Interactive effects of nitrogen and water addition on soil microbial metabolic limitation in temperate desert shrublands
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Abstract

Aims Soil microbes play critical roles in regulating the turnover of soil organic carbon (SOC) and nutrients, and microbial metabolic limitation should draw more attention in desert ecosystems. However, soil extracellular enzymes activity (EEA) response and microbial metabolic limitation to atmospheric N deposition and increased precipitation in desert-shrubland are still poorly understood.

Methods The study examined the effects of long-term (9 year) N and water additions (i.e., 5 g N m$^{-2}$ yr$^{-1}$, 30% ambient precipitation increase and their combination) on EEAs and soil microbial resource limitation, as well as explored their controlling factors in the Gurbantunggut Desert in northwestern China.

Results The results showed that N and water additions significantly enhanced soil EEAs and considerably aggravated microbial phosphorous (P) limitation. Water addition and the N-water combination addition alleviated carbon (C) limitation, but N addition alone strengthened microbial C limitation. The interaction of N and water additions relieved the negative impact of N addition on soil microbial C limitation, and positively aggravated microbial P limitation. Soil microbial C limitation was primarily driven by soil moisture and organic C concentration, while the soil microbial N/P limitation was chiefly controlled by soil water and available P contents.

Conclusions The influences of either N- or water addition alone on desert ecosystem biogeochemical processes may be altered by their concurrent occurrence. Overall, these findings highlight water availability is more effective at modifying microbial metabolisms than N accumulation in desert ecosystems. Altogether, this may help to predict how terrestrial C and nutrient flow could be induced by global change factors.
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**Introduction**

Drylands occupy nearly one-third of global land (Austin et al., 2004; She et al., 2018), and they are increasingly threatened by desertification under global climate change (Dillon et al., 2010; Huang et al., 2015a). Deserts belong to arid ecosystems, and are typically characterized by the stressful conditions of low water and nutrient availability for microbial metabolic activity (Belnap, 2011; Tapia-Torres et al., 2015). Microbes can secrete extracellular enzyme activity (EEA) in response to the availability of soil resource to meet their growth needs (Li et al., 2019; Sinsabaugh et al., 2009; Deng et al., 2019, 2021). Such changes reflect the biogeochemical equilibrium between the nutrient requirements of microbial communities and soil resource availability (Fanin et al., 2016; Hill et al., 2014; Moorhead et al., 2013). Deserts are expected to be substantially more sensitive to global changes (She et al., 2018; Tapia-Torres et al., 2015), such as changes in nitrogen (N) deposition and variable precipitation (Bobbink et al., 2010; Huang et al., 2015b; She et al., 2018). Moreover, precipitation and nitrogen (N) deposition rates have been predicted to increase in the future (IPCC, 2013; Ma et al., 2020). Therefore, understanding metabolic limitations in soil microorganisms with EEAs in response to N and precipitation changes is critical for predicting global carbon (C) and N cycles for desert ecosystems.

Soil EEA stoichiometry method combines ecological stoichiometry theory (EST) and metabolic theory of ecology (MTE) (Fanin et al., 2016; Zheng et al., 2020), which can be used to predict nutrient availability in the environment and microorganism metabolic activity (Deng et al., 2019; Sinsabaugh et al., 2009; Waring et al., 2014). This approach assumes that the acquisition of organic C, N, and phosphorous (P) can be represented by C-acquisition enzymes (e.g., β-D-celllobiosidase, CBH; β-1,4-glucosidase, BG), N-acquisition enzymes (e.g., leucine aminopeptidase, LAP; β-1,4-N-acetylglucosaminidase, NAG), and P-acquiring enzyme (alkaline phosphatase, AP) (Deng et al., 2019; Marklein and Houlton, 2012; Waring et al., 2014).
Soil extracellular enzyme stoichiometry (EES), as the ratio of soil EEA can reveal microbial nutrient-acquiring limitations and soil resource attainability (Jian et al., 2016; Tapia-Torres et al., 2015; Yang et al., 2020). Thus, the soil EEA stoichiometry method has received considerable attentions in tropical forests (Waring et al. 2014), temperate grasslands (Peng and Wang, 2016), peatlands (Hill et al. 2014), degraded karst regions (Chen et al., 2019), and arid and oligotrophic ecosystems (Tapia-Torres et al. 2015). Currently, a distinctive method using vector analysis has expanded the application of EST (Fanin et al., 2016; Moorhead et al., 2016), which illustrated that the microbial metabolite limitations calculated the length and angle of the vector to quantify the relative C versus nutrient limitation and the relative P versus N limitation. The two methods of vector analysis and enzymatic stoichiometry are effective for microbial C and nutrient limitations, and providing insights into the driving mechanisms of environmental variables under global change. However, to date, few studies have directly assessed how microbial nutrient limitations changes under conditions of global change in desert ecosystems.

Artificial N and water additions played an important role in effecting soil microbial metabolic limitations (Ma et al., 2020; Wang et al., 2015). High N inputs into the soil can alter inorganic N instability (Chen et al., 2018a; Deng et al., 2020; Marklein and Houlton, 2012; Tecon and Or, 2017), and affect the respiratory substrates for soil microbial communities and delivering of soil extracellular enzymes (Chen et al., 2018b; Luo et al., 2017; Fujita et al., 2019). Previous studies have reported that the effects of N addition on soil microbial C limitation related to N addition level (Fujita et al., 2019), soil physicochemical properties (Jing et al., 2020), climate conditions (Chen et al. 2018), ecosystem types (Fujita et al. 2019; Yang et al., 2020), etc. One meta-analysis studies have also demonstrated that N enrichment increased C-acquisition enzyme activities and soil microbial C limitation but exhibited no impact on P limitation in the global ecosystems (Chen et al. 2018). Additionally, increasing precipitation may directly alters the soil water availability and indirectly affects soil physicochemical
properties such as oxygen status and pH (Nielsen and Ball, 2015; Tian et al., 2017), which may stimulate microbial growth and physiological activities, and affect the responses of microbial C utilization (Henry et al., 2005; Zhang et al., 2014), further affecting soil EEAs. Previous studies have reported that increased precipitation could improve BG, LAP, and AP activities in semi-arid grassland ecosystems (Ma et al., 2020) or reduce the activities of C- and P-acquisition enzymes in mixed grass prairie ecosystems (Hewins et al., 2016). However, the mechanisms of microbial resource limitation in desert shrubland remain inadequately understood. Therefore, it is needed to do more further study in varied ecosystem types due to the responses of EEAs and microbial metabolic limitations to global change depend on complex environmental factors.

The interactions of N and water additions have differed effect on soil microbial activities compared to their simple additive effects. Previous studies have found increased precipitation could mitigate the negative effects of N addition on soil bacterial diversity, but not for fungi (Ma et al., 2016, 2020). Fungi are usually more nutrient-sensitive and drought-tolerant (Manzoni et al., 2012; She et al., 2018), and show a high C use efficiency (CUE) than bacteria in barren quality substrates (Deng et al., 2019; McGuire et al., 2010). She et al. (2018) found that N and water addition could affect microbial community composition through altering soil resource availability in a desert shrubland. Nevertheless, the impacts of concurrent N and precipitation changes on soil microbial metabolic limitations have rarely been compared for arid ecosystems. It should be noted that some studies have reported that N addition clearly strengthens microbial C limitation while water addition reduces C limitation (Chen et al., 2018; Yuan et al., 2019). Therefore, more data is required to enhance our understanding of the response of soil microbial metabolic limitations to N and precipitation additions.

The mean annual precipitation and N deposition level in Northern China is predicted to be continuously increase in future global change (Huang et al., 2015a, 2015b; Liu et al., 2010, 2013). Conducted manipulative experiments with N and water additions are necessary to
explore how ecosystem changes in northern China. Additionally, previous studies have reported that changes in edaphic factors such as soil nutrients and soil moisture, and microbial CUE can influence enzymatic stoichiometry (Deng et al., 2019; Jing et al., 2020), and thus control microbial metabolic limitation (Feng et al., 2019; Sinsabaugh et al., 2013, 2016). Therefore, the objectives of the study were to explore how N and water additions affected the responses of soil microbial enzyme activity and stoichiometry, and estimate how edaphic and microbial factors may contribute to the formation of microbial metabolic limitations.

Materials and Methods

Study area and experimental design

The study was performed in the Gurbantunggut Desert in northwestern China (44° 17’ N, 87° 56’ E, 475 m a.s.l.), and the sampling area was located in the vicinity of the southeastern fringe of the desert. The study region features a mean annual precipitation of 160 mm and a mean annual temperature of 6.6 °C (Huang et al., 2015b), of which 70–80% occurs from April to September. The soil was identified as desert solonetz at 0–100 cm, with eolian sandy soil on top, and the landscape was dominated by Haloxylon ammodendron, Tamarix ramosissima, and Haloxylon persicum with a coverage of approximately 30% (Huang et al., 2015b).

The manipulative experiment began in September 2010, featuring a completely randomized block design with four treatment factors, including: the control (CK), N fertilization (N) and water addition (W) individually or in combination (NW). Each treatment in the field survey had six replicates with six blocks, and each block had four plots (corresponding to the four treatments), resulting in a total of 24 plots. Each plot was 10 × 10 m in size, and the distance of the buffer area between each plot was 10 m in each block. For the precipitation addition (W and NW treatments), multiple tilted galvanized iron sheets known as “precipitation collection pans” were placed above the soil surface along the border of each plot.
Each pan was erected and constructed at a slight angle with an area of 1.9 m × 1 m. In total, 18 precipitation pans were set up covering equally 30% of the total area of each plot. Precipitation was collected in plastic containers after each rainfall incident, and then sprinkled onto the corresponding plot by hand during the late afternoon or early morning (Huang et al., 2015b). Therefore, the W and NW plots received 30% additional natural precipitation, the amount of increase that is currently predicted for northern China (Liu et al., 2010). N fertilizer was applied twice a year in early April and July in the liquid form of NH₄NO₃ with a total input of 5 g N m⁻² every year, which was diluted in 15 L of distilled water and evenly sprayed onto the corresponding plots. Distilled water with the same amount of N fertilizer was also applied to the CK and W treatments. The experiment began in September 2010, while N fertilizer and the water addition treatments were applied yearly staring at the beginning of 2011.

Soil sample collection and related soil physicochemical measurements.

After 9 years of continuous experimental treatment, 10 soil cores (0–10 cm) from each plot were collected and randomly mixed to form a composite sample after removing the plant debris layer using a 2-mm sieve in August 2019. The soil samples were taken into a portable cooler box and transported to the laboratory for future measurements. Then, the soil samples were divided into two parts: one subsample was air dried at room temperature for physio-chemical analysis, while the other subsample was used for microbial analyses at 4 °C. Furthermore, soil samples were collected from each quadrat to measure the soil bulk density and soil moisture.

About 100 g of fresh soil was used to determine soil moisture content (SM, %), which was oven-drying at 105 °C for 48 h. Soil bulk density (BD, g cm⁻³) were determine by the ring cutting method. Soil pH was estimated in a 5:1 ratio of CO₂-free water to soil with a pH meter (PHS-3C pH acidometer, China). Soil organic carbon (SOC) content were analyzed with the
H₂SO₄-K₂Cr₂O₇ by calorific boiling and combustion method (Nelson and Sommers, 1982). Soil total nitrogen (STN) content was determined by the Kjeldahl method (Bremner, 1996). Dissolved organic carbon (DOC) was measured by wet-oxidation method was extracted and measured a TOC analyzer. The contents of NO₃⁻-N and NH₄⁺-N (Soil available N, AN) were measured by a flow injection analyzer. Molybdenum blue method with an ultraviolet spectrophotometer (Hitachi UV2300) to determine the soil total P (TP) and available P (AP) content, which were extracted with H₂SO₄-HClO₄ and sodium bicarbonate, respectively (Olsen and Sommers, 1982). The soil microbial biomass carbon (MBC) and nitrogen (MBN) were extracted by 100 mL 0.5 M K₂SO₄, and determined by the chloroform fumigation-extraction method (Vance et al., 1987). Then, the MBC and MBN were measured by a TOC analyzer (liauid TOC II, elementar, Germany), and microbial biomass phosphorus (MBP) were extracted by 0.5 M NaHCO₃, and we assumed experimentally derived conversion efficiencies of 0.45, 0.54, and 0.40 to calculate the MBC MBN, and MBP, respectively (Joergensen and Mueller, 1996).

EEA assays.

The soil potential enzyme activities including C-acquiring (BG, β-1,4-glucosidase and CBH, β-D-cellobiosidase) (Fanin et al., 2016), P-acquiring (AP) (Tapia-Torres et al., 2015; Yang et al., 2020), and N-acquiring enzymes (NAG, β-1,4-N-acetylglucosaminidase and LAP, L-leucine aminopeptidase were measured (Fanin et al., 2016; Moorhead et al., 2016) using modified versions of fluorimetric methods with fluorescent substrates of 4-methylumbelliferone (MUB) and 7-amino-4-methylcoumarin (AMC) for standard fluorometric techniques (Saiya-Cork et al., 2002). Soil suspensions with eight replicate wells per sample were dispensed into 96-well microplates. Fluorescence was measured with eight replicates using a microplate reader at 365-nm excitation and a 450-emission filter after
incubation in the dark at 25 °C for 4 h (German et al., 2011). All enzyme activities were expressed as two different units, i.e., nmol g$^{-1}$ soil h$^{-1}$ and μmol g$^{-1}$ MBC h$^{-1}$.

Enzymatic stoichiometry Calculation

\[
\text{Enzyme C:N} = \frac{\ln(BG+CBH)}{\ln(NAG+LAP)} \tag{1}
\]

\[
\text{Enzyme C:P} = \frac{\ln(BG+CBH)}{\ln(AP)} \tag{2}
\]

\[
\text{Enzyme N:P} = \frac{\ln(NAG+LAP)}{\ln(AP)} \tag{3}
\]

CUE Calculation

CUE was calculated indirectly from the stoichiometry of SOM, microbial biomass, and enzymes using equations (1) and (2):

\[
\text{CUE}_{C:X} = \text{CUE}_{\text{max}} \left[ \frac{S_{C:X}}{S_{C:X} + K_{X}} \right] \tag{4}
\]

\[
S_{C:X} = \frac{1}{E_{C:X}} \left( \frac{B_{C:X}}{L_{C:X}} \right) \tag{5}
\]

In this formulation, $S_{C:X}$ represents the extent to which extracellular enzymatic allocations offset the differences between the elemental composition of soil resources and microbial biomass (Sinsabaugh et al., 2013; Sinsabaugh et al., 2016); $K_{X}$ is the half-saturation constant (0.5); $E_{C:X}$ represents the ratio of extracellular enzyme C:N, and $B_{C:X}$ represent the microbial biomass C:N, and $L_{C:X}$ represent the ratio of soil organic C to soil total N; $\text{CUE}_{\text{max}}$ represents the upper limit of microbial growth efficiency, and set as 0.60 according to Sinsabaugh and Shah (2016).

Calculation of microbial C limitation (Vector Length) and P limitation (Vector Angle)

Microbial metabolic limitation was measured using vector length and vector angle (°) according to the ratio of untransformed activities for all data (Chen et al., 2019). Vector lengths and angles created by the plot of proportional enzymatic C:N versus C:P ratios were
calculated to illustrate the relative biological acquisition activities (Fanin et al., 2016; Feng et al., 2019). Vector length quantifies the relative C versus nutrient acquisition (Feng et al., 2019), and the vector angle quantifies the relative P versus N acquisition (Feng et al., 2019; Moorhead et al., 2016).

The microbial C limitation (vector lengths).

\[ \text{Length} = \sqrt{x^2 + y^2} \]  

(6)

The microbial N/P limitation (vector angles).

\[ \text{Angle} \left( ^\circ \right) = \text{DEGREES} (\text{ATAN2}(x,y)) \]  

(7)

Microbial C limitation was calculated as vector length, increases with the vector length, a relatively longer vector length indicates greater C-limitation (Feng et al., 2019; Moorhead et al., 2016). Vector angle was calculated as the arctangent of the line extending from the plot origin to point (x, y), where y represents the relative activity of C- versus N-acquiring enzymes (Fanin et al., 2016), and x represents the relative activity of C- versus P-acquiring enzymes (Fanin et al., 2016; Moorhead et al., 2016). Vector angles <45° represent N limitation, vector angles >45° represent microbial P limitation (Feng et al., 2019; Moorhead et al., 2016).

Calculation of N and water effects on microbial metabolic limitation.

N and Water effects was calculated according to Niu et al. (2009):

N effects: \( \Delta C \) or \( \Delta N/P \) limitation = \([ (N-\text{CK})/\text{CK}] \times 100 \) in the unwatered plots  

\( \frac{([\text{NW}-W]/W)}{W} \times 100 \) in the watered plot  

Water effects: \( \Delta C \) or \( \Delta N/P \) limitation = \( [(N-\text{CK})/\text{CK}] \times 100 \) in the unfertilized plots  

\( \frac{([\text{NW}-N]/N)}{N} \times 100 \) in the fertilized plots  

CK, N, W, and NW refer to Control, N addition, increased precipitation, and addition of both.
Statistical analysis

Prior to analysis, the response variables (e.g. soil nutrients and microbial properties) were first tested for a normal distribution (Shapiro–Wilk test, $P<0.05$) and homogeneity of variance (Levene’s test). Variables were transformed by the Box-Cox method for non-normal or unequal variances. A Two-way Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) was applied to identify the impacts of N and W additions alone or in combination on the means of soil physicochemical properties, soil enzyme activities, and microbial metabolic limitations. Mean comparisons were determined using Tukey multiple comparison post-hoc tests ($P < 0.05$). Then linear mixed effects models (LMEE) were applied to examine the main effects of N, W and their interaction on these soil and microbial properties using the “lme” package in R software v.3.5.0. In this model, block was treated as fixed the random effect, N and W were set as fixed effects. Correlations among soil enzyme activities, microbial metabolic limitation, and environmental factors were examined using a two-tailed Pearson rank-order correlation test. Moreover, a variation partitioning analysis (VPA) was utilized to determine the influence of environmental factors on the microbial C and N/P limitation using the “Vegan” package in R. A stepwise regression model was employed to reveal the key factors that affected soil microbial C and P limitations. Furthermore, the structural equation modeling (SEM) framework was used to reveal both the direct and indirect effects of driving factors on the microbial C and N/P limitations by AMOS software version 22.0.

Results

Soil enzyme activity in response to N and water additions

The soil enzyme activities (represented as nmol g$^{-1}$ soil and nmol g$^{-1}$ MBC) differed between N and W additions (Fig.1). N, W and NW additions significantly increased the C-
acquiring enzyme activity (BG+CBH) by 42.5%, 67.6%, and 69.4% (Fig. 1A) and the P-
acquiring enzyme activity (AP) by 31.8%, 166.6% and 218.9% (Fig. 1C). N addition
significantly decreased the activities of N-acquiring enzymes (NAG+LAP) by 16.2% (Fig. 1B),
while N and NW additions significantly increased N-acquiring enzyme activities. There had no
interactive effects of N addition with W addition on the C-acquiring enzyme activity (Fig. 1A),
but the interaction effects of N and W addition on the activities of C- and N-acquiring enzymes
were observed (Figs. 1B and 1C).

N, W and NW additions significantly increased specific activities of C-acquiring enzymes
by 31.5%, 29.7%, and 15.9% (Fig. 1D) and specific activities of P-acquiring enzymes by 23.3%,
113.1% and 121.4% (Fig. 1F), respectively. There were no significant differences in specific
activities of C-acquiring enzyme between N and W additions (Fig.1D). The specific activities
of N-acquiring enzyme were only significantly higher by 17.3% under W addition, and
significantly lower than the ambient by 22.3% under N addition (Fig. 1E). Similar to the soil
enzyme activities trends, N and W additions showed no significant interaction effects ($P > 0.10$)
on specific activities of C-acquiring enzymes, but exerted significant interactive effects on the
specific activities of N- and P-acquiring enzymes.

**Figure 1**

Relative C-, N-, and P-acquiring enzymatic ratios

N, W and NW additions significantly increased the enzyme C:N ratio, and N addition had
the strongest effect by 15.0% compared with the ambient N. NW addition significantly reduced
the enzyme C:P ratio ratio compared to the N addition, but there were no significant differences
between the W and NW additions (Fig. 2A). N addition significantly increased the enzyme C:P
ratio ratio, but W and NW additions significantly decreased the enzyme C:P ratio ratio (Fig.
2B). N, W and NW additions significantly reduced the enzyme N:P ratio ratio by 10.1%, 13.3%,
and 18.7%, respectively. N and W additions showed no significant interaction effects ($P > 0.10$) on enzyme C:N ratio, but exerted significant interactive effects on the of enzyme C:P and N:P ratios. Furthermore, there were significant relationships between the changes in the C-acquiring enzymes and N-acquiring enzymes ($R^2=0.61$, $P=0.008$, Fig. 2D), C-acquiring enzymes and P-acquiring enzymes ($R^2=0.76$, $P < 0.001$, Fig. 2E), and ratios of N-acquiring enzymes and P-acquiring enzymes ($R^2=0.77$, $P < 0.001$, Fig. 2F).

**Figure 2**

Vector analysis characteristics of extracellular enzyme stoichiometry for microbial metabolic limitations

The vector characteristics of enzymatic stoichiometry differed between the N, W and NW additions. N and water additions had led to microbial P limitation in the temperate desert (Fig. 3A). N addition increased the microbial C limitation (vector length) by 19%, while the W and NW additions reduced the vector length by 10.4% and 9.6%, respectively. NW addition significantly decreased microbial C limitation compared to that of W addition alone, but it had exerted significant higher on microbial C limitation compared with that of W addition (Fig. 2B). N and W addition significantly and interactively affected the microbial vector angles (Fig.3C). The vector angle was significantly higher under N, W and NW additions than the ambient by 18.1%, 26.5%, and 39.7%, respectively, and the vector angles were all $> 45^\circ$, suggesting that the soil microbial metabolism was limited by soil P. NW addition demonstrated the largest microbial P limitation ($55.8\pm0.5^\circ$), and significantly increased microbial P limitation as compared to the N a or W additions alone (Fig.3C).

Furthermore, N-induced increases in C and P limitations were lower in the watered plots than the unwatered plots, and N-induced increases had a little effect on the microbial C
limitation in the watered plots (Fig. 4A). The water-induced declines in C limitation were
greater when fertilizer was applied, and the water-induced decreases in P limitation were lower
in both N and ambient N plots (Fig. 4B). These results suggest that water may alleviate the C
induced by N enrichment, while N and water addition in combination may decrease P limitation
than their alone. In addition, the microbial C limitation was negatively correlated with microbial
P limitation in response to N and water additions (Fig. 3D, P<0.05).

Factors related to microbial metabolic limitation under N and water additions

The VPA revealed that the soil nutrients (25.8%) and physical properties (24.7%) explained much a substantially greater portion of the variation in microbial C limitation than
the nutrient ratios (10.4%) or microbial properties (6.2%) (Fig. 5A). The physical properties
and soil nutrients showed strong effects on soil microbial N/P limitation (Fig. 5B). The stepwise
regression models detected that SM, SOC and N/P were the most influential factor on microbial
C limitation (Table 3), and SM, SAP, AN/SAP and MBC were the most important factors
affecting the soil microbial N/P limitation (Table 3).

The SEM analysis demonstrated that soil moisture and SOC directly and indirectly
affected microbial C limitation, and N/P exhibited direct effects on microbial C limitation (Fig.
6A). SM, SAP, AN/SAP, and MBC directly and indirectly affected on microbial N/P limitation,
and MBC exhibited direct effects on microbial N/P limitation (Fig. 6B). SM and SOC exerted
significantly negative direct effects on microbial C limitation (Fig. 6A), while SM and SAP
significantly positively, and directly affected microbial N/P limitation (Fig. 6B). SM was the
common significant driver of microbial C and N/P limitation. The correlation analysis also
revealed that microbial C limitation were negatively correlated with SM and SOC but positively
correlated with N/P. The microbial N/P limitation were positively correlated with SM and AN/SAP but negatively correlated with SAP and MBC (Fig. S1).

**Discussion**

C-, N- and P-acquiring enzymes responses to N and water additions

Shifts in N and precipitation can alter biogeochemical cycles and affect soil and microbial community functions (Bai et al., 2020; Saiya-Cork et al., 2002; Wang et al., 2015). N addition and increased precipitation enhanced C- and P-acquiring enzyme activities, and similar findings for specific enzyme activities were also observed. The increase in C- and P-acquiring enzyme activities altered by N addition may have been due to the increase in soil available nutrients (eg., DOC and NH$_4^+$, NO$_3^-$, Table 1 and 2), which may have stimulated the synthesis and excretion of soil extracellular enzymes by microbes (Burns et al., 2013; Zhao et al., 2018). N addition had reduced N-acquiring enzyme activities in both normal and specific form, this may have been related to soil acidification by N-addition, while in the current study insignificant changes shown that (Table 1 and 2). Soil pH strongly affects the denaturation of enzyme active centers and enzyme folding (Ma et al., 2015; Zeglin et al., 2007). Significant negative correlations between soil pH and N-acquiring enzyme activities were also recorded (Fig. S1). In contrast to N addition, water addition caused a decline of the soil N-acquiring enzyme activities, which agrees with the results of Akinyemia et al. (2020). Since the desert environment was water-stressed, water addition may have alleviated the stress and aided soil nutritional compound uptake by the soil (Feng et al., 2019; Nielsen and Ball, 2015). This would revived dormant microbes and increased their activity, thus up-regulating enzymatic production (She et al., 2018; Tecon and Or, 2017; Wang et al., 2015), which is a likely mechanism to explain
our findings.

Water addition exhibited a greater impact on inducing soil EEAs (except specific C-acquiring enzyme activities, Fig.1), due to the water-stress being more severe than the N limitation in the desert environment. Moreover, it was demonstrated that N and water additions in combination significantly improved soil EEAs as compared to N addition alone (Fig. 1), as the findings that the impacts of N on soil EEAs may be strengthened by soil water accumulation (Ma et al., 2020; Wang et al., 2015; Yang et al., 2017). However, NW addition displayed no effects on C-acquiring enzyme activities compared to that of W addition (Figs. 1A and 1C). The inconsonant changes of soil EEA types emphasize that intrinsic variations in the microbe metabolic activity should also be considered to help explain the C and nutrients chain cycles (Chen et al., 2018; Feng et al., 2019; Vitousek et al., 2010; Yang et al., 2020). In addition, water addition masked the positive effects by N deposition in C-acquiring enzyme activities (Fig. 1A), offset the negative effects on the N-acquiring enzyme (Fig. 1B), and enhanced the positive effects on P-acquiring enzyme (Fig. 1C) by N deposition. These results indicate that water availability exhibited greater effects than those of soil N resources. The reinforcement in C- and P-acquiring enzyme activities under NW addition may have been due to the increased water status, and nutrients may have activated soil microbial activity for their own growth (Gutknecht et al., 2010; Li et al., 2019), resulting in a large requirement of C and P to synthetize ribosomal RNA (Deng et al., 2019; Henry et al., 2005). However, the negative effect of N deposition was offset by improved soil water, which is in line with the results of previous studies (Ma et al., 2020; Yang et al., 2017; Zhu et al., 2020). One potential mechanism for this may be that increased water availability accelerated the soil N leaching (Tian et al., 2017), thereby enhancing the nutrient demand of microbes (Henry et al., 2005; Wang et al., 2016; Zeglin et al., 2007), consequently weakening the accumulation of N in the soil, and shifting the production of N-acquiring enzyme activities (Harpole et al., 2007; Nielsen and Ball, 2015; Tian et al., 2017).
Microbial metabolic limitation responses to N and water additions

The ratios of enzymatic C:N and C:P (Figs. 2A and 2B) and vector length (Fig. 3B) were enhanced under improved N availability, whereas enzymatic N:P decreased (Fig. 2C). These results indicate that N addition elevated the C limitation and alleviated the microbial demand for N (Chen et al., 2018b; Yuan et al., 2019; Zhu et al., 2020). N addition provided sufficient available N for microorganism growth (Deng et al., 2020), and mitigated the N-limitation (Jian et al., 2016; Wang et al., 2015). However, N addition may have also enhanced the N and P contents in plant litter and retarded the mineralization of old SOM (Chen et al., 2019), hence reducing the ratios of soil C:N and C:P (Table 1 and 2), which would further increase the soil available C content (Yuan et al., 2019; Zechmeister-Boltenstern et al., 2015). Also, N addition aggravated P limitation, corresponding elevated ratios of enzyme C:P and N:P (Figs. 2B and 2C) and vector angles (Fig. 3C). This could have been related to the fresh P being derived chiefly from rock weathering (Tapia-Torres et al., 2015; Wang et al., 2015), and therefore, not having been able to reach the C and N levels (Vitousek et al., 2010). In addition, N addition could have decreased the relative abundance of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi (AMF), causing a lower fungi:bacteria ratio (Ren et al., 2017; Waldrop et al., 2004; Zeglin et al., 2007). AMF also likely played a substantial role in mineral nutrition absorption (particularly P) and could have promoted the growth of P-solubilizing bacteria (Li et al., 2020; McGuire et al., 2010; Wang, 2017), thus, reducing the allocation of P to microbial nutrient activity (Xu et al., 2020; Zhao et al., 2018).

Water addition decreased the soil microbial C limitation with significantly lower enzyme C:N and C:P ratios and vector length compared to non-NW additions. The potential mechanism for this may be that elevated water availability accelerated plant and root residue incorporation into SOM (Nielsen and Ball, 2015; She et al., 2018) and enhanced the soil C and N pools in the
water-limited environment, thus alleviating the microbial C limitation (Deng et al., 2019; Tian et al., 2017). However, water addition also could aggravate C limitation (Akinyemi et al., 2020; Waring et al., 2014; Wang et al., 2015), because the differences in the initial soil environment, rainfall amount and intensity, and dominant community (Hewins et al., 2016; Jing et al., 2020; Nielsen and Ball, 2015). Furthermore, the study found that water addition induced microbial N to P limitation in desert ecosystems (Fig. 3C), because increased water availability which could have increased the net primary productivity and promoted the reabsorption of P by plants (Ma et al., 2020; Wang et al., 2015; Zechmeister-Boltenstern et al., 2015), and thus reducing the available P for microorganisms.

The study demonstrated that N and water additions showed significantly interactive effects on decreasing the C limitation (Figs. 2A, 2B, and 3B). However, the simultaneous N and water addition had no apparent effect on microbial C limitation relative to that of the W addition, indicated that water addition concealed the impacts of N deposition on the soil microbial C-acquiring capability by altering the interception and assimilation of N and water. Our previous studies have demonstrated that water addition can alleviate the suppressive effects of N deposition on soil microbial nutrient activity (Huang et al., 2015b; Luo et al., 2017) may be one reason for this phenomenon. Additionally, microorganisms are known to enhance the denitrification of N through extracellular enzymes (Tian et al., 2017; Zeglin et al., 2007; Zhu et al., 2020), and improve C utilization under humid conditions (Feng et al., 2019; Harpole et al., 2007). In the present study, water addition could alleviate the strengthening effects of N deposition on soil microbial C limitations (Fig. 4), because extreme precipitation may promote soil inorganic N leaching losses and decrease microbial C demand (Gutknecht et al., 2010; Huang et al., 2015a; Ma et al., 2020), which may offset the effects of N deposition on microbial metabolic limitation (Henry et al., 2005).
Although N and water addition in combination may decrease P limitation than their alone (Fig.4), the present study found that N and W additions in combination have the greatest and interactive effects on microbial P limitation (Fig. 3C). Several mechanisms may explain the highest P limitation being associated with the combination of N addition and increased precipitation: first, long-term N and water addition could have caused continuous plant litter inputs, providing a suitable habitat for microbial growth (Akinyemi et al., 2020; Tian et al., 2017; Yang et al., 2017), hence, enhancing the soil C and N pools (She et al., 2018). Further, N and water additions improved the substrate availability (AN and SAP) (Table 1 and 2), which may have led to changes in soil C availability, plant nutrient status, and soil microbial community structure (Feng et al., 2019; Marklein et al., 2012; Henry et al., 2005). So, water addition may have led to increased C and N contents in the soils (Glaser et al., 2010; Na et al., 2019; Nielsen and Ball, 2015), and thus decreased microbial C- and N-limitations. In this way, soil microbes would tend to obtain more P to meet their growth requirements after the depletion of available P from the soil resources (Peng and Wang, 2016; Tapia-Torres et al., 2015), and fresh P would be chiefly derived from rock weathering (Hewins et al., 2016; Ma et al., 2020). Water addition would also activate both microbes and plants (Akinyemi et al., 2020; Hewins et al., 2016), leading to competition in P uptake between microbes and plants (Wang et al., 2014; Wang et al., 2015; Wang et al., 2020b). Consequently, N and water additions in combination significantly aggregated microbial P limitation in the soils.

Major factors affecting soil microbial metabolic limitation

Water and N availability were important factors affecting ecosystem nutrient cycling in the desert ecosystem (Austin et al., 2004; Bai et al., 2020; Bobbink et al., 2010; She et al., 2018). Soil microbial metabolic limitation not only depended on N and water additions, but also on the soil biotic and abiotic factors. Previous studies have reported that soil EEAs are primarily
driven by soil matrix, nutritional status, etc. (Jing et al., 2020; Kivlin and Treseder, 2014), instead of soil microorganisms and plants (Pan et al., 2013). In the present study, soil nutrients had the predominant effects on soil C and N/P limitations. Similarly, Kivlin and Treseder (2014) demonstrated that soil C and N contents has the greatest effects on soil EEAs in grasslands of southern California, USA. Ma et al. (2020) indicated that soil EEAs were chiefly driven by the N and P contents related to global change. These results indicated that the soil nutrient element concentrations are key factors affecting soil microbial nutrient (Jing et al., 2020; Ma et al., 2020; Sinsabaugh et al., 2009). This may be partly explained by the fact that soil nutrient contents can alter soil EEAs and enzymatic stoichiometry by affecting soil stoichiometry and soil substrate availability (Ma et al., 2020; Yang et al., 2020). Moreover, soil physical properties exhibited a strong role in microbial C and N/P limitations, which is most likely due to the effects of soil water availability (Table 3), further confirming their key role in controlling plant and microbial growth by the soil water availability in arid ecosystems (Deng et al., 2019; Feng et al., 2019).

Previous studies have indicated that soil water availability plays a key role in nutrient diffusion and stock in the soil (Chapman et al. 2012; Wang et al., 2020b; Zhang et al. 2014). Soil water availability is vital for both microbial activities and plant growth (Deng et al., 2019; Ma et al., 2020), thereby affecting litter decomposition, particularly in dry ecosystems (Bell et al., 2008; Chapman et al., 2012; Su et al., 2020). Higher plant litter input may provide sufficient C sources for SOM decomposition (Deng et al., 2019), leading to a higher SOC content (Nielsen and Ball, 2015; Peng and Wang, 2016). Soil microbes produce extracellular enzymes that catalyze the SOC mineralization and convert nutrients from organic into inorganic forms (Fanin et al., 2016; Ma et al., 2020; Na et al., 2019; Zechmeister-Boltenstern et al., 2015), thus providing sufficient C sources to feed microbial growth and reproduction (Li et al., 2019), and less restricted by C in the long term for microbial communities (Ma et al., 2020; Tapia-Torres
et al., 2015; Tian et al., 2017).

Soil moisture and SAP were strong controlling factors influencing microbial metabolic limitation in the desert shrublands (Table 3). Drought is beneficial to the release of soil P via geochemical weathering (Feng et al., 2019; Wang et al., 2020b; Tapia-Torres et al., 2015; Wang et al., 2015), however, increased water availability promotes the loss of soil P through leaching (Akinyemi et al., 2020), thereby decreasing the soil P availability and thus leading to P limitation. In addition, water may supply more nutrients and stimulate the growth of vegetation and microbial communities, which can aggravate the competition between plants and microbes for soil available P (Ma et al., 2020; Wang et al., 2014). Xu et al., (2020) reported that soil water and available P were the major factors affecting nutrient resorption strategies. A close competition between plants and microbes may occur after N and water addition (Nielsen and Ball, 2015; Wang et al., 2020b; Zechmeister-Boltenstern et al., 2015). Furthermore, soil water and available P may alter the abundance of AMF (Huang et al., 2015a; Kivlin and Treseder, 2014; Ma et al., 2020). A recent study found that global change and AMF had interactive effects on soil available P (Mei et al., 2019), which determined microbial nutrient absorption (Bünemann et al., 2004; Ma et al., 2020). In general, the study found that soil moisture was the most common driver of microbial C and P limitations, because water availability is the primary limiting factor on microbial metabolic activity in desert ecosystems.

**Conclusions**

This study revealed that N and water additions significantly enhanced EEAs. N addition aggravated microbial C and P limitations, whereas water addition was found to intensify microbial P limitations. N and water additions showed interactive effects on microbial metabolic limitation. The interactions of N and W could offset the impacts of N deposition and
was found to decrease soil microbial C limitation while strengthening the effects of N and water addition alone, resulting in an increased P limitation. Moreover, soil microbial C limitation was chiefly driven by soil moisture and SOC in the desert shrublands, whereas soil microbial N/P limitation was controlled by soil moisture and SAP contents. Soil water availability in the desert ecosystems played prominent role in effecting on soil microbial metabolic limitation. Future N deposition and increased precipitation are likely to alleviate or aggravate microbial metabolic limitation.
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**Tables and Figures:**

**Table 1** Results of four treatments of control (C), nitrogen addition (N), water addition (W), and water plus nitrogen addition (NW) on soil and microbial properties. Values are means with SE (n=6). Different lowercase letters in the same line indicate statistically significant differences ($P<0.05$).

| Treatment | CK          | N           | W            | NW           |
|-----------|-------------|-------------|--------------|--------------|
| pH        | 9.60±0.09b  | 9.46±0.08a  | 9.88±0.11a   | 9.51±0.1b    |
| BD (g cm$^{-3}$) | 1.47±0.02a | 1.46±0.02a  | 1.39±0.03b   | 1.34±0.02b   |
| SM (%)    | 2.15±0.13b  | 2.06±0.15b  | 4.95±0.71a   | 4.84±0.16a   |
| SOC (g kg$^{-1}$) | 3.12±3.19c | 3.19±0.17c  | 5.67±0.10b   | 7.83±0.17a   |
| TN (g kg$^{-1}$) | 0.22±0.01c | 0.29±0.02b  | 0.33±0.01b   | 0.41±0.01a   |
| TP (g kg$^{-1}$) | 0.42±0.05b | 0.43±0.01a  | 0.45±0.01a   | 0.48±0.01a   |
| C/N       | 13.93±0.78b | 10.95±0.55c | 16.98±0.79a  | 18.58±0.99a  |
| C/P       | 7.44±0.56c  | 7.33±0.38c  | 12.39±0.25b  | 16.21±0.42a  |
| N/P       | 0.53±0.02d  | 0.67±0.02c  | 0.73±0.02b   | 0.87±0.02a   |
| DOC (g kg$^{-1}$) | 132.86±5.21c | 159.74±4.66b | 258.56±6.5a  | 266.2±6.94a  |
| NH$^+$-N (mg kg$^{-1}$) | 4.88±1.16c | 10.30±2.03b | 8.88±1.91b   | 12.24±2.31a  |
| NO$_3$-N (mg kg$^{-1}$) | 4.72±0.38b | 8.37±0.36a  | 3.05±0.12c   | 8.30±0.34a   |
| SAP (mg kg$^{-1}$) | 7.42±0.60c | 6.84±0.58c  | 10.39±0.61b  | 13.29±0.59a  |
| DOC/AN    | 19.28±4.45a | 8.64±4.47c  | 18.60±0.61a  | 12.79±3.76b  |
| DOC/SAP   | 18.77±1.92b | 24.30±2.52a | 26.61±2.52a  | 21.68±2.32a  |
| AN/SAP    | 1.27±0.29c  | 2.84±0.52a  | 1.46±0.47c   | 1.72±0.48b   |
| MBC (mg kg$^{-1}$) | 265.54±10.05c | 283.34±7.01c | 337.05±9.09b | 384.37±8.9a  |
| MBN (mg kg$^{-1}$) | 48.25±2.59c | 66.34±3.52b | 57.25±3.91c  | 74.30±3.95a  |
| MBP (mg kg$^{-1}$) | 7.29±0.31c | 7.85±0.71c  | 8.26±1.01b   | 10.76±1.03a  |
| CUE       | 0.22±0.02b  | 0.17±0.01c  | 0.26±0.12a   | 0.25±0.08a   |
Table 2 Summary of Results (F- and P-values) of linear mixed models on the main effects of nitrogen (N) addition, water (W) addition, and water plus nitrogen addition (NW) on the soil and microbial properties.

| Treatment | N      |   | W      |   | NW     |   |
|-----------|--------|---|--------|---|--------|---|
|           | F      | P |        |   | F      | P |
| pH        | 4.226  | 0.053 | 44.687 | <0.001 | 1.635 | 0.216 |
| BD        | 1.12   | 0.302 | 16.039 | 0.001  | 0.324 | 0.575 |
| SM        | 0.063  | 0.804 | 45.968 | <0.001 | 0.002 | 0.969 |
| SOC       | 5.643  | 0.028 | 58.883 | <0.001 | 4.935 | 0.038 |
| TN        | 40.111 | <0.001 | 97.946 | <0.001 | 0.319 | 0.579 |
| TP        | 37.221 | <0.001 | 156.475 | <0.001 | 0.907 | 0.352 |
| C:N       | 0.401  | 0.534 | 238.74  | <0.001 | 4.393 | 0.049 |
| C:P       | 3.626  | 0.071 | 50.548  | <0.001 | 4.058 | 0.058 |
| N:P       | 39.921 | <0.001 | 84.413  | <0.001 | 0.007 | 0.933 |
| DOC       | 6.682  | 0.018 | 302.2  | <0.001 | 2.075 | 0.165 |
| NH$_4^+$N | 32.04  | <0.001 | 0.886  | 0.358  | 0.683 | 0.418 |
| NO$_3^-$N | 34.781 | <0.001 | 8.853  | 0.007  | 1.718 | 0.205 |
| SAP       | 1.098  | 0.307 | 18.007  | <0.001 | 2.457 | 0.133 |
| DOC/AN    | 10.534 | 0.004 | 0.469  | 0.502  | 0.906 | 0.352 |
| DOC/SAP   | 0.014  | 0.907 | 1.028  | 0.323  | 4.122 | 0.056 |
| AN/SAP    | 11.725 | 0.003 | 3.106  | 0.093  | 6.055 | 0.023 |
| MBC       | 4.708  | 0.042 | 32.991  | <0.001 | 0.969 | 0.337 |
| MBP       | 85.988 | <0.001 | 20.029  | <0.001 | 0.077 | 0.785 |
| CUE       | 3.049  | 0.096 | 4.949  | 0.038  | 1.241 | 0.278 |
|           | 11.825 | 0.003 | 2.056  | 0.167  | 1.611 | 0.219 |
Table 3 Summary of stepwise regression models to reveal soil microbial C limitation and N/P limitation determining by soil and microbial properties. Note: Soil microbial C limitation is represented by vector lengths; Soil microbial N/P limitation is represented by vector angles, angles $< 45^\circ$ represent N limitation, angles $> 45^\circ$ represent P limitation.

| Equation                     | $r^2$ | $p$  |
|------------------------------|-------|------|
| Vector length $y = -0.12SM + 0.013SOC - 1.29N/P + 0.865$ | 0.61  | ***  |
| Vector angle $y = 2.43SM - 1.95SAP + 2.38AN/SAP - 0.08MBC + 60.23$ | 0.52  | ***  |

Note: SM, soil moisture content; SOC, soil organic C; SAP, soil available P. N:P, the ratio of TN to TP; AN:SAP, the ratio of AN to SAP. MBC, microbial biomass C.
Figure captions:

**Figure 1** Effects of N addition and increased precipitation on soil microbial C-, N- and P-acquiring enzyme activities (A–C, per g soil) and specific enzyme activities (D–F, per mg MBC). CK, N, W, and NW represent the control, nitrogen addition, water addition, combination treatment with water plus nitrogen addition, respectively. The results of the linear mixed effects models for the main factors (N and W) and their interaction on soil microbial nutrient acquiring enzyme activities are shown in the top-figures. Different lowercase letters below the data indicate statistically significant differences among the different treatments ($P < 0.05$). Values are means with SE (n=6). C-acquiring enzyme (BG, β-1,4-glucosidase; CBH, β-D-cellobiosidase), C-acquiring enzyme (NAG, N-acetyl-β-D-glucosaminidase; LAP, leucine aminopeptidase), P-acquiring enzyme (AP, acid phosphatase). MBC, microbial biomass C.

**Figure 2** Stoichiometry of C-, N- and P-acquiring enzyme activities (A–C) by N and water additions and their relationships (D–F). Values are means with SE (n=6). The results of the linear mixed effects models for the main factors (N and W) and their interaction on the stoichiometry of C-, N- and P-acquiring enzyme activities (A–C) are shown in the top-figures. CK, N, W, and NW represent the control, nitrogen addition, water addition, water plus nitrogen addition, respectively. Different lowercase letters indicate significant differences at $P < 0.05$ using Duncan tests.

**Figure 3** Extracellular enzyme stoichiometry of the relative proportions of C to N acquisition versus C to P acquisition (A), the variation of vector length and angle (B and C) and their relationships (D). Values are means with SE (n=6). The results of the linear mixed effects models for the main factors (N and W) and their interaction on the stoichiometry of C-, N- and P-acquiring enzyme activities (A–C) are shown in the top-figures. The results of the linear mixed effects models for the main factors (N and W) and their interaction on the stoichiometry of C-, N- and P-acquiring enzyme activities (A–C) are shown in the top-figures. Note: black lines indicate linear model fits. The significance level is $P < 0.05$.

**Figure 4** N-induced changes in the C and P limitation in the unwatered and watered plots (A), and water-induced changes in the C and P limitation (B) in the unfertilized and fertilized plots. Microbial C limitation, represented by vector length; microbial P limitation, represented by vector angle. $P$-values represent the significant difference between the two bars.

**Figure 5** Variation partitioning analysis (VPA) was applied to determine the contributions of
soil physical properties, soil nutrients, nutrient ratios and microbial properties to (A) vector length and (B) vector angle. Vector length, microbial C limitation; vector angle, microbial N/P limitation. Soil physical properties include pH; BD, bulk density; SM, soil moisture content. Soil nutrients include: SOC, soil organic C; TN, soil total N; TP, soil total P; DOC, soil dissolved organic C; NO$_3^-$-N$^+$, NH$_4^+$-N; SAP, soil available P. Nutrient ratios include: C:N, the ratio of SOC to TN; N:P, the ratio of TN to TP; DOC:AN, the ratio of DOC to AN; DOC:SAP, the ratio of DOC to SAP; AN:SAP, the ratio of AN to SAP. Microbial properties include: MBC, MBN, MBP and CUE. AN, NO$_3^-$--N and NH$_4^+$--N.

Figure 6 The structural equation model (SEM) evaluating the impacts of controlling factors on vector length (A) and angle (B). The dashed and solid lines indicate negative and positive coefficients, respectively; the thickness of the arrows indicates the magnitude of the standardized path coefficient. RMSEA, root mean square error of approximation; GFI, goodness-of-fit index. Vector length, microbial C limitation; vector angle, microbial N/P limitation.
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