MHD Stagnation Point Nanofluid Flow Past Permeable Stretching Sheet with Brownian Motion and Thermophoresis Effects
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The study of boundary layer stagnation point flow and heat transfer towards a permeable stretching surface saturated in a nanofluid with slips boundary conditions, applied magnetic field and thermal radiation in porous medium have been analysed numerically. A mathematical model of partial differential equations corresponds to momentum, energy and nanoparticle concentration is reduced into nonlinear ordinary differential equations using similarity variables. These ordinary differential equations are solved numerically by MAPLE software using Runge-Kutta-Fehlberg along with shooting technique. The influences of velocity ratio, permeability, thermophoresis and Brownian motion parameters on velocity, temperature and concentration profiles are analysed and presented graphically. It is found that the velocity ratio enhances the velocity profile but reduces both temperature and concentration profiles. However, the opposite results are observed for the permeability parameter. Furthermore, the thermophoresis and Brownian motion parameters elevate both the temperature profiles, but the thermophoresis increases, and the Brownian motion decreases the concentration profiles respectively.
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I. INTRODUCTION

The idea of boundary layer pioneered by Ludwig Prandtl (Anderson, 2005) has been widely applied especially in engineering processes. For example, materials manufactured by extrusion where MHD has been considered in order to improve the extrusion production efficiency (Shaw et al. 2016; Hsiao, 2017; Zaman et al. 2017; Soid et al. 2017).MHD flow was investigated and analysed by Mukhopadhyay,(2013) and Agbaje et al. (2018). Plasmas and electrolyte are examples of the dynamics of electricity conducting fluids (Mabood et al. 2015). Stagnation-point flow is the combination of static and dynamic pressure. In addition, stagnation happens at a point when the velocity is zero (Shaughnessy et al. 2005). Ibrahim and Shankar, (2013) have studied about the presence of stagnation point in MHD boundary layer nanofluid flow. The theory on nanofluid was introduced by Choi and Eastman, (1995) and had become a very active area of exploration. The authors also stated that nanofluid might exhibit superior properties (Soid et al. 2017; Soid and Ishak, 2017).

Thermal radiation and heat transfer play significant and important effects especially in controlling heat transfer in industry (Hamad and Ferdows, 2012). Recently, the boundary layer nanofluid flow induced by a permeable surface has received significant considerations of several researchers (Azmi et al. 2017; Bejan and Nield, 2013). Slips are applied in technological matters such as internal cavities and polishing of artificial heart valves (Ibrahim and
Shankar, 2013). The velocity, temperature and nanoparticles concentration are influenced by the coefficient of slip. Motivated by the above observations, this paper extends the work done by Ibrahim et al. (2013) on the influences of velocity ratio, permeability, thermophoresis and Brownian motion parameters.

II. MATHEMATICAL FORMULATION

Consider the steady two-dimensional convective nanofluid flow over a permeable horizontal sheet in porous media in the presence of radiation. The horizontal plate is along \( x \)-axis and the \( y \)-axis is normal to the plate. In the positive direction of \( y \)-axis, the inflexible magnetic field of strength \( B_0 \) is activated together with the thermal radiation effect while porous plate is along the horizontal axis. \( T_x \) is considered as ambient temperature and \( C_\infty \) as ambient concentration where the body surface of horizontal plate is kept at a fix temperature \( T_w \) and concentration \( C_w \). The sheet is stretched with velocity \( u_w = ax \), where \( a \) is a constant, \( V_w \) is the wall mass flux and \( U = cx \) is the ambient velocity where \( c \) is a constant.

\[
\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + v \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} = U \frac{\partial U}{\partial x} + \nu \left( \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial y^2} \right) + \frac{\sigma B_0^2}{\rho_f} (U - u)
\]

\[
-\frac{\nu}{\kappa} (u - U)
\]

\[
\frac{\partial T}{\partial x} + v \frac{\partial T}{\partial y} = \alpha \left( \frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial y^2} \right) - \frac{1}{\rho c_p} \left( \frac{\partial q_v}{\partial y} \right) + \frac{\mu}{\rho f c_p} \left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} \right)^2 + \frac{\sigma B_0^2}{\rho_f} u^2
\]

\[
\tau \left\{ \frac{D_f}{\rho_f c_p} \frac{\partial C}{\partial y} + \frac{D_T}{T_w} \frac{\partial T}{\partial y} \right\}^2
\]

where \( u \) and \( v \) are the velocity component along \( x \)-direction and \( y \)-direction respectively. \( \nu = \mu / \rho_f \) is the kinematic viscosity where \( \mu \) is dynamic viscosity of the fluid and \( \rho_f \) is the density of the base fluid, \( \sigma \) is the electrical conductivity, \( k \) is medium porosity, \( T \) is the temperature and \( \alpha = \kappa / (\rho c) \), is the thermal diffusivity where \( K \) is the thermal conductivity and \( (\rho c) \) is heat capacity of the fluid. \( q_v \) is radiative heat flux, \( \tau \) is stated as \( (\rho c)_p / (\rho c)_f \), \( (\rho c)_p \) is effective heat capacity of a nanoparticle and \( c_p \) is specific heat capacity at constant pressure. \( C, D_f, D_b \) are concentration, thermophoresis diffusion coefficient and Brownian diffusion coefficient respectively.

The corresponding boundary conditions are

\[
y = 0: u = u_w + \nu \frac{\partial u}{\partial y}, v = V_w, T = T_w + K_1 \frac{\partial T}{\partial y},
\]

\[
C = C_w + K_2 \frac{\partial C}{\partial y}
\]

\[
y \to \infty: u \to U = cx, T \to T_w, C \to C_w.
\]

\( V_w \) is the wall mass flux where \( V_w > 0 \) is injection and \( V_w < 0 \) suction when both surface temperature, \( T_w \) and surface concentration \( C_w \) are constants. The condition with
no-slip is recovered when \( L = K_1 = K_2 = 0 \). \( L \), \( K_1 \) and \( K_2 \) are the velocity, the thermal and the concentration slip factor. The mathematical formulation is simplified using similarity variables

\[
\eta = \sqrt{\frac{a}{v}} \eta \quad \text{and} \quad \psi = \sqrt{a \nu x f(t)} = \frac{T - T_w}{T_x - T_w},
\]

\[
\phi(\eta) = \frac{C - C_\infty}{C_w - C_\infty}
\]

where \( \eta \) is similarity variable, \( \psi \) is stream function which defined as \( u = \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial y} \) and \( v = -\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x} \). Both identically satisfy equation (1), \( f(\eta) \) is the dimensionless stream function, \( \theta(\eta) \) is dimensionless temperature and \( \phi(\eta) \) is dimensionless concentration. The mathematical problem defined by equations (2)-(4) with boundary conditions (5)-(6) are transformed into ordinary differential equations as

\[
f'' + f f' - (f')^2 + \varphi^2 + M (\varphi - f') - \Omega (f' - \varepsilon) = 0
\]

\[
\left(1 + \frac{4}{3} R\right) \theta'' + Pr f \theta' + Pr Nb \theta' \phi' + \text{Pr} Nt (\theta')^2 = 0
\]

\[
\phi'' + Le f \phi' + \frac{Nt}{Nb} \theta'' = 0
\]

where \( \varepsilon = c/\alpha \) is velocity ratio parameter,

\( M = \sigma B_0^2/\rho_f a \) is parameter of magnetic field,

\( \Omega = \nu/ak \) is permeability parameter,

\( R = 4\sigma^* T_e^3/k^* \kappa \) is a radiation parameter where \( \sigma^* \) is the Stefan-Boltzmann constant and \( k^* \) is the mean absorption coefficient,

\( \text{Pr} = \nu/\alpha \) is a Prandtl number

\( Nb = (\nu c)_B D_B (C_w - C_\infty)/ (\nu c)_f \nu \) is a Brownian motion parameter,

\( Nt = (\nu c)_p D_f (T_x - T_\infty)/(\nu c)_f \nu T_x \) is the thermophoresis parameter and \( Le = \nu/D_B \) is a Lewis number.

The transformed boundary conditions are

\[ \eta = 0: \quad f(0) = S, \quad f'(0) = 1 + Af''(0), \]

\[ \eta \rightarrow \infty: \quad f'(\infty) \rightarrow \varepsilon, \quad \theta(\infty) \rightarrow 0, \quad \phi(\infty) \rightarrow 0 \]

\[ \eta \rightarrow \infty: \quad f'(\infty) \rightarrow \varepsilon, \quad \theta(\infty) \rightarrow 0, \quad \phi(\infty) \rightarrow 0 \]

\[ \theta(0) = 1 + B\theta'(0), \quad \phi(0) = 1 + C\phi'(0) \]

\[ \eta \rightarrow \infty: \quad f'(\infty) \rightarrow \varepsilon, \quad \theta(\infty) \rightarrow 0, \quad \phi(\infty) \rightarrow 0 \]

where \( S = V_w/\sqrt{\nu} \), \( A = L\sqrt{\nu} \), \( B = K_1 \sqrt{\nu} \) and \( C = K_2 \sqrt{\nu} \) are suction parameter, velocity slip, thermal slip and concentration slip parameter respectively. The physical quantities in this problem are local skin friction coefficient \( C_f \), local Nusselt number \( Nu_s \) and local Sherwood number \( Sh_x \) are declared as

\[ C_f = \frac{\tau_w}{\rho u_w^2}, \quad Nu_s = \frac{xq_w}{\kappa(T_w - T_\infty)} \]

\[ Sh_x = \frac{xh_m}{DB(C_w - C_\infty)} \]

where the wall shear stress \( \tau_w \) the surface heat flux \( q_w \) and the surface mass flux \( h_m \) are given by

\[ \tau_w = \mu \left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} \right)_{y=0}, \quad q_w = -\kappa \left( \frac{\partial T}{\partial y} \right)_{y=0} + (q_r)_{w}, \]

\[ h_m = -D_B \left( \frac{C}{\partial y} \right)_{y=0}. \]

Solving the above equations, we obtained

\[ C_f \sqrt{Re_x} = f''(0), \quad \frac{Nu_s}{\sqrt{Re_x}} = -\left(1 + \frac{4}{3} R\right)\theta'(0), \]

\[ Sh_x = \frac{-\phi'(0)}{\sqrt{Re_x}} \]

where \( Re_x = u_w x/\nu \) is the local Reynolds number.

### III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

The nonlinear ordinary differential equations (8)-(10) with the boundary conditions (11)-(12) were solved numerically using “dsolve” MAPLE software. The procedure is based on Runge-Kutta-Fehlberg fourth and fifth order method with shooting technique.

A comparison with previously published papers Ibrahim and Shankar, (2013) and Hayat et al. (2011) are in a good agreement with the present results as shown in Table 1.
Table 1. Comparison of the skin friction coefficient $ - f''(0)$ for various values of the velocity slip parameter $A$

| $A$  | Hayat et al. (2011) | Ibrahim and Shankar, (2013) | Present results |
|------|---------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------|
| 0.0  | 1.000000            | 1.0000                      | 1.0000          |
| 0.1  | 0.872082            | 0.8721                      | 0.8721          |
| 0.2  | 0.776377            | 0.7764                      | 0.7764          |
| 0.5  | 0.591195            | 0.5912                      | 0.5912          |
| 2.0  | 0.283981            | 0.2840                      | 0.2840          |
| 5.0  | 0.144841            | 0.1448                      | 0.1448          |
| 10.0 | 0.081249            | 0.0812                      | 0.0812          |
| 20.0 | 0.043782            | 0.0438                      | 0.0438          |
| 50.0 | 0.018634            | 0.0186                      | 0.0186          |

Figure 2. Velocity profiles $f'(\eta)$ for several values of $\varepsilon$ with $M = 1$, $\Omega = 0.1$, $Pr = 6.2$, $Le = 5$, $R = Nt = Nb = 0.5$, $S = A = B = C = 1$.

Figure 3. Temperature profiles $\Theta(\eta)$ for several values of $\varepsilon$ with $M = 1$, $\Omega = 0.1$, $Pr = 6.2$, $Le = 5$, $R = Nt = Nb = 0.5$, $S = A = B = 1$, $C = 1$.

Figure 4. Concentration profiles $\phi(\eta)$ for several values of $\varepsilon$ with $M = 1$, $\Omega = 0.1$, $Pr = 6.2$, $Le = 5$, $R = Nt = Nb = 0.5$, $S = A = B = C = 1$.

Figure 5 illustrates the decrement of velocity profile with different permeability parameter $\Omega$ due to a high Darcy force which slowing down the fluid in the boundary layer. However, both temperature and concentration profiles increase as the values of the permeability parameter $\Omega$ rises. At the same time, the gradient for both temperature and concentration distributions decrease with small changes as described in Figures 6 and 7. Hence, the changes in the permeability parameter $\Omega$ is insignificant to the boundary layer thickness of temperature and concentration profiles.
values of \( Nb \) increase, the concentration boundary layer thickness become thinner and close to the surface while oppositely for Figure 11 as the thermophoresis parameter \( Nt \) increases, the concentration field and boundary layer thickness also increases.

Figures 8 and 10 shows the same results as Brownian motion and thermophoresis parameters rise respectively. This is due to the collision between in the random of particles motion in a fluid encourages temperature enhancement. Figure 9 depicts the variation of concentration in response to a different value in Brownian motion parameter \( Nb \). As the
The effect of velocity ratio $\varepsilon$ and permeability parameter $\Omega$ on the MHD boundary layer flow and heat transfer of a nanofluid over a permeable stretching sheet and slip effects with the presence of thermophoresis and Brownian motion were studied numerically.

In conclusion, the velocity ratio enhances the velocity profile but reduces both temperature and concentration profiles. However, the opposite results are observed for the permeability parameter. Furthermore, the thermophoresis and Brownian motion parameters elevate both the temperature profiles, but the thermophoresis increases, and the Brownian motion decreases the concentration profiles respectively.
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