Dear Editor,

We have recently developed a novel surgical approach for the management of bilateral testicular hypotrophy, allowing both the preservation of gonadic function and some penile lengthening: aim of this letter is to describe our surgical technique, reporting the first two cases treated with this approach.

Both patients were affected by nonmosaic Klinefelter syndrome, presenting with infertility, severe testicular hypotrophy and small penile size: the main patient characteristics are shown in Table 1. They severely complained about their scrotal appearance and small penile size: their discomfort was such that body dysmorphic disorder (BDD) was diagnosed after psychiatric evaluation. Both patients required a procedure able to restore a satisfying scrotal appearance and to achieve an acceptable penile length, while allowing a bilateral microdissection testicular sperm extraction (micro-TeSE), all through a single surgical incision.

A V-shaped inverted suprapubic incision was performed, followed by an incision of the Scarpa’s fascia proximal to the penopubic junction. The suspensor ligament of the penis was then isolated transversally incised and detached from the periosteum of the pubis bone. The spermatic chords were isolated bilaterally. The gonads were bilaterally externalized from the scrotum after incision of the gubernaculum testis on both sides. A micro-TeSE was bilaterally performed, together with testicular biopsy for histological evaluation. The right gonad was then mobilized and transferred to the contralateral hemiscrotum through the infrapubic incision, leaving in place the scrotal septum. Moreover, leaving a communication between the two hemiscrotums can increase the risk of infection and testicular damage. In addition, there is a risk of nontolerability of the prosthetic device.

Of note, the use of a single testicular prosthesis would have required a bilateral inguinal incision. Moreover, there is a risk of compression of the cord of the mobilized testis during the passage through the scrotal septum. In our opinion, testicular transposition through the septum with a single testicular prosthesis might lead to an increased risk of infection and testicular damage. In addition, there is a risk of nontolerability of the prosthetic device. Finally, our patients, affected by bilateral hypotrophy, would have required two prostheses, with higher costs of the procedure.

In their case report, a single inguinal approach was used to manage a testicular hypotrophy and testicular damage. All these problems are overcome in our novel surgical approach, performed through a V-inverted suprapubic incision, which leaves intact the scrotal septum and creates no communication between the two hemiscrotums. Moreover, our technique allows the...
The contemporary incision of the suspensor ligaments of the penis and the creation of a V-Y skin flap, with a satisfactory penile lengthening.

The debate about the benefits of penile lengthening procedure in patients with “short penis” is still ongoing: some question that penile length is normal in most of these men who tend to overestimate normal phallic dimensions and do not need any surgical procedure. Furthermore, surgical procedures of lengthening phalloplasty remain a controversial issue. This feature is complicated by a lack of universally accepted parameters for normal penile size evaluation. According to Wessells et al., normal penile dimensions should be considered to be any length within 2 standard deviations of the mean, that is >4 cm for the flaccid state and >7.5 cm for the stretched state. We can say that BDD diagnosed in our patients was not a purely psychiatric issue, as both patients had penile measurements at the lowest limits of normality. We think that in this case, a surgical correction can be helpful to restore both a normal anatomy and an acceptable body image. Penile lengthening procedures can improve patient self-esteem in dysmorphophobic patients even if the length gain is not up to patient expectations. As for penile lengthening, our approach follows surgical techniques already well-known and effective: the real strength resides in the possibility of performing different procedures with the same, small incision, limiting the morbidity of the procedure.

In summary, our novel combined technique has shown to be safe and effective: we believe that it represents a good way to contemporarily treat different problems and it is surely a good option in a complex setting of patients such as the hypogonadic Klinefelter ones. These promising results obviously need to be confirmed in a bigger series of patients, but we believe to have found a good strategy to address at the same time two major problems—testicular hypotrophy and short penis—which affect a considerable number of patients, especially with genetic disorders.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS
MT and MF carried out the surgery. Notwithstanding they participated to the acquisition of data, the analysis and the interpretation of data. They were involved in drafting and revising the manuscript. FK, CC, OS and MO participated to conception of the study and to the revision of the manuscript. PG, BF and LR conceived of the study, coordinated the study and helped to draft the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

COMPETING INTERESTS
All authors declare no competing interests.

Table 1: Patient characteristics

| Patient | Patient | Reference range |
|---------|---------|-----------------|
| 1       | 2       |                 |
| Age (year) | Testicular volume (mL) | Penile stretched length (cm) | LH (mU l⁻¹) | FSH (mU l⁻¹) | Testosterone (ng ml⁻¹) |
| 21      | 5       | 8               | 8.2        | 18          | 2.9             |
| 29      | 4       | 7.5             | 5.4        | 22          | 3.4             |

LH: luteinizing hormone; FSH: follicle-stimulating hormone
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