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Abstract
Reliable data exchange and efficient image transfer are currently significant research challenges in health care systems. To incentivize data exchange within the Internet of Things (IoT) framework, we need to ensure data sovereignty by facilitating secure data exchange between trusted parties. The security and reliability of data-sharing infrastructure require a community of trust. Therefore, this paper introduces an encryption frame based on data fragmentation. It also presents a novel, deterministic grey-scale optical encryption scheme based on fundamental mathematics. The objective is to use encryption as the underlying measure to make the data unintelligible while exploiting fragmentation to break down sensitive relationships between attributes. Thus, sensitive data distributed in separate data repositories for decryption and reconstruction using interpolation by knowing polynomial coefficients and personal values from the DBMS Database Management System. Aims also to ensure the secure acquisition of diagnostic images, micrography, and all types of medical imagery based on probabilistic approaches. Visual sharing of confidential medical imageries based on implementing a novel method, where transparencies $\leq k - 1$ out of $n$ cannot reveal the original image.
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1 Introduction
The exchange of health information enables the electronic transfer of clinical data between different health systems and preserving their importance. Facilitate access and retrieval of clinical data to provide safe, timely, effective, and equitable patient-centred care, the World
Health Organization (WHO) digitally-enabled health systems that place people at the centre of
digital health, adopt and use digital health technologies [33]. Therefore, this scope requires
countries to empower an integrated patient-centred approach. Innovative technologies inte-
grated via IoT for virtual care and remote monitoring, such as smartphones connected to
healthcare platforms, will allow data exchange, storage, and acquisition. The objective is to
share information throughout the health ecosystem by creating ongoing care to enhance health
outcomes. Of paramount importance to achieve innovation in current digitalization is to unfold
the full potential data exchange activity between countries, industries, companies, healthcare
systems, etc. To incentivize data exchange, we must ensure data sovereignty by facilitating the
secure exchange of data between trusted parties.

The Fast Healthcare Interoperability Resources (FHIR) standard, created by Health Level
Seven International (HL7), is currently the most widely used set of protocols for merging
different healthcare systems. It is also an Application Programming Interface (API) for inter-
operability and exchanging health information [20]. Substitutable Medical Apps, Reusable
Technologies (SMART) integrated with FHIR to enable healthcare applications connection to
Electronic Health Record EHR systems with the appropriate safety guarantees and support
authorization, authentication, and User Interface UI integration [26]. FHIR profiles assure
SMART applications that resource coding of medication, procedures, conditions, laboratory
results, or allergies meet data quality compliance requirements [31]. SMART’s integration with
FHIR also seeks to restrain significant fragmentation by implementing widely applicable data
constraints based on the terms introduced by the US Meaningful Use program [11].

Security and reliability of data-sharing infrastructure require a trusted community; there-
fore, mutually customized components such as the encryption system are mandatory. Frag-
mentation is a fundamental cryptographic technique for a data exchange strategy and is also
required when COVID-19 deteriorates [6]. This paper proposes distributing fragments to
Cloud Repositories (CR) from where the server module will further spread the datasets into
separated data repositories. The shares can only be retrieved and reconstruct from the reliable
DBMS deployed in the cloud. Thus, this approach improves data privacy and confidentiality
during the data exchange process and facilitates the process without further complex encryp-
tion techniques. The primary requirement for healthcare innovation is to ensure the confiden-
tiality of the patient’s data, stored in the system, or sharing with reliable external parties. The
demand for secure image sharing in the healthcare sector has prompted us to include a virtual
plan for sharing secret binary images.

The objectives that guide the development of the architectural model in Fig. 1 are the
following:

- Personal data must be processed legitimately, confirming judicature to the owner. There-
  fore, restrictions should apply by the individuals whose personal data processed, such as
  the terms of usage;
- Data acquisition should be secure along with the flow from the initial phase of the
development. For instance, from sensors to the backend repository and IoT devices;
- Interlinked data, becoming beneficial through semantic interrogations. Thus, linking data
  should be simple and effective between trusted sites;
- Delivering quality digital healthcare services requires the system to be compatible and able
to serve multiple operating environments. We aim to implement a system that requires low
computational complexity to maintain the advantage of limited calculation time and
memory usage;
Government legislation obliges healthcare ecosystems to use secure data management and privacy techniques. The cross-border healthcare data exchange aligned with the country’s bioethics legislation that provides the data; Since the COVID-19 pandemic, it is a prerequisite for healthcare systems to exchange and share through internet medical records. Thus, the paper aims to provide a novel method for the safe exchange of confidential datasets and medical images.

Initially, we implement a literature review from various scientific articles and books to study the relevant work in this domain and set our innovative contribution. After that, the paper analyses the proposed model’s architecture, including the VPN’s data flow process. In the same section, we analyze the fragmentation process by applying an example of separating health care data into fragments. Using Newton-Gregory’s divided difference interpolation, we implement reconstruction and retrieve a specific health record from the primary dataset. The prerequisite requirement for medical data exchange is medical image transfer. We dedicate a subsection on image secret sharing framework based on the same theory of $k$ out of $n$ secret sharing, including an example. The last section comprises the conclusion and further discussion for future work and contribution in this domain framework.

2 Literature review

Limitation to integrate smart services by connecting heterogeneous platform devices through the IoT because they are prone to hardware/software and network attacks and, if not properly secured, can lead to privacy issues. To resolve the problem, S. Sridhar and S. Smys et al. proposed an Intelligent Security Framework for IoT Devices [30]. Snezana S. et al. introduced a novel concept of personal health records based on an e-health strategy where patients own their data using different ways of obtaining data [29]. The article [3] developed a hybrid measurement technique for digital image watermarking using medical images (X-ray, MRA,
and CT), an extremely robust method for protecting clinical information. An innovative watermarking scheme based on the biorthogonal family (biorthogonal 2.2, biorthogonal 3.5, and biorthogonal 5.5) wavelet transform [4]. Simultaneously, it used a convolution for eyelets wavelet transform and conflicts wavelet transform to exchange images in the IoT frame. In the paper [2], the authors proposed a watermarking scheme in the structure of Daubechies wavelets, Daubechies-5, and Daubechies-7 wavelet transform. This wavelet approach is highly robust against various attacks, prohibiting the digital data’s piracy and authentication.

Aggarwal et al. have initially proposed the fragmentation cryptographic technique model by dividing the dataset among two data repositories. Although the idea was innovative, the collaboration between the two servers and the restriction of repositories lead to a lack of security and require further encryption to ensure the data’s privacy [21]. After that, Ciriani et al. proposed a model without limitations on the number of datasets partitioning based on improved security frame encryption derived from fragments [15, 18]. In 2009 Ciriani et al. proposed a cryptographic fragmentation model where the data owner manages his reliable DBMS [16, 17]. Following Shamir’s [28] proposal for a secret sharing scheme, Agrawal et al. and Emekci et al. extended the model by dividing and storing data into CRs, which could only be reconstructed by the knowledge of any k of the n shares and the secret value [1]. The model also reveals information by queries without deciphering the essential attributes of the subsets [19]. Sareen et al. contribute to their work by proposing a new model to protect the confidentiality of outsourced data [27].

Naor and Shamir et al. introduced the idea of image secret sharing by distributing an image into several different images, and the reconstruction is done only by aligning the shares [25]. Based on Naor’s and Shamir’s secret sharing scheme, which referred to as black and white images, Verheul and Tilborg et al. extended this framework to coloured photos [32]. The same approach of secret image share without the use of cryptography followed by Chin-Chen Chang et al. as well as Ching-Nung Yang et al. [13, 14, 36]. Bisio, I., Fedeli, A., Lavagetto, F. et al. conducted a numerical study dedicated to evaluating the implementation of a microwave imaging method to detect stroke [9]. I. Bisio, C. Garibotto, A. Grattarola, F. Lavagetto and A. Sciarrone et al. introduced the IoT as the key to I4.0 production optimization [10]. I. Bisio, F. Lavagetto, M. Márchese and A. Sciarrone et al. obtainable a performance assessment among AR approaches based on the accelerometer signal recorded through patients’ smartphones [8].

In the healthcare industry, some limited caregivers vigorously promote innovative technologies. Based on the above research projects, this paper aims to integrate confidentiality into the exchange of healthcare data provided as a text, either as an image. The novel idea generates a state-of-the-art model based on a fundamental mathematical approach that could be the key to ensuring the digitization of an ecosystem framework for virtual medical therapy and remote treatment. The goal is health data exchange architectures, application interfaces that allow data to be accessed and shared securely and adequately across the spectrum of care, in all applicable settings, and with relevant stakeholders.

### 3 Proposed model

For prosperous and interoperable data sharing, we proposed the development of data spaces where everyone accepted. Still, the entry should be secure, the management system will identify who uses the system, and all trusted sites will align with the regulations. Figure 1 is a visual presentation of the proposed approach. The raw data generated by sensors applied to
users or the data provided by doctors, hospitals, laboratories, etc., will be distributed in fragments based on the owners’ requirements and the regulation of restriction concerning the level of confidentiality. In terms of data holder requirements, the distribution unit increases or decreases privacy level to distribute sections to multiple servers and maintain security. Thus, a commitment from the SLA Service Level Agreement will establish appropriate service and confidentiality levels by cloud storage service providers. After that, dataset fragments are distributed in separate cloud data repositories. The original dataset can be reconstructed only from the DBMS and provide data strictly only to certified users.

### 3.1 Interpretation

Figure 1 shows the end user’s gateway server is connected to VPG Virtual Private Gateway in VPC Virtual Private Cloud to establish a VPN Virtual Private Network connection [24]. This scheme provides a connection via a private IP address. It allows the exchange of VPCs in different areas in a public cloud that can connect multiple VPCs within a public cloud for communication without the Internet connection [23]. We propose constraining constraints that will be the rule for distribution among the attributes as agreed through SLA regarding the data owner requirements. If $A$ is a set of users’ attributes and $c$ is a set of confidentiality constraints, then $c$ will be a subset of $A$, $c \subseteq A$ and each constraint cannot be a subset of another constraint [22]. A constraint is defined as the restriction for combining sensitive attributes within the same fragment [5]. The Singleton pattern’s deployment will ensure that a dataset has only one acute attribute instance and provides a global access point [7]. The distribution module will manage sharing datasets into separated CR concerning user requirements regarding which attributes agreed in SLA to be together. After that, each CR server module will further distribute the encrypted datasets into cloud repositories. The reconstruction phase will follow the VPN path through the reliable DBMS, which will compute each share, recover, and present the data to the end-users.

### 3.2 Mathematical approach

Let $A$ be the set of attributes $a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n$ which the provider requires to distribute among CR and $C$ the set of confidential constraints $c_1, c_2, \ldots, c_n$ where $c_i \subset A$. Constraints separated into singleton where unique sensitive attributes are alone in a set and subset of constraints where the attributes within cannot merge with others. The attributes fragmentation will be applied in the distribution unit by an algorithm based on the decision tree approach. It will calculate the minimum fragmentation that satisfies all confidence-building correlation constraints [18]. Singleton constraints will be distributed from the same unit by a $(k,n)$ threshold scheme approach in such a way that the knowledge of any $k \leq n$ sensitive attribute values and the knowledge of the secret $x_i$, $i \in 1, 2, \ldots, n$ stored in DBMS can retrieve the information, but no group of $k-1$ or fewer can do so even with the knowledge of $x_i$. By $k-1$ coefficients we mean the set of \{a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_{k-1}\} constants which derives from $a_0$ the National Identity Number (NIDN) and the respective divided differences as $a_0 = \Delta p(x_{i-1}), a_1 = \Delta^2 p(x_{i-1}), \ldots, a_{k-1} = \Delta^{n-k-1} p(x_{i-1})$. Therefore, if we want to distribute the information into $k$ fragments, then we choose $(k-1)$ randomly coefficients and we let the constant value $a_0$ be the sensitive value of NIDN, thus creating a $(k-1)$ degree polynomial as follow:
According to the above, the DBMS stores the secret information \( x = (x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n) \), whereby the knowledge of polynomial coefficients and the substitution of \( x_i \) (the large of \( i \), corresponds to the number of shares) can compute the encrypted values of NIDN National Identity Number from \( P(x_i) \), \( i = 1, 2, \ldots, k \), and thus by just substituting \( k \) of the \( n \) values from the vector \( x \). Through Newton-Gregory’s divided difference interpolation and by the knowledge of \( k \) order pairs \((x_i, P(x_i))\), \( i = 1, 2, \ldots, k \) we can determine the \((k-1)\) coefficients of the polynomial as well as the original value of NIDN corresponding to the constant \( a_0 \) as follow:

\[
P(x) = P(x_{k-1}) \Delta P(x_{k-1}) (x - x_{k-1}) + \Delta^2 P(x_{k-1}) (x - x_k) + \ldots + \Delta^{n-k-1} P(x_{k-1}) \prod_{i=k-1}^n (x - x_i)
\]

Where \( \Delta P(x_{k-1}), \Delta^2 P(x_{k-1}), \ldots, \Delta^{n-k-1} P(x_{k-1}) \) will be the 1st, 2nd and \((n-k-1)th\) divided differences, respectively.

### 3.3 Paradigm

Let \( A \) be the set of patients’ attributes that includes the following information to be distributed among CR:

\[
A = \{\text{National Identity Number (NIDN), Name, Date of Birth (DoB), Mobile Number (MN), Postal Code (PC), Chronic Disease (CD)}\}
\]

\[
c_0 = \{\text{NIDN}\} \quad \text{(sensitive information)}
\]

\[
c_1 = \{\text{Name, DoB}\}
\]

\[
c_2 = \{\text{Name, MN}\}
\]

\[
c_3 = \{\text{Name, PC}\}
\]

\[
c_4 = \{\text{Name, CD}\}
\]

\[
c_5 = \{\text{DoB, MN, PC}\}
\]

\[
c_6 = \{\text{DoB, MN, CD}\}
\]

Names in association with any other attribute considered as a sensitive information

Date of birth in cooperation with mobile number can infer the identity of users and in association with other attributes is consider sensitive

By fragmentation, we mean the distribution of attributes so that their associated values are separated and linked only to the encryption key. An example of fragmenting the attributes involved in the constraints so that they are not visible together could be \( f_1 = \{\text{Name}\} \), \( f_2 = \{\text{DoB, MN}\} \) and \( f_3 = \{\text{PC, CD}\} \). Fragments are stored in three separate CR CR1, CR2, and CR3, respectively. We will develop a second-degree polynomial to share the data among CRs as follow:

\[
P(x) = a_2 x^2 + a_1 x + a_0
\]

Where \( a_0 \) represents the NIDN and the coefficients \( a_1 = (1,2,5,6,4) \) and \( a_2 = (7,3,2,1,9) \) randomly selected. Also, the secret values of \( x_i, i = 1, 2, 3 \) are randomly selected and correspond to each SP respectively, let \( x_1 = 1, x_2 = 2, x_3 = 4 \). Tables 1, 2, 3, 4 and 5 presents the computational results of substitution for each polynomial of the coefficients and the secret values.
The fragments will distribute as shown within the following tables, presenting an incorrect value of NIDN for each data from Table 2:

Reconstruction implementation can only be done by the knowledge of the three ordered pairs \( \{(x_i, P(x_i)), i = 1, 2, 3\} \) corresponding to the three CRs which kept stored in DBMS. The decryption implemented using Newton-Gregory’s divided difference interpolation, as shown in Table 6, which will reconstruct the polynomial and reveal the original value of NIDN as the constant part of it \( a_0 \) [27].

The calculations resulting from Table 6 shown below:

\[
P(x) = P(x_2) + \Delta_{P(x_2)} (x-x_2) + \Delta^2_{P(x_2)} (x-x_2)(x-x_3)
\]

\[
P(x) = 880636 + 13(x-2) + 1(x-2)(x-4)
\]

\[
P(x) = 880636 + 13x-26 + x^2-4x-2x + 8
\]

\[
P(x) = x^2 + 7x + 880618
\]

After computing the constant value \( a_0 \) that reveals the initial NIDN number. As shown in Table 7, we can retrieve any information from the patient’s record.

### 4 Image exchange

A visual secret sharing scheme is a method of sharing intimate images among a group of stakeholders. Each participant gets a piece of the secret image, called a share. The allowed coalition of the participators can reveal the original image by accumulating their shares. However, any subsets of the alliance cannot retrieve the secret image by amassing their shares. For instance, if we called each share, transparency, the secret is visible in a \((k, n)\) visual cryptography scheme if \( \geq k \) transparencies stack together.

Nevertheless, none can see the original image if \(<k\) transparencies are stacked together. Transmitting and sharing information in a healthcare system requires medical image sharing (e.g., MRI images). Thus, we propose a secure fragmentation scheme for image exchange in

### Table 1 Registered data

| NIDN   | Name   | DoB      | MN       | PC   | CD          |
|--------|--------|----------|----------|------|-------------|
| 880,618| Andrew | 26/03/1984 | 96,536,499 | 4529 | Cancer      |
| 526,548| Nicolas| 12/05/1968 | 99,652,342 | 2324 | Diabetes    |
| 616,636| Jane   | 13/07/1975 | 96,521,548 | 2528 | Heart disease |
| 844,131| David  | 25/04/1983 | 99,215,482 | 4528 | Asthma      |
| 321,131| Mathew | 01/09/1950 | 99,992,272 | 5232 | Epilepsy    |

### Table 2 Substitution results

| NIDN   | Polynomial | \( x=1 \) | \( x=2 \) | \( x=4 \) |
|--------|------------|----------|----------|----------|
|        | \( P(x) \) | CR_1     | CR_2     | CR_3     |
| 880,618| \( x^2 + 7x + 880,618 \) | 880,626  | 880,636  | 880,662  |
| 526,548| \( x^2 + 3x + 526,548 \)  | 526,553  | 526,562  | 526,592  |
| 616,636| \( x^2 + 2x + 616,636 \)  | 616,643  | 616,660  | 616,724  |
| 844,131| \( x^2 + 1x + 844,131 \)  | 844,138  | 844,157  | 844,231  |
| 321,131| \( x^2 + 9x + 321,131 \)  | 321,144  | 321,165  | 321,231  |
the same concept of k out of n secret sharing. We have incorporated a visual secret sharing scheme to encode an image required to be secure in “shadow” embodiments called shares. The secret can be visually reconstructed only when k or more shares are available. Each pixel of the secret image is “expanded” into m sub-pixels in each share, and in the reconstruction process, the stacking of the sub-pixels is a Boolean ‘OR’ operation.

4.1 Implementation

The method requires distributing the image’s pixels in n modified versions and sharing them among n cloud repositories through VPN. Each fragment is a collection of m subpixels. It is essential for logic disciplines, including cryptography, to use a fundamental rule named Richard Hamming and Hamming Weight. The determination comes from the count of ‘1’ within a binary number. For instance, the Hamming Weight for 101,001 is 3, and 1,110,011 is 5. Hence the architecture is represented by n × m Boolean matrix $A = [a_{ij}]$, where $a_{ij} = 1$ if the jth subpixel in the ith share is black; otherwise, it is 0, represented by white. Grey-scale on images revealed using the Hamming weight defined as the amount of ‘1’ from the ‘OR’ operation on matrix A [34]. More specifically, $B = \text{OR}(i_1, i_2, ..., i_r)$ where $i_1, i_2, ..., i_r$ are the rows of matrix A and $H(B)$ is the Hamming weight. $C_0$ and $C_1$ are defined as the n × m Boolean matrices that can compute the k out of n secret sharing. White and black pixel corresponds to the two matrices respectively, which specify the m-subpixels’ colour among the n shares in n repositories. The requirements for a calculation to be considered valid are the following:

1. For any $A \subseteq C_0$ the $B^0$ among k out of the n rows satisfies $H(B^0) \leq l$, $l \in \mathbb{Z}^+$
2. For any $A \subseteq C_1$ the $B^1$ among k out of the n rows satisfies $H(B^1) \leq h$, $l \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, $l < h \leq m$
3. For any $\{i_1, i_2, ..., i_q\} \subseteq \{i_1, i_2, ..., i_n\}$, $q < k$ the $q \times m$ matrices $D_t$, $t \in \{0, 1\}$ derive by restricting each $C_t$ to rows $i_1, i_2, ..., i_q$ cannot be distinguished

The definition of contrast, which is the combination of the Hamming Weight difference between white and black pixels in a share, could be calculated as follows:

4.1 Implementation

The method requires distributing the image’s pixels in n modified versions and sharing them among n cloud repositories through VPN. Each fragment is a collection of m subpixels. It is essential for logic disciplines, including cryptography, to use a fundamental rule named Richard Hamming and Hamming Weight. The determination comes from the count of ‘1’ within a binary number. For instance, the Hamming Weight for 101,001 is 3, and 1,110,011 is 5. Hence the architecture is represented by n × m Boolean matrix $A = [a_{ij}]$, where $a_{ij} = 1$ if the jth subpixel in the ith share is black; otherwise, it is 0, represented by white. Grey-scale on images revealed using the Hamming weight defined as the amount of ‘1’ from the ‘OR’ operation on matrix A [34]. More specifically, $B = \text{OR}(i_1, i_2, ..., i_r)$ where $i_1, i_2, ..., i_r$ are the rows of matrix A and $H(B)$ is the Hamming weight. $C_0$ and $C_1$ are defined as the n × m Boolean matrices that can compute the k out of n secret sharing. White and black pixel corresponds to the two matrices respectively, which specify the m-subpixels’ colour among the n shares in n repositories. The requirements for a calculation to be considered valid are the following:

1. For any $A \subseteq C_0$ the $B^0$ among k out of the n rows satisfies $H(B^0) \leq l$, $l \in \mathbb{Z}^+$
2. For any $A \subseteq C_1$ the $B^1$ among k out of the n rows satisfies $H(B^1) \leq h$, $l \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, $l < h \leq m$
3. For any $\{i_1, i_2, ..., i_q\} \subseteq \{i_1, i_2, ..., i_n\}$, $q < k$ the $q \times m$ matrices $D_t$, $t \in \{0, 1\}$ derive by restricting each $C_t$ to rows $i_1, i_2, ..., i_q$ cannot be distinguished

The definition of contrast, which is the combination of the Hamming Weight difference between white and black pixels in a share, could be calculated as follows:

Table 3 Data of CR1

| NIDN   | Name  |
|--------|-------|
| 880,626| Andrew|
| 526,553| Nicolas|
| 616,643| Jane  |
| 844,138| David |
| 321,144| Mathew|

Table 4 Data of CR2

| NIDN   | DoB           | MN         |
|--------|---------------|------------|
| 880,636| 26/03/1984    | 96,536,499|
| 526,562| 12/05/1968    | 99,652,342|
| 616,660| 13/07/1975    | 96,521,548|
| 844,157| 25/04/1983    | 99,215,482|
| 321,165| 01/09/1950    | 99,992,272|
Let $P_0$ and $P_1$ be the probability of white and black pixel appearing in a white and black area respectively, and let $P_{th} \in [0, 1]$ be a threshold probability. If $P_0 \geq P_{th}$ and $P_1 \leq P_{th} - a$ where $a \geq 0$ is the contrast as defined above, then the frequency of white pixels in a white area of the recovered image will be higher than in a black area. $E_0$ and $E_1$ are white and black sets respectively with $n_{\lambda}$ and $n_{\gamma}$ ($n \times 1$, matrices). The reconstruction probability is valid if the following conditions are met [35]:

1. The ‘OR’ operation of any $n \times 1$ matrix is $H(B)$
2. If $P_0$ and $P_1$ are the probabilities of white ($white = 0$) appearing in the sets $\lambda$ and $\gamma$ respectively, then we have the satisfaction of $P_0 \geq P_{th}$ and $P_1 \leq P_{th} - a$
3. For any $\{i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_q\} \subseteq \{i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_n\}$, $q < k$, $P_0 = P_1$

The probabilities $P_0$ and $P_1$ are calculated as follow:

$$\begin{align*}
P_0 &= \frac{m-l}{m}, \\
P_1 &= \frac{m-h}{m}
\end{align*}$$

Let $G^i = A^0 \circ \ldots \circ A^0 A^1 \circ \ldots \circ A^1$, $i = 0, \ldots, g-1$, where $g \geq 2$ collections of $G^i$ matrices develop a secret sharing scheme for $g$ grey-levels with pixel expansion defined by $m_g$. Reconstruction applied with $d^{(1:0)}$, $\ldots$, $d^{(g-1: g-2)}$ representing contrast and $\{d_i\}$, $i = 0, \ldots, g-2$ the threshold sets for $n \times m_g$, $G_i$ matrices if the following two conditions met:

1. $H(B^i) \leq d_i - d^{(i+1:0)}$, where for $G^{i+1}$ the Hamming weight for the ‘OR’ operation of any $k$ of $n$ rows results that $H(B^{i+1}) \geq d_i$

| NIDN    | PC  | CD      |
|---------|-----|---------|
| 880,662 | 4529| Cancer  |
| 526,592 | 2324| Diabetes|
| 616,724 | 2528| Heart disease |
| 844,231 | 4528| Asthma  |
| 321,231 | 5232| Epilepsy |

### Table 6

Newton-Gregory’s divided difference interpolation

| $i$ | $x_i$ | $P(x_i)$ | $\Delta P(x_i)$ | $\Delta^2 P(x_i)$ |
|-----|------|---------|-----------------|-----------------|
| 1   | 1    | 880,626 |                  |                  |
| 2   | 2    | 880,636 | $\frac{P(2) - P(1)}{x_2 - x_1} = 10$ |                  |
| 3   | 4    | 880,662 | $\frac{P(3) - P(2)}{x_3 - x_2} = 13$ |                  |
2. For any \( \{r_1, r_2, \ldots, r_j\} \subseteq \{1, \ldots, k\} \), \( 1 \leq j < k \), the matrices obtained by restricting \( G^j_{j \times m_g} \) to rows \( r_1, r_2, \ldots, r_j \) are equal up to a column permutation.

The following equation calculates contrast:

\[
a_{i+1,i} = \frac{H(B^{i+1}) - H(B^i)}{m_g} = \frac{h - l}{(g - 1) \times m} = \frac{a}{g - 1}, i = 0, \ldots, g - 2
\]

If \( a_i \) is the amount of ‘1’ in \( G^j \) and \( b_i \) the amount of ‘0’ then \( a_i = H(B^i) = l \times (g - i - 1) + h \times i \) and \( b_i = m_g - a_i = (m - l) \times (g - i - 1) + (m - h) \times i \) \( \implies a_i + b_i = m \times (g - 1) = m_g \) [12].

After that, we select \( s = 1, \ldots, m_g \) random columns from \( G^j \) matrices and obtain \( \left( \frac{m_g}{s} \right) \) the \( n \times s \) matrices \( T^{(i)}_s = \left\{ G^j_{|s,p} \right\}, p = 1, \ldots, \left( \frac{m_g}{s} \right) \). The average Hamming weight of the \( i^{th} \) grey-level reconstructed pixel is \( \overline{H^j_i} = \frac{1}{s} \sum_{j=0}^{s} j \cdot p^{(i)}_{s,j} \) and \( p^{(i)}_{s,j} \) defined as the probabilistic of the Hamming weight of the ‘OR’ operation of any \( k \) rows, which is \( j = 0, \ldots, s \). The average grey-level and average contrast, respectively, are calculated as follow:

\[
\overline{e^{(i)}_s} = s \overline{H^j_i}
\]

\[
a^{(i+1,i)}_s = \frac{\overline{e^{(i+1)}_s} - \overline{e^{(i)}_s}}{m_g}, i = 0, \ldots, g - 2
\]

Thus, the grey level \( i = 0, 1, \ldots, g - 1 \) is constructed by the \( \left( \frac{m_g}{s} \right) \), \( n \times s \), \( G^j_{|s,p} \) matrices respectively, where \( p = 1, \ldots, \left( \frac{m_g}{s} \right) \) and the set \( T^{(i)}_s = \left\{ G^j_{|s,p} \right\} \) can be used to construct a grey-scale probabilistic visual secret sharing scheme.

### 4.2 Paradigm

Let \( A^0 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \) and \( A^1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \) be the two fundamental Boolean matrices for a black and white pixel respectively the ‘OR’ operation (the operator ‘OR’ gives 1 as a result if at least one of the two elements is 1) of the elements in any two rows of \( A^0 \) gives as a result of two zeros, thus \( h = 2 \) and respectively for the same reason from \( A^1 \) derives \( l = 1 \). Therefore, we assume that the contrast of results, which is also known as the relative difference between the black and white pixel reconstruction, is \( = \frac{h - l}{m} = \frac{1}{3} \).
From the definition of $E_0$ and $E_1$ we have $E_0 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ and $E_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ and as mentioned $\lambda$ and $\gamma$ calculated by the ‘OR’ operation of the column vectors, so $\lambda = \begin{bmatrix} H \left( \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \right), H \left( \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \right), H \left( \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \right) \end{bmatrix} = \{0, 0, 1\}$ and $\gamma = \begin{bmatrix} H \left( \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \right), H \left( \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \right), H \left( \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \right) \end{bmatrix} = \{1, 1, 1\}$. Thus, the appearance probabilities of white colour are $P_0 = \frac{m_1}{m} = \frac{3}{3} = \frac{3}{3}, P_1 = \frac{m_2}{m} = \frac{3}{3} = \frac{1}{3}$ and the threshold probability $P_{th} = \frac{2}{5}$, since the contrast was $\alpha = \frac{1}{5}$ the second condition was met. The last requirement referring to the equality of the two probabilities fulfilled as for all the shadows $\lambda = \{H(0), H(0), H(1)\} = \{0, 0, 1\}$ and $\gamma = \{H(1), H(0), H(0)\} = \{1, 0, 0\}, \gamma = \{H(0), H(1), H(0)\} = \{0, 1, 0\}$ and $\gamma = \{H(0), H(0), H(1)\} = \{0, 0, 1\}$ for shadows 1, 2 and 3 respectively, so $P_0 = P_1 = \frac{2}{5}$.

$$G^0 = A^0 \cdot A^0 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$G^1 = A^0 \cdot A^1 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$G^2 = A^1 \cdot A^1 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

The pixel expansion is $m_g = (g - 1) \times m = 6$ and the contrasts computed as $a^{(1,0)} = \frac{H(b^1) - H(b^0)}{m_2} = \frac{3}{6} = \frac{1}{3}, a^{(2,1)} = \frac{H(b^2) - H(b^1)}{m_2} = \frac{4}{6} = \frac{2}{3} \Rightarrow a^{(1,0)} = a^{(2,1)}$

$$\bar{T}_5^{(1)} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

The ‘OR’ operation of the $q_1^{th}$ row and the $q_2^{th}$ row of the set $T_5^{(0)}$ are $j \in \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$. The data included in the first row of Table 8 calculated as follow:

$$\begin{align*}
P_{5,0}^{(0)} &= p_{5,3}^{(0)} = p_{5,4}^{(0)} = p_{5,5}^{(0)} = 0/6 = 0, p_{5,1}^{(0)} = 2/6 = 1/3, p_{5,2}^{(0)} = 4/6 = 2/3 \\
\frac{1}{S} \bar{e}_s^{(0)} &= H_s = \frac{1}{S} \sum_{j=0}^{S} f_j p_{5,j}^{(0)} \Rightarrow \bar{e}_5^{(0)} = \frac{1}{S} \sum_{j=0}^{S} j \cdot p_{5,j}^{(0)} = \frac{1}{S} \left( \frac{1}{3} + \frac{2}{3} \right) = \frac{1}{3} \end{align*}$$
Table 9 contains data of \( p_{s,j}^{(i)} \) and \( \overline{e_s}^{(i)} \) in which \( s = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6 \) and \( i = 1, 2, 3 \) from where derives that \( \overline{a}^{(1,0)} = \overline{a}^{(2,1)} = 1/6. \)

### 5 Conclusions & future work

Sharing data over the cloud requires confidentiality, privacy, control, and compliance with laws and regulations. Thus, our approach suggested a framework that presents secure encryption of data stored in cloud repositories. Encryption based on an innovative data set fragmentation technique uses CRs through VPN to distribute data to separate sensitive data securely. In the future, we introduce a mathematical approach to Newton-Gregory interpolation to retrieve...
and reconstruct original data. A detailed example using random data explains the fragmentation data distribution and then the reconstruction application to retrieve a specific record. Fragmentation further improves current data encryption approaches by reducing the burden of high computing on the server. As shown, it can effectively apply to images to avoid cryptographic calculations and be used to transfer confidential images through the cloud. Therefore, we have proposed a binary secret sharing solution for grayscale images to be used in healthcare for a completely secure data exchange framework.

Integrating edge computing with cloud computing requires an efficient and secure data exchange during data flow and secure cloud repositories. Also, big data growth increases the obligations to secure information from data breaches and leakages of information. Therefore, we aim to continue contributing to data privacy mechanisms on big data to protect healthcare data exchange and storage confidentiality in future work. The data-rich environments resulting from cloud computing’s radical innovation in collaboration with ML Machine Learning and AI Artificial Intelligence require advanced encryption and security techniques with a low computational load. A cypher approach that satisfies the fundamental security properties of image could be used in conjunction with fragment images for further data exchange safety.
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