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Abstract

Background: Although a relatively simple procedure, cranioplasties have been associated with high complication rates. Keeping this in perspective, we aimed to determine the factors associated with immediate and long-term complications of cranioplasties at our institution.

Methods: A retrospective review of patient records was carried out for patients having undergone reconstructive cranioplasties at our institution during the last 10 years (2001-2010). All case notes, records, and investigations were reviewed and the data were recorded in a pre-designed questionnaire. Complications were recorded along with existing comorbidities and measures taken for their prevention and management. Univariate and multivariate logistic regression analysis was performed to determine possible predictors of complications.

Results: A total of 96 patients with a mean age of 33 ± 15 years were included in the study. Of the sample, 76% (n = 73) had no comorbidities. The leading primary pathology was blunt traumatic brain injuries in 46% (n = 44), followed by cerebrovascular incidents in 24% (n = 23), penetrating traumatic brain injuries in 12% (n = 11), and tumors in 10% (n = 10) of cases, with 41% (n = 39) of patients requiring multiple craniotomies. In a mean follow-up of 386 ± 615 days, complications were noted in 36.5% (n = 35) of the patients. Twenty six percent of patients (n = 25) had minor complications which included breakthrough seizures (15.6%, n = 15), subgaleal collections (3.1%, n = 3), and superficial wound infections (3.1%, n = 3), whereas major complications (10.4% n = 10) included hydrocephalus (3.1%, n = 3), transient neurological deficits (3.1%, n = 3), and osteomyelitis (2.1%, n = 2). Univariate and multivariate analysis revealed External Ventricular Drain (EVD) placement and parietal flaps to be associated with complications. This could be explained by the fact that the patients requiring EVD usually have relatively severe head injuries, increasing the possibility of hydrocephalus.

Conclusion: We have found a higher risk of complications of cranioplasty in patients who had EVD placement and removal prior to their constructive surgery. We however did not find any association between risks of complications in any
other studied variable. We also did not find any association between intraoperative placement of subgaleal drains and postoperative risk of subgaleal fluid collections. Overall, our results are comparable with other reported series on cranioplasties.

**Key Words:** Decompressive craniectomy [E04.188.200], reconstructive cranioplasty

**INTRODUCTION**

Decompressive craniectomies have been demonstrated as an effective modality in reducing intracranial pressure (ICP) in emergency situations involving severe head injuries, strokes, hemorrhages, infections, and tumors. By removing the bone flap and expanding the dura, a space can be created for the bulging brain freeing it from the confines of the cranial vault and subsequent pressure effects. A linear relationship has been demonstrated between the size of craniectomy and the associated decrease in ICP.

However, in the long run, alterations in hemodynamics, venous drainage, cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) dynamics, and general metabolic function have been demonstrated along with gradual neurological decline. This neurologic deterioration in patients with cranial defects has been attributed to the effect of atmospheric pressure on the scalp flap, and is effectively treated by restoring the cranial compartment to its “closed” state. Nearly 88% of patients who presented with a sunken skin craniectomy flap and moderate neurologic deficit demonstrated neurologic improvement after the repair of the cranial defect or cranioplasty. Xenon computed tomography studies have also demonstrated improved venous outflow and CSF systolic flow velocity after this procedure.

Therefore, stable patients with normal ICPs require a cranioplasty not just for cosmetic reasons. Although the procedure of cranioplasty is a relatively simple one, it has been shown to have a high relative complication rate. Keeping this high complication rate in perspective, a retrospective audit of our institution was carried out in order to determine the factors associated with immediate and long-term complications of cranioplasties in our setting.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

A retrospective review of patient records was carried out for patients having undergone reconstructive cranioplasties at our institution during the last 10 years (2001-2010). Patients of all age groups undergoing cranioplasty procedures following decompressive craniectomy for intractable intracranial hypertension were included in the study. The cases were included regardless of the material used for cranioplasty, i.e., patients having undergone cranioplasties with autologous bone, polymethylmethacrylate (PMMA), autologous bone with PMMA, and autoclaved autologous bone were included in the study. Cranioplasties performed for infected post-craniotomy bone flaps, post-trauma cranial defects, bone involved with tumors, and those done for cosmetic reasons, not preceded by a decompressive surgery, were not included. In cases where autologous bone flaps were used, the bone flaps were either stored in an anterior abdominal wall subcutaneous pocket or in a -26°C freezer. All cranioplasties were performed by either senior residents or chief residents, supervised by one of five credentialed neurosurgeons. The technique remained largely same over the study period with only minor variations depending upon surgeons’ preferences and individual cases.

All case notes, records, and investigations were reviewed and the data were recorded in a predesigned questionnaire. Follow ups including complications were recorded along with the measures taken for their management. Descriptive analysis was performed by calculating means and standard deviations for continuous variables and proportions for categorical ones. All major complications (Subdural Hematoma [SDH], Extradural Hematoma [EDH], Osteomyelitis, Neurological deficits) were clumped into a binary variable, i.e., yes/no. To determine factors associated with the occurrence of complications, we first performed univariate analysis using logistic regression and tabulated odds ratios with 95% confidence intervals. A multivariate logistic regression model was then constructed including all variables with P values <0.25 upon univariate analysis using logistic regression and tabulated odds ratios with 95% confidence intervals. A multivariate logistic regression model was then constructed including all variables with P values <0.25 upon univariate analysis and clinically relevant variables which were determined a priori by the authors. The data were analyzed using Statistical Package for Social Sciences version 17 (International Business Machines, Armonk, New York).

**RESULTS**

A total of 96 patients met the above criteria and were included in the study. Analysis of the data revealed a mean age of 33 ± 14.8 years, 72.9% (n = 70) of our patients were males, 27.1% (n = 26) were females. Of the sample, 76% (n = 73) had no known comorbidities, the rest had one or two comorbidities, and seven patients had multiple (≥2) comorbidities. The leading primary pathology was blunt traumatic brain injuries in 45.8% (n = 44), followed by cerebrovascular incidents in 23.95% (n = 23), penetrating traumatic brain injuries in 11.5% (n = 11), and tumors in 10.4% (n = 10) of cases, with...
40.6% (n = 39) of patients requiring more than one cranial procedures. Nearly all (97.9%, n = 94) index surgeries were performed at our center and the rest were performed elsewhere and referred to us for further management. The various flaps used included standard trauma (59.4%, n = 57), frontal (16.7%, n = 16), parietal (13.5%, n = 13), and temporal (9.4%, n = 9) flaps. Of these, 82.3% (n = 79) were unilateral and the rest (15.6%, n = 15) were bilateral. The craniotomy bone flaps were preserved in 85.4% (n = 82) of cases using cryopreservation in 76% (n = 73) and subcutaneous preservation in 9.4% (n = 9), whereas 14.6% (n = 14) were damaged or discarded.

Reconstructive cranioplasties were performed as a separate hospital admission in 79.2% (n = 76) of the patients, whereas in 20.8% (n = 20) patients, it was carried out during the same admission. The reconstructive procedure was performed after a mean delay of 90 ± 116 days. Mean preoperative Glasgow coma scale (GCS) score of the patients undergoing cranioplasties was 12 ± 3.06 and they were operated at mean hemoglobin of 12 ± 1.32 mg/dl. At the time of the cranioplasty, 31.2% (n = 30) patients had their airway maintained through tracheostomies, 11.5% (n = 11) were receiving nutrition through gastrostomy tubes, and 2.1% (n = 2) patients had ventriculoperitoneal (VP) shunts placed. Intravenous cefazolin (first generation cephalosporin) was the most commonly administered prophylactic antibiotic, administered to 87.5% (n = 84) of the patients, while the remaining received other antibiotics depending on their pre-existing regimens. Perioperatively, 34.4% (n = 33) were already on antiepileptics for post-traumatic seizures. Phenytoin was the most commonly administered perioperative antiepileptic in 20.8% (n = 20) of the patients. Drug levels were checked and brought to therapeutic levels in all patients prior to surgery.

The defect was reconstructed using saved autologous bone in 67.7% (n = 65) of the patients, 15.6% (n = 15) had a bone fashioned using PMMA, 11.5% (n = 11) had their autologous bone used along with PMMA, and 5.2% (n = 5) had their bone flap autoclaved prior to replacement. The flaps were secured using silk sutures (33.3%, n = 32), vicryl sutures (27.1%, n = 26), titanium plates (19.8%, n = 19), or wires (18.8%, n = 18). Galeal closures were done using absorbable vicryl in all patients and skin was closed using either sutures (69.8%, n = 67) or staples (30.2%, n = 29) depending upon the surgeons’ preference. Subgaleal drains were placed prior to closure in 28.1% (n = 27) of the patients and no patient underwent placement of an epidural or subdural drain. The mean duration of surgery was 185.2 ± 67.3 minutes, resulting in an average blood loss of 365 ± 263 ml. Seventeen patients (17.7%) required intraoperative blood transfusions and mean duration of hospital stay was 12 ± 17 days.

In a mean follow up of 386 ± 615 days, complications were noted in 36.5% (n = 35) of the patients. The complications were divided into major and minor complications. Minor complications accounted for 29.1% (n = 25) including most notably, breakthrough seizures (15.6%, n = 15), CSF leak (4.1%, n = 4), postoperative subgaleal fluid collections other than that caused by underlying hydrocephalus (3.1%, n = 3), superficial wound infections (SWI) (3.1%, n = 3), and new-onset transient neurological deficits (3.1%, n = 3) [Figure 1]. Major complications accounted for 7.3% (n = 10) and included hydrocephalus (3.1%, n = 3), osteomyelitis (2.1%, n = 2), SDH (1%, n = 1), and EDH (1%, n = 1) [Figure 2]. The mean GCS on follow-up was 14.34 ± 1.5 and 9.33 ± 1.15 for the patients on tracheostomies. The complications required seven (7.3%) repeat surgeries, including three for ventriculoperitoneal shunt

![Figure 1: Summary of the minor complications noted in the study population. Blue: Breakthrough seizures, Red: CSF Leaks, Olive: Subgaleal Collections, Purple: Superficial wound infections and Light blue: Transient Neurological Defects](image1)

![Figure 2: Summary of the major complications noted in the study population. Blue: Hydrocephalus, Red: Osteomyelitis, Olive: Subdural Hematoma and Purple: Extradural Hematoma](image2)
insertions, two for debridement of osteomyelitis, and two craniotomies, one each for SDH and EDH.

Univariate regression analysis revealed that External Ventricular Drain (EVD) placement prior to reconstructive cranioplasty was 4.2 (0.98-18.1, P = 0.05) times more likely to be associated with complications. When considering the flap sites, parietal flaps were 6.11 (1.20-31.16) times more likely to be associated with complications compared with frontal flaps. Other factors were not associated with significant odds of complication [Table 1]. Although of only borderline significance, autoclaved autologous bone when used was nine times (0.95-85.71, P = 0.06) more likely to be associated with complications when compared with autologous bone used without autoclaving. A multivariate analysis model including age, comorbidities, number of cranial procedures, flap site, EVD placement, GCS on admission, primary pathology, method of preservation of bone, delay in surgery, material used to secure the bone, and subgaleal drain placement was created. Multivariate analysis showed that EVD placement was 10.1 (1.13-90.20) times more likely to be associated with complications. None of the other findings were significant on multivariate analysis [Table 1].

DISCUSSION

It is apparent from the growing body of evidence that decompressive craniotomies will be a significant part of neurosurgical practice and so will be the requirement of cranioplasties. However, integral the reconstructive procedure may be, there have been few studies that have actually addressed the issue and no comprehensive guidelines are available regarding the technique and timing of the procedure, especially with respect to the possible complications.[7,10,16] Current practices relating to cranioplasties are subjective and based either on the surgeon’s preferences or institutional policies and there is a void of literature on practices that can potentially minimize the risk of complications.[3,12]

We have presented one of the largest series of post-decompressive craniotomies with a mean follow-up of more than one year. To the best of our knowledge, no previous study has analyzed more preoperative variables than ours. Moreover, we have primarily used autologous bone, saved at negative 26°C with acceptable rates of infection, thus supporting recent reports that freezing bone flaps at lower temperatures may not be necessary.[22] In our mean follow-up of over one year, we found the complication rate to be high at around 36.5%, with 7% requiring surgical interventions for their complications. Of these complications, 29.1% (n = 25) were minor complications including breakthrough seizures, CSF leak, postoperative subgaleal fluid collections, new-onset deficits, and SWI. However, 7.3% (n = 10) patients had severe complications including hydrocephalus, osteomyelitis, SDH, and EDH. Although the neurological deficits in all three cases were transient and recovered completely over the next three weeks, we have categorized it as a major complication. Similarly, postoperative subgaleal fluid collections even though are not generally considered a complication, it is a source of significant discomfort to the patient and we have included it as a minor complication to be able to study possible predisposing factors. Although another paper has reported postoperative fluid collections including EDH and subgaleal collections necessitating operative interventions in 13 of 212 (6.1%) patients and found a difference in the rate of fluid collection complications with and without drain placement, with a trend toward significance (P = 0.063), we found no statistically meaningful relationship between intraoperative placement of subgaleal vacuum drains and occurrence of postoperative subgaleal fluid collections (P = 0.99).

Our study shows that an EVD placement prior to the reconstructive procedure was more likely to be associated with complications. This can be explained by the fact that the nine patients requiring EVD placement had relatively severe injuries resulting in an increased risk of complications. Further studies on larger cohorts will be required to validate this particular observation before any definite conclusions could be drawn. Our study revealed that parietal bone flaps were associated with higher complications. However, this could be explained by the fact that patients undergoing parietal flaps in our dataset mainly constituted those undergoing index surgeries due to penetrating head trauma or tumor surgeries at the site, which are inherently associated with a higher rate of complications.

A 36.5% risk of complications may appear high but it may be noted that other centers have reported similarly high complication rates ranging between 16.4% and 34%.5,9,19 Moreover, these studies have not considered postoperative subgaleal fluid collection or transient neurological deficits as complications. In fact, few studies have also not considered breakthrough seizures as complications. One of the largest study to date comprising of 212 patients found an increased risk of complications when patient age exceeded 40 years, in cases operated for reasons other than trauma, especially tumors and an interval period of greater than three months between index surgery and cranioplasty. However, we were not able to validate any of these findings in our study. Although we did not include cranioplasties done for tumor involved bone flaps as they did, one can assume the risk of complications to be higher in this particular sub group due to immunocompetent status of patients and concomitant chemo and radiation therapy. Others have also reported complication rates for cranioplasty to be paradoxically high when done following surgery for trauma.19] Most
| Variable                        | Categories          | Frequency | Complications | Univariate results |
|--------------------------------|---------------------|-----------|---------------|--------------------|
|                                | N (%)               | N (%)     | OR            | 95%CI              |
| Gender                         | Male                | 70 (73)   | 25 (36)       | 1                  |
|                                | Female              | 26 (27)   | 9 (35)        | 0.95               | 0.37, 2.5          |
|                                | Age                 | -         | -             | 0.99               | 0.96, 1.02         |
| Number of procedures           | Single              | 57 (60)   | 16 (28)       | 1                  |
|                                | Multiple            | 39 (41)   | 18 (46)       | 2.20               | 0.94, 5.2          |
| Flaps                          | Unilateral          | 80 (83)   | 30 (38)       | 1                  |
|                                | Bilateral           | 16 (17)   | 4 (25)        | 0.56               | 0.16, 1.88         |
| EVD                            | No                  | 87 (91)   | 28 (32)       | 1                  |
|                                | Yes                 | 9 (9)     | 6 (67)        | 4.2                | 0.98, 18.1         |
| Surgical site                  | Frontal             | 17 (17)   | 6 (35)        | 1                  |
|                                | Parietal            | 13 (14)   | 10 (77)       | 6.11               | 1.2, 31.16         |
|                                | Temporal            | 9 (9)     | 3 (33)        | 0.92               | 0.17, 5.05         |
|                                | Trauma Flap         | 57 (59)   | 15 (26)       | 0.66               | 0.21, 2.1          |
| Flap preservation              | Subcutaneous        | 9 (9)     | 3 (33)        | 1                  |
|                                | Cryopreservation    | 73 (76)   | 24 (33)       | 1.02               | 0.24, 4.4          |
|                                | Damaged/Discarded   | 14 (15)   | 7 (50)        | 2.04               | 0.64, 6.5          |
| Tracheostomy                   | No                  | 65 (68)   | 22 (34)       | 1                  |
|                                | Yes                 | 32 (32)   | 12 (38)       | 1.2                | 0.51, 3.00         |
| PEG                            | No                  | 85 (89)   | 31 (36)       | 1                  |
|                                | Yes                 | 11 (12)   | 3 (27)        | 0.65               | 0.16, 2.6          |
| Preoperative antiepileptic drug| No                  | 63 (65)   | 20 (32)       | 1                  |
|                                | Yes                 | 23 (25)   | 14 (61)       | 1.58               | 0.66, 3.78         |
| Cranioplasty material          | Autologous bone     | 65 (68)   | 20 (31)       | 1                  |
|                                | Bone autoclaved     | 5 (5)     | 4 (80)        | 9                  | 0.95, 85.71        |
|                                | PMMA                | 15 (16)   | 7 (47)        | 2.00               | 0.63, 6.2          |
|                                | PMMA + Bone         | 11 (12)   | 3 (27)        | 0.84               | 0.20, 3.52         |
| Bone secured with              | Silk                | 33 (33)   | 12 (36)       | 1                  |
|                                | Vicryl              | 26 (27)   | 7 (27)        | 0.65               | 0.21, 1.98         |
|                                | Plates              | 19 (20)   | 10 (53)       | 1.94               | 0.62, 6.12         |
|                                | Wires               | 18 (19)   | 5 (28)        | 0.67               | 0.19, 2.35         |
| Subgaleal drain placed         | No                  | 69 (72)   | 27 (39)       | 1                  |
|                                | Yes                 | 27 (28)   | 7 (26)        | 0.54               | 0.20, 1.45         |
| Skin closure                   | Staples             | 29 (30)   | 12 (41)       | 1                  |
|                                | Sutures             | 67 (70)   | 22 (33)       | 0.69               | 0.28, 1.70         |
| Intraoperative transfusion     | No                  | 79 (82)   | 27 (34)       | 1                  |
|                                | Yes                 | 17 (18)   | 7 (41)        | 1.35               | 0.46, 3.94         |
| Preoperative GCS               | 3-8                 | 9 (9)     | 4 (44)        | 1.41               | 0.34, 5.83         |
|                                | 9-12                | 29 (30)   | 9 (31)        | 0.79               | 0.31, 2.05         |
|                                | 13-15               | 58 (60)   | 21 (36)       | 1                  |
| Comorbid                       | No                  | 73 (76)   | 24 (33)       | 1                  |
|                                | Yes                 | 23 (24)   | 10 (43)       | 1.57               | 0.60, 4.1          |
| Primary pathology              | TBI Blunt           | 44 (46)   | 18 (41)       | 1                  |
|                                | TBI Penetrating     | 11 (12)   | 4 (36)        | 0.83               | 0.43, 3.45         |
|                                | Tumor               | 10 (10)   | 6 (60)        | 2.2                | 0.74, 3.50         |
|                                | Infection           | 8 (8)     | 0 (0)         | -                  |
|                                | Vascular            | 23 (24)   | 6 (26)        | 0.51               | 0.17, 1.54         |
| Delay between index surgery    | 0-30                | 25 (26)   | 9 (36)        | 1                  |
| and cranioplasty (days)        | 31-90               | 46 (48)   | 16 (35)       | 0.95               | 0.34, 2.62         |
|                                | >90                 | 25 (26)   | 9 (36)        | 1.00               | 0.32, 3.17         |
Table 1: Contd...

| Variable                      | Categories     | Frequency | Complications | Univariate results |
|-------------------------------|----------------|-----------|---------------|--------------------|
|                               |                | N (%)     | N (%)         | OR     | 95%CI       |
| Preoperative hemoglobin       | Low            | 72 (75)   | 25 (35)       | 1      | -           |
|                               | Normal         | 24 (25)   | 9 (38)        | 1.13   | 0.43, 2.94 |
| Other drains used             | No             | 82 (85)   | 30 (37)       | 1      | -           |
|                               | Yes            | 14 (15)   | 4 (29)        | 0.69   | 0.20, 2.40 |
| Intraoperative blood loss     | <250           | 44 (46)   | 15 (34)       | 1      | -           |
|                               | 250-500        | 32 (34)   | 11 (34)       | 1.01   | 0.39, 2.64 |
|                               | >500           | 19 (20)   | 7 (37)        | 1.13   | 0.37, 3.45 |
| Postoperative antibiotic duration | Up to 7 days | 59 (62)   | 22 (37)       | 1      | -           |
|                               | >7 days        | 37 (39)   | 12 (32)       | 0.81   | 0.34, 1.92 |

EVD: External Ventricular Drain, PEG: Percutaneous Endoscopic Gastrostomy, GCS: Glasgow coma scale

recently reported data are of 69 patients of post-trauma decompression and later cranioplasty, which although reported only two complications, but both with relatively high frequencies; infections in 18.8% and hydrocephalus in 20.2% patients.[2] Their paper also highlighted that autologus bone grafting had a lower risk of infections.[1] As per their institutions policy, all bone grafts were cryopreserved and autoclaved prior to replacement [Mehmood A, Personal Communication]. Although of only borderline significance (univariate analysis, \( P = 0.06 \); multivariate analysis, \( P = 0.09 \)), we saw an increased odds of complications with autologous bone when it was autoclaved. Similarly, we found no difference in the probability of complications with the type of material used for securing bone (various types of suture or titanium plates), method of bone preservation, use of synthetic material for cranioplasty, interval period of three months or longer between index surgery and cranioplasty, etc. A recent systematic review, which only addressed post-cranioplasty infections and incorporated data from five decades, also found no associations between the aforementioned factors and the risk of infection.[22]

Limitations
The study was carried out as a retrospective.

CONCLUSION

When undergoing a cranioplasty for decompressive craniectomy, we have found a higher risk of complications in patient who had an EVD at the time of decompressive surgery. We however did not find any association between risk of complications and age of patient, presence of comorbid, time interval between the two surgeries, the method of flap preservation, the type of material used for plasty, i.e., autograft vs allograft, or the material used to secure the bone flap, or any of the other variables studied. Overall, our results are comparable with other reported series on cranioplastics. It will therefore suffice to say that in its rich history of nearly 400 years, from the initial gold plates of Fallopius and the first bone graft by Meekeren,[16] cranioplasties still have a long way to evolve in terms of patient safety. Larger studies are needed where the associations of these complications can be clearly defined and set protocols derived in order to help minimize the risk of complications.
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