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ABSTRACT

Introduction Mental disorders pose a huge burden to both individuals and health systems. Symptoms and syndromes often remain undetected and untreated, resulting in comorbidity and chronification. Besides limited resources in healthcare systems, the treatment-gap is—to a large extent—caused by within-person barriers impeding early treatment seeking. These barriers include a lack of trust in professionals, fear of stigmatisation, or the desire to cope with problems without professional help. While unguided self-management interventions are not designed to replace psychotherapy, they may support early symptom assessment and recognition by reducing within-person barriers. Digital self-management solutions may also reduce inequalities in access to care due to external factors such as regional unavailability of services.

Methods and analysis Approximately 1100 patients suffering from mild to moderate depressive, anxiety, sleep, eating or somatisation-related mental disorders will be randomised to receive either a low-threshold unguided digital self-management tool in the form of a transdiagnostic mental health app or care as usual. The primary outcomes will be mental health literacy, patient empowerment and access to care while secondary outcomes will be symptom distress and quality of life. Additional moderator and predictor variables are negative life events, personality functioning, client satisfaction, mental healthcare service use and application of self-management strategies. Data will be collected at baseline as well as 8 weeks and 6 months after randomisation. Data will be analysed using multiple imputation and analysis of covariance employing the intention-to-treat principle, while sensitivity analyses will be based on different multiple imputation parameters and a per-protocol analysis.

Strengths and limitations of this study

- This study is the first to systematically investigate treatment seeking attitudes and behaviours in a large population of users of an app-based mental health intervention.
- The assessment of both predictors of treatment seeking and actual treatment seeking behaviour enables the investigation of possible indicators for decision making in stepped care.
- The inclusion of a control group, possible moderator variables and follow-up measurements will allow analyses to assess potential mechanisms of improvement.
- The study may be limited by a relatively high rate of attrition that is to be expected in unguided self-help solutions.

INTRODUCTION

The number of people suffering from depression worldwide is estimated at over 300 million and more than 250 million suffer from an anxiety disorder.1 In Germany, around 1 in 10 people is affected by depression, while around one in five meets diagnostic criteria for an anxiety disorder.2 One in 20 individuals suffers from chronic pain or insomnia.3 Depressive disorders are a major contributor to health loss, accounting for 7.5% of years lived with disability while anxiety disorders rank in the top ten among all known diseases accounting for 4.5% of years lived with disability.4 Furthermore, mental disorders are associated with high direct and indirect costs. In Germany, the direct costs in 2012 were estimated at 33 billion Euro while estimates of indirect costs are almost equally high.5

...
Further, comorbidity among mental disorders is high. For example, individuals with a mood disorder, e.g. depression, have a lifetime prevalence of 81% for anxiety disorders, with social phobia, obsessive compulsive disorder, generalised anxiety disorder or post-traumatic stress disorder being the most frequent comorbid disorders. A review of 177 clinical studies with a total of 533,377 study participants revealed that only 14% of the cases could be clearly allocated to one specific mental disorder category such as depression, anxiety or personality disorders. When affective and anxiety disorders are conceptualised under the overarching spectrum of internalising disorders, predictive validity with respect to suicidal tendencies or future mental illness is improved significantly. A similar approach is used in contemporary dimensional, hierarchical and data-driven phenotypic definitions of psychopathology which is also supported by recent findings concerning shared genetic covariance and polygenic risk scores.

Recent meta-analyses confirm the efficacy of app-supported smartphone interventions both for the reduction of common mental disorder symptoms as well as for improving quality of life. These interventions are typically based on principles of cognitive–behavioural therapy and are designed to teach the users skills to manage their symptoms as well as disorder-related cognitions and behaviours.

Typically, internet-based interventions consist of several sessions or modules and address one type of disorder. Interventions targeting the same disorder tend to be very similar regarding their components and content, while they may differ in the way the content is presented (e.g., text vs video-based, length and reading level of text, inclusion of case vignettes and examples). Sessions or modules can be consecutive, that is, users engage with the content in a preset order and/or at preset intervals, or users can determine the order of the content they engage with and self-pace through the intervention.

On the other hand, authors of an extensive analysis of the WHO World Mental Health Surveys conclude that ‘common causal pathways account for most of the comorbidity’. This may explain why many pharmaceutical and psychosocial treatments show transdiagnostic effects on a range of mental disorders. Contemporary mental health interventions and treatments such as the Unified Protocol or the Common Elements Treatment Approach therefore increasingly replace ‘single-disorder protocols’ with treatment elements that address the common underlying mechanisms of multiple disorders and have been proved to be transdiagnostically effective.

Based on these as well as the meta-analytical findings presented above we therefore expect a transdiagnostic unguided internet-based self-management application for mental health to have an impact on a range of mental disorder symptoms beyond anxiety and depression as well as on quality of life.

Most common mental disorders can be successfully treated if they are detected early and if appropriate treatment is provided in a timely manner. To this end, evidence-based therapeutic approaches are available but only reach about 28% (in high-income countries) of those with depression and 20% of those with an anxiety disorder. Access to specialised care is often impeded by limited availability while treatment delays are associated with symptom deterioration and less favourable long-term outcomes, according to a study using longitudinal data from over half a million treatment-seeking individuals.

More importantly, within-person attitudinal barriers seem to constitute an even stronger obstacle for treatment seeking than structural barriers. Both a major national population study and the WHO World Mental Health Surveys concluded that by far the largest treatment barriers are wanting to handle the problem on one’s own and low perceived need for care. Although not considered to be stigma-related barriers, these factors may be influenced by stigma. A systematic review of barriers and facilitators to mental health help-seeking showed the key barriers to be stigma, confidentiality issues, lack of accessibility, self-reliance, low knowledge about mental health services and fear/stress about the act of help-seeking or the source of help itself. Consequently, stigma can be considered a part of a larger network of beliefs and other constraints deterring help-seeking behaviour. All of these factors reduce the chances of early detection, add to the issue of under-diagnosis, and increase the risk of long-term symptom deterioration and chronication.

Low threshold digital mental health interventions have been found to increase patients’ self-management skills, improve the communication to healthcare providers, have the potential to reach non-treatment-seeking patient groups and could foster destigmatisation. The impact of psychoeducative or web-based self-help interventions on within-person attitudinal barriers has also been shown quantitatively. A number of studies evaluating digital mental health interventions for depression and anxiety have shown a decrease in (self-)stigmatisation. Effects on help seeking attitudes and actual help seeking have been detected in several randomised controlled trials (RCTs). Those effects mostly are associated with effects on health literacy, which also has been shown in previous studies. Apart from effects that may facilitate help seeking behaviour, digital mental health interventions have been found to increase the application of self-management skills and were found to have positive effects on subjective health ratings.

A qualitative study investigating the potential utility of mental health app components on reducing help-seeking barriers suggested that self-assessment with individualised feedback, informative videos by mental health professionals, testimonials from mental health service users, and a platform for an online connection with a professional have a potential to reduce within-person barriers to help seeking. Most of these components, especially psychoeducative elements, are central parts of unguided app-based self-management for mental health.
Based on these findings, one can expect that the use of a transdiagnostic unguided internet based self-management for mental health may lead to significant improvements both in health literacy and variables that reflect patient empowerment, such as help-seeking, reduced stigma and self-management behaviours.

While self-management interventions cannot replace psychotherapy and are not designed to do so, they can support assessment and recognition, reduce within-person barriers as well as treatment gaps and inequalities and facilitate self-management of symptoms and problems. The MindDoc App constitutes such a transdiagnostic, low-threshold monitoring and self-management application aimed at people with mild to moderate expressions of mental disorders from the internalising spectrum. It provides automated tailored feedback and suggests psychological exercises based on reported symptoms and problems, but also allows users to access psychological exercises at their own discretion.

Taken together, we therefore hypothesise that the use of the MindDoc App in addition to care as usual (CAU) is associated with an increase in mental health literacy, patient empowerment and facilitation of access to care, compared with CAU alone. Furthermore, this study aims to explore whether the use of the MindDoc App in addition to CAU leads to a greater reduction of psychopathological symptom load of mental disorders of the internalising spectrum and a stronger improvement in quality of life.

**METHODS AND ANALYSIS**

**Study design**

To examine the effects of MindDoc App usage on health literacy, patient empowerment, access to care, symptom distress and quality of life, participants will be randomly assigned to either the intervention group or the CAU group following the baseline assessment. The intervention group will receive immediate access to all features and courses included in the MindDoc App. The CAU group will receive access to the MindDoc App after 6 months (ie, after the follow-up assessment).

In total, there will be three measurement points (aside from the continuous assessment of app usage behaviour): Baseline (initial diagnostics), post (after 8 weeks), and follow-up (after 6 months). An overview on the measurements and measurement points is provided in table 1, the study process is visualised in figure 1.

**Patient and public involvement**

Patients or the public were not involved in the design, or conduct, or reporting, or dissemination plans of our research, although user/patient feedback was an important source for the development and improvement of the mental health app investigated in this trial.

**Intervention**

Users in the intervention group will get immediate access to the MindDoc App, which provides a monitoring tool that allows users to track symptoms of common mental

| Table 1 Overview of assessments and study visits | Baseline | Postintervention (8 weeks after baseline) | Follow-up (6 months after baseline) |
|-----------------------------------------------|----------|------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Questions assessing key sociodemographic variables | x        | x                                        | x                                   |
| Questions assessing whether inclusion and exclusion criteria are fulfilled | x        | x                                        | x                                   |
| PHQ-9                                          | x        | x                                        | x                                   |
| GAD-7 and MINI-SPIN                             | x        | x                                        | x                                   |
| PHQ-15                                         | x        | x                                        | x                                   |
| RIS                                            | x        | x                                        | x                                   |
| PID5BF+and OPD-SQS                              | x        | x                                        | x                                   |
| AQoL 8-D                                       | x        | x                                        | x                                   |
| MHLq                                           | x        | x                                        | x                                   |
| Assessment of Mental Health-Related Patient Sovereignty and Self Management Strategies | x        | x                                        | x                                   |
| IASMHS                                         | x        | x                                        | x                                   |
| Healthcare Service Use Questionnaire            | x        | x                                        | x                                   |
| CSQ-I                                          | x        | x                                        | x                                   |
| LES and (serious) adverse events                | x        | x                                        | x                                   |

AQoL, Assessment of Quality of Life; CSQ-I, Client Satisfaction Questionnaire adapted to internet based interventions; GAD, Generalized Anxiety Disorder Scale; IASMHS, Inventory of Attitudes Toward Seeking Mental Health Services; LES, Life Events Scale; MHLq, Mental Health Literacy Questionnaire; MINI-SPIN, Mini Social Phobia Inventory; OPD-SQS, Operationalized Psychodynamic Diagnosis - Structure Questionnaire Short; PHQ, Patient Health Questionnaire; PID5BF+, Personality Inventory for DSM-5 Brief Form Plus; RIS, Regensburg Insomnia Scale.
health problems over long time periods. The application has four core components which are interconnected to deliver the described benefit for the user: (1) continuous monitoring of symptoms of common mental disorders, related problems and personal resources (Journal), (2) biweekly automated feedback on general symptom load and level of functioning (Results), (3) continuous automated feedback on symptoms, symptom clusters and relevant problem areas, along with recommendations for exercises and courses (Insights) and (4) Structured self-management courses and exercises that address problems that commonly contribute to mental health disorders (Self-Management). Questions are asked within three blocks a day (morning, noon, evening), with each block consisting of three or more questions. The underlying algorithm adjusts the number and area of questions to the answers of the user as well as to the completion rate of previous questions blocks. Every question block is followed by a general mood-tracking (very bad, bad, moderate, good, very good) as well as the opportunity to track emotions and situations via text entry and predefined or customised tags (positive, negative, neutral). This information is then processed to continuously provide individualised automated feedback (Insights) to the user that reflect symptoms as well as potential triggers and problem areas and personal resources and suggest suitable disorder-specific and transdiagnostic self-management courses and exercises to address symptoms and problems. As soon as the user has answered the required minimum of questions, the application provides an individualised medical orientation regarding the need for assessment by a specialist. Research on a previous version of the MindDoc App yielded good diagnostic accuracy compared with a gold-standard measure for depression.38

In case a user indicates suicidal ideation within the monitoring feature of the application, a crisis chat bot is immediately activated that directs the user to a national crisis helpline (in Germany: Telefonseelsorge) that can be called directly from the app.

Users in the CAU group will get access to the MindDoc App after completing the final assessment. The MindDoc App was developed and is provided by MindDoc Health GmbH, a subsidiary of Schoen Clinic, which is a hospital group in Germany with one specialisation in mental health. First published in 2016 under the name Moodpath, it was mainly directed at individuals with depressive disorders. Since 2019, the scope has been expanded to include other common mental disorders, resulting in an extension of both the monitoring system and the content library. Since October 2020, the App is available under the name MindDoc. All content was developed under the supervision of IB, who is a licensed clinical psychologist with a research background in e-mental health. The theoretical foundation of the monitoring system is the
Hierarchical Taxonomy of Psychopathology, courses and exercises are based on national and international treatment guidelines. A detailed description of the MindDoc App can be found in online supplemental material.

Measures
Psychopathology
The PHQ-9 (Patient Health Questionnaire, 9-item depression subscale) is the depression module of the self-administered version of the PRIME-MD (Primary Care Evaluation of Mental Disorders) diagnostic instrument for common mental disorders. It scores each of the 9 DSM-5 (Diagnostic and Statistic Manual of Mental Disorders, 5th edition) diagnostic criteria as 0 (not at all) to 3 (nearly every day). The PHQ-9 is a reliable (Cronbach’s alpha=0.89) and valid measure of depression severity.

The GAD-7 (Generalized Anxiety Disorder Scale, 7-items) is a one-dimensional instrument designed to detect symptoms of generalised anxiety disorder as it is defined in the DSM-5. The item scores range from 0 (not at all) to 3 (nearly every day). The GAD-7 is a valid and efficient tool for screening for anxiety disorders and assessing its severity in clinical practice and research.

The PHQ-15 is the module for the severity of somatic symptoms of the self-administered version of the PRIME-MD diagnostic instrument for common mental disorders. It comprises 15 somatic symptoms from the PHQ; each symptom scored from 0 (‘not bothered at all’) to 3 (‘bothered a lot’). The PHQ-15 is a reliable (Cronbach’s alpha=0.80) and valid screening tool for somatisation.

The Regensburg Insomnia Scale (RIS) is a self-rating scale to assess cognitive, emotional and behavioural aspects of psychophysiological insomnia (PI) with ten items. It has good internal consistency with Cronbach’s alpha=0.89 and distinguishes well between controls and patients with PI.

The PID5BF+ (Personality Inventory for DSM-5, Brief Form Plus) is a short form of the personality inventory for DSM-5 (PID-5) with 34 items, which is also compatible with the dimensional assessment of maladaptive personality expressions in the ICD-11. The OPD-SQS (Operationalized Psychodynamic Diagnosis-Structure Questiona Short) is a short 12-item assessment for the severity of personality dysfunction. Dimensional assessment of severity and style of personality dysfunction according to DSM-5 and ICD-11 (International Classicification of Diseases, 11th version) are important predictors of treatment course, adherence, response, and general psychopathology.

Both the OPD-SQS (Cronbach’s alpha=0.89) and the PID5BF+ (average McDonald’s Omega=0.81) are validated and reliable measures.

Quality of life
The Assessment of Quality of Life–8D is a multiattribute 35-item self-rating scale which was constructed for the evaluation of health services that have an impact on the psychosocial aspects of the quality of life. It comprises the assessment of six psychosocial functioning domains as well as the physical autonomy. It demonstrated good reliability (Cronbach’s alpha=0.96) and convergent and predictive validity.

Mental health literacy, patient empowerment and help seeking
The Mental Health Literacy Questionnaire (MHLq) is a 29-item scale which assesses mental health literacy on four dimensions (knowledge of mental health problems, erroneous beliefs/stereotypes, help-seeking and first aid skills, self-help strategies). Scores showed significant differences between individuals with more or less experience with mental health as well as good internal consistency (Cronbach’s Alpha=0.84) for the total score.

Assessment of mental-health-related patient sovereignty and self management strategies (AMHPSSS): Based on a systematic review on self-management strategies for depression, a Delphi consensus study on self-help strategies for depression as well as two studies on useful self-management strategies for mood and anxiety, disorders from the patient perspective, we identified 18 useful self-management strategies that were replicated at least once from expert and patient perspectives. We then formulated these strategies in questionnaire format asking for the frequency of application of the respective strategy in the last 8 weeks on a 5-point Likert scale, for example, ‘in the last 8 weeks, you engaged in activities that gave you a feeling of achievement’. We then further extracted strategies and behaviours that are indicators of patient sovereignty according to a conceptual framework for patient choice and empowerment in northern European health systems. This resulted in 10 items in statement format asking how much participants agree or disagree on a 5-point Likert scale, for example, ‘I know well about the treatment options for my disease’.

The Inventory of Attitudes Toward Seeking Mental Health Services (IASMHS) is a 24-item scale assessing three internally consistent within-person barriers to seeking mental health services: Psychological openness, help-seeking propensity and indifference to stigma. Internal consistency (Cronbach’s alpha=0.87) and validity of the assessment could be confirmed in separate samples.

Mental Health Service Use Questionnaire: Based on expert consensus between three licensed psychotherapists and one psychiatrist, a list comprising digital mental health interventions, alternative/complementary methods as well as preventive, psychosocial and therapeutic/curative/professional services with a total of 21 items was generated. Participants will be asked which services/interventions they used how often in the last 6 months.

Satisfaction with the APP and usage behaviour
The Client Satisfaction Questionnaire adapted to Internet-based interventions is a measure to assess satisfaction with web-based health interventions with a one-factorial structure and eight items. It demonstrated good
model fit, reliability (McDonald’s Omega=0.93) and correlated significantly with change in depressive symptoms and perceived stress.36

Data assessed within the MindDoc App: Within the MindDoc App, usage data and responses within the monitoring system are stored and may be used for secondary analyses. Data from the two sources (MindDoc App, Study Survey) will be consolidated via a personalised download link which users in the intervention group receive after randomisation.

Other measures
The Life Events Scale is a list of 42 major life events such as divorce, change in residence or a child leaving home adapted from Holmes and Rahe37 and Hobson et al.38 Major life events are important predictors for occurrence and relapse of mental health problems. Participants are asked to indicate whether a major life event occurred within the time of the study or in the 6 months before and how much they were affected by the life event.

Adverse events:
If the crisis chat bot within the app is triggered, or if a participant scores >1 on the PHQ-9 suicidality item, this will be recorded as an adverse event (AE). Other AEs and serious AEs (SAEs) will be collected via self-report during the post-intervention and follow-up assessments.

Sample size
The primary endpoint is the change in MHLq, self-management skills and patient sovereignty (AMHPSSS) and within-person barriers to help seeking (IASMHS) after 8 weeks and a change in actual help-seeking behaviour after 6 months compared with baseline. Based on a metaanalysis on the efficacy of app-supported smartphone interventions for mental problems59 as well as a review of the few very previous RCTs investigating the effects of digital mental health interventions on help seeking attitudes, general practitioner visits and mental health literacy,33–36 37,38,39,40 We expected a small to moderate effect on the primary outcomes of d=0.3 (Cohen’s d). Based on a metaanalysis on attrition rate in smartphone-delivered interventions for mental health problems,59 we conservatively estimated a dropout rate of 40%.

Using a multivariate (intersection-union) z-test to detect the expected difference between the intervention and the CAU control group with a probability of 90% at a significance level of α=2.5%, estimated intercorrelation of .5 between these multiple primary endpoints and a dropout rate of approx 40%, a total sample of N=1113 subjects is necessary.

Recruitment
Participants will be recruited via press releases and social media as well as health insurance member magazines and websites. Recruitment will take place over a period of approximately 1 year (2021). Participation in the study can be anonymous, but the participants must provide an (anonymous) email address at which they can be contacted. Anonymous participation is associated with a lower threshold for engaging in mental health research.

Eligibility criteria
Inclusion criteria
Eligible for the trial are participants with symptoms of internalising disorders indicated by scoring above the cut-off on one or more of the following scales: PHQ-9 score >4 or GAD-7 score >4 or MINI-SPIN score >6 or PHQ-15 score >4 or binge eating or compensatory behaviours>once/week or body mass index (BMI) <18.5 kg/m2 or critical weight loss and weight and shape concern or RIS score >12.

In addition, eligible participants need to have full legal capacity (self-disclosure), access to a smartphone (iOS or Android) and the internet (self-disclosure) and residence in Germany (self-disclosure).

Exclusion criteria
Not eligible for the trial are participants with too severe symptoms of internalising disorders indicated by acute suicidality: Score >1 on PHQ-9 item 9 or answer ‘yes’ to acute suicidality screening question or PHQ-9 >19 (severe depression40) OR GAD-7 >15 (severe anxiety disorder60) OR PHQ-15 score >14 (severe symptoms according to42) or BMI <15 kg/m2.

In addition, participants currently in inpatient (self-disclosure) or ongoing psychotherapeutic treatment (self-disclosure) or reported history of bipolar disorder, psychotic disorder, substance use disorder (self-disclosure) or age <18 are excluded.

Participants meeting these exclusion criteria are given detailed information on treatment options.

Procedures
All assessments described in this study protocol will be carried out via online surveys in a separate web-based platform outside of the mental health app investigated in this trial (Unipark/EFS Survey). Before the baseline assessment, participants will receive written information about the study, the intervention, the randomisation, the assessments and the data processing. Once they have given their consent, they are given access to the baseline assessment, which also includes questions that assess their eligibility to participate in the study according to the predefined inclusion and exclusion criteria. If a participant is eligible and the baseline assessment is complete, the participant will be randomly assigned to either the intervention or the control group in a 1:1 ratio by an algorithm provided by the assessment platform (Unipark/EFS Survey). Participants in the intervention group then receive access to the MindDoc App and will be recommended to use it for 8 weeks. Eight weeks as well as 6 months after the baseline assessment, the participants of both groups will receive an email invitation to the postintervention or follow-up assessment.

Participants will not be financially compensated for participating in the study. However, participants in both
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study arms will receive free access to the intervention for 6 months after completing the follow-up assessments. In addition, participants who complete post-intervention and follow-up assessments will take part in a monthly drawing where they can win a universal €50 voucher that can be redeemed in a number of online stores.

Outcome data for participants who discontinue from intervention protocols will comprise the completed survey assessments prior to discontinuation as well as possible app usage data.

Data management
The study will be conducted in accordance with the EU General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR/DSGVO) and with the Berliner Datenschutzgesetz. The data will be collected using the MindDoc App and Unipark/EFS Survey. Both data collection tools comply with the GDPR and have implemented state-of-the-art data protection measures. During data collection, data will be stored on the respective servers of these tools. On completion of the data collection, the data will be securely transferred to an encrypted data storage at Freie Universität Berlin. The data will exclusively be processed by authorised project staff. Fully anonymised data will be shared on request. Personal data will never be shared with third parties and will be deleted on completion of the trial to fully anonymise the data. Prior to the anonymisation, study participants can request the deletion of their data under their GDPR right. If a participant requests this, the data on Unipark will be deleted by the study team. Accounts and data on the MindDoc servers can be deleted in the settings of the app. Participant confidentiality will always be protected. All members of the research team will be required to maintain participant confidentiality and sign a confidentiality agreement. Only members of the Freie Universität Berlin will have access to the final trial dataset and will be responsible for the data analyses described below.

Statistical methods
Data will be characterised by descriptive statistical methods such as relative and absolute frequencies, mean, median, SD and IQR and appropriate graphics such as histograms, box plots and bar charts. Assumptions for the appropriate statistical tests will be checked for normality by histograms, skewness and Kolmogorov-Smirnoff test, sphericity will be assessed through Box test and Levene test. Potential significant differences between the intervention and control group which may occur despite the randomisation will be detected by with $\chi^2$ and variance analyses on the baseline variables, appropriate statistical tests on the baseline variables, and accounted for in all analyses.

Participants will be excluded if they were missing data from their baseline assessments. The main outcomes will be examined with intention-to-treat analyses, with missing data imputed using baseline scores on symptom severity, mental health literacy, patient sovereignty, help seeking attitudes, quality of life, severity of personality dysfunction and demographic information. Given that there are four primary outcomes, we will impute using multivariate normal regression with an iterative Markov Chain Monte Carlo method based on initial treatment assignment. The pre-specified covariates and baseline measure of primary endpoints will be added to the baseline model for improved precision. Potential bias due to non-random missing outcome observations will be addressed by estimating Random Forest Lee bounds. Concerns of multiple testing error will be addressed by bonferroni correction. To compare intervention effects on all primary and secondary outcomes, we will use analysis of covariance between groups at posttreatment and at follow-up adjusting for baseline scores. To address potential heterogeneity, intervention effects will exploratorily be estimated for subgroups (depression, anxiety, eating, somatoform and sleep-related disorders).

Sensitivity analyses will be performed exploiting different multiple imputation parameter settings, doing analyses with and without adjustment for baseline characteristics as well as by a per-protocol analysis excluding participants who violated the study protocol. The following protocol violations have been prespecified: (1) failure to download the app and complete the onboarding process, (2) use of the application before the randomisation date, (3) reporting of using of the MindDoc app during the intervention and follow-up period in the waitlist condition, (4) reporting of regular psychotherapy during the 8-week intervention period and (5) non-completion of postintervention or follow-up assessment.

Ethics
This trial will be conducted in compliance with the protocol, the Declaration of Helsinki and good clinical practice. The local ethical committee of FU Berlin has approved the protocol (AZ 039/2020). Amendments to the trial protocol will be immediately communicated to the local ethical committee as well as the trial registry by the corresponding author.

There will be no physical strain on the participants. The use of the MindDoc App requires a time expenditure of about 5 min per day for completing the assessment and additional time to engage with courses and exercises at the participants’ convenience. These are not strains that exceed the usual level in studies with ambulatory assessment or mobile interventions. The app contains detailed information on how to access mental healthcare. Participants who report a high symptom load or functional impairment within the monitoring function of the app will be prompted to consult a healthcare professional within the automated feedback. Furthermore, individuals are repeatedly reminded that study participation does
not substitute for diagnosis, counselling or treatment by a licensed physician or psychotherapist.

The questionnaire assessments could be perceived as a temporal emotional strain by some participants. The selection of questionnaires to assess primary and secondary outcomes was not only guided by psychometric properties, but also by the number of items in order to keep the burden at a minimum. In addition, individuals are informed that participation in all parts of the study is voluntary and can be terminated anytime without giving reasons. Participants who report suicidal intent at baseline will be excluded from the study, but referred to crisis services (public telephone counselling) and provided with further information about treatment options. Participants who report suicidal intent at post-intervention or follow-up will be referred to crisis services (public telephone counselling, MindDoc counselling hotline) and provided with further information about treatment options. Participants who report suicidality at post or follow-up assessments will be recorded as an AE. If the ‘crisis-bot’ within the app is triggered, or if a participant scores>1 on the PHQ-9 suicidality item, this will be recorded as an AE. Other AEs and SAEs will be collected via self-report during the post-intervention and follow-up assessments. Participants with severe symptoms will be excluded from participating, but receive detailed information on treatment options.

Dissemination
The MindDoc App will probably be available after the study on prescription by general practitioners for all German patients with mild to moderate internalising disorders within the framework of the Digitales Versorgungsgesetz (‘digital healthcare act’), a new German law for digital health applications regulating the reimbursements of usage costs of digital health applications by German statutory health insurances.

The publication plan includes a main research report paper addressing the effects of the MindDoc App on all primary and secondary outcomes. In a second publication, predictors, moderators and mediators, such as personality functioning and negative life events, for the effectiveness of low-threshold mobile-based mental health interventions will be investigated. Results will be presented at national and international conferences. Authorship eligibility will be oriented on the respective contributions while no member of MindDoc will be involved in the data analysis. We also do not intend to use any professional writers.

DISCUSSION
Mental disorders pose a huge burden to both individuals and health systems. Many people who are affected suffer from more than one disorder. Thus, transdiagnostic approaches to screening, monitoring and treatment seem more suitable than disorder specific ones. Mental disorders often remain unrecognised and untreated. This is largely caused by within-person barriers such as lack of trust in professionals or the desire to cope with problems without professional help. Although there are effective psychological treatments for all common mental health disorders, their availability is limited. Average waiting times for psychotherapy are almost 5 months.

The study will contribute both to the growing evidence base for closing gaps in mental healthcare through digital interventions and to the evidence base for low-threshold mobile-based interventions. With a focus on mental health literacy, patient sovereignty, and facilitation of access to care, this study will provide important insights into how mental healthcare seeking can be supported through low-threshold digital approaches. To date, no other study systematically investigated these direct mental health care-related effects of app-based interventions using an RCT design.

In addition, by including the assessment of potential moderators and predictors of treatment seeking behaviour and treatment response while at the same time assessing the treatments actually used, this study enables the investigation of possible indicators for decision making in stepped care.
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