In 2009, a novel phlebovirus, Heartland virus (HRTV), was identified as the cause of illness in 2 severely ill patients from Missouri, USA (1), after exposure to lone star ticks (Amblyomma americanum). HRTV, an RNA virus recently reclassified as belonging to the family Phenuiviridae and genus Bandavirus (2), is closely related to severe fever with thrombocytopenia syndrome virus (SFTSV), which is transmitted mainly by longhorned ticks (Haemaphysalis longicornis) and causes a hemorrhagic fever in Southeast and central Asia (3).

The ecology and natural history of HRTV remain largely unknown (4). The virus was isolated from the initial index cases in Missouri and from ticks collected at nearby sites (1,5). Viral RNA has been detected by molecular tools in immature and mature stages of A. americanum ticks from Missouri (5,6), Alabama (7), Illinois (8), Kansas (9), and New York (10). Antibodies reactive to HRTV have been identified in various wildlife species (11–13) that match the geographic distribution of A. americanum ticks in the United States (14), even in those areas where the presence of the tick is scarce. Nonetheless, viremia in a vertebrate species has not been detected (11,13) and attempts to induce viremia in experimental vertebrate hosts have been unsuccessful (15,16).

Since HRTV was identified in 2009, ≈40 additional human cases of HRTV disease have been identified in Missouri, Kansas, Oklahoma, Arkansas, Iowa, Illinois, Tennessee, Indiana, Georgia, and South Carolina (8,17–20). Most of these cases were reported in persons who had underlying conditions, and their illnesses were predominately severe or fatal (4,21). However, seroprevalence studies in wildlife suggest a broader range of distribution of HRTV than those states from which cases of human disease have been reported (13).

A second novel tickborne arbovirus, Bourbon virus (BRBV), was isolated from a fatal human case (22) and from field-collected arthropods (9) in Bourbon County, Kansas, USA, during 2014. BRBV has a negative-sense RNA genome of 6 segments and represents the only member of the genus Thogotovirus that causes human disease in the Western Hemisphere; a limited number of persons have been infected in the midwestern and southern United States. This virus was also linked to A. americanum ticks in Missouri (23) at a lower infection rate than that for HRTV infection. Wildlife seroprevalence studies suggest a wide distribution of BRBV in the southeastern United States (24), but human disease remains a rare event.

Although A. americanum ticks are widely distributed throughout the southeastern United States, only 1 study, in Alabama, has conclusively identified HRTV in lone star ticks in this region (7).
In Georgia, there is serologic evidence of HRTV infection in white-tailed deer (*Odocoileus virginianus*) dating back to 2001. A single human infection from 2005 was confirmed in 2015 (https://www.cdc.gov/heartland-virus/statistics/index.html). Because *A. americanum* ticks represent ticks most frequently associated with human bites in Georgia (25), we examined *A. americanum* ticks for arboviruses, and specifically for HRTV and BRBV, in a select area in Georgia to better assess the risk for human disease in the region and to increase knowledge of the ecology and genomics of these emerging human pathogens.

**Materials and Methods**

**Study Area**

The study area in Georgia was a 64 km² rural landscape located ≈130 km southeast of Atlanta and situated adjacent to the Piedmont National Wildlife Refuge (latitude 33.117934, longitude −83.413621). This area includes parts of Jones, Baldwin, and Putnam Counties in central Georgia and had a cumulative population of 93,180 inhabitants as of 2010 (US Census Bureau, https://www.census.gov/2010census/data). The area is part of the southern Piedmont ecoregion, comprising predominantly deciduous woodlands (Figure 1). The climate is humid subtropical, has a mean annual high temperature of 31°C and low temperature of 13°C, and has mean annual precipitation of 115 cm.

We selected this study area on the basis of data from a seroprevalence evaluation of white-tailed deer for antibodies to HRTV (12) and from its proximity to the only reported case of human HRTV infection (https://www.cdc.gov/heartland-virus/statistics/index.html) (Figure 1). During 2018, we collected samples from 26 different sites around the area to identify *A. americanum* tick productive sites. During 2019, we focused our efforts in the 2 sites that yielded the highest collections during 2018. All sites were favorable, on a visual inspection, for development of the different stages of *A. americanum* ticks (presence of deciduous forest, open access to diverse fauna, and grass cover). The 2 locations sampled during 2019 were a vacant lot (33.155975, −83.450516) and a private property (33.201552, −83.439257) (the collection activity was approved by their owners), which were 5.41 km apart (Figure 1).

**Tick Collection Strategy and Entomologic Identification**

We collected ticks approximately each week during April–October in 2018 and 2019. We collected host-seeking adults and nymphal ticks by using flannel
flags and transported them alive to the laboratory, where we identified them microscopically on a chilled table for sex, species, and life stage by using taxonomic keys (26,27).

Tick Processing
We surface disinfected live ticks by sequential immersion for 5 min in cold solutions of 70% ethanol, 10.5% sodium hypochlorite, and 3% hydrogen peroxide, and then rinsed them in distilled water (28). We pooled live specimens by species, collection site, and stage in groups of ≤5 adults and ≤25 nymphs. We added 1 mL of BA-1 diluent (1× medium 199 with Hanks balanced salt solution, 0.05 μmol/L Tris buffer [pH 7.6], 1% bovine serum albumin, 0.35 μg/L streptomycin, 1 μg/mL amphotericin B) to each pool (29) before grinding the pools thoroughly by using a 7-mL glass TenBroeck grinder (Fisher Scientific, https://www.fishersci.com) with alundum Bedding material (Fisher Scientific) as an abrasive. We transferred each homogenate to a sterile 2-mL cryotube and stored the tubes at −80°C for future analysis.

Molecular Detection and Cell Culture Isolation
We thawed tick homogenates and centrifuged them at 14,000 rpm for 10 min to clarify before proceeding. We extracted total RNA from each homogenate by using a QIAmp RNA Extraction Kit (QIAGEN, https://www.qiagen.com). We performed a quantitative real-time PCR with a final reaction volume of 25 μL and 1 μL of template by using a Quantitect Probe PCR Kit (QIAGEN), with primer-probe set 1, which was designed for the small segment of the HRTV genome, as described by Savage et al. (6) under the following cycling conditions: 50°C for 30 min; 95°C for 10 min; and 45 cycles with 1 cycle consisting of 95°C for 15 s and 60°C for 1 min. We performed BRBV screening in a separate quantitative real-time PCR by using the primer-probe set NPI, as described (9).

We attempted viral isolation in Vero E6 cells with each tick homogenate pool that yielded a positive result by real-time PCR. We inoculated 100 μL of an undiluted sample into a 12-well tissue culture plate and incubated it at 37°C. We monitored cells daily for cytopathic effect; when noted, we removed 140 μL of medium and processed for RNA extraction. We subcultured and monitored cultures with no demonstrable cytopathic effect by day 11 daily for an additional 7 days for cytopathic effect; if no cytopathic effect was noted, we performed a final subculture and monitored for an additional 11 days. We tested RNA extracted from cell culture supernatants (1 μL) by using a real-time PCR specific for HRTV to confirm viral infection.

HRTV Genome Sequencing and Analysis
Extracted RNA underwent heat-labile, dsDNase treatment (ArcticZymes, https://arcticzymes.com), random primer cDNA synthesis (New England Biolabs, https://www.neb.com), Nextera XT tagmentation (Illumina, https://www.illumina.com), and sequencing (Illumina). We obtained 3.9–5.6 million reads/sample (Appendix Table 1, https://wwwnc.cdc.gov/EID/article/28/4/21-1540-App1.pdf). We performed reference-based assembly by using viral-ngs version 2.1.19 (https://viral-ngs.readthedocs.io/en/latest), using GenBank reference sequences NC_024496 for the small gene segment, NC_024494 for the medium segment, and NC_024495 for the large segment. A minimum of 3 reads was required to call a consensus nucleotide. We aligned the consensus HRTV genomes from each sample with all available reference sequences by using Geneious Prime version 2021.1.1 (https://www.geneious.com). We constructed maximum-likelihood phylogenetic trees by using PhyML (30) and visualized trees by using FigTree (http://tree.bio.ed.ac.uk/software/figtree). Assembled HRTV genomes are available in GenBank (accession nos. MZ617368–76).

Infection Prevalence Estimation
We estimated the HRTV infection rate in ticks by study site and overall. We calculated this rate by using the minimum infection rate (MIR) per 1,000 ticks (31).

Results
We collected 2,960 ticks during 10 collections during April–October 2018, comprising 2,265 nymphs and 646 adults of A. americanum ticks, 30 adults of A. maculatum ticks, 14 adults of Dermacentor variabilis ticks, and 5 adults of Ixodes scapularis ticks. We collected 6,470 ticks during 10 collections during April–October 2019, comprising 4,853 nymphs and 1,530 adults of A. americanum ticks, 74 adults of D. variabilis ticks, 3 adults of I. scapularis ticks, and 10 adults of A. maculatum ticks. We sorted specimens into 283 pools during 2018 and 677 pools during 2019, by species, stage, sex, and collection site (Table 1). We detected 3 A. americanum tick HRTV PCR-positive pools: 1 pool of 5 females (pool 23, cycle threshold [Ct] 25.9), 1 pool of 5 males (pool 504, C. 29.9), and 1 pool of 25 nymphs (pool 26, C. 25.6). Two positive pools originated from site 1 and the third pool from site 2 (Figure 1, Table 2).
We performed virus isolation in Vero E6 cells on aliquots of each real-time PCR–positive homogenate. Pool 26 showed cytopathic effect of Vero E6 cells on day 3 and was passaged again on day 4. Pools 23 and 504 did not show cytopathic effect after the primary passage (P1) or 2 successive subcultures (P2 and P3). However, the supernatant was positive by real-time PCR for P1 of pools 26 and 504, P2 of pools 26 and 504, and P3 of pool 504. C values for pool 504 were increasing between P1 (C, 26), P2 (C, 20), and P3 (C, 16); C was 22 for the positive controls. BRBV real-time PCR results were negative for all samples from 2018 and 2019. The MIR of *A. americanum* ticks from site 1 was 0.35/1,000 ticks during 2019; the MIR for adults of nymphs and 1.26/1,000 ticks for adults of *A. americanum* during 2019. No. pools Collection date Site Adult Nymph Total Pools HRTV real-time PCR result for homogenate P1 P2 P3 Vero E6 cells CPE PCR CPE PCR CPE PCR CPE PCR

| No. pools | Collection date | Site | No. specimens in pool | HRTV real-time PCR result for homogenate | P1 | P2 | P3 |
|-----------|-----------------|------|----------------------|-----------------------------------------|-----|-----|-----|
| 23        | Apr 28          | 1    | 5                    | +                                      | -   | -   | -   |
| 26        | Apr 28          | 1    | 25                   | +                                      | +   | +   | -   |
| 504       | Jun 14          | 2    | 5                    | +                                      | -   | +   | +   |

*CPE, cytopathic effect; HRTV, Heartland virus; P1, primary passage; P2, subculture 2; P3, subculture 3. – negative; +, positive.*
Our sampling effort focused on 2 areas that had high tick density and occurred during the peak of seasonal tick activity to ensure sufficient sample size to enable virus detection. Positive samples were detected in mid-April at site 1 and in mid-June at site 2. Adult and nymph specimens of *A. americana* ticks were found to be infected, consistent with previous reports that showed that both mature and immature stages of the ticks are infected and competent vectors (5,6). The finding of infected adults and nymphs in April, early during *A. americanum* tick seasonality, also suggests that HRTV might be overwintering in these ticks. Estimates of MIR are highly variable between regions, years, and season, but are characteristically low, similar to infection prevalence of the closely related tickborne SFTSV in Asia (36). Our calculated infection rate is among the lowest in the spectrum reported in other states, which coincides with the rarity of the occurrence of clinical cases, although the possibility of underdiagnoses caused by low awareness of the disease must also be considered; studies from Missouri showed an overall MIR of 1.7/1,000 ticks (6), and others from Illinois reported 9.46/1,000 ticks (8). A recent study in New York reported MIRs ≤1.1% (10).

Two of 3 samples that had homogenates positive for HRTV by PCR were successfully isolated in cell lines. The complete lysis of the monolayer was observed only in the first culture (P1) of 1 pool (pool 26). The virus was successfully detected in a subculture (P2) but could not be maintained after subsequent passages. In another pool (pool 504), although no cytopathic effect was seen, the virus was detected in the supernatant of 3 consecutive cell line passages in increasing quantities, suggesting successful viral amplification. One positive homogenate was not detected in culture, which was consistent with the slightly decreased sensitivity of virus isolation compared with molecular methods, although it could also correspond to the presence of nonviable virus. Our quantification of C<sub>t</sub> values throughout passages confirmed viral RNA replication without the need to conduct assays such as immunofluorescent antibody assay or Western blotting. Whereas some investigators might see this result as a limitation, multiple studies confirm the validity of C<sub>t</sub> values for quantifying virus replication (e.g., 37,38). We emphasize the need for attempting viral isolation, which provides a unique source for phenotypic characterization and pathogenesis studies. Detection of HRTV in ticks to confirm virus circulation in an area has the limitation of low sensitivity because of a low prevalence of infection, as reported in other studies showing low infection rate in ticks (6,8,10). Use of complementary tools, such as serosurveys for...
vertebrate hosts, could enhance the efficiency in detecting risk areas for human exposure.

Analysis of HRTV genome sequences showed a relatively high degree of conservation between the 3 samples in this study, which were obtained within 2 months of each another and from sites 5 km apart. Because sequencing was performed from pooled tick samples, it is possible that each consensus sequence reflects >1 infected tick. The HRTV genome sequences generated in this study were 2%–5% different from the only 3 other available complete HRTV genome sequences sampled from humans across different states over the preceding decade. This finding reflects a degree of genetic diversity similar to that described for the related Bandavirus SFTSV in South Korea (39). However, further work is needed to characterize the full spectrum of diversity of HRTV in the United States, and in particular to assess whether there are viral genetic features associated with human infection. Our results demonstrate the feasibility of sequencing complete HRTV genomes directly from tick samples, which enables molecular characterization, a critical step in understanding the diversity, evolution, and pathogenesis of the virus.

Our findings confirm the ongoing circulation of HRTV in Georgia. Major knowledge gaps in the biology and epidemiology of HRTV require further efforts to understand which vertebrates or secondary tick species might play a role in the maintenance of the virus in nature. For instance, the presence and ongoing range expansion of the Asian longhorned tick, *H. longicornis*, in the United States (40) could lead to major changes in the transmission ecology of HRTV in areas where this species overlaps with *A. americanum*. Therefore, assessing the current and future risk for HRTV transmission and spillover becomes relevant for disease ecologists and public health practitioners. In the immediate term, knowledge about the presence of HRTV in local ticks would enable improved preventive strategies to mitigate human exposure to ticks, as well as alerting physicians about the presence of this emerging tick-borne virus.
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Appendix

Appendix Table 1. Sequencing metrics for 3 genome segments from 3 Heartland virus–positive pools collected in Georgia, USA, 2019

| Sample no. | Total reads | Small coverage, % | Mean small depth | Medium coverage, % | Mean medium depth | Large coverage, % | Mean large depth |
|------------|-------------|-------------------|------------------|-------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|
| HRT23      | 3,908,804   | 98.3              | 21               | 99.3              | 52               | 96.7             | 24               |
| HRT26      | 4,625,523   | 95.7              | 24               | 100.0             | 76               | 99.2             | 50               |
| HRT504     | 5,575,666   | 85.1              | 8                | 99.5              | 40               | 88.8             | 7                |

Appendix Table 2. Pairwise nucleotide identity between 3 HRTV virus genomes sequenced in this study, Georgia, 2019, and the only 3 previously published HRTV genomes*

| Sample     | Location                  | Small segment, % nucleotide identity | Medium segment, % nucleotide identity | Large segment, % nucleotide identity |
|------------|---------------------------|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
|            | HRT23 | HRT26 | HRT 504 | JX00584X | KJ74014X | HRT23 | HRT26 | HRT 504 | JX00584X | KJ74014X | HRT23 | HRT26 | HRT 504 | JX00584X | KJ74014X |
| HRT23      | Site 1 | /     | /       | /         | /         | /     | /     | /       | /         | /         | /     | /     | /       | /         | /         |
| HRT26      | Site 1 | 100.0 | /       | /         | /         | /     | /     | /       | /         | /         | /     | /     | /       | /         | /         |
| HRT504     | Site 2 | 97.6† | 97.6†   | 95.1†     | 95.6      | 95.6  | 95.6  | 95.5    | 97.8      | 97.9      | 90.1† | 90.4† | 90.1†   | 98.0      | 98.1      |
| JX00584X   | Missouri 2009, human     | 97.6               | 97.4              | 95.2†     | 97.4     | 98.4  | 98.4  | 98.2    | 95.7     | 98.0      | 98.1  | 90.2† | 98.1    | 98.0      | 98.0      |
| KJ74014X   | Tennessee 2013, human    | 97.6               | 97.4              | 95.2†     | 97.4     | 98.4  | 98.4  | 98.2    | 95.7     | 98.0      | 98.1  | 90.2† | 98.1    | 98.0      | 98.0      |
| NC_02449X  | Missouri 2009, human     | 97.9               | 97.8              | 95.6†     | 98.3     | 97.9  | 98.2  | 98.1    | 95.5     | 97.9      | 98.0  | 90.2† | 98.1    | 98.0      | 98.0      |

*HRTV, Heartland virus; † indicates that the percentage identity shown includes sequence ambiguities.
†For sample HRT504, only partial small and large segments were sequenced.
