Evidence for downregulation of the negative regulator SPRED2 in clinical prostate cancer
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Background: SPRED1 and 2 are key negative regulators of MAPK signalling in mammalian cells. Here, we investigate the expression and functional role of SPREDs in prostate cancer.

Methods: A transcriptome bank of microdissected grade-specific primary cancers was constructed and interrogated for transcript expression of prostate cancer genes, known negative signalling regulators as well as SPRED1 and 2. The effect of SPRED2 manipulation was tested in vitro assays.

Results: In a panel of 5 benign glands and 15 tumours, we observed concomitant downregulation of the negative regulators SEF and DUSP1 in tumours with increasing Gleason grade. Profiling in the same cohorts revealed downregulation of SPRED2 mRNA in tumours compared with benign glands (P<0.05). By contrast, SPRED1 expression remained unchanged. This observation was further validated in two additional separate cohorts of microdissected tumours (total of n = 10 benign and n = 58 tumours) with specific downregulation of SPRED2 particularly in higher grade tumours. In functional assays, SPRED2 overexpression reduced ERK phosphorylation and inhibited prostate cancer cell proliferation and migration in response to different growth factors and full-media stimulation (P<0.001). Conversely, SPRED2 suppression by siRNA enhanced the mitogenic response to growth factors and full media (P<0.001).

Conclusion: These data suggest first evidence that SPRED2 is downregulated in prostate cancer and warrants further investigation as a potential tumour-suppressor gene.

Enhanced intracellular signalling is an important mechanism contributing to cancer progression (Brognard et al, 2011). Intracellular signalling is normally subject to constitutive levels of regulation that modulate the level of intracellular signalling (Murphy et al, 2010). Negative regulators provide a feedback mechanism that controls the intensity and duration of exogenous stimulation and have been particularly implicated in modulation of the MAPK pathway. Key members of this group, including the MAPK phosphatases, RKIP1, SPROUTY and SEF, have been consistently reported to be downregulated in many malignancies, including prostate cancer (Murphy et al, 2010). The SPROUTY-related enabled/vasodilator-stimulated phosphoprotein homology 1 domain-containing (SPRED) proteins (SPRED1 and 2) were first described by Wakioka et al (2001). SPREDs function by forming a complex with Raf and inhibiting activation of MEK (Wakioka et al, 2001; Bundschu et al, 2007). To date, there is very limited data on expression levels of SPRED1 and 2 in human cancers. SPREDs have only been investigated in hepatocellular carcinoma, with an observed downregulation of SPRED1 and 2 (Yoshida et al, 2006). Decreased SPRED levels were also associated with increased tumour invasion and metastasis (Yoshida et al, 2006; Ma et al, 2011). Given known patterns of loss of other signalling regulators in prostate cancer, we investigated whether expression of SPREDs was similarly altered.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Tumour microdissection, RNA isolation and real-time PCR. Benign and malignant areas of distinct grades (Gleason grades 3, 4
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or 5) from diagnostic prostate needle biopsies were laser microdissected, and RNA was extracted as previously described (ethics 09/H0308/42; Rogerson et al, 2008; Valencia et al, 2011). cDNA was synthesised (Transcriptor, Roche Diagnostics, Mannheim, Germany) and pre-amplified (Taqman PreAmp Mastermix, Applied Biosystems, Warrington, UK) according to the manufacturer’s protocol. Real-time PCR conditions comprised of denaturation at 95 °C for 10 min, 40 cycles of 10 s at 95 °C and 30 s at 60 °C. Gene expression was performed by relative quantification using GAPDH as the reference. Results are the mean of three separate triplicates.

Cell lines, transfection and functional assays. PC3M and LNCaP cells were maintained in RPMI (Gibco, Paisley, UK) alone or with 10% foetal bovine serum (basal and full medium (FM)). For androgen induction assays, LNCaP cells were grown in FM, then deprived of androgens (RPMI + 10% charcoal-stripped serum) for 24 h before treatment with ethanol (control) or 10 nM of R1881. RNA was isolated using the RNeasy Mini Kit (Qiagen, Crawley, UK). In functional assays, cells were transfected (Lipofectamine 2000) with 2 µg of pcDNA3 empty/pCDNA3-Myc-tagged SPRED2 (gift from Dr. Yoshimura, Keio University, Tokyo) or Silencer select pre-designed siRNA SPRED2 (447267) and control siRNA (4390844; Applied Biosystems). Transfection efficiency was quantified by PCR and/or western blot. In proliferation assays, PC3M cells were starved for 16 h, then stimulated with FGF2 (10 ng ml⁻¹) or FM and proliferation assessed by adding 10% charcoal-stripped serum) for 20 magnification over five different fields. Results for both assays are expressed as a fold change over un-induced controls and represent the mean of six experiments. Statistical analysis was performed by using two-tailed Student’s t-test, P<0.05 being statistically significant.

Western blotting. Cells were lysed in Laemmli buffer and denatured. Samples were then separated using 10% Bis-Tris pre-cast gels (Invitrogen, Paisley, UK), followed by transfer to a PDVF membrane (GE Healthcare, Chalfont St Giles, UK). Antibody complexes were detected using HRP-conjugated secondary antibodies (Dako, Ely, UK). Protein bands were visualised using ECL (GE Healthcare). Primary antibodies used were pERK-E4 (sc-5338), ERK-6G11 (sc-81458) and c-Myc-9E10 (sc-40) (Santa Cruz Biotechnologies, Heidelberg, Germany). α-Tubulin (ab4074-100) was used as the loading control (Abcam, Cambridge, UK).

RESULTS

Expression of SPRED1 and SPRED2 in clinical prostate cancer. RNA was derived from microdissected tumours and quality tested by confirming expression of at least three housekeeping genes for each sample as previously described (Rogerson et al, 2008). Profiling for PCA3 and EZH2 showed minimal expression in benign glands but high levels in grade 3, 4 and 5 tumours consistent with the literature (P<0.001 for both) (van
Leenders et al., 2007; Popa et al., 2007; Figures 1A and B). By contrast, the stromal marker COL6A3 was expressed at low levels in all the samples (Figure 1A). AR expression was not differentially expressed between tumours of different grades, consistent with previous reports (Ruijieveld et al., 1994; Figure 1C). By contrast, PSA was expressed at lower levels in high-grade tumours, again consistent with previous reports (Weir et al., 2000; Figure 1D). We next tested expression of the established negative regulators SEF and DUSP1. Here we observed high levels of SEF in benign glands but progressive downregulation in tumours of increasing Gleason grade ($P<0.01$; Figure 1E). DUSP1 was similarly reduced in tumours compared with benign samples, particularly in Gleason grade 4 and 5 tumours ($P<0.05$; Figure 1F). These results are again consistent with previous studies in prostate cancer (Rauhala et al., 2005; Darby et al., 2009). SPRED1 and 2 have not previously been investigated in prostate cancer. We found no appreciable difference in SPRED1 transcript comparing benign with tumour samples or indeed between different tumour grades (Figure 2A). By contrast, we observed high levels of SPRED2 mRNA in benign glands but lower levels of expression in tumours ($P<0.05$) (Figure 2B). This difference was particularly apparent in higher grade tumours. We then investigated SPRED expression in a separate set of microdissected tumours ($n=5$ benign, $n=5$ Gleason 3, $n=6$ Gleason 4 and $n=7$ Gleason 5). Here we again found similar SPRED1 expression in benign and tumour glands (Figure 2C). By contrast, SPRED2 was expressed at high or moderate levels in the majority of benign glands. Among tumours, however, the majority had reduced SPRED2 expression, and this was particularly apparent in the grade 4 and 5 tumours ($P=0.03$ and $P=0.02$, respectively), consistent with the findings from our initial tumour set (Figure 2D). To further validate the observed downregulation of SPRED2, we re-tested expression in an expanded cohort of cases (additional $n=5$ benign, $n=19$ Gleason 3, $n=13$ Gleason 4 and $n=8$ Gleason 5; Figure 2E). Here again we found a significant downregulation of SPRED2, particularly in grade 4 and grade 5 tumours compared with benign glands ($P=0.001$ and $P=0.008$, respectively).

**Functional effect of manipulating SPRED2 in prostate cancer.** The functional role of SPRED2 has not previously been investigated in prostate cancer. We first tested whether SPRED2 was androgen regulated. AR-positive LNCaP cells were treated

---

**Figure 2.** (A, B) Pooled transcript expression of SPRED1 and SPRED2 in microdissected benign glands and malignant tumours of different grades corrected to GAPDH. Results shown are the mean of three experiments repeated in triplicate. (C, D) Individual expression of benign and tumours in a second cohort of clinical samples tested for SPRED1 and SPRED2 transcript. One representative of three repeat experiments is shown. Each profile represents a tumour derived from an individual patient. (E) Expanded cohort of individual cases profiling SPRED2 expression. One representative of three repeat experiments is shown ($^*P<0.05$, **$P<0.01$).
with synthetic androgens and SPRED2 and PSA (control) levels assayed by real-time PCR. In this experiment, PSA transcript was induced by nearly 10-fold ($P<0.001$), while SPRED2 mRNA levels remained unchanged (Figure 3A). The effect of manipulating SPRED2 was next tested using an overexpression plasmid in PC3M prostate cells (Figure 3B). Transient transfection with SPRED2 effectively blocked pERK stimulation following stimulation with FM (Figure 3B). By contrast, pERK levels were rapidly induced in empty vector-transfected cells. In WST-1 assays, stimulation of empty vector PC3M-transfected cells with FGF2 or FM resulted in a four-fold increase in proliferation over 48 hours compared with basal levels of proliferation at time 0. Cells transfected with SPRED2 however failed to respond to FGF2 or FM stimulation (Figures 3C and D). In migration assays, compared with controls, SPRED2 overexpression effectively blocked stimulation by different FGFs, IGF and full media (Figure 3E). We next tested the converse effect of suppressing SPRED2 using siRNA knockdown in PC3M cells. We have been unable to identify a suitable antibody to detect endogenous SPRED2 protein in our cell line. We therefore confirmed effective suppression of SPRED2 but not SPRED1 by siRNA using PCR (Figure 3F). In these experiments, SPRED2 silencing enhanced the response to different ligands compared with scramble-transfected controls (Figure 3G). These results suggest that SPRED2 is a putative negative regulator in prostate cancer cells and is capable of attenuating MAPK stimulation by diverse growth factor ligands.

Figure 3. (A) Relative expression of SPRED2 and PSA mRNA after treatment with synthetic androgen R1881 in LNCaP prostate cells. Results show the mean of three experiments repeated in triplicate. (B) Western blot confirming expression of the SPRED2-Myc construct in PC3M cells. The blot was then stripped and re-probed for α-tubulin. Western blot for pERK and ERK1/2 with transfection of SPRED2-Myc or empty vector plasmid (EV). One of three experiments is shown. (C,D) Proliferation assay in PC3M cells transfected with SPRED2-Myc or EV and stimulated with either FGF2 or full media (FM). Results shown are fold changes over basal proliferation levels and represent the mean of three experiments. (E) Migration assay in PC3M cells transfected with SPRED2-Myc or EV plasmid and stimulated with FGF1/2/8, IGF and FM. Results shown are fold changes over basal levels and represent the mean of three experiments. (F) Specific knock down of SPRED2 mRNA by targeted siRNA with intact SPRED1 expression in PC3M cells. Expression shown is percentage change in mRNA compared with scramble (SCR)-transfected controls. (G) Migration assay in PC3M cells transfected with SPRED2 siRNA or SCR and stimulated with FGF1/2/8, IGF and FM. Results shown are fold changes over basal levels and represent the mean of three experiments ($^*P<0.001$, $^{**}P<0.05$).
Interpretation. Only one study (in hepatocellular cancer) has reported on changes in SPRED expression in a human malignancy. In that study, both SPREDS were downregulated in cancer (Yoshida et al, 2006). In the present study, we unexpectedly observed loss of SPRED2 but not SPRED1 in prostate cancers compared with benign glands. Interrogation of a publicly available transcript data set (MSKCC Prostate Oncogene Project) also appears to corroborate this apparent dichotomy (Taylor et al, 2010). Tumours in this data set were not isolated by laser microdissection or by distinct grades. Nevertheless, in comparison to normal controls, SPRED2 was downregulated in 28% of primary tumours and 47% of metastatic tumours, while SPRED1 expression was unchanged. We have not been able to reliably test protein expression of SPREDS in prostate tissue. Different SPRED2 antibodies were extensively tested by western blot and immunohistochemistry, but none showed sufficient sensitivity and specificity. Development of a suitable antibody is a key aim of our current laboratory work.

Ma et al (2011) recently demonstrated the phenotypic effect of manipulating SPRED2 in human hepatocellular cancer cells whereby increased expression inhibited cell proliferation and migration, while suppression enhanced in vivo tumour growth. In the present study, we were able to recapitulate these effects in prostate cancer. SPRED2 overexpression blocked ERK phosphorylation and inhibited diverse growth factor-induced proliferation and migration. By contrast, suppression of SPRED2 enhanced growth factor-mediated mitogenic effects, similar to the previous findings in hepatocellular cancer.

In summary we present first evidence of specific SPRED2 downregulation in prostate cancer and a role in inhibiting mitogenic MAPK signalling. We are currently validating these findings in larger cohorts and investigating SPRED2 as a prognostic and/or therapeutic marker. The current results, however, provide a convincing rationale for further studies to investigate SPRED2 as a candidate tumour suppressor in prostate cancer.
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