Temporal Differences in the Appearance of NEP-B78 and an LBR-like Protein during Xenopus Nuclear Envelope Reassembly Reflect the Ordered Recruitment of Functionally Discrete Vesicle Types
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Abstract. In this work, we have used novel mAbs against two proteins of the endoplasmic reticulum and outer nuclear membrane, termed NEP-B78 and p65, in addition to a polyclonal antibody against the inner nuclear membrane protein LBR (lamin B receptor), to study the order and dynamics of NE reassembly in the Xenopus cell-free system. Using these reagents, we demonstrate differences in the timing of recruitment of their cognate membrane proteins to the surface of decondensing chromatin in both the cell-free system and XLK-2 cells. We show unequivocally that, in the cell-free system, two functionally and biochemically distinct vesicle types are necessary for NE assembly. We find that the process of distinct vesicle recruitment to chromatin is an ordered one and that NEP-B78 defines a vesicle population involved in the earliest events of reassembly in this system. Finally, we present evidence that NEP-B78 may be required for the targeting of these vesicles to the surface of decondensing chromatin in this system. The results have important implications for the understanding of the mechanisms of nuclear envelope disassembly and reassembly during mitosis and for the development of systems to identify novel molecules that control these processes.
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The nuclear envelope (NE) consists of an inner nuclear membrane (INM) and outer nuclear membrane (ONM), separated by a perinuclear space and perforated periodically by nuclear pore complexes. The ONM is continuous with the ER and these membranes are believed to be structurally and functionally similar (Franke, 1974), while the INM is distinct, containing proteins that are not normally present in the ONM and bulk ER (Worman et al., 1988; Foisner and Gerace, 1993; Ashery et al., 1997b). In higher eukaryotes, the process of mitosis requires that the nuclear envelope be disassembled, its constituent components dispersed and then accurately reassembled in each daughter cell. A fundamental question of membrane biology concerns the mechanisms by which the structural and functional asymmetry of the nuclear envelope is maintained during interphase and restored after the disassembly and reassembly of the nucleus during mitosis.

Mitotic disassembly of the nucleus is characterized by fragmentation of the NE in pro-metaphase, giving rise initially to large smooth flattened cisternae and accompanied by a dismantling of nuclear pores. Fragmentation occurs to variable extents to give rise to vesicles and cisternae, which are morphologically indistinguishable from rough ER fragments (Roos, 1973; Zeligs and Wollman, 1979; Hepler and Wolniak, 1984). In Xenopus oocytes, M-phase fragmentation is extensive, and vesicles ranging from 70 to ~500 nm have been observed (Wilson and Newport, 1988; Vigers and Lohka, 1991; Newport and Dunphy, 1992).
Fragmentation of the NE is also accompanied by depolymerization of the lamina into its constituent subunits, some of which are freely soluble in the cytoplasm (Ottaviano and Gerace, 1985; Gerace and Blobel, 1980; FimbachKraft and Stick, 1993), while others remain associated with fragmented membrane (Gerace and Blobel, 1980; Lourim and Krohne, 1993).

NE reassembly involves the retargeting of membrane to the surface of decondensing chromosomes, membrane fusion and assembly of nuclear pore complexes, followed by repolymerization of the lamina (reviewed in Gerace and Foisner, 1994; Marshall and Wilson, 1997). The mechanism by which membranes are targeted to the chromosomal surface is not clear. Proteins proposed to be involved in this process include both soluble and membrane-associated lamin-associated proteins (LAPS; Foisner and Gerace, 1993), integral inner nuclear membrane proteins derived from the inner nuclear membrane fraction (Burke and Gerace, 1986; Glass and Gerace, 1990; Dabauvalle et al., 1991; Ulitzur et al., 1992; Lourim and Krohne, 1993), integral inner nuclear membrane proteins with affinities for lamins and chromatin, termed lamin-associated proteins (LAPS; Foisner and Gerace, 1993), p58 LBR (lamin B receptor; Collas et al., 1996; Pyrpassopoulou et al., 1996) and otefin (Ashery et al., 1997a). However, the incorporation of the bulk of lamins into the reforming nucleus is a relatively late event occurring by pore-mediated uptake from the cytoplasm (Collas et al., 1996). In addition, Xenopus extracts immunodepleted of >95% of the total lamin complement support normal nuclear envelope reassembly (Newport et al., 1990; Jenkins et al., 1993a). However, low levels of lamins B2 and B3 have been reported to be associated with membranes and suggested to be important for targeting to chromatin (Lourim and Krohne, 1993; Lourim and Krohne, 1994).

Two models have been proposed to explain the disassembly and reassembly of the nuclear membranes during mitosis. In one, the barrier that ensures that inner nuclear membrane proteins do not diffuse throughout the bulk ER during interphase, would be lost in mitosis and nuclear membrane proteins would then become dispersed throughout the ER. After mitosis, resorting would occur by a process that is initiated by the binding of targeting proteins at the surface of chromatin, allowing the sequential assembly of specific protein domains in the plane of the membrane and ultimately regenerating the asymmetry that is characteristic of the NE (Gerace and Foisner, 1994). In support of this model, B-type lamins have been found on all ER fragments during mitosis in chicken cells (Stick et al., 1988). In addition, several integral membrane proteins derived from the inner nuclear membrane have been shown to colocalize with bulk ER markers in mitosis (Ellenberg et al., 1997; Yang et al., 1997).

In the second model, nuclear membranes would remain distinct from the bulk ER during fragmentation, and reassembly would involve the selective retargeting of nuclear-specific vesicles to chromosome surfaces after inactivation of the p34cdc2 kinase (Pfaller et al., 1991; Vigers and Lohka, 1991). In this model, the biochemical composition and perhaps intracellular location of presumptive NE membranes would remain different from bulk ER during mitosis. Such vesicle heterogeneity has been reported in a number of systems (Vigers and Lohka, 1991; Chaudhary and Courvalin, 1993; Lourim and Krohne, 1993; Buendia and Courvalin, 1997) and these observations have led to the suggestion that NE disassembly may be domain specific, with mitotic vesicles retaining proteins exclusively from either the inner, outer or pore membrane regions (Gerace and Foisner, 1994; Marshall and Wilson, 1997).

In the Xenopus cell-free system, the nature of NE precursors remains controversial. A size-homogeneous population of vesicles (~70 nm) has been recovered from extracts, at least when first treated with the membrane fusion inhibitor, GTPγS (Newport and Dunphy, 1992). Isolation of chromatin-associated vesicles and subsequent reconstitution of a replication competent nucleus with membrane-free cytosol has led to one model in which the functions required for envelope assembly resided solely in chromatin-associated vesicles (Newport and Dunphy, 1992). In contrast, others (Vigers and Lohka, 1991) have described the separation of two membrane containing fractions, neither of which was sufficient for NE assembly in the presence of cytosol and chromatin, but which when recombined gave rise to normal nuclei. However, both the membranous nature of the essential elements of these fractions (Yang et al., 1997), together with the notion that discrete functions can be assigned to each fraction (Wiese et al., 1997), have been questioned. The functional and biochemical characterization of NE precursors has thus been limited by the lack of integral membrane markers that would allow a precise molecular and cell biological analysis of the temporal order and dependency requirements for NE reassembly.

We have generated new molecular reagents with the long-term aim of identifying molecules that are responsible for the formation and disassembly of the NE in a Xenopus cell-free system. In this work, we have used novel mAbs against two proteins of the endoplasmic reticulum and outer nuclear membrane, termed NEP-B78 and p65, in addition to a polyclonal antibody (Collas et al., 1996) against the inner nuclear membrane protein LBR (lamin B receptor), to study the order and dynamics of NE reassembly in the Xenopus cell-free system. Using these reagents we demonstrate differences in the timing of recruitment of membrane proteins to the surface of decondensing chromatin and find that the differences reflect the existence of two functionally and biochemically distinct vesicle types that are necessary for NE assembly. We show that the process of vesicle recruitment to chromatin is an ordered process and that NEP-B78 defines a vesicle population involved in the earliest events of reassembly in this system. Finally, we present evidence that NEP-B78 function is required for the targeting of these vesicles to the surface of decondensing chromatin in this system.

Materials and Methods

Antibodies

mAbs were generated as follows. A nuclear membrane precursor fraction equivalent to nuclear envelope precursor binding fraction (NEP-B) was derived from cell-free extracts of Xenopus eggs according to the method of Vigers and Lohka (1991). Sperm pronuclei, assembled in cell-free extracts of Xenopus eggs were isolated as described previously (Jenkins et al., 1993a). 6-wk-old female BALBc mice were inoculated intraperitoneally with the...
equivalent of 106 sperm pronuclei suspended in Freund’s incomplete adjuvant, again at 30-d intervals (group 2). Before the initial inoculation and then 10 d after each subsequent injection, serum samples were collected from each mouse by tail bleed. Serum samples were tested for reactivity against NEP-B and NE proteins by indirect immunofluorescence microscopy and immunoblotting. After the third inoculation, the two mice from each group showing the best responses were inoculated with isolated sperm pronuclei (group 1) or NEP-B that had been affinity purified on chromatin (group 2). In brief, NEP-B was bound to chromatin. The chromatin was recovered by centrifugation through a 30% sucrose cushion and the bound vesicles were then released from the chromatin by exposure to highly purified maturation promoting factor (MPF). Released vesicles were separated from chromatin and MPF by density gradient centrifugation through sucrose (Ferrigno, 1996). 9 d after the final inoculation, the mice were tail bled and serum samples were tested for reactivity against nuclear envelopes. The best responder from each group was killed, and its spleen removed. Splenocytes were recovered and fused to SP2/0Ag 14 myeloma cells using standard protocols (Dyer et al., 1997). After fusion, cells were cultured in the presence of DME containing 10% FCS and supplemented with HAT (hypoxanthine, aminopterin, and thymidine) in 96-well microtiter plates. Colonies were screened by indirect immunofluorescence microscopy and those showing strong reactivity against the NEs of Xenopus sperm pronuclei were grown up and subcloned. In total, five hybridoma cell lines were selected from group 1, established and coded 19C5, 7D6, 3E9, 4G12, and 16H12. All five hybridomas secreted antibodies showing strong reactivity with NEs in indirect immunofluorescence assays. In addition, all five hybridomas secreted antibodies that detected a doublet migrating at 78 kD in immunoblotting experiments using isolated sperm pronuclei and purified MP2 vesicles (see below). 50 hybridomas were selected from group 2 that displayed reactivities against a variety of nuclear proteins (Lyon, 1995). A single mAb termed CEL SC is described below.

Rabbit polyclonal antisera to LBR were provided by Howard Worman (Department of Medicine, College of Physicians and Surgeons, Columbia University, New York), and antibodies were affinity purified using a recombinant NH2-terminal fragment comprising residues 1-214 of LBR as described in Harlow and Lane (1988).

Preparation of Fab Fragments

Approximately 150 ml of mAb 3E9 tissue culture supernatant was applied to a 2 ml protein G-Sepharose column equilibrated in PBS, and eluted with 0.2 M glycine, pH 3.0. The pH of the resulting antibody solution was made 7.0 by the addition of 0.1 vol of 1 M Tris, pH 8.0. To 250 ml of this solution (protein concentration 40 mg/ml) was added 62.5 ml of 0.1 M sodium acetate pH 5.5, 15 ml of 20 mM EDTA, pH 5.5, and 30 ml of 0.5 M cysteine, pH 5.5. 50 ml aliquots of this solution were incubated with 1.2 ml of papain-agarose (Sigma Chemical Co.) at 37°C for 2 h without shaking and the reaction terminated with 0.1 vol of 825 mM iodoacetamide. The aliquots were recombined, papain-agarose removed by centrifugation at 13,000 g for 10 min and the antibody fragments applied to a protein A-Sepharose column (100 ml). The flow through fraction containing the Fab fragments was collected and dialyzed against PBS before use.

Preparation of Membrane and Cytosolic Fractions

Cell-free extracts from Xenopus eggs were prepared and fractionated either as described (Smythe and Newport, 1991) with the modification that high speed centrifugation (200,000 g) was performed for 4 h or as described by Vigers and Lohka (1992). In brief, eggs were dejellied in 2% sodium acetate pH 5.5, 15 mM hemi-magnesium gluconate and 20 mM Heps, pH 7.5, 300 mM PMSF, 15 mg/ml leupeptin, and 1 mM DTT) alone or supplemented with 1 M KCl, 1 M Na2CO3, pH 11.0, 6 M Urea, or 1% (vol/vol) Triton X-100 for 10 min. Proteins remaining associated with the oocyte nuclei were pelleted by centrifugation at 25,000 rpm in a Beckman SW 50 rotor for 25 min at 4°C, and those proteins solubilized were collected in the resultant supernatant. Each fraction was used for immunoblotting.

Fluorescence Microscopy of In Vitro Assembly Reactions

For direct fluorescence microscopy, 1-2 ml of each sample was examined after addition of an equal volume of fix-stain buffer (15 mM Pipes, pH 7.2, 80 mM KCl, 5 mM EDTA, 15 mM NaCl, and 3.3% formaldehyde) containing 1 mg/ml Hoechst 33258 and 10 mg/ml 3,3′-dihexyloxycarbocyanine (DHHC) to stain DNA and membranes, respectively. For indirect immunofluorescence microscopy, 5-ml samples were taken from each incubation mix and subjected to one of the following procedures. Samples were fixed by suspension in 100 ml of 3% extraction buffer containing 1 mM ethylene glycol bis-(succinic acid-N-hydroxysuccinimide ester) (EGS) and incubated at 37°C for 30 min. Samples were centrifuged through a 25% glycerol cushion onto glass coverslips that were air dried. Alternatively samples were diluted 20-fold in extraction buffer and centrifuged through a 25% glycerol cushion onto glass coverslips before fixing in 100 ml of 0.33× extraction buffer containing 1 mM EGS with incubation at 37°C for 30 min. All samples were then incubated with an equal volume of fix-stain buffer and the antibody fragments applied to a protein A-Sepharose column (100 ml). The flow through fraction containing the Fab fragments was collected and dialyzed against PBS before use.

Preparation of Membrane and Cytosolic Fractions

Cell-free extracts from Xenopus eggs were prepared and fractionated either as described (Smythe and Newport, 1991) with the modification that high speed centrifugation (200,000 g) was performed for 4 h or as described by Vigers and Lohka (1992). In brief, eggs were dejellied in 2% cysteine, pH 8.0, then washed in modified extraction buffer (25 mM potassium gluconate, 10 mM hemi-magnesium gluconate and 20 mM Heps, pH 7.5, 300 mM PMSF, 15 mg/ml leupeptin, and 1 mM DTT) alone or supplemented with 1 M KCl, 1 M Na2CO3, pH 11.0, 6 M Urea, or 1% (vol/vol) Triton X-100 for 10 min. Proteins remaining associated with the oocyte nuclei were pelleted by centrifugation at 25,000 rpm in a Beckman SW 50 rotor for 25 min at 4°C, and those proteins solubilized were collected in the resultant supernatant. Each fraction was used for immunoblotting.

Fluorescence Microscopy of In Vitro Assembly Reactions

For direct fluorescence microscopy, 1-2 ml of each sample was examined after addition of an equal volume of fix-stain buffer (15 mM Pipes, pH 7.2, 80 mM KCl, 5 mM EDTA, 15 mM NaCl, and 3.3% formaldehyde) containing 1 mg/ml Hoechst 33258 and 10 mg/ml 3,3′-dihexyloxycarbocyanine (DHHC) to stain DNA and membranes, respectively. For indirect immunofluorescence microscopy, 5-ml samples were taken from each incubation mix and subjected to one of the following procedures. Samples were fixed by suspension in 100 ml of 3% extraction buffer containing 1 mM ethylene glycol bis-(succinic acid-N-hydroxysuccinimide ester) (EGS) and incubated at 37°C for 30 min. Samples were centrifuged through a 25% glycerol cushion onto glass coverslips that were air dried. Alternatively samples were diluted 20-fold in extraction buffer and centrifuged through a 25% glycerol cushion onto glass coverslips before fixing in 100 ml of 0.33× extraction buffer containing 1 mM EGS with incubation at 37°C for 30 min. All samples were then incubated with an equal volume of fix-stain buffer and the antibody fragments applied to a protein A-Sepharose column (100 ml). The flow through fraction containing the Fab fragments was collected and dialyzed against PBS before use.

Chastiotropic Disruption of Oocyte Nuclear Membranes

Stage VI oocytes were isolated from Xenopus ovary into Modified Barth X Medium at 4°C. Nuclei were manually dissected from these oocytes and stored in NIB (Hutchison, 1994). Aliquots of 15 oocyte nuclei were incubated with egg lysis buffer (25 mM potassium gluconate, 10 mM hemi-magnesium gluconate and 20 mM Heps, pH 7.5, 300 mM PMSF, 15 mg/ml leupeptin, and 1 mM DTT) alone or supplemented with 1 M KCl, 1 M Na2CO3, pH 11.0, 6 M Urea, or 1% (vol/vol) Triton X-100 for 10 min. Proteins remaining associated with the oocyte nuclei were pelleted by centrifugation at 25,000 rpm in a Beckman SW 50 rotor for 25 min at 4°C, and those proteins solubilized were collected in the resultant supernatant. Each fraction was used for immunoblotting.

Preparation of Demembranated Sperm Chromatin

Acquisition and preparation of demembranated Xenopus sperm was performed as described (Hutchison, 1994).

Assay for Chromatin-binding and NE Assembly

Unfractionated LSS or isolated MP1, MP2, and S200 fractions were assayed for chromatin-binding and/or NE assembly by the addition of demembranated sperm (1,000 sperm/μl) to LSS or to a reconstituted mixture consisting of 1 μl of membranes in 20 μl of cytosol with an ATP-regeneration system (2 mM ATP, 10 mM creatine phosphate, and 50 μg/ml creatine kinase). Samples were incubated for indicated times at room temperature and processed either for direct fluorescence or indirect immunofluorescence microscopy described below.
XK2-2 Cell Culture and Indirect Immunofluorescence Protocols

A Xenopus tissue culture cell line XK-2, derived from embryonic kidney cells, was grown in 50% Leibovitz medium supplemented with 10% (vol/vol) FCS, penicillin, and streptomycin. Cultures were established at an initial density of 3 × 10^5 cells per 90-mm petri dish, were maintained at room temperature and were sub-cultured at 7-d intervals.

For indirect immunofluorescence, XK-2 cells were grown on 11-mm diameter glass coverslips. Cells were fixed in freshly prepared 4% paraformaldehyde (wt/vol) in PBS for 20 min at room temperature and permeabilized as follows: each coverslip was placed in 200 ml of ice-cold permeabilization buffer (5 mM magnesium acetate, 2 mM EGTA, 50 mM potassium acetate, 2 mM DTT, 50 mM Heps-KOH, pH 7.5, 10 μg/ml leupeptin, and 10 μg/ml aprotinin) in a 24-well Costar plate. Permeabilization was achieved by incubation with 0.1% Triton X-100 at 4°C for 2 min and was terminated by the addition of BSA to 1 mg/ml.

For indirect immunofluorescence, fixed and permeabilized cells were incubated with undiluted mAb supernatants or anti-LBR (diluted 1:50 in PBS containing 1% NCS; PBS/NCS) for 1 h at room temperature. The cells were then washed 5× in PBS, drained and incubated with secondary antibodies (FITC goat anti–mouse Ig diluted 1/40 in PBS/NCS or TRITC goat anti–sheep Ig diluted 1/40 in PBS/NCS) for 1 h at room temperature. The specimens were washed and dehydrated through 30, 50, 70, 95, and 100% (vol/vol) ethanol. After alcohol dehydration, the specimens were rinsed in distilled water and the dehydrated through a 50–100% ethanol series. The alcohol was removed and the samples embedded in Spurr’s resin. Sections were cut on a Reichert OME-3 ultramicrotome and mounted on piolotorm coated copper grids. Sections were viewed with a Joel-1200 EX transmission electron microscope at 80 kV accelerating voltage. Sections were collected on silicon chips by sedimentation through 250 mM sucrose as described previously (Goldberg and Allen, 1992). MP1 or MP2 vesicles were isolated manually from stage six oocytes and spread on silicon chips using an EGS fixed sample.

Results

We set out to study the dynamics of NE assembly in the Xenopus cell-free system (Smythe and Newport, 1991). The formation of replication-competent nuclei in this cell-free system is dependent on assembly factors present in egg cytosol in addition to an ER membrane fraction obtained by high speed centrifugation of crushed egg extract (Smythe and Newport, 1991). We obtained a polyclonal antibody raised to the highly conserved integral, inner nuclear membrane protein p58/LBR (Collas et al., 1996; Pyropasopoulou et al., 1996) and in addition generated a set of novel mAbs as follows. First, a nuclear membrane precursor fraction was purified from cell-free extracts of Xenopus eggs and used to immunize BALBc mice. Splenocytes from immunized mice were fused to SP2/0 myeloma cells.
and selected upon the basis of indirect immunofluorescence performed on in vitro assembled nuclei. Five cell lines were established, termed 3E9, 4G12, 7D6, 16H12, and 19C5, each of which secreted antibodies that stained nuclear membranes in the indirect immunofluorescence screen. A sixth cell line, CEL5C, which also secreted antibodies that stained nuclear membranes, was established from mice that were immunized with whole nuclei assembled in vitro (Lyon, 1995).

The antigen recognized by four of the mAbs was characterized by immunoblotting. Egg extract (LSS) and whole, isolated, in vitro assembled nuclei were resolved on SDS-PAGE and blotted against one of mAbs 3E9, 4G12, 16H12, or CEL5C (Fig. 1 A). mAbs 3E9, 4G12 and 16H12 each detected a protein of Mr ~78 kD in LSS and isolated nuclei, which in some gels could be resolved into a closely migrating doublet (e.g., Fig. 1 A, 16H12). In contrast, mAb CEL5C detected a single band in LSS and isolated nuclei, migrating with a Mr of 65 kD. LSS was then fractionated into a nuclear envelope precursor membrane fraction and a membrane-free supernatant (Smythe and Newport, 1991). Each fraction was resolved on SDS-PAGE and blotted with the mAbs as well as affinity-purified antibodies to LBR (Fig. 1 B). Both the 78- and the 65-kD protein were only detected in the membrane fraction and were absent from the membrane-free supernatant, indicating that both antigens were membrane proteins (Fig. 1 B). As expected, affinity-purified antibodies to LBR (Fig. 1 B) recognized a single, major ~56-kD protein exclusively in the membrane fraction (Fig. 1 B) and we have designated this protein, LBRx, for LBR-cross reacting protein. Since mAbs 3E9, 16H12, and 4G12 were all raised against a nuclear envelope precursor vesicle fraction (termed NEP-B; Vigers and Lohka, 1991) that binds to chromatin in vitro, and each antibody detects the same 78-kD membrane protein, we adopted the term NEP-B78 to describe this protein. The 65-kD protein is present in two membrane fractions (see below) and is hereafter referred to as p65.

To determine the nature of the association of p65 and NEP-B78 proteins with membranes, membranes isolated from LSS (not shown) or isolated stage VI oocyte germinal vesicles were extracted with egg lysis buffer or egg lysis buffer containing either chaotropic agents (1 M KCl; 1.0 M carbonate, pH 11.0; 6 M Urea) or 1% (vol/vol) Triton X-100. All samples were subjected to centrifugation and the resultant supernatants and pellets analyzed by SDS-PAGE and Western blotting. The results, shown in Fig. 1 C, clearly demonstrate that only Triton X-100 is capable of solubilizing NEP-B78 and p65, indicating that both are integral membrane proteins.

To further characterize these antibodies and their cognate antigens in the Xenopus system, we carried out immunogold labeling of isolated Xenopus oocyte germinal vesicles in conjunction with FEISEM. Germinal vesicles (GVs) were isolated manually from stage VI oocytes, spread on silicon chips and labeled with mAbs 3E9 or CEL5C, or rabbit anti-LBR followed by 10-nm gold–conjugated secondary antibodies (Fig. 2). Using this approach, the nucleoplasmic face of the inner membrane and cytoplasmic face of the outer nuclear membrane are both revealed (Goldberg and Allen, 1992). The distribution of gold-labeled antibodies can be detected either in backscat-
ter, revealing white spots corresponding to the position of 10-nm gold or using the secondary electron detector to reveal antibody-gold particles directly on the membrane surface. Importantly, nuclear membrane topology can be readily distinguished by reference to nuclear pore morphology (Goldberg and Allen, 1992). Thus the area shown in Fig. 2, A and C (left-hand panels) can be distinguished as the cytoplasmic face of the outer nuclear membrane because all of the NPCs in the surrounding area display cytoplasmic ring structures while the area displayed in Fig. 2 B is distinguished as the nucleoplasmic face of inner nuclear membrane by the presence of nuclear pore baskets. In this experiment, NEP-B78 was observed in clusters between NPCs at the outer nuclear membrane (Fig. 2 A) but not at the inner nuclear membrane (data not shown). In contrast, specific LBRx labeling was observed in clusters between nuclear pores on the inner nuclear envelope (Fig. 2 B) but not at the outer nuclear membrane (data not shown). Labeling of the inner nuclear membrane with rabbit anti-LBR was prevented when incubations were performed in the presence of excess recombinant human LBR1-214 (data not shown). Finally, p65 was densely distributed between NPCs on the outer nuclear membrane (Fig. 2 C) but not the inner nuclear membrane (data not shown). The data suggest that NEP-B78 and p65 are both located on the outer nuclear membrane while LBRx is located on the inner nuclear membrane of oocyte germinal vesicles.

**NEP-B78 Is Incorporated into the Nuclear Envelope Concomitantly with p65 but before LBRx in Xenopus Cell-free Extracts and XLK-2 Cells**

In cell-free extracts derived from Xenopus eggs, replication competent nuclei are assembled over a 60-min period (Hutchison et al., 1987). Assembly involves the cytosol-dependent decondensation of chromatin followed by membrane recruitment to chromatin surfaces. Subsequent membrane fusion and surface area expansion is accompanied by the appearance of nuclear pores and the lamina, before the onset of DNA replication. Typically in these extracts, membrane recruitment to decondensing chromatin can be detected after 10–15 min. To compare the timing of recruitment of NEP-B78, p65, and LBRx, nuclear reassembly assays in unfraccionated extract were analyzed by indirect immunofluorescence microscopy using antibodies against each protein (Fig. 3). Nuclei displaying rim staining with mAbs 4G12 (detecting NEP-B78; Fig. 3, left-hand panels) and CEL5C (detecting p65; Fig. 3, right-hand panels) were readily observed 15 min after the addition of demembranated sperm chromatin to Xenopus egg extracts. As the NEs expanded over the following 45-min period, the intensity of staining with both 4G12 and CEL5C increased. In contrast, LBRx was only detected in the NE of assembling nuclei some 30 min after the addition of sperm chromatin to Xenopus egg extracts. As the NEs expanded over the following 45-min period, the intensity of staining with both 4G12 and CEL5C increased. In contrast, LBRx was only detected in the NE of assembling nuclei some 30 min after the addition of demembranated sperm chromatin to LSS (Fig. 3, middle panels). These data suggest that the association of NEP-B78- and p65-containing vesicles with decondensing chromatin is one of the earliest events of NE assembly and is distinct from the association of LBRx-containing vesicles.

We extended our observations concerning the timing of recruitment of NEP-B78- and LBRx-containing membranes to the Xenopus cultured cell line, XLK-2. Cells were fixed using 4% paraformaldehyde and 0.1% Triton X-100 and analyzed by indirect immunofluorescence microscopy using antibodies against each protein. We found that in metaphase cells, both LBR and NEP-B78 antibod-
ies reacted with reticular structures in the cytoplasm in regions peripheral to condensed chromosomes, although NEP-B78 staining was very weak (Fig. 4, a, e, i, and m). NEP-B78 association with chromatin was first observed in anaphase (Fig. 4, j and n) at which stage LBRx-containing membranes appeared to remain in the cytoplasm (Fig. 4, b and f). Labeling of the chromatin periphery by LBR antibodies could be observed at telophase with a substantial amount of label still decorating the cytoplasm (Fig. 4, c and g). In contrast, NEP-B78 showed predominantly perichromatin staining at this time (Fig. 4, k and o). Both antibodies gave rise to nuclear staining in early G1 cells (Fig. 4, d, h, l, and p). These data support and extend the observation that the association of NEP-B78-containing membranes with decondensing chromatin is one of the earliest events of NE assembly and is temporally distinct from the association of LBRx-containing membranes.

Xenopus NE Precursor Membranes Consist of Functionally and Biochemically Distinct Vesicle Types

To investigate the possibility that two distinct vesicle populations mediate nuclear membrane assembly and that one population binds to chromatin before the other, we...
fractionated cell-free extracts of *Xenopus* eggs. Vigers and Lohka (1991) described the separation of two particulate fractions from LSS, which were required to reconstitute NE assembly, by differential and density dependent centrifugation. However, in the absence of biochemical markers, they were unable to unequivocally identify the nature of the components in each fraction that were necessary for NE reassembly. To test the hypothesis that NEP-B78 and LBRx defined the activities of two distinct NE-precursor vesicles, we repeated the fractionation procedure developed by Vigers and Lohka (1991). Two membrane-containing fractions (termed MP1 and MP2) were separated and assayed individually or in combination for their ability to form a structurally and functionally competent NE when incubated with membrane-free cytosol (here termed S2004h) and demembranated sperm chromatin. These assays were analyzed by fluorescence microscopy using Hoechst 33258 to stain chromatin and DHCC for total membranes (Fig. 5, left- and right-hand columns, respectively). This revealed that MP1 did not contain membranes with the ability to bind to chromatin in the absence of MP2 (Fig. 5 A). In contrast, membranes did bind to chromatin upon incubation with MP2 alone (Fig. 5 B). The incubation of MP2 with cytosol and chromatin did not undergo any further morphological changes normally associated with complete NE reassembly (Wiese et al., 1997) even after prolonged incubation, and failed to initiate DNA replication (data not shown). When both vesicle-containing fractions were introduced into the assay system, full nuclear envelope assembly was supported as judged by continuous rim staining with DHCC and morphology characteristic of fully formed nuclei (Fig. 5 C) that underwent DNA replication (data not shown). No vesicles were observed upon incubation of demembranated sperm in S2004h (Fig. 5 D). These results indicate that the MP2 fraction was enriched in a vesicle type capable of binding to chromatin although, even after prolonged incubation, nuclear envelope formation did not occur and DNA replication was not supported. At the level of resolution of the light microscope, it was not possible to determine at which point NE assembly was arrested.

To do this, samples from each of the assays described above were therefore fixed and sectioned for visualization by electron microscopy (Fig. 6) as described in Materials and Methods. Fig. 6 A shows typical structures resulting from incubations of sperm with MP2 and cytosol. The decondensed chromatin was extensively covered with a single layer of vesicles. At higher resolution, vesicles appeared distorted in two distinct ways. At points of contact with chromatin, vesicles appeared crenulated (white arrows, Fig. 6 B), while vesicles with adjacent surfaces appeared to adopt complementary shapes (black arrows, Fig. 6 C). However, even vesicles tightly juxtaposed did not fuse with one another (shown in detail in Fig. 6, B and C).

As expected, when sperm chromatin was incubated with MP1 and cytosol alone, no vesicles were bound to chromatin (data not shown). However, when both MP1 and MP2 were incubated with chromatin and cytosol, complete formation of a nuclear envelope around the decondensed chromatin was clearly observed (Fig. 6 D), with continuous and distinct inner and outer nuclear membranes (labeled INM and ONM, respectively) and the formation of nuclear pores (Fig. 6 E, nuclear pore indicated by arrow). In addition, ribosome-like structures were seen encrusting the cytoplasmic face of the outer nuclear membrane as well as on vesicles within the surrounding cytosol (Fig. 6 F, arrows). These results clearly show that while vesicles in the MP2 fraction can bind to chromatin, they lack the ability to fuse to neighboring chromatin-bound vesicles or other vesicles within this fraction that remain unbound.

To determine whether we could detect any biochemical differences between membrane fractions required for NE assembly, all fractions obtained by differential centrifugation were subjected to SDS-PAGE and either stained with Coomassie blue for protein or immunoblotted with antibodies to NEP-B78, LBR, p65, and B-type lamins. Coomassie blue staining of total and fractionated membranes indicated that MP1 and MP2 comprised different but partially overlapping sets of proteins (Fig. 7 A). Fractionation of a defined volume of eggs yields differing volumes of the three fractions of interest. Therefore to investigate the distribution of specific proteins between these fractions, volumes of each fraction, proportional to their ratios in a single egg, were examined (Fig. 7, B and C). Western blotting analysis (Fig. 7 B) revealed that antibodies to NEP-B78, LBR, and p65 detected their cognate proteins in an unfractionated extract (lane 1), and as expected for integral membrane proteins, were undetectable in the cytosolic.
fraction obtained by prolonged high speed centrifugation (lane 4). We found that p65 (Fig. 7 B, middle panels), was distributed approximately equally between the MP1 and MP2 fractions. Importantly, NEP-B78 was found exclusively in the MP2 fraction (Fig. 7 B, upper panels), while LBRx was observed only in the MP1 fraction (Fig. 7 B, lower panels). Isolated MP1 and MP2 fractions were also blotted for the presence of B-type lamins using the mAb.
that detects lamins B1, B2, and B3. In *Xenopus* egg extracts, the major lamin isoform present is B3, which is largely soluble and present in the cytosolic fraction (Jenkins et al., 1993b; Meier et al., 1991). However, both lamin B3 and low levels of lamin B2 have been detected in isolated *Xenopus* membrane fractions (Lourim and Krohne, 1993). Using mAb L6 8A7 to detect both B3 and B2, we found that a band of the expected mobility for lamin B3 was indeed present in MP1 membranes but not in MP2. In addition, we observed an additional band with reduced electrophoretic mobility in both MP1 and MP2 (Fig. 7 C) presumably corresponding to lamin B2 (Lourim and Krohne, 1993). The results indicate that the fractionation procedure gives rise to membrane preparations that are biochemically distinct.

To further characterize the discrete vesicles involved in NE assembly in the cell-free system, we performed a morphological analysis of NEP-B78- and LBRx-containing vesicles by immunogold labeling of membranes in MP1 and MP2 fractions in conjunction with FEISEM. Membranes were treated with either mAb 3E9 (to detect NEP-B78) or anti-LBR antibodies, followed by gold-tagged secondary antibodies, and examined using FEISEM (Fig. 8 A). We detected LBRx in larger ~600-nm-diam “rough” vesicles that were enriched in the MP1 fraction (Fig. 8 A, upper right-hand panel). Importantly, no gold particles were observed in MP1 treated with mAb 3E9 (Fig. 8 A, upper left-hand panel). Conversely, we found NEP-B78 in “smooth” vesicles and tubular structures with variable diameter, which were enriched in MP2. No labeling of MP2 vesicles was observed with anti-LBR antibodies (Fig. 8 A, lower panels).

NEP-B78 and LBRx-containing membranes were also subjected to flotation analysis by sucrose density gradient centrifugation. Isolated MP1 and MP2 fractions were overlaid with solutions of decreasing sucrose concentration and centrifuged for 2 h at 200,000 g. After gradient fractionation, samples were subjected to SDS-PAGE and immunoblotting to detect either NEP-B78 or LBRx (Fig. 8 B). We found that flotation of MP2 resulted in the fractionation of NEP-B78-containing membranes across a wide range of sucrose concentrations, presumably reflect-
ing the variation in density and size of membranes in MP2. As expected from the data in Fig. 7, no LBRx was detected in fractions derived from MP2 (data not shown). Similarly, no NEP-B78 was detected in fractions derived from MP1 (not shown). However, in contrast to the wide distribution of NEP-B78 in MP2, LBRx from MP1 was found in a sharp peak at about 1.0 M sucrose, reflecting the homogeneous size and density of LBRx-containing membranes.

**Discrete Vesicle Populations Are Required for NE Assembly**

We then used the isolated membrane fractions separately and in combination in nuclear assembly assays that we analyzed by indirect immunofluorescence microscopy using all three antibodies as probes. Fig. 9, a shows the results obtained when incubation mixtures containing cytosol plus MP1 alone, cytosol plus MP2 alone, cytosol plus MP1 and MP2 or cytosol alone were incubated in the presence of demembranated sperm chromatin, and an energy regeneration system. The results show that NEP-B78 was recruited to the surface of chromatin when MP2 vesicles alone were used (Fig. 9 A, MP2), but not when MP1 vesicles alone were present (Fig. 9 A, MP1), and consequently was found in the complete nuclear envelope that is formed when MP1 and MP2 were present together (Fig. 9 A, MP1 + MP2). Interestingly, recruitment of p65 was also only observed if MP2 vesicles were included in the assembly incubation. Importantly, recruitment of LBRx was not observed when incubations contained either MP2 alone or MP1 alone, but as expected, recruitment of LBRx was observed in the reconstituted mixture giving a morphologically normal nucleus. These results were obtained using a standard protocol for the detection by indirect immunofluorescence of nuclear antigens in the *Xenopus* cell-free system (Hutchison, 1994) and involves cross-linking with EGS before dilution and centrifugation of assembled nuclei onto coverslips. The absence of p65 and LBRx staining after incubation of chromatin and MP1 alone indicated that nonspecific cross-linking of membranes to chromatin with EGS was unlikely. However, we repeated this experiment with an altered protocol in which chromatin, after incubation with cytosol and the relevant membrane fraction, was diluted and pelleted onto coverslips before cross-linking with EGS to eliminate this possibility. In this experiment, NEP-B78 and LBRx were visualized after double immunofluorescence labeling with mAb 3E9 and anti-LBR antibodies. Colocalization between these antibodies was observed only in fully reconstituted nuclei (MP1 + MP2; Fig. 9 B). We have also performed colocalization between lamin B3 and LBRx again with the result that colocalization was only observed in fully reconstituted nuclei (data not shown). The results in Fig. 9 B, confirm that recruitment of LBRx from the MP1 fraction is only observed in incubations also containing MP2.

Three conclusions can be drawn from the data presented in Figs. 7 and 9. First, NEP-B78 is present exclu-
sively in the membrane preparation containing vesicles that bind initially to chromatin, and is a constituent protein of such vesicles. Since NEP-B78 is an integral membrane protein that is incorporated into the NE and this protein is found exclusively in the MP2 fraction, then this fraction must contain a physiologically relevant and discrete population of membrane vesicles involved in NE assembly. Second, LBRx was shown to be present only in MP1 (Fig. 7 B) and was not present on the vesicles that initially target chromatin. Therefore, the inclusion of this protein into the NE indicates that there are also vesicles within the MP1 fraction that are essential for complete NE assembly. In addition, LBRx-containing membranes must require some component, present in the MP2 fraction, to mediate their incorporation into an NE undergoing reassembly. Finally, although p65 is present in both MP1 and MP2 fractions, this does not indicate the existence of a unique population of p65-containing vesicles distributed between both fractions. Instead, p65 must be present in two functionally distinct types of vesicle as p65 positive vesicles in MP1 are incapable of chromatin binding whereas those in MP2 are capable of this function.

Antibodies to NEP-B78 but Not p65 Interfere with Vesicle Targeting to Chromatin

The data presented in Figs. 3, 7, and 9 indicated that both p65 and NEP-B78 are present in vesicles that are targeted to chromatin in the first step of NE reassembly. To determine whether either of these proteins played any role in the process of NE reassembly, we incubated aliquots of MP2 fraction either with mAb 3E9 or mAb CEL5C. After reisolation of membranes, vesicle recruitment was assayed by the addition of cytosol and chromatin (Fig. 10 A), while NE assembly was assayed by the readdition of cytosol, chromatin, and the essential MP1 fraction (Fig. 10 B). In all cases, membranes were visualized using the membrane dye, DHCC. Preincubation of MP2 membranes with mAb 3E9, but not CEL5C or an irrelevant control antibody (CK18), abolished recruitment of membranes present in the MP2 fraction to chromatin periphery. Similarly, treatment of MP2 membranes with the NEP-B78 specific mAb also blocked recruitment of MP1-derived membrane and nuclear envelope reassembly failed to occur (Fig. 10 B). Antibodies to p65 had no effect on NE reassembly, nor did Fab fragments derived from mAb 3E9 (not shown). These results were confirmed by indirect immunofluorescence assays. Nuclei assembled in the presence of MP1, MP2, and cytosol, with and without prior treatment with 3E9 were assayed for recruitment of LBRx-containing vesicles. 3E9 treatment of MP2 vesicles completely inhibited subsequent incorporation of LBRx into the NE in this reconstituted extract.

Taken together, the results obtained above indicate that there is a specific vesicle population, for which NEP-B78 is a unique molecular marker, which is targeted to surfaces of chromatin as the first step in the reassembly of the NE, and that NEP-B78 function may be required for the targeting process. In addition, membrane fusion and consequent envelope growth is dependent on the subsequent recruitment of LBRx-containing membrane, which is itself dependent on the prior recruitment of vesicles from MP2.

**Figure 10.** Effect of NEP-B78 and p65 mAbs on vesicle recruitment and nuclear assembly. Aliquots of MP2 membranes were incubated with either anti-cytokeratin 18 mAb (CK-18) as control or anti-NEP-B78 mAb 3E9 or the anti-p65 mAb CEL5C and reisolated as described in Materials and Methods. (A) Vesicle recruitment assays were performed using demembranated sperm chromatin and cytosol supplemented with indicated antibody-treated MP2 alone. (B and C) NE assembly assays were performed using sperm chromatin, cytosol plus MP1 and indicated antibody-treated MP2. In A and B, DNA was stained with Hoechst 33258 (left-hand panels) and membranes are stained with DHCC (right-hand panels). In (C) MP2 previously treated with control CK-18 mAb or 3E9 was incubated with cytosol, MP1 and sperm chromatin, fixed for immunofluorescence and probed for the presence of LBRx using an FITC-labeled secondary antibody. Left-hand panels show DNA stained with DAPI with corresponding fluorescein-labeled images to the right.

**Discussion**

**Distinct Membrane Vesicles Are Required for Nuclear Envelope Reassembly around Sperm Chromatin In Vitro**

In this paper, we have used antibodies that we have generated against integral membrane proteins termed NEP-B78 and p65, together with an affinity-purified anti-LBR antibody to investigate the dynamics of NE reassembly in the *Xenopus* cell-free system as well as *Xenopus* tissue culture cells. We have established that NEP-B78 becomes incorporated into the NE during the process of nuclear envelope assembly in cell-free extracts of *Xenopus* eggs. We found that this protein is present exclusively in an isolated membrane fraction (MP2) that contains vesicles with chro-
matin binding activity. This fraction was necessary and sufficient for recruitment of NEP-B78 to chromatin surfaces. Since NEP-B78 is a constituent of a mature NE, and while the MP2 fraction is not sufficient to generate an intact NE or replication competent nucleus, nonetheless MP2 contains a population of membrane vesicles that must be incorporated into a mature NE.

We found that preincubation of MP2 with antibodies against NEP-B78 blocked all vesicle recruitment to chromatin. Importantly, preincubation with antibodies to p65 had no effect, suggesting that the inhibition by mAb 3E9 is not simply due to steric hindrance, but that some aspect of NEP-B78 function may be required for the process of targeting MP2 vesicles to chromatin. Interestingly, monovalent Fab fragments derived from mAb 3E9 did not block targeting of vesicles, indicating that mAb 3E9 is not a direct inhibitor of NEP-B78 function. This was not due to loss of epitope recognition as a consequence of mAb proteolysis to generate the Fab fragments, as these Fabs were capable of immunoprecipitating NEP-B78 from detergent-solubilized membranes (data not shown). It seems unlikely that mAb 3E9 cross-links vesicles to yield large membrane aggregates incapable of interacting with chromatin, given the relative size of vesicles and antibody complexes (see Fig. 8). One possibility is that incubation of vesicles in the presence of divalent mAbs result in aggregation of NEP-B78 in the plane of the membrane, resulting in loss of function.

As pretreatment of MP2 with mAb 3E9 essentially blocked all MP2 binding, this indicates that only vesicles containing NEP-B78 can be involved in the initial chromatin-binding step. In addition, the absence of any membrane associated with chromatin, when MP2 is treated with mAb 3E9, together with electron micrographs showing that MP2 vesicles bind to chromatin as a monolayer (Fig. 6, A–C), indicate that NEP-B78-containing vesicles must be the first to be targeted to chromatin surfaces during the reassembly process.

We have also characterized an additional membrane fraction (MP1) that is essential for NE assembly. This fraction could be distinguished from MP2, in that membranes contained within it are unable to bind to chromatin in isolation. However, a component in this fraction supports vesicle fusion, flattening of the NE double membrane, maturation of pore complex assembly and DNA replication. We found that this fraction is the sole source of a Xenopus protein recognized by antibodies that detect human and sea urchin LBR (Collas et al., 1996). On the basis of its membrane location in egg extracts and NE location in XLC-2 cells, molecular mass, incorporation into the NE, recognition by affinity-purified anti-LBR antibodies, and specific localization in the inner nuclear membrane in oocyte germinal vesicles, we suggest that this protein is the Xenopus homologue of the lamin B receptor, or a very closely related protein, which we have termed LBRx. Importantly, since we found that this protein is incorporated into the NE during reassembly (Fig. 3), we conclude that MP1 membranes containing LBRx are also essential for the reconstitution of a replication-competent nucleus.

In this cell-free system, we consistently observed that the recruitment of NEP-B78 to the periphery of chromatin preceded the appearance of LBRx (Fig. 3). We wished to extend these observations to a Xenopus cell line. In XLK-2 cells, we found that NEP-B78 was present on the outer NE, consistent with our SEM observations of germinal vesicles, as well as in the peripheral ER (Hutchison, C.J., S. Drummond, C.E. Lyon, S. McLean, and C. Smythe, manuscript in preparation). However, we have found that the protein residing in the NE differs from that in the ER and we have developed conditions that permit the observation of the NE form of the protein only (Fig. 4 p; Hutchison et al., in preparation). In these cells, we also observed that NEP-B78 recruitment appeared to precede that of LBRx (Fig. 4). NEP-B78 was clearly associated with chromosomes in anaphase cells while LBRx recruitment appeared to begin in telophase with significant amounts of LBRx remaining in cytoplasmic structures (Fig. 4 g) at this point. However, we cannot exclude the possibility that in cells, the levels of LBRx expression vastly exceed that of NEP-B78 and thus the later appearance of LBRx at the chromatin periphery is the consequence of this. In this circumstance, it might be difficult to specifically detect a small fraction of the total LBRx recruited to chromosomes at earlier stages in mitosis. The recruitment of LBR to the NE has also recently been examined (Ellenberg et al., 1997), by expressing a GFP-LBR chimera in living cells. Those authors reported that LBR recruitment could be observed in late anaphase. The significance of the difference between the two observations is unclear but may reflect issues raised above, differences in experimental approaches, species differences or effects associated with the antibody used in this study.

Importantly, in the cell-free system, we also found that recruitment of MP1 to chromatin did not occur when the binding of MP2 was blocked by inhibition with mAb 3E9. Furthermore, the incorporation of LBRx at the surface of chromatin was also blocked by treatment of MP2 vesicles with mAb 3E9. Together, these results demonstrate that the recruitment of MP1 membrane is dependent on the prior recruitment of NEP-B78-containing vesicles present in MP2 and that at least in this system, the arrival of LBRx-containing vesicles at the periphery of chromatin is a consequence of the prior association of a different vesicle type.

Previous work has suggested that at least two membrane fractions termed NEP-A and NEP-B, are required to reconstitute NE formation in Xenopus egg extracts. NEP-B contained vesicles with the ability to bind chromatin. NEP-A contained fusogenic activity and was required for further expansion of the NE surface area (Vigers and Lohka, 1991). However, in two other investigations, a single vesicle fraction provided both chromatin binding and fusogenic activity (Newport and Dumphry, 1992; Wiese et al., 1997). This discrepancy, together with the absence of any molecular markers for the NEP-A and NEP-B fractions, has led to the suggestion that the fractionation procedure developed by Vigers and Lohka generated a functionally homogeneous vesicle population, separated from a detergent-sensitive particulate fraction necessary for NE reassembly (Wiese et al., 1997; Yang et al., 1997). The results presented in this work clearly demonstrate that two functionally and biochemically distinct membrane populations are indeed required for NE assembly. We propose that NEP-B vesicles support chromatin binding but not...
vesicle fusion and contain NEP-B78. In addition, they consist of smooth vesicles that distribute widely on sucrose density gradients, and are highly enriched in the MP2 fraction described here. NEP-A describes a NE precursor membrane population unable to bind chromatin in isolation, but capable of supporting vesicle-vesicle fusion at the surface of chromatin. NEP-A vesicles are rough and on flotation gradients are recovered in a narrow peak at ~1.0 M sucrose. In addition, they are highly enriched in MP1 and contain LBRx.

Proteins That Target the Association of NE Precursor Vesicles with Chromatin
A number of proteins have been proposed to be responsible for targeting vesicles to chromatin at the end of mitosis. These include peripheral membrane proteins such as lamins (Burke and Gerace, 1986) or integral membrane proteins such as otefin (Ashery et al., 1997a), LBR (Collas et al., 1996; Pyrpasopoulou et al., 1996), or LAPs (Foinski and Gerace, 1993). Both LAP2 and LBR are capable of binding to B-type lamins and to chromatin in vitro (Foinski and Gerace, 1993; Worman et al., 1988; Ye and Worman, 1996). These associations are reversible and are prevented after specific phosphorylation by the mitotic kinase cdc2. Both LAP2- and LBR-positive vesicles associate rapidly with chromosomes and the reassembling NE during anaphase (Chaudhary and Courvalin, 1993; Foinski and Gerace, 1993). In sea urchin eggs, antibodies against LBR were found to block the recruitment of membrane containing an LBR-like protein to chromatin, arguing for an important role for that protein in the targeting process (Collas et al., 1996). However, it is unclear whether or not LBR vesicles were the first to arrive at the surface of chromatin in that system. Due to the limited amounts of LBR antibodies available to us, we were unable to determine whether these antibodies had any effect on NE reassembly in our system. We predict that interfering with LBRx function will have no effect on the initial recruitment of vesicles to chromatin but may interfere with subsequent steps. In the experiments reported here, it is clear that LBRx is contained in membranes that are recruited after the initial recruitment of NEP-B78-containing vesicles. However, it is important to stress that our results do not exclude the possibility that LBRx, or indeed LAPs, may subsequently participate in binding and remodelling of chromatin after their arrival at the surface. More likely, the initial interactions between NEP-B78 containing vesicles and chromatin cause surface remodelling of the chromatin that in turn permits LBRx-chromatin binding activity. Indeed, evidence for surface remodelling of chromatin at sites of interaction with NEP-B78 vesicles is presented in Fig. 6 C.

Lamin B3 is the major form of lamin protein found in Xenopus egg extracts (Benavente et al., 1985; Stick and Hausen, 1985). Blocking lamin function either by antibody inhibition or by specific immunodepletion fails to inhibit NE assembly arguing that lamin proteins do not play a role in targeting vesicles to chromatin (Newport et al., 1990; Meier et al., 1991). Recent reports suggest that low residual levels of lamin B3, in addition to lamin B2 remain associated with membranes (Lourim and Krohne, 1993). Using mAb L6 8A7 to detect both B3 and B2, we found that a band of the expected mobility for lamin B3 was indeed present in MP1 membranes but not in MP2. In addition, we observed an additional band with reduced electrophoretic mobility in both MP1 and MP2, presumably corresponding to lamin B2 (Fig. 7 C). The inability of MP1 vesicles to bind to chromatin directly suggests that these proteins are not sufficient to bring about chromatin targeting. Lamin B2 might be necessary for MP2 binding since this protein binds to chromatin in vitro (Hoger et al., 1991) and mAbs against lamin B2 have been reported to inhibit NE assembly in vitro (Dabauvalle et al., 1991). However, the levels of this protein in MP2 are very low compared with the levels of membrane-associated lamin B3 and in other experimental systems, B-type lamins appear unnecessary for membrane targeting (Collas et al., 1996; Pyrpasopoulou et al., 1996).

Previous reports suggesting the ability of membrane vesicles to carry out both binding and fusion (Newport and Dunphy, 1992; Wiese et al., 1997) may be explained by the possibility that other fractionation procedures failed to separate NEP-A and -B type vesicles. The molecular mechanism underlying the mutual recognition of NEP-A and -B type vesicles is unknown but might be expected to reside in elements of fusogenic machinery that must be present on both vesicle types. Therefore, in different buffers, interactions between the fusogenic machinery may lead to co-fractionation of NEP-A and NEP-B. In addition, previous experiments reporting the coordinated recruitment of rough and smooth vesicles were performed using artificially remodelled chromatin template (Wiese et al., 1997). The use of artificially remodelled sperm chromatin may eliminate the ordered recruitment of NEP-B and NEP-A.

Post-mitotic Regeneration of NE Asymmetry
We found that both NEP-B78 and p65 could be recruited to chromatin surfaces when incubated with MP2 alone, indicating that vesicles with chromatin binding activity must also contain proteins that ultimately reside almost exclusively in the outer nuclear membrane (Hutchison et al., in preparation). It is likely, therefore, that NEP-B vesicles contain proteins that will ultimately reside on the outer envelope together with proteins that play an essential role at the inner NE. As it is unlikely that inner and outer NE proteins reside in distinct domains on each vesicle, it is clear that during the assembly process, a sorting mechanism must exist to generate the asymmetric protein composition of a mature NE. We suggest that the establishment of chromatin-membrane macromolecular complexes is the first step in the generation of NE asymmetry. Sites of membrane-chromatin association act as anchor points for the recruitment of other inner NE proteins from the same vesicle, as well as from incoming fusogenic vesicles and may act to exclude proteins of the outer NE. However, it is important not to exclude the possibility that proteins involved in modelling the early stages of chromatin-membrane architecture may not be required in later stages of assembly and may be free to diffuse/migrate elsewhere.

Fusion of the nuclear envelope vesicles requires ATP and GTP and is inhibited by the alkylating agent N-ethylmaleimide (NEM; Newport and Dunphy, 1992). It remains to be established whether this reflects an inhibition of
the heterotypic fusion machinery (NEM-sensitive factor, NSF), soluble NSF attachment proteins (SNAPs), and SNAP receptors (reviewed in Rothman and Warren, 1994) or another fusion machine, such as that responsible for homotypic fusion and yeast karyogamy (Latterich and Schekman, 1994; Acharya et al., 1995; Rabouille et al., 1995). However, our ability to separate functionally discrete precursors in this cell-free system should allow us to develop a system to test these possibilities.

How Are Discrete Vesicle Populations Generated in M-phase?

In higher eukaryotes, the process of mitosis requires that the nuclear envelope be disassembled and reassembled in each daughter cell. In Xenopus oocytes, M-phase fragmentation is extensive, and vesicles ranging from 70 to ~500 nm have been observed (Wilson and Newport, 1988; Vigers and Lohka, 1991; Newport and Dunphy, 1992). Vesicle heterogeneity has been reported in a number of systems (Vigers and Lohka, 1991; Chaudhary and Courvalin, 1993; Lourim and Krohne, 1993; Buendia and Courvalin, 1997) and these observations have led to the suggestion that NE disassembly may be domain specific, with mitotic vesicles retaining proteins exclusively from either the inner, outer or pore membrane regions (Gerace and Foisner, 1994; Marshall and Wilson, 1997). More recently, confocal microscopy in NRK cells (Yang et al., 1997), and photobleaching of GFP-LBR chimeras in COS-7 cells (Ellenberg et al., 1997) have indicated that marker proteins of the inner NE can be detected throughout the bulk ER in mitosis, with little observable membrane fragmentation, and have led to the alternative hypothesis that NE disassembly is simply the consequence of the loss of the barrier (the nuclear pore complex) that ensures that inner nuclear membrane proteins do not diffuse throughout the bulk ER during interphase. A clear prediction of this hypothesis is that the protein composition of bulk ER in mitosis will be identical and NE proteins should be detectable throughout this organelle. It is clear from the results presented here that the products of NE breakdown, which act as precursors for reassembly after inactivation of the mitotic cdc2 kinase, are not functionally nor biochemically homogeneous (Figs. 6–9). Thus our data are not consistent with a simple model of diffusion into the bulk ER.

Wiese et al. (1997) have developed a more complex model of the above suggesting that the processes of membrane fragmentation and vesiculation occur concomitantly with diffusion between the inner, outer and pore domains of the NE. This might be expected to give rise to vesicles with differing protein compositions, depending on relative kinetics of diffusional events and fragmentation rates. If diffusion predominates over fragmentation, then vesicle protein compositions will be very similar. Where fragmentation predominates over diffusion, vesicles may be expected to have distinct protein compositions and functions. The absence of significant amounts of NEP-B78 in NEP-A, and the absence of significant amounts of LBRx in NEP-B, together with the clear functional distinctions observed between these two populations suggest that, during M-phase in this system, the degree of diffusion, if any, is very low compared with the rate of fragmentation.

This work has important implications for the understanding of the mechanisms of nuclear envelope disassembly and reassembly during mitosis and for the development of systems to identify novel molecules that control these processes. Future work must be directed towards identifying molecular components that permit the generation of NEPs as well as those that allow their reconstitution into an intact NE. The identification of specific proteins in discrete vesicle types presented here is a first step in the elucidation of these mechanisms.
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