing in combination with RNA or microRNA sequencing and with DNA methylation studies in 200 patients with de novo AML in order to provide the most complete view of genetic alterations in AML. [52]. In this report, AML genomes were shown to contain an average of only 13 gene mutations, which is relatively lower than the number of mutations observed in other malignancies. Additionally, AML genomes generally did not display evidence of genomic instability and several mutations were found to be recurrent.

Whole genome sequencing

The sequencing of the entire human genome was first accomplished in 2000, mainly through the use of shotgun sequencing technology [43]. Over the past several years, the development of modern sequencing techniques such as the use of nanopore, fluoropore and pyrosequencing have made it possible to perform whole gene sequencing in a patient sample within a reasonable cost and timeframe [44]. This progress has open a new era in genomics and now we are able to sequence, fully, the whole genome of cancer patients. It is also possible to sequence selected areas of the genome. For example, exome sequencing is a technique in which only the coding regions of the genome are sequenced [45], allowing for selective capture of the genomic regions of interest from a DNA sample prior to sequencing. It is also possible to select small RNAs using size selection, or similar capture strategies as described above, to perform comprehensive small RNA profiling in patient samples [46]. Another popular technique is the whole transcriptome sequencing or RNA-Seq, where all RNA spliced transcripts, post-transcriptional changes, gene fusion, mutations/SNPs and changes in gene expression. RNA-seq also avoids several biases associated with microarray hybridization-based platforms. The limitations include reliance upon existing knowledge of genomicsequences, high background levels owing to cross-hybridization, a limited dynamic range of detection (background and saturation of signals) and the necessity of complicated normalization methods to compare expression levels across different experiments [48].

In 2008, whole genome sequencing of an AML patient’s genome, and its matched normal counterpart obtained from the same patient’s skin, was reported in a seminal paper by Ley et al. [49]. The authors discovered ten genes with acquired mutations, whose eight were new mutations present in virtually all blasts at presentation and relapse [49]. In a second manuscript, Mardis and colleagues, using the same approach, identified 12 genes, ten of which had not been previously reported to be perturbed in AML, as primarily involved in disease pathogenesis [50]. In another recently published study, whole genome sequencing of CN-AML genomes were compared to those from patients with a known driver mutation (PML-RARA) and hematopoietic stem cells from healthy volunteers in order to provide insight regarding clonal evolution in AML.[51] This report showed that the majority of somatic mutations in AML genomes occur randomly in hematopoietic stem cells prior to acquisition of driver mutations, and that, often, only one or two cooperating mutations are capable of producing malignant clones. The Cancer Genome Atlas Network recently used an integrative approach with either whole genome or whole exome sequencing in combination with RNA or microRNA sequencing and with DNA methylation studies in 200 patients with de novo AML in order to provide the most complete view of genetic alterations in AML. [52]. In this report, AML genomes were shown to contain an average of only 13 gene mutations, which is relatively lower than the number of mutations observed in other malignancies. Additionally, AML genomes generally did not display evidence of genomic instability and several mutations were found to

Single nucleotide polymorphism array

Single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) array is a novel tool with superior resolution to detect slight variation between human genomes. This platform is very similar to a microarray platform and contains immobilized nucleic acid sequences of target, one or more labeled allele-specific oligonucleotide probes and a detection system that measures the hybridization signal. The first problem to face with such a sensible assay is the differentiation between cancer related micro-aberrations and germ-line derived polymorphisms. It’s possible to overcome this limitation by using a second sample form a normal tissue (usually the buccal swab or a skin biopsy) as comparison [41,42]. Two recent studies of pediatric and adult AML have underscored the value of paired samples in SNP array analysis. Walter et al. [43] analyzed 86 adult AML cases using ultra-high resolution Affymetrix 6.0 SNP arrays with paired normal DNA in all cases, whereas Radtke et al. [44] studied 111 pediatric AML cases with high-resolution SNP arrays (combination of 100K and 500K arrays) using paired samples for 65 patients and higher stringency criteria to define abnormalities for the remaining 46 patients. The studies identified only 12 or 18 regions with significantly recurrent chromosome number abnormalities (CNAs), respectively, and an average of 2.3 CNAs/AML genome [45,46]. Thus, given the low frequency of recurring CNAs in AML compared with other cancers, it becomes critically important to discriminate between true acquired CNAs and inherited chromosome number variations (CNVs). However, even with ultra-high resolution arrays, and the analysis of paired normal DNA, which is needed to identify true CNAs and to eliminate confounding CNVs from the analysis, it can still be challenging to identify small CNAs (∼1 Mb) with certainty, as indicated by a low rate of validation by additional criteria and experimental analysis [37]. Both of these studies of AML reported a low frequency of CNAs, 8% and 13%, in contrast to a frequency of 17% reported in a study without paired analysis [39]. Indeed, these lower frequencies are in accord with other studies on MDS, in which copy neutral loss of heterozygosity (CNLOH) was found in 12% of the cases [40]. From a practical point of view, notwithstanding the technical problems, it seems that a wide application of SNP-array in clinical practice will accomplish the goal to implement the prognostic classification of AML, allowing for a better planning of treatment in selected patients [41,42].

Whole genome sequencing

The sequencing of the entire human genome was first accomplished in 2000, mainly through the use of shotgun sequencing technology [43]. Over the past several years, the development of modern sequencing techniques such as the use of nanopore, fluoropore and pyrosequencing have made it possible to perform whole gene sequencing in a patient sample within a reasonable cost and timeframe [44]. This progress has open a new era in genomics and now we are able to sequence, fully, the whole genome of cancer patients. It is also possible to sequence selected areas of the genome. For example, exome sequencing is a technique in which only the coding regions of the genome are sequenced [45], allowing for selective capture of the genomic regions of interest from a DNA sample prior to sequencing. It is also possible to select small RNAs using size selection, or similar capture strategies as described above, to perform comprehensive small RNA profiling in patient samples [46]. Another popular technique is the whole transcriptome sequencing or RNA-Seq, where all RNA spliced transcripts, post-transcriptional changes, gene fusion, mutations/SNPs and changes in gene expression. RNA-seq also avoids several biases associated with microarray hybridization-based platforms. The limitations include reliance upon existing knowledge of genomicsequences, high background levels owing to cross-hybridization, a limited dynamic range of detection (background and saturation of signals) and the necessity of complicated normalization methods to compare expression levels across different experiments [48].

In 2008, whole genome sequencing of an AML patient’s genome, and its matched normal counterpart obtained from the same patient’s skin, was reported in a seminal paper by Ley et al. [49]. The authors discovered ten genes with acquired mutations, whose eight were new mutations present in virtually all blasts at presentation and relapse [49]. In a second manuscript, Mardis and colleagues, using the same approach, identified 12 genes, ten of which had not been previously reported to be perturbed in AML, as primarily involved in disease pathogenesis [50]. In another recently published study, whole genome sequencing of CN-AML genomes were compared to those from patients with a known driver mutation (PML-RARA) and hematopoietic stem cells from healthy volunteers in order to provide insight regarding clonal evolution in AML.[51] This report showed that the majority of somatic mutations in AML genomes occur randomly in hematopoietic stem cells prior to acquisition of driver mutations, and that, often, only one or two cooperating mutations are capable of producing malignant clones. The Cancer Genome Atlas Network recently used an integrative approach with either whole genome or whole exome sequencing in combination with RNA or microRNA sequencing and with DNA methylation studies in 200 patients with de novo AML in order to provide the most complete view of genetic alterations in AML. [52]. In this report, AML genomes were shown to contain an average of only 13 gene mutations, which is relatively lower than the number of mutations observed in other malignancies. Additionally, AML genomes generally did not display evidence of genomic instability and several mutations were found to

| Table 1: Characteristic microRNA expression signatures in different adult acute myeloid leukemia subtypes. |
|---|
| **TET2** mutated | miR-148a, 148b, 24, 640, 107 | miR-135a/186 | [26] |
| **Low MN1** expression | miR-30b/126/126*/30a/30b/148a/148/199a/363 | let-7b/mir-10a/10a*/10b/449a/550*766 | [27] |
| **BAALC** expression | miR-222/130a/130b/126/126*/1380/26a/26b | miR-9/9*/10b/105/99/100/let-7b, mir-10a, 10a* | [28] |
| **Low ERG** expression | miR-208a/144*/612/107/148a | miR-106a/147b/495/302d/26a-1*/515-5p | [28] |
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exist in mutual exclusivity of others, thereby providing important insights regarding disease pathobiology. However, almost all AML samples were found to have at least one driver mutation involving genes known to be implicated in various AML pathogenesis mechanisms. The data obtained from this analysis have been used to generate widely available databases for future AML studies (Figure 3).

Figure 3: Organization of Mutations into Categories of Related Genes: Almost all the sample displayed one mutation in one of the listed genes or sets. Blue boxes indicate mutations that are exclusive across all categories; green boxes, mutations that co-occur in the same sample across different categories; and orange boxes, mutations that co-occur in the same sample in the same category. Computational analysis identified three significant, mutually exclusive groups of genes, annotated on the right as groups A, B, and C. The cytogenetic risk for each patient is shown at the bottom of the chart (reproduced from Cancer Genome Atlas Research NEJM 2009 [52]).

Molecular aberration in AML

The discovery and application of molecular biology has been able in the last 10 years to describe recurrent molecular abnormalities in CN-AML. Moreover these mutation has been able to refine the cytotgenetical based prognostic models and to improve our understanding of AML. At present, an ever growing number of recurrent mutation are being reported and implicated in the pathogenesis of AML. Here, we will discuss the most important mutations, their associated clinical features, impact on prognosis and directions for future targeted therapy. A complete review of all the single mutations reported in the current literature is beyond the scope of this manuscript, which will focus on the more frequent mutations and on those, among the rare ones, whose prognostic significance has been studied in the context of an homogenous cohort.

Flt3

Flm-related tyrosine kinase 3 is a type 3 receptor tyrosine kinase normally present on normal bone marrow progenitor cells, whose expression is lost upon maturation [62]. The receptor consists of an extracellular domain composed of five immunoglobulin-like domains, one trans-membrane region, and a cytoplasmic kinase domain split into two parts by a kinase-insert domain. The receptor is activated by binding of the Flt 3 ligand to the extracellular domain, which induces homodimer formation in the plasma membrane leading to autophosphorylation of the receptor. The activated receptor kinase subsequently phosphorylates and activates multiple cytoplasmic effector molecules in pathways involved in apoptosis, proliferation, and differentiation of hematopoietic cells in bone marrow. Mutations in FLT3 gene were one of the first molecular abnormalities to be described and one of the more frequent in CN-AMLs. FLT3 is expressed on AML cells in at least 70% of cases, and approximately one-third of AML patients harbor activating mutations of FLT3, including internal tandem duplications (ITDs) in 25% and point mutations in 5%, resulting in constitutive activation of FLT3 signaling. The presence of the FLT3-ITD mutation, either as a sole abnormality or in conjunction with other gene mutations, commonly nucleophosmin (NPM 1) and CCAAT/Enhancer Binding Protein (CEBPA), has a well-recognized adverse prognostic impact on disease outcomes, with short, disease-free survival (DFS) following standard AML chemotherapy [63,64]. As such, molecularly targeted therapies directed at inhibiting FLT3 signaling have been an attractive treatment option to improve disease outcomes in this ill-fated patient subset. Phase I/II trials of single-agent lestaurtinib (CEP701), midostaurin (PKC412) and sorafenib, demonstrated that these agents were generally well tolerated with more than 70% of patients achieving some degree of hematologic improvement, as evidenced by reduction in blood and/or bone marrow blasts [65-67]. However, these responses were incomplete and transient, possibly due to high plasma protein binding activity [68], cell cycle inhibition and multikinase inhibition that may result in off-target effects and toxicities [69,70]. Besides, the evaluation of these agents in combination with standard chemotherapy regimens leads to incongruous results [70,71]. A possible explanation of these discrepancies is the timing of sorafenib treatment. Actually the levels of Flt3 ligand increases shortly after an intensive chemotherapy, leading to a competitive displacement of the inhibitor. Better results have been reported in a recent paper from the MD Anderson Cancer Center with the association of sorafenib and azacitidine in elderly relapsed or refractory patients [72]. The treatment resulted in 46% overall response rate (ORR) with 27%

Epigenetic modifications: DNA methylation

Hypermethylation of CpG islands in close proximity of promoter regions of tumor suppressor genes, leading to functional inactivation and gene silencing, has been shown to be an important and highly common mechanism in the pathogenesis of AML (reviewed in Ref. [53] and in Ref. [54]). As such, DNA methylation studies have been shown to provide important prognostic informations and their use has been associated with improved ability to classify AML further and also to predict disease outcomes [55,56]. A recent study using DNA microarray platforms in

344 patients described 16 epigenetically distinct groups, nine of which were associated with specific molecular subgroups of AML (CEBPA, NPM1, AML-ETO1, CBFb-MYH11 and PML-RARA) [57]. A number of recent reports have also identified aberrant methylation profiling in AML associated with several molecular mutations including EVI1 [58], MLL [59] and CEBPA [60]. There are also novel approaches such as the use of deep sequencing platforms that can provide a more comprehensive coverage of methylation profiling in cancer samples. As a proof of principle, whole methylene sequencing studies in AML patients have been reported before and after hypomethylation therapy with decitabine [61].
complete response (CR) with a median remission duration of 2.3 months (range, 1.12.2 months), without increasing toxicities [72]. Notwithstanding, FLT3 inhibition remains an important therapeutic target that is the subject of ongoing studies.

**NPM1**

This gene encodes a phosphoprotein which moves between the nucleus and the cytoplasm. The gene product is thought to be involved in several processes including regulation of the ARF/p53 pathway. Mutations involving exon 12 within the C-terminal domain of the nucleophosmin gene, NPM1, have been uncovered in approximately one-third of newly diagnosed cases of de novo AML, and comprise the majority of molecular abnormalities appreciated in this subset of patients [73]. Such mutations result in abnormal cytoplasmic localization of the predominantly nuclear nucleophosmin protein, ultimately leading to abrogation of the activity of key tumor suppressor proteins that are required to be in the nucleus in order to perform their normal function [73,74]. Moreover, NPM1 mutated AML is associated with distinctive biologic and clinical features including older age, female predominance, multi-lineage involvement, mainly FAB M4/M5 morphology, extra-medullary disease, high blast percentage, increased white blood cell counts and lack of CD34 expression [74]. As an isolated molecular abnormality, NPM1 is associated with a highly favorable prognosis, with CR rates between 70-80% and PFS and OS similar to those in CBF mutated AML [75,76]; however, concurrent FLT3-ITD mutations are present in one-third of cases and counteract the positive prognostic impact of the NPM1 mutation [3,76]. Selective inhibitors of nuclear export (SINEs), which bind to and inhibit the activity of the exportin-1 (XPO1) receptor, have shown promising results in preclinical and clinical studies of AML patients [77]. This represents a potentially novel treatment strategy in patients whose leukemia is characterized by mutations that alter normal nuclear export. Moreover, Patel et al. [78] reported a significant survival improvement in NPM1 mutated patients when high dose daunorubicin was employed during induction.

**CCAAT/enhancer binding protein alpha (CEBPA)**

Intact functioning CEBPA gene is essential for normal granulocytic differentiation and as a consequence, all CEBPA mutations impair normal myeloid differentiation. This gene encodes a transcription factor that contains a basic leucine zipper (bZIP) domain and recognizes the CCAAT motif in the promoters of target genes. The encoded protein functions in homodimers and also heterodimers with CCAAT/enhancer-binding proteins beta and gamma. Activity of this protein can modulate the expression of genes involved in cell cycle regulation as well as in body weight homeostasis. Overall CEBPA is mutated in 5-10% of de novo AML and in up to 15% of CN-AML cases [3,79]. Initially the mere presence of such mutation was reported as a positive prognostic factor, excluding the cases with co-occurring Flt3 mutations [79,80]. However, subsequent studies showed that the positive impact on overall survival (OS) is limited to the CEBPA double mutated (CEBPAdm) cases only [81,82]. More than 70% CEBPAdm cases are CN-AML. Concomitant GATA1 and WT1 mutations are found more often in double mutants, while FLT3-ITD, NPM1, ASXL1 and RUNX1 are more commonly associated with single mutations, possibly contributing to the poorer prognostic effect of CEBPAsm [83]. GATA2 mutations have also been reported in approximately 20% of cases with CEBPAdm and appear to have a favorable impact on patients with intermediate risk AML [84], while concurrent TET2 mutations are associated with a significantly worse overall survival compared to TET2 wild-type cases [85]. As a result several authors support the classification of AML with CEBPAdm as a separate entity with a characteristic and specific gene signature, also supporting a separate designation [86]. Despite the positive effect on OS, recent evidences show that RFS is improved with autologous or allogeneic transplant in CR1, compared to chemotherapy only [87]. Accordingly a recent retrospective evaluation of CEBPAdm patients, treated according to different HOVOLON/SAAK and AMLSG protocols, reports a better PFS and OS for those transplanted in CR1 but no difference in OS, underling that CEBPAdm AML retains an high sensibility to re-induction chemotherapy, allowing an individually based choice between to perform transplant or not in CR1 [88].

**KIT**

This gene encodes the human homolog of the proto-oncogene c-kit. C-kit was first identified as the cellular homolog of the feline sarcoma viral oncogene v-kit. This protein is a type 3 transmembrane receptor for MGF (mast cell growth factor, also known as stem cell factor). As a cell-surface receptor for the cytokine KITLG/SCF, it plays an essential role in the regulation of cell survival and proliferation, hematopoiesis, stem cell maintenance, gametogenesis, mast cell development, migration and function, and in melanogenesis. In response to KITLG/SCF binding, KIT can activate several signaling pathways. The discovery of activating c-KIT receptor tyrosine kinase mutations in CBF-leukemias, [inv(16)(p13.q22) or t(8;21)(q22;q22)], has helped to refine prognosis for patients with what is traditionally considered good-risk leukemia [89]. C-KIT mutations have been consistently shown, in several series, to portend a worse OS and PFS, particularly in patients with t(8;21) [90-92]. Studies investigating the use of c-KIT inhibitors, such as imatinib either alone or in combination with chemotherapy, have produced promising results [93-95]; however, further exploration of this and other KIT inhibitors continue to be underway.

**RUNX1**

The gene codifies the alpha subunit of Core binding factor (CBF). CBF is a heterodimeric transcription factor that binds to the core element of many enhancers and promoters. The protein encoded by this gene is thought to be involved in the development of normal hematopoiesis. The AML Study Group recently conducted a comprehensive analysis of clinical features associated with RUNX1 mutations in a group of 945 AML patients [96]. These mutations have been shown to be present in approximately 5% of AML cases and were more prevalent in patients with intermediate-risk disease and virtually absent in core-binding factor leukemias, where in turn RUNX1 is the partner gene in t (8; 21). In this series the mutations co-occur with MLL-PTD and IDH1/2 and were associated with poor prognosis, including chemotherapy resistance, and shortened EFS, RFS and OS. Moreover the presence of the mutation correlated with age >60 years, conferring also in the elderly subgroup a poor prognosis. As such, RUNX1 mutations seem to have an independent prognostic effect on OS [96,97]. Lastly they are mutually exclusive of NPM1 and CEBPA mutations and expression profiling demonstrated up-regulation of genes typically appreciated in primitive hematopoietic stem cells and B-cell progenitors [22]. Initial studies support the use of allogeneic stem cell transplant in patients with these mutations [96].
RAS

The Ras oncogene family, whose members are related to the transforming genes of mammalian sarcoma retroviruses, encodes products normally involved in signal transduction pathways. These proteins can bind GTP and GDP, and they have intrinsic GTPase activity. Activating mutations of RAS, either KRAS or NRAS, constitute approximately 20% of detected mutations in AML [98], especially in CBF-AML (particularly inv (16)). However, they do not appear to carry any major prognostic significance in terms of DFS, EFS or OS [3,99]. It has been shown that activating mutations of NRAS potentiate survival of leukemia cells by inhibiting apoptosis and reducing differentiation of AML cells, suggesting a possible mechanistic pathway for leukemogenesis and a potential therapeutic target [100]. Interestingly, the Cancer and Leukemia Group B study group (CALGB) recently demonstrated that patients with AML associated with RAS mutations derived the greatest benefit from high, rather than low, dose cytarabine treatment in terms of relapse risk [101] thereby suggesting that higher drug doses should be used in patients with these mutations.

Additional sex-comb like 1 (ASXL1)

This gene is similar to the Drosophila additional sex combs gene, which encodes a chromatin-binding protein required for normal determination of segment identity in the developing embryo. The protein is a member of the Polycomb group of proteins, which are necessary for the maintenance of stable repression of homeotic and other loci. The protein is thought to disrupt chromatin in localized areas, enhancing transcription of certain genes while repressing the transcription of other genes. The protein encoded by this gene functions as a ligand-dependent co-activator for retinoic acid receptor in cooperation with nuclear receptor coactivator 1. Mutations of exon 12 within ASXL1 gene have emerged as relatively new molecular aberrations in AML and are reported in approximately 17% of de novo disease and in up to 30% of cases with associated cytogenetic abnormalities [102]. AML with associated ASXL1 mutations carries distinctive features, including older age, male predominance, frequent association with antecedent MDS, trisomy 8 and RUNX1 mutations, as well as HLA-DR and CD34 expression [103,104]. They have also been shown to be mutually exclusive of NPM1 mutations and have an inverse association with FLT3-ITD mutations [23,104]. ASXL1 mutations, when present, confer a worse prognosis; several series have demonstrated lower complete remission rates, EFS and OS in patients with these mutations [23,102-105], and, as such, they have been shown to be an independent prognostic factor for overall survival.

Isocitrate dehydrogenase 1 and 2 mutations (IDH1/IDH2)

Normally IDH1 and 2 catalyze the oxidative decarboxylation of isocitrate to ketoglutarate. The ketoglutarate acts as a co-substrate for dioxygenases and other cellular enzymes. IDH1/IDH2, like ASXL1, have also been newly regarded as frequent molecular aberrations in AML and are reported in approximately 30% of CN-AML cases [106]. Different point mutation have been described in AML but all result in the direct sequencing [105]. The real prognostic impact is still a matter of debate. In the early CALGB study, where the frequency and prognostic impact of these mutations were assessed in a group of 358 patients with CN-AML [106], IDH1 mutations were seen in 14% of patients, compared to 19% IDH2 mutated patients, and were associated with younger age and concomitant occurrence in patients with molecularly favorable disease based on NPM1 and FLT3-ITD mutation status. Both IDH1 and 2 mutations conferred an inferior disease free survival (DFS) and complete remission (CR) rates. A separate study confirmed the poor impact on DFS and OS showing that these mutation counterbalance the positive prognostic impact of NPM1mutation among FLT3-ITD negative diseases [107,108]. However, recently, two different studies questioned these data. Patel et al. demonstrated that the real impact of IDH1/2 mutations can only be estimated in the context of a wider genomic evaluation of AML. The Authors were able to describe both a positive impact of the IDH2 R140 mutation in the whole ECOG E1900 trial population, and a better outcome than patients with inv(16)- or (t(8;21))-positive AML among NPM1/IDH mutant patients, suggesting that the last one represents a favorable-risk AML subset defined by a specific mutational genotype [78]. Similarly, the the MRC reported similar results in IDH R140 and in NPM1/IDH2 R140 double mutated young patients [108].

Ten-eleven translocation 2 (TET2)

TET2 is a methylcytosine dioxygenase that catalyzes the conversion of methylcytosine to 5-hydroxymethylcytosine, leading to the demethylation of CpG islands and so to the derepression of gene’s transcription. TET2 mutations have been identified in approximately 30% of AML cases by deep sequencing [109], usually in combination with other molecular abnormalities, except for IDH1/IDH2 mutations with which they have been shown to be mutually exclusive [110]. It has been reported to occur in >10% of younger AML patients [110]. When present in NPM1 mutated, FLT3-ITD wild-type disease (defined as a favorable-risk group, according to European Leukemia Net classification), TET2 mutations confer a poor prognostic impact [26,109].

DNA (Cytosine-5-) Methyltransferase 3 Alpha (DNMT3A)

DNMT3A is normally required for genome-wide de novo methylation and is essential for the establishment of DNA methylation patterns during development and differentiation. DNA methylation is coordinated withmethylation of histones. It modifies DNA in a non-progressive manner and also methylates non-CpG sites. DNMT3A mutations, which affect the DNA methyltransferase enzymes and subsequent epigenetic modulation, have been described in approximately 20% of patients with de novo AML and are seen almost exclusively in intermediate disease; they are conspicuously absent in cytogenetically favorable disease [111]. A recent large study conducted by the AML Study Group characterized clinical features and disease outcomes in a group of 1.770 AML patients less than 60 years of age. DNMT3A mutations were more commonly appreciated in older patients (median age 50 years), and in CN-AML. It was seen concomitantly with NPM1, FLT3-ITD and IDH1/IDH2 mutations as well, but did not seem to affect any major survival endpoint [112], although in prior reports, the presence of DNMT3A mutations were found to be associated with worse overall survival, compared to those without the mutation (12 months vs. 41 months) [111-113].
PHD Finger Protein 6 (PHF6) mutations

This gene is a member of the plant homeodomain (PHD)-like finger (PHF) family. It encodes a protein with two PHD-type zinc finger domains, indicating a potential role in transcriptional regulation, that localizes to the nucleus. Mutations in PHF6 were first identified in T-cell acute lymphoblastic leukemia using next-generation sequencing of the X chromosome [114]. The majority of mutations are nonsense or frameshift alleles, again consistent with a loss-of-function role for PHF6 in leukemogenesis. Subsequent candidate gene sequencing of PHF6 in AML revealed that approximately 3% of patients harbored PHF6 mutations [114]. PHF6 is a plant homeodomain finger-containing protein, an important highly conserved domain in recognizing protein-DNA interactions and histone modifications. In T-cell acute lymphoblastic leukemia, loss of PHF6 is associated with expression of the oncogenes TLX and TLX3, and the genetic data are consistent with PHF6 functioning as a X-linked tumor suppressor. The role of PHF6 mutations in AML pathogenesis has yet to be elucidated.

Prognostic relevance of newly identified genes: Practical points

Although a series of elegant studies over the past decade have identified a large set of mutations and overexpressed genes with prognostic relevance in AML, in the clinical setting, most AML centers still use cytogenetic based stratification together with a relatively small set of gene-based tests to assign risk in AML and to determine postremission therapy. The relative scarce use of clinically biomarkers is due to several factors. First, most biomarker studies focus on a specific genetic lesion and its prognostic relevance without considering the whole set of known mutations in parallel to determine, which mutations predict outcome independently in AML. Second, most studies consider each mutant allele as a distinct variable without considering complex genotypes in which the presence/absence of multiple genomic mutations has different effects on outcome than individual mutations by themselves. Third, many studies have focused on mutational “hotspots” or have used less sensitive techniques to identify loss-of-function mutations in large tumor suppressors. Finally and most importantly, until recently, most studies of AML were relatively small in size and/or were not derived from clinical trial cohorts in which the effects of treatment on outcome can be controlled and investigated.

With these limitations in mind and given the increasing number of genetic abnormalities that have been identified in AML patients, it has become important to determine the prognostic relevance of all known recurrent genetic abnormalities in a uniformly treated AML patient cohort. The largest such study to date used high-throughput sequencing of TET2, ASXL1, DNMT3A, PHF6, WT1, TP53, RUNX1, EZH2, ETVEN, FLT3, NPM1, CEBPA, HRAS, KRAS, NRAS, KIT, IDH1, and IDH2 in 502 patients from the Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group (ECOG) E1900 trial [78]. This trial evaluated the anthracycline dose intensification during induction therapy. A total of 657 patients between the ages of 17 and 60 years with de novo AML were randomized to receive standard doses cytarabine with either 45 mg/m2 of daunorubicin or 90 mg/m2 of daunorubicin. This large, homogeneously treated patient cohort allowed for extensive mutational profiling from diagnostic samples and correlation with outcome, including DFS and OS and response to induction therapy. Integrated genetic analysis revealed 3 recently identified genes with prognostic importance in the total cohort of AML patients. Specifically, IDH2 R140 mutations, but not IDH2 R172 mutations or IDH1 mutations, were associated with improved OS in the entire E1900 cohort, this suggesting that there are important qualitative and/or quantitative differences in the various IDH1/2 mutations in AML, and that these allele-specific differences in biology have relevance to outcome in AML. In addition, mutations in ASXL1 and PHF6 were associated with adverse OS in the whole cohort, suggesting that these relatively rare AML alleles mark a subset of patients with adverse outcome. Moreover, data from the MRC in younger adults treated with aggressive therapy (induction followed by allogeneic or autologous transplantation) described favorable outcome with IDH2 R140 mutations or with double NPM1/IDH2 mutations [108], suggesting that cohort size, age, and treatment approach have significant effects on prognostication in AML.

Although the identification of single gene mutations with impact on overall outcome is momentous, the main goal of molecular studies should be to inform and improve prognostic algorithms in the 3 broad cytogenetic risk categories of AML. However, to date, most studies have failed to identify robust predictors that modify outcome in patients with favorable or unfavorable cytogenetic risk, suggesting that chromosomal lesions remain the best predictor of outcome for the 40% of AML patients with favorable or unfavorable karyotypic risk. In contrast, mutational studies have been able to better refine prognosis in patients with intermediate-risk and/or normal karyotype AML. Previous studies have suggested that mutational analysis of CEBPA, NPM1, and FLT3-ITD can be used to risk-stratify intermediate-risk AML patients [3]. However, more extensive mutational analysis better discriminates intermediate-risk AML patients into robust, clinically relevant risk groups [78]. As demonstrated by E1900 cohort, we can divide FLT3-ITD–negative intermediate-risk AML into 3 distinct risk subgroups, basing on mutational status. FLT3-ITD–negative, NPM1/IDH mutant patients have outcomes that are better than patients with inv(16)- or (t(8;21))-positive AML, suggesting that this represents a favorable-risk AML subset defined by a specific mutational genotype. In contrast, FLT3-ITD–negative NPM1 mutant patients without concurrent IDH mutations have a much less favorable outcome. Most importantly, the presence of poor-risk mutations, specifically TET2, ASXL1, PHF6, and/or MLL-PTD is associated with very adverse OS for FLT3-ITD wild-type, intermediate-risk patients. These data suggest that NPM1 mutational status alone does not define a favorable subset of intermediate-risk AML, and that the presence or absence of additional disease alleles defines relapse risk in FLT3-ITD wild-type, intermediate-risk AML [78]. In addition, mutational studies also allow a further subdivision in FLT3-ITD–positive intermediate-risk patients. Among FLT3-ITD mutant patients, concurrent mutations in TET2, DNMT3A, MLL-PTD, or trisomy (8) were associated with very poor outcome. This is a relatively large subset of patients and approximately 47% of the total intermediate-risk FLT3-ITD patient subset fall into this very-high-risk subset. Therefore, the category of intermediate-risk FLT3-ITD patients with 1 of these 4 mutations forms a new subset of FLT3-ITD, intermediate-risk AML patients and this may have important implications for treatment decisions. Lastly, patients who have the FLT3-ITD mutation without the addition of any of the 4 genetic abnormalities actually have a similar outcome to FLT3-ITD/CEBPα double-mutant patients [78].

These data clearly demonstrate that intermediate-risk patients can be reclassified as having favorable, intermediate, or poor risk based on the mutational status of 9 genes (Figure 4).
All this has important clinical implications, considering that mutationally defined favorable patients have a better outcome with standard induction and consolidation than even patients with CBF-AML. In contrast, patients with mutationally defined adverse-risk patients. We affirm that future clinical trials should aim to identify genetically defined high-risk patients to offer these patients novel therapies early in their disease course, including novel therapeutic approaches to induction, consolidation, and maintenance therapy in an effort to reduce relapse and increase cure. Moreover these studies will be the platform for the validation of the molecular classification in other large, homogeneously treated patient cohorts and will resolve the inconsistency between different studies on specific genetic alterations.

Conclusion

In the last few years we gained a lot of new data on AMLs' biology, which translated both in the identification of new subtypes and in an improvement of the classical prognostic classification [1]. However there is still a lot of work to do in particular if we look to SNP, micro-RNA expression and GEP arrays. If it is true that these technique are efficient tools for implementing the current knowledge in leukemia field, we are far away from the introduction in the clinical practice due to the lack of standardization and the conflicting results published to date. This rises one practical question: How can we solve this? The only way is to build well designed study comparing the standard diagnostic approach to the new technology, as the ELN did in the MILE study [3]. With such methodology we can learn both how, when and why to use the new techniques and what a specific result really means. Last but not least, all this path will take us in facing two different challenges: determining the prognostic relevance and impact on treatment outcome of single and multiple concurring mutations; identifying new molecular targets for future biological therapy. Maybe in near future results from two ongoing study one from Israel in AML, MDS and Myeloproliferative Neoplasms and the other one in therapy related AML from MD Anderson (NCT02084563 and NCT00525746 respectively), will hopefully help in answering the first question.
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